

Jinja with Threads

Andreas Lochbihler

September 13, 2023

Abstract. We extend the Ninja source code semantics by Klein and Nipkow with Java-style arrays and threads. Concurrency is captured in a generic framework semantics for adding concurrency through interleaving to a sequential semantics, which features dynamic thread creation, inter-thread communication via shared memory, lock synchronisation and joins. Also, threads can suspend themselves and be notified by others. We instantiate the framework with the adapted versions of both Ninja source and byte code and show type safety for the multithreaded case. Equally, the compiler from source to byte code is extended, for which we prove weak bisimilarity between the source code small step semantics and the defensive Ninja virtual machine. On top of this, we formalise the JMM and show the DRF guarantee and consistency.

For description of the different parts, see [1, 2, 4, 3].

Contents

1 The generic multithreaded semantics	7
1.1 State of the multithreaded semantics	7
1.2 All about a managing a single lock	17
1.3 Semantics of the thread actions for locking	23
1.4 Semantics of the thread actions for thread creation	27
1.5 Semantics of the thread actions for wait, notify and interrupt	32
1.6 Semantics of the thread actions for purely conditional purpose such as Join	36
1.7 Wellformedness conditions for the multithreaded state	38
1.8 Semantics of the thread action ReleaseAcquire for the thread state	42
1.9 Semantics of the thread actions for interruption	43
1.10 The multithreaded semantics	47
1.11 Auxiliary definitions for the progress theorem for the multithreaded semantics	55
1.12 Deadlock formalisation	61
1.13 Progress theorem for the multithreaded semantics	80
1.14 Lifting of thread-local properties to the multithreaded case	84
1.15 Labelled transition systems	89
1.16 The multithreaded semantics as a labelled transition system	116
1.17 Various notions of bisimulation	127
1.18 Bisimulation relations for the multithreaded semantics	152
1.19 Preservation of deadlock across bisimulations	186
1.20 Semantic properties of lifted predicates	201
1.21 Synthetic first and last actions for each thread	205
2 Data Flow Analysis Framework	223
2.1 Semilattices	223
2.2 The Error Type	227
2.3 More about Options	229
2.4 Products as Semilattices	230
2.5 Fixed Length Lists	231
2.6 Typing and Dataflow Analysis Framework	233
2.7 More on Semilattices	233
2.8 Lifting the Typing Framework to err, app, and eff	235
2.9 Kildall's Algorithm	236
2.10 The Lightweight Bytecode Verifier	240
2.11 Correctness of the LBV	244
2.12 Completeness of the LBV	245

3 Concepts for all NinjaThreads Languages	247
3.1 NinjaThreads types	247
3.2 Class Declarations and Programs	251
3.3 Relations between Ninja Types	253
3.4 Ninja Values	264
3.5 Exceptions	266
3.6 System Classes	270
3.7 An abstract heap model	271
3.8 Observable events in NinjaThreads	276
3.9 The initial configuration	278
3.10 Conformance Relations for Type Soundness Proofs	285
3.11 Semantics of method calls that cannot be defined inside NinjaThreads	291
3.12 Generic Well-formedness of programs	303
3.13 Properties of external calls in well-formed programs	314
3.14 Conformance for threads	330
3.15 Binary Operators	331
3.16 The Ninja Type System as a Semilattice	342
4 NinjaThreads source language	355
4.1 Program State	355
4.2 Expressions	355
4.3 Abstract heap locales for source code programs	364
4.4 Small Step Semantics	366
4.5 Weak well-formedness of Ninja programs	381
4.6 Well-typedness of Ninja expressions	381
4.7 Definite assignment	389
4.8 Well-formedness Constraints	392
4.9 The source language as an instance of the framework	393
4.10 Runtime Well-typedness	408
4.11 Progress of Small Step Semantics	413
4.12 Preservation of definite assignment	433
4.13 Type Safety Proof	434
4.14 Progress and type safety theorem for the multithreaded system	451
4.15 Preservation of Deadlock	464
4.16 Program annotation	472
5 Ninja Virtual Machine	481
5.1 State of the JVM	481
5.2 Instructions of the JVM	482
5.3 Abstract heap locales for byte code programs	484
5.4 JVM Instruction Semantics	487
5.5 Exception handling in the JVM	491
5.6 Program Execution in the JVM	491
5.7 A Defensive JVM	493
5.8 Instantiating the framework semantics with the JVM	498

6 Bytecode verifier	505
6.1 The JVM Type System as Semilattice	505
6.2 Effect of Instructions on the State Type	509
6.3 The Bytecode Verifier	521
6.4 BV Type Safety Invariant	522
6.5 BV Type Safety Proof	526
6.6 Welltyped Programs produce no Type Errors	560
6.7 Progress result for both of the multithreaded JVMs	560
6.8 Preservation of deadlock for the JVMs	581
6.9 Monotonicity of eff and app	587
6.10 The Typing Framework for the JVM	593
6.11 LBV for the JVM	595
6.12 Kildall for the JVM	597
6.13 Code generation for the byte code verifier	598
7 Compilation	601
7.1 Method calls in expressions	601
7.2 The NinjaThreads source language with explicit call stacks	604
7.3 Bisimulation proof for between source code small step semantics with and without callstacks for single threads	624
7.4 The intermediate language J1	641
7.5 Abstract heap locales for J1 programs	647
7.6 Semantics of the intermediate language	649
7.7 Deadlock perservation for the intermediate language	674
7.8 Program Compilation	678
7.9 Compilation Stage 2	685
7.10 Various Operations for Exception Tables	689
7.11 Type rules for the intermediate language	694
7.12 Well-Formedness of Intermediate Language	699
7.13 Preservation of Well-Typedness in Stage 2	700
7.14 Unobservable steps for the JVM	728
7.15 JVM Semantics for the delay bisimulation proof from intermediate language to byte code	739
7.16 The delay bisimulation between intermediate language and JVM	783
7.17 Correctness of Stage: From intermediate language to JVM	898
7.18 Correctness of Stage 2: From JVM to intermediate language	1011
7.19 Correctness of Stage 2: The multithreaded setting	1099
7.20 Indexing variables in variable lists	1109
7.21 Compilation Stage 1	1112
7.22 The bisimulation relation betwenn source and intermediate language	1118
7.23 Unlocking a sync block never fails	1134
7.24 Semantic Correctness of Stage 1	1149
7.25 Preservation of well-formedness from source code to intermedate language	1197
7.26 Correctness of both stages	1206

8 Memory Models	1217
8.1 Sequential consistency	1218
8.2 Sequential consistency with efficient data structures	1228
8.3 Orders as predicates	1239
8.4 Axiomatic specification of the JMM	1250
8.5 The data race free guarantee of the JMM	1289
8.6 Sequentially consistent executions are legal	1296
8.7 Non-speculative prefixes of executions	1304
8.8 Sequentially consistent completion of executions in the JMM	1313
8.9 Happens-before consistent completion of executions in the JMM	1339
8.10 Locales for heap operations with set of allocated addresses	1353
8.11 Combination of locales for heap operations and interleaving	1358
8.12 Type-safety proof for the Java memory model	1426
8.13 JMM Instantiation with Ninja – common parts	1444
8.14 JMM Instantiation for J	1465
8.15 JMM Instantiation for bytecode	1487
8.16 JMM heap implementation 1	1509
8.17 Compiler correctness for the JMM	1516
8.18 JMM heap implementation 2	1522
8.19 Specialize type safety for JMM heap implementation 2	1531
8.20 JMM type safety for source code	1537
8.21 JMM type safety for bytecode	1547
8.22 Compiler correctness for JMM heap implementation 2	1557
9 Schedulers	1561
9.1 Refinement for multithreaded states	1561
9.2 Abstract scheduler	1562
9.3 Random scheduler	1586
9.4 Round robin scheduler	1590
9.5 Tabulation for lookup functions	1609
9.6 Executable semantics for J	1620
9.7 Executable semantics for the JVM	1622
9.8 An optimized JVM	1625
9.9 Code generator setup	1644
9.10 String representation of types	1654
9.11 Setup for converter Java2Ninja	1660
10 Examples	1663
10.1 Apprentice challenge	1663
10.2 Buffer example	1665

Chapter 1

The generic multithreaded semantics

1.1 State of the multithreaded semantics

```
theory FWState
imports
  ..../Basic/Auxiliary
begin

datatype lock-action =
  Lock
| Unlock
| UnlockFail
| ReleaseAcquire

datatype ('t,'x,'m) new-thread-action =
  NewThread 't 'x 'm
| ThreadExists 't bool

datatype 't conditional-action =
  Join 't
| Yield

datatype ('t, 'w) wait-set-action =
  Suspend 'w
| Notify 'w
| NotifyAll 'w
| WakeUp 't
| Notified
| WokenUp

datatype 't interrupt-action
  = IsInterrupted 't bool
  | Interrupt 't
  | ClearInterrupt 't

type-synonym 'l lock-actions = 'l ⇒ f lock-action list
```

translations

$$(type) \text{ } 'l \text{ lock-actions} <= (type) \text{ } 'l \Rightarrow f \text{ lock-action list}$$
type-synonym

$$('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) \text{ thread-action} = \\ 'l \text{ lock-actions} \times ('t,'x,'m) \text{ new-thread-action list} \times \\ 't \text{ conditional-action list} \times ('t, 'w) \text{ wait-set-action list} \times \\ 't \text{ interrupt-action list} \times 'o \text{ list}$$
print-translation ‹

```

let
  fun tr'
    [Const (@{type-syntax finfun}, -) $ l $]
    (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax lock-action}, -)),
    Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
    (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax new-thread-action}, -) $ t1 $ x $ m)) $
    (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax conditional-action}, -) $ t2)) $
    (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax wait-set-action}, -) $ t3 $ w)) $
    (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax interrupt-action}, -) $ t4)) $
    (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ o1))) =
  if t1 = t2 andalso t2 = t3 andalso t3 = t4 then Syntax.const @{type-syntax thread-action} $ l $
  t1 $ x $ m $ w $ o1
  else raise Match;
  in [(@{type-syntax prod}, K tr')]
```

end

›

typ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action

definition locks-a :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action \Rightarrow 'l lock-actions ($\{\cdot\}_l [0] 1000$) **where**
 $locks-a \equiv fst$

definition thr-a :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action \Rightarrow ('t,'x,'m) new-thread-action list ($\{\cdot\}_t [0] 1000$) **where**
 $thr-a \equiv fst o snd$

definition cond-a :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action \Rightarrow 't conditional-action list ($\{\cdot\}_c [0] 1000$) **where**
 $cond-a = fst o snd o snd$

definition wset-a :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action \Rightarrow ('t, 'w) wait-set-action list ($\{\cdot\}_w [0] 1000$) **where**
 $wset-a = fst o snd o snd o snd$

definition interrupt-a :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action \Rightarrow 't interrupt-action list ($\{\cdot\}_i [0] 1000$) **where**
 $interrupt-a = fst o snd o snd o snd o snd$

definition obs-a :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action \Rightarrow 'o list ($\{\cdot\}_o [0] 1000$) **where**
 $obs-a \equiv snd o snd o snd o snd o snd$

lemma locks-a-conv [simp]: locks-a (ls, ntsjswss) = ls

```

by(simp add:locks-a-def)

lemma thr-a-conv [simp]: thr-a (ls, nts, jswss) = nts
by(simp add: thr-a-def)

lemma cond-a-conv [simp]: cond-a (ls, nts, js, wws) = js
by(simp add: cond-a-def)

lemma wset-a-conv [simp]: wset-a (ls, nts, js, wss, isobs) = wss
by(simp add: wset-a-def)

lemma interrupt-a-conv [simp]: interrupt-a (ls, nts, js, ws, is, obs) = is
by(simp add: interrupt-a-def)

lemma obs-a-conv [simp]: obs-a (ls, nts, js, wss, is, obs) = obs
by(simp add: obs-a-def)

fun ta-update-locks :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  lock-action  $\Rightarrow$  'l  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action
where
  ta-update-locks (ls, nts, js, wss, obs) lta l = (ls(l $:= ls $ l @ [lta]), nts, js, wss, obs)

fun ta-update-NewThread :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('t,'x,'m) new-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o)
thread-action where
  ta-update-NewThread (ls, nts, js, wss, is, obs) nt = (ls, nts @ [nt], js, wss, is, obs)

fun ta-update-Conditional :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  't conditional-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o)
thread-action
where
  ta-update-Conditional (ls, nts, js, wss, is, obs) j = (ls, nts, js @ [j], wss, is, obs)

fun ta-update-wait-set :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'w) wait-set-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o)
thread-action where
  ta-update-wait-set (ls, nts, js, wss, is, obs) ws = (ls, nts, js, wss @ [ws], is, obs)

fun ta-update-interrupt :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupt-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o)
thread-action
where
  ta-update-interrupt (ls, nts, js, wss, is, obs) i = (ls, nts, js, wss, is @ [i], obs)

fun ta-update-obs :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'o  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action
where
  ta-update-obs (ls, nts, js, wss, is, obs) ob = (ls, nts, js, wss, is, obs @ [ob])

abbreviation empty-ta :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action where
  empty-ta  $\equiv$  (K$[],[],[],[],[],[])

notation (input) empty-ta ( $\varepsilon$ )

```

Pretty syntax for specifying thread actions: Write $\{ Lock \rightarrow l, Unlock \rightarrow l, Suspend w, Interrupt t \}$ instead of $((K\$[])(l := [Lock, Unlock]), [], [Suspend w], [Interrupt t], [])$.

thread-action' is a type that contains of all basic thread actions. Automatically coerce basic thread actions into that type and then dispatch to the right update function by pattern matching. For coercion, adhoc overloading replaces the generic injection *inject-thread-action* by the specific ones, i.e. constructors. To avoid ambiguities with observable actions, the

observable actions must be of sort *obs-action*, which the basic thread action types are not.

class *obs-action*

```

datatype ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action'
  = LockAction lock-action × 'l
  | NewThreadAction ('t,'x,'m) new-thread-action
  | ConditionalAction 't conditional-action
  | WaitSetAction ('t, 'w) wait-set-action
  | InterruptAction 't interrupt-action
  | ObsAction 'o

setup <
  Sign.add-const-constraint (@{const-name ObsAction}, SOME @{typ 'o :: obs-action ⇒ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o)
  thread-action'})
>

fun thread-action'-to-thread-action :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o :: obs-action) thread-action' ⇒ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action ⇒ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o)
thread-action

where
  thread-action'-to-thread-action (LockAction (la, l)) ta = ta-update-locks ta la l
  | thread-action'-to-thread-action (NewThreadAction nt) ta = ta-update-NewThread ta nt
  | thread-action'-to-thread-action (ConditionalAction ca) ta = ta-update-Conditional ta ca
  | thread-action'-to-thread-action (WaitSetAction wa) ta = ta-update-wait-set ta wa
  | thread-action'-to-thread-action (InterruptAction ia) ta = ta-update-interrupt ta ia
  | thread-action'-to-thread-action (ObsAction ob) ta = ta-update-obs ta ob

consts inject-thread-action :: 'a ⇒ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action'

nonterminal ta-let and ta-lets
syntax
  -ta-snoc :: ta-lets ⇒ ta-let ⇒ ta-lets (-,/ -)
  -ta-block :: ta-lets ⇒ 'a ({-} [0] 1000)
  -ta-empty :: ta-lets ()
  -ta-single :: ta-let ⇒ ta-lets (-)
  -ta-inject :: logic ⇒ ta-let ((-))
  -ta-lock :: logic ⇒ logic ⇒ ta-let (-→-)

translations
  -ta-block -ta-empty == CONST empty-ta
  -ta-block (-ta-single bta) == -ta-block (-ta-snoc -ta-empty bta)
  -ta-inject bta == CONST inject-thread-action bta
  -ta-lock la l == CONST inject-thread-action (CONST Pair la l)
  -ta-block (-ta-snoc btas bta) == CONST thread-action'-to-thread-action bta (-ta-block btas)
```

adhoc-overloading

inject-thread-action *NewThreadAction* *ConditionalAction* *WaitSetAction* *InterruptAction* *ObsAction*
LockAction

lemma *ta-upd-proj-simps* [*simp*]:

shows *ta-obs-proj-simps*:

$$\{ta\text{-update-obs } ta \text{ } obs\}_l = \{ta\}_l \{ta\text{-update-obs } ta \text{ } obs\}_t = \{ta\}_t \{ta\text{-update-obs } ta \text{ } obs\}_w = \{ta\}_w \\ \{ta\text{-update-obs } ta \text{ } obs\}_c = \{ta\}_c \{ta\text{-update-obs } ta \text{ } obs\}_i = \{ta\}_i \{ta\text{-update-obs } ta \text{ } obs\}_o = \{ta\}_o @$$

[*obs*]

and *ta-lock-proj-simps*:

$$\{ta\text{-}update\text{-}locks } ta \; x \; l\}_{\text{l}} = (\text{let } ls = \{ta\}_{\text{l}} \text{ in } ls(l \$:= ls \$ l @ [x]))$$

$$\{ta\text{-}update\text{-}locks } ta \; x \; l\}_{\text{t}} = \{ta\}_{\text{t}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}locks } ta \; x \; l\}_{\text{w}} = \{ta\}_{\text{w}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}locks } ta \; x \; l\}_{\text{c}} = \{ta\}_{\text{c}}$$

$$\{ta\text{-}update\text{-}locks } ta \; x \; l\}_{\text{i}} = \{ta\}_{\text{i}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}locks } ta \; x \; l\}_{\text{o}} = \{ta\}_{\text{o}}$$

and *ta-thread-proj-simps*:

$$\{ta\text{-}update\text{-}NewThread } ta \; t\}_{\text{l}} = \{ta\}_{\text{l}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}NewThread } ta \; t\}_{\text{t}} = \{ta\}_{\text{t}} @ [t] \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}NewThread } ta \; t\}_{\text{w}} = \{ta\}_{\text{w}}$$

$$\{ta\text{-}update\text{-}NewThread } ta \; t\}_{\text{c}} = \{ta\}_{\text{c}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}NewThread } ta \; t\}_{\text{i}} = \{ta\}_{\text{i}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}NewThread } ta \; t\}_{\text{o}} = \{ta\}_{\text{o}}$$

and *ta-wset-proj-simps*:

$$\{ta\text{-}update\text{-}wait\text{-}set } ta \; w\}_{\text{l}} = \{ta\}_{\text{l}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}wait\text{-}set } ta \; w\}_{\text{t}} = \{ta\}_{\text{t}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}wait\text{-}set } ta \; w\}_{\text{w}} = \{ta\}_{\text{w}} @ [w]$$

$$\{ta\text{-}update\text{-}wait\text{-}set } ta \; w\}_{\text{c}} = \{ta\}_{\text{c}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}wait\text{-}set } ta \; w\}_{\text{i}} = \{ta\}_{\text{i}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}wait\text{-}set } ta \; w\}_{\text{o}} = \{ta\}_{\text{o}}$$

and *ta-cond-proj-simps*:

$$\{ta\text{-}update\text{-}Conditional } ta \; c\}_{\text{l}} = \{ta\}_{\text{l}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}Conditional } ta \; c\}_{\text{t}} = \{ta\}_{\text{t}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}Conditional } ta \; c\}_{\text{w}} = \{ta\}_{\text{w}}$$

$$\{ta\text{-}update\text{-}Conditional } ta \; c\}_{\text{c}} = \{ta\}_{\text{c}} @ [c] \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}Conditional } ta \; c\}_{\text{i}} = \{ta\}_{\text{i}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}Conditional } ta \; c\}_{\text{o}} = \{ta\}_{\text{o}}$$

and *ta-interrupt-proj-simps*:

$$\{ta\text{-}update\text{-}interrupt } ta \; i\}_{\text{l}} = \{ta\}_{\text{l}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}interrupt } ta \; i\}_{\text{t}} = \{ta\}_{\text{t}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}interrupt } ta \; i\}_{\text{c}} = \{ta\}_{\text{c}}$$

$$\{ta\text{-}update\text{-}interrupt } ta \; i\}_{\text{w}} = \{ta\}_{\text{w}} \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}interrupt } ta \; i\}_{\text{i}} = \{ta\}_{\text{i}} @ [i] \; \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}interrupt } ta \; i\}_{\text{o}} = \{ta\}_{\text{o}}$$

by(cases *ta*, *simp*)+

lemma *thread-action'-to-thread-action-proj-simps* [*simp*]:

shows *thread-action'-to-thread-action-proj-locks-simps*:

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (LockAction (la, l)) \; ta\}_{\text{l}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}locks } ta \; la \; l\}_{\text{l}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (NewThreadAction nt) \; ta\}_{\text{l}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}NewThread } ta \; nt\}_{\text{l}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (ConditionalAction ca) \; ta\}_{\text{l}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}Conditional } ta \; ca\}_{\text{l}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (WaitSetAction wa) \; ta\}_{\text{l}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}wait\text{-}set } ta \; wa\}_{\text{l}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (InterruptAction ia) \; ta\}_{\text{l}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}interrupt } ta \; ia\}_{\text{l}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (ObsAction ob) \; ta\}_{\text{l}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}obs } ta \; ob\}_{\text{l}}$$

and *thread-action'-to-thread-action-proj-nt-simps*:

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (LockAction (la, l)) \; ta\}_{\text{t}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}locks } ta \; la \; l\}_{\text{t}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (NewThreadAction nt) \; ta\}_{\text{t}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}NewThread } ta \; nt\}_{\text{t}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (ConditionalAction ca) \; ta\}_{\text{t}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}Conditional } ta \; ca\}_{\text{t}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (WaitSetAction wa) \; ta\}_{\text{t}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}wait\text{-}set } ta \; wa\}_{\text{t}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (InterruptAction ia) \; ta\}_{\text{t}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}interrupt } ta \; ia\}_{\text{t}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (ObsAction ob) \; ta\}_{\text{t}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}obs } ta \; ob\}_{\text{t}}$$

and *thread-action'-to-thread-action-proj-cond-simps*:

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (LockAction (la, l)) \; ta\}_{\text{c}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}locks } ta \; la \; l\}_{\text{c}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (NewThreadAction nt) \; ta\}_{\text{c}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}NewThread } ta \; nt\}_{\text{c}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (ConditionalAction ca) \; ta\}_{\text{c}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}Conditional } ta \; ca\}_{\text{c}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (WaitSetAction wa) \; ta\}_{\text{c}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}wait\text{-}set } ta \; wa\}_{\text{c}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (InterruptAction ia) \; ta\}_{\text{c}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}interrupt } ta \; ia\}_{\text{c}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (ObsAction ob) \; ta\}_{\text{c}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}obs } ta \; ob\}_{\text{c}}$$

and *thread-action'-to-thread-action-proj-wset-simps*:

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (LockAction (la, l)) \; ta\}_{\text{w}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}locks } ta \; la \; l\}_{\text{w}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (NewThreadAction nt) \; ta\}_{\text{w}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}NewThread } ta \; nt\}_{\text{w}}$$

$$\{thread\text{-}action'\text{-}to\text{-}thread\text{-}action } (ConditionalAction ca) \; ta\}_{\text{w}} = \{ta\text{-}update\text{-}Conditional } ta \; ca\}_{\text{w}}$$

```

{thread-action'-to-thread-action (WaitSetAction wa) ta}w = {ta-update-wait-set ta wa}w
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (InterruptAction ia) ta}w = {ta-update-interrupt ta ia}w
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (ObsAction ob) ta}w = {ta-update-obs ta ob}w
and thread-action'-to-thread-action-proj-interrupt-simps:
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (LockAction (la, l)) ta}i = {ta-update-locks ta la l}i
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (NewThreadAction nt) ta}i = {ta-update-NewThread ta nt}i
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (ConditionalAction ca) ta}i = {ta-update-Conditional ta ca}i
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (WaitSetAction wa) ta}i = {ta-update-wait-set ta wa}i
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (InterruptAction ia) ta}i = {ta-update-interrupt ta ia}i
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (ObsAction ob) ta}i = {ta-update-obs ta ob}i
and thread-action'-to-thread-action-proj-obs-simps:
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (LockAction (la, l)) ta}o = {ta-update-locks ta la l}o
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (NewThreadAction nt) ta}o = {ta-update-NewThread ta nt}o
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (ConditionalAction ca) ta}o = {ta-update-Conditional ta ca}o
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (WaitSetAction wa) ta}o = {ta-update-wait-set ta wa}o
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (InterruptAction ia) ta}o = {ta-update-interrupt ta ia}o
{thread-action'-to-thread-action (ObsAction ob) ta}o = {ta-update-obs ta ob}o
by(simp-all)

lemmas ta-upd-simps =
  ta-update-locks.simps ta-update-NewThread.simps ta-update-Conditional.simps
  ta-update-wait-set.simps ta-update-interrupt.simps ta-update-obs.simps
  thread-action'-to-thread-action.simps

declare ta-upd-simps [simp del]

hide-const (open)
  LockAction NewThreadAction ConditionalAction WaitSetAction InterruptAction ObsAction
  thread-action'-to-thread-action

hide-type (open) thread-action'

datatype wake-up-status =
  WSNotified
  | WSWokenUp

datatype 'w wait-set-status =
  InWS 'w
  | PostWS wake-up-status

type-synonym 't lock = ('t × nat) option
type-synonym ('l, 't) locks = 'l ⇒f 't lock
type-synonym 'l released-locks = 'l ⇒f nat
type-synonym ('l, 't, 'x) thread-info = 't → ('x × 'l released-locks)
type-synonym ('w, 't) wait-sets = 't → 'w wait-set-status
type-synonym 't interrupts = 't set
type-synonym ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) state = ('l, 't) locks × (('l, 't, 'x) thread-info × 'm) × ('w, 't) wait-sets
  × 't interrupts

translations
  (type) ('l, 't) locks <= (type) 'l ⇒f ('t × nat) option
  (type) ('l, 't, 'x) thread-info <= (type) 't → ('x × ('l ⇒f nat))

print-translation ‹

```

```

let
  fun tr'
    [Const (@{type-syntax finfun}, -) $ l1 $
     (Const (@{type-syntax option}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ t1 $ Const (@{type-syntax nat}, -))), 
      Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax fun}, -) $ t2 $ (Const (@{type-syntax option}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ x $ (Const (@{type-syntax finfun}, -) $ l2 $ Const (@{type-syntax nat}, -))))))) $ m) $ 
     (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax fun}, -) $ t3 $ (Const (@{type-syntax option}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax wait-set-status}, -) $ w)))) $ 
     (Const (@{type-syntax fun}, -) $ t4 $ (Const (@{type-syntax bool}, -)))))] =
  if t1 = t2 andalso t1 = t3 andalso t1 = t4 andalso l1 = l2
  then Syntax.const @{type-syntax state} $ l1 $ t1 $ x $ m $ w
  else raise Match;
in [(@{type-syntax prod}, K tr')]
end
>
typ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state

```

abbreviation no-wait-locks :: '*l* \Rightarrow *nat*
where no-wait-locks \equiv (K\$ 0)

lemma neq-no-wait-locks-conv:
 $\wedge ln. ln \neq no\text{-}wait\text{-}locks \longleftrightarrow (\exists l. ln \$ l > 0)$
by(auto simp add: expand-finfun-eq fun-eq-iff)

lemma neq-no-wait-locksE:
 fixes *ln* **assumes** *ln* \neq no-wait-locks **obtains** *l* **where** *ln* $\$$ *l* $>$ 0
using assms
by(auto simp add: neq-no-wait-locks-conv)

Use type variables for components instead of ('*l*, '*t*, '*x*, '*m*, '*w*) state in types for state projections to allow to reuse them for refined state implementations for code generation.

definition locks :: ('locks \times ('thread-info \times 'm) \times 'wsets \times 'interrupts) \Rightarrow 'locks **where**
 locks lstsmws \equiv fst lstsmws

definition thr :: ('locks \times ('thread-info \times 'm) \times 'wsets \times 'interrupts) \Rightarrow 'thread-info **where**
 thr lstsmws \equiv fst (fst lstsmws))

definition shr :: ('locks \times ('thread-info \times 'm) \times 'wsets \times 'interrupts) \Rightarrow 'm **where**
 shr lstsmws \equiv snd (fst lstsmws))

definition wset :: ('locks \times ('thread-info \times 'm) \times 'wsets \times 'interrupts) \Rightarrow 'wsets **where**
 wset lstsmws \equiv fst (snd lstsmws))

definition interrupts :: ('locks \times ('thread-info \times 'm) \times 'wsets \times 'interrupts) \Rightarrow 'interrupts **where**
 interrupts lstsmws \equiv snd (snd lstsmws))

```

lemma locks-conv [simp]: locks (ls, tsmws) = ls
by(simp add: locks-def)

lemma thr-conv [simp]: thr (ls, (ts, m), ws) = ts
by(simp add: thr-def)

lemma shr-conv [simp]: shr (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) = m
by(simp add: shr-def)

lemma wset-conv [simp]: wset (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) = ws
by(simp add: wset-def)

lemma interrupts-conv [simp]: interrupts (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) = is
by(simp add: interrupts-def)

primrec convert-new-thread-action :: ('x => 'x') => ('t,'x,'m) new-thread-action => ('t,'x','m) new-thread-action
where
  convert-new-thread-action f (NewThread t x m) = NewThread t (f x) m
  | convert-new-thread-action f (ThreadExists t b) = ThreadExists t b

lemma convert-new-thread-action-inv [simp]:
  NewThread t x h = convert-new-thread-action f nta <=> ( $\exists x'$ . nta = NewThread t x' h  $\wedge$  x = f x')
  ThreadExists t b = convert-new-thread-action f nta <=> nta = ThreadExists t b
  convert-new-thread-action f nta = NewThread t x h <=> ( $\exists x'$ . nta = NewThread t x' h  $\wedge$  x = f x')
  convert-new-thread-action f nta = ThreadExists t b <=> nta = ThreadExists t b
by(cases nta, auto)+

lemma convert-new-thread-action-eqI:
   $\llbracket \wedge t x m. nta = NewThread t x m \implies nta' = NewThread t (f x) m;$ 
   $\wedge t b. nta = ThreadExists t b \implies nta' = ThreadExists t b \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies convert-new-thread-action f nta = nta'$ 
apply(cases nta)
apply fastforce+
done

lemma convert-new-thread-action-compose [simp]:
  convert-new-thread-action f (convert-new-thread-action g ta) = convert-new-thread-action (f o g) ta
apply(cases ta)
apply(simp-all add: convert-new-thread-action-def)
done

lemma inj-convert-new-thread-action [simp]:
  inj (convert-new-thread-action f) = inj f
apply(rule iffI)
apply(rule injI)
apply(drule-tac x=NewThread undefined x undefined in injD)
apply auto[2]
apply(rule injI)
apply(case-tac x)
apply(auto dest: injD)
done

lemma convert-new-thread-action-id:
  convert-new-thread-action id = (id :: ('t, 'x, 'm) new-thread-action => ('t, 'x, 'm) new-thread-action)

```

```

(is ?thesis1)
  convert-new-thread-action ( $\lambda x. x$ ) = ( $id :: ('t, 'x, 'm)$  new-thread-action  $\Rightarrow ('t, 'x, 'm)$  new-thread-action)
(is ?thesis2)
proof -
  show ?thesis1 by(rule ext)(case-tac x, simp-all)
  thus ?thesis2 by(simp add: id-def)
qed

definition convert-extTA :: ('x  $\Rightarrow$  'x')  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 't, 'x', 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action
where convert-extTA f ta = ( $\{ta\}_l$ , map (convert-new-thread-action f)  $\{ta\}_t$ , snd (snd ta))

lemma convert-extTA-simps [simp]:
  convert-extTA f  $\varepsilon$  =  $\varepsilon$ 
   $\{convert\text{-}extTA f ta\}_l = \{ta\}_l$ 
   $\{convert\text{-}extTA f ta\}_t = map (convert\text{-}new\text{-}thread\text{-}action f) \{ta\}_t$ 
   $\{convert\text{-}extTA f ta\}_c = \{ta\}_c$ 
   $\{convert\text{-}extTA f ta\}_w = \{ta\}_w$ 
   $\{convert\text{-}extTA f ta\}_i = \{ta\}_i$ 
  convert-extTA f (las, tas, was, cas, is, obs) = (las, map (convert-new-thread-action f) tas, was, cas, is, obs)
apply(simp-all add: convert-extTA-def)
apply(cases ta, simp)+
done

lemma convert-extTA-eq-conv:
  convert-extTA f ta = ta'  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $\{ta\}_l = \{ta'\}_l \wedge \{ta\}_c = \{ta'\}_c \wedge \{ta\}_w = \{ta'\}_w \wedge \{ta\}_o = \{ta'\}_o \wedge \{ta\}_i = \{ta'\}_i \wedge length$ 
 $\{ta\}_t = length \{ta'\}_t \wedge$ 
 $(\forall n < length \{ta\}_t. convert\text{-}new\text{-}thread\text{-}action f (\{ta\}_t ! n) = \{ta'\}_t ! n)$ 
apply(cases ta, cases ta')
apply(auto simp add: convert-extTA-def map-eq-all-nth-conv)
done

lemma convert-extTA-compose [simp]:
  convert-extTA f (convert-extTA g ta) = convert-extTA (f o g) ta
by(simp add: convert-extTA-def)

lemma obs-a-convert-extTA [simp]: obs-a (convert-extTA f ta) = obs-a ta
by(cases ta) simp

Actions for thread start/finish

datatype 'o action =
  NormalAction 'o
  | InitialThreadAction
  | ThreadFinishAction

instance action :: (type) obs-action
proof qed

definition convert-obs-initial :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o action) thread-action
where
  convert-obs-initial ta = ( $\{ta\}_l$ ,  $\{ta\}_t$ ,  $\{ta\}_c$ ,  $\{ta\}_w$ ,  $\{ta\}_i$ , map NormalAction  $\{ta\}_o$ )

lemma inj-NormalAction [simp]: inj NormalAction

```

```

by(rule injI) auto

lemma convert-obs-initial-inject [simp]:
  convert-obs-initial ta = convert-obs-initial ta'  $\longleftrightarrow$  ta = ta'
by(cases ta)(cases ta', auto simp add: convert-obs-initial-def)

lemma convert-obs-initial-empty-TA [simp]:
  convert-obs-initial ε = ε
by(simp add: convert-obs-initial-def)

lemma convert-obs-initial-eq-empty-TA [simp]:
  convert-obs-initial ta = ε  $\longleftrightarrow$  ta = ε
  ε = convert-obs-initial ta  $\longleftrightarrow$  ta = ε
by(case-tac [|] ta)(auto simp add: convert-obs-initial-def)

lemma convert-obs-initial-simps [simp]:
  {convert-obs-initial ta}_o = map NormalAction {ta}_o
  {convert-obs-initial ta}_l = {ta}_l
  {convert-obs-initial ta}_t = {ta}_t
  {convert-obs-initial ta}_c = {ta}_c
  {convert-obs-initial ta}_w = {ta}_w
  {convert-obs-initial ta}_i = {ta}_i
by(simp-all add: convert-obs-initial-def)

type-synonym
('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics =
  't  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\times$  'm  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\times$  'm  $\Rightarrow$  bool

print-translation ‹
let
  fun tr'
    [t4,
      Const (@{type-syntax fun}, -) $
      (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ x1 $ m1) $
      (Const (@{type-syntax fun}, -) $
        (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
          (Const (@{type-syntax finfun}, -) $ l $
            (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax lock-action}, -))) $
          (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
            (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax new-thread-action}, -) $ t1 $ x2
$ m2)) $
            (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
              (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax conditional-action}, -) $ t2)) $
              (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
                (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax wait-set-action}, -) $ t3 $ w)))
$ )
              (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
                (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax interrupt-action}, -) $ t5))
$ )
              (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ o1)))))) $
      (Const (@{type-syntax fun}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ x3 $ m3) $
        Const (@{type-syntax bool}, -)))]
      =
if x1 = x2 andalso x1 = x3 andalso m1 = m2 andalso m1 = m3

```

```

andalso t1 = t2 andalso t2 = t3 andalso t3 = t4 andalso t4 = t5
then Syntax.const @{type-syntax semantics} $ l $ t1 $ x1 $ m1 $ w $ o1
else raise Match;
in [(@{type-syntax fun}, K tr')]
end
>
typ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics
end

```

1.2 All about a managing a single lock

```

theory FWLock
imports
FWState
begin

fun has-locks :: 't lock ⇒ 't ⇒ nat where
  has-locks None t = 0
| has-locks [(t', n)] t = (if t = t' then Suc n else 0)

lemma has-locks-iff:
  has-locks l t = n ↔
  (l = None ∧ n = 0) ∨
  (∃ n'. l = [(t, n')] ∧ Suc n' = n) ∨ (∃ t' n'. l = [(t', n')] ∧ t' ≠ t ∧ n = 0)
by(cases l, auto)

lemma has-locksE:
  ⟦ has-locks l t = n;
  ⟦ l = None; n = 0 ⟧ ⟹ P;
  ∨ n'. ⟦ l = [(t, n')]; Suc n' = n ⟧ ⟹ P;
  ∨ t' n'. ⟦ l = [(t', n')]; t' ≠ t; n = 0 ⟧ ⟹ P ⟧
  ⟹ P
by(auto simp add: has-locks-iff split: if-split-asm prod.split-asm)

inductive may-lock :: 't lock ⇒ 't ⇒ bool where
  may-lock None t
| may-lock [(t, n)] t

lemma may-lock-iff [code]:
  may-lock l t = (case l of None ⇒ True | [(t', n)] ⇒ t = t')
by(auto intro: may-lock.intros elim: may-lock.cases)

lemma may-lockI:
  l = None ∨ (∃ n. l = [(t, n)]) ⟹ may-lock l t
by(cases l, auto intro: may-lock.intros)

lemma may-lockE [consumes 1, case-names None Locked]:
  ⟦ may-lock l t; l = None ⟹ P; ∨ n. l = [(t, n)] ⟹ P ⟧ ⟹ P
by(auto elim: may-lock.cases)

lemma may-lock-may-lock-t-eq:

```

```

 $\llbracket \text{may-lock } l \ t; \text{may-lock } l \ t' \rrbracket \implies (l = \text{None}) \vee (t = t')$ 
by(auto elim!: may-lockE)

abbreviation has-lock :: 't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where has-lock l t  $\equiv$  0 < has-locks l t

lemma has-locks-Suc-has-lock:
  has-locks l t = Suc n  $\implies$  has-lock l t
by(auto)

lemmas has-lock-has-locks-Suc = gr0-implies-Suc[where n = has-locks l t] for l t

lemma has-lock-has-locks-conv:
  has-lock l t  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  n. has-locks l t = (Suc n))
by(auto intro: has-locks-Suc-has-lock has-lock-has-locks-Suc)

lemma has-lock-may-lock:
  has-lock l t  $\implies$  may-lock l t
by(cases l, auto intro: may-lockI)

lemma has-lock-may-lock-t-eq:
   $\llbracket \text{has-lock } l \ t; \text{may-lock } l \ t' \rrbracket \implies t = t'$ 
by(auto elim!: may-lockE split: if-split-asm)

lemma has-locks-has-locks-t-eq:
   $\llbracket \text{has-locks } l \ t = \text{Suc } n; \text{has-locks } l \ t' = \text{Suc } n' \rrbracket \implies t = t'$ 
by(auto elim: has-locksE)

lemma has-lock-has-lock-t-eq:
   $\llbracket \text{has-lock } l \ t; \text{has-lock } l \ t' \rrbracket \implies t = t'$ 
unfolding has-lock-has-locks-conv
by(auto intro: has-locks-has-locks-t-eq)

lemma not-may-lock-conv:
   $\neg \text{may-lock } l \ t \longleftrightarrow (\exists t'. t' \neq t \wedge \text{has-lock } l \ t')$ 
by(cases l, auto intro: may-lock.intros elim: may-lockE)

fun lock-lock :: 't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't lock where
  lock-lock None t =  $\lfloor (t, 0) \rfloor$ 
  | lock-lock  $\lfloor (t', n) \rfloor$  t =  $\lfloor (t', \text{Suc } n) \rfloor$ 

fun unlock-lock :: 't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't lock where
  unlock-lock None = None
  | unlock-lock  $\lfloor (t, n) \rfloor$  = (case n of 0  $\Rightarrow$  None | Suc n'  $\Rightarrow$   $\lfloor (t, n') \rfloor$ )

fun release-all :: 't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't lock where
  release-all None t = None
  | release-all  $\lfloor (t', n) \rfloor$  t = (if t = t' then None else  $\lfloor (t', n) \rfloor$ )

fun acquire-locks :: 't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  't lock where

```

```

acquire-locks L t 0 = L
| acquire-locks L t (Suc m) = acquire-locks (lock-lock L t) t m

```

lemma *acquire-locks-conv*:

```

acquire-locks L t n = (case L of None ⇒ (case n of 0 ⇒ None | Suc m ⇒ [(t, m)]) | [(t', m)] ⇒
[(t', n + m)])

```

by(*induct n arbitrary: L*)*(auto)*

lemma *lock-lock-ls-Some*:

```

∃ t' n. lock-lock l t = [(t', n)]

```

by(*cases l, auto*)

lemma *unlock-lock-SomeD*:

```

unlock-lock l = [(t', n)] ⇒ l = [(t', Suc n)]

```

by(*cases l, auto split: nat.split-asm*)

lemma *has-locks-Suc-lock-lock-has-locks-Suc-Suc*:

```

has-locks l t = Suc n ⇒ has-locks (lock-lock l t) t = Suc (Suc n)

```

by(*auto elim!: has-locksE*)

lemma *has-locks-lock-lock-conv [simp]*:

```

may-lock l t ⇒ has-locks (lock-lock l t) t = Suc (has-locks l t)

```

by(*auto elim: may-lockE*)

lemma *has-locks-release-all-conv [simp]*:

```

has-locks (release-all l t) t = 0

```

by(*cases l, auto split: if-split-asm*)

lemma *may-lock-lock-lock-conv [simp]: may-lock (lock-lock l t) t = may-lock l t*

by(*cases l, auto elim!: may-lock.cases intro: may-lock.intros*)

lemma *has-locks-acquire-locks-conv [simp]*:

```

may-lock l t ⇒ has-locks (acquire-locks l t n) t = has-locks l t + n

```

by(*induct n arbitrary: l, auto*)

lemma *may-lock-unlock-lock-conv [simp]*:

```

has-lock l t ⇒ may-lock (unlock-lock l) t = may-lock l t

```

by(*cases l, auto split: if-split-asm nat.splits elim!: may-lock.cases intro: may-lock.intros*)

lemma *may-lock-release-all-conv [simp]*:

```

may-lock (release-all l t) t = may-lock l t

```

by(*cases l, auto split: if-split-asm intro!: may-lockI elim: may-lockE*)

lemma *may-lock-t-may-lock-unlock-lock-t*:

```

may-lock l t ⇒ may-lock (unlock-lock l) t

```

by(*auto intro: may-lock.intros elim!: may-lockE split: nat.split*)

lemma *may-lock-has-locks-lock-lock-0*:

```

[may-lock l t'; t ≠ t'] ⇒ has-locks (lock-lock l t') t = 0

```

by(*auto elim!: may-lock.cases*)

lemma *has-locks-unlock-lock-conv [simp]*:

```

has-lock l t ⇒ has-locks (unlock-lock l) t = has-locks l t - 1

```

```

by(cases l)(auto split: nat.split)

lemma has-lock-lock-lock-unlock-lock-id [simp]:
  has-lock l t  $\implies$  lock-lock (unlock-lock l) t = l
by(cases l)(auto split: if-split-asm nat.split)

lemma may-lock-unlock-lock-lock-lock-id [simp]:
  may-lock l t  $\implies$  unlock-lock (lock-lock l t) = l
by(cases l) auto

lemma may-lock-has-locks-0:
   $\llbracket \text{may-lock } l \; t; \; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies \text{has-locks } l \; t' = 0$ 
by(auto elim!: may-lockE)

fun upd-lock :: 't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  lock-action  $\Rightarrow$  't lock
where
  | upd-lock l t Lock = lock-lock l t
  | upd-lock l t Unlock = unlock-lock l
  | upd-lock l t UnlockFail = l
  | upd-lock l t ReleaseAcquire = release-all l t

fun upd-locks :: 't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  lock-action list  $\Rightarrow$  't lock
where
  | upd-locks l t [] = l
  | upd-locks l t (L # Ls) = upd-locks (upd-lock l t L) t Ls

lemma upd-locks-append [simp]:
  upd-locks l t (Ls @ Ls') = upd-locks (upd-locks l t Ls) t Ls'
by(induct Ls arbitrary: l, auto)

lemma upd-lock-Some-thread-idD:
  assumes ul: upd-lock l t L =  $\lfloor (t', n) \rfloor$ 
  and tt': t  $\neq$  t'
  shows  $\exists n. \; l = \lfloor (t', n) \rfloor$ 
proof(cases L)
  case Lock
  with ul tt' show ?thesis
    by(cases l, auto)
next
  case Unlock
  with ul tt' show ?thesis
    by(auto dest: unlock-lock-SomeD)
next
  case UnlockFail
  with ul show ?thesis by(simp)
next
  case ReleaseAcquire
  with ul show ?thesis
    by(cases l, auto split: if-split-asm)
qed

```

```

lemma has-lock-upd-lock-implies-has-lock:
   $\llbracket \text{has-lock} (\text{upd-lock } l t L) t'; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies \text{has-lock } l t'$ 
by(cases l L rule: option.exhaust[case-product lock-action.exhaust])(auto split: if-split-asm nat.split-asm)

lemma has-lock-upd-locks-implies-has-lock:
   $\llbracket \text{has-lock} (\text{upd-locks } l t Ls) t'; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies \text{has-lock } l t'$ 
by(induct l t Ls rule: upd-locks.induct)(auto intro: has-lock-upd-lock-implies-has-lock)

fun lock-action-ok :: 't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  lock-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  lock-action-ok l t Lock = may-lock l t
  | lock-action-ok l t Unlock = has-lock l t
  | lock-action-ok l t UnlockFail = ( $\neg$  has-lock l t)
  | lock-action-ok l t ReleaseAcquire = True

fun lock-actions-ok :: 't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  lock-action list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  lock-actions-ok l t [] = True
  | lock-actions-ok l t (L # Ls) = (lock-action-ok l t L  $\wedge$  lock-actions-ok (upd-lock l t L) t Ls)

lemma lock-actions-ok-append [simp]:
  lock-actions-ok l t (Ls @ Ls')  $\longleftrightarrow$  lock-actions-ok l t Ls  $\wedge$  lock-actions-ok (upd-locks l t Ls) t Ls'
by(induct Ls arbitrary: l) auto

lemma not-lock-action-oke [consumes 1, case-names Lock Unlock UnlockFail]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \neg \text{lock-action-ok } l t L; \\ & \quad \llbracket L = \text{Lock}; \neg \text{may-lock } l t \rrbracket \implies Q; \\ & \quad \llbracket L = \text{Unlock}; \neg \text{has-lock } l t \rrbracket \implies Q; \\ & \quad \llbracket L = \text{UnlockFail}; \text{has-lock } l t \rrbracket \implies Q \rrbracket \\ & \implies Q \end{aligned}$$

by(cases L) auto

lemma may-lock-upd-lock-conv [simp]:
  lock-action-ok l t L  $\implies$  may-lock (upd-lock l t L) t = may-lock l t
by(cases L) auto

lemma may-lock-upd-locks-conv [simp]:
  lock-actions-ok l t Ls  $\implies$  may-lock (upd-locks l t Ls) t = may-lock l t
by(induct l t Ls rule: upd-locks.induct) simp-all

lemma lock-actions-ok-Lock-may-lock:
   $\llbracket \text{lock-actions-ok } l t Ls; \text{Lock} \in \text{set } Ls \rrbracket \implies \text{may-lock } l t$ 
by(induct l t Ls rule: lock-actions-ok.induct) auto

lemma has-locks-lock-lock-conv' [simp]:
   $\llbracket \text{may-lock } l t'; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies \text{has-locks} (\text{lock-lock } l t') t = \text{has-locks } l t$ 
by(cases l)(auto elim: may-lock.cases)

lemma has-locks-unlock-lock-conv' [simp]:
   $\llbracket \text{has-lock } l t'; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies \text{has-locks} (\text{unlock-lock } l) t = \text{has-locks } l t$ 
by(cases l)(auto split: if-split-asm nat.split)

lemma has-locks-release-all-conv' [simp]:
   $t \neq t' \implies \text{has-locks} (\text{release-all } l t') t = \text{has-locks } l t$ 

```

```

by(cases l) auto

lemma has-locks-acquire-locks-conv' [simp]:
   $\llbracket \text{may-lock } l \ t; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies \text{has-locks} (\text{acquire-locks } l \ t \ n) \ t' = \text{has-locks} \ l \ t'$ 
by(induct l t n rule: acquire-locks.induct) simp-all

lemma lock-action-ok-has-locks-upd-lock-eq-has-locks [simp]:
   $\llbracket \text{lock-action-ok } l \ t' \ L; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies \text{has-locks} (\text{upd-lock } l \ t' \ L) \ t = \text{has-locks} \ l \ t$ 
by(cases L) auto

lemma lock-actions-ok-has-locks-upd-locks-eq-has-locks [simp]:
   $\llbracket \text{lock-actions-ok } l \ t' \ Ls; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies \text{has-locks} (\text{upd-locks } l \ t' \ Ls) \ t = \text{has-locks} \ l \ t$ 
by(induct l t' Ls rule: upd-locks.induct) simp-all

lemma has-lock-acquire-locks-implies-has-lock:
   $\llbracket \text{has-lock} (\text{acquire-locks } l \ t \ n) \ t'; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies \text{has-lock} \ l \ t'$ 
unfolding acquire-locks-conv
by(cases n)(auto split: if-split-asm)

lemma has-lock-has-lock-acquire-locks:
   $\text{has-lock} \ l \ T \implies \text{has-lock} (\text{acquire-locks } l \ t \ n) \ T$ 
unfolding acquire-locks-conv
by(auto)

fun lock-actions-ok' :: 't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  lock-action list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  lock-actions-ok' l t [] = True
  | lock-actions-ok' l t (L#Ls) = ((L = Lock  $\wedge$   $\neg$  may-lock l t)  $\vee$ 
    lock-action-ok l t L  $\wedge$  lock-actions-ok' (upd-lock l t L) t Ls)

lemma lock-actions-ok'-iff:
  lock-actions-ok' l t las  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  lock-actions-ok l t las  $\vee$  ( $\exists$  xs ys. las = xs @ Lock # ys  $\wedge$  lock-actions-ok l t xs  $\wedge$   $\neg$  may-lock (upd-locks l t xs) t)
proof(induct l t las rule: lock-actions-ok.induct)
  case (2 L t LA LAS)
  show ?case
  proof(cases LA = Lock  $\wedge$   $\neg$  may-lock L t)
    case True
    hence ( $\exists$  ys. Lock # LAS = [] @ Lock # ys)  $\wedge$  lock-actions-ok L t []  $\wedge$   $\neg$  may-lock (upd-locks L t [])
    by(simp)
    with True show ?thesis by(simp (no-asm))(blast)
  next
    case False
    with 2 show ?thesis
    by(fastforce simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv elim: allE[where x=LA # xs for xs])
  qed
qed simp

lemma lock-actions-ok'E[consumes 1, case-names ok Lock]:
   $\llbracket \text{lock-actions-ok'} \ l \ t \ las; \text{lock-actions-ok} \ l \ t \ las \implies P;$ 
   $\wedge_{xs \ ys.} [\ las = xs @ Lock \ # \ ys; \text{lock-actions-ok} \ l \ t \ xs; \neg \text{may-lock} (\text{upd-locks} \ l \ t \ xs) \ t \ ] \implies P \rrbracket$ 

```

```

 $\implies P$ 
by(auto simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff)
end

```

1.3 Semantics of the thread actions for locking

```

theory FWLocking
imports
  FWLock
begin

definition redT-updLs :: "('l,'t) locks  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'l lock-actions  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t) locks where
  redT-updLs ls t las  $\equiv$   $(\lambda(l, la). upd\text{-}locks\ l\ t\ la) \circ\$ ((\$ls, las\$))$ 

lemma redT-updLs-iff [simp]: redT-updLs ls t las $ l = upd\text{-}locks\ (ls $ l) t (las $ l)
by(simp add: redT-updLs-def)

lemma upd\text{-}locks\-\emptyset\-\conv [simp]:  $(\lambda(l, las). upd\text{-}locks\ l\ t\ las) \circ\$ (\$ls, K\$ []\$) = ls$ 
by(auto intro: finfun-ext)

lemma redT-updLs-Some-thread-idD:
   $\llbracket has\text{-}lock\ (redT\text{-}updLs\ ls\ t\ las\ \$\ l)\ t'; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies has\text{-}lock\ (ls\ \$\ l)\ t'$ 
by(auto simp add: redT-updLs-def intro: has-lock-upd-locks-implies-has-lock)

definition acquire-all :: "('l, 't) locks  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('l  $\Rightarrow$ f nat)  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 't) locks
where  $\bigwedge ln. acquire\text{-}all\ ls\ t\ ln \equiv (\lambda(l, la). acquire\text{-}locks\ l\ t\ la) \circ\$ ((\$ls, ln\$))$ 

lemma acquire-all-iff [simp]:
   $\bigwedge ln. acquire\text{-}all\ ls\ t\ ln\ \$\ l = acquire\text{-}locks\ (ls\ \$\ l)\ t\ (ln\ \$\ l)$ 
by(simp add: acquire-all-def)

definition lock-ok-las :: "('l,'t) locks  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'l lock-actions  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  lock-ok-las ls t las  $\equiv$   $\forall l. lock\text{-}actions\text{-}ok\ (ls\ \$\ l)\ t\ (las\ \$\ l)$ 

lemma lock-ok-lasI [intro]:
   $(\bigwedge l. lock\text{-}actions\text{-}ok\ (ls\ \$\ l)\ t\ (las\ \$\ l)) \implies lock\text{-}ok\text{-}las\ ls\ t\ las$ 
by(simp add: lock-ok-las-def)

lemma lock-ok-lasE:
   $\llbracket lock\text{-}ok\text{-}las\ ls\ t\ las; (\bigwedge l. lock\text{-}actions\text{-}ok\ (ls\ \$\ l)\ t\ (las\ \$\ l)) \implies Q \rrbracket \implies Q$ 
by(simp add: lock-ok-las-def)

lemma lock-ok-lasD:
  lock-ok-las ls t las  $\implies$  lock-actions-ok (ls $ l) t (las $ l)
by(simp add: lock-ok-las-def)

lemma lock-ok-las-code [code]:
  lock-ok-las ls t las = finfun-All  $((\lambda(l, la). lock\text{-}actions\text{-}ok\ l\ t\ la) \circ\$ (\$ls, las\$))$ 
by(simp add: lock-ok-las-def finfun-All-All o-def)

lemma lock-ok-las-may-lock:

```

$\llbracket \text{lock-ok-las } ls \ t \ las; Lock \in \text{set}(\text{las } \$ \ l) \rrbracket \implies \text{may-lock } (ls \$ l) \ t$
by(erule lock-ok-lasE)(rule lock-actions-ok-Lock-may-lock)

lemma redT-updLs-may-lock [simp]:

$\text{lock-ok-las } ls \ t \ las \implies \text{may-lock } (\text{redT-updLs } ls \ t \ las \$ l) \ t = \text{may-lock } (ls \$ l) \ t$
by(auto dest!: lock-ok-lasD[**where** l=l])

lemma redT-updLs-has-locks [simp]:

$\llbracket \text{lock-ok-las } ls \ t' \ las; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies \text{has-locks } (\text{redT-updLs } ls \ t' \ las \$ l) \ t = \text{has-locks } (ls \$ l) \ t$
by(auto dest!: lock-ok-lasD[**where** l=l])

definition may-acquire-all :: '(l, 't) locks \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow ('l \Rightarrow f nat) \Rightarrow bool

where $\bigwedge ln. \text{may-acquire-all } ls \ t \ ln \equiv \forall l. ln \$ l > 0 \longrightarrow \text{may-lock } (ls \$ l) \ t$

lemma may-acquire-allI [intro]:

$\bigwedge ln. (\bigwedge l. ln \$ l > 0 \implies \text{may-lock } (ls \$ l) \ t) \implies \text{may-acquire-all } ls \ t \ ln$
by(simp add: may-acquire-all-def)

lemma may-acquire-allE:

$\bigwedge ln. \llbracket \text{may-acquire-all } ls \ t \ ln; \forall l. ln \$ l > 0 \longrightarrow \text{may-lock } (ls \$ l) \ t \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
by(auto simp add: may-acquire-all-def)

lemma may-acquire-allD [dest]:

$\bigwedge ln. \llbracket \text{may-acquire-all } ls \ t \ ln; ln \$ l > 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{may-lock } (ls \$ l) \ t$
by(auto simp add: may-acquire-all-def)

lemma may-acquire-all-has-locks-acquire-locks [simp]:

fixes ln
shows $\llbracket \text{may-acquire-all } ls \ t \ ln; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies \text{has-locks } (\text{acquire-locks } (ls \$ l) \ t \ (ln \$ l)) \ t' = \text{has-locks } (ls \$ l) \ t'$
by(cases ln \$ l > 0)(auto dest: may-acquire-allD)

lemma may-acquire-all-code [code]:

$\bigwedge ln. \text{may-acquire-all } ls \ t \ ln \longleftrightarrow \text{finfun-All } ((\lambda(lock, n). n > 0 \longrightarrow \text{may-lock } lock \ t) \circ\$ (\$ls, ln\$))$
by(auto simp add: may-acquire-all-def finfun-All-All o-def)

definition collect-locks :: 'l lock-actions \Rightarrow 'l set **where**

collect-locks las = {l. Lock \in set (las \$ l)}

lemma collect-locksI:

$Lock \in \text{set}(\text{las } \$ \ l) \implies l \in \text{collect-locks } las$
by(simp add: collect-locks-def)

lemma collect-locksE:

$\llbracket l \in \text{collect-locks } las; Lock \in \text{set}(\text{las } \$ \ l) \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
by(simp add: collect-locks-def)

lemma collect-locksD:

$l \in \text{collect-locks } las \implies Lock \in \text{set}(\text{las } \$ \ l)$
by(simp add: collect-locks-def)

fun must-acquire-lock :: lock-action list \Rightarrow bool **where**

```

must-acquire-lock [] = False
| must-acquire-lock (Lock # las) = True
| must-acquire-lock (Unlock # las) = False
| must-acquire-lock (- # las) = must-acquire-lock las

lemma must-acquire-lock-append:
  must-acquire-lock (xs @ ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (if Lock  $\in$  set xs  $\vee$  Unlock  $\in$  set xs then must-acquire-lock xs else must-acquire-lock ys)
proof(induct xs)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons L Ls)
    thus ?case by (cases L, simp-all)
qed

lemma must-acquire-lock-contains-lock:
  must-acquire-lock las  $\implies$  Lock  $\in$  set las
proof(induct las)
  case (Cons l las) thus ?case by(cases l) auto
qed simp

lemma must-acquire-lock-conv:
  must-acquire-lock las = (case (filter ( $\lambda L. L = Lock \vee L = Unlock$ ) las) of []  $\Rightarrow$  False | L # Ls  $\Rightarrow$  L = Lock)
proof(induct las)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons LA LAS) thus ?case
    by(cases LA, auto split: list.split-asm)
qed

definition collect-locks' :: 'l lock-actions  $\Rightarrow$  'l set where
  collect-locks' las  $\equiv$  {l. must-acquire-lock (las $ l)}

lemma collect-locks'I:
  must-acquire-lock (las $ l)  $\implies$  l  $\in$  collect-locks' las
by(simp add: collect-locks'-def)

lemma collect-locks'E:
   $\llbracket l \in collect-locks' las; must-acquire-lock (las $ l) \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$ 
by(simp add: collect-locks'-def)

lemma collect-locks'-subset-collect-locks:
  collect-locks' las  $\subseteq$  collect-locks las
by(auto simp add: collect-locks'-def collect-locks-def intro: must-acquire-lock-contains-lock)

definition lock-ok-las' :: ('l, 't) locks  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'l lock-actions  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  lock-ok-las' ls t las  $\equiv$   $\forall l. lock\text{-actions}\text{-ok}' (ls \$ l) t (las \$ l)$ 

lemma lock-ok-las'I: ( $\bigwedge l. lock\text{-actions}\text{-ok}' (ls \$ l) t (las \$ l)$ )  $\implies$  lock-ok-las' ls t las
by(simp add: lock-ok-las'-def)

lemma lock-ok-las'D: lock-ok-las' ls t las  $\implies$  lock-actions-ok' (ls $ l) t (las $ l)

```

```

by(simp add: lock-ok-las'-def)

lemma not-lock-ok-las'-conv:
   $\neg \text{lock-ok-las}' ls t las \longleftrightarrow (\exists l. \neg \text{lock-actions-ok}' (ls \$ l) t (las \$ l))$ 
by(simp add: lock-ok-las'-def)

lemma lock-ok-las'-code:
   $\text{lock-ok-las}' ls t las = \text{finfun-All } ((\lambda(l, la). \text{lock-actions-ok}' l t la) \circ\$ (\$ls, las\$))$ 
by(simp add: lock-ok-las'-def finfun-All-All o-def)

lemma lock-ok-las'-collect-locks'-may-lock:
  assumes lot': lock-ok-las' ls t las
  and mayl:  $\forall l \in \text{collect-locks}' las. \text{may-lock} (ls \$ l) t$ 
  and l:  $l \in \text{collect-locks} las$ 
  shows  $\text{may-lock} (ls \$ l) t$ 
proof(cases l ∈ collect-locks' las)
  case True thus ?thesis using mayl by auto
next
  case False
  hence nmal:  $\neg \text{must-acquire-lock} (las \$ l)$ 
  by(auto intro: collect-locks'I)
  from l have locklasl:  $\text{Lock} \in \text{set} (las \$ l)$ 
  by(rule collect-locksD)
  then obtain ys zs
    where las:  $las \$ l = ys @ \text{Lock} \# zs$ 
    and notin:  $\text{Lock} \notin \text{set} ys$ 
    by(auto dest: split-list-first)
  from lot' have lock-actions-ok' (ls \$ l) t (las \$ l)
  by(auto simp add: lock-ok-las'-def)
  thus ?thesis
proof(induct rule: lock-actions-ok'E)
  case ok
  with locklasl show ?thesis
  by -(rule lock-actions-ok-Lock-may-lock)
next
  case (Lock YS ZS)
  note LAS =  $\langle las \$ l = YS @ \text{Lock} \# ZS \rangle$ 
  note lao =  $\langle \text{lock-actions-ok} (ls \$ l) t YS \rangle$ 
  note nml =  $\langle \neg \text{may-lock} (\text{upd-locks} (ls \$ l) t YS) t \rangle$ 
  from LAS las nmal notin have Unlock ∈ set YS
  by -(erule contrapos-np, auto simp add: must-acquire-lock-append append-eq-append-conv2 append-eq-Cons-conv)
  then obtain ys' zs'
  where YS:  $YS = ys' @ \text{Unlock} \# zs'$ 
  and unlock:  $\text{Unlock} \notin \text{set} ys'$ 
  by(auto dest: split-list-first)
  from YS las LAS lao have lao':  $\text{lock-actions-ok} (ls \$ l) t (ys' @ [\text{Unlock}])$  by(auto)
  hence has-lock (upd-locks (ls \$ l) t ys') t by simp
  hence may-lock (upd-locks (ls \$ l) t ys') t
  by(rule has-lock-may-lock)
  moreover from lao' have lock-actions-ok (ls \$ l) t ys' by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

qed

lemma lock-actions-ok'-must-acquire-lock-lock-actions-ok:
  [| lock-actions-ok' l t Ls; must-acquire-lock Ls —> may-lock l t |] —> lock-actions-ok l t Ls
proof(induct l t Ls rule: lock-actions-ok.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (2 l t L LS) thus ?case
  proof(cases L = Lock ∨ L = Unlock)
    case True
    with 2 show ?thesis by(auto simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff Cons-eq-append-conv intro: has-lock-may-lock)
    qed(cases L, auto)
qed

lemma lock-ok-las'-collect-locks-lock-ok-las:
  assumes lol': lock-ok-las' ls t las
  and clml: ∀l. l ∈ collect-locks las —> may-lock (ls $ l) t
  shows lock-ok-las ls t las
proof(rule lock-ok-lasI)
  fix l
  from lol' have lock-actions-ok' (ls $ l) t (las $ l) by(rule lock-ok-las'D)
  thus lock-actions-ok (ls $ l) t (las $ l)
  proof(rule lock-actions-ok'-must-acquire-lock-lock-actions-ok[OF - impI])
    assume mal: must-acquire-lock (las $ l)
    thus may-lock (ls $ l) t
      by(auto intro!: clml collect-locksI elim: must-acquire-lock-contains-lock )
  qed
qed

lemma lock-ok-las'-into-lock-on-las:
  [|lock-ok-las' ls t las; ∀l. l ∈ collect-locks' las —> may-lock (ls $ l) t|] —> lock-ok-las ls t las
by (metis lock-ok-las'-collect-locks'-may-lock lock-ok-las'-collect-locks-lock-ok-las)

end

```

1.4 Semantics of the thread actions for thread creation

```

theory FWThread
imports
  FWState
begin

  Abstractions for thread ids

context
  notes [[inductive-internals]]
begin

  inductive free-thread-id :: ('l,'t,'x) thread-info ⇒ 't ⇒ bool
  for ts :: ('l,'t,'x) thread-info and t :: 't
  where ts t = None ⇒ free-thread-id ts t

  declare free-thread-id.cases [elim]

end

```

```
lemma free-thread-id-iff: free-thread-id ts t = (ts t = None)
by(auto elim: free-thread-id.cases intro: free-thread-id.intros)
```

Update functions for the multithreaded state

```
fun redT-updT :: ('l,'t,'x) thread-info  $\Rightarrow$  ('t,'x,'m) new-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x) thread-info
```

where

```
  redT-updT ts (NewThread t' x m) = ts(t'  $\mapsto$  (x, no-wait-locks))
| redT-updT ts - = ts
```

```
fun redT-updTs :: ('l,'t,'x) thread-info  $\Rightarrow$  ('t,'x,'m) new-thread-action list  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x) thread-info
```

where

```
  redT-updTs ts [] = ts
| redT-updTs ts (ta#tas) = redT-updTs (redT-updT ts ta) tas
```

```
lemma redT-updTs-append [simp]:
```

```
  redT-updTs ts (tas @ tas') = redT-updTs (redT-updTs ts tas) tas'
```

by(induct ts tas rule: redT-updTs.induct) auto

```
lemma redT-updT-None:
```

```
  redT-updT ts ta t = None  $\Longrightarrow$  ts t = None
```

by(cases ta)(auto split: if-splits)

```
lemma redT-updTs-None: redT-updTs ts tas t = None  $\Longrightarrow$  ts t = None
```

by(induct ts tas rule: redT-updTs.induct)(auto intro: redT-updT-None)

```
lemma redT-updT-Some1:
```

```
  ts t = [xw]  $\Longrightarrow$   $\exists$  xw. redT-updT ts ta t = [xw]
```

by(cases ta) auto

```
lemma redT-updTs-Some1:
```

```
  ts t = [xw]  $\Longrightarrow$   $\exists$  xw. redT-updTs ts tas t = [xw]
```

unfolding not-None-eq[symmetric]

by(induct ts tas arbitrary: xw rule: redT-updTs.induct)(simp-all del: split-paired-Ex, blast dest: redT-updT-Some1)

```
lemma redT-updT-finite-dom-inv:
```

```
  finite (dom (redT-updT ts ta)) = finite (dom ts)
```

by(cases ta) auto

```
lemma redT-updTs-finite-dom-inv:
```

```
  finite (dom (redT-updTs ts tas)) = finite (dom ts)
```

by(induct ts tas rule: redT-updTs.induct)(simp-all add: redT-updT-finite-dom-inv)

Preconditions for thread creation actions

These primed versions are for checking preconditions only. They allow the thread actions to have a type for thread-local information that is different than the thread info state itself.

```
fun redT-updT' :: ('l,'t,'x) thread-info  $\Rightarrow$  ('t,'x','m) new-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x) thread-info
```

where

```
  redT-updT' ts (NewThread t' x m) = ts(t'  $\mapsto$  (undefined, no-wait-locks))
| redT-updT' ts - = ts
```

```
fun redT-updTs' :: ('l,'t,'x) thread-info  $\Rightarrow$  ('t,'x','m) new-thread-action list  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x) thread-info
```

where

```

 $\text{redT-updT}' ts [] = ts$ 
|  $\text{redT-updT}' ts (ta \# tas) = \text{redT-updT}' (\text{redT-updT}' ts ta) tas$ 

lemma  $\text{redT-updT}'\text{-None}:$ 
 $\text{redT-updT}' ts ta t = \text{None} \implies ts t = \text{None}$ 
by(cases ta)(auto split: if-splits)

primrec  $\text{thread-ok} :: ('l,'t,'x) \text{ thread-info} \Rightarrow ('t,'x','m) \text{ new-thread-action} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where
 $\text{thread-ok} ts (\text{NewThread } t x m) = \text{free-thread-id} ts t$ 
|  $\text{thread-ok} ts (\text{ThreadExists } t b) = (b \neq \text{free-thread-id} ts t)$ 

fun  $\text{thread-oks} :: ('l,'t,'x) \text{ thread-info} \Rightarrow ('t,'x','m) \text{ new-thread-action list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where
 $\text{thread-oks} ts [] = \text{True}$ 
|  $\text{thread-oks} ts (ta \# tas) = (\text{thread-ok} ts ta \wedge \text{thread-oks} (\text{redT-updT}' ts ta) tas)$ 

lemma  $\text{thread-ok-ts-change}:$ 
 $(\bigwedge t. ts t = \text{None} \iff ts' t = \text{None}) \implies \text{thread-ok} ts ta \iff \text{thread-ok} ts' ta$ 
by(cases ta)(auto simp add: free-thread-id-iff)

lemma  $\text{thread-oks-ts-change}:$ 
 $(\bigwedge t. ts t = \text{None} \iff ts' t = \text{None}) \implies \text{thread-oks} ts tas \iff \text{thread-oks} ts' tas$ 
proof(induct tas arbitrary: ts ts')
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons ta tas ts ts')
  note IH =  $\langle \bigwedge ts ts'. (\bigwedge t. (ts t = \text{None}) = (ts' t = \text{None})) \implies \text{thread-oks} ts tas = \text{thread-oks} ts' tas \rangle$ 
  note eq =  $\langle \bigwedge t. (ts t = \text{None}) = (ts' t = \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  from eq have  $\text{thread-ok} ts ta \iff \text{thread-ok} ts' ta$  by(rule thread-ok-ts-change)
  moreover from eq have  $\bigwedge t. (\text{redT-updT}' ts ta t = \text{None}) = (\text{redT-updT}' ts' ta t = \text{None})$ 
    by(cases ta)(auto)
  hence  $\text{thread-oks} (\text{redT-updT}' ts ta) tas = \text{thread-oks} (\text{redT-updT}' ts' ta) tas$  by(rule IH)
  ultimately show ?case by simp
qed

lemma  $\text{redT-updT}'\text{-eq-None-conv}:$ 
 $(\bigwedge t. ts t = \text{None} \iff ts' t = \text{None}) \implies \text{redT-updT}' ts ta t = \text{None} \iff \text{redT-updT}' ts' ta t = \text{None}$ 
by(cases ta) simp-all

lemma  $\text{redT-updT}'\text{-eq-None-conv}:$ 
 $(\bigwedge t. ts t = \text{None} \iff ts' t = \text{None}) \implies \text{redT-updT}' ts tas t = \text{None} \iff \text{redT-updT}' ts' tas t = \text{None}$ 
apply(induct tas arbitrary: ts ts')
apply simp-all
apply(blast intro: redT-updT'-eq-None-conv del: iffI)
done

lemma  $\text{thread-oks-redT-updT-conv} [\text{simp}]:$ 
 $\text{thread-oks} (\text{redT-updT}' ts ta) tas = \text{thread-oks} (\text{redT-updT}' ts ta) tas$ 
by(rule thread-oks-ts-change)(rule redT-updT'-eq-None-conv refl)+

lemma  $\text{thread-oks-append} [\text{simp}]:$ 
 $\text{thread-oks} ts (tas @ tas') = (\text{thread-oks} ts tas \wedge \text{thread-oks} (\text{redT-updT}' ts tas) tas')$ 

```

```

by(induct tas arbitrary: ts, auto)  

lemma thread-oks-redT-updTs-conv [simp]:  

  thread-oks (redT-updTs' ts ta) tas = thread-oks (redT-updTs ts ta) tas  

by(rule thread-oks-ts-change)(rule redT-updTs'-eq-None-conv refl)+  

  

lemma redT-updT-Some:  

   $\llbracket ts t = \lfloor xw \rfloor; \text{thread-ok } ts ta \rrbracket \implies \text{redT-updT } ts ta t = \lfloor xw \rfloor$   

by(cases ta) auto  

  

lemma redT-updTs-Some:  

   $\llbracket ts t = \lfloor xw \rfloor; \text{thread-oks } ts tas \rrbracket \implies \text{redT-updTs } ts tas t = \lfloor xw \rfloor$   

by(induct ts tas rule: redT-updTs.induct)(auto intro: redT-updT-Some)  

  

lemma redT-updT'-Some:  

   $\llbracket ts t = \lfloor xw \rfloor; \text{thread-ok } ts ta \rrbracket \implies \text{redT-updT}' ts ta t = \lfloor xw \rfloor$   

by(cases ta) auto  

  

lemma redT-updTs'-Some:  

   $\llbracket ts t = \lfloor xw \rfloor; \text{thread-oks } ts tas \rrbracket \implies \text{redT-updTs}' ts tas t = \lfloor xw \rfloor$   

by(induct ts tas rule: redT-updTs'.induct)(auto intro: redT-updT'-Some)  

  

lemma thread-ok-new-thread:  

  thread-ok ts (NewThread t m' x)  $\implies ts t = \text{None}$   

by(auto)  

  

lemma thread-oks-new-thread:  

   $\llbracket \text{thread-oks } ts tas; \text{NewThread } t x m \in \text{set } tas \rrbracket \implies ts t = \text{None}$   

by(induct ts tas rule: thread-oks.induct)(auto intro: redT-updT'-None)  

  

lemma redT-updT-new-thread-ts:  

  thread-ok ts (NewThread t x m)  $\implies \text{redT-updT } ts (\text{NewThread } t x m) t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$   

by(simp)  

  

lemma redT-updTs-new-thread-ts:  

   $\llbracket \text{thread-oks } ts tas; \text{NewThread } t x m \in \text{set } tas \rrbracket \implies \text{redT-updTs } ts tas t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$   

by(induct ts tas rule: redT-updTs.induct)(auto intro: redT-updTs-Some)  

  

lemma redT-updT-new-thread:  

   $\llbracket \text{redT-updT } ts ta t = \lfloor (x, w) \rfloor; \text{thread-ok } ts ta; ts t = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies \exists m. ta = \text{NewThread } t x m \wedge w = \text{no-wait-locks}$   

by(cases ta)(auto split: if-split-asm)  

  

lemma redT-updTs-new-thread:  

   $\llbracket \text{redT-updTs } ts tas t = \lfloor (x, w) \rfloor; \text{thread-oks } ts tas; ts t = \text{None} \rrbracket$   

 $\implies \exists m. \text{NewThread } t x m \in \text{set } tas \wedge w = \text{no-wait-locks}$   

proof(induct tas arbitrary: ts)  

  case Nil thus ?case by simp  

next  

  case (Cons TA TAS TS)

```

```

note  $IH = \langle \wedge ts. \llbracket \text{redT-updT} ts TAS t = \lfloor (x, w) \rfloor; \text{thread-oks} ts TAS; ts t = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies \exists m. \text{NewThread } t \ x \ m \in \text{set TAS} \wedge w = \text{no-wait-locks} \rangle$ 
note  $es't = \langle \text{redT-updT} TS (\text{TA} \# \text{TAS}) t = \lfloor (x, w) \rfloor \rangle$ 
note  $cct = \langle \text{thread-oks} TS (\text{TA} \# \text{TAS}) \rangle$ 
hence  $ccta: \text{thread-ok} TS \text{ TA and } ccts: \text{thread-oks} (\text{redT-updT} TS \text{ TA}) \text{ TAS by auto}$ 
note  $est = \langle TS t = \text{None} \rangle$ 
{ fix X W
  assume  $rest: \text{redT-updT} TS \text{ TA } t = \lfloor (X, W) \rfloor$ 
  then obtain  $m \text{ where } \text{TA} = \text{NewThread } t \ X \ m \wedge W = \text{no-wait-locks using } ccta est$ 
    by (auto dest!: redT-updT-new-thread)
  then obtain  $\text{TA} = \text{NewThread } t \ X \ m \ W = \text{no-wait-locks} ..$ 
  moreover from  $rest \ ccts$ 
  have  $\text{redT-updT} TS (\text{TA} \# \text{TAS}) t = \lfloor (X, W) \rfloor$ 
    by(auto intro:redT-updT-Some)
  with  $es't$  have  $X = x \ W = w \text{ by auto}$ 
  ultimately have ?case by auto }
  moreover
{ assume  $rest: \text{redT-updT} TS \text{ TA } t = \text{None}$ 
  hence  $\wedge m. \text{TA} \neq \text{NewThread } t \ x \ m \text{ using } est \ cct$ 
    by(clar simp)
  with  $rest \ ccts \ es't$  have ?case by(auto dest: IH)
  ultimately show ?case by(cases redT-updT TS TA t, auto)
qed

lemma redT-updT-upd:
 $\llbracket ts t = \lfloor xw \rfloor; \text{thread-ok} ts ta \rrbracket \implies (\text{redT-updT} ts ta)(t \mapsto xw') = \text{redT-updT} (ts(t \mapsto xw')) ta$ 
by(cases ta)(fastforce intro: fun-upd-twist)+

lemma redT-updTs-upd:
 $\llbracket ts t = \lfloor xw \rfloor; \text{thread-oks} ts tas \rrbracket \implies (\text{redT-updTs} ts tas)(t \mapsto xw') = \text{redT-updTs} (ts(t \mapsto xw')) tas$ 
by(induct ts tas rule: redT-updTs.induct)(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply simp add: redT-updT-upd dest: redT-updT-Some)

lemma thread-ok-upd:
 $ts t = \lfloor xln \rfloor \implies \text{thread-ok} (ts(t \mapsto xln')) ta = \text{thread-ok} ts ta$ 
by(rule thread-ok-ts-change) simp

lemma thread-oks-upd:
 $ts t = \lfloor xln \rfloor \implies \text{thread-oks} (ts(t \mapsto xln')) tas = \text{thread-oks} ts tas$ 
by(rule thread-oks-ts-change) simp

lemma thread-ok-convert-new-thread-action [simp]:
 $\text{thread-ok} ts (\text{convert-new-thread-action } f ta) = \text{thread-ok} ts ta$ 
by(cases ta) auto

lemma redT-updT'-convert-new-thread-action-eq-None:
 $\text{redT-updT}' ts (\text{convert-new-thread-action } f ta) t = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{redT-updT}' ts ta t = \text{None}$ 
by(cases ta) auto

lemma thread-oks-convert-new-thread-action [simp]:
 $\text{thread-oks} ts (\text{map} (\text{convert-new-thread-action } f) tas) = \text{thread-oks} ts tas$ 
by(induct ts tas rule: thread-oks.induct)(simp-all add: thread-oks-ts-change[ OF redT-updT'-convert-new-thread-action-eq-None])

lemma map-redT-updT:

```

```

map-option (map-prod f id) (redT-updT ts ta t) =
  redT-updT (λt. map-option (map-prod f id) (ts t)) (convert-new-thread-action f ta) t
by(cases ta) auto

lemma map-redT-updTs:
  map-option (map-prod f id) (redT-updTs ts tas t) =
    redT-updTs (λt. map-option (map-prod f id) (ts t)) (map (convert-new-thread-action f) tas) t
  by(induct tas arbitrary: ts)(auto simp add: map-redT-updT)

end

```

1.5 Semantics of the thread actions for wait, notify and interrupt

```

theory FWWait
imports FWState
begin

  Update functions for the wait sets in the multithreaded state

inductive redT-updW :: 't ⇒ ('w, 't) wait-sets ⇒ ('t, 'w) wait-set-action ⇒ ('w, 't) wait-sets ⇒ bool
for t :: 't and ws :: ('w, 't) wait-sets
where
| ws t' = [InWS w] ⇒ redT-updW t ws (Notify w) (ws(t' ↦ PostWS WSNotified))
| (¬ t'. ws t' ≠ [InWS w]) ⇒ redT-updW t ws (Notify w) ws
| redT-updW t ws (NotifyAll w) (λt. if ws t = [InWS w] then [PostWS WSNotified] else ws t)
| redT-updW t ws (Suspend w) (ws(t ↦ InWS w))
| ws t' = [InWS w] ⇒ redT-updW t ws (WakeUp t') (ws(t' ↦ PostWS WSInterrupted))
| (¬ w. ws t' ≠ [InWS w]) ⇒ redT-updW t ws (WakeUp t') ws
| redT-updW t ws Notified (ws(t := None))
| redT-updW t ws WokenUp (ws(t := None))

definition redT-updWs :: 't ⇒ ('w, 't) wait-sets ⇒ ('t, 'w) wait-set-action list ⇒ ('w, 't) wait-sets ⇒ bool
where redT-updWs t = rtranc13p (redT-updW t)

inductive-simps redT-updW-simps [simp]:
  redT-updW t ws (Notify w) ws'
  redT-updW t ws (NotifyAll w) ws'
  redT-updW t ws (Suspend w) ws'
  redT-updW t ws (WakeUp t') ws'
  redT-updW t ws WokenUp ws'
  redT-updW t ws Notified ws'

lemma redT-updW-total: ∃ ws'. redT-updW t ws wa ws'
by(cases wa)(auto simp add: redT-updW.simps)

lemma redT-updWs-total: ∃ ws'. redT-updWs t ws was ws'
proof(induct was rule: rev-induct)
  case Nil thus ?case by(auto simp add: redT-updWs-def)
next
  case (snoc wa was)
  then obtain ws' where redT-updWs t ws was ws' ..

```

```

also from redT-updW-total[of t ws' wa]
obtain ws'' where redT-updW t ws' wa ws'' ..
ultimately show ?case unfolding redT-updWs-def by(auto intro: rtrancl3p-step)
qed

lemma redT-updWs-trans: [| redT-updWs t ws was ws'; redT-updWs t ws' was' ws'' |] ==> redT-updWs
t ws (was @ was') ws''
unfolding redT-updWs-def by(rule rtrancl3p-trans)

lemma redT-updW-None-implies-None:
  [| redT-updW t' ws wa ws'; ws t = None; t ≠ t' |] ==> ws' t = None
by(auto simp add: redT-updW.simps)

lemma redT-updWs-None-implies-None:
  assumes redT-updWs t' ws was ws'
  and t ≠ t' and ws t = None
  shows ws' t = None
using <redT-updWs t' ws was ws'> <ws t = None> unfolding redT-updWs-def
by induct(auto intro: redT-updW-None-implies-None[OF - - <t ≠ t'>])

lemma redT-updW-PostWS-imp-PostWS:
  [| redT-updW t ws wa ws'; ws t'' = ⌊PostWS w⌋; t'' ≠ t |] ==> ws' t'' = ⌊PostWS w⌋
by(auto simp add: redT-updW.simps)

lemma redT-updWs-PostWS-imp-PostWS:
  [| redT-updWs t ws was ws'; t'' ≠ t; ws t'' = ⌊PostWS w⌋ |] ==> ws' t'' = ⌊PostWS w⌋
unfolding redT-updWs-def
by(induct rule: rtrancl3p.induct)(auto dest: redT-updW-PostWS-imp-PostWS)

lemma redT-updW-Some-otherD:
  [| redT-updW t' ws wa ws'; ws' t = ⌊w⌋; t ≠ t' |]
  ==> (case w of InWS w' => ws t = ⌊InWS w'⌋ | - => ws t = ⌊w⌋ ∨ (∃ w'. ws t = ⌊InWS w'⌋))
by(auto simp add: redT-updW.simps split: if-split-asm wait-set-status.split)

lemma redT-updWs-Some-otherD:
  [| redT-updWs t' ws was ws'; ws' t = ⌊w⌋; t ≠ t' |]
  ==> (case w of InWS w' => ws t = ⌊InWS w'⌋ | - => ws t = ⌊w⌋ ∨ (∃ w'. ws t = ⌊InWS w'⌋))
unfolding redT-updWs-def
apply(induct arbitrary: w rule: rtrancl3p.induct)
apply(fastforce split: wait-set-status.splits dest: redT-updW-Some-otherD) +
done

lemma redT-updW-None-SomeD:
  [| redT-updW t ws wa ws'; ws' t' = ⌊w⌋; ws t' = None |] ==> t = t' ∧ (∃ w'. w = InWS w' ∧ wa =
Suspend w')
by(auto simp add: redT-updW.simps split: if-split-asm)

lemma redT-updWs-None-SomeD:
  [| redT-updWs t ws was ws'; ws' t' = ⌊w⌋; ws t' = None |] ==> t = t' ∧ (∃ w'. Suspend w' ∈ set was)
unfolding redT-updWs-def
proof(induct arbitrary: w rule: rtrancl3p.induct)
  case (rtrancl3p-refl ws) thus ?case by simp
next
  case (rtrancl3p-step ws was ws' wa ws'')

```

```

show ?case
proof(cases ws' t')
  case None
    from redT-updW-None-SomeD[ $\langle \text{redT-updW } t \text{ ws' wa ws''}, \text{ OF } \langle \text{ws'' t'} = [w] \rangle \text{ this} \rangle$ ]
    show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case (Some w')
      with  $\langle \text{ws' t'} = \text{None} \rangle \text{ rtrancl3p-step.hyps(2)}$  show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  qed

lemma redT-updW-neq-Some-SomeD:
   $\llbracket \text{redT-updW } t' \text{ ws wa ws'}; \text{ ws' t} = [\text{InWS } w]; \text{ ws t} \neq [\text{InWS } w] \rrbracket \implies t = t' \wedge \text{wa} = \text{Suspend } w$ 
  by(auto simp add: redT-updW.simps split: if-split-asm)

lemma redT-updWs-neq-Some-SomeD:
   $\llbracket \text{redT-updWs } t \text{ ws was ws'}; \text{ ws' t'} = [\text{InWS } w]; \text{ ws t'} \neq [\text{InWS } w] \rrbracket \implies t = t' \wedge \text{Suspend } w \in \text{set was}$ 
  unfolding redT-updWs-def
  proof(induct rule: rtrancl3p.induct)
    case rtrancl3p-refl thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (rtrancl3p-step ws was ws' wa ws'')
      show ?case
      proof(cases ws' t' = [InWS w])
        case True
          with  $\langle \text{ws' t'} \neq [\text{InWS } w] \rangle \langle \text{ws' t'} = [\text{InWS } w]; \text{ ws t'} \neq [\text{InWS } w] \rangle \implies t = t' \wedge \text{Suspend } w \in \text{set was}$ 
          show ?thesis by simp
        next
          case False
          with  $\langle \text{redT-updW } t \text{ ws' wa ws''}, \text{ ws'' t'} = [\text{InWS } w] \rangle$ 
          have  $t' = t \wedge \text{wa} = \text{Suspend } w$  by(rule redT-updW-neq-Some-SomeD)
          thus ?thesis by auto
        qed
      qed

lemma redT-updW-not-Suspend-Some:
   $\llbracket \text{redT-updW } t \text{ ws wa ws'}; \text{ ws' t} = [w']; \text{ ws t} = [w]; \wedge \text{w. wa} \neq \text{Suspend } w \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies w' = w \vee (\exists w'' w'''. w = \text{InWS } w'' \wedge w' = \text{PostWS } w''')$ 
  by(auto simp add: redT-updW.simps split: if-split-asm)

lemma redT-updWs-not-Suspend-Some:
   $\llbracket \text{redT-updWs } t \text{ ws was ws'}; \text{ ws' t} = [w']; \text{ ws t} = [w]; \wedge \text{w. Suspend } w \notin \text{set was} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies w' = w \vee (\exists w'' w'''. w = \text{InWS } w'' \wedge w' = \text{PostWS } w''')$ 
  unfolding redT-updWs-def
  proof(induct arbitrary: w rule: rtrancl3p-converse-induct)
    case refl thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (step ws wa ws' was ws'')
      note  $\langle \text{ws'' t} = [w'] \rangle$ 
      moreover
      have  $\text{ws' t} \neq \text{None}$ 
      proof

```

```

assume ws' t = None
with <rtrancl3p (redT-updW t) ws' was ws''> <ws'' t = [w']>
obtain w' where Suspend w' ∈ set was unfolding redT-updWs-def[symmetric]
  by(auto dest: redT-updWs-None-SomeD)
with <Suspend w' ∉ set (wa # was)> show False by simp
qed
then obtain w'' where ws' t = [w''] by auto
moreover {
  fix w
  from <Suspend w ∉ set (wa # was)> have Suspend w ∉ set was by simp }
ultimately have w' = w'' ∨ (exists w''' w'''. w'' = InWS w''' ∧ w' = PostWS w''') by(rule step.hyps)
moreover { fix w
  from <Suspend w ∉ set (wa # was)> have wa ≠ Suspend w by auto }
note redT-updW-not-Suspend-Some[OF <redT-updW t ws wa ws'>, OF <ws' t = [w'']> <ws t = [w]> this]
ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

lemma redT-updWs-WokenUp-SuspendD:
  [| redT-updWs t ws was ws'; Notified ∈ set was ∨ WokenUp ∈ set was; ws' t = [w] |] ==> ∃ w. Suspend w ∈ set was
unfolding redT-updWs-def
by(induct rule: rtrancl3p-converse-induct)(auto dest: redT-updWs-None-SomeD[unfolded redT-updWs-def])

lemma redT-updW-Woken-Up-same-no-Notified-Interrupted:
  [| redT-updW t ws wa ws'; ws' t = [PostWS w]; ws t = [PostWS w]; ∀ w. wa ≠ Suspend w |]
  ==> wa ≠ Notified ∧ wa ≠ WokenUp
by(fastforce)

lemma redT-updWs-Woken-Up-same-no-Notified-Interrupted:
  [| redT-updWs t ws was ws'; ws' t = [PostWS w]; ws t = [PostWS w]; ∀ w. Suspend w ∉ set was |]
  ==> Notified ∉ set was ∧ WokenUp ∉ set was
unfolding redT-updWs-def
proof(induct rule: rtrancl3p-converse-induct)
  case refl thus ?case by simp
next
  case (step ws wa ws' was ws'')
  note Suspend = (&w. Suspend w ∉ set (wa # was))
  note <ws'' t = [PostWS w]>
  moreover have ws' t = [PostWS w]
  proof(cases ws' t)
    case None
    with <rtrancl3p (redT-updW t) ws' was ws''> <ws'' t = [PostWS w]>
    obtain w where Suspend w ∈ set was unfolding redT-updWs-def[symmetric]
      by(auto dest: redT-updWs-None-SomeD)
    with Suspend[of w] have False by simp
    thus ?thesis ..
next
  case (Some w')
  thus ?thesis using <ws t = [PostWS w]> Suspend <redT-updW t ws wa ws'>
    by(auto simp add: redT-updW.simps split: if-split-asm)
qed
moreover
{ fix w from Suspend[of w] have Suspend w ∉ set was by simp }
```

```

ultimately have Notified  $\notin$  set was  $\wedge$  WokenUp  $\notin$  set was by(rule step.hyps)
moreover
{ fix w from Suspend[of w] have wa  $\neq$  Suspend w by auto }
with <redT-updW t ws wa ws'> <ws' t = [PostWS w]> <ws t = [PostWS w']>
have wa  $\neq$  Notified  $\wedge$  wa  $\neq$  WokenUp by(rule redT-updW-Woken-Up-same-no-Notified-Interrupted)
ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

```

Preconditions for wait set actions

```

definition wset-actions-ok :: ('w,'t) wait-sets  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('t,'w) wait-set-action list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where

```

```

wset-actions-ok ws t was  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(if Notified  $\in$  set was then ws t = [PostWS WSNotified]
else if WokenUp  $\in$  set was then ws t = [PostWS WSWokenUp]
else ws t = None)

```

```

lemma wset-actions-ok-Nil [simp]:
wset-actions-ok ws t []  $\longleftrightarrow$  ws t = None
by(simp add: wset-actions-ok-def)

```

```

definition waiting :: 'w wait-set-status option  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where waiting w  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  w'. w = [InWS w'])

```

```

lemma not-waiting-iff:
 $\neg$  waiting w  $\longleftrightarrow$  w = None  $\vee$  ( $\exists$  w'. w = [PostWS w'])
apply(cases w)
apply(case-tac [2] a)
apply(auto simp add: waiting-def)
done

```

```

lemma waiting-code [code]:
waiting None = False
 $\wedge$ w. waiting [PostWS w] = False
 $\wedge$ w. waiting [InWS w] = True
by(simp-all add: waiting-def)

```

end

1.6 Semantics of the thread actions for purely conditional purpose such as Join

```

theory FWCondAction
imports
FWState
begin

locale final-thread =
fixes final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

primrec cond-action-ok :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  't conditional-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
 $\wedge$ ln. cond-action-ok s t (Join T) =
(case thr s T of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | [(x, ln)]  $\Rightarrow$  t  $\neq$  T  $\wedge$  final x  $\wedge$  ln = no-wait-locks  $\wedge$  wset s T =

```

```

None)
| cond-action-ok s t Yield = True

primrec cond-action-oks :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  't conditional-action list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  cond-action-oks s t [] = True
| cond-action-oks s t (ct#cts) = (cond-action-ok s t ct  $\wedge$  cond-action-oks s t cts)

lemma cond-action-oks-append [simp]:
  cond-action-oks s t (cts @ cts')  $\longleftrightarrow$  cond-action-oks s t cts  $\wedge$  cond-action-oks s t cts'
by(induct cts, auto)

lemma cond-action-oks-conv-set:
  cond-action-oks s t cts  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall$  ct  $\in$  set cts. cond-action-ok s t ct)
by(induct cts) simp-all

lemma cond-action-ok-Join:
   $\bigwedge \ln. [\![$  cond-action-ok s t (Join T); thr s T =  $\lfloor (x, \ln) \rfloor$   $]\!] \implies$  final x  $\wedge$  ln = no-wait-locks  $\wedge$  wset s
  T = None
by(auto)

lemma cond-action-oks-Join:
   $\bigwedge \ln. [\![$  cond-action-oks s t cas; Join T  $\in$  set cas; thr s T =  $\lfloor (x, \ln) \rfloor$   $]\!]$ 
   $\implies$  final x  $\wedge$  ln = no-wait-locks  $\wedge$  wset s T = None  $\wedge$  t  $\neq$  T
by(induct cas)(auto)

lemma cond-action-oks-upd:
  assumes tst: thr s t =  $\lfloor x \ln \rfloor$ 
  shows cond-action-oks (locks s, ((thr s)(t  $\mapsto$  xln'), shr s), wset s, interrupts s) t cas = cond-action-oks
  s t cas
proof(induct cas)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons ca cas)
    from tst have eq: cond-action-ok (locks s, ((thr s)(t  $\mapsto$  xln'), shr s), wset s, interrupts s) t ca =
    cond-action-ok s t ca
    by(cases ca) auto
    with Cons show ?case by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)
qed

lemma cond-action-ok-shr-change:
  cond-action-ok (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ct  $\implies$  cond-action-ok (ls, (ts, m'), ws, is) t ct
by(cases ct) auto

lemma cond-action-oks-shr-change:
  cond-action-oks (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t cts  $\implies$  cond-action-oks (ls, (ts, m'), ws, is) t cts
by(auto simp add: cond-action-oks-conv-set intro: cond-action-ok-shr-change)

primrec cond-action-ok' :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  't conditional-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  cond-action-ok' - - (Join t) = True
| cond-action-ok' - - Yield = True

primrec cond-action-oks' :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  't conditional-action list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  cond-action-oks' s t [] = True

```

```

| cond-action-oks' s t (ct#cts) = (cond-action-ok' s t ct ∧ cond-action-oks' s t cts)

lemma cond-action-oks'-append [simp]:
  cond-action-oks' s t (cts @ cts')  $\longleftrightarrow$  cond-action-oks' s t cts ∧ cond-action-oks' s t cts'
by(induct cts, auto)

lemma cond-action-oks'-subset-Join:
  set cts ⊆ insert Yield (range Join)  $\implies$  cond-action-oks' s t cts
apply(induct cts)
apply(auto)
done

end

definition collect-cond-actions :: 't conditional-action list  $\Rightarrow$  't set where
  collect-cond-actions cts = {t. Join t ∈ set cts}

declare collect-cond-actions-def [simp]

lemma cond-action-ok-final-change:
  [] final-thread.cond-action-ok final1 s1 t ca;
   $\wedge$ t. thr s1 t = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  thr s2 t = None;
   $\wedge$ t x1. [] thr s1 t = [(x1, no-wait-locks)]; final1 x1; wset s1 t = None []
   $\implies$   $\exists$ x2. thr s2 t = [(x2, no-wait-locks)]  $\wedge$  final2 x2  $\wedge$  ln2 = no-wait-locks  $\wedge$  wset s2 t = None []
   $\implies$  final-thread.cond-action-ok final2 s2 t ca
apply(cases ca)
apply(fastforce simp add: final-thread.cond-action-ok.simps)+
done

lemma cond-action-oks-final-change:
  assumes major: final-thread.cond-action-oks final1 s1 t cas
  and minor:  $\wedge$ t. thr s1 t = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  thr s2 t = None
   $\wedge$ t x1. [] thr s1 t = [(x1, no-wait-locks)]; final1 x1; wset s1 t = None []
   $\implies$   $\exists$ x2. thr s2 t = [(x2, no-wait-locks)]  $\wedge$  final2 x2  $\wedge$  ln2 = no-wait-locks  $\wedge$  wset s2 t = None
  shows final-thread.cond-action-oks final2 s2 t cas
using major
by(induct cas)(auto simp add: final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps intro: cond-action-ok-final-change[OF - minor])

end

```

1.7 Wellformedness conditions for the multithreaded state

```

theory FWWellform
imports
  FWLocking
  FWThread
  FWWait
  FWCondAction
begin

```

Well-formedness property: Locks are held by real threads

```

definition
  lock-thread-ok :: ('l, 't) locks  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 't,'x) thread-info  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

where [code def]:

$$\text{lock-thread-ok } ls \ ts \equiv \forall l \ t. \ \text{has-lock} \ (ls \$ l) \ t \longrightarrow (\exists xw. \ ts \ t = \lfloor xw \rfloor)$$

lemma lock-thread-ok-code [code]:

$$\text{lock-thread-ok } ls \ ts = \text{finfun-All } ((\lambda l. \ \text{case } l \ \text{of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \lfloor (t, n) \rfloor \Rightarrow (ts \ t \neq \text{None})) \circ \$ \ ls)$$

by(simp add: lock-thread-ok-def finfun-All-All has-lock-has-locks-conv has-locks-iff o-def)

lemma lock-thread-okI:

$$(\bigwedge l \ t. \ \text{has-lock} \ (ls \$ l) \ t \implies \exists xw. \ ts \ t = \lfloor xw \rfloor) \implies \text{lock-thread-ok } ls \ ts$$

by(auto simp add: lock-thread-ok-def)

lemma lock-thread-okD:

$$[\![\text{lock-thread-ok } ls \ ts; \ \text{has-lock} \ (ls \$ l) \ t]!] \implies \exists xw. \ ts \ t = \lfloor xw \rfloor$$

by(fastforce simp add: lock-thread-ok-def)

lemma lock-thread-okD':

$$[\![\text{lock-thread-ok } ls \ ts; \ \text{has-locks} \ (ls \$ l) \ t = \text{Suc } n]!] \implies \exists xw. \ ts \ t = \lfloor xw \rfloor$$

by(auto elim: lock-thread-okD[**where** l=l] simp del: split-paired-Ex)

lemma lock-thread-oke:

$$[\![\text{lock-thread-ok } ls \ ts; \ \forall l \ t. \ \text{has-lock} \ (ls \$ l) \ t \longrightarrow (\exists xw. \ ts \ t = \lfloor xw \rfloor) \implies P]!] \implies P$$

by(auto simp add: lock-thread-ok-def simp del: split-paired-Ex)

lemma lock-thread-ok-upd:

$$\text{lock-thread-ok } ls \ ts \implies \text{lock-thread-ok } ls \ (ts(t \mapsto xw))$$

by(auto intro!: lock-thread-okI dest: lock-thread-okD)

lemma lock-thread-ok-has-lockE:

assumes lock-thread-ok ls ts

and has-lock (ls \\$ l) t

obtains x ln' **where** ts t = $\lfloor (x, ln') \rfloor$

using assms

by(auto dest!: lock-thread-okD)

lemma redT-updLs-preserves-lock-thread-ok:

assumes lto: lock-thread-ok ls ts

and tst: ts t = $\lfloor xw \rfloor$

shows lock-thread-ok (redT-updLs ls t las) ts

proof(rule lock-thread-okI)

fix L T

assume ru: has-lock (redT-updLs ls t las \\$ L) T

show $\exists xw. \ ts \ T = \lfloor xw \rfloor$

proof(cases t = T)

case True

thus ?thesis **using** tst lto

by(auto elim: lock-thread-oke)

next

case False

with ru **have** has-lock (ls \\$ L) T

by(rule redT-updLs-Some-thread-idD)

thus ?thesis **using** lto

by(auto elim!: lock-thread-oke simp del: split-paired-Ex)

qed

qed

```

lemma redT-updTs-preserves-lock-thread-ok:
  assumes lto: lock-thread-ok ls ts
  shows lock-thread-ok ls (redT-updTs ts nts)
proof(rule lock-thread-okI)
  fix l t
  assume has-lock (ls $ l) t
  with lto have  $\exists xw. ts t = \lfloor xw \rfloor$ 
    by(auto elim!: lock-thread-okE simp del: split-paired-Ex)
  thus  $\exists xw. redT-updTs ts nts t = \lfloor xw \rfloor$ 
    by(auto intro: redT-updTs-Some1 simp del: split-paired-Ex)
qed

lemma lock-thread-ok-has-lock:
  assumes lock-thread-ok ls ts
  and has-lock (ls $ l) t
  obtains xw where ts t =  $\lfloor xw \rfloor$ 
using assms
by(auto dest!: lock-thread-okD)

lemma lock-thread-ok-None-has-locks-0:
   $\llbracket lock-thread-ok ls ts; ts t = None \rrbracket \implies has-locks (ls \$ l) t = 0$ 
by(rule ccontr)(auto dest: lock-thread-okD)

lemma redT-upds-preserves-lock-thread-ok:
   $\llbracket lock-thread-ok ls ts; ts t = \lfloor xw \rfloor; thread-oks ts tas \rrbracket \implies lock-thread-ok (redT-updLs ls t las) ((redT-updTs ts tas)(t \mapsto xw'))$ 
apply(rule lock-thread-okI)
apply(clar simp simp del: split-paired-Ex)
apply(drule has-lock-upd-locks-implies-has-lock, simp)
apply(drule lock-thread-okD, assumption)
apply(erule exE)
by(rule redT-updTs-Some1)

lemma acquire-all-preserves-lock-thread-ok:
  fixes ln
  shows  $\llbracket lock-thread-ok ls ts; ts t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rrbracket \implies lock-thread-ok (acquire-all ls t ln) (ts(t \mapsto xw))$ 
by(rule lock-thread-okI)(auto dest!: has-lock-acquire-locks-implies-has-lock dest: lock-thread-okD)

Well-formedness condition: Wait sets contain only real threads

definition wset-thread-ok :: ('w, 't) wait-sets  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 't, 'x) thread-info  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where wset-thread-ok ws ts  $\equiv$   $\forall t. ts t = None \implies ws t = None$ 

lemma wset-thread-okI:
   $(\bigwedge t. ts t = None \implies ws t = None) \implies wset-thread-ok ws ts$ 
by(simp add: wset-thread-ok-def)

lemma wset-thread-okD:
   $\llbracket wset-thread-ok ws ts; ts t = None \rrbracket \implies ws t = None$ 
by(simp add: wset-thread-ok-def)

lemma wset-thread-ok-conv-dom:
  wset-thread-ok ws ts  $\longleftrightarrow$  dom ws  $\subseteq$  dom ts
by(auto simp add: wset-thread-ok-def)

```

```

lemma wset-thread-ok-upd:
  wset-thread-ok ls ts  $\implies$  wset-thread-ok ls (ts(t  $\mapsto$  xw))
by(auto intro!: wset-thread-okI dest: wset-thread-okD split: if-split-asm)

lemma wset-thread-ok-upd-None:
  wset-thread-ok ws ts  $\implies$  wset-thread-ok (ws(t := None)) (ts(t := None))
by(auto intro!: wset-thread-okI dest: wset-thread-okD split: if-split-asm)

lemma wset-thread-ok-upd-Some:
  wset-thread-ok ws ts  $\implies$  wset-thread-ok (ws(t := wo)) (ts(t  $\mapsto$  xln))
by(auto intro!: wset-thread-okI dest: wset-thread-okD split: if-split-asm)

lemma wset-thread-ok-upd-ws:
  [ wset-thread-ok ws ts; ts t = [xln] ]  $\implies$  wset-thread-ok (ws(t := w)) ts
by(auto intro!: wset-thread-okI dest: wset-thread-okD)

lemma wset-thread-ok-NotifyAllI:
  wset-thread-ok ws ts  $\implies$  wset-thread-ok ( $\lambda t.$  if ws t = [w t] then [w' t] else ws t) ts
by(simp add: wset-thread-ok-def)

lemma redT-updTs-preserves-wset-thread-ok:
  assumes wto: wset-thread-ok ws ts
  shows wset-thread-ok ws (redT-updTs ts nts)
proof(rule wset-thread-okI)
  fix t
  assume redT-updTs ts nts t = None
  hence ts t = None by(rule redT-updTs-None)
  with wto show ws t = None by(rule wset-thread-okD)
qed

lemma redT-updW-preserve-wset-thread-ok:
  [ wset-thread-ok ws ts; redT-updW t ws wa ws'; ts t = [xln] ]  $\implies$  wset-thread-ok ws' ts
by(fastforce simp add: redT-updW.simps intro!: wset-thread-okI wset-thread-ok-NotifyAllI wset-thread-ok-upd-ws dest: wset-thread-okD)

lemma redT-updWs-preserve-wset-thread-ok:
  [ wset-thread-ok ws ts; redT-updWs t ws was ws'; ts t = [xln] ]  $\implies$  wset-thread-ok ws' ts
unfolding redT-updWs-def apply(rotate-tac 1)
by(induct rule: rtrancl3p-converse-induct)(auto intro: redT-updW-preserve-wset-thread-ok)

Well-formedness condition: Wait sets contain only non-final threads

context final-thread begin

definition wset-final-ok :: ('w, 't) wait-sets  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 't, 'x) thread-info  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where wset-final-ok ws ts  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall t \in \text{dom ws}.$   $\exists x ln.$  ts t = [(x, ln)]  $\wedge$   $\neg \text{final } x$ )

lemma wset-final-okI:
  ( $\bigwedge t w.$  ws t = [w]  $\implies$   $\exists x ln.$  ts t = [(x, ln)]  $\wedge$   $\neg \text{final } x$ )  $\implies$  wset-final-ok ws ts
unfolding wset-final-ok-def by(blast)

lemma wset-final-okD:
  [ wset-final-ok ws ts; ws t = [w] ]  $\implies$   $\exists x ln.$  ts t = [(x, ln)]  $\wedge$   $\neg \text{final } x$ 
unfolding wset-final-ok-def by(blast)

```

```

lemma wset-final-okE:
  assumes wset-final-ok ws ts ws t = |w|
  and  $\bigwedge x \ln. ts t = \lfloor(x, \ln)\rfloor \implies \neg \text{final } x \implies \text{thesis}$ 
  shows thesis
  using assms by(blast dest: wset-final-okD)

lemma wset-final-ok-imp-wset-thread-ok:
  wset-final-ok ws ts  $\implies$  wset-thread-ok ws ts
  apply(rule wset-thread-okI)
  apply(rule ccontr)
  apply(auto elim: wset-final-okE)
  done

end

end

```

1.8 Semantics of the thread action ReleaseAcquire for the thread state

```

theory FWLockingThread
imports
  FWLocking
begin

fun upd-threadR :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  lock-action  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
  upd-threadR n l t ReleaseAcquire = n + has-locks l t
  | upd-threadR n l t - = n

primrec upd-threadRs :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  't lock  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  lock-action list  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
  upd-threadRs n l t [] = n
  | upd-threadRs n l t (la # las) = upd-threadRs (upd-threadR n l t la) (upd-lock l t la) t las

lemma upd-threadRs-append [simp]:
  upd-threadRs n l t (las @ las') = upd-threadRs (upd-threadRs n l t las) (upd-locks l t las) t las'
  by(induct las arbitrary: n l, auto)

definition redT-updLns :: ('l, 't) locks  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('l  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  'l lock-actions  $\Rightarrow$  ('l  $\Rightarrow$  nat)
where  $\bigwedge ln. \text{redT-updLns } ls t ln las = (\lambda(l, n, la). \text{upd-threadRs } n l t la) \circ \$ (ls, (\$ln, las\$))\$$ 

lemma redT-updLns-iff [simp]:
   $\bigwedge ln. \text{redT-updLns } ls t ln las \$ l = \text{upd-threadRs } (ln \$ l) (ls \$ l) t (las \$ l)$ 
  by(simp add: redT-updLns-def)

lemma upd-threadRs-comp-empty [simp]: ( $\lambda(l, n, las). \text{upd-threadRs } n l t las$ )  $\circ \$ (\$ls, (\$lns, K\$ []\$))\$$ 
  = lns
  by(auto intro!: finfun-ext)

lemma redT-updLs-empty [simp]: redT-updLs ls t (K\$ []) = ls
  by(simp add: redT-updLs-def)

```

end

1.9 Semantics of the thread actions for interruption

```

theory FWInterrupt
imports
  FWState
begin

primrec redT-updI :: 't interrupts  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupt-action  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupts'
where
  redT-updI is (Interrupt t) = insert t is
  | redT-updI is (ClearInterrupt t) = is - {t}
  | redT-updI is (IsInterrupted t b) = is

fun redT-updIs :: 't interrupts  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupt-action list  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupts
where
  redT-updIs is [] = is
  | redT-updIs is (ia # ias) = redT-updIs (redT-updI is ia) ias

primrec interrupt-action-ok :: 't interrupts  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupt-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  interrupt-action-ok is (Interrupt t) = True
  | interrupt-action-ok is (ClearInterrupt t) = True
  | interrupt-action-ok is (IsInterrupted t b) = (b = (t  $\in$  is))

fun interrupt-actions-ok :: 't interrupts  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupt-action list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  interrupt-actions-ok is [] = True
  | interrupt-actions-ok is (ia # ias)  $\longleftrightarrow$  interrupt-action-ok is ia  $\wedge$  interrupt-actions-ok (redT-updI is ia) ias

primrec interrupt-action-ok' :: 't interrupts  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupt-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  interrupt-action-ok' is (Interrupt t) = True
  | interrupt-action-ok' is (ClearInterrupt t) = True
  | interrupt-action-ok' is (IsInterrupted t b) = (b  $\vee$  t  $\notin$  is)

fun interrupt-actions-ok' :: 't interrupts  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupt-action list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  interrupt-actions-ok' is [] = True
  | interrupt-actions-ok' is (ia # ias)  $\longleftrightarrow$  interrupt-action-ok' is ia  $\wedge$  interrupt-actions-ok' (redT-updI is ia) ias

fun collect-interrupt :: 't interrupt-action  $\Rightarrow$  't set  $\Rightarrow$  't set
where
  collect-interrupt (IsInterrupted t True) Ts = insert t Ts
  | collect-interrupt (Interrupt t) Ts = Ts - {t}
  | collect-interrupt - Ts = Ts

definition collect-interrupts :: 't interrupt-action list  $\Rightarrow$  't set
where collect-interrupts ias = foldr collect-interrupt ias {}

```

```

lemma collect-interrupts-interrupted:
   $\llbracket \text{interrupt-actions-ok } is \text{ } ias; t' \in \text{collect-interrupts } ias \rrbracket \implies t' \in is$ 
unfolding collect-interrupts-def
proof(induct ias arbitrary: is)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons ia ias) thus ?case
    by(cases (ia, foldr collect-interrupt ias {}) rule: collect-interrupt.cases) auto
qed

lemma interrupt-actions-ok-append [simp]:
  interrupt-actions-ok is (ias @ ias')  $\longleftrightarrow$  interrupt-actions-ok is ias  $\wedge$  interrupt-actions-ok (redT-updIs is ias) ias'
  by(induct ias arbitrary: is) auto

lemma collect-interrupt-subset: Ts  $\subseteq$  Ts'  $\implies$  collect-interrupt ia Ts  $\subseteq$  collect-interrupt ia Ts'
  by(cases (ia, Ts) rule: collect-interrupt.cases) auto

lemma foldr-collect-interrupt-subset:
  Ts  $\subseteq$  Ts'  $\implies$  foldr collect-interrupt ias Ts  $\subseteq$  foldr collect-interrupt ias Ts'
  by(induct ias)(simp-all add: collect-interrupt-subset)

lemma interrupt-actions-ok-all-nthI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge n. n < \text{length } ias \implies \text{interrupt-action-ok } (\text{redT-updIs is } (\text{take } n \text{ ias})) \text{ } (ias ! n)$ 
  shows interrupt-actions-ok is ias
  using assms
  proof(induct ias arbitrary: is)
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (Cons ia ias)
      from Cons.prems[of 0] have interrupt-action-ok is ia by simp
      moreover
        { fix n
          assume n < length ias
          hence interrupt-action-ok (redT-updIs (redT-updI is ia) (take n ias)) (ias ! n)
            using Cons.prems[of Suc n] by simp }
          hence interrupt-actions-ok (redT-updI is ia) ias by(rule Cons.hyps)
          ultimately show ?case by simp
  qed

lemma interrupt-actions-ok-nthD:
  assumes interrupt-actions-ok is ias
  and n < length ias
  shows interrupt-action-ok (redT-updIs is (take n ias)) (ias ! n)
  using assms
  by(induct n arbitrary: is ias)(case-tac [!] ias, auto)

lemma interrupt-actions-ok'-all-nthI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge n. n < \text{length } ias \implies \text{interrupt-action-ok}' \text{ } (\text{redT-updIs is } (\text{take } n \text{ ias})) \text{ } (ias ! n)$ 
  shows interrupt-actions-ok' is ias
  using assms
  proof(induct ias arbitrary: is)
    case Nil thus ?case by simp

```

```

next
  case (Cons ia ias)
  from Cons.preds[of 0] have interrupt-action-ok' is ia by simp
  moreover
  { fix n
    assume n < length ias
    hence interrupt-action-ok' (redT-updIs (redT-updI is ia) (take n ias)) (ias ! n)
      using Cons.preds[of Suc n] by simp }
    hence interrupt-actions-ok' (redT-updI is ia) ias by (rule Cons.hyps)
    ultimately show ?case by simp
  qed

lemma interrupt-actions-ok'-nthD:
  assumes interrupt-actions-ok' is ias
  and n < length ias
  shows interrupt-action-ok' (redT-updIs is (take n ias)) (ias ! n)
  using assms
  by (induct n arbitrary: is ias) (case-tac [!] ias, auto)

lemma interrupt-action-ok-imp-interrupt-action-ok' [simp]:
  interrupt-action-ok is ia  $\implies$  interrupt-action-ok' is ia
  by (cases ia) simp-all

lemma interrupt-actions-ok-imp-interrupt-actions-ok' [simp]:
  interrupt-actions-ok is ias  $\implies$  interrupt-actions-ok' is ias
  by (induct ias arbitrary: is) (simp-all)

lemma collect-interruptsE:
  assumes t' ∈ collect-interrupts ias'
  obtains n' where n' < length ias' ias' ! n' = IsInterrupted t' True
  and Interrupt t' ∉ set (take n' ias')
  proof (atomize-elim)
    from assms show  $\exists n' < \text{length } ias'. ias' ! n' = \text{IsInterrupted } t' \text{ True} \wedge \text{Interrupt } t' \notin \text{set } (\text{take } n' ias')$ 
    unfolding collect-interrupts-def
    proof (induct ias' arbitrary: t')
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons ia ias) thus ?case
        by (cases (ia, foldr collect-interrupt ias {}) rule: collect-interrupt.cases) fastforce+
    qed
  qed

lemma collect-interrupts-prefix:
  collect-interrupts ias ⊆ collect-interrupts (ias @ ias')
  by (metis Un-empty collect-interrupts-def foldr-append foldr-collect-interrupt-subset inf-sup-ord(1) inf-sup-ord(2) subset-Un-eq)

lemma redT-updI-insert-Interrupt:
   $\llbracket t \in \text{redT-updI is ia}; t \notin \text{is} \rrbracket \implies ia = \text{Interrupt } t$ 
  by (cases ia) simp-all

lemma redT-updIs-insert-Interrupt:
   $\llbracket t \in \text{redT-updIs is ias}; t \notin \text{is} \rrbracket \implies \text{Interrupt } t \in \text{set ias}$ 

```

```

proof(induct ias arbitrary: is)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons ia ias) thus ?case
    by(cases t ∈ redT-updI is ia)(auto dest: redT-updI-insert-Interrupt)
qed

lemma interrupt-actions-ok-takeI:
  interrupt-actions-ok is ias ==> interrupt-actions-ok is (take n ias)
  by(subst (asm) append-take-drop-id[symmetric, where n=n])(simp del: append-take-drop-id)

lemma interrupt-actions-ok'-collect-interrupts-imp-interrupt-actions-ok:
  assumes int: interrupt-actions-ok' is ias
  and ci: collect-interrupts ias ⊆ is
  and int': interrupt-actions-ok is' ias
  shows interrupt-actions-ok is ias
proof(rule interrupt-actions-ok-all-nthI)
  fix n
  assume n: n < length ias
  show interrupt-action-ok (redT-updIs is (take n ias)) (ias ! n)
  proof(cases ∃ t. ias ! n = IsInterrupted t True)
    case False
      with interrupt-actions-ok'-nthD[OF int n] show ?thesis by(cases ias ! n) simp-all
  next
    case True
      then obtain t where ia: ias ! n = IsInterrupted t True ..
      from int' n have interrupt-action-ok (redT-updIs is' (take n ias)) (ias ! n) by(rule interrupt-actions-ok-nthD)
        with ia have t ∈ redT-updIs is' (take n ias) by simp
        moreover have ias = take (Suc n) ias @ drop (Suc n) ias by simp
        with ci have collect-interrupts (take (Suc n) ias) ⊆ is
          by (metis collect-interrupts-prefix subset-trans)
        ultimately have t ∈ redT-updIs is (take n ias) using n ia int int'
        proof(induct n arbitrary: is is' ias)
          case 0 thus ?case by(clar simp simp add: neq-Nil-conv collect-interrupts-def)
        next
          case (Suc n)
            from ⟨Suc n < length ias⟩ obtain ia ias'
              where ias [simp]: ias = ia # ias' by(cases ias) auto
              from ⟨interrupt-actions-ok is' ias⟩
              have ia-ok: interrupt-action-ok is' ia by simp

              from ⟨t ∈ redT-updIs is' (take (Suc n) ias)⟩
              have t ∈ redT-updIs (redT-updI is' ia) (take n ias') by simp
              moreover from ⟨collect-interrupts (take (Suc (Suc n)) ias) ⊆ is⟩ ia-ok
              have collect-interrupts (take (Suc n) ias') ⊆ redT-updI is ia
              proof(cases (ia, is) rule: collect-interrupt.cases)
                case (3-2 t' Ts)
                  hence [simp]: ia = ClearInterrupt t' Ts = is by simp-all
                  have t' ∉ collect-interrupts (take (Suc n) ias')
                  proof
                    assume t' ∈ collect-interrupts (take (Suc n) ias')
                    then obtain n' where n' < length (take (Suc n) ias') take (Suc n) ias' ! n' = IsInterrupted
                      t' True

```

```

Interrupt t' ∉ set (take n' (take (Suc n) ias')) by(rule collect-interruptsE)
hence n' ≤ n ias' ! n' = IsInterrupted t' True Interrupt t' ∉ set (take n' ias')
  using <Suc n < length ias> by(simp-all add: min-def split: if-split-asm)
hence Suc n' < length ias using <Suc n < length ias> by(simp add: min-def)
with <interrupt-actions-ok is' ias>
have interrupt-action-ok (redT-updIs is' (take (Suc n') ias)) (ias ! Suc n')
  by(rule interrupt-actions-ok-nthD)
with <Suc n < length ias> <ias' ! n' = IsInterrupted t' True>
have t' ∈ redT-updIs (is' - {t'}) (take n' ias) by simp
hence Interrupt t' ∈ set (take n' ias)
  by(rule redT-updIs-insert-Interrupt) simp
with <Interrupt t' ∉ set (take n' ias)> show False by contradiction
qed
thus ?thesis using <collect-interrupts (take (Suc (Suc n)) ias) ⊆ is>
  by(auto simp add: collect-interrupts-def)
qed(auto simp add: collect-interrupts-def)
moreover from <Suc n < length ias> have n < length ias by simp
moreover from <ias ! Suc n = IsInterrupted t True> have ias' ! n = IsInterrupted t True by
simp
moreover from <interrupt-actions-ok' is ias> have interrupt-actions-ok' (redT-updI is ia) ias'
  unfolding ias by simp
moreover from <interrupt-actions-ok is' ias> have interrupt-actions-ok (redT-updI is' ia) ias'
by simp
ultimately have t ∈ redT-updIs (redT-updI is ia) (take n ias) by(rule Suc)
thus ?case by simp
qed
thus ?thesis unfolding ia by simp
qed
qed

end

```

1.10 The multithreaded semantics

```

theory FWSemantics
imports
  FWWellform
  FWLockingThread
  FWCondAction
  FWInterrupt
begin

inductive redT-upd :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state ⇒ 't ⇒ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'm ⇒
('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state ⇒ bool
for s t ta x' m'
where
  redT-updWs t (wset s) {ta}w ws'
  ⇒ redT-upd s t ta x' m' (redT-updLs (locks s) t {ta}l, ((redT-updTs (thr s) {ta}t)(t ↦ (x',
redT-updLns (locks s) t (snd (the (thr s t))) {ta}l)), m'), ws', redT-updIs (interrupts s) {ta}i)

inductive-simps redT-upd-simps [simp]:
  redT-upd s t ta x' m' s'

```

```

definition redT-acq :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('l  $\Rightarrow$  f nat)  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state
where
   $\Lambda ln.$  redT-acq s t ln = (acquire-all (locks s) t ln, ((thr s)(t  $\mapsto$  (fst (the (thr s t)), no-wait-locks)), shr s), wset s, interrupts s)

context final-thread begin

inductive actions-ok :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x','m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for s :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state and t :: 't and ta :: ('l,'t,'x','m,'w,'o) thread-action
  where
    [] lock-ok-las (locks s) t {ta}l; thread-oks (thr s) {ta}t; cond-action-oks s t {ta}c;
      wset-actions-ok (wset s) t {ta}w; interrupt-actions-ok (interrupts s) {ta}i []
     $\implies$  actions-ok s t ta

declare actions-ok.intros [intro!]
declare actions-ok.cases [elim!]

lemma actions-ok-iff [simp]:
  actions-ok s t ta  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  lock-ok-las (locks s) t {ta}l  $\wedge$  thread-oks (thr s) {ta}t  $\wedge$  cond-action-oks s t {ta}c  $\wedge$ 
  wset-actions-ok (wset s) t {ta}w  $\wedge$  interrupt-actions-ok (interrupts s) {ta}i
by(auto)

lemma actions-ok-thread-oksD:
  actions-ok s t ta  $\implies$  thread-oks (thr s) {ta}t
by(erule actions-ok.cases)

inductive actions-ok' :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x','m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  [] lock-ok-las' (locks s) t {ta}l; thread-oks (thr s) {ta}t; cond-action-oks' s t {ta}c;
    wset-actions-ok (wset s) t {ta}w; interrupt-actions-ok' (interrupts s) {ta}i []
   $\implies$  actions-ok' s t ta

declare actions-ok'.intros [intro!]
declare actions-ok'.cases [elim!]

lemma actions-ok'-iff:
  actions-ok' s t ta  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  lock-ok-las' (locks s) t {ta}l  $\wedge$  thread-oks (thr s) {ta}t  $\wedge$  cond-action-oks' s t {ta}c  $\wedge$ 
  wset-actions-ok (wset s) t {ta}w  $\wedge$  interrupt-actions-ok' (interrupts s) {ta}i
by auto

lemma actions-ok'-ta-upd-obs:
  actions-ok' s t (ta-update-obs ta obs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  actions-ok' s t ta
by(auto simp add: actions-ok'-iff lock-ok-las'-def ta-upd-simps wset-actions-ok-def)

lemma actions-ok'-empty: actions-ok' s t  $\varepsilon$   $\longleftrightarrow$  wset s t = None
by(simp add: actions-ok'-iff lock-ok-las'-def)

lemma actions-ok'-convert-extTA:
  actions-ok' s t (convert-extTA f ta) = actions-ok' s t ta
by(simp add: actions-ok'-iff)

inductive actions-subset :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x','m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where

```

```


$$\llbracket \text{collect-locks}' \{ta'\}_l \subseteq \text{collect-locks} \{ta\}_l;$$


$$\text{collect-cond-actions} \{ta'\}_c \subseteq \text{collect-cond-actions} \{ta\}_c;$$


$$\text{collect-interrupts} \{ta'\}_i \subseteq \text{collect-interrupts} \{ta\}_i \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{actions-subset } ta' \text{ ta}$$


declare actions-subset.intros [intro!]
declare actions-subset.cases [elim!]

lemma actions-subset-iff:
  actions-subset ta' ta  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  collect-locks' \{ta'\}_l \subseteq collect-locks \{ta\}_l \wedge
  collect-cond-actions \{ta'\}_c \subseteq collect-cond-actions \{ta\}_c \wedge
  collect-interrupts \{ta'\}_i \subseteq collect-interrupts \{ta\}_i
by auto

lemma actions-subset-refl [intro]:
  actions-subset ta ta
by(auto intro: actions-subset.intros collect-locks'-subset-collect-locks del: subsetI)

definition final-thread :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
   $\bigwedge ln. \text{final-thread } s t \equiv (\text{case } thr s t \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor \Rightarrow \text{final } x \wedge ln = \text{no-wait-locks} \wedge wset s t = \text{None})$ 

definition final-threads :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't set
where final-threads s  $\equiv$  {t. final-thread s t}

lemma [iff]: t  $\in$  final-threads s  $\equiv$  final-thread s t
by (simp add: final-threads-def)

lemma [pred-set-conv]: final-thread s  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda t. t \in$  final-threads s)
by simp

definition mfinal :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where mfinal s  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall t x ln. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor \longrightarrow \text{final } x \wedge ln = \text{no-wait-locks} \wedge wset s t = \text{None}$ )
```

lemma final-threadI:
 $\llbracket \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor; \text{final } x; wset s t = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies \text{final-thread } s t$
by(simp add: final-thread-def)

lemma final-threadE:
assumes final-thread s t
obtains x **where** thr s t = $\lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ final x wset s t = None
using assms **by**(auto simp add: final-thread-def)

lemma mfinalI:
 $(\bigwedge t x ln. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor \implies \text{final } x \wedge ln = \text{no-wait-locks} \wedge wset s t = \text{None}) \implies \text{mfinal } s$
unfolding mfinal-def **by** blast

lemma mfinalD:
fixes ln
assumes mfinal s thr s t = $\lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor$
shows final x ln = no-wait-locks wset s t = None
using assms **unfolding** mfinal-def **by** blast+

```

lemma mfinalE:
  fixes ln
  assumes mfinal s thr s t =  $\lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor$ 
  obtains final x ln = no-wait-locks wset s t = None
  using mfinalD[OF assms] by(rule that)

lemma mfinal-def2: mfinal s  $\longleftrightarrow$  dom (thr s)  $\subseteq$  final-threads s
  by(fastforce elim: mfinalE final-threadE intro: mfinalI final-threadI)

end

locale multithreaded-base = final-thread +
  constrains final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  fixes r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics (-  $\vdash$  -  $\dashrightarrow$  - [50,0,0,50] 80)
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
begin

abbreviation
  r-syntax :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'm  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'm  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    (-  $\vdash$  <-, ->  $\dashrightarrow$  <-, -> [50,0,0,0,0,0] 80)
where
   $t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \equiv t \vdash (x, m) \dashrightarrow (x', m')$ 

inductive
  redT :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\times$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and
  redT-syntax1 :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state
   $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\dashrightarrow$  - [50,0,0,50] 80)
where
   $s \dashrightarrow ta \rightarrow s' \equiv redT s (t, ta) s'$ 

  | redT-normal:
     $\llbracket t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle;$ 
     $thr s t = \lfloor(x, no-wait-locks)\rfloor;$ 
     $actions\text{-}ok s t ta;$ 
     $redT\text{-}upd s t ta x' m' s' \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies s \dashrightarrow ta \rightarrow s'$ 

  | redT-acquire:
     $\bigwedge ln. \llbracket thr s t = \lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor; \neg waiting(wset s t);$ 
     $may\text{-}acquire\text{-}all(locks s) t ln; ln \$ n > 0;$ 
     $s' = (acquire\text{-}all(locks s) t ln, ((thr s)(t \mapsto (x, no-wait-locks)), shr s), wset s, interrupts s) \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies s \dashrightarrow ((K\$[], [], [], [], [], convert\text{-}RA ln) \rightarrow s')$ 

abbreviation
  redT-syntax2 :: ('l,'t) locks  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x) thread-info  $\times$  'm  $\Rightarrow$  ('w,'t) wait-sets  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupts
     $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action
     $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t) locks  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x) thread-info  $\times$  'm  $\Rightarrow$  ('w,'t) wait-sets  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupts  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    ( $\langle\langle -, -, -, -, -\rangle\rangle \dashrightarrow \langle\langle -, -, -, -, -\rangle\rangle [0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0] 80$ )
where
   $\langle ls, tsm, ws, is \rangle \dashrightarrow ta \rightarrow \langle ls', tsm', ws', is' \rangle \equiv (ls, tsm, ws, is) \dashrightarrow ta \rightarrow (ls', tsm', ws', is')$ 

lemma redT-elims [consumes 1, case-names normal acquire]:

```

assumes $red: s \rightarrow ta \rightarrow s'$
and $normal: \bigwedge x x' m' ws'.$

$\llbracket t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle;$
 $thr s t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-}wait\text{-}locks) \rfloor;$
 $lock\text{-}ok\text{-}las (locks s) t \{ta\}_l;$
 $thread\text{-}oks (thr s) \{ta\}_t;$
 $cond\text{-}action\text{-}oks s t \{ta\}_c;$
 $wset\text{-}actions\text{-}ok (wset s) t \{ta\}_w;$
 $interrupt\text{-}actions\text{-}ok (interrupts s) \{ta\}_i;$
 $redT\text{-}updWs t (wset s) \{ta\}_w ws';$
 $s' = (redT\text{-}updLs (locks s) t \{ta\}_l, ((redT\text{-}updTs (thr s) \{ta\}_t)(t \mapsto (x', redT\text{-}updLns (locks s) t no\text{-}wait\text{-}locks \{ta\}_l)), m'), ws', redT\text{-}updIs (interrupts s) \{ta\}_i))$

$\implies thesis$

and $acquire: \bigwedge x ln n.$

$\llbracket thr s t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor;$
 $ta = (K\$[], [], [], [], [], convert\text{-}RA ln);$
 $\neg waiting (wset s t);$
 $may\text{-}acquire\text{-}all (locks s) t ln; 0 < ln \$ n;$
 $s' = (acquire\text{-}all (locks s) t ln, ((thr s)(t \mapsto (x, no\text{-}wait\text{-}locks))), shr s), wset s, interrupts s)$

$\implies thesis$

shows $thesis$

using red

proof cases

case $redT\text{-normal}$

thus $?thesis$ **using** $normal$ **by**(cases s')(auto)

next

case $redT\text{-acquire}$

thus $?thesis$ **by**-(rule acquire, fastforce+)

qed

definition

$RedT :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) state \Rightarrow ('t \times ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action) list \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) state \Rightarrow bool$

$(- \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow - [50, 0, 50] 80)$

where

$RedT \equiv rtrancl3p redT$

lemma $RedTI:$

$rtrancl3p redT s ttas s' \implies RedT s ttas s'$

by(simp add: $RedT\text{-def}$)

lemma $RedTE:$

$\llbracket RedT s ttas s'; rtrancl3p redT s ttas s' \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$

by(auto simp add: $RedT\text{-def}$)

lemma $RedTD:$

$RedT s ttas s' \implies rtrancl3p redT s ttas s'$

by(simp add: $RedT\text{-def}$)

lemma $RedT\text{-induct}$ [consumes 1, case-names refl step]:

$\llbracket s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow * s';$
 $\bigwedge s. P s \llbracket s;$
 $\bigwedge s ttas s' t ta s''. \llbracket s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow * s'; P s ttas s'; s' \rightarrow ta \rightarrow s'' \rrbracket \implies P s (ttas @ [(t, ta)]) s' \rrbracket$
 $\implies P s ttas s' \rrbracket$

```

unfolding RedT-def
by(erule rtrancl3p.induct) auto

lemma RedT-induct' [consumes 1, case-names refl step]:
   $\llbracket s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow^* s'; P s \llbracket s; \wedge ttas s' t ta s''. \llbracket s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow^* s'; P s ttas s'; s' -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s'' \rrbracket \implies P s (ttas @ [(t, ta)]) s'' \rrbracket \implies P s ttas s'$ 
unfolded RedT-def
apply(erule rtrancl3p-induct', blast)
apply(case-tac b, blast)
done

lemma RedT-lift-preserved:
  assumes Red:  $s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow^* s'$ 
  and P:  $P s$ 
  and preserve:  $\wedge s t tas s'. \llbracket s -t\triangleright tas \rightarrow s'; P s \rrbracket \implies P s'$ 
  shows  $P s'$ 
  using Red P
  by(induct rule: RedT-induct)(auto intro: preserve)

lemma RedT-refl [intro, simp]:
   $s \rightarrow \llbracket \rightarrow^* s$ 
by(rule RedTI)(rule rtrancl3p-refl)

lemma redT-has-locks-inv:
   $\llbracket \langle ls, (ts, m), ws, is \rangle -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow \langle ls', (ts', m'), ws', is' \rangle; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies has-locks (ls \$ l) t' = has-locks (ls' \$ l) t'$ 
by(auto elim!: redT.cases intro: redT-updLs-has-locks[THEN sym, simplified] may-acquire-all-has-locks-acquire-lock)

lemma redT-has-lock-inv:
   $\llbracket \langle ls, (ts, m), ws, is \rangle -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow \langle ls', (ts', m'), ws', is' \rangle; t \neq t' \rrbracket \implies has-lock (ls \$ l) t' = has-lock (ls' \$ l) t'$ 
by(auto simp add: redT-has-locks-inv)

lemma redT-ts-Some-inv:
   $\llbracket \langle ls, (ts, m), ws, is \rangle -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow \langle ls', (ts', m'), ws', is' \rangle; t \neq t'; ts t' = \lfloor x \rfloor \rrbracket \implies ts' t' = \lfloor x \rfloor$ 
by(fastforce elim!: redT.cases simp: redT-updTs-upd[THEN sym] intro: redT-updTs-None)

lemma redT-thread-not-disappear:
   $\llbracket s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s'; thr s' t' = None \rrbracket \implies thr s t' = None$ 
apply(cases t ≠ t')
apply(auto elim!: redT-elims simp add: redT-updTs-upd[THEN sym] intro: redT-updTs-None)
done

lemma RedT-thread-not-disappear:
   $\llbracket s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow^* s'; thr s' t' = None \rrbracket \implies thr s t' = None$ 
apply(erule contrapos-pp[where Q=thr s' t' = None])
apply(drule (1) RedT-lift-preserved)
apply(erule-tac Q=thr sa t' = None in contrapos-nn)
apply(erule redT-thread-not-disappear)
apply(auto)
done

```

lemma *redT-preserves-wset-thread-ok*:
 $\llbracket s \xrightarrow{ta} s'; wset\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (wset s) (thr s) \rrbracket \implies wset\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (wset s') (thr s')$
by(*fastforce elim!*: *redT.cases intro*: *wset-thread-ok-upd redT-updTs-preserves-wset-thread-ok redT-updWs-preserve-wset-thread*)

lemma *RedT-preserves-wset-thread-ok*:
 $\llbracket s \xrightarrow{ttas} s'; wset\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (wset s) (thr s) \rrbracket \implies wset\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (wset s') (thr s')$
by(*erule* (1) *RedT-lift-preserveD*)(*erule redT-preserves-wset-thread-ok*)

lemma *redT-new-thread-ts-Some*:
 $\llbracket s \xrightarrow{ta} s'; NewThread t x m'' \in set \{ta\}_t; wset\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (wset s) (thr s) \rrbracket \implies thr s' t' = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait}\text{-locks}) \rfloor$
by(*erule redT-elims*)(*auto dest: thread-oks-new-thread elim: redT-updTs-new-thread-ts*)

lemma *RedT-new-thread-ts-not-None*:
 $\llbracket s \xrightarrow{ttas} s'; NewThread t x m'' \in set (concat (map (thr-a \circ snd) ttas)); wset\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (wset s) (thr s) \rrbracket \implies thr s' t \neq None$
proof(*induct rule: RedT-induct*)
 case *refl thus ?case by simp*
next
 case (*step S TTAS S' T TA S''*)
 note *Red* = $\langle S \xrightarrow{} TTAS \xrightarrow{*} S' \rangle$
 note *IH* = $\langle \llbracket NewThread t x m'' \in set (concat (map (thr-a \circ snd) TTAS)); wset\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (wset S) (thr S) \rrbracket \implies thr S' t \neq None \rangle$
 note *red* = $\langle S' - T \xrightarrow{} TA \xrightarrow{} S'' \rangle$
 note *ins* = $\langle NewThread t x m'' \in set (concat (map (thr-a \circ snd) (TTAS @ [(T, TA)]))) \rangle$
 note *wto* = $\langle wset\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (wset S) (thr S) \rangle$
 from *Red wto have wto'*: *wset-thread-ok (wset S') (thr S')* **by**(*auto dest: RedT-preserves-wset-thread-ok*)

 show *?case*
 proof(*cases NewThread t x m'' \in set \{TA\}_t*)
 case *True thus ?thesis using red wto'*
 by(*auto dest!: redT-new-thread-ts-Some*)
next
 case *False*
 hence *NewThread t x m'' \in set (concat (map (thr-a \circ snd) TTAS)) using ins by(auto)*
 hence *thr S' t \neq None using wto by(rule IH)*
 with *red show ?thesis*
 by $-(erule contrapos-nn, auto dest: redT-thread-not-disappear)$
qed
qed

lemma *redT-preserves-lock-thread-ok*:
 $\llbracket s \xrightarrow{ta} s'; lock\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (locks s) (thr s) \rrbracket \implies lock\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (locks s') (thr s')$
by(*auto elim!*: *redT-elims intro: redT-upds-preserves-lock-thread-ok acquire-all-preserves-lock-thread-ok*)

lemma *RedT-preserves-lock-thread-ok*:
 $\llbracket s \xrightarrow{ttas} s'; lock\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (locks s) (thr s) \rrbracket \implies lock\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (locks s') (thr s')$
by(*erule* (1) *RedT-lift-preserveD*)(*erule redT-preserves-lock-thread-ok*)

lemma *redT-ex-new-thread*:
 assumes $s \xrightarrow{ta} s' wset\text{-thread}\text{-ok} (wset s) (thr s) \quad thr s' t = \lfloor (x, w) \rfloor \quad thr s t = None$
 shows $\exists m. NewThread t x m \in set \{ta\}_t \wedge w = no\text{-wait}\text{-locks}$
using *assms*

```

by cases (fastforce split: if-split-asm dest: wset-thread-okD redT-updTs-new-thread)+

lemma redT-ex-new-thread':
  assumes s -t>ta → s' thr s' t = ⌊(x, w)⌋ thr s t = None
  shows ∃ m x. NewThread t x m ∈ set {ta}t
  using assms
  by(cases)(fastforce split: if-split-asm dest!: redT-updTs-new-thread)+

definition deterministic :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state set ⇒ bool
where
  deterministic I ↔
    ( ∀ s t x ta' x' m' ta'' x'' m'' .
      s ∈ I
      → thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋
      → t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta' → ⟨x', m'⟩
      → t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta'' → ⟨x'', m''⟩
      → actions-ok s t ta' → actions-ok s t ta''
      → ta' = ta'' ∧ x' = x'' ∧ m' = m'' ) ∧ invariant3p redT I

lemma deterministicI:
  ⌈ ⋀ s t x ta' x' m' ta'' x'' m'' .
    ⌈ s ∈ I; thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋;
      t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta' → ⟨x', m'⟩; t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta'' → ⟨x'', m''⟩;
      actions-ok s t ta'; actions-ok s t ta'' ⌉
      ⇒ ta' = ta'' ∧ x' = x'' ∧ m' = m'' ;
      invariant3p redT I ⌉
    ⇒ deterministic I
  unfolding deterministic-def by blast

lemma deterministicD:
  ⌈ deterministic I;
    t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta' → ⟨x', m'⟩; t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta'' → ⟨x'', m''⟩;
    thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋; actions-ok s t ta'; actions-ok s t ta''; s ∈ I ⌉
  ⇒ ta' = ta'' ∧ x' = x'' ∧ m' = m'' 
  unfolding deterministic-def by blast

lemma deterministic-invariant3p:
  deterministic I ⇒ invariant3p redT I
  unfolding deterministic-def by blast

lemma deterministic-THE:
  ⌈ deterministic I; thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋; t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta → ⟨x', m'⟩; actions-ok s t ta; s ∈ I ⌉
  ⇒ (THE (ta, x', m'). t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta → ⟨x', m'⟩ ∧ actions-ok s t ta) = (ta, x', m')
  by(rule the-equality)(blast dest: deterministicD)+

end

locale multithreaded = multithreaded-base +
  constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
  and r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
  assumes new-thread-memory: [ t ⊢ s −ta → s'; NewThread t' x m ∈ set {ta}t ] ⇒ m = snd s'
  and final-no-red: [ t ⊢ (x, m) −ta → (x', m'); final x ] ⇒ False

```

```

begin

lemma redT-new-thread-common:
   $\llbracket s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s'; \text{NewThread } t' x m'' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t; \{ta\}_w = [] \rrbracket \implies m'' = \text{shr } s'$ 
  by(auto elim!: redT-elims rtrancl3p-cases dest: new-thread-memory)

lemma redT-new-thread:
  assumes  $s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s' \text{ thr } s' t = \lfloor (x, w) \rfloor \text{ thr } s t = \text{None } \{ta\}_w = []$ 
  shows  $\text{NewThread } t x (\text{shr } s') \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t \wedge w = \text{no-wait-locks}$ 
  using assms
  apply(cases rule: redT-elims)
  apply(auto split: if-split-asm del: conjI elim!: rtrancl3p-cases)
  apply(drule (?) redT-updTs-new-thread)
  apply(auto dest: new-thread-memory)
  done

lemma final-no-redT:
   $\llbracket s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s'; \text{thr } s t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \neg \text{final } x$ 
  by(auto elim!: redT-elims dest: final-no-red)

lemma mfinal-no-redT:
  assumes redT:  $s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s'$  and mfinal:  $\text{mfinal } s$ 
  shows False
  using redT mfinalD[OF mfinal, of t]
  by cases (metis final-no-red, metis neq-no-wait-locks-conv)

end

end

```

1.11 Auxiliary definitions for the progress theorem for the multithreaded semantics

```

theory FWProgressAux
imports
  FWSemantics
begin

abbreviation collect-waits :: "('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action \Rightarrow ('l + 't + 't) set"
  where "collect-waits ta \equiv \text{collect-locks } \{ta\}_l <+> \text{collect-cond-actions } \{ta\}_c <+> \text{collect-interrupts } \{ta\}_i"

lemma collect-waits-unfold:
  "collect-waits ta = \{l. Lock \in \text{set } (\{ta\}_l \$ l)\} <+> \{t. Join t \in \text{set } \{ta\}_c\} <+> \text{collect-interrupts } \{ta\}_i"
  by(simp add: collect-locks-def)

context multithreaded-base begin

definition must-sync :: "'t \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow \text{bool } (- \vdash \langle -, / \rangle / \wr [50, 0, 0] 81)" where
  "t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle \wr \longleftrightarrow (\exists ta x' m' s. t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \wedge \text{shr } s = m \wedge \text{actions-ok } s t ta)"

lemma must-sync-def2:

```

$t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle \wr \longleftrightarrow (\exists ta x' m' s. t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \wedge actions\text{-}ok s t ta)$
by(*fastforce simp add: must-sync-def intro: cond-action-oks-shr-change*)

lemma *must-syncI*:

$\exists ta x' m' s. t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \wedge actions\text{-}ok s t ta \implies t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle \wr$
by(*fastforce simp add: must-sync-def2*)

lemma *must-syncE*:

$\llbracket t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle \wr; \wedge ta x' m' s. \llbracket t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle; actions\text{-}ok s t ta; m = shr s \rrbracket \implies thesis \rrbracket \implies thesis$
by(*fastforce simp only: must-sync-def*)

definition *can-sync* :: $'t \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow ('l + 't + 't) set \Rightarrow bool (- \vdash \langle -, / \rangle / / \wr [50,0,0,0] 81)$

where

$t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle LT \wr \equiv \exists ta x' m'. t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \wedge (LT = collect\text{-}waits ta)$

lemma *can-syncI*:

$\llbracket t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle;$
 $LT = collect\text{-}waits ta \rrbracket \implies t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle LT \wr$
by(*cases ta*)(*fastforce simp add: can-sync-def*)

lemma *can-syncE*:

assumes $t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle LT \wr$
obtains $ta x' m'$
where $t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle$
and $LT = collect\text{-}waits ta$
using *assms*
by(*clarsimp simp add: can-sync-def*)

inductive-set *active-threads* :: $('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) state \Rightarrow 't set$

for $s :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) state$

where

normal:

$\bigwedge ln. \llbracket thr s t = Some (x, ln);$
 $ln = no\text{-}wait\text{-}locks;$
 $t \vdash (x, shr s) -ta \rightarrow x'm';$
 $actions\text{-}ok s t ta \rrbracket \implies t \in active\text{-}threads s$

| *acquire*:

$\bigwedge ln. \llbracket thr s t = Some (x, ln);$
 $ln \neq no\text{-}wait\text{-}locks;$
 $\neg waiting (wset s t);$
 $may\text{-}acquire\text{-}all (locks s) t ln \rrbracket \implies t \in active\text{-}threads s$

lemma *active-threads-iff*:

$active\text{-}threads s = \{t. \exists x ln. thr s t = Some (x, ln) \wedge$
 $(if ln = no\text{-}wait\text{-}locks$
 $then \exists ta x' m'. t \vdash (x, shr s) -ta \rightarrow (x', m') \wedge actions\text{-}ok s t ta$
 $else \neg waiting (wset s t) \wedge may\text{-}acquire\text{-}all (locks s) t ln)\}$

apply(*auto elim!: active-threads.cases intro: active-threads.intros*)

apply *blast*

done

```

lemma active-thread-ex-red:
  assumes  $t \in \text{active-threads } s$ 
  shows  $\exists ta\ s'. s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s'$ 
using assms
proof cases
  case (normal  $x\ ta\ x'm'\ ln$ )
    with redT-updWs-total[of  $t\ wset\ s\ \{ta\}w$ ]
    show ?thesis
      by(cases  $x'm'$ )(fastforce intro!: redT-normal simp del: split-paired-Ex)
  next
    case acquire thus ?thesis
      by(fastforce intro: redT-acquire simp del: split-paired-Ex simp add: neq-no-wait-locks-conv)
  qed

```

end

Well-formedness conditions for final

context final-thread **begin**

```

inductive not-final-thread :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  bool
for  $s :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w)$  state and  $t :: 't$  where
  not-final-thread-final:  $\bigwedge ln. \llbracket \text{thr } s\ t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor; \neg \text{final } x \rrbracket \implies \text{not-final-thread } s\ t$ 
  | not-final-thread-wait-locks:  $\bigwedge ln. \llbracket \text{thr } s\ t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor; ln \neq \text{no-wait-locks} \rrbracket \implies \text{not-final-thread } s\ t$ 
  | not-final-thread-wait-set:  $\bigwedge ln. \llbracket \text{thr } s\ t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor; wset\ s\ t = \lfloor w \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \text{not-final-thread } s\ t$ 

```

declare not-final-thread.cases [elim]

lemmas not-final-thread-cases = not-final-thread.cases [consumes 1, case-names final wait-locks wait-set]

```

lemma not-final-thread-cases2 [consumes 2, case-names final wait-locks wait-set]:
   $\bigwedge ln. \llbracket \text{not-final-thread } s\ t; \text{thr } s\ t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor;$ 
   $\neg \text{final } x \implies \text{thesis}; ln \neq \text{no-wait-locks} \implies \text{thesis}; \bigwedge w. wset\ s\ t = \lfloor w \rfloor \implies \text{thesis} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{thesis}$ 
by(auto)

```

```

lemma not-final-thread-iff:
  not-final-thread  $s\ t \longleftrightarrow (\exists x\ ln. \text{thr } s\ t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor \wedge (\neg \text{final } x \vee ln \neq \text{no-wait-locks} \vee (\exists w. wset\ s\ t = \lfloor w \rfloor)))$ 
by(auto intro: not-final-thread.intros)

```

```

lemma not-final-thread-conv:
  not-final-thread  $s\ t \longleftrightarrow \text{thr } s\ t \neq \text{None} \wedge \neg \text{final-thread } s\ t$ 
by(auto simp add: final-thread-def intro: not-final-thread.intros)

```

```

lemma not-final-thread-existsE:
  assumes not-final-thread  $s\ t$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x\ ln. \text{thr } s\ t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor \implies \text{thesis}$ 
  shows thesis
using assms by blast

```

lemma not-final-thread-final-thread-conv:

```

thr s t ≠ None  $\implies \neg \text{final-thread } s t \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{not-final-thread } s t$ 
by(simp add: not-final-thread-iff final-thread-def)

lemma may-join-cond-action-oks:
assumes  $\bigwedge t'. \text{Join } t' \in \text{set cas} \implies \neg \text{not-final-thread } s t' \wedge t \neq t'$ 
shows cond-action-oks s t cas
using assms
proof (induct cas)
  case Nil thus ?case by clarsimp
next
  case (Cons ca cas)
  note IH =  $\langle \bigwedge t'. \text{Join } t' \in \text{set cas} \implies \neg \text{not-final-thread } s t' \wedge t \neq t' \rangle$ 
     $\implies \text{cond-action-oks } s t \text{ cas}$ 
  note ass =  $\langle \bigwedge t'. \text{Join } t' \in \text{set (ca \# cas)} \implies \neg \text{not-final-thread } s t' \wedge t \neq t' \rangle$ 
  hence  $\bigwedge t'. \text{Join } t' \in \text{set cas} \implies \neg \text{not-final-thread } s t' \wedge t \neq t'$  by simp
  hence cond-action-oks s t cas by(rule IH)
  moreover have cond-action-ok s t ca
  proof(cases ca)
    case (Join t')
      with ass have  $\neg \text{not-final-thread } s t' \wedge t \neq t'$  by auto
      thus ?thesis using Join by(auto simp add: not-final-thread-iff)
  next
    case Yield thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?case by simp
qed

end

context multithreaded begin

lemma red-not-final-thread:
 $s -t\triangleright \text{ta} \rightarrow s' \implies \neg \text{final-thread } s t$ 
by(fastforce elim: redT.cases intro: not-final-thread.intros dest: final-no-red)

lemma redT-preserves-final-thread:
 $\llbracket s -t\triangleright \text{ta} \rightarrow s'; \text{final-thread } s t \rrbracket \implies \text{final-thread } s' t$ 
apply(erule redT.cases)
apply(clarsimp simp add: final-thread-def)
apply(auto simp add: final-thread-def dest: redT-updTs-None redT-updTs-Some final-no-red intro: redT-updWs-None done)

end

context multithreaded-base begin

definition wset-Suspend-ok :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state set  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state set
where
wset-Suspend-ok I =
{s. s ∈ I ∧
 $(\forall t \in \text{dom (wset } s). \exists s0 \in I. \exists s1 \in I. \exists \text{ttas } x \ x0 \ \text{ta } w' \ ln' \ ln''. s0 -t\triangleright \text{ta} \rightarrow s1 \wedge s1 -\text{ttas} \rightarrow * s \wedge$ 
 $\text{thr } s0 \ t = \lfloor (x0, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \wedge t \vdash \langle x0, \text{shr } s0 \rangle -\text{ta} \rightarrow \langle x, \text{shr } s1 \rangle \wedge \text{Suspend } w' \in \text{set}$ 
 $\{ta\}_w \wedge$ 
 $\text{actions-ok } s0 \ t \ \text{ta} \wedge \text{thr } s1 \ t = \lfloor (x, \ln') \rfloor \wedge \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \ln'') \rfloor)$ }
```

lemma wset-Suspend-okI:

$$\llbracket s \in I; \quad \begin{aligned} & \wedge t w. \text{wset } s t = \lfloor w \rfloor \implies \exists s0 \in I. \exists s1 \in I. \exists ttas x x0 ta w' ln' ln''. s0 \rightarrowtail ta \rightarrow s1 \wedge s1 \rightarrowtail ttas \rightarrow * \\ & s \wedge \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{thr } s0 t = \lfloor (x0, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \wedge t \vdash \langle x0, \text{shr } s0 \rangle \rightarrowtail \langle x, \text{shr } s1 \rangle \wedge \text{Suspend } w' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_w \wedge \\ & \quad \text{actions-ok } s0 t ta \wedge \text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor (x, ln') \rfloor \wedge \text{thr } s t = \lfloor (x, ln'') \rfloor \end{aligned} \\ & \implies s \in \text{wset-Suspend-ok } I \end{aligned}$$

unfolding wset-Suspend-ok-def by blast

lemma wset-Suspend-okD1:

$$s \in \text{wset-Suspend-ok } I \implies s \in I$$

unfolding wset-Suspend-ok-def by blast

lemma wset-Suspend-okD2:

$$\llbracket s \in \text{wset-Suspend-ok } I; \text{wset } s t = \lfloor w \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \exists s0 \in I. \exists s1 \in I. \exists ttas x x0 ta w' ln' ln''. s0 \rightarrowtail ta \rightarrow s1 \wedge s1 \rightarrowtail ttas \rightarrow * s \wedge \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{thr } s0 t = \lfloor (x0, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \wedge t \vdash \langle x0, \text{shr } s0 \rangle \rightarrowtail \langle x, \text{shr } s1 \rangle \wedge \text{Suspend } w' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_w \wedge \\ & \quad \text{actions-ok } s0 t ta \wedge \text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor (x, ln') \rfloor \wedge \text{thr } s t = \lfloor (x, ln'') \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

unfolding wset-Suspend-ok-def by blast

lemma wset-Suspend-ok-imp-wset-thread-ok:

$$s \in \text{wset-Suspend-ok } I \implies \text{wset-thread-ok } (\text{wset } s) (\text{thr } s)$$

apply(rule wset-thread-oki)

apply(rule ccontr)

apply(auto dest: wset-Suspend-okD2)

done

lemma invariant3p-wset-Suspend-ok:

assumes I: invariant3p redT I

shows invariant3p redT (wset-Suspend-ok I)

proof(rule invariant3pI)

fix s tl s'

assume wso: s ∈ wset-Suspend-ok I

and redT s tl s'

moreover obtain t' ta where tl: tl = (t', ta) **by**(cases tl)

ultimately have red: s →tail ta → s' **by** simp

moreover from wso have s ∈ I **by**(rule wset-Suspend-okD1)

ultimately have s' ∈ I **by**(rule invariant3pD[OF I])

thus s' ∈ wset-Suspend-ok I

proof(rule wset-Suspend-okI)

fix t w

assume ws't: wset s' t = ⌊ w ⌋

show ∃ s0 ∈ I. ∃ s1 ∈ I. ∃ ttas x x0 ta w' ln' ln''. s0 →tail ta → s1 ∧ s1 →tail ttas → * s' ∧

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{thr } s0 t = \lfloor (x0, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \wedge t \vdash \langle x0, \text{shr } s0 \rangle \rightarrowtail \langle x, \text{shr } s1 \rangle \wedge \\ & \quad \text{Suspend } w' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_w \wedge \text{actions-ok } s0 t ta \wedge \\ & \quad \text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor (x, ln') \rfloor \wedge \text{thr } s' t = \lfloor (x, ln'') \rfloor \end{aligned}$$

proof(cases t = t')

case False

with red ws't obtain w' where wst: wset s t = ⌊ w' ⌋

by cases(auto 4 4 dest: redT-updWs-Some-otherD split: wait-set-status.split-asm)

from wset-Suspend-okD2[OF wso this] obtain s0 s1 ttas x x0 ta' w' ln' ln''

```

where reuse:  $s0 \in I$   $s1 \in I$   $s0 -\rightarrow ta' \rightarrow s1$   $thr s0 t = \lfloor (x0, no-wait-locks) \rfloor$ 
 $t \vdash \langle x0, shr s0 \rangle -\rightarrow ta' \rightarrow \langle x, shr s1 \rangle$   $Suspend w' \in set \{ta'\}_w actions-ok s0 t ta' thr s1 t = \lfloor (x, ln') \rfloor$ 
and step:  $s1 -\rightarrow ttas \rightarrow* s$  and  $tst: thr s t = \lfloor (x, ln') \rfloor$  by blast
from step red have  $s1 -\rightarrow ttas@[(t', ta)] \rightarrow* s'$  unfolding RedT-def by(rule rtranc13p-step)
moreover from red  $tst False$  have  $thr s' t = \lfloor (x, ln') \rfloor$ 
by(cases)(auto intro: redT-updTs-Some)
ultimately show ?thesis using reuse by blast
next
case True
from red show ?thesis
proof(cases)
case (redT-normal  $x x' m$ )
note red' =  $\langle t' \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle -\rightarrow \langle x', m \rangle \rangle$ 
and  $tst' = \langle thr s t' = \lfloor (x, no-wait-locks) \rfloor \rangle$ 
and aok =  $\langle actions-ok s t' ta \rangle$ 
and  $s' = \langle redT-upd s t' ta x' m s' \rangle$ 
from  $s'$  have ws': redT-updWs  $t' (wset s) \{ta\}_w (wset s')$ 
and  $m: m = shr s'$ 
and  $ts't: thr s' t' = \lfloor (x', redT-updLns (locks s) t' (snd (the (thr s t'))) \{ta\}_l) \rfloor$  by auto
from aok have nwait:  $\neg waiting (wset s t')$ 
by(auto simp add: wset-actions-ok-def waiting-def split: if-split-asm)
have  $\exists w'. Suspend w' \in set \{ta\}_w$ 
proof(cases wset s t)
case None
from redT-updWs-None-SomeD[ $OF ws'$ ,  $OF ws't None$ ]
show ?thesis ..
next
case (Some  $w'$ )
with True aok have Notified  $\in set \{ta\}_w \vee WokenUp \in set \{ta\}_w$ 
by(auto simp add: wset-actions-ok-def split: if-split-asm)
with  $ws'$  show ?thesis using ws't unfolding True
by(rule redT-updWs-WokenUp-SuspendD)
qed
with  $tst' ts't aok \langle s \in I \rangle \langle s' \in I \rangle red red'$  show ?thesis
unfolding True m by blast
next
case (redT-acquire  $x n ln$ )
with  $ws't True$  have wset s t =  $\lfloor w \rfloor$  by auto
from wset-Suspend-okD2[ $OF wso this$ ]  $\langle thr s t' = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor \rangle True$ 
obtain  $s0 s1 ttas x0 ta' w' ln' ln''$ 
where reuse:  $s0 \in I$   $s1 \in I$   $s0 -\rightarrow ta' \rightarrow s1$   $thr s0 t = \lfloor (x0, no-wait-locks) \rfloor$ 
 $t \vdash \langle x0, shr s0 \rangle -\rightarrow ta' \rightarrow \langle x, shr s1 \rangle$   $Suspend w' \in set \{ta'\}_w actions-ok s0 t ta' thr s1 t = \lfloor (x, ln') \rfloor$ 
and step:  $s1 -\rightarrow ttas \rightarrow* s$  by fastforce
from step red have  $s1 -\rightarrow ttas@[(t', ta)] \rightarrow* s'$  unfolding RedT-def by(rule rtranc13p-step)
moreover from redT-acquire True have  $thr s' t = \lfloor (x, no-wait-locks) \rfloor$  by simp
ultimately show ?thesis using reuse by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed
end

```

end

1.12 Deadlock formalisation

```

theory FWDeadlock
imports
  FWProgressAux
begin

context final-thread begin

definition all-final-except :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state ⇒ 't set ⇒ bool where
  all-final-except s Ts ≡ ∀ t. not-final-thread s t → t ∈ Ts

lemma all-final-except-mono [mono]:
  (⟨x. x ∈ A → x ∈ B) ⇒ all-final-except ts A → all-final-except ts B
by(auto simp add: all-final-except-def)

lemma all-final-except-mono':
  [ all-final-except ts A; ⟨x. x ∈ A ⇒ x ∈ B ] ⇒ all-final-except ts B
by(blast intro: all-final-except-mono[rule-format])

lemma all-final-exceptI:
  (⟨t. not-final-thread s t ⇒ t ∈ Ts) ⇒ all-final-except s Ts
by(auto simp add: all-final-except-def)

lemma all-final-exceptD:
  [ all-final-except s Ts; not-final-thread s t ] ⇒ t ∈ Ts
by(auto simp add: all-final-except-def)

inductive must-wait :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state ⇒ 't ⇒ ('l + 't + 't) ⇒ 't set ⇒ bool
  for s :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state and t :: 't where
    — Lock l
    [ has-lock (locks s $ l) t'; t' ≠ t; t' ∈ Ts ] ⇒ must-wait s t (Inl l) Ts
  | — Join t'
    [ not-final-thread s t'; t' ∈ Ts ] ⇒ must-wait s t (Inr (Inl t')) Ts
  | — IsInterrupted t' True
    [ all-final-except s Ts; t' ∉ interrupts s ] ⇒ must-wait s t (Inr (Inr t')) Ts

declare must-wait.cases [elim]
declare must-wait.intros [intro]

lemma must-wait-elims [consumes 1, case-names lock join interrupt, cases pred]:
  assumes must-wait s t lt Ts
  obtains l t' where lt = Inl l has-lock (locks s $ l) t' t' ≠ t t' ∈ Ts
  | t' where lt = Inr (Inl t') not-final-thread s t' t' ∈ Ts
  | t' where lt = Inr (Inr t') all-final-except s Ts t' ∉ interrupts s
using assms
by(auto)

inductive-cases must-wait-elims2 [elim!]:

```

```

must-wait s t (Inl l) Ts
must-wait s t (Inr (Inl t'')) Ts
must-wait s t (Inr (Inr t'')) Ts

```

lemma must-wait-iff:

```

must-wait s t lt Ts  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(case lt of Inl l  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists t' \in Ts. t \neq t' \wedge \text{has-lock}(\text{locks } s \$ l) t'$ 
| Inr (Inl t')  $\Rightarrow$  not-final-thread s t'  $\wedge$  t'  $\in Ts$ 
| Inr (Inr t')  $\Rightarrow$  all-final-except s Ts  $\wedge$  t'  $\notin \text{interrupts } s$ )
by(auto simp add: must-wait.simps split: sum.splits)

```

end

Deadlock as a system-wide property

context multithreaded-base **begin**

definition

```
deadlock :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

where

```

deadlock s
 $\equiv$  ( $\forall t x. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \wedge \neg \text{final } x \wedge \text{wset } s t = \text{None}$ 
 $\longrightarrow t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \wr \wedge (\forall LT. t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle LT \wr \longrightarrow (\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s t lt (\text{dom } (\text{thr } s))))$ 
 $\wedge (\forall t x ln. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor \wedge (\exists l. ln \$ l > 0) \wedge \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s t)$ 
 $\longrightarrow (\exists l t'. ln \$ l > 0 \wedge t \neq t' \wedge \text{thr } s t' \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{has-lock}(\text{locks } s \$ l) t')$ 
 $\wedge (\forall t x w. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \longrightarrow \text{wset } s t \neq \lfloor \text{PostWS } w \rfloor)$ 
```

lemma deadlockI:

```

 $\llbracket \wedge t x. \llbracket \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor; \neg \text{final } x; \text{wset } s t = \text{None } \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \wr \wedge (\forall LT. t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle LT \wr \longrightarrow (\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s t lt (\text{dom } (\text{thr } s))));$ 
 $\wedge t x ln l. \llbracket \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor; ln \$ l > 0; \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s t) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists l t'. ln \$ l > 0 \wedge t \neq t' \wedge \text{thr } s t' \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{has-lock}(\text{locks } s \$ l) t';$ 
 $\wedge t x w. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \implies \text{wset } s t \neq \lfloor \text{PostWS } w \rfloor$ 
 $\implies \text{deadlock } s$ 

```

by(auto simp add: deadlock-def)

lemma deadlockE:

```

assumes deadlock s
obtains  $\forall t x. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \wedge \neg \text{final } x \wedge \text{wset } s t = \text{None}$ 
 $\longrightarrow t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \wr \wedge (\forall LT. t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle LT \wr \longrightarrow (\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s t lt (\text{dom } (\text{thr } s))))$ 
and  $\forall t x ln. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor \wedge (\exists l. ln \$ l > 0) \wedge \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s t)$ 
 $\longrightarrow (\exists l t'. ln \$ l > 0 \wedge t \neq t' \wedge \text{thr } s t' \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{has-lock}(\text{locks } s \$ l) t')$ 
and  $\forall t x w. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \longrightarrow \text{wset } s t \neq \lfloor \text{PostWS } w \rfloor$ 
using assms unfolding deadlock-def by(blast)

```

lemma deadlockD1:

```

assumes deadlock s
and  $\text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ 
and  $\neg \text{final } x$ 
and  $\text{wset } s t = \text{None}$ 
obtains  $t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \wr$ 
and  $\forall LT. t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle LT \wr \longrightarrow (\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s t lt (\text{dom } (\text{thr } s)))$ 
using assms unfolding deadlock-def by(blast)

```

lemma deadlockD2:

```

fixes ln
assumes deadlock s
and thr s t = [(x, ln)]
and ln $ l > 0
and ¬ waiting (wset s t)
obtains l' t' where ln $ l' > 0 t ≠ t' thr s t' ≠ None has-lock (locks s $ l') t'
using assms unfolding deadlock-def by blast

lemma deadlockD3:
assumes deadlock s
and thr s t = [(x, no-wait-locks)]
shows ∀ w. wset s t ≠ [PostWS w]
using assms unfolding deadlock-def by blast

lemma deadlock-def2:
deadlock s ↔
(∀ t x. thr s t = [(x, no-wait-locks)] ∧ ¬ final x ∧ wset s t = None
→ t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ l ∧ (∀ LT. t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ LT l → (∃ lt ∈ LT. must-wait s t lt (dom (thr s))))
∧ (∀ t x ln. thr s t = [(x, ln)] ∧ ln ≠ no-wait-locks ∧ ¬ waiting (wset s t)
→ (∃ l. ln $ l > 0 ∧ must-wait s t (Inl l) (dom (thr s)))
∧ (∀ t x w. thr s t = [(x, no-wait-locks)] → wset s t ≠ [PostWS WSNotified] ∧ wset s t ≠ [PostWS
WSWokenUp])
unfolding neq-no-wait-locks-conv
apply(rule iffI)
apply(intro strip conjI)
  apply(blast dest: deadlockD1)
  apply(blast dest: deadlockD1)
  apply(blast elim: deadlockD2)
  apply(blast dest: deadlockD3)
  apply(blast dest: deadlockD3)
apply(elim conjE exE)
apply(rule deadlockI)
  apply blast
  apply(rotate-tac 1)
apply(erule allE, rotate-tac -1)
apply(erule allE, rotate-tac -1)
apply(erule allE, rotate-tac -1)
apply(erule impE, blast)
apply(elim exE conjE)
apply(erule must-wait.cases)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(rotate-tac 3)
  apply(rule exI conjI|erule not-sym|assumption)+
    apply blast
    apply blast
    apply blast
    apply blast
  apply(case-tac w)
  apply blast
  apply blast
done

lemma all-waiting-implies-deadlock:
assumes lock-thread-ok (locks s) (thr s)

```

```

and normal:  $\bigwedge t x. \llbracket \text{thr } s t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor; \neg \text{final } x; \text{wset } s t = \text{None} \rrbracket$   

 $\implies t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \wr \forall LT. t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle LT \wr \rightarrow (\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s t lt (\text{dom } (\text{thr } s))) )$   

and acquire:  $\bigwedge t x ln l. \llbracket \text{thr } s t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor; \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s t); ln \$ l > 0 \rrbracket$   

 $\implies \exists l'. ln \$ l' > 0 \wedge \neg \text{may-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l') t$   

and wakeup:  $\bigwedge t x w. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \implies \text{wset } s t \neq \lfloor \text{PostWS } w \rfloor$   

shows deadlock s  

proof(rule deadlockI)  

  fix T X  

  assume  $\text{thr } s T = \lfloor (X, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \neg \text{final } X \text{wset } s T = \text{None}$   

  thus  $T \vdash \langle X, \text{shr } s \rangle \wr \forall LT. T \vdash \langle X, \text{shr } s \rangle LT \wr \rightarrow (\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s T lt (\text{dom } (\text{thr } s))) )$   

    by(rule normal)  

next  

  fix T X LN l'  

  assume  $\text{thr } s T = \lfloor (X, LN) \rfloor$   

  and  $0 < LN \$ l'$   

  and wset:  $\neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s T)$   

  from acquire[ $\langle \text{thr } s T = \lfloor (X, LN) \rfloor \rangle \text{wset}, \langle 0 < LN \$ l' \rangle$ ]  

  obtain l' where  $0 < LN \$ l' \neg \text{may-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l') T$  by blast  

  then obtain t' where  $T \neq t' \text{ has-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l') t'$   

    unfolding not-may-lock-conv by fastforce  

  moreover with  $\langle \text{lock-thread-ok } (\text{locks } s) (\text{thr } s) \rangle$   

  have  $\text{thr } s t' \neq \text{None}$  by(auto dest: lock-thread-okD)  

  ultimately show  $\exists l t'. 0 < LN \$ l \wedge T \neq t' \wedge \text{thr } s t' \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{has-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l) t'$   

    using  $\langle 0 < LN \$ l' \rangle$  by(auto)  

qed(rule wakeup)  

  

lemma mfinal-deadlock:  

   $mfinal s \implies deadlock s$   

unfolding mfinal-def2  

by(rule deadlockI)(auto simp add: final-thread-def)

```

Now deadlock for single threads

```

lemma must-wait-mono:  

 $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \rightarrow x \in B) \implies \text{must-wait } s t lt A \rightarrow \text{must-wait } s t lt B$   

by(auto simp add: must-wait-iff split: sum.split elim: all-final-except-mono')  

  

lemma must-wait-mono':  

 $\llbracket \text{must-wait } s t lt A; A \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies \text{must-wait } s t lt B$   

using must-wait-mono[of A B s t lt]  

by blast  

  

end  

  

lemma UN-mono:  $\llbracket x \in A \rightarrow x \in A'; x \in B \rightarrow x \in B' \rrbracket \implies x \in A \cup B \rightarrow x \in A' \cup B'$   

by blast  

  

lemma Collect-mono-conv [mono]:  $x \in \{x. P x\} \longleftrightarrow P x$   

by blast  

  

context multithreaded-base begin  

  

coinductive-set deadlocked :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't set  

  for s :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state where

```

```

deadlockedLock:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \ \iota; \text{wset } s \ t = \text{None}; \\ & \quad \wedge \text{LT}. \ t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \ \text{LT} \ \iota \implies \exists \text{lt} \in \text{LT}. \ \text{must-wait } s \ t \ \text{lt} \ (\text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s) \ \rrbracket \\ & \implies t \in \text{deadlocked } s \end{aligned}$$

| deadlockedWait:

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge \text{ln}. \ \llbracket \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{ln}) \rfloor; \text{all-final-except } s \ (\text{deadlocked } s); \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \ t) \ \rrbracket \implies t \in \text{deadlocked } s \end{aligned}$$

| deadlockedAcquire:

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge \text{ln}. \ \llbracket \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{ln}) \rfloor; \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \ t); \text{ln\$l} > 0; \text{has-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l) \ t'; \ t' \neq t; \\ & \quad t' \in \text{deadlocked } s \vee \text{final-thread } s \ t' \ \rrbracket \\ & \implies t \in \text{deadlocked } s \end{aligned}$$

monos must-wait-mono UN-mono

lemma deadlockedAcquire-must-wait:

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge \text{ln}. \ \llbracket \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{ln}) \rfloor; \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \ t); \text{ln\$l} > 0; \text{must-wait } s \ t \ (\text{Inl } l) \ (\text{deadlocked } s \cup \\ & \quad \text{final-threads } s) \ \rrbracket \\ & \implies t \in \text{deadlocked } s \end{aligned}$$

apply(erule must-wait-elims)
apply(erule (2) deadlockedAcquire)
apply auto
done

lemma deadlocked-elims [consumes 1, case-names lock wait acquire]:
assumes  $t \in \text{deadlocked } s$ 
and lock:  $\bigwedge x. \ \llbracket \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \ \iota; \text{wset } s \ t = \text{None};$ 
 $\wedge \text{LT}. \ t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \ \text{LT} \ \iota \implies \exists \text{lt} \in \text{LT}. \ \text{must-wait } s \ t \ \text{lt} \ (\text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s) \ \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{thesis}$ 
and wait:  $\bigwedge x \text{ ln}. \ \llbracket \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{ln}) \rfloor; \text{all-final-except } s \ (\text{deadlocked } s); \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \ t) \ \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{thesis}$ 
and acquire:  $\bigwedge x \text{ ln } l \ t'.$ 
 $\llbracket \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{ln}) \rfloor; \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \ t); 0 < \text{ln\$l}; \text{has-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l) \ t'; \ t \neq t';$ 
 $t' \in \text{deadlocked } s \vee \text{final-thread } s \ t' \ \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
shows thesis
using assms by cases blast+

lemma deadlocked-coinduct
[consumes 1, case-names deadlocked, case-conclusion deadlocked Lock Wait Acquire, coinduct set: deadlocked]:
assumes major:  $t \in X$ 
and step:
 $\bigwedge t. \ t \in X \implies$ 
 $(\exists x. \ \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \wedge t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \ \iota \wedge \text{wset } s \ t = \text{None} \wedge$ 
 $(\forall \text{LT}. \ t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \ \text{LT} \ \iota \longrightarrow (\exists \text{lt} \in \text{LT}. \ \text{must-wait } s \ t \ \text{lt} \ (X \cup \text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s))) )$ 
 $\vee$ 
 $(\exists x \text{ ln}. \ \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{ln}) \rfloor \wedge \text{all-final-except } s \ (X \cup \text{deadlocked } s) \wedge \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \ t)) \vee$ 
 $(\exists x \text{ l } t' \text{ ln}. \ \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{ln}) \rfloor \wedge \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \ t) \wedge 0 < \text{ln\$l} \wedge \text{has-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l) \ t' \wedge$ 
 $t' \neq t \wedge ((t' \in X \vee t' \in \text{deadlocked } s) \vee \text{final-thread } s \ t'))$ 
shows  $t \in \text{deadlocked } s$ 
using major
proof(coinduct)
case (deadlocked t)
have  $X \cup \text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s = \{x. \ x \in X \vee x \in \text{deadlocked } s \vee x \in \text{final-threads } s\}$ 
by auto

```

```

moreover have  $X \cup \text{deadlocked } s = \{x. x \in X \vee x \in \text{deadlocked } s\}$  by blast
ultimately show ?case using step[OF deadlocked] by(elim disjE) simp-all
qed

definition  $\text{deadlocked}' :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) \text{ state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
 $\text{deadlocked}' s \equiv (\forall t. \text{not-final-thread } s t \longrightarrow t \in \text{deadlocked } s)$ 

lemma  $\text{deadlocked}'I$ :
 $(\bigwedge t. \text{not-final-thread } s t \implies t \in \text{deadlocked } s) \implies \text{deadlocked}' s$ 
by(auto simp add: deadlocked'-def)

lemma  $\text{deadlocked}'D2$ :
 $\llbracket \text{deadlocked}' s; \text{not-final-thread } s t; t \in \text{deadlocked } s \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
by(auto simp add: deadlocked'-def)

lemma  $\text{not-deadlocked}'I$ :
 $\llbracket \text{not-final-thread } s t; t \notin \text{deadlocked } s \rrbracket \implies \neg \text{deadlocked}' s$ 
by(auto dest: deadlocked'D2)

lemma  $\text{deadlocked}'\text{-intro}$ :
 $\llbracket \forall t. \text{not-final-thread } s t \longrightarrow t \in \text{deadlocked } s \rrbracket \implies \text{deadlocked}' s$ 
by(rule deadlocked'I)(blast)+

lemma  $\text{deadlocked-thread-exists}$ :
assumes  $t \in \text{deadlocked } s$ 
and  $\bigwedge x \ln. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor (x, \ln) \rfloor \implies \text{thesis}$ 
shows thesis
using assms
by cases blast+

end

context multithreaded begin

lemma  $\text{red-no-deadlock}$ :
assumes  $P: s \rightarrow^{\text{ta}} s'$ 
and  $\text{dead}: t \in \text{deadlocked } s$ 
shows False
proof -
from P show False
proof(cases)
case (redT-normal  $x x' m'$ )
note red =  $\langle t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \rightarrow^{\text{ta}} \langle x', m' \rangle \rangle$ 
note tst =  $\langle \text{thr } s t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle$ 
note aok =  $\langle \text{actions-ok } s t \text{ ta} \rangle$ 
show False
proof(cases  $\exists w. \text{wset } s t = \lfloor \text{InWS } w \rfloor$ )
case True with aok show ?thesis by(auto simp add: wset-actions-ok-def split: if-split-asm)
next
case False
with dead tst
have mle:  $t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \wr$ 
and cledead:  $\forall LT. t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \wr LT \longrightarrow (\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s t lt \wedge \text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s))$ 

```

```

by(cases, auto simp add: waiting-def) +
let ?LT = collect-waits ta
from red have t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ ?LT ⋀ by(auto intro: can-syncI)
then obtain lt where lt: lt ∈ ?LT and mw: must-wait s t lt (deadlocked s ∪ final-threads s)
    by(blast dest: cledead[rule-format])
from mw show False
proof(cases rule: must-wait-elims)
  case (lock l t')
    from <lt = Inl l> lt have l ∈ collect-locks {ta}l by(auto)
    with aok have may-lock (locks s $ l) t
      by(auto elim!: collect-locksE lock-ok-las-may-lock)
    with <has-lock (locks s $ l) t'> have t' = t
      by(auto dest: has-lock-may-lock-t-eq)
    with <t' ≠ t> show False by contradiction
  next
    case (join t')
      from <lt = Inr (Inl t')> lt have Join t' ∈ set {ta}c by auto
      from <not-final-thread s t'> obtain x'' ln''
        where thr s t' = |(x'', ln'')| by(rule not-final-thread-existsE)
        moreover with <Join t' ∈ set {ta}c> aok
          have final x'' ln'' = no-wait-locks wset s t' = None
            by(auto dest: cond-action-oks-Join)
        ultimately show False using <not-final-thread s t'> by(auto)
    next
      case (interrupt t')
        from aok lt <lt = Inr (Inr t')>
        have t' ∈ interrupts s
          by(auto intro: collect-interrupts-interrupted)
        with <t' ∉ interrupts s> show False by contradiction
      qed
    qed
  next
    case (redT-acquire x n ln)
    show False
  proof(cases ∃w. wset s t = |InWS w|)
    case True with <¬ waiting (wset s t)> show ?thesis
      by(auto simp add: not-waiting-iff)
  next
    case False
      with dead <thr s t = |(x, ln)|> <0 < ln $ n>
      obtain l t' where 0 < ln $ l t' ≠ t'
        and has-lock (locks s $ l) t'
        by(cases)(fastforce simp add: waiting-def) +
      hence ¬ may-acquire-all (locks s) t ln
        by(auto elim: may-acquire-allE dest: has-lock-may-lock-t-eq)
      with <may-acquire-all (locks s) t ln> show ?thesis by contradiction
    qed
  qed
  qed

lemma deadlocked'-no-red:
  [| s -t>ta → s'; deadlocked' s |] ==> False
apply(rule red-no-deadlock)
apply(assumption)

```

```

apply(erule deadlocked'D2)
by(rule red-not-final-thread)

lemma not-final-thread-deadlocked-final-thread [iff]:
   $\text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor xln \rfloor \implies \text{not-final-thread } s \ t \vee t \in \text{deadlocked } s \vee \text{final-thread } s \ t$ 
by(auto simp add: not-final-thread-final-thread-conv[symmetric])

lemma all-waiting-deadlocked:
  assumes not-final-thread  $s \ t$ 
  and lock-thread-ok (locks  $s$ ) (thr  $s$ )
  and normal:  $\bigwedge t. \llbracket \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor; \neg \text{final } x; \text{wset } s \ t = \text{None} \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \wr \wedge (\forall LT. t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \ LT \wr \longrightarrow (\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s \ t \ lt \ (\text{final-threads } s)))$ 
  and acquire:  $\bigwedge t \ x \ ln \ l. \llbracket \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor; \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \ t); ln \$ l > 0 \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \exists l'. ln \$ l' > 0 \wedge \neg \text{may-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l') \ t$ 
  and wakeup:  $\bigwedge t \ x \ w. \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \implies \text{wset } s \ t \neq \lfloor \text{PostWS } w \rfloor$ 
  shows  $t \in \text{deadlocked } s$ 
proof -
  from ⟨not-final-thread  $s \ thave  $t \in \{t. \text{not-final-thread } s \ t\}$  by simp
  thus ?thesis
  proof(coinduct)
    case (deadlocked  $z$ )
    hence not-final-thread  $s \ z$  by simp
    then obtain  $x' \ ln' \ \text{where} \ \text{thr } s \ z = \lfloor (x', ln') \rfloor$  by(fastforce elim!: not-final-thread-existsE)
    {
      assume wset  $s \ z = \text{None} \neg \text{final } x'$ 
      and [simp]:  $ln' = \text{no-wait-locks}$ 
      with ⟨thr  $s \ z = \lfloor (x', ln') \rfloorhave  $z \vdash \langle x', \text{shr } s \rangle \wr \wedge (\forall LT. z \vdash \langle x', \text{shr } s \rangle \ LT \wr \longrightarrow (\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s \ z \ lt \ (\text{final-threads } s)))$ 
        by(auto dest: normal)
      then obtain  $z \vdash \langle x', \text{shr } s \rangle \wr$ 
        and clnml:  $\bigwedge LT. z \vdash \langle x', \text{shr } s \rangle \ LT \wr \implies \exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s \ z \ lt \ (\text{final-threads } s)$  by(blast)
      { fix  $LT$ 
        assume  $z \vdash \langle x', \text{shr } s \rangle \ LT \wr$ 
        then obtain  $lt \ \text{where} \ mw: \text{must-wait } s \ z \ lt \ (\text{final-threads } s) \ \text{and} \ lt: lt \in LT$ 
          by(blast dest: clnml)
        from  $mw$  have must-wait  $s \ z \ lt \ (\{t. \text{not-final-thread } s \ t\} \cup \text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s)$ 
          by(blast intro: must-wait-mono')
        with  $lt$  have  $\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s \ z \ lt \ (\{t. \text{not-final-thread } s \ t\} \cup \text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s)$ 
          by blast
        with ⟨ $z \vdash \langle x', \text{shr } s \rangle \wr \vee \langle \text{thr } s \ z = \lfloor (x', ln') \rfloor \rangle \vee \langle \text{wset } s \ z = \text{None} \rangle$  have ?case by(simp) }
      note c1 = this
      {
        assume wsz:  $\neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \ z)$ 
        and  $ln' \neq \text{no-wait-locks}$ 
        from ⟨ $ln' \neq \text{no-wait-locks}$ ⟩ obtain  $l \ \text{where} \ 0 < ln' \$ l$ 
          by(auto simp add: neq-no-wait-locks-conv)
        with wsz ⟨ $\text{thr } s \ z = \lfloor (x', ln') \rfloorobtain  $l' \ \text{where} \ 0 < ln' \$ l' \neg \text{may-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l') \ z$ 
          by(blast dest: acquire)
        then obtain  $t'' \ \text{where} \ t'' \neq z \ \text{has-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l') \ t''$ 
      }
    }$$$ 
```

```

unfolding not-may-lock-conv by blast
with <lock-thread-ok (locks s) (thr s)>
obtain x'' ln'' where thr s t'' = [(x'', ln'')] 
  by(auto elim!: lock-thread-ok-has-lockE)
hence (not-final-thread s t'' ∨ t'' ∈ deadlocked s) ∨ final-thread s t'' 
  by(clarsimp simp add: not-final-thread-iff final-thread-def)
with wsz <0 < ln' $ l'> <thr s z = [(x', ln')]> <t'' ≠ z> <has-lock (locks s $ l') t''>
have ?Acquire by simp blast
hence ?case by simp }
note c2 = this
{ fix w
  assume waiting (wset s z)
  with <thr s z = [(x', ln')]>
  have ?Wait by(clarsimp simp add: all-final-except-def)
  hence ?case by simp }
note c3 = this
from <not-final-thread s z> <thr s z = [(x', ln')]> show ?case
proof(cases rule: not-final-thread-cases2)
  case final show ?thesis
  proof(cases wset s z)
    case None show ?thesis
    proof(cases ln' = no-wait-locks)
      case True with None final show ?thesis by(rule c1)
    next
    case False
      from None have ¬ waiting (wset s z) by(simp add: not-waiting-iff)
      thus ?thesis using False by(rule c2)
    qed
  next
  case (Some w)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases w)
    case (InWS w')
    with Some have waiting (wset s z) by(simp add: waiting-def)
    thus ?thesis by(rule c3)
  next
  case (PostWS w')
    with Some have ¬ waiting (wset s z) by(simp add: not-waiting-iff)
    moreover from PostWS <thr s z = [(x', ln')]> Some
    have ln' ≠ no-wait-locks by(auto dest: wakeup)
    ultimately show ?thesis by(rule c2)
  qed
qed
next
case wait-locks show ?thesis
proof(cases wset s z)
  case None
  hence ¬ waiting (wset s z) by(simp add: not-waiting-iff)
  thus ?thesis using wait-locks by(rule c2)
next
case (Some w)
show ?thesis
proof(cases w)
  case (InWS w')

```

```

with Some have waiting (wset s z) by(simp add: waiting-def)
thus ?thesis by(rule c3)
next
  case (PostWS w')
  with Some have  $\neg$  waiting (wset s z) by(simp add: not-waiting-iff)
  moreover from PostWS <thr s z =  $\lfloor(x', ln')\rfloor$  Some
  have  $ln' \neq$  no-wait-locks by(auto dest: wakeup)
  ultimately show ?thesis by(rule c2)
qed
qed
next
  case (wait-set w)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases w)
    case (InWS w')
    with wait-set have waiting (wset s z) by(simp add: waiting-def)
    thus ?thesis by(rule c3)
next
  case (PostWS w')
  with wait-set have  $\neg$  waiting (wset s z) by(simp add: not-waiting-iff)
  moreover from PostWS <thr s z =  $\lfloor(x', ln')\rfloor$  wait-set
  have  $ln' \neq$  no-wait-locks by(auto dest: wakeup[simplified])
  ultimately show ?thesis by(rule c2)
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

Equivalence proof for both notions of deadlock

```

lemma deadlock-implies-deadlocked':
assumes dead: deadlock s
shows deadlocked' s
proof -
  show ?thesis
  proof(rule deadlocked'I)
    fix t
    assume not-final-thread s t
    hence  $t \in \{t. \text{not-final-thread } s \ t\}$  ..
    thus  $t \in \text{deadlocked } s$ 
    proof(coinduct)
      case (deadlocked t'')
      hence not-final-thread s t'' ..
      then obtain  $x'' \ ln''$  where  $tst'': \text{thr } s \ t'' = \lfloor(x'', ln'')\rfloor$ 
      by(rule not-final-thread-existsE)
      { assume waiting (wset s t'')
        moreover
        with  $tst''$  have nfine: not-final-thread s t''
        unfolding waiting-def
        by(blast intro: not-final-thread.intros)
        ultimately have ?case using  $tst''$ 
        by(blast intro: all-final-exceptI not-final-thread-final) }
      note c1 = this
      {
        assume wst'':  $\neg$  waiting (wset s t'')
      }

```

```

and  $ln'' \neq \text{no-wait-locks}$ 
then obtain  $l$  where  $l: ln'' \$ l > 0$ 
  by(auto simp add: neq-no-wait-locks-conv)
with  $\text{dead wst'' tst'' obtain } l' T$ 
  where  $ln'' \$ l' > 0 t'' \neq T$ 
  and  $hl: \text{has-lock} (\text{locks } s \$ l') T$ 
  and  $tsT: \text{thr } s T \neq \text{None}$ 
  by – (erule deadlockD2)
moreover from  $\langle \text{thr } s T \neq \text{None} \rangle$ 
obtain  $xln$  where  $tsT: \text{thr } s T = \lfloor xln \rfloor$  by auto
then obtain  $X LN$  where  $\text{thr } s T = \lfloor (X, LN) \rfloor$ 
  by(cases xln, auto)
moreover hence  $\text{not-final-thread } s T \vee \text{final-thread } s T$ 
  by(auto simp add: final-thread-def not-final-thread-iff)
ultimately have ?case using  $wst'' tst''$  by blast }

note  $c2 = \text{this}$ 
{ assume  $wset s t'' = \text{None}$ 
  and [simp]:  $ln'' = \text{no-wait-locks}$ 
  moreover
    with  $\langle \text{not-final-thread } s t'' \rangle \text{ tst''}$ 
    have  $\neg \text{final } x''$  by(auto)
    ultimately obtain  $t'' \vdash \langle x'', \text{shr } s \rangle \perp$ 
      and  $\text{clnml}: \bigwedge LT. t'' \vdash \langle x'', \text{shr } s \rangle LT \perp \implies \exists t'. \text{thr } s t' \neq \text{None} \wedge (\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s t'' lt (\text{dom} (\text{thr } s)))$ 
        using  $\langle \text{thr } s t'' = \lfloor (x'', ln'') \rfloor \rangle \langle \text{deadlock } s \rangle$ 
        by(blast elim: deadlockD1)
    { fix  $LT$ 
      assume  $t'' \vdash \langle x'', \text{shr } s \rangle LT \perp$ 
      then obtain  $lt$  where  $lt: lt \in LT$ 
        and  $mw: \text{must-wait } s t'' lt (\text{dom} (\text{thr } s))$ 
        by(blast dest: clnml)
      note  $mw$ 
      also have  $\text{dom} (\text{thr } s) = \{t. \text{not-final-thread } s t\} \cup \text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s$ 
        by(auto simp add: not-final-thread-conv dest: deadlocked-thread-exists elim: final-threadE)
      finally have  $\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s t'' lt (\{t. \text{not-final-thread } s t\} \cup \text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s)$ 
        using  $lt$  by blast }
      with  $\langle t'' \vdash \langle x'', \text{shr } s \rangle \perp \rangle \text{ tst'' } \langle wset s t'' = \text{None} \rangle$  have ?case by(simp) }
note  $c3 = \text{this}$ 
from  $\langle \text{not-final-thread } s t'' \rangle \text{ tst'' show } ?\text{case}$ 
proof(cases rule: not-final-thread-cases2)
  case  $\text{final}$  show ?thesis
  proof(cases wset s t'')
    case  $\text{None}$  show ?thesis
    proof(cases ln'' = no-wait-locks)
      case  $\text{True}$  with  $\text{None}$  show ?thesis by(rule c3)
    next
      case  $\text{False}$ 
        from  $\text{None}$  have  $\neg \text{waiting} (\text{wset } s t'')$  by(simp add: not-waiting-iff)
        thus ?thesis using  $\text{False}$  by(rule c2)
    qed
next
  case (Some w)
  show ?thesis
}

```

```

proof(cases w)
  case (InWS w')
    with Some have waiting (wset s t'') by(simp add: waiting-def)
    thus ?thesis by(rule c1)
  next
    case (PostWS w')
      hence  $\neg$  waiting (wset s t'') using Some by(simp add: not-waiting-iff)
      moreover from PostWS Some tst''
        have ln''  $\neq$  no-wait-locks by(auto dest: deadlockD3[OF dead])
        ultimately show ?thesis by(rule c2)
    qed
  qed
  next
    case wait-locks show ?thesis
    proof(cases waiting (wset s t''))
      case False
      thus ?thesis using wait-locks by(rule c2)
    next
      case True thus ?thesis by(rule c1)
    qed
  next
    case (wait-set w)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases w)
      case InWS
        with wait-set have waiting (wset s t'') by(simp add: waiting-def)
        thus ?thesis by(rule c1)
      next
        case (PostWS w')
          hence  $\neg$  waiting (wset s t'') using wait-set
            by(simp add: not-waiting-iff)
          moreover from PostWS wait-set tst''
            have ln''  $\neq$  no-wait-locks by(auto dest: deadlockD3[OF dead])
            ultimately show ?thesis by(rule c2)
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
lemma deadlocked'-implies-deadlock:
  assumes dead: deadlocked' s
  shows deadlock s
proof -
  have deadlocked:  $\bigwedge t. \text{not-final-thread } s t \implies t \in \text{deadlocked } s$ 
    using dead by(rule deadlocked'D2)
  show ?thesis
  proof(rule deadlockI)
    fix t' x'
    assume thr s t' =  $\lfloor (x', \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$ 
      and  $\neg$  final x'
      and wset s t' = None
    hence not-final-thread s t' by(auto intro: not-final-thread-final)
    hence t'  $\in$  deadlocked s by(rule deadlocked)

```

```

thus  $t' \vdash \langle x', \text{shr } s \rangle \wr \wedge (\forall LT. t' \vdash \langle x', \text{shr } s \rangle \text{ } LT \wr \longrightarrow (\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s \text{ } t' \text{ } lt \text{ } (\text{dom } (\text{thr } s))))$ 
proof(cases rule: deadlocked-elims)
  case (lock  $x''$ )
    note lock =  $\langle \bigwedge LT. t' \vdash \langle x'', \text{shr } s \rangle \text{ } LT \wr \implies \exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s \text{ } t' \text{ } lt \text{ } (\text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s) \rangle$ 
    from  $\langle \text{thr } s \text{ } t' = \lfloor (x'', \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle \langle \text{thr } s \text{ } t' = \lfloor (x', \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle$ 
    have [simp]:  $x' = x''$  by auto
    { fix LT
      assume  $t' \vdash \langle x'', \text{shr } s \rangle \text{ } LT \wr$ 
      from lock[OF this] obtain lt where lt:  $lt \in LT$ 
        and mw:  $\text{must-wait } s \text{ } t' \text{ } lt \text{ } (\text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s)$  by blast
        have  $\text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{thr } s)$ 
          by(auto elim: final-threadE dest: deadlocked-thread-exists)
        with mw have  $\text{must-wait } s \text{ } t' \text{ } lt \text{ } (\text{dom } (\text{thr } s))$  by(rule must-wait-mono')
        with lt have  $\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s \text{ } t' \text{ } lt \text{ } (\text{dom } (\text{thr } s))$  by blast }
        with  $\langle t' \vdash \langle x'', \text{shr } s \rangle \rangle$  show ?thesis by(auto)
    next
    case (wait  $x'' \text{ } ln''$ )
      from  $\langle \text{wset } s \text{ } t' = \text{None} \rangle \langle \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \text{ } t') \rangle$ 
      have False by(simp add: waiting-def)
      thus ?thesis ..
    next
    case (acquire  $x'' \text{ } ln'' \text{ } l'' \text{ } T$ )
      from  $\langle \text{thr } s \text{ } t' = \lfloor (x'', \text{ln}'') \rfloor \rangle \langle \text{thr } s \text{ } t' = \lfloor (x', \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle \langle 0 < ln'' \$ l'' \rangle$ 
      have False by(auto)
      thus ?thesis ..
    qed
next
fix  $t' \text{ } x' \text{ } ln' \text{ } l$ 
assume  $\text{thr } s \text{ } t' = \lfloor (x', \text{ln}') \rfloor$ 
and  $0 < ln' \$ l$ 
and wst':  $\neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \text{ } t')$ 
hence  $\text{not-final-thread } s \text{ } t'$  by(auto intro: not-final-thread-wait-locks)
hence  $t' \in \text{deadlocked } s$  by(rule deadlocked)
thus  $\exists l \text{ } T. 0 < ln' \$ l \wedge t' \neq T \wedge \text{thr } s \text{ } T \neq \text{None} \wedge \text{has-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l) \text{ } T$ 
proof(cases rule: deadlocked-elims)
  case (lock  $x''$ )
    from  $\langle \text{thr } s \text{ } t' = \lfloor (x', \text{ln}') \rfloor \rangle \langle \text{thr } s \text{ } t' = \lfloor (x'', \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle \langle 0 < ln' \$ l \rangle$ 
    have False by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
next
case (wait  $x' \text{ } ln'$ )
from wst'  $\langle \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s \text{ } t') \rangle$ 
have False by contradiction
thus ?thesis ..
next
case (acquire  $x'' \text{ } ln'' \text{ } l'' \text{ } t''$ )
from  $\langle \text{thr } s \text{ } t' = \lfloor (x'', \text{ln}'') \rfloor \rangle \langle \text{thr } s \text{ } t' = \lfloor (x', \text{ln}') \rfloor \rangle$ 
have [simp]:  $x' = x'' \text{ } ln' = ln''$  by auto
moreover from  $\langle t'' \in \text{deadlocked } s \vee \text{final-thread } s \text{ } t'' \rangle$ 
have  $\text{thr } s \text{ } t'' \neq \text{None}$ 
  by(auto elim: deadlocked-thread-exists simp add: final-thread-def)
with  $\langle 0 < ln'' \$ l'' \rangle \langle \text{has-lock } (\text{locks } s \$ l') \text{ } t'' \rangle \langle t' \neq t'' \rangle \langle \text{thr } s \text{ } t' = \lfloor (x'', \text{ln}'') \rfloor \rangle$ 

```

```

show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
fix t x w
assume tst: thr s t = [ (x, no-wait-locks) ]
show wset s t ≠ [PostWS w]
proof
  assume wset s t = [PostWS w]
  moreover with tst have not-final-thread s t
    by(auto simp add: not-final-thread-iff)
  hence t ∈ deadlocked s by(rule deadlocked)
  ultimately show False using tst
    by(auto elim: deadlocked.cases simp add: waiting-def)
qed
qed
qed

lemma deadlock-eq-deadlocked':
  deadlock = deadlocked'
by(rule ext)(auto intro: deadlock-implies-deadlocked' deadlocked'-implies-deadlock)

lemma deadlock-no-red:
  [ s -t>ta→ s'; deadlock s ] ==> False
unfolding deadlock-eq-deadlocked'
by(rule deadlocked'-no-red)

lemma deadlock-no-active-threads:
assumes dead: deadlock s
shows active-threads s = {}
proof(rule equals0I)
  fix t
  assume active: t ∈ active-threads s
  then obtain ta s' where s -t>ta→ s' by(auto dest: active-thread-ex-red)
  thus False using dead by(rule deadlock-no-red)
qed

end

locale preserve-deadlocked = multithreaded final r convert-RA
for final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics (- ⊢ --> - [50,0,0,50] 80)
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
+
fixes wf-state :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state set
assumes invariant3p-wf-state: invariant3p redT wf-state
assumes can-lock-preserved:
  [ s ∈ wf-state; s -t'>ta'→ s';
    thr s t = [ (x, no-wait-locks) ]; t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ l ]
  ==> t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s'⟩ l
and can-lock-devreserp:
  [ s ∈ wf-state; s -t'>ta'→ s';
    thr s t = [ (x, no-wait-locks) ]; t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s'⟩ L l ]
  ==> ∃ L'⊆L. t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ L' l
begin

```

```

lemma redT-deadlocked-subset:
  assumes wfs:  $s \in wf\text{-state}$ 
  and Red:  $s \xrightarrow{\text{ta}} s'$ 
  shows deadlocked  $s \subseteq \text{deadlocked } s'$ 
proof
  fix  $t'$ 
  assume  $t'\text{dead}: t' \in \text{deadlocked } s$ 
  from Red have tndead:  $t \notin \text{deadlocked } s$ 
    by(auto dest: red-no-deadlock)
  with  $t'\text{dead}$  have  $t't: t' \neq t$  by auto
  { fix  $t'$ 
    assume final-thread  $s t'$ 
    then obtain  $x' \ln'$  where  $\text{tst}' : \text{thr } s t' = \lfloor(x', \ln')\rfloor$  by(auto elim!: final-threadE)
    with ⟨final-thread  $s t'$ ⟩ have final  $x'$ 
      and wset  $s t' = \text{None}$  and [simp]:  $\ln' = \text{no-wait-locks}$ 
        by(auto elim: final-threadE)
      with Red  $\text{tst}'$  have  $t \neq t'$  by cases(auto dest: final-no-red)
      with Red  $\text{tst}'$  have  $\text{thr } s' t' = \lfloor(x', \ln')\rfloor$ 
        by cases(auto intro: redT-updTs-Some)
      moreover from Red ⟨ $t \neq t'$ ⟩ ⟨wset  $s t' = \text{None}$ ⟩
      have wset  $s' t' = \text{None}$  by cases(auto simp: redT-updWs-None-implies-None)
      ultimately have final-thread  $s' t'$  using  $\text{tst}' \langle \text{final } x' \rangle$ 
        by(auto simp add: final-thread-def) }
  hence subset:  $\text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s \subseteq \text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{deadlocked } s' \cup \text{final-threads } s'$  by(auto)

  from Red show  $t' \in \text{deadlocked } s'$ 
  proof(cases)
    case (redT-normal  $x x' m'$ )
    note red = ⟨ $t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle \xrightarrow{\text{ta}} \langle x', m' \rangle$ ⟩
    and tst = ⟨ $\text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ ⟩
    and aok = ⟨actions-ok  $s t \text{ ta}$ ⟩
    and  $s' = \langle \text{redT-upd } s t \text{ ta } x' m' s' \rangle$ 
    from red have  $\neg \text{final } x$  by(auto dest: final-no-red)
    with tndead  $\text{tst}$  have nafe:  $\neg \text{all-final-except } s$  ( $\text{deadlocked } s$ )
      by(fastforce simp add: all-final-except-def not-final-thread-iff)
    from  $t'\text{dead}$  show ?thesis
    proof(coinduct)
      case (deadlocked  $t''$ )
      note  $t''\text{dead} = \text{this}$ 
      with Red have  $t''t: t'' \neq t$ 
        by(auto dest: red-no-deadlock)
      from  $t''\text{dead}$  show ?case
      proof(cases rule: deadlocked-elims)
        case (lock  $X$ )
        hence est'':  $\text{thr } s t'' = \lfloor(X, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ 
          and msE:  $t'' \vdash \langle X, \text{shr } s \rangle \wr$ 
          and csexdead:  $\bigwedge LT. t'' \vdash \langle X, \text{shr } s \rangle \wr \Rightarrow \exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s t'' lt$  ( $\text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s$ )
            by auto
        from  $t''t$  Red est''
        have es't'':  $\text{thr } s' t'' = \lfloor(X, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ 
          by(cases s)(cases s', auto elim!: redT-ts-Some-inv)
        note es't'' moreover
  
```

```

from wfs Red est'' msE have msE': t'' ⊢ ⟨X, shr s'⟩ by(rule can-lock-preserved)
moreover
{ fix LT
  assume clL'': t'' ⊢ ⟨X, shr s'⟩ LT
  with est'' have ∃ LT' ⊆ LT. t'' ⊢ ⟨X, shr s'⟩ LT' by(rule can-lock-devreserp[OF wfs Red])
  then obtain LT' where clL': t'' ⊢ ⟨X, shr s'⟩ LT' and LL': LT' ⊆ LT by blast
  with csexdead obtain lt
    where lt: lt ∈ LT and mw: must-wait s t'' lt (deadlocked s ∪ final-threads s)
      by blast
  from mw have must-wait s' t'' lt (deadlocked s ∪ deadlocked s' ∪ final-threads s')
  proof(cases rule: must-wait-elims)
    case (lock l t')
      from ⟨t' ∈ deadlocked s ∪ final-threads s⟩ Red have tt': t ≠ t'
        by(auto dest: red-no-deadlock final-no-redT elim: final-threadE)
      from aok have lock-actions-ok (locks s $ l) t (⟦ta⟧_l $ l)
        by(auto simp add: lock-ok-las-def)
      with tt' ⟨has-lock (locks s $ l) t'⟩ s'
        have hl't': has-lock (locks s' $ l) t' by(auto)
      moreover note ⟨t' ≠ t''⟩
      moreover from ⟨t' ∈ deadlocked s ∪ final-threads s⟩
        have t' ∈ (deadlocked s ∪ deadlocked s' ∪ final-threads s')
          using subset by blast
      ultimately show ?thesis unfolding ⟨lt = Inl l⟩ ..
  next
    case (join t')
    note t'dead = ⟨t' ∈ deadlocked s ∪ final-threads s⟩
    with Red have tt': t ≠ t'
      by(auto dest: red-no-deadlock final-no-redT elim: final-threadE)
    note nftt' = ⟨not-final-thread s t'⟩
    from t'dead Red aok s' tt' have ts't': thr s' t' = thr s t'
      by(auto elim!: deadlocked-thread-exists final-threadE intro: redT-updTs-Some)
    from nftt' have thr s t' ≠ None by auto
    with nftt' t'dead have t' ∈ deadlocked s
      by(simp add: not-final-thread-final-thread-conv[symmetric])
    hence not-final-thread s' t'
    proof(cases rule: deadlocked-elims)
      case (lock x'')
        from ⟨t' ⊢ ⟨x'', shr s'⟩ ⟩ have ¬ final x'' by(auto elim: must-syncE dest: final-no-red)
        with ⟨thr s t' = ⌊(x'', no-wait-locks)⌋⟩ ts't' show ?thesis
          by(auto intro: not-final-thread.intros)
    next
      case (wait x'' ln'')
        from ⟨¬ final x⟩ tst ⟨all-final-except s (deadlocked s)⟩
        have t ∈ deadlocked s by(fastforce dest: all-final-exceptD simp add: not-final-thread-iff)
        with Red have False by(auto dest: red-no-deadlock)
        thus ?thesis ..
    next
      case (acquire x'' ln'' l'' T'')
        from ⟨thr s t' = ⌊(x'', ln'')⌋⟩ ⟨0 < ln'' $ l''⟩ ts't'
        show ?thesis by(auto intro: not-final-thread.intros(2))
  qed
}

```

```

moreover from  $t' \in \text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{deadlocked } s' \cup \text{final-threads } s'$  ..
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding `lt = Inr (Inl t')` ..

next
  case (interrupt t')
    from tst red aok have not-final-thread s t
    by(auto simp add: wset-actions-ok-def not-final-thread-iff split: if-split-asm dest: final-no-red)
    with `all-final-except s (deadlocked s \cup \text{final-threads } s)`
    have  $t \in \text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{final-threads } s$  by(rule all-final-exceptD)
    moreover have  $t \notin \text{deadlocked } s$  using Red by(blast dest: red-no-deadlock)
    moreover have  $\neg \text{final-thread } s t$  using red tst by(auto simp add: final-thread-def dest: final-no-red)
    ultimately have False by blast
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
  with lt have  $\exists lt \in LT. \text{must-wait } s' t'' lt (\text{deadlocked } s \cup \text{deadlocked } s' \cup \text{final-threads } s')$  by blast }
  moreover have  $wset s' t'' = \text{None}$  using  $s' t'' t \langle wset s t'' = \text{None} \rangle$ 
  by(auto intro: redT-updWs-None-implies-None)
  ultimately show ?thesis by(auto)

next
  case (wait x ln)
  from `all-final-except s (deadlocked s)` nafe have False by simp
  thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case (acquire X ln l T)
  from  $t'' t \text{Red } \langle \text{thr } s t'' = \lfloor (X, ln) \rfloor \rangle s'$ 
  have  $es't'' : \text{thr } s' t'' = \lfloor (X, ln) \rfloor$ 
  by(cases s)(auto dest: redT-ts-Some-inv)
  moreover
  from  $\langle T \in \text{deadlocked } s \vee \text{final-thread } s T \rangle$ 
  have  $T \neq t$ 
  proof(rule disjE)
    assume  $T \in \text{deadlocked } s$ 
    with Red show ?thesis by(auto dest: red-no-deadlock)
  next
    assume final-thread s T
    with Red show ?thesis
    by(auto dest: final-no-redT simp add: final-thread-def)
  qed
  with  $s' \langle \text{tst } Red \langle \text{has-lock } (locks s \$ l) T \rangle \text{ have has-lock } (locks s' \$ l) T \rangle$ 
  by -(cases s, auto dest: redT-has-lock-inv[THEN iffD2])
  moreover
  from  $s' \langle T \neq t \rangle \text{ have wset: } wset s T = \text{None} \implies wset s' T = \text{None}$ 
  by(auto intro: redT-updWs-None-implies-None)
  { fix x
    assume  $\text{thr } s T = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$ 
    with  $\langle T \neq t \rangle Red \langle \text{s' aok tst have thr } s' T = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle$ 
    by(auto intro: redT-updTs-Some) }
  moreover
  hence final-thread s T  $\implies$  final-thread s' T
  by(auto simp add: final-thread-def intro: wset)
  moreover from  $\neg \text{waiting } (wset s t'')$   $s' t'' t$ 
  have  $\neg \text{waiting } (wset s' t'')$ 
  by(auto simp add: redT-updWs-None-implies-None redT-updWs-PostWS-imp-PostWS not-waiting-iff)

```

```

ultimately have ?Acquire
  using <0 < ln $ l> <t'' ≠ T> <T ∈ deadlocked s ∨ final-thread s T> by(auto)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
next
case (redT-acquire x n ln)
hence [simp]: ta = (K[], [], [], [], [], convert-RA ln)
  and s': s' = (acquire-all (locks s) t ln, ((thr s)(t ↦ (x, no-wait-locks)), shr s), wset s, interrupts
s)
  and tst: thr s t = [(x, ln)]
  and wst: ¬ waiting (wset s t) by auto
from t'dead show ?thesis
proof(coinduct)
  case (deadlocked t'')
  note t''dead = this
  with Red have t''t: t'' ≠ t
    by(auto dest: red-no-deadlock)
  from t''dead show ?case
  proof(cases rule: deadlocked-elims)
    case (lock X)
      note clnml = <∀LT. t'' ⊢ ⟨X, shr s⟩ LT ⟩ ⟹ ∃lt ∈ LT. must-wait s t'' lt (deadlocked s ∪
final-threads s)⟩
      note tst'' = <thr s t'' = [(X, no-wait-locks)]⟩
      with s' t''t have ts't'': thr s' t'' = [(X, no-wait-locks)] by simp
      moreover
      { fix LT
        assume t'' ⊢ ⟨X, shr s⟩ LT
        hence t'' ⊢ ⟨X, shr s⟩ LT by using s' by simp
        then obtain lt where lt: lt ∈ LT and hlnft: must-wait s t'' lt (deadlocked s ∪ final-threads s)
          by(blast dest: clnml)
        from hlnft have must-wait s' t'' lt (deadlocked s ∪ deadlocked s' ∪ final-threads s')
        proof(cases rule: must-wait-elims)
          case (lock l' T)
            from <has-lock (locks s $ l') T> s'
            have has-lock (locks s' $ l') T
              by(auto intro: has-lock-has-lock-acquire-locks)
            moreover note <T ≠ t''>
            moreover from <T ∈ deadlocked s ∪ final-threads s>
            have T ∈ deadlocked s ∪ deadlocked s' ∪ final-threads s' using subset by blast
            ultimately show ?thesis unfolding <lt = Inl l'> ..
      next
      case (join T)
        from <not-final-thread s T> have thr s T ≠ None
          by(auto simp add: not-final-thread-iff)
        moreover
        from <T ∈ deadlocked s ∪ final-threads s>
        have T ≠ t
        proof
          assume T ∈ deadlocked s
          with Red show ?thesis by(auto dest: red-no-deadlock)
        next
        assume T ∈ final-threads s
        with <0 < ln $ n> tst show ?thesis
      }
    }
  }
}

```

```

    by(auto simp add: final-thread-def)
qed
ultimately have not-final-thread s' T using <not-final-thread s T> s'
    by(auto simp add: not-final-thread-iff)
moreover from <T ∈ deadlocked s ∪ final-threads s>
have T ∈ deadlocked s ∪ deadlocked s' ∪ final-threads s' using subset by blast
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding <lt = Inr (Inl T)> ..
next
case (interrupt T)
from tst wst <0 < ln $ n> have not-final-thread s t
    by(auto simp add: waiting-def not-final-thread-iff)
with <all-final-except s (deadlocked s ∪ final-threads s)>
have t ∈ deadlocked s ∪ final-threads s by(rule all-final-exceptD)
moreover have t ∉ deadlocked s using Red by(blast dest: red-no-deadlock)
moreover have ¬ final-thread s t using tst <0 < ln $ n> by(auto simp add: final-thread-def)
ultimately have False by blast
thus ?thesis ..
qed
with lt have ∃ lt∈LT. must-wait s' t'' lt (deadlocked s ∪ deadlocked s' ∪ final-threads s') by
blast }
moreover from <wset s t'' = None> s' have wset s' t'' = None by simp
ultimately show ?thesis using <thr s t'' = [(X, no-wait-locks)]> <t'' ⊢ <X, shr s> ∨ s' by
fastforce
next
case (wait X LN)
have all-final-except s' (deadlocked s)
proof(rule all-final-exceptI)
fix T
assume not-final-thread s' T
hence not-final-thread s T using wst tst s'
    by(auto simp add: not-final-thread-iff split: if-split-asm)
with <all-final-except s (deadlocked s)> <thr s t = [(x, ln)]>
show T ∈ deadlocked s by-(erule all-final-exceptD)
qed
hence all-final-except s' (deadlocked s ∪ deadlocked s')
    by(rule all-final-except-mono') blast
with t''t <thr s t'' = [(X, LN)]> <waiting (wset s t'')> s'
have ?Wait by simp
thus ?thesis by simp
next
case (acquire X LN l T)
from <thr s t'' = [(X, LN)]> t''t s'
have thr s' t'' = [(X, LN)] by(simp)
moreover from <T ∈ deadlocked s ∨ final-thread s T> s' tst
have T ∈ deadlocked s ∨ final-thread s' T
    by(clarsimp simp add: final-thread-def)
moreover from <has-lock (locks s $ l) T> s'
have has-lock (locks s' $ l) T
    by(auto intro: has-lock-has-lock-acquire-locks)
moreover have ¬ waiting (wset s' t'') using <¬ waiting (wset s t'')> s' by simp
ultimately show ?thesis using <0 < LN $ l> <t'' ≠ T> by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

qed

corollary *RedT-deadlocked-subset*:
assumes *wfs*: $s \in wf\text{-state}$
and *Red*: $s \rightarrow_{ttas} s'$
shows *deadlocked s* \subseteq *deadlocked s'*
using *Red*
apply(*induct rule*: *RedT-induct*)
apply(*unfold RedT-def*)
apply(*blast dest*: *invariant3p-rtrancl3p*[*OF invariant3p-wf-state - wfs*] *redT-deadlocked-subset*) +
done
end
end

1.13 Progress theorem for the multithreaded semantics

theory *FWProgress*
imports
FWDeadlock
begin

locale *progress* = *multithreaded final r convert-RA*
for *final* :: '*x* \Rightarrow bool
and *r* :: '(*l*, *t*, *x*, *m*, *w*, *o*) *semantics* (- \vdash - \dashrightarrow - [50, 0, 0, 50] 80)
and *convert-RA* :: '*l* *released-locks* \Rightarrow '*o* *list*
+
fixes *wf-state* :: '(*l*, *t*, *x*, *m*, *w*) *state set*
assumes *wf-stateD*: $s \in wf\text{-state} \Rightarrow lock\text{-thread-ok}(locks s) (thr s) \wedge wset\text{-final-ok}(wset s) (thr s)$
and *wf-red*:
 $\llbracket s \in wf\text{-state}; thr s t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait-locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash (x, shr s) -ta \rightarrow (x', m'); \neg waiting(wset s t) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists ta' x' m'. t \vdash (x, shr s) -ta' \rightarrow (x', m') \wedge (actions\text{-ok } s t ta' \vee actions\text{-ok } s t ta' \wedge actions\text{-subset } ta' ta)$
and *red-wait-set-not-final*:
 $\llbracket s \in wf\text{-state}; thr s t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait-locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash (x, shr s) -ta \rightarrow (x', m'); \neg waiting(wset s t); Suspend w \in set \{ta\}_w \rrbracket$
 $\implies \neg final x'$
and *wf-progress*:
 $\llbracket s \in wf\text{-state}; thr s t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait-locks}) \rfloor; \neg final x \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists ta x' m'. t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle$
and *ta-Wakeup-no-join-no-lock-no-interrupt*:
 $\llbracket s \in wf\text{-state}; thr s t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait-locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash xm -ta \rightarrow xm'; Notified \in set \{ta\}_w \vee WokenUp \in set \{ta\}_w \rrbracket$
 $\implies collect\text{-waits } ta = \{\}$
and *ta-satisfiable*:
 $\llbracket s \in wf\text{-state}; thr s t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait-locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists s'. actions\text{-ok } s' t ta$

begin

lemma *wf-redE*:

assumes $s \in wf\text{-state}$ $thr s t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait}\text{-locks}) \rfloor$
and $t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \neg waiting(wset s t)$
obtains $ta' x' m'$
where $t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle$ $actions\text{-ok } s t ta' actions\text{-subset } ta' ta$
 $| ta' x' m'$ **where** $t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle$ $actions\text{-ok } s t ta'$
using *wf-red[OF assms]* **by** *blast*

lemma *wf-progressE*:

assumes $s \in wf\text{-state}$
and $thr s t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait}\text{-locks}) \rfloor \neg final x$
obtains $ta x' m'$ **where** $t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle$
using *assms*
by(*blast dest: wf-progress*)

lemma *wf-progress-satisfiable*:

$\llbracket s \in wf\text{-state}; thr s t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait}\text{-locks}) \rfloor; \neg final x \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists ta x' m' s'. t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \wedge actions\text{-ok } s' t ta$
apply(*frule (2) wf-progress*)
apply(*blast dest: ta-satisfiable*)
done

theorem *redT-progress*:

assumes *wfs*: $s \in wf\text{-state}$
and *ndead*: $\neg deadlock s$
shows $\exists t' ta' s'. s - t' \triangleright ta' \rightarrow s'$

proof –

from *wfs* **have** *lok*: *lock-thread-ok* (*locks s*) (*thr s*)
and *wfin*: *wset-final-ok* (*wset s*) (*thr s*)
by(*auto dest: wf-stateD*)
from *ndead*
have $\exists t x ln l. thr s t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor \wedge$
 $(wset s t = None \wedge ln = no\text{-wait}\text{-locks} \wedge \neg final x \wedge (\exists LT. t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle LT \wr \wedge (\forall lt \in LT.$
 $\neg must\text{-wait } s t lt (dom (thr s)))) \vee$
 $\neg waiting(wset s t) \wedge ln \$ l > 0 \wedge (\forall l. ln \$ l > 0 \longrightarrow may\text{-lock}(locks s \$ l) t) \vee$
 $(\exists w. ln = no\text{-wait}\text{-locks} \wedge wset s t = \lfloor PostWS w \rfloor))$

by(*rule contrapos-np*)(*blast intro!: all-waiting-implies-deadlock[OF lok]* *intro: must-syncI[OF wf-progress-satisfiable[OF wfs]]*)

then obtain $t x ln l$

where *tst*: $thr s t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor$
and *a*: $wset s t = None \wedge ln = no\text{-wait}\text{-locks} \wedge \neg final x \wedge$
 $(\exists LT. t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle LT \wr \wedge (\forall lt \in LT. \neg must\text{-wait } s t lt (dom (thr s)))) \vee$
 $\neg waiting(wset s t) \wedge ln \$ l > 0 \wedge (\forall l. ln \$ l > 0 \longrightarrow may\text{-lock}(locks s \$ l) t) \vee$
 $(\exists w. ln = no\text{-wait}\text{-locks} \wedge wset s t = \lfloor PostWS w \rfloor)$

by *blast*

from *a* **have** *cases*[*case-names normal acquire wakeup*]:

$\wedge thesis.$

$\llbracket \wedge LT. \llbracket wset s t = None; ln = no\text{-wait}\text{-locks}; \neg final x; t \vdash \langle x, shr s \rangle LT \wr;$
 $\wedge lt. lt \in LT \implies \neg must\text{-wait } s t lt (dom (thr s)) \rrbracket \implies thesis;$
 $\llbracket \neg waiting(wset s t); ln \$ l > 0; \wedge l. ln \$ l > 0 \implies may\text{-lock}(locks s \$ l) t \rrbracket \implies thesis;$
 $\wedge w. \llbracket ln = no\text{-wait}\text{-locks}; wset s t = \lfloor PostWS w \rfloor \rrbracket \implies thesis \rrbracket \implies thesis$

by *auto*

```

show ?thesis
proof(cases rule: cases)
  case (normal LT)
    note [simp] = `ln = no-wait-locks`
      and nfin' = `¬ final x`
      and cl' = `t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ LT`
      and mw = `⋀ lt. lt ∈ LT ⟹ ¬ must-wait s t lt (dom (thr s))`
    from tst nfin' obtain x'' m'' ta'
      where red: t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta' → ⟨x'', m''⟩
        by(auto intro: wf-progressE[OF wf])
    from cl'
    have ∃ ta''' x''' m''' . t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta''' → ⟨x''', m'''⟩ ∧
      LT = collect-waits ta'''
        by(fastforce elim!: can-syncE)
    then obtain ta''' x''' m''' .
      where red'': t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta''' → ⟨x''', m'''⟩
        and L: LT = collect-waits ta'''
        by blast
    from `wset s t = None` have ¬ waiting (wset s t) by(simp add: not-waiting-iff)
    with tst obtain ta'' x'' m'' .
      where red': t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ −ta'' → ⟨x'', m''⟩
        and aok': actions-ok s t ta'' ∨ actions-ok' s t ta'' ∧ actions-subset ta'' ta'''
        by -(rule wf-redE[OF wf - red''], auto)
    from aok' have actions-ok s t ta''
  proof
    assume actions-ok' s t ta'' ∧ actions-subset ta'' ta'''
    hence aok': actions-ok' s t ta'' and aos: actions-subset ta'' ta''' by simp-all

    { fix l
      assume Inl l ∈ LT
      { fix t'
        assume t ≠ t'
        have ¬ has-lock (locks s $ l) t'
        proof
          assume has-lock (locks s $ l) t'
          moreover with lok have thr s t' ≠ None by(auto dest: lock-thread-okD)
          ultimately have must-wait s t (Inl l) (dom (thr s)) using `t ≠ t'` by(auto)
          moreover from `Inl l ∈ LT` have ¬ must-wait s t (Inl l) (dom (thr s)) by(rule mw)
          ultimately show False by contradiction
        qed
        hence may-lock (locks s $ l) t
        by-(rule classical, auto simp add: not-may-lock-conv) }
      note mayl = this
      { fix t'
        assume t'LT: Inr (Inl t') ∈ LT
        hence ¬ not-final-thread s t' ∧ t' ≠ t
        proof(cases t' = t)
          case False with t'LT mw L show ?thesis by(fastforce)
        next
          case True with tst mw[OF t'LT] nfin' L have False
            by(auto intro!: must-wait.intros simp add: not-final-thread-iff)
            thus ?thesis ..
        qed
      note mayj = this
    
```

```

{ fix t'
  assume t': Inr (Inr t') ∈ LT
  from t' have ¬ must-wait s t (Inr (Inr t')) (dom (thr s)) by(rule mw)
  hence t' ∈ interrupts s
    by(rule contrapos-np)(fastforce intro: all-final-exceptI simp add: not-final-thread-iff) }
  note interrupt = this
  from aos L mayl
  have ⋀ l. l ∈ collect-locks' {ta''}l ==> may-lock (locks s $ l) t by auto
  with aok' have lock-ok-las (locks s) t {ta''}l by(auto intro: lock-ok-las'-into-lock-on-las)
  moreover
  from mayj aos L
  have cond-action-oks s t {ta''}c
    by(fastforce intro: may-join-cond-action-oks)
  moreover
  from ta-satisfiable[OF wfs tst[simplified] red']
  obtain is' where interrupt-actions-ok is' {ta''}i by auto
  with interrupt aos aok' L have interrupt-actions-ok (interrupts s) {ta''}i
    by(auto 5 2 intro: interrupt-actions-ok'-collect-interrupts-imp-interrupt-actions-ok)
  ultimately show actions-ok s t ta'' using aok' by auto
qed
moreover obtain ws'' where redT-updWs t (wset s) {ta''}w ws''
  using redT-updWs-total[of t wset s {ta''}w] ..
then obtain s' where redT-upd s t ta'' x'' m'' s' by fastforce
ultimately have s -t>ta''→ s'
  using red' tst <wset s t = None> by(auto intro: redT-normal)
thus ?thesis by blast
next
case acquire
hence may-acquire-all (locks s) t ln by(auto)
with tst ⊢ waiting (wset s t) <0 < ln $ l>
show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: redT-acquire)
next
case (wakeup w)
from <wset s t = [PostWS w]>
have ¬ waiting (wset s t) by(simp add: not-waiting-iff)
from tst wakeup have tst: thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋ by simp
from wakeup tst wfin have ¬ final x by(auto dest: wset-final-okD)
from wf-progress[OF wfs tst this]
obtain ta x' m' where red: t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ -ta→ ⟨x', m'⟩ by auto
from wf-red[OF wfs tst red ⊢ waiting (wset s t)]
obtain ta' x'' m'' 
  where red': t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ -ta'→ ⟨x'', m''⟩
  and aok': actions-ok s t ta' ∨ actions-ok' s t ta' ∧ actions-subset ta' ta by blast
from aok' have actions-ok s t ta'
proof
  assume actions-ok' s t ta' ∧ actions-subset ta' ta
  hence aok': actions-ok' s t ta'
    and subset: actions-subset ta' ta by simp-all
  from wakeup aok' have Notified ∈ set {ta'}w ∨ WokenUp ∈ set {ta'}w
    by(auto simp add: wset-actions-ok-def split: if-split-asm)
  from ta-Wakeup-no-join-no-lock-no-interrupt[OF wfs tst red' this]
  have no-join: collect-cond-actions {ta'}c = {}
    and no-lock: collect-locks {ta'}l = {}
    and no-interrupt: collect-interrupts {ta'}i = {} by auto

```

```

from no-lock have no-lock': collect-locks' {ta'}_l = {}
  using collect-locks'-subset-collect-locks[of {ta'}_l] by auto
from aok' have lock-ok-las' (locks s) t {ta'}_l by auto
hence lock-ok-las (locks s) t {ta'}_l
  by(rule lock-ok-las'-into-lock-on-las)(simp add: no-lock')
moreover from subset aok' no-join have cond-action-oks s t {ta'}_c
  by(auto intro: may-join-cond-action-oks)
moreover from ta-satisfiable[OF wfs tst[simplified] red']
obtain is' where interrupt-actions-ok is' {ta'}_i by auto
with aok' no-interrupt have interrupt-actions-ok (interrupts s) {ta'}_i
  by(auto intro: interrupt-actions-ok'-collect-interrupts-imp-interrupt-actions-ok)
ultimately show actions-ok s t ta' using aok' by auto
qed
moreover obtain ws'' where redT-updWs t (wset s) {ta'}_w ws''
  using redT-updWs-total[of t wset s {ta'}_w] ..
then obtain s' where redT-upd s t ta' x'' m'' s' by fastforce
ultimately have s -t>ta' -> s' using tst red' wakeup
  by(auto intro: redT-normal)
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
end
end

```

1.14 Lifting of thread-local properties to the multithreaded case

theory FWLifting
imports

FWWellform

begin

Lifting for properties that only involve thread-local state information and the shared memory.

definition

ts-ok :: (*t* \Rightarrow *x* \Rightarrow *m* \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x) thread-info \Rightarrow *m* \Rightarrow bool

where

$\bigwedge \ln. \text{ts-ok } P \text{ ts } m \equiv \forall t. \text{case } (\text{ts } t) \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \lfloor (x, \ln) \rfloor \Rightarrow P \text{ t } x \text{ m}$

lemma *ts-okI*:

$\llbracket \bigwedge t x \ln. \text{ts } t = \lfloor (x, \ln) \rfloor \Rightarrow P \text{ t } x \text{ m } \rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{ts-ok } P \text{ ts } m$
by(auto simp add: *ts-ok-def*)

lemma *ts-okE*:

$\llbracket \text{ts-ok } P \text{ ts } m; \llbracket \bigwedge t x \ln. \text{ts } t = \lfloor (x, \ln) \rfloor \Rightarrow P \text{ t } x \text{ m } \rrbracket \Rightarrow Q \rrbracket \Rightarrow Q$
by(auto simp add: *ts-ok-def*)

lemma *ts-okD*:

$\bigwedge \ln. \llbracket \text{ts-ok } P \text{ ts } m; \text{ts } t = \lfloor (x, \ln) \rfloor \rrbracket \Rightarrow P \text{ t } x \text{ m}$
by(auto simp add: *ts-ok-def*)

lemma *ts-ok-True* [simp]:
 $ts\text{-}ok (\lambda t m x. \text{True}) ts m$
by(auto intro: *ts-okI*)

lemma *ts-ok-conj*:
 $ts\text{-}ok (\lambda t x m. P t x m \wedge Q t x m) = (\lambda ts m. ts\text{-}ok P ts m \wedge ts\text{-}ok Q ts m)$
by(auto intro: *ts-okI* intro!: ext dest: *ts-okD*)

lemma *ts-ok-mono*:
 $\llbracket ts\text{-}ok P ts m; \bigwedge t x. P t x m \implies Q t x m \rrbracket \implies ts\text{-}ok Q ts m$
by(auto intro!: *ts-okI* dest: *ts-okD*)

Lifting for properites, that also require additional data that does not change during execution

definition
 $ts\text{-}inv :: ('i \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('t \multimap 'i) \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x) \text{ thread-info} \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
 $\bigwedge ln. ts\text{-}inv P I ts m \equiv \forall t. \text{case } (ts t) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor \Rightarrow \exists i. I t = \lfloor i \rfloor \wedge P i t x m$

lemma *ts-invI*:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge t x ln. ts t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor \implies \exists i. I t = \lfloor i \rfloor \wedge P i t x m \rrbracket \implies ts\text{-}inv P I ts m$
by(simp add: *ts-inv-def*)

lemma *ts-invE*:
 $\llbracket ts\text{-}inv P I ts m; \forall t x ln. ts t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor \longrightarrow (\exists i. I t = \lfloor i \rfloor \wedge P i t x m) \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$
by(auto simp add: *ts-inv-def*)

lemma *ts-invD*:
 $\bigwedge ln. \llbracket ts\text{-}inv P I ts m; ts t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \exists i. I t = \lfloor i \rfloor \wedge P i t x m$
by(auto simp add: *ts-inv-def*)

Wellformedness properties for lifting

definition
 $ts\text{-}inv\text{-}ok :: ('l, 't, 'x) \text{ thread-info} \Rightarrow ('t \multimap 'i) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
 $ts\text{-}inv\text{-}ok ts I \equiv \forall t. ts t = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow I t = \text{None}$

lemma *ts-inv-okI*:
 $(\bigwedge t. ts t = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow I t = \text{None}) \implies ts\text{-}inv\text{-}ok ts I$
by(clarify simp add: *ts-inv-ok-def*)

lemma *ts-inv-okI2*:
 $(\bigwedge t. (\exists v. ts t = \lfloor v \rfloor) \longleftrightarrow (\exists v. I t = \lfloor v \rfloor)) \implies ts\text{-}inv\text{-}ok ts I$
by(force simp add: *ts-inv-ok-def*)

lemma *ts-inv-okE*:
 $\llbracket ts\text{-}inv\text{-}ok ts I; \forall t. ts t = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow I t = \text{None} \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
by(force simp add: *ts-inv-ok-def*)

lemma *ts-inv-okE2*:
 $\llbracket ts\text{-}inv\text{-}ok ts I; \forall t. (\exists v. ts t = \lfloor v \rfloor) \longleftrightarrow (\exists v. I t = \lfloor v \rfloor) \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
by(force simp add: *ts-inv-ok-def*)

lemma *ts-inv-okD*:

ts-inv-ok $ts I \implies (ts t = None) \leftrightarrow (I t = None)$
by(erule ts-inv-okE, blast)

lemma *ts-inv-okD2*:

$ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok} ts I \implies (\exists v. ts t = \lfloor v \rfloor) \leftrightarrow (\exists v. I t = \lfloor v \rfloor)$
by(erule ts-inv-okE2, blast)

lemma *ts-inv-ok-conv-dom-eq*:

$ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok} ts I \leftrightarrow (\text{dom } ts = \text{dom } I)$

proof –

have $ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok} ts I \leftrightarrow (\forall t. ts t = None \leftrightarrow I t = None)$
unfolding *ts-inv-ok-def* **by** blast
also have $\dots \leftrightarrow (\forall t. t \in \text{dom } ts \leftrightarrow t \in \text{dom } I)$ **by**(force)
also have $\dots \leftrightarrow \text{dom } ts = \text{dom } I$ **by** auto
finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma *ts-inv-ok-upd-ts*:

$\llbracket ts t = \lfloor x \rfloor; ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok} ts I \rrbracket \implies ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok} (ts(t \mapsto x')) I$
by(auto dest!: ts-inv-okD intro!: ts-inv-okI split: if-splits)

lemma *ts-inv-upd-map-option*:

assumes $ts\text{-inv } P I ts m$
and $\bigwedge x ln. ts t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor \implies P (\text{the } (I t)) t (\text{fst } (f(x, ln))) m$
shows $ts\text{-inv } P I (ts(t := (\text{map-option } f (ts t)))) m$

using assms

by(fastforce intro!: ts-invI split: if-split-asm dest: ts-invD)

fun *upd-inv* :: $('t \rightarrow 'i) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('t, 'x, 'm)$ new-thread-action $\Rightarrow ('t \rightarrow 'i)$
where

$\begin{aligned} \text{upd-inv } I P (\text{NewThread } t x m) &= I(t \mapsto \text{SOME } i. P i t x m) \\ |\text{ upd-inv } I P - &= I \end{aligned}$

fun *upd-invs* :: $('t \rightarrow 'i) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('t, 'x, 'm)$ new-thread-action list $\Rightarrow ('t \rightarrow 'i)$

where

$\begin{aligned} \text{upd-invs } I P [] &= I \\ |\text{ upd-invs } I P (ta \# tas) &= \text{upd-invs} (\text{upd-inv } I P ta) P tas \end{aligned}$

lemma *upd-invs-append* [simp]:

$\text{upd-invs } I P (xs @ ys) = \text{upd-invs} (\text{upd-invs } I P xs) P ys$
by(induct xs arbitrary: I)(auto)

lemma *ts-inv-ok-upd-inv'*:

$ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok} ts I \implies ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok} (\text{redT-updT}' ts ta) (\text{upd-inv } I P ta)$
by(cases ta)(auto intro!: ts-inv-okI elim: ts-inv-okD del: iffI)

lemma *ts-inv-ok-upd-invs'*:

$ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok} ts I \implies ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok} (\text{redT-updT}' ts tas) (\text{upd-invs } I P tas)$

proof(induct tas arbitrary: ts I)

case Nil **thus** ?case **by** simp

next

case (Cons TA TAS TS I)

note IH = $\langle \bigwedge ts I. ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok} ts I \implies ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok} (\text{redT-updT}' ts TAS) (\text{upd-invs } I P TAS) \rangle$

```

note esok = <ts-inv-ok TS I>
from esok have ts-inv-ok (redT-updT' TS TA) (upd-inv I P TA)
  by -(rule ts-inv-ok-upd-inv')
hence ts-inv-ok (redT-updT' TS TA) (upd-invs (upd-inv I P TA) P TAS)
  by (rule IH)
thus ?case by simp
qed

lemma ts-inv-ok-upd-inv:
  ts-inv-ok ts I  $\implies$  ts-inv-ok (redT-updT ts ta) (upd-inv I P ta)
apply(cases ta)
apply(auto intro!: ts-inv-okI elim: ts-inv-okD del: iffI)
done

lemma ts-inv-ok-upd-invs:
  ts-inv-ok ts I  $\implies$  ts-inv-ok (redT-updT' ts tas) (upd-invs I P tas)
proof(induct tas arbitrary: ts I)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons TA TAS TS I)
  note IH = < $\bigwedge$  ts I. ts-inv-ok ts I  $\implies$  ts-inv-ok (redT-updT' ts TAS) (upd-invs I P TAS)>
  note esok = <ts-inv-ok TS I>
  from esok have ts-inv-ok (redT-updT' TS TA) (upd-inv I P TA)
    by -(rule ts-inv-ok-upd-inv')
  hence ts-inv-ok (redT-updT' TS TA) (upd-invs (upd-inv I P TA) P TAS)
    by (rule IH)
  thus ?case by simp
qed

lemma ts-inv-ok-inv-ext-upd-inv:
   $\llbracket$  ts-inv-ok ts I; thread-ok ts ta  $\rrbracket \implies I \subseteq_m \text{upd-inv } I P ta$ 
by(cases ta)(auto intro!: map-le-same-upd dest: ts-inv-okD)

lemma ts-inv-ok-inv-ext-upd-invs:
   $\llbracket$  ts-inv-ok ts I; thread-oks ts tas  $\rrbracket \implies I \subseteq_m \text{upd-invs } I P tas$ 
proof(induct tas arbitrary: ts I)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons TA TAS TS I)
  note IH = < $\bigwedge$  ts I.  $\llbracket$  ts-inv-ok ts I; thread-oks ts TAS  $\rrbracket \implies I \subseteq_m \text{upd-invs } I P TAS$ >
  note esinv = <ts-inv-ok TS I>
  note cct = <thread-oks TS (TA # TAS)>
  from esinv cct have I  $\subseteq_m \text{upd-inv } I P TA$ 
    by(auto intro: ts-inv-ok-inv-ext-upd-inv)
  also from esinv cct have ts-inv-ok (redT-updT' TS TA) (upd-inv I P TA)
    by(auto intro: ts-inv-ok-upd-inv')
  with cct have upd-inv I P TA  $\subseteq_m \text{upd-invs } (upd-inv I P TA) P TAS$ 
    by(auto intro: IH)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed

lemma upd-invs-Some:
   $\llbracket$  thread-oks ts tas; I t =  $\lfloor i \rfloor$ ; ts t =  $\lfloor x \rfloor$   $\rrbracket \implies \text{upd-invs } I Q tas t = \lfloor i \rfloor$ 

```

```

proof(induct tas arbitrary: ts I)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons TA TAS TS I)
  note IH = <math>\langle \bigwedge ts I. [\text{thread-oks } ts \text{ TAS}; t = [i]; ts t = [x]] \implies \text{upd-invs } I Q \text{ TAS } t = [i] \rangle
  note cct = <math>\langle \text{thread-oks } TS (\text{TA} \# \text{TAS}) \rangle
  note it = <math>\langle I t = [i] \rangle
  note est = <math>\langle TS t = [x] \rangle
  from cct have ccta: thread-ok TS TA
    and cctas: thread-oks (redT-updT' TS TA) TAS by auto
  from ccta it est have upd-inv I Q TA t = [i]
    by(cases TA, auto)
  moreover
  have redT-updT' TS TA t = [x] using ccta est
    by - (rule redT-updT'-Some)
  ultimately have upd-invs (upd-inv I Q TA) Q TAS t = [i] using cctas
    by -(erule IH)
  thus ?case by simp
qed

lemma upd-inv-Some-eq:
  [ thread-ok ts ta; ts t = [x] ] \implies \text{upd-inv } I Q ta t = I t
  by(cases ta, auto)

lemma upd-invs-Some-eq: [ thread-oks ts tas; ts t = [x] ] \implies \text{upd-invs } I Q tas t = I t
proof(induct tas arbitrary: ts I)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons TA TAS TS I)
  note IH = <math>\langle \bigwedge ts I. [\text{thread-oks } ts \text{ TAS}; ts t = [x]] \implies \text{upd-invs } I Q \text{ TAS } t = I t \rangle
  note cct = <math>\langle \text{thread-oks } TS (\text{TA} \# \text{TAS}) \rangle
  note est = <math>\langle TS t = [x] \rangle
  from cct est have upd-invs (upd-inv I Q TA) Q TAS t = upd-inv I Q TA t
    apply(clarsimp)
    apply(erule IH)
    by(rule redT-updT'-Some)
  also from cct est have ... = I t
    by(auto elim: upd-inv-Some-eq)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed

lemma SOME-new-thread-upd-invs:
assumes Qsome: <math>Q (\text{SOME } i. Q i t x m) t x m
and nt: NewThread t x m \in set tas
and cct: thread-oks ts tas
shows <math>\exists i. \text{upd-invs } I Q \text{ tas } t = [i] \wedge Q i t x m
proof(rule exI[where x=SOME i. Q i t x m])
  from nt cct have upd-invs I Q tas t = [SOME i. Q i t x m]
proof(induct tas arbitrary: ts I)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons TA TAS TS I)
  note IH = <math>\langle \bigwedge ts I. [\text{NewThread } t x m \in \text{set TAS}; \text{thread-oks } ts \text{ TAS}] \implies \text{upd-invs } I Q \text{ TAS } t = [SOME i. Q i t x m] \rangle

```

```

note nt = <NewThread t x m ∈ set (TA # TAS)>
note cct = <thread-oks TS (TA # TAS)>
{ assume nt': NewThread t x m ∈ set TAS
  from cct have ?case
    apply(clarsimp)
    by(rule IH[OF nt']) }
moreover
{ assume ta: TA = NewThread t x m
  with cct have rup: redT-updT' TS TA t = [(undefined, no-wait-locks)]
    by(simp)
  from cct have ccta: thread-oks (redT-updT' TS TA) TAS by simp
  from ta have upd-inv I Q TA t = [SOME i. Q i t x m]
    by(simp)
  hence ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: upd-invs-Some-eq[OF ccta, OF rup]) }
ultimately show ?case using nt by auto
qed
with Qsome show upd-invs I Q tas t = [SOME i. Q i t x m] ∧ Q (SOME i. Q i t x m) t x m
  by(simp)
qed

lemma ts-ok-into-ts-inv-const:
  assumes ts-ok P ts m
  obtains I where ts-inv (λ-. P) I ts m
proof –
  from assms have ts-inv (λ-. P) (λt. if t ∈ dom ts then Some undefined else None) ts m
    by(auto intro!: ts-invI dest: ts-okD)
  thus thesis by(rule that)
qed

lemma ts-inv-const-into-ts-ok:
  ts-inv (λ-. P) I ts m  $\implies$  ts-ok P ts m
by(auto intro!: ts-okI dest: ts-invD)

lemma ts-inv-into-ts-ok-Ex:
  ts-inv Q I ts m  $\implies$  ts-ok (λt x m. ∃i. Q i t x m) ts m
by(rule ts-okI)(blast dest: ts-invD)

lemma ts-ok-Ex-into-ts-inv:
  ts-ok (λt x m. ∃i. Q i t x m) ts m  $\implies$  ∃I. ts-inv Q I ts m
by(rule exI[where x=λt. [SOME i. Q i t (fst (the (ts t))) m]])(auto 4 4 dest: ts-okD intro: someI intro: ts-invI)

lemma Ex-ts-inv-conv-ts-ok:
  ( $\exists I$ . ts-inv Q I ts m)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (ts-ok (λt x m. ∃i. Q i t x m) ts m)
by(auto dest: ts-inv-into-ts-ok-Ex ts-ok-Ex-into-ts-inv)

end

```

1.15 Labelled transition systems

```

theory LTS
imports

```

```

.. / Basic / Auxiliary
  Coinductive. TLLList
begin

no-notation floor ([-])

lemma rel-option-mono:
  [rel-option R x y;  $\bigwedge x y. R x y \implies R' x y$ ]  $\implies$  rel-option R' x y
  by(cases x)(case-tac [|] y, auto)

lemma nth-concat-conv:
  n < length (concat xss)
   $\implies \exists m n'. concat xss ! n = (xss ! m) ! n' \wedge n' < length (xss ! m) \wedge$ 
   $m < length xss \wedge n = (\sum i < m. length (xss ! i)) + n'$ 
using lnth-lconcat-conv[of n llist-of (map llist-of xss)]
  sum-comp-morphism[where h = enat and g =  $\lambda i. length (xss ! i)$ ]
by(clarsimp simp add: lconcat-llist-of zero-enat-def[symmetric]) blast

```

```

definition flip :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'c
where flip f = ( $\lambda b a. f a b$ )

```

Create a dynamic list *flip-simps* of theorems for flip

```

ML ‹
structure FlipSimpRules = Named_Thms
(
  val name = @{binding flip-simps}
  val description = "Simplification rules for flip in bisimulations"
)
›
setup ‹FlipSimpRules.setup›

lemma flip-conv [flip-simps]: flip f b a = f a b
by(simp add: flip-def)

lemma flip-flip [flip-simps, simp]: flip (flip f) = f
by(simp add: flip-def)

lemma list-all2-flip [flip-simps]: list-all2 (flip P) xs ys = list-all2 P ys xs
unfolding flip-def list-all2-conv-all-nth by auto

lemma llist-all2-flip [flip-simps]: llist-all2 (flip P) xs ys = llist-all2 P ys xs
unfolding flip-def llist-all2-conv-all-nth by auto

lemma rtranclp-flipD:
  assumes (flip r)  $\hat{\wedge}^{**}$  x y
  shows r  $\hat{\wedge}^{**}$  y x
using assms
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl simp add: flip-conv)

lemma rtranclp-flip [flip-simps]:
  (flip r)  $\hat{\wedge}^{**}$  = flip r  $\hat{\wedge}^{**}$ 
by(auto intro!: ext simp add: flip-conv intro: rtranclp-flipD)

```

```

lemma rel-prod-flip [flip-simps]:
  rel-prod (flip R) (flip S) = flip (rel-prod R S)
by(auto intro!: ext simp add: flip-def)

lemma rel-option-flip [flip-simps]:
  rel-option (flip R) = flip (rel-option R)
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff rel-option-iff flip-def)

lemma tllist-all2-flip [flip-simps]:
  tllist-all2 (flip P) (flip Q) xs ys  $\longleftrightarrow$  tllist-all2 P Q ys xs
proof
  assume tllist-all2 (flip P) (flip Q) xs ys
  thus tllist-all2 P Q ys xs
    by(coinduct rule: tllist-all2-coinduct)(auto dest: tllist-all2-is-TNilD tllist-all2-tfinite2-terminalD
tllist-all2-thdD intro: tllist-all2-ttlI simp add: flip-def)
next
  assume tllist-all2 P Q ys xs
  thus tllist-all2 (flip P) (flip Q) xs ys
    by(coinduct rule: tllist-all2-coinduct)(auto dest: tllist-all2-is-TNilD tllist-all2-tfinite2-terminalD
tllist-all2-thdD intro: tllist-all2-ttlI simp add: flip-def)
qed

```

1.15.1 Labelled transition systems

type-synonym ('a, 'b) trsys = 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool

locale trsys =
 fixes trsys :: ('s, 'tl) trsys (-/ -->/ - [50, 0, 50] 60)
begin

abbreviation Trsys :: ('s, 'tl list) trsys (-/ -->*/ - [50,0,50] 60)
where $\bigwedge tl. s - tl \rightarrow^* s' \equiv rtrancl3p trsys s tl s'$

coinductive inf-step :: 's \Rightarrow 'tl llist \Rightarrow bool (- -->* ∞ [50, 0] 80)
where inf-stepI: $\llbracket trsys a b a'; a' - bs \rightarrow^* \infty \rrbracket \implies a - LCons b bs \rightarrow^* \infty$

coinductive inf-step-table :: 's \Rightarrow ('s \times 'tl \times 's) llist \Rightarrow bool (- -->* ∞ [50, 0] 80)
where

inf-step-tableI:
$$\begin{aligned} &\bigwedge tl. \llbracket trsys s tl s'; s' - stls \rightarrow^* t \infty \rrbracket \\ &\implies s - LCons (s, tl, s') stls \rightarrow^* t \infty \end{aligned}$$

definition inf-step2inf-step-table :: 's \Rightarrow 'tl llist \Rightarrow ('s \times 'tl \times 's) llist
where

inf-step2inf-step-table s tls =
 unfold-llist

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda(s, tls). \text{Inull } tls) \\ &(\lambda(s, tls). (s, lhd tls, SOME s'. trsys s (lhd tls) s' \wedge s' - ltl tls \rightarrow^* \infty)) \\ &(\lambda(s, tls). (SOME s'. trsys s (lhd tls) s' \wedge s' - ltl tls \rightarrow^* \infty, ltl tls)) \\ &(s, tls) \end{aligned}$$

coinductive Rtrancl3p :: 's \Rightarrow ('tl, 's) tllist \Rightarrow bool

where

Rtrancl3p-stop: $(\bigwedge tl s'. \neg s - tl \rightarrow s') \implies Rtrancl3p s (TNil s)$

| $Rtrancl3p\text{-}into}\text{-}Rtrancl3p: \bigwedge tl. [\![s - tl \rightarrow s'; Rtrancl3p s' tlss]\!] \implies Rtrancl3p s (TCons tl tlss)$

inductive-simps $Rtrancl3p\text{-}simps$:

$Rtrancl3p s (TNil s')$
 $Rtrancl3p s (TCons tl' tlss)$

inductive-cases $Rtrancl3p\text{-}cases$:

$Rtrancl3p s (TNil s')$
 $Rtrancl3p s (TCons tl' tlss)$

coinductive $Runs :: 's \Rightarrow 'tl llist \Rightarrow bool$

where

$Stuck: (\bigwedge tl s'. \neg s - tl \rightarrow s') \implies Runs s LNil$

| $Step: \bigwedge tl. [\![s - tl \rightarrow s'; Runs s' tlss]\!] \implies Runs s (LCons tl tlss)$

coinductive $Runs\text{-}table :: 's \Rightarrow ('s \times 'tl \times 's) llist \Rightarrow bool$

where

$Stuck: (\bigwedge tl s'. \neg s - tl \rightarrow s') \implies Runs\text{-}table s LNil$

| $Step: \bigwedge tl. [\![s - tl \rightarrow s'; Runs\text{-}table s' stlss]\!] \implies Runs\text{-}table s (LCons (s, tl, s') stlss)$

inductive-simps $Runs\text{-}table\text{-}simps$:

$Runs\text{-}table s LNil$
 $Runs\text{-}table s (LCons stlss stlss)$

lemma $inf\text{-}step\text{-}not\text{-}finite\text{-}llist$:

assumes $r: s - bs \rightarrow^* \infty$

shows $\neg lfinite bs$

proof

assume $lfinite bs$ **thus** $False$ **using** r

by(*induct arbitrary: s rule: lfinite.induct*)(*auto elim: inf-step.cases*)

qed

lemma $inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table-LNil$ [*simp*]: $inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table s LNil = LNil$
by(*simp add: inf-step2inf-step-table-def*)

lemma $inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table-LCons$ [*simp*]:

fixes tl **shows**

$inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table s (LCons tl tlss) =$

$LCons (s, tl, SOME s'. trsys s tl s' \wedge s' - tlss \rightarrow^* \infty)$

$(inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table (SOME s'. trsys s tl s' \wedge s' - tlss \rightarrow^* \infty) tlss)$

by(*simp add: inf-step2inf-step-table-def*)

lemma $lnull\text{-}inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table$ [*simp*]:

$lnull (inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table s tlss) \longleftrightarrow lnull tlss$

by(*simp add: inf-step2inf-step-table-def*)

lemma $inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table-eq-LNil$:

$inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table s tlss = LNil \longleftrightarrow tlss = LNil$

using $lnull\text{-}inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table$ **unfolding** $lnull\text{-}def$.

lemma $lhd\text{-}inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table$ [*simp*]:

$\neg lnull tlss$

$\implies lhd (inf\text{-}step2inf\text{-}step\text{-}table s tlss) =$

$(s, lhd tlss, SOME s'. trsys s (lhd tlss) s' \wedge s' - ltl tlss \rightarrow^* \infty)$

```

by(simp add: inf-step2inf-step-table-def)

lemma ltl-inf-step2inf-step-table [simp]:
ltl (inf-step2inf-step-table s tls) =
inf-step2inf-step-table (SOME s'. trsys s (lhd tls) s' ∧ s' - ltl tls →* ∞) (ltl tls)
by(cases tls) simp-all

lemma lmap-inf-step2inf-step-table: lmap (fst ∘ snd) (inf-step2inf-step-table s tls) = tls
by(coinduction arbitrary: s tls) auto

lemma inf-step-imp-inf-step-table:
assumes s -tls→* ∞
shows ∃ stls. s -stls→* t ∞ ∧ tls = lmap (fst ∘ snd) stls
proof –
  from assms have s -inf-step2inf-step-table s tls→* t ∞
  proof(coinduction arbitrary: s tls)
    case (inf-step-table s tls)
    thus ?case
    proof cases
      case (inf-stepI tl s' tls')
      let ?s' = SOME s'. trsys s tl s' ∧ s' -tls'→* ∞
      have trsys s tl ?s' ∧ ?s' -tls'→* ∞ by(rule someI)(blast intro: inf-stepI)
      thus ?thesis using ⟨tls = LCons tl tls'⟩ by auto
    qed
  qed
  moreover have tls = lmap (fst ∘ snd) (inf-step2inf-step-table s tls)
  by(simp only: lmap-inf-step2inf-step-table)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma inf-step-table-imp-inf-step:
s -stls→* t ∞ ==> s -lmap (fst ∘ snd) stls→* ∞
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s stls rule: inf-step.coinduct)
  case (inf-step s tls)
  thus ?case by cases auto
qed

lemma Runs-table-into-Runs:
Runs-table s stlss ==> Runs s (lmap (λ(s, tl, s'). tl) stlss)
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s stlss)
  case (Runs s tls)
  thus ?case by (cases)auto
qed

lemma Runs-into-Runs-table:
assumes Runs s tls
obtains stlss
where tls = lmap (λ(s, tl, s'). tl) stlss
and Runs-table s stlss
proof –
  define stlss where stlss s tls = unfold-llist
  (λ(s, tls). lnull tls)
  (λ(s, tls). (s, lhd tls, SOME s'. s -lhd tls → s' ∧ Runs s' (ltl tls)))
  (λ(s, tls). (SOME s'. s -lhd tls → s' ∧ Runs s' (ltl tls), ltl tls))

```

```

(s, tls)
  for s tls
have [simp]:
   $\bigwedge s. stlss\ s\ LNil = LNil$ 
   $\bigwedge s\ tl\ tls. stlss\ s\ (LCons\ tl\ tls) = LCons\ (s,\ tl,\ SOME\ s'. s - tl \rightarrow s' \wedge Runs\ s'\ tls)\ (stlss\ (SOME\ s'. s - tl \rightarrow s' \wedge Runs\ s'\ tls)\ tls)$ 
   $\bigwedge s\ tls. lnull\ (stlss\ s\ tls) \leftrightarrow lnull\ tls$ 
   $\bigwedge s\ tls. \neg lnull\ tls \implies lhd\ (stlss\ s\ tls) = (s, lhd\ tls, SOME\ s'. s - lhd\ tls \rightarrow s' \wedge Runs\ s'\ (lhd\ tls))$ 
   $\bigwedge s\ tls. \neg lnull\ tls \implies ltl\ (stlss\ s\ tls) = stlss\ (SOME\ s'. s - lhd\ tls \rightarrow s' \wedge Runs\ s'\ (ltl\ tls))\ (ltl\ tls)$ 
  by(simp-all add: stlss-def)

from assms have tls = lmap (λ(s, tl, s'). tl) (stlss s tls)
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s tls)
  case Eq-llist
  thus ?case by cases(auto 4 3 intro: someI2)
qed
moreover
from assms have Runs-table s (stlss s tls)
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s tls)
  case (Runs-table s stlss')
  thus ?case
  proof(cases)
    case (Step s' tls' tl)
    let ?P = λs'. s - tl → s' ∧ Runs s' tls'
    from ⟨s - tl → s'⟩ ⟨Runs s' tls'⟩ have ?P s' ..
    hence ?P (Eps ?P) by(rule someI)
    with Step have ?Step by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by(rule that)
qed

lemma Runs-appendE:
assumes Runs σ (lappend tls tls')
and lfinite tls
obtains σ' where σ - list-of tls →* σ'
and Runs σ' tls'
proof(atomize-elim)
  from ⟨lfinite tls⟩ ⟨Runs σ (lappend tls tls')⟩
  show ∃σ'. σ - list-of tls →* σ' ∧ Runs σ' tls'
  proof(induct arbitrary: σ)
    case lfinite-LNil thus ?case by(auto)
  next
    case (lfinite-LConsI tls tl)
    from ⟨Runs σ (lappend (LCons tl tls) tls')⟩
    show ?case unfolding lappend-code
    proof(cases)
      case (Step σ')
      from ⟨Runs σ' (lappend tls tls')⟩ ⇒ ∃σ''. σ' - list-of tls →* σ'' ∧ Runs σ'' tls' ⟨Runs σ' (lappend tls tls')⟩
      obtain σ'' where σ' - list-of tls →* σ'' Runs σ'' tls' by blast
      from ⟨σ - tl → σ'⟩ ⟨σ' - list-of tls →* σ''⟩
      have σ - tl # list-of tls →* σ'' by(rule rtrancl3p-step-converse)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

with `lfinite tls` have  $\sigma - list-of (LCons tl tls) \rightarrow* \sigma''$  by(simp)
with `Runs  $\sigma''$  tls' show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed

lemma Trsys-into-Runs:
assumes  $s - tls \rightarrow* s'$ 
and  $Runs s' tls'$ 
shows  $Runs s (lappend (llist-of tls) tls')$ 
using assms
by(induct rule: rtrancl3p-converse-induct)(auto intro: Runs.Step)

lemma rtrancl3p-into-Rtrancl3p:
[| rtrancl3p trsys a bs a';  $\bigwedge b a'' \neg a' - b \rightarrow a''$  |] ==> Rtrancl3p a (tllist-of-llist a' (llist-of bs))
by(induct rule: rtrancl3p-converse-induct)(auto intro: Rtrancl3p.intros)

lemma Rtrancl3p-into-Runs:
Rtrancl3p s tlss ==> Runs s (llist-of-tllist tlss)
by(coinduction arbitrary: s tlss rule: Runs.coinduct)(auto elim: Rtrancl3p.cases)

lemma Runs-into-Rtrancl3p:
assumes Runs s tls
obtains tlss where  $tls = llist-of-tllist tlss$  Rtrancl3p s tlss
proof
let ?Q =  $\lambda s\ tls\ s'. s - lhd\ tls \rightarrow s' \wedge Runs\ s'\ (ltl\ tls)$ 
define tlss where  $tlss = corec-tllist$ 
 $(\lambda(s,\ tls).\ lnull\ tls) (\lambda(s,\ tls).\ s)$ 
 $(\lambda(s,\ tls).\ lhd\ tls)$ 
 $(\lambda.\ False)\ undefined\ (\lambda(s,\ tls).\ (SOME\ s'. ?Q\ s\ tls\ s',\ ltl\ tls))$ 
have [simp]:
 $tlss\ (s,\ LNil) = TNil\ s$ 
 $tlss\ (s,\ LCons\ tl\ tls) = TCons\ tl\ (tlss\ (SOME\ s'. ?Q\ s\ (LCons\ tl\ tls)\ s',\ tls))$ 
for s tl tls by(auto simp add: tlss-def intro: tllist.expand)

show  $tls = llist-of-tllist (tlss\ (s,\ tls))$  using assms
by(coinduction arbitrary: s tls)(erule Runs.cases; fastforce intro: someI2)

show Rtrancl3p s (tlss (s, tls)) using assms
by(coinduction arbitrary: s tls)(erule Runs.cases; simp; iprover intro: someI2[where Q=trsys - -]
someI2[where Q= $\lambda s'. Runs\ s'$  -])
qed

lemma fixes tl
assumes Rtrancl3p s tlss tfinite tlss
shows Rtrancl3p-into-Trsys: Trsys s (list-of (llist-of-tllist tlss)) (terminal tlss)
and terminal-Rtrancl3p-final:  $\neg$  terminal tlss  $- tl \rightarrow s'$ 
using assms(2,1) by(induction arbitrary: s rule: tfinite-induct)(auto simp add: Rtrancl3p-simps intro:
rtrancl3p-step-converse)

end

```

1.15.2 Labelled transition systems with internal actions

```

locale  $\tau\text{trsys} = \text{trsys} +$ 
  constrains  $\text{trsys} :: ('s, 'tl) \text{trsys}$ 
  fixes  $\tau\text{move} :: ('s, 'tl) \text{trsys}$ 
begin

inductive  $\text{silent-move} :: 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow \text{bool} (-\neg\rightarrow - [50, 50] 60)$ 
where [intro]:  $!!\text{tl}. [\text{trsys } s \text{ tl } s'; \tau\text{move } s \text{ tl } s'] \implies s \neg\rightarrow s'$ 

declare  $\text{silent-move.cases} [\text{elim}]$ 

lemma  $\text{silent-move-iff}: \text{silent-move} = (\lambda s s'. (\exists \text{tl}. \text{trsys } s \text{ tl } s' \wedge \tau\text{move } s \text{ tl } s'))$ 
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff)

abbreviation  $\text{silent-moves} :: 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow \text{bool} (-\neg\rightarrow* - [50, 50] 60)$ 
where  $\text{silent-moves} == \text{silent-move}^{\wedge*}$ 

abbreviation  $\text{silent-movet} :: 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow \text{bool} (-\neg\rightarrow+ - [50, 50] 60)$ 
where  $\text{silent-movet} == \text{silent-move}^{\wedge+}$ 

coinductive  $\tau\text{diverge} :: 's \Rightarrow \text{bool} (-\neg\rightarrow \infty [50] 60)$ 
where
   $\tau\text{divergeI}: [s \neg\rightarrow s'; s' \neg\rightarrow \infty] \implies s \neg\rightarrow \infty$ 

coinductive  $\tau\text{inf-step} :: 's \Rightarrow 'tl \text{llist} \Rightarrow \text{bool} (-\neg\rightarrow\rightarrow* \infty [50, 0] 60)$ 
where
   $\tau\text{inf-step-Cons}: \bigwedge \text{tl}. [s \neg\rightarrow* s'; s' \neg\rightarrow \text{tl} \rightarrow s''; \neg \tau\text{move } s' \text{ tl } s''; s'' \neg\rightarrow \text{tl} \rightarrow \infty] \implies s \neg\rightarrow \text{LCons}$ 
   $\text{tl} \neg\rightarrow* \infty$ 
   $\mid \tau\text{inf-step-Nil}: s \neg\rightarrow \infty \implies s \neg\rightarrow \text{LNil} \neg\rightarrow* \infty$ 

coinductive  $\tau\text{inf-step-table} :: 's \Rightarrow ('s \times 's \times 'tl \times 's) \text{llist} \Rightarrow \text{bool} (-\neg\rightarrow\rightarrow* t \infty [50, 0] 80)$ 
where
   $\tau\text{inf-step-table-Cons}:$ 
   $\bigwedge \text{tl}. [s \neg\rightarrow* s'; s' \neg\rightarrow \text{tl} \rightarrow s''; \neg \tau\text{move } s' \text{ tl } s''; s'' \neg\rightarrow \text{tl} \rightarrow t \infty] \implies s \neg\rightarrow \text{LCons} (s, s', \text{tl}, s'')$ 
   $\text{tl} \neg\rightarrow* t \infty$ 
   $\mid \tau\text{inf-step-table-Nil}:$ 
   $s \neg\rightarrow \infty \implies s \neg\rightarrow \text{LNil} \neg\rightarrow* t \infty$ 

definition  $\tau\text{inf-step2}\tau\text{inf-step-table} :: 's \Rightarrow 'tl \text{llist} \Rightarrow ('s \times 's \times 'tl \times 's) \text{llist}$ 
where
   $\tau\text{inf-step2}\tau\text{inf-step-table } s \text{ tls} =$ 
     $\text{unfold-llist}$ 
     $(\lambda(s, \text{tls}). \text{lnull } \text{tls})$ 
     $(\lambda(s, \text{tls}). \text{let } (s', s'') = \text{SOME } (s', s''). s \neg\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' \neg\rightarrow \text{lhd } \text{tls} \rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' (\text{lhd } \text{tls}) s'' \wedge$ 
     $s'' \neg\rightarrow \text{ltl } \text{tls} \neg\rightarrow* \infty$ 
     $\quad \text{in } (s, s', \text{lhd } \text{tls}, s'')$ 
     $(\lambda(s, \text{tls}). \text{let } (s', s'') = \text{SOME } (s', s''). s \neg\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' \neg\rightarrow \text{lhd } \text{tls} \rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' (\text{lhd } \text{tls}) s'' \wedge$ 
     $s'' \neg\rightarrow \text{ltl } \text{tls} \neg\rightarrow* \infty$ 
     $\quad \text{in } (s'', \text{ltl } \text{tls}))$ 
     $(s, \text{tls})$ 

definition  $\text{silent-move-from} :: 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 

```

where silent-move-from $s0\ s1\ s2 \longleftrightarrow$ silent-moves $s0\ s1 \wedge$ silent-move $s1\ s2$

inductive $\tau rtrancl3p :: 's \Rightarrow 'tl\ list \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool$ (- $\dashv\dashv\dashv$ - [50, 0, 50] 60)

where

$\tau rtrancl3p\text{-refl}: \tau rtrancl3p\ s \sqsubseteq s$
 $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-step}: \bigwedge tl. [\![s -tl\rightarrow s'; \neg \tau move\ s\ tl\ s'; \tau rtrancl3p\ s'\ tls\ s'']\!] \implies \tau rtrancl3p\ s\ (tl \# tls)\ s''$
 $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-tstep}: \bigwedge tl. [\![s -tl\rightarrow s'; \tau move\ s\ tl\ s'; \tau rtrancl3p\ s'\ tls\ s'']\!] \implies \tau rtrancl3p\ s\ tls\ s''$

coinductive $\tau Runs :: 's \Rightarrow ('tl, 's\ option)\ tllist \Rightarrow bool$ (- \Downarrow - [50, 50] 51)

where

$\text{Terminate: } [\![s -\tau\rightarrow\ast s'; \bigwedge tl\ s''. \neg s' -tl\rightarrow s'']\!] \implies s \Downarrow TNil\ [s']$
 $\text{Diverge: } s -\tau\rightarrow\infty \implies s \Downarrow TNil\ None$
 $\text{Proceed: } \bigwedge tl. [\![s -\tau\rightarrow\ast s'; s' -tl\rightarrow s''; \neg \tau move\ s'\ tl\ s''; s'' \Downarrow tls]\!] \implies s \Downarrow TCons\ tl\ tls$

inductive-simps $\tau Runs\text{-simps}:$

$s \Downarrow TNil\ (Some\ s')$
 $s \Downarrow TNil\ None$
 $s \Downarrow TCons\ tl'\ tls$

coinductive $\tau Runs\text{-table} :: 's \Rightarrow ('tl \times 's, 's\ option)\ tllist \Rightarrow bool$

where

$\text{Terminate: } [\![s -\tau\rightarrow\ast s'; \bigwedge tl\ s''. \neg s' -tl\rightarrow s'']\!] \implies \tau Runs\text{-table}\ s\ (TNil\ [s'])$
 $\text{Diverge: } s -\tau\rightarrow\infty \implies \tau Runs\text{-table}\ s\ (TNil\ None)$
 $\text{Proceed: } \bigwedge tl. [\![s -\tau\rightarrow\ast s'; s' -tl\rightarrow s''; \neg \tau move\ s'\ tl\ s''; \tau Runs\text{-table}\ s''\ tls]\!] \implies \tau Runs\text{-table}\ s\ (TCons\ (tl, s'')\ tls)$

definition $\text{silent-move2} :: 's \Rightarrow 'tl \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool$

where $\bigwedge tl.$ silent-move2 $s\ tl\ s' \longleftrightarrow s -tl\rightarrow s' \wedge \tau move\ s\ tl\ s'$

abbreviation $\text{silent-moves2} :: 's \Rightarrow 'tl\ list \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow bool$

where silent-moves2 $\equiv rtrancl3p$ silent-move2

coinductive $\tau Runs\text{-table2} :: 's \Rightarrow ('tl\ list \times 's \times 'tl \times 's, ('tl\ list \times 's) + 'tl\ llist)\ tllist \Rightarrow bool$

where

$\text{Terminate: } [\![\text{silent-moves2}\ s\ tls\ s'; \bigwedge tl\ s''. \neg s' -tl\rightarrow s'']\!] \implies \tau Runs\text{-table2}\ s\ (TNil\ (Inl\ (tls, s')))$
 $\text{Diverge: } \text{trsys.inf-step silent-move2}\ s\ tls \implies \tau Runs\text{-table2}\ s\ (TNil\ (Inr\ tls))$
 $\text{Proceed: } \bigwedge tl. [\![\text{silent-moves2}\ s\ tls\ s'; s' -tl\rightarrow s''; \neg \tau move\ s'\ tl\ s''; \tau Runs\text{-table2}\ s''\ tlsstlss]\!] \implies \tau Runs\text{-table2}\ s\ (TCons\ (tls, s', tl, s'')\ tlsstlss)$

inductive-simps $\tau Runs\text{-table2-simps}:$

$\tau Runs\text{-table2}\ s\ (TNil\ tlss)$
 $\tau Runs\text{-table2}\ s\ (TCons\ tlsstlss\ tlssstlss)$

lemma inf-step-table-all- τ -into- τ -diverge:

$[\![s -stls\rightarrow\ast t\ \infty; \forall (s, tl, s') \in lset\ stls. \tau move\ s\ tl\ s']\!] \implies s -\tau\rightarrow\infty$

proof (coinduction arbitrary: $s\ stls$)

case (τ diverge s)

thus ?case by cases (auto simp add: silent-move-iff, blast)

qed

lemma inf-step-table-lappend-llist-ofD:

$s -lappend\ (llist\ stls) (LCons\ (x, tl', x')\ xs) \rightarrow\ast t\ \infty$

```

 $\implies (s -\text{map} (\text{fst} \circ \text{snd}) \text{stls} \rightarrow^* x) \wedge (x -L\text{Cons} (x, tl', x') xs \rightarrow^* t \infty)$ 
proof(induct stls arbitrary: s)
  case Nil thus ?case by(auto elim: inf-step-table.cases intro: inf-step-table.intros rtrancl3p-refl)
next
  case (Cons st stls)
    note IH =  $\langle \lambda s. s -\text{lappend} (\text{llist-of stls}) (L\text{Cons} (x, tl', x') xs) \rightarrow^* t \infty \rangle \implies$ 
       $s -\text{map} (\text{fst} \circ \text{snd}) \text{stls} \rightarrow^* x \wedge x -L\text{Cons} (x, tl', x') xs \rightarrow^* t \infty$ 
    from  $\langle s -\text{lappend} (\text{llist-of} (st \# \text{stls})) (L\text{Cons} (x, tl', x') xs) \rightarrow^* t \infty \rangle$ 
    show ?case
    proof cases
      case (inf-step-tableI s' stls' tl)
        hence [simp]:  $st = (s, tl, s')$   $stls' = \text{lappend} (\text{llist-of stls}) (L\text{Cons} (x, tl', x') xs)$ 
        and  $s -tl \rightarrow s' s' -\text{lappend} (\text{llist-of stls}) (L\text{Cons} (x, tl', x') xs) \rightarrow^* t \infty$  by simp-all
        from  $IH[OF \langle s' -\text{lappend} (\text{llist-of stls}) (L\text{Cons} (x, tl', x') xs) \rightarrow^* t \infty \rangle]$ 
        have  $s' -\text{map} (\text{fst} \circ \text{snd}) \text{stls} \rightarrow^* x$   $x -L\text{Cons} (x, tl', x') xs \rightarrow^* t \infty$  by auto
        with  $\langle s -tl \rightarrow s' \rangle$  show ?thesis by(auto simp add: o-def intro: rtrancl3p-step-converse)
    qed
  qed

lemma inf-step-table-lappend-llist-of-τ-into-τmoves:
  assumes lfinite stls
  shows  $\llbracket s -\text{lappend} \text{stls} (L\text{Cons} (x, tl' x') xs) \rightarrow^* t \infty; \forall (s, tl, s') \in lset \text{stls}. \tau\text{move} s tl s' \rrbracket \implies s -\tau \rightarrow^* x$ 
  using assms
  proof(induct arbitrary: s rule: lfinite.induct)
    case lfinite-LNil thus ?case by(auto elim: inf-step-table.cases)
  next
    case (lfinite-LConsI stls st)
      note IH =  $\langle \lambda s. \llbracket s -\text{lappend} \text{stls} (L\text{Cons} (x, tl' x') xs) \rightarrow^* t \infty; \forall (s, tl, s') \in lset \text{stls}. \tau\text{move} s tl s' \rrbracket \implies s -\tau \rightarrow^* x \rangle$ 
      obtain  $s1 tl1 s1'$  where [simp]:  $st = (s1, tl1, s1')$  by(cases st)
      from  $\langle s -\text{lappend} (L\text{Cons} st \text{stls}) (L\text{Cons} (x, tl' x') xs) \rightarrow^* t \infty \rangle$ 
      show ?case
      proof cases
        case (inf-step-tableI X' STLS TL)
        hence [simp]:  $s1 = s$   $TL = tl1$   $X' = s1' STLS = \text{lappend} \text{stls} (L\text{Cons} (x, tl' x') xs)$ 
        and  $s -tl1 \rightarrow s1'$  and  $s1' -\text{lappend} \text{stls} (L\text{Cons} (x, tl' x') xs) \rightarrow^* t \infty$  by simp-all
        from  $\langle \forall (s, tl, s') \in lset (L\text{Cons} st \text{stls}). \tau\text{move} s tl s' \rangle$  have  $\tau\text{move} s tl1 s1'$  by simp
        moreover
        from  $IH[OF \langle s1' -\text{lappend} \text{stls} (L\text{Cons} (x, tl' x') xs) \rightarrow^* t \infty \rangle]$   $\langle \forall (s, tl, s') \in lset (L\text{Cons} st \text{stls}). \tau\text{move} s tl s' \rangle$ 
        have  $s1' -\tau \rightarrow^* x$  by simp
        ultimately show ?thesis using  $\langle s -tl1 \rightarrow s1' \rangle$  by(auto intro: converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp)
      qed
  qed

lemma inf-step-table-into-τinf-step:
   $s -\text{stls} \rightarrow^* t \infty \implies s -\tau -\text{lmap} (\text{fst} \circ \text{snd}) (\text{lfilter} (\lambda(s, tl, s'). \neg \tau\text{move} s tl s') \text{stls}) \rightarrow^* \infty$ 
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s stls)
  case (τinf-step s stls)
  let  $?P = \lambda(s, tl, s'). \neg \tau\text{move} s tl s'$ 
  show ?case
  proof(cases lfilter ?P stls)

```

```

case LNil
with  $\tau$ -inf-step have  $\tau$ -inf-step-Nil
  by(auto intro: inf-step-table-all- $\tau$ -into- $\tau$  diverge simp add: lfilter-eq-LNil)
thus ?thesis ..
next
  case (LCons stls' xs)
  obtain x tl x' where stls' = (x, tl, x') by(cases stls')
  with LCons have stls: lfilter ?P stls = LCons (x, tl, x') xs by simp
  from lfilter-eq-LConsD[OF this] obtain stls1 stls2
    where stls1: stls = lappend stls1 (LCons (x, tl, x') stls2)
    and lfinite stls1
    and  $\tau$ s:  $\forall (s, tl, s') \in lset stls1. \tau move s tl s'$ 
    and n $\tau$ :  $\neg \tau move x tl x'$  and xs: xs = lfilter ?P stls2 by blast
  from <lfinite stls1>  $\tau$ -inf-step  $\tau$ s have s  $\rightarrowtail$  x unfolding stls1
    by(rule inf-step-table-lappend-llist-of- $\tau$ -into- $\tau$ -moves)
  moreover from <lfinite stls1> have llist-of (list-of stls1) = stls1 by(simp add: llist-of-list-of)
  with  $\tau$ -inf-step stls1 have s  $\rightarrowtail$  (llist-of (list-of stls1)) (LCons (x, tl, x') stls2)  $\rightarrowtail$  t  $\infty$  by
simp
  from inf-step-table-lappend-llist-ofD[OF this]
  have x  $\rightarrowtail$  LCons (x, tl, x') stls2  $\rightarrowtail$  t  $\infty$  ..
  hence x  $\rightarrowtail$  x' x'  $\rightarrowtail$  stls2  $\rightarrowtail$  t  $\infty$  by(auto elim: inf-step-table.cases)
  ultimately have ? $\tau$ -inf-step-Cons using xs n $\tau$  by(auto simp add: stls o-def)
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
qed

lemma inf-step-into- $\tau$ -inf-step:
assumes s  $\rightarrowtail$  t  $\infty$ 
shows  $\exists A. s \rightarrowtail lngths t A \rightarrowtail \infty$ 
proof -
  from inf-step-imp-inf-step-table[OF assms]
  obtain stls where s  $\rightarrowtail$  stls  $\rightarrowtail$  t  $\infty$  and t $ls$ : t $ls$  = lmap (fst  $\circ$  snd) stls by blast
  from <s  $\rightarrowtail$  stls  $\rightarrowtail$  t  $\infty$ > have s  $\rightarrowtail$  lmap (fst  $\circ$  snd) (lfilter ( $\lambda(s, tl, s'). \neg \tau move s tl s'$ ) stls)  $\rightarrowtail$  t  $\infty$ 
    by(rule inf-step-table-into- $\tau$ -inf-step)
  hence s  $\rightarrowtail$  lngths t {n. enat n  $<$  llength stls  $\wedge$  ( $\lambda(s, tl, s'). \neg \tau move s tl s'$ ) (lngth stls n)}  $\rightarrowtail$  t  $\infty$ 
    unfolding lfilter-conv-lngths t $ls$  by simp
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma silent-moves-into- $\tau$ rtrancl3p:
  s  $\rightarrowtail$  s'  $\implies$  s  $\rightarrowtail$  []  $\rightarrowtail$  s'
by(induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct)(blast intro:  $\tau$ rtrancl3p.intros)+

lemma  $\tau$ rtrancl3p-into-silent-moves:
  s  $\rightarrowtail$  []  $\rightarrowtail$  s'  $\implies$  s  $\rightarrowtail$  s'
apply(induct s t $ls$   $\equiv$  [] :: 'tl list s' rule:  $\tau$ rtrancl3p.induct)
apply(auto intro: converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp)
done

lemma  $\tau$ rtrancl3p-Nil-eq- $\tau$ -moves:
  s  $\rightarrowtail$  []  $\rightarrowtail$  s'  $\longleftrightarrow$  s  $\rightarrowtail$  s'
by(blast intro: silent-moves-into- $\tau$ rtrancl3p  $\tau$ rtrancl3p-into-silent-moves)

lemma  $\tau$ rtrancl3p-trans [trans]:

```

```

 $\llbracket s -\tau-tls \rightarrow * s'; s' -\tau-tls' \rightarrow * s'' \rrbracket \implies s -\tau-tls @ tls' \rightarrow * s''$ 
apply(induct rule:  $\tau rtrancl3p.induct$ )
apply(auto intro:  $\tau rtrancl3p.intros$ )
done

lemma  $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-}SingletonE$ :
fixes tl
assumes red:  $s -\tau-[tl] \rightarrow * s'''$ 
obtains s' s'' where  $s -\tau \rightarrow * s' s' -tl \rightarrow s'' \neg \tau move s' tl s'' s'' -\tau \rightarrow * s'''$ 
proof(atomize-elim)
from red show  $\exists s' s''. s -\tau \rightarrow * s' \wedge s' -tl \rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau move s' tl s'' \wedge s'' -\tau \rightarrow * s'''$ 
proof(induct s tls≡[tl] s''')
case ( $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-}step s' s''$ )
from  $\langle s -tl \rightarrow s' \rangle \neg \tau move s tl s' \rangle \langle s' -\tau \rightarrow [] \rightarrow * s'' \rangle$  show ?case
by(auto simp add:  $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-}Nil\text{-}eq\text{-}\tau moves$ )
next
case ( $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-}step s' s'' tl'$ )
then obtain t' t'' where  $s' -\tau \rightarrow * t' t' -tl \rightarrow t'' \neg \tau move t' tl t'' t'' -\tau \rightarrow * s''$  by auto
moreover
from  $\langle s -tl' \rightarrow s' \rangle \langle \tau move s tl' s' \rangle$  have  $s -\tau \rightarrow * s'$  by blast
ultimately show ?case by(auto intro:  $rtranclp\text{-}trans$ )
qed
qed

lemma  $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-}snocI$ :
 $\bigwedge tl. \llbracket \tau rtrancl3p s tls s''; s'' -\tau \rightarrow * s''' ; s''' -tl \rightarrow s'; \neg \tau move s''' tl s' \rrbracket \implies \tau rtrancl3p s (tls @ [tl]) s'$ 
apply(erule  $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-}trans$ )
apply(fold  $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-}Nil\text{-}eq\text{-}\tau moves$ )
apply(drule  $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-}trans$ )
apply(erule (1)  $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-}step$ )
apply(rule  $\tau rtrancl3p\text{-}refl$ )
apply simp
done

lemma  $\tau diverge\text{-}rtranclp\text{-}silent\text{-}move$ :
 $\llbracket \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\infty} s s'; s' -\tau \rightarrow \infty \rrbracket \implies s -\tau \rightarrow \infty$ 
by(induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct)(auto intro:  $\tau divergeI$ )

lemma  $\tau diverge\text{-}trancI\text{-}coinduct$  [consumes 1, case-names  $\tau diverge$ ]:
assumes X:  $X s$ 
and step:  $\bigwedge s. X s \implies \exists s'. \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\infty} s s' \wedge (X s' \vee s' -\tau \rightarrow \infty)$ 
shows  $s -\tau \rightarrow \infty$ 
proof -
from X have  $\exists s'. \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\infty} s s' \wedge X s'$  by blast
thus ?thesis
proof(coinduct)
case ( $\tau diverge s$ )
then obtain s' where  $\text{silent-move}^{\wedge\infty} s s' X s'$  by blast
from step[OF ⟨X s'⟩] obtain s'''
where  $\text{silent-move}^{\wedge\infty} s' s''' X s''' \vee s''' -\tau \rightarrow \infty$  by blast
from ⟨silent-move** s s'⟩ show ?case
proof(cases rule: converse-rtranclpE[consumes 1, case-names refl step])
case refl

```

moreover from $\text{tranclpD}[\text{OF } \langle \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} s' s''' \rangle]$ **obtain** s''
where $\text{silent-move } s' s'' \text{ silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} s'' s'''$ **by** *blast*
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $\langle \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} s'' s''' \rangle \langle X s''' \vee s''' -\tau\rightarrow\infty \rangle$
by(*auto intro: $\tau\text{diverge-rtranclp-silent-move}$*)

next
case (*step S*)
moreover from $\langle \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} S s' \rangle \langle \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} s' s''' \rangle$
have $\text{silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} S s'''$ **by**(*rule rtranclp-trans[$\text{OF} - \text{tranclp-into-rtranclp}$]*)
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $\langle X s''' \vee s''' -\tau\rightarrow\infty \rangle$ **by**(*auto intro: $\tau\text{diverge-rtranclp-silent-move}$*)

qed
qed
qed

lemma $\tau\text{diverge-trancl-measure-coinduct}$ [*consumes 2, case-names $\tau\text{diverge}$*]:
assumes *major*: $X s t \text{ wfP } \mu$
and *step*: $\bigwedge s t. X s t \implies \exists s' t'. (\mu t' t \wedge s' = s \vee \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} s s') \wedge (X s' t' \vee s' -\tau\rightarrow\infty)$
shows $s -\tau\rightarrow\infty$

proof –

{ fix $s t$
assume $X s t$
with $\langle \text{wfP } \mu \rangle$ **have** $\exists s' t'. \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} s s' \wedge (X s' t' \vee s' -\tau\rightarrow\infty)$
proof(*induct arbitrary: s rule: wfP-induct[*consumes 1*]*)

case (*1 t*)
hence *IH*: $\bigwedge s' t'. [\mu t' t; X s' t'] \implies \exists s'' t''. \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} s' s'' \wedge (X s'' t'' \vee s'' -\tau\rightarrow\infty)$ **by** *blast*
from *step*[$\text{OF } \langle X s t \rangle$] **obtain** $s' t'$
where $\mu t' t \wedge s' = s \vee \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} s s' X s' t' \vee s' -\tau\rightarrow\infty$ **by** *blast*
from $\langle \mu t' t \wedge s' = s \vee \text{silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} s s' \rangle$ **show** $?case$

proof
assume $\mu t' t \wedge s' = s$
hence $\mu t' t$ **and** [*simp*]: $s' = s$ **by** *simp-all*
from $\langle X s' t' \vee s' -\tau\rightarrow\infty \rangle$ **show** $?thesis$
proof
assume $X s' t'$
from *IH*[$\text{OF } \langle \mu t' t \rangle$ *this*] **show** $?thesis$ **by** *simp*

next
assume $s' -\tau\rightarrow\infty$ **thus** $?thesis$
by *cases(auto simp add: silent-move-iff)*
qed

next
assume $\text{silent-move}^{\wedge\wedge} s s'$
thus $?thesis$ **using** $\langle X s' t' \vee s' -\tau\rightarrow\infty \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed

qed }
note $X = \text{this}$
from $\langle X s t \rangle$ **have** $\exists t. X s t ..$
thus $?thesis$
proof(*coinduct rule: $\tau\text{diverge-trancl-coinduct}$*)

case ($\tau\text{diverge } s$)
then obtain t **where** $X s t ..$
from $X[\text{OF this}]$ **show** $?case$ **by** *blast*

qed
qed

```

lemma  $\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table-LNil}$  [simp]:  $\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table } s \text{ LNil} = \text{LNil}$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table-def}$ )

lemma  $\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table-LCons}$  [simp]:
  fixes  $s \text{ tl } ss \text{ tls}$ 
  defines  $ss \equiv \text{SOME } (s', s''). s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' -\text{tl}\rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' \text{ tl } s'' \wedge s'' -\tau\text{-tls}\rightarrow* \infty$ 
  shows
     $\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table } s \text{ (LCons tl tls)} =$ 
     $\text{LCons } (s, \text{fst } ss, \text{tl}, \text{snd } ss) (\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table } (\text{snd } ss) \text{ tls})$ 
by(simp add:  $ss\text{-def } \tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table-def split-beta}$ )

lemma  $\text{lnull-}\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table}$  [simp]:
   $\text{lnull } (\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table } s \text{ tls}) \longleftrightarrow \text{lnull } \text{tls}$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table-def}$ )

lemma  $\text{lhd-}\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table}$  [simp]:
   $\neg \text{lnull } \text{tls} \implies \text{lhd } (\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table } s \text{ tls}) =$ 
   $(\text{let } (s', s'') = \text{SOME } (s', s''). s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' -\text{lhd } \text{tls}\rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' \text{ (lhd } \text{tls)} s'' \wedge s'' -\tau\text{-ltl } \text{tls}\rightarrow* \infty$ 
   $\text{in } (s, s', \text{lhd } \text{tls}, s'')$ 
unfolding  $\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table-def Let-def by simp}$ 

lemma  $\text{ltl-}\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table}$  [simp]:
   $\neg \text{lnull } \text{tls} \implies \text{ltl } (\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table } s \text{ tls}) =$ 
   $(\text{let } (s', s'') = \text{SOME } (s', s''). s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' -\text{ltl } \text{tls}\rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' \text{ (ltl } \text{tls)} s'' \wedge s'' -\tau\text{-ltl } \text{tls}\rightarrow* \infty$ 
   $\text{in } \tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table } s'' \text{ (ltl } \text{tls})$ 
unfolding  $\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table-def Let-def by simp add: split-beta}$ 

lemma  $\text{lmap-}\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table}: \text{lmap } (\text{fst } \circ \text{snd } \circ \text{snd}) (\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table } s \text{ tls}) = \text{tls}$ 
by(coinduction arbitrary: s tls)(auto simp add: split-beta)

lemma  $\tau\text{inf-step-into-}\tau\text{inf-step-table}:$ 
   $s -\tau\text{-tls}\rightarrow* \infty \implies s -\tau\text{-}\tau\text{inf-step}2\tau\text{inf-step-table } s \text{ tls}\rightarrow* t \infty$ 
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s tls)
  case ( $\tau\text{inf-step-table } s \text{ tls}$ )
  thus ?case
  proof(cases)
    case ( $\tau\text{inf-step-Cons } s' s'' \text{ tls' tl}$ )
    let ?ss =  $\text{SOME } (s', s''). s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' -\text{tl}\rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' \text{ tl } s'' \wedge s'' -\tau\text{-tls'}\rightarrow* \infty$ 
    from  $\tau\text{inf-step-Cons}$  have  $\text{tls: tls} = \text{LCons tl tls'}$  and  $s -\tau\rightarrow* s' s' -\text{tl}\rightarrow s''$ 
     $\neg \tau\text{move } s' \text{ tl } s'' -\tau\text{-tls'}\rightarrow* \infty$  by simp-all
    hence  $(\lambda(s', s''). s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' -\text{tl}\rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' \text{ tl } s'' \wedge s'' -\tau\text{-tls'}\rightarrow* \infty) (s', s'')$  by simp
    hence  $(\lambda(s', s''). s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' -\text{tl}\rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' \text{ tl } s'' \wedge s'' -\tau\text{-tls'}\rightarrow* \infty) ?ss$  by(rule someI)
    with  $\text{tls}$  have ? $\tau\text{inf-step-table-Cons}$  by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case  $\tau\text{inf-step-Nil}$ 
    then have ? $\tau\text{inf-step-table-Nil}$  by simp
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed

```

qed

lemma $\tau\text{inf-step-imp-}\tau\text{inf-step-table}$:

assumes $s -\tau- \text{tls} \rightarrow^* \infty$

shows $\exists \text{sstls}. s -\tau- \text{sstls} \rightarrow^* t \infty \wedge \text{tls} = \text{lmap } (\text{fst} \circ \text{snd} \circ \text{snd}) \text{ sstls}$

using $\tau\text{inf-step-into-}\tau\text{inf-step-table}[OF \text{ assms}]$

by(*auto simp only: lmap-τinf-step2τinf-step-table*)

lemma $\tau\text{inf-step-table-into-}\tau\text{inf-step}$:

$s -\tau- \text{sstls} \rightarrow^* t \infty \implies s -\tau- \text{lmap } (\text{fst} \circ \text{snd} \circ \text{snd}) \text{ sstls} \rightarrow^* \infty$

proof(*coinduction arbitrary: s sstls*)

case ($\tau\text{inf-step } s \text{ tls}$)

thus ?**case by cases**(*auto simp add: o-def*)

qed

lemma silent-move-fromI [*intro*]:

$\llbracket \text{silent-moves } s_0 s_1; \text{silent-move } s_1 s_2 \rrbracket \implies \text{silent-move-from } s_0 s_1 s_2$

by(*simp add: silent-move-from-def*)

lemma silent-move-fromE [*elim*]:

assumes $\text{silent-move-from } s_0 s_1 s_2$

obtains $\text{silent-moves } s_0 s_1 \text{ silent-move } s_1 s_2$

using *assms* **by**(*auto simp add: silent-move-from-def*)

lemma $rtranclp\text{-silent-move-from-imp-silent-moves}$:

assumes $s'x: \text{silent-move}^{**} s' x$

shows $(\text{silent-move-from } s') \hat{\wedge}^{**} x z \implies \text{silent-moves } s' z$

by(*induct rule: rtranclp-induct*)(*auto intro: s'x*)

lemma $\tau\text{diverge-not-wfP-silent-move-from}$:

assumes $s -\tau- \infty$

shows $\neg \text{wfP } (\text{flip } (\text{silent-move-from } s))$

proof

assume $\text{wfP } (\text{flip } (\text{silent-move-from } s))$

moreover define Q **where** $Q = \{s'. \text{silent-moves } s s' \wedge s' -\tau- \infty\}$

hence $s \in Q$ **using** $\langle s -\tau- \infty \rangle$ **by**(*auto*)

ultimately have $\exists z \in Q. \forall y. \text{silent-move-from } s z y \longrightarrow y \notin Q$

unfolding $\text{wfP-eq-minimal flip-simps}$ **by** *blast*

then obtain z **where** $z \in Q$

and min: $\bigwedge y. \text{silent-move-from } s z y \implies y \notin Q$ **by** *blast*

from $\langle z \in Q \rangle$ **have** $\text{silent-moves } s z z -\tau- \infty$ **unfolding** $Q\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

from $\langle z -\tau- \infty \rangle$ **obtain** y **where** $\text{silent-move } z y y -\tau- \infty$ **by** *cases auto*

from $\langle \text{silent-moves } s z \rangle \langle \text{silent-move } z y \rangle$ **have** $\text{silent-move-from } s z y ..$

hence $y \notin Q$ **by**(*rule min*)

moreover from $\langle \text{silent-moves } s z \rangle \langle \text{silent-move } z y \rangle \langle y -\tau- \infty \rangle$

have $y \in Q$ **unfolding** $Q\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

ultimately show *False* **by** *contradiction*

qed

lemma $\text{wfP-silent-move-from-unroll}$:

assumes $\text{wfPs': } \bigwedge s'. s -\tau- \rightarrow s' \implies \text{wfP } (\text{flip } (\text{silent-move-from } s'))$

shows $\text{wfP } (\text{flip } (\text{silent-move-from } s))$

unfolding $\text{wfP-eq-minimal flip-conv}$

proof(*intro allI impI*)

```

fix Q and x :: 's
assume x ∈ Q
show ∃z∈Q. ∀y. silent-move-from s z y → y ∉ Q
proof(cases ∃s'. s −τ→ s' ∧ (∃x'. silent-moves s' x' ∧ x' ∈ Q))
  case False
    hence ∀y. silent-move-from s x y → ¬y ∈ Q
      by(cases x=s)(auto, blast elim: converse-rtranclpE intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
      with ⟨x ∈ Q⟩ show ?thesis by blast
next
  case True
    then obtain s' x' where s −τ→ s' and silent-moves s' x' and x' ∈ Q
      by auto
    from ⟨s −τ→ s'⟩ have wfP (flip (silent-move-from s')) by(rule wfPs')
    from this ⟨x' ∈ Q⟩ obtain z where z ∈ Q and min: ∀y. silent-move-from s' z y ⇒ ¬y ∈ Q
      and (silent-move-from s') ∗∗ x' z
      by (rule wfP-minimalE) (unfold flip-simps, blast)
    { fix y
      assume silent-move-from s z y
      with ⟨(silent-move-from s') ∗∗ x' z⟩ ⟨silent-move ∗∗ s' x'⟩
      have silent-move-from s' z y
        by(blast intro: rtranclp-silent-move-from-imp-silent-moves)
      hence ¬y ∈ Q by(rule min) }
      with ⟨z ∈ Q⟩ show ?thesis by(auto simp add: intro!: bexI)
    qed
qed
qed

lemma not-wfP-silent-move-from-τ-diverge:
  assumes ¬wfP (flip (silent-move-from s))
  shows s −τ→ ∞
using assms
proof(coinduct)
  case (τ-diverge s)
  { assume wfPs': ∀s'. s −τ→ s' ⇒ wfP (flip (silent-move-from s'))
    hence wfP (flip (silent-move-from s)) by(rule wfP-silent-move-from-unroll) }
  with τ-diverge have ∃s'. s −τ→ s' ∧ ¬wfP (flip (silent-move-from s')) by auto
  thus ?case by blast
qed

lemma τ-diverge-neq-wfP-silent-move-from:
  s −τ→ ∞ ≠ wfP (flip (silent-move-from s))
by(auto intro: not-wfP-silent-move-from-τ-diverge dest: τ-diverge-not-wfP-silent-move-from)

lemma not-τ-diverge-to-no-τ-move:
  assumes ¬s −τ→ ∞
  shows ∃s'. s −τ→* s' ∧ (∀s''. ¬s' −τ→ s'')
proof -
  define S where S = s
  from ⟨¬τ-diverge s⟩ have wfP (flip (silent-move-from S)) unfolding S-def
    using τ-diverge-neq-wfP-silent-move-from[of s] by simp
  moreover have silent-moves S s unfolding S-def ..
  ultimately show ?thesis
proof(induct rule: wfP-induct')
  case (wfP s)
  note IH = ⟨∀y. [flip (silent-move-from S) y s; S −τ→* y] ⟩

```

```

 $\implies \exists s'. y \xrightarrow{\tau} s' \wedge (\forall s''. \neg s' \xrightarrow{\tau} s'')$ 
show ?case
proof(cases  $\exists s'. \text{silent-move } s s'$ )
  case False thus ?thesis by auto
next
  case True
  then obtain s' where  $s \xrightarrow{\tau} s'$  ..
  with  $\langle S \xrightarrow{\tau} s \rangle$  have flip (silent-move-from S) s' s
    unfolding flip-conv by(rule silent-move-fromI)
  moreover from  $\langle S \xrightarrow{\tau} s \rangle \langle s \xrightarrow{\tau} s' \rangle$  have  $S \xrightarrow{\tau} s'$  ..
  ultimately have  $\exists s''. s' \xrightarrow{\tau} s'' \wedge (\forall s'''. \neg s'' \xrightarrow{\tau} s''')$  by(rule IH)
  then obtain s'' where  $s' \xrightarrow{\tau} s'' \forall s'''. \neg s'' \xrightarrow{\tau} s'''$  by blast
  from  $\langle s \xrightarrow{\tau} s' \rangle \langle s' \xrightarrow{\tau} s'' \rangle$  have  $s \xrightarrow{\tau} s''$  by(rule converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp)
  with  $\forall s'''. \neg s'' \xrightarrow{\tau} s'''$  show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed

lemma  $\tau \text{diverge-conv-}\tau\text{Runs}:$ 
 $s \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty \longleftrightarrow s \Downarrow TNil \text{ None}$ 
by(auto intro:  $\tau\text{Runs.Diverge elim: }\tau\text{Runs.cases}$ )

lemma  $\tau \text{inf-step-into-}\tau\text{Runs}:$ 
 $s \xrightarrow{\tau-tls} \infty \implies s \Downarrow tllist\text{-of-llist None tls}$ 
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s tls)
  case ( $\tau\text{Runs } s \text{ tls}'$ )
  thus ?case by cases(auto simp add:  $\tau \text{diverge-conv-}\tau\text{Runs}$ )
qed

lemma  $\tau\text{-into-}\tau\text{Runs}:$ 
 $\llbracket s \xrightarrow{\tau} s'; s' \Downarrow \text{tls} \rrbracket \implies s \Downarrow \text{tls}$ 
by(blast elim:  $\tau\text{Runs.cases intro: }\tau\text{Runs.intros }\tau\text{diverge.intros converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp}$ )

lemma  $\tau\text{rtrancl3p-into-}\tau\text{Runs}:$ 
assumes  $s \xrightarrow{\tau-tls} s'$ 
and  $s' \Downarrow \text{tls}'$ 
shows  $s \Downarrow \text{lappendt (llist-of tls) tls}'$ 
using assms
by induct(auto intro:  $\tau\text{Runs.Proceed }$  $\tau\text{-into-}\tau\text{Runs}$ )

lemma  $\tau\text{Runs-table-into-}\tau\text{Runs}:$ 
 $\tau\text{Runs-table } s \text{ stlsss} \implies s \Downarrow \text{tmap fst id stlsss}$ 
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s stlsss)
  case ( $\tau\text{Runs } s \text{ tls}$ )
  thus ?case by cases(auto simp add: o-def id-def)
qed

definition  $\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table} :: 's \Rightarrow ('tl, 's option) tllist \Rightarrow ('tl \times 's, 's option) tllist$ 
where
 $\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table } s \text{ tls} = \text{unfold-tllist}$ 
 $(\lambda(s, \text{tls}). \text{is-TNil } \text{tls})$ 
 $(\lambda(s, \text{tls}). \text{terminal } \text{tls})$ 
 $(\lambda(s, \text{tls}). (\text{thd } \text{tls}, \text{SOME } s''. \exists s'. s \xrightarrow{\tau} s' \wedge s' \xrightarrow{\tau} s'') \wedge \neg \text{move } s' (\text{thd } \text{tls}) s'' \wedge s'' \Downarrow \text{ttl } \text{tls}))$ 

```

```


$$(\lambda(s, \text{tls}). (\text{SOME } s''. \exists s'. s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' -\text{thd tls} \rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' (\text{thd tls}) s'' \wedge s'' \Downarrow \text{ttl tls},$$


$$\text{ttl tls}))$$


$$(s, \text{tls})$$


lemma is-TNil-τRuns2τRuns-table [simp]:
is-TNil ( $\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table}$   $s$   $\text{tls}$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-TNil  $\text{tls}$ 
thm unfold-tllist.disc
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table-def}$ )

lemma thd-τRuns2τRuns-table [simp]:
 $\neg \text{is-TNil } \text{tls} \implies$ 
 $\text{thd } (\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table} s \text{ tls}) =$ 
 $(\text{thd tls}, \text{SOME } s''. \exists s'. s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' -\text{thd tls} \rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' (\text{thd tls}) s'' \wedge s'' \Downarrow \text{ttl tls})$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table-def}$ )

lemma ttl-τRuns2τRuns-table [simp]:
 $\neg \text{is-TNil } \text{tls} \implies$ 
 $\text{ttl } (\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table} s \text{ tls}) =$ 
 $\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table} (\text{SOME } s''. \exists s'. s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' -\text{thd tls} \rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' (\text{thd tls}) s'' \wedge s'' \Downarrow \text{ttl tls})$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table-def}$ )

lemma terminal-τRuns2τRuns-table [simp]:
is-TNil  $\text{tls} \implies \text{terminal } (\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table} s \text{ tls}) = \text{terminal } \text{tls}$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table-def}$ )

lemma τRuns2τRuns-table-simps [simp, nitpick-simp]:
 $\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table} s (\text{TNil so}) = \text{TNil so}$ 
 $\wedge \text{tl.}$ 
 $\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table} s (\text{TCons tl tls}) =$ 
 $(\text{let } s'' = \text{SOME } s''. \exists s'. s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' -\text{tl} \rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' \text{ tl } s'' \wedge s'' \Downarrow \text{tls}$ 
 $\text{in } \text{TCons} (\text{tl}, s'') (\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table} s'' \text{ tls}))$ 
apply(simp add:  $\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table-def}$ )
apply(rule tllist.expand)
apply(simp-all)
done

lemma τRuns2τRuns-table-inverse:
 $\text{tmap fst id } (\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table} s \text{ tls}) = \text{tls}$ 
by(coinduction arbitrary:  $s$   $\text{tls}$ ) auto

lemma τRuns-into-τRuns-table:
assumes  $s \Downarrow \text{tls}$ 
shows  $\exists \text{stlsss. } \text{tls} = \text{tmap fst id stlsss} \wedge \tau\text{Runs-table} s \text{ stlsss}$ 
proof(intro exI conjI)
from assms show  $\tau\text{Runs-table} s (\tau\text{Runs2}\tau\text{Runs-table} s \text{ tls})$ 
proof(coinduction arbitrary:  $s$   $\text{tls}$ )
case ( $\tau\text{Runs-table} s \text{ tls}$ )
thus ?case
proof cases
case ( $\text{Terminate } s'$ )
hence ? $\text{Terminate}$  by simp
thus ?thesis ..
next

```

```

case Diverge
hence ?Diverge by simp
thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Proceed s' s'' tls' tl)
  let ?P =  $\lambda s''. \exists s'. s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \wedge s' -tl\rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau move s' tl s'' \wedge s'' \Downarrow tls'$ 
  from Proceed have ?P s'' by auto
  hence ?P (Eps ?P) by(rule someI)
  hence ?Proceed using <tls = TCons tl tls'>
    by(auto simp add: split-beta)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed(simp add:  $\tau Runs \circ \tau Runs$ -table-inverse)

lemma  $\tau Runs$ -lappendtE:
assumes  $\sigma \Downarrow lappendt tls tls'$ 
and lfinite tls
obtains  $\sigma'$  where  $\sigma -\tau$ -list-of tls $\rightarrow*$   $\sigma'$ 
and  $\sigma' \Downarrow tls'$ 
proof(atomize-elim)
  from <lfinite tls> < $\sigma \Downarrow lappendt tls tls'$ >
  show  $\exists \sigma'. \sigma -\tau$ -list-of tls $\rightarrow*$   $\sigma' \wedge \sigma' \Downarrow tls'$ 
  proof(induct arbitrary:  $\sigma$ )
    case lfinite-LNil thus ?case by(auto intro:  $\tau rtrancl3p-refl$ )
next
  case (lfinite-LConsI tls tl)
  from < $\sigma \Downarrow lappendt (LCons tl tls) tls'$ >
  show ?case unfolding lappendt-LCons
  proof(cases)
    case (Proceed  $\sigma' \sigma''$ )
    from < $\sigma'' \Downarrow lappendt tls tls' \implies \exists \sigma'''. \sigma'' -\tau$ -list-of tls $\rightarrow* \sigma''' \wedge \sigma''' \Downarrow tls'$ > < $\sigma'' \Downarrow lappendt tls$ >
    obtain  $\sigma'''$  where  $\sigma'' -\tau$ -list-of tls $\rightarrow* \sigma''' \sigma''' \Downarrow tls'$  by blast
    from < $\sigma' -tl\rightarrow \sigma'' \wedge \neg \tau move \sigma' tl \sigma'' \wedge \sigma'' -\tau$ -list-of tls $\rightarrow* \sigma'''>$ 
    have  $\sigma' -\tau -tl \#$  list-of tls $\rightarrow* \sigma'''$  by(rule  $\tau rtrancl3p-step$ )
    with < $\sigma -\tau\rightarrow* \sigma'$ > have  $\sigma -\tau -[] @ (tl \# list-of tls)\rightarrow* \sigma'''$ 
      unfolding  $\tau rtrancl3p$ -Nil-eq- $\tau moves$ [symmetric] by(rule  $\tau rtrancl3p-trans$ )
      with <lfinite tls> have  $\sigma -\tau$ -list-of (LCons tl tls) $\rightarrow* \sigma'''$  by(simp add: list-of-LCons)
      with < $\sigma''' \Downarrow tls'$ > show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  qed
qed
lemma  $\tau Runs$ -total:
exists tls.  $\sigma \Downarrow tls$ 
proof
  let ? $\tau$ halt =  $\lambda \sigma \sigma'. \sigma -\tau\rightarrow* \sigma' \wedge (\forall tl \sigma''. \neg \sigma' -tl\rightarrow \sigma'')$ 
  let ? $\tau$ diverge =  $\lambda \sigma. \sigma -\tau\rightarrow \infty$ 
  let ?proceed =  $\lambda \sigma (tl, \sigma''). \exists \sigma'. \sigma -\tau\rightarrow* \sigma' \wedge \sigma' -tl\rightarrow \sigma'' \wedge \neg \tau move \sigma' tl \sigma''$ 

  define tls where tls = unfold-tllist
  ( $\lambda \sigma. (\exists \sigma'. ?\tau halt \sigma \sigma') \vee ?\tau diverge \sigma$ )
  ( $\lambda \sigma. \text{if } \exists \sigma'. ?\tau halt \sigma \sigma' \text{ then Some (SOME } \sigma'. ?\tau halt \sigma \sigma') \text{ else None}$ )

```

```

 $(\lambda\sigma. \text{fst} (\text{SOME } tl\sigma'. \text{?proceed } \sigma \text{ tl}\sigma'))$ 
 $(\lambda\sigma. \text{snd} (\text{SOME } tl\sigma'. \text{?proceed } \sigma \text{ tl}\sigma')) \sigma$ 
then show  $\sigma \Downarrow \text{tls}$ 
proof(coinduct  $\sigma$   $\text{tls}$  rule:  $\tau\text{Runs.coinduct}$ )
  case ( $\tau\text{Runs } \sigma \text{ tls}$ )
    show  $\text{?case}$ 
    proof(cases  $\exists \sigma'. \text{?}\tau\text{halt } \sigma \sigma'$ )
      case True
      hence  $\text{?}\tau\text{halt } \sigma (\text{SOME } \sigma'. \text{?}\tau\text{halt } \sigma \sigma')$  by(rule someI-ex)
      hence  $\text{?}\text{Terminate using } \text{True unfolding } \tau\text{Runs by simp}$ 
      thus  $\text{?thesis} ..$ 
    next
      case False
      note  $\tau\text{halt} = \text{this}$ 
      show  $\text{?thesis}$ 
      proof(cases  $\text{?}\tau\text{diverge } \sigma$ )
        case True
        hence  $\text{?Diverge using } \text{False unfolding } \tau\text{Runs by simp}$ 
        thus  $\text{?thesis by simp}$ 
    next
      case False
      from  $\text{not-}\tau\text{diverge-to-no-}\tau\text{move[OF this]}$ 
      obtain  $\sigma'$  where  $\sigma\text{-}\sigma': \sigma \xrightarrow{\tau} \sigma'$ 
        and  $\text{no-}\tau: \bigwedge \sigma''. \neg \sigma' \xrightarrow{\tau} \sigma''$  by blast
      from  $\sigma\text{-}\sigma' \tau\text{halt}$  obtain  $tl \sigma''$  where  $\sigma' \xrightarrow{\tau} \sigma''$  by auto
      moreover with  $\text{no-}\tau[\text{of } \sigma'']$  have  $\neg \tau\text{move } \sigma' tl \sigma''$  by auto
      ultimately have  $\text{?proceed } \sigma (tl, \sigma'')$  using  $\sigma\text{-}\sigma'$  by auto
      hence  $\text{?proceed } \sigma (\text{SOME } tl\sigma. \text{?proceed } \sigma tl\sigma)$  by(rule someI)
      hence  $\text{?Proceed using } \text{False } \tau\text{halt unfolding } \tau\text{Runs}$ 
        by(subst unfold-tllist.code) fastforce
      thus  $\text{?thesis by simp}$ 
    qed
    qed
    qed
    qed

lemma silent-move2-into-silent-move:
  fixes  $tl$ 
  assumes  $\text{silent-move2 } s tl s'$ 
  shows  $s \xrightarrow{\tau} s'$ 
  using assms by(auto simp add: silent-move2-def)

lemma silent-move-into-silent-move2:
  assumes  $s \xrightarrow{\tau} s'$ 
  shows  $\exists tl. \text{silent-move2 } s tl s'$ 
  using assms by(auto simp add: silent-move2-def)

lemma silent-moves2-into-silent-moves:
  assumes  $\text{silent-moves2 } s \text{ tls } s'$ 
  shows  $s \xrightarrow{\tau} s'$ 
  using assms
  by(induct)(blast intro: silent-move2-into-silent-move rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)+
lemma silent-moves-into-silent-moves2:

```

```

assumes  $s \xrightarrow{\tau} s'$ 
shows  $\exists \text{tls}. \text{silent-moves2 } s \text{ tls } s'$ 
using assms
by(induct)(blast dest: silent-move-into-silent-move2 intro: rtrancl3p-step)+

lemma inf-step-silent-move2-into- $\tau$ -diverge:
  trsys.inf-step silent-move2 s tls  $\implies s \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty$ 
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s tls)
  case ( $\tau$ -diverge s)
  thus ?case
    by(cases rule: trsys.inf-step.cases[consumes 1])(auto intro: silent-move2-into-silent-move)
qed

lemma  $\tau$ -diverge-into-inf-step-silent-move2:
  assumes  $s \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty$ 
  obtains tls where trsys.inf-step silent-move2 s tls
proof -
  define tls where tls = unfold-llist
   $(\lambda \_. \text{False})$ 
   $(\lambda s. \text{fst } (\text{SOME } (tl, s'). \text{silent-move2 } s \text{ tl } s' \wedge s' \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty))$ 
   $(\lambda s. \text{snd } (\text{SOME } (tl, s'). \text{silent-move2 } s \text{ tl } s' \wedge s' \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty))$ 
  s (is - = ?tls s)

  with assms have  $s \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty \wedge \text{tls} = ?\text{tls } s$  by simp
  hence trsys.inf-step silent-move2 s tls
  proof(coinduct rule: trsys.inf-step.coinduct[consumes 1, case-names inf-step, case-conclusion inf-step step])
    case (inf-step s tls)
    let ?P =  $\lambda (tl, s'). \text{silent-move2 } s \text{ tl } s' \wedge s' \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty$ 
    from inf-step obtain s  $\xrightarrow{\tau} \infty$  and tls: tls = ?tls s ..
    from <s  $\xrightarrow{\tau} \infty\xrightarrow{\tau} s' s' \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty$  by cases
    from <s  $\xrightarrow{\tau} s'\xrightarrow{\tau} \infty\tau$ -Runs-into- $\tau$ -rtrancl3p:
  assumes runs:  $s \Downarrow \text{tlss}$ 
  and fin: tfinite tlss
  and terminal: terminal tlss = Some s'
  shows  $\tau$ -rtrancl3p s (list-of (llist-of-tllist tlss)) s'
using fin runs terminal
proof(induct arbitrary: s rule: tfinite-induct)
  case TNil thus ?case by cases(auto intro: silent-moves-into- $\tau$ -rtrancl3p)
next
  case (TCons tl tlss)
  from <s  $\Downarrow$  TCons tl tlss> obtain s'' s''''
    where step: s  $\xrightarrow{\tau} s''$ 
    and step2: s''  $\dashv tl \rightarrow s''' \dashv \tau$  move s'' tl s''''

```

```

and  $s''' \Downarrow tlss$  by cases
from ⟨terminal (TCons tl tlss) = [s']⟩ have terminal tlss = [s'] by simp
with ⟨ $s''' \Downarrow tlss$ ⟩ have  $s''' -\tau\text{-list-of} (llist\text{-of}\text{-tllist} tlss) \rightarrow^* s'$  by(rule TCons)
with step2 have  $s'' -\tau\text{-tl} \# \text{list-of} (llist\text{-of}\text{-tllist} tlss) \rightarrow^* s'$  by(rule τrtrancl3p-step)
with step have  $s -\tau\text{-[]} @ tl \# \text{list-of} (llist\text{-of}\text{-tllist} tlss) \rightarrow^* s'$ 
by(rule τrtrancl3p-trans[OF silent-moves-into-τrtrancl3p])
thus ?case using ⟨tfinite tlss⟩ by simp
qed

```

lemma $\tau\text{Runs-terminal-stuck}:$

```

assumes Runs:  $s \Downarrow tlss$ 
and fin: tfinite tlss
and terminal: terminal tlss = Some s'
and proceed:  $s' -\text{tls} \rightarrow s''$ 
shows False
using fin Runs terminal
proof(induct arbitrary: s rule: tfinite-induct)
  case TNil thus ?case using proceed by cases auto
next
  case TCons thus ?case by(fastforce elim: τRuns.cases)
qed

```

lemma $\text{Runs-table-silent-diverge}:$

```

[ Runs-table s stlss;  $\forall (s, tl, s') \in lset stlss. \tau move s tl s'; \neg lfinite stlss ]$ 
 $\implies s -\tau \rightarrow \infty$ 
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s stlss)
  case ( $\tau\text{diverge } s$ )
  thus ?case by cases(auto 5 2)
qed

```

lemma $\text{Runs-table-silent-rtrancl}:$

```

assumes lfinite stlss
and Runs-table s stlss
and  $\forall (s, tl, s') \in lset stlss. \tau move s tl s'$ 
shows  $s -\tau \rightarrow^* llast (LCons s (lmap (\lambda(s, tl, s'). s') stlss))$  (is ?thesis1)
and  $llast (LCons s (lmap (\lambda(s, tl, s'). s') stlss)) -\text{tl}' \rightarrow s'' \implies False$  (is PROP ?thesis2)
proof -
  from assms have ?thesis1  $\wedge (llast (LCons s (lmap (\lambda(s, tl, s'). s') stlss)) -\text{tl}' \rightarrow s'' \longrightarrow False)$ 
  proof(induct arbitrary: s)
    case lfinite-LNil thus ?case by(auto elim: Runs-table.cases)
  next
    case (lfinite-LConsI stlss stls)
    from ⟨Runs-table s (LCons stls stlss)⟩
    obtain tl s' where [simp]:  $stls = (s, tl, s')$ 
      and  $s -\text{tl} \rightarrow s'$  and Run': Runs-table s' stlss by cases
    from ⟨ $\forall (s, tl, s') \in lset (LCons stls stlss). \tau move s tl s'$ ⟩
    have  $\tau move s tl s'$  and silent':  $\forall (s, tl, s') \in lset stlss. \tau move s tl s'$  by simp-all
    from ⟨ $s -\text{tl} \rightarrow s'$  ⟩⟨ $\tau move s tl s'$ ⟩ have  $s -\tau \rightarrow s'$  by auto
    moreover from Run' silent'
    have  $s' -\tau \rightarrow^* llast (LCons s' (lmap (\lambda(s, tl, s'). s') stlss)) \wedge$ 
      ( $llast (LCons s' (lmap (\lambda(s, tl, s'). s') stlss)) -\text{tl}' \rightarrow s'' \longrightarrow False$ )
    by(rule lfinite-LConsI)
    ultimately show ?case by(auto)
qed

```

```

thus ?thesis1 PROP ?thesis2 by blast+
qed

lemma Runs-table-silent-lappendD:
fixes s stlss
defines s' ≡ llast (LCons s (lmap (λ(s, tl, s'). s') stlss))
assumes Runs: Runs-table s (lappend stlss stlss')
and fin: lfinite stlss
and silent: ∀(s, tl, s') ∈ lset stlss. τmove s tl s'
shows s −τ→* s' (is ?thesis1)
and Runs-table s' stlss' (is ?thesis2)
and stlss' ≠ LNil ⇒ s' = fst (lhd stlss') (is PROP ?thesis3)
proof -
from fin Runs silent
have ?thesis1 ∧ ?thesis2 ∧ (stlss' ≠ LNil → s' = fst (lhd stlss'))
  unfolding s'-def
proof(induct arbitrary: s)
  case lfinite-LNil thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: neq-LNil-conv Runs-table-simps)
next
  case lfinite-LConsI thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: neq-LNil-conv Runs-table-simps)(blast intro: converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp)
qed
thus ?thesis1 ?thesis2 PROP ?thesis3 by simp-all
qed

lemma Runs-table-into-τRuns:
fixes s stlss
defines tls ≡ tmap (λ(s, tl, s'). tl) id (tfilter None (λ(s, tl, s'). ¬ τmove s tl s') (tllist-of-llist (Some (llast (LCons s (lmap (λ(s, tl, s'). s') stlss)))) stlss))
(is - ≡ ?conv s stlss)
assumes Runs-table s stlss
shows τRuns s tls
using assms
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s tls stlss)
  case (τRuns s tls stlss)
    note tls = ⟨tls = ?conv s stlss⟩
    and Run = ⟨Runs-table s stlss⟩
    show ?case
    proof(cases tls)
      case [simp]: (TNil so)
        from tls
        have silent: ∀(s, tl, s') ∈ lset stlss. τmove s tl s'
          by(auto simp add: TNil-eq-tmap-conv tfilter-empty-conv)
        show ?thesis
      proof(cases lfinite stlss)
        case False
        with Run silent have s −τ→∞ by(rule Runs-table-silent-diverge)
        hence ?Diverge using False tls by(simp add: TNil-eq-tmap-conv tfilter-empty-conv)
        thus ?thesis by simp
      next
        case True
        with Runs-table-silent-rtrancl[OF this Run silent]
        have ?Terminate using tls
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

by(auto simp add: TNil-eq-tmap-conv tfilter-empty-conv terminal-tllist-of-llist split-def)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
next
case [simp]: (TCons tl tls')
from tls obtain s' s'' stlss'
where tl': tfilter None ( $\lambda(s, tl, s'). \neg \taumove s tl s'$ ) (tllist-of-llist [llast (LCons s (lmap ( $\lambda(s, tl, s'). s'$ ) stlss))]) stlss) = TCons (s', tl, s'') stlss'
and tls': tls' = tmap ( $\lambda(s, tl, s'). tl$ ) id stlss'
by(simp add: TCons-eq-tmap-conv split-def id-def split-paired-Ex) blast
from tfilter-eq-TConsD[OF tl']
obtain stlss rest
where stlss-eq: tllist-of-llist [llast (LCons s (lmap ( $\lambda(s, tl, s'). s'$ ) stlss))] stlss = lappendt stlss
(TCons (s', tl, s'') rest)
and fin: lfinite stlss
and silent:  $\forall (s, tl, s') \in lset stlss. \taumove s tl s'$ 
and  $\neg \taumove s' tl s''$ 
and stlss': stlss' = tfilter None ( $\lambda(s, tl, s'). \neg \taumove s tl s'$ ) rest
by(auto simp add: split-def)
from stlss-eq fin obtain rest'
where stlss: stlss = lappend stlss rest'
and rest': tllist-of-llist [llast (LCons s (lmap ( $\lambda(s, tl, s'). s'$ ) stlss))] rest' = TCons (s', tl, s'')
rest
unfolding tllist-of-llist-eq-lappendt-conv by auto
hence rest'  $\neq LNil$  by clarsimp
from Run[unfolded stlss] fin silent
have s  $\dashv \tau \rightarrow^* llast (LCons s (lmap (\lambda(s, tl, s'). s') stlss))$ 
and Runs-table (llast (LCons s (lmap (\lambda(s, tl, s'). s') stlss))) rest'
and llast (LCons s (lmap (\lambda(s, tl, s'). s') stlss)) = fst (lhd rest'))
by(rule Runs-table-silent-lappendD)+(simp add: <rest'  $\neq LNil$ >)
moreover with rest' <rest'  $\neq LNil$ > stlss fin obtain rest''
where rest': rest' = LCons (s', tl, s'') rest''
and rest: rest = tllist-of-llist [llast (LCons s'' (lmap (\lambda(s, tl, s'). s') rest''))] rest''
by(clarsimp simp add: neq-LNil-conv llast-LCons lmap-lappend-distrib)
ultimately have s  $\dashv \tau \rightarrow^* s' s' - tl \rightarrow s''$  Runs-table s'' rest''
by(simp-all add: Runs-table-simps)
hence ?Proceed using < $\neg \taumove s' tl s''$ , tls' stlss' rest
by(auto simp add: id-def)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

lemma  $\tau$ Runs-table2-into- $\tau$ Runs:
 $\tau$ Runs-table2 s tlsstlss
 $\implies s \Downarrow tmap (\lambda(tls, s', tl, s''). tl) (\lambda x. case x of Inl (tls, s') \Rightarrow Some s' | Inr - \Rightarrow None) tlsstlss$ 
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s tlsstlss)
case ( $\tau$ Runs s tlsstlss)
thus ?case by cases(auto intro: silent-moves2-into-silent-moves inf-step-silent-move2-into- $\tau$  diverge)
qed

lemma  $\tau$ Runs-into- $\tau$ Runs-table2:
assumes s  $\Downarrow$  tls
obtains tlsstlss
where  $\tau$ Runs-table2 s tlsstlss

```

and $\text{tls} = \text{tmap } (\lambda(\text{tls}, s', \text{tl}, s''). \text{ tl}) (\lambda x. \text{ case } x \text{ of } \text{Inl } (\text{tls}, s') \Rightarrow \text{Some } s' \mid \text{Inr } - \Rightarrow \text{None}) \text{ tlsstlss}$

proof –

let $?{\text{terminal}} = \lambda s \text{ tls}. \text{ case terminal } \text{tls} \text{ of}$

- $\text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Inr } (\text{SOME } \text{tls}'. \text{ trsys.inf-step silent-move2 } s \text{ tls}')$
- $| \text{ Some } s' \Rightarrow \text{let } \text{tls}' = \text{SOME } \text{tls}'. \text{ silent-moves2 } s \text{ tls}' \text{ in } \text{Inl } (\text{tls}', s')$

let $?P = \lambda s \text{ tls } (\text{tls}'', s', s''). \text{ silent-moves2 } s \text{ tls}'' \text{ s}' \wedge s' -\text{thd } \text{tls} \rightarrow s'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move } s' (\text{thd } \text{tls}) \text{ s}'' \wedge s'' \Downarrow \text{ttl } \text{tls}$

define tlsstlss **where** $\text{tlsstlss } s \text{ tls} = \text{unfold-tllist}$

- $(\lambda(s, \text{tls}). \text{ is-TNil } \text{tls})$
- $(\lambda(s, \text{tls}). ?{\text{terminal}} \text{ s } \text{tls})$
- $(\lambda(s, \text{tls}). \text{ let } (\text{tls}'', s', s'') = \text{Eps } (?P \text{ s } \text{tls}) \text{ in } (\text{tls}'', s', \text{thd } \text{tls}, s''))$
- $(\lambda(s, \text{tls}). \text{ let } (\text{tls}'', s', s'') = \text{Eps } (?P \text{ s } \text{tls}) \text{ in } (s'', \text{ttl } \text{tls}))$
- (s, tls)

for $s \text{ tls}$

have [*simp*]:

- $\bigwedge s \text{ tls}. \text{ is-TNil } (\text{tlsstlss } s \text{ tls}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is-TNil } \text{tls}$
- $\bigwedge s \text{ tls}. \text{ is-TNil } \text{tls} \implies \text{terminal } (\text{tlsstlss } s \text{ tls}) = ?{\text{terminal}} \text{ s } \text{tls}$
- $\bigwedge s \text{ tls}. \neg \text{is-TNil } \text{tls} \implies \text{thd } (\text{tlsstlss } s \text{ tls}) = (\text{let } (\text{tls}'', s', s'') = \text{Eps } (?P \text{ s } \text{tls}) \text{ in } (\text{tls}'', s', \text{thd } \text{tls}, s''))$
- $\bigwedge s \text{ tls}. \neg \text{is-TNil } \text{tls} \implies \text{ttl } (\text{tlsstlss } s \text{ tls}) = (\text{let } (\text{tls}'', s', s'') = \text{Eps } (?P \text{ s } \text{tls}) \text{ in } \text{tlsstlss } s'' (\text{ttl } \text{tls}))$

by(*simp-all add: tlsstlss-def split-beta*)

have [*simp*]:

- $\bigwedge s. \text{tlsstlss } s \text{ (TNil None)} = \text{TNil } (\text{Inr } (\text{SOME } \text{tls}'. \text{ trsys.inf-step silent-move2 } s \text{ tls}'))$
- $\bigwedge s'. \text{tlsstlss } s \text{ (TNil (Some } s')) = \text{TNil } (\text{Inl } (\text{SOME } \text{tls}'. \text{ silent-moves2 } s \text{ tls}' \text{ s}', s'))$

unfolding tlsstlss-def **by** *simp-all*

let $?{\text{conv}} = \text{tmap } (\lambda(\text{tls}, s', \text{tl}, s''). \text{ tl}) (\lambda x. \text{ case } x \text{ of } \text{Inl } (\text{tls}, s') \Rightarrow \text{Some } s' \mid \text{Inr } - \Rightarrow \text{None})$

from assms have $\tau\text{Runs-table2 } s \text{ (tlsstlss } s \text{ tls)}$

proof(*coinduction arbitrary: s tls*)

case ($\tau\text{Runs-table2 } s \text{ tls}$)

thus $?{\text{case}}$

proof(*cases*)

case ($\text{Terminate } s'$)

let $?P = \lambda \text{tls}'. \text{ silent-moves2 } s \text{ tls}' \text{ s}'$

from $\langle s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \rangle$ **obtain** tls' **where** $?P \text{ tls}'$ **by**(*blast dest: silent-moves-into-silent-moves2*)

hence $?P \text{ (Eps } ?P)$ **by**(*rule someI*)

with Terminate **have** $?{\text{Terminate}}$ **by** *auto*

thus $?{\text{thesis}}$ **by** *simp*

next

case Diverge

let $?P = \lambda \text{tls}'. \text{ trsys.inf-step silent-move2 } s \text{ tls}'$

from $\langle s -\tau\rightarrow \infty \rangle$ **obtain** tls' **where** $?P \text{ tls}'$ **by**(*rule $\tau\text{diverge-into-inf-step-silent-move2}$*)

hence $?P \text{ (Eps } ?P)$ **by**(*rule someI*)

hence $?{\text{Diverge}}$ **using** $\langle \text{tls} = \text{TNil None} \rangle$ **by** *simp*

thus $?{\text{thesis}}$ **by** *simp*

next

case ($\text{Proceed } s' s'' \text{ tls}' \text{ tl}$)

from $\langle s -\tau\rightarrow* s' \rangle$ **obtain** tls'' **where** $\text{silent-moves2 } s \text{ tls}'' \text{ s}'$

by(*blast dest: silent-moves-into-silent-moves2*)

with Proceed **have** $?P \text{ s } \text{tls } (\text{tls}''', s', s'')$ **by** *simp*

hence $?P \text{ s } \text{tls } (\text{Eps } (?P \text{ s } \text{tls}))$ **by**(*rule someI*)

hence $?{\text{Proceed}}$ **using** Proceed **unfolding** tlsstlss-def

```

by(subst unfold-tllist.code)(auto simp add: split-def)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
moreover
from assms have tls = ?conv (tlsstlss s tls)
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s tls)
  case (Eq-tllist s tls)
  thus ?case
  proof(cases)
    case (Proceed s' s'' tls' tl)
    from <s -τ→* s'> obtain tls'' where silent-moves2 s tls'' s'
      by(blast dest: silent-moves-into-silent-moves2)
    with Proceed have ?P s tls (tls'', s', s'') by simp
    hence ?P s tls (Eps (?P s tls)) by(rule someI)
    thus ?thesis using <tls = TCons tl tls'> by auto
    qed auto
  qed
  ultimately show thesis by(rule that)
qed

lemma τRuns-table2-into-Runs:
assumes τRuns-table2 s tlsstlss
shows Runs s (lconcat (lappend (lmap (λ(tls, s, tl, s'). llist-of (tls @ [tl])) (llist-of-tllist tlsstlss))
(LCons (case terminal tlsstlss of Inl (tls, s') ⇒ llist-of tls | Inr tls ⇒ tls) LNil)))
(is Runs - (?conv tlsstlss))
using assms
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s tlsstlss)
  case (Runs s tlsstlss)
  thus ?case
  proof(cases)
    case (Terminate tls' s')
    from <silent-moves2 s tls' s'> show ?thesis
    proof(cases rule: rtrancl3p-converseE)
      case refl
      hence ?Stuck using Terminate by simp
      thus ?thesis ..
    next
    case (step tls'' tl s'')
    from <silent-moves2 s'' tls'' s'> <tl s''. ∃ s' -tl→ s''>
    have τRuns-table2 s'' (TNil (Inl (tls'', s''))) ..
    with <tls' = tl # tls''> <silent-move2 s tl s''> <tlsstlss = TNil (Inl (tls', s'))>
    have ?Step by(auto simp add: silent-move2-def intro!: exI)
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
next
case (Diverge tls')
from <trsys.inf-step silent-move2 s tls'>
obtain tl tls'' s' where silent-move2 s tl s'
  and tls' = LCons tl tls'' trsys.inf-step silent-move2 s' tls''
  by(cases rule: trsys.inf-step.cases[consumes 1]) auto
from <trsys.inf-step silent-move2 s' tls''>
have τRuns-table2 s' (TNil (Inr tls'')) ..
hence ?Step using <tlsstlss = TNil (Inr tls')> <tls' = LCons tl tls''> <silent-move2 s tl s'>

```

```

by(auto simp add: silent-move2-def intro!: exI)
thus ?thesis ..
next
  case (Proceed tls' s' s'' tlsstlss' tl)
  from <silent-moves2 s tls' s'> have ?Step
  proof(cases rule: rtranc13p-converseE)
    case refl with Proceed show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case (step tls'' tl' s'''')
      from <silent-moves2 s''' tls'' s'> <s' -tl→ s''> <¬ τmove s' tl s''> <τRuns-table2 s'' tlsstlss'>
      have τRuns-table2 s''' (TCons (tls'', s', tl, s'') tlsstlss') ..
      with <tls' = tl' # tls''> <silent-move2 s tl' s'''> <tlsstlss = TCons (tls', s', tl, s'') tlsstlss'>
      show ?thesis by(auto simp add: silent-move2-def intro!: exI)
  qed
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
qed

lemma τRuns-table2-silentsD:
  fixes tl
  assumes Runs: τRuns-table2 s tlsstlss
  and tset: (tls, s', tl', s'') ∈ tset tlsstlss
  and set: tl ∈ set tls
  shows ∃ s''' s''''. silent-move2 s''' tl s''''''
using tset Runs
proof(induct arbitrary: s rule: tset-induct)
  case (find tlsstlss')
  from <τRuns-table2 s (TCons (tls, s', tl', s'') tlsstlss')>
  have silent-moves2 s tls s' by cases
  thus ?case using set by induct auto
next
  case step thus ?case by(auto simp add: τRuns-table2-simps)
qed

lemma τRuns-table2-terminal-silentsD:
  assumes Runs: τRuns-table2 s tlsstlss
  and fin: lfinite (llist-of-tllist tlsstlss)
  and terminal: terminal tlsstlss = Inl (tls, s'')
  shows ∃ s'. silent-moves2 s' tls s''
using fin Runs terminal
proof(induct llist-of-tllist tlsstlss arbitrary: tlsstlss s)
  case lfinite-LNil thus ?case
    by(cases tlsstlss)(auto simp add: τRuns-table2-simps)
next
  case (lfinite-LConsI xs tlsstlss)
  thus ?case by(cases tlsstlss)(auto simp add: τRuns-table2-simps)
qed

lemma τRuns-table2-terminal-inf-stepD:
  assumes Runs: τRuns-table2 s tlsstlss
  and fin: lfinite (llist-of-tllist tlsstlss)
  and terminal: terminal tlsstlss = Inr tls
  shows ∃ s'. trsys.inf-step silent-move2 s' tls
using fin Runs terminal

```

```

proof(induct llist-of-tllist tlsstlss arbitrary: s tlsstlss)
  case lfinite-LNil thus ?case
    by(cases tlsstlss)(auto simp add: τRuns-table2-simps)
next
  case (lfinite-LConsI xs tlsstls)
  thus ?case by(cases tlsstlss)(auto simp add: τRuns-table2-simps)
qed

lemma τRuns-table2-lappendtD:
  assumes Runs: τRuns-table2 s (lappendt tlsstlss tlsstlss')
  and fin: lfinite tlsstlss
  shows ∃ s'. τRuns-table2 s' tlsstlss'
using fin Runs
by(induct arbitrary: s)(auto simp add: τRuns-table2-simps)

end

lemma τmoves-False: τtrsys.silent-move r (λs ta s'. False) = (λs s'. False)
by(auto simp add: τtrsys.silent-move-iff)

lemma τrtrancl3p-False-eq-rtrancl3p: τtrsys.τrtrancl3p r (λs tl s'. False) = rtrancl3p r
proof(intro ext iffI)
  fix s tls s'
  assume τtrsys.τrtrancl3p r (λs tl s'. False) s tls s'
  thus rtrancl3p r s tls s' by(rule τtrsys.τrtrancl3p.induct)(blast intro: rtrancl3p-step-converse)+
next
  fix s tls s'
  assume rtrancl3p r s tls s'
  thus τtrsys.τrtrancl3p r (λs tl s'. False) s tls s'
  by(induct rule: rtrancl3p-converse-induct)(auto intro: τtrsys.τrtrancl3p.intros)
qed

lemma τdiverge-empty-τmove:
  τtrsys.τdiverge r (λs ta s'. False) = (λs. False)
by(auto intro!: ext elim: τtrsys.τdiverge.cases τtrsys.silent-move.cases)

end

```

1.16 The multithreaded semantics as a labelled transition system

```

theory FWLTS
imports
  FWProgressAux
  FWLifting
  LTS
begin

sublocale multithreaded-base < trsys r t for t .
sublocale multithreaded-base < mthr: trsys redT .

— Move to FWSemantics?
definition redT-upd-ε :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state ⇒ 't ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'm ⇒ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state

```

where [simp]: $\text{redT-upd-}\varepsilon\ s\ t\ x'\ m' = (\text{locks } s, ((\text{thr } s)(t \mapsto (x', \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{thr } s\ t)))), m'), \text{wset } s, \text{interrupts } s)$

```

lemma redT-upd- $\varepsilon$ -redT-upd:
  redT-upd s t  $\varepsilon$  x' m' (redT-upd- $\varepsilon$  s t x' m')
by(auto simp add: redT-updLns-def redT-updWs-def)

context multithreaded begin

sublocale trsys r t for t .

sublocale mthr: trsys redT .

end

```

1.16.1 The multithreaded semantics with internal actions

type-synonym

```
('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o)  $\tau$ moves =
  'x  $\times$  'm  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\times$  'm  $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

pretty printing for τ moves

```

print-translation ‹
let
  fun tr' [(Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ x1 $ m1),
            (Const (@{type-syntax fun}, -) $
              (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
                (Const (@{type-syntax finfun}, -) $ l $
                  (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax lock-action}, -))) $)
              (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
                (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax new-thread-action}, -) $ t1 $ x2 $ m2)) $)
              (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
                (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax conditional-action}, -) $ t2)) $
                  (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
                    (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax wait-set-action}, -) $ t3 $ w)) $)
                    (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
                      (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax interrupt-action}, -) $ t4)) $)
                      (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ o1)))))) $)
            (Const (@{type-syntax fun}, -) $
              (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ x3 $ m3) $)
              (Const (@{type-syntax bool}, -)))))] =
  if x1 = x2 andalso x1 = x3 andalso m1 = m2 andalso m1 = m3 andalso t1 = t2 andalso t2 = t3 andalso t3 = t4
  then Syntax.const (@{type-syntax  $\tau$ moves}) $ l $ t1 $ x1 $ m1 $ w $ o1
  else raise Match;
in [(@{type-syntax fun}, K tr')]
```

end

›

typ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) τ moves

```

locale  $\tau_{multithreaded} = multithreaded\text{-}base +$ 
  constrains  $final :: 'x \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  and  $r :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) \text{ semantics}$ 
  and  $\text{convert-RA} :: 'l \text{ released-locks} \Rightarrow 'o \text{ list}$ 
  fixes  $\tau_{move} :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) \tau_{moves}$ 

sublocale  $\tau_{multithreaded} < \tau_{trsyst} r t \tau_{move}$  for  $t$  .

context  $\tau_{multithreaded}$  begin

inductive  $m\tau_{move} :: (('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) \text{ state}, 't \times ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) \text{ thread-action}) \text{ trsyst}$ 
where
   $\llbracket \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor; \text{thr } s' \ t = \lfloor (x', \ ln') \rfloor; \tau_{move} (x, \ shr \ s) \ ta \ (x', \ shr \ s') \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies m\tau_{move} \ s \ (t, \ ta) \ s'$ 

end

sublocale  $\tau_{multithreaded} < mthr: \tau_{trsyst} \text{ redT } m\tau_{move}$  .

context  $\tau_{multithreaded}$  begin

abbreviation  $\tau_{mredT} :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) \text{ state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where  $\tau_{mredT} == mthr.\text{silent-move}$ 

end

lemma (in  $multithreaded\text{-}base$ )  $\tau_{rtrancl3p\text{-}redT\text{-}thread-not-disappear}$ :
  assumes  $\tau_{trsyst}.\tau_{rtrancl3p} \text{ redT } \tau_{move} \ s \ ttas \ s' \ \text{thr } s \ t \neq \text{None}$ 
  shows  $\text{thr } s' \ t \neq \text{None}$ 
proof -
  interpret  $T: \tau_{trsyst} \text{ redT } \tau_{move}$  .
  show ?thesis
  proof
    assume  $\text{thr } s' \ t = \text{None}$ 
    with  $\langle \tau_{trsyst}.\tau_{rtrancl3p} \text{ redT } \tau_{move} \ s \ ttas \ s' \rangle$  have  $\text{thr } s \ t = \text{None}$ 
    by (induct rule:  $T.\tau_{rtrancl3p}.induct$ ) (auto simp add: split-paired-all dest: redT-thread-not-disappear)
    with  $\langle \text{thr } s \ t \neq \text{None} \rangle$  show False by contradiction
  qed
qed

lemma  $m\tau_{move}\text{-False}: \tau_{multithreaded}.m\tau_{move} (\lambda s \ ta \ s'. \ False) = (\lambda s \ ta \ s'. \ False)$ 
by (auto intro!: ext elim:  $\tau_{multithreaded}.m\tau_{move}.\text{cases}$ )

declare split-paired-Ex [simp del]

locale  $\tau_{multithreaded\text{-}wf} =$ 
   $\tau_{multithreaded} \ -\ -\ - \ \tau_{move} +$ 
   $multithreaded \ final \ r \ convert\text{-}RA$ 
  for  $\tau_{move} :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) \tau_{moves} +$ 
  assumes  $\tau_{move\text{-}heap}: \llbracket t \vdash (x, m) \ -ta\rightarrow (x', m'); \tau_{move} (x, m) \ ta \ (x', m') \rrbracket \implies m = m'$ 
  assumes  $\text{silent-tl}: \tau_{move} \ s \ ta \ s' \implies ta = \varepsilon$ 
begin

lemma  $m\tau_{move}\text{-silentD}: m\tau_{move} \ s \ (t, \ ta) \ s' \implies ta = (K\$[], \ [], \ [], \ [], \ [])$ 

```

```

by(auto elim!: mτmove.cases dest: silent-tl)

lemma mτmove-heap:
  assumes redT: redT s (t, ta) s'
  and mτmove: mτmove s (t, ta) s'
  shows shr s' = shr s
using mτmove redT
by cases(auto dest: τmove-heap elim!: redT.cases)

lemma τmredT-thread-preserved:
  τmredT s s' ==> thr s t = None <=> thr s' t = None
by(auto simp add: mthr.silent-move-iff elim!: redT.cases dest!: mτmove-silentD split: if-split-asm)

lemma τmRedT-thread-preserved:
  τmredT^** s s' ==> thr s t = None <=> thr s' t = None
by(induct rule: rtranclp.induct)(auto dest: τmredT-thread-preserved[where t=t])

lemma τmtRedT-thread-preserved:
  τmredT^++ s s' ==> thr s t = None <=> thr s' t = None
by(induct rule: tranclp.induct)(auto dest: τmredT-thread-preserved[where t=t])

lemma τmredT-add-thread-inv:
  assumes τred: τmredT s s' and tst: thr s t = None
  shows τmredT (locks s, ((thr s)(t ↦ xln), shr s), wset s, interrupts s) (locks s', ((thr s')(t ↦ xln), shr s'), wset s', interrupts s')
proof -
  obtain ls ts m ws is where s: s = (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) by(cases s) fastforce
  obtain ls' ts' m' ws' is' where s': s' = (ls', (ts', m'), ws', is') by(cases s') fastforce
  from τred s s' obtain t' where red: (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) -t'▷ε→ (ls', (ts', m'), ws', is')
    and τ: mτmove (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) (t', ε) (ls', (ts', m'), ws', is')
    by(auto simp add: mthr.silent-move-iff dest: mτmove-silentD)
  from red have (ls, (ts(t ↦ xln), m), ws, is) -t'▷ε→ (ls', (ts'(t ↦ xln), m'), ws', is')
  proof(cases rule: redT-elims)
    case (normal x x' m') with tst s show ?thesis
      by-(rule redT-normal, auto simp add: fun-upd-twist elim!: rtrancl3p-cases)
  next
    case (acquire x ln n)
    with tst s show ?thesis
      unfolding ε = (K$[], [], [], [], [], convert-RA ln)
      by -(rule redT-acquire, auto intro: fun-upd-twist)
  qed
  moreover from red tst s have tt': t ≠ t' by(cases) auto
  have (λt''. (ts(t ↦ xln)) t'' ≠ None ∧ (ts(t ↦ xln)) t'' ≠ (ts'(t ↦ xln)) t'') =
    (λt''. ts t'' ≠ None ∧ ts t'' ≠ ts' t'') using tst s by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff)
  with τ tst tt' have mτmove (ls, (ts(t ↦ xln), m), ws, is) (t', ε) (ls', (ts'(t ↦ xln), m'), ws', is')
    by cases(rule mτmove.intros, auto)
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding s s' by auto
qed

lemma τmRedT-add-thread-inv:
  [ τmredT^** s s'; thr s t = None ]
  ==> τmredT^** (locks s, ((thr s)(t ↦ xln), shr s), wset s, interrupts s) (locks s', ((thr s')(t ↦ xln), shr s'), wset s', interrupts s')
apply(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)

```

```

apply(blast dest:  $\tau mRedT$ -thread-preserved[where  $t=t$ ]  $\tau mredT$ -add-thread-inv[where  $xln=xln$ ] intro:
rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)+  

done

lemma  $\tau mtRed$ -add-thread-inv:  

 $\llbracket \tau mredT \wedge\!\!\! \wedge s s'; \text{thr } s t = \text{None} \rrbracket$   

 $\implies \tau mredT \wedge\!\!\! \wedge (\text{locks } s, ((\text{thr } s)(t \mapsto xln), \text{shr } s), \text{wset } s, \text{interrupts } s) (\text{locks } s', ((\text{thr } s')(t \mapsto xln),$   

 $\text{shr } s'), \text{wset } s', \text{interrupts } s')$   

apply(induct rule: tranclp-induct)  

apply(blast dest:  $\tau mtRedT$ -thread-preserved[where  $t=t$ ]  $\tau mredT$ -add-thread-inv[where  $xln=xln$ ] intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl)+  

done

lemma silent-move-into-RedT- $\tau$ -inv:  

assumes move: silent-move  $t (x, \text{shr } s) (x', m')$   

and state:  $\text{thr } s t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$   $\text{wset } s t = \text{None}$   

shows  $\tau mredT s (\text{redT-upd-}\varepsilon s t x' m')$   

proof –  

from move obtain red:  $t \vdash (x, \text{shr } s) \dashv\vdash (x', m')$  and  $\tau : \text{move } (x, \text{shr } s) \varepsilon (x', m')$   

by(auto simp add: silent-move-iff dest: silent-tl)  

from red state have  $s \dashv\vdash \text{redT-upd-}\varepsilon s t x' m'$   

by –(rule redT-normal, auto simp add: redT-updLns-def o-def finfun-Diag-const2 redT-updWs-def)  

moreover from  $\tau$  red state have  $m \tau \text{move } s (t, \varepsilon) (\text{redT-upd-}\varepsilon s t x' m')$   

by –(rule mτmove.intros, auto dest: τmove-heap simp add: redT-updLns-def)  

ultimately show ?thesis by auto  

qed

lemma silent-moves-into-RedT- $\tau$ -inv:  

assumes major: silent-moves  $t (x, \text{shr } s) (x', m')$   

and state:  $\text{thr } s t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$   $\text{wset } s t = \text{None}$   

shows  $\tau mredT \wedge\!\!\! \wedge s (\text{redT-upd-}\varepsilon s t x' m')$   

using major  

proof(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)  

case refl with state show ?case by(cases s)(auto simp add: fun-upd-idem)  

next  

case (step  $x' m' x'' m''$ )  

from <silent-move  $t (x', m') (x'', m'')
have  $\tau mredT (\text{redT-upd-}\varepsilon s t x' m') (\text{redT-upd-}\varepsilon (\text{redT-upd-}\varepsilon s t x' m') t x'' m'')$   

by –(rule silent-move-into-RedT- $\tau$ -inv, auto)  

hence  $\tau mredT (\text{redT-upd-}\varepsilon s t x' m') (\text{redT-upd-}\varepsilon s t x'' m'')$  by(simp)  

with < $\tau mredT \wedge\!\!\! \wedge s (\text{redT-upd-}\varepsilon s t x' m')$ > show ?case ..  

qed

lemma red-rtrancl- $\tau$ -heapD-inv:  

 $\llbracket \text{silent-moves } t s s'; \text{wfs } t s \rrbracket \implies \text{snd } s' = \text{snd } s$   

proof(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)  

case base show ?case ..  

next  

case (step  $s' s''$ )  

thus ?case by(cases s, cases s', cases s'')(auto dest: τmove-heap)  

qed

lemma red-trancl- $\tau$ -heapD-inv:  

 $\llbracket \text{silent-movet } t s s'; \text{wfs } t s \rrbracket \implies \text{snd } s' = \text{snd } s$$ 
```

```

proof(induct rule: tranclp-induct)
  case (base s') thus ?case by(cases s')(cases s, auto simp add: silent-move-iff dest: τmove-heap)
next
  case (step s' s'')
  thus ?case by(cases s, cases s', cases s'')(auto simp add: silent-move-iff dest: τmove-heap)
qed

lemma red-trancl-τ-into-RedT-τ-inv:
  assumes major: silent-movet t (x, shr s) (x', m')
  and state: thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋ wset s t = None
  shows τmredT++ s (redT-upd-ε s t x' m')
using major
proof(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)
  case (base x' m')
  thus ?case using state
    by -(rule tranclp.r-into-trancl, rule silent-move-into-RedT-τ-inv, auto)
next
  case (step x' m' x'' m'')
  hence τmredT++ s (redT-upd-ε s t x' m') by blast
  moreover from <silent-move t (x', m') (x'', m'')> state
  have τmredT (redT-upd-ε s t x' m') (redT-upd-ε (redT-upd-ε s t x' m') t x'' m'')
    by -(rule silent-move-into-RedT-τ-inv, auto simp add: redT-updLns-def)
  hence τmredT (redT-upd-ε s t x' m') (redT-upd-ε s t x'' m'')
    by(simp add: redT-updLns-def)
  ultimately show ?case ..
qed

lemma τdiverge-into-τmredT:
  assumes τdiverge t (x, shr s)
  and thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋ wset s t = None
  shows mthr.τdiverge s
using assms
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s x)
  case (τdiverge s x)
  note tst = <thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋>
  from <τdiverge t (x, shr s)> obtain x' m' where silent-move t (x, shr s) (x', m')
    and τdiverge t (x', m') by cases auto
  from <silent-move t (x, shr s) (x', m')> tst <wset s t = None>
  have τmredT s (redT-upd-ε s t x' m') by(rule silent-move-into-RedT-τ-inv)
  moreover have thr (redT-upd-ε s t x' m') t = ⌊(x', no-wait-locks)⌋
    using tst by(auto simp add: redT-updLns-def)
  moreover have wset (redT-upd-ε s t x' m') t = None using <wset s t = None> by simp
  moreover from <τdiverge t (x', m')> have τdiverge t (x', shr (redT-upd-ε s t x' m')) by simp
  ultimately show ?case using <τdiverge t (x', m')> by blast
qed

lemma τdiverge-τmredTD:
  assumes div: mthr.τdiverge s
  and fin: finite (dom (thr s))
  shows ∃ t x. thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋ ∧ wset s t = None ∧ τdiverge t (x, shr s)
using fin div
proof(induct A≡dom (thr s) arbitrary: s rule: finite-induct)
  case empty
  from <mthr.τdiverge s> obtain s' where τmredT s s' by cases auto

```

```

with ⟨{} = dom (thr s)⟩[symmetric] have False by(auto elim!: mthr.silent-move.cases redT.cases)
thus ?case ..
next
  case (insert t A)
  note IH = ⟨⟩s. [ A = dom (thr s); mthr.τ diverge s ]
    ⟹ ∃ t x. thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋ ∧ wset s t = None ∧ τ diverge t (x, shr s)⟩
  from ⟨insert t A = dom (thr s)⟩
  obtain x ln where tst: thr s t = ⌊(x, ln)⌋ by(fastforce simp add: dom-def)
  define s' where s' = (locks s, ((thr s)(t := None), shr s), wset s, interrupts s)
  show ?case
  proof(cases ln = no-wait-locks ∧ τ diverge t (x, shr s) ∧ wset s t = None)
    case True
    with tst show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case False
    define xm where xm = (x, shr s)
    define xm' where xm' = (x, shr s)
    have A = dom (thr s') using ⟨t ∉ A⟩ ⟨insert t A = dom (thr s)⟩
      unfolding s'-def by auto
    moreover {
      from xm'-def tst ⟨mthr.τ diverge s⟩ False
      have ∃ s x. thr s t = ⌊(x, ln)⌋ ∧ (ln ≠ no-wait-locks ∨ wset s t ≠ None ∨ ¬ τ diverge t xm') ∧
        s' = (locks s, ((thr s)(t := None), shr s), wset s, interrupts s) ∧ xm = (x, shr s) ∧
        mthr.τ diverge s ∧ silent-moves t xm' xm
      unfolding s'-def xm-def by blast
    moreover
      from False have wfP (if τ diverge t xm' then (λs s'. False) else flip (silent-move-from t xm')) using τ diverge-neq-wfP-silent-move-from[of t (x, shr s)] unfolding xm'-def by(auto)
      ultimately have mthr.τ diverge s'
      proof(coinduct s' xm rule: mthr.τ diverge-trancl-measure-coinduct)
        case (τ diverge s' xm)
        then obtain s x where tst: thr s t = ⌊(x, ln)⌋
          and blocked: ln ≠ no-wait-locks ∨ wset s t ≠ None ∨ ¬ τ diverge t xm'
          and s'-def: s' = (locks s, ((thr s)(t := None), shr s), wset s, interrupts s)
          and xm-def: xm = (x, shr s)
          and xm xm': silent-moves t xm' (x, shr s)
          and mthr.τ diverge s by blast
        from ⟨mthr.τ diverge s⟩ obtain s'' where τ mredT s s'' mthr.τ diverge s'' by cases auto
        from ⟨τ mredT s s''⟩ obtain t' ta where s -t' ta → s'' and mτmove s (t', ta) s'' by auto
        then obtain x' x'' m'' where red: t' ⊢ ⟨x', shr s⟩ -ta→ ⟨x'', m''⟩
          and tst': thr s t' = ⌊(x', no-wait-locks)⌋
          and aoe: actions-ok s t' ta
          and s'': redT-upd s t' ta x'' m'' s''
          by cases(fastforce elim: mτmove.cases)+
        from ⟨mτmove s (t', ta) s''⟩ have [simp]: ta = ε
          by(auto elim!: mτmove.cases dest!: silent-tl)
        hence wst': wset s t' = None using aoe by auto
        from ⟨mτmove s (t', ta) s''⟩ tst' s''
        have τmove (x', shr s) ε (x'', m'') by(auto elim: mτmove.cases)
        show ?case
        proof(cases t' = t)
          case False
          with tst' wst' have thr s' t' = ⌊(x', no-wait-locks)⌋
            wset s' t' = None shr s' = shr s unfolding s'-def by auto
        
```

```

with red have  $s' \rightarrow t' \in \text{redT-upd-} \varepsilon \rightarrow \text{redT-upd-} \varepsilon s' t' x'' m''$ 
by  $\neg(\text{rule redT-normal, auto simp add: redT-updLns-def o-def finfun-Diag-const2 redT-updWs-def})$ 
moreover from  $\langle \tau\text{move } (x', \text{shr } s) \in (x'', m'') \rangle \langle \text{thr } s' t' = \lfloor (x', \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle \langle \text{shr } s' =$ 
 $\text{shr } s \rangle$ 
have  $m\tau\text{move } s' (t', \text{ta}) (\text{redT-upd-} \varepsilon s' t' x'' m'')$ 
by  $\neg(\text{rule m}\tau\text{move.intros, auto})$ 
ultimately have  $\tau\text{mredT } s' (\text{redT-upd-} \varepsilon s' t' x'' m'')$ 
unfolding  $\langle \text{ta} = \varepsilon \rangle$  by(rule mthr.silent-move.intros)
hence  $\tau\text{mredT } s' (s' (\text{redT-upd-} \varepsilon s' t' x'' m'')) ..$ 
moreover have  $\text{thr } s'' t = \lfloor (x, \text{ln}) \rfloor$ 
using  $\text{tst } \langle t' \neq t \rangle s''$  by auto
moreover from  $\langle \tau\text{move } (x', \text{shr } s) \in (x'', m'') \rangle \text{ red}$ 
have [simp]:  $m'' = \text{shr } s$  by(auto dest:  $\tau\text{move-heap}$ )
hence  $\text{shr } s = \text{shr } s''$  using  $s''$  by(auto)
have  $\text{ln} \neq \text{no-wait-locks} \vee \text{wset } s'' t \neq \text{None} \vee \neg \tau\text{diverge } t xm'$ 
using  $\text{blocked } s''$  by(auto simp add: redT-updWs-def elim!: rtrancl3p-cases)
moreover have  $\text{redT-upd-} \varepsilon s' t' x'' m'' = (\text{locks } s'', ((\text{thr } s'')(t := \text{None}), \text{shr } s''), \text{wset } s'',$ 
 $\text{interrupts } s'')$ 
unfolding  $s'\text{-def}$  using  $\text{tst } s'' \langle t' \neq t \rangle$ 
by(auto intro: ext elim!: rtrancl3p-cases simp add: redT-updLns-def redT-updWs-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\langle \text{mthr.}\tau\text{diverge } s'' \rangle xm xm'$ 
unfolding  $\langle \text{shr } s = \text{shr } s'' \rangle$  by blast
next
case True
with  $\text{tst } t \text{ blocked}$  have  $\neg \tau\text{diverge } t xm'$ 
and [simp]:  $x' = x$  by auto
moreover from red  $\langle \tau\text{move } (x', \text{shr } s) \in (x'', m'') \rangle$  True
have silent-move  $t (x, \text{shr } s) (x'', m'')$  by auto
with  $xm xm'$  have silent-move-from  $t xm' (x, \text{shr } s) (x'', m'')$ 
by(rule silent-move-fromI)
ultimately have (if  $\tau\text{diverge } t xm'$  then  $\lambda s s'.$  False else flip (silent-move-from  $t xm')) (x'',  

 $m'') xm$ 
by(auto simp add: flip-conv xm-def)
moreover have  $\text{thr } s'' t = \lfloor (x'', \text{ln}) \rfloor$  using  $\text{tst True } s''$ 
by(auto simp add: redT-updLns-def)
moreover from  $\langle \tau\text{move } (x', \text{shr } s) \in (x'', m'') \rangle \text{ red}$ 
have [simp]:  $m'' = \text{shr } s$  by(auto dest:  $\tau\text{move-heap}$ )
hence  $\text{shr } s = \text{shr } s''$  using  $s''$  by auto
have  $s' = (\text{locks } s'', ((\text{thr } s'')(t := \text{None}), \text{shr } s''), \text{wset } s'', \text{interrupts } s'')$ 
using True  $s''$  unfolding  $s'\text{-def}$ 
by(auto intro: ext elim!: rtrancl3p-cases simp add: redT-updLns-def redT-updWs-def)
moreover have  $(x'', m'') = (x'', \text{shr } s'')$  using  $s''$  by auto
moreover from  $xm xm'$  silent-move  $t (x, \text{shr } s) (x'', m'')$ 
have silent-moves  $t xm' (x'', \text{shr } s'')$ 
unfolding  $\langle m'' = \text{shr } s \rangle \langle \text{shr } s = \text{shr } s'' \rangle$  by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\neg \tau\text{diverge } t xm' \langle \text{mthr.}\tau\text{diverge } s'' \rangle$  by blast
qed
qed }
ultimately have  $\exists t x. \text{thr } s' t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \wedge \text{wset } s' t = \text{None} \wedge \tau\text{diverge } t (x, \text{shr } s')$ 
by(rule IH)
then obtain  $t' x' \text{ where } \text{thr } s' t' = \lfloor (x', \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$ 
and  $\text{wset } s' t' = \text{None}$  and  $\tau\text{diverge } t' (x', \text{shr } s')$  by blast
moreover with False have  $t' \neq t$  by(auto simp add:  $s'\text{-def}$ )
ultimately have  $\text{thr } s' t' = \lfloor (x', \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$   $\text{wset } s' t' = \text{None}$   $\tau\text{diverge } t' (x', \text{shr } s')$$ 
```

```

  unfolding s'-def by auto
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

lemma  $\tau mredT$ -preserves-final-thread:
   $\llbracket \tau mredT s s'; final\text{-}thread s t \rrbracket \implies final\text{-}thread s' t$ 
by(auto elim: mthr.silent-move.cases intro: redT-preserves-final-thread)

lemma  $\tau mRedT$ -preserves-final-thread:
   $\llbracket \tau mredT^{\ast\ast} s s'; final\text{-}thread s t \rrbracket \implies final\text{-}thread s' t$ 
by(induct rule: rtranclp.induct)(blast intro:  $\tau mredT$ -preserves-final-thread)+

lemma silent-moves2-silentD:
  assumes rtrancl3p mthr.silent-move2 s ttas s'
  and (t, ta) ∈ set ttas
  shows ta = ε
using assms
by(induct)(auto simp add: mthr.silent-move2-def dest: mτmove-silentD)

lemma inf-step-silentD:
  assumes step: trsys.inf-step mthr.silent-move2 s ttas
  and lset: (t, ta) ∈ lset ttas
  shows ta = ε
using lset step
by(induct arbitrary: s rule: lset-induct)(fastforce elim: trsys.inf-step.cases simp add: mthr.silent-move2-def dest: mτmove-silentD)+

end

```

1.16.2 The multithreaded semantics with a well-founded relation on states

```

locale multithreaded-base-measure = multithreaded-base +
constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) semantics
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
fixes μ :: ('x × 'm) ⇒ ('x × 'm) ⇒ bool
begin

inductive mμt :: 'm ⇒ ('l, 't, 'x) thread-info ⇒ ('l, 't, 'x) thread-info ⇒ bool
for m and ts and ts'
where
  mμtI:
     $\bigwedge ln. \llbracket finite(dom ts); ts t = \lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor; ts' t = \lfloor(x', ln')\rfloor; \mu(x, m) (x', m); \bigwedge t'. t' \neq t \implies ts t' = ts' t' \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies m\mu t m ts ts'$ 

definition mμ :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) state ⇒ ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) state ⇒ bool
where mμ s s' ↔ shr s = shr s' ∧ mμt (shr s) (shr s')

lemma mμt-thr-dom-eq: mμt m ts ts' ⇒ dom ts = dom ts'
apply(erule mμt.cases)
apply(rule equalityI)
apply(rule subsetI)

```

```

apply(case-tac xa = t)
  apply(auto)[2]
apply(rule subsetI)
apply(case-tac xa = t)
apply auto
done

lemma mμ-finite-thrD:
  assumes mμt m ts ts'
  shows finite (dom ts) finite (dom ts')
using assms
by(simp-all add: mμt-thr-dom-eq[symmetric])(auto elim: mμt.cases)

end

locale multithreaded-base-measure-wf = multithreaded-base-measure +
constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and μ :: ('x × 'm) ⇒ ('x × 'm) ⇒ bool
assumes wf-μ: wfP μ
begin

lemma wf-mμt: wfP (mμt m)
unfolding wfP-eq-minimal
proof(intro strip)
  fix Q :: ('l,'t,'x) thread-info set and ts
  assume ts ∈ Q
  show ∃z∈Q. ∀y. mμt m y z → y ∉ Q
  proof(cases finite (dom ts))
    case False
    hence ∀y. mμt m y ts → y ∉ Q by(auto dest: mμ-finite-thrD)
    thus ?thesis using ⟨ts ∈ Q⟩ by blast
  next
    case True
    thus ?thesis using ⟨ts ∈ Q⟩
    proof(induct A≡dom ts arbitrary: ts Q rule: finite-induct)
      case empty
      hence dom ts = {} by simp
      with ⟨ts ∈ Q⟩ show ?case by(auto elim: mμt.cases)
    next
      case (insert t A)
      note IH = ⟨A = dom ts; ts ∈ Q⟩ ⟹ ∃z∈Q. ∀y. mμt m y z → y ∉ Q
      define Q' where Q' = {ts. ts t = None ∧ (∃xln. ts(t ↦ xln) ∈ Q)}
      let ?ts' = ts(t := None)
      from ⟨insert t A = dom ts⟩ ⟨t ∉ A⟩ have A = dom ?ts' by auto
      moreover from ⟨insert t A = dom ts⟩ obtain xln where ts t = [xln] by(cases ts t) auto
      hence ts(t ↦ xln) = ts by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff)
      with ⟨ts ∈ Q⟩ have ts(t ↦ xln) ∈ Q by(auto)
      hence ?ts' ∈ Q' unfolding Q'-def by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex)
      ultimately have ∃z∈Q'. ∀y. mμt m y z → y ∉ Q' by(rule IH)
      then obtain ts' where ts' ∈ Q'
        and min: ∩ts''. mμt m ts'' ts' ⟹ ts'' ∉ Q' by blast
      from ⟨ts' ∈ Q'⟩ obtain x' ln' where ts' t = None ts'(t ↦ (x', ln')) ∈ Q

```

```

unfolding Q'-def by auto
define Q'' where Q'' = {(x, m)|x. ∃ ln. ts'(t ↦ (x, ln)) ∈ Q}
from ⟨ts'(t ↦ (x', ln')) ∈ Q⟩ have (x', m) ∈ Q'' unfolding Q''-def by blast
hence ∃ xm'' ∈ Q''. ∀ xm''''. μ xm''' xm''' → xm'''' ∉ Q'' by(rule wf-μ[unfolded wfP-eq-minimal,
rule-format])
then obtain xm'' where xm'' ∈ Q'' and min': ∧ xm'''. μ xm''' xm'' ⇒ xm'''' ∉ Q'' by blast
from ⟨xm'' ∈ Q''⟩ obtain x'' ln'' where xm'' = (x'', m) ts'(t ↦ (x'', ln'')) ∈ Q unfolding
Q''-def by blast
moreover {
fix ts''
assume mμt m ts'' (ts'(t ↦ (x'', ln'')))
then obtain T X'' LN'' X' LN'
where finite (dom ts'') ts'' T = ⌊(X'', LN'')⌋
and (ts'(t ↦ (x'', ln''))) T = ⌊(X', LN')⌋ μ (X'', m) (X', m)
and eq: ∧ t'. t' ≠ T ⇒ ts'' t' = (ts'(t ↦ (x'', ln''))) t' by(cases) blast
have ts'' ∉ Q
proof(cases T = t)
case True
from True ⟨(ts'(t ↦ (x'', ln''))) T = ⌊(X', LN')⌋⟩ have X' = x'' by simp
with ⟨μ (X'', m) (X', m)⟩ have (X'', m) ∉ Q'' by(auto dest: min'[unfolded ⟨xm'' = (x'',
m)⟩])
hence ∀ ln. ts'(t ↦ (X'', ln)) ∉ Q by(simp add: Q''-def)
moreover from ⟨ts' t = None⟩ eq True
have ts''(t := None) = ts' by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff)
with ⟨ts'' T = ⌊(X'', LN'')⌋⟩ True
have ts'': ts'' = ts'(t ↦ (X'', LN'')) by(auto intro!: ext)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case False
from ⟨finite (dom ts'')⟩ have finite (dom (ts''(t := None))) by simp
moreover from ⟨ts'' T = ⌊(X'', LN')⌋⟩ False
have (ts''(t := None)) T = ⌊(X'', LN')⌋ by simp
moreover from ⟨(ts'(t ↦ (x'', ln''))) T = ⌊(X', LN')⌋⟩ False
have ts' T = ⌊(X', LN')⌋ by simp
ultimately have mμt m (ts''(t := None)) ts' using ⟨μ (X'', m) (X', m)⟩
proof(rule mμtI)
fix t'
assume t' ≠ T
with eq[OF False[symmetric]] eq[OF this] ⟨ts' t = None⟩
show (ts''(t := None)) t' = ts' t' by auto
qed
hence ts''(t := None) ∉ Q' by(rule min)
thus ?thesis
proof(rule contrapos-nn)
assume ts'' ∈ Q
from eq[OF False[symmetric]] have ts'' t = ⌊(x'', ln'')⌋ by simp
hence ts'': ts''(t ↦ (x'', ln'')) = ts'' by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff)
from ⟨ts'' ∈ Q⟩ have ts''(t ↦ (x'', ln'')) ∈ Q unfolding ts'' .
thus ts''(t := None) ∈ Q' unfolding Q'-def by auto
qed
qed
}
ultimately show ?case by blast
qed

```

```

qed
qed

lemma wf-m $\mu$ : wfP m $\mu$ 
proof -
  have wf (inv-image (same-fst ( $\lambda m$ . True) ( $\lambda m$ . {(ts, ts'). m $\mu t$  m ts ts'})) ( $\lambda s$ . (shr s, thr s)))
    by(rule wf-inv-image)(rule wf-same-fst, rule wf-m $\mu$ [unfolded wfP-def])
  also have inv-image (same-fst ( $\lambda m$ . True) ( $\lambda m$ . {(ts, ts'). m $\mu t$  m ts ts'})) ( $\lambda s$ . (shr s, thr s)) = {(s,
s'). m $\mu$  s s'}
    by(auto simp add: m $\mu$ -def same-fst-def)
  finally show ?thesis by(simp add: wfP-def)
qed

end
end

```

1.17 Various notions of bisimulation

```

theory Bisimulation
imports
  LTS
begin

type-synonym ('a, 'b) bisim = 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

1.17.1 Strong bisimulation

```

locale bisimulation-base = r1: trsys trsys1 + r2: trsys trsys2
  for trsys1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys (-/ -1-->/ - [50,0,50] 60)
  and trsys2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys (-/ -2-->/ - [50,0,50] 60) +
  fixes bisim :: ('s1, 's2) bisim (-/  $\approx$  - [50, 50] 60)
  and tlsm :: ('tl1, 'tl2) bisim (-/  $\sim$  - [50, 50] 60)
begin

```

```

notation
  r1.Trsys (-/ -1-->*/ - [50,0,50] 60) and
  r2.Trsys (-/ -2-->*/ - [50,0,50] 60)

```

```

notation
  r1.inf-step (- -1-->*  $\infty$  [50, 0] 80) and
  r2.inf-step (- -2-->*  $\infty$  [50, 0] 80)

```

```

notation
  r1.inf-step-table (- -1-->*t  $\infty$  [50, 0] 80) and
  r2.inf-step-table (- -2-->*t  $\infty$  [50, 0] 80)

```

```

abbreviation Tlsm :: ('tl1 list, 'tl2 list) bisim (-/ [ $\sim$ ] - [50, 50] 60)
where Tlsm tl1 tl2  $\equiv$  list-all2 tlsm tl1 tl2

```

```

abbreviation Tlsm1 :: ('tl1 llist, 'tl2 llist) bisim (-/ [[ $\sim$ ]] - [50, 50] 60)
where Tlsm1 tl1 tl2  $\equiv$  llist-all2 tlsm tl1 tl2

```

```
end
```

```

locale bisimulation = bisimulation-base +
  constrains trsys1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
  and trsys2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys
  and bisim :: ('s1, 's2) bisim
  and tlsim :: ('tl1, 'tl2) bisim
  assumes simulation1:  $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s1 -1-tl1 \rightarrow s1' \rrbracket \implies \exists s2' tl2. s2 -2-tl2 \rightarrow s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
  and simulation2:  $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s2 -2-tl2 \rightarrow s2' \rrbracket \implies \exists s1' tl1. s1 -1-tl1 \rightarrow s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
begin

lemma bisimulation-flip:
  bisimulation trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsim)
  by(unfold-locales)(unfold flip-simps,(blast intro: simulation1 simulation2)+)

end

lemma bisimulation-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
  bisimulation trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsim) = bisimulation trsys1 trsys2 bisim tlsim
  by(auto dest: bisimulation.bisimulation-flip simp only: flip-flip)

context bisimulation begin

lemma simulation1-rtranc1:
   $\llbracket s1 -1-tls1 \rightarrow * s1'; s1 \approx s2 \rrbracket \implies \exists s2' tl2. s2 -2-tls2 \rightarrow * s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tls1 \sim tl2$ 
proof(induct rule: rtranc13p.induct)
  case rtranc13p-refl thus ?case by(auto intro: rtranc13p.rtranc13p-refl)
next
  case (rtranc13p-step s1 tls1 s1' tl1 s1'')
    from  $\llbracket s1 \approx s2 \rrbracket \implies \exists s2' tl2. s2 -2-tls2 \rightarrow * s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tls1 \sim tl2$ 
    obtain s2' tl2 where  $s2 -2-tls2 \rightarrow * s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tls1 \sim tl2$  by blast
    moreover from  $\llbracket s1' -1-tl1 \rightarrow s1'' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \rrbracket$ 
    obtain s2'' tl2 where  $s2' -2-tl2 \rightarrow s2'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2'' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$  by(auto dest: simulation1)
    ultimately have s2 -2-tls2 @ [tl2] → * s2'' tl1 @ [tl1]  $\sim tl2$  by(auto dest: simulation1)
    with  $\llbracket s1'' \approx s2'' \rrbracket$  show ?case by(blast)
qed

lemma simulation2-rtranc1:
   $\llbracket s2 -2-tls2 \rightarrow * s2'; s1 \approx s2 \rrbracket \implies \exists s1' tl1. s1 -1-tls1 \rightarrow * s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tls1 \sim tl2$ 
using bisimulation.simulation1-rtranc1[OF bisimulation-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma simulation1-inf-step:
  assumes red1:  $s1 -1-tls1 \rightarrow * \infty$  and bisim:  $s1 \approx s2$ 
  shows  $\exists tl2. s2 -2-tls2 \rightarrow * \infty \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
proof -
  from r1.inf-step-imp-inf-step-table[OF red1]
  obtain stls1 where red1':  $s1 -1-stls1 \rightarrow * \infty$ 
  and tl1:  $tl1 = lmap(fst \circ snd) stls1$  by blast
  define tl1-to-tl2 where tl1-to-tl2 s2 stls1 = unfold-llist

```

```

 $(\lambda(s2, stls1). lnull stls1)$ 
 $(\lambda(s2, stls1). let (s1, tl1, s1') = lhd stls1;$ 
 $\quad (tl2, s2') = SOME (tl2, s2'). trsys2 s2 tl2 s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
 $\quad in (s2, tl2, s2'))$ 
 $(\lambda(s2, stls1). let (s1, tl1, s1') = lhd stls1;$ 
 $\quad (tl2, s2') = SOME (tl2, s2'). trsys2 s2 tl2 s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
 $\quad in (s2', ltl stls1))$ 
 $(s2, stls1)$ 
for  $s2 :: 's2$  and  $stls1 :: ('s1 \times 'tl1 \times 's1) llist$ 

```

have $tl1\text{-to-}tl2\text{-simp}$ [$simp$]:

```

 $\wedge s2 stls1. lnull (tl1\text{-to-}tl2 s2 stls1) \longleftrightarrow lnull stls1$ 
 $\wedge s2 stls1. \neg lnull stls1 \implies lhd (tl1\text{-to-}tl2 s2 stls1) =$ 
 $(let (s1, tl1, s1') = lhd stls1;$ 
 $\quad (tl2, s2') = SOME (tl2, s2'). trsys2 s2 tl2 s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
 $\quad in (s2, tl2, s2'))$ 
 $\wedge s2 stls1. \neg lnull stls1 \implies ltl (tl1\text{-to-}tl2 s2 stls1) =$ 
 $(let (s1, tl1, s1') = lhd stls1;$ 
 $\quad (tl2, s2') = SOME (tl2, s2'). trsys2 s2 tl2 s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
 $\quad in tl1\text{-to-}tl2 s2' (ltl stls1))$ 
 $\wedge s2. tl1\text{-to-}tl2 s2 LNil = LNil$ 
 $\wedge s2 s1 tl1 s1' stls1'. tl1\text{-to-}tl2 s2 (LCons (s1, tl1, s1') stls1') =$ 
 $\quad LCons (s2, SOME (tl2, s2'). trsys2 s2 tl2 s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2)$ 
 $\quad (tl1\text{-to-}tl2 (snd (SOME (tl2, s2'). trsys2 s2 tl2 s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2)) stls1')$ 
by ( $simp\text{-all add: } tl1\text{-to-}tl2\text{-def split-beta}$ )

```

have [$simp$]: $llength (tl1\text{-to-}tl2 s2 stls1) = llength stls1$

by ($coinduction arbitrary: s2 stls1$ rule: $enat\text{-coinduct}$) ($auto simp add: epred\text{-llength split-beta}$)

from $red1' bisim$ **have** $s2 -2\text{-}tl1\text{-to-}tl2 s2 stls1 \rightarrow^* \infty$

proof ($coinduction arbitrary: s2 s1 stls1$)

```

case ( $inf\text{-step-table } s2 s1 stls1$ )
note  $red1' = \langle s1 -1\text{-}stls1 \rightarrow^* \infty \rangle$  and  $bisim = \langle s1 \approx s2 \rangle$ 
from  $red1'$  show ?case
proof ( $cases$ )
case ( $inf\text{-step-tableI } s1' stls1' tl1$ )
hence  $stls1: stls1 = LCons (s1, tl1, s1') stls1'$ 
and  $r: s1 -1\text{-}tl1 \rightarrow s1'$  and  $reds1: s1' -1\text{-}stls1' \rightarrow^* \infty$  by  $simp\text{-all}$ 
let  $?tl2s2' = SOME (tl2, s2'). s2 -2\text{-}tl2 \rightarrow s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
let  $?tl2 = fst ?tl2s2'$  let  $?s2' = snd ?tl2s2'$ 
from  $simulation1[OF bisim r]$  obtain  $s2' tl2$ 
where  $s2 -2\text{-}tl2 \rightarrow s2' s1' \approx s2' tl1 \sim tl2$  by  $blast$ 
hence  $(\lambda(tl2, s2'). s2 -2\text{-}tl2 \rightarrow s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2) (tl2, s2')$  by  $simp$ 
hence  $(\lambda(tl2, s2'). s2 -2\text{-}tl2 \rightarrow s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2) ?tl2s2'$  by ( $rule someI$ )
hence  $s2 -2\text{-}?tl2 \rightarrow ?s2' s1' \approx ?s2' tl1 \sim ?tl2$  by ( $simp\text{-all add: split-beta}$ )
then show ?thesis using  $reds1 stls1$  by ( $fastforce intro: prod-eqI$ )

```

qed

qed

hence $s2 -2\text{-}lmap (fst \circ snd) (tl1\text{-to-}tl2 s2 stls1) \rightarrow^* \infty$

by ($rule r2.inf\text{-step-table-imp-inf-step}$)

moreover have $tls1 [[\sim]] lmap (fst \circ snd) (tl1\text{-to-}tl2 s2 stls1)$

proof ($rule llist\text{-all2-all-lnthI}$)

show $llength tls1 = llength (lmap (fst \circ snd) (tl1\text{-to-}tl2 s2 stls1))$

using $tls1$ **by** $simp$

```

next
fix n
assume enat n < llength tls1
thus lnth tls1 n ~ lnth (lmap (fst o snd) (tl1-to-tl2 s2 stls1)) n
  using red1' bisim unfolding tls1
proof(induct n arbitrary: s1 s2 stls1 rule: nat-less-induct)
  case (1 n)
  hence IH:  $\bigwedge m s1 s2 stls1. \llbracket m < n; enat m < llength (lmap (fst o snd) stls1);$ 
     $s1 - 1 - stls1 \rightarrow^* \infty; s1 \approx s2 \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies lnth (lmap (fst o snd) stls1) m \sim lnth (lmap (fst o snd) (tl1-to-tl2 s2 stls1)) m$ 
  by blast
  from <math>s1 - 1 - stls1 \rightarrow^* \infty> show ?case
  proof cases
    case (inf-step-tableI s1' stls1' tl1)
    hence stls1: stls1 = LCons (s1, tl1, s1') stls1'
      and r:  $s1 - 1 - tl1 \rightarrow s1'$  and reds:  $s1' - 1 - stls1' \rightarrow^* \infty$  by simp-all
    let ?tl2s2' = SOME (tl2, s2').  $s2 - 2 - tl2 \rightarrow s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
    let ?tl2 = fst ?tl2s2' let ?s2' = snd ?tl2s2'
    from simulation1[OF <math>s1 \approx s2'> r] obtain s2' tl2
      where  $s2 - 2 - tl2 \rightarrow s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$  by blast
    hence  $(\lambda(tl2, s2'). s2 - 2 - tl2 \rightarrow s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2) (tl2, s2')$  by simp
    hence  $(\lambda(tl2, s2'). s2 - 2 - tl2 \rightarrow s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2) ?tl2s2'$  by(rule someI)
    hence bisim':  $s1' \approx ?s2'$  and tlsim:  $tl1 \sim ?tl2$  by(simp-all add: split-beta)
    show ?thesis
  proof(cases n)
    case 0
    with stls1 tlsim show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (Suc m)
    hence m < n by simp
    moreover have enat m < llength (lmap (fst o snd) stls1')
      using stls1 <math>\langle enat n < llength (lmap (fst o snd) stls1), Suc \rangle</math> by(simp add: Suc-ile-eq)
      ultimately have lnth (lmap (fst o snd) stls1') m ~ lnth (lmap (fst o snd) (tl1-to-tl2 ?s2' stls1')) m
        using reds bisim' by(rule IH)
        with Suc stls1 show ?thesis by(simp del: o-apply)
    qed
    qed
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed

lemma simulation2-inf-step:
   $\llbracket s2 - 2 - tls2 \rightarrow^* \infty; s1 \approx s2 \rrbracket \implies \exists tls1. s1 - 1 - tls1 \rightarrow^* \infty \wedge tls1 [[\sim]] tls2$ 
using bisimulation.simulation1-inf-step[OF bisimulation-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

end

locale bisimulation-final-base =
  bisimulation-base +
constrains trsys1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
and trsys2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys

```

```

and bisim :: ('s1, 's2) bisim
and tbsim :: ('tl1, 'tl2) bisim
fixes final1 :: 's1 ⇒ bool
and final2 :: 's2 ⇒ bool

locale bisimulation-final = bisimulation-final-base + bisimulation +
constrains trsys1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
and trsys2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys
and bisim :: ('s1, 's2) bisim
and tbsim :: ('tl1, 'tl2) bisim
and final1 :: 's1 ⇒ bool
and final2 :: 's2 ⇒ bool
assumes bisim-final: s1 ≈ s2 ⇒ final1 s1 ↔ final2 s2

begin

lemma bisimulation-final-flip:
  bisimulation-final trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tbsim) final2 final1
apply(intro-locales)
apply(rule bisimulation-flip)
apply(unfold-locales)
by(unfold flip-simps, rule bisim-final[symmetric])

end

lemma bisimulation-final-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
  bisimulation-final trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tbsim) final2 final1 =
    bisimulation-final trsys1 trsys2 bisim tbsim final1 final2
by(auto dest: bisimulation-final.bisimulation-final-flip simp only: flip-flip)

context bisimulation-final begin

lemma final-simulation1:
  [s1 ≈ s2; s1 - 1 - tbs1 →* s1'; final1 s1'] ⊢
  ∃ s2' tbs2. s2 - 2 - tbs2 →* s2' ∧ s1' ≈ s2' ∧ final2 s2' ∧ tbs1 [~] tbs2
by(auto dest: bisim-final dest!: simulation1-rtrancl)

lemma final-simulation2:
  [s1 ≈ s2; s2 - 2 - tbs2 →* s2'; final2 s2'] ⊢
  ∃ s1' tbs1. s1 - 1 - tbs1 →* s1' ∧ s1' ≈ s2' ∧ final1 s1' ∧ tbs1 [~] tbs2
by(auto dest: bisim-final dest!: simulation2-rtrancl)

end

```

1.17.2 Delay bisimulation

```

locale delay-bisimulation-base =
  bisimulation-base +
  trsys1?: τ trsys trsys1 τmove1 +
  trsys2?: τ trsys trsys2 τmove2
  for τmove1 τmove2 +
constrains trsys1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
and trsys2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys
and bisim :: ('s1, 's2) bisim

```

```

and  $\text{tlsim} :: ('\text{tl1}, '\text{tl2}) \text{ bisim}$ 
and  $\tau\text{move1} :: ('s1, '\text{tl1}) \text{ trsys}$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2} :: ('s2, '\text{tl2}) \text{ trsys}$ 
begin

notation
 $\text{trsys1}.\text{silent-move} (-/ -\tau1 \rightarrow - [50, 50] 60) \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{trsys2}.\text{silent-move} (-/ -\tau2 \rightarrow - [50, 50] 60)$ 

notation
 $\text{trsys1}.\text{silent-moves} (-/ -\tau1 \rightarrow* - [50, 50] 60) \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{trsys2}.\text{silent-moves} (-/ -\tau2 \rightarrow* - [50, 50] 60)$ 

notation
 $\text{trsys1}.\text{silent-movet} (-/ -\tau1 \rightarrow+ - [50, 50] 60) \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{trsys2}.\text{silent-movet} (-/ -\tau2 \rightarrow+ - [50, 50] 60)$ 

notation
 $\text{trsys1}.\tau\text{rtrancl3p} (- -\tau1 \dashrightarrow* - [50, 0, 50] 60) \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{trsys2}.\tau\text{rtrancl3p} (- -\tau2 \dashrightarrow* - [50, 0, 50] 60)$ 

notation
 $\text{trsys1}.\tau\text{inf-step} (- -\tau1 \dashrightarrow* \infty [50, 0] 80) \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{trsys2}.\tau\text{inf-step} (- -\tau2 \dashrightarrow* \infty [50, 0] 80)$ 

notation
 $\text{trsys1}.\tau\text{diverge} (- -\tau1 \rightarrow \infty [50] 80) \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{trsys2}.\tau\text{diverge} (- -\tau2 \rightarrow \infty [50] 80)$ 

notation
 $\text{trsys1}.\tau\text{inf-step-table} (- -\tau1 \dashrightarrow* t \infty [50, 0] 80) \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{trsys2}.\tau\text{inf-step-table} (- -\tau2 \dashrightarrow* t \infty [50, 0] 80)$ 

notation
 $\text{trsys1}.\tau\text{Runs} (- \Downarrow 1 - [50, 50] 51) \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{trsys2}.\tau\text{Runs} (- \Downarrow 2 - [50, 50] 51)$ 

lemma simulation-silent1I':
assumes  $\exists s2'. (\text{if } \mu 1\ s1'\ s1 \text{ then } \text{trsys2}.\text{silent-moves} \text{ else } \text{trsys2}.\text{silent-movet})\ s2\ s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2'$ 
shows  $s1' \approx s2 \wedge \mu 1 \hat{+} s1' s1 \vee (\exists s2'. s2 \dashrightarrow s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2')$ 
proof -
  from assms obtain s2' where red:  $(\text{if } \mu 1\ s1'\ s1 \text{ then } \text{trsys2}.\text{silent-moves} \text{ else } \text{trsys2}.\text{silent-movet})\ s2\ s2'$ 
  and bisim:  $s1' \approx s2'$  by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases  $\mu 1\ s1'\ s1$ )
    case True
      with red have  $s2 \dashrightarrow s2'$  by simp
      thus ?thesis using bisim True by cases(blast intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp1)+
    next
      case False
        with red bisim show ?thesis by auto
    qed
qed

```

```

lemma simulation-silent2I':
  assumes  $\exists s1'. (\text{if } \mu_2 s2' s2 \text{ then } \text{trsys1}.silent\text{-moves} \text{ else } \text{trsys1}.silent\text{-movet}) s1 s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2'$ 
  shows  $s1 \approx s2' \wedge \mu_2 \wedge s2' s2 \vee (\exists s1'. s1 -\tau 1 \rightarrow s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2')$ 
  using assms
  by(rule delay-bisimulation-base.simulation-silent1I')

end

locale delay-bisimulation-obs = delay-bisimulation-base - - -  $\tau move1 \tau move2$ 
  for  $\tau move1 :: 's1 \Rightarrow 'tl1 \Rightarrow 's1 \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  and  $\tau move2 :: 's2 \Rightarrow 'tl2 \Rightarrow 's2 \Rightarrow \text{bool} +$ 
  assumes simulation1:
     $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s1 -1-tl1 \rightarrow s1'; \neg \tau move1 s1 tl1 s1' \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \exists s2' s2'' tl2. s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow s2' \wedge s2' -2-tl2 \rightarrow s2'' \wedge \neg \tau move2 s2' tl2 s2'' \wedge s1' \approx s2'' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
    and simulation2:
     $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s2 -2-tl2 \rightarrow s2'; \neg \tau move2 s2 tl2 s2' \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \exists s1' s1'' tl1. s1 -\tau 1 \rightarrow s1' \wedge s1' -1-tl1 \rightarrow s1'' \wedge \neg \tau move1 s1' tl1 s1'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
  begin

    lemma delay-bisimulation-obs-flip: delay-bisimulation-obs trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsim)  $\tau move2$   $\tau move1$ 
      apply(unfold-locales)
      apply(unfold flip-simps)
      by(blast intro: simulation1 simulation2)+

    end

    lemma delay-bisimulation-obs-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
      delay-bisimulation-obs trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsim)  $\tau move2 \tau move1 =$ 
      delay-bisimulation-obs trsys1 trsys2 bisim tlsim  $\tau move1 \tau move2$ 
      by(auto dest: delay-bisimulation-obs.delay-bisimulation-obs-flip simp only: flip-flip)

    locale delay-bisimulation-diverge = delay-bisimulation-obs - - -  $\tau move1 \tau move2$ 
      for  $\tau move1 :: 's1 \Rightarrow 'tl1 \Rightarrow 's1 \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
      and  $\tau move2 :: 's2 \Rightarrow 'tl2 \Rightarrow 's2 \Rightarrow \text{bool} +$ 
      assumes simulation-silent1:
         $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s1 -\tau 1 \rightarrow s1' \rrbracket \implies \exists s2'. s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2'$ 
      and simulation-silent2:
         $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow s2' \rrbracket \implies \exists s1'. s1 -\tau 1 \rightarrow s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2'$ 
      and  $\tau \text{diverge-bisim-inv}: s1 \approx s2 \implies s1 -\tau 1 \rightarrow \infty \longleftrightarrow s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow \infty$ 
    begin

      lemma delay-bisimulation-diverge-flip: delay-bisimulation-diverge trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsim)  $\tau move2 \tau move1$ 
        apply(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge.intro)
        apply(rule delay-bisimulation-obs-flip)
        apply(unfold-locales)
        apply(unfold flip-simps)
        by(blast intro: simulation-silent1 simulation-silent2  $\tau \text{diverge-bisim-inv}[symmetric]$  del: iffI)+

    end
  
```

```

lemma delay-bisimulation-diverge-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
  delay-bisimulation-diverge trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsm) τmove2 τmove1 =
  delay-bisimulation-diverge trsys1 trsys2 bisim tlsm τmove1 τmove2
by(auto dest: delay-bisimulation-diverge.delay-bisimulation-diverge-flip simp only: flip-flip)

context delay-bisimulation-diverge begin

lemma simulation-silents1:
  assumes bisim:  $s1 \approx s2$  and moves:  $s1 \xrightarrow{\tau_1} s1'$ 
  shows  $\exists s2'. s2 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2'$ 
using moves bisim
proof(induct)
  case base thus ?case by(blast)
next
  case (step s1' s1'')
    from  $\langle s1 \approx s2 \implies \exists s2'. s2 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \rangle \langle s1 \approx s2 \rangle$ 
    obtain s2' where  $s2 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2'$  by blast
    from simulation-silent1[OF ⟨s1' ≈ s2'⟩ ⟨s1' −τ1→ s1''⟩]
    obtain s2'' where  $s2' \xrightarrow{\tau_2} s2'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2''$  by blast
    from ⟨s2' −τ2→ s2'⟩ ⟨s2' −τ2→ s2''⟩ have s2 −τ2→ s2'' by(rule rtranclp-trans)
    with ⟨s1'' ≈ s2''⟩ show ?case by blast
qed

lemma simulation-silents2:
  [|  $s1 \approx s2; s2 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} s2'$  |]  $\implies \exists s1'. s1 \xrightarrow{\tau_1} s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2'$ 
using delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation-silents1[OF delay-bisimulation-diverge-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma simulation1-τrtrancl3p:
  [|  $s1 \xrightarrow{\tau_1-tls1} s1'; s1 \approx s2$  |]
   $\implies \exists tls2 s2'. s2 \xrightarrow{\tau_2-tls2} s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge tls1 \sim tls2$ 
proof(induct arbitrary: s2 rule: trsys1.τrtrancl3p.induct)
  case (τrtrancl3p-refl s)
  thus ?case by(auto intro: τtrsys.τrtrancl3p.intros)
next
  case (τrtrancl3p-step s1 s1' tls1 s1'' tl1)
  from simulation1[OF ⟨s1 ≈ s2⟩ ⟨s1 −tl1→ s1'⟩ ⟨¬ τmove1 s1 tl1 s1'⟩]
  obtain s2' s2'' tl2 where τred:  $s2 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} s2' \wedge s2' \xrightarrow{\tau_2-tl2} s2''$ 
    and red:  $s2' \xrightarrow{\tau_2-tl2} s2''$  and nτ:  $\neg \taumove2 s2' tl2 s2''$ 
    and bisim':  $s1' \approx s2''$  and tlsm:  $tl1 \sim tl2$  by blast
  from bisim' ⟨s1' ≈ s2''⟩  $\implies \exists tls2 s2'. s2'' \xrightarrow{\tau_2-tls2} s2' \wedge s1'' \approx s2' \wedge tls1 \sim tls2$ 
  obtain tls2 s2''' where IH:  $s2'' \xrightarrow{\tau_2-tls2} s2''' \wedge s1'' \approx s2''' \wedge tls1 \sim tls2$  by blast
  from τred have s2 −τ2→ s2'' by(rule trsys2.silent-moves-into-τrtrancl3p)
  also from red nτ IH(1) have s2' −τ2-tl2 # tls2 → s2''' by(rule τrtrancl3p.τrtrancl3p-step)
  finally show ?case using IH tlsm by fastforce
next
  case (τrtrancl3p-τstep s1 s1' tls1 s1'' tl1)
  from ⟨s1 −tl1→ s1'⟩ ⟨τmove1 s1 tl1 s1'⟩ have s1 −τ1→ s1' ..
  from simulation-silent1[OF ⟨s1 ≈ s2⟩ this]
  obtain s2' where τred:  $s2 \xrightarrow{\tau_2} s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2'$  by blast
  from τred have s2 −τ2→ s2' by(rule trsys2.silent-moves-into-τrtrancl3p)
  also from bisim' ⟨s1' ≈ s2'⟩  $\implies \exists tls2 s2''. s2' \xrightarrow{\tau_2-tls2} s2'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2'' \wedge tls1 \sim tls2$  by blast

```

obtain $\text{tls2 } s2'' \text{ where } IH: s2' -\tau_2-\text{tls2} \rightarrow* s2'' s1'' \approx s2'' \text{ tls1 } [\sim] \text{ tls2}$ **by** *blast*

note $\langle s2' -\tau_2-\text{tls2} \rightarrow* s2'' \rangle$

finally show ?case **using** *IH* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *simulation2- τ rtrancl3p*:

$\llbracket s2 -\tau_2-\text{tls2} \rightarrow* s2'; s1 \approx s2 \rrbracket$

$\implies \exists \text{tls1 } s1'. s1 -\tau_1-\text{tls1} \rightarrow* s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge \text{tls1 } [\sim] \text{ tls2}$

using *delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation1- τ rtrancl3p*[OF *delay-bisimulation-diverge-flip*]

unfolding *flip-simps*.

lemma *simulation1- τ inf-step*:

assumes $\tau\text{inf1}: s1 -\tau_1-\text{tls1} \rightarrow* \infty$ **and** *bisim*: $s1 \approx s2$

shows $\exists \text{tls2}. s2 -\tau_2-\text{tls2} \rightarrow* \infty \wedge \text{tls1 } [[\sim]] \text{ tls2}$

proof –

from *trsys1.τinf-step-imp-τinf-step-table*[OF *τinf1*]

obtain *sstls1* **where** $\tau\text{inf1}': s1 -\tau_1-\text{sstls1} \rightarrow* \infty$

and $\text{tls1}: \text{tls1} = lmap (fst \circ snd \circ snd) \text{ sstls1}$ **by** *blast*

define *tl1-to-tl2* **where** $\text{tl1-to-tl2 } s2 \text{ sstls1} = unfold-llist$

$(\lambda(s2, \text{sstls1}). lnull \text{ sstls1})$

$(\lambda(s2, \text{sstls1}).$

let $(s1, s1', tl1, s1'') = lhd \text{ sstls1};$

$(s2', tl2, s2'') = SOME (s2', tl2, s2''). s2 -\tau_2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge \text{trsys2 } s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge$
 $\neg \tau move2 s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2'' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$

in $(s2, s2', tl2, s2'')$

$(\lambda(s2, \text{sstls1}).$

let $(s1, s1', tl1, s1'') = lhd \text{ sstls1};$

$(s2', tl2, s2'') = SOME (s2', tl2, s2''). s2 -\tau_2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge \text{trsys2 } s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge$
 $\neg \tau move2 s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2'' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$

in $(s2'', ltl \text{ sstls1})$

$(s2, \text{sstls1})$

for $s2 :: 's2$ **and** *sstls1* :: $('s1 \times 's1 \times 'tl1 \times 's1) llist$

have [simp]:

$\bigwedge s2 \text{ sstls1}. lnull (\text{tl1-to-tl2 } s2 \text{ sstls1}) \longleftrightarrow lnull \text{ sstls1}$

$\bigwedge s2 \text{ sstls1}. \neg lnull \text{ sstls1} \implies lhd (\text{tl1-to-tl2 } s2 \text{ sstls1}) =$

$(\lambda(s1, s1', tl1, s1'') = lhd \text{ sstls1};$

$(s2', tl2, s2'') = SOME (s2', tl2, s2''). s2 -\tau_2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge \text{trsys2 } s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge$
 $\neg \tau move2 s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2'' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$

in $(s2, s2', tl2, s2'')$

$\bigwedge s2 \text{ sstls1}. \neg lnull \text{ sstls1} \implies ltl (\text{tl1-to-tl2 } s2 \text{ sstls1}) =$

$(\lambda(s1, s1', tl1, s1'') = lhd \text{ sstls1};$

$(s2', tl2, s2'') = SOME (s2', tl2, s2''). s2 -\tau_2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge \text{trsys2 } s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge$
 $\neg \tau move2 s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2'' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$

in $\text{tl1-to-tl2 } s2'' (ltl \text{ sstls1})$

$\bigwedge s2. \text{tl1-to-tl2 } s2 \text{ LNil} = \text{LNil}$

$\bigwedge s2 s1 s1' tl1 s1'' stls1'. \text{tl1-to-tl2 } s2 \text{ (LCons } (s1, s1', tl1, s1'') \text{ stls1')} =$

$LCons (s2, SOME (s2', tl2, s2''). s2 -\tau_2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge \text{trsys2 } s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge$

$\neg \tau move2 s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2'' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2)$

$(\text{tl1-to-tl2 } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{SOME } (s2', tl2, s2''). s2 -\tau_2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge \text{trsys2 } s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge$

$\neg \tau move2 s2' \text{ tl2 } s2'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2'' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2)))$

stls1'

by(*simp-all add*: *tl1-to-tl2-def split-beta*)

have [simp]: $llength (\text{tl1-to-tl2 } s2 \text{ sstls1}) = llength \text{ sstls1}$

```

by(coinduction arbitrary: s2 ss tls1 rule: enat-coinduct)(auto simp add: epred-llength split-beta)

define ss tls2 where ss tls2 = tl1-to-tl2 s2 ss tls1
with τinf1' bisim have ∃ s1 ss tls1. s1 −τ1−ss tls1→*t ∞ ∧ ss tls2 = tl1-to-tl2 s2 ss tls1 ∧ s1 ≈ s2
by blast

from τinf1' bisim have s2 −τ2−tl1-to-tl2 s2 ss tls1→*t ∞
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s2 s1 ss tls1)
  case (τinf-step-table s2 s1 ss tls1)
  note τinf' = ⟨s1 −τ1−ss tls1→*t ∞⟩ and bisim = ⟨s1 ≈ s2⟩
  from τinf' show ?case
  proof(cases)
    case (τinf-step-table-Cons s1' s1'' ss tls1' tl1)
    hence ss tls1: ss tls1 = LCons (s1, s1', tl1, s1'') ss tls1'
    and τs: s1 −τ1→* s1' and r: s1' −1−tl1→ s1'' and nt: ¬ τmove1 s1' tl1 s1''
    and reds1: s1'' −τ1−ss tls1'→*t ∞ by simp-all
    let ?P = λ(s2', tl2, s2''). s2 −τ2→* s2' ∧ trsys2 s2' tl2 s2'' ∧ ¬ τmove2 s2' tl2 s2'' ∧ s1'' ≈
    s2'' ∧ tl1 ~ tl2
    let ?s2tl2s2' = Eps ?P
    let ?s2'' = snd (snd ?s2tl2s2')
    from simulation-silents1[OF ⟨s1 ≈ s2⟩ τs]
    obtain s2' where s2 −τ2→* s2' s1' ≈ s2' by blast
    from simulation1[OF ⟨s1' ≈ s2'⟩ r nt] obtain s2'' s2''' tl2
    where s2' −τ2→* s2''
    and rest: s2'' −2−tl2→ s2''' −τmove2 s2'' tl2 s2''' s1'' ≈ s2''' tl1 ~ tl2 by blast
    from ⟨s2 −τ2→* s2'⟩ ⟨s2' −τ2→* s2''⟩ have s2 −τ2→* s2'' by(rule rtranclp-trans)
    with rest have ?P (s2'', tl2, s2''') by simp
    hence ?P ?s2tl2s2' by(rule someI)
    then show ?thesis using reds1 ss tls1 by fastforce
  next
  case τinf-step-table-Nil
  hence [simp]: ss tls1 = LNil and s1 −τ1→ ∞ by simp-all
  from ⟨s1 −τ1→ ∞⟩ ⟨s1 ≈ s2⟩ have s2 −τ2→ ∞ by(simp add: τ diverge-bisim-inv)
  thus ?thesis using ss tls2-def by simp
  qed
qed

hence s2 −τ2−lmap (fst o snd o snd) (tl1-to-tl2 s2 ss tls1)→* ∞
  by(rule trsys2.τinf-step-table-into-τinf-step)
moreover have tls1 [[~]] lmap (fst o snd o snd) (tl1-to-tl2 s2 ss tls1)
proof(rule llist-all2-all-lnthI)
  show llength tls1 = llength (lmap (fst o snd o snd) (tl1-to-tl2 s2 ss tls1))
  using tls1 by simp
next
fix n
assume enat n < llength tls1
thus lnth tls1 n ~ lnth (lmap (fst o snd o snd) (tl1-to-tl2 s2 ss tls1)) n
  using τinf1' bisim unfolding tls1
proof(induct n arbitrary: s1 s2 ss tls1 rule: less-induct)
  case (less n)
  note IH = ⟨m s1 s2 ss tls1. m < n; enat m < llength (lmap (fst o snd o snd) ss tls1);
  s1 −τ1−ss tls1→*t ∞; s1 ≈ s2 ⟩
  ⟹ lnth (lmap (fst o snd o snd) ss tls1) m ~ lnth (lmap (fst o snd o snd) (tl1-to-tl2 s2
  ss tls1)) m
  from ⟨s1 −τ1−ss tls1→*t ∞⟩ show ?case

```

```

proof cases
  case ( $\tau\text{inf-step-table-Cons}$   $s1' s1'' \text{sstls1}' tl1)$ 
```

hence $\text{sstls1}: \text{sstls1} = L\text{Cons}(s1, s1', tl1, s1'')$ $\text{sstls1}'$
and $\tau s: s1 -\tau 1 \rightarrow * s1'$ **and** $r: s1' -1 -tl1 \rightarrow s1''$
and $n\tau: \neg \tau\text{move1 } s1' tl1 s1''$ **and** $\text{reds}: s1'' -\tau 1 -\text{sstls1}' \rightarrow * t \infty$ **by** simp-all
 $\text{let } ?P = \lambda(s2', tl2, s2''). s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow * s2' \wedge \text{trsys2 } s2' tl2 s2'' \wedge \neg \tau\text{move2 } s2' tl2 s2'' \wedge s1''$
 $\approx s2'' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$
 $\text{let } ?s2tl2s2' = E\text{ps } ?P \text{ let } ?tl2 = \text{fst } (\text{snd } ?s2tl2s2') \text{ let } ?s2'' = \text{snd } (\text{snd } ?s2tl2s2')$
from simulation-silents1[$OF \langle s1 \approx s2 \rangle \tau s$] **obtain** $s2'$
where $s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow * s2' s1' \approx s2'$ **by** blast
from simulation1[$OF \langle s1' \approx s2' \rangle r n\tau$] **obtain** $s2'' s2''' tl2$
where $s2' -\tau 2 \rightarrow * s2''$
and rest: $s2'' -2 -tl2 \rightarrow s2''' \neg \tau\text{move2 } s2'' tl2 s2''' s1'' \approx s2''' tl1 \sim tl2$ **by** blast
from $\langle s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow * s2' \rangle \langle s2' -\tau 2 \rightarrow * s2'' \rangle$ **have** $s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow * s2''$ **by** (rule rtranclp-trans)
with rest **have** $?P(s2'', tl2, s2''')$ **by** auto
hence $?P ?s2tl2s2'$ **by** (rule someI)
hence $s1'' \approx ?s2'' tl1 \sim ?tl2$ **by** (simp-all add: split-beta)
show ?thesis
proof(cases n)
 case 0
 with $\text{sstls1} \langle tl1 \sim ?tl2 \rangle$ **show** ?thesis **by** simp
next
case ($Suc m$)
 hence $m < n$ **by** simp
 moreover **have** $\text{enat } m < \text{llength } (\text{lmap } (\text{fst } \circ \text{snd } \circ \text{snd}) \text{sstls1}')$
using $\text{sstls1} \langle \text{enat } n < \text{llength } (\text{lmap } (\text{fst } \circ \text{snd } \circ \text{snd}) \text{sstls1}) \rangle Suc$ **by** (simp add: Suc-ile-eq)
 ultimately **have** $\text{lnth } (\text{lmap } (\text{fst } \circ \text{snd } \circ \text{snd}) \text{sstls1}') m \sim \text{lnth } (\text{lmap } (\text{fst } \circ \text{snd } \circ \text{snd})$
 $(tl1\text{-to-}tl2 ?s2'' \text{sstls1}')) m$
using $\text{reds } \langle s1'' \approx ?s2'' \rangle$ **by** (rule IH)
 with $Suc \text{sstls1}$ **show** ?thesis **by** (simp del: o-apply)
 qed
next
case $\tau\text{inf-step-table-Nil}$
with $\langle \text{enat } n < \text{llength } (\text{lmap } (\text{fst } \circ \text{snd } \circ \text{snd}) \text{sstls1}) \rangle$ **have** False **by** simp
 thus ?thesis ..
 qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis **by** blast
qed
lemma simulation2- $\tau\text{inf-step}$:
 $\llbracket s2 -\tau 2 -\text{tls2} \rightarrow * \infty; s1 \approx s2 \rrbracket \implies \exists \text{tls1}. s1 -\tau 1 -\text{tls1} \rightarrow * \infty \wedge \text{tls1} [[\sim]] \text{tls2}$
using delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation1- $\tau\text{inf-step}$ [OF delay-bisimulation-diverge-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

```

lemma no- $\tau\text{move1}$ - $\tau s$ -to-no- $\tau\text{move2}$ :
  assumes  $s1 \approx s2$ 
  and no- $\tau\text{moves1}$ :  $\bigwedge s1'. \neg s1 -\tau 1 \rightarrow s1'$ 
  shows  $\exists s2'. s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow * s2' \wedge (\forall s2''. \neg s2' -\tau 2 \rightarrow s2'') \wedge s1 \approx s2'$ 
proof –
  have  $\neg s1 -\tau 1 \rightarrow \infty$ 
  proof
    assume  $s1 -\tau 1 \rightarrow \infty$ 

```

then obtain $s1'$ where $s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} s1'$ by cases
with no- τ -moves1[of $s1'$] show False by contradiction
qed
with $\langle s1 \approx s2 \rangle$ have $\neg s2 \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty$ by(simp add: τ -diverge-bisim-inv)
from trsys2.not- τ -diverge-to-no- τ -move[OF this]
obtain $s2'$ where $s2 \xrightarrow{\tau} s2' \wedge \neg s2' \xrightarrow{\tau} s2''$ by blast
moreover from simulation-silents2[OF $\langle s1 \approx s2 \rangle \langle s2 \xrightarrow{\tau} s2' \rangle$]
obtain $s1'$ where $s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2'$ by blast
from $\langle s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} s1' \rangle$ no- τ -moves1 have $s1' = s1$
by(auto elim: converse-rtranclpE)
ultimately show ?thesis using $\langle s1' \approx s2' \rangle$ by blast
qed

lemma no- τ -move2- τ -s-to-no- τ -move1:
 $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; \bigwedge s2'. \neg s2 \xrightarrow{\tau} s2' \rrbracket \implies \exists s1'. s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} s1' \wedge (\forall s1''. \neg s1' \xrightarrow{\tau} s1'') \wedge s1' \approx s2$
using delay-bisimulation-diverge.no- τ -move1- τ -s-to-no- τ -move2[OF delay-bisimulation-diverge-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma no-move1-to-no-move2:
assumes $s1 \approx s2$
and no-moves1: $\bigwedge tl1 s1'. \neg s1 \xrightarrow{tl1} s1'$
shows $\exists s2'. s2 \xrightarrow{\tau} s2' \wedge (\forall tl2 s2''. \neg s2' \xrightarrow{tl2} s2'')$ and $s1 \approx s2'$
proof –
from no-moves1 have $\bigwedge s1'. \neg s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} s1'$ by(auto)
from no- τ -move1- τ -s-to-no- τ -move2[OF $\langle s1 \approx s2 \rangle$ this]
obtain $s2'$ where $s2 \xrightarrow{\tau} s2' \wedge s1 \approx s2'$
and no- τ -moves2: $\bigwedge s2''. \neg s2' \xrightarrow{\tau} s2''$ by blast
moreover
have $\bigwedge tl2 s2''. \neg s2' \xrightarrow{tl2} s2''$
proof
fix $tl2 s2''$
assume $s2' \xrightarrow{tl2} s2''$
with no- τ -moves2[of $s2'$] have $\neg \tau\text{-move2 } s2' tl2 s2''$ by(auto)
from simulation2[OF $\langle s1 \approx s2 \rangle \langle s2' \xrightarrow{tl2} s2'' \rangle$ this]
obtain $s1' s1'' tl1$ where $s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} s1' \wedge s1' \xrightarrow{tl1} s1''$ by blast
with no-moves1 show False by(fastforce elim: converse-rtranclpE)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma no-move2-to-no-move1:
 $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; \bigwedge tl2 s2'. \neg s2 \xrightarrow{tl2} s2' \rrbracket \implies \exists s1'. s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} s1' \wedge (\forall tl1 s1''. \neg s1' \xrightarrow{tl1} s1'') \wedge s1' \approx s2$
using delay-bisimulation-diverge.no-move1-to-no-move2[OF delay-bisimulation-diverge-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma simulation- τ -Runs-table1:
assumes bisim: $s1 \approx s2$
and run1: trsys1. τ -Runs-table $s1 stlsss1$
shows $\exists stlsss2. trsys2.\tau\text{-Runs-table } s2 stlsss2 \wedge tllist-all2 (\lambda(tl1, s1'') (tl2, s2''). tl1 \sim tl2 \wedge s1'' \approx s2'')$ (rel-option bisim) $stlsss1 stlsss2$
proof(intro exI conjI)
let ?P = $\lambda(s2 :: 's2) (stlsss1 :: ('tl1 \times 's1, 's1 option) tllist) (tl2, s2'')$.

```

 $\exists s2'. s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge s2' -2-tl2 \rightarrow s2'' \wedge \neg \tau move2 s2' tl2 s2'' \wedge snd (thd stlsss1) \approx s2'' \wedge$ 
 $fst (thd stlsss1) \sim tl2$ 
define tls1-to-tls2 where tls1-to-tls2 s2 stlsss1 = unfold-tllist
   $(\lambda(s2, stlsss1). is-TNil stlsss1)$ 
   $(\lambda(s2, stlsss1). map-option (\lambda s1'. SOME s2'. s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge (\forall tl s2''. \neg s2' -2-tl \rightarrow s2'') \wedge$ 
 $s1' \approx s2') (terminal stlsss1))$ 
   $(\lambda(s2, stlsss1). let (tl2, s2'') = Eps (?P s2 stlsss1) in (tl2, s2''))$ 
   $(\lambda(s2, stlsss1). let (tl2, s2'') = Eps (?P s2 stlsss1) in (s2'', ttl stlsss1))$ 
   $(s2, stlsss1)$ 
for s2 stlsss1
have [simp]:
   $\wedge s2 stlsss1. is-TNil (tls1-to-tls2 s2 stlsss1) \leftrightarrow is-TNil stlsss1$ 
   $\wedge s2 stlsss1. is-TNil stlsss1 \implies terminal (tls1-to-tls2 s2 stlsss1) = map-option (\lambda s1'. SOME s2'. s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge (\forall tl s2''. \neg s2' -2-tl \rightarrow s2'') \wedge s1' \approx s2') (terminal stlsss1)$ 
   $\wedge s2 stlsss1. \neg is-TNil stlsss1 \implies thd (tls1-to-tls2 s2 stlsss1) = (let (tl2, s2'') = Eps (?P s2 stlsss1) in (tl2, s2''))$ 
   $\wedge s2 stlsss1. \neg is-TNil stlsss1 \implies ttl (tls1-to-tls2 s2 stlsss1) = (let (tl2, s2'') = Eps (?P s2 stlsss1) in tls1-to-tls2 s2'' (ttl stlsss1))$ 
   $\wedge s2 os1. tls1-to-tls2 s2 (TNil os1) =$ 
     $TNil (map-option (\lambda s1'. SOME s2'. s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge (\forall tl s2''. \neg s2' -2-tl \rightarrow s2'') \wedge s1' \approx s2') os1)$ 
  by (simp-all add: tls1-to-tls2-def split-beta)
have [simp]:
   $\wedge s2 s1 s1' tl1 s1'' stlsss1.$ 
   $tls1-to-tls2 s2 (TCons (tl1, s1'') stlsss1) =$ 
   $(let (tl2, s2'') = SOME (tl2, s2''). \exists s2'. s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge s2' -2-tl2 \rightarrow s2'' \wedge$ 
     $\neg \tau move2 s2' tl2 s2'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2'' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
   $in TCons (tl2, s2'') (tls1-to-tls2 s2'' stlsss1))$ 
  by (rule tllist.expand) (simp-all add: split-beta)

from bisim run1
show trsys2.τRuns-table s2 (tls1-to-tls2 s2 stlsss1)
proof (coinduction arbitrary: s2 s1 stlsss1)
  case (τRuns-table s2 s1 stlsss1)
  note bisim =  $\langle s1 \approx s2 \rangle$ 
  and run1 =  $\langle trsys1.τRuns-table s1 stlsss1 \rangle$ 
  from run1 show ?case
  proof cases
    case (Terminate s1')
    let  $?P = \lambda s2'. s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow* s2' \wedge (\forall tl2 s2''. \neg s2' -2-tl2 \rightarrow s2'') \wedge s1' \approx s2'$ 
    from simulation-silents1[OF bisim ⟨s1 -τ1 →* s1'⟩]
    obtain s2' where  $s2 -\tau 2 \rightarrow* s2'$  and  $s1' \approx s2'$  by blast
    moreover from no-move1-to-no-move2[OF ⟨s1' ≈ s2'⟩ ⟨tl1 s1''. ∼ s1' -1-tl1 → s1''⟩]
    obtain s2'' where  $s2' -\tau 2 \rightarrow* s2''$  and  $s1' \approx s2''$ 
      and  $\wedge tl2 s2''' \sim s2'' -2-tl2 \rightarrow s2'''$  by blast
    ultimately have  $?P s2''$  by (blast intro: rtranclp-trans)
    hence  $?P (Eps ?P)$  by (rule someI)
    hence ?Terminate using  $\langle stlsss1 = TNil [s1'] \rangle$  by simp
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case Diverge
    with τdiverge-bisim-inv[OF bisim]
    have ?Diverge by simp
    thus ?thesis by simp

```

```

next
  case (Proceed  $s1' s1'' stlsss1' tl1$ )
    let ? $P = \lambda(tl2, s2''). \exists s2'. s2 -\tau 2\rightarrow* s2' \wedge s2' -2-tl2\rightarrow s2'' \wedge \neg \tau move2 s2' tl2 s2'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2'' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$ 
  from simulation-silents1[OF bisim  $\langle s1 -\tau 1\rightarrow* s1' \rangle$ ]
  obtain  $s2'$  where  $s2 -\tau 2\rightarrow* s2'$  and  $s1' \approx s2'$  by blast
  moreover from simulation1[OF  $\langle s1' \approx s2' \rangle \langle s1' -1-tl1\rightarrow s1'' \rangle \neg \tau move1 s1' tl1 s1''$ ]
  obtain  $s2'' s2''' tl2$  where  $s2' -\tau 2\rightarrow* s2''$ 
    and  $s2'' -2-tl2\rightarrow s2'''$  and  $\neg \tau move2 s2'' tl2 s2'''$ 
    and  $s1'' \approx s2'''$  and  $tl1 \sim tl2$  by blast
  ultimately have ? $P (tl2, s2''')$  by(blast intro: rtranclp-trans)
  hence ? $P (\text{Eps } ?P)$  by(rule someI)
  hence ? $Proceed$ 
    using  $\langle stlsss1 = TCons (tl1, s1'') stlsss1' \rangle \langle trsys1.\tau Runs-table s1'' stlsss1' \rangle$ 
    by auto blast
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

let ? $Tlsim = \lambda(tl1, s1'') (tl2, s2''). tl1 \sim tl2 \wedge s1'' \approx s2''$ 
let ? $Bisim = \text{rel-option bisim}$ 
from run1 bisim
show tllist-all2 ? $Tlsim$  ? $Bisim$  stlsss1 (tls1-to-tls2  $s2$  stlsss1)
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s1 s2 stlsss1)
  case (tllist-all2  $s1 s2 stlsss1$ )
  note  $Runs = \langle trsys1.\tau Runs-table s1 stlsss1 \rangle$  and  $bisim = \langle s1 \approx s2 \rangle$ 
  from Runs show ?case
  proof cases
    case (Terminate  $s1'$ )
      let ? $P = \lambda s2'. s2 -\tau 2\rightarrow* s2' \wedge (\forall tl2 s2''. \neg s2' -2-tl2\rightarrow s2'') \wedge s1' \approx s2'$ 
      from simulation-silents1[OF bisim  $\langle s1 -\tau 1\rightarrow* s1' \rangle$ ]
      obtain  $s2'$  where  $s2 -\tau 2\rightarrow* s2'$  and  $s1' \approx s2'$  by blast
      moreover
        from no-move1-to-no-move2[OF  $\langle s1' \approx s2' \rangle \langle \bigwedge tl1 s1'' \neg s1' -1-tl1\rightarrow s1'' \rangle$ ]
        obtain  $s2''$  where  $s2' -\tau 2\rightarrow* s2''$  and  $s1' \approx s2''$ 
          and  $\bigwedge tl2 s2''' \neg s2'' -2-tl2\rightarrow s2'''$  by blast
        ultimately have ? $P s2''$  by(blast intro: rtranclp-trans)
        hence ? $P (\text{Eps } ?P)$  by(rule someI)
        thus ?thesis using  $\langle stlsss1 = TNil [s1'] \rangle bisim$  by(simp)
    next
      case (Proceed  $s1' s1'' stlsss1' tl1$ )
        from simulation-silents1[OF bisim  $\langle s1 -\tau 1\rightarrow* s1' \rangle$ ]
        obtain  $s2'$  where  $s2 -\tau 2\rightarrow* s2'$  and  $s1' \approx s2'$  by blast
        moreover from simulation1[OF  $\langle s1' \approx s2' \rangle \langle s1' -1-tl1\rightarrow s1'' \rangle \neg \tau move1 s1' tl1 s1''$ ]
        obtain  $s2'' s2''' tl2$  where  $s2' -\tau 2\rightarrow* s2''$ 
          and  $s2'' -2-tl2\rightarrow s2'''$  and  $\neg \tau move2 s2'' tl2 s2'''$ 
          and  $s1'' \approx s2'''$  and  $tl1 \sim tl2$  by blast
        ultimately have ? $P s2 stlsss1 (tl2, s2''')$ 
          using  $\langle stlsss1 = TCons (tl1, s1'') stlsss1' \rangle$  by(auto intro: rtranclp-trans)
        hence ? $P s2 stlsss1 (\text{Eps } (?P s2 stlsss1))$  by(rule someI)
        thus ?thesis using  $\langle stlsss1 = TCons (tl1, s1'') stlsss1' \rangle \langle trsys1.\tau Runs-table s1'' stlsss1' \rangle bisim$ 
          by auto blast
      qed simp
qed

```

qed

lemma *simulation- τ Runs-table2*:

assumes $s1 \approx s2$
and $\text{trsys2}.\tau\text{Runs-table } s2 \text{ stlsss2}$
shows $\exists \text{stlsss1}. \text{trsys1}.\tau\text{Runs-table } s1 \text{ stlsss1} \wedge \text{tllist-all2 } (\lambda(\text{tl1}, s1'') (\text{tl2}, s2''). \text{tl1} \sim \text{tl2} \wedge s1'' \approx s2'') \text{ (rel-option bisim) stlsss1 stlsss2}$
using *delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation- τ Runs-table1*[OF *delay-bisimulation-diverge-flip*, unfolded *flip-simps*, OF *assms*]
by(*subst tllist-all2-flip[symmetric]*)(*simp only: flip-def split-def*)

lemma *simulation- τ Runs1*:

assumes *bisim*: $s1 \approx s2$
and $\text{run1}: s1 \Downarrow_1 \text{tls1}$
shows $\exists \text{tls2}. s2 \Downarrow_2 \text{tls2} \wedge \text{tllist-all2 } \text{tlsim } (\text{rel-option bisim}) \text{ tls1 tls2}$
proof –

from *trsys1.τRuns-into-τRuns-table*[OF *run1*]
obtain *stlsss1* **where** $\text{tls1}: \text{tls1} = \text{tmap fst id stlsss1}$
and $\tau\text{Runs1}: \text{trsys1}.\tau\text{Runs-table } s1 \text{ stlsss1}$ **by** *blast*
from *simulation- τ Runs-table1*[OF *bisim τRuns1*]
obtain *stlsss2* **where** $\tau\text{Runs2}: \text{trsys2}.\tau\text{Runs-table } s2 \text{ stlsss2}$
and $\text{tlsim}: \text{tllist-all2 } (\lambda(\text{tl1}, s1'') (\text{tl2}, s2''). \text{tl1} \sim \text{tl2} \wedge s1'' \approx s2'') \text{ (rel-option bisim) stlsss1 stlsss2}$ **by** *blast*
from τRuns2 **have** $s2 \Downarrow_2 \text{tmap fst id stlsss2}$
by(rule *τRuns-table-into-τRuns*)
moreover have *tllist-all2 tlsim* (rel-option bisim) $\text{tls1 } (\text{tmap fst id stlsss2})$
using *tlsim unfolding tls1*
by(*fastforce simp add: tllist-all2-tmap1 tllist-all2-tmap2 elim: tllist-all2-mono rel-option-mono*)
ultimately show ?*thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *simulation- τ Runs2*:

$\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s2 \Downarrow_2 \text{tls2} \rrbracket \implies \exists \text{tls1}. s1 \Downarrow_1 \text{tls1} \wedge \text{tllist-all2 } \text{tlsim } (\text{rel-option bisim}) \text{ tls1 tls2}$

using *delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation- τ Runs1*[OF *delay-bisimulation-diverge-flip*]
unfolding *flip-simps*.

end

locale *delay-bisimulation-final-base* =
delay-bisimulation-base - - - $\tau\text{move1 } \tau\text{move2} +$
bisimulation-final-base - - - *final1 final2*
for $\tau\text{move1} :: ('s1, 'tl1) \text{trsys}$
and $\tau\text{move2} :: ('s2, 'tl2) \text{trsys}$
and *final1* :: '*s1* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *final2* :: '*s2* \Rightarrow *bool* +
assumes *final1-simulation*: $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; \text{final1 } s1 \rrbracket \implies \exists s2'. s2 \dashv\rightarrow s2' \wedge s1 \approx s2' \wedge \text{final2 } s2'$
and *final2-simulation*: $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; \text{final2 } s2 \rrbracket \implies \exists s1'. s1 \dashv\rightarrow s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2 \wedge \text{final1 } s1'$
begin

lemma *delay-bisimulation-final-base-flip*:

delay-bisimulation-final-base $\text{trsys2 trsys1 } (\text{flip bisim}) \tau\text{move2 } \tau\text{move1 final2 final1}$
apply(*unfold-locales*)
apply(*unfold flip-simps*)

```

by(blast intro: final1-simulation final2-simulation)+

end

lemma delay-bisimulation-final-base-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
  delay-bisimulation-final-base trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) τmove2 τmove1 final2 final1 =
  delay-bisimulation-final-base trsys1 trsys2 bisim τmove1 τmove2 final1 final2
by(auto dest: delay-bisimulation-final-base.delay-bisimulation-final-base-flip simp only: flip-flip)

context delay-bisimulation-final-base begin

lemma τRuns-terminate-final1:
  assumes s1 ↓1 tls1
  and s2 ↓2 tls2
  and tllist-all2 tlsim (rel-option bisim) tls1 tls2
  and tfinite tls1
  and terminal tls1 = Some s1'
  and final1 s1'
  shows ∃ s2'. tfinite tls2 ∧ terminal tls2 = Some s2' ∧ final2 s2'
using assms(4) assms(1–3,5–)
apply(induct arbitrary: tls2 s1 s2 rule: tfinite-induct)
apply(auto 4 4 simp add: tllist-all2-TCons1 tllist-all2-TNil1 option-rel-Some1 trsys1.τRuns-simps
trsys2.τRuns-simps dest: final1-simulation elim: converse-rtranclpE)
done

lemma τRuns-terminate-final2:
  [| s1 ↓1 tls1; s2 ↓2 tls2; tllist-all2 tlsim (rel-option bisim) tls1 tls2;
  tfinite tls2; terminal tls2 = Some s2'; final2 s2' |]
  ==> ∃ s1'. tfinite tls1 ∧ terminal tls1 = Some s1' ∧ final1 s1'
using delay-bisimulation-final-base.τRuns-terminate-final1[where tlsim = flip tlsim, OF delay-bisimulation-final-base]
unfolding flip-simps by –

end

locale delay-bisimulation-diverge-final =
  delay-bisimulation-diverge +
  delay-bisimulation-final-base +
  constrains trsys1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
  and trsys2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys
  and bisim :: ('s1, 's2) bisim
  and tlsim :: ('tl1, 'tl2) bisim
  and τmove1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
  and τmove2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys
  and final1 :: 's1 ⇒ bool
  and final2 :: 's2 ⇒ bool
begin

lemma delay-bisimulation-diverge-final-flip:
  delay-bisimulation-diverge-final trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsim) τmove2 τmove1 final2 final1
apply(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-final.intro)
apply(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-flip)
apply(unfold-locales, unfold flip-simps)
apply(blast intro: final1-simulation final2-simulation)+
done

```

end

lemma *delay-bisimulation-diverge-final-flip-simps* [*flip-simps*]:
delay-bisimulation-diverge-final trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsm) τmove2 τmove1 final2 final1 =
delay-bisimulation-diverge-final trsys1 trsys2 bisim tlsm τmove1 τmove2 final1 final2
by(*auto dest: delay-bisimulation-diverge-final.delay-bisimulation-diverge-final-flip simp only: flip-flip*)

context *delay-bisimulation-diverge-final* **begin**

lemma *delay-bisimulation-diverge*:
delay-bisimulation-diverge trsys1 trsys2 bisim tlsm τmove1 τmove2
by(*unfold-locales*)

lemma *delay-bisimulation-final-base*:
delay-bisimulation-final-base trsys1 trsys2 bisim τmove1 τmove2 final1 final2
by(*unfold-locales*)

lemma *final-simulation1*:
 $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s1 -\tau_1-\text{tls}_1 \rightarrow^* s1'; \text{final}_1 s1' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists s2' \text{tls}_2. s2 -\tau_2-\text{tls}_2 \rightarrow^* s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge \text{final}_2 s2' \wedge \text{tls}_1 [\sim] \text{tls}_2$
by(*blast dest: simulation1-τrtrancl3p final1-simulation intro: τrtrancl3p-trans[OF - silent-moves-into-τrtrancl3p, simplified])*

lemma *final-simulation2*:
 $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s2 -\tau_2-\text{tls}_2 \rightarrow^* s2'; \text{final}_2 s2' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists s1' \text{tls}_1. s1 -\tau_1-\text{tls}_1 \rightarrow^* s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2' \wedge \text{final}_1 s1' \wedge \text{tls}_1 [\sim] \text{tls}_2$
by(*rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-final.final-simulation1[OF delay-bisimulation-diverge-final-flip, unfolded flip-simps]*)

end

locale *delay-bisimulation-measure-base* =
delay-bisimulation-base +
constrains *trsys1 :: 's1 ⇒ 'tl1 ⇒ 's1 ⇒ bool*
and *trsys2 :: 's2 ⇒ 'tl2 ⇒ 's2 ⇒ bool*
and *bisim :: 's1 ⇒ 's2 ⇒ bool*
and *tlsm :: 'tl1 ⇒ 'tl2 ⇒ bool*
and *τmove1 :: 's1 ⇒ 'tl1 ⇒ 's1 ⇒ bool*
and *τmove2 :: 's2 ⇒ 'tl2 ⇒ 's2 ⇒ bool*
fixes *μ1 :: 's1 ⇒ 's1 ⇒ bool*
and *μ2 :: 's2 ⇒ 's2 ⇒ bool*

locale *delay-bisimulation-measure* =
delay-bisimulation-measure-base - - - τmove1 τmove2 μ1 μ2 +
delay-bisimulation-obs trsys1 trsys2 bisim tlsm τmove1 τmove2
for *τmove1 :: 's1 ⇒ 'tl1 ⇒ 's1 ⇒ bool*
and *τmove2 :: 's2 ⇒ 'tl2 ⇒ 's2 ⇒ bool*
and *μ1 :: 's1 ⇒ 's1 ⇒ bool*
and *μ2 :: 's2 ⇒ 's2 ⇒ bool +*
assumes *simulation-silent1*:
 $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s1 -\tau_1 \rightarrow s1' \rrbracket \implies s1' \approx s2 \wedge \mu1 \hat{+} s1' s1 \vee (\exists s2'. s2 -\tau_2 \rightarrow s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2')$
and *simulation-silent2*:
 $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s2 -\tau_2 \rightarrow s2' \rrbracket \implies s1 \approx s2' \wedge \mu2 \hat{+} s2' s2 \vee (\exists s1'. s1 -\tau_1 \rightarrow s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2')$

```

and wf-μ1: wfP μ1
and wf-μ2: wfP μ2
begin

lemma delay-bisimulation-measure-flip:
  delay-bisimulation-measure trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tbsim) τmove2 τmove1 μ2 μ1
apply(rule delay-bisimulation-measure.intro)
apply(rule delay-bisimulation-obs-flip)
apply(unfold-locales)
apply(unfold flip-simps)
apply(rule simulation-silent1 simulation-silent2 wf-μ1 wf-μ2|assumption)++
done

end

lemma delay-bisimulation-measure-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
  delay-bisimulation-measure trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tbsim) τmove2 τmove1 μ2 μ1 =
  delay-bisimulation-measure trsys1 trsys2 bisim tbsim τmove1 τmove2 μ1 μ2
by(auto dest: delay-bisimulation-measure.delay-bisimulation-measure-flip simp only: flip-simps)

context delay-bisimulation-measure begin

lemma simulation-silentst1:
  assumes bisim: s1 ≈ s2 and moves: s1 −τ1→+ s1'
  shows s1' ≈ s2 ∧ μ1++ s1' s1 ∨ (exists s2'. s2 −τ2→+ s2' ∧ s1' ≈ s2')
using moves bisim
proof induct
  case (base s1') thus ?case by(auto dest: simulation-silent1)
next
  case (step s1' s1'')
  hence s1' ≈ s2 ∧ μ1++ s1' s1 ∨ (exists s2'. s2 −τ2→+ s2' ∧ s1' ≈ s2') by blast
  thus ?case
    proof
      assume s1' ≈ s2 ∧ μ1++ s1' s1
      hence s1' ≈ s2 μ1++ s1' s1 by simp-all
      with simulation-silent1[OF ⟨s1' ≈ s2⟩ ⟨s1' −τ1→ s1''⟩]
      show ?thesis by(auto)
    next
      assume ∃s2'. trsys2.silent-move++ s2 s2' ∧ s1' ≈ s2'
      then obtain s2' where s2 −τ2→+ s2' s1' ≈ s2' by blast
      with simulation-silent1[OF ⟨s1' ≈ s2'⟩ ⟨s1' −τ1→ s1''⟩]
      show ?thesis by(auto intro: tranclp-trans)
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma simulation-silentst2:
  [ s1 ≈ s2; s2 −τ2→+ s2' ] ==> s1 ≈ s2' ∧ μ2++ s2' s2 ∨ (exists s1'. s1 −τ1→+ s1' ∧ s1' ≈ s2')
using delay-bisimulation-measure.simulation-silentst1[OF delay-bisimulation-measure-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma τdiverge-simulation1:
  assumes diverge1: s1 −τ1→ ∞
  and bisim: s1 ≈ s2
  shows s2 −τ2→ ∞

```

```

proof -
  from assms have  $s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty \wedge s1 \approx s2$  by blast
  thus ?thesis using wfP-trancl[OF wf-μ1]
  proof(coinduct rule: trsys2.τdiverge-trancl-measure-coinduct)
    case ( $\tau$ diverge  $s2 s1$ )
    hence  $s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty \wedge s1 \approx s2$  by simp-all
    then obtain  $s1'$  where trsys1.silent-move  $s1 s1' s1' \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty$ 
      by(fastforce elim: trsys1.τdiverge.cases)
    from simulation-silent1[OF ⟨ $s1 \approx s2$ ⟩ ⟨trsys1.silent-move  $s1 s1'$ ⟩] ⟨ $s1' \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty$ ⟩
    show ?case by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma τdiverge-simulation2:
  [  $s2 \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty; s1 \approx s2$  ]  $\implies s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty$ 
using delay-bisimulation-measure.τdiverge-simulation1[OF delay-bisimulation-measure-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

```

```

lemma τdiverge-bisim-inv:
   $s1 \approx s2 \implies s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty \longleftrightarrow s2 \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty$ 
by(blast intro: τdiverge-simulation1 τdiverge-simulation2)

```

end

sublocale delay-bisimulation-measure < delay-bisimulation-diverge

```

proof
  fix  $s1 s2 s1'$ 
  assume  $s1 \approx s2$ 
  from simulation-silent1[OF this]
  show  $\exists s2'. s2 \xrightarrow{\tau} s2' \wedge s1' \approx s2'$  by(auto intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)
next
  fix  $s1 s2 s2'$ 
  assume  $s1 \approx s2$ 
  from simulation-silent2[OF this]
  show  $\exists s1'. s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2'$  by(auto intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)

```

```

next
  fix  $s1 s2$ 
  assume  $s1 \approx s2$ 
  thus  $s1 \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty \longleftrightarrow s2 \xrightarrow{\tau} \infty$  by(rule τdiverge-bisim-inv)
qed

```

Counter example for delay-bisimulation-diverge trsys1 trsys2 bisim tlsim τmove1 τmove2
 $\implies \exists \mu_1 \mu_2.$ delay-bisimulation-measure trsys1 trsys2 bisim tlsim τmove1 τmove2 μ1 μ2
 (only τmoves):

```

--|
| v
--a ~ x
| |
| |
v v
--b ~ y--
| ^ | ^
--| | --

```

```

locale delay-bisimulation-measure-final =
  delay-bisimulation-measure +
  delay-bisimulation-final-base +
  constrains trsys1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
  and trsys2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys
  and bisim :: ('s1, 's2) bisim
  and tlsm :: ('tl1, 'tl2) tlsm
  and τmove1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
  and τmove2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys
  and μ1 :: 's1 ⇒ 's1 ⇒ bool
  and μ2 :: 's2 ⇒ 's2 ⇒ bool
  and final1 :: 's1 ⇒ bool
  and final2 :: 's2 ⇒ bool

sublocale delay-bisimulation-measure-final < delay-bisimulation-diverge-final
by unfold-locales

locale τinv = delay-bisimulation-base +
  constrains trsys1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
  and trsys2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys
  and bisim :: ('s1, 's2) bisim
  and tlsm :: ('tl1, 'tl2) tlsm
  and τmove1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
  and τmove2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys
  and τmoves1 :: 's1 ⇒ 's1 ⇒ bool
  and τmoves2 :: 's2 ⇒ 's2 ⇒ bool
  assumes τinv: [ s1 ≈ s2; s1 -1-tl1 → s1'; s2 -2-tl2 → s2'; s1' ≈ s2'; tl1 ~ tl2 ]
    ⇒ τmove1 s1 tl1 s1' ←→ τmove2 s2 tl2 s2'
begin

lemma τinv-flip:
  τinv trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsm) τmove2 τmove1
by(unfold-locales)(unfold flip-simps,rule τinv[symmetric])

end

lemma τinv-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
  τinv trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsm) τmove2 τmove1 = τinv trsys1 trsys2 bisim tlsm τmove1
  τmove2
by(auto dest: τinv.τinv-flip simp only: flip-simps)

locale bisimulation-into-delay =
  bisimulation + τinv +
  constrains trsys1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
  and trsys2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys
  and bisim :: ('s1, 's2) bisim
  and tlsm :: ('tl1, 'tl2) tlsm
  and τmove1 :: ('s1, 'tl1) trsys
  and τmove2 :: ('s2, 'tl2) trsys
begin

lemma bisimulation-into-delay-flip:
  bisimulation-into-delay trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsm) τmove2 τmove1
by(intro-locales)(intro bisimulation-flip τinv-flip)+
```

end

lemma *bisimulation-into-delay-flip-simps* [*flip-simps*]:
bisimulation-into-delay *trsys2 trsys1 (flip bisim) (flip tlsm)* $\tau move2 \tau move1 =$
bisimulation-into-delay *trsys1 trsys2 bisim tlsm* $\tau move1 \tau move2$
by(*auto dest: bisimulation-into-delay.bisimulation-into-delay-flip simp only: flip-simps*)

context *bisimulation-into-delay* **begin**

lemma *simulation-silent1-aux*:
assumes *bisim: s1 ≈ s2 and s1 -τ1→ s1'*
shows *s1' ≈ s2 ∧ μ1++ s1' s1 ∨ (exists s2'. s2 -τ2→+ s2' ∧ s1' ≈ s2')*

proof –

from assms obtain *tl1 where tr1: s1 -1-tl1→ s1'*
and *τ1: τmove1 s1 tl1 s1' by(auto)*
from simulation1[OF bisim tr1]
obtain *s2' tl2 where tr2: s2 -2-tl2→ s2'*
and *bisim': s1' ≈ s2' and tlsm: tl1 ~ tl2 by blast*
from τinv[OF bisim tr1 tr2 bisim' tlsm] τ1 have *τ2: τmove2 s2 tl2 s2' by simp*
from tr2 τ2 have *s2 -τ2→+ s2' by(auto)*
with bisim' show ?thesis by blast

qed

lemma *simulation-silent2-aux*:
 $\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s2 -\tau2\rightarrow s2' \rrbracket \implies s1 \approx s2' \wedge \mu2^{++} s2' s2 \vee (\exists s1'. s1 -\tau1\rightarrow+ s1' \wedge s1' \approx s2')$
using *bisimulation-into-delay.simulation-silent1-aux[OF bisimulation-into-delay-flip]*
unfolding *flip-simps*.

lemma *simulation1-aux*:

assumes *bisim: s1 ≈ s2 and tr1: s1 -1-tl1→ s1' and τ1: ¬τmove1 s1 tl1 s1'*
shows *exists s2' s2'' tl2. s2 -τ2→* s2' ∧ s2' -2-tl2→ s2'' ∧ ¬τmove2 s2' tl2 s2'' ∧ s1' ≈ s2'' ∧ tl1 ~ tl2*
proof –
from simulation1[OF bisim tr1]
obtain *s2' tl2 where tr2: s2 -2-tl2→ s2'*
and *bisim': s1' ≈ s2' and tlsm: tl1 ~ tl2 by blast*
from τinv[OF bisim tr1 tr2 bisim' tlsm] τ1 have *τ2: ¬τmove2 s2 tl2 s2' by simp*
with bisim' tr2 tlsm show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma *simulation2-aux*:

$\llbracket s1 \approx s2; s2 -2-tl2\rightarrow s2'; \neg \taumove2 s2 tl2 s2' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists s1' s1'' tl1. s1 -\tau1\rightarrow* s1' \wedge s1' -1-tl1\rightarrow s1'' \wedge \neg \taumove1 s1' tl1 s1'' \wedge s1'' \approx s2' \wedge tl1 \sim tl2$
using *bisimulation-into-delay.simulation1-aux[OF bisimulation-into-delay-flip]*
unfolding *flip-simps*.

lemma *delay-bisimulation-measure*:

assumes *wf-μ1: wfP μ1*
and *wf-μ2: wfP μ2*
shows *delay-bisimulation-measure trsys1 trsys2 bisim tlsm* $\tau move1 \tau move2 \mu1 \mu2$
apply(unfold-locales)
apply(rule simulation-silent1-aux simulation-silent2-aux simulation1-aux simulation2-aux wf-μ1 wf-μ2|assumption)+

done

```

lemma delay-bisimulation:
  delay-bisimulation-diverge trsyst1 trsyst2 bisim tlsm  $\tau move_1 \tau move_2$ 
proof -
  interpret delay-bisimulation-measure trsyst1 trsyst2 bisim tlsm  $\tau move_1 \tau move_2 \lambda s s'. False \lambda s s'$ .
  False
  by(blast intro: delay-bisimulation-measure wfP-empty)
  show ?thesis ..
qed

end

sublocale bisimulation-into-delay < delay-bisimulation-diverge
by(rule delay-bisimulation)

lemma delay-bisimulation-conv-bisimulation:
  delay-bisimulation-diverge trsyst1 trsyst2 bisim tlsm  $(\lambda s tl s'. False) (\lambda s tl s'. False) =$ 
  bisimulation trsyst1 trsyst2 bisim tlsm
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs
  then interpret delay-bisimulation-diverge trsyst1 trsyst2 bisim tlsm  $\lambda s tl s'. False \lambda s tl s'. False$ .
  show ?rhs by(unfold-locales)(fastforce simp add:  $\tau moves$ -False dest: simulation1 simulation2)+

next
  assume ?rhs
  then interpret bisimulation trsyst1 trsyst2 bisim tlsm .
  interpret bisimulation-into-delay trsyst1 trsyst2 bisim tlsm  $\lambda s tl s'. False \lambda s tl s'. False$ 
  by(unfold-locales)(rule refl)
  show ?lhs by unfold-locales
qed

```

context bisimulation-final **begin**

```

lemma delay-bisimulation-final-base:
  delay-bisimulation-final-base trsyst1 trsyst2 bisim  $\tau move_1 \tau move_2 final_1 final_2$ 
by(unfold-locales)(auto simp add: bisim-final)

end

```

sublocale bisimulation-final < delay-bisimulation-final-base
by(rule delay-bisimulation-final-base)

1.17.3 Transitivity for bisimulations

definition bisim-compose :: $('s_1, 's_2) bisim \Rightarrow ('s_2, 's_3) bisim \Rightarrow ('s_1, 's_3) bisim$ (**infixr** \circ_B 60)
where $(bisim1 \circ_B bisim2) s_1 s_3 \equiv \exists s_2. bisim1 s_1 s_2 \wedge bisim2 s_2 s_3$

```

lemma bisim-composeI [intro]:
   $\llbracket bisim12 s_1 s_2; bisim23 s_2 s_3 \rrbracket \implies (bisim12 \circ_B bisim23) s_1 s_3$ 
by(auto simp add: bisim-compose-def)

```

```

lemma bisim-composeE [elim!]:
  assumes bisim:  $(bisim12 \circ_B bisim23) s_1 s_3$ 

```

```

obtains s2 where bisim12 s1 s2 bisim23 s2 s3
by(atomic-elim)(rule bisim[unfolded bisim-compose-def])

lemma bisim-compose-assoc [simp]:
  (bisim12 o_B bisim23) o_B bisim34 = bisim12 o_B bisim23 o_B bisim34
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma bisim-compose-conv-relcomp:
  case-prod (bisim-compose bisim12 bisim23) = (λx. x ∈ relcomp (Collect (case-prod bisim12)) (Collect (case-prod bisim23)))
by(auto simp add: relcomp-unfold)

lemma list-all2-bisim-composeI:
  [[ list-all2 A xs ys; list-all2 B ys zs ]]
  ==> list-all2 (A o_B B) xs zs
by(rule list-all2-trans) auto+

lemma delay-bisimulation-diverge-compose:
  assumes wbisim12: delay-bisimulation-diverge trsys1 trsys2 bisim12 tlsim12 τmove1 τmove2
  and wbisim23: delay-bisimulation-diverge trsys2 trsys3 bisim23 tlsim23 τmove2 τmove3
  shows delay-bisimulation-diverge trsys1 trsys3 (bisim12 o_B bisim23) (tlsim12 o_B tlsim23) τmove1 τmove3
proof -
  interpret trsys1: τtrsys trsys1 τmove1 .
  interpret trsys2: τtrsys trsys2 τmove2 .
  interpret trsys3: τtrsys trsys3 τmove3 .
  interpret wb12: delay-bisimulation-diverge trsys1 trsys2 bisim12 tlsim12 τmove1 τmove2 by(auto intro: wbisim12)
  interpret wb23: delay-bisimulation-diverge trsys2 trsys3 bisim23 tlsim23 τmove2 τmove3 by(auto intro: wbisim23)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix s1 s3 s1'
    assume bisim: (bisim12 o_B bisim23) s1 s3 and tr1: trsys1.silent-move s1 s1'
    from bisim obtain s2 where bisim1: bisim12 s1 s2 and bisim2: bisim23 s2 s3 by blast
    from wb12.simulation-silent1[OF bisim1 tr1] obtain s2'
      where tr2: trsys2.silent-moves s2 s2' and bisim1': bisim12 s1' s2' by blast
      from wb23.simulation-silents1[OF bisim2 tr2] obtain s3'
        where tr3: trsys3.silent-moves s3 s3' bisim23 s2' s3' by blast
        with bisim1' show ∃s3'. trsys3.silent-moves s3 s3' ∧ (bisim12 o_B bisim23) s1' s3'
          by(blast intro: bisim-composeI)
  next
    fix s1 s3 s3'
    assume bisim: (bisim12 o_B bisim23) s1 s3 and tr3: trsys3.silent-move s3 s3'
    from bisim obtain s2 where bisim1: bisim12 s1 s2 and bisim2: bisim23 s2 s3 by blast
    from wb23.simulation-silent2[OF bisim2 tr3] obtain s2'
      where tr2: trsys2.silent-moves s2 s2' and bisim2': bisim23 s2' s3' by blast
      from wb12.simulation-silents2[OF bisim1 tr2] obtain s1'
        where tr1: trsys1.silent-moves s1 s1' bisim12 s1' s2' by blast
        with bisim2' show ∃s1'. trsys1.silent-moves s1 s1' ∧ (bisim12 o_B bisim23) s1' s3'
          by(blast intro: bisim-composeI)
  next
    fix s1 s3 tl1 s1'
    assume bisim: (bisim12 o_B bisim23) s1 s3

```

```

and tr1: trsys1 s1 tl1 s1' and  $\tau_1: \neg \tau move1 s1 tl1 s1'$ 
from bisim obtain s2 where bisim1: bisim12 s1 s2 and bisim2: bisim23 s2 s3 by blast
from wb12.simulation1[OF bisim1 tr1  $\tau_1$ ] obtain s2' s2'' tl2
where tr21: trsys2.silent-moves s2 s2' and tr22: trsys2 s2' tl2 s2'' and  $\tau_2: \neg \tau move2 s2' tl2$ 
s2'' and bisim1': bisim12 s1' s2'' and tlsim1: tlsim12 tl1 tl2 by blast
from wb23.simulation-silents1[OF bisim2 tr21] obtain s3'
where tr31: trsys3.silent-moves s3 s3' and bisim2': bisim23 s2' s3' by blast
from wb23.simulation1[OF bisim2' tr22  $\tau_2$ ] obtain s3'' s3''' tl3
where trsys3.silent-moves s3' s3'' trsys3 s3'' tl3 s3'''
 $\neg \tau move3 s3'' tl3 s3'''$  bisim23 s2'' s3''' tlsim23 tl2 tl3 by blast
with tr31 bisim1' tlsim1
show  $\exists s3' s3'' tl3. \text{trsys3.silent-moves } s3 s3' \wedge \text{trsys3 } s3' tl3 s3'' \wedge \neg \tau move3 s3' tl3 s3'' \wedge$ 
(bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23) s1' s3''  $\wedge (\text{tlsim12 } \circ_B \text{ tlsim23}) tl1 tl3$ 
by(blast intro: rtranclp-trans bisim-composeI)
next
fix s1 s3 tl3 s3'
assume bisim: (bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23) s1 s3
and tr3: trsys3 s3 tl3 s3' and  $\tau_3: \neg \tau move3 s3 tl3 s3'$ 
from bisim obtain s2 where bisim1: bisim12 s1 s2 and bisim2: bisim23 s2 s3 by blast
from wb23.simulation2[OF bisim2 tr3  $\tau_3$ ] obtain s2' s2'' tl2
where tr21: trsys2.silent-moves s2 s2' and tr22: trsys2 s2' tl2 s2'' and  $\tau_2: \neg \tau move2 s2' tl2$ 
s2'' and bisim2': bisim23 s2'' s3' and tlsim2: tlsim23 tl2 tl3 by blast
from wb12.simulation-silents2[OF bisim1 tr21] obtain s1'
where tr11: trsys1.silent-moves s1 s1' and bisim1': bisim12 s1' s2' by blast
from wb12.simulation2[OF bisim1' tr22  $\tau_2$ ] obtain s1'' s1''' tl1
where trsys1.silent-moves s1' s1'' trsys1 s1'' tl1 s1'''
 $\neg \tau move1 s1'' tl1 s1'''$  bisim12 s1''' s2'' tlsim12 tl1 tl2 by blast
with tr11 bisim2' tlsim2
show  $\exists s1' s1'' tl1. \text{trsys1.silent-moves } s1 s1' \wedge \text{trsys1 } s1' tl1 s1'' \wedge \neg \tau move1 s1' tl1 s1'' \wedge$ 
(bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23) s1'' s3'  $\wedge (\text{tlsim12 } \circ_B \text{ tlsim23}) tl1 tl3$ 
by(blast intro: rtranclp-trans bisim-composeI)
next
fix s1 s2
assume (bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23) s1 s2
thus  $\tau \text{trsys.} \tau \text{diverge } \text{trsys1 } \tau move1 s1 = \tau \text{trsys.} \tau \text{diverge } \text{trsys3 } \tau move3 s2$ 
by(auto simp add: wb12. $\tau$ diverge-bisim-inv wb23. $\tau$ diverge-bisim-inv)
qed
qed

lemma bisimulation-bisim-compose:
 $\llbracket \text{bisimulation } \text{trsys1 } \text{trsys2 } \text{bisim12 } \text{tlsim12}; \text{bisimulation } \text{trsys2 } \text{trsys3 } \text{bisim23 } \text{tlsim23} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{bisimulation } \text{trsys1 } \text{trsys3 } (\text{bisim-compose } \text{bisim12 } \text{bisim23}) (\text{bisim-compose } \text{tlsim12 } \text{tlsim23})$ 
unfolding delay-bisimulation-conv-bisimulation[symmetric]
by(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-compose)

lemma delay-bisimulation-diverge-final-compose:
fixes  $\tau move1 \tau move2$ 
assumes wbisim12: delay-bisimulation-diverge-final trsys1 trsys2 bisim12 tlsim12  $\tau move1 \tau move2$  final1 final2
and wbisim23: delay-bisimulation-diverge-final trsys2 trsys3 bisim23 tlsim23  $\tau move2 \tau move3$  final2 final3
shows delay-bisimulation-diverge-final trsys1 trsys3 (bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23) (tlsim12  $\circ_B$  tlsim23)

```

```

 $\tau move1 \tau move3 final1 final3$ 
proof -
  interpret trsys1:  $\tau trsys$  trsys1  $\tau move1$  .
  interpret trsys2:  $\tau trsys$  trsys2  $\tau move2$  .
  interpret trsys3:  $\tau trsys$  trsys3  $\tau move3$  .
  interpret wb12: delay-bisimulation-diverge-final trsys1 trsys2 bisim12 tbsim12  $\tau move1 \tau move2 final1$ 
  final2
    by(auto intro: wbisim12)
  interpret wb23: delay-bisimulation-diverge-final trsys2 trsys3 bisim23 tbsim23  $\tau move2 \tau move3 final2$ 
  final3
    by(auto intro: wbisim23)
  interpret delay-bisimulation-diverge trsys1 trsys3 bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23 tbsim12  $\circ_B$  tbsim23  $\tau move1$ 
   $\tau move3$ 
    by(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-compose)(unfold-locales)
  show ?thesis
proof
  fix s1 s3
  assume (bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23) s1 s3 final1 s1
  from <(bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23) s1 s3> obtain s2 where bisim12 s1 s2 and bisim23 s2 s3 ..
  from wb12.final1-simulation[OF <bisim12 s1 s2> <final1 s1>]
  obtain s2' where trsys2.silent-moves s2 s2' bisim12 s1 s2' final2 s2' by blast
  from wb23.simulation-silents1[OF <bisim23 s2 s3> <trsys2.silent-moves s2 s2'>]
  obtain s3' where trsys3.silent-moves s3 s3' bisim23 s2' s3' by blast
  from wb23.final1-simulation[OF <bisim23 s2' s3'> <final2 s2'>]
  obtain s3'' where trsys3.silent-moves s3' s3'' bisim23 s2' s3'' final3 s3'' by blast
  from <trsys3.silent-moves s3 s3'> <trsys3.silent-moves s3' s3''>
  have trsys3.silent-moves s3 s3'' by(rule rtranclp-trans)
  moreover from <bisim12 s1 s2'> <bisim23 s2' s3''>
  have (bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23) s1 s3'' ..
  ultimately show  $\exists s3'. trsys3.silent-moves s3 s3' \wedge (bisim12 \circ_B bisim23) s1 s3' \wedge final3 s3'$ 
    using <final3 s3''> by iprover
next
  fix s1 s3
  assume (bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23) s1 s3 final3 s3
  from <(bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23) s1 s3> obtain s2 where bisim12 s1 s2 and bisim23 s2 s3 ..
  from wb23.final2-simulation[OF <bisim23 s2 s3> <final3 s3>]
  obtain s2' where trsys2.silent-moves s2 s2' bisim23 s2' s3 final2 s2' by blast
  from wb12.simulation-silents2[OF <bisim12 s1 s2> <trsys2.silent-moves s2 s2'>]
  obtain s1' where trsys1.silent-moves s1 s1' bisim12 s1' s2' by blast
  from wb12.final2-simulation[OF <bisim12 s1' s2'> <final2 s2'>]
  obtain s1'' where trsys1.silent-moves s1' s1'' bisim12 s1'' s2' final1 s1'' by blast
  from <trsys1.silent-moves s1 s1'> <trsys1.silent-moves s1' s1''>
  have trsys1.silent-moves s1 s1'' by(rule rtranclp-trans)
  moreover from <bisim12 s1'' s2'> <bisim23 s2' s3>
  have (bisim12  $\circ_B$  bisim23) s1'' s3 ..
  ultimately show  $\exists s1'. trsys1.silent-moves s1 s1' \wedge (bisim12 \circ_B bisim23) s1' s3 \wedge final1 s1'$ 
    using <final1 s1''> by iprover
qed
qed

end

```

1.18 Bisimulation relations for the multithreaded semantics

theory FWBisimulation

imports

FWLTS

Bisimulation

begin

1.18.1 Definitions for lifting bisimulation relations

primrec nta-bisim :: ('t \Rightarrow ('x1 \times 'm1, 'x2 \times 'm2) bisim) \Rightarrow (('t, 'x1, 'm1) new-thread-action, ('t, 'x2, 'm2) new-thread-action) bisim
where
[*code del*]: nta-bisim bisim (NewThread t x m) ta = ($\exists x' m'. ta = \text{NewThread } t x' m' \wedge \text{bisim } t (x, m) (x', m')$)
| nta-bisim bisim (ThreadExists t b) ta = (ta = ThreadExists t b)

lemma nta-bisim-1-code [*code*]:

nta-bisim bisim (NewThread t x m) ta = (case ta of NewThread t' x' m' \Rightarrow t = t' \wedge bisim t (x, m) (x', m') | - \Rightarrow False)
by(auto split: new-thread-action.split)

lemma nta-bisim-simps-sym [*simp*]:

nta-bisim bisim ta (NewThread t x m) = ($\exists x' m'. ta = \text{NewThread } t x' m' \wedge \text{bisim } t (x', m') (x, m)$)
nta-bisim bisim ta (ThreadExists t b) = (ta = ThreadExists t b)
by(cases ta, auto)+

definition ta-bisim :: ('t \Rightarrow ('x1 \times 'm1, 'x2 \times 'm2) bisim) \Rightarrow (('l, 't, 'x1, 'm1, 'w, 'o) thread-action, ('l, 't, 'x2, 'm2, 'w, 'o) thread-action) bisim

where

ta-bisim bisim ta1 ta2 \equiv
 $\{\ ta1 \}_{\ell} = \{\ ta2 \}_{\ell} \wedge \{\ ta1 \}_w = \{\ ta2 \}_w \wedge \{\ ta1 \}_c = \{\ ta2 \}_c \wedge \{\ ta1 \}_o = \{\ ta2 \}_o \wedge \{\ ta1 \}_t = \{\ ta2 \}_t \wedge$
list-all2 (nta-bisim bisim) $\{\ ta1 \}_t \{\ ta2 \}_t$

lemma ta-bisim-empty [*iff*]: ta-bisim bisim ε ε
by(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def)

lemma ta-bisim- ε [*simp*]:

ta-bisim b ε ta' \longleftrightarrow ta' = ε ta-bisim b ta ε \longleftrightarrow ta = ε
apply(cases ta', fastforce simp add: ta-bisim-def)
apply(cases ta, fastforce simp add: ta-bisim-def)
done

lemma nta-bisim-mono:

assumes major: nta-bisim bisim ta ta'
and mono: $\bigwedge t s1 s2. \text{bisim } t s1 s2 \implies \text{bisim}' t s1 s2$
shows nta-bisim bisim' ta ta'
using major **by**(cases ta)(auto intro: mono)

lemma ta-bisim-mono:

assumes major: ta-bisim bisim ta1 ta2
and mono: $\bigwedge t s1 s2. \text{bisim } t s1 s2 \implies \text{bisim}' t s1 s2$
shows ta-bisim bisim' ta1 ta2

```

using major
by(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def elim!: List.list-all2-mono nta-bisim-mono intro: mono)

lemma nta-bisim-flip [flip-simps]:
  nta-bisim ( $\lambda t. \text{flip} (\text{bisim } t)$ ) = flip (nta-bisim bisim)
by(rule ext)(case-tac x, auto simp add: flip-simps)

lemma ta-bisim-flip [flip-simps]:
  ta-bisim ( $\lambda t. \text{flip} (\text{bisim } t)$ ) = flip (ta-bisim bisim)
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff flip-simps ta-bisim-def)

locale FWbisimulation-base =
  r1: multithreaded-base final1 r1 convert-RA +
  r2: multithreaded-base final2 r2 convert-RA
  for final1 :: 'x1  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and r1 :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w,'o) semantics (-  $\vdash$  - - 1  $\dashrightarrow$  - [50, 0, 0, 50] 80)
  and final2 :: 'x2  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and r2 :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w,'o) semantics (-  $\vdash$  - - 2  $\dashrightarrow$  - [50, 0, 0, 50] 80)
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
  +
  fixes bisim :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  ('x1  $\times$  'm1, 'x2  $\times$  'm2) bisim (-  $\vdash$  - /  $\approx$  - [50, 50, 50] 60)
  and bisim-wait :: ('x1, 'x2) bisim (- /  $\approx_w$  - [50, 50] 60)
begin

  notation r1.redT-syntax1 (- - 1  $\dashrightarrow$  - [50,0,0,50] 80)
  notation r2.redT-syntax1 (- - 2  $\dashrightarrow$  - [50,0,0,50] 80)

  notation r1.RedT (- - 1  $\dashrightarrow$ * - [50,0,50] 80)
  notation r2.RedT (- - 2  $\dashrightarrow$ * - [50,0,50] 80)

  notation r1.must-sync (-  $\vdash$  <-, / ->/ l1 [50,0,0] 81)
  notation r2.must-sync (-  $\vdash$  <-, / ->/ l2 [50,0,0] 81)

  notation r1.can-sync (-  $\vdash$  <-, / ->/ - / l1 [50,0,0,0] 81)
  notation r2.can-sync (-  $\vdash$  <-, / ->/ - / l2 [50,0,0,0] 81)

  abbreviation ta-bisim-bisim-syntax (- / ~m - [50, 50] 60)
  where ta1 ~m ta2  $\equiv$  ta-bisim bisim ta1 ta2

  definition tbisim :: bool  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('x1  $\times$  'l released-locks) option  $\Rightarrow$  'm1  $\Rightarrow$  ('x2  $\times$  'l released-locks)
  option  $\Rightarrow$  'm2  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
     $\bigwedge ln. tbisim nw t ts1 m1 ts2 m2 \longleftrightarrow$ 
    (case ts1 of None  $\Rightarrow$  ts2 = None
     | [(x1, ln)]  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\exists x2. ts2 = [(x2, ln)] \wedge t \vdash (x1, m1) \approx (x2, m2) \wedge (nw \vee x1 \approx_w x2)$ ))

  lemma tbisim-NoneI: tbisim w t None m None m'
by(simp add: tbisim-def)

  lemma tbisim-SomeI:
     $\bigwedge ln. [ t \vdash (x, m) \approx (x', m'); nw \vee x \approx_w x' ] \implies tbisim nw t (Some (x, ln)) m (Some (x', ln)) m'$ 
by(simp add: tbisim-def)

  lemma tbisim-cases[consumes 1, case-names None Some]:
    assumes major: tbisim nw t ts1 m1 ts2 m2

```

```

and  $\llbracket ts1 = \text{None}; ts2 = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
and  $\bigwedge x \ln x'. \llbracket ts1 = \lfloor (x, \ln) \rfloor; ts2 = \lfloor (x', \ln) \rfloor; t \vdash (x, m1) \approx (x', m2); nw \vee x \approx w x' \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
shows thesis
using assms
by(auto simp add: tbisim-def)

definition mbisim ::  $((l, t, x1, m1, w) \text{ state}, (l, t, x2, m2, w) \text{ state}) \text{ bisim } (- \approx_m - [50, 50] 60)$ 
where
   $s1 \approx_m s2 \equiv$ 
   $\text{finite}(\text{dom}(\text{thr } s1)) \wedge \text{locks } s1 = \text{locks } s2 \wedge \text{wset } s1 = \text{wset } s2 \wedge \text{wset-thread-ok}(\text{wset } s1)(\text{thr } s1)$ 
   $\wedge$ 
   $\text{interrupts } s1 = \text{interrupts } s2 \wedge$ 
   $(\forall t. \text{tbisim}(\text{wset } s2 t = \text{None}) t (\text{thr } s1 t) (\text{shr } s1) (\text{thr } s2 t) (\text{shr } s2))$ 

lemma mbisim-thrNone-eq:  $s1 \approx_m s2 \implies \text{thr } s1 t = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{thr } s2 t = \text{None}$ 
unfolding mbisim-def tbisim-def
apply(clarify)
apply(erule alle[where x=t])
apply(clarsimp)
done

lemma mbisim-thrD1:
 $\bigwedge ln. \llbracket s1 \approx_m s2; \text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor (x, \ln) \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \exists x'. \text{thr } s2 t = \lfloor (x', \ln) \rfloor \wedge t \vdash (x, \text{shr } s1) \approx (x', \text{shr } s2) \wedge (\text{wset } s1 t = \text{None} \vee x \approx w x')$ 
by(fastforce simp add: mbisim-def tbisim-def)

lemma mbisim-thrD2:
 $\bigwedge ln. \llbracket s1 \approx_m s2; \text{thr } s2 t = \lfloor (x, \ln) \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \exists x'. \text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor (x', \ln) \rfloor \wedge t \vdash (x', \text{shr } s1) \approx (x, \text{shr } s2) \wedge (\text{wset } s2 t = \text{None} \vee x' \approx w x)$ 
by(frule mbisim-thrNone-eq[where t=t])(cases thr s1 t,(fastforce simp add: mbisim-def tbisim-def)+)

lemma mbisim-dom-eq:  $s1 \approx_m s2 \implies \text{dom}(\text{thr } s1) = \text{dom}(\text{thr } s2)$ 
apply(clarsimp simp add: dom-def fun-eq-iff simp del: not-None-eq)
apply(rule Collect-cong)
apply(drule mbisim-thrNone-eq)
apply(simp del: not-None-eq)
done

lemma mbisim-wset-thread-ok1:
 $s1 \approx_m s2 \implies \text{wset-thread-ok}(\text{wset } s1)(\text{thr } s1)$ 
by(clarsimp simp add: mbisim-def)

lemma mbisim-wset-thread-ok2:
assumes  $s1 \approx_m s2$ 
shows  $\text{wset-thread-ok}(\text{wset } s2)(\text{thr } s2)$ 
using assms
apply(clarsimp simp add: mbisim-def)
apply(auto intro!: wset-thread-okI simp add: mbisim-thrNone-eq[OF assms, THEN sym] dest: wset-thread-okD)
done

lemma mbisimI:
 $\llbracket \text{finite}(\text{dom}(\text{thr } s1)); \text{locks } s1 = \text{locks } s2; \text{wset } s1 = \text{wset } s2; \text{interrupts } s1 = \text{interrupts } s2;$ 
 $\text{wset-thread-ok}(\text{wset } s1)(\text{thr } s1);$ 
 $\bigwedge t. \text{thr } s1 t = \text{None} \implies \text{thr } s2 t = \text{None};$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge t x1 ln. \text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor (x1, ln) \rfloor \implies \exists x2. \text{thr } s2 t = \lfloor (x2, ln) \rfloor \wedge t \vdash (x1, \text{shr } s1) \approx (x2, \text{shr } s2) \\ & \wedge (\text{wset } s2 t = \text{None} \vee x1 \approx w x2)] \\ & \implies s1 \approx m s2 \\ \text{by} & (\text{fastforce simp add: mbisim-def tbisim-def}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *mbisimI2*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{finite (dom (thr } s2)); \text{locks } s1 = \text{locks } s2; \text{wset } s1 = \text{wset } s2; \text{interrupts } s1 = \text{interrupts } s2; \\ & \quad \text{wset-thread-ok (wset } s2) (\text{thr } s2); \\ & \quad \wedge t. \text{thr } s2 t = \text{None} \implies \text{thr } s1 t = \text{None}; \\ & \quad \wedge t x2 ln. \text{thr } s2 t = \lfloor (x2, ln) \rfloor \implies \exists x1. \text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor (x1, ln) \rfloor \wedge t \vdash (x1, \text{shr } s1) \approx (x2, \text{shr } s2) \\ & \wedge (\text{wset } s2 t = \text{None} \vee x1 \approx w x2)] \\ & \implies s1 \approx m s2 \end{aligned}$$

apply(*auto simp add: mbisim-def tbisim-def*)

prefer 2

apply(*rule wset-thread-okI*)

apply(*case-tac thr s2 t*)

apply(*auto dest!: wset-thread-okD*)[1]

apply *fastforce*

apply(*erule back-subst[where P=finite]*)

apply(*clarsimp simp add: dom-def fun-eq-iff simp del: not-None-eq*)

defer

apply(*rename-tac t*)

apply(*case-tac [!] thr s2 t*)

by *fastforce+*

lemma *mbisim-finite1*:

$s1 \approx m s2 \implies \text{finite (dom (thr } s1))$

by(*simp add: mbisim-def*)

lemma *mbisim-finite2*:

$s1 \approx m s2 \implies \text{finite (dom (thr } s2))$

by(*frule mbisim-finite1*)(*simp add: mbisim-dom-eq*)

definition *mta-bisim* :: ('t × ('l, 't, 'x1, 'm1, 'w, 'o) thread-action,
 $\quad \quad \quad 't \times ('l, 't, 'x2, 'm2, 'w, 'o) \text{ thread-action}) \text{ bisim}$

(-/ ~T - [50, 50] 60)

where *tta1 ~T tta2* ≡ *fst tta1 = fst tta2* \wedge *snd tta1 ~m snd tta2*

lemma *mta-bisim-conv* [*simp*]: (*t, ta1*) ~T (*t', ta2*) \longleftrightarrow *t = t' \wedge ta1 ~m ta2*

by(*simp add: mta-bisim-def*)

definition *bisim-inv* :: bool **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bisim-inv} \equiv & (\forall s1 ta1 s1' s2 t. t \vdash s1 \approx s2 \implies t \vdash s1 -1- ta1 \rightarrow s1' \implies (\exists s2'. t \vdash s1' \approx s2')) \wedge \\ & (\forall s2 ta2 s2' s1 t. t \vdash s1 \approx s2 \implies t \vdash s2 -2- ta2 \rightarrow s2' \implies (\exists s1'. t \vdash s1' \approx s2')) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *bisim-invI*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\wedge s1 ta1 s1' s2 t. [t \vdash s1 \approx s2; t \vdash s1 -1- ta1 \rightarrow s1']] \implies \exists s2'. t \vdash s1' \approx s2'; \\ & \quad \wedge s2 ta2 s2' s1 t. [t \vdash s1 \approx s2; t \vdash s2 -2- ta2 \rightarrow s2']] \implies \exists s1'. t \vdash s1' \approx s2'] \\ & \implies \text{bisim-inv} \end{aligned}$$

by(*auto simp add: bisim-inv-def*)

lemma *bisim-invD1*:

[*bisim-inv; t* $\vdash s1 \approx s2; t \vdash s1 -1- ta1 \rightarrow s1'] $\implies \exists s2'. t \vdash s1' \approx s2'$$

unfolding *bisim-inv-def* **by** *blast*

```

lemma bisim-invD2:
   $\llbracket \text{bisim-inv}; t \vdash s1 \approx s2; t \vdash s2 - 2 - ta2 \rightarrow s2' \rrbracket \implies \exists s1'. t \vdash s1' \approx s2'$ 
unfolding bisim-inv-def by blast

lemma thread-oks-bisim-inv:
   $\llbracket \forall t. ts1 t = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow ts2 t = \text{None}; \text{list-all2 (nta-bisim bisim)} tas1 tas2 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{thread-oks } ts1 \text{ tas1} \longleftrightarrow \text{thread-oks } ts2 \text{ tas2}$ 
proof(induct tas2 arbitrary: tas1 ts1 ts2)
  case Nil thus ?case by(simp)
next
  case (Cons ta2 TAS2 tas1 TS1 TS2)
  note IH =  $\langle \wedge ts1 \text{ tas1 ts2}. \llbracket \forall t. ts1 t = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow ts2 t = \text{None}; \text{list-all2 (nta-bisim bisim)} tas1 \text{ TAS2} \rrbracket \implies \text{thread-oks } ts1 \text{ tas1} \longleftrightarrow \text{thread-oks } ts2 \text{ TAS2} \rangle$ 
  note eqNone =  $\langle \forall t. TS1 t = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow TS2 t = \text{None} \rangle$ [rule-format]
  hence fti: free-thread-id TS1 = free-thread-id TS2 by(auto simp add: free-thread-id-def)
  from  $\langle \text{list-all2 (nta-bisim bisim)} tas1 (ta2 \# \text{TAS2}) \rangle$ 
  obtain ta1 TAS1 where tas1 = ta1 # TAS1 nta-bisim bisim ta1 ta2 list-all2 (nta-bisim bisim) TAS1 TAS2
  by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons2)
  moreover
  { fix t
    from  $\langle \text{nta-bisim bisim} ta1 \text{ ta2} \rangle$  have redT-updT' TS1 ta1 t = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  redT-updT' TS2 ta2 t = None
    by(cases ta1, auto split: if-split-asm simp add: eqNone)
    ultimately have thread-oks (redT-updT' TS1 ta1) TAS1  $\longleftrightarrow$  thread-oks (redT-updT' TS2 ta2) TAS2
    by -(rule IH, auto)
    moreover from  $\langle \text{nta-bisim bisim} ta1 \text{ ta2} \rangle$  fti have thread-ok TS1 ta1 = thread-ok TS2 ta2 by(cases ta1, auto)
    ultimately show ?case using  $\langle \text{tas1} = \text{ta1} \# \text{TAS1} \rangle$  by auto
  qed

lemma redT-updT-nta-bisim-inv:
   $\llbracket \text{nta-bisim bisim} ta1 \text{ ta2}; ts1 T = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow ts2 T = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies \text{redT-updT } ts1 \text{ ta1 T = None} \longleftrightarrow \text{redT-updT } ts2 \text{ ta2 T = None}$ 
  by(cases ta1, auto)

lemma redT-updTs-nta-bisim-inv:
   $\llbracket \text{list-all2 (nta-bisim bisim)} tas1 \text{ tas2}; ts1 T = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow ts2 T = \text{None} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{redT-updTs } ts1 \text{ tas1 T = None} \longleftrightarrow \text{redT-updTs } ts2 \text{ tas2 T = None}$ 
proof(induct tas1 arbitrary: tas2 ts1 ts2)
  case Nil thus ?case by(simp)
next
  case (Cons TA1 TAS1 tas2 TS1 TS2)
  note IH =  $\langle \wedge tas2 \text{ ts1 ts2}. \llbracket \text{list-all2 (nta-bisim bisim)} TAS1 \text{ tas2}; (ts1 T = \text{None}) = (ts2 T = \text{None}) \rrbracket \implies (\text{redT-updTs } ts1 \text{ TAS1 T = None}) = (\text{redT-updTs } ts2 \text{ tas2 T = None}) \rangle$ 
   $\implies (\text{redT-updTs } ts1 \text{ TAS1 T = None}) = (\text{redT-updTs } ts2 \text{ tas2 T = None})$ 
  from  $\langle \text{list-all2 (nta-bisim bisim)} (TA1 \# \text{TAS1}) \text{ tas2} \rangle$ 
  obtain TA2 TAS2 where tas2 = TA2 # TAS2 nta-bisim bisim TA1 TA2 list-all2 (nta-bisim bisim) TAS1 TAS2
  by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1)
  from  $\langle \text{nta-bisim bisim} TA1 \text{ TA2} \rangle$   $\langle (TS1 T = \text{None}) = (TS2 T = \text{None}) \rangle$ 

```

```

have redT-updT TS1 TA1 T = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  redT-updT TS2 TA2 T = None
  by(rule redT-updT-nfa-bisim-inv)
  with IH[OF ⟨list-all2 (nfa-bisim bisim) TAS1 TAS2⟩, of redT-updT TS1 TA1 redT-updT TS2 TA2]
  ⟨tas2 = TA2 # TAS2⟩
  show ?case by simp
qed

end

lemma tbisim-flip [flip-simps]:
  FWbisimulation-base.tbisim (λt. flip (bisim t)) (flip bisim-wait) w t ts2 m2 ts1 m1 =
  FWbisimulation-base.tbisim bisim bisim-wait w t ts1 m1 ts2 m2
unfolding FWbisimulation-base.tbisim-def flip-simps by auto

lemma mbisim-flip [flip-simps]:
  FWbisimulation-base.mbisim (λt. flip (bisim t)) (flip bisim-wait) s2 s1 =
  FWbisimulation-base.mbisim bisim bisim-wait s1 s2
apply(rule iffI)
apply(frule FWbisimulation-base.mbisim-dom-eq)
apply(frule FWbisimulation-base.mbisim-wset-thread-ok2)
apply(fastforce simp add: FWbisimulation-base.mbisim-def flip-simps)
apply(frule FWbisimulation-base.mbisim-dom-eq)
apply(frule FWbisimulation-base.mbisim-wset-thread-ok2)
apply(fastforce simp add: FWbisimulation-base.mbisim-def flip-simps)
done

lemma mta-bisim-flip [flip-simps]:
  FWbisimulation-base.mta-bisim (λt. flip (bisim t)) = flip (FWbisimulation-base.mta-bisim bisim)
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff flip-simps FWbisimulation-base.mta-bisim-def)

lemma flip-const [simp]: flip (λa b. c) = (λa b. c)
by(rule flip-def)

lemma mbisim-K-flip [flip-simps]:
  FWbisimulation-base.mbisim (λt. flip (bisim t)) (λx1 x2. c) s1 s2 =
  FWbisimulation-base.mbisim bisim (λx1 x2. c) s2 s1
using mbisim-flip[of bisim λx1 x2. c s1 s2]
unfolding flip-const .

context FWbisimulation-base begin

lemma mbisim-actions-ok-bisim-no-join-12:
  assumes mbisim: mbisim s1 s2
  and collect-cond-actions {ta1}c = {}
  and ta-bisim bisim ta1 ta2
  and r1.actions-ok s1 t ta1
  shows r2.actions-ok s2 t ta2
using assms mbisim-thrNone-eq[OF mbisim]
by(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def mbisim-def intro: thread-oks-bisim-inv[THEN iffD1] r2.may-join-cond-action-oks)

lemma mbisim-actions-ok-bisim-no-join-21:
  ⟦ mbisim s1 s2; collect-cond-actions {ta2}c = {}; ta-bisim bisim ta1 ta2; r2.actions-ok s2 t ta2 ⟧
   $\implies$  r1.actions-ok s1 t ta1
using FWbisimulation-base.mbisim-actions-ok-bisim-no-join-12[where bisim=λt. flip (bisim t) and

```

```

bisim-wait=flip bisim-wait]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma mbisim-actions-ok-bisim-no-join:
   $\llbracket \text{mbisim } s1\ s2; \text{collect-cond-actions } \{ta1\}_c = \{\}; \text{ta-bisim } \text{bisim } ta1\ ta2 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies r1.\text{actions-ok } s1\ t\ ta1 = r2.\text{actions-ok } s2\ t\ ta2$ 
apply(rule iffI)
apply(erule (3) mbisim-actions-ok-bisim-no-join-12)
apply(erule mbisim-actions-ok-bisim-no-join-21[where ?ta2.0 = ta2])
  apply(simp add: ta-bisim-def)
apply assumption+
done

end

locale FWbisimulation-base-aux = FWbisimulation-base +
  r1: multithreaded final1 r1 convert-RA +
  r2: multithreaded final2 r2 convert-RA +
constrains final1 :: 'x1 ⇒ bool
and r1 :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w, 'o) semantics
and final2 :: 'x2 ⇒ bool
and r2 :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w, 'o) semantics
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and bisim :: 't ⇒ ('x1 × 'm1, 'x2 × 'm2) bisim
and bisim-wait :: ('x1, 'x2) bisim
begin

lemma FWbisimulation-base-aux-flip:
  FWbisimulation-base-aux final2 r2 final1 r1
  by(unfold-locales)

end

lemma FWbisimulation-base-aux-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
  FWbisimulation-base-aux final2 r2 final1 r1 = FWbisimulation-base-aux final1 r1 final2 r2
  by(blast intro: FWbisimulation-base-aux.FWbisimulation-base-aux-flip)

sublocale FWbisimulation-base-aux < mthr:
  bisimulation-final-base
    r1.redT
    r2.redT
    mbisim
    mta-bisim
    r1.mfinal
    r2.mfinal
  .

declare split-paired-Ex [simp del]

```

1.18.2 Lifting for delay bisimulations

```

locale FWdelay-bisimulation-base =
  FWbisimulation-base - - - r2 convert-RA bisim bisim-wait +
  r1: τ multithreaded final1 r1 convert-RA τmove1 +

```

```

r2:  $\tau_{multithreaded}$  final2 r2 convert-RA  $\tau_{move2}$ 
for r2 :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w,'o) semantics (- ⊢ - - 2 → - [50,0,0,50] 80)
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and bisim :: 't ⇒ ('x1 × 'm1, 'x2 × 'm2) bisim (- ⊢ - / ≈ - [50, 50, 50] 60)
and bisim-wait :: ('x1, 'x2) bisim (- / ≈w - [50, 50] 60)
and  $\tau_{move1}$  :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w,'o)  $\tau_{moves}$ 
and  $\tau_{move2}$  :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w,'o)  $\tau_{moves}$ 
begin

abbreviation  $\tau_{mred1}$  :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w) state ⇒ ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w) state ⇒ bool
where  $\tau_{mred1} \equiv r1.\tau_{mredT}$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{mred2}$  :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w) state ⇒ ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w) state ⇒ bool
where  $\tau_{mred2} \equiv r2.\tau_{mredT}$ 

abbreviation  $m\tau_{move1}$  :: (('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w) state, 't × ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w,'o) thread-action) trsys
where  $m\tau_{move1} \equiv r1.m\tau_{move}$ 

abbreviation  $m\tau_{move2}$  :: (('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w) state, 't × ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w,'o) thread-action) trsys
where  $m\tau_{move2} \equiv r2.m\tau_{move}$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{mRed1}$  :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w) state ⇒ ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w) state ⇒ bool
where  $\tau_{mRed1} \equiv \tau_{mred1}^{\wedge**}$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{mRed2}$  :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w) state ⇒ ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w) state ⇒ bool
where  $\tau_{mRed2} \equiv \tau_{mred2}^{\wedge**}$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{mtRed1}$  :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w) state ⇒ ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w) state ⇒ bool
where  $\tau_{mtRed1} \equiv \tau_{mred1}^{\wedge+}$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{mtRed2}$  :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w) state ⇒ ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w) state ⇒ bool
where  $\tau_{mtRed2} \equiv \tau_{mred2}^{\wedge+}$ 

lemma bisim-inv- $\tau_{s1}$ -inv:
assumes inv: bisim-inv
and bisim: t ⊢ s1 ≈ s2
and red: r1.silent-moves t s1 s1'
obtains s2' where t ⊢ s1' ≈ s2'
proof(atomize-elim)
  from red bisim show ∃ s2'. t ⊢ s1' ≈ s2'
  by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(fastforce elim: bisim-invD1[OF inv])+  

qed

lemma bisim-inv- $\tau_{s2}$ -inv:
assumes inv: bisim-inv
and bisim: t ⊢ s1 ≈ s2
and red: r2.silent-moves t s2 s2'
obtains s1' where t ⊢ s1' ≈ s2'
proof(atomize-elim)
  from red bisim show ∃ s1'. t ⊢ s1' ≈ s2'
  by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(fastforce elim: bisim-invD2[OF inv])+  

qed

primrec activate-cond-action1 :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w) state ⇒ ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w) state ⇒

```

'*t* conditional-action $\Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x1, 'm1, 'w)$ state

where

activate-cond-action1 s1 s2 (*Join t*) =
(case thr s1 t of None $\Rightarrow s1$
| [x1, ln1] \Rightarrow (case thr s2 t of None $\Rightarrow s1$
| [x2, ln2] \Rightarrow
if final2 x2 \wedge ln2 = no-wait-locks
then redT-upd-ε s1 t
(SOME x1'. r1.silent-moves t (x1, shr s1) (x1', shr s1) \wedge final1 x1' \wedge
t ⊢ (x1', shr s1) ≈ (x2, shr s2))
(shr s1)
else s1))
| activate-cond-action1 s1 s2 Yield = s1

primrec *activate-cond-actions1* :: $('l, 't, 'x1, 'm1, 'w)$ state $\Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x2, 'm2, 'w)$ state
 $\Rightarrow ('t \text{ conditional-action}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x1, 'm1, 'w)$ state

where

activate-cond-actions1 s1 s2 [] = s1
| activate-cond-actions1 s1 s2 (ct # cts) = activate-cond-actions1 (activate-cond-action1 s1 s2 ct) s2

primrec *activate-cond-action2* :: $('l, 't, 'x1, 'm1, 'w)$ state $\Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x2, 'm2, 'w)$ state \Rightarrow
 $'t \text{ conditional-action} \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x2, 'm2, 'w)$ state

where

activate-cond-action2 s1 s2 (*Join t*) =
(case thr s2 t of None $\Rightarrow s2$
| [x2, ln2] \Rightarrow (case thr s1 t of None $\Rightarrow s2$
| [x1, ln1] \Rightarrow
if final1 x1 \wedge ln1 = no-wait-locks
then redT-upd-ε s2 t
(SOME x2'. r2.silent-moves t (x2, shr s2) (x2', shr s2) \wedge final2 x2' \wedge
t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2', shr s2))
(shr s2)
else s2))
| activate-cond-action2 s1 s2 Yield = s2

primrec *activate-cond-actions2* :: $('l, 't, 'x1, 'm1, 'w)$ state $\Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x2, 'm2, 'w)$ state \Rightarrow
 $('t \text{ conditional-action}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x2, 'm2, 'w)$ state

where

activate-cond-actions2 s1 s2 [] = s2
| activate-cond-actions2 s1 s2 (ct # cts) = activate-cond-actions2 s1 (activate-cond-action2 s1 s2 ct)

end

lemma *activate-cond-action1-flip* [*flip-simps*]:
Fwdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-action1 final2 r2 final1 (λt. flip (bisim t)) τmove2 s2 s1 =
Fwdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-action2 final1 final2 r2 bisim τmove2 s1 s2

apply(*rule ext*)
apply(*case-tac x*)
apply(*simp-all only: Fwdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-action1.simps*
Fwdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-action2.simps flip-simps)

done

```

lemma activate-cond-actions1-flip [flip-simps]:
  FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-actions1 final2 r2 final1 ( $\lambda t. \text{flip} (\text{bisim } t)$ )  $\tau\text{move2}$  s2 s1
  =
  FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-actions2 final1 final2 r2 bisim  $\tau\text{move2}$  s1 s2
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(rule ext)
  fix xs
  show ?lhs xs = ?rhs xs
  by(induct xs arbitrary: s2)
    (simp-all only: FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-actions1.simps
     FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-actions2.simps flip-simps)
qed

lemma activate-cond-action2-flip [flip-simps]:
  FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-action2 final2 final1 r1 ( $\lambda t. \text{flip} (\text{bisim } t)$ )  $\tau\text{move1}$  s2 s1 =
  FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-action1 final1 r1 final2 bisim  $\tau\text{move1}$  s1 s2
apply(rule ext)
apply(case-tac x)
apply(simp-all only: FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-action1.simps
      FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-action2.simps flip-simps)
done

lemma activate-cond-actions2-flip [flip-simps]:
  FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-actions2 final2 final1 r1 ( $\lambda t. \text{flip} (\text{bisim } t)$ )  $\tau\text{move1}$  s2 s1
  =
  FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-actions1 final1 r1 final2 bisim  $\tau\text{move1}$  s1 s2
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(rule ext)
  fix xs
  show ?lhs xs = ?rhs xs
  by(induct xs arbitrary: s1)
    (simp-all only: FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-actions1.simps
     FWdelay-bisimulation-base.activate-cond-actions2.simps flip-simps)
qed

context FWdelay-bisimulation-base begin

lemma shr-activate-cond-action1 [simp]: shr (activate-cond-action1 s1 s2 ct) = shr s1
by(cases ct) simp-all

lemma shr-activate-cond-actions1 [simp]: shr (activate-cond-actions1 s1 s2 cts) = shr s1
by(induct cts arbitrary: s1) auto

lemma shr-activate-cond-action2 [simp]: shr (activate-cond-action2 s1 s2 ct) = shr s2
by(cases ct) simp-all

lemma shr-activate-cond-actions2 [simp]: shr (activate-cond-actions2 s1 s2 cts) = shr s2
by(induct cts arbitrary: s2) auto

lemma locks-activate-cond-action1 [simp]: locks (activate-cond-action1 s1 s2 ct) = locks s1
by(cases ct) simp-all

lemma locks-activate-cond-actions1 [simp]: locks (activate-cond-actions1 s1 s2 cts) = locks s1
by(induct cts arbitrary: s1) auto

```

```

lemma locks-activate-cond-action2 [simp]: locks (activate-cond-action2 s1 s2 ct) = locks s2
by(cases ct) simp-all

lemma locks-activate-cond-actions2 [simp]: locks (activate-cond-actions2 s1 s2 cts) = locks s2
by(induct cts arbitrary: s2) auto

lemma wset-activate-cond-action1 [simp]: wset (activate-cond-action1 s1 s2 ct) = wset s1
by(cases ct) simp-all

lemma wset-activate-cond-actions1 [simp]: wset (activate-cond-actions1 s1 s2 cts) = wset s1
by(induct cts arbitrary: s1) auto

lemma wset-activate-cond-action2 [simp]: wset (activate-cond-action2 s1 s2 ct) = wset s2
by(cases ct) simp-all

lemma wset-activate-cond-actions2 [simp]: wset (activate-cond-actions2 s1 s2 cts) = wset s2
by(induct cts arbitrary: s2) auto

lemma interrupts-activate-cond-action1 [simp]: interrupts (activate-cond-action1 s1 s2 ct) = interrupts s1
by(cases ct) simp-all

lemma interrupts-activate-cond-actions1 [simp]: interrupts (activate-cond-actions1 s1 s2 cts) = interrupts s1
by(induct cts arbitrary: s1) auto

lemma interrupts-activate-cond-action2 [simp]: interrupts (activate-cond-action2 s1 s2 ct) = interrupts s2
by(cases ct) simp-all

lemma interrupts-activate-cond-actions2 [simp]: interrupts (activate-cond-actions2 s1 s2 cts) = interrupts s2
by(induct cts arbitrary: s2) auto

end

locale FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux =
  FWdelay-bisimulation-base ----- τmove1 τmove2 +
  r1: τmultithreaded-wf final1 r1 convert-RA τmove1 +
  r2: τmultithreaded-wf final2 r2 convert-RA τmove2
  for τmove1 :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w,'o) τmoves
  and τmove2 :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w,'o) τmoves
begin

lemma FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux-flip:
  FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux final2 r2 final1 r1 τmove2 τmove1
by unfold-locales

end

lemma FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
  FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux final2 r2 final1 r1 τmove2 τmove1 =
  FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux final1 r1 final2 r2 τmove1 τmove2

```

```

by(auto dest: FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux.FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux-flip simp only: flip-flip)

context FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux begin

lemma cond-actions-ok- $\tau$ mred1-inv:
  assumes red:  $\tau$ mred1 s1 s1'
  and ct: r1.cond-action-ok s1 t ct
  shows r1.cond-action-ok s1' t ct
using ct
proof(cases ct)
  case (Join t')
  show ?thesis using red ct
  proof(cases thr s1 t')
    case None with red ct Join show ?thesis
    by(fastforce elim!: r1.mthr.silent-move.cases r1.redT.cases r1.m $\tau$ move.cases rtrancl3p-cases
      dest: r1.silent-tl split: if-split-asm)
  next
    case (Some a) with red ct Join show ?thesis
    by(fastforce elim!: r1.mthr.silent-move.cases r1.redT.cases r1.m $\tau$ move.cases rtrancl3p-cases
      dest: r1.silent-tl r1.final-no-red split: if-split-asm simp add: redT-updWs-def)
  qed
next
  case Yield thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma cond-actions-ok- $\tau$ mred2-inv:
   $\llbracket \tau$ mred2 s2 s2'; r2.cond-action-ok s2 t ct  $\rrbracket \implies r2.cond-action-ok s2' t ct$ 
using FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux.cond-actions-ok- $\tau$ mred1-inv[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux-flip]
.

lemma cond-actions-ok- $\tau$ mRed1-inv:
   $\llbracket \tau$ mRed1 s1 s1'; r1.cond-action-ok s1 t ct  $\rrbracket \implies r1.cond-action-ok s1' t ct$ 
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(blast intro: cond-actions-ok- $\tau$ mred1-inv)+

lemma cond-actions-ok- $\tau$ mRed2-inv:
   $\llbracket \tau$ mRed2 s2 s2'; r2.cond-action-ok s2 t ct  $\rrbracket \implies r2.cond-action-ok s2' t ct$ 
by(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux.cond-actions-ok- $\tau$ mRed1-inv[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux-flip])

end

locale FWdelay-bisimulation-lift =
  FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux +
  constrains final1 :: 'x1 ⇒ bool
  and r1 :: ('l, 't, 'x1, 'm1, 'w, 'o) semantics
  and final2 :: 'x2 ⇒ bool
  and r2 :: ('l, 't, 'x2, 'm2, 'w, 'o) semantics
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
  and bisim :: 't ⇒ ('x1 × 'm1, 'x2 × 'm2) bisim
  and bisim-wait :: ('x1, 'x2) bisim
  and τmove1 :: ('l, 't, 'x1, 'm1, 'w, 'o) τmoves
  and τmove2 :: ('l, 't, 'x2, 'm2, 'w, 'o) τmoves
  assumes τinv-locale: τinv (r1 t) (r2 t) (bisim t) (ta-bisim bisim) τmove1 τmove2
  assumes τinv-locale: τinv (r1 t) (r2 t) (bisim t) (ta-bisim bisim) τmove1 τmove2 for t

sublocale FWdelay-bisimulation-lift < τinv r1 t r2 t bisim t ta-bisim bisim τmove1 τmove2 for t

```

```

by(rule  $\tau_{inv\text{-}locale}$ )  

context FWdelay-bisimulation-lift begin  

lemma FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-flip:  

  FWdelay-bisimulation-lift final2 r2 final1 r1 ( $\lambda t. \text{flip}(\text{bisim } t)) \tau_{move2} \tau_{move1}$   

apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-lift.intro)  

apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux-flip)  

apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-axioms.intro)  

apply(unfold flip-simps)  

apply(unfold-locales)  

done  

end  

lemma FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-flip-simps [flip-simps]:  

  FWdelay-bisimulation-lift final2 r2 final1 r1 ( $\lambda t. \text{flip}(\text{bisim } t)) \tau_{move2} \tau_{move1} =$   

  FWdelay-bisimulation-lift final1 r1 final2 r2 bisim  $\tau_{move1} \tau_{move2}$   

by(auto dest: FWdelay-bisimulation-lift.FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-flip simp only: flip-flip)  

context FWdelay-bisimulation-lift begin  

lemma  $\tau_{inv\text{-}lift}: \tau_{inv} r1.\text{red}T r2.\text{red}T mbisim mta\text{-}bisim m\tau_{move1} m\tau_{move2}$   

proof  

  fix s1 s2 tl1 s1' tl2 s2'  

  assume s1  $\approx_m$  s2 s1'  $\approx_m$  s2' tl1  $\sim_T$  tl2 r1.redT s1 tl1 s1' r2.redT s2 tl2 s2'  

  moreover obtain t ta1 where tl1: tl1 = (t, ta1) by(cases tl1)  

  moreover obtain t' ta2 where tl2: tl2 = (t', ta2) by(cases tl2)  

  moreover obtain ls1 ts1 ws1 m1 is1 where s1: s1 = (ls1, (ts1, m1), ws1, is1) by(cases s1)  

  fastforce  

  moreover obtain ls2 ts2 ws2 m2 is2 where s2: s2 = (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) by(cases s2)  

  fastforce  

  moreover obtain ls1' ts1' ws1' m1' is1' where s1': s1' = (ls1', (ts1', m1'), ws1', is1') by(cases s1') fastforce  

  moreover obtain ls2' ts2' ws2' m2' is2' where s2': s2' = (ls2', (ts2', m2'), ws2', is2') by(cases s2') fastforce  

  ultimately have mbisim: (ls1, (ts1, m1), ws1, is1)  $\approx_m$  (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2)  

  and mbisim': (ls1', (ts1', m1'), ws1', is1')  $\approx_m$  (ls2', (ts2', m2'), ws2', is2')  

  and mred1: (ls1, (ts1, m1), ws1, is1)  $-1\rightarrow$  ta1  $\rightarrow$  (ls1', (ts1', m1'), ws1', is1')  

  and mred2: (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2)  $-2\rightarrow$  ta2  $\rightarrow$  (ls2', (ts2', m2'), ws2', is2')  

  and tasim: ta1  $\sim_m$  ta2 and tt': t' = t by simp-all  

  from mbisim have ls: ls1 = ls2 and ws: ws1 = ws2 and is: is1 = is2  

  and tbisim:  $\bigwedge t. tbisim(ws2 t = \text{None}) t (ts1 t) m1 (ts2 t) m2$  by(simp-all add: mbisim-def)  

  from mbisim' have ls': ls1' = ls2' and ws': ws1' = ws2' and is': is1' = is2'  

  and tbisim':  $\bigwedge t. tbisim(ws2' t = \text{None}) t (ts1' t) m1' (ts2' t) m2'$  by(simp-all add: mbisim-def)  

  from mred1 r1.redT-thread-not-disappear[OF mred1]  

  obtain x1 ln1 x1' ln1' where tst1: ts1 t =  $\lfloor(x1, ln1)\rfloor$   

  and tst1': ts1' t =  $\lfloor(x1', ln1')\rfloor$   

  by(fastforce elim!: r1.redT.cases)  

  from mred2 r2.redT-thread-not-disappear[OF mred2]  

  obtain x2 ln2 x2' ln2' where tst2: ts2 t =  $\lfloor(x2, ln2)\rfloor$   

  and tst2': ts2' t =  $\lfloor(x2', ln2')\rfloor$  by(fastforce elim!: r2.redT.cases)  

  from tbisim[of t] tst1 tst2 ws have bisim: t  $\vdash (x1, m1) \approx (x2, m2)$   

  and ln: ln1 = ln2 by(auto simp add: tbisim-def)

```

```

from tbisim'[of t] tst1' tst2' have bisim': t ⊢ (x1', m1') ≈ (x2', m2')
  and ln': ln1' = ln2' by(auto simp add: tbisim-def)
show mτmove1 s1 tl1 s1' = mτmove2 s2 tl2 s2' unfolding s1 s2 s1' s2' tt' tl1 tl2
proof -
  show mτmove1 (ls1, (ts1, m1), ws1, is1) (t, ta1) (ls1', (ts1', m1'), ws1', is1') =
    mτmove2 (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) (t, ta2) (ls2', (ts2', m2'), ws2', is2')
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof
    assume mτ: ?lhs
    with tst1 tst1' obtain τ1: τmove1 (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1')
      and ln1: ln1 = no-wait-locks by(fastforce elim!: r1.mτmove.cases)
    from τ1 have ta1 = ε by(rule r1.silent-tl)
    with mred1 τ1 tst1 tst1' ln1 have red1: t ⊢ (x1, m1) -1- ta1 → (x1', m1')
      by(auto elim!: r1.redT.cases rtrancl3p-cases)
    from tasim ⟨ta1 = ε⟩ have [simp]: ta2 = ε by(simp)
    with mred2 ln1 ln1 tst2 tst2' have red2: t ⊢ (x2, m2) -2- ε → (x2', m2')
      by(fastforce elim!: r2.redT.cases rtrancl3p-cases)
    from τ1 τinv[OF bisim red1 red2] bisim' tasim
    have τ2: τmove2 (x2, m2) ε (x2', m2') by simp
    with tst2 tst2' ln ln1 show ?rhs by -(rule r2.mτmove.intros, auto)
  next
    assume mτ: ?rhs
    with tst2 tst2' obtain τ2: τmove2 (x2, m2) ta2 (x2', m2')
      and ln2: ln2 = no-wait-locks by(fastforce elim!: r2.mτmove.cases)
    from τ2 have ta2 = ε by(rule r2.silent-tl)
    with mred2 τ2 tst2 tst2' ln2 have red2: t ⊢ (x2, m2) -2- ta2 → (x2', m2')
      by(auto elim!: r2.redT.cases rtrancl3p-cases)
    from tasim ⟨ta2 = ε⟩ have [simp]: ta1 = ε by simp
    with mred1 ln2 ln1 tst1 tst1' have red1: t ⊢ (x1, m1) -1- ε → (x1', m1')
      by(fastforce elim!: r1.redT.cases rtrancl3p-cases)
    from τ2 τinv[OF bisim red1 red2] bisim' tasim
    have τ1: τmove1 (x1, m1) ε (x1', m1') by auto
    with tst1 tst1' ln ln2 show ?rhs unfolding ⟨ta1 = ε⟩
      by-(rule r1.mτmove.intros, auto)
  qed
qed
qed
qed
end

```

```

sublocale FWdelay-bisimulation-lift < mthr: τinv r1.redT r2.redT mbisim mta-bisim mτmove1 mτmove2
by(rule τinv-lift)

```

```

locale FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base =
  FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux +
constrains final1 :: 'x1 ⇒ bool
and r1 :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w, 'o) semantics
and final2 :: 'x2 ⇒ bool
and r2 :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w, 'o) semantics
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and bisim :: 't ⇒ ('x1 × 'm1, 'x2 × 'm2) bisim
and bisim-wait :: ('x1, 'x2) bisim
and τmove1 :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w, 'o) τmoves
and τmove2 :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w, 'o) τmoves

```

```

assumes delay-bisim-locale:
  delay-bisimulation-final-base (r1 t) (r2 t) (bisim t) τmove1 τmove2 (λ(x1, m). final1 x1) (λ(x2, m). final2 x2)

sublocale FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base <
  delay-bisimulation-final-base r1 t r2 t bisim t ta-bisim bisim τmove1 τmove2
    λ(x1, m). final1 x1 λ(x2, m). final2 x2
  for t
  by(rule delay-bisim-locale)

context FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base begin

lemma FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base-flip:
  FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base final2 r2 final1 r1 (λt. flip (bisim t)) τmove2 τmove1
  apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base.intro)
  apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux-flip)
  apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base-axioms.intro)
  apply(rule delay-bisimulation-final-base-flip)
  done

end

lemma FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
  FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base final2 r2 final1 r1 (λt. flip (bisim t)) τmove2 τmove1 =
  FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base final1 r1 final2 r2 bisim τmove1 τmove2
  by(auto dest: FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base.FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base-flip simp only: flip-flip)

context FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base begin

lemma cond-actions-ok-bisim-ex-τ1-inv:
  fixes ls ts1 m1 ws is ts2 m2 ct
  defines s1' ≡ activate-cond-action1 (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) ct
  assumes mbisim: ⋀t'. t' ≠ t ⟹ tbisim (ws t' = None) t' (ts1 t') m1 (ts2 t') m2
  and ts1t: ts1 t = Some xln
  and ts2t: ts2 t = Some xln'
  and ct: r2.cond-action-ok (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) t ct
  shows τmRed1 (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) s1'
  and ⋀t'. t' ≠ t ⟹ tbisim (ws t' = None) t' (thr s1' t') m1 (ts2 t') m2
  and r1.cond-action-ok s1' t ct
  and thr s1' t = Some xln
  proof –
    have τmRed1 (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) s1' ∧
      (⋀t'. t' ≠ t ⟶ tbisim (ws t' = None) t' (thr s1' t') m1 (ts2 t') m2) ∧
      r1.cond-action-ok s1' t ct ∧ thr s1' t = [xln]
    using ct
    proof(cases ct)
      case (Join t')
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases ts1 t')
        case None
        with mbisim ts1t have t ≠ t' by auto
        moreover from None Join have s1' = (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) by(simp add: s1'-def)
        ultimately show ?thesis using mbisim Join ct None ts1t by(simp add: tbisim-def)
      next
  
```

```

case (Some xln)
  moreover obtain x1 ln where xln = (x1, ln) by(cases xln)
    ultimately have ts1t': ts1 t' = [x1, ln] by simp
    from Join ct Some ts2t have tt': t' ≠ t by auto
    from mbisim[OF tt'] ts1t' obtain x2 where ts2t': ts2 t' = [x2, ln]
      and bisim: t' ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2) by(auto simp add: tbisim-def)
      from ct Join ts2t' have final2: final2 x2 and ln: ln = no-wait-locks
      and wst': ws t' = None by simp-all
      let ?x1' = SOME x. r1.silent-moves t' (x1, m1) (x, m1) ∧ final1 x ∧ t' ⊢ (x, m1) ≈ (x2, m2)
      { from final2-simulation[OF bisim] final2 obtain x1' m1'
        where r1.silent-moves t' (x1, m1) (x1', m1') and t' ⊢ (x1', m1') ≈ (x2, m2)
        and final1 x1' by auto
        moreover hence m1' = m1 using bisim by(auto dest: r1.red-rtrancl-τ-heapD-inv)
        ultimately have ∃ x. r1.silent-moves t' (x1, m1) (x, m1) ∧ final1 x ∧ t' ⊢ (x, m1) ≈ (x2,
m2)
        by blast }
      from someI-ex[OF this] have red1: r1.silent-moves t' (x1, m1) (?x1', m1)
        and final1: final1 ?x1' and bisim': t' ⊢ (?x1', m1) ≈ (x2, m2) by blast+
      let ?S1' = redT-upd-ε (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) t' ?x1' m1
      from r1.silent-moves-into-RedT-τ-inv[where ?s=(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) and t=t', simplified, OF
red1]
        bisim ts1t' ln wst'
        have Red1: τmRed1 (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) ?S1' by auto
        moreover from Join ln ts1t' final1 wst' tt'
        have ct': r1.cond-action-ok ?S1' t ct by(auto intro: finfun-ext)
        { fix t''
          assume t ≠ t''
          with Join mbisim[OF this[symmetric]] bisim' ts1t' ts2t' wst' s1'-def
          have tbisim (ws t'' = None) t'' (thr s1' t'') m1 (ts2 t'') m2
            by(auto simp add: tbisim-def redT-updLns-def o-def finfun-Diag-const2)
          moreover from Join ts1t' ts2t' final2 ln have s1' = ?S1' by(simp add: s1'-def)
          ultimately show ?thesis using Red1 ct' ts1t' tt' ts1t by(auto)
        }
        qed
      next
        case Yield thus ?thesis using mbisim ts1t by(simp add: s1'-def)
        qed
      thus τmRed1 (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) s1'
        and ¬ t' = t ⇒ tbisim (ws t' = None) t' (thr s1' t') m1 (ts2 t') m2
        and r1.cond-action-ok s1' t ct
        and thr s1' t = [xln] by blast+
    qed

lemma cond-actions-oks-bisim-ex-τ1-inv:
  fixes ls ts1 m1 ws is ts2 m2 cts
  defines s1' ≡ activate-cond-actions1 (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) cts
  assumes tbisim: ¬ t' = t ⇒ tbisim (ws t' = None) t' (ts1 t') m1 (ts2 t') m2
  and ts1t: ts1 t = Some xln
  and ts2t: ts2 t = Some xln'
  and ct: r2.cond-action-oks (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) t cts
  shows τmRed1 (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) s1'
  and ¬ t' = t ⇒ tbisim (ws t' = None) t' (thr s1' t') m1 (ts2 t') m2
  and r1.cond-action-oks s1' t cts
  and thr s1' t = Some xln
  using tbisim ts1t ct unfolding s1'-def

```

```

proof(induct cts arbitrary: ts1)
  case (Cons ct cts)
    note IH1 = <math>\langle \bigwedge ts1. [\forall t'. t' \neq t \implies tbisim(ws t' = None) t'(ts1 t') m1 (ts2 t') m2; ts1 t = \lfloor xln \rfloor; r2.cond-action-oks(ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) t cts] \\
      \implies \tau mred1^{**}(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (activate-cond-actions1)(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) cts>
    note IH2 = <math>\langle \bigwedge t' ts1. [t' \neq t; \forall t'. t' \neq t \implies tbisim(ws t' = None) t'(ts1 t') m1 (ts2 t') m2; ts1 t = \lfloor xln \rfloor; \\
      r2.cond-action-oks(ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) t cts] \\
      \implies tbisim(ws t' = None) t'(thr(activate-cond-actions1)(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) cts) t' m1 (ts2 t') m2>
    note IH3 = <math>\langle \bigwedge ts1. [\forall t'. t' \neq t \implies tbisim(ws t' = None) t'(ts1 t') m1 (ts2 t') m2; ts1 t = \lfloor xln \rfloor; r2.cond-action-oks(ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) t cts] \\
      \implies r1.cond-action-oks(activate-cond-actions1)(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) cts t cts>
    note IH4 = <math>\langle \bigwedge ts1. [\forall t'. t' \neq t \implies tbisim(ws t' = None) t'(ts1 t') m1 (ts2 t') m2; ts1 t = \lfloor xln \rfloor; r2.cond-action-oks(ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) t cts] \\
      \implies thr(activate-cond-actions1)(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) cts t = \lfloor xln \rfloor>
{ fix ts1
  assume tbisim: <math>\bigwedge t'. t' \neq t \implies tbisim(ws t' = None) t'(ts1 t') m1 (ts2 t') m2
  and ts1t: ts1 t = \lfloor xln \rfloor
  and ct: r2.cond-action-oks(ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) t (ct # cts)
  from ct have 1: r2.cond-action-ok(ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) t ct
  and 2: r2.cond-action-oks(ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) t cts by auto
  let ?s1' = activate-cond-action1(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) ct
  from cond-actions-ok-bisim-ex-τ1-inv[OF tbisim, OF - ts1t ts2t 1]
  have tbisim': <math>\bigwedge t'. t' \neq t \implies tbisim(ws t' = None) t'(thr ?s1' t') m1 (ts2 t') m2
  and red: τmRed1(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) ?s1' and ct': r1.cond-action-ok ?s1' t ct
  and ts1't: thr ?s1' t = \lfloor xln \rfloor by blast+
  let ?s1'' = activate-cond-actions1 ?s1' (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) cts
  have locks ?s1' = ls shr ?s1' = m1 wset ?s1' = ws interrupts ?s1' = is by simp-all
  hence s1': (ls, (thr ?s1', m1), ws, is) = ?s1' by(cases ?s1') auto
  from IH1[OF tbisim', OF - ts1't 2] s1' have red': τmRed1 ?s1' ?s1'' by simp
  with red show τmRed1 (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (activate-cond-actions1)(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) (ct # cts)
    by auto
  { fix t'
    assume t't: t' \neq t
    from IH2[OF t't tbisim', OF - ts1't 2] s1'
    show tbisim(ws t' = None) t'(thr(activate-cond-actions1)(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) cts) t' m1 (ts2 t') m2
      by auto
    from red' ct' have r1.cond-action-ok ?s1'' t ct by(rule cond-actions-ok-τmRed1-inv)
    with IH3[OF tbisim', OF - ts1't 2] s1'
    show r1.cond-action-oks(activate-cond-actions1)(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) (ct # cts) t (ct # cts)
      by auto
    from ts1't IH4[OF tbisim', OF - ts1't 2] s1'
    show thr(activate-cond-actions1)(ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) (ct # cts) t = \lfloor xln \rfloor
  by auto
qed(auto)
}

lemma cond-actions-ok-bisim-ex-τ2-inv:
  fixes ls ts1 m1 is ws ts2 m2 ct

```

```

defines s2' ≡ activate-cond-action2 (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) ct
assumes mbisim: ⋀ t'. t' ≠ t ⟹ tbisim (ws t' = None) t' (ts1 t') m1 (ts2 t') m2
and ts1t: ts1 t = Some xln
and ts2t: ts2 t = Some xln'
and ct: r1.cond-action-ok (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) t ct
shows τmRed2 (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) s2'
and ⋀ t'. t' ≠ t ⟹ tbisim (ws t' = None) t' (ts1 t') m1 (thr s2' t') m2
and r2.cond-action-ok s2' t ct
and thr s2' t = Some xln'
unfolding s2'-def
by(blast intro: FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base.cond-actions-ok-bisim-ex-τ1-inv[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base-flip,
where bisim-wait = flip bisim-wait, unfolded flip-simps, OF mbisim -- ct, OF - ts2t ts1t])+

lemma cond-actions-oks-bisim-ex-τ2-inv:
fixes ls ts1 m1 ws is ts2 m2 cts
defines s2' ≡ activate-cond-actions2 (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) cts
assumes tbisim: ⋀ t'. t' ≠ t ⟹ tbisim (ws t' = None) t' (ts1 t') m1 (ts2 t') m2
and ts1t: ts1 t = Some xln
and ts2t: ts2 t = Some xln'
and ct: r1.cond-action-oks (ls, (ts1, m1), ws, is) t cts
shows τmRed2 (ls, (ts2, m2), ws, is) s2'
and ⋀ t'. t' ≠ t ⟹ tbisim (ws t' = None) t' (ts1 t') m1 (thr s2' t') m2
and r2.cond-action-oks s2' t cts
and thr s2' t = Some xln'
unfolding s2'-def
by(blast intro: FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base.cond-actions-oks-bisim-ex-τ1-inv[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base-flip,
where bisim-wait = flip bisim-wait, unfolded flip-simps, OF tbisim -- ct, OF - ts2t ts1t])+

lemma mfinal1-inv-simulation:
assumes s1 ≈m s2
shows ∃ s2'. r2.mthr.silent-moves s2 s2' ∧ s1 ≈m s2' ∧ r1.final-threads s1 ⊆ r2.final-threads s2'
∧ shr s2' = shr s2
proof -
  from ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩ have finite (dom (thr s1)) by(auto dest: mbisim-finite1)
  moreover have r1.final-threads s1 ⊆ dom (thr s1) by(auto simp add: r1.final-thread-def)
  ultimately have finite (r1.final-threads s1) by(blast intro: finite-subset)
  thus ?thesis using ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩
  proof(induct A≡r1.final-threads s1 arbitrary: s1 s2 rule: finite-induct)
    case empty
    from ⟨{} = r1.final-threads s1⟩[symmetric] have ∀ t. ¬ r1.final-thread s1 t by(auto)
    with ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩ show ?case by blast
  next
    case (insert t A)
    define s1' where s1' = (locks s1, ((thr s1)(t := None), shr s1), wset s1, interrupts s1)
    define s2' where s2' = (locks s2, ((thr s2)(t := None), shr s2), wset s2, interrupts s2)
    from ⟨t ∉ A⟩ ⟨insert t A = r1.final-threads s1⟩ have A = r1.final-threads s1'
      unfolding s1'-def by(auto simp add: r1.final-thread-def r1.final-threads-def)
    moreover from ⟨insert t A = r1.final-threads s1⟩ have r1.final-thread s1 t by auto
    hence wset s1 t = None by(auto simp add: r1.final-thread-def)
    with ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩ have s1' ≈m s2' unfolding s1'-def s2'-def
      by(auto simp add: mbisim-def intro: tbisim-NoneI intro!: wset-thread-okiI dest: wset-thread-okD
split: if-split-asm)
    ultimately have ∃ s2''. r2.mthr.silent-moves s2' s2'' ∧ s1' ≈m s2'' ∧ r1.final-threads s1' ⊆
r2.final-threads s2'' ∧ shr s2'' = shr s2' by(rule insert)
  
```

```

then obtain s2'' where reds: r2.mthr.silent-moves s2' s2''
  and s1' ≈m s2'' and fin:  $\bigwedge t. r1.\text{final-thread } s1' t \implies r2.\text{final-thread } s2'' t$  and shr s2'' = shr
s2' by blast
  have thr s2' t = None unfolding s2'-def by simp
  with ⟨r2.mthr.silent-moves s2' s2''⟩
  have r2.mthr.silent-moves (locks s2', ((thr s2')(t ↦ the (thr s2 t)), shr s2'), wset s2', interrupts
s2')
    (locks s2'', ((thr s2'')(t ↦ the (thr s2 t)), shr s2''), wset s2'', interrupts s2'')
    by(rule r2.τmRedT-add-thread-inv)
  also let ?s2'' = (locks s2, ((thr s2'')(t ↦ the (thr s2 t)), shr s2), wset s2, interrupts s2)
  from ⟨shr s2'' = shr s2'⟩ ⟨s1' ≈m s2''⟩ ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩
  have (locks s2'', ((thr s2'')(t ↦ the (thr s2 t)), shr s2''), wset s2'', interrupts s2'') = ?s2''
    unfolding s2'-def s1'-def by(simp add: mbisim-def)
  also (back-subst) from ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩ have dom (thr s1) = dom (thr s2) by(rule mbisim-dom-eq)
  with ⟨r1.final-thread s1 t⟩ have t ∈ dom (thr s2) by(auto simp add: r1.final-thread-def)
  then obtain x2 ln where tst2: thr s2 t = ⌊(x2, ln)⌋ by auto
  hence (locks s2', ((thr s2')(t ↦ the (thr s2 t)), shr s2'), wset s2', interrupts s2') = s2
    unfolding s2'-def by(cases s2)(auto intro!: ext)
  also from ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩ tst2 obtain x1
    where tst1: thr s1 t = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋
    and bisim: t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2, shr s2) by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD2)
  from ⟨shr s2'' = shr s2'⟩ have shr ?s2'' = shr s2 by(simp add: s2'-def)
  from ⟨r1.final-thread s1 t⟩ tst1
  have final: final1 x1 ln = no-wait-locks wset s1 t = None by(auto simp add: r1.final-thread-def)
  with final1-simulation[OF bisim] ⟨shr ?s2'' = shr s2⟩ obtain x2' m2'
    where red: r2.silent-moves t (x2, shr ?s2'') (x2', m2')
    and bisim': t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2', m2') and final2 x2' by auto
  from ⟨wset s1 t = None⟩ ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩ have wset s2 t = None by(simp add: mbisim-def)
  with bisim r2.silent-moves-into-RedT-τ-inv[OF red] tst2 ⟨ln = no-wait-locks⟩
  have r2.mthr.silent-moves ?s2'' (redT-upd-ε ?s2'' t x2' m2') unfolding s2'-def by auto
  also (rtranclp-trans)
  from bisim r2.red-rtrancl-τ-heapD-inv[OF red] have m2' = shr s2 by auto
  hence s1 ≈m (redT-upd-ε ?s2'' t x2' m2')
    using ⟨s1' ≈m s2''⟩ ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩ tst1 tst2 ⟨shr ?s2'' = shr s2⟩ bisim' ⟨shr s2'' = shr s2'⟩ ⟨wset
s2 t = None⟩
    unfolding s1'-def s2'-def by(auto simp add: mbisim-def redT-updLns-def split: if-split-asm intro:
tbisim-SomeI)
  moreover {
    fix t'
    assume r1.final-thread s1 t'
    with fin[of t'] ⟨final2 x2'⟩ tst2 ⟨ln = no-wait-locks⟩ ⟨wset s2 t = None⟩ ⟨s1' ≈m s2''⟩ ⟨s1 ≈m
s2⟩
    have r2.final-thread (redT-upd-ε ?s2'' t x2' m2') t' unfolding s1'-def
      by(fastforce split: if-split-asm simp add: r2.final-thread-def r1.final-thread-def redT-updLns-def
finfun-Diag-const2 o-def mbisim-def)
  }
  moreover have shr (redT-upd-ε ?s2'' t x2' m2') = shr s2 using ⟨m2' = shr s2⟩ by simp
  ultimately show ?case by blast
qed
qed

lemma mfinal2-inv-simulation:
  s1 ≈m s2 ⟹ ∃ s1'. r1.mthr.silent-moves s1 s1' ∧ s1' ≈m s2 ∧ r2.final-threads s2 ⊆ r1.final-threads
  s1' ∧ shr s1' = shr s1

```

```

using FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base.mfinal1-inv-simulation[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base-flip,
where bisim-wait=flip bisim-wait]
by(unfold flip-simps)

lemma mfinal1-simulation:
assumes s1 ≈m s2 and r1.mfinal s1
shows ∃ s2'. r2.mthr.silent-moves s2 s2' ∧ s1 ≈m s2' ∧ r2.mfinal s2' ∧ shr s2' = shr s2
proof -
from mfinal1-inv-simulation[OF ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩]
obtain s2' where 1: r2.mthr.silent-moves s2 s2' s1 ≈m s2' shr s2' = shr s2
and fin: ∀ t. r1.final-thread s1 t ==> r2.final-thread s2' t by blast
have r2.mfinal s2'
proof(rule r2.mfinalI)
fix t x2 ln
assume thr s2' t = ⌊(x2, ln)⌋
with ⟨s1 ≈m s2'⟩ obtain x1 where thr s1 t = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋ t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2, shr s2')
by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD2)
from ⟨thr s1 t = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋⟩ ⟨r1.mfinal s1⟩ have r1.final-thread s1 t
by(auto elim!: r1.mfinalE simp add: r1.final-thread-def)
hence r2.final-thread s2' t by(rule fin)
thus final2 x2 ∧ ln = no-wait-locks ∧ wset s2' t = None
using ⟨thr s2' t = ⌊(x2, ln)⌋⟩ by(auto simp add: r2.final-thread-def)
qed
with 1 show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma mfinal2-simulation:
⟨ s1 ≈m s2; r2.mfinal s2 ⟩
==> ∃ s1'. r1.mthr.silent-moves s1 s1' ≈m s2 ∧ r1.mfinal s1' ∧ shr s1' = shr s1
using FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base.mfinal1-simulation[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base-flip, where
bisim-wait = flip bisim-wait]
by(unfold flip-simps)

end

locale FWdelay-bisimulation-obs =
FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base - - - - - τmove1 τmove2
for τmove1 :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w,'o) τmoves
and τmove2 :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w,'o) τmoves +
assumes delay-bisimulation-obs-locale: delay-bisimulation-obs (r1 t) (r2 t) (bisim t) (ta-bisim bisim)
τmove1 τmove2
and bisim-inv-red-other:
⟨ t' ⊢ (x, m1) ≈ (xx, m2); t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2);
r1.silent-moves t (x1, m1) (x1', m1);
t ⊢ (x1', m1) -1-ta1 → (x1'', m1'); ¬ τmove1 (x1', m1) ta1 (x1'', m1');
r2.silent-moves t (x2, m2) (x2', m2);
t ⊢ (x2', m2) -2-ta2 → (x2'', m2'); ¬ τmove2 (x2', m2) ta2 (x2'', m2');
t ⊢ (x1'', m1') ≈ (x2'', m2'); ta-bisim bisim ta1 ta2 ⟩
==> t' ⊢ (x, m1') ≈ (xx, m2')
and bisim-waitI:
⟨ t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2); r1.silent-moves t (x1, m1) (x1', m1);
t ⊢ (x1', m1) -1-ta1 → (x1'', m1'); ¬ τmove1 (x1', m1) ta1 (x1'', m1');
r2.silent-moves t (x2, m2) (x2', m2);
t ⊢ (x2', m2) -2-ta2 → (x2'', m2'); ¬ τmove2 (x2', m2) ta2 (x2'', m2');
```

```

 $t \vdash (x1'', m1') \approx (x2'', m2'); ta\text{-bisim bisim } ta1 \text{ } ta2;$ 
 $Suspend w \in set \{ta1\}_w; Suspend w \in set \{ta2\}_w \]$ 
 $\implies x1'' \approx_w x2''$ 
and simulation-Wakeup1:
 $\llbracket t \vdash (x1, m1) \approx (x2, m2); x1 \approx_w x2; t \vdash (x1, m1) -1-ta1\rightarrow (x1', m1'); Notified \in set \{ta1\}_w$ 
 $\vee WokenUp \in set \{ta1\}_w \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists ta2 \text{ } x2' \text{ } m2'. t \vdash (x2, m2) -2-ta2\rightarrow (x2', m2') \wedge t \vdash (x1', m1') \approx (x2', m2') \wedge ta\text{-bisim bisim } ta1 \text{ } ta2$ 
and simulation-Wakeup2:
 $\llbracket t \vdash (x1, m1) \approx (x2, m2); x1 \approx_w x2; t \vdash (x2, m2) -2-ta2\rightarrow (x2', m2'); Notified \in set \{ta2\}_w$ 
 $\vee WokenUp \in set \{ta2\}_w \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists ta1 \text{ } x1' \text{ } m1'. t \vdash (x1, m1) -1-ta1\rightarrow (x1', m1') \wedge t \vdash (x1', m1') \approx (x2', m2') \wedge ta\text{-bisim bisim } ta1 \text{ } ta2$ 
and ex-final1-conv-ex-final2:
 $(\exists x1. final1 \text{ } x1) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x2. final2 \text{ } x2)$ 

sublocale FWdelay-bisimulation-obs <
 $delay\text{-bisimulation-obs } r1 \text{ } t \text{ } r2 \text{ } t \text{ bisim } t \text{ } ta\text{-bisim bisim } \tau move1 \text{ } \tau move2 \text{ for } t$ 
by(rule delay-bisimulation-obs-locale)

context FWdelay-bisimulation-obs begin

lemma FWdelay-bisimulation-obs-flip:
 $FWdelay\text{-bisimulation-obs } final2 \text{ } r2 \text{ } final1 \text{ } r1 \text{ } (\lambda t. flip(bisim t)) \text{ } (flip \text{ bisim-wait}) \text{ } \tau move2 \text{ } \tau move1$ 
apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-obs.intro)
apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base-flip)
apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-obs-axioms.intro)
apply(unfold flip-simps)
apply(rule delay-bisimulation-obs-axioms)
apply(erule (9) bisim-inv-red-other)
apply(erule (10) bisim-waitI)
apply(erule (3) simulation-Wakeup2)
apply(erule (3) simulation-Wakeup1)
apply(rule ex-final1-conv-ex-final2[symmetric])
done

end

lemma FWdelay-bisimulation-obs-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
 $FWdelay\text{-bisimulation-obs } final2 \text{ } r2 \text{ } final1 \text{ } r1 \text{ } (\lambda t. flip(bisim t)) \text{ } (flip \text{ bisim-wait}) \text{ } \tau move2 \text{ } \tau move1 =$ 
 $FWdelay\text{-bisimulation-obs } final1 \text{ } r1 \text{ } final2 \text{ } r2 \text{ bisim bisim-wait } \tau move1 \text{ } \tau move2$ 
by(auto dest: FWdelay-bisimulation-obs.FWdelay-bisimulation-obs-flip simp only: flip-flip)

context FWdelay-bisimulation-obs begin

lemma mbisim-redT-upd:
fixes s1 t ta1 x1' m1' s2 ta2 x2' m2' ln
assumes s1': redT-upd s1 t ta1 x1' m1' s1'
and s2': redT-upd s2 t ta2 x2' m2' s2'
and [simp]: wset s1 = wset s2 locks s1 = locks s2
and wset: wset s1' = wset s2'
and interrupts: interrupts s1' = interrupts s2'
and fin1: finite (dom (thr s1))

```

```

and wsts: wset-thread-ok (wset s1) (thr s1)
and tst: thr s1 t =  $\lfloor(x_1, ln)\rfloor$ 
and tst': thr s2 t =  $\lfloor(x_2, ln)\rfloor$ 
and aoe1: r1.actions-ok s1 t ta1
and aoe2: r2.actions-ok s2 t ta2
and tasim: ta-bisim bisim ta1 ta2
and bisim': t ⊢ (x1', m1') ≈ (x2', m2')
and bisimw: wset s1' t = None ∨ x1' ≈w x2'
and τred1: r1.silent-moves t (x1'', shr s1) (x1, shr s1)
and red1: t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) -1-ta1→ (x1', m1')
and τred2: r2.silent-moves t (x2'', shr s2) (x2, shr s2)
and red2: t ⊢ (x2, shr s2) -2-ta2→ (x2', m2')
and bisim: t ⊢ (x1'', shr s1) ≈ (x2'', shr s2)
and τ1: ¬ τmove1 (x1, shr s1) ta1 (x1', m1')
and τ2: ¬ τmove2 (x2, shr s2) ta2 (x2', m2')
and tbisim:  $\bigwedge t'. t \neq t' \implies$  tbisim (wset s1 t' = None) t' (thr s1 t') (shr s1) (thr s2 t') (shr s2)
shows s1' ≈m s2'
proof(rule mbisimI)
  from fin1 s1' show finite (dom (thr s1'))
    by(auto simp add: redT-updTs-finite-dom-inv)
next
  from tasim s1' s2' show locks s1' = locks s2'
    by(auto simp add: redT-updLs-def o-def ta-bisim-def)
next
  from wset show wset s1' = wset s2'.
next
  from interrupts show interrupts s1' = interrupts s2'.
next
  from wsts s1' s2' wset show wset-thread-ok (wset s1') (thr s1')
    by(fastforce intro!: wset-thread-okI split: if-split-asm dest: redT-updTs-None wset-thread-okD redT-updWs-None-implies-None)
next
  fix T
  assume thr s1' T = None
  moreover with tst s1' have [simp]: t ≠ T by auto
  from tbisim[OF this] have (thr s1 T = None) = (thr s2 T = None)
    by(auto simp add: tbisim-def)
  hence (redT-updTs (thr s1) {ta1}t T = None) = (redT-updTs (thr s2) {ta2}t T = None)
    using tasim by -(rule redT-updTs-nta-bisim-inv, simp-all add: ta-bisim-def)
    ultimately show thr s2' T = None using s2' s1' by(auto split: if-split-asm)
next
  fix T X1 LN
  assume tsT: thr s1' T =  $\lfloor(X_1, LN)\rfloor$ 
  show  $\exists x_2. \text{thr } s2' T = \lfloor(x_2, LN)\rfloor \wedge T \vdash (X_1, \text{shr } s1') \approx (x_2, \text{shr } s2') \wedge (\text{wset } s2' T = \text{None} \vee X_1 \approxw x_2)$ 
  proof(cases thr s1 T)
    case None
      with tst have t ≠ T by auto
      with tbisim[OF this] None have tsT': thr s2 T = None by(simp add: tbisim-def)
      from None case t ≠ T tsT aoe1 s1' obtain M1
        where ntset: NewThread T X1 M1 ∈ set {ta1}t and [simp]: LN = no-wait-locks
          by(auto dest!: redT-updTs-new-thread)
      from ntset obtain tas1 tas1' where {ta1}t = tas1 @ NewThread T X1 M1 # tas1'
        by(auto simp add: in-set-conv-decomp)
      with tasim obtain tas2 X2 M2 tas2' where {ta2}t = tas2 @ NewThread T X2 M2 # tas2'

```

```

length tas2 = length tas2 length tas1' = length tas2' and Bisim:  $T \vdash (X1, M1) \approx (X2, M2)$ 
  by(auto simp add: list-all2-append1 list-all2-Cons1 ta-bisim-def)
  hence ntset': NewThread T X2 M2 ∈ set {ta2} t by auto
  with tsT' < t ≠ T > aoe2 s2' have thr s2' T = [(X2, no-wait-locks)]
    by(auto intro: redT-updTs-new-thread-ts)
  moreover from ntset' red2 have m2' = M2 by(auto dest: r2.new-thread-memory)
  moreover from ntset red1 have m1' = M1
    by(auto dest: r1.new-thread-memory)
  moreover from wsts None have wset s1 T = None by(rule wset-thread-okD)
  ultimately show ?thesis using Bisim < t ≠ T > s1' s2'
    by(auto simp add: redT-updWs-None-implies-None)
next
  case (Some a)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases t = T)
    case True
    with tst tsT s1' have [simp]:  $X1 = x1' LN = \text{redT-updLns}(\text{locks } s1) t \ln \{ta1\}_l$  by(auto)
    show ?thesis using True bisim' bisimw tasim tst tsT' s1' s2' wset
      by(auto simp add: redT-updLns-def ta-bisim-def)
next
  case False
  with Some aoe1 tsT s1' have thr s1 T = [(X1, LN)] by(auto dest: redT-updTs-Some)
  with tbisim[OF False] obtain X2
    where tsT': thr s2 T = [(X2, LN)] and Bisim:  $T \vdash (X1, shr s1) \approx (X2, shr s2)$ 
      and bisimw: wset s1 T = None ∨ X1 ≈w X2 by(auto simp add: tbisim-def)
    with aoe2 False s2' have tsT': thr s2' T = [(X2, LN)] by(auto simp add: redT-updTs-Some)
    moreover from Bisim bisim τred1 red1 τ1 τred2 red2 τ2 bisim' tasim
      have  $T \vdash (X1, m1') \approx (X2, m2')$  by(rule bisim-inv-red-other)
    ultimately show ?thesis using False bisimw s1' s2'
      by(auto simp add: redT-updWs-None-implies-None)
  qed
  qed
  qed

theorem mbisim-simulation1:
  assumes mbisim: mbisim s1 s2 and ¬ mτmove1 s1 tl1 s1' r1.redT s1 tl1 s1'
  shows ∃ s2' s2'' tl2. r2.mthr.silent-moves s2 s2' ∧ r2.redT s2' tl2 s2'' ∧
    ¬ mτmove2 s2' tl2 s2'' ∧ mbisim s1' s2'' ∧ mta-bisim tl1 tl2
proof –
  from assms obtain t ta1 where tl1 [simp]:  $tl1 = (t, ta1)$  and redT:  $s1 \dashv\!-\! t \triangleright ta1 \rightarrow s1'$ 
    and mτ: ¬ mτmove1 s1 (t, ta1) s1' by(cases tl1) fastforce
  obtain ls1 ts1 m1 ws1 is1 where [simp]:  $s1 = (ls1, (ts1, m1), ws1, is1)$  by(cases s1) fastforce
  obtain ls1' ts1' m1' ws1' is1' where [simp]:  $s1' = (ls1', (ts1', m1'), ws1', is1')$  by(cases s1') fastforce
  obtain ls2 ts2 m2 ws2 is2 where [simp]:  $s2 = (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2)$  by(cases s2) fastforce
  from mbisim have [simp]:  $ls2 = ls1 \quad ws2 = ws1 \quad is2 = is1 \quad \text{finite } (\text{dom } ts1)$  by(auto simp add: mbisim-def)
  from redT show ?thesis
proof cases
  case (redT-normal x1 x1' M1')
  hence red:  $t \vdash (x1, m1) \dashv\!-\! ta1 \rightarrow (x1', M1')$ 
    and tst:  $ts1 \ t = [(x1, \text{no-wait-locks})]$ 
    and aoe: r1.actions-ok s1 t ta1
    and s1': redT-upd s1 t ta1 x1' M1' s1' by auto

```

```

from mbisim tst obtain x2 where tst': ts2 t = [(x2, no-wait-locks)]
  and bisim: t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2) by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
from mτ have τ: ¬ τmove1 (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', M1')
proof(rule contrapos-nn)
  assume τ: τmove1 (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', M1')
  moreover hence [simp]: ta1 = ε by(rule r1.silent-tl)
  moreover have [simp]: M1' = m1 by(rule r1.τmove-heap[OF red τ, symmetric])
  ultimately show mτmove1 s1 (t, ta1) s1' using s1' tst s1'
    by(auto simp add: redT-updLs-def o-def intro: r1.mτmove.intros elim: rtrancl3p-cases)
qed
show ?thesis
proof(cases ws1 t)
  case None
  note wst = this
  from simulation1[OF bisim red τ] obtain x2' M2' x2'' M2'' ta2
    where red21: r2.silent-moves t (x2, m2) (x2', M2')
    and red22: t ⊢ (x2', M2') -2-ta2→ (x2'', M2'') and τ2: ¬ τmove2 (x2', M2') ta2 (x2'', M2'')
  and bisim': t ⊢ (x1', M1') ≈ (x2'', M2'')
  and tasim: ta-bisim bisim ta1 ta2 by auto
  let ?s2' = redT-upd-ε s2 t x2' M2'
  let ?S2' = activate-cond-actions2 s1 ?s2' {ta2}c
  let ?s2'' = (redT-updLs (locks ?S2') t {ta2}l, ((redT-updTs (thr ?S2') {ta2}t)(t ⊢ (x2'', M2'')) t (snd (the (thr ?S2' t))) {ta2}l), M2''), wset s1', interrupts s1')
  from red21 tst' wst bisim have τmRed2 s2 ?s2'
    by -(rule r2.silent-moves-into-RedT-τ-inv, auto)
  moreover from red21 bisim have [simp]: M2' = m2 by(auto dest: r2.red-rtrancl-τ-heapD-inv)
  from tasim have [simp]: {ta1}l = {ta2}l {ta1}w = {ta2}w {ta1}c = {ta2}c {ta1}i = {ta2}i
    and nta: list-all2 (nta-bisim bisim) {ta1}t {ta2}t by(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def)
  from mbisim have tbisim: ∀t. tbisim (ws1 t = None) t (ts1 t) m1 (ts2 t) m2 by(simp add: mbisim-def)
    hence tbisim': ∀t'. t' ≠ t ⇒ tbisim (ws1 t' = None) t' (ts1 t') m1 (thr ?s2' t') m2 by(auto)
    from aoe have cao1: r1.cond-action-oks (ls1, (ts1, m1), ws1, is1) t {ta2}c by auto
    from tst' have thr ?s2' t = [(x2', no-wait-locks)] by(auto simp add: redT-updLns-def o-def finfun-Diag-const2)
      from cond-actions-oks-bisim-ex-τ2-inv[OF tbisim', OF - tst this cao1]
      have red21': τmRed2 ?s2' ?S2' and tbisim'': ∀t'. t' ≠ t ⇒ tbisim (ws1 t' = None) t' (ts1 t') m1 (thr ?S2' t') m2
        and cao2: r2.cond-action-oks ?S2' t {ta2}c and tst'': thr ?S2' t = [(x2', no-wait-locks)]
          by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)
        note red21' also (rtranclp-trans)
        from tbisim'' tst'' tst have ∀t'. ts1 t' = None ↔ thr ?S2' t' = None by(force simp add: tbisim-def)
        from aoe thread-oks-bisim-inv[OF this nta] have thread-oks (thr ?S2') {ta2}t by simp
        with cao2 aoe have aoe': r2.actions-ok ?S2' t ta2 by auto
        with red22 tst'' s1' have ?S2' -2-ta2→ ?s2''
          by -(rule r2.redT.redT-normal, auto)
      moreover
      from τ2 have ¬ mτmove2 ?S2' (t, ta2) ?s2''
      proof(rule contrapos-nn)
        assume mτ: mτmove2 ?S2' (t, ta2) ?s2''
        thus τmove2 (x2', M2') ta2 (x2'', M2'') using tst'' tst'
          by cases auto
      
```

```

qed
moreover
{
  note s1'
  moreover have redT-upd ?S2' t ta2 x2'' M2'' ?s2'' using s1' by auto
  moreover have wset s1 = wset ?S2' locks s1 = locks ?S2' by simp-all
  moreover have wset s1' = wset ?s2'' by simp
  moreover have interrupts s1' = interrupts ?s2'' by simp
  moreover have finite (dom (thr s1)) by simp
  moreover from mbisim have wset-thread-ok (wset s1) (thr s1) by(simp add: mbisim-def)
  moreover from tst have thr s1 t = [(x1, no-wait-locks)] by simp
  moreover note tst'' aoe aoe' tasim bisim'
  moreover have wset s1' t = None ∨ x1' ≈w x2''
  proof(cases wset s1' t)
    case None thus ?thesis ..
  next
  case (Some w)
    with wst s1' obtain w' where Suspend1: Suspend w' ∈ set {ta1}w
      by(auto dest: redT-updWs-None-SomeD)
    with tasim have Suspend2: Suspend w' ∈ set {ta2}w by(simp add: ta-bisim-def)
    from bisim-waitI[OF bisim rtranclp.rtrancl-refl red τ --- bisim' tasim Suspend1 this, of x2']
red21 red22 τ 2
  have x1' ≈w x2'' by auto
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
moreover note rtranclp.rtrancl-refl
moreover from red have t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) -1-ta1→ (x1', M1') by simp
moreover from red21 have r2.silent-moves t (x2, shr ?S2') (x2', shr ?S2') by simp
moreover from red22 have t ⊢ (x2', shr ?S2') -2-ta2→ (x2'', M2'') by simp
moreover from bisim have t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2, shr ?S2') by simp
moreover from τ have ¬ τmove1 (x1, shr s1) ta1 (x1', M1') by simp
moreover from τ2 have ¬ τmove2 (x2', shr ?S2') ta2 (x2'', M2'') by simp
moreover from tbisim'
have ∧ t'. t ≠ t' ⇒ tbisim (wset s1 t' = None) t' (thr s1 t') (shr s1) (thr ?S2' t') (shr ?S2')
  by simp
ultimately have mbisim s1' ?s2'' by(rule mbisim-redT-upd)
}
ultimately show ?thesis using tasim unfolding tl1 s1' by fastforce
next
case (Some w)
with mbisim tst tst' have x1 ≈w x2
  by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
from aoe Some have wakeup: Notified ∈ set {ta1}w ∨ WokenUp ∈ set {ta1}w
  by(auto simp add: wset-actions-ok-def split: if-split-asm)
from simulation-Wakeup1[OF bisim ⟨x1 ≈w x2⟩ red this]
obtain ta2 x2' m2' where red2: t ⊢ (x2, m2) -2-ta2→ (x2', m2')
  and bisim': t ⊢ (x1', M1') ≈ (x2', m2')
  and tasim: ta1 ~m ta2 by auto

let ?S2' = activate-cond-actions2 s1 s2 {ta2}c
  let ?s2' = (redT-updLs (locks ?S2') t {ta2}l, ((redT-updTs (thr ?S2') {ta2}t)(t ↦ (x2',
redT-updLns (locks ?S2') t (snd (the (thr ?S2' t))) {ta2}l)), m2'), wset s1', interrupts s1')

```

```

from tasim have [simp]:  $\{ta1\}_l = \{ta2\}_l \{ta1\}_w = \{ta2\}_w \{ta1\}_c = \{ta2\}_c \{ta1\}_i = \{ta2\}_i$ 
and nta: list-all2 (nta-bisim bisim)  $\{ta1\}_t \{ta2\}_t$  by(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def)
from mbisim have tbisim:  $\bigwedge t. tbisim(ws1 t = None) t (ts1 t) m1 (ts2 t) m2$  by(simp add: mbisim-def)
hence tbisim':  $\bigwedge t'. t' \neq t \implies tbisim(ws1 t' = None) t' (ts1 t') m1 (thr s2 t') m2$  by(auto)
from aoe have cao1: r1.cond-action-oks (ls1, (ts1, m1), ws1, is1) t  $\{ta2\}_c$  by auto
from tst' have thr s2 t =  $\lfloor(x2, no-wait-locks)\rfloor$ 
by(auto simp add: redT-updLns-def o-def finfun-Diag-const2)
from cond-actions-oks-bisim-ex- $\tau$ -inv[OF tbisim', OF - tst this cao1]
have red21':  $\tau mRed2 s2 ?S2' \text{ and } tbisim': \bigwedge t'. t' \neq t \implies tbisim(ws1 t' = None) t' (ts1 t') m1 (thr ?S2' t') m2$ 
and cao2: r2.cond-action-oks ?S2' t  $\{ta2\}_c$  and tst'':  $thr ?S2' t = \lfloor(x2, no-wait-locks)\rfloor$ 
by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)
note red21' moreover
from tbisim'' tst'' tst have  $\forall t'. ts1 t' = None \longleftrightarrow thr ?S2' t' = None$  by(force simp add: tbisim-def)
from aoe thread-oks-bisim-inv[OF this nta] have thread-oks (thr ?S2')  $\{ta2\}_t$  by simp
with cao2 aoe have aoe': r2.actions-ok ?S2' t ta2 by auto
with red2 tst'' s1' tasim have ?S2' -2-t $\triangleright$ ta2 $\rightarrow$  ?s2'
by -(rule r2.redT-normal, auto simp add: ta-bisim-def)
moreover from wakeup tasim
have  $\tau 2: \neg \tau move2(x2, m2) ta2(x2', m2')$  by(auto dest: r2.silent-tl)
hence  $\neg m\tau move2 ?S2'(t, ta2) ?s2'$ 
proof(rule contrapos-nn)
assume mt:  $m\tau move2 ?S2'(t, ta2) ?s2'$ 
thus  $\tau move2(x2, m2) ta2(x2', m2')$  using tst'' tst'
by cases auto
qed
moreover {
note s1'
moreover have redT-upd ?S2' t ta2 x2' m2' ?s2' using s1' tasim by(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def)
moreover have wset s1 = wset ?S2' locks s1 = locks ?S2' by simp-all
moreover have wset s1' = wset ?s2' by simp
moreover have interrupts s1' = interrupts ?s2' by simp
moreover have finite (dom (thr s1)) by simp
moreover from mbisim have wset-thread-ok (wset s1) (thr s1) by(rule mbisim-wset-thread-ok1)
moreover from tst have thr s1 t =  $\lfloor(x1, no-wait-locks)\rfloor$  by simp
moreover from tst'' have thr ?S2' t =  $\lfloor(x2, no-wait-locks)\rfloor$  by simp
moreover note aoe aoe' tasim bisim'
moreover have wset s1' t = None  $\vee x1' \approx_w x2'$ 
proof(cases wset s1' t)
case None thus ?thesis ..
next
case (Some w')
with redT-updWs-WokenUp-SuspendD[OF - wakeup, of t wset s1 wset s1' w'] s1'
obtain w' where Suspend1: Suspend w'  $\in$  set  $\{ta1\}_w$  by(auto)
with tasim have Suspend2: Suspend w'  $\in$  set  $\{ta2\}_w$  by(simp add: ta-bisim-def)
with bisim rtranclp.rtrancl-refl red  $\tau$  rtranclp.rtrancl-refl red2  $\tau 2$  bisim' tasim Suspend1
have  $x1' \approx_w x2'$  by(rule bisim-waitI)
thus ?thesis ..
qed
moreover note rtranclp.rtrancl-refl

```

```

moreover from red have  $t \vdash (x_1, \text{shr } s_1) -1-\text{ta1} \rightarrow (x'_1, M'_1)$  by simp
moreover note  $\text{rtranclp.rtrancl-refl}$ 
moreover from red2 have  $t \vdash (x_2, \text{shr } ?S'_2) -2-\text{ta2} \rightarrow (x'_2, m'_2)$  by simp
moreover from bisim have  $t \vdash (x_1, \text{shr } s_1) \approx (x_2, \text{shr } ?S'_2)$  by simp
moreover from  $\tau$  have  $\neg \tau\text{move1 } (x_1, \text{shr } s_1) \text{ ta1 } (x'_1, M'_1)$  by simp
moreover from  $\tau_2$  have  $\neg \tau\text{move2 } (x_2, \text{shr } ?S'_2) \text{ ta2 } (x'_2, m'_2)$  by simp
moreover from tbisim'' have  $\wedge t'. t \neq t' \implies \text{tbisim } (\text{wset } s_1 t' = \text{None}) t' (\text{thr } s_1 t') (\text{shr } s_1) (\text{thr } ?S'_2 t') (\text{shr } ?S'_2)$  by simp
ultimately have  $s_1' \approx_m ?s'_2$  by(rule mbisim-redT-upd) }
moreover from tasim have  $tl1 \sim T (t, \text{ta2})$  by simp
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding  $s_1'$  by blast
qed
next
case (redT-acquire  $x_1 n ln$ )
hence [simp]:  $\text{ta1} = (K[], [], [], [], [], \text{convert-RA } ln)$ 
and  $\text{tst: } \text{thr } s_1 t = \lfloor (x_1, ln) \rfloor$  and  $\text{wst: } \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s_1 t)$ 
and  $\text{maa: } \text{may-acquire-all } (\text{locks } s_1) t ln$  and  $ln: 0 < ln \$ n$ 
and  $s_1': s_1' = (\text{acquire-all } ls_1 t ln, (ts_1(t \mapsto (x_1, \text{no-wait-locks})), m_1), ws_1, is_1)$  by auto
from  $\text{tst mbisim obtain } x_2$  where  $\text{tst': ts2 } t = \lfloor (x_2, ln) \rfloor$ 
and  $\text{bisim: } t \vdash (x_1, m_1) \approx (x_2, m_2)$  by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
let  $?s'_2 = (\text{acquire-all } ls_1 t ln, (ts_2(t \mapsto (x_2, \text{no-wait-locks})), m_2), ws_1, is_1)$ 
from  $\text{tst' wst maa ln have } s_2 -2-t\triangleright (K[], [], [], [], [], \text{convert-RA } ln) \rightarrow ?s'_2$ 
by-(rule r2.redT.redT-acquire, auto)
moreover from  $\text{tst' ln have } \neg m\tau\text{move2 } s_2 (t, (K[], [], [], [], [], \text{convert-RA } ln)) ?s'_2$ 
by(auto simp add: acquire-all-def fun-eq-iff elim!: r2.mtaumove.cases)
moreover have mbisim  $s_1' ?s'_2$ 
proof(rule mbisimI)
from  $s_1'$  show  $\text{locks } s_1' = \text{locks } ?s'_2$  by auto
next
from  $s_1'$  show  $\text{wset } s_1' = \text{wset } ?s'_2$  by auto
next
from  $s_1'$  show  $\text{interrupts } s_1' = \text{interrupts } ?s'_2$  by auto
next
fix  $t'$  assume  $\text{thr } s_1' t' = \text{None}$ 
with  $s_1'$  have  $\text{thr } s_1' t' = \text{None}$  by(auto split: if-split-asm)
with mbisim-thrNone-eq[OF mbisim] have  $ts_2 t' = \text{None}$  by simp
with  $\text{tst'} \text{ show } \text{thr } ?s'_2 t' = \text{None}$  by auto
next
fix  $t' X_1 LN$ 
assume  $ts't: \text{thr } s_1' t' = \lfloor (X_1, LN) \rfloor$ 
show  $\exists x_2. \text{thr } ?s'_2 t' = \lfloor (x_2, LN) \rfloor \wedge t' \vdash (X_1, \text{shr } s_1') \approx (x_2, \text{shr } ?s'_2) \wedge (\text{wset } ?s'_2 t' = \text{None} \vee X_1 \approx_w x_2)$ 
proof(cases  $t' = t$ )
case True
with  $s_1' ts't$  have [simp]:  $X_1 = x_1 LN = \text{no-wait-locks}$  by simp-all
with mbisim-thrD1[OF mbisim tst] bisim  $tst' \text{ True } s_1' \text{ wst }$  show ?thesis by(auto)
next
case False
with  $ts't s_1'$  have  $ts_1 t' = \lfloor (X_1, LN) \rfloor$  by auto
with mbisim obtain  $X_2$  where  $ts_2 t' = \lfloor (X_2, LN) \rfloor t' \vdash (X_1, m_1) \approx (X_2, m_2) \text{ wset } ?s'_2 t' = \text{None} \vee X_1 \approx_w X_2$ 
by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
with False  $s_1'$  show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

next
  from s1' show finite (dom (thr s1')) by auto
next
  from mbisim-wset-thread-ok1[OF mbisim]
  show wset-thread-ok (wset s1') (thr s1') using s1' by(auto intro: wset-thread-ok-upd)
qed
moreover have (t, K$[],[],[],[],[], convert-RA ln) ~T (t, K$[],[],[],[],[], convert-RA ln)
  by(simp add: ta-bisim-def)
ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
qed

theorem mbisim-simulation2:
   $\llbracket \text{mbisim } s1\ s2; r2.\text{redT } s2\ tl2\ s2'; \neg m\tau\text{move2 } s2\ tl2\ s2' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists s1'\ s1''\ tl1.\ r1.\text{mthr.silent-moves } s1\ s1' \wedge r1.\text{redT } s1'\ tl1\ s1'' \wedge \neg m\tau\text{move1 } s1'\ tl1\ s1'' \wedge$ 
     $\text{mbisim } s1''\ s2' \wedge \text{mta-bisim } tl1\ tl2$ 
using FWdelay-bisimulation-obs.mbisim-simulation1[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-obs-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

end

locale FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge =
  FWdelay-bisimulation-obs ----- τmove1 τmove2
  for τmove1 :: ('l,'t,'x1,'m1,'w,'o) τmoves
  and τmove2 :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w,'o) τmoves +
  assumes delay-bisimulation-diverge-locale: delay-bisimulation-diverge (r1 t) (r2 t) (bisim t) (ta-bisim
  bisim) τmove1 τmove2

sublocale FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge <
  delay-bisimulation-diverge r1 t r2 t bisim t ta-bisim bisim τmove1 τmove2 for t
  by(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-locale)

context FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge begin

lemma FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-flip:
  FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge final2 r2 final1 r1 (λt. flip (bisim t)) (flip bisim-wait) τmove2 τmove1
  apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.intro)
  apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-obs-flip)
  apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-axioms.intro)
  apply(unfold flip-simps)
  apply(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-axioms)
  done

end

lemma FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-flip-simps [flip-simps]:
  FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge final2 r2 final1 r1 (λt. flip (bisim t)) (flip bisim-wait) τmove2 τmove1
  =
  FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge final1 r1 final2 r2 bisim bisim-wait τmove1 τmove2
  by(auto dest: FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-flip simp only: flip-flip)

context FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge begin

lemma bisim-inv1:

```

```

assumes bisim:  $t \vdash s1 \approx s2$ 
and red:  $t \vdash s1 - 1 - ta1 \rightarrow s1'$ 
obtains s2' where  $t \vdash s1' \approx s2'$ 
proof(atomize-elim)
  show  $\exists s2'. t \vdash s1' \approx s2'$ 
  proof(cases  $\tau move1 s1 ta1 s1'$ )
    case True
      with red have r1.silent-move t s1 s1' by auto
      from simulation-silent1[OF bisim this]
      show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case False
      from simulation1[OF bisim red False] show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  qed

lemma bisim-inv2:
  assumes  $t \vdash s1 \approx s2$   $t \vdash s2 - 2 - ta2 \rightarrow s2'$ 
  obtains s1' where  $t \vdash s1' \approx s2'$ 
  using assms FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.bisim-inv1[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-flip]
  unfolding flip-simps by blast

lemma bisim-inv: bisim-inv
  by(blast intro!: bisim-invI elim: bisim-inv1 bisim-inv2)

lemma bisim-inv- $\tau s1$ :
  assumes  $t \vdash s1 \approx s2$  and r1.silent-moves t s1 s1'
  obtains s2' where  $t \vdash s1' \approx s2'$ 
  using assms by(rule bisim-inv- $\tau s1$ -inv[OF bisim-inv])

lemma bisim-inv- $\tau s2$ :
  assumes  $t \vdash s1 \approx s2$  and r2.silent-moves t s2 s2'
  obtains s1' where  $t \vdash s1' \approx s2'$ 
  using assms by(rule bisim-inv- $\tau s2$ -inv[OF bisim-inv])

lemma red1-rtrancl- $\tau$ -into-RedT- $\tau$ :
  assumes r1.silent-moves t (x1, shr s1) (x1', m1')  $t \vdash (x1, shr s1) \approx (x2, m2)$ 
  and thr s1 t = [(x1, no-wait-locks)] wset s1 t = None
  shows  $\tau mRed1 s1$  (redT-upd- $\varepsilon$  s1 t x1' m1')
  using assms by(blast intro: r1.silent-moves-into-RedT- $\tau$ -inv)

lemma red2-rtrancl- $\tau$ -into-RedT- $\tau$ :
  assumes r2.silent-moves t (x2, shr s2) (x2', m2')
  and t  $\vdash (x1, m1) \approx (x2, shr s2)$  thr s2 t = [(x2, no-wait-locks)] wset s2 t = None
  shows  $\tau mRed2 s2$  (redT-upd- $\varepsilon$  s2 t x2' m2')
  using assms by(blast intro: r2.silent-moves-into-RedT- $\tau$ -inv)

lemma red1-rtrancl- $\tau$ -heapD:
   $\llbracket r1.\text{silent-moves } t s1 s1'; t \vdash s1 \approx s2 \rrbracket \implies \text{snd } s1' = \text{snd } s1$ 
  by(blast intro: r1.red-rtrancl- $\tau$ -heapD-inv)

lemma red2-rtrancl- $\tau$ -heapD:
   $\llbracket r2.\text{silent-moves } t s2 s2'; t \vdash s1 \approx s2 \rrbracket \implies \text{snd } s2' = \text{snd } s2$ 
  by(blast intro: r2.red-rtrancl- $\tau$ -heapD-inv)

```

lemma *mbisim-simulation-silent1*:

assumes $m\tau': r1.mthr.silent-move s1 s1'$ **and** $mbisim: s1 \approx_m s2$

shows $\exists s2'. r2.mthr.silent-moves s2 s2' \wedge s1' \approx_m s2'$

proof –

from $m\tau'$ obtain $tl1$ where $m\tau move1 s1 tl1 s1' r1.redT s1 tl1 s1'$ by auto

obtain $ls1 ts1 m1 ws1 is1$ where [simp]: $s1 = (ls1, (ts1, m1), ws1, is1)$ by(cases $s1$) fastforce

obtain $ls1' ts1' m1' ws1' is1'$ where [simp]: $s1' = (ls1', (ts1', m1'), ws1', is1')$ by(cases $s1'$) fastforce

obtain $ls2 ts2 m2 ws2 is2$ where [simp]: $s2 = (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2)$ by(cases $s2$) fastforce

from $m\tau$ obtain t where $tl1 = (t, \varepsilon)$ by(auto elim!: $r1.m\tau move.cases$ dest: $r1.silent-tl$)

with $m\tau$ have $m\tau: m\tau move1 s1 (t, \varepsilon) s1'$ **and** $redT1: s1 - 1 - t \triangleright \varepsilon \rightarrow s1'$ by simp-all

from $m\tau$ obtain $x x' ln'$ where $tst: ts1 t = \lfloor(x, no-wait-locks)\rfloor$

and $ts't: ts1' t = \lfloor(x', ln')\rfloor$ **and** $\tau: \tau move1 (x, m1) \varepsilon (x', m1')$ by(fastforce elim: $r1.m\tau move.cases$)

from $mbisim$ have [simp]: $ls2 = ls1$ $ws2 = ws1$ $is2 = is1$ finite (dom $ts1$) by(auto simp add: $mbisim\text{-def}$)

from $redT1$ show ?thesis

proof cases

case ($redT\text{-normal } x1 x1' M'$)

with $tst ts't$ have [simp]: $x = x1$ $x' = x1'$

and $red: t \vdash (x1, m1) - 1 - \varepsilon \rightarrow (x1', M')$

and $tst: thr s1 t = \lfloor(x1, no-wait-locks)\rfloor$

and $wst: wset s1 t = None$

and $s1': redT\text{-upd } s1 t \varepsilon x1' M' s1'$ by(auto)

from $s1' tst$ have [simp]: $ls1' = ls1$ $ws1' = ws1$ $is1' = is1$ $M' = m1'$ $ts1' = ts1(t \mapsto (x1', no-wait-locks))$ by(auto simp add: $redT\text{-updLs-def } redT\text{-updLns-def } o\text{-def } redT\text{-updWs-def elim!: rtrancl3p-cases}$)

from $mbisim$ tst obtain $x2$ where $tst': ts2 t = \lfloor(x2, no-wait-locks)\rfloor$

and $bisim: t \vdash (x1, m1) \approx (x2, m2)$ by(auto dest: $mbisim\text{-thrD1}$)

from $r1.\tau move\text{-heap}[OF red] \tau$ have [simp]: $m1 = M'$ by simp

from $red \tau$ have $r1.\text{silent-move } t (x1, m1) (x1', M')$ by auto

from $simulation\text{-silent1}[OF bisim this]$

obtain $x2' m2'$ where $red: r2.\text{silent-moves } t (x2, m2) (x2', m2')$

and $bisim': t \vdash (x1', m1) \approx (x2', m2')$ by auto

from $red bisim$ have [simp]: $m2' = m2$ by(auto dest: $red2\text{-rtrancl-}\tau\text{-heapD}$)

let $?s2' = redT\text{-upd-}\varepsilon s2 t x2' m2'$

from red $tst' wst bisim$ have $\tau mRed2 s2 ?s2'$ by -(rule $red2\text{-rtrancl-}\tau\text{-into-RedT-}\tau$, auto)

moreover have $mbisim s1' ?s2'$

proof(rule $mbisimI$)

show $locks s1' = locks ?s2' wset s1' = wset ?s2' interrupts s1' = interrupts ?s2'$ by auto

next

fix t'

assume $thr s1' t' = None$

hence $ts1 t' = None$ by(auto split: if-split-asm)

with $mbisim\text{-thrNone-eq}[OF mbisim]$ have $ts2 t' = None$ by simp

with tst' show $thr ?s2' t' = None$ by auto

next

fix $t' X1 LN$

assume $ts't': thr s1' t' = \lfloor(X1, LN)\rfloor$

show $\exists x2. thr ?s2' t' = \lfloor(x2, LN)\rfloor \wedge t' \vdash (X1, shr s1') \approx (x2, shr ?s2') \wedge (wset ?s2' t' = None \vee X1 \approx_w x2)$

```

proof(cases  $t' = t$ )
  case True
    note this[simp]
    with  $s1' \text{ tst } ts' t'$  have [simp]:  $X1 = x1' \text{ LN} = \text{no-wait-locks}$ 
      by(simp-all)(auto simp add: redT-updLns-def o-def finfun-Diag-const2)
    with bisim'  $tst' \text{ wst}$  show ?thesis by(auto simp add: redT-updLns-def o-def finfun-Diag-const2)
  next
    case False
    with  $ts' t'$  have  $ts1 \ t' = \lfloor (X1, \ LN) \rfloor$  by auto
      with mbisim obtain  $X2$  where  $ts2 \ t' = \lfloor (X2, \ LN) \rfloor \ t' \vdash (X1, \ m1) \approx (X2, \ m2)$   $ws1 \ t' = None \vee X1 \approx_w X2$ 
        by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
      with False show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  next
    show finite (dom (thr s1')) by simp
  next
    from mbisim-wset-thread-ok1[OF mbisim]
    show wset-thread-ok (wset s1') (thr s1') by(auto intro: wset-thread-ok-upd)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by(auto)
  next
    case redT-acquire
    with tst have False by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
qed

lemma mbisim-simulation-silent2:
   $\llbracket mbisim \ s1 \ s2; r2.mthr.silent-move \ s2 \ s2' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists s1'. \ r1.mthr.silent-moves \ s1 \ s1' \wedge mbisim \ s1' \ s2'$ 
using FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mbisim-simulation-silent1[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma mbisim-simulation1':
assumes mbisim: mbisim s1 s2 and  $\neg m\tau move1 \ s1 \ tl1 \ s1' \ r1.redT \ s1 \ tl1 \ s1'$ 
shows  $\exists s2' \ s2'' \ tl2. \ r2.mthr.silent-moves \ s2 \ s2' \wedge r2.redT \ s2' \ tl2 \ s2'' \wedge$ 
 $\neg m\tau move2 \ s2' \ tl2 \ s2'' \wedge mbisim \ s1' \ s2'' \wedge mta-bisim \ tl1 \ tl2$ 
using mbisim-simulation1 assms .

lemma mbisim-simulation2':
   $\llbracket mbisim \ s1 \ s2; r2.redT \ s2 \ tl2 \ s2'; \neg m\tau move2 \ s2 \ tl2 \ s2' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists s1' \ s1'' \ tl1. \ r1.mthr.silent-moves \ s1 \ s1' \wedge r1.redT \ s1' \ tl1 \ s1'' \wedge \neg m\tau move1 \ s1' \ tl1 \ s1'' \wedge$ 
   $mbisim \ s1'' \ s2' \wedge mta-bisim \ tl1 \ tl2$ 
using FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mbisim-simulation1[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma mτdiverge-simulation1:
assumes  $s1 \approx_m s2$ 
and  $r1.mthr.\tau diverge \ s1$ 
shows  $r2.mthr.\tau diverge \ s2$ 
proof –
  from  $\langle s1 \approx_m s2 \rangle$  have finite (dom (thr s1))
  by(rule mbisim-finite1)+
```

```

from r1.τdiverge-τmredTD[OF ⟨r1.mthr.τdiverge s1⟩ this]
obtain t x where thr s1 t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋ wset s1 t = None r1.τdiverge t (x, shr s1) by blast
from ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩ ⟨thr s1 t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋⟩ obtain x'
  where thr s2 t = ⌊(x', no-wait-locks)⌋ t ⊢ (x, shr s1) ≈ (x', shr s2)
    by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
from ⟨s1 ≈m s2⟩ ⟨wset s1 t = None⟩ have wset s2 t = None by(simp add: mbisim-def)
from ⟨t ⊢ (x, shr s1) ≈ (x', shr s2)⟩ ⟨r1.τdiverge t (x, shr s1)⟩
have r2.τdiverge t (x', shr s2) by(simp add: τdiverge-bisim-inv)
thus ?thesis using ⟨thr s2 t = ⌊(x', no-wait-locks)⌋⟩ ⟨wset s2 t = None⟩
  by(rule r2.τdiverge-into-τmredT)
qed

lemma τdiverge-mbisim-inv:
  s1 ≈m s2 ==> r1.mthr.τdiverge s1 <→ r2.mthr.τdiverge s2
apply(rule iffI)
  apply(erule (1) mτdiverge-simulation1)
by(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mτdiverge-simulation1[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-flip, unfolded flip-simps])

lemma mbisim-delay-bisimulation:
  delay-bisimulation-diverge r1.redT r2.redT mbisim mta-bisim mτmove1 mτmove2
apply(unfold-locales)
apply(rule mbisim-simulation1 mbisim-simulation2 mbisim-simulation-silent1 mbisim-simulation-silent2 τdiverge-mbisim-inv|assumption)+
done

theorem mdelay-bisimulation-final-base:
  delay-bisimulation-final-base r1.redT r2.redT mbisim mτmove1 mτmove2 r1.mfinal r2.mfinal
apply(unfold-locales)
apply(blast dest: mfinal1-simulation mfinal2-simulation)+
done

end

sublocale FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge < mthr: delay-bisimulation-diverge r1.redT r2.redT mbisim mta-bisim mτmove1 mτmove2
by(rule mbisim-delay-bisimulation)

sublocale FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge <
  mthr: delay-bisimulation-final-base r1.redT r2.redT mbisim mta-bisim mτmove1 mτmove2 r1.mfinal r2.mfinal
by(rule mdelay-bisimulation-final-base)

context FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge begin

lemma mthr-delay-bisimulation-diverge-final:
  delay-bisimulation-diverge-final r1.redT r2.redT mbisim mta-bisim mτmove1 mτmove2 r1.mfinal r2.mfinal
by(unfold-locales)

end

sublocale FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge <
  mthr: delay-bisimulation-diverge-final r1.redT r2.redT mbisim mta-bisim mτmove1 mτmove2 r1.mfinal

```

r2.mfinal
by(rule *mthr-delay-bisimulation-diverge-final*)

1.18.3 Strong bisimulation as corollary

```

locale FWbisimulation = FWbisimulation-base --- r2 convert-RA bisim λx1 x2. True +
  r1: multithreaded final1 r1 convert-RA +
  r2: multithreaded final2 r2 convert-RA
  for r2 :: ('l,'t,'x2,'m2,'w,'o) semantics (- ⊢ - - 2---> - [50,0,0,50] 80)
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
  and bisim :: 't ⇒ ('x1 × 'm1, 'x2 × 'm2) bisim (- ⊢ - / ≈ - [50, 50, 50] 60) +
  assumes bisimulation-locale: bisimulation (r1 t) (r2 t) (bisim t) (ta-bisim bisim)
  and bisim-final: t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2) ⇒ final1 x1 ↔ final2 x2
  and bisim-inv-red-other:
    [[ t' ⊢ (x, m1) ≈ (xx, m2); t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2);
      t ⊢ (x1, m1) -1-ta1→ (x1', m1'); t ⊢ (x2, m2) -2-ta2→ (x2', m2');
      t ⊢ (x1', m1') ≈ (x2', m2'); ta-bisim bisim ta1 ta2 ]]
    ⇒ t' ⊢ (x, m1') ≈ (xx, m2')
  and ex-final1-conv-ex-final2:
    (exists x1. final1 x1) ↔ (exists x2. final2 x2)

sublocale FWbisimulation < bisim?: bisimulation r1 t r2 t bisim t ta-bisim bisim for t
by(rule bisimulation-locale)

sublocale FWbisimulation < bisim-diverge?:
  FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge final1 r1 final2 r2 convert-RA bisim λx1 x2. True λs ta s'. False λs ta s'.
  proof -
    interpret biw: bisimulation-into-delay r1 t r2 t bisim t ta-bisim bisim λs ta s'. False λs ta s'.
    for t
    by(unfold-locales) simp
    show FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge final1 r1 final2 r2 bisim (λx1 x2. True) (λs ta s'. False) (λs ta s'. False)
    proof(unfold-locales)
      fix t' x m1 xx m2 x1 x2 t x1' ta1 x1'' m1' x2' ta2 x2'' m2'
      assume bisim: t' ⊢ (x, m1) ≈ (xx, m2) and bisim12: t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2)
      and τ1: τtrsys.silent-moves (r1 t) (λs ta s'. False) (x1, m1) (x1', m1')
      and red1: t ⊢ (x1, m1) -1-ta1→ (x1'', m1')
      and τ2: τtrsys.silent-moves (r2 t) (λs ta s'. False) (x2, m2) (x2', m2)
      and red2: t ⊢ (x2, m2) -2-ta2→ (x2'', m2')
      and bisim12': t ⊢ (x1'', m1') ≈ (x2'', m2') and tasim: ta1 ~m ta2
      from τ1 τ2 have [simp]: x1' = x1 x2' = x2 by(simp-all add: rtranclp-False τmoves-False)
      from bisim12 bisim-inv-red-other[OF bisim - red1 red2 bisim12' tasim]
      show t' ⊢ (x, m1') ≈ (xx, m2') by simp
    next
      fix t x1 m1 x2 m2 ta1 x1' m1'
      assume t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2) t ⊢ (x1, m1) -1-ta1→ (x1', m1')
      from simulation1[OF this]
      show ∃ ta2 x2' m2'. t ⊢ (x2, m2) -2-ta2→ (x2', m2') ∧ t ⊢ (x1', m1') ≈ (x2', m2') ∧ ta1 ~m ta2
      by auto
    next
      fix t x1 m1 x2 m2 ta2 x2' m2'
      assume t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2) t ⊢ (x2, m2) -2-ta2→ (x2', m2')

```

```

from simulation2[OF this]
show  $\exists t a1 x1' m1'. t \vdash (x1, m1) -1-ta1 \rightarrow (x1', m1') \wedge t \vdash (x1', m1') \approx (x2', m2') \wedge ta1 \sim_m$ 
ta2
by auto
next
show  $(\exists x1. final1 x1) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x2. final2 x2)$  by(rule ex-final1-conv-ex-final2)
qed(fastforce simp add: bisim-final)+
qed

```

context FWbisimulation **begin**

```

lemma FWbisimulation-flip: FWbisimulation final2 r2 final1 r1 ( $\lambda t. flip (bisim t)$ )
apply(rule FWbisimulation.intro)
  apply(rule r2.multithreaded-axioms)
  apply(rule r1.multithreaded-axioms)
apply(rule FWbisimulation-axioms.intro)
  apply(unfold flip-simps)
  apply(rule bisimulation-axioms)
  apply(erule bisim-final[symmetric])
  apply(erule (5) bisim-inv-red-other)
apply(rule ex-final1-conv-ex-final2[symmetric])
done

```

end

lemma FWbisimulation-flip-simps [flip-simps]:

$FWbisimulation final2 r2 final1 r1 (\lambda t. flip (bisim t)) = FWbisimulation final1 r1 final2 r2 bisim$

by(auto dest: FWbisimulation.FWbisimulation-flip simp only: flip-flip)

context FWbisimulation **begin**

The notation for mbisim is lost because *bisim-wait* is instantiated to $\lambda x1 x2. True$. This reintroduces the syntax, but it does not work for output mode. This would require a new abbreviation.

notation mbisim (- \approx_m - [50, 50] 60)

theorem mbisim-bisimulation:

bisimulation r1.redT r2.redT mbisim mta-bisim

proof

```

fix s1 s2 tta1 s1'
assume mbisim:  $s1 \approx_m s2$  and r1.redT s1 tta1 s1'
from mthr.simulation1[OF this]
show  $\exists s2' tta2. r2.redT s2 tta2 s2' \wedge s1' \approx_m s2' \wedge tta1 \sim T tta2$ 
by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ -moves-False  $m\tau$ -move-False)

```

next

```

fix s2 s1 tta2 s2'
assume s1  $\approx_m s2$  and r2.redT s2 tta2 s2'
from mthr.simulation2[OF this]
show  $\exists s1' tta1. r1.redT s1 tta1 s1' \wedge s1' \approx_m s2' \wedge tta1 \sim T tta2$ 
by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ -moves-False  $m\tau$ -move-False)

```

qed

lemma mbisim-wset-eq:

$s1 \approx_m s2 \implies wset s1 = wset s2$

```

by(simp add: mbisim-def)

lemma mbisim-mfinal:
   $s1 \approx_m s2 \implies r1.mfinal\ s1 \longleftrightarrow r2.mfinal\ s2$ 
apply(auto intro!: r2.mfinalI r1.mfinalI dest: mbisim-thrD2 mbisim-thrD1 bisim-final elim: r1.mfinalE r2.mfinalE)
apply(frule (1) mbisim-thrD2, drule mbisim-wset-eq, auto elim: r1.mfinalE)
apply(frule (1) mbisim-thrD1, drule mbisim-wset-eq, auto elim: r2.mfinalE)
done

end

sublocale FWbisimulation < mthr: bisimulation r1.redT r2.redT mbisim mta-bisim
by(rule mbisim-bisimulation)

sublocale FWbisimulation < mthr: bisimulation-final r1.redT r2.redT mbisim mta-bisim r1.mfinal r2.mfinal
by(unfold-locales)(rule mbisim-mfinal)

end

```

1.19 Preservation of deadlock across bisimulations

```

theory FWBisimDeadlock
imports
  FWBisimulation
  FWDeadlock
begin

context FWdelay-bisimulation-obs begin

lemma actions-ok1-ex-actions-ok2:
  assumes r1.actions-ok s1 t ta1
  and ta1 ~m ta2
  obtains s2 where r2.actions-ok s2 t ta2
proof -
  let ?s2 = (locks s1, (λt. map-option (λ(x1, ln). (SOME x2. if final1 x1 then final2 x2 else ¬ final2 x2, ln)) (thr s1 t), undefined), wset s1, interrupts s1)
  from ⟨ta1 ~m ta2⟩ have {ta1}c = {ta2}c by(simp add: ta-bisim-def)
  with ⟨r1.actions-ok s1 t ta1⟩ have cao1: r1.cond-action-oks s1 t {ta2}c by auto
  have r2.cond-action-oks ?s2 t {ta2}c unfolding r2.cond-action-oks-conv-set
proof
  fix ct
  assume ct ∈ set {ta2}c
  with cao1 have r1.cond-action-ok s1 t ct
  unfolding r1.cond-action-oks-conv-set by auto
  thus r2.cond-action-ok ?s2 t ct using ex-final1-conv-ex-final2
    by(cases ct)(fastforce intro: someI-ex[where P=final2])+
qed
  hence r2.actions-ok ?s2 t ta2
  using assms by(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def split del: if-split elim: rev-iffD1[OF - thread-oks-bisim-inv])
  thus thesis by(rule that)
qed

```

```

lemma actions-ok2-ex-actions-ok1:
  assumes r2.actions-ok s2 t ta2
  and ta1 ~m ta2
  obtains s1 where r1.actions-ok s1 t ta1
using FWdelay-bisimulation-obs.actions-ok1-ex-actions-ok2[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-obs-flip] assms
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma ex-actions-ok1-conv-ex-actions-ok2:
  ta1 ~m ta2 ==> ( $\exists s1. r1.\text{actions-ok } s1 t ta1$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists s2. r2.\text{actions-ok } s2 t ta2$ )
by(metis actions-ok1-ex-actions-ok2 actions-ok2-ex-actions-ok1)

end

context FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge begin

lemma no- $\tau$ Move1- $\tau$ s-to-no- $\tau$ Move2:
  fixes no- $\tau$ moves1 no- $\tau$ moves2
  defines no- $\tau$ moves1  $\equiv \lambda s1 t. \text{wset } s1 t = \text{None} \wedge (\exists x. \text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \wedge (\forall x' m'. \neg r1.\text{silent-move } t (x, \text{shr } s1) (x', m')))$ 
  defines no- $\tau$ moves2  $\equiv \lambda s2 t. \text{wset } s2 t = \text{None} \wedge (\exists x. \text{thr } s2 t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \wedge (\forall x' m'. \neg r2.\text{silent-move } t (x, \text{shr } s2) (x', m')))$ 
  assumes mbisim: s1  $\approx_m$  (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2)

  shows  $\exists ts2'. r2.\text{mthr.silent-moves } (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2) \wedge (\forall t. \text{no-}\tau\text{moves1 } s1 t \longrightarrow \text{no-}\tau\text{moves2 } (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2) t) \wedge s1 \approx_m (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2)$ 

proof -
  from mbisim have finite (dom (thr s1)) by(simp add: mbisim-def)
  hence finite {t. no- $\tau$ moves1 s1 t} unfolding no- $\tau$ moves1-def
    by-(rule finite-subset, auto)
  thus ?thesis using <s1  $\approx_m$  (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2)>
  proof(induct A $\equiv\{t. \text{no-}\tau\text{moves1 } s1 t\}$  arbitrary: s1 ts2 rule: finite-induct)
    case empty
    from <{} = {t. no- $\tau$ moves1 s1 t}>[symmetric] have no- $\tau$ moves1 s1 = ( $\lambda t. \text{False}$ )
      by(auto intro: ext)
    thus ?case using <s1  $\approx_m$  (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2)> by auto
  next
    case (insert t A)
    note mbisim = <s1  $\approx_m$  (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2)>
    from <insert t A = {t. no- $\tau$ moves1 s1 t}>
    have no- $\tau$ moves1 s1 t by auto
    then obtain x1 where ts1t: thr s1 t =  $\lfloor(x1, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ 
      and ws1t: wset s1 t = None
      and  $\tau1: \bigwedge x1m1'. \neg r1.\text{silent-move } t (x1, \text{shr } s1) x1m1'$ 
      by(auto simp add: no- $\tau$ moves1-def)

    from ts1t mbisim obtain x2 where ts2t: ts2 t =  $\lfloor(x2, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ 
      and t  $\vdash (x1, \text{shr } s1) \approx (x2, m2)$  by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
    from mbisim ws1t have ws2 t = None by(simp add: mbisim-def)

    let ?s1 = (locks s1, ((thr s1)(t := None), shr s1), wset s1, interrupts s1)
    let ?s2 = (ls2, (ts2(t := None), m2), ws2, is2)
    from <insert t A = {t. no- $\tau$ moves1 s1 t}> <t  $\notin$  A>

```

```

have A:  $A = \{t. \text{no-}\tau\text{moves}_1 ?s1 t\}$  by(auto simp add: no- $\tau$ moves1-def)
have ?s1 ≈m ?s2
proof(rule mbisimI)
  from mbisim
  show finite (dom (thr ?s1)) locks ?s1 = locks ?s2 wset ?s1 = wset ?s2 interrupts ?s1 = interrupts
?s2
  by(simp-all add: mbisim-def)
next
  from mbisim-wset-thread-ok1[OF mbisim] ws1t show wset-thread-ok (wset ?s1) (thr ?s1)
    by(auto intro!: wset-thread-okI dest: wset-thread-okD split: if-split-asm)
next
  fix t'
  assume thr ?s1 t' = None
  with mbisim-thrNone-eq[OF mbisim, of t']
  show thr ?s2 t' = None by auto
next
  fix t' x1 ln
  assume thr ?s1 t' = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋
  hence thr s1 t' = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋ t' ≠ t by(auto split: if-split-asm)
  with mbisim-thrD1[OF mbisim ‹thr s1 t' = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋›] mbisim
  show ∃x2. thr ?s2 t' = ⌊(x2, ln)⌋ ∧ t' ⊢ (x1, shr ?s1) ≈ (x2, shr ?s2) ∧ (wset ?s2 t' = None
  ∨ x1 ≈w x2)
    by(auto simp add: mbisim-def)
qed
with A have ∃ts2'. r2.mthr.silent-moves ?s2 (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2) ∧ (∀t. no- $\tau$ moves1 ?s1 t
→ no- $\tau$ moves2 (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2) t) ∧ ?s1 ≈m (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2) by(rule insert)
then obtain ts2' where r2.mthr.silent-moves ?s2 (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2) t
  and no- $\tau$ : ∀t. no- $\tau$ moves1 ?s1 t ⇒ no- $\tau$ moves2 (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2) t
  and ?s1 ≈m (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2) by auto
let ?s2' = (ls2, (ts2'(t ↦ (x2, no-wait-locks)), m2), ws2, is2)
from ts2t have ts2(t ↦ (x2, no-wait-locks)) = ts2 by(auto intro: ext)
  with r2.τmRedT-add-thread-inv[OF ‹r2.mthr.silent-moves ?s2 (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2)›, of t
(x2, no-wait-locks)]
  have r2.mthr.silent-moves (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) ?s2' by simp
  from no- $\tau$ move1- $\tau$ s-to-no- $\tau$ move2[OF ‹t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2, m2)› τ1]
  obtain x2' m2' where r2.silent-moves t (x2, m2) (x2', m2')
    and ⋀x2'' m2''. ¬ r2.silent-move t (x2', m2') (x2'', m2'')
    and t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2', m2') by auto
  let ?s2'' = (ls2, (ts2'(t ↦ (x2', no-wait-locks)), m2'), ws2, is2)
    from red2-rtrancl-τ-heapD[OF ‹r2.silent-moves t (x2, m2) (x2', m2')› ‹t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2,
  m2)›]
    have m2' = m2 by simp
    with ‹r2.silent-moves t (x2, m2) (x2', m2')› have r2.silent-moves t (x2, shr ?s2') (x2', m2) by
  simp
    hence r2.mthr.silent-moves ?s2' (redT-upd-ε ?s2' t x2' m2)
      by(rule red2-rtrancl-τ-into-RedT-τ)(auto simp add: ‹ws2 t = None› intro: ‹t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈
  (x2, m2)›)
    also have redT-upd-ε ?s2' t x2' m2 = ?s2'' using ‹m2' = m2›
      by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff redT-updLns-def finfun-Diag-const2 o-def)
    finally (back-subst) have r2.mthr.silent-moves (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) ?s2'' using
      ‹r2.mthr.silent-moves (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) ?s2'› by-(rule rtranclp-trans)
  moreover {
    fix t'
    assume no- $\tau$ 1: no- $\tau$ moves1 s1 t'
```

```

have no- $\tau$ moves2 ?s2'' t'
proof(cases t' = t)
  case True thus ?thesis
    using <ws2 t = None> < $\bigwedge x2'' m2''$ .  $\neg r2.\text{silent-move } t (x2', m2') (x2'', m2'')$ > by(simp add: no- $\tau$ moves2-def)
  next
    case False
    with no- $\tau$ 1 have no- $\tau$ moves1 ?s1 t' by(simp add: no- $\tau$ moves1-def)
    hence no- $\tau$ moves2 (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2) t'
      by(rule <no- $\tau$ moves1 ?s1 t'  $\implies$  no- $\tau$ moves2 (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2) t'>)
      with False <m2' = m2> show ?thesis by(simp add: no- $\tau$ moves2-def)
    qed }
  moreover have s1  $\approx_m$  ?s2''
  proof(rule mbisimI)
    from mbisim
    show finite (dom (thr s1)) locks s1 = locks ?s2'' wset s1 = wset ?s2'' interrupts s1 = interrupts ?s2''
      by(simp-all add: mbisim-def)
  next
    from mbisim show wset-thread-ok (wset s1) (thr s1) by(rule mbisim-wset-thread-ok1)
  next
    fix t'
    assume thr s1 t' = None
    hence thr ?s1 t' = None t'  $\neq$  t using ts1t by auto
    with mbisim-thrNone-eq[OF <?s1  $\approx_m$  (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2)>, of t']
    show thr ?s2'' t' = None by simp
  next
    fix t' x1' ln'
    assume thr s1 t' = <(x1', ln')>
    show  $\exists x2. \text{thr } ?s2'' t' = <(x2, ln')> \wedge t' \vdash (x1', \text{shr } s1) \approx (x2, \text{shr } ?s2'') \wedge (\text{wset } ?s2'' t' = \text{None} \vee x1' \approx_w x2)$ 
  proof(cases t = t')
    case True
    with <thr s1 t' = <(x1', ln')> ts1t <t \vdash (x1, shr s1) \approx (x2', m2')> <m2' = m2> <ws2 t = None>
    show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
    with mbisim-thrD1[OF <?s1  $\approx_m$  (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2)>, of t' x1' ln'] <thr s1 t' = <(x1', ln')> <m2' = m2> mbisim
    show ?thesis by(auto simp add: mbisim-def)
  qed
  qed
  ultimately show ?case unfolding <m2' = m2> by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma no- τ Move2- τ s-to-no- τ Move1:

```

fixes no- $\tau$ moves1 no- $\tau$ moves2
defines no- $\tau$ moves1  $\equiv$   $\lambda s1 t. \text{wset } s1 t = \text{None} \wedge (\exists x. \text{thr } s1 t = <(x, \text{no-wait-locks})> \wedge (\forall x' m'. \neg r1.\text{silent-move } t (x, \text{shr } s1) (x', m')))$ 
defines no- $\tau$ moves2  $\equiv$   $\lambda s2 t. \text{wset } s2 t = \text{None} \wedge (\exists x. \text{thr } s2 t = <(x, \text{no-wait-locks})> \wedge (\forall x' m'. \neg r2.\text{silent-move } t (x, \text{shr } s2) (x', m')))$ 
assumes (ls1, (ts1, m1), ws1, is1)  $\approx_m$  s2

```

shows $\exists ts1'. r1.mthr.silent-moves (ls1, (ts1, m1), ws1, is1) (ls1, (ts1', m1), ws1, is1) \wedge (\forall t. no-\tau moves2 s2 t \longrightarrow no-\tau moves1 (ls1, (ts1', m1), ws1, is1) t) \wedge (ls1, (ts1', m1), ws1, is1) \approx_m s2$
using assms FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.no- τ Move1- τ s-to-no- τ Move2[*OF FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-flip*]
unfolding flip-simps by blast

lemma deadlock-mbisim-not-final-thread-pres:
assumes dead: $t \in r1.deadlocked s1 \vee r1.deadlock s1$
and nfin: $r1.not-final-thread s1 t$
and fin: $r1.final-thread s1 t \implies r2.final-thread s2 t$
and mbisim: $s1 \approx_m s2$
shows $r2.not-final-thread s2 t$
proof –
from nfin **obtain** $x1 ln$ **where** $thr s1 t = \lfloor(x1, ln)\rfloor$ **by** cases auto
with mbisim **obtain** $x2$ **where** $thr s2 t = \lfloor(x2, ln)\rfloor$ $t \vdash (x1, shr s1) \approx (x2, shr s2)$ wset $s1 t = None \vee x1 \approx_w x2$
by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
show $r2.not-final-thread s2 t$
proof(cases wset $s1 t = None \wedge ln = no-wait-locks$)
case False
with $\langle r1.not-final-thread s1 t \rangle \langle thr s1 t = \lfloor(x1, ln)\rfloor \rangle \langle thr s2 t = \lfloor(x2, ln)\rfloor \rangle$ mbisim
show ?thesis **by** cases(auto simp add: mbisim-def r2.not-final-thread-iff)
next
case True
with $\langle r1.not-final-thread s1 t \rangle \langle thr s1 t = \lfloor(x1, ln)\rfloor \rangle$ **have** $\neg final1 x1$ **by**(cases) auto
have $\neg final2 x2$
proof
assume final2 x2
with final2-simulation[*OF* $\langle t \vdash (x1, shr s1) \approx (x2, shr s2) \rangle$]
obtain $x1' m1'$ **where** $r1.silent-moves t (x1, shr s1) (x1', m1') t \vdash (x1', m1') \approx (x2, shr s2)$
final1 x1' **by** auto
from $\langle r1.silent-moves t (x1, shr s1) (x1', m1') \rangle$ **have** $x1' = x1$
proof(cases rule: converse-rtranclpE2[consumes 1, case-names refl step])
case (step $x1'' m1''$)
from $\langle r1.silent-move t (x1, shr s1) (x1'', m1'') \rangle$
have $t \vdash (x1, shr s1) -1-\varepsilon\rightarrow (x1'', m1'')$ **by**(auto dest: r1.silent-tl)
hence $r1.redT s1 (t, \varepsilon)$ ($redT-upd-\varepsilon s1 t x1'' m1''$)
using $\langle thr s1 t = \lfloor(x1, ln)\rfloor \rangle$ True
by –(erule r1.redT-normal, auto simp add: redT-updLns-def finfun-Diag-const2 o-def redT-updWs-def)
hence False **using** dead **by**(auto intro: r1.deadlock-no-red r1.red-no-deadlock)
thus ?thesis ..
qed simp
with $\neg final1 x1 \rangle \langle final1 x1' \rangle$ **show** False **by** simp
qed
thus ?thesis **using** $\langle thr s2 t = \lfloor(x2, ln)\rfloor \rangle$ **by**(auto simp add: r2.not-final-thread-iff)
qed
qed

lemma deadlocked1-imp- τ s-deadlocked2:
assumes mbisim: $s1 \approx_m s2$
and dead: $t \in r1.deadlocked s1$
shows $\exists s2'. r2.mthr.silent-moves s2 s2' \wedge t \in r2.deadlocked s2' \wedge s1 \approx_m s2'$
proof –

```

from mfinal1-inv-simulation[OF mbisim]
obtain ls2 ts2 m2 ws2 is2 where red1: r2.mthr.silent-moves s2 (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2)
  and s1 ≈m (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) and m2 = shr s2
  and fin: ∏t. r1.final-thread s1 t ==> r2.final-thread (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) t by fastforce
from no-τMove1-τs-to-no-τMove2[OF ⟨s1 ≈m (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2)⟩]
obtain ts2' where red2: r2.mthr.silent-moves (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2)
  and no-τ: ∏t x1 x2 x2' m2'. [ wset s1 t = None; thr s1 t = ⌊(x1, no-wait-locks)⌋; ts2' t = ⌊(x2, no-wait-locks)⌋];
    ∏x' m'. r1.silent-move t (x1, shr s1) (x', m') ==> False []
    ==> ¬ r2.silent-move t (x2, m2) (x2', m2')
  and mbisim: s1 ≈m (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2) by fastforce
from mbisim have mbisim-eqs: ls2 = locks s1 ws2 = wset s1 is2 = interrupts s1
  by(simp-all add: mbisim-def)
let ?s2 = (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2)
from red2 have fin': ∏t. r1.final-thread s1 t ==> r2.final-thread ?s2 t
  by(rule r2.τmRedT-preserves-final-thread)(rule fin)
from dead
have t ∈ r2.deadlocked ?s2
proof(coinduct)
  case (deadlocked t)
  thus ?case
  proof(cases rule: r1.deadlocked-elims)
    case (lock x1)
    hence csmw: ∏LT. r1.can-sync t x1 (shr s1) LT ==>
      ∃lt ∈ LT. r1.must-wait s1 t lt (r1.deadlocked s1 ∪ r1.final-threads s1)
    by blast
    from ⟨thr s1 t = ⌊(x1, no-wait-locks)⌋⟩ mbisim obtain x2
      where ts2' t = ⌊(x2, no-wait-locks)⌋ and bisim: t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2, m2)
        by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
    note ⟨ts2' t = ⌊(x2, no-wait-locks)⌋⟩ moreover
    { from ⟨r1.must-sync t x1 (shr s1)⟩ obtain ta1 x1' m1'
      where r1: t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) -1- ta1 → (x1', m1')
        and s1': ∃s1'. r1.actions-ok s1' t ta1 by(fastforce elim: r1.must-syncE)
      have ¬ τmove1 (x1, shr s1) ta1 (x1', m1') (is ¬ ?τ)
      proof
        assume ?τ
        hence ta1 = ε by(rule r1.silent-tl)
        with r1 have r1.can-sync t x1 (shr s1) {}
          by(auto intro!: r1.can-syncI simp add: collect-locks-def collect-interrupts-def)
        from csmw[OF this] show False by blast
      qed
    from simulation1[OF bisim r1 this]
    obtain x2' m2' x2'' m2'' ta2 where r2: r2.silent-moves t (x2, m2) (x2', m2')
      and r2': t ⊢ (x2', m2') -2- ta2 → (x2'', m2'')
      and τ2: ¬ τmove2 (x2', m2') ta2 (x2'', m2'')
      and bisim': t ⊢ (x1', m1') ≈ (x2'', m2'') and tasim: ta1 ~m ta2 by auto
    from r2
    have ∃ta2 x2' m2' s2'. t ⊢ (x2, m2) -2- ta2 → (x2', m2') ∧ r2.actions-ok s2' t ta2
    proof(cases rule: converse-rtranclpE2[consumes 1, case-names base step])
      case base
      from r2'[folded base] s1 '[unfolded ex-actions-ok1-conv-ex-actions-ok2[OF tasim]]
      show ?thesis by blast
    next
      case (step x2''' m2'''')
    
```

```

hence  $t \vdash (x2, m2) \xrightarrow{-2-\varepsilon} (x2'', m2'')$  by (auto dest: r2.silent-tl)
moreover have r2.actions-ok (undefined, undefined), Map.empty, undefined) t ε
by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by - (rule exI conjI|assumption)+
qed
hence r2.must-sync t x2 m2 unfolding r2.must-sync-def2 .
moreover
{ fix LT
assume r2.can-sync t x2 m2 LT
then obtain ta2 x2' m2' where r2:  $t \vdash (x2, m2) \xrightarrow{-2-ta2} (x2', m2')$ 
and LT:  $LT = \text{collect-locks } \{ta2\}_l \leftrightarrow \text{collect-cond-actions } \{ta2\}_c \leftrightarrow \text{collect-interrupts } \{ta2\}_i$ 
by (auto elim: r2.can-syncE)
from ⟨wset s1 t = None⟩ ⟨thr s1 t = [(x1, no-wait-locks)]⟩ ⟨ts2' t = [(x2, no-wait-locks)]⟩
have ¬ r2.silent-move t (x2, m2) (x2', m2')
proof (rule no-τ)
fix x1' m1'
assume r1.silent-move t (x1, shr s1) (x1', m1')
hence  $t \vdash (x1, shr s1) \xrightarrow{-1-\varepsilon} (x1', m1')$  by (auto dest: r1.silent-tl)
hence r1.can-sync t x1 (shr s1) {}
by (auto intro: r1.can-syncI simp add: collect-locks-def collect-interrupts-def)
with csmw[Of this] show False by blast
qed
with r2 have ¬ τmove2 (x2, m2) ta2 (x2', m2') by auto
from simulation2[Of bisim r2 this] obtain x1' m1' x1'' m1'' ta1
where rr1: r1.silent-moves t (x1, shr s1) (x1', m1')
and r1:  $t \vdash (x1', m1') \xrightarrow{-1-ta1} (x1'', m1'')$ 
and nτ1: ¬ τmove1 (x1', m1') ta1 (x1'', m1'')
and bisim':  $t \vdash (x1'', m1'') \approx (x2', m2')$ 
and tlsim: ta1 ~m ta2 by auto
from rr1 obtain [simp]: x1' = x1 m1' = shr s1
proof (cases rule: converse-rtranclpE2[consumes 1, case-names refl step])
case (step X M)
from ⟨r1.silent-move t (x1, shr s1) (X, M)⟩
have  $t \vdash (x1, shr s1) \xrightarrow{-1-\varepsilon} (X, M)$  by (auto dest: r1.silent-tl)
hence r1.can-sync t x1 (shr s1) {}
by (auto intro: r1.can-syncI simp add: collect-locks-def collect-interrupts-def)
with csmw[Of this] have False by blast
thus ?thesis ..
qed blast
from tlsim LT have LT = collect-locks {ta1}_l ↔ collect-cond-actions {ta1}_c ↔ collect-interrupts {ta1}_i
by (auto simp add: ta-bisim-def)
with r1 have r1.can-sync t x1 (shr s1) LT by (auto intro: r1.can-syncI)
from csmw[Of this] obtain lt
where lt: lt ∈ LT and mw: r1.must-wait s1 t lt (r1.deadlocked s1 ∪ r1.final-threads s1) by blast
have subset: r1.deadlocked s1 ∪ r1.final-threads s1 ⊆ r1.deadlocked s1 ∪ r2.deadlocked s2 ∪ r2.final-threads ?s2
by (auto dest: fin')
from mw have r2.must-wait ?s2 t lt (r1.deadlocked s1 ∪ r2.deadlocked ?s2 ∪ r2.final-threads ?s2)
proof (cases rule: r1.must-wait-elims)
case lock thus ?thesis by (auto simp add: mbisim-eqs dest!: fin')

```

```

next
  case (join t')
    from ⟨r1.not-final-thread s1 t'⟩ obtain x1 ln
      where thr s1 t' = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋ by cases auto
      with mbisim obtain x2 where ts2' t' = ⌊(x2, ln)⌋ t' ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2, m2) by(auto
dest: mbisim-thrD1)
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases wset s1 t' = None ∧ ln = no-wait-locks)
        case False
          with ⟨r1.not-final-thread s1 t'⟩ ⟨thr s1 t' = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋⟩ ⟨ts2' t' = ⌊(x2, ln)⌋⟩ ⟨lt = Inr (Inl
t')⟩ join
            show ?thesis by(auto simp add: mbisim-eqs r2.not-final-thread-iff r1.final-thread-def)
      next
        case True
          with ⟨r1.not-final-thread s1 t'⟩ ⟨thr s1 t' = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋⟩ have ¬ final1 x1 by(cases) auto
            with join ⟨thr s1 t' = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋⟩ have t' ∈ r1.deadlocked s1 by(auto simp add:
r1.final-thread-def)
              have ¬ final2 x2
              proof
                assume final2 x2
                with final2-simulation[OF ⟨t' ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2, m2)⟩]
                  obtain x1' m1' where r1.silent-moves t' (x1, shr s1) (x1', m1')
                    and t' ⊢ (x1', m1') ≈ (x2, m2) final1 x1' by auto
                    from ⟨r1.silent-moves t' (x1, shr s1) (x1', m1')⟩ have x1' = x1
                    proof(cases rule: converse-rtranclpE2[consumes 1, case-names refl step])
                      case (step x1'' m1'')
                        from ⟨r1.silent-move t' (x1, shr s1) (x1'', m1'')⟩
                        have t' ⊢ (x1, shr s1) -1-ε→ (x1'', m1'') by(auto dest: r1.silent-tl)
                        hence r1.redT s1 (t', ε) (redT-upd-ε s1 t' x1'' m1'')
                          using ⟨thr s1 t' = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋⟩ True
                          by -(erule r1.redT-normal, auto simp add: redT-updLns-def redT-updWs-def fin-
fun-Diag-const2 o-def)
                          hence False using ⟨t' ∈ r1.deadlocked s1⟩ by(rule r1.red-no-deadlock)
                          thus ?thesis ..
              qed simp
              with ⟨¬ final1 x1⟩ ⟨final1 x1'⟩ show False by simp
      qed
      thus ?thesis using ⟨ts2' t' = ⌊(x2, ln)⌋⟩ join
        by(auto simp add: r2.not-final-thread-iff r1.final-thread-def)
  qed
next
  case (interrupt t')
  have r2.all-final-except ?s2 (r1.deadlocked s1 ∪ r2.deadlocked ?s2 ∪ r2.final-threads ?s2)
  proof(rule r2.all-final-exceptI)
    fix t''
    assume r2.not-final-thread ?s2 t''
    then obtain x2 ln where thr ?s2 t'' = ⌊(x2, ln)⌋
      and fin: ¬ final2 x2 ∨ ln ≠ no-wait-locks ∨ wset ?s2 t'' ≠ None
        by(auto simp add: r2.not-final-thread-iff)
    from ⟨thr ?s2 t'' = ⌊(x2, ln)⌋⟩ mbisim
    obtain x1 where ts1t'': thr s1 t'' = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋
      and bisim'': t'' ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2, shr ?s2)
        by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD2)
    have r1.not-final-thread s1 t''

```

```

proof(cases wset ?s2 t'' = None ∧ ln = no-wait-locks)
  case True
  with fin have ¬ final2 x2 by simp
  hence ¬ final1 x1
  proof(rule contrapos-nn)
    assume final1 x1
    with final1-simulation[OF bisim'']
    obtain x2' m2' where τs2: r2.silent-moves t'' (x2, shr ?s2) (x2', m2')
      and bisim'''': t'' ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2', m2')
      and final2 x2' by auto
    from τs2 have x2' = x2
    proof(cases rule: converse-rtranclpE2[consumes 1, case-names refl step])
      case refl thus ?thesis by simp
      next
        case (step x2'' m2'')
          from True have wset s1 t'' = None thr s1 t'' = ⌊(x1, no-wait-locks)⌋ ts2' t'' = ⌊(x2, no-wait-locks)⌋
            using ts1t'' <thr ?s2 t'' = ⌊(x2, ln)⌋> mbisim by(simp-all add: mbisim-def)
            hence no-τ2: ¬ r2.silent-move t'' (x2, m2) (x2'', m2'')
            proof(rule no-τ)
              fix x1' m1'
              assume r1.silent-move t'' (x1, shr s1) (x1', m1')
              with <final1 x1> show False by(auto dest: r1.final-no-red)
              qed
              with <r2.silent-move t'' (x2, shr ?s2) (x2'', m2'')> have False by simp
              thus ?thesis ..
            qed
            with <final2 x2'> show final2 x2 by simp
            qed
            with ts1t'' show ?thesis ..
        next
        case False
        with ts1t'' mbisim show ?thesis by(auto simp add: r1.not-final-thread-iff mbisim-def)
        qed
        with <r1.all-final-except s1 (r1.deadlocked s1 ∪ r1.final-threads s1)>
        have t'' ∈ r1.deadlocked s1 ∪ r1.final-threads s1 by(rule r1.all-final-exceptD)
        thus t'' ∈ r1.deadlocked s1 ∪ r2.deadlocked ?s2 ∪ r2.final-threads ?s2
          by(auto dest: fin' simp add: mbisim-eqs)
        qed
        thus ?thesis using interrupt mbisim by(auto simp add: mbisim-def)
        qed
        hence ∃ lt ∈ LT. r2.must-wait ?s2 t lt (r1.deadlocked s1 ∪ r2.deadlocked ?s2 ∪ r2.final-threads
        ?s2)
          using <lt ∈ LT> by blast }
        moreover from mbisim <wset s1 t = None> have wset ?s2 t = None by(simp add: mbisim-def)
        ultimately have ?Lock by simp
        thus ?thesis ..
      next
      case (wait x1 ln)
      from mbisim <thr s1 t = ⌊(x1, ln)⌋>
      obtain x2 where ts2' t = ⌊(x2, ln)⌋ by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
      moreover
      have r2.all-final-except ?s2 (r1.deadlocked s1)
      proof(rule r2.all-final-exceptI)

```

```

fix t
assume r2.not-final-thread ?s2 t
then obtain x2 ln where ts2' t = |(x2, ln)| by(auto simp add: r2.not-final-thread-iff)
with mbisim obtain x1 where thr s1 t = |(x1, ln)| t ⊢ (x1, shr s1) ≈ (x2, m2) by(auto dest:
mbisim-thrD2)
hence r1.not-final-thread s1 t using <r2.not-final-thread ?s2 t> <ts2' t = |(x2, ln)|> mbisim
fin'[of t]
by(cases wset s1 t)(auto simp add: r1.not-final-thread-iff r2.not-final-thread-iff mbisim-def
r1.final-thread-def r2.final-thread-def)
with <r1.all-final-except s1 (r1.deadlocked s1)>
show t ∈ r1.deadlocked s1 by(rule r1.all-final-exceptD)
qed
hence r2.all-final-except ?s2 (r1.deadlocked s1 ∪ r2.deadlocked ?s2)
by(rule r2.all-final-except-mono') blast
moreover
from <waiting (wset s1 t)> mbisim
have waiting (wset ?s2 t) by(simp add: mbisim-def)
ultimately have ?Wait by simp
thus ?thesis by blast
next
case (acquire x1 ln l t')
from mbisim <thr s1 t = |(x1, ln)|>
obtain x2 where ts2' t = |(x2, ln)| by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
moreover
from <t' ∈ r1.deadlocked s1 ∨ r1.final-thread s1 t'>
have (t' ∈ r1.deadlocked s1 ∨ t' ∈ r2.deadlocked ?s2) ∨ r2.final-thread ?s2 t' by(blast dest: fin')
moreover
from mbisim <has-lock (locks s1 $ l) t'>
have has-lock (locks ?s2 $ l) t' by(simp add: mbisim-def)
ultimately have ?Acquire
using <0 < ln $ l> <t ≠ t'> <¬ waiting (wset s1 t)> mbisim
by(auto simp add: mbisim-def)
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
with red1 red2 mbisim show ?thesis by(blast intro: rtranclp-trans)
qed

lemma deadlocked2-imp-τs-deadlocked1:
[| s1 ≈m s2; t ∈ r2.deadlocked s2 |]
implies ∃s1'. r1.mthr.silent-moves s1 s1' ∧ t ∈ r1.deadlocked s1' ∧ s1' ≈m s2
using FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.deadlocked1-imp-τs-deadlocked2[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma deadlock1-imp-τs-deadlock2:
assumes mbisim: s1 ≈m s2
and dead: r1.deadlock s1
shows ∃s2'. r2.mthr.silent-moves s2 s2' ∧ r2.deadlock s2' ∧ s1 ≈m s2'
proof(cases ∃t. r1.not-final-thread s1 t)
case True
then obtain t where nfin: r1.not-final-thread s1 t ..
from mfinal1-inv-simulation[OF mbisim]
obtain ls2 ts2 m2 ws2 is2 where red1: r2.mthr.silent-moves s2 (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2)
and s1 ≈m (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) and m2 = shr s2

```

and $\text{fin}: \bigwedge t. r1.\text{final-thread } s1 t \implies r2.\text{final-thread } (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) t$ by *fastforce*
 from $\text{no-}\tau\text{-Move1-}\tau s\text{-to-}\text{no-}\tau\text{-Move2}[\text{OF } \langle s1 \approx_m (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) \rangle]$
 obtain $ts2'$ where $\text{red2: } r2.\text{mthr.silent-moves } (ls2, (ts2, m2), ws2, is2) (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2)$
 and $\text{no-}\tau: \bigwedge t x1 x2 x2' m2'. \llbracket \text{wset } s1 t = \text{None}; \text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor (x1, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor; ts2' t = \lfloor (x2, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor;$
 $\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge x' m'. r1.\text{silent-move } t (x1, \text{shr } s1) (x', m') \implies \text{False} \\ & \implies \neg r2.\text{silent-move } t (x2, m2) (x2', m2') \end{aligned}$
 and $\text{mbisim: } s1 \approx_m (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2)$ by *fastforce*
 from mbisim have $\text{mbisim-eqs: } ls2 = \text{locks } s1 \text{ ws2} = \text{wset } s1 \text{ is2} = \text{interrupts } s1$
 by(*simp-all add: mbisim-def*)
 let $?s2 = (ls2, (ts2', m2), ws2, is2)$
 from red2 have $\text{fin': } \bigwedge t. r1.\text{final-thread } s1 t \implies r2.\text{final-thread } ?s2 t$
 by(*rule r2.τmRedT-preserves-final-thread*)
 have $r2.\text{deadlock } ?s2$
 proof(*rule r2.deadlockI, goal-cases*)
 case $(1 t x2)$
 note $ts2t = \langle \text{thr } ?s2 t = \lfloor (x2, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle$
 with mbisim obtain $x1$ where $ts1t: \text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor (x1, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$
 and $\text{bisim: } t \vdash (x1, \text{shr } s1) \approx (x2, m2)$ by(*auto dest: mbisim-thrD2*)
 from $\langle \text{wset } ?s2 t = \text{None} \rangle$ mbisim have $ws1t: \text{wset } s1 t = \text{None}$ by(*simp add: mbisim-def*)
 have $\neg \text{final1 } x1$
 proof
 assume $\text{final1 } x1$
 with $ts1t ws1t$ have $r1.\text{final-thread } s1 t$ by(*simp add: r1.final-thread-def*)
 hence $r2.\text{final-thread } ?s2 t$ by(*rule fin'*)
 with $\langle \neg \text{final2 } x2 \rangle$ $ts2t \langle \text{wset } ?s2 t = \text{None} \rangle$ show False by(*simp add: r2.final-thread-def*)
 qed
 from $r1.\text{deadlockD1}[\text{OF dead } ts1t \text{ this } \langle \text{wset } s1 t = \text{None} \rangle]$
 have $ms: r1.\text{must-sync } t x1 (\text{shr } s1)$
 and $csmw: \bigwedge LT. r1.\text{can-sync } t x1 (\text{shr } s1) LT \implies \exists lt \in LT. r1.\text{must-wait } s1 t lt (\text{dom } (\text{thr } s1))$
 by *blast+*
 {
 from $\langle r1.\text{must-sync } t x1 (\text{shr } s1) \rangle$ obtain $ta1 x1' m1'$
 where $r1: t \vdash (x1, \text{shr } s1) -1-ta1 \rightarrow (x1', m1')$
 and $s1': \exists s1'. r1.\text{actions-ok } s1' t ta1$ by(*fastforce elim: r1.must-syncE*)
 have $\neg \tau\text{move1 } (x1, \text{shr } s1) ta1 (x1', m1')$ (is $\neg ?\tau$)
 proof
 assume $?_\tau$
 hence $ta1 = \varepsilon$ by(*rule r1.silent-tl*)
 with $r1$ have $r1.\text{can-sync } t x1 (\text{shr } s1) \{\}$
 by(*auto intro!: r1.can-syncI simp add: collect-locks-def collect-interrupts-def*)
 from $csmw[\text{OF this}]$ show False by *blast*
 qed
 from $simulation1[\text{OF bisim } r1 \text{ this}]$
 obtain $x2' m2' x2'' m2'' ta2$ where $r2: r2.\text{silent-moves } t (x2, m2) (x2', m2')$
 and $r2': t \vdash (x2', m2') -2-ta2 \rightarrow (x2'', m2'')$
 and $\text{bisim': } t \vdash (x1', m1') \approx (x2'', m2'')$ and $\text{tasim: } ta1 \sim_m ta2$ by *auto*
 from $r2$
 have $\exists ta2 x2' m2' s2'. t \vdash (x2, m2) -2-ta2 \rightarrow (x2', m2') \wedge r2.\text{actions-ok } s2' t ta2$
 proof(cases rule: *converse-rtranclpE2[consumes 1, case-names base step]*)
 case *base*
 from $r2'[\text{folded base}] s1'[\text{unfolded ex-actions-ok1-conv-ex-actions-ok2}[\text{OF tasim}]]$
 show $?thesis$ by *blast*
 next

```

case (step  $x2''' m2'''$ )
  hence  $t \vdash (x2, m2) \xrightarrow{-2-\varepsilon} (x2''', m2'''$ ) by(auto dest: r2.silent-tl)
    moreover have r2.actions-ok (undefined, (undefined, undefined), Map.empty, undefined)  $t \varepsilon$ 
by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by-(rule exI conjI|assumption)+
qed
  hence r2.must-sync t x2 m2 unfolding r2.must-sync-def2 .
moreover
{ fix LT
  assume r2.can-sync t x2 m2 LT
  then obtain ta2 x2' m2' where r2:  $t \vdash (x2, m2) \xrightarrow{-ta2} (x2', m2')$ 
    and LT:  $LT = \text{collect-locks } \{ta2\}_l \leftrightarrow \text{collect-cond-actions } \{ta2\}_c \leftrightarrow \text{collect-interrupts }$ 
   $\{ta2\}_i$ 
    by(auto elim: r2.can-syncE)
  from ts2t have ts2' t =  $\lfloor(x2, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$  by simp
  with ws1t ts1t have  $\neg r2.\text{silent-move } t (x2, m2) (x2', m2')$ 
  proof(rule no- $\tau$ )
    fix x1' m1'
    assume r1.silent-move t (x1, shr s1) (x1', m1')
    hence  $t \vdash (x1, \text{shr } s1) \xrightarrow{-1-\varepsilon} (x1', m1')$  by(auto dest: r1.silent-tl)
    hence r1.can-sync t x1 (shr s1) {}
      by(auto intro: r1.can-syncI simp add: collect-locks-def collect-interrupts-def)
      with csmw[OF this] show False by blast
  qed
  with r2 have  $\neg \tau\text{move2 } (x2, m2) ta2 (x2', m2')$  by auto
  from simulation2[OF bisim r2 this] obtain x1' m1' x1'' m1'' ta1
    where  $\tau r1: r1.\text{silent-moves } t (x1, \text{shr } s1) (x1', m1')$ 
    and r1:  $t \vdash (x1', m1') \xrightarrow{-1-ta1} (x1'', m1'')$ 
    and n $\tau$ 1:  $\neg \tau\text{move1 } (x1', m1') ta1 (x1'', m1'')$ 
    and bisim':  $t \vdash (x1'', m1'') \approx (x2', m2')$ 
    and tlsim:  $ta1 \sim_m ta2$  by auto
  from  $\tau r1$  obtain [simp]:  $x1' = x1$   $m1' = \text{shr } s1$ 
  proof(cases rule: converse-rtranclpE2[consumes 1, case-names refl step])
    case (step X M)
      from <r1.silent-move t (x1, shr s1) (X, M)>
      have  $t \vdash (x1, \text{shr } s1) \xrightarrow{-1-\varepsilon} (X, M)$  by(auto dest: r1.silent-tl)
      hence r1.can-sync t x1 (shr s1) {}
        by(auto intro: r1.can-syncI simp add: collect-locks-def collect-interrupts-def)
        with csmw[OF this] have False by blast
        thus ?thesis ..
    qed blast
    from tlsim LT have  $LT = \text{collect-locks } \{ta1\}_l \leftrightarrow \text{collect-cond-actions } \{ta1\}_c \leftrightarrow \text{collect-interrupts } \{ta1\}_i$ 
      by(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def)
    with r1 have r1.can-sync t x1 (shr s1) LT by(auto intro: r1.can-syncI)
    from csmw[OF this] obtain lt
      where lt:  $lt \in LT$  r1.must-wait s1 t lt (dom (thr s1)) by blast
    from <r1.must-wait s1 t lt (dom (thr s1))> have r2.must-wait ?s2 t lt (dom (thr ?s2))
  proof(cases rule: r1.must-wait-elim)
    case (lock l)
    with mbisim-dom-eq[OF mbisim] show ?thesis by(auto simp add: mbisim-eqs)
  next
    case (join t')
    from dead deadlock-mbisim-not-final-thread-pres[OF - <r1.not-final-thread s1 t'> fin' mbisim]

```

```

have r2.not-final-thread ?s2 t' by auto
thus ?thesis using join mbisim-dom-eq[OF mbisim] by auto
next
  case (interrupt t')
    have r2.all-final-except ?s2 (dom (thr ?s2)) by(auto intro!: r2.all-final-exceptI)
    with interrupt show ?thesis by(auto simp add: mbisim-eqs)
  qed
  with lt have  $\exists lt \in LT. r2.must-wait ?s2 t lt (dom (thr ?s2))$  by blast }
  ultimately show ?case by fastforce
next
  case (2 t x2 ln l)
  note dead moreover
  from mbisim <thr ?s2 t = [(x2, ln)]>
  obtain x1 where thr s1 t = [(x1, ln)] by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD2)
  moreover note <0 < ln $ l>
  moreover from < $\neg$  waiting (wset ?s2 t)> mbisim
  have  $\neg$  waiting (wset s1 t) by(simp add: mbisim-def)
  ultimately obtain l' t' where 0 < ln $ l' t'  $\neq$  t' thr s1 t'  $\neq$  None has-lock (locks s1 $ l') t'
    by(rule r1.deadlockD2)
  thus ?case using mbisim-thrNone-eq[OF mbisim, of t'] mbisim by(auto simp add: mbisim-def)
next
  case (3 t x2 w)
  from mbisim-thrD2[OF mbisim this]
  obtain x1 where thr s1 t = [(x1, no-wait-locks)] by auto
  with dead have wset s1 t  $\neq$  [PostWS w] by(rule r1.deadlockD3[rule-format])
  with mbisim show ?case by(simp add: mbisim-def)
qed
with red1 red2 mbisim show ?thesis by(blast intro: rtranclp-trans)
next
  case False
  hence r1.mfinal s1 by(auto intro: r1.mfinalI simp add: r1.not-final-thread-iff)
  from mfinal1-simulation[OF mbisim this]
  obtain s2' where  $\tau mRed2 s2 s2' s1 \approx_m s2' r2.mfinal s2' shr s2' = shr s2$  by blast
  thus ?thesis by(blast intro: r2.mfinal-deadlock)
qed

lemma deadlock2-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlock1:
   $\llbracket s1 \approx_m s2; r2.deadlock s2 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists s1'. r1.mthr.silent-moves s1 s1' \wedge r1.deadlock s1' \wedge s1' \approx_m s2$ 
using FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.deadlock1-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlock2[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma deadlocked'1-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlocked'2:
   $\llbracket s1 \approx_m s2; r1.deadlocked' s1 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists s2'. r2.mthr.silent-moves s2 s2' \wedge r2.deadlocked' s2' \wedge s1 \approx_m s2'$ 
unfolding r1.deadlock-eq-deadlocked'[symmetric] r2.deadlock-eq-deadlocked'[symmetric]
by(rule deadlock1-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlock2)

lemma deadlocked'2-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlocked'1:
   $\llbracket s1 \approx_m s2; r2.deadlocked' s2 \rrbracket \implies \exists s1'. r1.mthr.silent-moves s1 s1' \wedge r1.deadlocked' s1' \wedge s1' \approx_m s2$ 
unfolding r1.deadlock-eq-deadlocked'[symmetric] r2.deadlock-eq-deadlocked'[symmetric]
by(rule deadlock2-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlock1)

```

```

end

context FWbisimulation begin

lemma mbisim-final-thread-preserve1:
  assumes mbisim:  $s1 \approx_m s2$  and fin:  $r1.\text{final-thread } s1 t$ 
  shows  $r2.\text{final-thread } s2 t$ 
proof -
  from fin obtain x1 where ts1t:  $\text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor(x1, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ 
    and fin1:  $\text{final1 } x1$  and ws1t:  $\text{wset } s1 t = \text{None}$ 
    by(auto elim: r1.final-threadE)
  from mbisim ts1t obtain x2
    where ts2t:  $\text{thr } s2 t = \lfloor(x2, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ 
      and bisim:  $t \vdash (x1, \text{shr } s1) \approx (x2, \text{shr } s2)$  by(auto dest: mbisim-thrD1)
    note ts2t moreover from fin1 bisim have final2 x2 by(auto dest: bisim-final)
    moreover from mbisim ws1t have wset s2 t = None by(simp add: mbisim-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis by(rule r2.final-threadI)
qed

lemma mbisim-final-thread-preserve2:
   $\llbracket s1 \approx_m s2; r2.\text{final-thread } s2 t \rrbracket \implies r1.\text{final-thread } s1 t$ 
using FWbisimulation.mbisim-final-thread-preserve1[OF FWbisimulation-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma mbisim-final-thread-inv:
   $s1 \approx_m s2 \implies r1.\text{final-thread } s1 t \longleftrightarrow r2.\text{final-thread } s2 t$ 
by(blast intro: mbisim-final-thread-preserve1 mbisim-final-thread-preserve2)

lemma mbisim-not-final-thread-inv:
  assumes bisim: mbisim s1 s2
  shows  $r1.\text{not-final-thread } s1 = r2.\text{not-final-thread } s2$ 
proof(rule ext)
  fix t
  show  $r1.\text{not-final-thread } s1 t = r2.\text{not-final-thread } s2 t$ 
proof(cases thr s1 t)
  case None
  with mbisim-thrNone-eq[OF bisim, of t] have thr s2 t = None by simp
  with None show ?thesis
    by(auto elim!: r2.not-final-thread.cases r1.not-final-thread.cases
           intro: r2.not-final-thread.intros r1.not-final-thread.intros)
next
  case (Some a)
  then obtain x1 ln where tst1:  $\text{thr } s1 t = \lfloor(x1, \text{ln})\rfloor$  by(cases a) auto
  from mbisim-thrD1[OF bisim tst1] obtain x2
    where tst2:  $\text{thr } s2 t = \lfloor(x2, \text{ln})\rfloor$  and bisim:  $t \vdash (x1, \text{shr } s1) \approx (x2, \text{shr } s2)$  by blast
  from bisim have wset s2 = wset s1 by(simp add: mbisim-def)
  with tst2 tst1 bisim-final[OF bisim] show ?thesis
    by(simp add: r1.not-final-thread-conv r2.not-final-thread-conv)(rule mbisim-final-thread-inv[OF bisim])
qed
qed

lemma mbisim-deadlocked-preserve1:
  assumes mbisim:  $s1 \approx_m s2$  and dead:  $t \in r1.\text{deadlocked } s1$ 

```

```

shows  $t \in r2.\text{deadlocked } s2$ 
proof -
  from  $\text{deadlocked1-imp-}\tau\text{s-deadlocked2[ OF mbisim dead]}$ 
  obtain  $s2'$  where  $r2.\text{mthr.silent-moves } s2 \ s2'$ 
    and  $t \in r2.\text{deadlocked } s2'$  by blast
  from  $\langle r2.\text{mthr.silent-moves } s2 \ s2' \rangle$  have  $s2' = s2$ 
    by(rule converse-rtranclpE)(auto elim: r2.mτmove.cases)
    with  $\langle t \in r2.\text{deadlocked } s2' \rangle$  show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma mbisim-deadlocked-preserve2:
   $\llbracket s1 \approx m s2; t \in r2.\text{deadlocked } s2 \rrbracket \implies t \in r1.\text{deadlocked } s1$ 
using FWbisimulation.mbisim-deadlocked-preserve1[ OF FWbisimulation-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma mbisim-deadlocked-inv:
   $s1 \approx m s2 \implies r1.\text{deadlocked } s1 = r2.\text{deadlocked } s2$ 
by(blast intro!: mbisim-deadlocked-preserve1 mbisim-deadlocked-preserve2)

lemma mbisim-deadlocked'-inv:
   $s1 \approx m s2 \implies r1.\text{deadlocked}' s1 \longleftrightarrow r2.\text{deadlocked}' s2$ 
unfolding r1.deadlocked'-def r2.deadlocked'-def
by(simp add: mbisim-not-final-thread-inv mbisim-deadlocked-inv)

lemma mbisim-deadlock-inv:
   $s1 \approx m s2 \implies r1.\text{deadlock } s1 = r2.\text{deadlock } s2$ 
unfolding r1.deadlock-eq-deadlocked' r2.deadlock-eq-deadlocked'
by(rule mbisim-deadlocked'-inv)

end

context FWbisimulation begin

lemma bisim-can-sync-preserve1:
  assumes bisim:  $t \vdash (x1, m1) \approx (x2, m2)$  and cs:  $t \vdash \langle x1, m1 \rangle \text{ LT } \varrho 1$ 
  shows  $t \vdash \langle x2, m2 \rangle \text{ LT } \varrho 2$ 
proof -
  from cs obtain ta1 x1' m1' where red1:  $t \vdash (x1, m1) -1-ta1\rightarrow (x1', m1')$ 
    and LT:  $\text{LT} = \text{collect-locks } \{ta1\}_l \leftrightarrow \text{collect-cond-actions } \{ta1\}_c \leftrightarrow \text{collect-interrupts } \{ta1\}_i$ 
  by(rule r1.can-syncE)
  from bisimulation.simulation1[ OF bisimulation-axioms, OF bisim red1] obtain x2' ta2 m2'
    where red2:  $t \vdash (x2, m2) -2-ta2\rightarrow (x2', m2')$ 
      and tasim:  $ta1 \sim m ta2$  by fastforce
  from tasim LT have LT =  $\text{collect-locks } \{ta2\}_l \leftrightarrow \text{collect-cond-actions } \{ta2\}_c \leftrightarrow \text{collect-interrupts } \{ta2\}_i$ 
    by(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def)
    with red2 show ?thesis by(rule r2.can-syncI)
qed

lemma bisim-can-sync-preserve2:
   $\llbracket t \vdash (x1, m1) \approx (x2, m2); t \vdash \langle x2, m2 \rangle \text{ LT } \varrho 2 \rrbracket \implies t \vdash \langle x1, m1 \rangle \text{ LT } \varrho 1$ 
using FWbisimulation.bisim-can-sync-preserve1[ OF FWbisimulation-flip]

```

unfolding *flip-simps* .

lemma *bisim-can-sync-inv*:

$t \vdash (x_1, m_1) \approx (x_2, m_2) \implies t \vdash \langle x_1, m_1 \rangle \text{LT} \wr_1 \longleftrightarrow t \vdash \langle x_2, m_2 \rangle \text{LT} \wr_2$
by(*blast intro*: *bisim-can-sync-preserve1* *bisim-can-sync-preserve2*)

lemma *bisim-must-sync-preserve1*:

assumes *bisim*: $t \vdash (x_1, m_1) \approx (x_2, m_2)$ **and** *ms*: $t \vdash \langle x_1, m_1 \rangle \wr_1$
shows $t \vdash \langle x_2, m_2 \rangle \wr_2$

proof –

from *ms obtain* *ta1* *x1'* *m1'* **where** *red1*: $t \vdash (x_1, m_1) \dashv_1 \text{ta1} \rightarrow (x_1', m_1')$
and *s1'*: $\exists s1'. r1.\text{actions-ok } s1' t \text{ta1}$ **by**(*fastforce elim*: *r1.must-syncE*)
from *bisimulation.simulation1*[*OF bisimulation-axioms, OF bisim red1*] **obtain** *x2'* *ta2* *m2'*
where *red2*: $t \vdash (x_2, m_2) \dashv_2 \text{ta2} \rightarrow (x_2', m_2')$
and *tasim*: $\text{ta1} \sim_m \text{ta2}$ **by** *fastforce*
from *ex-actions-ok1-conv-ex-actions-ok2*[*OF tasim, of t*] *s1'* *red2*
show ?*thesis* **unfolding** *r2.must-sync-def2* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *bisim-must-sync-preserve2*:

$\llbracket t \vdash (x_1, m_1) \approx (x_2, m_2); t \vdash \langle x_2, m_2 \rangle \wr_2 \rrbracket \implies t \vdash \langle x_1, m_1 \rangle \wr_1$
using *FWbisimulation.bisim-must-sync-preserve1*[*OF FWbisimulation-flip*]
unfolding *flip-simps* .

lemma *bisim-must-sync-inv*:

$t \vdash (x_1, m_1) \approx (x_2, m_2) \implies t \vdash \langle x_1, m_1 \rangle \wr_1 \longleftrightarrow t \vdash \langle x_2, m_2 \rangle \wr_2$
by(*blast intro*: *bisim-must-sync-preserve1* *bisim-must-sync-preserve2*)

end

end

1.20 Semantic properties of lifted predicates

theory *FWLiftingSem*

imports

FWSemantics

FWLifting

begin

context *multithreaded-base* **begin**

lemma *redT-preserves-ts-inv-ok*:

$\llbracket s \dashv ta \rightarrow s'; ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok } (\text{thr } s) I \rrbracket \implies ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok } (\text{thr } s') (\text{upd}\text{-invs } I P \{ta\}_t)$
by(*erule redT.cases*)(*fastforce intro*: *ts-inv-ok-upd-invs ts-inv-ok-upd-ts redT-updTs-Some*) +

lemma *RedT-preserves-ts-inv-ok*:

$\llbracket s \dashv ttas \rightarrow s'; ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok } (\text{thr } s) I \rrbracket \implies ts\text{-inv}\text{-ok } (\text{thr } s') (\text{upd}\text{-invs } I Q (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{thr}\text{-}a \circ \text{snd}) ttas)))$
by(*induct rule*: *RedT-induct*)(*auto intro*: *redT-preserves-ts-inv-ok*)

lemma *redT-upd-inv-ext*:

```

fixes I :: 't → i
shows [[s −>ta → s'; ts-inv-ok (thr s) I] ⇒ I ⊆m upd-invs I P {ta}t]
by(erule redT.cases, auto intro: ts-inv-ok-inv-ext-upd-invs)

lemma RedT-upd-inv-ext:
fixes I :: 't → i
shows [[s −>ttas →* s'; ts-inv-ok (thr s) I] ⇒ I ⊆m upd-invs I P (concat (map (thr-a ○ snd) ttas))
proof(induct rule: RedT-induct)
  case refl thus ?case by simp
next
  case (step S TTAS S' T TA S'')
    hence ts-inv-ok (thr S') (upd-invs I P (concat (map (thr-a ○ snd) TTAS)))
      by -(rule RedT-preserves-ts-inv-ok)
    hence upd-invs I P (concat (map (thr-a ○ snd) TTAS)) ⊆m upd-invs (upd-invs I P (concat (map (thr-a ○ snd) TTAS))) P {TA}t
      using step by -(rule redT-upd-inv-ext)
    with step show ?case by(auto elim!: map-le-trans simp add: comp-def)
qed

end

locale lifting-inv = multithreaded final r convert-RA
for final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics (- ⊢ --> - [50,0,0,50] 80)
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
+
fixes P :: 'i ⇒ 't ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'm ⇒ bool
assumes invariant-red: [[t ⊢ ⟨x, m⟩ −> ⟨x', m'⟩; P i t x m] ⇒ P i t x' m'
and invariant-NewThread: [[t ⊢ ⟨x, m⟩ −> ⟨x', m'⟩; P i t x m; NewThread t'' x'' m' ∈ set {ta}t]
] ⇒ ∃ i''. P i'' t'' x'' m'
and invariant-other: [[t ⊢ ⟨x, m⟩ −> ⟨x', m'⟩; P i t x m; P i'' t'' x'' m] ⇒ P i'' t'' x'' m'
begin

lemma redT-updTs-invariant:
fixes ln
assumes tsiP: ts-inv P I ts m
and red: t ⊢ ⟨x, m⟩ −> ⟨x', m'⟩
and tao: thread-oks ts {ta}t
and tst: ts t = ⌊(x, ln)⌋
shows ts-inv P (upd-invs I P {ta}t) ((redT-updTs ts {ta}t)(t ↦ (x', ln')) m'
proof(rule ts-invI)
  fix T X LN
  assume XLN: ((redT-updTs ts {ta}t)(t ↦ (x', ln')) T = ⌊(X, LN)⌋
  from tsiP ⟨ts t = ⌊(x, ln)⌋⟩ obtain i where I t = ⌊i⌋ P i t x m
    by(auto dest: ts-invD)
  show ∃ i. upd-invs I P {ta}t T = ⌊i⌋ ∧ P i T X m'
  proof(cases T = t)
    case True
    from red ⟨P i t x m⟩ have P i t x' m' by(rule invariant-red)
    moreover from ⟨I t = ⌊i⌋⟩ ⟨ts t = ⌊(x, ln)⌋⟩ tao
    have upd-invs I P {ta}t t = ⌊i⌋
      by(simp add: upd-invs-Some)
  qed
qed

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis using True XLN by simp
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases ts T)
    case None
    with XLN tao False have  $\exists m'. \text{NewThread } T X m' \in \text{set } \{\{ta\}_t\}$ 
      by(auto dest: redT-updTs-new-thread)
    with red have nt:  $\text{NewThread } T X m' \in \text{set } \{\{ta\}_t\}$  by(auto dest: new-thread-memory)
    with red  $\langle P i t x m \rangle$  have  $\exists i''. P i'' T X m'$  by(rule invariant-NewThread)
    hence  $P (\text{SOME } i. P i T X m') T X m'$  by(rule someI-ex)
    with nt tao show ?thesis by(auto intro: SOME-new-thread-upd-invs)
  next
    case (Some a)
    obtain X' LN' where [simp]:  $a = (X', LN')$  by(cases a)
    with  $\langle ts T = \lfloor a \rfloor \rangle$  have esT:  $ts T = \lfloor (X', LN') \rfloor$  by simp
    hence redT-updTs ts  $\{\{ta\}_t\} T = \lfloor (X', LN') \rfloor$ 
      using <thread-oks ts  $\{\{ta\}_t\}$  by(auto intro: redT-updTs-Some)
    moreover from esT tsiP obtain i' where  $I T = \lfloor i' \rfloor P i' T X' m$ 
      by(auto dest: ts-invD)
    from red  $\langle P i t x m \rangle \langle P i' T X' m \rangle$ 
    have  $P i' T X' m'$  by(rule invariant-other)
    moreover from  $\langle I T = \lfloor i' \rfloor \rangle$  esT tao have upd-invs I P  $\{\{ta\}_t\} T = \lfloor i' \rfloor$ 
      by(simp add: upd-invs-Some)
    ultimately show ?thesis using XLN False by simp
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

theorem redT-invariant:
  assumes redT:  $s \xrightarrow{\text{redT}} s'$ 
  and esinvP:  $\text{esinvP } P I (\text{thr } s) (\text{shr } s)$ 
  shows  $\text{esinvP } (P I (\text{thr } s) (\text{shr } s))$ 
using redT
proof(cases rule: redT-elims)
  case acquire thus ?thesis using esinvP
    by(auto intro!: ts-invI split: if-split-asm dest: ts-invD)
next
  case (normal x x' m')
  with esinvP
  have ts-inv P (upd-invs I P  $\{\{ta\}_t\}$ ) ((redT-updTs (thr s)  $\{\{ta\}_t\}$ ) (t  $\mapsto (x', \text{redT-updLns } (locks s) t)$  no-wait-locks  $\{\{ta\}_l\}) m'$ 
    by(auto intro: redT-updTs-invariant)
  thus ?thesis using normal by simp
qed

```

```

theorem RedT-invariant:
  assumes RedT:  $s \xrightarrow{\text{RedT}} s'$ 
  and esinvQ:  $\text{esinvQ } P I (\text{thr } s) (\text{shr } s)$ 
  shows  $\text{esinvQ } (P I (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{thr-a} \circ \text{snd}) \text{ ttas}))) (\text{thr } s') (\text{shr } s')$ 
using RedT esinvQ
proof(induct rule: RedT-induct)
  case refl thus ?case by(simp (no-asm))
next

```

```

case (step S TTAS S' T TA S'')
note IH = <ts-inv P I (thr S) (shr S) => ts-inv P (upd-invs I P (concat (map (thr-a o snd) TTAS)) (thr S') (shr S'))
with <ts-inv P I (thr S) (shr S)>
have ts-inv P (upd-invs I P (concat (map (thr-a o snd) TTAS))) (thr S') (shr S') by blast
with <S' - T>TA-> S'',
have ts-inv P (upd-invs (upd-invs I P (concat (map (thr-a o snd) TTAS))) P {TA}t) (thr S') (shr S'')
by(rule redT-invariant)
thus ?case by(simp add: comp-def)
qed

lemma invariant3p-ts-inv: invariant3p redT {s.  $\exists I.$  ts-inv P I (thr s) (shr s)}
by(auto intro!: invariant3pI dest: redT-invariant)

end

locale lifting-wf = multithreaded final r convert-RA
for final :: 'x Rightarrow bool
and r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics (- ⊢ - --> - [50,0,0,50] 80)
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks Rightarrow 'o list
+
fixes P :: 't Rightarrow 'x Rightarrow 'm Rightarrow bool
assumes preserves-red:  $\llbracket t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle \dashv ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle; P t x m \rrbracket \Rightarrow P t x' m'$ 
and preserves-NewThread:  $\llbracket t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle \dashv ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle; P t x m; NewThread t'' x'' m' \in set \{ta\}_t \rrbracket \Rightarrow P t'' x'' m'$ 
and preserves-other:  $\llbracket t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle \dashv ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle; P t x m; P t'' x'' m \rrbracket \Rightarrow P t'' x'' m'$ 
begin

lemma lifting-inv: lifting-inv final r ( $\lambda \cdot$  :: unit. P)
by(unfold-locales)(blast intro: preserves-red preserves-NewThread preserves-other)+

lemma redT-updTs-preserves:
fixes ln
assumes esokQ: ts-ok P ts m
and red:  $t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle \dashv ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle$ 
and ts t =  $\lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor$ 
and thread-oks ts {ta}t
shows ts-ok P ((redT-updTs ts {ta}t)(t  $\mapsto$  (x', ln'))) m'
proof -
  interpret lifting-inv final r convert-RA  $\lambda \cdot$  :: unit. P by(rule lifting-inv)
  from esokQ obtain I :: 't  $\rightarrow$  unit where ts-inv ( $\lambda \cdot.$  P) I ts m by(rule ts-ok-into-ts-inv-const)
  hence ts-inv ( $\lambda \cdot.$  P) (upd-invs I ( $\lambda \cdot.$  P) {ta}t) ((redT-updTs ts {ta}t)(t  $\mapsto$  (x', ln'))) m'
    using red <thread-oks ts {ta}t> <ts t =  $\lfloor (x, ln) \rfloorby(rule redT-updTs-invariant)
    thus ?thesis by(rule ts-inv-const-into-ts-ok)
qed

theorem redT-preserves:
assumes redT:  $s \dashv ta \rightarrow s'$ 
and esokQ: ts-ok P (thr s) (shr s)
shows ts-ok P (thr s') (shr s')
proof -
  interpret lifting-inv final r convert-RA  $\lambda \cdot$  :: unit. P by(rule lifting-inv)
  from esokQ obtain I :: 't  $\rightarrow$  unit where ts-inv ( $\lambda \cdot.$  P) I (thr s) (shr s) by(rule ts-ok-into-ts-inv-const)$ 
```

```

with redT have ts-inv ( $\lambda\_. P$ ) (upd-invs I ( $\lambda\_. P$ ) {ta}_t) (thr s') (shr s') by(rule redT-invariant)
thus ?thesis by(rule ts-inv-const-into-ts-ok)
qed

```

theorem RedT-preserves:

```

[| s -> ttas ->* s'; ts-ok P (thr s) (shr s) |] ==> ts-ok P (thr s') (shr s')
by(erule (1) RedT-lift-preserveD)(fastforce elim: redT-preserves)

```

```

lemma invariant3p-ts-ok: invariant3p redT {s. ts-ok P (thr s) (shr s)}
by(auto intro!: invariant3pI intro: redT-preserves)

```

end

```

lemma lifting-wf-Const [intro!]:
assumes multithreaded final r
shows lifting-wf final r ( $\lambda t x m. k$ )
proof -
interpret multithreaded final r using assms .
show ?thesis by unfold-locales blast+
qed

```

end

1.21 Synthetic first and last actions for each thread

theory FWInitFinLift

imports

FWLTS

FWLiftingSem

begin

```

datatype status =
PreStart
| Running
| Finished

```

abbreviation convert-TA-initial :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action \Rightarrow ('l, 't, status \times 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action
where convert-TA-initial == convert-extTA (Pair PreStart)

lemma convert-obs-initial-convert-TA-initial:

```

convert-obs-initial (convert-TA-initial ta) = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta)
by(simp add: convert-obs-initial-def)

```

lemma convert-TA-initial-inject [simp]:

```

convert-TA-initial ta = convert-TA-initial ta'  $\longleftrightarrow$  ta = ta'
by(cases ta)(cases ta', auto)

```

context final-thread **begin**

```

primrec init-fin-final :: status  $\times$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where init-fin-final (status, x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  status = Finished  $\wedge$  final x

```

end

```

context multithreaded-base begin

inductive init-fin :: ('l,'t,status × 'x,'m,'w,'o action) semantics (- ⊢ - --→i - [50,0,0,51] 51)
where
  NormalAction:
     $t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle$ 
     $\implies t \vdash ((Running, x), m) -convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta) \rightarrow i ((Running, x'), m')$ 

  | InitialThreadAction:
     $t \vdash ((PreStart, x), m) -\{InitialThreadAction\} \rightarrow i ((Running, x), m)$ 

  | ThreadFinishAction:
     $final x \implies t \vdash ((Running, x), m) -\{ThreadFinishAction\} \rightarrow i ((Finished, x), m)$ 

end

declare split-paired-Ex [simp del]

inductive-simps (in multithreaded-base) init-fin-simps [simp]:
   $t \vdash ((Finished, x), m) -ta \rightarrow i xm'$ 
   $t \vdash ((PreStart, x), m) -ta \rightarrow i xm'$ 
   $t \vdash ((Running, x), m) -ta \rightarrow i xm'$ 
   $t \vdash xm -ta \rightarrow i ((Finished, x'), m')$ 
   $t \vdash xm -ta \rightarrow i ((Running, x'), m')$ 
   $t \vdash xm -ta \rightarrow i ((PreStart, x'), m')$ 

declare split-paired-Ex [simp]

context multithreaded begin

lemma multithreaded-init-fin: multithreaded init-fin-final init-fin
by(unfold-locales)(fastforce simp add: init-fin.simps convert-obs-initial-def ta-upd-simps dest: new-thread-memory)+

end

locale if-multithreaded-base = multithreaded-base +
  constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
  and r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list

sublocale if-multithreaded-base < if: multithreaded-base
  init-fin-final
  init-fin
  map NormalAction ∘ convert-RA
  .

locale if-multithreaded = if-multithreaded-base + multithreaded +
  constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
  and r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list

sublocale if-multithreaded < if: multithreaded
  init-fin-final

```

```

init-fin
map NormalAction o convert-RA
by(rule multithreaded-init-fin)

context  $\tau$ multithreaded begin

inductive init-fin- $\tau$ move :: ('l,'t,status × 'x,'m,'w,'o action)  $\tau$ moves
where
   $\tau$ move ( $x, m$ ) ta ( $x', m'$ )
     $\Rightarrow$  init-fin- $\tau$ move ((Running,  $x$ ),  $m$ ) (convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta)) ((Running,  $x'$ ),  $m'$ )

lemma init-fin- $\tau$ move-simps [simp]:
  init-fin- $\tau$ move ((PreStart,  $x$ ),  $m$ ) ta  $x'm' = \text{False}$ 
  init-fin- $\tau$ move xm ta ((PreStart,  $x'$ ),  $m'$ ) =  $\text{False}$ 
  init-fin- $\tau$ move ((Running,  $x$ ),  $m$ ) ta (( $s, x'$ ),  $m'$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists ta'. ta = \text{convert-TA-initial} (\text{convert-obs-initial } ta') \wedge s = \text{Running} \wedge \tau\text{move } (x, m) ta' (x', m'))$ 
  init-fin- $\tau$ move (( $s, x$ ),  $m$ ) ta ((Running,  $x'$ ),  $m'$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
     $s = \text{Running} \wedge (\exists ta'. ta = \text{convert-TA-initial} (\text{convert-obs-initial } ta') \wedge \tau\text{move } (x, m) ta' (x', m'))$ 
  init-fin- $\tau$ move ((Finished,  $x$ ),  $m$ ) ta  $x'm' = \text{False}$ 
  init-fin- $\tau$ move xm ta ((Finished,  $x'$ ),  $m'$ ) =  $\text{False}$ 
by(simp-all add: init-fin- $\tau$ move.simps)

lemma init-fin-silent-move-RunningI:
  assumes silent-move t ( $x, m$ ) ( $x', m'$ )
  shows  $\tau$ trsys.silent-move (init-fin t) init-fin- $\tau$ move ((Running,  $x$ ),  $m$ ) ((Running,  $x'$ ),  $m'$ )
  using assms by(cases)(auto intro:  $\tau$ trsys.silent-move.intros init-fin.NormalAction)

lemma init-fin-silent-moves-RunningI:
  assumes silent-moves t ( $x, m$ ) ( $x', m'$ )
  shows  $\tau$ trsys.silent-moves (init-fin t) init-fin- $\tau$ move ((Running,  $x$ ),  $m$ ) ((Running,  $x'$ ),  $m'$ )
  using assms
  by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto elim: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl intro: init-fin-silent-move-RunningI)

lemma init-fin-silent-moveD:
  assumes  $\tau$ trsys.silent-move (init-fin t) init-fin- $\tau$ move (( $s, x$ ),  $m$ ) (( $s', x'$ ),  $m'$ )
  shows silent-move t ( $x, m$ ) ( $x', m'$ )  $\wedge s = s' \wedge s' = \text{Running}$ 
  using assms by(auto elim!:  $\tau$ trsys.silent-move.cases init-fin.cases)

lemma init-fin-silent-movesD:
  assumes  $\tau$ trsys.silent-moves (init-fin t) init-fin- $\tau$ move (( $s, x$ ),  $m$ ) (( $s', x'$ ),  $m'$ )
  shows silent-moves t ( $x, m$ ) ( $x', m'$ )  $\wedge s = s'$ 
  using assms
  by(induct (( $s, x$ ),  $m$ ) (( $s', x'$ ),  $m'$ ) arbitrary:  $s' x' m'$ 
    (auto 7 2 simp only: dest!: init-fin-silent-moveD intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)

lemma init-fin- $\tau$ divergeD:
  assumes  $\tau$ trsys. $\tau$ diverge (init-fin t) init-fin- $\tau$ move ((status,  $x$ ),  $m$ )
  shows  $\tau$ diverge t ( $x, m$ )  $\wedge$  status = Running
proof
  from assms show status = Running
  by(cases rule:  $\tau$ trsys. $\tau$ diverge.cases[consumes 1])(auto dest: init-fin-silent-moveD)
  moreover define xm where xm = ( $x, m$ )
  ultimately have  $\exists x m. xm = (x, m) \wedge \tau$ trsys. $\tau$ diverge (init-fin t) init-fin- $\tau$ move ((Running,  $x$ ),  $m$ )

```

```

using assms by blast
thus  $\tau\text{diverge } t \ xm$ 
proof(coinduct)
  case ( $\tau\text{diverge } xm$ )
  then obtain  $x \ m$ 
    where diverge:  $\tau\text{trsys}.\tau\text{diverge } (\text{init-fin } t) \ \text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } ((\text{Running}, x), m)$ 
    and  $xm: xm = (x, m)$  by blast
  thus ?case
    by(cases rule: $\tau\text{trsys}.\tau\text{diverge.cases[consumes 1]}$ )(auto dest!: init-fin-silent-moveD)
qed
qed

lemma init-fin- $\tau$ diverge-RunningI:
assumes  $\tau\text{diverge } t \ (x, m)$ 
shows  $\tau\text{trsys}.\tau\text{diverge } (\text{init-fin } t) \ \text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } ((\text{Running}, x), m)$ 
proof -
  define  $sxm$  where  $sxm = ((\text{Running}, x), m)$ 
  with assms have  $\exists x \ m. \tau\text{diverge } t \ (x, m) \wedge sxm = ((\text{Running}, x), m)$  by blast
  thus  $\tau\text{trsys}.\tau\text{diverge } (\text{init-fin } t) \ \text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } sxm$ 
  proof(coinduct rule: $\tau\text{trsys}.\tau\text{diverge.coinduct[consumes 1, case-names }\tau\text{diverge]}$ )
    case ( $\tau\text{diverge } sxm$ )
    then obtain  $x \ m$  where  $\tau\text{diverge } t \ (x, m)$  and  $sxm = ((\text{Running}, x), m)$  by blast
    thus ?case by(cases)(auto intro: init-fin-silent-move-RunningI)
  qed
qed
qed

lemma init-fin- $\tau$ diverge-conv:
 $\tau\text{trsys}.\tau\text{diverge } (\text{init-fin } t) \ \text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } ((\text{status}, x), m) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\tau\text{diverge } t \ (x, m) \wedge \text{status} = \text{Running}$ 
by(blast intro: init-fin- $\tau$ diverge-RunningI dest: init-fin- $\tau$ divergeD)

end

lemma init-fin- $\tau$ moves-False:
 $\tau\text{multithreaded}.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } (\lambda\cdots. \text{False}) = (\lambda\cdots. \text{False})$ 
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff  $\tau\text{multithreaded}.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move.simps}$ )

locale if- $\tau$ multithreaded = if-multithreaded-base +  $\tau\text{multithreaded} +$ 
constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) semantics
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and  $\tau\text{move}$  :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o)  $\tau$ moves

sublocale if- $\tau$ multithreaded < if:  $\tau$ multithreaded
  init-fin-final
  init-fin
  map NormalAction ∘ convert-RA
  init-fin- $\tau$ move
  .

locale if- $\tau$ multithreaded-wf = if-multithreaded-base +  $\tau\text{multithreaded-wf} +$ 
constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) semantics
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list

```

```

and  $\tau\text{move} :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) \tau\text{moves}$ 

sublocale  $\text{if-}\tau\text{multithreaded-wf} < \text{if-}\tau\text{multithreaded}$ 
by unfold-locales

sublocale  $\text{if-}\tau\text{multithreaded-wf} < \text{if-}\tau\text{multithreaded} .$ 

context  $\tau\text{multithreaded-wf}$  begin

lemma  $\tau\text{multithreaded-wf-init-fin}:$ 
 $\tau\text{multithreaded-wf init-fin-final init-fin init-fin-}\tau\text{move}$ 
proof –
  interpret  $\text{if: multithreaded init-fin-final init-fin map NormalAction} \circ \text{convert-RA}$ 
    by(rule multithreaded-init-fin)
  show ?thesis
  proof(unfold-locales)
    fix  $t x m ta x' m'$ 
    assume  $\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } (x, m) \text{ ta } (x', m') t \vdash (x, m) -ta \rightarrow i (x', m')$ 
    thus  $m = m'$  by(cases)(auto dest:  $\tau\text{move-heap}$ )
  next
    fix  $s ta s'$ 
    assume  $\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } s \text{ ta } s'$ 
    thus  $ta = \varepsilon$  by(cases)(auto dest: silent-tl)
  qed
qed

end

sublocale  $\text{if-}\tau\text{multithreaded-wf} < \text{if: }\tau\text{multithreaded-wf}$ 
  init-fin-final
  init-fin
  map NormalAction  $\circ \text{convert-RA}$ 
  init-fin-}\tau\text{move
by(rule  $\tau\text{multithreaded-wf-init-fin}$ )

primrec  $\text{init-fin-lift-inv} :: ('i \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'i \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow \text{status} \times 'x \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where  $\text{init-fin-lift-inv } P \ I \ t \ (s, x) = P \ I \ t \ x$ 

context lifting-inv begin

lemma  $\text{lifting-inv-init-fin-lift-inv}:$ 
 $\text{lifting-inv init-fin-final init-fin (init-fin-lift-inv } P)$ 
proof –
  interpret  $\text{if: multithreaded init-fin-final init-fin map NormalAction} \circ \text{convert-RA}$ 
    by(rule multithreaded-init-fin)
  show ?thesis
    by(unfold-locales)(fastforce elim!: init-fin.cases dest: invariant-red invariant-NewThread invariant-other)+
  qed

end

locale  $\text{if-lifting-inv} =$ 

```

```

if-multithreaded +
lifting-inv +
constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and P :: 'i ⇒ 't ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'm ⇒ bool

sublocale if-lifting-inv < if: lifting-inv
  init-fin-final
  init-fin
  map NormalAction ∘ convert-RA
  init-fin-lift-inv P
by(rule lifting-inv-init-fin-lift-inv)

primrec init-fin-lift :: ('t ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'm ⇒ bool) ⇒ 't ⇒ status × 'x ⇒ 'm ⇒ bool
where init-fin-lift P t (s, x) = P t x

context lifting-wf begin

lemma lifting-wf-init-fin-lift:
  lifting-wf init-fin-final init-fin (init-fin-lift P)
proof –
  interpret if: multithreaded init-fin-final init-fin map NormalAction ∘ convert-RA
    by(rule multithreaded-init-fin)
  show ?thesis
    by(unfold-locales)(fastforce elim!: init-fin.cases dest: dest: preserves-red preserves-other preserves-NewThread) +
qed

end

locale if-lifting-wf =
  if-multithreaded +
  lifting-wf +
  constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
  and r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
  and P :: 't ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'm ⇒ bool

sublocale if-lifting-wf < if: lifting-wf
  init-fin-final
  init-fin
  map NormalAction ∘ convert-RA
  init-fin-lift P
by(rule lifting-wf-init-fin-lift)

lemma (in if-lifting-wf) if-lifting-inv:
  if-lifting-inv final r (λ-::unit. P)
proof –
  interpret lifting-inv final r convert-RA λ- :: unit. P by(rule lifting-inv)
  show ?thesis by unfold-locales
qed

locale τ lifting-inv = τ multithreaded-wf +
  lifting-inv +

```

```

constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and τmove :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) τmoves
and P :: 'i ⇒ 't ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'm ⇒ bool
begin

lemma redT-silent-move-invariant:
 $\llbracket \tau mredT s s'; ts\text{-inv } P Is (thr s) (shr s) \rrbracket \implies ts\text{-inv } P Is (thr s') (shr s')$ 
by(auto dest!: redT-invariant mτmove-silentD)

lemma redT-silent-moves-invariant:
 $\llbracket mthr.\text{silent-moves } s s'; ts\text{-inv } P Is (thr s) (shr s) \rrbracket \implies ts\text{-inv } P Is (thr s') (shr s')$ 
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(auto dest: redT-silent-move-invariant)

lemma redT-τrtrancl3p-invariant:
 $\llbracket mthr.\tau rtrancl3p s ttas s'; ts\text{-inv } P Is (thr s) (shr s) \rrbracket \implies ts\text{-inv } P (upd-invs } Is P (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\text{thr-a } \circ \text{snd}) \text{ ttas})) (thr s') (shr s')$ 
proof(induct arbitrary: Is rule: mthr.τrtrancl3p.induct)
  case τrtrancl3p-refl thus ?case by simp
next
  case (τrtrancl3p-step s s' tls s'' tl)
    thus ?case by(cases tl)(force dest: redT-invariant)
next
  case (τrtrancl3p-τstep s s' tls s'' tl)
    thus ?case by(cases tl)(force dest: redT-invariant mτmove-silentD)
qed

end

locale τlifting-wf = τmultithreaded +
  lifting-wf +
constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) semantics
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and τmove :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) τmoves
and P :: 't ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'm ⇒ bool
begin

lemma redT-silent-move-preserves:
 $\llbracket \tau mredT s s'; ts\text{-ok } P (thr s) (shr s) \rrbracket \implies ts\text{-ok } P (thr s') (shr s')$ 
by(auto dest: redT-preserves)

lemma redT-silent-moves-preserves:
 $\llbracket mthr.\text{silent-moves } s s'; ts\text{-ok } P (thr s) (shr s) \rrbracket \implies ts\text{-ok } P (thr s') (shr s')$ 
by(induct rule: rtranclp.induct)(auto dest: redT-silent-move-preserves)

lemma redT-τrtrancl3p-preserves:
 $\llbracket mthr.\tau rtrancl3p s ttas s'; ts\text{-ok } P (thr s) (shr s) \rrbracket \implies ts\text{-ok } P (thr s') (shr s')$ 
by(induct rule: mthr.τrtrancl3p.induct)(auto dest: redT-silent-moves-preserves redT-preserves)

end

definition init-fin-lift-state :: status ⇒ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state ⇒ ('l,'t,status × 'x,'m,'w) state

```

where $\text{init-fin-lift-state } s \sigma = (\text{locks } \sigma, (\lambda t. \text{map-option } (\lambda(x, ln). ((s, x), ln)) (\text{thr } \sigma t), \text{shr } \sigma), \text{wset } \sigma, \text{interrupts } \sigma)$

definition $\text{init-fin-descend-thr} :: ('l, 't, 'status \times 'x) \text{ thread-info} \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x) \text{ thread-info}$
where $\text{init-fin-descend-thr } ts = \text{map-option } (\lambda((s, x), ln). (x, ln)) \circ ts$

definition $\text{init-fin-descend-state} :: ('l, 't, 'status \times 'x, 'm, 'w) \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) \text{ state}$
where $\text{init-fin-descend-state } \sigma = (\text{locks } \sigma, (\text{init-fin-descend-thr } (\text{thr } \sigma), \text{shr } \sigma), \text{wset } \sigma, \text{interrupts } \sigma)$

lemma $\text{ts-ok-init-fin-lift-init-fin-lift-state} [\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{ts-ok } (\text{init-fin-lift } P) (\text{thr } (\text{init-fin-lift-state } s \sigma)) (\text{shr } (\text{init-fin-lift-state } s \sigma)) \longleftrightarrow \text{ts-ok } P (\text{thr } \sigma)$
 $(\text{shr } \sigma)$
by(auto simp add: init-fin-lift-state-def intro!: ts-okI dest: ts-okD)

lemma $\text{ts-inv-init-fin-lift-inv-init-fin-lift-state} [\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{ts-inv } (\text{init-fin-lift-inv } P) I (\text{thr } (\text{init-fin-lift-state } s \sigma)) (\text{shr } (\text{init-fin-lift-state } s \sigma)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{ts-inv } P I (\text{thr } \sigma) (\text{shr } \sigma)$
by(auto simp add: init-fin-lift-state-def intro!: ts-invI dest: ts-invD)

lemma $\text{init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps}$:
shows $\text{shr-init-fin-lift-state}: \text{shr } (\text{init-fin-lift-state } s \sigma) = \text{shr } \sigma$
and $\text{locks-init-fin-lift-state}: \text{locks } (\text{init-fin-lift-state } s \sigma) = \text{locks } \sigma$
and $\text{wset-init-fin-lift-state}: \text{wset } (\text{init-fin-lift-state } s \sigma) = \text{wset } \sigma$
and $\text{interrupts-init-fin-lift-state}: \text{interrupts } (\text{init-fin-lift-state } s \sigma) = \text{interrupts } \sigma$
and $\text{thr-init-fin-list-state}$:
 $\text{thr } (\text{init-fin-lift-state } s \sigma) t = \text{map-option } (\lambda(x, ln). ((s, x), ln)) (\text{thr } \sigma t)$
by(simp-all add: init-fin-lift-state-def)

lemma $\text{thr-init-fin-list-state}'$:
 $\text{thr } (\text{init-fin-lift-state } s \sigma) = \text{map-option } (\lambda(x, ln). ((s, x), ln)) \circ \text{thr } \sigma$
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff thr-init-fin-list-state)

lemma $\text{init-fin-descend-thr-Some-conv} [\text{simp}]$:
 $\bigwedge ln. ts t = \lfloor ((status, x), ln) \rfloor \implies \text{init-fin-descend-thr } ts t = \lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor$
by(simp add: init-fin-descend-thr-def)

lemma $\text{init-fin-descend-thr-None-conv} [\text{simp}]$:
 $ts t = \text{None} \implies \text{init-fin-descend-thr } ts t = \text{None}$
by(simp add: init-fin-descend-thr-def)

lemma $\text{init-fin-descend-thr-eq-None} [\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{init-fin-descend-thr } ts t = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow ts t = \text{None}$
by(simp add: init-fin-descend-thr-def)

lemma $\text{init-fin-descend-state-simps} [\text{simp}]$:
 $\text{init-fin-descend-state } (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) = (ls, (\text{init-fin-descend-thr } ts, m), ws, is)$
 $\text{locks } (\text{init-fin-descend-state } s) = \text{locks } s$
 $\text{thr } (\text{init-fin-descend-state } s) = \text{init-fin-descend-thr } (\text{thr } s)$
 $\text{shr } (\text{init-fin-descend-state } s) = \text{shr } s$
 $\text{wset } (\text{init-fin-descend-state } s) = \text{wset } s$
 $\text{interrupts } (\text{init-fin-descend-state } s) = \text{interrupts } s$
by(simp-all add: init-fin-descend-state-def)

lemma $\text{init-fin-descend-thr-update} [\text{simp}]$:

```

 $\text{init-fin-descend-thr} (\text{ts}(t := v)) = (\text{init-fin-descend-thr ts})(t := \text{map-option } (\lambda((\text{status}, x), \text{ln}). (x, \text{ln})) v)$ 
by(simp add: init-fin-descend-thr-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma ts-ok-init-fin-descend-state:
 $\text{ts-ok } P (\text{init-fin-descend-thr ts}) = \text{ts-ok } (\text{init-fin-lift } P) \text{ ts}$ 
by(rule ext)(auto 4 3 intro!: ts-okI dest: ts-okD simp add: init-fin-descend-thr-def)

lemma free-thread-id-init-fin-descend-thr [simp]:
 $\text{free-thread-id } (\text{init-fin-descend-thr ts}) = \text{free-thread-id } \text{ts}$ 
by(simp add: free-thread-id.simps fun-eq-iff)

lemma redT-updT'-init-fin-descend-thr-eq-None [simp]:
 $\text{redT-updT}' (\text{init-fin-descend-thr ts}) \text{ nt t} = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{redT-updT}' \text{ ts nt t} = \text{None}$ 
by(cases nt) simp-all

lemma thread-ok-init-fin-descend-thr [simp]:
 $\text{thread-ok } (\text{init-fin-descend-thr ts}) \text{ nta} = \text{thread-ok } \text{ts nta}$ 
by(cases nta) simp-all

lemma threads-ok-init-fin-descend-thr [simp]:
 $\text{thread-oks } (\text{init-fin-descend-thr ts}) \text{ ntas} = \text{thread-oks } \text{ts ntas}$ 
by(induct ntas arbitrary: ts)(auto elim!: thread-oks-ts-change[THEN iffD1, rotated 1])

lemma init-fin-descend-thr-redT-updT [simp]:
 $\text{init-fin-descend-thr } (\text{redT-updT ts } (\text{convert-new-thread-action } (\text{Pair status}) \text{ nt})) =$ 
 $\text{redT-updT } (\text{init-fin-descend-thr ts}) \text{ nt}$ 
by(cases nt) simp-all

lemma init-fin-descend-thr-redT-updTs [simp]:
 $\text{init-fin-descend-thr } (\text{redT-updTs ts } (\text{map } (\text{convert-new-thread-action } (\text{Pair status})) \text{ nts})) =$ 
 $\text{redT-updTs } (\text{init-fin-descend-thr ts}) \text{ nts}$ 
by(induct nts arbitrary: ts) simp-all

context final-thread begin

lemma cond-action-ok-init-fin-descend-stateI [simp]:
 $\text{final-thread.cond-action-ok } \text{init-fin-final s t ct} \implies \text{cond-action-ok } (\text{init-fin-descend-state s}) \text{ t ct}$ 
by(cases ct)(auto simp add: final-thread.cond-action-ok.simps init-fin-descend-thr-def)

lemma cond-action-oks-init-fin-descend-stateI [simp]:
 $\text{final-thread.cond-action-oks } \text{init-fin-final s t cts} \implies \text{cond-action-oks } (\text{init-fin-descend-state s}) \text{ t cts}$ 
by(induct cts)(simp-all add: final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps cond-action-ok-init-fin-descend-stateI)

end

definition lift-start-obs :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'o list  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  'o action) list
where lift-start-obs t obs = (t, InitialThreadAction) # map ( $\lambda$ ob. (t, NormalAction ob)) obs

lemma length-lift-start-obs [simp]: length (lift-start-obs t obs) = Suc (length obs)
by(simp add: lift-start-obs-def)

lemma set-lift-start-obs [simp]:

```

```

set (lift-start-obs t obs) =
  insert (t, InitialThreadAction) ((Pair t o NormalAction) ` set obs)
by(auto simp add: lift-start-obs-def o-def)

lemma distinct-lift-start-obs [simp]: distinct (lift-start-obs t obs) = distinct obs
by(auto simp add: lift-start-obs-def distinct-map intro: inj-onI)

end

theory FWBisimLift imports
  FWInitFinLift
  FWBisimulation
begin

context FWbisimulation-base begin

inductive init-fin-bisim :: 't ⇒ ((status × 'x1) × 'm1, (status × 'x2) × 'm2) bisim
  (- ⊢ - ≈i -[50,50,50] 60)
for t :: 't
where
  PreStart: t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2) ⇒ t ⊢ ((PreStart, x1), m1) ≈i ((PreStart, x2), m2)
  | Running: t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2) ⇒ t ⊢ ((Running, x1), m1) ≈i ((Running, x2), m2)
  | Finished:
    [ t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2); final1 x1; final2 x2 ]
    ⇒ t ⊢ ((Finished, x1), m1) ≈i ((Finished, x2), m2)

definition init-fin-bisim-wait :: (status × 'x1, status × 'x2) bisim (- ≈iw - [50,50] 60)
where
  init-fin-bisim-wait = (λ(status1, x1) (status2, x2). status1 = Running ∧ status2 = Running ∧ x1 ≈w x2)

inductive-simps init-fin-bisim-simps [simp]:
  t ⊢ ((PreStart, x1), m1) ≈i ((s2, x2), m2)
  t ⊢ ((Running, x1), m1) ≈i ((s2, x2), m2)
  t ⊢ ((Finished, x1), m1) ≈i ((s2, x2), m2)
  t ⊢ ((s1, x1), m1) ≈i ((PreStart, x2), m2)
  t ⊢ ((s1, x1), m1) ≈i ((Running, x2), m2)
  t ⊢ ((s1, x1), m1) ≈i ((Finished, x2), m2)

lemma init-fin-bisim-iff:
  t ⊢ ((s1, x1), m1) ≈i ((s2, x2), m2) ↔
  s1 = s2 ∧ t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2) ∧ (s2 = Finished → final1 x1 ∧ final2 x2)
by(cases s1) auto

lemma nta-bisim-init-fin-bisim [simp]:
  nta-bisim init-fin-bisim (convert-new-thread-action (Pair PreStart) nt1)
  (convert-new-thread-action (Pair PreStart) nt2) =
  nta-bisim bisim nt1 nt2
by(cases nt1) simp-all

lemma ta-bisim-init-fin-bisim-convert [simp]:
  ta-bisim init-fin-bisim (convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta1)) (convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta2)) ↔ ta1 ~m ta2
by(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2)

```

```

lemma ta-bisim-init-fin-bisim-InitialThreadAction [simp]:
  ta-bisim init-fin-bisim {InitialThreadAction} {InitialThreadAction}
by(simp add: ta-bisim-def)

lemma ta-bisim-init-fin-bisim-ThreadFinishAction [simp]:
  ta-bisim init-fin-bisim {ThreadFinishAction} {ThreadFinishAction}
by(simp add: ta-bisim-def)

lemma init-fin-bisim-wait-simps [simp]:
  (status1, x1) ≈iw (status2, x2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  status1 = Running  $\wedge$  status2 = Running  $\wedge$  x1 ≈w x2
by(simp add: init-fin-bisim-wait-def)

lemma init-fin-lift-state-mbisimI:
  s ≈m s'  $\implies$ 
  FWbisimulation-base.mbisim init-fin-bisim init-fin-bisim-wait (init-fin-lift-state Running s) (init-fin-lift-state
Running s')
apply(rule FWbisimulation-base.mbisimI)
  apply(simp add: thr-init-fin-list-state' o-def dom-map-option mbisim-finite1)
  apply(simp add: locks-init-fin-lift-state mbisim-def)
  apply(simp add: wset-init-fin-lift-state mbisim-def)
  apply(simp add: interrupts-init-fin-lift-stae mbisim-def)
  apply(clarsimp simp add: wset-init-fin-lift-state mbisim-def thr-init-fin-list-state' o-def wset-thread-ok-conv-dom
dom-map-option del: subsetI)
  apply(drule_tac t=t in mbisim-thrNone-eq)
  apply(simp add: thr-init-fin-list-state)
apply(clarsimp simp add: thr-init-fin-list-state shr-init-fin-lift-state wset-init-fin-lift-state init-fin-bisim-iff)
apply(frule (1) mbisim-thrD1)
apply(simp add: mbisim-def)
done

end

context FWdelay-bisimulation-base begin

lemma init-fin-delay-bisimulation-final-base:
  delay-bisimulation-final-base (r1.init-fin t) (r2.init-fin t) (init-fin-bisim t)
  r1.init-fin-τmove r2.init-fin-τmove (λ(x1, m). r1.init-fin-final x1) (λ(x2, m). r2.init-fin-final x2)
by(unfold-locales)(auto 4 3)

end

lemma init-fin-bisim-flip [flip-simps]:
  FWbisimulation-base.init-fin-bisim final2 final1 (λt. flip (bisim t)) =
  (λt. flip (FWbisimulation-base.init-fin-bisim final1 final2 bisim t))
by(auto simp only: FWbisimulation-base.init-fin-bisim-iff flip-simps fun-eq-iff split-paired-Ex)

lemma init-fin-bisim-wait-flip [flip-simps]:
  FWbisimulation-base.init-fin-bisim-wait (flip bisim-wait) =
  flip (FWbisimulation-base.init-fin-bisim-wait bisim-wait)
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff FWbisimulation-base.init-fin-bisim-wait-simps flip-simps)

context FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux begin

lemma init-fin-FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux:

```

```

FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux r1.init-fin-final r1.init-fin r2.init-fin-final r2.init-fin r1.init-fin-τmove
r2.init-fin-τmove
by(intro FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux.intro r1.τmultithreaded-wf-init-fin r2.τmultithreaded-wf-init-fin)

lemma init-fin-FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base:
FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base
r1.init-fin-final r1.init-fin r2.init-fin-final r2.init-fin
init-fin-bisim r1.init-fin-τmove r2.init-fin-τmove
by(intro FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base.intro init-fin-FWdelay-bisimulation-lift-aux FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base)
init-fin-delay-bisimulation-final-base)

end

context FWdelay-bisimulation-obs begin

lemma init-fin-simulation1:
assumes bisim: t ⊢ s1 ≈i s2
and red1: r1.init-fin t s1 tl1 s1'
and τ1: ¬ r1.init-fin-τmove s1 tl1 s1'
shows ∃ s2' s2'' tl2. (τtrsys.silent-move (r2.init-fin t) r2.init-fin-τmove)** s2 s2' ∧
r2.init-fin t s2' tl2 s2'' ∧ ¬ r2.init-fin-τmove s2' tl2 s2'' ∧
t ⊢ s1' ≈i s2'' ∧ ta-bisim init-fin-bisim tl1 tl2

proof -
from bisim obtain status x1 m1 x2 m2
where s1: s1 = ((status, x1), m1)
and s2: s2 = ((status, x2), m2)
and bisim: t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2)
and finished: status = Finished ==> final1 x1 ∧ final2 x2
by(cases s1)(cases s2, fastforce simp add: init-fin-bisim-iff)
from red1 show ?thesis unfolding s1
proof(cases)
case (NormalAction ta1 x1' m1')
with τ1 s1 have ¬ τmove1 (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1') by(simp)
from simulation1[OF bisim ‹t ⊢ (x1, m1) -1- ta1 → (x1', m1')› this]
obtain x2' m2' x2'' m2'' ta2
where red2: r2.silent-moves t (x2, m2) (x2', m2')
and red2': t ⊢ (x2', m2') -2- ta2 → (x2'', m2'')
and τ2: ¬ τmove2 (x2', m2') ta2 (x2'', m2'')
and bisim': t ⊢ (x1', m1') ≈ (x2'', m2'')
and tasim: ta1 ~m ta2 by auto
let ?s2' = ((Running, x2'), m2')
let ?s2'' = ((Running, x2''), m2'')
let ?ta2 = (convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta2))
from red2 have τtrsys.silent-moves (r2.init-fin t) r2.init-fin-τmove s2 ?s2'
unfolding s2 ‹status = Running› by(rule r2.init-fin-silent-moves-RunningI)
moreover from red2' have r2.init-fin t ?s2' ?ta2 ?s2'' by(rule r2.init-fin.NormalAction)
moreover from τ2 have ¬ r2.init-fin-τmove ?s2' ?ta2 ?s2'' by simp
moreover from bisim' have t ⊢ s1' ≈i ?s2'' using ‹s1' = ((Running, x1'), m1')› by simp
moreover from tasim ‹tl1 = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta1)›
have ta-bisim init-fin-bisim tl1 ?ta2 by simp
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case InitialThreadAction
with s1 s2 bisim show ?thesis by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex)

```

```

next
  case ThreadFinishAction
  from final1-simulation[OF bisim] <final1 x1>
  obtain x2' m2' where red2: r2.silent-moves t (x2, m2) (x2', m2')
    and bisim': t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2', m2')
    and fin2: final2 x2' by auto
  let ?s2' = ((Running, x2'), m2')
  let ?s2'' = ((Finished, x2'), m2')
  from red2 have τtrsys.silent-moves (r2.init-fin t) r2.init-fin-τmove s2 ?s2'
    unfolding s2 <status = Running> by(rule r2.init-fin-silent-moves-RunningI)
  moreover from fin2 have r2.init-fin t ?s2' {ThreadFinishAction} ?s2'' ..
  moreover have ¬ r2.init-fin-τmove ?s2' {ThreadFinishAction} ?s2'' by simp
  moreover have t ⊢ s1' ≈i ?s2''
    using <s1' = ((Finished, x1), m1)> fin2 <final1 x1> bisim' by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding <tl1 = {ThreadFinishAction}>
    by(blast intro: ta-bisim-init-fin-bisim-ThreadFinishAction)
qed
qed

lemma init-fin-simulation2:
  [t ⊢ s1 ≈i s2; r2.init-fin t s2 tl2 s2'; ¬ r2.init-fin-τmove s2 tl2 s2']
  ==> ∃ s1' s1'' tl1. (τtrsys.silent-move (r1.init-fin t) r1.init-fin-τmove)** s1 s1' ∧
    r1.init-fin t s1' tl1 s1'' ∧ ¬ r1.init-fin-τmove s1' tl1 s1'' ∧
    t ⊢ s1'' ≈i s2' ∧ ta-bisim init-fin-bisim tl1 tl2
using FWdelay-bisimulation-obs.init-fin-simulation1[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-obs-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma init-fin-simulation-Wakeup1:
  assumes bisim: t ⊢ (sx1, m1) ≈i (sx2, m2)
  and wait: sx1 ≈iw sx2
  and red1: r1.init-fin t (sx1, m1) ta1 (sx1', m1')
  and wakeup: Notified ∈ set {ta1}w ∨ WokenUp ∈ set {ta1}w
  shows ∃ ta2 sx2' m2'. r2.init-fin t (sx2, m2) ta2 (sx2', m2') ∧ t ⊢ (sx1', m1') ≈i (sx2', m2') ∧
    ta-bisim init-fin-bisim ta1 ta2
proof -
  from bisim wait obtain status x1 x2
    where sx1: sx1 = (status, x1)
    and sx2: sx2 = (status, x2)
    and Bisim: t ⊢ (x1, m1) ≈ (x2, m2)
    and Wait: x1 ≈w x2 by cases auto
  from red1 wakeup sx1 obtain x1' ta1'
    where sx1': sx1' = (Running, x1')
    and status: status = Running
    and Red1: t ⊢ (x1, m1) -1- ta1' → (x1', m1')
    and ta1: ta1 = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta1')
    and Wakeup: Notified ∈ set {ta1'}w ∨ WokenUp ∈ set {ta1'}w
    by cases auto
  from simulation-Wakeup1[OF Bisim Wait Red1 Wakeup] obtain ta2' x2' m2'
    where red2: t ⊢ (x2, m2) -2- ta2' → (x2', m2')
    and bisim': t ⊢ (x1', m1') ≈ (x2', m2')
    and tasim: ta1' ~m ta2' by blast
  let ?sx2' = (Running, x2')
  let ?ta2 = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta2')
  from red2 have r2.init-fin t (sx2, m2) ?ta2 (?sx2', m2') unfolding sx2 status ..

```

moreover from *bisim'* $sx1'$ have $t \vdash (sx1', m1') \approx_i (?sx2', m2')$ by *simp*
 moreover from *tasim'* $ta1$ have *ta-bisim init-fin-bisim ta1 ?ta2* by *simp*
 ultimately show *?thesis* by *blast*
qed

lemma *init-fin-simulation-Wakeup2*:

[[$t \vdash (sx1, m1) \approx_i (sx2, m2); sx1 \approx_iw sx2; r2.\text{init-fin } t (sx2, m2) ta2 (sx2', m2')$;
 $\text{Notified} \in \text{set } \{ta2\}_w \vee \text{WokenUp} \in \text{set } \{ta2\}_w$]]
 $\implies \exists ta1 sx1' m1'. r1.\text{init-fin } t (sx1, m1) ta1 (sx1', m1') \wedge t \vdash (sx1', m1') \approx_i (sx2', m2') \wedge$
 $\text{ta-bisim init-fin-bisim ta1 ta2}$

using *FWdelay-bisimulation-obs.init-fin-simulation-Wakeup1* [OF *FWdelay-bisimulation-obs-flip*]
 unfolding *flip-simps*.

lemma *init-fin-delay-bisimulation-obs*:

delay-bisimulation-obs ($r1.\text{init-fin } t$) ($r2.\text{init-fin } t$) (*init-fin-bisim t*) (*ta-bisim init-fin-bisim*)
 $r1.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } r2.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move}$
by(*unfold-locales*)(*erule (2)* *init-fin-simulation1* *init-fin-simulation2*)+

lemma *init-fin-FWdelay-bisimulation-obs*:

FWdelay-bisimulation-obs $r1.\text{init-fin-final } r1.\text{init-fin } r2.\text{init-fin-final } r2.\text{init-fin init-fin-bisim init-fin-bisim-wait}$
 $r1.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } r2.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move}$

proof(*intro* *FWdelay-bisimulation-obs.intro* *init-fin-FWdelay-bisimulation-final-base* *FWdelay-bisimulation-obs-axn*
init-fin-delay-bisimulation-obs)

fix $t' sx m1 sxx m2 t sx1 sx2 sx1' ta1 sx1'' m1' sx2' ta2 sx2'' m2'$
assume *bisim*: $t' \vdash (sx, m1) \approx_i (sxx, m2)$
 and *bisim1*: $t \vdash (sx1, m1) \approx_i (sx2, m2)$
 and *red1*: $\text{trtys.silent-moves } (r1.\text{init-fin } t) r1.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } (sx1, m1) (sx1', m1)$
 and *red1'*: $r1.\text{init-fin } t (sx1', m1) ta1 (sx1'', m1')$
 and $\tau_1: \neg r1.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } (sx1', m1) ta1 (sx1'', m1')$
 and *red2*: $\text{trtys.silent-moves } (r2.\text{init-fin } t) r2.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } (sx2, m2) (sx2', m2)$
 and *red2'*: $r2.\text{init-fin } t (sx2', m2) ta2 (sx2'', m2')$
 and $\tau_2: \neg r2.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } (sx2', m2) ta2 (sx2'', m2')$
 and *bisim1'*: $t \vdash (sx1'', m1') \approx_i (sx2'', m2')$
 and *tasim*: *ta-bisim init-fin-bisim ta1 ta2*

from *bisim* obtain *status* x *xx*

where $sx:sx = (\text{status}, x)$
 and $sxx:sxx = (\text{status}, xx)$
 and *Bisim*: $t' \vdash (x, m1) \approx (xx, m2)$
 and *Finish*: *status = Finished* $\implies \text{final1 } x \wedge \text{final2 } xx$
 by(*cases sx*)(*cases sxx*, *auto simp add: init-fin-bisim-iff*)

from *bisim1* obtain *status1* $x1$ $x2$

where $sx1:sx1 = (\text{status1}, x1)$
 and $sx2:sx2 = (\text{status1}, x2)$
 and *Bisim1*: $t \vdash (x1, m1) \approx (x2, m2)$
 by(*cases sx1*)(*cases sx2*, *auto simp add: init-fin-bisim-iff*)

from *bisim1'* obtain *status1'* $x1''$ $x2''$

where $sx1'':sx1'' = (\text{status1}', x1'')$
 and $sx2'':sx2'' = (\text{status1}', x2'')$
 and *Bisim1'*: $t \vdash (x1'', m1') \approx (x2'', m2')$
 by(*cases sx1''*)(*cases sx2''*, *auto simp add: init-fin-bisim-iff*)

from *red1* *sx1* obtain *x1'* where $sx1':sx1' = (\text{status1}, x1')$

and *Red1*: $r1.\text{silent-moves } t (x1, m1) (x1', m1)$
 by(*cases sx1'*)(*auto dest: r1.init-fin-silent-movesD*)

from *red2* *sx2* obtain *x2'* where $sx2':sx2' = (\text{status1}, x2')$

```

and Red2:  $r2.\text{silent-moves } t (x2, m2) (x2', m2)$ 
by(cases sx2')(auto dest: r2.init-fin-silent-movesD)
show  $t' \vdash (sx, m1') \approx_i (sx, m2')$ 
proof(cases status1 = Running  $\wedge$  status1' = Running)
  case True
  with red1' sx1' sx1'' obtain ta1'
    where Red1':  $t \vdash (x1', m1) -1\text{-}ta1' \rightarrow (x1'', m1')$ 
    and ta1: ta1 = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta1')
    by cases auto
  from red2' sx2' sx2'' True obtain ta2'
    where Red2':  $t \vdash (x2', m2) -2\text{-}ta2' \rightarrow (x2'', m2')$ 
    and ta2: ta2 = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta2')
    by cases auto
  from  $\tau_1 sx1' sx1'' ta1 \text{ True have } \tau_1 : \neg \tau move_1 (x1', m1) ta1' (x1'', m1')$  by simp
  from  $\tau_2 sx2' sx2'' ta2 \text{ True have } \tau_2 : \neg \tau move_2 (x2', m2) ta2' (x2'', m2')$  by simp
  from tasim ta1 ta2 have ta1' ~m ta2' by simp
  with Bisim Bisim1 Red1 Red1'  $\tau_1' Red2 Red2' \tau_2'$  Bisim1'
  have  $t' \vdash (x, m1') \approx (xx, m2')$  by(rule bisim-inv-red-other)
  with True Finish show ?thesis unfolding sx sxx by(simp add: init-fin-bisim-iff)
next
case False
with red1' sx1' sx1'' have m1' = m1 by cases auto
moreover from red2' sx2' sx2'' False have m2' = m2 by cases auto
ultimately show ?thesis using bisim by simp
qed
next
fix t sx1 m1 sx2 m2 sx1' ta1 sx1'' m1' sx2' ta2 sx2'' m2' w
assume bisim:  $t \vdash (sx1, m1) \approx_i (sx2, m2)$ 
  and red1:  $\tau \text{trsys.silent-moves } (r1.\text{init-fin } t) r1.\text{init-fin-}\tau move (sx1, m1) (sx1', m1)$ 
  and red1':  $r1.\text{init-fin } t (sx1', m1) ta1 (sx1'', m1')$ 
  and  $\tau_1: \neg r1.\text{init-fin-}\tau move (sx1', m1) ta1 (sx1'', m1')$ 
  and red2:  $\tau \text{trsys.silent-moves } (r2.\text{init-fin } t) r2.\text{init-fin-}\tau move (sx2, m2) (sx2', m2)$ 
  and red2':  $r2.\text{init-fin } t (sx2', m2) ta2 (sx2'', m2')$ 
  and  $\tau_2: \neg r2.\text{init-fin-}\tau move (sx2', m2) ta2 (sx2'', m2')$ 
  and bisim':  $t \vdash (sx1'', m1') \approx_i (sx2'', m2')$ 
  and tasim: ta-bisim init-fin-bisim ta1 ta2
  and suspend1: Suspend w ∈ set {ta1}w
  and suspend2: Suspend w ∈ set {ta2}w
from bisim obtain status x1 x2
  where sx1: sx1 = (status, x1)
  and sx2: sx2 = (status, x2)
  and Bisim:  $t \vdash (x1, m1) \approx (x2, m2)$ 
  by(cases sx1)(cases sx2, auto simp add: init-fin-bisim-iff)
from bisim' obtain status' x1'' x2''
  where sx1'': sx1'' = (status', x1'')
  and sx2'': sx2'' = (status', x2'')
  and Bisim':  $t \vdash (x1'', m1') \approx (x2'', m2')$ 
  by(cases sx1'')(cases sx2'', auto simp add: init-fin-bisim-iff)
from red1 sx1 obtain x1' where sx1': sx1' = (status, x1')
  and Red1:  $r1.\text{silent-moves } t (x1, m1) (x1', m1)$ 
  by(cases sx1')(auto dest: r1.init-fin-silent-movesD)
from red2 sx2 obtain x2' where sx2': sx2' = (status, x2')
  and Red2:  $r2.\text{silent-moves } t (x2, m2) (x2', m2)$ 
  by(cases sx2')(auto dest: r2.init-fin-silent-movesD)

```

```

from red1' sx1' sx1'' suspend1 obtain ta1'
  where Red1': t ⊢ (x1', m1) -1-ta1'→ (x1'', m1')
  and ta1: ta1 = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta1')
  and Suspend1: Suspend w ∈ set {ta1'}_w
  and status: status = Running status' = Running by cases auto
from red2' sx2' sx2'' suspend2 obtain ta2'
  where Red2': t ⊢ (x2', m2) -2-ta2'→ (x2'', m2')
  and ta2: ta2 = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta2')
  and Suspend2: Suspend w ∈ set {ta2'}_w by cases auto
from τ1 sx1' sx1'' ta1 status have τ1':¬ τmove1 (x1', m1) ta1' (x1'', m1') by simp
from τ2 sx2' sx2'' ta2 status have τ2':¬ τmove2 (x2', m2) ta2' (x2'', m2') by simp
from tasim ta1 ta2 have ta1' ~m ta2' by simp
with Bisim Red1 Red1' τ1' Red2 Red2' τ2' Bisim' have x1'' ≈w x2''
  using Suspend1 Suspend2 by(rule bisim-waitI)
  thus sx1'' ≈iw sx2'' using sx1'' sx2'' status by simp
next
fix t sx1 m1 sx2 m2 ta1 sx1' m1'
assume t ⊢ (sx1, m1) ≈i (sx2, m2) and sx1 ≈iw sx2
  and r1.init-fin t (sx1, m1) ta1 (sx1', m1')
  and Notified ∈ set {ta1}_w ∨ WokenUp ∈ set {ta1}_w
thus ∃ ta2 sx2' m2'. r2.init-fin t (sx2, m2) ta2 (sx2', m2') ∧ t ⊢ (sx1', m1') ≈i (sx2', m2') ∧
  ta-bisim init-fin-bisim ta1 ta2
  by(rule init-fin-simulation-Wakeup1)
next
fix t sx1 m1 sx2 m2 ta2 sx2' m2'
assume t ⊢ (sx1, m1) ≈i (sx2, m2) and sx1 ≈iw sx2
  and r2.init-fin t (sx2, m2) ta2 (sx2', m2')
  and Notified ∈ set {ta2}_w ∨ WokenUp ∈ set {ta2}_w
thus ∃ ta1 sx1' m1'. r1.init-fin t (sx1, m1) ta1 (sx1', m1') ∧ t ⊢ (sx1', m1') ≈i (sx2', m2') ∧
  ta-bisim init-fin-bisim ta1 ta2
  by(rule init-fin-simulation-Wakeup2)
next
show (∃ sx1. r1.init-fin-final sx1) = (∃ sx2. r2.init-fin-final sx2)
  using ex-final1-conv-ex-final2 by(auto)
qed

end

context FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge begin

lemma init-fin-simulation-silent1:
  [ t ⊢ sxml ≈i sxm2; τtrsys.silent-move (r1.init-fin t) r1.init-fin-τmove sxml sxml' ]
  ==> ∃ sxm2'. τtrsys.silent-moves (r2.init-fin t) r2.init-fin-τmove sxm2 sxm2' ∧ t ⊢ sxml' ≈i sxm2'
by(cases sxml')(auto 4 4 elim!: init-fin-bisim.cases dest!: r1.init-fin-silent-moveD dest: simulation-silent1
intro!: r2.init-fin-silent-moves-RunningI)

lemma init-fin-simulation-silent2:
  [ t ⊢ sxml ≈i sxm2; τtrsys.silent-move (r2.init-fin t) r2.init-fin-τmove sxm2 sxm2' ]
  ==> ∃ sxm1'. τtrsys.silent-moves (r1.init-fin t) r1.init-fin-τmove sxml sxml' ∧ t ⊢ sxml' ≈i sxm2'
using FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.init-fin-simulation-silent1[OF FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-flip]
unfolding flip-simps .

lemma init-fin-τdiverge-bisim-inv:
  t ⊢ sxml ≈i sxm2

```

$\implies \tau \text{trsys}.\tau \text{diverge } (r1.\text{init-fin } t) r1.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } s_{xm1} =$
 $\tau \text{trsys}.\tau \text{diverge } (r2.\text{init-fin } t) r2.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } s_{xm2}$
by(cases s_{xm1})(cases s_{xm2} , auto simp add: $r1.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{diverge-conv } r2.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{diverge-conv init-fin-bisim-iff}$
 $\tau\text{diverge-bisim-inv}$)

lemma *init-fin-delay-bisimulation-diverge*:
 $\text{delay-bisimulation-diverge } (r1.\text{init-fin } t) (r2.\text{init-fin } t) (\text{init-fin-bisim } t) (\text{ta-bisim init-fin-bisim})$
 $r1.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } r2.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move}$
by(blast intro: *delay-bisimulation-diverge.intro* *init-fin-delay-bisimulation-obs* *delay-bisimulation-diverge-axioms.intro*
init-fin-simulation-silent1 *init-fin-simulation-silent2* *init-fin-}\tau\text{diverge-bisim-inv del: iffI}*)+

lemma *init-fin-FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge*:
 $\text{FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge } r1.\text{init-fin-final } r1.\text{init-fin } r2.\text{init-fin-final } r2.\text{init-fin init-fin-bisim init-fin-bisim-wait}$
 $r1.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move } r2.\text{init-fin-}\tau\text{move}$
by(intro *FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.intro* *init-fin-FWdelay-bisimulation-obs* *FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge-axioms.intro*
init-fin-delay-bisimulation-diverge)

end

context *FWbisimulation* **begin**

lemma *init-fin-simulation1*:
assumes $t \vdash s_1 \approx_i s_2$ **and** $r1.\text{init-fin } t s_1 t_1 s_1'$
shows $\exists s_2' t_2. r2.\text{init-fin } t s_2 t_2 s_2' \wedge t \vdash s_1' \approx_i s_2' \wedge \text{ta-bisim init-fin-bisim } t_1 t_2$
using *init-fin-simulation1*[OF *assms*] **by**(auto simp add: $\tau\text{moves=False}$ *init-fin-}\tau\text{moves=False}*)

lemma *init-fin-simulation2*:
 $\llbracket t \vdash s_1 \approx_i s_2; r2.\text{init-fin } t s_2 t_2 s_2' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists s_1' t_1. r1.\text{init-fin } t s_1 t_1 s_1' \wedge t \vdash s_1' \approx_i s_2' \wedge \text{ta-bisim init-fin-bisim } t_1 t_2$
using *FWbisimulation.init-fin-simulation1*[OF *FWbisimulation-flip*]
unfolding *flip-simps*.

lemma *init-fin-bisimulation*:
 $\text{bisimulation } (r1.\text{init-fin } t) (r2.\text{init-fin } t) (\text{init-fin-bisim } t) (\text{ta-bisim init-fin-bisim})$
by(unfold-locales)(erule (1) *init-fin-simulation1* *init-fin-simulation2*)+

lemma *init-fin-FWbisimulation*:
 $\text{FWbisimulation } r1.\text{init-fin-final } r1.\text{init-fin } r2.\text{init-fin-final } r2.\text{init-fin init-fin-bisim}$
proof(intro *FWbisimulation.intro* *r1.multithreaded-init-fin* *r2.multithreaded-init-fin* *FWbisimulation-axioms.intro*
init-fin-bisimulation)
fix $t s_{x1} m_1 s_{x2} m_2$
assume $t \vdash (s_{x1}, m_1) \approx_i (s_{x2}, m_2)$
thus $r1.\text{init-fin-final } s_{x1} = r2.\text{init-fin-final } s_{x2}$
by cases simp-all

next

fix $t' s_{xx} m_1 s_{xx} m_2 t s_{x1} s_{x2} t_{a1} s_{x1'} m_1' t_{a2} s_{x2'} m_2'$
assume $t' \vdash (s_{xx}, m_1) \approx_i (s_{xx}, m_2)$ $t \vdash (s_{x1}, m_1) \approx_i (s_{x2}, m_2)$
and $r1.\text{init-fin } t (s_{x1}, m_1) t_{a1} (s_{x1'}, m_1')$
and $r2.\text{init-fin } t (s_{x2}, m_2) t_{a2} (s_{x2'}, m_2')$
and $t \vdash (s_{x1'}, m_1') \approx_i (s_{x2'}, m_2')$
and $\text{ta-bisim init-fin-bisim } t_{a1} t_{a2}$
from *FWdelay-bisimulation-obs.bisim-inv-red-other*
[OF *init-fin-FWdelay-bisimulation-obs*, OF this(1–2) - this(3) - - this(4) - this(5–6)]
show $t' \vdash (s_{xx}, m_1') \approx_i (s_{xx}, m_2')$ **by**(simp add: *init-fin-}\tau\text{moves=False}*)

222

```
next
  show ( $\exists sx1. r1.init-fin-final sx1$ ) = ( $\exists sx2. r2.init-fin-final sx2$ )
    using ex-final1-conv-ex-final2 by(auto)
qed

end

end
```

Chapter 2

Data Flow Analysis Framework

2.1 Semilattices

```
theory Semilat
imports Main HOL-Library.While-Combinator
begin

type-synonym 'a ord    = 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
type-synonym 'a binop = 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
type-synonym 'a sl     = 'a set × 'a ord × 'a binop

definition lesub :: 'a ⇒ 'a ord ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  where lesub x r y ⟷ r x y

definition lesssub :: 'a ⇒ 'a ord ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  where lesssub x r y ⟷ lesub x r y ∧ x ≠ y

definition plussub :: 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c
  where plussub x f y = f x y

notation (ASCII)
  lesub ((- /<=-- -) [50, 1000, 51] 50) and
  lesssub ((- /<'-- -) [50, 1000, 51] 50) and
  plussub ((- /+>-- -) [65, 1000, 66] 65)

notation
  lesub ((- /≤-- -) [50, 0, 51] 50) and
  lesssub ((- /□-- -) [50, 0, 51] 50) and
  plussub ((- /□>-- -) [65, 0, 66] 65)

abbreviation (input)
  lesub1 :: 'a ⇒ 'a ord ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool ((- /≤-- -) [50, 1000, 51] 50)
  where x ≤r y == x ≤r y

abbreviation (input)
  lesssub1 :: 'a ⇒ 'a ord ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool ((- /□-- -) [50, 1000, 51] 50)
  where x □r y == x □r y

abbreviation (input)
```

```

plussub1 :: 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c ((- /⊓- -) [65, 1000, 66] 65)
where x ⊔f y == x ⊔f y

definition ord :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ 'a ord
where
ord r = (λx y. (x,y) ∈ r)

definition order :: 'a ord ⇒ bool
where
order r ←→ (forall x. x ⊑r x) ∧ (forall x y. x ⊑r y ∧ y ⊑r x → x=y) ∧ (forall x y z. x ⊑r y ∧ y ⊑r z → x ⊑r z)

definition top :: 'a ord ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
where
top r T ←→ (forall x. x ⊑r T)

definition acc :: 'a set ⇒ 'a ord ⇒ bool
where
acc A r ←→ wf {(y,x). x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ A ∧ x ⊑r y}

definition closed :: 'a set ⇒ 'a binop ⇒ bool
where
closed A f ←→ (forall x ∈ A. forall y ∈ A. x ⊔f y ∈ A)

definition semilat :: 'a sl ⇒ bool
where
semilat = (λ(A,r,f). order r ∧ closed A f ∧
            (forall x ∈ A. forall y ∈ A. x ⊑r x ⊔f y) ∧
            (forall x ∈ A. forall y ∈ A. y ⊑r x ⊔f y) ∧
            (forall x ∈ A. forall y ∈ A. forall z ∈ A. x ⊑r z ∧ y ⊑r z → x ⊔f y ⊑r z))

definition is-ub :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
where
is-ub r x y u ←→ (x,u) ∈ r ∧ (y,u) ∈ r

definition is-lub :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
where
is-lub r x y u ←→ is-ub r x y u ∧ (forall z. is-ub r x y z → (u,z) ∈ r)

definition some-lub :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
where
some-lub r x y = (SOME z. is-lub r x y z)

locale Semilat =
  fixes A :: 'a set
  fixes r :: 'a ord
  fixes f :: 'a binop
  assumes semilat: semilat (A, r, f)

lemma order-refl [simp, intro]: order r ⇒ x ⊑r x

lemma order-antisym: [| order r; x ⊑r y; y ⊑r x |] ⇒ x = y

lemma order-trans: [| order r; x ⊑r y; y ⊑r z |] ⇒ x ⊑r z

```

lemma *order-less-irrefl* [*intro, simp*]: *order r* $\implies \neg x \sqsubset_r x$

lemma *order-less-trans*: $\llbracket \text{order } r; x \sqsubset_r y; y \sqsubset_r z \rrbracket \implies x \sqsubset_r z$

lemma *topD* [*simp, intro*]: *top r T* $\implies x \sqsubseteq_r T$

lemma *top-le-conv* [*simp*]: $\llbracket \text{order } r; \text{top } r T \rrbracket \implies (T \sqsubseteq_r x) = (x = T)$

lemma *semilat-Def*:

semilat(A,r,f) \longleftrightarrow *order r* \wedge *closed A f* \wedge
 $(\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. x \sqsubseteq_r x \sqcup_f y) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. y \sqsubseteq_r x \sqcup_f y) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall z \in A. x \sqsubseteq_r z \wedge y \sqsubseteq_r z \longrightarrow x \sqcup_f y \sqsubseteq_r z)$

lemma (in Semilat) *orderI* [*simp, intro*]: *order r*

lemma (in Semilat) *closedI* [*simp, intro*]: *closed A f*

lemma *closedD*: $\llbracket \text{closed } A f; x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies x \sqcup_f y \in A$

lemma *closed-UNIV* [*simp*]: *closed UNIV f*

lemma (in Semilat) *closed-f* [*simp, intro*]: $\llbracket x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies x \sqcup_f y \in A$

lemma (in Semilat) *refl-r* [*intro, simp*]: $x \sqsubseteq_r x$ **by** *simp*

lemma (in Semilat) *antisym-r* [*intro?*]: $\llbracket x \sqsubseteq_r y; y \sqsubseteq_r x \rrbracket \implies x = y$

lemma (in Semilat) *trans-r* [*trans, intro?*]: $\llbracket x \sqsubseteq_r y; y \sqsubseteq_r z \rrbracket \implies x \sqsubseteq_r z$

lemma (in Semilat) *ub1* [*simp, intro?*]: $\llbracket x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies x \sqsubseteq_r x \sqcup_f y$

lemma (in Semilat) *ub2* [*simp, intro?*]: $\llbracket x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies y \sqsubseteq_r x \sqcup_f y$

lemma (in Semilat) *lub* [*simp, intro?*]:
 $\llbracket x \sqsubseteq_r z; y \sqsubseteq_r z; x \in A; y \in A; z \in A \rrbracket \implies x \sqcup_f y \sqsubseteq_r z$

lemma (in Semilat) *plus-le-conv* [*simp*]:
 $\llbracket x \in A; y \in A; z \in A \rrbracket \implies (x \sqcup_f y \sqsubseteq_r z) = (x \sqsubseteq_r z \wedge y \sqsubseteq_r z)$

lemma (in Semilat) *le-iff-plus-unchanged*:

assumes $x \in A$ **and** $y \in A$
shows $x \sqsubseteq_r y \longleftrightarrow x \sqcup_f y = y$ (**is** $?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q$)

lemma (in Semilat) *le-iff-plus-unchanged2*:

assumes $x \in A$ **and** $y \in A$
shows $x \sqsubseteq_r y \longleftrightarrow y \sqcup_f x = y$ (**is** $?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q$)

lemma (in Semilat) *plus-assoc* [*simp*]:
assumes $a: a \in A$ **and** $b: b \in A$ **and** $c: c \in A$
shows $a \sqcup_f (b \sqcup_f c) = a \sqcup_f b \sqcup_f c$

lemma (in Semilat) *plus-com-lemma*:

$\llbracket a \in A; b \in A \rrbracket \implies a \sqcup_f b \sqsubseteq_r b \sqcup_f a$

lemma (in Semilat) *plus-commutative*:

$\llbracket a \in A; b \in A \rrbracket \implies a \sqcup_f b = b \sqcup_f a$

```

lemma is-lubD:
  is-lub r x y u  $\implies$  is-lub r x y u  $\wedge$  ( $\forall z$ . is-lub r x y z  $\longrightarrow$   $(u,z) \in r$ )

lemma is-ubI:
   $\llbracket (x,u) \in r; (y,u) \in r \rrbracket \implies \text{is-ub } r x y u$ 

lemma is-ubD:
  is-ub r x y u  $\implies$   $(x,u) \in r \wedge (y,u) \in r$ 

lemma is-lub-bigger1 [iff]:
  is-lub (r*) x y y =  $((x,y) \in r^*)$ 
lemma is-lub-bigger2 [iff]:
  is-lub (r*) x y x =  $((y,x) \in r^*)$ 
lemma extend-lub:
  assumes single-valued r
    and is-lub (r*) x y u
    and  $(x', x) \in r$ 
  shows  $\exists v$ . is-lub (r*) x' y v
lemma single-valued-has-lubs:
  assumes single-valued r
    and in-r:  $(x, u) \in r^*$   $(y, u) \in r^*$ 
  shows  $\exists z$ . is-lub (r*) x y z
lemma some-lub-conv:
   $\llbracket \text{acyclic } r; \text{is-lub } (r^*) x y u \rrbracket \implies \text{some-lub } (r^*) x y = u$ 
lemma is-lub-some-lub:
   $\llbracket \text{single-valued } r; \text{acyclic } r; (x,u) \in r^*; (y,u) \in r^* \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{is-lub } (r^*) x y (\text{some-lub } (r^*) x y)$ 

```

2.1.1 An executable lub-finder

```

definition exec-lub :: ('a * 'a) set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a binop
where
  exec-lub r f x y = while ( $\lambda z$ .  $(x,z) \notin r^*$ ) f y

lemma exec-lub-refl: exec-lub r f T T = T
by (simp add: exec-lub-def while-unfold)

lemma acyclic-single-valued-finite:
   $\llbracket \text{acyclic } r; \text{single-valued } r; (x,y) \in r^* \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{finite } (r \cap \{a. (x, a) \in r^*\} \times \{b. (b, y) \in r^*\})$ 

lemma exec-lub-conv:
   $\llbracket \text{acyclic } r; \forall x y. (x,y) \in r \longrightarrow f x = y; \text{is-lub } (r^*) x y u \rrbracket \implies$ 
  exec-lub r f x y = u

lemma is-lub-exec-lub:
   $\llbracket \text{single-valued } r; \text{acyclic } r; (x,u):r^*; (y,u):r^*; \forall x y. (x,y) \in r \longrightarrow f x = y \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{is-lub } (r^*) x y (\text{exec-lub } r f x y)$ 

end

```

2.2 The Error Type

```

theory Err
imports Semilat
begin

datatype 'a err = Err | OK 'a

type-synonym 'a ebinop = 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a err
type-synonym 'a esl = 'a set × 'a ord × 'a ebinop

primrec ok-val :: 'a err ⇒ 'a
where
  ok-val (OK x) = x

definition lift :: ('a ⇒ 'b err) ⇒ ('a err ⇒ 'b err)
where
  lift f e = (case e of Err ⇒ Err | OK x ⇒ f x)

definition lift2 :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c err) ⇒ 'a err ⇒ 'b err ⇒ 'c err
where
  lift2 f e1 e2 =
    (case e1 of Err ⇒ Err | OK x ⇒ (case e2 of Err ⇒ Err | OK y ⇒ f x y))

definition le :: 'a ord ⇒ 'a err ord
where
  le r e1 e2 =
    (case e2 of Err ⇒ True | OK y ⇒ (case e1 of Err ⇒ False | OK x ⇒ x ⊑r y))

definition sup :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ ('a err ⇒ 'b err ⇒ 'c err)
where
  sup f = lift2 (λx y. OK (x ∪f y))

definition err :: 'a set ⇒ 'a err set
where
  err A = insert Err {OK x|x. x ∈ A}

definition esl :: 'a sl ⇒ 'a esl
where
  esl = (λ(A,r,f). (A, r, λx y. OK(f x y)))

definition sl :: 'a esl ⇒ 'a err sl
where
  sl = (λ(A,r,f). (err A, le r, lift2 f))

abbreviation
  err-semilat :: 'a esl ⇒ bool where
  err-semilat L == semilat(sl L)

primrec strict :: ('a ⇒ 'b err) ⇒ ('a err ⇒ 'b err)
where
  strict f Err = Err
  | strict f (OK x) = f x

```

```

lemma err-def':
  err A = insert Err {x.  $\exists y \in A. x = OK y$ }
lemma strict-Some [simp]:
  (strict f x = OK y) = ( $\exists z. x = OK z \wedge f z = OK y$ )
lemma not-Err-eq: ( $x \neq Err$ ) = ( $\exists a. x = OK a$ )
lemma not-OK-eq: ( $\forall y. x \neq OK y$ ) = ( $x = Err$ )
lemma unfold-lesub-err:  $e1 \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} e2 = le\ r\ e1\ e2$ 
lemma le-err-refl:  $\forall x. x \sqsubseteq_r x \implies e \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} e$ 
lemma le-err-trans [rule-format]:
  order r  $\implies e1 \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} e2 \implies e2 \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} e3 \implies e1 \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} e3$ 
lemma le-err-antisym [rule-format]:
  order r  $\implies e1 \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} e2 \implies e2 \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} e1 \implies e1 = e2$ 
lemma OK-le-err-OK: ( $OK x \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} OK y$ ) = ( $x \sqsubseteq_r y$ )
lemma order-le-err [iff]: order(le r) = order r
lemma le-Err [iff]:  $e \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} Err$ 
lemma Err-le-conv [iff]: Err  $\sqsubseteq_{le\ r} e = (e = Err)$ 
lemma le-OK-conv [iff]:  $e \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} OK x = (\exists y. e = OK y \wedge y \sqsubseteq_r x)$ 
lemma OK-le-conv:  $OK x \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} e = (e = Err \vee (\exists y. e = OK y \wedge x \sqsubseteq_r y))$ 
lemma top-Err [iff]: top (le r) Err
lemma OK-less-conv [rule-format, iff]:
   $OK x \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} e = (e = Err \vee (\exists y. e = OK y \wedge x \sqsubset_r y))$ 
lemma not-Err-less [rule-format, iff]:  $\neg(Err \sqsubseteq_{le\ r} x)$ 
lemma semilat-errI [intro]: assumes Semilat A r f
shows semilat(err A, le r, lift2( $\lambda x\ y. OK(f\ x\ y)$ ))
lemma err-semilat-eslI-aux:
assumes Semilat A r f shows err-semilat(esl(A,r,f))
lemma err-semilat-eslI [intro, simp]:
  semilat L  $\implies$  err-semilat (esl L)
lemma acc-err [simp, intro!]: acc A r  $\implies$  acc (err A) (le r)
lemma Err-in-err [iff]: Err : err A
lemma Ok-in-err [iff]: ( $OK x \in err A$ ) = ( $x \in A$ )

```

2.2.1 lift

```

lemma lift-in-errI:
   $\llbracket e \in err S; \forall x \in S. e = OK x \implies f x \in err S \rrbracket \implies lift\ f\ e \in err\ S$ 
lemma Err-lift2 [simp]: Err  $\sqcup_{lift2\ f} x = Err$ 
lemma lift2-Err [simp]:  $x \sqcup_{lift2\ f} Err = Err$ 
lemma OK-lift2-OK [simp]:  $OK x \sqcup_{lift2\ f} OK y = x \sqcup_f y$ 

```

2.2.2 sup

```

lemma Err-sup-Err [simp]: Err  $\sqcup_{sup\ f} x = Err$ 
lemma Err-sup-Err2 [simp]:  $x \sqcup_{sup\ f} Err = Err$ 
lemma Err-sup-OK [simp]:  $OK x \sqcup_{sup\ f} OK y = OK(x \sqcup_f y)$ 
lemma Err-sup-eq-OK-conv [iff]:
  ( $sup\ f\ ex\ ey = OK z$ ) = ( $\exists x\ y. ex = OK x \wedge ey = OK y \wedge f\ x\ y = z$ )
lemma Err-sup-eq-Err [iff]: ( $sup\ f\ ex\ ey = Err$ ) = ( $ex = Err \vee ey = Err$ )

```

2.2.3 semilat (err A) (le r) f

```

lemma semilat-le-err-Err-plus [simp]:
   $\llbracket x \in err A; semilat(err A, le r, f) \rrbracket \implies Err \sqcup_f x = Err$ 
lemma semilat-le-err-plus-Err [simp]:

```

$\llbracket x \in \text{err } A; \text{semilat}(\text{err } A, \text{le } r, f) \rrbracket \implies x \sqcup_f \text{Err} = \text{Err}$

lemma semilat-le-err-OK1:

$$\begin{aligned} &\llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \text{semilat}(\text{err } A, \text{le } r, f); \text{OK } x \sqcup_f \text{OK } y = \text{OK } z \rrbracket \\ &\implies x \sqsubseteq_r z \end{aligned}$$

lemma semilat-le-err-OK2:

$$\begin{aligned} &\llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \text{semilat}(\text{err } A, \text{le } r, f); \text{OK } x \sqcup_f \text{OK } y = \text{OK } z \rrbracket \\ &\implies y \sqsubseteq_r z \end{aligned}$$

lemma eq-order-le:

$$\llbracket x = y; \text{order } r \rrbracket \implies x \sqsubseteq_r y$$

lemma OK-plus-OK-eq-Err-conv [simp]:

assumes $x \in A \quad y \in A \quad \text{semilat}(\text{err } A, \text{le } r, \text{fe})$

shows $(\text{OK } x \sqcup_{\text{fe}} \text{OK } y = \text{Err}) = (\neg(\exists z \in A. x \sqsubseteq_r z \wedge y \sqsubseteq_r z))$

2.2.4 semilat (err(Union AS))

lemma all-bex-swap-lemma [iff]:

$$(\forall x. (\exists y \in A. x = f y) \longrightarrow P x) = (\forall y \in A. P(f y))$$

lemma closed-err-Union-lift2I:

$$\begin{aligned} &\llbracket \forall A \in \text{AS}. \text{closed } (\text{err } A) (\text{lift2 } f); \text{AS} \neq \{\} ; \\ &\quad \forall A \in \text{AS}. \forall B \in \text{AS}. A \neq B \longrightarrow (\forall a \in A. \forall b \in B. a \sqcup_f b = \text{Err}) \rrbracket \\ &\implies \text{closed } (\text{err}(\text{Union AS})) (\text{lift2 } f) \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{AS} = \{\}$ the thm collapses to $\text{order } r \wedge \text{closed } \{\text{Err}\} f \wedge \text{Err} \sqcup_f \text{Err} = \text{Err}$ which may not hold

lemma err-semilat-UnionI:

$$\begin{aligned} &\llbracket \forall A \in \text{AS}. \text{err-semilat}(A, r, f); \text{AS} \neq \{\} ; \\ &\quad \forall A \in \text{AS}. \forall B \in \text{AS}. A \neq B \longrightarrow (\forall a \in A. \forall b \in B. \neg a \sqsubseteq_r b \wedge a \sqcup_f b = \text{Err}) \rrbracket \\ &\implies \text{err-semilat}(\text{Union AS}, r, f) \end{aligned}$$

end

2.3 More about Options

```
theory Opt
imports Err
begin

definition le :: "'a ord ⇒ 'a option ord"
where
  le r o1 o2 =
    (case o2 of None ⇒ o1=Some y ⇒ (case o1 of None ⇒ True | Some x ⇒ x ⊑ r y))

definition opt :: "'a set ⇒ 'a option set"
where
  opt A = insert None {Some y | y ∈ A}

definition sup :: "'a ebinop ⇒ 'a option ebinop"
where
  sup f o1 o2 =
    (case o1 of None ⇒ OK o2
     | Some x ⇒ (case o2 of None ⇒ OK o1
                  | Some y ⇒ (case f x y of Err ⇒ Err | OK z ⇒ OK (Some z)))))

definition esl :: "'a esl ⇒ 'a option esl"
```

where

$$esl = (\lambda(A,r,f). (opt\ A, le\ r, sup\ f))$$

lemma *unfold-le-opt*:

$$\begin{aligned} o_1 \sqsubseteq_{le} r o_2 = \\ (\text{case } o_2 \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow o_1 = \text{None} \mid \\ \quad \text{Some } y \Rightarrow (\text{case } o_1 \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow x \sqsubseteq_r y)) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *le-opt-refl*: *order r* \implies $x \sqsubseteq_{le} r x$

2.4 Products as Semilattices

theory *Product*

imports *Err*

begin

definition *le* :: '*a ord* \Rightarrow '*b ord* \Rightarrow ('*a* \times '*b*) *ord*

where

$$le\ r_A\ r_B = (\lambda(a_1,b_1)\ (a_2,b_2). a_1 \sqsubseteq_{r_A} a_2 \wedge b_1 \sqsubseteq_{r_B} b_2)$$

definition *sup* :: '*a ebinop* \Rightarrow '*b ebinop* \Rightarrow ('*a* \times '*b*) *ebinop*

where

$$sup\ f\ g = (\lambda(a_1,b_1)(a_2,b_2). Err.sup\ Pair\ (a_1 \sqcup_f a_2) (b_1 \sqcup_g b_2))$$

definition *esl* :: '*a esl* \Rightarrow '*b esl* \Rightarrow ('*a* \times '*b*) *esl*

where

$$esl = (\lambda(A,r_A,f_A)\ (B,r_B,f_B). (A \times B, le\ r_A\ r_B, sup\ f_A\ f_B))$$

abbreviation

lesubprod :: '*a* \times '*b* \Rightarrow ('*a* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow ('*b* \Rightarrow '*b* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow '*a* \times '*b* \Rightarrow *bool*

((- / \sqsubseteq '(-,-) -) [50, 0, 0, 51] 50) **where**

$$p \sqsubseteq(r_A,r_B) q == p \sqsubseteq_{Product.le\ rA\ rB} q$$

lemma *unfold-lesub-prod*: $x \sqsubseteq(r_A,r_B) y = le\ r_A\ r_B\ x\ y$

lemma *le-prod-Pair-conv* [*iff*]: $((a_1,b_1) \sqsubseteq(r_A,r_B) (a_2,b_2)) = (a_1 \sqsubseteq_{r_A} a_2 \wedge b_1 \sqsubseteq_{r_B} b_2)$

lemma *less-prod-Pair-conv*:

$$((a_1,b_1) \sqsubset_{Product.le\ r_A\ r_B} (a_2,b_2)) =$$

$$(a_1 \sqsubseteq_{r_A} a_2 \wedge b_1 \sqsubseteq_{r_B} b_2 \mid a_1 \sqsubset_{r_A} a_2 \wedge b_1 \sqsubset_{r_B} b_2)$$

lemma *order-le-prod* [*iff*]: *order*(*Product.le rA rB*) = (*order rA* & *order rB*)

lemma *acc-le-prodI* [*intro!*]:

$$[\![acc\ A\ r_A; acc\ B\ r_B]\!] \implies acc\ (A \times B) (Product.le\ r_A\ r_B)$$

lemma *closed-lift2-sup*:

$$\begin{aligned} [\![closed\ (err\ A)\ (lift2\ f); closed\ (err\ B)\ (lift2\ g)]\!] \implies \\ closed\ (err(A \times B)) (lift2(sup\ f\ g)) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *unfold-plussub-lift2*: $e_1 \sqcup_{lift2\ f} e_2 = lift2\ f\ e_1\ e_2$

lemma *plus-eq-Err-conv* [*simp*]:

assumes $x \in A \ y \in A \ semilat(\text{err } A, Err.le\ r, lift2\ f)$

shows $(x \sqcup_f y = Err) = (\neg(\exists z \in A. x \sqsubseteq_r z \wedge y \sqsubseteq_r z))$

lemma *err-semilat-Product-esl*:

$$\bigwedge L_1\ L_2. [\![err\text{-semilat}\ L_1; err\text{-semilat}\ L_2]\!] \implies err\text{-semilat}(Product.esl\ L_1\ L_2)$$

end

2.5 Fixed Length Lists

```

theory Listn
imports Err
begin

definition list :: nat ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a list set
where
list n A = {xs. size xs = n ∧ set xs ⊆ A}

definition le :: 'a ord ⇒ ('a list)ord
where
le r = list-all2 (λx y. x ⊑r y)

abbreviation
lesublist :: 'a list ⇒ 'a ord ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ((-/[⊑-] -) [50, 0, 51] 50) where
x [⊑r] y == x <=-(Listn.le r) y

abbreviation
less sublist :: 'a list ⇒ 'a ord ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ((-/[⊑-] -) [50, 0, 51] 50) where
x [⊑r] y == x <-(Listn.le r) y

abbreviation
plussublist :: 'a list ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c) ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'c list
((-/[⊎-] -) [65, 0, 66] 65) where
x [⊎f] y == x ⊎ map2 f y

primrec coalesce :: 'a err list ⇒ 'a list err
where
coalesce [] = OK []
| coalesce (ex#exs) = Err.sup (#) ex (coalesce exs)

definition sl :: nat ⇒ 'a sl ⇒ 'a list sl
where
sl n = (λ(A,r,f). (list n A, le r, map2 f))

definition sup :: ('a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c err) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'c list err
where
sup f = (λxs ys. if size xs = size ys then coalesce(xs [⊎f] ys) else Err)

definition upto-esl :: nat ⇒ 'a esl ⇒ 'a list esl
where
upto-esl m = (λ(A,r,f). (Union{list n A | n. n ≤ m}, le r, sup f))

lemmas [simp] = set-update-subsetI

lemma unfold-lesub-list: xs [⊑r] ys = Listn.le r xs ys
lemma Nil-le-conv [iff]: ([] [⊑r] ys) = (ys = [])
lemma Cons-notle-Nil [iff]: ¬ x#xs [⊑r] []

```

```

lemma Cons-le-Cons [iff]:  $x \# xs \sqsubseteq_r y \# ys = (x \sqsubseteq_r y \wedge xs \sqsubseteq_r ys)$ 
lemma Cons-less-Cons [simp]:
 $order r \implies x \# xs \sqsubseteq_r y \# ys = (x \sqsubset_r y \wedge xs \sqsubseteq_r ys \vee x = y \wedge xs \sqsubseteq_r ys)$ 
lemma list-update-le-cong:
 $\llbracket i < size xs; xs \sqsubseteq_r ys; x \sqsubseteq_r y \rrbracket \implies xs[i:=x] \sqsubseteq_r ys[i:=y]$ 

lemma le-listD:  $\llbracket xs \sqsubseteq_r ys; p < size xs \rrbracket \implies xs!p \sqsubseteq_r ys!p$ 
lemma le-list-refl:  $\forall x. x \sqsubseteq_r x \implies xs \sqsubseteq_r xs$ 
lemma le-list-trans:  $\llbracket order r; xs \sqsubseteq_r ys; ys \sqsubseteq_r zs \rrbracket \implies xs \sqsubseteq_r zs$ 
lemma le-list-antisym:  $\llbracket order r; xs \sqsubseteq_r ys; ys \sqsubseteq_r xs \rrbracket \implies xs = ys$ 
lemma order-listI [simp, intro!]:  $order r \implies order(Listn.le r)$ 
lemma lesub-list-impl-same-size [simp]:  $xs \sqsubseteq_r ys \implies size ys = size xs$ 
lemma lesssub-lengthD:  $xs \sqsubseteq_r ys \implies size ys = size xs$ 
lemma le-list-appendI:  $a \sqsubseteq_r b \implies c \sqsubseteq_r d \implies a@c \sqsubseteq_r b@d$ 
lemma le-listI:
  assumes length a = length b
  assumes  $\bigwedge n. n < length a \implies a!n \sqsubseteq_r b!n$ 
  shows a  $\sqsubseteq_r b$ 
lemma listI:  $\llbracket size xs = n; set xs \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies xs \in list n A$ 

lemma listE-length [simp]:  $xs \in list n A \implies size xs = n$ 
lemma less-lengthI:  $\llbracket xs \in list n A; p < n \rrbracket \implies p < size xs$ 
lemma listE-set [simp]:  $xs \in list n A \implies set xs \subseteq A$ 
lemma list-0 [simp]:  $list 0 A = []$ 
lemma in-list-Suc-iff:
 $(xs \in list (Suc n) A) = (\exists y \in A. \exists ys \in list n A. xs = y \# ys)$ 
lemma Cons-in-list-Suc [iff]:
 $(x \# xs \in list (Suc n) A) = (x \in A \wedge xs \in list n A)$ 
lemma list-not-empty:
 $\exists a. a \in A \implies \exists xs. xs \in list n A$ 

lemma nth-in [rule-format, simp]:
 $\forall i n. size xs = n \implies set xs \subseteq A \implies i < n \implies (xs!i) \in A$ 
lemma listE-nth-in:  $\llbracket xs \in list n A; i < n \rrbracket \implies xs!i \in A$ 
lemma listn-Cons-Suc [elim!]:
 $l \# xs \in list n A \implies (\bigwedge n'. n = Suc n' \implies l \in A \implies xs \in list n' A \implies P) \implies P$ 
lemma listn-appendE [elim!]:
 $a @ b \in list n A \implies (\bigwedge n1 n2. n = n1 + n2 \implies a \in list n1 A \implies b \in list n2 A \implies P) \implies P$ 

lemma listt-update-in-list [simp, intro!]:
 $\llbracket xs \in list n A; x \in A \rrbracket \implies xs[i := x] \in list n A$ 
lemma list-appendI [intro?]:
 $\llbracket a \in list n A; b \in list m A \rrbracket \implies a @ b \in list (n+m) A$ 
lemma list-map [simp]:  $(map f xs \in list (size xs) A) = (f ` set xs \subseteq A)$ 
lemma list-replicateI [intro]:  $x \in A \implies replicate n x \in list n A$ 
lemma plus-list-Nil [simp]:  $[] \sqcup_f xs = []$ 
lemma plus-list-Cons [simp]:
 $(x \# xs) \sqcup_f ys = (case ys of [] \Rightarrow [] \mid y \# ys \Rightarrow (x \sqcup_f y) \# (xs \sqcup_f ys))$ 
lemma length-plus-list [rule-format, simp]:
 $\forall ys. size(xs \sqcup_f ys) = min(size xs) (size ys)$ 
lemma nth-plus-list [rule-format, simp]:
 $\forall xs ys i. size xs = n \implies size ys = n \implies i < n \implies (xs \sqcup_f ys)!i = (xs!i) \sqcup_f (ys!i)$ 

lemma (in Semilat) plus-list-ub1 [rule-format]:

```

```

 $\llbracket \text{set } xs \subseteq A; \text{set } ys \subseteq A; \text{size } xs = \text{size } ys \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies xs \sqsubseteq_r ys$ 
lemma (in Semilat) plus-list-ub2:
 $\llbracket \text{set } xs \subseteq A; \text{set } ys \subseteq A; \text{size } xs = \text{size } ys \rrbracket \implies ys \sqsubseteq_r xs$ 
lemma (in Semilat) plus-list-lub [rule-format]:
shows  $\forall xs ys zs. \text{set } xs \subseteq A \longrightarrow \text{set } ys \subseteq A \longrightarrow \text{set } zs \subseteq A$ 
 $\longrightarrow \text{size } xs = n \wedge \text{size } ys = n \longrightarrow$ 
 $xs \sqsubseteq_r zs \wedge ys \sqsubseteq_r zs \longrightarrow xs \sqcup_f ys \sqsubseteq_r zs$ 
lemma (in Semilat) list-update-incr [rule-format]:
 $x \in A \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq A \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall i. i < \text{size } xs \longrightarrow xs \sqsubseteq_r xs[i := x \sqcup_f xs[i]])$ 
lemma acc-le-listI' [intro!]:
 $\llbracket \text{order } r; \text{acc } A r \rrbracket \implies \text{acc } (\bigcup n. \text{list } n A) (\text{Listn.le } r)$ 

```

2.6 Typing and Dataflow Analysis Framework

theory *Typing-Framework*

imports

Semilattices

begin

The relationship between dataflow analysis and a welltyped-instruction predicate.

type-synonym

$'s \text{ step-type} = \text{nat} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times 's) \text{ list}$

definition $\text{stable} :: 's \text{ ord} \Rightarrow 's \text{ step-type} \Rightarrow 's \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{stable } r \text{ step } \tau s p \longleftrightarrow (\forall (q, \tau) \in \text{set } (\text{step } p (\tau s!p)). \tau \sqsubseteq_r \tau s!q)$

definition $\text{stables} :: 's \text{ ord} \Rightarrow 's \text{ step-type} \Rightarrow 's \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{stables } r \text{ step } \tau s \longleftrightarrow (\forall p < \text{size } \tau s. \text{stable } r \text{ step } \tau s p)$

definition $\text{wt-step} :: 's \text{ ord} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's \text{ step-type} \Rightarrow 's \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{wt-step } r T \text{ step } \tau s \longleftrightarrow (\forall p < \text{size } \tau s. \tau s!p \neq T \wedge \text{stable } r \text{ step } \tau s p)$

definition $\text{is-bcv} :: 's \text{ ord} \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's \text{ step-type} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 's \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('s \text{ list} \Rightarrow 's \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{is-bcv } r T \text{ step } n A \text{ bcv} \longleftrightarrow (\forall \tau s_0 \in \text{list } n A.$

$(\forall p < n. (\text{bcv } \tau s_0)!p \neq T) = (\exists \tau s \in \text{list } n A. \tau s_0 \sqsubseteq_r \tau s \wedge \text{wt-step } r T \text{ step } \tau s))$

end

2.7 More on Semilattices

theory *SemilatAlg*

imports *Typing-Framework*

begin

definition $\text{lesubstep-type} :: (\text{nat} \times 's) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 's \text{ ord} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times 's) \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$((- / \{\sqsubseteq_r\} -) [50, 0, 51] 50)$

where $A \{\sqsubseteq_r\} B \equiv \forall (p, \tau) \in A. \exists \tau'. (p, \tau') \in B \wedge \tau \sqsubseteq_r \tau'$

notation (ASCII)

```
lesubstep-type ((- /{<='--} -) [50, 0, 51] 50)
```

```
primrec pluslussub :: 'a list ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ((- /⊻ -) [65, 0, 66] 65)
```

where

```
pluslussub [] f y = y
| pluslussub (x#xs) f y = pluslussub xs f (x ⊻f y)
```

definition bounded :: 's step-type ⇒ nat ⇒ bool

where

```
bounded step n ←→ (∀ p < n. ∀ τ. ∀ (q, τ') ∈ set (step p τ). q < n)
```

definition pres-type :: 's step-type ⇒ nat ⇒ 's set ⇒ bool

where

```
pres-type step n A ←→ (∀ τ ∈ A. ∀ p < n. ∀ (q, τ') ∈ set (step p τ). τ' ∈ A)
```

definition mono :: 's ord ⇒ 's step-type ⇒ nat ⇒ 's set ⇒ bool

where

```
mono r step n A ←→
(∀ τ p τ'. τ ∈ A ∧ p < n ∧ τ ⊑r τ' → set (step p τ) {⊑r} set (step p τ'))
```

lemma [iff]: {} {⊑_r} B

lemma [iff]: (A {⊑_r} {}) = (A = {})

lemma lesubstep-union:

```
[[ A1 {⊑r} B1; A2 {⊑r} B2 ]] → A1 ∪ A2 {⊑r} B1 ∪ B2
```

lemma pres-typeD:

```
[[ pres-type step n A; s ∈ A; p < n; (q, s') ∈ set (step p s) ]] → s' ∈ A
```

lemma monoD:

```
[[ mono r step n A; p < n; s ∈ A; s ⊑r t ]] → set (step p s) {⊑r} set (step p t)
```

lemma boundedD:

```
[[ bounded step n; p < n; (q, t) ∈ set (step p xs) ]] → q < n
```

lemma lesubstep-type-refl [simp, intro]:

```
(∀ x. x ⊑r x) → A {⊑r} A
```

lemma lesub-step-typeD:

```
A {⊑r} B → (x, y) ∈ A → ∃ y'. (x, y') ∈ B ∧ y ⊑r y'
```

lemma list-update-le-listI [rule-format]:

```
set xs ⊆ A → set ys ⊆ A → xs [⊑r] ys → p < size xs →
x ⊑r ys!p → semilat(A, r, f) → x ∈ A →
xs[p := x ⊻f xs!p] [⊑r] ys
```

lemma plusplus-closed: **assumes** Semilat A r f **shows**

```
λy. [[ set x ⊆ A; y ∈ A] → x ⊻f y ∈ A]
```

lemma (in Semilat) pp-ub2:

```
λy. [[ set x ⊆ A; y ∈ A]] → y ⊑r x ⊻f y
```

lemma (in Semilat) pp-ub1:

shows λy. [[set ls ⊆ A; y ∈ A; x ∈ set ls]] → x ⊑_r ls ⊻_f y

lemma (in Semilat) pp-lub:

assumes z: z ∈ A

shows

$$\bigwedge y. y \in A \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq A \implies \forall x \in \text{set } xs. x \sqsubseteq_r z \implies y \sqsubseteq_r z \implies xs \sqcup_f y \sqsubseteq_r z$$

lemma *ub1'*: **assumes** *Semilat A r f*
shows $\llbracket \forall (p,s) \in \text{set } S. s \in A; y \in A; (a,b) \in \text{set } S \rrbracket$
 $\implies b \sqsubseteq_r \text{map snd } [(p', t') \leftarrow S. p' = a] \sqcup_f y$

lemma *plusplus-empty*:
 $\forall s'. (q, s') \in \text{set } S \longrightarrow s' \sqcup_f ss ! q = ss ! q \implies$
 $(\text{map snd } [(p', t') \leftarrow S. p' = q] \sqcup_f ss ! q) = ss ! q$

end

2.8 Lifting the Typing Framework to err, app, and eff

theory *Typing-Framework-err*

imports
Typing-Framework
SemilatAlg

begin

definition *wt-err-step* :: '*s ord* \Rightarrow '*s err step-type* \Rightarrow '*s err list* \Rightarrow *bool*
where

wt-err-step r step τs \longleftrightarrow *wt-step (Err.le r) Err step τs*

definition *wt-app-eff* :: '*s ord* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow '*s* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow '*s step-type* \Rightarrow '*s list* \Rightarrow *bool*
where

wt-app-eff r app step τs \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall p < \text{size } \tau s. \text{app } p (\tau s!p) \wedge (\forall (q,\tau) \in \text{set } (\text{step } p (\tau s!p)). \tau <= -r \tau s!q))$

definition *map-snd* :: ('*b* \Rightarrow '*c*) \Rightarrow ('*a* \times '*b*) *list* \Rightarrow ('*a* \times '*c*) *list*

where

map-snd f = *map (λ(x,y). (x, f y))*

definition *error* :: *nat* \Rightarrow (*nat* \times '*a err*) *list*

where

error n = *map (λx. (x, Err)) [0..<n]*

definition *err-step* :: *nat* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow '*s* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow '*s step-type* \Rightarrow '*s err step-type*

where

err-step n app step p t =
(case t of
 $\quad \text{Err} \Rightarrow \text{error } n$
 $\mid \text{OK } \tau \Rightarrow \text{if app } p \tau \text{ then map-snd OK } (\text{step } p \tau) \text{ else error } n$ *)*

definition *app-mono* :: '*s ord* \Rightarrow (*nat* \Rightarrow '*s* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow '*s set* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

app-mono r app n A \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall s p t. s \in A \wedge p < n \wedge s \sqsubseteq_r t \longrightarrow \text{app } p t \longrightarrow \text{app } p s)$

lemmas *err-step-defs* = *err-step-def map-snd-def error-def*

```

lemma bounded-err-stepD:
   $\llbracket \text{bounded} (\text{err-step } n \text{ app step}) n; p < n; \text{app } p \text{ a}; (q,b) \in \text{set} (\text{step } p \text{ a}) \rrbracket \implies q < n$ 

lemma in-map-sndD:  $(a,b) \in \text{set} (\text{map-snd } f \text{ xs}) \implies \exists b'. (a,b') \in \text{set} \text{ xs}$ 

lemma bounded-err-stepI:
   $\forall p. p < n \longrightarrow (\forall s. \text{ap } p \text{ s} \longrightarrow (\forall (q,s') \in \text{set} (\text{step } p \text{ s}). q < n))$ 
   $\implies \text{bounded} (\text{err-step } n \text{ app step}) n$ 

lemma bounded-lift:
   $\text{bounded step } n \implies \text{bounded} (\text{err-step } n \text{ app step}) n$ 

lemma le-list-map-OK [simp]:
   $\wedge b. (\text{map OK } a [\sqsubseteq_{\text{Err.le}} r] \text{ map OK } b) = (a [\sqsubseteq_r] b)$ 

lemma map-snd-lessI:
   $\text{set } xs \{\sqsubseteq_r\} \text{ set } ys \implies \text{set} (\text{map-snd OK } xs) \{\sqsubseteq_{\text{Err.le}} r\} \text{ set} (\text{map-snd OK } ys)$ 

lemma mono-lift:
   $\llbracket \text{order } r; \text{app-mono } r \text{ app } n \text{ A}; \text{bounded} (\text{err-step } n \text{ app step}) n;$ 
   $\forall s p t. s \in A \wedge p < n \wedge s \sqsubseteq_r t \longrightarrow \text{app } p \text{ t} \longrightarrow \text{set} (\text{step } p \text{ s}) \{\sqsubseteq_r\} \text{ set} (\text{step } p \text{ t}) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{mono} (\text{Err.le } r) (\text{err-step } n \text{ app step}) n (\text{err } A)$ 
lemma in-errorD:  $(x,y) \in \text{set} (\text{error } n) \implies y = \text{Err}$ 
lemma pres-type-lift:
   $\forall s \in A. \forall p. p < n \longrightarrow \text{app } p \text{ s} \longrightarrow (\forall (q, s') \in \text{set} (\text{step } p \text{ s}). s' \in A)$ 
   $\implies \text{pres-type} (\text{err-step } n \text{ app step}) n (\text{err } A)$ 

lemma wt-err-imp-wt-app-eff:
  assumes wt:  $\text{wt-err-step } r (\text{err-step } (\text{size } ts) \text{ app step}) ts$ 
  assumes b:  $\text{bounded} (\text{err-step } (\text{size } ts) \text{ app step}) (\text{size } ts)$ 
  shows  $\text{wt-app-eff } r \text{ app step } (\text{map ok-val } ts)$ 

lemma wt-app-eff-imp-wt-err:
  assumes app-eff:  $\text{wt-app-eff } r \text{ app step } ts$ 
  assumes bounded:  $\text{bounded} (\text{err-step } (\text{size } ts) \text{ app step}) (\text{size } ts)$ 
  shows  $\text{wt-err-step } r (\text{err-step } (\text{size } ts) \text{ app step}) (\text{map OK } ts)$ 
end

```

2.9 Kildall's Algorithm

```

theory Kildall
imports SemilatAlg .. /Basic /Auxiliary
begin

locale Kildall-base =
  fixes s- $\alpha$  :: ' $w \Rightarrow \text{nat set}$ 
  and s-empty :: ' $w$ 
  and s-is-empty :: ' $w \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  and s-choose :: ' $w \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  and s-remove ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'w \Rightarrow 'w$ 
  and s-insert ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'w \Rightarrow 'w$ 
begin

```

primrec *propa* :: '*s* binop \Rightarrow (nat \times '*s*) list \Rightarrow '*s* list \Rightarrow '*w* \Rightarrow '*s* list * '*w*
where

| *propa f* [] $\tau s w = (\tau s, w)$
| *propa f* (*q' # qs*) $\tau s w = (\text{let } (q, \tau) = q';$
 $u = \tau \sqcup_f \tau s! q;$
 $w' = (\text{if } u = \tau s! q \text{ then } w \text{ else } s\text{-insert } q w)$
in propa f qs ($\tau s[q := u]$) w')

definition *iter* :: '*s* binop \Rightarrow '*s* step-type \Rightarrow '*s* list \Rightarrow '*w* \Rightarrow '*s* list \times '*w*

where

iter f step $\tau s w =$
while ($\lambda(\tau s, w). \neg s\text{-is-empty } w$)
 $(\lambda(\tau s, w). \text{let } p = s\text{-choose } w \text{ in propa f (step } p (\tau s! p)) \tau s (s\text{-remove } p w))$
 $(\tau s, w)$

definition *unstables* :: '*s* ord \Rightarrow '*s* step-type \Rightarrow '*s* list \Rightarrow '*w*

where

unstables r step $\tau s = \text{foldr } s\text{-insert } (\text{filter } (\lambda p. \neg \text{stable } r \text{ step } \tau s p) [0..<\text{size } \tau s]) \text{ s-empty}$

definition *kildall* :: '*s* ord \Rightarrow '*s* binop \Rightarrow '*s* step-type \Rightarrow '*s* list \Rightarrow '*s* list

where *kildall r f step* $\tau s \equiv \text{fst}(\text{iter f step } \tau s (\text{unstables r step } \tau s))$

primrec *t-α* :: '*s* list \times '*w* \Rightarrow '*s* list \times nat set

where *t-α* ($\tau s, w$) = ($\tau s, s\text{-}\alpha w$)

end

primrec *merges* :: '*s* binop \Rightarrow (nat \times '*s*) list \Rightarrow '*s* list \Rightarrow '*s* list

where

| *merges f* [] $\tau s = \tau s$
| *merges f* (*p' # ps*) $\tau s = (\text{let } (p, \tau) = p' \text{ in merges f } ps (\tau s[p := \tau \sqcup_f \tau s! p]))$

locale *Kildall* =

Kildall-base +
assumes *empty-spec* [simp]: *s-α s-empty* = {}
and *is-empty-spec* [simp]: *s-is-empty A* \longleftrightarrow *s-α A* = {}
and *choose-spec*: *s-α A* \neq {} \implies *s-choose A* \in *s-α A*
and *remove-spec* [simp]: *s-α (s-remove n A)* = *s-α A - {n}*
and *insert-spec* [simp]: *s-α (s-insert n A)* = *insert n (s-α A)*

begin

lemma *s-α-foldr-s-insert*:

s-α (foldr s-insert xs A) = *foldr insert xs (s-α A)*

by(*induct xs arbitrary: A*) *simp-all*

lemma *unstables-spec* [simp]: *s-α (unstables r step τs)* = {*p*. *p < size τs* \wedge $\neg \text{stable } r \text{ step } \tau s p$ }

proof –

have {*p*. *p < size τs* \wedge $\neg \text{stable } r \text{ step } \tau s p$ } = *foldr insert (filter (λp. ¬stable r step τs p) [0..<size τs]) {}*

unfolding *foldr-insert-conv-set* **by** *auto*

thus ?*thesis* **by**(*simp add: unstables-def s-α-foldr-s-insert*)

qed

end

lemmas [*simp*] = *Let-def Semilat.le-iff-plus-unchanged* [OF *Semilat.intro*, *symmetric*]

lemma (in Semilat) nth-merges:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge ss. \llbracket p < \text{length } ss; ss \in \text{list } n A; \forall (p,t) \in \text{set } ps. p < n \wedge t \in A \rrbracket \implies \\ (\text{merges } f ps ss)!p = \text{map } \text{snd} [(p',t') \leftarrow ps. p' = p] \sqcup_f ss!p \\ (\text{is } \bigwedge ss. \llbracket \text{-}; \text{-}; ?\text{steptype } ps \rrbracket \implies ?P ss ps) \end{aligned}$$

lemma length-merges [*simp*]:

$$\bigwedge ss. \text{size}(\text{merges } f ps ss) = \text{size } ss$$

lemma (in Semilat) merges-preserves-type-lemma:

shows $\forall xs. xs \in \text{list } n A \longrightarrow (\forall (p,x) \in \text{set } ps. p < n \wedge x \in A)$
 $\longrightarrow \text{merges } f ps xs \in \text{list } n A$

lemma (in Semilat) merges-preserves-type [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket xs \in \text{list } n A; \forall (p,x) \in \text{set } ps. p < n \wedge x \in A \rrbracket \\ \implies \text{merges } f ps xs \in \text{list } n A \end{aligned}$$

by (*simp add: merges-preserves-type-lemma*)

lemma (in Semilat) merges-incr-lemma:

$$\forall xs. xs \in \text{list } n A \longrightarrow (\forall (p,x) \in \text{set } ps. p < \text{size } xs \wedge x \in A) \longrightarrow xs \sqsubseteq_r \text{merges } f ps xs$$

lemma (in Semilat) merges-incr:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket xs \in \text{list } n A; \forall (p,x) \in \text{set } ps. p < \text{size } xs \wedge x \in A \rrbracket \\ \implies xs \sqsubseteq_r \text{merges } f ps xs \end{aligned}$$

by (*simp add: merges-incr-lemma*)

lemma (in Semilat) merges-same-conv [rule-format]:

$$\begin{aligned} (\forall xs. xs \in \text{list } n A \longrightarrow (\forall (p,x) \in \text{set } ps. p < \text{size } xs \wedge x \in A) \longrightarrow \\ (\text{merges } f ps xs = xs) = (\forall (p,x) \in \text{set } ps. x \sqsubseteq_r xs!p)) \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in Semilat) list-update-le-listI [rule-format]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{set } xs \subseteq A \longrightarrow \text{set } ys \subseteq A \longrightarrow xs \sqsubseteq_r ys \longrightarrow p < \text{size } xs \longrightarrow \\ x \sqsubseteq_r ys!p \longrightarrow x \in A \longrightarrow xs[p := x \sqcup_f xs!p] \sqsubseteq_r ys \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in Semilat) merges-pres-le-ub:

assumes $\text{set } ts \subseteq A \text{ set } ss \subseteq A$

$$\forall (p,t) \in \text{set } ps. t \sqsubseteq_r ts!p \wedge t \in A \wedge p < \text{size } ts \text{ ss } \sqsubseteq_r ts$$

shows $\text{merges } f ps ss \sqsubseteq_r ts$

context Kildall **begin**

2.9.1 propa

lemma decomp-propa:

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge ss w. (\forall (q,t) \in \text{set } qs. q < \text{size } ss) \implies \\ t\text{-}\alpha (\text{propa } f qs ss w) = \\ (\text{merges } f qs ss, \{q. \exists t. (q,t) \in \text{set } qs \wedge t \sqcup_f ss!q \neq ss!q\} \cup s\text{-}\alpha w) \\ \text{apply (induct qs)} \\ \text{apply simp} \\ \text{apply (simp (no-asm))} \\ \text{apply clarify} \\ \text{apply simp} \end{aligned}$$

```

apply (rule conjI)
  apply blast
apply (simp add: nth-list-update)
apply blast
done

end

lemma (in Semilat) stable-pres-lemma:
shows [[pres-type step n A; bounded step n;
ss ∈ list n A; p ∈ w; ∀ q ∈ w. q < n;
∀ q. q < n → q ∉ w → stable r step ss q; q < n;
∀ s'. (q, s') ∈ set (step p (ss!p)) → s' ⊔ f ss!q = ss!q;
q ∉ w ∨ q = p ]]
  ⇒ stable r step (merges f (step p (ss!p)) ss) q

lemma (in Semilat) merges-bounded-lemma:
[[ mono r step n A; bounded step n;
  ∀ (p', s') ∈ set (step p (ss!p)). s' ∈ A; ss ∈ list n A; ts ∈ list n A; p < n;
  ss [≤r] ts; ∀ p. p < n → stable r step ts p ]]
  ⇒ merges f (step p (ss!p)) ss [≤r] ts

lemma termination-lemma: assumes Semilat A r f
shows [[ ss ∈ list n A; ∀ (q, t) ∈ set qs. q < n ∧ t ∈ A; p ∈ w ]] ⇒
  ss [≤r] merges f qs ss ∨
  merges f qs ss = ss ∧ {q. ∃ t. (q, t) ∈ set qs ∧ t ⊔ f ss!q ≠ ss!q} ∪ (w - {p}) ⊂ w
context Kildall-base begin

definition s-finite-psubset :: ('w * 'w) set
where s-finite-psubset == {(A, B). s-α A < s-α B & finite (s-α B)}

lemma s-finite-psubset-inv-image:
  s-finite-psubset = inv-image finite-psubset s-α
by (auto simp add: s-finite-psubset-def finite-psubset-def)

lemma wf-s-finite-psubset [simp]: wf s-finite-psubset
unfolding s-finite-psubset-inv-image by simp

end

context Kildall begin

```

2.9.2 iter

```

lemma iter-properties[rule-format]: assumes Semilat A r f
shows [[ acc A r; pres-type step n A; mono r step n A;
  bounded step n; ∀ p ∈ s-α w0. p < n; ss0 ∈ list n A;
  ∀ p < n. p ∉ s-α w0 → stable r step ss0 p ]] ⇒
  t-α (iter f step ss0 w0) = (ss', w')
  →
  ss' ∈ list n A ∧ stables r step ss' ∧ ss0 [≤r] ss' ∧
  (∀ ts ∈ list n A. ss0 [≤r] ts ∧ stables r step ts → ss' [≤r] ts)
lemma kildall-properties: assumes Semilat A r f
shows [[ acc A r; pres-type step n A; mono r step n A;

```

```

bounded step n; ss0 ∈ list n A ] ⇒
kildall r f step ss0 ∈ list n A ∧
stables r step (kildall r f step ss0) ∧
ss0 [≤r] kildall r f step ss0 ∧
(∀ ts ∈ list n A. ss0 [≤r] ts ∧ stables r step ts →
    kildall r f step ss0 [≤r] ts)
end

```

```

interpretation Kildall set [] λxs. xs = [] hd removeAll Cons
by(unfold-locales) auto

```

```

lemmas kildall-code [code] =
kildall-def
Kildall-base.propa.simps
Kildall-base.iter-def
Kildall-base.unstables-def
Kildall-base.kildall-def

```

```
end
```

2.10 The Lightweight Bytecode Verifier

```

theory LBVSpec
imports SemilatAlg Opt
begin

```

```
type-synonym
```

```
's certificate = 's list
```

```

primrec merge :: 's certificate ⇒ 's binop ⇒ 's ord ⇒ 's ⇒ nat ⇒ (nat × 's) list ⇒ 's ⇒ 's
where

```

```

merge cert f r T pc [] x = x
| merge cert f r T pc (s#ss) x = merge cert f r T pc ss (let (pc',s') = s in
    if pc'=pc+1 then s' ⊔f x
    else if s' ≤r cert!pc' then x
    else T)

```

```

definition wtl-inst :: 's certificate ⇒ 's binop ⇒ 's ord ⇒ 's ⇒
's step-type ⇒ nat ⇒ 's ⇒ 's

```

```
where
```

```
wtl-inst cert f r T step pc s = merge cert f r T pc (step pc s) (cert!(pc+1))
```

```

definition wtl-cert :: 's certificate ⇒ 's binop ⇒ 's ord ⇒ 's ⇒ 's ⇒
's step-type ⇒ nat ⇒ 's ⇒ 's

```

```
where
```

```

wtl-cert cert f r T B step pc s =
(if cert!pc = B then
    wtl-inst cert f r T step pc s
else
    if s ≤r cert!pc then wtl-inst cert f r T step pc (cert!pc) else T)

```

```

primrec wtl-inst-list :: 'a list ⇒ 's certificate ⇒ 's binop ⇒ 's ord ⇒ 's ⇒ 's ⇒
's step-type ⇒ nat ⇒ 's ⇒ 's

```

where

```
wtl-inst-list [] cert f r T B step pc s = s
| wtl-inst-list (i#is) cert f r T B step pc s =
  (let s' = wtl-cert cert f r T B step pc s in
   if s' = T ∨ s = T then T else wtl-inst-list is cert f r T B step (pc+1) s')
```

definition cert-ok :: 's certificate \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's set \Rightarrow bool

where

```
cert-ok cert n T B A  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall i < n$ . cert!i  $\in$  A  $\wedge$  cert!i  $\neq$  T)  $\wedge$  (cert!n = B)
```

definition bottom :: 'a ord \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool

where

```
bottom r B  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x$ . B  $\sqsubseteq_r$  x)
```

locale lbv = Semilat +

```
fixes T :: 'a ( $\top$ )
fixes B :: 'a ( $\perp$ )
fixes step :: 'a step-type
assumes top: top r  $\top$ 
assumes T-A:  $\top \in A$ 
assumes bot: bottom r  $\perp$ 
assumes B-A:  $\perp \in A$ 
```

```
fixes merge :: 'a certificate  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  'a) list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
```

```
defines mrg-def: merge cert  $\equiv$  LBVSpec.merge cert f r  $\top$ 
```

```
fixes wti :: 'a certificate  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
```

```
defines wti-def: wti cert  $\equiv$  wtl-inst cert f r  $\top$  step
```

```
fixes wtc :: 'a certificate  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
```

```
defines wtc-def: wtc cert  $\equiv$  wtl-cert cert f r  $\top$   $\perp$  step
```

```
fixes wtl :: 'b list  $\Rightarrow$  'a certificate  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
```

```
defines wtl-def: wtl ins cert  $\equiv$  wtl-inst-list ins cert f r  $\top$   $\perp$  step
```

lemma (in lbv) wti:

```
wti c pc s = merge c pc (step pc s) (c!(pc+1))
```

lemma (in lbv) wtc:

```
wtc c pc s = (if c!pc =  $\perp$  then wti c pc s else if s  $\sqsubseteq_r$  c!pc then wti c pc (c!pc) else  $\top$ )
```

lemma cert-okD1 [intro?]:

```
cert-ok c n T B A  $\Longrightarrow$  pc < n  $\Longrightarrow$  c!pc  $\in$  A
```

lemma cert-okD2 [intro?]:

```
cert-ok c n T B A  $\Longrightarrow$  c!n = B
```

lemma cert-okD3 [intro?]:

```
cert-ok c n T B A  $\Longrightarrow$  B  $\in$  A  $\Longrightarrow$  pc < n  $\Longrightarrow$  c!Suc pc  $\in$  A
```

lemma cert-okD4 [intro?]:

```
cert-ok c n T B A  $\Longrightarrow$  pc < n  $\Longrightarrow$  c!pc  $\neq$  T
```

declare *Let-def* [*simp*]

2.10.1 more semilattice lemmas

```

lemma (in lbv) sup-top [simp, elim]:
  assumes x: x ∈ A
  shows x ⋁f ⊤ = ⊤
lemma (in lbv) plusplussup-top [simp, elim]:
  set xs ⊆ A  $\implies$  xs ⋁f ⊤ = ⊤
  by (induct xs) auto

lemma (in Semilat) pp-ub1':
  assumes S: snd'set S ⊆ A
  assumes y: y ∈ A and ab: (a, b) ∈ set S
  shows b ⪯r map snd [(p', t')  $\leftarrow$  S . p' = a] ⋁f y
lemma (in lbv) bottom-le [simp, intro!]: ⊥ ⪯r x
  by (insert bot) (simp add: bottom-def)

lemma (in lbv) le-bottom [simp]: x ⪯r ⊥ = (x = ⊥)
  by (blast intro: antisym-r)

```

2.10.2 merge

```

lemma (in lbv) merge-Nil [simp]:
  merge c pc [] x = x by (simp add: mrg-def)

lemma (in lbv) merge-Cons [simp]:
  merge c pc (l#ls) x = merge c pc ls (if fst l=pc+1 then snd l +f x
  else if snd l ⪯r c!fst l then x
  else ⊤)
  by (simp add: mrg-def split-beta)

lemma (in lbv) merge-Err [simp]:
  snd'set ss ⊆ A  $\implies$  merge c pc ss ⊤ = ⊤
  by (induct ss) auto

lemma (in lbv) merge-not-top:
   $\bigwedge x. \text{snd}'\text{set ss} \subseteq A \implies \text{merge } c \text{ pc ss } x \neq \top \implies$ 
   $\forall (pc', s') \in \text{set ss}. (pc' \neq pc+1 \longrightarrow s' \sqsubseteq_r c!pc')$ 
  (is  $\bigwedge x. ?\text{set ss} \implies ?\text{merge ss } x \implies ?P ss$ )

lemma (in lbv) merge-def:
  shows
   $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{snd}'\text{set ss} \subseteq A \implies$ 
  merge c pc ss x =
  (if  $\forall (pc', s') \in \text{set ss}. pc' \neq pc+1 \longrightarrow s' \sqsubseteq_r c!pc'$  then
   map snd [(p', t')  $\leftarrow$  ss. p' = pc+1] ⋁f x
   else ⊤)
  (is  $\bigwedge x. - \implies - \implies ?\text{merge ss } x = ?\text{if ss } x \text{ is } \bigwedge x. - \implies - \implies ?P ss$ )

lemma (in lbv) merge-not-top-s:
  assumes x: x ∈ A and ss: snd'set ss ⊆ A
  assumes m: merge c pc ss x ≠ ⊤

```

shows $\text{merge } c \text{ pc } ss \text{ } x = (\text{map } \text{snd } [(p', t') \leftarrow ss. \ p' = pc + 1] \sqcup_f x)$

2.10.3 wtl-inst-list

lemmas [iff] = not-Err-eq

lemma (in lbv) wtl-Nil [simp]: $\text{wtl } [] \text{ } c \text{ pc } s = s$
by (simp add: wtl-def)

lemma (in lbv) wtl-Cons [simp]:
 $\text{wtl } (i \# is) \text{ } c \text{ pc } s =$
 $(\text{let } s' = \text{wtc } c \text{ pc } s \text{ in if } s' = \top \vee s = \top \text{ then } \top \text{ else } \text{wtl is } c \ (pc + 1) \ s')$
by (simp add: wtl-def wtc-def)

lemma (in lbv) wtl-Cons-not-top:
 $\text{wtl } (i \# is) \text{ } c \text{ pc } s \neq \top =$
 $(\text{wtc } c \text{ pc } s \neq \top \wedge s \neq \top \wedge \text{wtl is } c \ (pc + 1) \ (\text{wtc } c \text{ pc } s) \neq \top)$
by (auto simp del: split-paired-Ex)

lemma (in lbv) wtl-top [simp]: $\text{wtl ls } c \text{ pc } \top = \top$
by (cases ls) auto

lemma (in lbv) wtl-not-top:
 $\text{wtl ls } c \text{ pc } s \neq \top \implies s \neq \top$
by (cases s=⊤) auto

lemma (in lbv) wtl-append [simp]:
 $\bigwedge pc \ s. \ \text{wtl } (a @ b) \ c \text{ pc } s = \text{wtl } b \ c \ (pc + \text{length } a) \ (\text{wtl } a \ c \text{ pc } s)$
by (induct a) auto

lemma (in lbv) wtl-take:
 $\text{wtl is } c \text{ pc } s \neq \top \implies \text{wtl } (\text{take } pc' \text{ is}) \ c \text{ pc } s \neq \top$
 $(\text{is } ?\text{wtl is} \neq - \implies -)$
lemma take-Suc:

$\forall n. \ n < \text{length } l \longrightarrow \text{take } (\text{Suc } n) \ l = (\text{take } n \ l) @ [l!n]$ (**is** ?P l)

lemma (in lbv) wtl-Suc:

assumes suc: $pc + 1 < \text{length is}$

assumes wtl: $\text{wtl } (\text{take } pc \text{ is}) \ c \ 0 \ s \neq \top$

shows $\text{wtl } (\text{take } (pc + 1) \text{ is}) \ c \ 0 \ s = \text{wtc } c \text{ pc } (\text{wtl } (\text{take } pc \text{ is}) \ c \ 0 \ s)$

lemma (in lbv) wtl-all:

assumes all: $\text{wtl is } c \ 0 \ s \neq \top$ (**is** ?wtl is ≠ -)

assumes pc: $pc < \text{length is}$

shows $\text{wtc } c \text{ pc } (\text{wtl } (\text{take } pc \text{ is}) \ c \ 0 \ s) \neq \top$

2.10.4 preserves-type

lemma (in lbv) merge-pres:

assumes s0: $\text{snd}'\text{set } ss \subseteq A$ **and** x: $x \in A$

shows $\text{merge } c \text{ pc } ss \text{ } x \in A$

lemma pres-typeD2:

$\text{pres-type step } n \ A \implies s \in A \implies p < n \implies \text{snd}'\text{set } (\text{step } p \ s) \subseteq A$
by auto (drule pres-typeD)

lemma (in lbv) wti-pres [intro?]:

```

assumes pres: pres-type step n A
assumes cert: c!(pc+1) ∈ A
assumes s-pc: s ∈ A pc < n
shows wti c pc s ∈ A
lemma (in lbv) wtc-pres:
assumes pres-type step n A
assumes c!pc ∈ A and c!(pc+1) ∈ A
assumes s ∈ A and pc < n
shows wtc c pc s ∈ A
lemma (in lbv) wtl-pres:
assumes pres: pres-type step (length is) A
assumes cert: cert-ok c (length is) ⊤ ⊥ A
assumes s: s ∈ A
assumes all: wtl is c 0 s ≠ ⊤
shows pc < length is ==> wtl (take pc is) c 0 s ∈ A
(is ?len pc ==> ?wtl pc ∈ A)
end

```

2.11 Correctness of the LBV

```

theory LBVCorrect
imports LBVSpec Typing-Framework
begin

locale lbvs = lbv +
  fixes s0 :: 'a
  fixes c :: 'a list
  fixes ins :: 'b list
  fixes τs :: 'a list
  defines phi-def:
    τs ≡ map (λpc. if c!pc = ⊥ then wtl (take pc ins) c 0 s0 else c!pc)
    [0..<size ins]

  assumes bounded: bounded step (size ins)
  assumes cert: cert-ok c (size ins) ⊤ ⊥ A
  assumes pres: pres-type step (size ins) A

lemma (in lbvs) phi-None [intro?]:
  [ pc < size ins; c!pc = ⊥ ] ==> τs!pc = wtl (take pc ins) c 0 s0
lemma (in lbvs) phi-Some [intro?]:
  [ pc < size ins; c!pc ≠ ⊥ ] ==> τs!pc = c!pc
lemma (in lbvs) phi-len [simp]: size τs = size ins
lemma (in lbvs) wtl-suc-pc:
  assumes all: wtl ins c 0 s0 ≠ ⊤
  assumes pc: pc+1 < size ins
  shows wtl (take (pc+1) ins) c 0 s0 ⊑r τs!(pc+1)
lemma (in lbvs) wtl-stable:
  assumes wtl: wtl ins c 0 s0 ≠ ⊤
  assumes s0: s0 ∈ A and pc: pc < size ins
  shows stable r step τs pc
lemma (in lbvs) phi-not-top:
  assumes wtl: wtl ins c 0 s0 ≠ ⊤ and pc: pc < size ins
  shows τs!pc ≠ ⊤

```

```

lemma (in lbvs) phi-in-A:
  assumes wtl: wtl ins c 0 s0 ≠ ⊤ and s0: s0 ∈ A
  shows τs ∈ list (size ins) A
lemma (in lbvs) phi0:
  assumes wtl: wtl ins c 0 s0 ≠ ⊤ and 0: 0 < size ins
  shows s0 ⊑r τs!0

theorem (in lbvs) wtl-sound:
  assumes wtl: wtl ins c 0 s0 ≠ ⊤ and s0: s0 ∈ A
  shows ∃τs. wt-step r ⊤ step τs

theorem (in lbvs) wtl-sound-strong:
  assumes wtl: wtl ins c 0 s0 ≠ ⊤
  assumes s0: s0 ∈ A and ins: 0 < size ins
  shows ∃τs ∈ list (size ins) A. wt-step r ⊤ step τs ∧ s0 ⊑r τs!0
end

```

2.12 Completeness of the LBV

```

theory LBVComplete
imports LBVSpec Typing-Framework
begin

definition is-target :: 's step-type ⇒ 's list ⇒ nat ⇒ bool where
  is-target step τs pc' ←→ (∃pc s'. pc' ≠ pc+1 ∧ pc < size τs ∧ (pc',s') ∈ set (step pc (τs!pc)))

definition make-cert :: 's step-type ⇒ 's list ⇒ 's ⇒ 's certificate where
  make-cert step τs B = map (λpc. if is-target step τs pc then τs!pc else B) [0..<size τs] @ [B]

lemma [code]:
  is-target step τs pc' =
    list-ex (λpc. pc' ≠ pc+1 ∧ List.member (map fst (step pc (τs!pc))) pc') [0..<size τs]
locale lbvc = lbv +
  fixes τs :: 'a list
  fixes c :: 'a list
  defines cert-def: c ≡ make-cert step τs ⊥

  assumes mono: mono r step (size τs) A
  assumes pres: pres-type step (size τs) A
  assumes τs: ∀pc < size τs. τs!pc ∈ A ∧ τs!pc ≠ ⊤
  assumes bounded: bounded step (size τs)

  assumes B-neq-T: ⊥ ≠ ⊤

lemma (in lbvc) cert: cert-ok c (size τs) ⊤ ⊥ A
lemmas [simp del] = split-paired-Ex

lemma (in lbvc) cert-target [intro?]:
  [(pc',s') ∈ set (step pc (τs!pc));
   pc' ≠ pc+1; pc < size τs; pc' < size τs]
  ⟹ c!pc' = τs!pc'
lemma (in lbvc) cert-approx [intro?]:

```

```

 $\llbracket pc < \text{size } \tau s; c!pc \neq \perp \rrbracket \implies c!pc = \tau s!pc$ 
lemma (in lbv) le-top [simp, intro]:  $x \leqslant_r \top$ 
lemma (in lbv) merge-mono:
  assumes  $\text{less}: \text{set } ss_2 \{\leqslant_r\} \text{ set } ss_1$ 
  assumes  $x: x \in A$ 
  assumes  $ss_1: \text{snd}'\text{set } ss_1 \subseteq A$ 
  assumes  $ss_2: \text{snd}'\text{set } ss_2 \subseteq A$ 
  shows  $\text{merge } c \text{ pc } ss_2 \ x \leqslant_r \text{merge } c \text{ pc } ss_1 \ x \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?s_2 \leqslant_r ?s_1)$ 
lemma (in lbvc) wti-mono:
  assumes  $\text{less}: s_2 \leqslant_r s_1$ 
  assumes  $pc: pc < \text{size } \tau s \text{ and } s_1: s_1 \in A \text{ and } s_2: s_2 \in A$ 
  shows  $\text{wti } c \text{ pc } s_2 \leqslant_r \text{wti } c \text{ pc } s_1 \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?s_2' \leqslant_r ?s_1')$ 
lemma (in lbvc) wtc-mono:
  assumes  $\text{less}: s_2 \leqslant_r s_1$ 
  assumes  $pc: pc < \text{size } \tau s \text{ and } s_1: s_1 \in A \text{ and } s_2: s_2 \in A$ 
  shows  $\text{wtc } c \text{ pc } s_2 \leqslant_r \text{wtc } c \text{ pc } s_1 \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?s_2' \leqslant_r ?s_1')$ 
lemma (in lbv) top-le-conv [simp]:  $\top \leqslant_r x = (x = \top)$ 
lemma (in lbv) neq-top [simp, elim]:  $\llbracket x \leqslant_r y; y \neq \top \rrbracket \implies x \neq \top$ 
lemma (in lbvc) stable-wti:
  assumes  $\text{stable}: \text{stable } r \text{ step } \tau s \text{ pc and } pc: pc < \text{size } \tau s$ 
  shows  $\text{wti } c \text{ pc } (\tau s!pc) \neq \top$ 
lemma (in lbvc) wti-less:
  assumes  $\text{stable}: \text{stable } r \text{ step } \tau s \text{ pc and } \text{suc}-pc: \text{Suc } pc < \text{size } \tau s$ 
  shows  $\text{wti } c \text{ pc } (\tau s!pc) \leqslant_r \tau s! \text{Suc } pc \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?\text{wti} \leqslant_r -)$ 
lemma (in lbvc) stable-wtc:
  assumes  $\text{stable}: \text{stable } r \text{ step } \tau s \text{ pc and } pc: pc < \text{size } \tau s$ 
  shows  $\text{wtc } c \text{ pc } (\tau s!pc) \neq \top$ 
lemma (in lbvc) wtc-less:
  assumes  $\text{stable}: \text{stable } r \text{ step } \tau s \text{ pc and } \text{suc}-pc: \text{Suc } pc < \text{size } \tau s$ 
  shows  $\text{wtc } c \text{ pc } (\tau s!pc) \leqslant_r \tau s! \text{Suc } pc \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?\text{wtc} \leqslant_r -)$ 
lemma (in lbvc) wt-step-wtl-lemma:
  assumes  $\text{wt-step}: \text{wt-step } r \top \text{ step } \tau s$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge pc \ s. \ pc + \text{size } ls = \text{size } \tau s \implies s \leqslant_r \tau s!pc \implies s \in A \implies s \neq \top \implies$ 
     $\text{wtl } ls \ c \ pc \ s \neq \top$ 
   $(\mathbf{is} \ \bigwedge pc \ s. \ - \implies - \implies - \implies - \implies ?\text{wtl } ls \ pc \ s \neq -)$ 
theorem (in lbvc) wtl-complete:
  assumes  $\text{wt}: \text{wt-step } r \top \text{ step } \tau s$ 
  assumes  $s: s \leqslant_r \tau s!0 \ s \in A \ s \neq \top \text{ and } \text{eq}: \text{size } ins = \text{size } \tau s$ 
  shows  $\text{wtl } ins \ c \ 0 \ s \neq \top$ 
end

```

Chapter 3

Concepts for all NinjaThreads Languages

3.1 NinjaThreads types

```
theory Type
imports
  ..../Basic/Auxiliary
begin

type-synonym cname = String.literal — class names
type-synonym mname = String.literal — method name
type-synonym vname = String.literal — names for local/field variables

definition Object :: cname
where Object ≡ STR "java/lang/Object"

definition Thread :: cname
where Thread ≡ STR "java/lang/Thread"

definition Throwable :: cname
where Throwable ≡ STR "java/lang/Throwable"

definition this :: vname
where this ≡ STR "this"

definition run :: mname
where run ≡ STR "run() V"

definition start :: mname
where start ≡ STR "start() V"

definition wait :: mname
where wait ≡ STR "wait() V"

definition notify :: mname
where notify ≡ STR "notify() V"

definition notifyAll :: mname
```

```

where notifyAll ≡ STR "notifyAll() V"

definition join :: mname
where join ≡ STR "join() V"

definition interrupt :: mname
where interrupt ≡ STR "interrupt() V"

definition isInterrupted :: mname
where isInterrupted ≡ STR "isInterrupted() Z"

definition hashCode :: mname
where hashCode = STR "hashCode() I"

definition clone :: mname
where clone = STR "clone() Ljava/lang/Object;"

definition print :: mname
where print = STR "~print(I) V"

definition currentThread :: mname
where currentThread = STR "~Thread.currentThread() Ljava/lang/Thread;"

definition interrupted :: mname
where interrupted = STR "~Thread.interrupted() Z"

definition yield :: mname
where yield = STR "~Thread.yield() V"

lemmas identifier-name-defs [code-unfold] =
  this-def run-def start-def wait-def notify-def notifyAll-def join-def interrupt-def isInterrupted-def
  hashCode-def clone-def print-def currentThread-def interrupted-def yield-def

lemma Object-Thread-Throwable-neq [simp]:
  Thread ≠ Object Object ≠ Thread
  Object ≠ Throwable Throwable ≠ Object
  Thread ≠ Throwable Throwable ≠ Thread
by(auto simp add: Thread-def Object-def Throwable-def)

lemma synth-method-names-neq-aux:
  start ≠ wait start ≠ notify start ≠ notifyAll start ≠ join start ≠ interrupt start ≠ isInterrupted
  start ≠ hashCode start ≠ clone start ≠ print start ≠ currentThread
  start ≠ interrupted start ≠ yield start ≠ run
  wait ≠ notify wait ≠ notifyAll wait ≠ join wait ≠ interrupt wait ≠ isInterrupted
  wait ≠ hashCode wait ≠ clone wait ≠ print wait ≠ currentThread
  wait ≠ interrupted wait ≠ yield wait ≠ run
  notify ≠ notifyAll notify ≠ join notify ≠ interrupt notify ≠ isInterrupted
  notify ≠ hashCode notify ≠ clone notify ≠ print notify ≠ currentThread
  notify ≠ interrupted notify ≠ yield notify ≠ run
  notifyAll ≠ join notifyAll ≠ interrupt notifyAll ≠ isInterrupted
  notifyAll ≠ hashCode notifyAll ≠ clone notifyAll ≠ print notifyAll ≠ currentThread
  notifyAll ≠ interrupted notifyAll ≠ yield notifyAll ≠ run

```

```

join ≠ interrupt join ≠ isInterrupted
join ≠ hashCode join ≠ clone join ≠ print join ≠ currentThread
join ≠ interrupted join ≠ yield join ≠ run
interrupt ≠ isInterrupted
interrupt ≠ hashCode interrupt ≠ clone interrupt ≠ print interrupt ≠ currentThread
interrupt ≠ interrupted interrupt ≠ yield interrupt ≠ run
isInterrupted ≠ hashCode isInterrupted ≠ clone isInterrupted ≠ print isInterrupted ≠ currentThread

isInterrupted ≠ interrupted isInterrupted ≠ yield isInterrupted ≠ run
hashCode ≠ clone hashCode ≠ print hashCode ≠ currentThread
hashCode ≠ interrupted hashCode ≠ yield hashCode ≠ run
clone ≠ print clone ≠ currentThread
clone ≠ interrupted clone ≠ yield clone ≠ run
print ≠ currentThread
print ≠ interrupted print ≠ yield print ≠ run
currentThread ≠ interrupted currentThread ≠ yield currentThread ≠ run
interrupted ≠ yield interrupted ≠ run
yield ≠ run
by(simp-all add: identifier-name-defs)

```

lemmas *synth-method-names-neq* [*simp*] = *synth-method-names-neq-aux synth-method-names-neq-aux* [*symmetric*]

```

— types
datatype ty
  = Void          — type of statements
  | Boolean
  | Integer
  | NT           — null type
  | Class cname — class type
  | Array ty    (-[] 95) — array type

```

```

context
  notes [[inductive-internals]]
begin

```

```

inductive is-refT :: ty ⇒ bool where
  is-refT NT
  | is-refT (Class C)
  | is-refT (A[])

```

```
declare is-refT.intros[iff]
```

```
end
```

```
lemmas refTE [consumes 1, case-names NT Class Array] = is-refT.cases
```

```

lemma not-refTE [consumes 1, case-names Void Boolean Integer]:
  [[ $\neg \text{is-refT } T; T = \text{Void} \Rightarrow P; T = \text{Boolean} \Rightarrow P; T = \text{Integer} \Rightarrow P \Rightarrow P$ ]]  $\Rightarrow P$ 
by (cases T, auto)

```

```

fun ground-type :: ty ⇒ ty where
  ground-type (Array T) = ground-type T
  | ground-type T = T

```

```

abbreviation is-NT-Array :: ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-NT-Array T  $\equiv$  ground-type T = NT

primrec the-Class :: ty  $\Rightarrow$  cname
where
  the-Class (Class C) = C

primrec the-Array :: ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty
where
  the-Array (T[l]) = T

datatype hotype =
  Class-type cname
  | Array-type ty nat

primrec ty-of-hotype :: hotype  $\Rightarrow$  ty
where
  ty-of-hotype (Class-type C) = Class C
  | ty-of-hotype (Array-type T n) = Array T

primrec alen-of-hotype :: hotype  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
  alen-of-hotype (Array-type T n) = n

primrec class-type-of :: hotype  $\Rightarrow$  cname
where
  class-type-of (Class-type C) = C
  | class-type-of (Array-type T n) = Object

fun class-type-of' :: ty  $\Rightarrow$  cname option
where
  class-type-of' (Class C) = [C]
  | class-type-of' (Array T) = [Object]
  | class-type-of' - = None

lemma rec-hotype-is-case [simp]: rec-hotype = case-hotype
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff split: htype.split)

lemma ty-of-hotype-eq-convs [simp]:
  shows ty-of-hotype-eq-Boolean: ty-of-hotype hT  $\neq$  Boolean
  and ty-of-hotype-eq-Void: ty-of-hotype hT  $\neq$  Void
  and ty-of-hotype-eq-Integer: ty-of-hotype hT  $\neq$  Integer
  and ty-of-hotype-eq-NT: ty-of-hotype hT  $\neq$  NT
  and ty-of-hotype-eq-Class: ty-of-hotype hT = Class C  $\longleftrightarrow$  hT = Class-type C
  and ty-of-hotype-eq-Array: ty-of-hotype hT = Array T  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists n. hT$  = Array-type T n)
by(case-tac [!] hT) simp-all

lemma class-type-of-eq:
  class-type-of hT =
  (case hT of Class-type C  $\Rightarrow$  C | Array-type T n  $\Rightarrow$  Object)
by(simp split: htype.split)

lemma class-type-of'-ty-of-hotype [simp]:

```

```

class-type-of' (ty-of-htype hT) = [class-type-of hT]
by(cases hT) simp-all

fun is-Array :: ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  is-Array (Array T) = True
  | is-Array - = False

lemma is-Array-conv [simp]: is-Array T  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists U.$  T = Array U)
by(cases T) simp-all

fun is-Class :: ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  is-Class (Class C) = True
  | is-Class - = False

lemma is-Class-conv [simp]: is-Class T  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists C.$  T = Class C)
by(cases T) simp-all

```

3.1.1 Code generator setup

```

code-pred is-refT .
end

```

3.2 Class Declarations and Programs

```

theory Decl
imports
  Type
begin

type-synonym volatile = bool

record fmod =
  volatile :: volatile

type-synonym fdecl = vname  $\times$  ty  $\times$  fmod — field declaration
type-synonym 'm mdecl = mname  $\times$  ty list  $\times$  ty  $\times$  'm — method = name, arg. types, return type, body
type-synonym 'm mdecl' = mname  $\times$  ty list  $\times$  ty  $\times$  'm option — method = name, arg. types, return type, possible body
type-synonym 'm class = cname  $\times$  fdecl list  $\times$  'm mdecl' list — class = superclass, fields, methods
type-synonym 'm cdecl = cname  $\times$  'm class — class declaration

datatype
  'm prog = Program 'm cdecl list

translations
  (type) fdecl <= (type) String.literal  $\times$  ty  $\times$  fmod
  (type) 'c mdecl <= (type) String.literal  $\times$  ty list  $\times$  ty  $\times$  'c
  (type) 'c mdecl' <= (type) String.literal  $\times$  ty list  $\times$  ty  $\times$  'c option
  (type) 'c class <= (type) String.literal  $\times$  fdecl list  $\times$  ('c mdecl) list

```

```

(type) 'c cdecl <= (type) String.literal × ('c class)

notation (input) None (Native)

primrec classes :: 'm prog ⇒ 'm cdecl list
where
  classes (Program P) = P

primrec class :: 'm prog ⇒ cname → 'm class
where
  class (Program p) = map-of p

locale prog =
  fixes P :: 'm prog

definition is-class :: 'm prog ⇒ cname ⇒ bool
where
  is-class P C ≡ class P C ≠ None

lemma finite-is-class: finite {C. is-class P C}
primrec is-type :: 'm prog ⇒ ty ⇒ bool
where
  is-type-void: is-type P Void = True
  | is-type-bool: is-type P Boolean = True
  | is-type-int: is-type P Integer = True
  | is-type-nt: is-type P NT = True
  | is-type-class: is-type P (Class C) = is-class P C
  | is-type-array: is-type P (A[]) = (case ground-type A of NT ⇒ False | Class C ⇒ is-class P C | - ⇒ True)

lemma is-type-ArrayD: is-type P (T[]) ⇒ is-type P T
by(induct T) auto

lemma is-type-ground-type:
  is-type P T ⇒ is-type P (ground-type T)
by(induct T)(auto, metis is-type-ArrayD is-type-array)

abbreviation types :: 'm prog ⇒ ty set
where types P ≡ {T. is-type P T}

abbreviation is-htype :: 'm prog ⇒ htype ⇒ bool
where is-htype P hT ≡ is-type P (ty-of-htype hT)

```

3.2.1 Code generation

```

lemma is-class-intros [code-pred-intro]:
  class P C ≠ None ⇒ is-class P C
by(auto simp add: is-class-def)

code-pred
  (modes: i ⇒ i ⇒ bool)
  is-class
unfolding is-class-def by simp

```

```
declare is-class-def[code]
```

```
end
```

3.3 Relations between Ninja Types

```
theory TypeRel
```

```
imports
```

```
Decl
```

```
begin
```

3.3.1 The subclass relations

```
inductive subcls1 :: 'm prog ⇒ cname ⇒ cname ⇒ bool (- ⊢ - ⊲¹ - [71, 71, 71] 70)
```

```
for P :: 'm prog
```

```
where subcls1I: [| class P C = Some (D, rest); C ≠ Object |] ⇒ P ⊢ C ⊲¹ D
```

```
abbreviation subcls :: 'm prog ⇒ cname ⇒ cname ⇒ bool (- ⊢ - ⊲* - [71, 71, 71] 70)
```

```
where P ⊢ C ⊲* D ≡ (subcls1 P)*** C D
```

```
lemma subcls1D:
```

```
P ⊢ C ⊲¹ D ⇒ C ≠ Object ∧ (∃fs ms. class P C = Some (D, fs, ms))
```

```
by(auto elim: subcls1.cases)
```

```
lemma Object-subcls1 [iff]: ¬ P ⊢ Object ⊲¹ C
```

```
by(simp add: subcls1.simps)
```

```
lemma Object-subcls-conv [iff]: (P ⊢ Object ⊲* C) = (C = Object)
```

```
by(auto elim: converse-rtranclpE)
```

```
lemma finite-subcls1: finite {(C, D). P ⊢ C ⊲¹ D}
```

```
proof –
```

```
let ?A = SIGMA C:{C. is-class P C}. {D. C≠Object ∧ fst (the (class P C))=D}
```

```
have finite ?A by(rule finite-SigmaI [OF finite-is-class]) auto
```

```
also have ?A = {(C, D). P ⊢ C ⊲¹ D}
```

```
by(fastforce simp:is-class-def dest: subcls1D elim: subcls1I)
```

```
finally show ?thesis .
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma finite-subcls1':
```

```
finite {(D, C). P ⊢ C ⊲¹ D}
```

```
by(subst finite-converse[symmetric])
```

```
(simp add: converse-unfold finite-subcls1 del: finite-converse)
```

```
lemma subcls-is-class: (subcls1 P)++ C D ⇒ is-class P C
```

```
by(auto elim: converse-tranclpE dest!: subcls1D simp add: is-class-def)
```

```
lemma subcls-is-class1: [| P ⊢ C ⊲* D; is-class P D |] ⇒ is-class P C
```

```
by(auto elim: converse-rtranclpE dest!: subcls1D simp add: is-class-def)
```

3.3.2 The subtype relations

```
inductive widen :: 'm prog ⇒ ty ⇒ ty ⇒ bool (- ⊢ - ≤ - [71, 71, 71] 70)
```

```
for P :: 'm prog
```

where

$\text{widen-refl}[iff]: P \vdash T \leq T$
 $\text{widen-subcls}: P \vdash C \preceq^* D \implies P \vdash \text{Class } C \leq \text{Class } D$
 $\text{widen-null}[iff]: P \vdash NT \leq \text{Class } C$
 $\text{widen-null-array}[iff]: P \vdash NT \leq \text{Array } A$
 $\text{widen-array-object}: P \vdash \text{Array } A \leq \text{Class Object}$
 $\text{widen-array-array}: P \vdash A \leq B \implies P \vdash \text{Array } A \leq \text{Array } B$

abbreviation

$\text{widens} :: 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow \text{ty list} \Rightarrow \text{ty list} \Rightarrow \text{bool} (- \vdash - [\leq] - [71, 71, 71] 70)$

where

$P \vdash Ts \leq Ts' == \text{list-all2 (widen } P) Ts Ts'$

lemma $[iff]: (P \vdash T \leq \text{Void}) = (T = \text{Void})$

lemma $[iff]: (P \vdash T \leq \text{Boolean}) = (T = \text{Boolean})$

lemma $[iff]: (P \vdash T \leq \text{Integer}) = (T = \text{Integer})$

lemma $[iff]: (P \vdash \text{Void} \leq T) = (T = \text{Void})$

lemma $[iff]: (P \vdash \text{Boolean} \leq T) = (T = \text{Boolean})$

lemma $[iff]: (P \vdash \text{Integer} \leq T) = (T = \text{Integer})$

lemma $\text{Class-widen}: P \vdash \text{Class } C \leq T \implies \exists D. T = \text{Class } D$

by(erule widen.cases, auto)

lemma $\text{Array-Array-widen}:$

$P \vdash \text{Array } T \leq \text{Array } U \implies P \vdash T \leq U$

by(auto elim: widen.cases)

lemma $\text{widen-Array}: (P \vdash T \leq U[]) \longleftrightarrow (T = NT \vee (\exists V. T = V[] \wedge P \vdash V \leq U))$

by(induct T)(auto dest: Array-Array-widen elim: widen.cases intro: widen-array-array)

lemma $\text{Array-widen}: P \vdash \text{Array } A \leq T \implies (\exists B. T = \text{Array } B \wedge P \vdash A \leq B) \vee T = \text{Class Object}$
by(auto elim: widen.cases)

lemma $[iff]: (P \vdash T \leq NT) = (T = NT)$

by(induct T)(auto dest: Class-widen Array-widen)

lemma $\text{Class-widen-Class } [iff]: (P \vdash \text{Class } C \leq \text{Class } D) = (P \vdash C \preceq^* D)$

by (auto elim: widen-subcls widen.cases)

lemma $\text{widen-Class}: (P \vdash T \leq \text{Class } C) = (T = NT \vee (\exists D. T = \text{Class } D \wedge P \vdash D \preceq^* C) \vee (C = \text{Object} \wedge (\exists A. T = \text{Array } A)))$

by(induct T)(auto dest: Array-widen intro: widen-array-object)

lemma $\text{NT-widen}:$

$P \vdash NT \leq T = (T = NT \vee (\exists C. T = \text{Class } C) \vee (\exists U. T = U[]))$

by(cases T) auto

lemma $\text{Class-widen2}: P \vdash \text{Class } C \leq T = (\exists D. T = \text{Class } D \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* D)$

by (cases T, auto elim: widen.cases)

lemma $\text{Object-widen}: P \vdash \text{Class Object} \leq T \implies T = \text{Class Object}$

by(cases T, auto elim: widen.cases)

lemma $\text{NT-Array-widen-Object}:$

$is\text{-}NT\text{-}\text{Array } T \implies P \vdash T \leq \text{Class Object}$

```

by(induct T, auto intro: widen-array-object)

lemma widen-trans[trans]:
  assumes  $P \vdash S \leq U$   $P \vdash U \leq T$ 
  shows  $P \vdash S \leq T$ 
using assms
proof(induct arbitrary: T)
  case (widen-refl  $T T'$ ) thus  $P \vdash T \leq T'$ .
next
  case (widen-subcls  $C D T$ )
    then obtain  $E$  where  $T = \text{Class } E$  by (blast dest: Class-widen)
    with widen-subcls show  $P \vdash \text{Class } C \leq T$  by (auto elim: rtrancl-trans)
next
  case (widen-null  $C RT$ )
    then obtain  $D$  where  $RT = \text{Class } D$  by (blast dest: Class-widen)
    thus  $P \vdash NT \leq RT$  by auto
next
  case widen-null-array thus ?case by(auto dest: Array-widen)
next
  case (widen-array-object  $A T$ )
    hence  $T = \text{Class Object}$  by(rule Object-widen)
    with widen-array-object show  $P \vdash A[] \leq T$ 
      by(auto intro: widen.widen-array-object)
next
  case widen-array-array thus ?case
    by(auto dest!: Array-widen intro: widen.widen-array-array widen-array-object)
qed

lemma widens-trans:  $\llbracket P \vdash Ss \leq Ts; P \vdash Ts \leq Us \rrbracket \implies P \vdash Ss \leq Us$ 
by (rule list-all2-trans)(rule widen-trans)

lemma class-type-of'-widenD:
   $\text{class-type-of}' T = \lfloor C \rfloor \implies P \vdash T \leq \text{Class } C$ 
by(cases T)(auto intro: widen-array-object)

lemma widen-is-class-type-of:
  assumes  $\text{class-type-of}' T = \lfloor C \rfloor$   $P \vdash T' \leq T$   $T' \neq NT$ 
  obtains  $C'$  where  $\text{class-type-of}' T' = \lfloor C' \rfloor$   $P \vdash C' \preceq^* C$ 
using assms by(cases T)(auto simp add: widen-Class widen-Array)

lemma widens-refl:  $P \vdash Ts \leq Ts$ 
by(rule list-all2-refl[OF widen-refl])

lemma widen-append1:
   $P \vdash (xs @ ys) \leq Ts = (\exists Ts1 Ts2. Ts = Ts1 @ Ts2 \wedge \text{length } xs = \text{length } Ts1 \wedge \text{length } ys = \text{length } Ts2 \wedge P \vdash xs \leq Ts1 \wedge P \vdash ys \leq Ts2)$ 
unfolding list-all2-append1 by fastforce

lemmas widens-Cons [iff] = list-all2-Cons1 [of widen P] for P

lemma widens-lengthD:
   $P \vdash xs \leq ys \implies \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys$ 
by(rule list-all2-lengthD)

```

```

lemma widen-refT:  $\llbracket \text{is-refT } T; P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket \implies \text{is-refT } U$ 
by(erule refTE)(auto simp add: widen-Class widen-Array)

lemma refT-widen:  $\llbracket \text{is-refT } T; P \vdash T \leq U \rrbracket \implies \text{is-refT } U$ 
by(erule widen.cases) auto

inductive is-lub :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $(\dashv \text{lub}'((-, / -)) = - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$ 
for P :: 'm prog and U :: ty and V :: ty and T :: ty
where
 $\llbracket P \vdash U \leq T; P \vdash V \leq T;$ 
 $\quad \wedge T'. \llbracket P \vdash U \leq T'; P \vdash V \leq T' \rrbracket \implies P \vdash T \leq T' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P \vdash \text{lub}(U, V) = T$ 

lemma is-lub-upper:
 $P \vdash \text{lub}(U, V) = T \implies P \vdash U \leq T \wedge P \vdash V \leq T$ 
by(auto elim: is-lub.cases)

lemma is-lub-least:
 $\llbracket P \vdash \text{lub}(U, V) = T; P \vdash U \leq T'; P \vdash V \leq T' \rrbracket \implies P \vdash T \leq T'$ 
by(auto elim: is-lub.cases)

lemma is-lub-Void [iff]:
 $P \vdash \text{lub}(\text{Void}, \text{Void}) = T \longleftrightarrow T = \text{Void}$ 
by(auto intro: is-lub.intros elim: is-lub.cases)

lemma is-lubI [code-pred-intro]:
 $\llbracket P \vdash U \leq T; P \vdash V \leq T; \forall T'. P \vdash U \leq T' \longrightarrow P \vdash V \leq T' \longrightarrow P \vdash T \leq T' \rrbracket \implies P \vdash \text{lub}(U, V) = T$ 
by(blast intro: is-lub.intros)

```

3.3.3 Method lookup

```

inductive Methods :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  (mname  $\rightharpoonup$  (ty list  $\times$  ty  $\times$  'm option)  $\times$  cname)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
 $(\dashv \text{- sees'-methods} - [51, 51, 51] 50)$ 
for P :: 'm prog
where
sees-methods-Object:
 $\llbracket \text{class } P \text{ Object} = \text{Some}(D, fs, ms); Mm = \text{map-option } (\lambda m. (m, \text{Object})) \circ \text{map-of } ms \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P \vdash \text{Object sees-methods } Mm$ 
| sees-methods-rec:
 $\llbracket \text{class } P \text{ C} = \text{Some}(D, fs, ms); C \neq \text{Object}; P \vdash D \text{ sees-methods } Mm;$ 
 $\quad Mm' = Mm ++ (\text{map-option } (\lambda m. (m, C)) \circ \text{map-of } ms) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm'$ 

lemma sees-methods-fun:
assumes P  $\vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm$ 
shows P  $\vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm' \implies Mm' = Mm$ 
using assms
proof(induction arbitrary: Mm')
  case sees-methods-Object thus ?case by(auto elim: Methods.cases)
next
  case (sees-methods-rec C D fs ms Dres Cres Cres')
  from <P  $\vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Cres'\rangle \langle C \neq \text{Object}\rangle \langle \text{class } P \text{ C} = \lfloor (D, fs, ms) \rfloor \rangle$ 
  obtain Dres' where Dmethods': P  $\vdash D \text{ sees-methods } Dres'$ 

```

and $Cres' : Cres' = Dres' ++ (\text{map-option } (\lambda m. (m, C)) \circ \text{map-of } ms)$
by cases auto
from sees-methods-rec.IH[$\text{OF } D\text{methods}'$] $\langle Cres = Dres ++ (\text{map-option } (\lambda m. (m, C)) \circ \text{map-of } ms) \rangle Cres'$
show ?case **by** simp
qed

lemma visible-methods-exist:
 $P \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm \implies Mm M = \text{Some}(m, D) \implies (\exists D' fs ms. \text{class } P D = \text{Some}(D', fs, ms) \wedge \text{map-of } ms M = \text{Some } m)$
by(induct rule:Methods.induct) auto

lemma sees-methods-decl-above:
assumes $P \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm$
shows $Mm M = \text{Some}(m, D) \implies P \vdash C \preceq^* D$
using assms
by induct(auto elim: converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp[where r = subcls1 P, OF subcls1I])

lemma sees-methods-idemp:
assumes $P \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm \text{ and } Mm M = \text{Some}(m, D)$
shows $\exists Mm'. (P \vdash D \text{ sees-methods } Mm') \wedge Mm' M = \text{Some}(m, D)$
using assms
by(induct arbitrary: m D)(fastforce dest: Methods.intros)+

lemma sees-methods-decl-mono:
assumes sub: $P \vdash C' \preceq^* C \text{ and } P \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm$
shows $\exists Mm' Mm_2. P \vdash C' \text{ sees-methods } Mm' \wedge Mm' = Mm ++ Mm_2 \wedge (\forall M m D. Mm_2 M = \text{Some}(m, D) \longrightarrow P \vdash D \preceq^* C)$
(is $\exists Mm' Mm_2. ?Q C' C Mm' Mm_2$)
using assms
proof (induction rule: converse-rtranclp-induct)
case base
hence ?Q C C Mm Map.empty **by** simp
thus $\exists Mm' Mm_2. ?Q C C Mm' Mm_2$ **by** blast
next
case (step $C'' C'$)
note sub1 = $\langle P \vdash C'' \prec^1 C' \rangle$ and sub = $\langle P \vdash C' \preceq^* C \rangle$
and Csees = $\langle P \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm \rangle$
from step.IH[$\text{OF } C\text{sees}$] **obtain** Mm' Mm2 where C'sees: $P \vdash C' \text{ sees-methods } Mm'$
and Mm': $Mm' = Mm ++ Mm_2$
and subC: $\forall M m D. Mm_2 M = \text{Some}(m, D) \longrightarrow P \vdash D \preceq^* C$ **by** blast
obtain fs ms where class: class P C'' = $\text{Some}(C', fs, ms)$ $C'' \neq \text{Object}$
using subcls1D[$\text{OF } sub1$] **by** blast
let ?Mm3 = map-option ($\lambda m. (m, C'')$) $\circ \text{map-of } ms$
have P $\vdash C'' \text{ sees-methods } (Mm ++ Mm_2) ++ ?Mm3$
using sees-methods-rec[$\text{OF } \text{class } C'\text{sees refl}$] Mm' **by** simp
hence ?Q C'' C ((Mm ++ Mm2) ++ ?Mm3) (Mm2 ++ ?Mm3)
using converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp[$\text{OF } sub1 sub$]
by simp (simp add:map-add-def subC split:option.split)
thus $\exists Mm' Mm_2. ?Q C'' C Mm' Mm_2$ **by** blast
qed

definition Method :: ' $m \text{ prog} \Rightarrow \text{cname} \Rightarrow \text{mname} \Rightarrow \text{ty list} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow 'm \text{ option} \Rightarrow \text{cname} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
(- $\vdash - \text{ sees } - \rightarrow - = - \text{ in } - [51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 50$)

where

$$\begin{aligned} P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D &\equiv \\ \exists Mm. P \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm \wedge Mm M &= \text{Some}((Ts, T, m), D) \end{aligned}$$

Output translation to replace *None* with its notation *Native* when used as method body in *Method*.

abbreviation (output)

$$\text{Method-native} :: 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow \text{cname} \Rightarrow \text{mname} \Rightarrow \text{ty list} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow \text{cname} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

$$(- \vdash - \text{ sees } - : - \rightarrow - = \text{Native} \text{ in } - [51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$$

$$\text{where Method-native } P \ C \ M \ Ts \ T \ D \equiv \text{Method } P \ C \ M \ Ts \ T \ \text{Native } D$$

definition has-method :: '*m* prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow bool (- \vdash - has - [51,0,51] 50)

where

$$P \vdash C \text{ has } M \equiv \exists Ts \ T \ m \ D. P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D$$

lemma has-methodI:

$$P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D \implies P \vdash C \text{ has } M$$

by (*unfold has-method-def*) *blast*

lemma sees-method-fun:

$$\llbracket P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : TS \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D; P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : TS' \rightarrow T' = m' \text{ in } D' \rrbracket$$

$$\implies TS' = TS \wedge T' = T \wedge m' = m \wedge D' = D$$

lemma sees-method-decl-above:

$$P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D \implies P \vdash C \preceq^* D$$

lemma visible-method-exists:

$$P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D \implies$$

$$\exists D' fs ms. \text{ class } P \ D = \text{Some}(D', fs, ms) \wedge \text{map-of } ms \ M = \text{Some}(Ts, T, m)$$

lemma sees-method-idemp:

$$P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D \implies P \vdash D \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D$$

lemma sees-method-decl-mono:

$$\llbracket P \vdash C' \preceq^* C; P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D;$$

$$P \vdash C' \text{ sees } M : Ts' \rightarrow T' = m' \text{ in } D' \rrbracket \implies P \vdash D' \preceq^* D$$

apply(frule sees-method-decl-above)

apply(unfold Method-def)

apply clar simp

apply(drule (1) sees-methods-decl-mono)

apply clar simp

apply(drule (1) sees-methods-fun)

apply clar simp

apply(blast intro:rtranclp-trans)

done

lemma sees-method-is-class:

$$P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D \implies \text{is-class } P \ C$$

by (*auto simp add: is-class-def Method-def elim: Methods.cases*)

3.3.4 Field lookup

inductive Fields :: '*m* prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow ((*vname* \times cname) \times (ty \times fmod)) list \Rightarrow bool
(- \vdash - has'-fields - [51,51,51] 50)

```

for  $P :: 'm \text{ prog}$ 
where
  has-fields-rec:
     $\llbracket \text{class } P \ C = \text{Some}(D, fs, ms); C \neq \text{Object}; P \vdash D \ \text{has-fields } FDTs; \\ FDTs' = \text{map } (\lambda(F, Tm). ((F, C), Tm)) \ fs @ FDTs \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies P \vdash C \ \text{has-fields } FDTs'$ 

  | has-fields-Object:
     $\llbracket \text{class } P \ \text{Object} = \text{Some}(D, fs, ms); FDTs = \text{map } (\lambda(F, T). ((F, \text{Object}), T)) \ fs \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies P \vdash \text{Object has-fields } FDTs$ 

lemma has-fields-fun:
  assumes  $P \vdash C \ \text{has-fields } FDTs$  and  $P \vdash C \ \text{has-fields } FDTs'$ 
  shows  $FDTs' = FDTs$ 
  using assms
  proof(induction arbitrary:  $FDTs'$ )
    case has-fields-Object thus ?case by(auto elim: Fields.cases)
  next
    case (has-fields-rec  $C \ D \ fs \ ms \ Dres \ Cres \ Cres'$ )
      from  $\langle P \vdash C \ \text{has-fields } Cres' \rangle \ \langle C \neq \text{Object} \rangle \ \langle \text{class } P \ C = \text{Some}(D, fs, ms) \rangle$ 
      obtain  $Dres'$  where DFields':  $P \vdash D \ \text{has-fields } Dres'$ 
        and  $Cres': Cres' = \text{map } (\lambda(F, Tm). ((F, C), Tm)) \ fs @ Dres'$ 
        by cases auto
      from has-fields-rec.IH[OF DFields']  $\langle Cres = \text{map } (\lambda(F, Tm). ((F, C), Tm)) \ fs @ Dres \rangle \ Cres'$ 
      show ?case by simp
  qed

lemma all-fields-in-has-fields:
  assumes  $P \vdash C \ \text{has-fields } FDTs$ 
  and  $P \vdash C \preceq^* D$   $\text{class } P \ D = \text{Some}(D', fs, ms)$   $(F, Tm) \in \text{set } fs$ 
  shows  $((F, D), Tm) \in \text{set } FDTs$ 
  using assms
  by induct (auto 4 3 elim: converse-rtranclpE dest: subcls1D)

lemma has-fields-decl-above:
  assumes  $P \vdash C \ \text{has-fields } FDTs$   $((F, D), Tm) \in \text{set } FDTs$ 
  shows  $P \vdash C \preceq^* D$ 
  using assms
  by induct (auto intro: converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp subcls1I)

lemma subcls-notin-has-fields:
  assumes  $P \vdash C \ \text{has-fields } FDTs$   $((F, D), Tm) \in \text{set } FDTs$ 
  shows  $\neg (\text{subcls1 } P)^{++} \ D \ C$ 
  using assms apply(induct)
  prefer 2 apply(fastforce dest: tranclpD)
  apply clarsimp
  apply(erule disjE)
  apply(clarsimp simp add:image-def)
  apply(drule tranclpD)
  apply clarify
  apply(frule subcls1D)
  apply(fastforce dest:tranclpD all-fields-in-has-fields)
  apply(blast dest:subcls1I tranclp.trancl-into-trancl)
  done

```

```

lemma has-fields-mono-lem:
  assumes  $P \vdash D \preceq^* C$   $P \vdash C$  has-fields FDTs
  shows  $\exists pre. P \vdash D$  has-fields pre@FDTs  $\wedge$  dom(map-of pre)  $\cap$  dom(map-of FDTs) = {}
  using assms
  apply(induct rule:converse-rtranclp-induct)
  apply(rule-tac x = [] in exI)
  apply simp
  apply clar simp
  apply(rename-tac D' D pre)
  apply(subgoal-tac (subcls1 P) ^++ D' C)
  prefer 2 apply(erule (1) rtranclp-into-tranclp2)
  apply(drule subcls1D)
  apply clar simp
  apply(rename-tac fs ms)
  apply(drule (2) has-fields-rec)
  apply(rule refl)
  apply(rule-tac x = map ( $\lambda(F, Tm). ((F, D'), Tm)$ ) fs @ pre in exI)
  apply simp
  apply(simp add:Int-Un-distrib2)
  apply(rule equals0I)
  apply(auto dest: subcls-notin-has-fields simp:dom-map-of-conv-image-fst image-def)
  done

lemma has-fields-is-class:
   $P \vdash C$  has-fields FDTs  $\implies$  is-class P C
  by (auto simp add: is-class-def elim: Fields.cases)

lemma Object-has-fields-Object:
  assumes  $P \vdash \text{Object}$  has-fields FDTs
  shows snd `fst` set FDTs  $\subseteq \{\text{Object}\}$ 
  using assms by cases auto

definition
  has-field :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  vname  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  fmod  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (-  $\vdash$  - has :- '-' in - [51,51,51,51,51,51] 50)
  where
     $P \vdash C$  has  $F:T$  (fm) in  $D$   $\equiv$ 
     $\exists$  FDTs.  $P \vdash C$  has-fields FDTs  $\wedge$  map-of FDTs (F,D) = Some (T, fm)

lemma has-field-mono:
   $\llbracket P \vdash C$  has  $F:T$  (fm) in  $D; P \vdash C' \preceq^* C \rrbracket \implies P \vdash C'$  has  $F:T$  (fm) in  $D$ 
  by(fastforce simp:has-field-def map-add-def dest: has-fields-mono-lem)

lemma has-field-is-class:
   $P \vdash C$  has  $M:T$  (fm) in  $D \implies$  is-class P C
  by (auto simp add: is-class-def has-field-def elim: Fields.cases)

lemma has-field-decl-above:
   $P \vdash C$  has  $F:T$  (fm) in  $D \implies P \vdash C \preceq^* D$ 
  unfolding has-field-def
  by(auto dest: map-of-SomeD has-fields-decl-above)

lemma has-field-fun:

```

$\llbracket P \vdash C \text{ has } F:T (\text{fm}) \text{ in } D; P \vdash C \text{ has } F:T' (\text{fm}') \text{ in } D \rrbracket \implies T' = T \wedge \text{fm} = \text{fm}'$
by(*auto simp:has-field-def dest:has-fields-fun*)

definition

sees-field :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow *cname* \Rightarrow *vname* \Rightarrow *ty* \Rightarrow *fmod* \Rightarrow *cname* \Rightarrow *bool*
 $(\cdot \vdash \cdot \text{ sees } \cdot : \cdot \text{ in } \cdot) \in \cdot$ [51, 51, 51, 51, 51, 51] 50)

where

$P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T (\text{fm}) \text{ in } D \equiv$
 $\exists FDTs. P \vdash C \text{ has-fields } FDTs \wedge$
 $\text{map-of } (\text{map } (\lambda((F,D), Tm). (F, (D, Tm))) FDTs) F = \text{Some}(D, T, \text{fm})$

lemma *map-of-remap-SomeD*:

$\text{map-of } (\text{map } (\lambda((k,k'),x). (k, (k',x))) t) k = \text{Some } (k', x) \implies \text{map-of } t (k, k') = \text{Some } x$
by (*induct t*) (*auto simp:fun-upd-apply split: if-split-asm*)

lemma *has-visible-field*:

$P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T (\text{fm}) \text{ in } D \implies P \vdash C \text{ has } F:T (\text{fm}) \text{ in } D$
by(*auto simp add:has-field-def sees-field-def map-of-remap-SomeD*)

lemma *sees-field-fun*:

$\llbracket P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T (\text{fm}) \text{ in } D; P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T' (\text{fm}') \text{ in } D \rrbracket \implies T' = T \wedge D' = D \wedge \text{fm} = \text{fm}'$
by(*fastforce simp:sees-field-def dest:has-fields-fun*)

lemma *sees-field-decl-above*:

$P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T (\text{fm}) \text{ in } D \implies P \vdash C \preceq^* D$
by(*clarify simp add: sees-field-def*)
 $(blast \text{ intro: } \text{has-fields-decl-above map-of-SomeD map-of-remap-SomeD})$

lemma *sees-field-idemp*:

assumes $P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T (\text{fm}) \text{ in } D$
shows $P \vdash D \text{ sees } F:T (\text{fm}) \text{ in } D$

proof –

from assms obtain *FDTs where has*: $P \vdash C \text{ has-fields } FDTs$
and $F: \text{map-of } (\text{map } (\lambda((F, D), Tm). (F, (D, Tm))) FDTs) F = \lfloor (D, T, \text{fm}) \rfloor$
unfolding *sees-field-def* **by** *blast*

thus ?*thesis*

proof *induct*

case *has-fields-rec* **thus** ?*case unfolding sees-field-def*
by(*auto*)(*fastforce dest: map-of-SomeD intro!: exI intro: Fields.has-fields-rec*)

next

case *has-fields-Object* **thus** ?*case unfolding sees-field-def*
by(*fastforce dest: map-of-SomeD intro: Fields.has-fields-Object intro!: exI*)

qed

qed

3.3.5 Functional lookup

definition *method* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow *cname* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow *cname* \times *ty list* \times *ty* \times '*m option*
where *method* $P \ C \ M \equiv \text{THE } (D, Ts, T, m)$. $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M:Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D$

definition *field* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow *cname* \Rightarrow *vname* \Rightarrow *cname* \times *ty* \times *fmod*
where *field* $P \ C \ F \equiv \text{THE } (D, T, \text{fm})$. $P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T (\text{fm}) \text{ in } D$

```

definition fields :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  ((vname  $\times$  cname)  $\times$  (ty  $\times$  fmod)) list
where fields P C  $\equiv$  THE FDTs. P  $\vdash$  C has-fields FDTs

lemma [simp]: P  $\vdash$  C has-fields FDTs  $\Longrightarrow$  fields P C = FDTs
lemma field-def2 [simp]: P  $\vdash$  C sees F:T (fm) in D  $\Longrightarrow$  field P C F = (D, T, fm)
lemma method-def2 [simp]: P  $\vdash$  C sees M: Ts  $\rightarrow$  T = m in D  $\Longrightarrow$  method P C M = (D, Ts, T, m)
lemma has-fields-b-fields:
  P  $\vdash$  C has-fields FDTs  $\Longrightarrow$  fields P C = FDTs
unfolding fields-def
by (blast intro: the-equality has-fields-fun)

lemma has-field-map-of-fields [simp]:
  P  $\vdash$  C has F:T (fm) in D  $\Longrightarrow$  map-of (fields P C) (F, D) = [(T, fm)]
by(auto simp add: has-field-def)

```

3.3.6 Code generation

New introduction rules for subcls1

```

code-pred
— Disallow mode i-o-o to force code-pred in subsequent predicates not to use this inefficient mode
(modes: i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  bool, i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
subcls1
.

Introduce proper constant subcls' for subcls and generate executable equation for subcls'
definition subcls' where subcls' = subcls

code-pred
(modes: i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  bool, i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
[inductify]
subcls'
.

lemma subcls-conv-subcls' [code-unfold]:
  (subcls1 P)  $\hat{\wedge}**$  = subcls' P
by(simp add: subcls'-def)

```

Change rule $?P \vdash ?A[] \leq Class\ Object$ such that predicate compiler tests on class *Object* first. Otherwise widen-i-o-i never terminates.

```

lemma widen-array-object-code:
  C = Object  $\Longrightarrow$  P  $\vdash$  Array A  $\leq$  Class C
by(auto intro: widen.intros)

lemmas [code-pred-intro] =
  widen-refl widen-subcls widen-null widen-null-array widen-array-object-code widen-array-array
code-pred
(modes: i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
widen
by(erule widen.cases) auto

```

Readjust the code equations for widen such that widen-i-i-i is guaranteed to contain () at most once (even in the code representation!). This is important for the scheduler and the small-step semantics because of the weaker code equations for *the*.

A similar problem cannot hit the subclass relation because, for acyclic subclass hierarchies, the paths in the hierarchy are unique and cycle-free.

definition widen-*i*-*i*-*i*' **where** widen-*i*-*i*-*i*' = widen-*i*-*i*-*i*

declare widen.equation [code del]

lemmas widen-*i*-*i*-*i*'-equation [code] = widen.equation[folded widen-*i*-*i*-*i*'-def]

lemma widen-*i*-*i*-*i*-code [code]:

widen-*i*-*i*-*i* *P T T'* = (if *P* \vdash *T* \leq *T'* then Predicate.single () else bot)

by(auto intro!: pred-eqI intro: widen-*i*-*i*-*i*I elim: widen-*i*-*i*-*i*E)

code-pred

(modes: *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow bool, *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow bool)

Methods

.

code-pred

(modes: *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow bool, *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow bool)

[inductify]

Method

.

code-pred

(modes: *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow bool)

[inductify]

has-method

.

declare fun-upd-def [code-pred-inline]

code-pred

(modes: *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow bool)

Fields

.

code-pred

(modes: *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow bool)

[inductify, skip-proof]

has-field

.

code-pred

(modes: *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow bool, *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *o* \Rightarrow *i* \Rightarrow bool)

[inductify, skip-proof]

sees-field

.

lemma eval-Method-*i*-*i*-*i*-*o*-*o*-*o*-*o*-conv:

Predicate.eval (Method-*i*-*i*-*i*-*o*-*o*-*o*-*o* *P C M*) = ($\lambda(Ts, T, m, D). P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D$)

by(auto intro: Method-*i*-*i*-*i*-*o*-*o*-*o*-*o*I elim: Method-*i*-*i*-*i*-*o*-*o*-*o*-*o*E intro!: ext)

lemma method-code [code]:

method *P C M* =

```

Predicate.the (Predicate.bind (Method-i-i-i-o-o-o-o P C M) (λ(Ts, T, m, D). Predicate.single (D, Ts, T, m)))
apply (rule sym, rule the-eqI)
apply (simp add: method-def eval-Method-i-i-i-o-o-o-o-conv)
apply (rule arg-cong [where f=The])
apply (auto simp add: Sup-fun-def Sup-bool-def fun-eq-iff)
done

lemma eval-sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-o-conv:
  Predicate.eval (sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-o P C F) = (λ(T, fm, D). P ⊢ C sees F:T (fm) in D)
by(auto intro!: ext intro: sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-oI elim: sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-oE)

lemma eval-sees-field-i-i-i-o-i-conv:
  Predicate.eval (sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-i P C F D) = (λ(T, fm). P ⊢ C sees F:T (fm) in D)
by(auto intro!: ext intro: sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-iI elim: sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-iE)

lemma field-code [code]:
  field P C F = Predicate.the (Predicate.bind (sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-o P C F) (λ(T, fm, D). Predicate.single (D, T, fm)))
apply (rule sym, rule the-eqI)
apply (simp add: field-def eval-sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-o-conv)
apply (rule arg-cong [where f=The])
apply (auto simp add: Sup-fun-def Sup-bool-def fun-eq-iff)
done

lemma eval-Fields-conv:
  Predicate.eval (Fields-i-i-o P C) = (λFDTs. P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs)
by(auto intro: Fields-i-i-oI elim: Fields-i-i-oE intro!: ext)

lemma fields-code [code]:
  fields P C = Predicate.the (Fields-i-i-o P C)
by(simp add: fields-def Predicate.the-def eval-Fields-conv)

code-identifier
code-module TypeRel →
  (SML) TypeRel and (Haskell) TypeRel and (OCaml) TypeRel
| code-module Decl →
  (SML) TypeRel and (Haskell) TypeRel and (OCaml) TypeRel

end

```

3.4 Jinja Values

```

theory Value
imports
  TypeRel
  HOL-Library.Word
begin

no-notation floor ([_])

type-synonym word32 = 32 word

```

```

datatype 'addr val
= Unit      — dummy result value of void expressions
| Null      — null reference
| Bool bool — Boolean value
| Intg word32 — integer value
| Addr 'addr — addresses of objects, arrays and threads in the heap

primrec default-val :: ty  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val — default value for all types
where
  default-val Void = Unit
| default-val Boolean = Bool False
| default-val Integer = Intg 0
| default-val NT = Null
| default-val (Class C) = Null
| default-val (Array A) = Null

lemma default-val-not-Addr: default-val T  $\neq$  Addr a
by(cases T)(simp-all)

lemma Addr-not-default-val: Addr a  $\neq$  default-val T
by(cases T)(simp-all)

primrec the-Intg :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  word32
where
  the-Intg (Intg i) = i

primrec the-Addr :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
where
  the-Addr (Addr a) = a

fun is-Addr :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  is-Addr (Addr a) = True
| is-Addr - = False

lemma is-AddrE [elim!]:
   $\llbracket \text{is-Addr } v; \bigwedge a. v = \text{Addr } a \implies \text{thesis} \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
by(cases v, auto)

fun is-Intg :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  is-Intg (Intg i) = True
| is-Intg - = False

lemma is-IntgE [elim!]:
   $\llbracket \text{is-Intg } v; \bigwedge i. v = \text{Intg } i \implies \text{thesis} \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
by(cases v, auto)

fun is-Bool :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  is-Bool (Bool b) = True
| is-Bool - = False

lemma is-BoolE [elim!]:

```

```

 $\llbracket \text{is-Bool } v; \bigwedge a. v = \text{Bool } a \implies \text{thesis} \rrbracket \implies \text{thesis}$ 
by (cases v, auto)

definition is-Ref :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where is-Ref v  $\equiv$  v = Null  $\vee$  is-Addr v

lemma is-Ref-def2:
  is-Ref v  $=$  (v = Null  $\vee$  ( $\exists$  a. v = Addr a))
  by (cases v) (auto simp add: is-Ref-def)

lemma [iff]: is-Ref Null by (simp add: is-Ref-def2)

definition undefined-value :: 'addr val where undefined-value = Unit

lemma undefined-value-not-Addr:
  undefined-value  $\neq$  Addr a  $\wedge$  Addr a  $\neq$  undefined-value
  by (simp-all add: undefined-value-def)

class addr =
  fixes hash-addr :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int
  and monitor-fun-to-list :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
  assumes set (monitor-fun-to-list f) = Collect (( $\lambda$ ) (fun-dom f))

locale addr-base =
  fixes addr2thread-id :: 'addr  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr

end

```

3.5 Exceptions

```

theory Exceptions
imports
  Value
begin

definition NullPointer :: cname
where [code-unfold]: NullPointer = STR "java/lang/NullPointerException"

definition ClassCast :: cname
where [code-unfold]: ClassCast = STR "java/lang/ClassCastException"

definition OutOfMemory :: cname
where [code-unfold]: OutOfMemory = STR "java/lang/OutOfMemoryError"

definition ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException :: cname
where [code-unfold]: ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException = STR "java/lang/ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException"

definition ArrayStore :: cname
where [code-unfold]: ArrayStore = STR "java/lang/ArrayStoreException"

definition NegativeArraySize :: cname
where [code-unfold]: NegativeArraySize = STR "java/lang/NegativeArraySizeException"

```

```

definition ArithmeticException :: cname
where [code-unfold]: ArithmeticException = STR "java/lang/ArithmeticException"

definition IllegalMonitorState :: cname
where [code-unfold]: IllegalMonitorState = STR "java/lang/IllegalMonitorStateException"

definition IllegalThreadState :: cname
where [code-unfold]: IllegalThreadState = STR "java/lang/IllegalThreadStateException"

definition InterruptedException :: cname
where [code-unfold]: InterruptedException = STR "java/lang/InterruptedException"

definition sys-xcpts-list :: cname list
where
  sys-xcpts-list =
    [NullPointer, ClassCast, OutOfMemory, ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException, ArrayStore, NegativeArraySize,
     ArithmeticException,
     IllegalMonitorState, IllegalThreadState, InterruptedException]

definition sys-xcpts :: cname set
where [code-unfold]: sys-xcpts = set sys-xcpts-list

definition wf-syscls :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where wf-syscls P  $\equiv$  ( $\forall C \in \{Object, Throwable, Thread\}$ . is-class P C)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall C \in sys-xcpts$ . P  $\vdash$  C  $\leq^*$  Throwable)

```

3.5.1 System exceptions

```

lemma [simp]:
  NullPointer  $\in$  sys-xcpts  $\wedge$ 
  OutOfMemory  $\in$  sys-xcpts  $\wedge$ 
  ClassCast  $\in$  sys-xcpts  $\wedge$ 
  ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException  $\in$  sys-xcpts  $\wedge$ 
  ArrayStore  $\in$  sys-xcpts  $\wedge$ 
  NegativeArraySize  $\in$  sys-xcpts  $\wedge$ 
  IllegalMonitorState  $\in$  sys-xcpts  $\wedge$ 
  IllegalThreadState  $\in$  sys-xcpts  $\wedge$ 
  InterruptedException  $\in$  sys-xcpts  $\wedge$ 
  ArithmeticException  $\in$  sys-xcpts
by(simp add: sys-xcpts-def sys-xcpts-list-def)

```

```

lemma sys-xcpts-cases [consumes 1, cases set]:
   $\llbracket C \in sys-xcpts; P \text{ NullPointer}; P \text{ OutOfMemory}; P \text{ ClassCast};$ 
   $P \text{ ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}; P \text{ ArrayStore}; P \text{ NegativeArraySize};$ 
   $P \text{ ArithmeticException};$ 
   $P \text{ IllegalMonitorState}; P \text{ IllegalThreadState}; P \text{ InterruptedException} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P C$ 
by (auto simp add: sys-xcpts-def sys-xcpts-list-def)

```

```

lemma OutOfMemory-not-Object[simp]: OutOfMemory  $\neq$  Object
by(simp add: OutOfMemory-def Object-def)

```

```

lemma ClassCast-not-Object[simp]: ClassCast  $\neq$  Object

```

```

by(simp add: ClassCast-def Object-def)

lemma NullPointer-not-Object[simp]: NullPointer ≠ Object
by(simp add: NullPointer-def Object-def)

lemma ArrayIndexOutOfBounds-not-Object[simp]: ArrayIndexOutOfBounds ≠ Object
by(simp add: ArrayIndexOutOfBounds-def Object-def)

lemma ArrayStore-not-Object[simp]: ArrayStore ≠ Object
by(simp add: ArrayStore-def Object-def)

lemma NegativeArraySize-not-Object[simp]: NegativeArraySize ≠ Object
by(simp add: NegativeArraySize-def Object-def)

lemma ArithmeticException-not-Object[simp]: ArithmeticException ≠ Object
by(simp add: ArithmeticException-def Object-def)

lemma IllegalMonitorState-not-Object[simp]: IllegalMonitorState ≠ Object
by(simp add: IllegalMonitorState-def Object-def)

lemma IllegalThreadState-not-Object[simp]: IllegalThreadState ≠ Object
by(simp add: IllegalThreadState-def Object-def)

lemma InterruptedException-not-Object[simp]: InterruptedException ≠ Object
by(simp add: InterruptedException-def Object-def)

lemma sys-xcpt-neqs-aux:
  NullPointer ≠ ClassCast NullPointer ≠ OutOfMemory NullPointer ≠ ArrayIndexOutOfBounds
  NullPointer ≠ ArrayStore NullPointer ≠ NegativeArraySize NullPointer ≠ IllegalMonitorState
  NullPointer ≠ IllegalThreadState NullPointer ≠ InterruptedException NullPointer ≠ ArithmeticException
  ClassCast ≠ OutOfMemory ClassCast ≠ ArrayIndexOutOfBounds
  ClassCast ≠ ArrayStore ClassCast ≠ NegativeArraySize ClassCast ≠ IllegalMonitorState
  ClassCast ≠ IllegalThreadState ClassCast ≠ InterruptedException ClassCast ≠ ArithmeticException
  OutOfMemory ≠ ArrayIndexOutOfBounds
  OutOfMemory ≠ ArrayStore OutOfMemory ≠ NegativeArraySize OutOfMemory ≠ IllegalMonitorState
  OutOfMemory ≠ IllegalThreadState OutOfMemory ≠ InterruptedException
  OutOfMemory ≠ ArithmeticException
  ArrayIndexOutOfBounds ≠ ArrayStore ArrayIndexOutOfBounds ≠ NegativeArraySize ArrayIndexOutOfBounds ≠ IllegalMonitorState
  ArrayIndexOutOfBounds ≠ IllegalThreadState ArrayIndexOutOfBounds ≠ InterruptedException ArrayIndexOutOfBounds ≠ ArithmeticException
  ArrayStore ≠ NegativeArraySize ArrayStore ≠ IllegalMonitorState
  ArrayStore ≠ IllegalThreadState ArrayStore ≠ InterruptedException
  ArrayStore ≠ ArithmeticException
  NegativeArraySize ≠ IllegalMonitorState
  NegativeArraySize ≠ IllegalThreadState NegativeArraySize ≠ InterruptedException
  NegativeArraySize ≠ ArithmeticException
  IllegalMonitorState ≠ IllegalThreadState IllegalMonitorState ≠ InterruptedException
  IllegalMonitorState ≠ ArithmeticException
  IllegalThreadState ≠ InterruptedException
  IllegalThreadState ≠ ArithmeticException
  InterruptedException ≠ ArithmeticException

```

by(simp-all add: NullPointer-def ClassCast-def OutOfMemory-def ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException-def ArrayStore-def NegativeArraySize-def IllegalMonitorState-def IllegalThreadState-def InterruptedException-def ArithmeticException-def)

lemmas sys-xcpt-neqs = sys-xcpt-neqs-aux sys-xcpt-neqs-aux[symmetric]

lemma Thread-neq-sys-xcpt-aux:

Thread ≠ NullPointer
 Thread ≠ ClassCast
 Thread ≠ OutOfMemory
 Thread ≠ ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException
 Thread ≠ ArrayStore
 Thread ≠ NegativeArraySize
 Thread ≠ ArithmeticException
 Thread ≠ IllegalMonitorState
 Thread ≠ IllegalThreadState
 Thread ≠ InterruptedException

by(simp-all add: Thread-def NullPointer-def ClassCast-def OutOfMemory-def ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException-def ArrayStore-def NegativeArraySize-def IllegalMonitorState-def IllegalThreadState-def InterruptedException-def ArithmeticException-def)

lemmas Thread-neq-sys-xcpt = Thread-neq-sys-xcpt-aux Thread-neq-sys-xcpt-aux[symmetric]

3.5.2 Well-formedness for system classes and exceptions

lemma

assumes wf-syscls P
 shows wf-syscls-class-Object: $\exists C fs ms. \text{class } P \text{ Object} = \text{Some } (C, fs, ms)$
 and wf-syscls-class-Thread: $\exists C fs ms. \text{class } P \text{ Thread} = \text{Some } (C, fs, ms)$

using assms

by(auto simp: map-of-SomeI wf-syscls-def is-class-def)

lemma [simp]:

assumes wf-syscls P
 shows wf-syscls-is-class-Object: is-class P Object
 and wf-syscls-is-class-Thread: is-class P Thread
 using assms **by**(simp-all add: is-class-def wf-syscls-class-Object wf-syscls-class-Thread)

lemma wf-syscls-xcpt-subcls-Throwable:

$\llbracket C \in sys\text{-}xcpts; wf\text{-}syscls P \rrbracket \implies P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable}$
by(simp add: wf-syscls-def is-class-def class-def)

lemma wf-syscls-is-class-Throwable:

$wf\text{-}syscls P \implies \text{is-class } P \text{ Throwable}$
by(auto simp add: wf-syscls-def is-class-def class-def map-of-SomeI)

lemma wf-syscls-is-class-sub-Throwable:

$\llbracket wf\text{-}syscls P; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable} \rrbracket \implies \text{is-class } P C$
by(erule subcls-is-class1)(erule wf-syscls-is-class-Throwable)

lemma wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt:

$\llbracket C \in sys\text{-}xcpts; wf\text{-}syscls P \rrbracket \implies \text{is-class } P C$
by(blast intro: wf-syscls-is-class-sub-Throwable wf-syscls-xcpt-subcls-Throwable)

```

lemma wf-syscls-code [code]:
  wf-syscls P  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall C \in \text{set } [\text{Object}, \text{Throwable}, \text{Thread}]. \text{is-class } P C \wedge (\forall C \in \text{sys-xcpts}. P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable})$ )
  by(simp only: wf-syscls-def) simp

end

```

3.6 System Classes

theory SystemClasses

imports

Exceptions

begin

This theory provides definitions for the *Object* class, and the system exceptions.

Inline SystemClasses definition because they are polymorphic values that violate ML's value restriction.

Object has actually superclass, but we set it to the empty string for code generation. Any other class name (like *undefined*) would do as well except for code generation.

definition ObjectC :: 'm cdecl

where [code-unfold]:

```

ObjectC =
( Object, (STR "",[], [
  (wait,[],Void,Native),
  (notify,[],Void,Native),
  (notifyAll,[],Void,Native),
  (hashcode,[],Integer,Native),
  (clone,[],Class Object,Native),
  (print,[Integer],Void,Native),
  (currentThread,[],Class Thread,Native),
  (interrupted,[],Boolean,Native),
  (yield,[],Void,Native)
]))
```

definition ThrowableC :: 'm cdecl

where [code-unfold]: ThrowableC \equiv (Throwable, (Object, [], []))

definition NullPointerC :: 'm cdecl

where [code-unfold]: NullPointerC \equiv (NullPointer, (Throwable,[],[]))

definition ClassCastC :: 'm cdecl

where [code-unfold]: ClassCastC \equiv (ClassCast, (Throwable,[],[]))

definition OutOfMemoryC :: 'm cdecl

where [code-unfold]: OutOfMemoryC \equiv (OutOfMemory, (Throwable,[],[]))

definition ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsExceptionC :: 'm cdecl

where [code-unfold]: ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsExceptionC \equiv (ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException, (Throwable,[],[]))

definition ArrayStoreC :: 'm cdecl

where [code-unfold]: ArrayStoreC \equiv (ArrayStore, (Throwable, [], []))

definition NegativeArraySizeC :: 'm cdecl

```

where [code-unfold]: NegativeArraySizeC ≡ (NegativeArraySize, (Throwable,[],[]))

definition ArithmetExceptionC :: 'm cdecl
where [code-unfold]: ArithmetExceptionC ≡ (ArithmetException, (Throwable,[],[]))

definition IllegalMonitorStateC :: 'm cdecl
where [code-unfold]: IllegalMonitorStateC ≡ (IllegalMonitorState, (Throwable,[],[]))

definition IllegalThreadStateC :: 'm cdecl
where [code-unfold]: IllegalThreadStateC ≡ (IllegalThreadState, (Throwable,[],[]))

definition InterruptedExceptionC :: 'm cdecl
where [code-unfold]: InterruptedExceptionC ≡ (InterruptedException, (Throwable,[],[]))

definition SystemClasses :: 'm cdecl list
where [code-unfold]:
  SystemClasses ≡
  [ObjectC, ThrowableC, NullPointerC, ClassCastC, OutOfMemoryC,
   ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsExceptionC, ArrayStoreC, NegativeArraySizeC,
   ArithmetExceptionC,
   IllegalMonitorStateC, IllegalThreadStateC, InterruptedExceptionC]

end

```

3.7 An abstract heap model

```

theory Heap
imports
  Value
begin

primrec typeof :: 'addr val → ty
where
  typeof Unit = Some Void
  | typeof Null = Some NT
  | typeof (Bool b) = Some Boolean
  | typeof (Intg i) = Some Integer
  | typeof (Addr a) = None

datatype addr-loc =
  CField cname vname
  | ACell nat

lemma rec-addr-loc [simp]: rec-addr-loc = case-addr-loc
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff split: addr-loc.splits)

primrec is-volatile :: 'm prog ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ bool
where
  is-volatile P (ACell n) = False
  | is-volatile P (CField D F) = volatile (snd (snd (field P D F)))

locale heap-base =
  addr-base addr2thread-id thread-id2addr

```

```

for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
+
fixes spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

fun typeof-h :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  ty option (typeof-)
where
  typeof-h (Addr a) = map-option ty-of-htype (typeof-addr h a)
  | typeof-h v = typeof v

definition cname-of :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  cname
where cname-of h a = the-Class (ty-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h a)))

definition hext :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\trianglelefteq$  - [51,51] 50)
where
  h  $\trianglelefteq$  h'  $\equiv$  typeof-addr h  $\subseteq_m$  typeof-addr h'

context
  notes [[inductive-internals]]
begin

inductive addr-loc-type :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (-,-  $\vdash$  -@- : - [50, 50, 50, 50, 50] 51)
for P :: 'm prog and h :: 'heap and a :: 'addr
where
  addr-loc-type-field:
   $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \text{ } a = \lfloor U \rfloor; P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies P,h \vdash a@\text{CField } D \text{ } F : T$ 

  | addr-loc-type-cell:
   $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \text{ } a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T \text{ } n' \rfloor; n < n' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies P,h \vdash a@\text{ACell } n : T$ 

end

definition typeof-addr-loc :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  ty
where typeof-addr-loc P h a al = (THE T. P,h  $\vdash a@\text{al} : T)

definition deterministic-heap-ops :: bool
where
  deterministic-heap-ops  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(\forall h ad al v v'. \text{heap-read } h ad al v \longrightarrow \text{heap-read } h ad al v' \longrightarrow v = v') \wedge$ 
   $(\forall h ad al v h' h''. \text{heap-write } h ad al v h' \longrightarrow \text{heap-write } h ad al v h'' \longrightarrow h' = h'') \wedge$ 
   $(\forall h hT h' a h'' a'. (h', a) \in \text{allocate } h hT \longrightarrow (h'', a') \in \text{allocate } h hT \longrightarrow h' = h'' \wedge a = a') \wedge$ 
   $\neg \text{spurious-wakeups}$ 

end$ 
```

```

lemma typeof-lit-eq-Boolean [simp]: (typeof v = Some Boolean) = ( $\exists b. v = \text{Bool } b$ )
by(cases v)(auto)

lemma typeof-lit-eq-Integer [simp]: (typeof v = Some Integer) = ( $\exists i. v = \text{Intg } i$ )
by(cases v)(auto)

lemma typeof-lit-eq-NT [simp]: (typeof v = Some NT) = (v = Null)
by(cases v)(auto)

lemma typeof-lit-eq-Void [simp]: typeof v = Some Void  $\longleftrightarrow$  v = Unit
by(cases v)(auto)

lemma typeof-lit-neq-Class [simp]: typeof v  $\neq$  Some (Class C)
by(cases v) auto

lemma typeof-lit-neq-Array [simp]: typeof v  $\neq$  Some (Array T)
by(cases v) auto

lemma typeof-NoneD [simp,dest]:
  typeof v = Some x  $\implies$   $\neg$  is-Addr v
by (cases v) auto

lemma typeof-lit-is-type:
  typeof v = Some T  $\implies$  is-type P T
by(cases v) auto

context heap-base begin

lemma typeof-h-eq-Boolean [simp]: (typeofh v = Some Boolean) = ( $\exists b. v = \text{Bool } b$ )
by(cases v)(auto)

lemma typeof-h-eq-Integer [simp]: (typeofh v = Some Integer) = ( $\exists i. v = \text{Intg } i$ )
by(cases v)(auto)

lemma typeof-h-eq-NT [simp]: (typeofh v = Some NT) = (v = Null)
by(cases v)(auto)

lemma hextI:
   $\llbracket \bigwedge a. \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \implies \text{typeof-addr } h' \ a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor;$ 
   $\bigwedge a \ T \ n. \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T \ n \rfloor \implies \text{typeof-addr } h' \ a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T \ n \rfloor \ ]$ 
   $\implies h \trianglelefteq h'$ 
unfolding hext-def
by(rule map-leI)(case-tac v, simp-all)

lemma hext-objD:
assumes h  $\trianglelefteq$  h'
and typeof-addr h a =  $\lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor$ 
shows typeof-addr h' a =  $\lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor$ 
using assms unfolding hext-def by(auto dest: map-le-SomeD)

lemma hext-arrD:
assumes h  $\trianglelefteq$  h' typeof-addr h a =  $\lfloor \text{Array-type } T \ n \rfloor$ 
shows typeof-addr h' a =  $\lfloor \text{Array-type } T \ n \rfloor$ 

```

```

using assms unfolding hext-def by(blast dest: map-le-SomeD)

lemma hext-refl [iff]:  $h \leq h$ 
by (rule hextI) blast+

lemma hext-trans [trans]:  $\llbracket h \leq h'; h' \leq h'' \rrbracket \implies h \leq h''$ 
unfolding hext-def by(rule map-le-trans)

lemma typeof-lit-typeof:
   $\text{typeof } v = \lfloor T \rfloor \implies \text{typeof}_h v = \lfloor T \rfloor$ 
by(cases v)(simp-all)

lemma addr-loc-type-fun:
   $\llbracket P, h \vdash a@al : T; P, h \vdash a@al : T' \rrbracket \implies T = T'$ 
by(auto elim!: addr-loc-type.cases dest: has-field-fun)

lemma THE-addr-loc-type:
   $P, h \vdash a@al : T \implies (\text{THE } T. P, h \vdash a@al : T) = T$ 
by(rule the-equality)(auto dest: addr-loc-type-fun)

lemma typeof-addr-locI [simp]:
   $P, h \vdash a@al : T \implies \text{typeof-addr-loc } P h a al = T$ 
by(auto simp add: typeof-addr-loc-def dest: addr-loc-type-fun)

lemma deterministic-heap-opsI:
   $\llbracket \bigwedge h ad al v v'. \llbracket \text{heap-read } h ad al v; \text{heap-read } h ad al v' \rrbracket \implies v = v';$ 
   $\bigwedge h ad al v h' h''. \llbracket \text{heap-write } h ad al v h'; \text{heap-write } h ad al v h'' \rrbracket \implies h' = h'';$ 
   $\bigwedge h hT h' a h'' a'. \llbracket (h', a) \in \text{allocate } h hT; (h'', a') \in \text{allocate } h hT \rrbracket \implies h' = h'' \wedge a = a';$ 
   $\neg \text{spurious-wakeups} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{deterministic-heap-ops}$ 
unfolding deterministic-heap-ops-def by blast

lemma deterministic-heap-ops-readD:
   $\llbracket \text{deterministic-heap-ops}; \text{heap-read } h ad al v; \text{heap-read } h ad al v' \rrbracket \implies v = v'$ 
unfolding deterministic-heap-ops-def by blast

lemma deterministic-heap-ops-writeD:
   $\llbracket \text{deterministic-heap-ops}; \text{heap-write } h ad al v h'; \text{heap-write } h ad al v h'' \rrbracket \implies h' = h''$ 
unfolding deterministic-heap-ops-def by blast

lemma deterministic-heap-ops-allocatedD:
   $\llbracket \text{deterministic-heap-ops}; (h', a) \in \text{allocate } h hT; (h'', a') \in \text{allocate } h hT \rrbracket \implies h' = h'' \wedge a = a'$ 
unfolding deterministic-heap-ops-def by blast

lemma deterministic-heap-ops-no-spurious-wakeups:
   $\text{deterministic-heap-ops} \implies \neg \text{spurious-wakeups}$ 
unfolding deterministic-heap-ops-def by blast

end

locale addr-conv =
  heap-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups

```

```

empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
+
prog P
for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) => 'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id => 'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap => htype => ('heap × 'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap => 'addr → htype
and heap-read :: 'heap => 'addr => addr-loc => 'addr val => bool
and heap-write :: 'heap => 'addr => addr-loc => 'addr val => 'heap => bool
and P :: 'm prog
+
assumes addr2thread-id-inverse:
[typeof-addr h a = ⌊ Class-type C ⌋; P ⊢ C ⊑* Thread] ==> thread-id2addr (addr2thread-id a) = a
begin

lemma typeof-addr-thread-id2-addr-addr2thread-id [simp]:
[typeof-addr h a = ⌊ Class-type C ⌋; P ⊢ C ⊑* Thread] ==> typeof-addr h (thread-id2addr (addr2thread-id a)) = ⌊ Class-type C ⌋
by(simp add: addr2thread-id-inverse)

end

locale heap =
addr-conv
addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
P
for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) => 'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id => 'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap => htype => ('heap × 'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap => 'addr → htype
and heap-read :: 'heap => 'addr => addr-loc => 'addr val => bool
and heap-write :: 'heap => 'addr => addr-loc => 'addr val => 'heap => bool
and P :: 'm prog
+
assumes allocate-SomeD: [(h', a) ∈ allocate h hT; is-htype P hT] ==> typeof-addr h' a = Some hT

and hext-allocate: ⋀ a. (h', a) ∈ allocate h hT ==> h ⊑ h'

and hext-heap-write:
heap-write h a al v h' ==> h ⊑ h'

begin

lemmas hext-heap-ops = hext-allocate hext-heap-write

lemma typeof-addr-hext-mono:
[h ⊑ h'; typeof-addr h a = ⌊ hT ⌋] ==> typeof-addr h' a = ⌊ hT ⌋

```

```

unfolding hext-def by(rule map-le-SomeD)

lemma hext-typeof-mono:
   $\llbracket h \trianglelefteq h'; \text{typeof}_h v = \text{Some } T \rrbracket \implies \text{typeof}_{h'} v = \text{Some } T$ 
  by (cases v)(auto intro: typeof-addr-hext-mono)

lemma addr-loc-type-hext-mono:
   $\llbracket P, h \vdash a@\text{al} : T; h \trianglelefteq h' \rrbracket \implies P, h' \vdash a@\text{al} : T$ 
  by(force elim!: addr-loc-type.cases intro: addr-loc-type.intros elim: typeof-addr-hext-mono dest: hext-arrD)

lemma type-of-hext-type-of: — FIXME: What's this rule good for?
   $\llbracket \text{typeof}_h w = \lfloor T \rfloor; \text{hext } h \ h' \rrbracket \implies \text{typeof}_{h'} w = \lfloor T \rfloor$ 
  by(rule hext-typeof-mono)

lemma hext-None:  $\llbracket h \trianglelefteq h'; \text{typeof-addr } h' a = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies \text{typeof-addr } h a = \text{None}$ 
  by(rule ccontr)(auto dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono)

lemma map-typeof-hext-mono:
   $\llbracket \text{map } \text{typeof}_h vs = \text{map Some } Ts; h \trianglelefteq h' \rrbracket \implies \text{map } \text{typeof}_{h'} vs = \text{map Some } Ts$ 
  apply(induct vs arbitrary: Ts)
  apply(auto simp add: Cons-eq-map-conv intro: hext-typeof-mono)
  done

lemma hext-typeof-addr-map-le:
   $h \trianglelefteq h' \implies \text{typeof-addr } h \subseteq_m \text{typeof-addr } h'$ 
  by(auto simp add: map-le-def dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono)

lemma hext-dom-typeof-addr-subset:
   $h \trianglelefteq h' \implies \text{dom } (\text{typeof-addr } h) \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{typeof-addr } h')$ 
  by (metis hext-typeof-addr-map-le map-le-implies-dom-le)

end

declare heap-base.typeof-h.simps [code]
declare heap-base.cname-of-def [code]

end

```

3.8 Observable events in NinjaThreads

```

theory Observable-Events
imports
  Heap
  ..../Framework/FWState
begin

datatype ('addr,'thread-id) obs-event =
  ExternalCall 'addr mname 'addr val list 'addr val
  | ReadMem 'addr addr-loc 'addr val
  | WriteMem 'addr addr-loc 'addr val
  | NewHeapElem 'addr htype
  | ThreadStart 'thread-id
  | ThreadJoin 'thread-id

```

```

| SyncLock 'addr
| SyncUnlock 'addr
| ObsInterrupt 'thread-id
| ObsInterrupted 'thread-id

instance obs-event :: (type, type) obs-action
proof qed

type-synonym
('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap) Ninja-thread-action =
('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event) thread-action

print-translation ‹
let
  fun tr'
    [ a1, t1, x, h, a2
    , Const (@{type-syntax obs-event}, _) $ a3 $ t2] =
      if a1 = a2 andalso a2 = a3 andalso t1 = t2 then Syntax.const @{type-syntax Ninja-thread-action}
    $ a1 $ t1 $ x $ h
      else raise Match;
    in [(@{type-syntax thread-action}, K tr')]
  end
›
typ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap) Ninja-thread-action

lemma range-ty-of-htype: range ty-of-htype ⊆ range Class ∪ range Array
apply(rule subsetI)
apply(erule rangeE)
apply(rename-tac ht)
apply(case-tac ht)
apply auto
done

lemma some-choice: (∃ a. ∀ b. P b (a b)) ↔ (∀ b. ∃ a. P b a)
by metis

definition convert-RA :: 'addr released-locks ⇒ ('addr :: addr, 'thread-id) obs-event list
where ⋀ ln. convert-RA ln = concat (map (λ ad. replicate (ln $ ad) (SyncLock ad)) (monitor-finfun-to-list ln))

lemma set-convert-RA-not-New [simp]:
  ⋀ ln. NewHeapElem a CTn ∉ set (convert-RA ln)
by(auto simp add: convert-RA-def)

lemma set-convert-RA-not-Read [simp]:
  ⋀ ln. ReadMem ad al v ∉ set (convert-RA ln)
by(auto simp add: convert-RA-def)

end

```

3.9 The initial configuration

```

theory StartConfig
imports
  Exceptions
  Observable-Events
begin

definition initialization-list :: cname list
where
  initialization-list = Thread # sys-xcpt-list

context heap-base begin

definition create-initial-object :: 'heap × 'addr list × bool ⇒ cname ⇒ 'heap × 'addr list × bool
where
  create-initial-object =
  (λ(h, ads, b) C.
    if b
    then let HA = allocate h (Class-type C)
    in if HA = {} then (h, ads, False)
    else let (h', a'') = SOME ha. ha ∈ HA in (h', ads @ [a''], True)
    else (h, ads, False))

definition start-heap-data :: 'heap × 'addr list × bool
where
  start-heap-data = foldl create-initial-object (empty-heap, [], True) initialization-list

definition start-heap :: 'heap
where start-heap = fst start-heap-data

definition start-heap-ok :: bool
where start-heap-ok = snd (snd (start-heap-data))

definition start-heap-obs :: ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event list
where
  start-heap-obs =
  map (λ(C, a). NewHeapElem a (Class-type C)) (zip initialization-list (fst (snd start-heap-data)))

definition start-addrs :: 'addr list
where start-addrs = fst (snd start-heap-data)

definition addr-of-sys-xcpt :: cname ⇒ 'addr
where addr-of-sys-xcpt C = the (map-of (zip initialization-list start-addrs) C)

definition start-tid :: 'thread-id
where start-tid = addr2thread-id (hd start-addrs)

definition start-state :: (cname ⇒ mname ⇒ ty list ⇒ ty ⇒ 'm ⇒ 'addr val list ⇒ 'x) ⇒ 'm prog
  ⇒ cname ⇒ mname ⇒ 'addr val list ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap, 'addr) state
where
  start-state f P C M vs ≡
  let (D, Ts, T, m) = method P C M
  in (K$ None, ([start-tid ↦ (f D M Ts T (the m) vs, no-wait-locks)], start-heap), Map.empty, {})
```

```

lemma create-initial-object-simps:
  create-initial-object (h, ads, b) C =
  (if b
    then let HA = allocate h (Class-type C)
        in if HA = {} then (h, ads, False)
           else let (h', a'') = SOME ha. ha ∈ HA in (h', ads @ [a''], True)
    else (h, ads, False))
unfolding create-initial-object-def by simp

lemma create-initial-object-False [simp]:
  create-initial-object (h, ads, False) C = (h, ads, False)
by(simp add: create-initial-object-simps)

lemma foldl-create-initial-object-False [simp]:
  foldl create-initial-object (h, ads, False) Cs = (h, ads, False)
by(induct Cs) simp-all

lemma NewHeapElem-start-heap-obs-start-addrsD:
  NewHeapElem a CTn ∈ set start-heap-obs  $\implies$  a ∈ set start-addrs
unfolding start-heap-obs-def start-addrs-def
by(auto dest: set-zip-rightD)

lemma shr-start-state: shr (start-state f P C M vs) = start-heap
by(simp add: start-state-def split-beta)

lemma start-heap-obs-not-Read:
  ReadMem ad al v  $\notin$  set start-heap-obs
unfolding start-heap-obs-def by auto

lemma length-initialization-list-le-length-start-addrs:
  length initialization-list  $\geq$  length start-addrs
proof –
  { fix h ads xs
    have length (fst (snd (foldl create-initial-object (h, ads, True) xs)))  $\leq$  length ads + length xs
    proof(induct xs arbitrary: h ads)
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons x xs)
        from this[of fst (SOME ha. ha ∈ allocate h (Class-type x)) ads @ [snd (SOME ha. ha ∈ allocate h (Class-type x))]]]
        show ?case by(clarsimp simp add: create-initial-object-simps split-beta)
    qed }
    from this[of empty-heap [] initialization-list]
    show ?thesis unfolding start-heap-def start-addrs-def start-heap-data-def by simp
  qed

lemma (in –) distinct-initialization-list:
  distinct initialization-list
by(simp add: initialization-list-def sys-xcpts-list-def sys-xcpts-neqs Thread-neq-sys-xcpts)

lemma (in –) wf-syscls-initialization-list-is-class:
  [] wf-syscls P; C ∈ set initialization-list ]  $\implies$  is-class P C
by(auto simp add: initialization-list-def sys-xcpts-list-def wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt)

```

```

lemma start-addrs-NewHeapElem-start-heap-obsD:
  a ∈ set start-addrs  $\implies \exists CTn. NewHeapElem a CTn \in set start-heap-obs$ 
using length-initialization-list-le-length-start-addrs
unfolding start-heap-obs-def start-addrs-def
by(force simp add: set-zip in-set-conv-nth intro: rev-image-eqI)

lemma in-set-start-addrs-conv-NewHeapElem:
  a ∈ set start-addrs  $\longleftrightarrow (\exists CTn. NewHeapElem a CTn \in set start-heap-obs)$ 
by(blast dest: start-addrs-NewHeapElem-start-heap-obsD intro: NewHeapElem-start-heap-obs-start-addrsD)

```

3.9.1 preallocated

```

definition preallocated :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where preallocated h  $\equiv \forall C \in sys-xcpts. typeof\text{-}addr h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt C) = \lfloor Class\text{-}type C \rfloor$ 

lemma typeof-addr-sys-xcp:
   $\llbracket \text{preallocated } h; C \in sys-xcpts \rrbracket \implies \text{typeof-addr } h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt C) = \lfloor Class\text{-}type C \rfloor$ 
by(simp add: preallocated-def)

lemma typeof-sys-xcp:
   $\llbracket \text{preallocated } h; C \in sys-xcpts \rrbracket \implies \text{typeof } h (\text{Addr} (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt C)) = \lfloor Class\text{-}type C \rfloor$ 
by(simp add: typeof-addr-sys-xcp)

lemma addr-of-sys-xcpt-start-addr:
   $\llbracket \text{start-heap-ok}; C \in sys-xcpts \rrbracket \implies \text{addr-of-sys-xcpt } C \in set start-addrs$ 
unfolding start-heap-ok-def start-heap-data-def initialization-list-def sys-xcpts-list-def
  preallocated-def start-heap-def start-addrs-def
apply(simp split: prod.split-asm if-split-asm add: create-initial-object-simps)
apply(erule sys-xcpts-cases)
apply(simp-all add: addr-of-sys-xcpt-def start-addrs-def start-heap-data-def initialization-list-def sys-xcpts-list-def
  create-initial-object-simps)
done

lemma [simp]:
  assumes preallocated h
  shows typeof-ClassCast:  $\text{typeof-addr } h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt ClassCast) = \text{Some}(Class\text{-}type ClassCast)$ 
  and typeof-OutOfMemory:  $\text{typeof-addr } h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt OutOfMemory) = \text{Some}(Class\text{-}type OutOfMemory)$ 
  and typeof-NullPointer:  $\text{typeof-addr } h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt NullPointer) = \text{Some}(Class\text{-}type NullPointer)$ 

  and typeof-ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException:
   $\text{typeof-addr } h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException) = \text{Some}(Class\text{-}type ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException)$ 

  and typeof-ArrayStore:  $\text{typeof-addr } h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt ArrayStore) = \text{Some}(Class\text{-}type ArrayStore)$ 
  and typeof-NegativeArraySize:  $\text{typeof-addr } h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt NegativeArraySize) = \text{Some}(Class\text{-}type NegativeArraySize)$ 
  and typeof-ArithemticException:  $\text{typeof-addr } h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt ArithemticException) = \text{Some}(Class\text{-}type ArithemticException)$ 
  and typeof-IllegalMonitorState:  $\text{typeof-addr } h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt IllegalMonitorState) = \text{Some}(Class\text{-}type IllegalMonitorState)$ 
  and typeof-IllegalThreadState:  $\text{typeof-addr } h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt IllegalThreadState) = \text{Some}(Class\text{-}type IllegalThreadState)$ 
  and typeof-InterruptedException:  $\text{typeof-addr } h (addr\text{-}of\text{-}sys\text{-}xcpt InterruptedException) = \text{Some}(Class\text{-}type InterruptedException)$ 

```

```

InterruptedException)
using assms
by(simp-all add: typeof-addr-sys-xcp)

lemma cname-of-xcp [simp]:
  ⟦ preallocated h; C ∈ sys-xcpts ⟧ ⟹ cname-of h (addr-of-sys-xcpt C) = C
by(drule (1) typeof-addr-sys-xcp)(simp add: cname-of-def)

lemma preallocated-hext:
  ⟦ preallocated h; h ⊑ h' ⟧ ⟹ preallocated h'
by(auto simp add: preallocated-def dest: hext-objD)

end

context heap begin

lemma preallocated-heap-ops:
  assumes preallocated h
  shows preallocated-allocate: ⋀ a. (h', a) ∈ allocate h hT ⟹ preallocated h'
  and preallocated-write-field: heap-write h a al v h' ⟹ preallocated h'
using preallocated-hext[OF assms, of h']
by(blast intro: hext-heap-ops)+

lemma not-empty-pairE: ⟦ A ≠ {}; ⋀ a b. (a, b) ∈ A ⟹ thesis ⟧ ⟹ thesis
by auto

lemma allocate-not-emptyI: (h', a) ∈ allocate h hT ⟹ allocate h hT ≠ {}
by auto

lemma allocate-Eps:
  ⟦ (h'', a'') ∈ allocate h hT; (SOME ha. ha ∈ allocate h hT) = (h', a') ⟧ ⟹ (h', a') ∈ allocate h hT
by(drule sym)(auto intro: someI)

lemma preallocated-start-heap:
  ⟦ start-heap-ok; wf-syscls P ⟧ ⟹ preallocated start-heap
unfolding start-heap-ok-def start-heap-data-def initialization-list-def sys-xcpts-list-def
  preallocated-def start-heap-def start-addrs-def
apply(clarsimp split: prod.split-asm if-split-asm simp add: create-initial-object-simps)
apply(erule not-empty-pairE)+
apply(drule (1) allocate-Eps)
apply(rotate-tac 13)
apply(frule allocate-SomeD, simp add: wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt, frule hext-allocate, rotate-tac 1)
apply(frule allocate-SomeD, simp add: wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt, frule hext-allocate, rotate-tac 1)
apply(frule allocate-SomeD, simp add: wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt, frule hext-allocate, rotate-tac 1)

```

```

apply(frule allocate-SomeD, simp add: wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt, frule hext-allocate, rotate-tac 1)
apply(frule allocate-SomeD, simp add: wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt, frule hext-allocate, rotate-tac 1)
apply(frule allocate-SomeD, simp add: wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt, frule hext-allocate, rotate-tac 1)
apply(frule allocate-SomeD, simp add: wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt, frule hext-allocate, rotate-tac 1)
apply(frule allocate-SomeD, simp add: wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt, frule hext-allocate, rotate-tac 1)
apply(frule allocate-SomeD, simp add: wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt, frule hext-allocate, rotate-tac 1)
apply(frule allocate-SomeD, simp add: wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt, frule hext-allocate, rotate-tac 1)
apply(frule allocate-SomeD, simp add: wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt, frule hext-allocate, rotate-tac 1)
apply(erule sys-xcpts-cases)
apply(simp-all add: addr-of-sys-xcpt-def initialization-list-def sys-xcpts-list-def sys-xcpts-neqs Thread-neq-sys-xcpts
start-heap-data-def start-addrs-def create-initial-object-simps allocate-not-emptyI split del: if-split)
apply(assumption|erule typeof-addr-hext-mono)+
done

lemma start-tid-start-addrs:
  [ wf-syscls P; start-heap-ok ] ==> thread-id2addr start-tid ∈ set start-addrs
unfolding start-heap-ok-def start-heap-data-def initialization-list-def sys-xcpts-list-def
  preallocated-def start-heap-def start-addrs-def
apply(simp split: prod.split-asm if-split-asm add: create-initial-object-simps addr-of-sys-xcpt-def start-addrs-def
start-tid-def start-heap-data-def initialization-list-def sys-xcpts-list-def)
apply(erule not-empty-pairE)+
apply(drule (1) allocate-Eps)
apply(rotate-tac -1)
apply(drule allocate-SomeD, simp)
apply(auto intro: addr2thread-id-inverse)
done

lemma
  assumes wf-syscls P
  shows dom-typeof-addr-start-heap: set start-addrs ⊆ dom (typeof-addr start-heap)
  and distinct-start-addrs: distinct start-addrs
proof -
  { fix h ads b and Cs xs :: cname list
    assume set ads ⊆ dom (typeof-addr h) and distinct (Cs @ xs) and length Cs = length ads
    and ∀C a. (C, a) ∈ set (zip Cs ads) ==> typeof-addr h a = ⌊ Class-type C ⌋
    and ∀C. C ∈ set xs ==> is-class P C
    hence set (fst (snd (foldl create-initial-object (h, ads, b) xs))) ⊆
      dom (typeof-addr (fst (foldl create-initial-object (h, ads, b) xs))) ∧
      (distinct ads → distinct (fst (snd (foldl create-initial-object (h, ads, b) xs))))
    (is ?concl xs h ads b Cs)
    proof(induct xs arbitrary: h ads b Cs)
      case Nil thus ?case by auto
    next
      case (Cons x xs)
      note ads = <set ads ⊆ dom (typeof-addr h)>
      note dist = <distinct (Cs @ x # xs)>
      note len = <length Cs = length ads>
      note type = <∀C a. (C, a) ∈ set (zip Cs ads) ==> typeof-addr h a = ⌊ Class-type C ⌋>
      note is-class = <∀C. C ∈ set (x # xs) ==> is-class P C>
      show ?case
      proof(cases b ∧ allocate h (Class-type x) ≠ {})
        case False thus ?thesis
          using ads len by(auto simp add: create-initial-object-simps zip-append1)
      next
  }

```

```

case [simp]: True
obtain  $h' a'$  where  $h' a' : (\text{SOME } ha. ha \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Class-type } x)) = (h', a')$ 
  by(cases  $\text{SOME } ha. ha \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Class-type } x)$ )
  with True have  $\text{new-obj}: (h', a') \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Class-type } x)$ 
    by(auto simp del: True intro:  $\text{allocate-Eps}$ )
  hence  $\text{hext}: h \trianglelefteq h'$  by(rule  $\text{hext-allocate}$ )
  with  $ads$  new-obj have  $ads' : \text{set } ads \subseteq \text{dom} (\text{typeof-addr } h')$ 
    by(auto dest:  $\text{typeof-addr-hext-mono}[\text{OF hext-allocate}]$ )
  moreover {
    from  $\text{new-obj } ads'$  is-class[of  $x$ ]
    have  $\text{set } (ads @ [a']) \subseteq \text{dom} (\text{typeof-addr } h')$ 
      by(auto dest:  $\text{allocate-SomeD}$ )
    moreover from  $dist$  have  $\text{distinct } ((Cs @ [x]) @ xs)$  by simp
    moreover have  $\text{length } (Cs @ [x]) = \text{length } (ads @ [a'])$  using  $\text{len}$  by simp
    moreover {
      fix  $C a$ 
      assume  $(C, a) \in \text{set } (\text{zip } (Cs @ [x]) (ads @ [a']))$ 
      hence  $\text{typeof-addr } h' a = [\text{Class-type } C]$ 
        using  $\text{hext new-obj type}[of C a]$   $\text{len is-class}$ 
        by(auto dest:  $\text{allocate-SomeD hext-objD}$ )
      note  $\text{type}' = this$ 
      moreover have  $\text{is-class}' : \bigwedge C. C \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{is-class } P C$  using  $\text{is-class}$  by simp
      ultimately have  $?concl xs h' (ads @ [a']) \text{ True } (Cs @ [x])$  by(rule  $\text{Cons}$ )
      moreover have  $a' \notin \text{set } ads$ 
      proof
        assume  $a' : a' \in \text{set } ads$ 
        then obtain  $C$  where  $(C, a') \in \text{set } (\text{zip } Cs ads)$   $C \in \text{set } Cs$ 
          using  $\text{len unfolding set-zip in-set-conv-nth}$  by auto
          hence  $\text{typeof-addr } h' a' = [\text{Class-type } C]$  by-(rule  $\text{type}$ )
          with  $\text{hext have}$   $\text{typeof-addr } h' a' = [\text{Class-type } C]$  by(rule  $\text{typeof-addr-hext-mono}$ )
          moreover from  $\text{new-obj is-class}$ 
          have  $\text{typeof-addr } h' a' = [\text{Class-type } x]$  by(auto dest:  $\text{allocate-SomeD}$ )
          ultimately have  $C = x$  by simp
          with  $dist \langle C \in \text{set } Cs \rangle$  show  $\text{False}$  by simp
        qed
        moreover note  $\text{calculation}$ 
      ultimately show  $?thesis$  by(simp add:  $\text{create-initial-object-simps new-obj } h' a'$ )
    qed
  qed
  from  $this[\text{of } [] \text{ empty-heap } [] \text{ initialization-list } \text{True}]$ 
     $\text{distinct-initialization-list wf-syscls-initialization-list-is-class}[\text{OF assms}]$ 
  show  $\text{set start-addrs} \subseteq \text{dom} (\text{typeof-addr start-heap})$ 
    and  $\text{distinct start-addrs}$ 
    unfolding  $\text{start-heap-def start-addrs-def start-heap-data-def}$  by auto
  qed

lemma  $\text{NewHeapElem-start-heap-obsD}$ :
  assumes  $\text{wf-syscls } P$ 
  and  $\text{NewHeapElem } a \ hT \in \text{set start-heap-obs}$ 
  shows  $\text{typeof-addr start-heap } a = [hT]$ 
proof -
  show  $?thesis$ 
proof(cases  $hT$ )
  case ( $\text{Class-type } C$ )

```

```

{ fix h ads b xs Cs
  assume (C, a) ∈ set (zip (Cs @ xs) (fst (snd (foldl create-initial-object (h, ads, b) xs))))
  and ∀(C, a) ∈ set (zip Cs ads). typeof-addr h a = [ Class-type C ]
  and length Cs = length ads
  and ∀C ∈ set xs. is-class P C
  hence typeof-addr (fst (foldl create-initial-object (h, ads, b) xs)) a = [ Class-type C ]
  proof(induct xs arbitrary: h ads b Cs)
    case Nil thus ?case by auto
  next
    case (Cons x xs)
    note inv = ∀(C, a) ∈ set (zip Cs ads). typeof-addr h a = [ Class-type C ]
    and Ca = ((C, a) ∈ set (zip (Cs @ x # xs) (fst (snd (foldl create-initial-object (h, ads, b) (x
    # xs))))))
    and len = length Cs = length ads
    and is-class = ∀C ∈ set (x # xs). is-class P C
    show ?case
    proof(cases b ∧ allocate h (Class-type x) ≠ {})
      case False thus ?thesis
        using inv Ca len by(auto simp add: create-initial-object-simps zip-append1 split: if-split-asm)
    next
      case [simp]: True
      obtain h' a' where h'a': (SOME ha. ha ∈ allocate h (Class-type x)) = (h', a')
        by(cases SOME ha. ha ∈ allocate h (Class-type x))
      with True have new-obj: (h', a') ∈ allocate h (Class-type x)
        by(auto simp del: True intro: allocate-Eps)
      hence hext: h ⊲ h' by(rule hext-allocate)

      have (C, a) ∈ set (zip ((Cs @ [x]) @ xs) (fst (snd (foldl create-initial-object (h', ads @ [a'],
      True) xs))))
        using Ca new-obj by(simp add: create-initial-object-simps h'a')
      moreover have ∀(C, a) ∈ set (zip (Cs @ [x]) (ads @ [a'])). typeof-addr h' a = [ Class-type C ]
      proof(clarify)
        fix C a
        assume (C, a) ∈ set (zip (Cs @ [x]) (ads @ [a']))
        thus typeof-addr h' a = [ Class-type C ]
        using inv len hext new-obj is-class by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD typeof-addr-hext-mono)
      qed
      moreover have length (Cs @ [x]) = length (ads @ [a']) using len by simp
      moreover have ∀C ∈ set xs. is-class P C using is-class by simp
      ultimately have typeof-addr (fst (foldl create-initial-object (h', ads @ [a'], True) xs)) a =
      [ Class-type C ]
        by(rule Cons)
      thus ?thesis using new-obj by(simp add: create-initial-object-simps h'a')
    qed
  qed }
from this[of [] initialization-list empty-heap [] True] assms wf-syscls-initialization-list-is-class[of P]

show ?thesis by(auto simp add: start-heap-obs-def start-heap-data-def start-heap-def Class-type)
next
  case Array-type thus ?thesis using assms
    by(auto simp add: start-heap-obs-def start-heap-data-def start-heap-def)
  qed
qed

```

end

3.9.2 Code generation

```

definition pick-addr :: ('heap × 'addr) set ⇒ 'heap × 'addr
where pick-addr HA = (SOME ha. ha ∈ HA)

lemma pick-addr-code [code]:
  pick-addr (set [ha]) = ha
by(simp add: pick-addr-def)

lemma (in heap-base) start-heap-data-code:
  start-heap-data =
  (let
    (h, ads, b) = foldl
      (λ(h, ads, b) C.
        if b then
          let HA = allocate h (Class-type C)
          in if HA = {} then (h, ads, False)
             else let (h', a'') = pick-addr HA in (h', a'' # ads, True)
          else (h, ads, False))
      (empty-heap, [], True)
      initialization-list
    in (h, rev ads, b))
unfolding start-heap-data-def create-initial-object-def pick-addr-def
by(rule rev-induct)(simp-all add: split-beta)

lemmas [code] =
  heap-base.start-heap-data-code
  heap-base.start-heap-def
  heap-base.start-heap-ok-def
  heap-base.start-heap-obs-def
  heap-base.start-addrs-def
  heap-base.addr-of-sys-xcpt-def
  heap-base.start-tid-def
  heap-base.start-state-def

end

```

3.10 Conformance Relations for Type Soundness Proofs

```

theory Conform
imports
  StartConfig
begin

context heap-base begin

definition conf :: 'm prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ ty ⇒ bool  (-,- ⊢ - :≤ - [51,51,51,51] 50)
where P,h ⊢ v :≤ T ≡ ∃ T'. typeof_h v = Some T' ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ T

definition lconf :: 'm prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ (vname → 'addr val) ⇒ (vname → ty) ⇒ bool  (-,- ⊢ - '(:≤')
- [51,51,51,51] 50)
where P,h ⊢ l (:≤) E ≡ ∀ V v. l V = Some v → (∃ T. E V = Some T ∧ P,h ⊢ v :≤ T)

```

```

abbreviation confs :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  ty list  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-,-  $\vdash$  - [ $\leq$ ] - [51,51,51,51] 50)
where  $P,h \vdash vs$  [ $\leq$ ]  $Ts == list-all2 (conf P h) vs Ts$ 

definition tconf :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-,-  $\vdash$  -  $\sqrt{t}$  [51,51,51] 50)
where  $P,h \vdash t$   $\sqrt{t} \equiv \exists C.$  typeof-addr  $h$  ( $thread-id2addr t$ ) =  $\lfloor Class-type\ C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* Thread$ 

end

locale heap-conf-base =
  heap-base +
  constraints addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  fixes hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and P :: 'm prog

sublocale heap-conf-base < prog P .

locale heap-conf =
  heap
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  P
  +
  heap-conf-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  hconf P
  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and P :: 'm prog
  +
  assumes hconf-empty [iff]: hconf empty-heap
  and typeof-addr-is-type:  $\llbracket$  typeof-addr  $h$   $a = \lfloor hT \rfloor$ ; hconf  $h \rrbracket \implies$  is-type P (ty-of-htype  $hT$ )
  and hconf-allocate-mono:  $\bigwedge a.$   $\llbracket (h', a) \in allocate h hT; hconf h; is-htype P hT \rrbracket \implies$  hconf  $h'$ 
  and hconf-heap-write-mono:
     $\bigwedge T.$   $\llbracket$  heap-write  $h$   $a$  al  $v$   $h'; hconf h; P,h \vdash a@al : T; P,h \vdash v : \leq T \rrbracket \implies$  hconf  $h'$ 

```

```

locale heap-progress =
  heap-conf
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  hconf P
for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'm prog
+
assumes heap-read-total:  $\llbracket hconf\;h;\;P,h \vdash a@al : T \rrbracket \implies \exists v.\; heap-read\;h\;a\;al\;v \wedge P,h \vdash v : \leq T$ 
and heap-write-total:  $\llbracket hconf\;h;\;P,h \vdash a@al : T;\;P,h \vdash v : \leq T \rrbracket \implies \exists h'.\; heap-write\;h\;a\;al\;v\;h'$ 

locale heap-conf-read =
  heap-conf
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  hconf P
for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'm prog
+
assumes heap-read-conf:  $\llbracket \text{heap-read}\;h\;a\;al\;v;\;P,h \vdash a@al : T;\;hconf\;h \rrbracket \implies P,h \vdash v : \leq T$ 

locale heap-typesafe =
  heap-conf-read +
  heap-progress +
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'm prog

context heap-conf begin

```

```
lemmas hconf-heap-ops-mono =
  hconf-allocate-mono
  hconf-heap-write-mono
```

```
end
```

3.10.1 Value conformance \leq

```
context heap-base begin
```

```
lemma conf-Null [simp]:  $P, h \vdash Null : \leq T = P \vdash NT \leq T$ 
unfolding conf-def by(simp (no-asm))
```

```
lemma typeof-conf[simp]:  $typeof_h v = Some T \implies P, h \vdash v : \leq T$ 
unfolding conf-def by (cases v) auto
```

```
lemma typeof-lit-conf[simp]:  $typeof v = Some T \implies P, h \vdash v : \leq T$ 
by (rule typeof-conf[OF typeof-lit-typeof])
```

```
lemma defval-conf[simp]:  $P, h \vdash default-val T : \leq T$ 
unfolding conf-def by (cases T) auto
```

```
lemma conf-widen:  $P, h \vdash v : \leq T \implies P \vdash T \leq T' \implies P, h \vdash v : \leq T'$ 
unfolding conf-def by (cases v) (auto intro: widen-trans)
```

```
lemma conf-sys-xcpt:
   $\llbracket \text{preallocated } h; C \in sys-xcpts \rrbracket \implies P, h \vdash Addr (\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt } C) : \leq Class C$ 
by(simp add: conf-def typeof-addr-sys-xcp)
```

```
lemma conf-NT [iff]:  $P, h \vdash v : \leq NT = (v = Null)$ 
by (auto simp add: conf-def)
```

```
lemma is-IntgI:  $P, h \vdash v : \leq Integer \implies is-Intg v$ 
by (unfold conf-def) auto
```

```
lemma is-BoolI:  $P, h \vdash v : \leq Boolean \implies is-Bool v$ 
by (unfold conf-def) auto
```

```
lemma is-RefI:  $P, h \vdash v : \leq T \implies is-refT T \implies is-Ref v$ 
by(cases v)(auto elim: is-refT.cases simp add: conf-def is-Ref-def)
```

```
lemma non-npD:
   $\llbracket v \neq Null; P, h \vdash v : \leq Class C; C \neq Object \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists a C'. v = Addr a \wedge typeof-addr h a = \lfloor Class\text{-type } C' \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C' \preceq^* C$ 
by(cases v)(auto simp add: conf-def widen-Class)
```

```
lemma non-npD2:
   $\llbracket v \neq Null; P, h \vdash v : \leq Class C \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists a hT. v = Addr a \wedge typeof-addr h a = \lfloor hT \rfloor \wedge P \vdash class\text{-type-of } hT \preceq^* C$ 
by(cases v)(auto simp add: conf-def widen-Class)
```

```
end
```

```

context heap begin

lemma conf-hext:  $\llbracket h \trianglelefteq h'; P, h \vdash v : \leq T \rrbracket \implies P, h' \vdash v : \leq T$ 
  unfolding conf-def by(cases v)(auto dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono)

lemma conf-heap-ops-mono:
  assumes  $P, h \vdash v : \leq T$ 
  shows conf-allocate-mono:  $(h', a) \in \text{allocate } h \text{ } hT \implies P, h' \vdash v : \leq T$ 
  and conf-heap-write-mono:  $\text{heap-write } h \text{ } a \text{ } al \text{ } v' \text{ } h' \implies P, h' \vdash v : \leq T$ 
  using assms
  by(auto intro: conf-hext dest: hext-heap-ops)

end

```

3.10.2 Value list conformance $[\leq]$

```

context heap-base begin

lemma confs-widens [trans]:  $\llbracket P, h \vdash vs : \leq Ts; P \vdash Ts \leq Ts' \rrbracket \implies P, h \vdash vs : \leq Ts'$ 
  by (rule list-all2-trans)(rule conf-widen)

lemma confs-rev:  $P, h \vdash \text{rev } s : \leq t = (P, h \vdash s : \leq \text{rev } t)$ 
  by(rule list-all2-rev1)

lemma confs-conv-map:
   $P, h \vdash vs : \leq Ts' = (\exists Ts. \text{map } \text{typeof}_h \text{ } vs = \text{map Some } Ts \wedge P \vdash Ts \leq Ts')$ 
  apply(induct vs arbitrary: Ts')
  apply simp
  apply(case-tac Ts')
  apply(auto simp add:conf-def)
  apply(rule-tac x=T' # Ts in exI)
  apply(simp add: fun-of-def)
  done

```

```

lemma confs-Cons2:  $P, h \vdash xs : \leq y \# ys = (\exists z zs. xs = z \# zs \wedge P, h \vdash z : \leq y \wedge P, h \vdash zs : \leq ys)$ 
  by (rule list-all2-Cons2)

```

```
end
```

```
context heap begin
```

```

lemma confs-hext:  $P, h \vdash vs : \leq Ts \implies h \trianglelefteq h' \implies P, h' \vdash vs : \leq Ts$ 
  by (erule list-all2-mono, erule conf-hext, assumption)

```

```
end
```

3.10.3 Local variable conformance

```
context heap-base begin
```

```

lemma lconf-upd:
   $\llbracket P, h \vdash l : \leq E; P, h \vdash v : \leq T; E \text{ } V = \text{Some } T \rrbracket \implies P, h \vdash l(V \mapsto v) : \leq E$ 
  unfolding lconf-def by auto

```

```

lemma lconf-empty [iff]:  $P, h \vdash \text{Map.empty} (\leq) E$ 
by(simp add:lconf-def)

lemma lconf-upd2:  $\llbracket P, h \vdash l (\leq) E; P, h \vdash v : \leq T \rrbracket \implies P, h \vdash l(V \mapsto v) (\leq) E(V \mapsto T)$ 
by(simp add:lconf-def)

end

context heap begin

lemma lconf-hext:  $\llbracket P, h \vdash l (\leq) E; h \trianglelefteq h' \rrbracket \implies P, h' \vdash l (\leq) E$ 
unfolding lconf-def by(fast elim: conf-hext)

end

```

3.10.4 Thread object conformance

context *heap-base* **begin**

lemma $tconfI$: $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ (\text{thread-id2addr } t) = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread} \rrbracket \implies P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}$
by (*simp add: tconf-def*)

```

lemma tconfD:  $P, h \vdash t \vee t \implies \exists C. \text{typeof-addr } h (\text{thread-id2addr } t) = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread}$ 
by(auto simp add: tconf-def)

```

end

context *heap* **begin**

lemma tconf-hext-mono: $\llbracket P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}; h \trianglelefteq h' \rrbracket \implies P, h' \vdash t \sqrt{t}$
by(auto simp add: tconf-def dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono)

```

lemma tconf-heap-ops-mono:
  assumes  $P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}$ 
  shows tconf-allocate-mono:  $(h', a) \in \text{allocate } h \ hT \implies P, h' \vdash t \sqrt{t}$ 
  and tconf-heap-write-mono:  $\text{heap-write } h \ a \ al \ v \ h' \implies P, h' \vdash t \sqrt{t}$ 
  using tconf-hext-mono[OF assms, of  $h'$ ]
  by (blast intro: hext-heap-ops)+
```

lemma *tconf-start-heap-start-tid*:

$$[\![\text{start-heap-ok}; \text{wf-syscls } P]\!] \implies P, \text{start-heap} \vdash \text{start-tid} \sqrt{t}$$

unfolding *start-tid-def start-heap-def start-heap-ok-def start-heap-data-def initialization-list-def addr-of-sys-xcpt-def start-addrs-def sys-xcpt-list-def*

apply(*clarsimp split: prod.split-asm simp add: create-initial-object-simps split: if-split-asm*)

apply(erule not-empty-pairE) +

apply(*drule* (1) *allocate-Eps*)

apply(drule (1) allocate-Eps)

apply(*drule* (1) *allocate-Eps*)

apply(*drule* (1) *allocate-Eps*)

apply(*drule* (1) *allocate-Eps*)

apply(drule (1) allocate-Eps)

apply(*drule* (1) *allocate-Eps*)

```

apply(drule (1) allocate-Eps)
apply(drule (1) allocate-Eps)
apply(drule (1) allocate-Eps)
apply(drule (1) allocate-Eps)
apply(drule allocate-SomeD[where hT=Class-type Thread])
  apply simp
apply(rule tconfI)
  apply(erule typeof-addr-hext-mono[OF hext-allocate])+
  apply simp
apply blast
done

lemma start-heap-write-typeable:
  assumes WriteMem ad al v ∈ set start-heap-obs
  shows ∃ T. P,start-heap ⊢ ad@al : T ∧ P,start-heap ⊢ v :≤ T
using assms
unfolding start-heap-obs-def start-heap-def
by clarsimp

end

```

3.10.5 Well-formed start state

context heap-base begin

```

inductive wf-start-state :: 'm prog ⇒ cname ⇒ mname ⇒ 'addr val list ⇒ bool
for P :: 'm prog and C :: cname and M :: mname and vs :: 'addr val list
where
  wf-start-state:
    [P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T = [meth] in D; start-heap-ok; P,start-heap ⊢ vs [:≤] Ts]
    ⇒ wf-start-state P C M vs

```

end

end

3.11 Semantics of method calls that cannot be defined inside NinjaThreads

```

theory ExternalCall
imports
  ../../Framework/FWSemantics
  Conform
begin

type-synonym
  ('addr,'thread-id,'heap) external-thread-action = ('addr, 'thread-id, cname × mname × 'addr,'heap)
  Ninja-thread-action

print-translation ‹
let
  fun tr'

```

```

[a1, t
, Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $
  (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $ a2)
, h] =
if a1 = a2 then Syntax.const @{type-syntax external-thread-action} $ a1 $ t $ h
else raise Match;
in [(@{type-syntax Jinja-thread-action}, K tr')]
end
>
typ ('addr,'thread-id,'heap) external-thread-action

```

3.11.1 Typing of external calls

```

inductive external-WT-defs :: cname => mname => ty list => ty => bool ((--'(-')) :: - [50, 0, 0, 50]
60)
where
  Thread.start([]) :: Void
| Thread.join([]) :: Void
| Thread.interrupt([]) :: Void
| Thread.isInterrupted([]) :: Boolean
| Object.wait([]) :: Void
| Object.notify([]) :: Void
| Object.notifyAll([]) :: Void
| Object.clone([]) :: Class Object
| Object.hashCode([]) :: Integer
| Object.print([Integer]) :: Void
| Object.currentThread([]) :: Class Thread
| Object.interrupted([]) :: Boolean
| Object.yield([]) :: Void

inductive-cases external-WT-defs-cases:
a.start(vs) :: T
a.join(vs) :: T
a.interrupt(vs) :: T
a.isInterrupted(vs) :: T
a.wait(vs) :: T
a.notify(vs) :: T
a.notifyAll(vs) :: T
a.clone(vs) :: T
a.hashCode(vs) :: T
a.print(vs) :: T
a.currentThread(vs) :: T
a.interrupted([]) :: T
a.yield(vs) :: T

inductive is-native :: 'm prog => htype => mname => bool
  for P :: 'm prog and hT :: htype and M :: mname
  where [] P ⊢ class-type-of hT sees M:Ts→T = Native in D; D·M(Ts) :: T ] ==> is-native P hT M

lemma is-nativeD: is-native P hT M ==> ∃ Ts T D. P ⊢ class-type-of hT sees M:Ts→T = Native in
D ∧ D·M(Ts)::T
by(simp add: is-native.simps)

inductive (in heap-base) external-WT' :: 'm prog => 'heap => 'addr => mname => 'addr val list => ty

```

```

 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
 $(\text{-}, \text{-} \vdash (\text{-}, \text{-}) : - [50, 0, 0, 0, 50] 60)$ 
for  $P :: 'm \text{ prog and } h :: 'heap \text{ and } a :: 'addr \text{ and } M :: mname \text{ and } vs :: 'addr val list \text{ and } U :: ty$ 
where
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = [hT]; \text{map typeof}_h \ vs = \text{map Some } Ts; \ P \vdash \text{class-type-of } hT \text{ sees } M:Ts' \rightarrow U =$ 
 $\text{Native in } D;$ 
 $P \vdash Ts \leq Ts' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P, h \vdash a.M(vs) : U$ 

```

context $heap\text{-base}$ **begin**

```

lemma  $\text{external-}WT'\text{-iff}:$ 
 $P, h \vdash a.M(vs) : U \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists hT \ Ts \ Ts' \ D. \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = [hT] \wedge \text{map typeof}_h \ vs = \text{map Some } Ts \wedge P \vdash \text{class-type-of } hT$ 
 $\text{sees } M:Ts' \rightarrow U = \text{Native in } D \wedge P \vdash Ts \leq Ts')$ 
by(simp add:  $\text{external-}WT'\text{.simp}$ )

```

end

context $heap$ **begin**

```

lemma  $\text{external-}WT'\text{-hext-mono}:$ 
 $\llbracket P, h \vdash a.M(vs) : T; h \trianglelefteq h' \rrbracket \implies P, h' \vdash a.M(vs) : T$ 
by(auto 5 2 simp add:  $\text{external-}WT'\text{-iff dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono map-typeof-hext-mono}$ )

```

end

3.11.2 Semantics of external calls

```

datatype  $'addr extCallRet =$ 
 $\quad \text{RetVal } 'addr val$ 
 $\quad | \text{RetExc } 'addr$ 
 $\quad | \text{RetStaySame}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{rec-extCallRet} [\text{simp}]: \text{rec-extCallRet} = \text{case-extCallRet}$ 
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff split:  $\text{extCallRet.split}$ )

```

context $heap\text{-base}$ **begin**

```

abbreviation  $\text{RetEXC} :: \text{cname} \Rightarrow 'addr extCallRet$ 
where  $\text{RetEXC } C \equiv \text{RetExc } (\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt } C)$ 

```

```

inductive  $\text{heap-copy-loc} :: 'addr \Rightarrow 'addr \Rightarrow \text{addr-loc} \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) \text{ obs-event list} \Rightarrow$ 
 $'heap \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
for  $a :: 'addr \text{ and } a' :: 'addr \text{ and } al :: \text{addr-loc} \text{ and } h :: 'heap$ 
where
 $\llbracket \text{heap-read } h \ a \ al \ v; \text{heap-write } h \ a' \ al \ v \ h' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{heap-copy-loc } a \ a' \ al \ h ([\text{ReadMem } a \ al \ v, \text{WriteMem } a' \ al \ v]) \ h'$ 

```

```

inductive  $\text{heap-copies} :: 'addr \Rightarrow 'addr \Rightarrow \text{addr-loc list} \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) \text{ obs-event list} \Rightarrow$ 
 $'heap \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
for  $a :: 'addr \text{ and } a' :: 'addr$ 
where
 $\text{Nil}: \text{heap-copies } a \ a' [] h [] h$ 

```

| *Cons:*
 $\llbracket \text{heap-copy-loc } a \ a' \text{ al } h \text{ ob } h'; \text{heap-copies } a \ a' \text{ als } h' \text{ obs } h'' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{heap-copies } a \ a' (\text{al} \# \text{als}) \ h (\text{ob} @ \text{obs}) \ h''$

inductive-cases *heap-copies-cases*:
 $\text{heap-copies } a \ a' [] \ h \text{ ops } h'$
 $\text{heap-copies } a \ a' (\text{al}\#\text{als}) \ h \text{ ops } h'$

Contrary to Sun's JVM 1.6.0_07, cloning an interrupted thread does not yield an interrupted thread, because the interrupt flag is not stored inside the thread object. Starting a clone of a started thread with Sun JVM 1.6.0_07 raises an illegal thread state exception, we just start another thread. The thread at <http://mail.openjdk.java.net/pipermail/core-libs-dev/2010-August/004715.html> discusses the general problem of thread cloning and argues against that. The bug report http://bugs.sun.com/bugdatabase/view_bug.do?bug_id=6968584 changes the Thread class implementation such that `Object.clone()` can no longer be accessed for Thread and subclasses in Java 7.

Array cells are never volatile themselves.

inductive *heap-clone* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow '*heap* \Rightarrow '*addr* \Rightarrow '*heap* \Rightarrow ((*'addr, 'thread-id*) *obs-event list* \times *'addr*) *option* \Rightarrow *bool*
for *P* :: '*m prog* **and** *h* :: '*heap* **and** *a* :: '*addr*
where

| *CloneFail*:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = [\text{hT}]; \text{allocate } h \ \text{hT} = \{\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{heap-clone } P \ h \ a \ h \text{ None}$

| *ObjClone*:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = [\text{Class-type } C]; (h', a') \in \text{allocate } h \ (\text{Class-type } C);$
 $P \vdash C \text{ has-fields } FDTs; \text{heap-copies } a \ a' (\text{map } (\lambda((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs) \ h' \text{ obs } h'' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{heap-clone } P \ h \ a \ h'' \lceil (\text{NewHeapElem } a' (\text{Class-type } C) \# \text{obs}, a') \rceil$

| *ArrClone*:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = [\text{Array-type } T \ n]; (h', a') \in \text{allocate } h \ (\text{Array-type } T \ n); P \vdash \text{Object has-fields } FDTs;$
 $\text{heap-copies } a \ a' (\text{map } (\lambda((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs @ \text{map ACell } [0..<n]) \ h' \text{ obs } h'' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{heap-clone } P \ h \ a \ h'' \lceil (\text{NewHeapElem } a' (\text{Array-type } T \ n) \# \text{obs}, a') \rceil$

inductive *red-external* ::
'*m prog* \Rightarrow '*thread-id* \Rightarrow '*heap* \Rightarrow '*addr* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow '*addr val list*
 \Rightarrow (*'addr, 'thread-id, 'heap*) *external-thread-action* \Rightarrow '*addr extCallRet* \Rightarrow '*heap* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *red-external-syntax* ::
'*m prog* \Rightarrow '*thread-id* \Rightarrow '*addr* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow '*addr val list* \Rightarrow '*heap*
 \Rightarrow (*'addr, 'thread-id, 'heap*) *external-thread-action* \Rightarrow '*addr extCallRet* \Rightarrow '*heap* \Rightarrow *bool*
 $(\dashv, \vdash ((\dashv, \vdash), /)) \dashrightarrow \text{ext } ((\dashv, /), /) [50, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 51$

for *P* :: '*m prog* **and** *t* :: '*thread-id* **and** *h* :: '*heap* **and** *a* :: '*addr*
where

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow \text{ext } \langle va, h' \rangle \equiv \text{red-external } P \ t \ h \ a \ M \ vs \ ta \ va \ h'$

| *RedNewThread*:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = [\text{Class-type } C]; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread} \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{start}([]), h \rangle - \{ \text{NewThread } (\text{addr2thread-id } a) (C, \text{run}, a) \ h, \text{ThreadStart } (\text{addr2thread-id } a) \} \dashrightarrow \text{ext } \langle \text{RetVal Unit}, h \rangle$

| *RedNewThreadFail*:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = [\text{Class-type } C]; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread} \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{start}([]), h \rangle - \{ \text{ThreadExists } (\text{addr2thread-id } a) \text{ True} \} \dashrightarrow \text{ext } \langle \text{RetEXC IllegalThreadState},$

$h\rangle$

| RedJoin:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread} \rrbracket \\ \implies & P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{join}(\emptyset), h \rangle - \{ \text{Join } (\text{addr2thread-id } a), \text{IsInterrupted } t \text{ False}, \text{ThreadJoin } (\text{addr2thread-id } a) \} \rightarrow_{\text{ext}} \langle \text{RetVal Unit}, h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

| RedJoinInterrupt:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread} \rrbracket \\ \implies & P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{join}(\emptyset), h \rangle - \{ \text{IsInterrupted } t \text{ True}, \text{ClearInterrupt } t, \text{ObsInterrupted } t \} \rightarrow_{\text{ext}} \langle \text{RetEXC InterruptedException}, h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

— Interruption should produce inter-thread actions (JLS 17.4.4) for the synchronizes-with order. They should synchronize with the inter-thread actions that determine whether a thread has been interrupted. Hence, interruption generates an *ObsInterrupt* action.

Although *WakeUp* causes the interrupted thread to raise an *InterruptedException* independent of the interrupt status, the interrupt flag must be set with *Interrupt* such that other threads observe the interrupted thread as interrupted while it competes for the monitor lock again.

Interrupting a thread which has not yet been started does not set the interrupt flag (tested with Sun HotSpot JVM 1.6.0_07).

| RedInterrupt:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread} \rrbracket \\ \implies & P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{interrupt}(\emptyset), h \rangle - \{ \text{ThreadExists } (\text{addr2thread-id } a) \text{ True}, \text{WakeUp } (\text{addr2thread-id } a), \\ & \quad \text{Interrupt } (\text{addr2thread-id } a), \text{ObsInterrupt } (\text{addr2thread-id } a) \} \rightarrow_{\text{ext}} \\ & \quad \langle \text{RetVal Unit}, h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

| RedInterruptInexist:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread} \rrbracket \\ \implies & P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{interrupt}(\emptyset), h \rangle - \{ \text{ThreadExists } (\text{addr2thread-id } a) \text{ False} \} \rightarrow_{\text{ext}} \\ & \quad \langle \text{RetVal Unit}, h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

| RedIsInterruptedTrue:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread} \rrbracket \\ \implies & P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{isInterrupted}(\emptyset), h \rangle - \{ \text{IsInterrupted } (\text{addr2thread-id } a) \text{ True}, \text{ObsInterrupted } (\text{addr2thread-id } a) \} \rightarrow_{\text{ext}} \\ & \quad \langle \text{RetVal (Bool True)}, h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

| RedIsInterruptedFalse:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread} \rrbracket \\ \implies & P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{isInterrupted}(\emptyset), h \rangle - \{ \text{IsInterrupted } (\text{addr2thread-id } a) \text{ False} \} \rightarrow_{\text{ext}} \langle \text{RetVal (Bool False)}, h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

— The JLS leaves unspecified whether *wait* first checks for the monitor state (whether the thread holds a lock on the monitor) or for the interrupt flag of the current thread. Sun Hotspot JVM 1.6.0_07 seems to check for the monitor state first, so we do it here, too.

| RedWaitInterrupt:

$$\begin{aligned} & P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{wait}(\emptyset), h \rangle - \{ \text{Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{IsInterrupted } t \text{ True}, \text{ClearInterrupt } t, \text{ObsInterrupted } t \} \rightarrow_{\text{ext}} \\ & \quad \langle \text{RetEXC InterruptedException}, h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

| RedWait:

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{wait}(\[]), h \rangle - \{\text{Suspend } a, \text{ Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{ Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{ ReleaseAcquire} \rightarrow a, \text{ IsInterrupted } t \text{ False}, \text{ SyncUnlock } a\} \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetStaySame}, h \rangle$

| *RedWaitFail:*

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{wait}(\[]), h \rangle - \{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow a\} \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetEXC IllegalMonitorState}, h \rangle$

| *RedWaitNotified:*

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{wait}(\[]), h \rangle - \{\text{Notified}\} \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetVal Unit}, h \rangle$

— This rule does NOT check that the interrupted flag is set, but still clears it. The semantics will be that only the executing thread clears its interrupt.

| *RedWaitInterrupted:*

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{wait}(\[]), h \rangle - \{\text{WokenUp}, \text{ ClearInterrupt } t, \text{ ObsInterrupted } t\} \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetEXC InterruptedException}, h \rangle$

— Calls to wait may decide to immediately wake up spuriously. This is indistinguishable from waking up spuriously any time before being notified or interrupted. Spurious wakeups are configured by the *spurious-wakeup* parameter of the *heap-base* locale.

| *RedWaitSpurious:*

spurious-wakeups \Rightarrow

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{wait}(\[]), h \rangle - \{\text{Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{ Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{ ReleaseAcquire} \rightarrow a, \text{ IsInterrupted } t \text{ False}, \text{ SyncUnlock } a\} \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetVal Unit}, h \rangle$

— *notify* and *notifyAll* do not perform synchronization inter-thread actions because they only tests whether the thread holds a lock, but do not change the lock state.

| *RedNotify:*

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{notify}(\[]), h \rangle - \{\text{Notify } a, \text{ Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{ Lock} \rightarrow a\} \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetVal Unit}, h \rangle$

| *RedNotifyFail:*

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{notify}(\[]), h \rangle - \{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow a\} \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetEXC IllegalMonitorState}, h \rangle$

| *RedNotifyAll:*

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{notifyAll}(\[]), h \rangle - \{\text{NotifyAll } a, \text{ Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{ Lock} \rightarrow a\} \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetVal Unit}, h \rangle$

| *RedNotifyAllFail:*

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{notifyAll}(\[]), h \rangle - \{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow a\} \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetEXC IllegalMonitorState}, h \rangle$

| *RedClone:*

heap-clone P h a h' [(obs, a')]

$\Rightarrow P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{clone}(\[]), h \rangle - (K\$ \[], \[], \[], \[], \[], obs) \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetVal (Addr a')}, h' \rangle$

| *RedCloneFail:*

heap-clone P h a h' None $\Rightarrow P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{clone}(\[]), h \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetEXC OutOfMemory}, h' \rangle$

| *RedHashcode:*

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{hashcode}(\[]), h \rangle - \{\} \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetVal (Intg (word-of-int (hash-addr a)))}, h \rangle$

| *RedPrint:*

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{print}(vs), h \rangle - \{\text{ExternalCall } a \text{ print } vs \text{ Unit}\} \rightarrow \text{ext} \langle \text{RetVal Unit}, h \rangle$

| *RedCurrentThread:*

$P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot currentThread(\[]), h \rangle - \{\} \rightarrow^{ext} \langle RetVal (Addr (thread-id2addr t)), h \rangle$

| RedInterruptedTrue:
 $P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot interrupted(\[]), h \rangle - \{IsInterrupted t \text{ True}, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t\} \rightarrow^{ext} \langle RetVal (Bool \text{ True}), h \rangle$

| RedInterruptedFalse:
 $P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot interrupted(\[]), h \rangle - \{IsInterrupted t \text{ False}\} \rightarrow^{ext} \langle RetVal (Bool \text{ False}), h \rangle$

| RedYield:
 $P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot yield(\[]), h \rangle - \{Yield\} \rightarrow^{ext} \langle RetVal Unit, h \rangle$

3.11.3 Aggressive formulation for external calls

definition *red-external-aggr* ::

'm prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'addr \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow 'addr val list \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow
 $(('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) external-thread-action \times ('addr extCallRet \times 'heap) set)$

where

red-external-aggr $P t a M vs h =$

(if $M = \text{wait}$ then
 $\quad \text{let } ad-t = \text{thread-id2addr } t$
 $\quad \text{in } \{(\{\text{Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{IsInterrupted } t \text{ True}, \text{ClearInterrupt } t, \text{ObsInterrupted } t\}, \text{RetEXC InterruptedException}, h),$
 $\quad \quad (\{\text{Suspend } a, \text{Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{ReleaseAcquire} \rightarrow a, \text{IsInterrupted } t \text{ False}, \text{SyncUnlock } a\},$
 $\quad \quad \text{RetStaySame}, h),$
 $\quad \quad (\{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow a\}, \text{RetEXC IllegalMonitorState}, h),$
 $\quad \quad (\{\text{Notified}\}, \text{RetVal Unit}, h),$
 $\quad \quad (\{\text{WokenUp}, \text{ClearInterrupt } t, \text{ObsInterrupted } t\}, \text{RetEXC InterruptedException}, h)\} \cup$
 $\quad \quad (\text{if spurious-wakeups then } \{(\{\text{Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{ReleaseAcquire} \rightarrow a, \text{IsInterrupted } t \text{ False},$
 $\quad \quad \text{SyncUnlock } a\}, \text{RetVal Unit}, h)\} \text{ else } \{\})$
 $\quad \text{else if } M = \text{notify} \text{ then } \{(\{\text{Notify } a, \text{Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{Lock} \rightarrow a\}, \text{RetVal Unit}, h),$
 $\quad \quad (\{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow a\}, \text{RetEXC IllegalMonitorState}, h)\}$
 $\quad \text{else if } M = \text{notifyAll} \text{ then } \{(\{\text{NotifyAll } a, \text{Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{Lock} \rightarrow a\}, \text{RetVal Unit}, h),$
 $\quad \quad (\{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow a\}, \text{RetEXC IllegalMonitorState}, h)\}$
 $\quad \text{else if } M = \text{clone} \text{ then}$
 $\quad \quad \{((K\$ \[], \[], \[], \[], \[], obs), \text{RetVal (Addr } a')), h') | obs \ a' \ h'. \text{heap-clone } P \ h \ a \ h' \ [(obs, a')]\}$
 $\quad \quad \cup \{(\{\}, \text{RetEXC OutOfMemory}, h') | h'. \text{heap-clone } P \ h \ a \ h' \ None\}$
 $\quad \text{else if } M = \text{hashcode} \text{ then } \{(\{\}, \text{RetVal (Intg } (\text{word-of-int } (\text{hash-addr } a))), h)\}$
 $\quad \text{else if } M = \text{print} \text{ then } \{(\{\text{ExternalCall } a \ M \ vs \ Unit\}, \text{RetVal Unit}, h)\}$
 $\quad \text{else if } M = \text{currentThread} \text{ then } \{(\{\}, \text{RetVal (Addr (thread-id2addr } t)), h)\}$
 $\quad \text{else if } M = \text{interrupted} \text{ then } \{(\{\text{IsInterrupted } t \text{ True}, \text{ClearInterrupt } t, \text{ObsInterrupted } t\}, \text{RetVal (Bool True)}, h),$
 $\quad \quad (\{\text{IsInterrupted } t \text{ False}\}, \text{RetVal (Bool False)}, h)\}$
 $\quad \text{else if } M = \text{yield} \text{ then } \{(\{\text{Yield}\}, \text{RetVal Unit}, h)\}$
 $\quad \text{else}$
 $\quad \quad \text{let } hT = \text{the (typeof-addr } h \ a)$
 $\quad \quad \text{in if } P \vdash \text{ty-of-htype } hT \leq \text{Class Thread} \text{ then}$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{let } t-a = \text{addr2thread-id } a$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{in if } M = \text{start} \text{ then}$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \{(\{\text{NewThread } t-a \ (\text{the-Class } (\text{ty-of-htype } hT), \text{run}, a), \text{ThreadStart } t-a\}, \text{RetVal Unit}, h),$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad (\{\text{ThreadExists } t-a \ True\}, \text{RetEXC IllegalThreadState}, h)\}$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{else if } M = \text{join} \text{ then}$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \{(\{\text{Join } t-a, \text{IsInterrupted } t \text{ False}, \text{ThreadJoin } t-a\}, \text{RetVal Unit}, h),$

```

 $\{ \{ IsInterrupted t \text{ True}, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t \} \}, RetEXC InterruptedException,$ 
 $h \}$ 
else if  $M = interrupt$  then
   $\{ \{ ThreadExists t-a \text{ True}, WakeUp t-a, Interrupt t-a, ObsInterrupt t-a \} \}, RetVal Unit, h \},$ 
   $\{ \{ ThreadExists t-a \text{ False} \} \}, RetVal Unit, h \}$ 
else if  $M = isInterrupted$  then
   $\{ \{ IsInterrupted t-a \text{ False} \} \}, RetVal (Bool False), h \},$ 
   $\{ \{ IsInterrupted t-a \text{ True}, ObsInterrupted t-a \} \}, RetVal (Bool True), h \}$ 
else  $\{ \{ \}, undefined \}$ 
else  $\{ \{ \}, undefined \}$ 

lemma red-external-imp-red-external-aggr:
   $P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle \implies (ta, va, h') \in \text{red-external-aggr } P t a M vs h$ 
unfolding red-external-aggr-def
by(auto elim!: red-external.cases split del: if-split simp add: split-beta)

end

context heap begin

lemma hext-heap-copy-loc:
   $heap\text{-copy}\text{-loc } a \text{ } a' \text{ } al \text{ } h \text{ } obs \text{ } h' \implies h \trianglelefteq h'$ 
by(blast elim: heap-copy-loc.cases dest: hext-heap-ops)

lemma hext-heap-copies:
  assumes heap-copies  $a \text{ } a' \text{ } als \text{ } h \text{ } obs \text{ } h'$ 
  shows  $h \trianglelefteq h'$ 
using assms by induct(blast intro: hext-heap-copy-loc hext-trans)+

lemma hext-heap-clone:
  assumes heap-clone  $P \text{ } h \text{ } a \text{ } h' \text{ } res$ 
  shows  $h \trianglelefteq h'$ 
using assms by(blast elim: heap-clone.cases dest: hext-heap-ops hext-heap-copies intro: hext-trans)

theorem red-external-hext:
  assumes  $P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$ 
  shows  $hext \text{ } h \text{ } h'$ 
using assms
by(cases)(blast intro: hext-heap-ops hext-heap-clone)+

lemma red-external-preserves-tconf:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; P, h \vdash t' \vee t \rrbracket \implies P, h' \vdash t' \vee t$ 
by(drule red-external-hext)(rule tconf-hext-mono)

end

context heap-conf begin

lemma typeof-addr-heap-clone:
  assumes heap-clone  $P \text{ } h \text{ } a \text{ } h' \vdash (obs, a')$ 
  and hconf  $h$ 
  shows typeof-addr  $h' \text{ } a' = \text{typeof-addr } h \text{ } a$ 
using assms
by cases (auto dest!: allocate-SomeD hext-heap-copies dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono typeof-addr-is-type

```

```

is-type-ArrayD)

end

context heap-base begin

lemma red-ext-new-thread-heap:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; NewThread t' ex h'' \in set \{ta\}_t \rrbracket \implies h'' = h'$ 
  by(auto elim: red-external.cases simp add: ta-upd-simps)

lemma red-ext-aggr-new-thread-heap:
   $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in red-external-aggr P t a M vs h; NewThread t' ex h'' \in set \{ta\}_t \rrbracket \implies h'' = h'$ 
  by(auto simp add: red-external-aggr-def is-native.simps split-beta ta-upd-simps split: if-split-asm)

end

context addr-conv begin

lemma red-external-new-thread-exists-thread-object:
   $\llbracket (P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; NewThread t' x h'' \in set \{ta\}_t) \implies \exists C. \text{typeof-addr } h' (\text{thread-id2addr } t') = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread}$ 
  by(auto elim!: red-external.cases dest!: Array-widen simp add: ta-upd-simps)

lemma red-external-aggr-new-thread-exists-thread-object:
   $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in red-external-aggr P t a M vs h; \text{typeof-addr } h a \neq \text{None}; NewThread t' x h'' \in set \{ta\}_t \implies \exists C. \text{typeof-addr } h' (\text{thread-id2addr } t') = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread}$ 
  by(auto simp add: red-external-aggr-def is-native.simps split-beta ta-upd-simps widen-Class split: if-split-asm dest!: Array-widen)

end

context heap begin

lemma red-external-aggr-hext:
   $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in red-external-aggr P t a M vs h; \text{is-native } P (\text{the } (\text{typeof-addr } h a)) M \rrbracket \implies h \trianglelefteq h'$ 
  apply(auto simp add: red-external-aggr-def split-beta is-native.simps elim!: external-WT-defs-cases hext-heap-clone split: if-split-asm)
  apply(auto elim!: external-WT-defs.cases dest!: sees-method-decl-above intro: widen-trans simp add: class-type-of-eq split: htype.split-asm)
  done

lemma red-external-aggr-preserves-tconf:
   $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in red-external-aggr P t a M vs h; \text{is-native } P (\text{the } (\text{typeof-addr } h a)) M; P, h \vdash t' \sqrt{t} \rrbracket \implies P, h' \vdash t' \sqrt{t}$ 
  by(blast dest: red-external-aggr-hext intro: tconf-hext-mono)

end

context heap-base begin

lemma red-external-Wakeup-no-Join-no-Lock-no-Interrupt:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; Notified \in set \{ta\}_w \vee WokenUp \in set \{ta\}_w \rrbracket \implies collect-locks \{ta\}_l = \{\} \wedge collect-cond-actions \{ta\}_c = \{\} \wedge collect-interrupts \{ta\}_i = \{\}$ 

```

```

by(auto elim!: red-external.cases simp add: ta-upd-simps collect-locks-def collect-interrupts-def)

lemma red-external-aggr-Wakeup-no-Join:
   $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in \text{red-external-aggr } P t a M vs h; Notified \in \text{set } \{ta\}_w \vee WokenUp \in \text{set } \{ta\}_w \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{collect-locks } \{ta\}_l = \{\} \wedge \text{collect-cond-actions } \{ta\}_c = \{\} \wedge \text{collect-interrupts } \{ta\}_i = \{\}$ 
by(auto simp add: red-external-aggr-def split-beta ta-upd-simps collect-locks-def collect-interrupts-def
split: if-split-asm)

lemma red-external-Suspend-StaySame:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a.M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; Suspend w \in \text{set } \{ta\}_w \rrbracket \implies va = RetStaySame$ 
by(auto elim!: red-external.cases simp add: ta-upd-simps)

lemma red-external-aggr-Suspend-StaySame:
   $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in \text{red-external-aggr } P t a M vs h; Suspend w \in \text{set } \{ta\}_w \rrbracket \implies va = RetStaySame$ 
by(auto simp add: red-external-aggr-def split-beta ta-upd-simps split: if-split-asm)

lemma red-external-Suspend-waitD:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a.M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; Suspend w \in \text{set } \{ta\}_w \rrbracket \implies M = wait$ 
by(auto elim!: red-external.cases simp add: ta-upd-simps)

lemma red-external-aggr-Suspend-waitD:
   $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in \text{red-external-aggr } P t a M vs h; Suspend w \in \text{set } \{ta\}_w \rrbracket \implies M = wait$ 
by(auto simp add: red-external-aggr-def split-beta ta-upd-simps split: if-split-asm)

lemma red-external-new-thread-sub-thread:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a.M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; NewThread t' (C, M', a') h'' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{typeof-addr } h' a' = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread} \wedge M' = run$ 
by(auto elim!: red-external.cases simp add: widen-Class ta-upd-simps)

lemma red-external-aggr-new-thread-sub-thread:
   $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in \text{red-external-aggr } P t a M vs h; \text{typeof-addr } h a \neq \text{None};$ 
   $NewThread t' (C, M', a') h'' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{typeof-addr } h' a' = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread} \wedge M' = run$ 
by(auto simp add: red-external-aggr-def split-beta ta-upd-simps widen-Class split: if-split-asm dest!: Array-widen)

lemma heap-copy-loc-length:
  assumes heap-copy-loc a a' al h obs h'
  shows length obs = 2
  using assms by(cases) simp

lemma heap-copies-length:
  assumes heap-copies a a' als h obs h'
  shows length obs = 2 * length als
  using assms by(induct)(auto dest!: heap-copy-loc-length)

end

```

3.11.4 τ -moves

```

inductive  $\tau_{\text{external-defs}}$  :: cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where

```

```

 $\tau_{external-defs} Object\ hashcode$ 
|  $\tau_{external-defs} Object\ currentThread$ 

definition  $\tau_{external} :: 'm\ prog \Rightarrow htype \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow bool$ 
where  $\tau_{external}\ P\ hT\ M \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ Ts\ Tr\ D.\ P \vdash class-type-of\ hT\ sees\ M : Ts \rightarrow Tr = Native\ in\ D \wedge \tau_{external-defs}\ D\ M)$ 

context heap-base begin

definition  $\tau_{external'} :: 'm\ prog \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow 'addr \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow bool$ 
where  $\tau_{external'}\ P\ h\ a\ M \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ hT.\ typeof-addr\ h\ a = Some\ hT \wedge \tau_{external}\ P\ hT\ M)$ 

lemma  $\tau_{external'}\text{-red-external-heap-unchanged}:$ 
 $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; \tau_{external'}\ P\ h\ a\ M \rrbracket \implies h' = h$ 
by(auto elim!: red-external.cases τexternal-defs.cases simp add: τexternal-def τexternal'-def)

lemma  $\tau_{external'}\text{-red-external-aggr-heap-unchanged}:$ 
 $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in red-external-aggr\ P\ t\ a\ M\ vs\ h; \tau_{external'}\ P\ h\ a\ M \rrbracket \implies h' = h$ 
by(auto elim!: τexternal-defs.cases simp add: τexternal-def τexternal'-def red-external-aggr-def)

lemma  $\tau_{external'}\text{-red-external-TA-empty}:$ 
 $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; \tau_{external'}\ P\ h\ a\ M \rrbracket \implies ta = \varepsilon$ 
by(auto elim!: red-external.cases τexternal-defs.cases simp add: τexternal-def τexternal'-def)

lemma  $\tau_{external'}\text{-red-external-aggr-TA-empty}:$ 
 $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in red-external-aggr\ P\ t\ a\ M\ vs\ h; \tau_{external'}\ P\ h\ a\ M \rrbracket \implies ta = \varepsilon$ 
by(auto elim!: τexternal-defs.cases simp add: τexternal-def τexternal'-def red-external-aggr-def)

lemma red-external-new-thread-addr-conf:
 $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; NewThread\ t\ (C, M, a')\ h'' \in set\ \{ta\}_t \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P, h' \vdash Addr\ a : \leq Class\ Thread$ 
by(auto elim!: red-external.cases simp add: conf-def ta-upd-simps)

lemma  $\tau_{external}\text{-red-external-aggr-heap-unchanged}:$ 
 $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in red-external-aggr\ P\ t\ a\ M\ vs\ h; \tau_{external}\ P\ (the\ (typeof-addr\ h\ a))\ M \rrbracket \implies h' = h$ 
by(auto elim!: τexternal-defs.cases simp add: τexternal-def red-external-aggr-def)

lemma  $\tau_{external}\text{-red-external-aggr-TA-empty}:$ 
 $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in red-external-aggr\ P\ t\ a\ M\ vs\ h; \tau_{external}\ P\ (the\ (typeof-addr\ h\ a))\ M \rrbracket \implies ta = \varepsilon$ 
by(auto elim!: τexternal-defs.cases simp add: τexternal-def red-external-aggr-def)

end

```

3.11.5 Code generation

code-pred

(modes:
 $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow bool$,
 $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$,
 $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$,
 $o \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$)
external-WT-defs

.

```

code-pred
  (modes:  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )
  [inductify, skip-proof]
  [is-native]

.

declare heap-base.heap-copy-loc.intros[code-pred-intro]

code-pred
  (modes:  $(i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )
  heap-base.heap-copy-loc
proof -
  case heap-copy-loc
  from heap-copy-loc.prem show thesis
    by(rule heap-base.heap-copy-loc.cases)(rule heap-copy-loc.that[OF refl refl refl refl refl refl refl])
qed

declare heap-base.heap-copies.intros [code-pred-intro]

code-pred
  (modes:  $(i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )
  heap-base.heap-copies
proof -
  case heap-copies
  from heap-copies.prem show thesis
    by(rule heap-base.heap-copies.cases)(erule (3) heap-copies.that[OF refl refl refl refl refl refl]|assumption)+
qed

declare heap-base.heap-clone.intros [folded Predicate-Compile.contains-def, code-pred-intro]

code-pred
  (modes:  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow (i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )
  heap-base.heap-clone
proof -
  case heap-clone
  from heap-clone.prem show thesis
    by(rule heap-base.heap-clone.cases[folded Predicate-Compile.contains-def])(erule (3) heap-clone.that[OF refl refl refl refl refl refl refl refl refl]|assumption)+
qed

  code_pred in Isabelle2012 cannot handle boolean parameters as premises properly, so this
  replacement rule explicitly tests for True

lemma (in heap-base) RedWaitSpurious-Code:
  spurious-wakeups = True  $\implies$ 
   $P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{wait}(\[]), h \rangle -\{\text{Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{ReleaseAcquire} \rightarrow a, \text{IsInterrupted } t \text{ False}, \text{SyncUnlock} \rangle \rightarrow \text{ext } \langle \text{RetVal } \text{Unit}, h \rangle$ 
by(rule RedWaitSpurious) simp

lemmas [code-pred-intro] =
  heap-base.RedNewThread heap-base.RedNewThreadFail
  heap-base.RedJoin heap-base.RedJoinInterrupt

```

3.12 Generic Well-formedness of programs

```
theory WellForm  
imports  
  SystemClasses  
  ExternalCall  
begin
```

This theory defines global well-formedness conditions for programs but does not look inside method bodies. Hence it works for both Jinja and JVM programs. Well-typing of expressions is defined elsewhere (in theory *WellType*).

Because JinjaThreads does not have method overloading, its policy for method overriding is the classical one: *covariant in the result type but contravariant in the argument types*. This means the result type of the overriding method becomes more specific, the argument types become more general.

type-synonym $'m\ wf\text{-}mdecl\text{-}test = 'm\ prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow 'm\ mdecl \Rightarrow bool$

definition *wf-fdecl* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow *fdecl* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *wf-fdecl P* \equiv $\lambda(F,T,fm).$ *is-type P T*

definition $wf\text{-}mdecl :: 'm wf\text{-}mdecl\text{-}test \Rightarrow 'm prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow 'm mdecl' \Rightarrow bool$ where
 $wf\text{-}mdecl wf\text{-}md P C \equiv$
 $\lambda(M, Ts, T, m). (\forall T \in set Ts. is\text{-}type P T) \wedge is\text{-}type P T \wedge$
 $(case m of Native \Rightarrow C \cdot M(Ts) :: T \mid [mb] \Rightarrow wf\text{-}md P C (M, Ts, T, mb))$

fun *wf-overriding* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow *cname* \Rightarrow '*m mdecl*' \Rightarrow bool
where

wf-overriding P D (M, Ts, T, m) =

$$(\forall D' Ts' T' m'. P \vdash D \text{ sees } M : Ts' \rightarrow T' = m' \text{ in } D' \longrightarrow P \vdash Ts' [\leq] Ts \wedge P \vdash T \leq T')$$

definition *wf-cdecl* :: '*m wf-mdecl-test* \Rightarrow '*m prog* \Rightarrow '*m cdecl* \Rightarrow *bool*
where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wf-cdecl wf-md } P &\equiv \lambda(C, (D, fs, ms)). \\ (\forall f \in \text{set } fs. \text{ wf-fdecl } P f) \wedge \text{distinct-fst } fs \wedge \\ (\forall m \in \text{set } ms. \text{ wf-mdecl wf-md } P C m) \wedge \\ \text{distinct-fst } ms \wedge \\ (C \neq \text{Object} \longrightarrow \\ \text{is-class } P D \wedge \neg P \vdash D \preceq^* C \wedge \\ (\forall m \in \text{set } ms. \text{ wf-overriding } P D m)) \wedge \\ (C = \text{Thread} \longrightarrow (\exists m. (\text{run}, \square, \text{Void}, m) \in \text{set } ms)) \end{aligned}$$

definition *wf-prog* :: '*m wf-mdecl-test* \Rightarrow '*m prog* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

$$\text{wf-prog wf-md } P \longleftrightarrow \text{wf-syscls } P \wedge \text{distinct-fst } (\text{classes } P) \wedge (\forall c \in \text{set } (\text{classes } P). \text{ wf-cdecl wf-md } P c)$$

lemma *wf-prog-def2*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wf-prog wf-md } P &\longleftrightarrow \text{wf-syscls } P \wedge (\forall C \text{ rest. class } P C = [\text{rest}] \longrightarrow \text{wf-cdecl wf-md } P (C, \text{rest})) \\ &\wedge \text{distinct-fst } (\text{classes } P) \\ \text{by (cases } P\text{)}(\text{auto simp add: wf-prog-def dest: map-of-SomeD map-of-SomeI}) \end{aligned}$$

3.12.1 Well-formedness lemmas

lemma *wf-prog-wf-syscls*: *wf-prog wf-md P* \Longrightarrow *wf-syscls P*
by (*simp add: wf-prog-def*)

lemma *class-wf*:

$$[\text{class } P C = \text{Some } c; \text{ wf-prog wf-md } P] \Longrightarrow \text{wf-cdecl wf-md } P (C, c)$$

by (*cases P*) (*fastforce dest: map-of-SomeD simp add: wf-prog-def*)

lemma [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes } \text{wf-prog wf-md } P \\ \text{shows } \text{class-Object: } \exists C fs ms. \text{ class } P \text{ Object} = \text{Some } (C, fs, ms) \\ \text{and class-Thread: } \exists C fs ms. \text{ class } P \text{ Thread} = \text{Some } (C, fs, ms) \\ \text{using wf-prog-wf-syscls[OF assms]} \\ \text{by (rule wf-syscls-class-Object wf-syscls-class-Thread)+} \end{aligned}$$

lemma [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes } \text{wf-prog wf-md } P \\ \text{shows is-class-Object: } \text{is-class } P \text{ Object} \\ \text{and is-class-Thread: } \text{is-class } P \text{ Thread} \\ \text{using wf-prog-wf-syscls[OF assms] by simp-all} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *xcpt-subcls-Throwable*:

$$[\text{C } \in \text{sys-xcpts}; \text{ wf-prog wf-md } P] \Longrightarrow P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable}$$

by (*simp add: wf-prog-wf-syscls wf-syscls-xcpt-subcls-Throwable*)

lemma *is-class-Throwable*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wf-prog wf-md } P &\Longrightarrow \text{is-class } P \text{ Throwable} \\ \text{by (rule wf-prog-wf-syscls wf-syscls-is-class-Throwable)+} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *is-class-sub-Throwable*:

$\llbracket \text{wf-prog wf-md } P; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable} \rrbracket \implies \text{is-class } P \ C$
by(rule wf-syscls-is-class-sub-Throwable[*OF wf-prog-wf-syscls*])

lemma *is-class-xcpt*:

$\llbracket C \in \text{sys-xcpts}; \text{wf-prog wf-md } P \rrbracket \implies \text{is-class } P \ C$
by(rule wf-syscls-is-class-xcpt[*OF - wf-prog-wf-syscls*])

context *heap-base* **begin**

lemma *wf-preallocatedE*:

assumes *wf-prog wf-md P*
and *preallocated h*
and *C ∈ sys-xcpts*
obtains *typeof-addr h (addr-of-sys-xcpt C) = ⌊ Class-type C ⌋ P ⊢ C ⊲* Throwble*

proof –

from ⟨*preallocated h*⟩ ⟨*C ∈ sys-xcpts*⟩ **have** *typeof-addr h (addr-of-sys-xcpt C) = ⌊ Class-type C ⌋*
by(rule *typeof-addr-sys-xcp*)
moreover from ⟨*C ∈ sys-xcpts*⟩ ⟨*wf-prog wf-md P*⟩ **have** *P ⊢ C ⊲* Throwble* **by**(rule *xcpt-subcls-Throwable*)
ultimately show *thesis* **by**(rule *that*)

qed

lemma *wf-preallocatedD*:

assumes *wf-prog wf-md P*
and *preallocated h*
and *C ∈ sys-xcpts*
shows *typeof-addr h (addr-of-sys-xcpt C) = ⌊ Class-type C ⌋ ∧ P ⊢ C ⊲* Throwble*
using assms
by(rule *wf-preallocatedE*) *blast*

end

lemma (**in** *heap-conf*) *hconf-start-heap*:

wf-prog wf-md P \implies *hconf start-heap*

unfolding *start-heap-def start-heap-data-def initialization-list-def sys-xcpts-list-def*

using *hconf-empty*

by –(*simp add: create-initial-object-simps del: hconf-empty, clar simp split: prod.split elim!: not-empty-pairE simp del: hconf-empty, drule (1) allocate-Eps, drule (1) hconf-allocate-mono, simp add: is-class-xcpt*)+

lemma *subcls1-wfD*:

$\llbracket P \vdash C \prec^1 D; \text{wf-prog wf-md } P \rrbracket \implies D \neq C \wedge \neg (\text{subcls1 } P)^{++} D \ C$

apply(*frule tranclp.r-into-trancl[where r=subcls1 P]*)

apply(*drule subcls1D*)

apply(*clarify*)

apply(*drule (1) class-wf*)

apply(*unfold wf-cdecl-def*)

apply(*rule congI*)

apply(*force*)

apply(*unfold reflclp-tranclp[symmetric, where r=subcls1 P]*)

apply(*blast*)

done

lemma *wf-cdecl-supD*:

$\llbracket \text{wf-cdecl wf-md } P (C,D,r); C \neq \text{Object} \rrbracket \implies \text{is-class } P \ D$

lemma *subcls-asym*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wf-md } P; (\text{subcls1 } P)^{++} \ C \ D \rrbracket \implies \neg (\text{subcls1 } P)^{++} \ D \ C$ 

lemma subcls-irrefl:
 $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wf-md } P; (\text{subcls1 } P)^{++} \ C \ D \rrbracket \implies C \neq D$ 
lemma acyclicP-def:
 $\text{acyclicP } r \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \neg r \hat{+}+ x \ x)$ 
unfolding acyclic-def trancl-def
by(auto)

lemma acyclic-subcls1:
 $\text{wf-prog wf-md } P \implies \text{acyclicP } (\text{subcls1 } P)$ 
by(unfold acyclicP-def)(fast dest: subcls-irrefl)

lemma finite-conversep:  $\text{finite } \{(x, y). r^{-1-1} x \ y\} = \text{finite } \{(x, y). r \ x \ y\}$ 
by(subst finite-converse[unfolded converse-unfold, symmetric]) simp

lemma acyclicP-wf-subcls1:
 $\text{acyclicP } (\text{subcls1 } P) \implies \text{wfP } ((\text{subcls1 } P)^{-1-1})$ 
unfolding wfP-def
by(rule finite-acyclic-wf)(simp-all only: finite-conversep finite-subcls1 acyclicP-converse)

lemma wf-subcls1:
 $\text{wf-prog wf-md } P \implies \text{wfP } ((\text{subcls1 } P)^{-1-1})$ 
by(rule acyclicP-wf-subcls1)(rule acyclic-subcls1)

lemma single-valued-subcls1:
 $\text{wf-prog wf-md } G \implies \text{single-valuedp } (\text{subcls1 } G)$ 

lemma subcls-induct:
 $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wf-md } P; \bigwedge C. \forall D. (\text{subcls1 } P)^{++} \ C \ D \longrightarrow Q \ D \implies Q \ C \rrbracket \implies Q \ C$ 

lemma subcls1-induct-aux:
 $\llbracket \text{is-class } P \ C; \text{wf-prog wf-md } P; Q \ \text{Object};$ 
 $\bigwedge C \ D \ f \ s \ m \ s.$ 
 $\llbracket C \neq \text{Object}; \text{is-class } P \ C; \text{class } P \ C = \text{Some } (D, f \ s, m \ s) \wedge$ 
 $\text{wf-cdecl wf-md } P \ (C, D, f \ s, m \ s) \wedge P \vdash C \prec^1 D \wedge \text{is-class } P \ D \wedge Q \ D \rrbracket \implies Q \ C \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies Q \ C$ 
lemma subcls1-induct [consumes 2, case-names Object Subcls]:
 $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wf-md } P; \text{is-class } P \ C; Q \ \text{Object};$ 
 $\bigwedge C \ D. \llbracket C \neq \text{Object}; P \vdash C \prec^1 D; \text{is-class } P \ D; Q \ D \rrbracket \implies Q \ C \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies Q \ C$ 

lemma subcls-C-Object:
 $\llbracket \text{is-class } P \ C; \text{wf-prog wf-md } P \rrbracket \implies P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Object}$ 
lemma converse-subcls-is-class:
assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
shows  $\llbracket P \vdash C \preceq^* D; \text{is-class } P \ C \rrbracket \implies \text{is-class } P \ D$ 
proof(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)
assume is-class P C
thus is-class P C .

next
fix D E
assume PDE: P ⊢ D ⊲¹ E
and IH: is-class P C ⇒ is-class P D

```

```

and iPC: is-class P C
have is-class P D by (rule IH[OF iPC])
with PDE obtain fsD MsD where classD: class P D = |(E, fsD, MsD)|
  by(auto simp add: is-class-def elim!: subcls1.cases)
thus is-class P E using wf PDE
  by(auto elim!: subcls1.cases dest: class-wf simp: wf-cdecl-def)
qed

```

```

lemma is-class-is-subcls:
  wf-prog m P ==> is-class P C = P ⊢ C ⊢* Object
lemma subcls-antisym:
  [|wf-prog m P; P ⊢ C ⊢* D; P ⊢ D ⊢* C|] ==> C = D
apply(drule acyclic-subcls1)
apply(drule acyclic-impl-antisym-rtrancl)
apply(drule antisymD)
apply(unfold Transitive-Closure.rtrancl-def)
apply(auto)
done

```

```

lemma is-type-pTs:
  [| wf-prog wf-md P; class P C = |(S,fs,ms)|; (M,Ts,T,m) ∈ set ms |] ==> set Ts ⊆ types P
by(fastforce dest: class-wf simp add: wf-cdecl-def wf-mdecl-def)

```

```

lemma widen-asym-1:
  assumes wfP: wf-prog wf-md P
  shows P ⊢ C ≤ D ==> C = D ∨ ¬(P ⊢ D ≤ C)
proof (erule widen.induct)
  fix T
  show T = T ∨ ¬(P ⊢ T ≤ T) by simp
next
fix C D
assume CscD: P ⊢ C ⊢* D
then have CpscD: C = D ∨ (C ≠ D ∧ (subcls1 P)++ C D) by (simp add: rtranclpD)
{ assume P ⊢ D ⊢* C
  then have DpscC: D = C ∨ (D ≠ C ∧ (subcls1 P)++ D C) by (simp add: rtranclpD)
  { assume (subcls1 P)++ D C
    with wfP have CnscD: ¬(subcls1 P)++ C D by (rule subcls-asym)
    with CpscD have C = D by simp
  }
  with DpscC have C = D by blast
}
hence Class C = Class D ∨ ¬(P ⊢ D ⊢* C) by blast
thus Class C = Class D ∨ ¬P ⊢ Class D ≤ Class C by simp

```

```

next
fix C
show NT = Class C ∨ ¬P ⊢ Class C ≤ NT by simp
next
fix A
{ assume P ⊢ A[] ≤ NT
  hence A[] = NT by fastforce
  hence False by simp }
hence ¬(P ⊢ A[] ≤ NT) by blast
thus NT = A[] ∨ ¬P ⊢ A[] ≤ NT by simp
next

```

```

fix A
show A[] = Class Object  $\vee \neg P \vdash$  Class Object  $\leq A[]$ 
  by(auto dest: Object-widen)
next
  fix A B
  assume AsU:  $P \vdash A \leq B$  and BnpscA:  $A = B \vee \neg P \vdash B \leq A$ 
  { assume P ⊢ B[]  $\leq A[]$ 
    hence P ⊢ B ≤ A by (auto dest: Array-Array-widen)
    with BnpscA have A = B by blast
    hence A[] = B[] by simp }
  thus A[] = B[]  $\vee \neg P \vdash B[] \leq A[]$  by blast
qed

lemma widen-asym:  $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wf-md } P; P \vdash C \leq D; C \neq D \rrbracket \implies \neg (P \vdash D \leq C)$ 
proof –
  assume wfP: wf-prog wf-md P and CsD:  $P \vdash C \leq D$  and CneqD:  $C \neq D$ 
  from wfP CsD have C = D  $\vee \neg (P \vdash D \leq C)$  by (rule widen-asym-1)
  with CneqD show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma widen-antisym:
   $\llbracket \text{wf-prog } m \text{ } P; P \vdash T \leq U; P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket \implies T = U$ 
  by(auto dest: widen-asym)

lemma widen-C-Object:  $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wf-md } P; \text{is-class } P \text{ } C \rrbracket \implies P \vdash \text{Class } C \leq \text{Class Object}$ 
  by(simp add: subcls-C-Object)

lemma is-refType-widen-Object:
  assumes wfP: wf-prog wfmc P
  shows  $\llbracket \text{is-type } P \text{ } A; \text{is-refT } A \rrbracket \implies P \vdash A \leq \text{Class Object}$ 
  by(induct A)(auto elim: refTE intro: subcls-C-Object[OF - wfP] widen-array-object)

lemma is-lub-unique:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  shows  $\llbracket P \vdash \text{lub}(U, V) = T; P \vdash \text{lub}(U, V) = T' \rrbracket \implies T = T'$ 
  by(auto elim!: is-lub.cases intro: widen-antisym[OF wf])

```

3.12.2 Well-formedness and method lookup

```

lemma sees-wf-mdecl:
   $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wf-md } P; P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D \rrbracket \implies \text{wf-mdecl wf-md } P \text{ } D \text{ } (M, Ts, T, m)$ 

lemma sees-method-mono [rule-format (no-asm)]:
   $\llbracket P \vdash C' \preceq^* C; \text{wf-prog wf-md } P \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\forall D \text{ } Ts \text{ } T \text{ } m. \ P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D \longrightarrow$ 
   $(\exists D' \text{ } Ts' \text{ } T' \text{ } m'. \ P \vdash C' \text{ sees } M : Ts' \rightarrow T' = m' \text{ in } D' \wedge P \vdash Ts \leq Ts' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T)$ 
apply( drule rtranclpD)
apply( erule disjE)
apply( fastforce intro: widen-refl widens-refl)
apply( erule conjE)
apply( erule tranclp-trans-induct)
prefer 2
apply( clarify)
apply( drule spec, drule spec, drule spec, drule spec, erule (1) impE)

```

```

apply clarify
apply( fast elim: widen-trans widens-trans)
apply( clarify)
apply( drule subcls1D)
apply( clarify)
apply(clarsimp simp:Method-def)
apply(frule (2) sees-methods-rec)
apply(rule refl)
apply(case-tac map-of ms M)
apply(rule-tac x = D in exI)
apply(rule-tac x = Ts in exI)
apply(rule-tac x = T in exI)
apply(clarsimp simp add: widens-refl)
apply(rule-tac x = m in exI)
apply(fastforce simp add:map-add-def split:option.split)
apply clarsimp
apply(rename-tac Ts' T' m')
apply( drule (1) class-wf)
apply( unfold wf-cdecl-def Method-def)
apply( frule map-of-SomeD)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(drule (1) bspec)+
apply clarsimp
apply(erule-tac x=D in allE)
apply(erule-tac x=Ts in allE)
apply(rotate-tac -1)
apply(erule-tac x=T in allE)
apply(fastforce simp:map-add-def Method-def split:option.split)
done

```

lemma sees-method-mono2:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P \vdash C' \preceq^* C; wf\text{-prog } wf\text{-md } P; \\ & \quad P \vdash C \text{ sees } M:Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D; P \vdash C' \text{ sees } M:Ts' \rightarrow T' = m' \text{ in } D' \rrbracket \\ & \implies P \vdash Ts \leq Ts' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T \end{aligned}$$

lemma mdecls-visible:

assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P **and** class: is-class P C
shows $\bigwedge D \bigwedge fs \bigwedge ms. \text{class } P C = \text{Some}(D,fs,ms)$
 $\implies \exists Mm. P \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm \wedge (\forall (M,Ts,T,m) \in \text{set } ms. Mm M = \text{Some}((Ts,T,m),C))$

using wf class

proof (induct rule:subcls1-induct)

case Object

with wf **have** distinct-fst ms

by(auto dest: class-wf simp add: wf-cdecl-def)

with Object **show** ?case **by**(fastforce intro!: sees-methods-Object map-of-SomeI)

next

case Subcls

with wf **have** distinct-fst ms

by(auto dest: class-wf simp add: wf-cdecl-def)

with Subcls **show** ?case

by(fastforce elim:sees-methods-rec dest:subcls1D map-of-SomeI
simp:is-class-def)

qed

lemma *mdecl-visible*:

assumes *wf*: *wf-prog wf-md P* **and** *C*: *class P C = [(S, fs, ms)]* **and** *m*: *(M, Ts, T, m) ∈ set ms*

shows *P ⊢ C sees M : Ts → T = m in C*

proof –

from *C* **have** *is-class P C* **by** (*auto simp:is-class-def*)

with assms show *?thesis*

by (*bestsimp simp:Method-def dest:mdecls-visible*)

qed

lemma *sees-wf-native*:

[*wf-prog wf-md P; P ⊢ C sees M : Ts → T = Native in D*] $\implies D \cdot M(Ts) :: T$

apply (*drule (1) sees-wf-mdecl*)

apply (*simp add: wf-mdecl-def*)

done

lemma *Call-lemma*:

[*P ⊢ C sees M : Ts → T = m in D; P ⊢ C' ⊑* C; wf-prog wf-md P*]
 $\implies \exists D' Ts' T' m'. P \vdash C' \text{ sees } M : Ts' \rightarrow T' = m' \text{ in } D' \wedge P \vdash Ts \leq Ts' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T \wedge P \vdash C' \subseteq D'$
 $\wedge \text{is-type } P T' \wedge (\forall T \in \text{set } Ts'. \text{is-type } P T) \wedge (m' \neq \text{Native} \longrightarrow \text{wf-md } P D' (M, Ts', T', \text{the } m'))$

apply (*frule (2) sees-method-mono*)

apply (*fastforce intro:sees-method-decl-above dest:sees-wf-mdecl*
simp: wf-mdecl-def)

done

lemma *sub-Thread-sees-run*:

assumes *wf*: *wf-prog wf-md P*

and *PCThread*: *P ⊢ C ⊑* Thread*

shows $\exists D \text{ mthd}. P \vdash C \text{ sees run}: [] \rightarrow \text{Void} = [\text{mthd}] \text{ in } D$

proof –

from *class-Thread[OF wf]* **obtain** *T' fsT MsT*

where *classT*: *class P Thread = [(T', fsT, MsT)]* **by** *blast*

hence *wfcThread*: *wf-cdecl wf-md P (Thread, T', fsT, MsT)* **using** *wf* **by** (*rule class-wf*)

then obtain *mrunT* **where** *runThread*: *(run, [], Void, mrunT) ∈ set MsT*

by (*auto simp add: wf-cdecl-def*)

moreover have $\exists MmT. P \vdash \text{Thread sees-methods } MmT \wedge$
 $(\forall (M, Ts, T, m) \in \text{set MsT}. MmT M = \text{Some}((Ts, T, m), Thread))$

by (*rule mdecls-visible[OF wf is-class-Thread[OF wf] classT]*)

then obtain *MmT* **where** *ThreadMmT*: *P ⊢ Thread sees-methods MmT*

and *MmT*: $\forall (M, Ts, T, m) \in \text{set MsT}. MmT M = \text{Some}((Ts, T, m), Thread)$

by *blast*

ultimately obtain *mthd*

where *MmT run = [([], Void, mthd), Thread]*

by (*fastforce*)

with *ThreadMmT* **have** *Tseesrun*: *P ⊢ Thread sees run: [] → Void = mthd in Thread*

by (*auto simp add: Method-def*)

from *sees-method-mono[OF PCThread wf Tseesrun]*

obtain *D' m'* **where** *P ⊢ C sees run: [] → Void = m' in D'* **by** *auto*

moreover have *m' ≠ None*

proof

assume *m' = None*

with *wf <P ⊢ C sees run: [] → Void = m' in D'>* **have** *D' · run([]) :: Void*

```

by(auto intro: sees-wf-native)
thus False by cases auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma wf-prog-lift:
assumes wf: wf-prog (λP C bd. A P C bd) P
and rule:
  wf-md C M Ts C T m.
  [ wf-prog wf-md P; P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T = [m] in C; is-class P C; set Ts ⊆ types P; A P C
  (M,Ts,T,m) ]
  ==> B P C (M,Ts,T,m)
shows wf-prog (λP C bd. B P C bd) P
proof(cases P)
  case (Program P')
  thus ?thesis using wf
    apply(clarsimp simp add: wf-prog-def wf-cdecl-def)
    apply(drule (1) bspec)
    apply(rename-tac C D fs ms)
    apply(subgoal-tac is-class P C)
    prefer 2
    apply(simp add: is-class-def)
    apply(drule weak-map-of-SomeI)
    apply(simp add: Program)
    apply(clarsimp simp add: Program wf-mdecl-def split del: option.split)
    apply(drule (1) bspec)
    apply clarsimp
    apply(rule conjI)
    applyclarsimp
    applyclarsimp
    apply(frule (1) map-of-SomeI)
    apply(rule rule[OF wf, unfolded Program])
    apply(clarsimp simp add: is-class-def)
    apply(rule mdecl-visible[OF wf[unfolded Program]])
    apply(fastforce intro: is-type-pTs [OF wf, unfolded Program])+
    done
qed

```

3.12.3 Well-formedness and field lookup

```

lemma wf-Fields-Ex:
  [ wf-prog wf-md P; is-class P C ] ==> ∃ FDTs. P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs

lemma has-fields-types:
  [ P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs; (FD, T, fm) ∈ set FDTs; wf-prog wf-md P ] ==> is-type P T

lemma sees-field-is-type:
  [ P ⊢ C sees F:T (fm) in D; wf-prog wf-md P ] ==> is-type P T
by(fastforce simp: sees-field-def
  elim: has-fields-types map-of-SomeD[OF map-of-remap-SomeD])

lemma wf-has-field-mono2:
assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P

```

```

and has:  $P \vdash C \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } E$ 
shows  $\llbracket P \vdash C \preceq^* D; P \vdash D \preceq^* E \rrbracket \implies P \vdash D \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } E$ 
proof(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)
  case base show ?case using has .
next
  case (step D D')
  note DsubD' = < $P \vdash D \prec^1 D'$ >
  from DsubD' obtain rest where classD: class P D =  $\lfloor (D', \text{rest}) \rfloor$ 
    and DObj:  $D \neq \text{Object}$  by(auto elim!: subcls1.cases)
  from DsubD' < $P \vdash D' \preceq^* E$ > have DsubE:  $P \vdash D \preceq^* E$  and DsubE2:  $(\text{subcls1 } P) \hat{\wedge}+ D E$ 
    by(rule converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp rtranclp-into-tranclp2)+
  from wf DsubE2 have DnE:  $D \neq E$  by(rule subcls-irrefl)
  from DsubE have hasD:  $P \vdash D \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } E$  by(rule < $P \vdash D \preceq^* E \implies P \vdash D \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } E$ >)
  then obtain FDTs where hasf:  $P \vdash D \text{ has-fields FDTs}$  and FE: map-of FDTs (F, E) =  $\lfloor (T, \text{fm}) \rfloor$ 
    unfolding has-field-def by blast
  from hasf show ?case
  proof cases
    case has-fields-Object with DObj show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (has-fields-rec DD' fs ms FDTs')
      with classD have [simp]:  $DD' = D'$  rest = (fs, ms)
        and hasf':  $P \vdash D' \text{ has-fields FDTs}'$ 
        and FDTs:  $FDTs = \text{map } (\lambda(F, Tm). ((F, D), Tm)) fs @ FDTs'$  by auto
      from FDTs FE DnE hasf' show ?thesis by(auto dest: map-of-SomeD simp add: has-field-def)
  qed
qed

lemma wf-has-field-idemp:
   $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wf-md } P; P \vdash C \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D \rrbracket \implies P \vdash D \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D$ 
apply(frule has-field-decl-above)
apply(erule (2) wf-has-field-mono2)
apply(rule rtranclp.rtrancl-refl)
done

lemma map-of-remap-conv:
   $\llbracket \text{distinct-fst } fs; \text{map-of } (\text{map } (\lambda(F, y). ((F, D), y)) fs) (F, D) = \lfloor T \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \text{map-of } (\text{map } (\lambda((F, D), T). (F, D, T)) (\text{map } (\lambda(F, y). ((F, D), y)) fs)) F = \lfloor (D, T) \rfloor$ 
apply(induct fs)
apply auto
done

lemma has-field-idemp-sees-field:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and has:  $P \vdash D \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D$ 
  shows  $P \vdash D \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D$ 
proof -
  from has obtain FDTs where hasf:  $P \vdash D \text{ has-fields FDTs}$ 
    and FD:  $\text{map-of } FDTs (F, D) = \lfloor (T, \text{fm}) \rfloor$  unfolding has-field-def by blast
  from hasf have map-of:  $\text{map } (\lambda((F, D), T). (F, D, T)) FDTs F = \lfloor (D, T, \text{fm}) \rfloor$ 
  proof cases
    case (has-fields-Object D' fs ms)
    from <class P Object =  $\lfloor (D', fs, ms) \rfloor$ > wf
    have wf-cdecl wf-md P (Object, D', fs, ms) by(rule class-wf)
  
```

```

hence distinct-fst fs by(simp add: wf-cdecl-def)
with FD has-fields-Object show ?thesis by(auto intro: map-of-remap-conv simp del: map-map)
next
  case (has-fields-rec D' fs ms FDTs')
  hence [simp]: FDTs = map ((λ(F, Tm). ((F, D), Tm)) fs @ FDTs')
    and classD: class P D = [(D', fs, ms)] and DnObj: D ≠ Object
    and hasf': P ⊢ D' has-fields FDTs' by auto
  from <class P D = [(D', fs, ms)]> wf
  have wf-cdecl wf-md P (D, D', fs, ms) by(rule class-wf)
  hence distinct-fst fs by(simp add: wf-cdecl-def)
  moreover have map-of FDTs' (F, D) = None
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume map-of FDTs' (F, D) ≠ None
    then obtain T' fm' where map-of FDTs' (F, D) = [(T', fm')] by(auto)
    with hasf' have P ⊢ D' ⊑* D by(auto dest!: map-of-SomeD intro: has-fields-decl-above)
    with classD DnObj have (subcls1 P) ^++ D D
      by(auto intro: subcls1.intros rtranclp-into-tranclp2)
    with wf show False by(auto dest: subcls-irrefl)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using FD hasf'
    by(auto simp add: map-add-Some-iff intro: map-of-remap-conv simp del: map-map)
qed
with hasf show ?thesis unfolding sees-field-def by blast
qed

lemma has-fields-distinct:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs
  shows distinct (map fst FDTs)
using <P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs>
proof(induct)
  case (has-fields-Object D fs ms FDTs)
  have eq: map (fst o (λ(F, y). ((F, Object), y))) fs = map ((λF. (F, Object)) o fst) fs by(auto)
  from <class P Object = [(D, fs, ms)]> wf
  have wf-cdecl wf-md P (Object, D, fs, ms) by(rule class-wf)
  hence distinct (map fst fs) by(simp add: wf-cdecl-def distinct-fst-def)
  hence distinct (map (fst o (λ(F, y). ((F, Object), y))) fs)
    unfolding eq distinct-map by(auto intro: comp-inj-on inj-onI)
  thus ?case using <FDTs = map ((λ(F, T). ((F, Object), T)) fs)> by(simp)
next
  case (has-fields-rec C D fs ms FDTs FDTs')
  have eq: map (fst o (λ(F, y). ((F, C), y))) fs = map ((λF. (F, C)) o fst) fs by(auto)
  from <class P C = [(D, fs, ms)]> wf
  have wf-cdecl wf-md P (C, D, fs, ms) by(rule class-wf)
  hence distinct (map fst fs) by(simp add: wf-cdecl-def distinct-fst-def)
  hence distinct (map (fst o (λ(F, y). ((F, C), y))) fs)
    unfolding eq distinct-map by(auto intro: comp-inj-on inj-onI)
  moreover from <class P C = [(D, fs, ms)]> <C ≠ Object>
  have P ⊢ C ⊑^1 D by(rule subcls1.intros)
  with <P ⊢ D has-fields FDTs>
  have (fst o (λ(F, y). ((F, C), y))) ` set fs ∩ fst ` set FDTs = {}
    by(auto dest: subcls-notin-has-fields)
  ultimately show ?case using <FDTs' = map ((λ(F, T). ((F, C), T)) fs @ FDTs)> <distinct (map
fst FDTs)> by simp

```

qed

3.12.4 Code generation

code-pred

(modes: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$)
 [inductify]
 wf-overriding

.

Separate subclass acyclicity from class declaration check. Otherwise, cyclic class hierarchies might lead to non-termination as *Methods* recurses over the class hierarchy.

definition acyclic-class-hierarchy :: ' m prog \Rightarrow bool

where

acyclic-class-hierarchy $P \longleftrightarrow (\forall (C, D, fs, ml) \in \text{set}(\text{classes } P). C \neq \text{Object} \longrightarrow \neg P \vdash D \preceq^* C)$

definition wf-cdecl' :: ' m wf-mdecl-test \Rightarrow ' m prog \Rightarrow ' m cdecl \Rightarrow bool

where

wf-cdecl' wf-md $P = (\lambda(C, (D, fs, ms)).$
 $(\forall f \in \text{set } fs. wf-fdecl P f) \wedge \text{distinct-fst } fs \wedge$
 $(\forall m \in \text{set } ms. wf-mdecl wf-md P C m) \wedge$
 $\text{distinct-fst } ms \wedge$
 $(C \neq \text{Object} \longrightarrow \text{is-class } P D \wedge (\forall m \in \text{set } ms. wf-overriding P D m)) \wedge$
 $(C = \text{Thread} \longrightarrow (\exists m. (run, [], Void, m) \in \text{set } ms)))$

lemma acyclic-class-hierarchy-code [code]:

acyclic-class-hierarchy $P \longleftrightarrow (\forall (C, D, fs, ml) \in \text{set}(\text{classes } P). C \neq \text{Object} \longrightarrow \neg \text{subcls}' P D C)$
by (simp add: acyclic-class-hierarchy-def subcls'-def)

lemma wf-cdecl'-code [code]:

wf-cdecl' wf-md $P = (\lambda(C, (D, fs, ms)).$
 $(\forall f \in \text{set } fs. wf-fdecl P f) \wedge \text{distinct-fst } fs \wedge$
 $(\forall m \in \text{set } ms. wf-mdecl wf-md P C m) \wedge$
 $\text{distinct-fst } ms \wedge$
 $(C \neq \text{Object} \longrightarrow \text{is-class } P D \wedge (\forall m \in \text{set } ms. wf-overriding P D m)) \wedge$
 $(C = \text{Thread} \longrightarrow ((\text{run}, [], \text{Void}) \in \text{set}(\text{map}(\lambda(M, Ts, T, b). (M, Ts, T)) ms)))$
by (auto simp add: wf-cdecl'-def intro!: ext intro: rev-image-eqI)

declare set-append [symmetric, code-unfold]

lemma wf-prog-code [code]:

wf-prog wf-md $P \longleftrightarrow$
 acyclic-class-hierarchy $P \wedge$
 wf-syscls $P \wedge \text{distinct-fst}(\text{classes } P) \wedge$
 $(\forall c \in \text{set}(\text{classes } P). wf-cdecl' wf-md P c)$

unfolding wf-prog-def wf-cdecl-def wf-cdecl'-def acyclic-class-hierarchy-def split-def
by blast

end

3.13 Properties of external calls in well-formed programs

theory ExternalCallWF

```

imports
  WellForm
  ../../Framework/FWSemantics
begin

lemma external-WT-defs-is-type:
  assumes wf-prog wf-md P and C.M(Ts) :: T
  shows is-class P C and is-type P T set Ts ⊆ types P
using assms by(auto elim: external-WT-defs.cases)

context heap-base begin

lemma WT-red-external-aggr-imp-red-external:
  [ wf-prog wf-md P; (ta, va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M vs h; P,h ⊢ a·M(vs) : U; P,h ⊢ t √t ]
  ==> P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ -ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩
apply(drule tconfD)
apply(erule external-WT'.cases)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(drule (1) sees-wf-native)
apply(erule external-WT-defs.cases)
apply(case-tac [|] hT)
apply(auto 4 4 simp add: red-external-aggr-def widen-Class intro: red-external.intros heap-base.red-external.intros[where
addr2thread-id=addr2thread-id and thread-id2addr=thread-id2addr and spurious-wakeups=True and
empty-heap=empty-heap and allocate=allocate and typeof-addr=typeof-addr and heap-read=heap-read
and heap-write=heap-write] heap-base.red-external.intros[where addr2thread-id=addr2thread-id and
thread-id2addr=thread-id2addr and spurious-wakeups=False and empty-heap=empty-heap and
allocate=allocate and typeof-addr=typeof-addr and heap-read=heap-read and heap-write=heap-write]
split: if-split-asm dest: sees-method-decl-above)
done

lemma WT-red-external-list-conv:
  [ wf-prog wf-md P; P,h ⊢ a·M(vs) : U; P,h ⊢ t √t ]
  ==> P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ -ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩ ↔ (ta, va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M vs h
by(blast intro: WT-red-external-aggr-imp-red-external red-external-imp-red-external-aggr)

lemma red-external-new-thread-sees:
  [ wf-prog wf-md P; P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ -ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩; NewThread t' (C, M', a') h'' ∈ set {ta}t ]
  ==> typeof-addr h' a' = [Class-type C] ∧ (∃ T meth D. P ⊢ C sees M':[] → T = [meth] in D)
by(fastforce elim!: red-external.cases simp add: widen-Class ta-upd-simps dest: sub-Thread-sees-run)

end

```

3.13.1 Preservation of heap conformance

```
context heap-conf-read begin
```

```

lemma hconf-heap-copy-loc-mono:
  assumes heap-copy-loc a a' al h obs h'
  and hconf h
  and P,h ⊢ a@al : T P,h ⊢ a'@al : T
  shows hconf h'
proof -
  from ⟨heap-copy-loc a a' al h obs h'⟩ obtain v
  where read: heap-read h a al v

```

```

and write: heap-write  $h a' al v h'$  by cases auto
from read  $\langle P, h \vdash a@al : T \rangle \langle hconf h \rangle$  have  $P, h \vdash v : \leq T$ 
  by(rule heap-read-conf)
with write  $\langle hconf h \rangle \langle P, h \vdash a'@al : T \rangle$  show ?thesis
  by(rule hconf-heap-write-mono)
qed

lemma hconf-heap-copies-mono:
assumes heap-copies  $a a'$  als  $h$  obs  $h'$ 
and hconf  $h$ 
and list-all2  $(\lambda al T. P, h \vdash a@al : T)$  als  $Ts$ 
and list-all2  $(\lambda al T. P, h \vdash a'@al : T)$  als  $Ts$ 
shows hconf  $h'$ 
using assms
proof(induct arbitrary:  $Ts$ )
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons  $al h ob h'$  als obs  $h''$ )
    note step = <heap-copy-loc  $a a'$  al  $h$  ob  $h'$ >
    from <list-all2  $(\lambda al T. P, h \vdash a@al : T)$  ( $al \# als$ )  $Tsobtain  $T Ts'$  where [simp]:  $Ts = T \# Ts'$ 
      and  $P, h \vdash a@al : T$  list-all2  $(\lambda al T. P, h \vdash a@al : T)$  als  $Ts'$ 
      by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1)
    from <list-all2  $(\lambda al T. P, h \vdash a'@al : T)$  ( $al \# als$ )  $TsP, h \vdash a'@al : T$  list-all2  $(\lambda al T. P, h \vdash a'@al : T)$  als  $Ts'$  by simp-all
    from step <hconf  $h$ > < $P, h \vdash a@al : T$  > < $P, h \vdash a'@al : T$ >
    have hconf  $h'$  by(rule hconf-heap-copy-loc-mono)
    moreover from step have  $h \trianglelefteq h'$  by(rule hext-heap-copy-loc)
    from <list-all2  $(\lambda al T. P, h \vdash a@al : T)$  als  $Ts'$ >
    have list-all2  $(\lambda al T. P, h' \vdash a@al : T)$  als  $Ts'$ 
      by(rule list-all2-mono)(rule addr-loc-type-hext-mono[ $OF - \langle h \trianglelefteq h' \rangle$ ])
    moreover from <list-all2  $(\lambda al T. P, h \vdash a'@al : T)$  als  $Ts'$ >
    have list-all2  $(\lambda al T. P, h' \vdash a'@al : T)$  als  $Ts'$ 
      by(rule list-all2-mono)(rule addr-loc-type-hext-mono[ $OF - \langle h \trianglelefteq h' \rangle$ ])
    ultimately show ?case by(rule Cons)
qed

lemma hconf-heap-clone-mono:
assumes heap-clone  $P h a h'$  res
and hconf  $h$ 
shows hconf  $h'$ 
using <heap-clone  $P h a h'$  res>
proof cases
  case CloneFail thus ?thesis using <hconf  $h$ >
  by(fastforce intro: hconf-heap-ops-mono dest: typeof-addr-is-type)
next
  case (ObjClone  $C h'' a' FDTs obs$ )
    note FDTs = < $P \vdash C$  has-fields FDTs>
    let ?als = map  $(\lambda((F, D), Tfm). CFeld D F) FDTs$ 
    let ?Ts = map  $(\lambda(FD, T). fst (the (map-of FDTs FD))) FDTs$ 
    note <heap-copies  $a' ?als h'' obs h'$ >
    moreover from <typeof-addr  $h a = [Class-type C]$ > <hconf  $h$ > have is-class  $P C$ 
      by(auto dest: typeof-addr-is-type)
    from < $(h'', a')$  ∈ allocate  $h$  (Class-type  $C$ )> have  $h \trianglelefteq h''$  hconf  $h''$$ 
```

```

by(rule hext-heap-ops hconf-allocate-mono)+(simp-all add: <hconf h> <is-class P C>)
note <hconf h''>
moreover
from <typeof-addr h a = [Class-type C]> FDTs
have list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a@al : T) ?als ?Ts
unfolding list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same
by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
hence list-all2 (λal T. P,h'' ⊢ a@al : T) ?als ?Ts
by(rule list-all2-mono)(rule addr-loc-type-hext-mono[OF - <h ⊣ h''>])
moreover from <(h'', a') ∈ allocate h (Class-type C)> <is-class P C>
have typeof-addr h'' a' = [Class-type C] by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD)
with FDTs have list-all2 (λal T. P,h'' ⊢ a'@al : T) ?als ?Ts
unfolding list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same
by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
ultimately have hconf h' by(rule hconf-heap-copies-mono)
thus ?thesis using ObjClone by simp
next
case (ArrClone T n h'' a' FDTs obs)
let ?als = map (λ((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs @ map ACell [0..<n]
let ?Ts = map (λ(FD, T). fst (the (map-of FDTs FD))) FDTs @ replicate n T
note <heap-copies a a' ?als h'' obs h'
moreover from <typeof-addr h a = [Array-type T n]> <hconf h> have is-type P (T[])
by(auto dest: typeof-addr-is-type)
from <(h'', a') ∈ allocate h (Array-type T n)> have h ⊣ h'' hconf h''
by(rule hext-heap-ops hconf-allocate-mono)+(simp-all add: <hconf h> <is-type P (T[])>[simplified])
note <hconf h''>
moreover from <h ⊣ h''> <typeof-addr h a = [Array-type T n]>
have type'a: typeof-addr h'' a = [Array-type T n] by(auto intro: hext-arrD)
note FDTs = <P ⊢ Object has-fields FDTs>
from type'a FDTs have list-all2 (λal T. P,h'' ⊢ a@al : T) ?als ?Ts
by(fastforce intro: list-all2-all-nthI addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def distinct-fst-def list-all2-append
list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
moreover from <(h'', a') ∈ allocate h (Array-type T n)> <is-type P (T[])>
have typeof-addr h'' a' = [Array-type T n] by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD)
hence list-all2 (λal T. P,h'' ⊢ a'@al : T) ?als ?Ts using FDTs
by(fastforce intro: list-all2-all-nthI addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def distinct-fst-def list-all2-append
list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
ultimately have hconf h' by(rule hconf-heap-copies-mono)
thus ?thesis using ArrClone by simp
qed

theorem external-call-hconf:
assumes major: P,t ⊢ ⟨a.M(vs), h⟩ −ta→ ext ⟨va, h'⟩
and minor: P,h ⊢ a.M(vs) : U hconf h
shows hconf h'
using major minor
by cases(fastforce intro: hconf-heap-clone-mono)+

end

context heap-base begin

primrec conf-extRet :: 'm prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ 'addr extCallRet ⇒ ty ⇒ bool where
  conf-extRet P h (RetVal v) T = (P,h ⊢ v :≤ T)

```

```

| conf-extRet P h (RetExc a) T = (P,h ⊢ Addr a :≤ Class Throwable)
| conf-extRet P h RetStaySame T = True

end

context heap-conf begin

lemma red-external-conf-extRet:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  shows [P,t ⊢ ⟨a.M(vs), h⟩ −ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩; P,h ⊢ a.M(vs) : U; hconf h; preallocated h; P,h ⊢ t √t]
    ⇒ conf-extRet P h' va U
  using wf apply –
  apply(frule red-external-hext)
  apply(drule (1) preallocated-hext)
  apply(auto elim!: red-external.cases external-WT'.cases external-WT-defs-cases dest!: sees-wf-native[OF wf])
  apply(auto simp add: conf-def tconf-def intro: xcpt-subcls-Throwable dest!: hext-heap-write)
  apply(case-tac h T)
  apply(auto 4 4 dest!: typeof-addr-heap-clone dest: typeof-addr-is-type intro: widen-array-object sub-cls-C-Object)
  done

end

```

3.13.2 Progress theorems for external calls

```

context heap-progress begin

lemma heap-copy-loc-progress:
  assumes hconf: hconf h
  and alconfa: P,h ⊢ a@al : T
  and alconfa': P,h ⊢ a'@al : T
  shows ∃ v h'. heap-copy-loc a a' al h ([ReadMem a al v, WriteMem a' al v]) h' ∧ P,h ⊢ v :≤ T ∧
  hconf h'
  proof –
    from heap-read-total[OF hconf alconfa]
    obtain v where heap-read h a al v P,h ⊢ v :≤ T by blast
    moreover from heap-write-total[OF hconf alconfa' ⟨P,h ⊢ v :≤ T⟩] obtain h' where heap-write h a' al v h' ..
    moreover hence hconf h' using hconf alconfa' ⟨P,h ⊢ v :≤ T⟩ by(rule hconf-heap-write-mono)
    ultimately show ?thesis by(blast intro: heap-copy-loc.intros)
  qed

lemma heap-copies-progress:
  assumes hconf h
  and list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a@al : T) als Ts
  and list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a'@al : T) als Ts
  shows ∃ vs h'. heap-copies a a' als h (concat (map (λ(al, v). [ReadMem a al v, WriteMem a' al v]) (zip als vs))) h' ∧ hconf h'
  using assms
  proof(induct als arbitrary: h Ts)
    case Nil thus ?case by(auto intro: heap-copies.Nil)
  next

```

```

case (Cons al als)
from ⟨list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, h \vdash a @ al : T$ ) ( $al \# als$ ) Ts⟩
obtain T' Ts' where [simp]: Ts = T' # Ts'
  and P, h ⊢ a @ al : T' list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, h \vdash a @ al : T$ ) als Ts'
  by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1)
from ⟨list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, h \vdash a' @ al : T$ ) ( $al \# als$ ) Ts⟩
have P, h ⊢ a' @ al : T' and list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, h \vdash a' @ al : T$ ) als Ts' by simp-all
from ⟨hconf h⟩ ⟨P, h ⊢ a @ al : T'⟩ ⟨P, h ⊢ a' @ al : T'⟩
obtain v h' where heap-copy-loc a a' al h [ReadMem a al v, WriteMem a' al v] h'
  and hconf h' by(fastforce dest: heap-copy-loc-progress)
moreover hence h ⊑ h' by-(rule hext-heap-copy-loc)
{
  note ⟨hconf h'⟩
  moreover from ⟨list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, h \vdash a @ al : T$ ) als Ts'⟩
  have list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, h' \vdash a @ al : T$ ) als Ts'
  by(rule list-all2-mono)(rule addr-loc-type-hext-mono[OF - <h ⊑ h'>])
  moreover from ⟨list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, h \vdash a' @ al : T$ ) als Ts'⟩
  have list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, h' \vdash a' @ al : T$ ) als Ts'
  by(rule list-all2-mono)(rule addr-loc-type-hext-mono[OF - <h ⊑ h'>])
  ultimately have  $\exists vs h''. \text{heap-copies } a a' \text{ als } h' (\text{concat} (\text{map} (\lambda(al, v). [\text{ReadMem } a \text{ al } v, \text{WriteMem } a' \text{ al } v]) (\text{zip } als vs))) h'' \wedge hconf h''$ 
  by(rule Cons)
  then obtain vs h''
    where heap-copies a a' als h' (concat (map ( $\lambda(al, v). [\text{ReadMem } a \text{ al } v, \text{WriteMem } a' \text{ al } v]$ ) (zip als vs))) h''
    and hconf h'' by blast
    ultimately
    have heap-copies a a' (al # als) h ([ReadMem a al v, WriteMem a' al v] @ (concat (map ( $\lambda(al, v). [\text{ReadMem } a \text{ al } v, \text{WriteMem } a' \text{ al } v]$ ) (zip als vs)))) h''
    by- (rule heap-copies.Cons)
    also have [ReadMem a al v, WriteMem a' al v] @ (concat (map ( $\lambda(al, v). [\text{ReadMem } a \text{ al } v, \text{WriteMem } a' \text{ al } v]$ ) (zip als vs))) =
      (concat (map ( $\lambda(al, v). [\text{ReadMem } a \text{ al } v, \text{WriteMem } a' \text{ al } v]$ ) (zip (al # als) (v # vs)))) by
      simp
    finally show ?case using ⟨hconf h''⟩ by blast
  qed

lemma heap-clone-progress:
assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
and typea: typeof-addr h a = [hT]
and hconf: hconf h
shows  $\exists h' \text{ res. } \text{heap-clone } P \text{ h a } h' \text{ res}$ 
proof -
  from typea hconf have is-htype P hT by(rule typeof-addr-is-type)
  show ?thesis
proof(cases allocate h hT = {})
  case True
  with typea CloneFail[of h a hT P]
  show ?thesis by auto
next
  case False
  then obtain h' a' where new: (h', a') ∈ allocate h hT by(rule not-empty-pairE)
  hence h ⊑ h' by(rule hext-allocate)
  have hconf h' using new hconf <is-htype P hT> by(rule hconf-allocate-mono)

```

```

show ?thesis
proof(cases hT)
  case [simp]: (Class-type C)
    from ⟨is-htype P hT⟩ have is-class P C by simp
    from wf-Fields-Ex[OF wf this]
    obtain FDTs where FDTs: P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs ..
    let ?als = map (λ((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs
    let ?Ts = map (λ(FD, T). fst (the (map-of FDTs FD))) FDTs
    from typea FDTs have list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a@al : T) ?als ?Ts
      unfolding list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same
      by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
    hence list-all2 (λal T. P,h' ⊢ a@al : T) ?als ?Ts
      by(rule list-all2-mono)(simp add: addr-loc-type-hext-mono[OF - ⟨h ≤ h'⟩] split-def)
    moreover from new ⟨is-class P C⟩
    have typeof-addr h' a' = [Class-type C] by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD)
    with FDTs have list-all2 (λal T. P,h' ⊢ a'@al : T) ?als ?Ts
      unfolding list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same
      by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros map-of-SomeI simp add: has-field-def dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
    ultimately obtain obs h'' where heap-copies a a' ?als h' obs h'' hconf h''
      by(blast dest: heap-copies-progress[OF ⟨hconf h'⟩])
      with typea new FDTs ObjClone[of h a C h' a' P FDTs obs h'']
    show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case [simp]: (Array-type T n)
    from wf obtain FDTs where FDTs: P ⊢ Object has-fields FDTs
      by(blast dest: wf-Fields-Ex is-class-Object)
    let ?als = map (λ((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs @ map ACell [0..]
    let ?Ts = map (λ(FD, T). fst (the (map-of FDTs FD))) FDTs @ replicate n T
    from ⟨h ≤ h'⟩ typea have type'a: typeof-addr h' a = [Array-type T n]
      by(auto intro: hext-arrD)
    from type'a FDTs have list-all2 (λal T. P,h' ⊢ a@al : T) ?als ?Ts
      by(fastforce intro: list-all2-all-nthI addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def list-all2-append
        list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
    moreover from new ⟨is-htype P hT⟩
    have typeof-addr h' a' = [Array-type T n]
      by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD)
    hence list-all2 (λal T. P,h' ⊢ a'@al : T) ?als ?Ts using FDTs
      by(fastforce intro: list-all2-all-nthI addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def list-all2-append
        list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
    ultimately obtain obs h'' where heap-copies a a' ?als h' obs h'' hconf h''
      by(blast dest: heap-copies-progress[OF ⟨hconf h'⟩])
      with typea new FDTs ArrClone[of h a T n h' a' P FDTs obs h'']
    show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
qed
qed

theorem external-call-progress:
assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
and wt: P,h ⊢ a.M(vs) : U
and hconf: hconf h
shows ∃ ta va h'. P,t ⊢ ⟨a.M(vs), h⟩ − ta → ext ⟨va, h'⟩
proof -
  note [simp del] = split-paired-Ex

```

```

from wt obtain hT Ts Ts' D
  where T: typeof-addr h a = |hT| and Ts: map typeof_h vs = map Some Ts
    and P ⊢ class-type-of hT sees M:Ts'→U = Native in D and subTs: P ⊢ Ts [≤] Ts'
      unfolding external-WT'-iff by blast
from wf ⟨P ⊢ class-type-of hT sees M:Ts'→U = Native in D⟩
have D·M(Ts') :: U by(rule sees-wf-native)
moreover from ⟨P ⊢ class-type-of hT sees M:Ts'→U = Native in D⟩
have P ⊢ ty-of-htype hT ≤ Class D
  by(cases hT)(auto dest: sees-method-decl-above intro: widen-trans widen-array-object)
ultimately show ?thesis using T Ts subTs
proof cases
  assume [simp]: D = Object M = clone Ts' = [] U = Class Object
  from heap-clone-progress[OF wf T hconf] obtain h' res where heap-clone P h a h' res by blast
    thus ?thesis using subTs Ts by(cases res)(auto intro: red-external.intros)
  qed(auto simp add: widen-Class intro: red-external.intros)
qed
end

```

3.13.3 Lemmas for preservation of deadlocked threads

```
context heap-progress begin
```

```

lemma red-external-wt-hconf-hext:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and red: P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ -ta→ext ⟨va,h'⟩
  and hext: h'' ⊑ h
  and wt: P,h'' ⊢ a·M(vs) : U
  and tconf: P,h'' ⊢ t √t
  and hconf: hconf h''
  shows ∃ ta' va' h''' . P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h''⟩ -ta'→ext ⟨va', h'''⟩ ∧
    collect-locks {ta}l = collect-locks {ta'}l ∧
    collect-cond-actions {ta}c = collect-cond-actions {ta'}c ∧
    collect-interrupts {ta}i = collect-interrupts {ta'}i
using red wt hext

```

```

proof cases
  case (RedClone obs a')
  from wt obtain hT C Ts Ts' D
    where T: typeof-addr h'' a = |hT|
      unfolding external-WT'-iff by blast
    from heap-clone-progress[OF wf T hconf]
    obtain h''' res where heap-clone P h'' a h''' res by blast
    thus ?thesis using RedClone
      by(cases res)(fastforce intro: red-external.intros)+
```

```
next
```

```

  case RedCloneFail
  from wt obtain hT Ts Ts'
    where T: typeof-addr h'' a = |hT|
      unfolding external-WT'-iff by blast
    from heap-clone-progress[OF wf T hconf]
    obtain h''' res where heap-clone P h'' a h''' res by blast
    thus ?thesis using RedCloneFail
      by(cases res)(fastforce intro: red-external.intros)+
```

```
qed(fastforce simp add: ta-upd-simps elim!: external-WT'.cases intro: red-external.intros[simplified])
```

```

dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono)+

lemma red-external-wf-red:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and red: P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ − ta → ext ⟨va, h'⟩
  and tconf: P,h ⊢ t √t
  and hconf: hconf h
  and wst: wset s t = None ∨ (M = wait ∧ (∃ w. wset s t = [PostWS w]))
  obtains ta' va' h"
  where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ − ta' → ext ⟨va', h'⟩
  and final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta' ∨ final-thread.actions-ok' s t ta' ∧ final-thread.actions-subset
ta' ta
proof(atomize-elim)
  let ?a-t = thread-id2addr t
  let ?t-a = addr2thread-id a

  from tconf obtain C where ht: typeof-addr h ?a-t = [Class-type C]
  and sub: P ⊢ C ⊑* Thread by(fastforce dest: tconfD)

  show ∃ ta' va' h'. P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ − ta' → ext ⟨va', h'⟩ ∧ (final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta' ∨
final-thread.actions-ok' s t ta' ∧ final-thread.actions-subset ta' ta)
  proof(cases final-thread.actions-ok' s t ta)
    case True
    have final-thread.actions-subset ta ta by(rule final-thread.actions-subset-refl)
    with True red show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case False
    note [simp] = final-thread.actions-ok'-iff lock-ok-las'-def final-thread.cond-action-oks'-subset-Join
    final-thread.actions-subset-iff ta-upd-simps collect-cond-actions-def collect-interrupts-def
    note [rule del] = subsetI
    note [intro] = collect-locks'-subset-collect-locks red-external.intros[simplified]

    show ?thesis
    proof(cases wset s t)
      case [simp]: (Some w)
      with wst obtain w' where [simp]: w = PostWS w' M = wait by auto
      from red have [simp]: vs = [] by(auto elim: red-external.cases)
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases w')
        case [simp]: WSWokenUp
        let ?ta' = {WokenUp, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}
        have final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' by(simp add: wset-actions-ok-def)
        moreover have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
          by(auto simp add: collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply)
        moreover from RedWaitInterrupted
        have ∃ va h'. P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ − ?ta' → ext ⟨va,h'⟩ by auto
        ultimately show ?thesis by blast
      next
        case [simp]: WSNotified
        let ?ta' = {Notified}
        have final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' by(simp add: wset-actions-ok-def)
        moreover have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
          by(auto simp add: collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply)
        moreover from RedWaitNotified
      
```

```

have  $\exists va h'. P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ?ta' \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$  by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
case None

from red False show ?thesis
proof cases
  case (RedNewThread C)
  note ta = ⟨ta = {NewThread ?t-a (C, run, a) h, ThreadStart ?t-a}⟩
  let ?ta' = {ThreadExists ?t-a True}
  from ta False None have final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' by(auto)
  moreover from ta have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta by(auto)
  ultimately show ?thesis using RedNewThread by(fastforce)
next
  case RedNewThreadFail
  then obtain va' h' x where P, t  $\vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - \{NewThread ?t-a x h', ThreadStart ?t-a\} \rightarrow ext \langle va', h' \rangle$ 
    by(fastforce)
    moreover from ⟨ta = {ThreadExists ?t-a True}⟩ False None
    have final-thread.actions-ok' s t {NewThread ?t-a x h', ThreadStart ?t-a} by(auto)
    moreover from ⟨ta = {ThreadExists ?t-a True}⟩
    have final-thread.actions-subset {NewThread ?t-a x h', ThreadStart ?t-a} ta by(auto)
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
  case RedJoin
  let ?ta = {IsInterrupted t True, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}
  from ⟨ta = {Join (addr2thread-id a), IsInterrupted t False, ThreadJoin (addr2thread-id a)}⟩
None False
  have t ∈ interrupts s by(auto)
  hence final-thread.actions-ok final s t ?ta
    using None by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps)
    moreover obtain va h' where P, t  $\vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ?ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$  using RedJoinInterrupt
RedJoin by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
  case RedJoinInterrupt
  hence False using False None by(auto)
  thus ?thesis ..
next
  case RedInterrupt
  let ?ta = {ThreadExists (addr2thread-id a) False}
  from RedInterrupt None False
  have free-thread-id (thr s) (addr2thread-id a) by(auto simp add: wset-actions-ok-def)
  hence final-thread.actions-ok final s t ?ta using None
    by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps)
  moreover obtain va h' where P, t  $\vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ?ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$  using RedInterruptInexist
RedInterrupt by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
  case RedInterruptInexist
    let ?ta = {ThreadExists (addr2thread-id a) True, WakeUp (addr2thread-id a), Interrupt (addr2thread-id a), ObsInterrupt (addr2thread-id a)}
    from RedInterruptInexist None False

```

```

have  $\neg free-thread-id (thr s) (addr2thread-id a)$  by(auto simp add: wset-actions-ok-def)
hence final-thread.actions-ok final s t ?ta using None
by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps wset-actions-ok-def)
moreover obtain va h' where  $P,t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ?ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$  using RedInterruptInexist
RedInterrupt by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case (RedIsInterruptedTrue C)
let ?ta' = {IsInterrupted ?t-a False}
from RedIsInterruptedTrue False None have ?t-a  $\notin$  interrupts s by(auto)
hence final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' using None by auto
moreover from RedIsInterruptedTrue have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta by auto
moreover from RedIsInterruptedTrue RedIsInterruptedFalse obtain va h'
where  $P,t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ?ta' \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$  by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case (RedIsInterruptedFalse C)
let ?ta' = {IsInterrupted ?t-a True, ObsInterrupted ?t-a}
from RedIsInterruptedFalse have ?t-a  $\in$  interrupts s
using False None by(auto)
hence final-thread.actions-ok final s t ?ta'
using None by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps)
moreover obtain va h' where  $P,t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ?ta' \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$ 
using RedIsInterruptedFalse RedIsInterruptedTrue by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case RedWaitInterrupt
note ta = {ta = {Unlock  $\rightarrow$  a, Lock  $\rightarrow$  a, IsInterrupted t True, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}}
from ta False None have hli:  $\neg has-lock (locks s \$ a) t \vee t \notin$  interrupts s
by(fastforce simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff finfun-upd-apply split: if-split-asm dest: may-lock-t-may-lock-unlock
dest: has-lock-may-lock)
show ?thesis
proof(cases has-lock (locks s \$ a) t)
case True
let ?ta' = {Suspend a, Unlock  $\rightarrow$  a, Lock  $\rightarrow$  a, ReleaseAcquire  $\rightarrow$  a, IsInterrupted t False, SyncUnlock a}
from True hli have t  $\notin$  interrupts s by simp
with True False have final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' using None
by(auto simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff finfun-upd-apply wset-actions-ok-def Cons-eq-append-conv)
moreover from ta have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
by(auto simp add: collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply)
moreover from RedWait RedWaitInterrupt obtain va h' where  $P,t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ?ta' \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$  by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case False
let ?ta' = {UnlockFail  $\rightarrow$  a}
from False have final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' using None
by(auto simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff finfun-upd-apply)
moreover from ta have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
by(auto simp add: collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply)
moreover from RedWaitInterrupt obtain va h' where  $P,t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ?ta' \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$ 
by(fastforce)

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
case RedWait
note ta = <ta = {Suspend a, Unlock→a, Lock→a, ReleaseAcquire→a, IsInterrupted t False,
SyncUnlock a}>

from ta False None have hli: ¬ has-lock (locks s $ a) t ∨ t ∈ interrupts s
by(auto simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff finfun-upd-apply wset-actions-ok-def Cons-eq-append-conv
split: if-split-asm dest: may-lock-t-may-lock-unlock-lock-t dest: has-lock-may-lock)
show ?thesis
proof(cases has-lock (locks s $ a) t)
case True
let ?ta' = {Unlock→a, Lock→a, IsInterrupted t True, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}
from True hli have t ∈ interrupts s by simp
with True False have final-thread.actions-ok final s t ?ta' using None
by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps lock-ok-las-def
finfun-upd-apply has-lock-may-lock)
moreover from RedWait RedWaitInterrupt obtain va h' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ −?ta'→ext
⟨va,h'⟩ by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case False
let ?ta' = {UnlockFail→a}
from False have final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' using None
by(auto simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff finfun-upd-apply)
moreover from ta have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
by(auto simp add: collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply)
moreover from RedWait RedWaitFail obtain va h' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ −?ta'→ext
⟨va,h'⟩ by(fastforce)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
case RedWaitFail
note ta = <ta = {UnlockFail→a}>
let ?ta' = if t ∈ interrupts s
then {Unlock→a, Lock→a, IsInterrupted t True, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}
else {Suspend a, Unlock→a, Lock→a, ReleaseAcquire→a, IsInterrupted t False,
SyncUnlock a}
from ta False None have has-lock (locks s $ a) t
by(auto simp add: finfun-upd-apply split: if-split-asm)
hence final-thread.actions-ok final s t ?ta' using None
by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps lock-ok-las-def
finfun-upd-apply has-lock-may-lock wset-actions-ok-def)
moreover from RedWaitFail RedWait RedWaitInterrupt
obtain va h' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ −?ta'→ext ⟨va,h'⟩
by(cases t ∈ interrupts s) (auto)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case RedWaitNotified
note ta = <ta = {Notified}>
let ?ta' = if has-lock (locks s $ a) t
then (if t ∈ interrupts s
then {Unlock→a, Lock→a, IsInterrupted t True, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted

```

```

t}
else {Suspend a, Unlock→a, Lock→a, ReleaseAcquire→a, IsInterrupted t False,
SyncUnlock a }
else {UnlockFail→a}
have final-thread.actions-ok final s t ?ta' using None
by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps lock-ok-las-def
finfun-upd-apply has-lock-may-lock wset-actions-ok-def)
moreover from RedWaitNotified RedWait RedWaitInterrupt RedWaitFail
have ∃ va h'. P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ −?ta'→ext ⟨va,h⟩ by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case RedWaitInterrupted
note ta = ⟨ta = {WokenUp, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}⟩
let ?ta' = if has-lock (locks s $ a) t
then (if t ∈ interrupts s
then {Unlock→a, Lock→a, IsInterrupted t True, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted
t}
else {Suspend a, Unlock→a, Lock→a, ReleaseAcquire→a, IsInterrupted t False,
SyncUnlock a })
else {UnlockFail→a}
have final-thread.actions-ok final s t ?ta' using None
by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps lock-ok-las-def
finfun-upd-apply has-lock-may-lock wset-actions-ok-def)
moreover from RedWaitInterrupted RedWait RedWaitInterrupt RedWaitFail
have ∃ va h'. P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ −?ta'→ext ⟨va,h⟩ by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case RedWaitSpurious
note ta = ⟨ta = {Unlock→a, Lock→a, ReleaseAcquire→a, IsInterrupted t False, SyncUnlock
a}⟩
from ta False None have hli: ¬ has-lock (locks s $ a) t ∨ t ∈ interrupts s
by(auto simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff finfun-upd-apply wset-actions-ok-def Cons-eq-append-conv
split: if-split-asm dest: may-lock-t-may-lock-unlock-lock-t dest: has-lock-may-lock)
show ?thesis
proof(cases has-lock (locks s $ a) t)
case True
let ?ta' = {Unlock→a, Lock→a, IsInterrupted t True, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}
from True hli have t ∈ interrupts s by simp
with True False have final-thread.actions-ok final s t ?ta' using None
by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps lock-ok-las-def
finfun-upd-apply has-lock-may-lock)
moreover from RedWaitInterrupt RedWaitSpurious(1–5)
obtain va h' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ −?ta'→ext ⟨va,h⟩ by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case False
let ?ta' = {UnlockFail→a}
from False have final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' using None
by(auto simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff finfun-upd-apply)
moreover from ta have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
by(auto simp add: collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply)
moreover from RedWaitSpurious(1–5) RedWaitFail
obtain va h' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ −?ta'→ext ⟨va,h⟩ by(fastforce)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast

```

```

qed

next
  case RedNotify
    note ta = <ta = {Notify a, Unlock→a, Lock→a}>
    let ?ta' = {UnlockFail→a}
    from ta False None have ¬ has-lock (locks s $ a) t
    by(fastforce simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff finfun-upd-apply wset-actions-ok-def Cons-eq-append-conv
split: if-split-asm dest: may-lock-t-may-lock-unlock-lock-t has-lock-may-lock)
    hence final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' using None
    by(auto simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff finfun-upd-apply)
    moreover from ta have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
    by(auto simp add: collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply)
    moreover from RedNotify obtain va h' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ −?ta'→ext ⟨va,h'⟩
  by(fastforce)
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case RedNotifyFail
      note ta = <ta = {UnlockFail→a}>
      let ?ta' = {Notify a, Unlock→a, Lock→a}
      from ta False None have has-lock (locks s $ a) t
      by(auto simp add: finfun-upd-apply split: if-split-asm)
      hence final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' using None
      by(auto simp add: finfun-upd-apply simp add: wset-actions-ok-def intro: has-lock-may-lock)
      moreover from ta have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
      by(auto simp add: collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply)
      moreover from RedNotifyFail obtain va h' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ −?ta'→ext ⟨va,h'⟩
  by(fastforce)
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case RedNotifyAll
      note ta = <ta = {NotifyAll a, Unlock→a, Lock→a}>
      let ?ta' = {UnlockFail→a}
      from ta False None have ¬ has-lock (locks s $ a) t
      by(auto simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff finfun-upd-apply wset-actions-ok-def Cons-eq-append-conv
split: if-split-asm dest: may-lock-t-may-lock-unlock-lock-t)
      hence final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' using None
      by(auto simp add: lock-actions-ok'-iff finfun-upd-apply)
      moreover from ta have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
      by(auto simp add: collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply)
      moreover from RedNotifyAll obtain va h' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ −?ta'→ext ⟨va,h'⟩
  by(fastforce)
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case RedNotifyAllFail
      note ta = <ta = {UnlockFail→a}>
      let ?ta' = {NotifyAll a, Unlock→a, Lock→a}
      from ta False None have has-lock (locks s $ a) t
      by(auto simp add: finfun-upd-apply split: if-split-asm)
      hence final-thread.actions-ok' s t ?ta' using None
      by(auto simp add: finfun-upd-apply wset-actions-ok-def intro: has-lock-may-lock)
      moreover from ta have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
      by(auto simp add: collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply)
      moreover from RedNotifyAllFail obtain va h' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ −?ta'→ext ⟨va,h'⟩

```

```

by(fastforce)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case RedInterruptedTrue
    let ?ta' = {IsInterrupted t False}
    from RedInterruptedTrue have final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta'
    using None False by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps)
    moreover obtain va h' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ − ta'→ext ⟨va,h'⟩
      using RedInterruptedFalse RedInterruptedTrue by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  next
    case RedInterruptedFalse
    let ?ta' = {IsInterrupted t True, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}
    from RedInterruptedFalse have final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta'
      using None False
      by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps)
      moreover obtain va h' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),h⟩ − ta'→ext ⟨va,h'⟩
        using RedInterruptedFalse RedInterruptedTrue by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis by blast
    qed(auto simp add: None)
  qed
  qed
  qed
end

context heap-base begin

lemma red-external-ta-satisfiable:
  fixes final
  assumes P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ − ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩
  shows  $\exists s. \text{final-thread.actions-ok final } s t ta$ 
proof −
  note [simp] =
    final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps final-thread.cond-action-ok.simps
    lock-ok-las-def finfun-upd-apply wset-actions-ok-def has-lock-may-lock
  and [intro] =
    free-thread-id.intros
  and [cong] = conj-cong

  from assms show ?thesis by cases(fastforce intro: exI[where x=(K$ None)(a $:= [(t, 0)])]
  exI[where x=(K$ None)])+
  qed

lemma red-external-aggr-ta-satisfiable:
  fixes final
  assumes  $(ta, va, h') \in \text{red-external-aggr } P t a M vs h$ 
  shows  $\exists s. \text{final-thread.actions-ok final } s t ta$ 
proof −
  note [simp] =
    final-thread.actions-ok-iff final-thread.cond-action-oks.simps final-thread.cond-action-ok.simps
    lock-ok-las-def finfun-upd-apply wset-actions-ok-def has-lock-may-lock
  and [intro] =
    free-thread-id.intros

```

```

and [cong] = conj-cong

from assms show ?thesis
  by(fastforce simp add: red-external-aggr-def split-beta ta-upd-simps split: if-split-asm intro: exI[where
x=Map.empty] exI[where x=(K$ None)(a $:= [(t, 0)])] exI[where x=K$ None])
qed

end

```

3.13.4 Determinism

```
context heap-base begin
```

```

lemma heap-copy-loc-deterministic:
  assumes det: deterministic-heap-ops
  and copy: heap-copy-loc a a' al h ops h' heap-copy-loc a a' al h ops' h"
  shows ops = ops' ∧ h' = h"
  using copy
  by(auto elim!: heap-copy-loc.cases dest: deterministic-heap-ops-readD[OF det] deterministic-heap-ops-writeD[OF
det])

lemma heap-copies-deterministic:
  assumes det: deterministic-heap-ops
  and copy: heap-copies a a' als h ops h' heap-copies a a' als h ops' h"
  shows ops = ops' ∧ h' = h"
  using copy
  apply(induct arbitrary: ops' h")
  apply(fastforce elim!: heap-copies-cases)
  apply(erule heap-copies-cases)
  apply clarify
  apply(drule (1) heap-copy-loc-deterministic[OF det])
  apply clarify
  apply(unfold same-append-eq)
  apply blast
  done

lemma heap-clone-deterministic:
  assumes det: deterministic-heap-ops
  and clone: heap-clone P h a h' obs heap-clone P h a h" obs'
  shows h' = h" ∧ obs = obs'
  using clone
  by(auto 4 4 elim!: heap-clone.cases dest: heap-copies-deterministic[OF det] deterministic-heap-ops-allocateD[OF
det] has-fields-fun)

lemma red-external-deterministic:
  fixes final
  assumes det: deterministic-heap-ops
  and red: P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), (shr s)⟩ −ta→ext ⟨va, h⟩ P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), (shr s)⟩ −ta'→ext ⟨va', h'⟩
  and aok: final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta'
  shows ta = ta' ∧ va = va' ∧ h' = h"
  using red aok
  apply(simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff lock-ok-las-def)
  apply(erule red-external.cases)
  apply(erule-tac [|] red-external.cases)

```

```

apply simp-all
apply(auto simp add: finfun-upd-apply wset-actions-ok-def dest: heap-clone-deterministic[OF det] split:
if-split-asm)
using deterministic-heap-ops-no-spurious-wakeups[OF det]
apply simp-all
done

end

end

```

3.14 Conformance for threads

```

theory ConformThreaded
imports
  ..../Framework/FWLifting
  ..../Framework/FWWellform
  Conform
begin

  Every thread must be represented as an object whose address is its thread ID

context heap-base begin

abbreviation thread-conf :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr,'thread-id,'x) thread-info  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where thread-conf  $P \equiv ts\text{-}ok (\lambda t x m. P, m \vdash t \sqrt{t})$ 

lemma thread-confI:
   $(\bigwedge t xln. ts t = \lfloor xln \rfloor \implies P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}) \implies \text{thread-conf } P \text{ ts } h$ 
by(blast intro: ts-okI)

lemma thread-confD:
  assumes thread-conf  $P \text{ ts } h \text{ ts } t = \lfloor xln \rfloor$ 
  shows  $P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}$ 
using assms by(cases xln)(auto dest: ts-okD)

lemma thread-conf-ts-upd-eq [simp]:
  assumes  $tst: ts t = \lfloor xln \rfloor$ 
  shows  $\text{thread-conf } P (ts(t \mapsto xln')) h \longleftrightarrow \text{thread-conf } P \text{ ts } h$ 
proof
  assume  $tc: \text{thread-conf } P (ts(t \mapsto xln')) h$ 
  show  $\text{thread-conf } P \text{ ts } h$ 
  proof(rule thread-confI)
    fix  $T XLN$ 
    assume  $ts T = \lfloor XLN \rfloor$ 
    with  $tc$  show  $P, h \vdash T \sqrt{t}$ 
      by(cases T = t)(auto dest: thread-confD)
  qed
next
  assume  $tc: \text{thread-conf } P \text{ ts } h$ 
  show  $\text{thread-conf } P (ts(t \mapsto xln')) h$ 
  proof(rule thread-confI)
    fix  $T XLN$ 
    assume  $(ts(t \mapsto xln')) T = \lfloor XLN \rfloor$ 
    with  $tst$  obtain  $XLN'$  where  $ts T = \lfloor XLN' \rfloor$ 

```

```

by(cases T = t)(auto)
with tc show P,h ⊢ T √t
  by(auto dest: thread-confD)
qed
qed

end

context heap begin

lemma thread-conf-hext:
  [ thread-conf P ts h; h ⊲ h' ] ==> thread-conf P ts h'
by(blast intro: thread-confI tconf-hext-mono dest: thread-confD)

lemma thread-conf-start-state:
  [ start-heap-ok; wf-syscls P ] ==> thread-conf P (thr (start-state f P C M vs)) (shr (start-state f P C M vs))
by(auto intro!: thread-confI simp add: start-state-def split-beta split: if-split-asm intro: tconf-start-heap-start-tid)

end

context heap-base begin

lemma lock-thread-ok-start-state:
  lock-thread-ok (locks (start-state f P C M vs)) (thr (start-state f P C M vs))
by(rule lock-thread-okI)(simp add: start-state-def split-beta)

lemma wset-thread-ok-start-state:
  wset-thread-ok (wset (start-state f P C M vs)) (thr (start-state f P C M vs))
by(auto simp add: wset-thread-ok-def start-state-def split-beta)

lemma wset-final-ok-start-state:
  final-thread.wset-final-ok final (wset (start-state f P C M vs)) (thr (start-state f P C M vs))
by(rule final-thread.wset-final-okI)(simp add: start-state-def split-beta)

end

end

```

3.15 Binary Operators

```

theory BinOp
imports
  WellForm Word-Lib.Bit-Shifts-Infix-Syntax
begin

datatype bop = — names of binary operations
  Eq
| NotEq
| LessThan
| LessOrEqual
| GreaterThan
| GreaterOrEqual

```

```

| Add
| Subtract
| Mult
| Div
| Mod
| BinAnd
| BinOr
| BinXor
| ShiftLeft
| ShiftRightZeros
| ShiftRightSigned

```

3.15.1 The semantics of binary operators

context

includes *bit-operations-syntax*
begin

type-synonym *'addr binop-ret = 'addr val + 'addr* — a value or the address of an exception

fun *binop-LessThan :: 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr binop-ret option*
where

binop-LessThan (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Bool (i1 < s i2)))
| *binop-LessThan v1 v2 = None*

fun *binop-LessOrEqual :: 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr binop-ret option*
where

binop-LessOrEqual (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Bool (i1 <= s i2)))
| *binop-LessOrEqual v1 v2 = None*

fun *binop-GreaterThan :: 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr binop-ret option*
where

binop-GreaterThan (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Bool (i2 < s i1)))
| *binop-GreaterThan v1 v2 = None*

fun *binop-GreaterOrEqual :: 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr binop-ret option*
where

binop-GreaterOrEqual (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Bool (i2 <= s i1)))
| *binop-GreaterOrEqual v1 v2 = None*

fun *binop-Add :: 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr binop-ret option*
where

binop-Add (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Intg (i1 + i2)))
| *binop-Add v1 v2 = None*

fun *binop-Subtract :: 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr binop-ret option*
where

binop-Subtract (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Intg (i1 - i2)))
| *binop-Subtract v1 v2 = None*

fun *binop-Mult :: 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr binop-ret option*
where

*binop-Mult (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Intg (i1 * i2)))*
| *binop-Mult v1 v2 = None*

```

fun binop-BinAnd :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr binop-ret option
where
  binop-BinAnd (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Intg (i1 AND i2)))
  | binop-BinAnd (Bool b1) (Bool b2) = Some (Inl (Bool (b1  $\wedge$  b2)))
  | binop-BinAnd v1 v2 = None

fun binop-BinOr :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr binop-ret option
where
  binop-BinOr (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Intg (i1 OR i2)))
  | binop-BinOr (Bool b1) (Bool b2) = Some (Inl (Bool (b1  $\vee$  b2)))
  | binop-BinOr v1 v2 = None

fun binop-BinXor :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr binop-ret option
where
  binop-BinXor (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Intg (i1 XOR i2)))
  | binop-BinXor (Bool b1) (Bool b2) = Some (Inl (Bool (b1  $\neq$  b2)))
  | binop-BinXor v1 v2 = None

fun binop-ShiftLeft :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr binop-ret option
where
  binop-ShiftLeft (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Intg (i1 << unat (i2 AND 0x1f))))
  | binop-ShiftLeft v1 v2 = None

fun binop-ShiftRightZeros :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr binop-ret option
where
  binop-ShiftRightZeros (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Intg (i1 >> unat (i2 AND 0x1f))))
  | binop-ShiftRightZeros v1 v2 = None

fun binop-ShiftRightSigned :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr binop-ret option
where
  binop-ShiftRightSigned (Intg i1) (Intg i2) = Some (Inl (Intg (i1 >>> unat (i2 AND 0x1f))))
  | binop-ShiftRightSigned v1 v2 = None

Division on 'a word is unsigned, but JLS specifies signed division.

definition word-sdiv :: 'a :: len word  $\Rightarrow$  'a word  $\Rightarrow$  'a word (infixl sdiv 70)
where [code]:
  x sdiv y =
    (let x' = sint x; y' = sint y;
     negative = (x' < 0)  $\neq$  (y' < 0);
     result = abs x' div abs y'
     in word-of-int (if negative then -result else result))

definition word-smod :: 'a :: len word  $\Rightarrow$  'a word  $\Rightarrow$  'a word (infixl smod 70)
where [code]:
  x smod y =
    (let x' = sint x; y' = sint y;
     negative = (x' < 0);
     result = abs x' mod abs y'
     in word-of-int (if negative then -result else result))

declare word-sdiv-def [simp] word-smod-def [simp]

lemma sdiv-smod-id: (a sdiv b) * b + (a smod b) = a

```

```

proof -
  have F5:  $\forall u::'a\ word. -(-u) = u$ 
    by simp
  have F7:  $\forall v u::'a\ word. u + v = v + u$ 
    by (simp add: ac-simps)
  have F8:  $\forall(w::'a\ word)\ (v::int). \text{word-of-int } u + \text{word-of-int } v * w = \text{word-of-int } (u + v * \text{sint } w)$ 
    by simp
  have  $\exists u. u = -\text{sint } b \wedge \text{word-of-int } (\text{sint } a \bmod u + -(-u * (\text{sint } a \bmod u))) = a$ 
    using F5 by simp
  hence  $\text{word-of-int } (\text{sint } a \bmod -\text{sint } b + -(\text{sint } b * (\text{sint } a \bmod -\text{sint } b))) = a$ 
    by (metis equation-minus-iff)
  hence  $\text{word-of-int } (\text{sint } a \bmod -\text{sint } b) + \text{word-of-int } (-(\text{sint } a \bmod -\text{sint } b)) * b = a$ 
    using F8 by (simp add: ac-simps)
  hence eq:  $\text{word-of-int } (-(\text{sint } a \bmod -\text{sint } b)) * b + \text{word-of-int } (\text{sint } a \bmod -\text{sint } b) = a$ 
    using F7 by simp

show ?thesis
proof(cases  $\text{sint } a < 0$ )
  case True note  $a = this$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases  $\text{sint } b < 0$ )
    case True
    with a show ?thesis
      by simp (metis F7 F8 eq minus-equation-iff minus-mult-minus mod-div-mult-eq)
  next
    case False
    from eq have  $\text{word-of-int } (-(-\text{sint } a \bmod \text{sint } b)) * b + \text{word-of-int } (-(-\text{sint } a \bmod \text{sint } b)) = a$ 
      by (metis div-minus-right mod-minus-right)
      with a False show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  next
    case False note  $a = this$ 
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases  $\text{sint } b < 0$ )
      case True
      with a eq show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case False with a show ?thesis
        by (simp add: F7 F8)
      qed
    qed
  qed

end

notepad begin
have 5 sdiv (3 :: word32) = 1
and 5 smod (3 :: word32) = 2
and 5 sdiv (-3 :: word32) = -1
and 5 smod (-3 :: word32) = 2
and (-5) sdiv (3 :: word32) = -1
and (-5) smod (3 :: word32) = -2

```

```

and (-5) sdiv (-3 :: word32) = 1
and (-5) smod (-3 :: word32) = -2
and -2147483648 sdiv 1 = (-2147483648 :: word32)
by eval+
end

context heap-base
begin

fun binop-Mod :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr binop-ret option
where
  binop-Mod (Intg i1) (Intg i2) =
    Some (if i2 = 0 then Inr (addr-of-sys-xcpt ArithmeticException) else Inl (Intg (i1 smod i2)))
  | binop-Mod v1 v2 = None

fun binop-Div :: 'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr binop-ret option
where
  binop-Div (Intg i1) (Intg i2) =
    Some (if i2 = 0 then Inr (addr-of-sys-xcpt ArithmeticException) else Inl (Intg (i1 sdiv i2)))
  | binop-Div v1 v2 = None

primrec binop :: bop  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'addr binop-ret option
where
  binop Eq v1 v2 = Some (Inl (Bool (v1 = v2)))
  | binop NotEq v1 v2 = Some (Inl (Bool (v1  $\neq$  v2)))
  | binop LessThan = binop-LessThan
  | binop LessOrEqual = binop-LessOrEqual
  | binop GreaterThan = binop-GreaterThan
  | binop GreaterOrEqual = binop-GreaterOrEqual
  | binop Add = binop-Add
  | binop Subtract = binop-Subtract
  | binop Mult = binop-Mult
  | binop Mod = binop-Mod
  | binop Div = binop-Div
  | binop BinAnd = binop-BinAnd
  | binop BinOr = binop-BinOr
  | binop BinXor = binop-BinXor
  | binop ShiftLeft = binop-ShiftLeft
  | binop ShiftRightZeros = binop-ShiftRightZeros
  | binop ShiftRightSigned = binop-ShiftRightSigned

end

context
  includes bit-operations-syntax
begin

lemma [simp]:
  (binop-LessThan v1 v2 = Some va)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists$  i1 i2. v1 = Intg i1  $\wedge$  v2 = Intg i2  $\wedge$  va = Inl (Bool (i1 <s i2)))
by (cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-LessThan.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:
  (binop-LessOrEqual v1 v2 = Some va)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 

```

$(\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Bool } (i1 <= s i2)))$
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-LessOrEqual.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:

$(\text{binop-GreaterThan } v1 v2 = \text{Some } va) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Bool } (i2 < s i1)))$
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-GreaterThan.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:

$(\text{binop-GreaterOrEqual } v1 v2 = \text{Some } va) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Bool } (i2 <= s i1)))$
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-GreaterOrEqual.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:

$(\text{binop-Add } v1 v2 = \text{Some } va) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Intg } (i1 + i2)))$
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-Add.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:

$(\text{binop-Subtract } v1 v2 = \text{Some } va) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Intg } (i1 - i2)))$
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-Subtract.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:

$(\text{binop-Mult } v1 v2 = \text{Some } va) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Intg } (i1 * i2)))$
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-Mult.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:

$(\text{binop-BinAnd } v1 v2 = \text{Some } va) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists b1 b2. v1 = \text{Bool } b1 \wedge v2 = \text{Bool } b2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Bool } (b1 \wedge b2))) \vee$
 $(\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Intg } (i1 \text{ AND } i2)))$
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-BinAnd.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:

$(\text{binop-BinOr } v1 v2 = \text{Some } va) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists b1 b2. v1 = \text{Bool } b1 \wedge v2 = \text{Bool } b2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Bool } (b1 \vee b2))) \vee$
 $(\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Intg } (i1 \text{ OR } i2)))$
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-BinOr.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:

$(\text{binop-BinXor } v1 v2 = \text{Some } va) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists b1 b2. v1 = \text{Bool } b1 \wedge v2 = \text{Bool } b2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Bool } (b1 \neq b2))) \vee$
 $(\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Intg } (i1 \text{ XOR } i2)))$
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-BinXor.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:

$(\text{binop-ShiftLeft } v1 v2 = \text{Some } va) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Intg } (i1 << \text{unat } (i2 \text{ AND } 0x1f))))$
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-ShiftLeft.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:

$(\text{binop-ShiftRightZeros } v1 v2 = \text{Some } va) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl} (\text{Intg } (i1 >> \text{unat } (i2 \text{ AND } 0x1f))))$

```

by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-ShiftRightZeros.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:
  (binop-ShiftRightSigned v1 v2 = Some va)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge va = \text{Inl } (\text{Intg } (i1 >>> \text{unat } (i2 \text{ AND } 0x1f)))$ )
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-ShiftRightSigned.cases) auto

end

context heap-base
begin

lemma [simp]:
  (binop-Mod v1 v2 = Some va)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge$ 
    $va = (\text{if } i2 = 0 \text{ then } \text{Inr } (\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt ArithmeticException}) \text{ else } \text{Inl } (\text{Intg}(i1 \text{ smod } i2)))$ )
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-Mod.cases) auto

lemma [simp]:
  (binop-Div v1 v2 = Some va)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists i1 i2. v1 = \text{Intg } i1 \wedge v2 = \text{Intg } i2 \wedge$ 
    $va = (\text{if } i2 = 0 \text{ then } \text{Inr } (\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt ArithmeticException}) \text{ else } \text{Inl } (\text{Intg}(i1 \text{ sdiv } i2)))$ )
by(cases (v1, v2) rule: binop-Div.cases) auto

end

```

3.15.2 Typing for binary operators

inductive $WT\text{-}binop :: 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow \text{bop} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow \text{bool} (- \vdash -\ll-\rr- :: - [51,0,0,0,51] 50)$
 where

- | $WT\text{-}binop\text{-Eq}:$
 $P \vdash T1 \leq T2 \vee P \vdash T2 \leq T1 \implies P \vdash T1 \ll Eq \rr T2 :: \text{Boolean}$
- | $WT\text{-}binop\text{-NotEq}:$
 $P \vdash T1 \leq T2 \vee P \vdash T2 \leq T1 \implies P \vdash T1 \ll NotEq \rr T2 :: \text{Boolean}$
- | $WT\text{-}binop\text{-LessThan}:$
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \ll LessThan \rr \text{Integer} :: \text{Boolean}$
- | $WT\text{-}binop\text{-LessOrEqual}:$
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \ll LessOrEqual \rr \text{Integer} :: \text{Boolean}$
- | $WT\text{-}binop\text{-GreaterThan}:$
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \ll GreaterThan \rr \text{Integer} :: \text{Boolean}$
- | $WT\text{-}binop\text{-GreaterOrEqual}:$
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \ll GreaterOrEqual \rr \text{Integer} :: \text{Boolean}$
- | $WT\text{-}binop\text{-Add}:$
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \ll Add \rr \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$
- | $WT\text{-}binop\text{-Subtract}:$
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \ll Subtract \rr \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$

- | *WT-binop-Mult:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llcorner \text{Mult} \lrcorner \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$
- | *WT-binop-Div:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llcorner \text{Div} \lrcorner \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$
- | *WT-binop-Mod:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llcorner \text{Mod} \lrcorner \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$
- | *WT-binop-BinAnd-Bool:*
 $P \vdash \text{Boolean} \llcorner \text{BinAnd} \lrcorner \text{Boolean} :: \text{Boolean}$
- | *WT-binop-BinAnd-Int:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llcorner \text{BinAnd} \lrcorner \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$
- | *WT-binop-BinOr-Bool:*
 $P \vdash \text{Boolean} \llcorner \text{BinOr} \lrcorner \text{Boolean} :: \text{Boolean}$
- | *WT-binop-BinOr-Int:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llcorner \text{BinOr} \lrcorner \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$
- | *WT-binop-BinXor-Bool:*
 $P \vdash \text{Boolean} \llcorner \text{BinXor} \lrcorner \text{Boolean} :: \text{Boolean}$
- | *WT-binop-BinXor-Int:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llcorner \text{BinXor} \lrcorner \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$
- | *WT-binop-ShiftLeft:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llcorner \text{ShiftLeft} \lrcorner \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$
- | *WT-binop-ShiftRightZeros:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llcorner \text{ShiftRightZeros} \lrcorner \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$
- | *WT-binop-ShiftRightSigned:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llcorner \text{ShiftRightSigned} \lrcorner \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$

lemma *WT-binopI* [*intro*]:

$P \vdash T1 \leq T2 \vee P \vdash T2 \leq T1 \implies P \vdash T1 \llcorner \text{Eq} \lrcorner T2 :: \text{Boolean}$
 $P \vdash T1 \leq T2 \vee P \vdash T2 \leq T1 \implies P \vdash T1 \llcorner \text{NotEq} \lrcorner T2 :: \text{Boolean}$
 $\text{bop} = \text{Add} \vee \text{bop} = \text{Subtract} \vee \text{bop} = \text{Mult} \vee \text{bop} = \text{Mod} \vee \text{bop} = \text{Div} \vee \text{bop} = \text{BinAnd} \vee \text{bop} = \text{BinOr} \vee \text{bop} = \text{BinXor} \vee$
 $\text{bop} = \text{ShiftLeft} \vee \text{bop} = \text{ShiftRightZeros} \vee \text{bop} = \text{ShiftRightSigned}$
 $\implies P \vdash \text{Integer} \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner \text{Integer} :: \text{Integer}$
 $\text{bop} = \text{LessThan} \vee \text{bop} = \text{LessOrEqual} \vee \text{bop} = \text{GreaterThan} \vee \text{bop} = \text{GreaterOrEqual} \implies P \vdash \text{Integer} \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner \text{Integer} :: \text{Boolean}$
 $\text{bop} = \text{BinAnd} \vee \text{bop} = \text{BinOr} \vee \text{bop} = \text{BinXor} \implies P \vdash \text{Boolean} \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner \text{Boolean} :: \text{Boolean}$

by(*auto intro*: *WT-binop.intros*)

inductive-cases [*elim*]:

$P \vdash T1 \llcorner \text{Eq} \lrcorner T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llcorner \text{NotEq} \lrcorner T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llcorner \text{LessThan} \lrcorner T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llcorner \text{LessOrEqual} \lrcorner T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llcorner \text{GreaterThan} \lrcorner T2 :: T$

$P \vdash T1 \llbracket GreaterOrEqual \rrbracket T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket Add \rrbracket T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket Subtract \rrbracket T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket Mult \rrbracket T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket Div \rrbracket T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket Mod \rrbracket T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket BinAnd \rrbracket T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket BinOr \rrbracket T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket BinXor \rrbracket T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket ShiftLeft \rrbracket T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket ShiftRightZeros \rrbracket T2 :: T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket ShiftRightSigned \rrbracket T2 :: T$

lemma *WT-binop-fun*: $\llbracket P \vdash T1 \llbracket bop \rrbracket T2 :: T; P \vdash T1 \llbracket bop \rrbracket T2 :: T' \rrbracket \implies T = T'$
by(cases *bop*)*(auto)*

lemma *WT-binop-is-type*:
 $\llbracket P \vdash T1 \llbracket bop \rrbracket T2 :: T; \text{is-type } P T1; \text{is-type } P T2 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-type } P T$
by(cases *bop*) *auto*

inductive *WTrt-binop* :: 'm prog \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow bop \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow bool (- \vdash - $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$: - [51,0,0,0,51] 50)
where

WTrt-binop-Eq:

$P \vdash T1 \llbracket Eq \rrbracket T2 : Boolean$

| *WTrt-binop-NotEq*:

$P \vdash T1 \llbracket NotEq \rrbracket T2 : Boolean$

| *WTrt-binop-LessThan*:

$P \vdash Integer \llbracket LessThan \rrbracket Integer : Boolean$

| *WTrt-binop-LessOrEqual*:

$P \vdash Integer \llbracket LessOrEqual \rrbracket Integer : Boolean$

| *WTrt-binop-GreaterThan*:

$P \vdash Integer \llbracket GreaterThan \rrbracket Integer : Boolean$

| *WTrt-binop-GreaterOrEqual*:

$P \vdash Integer \llbracket GreaterOrEqual \rrbracket Integer : Boolean$

| *WTrt-binop-Add*:

$P \vdash Integer \llbracket Add \rrbracket Integer : Integer$

| *WTrt-binop-Subtract*:

$P \vdash Integer \llbracket Subtract \rrbracket Integer : Integer$

| *WTrt-binop-Mult*:

$P \vdash Integer \llbracket Mult \rrbracket Integer : Integer$

| *WTrt-binop-Div*:

$P \vdash Integer \llbracket Div \rrbracket Integer : Integer$

| *WTrt-binop-Mod*:

$P \vdash Integer \llbracket Mod \rrbracket Integer : Integer$

- | *WT_{Trt}-binop-BinAnd-Bool:*
 $P \vdash \text{Boolean} \llbracket \text{BinAnd} \rrbracket \text{Boolean} : \text{Boolean}$
- | *WT_{Trt}-binop-BinAnd-Int:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llbracket \text{BinAnd} \rrbracket \text{Integer} : \text{Integer}$
- | *WT_{Trt}-binop-BinOr-Bool:*
 $P \vdash \text{Boolean} \llbracket \text{BinOr} \rrbracket \text{Boolean} : \text{Boolean}$
- | *WT_{Trt}-binop-BinOr-Int:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llbracket \text{BinOr} \rrbracket \text{Integer} : \text{Integer}$
- | *WT_{Trt}-binop-BinXor-Bool:*
 $P \vdash \text{Boolean} \llbracket \text{BinXor} \rrbracket \text{Boolean} : \text{Boolean}$
- | *WT_{Trt}-binop-BinXor-Int:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llbracket \text{BinXor} \rrbracket \text{Integer} : \text{Integer}$
- | *WT_{Trt}-binop-ShiftLeft:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llbracket \text{ShiftLeft} \rrbracket \text{Integer} : \text{Integer}$
- | *WT_{Trt}-binop-ShiftRightZeros:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llbracket \text{ShiftRightZeros} \rrbracket \text{Integer} : \text{Integer}$
- | *WT_{Trt}-binop-ShiftRightSigned:*
 $P \vdash \text{Integer} \llbracket \text{ShiftRightSigned} \rrbracket \text{Integer} : \text{Integer}$

lemma *WT_{Trt}-binopI* [intro]:
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{Eq} \rrbracket T2 : \text{Boolean}$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{NotEq} \rrbracket T2 : \text{Boolean}$
 $\text{bop} = \text{Add} \vee \text{bop} = \text{Subtract} \vee \text{bop} = \text{Mult} \vee \text{bop} = \text{Div} \vee \text{bop} = \text{Mod} \vee \text{bop} = \text{BinAnd} \vee \text{bop} = \text{BinOr} \vee \text{bop} = \text{BinXor} \vee$
 $\text{bop} = \text{ShiftLeft} \vee \text{bop} = \text{ShiftRightZeros} \vee \text{bop} = \text{ShiftRightSigned}$
 $\implies P \vdash \text{Integer} \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket \text{Integer} : \text{Integer}$
 $\text{bop} = \text{LessThan} \vee \text{bop} = \text{LessOrEqual} \vee \text{bop} = \text{GreaterThan} \vee \text{bop} = \text{GreaterOrEqual} \implies P \vdash \text{Integer} \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket \text{Boolean} : \text{Boolean}$
 $\text{bop} = \text{BinAnd} \vee \text{bop} = \text{BinOr} \vee \text{bop} = \text{BinXor} \implies P \vdash \text{Boolean} \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket \text{Boolean} : \text{Boolean}$
by(auto intro: *WT_{Trt}-binop.intros*)

inductive-cases *WT_{Trt}-binop-cases* [elim]:

- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{Eq} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{NotEq} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{LessThan} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{LessOrEqual} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{GreaterThan} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{GreaterOrEqual} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{Add} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{Subtract} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{Mult} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{Div} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{Mod} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{BinAnd} \rrbracket T2 : T$
- $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{BinOr} \rrbracket T2 : T$

$P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{BinXor} \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{ShiftLeft} \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{ShiftRightZeros} \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{ShiftRightSigned} \rrbracket T2 : T$

inductive-simps $WTrt\text{-binop-simps}$ [simp]:

$P \vdash T1 \llbracket Eq \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket NotEq \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket LessThan \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket LessOrEqual \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket GreaterThan \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket GreaterOrEqual \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket Add \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket Subtract \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket Mult \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket Div \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket Mod \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket BinAnd \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket BinOr \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket BinXor \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket ShiftLeft \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket ShiftRightZeros \rrbracket T2 : T$
 $P \vdash T1 \llbracket ShiftRightSigned \rrbracket T2 : T$

fun $\text{binop-relevant-class} :: \text{bop} \Rightarrow 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow \text{cname} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\text{binop-relevant-class Div} = (\lambda P C. P \vdash \text{ArithException} \preceq^* C)$
 $\mid \text{binop-relevant-class Mod} = (\lambda P C. P \vdash \text{ArithException} \preceq^* C)$
 $\mid \text{binop-relevant-class -} = (\lambda P C. \text{False})$

lemma $WT\text{-binop}-WTrt\text{-binop}:$

$P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket T2 :: T \implies P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket T2 : T$
by(auto elim: $WT\text{-binop}.cases$)

context $heap$ **begin**

lemma $\text{binop-progress}:$

$\llbracket \text{typeof}_h v1 = \lfloor T1 \rfloor; \text{typeof}_h v2 = \lfloor T2 \rfloor; P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket T2 : T \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists va. \text{binop bop } v1 \ v2 = \lfloor va \rfloor$
by(cases bop)(auto del: disjCI split del: if-split)

lemma $\text{binop-type}:$

assumes $wf: wf\text{-prog wf-md } P$
and $pre: \text{preallocated } h$
and $type: \text{typeof}_h v1 = \lfloor T1 \rfloor \ \text{typeof}_h v2 = \lfloor T2 \rfloor \ P \vdash T1 \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket T2 : T$
shows $\text{binop bop } v1 \ v2 = \lfloor Inl v \rfloor \implies P, h \vdash v : \leq T$
 $\text{and } \text{binop bop } v1 \ v2 = \lfloor Inr a \rfloor \implies P, h \vdash \text{Addr } a : \leq \text{Class Throwable}$
using $type$
apply(case-tac [] bop)
apply(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: conf-def wf-preallocatedD[OF wf pre])
done

lemma $\text{binop-relevant-class}:$

assumes $wf: wf\text{-prog wf-md } P$

```

and pre: preallocated h
and bop: binop bop v1 v2 = [Inr a]
and sup: P ⊢ cname-of h a  $\preceq^*$  C
shows binop-relevant-class bop P C
using assms
by(cases bop)(auto split: if-split-asm)

end

lemma WTrt-binop-fun: [P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T; P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T']  $\implies$  T = T'
by(cases bop)(auto)

lemma WTrt-binop-THE [simp]: P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T  $\implies$  The (WTrt-binop P T1 bop T2) = T
by(auto dest: WTrt-binop-fun)

lemma WTrt-binop-widen-mono:
  [P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T; P ⊢ T1'  $\leq$  T1; P ⊢ T2'  $\leq$  T2]  $\implies$   $\exists T'. P \vdash T1' «bop» T2' : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T$ 
by(cases bop)(auto elim!: WTrt-binop-cases)

lemma WTrt-binop-is-type:
  [P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T; is-type P T1; is-type P T2]  $\implies$  is-type P T
by(cases bop) auto

```

3.15.3 Code generator setup

```

lemmas [code] =
  heap-base.binop-Div.simps
  heap-base.binop-Mod.simps
  heap-base.binop.simps

code-pred
  (modes: i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  bool, i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
  WTrt-binop
  .

code-pred
  (modes: i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  o  $\Rightarrow$  bool, i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
  WTrt-binop
  .

lemma eval-WTrt-binop-i-i-i-i-o:
  Predicate.eval (WTrt-binop-i-i-i-i-o P T1 bop T2) T  $\longleftrightarrow$  P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T
by(auto elim: WTrt-binop-i-i-i-i-oE intro: WTrt-binop-i-i-i-i-oI)

lemma the-WTrt-binop-code:
  (THE T. P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T) = Predicate.the (WTrt-binop-i-i-i-i-o P T1 bop T2)
by(simp add: Predicate.the-def eval-WTrt-binop-i-i-i-i-o)

end

```

3.16 The Ninja Type System as a Semilattice

theory *SemiType*

```

imports
  WellForm
  ..../DFA/Semilattices
begin

inductive-set
  widen1 :: 'a prog  $\Rightarrow$  (ty  $\times$  ty) set
  and widen1-syntax :: 'a prog  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\dashv$  -  $<^1$  - [71,71,71] 70)
  for P :: 'a prog
where
   $P \vdash C <^1 D \equiv (C, D) \in \text{widen1 } P$ 

  | widen1-Array-Object:
     $P \vdash \text{Array } (\text{Class Object}) <^1 \text{ Class Object}$ 

  | widen1-Array-Integer:
     $P \vdash \text{Array Integer} <^1 \text{ Class Object}$ 

  | widen1-Array-Boolean:
     $P \vdash \text{Array Boolean} <^1 \text{ Class Object}$ 

  | widen1-Array-Void:
     $P \vdash \text{Array Void} <^1 \text{ Class Object}$ 

  | widen1-Class:
     $P \vdash C \prec^1 D \implies P \vdash \text{Class } C <^1 \text{ Class } D$ 

  | widen1-Array-Array:
     $\llbracket P \vdash T <^1 U; \neg \text{is-NT-Array } T \rrbracket \implies P \vdash \text{Array } T <^1 \text{ Array } U$ 

abbreviation widen1-trancl :: 'a prog  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\dashv$  -  $<^+$  - [71,71,71] 70) where
   $P \vdash T <^+ U \equiv (T, U) \in \text{trancl } (\text{widen1 } P)$ 

abbreviation widen1-rtrancl :: 'a prog  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\dashv$  -  $<^*$  - [71,71,71] 70) where
   $P \vdash T <^* U \equiv (T, U) \in \text{rtrancl } (\text{widen1 } P)$ 

inductive-simps widen1-simps1 [simp]:
   $P \vdash \text{Integer} <^1 T$ 
   $P \vdash \text{Boolean} <^1 T$ 
   $P \vdash \text{Void} <^1 T$ 
   $P \vdash \text{Class Object} <^1 T$ 
   $P \vdash \text{NT} <^1 U$ 

inductive-simps widen1-simps [simp]:
   $P \vdash \text{Array } (\text{Class Object}) <^1 T$ 
   $P \vdash \text{Array Integer} <^1 T$ 
   $P \vdash \text{Array Boolean} <^1 T$ 
   $P \vdash \text{Array Void} <^1 T$ 
   $P \vdash \text{Class } C <^1 T$ 
   $P \vdash T <^1 \text{Array } U$ 

lemma is-type-widen1:
  assumes icO: is-class P Object
  shows  $P \vdash T <^1 U \implies \text{is-type } P T$ 

```

```

by(induct rule: widen1.induct)(auto intro: subcls-is-class icO split: ty.split dest: is-type-ground-type)

lemma widen1-NT-Array:
  assumes is-NT-Array T
  shows ⊢ P ⊢ T[] <¹ U
proof
  assume P ⊢ T[] <¹ U thus False using assms
    by(induct T[] U arbitrary: T) auto
qed

lemma widen1-is-type:
  assumes wfP: wf-prog wfmd P
  shows (A, B) ∈ widen1 P ⇒ is-type P B
proof(induct rule: widen1.induct)
  case (widen1-Class C D)
  hence is-class P C is-class P D
    by(auto intro: subcls-is-class converse-subcls-is-class[OF wfP])
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (widen1-Array-Array T U)
  thus ?case by(cases U)(auto elim: widen1.cases)
qed(insert wfP, auto)

lemma widen1-trancl-is-type:
  assumes wfP: wf-prog wfmd P
  shows (A, B) ∈ (widen1 P) ^+ ⇒ is-type P B
apply(induct rule: trancl-induct)
apply(auto intro: widen1-is-type[OF wfP])
done

lemma single-valued-widen1:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  shows single-valued (widen1 P)
proof(rule single-valuedI)
  fix x y z
  assume P ⊢ x <¹ y P ⊢ x <¹ z
  thus y = z
proof(induct arbitrary: z rule: widen1.induct)
  case widen1-Class
  with single-valued-subcls1[OF wf] show ?case
    by(auto dest: single-valuedpD)
next
  case (widen1-Array-Array T U z)
  from ⟨P ⊢ T[] <¹ z⟩ ⟨P ⊢ T <¹ U⟩ ⊢ is-NT-Array T
  obtain z' where z': z = z'[] and Tz': P ⊢ T <¹ z'
    by(auto elim: widen1.cases)
  with ⟨P ⊢ T <¹ z' ⇒ U = z'⟩ have U = z' by blast
  with z' show ?case by simp
qed simp-all
qed

function inheritance-level :: 'a prog ⇒ cname ⇒ nat where
  inheritance-level P C =
    (if acyclicP (subcls1 P) ∧ is-class P C ∧ C ≠ Object

```

```

then Suc (inheritance-level P (fst (the (class P C))))
else 0)
by(pat-completeness, auto)
termination
proof(relation same-fst ( $\lambda P.$  acyclicP (subcls1 P)) ( $\lambda P.$   $\{(C, C'). (\text{subcls1 } P)^{-1-1} C C'\}$ ))
  show wf (same-fst ( $\lambda P.$  acyclicP (subcls1 P)) ( $\lambda P.$   $\{(C, C'). (\text{subcls1 } P)^{-1-1} C C'\}$ )))
    by(rule wf-same-fst)(rule acyclicP-wf-subcls1 [unfolded wfP-def])
qed(auto simp add: is-class-def intro: subcls1I)

fun subtype-measure :: 'a prog  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  subtype-measure P (Class C) = inheritance-level P C
| subtype-measure P (Array T) = 1 + subtype-measure P T
| subtype-measure P T = 0

lemma subtype-measure-measure:
  assumes acyclic: acyclicP (subcls1 P)
  and widen1:  $P \vdash x <^1 y$ 
  shows subtype-measure P y < subtype-measure P x
using widen1
proof(induct rule: widen1.induct)
  case (widen1-Class C D)
  then obtain rest where is-class P C C  $\neq$  Object class P C =  $\lfloor (D, \text{rest}) \rfloor$ 
    by(auto elim!: subcls1.cases simp: is-class-def)
  thus ?case using acyclic by(simp)
qed(simp-all)

lemma wf-converse-widen1:
  assumes wfP: wf-prog wfmc P
  shows wf  $((\text{widen1 } P)^{-1})$ 
proof(rule wf-subset)
  from wfP have acyclicP (subcls1 P) by(rule acyclic-subcls1)
  thus  $(\text{widen1 } P)^{-1} \subseteq \text{measure} (\text{subtype-measure } P)$ 
    by(auto dest: subtype-measure-measure)
qed simp

fun super :: 'a prog  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty
where
  super P (Array Integer) = Class Object
| super P (Array Boolean) = Class Object
| super P (Array Void) = Class Object
| super P (Array (Class C)) = (if C = Object then Class Object else Array (super P (Class C)))
| super P (Array (Array T)) = Array (super P (Array T))
| super P (Class C) = Class (fst (the (class P C)))

lemma superI:
   $P \vdash T <^1 U \implies \text{super } P T = U$ 
proof(induct rule: widen1.induct)
  case (widen1-Array-Array T U)
  thus ?case by(cases T) auto
qed(auto dest: subcls1D)

lemma Class-widen1-super:
   $P \vdash \text{Class } C' <^1 U' \longleftrightarrow \text{is-class } P C' \wedge C' \neq \text{Object} \wedge U' = \text{super } P (\text{Class } C')$ 
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)

```

```

proof(rule iffI)
  assume ?lhs thus ?rhs
    by(auto intro: subcls-is-class simp add: superI simp del: super.simps)
next
  assume ?rhs thus ?lhs
    by(auto simp add: is-class-def intro: subcls1.intros)
qed

lemma super-widen1:
  assumes icO: is-class P Object
  shows P ⊢ T <1 U ↔ is-type P T ∧ (case T of Class C ⇒ (C ≠ Object ∧ U = super P T)
    | Array T' ⇒ U = super P T
    | -         ⇒ False)

proof(induct T arbitrary: U)
  case Class thus ?case using Class-widen1-super by(simp)
next
  case (Array T' U')
  note IH = this
  have P ⊢ T'[] <1 U' = (is-type P (T'[])) ∧ U' = super P (T'[])
  proof(rule iffI)
    assume wd: P ⊢ T'[] <1 U'
    with icO have is-type P (T'[]) by(rule is-type-widen1)
    moreover from wd have super P (T'[]) = U' by(rule superI)
    ultimately show is-type P (T'[]) ∧ U' = super P (T'[]) by simp
next
  assume is-type P (T'[]) ∧ U' = super P (T'[])
  then obtain is-type P (T'[]) and U': U' = super P (T'[]) ..
  thus P ⊢ T'[] <1 U'
  proof(cases T')
    case (Class D)
    thus ?thesis using U' icO ⟨is-type P (T'[])⟩
      by(cases D = Object)(auto simp add: is-class-def intro: subcls1.intros)
next
  case Array thus ?thesis
    using IH ⟨is-type P (T'[])⟩ U' by(auto simp add: ty.split-asm)
  qed simp-all
qed
  thus ?case by(simp)
qed(simp-all)

definition sup :: 'c prog ⇒ ty ⇒ ty ⇒ ty err where
  sup P T U ≡
    if is-refT T ∧ is-refT U
    then OK (if U = NT then T
      else if T = NT then U
      else exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) T U)
    else if (T = U) then OK T else Err

lemma sup-def':
  sup P = (λT U.
    if is-refT T ∧ is-refT U
    then OK (if U = NT then T
      else if T = NT then U
      else exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) T U)
    else Err)

```

```

else if ( $T = U$ ) then OK  $T$  else Err)
by (simp add: fun-eq-iff sup-def)

definition esl :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  ty esl
where
  esl  $P$  = (types  $P$ , widen  $P$ , sup  $P$ )

lemma order-widen [intro,simp]:
  wf-prog  $m P \implies$  order (widen  $P$ )
unfolding Semilat.order-def lesub-def
by (auto intro: widen-trans widen-antisym)

lemma subcls1-trancl-widen1-trancl:
  ( $\text{subcls1 } P$ ) $\hat{+}+ C D \implies P \vdash \text{Class } C <^+ \text{Class } D$ 
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct[consumes 1, case-names base step])
  (auto intro: trancl-into-trancl)

lemma subcls-into-widen1-rtrancl:
   $P \vdash C \preceq^* D \implies P \vdash \text{Class } C <^* \text{Class } D$ 
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(auto intro: rtrancl-into-rtrancl)

lemma not-widen1-NT-Array:
   $P \vdash U <^1 T \implies \neg \text{is-NT-Array } T$ 
by(induct rule: widen1.induct)(auto)

lemma widen1-trancl-into-Array-widen1-trancl:
  [ $P \vdash A <^+ B; \neg \text{is-NT-Array } A$ ]  $\implies P \vdash A[] <^+ B[]$ 
by(induct rule: converse-trancl-induct)
  (auto intro: trancl-into-trancl2 widen1-Array-Array dest: not-widen1-NT-Array)

lemma widen1-rtrancl-into-Array-widen1-rtrancl:
  [ $P \vdash A <^* B; \neg \text{is-NT-Array } A$ ]  $\implies P \vdash A[] <^* B[]$ 
by(blast elim: rtranclE intro: trancl-into-rtrancl widen1-trancl-widen1-trancl rtrancl-into-trancl1)

lemma Array-Object-widen1-trancl:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wmdc  $P$ 
  and itA: is-type  $P (A[])$ 
  shows  $P \vdash A[] <^+ \text{Class Object}$ 
using itA
proof(induction A)
  case (Class C)
    hence is-class  $P C$  by simp
    hence  $P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Object}$  by(rule subcls-C-Object[OF - wf])
    hence  $P \vdash \text{Class } C <^* \text{Class Object}$  by(rule subcls-into-widen1-rtrancl)
    hence  $P \vdash \text{Class } C[] <^* \text{Class Object}[]$ 
      by(rule widen1-rtrancl-into-Array-widen1-rtrancl) simp
    thus ?case by(rule rtrancl-into-trancl1) simp
next
  case (Array A)
    from `is-type  $P (A[][])` have is-type  $P (A[])$  by(rule is-type-ArrayD)
    hence  $P \vdash A[] <^+ \text{Class Object}$  by(rule Array.IH)
    moreover from `is-type  $P (A[][])` have  $\neg \text{is-NT-Array } (A[])$  by auto
    ultimately have  $P \vdash A[][] <^+ \text{Class Object}[]$ 
      by(rule widen1-trancl-into-Array-widen1-trancl)$$ 
```

```

thus ?case by(rule trancl-into-trancl) simp
qed auto

lemma widen-into-widen1-trancl:
assumes wf: wf-prog wfmd P
shows [| P ⊢ A ≤ B; A ≠ B; A ≠ NT; is-type P A |] ==> P ⊢ A <+ B
proof(induct rule: widen.induct)
  case (widen-subcls C D)
  from ⟨Class C ≠ Class D⟩ ⟨P ⊢ C ⊑* D⟩ have (subcls1 P)++ C D
    by(auto elim: rtranclp.cases intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp1)
  thus ?case by(rule subcls1-trancl-widen1-trancl)
next
  case widen-array-object thus ?case by(auto intro: Array-Object-widen1-trancl[OF wf])
next
  case (widen-array-array A B)
  hence P ⊢ A <+ B by(cases A) auto
  with ⟨is-type P (A[])⟩ show ?case by(auto intro: widen1-trancl-into-Array-widen1-trancl)
qed(auto)

lemma wf-prog-impl-acc-widen:
assumes wfP: wf-prog wfmd P
shows acc (types P) (widen P)
proof -
  from wf-converse-widen1[OF wfP]
  have wf (((widen1 P)⁻¹)⁺) by(rule wf-trancl)

  hence wfw1t: ⋀M T. T ∈ M ==> (Ǝz ∈ M. ∀y. (y, z) ∈ ((widen1 P)⁻¹)⁺) → y ∉ M
    by(auto simp only: wf-eq-minimal)
  have wf {(y, x). is-type P x ∧ is-type P y ∧ widen P x y ∧ x ≠ y}
    unfolding wf-eq-minimal
  proof(intro strip)
    fix M and T :: ty
    assume TM: T ∈ M
    show Ǝz ∈ M. ∀y. (y, z) ∈ {(y, T). is-type P T ∧ is-type P y ∧ widen P T y ∧ T ≠ y} → y ∉ M
    proof(cases (ƎC. Class C ∈ M ∧ is-class P C) ∨ (ƎU. U[] ∈ M ∧ is-type P (U[])))
      case True
      have BNTthesis: ⋀B. [| B ∈ (M ∩ types P) - {NT} |] ==> ?thesis
      proof -
        fix B
        assume BM: B ∈ M ∩ types P - {NT}
        from wfw1t[OF BM] obtain z
          where zM: z ∈ M
          and znnt: z ≠ NT
          and itz: is-type P z
          and y: ⋀y. (y, z) ∈ ((widen1 P)⁻¹)⁺ ==> y ∉ M ∩ types P - {NT} by blast
        show ?thesis B
        proof(rule bexI[OF - zM], rule allI, rule impI)
          fix y
          assume (y, z) ∈ {(y, T). is-type P T ∧ is-type P y ∧ widen P T y ∧ T ≠ y}
          hence Pzy: P ⊢ z ≤ y and zy: z ≠ y and is-type P y by auto
          hence P ⊢ z <+ y using znnt itz
            by -(rule widen-into-widen1-trancl[OF wfP])
          hence ynm: y ∉ M ∩ types P - {NT}
            by -(rule y, simp add: trancl-converse)
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

thus  $y \notin M$  using  $P \text{zy } \text{znnt } \langle \text{is-type } P \ y \rangle$  by auto
qed
qed
from True show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: BNTthesis)
next
case False

hence not-is-class:  $\bigwedge C. \text{Class } C \in M \implies \neg \text{is-class } P \ C$ 
and not-is-array:  $\bigwedge U. U[] \in M \implies \neg \text{is-type } P \ (U[])$  by simp-all

show ?thesis
proof(cases  $\exists C. \text{Class } C \in M$ )
  case True
  then obtain C where Class C ∈ M ..
  with not-is-class[of C] show ?thesis
    by(blast dest: rtranclpD subcls-is-class Class-widen)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof(cases  $\exists T. \text{Array } T \in M$ )
  case True
  then obtain U where Array U ∈ M ..
  hence  $\neg \text{is-type } P \ (U[])$  by(rule not-is-array)
  thus ?thesis using U by(auto simp del: is-type.simps)
next
case False
with  $\neg (\exists C. \text{Class } C \in M)$  TM
have  $\forall y. P \vdash T \leq y \wedge T \neq y \longrightarrow y \notin M$ 
  by(cases T)(fastforce simp add: NT-widen)+
thus ?thesis using TM by blast
qed
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by(simp add: Semilat.acc-def lesssub-def lesub-def)
qed

lemmas wf-widen-acc = wf-prog-impl-acc-widen
declare wf-widen-acc [intro, simp]

lemma acyclic-widen1:
  wf-prog wfmc P  $\implies$  acyclic (widen1 P)
by(auto dest: wf-converse-widen1 wf-acyclic simp add: acyclic-converse)

lemma widen1-into-widen:
   $(A, B) \in \text{widen1 } P \implies P \vdash A \leq B$ 
by(induct rule: widen1.induct)(auto intro: widen.intros)

lemma widen1-rtrancl-into-widen:
   $P \vdash A <^* B \implies P \vdash A \leq B$ 
by(induct rule: rtrancl-induct)(auto dest!: widen1-into-widen elim: widen-trans)

lemma widen-eq-widen1-trancl:
   $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wf-md } P; T \neq NT; T \neq U; \text{is-type } P \ T \rrbracket \implies P \vdash T \leq U \longleftrightarrow P \vdash T <^+ U$ 

```

```

by(blast intro: widen-into-widen1-trancl widen1-rtrancl-into-widen trancl-into-rtrancl)

lemma sup-is-type:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and itA: is-type P A
  and itB: is-type P B
  and sup: sup P A B = OK T
  shows is-type P T
proof -
  { assume ANT: A ≠ NT
    and BNT: B ≠ NT
    and AnB: A ≠ B
    and RTA: is-refT A
    and RTB: is-refT B
    with itA itB have AObject: P ⊢ A ≤ Class Object
      and BObject: P ⊢ B ≤ Class Object
      by(auto intro: is-refType-widen-Object[OF wf])
    have is-type P (exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) A B)
    proof(cases A = Class Object ∨ B = Class Object)
      case True
      hence exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) A B = Class Object
      proof(rule disjE)
        assume A: A = Class Object
        moreover
        from BObject BNT itB have P ⊢ B <* Class Object
          by(cases B = Class Object)(auto intro: trancl-into-rtrancl widen-into-widen1-trancl[OF wf])
        hence is-ub ((widen1 P)*) (Class Object) B (Class Object)
          by(auto intro: is-ubI)
        hence is-lub ((widen1 P)*) (Class Object) B (Class Object)
          by(auto simp add: is-lub-def dest: is-ubD)
        with acyclic-widen1[OF wf]
        have exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) (Class Object) B = Class Object
          by(auto intro: exec-lub-conv superI)
        ultimately show exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) A B = Class Object by simp
      next
        assume B: B = Class Object
        moreover
        from AObject ANT itA
        have (A, Class Object) ∈ (widen1 P)*
          by(cases A = Class Object, auto intro: trancl-into-rtrancl widen-into-widen1-trancl[OF wf])
        hence is-ub ((widen1 P)*) (Class Object) A (Class Object)
          by(auto intro: is-ubI)
        hence is-lub ((widen1 P)*) (Class Object) A (Class Object)
          by(auto simp add: is-lub-def dest: is-ubD)
        with acyclic-widen1[OF wf]
        have exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) A (Class Object) = Class Object
          by(auto intro: exec-lub-conv superI)
        ultimately show exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) A B = Class Object by simp
      qed
      with wf show ?thesis by(simp)
    next
      case False
      hence AnObject: A ≠ Class Object
        and BnObject: B ≠ Class Object by auto
  }

```

```

from widen-into-widen1-trancl[OF wf AObject AnObject ANT itA]
have P  $\vdash A <^* \text{Class Object}$  by(rule trancl-into-rtrancl)
moreover from widen-into-widen1-trancl[OF wf BObject BnObject BNT itB]
have P  $\vdash B <^* \text{Class Object}$  by(rule trancl-into-rtrancl)
ultimately have is-lub ((widen1 P)*) A B (exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) A B)
by(rule is-lub-exec-lub[OF single-valued-widen1[OF wf] acyclic-widen1[OF wf]])(auto intro:
superI)
hence Aew1: P  $\vdash A <^* \text{exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) A B}$ 
by(auto simp add: is-lub-def dest!: is-ubD)
thus ?thesis
proof(rule rtranclE)
assume A = exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) A B
with itA show ?thesis by simp
next
fix A'
assume P  $\vdash A' <^1 \text{exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) A B}$ 
thus ?thesis by(rule widen1-is-type[OF wf])
qed
qed }
with is-class-Object[OF wf] sup itA itB show ?thesis unfolding sup-def
by(cases A = B)(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: exec-lub-refl)
qed

lemma closed-err-types:
assumes wfP: wf-prog wf-mb P
shows closed (err (types P)) (lift2 (sup P))
proof -
{ fix A B
assume it: is-type P A is-type P B
and A  $\neq \text{NT}$  B  $\neq \text{NT}$  A  $\neq$  B
and is-refT A is-refT B
hence is-type P (exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) A B)
using sup-is-type[OF wfP it] by(simp add: sup-def) }
with is-class-Object[OF wfP] show ?thesis
unfolding closed-def plussub-def lift2-def sup-def'
by(auto split: err.split ty.splits)(auto simp add: exec-lub-refl)
qed

lemma widen-into-widen1-rtrancl:
[wf-prog wfmd P; widen P A B; A  $\neq \text{NT}; \text{is-type } P A]  $\implies (A, B) \in (\text{widen1 } P)^*$ 
by(cases A = B)(auto intro: trancl-into-rtrancl widen-into-widen1-trancl)

lemma sup-widen-greater:
assumes wfP: wf-prog wf-mb P
and it1: is-type P t1
and it2: is-type P t2
and sup: sup P t1 t2 = OK s
shows widen P t1 s  $\wedge$  widen P t2 s
proof -
{ assume t1: is-refT t1
and t2: is-refT t2
and t1NT: t1  $\neq \text{NT}$ 
and t2NT: t2  $\neq \text{NT}$$ 
```

```

with it1 it2 wfP have P ⊢ t1 ≤ Class Object P ⊢ t2 ≤ Class Object
  by(auto intro: is-refType-widen-Object)
with t1NT t2NT it1 it2
have P ⊢ t1 <* Class Object P ⊢ t2 <* Class Object
  by(auto intro: widen-into-widen1-rtrancl[OF wfP])
with single-valued-widen1[OF wfP]
obtain u where is-lub ((widen1 P) ^*) t1 t2 u
  by (blast dest: single-valued-has-lubs)
hence P ⊢ t1 ≤ exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) t1 t2 ∧
  P ⊢ t2 ≤ exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) t1 t2
  using acyclic-widen1[OF wfP] superI[of - - P]
  by(simp add: exec-lub-conv)(blast dest: is-lubD is-ubD intro: widen1-rtrancl-into-widen) }
with it1 it2 sup show ?thesis
  by (cases s) (auto simp add: sup-def split: if-split-asm elim: refTE)
qed

lemma sup-widen-smallest:
assumes wfP: wf-prog wf-mb P
and iT: is-type P T
and iU: is-type P U
and TwV: P ⊢ T ≤ V
and UwV: P ⊢ U ≤ V
and sup: sup P T U = OK W
shows widen P W V
proof -
  { assume rT: is-refT T
    and rU: is-refT U
    and UNT: U ≠ NT
    and TNT: T ≠ NT
    and W: exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) T U = W
    from iT iU iT rT rU UNT TNT have P ⊢ T ≤ Class Object P ⊢ U ≤ Class Object
      by(auto intro:is-refType-widen-Object[OF wfP])
    with UNT TNT iT iU
    have P ⊢ T <* Class Object P ⊢ U <* Class Object
      by(auto intro: widen-into-widen1-rtrancl[OF wfP])
    with single-valued-widen1[OF wfP]
    obtain X where lub: is-lub ((widen1 P) ^*) T U X
      by (blast dest: single-valued-has-lubs)
    with acyclic-widen1[OF wfP]
    have exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) T U = X
      by (blast intro: superI exec-lub-conv)
    also from TwV TNT UwV UNT iT iT have P ⊢ T <* V P ⊢ U <* V
      by(auto intro: widen-into-widen1-rtrancl[OF wfP])
    with lub have P ⊢ X <* V
      by (clar simp simp add: is-lub-def is-ub-def)
    finally have P ⊢ exec-lub (widen1 P) (super P) T U ≤ V
      by(rule widen1-rtrancl-into-widen)
    with W have P ⊢ W ≤ V by simp }
    with sup iT iU TwV UwV show ?thesis
      by(simp add: sup-def split: if-split-asm)
qed

lemma sup-exists:
  [ widen P a c; widen P b c ] ==> ∃ T. sup P a b = OK T

```

```

by(cases b a rule: ty.exhaust[case-product ty.exhaust])(auto simp add: sup-def)

lemma err-semilat-JType-esl:
  assumes wf-prog: wf-prog wf-md P
  shows err-semilat (esl P)
proof -
  from wf-prog have order (widen P) ..
  moreover from wf-prog
  have closed (err (types P)) (lift2 (sup P))
    by (rule closed-err-types)
  moreover
  from wf-prog have
    ( $\forall x \in err (types P). \forall y \in err (types P). x \sqsubseteq_{Err.le} (widen P) x \sqcup_{lift2 (sup P)} y \wedge$ 
      $\forall x \in err (types P). \forall y \in err (types P). y \sqsubseteq_{Err.le} (widen P) x \sqcup_{lift2 (sup P)} y)$ 
    by(auto simp add: lesub-def plussub-def Err.le-def lift2-def sup-widen-greater split: err.split)
  moreover from wf-prog have
     $\forall x \in err (types P). \forall y \in err (types P). \forall z \in err (types P).$ 
     $x \sqsubseteq_{Err.le} (widen P) z \wedge y \sqsubseteq_{Err.le} (widen P) z \longrightarrow x \sqcup_{lift2 (sup P)} y \sqsubseteq_{Err.le} (widen P) z$ 
    unfolding lift2-def plussub-def lesub-def Err.le-def
    by(auto intro: sup-widen-smallest dest:sup-exists simp add: split: err.split)
  ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: esl-def semilat-def sl-def Err.sl-def)
qed

```

3.16.1 Relation between $SemiType.sup P T U = OK V$ and $P \vdash lub(T, U) = V$

```

lemma sup-is-lubI:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and it: is-type P T is-type P U
  and sup: sup P T U = OK V
  shows P ⊢ lub(T, U) = V
proof
  from sup-widen-greater[OF wf it sup]
  show P ⊢ T ≤ V P ⊢ U ≤ V by blast+
next
  fix T'
  assume P ⊢ T ≤ T' P ⊢ U ≤ T'
  thus P ⊢ V ≤ T' using sup by(rule sup-widen-smallest[OF wf it])
qed

```

```

lemma is-lub-subD:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and it: is-type P T is-type P U
  and lub: P ⊢ lub(T, U) = V
  shows sup P T U = OK V
proof -
  from lub have P ⊢ T ≤ V P ⊢ U ≤ V by(blast dest: is-lub-upper)+
  from sup-exists[OF this] obtain W where sup P T U = OK W by blast
  moreover
  with wf it have P ⊢ lub(T, U) = W by(rule sup-is-lubI)
  with lub have V = W by(auto dest: is-lub-unique[OF wf])
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma is-lub-is-type:

```

$\llbracket wf\text{-}prog\ wf\text{-}md\ P; is\text{-}type\ P\ T; is\text{-}type\ P\ U; P \vdash lub(T, U) = V \rrbracket \implies is\text{-}type\ P\ V$
by(frule (3) is-lub-subD)(erule (3) sup-is-type)

3.16.2 Code generator setup

```

code-pred widen1p .
lemmas [code] = widen1-def

lemma eval-widen1p-i-i-o-conv:
  Predicate.eval (widen1p-i-i-o P T) = ( $\lambda U. P \vdash T <^1 U$ )
by(auto elim: widen1p-i-i-oE intro: widen1p-i-i-oI simp add: widen1-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma rtrancl-widen1-code [code-unfold]:
  (widen1 P) $^*$  = {(a, b). Predicate.holds (rtrancl-tab-FioB-i-i-i (widen1p-i-i-o P) [] a b)}
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff Predicate.holds-eq widen1-def rtrancl-def rtranclp-eq-rtrancl-tab-nil eval-widen1p-i-i-o-intro!: rtrancl-tab-FioB-i-i-iI elim!: rtrancl-tab-FioB-i-i-iE)

declare exec-lub-def [code-unfold]

end
theory Common-Main
imports
  ..../Basic/Auxiliary
  ..../Framework/FWProgress
  ..../Framework/FWBisimDeadlock
  ..../Framework/FWBisimLift
  ..../DFA/Abstract-BV
  ExternalCallWF
  ConformThreaded
  BinOp
  SemiType
begin

end

```

Chapter 4

JinjaThreads source language

4.1 Program State

```
theory State
imports
  ..../Common/Heap
begin

type-synonym
  'addr locals = vname → 'addr val      — local vars, incl. params and “this”
type-synonym
  ('addr, 'heap) Jstate = 'heap × 'addr locals      — the heap and the local vars

definition hp :: 'heap × 'x ⇒ 'heap where hp ≡ fst

definition lcl :: 'heap × 'x ⇒ 'x where lcl ≡ snd

lemma hp-conv [simp]: hp (h, l) = h
by(simp add: hp-def)

lemma lcl-conv [simp]: lcl (h, l) = l
by(simp add: lcl-def)

end
```

4.2 Expressions

```
theory Expr
imports
  ..../Common/BinOp
begin

datatype (dead 'a, dead 'b, dead 'addr) exp
  = new cname      — class instance creation
  | newArray ty ('a,'b,'addr) exp (newA -[-] [99,0] 90)      — array instance creation: type, size in
outermost dimension
  | Cast ty ('a,'b,'addr) exp      — type cast
  | InstanceOf ('a,'b,'addr) exp ty (- instanceof - [99, 99] 90) — instance of
```

```

| Val 'addr val' — value
| BinOp ('a','b','addr) exp bop ('a','b','addr) exp (- «-> - [80,0,81] 80) — binary operation
| Var 'a' — local variable (incl. parameter)
| LAss 'a ('a','b','addr) exp (-:= [90,90] 90) — local assignment
| AAcc ('a','b','addr) exp ('a','b','addr) exp (-[-] [99,0] 90) — array cell read
| AAAss ('a','b','addr) exp ('a','b','addr) exp ('a','b','addr) exp (-[-] := - [10,99,90] 90) — array cell
assignment
| ALen ('a','b','addr) exp (.-length [10] 90) — array length
| FAcc ('a','b','addr) exp vname cname (..{-} [10,90,99] 90) — field access
| FAAss ('a','b','addr) exp vname cname ('a','b','addr) exp (..{-} := - [10,90,99,90] 90) — field
assignment
| CompareAndSwap ('a','b','addr) exp cname vname ('a','b','addr) exp ('a','b','addr) exp (.-compareAndSwap('(-,-,
-, -)) [10,90,90,90,90] 90) — compare and swap
| Call ('a','b','addr) exp mname ('a','b','addr) exp list (..-'(-) [90,99,0] 90) — method call
| Block 'a ty 'addr val option ('a','b','addr') exp ('{:-=-; -})
| Synchronized 'b ('a','b','addr') exp ('a','b','addr') exp (sync_ '(-) - [99,99,90] 90)
| InSynchronized 'b 'addr ('a','b','addr') exp (insync_ '(-) - [99,99,90] 90)
| Seq ('a','b','addr') exp ('a','b','addr') exp (-;/ - [61,60] 60)
| Cond ('a','b','addr') exp ('a','b','addr') exp ('a','b','addr') exp (if '(-) -/ else - [80,79,79] 70)
| While ('a','b','addr') exp ('a','b','addr') exp (while '(-) - [80,79] 70)
| throw ('a','b','addr') exp
| TryCatch ('a','b','addr') exp cname 'a ('a','b','addr') exp (try -/ catch'(- -) - [0,99,80,79] 70)

```

type-synonym'*addr expr* = (*vname, unit, 'addr*) *exp* — Jinja expression**type-synonym**'*addr J-mb* = *vname list* × '*addr expr* — Jinja method body: parameter names and expression**type-synonym**'*addr J-prog* = '*addr J-mb prog* — Jinja program**translations**(type) '*addr expr* <= (type) (*String.literal, unit, 'addr*) *exp*(type) '*addr J-prog* <= (type) (*String.literal list* × '*addr expr*) *prog***4.2.1 Syntactic sugar**

abbreviation *unit* :: ('*a','b','addr*) *exp*
where *unit* ≡ *Val Unit*

abbreviation *null* :: ('*a','b','addr*) *exp*
where *null* ≡ *Val Null*

abbreviation *addr* :: '*addr* ⇒ ('*a','b','addr*) *exp*
where *addr a* == *Val (Addr a)*

abbreviation *true* :: ('*a','b','addr*) *exp*
where *true* == *Val (Bool True)*

abbreviation *false* :: ('*a','b','addr*) *exp*
where *false* == *Val (Bool False)*

abbreviation *Throw* :: '*addr* ⇒ ('*a','b','addr*) *exp*
where *Throw a* == *throw (Val (Addr a))*

abbreviation (in heap-base) $\text{THROW} :: \text{cname} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp}$
where $\text{THROW } xc == \text{Throw } (\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt } xc)$

abbreviation $\text{sync-unit-syntax} :: ('a, \text{unit}, 'addr) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{unit}, 'addr) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{unit}, 'addr) \text{ exp}$
 $(\text{sync}'(-) - [99, 90] 90)$
where $\text{sync}(e1) \ e2 \equiv \text{sync}() \ (e1) \ e2$

abbreviation $\text{insync-unit-syntax} :: 'addr \Rightarrow ('a, \text{unit}, 'addr) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{unit}, 'addr) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{unit}, 'addr) \text{ exp}$
 $(\text{insync}'(-) - [99, 90] 90)$
where $\text{insync}(a) \ e2 \equiv \text{insync}() \ (a) \ e2$

Java syntax for binary operators

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-Eq} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll= - [80, 81] 80)$
where $e \ll= e' \equiv e \ll \text{Eq} \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-NotEq} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll!= - [80, 81] 80)$
where $e \ll!= e' \equiv e \ll \text{NotEq} \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-LessThan} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll< - [80, 81] 80)$
where $e \ll< e' \equiv e \ll \text{LessThan} \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-LessOrEqual} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll\leq - [80, 81] 80)$
where $e \ll\leq e' \equiv e \ll \text{LessOrEqual} \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-GreaterThan} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \gg> - [80, 81] 80)$
where $e \gg> e' \equiv e \ll \text{GreaterThan} \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-GreaterOrEqual} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \gg\geq - [80, 81] 80)$
where $e \gg\geq e' \equiv e \ll \text{GreaterOrEqual} \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-Add} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll+ - [80, 81] 80)$
where $e \ll+ e' \equiv e \ll \text{Add} \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-Subtract} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll- - [80, 81] 80)$
where $e \ll- e' \equiv e \ll \text{Subtract} \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-Mult} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll* - [80, 81] 80)$
where $e \ll* e' \equiv e \ll \text{Mult} \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-Div} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll/ - [80, 81] 80)$
where $e \ll/ e' \equiv e \ll \text{Div} \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-Mod} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll\% - [80, 81] 80)$

where $e \ll \% \gg e' \equiv e \ll Mod \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-BinAnd} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll \& \gg - [80,81] 80)$

where $e \ll \& \gg e' \equiv e \ll BinAnd \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-BinOr} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll | \gg - [80,81] 80)$

where $e \ll | \gg e' \equiv e \ll BinOr \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-BinXor} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll \widehat{\wedge} \gg - [80,81] 80)$

where $e \ll \widehat{\wedge} \gg e' \equiv e \ll BinXor \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-ShiftLeft} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll << \gg - [80,81] 80)$

where $e \ll << \gg e' \equiv e \ll ShiftLeft \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-ShiftRightZeros} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll >>> \gg - [80,81] 80)$

where $e \ll >>> \gg e' \equiv e \ll ShiftRightZeros \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-ShiftRightSigned} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll >> \gg - [80,81] 80)$

where $e \ll >> \gg e' \equiv e \ll ShiftRightSigned \gg e'$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-CondAnd} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll \&\& \gg - [80,81] 80)$

where $e \ll \&\& \gg e' \equiv if (e) e' else false$

abbreviation $\text{BinOp-CondOr} :: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ exp}$
 $(- \ll ||| \gg - [80,81] 80)$

where $e \ll ||| \gg e' \equiv if (e) true else e'$

lemma $\text{inj-Val [simp]: inj Val}$

by (rule inj-onI)(simp)

lemma $\text{expr-ineqs [simp]: Val v ;; e \neq e if (e1) e else e2 \neq e if (e1) e2 else e \neq e}$
by (induct e) auto

4.2.2 Free Variables

primrec $\text{fv} :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
and $\text{fvs} :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$

where

- $| \text{fv}(\text{new } C) = \{\}$
- $| \text{fv}(\text{newA } T[e]) = \text{fv } e$
- $| \text{fv}(\text{Cast } C e) = \text{fv } e$
- $| \text{fv}(e \text{ instanceof } T) = \text{fv } e$
- $| \text{fv}(\text{Val } v) = \{\}$
- $| \text{fv}(e_1 \ll \text{bop} \gg e_2) = \text{fv } e_1 \cup \text{fv } e_2$
- $| \text{fv}(\text{Var } V) = \{V\}$
- $| \text{fv}(a[i]) = \text{fv } a \cup \text{fv } i$
- $| \text{fv}(\text{AAss } a \ i \ e) = \text{fv } a \cup \text{fv } i \cup \text{fv } e$

```

| fv(a·length) = fv a
| fv(LAss V e) = {V} ∪ fv e
| fv(e·F{D}) = fv e
| fv(FAss e1 F D e2) = fv e1 ∪ fv e2
| fv(e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) = fv e1 ∪ fv e2 ∪ fv e3
| fv(e·M(es)) = fv e ∪ fvs es
| fv({V:T=vo; e}) = fv e - {V}
| fv(sync_V(h) e) = fv h ∪ fv e
| fv(insync_V(a) e) = fv e
| fv(e1;;e2) = fv e1 ∪ fv e2
| fv(if (b) e1 else e2) = fv b ∪ fv e1 ∪ fv e2
| fv(while (b) e) = fv b ∪ fv e
| fv(throw e) = fv e
| fv(try e1 catch(C V) e2) = fv e1 ∪ (fv e2 - {V})

| fvs([]) = {}
| fvs(e#es) = fv e ∪ fvs es

```

lemma [simp]: $fvs(es @ es') = fvs es \cup fvs es'$
by (induct es) auto

lemma [simp]: $fvs(\text{map Val vs}) = {}$
by (induct vs) auto

4.2.3 Locks and addresses

```

primrec expr-locks :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp ⇒ 'addr ⇒ nat
  and expr-lockss :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp list ⇒ 'addr ⇒ nat
where
  expr-locks (new C) = (λad. 0)
  expr-locks (newA T[e]) = expr-locks e
  expr-locks (Cast T e) = expr-locks e
  expr-locks (e instanceof T) = expr-locks e
  expr-locks (Val v) = (λad. 0)
  expr-locks (Var v) = (λad. 0)
  expr-locks (e «bop» e') = (λad. expr-locks e ad + expr-locks e' ad)
  expr-locks (V := e) = expr-locks e
  expr-locks (a[i]) = (λad. expr-locks a ad + expr-locks i ad)
  expr-locks (AAss a i e) = (λad. expr-locks a ad + expr-locks i ad + expr-locks e ad)
  expr-locks (a·length) = expr-locks a
  expr-locks (e·F{D}) = expr-locks e
  expr-locks (FAss e F D e') = (λad. expr-locks e ad + expr-locks e' ad)
  expr-locks (e·compareAndSwap(D·F, e', e'')) = (λad. expr-locks e ad + expr-locks e' ad + expr-locks e'' ad)
  expr-locks (e·m(ps)) = (λad. expr-locks e ad + expr-lockss ps ad)
  expr-locks ({V : T=vo; e}) = expr-locks e
  expr-locks (sync_V(o') e) = (λad. expr-locks o' ad + expr-locks e ad)
  expr-locks (insync_V(a) e) = (λad. if (a = ad) then Suc (expr-locks e ad) else expr-locks e ad)
  expr-locks (e;;e') = (λad. expr-locks e ad + expr-locks e' ad)
  expr-locks (if (b) e else e') = (λad. expr-locks b ad + expr-locks e ad + expr-locks e' ad)
  expr-locks (while (b) e) = (λad. expr-locks b ad + expr-locks e ad)
  expr-locks (throw e) = expr-locks e
  expr-locks (try e catch(C v) e') = (λad. expr-locks e ad + expr-locks e' ad)

```

```

| expr-lockss [] = ( $\lambda a. 0$ )
| expr-lockss (x#xs) = ( $\lambda ad. \text{expr-locks } x ad + \text{expr-lockss } xs ad$ )
```

lemma *expr-lockss-append* [simp]:
 $\text{expr-lockss } (es @ es') = (\lambda ad. \text{expr-lockss } es ad + \text{expr-lockss } es' ad)$
by(induct es) auto

lemma *expr-lockss-map-Val* [simp]: $\text{expr-lockss } (\text{map Val } vs) = (\lambda ad. 0)$
by(induct vs) auto

primrec *contains-insync* :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp \Rightarrow bool
and *contains-insyncs* :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp list \Rightarrow bool
where
contains-insync (*new C*) = *False*
| *contains-insync* (*newA T[i]*) = *contains-insync i*
| *contains-insync* (*Cast T e*) = *contains-insync e*
| *contains-insync* (*e instanceof T*) = *contains-insync e*
| *contains-insync* (*Val v*) = *False*
| *contains-insync* (*Var v*) = *False*
| *contains-insync* (*e «bop» e'*) = (*contains-insync e* \vee *contains-insync e'*)
| *contains-insync* (*V := e*) = *contains-insync e*
| *contains-insync* (*a[i]*) = (*contains-insync a* \vee *contains-insync i*)
| *contains-insync* (*AAss a i e*) = (*contains-insync a* \vee *contains-insync i* \vee *contains-insync e*)
| *contains-insync* (*a.length*) = *contains-insync a*
| *contains-insync* (*e.F{D}*) = *contains-insync e*
| *contains-insync* (*FAss e F D e'*) = (*contains-insync e* \vee *contains-insync e'*)
| *contains-insync* (*e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')*) = (*contains-insync e* \vee *contains-insync e'* \vee *contains-insync e''*)
| *contains-insync* (*e.m(pns)*) = (*contains-insync e* \vee *contains-insyncs pns*)
| *contains-insync* (*{V : T=vo; e}*) = *contains-insync e*
| *contains-insync* (*sync_V(o') e*) = (*contains-insync o'* \vee *contains-insync e*)
| *contains-insync* (*insync_V(a) e*) = *True*
| *contains-insync* (*e;;e'*) = (*contains-insync e* \vee *contains-insync e'*)
| *contains-insync* (*if (b) e else e'*) = (*contains-insync b* \vee *contains-insync e* \vee *contains-insync e'*)
| *contains-insync* (*while (b) e*) = (*contains-insync b* \vee *contains-insync e*)
| *contains-insync* (*throw e*) = *contains-insync e*
| *contains-insync* (*try e catch(C v) e'*) = (*contains-insync e* \vee *contains-insync e'*)

| *contains-insyncs []* = *False*
| *contains-insyncs (x # xs)* = (*contains-insync x* \vee *contains-insyncs xs*)

lemma *contains-insyncs-append* [simp]:
contains-insyncs (*es @ es'*) \longleftrightarrow *contains-insyncs es* \vee *contains-insyncs es'*
by(induct es, auto)

lemma fixes *e* :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp
and *es* :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list
shows *contains-insync-conv*: (*contains-insync e* \longleftrightarrow ($\exists ad. \text{expr-locks } e ad > 0$))
and *contains-insyncs-conv*: (*contains-insyncs es* \longleftrightarrow ($\exists ad. \text{expr-lockss } es ad > 0$))
by(induct *e* and *es* rule: *expr-locks.induct* *expr-lockss.induct*)(auto)

lemma *contains-insyncs-map-Val* [simp]: $\neg \text{contains-insyncs } (\text{map Val } vs)$
by(induct vs) auto

4.2.4 Value expressions

```

inductive is-val :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp ⇒ bool where
  is-val (Val v)

declare is-val.intros [simp]
declare is-val.cases [elim!]

lemma is-val-iff: is-val e ↔ (exists v. e = Val v)
by(auto)

code-pred is-val .

fun is-vals :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp list ⇒ bool where
  is-vals [] = True
| is-vals (e#es) = (is-val e ∧ is-vals es)

lemma is-vals-append [simp]: is-vals (es @ es') ↔ is-vals es ∧ is-vals es'
by(induct es) auto

lemma is-vals-conv: is-vals es = (exists vs. es = map Val vs)
by(induct es)(auto simp add: Cons-eq-map-conv)

lemma is-vals-map-Vals [simp]: is-vals (map Val vs) = True
unfolding is-vals-conv by auto

inductive is-addr :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp ⇒ bool
where is-addr (addr a)

declare is-addr.intros[intro!]
declare is-addr.cases[elim!]

lemma [simp]: (is-addr e) ↔ (exists a. e = addr a)
by auto

primrec the-Val :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp ⇒ 'addr val
where
  the-Val (Val v) = v

inductive is-Throws :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list ⇒ bool
where
  is-Throws (Throw a # es)
| is-Throws es ==> is-Throws (Val v # es)

inductive-simps is-Throws-simps:
  is-Throws []
  is-Throws (e # es)

code-pred is-Throws .

lemma is-Throws-conv: is-Throws es ↔ (exists vs a es'. es = map Val vs @ Throw a # es')
(is ?lhs ↔ ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs thus ?rhs

```

```

by(induct)(fastforce simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv Cons-eq-map-conv)+
next
assume ?rhs thus ?lhs
by(induct es)(auto simp add: is-Throws-simps Cons-eq-map-conv Cons-eq-append-conv)
qed

```

4.2.5 blocks

```
fun blocks :: 'a list ⇒ ty list ⇒ 'addr val list ⇒ ('a,'b,'addr) exp ⇒ ('a,'b,'addr) exp
```

```
where
```

```

blocks (V # Vs) (T # Ts) (v # vs) e = {V:T=|v|; blocks Vs Ts vs e}
| blocks [] [] [] e = e

```

```
lemma [simp]:
```

```

size vs = size Vs; size Ts = size Vs ⟹ fv (blocks Vs Ts vs e) = fv e - set Vs
by(induct rule:blocks.induct)(simp-all, blast)

```

```
lemma expr-locks-blocks:
```

```

[ length vs = length pns; length Ts = length pns ]
⇒ expr-locks (blocks pns Ts vs e) = expr-locks e
by(induct pns Ts vs e rule: blocks.induct)(auto)

```

4.2.6 Final expressions

```
inductive final :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp ⇒ bool where
```

```

final (Val v)
| final (Throw a)

```

```
declare final.cases [elim]
```

```
declare final.intros[simp]
```

```
lemmas finalE[consumes 1, case-names Val Throw] = final.cases
```

```
lemma final-iff: final e ⟷ (exists v. e = Val v) ∨ (exists a. e = Throw a)
by(auto)
```

```
lemma final-locks: final e ⇒ expr-locks e l = 0
by(auto elim: finalE)
```

```
inductive finals :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp list ⇒ bool
```

```
where
```

```

finals []
| finals (Throw a # es)
| finals es ⇒ finals (Val v # es)

```

```
inductive-simps finals-simps:
```

```
finals (e # es)
```

```
lemma [iff]: finals []
```

```
by(rule finals.intros)
```

```
lemma [iff]: finals (Val v # es) = finals es
```

```
by(simp add: finals-simps)
```

```

lemma finals-app-map [iff]: finals (map Val vs @ es) = finals es
by(induct vs) simp-all

lemma [iff]: finals (throw e # es) = ( $\exists a. e = \text{addr } a$ )
by(simp add: finals-simps)

lemma not-finals-ConsI:  $\neg \text{final } e \implies \neg \text{finals} (e \# es)$ 
by(simp add: finals-simps final-iff)

lemma finals-iff: finals es  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists vs. es = \text{map Val vs}$ )  $\vee$  ( $\exists vs a es'. es = \text{map Val vs} @ \text{Throw } a \# es'$ )
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs thus ?rhs
    by induct(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv Cons-eq-map-conv, metis)
next
  assume ?rhs thus ?lhs by(induct es) auto
qed

code-pred final .

```

4.2.7 converting results from external calls

```

primrec extRet2J :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp  $\Rightarrow$  'addr extCallRet  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp
where
  extRet2J e (RetVal v) = Val v
  | extRet2J e (RetExc a) = Throw a
  | extRet2J e RetStaySame = e

lemma fv-extRet2J [simp]: fv (extRet2J e va)  $\subseteq$  fv e
by(cases va) simp-all

```

4.2.8 expressions at a call

```

primrec call :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr  $\times$  mname  $\times$  'addr val list) option
  and calls :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp list  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr  $\times$  mname  $\times$  'addr val list) option
where
  call (new C) = None
  | call (newA T[e]) = call e
  | call (Cast C e) = call e
  | call (e instanceof T) = call e
  | call (Val v) = None
  | call (Var V) = None
  | call (V:=e) = call e
  | call (e «bop» e') = (if is-val e then call e' else call e)
  | call (a[i]) = (if is-val a then call i else call a)
  | call (AAss a i e) = (if is-val a then (if is-val i then call e else call i) else call a)
  | call (a.length) = call a
  | call (e.F{D}) = call e
  | call (FAss e F D e') = (if is-val e then call e' else call e)
  | call (e.F.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) = (if is-val e then if is-val e' then call e'' else call e' else call e)
  | call (e.M(es)) = (if is-val e then  
  (if is-vals es  $\wedge$  is-addr e then [(THE a. e = addr a, M, THE vs. es = map Val vs)])

```

```

else calls es)
|   else call e)
| call ({V:T=vo; e}) = call e
| call (sync_V (o') e) = call o'
| call (insync_V (a) e) = call e
| call (e;;e') = call e
| call (if (e) e1 else e2) = call e
| call (while(b) e) = None
| call (throw e) = call e
| call (try e1 catch(C V) e2) = call e1

| calls [] = None
| calls (e#es) = (if is-val e then calls es else call e)

lemma calls-append [simp]:
  calls (es @ es') = (if calls es = None ∧ is-vals es then calls es' else calls es)
by(induct es) auto

lemma call-callE [consumes 1, case-names CallObj CallParams Call]:
  ⟦ call (obj·M(pns)) = ⟦(a, M', vs)⟧;
  call obj = ⟦(a, M', vs)⟧ ⟹ thesis;
  ⋀v. ⟦ obj = Val v; calls pns = ⟦(a, M', vs)⟧ ⟧ ⟹ thesis;
  ⟦ obj = addr a; pns = map Val vs; M = M' ⟧ ⟹ thesis ⟧ ⟹ thesis
by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv)

lemma calls-map-Val [simp]:
  calls (map Val vs) = None
by(induct vs) auto

lemma call-not-is-val [dest]: call e = ⟦aMvs⟧ ⟹ ¬ is-val e
by(cases e) auto

lemma is-calls-not-is-vals [dest]: calls es = ⟦aMvs⟧ ⟹ ¬ is-vals es
by(induct es) auto

end

```

4.3 Abstract heap locales for source code programs

```

theory JHeap
imports
  ..../Common/Conform
  Expr
begin

locale J-heap-base = heap-base +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr → htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool

```

```

and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool

locale J-heap = heap +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and P :: 'addr J-prog

sublocale J-heap < J-heap-base .

locale J-heap-conf-base = heap-conf-base +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and P :: 'addr J-prog

sublocale J-heap-conf-base < J-heap-base .

locale J-heap-conf =
  J-heap-conf-base +
  heap-conf +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and P :: 'addr J-prog

sublocale J-heap-conf < J-heap
  by(unfold-locales)

locale J-progress =
  heap-progress +
  J-heap-conf-base +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set

```

```

and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr J-prog

sublocale J-progress < J-heap by(unfold-locales)

locale J-conf-read =
  heap-conf-read +
  J-heap-conf +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and P :: 'addr J-prog

sublocale J-conf-read < J-heap by(unfold-locales)

locale J-typesafe =
  heap-typesafe +
  J-conf-read +
  J-progress +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and P :: 'addr J-prog

end

```

4.4 Small Step Semantics

```

theory SmallStep
imports
  Expr
  State
  JHeap
begin

type-synonym
  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J-thread-action =
  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr  $\times$  'addr locals, 'heap) Ninja-thread-action

```

```

type-synonym
('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J-state =
('addr,'thread-id,'addr expr × 'addr locals,'heap,'addr) state

print-translation ↵
let
  fun tr'
    [a1, t
     , Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
      (Const (@{type-syntax exp}, -) $
       Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax unit}, -) $ a2) $
      (Const (@{type-syntax fun}, -) $
       Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax option}, -) $
        (Const (@{type-syntax val}, -) $ a3)))
     , h] =
    if a1 = a2 andalso a2 = a3 then Syntax.const @{type-syntax J-thread-action} $ a1 $ t $ h
    else raise Match;
  in [(@{type-syntax Ninja-thread-action}, K tr')]
end
>
typ ('addr,'thread-id,'heap) J-thread-action

print-translation ↵
let
  fun tr'
    [a1, t
     , Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
      (Const (@{type-syntax exp}, -) $
       Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax unit}, -) $ a2) $
      (Const (@{type-syntax fun}, -) $
       Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax option}, -) $
        (Const (@{type-syntax val}, -) $ a3)))
     , h, a4] =
    if a1 = a2 andalso a2 = a3 andalso a3 = a4 then Syntax.const @{type-syntax J-state} $ a1 $ t
    $ h
    else raise Match;
  in [(@{type-syntax state}, K tr')]
end
>
typ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J-state

definition extNTA2J :: 'addr J-prog ⇒ (cname × mname × 'addr) ⇒ 'addr expr × 'addr locals
where extNTA2J P = (λ(C, M, a). let (D, Ts, T, meth) = method P C M; (pns, body) = the meth
  in ({this:Class D=[Addr a]; body}, Map.empty))

abbreviation J-local-start :: 
  cname ⇒ mname ⇒ ty list ⇒ ty ⇒ 'addr J-mb ⇒ 'addr val list
  ⇒ 'addr expr × 'addr locals
where

```

J-local-start \equiv
 $\lambda C\ M\ Ts\ T\ (pns,\ body)\ vs.$
 $(blocks\ (this\ \# pns)\ (Class\ C\ \# Ts)\ (Null\ \# vs)\ body,\ Map.empty)$

abbreviation (in *J-heap-base*)

J-start-state :: '*addr J-prog* \Rightarrow *cname* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow ('*addr val list* \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'thread-id, 'heap*) *J-state*)

where

J-start-state \equiv *start-state J-local-start*

lemma *extNTA2J-iff* [*simp*]:

extNTA2J P (C, M, a) = ({this:Class (fst (method P C M))= [Addr a]; snd (the (snd (snd (method P C M))))}, Map.empty)

by(*simp add: extNTA2J-def split-beta*)

abbreviation *extTA2J* ::

'*addr J-prog* \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'thread-id, 'heap*) *external-thread-action* \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'thread-id, 'heap*) *J-thread-action*
where *extTA2J P* \equiv *convert-extTA (extNTA2J P)*

lemma *extTA2J-ε: extTA2J P ε = ε*

by(*simp*)

Locking mechanism: The expression on which the thread is synchronized is evaluated first to a value. If this expression evaluates to null, a null pointer expression is thrown. If this expression evaluates to an address, a lock must be obtained on this address, the sync expression is rewritten to insync. For insync expressions, the body expression may be evaluated. If the body expression is only a value or a thrown exception, the lock is released and the synchronized expression reduces to the body's expression. This is the normal Java semantics, not the one as presented in LNCS 1523, Cenciarelli/Knapp/Reus/Wirsing. There the expression on which the thread synchronized is evaluated except for the last step. If the thread can obtain the lock on the object immediately after the last evaluation step, the evaluation is done and the lock acquired. If the lock cannot be obtained, the evaluation step is discarded. If another thread changes the evaluation result of this last step, the thread then will try to synchronize on the new object.

context *J-heap-base begin*

inductive *red* ::

(('*addr, 'thread-id, 'heap*) *external-thread-action* \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap*) *Jinja-thread-action*)
 \Rightarrow '*addr J-prog* \Rightarrow '*thread-id*
 \Rightarrow '*addr expr* \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'heap*) *Jstate*
 \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap*) *Jinja-thread-action*
 \Rightarrow '*addr expr* \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'heap*) *Jstate* \Rightarrow *bool*
 $(-, -, - \vdash ((1 \langle -, / - \rangle) -- \rightarrow / (1 \langle -, / - \rangle)) [51, 51, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 81)$

and *reds* ::

(('*addr, 'thread-id, 'heap*) *external-thread-action* \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap*) *Jinja-thread-action*)
 \Rightarrow '*addr J-prog* \Rightarrow '*thread-id*
 \Rightarrow '*addr expr list* \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'heap*) *Jstate*
 \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap*) *Jinja-thread-action*
 \Rightarrow '*addr expr list* \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'heap*) *Jstate* \Rightarrow *bool*
 $(-, -, - \vdash ((1 \langle -, / - \rangle) [-- \rightarrow] / (1 \langle -, / - \rangle)) [51, 51, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 81)$

for *extTA* :: ('*addr, 'thread-id, 'heap*) *external-thread-action* \Rightarrow ('*addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap*) *Jinja-thread-action*
and *P* :: '*addr J-prog* **and** *t* :: '*thread-id*

where

- RedNew:*
 $(h', a) \in \text{allocate } h \text{ (Class-type } C)$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{new } C, (h, l) \rangle - \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Class-type } C)\} \rightarrow \langle \text{addr } a, (h', l) \rangle$
- | *RedNewFail:*
 $\text{allocate } h \text{ (Class-type } C) = \{\}$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{new } C, (h, l) \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW OutOfMemory}, (h, l) \rangle$
- | *NewArrayRed:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{newA } T[e], s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \text{newA } T[e'], s' \rangle$
- | *RedNewArray:*
 $\llbracket 0 <= s i; (h', a) \in \text{allocate } h \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i\text{)))} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{newA } T[\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)], (h, l) \rangle - \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i\text{)))}\} \rightarrow \langle \text{addr } a, (h', l) \rangle$
- | *RedNewArrayNegative:*
 $i < s 0 \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{newA } T[\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)], s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NegativeArraySize}, s \rangle$
- | *RedNewArrayFail:*
 $\llbracket 0 <= s i; \text{allocate } h \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i\text{)))} = \{\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{newA } T[\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)], (h, l) \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW OutOfMemory}, (h, l) \rangle$
- | *CastRed:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Cast } C e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \text{Cast } C e', s' \rangle$
- | *RedCast:*
 $\llbracket \text{typeof}_{hp} s v = \lfloor U \rfloor; P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Cast } T (\text{Val } v), s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v, s \rangle$
- | *RedCastFail:*
 $\llbracket \text{typeof}_{hp} s v = \lfloor U \rfloor; \neg P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Cast } T (\text{Val } v), s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW ClassCast}, s \rangle$
- | *InstanceOfRed:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e \text{ instanceof } T, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e' \text{ instanceof } T, s' \rangle$
- | *RedInstanceOf:*
 $\llbracket \text{typeof}_{hp} s v = \lfloor U \rfloor; b \longleftrightarrow v \neq \text{Null} \wedge P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } v) \text{ instanceof } T, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } (\text{Bool } b), s \rangle$
- | *BinOpRed1:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e \text{ ``bop'' } e2, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e' \text{ ``bop'' } e2, s' \rangle$
- | *BinOpRed2:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } v) \text{ ``bop'' } e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle (\text{Val } v) \text{ ``bop'' } e', s' \rangle$
- | *RedBinOp:*
 $\text{binop } bop \ v1 \ v2 = \text{Some } (\text{Inl } v) \implies$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } v1) \text{ ``bop'' } (\text{Val } v2), s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v, s \rangle$
- | *RedBinOpFail:*
 $\text{binop } bop \ v1 \ v2 = \text{Some } (\text{Inr } a) \implies$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } v1) \text{ ``bop'' } (\text{Val } v2), s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$

- | *RedVar*:
 $\lcl s V = \text{Some } v \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Var } V, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Val } v, s \rangle$
- | *LAssRed*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle V := e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle V := e', s' \rangle$
- | *RedLAss*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle V := (\text{Val } v), (h, l) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{unit}, (h, l(V \mapsto v)) \rangle$
- | *AAccRed1*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle a, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle a', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle a[i], s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle a'[i], s' \rangle$
- | *AAccRed2*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle i, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle i', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } a)[i], s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle (\text{Val } a)[i'], s' \rangle$
- | *RedAAccNull*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{null}[\text{Val } i], s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | *RedAAccBounds*:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp\ s) \ a = [\text{Array-type } T\ n]; i <_s 0 \vee \text{sint } i \geq \text{int } n \rrbracket \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{addr } a)[\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)], s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}, s \rangle$
- | *RedAAcc*:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h\ a = [\text{Array-type } T\ n]; 0 \leq_i i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n; \text{heap-read } h\ a\ (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i)))\ v \rrbracket \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{addr } a)[\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)], (h, l) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\{\text{ReadMem } a\ (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i)))\ v\}} \langle \text{Val } v, (h, l) \rangle$
- | *AAssRed1*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle a, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle a', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle a[i] := e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle a'[i] := e, s' \rangle$
- | *AAssRed2*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle i, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle i', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } a)[i] := e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle (\text{Val } a)[i'] := e, s' \rangle$
- | *AAssRed3*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (e::'\text{addr expr}), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } a)[\text{Val } i] := e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle (\text{Val } a)[\text{Val } i] := e', s' \rangle$
- | *RedAAssNull*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{null}[\text{Val } i] := (\text{Val } e::'\text{addr expr}), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | *RedAAssBounds*:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp\ s) \ a = [\text{Array-type } T\ n]; i <_s 0 \vee \text{sint } i \geq \text{int } n \rrbracket \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{addr } a)[\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)] := (\text{Val } e::'\text{addr expr}), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}, s \rangle$
- | *RedAAssStore*:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp\ s) \ a = [\text{Array-type } T\ n]; 0 \leq_i i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n; \text{typeof}_{hp\ s} w = [U]; \neg(P \vdash U \leq T) \rrbracket \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{addr } a)[\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)] := (\text{Val } w::'\text{addr expr}), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW ArrayStore}, s \rangle$
- | *RedAAss*:

$\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T \ n \rfloor; 0 <= s \ i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n; \text{typeof}_h \ w = \text{Some } U; P \vdash U \leq T;$
 $\text{heap-write } h \ a (\text{ACell}(\text{nat}(\text{sint } i))) \ w \ h' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{addr } a) \lfloor \text{Val}(\text{Intg } i) \rfloor := \text{Val } w :: \text{addr expr}, (h, l) \rangle - \{\text{WriteMem } a (\text{ACell}(\text{nat}(\text{sint } i))) \ w\} \rightarrow \langle \text{unit}, (h', l) \rangle$

| *ALengthRed*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle a, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle a', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot \text{length}, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle a' \cdot \text{length}, s' \rangle$

| *RedALength*:
 $\text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T \ n \rfloor$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{addr } a \cdot \text{length}, (h, l) \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Val}(\text{Intg } (\text{word-of-nat } n)), (h, l) \rangle$

| *RedALengthNull*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{null} \cdot \text{length}, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$

| *FAccRed*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e \cdot F\{D\}, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e' \cdot F\{D\}, s' \rangle$

| *RedFAcc*:
 $\text{heap-read } h \ a (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{addr } a) \cdot F\{D\}, (h, l) \rangle - \{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v\} \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v, (h, l) \rangle$

| *RedFAccNull*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{null} \cdot F\{D\}, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$

| *FAssRed1*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e' \cdot F\{D\} := e2, s' \rangle$

| *FAssRed2*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (e :: \text{addr expr}), s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e', s' \rangle$

| *RedFAss*:
 $\text{heap-write } h \ a (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v \ h' \implies$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{addr } a) \cdot F\{D\} := \text{Val } v, (h, l) \rangle - \{\text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v\} \rightarrow \langle \text{unit}, (h', l) \rangle$

| *RedFAssNull*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{null} \cdot F\{D\} := \text{Val } v :: \text{addr expr}, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$

| *CASRed1*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e' \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), s' \rangle$

| *CASRed2*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e, e3), s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e', e3), s' \rangle$

| *CASRed3*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v', e), s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v', e'), s' \rangle$

| *CASNul*l:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{null}, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{unit}, s \rangle$

- $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{null} \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v'), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | *RedCASSucceed:*
 $\llbracket \text{heap-read } h \text{ } a \text{ (CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v; \text{heap-write } h \text{ } a \text{ (CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v' \text{ } h' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{addr } a \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v'), (h, l) \rangle$
 $\neg \{\text{ReadMem } a \text{ (CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v, \text{WriteMem } a \text{ (CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v'\} \rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{true}, (h', l) \rangle$
- | *RedCASFail:*
 $\llbracket \text{heap-read } h \text{ } a \text{ (CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v''; v \neq v'' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{addr } a \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v'), (h, l) \rangle$
 $\neg \{\text{ReadMem } a \text{ (CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v'\} \rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{false}, (h, l) \rangle$
- | *CallObj:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e \cdot M(es), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e' \cdot M(es'), s' \rangle$
- | *CallParams:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \xrightarrow{[-ta]} \langle es', s' \rangle \implies$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } v) \cdot M(es), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle (\text{Val } v) \cdot M(es'), s' \rangle$
- | *RedCall:*
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp \text{ } s) \text{ } a = \lfloor hU \rfloor; P \vdash \text{class-type-of } hU \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor \text{ in } D;$
 $\text{size } vs = \text{size } pns; \text{size } Ts = \text{size } pns \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{addr } a) \cdot M(\text{map } Val \text{ } vs), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{blocks } (\text{this} \# pns) (\text{Class } D \# Ts) (\text{Addr } a \# vs) \text{ body}, s \rangle$
- | *RedCallExternal:*
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp \text{ } s) \text{ } a = \lfloor hU \rfloor; P \vdash \text{class-type-of } hU \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \text{Native in } D;$
 $P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), hp \text{ } s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle va, h' \rangle;$
 $ta' = \text{extTA } ta; e' = \text{extRet2J } ((\text{addr } a) \cdot M(\text{map } Val \text{ } vs)) \text{ } va; s' = (h', lcl \text{ } s) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{addr } a) \cdot M(\text{map } Val \text{ } vs), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta'} \langle e', s' \rangle$
- | *RedCallNull:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{null} \cdot M(\text{map } Val \text{ } vs), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | *BlockRed:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, l(V:=vo)) \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', (h', l') \rangle$
 $\implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \{V:T=vo; e\}, (h, l) \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle \{V:T=l' V; e'\}, (h', l'(V := l V)) \rangle$
- | *RedBlock:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \{V:T=vo; Val \text{ } u\}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle Val \text{ } u, s \rangle$
- | *SynchronizedRed1:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle o', s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle o'', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle sync(o') \text{ } e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle sync(o'') \text{ } e, s' \rangle$
- | *SynchronizedNull:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle sync(null) \text{ } e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | *LockSynchronized:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle sync(\text{addr } a) \text{ } e, s \rangle \neg \{\text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{SyncLock } a\} \rightarrow \langle insync(a) \text{ } e, s \rangle$
- | *SynchronizedRed2:*
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle insync(a) \text{ } e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle insync(a) \text{ } e', s' \rangle$

- | *UnlockSynchronized*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{insync}(a) (\text{Val } v), s \rangle - \{\text{Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{SyncUnlock } a\} \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v, s \rangle$
- | *SeqRed*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e; e2, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e'; e2, s' \rangle$
- | *RedSeq*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } v); e, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e, s \rangle$
- | *CondRed*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle b, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle b', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{if } (b) e1 \text{ else } e2, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \text{if } (b') e1 \text{ else } e2, s' \rangle$
- | *RedCondT*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{if } (\text{true}) e1 \text{ else } e2, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e1, s \rangle$
- | *RedCondF*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{if } (\text{false}) e1 \text{ else } e2, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e2, s \rangle$
- | *RedWhile*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{while}(b) c, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{if } (b) (c; \text{while}(b) c) \text{ else unit}, s \rangle$
- | *ThrowRed*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{throw } e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \text{throw } e', s' \rangle$
- | *RedThrowNull*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{throw null}, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | *TryRed*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \text{try } e' \text{ catch}(C V) e2, s' \rangle$
- | *RedTry*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{try } (\text{Val } v) \text{ catch}(C V) e2, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v, s \rangle$
- | *RedTryCatch*:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp s) a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } D \rfloor; P \vdash D \preceq^* C \rrbracket \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{try } (\text{Throw } a) \text{ catch}(C V) e2, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \{V: \text{Class } C = \lfloor \text{Addr } a \rfloor; e2\}, s \rangle$
- | *RedTryFail*:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp s) a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } D \rfloor; \neg P \vdash D \preceq^* C \rrbracket \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{try } (\text{Throw } a) \text{ catch}(C V) e2, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
- | *ListRed1*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e \# es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle e' \# es, s' \rangle$
- | *ListRed2*:
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Val } v \# es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle \text{Val } v \# es', s' \rangle$
- Exception propagation
- | *NewArrayThrow*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{newA } T[\text{Throw } a], s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$

| *CastThrow*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Cast } C (\text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *InstanceOfThrow*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Throw } a) \text{ instanceof } T, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *BinOpThrow1*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Throw } a) \llcorner \text{bop} \rrcorner e_2, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *BinOpThrow2*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } v_1) \llcorner \text{bop} \rrcorner (\text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *LAssThrow*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle V := (\text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *AAccThrow1*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Throw } a)[i], s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *AAccThrow2*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } v)[\text{Throw } a], s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *AAssThrow1*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Throw } a)[i] := e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *AAssThrow2*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } v)[\text{Throw } a] := e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *AAssThrow3*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } v)[\text{Val } i] := \text{Throw } a :: \text{'addr expr}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *ALengthThrow*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Throw } a).\text{length}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *FAccThrow*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Throw } a).\text{F}\{D\}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *FAssThrow1*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Throw } a).\text{F}\{D\} := e_2, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *FAssThrow2*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Val } v.\text{F}\{D\} := (\text{Throw } a :: \text{'addr expr}), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *CASThrow*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Throw } a.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e_2, e_3), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *CASThrow2*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Throw } a, e_3), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *CASThrow3*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v', \text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *CallThrowObj*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Throw } a).\text{M}(es), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *CallThrowParams*: $\llbracket es = \text{map Val } vs @ \text{Throw } a \# es' \rrbracket \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Val } v).\text{M}(es), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *BlockThrow*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \{V:T=vo; \text{Throw } a\}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *SynchronizedThrow1*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{sync}(\text{Throw } a) e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *SynchronizedThrow2*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{insync}(a) \text{ Throw ad}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\{\text{Unlock} \rightarrow a, \text{SyncUnlock } a\}} \langle \text{Throw ad}, s \rangle$
 | *SeqThrow*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle (\text{Throw } a);; e_2, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *CondThrow*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{if } (\text{Throw } a) e_1 \text{ else } e_2, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
 | *ThrowThrow*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{throw}(\text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$

inductive-cases red-cases:

$\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{new } C, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{newA } T[e], s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Cast } T e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e \text{ instanceof } T, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e \llcorner \text{bop} \rrcorner e', s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e'', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Var } V, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle V := e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle a[i], s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle a[i] := e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle a.\text{length}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e.\text{F}\{D\}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e.\text{F}\{D\} := e', s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e'', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e', e''), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e''', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e.\text{M}(es), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \{V:T=vo; e\}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{sync}(o) e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{insync}(a) e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e;; e', s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e'', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{if } (b) e_1 \text{ else } e_2, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{while } (b) e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{throw } e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e', s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e'', s' \rangle$

inductive-cases reds-cases:

$\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e \# es, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle es', s' \rangle$

abbreviation $\text{red}' ::$

'addr J-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow ('heap \times 'addr locals)
 \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J-thread-action \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow ('heap \times 'addr locals) \Rightarrow bool
 $(_, _ \vdash ((1 \langle _, _ \rangle) \dashrightarrow / (1 \langle _, _ \rangle)) [51, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 81)$

where $\text{red}' P \equiv \text{red} (\text{extTA2J } P) P$

abbreviation $\text{reds}' ::$

'addr J-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'addr expr list \Rightarrow ('heap \times 'addr locals)
 \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J-thread-action \Rightarrow 'addr expr list \Rightarrow ('heap \times 'addr locals) \Rightarrow bool
 $(_, _ \vdash ((1 \langle _, _ \rangle) \dashrightarrow / (1 \langle _, _ \rangle)) [51, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 81)$

where $\text{reds}' P \equiv \text{reds} (\text{extTA2J } P) P$

4.4.1 Some easy lemmas

lemma [iff]:

$\neg \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Val } v, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$

by(fastforce elim:red.cases)

lemma red-no-val [dest]:

$\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{is-val } e \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$

by(auto)

lemma [iff]: $\neg \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$

by(fastforce elim: red-cases)

lemma reds-map-Val-Throw:

$\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{map Val vs @ Throw } a \# es, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle \iff \text{False}$

by(induct vs arbitrary: es')(auto elim!: reds-cases)

lemma reds-preserves-len:

$\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle \implies \text{length } es' = \text{length } es$

by(induct es arbitrary: es')(auto elim: reds.cases)

lemma red-lcl-incr: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{dom (lcl } s) \subseteq \text{dom (lcl } s')$

and reds-lcl-incr: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle \implies \text{dom (lcl } s) \subseteq \text{dom (lcl } s')$

apply(induct rule:red-reds.inducts)

apply(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply split: if-split-asm)

done

lemma red-lcl-add-aux:

$\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, (hp s, l0 ++ lcl s) \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', (hp s', l0 ++ lcl s') \rangle$

and reds-lcl-add-aux:

$\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle \implies \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, (hp s, l0 ++ lcl s) \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', (hp s', l0 ++ lcl s') \rangle$

proof (induct arbitrary: l0 and l0 rule:red-reds.inducts)

case (BlockRed e h x V vo ta e' h' x' T)

note $IH = \langle \forall l0. \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, (hp (h, x(V := vo)), l0 ++ lcl (h, x(V := vo))) \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', (hp (h', x'), l0 ++ lcl (h', x')) \rangle \rangle$ [simplified]

have lrew: $\bigwedge x x'. x(V := vo) ++ x'(V := vo) = (x ++ x')(V := vo)$

by(simp add:fun-eq-iff map-add-def)

have lrew1: $\bigwedge X X' X'' vo. (X(V := vo) ++ X')(V := (X ++ X'') V) = X ++ X'(V := X'' V)$

by(simp add:fun-eq-iff map-add-def)

```

have lrew2:  $\bigwedge X X'. (X(V := \text{None}) ++ X') V = X' V$ 
  by(simp add: map-add-def)
show ?case
proof(cases vo)
  case None
  from IH[of l0(V := vo)]
show ?thesis
  apply(simp del: fun-upd-apply add: lrew)
  apply(drule red-reds.BlockRed)
  by(simp only: lrew1 None lrew2)
next
  case (Some v)
  with ⟨extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, x(V := vo))⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', x')⟩⟩
  have x' V ≠ None
    by −(drule red-lcl-incr, auto split: if-split-asm)
  with IH[of l0(V := vo)]
  show ?thesis
    apply(clarsimp simp del: fun-upd-apply simp add: lrew)
    apply(drule red-reds.BlockRed)
    by(simp add: lrew1 Some del: fun-upd-apply)
qed
next
  case RedTryFail thus ?case
    by(auto intro: red-reds.RedTryFail)
qed(fastforce intro:red-reds.intros simp del: fun-upd-apply)+

lemma red-lcl-add: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h, l)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', (h', l')⟩  $\implies$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h, l0 ++ l)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', (h', l0 ++ l')⟩
  and reds-lcl-add: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, (h, l)⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', (h', l')⟩  $\implies$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, (h, l0 ++ l)⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', (h', l0 ++ l')⟩
  by(auto dest:red-lcl-add-aux reds-lcl-add-aux)

lemma reds-no-val [dest]:
  [⟨extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; is-vals es ⟩]  $\implies$  False
apply(induct es arbitrary: s ta es' s')
  apply(blast elim: reds.cases)
  apply(erule reds.cases)
  apply(auto, blast)
done

lemma red-no-Throw [dest!]:
  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨Throw a, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩  $\implies$  False
by(auto elim!: red-cases)

lemma red-lcl-sub:
  [⟨extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; fv e ⊆ W ⟩]
 $\implies$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (hp s, (lcl s)|‘W)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', (hp s', (lcl s')|‘W)⟩

and reds-lcl-sub:
  [⟨extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; fvs es ⊆ W ⟩]
 $\implies$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, (hp s, (lcl s)|‘W)⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', (hp s', (lcl s')|‘W)⟩
proof(induct arbitrary: W and W rule: red-reds.inducts)
  case (RedLAss V v h l W)
  have extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨V:=Val v, (h, l |‘W)⟩ −ε→ ⟨unit, (h, (l |‘W)(V ↠ v))⟩

```

```

by(rule red-reds.RedLAss)
with RedLAss show ?case by(simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case (BlockRed e h x V vo ta e' h' x' T)
    have IH:  $\bigwedge W. fv e \subseteq W \implies extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e, (hp(h, x(V := vo)), lcl(h, x(V := vo)) \mid^* W) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (hp(h', x'), lcl(h', x') \mid^* W) \rangle$  by fact
      from ⟨fv {V:T=vo; e} ⊆ W⟩ have fve: fv e ⊆ insert V W by auto
      show ?case
    proof(cases V ∈ W)
      case True
        with fve have fv e ⊆ W by auto
        from True IH[OF this] have extTA, P, t ⊢ ⟨e, (h, (x \mid^* W)(V := vo))⟩ -ta → ⟨e', (h', (x' \mid^* W)(V := vo))⟩
      by(simp)
        with True have extTA, P, t ⊢ ⟨{V:T=vo; e}, (h, (x \mid^* W))⟩ -ta → ⟨{V:T=x' V; e'}, (h', (x' \mid^* W)(V := x V))⟩
          by -(drule red-reds.BlockRed[where T=T], simp)
        with True show ?thesis by(simp del: fun-upd-apply)
      next
        case False
        with IH[OF fve] have extTA, P, t ⊢ ⟨e, (h, (x \mid^* W)(V := vo))⟩ -ta → ⟨e', (h', (x' \mid^* insert V W))⟩
      by(simp)
        with False have extTA, P, t ⊢ ⟨{V:T=vo; e}, (h, (x \mid^* W))⟩ -ta → ⟨{V:T=x' V; e'}, (h', (x' \mid^* W))⟩
          by -(drule red-reds.BlockRed[where T=T], simp)
        with False show ?thesis by(simp del: fun-upd-apply)
      qed
    next
    case RedTryFail thus ?case by(auto intro: red-reds.RedTryFail)
  qed(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)+

lemma red-notfree-unchanged: [extTA, P, t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ -ta → ⟨e', s'⟩; V ∉ fv e] ⇒ lcl s' V = lcl s V
  and reds-notfree-unchanged: [extTA, P, t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [-ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; V ∉ fvs es] ⇒ lcl s' V = lcl s V
apply(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
apply(fastforce)+
done

lemma red-dom-lcl: extTA, P, t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ -ta → ⟨e', s'⟩ ⇒ dom(lcl s') ⊆ dom(lcl s) ∪ fv e
  and reds-dom-lcl: extTA, P, t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [-ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩ ⇒ dom(lcl s') ⊆ dom(lcl s) ∪ fvs es
proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
  case (BlockRed e h x V vo ta e' h' x' T)
    thus ?case by(clarsimp)(fastforce split;if-split-asm)
  qed auto

lemma red-Suspend-is-call:
  [convert-extTA extNTA, P, t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ -ta → ⟨e', s'⟩; Suspend w ∈ set {ta}w]
  ⇒ ∃ a vs hT Ts Tr D. call e' = [(a, wait, vs)] ∧ typeof-addr (hp s) a = [hT] ∧ P ⊢ class-type-of hT sees wait:Ts → Tr = Native in D
  and reds-Suspend-is-calls:
  [convert-extTA extNTA, P, t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [-ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; Suspend w ∈ set {ta}w]
  ⇒ ∃ a vs hT Ts Tr D. calls es' = [(a, wait, vs)] ∧ typeof-addr (hp s) a = [hT] ∧ P ⊢ class-type-of hT sees wait:Ts → Tr = Native in D
proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
  case RedCallExternal
  thus ?case

```

```

apply clarsimp
apply(frule red-external-Suspend-StaySame, simp)
apply(drule red-external-Suspend-waitD, fastforce+)
done
qed auto

end

context J-heap begin

lemma red-hext-incr: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩  $\implies$  hp s ⊑ hp s'
  and reds-hext-incr: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩  $\implies$  hp s ⊑ hp s'
  by(induct rule:red-reds.inducts)(auto intro: hext-heap-ops red-external-hext)

lemma red-preserves-tconf: [ extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; P,hp s ⊢ t √t ]  $\implies$  P,hp s' ⊢ t √t
  by(drule red-hext-incr)(rule tconf-hext-mono)

lemma reds-preserves-tconf: [ extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; P,hp s ⊢ t √t ]  $\implies$  P,hp s' ⊢ t √t
  by(drule reds-hext-incr)(rule tconf-hext-mono)

end

```

4.4.2 Code generation

```
context J-heap-base begin
```

```

lemma RedCall-code:
  [ is-vals es; typeof-addr (hp s) a = ⌊hU⌋; P ⊢ class-type-of hU sees M:Ts→T = ⌈(pns,body)⌉ in D;
    size es = size pns; size Ts = size pns ]
   $\implies$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨(addr a)·M(es), s⟩ −ε→ ⟨blocks (this # pns) (Class D # Ts) (Addr a # map the-Val es) body, s⟩

and RedCallExternal-code:
  [ is-vals es; typeof-addr (hp s) a = ⌊hU⌋; P ⊢ class-type-of hU sees M:Ts→T = Native in D;
    P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(map the-Val es), hp s⟩ −ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩ ]
   $\implies$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨(addr a)·M(es), s⟩ −extTA ta→ ⟨extRet2J ((addr a)·M(es)) va, (h', lcl s)⟩

and RedCallNull-code:
  is-vals es  $\implies$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨null·M(es), s⟩ −ε→ ⟨THROW NullPointer, s⟩

and CallThrowParams-code:
  is-Throws es  $\implies$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨(Val v)·M(es), s⟩ −ε→ ⟨hd (dropWhile is-val es), s⟩

apply(auto simp add: is-vals-conv is-Throws-conv o-def intro: RedCall RedCallExternal RedCallNull
simp del: blocks.simps)
apply(subst dropWhile-append2)
apply(auto intro: CallThrowParams)
done

end

lemmas [code-pred-intro] =
  J-heap-base.RedNew[folded Predicate-Compile.contains-def] J-heap-base.RedNewFail J-heap-base.NewArrayRed

```

```

J-heap-base.RedNewArray[folded Predicate-Compile.contains-def]
J-heap-base.RedNewArrayNegative J-heap-base.RedNewArrayFail
J-heap-base.CastRed J-heap-base.RedCast J-heap-base.RedCastFail J-heap-base.InstanceOfRed
J-heap-base.RedInstanceOf J-heap-base.BinOpRed1 J-heap-base.BinOpRed2 J-heap-base.RedBinOp
J-heap-base.RedBinOpFail
J-heap-base.RedVar J-heap-base.LAssRed J-heap-base.RedLAss
J-heap-base.AAccRed1 J-heap-base.AAccRed2 J-heap-base.RedAAccNull
J-heap-base.RedAAccBounds J-heap-base.RedAAcc J-heap-base.AAccRed1 J-heap-base.AAccRed2 J-heap-base.AAccRed3
J-heap-base.RedAAccNull J-heap-base.RedAAccBounds J-heap-base.RedAAccStore J-heap-base.RedAAcc
J-heap-base.ALengthRed
J-heap-base.RedALength J-heap-base.RedALengthNull J-heap-base.FAccRed J-heap-base.RedFAcc J-heap-base.RedFAccNull
J-heap-base.FAccRed1 J-heap-base.FAccRed2 J-heap-base.RedFAcc J-heap-base.RedFAccNull
J-heap-base.CASRed1 J-heap-base.CASRed2 J-heap-base.CASRed3 J-heap-base.CASNull J-heap-base.RedCASSucceed
J-heap-base.RedCASFail
J-heap-base.CallObj J-heap-base.CallParams

declare
  J-heap-base.RedCall-code[code-pred-intro RedCall-code]
  J-heap-base.RedCallExternal-code[code-pred-intro RedCallExternal-code]
  J-heap-base.RedCallNull-code[code-pred-intro RedCallNull-code]

lemmas [code-pred-intro] =
  J-heap-base.BlockRed J-heap-base.RedBlock J-heap-base.SynchronizedRed1 J-heap-base.SynchronizedNull
  J-heap-base.LockSynchronized J-heap-base.SynchronizedRed2 J-heap-base.UnlockSynchronized
  J-heap-base.SeqRed J-heap-base.RedSeq J-heap-base.CondRed J-heap-base.RedCondT J-heap-base.RedCondF
  J-heap-base.RedWhile
  J-heap-base.ThrowRed

declare
  J-heap-base.RedThrowNull[code-pred-intro RedThrowNull']

lemmas [code-pred-intro] =
  J-heap-base.TryRed J-heap-base.RedTry J-heap-base.RedTryCatch
  J-heap-base.RedTryFail J-heap-base.ListRed1 J-heap-base.ListRed2
  J-heap-base.NewArrayThrow J-heap-base.CastThrow J-heap-base.InstanceOfThrow J-heap-base.BinOpThrow1
  J-heap-base.BinOpThrow2
  J-heap-base.LAssThrow J-heap-base.AAccThrow1 J-heap-base.AAccThrow2 J-heap-base.AAssThrow1
  J-heap-base.AAssThrow2
  J-heap-base.AAssThrow3 J-heap-base.ALengthThrow J-heap-base.FAccThrow J-heap-base.FAccThrow1
  J-heap-base.FAccThrow2
  J-heap-base.CASThrow J-heap-base.CASThrow2 J-heap-base.CASThrow3
  J-heap-base.CallThrowObj

declare
  J-heap-base.CallThrowParams-code[code-pred-intro CallThrowParams-code]

lemmas [code-pred-intro] =
  J-heap-base.BlockThrow J-heap-base.SynchronizedThrow1 J-heap-base.SynchronizedThrow2 J-heap-base.SeqThrow
  J-heap-base.CondThrow

declare
  J-heap-base.ThrowThrow[code-pred-intro ThrowThrow']

```



```

apply auto
done
next
  case RedThrowNull thus ?thesis
    by-(erule (4) red.RedThrowNull'[OF refl refl])
next
  case ThrowThrow thus ?thesis
    by-(erule (4) red.ThrowThrow'[OF refl refl])
qed(assumption|erule (4) red.that[unfolded Predicate-Compile.contains-def, OF refl refl]+)
next
  case reds
  from reds.preds show thesis
    by(rule J-heap-base.reds.cases)(assumption|erule (4) reds.that[OF refl refl refl refl refl refl refl refl refl refl]+)
qed

end

```

4.5 Weak well-formedness of Jinja programs

```

theory WWellForm
imports
  ..../Common/ WellForm
  Expr
begin

definition
  wwf-J-mdecl :: 'addr J-prog ⇒ cname ⇒ 'addr J-mb mdecl ⇒ bool
where
  wwf-J-mdecl P C ≡ λ(M, Ts, T, (pns, body)).
  length Ts = length pns ∧ distinct pns ∧ this ∉ set pns ∧ fv body ⊆ {this} ∪ set pns

lemma wwf-J-mdecl[simp]:
  wwf-J-mdecl P C (M, Ts, T, pns, body) =
  (length Ts = length pns ∧ distinct pns ∧ this ∉ set pns ∧ fv body ⊆ {this} ∪ set pns)
abbreviation wwf-J-prog :: 'addr J-prog ⇒ bool
where wwf-J-prog == wf-prog wwf-J-mdecl

```

end

4.6 Well-typedness of Jinja expressions

```

theory WellType
imports
  Expr
  State
  ..../Common/ ExternalCallWF
  ..../Common/ WellForm
  ..../Common/ SemiType
begin

declare Listn.lesub-list-impl-same-size[simp del]

```

```

declare listE-length [simp del]

type-synonym
  env = vname → ty

inductive
  WT :: (ty ⇒ ty ⇒ ty ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'addr J-prog ⇒ env ⇒ 'addr expr ⇒ ty ⇒ bool (-, -, - ⊢ - :: - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)
    and WTs :: (ty ⇒ ty ⇒ ty ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'addr J-prog ⇒ env ⇒ 'addr expr list ⇒ ty list ⇒ bool (-, -, - ⊢ - [::] - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)
    for is-lub :: ty ⇒ ty ⇒ bool (⊤ lub'((-,-)) = - [51, 51, 51] 50)
    and P :: 'addr J-prog
where

  WTNew:
    is-class P C ⇒
    is-lub, P, E ⊢ new C :: Class C

  | WTNewArray:
    [[ is-lub, P, E ⊢ e :: Integer; is-type P (T[]) ]] ⇒
    is-lub, P, E ⊢ newA T[e] :: T[]

  | WTCast:
    [[ is-lub, P, E ⊢ e :: T; P ⊢ U ≤ T ∨ P ⊢ T ≤ U; is-type P U ]]
    ⇒ is-lub, P, E ⊢ Cast U e :: U

  | WTInstanceOf:
    [[ is-lub, P, E ⊢ e :: T; P ⊢ U ≤ T ∨ P ⊢ T ≤ U; is-type P U; is-refT U ]]
    ⇒ is-lub, P, E ⊢ e instanceof U :: Boolean

  | WTVat:
    typeof v = Some T ⇒
    is-lub, P, E ⊢ Val v :: T

  | WTVar:
    E V = Some T ⇒
    is-lub, P, E ⊢ Var V :: T

  | WTBinOp:
    [[ is-lub, P, E ⊢ e1 :: T1; is-lub, P, E ⊢ e2 :: T2; P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 :: T ]]
    ⇒ is-lub, P, E ⊢ e1 «bop» e2 :: T

  | WTLAss:
    [[ E V = Some T; is-lub, P, E ⊢ e :: T'; P ⊢ T' ≤ T; V ≠ this ]]
    ⇒ is-lub, P, E ⊢ V := e :: Void

  | WTAAcc:
    [[ is-lub, P, E ⊢ a :: T[]; is-lub, P, E ⊢ i :: Integer ]]
    ⇒ is-lub, P, E ⊢ a[i] :: T

  | WTAAss:
    [[ is-lub, P, E ⊢ a :: T[]; is-lub, P, E ⊢ i :: Integer; is-lub, P, E ⊢ e :: T'; P ⊢ T' ≤ T ]]
    ⇒ is-lub, P, E ⊢ a[i] := e :: Void

```

- | *WTALength*:
 $\text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash a :: T[] \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash a.length :: \text{Integer}$
- | *WTFAcc*:
 $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e :: U; \text{class-type-of}' U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e.F\{D\} :: T$
- | *WTFAss*:
 $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_1 :: U; \text{class-type-of}' U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_2 :: T'; P \vdash T' \leq T \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_1.F\{D\} := e_2 :: \text{Void}$
- | *WTCAS*:
 $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_1 :: U; \text{class-type-of}' U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D; \text{volatile fm}; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_2 :: T'; P \vdash T' \leq T; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_3 :: T''; P \vdash T'' \leq T \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_1.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e_2, e_3) :: \text{Boolean}$
- | *WTCall*:
 $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e :: U; \text{class-type-of}' U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C \text{ sees } M:Ts \rightarrow T = \text{meth in } D; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash es :: Ts'; P \vdash Ts' \leq Ts \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e.M(es) :: T$
- | *WTBlock*:
 $\llbracket \text{is-type } P \text{ } T; \text{is-lub}, P, E(V \mapsto T) \vdash e :: T'; \text{case } vo \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid [v] \Rightarrow \exists T'. \text{typeof } v = \lfloor T' \rfloor \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \{V:T=vo; e\} :: T'$
- | *WTSynchronized*:
 $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash o' :: T; \text{is-refT } T; T \neq NT; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e :: T' \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{sync}(o') e :: T'$
- Note that `insync` is not statically typable.
- | *WTSeq*:
 $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_1 :: T_1; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_2 :: T_2 \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_1;; e_2 :: T_2$
- | *WTCond*:
 $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e :: \text{Boolean}; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_1 :: T_1; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_2 :: T_2; \vdash \text{lub}(T_1, T_2) = T \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{if } (e) e_1 \text{ else } e_2 :: T$
- | *WTWhile*:
 $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e :: \text{Boolean}; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash c :: T \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{while } (e) c :: \text{Void}$
- | *WTThrow*:
 $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e :: \text{Class } C; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable} \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{throw } e :: \text{Void}$
- | *WTTry*:
 $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e_1 :: T; \text{is-lub}, P, E(V \mapsto \text{Class } C) \vdash e_2 :: T; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable} \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{try } e_1 \text{ catch}(C V) e_2 :: T$
- | *WTNil*: $\text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash [] :: []$

| $WTCons: \llbracket is-lub, P, E \vdash e :: T; is-lub, P, E \vdash es [::] Ts \rrbracket \implies is-lub, P, E \vdash e \# es [::] T \# Ts$

abbreviation $WT' :: 'addr J-prog \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow bool (-, - \vdash - :: - [51, 51, 51] 50)$
where $WT' P \equiv WT (TypeRel.is-lub P) P$

abbreviation $WTs' :: 'addr J-prog \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow 'addr expr list \Rightarrow ty list \Rightarrow bool (-, - \vdash - [::] - [51, 51, 51] 50)$
where $WTs' P \equiv WTs (TypeRel.is-lub P) P$

declare $WT\text{-}WTs.intros[intro!]$

inductive-simps $WTs\text{-}iiffs [iff]:$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash [] [::] Ts$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash e \# es [::] T \# Ts$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash e \# es [::] Ts$

lemma $WTs\text{-}conv-list-all2:$
fixes $is-lub$
shows $is-lub, P, E \vdash es [::] Ts = list-all2 (WT is-lub P E) es Ts$
by (induct es arbitrary: Ts) (auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1 elim: WTs.cases)

lemma $WTs\text{-}append [iff]: \bigwedge is-lub Ts. (is-lub, P, E \vdash es_1 @ es_2 [::] Ts) = (\exists Ts_1 Ts_2. Ts = Ts_1 @ Ts_2 \wedge is-lub, P, E \vdash es_1 [::] Ts_1 \wedge is-lub, P, E \vdash es_2 [::] Ts_2)$
by (auto simp add: WTs-conv-list-all2 list-all2-append1 dest: list-all2-lengthD[symmetric])

inductive-simps $WT\text{-}iiffs [iff]:$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash Val v :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash Var V :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash e_1;; e_2 :: T_2$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash \{V:T=vo; e\} :: T'$

inductive-cases $WT\text{-}elim-cases[elim!]:$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash V := e :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash sync(o') e :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash if (e) e_1 else e_2 :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash while (e) c :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash throw e :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash try e_1 catch(C V) e_2 :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash Cast D e :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash e instanceof U :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash a.F\{D\} :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash a.F\{D\} := v :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'') :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash e_1 \llcorner bop \rrcorner e_2 :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash new C :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash newA T[e] :: T'$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash a[i] := e :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash a[i] :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash a.length :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash e.M(ps) :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash sync(o') e :: T$
 $is-lub', P, E \vdash insync(a) e :: T$

```

lemma fixes is-lub :: ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\vdash \text{lub}'((-, /) -) = - [51,51,51] 50$ )
  assumes is-lub-unique:  $\bigwedge T1\ T2\ T3\ T4$ .  $\llbracket \vdash \text{lub}(T1, T2) = T3; \vdash \text{lub}(T1, T2) = T4 \rrbracket \implies T3 = T4$ 
  shows WT-unique:  $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e :: T; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e :: T' \rrbracket \implies T = T'$ 
  and WTs-unique:  $\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash es :: Ts; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash es :: Ts' \rrbracket \implies Ts = Ts'$ 
apply(induct arbitrary: T' and Ts' rule: WT-WTs.inducts)
apply blast
apply blast
apply blast
apply blast
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply(fastforce dest: WT-binop-fun)
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply(fastforce dest: sees-field-fun)
apply(fastforce dest: sees-field-fun)
apply blast
apply(fastforce dest: sees-method-fun)
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply(blast dest: is-lub-unique)
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply blast
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
done

lemma fixes is-lub
  shows wt-env-mono:  $\text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e :: T \implies (\bigwedge E'. E \subseteq_m E' \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E' \vdash e :: T)$ 
  and wts-env-mono:  $\text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash es :: Ts \implies (\bigwedge E'. E \subseteq_m E' \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E' \vdash es :: Ts)$ 
apply(induct rule: WT-WTs.inducts)
apply(simp add: WTNew)
apply(simp add: WTNewArray)
apply(fastforce simp: WTCast)
apply(fastforce simp: WTInstanceOf)
apply(fastforce simp: WTVal)
apply(simp add: WTVar map-le-def dom-def)
apply(fastforce simp: WTBinOp)
apply(force simp:map-le-def)
apply(simp add: WTAcc)
apply(simp add: WTAAss, fastforce)
apply(simp add: WTALength, fastforce)
apply(fastforce simp: WTFAcc)
apply(fastforce simp: WTFAss del: WT-WTs.intros WT-elim-cases)
apply blast
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce simp: map-le-def WTBlock)
apply(fastforce simp: WTSynchronized)
apply(fastforce simp: WTSeq)

```

```

apply(fastforce simp: WTCond)
apply(fastforce simp: WTP)
apply(fastforce simp: WTH)
apply(fastforce simp: WTT)
apply(fastforce simp: map-le-def dom-def)
apply(fastforce) +
done

lemma fixes is-lub
  shows WT-fv: is-lub,P,E ⊢ e :: T ==> fv e ⊆ dom E
  and WT-fvs: is-lub,P,E ⊢ es :: Ts ==> fvs es ⊆ dom E
apply(induct rule: WT-WTs.inducts)
apply(simp-all del: fun-upd-apply)
apply fast+
done

lemma fixes is-lub
  shows WT-expr-locks: is-lub,P,E ⊢ e :: T ==> expr-locks e = (λad. 0)
  and WTs-expr-lockss: is-lub,P,E ⊢ es :: Ts ==> expr-lockss es = (λad. 0)
by(induct rule: WT-WTs.inducts)(auto)

lemma
  fixes is-lub :: ty ⇒ ty ⇒ ty ⇒ bool (⊢ lub'((-,-))' = - [51,51,51] 50)
  assumes is-lub-is-type: ⋀ T1 T2 T3. [ ⊢ lub(T1, T2) = T3; is-type P T1; is-type P T2 ] ==> is-type P T3
  and wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  shows WT-is-type: [ is-lub,P,E ⊢ e :: T; ran E ⊆ types P ] ==> is-type P T
  and WTs-is-type: [ is-lub,P,E ⊢ es :: Ts; ran E ⊆ types P ] ==> set Ts ⊆ types P
apply(induct rule: WT-WTs.inducts)
apply simp
apply simp
apply simp
apply simp
apply (simp add:typeof-lit-is-type)
apply (fastforce intro:nth-mem simp add: ran-def)
apply(simp add: WT-binop-is-type)
apply(simp)
apply(simp del: is-type-array add: is-type-ArrayD)
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add:sees-field-is-type[OF - wf])
apply(simp)
apply simp
apply(fastforce dest: sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf] simp:wf-mdecl-def)
apply(fastforce simp add: ran-def split: if-split-asm)
apply(simp add: is-class-Object[OF wf])
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
apply(fastforce intro: is-lub-is-type)
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
apply simp
apply simp
apply simp
done

```

lemma

```

fixes is-lub1 :: ty ⇒ ty ⇒ ty ⇒ bool ( $\vdash_1 \text{lub}'((\_, / \_)') = - [51, 51, 51] 50$ )
and is-lub2 :: ty ⇒ ty ⇒ ty ⇒ bool ( $\vdash_2 \text{lub}'((\_, / \_)') = - [51, 51, 51] 50$ )
assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
and is-lub1-into-is-lub2:  $\bigwedge T1 T2 T3. [\vdash_1 \text{lub}(T1, T2) = T3; \text{is-type } P T1; \text{is-type } P T2] \implies \vdash_2 \text{lub}(T1, T2) = T3$ 
and is-lub2-is-type:  $\bigwedge T1 T2 T3. [\vdash_2 \text{lub}(T1, T2) = T3; \text{is-type } P T1; \text{is-type } P T2] \implies \text{is-type } P T3$ 
shows WT-change-is-lub:  $[\text{is-lub1}, P, E \vdash e :: T; \text{ran } E \subseteq \text{types } P] \implies \text{is-lub2}, P, E \vdash e :: T$ 
and WTs-change-is-lub:  $[\text{is-lub1}, P, E \vdash es :: Ts; \text{ran } E \subseteq \text{types } P] \implies \text{is-lub2}, P, E \vdash es :: Ts$ 
proof(induct rule: WT-WTs.inducts)
  case (WTBlock U E V e' T vo)
  from <is-type P U> <ran E ⊆ types P>
  have ran (E(V ↦ U)) ⊆ types P by(auto simp add: ran-def)
  hence is-lub2, P, E(V ↦ U) ⊢ e' :: T by(rule WTBlock)
  with <is-type P U> show ?case
    using <case vo of None ⇒ True | [v] ⇒ ∃ T'. typeof v = [T'] ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ U> by auto
next
  case (WTCond E e e1 T1 e2 T2 T)
  from <ran E ⊆ types P> have is-lub2, P, E ⊢ e :: Boolean is-lub2, P, E ⊢ e1 :: T1 is-lub2, P, E ⊢ e2 :: T2
    by(rule WTCond)+  

  moreover from is-lub2-is-type wf <is-lub2, P, E ⊢ e1 :: T1> <ran E ⊆ types P>
  have is-type P T1 by(rule WT-is-type)
  from is-lub2-is-type wf <is-lub2, P, E ⊢ e2 :: T2> <ran E ⊆ types P>
  have is-type P T2 by(rule WT-is-type)
  with <vdash_1 lub(T1, T2) = T> <is-type P T1>
  have vdash_2 lub(T1, T2) = T by(rule is-lub1-into-is-lub2)
  ultimately show ?case ..
next
  case (WTTry E e1 T V C e2)
  from <ran E ⊆ types P> have is-lub2, P, E ⊢ e1 :: T by(rule WTTry)
  moreover from <P ⊢ C ⊑* Throwables> have is-class P C
    by(rule is-class-sub-Throwables[OF wf])
  with <ran E ⊆ types P> have ran (E(V ↦ Class C)) ⊆ types P
    by(auto simp add: ran-def)
  hence is-lub2, P, E(V ↦ Class C) ⊢ e2 :: T by(rule WTTry)
  ultimately show ?case using <P ⊢ C ⊑* Throwables> ..
qed auto

```

4.6.1 Code generator setup

```

lemma WTBlock-code:
 $\bigwedge \text{is-lub}. [\text{is-type } P T; \text{is-lub}, P, E(V \mapsto T) \vdash e :: T']$   

 $\quad \text{case vo of None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid [v] \Rightarrow \text{case typeof } v \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Some } T' \Rightarrow P \vdash T' \leq T]$   

 $\implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \{V: T=vo; e\} :: T'$ 
by(auto)

lemmas [code-pred-intro] =
  WTNew WTNewArray WTCast WTInstanceOf WTVVal WTVar WTBinOp WTLAss WTAAcc WTAAss
  WTALength WTFAcc WTFAss WTCAS WTCall
declare
  WTBlock-code [code-pred-intro WTBlock']

```

```

lemmas [code-pred-intro] =
  WTSynchronized WTSeq WTCond WTWhile WTThrow WTTry
  WTNil WTCons

code-pred
  (modes:
    $(i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ,
    $(i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )
  [detect-switches, skip-proof]
  WT

proof -
  case WT
  from WT.prems show thesis
  proof cases
    case (WTBlock T V e vo)
    thus thesis using WT.WTBlock'[OF refl refl refl, of V T vo e] by(auto)
    qed(assumption|erule WT.that[OF refl refl refl]|rule refl)+

next
  case WTs
  from WTs.prems WTs.that show thesis by cases blast+
  qed

inductive is-lub-sup :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
for P T1 T2 T3
where
  sup P T1 T2 = OK T3  $\Longrightarrow$  is-lub-sup P T1 T2 T3

code-pred
  (modes:  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ ,  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ )
  is-lub-sup
  .

definition WT-code :: 'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  env  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-,-  $\vdash$  - ::' - [51,51,51] 50)
where WT-code P  $\equiv$  WT (is-lub-sup P) P

definition WTs-code :: 'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  env  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr list  $\Rightarrow$  ty list  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-,-  $\vdash$  - ::' - [51,51,51] 50)
where WTs-code P  $\equiv$  WTs (is-lub-sup P) P

lemma assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  shows WT-code-into-WT:
   $\llbracket P, E \vdash e ::' T; ran E \subseteq \text{types } P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P, E \vdash e :: T$ 

  and WTs-code-into-WTs:
   $\llbracket P, E \vdash es ::' Ts; ran E \subseteq \text{types } P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P, E \vdash es :: Ts$ 

proof -
  assume ran: ran E  $\subseteq$  types P
  { assume wt: P, E  $\vdash e ::' T$ 
    show P, E  $\vdash e :: T$ 
    by(rule WT-change-is-lub[OF wf -- wt[unfolded WT-code-def] ran])(blast elim!: is-lub-sup.cases intro: sup-is-lubI[OF wf] is-lub-is-type[OF wf])+ }

  { assume wts: P, E  $\vdash es ::' Ts$ 
    show P, E  $\vdash es :: Ts$ 
    by(rule WTs-change-is-lub[OF wf -- wts[unfolded WTs-code-def] ran])(blast elim!: is-lub-sup.cases intro: sup-is-lubI[OF wf] is-lub-is-type[OF wf])+ }

```

```

intro: sup-is-lubI[OF wf] is-lub-is-type[OF wf])+ }
qed

lemma assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
shows WT-into-WT-code:
  [P,E ⊢ e :: T; ran E ⊆ types P] ==> P,E ⊢ e ::' T

and WT-into-WTs-code-OK:
  [P,E ⊢ es [:] Ts; ran E ⊆ types P] ==> P,E ⊢ es [:'] Ts

proof -
  assume ran: ran E ⊆ types P
  { assume wt: P,E ⊢ e :: T
    show P,E ⊢ e ::' T unfolding WT-code-def
      by(rule WT-change-is-lub[OF wf -- wt ran])(blast intro!: is-lub-sup.intros intro: is-lub-subD[OF
wf] sup-is-type[OF wf] elim!: is-lub-sup.cases)+ }
  { assume wts: P,E ⊢ es [:] Ts
    show P,E ⊢ es [:'] Ts unfolding WTs-code-def
      by(rule WTs-change-is-lub[OF wf -- wts ran])(blast intro!: is-lub-sup.intros intro: is-lub-subD[OF
wf] sup-is-type[OF wf] elim!: is-lub-sup.cases)+ }
qed

theorem WT-eq-WT-code:
  assumes wf-prog wf-md P
  and ran E ⊆ types P
  shows P,E ⊢ e :: T <=> P,E ⊢ e ::' T
  using assms by(blast intro: WT-code-into-WT WT-into-WT-code)

code-pred
  (modes: i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ bool, i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ o ⇒ bool)
  [inductify]
  WT-code
  .

code-pred
  (modes: i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ bool, i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ o ⇒ bool)
  [inductify]
  WTs-code
  .

end

```

4.7 Definite assignment

```

theory DefAss
imports
  Expr
begin

```

4.7.1 Hypersets

type-synonym 'a hyperset = 'a set option

```

definition hyperUn :: 'a hyperset ⇒ 'a hyperset ⇒ 'a hyperset  (infixl ∪ 65)
where

```

$$\begin{aligned} A \sqcup B &\equiv \text{case } A \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \\ &\quad | \lfloor A \rfloor \Rightarrow (\text{case } B \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} | \lfloor B \rfloor \Rightarrow \lfloor A \cup B \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$

definition *hyperInt* :: '*a* hyperset \Rightarrow '*a* hyperset \Rightarrow '*a* hyperset (infixl \sqcap 70)
where

$$\begin{aligned} A \sqcap B &\equiv \text{case } A \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow B \\ &\quad | \lfloor A \rfloor \Rightarrow (\text{case } B \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \lfloor A \rfloor | \lfloor B \rfloor \Rightarrow \lfloor A \cap B \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$

definition *hyperDiff1* :: '*a* hyperset \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow '*a* hyperset (infixl \ominus 65)
where

$$A \ominus a \equiv \text{case } A \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} | \lfloor A \rfloor \Rightarrow \lfloor A - \{a\} \rfloor$$

definition *hyper-isin* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*a* hyperset \Rightarrow bool (infix $\in\in$ 50)
where

$$a \in\in A \equiv \text{case } A \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} | \lfloor A \rfloor \Rightarrow a \in A$$

definition *hyper-subset* :: '*a* hyperset \Rightarrow '*a* hyperset \Rightarrow bool (infix \sqsubseteq 50)
where

$$\begin{aligned} A \sqsubseteq B &\equiv \text{case } B \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \\ &\quad | \lfloor B \rfloor \Rightarrow (\text{case } A \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False} | \lfloor A \rfloor \Rightarrow A \subseteq B) \end{aligned}$$

lemmas *hyperset-defs* =

hyperUn-def *hyperInt-def* *hyperDiff1-def* *hyper-isin-def* *hyper-subset-def*

lemma [*simp*]: $\lfloor \{\} \rfloor \sqcup A = A \wedge A \sqcup \lfloor \{\} \rfloor = A$

lemma [*simp*]: $\lfloor A \rfloor \sqcup \lfloor B \rfloor = \lfloor A \cup B \rfloor \wedge \lfloor A \rfloor \ominus a = \lfloor A - \{a\} \rfloor$

lemma [*simp*]: *None* $\sqcup A = \text{None} \wedge A \sqcup \text{None} = \text{None}$

lemma [*simp*]: $a \in\in \text{None} \wedge \text{None} \ominus a = \text{None}$

lemma *hyperUn-assoc*: $(A \sqcup B) \sqcup C = A \sqcup (B \sqcup C)$

lemma *hyper-insert-comm*: $A \sqcup \lfloor \{a\} \rfloor = \lfloor \{a\} \rfloor \sqcup A \wedge A \sqcup (\lfloor \{a\} \rfloor \sqcup B) = \lfloor \{a\} \rfloor \sqcup (A \sqcup B)$

lemma *sqSub-mem-lem [elim]*: $\llbracket A \sqsubseteq A'; a \in\in A \rrbracket \implies a \in\in A'$

by(auto simp add: *hyperset-defs*)

lemma [*iff*]: $A \sqsubseteq \text{None}$

by(auto simp add: *hyperset-defs*)

lemma [*simp*]: $A \sqsubseteq A$

by(auto simp add: *hyperset-defs*)

lemma [*iff*]: $\lfloor A \rfloor \sqsubseteq \lfloor B \rfloor \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq B$

by(auto simp add: *hyperset-defs*)

lemma *sqUn-lem2*: $A \sqsubseteq A' \implies B \sqcup A \sqsubseteq B \sqcup A'$

by(simp add:*hyperset-defs*) blast

lemma *sqSub-trans [trans, intro]*: $\llbracket A \sqsubseteq B; B \sqsubseteq C \rrbracket \implies A \sqsubseteq C$
by(auto simp add: *hyperset-defs*)

lemma *hyperUn-comm*: $A \sqcup B = B \sqcup A$
by(auto simp add: *hyperset-defs*)

lemma *hyperUn-leftComm*: $A \sqcup (B \sqcup C) = B \sqcup (A \sqcup C)$
by(auto simp add: *hyperset-defs*)

lemmas *hyperUn-ac* = *hyperUn-comm* *hyperUn-leftComm* *hyperUn-assoc*

lemma [*simp*]: $\lfloor \{ \} \rfloor \sqcup B = B$
by(*auto*)

lemma [*simp*]: $\lfloor \{ \} \rfloor \sqsubseteq A$
by(*auto simp add: hyperset-defs*)

lemma *sqInt-lem*: $A \sqsubseteq A' \implies A \sqcap B \sqsubseteq A' \sqcap B$
by(*auto simp add: hyperset-defs*)

4.7.2 Definite assignment

primrec \mathcal{A} :: $('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ hyperset}$
and $\mathcal{As} :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ hyperset}$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}(\text{new } C) = \lfloor \{ \} \rfloor \\
& \mathcal{A}(\text{new } T[e]) = \mathcal{A} e \\
& \mathcal{A}(\text{Cast } C e) = \mathcal{A} e \\
& \mathcal{A}(e \text{ instanceof } T) = \mathcal{A} e \\
& \mathcal{A}(\text{Val } v) = \lfloor \{ \} \rfloor \\
& \mathcal{A}(e_1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner e_2) = \mathcal{A} e_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A} e_2 \\
& \mathcal{A}(\text{Var } V) = \lfloor \{ V \} \rfloor \sqcup \mathcal{A} e \\
& \mathcal{A}(LAss \ V e) = \lfloor \{ V \} \rfloor \sqcup \mathcal{A} e \\
& \mathcal{A}(a[i]) = \mathcal{A} a \sqcup \mathcal{A} i \\
& \mathcal{A}(a[i] := e) = \mathcal{A} a \sqcup \mathcal{A} i \sqcup \mathcal{A} e \\
& \mathcal{A}(a.length) = \mathcal{A} a \\
& \mathcal{A}(e.F\{D\}) = \mathcal{A} e \\
& \mathcal{A}(e_1.F\{D\} := e_2) = \mathcal{A} e_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A} e_2 \\
& \mathcal{A}(e_1.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3)) = \mathcal{A} e_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A} e_2 \sqcup \mathcal{A} e_3 \\
& \mathcal{A}(e.M(es)) = \mathcal{A} e \sqcup \mathcal{As} es \\
& \mathcal{A}(\{V:T=vo; e\}) = \mathcal{A} e \ominus V \\
& \mathcal{A}(\text{sync}_V(o') e) = \mathcal{A} o' \sqcup \mathcal{A} e \\
& \mathcal{A}(\text{insync}_V(a) e) = \mathcal{A} e \\
& \mathcal{A}(e_1;;e_2) = \mathcal{A} e_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A} e_2 \\
& \mathcal{A}(\text{if } (e) e_1 \text{ else } e_2) = \mathcal{A} e \sqcup (\mathcal{A} e_1 \sqcap \mathcal{A} e_2) \\
& \mathcal{A}(\text{while } (b) e) = \mathcal{A} b \\
& \mathcal{A}(\text{throw } e) = \text{None} \\
& \mathcal{A}(\text{try } e_1 \text{ catch}(C V) e_2) = \mathcal{A} e_1 \sqcap (\mathcal{A} e_2 \ominus V) \\
\\
& \mathcal{As}(\emptyset) = \lfloor \{ \} \rfloor \\
& \mathcal{As}(e \# es) = \mathcal{A} e \sqcup \mathcal{As} es
\end{aligned}$$

primrec \mathcal{D} :: $('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ hyperset} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\mathcal{Ds} :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ hyperset} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}(\text{new } C) A = \text{True} \\
& \mathcal{D}(\text{new } T[e]) A = \mathcal{D} e A \\
& \mathcal{D}(\text{Cast } C e) A = \mathcal{D} e A \\
& \mathcal{D}(e \text{ instanceof } T) = \mathcal{D} e \\
& \mathcal{D}(\text{Val } v) A = \text{True} \\
& \mathcal{D}(e_1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner e_2) A = (\mathcal{D} e_1 A \wedge \mathcal{D} e_2 (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e_1)) \\
& \mathcal{D}(\text{Var } V) A = (V \in \in A) \\
& \mathcal{D}(LAss \ V e) A = \mathcal{D} e A
\end{aligned}$$

```

|  $\mathcal{D}(a[i]) A = (\mathcal{D} a A \wedge \mathcal{D} i (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} a))$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(a[i] := e) A = (\mathcal{D} a A \wedge \mathcal{D} i (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} a) \wedge \mathcal{D} e (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} a \sqcup \mathcal{A} i))$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(a.length) A = \mathcal{D} a A$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(e.F\{D\}) A = \mathcal{D} e A$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(e1.F\{D\} := e2) A = (\mathcal{D} e1 A \wedge \mathcal{D} e2 (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e1))$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(e1.compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3)) A = (\mathcal{D} e1 A \wedge \mathcal{D} e2 (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e1) \wedge \mathcal{D} e3 (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e1 \sqcup \mathcal{A} e2))$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(e.M(es)) A = (\mathcal{D} e A \wedge \mathcal{D}s es (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e))$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(\{V:T=vo; e\}) A = (\text{if } vo = \text{None} \text{ then } \mathcal{D} e (A \ominus V) \text{ else } \mathcal{D} e (A \sqcup [\{V\}]))$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(\text{sync}_V(o') e) A = (\mathcal{D} o' A \wedge \mathcal{D} e (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} o'))$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(\text{insync}_V(a) e) A = \mathcal{D} e A$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(e1;;e2) A = (\mathcal{D} e1 A \wedge \mathcal{D} e2 (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e1))$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) A = (\mathcal{D} e A \wedge \mathcal{D} e1 (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e) \wedge \mathcal{D} e2 (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e))$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(\text{while } (e) c) A = (\mathcal{D} e A \wedge \mathcal{D} c (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e))$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(\text{throw } e) A = \mathcal{D} e A$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}(\text{try } e1 \text{ catch}(C V) e2) A = (\mathcal{D} e1 A \wedge \mathcal{D} e2 (A \sqcup [\{V\}]))$ 

|  $\mathcal{D}s([]) A = \text{True}$ 
|  $\mathcal{D}s(e \# es) A = (\mathcal{D} e A \wedge \mathcal{D}s es (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e))$ 

lemma As-map-Val[simp]:  $\mathcal{A}s(\text{map Val } vs) = [\{\})$ 
lemma As-append [simp]:  $\mathcal{A}s(xs @ ys) = (\mathcal{A}s xs) \sqcup (\mathcal{A}s ys)$ 
by(induct xs, auto simp add: hyperset-defs)

lemma Ds-map-Val[simp]:  $\mathcal{D}s(\text{map Val } vs) A$ 
lemma D-append[iff]:  $\bigwedge A. \mathcal{D}s(es @ es') A = (\mathcal{D}s es A \wedge \mathcal{D}s es' (A \sqcup \mathcal{A}s es))$ 

lemma fixes  $e :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp$  and  $es :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp list$ 
  shows  $A\text{-fv}: \bigwedge A. \mathcal{A} e = [A] \implies A \subseteq fv e$ 
  and  $\bigwedge A. \mathcal{A}s es = [A] \implies A \subseteq fvs es$ 
apply(induct e and es rule: A.induct As.induct)
apply (simp-all add:hyperset-defs)
apply fast+
done

lemma sqUn-lem:  $A \sqsubseteq A' \implies A \sqcup B \sqsubseteq A' \sqcup B$ 
lemma diff-lem:  $A \sqsubseteq A' \implies A \ominus b \sqsubseteq A' \ominus b$ 

lemma fixes  $e :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp$  and  $es :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list$ 
  shows  $D\text{-mono}: \bigwedge A A'. A \sqsubseteq A' \implies \mathcal{D} e A \implies \mathcal{D} e A'$ 
  and  $Ds\text{-mono}: \bigwedge A A'. A \sqsubseteq A' \implies \mathcal{D}s es A \implies \mathcal{D}s es A'$ 

lemma D-mono': D e A  $\implies A \sqsubseteq A' \implies \mathcal{D} e A'$ 
and Ds-mono': Ds es A  $\implies A \sqsubseteq A' \implies \mathcal{D}s es A'$ 
declare hyperUn-comm [simp]
declare hyperUn-leftComm [simp]

end

```

4.8 Well-formedness Constraints

theory *JWellForm*

```

imports
  WWellForm
  WellType
  DefAss
begin

definition wf-J-mdecl :: 'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  'addr J-mb mdecl  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  wf-J-mdecl P C  $\equiv$   $\lambda(M, Ts, T, (pns, body)).$ 
  length Ts = length pns  $\wedge$ 
  distinct pns  $\wedge$ 
  this  $\notin$  set pns  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\exists T'. P, [this \mapsto Class\ C, pns[\mapsto] Ts] \vdash body :: T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T$ )  $\wedge$ 
  D body [ $\{this\} \cup$  set pns]

lemma wf-J-mdecl[simp]:
  wf-J-mdecl P C (M, Ts, T, pns, body)  $\equiv$ 
  (length Ts = length pns  $\wedge$ 
  distinct pns  $\wedge$ 
  this  $\notin$  set pns  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\exists T'. P, [this \mapsto Class\ C, pns[\mapsto] Ts] \vdash body :: T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T$ )  $\wedge$ 
  D body [ $\{this\} \cup$  set pns])

abbreviation wf-J-prog :: 'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where wf-J-prog == wf-prog wf-J-mdecl

lemma wf-mdecl-wwf-mdecl: wf-J-mdecl P C Md  $\Longrightarrow$  wwf-J-mdecl P C Md

```

4.9 The source language as an instance of the framework

```

theory Threaded
imports
  SmallStep
  JWellForm
  ./Common/ConformThreaded
  ./Common/ExternalCallWF
  ./Framework/FWLiftingSem
  ./Framework/FWProgressAux
begin

context heap-base begin — Move to ?? - also used in BV

lemma wset-Suspend-ok-start-state:
  fixes final r convert-RA
  assumes start-state f P C M vs  $\in I$ 
  shows start-state f P C M vs  $\in$  multithreaded-base.wset-Suspend-ok final r convert-RA I
  using asms
  by(rule multithreaded-base.wset-Suspend-okI)(simp add: start-state-def split-beta)

end

abbreviation final-expr :: 'addr expr  $\times$  'addr locals  $\Rightarrow$  boolwhere
  final-expr  $\equiv$   $\lambda(e, x). final\ e$ 

```

```

lemma final-locks: final e  $\implies$  expr-locks e l = 0
by(auto elim: finalE)

context J-heap-base begin

abbreviation mred
  :: 'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr  $\times$  'addr locals, 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id)
  obs-event) semantics
where
  mred P t  $\equiv$   $\lambda((e, l), h). \text{ta } ((e', l'), h'). P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, l) \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', (h', l') \rangle$ 

lemma red-new-thread-heap:
  [ convert-extTA extNTA,P,t  $\vdash \langle e, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ ; NewThread t'' ex'' h''  $\in$  set {ta}_t ]  $\implies$  h'' =
  hp s'
    and reds-new-thread-heap:
      [ convert-extTA extNTA,P,t  $\vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-\text{ta} \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle$ ; NewThread t'' ex'' h''  $\in$  set {ta}_t ]  $\implies$  h'' =
      hp s'
    apply(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
    apply(fastforce dest: red-ext-new-thread-heap simp add: ta-upd-simps)+
  done

lemma red-ta-Wakeup-no-Join-no-Lock-no-Interrupt:
  [ convert-extTA extNTA,P,t  $\vdash \langle e, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ ; Notified  $\in$  set {ta}_w  $\vee$  WokenUp  $\in$  set {ta}_w ]
   $\implies$  collect-locks {ta}_l = {}  $\wedge$  collect-cond-actions {ta}_c = {}  $\wedge$  collect-interrupts {ta}_i = {}
  and reds-ta-Wakeup-no-Join-no-Lock-no-Interrupt:
  [ convert-extTA extNTA,P,t  $\vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-\text{ta} \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle$ ; Notified  $\in$  set {ta}_w  $\vee$  WokenUp  $\in$  set {ta}_w ]
   $\implies$  collect-locks {ta}_l = {}  $\wedge$  collect-cond-actions {ta}_c = {}  $\wedge$  collect-interrupts {ta}_i = {}
  apply(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
  apply(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps dest: red-external-Wakeup-no-Join-no-Lock-no-Interrupt del: conjI)
  done

lemma final-no-red:
  final e  $\implies$   $\neg P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, l) \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', (h', l') \rangle$ 
by(auto elim: red.cases finalE)

lemma red-mthr: multithreaded final-expr (mred P)
by(unfold-locales)(auto dest: red-new-thread-heap)

end

sublocale J-heap-base < red-mthr: multithreaded
  final-expr
  mred P
  convert-RA
  for P
by(rule red-mthr)

context J-heap-base begin

abbreviation
  mredT :: 
  'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr  $\times$  'addr locals, 'heap, 'addr) state

```

```

 $\Rightarrow ('thread-id \times ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr \times 'addr locals, 'heap) \text{Jinja-thread-action})$ 
 $\Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr \times 'addr locals, 'heap, 'addr) \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 

```

where

$mredT P \equiv red-mthr.redT P$

abbreviation

```

mredT-syntax1 :: 'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr \times 'addr locals, 'heap, 'addr) \text{state}$ 
 $\Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr \times 'addr locals, 'heap) \text{Jinja-thread-action}$ 
 $\Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr \times 'addr locals, 'heap, 'addr) \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
 $(\text{-} \vdash \text{-} \dashrightarrow \text{-} [50, 0, 0, 0, 50] 80)$ 

```

where

$mredT-syntax1 P s t ta s' \equiv mredT P s (t, ta) s'$

abbreviation

```

mRedT-syntax1 :: 
'addr J-prog
 $\Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr \times 'addr locals, 'heap, 'addr) \text{state}$ 
 $\Rightarrow ('thread-id \times ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr \times 'addr locals, 'heap) \text{Jinja-thread-action}) \text{list}$ 
 $\Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr \times 'addr locals, 'heap, 'addr) \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
 $(\text{-} \vdash \text{-} \dashrightarrow \text{-} [50, 0, 0, 50] 80)$ 

```

where

$P \vdash s \dashrightarrow ttas \dashrightarrow * s' \equiv red-mthr.RedT P s ttas s'$

end

context $J\text{-}heap$ begin

lemma $redT\text{-hext-incr}$:

$P \vdash s \dashrightarrow ta \dashrightarrow s' \implies shr s \trianglelefteq shr s'$

by(erule red-mthr.redT.cases)(auto dest!: red-hext-incr intro: hext-trans)

lemma $RedT\text{-hext-incr}$:

assumes $P \vdash s \dashrightarrow tta \dashrightarrow * s'$

shows $shr s \trianglelefteq shr s'$

using assms unfolding red-mthr.RedT-def

by(induct)(auto dest: redT-hext-incr intro: hext-trans)

end

4.9.1 Lifting $tconf$ to multithreaded states

context $J\text{-}heap$ begin

lemma $red\text{-NewThread-Thread-Object}$:

$\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extNTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t' x m \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket$

$\implies \exists C. \text{typeof-addr } (hp s') (\text{thread-id2addr } t') = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread}$

and $reds\text{-NewThread-Thread-Object}$:

$\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extNTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t' x m \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket$

$\implies \exists C. \text{typeof-addr } (hp s') (\text{thread-id2addr } t') = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread}$

apply(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)

apply(fastforce dest: red-external-new-thread-exists-thread-object simp add: ta-upd-simps)+

done

lemma $lifting-wf-tconf$:

```

lifting-wf final-expr (mred P) ( $\lambda t \text{ ex } h. P, h \vdash t \vee t$ )
by(unfold-locales)(fastforce dest: red-hext-incr red-NewThread-Thread-Object elim!: tconf-hext-mono
intro: tconfI)+

end

sublocale J-heap < red-tconf: lifting-wf final-expr mred P convert-RA  $\lambda t \text{ ex } h. P, h \vdash t \vee t$ 
by(rule lifting-wf-tconf)

```

4.9.2 Towards agreement between the framework semantics' lock state and the locks stored in the expressions

```

primrec sync-ok :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and sync-oks :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  sync-ok (new C) = True
  sync-ok (newA T[i]) = sync-ok i
  sync-ok (Cast T e) = sync-ok e
  sync-ok (e instanceof T) = sync-ok e
  sync-ok (Val v) = True
  sync-ok (Var v) = True
  sync-ok (e «bop» e') = (sync-ok e  $\wedge$  sync-ok e'  $\wedge$  (contains-insync e'  $\longrightarrow$  is-val e))
  sync-ok (V := e) = sync-ok e
  sync-ok (a[i]) = (sync-ok a  $\wedge$  sync-ok i  $\wedge$  (contains-insync i  $\longrightarrow$  is-val a))
  sync-ok (AAss a i e) = (sync-ok a  $\wedge$  sync-ok i  $\wedge$  sync-ok e  $\wedge$  (contains-insync i  $\longrightarrow$  is-val a)  $\wedge$ 
  (contains-insync e  $\longrightarrow$  is-val a  $\wedge$  is-val i))
  sync-ok (a.length) = sync-ok a
  sync-ok (e.F{D}) = sync-ok e
  sync-ok (FAss e F D e') = (sync-ok e  $\wedge$  sync-ok e'  $\wedge$  (contains-insync e'  $\longrightarrow$  is-val e))
  sync-ok (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) = (sync-ok e  $\wedge$  sync-ok e'  $\wedge$  sync-ok e''  $\wedge$  (contains-insync e'  $\longrightarrow$  is-val e)  $\wedge$  (contains-insync e''  $\longrightarrow$  is-val e'  $\wedge$  is-val e''))
  sync-ok (e.m(pns)) = (sync-ok e  $\wedge$  sync-oks pns  $\wedge$  (contains-insyncs pns  $\longrightarrow$  is-val e))
  sync-ok ({V : T=vo; e}) = sync-ok e
  sync-ok (sync_V(o') e) = (sync-ok o'  $\wedge$   $\neg$  contains-insync e)
  sync-ok (insync_V(a) e) = sync-ok e
  sync-ok (e;;e') = (sync-ok e  $\wedge$   $\neg$  contains-insync e')
  sync-ok (if (b) e else e') = (sync-ok b  $\wedge$   $\neg$  contains-insync e  $\wedge$   $\neg$  contains-insync e')
  sync-ok (while (b) e) = ( $\neg$  contains-insync b  $\wedge$   $\neg$  contains-insync e)
  sync-ok (throw e) = sync-ok e
  sync-ok (try e catch(C v) e') = (sync-ok e  $\wedge$   $\neg$  contains-insync e')
  sync-oks [] = True
  sync-oks (x # xs) = (sync-ok x  $\wedge$  sync-oks xs  $\wedge$  (contains-insyncs xs  $\longrightarrow$  is-val x))

lemma sync-oks-append [simp]:
  sync-oks (xs @ ys)  $\longleftrightarrow$  sync-oks xs  $\wedge$  sync-oks ys  $\wedge$  (contains-insyncs ys  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  vs. xs = map Val vs))
by(induct xs)(auto simp add: Cons-eq-map-conv)

lemma fixes e :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp and es :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp list
  shows not-contains-insync-sync-ok:  $\neg$  contains-insync e  $\Longrightarrow$  sync-ok e
  and not-contains-insyncs-sync-oks:  $\neg$  contains-insyncs es  $\Longrightarrow$  sync-oks es
by(induct e and es rule: sync-ok.induct sync-oks.induct)(auto)

lemma expr-locks-sync-ok: ( $\bigwedge$  ad. expr-locks e ad = 0)  $\Longrightarrow$  sync-ok e

```

and *expr-lockss-sync-oks*: $(\bigwedge ad. \text{expr-lockss } es \ ad = 0) \implies \text{sync-oks } es$
by(*auto intro!*: *not-contains-insync-sync-ok* *not-contains-insyncs-sync-oks*
simp add: *contains-insync-conv* *contains-insyncs-conv*)

lemma *sync-ok-extRet2J* [*simp, intro!*]: *sync-ok e* $\implies \text{sync-ok} (\text{extRet2J } e \ va)$
by(*cases va*) *auto*

abbreviation

sync-es-ok :: $(\text{'addr}, \text{'thread-id}, ('a, 'b, 'addr) \exp \times 'c) \text{ thread-info} \Rightarrow \text{'heap} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where

sync-es-ok $\equiv ts\text{-ok } (\lambda t (e, x) m. \text{ sync-ok } e)$

lemma *sync-es-ok-blocks* [*simp*]:

$\llbracket \text{length } pns = \text{length } Ts; \text{length } Ts = \text{length } vs \rrbracket \implies \text{sync-ok} (\text{blocks } pns \ Ts \ vs \ e) = \text{sync-ok } e$
by(*induct pns Ts vs e rule: blocks.induct*) *auto*

context *J-heap-base begin*

lemma assumes *wf*: *wf-J-prog P*

shows *red-preserve-sync-ok*: $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashv \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{sync-ok } e \rrbracket \implies \text{sync-ok } e'$

and *reds-preserve-sync-oks*: $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \dashv \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{sync-oks } es \rrbracket \implies \text{sync-oks } es'$

proof(*induct rule: red-reds.inducts*)

case (*RedCall s a U M Ts T pns body D vs*)

from *wf* $\langle P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, \text{body}) \rfloor \text{ in } D \rangle$

have *wf-mdecl wf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, $\lfloor (pns, \text{body}) \rfloor$)*

by(*rule sees-wf-mdecl*)

then obtain *T where P, [this \mapsto Class D, pns \vdash] Ts \vdash body :: T*

by(*auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def*)

hence *expr-locks body* $= (\lambda ad. 0)$ **by**(*rule WT-expr-locks*)

with $\langle \text{length } vs = \text{length } pns \rangle, \langle \text{length } Ts = \text{length } pns \rangle$

have *expr-locks (blocks pns Ts vs body)* $= (\lambda ad. 0)$

by(*simp add: expr-locks-blocks*)

thus ?**case** **by**(*auto intro: expr-locks-sync-ok*)

qed(*fastforce intro: not-contains-insync-sync-ok*) +

lemma assumes *wf*: *wf-J-prog P*

shows *expr-locks-new-thread*:

$\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashv \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t'' (e'', x'') h \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket \implies \text{expr-locks } e'' = (\lambda ad. 0)$

and *expr-locks-new-thread'*:

$\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \dashv \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t'' (e'', x'') h \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket \implies \text{expr-locks } e'' = (\lambda ad. 0)$

proof(*induct rule: red-reds.inducts*)

case (*RedCallExternal s a U M Ts T D vs ta va h' ta' e' s'*)

then obtain *C fs a where subThread: P $\vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread}$ and ext: extNTA2J P (C, run, a) $= (e'', x'')$*

by(*fastforce dest: red-external-new-thread-sub-thread*)

from *sub-Thread-sees-run[OF wf subThread]* **obtain** *D pns body*

where *sees: P $\vdash C \text{ sees run: } [] \rightarrow \text{Void} = \lfloor (pns, \text{body}) \rfloor \text{ in } D$ by auto*

from *sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf this]* **obtain** *T where P, [this \mapsto Class D] \vdash body :: T*

by(*auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def*)

hence *expr-locks body* $= (\lambda ad. 0)$ **by**(*rule WT-expr-locks*)

with *sees ext show ?case* **by**(*auto simp add: extNTA2J-def*)

qed(*auto simp add: ta-upd-simps*)

```

lemma assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  shows red-new-thread-sync-ok:  $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; NewThread t'' (e'', x'') h'' \in set \{ta\}_t \rrbracket \implies sync-ok e''$ 
  and reds-new-thread-sync-ok:  $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; NewThread t'' (e'', x'') h'' \in set \{ta\}_t \rrbracket \implies sync-ok e''$ 
by(auto dest!: expr-locks-new-thread[OF wf] expr-locks-new-thread'[OF wf] intro: expr-locks-sync-ok expr-lockss-sync-oks)

lemma lifting-wf-sync-ok: wf-J-prog P  $\implies$  lifting-wf final-expr (mred P) ( $\lambda t (e, x) m.$  sync-ok e)
by(unfold-locales)(auto intro: red-preserve-sync-ok red-new-thread-sync-ok)

lemma redT-preserve-sync-ok:
  assumes red: P  $\vdash s - t \triangleright ta \rightarrow s'$ 
  shows  $\llbracket wf-J-prog P; sync-es-ok (thr s) (shr s) \rrbracket \implies sync-es-ok (thr s') (shr s')$ 
by(rule lifting-wf.redT-preserves[OF lifting-wf-sync-ok red])

lemma RedT-preserves-sync-ok:
   $\llbracket wf-J-prog P; P \vdash s - \triangleright ttas \rightarrow^* s'; sync-es-ok (thr s) (shr s) \rrbracket \implies sync-es-ok (thr s') (shr s')$ 
by(rule lifting-wf.RedT-preserves[OF lifting-wf-sync-ok])

lemma sync-es-ok-J-start-state:
   $\llbracket wf-J-prog P; P \vdash C \text{ sees } M:Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor \text{ in } D; length Ts = length vs \rrbracket \implies sync-es-ok (thr (J-start-state P C M vs)) m$ 
apply(rule ts-okI)
apply(clar simp simp add: start-state-def split-beta split: if-split-asm)
apply(drule (1) sees-wf-mdecl)
apply(clar simp simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
apply(drule WT-expr-locks)
apply(rule expr-locks-sync-ok)
apply simp
done

end

Framework lock state agrees with locks stored in the expression

definition lock-ok :: ('addr,'thread-id) locks  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr,'thread-id,('a, 'b,'addr) exp  $\times$  'x) thread-info
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge ln. lock\_ok ls ts \equiv \forall t. (\text{case } (ts t) \text{ of } None &\Rightarrow (\forall l. has\_locks (ls \$ l) t = 0) \\ &\quad | \lfloor ((e, x), ln) \rfloor \Rightarrow (\forall l. has\_locks (ls \$ l) t + ln \$ l = expr\_locks e l)) \end{aligned}$$


lemma lock-okI:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \wedge t l. ts t = None \implies has\_locks (ls \$ l) t = 0; \wedge t e x ln l. ts t = \lfloor ((e, x), ln) \rfloor \implies has\_locks (ls \$ l) t + ln \$ l = expr\_locks e l \rrbracket &\implies lock\_ok ls ts \\ \text{apply}(fastforce simp add: lock-ok-def) \\ \text{done} \end{aligned}$$


lemma lock-okE:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket lock\_ok ls ts; &\quad \forall t. ts t = None \longrightarrow (\forall l. has\_locks (ls \$ l) t = 0) \implies Q; \\ &\quad \forall t e x ln. ts t = \lfloor ((e, x), ln) \rfloor \longrightarrow (\forall l. has\_locks (ls \$ l) t + ln \$ l = expr\_locks e l) \implies Q \rrbracket \\ &\implies Q \\ \text{by}(fastforce simp add: lock-ok-def) \end{aligned}$$


```

```

lemma lock-okD1:
   $\llbracket \text{lock-ok } ls \text{ } ts; \text{ } ts \text{ } t = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies \forall l. \text{has-locks } (ls \$ l) \text{ } t = 0$ 
apply(simp add: lock-ok-def)
apply(erule-tac x=t in allE)
apply(auto)
done

lemma lock-okD2:
   $\bigwedge ln. \llbracket \text{lock-ok } ls \text{ } ts; \text{ } ts \text{ } t = \lfloor ((e, x), ln) \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \forall l. \text{has-locks } (ls \$ l) \text{ } t + ln \$ l = \text{expr-locks } e \text{ } l$ 
apply(fastforce simp add: lock-ok-def)
done

lemma lock-ok-lock-thread-ok:
assumes lock: lock-ok ls ts
shows lock-thread-ok ls ts
proof(rule lock-thread-okI)
fix l t
assume lsl: has-lock (ls \$ l) t
show  $\exists xw. ts \text{ } t = \lfloor xw \rfloor$ 
proof(cases ts t)
  case None
  with lock have has-locks (ls \$ l) t = 0
    by(auto dest: lock-okD1)
  with lsl show ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Some a) thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

lemma (in J-heap-base) lock-ok-J-start-state:
 $\llbracket \text{wf-J-prog } P; P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor \text{ in } D; \text{length } Ts = \text{length } vs \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{lock-ok } (\text{locks } (J\text{-start-state } P \text{ } C \text{ } M \text{ } vs)) \text{ } (\text{thr } (J\text{-start-state } P \text{ } C \text{ } M \text{ } vs))$ 
apply(rule lock-okI)
apply(auto simp add: start-state-def split: if-split-asm)
apply(drule (1) sees-wf-mdecl)
apply(clarsimp simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
apply(drule WT-expr-locks)
apply(simp add: expr-locks-blocks)
done

```

4.9.3 Preservation of lock state agreement

```

fun upd-expr-lock-action :: int  $\Rightarrow$  lock-action  $\Rightarrow$  int
where
  upd-expr-lock-action i Lock = i + 1
  | upd-expr-lock-action i Unlock = i - 1
  | upd-expr-lock-action i UnlockFail = i
  | upd-expr-lock-action i ReleaseAcquire = i

fun upd-expr-lock-actions :: int  $\Rightarrow$  lock-action list  $\Rightarrow$  int where
  upd-expr-lock-actions n [] = n
  | upd-expr-lock-actions n (L # Ls) = upd-expr-lock-actions (upd-expr-lock-action n L) Ls

lemma upd-expr-lock-actions-append [simp]:

```

upd-expr-lock-actions n (Ls @ Ls') = upd-expr-lock-actions (upd-expr-lock-actions n Ls) Ls'
by(induct Ls arbitrary: n, auto)

definition *upd-expr-locks :: ('l ⇒ int) ⇒ 'l lock-actions ⇒ 'l ⇒ int*
where *upd-expr-locks els las ≡ λl. upd-expr-lock-actions (els l) (las \$ l)*

lemma *upd-expr-locks-iff [simp]:*
upd-expr-locks els las l = upd-expr-lock-actions (els l) (las \$ l)
by(simp add: upd-expr-locks-def)

lemma *upd-expr-lock-action-add [simp]:*
upd-expr-lock-action (l + l') L = upd-expr-lock-action l L + l'
by(cases L, auto)

lemma *upd-expr-lock-actions-add [simp]:*
upd-expr-lock-actions (l + l') Ls = upd-expr-lock-actions l Ls + l'
by(induct Ls arbitrary: l, auto)

lemma *upd-expr-locks-add [simp]:*
upd-expr-locks (λa. x a + y a) las = (λa. upd-expr-locks x las a + y a)
by(auto intro: ext)

lemma *expr-locks-extRet2J [simp, intro!]: expr-locks e = (λad. 0) ⇒ expr-locks (extRet2J e va) = (λad. 0)*
by(cases va) auto

lemma (in J-heap-base)
assumes *wf: wf-J-prog P*
shows *red-update-expr-locks:*
 $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extNTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -\text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{sync-ok } e \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{upd-expr-locks} (\text{int } o \text{ expr-locks } e) \{ \text{ta} \}_l = \text{int } o \text{ expr-locks } e'$
and *reds-update-expr-lockss:*
 $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extNTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-\text{ta}] \rightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{sync-oks } es \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{upd-expr-locks} (\text{int } o \text{ expr-lockss } es) \{ \text{ta} \}_l = \text{int } o \text{ expr-lockss } es'$

proof –
have $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extNTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -\text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{sync-ok } e \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{upd-expr-locks} (\lambda \text{ad. } 0) \{ \text{ta} \}_l = (\lambda \text{ad. } (\text{int } o \text{ expr-locks } e') \text{ ad} - (\text{int } o \text{ expr-locks } e) \text{ ad})$
and $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extNTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-\text{ta}] \rightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{sync-oks } es \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{upd-expr-locks} (\lambda \text{ad. } 0) \{ \text{ta} \}_l = (\lambda \text{ad. } (\text{int } o \text{ expr-lockss } es') \text{ ad} - (\text{int } o \text{ expr-lockss } es) \text{ ad})$

proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
case (*RedCall s a U M Ts T pns body D vs*)
from *wf ‘P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M: Ts → T = [(pns, body)] in D’*
have *wf-mdecl wf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, [(pns, body)])*
by(rule sees-wf-mdecl)
then obtain T where *P, [this → Class D, pns[→] Ts] ⊢ body :: T*
by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
hence *expr-locks body = (λad. 0)* **by(rule WT-expr-locks)**
with *length vs = length pns* *length Ts = length pns*
have *expr-locks (blocks pns Ts vs body) = (λad. 0)*
by(simp add: expr-locks-blocks)
thus ?case by(auto intro: expr-locks-sync-ok)

next
case *RedCallExternal thus ?case*
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff contains-insync-conv contains-insyncs-conv finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)

```

elim!: red-external.cases)
qed(fastforce simp add: fun-eq-iff contains-insync-conv contains-insyncs-conv finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)+
hence [] convert-extTA extNTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ -ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; sync-ok e []
    ==> upd-expr-locks (λad. 0 + (int o expr-locks e) ad) {ta}l = int o expr-locks e'
and [] convert-extTA extNTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [-ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; sync-oks es []
    ==> upd-expr-locks (λad. 0 + (int o expr-lockss es) ad) {ta}l = int o expr-lockss es'
by(auto intro: ext simp only: upd-expr-locks-add)
thus [] convert-extTA extNTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ -ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; sync-ok e []
    ==> upd-expr-locks (int o expr-locks e) {ta}l = int o expr-locks e'
and [] convert-extTA extNTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [-ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; sync-oks es []
    ==> upd-expr-locks (int o expr-lockss es) {ta}l = int o expr-lockss es'
by(auto simp add: o-def)
qed

definition lock-expr-locks-ok :: 't FWState.lock ⇒ 't ⇒ nat ⇒ int ⇒ bool where
lock-expr-locks-ok l t n i ≡ (i = int (has-locks l t) + int n) ∧ i ≥ 0

lemma upd-lock-upd-expr-lock-action-preserve-lock-expr-locks-ok:
assumes lao: lock-action-ok l t L
and lelo: lock-expr-locks-ok l t n i
shows lock-expr-locks-ok (upd-lock l t L) t (upd-threadR n l t L) (upd-expr-lock-action i L)
proof -
from lelo have i: i ≥ 0
and hl: i = int (has-locks l t) + int n
by(auto simp add: lock-expr-locks-ok-def)
from lelo
show ?thesis
proof(cases L)
case Lock
with lao have may-lock l t by(simp)
with hl have has-locks (lock-lock l t) t = (Suc (has-locks l t)) by(auto)
with Lock i hl show ?thesis
by(simp add: lock-expr-locks-ok-def)
next
case Unlock
with lao have has-lock l t by simp
then obtain n'
where hl': has-locks l t = Suc n'
by(auto dest: has-lock-has-locks-Suc)
hence has-locks (unlock-lock l) t = n' by simp
with Unlock i hl hl' show ?thesis
by(simp add: lock-expr-locks-ok-def)
qed(auto simp add: lock-expr-locks-ok-def)
qed

lemma upd-locks-upd-expr-lock-preserve-lock-expr-locks-ok:
[] lock-actions-ok l t Ls; lock-expr-locks-ok l t n i []
==> lock-expr-locks-ok (upd-locks l t Ls) t (upd-threadRs n l t Ls) (upd-expr-lock-actions i Ls)
by(induct Ls arbitrary: l i n)(auto intro: upd-lock-upd-expr-lock-action-preserve-lock-expr-locks-ok)

```

```

definition ls-els-ok :: ('addr,'thread-id) locks ⇒ 'thread-id ⇒ ('addr ⇒ f nat) ⇒ ('addr ⇒ int) ⇒ bool
where
  ∀ln. ls-els-ok ls t ln els ≡ ∀l. lock-expr-locks-ok (ls $ l) t (ln $ l) (els l)

```

```

lemma ls-els-okI:
   $\bigwedge ln. (\bigwedge l. lock\text{-}expr\text{-}locks\text{-}ok (ls \$ l) t (ln \$ l) (els l)) \implies ls\text{-}els\text{-}ok ls t ln els$ 
  by(auto simp add: ls-els-ok-def)

lemma ls-els-okE:
   $\bigwedge ln. [\ [ ls\text{-}els\text{-}ok ls t ln els; \forall l. lock\text{-}expr\text{-}locks\text{-}ok (ls \$ l) t (ln \$ l) (els l) \implies P ] ] \implies P$ 
  by(auto simp add: ls-els-ok-def)

lemma ls-els-okD:
   $\bigwedge ln. ls\text{-}els\text{-}ok ls t ln els \implies lock\text{-}expr\text{-}locks\text{-}ok (ls \$ l) t (ln \$ l) (els l)$ 
  by(auto simp add: ls-els-ok-def)

lemma redT-updLs-upd-expr-locks-preserves-ls-els-ok:
   $\bigwedge ln. [\ [ ls\text{-}els\text{-}ok ls t ln els; lock\text{-}ok\text{-}las ls t las ] ]$ 
   $\implies ls\text{-}els\text{-}ok (redT\text{-}updLs ls t las) t (redT\text{-}updLns ls t ln las) (upd\text{-}expr\text{-}locks els las)$ 
  by(auto intro!: ls-els-okI upd-locks-upd-expr-lock-preserve-lock-expr-locks-ok elim!: ls-els-okE simp add: redT-updLs-def lock-ok-las-def)

lemma sync-ok-redT-updT:
  assumes sync-es-ok ts h
  and nt:  $\bigwedge t e x h''. ta = NewThread t (e, x) h'' \implies sync\text{-}ok e$ 
  shows sync-es-ok (redT-updT ts ta) h'
  using assms
  proof(cases ta)
    case (NewThread T x m)
    obtain E X where [simp]:  $x = (E, X)$  by (cases x, auto)
    with NewThread have sync-ok E by(simp)(rule nt)
    with NewThread ⟨sync-es-ok ts h⟩ show ?thesis
      apply –
      apply(rule ts-okI)
      apply(case-tac t=T)
      by(auto dest: ts-okD)
  qed(auto intro: ts-okI dest: ts-okD)

lemma sync-ok-redT-updTs:
   $[\ [ sync\text{-}es\text{-}ok ts h; \bigwedge t e x h. NewThread t (e, x) h \in set tas \implies sync\text{-}ok e ] ]$ 
   $\implies sync\text{-}es\text{-}ok (redT\text{-}updTs ts tas) h'$ 
  proof(induct tas arbitrary: ts)
    case Nil thus ?case by(auto intro: ts-okI dest: ts-okD)
  next
    case (Cons TA TAS TS)
    note IH = ⟨⟨ts. [sync-es-ok ts h;  $\bigwedge t e x h''. NewThread t (e, x) h'' \in set TAS \implies sync\text{-}ok e$ ] ⟩⟩
     $\implies sync\text{-}es\text{-}ok (redT\text{-}updTs ts TAS) h'$ 
    note nt = ⟨ $\bigwedge t e x h. NewThread t (e, x) h \in set (TA \# TAS) \implies sync\text{-}ok e$ ⟩
    from ⟨sync-es-ok TS h⟩ nt
    have sync-es-ok (redT-updT TS TA) h
    by(auto elim!: sync-ok-redT-updT)
    hence sync-es-ok (redT-updT (redT-updT TS TA) TAS) h'
    by(rule IH)(auto intro: nt)
    thus ?case by simp
  qed

```

lemma *lock-ok-thr-updI*:
 $\wedge \ln. [\ lock\text{-}ok\ ls\ ts; ts\ t = \lfloor((e, xs), ln)\rfloor; expr\text{-}locks\ e = expr\text{-}locks\ e']$
 $\implies lock\text{-}ok\ ls\ (ts(t \mapsto ((e', xs'), ln)))$
by(rule *lock-okI*)(auto split: if-split-asm dest: *lock-okD2* *lock-okD1*)

context *J-heap-base* **begin**

lemma *redT-preserves-lock-ok*:

assumes *wf*: wf-J-prog *P*
and *P* $\vdash s - t \triangleright ta \rightarrow s'$
and *lock-ok* (*locks s*) (*thr s*)
and *sync-es-ok* (*thr s*) (*shr s*)
shows *lock-ok* (*locks s'*) (*thr s'*)

proof –

obtain *ls ts h ws is* **where** *s* [*simp*]: *s* = (*ls*, (*ts*, *h*), *ws*, *is*) **by**(cases *s*) fastforce
obtain *ls' ts' h' ws' is'* **where** *s'* [*simp*]: *s'* = (*ls'*, (*ts'*, *h'*), *ws'*, *is'*) **by**(cases *s'*) fastforce
from *assms* **have** *redT*: *P* $\vdash (ls, (ts, h), ws, is) - t \triangleright ta \rightarrow (ls', (ts', h'), ws', is')$

and *loes*: *lock-ok ls ts*

and *aoes*: *sync-es-ok ts h* **by** auto

from *redT* **have** *lock-ok ls' ts'*

proof(cases rule: *red-mthr.redT-elims*)

case (*normal a a' m'*)

moreover obtain *e x* **where** *a* = (*e, x*) **by** (cases *a, auto*)

moreover obtain *e' x'* **where** *a' = (e', x')* **by** (cases *a', auto*)

ultimately have *P*: *P, t* $\vdash \langle e, (h, x) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', (m', x') \rangle$

and *est*: *ts t = \lfloor((e, x), no-wait-locks)\rfloor*

and *lota*: *lock-ok-las ls t \{ta\}_l*

and *cctta*: *thread-oks ts \{ta\}_t*

and *ls': ls' = redT-updLs ls t \{ta\}_l*

and *s': ts' = (redT-updTs ts \{ta\}_t)(t \mapsto ((e', x'), redT-updLns ls t no-wait-locks \{ta\}_l))*

by auto

let *?ts' = (redT-updTs ts \{ta\}_t)(t \mapsto ((e', x'), redT-updLns ls t no-wait-locks \{ta\}_l))*

from *est aoes* **have** *aoe: sync-ok e* **by**(auto dest: *ts-okD*)

from *aoe P* **have** *aoe': sync-ok e'* **by**(auto dest: *red-preserve-sync-ok[OF wf]*)

from *aoes red-new-thread-sync-ok[OF wf P]*

have *sync-es-ok (redT-updTs ts \{ta\}_t) h'*

by(rule *sync-ok-redT-updT*)

with *aoe' have aoes': sync-es-ok ?ts' m'*

by(auto intro!: *ts-okI* dest: *ts-okD* split: if-split-asm)

have *lock-ok ls' ?ts'*

proof(rule *lock-okI*)

fix *t'' l*

assume *?ts' t'' = None*

hence *ts t'' = None*

by(auto split: if-split-asm intro: *redT-updTs-None*)

with *loes have has-locks (ls \\$ l) t'' = 0*

by(auto dest: *lock-okD1*)

moreover from *\{?ts' t'' = None\}*

have *t ≠ t''* **by**(simp split: if-split-asm)

ultimately show *has-locks (ls' \\$ l) t'' = 0*

by(simp add: *red-mthr.redT-has-locks-inv[OF redT]*)

next

fix *t'' e'' x'' l ln''*

assume *ts't'': ?ts' t'' = \lfloor((e'', x''), ln'')\rfloor*

```

with aoes' have aoe'': sync-ok e'' by(auto dest: ts-okD)
show has-locks (ls' $ l) t'' + ln'' $ l = expr-locks e'' l
proof(cases t = t'')
  case True
  note tt'' = <t = t''>
  with ts't'' have e'': e'' = e' and x'': x'' = x'
    and ln'': ln'' = redT-updLns ls t no-wait-locks {ta}l by auto
  have ls-els-ok ls t no-wait-locks (int o expr-locks e)
  proof(rule ls-els-okI)
    fix l
    note lock-okD2[OF loes, OF est]
    thus lock-expr-locks-ok (ls $ l) t (no-wait-locks $ l) ((int o expr-locks e) l)
      by(simp add: lock-expr-locks-ok-def)
    qed
    hence ls-els-ok (redT-updLs ls t {ta}l) t (redT-updLns ls t no-wait-locks {ta}l) (upd-expr-locks
(int o expr-locks e) {ta}l)
      by(rule redT-updLs-upd-expr-locks-preserves-ls-els-ok[OF - lota])
    hence ls-els-ok (redT-updLs ls t {ta}l) t (redT-updLns ls t no-wait-locks {ta}l) (int o expr-locks
e')
      by(simp only: red-update-expr-locks[OF wf P aoe])
    thus ?thesis using ls' e'' tt'' ln''
      by(auto dest: ls-els-okD[where l = l] simp: lock-expr-locks-ok-def)
  next
    case False
    note tt'' = <t ≠ t''>
    from lota have lao: lock-actions-ok (ls $ l) t ({ta}l $ l)
      by(simp add: lock-ok-las-def)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases ts t'')
      case None
      with est ts't'' tt'' cctta
      obtain m where NewThread t'' (e'', x'') m ∈ set {ta}t and ln'': ln'' = no-wait-locks
        by(auto dest: redT-updTs-new-thread)
      moreover with P have m' = m by(auto dest: red-new-thread-heap)
      ultimately have NewThread t'' (e'', x'') m' ∈ set {ta}t by simp
      with wf P ln'' have expr-locks e'' = (λad. 0)
        by -(rule expr-locks-new-thread)
      hence elel: expr-locks e'' l = 0 by simp
      from loes None have has-locks (ls $ l) t'' = 0
        by(auto dest: lock-okD1)
      moreover note lock-actions-ok-has-locks-upd-locks-eq-has-locks[OF lao tt''[symmetric]]
      ultimately have has-locks (redT-updLs ls t {ta}l $ l) t'' = 0
        by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff)
      with elel ls' ln'' show ?thesis by(auto)
  next
    case (Some a)
    then obtain E X LN where est'': ts t'' = ⌊((E, X), LN)⌋ by(cases a, auto)
    with loes have IH: has-locks (ls $ l) t'' + LN $ l = expr-locks E l
      by(auto dest: lock-okD2)
    from est est'' tt'' cctta have ?ts' t'' = ⌊((E, X), LN)⌋
      by(simp)(rule redT-updTs-Some, simp-all)
    with ts't'' have e'': E = e'' and x'': X = x''
      and ln'': ln'' = LN by(simp-all)
    with lock-actions-ok-has-locks-upd-locks-eq-has-locks[OF lao tt''[symmetric]] IH ls'

```

```

show ?thesis by(clarsimp simp add: redT-updLs-def fun-eq-iff)
qed
qed
qed
with s' show ?thesis by simp
next
case (acquire a ln n)
hence [simp]: ta = (K[],[],[],[],[], convert-RA ln) ws' = ws h' = h
  and ls': ls' = acquire-all ls t ln
  and ts': ts' = ts(t ↦ (a, no-wait-locks))
  and ts t = [(a, ln)]
  and may-acquire-all ls t ln
  by auto
obtain e x where [simp]: a = (e, x) by (cases a, auto)
from ts' have ts': ts' = ts(t ↦ ((e, x), no-wait-locks)) by simp
from `ts t = [(a, ln)]` have tst: ts t = [((e, x), ln)] by simp
show ?thesis
proof(rule lock-okI)
fix t'' l
assume rtutes: ts' t'' = None
with ts' have tst'': ts t'' = None
  by(simp split: if-split-asm)
with tst have tt'': t ≠ t'' by auto
from `tst'' loes have has-locks (ls $ l) t'' = 0
  by(auto dest: lock-okD1)
thus has-locks (ls' $ l) t'' = 0
  by(simp add: red-mthr.redT-has-locks-inv[OF redT tt''])
next
fix t'' e'' x'' ln'' l
assume ts't'': ts' t'' = [((e'', x''), ln'')]
show has-locks (ls' $ l) t'' + ln'' $ l = expr-locks e'' l
proof(cases t = t'')
  case True
  note [simp] = this
  with ts't'' ts' tst
  have [simp]: ln'' = no-wait-locks e = e'' by auto
  from `tst loes have has-locks (ls $ l) t + ln $ l = expr-locks e l
    by(auto dest: lock-okD2)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases ln $ l > 0)
    case True
    with `may-acquire-all ls t ln` ls' have may-lock (ls $ l) t
      by(auto elim: may-acquire-allE)
    with ls'
    have has-locks (ls' $ l) t = has-locks (ls $ l) t + ln $ l
      by(simp add: has-locks-acquire-locks-conv)
    with `has-locks (ls $ l) t + ln $ l = expr-locks e l`
    show ?thesis by(simp)
  next
    case False
    hence ln $ l = 0 by simp
    with ls' have has-locks (ls' $ l) t = has-locks (ls $ l) t
      by(simp)
    with `has-locks (ls $ l) t + ln $ l = expr-locks e l` `ln $ l = 0`

```

```

show ?thesis by(simp)
qed
next
case False
with ts' ts't'' have tst'': ts t'' = ⌊((e'', x''), ln'')⌋ by(simp)
with loes have has-locks (ls $ l) t'' + ln'' $ l = expr-locks e'' l
  by(auto dest: lock-okD2)
show ?thesis
proof(cases ln $ l > 0)
  case False
  with ‹t ≠ t''› ls'
    have has-locks (ls' $ l) t'' = has-locks (ls $ l) t'' by(simp)
    with ‹has-locks (ls $ l) t'' + ln'' $ l = expr-locks e'' l›
      show ?thesis by(simp)
next
  case True
  with ‹may-acquire-all ls t ln› have may-lock (ls $ l) t
    by(auto elim: may-acquire-allE)
  with ls' ‹t ≠ t''› have has-locks (ls' $ l) t'' = has-locks (ls $ l) t''
    by(simp add: has-locks-acquire-locks-conv)
  with ls' ‹has-locks (ls $ l) t'' + ln'' $ l = expr-locks e'' l›
    show ?thesis by(simp)
qed
qed
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma invariant3p-sync-es-ok-lock-ok:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
shows invariant3p (mredT P) {s. sync-es-ok (thr s) (shr s) ∧ lock-ok (locks s) (thr s)}
apply(rule invariant3pI)
apply clarify
apply(rule conjI)
apply(rule lifting-wf.redT-preserves[OF lifting-wf-sync-ok[OF wf]], blast)
apply(assumption)
apply(erule (2) redT-preserves-lock-ok[OF wf])
done

lemma RedT-preserves-lock-ok:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
and Red: P ⊢ s → ttas→* s'
and ae: sync-es-ok (thr s) (shr s)
and loes: lock-ok (locks s) (thr s)
shows lock-ok (locks s') (thr s')
using invariant3p-rtrancl3p[OF invariant3p-sync-es-ok-lock-ok[OF wf] Red[unfolded red-mthr.RedT-def]]
ae loes
by simp

end

```

4.9.4 Determinism

context *J-heap-base begin*

lemma

fixes *final*
assumes *det: deterministic-heap-ops*
shows *red-deterministic*:
 $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, (\text{shr } s, xs) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle;$
 $\text{convert-extTA extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, (\text{shr } s, xs) \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle e'', s'' \rangle;$
 $\text{final-thread.actions-ok final } s \ t \ ta; \text{final-thread.actions-ok final } s \ t \ ta' \rrbracket$
 $\implies ta = ta' \wedge e' = e'' \wedge s' = s''$

and *reds-deterministic*:

$\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, (\text{shr } s, xs) \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle;$
 $\text{convert-extTA extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, (\text{shr } s, xs) \rangle [-ta' \rightarrow] \langle es'', s'' \rangle;$
 $\text{final-thread.actions-ok final } s \ t \ ta; \text{final-thread.actions-ok final } s \ t \ ta' \rrbracket$
 $\implies ta = ta' \wedge es' = es'' \wedge s' = s''$

proof(*induct e (shr s, xs) ta e' s' and es (shr s, xs) ta es' s' arbitrary: e'' s'' xs and es'' s'' xs rule: red-reds.inducts)*

case *RedNew*

thus ?case **by**(*auto elim!: red-cases dest: deterministic-heap-ops-allocateD[OF det]*)

next

case *RedNewArray*

thus ?case **by**(*auto elim!: red-cases dest: deterministic-heap-ops-allocateD[OF det]*)

next

case *RedCall* **thus** ?case

by(*auto elim!: red-cases dest: sees-method-fun simp add: map-eq-append-conv*)

next

case *RedCallExternal* **thus** ?case

by(*auto elim!: red-cases dest: red-external-deterministic[OF det] simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff map-eq-append-conv dest: sees-method-fun*)

next

case *RedCallNull* **thus** ?case **by**(*auto elim!: red-cases dest: sees-method-fun simp add: map-eq-append-conv*)

next

case *CallThrowParams* **thus** ?case

by(*auto elim!: red-cases dest: sees-method-fun simp add: map-eq-append-conv append-eq-map-conv append-eq-append-conv2 reds-map-Val-Throw Cons-eq-append-conv append-eq-Cons-conv*)

qed(*fastforce elim!: red-cases reds-cases dest: deterministic-heap-ops-readD[OF det] deterministic-heap-ops-writeD[OF det] iff: reds-map-Val-Throw*) +

lemma *red-mthr-deterministic*:

assumes *det: deterministic-heap-ops*
shows *red-mthr.deterministic P UNIV*

proof(*rule red-mthr.deterministicI*)

fix *s t x ta' x' m' ta'' x'' m''*

assume *thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋*

and *red: mred P t (x, shr s) ta' (x', m') mred P t (x, shr s) ta'' (x'', m'')*
and *aok: red-mthr.actions-ok s t ta' red-mthr.actions-ok s t ta''*

moreover obtain *e xs where [simp]: x = (e, xs)* **by**(*cases x*)

moreover obtain *e' xs' where [simp]: x' = (e', xs')* **by**(*cases x'*)

moreover obtain *e'' xs'' where [simp]: x'' = (e'', xs'')* **by**(*cases x''*)

ultimately have *extTA2J P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (shr s, xs)⟩ - ta' → ⟨e', (m', xs')⟩*

and *extTA2J P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (shr s, xs)⟩ - ta'' → ⟨e'', (m'', xs'')⟩*

by *simp-all*

```

from red-deterministic[OF det this aok]
show ta' = ta'' ∧ x' = x'' ∧ m' = m'' by simp
qed simp

end

end

```

4.10 Runtime Well-typedness

```

theory WellTypeRT
imports
  WellType
  JHeap
begin

context J-heap-base begin

inductive WTrt :: 'addr J-prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ env ⇒ 'addr expr ⇒ ty ⇒ bool
  and WTrts :: 'addr J-prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ env ⇒ 'addr expr list ⇒ ty list ⇒ bool
  for P :: 'addr J-prog and h :: 'heap
  where

WTrtNew:
  is-class P C ⇒ WTrt P h E (new C) (Class C)

| WTrtNewArray:
  [ WTrt P h E e Integer; is-type P (T[]) ]
  ⇒ WTrt P h E (newA T[e]) (T[])

| WTrtCast:
  [ WTrt P h E e T; is-type P U ] ⇒ WTrt P h E (Cast U e) U

| WTrtInstanceOf:
  [ WTrt P h E e T; is-type P U ] ⇒ WTrt P h E (e instanceof U) Boolean

| WTrtVal:
  typeofh v = Some T ⇒ WTrt P h E (Val v) T

| WTrtVar:
  E V = Some T ⇒ WTrt P h E (Var V) T

| WTrtBinOp:
  [ WTrt P h E e1 T1; WTrt P h E e2 T2; P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T ]
  ⇒ WTrt P h E (e1 «bop» e2) T

| WTrtLAss:
  [ E V = Some T; WTrt P h E e T'; P ⊢ T' ≤ T ]
  ⇒ WTrt P h E (V:=e) Void

| WTrtAcc:
  [ WTrt P h E a (T[]); WTrt P h E i Integer ]
  ⇒ WTrt P h E (a[i]) T

```

- | $WTrtAccNT:$
 $\llbracket WTrt P h E a NT; WTrt P h E i Integer \rrbracket$
 $\implies WTrt P h E (a[i]) T$
- | $WTrtAAss:$
 $\llbracket WTrt P h E a (T[]); WTrt P h E i Integer; WTrt P h E e T' \rrbracket$
 $\implies WTrt P h E (a[i] := e) Void$
- | $WTrtAAssNT:$
 $\llbracket WTrt P h E a NT; WTrt P h E i Integer; WTrt P h E e T' \rrbracket$
 $\implies WTrt P h E (a[i] := e) Void$
- | $WTrtALength:$
 $WTrt P h E a (T[]) \implies WTrt P h E (a.length) Integer$
- | $WTrtALengthNT:$
 $WTrt P h E a NT \implies WTrt P h E (a.length) T$
- | $WTrtFAcc:$
 $\llbracket WTrt P h E e U; class-type-of' U = [C]; P \vdash C has F:T (fm) in D \rrbracket \implies$
 $WTrt P h E (e.F\{D\}) T$
- | $WTrtFAccNT:$
 $WTrt P h E e NT \implies WTrt P h E (e.F\{D\}) T$
- | $WTrtFAss:$
 $\vdash T2 \leq T \llbracket WTrt P h E e1 U; class-type-of' U = [C]; P \vdash C has F:T (fm) in D; WTrt P h E e2 T2; P \vdash T2 \leq T \rrbracket$
 $\implies WTrt P h E (e1.F\{D\} := e2) Void$
- | $WTrtFAssNT:$
 $\llbracket WTrt P h E e1 NT; WTrt P h E e2 T2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies WTrt P h E (e1.F\{D\} := e2) Void$
- | $WTrtCAS:$
 $\llbracket WTrt P h E e1 U; class-type-of' U = [C]; P \vdash C has F:T (fm) in D; volatile fm;$
 $WTrt P h E e2 T2; P \vdash T2 \leq T; WTrt P h E e3 T3; P \vdash T3 \leq T \rrbracket$
 $\implies WTrt P h E (e1.compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3)) Boolean$
- | $WTrtCASNT:$
 $\llbracket WTrt P h E e1 NT; WTrt P h E e2 T2; WTrt P h E e3 T3 \rrbracket$
 $\implies WTrt P h E (e1.compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3)) Boolean$
- | $WTrtCall:$
 $\llbracket WTrt P h E e U; class-type-of' U = [C]; P \vdash C sees M:Ts \rightarrow T = meth in D;$
 $WTrts P h E es Ts'; P \vdash Ts' [\leq] Ts \rrbracket$
 $\implies WTrt P h E (e.M(es)) T$
- | $WTrtCallNT:$
 $\llbracket WTrt P h E e NT; WTrts P h E es Ts \rrbracket$
 $\implies WTrt P h E (e.M(es)) T$
- | $WTrtBlock:$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq_T \llbracket WTrt P h (E(V \mapsto T)) e T'; \text{case } vo \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid [v] \Rightarrow \exists T'. \text{typeof}_h v = [T'] \wedge P \vdash T' \\
& \implies WTrt P h E \{V:T=vo; e\} T' \\
| \quad & WTrtSynchronized: \\
& \llbracket WTrt P h E o' T; \text{is-ref} T; WTrt P h E e T' \rrbracket \\
& \implies WTrt P h E (\text{sync}(o') e) T' \\
| \quad & WTrtInSynchronized: \\
& \llbracket WTrt P h E (\text{addr } a) T; WTrt P h E e T' \rrbracket \\
& \implies WTrt P h E (\text{insync}(a) e) T' \\
| \quad & WTrtSeq: \\
& \llbracket WTrt P h E e1 T1; WTrt P h E e2 T2 \rrbracket \\
& \implies WTrt P h E (e1; e2) T2 \\
| \quad & WTrtCond: \\
& \llbracket WTrt P h E e \text{ Boolean}; WTrt P h E e1 T1; WTrt P h E e2 T2; P \vdash \text{lub}(T1, T2) = T \rrbracket \\
& \implies WTrt P h E (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) T \\
| \quad & WTrtWhile: \\
& \llbracket WTrt P h E e \text{ Boolean}; WTrt P h E c T \rrbracket \\
& \implies WTrt P h E (\text{while}(e) c) \text{ Void} \\
| \quad & WTrtThrow: \\
& \llbracket WTrt P h E e T; P \vdash T \leq \text{Class Throw} \rrbracket \\
& \implies WTrt P h E (\text{throw } e) T' \\
| \quad & WTrtTry: \\
& \llbracket WTrt P h E e1 T1; WTrt P h (E(V \mapsto \text{Class } C)) e2 T2; P \vdash T1 \leq T2 \rrbracket \\
& \implies WTrt P h E (\text{try } e1 \text{ catch}(C V) e2) T2 \\
| \quad & WTrtNil: WTrts P h E [] [] \\
| \quad & WTrtCons: \llbracket WTrt P h E e T; WTrts P h E es Ts \rrbracket \implies WTrts P h E (e \# es) (T \# Ts)
\end{aligned}$$

abbreviation

WTrt-syntax :: 'addr J-prog \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow bool $(-, -, - \vdash - : -)$ [51,51,51]50
where

$P, E, h \vdash e : T \equiv WTrt P h E e T$

abbreviation

WTrts-syntax :: 'addr J-prog \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow 'addr expr list \Rightarrow ty list \Rightarrow bool $(-, -, - \vdash - : [-])$ [51,51,51]50
where

$P, E, h \vdash es [:] Ts \equiv WTrts P h E es Ts$

lemmas [*intro!*] =

WTrtNew *WTrtNewArray* *WTrtCast* *WTrtInstanceOf* *WTrtVal* *WTrtVar* *WTrtBinOp* *WTrtLAss*
WTrtBlock *WTrtSynchronized* *WTrtInSynchronized* *WTrtSeq* *WTrtCond* *WTrtWhile*
WTrtThrow *WTrtTry* *WTrtNil* *WTrtCons*

lemmas [*intro*] =

WTrtFAcc *WTrtFAccNT* *WTrtFAss* *WTrtFAssNT* *WTrtCall* *WTrtCallNT*

$WTrtAcc$ $WTrtAccNT$ $WTrtAAss$ $WTrtAAssNT$ $WTrtALength$ $WTrtALengthNT$

4.10.1 Easy consequences

inductive-simps $WTrts\text{-}iffs$ [iff]:

$$\begin{aligned} P,E,h \vdash [] [:] Ts \\ P,E,h \vdash e\#es [:] T\#Ts \\ P,E,h \vdash (e\#es) [:] Ts \end{aligned}$$

lemma $WTrts\text{-conv-list-all2}$: $P,E,h \vdash es [:] Ts = list\text{-}all2 (WTrt P h E) es Ts$
by(*induct es arbitrary*: Ts)(*auto simp add*: $list\text{-}all2\text{-}Cons1$ *elim*: $WTrts.cases$)

lemma [simp]: $(P,E,h \vdash es_1 @ es_2 [:] Ts) =$

$$(\exists Ts_1 Ts_2. Ts = Ts_1 @ Ts_2 \wedge P,E,h \vdash es_1 [:] Ts_1 \& P,E,h \vdash es_2 [:] Ts_2)$$

by(*auto simp add*: $WTrts\text{-conv-list-all2}$ $list\text{-}all2\text{-}append1$ *dest*: $list\text{-}all2\text{-}lengthD[symmetric]$)

inductive-simps $WTrt\text{-}iffs$ [iff]:

$$\begin{aligned} P,E,h \vdash Val v : T \\ P,E,h \vdash Var v : T \\ P,E,h \vdash e_1;e_2 : T_2 \\ P,E,h \vdash \{V:T=vo; e\} : T' \end{aligned}$$

inductive-cases $WTrt\text{-elim-cases}[elim!]$:

$$\begin{aligned} P,E,h \vdash newA T[i] : U \\ P,E,h \vdash v := e : T \\ P,E,h \vdash if (e) e_1 \text{ else } e_2 : T \\ P,E,h \vdash while(e) c : T \\ P,E,h \vdash throw e : T \\ P,E,h \vdash try e_1 catch(C V) e_2 : T \\ P,E,h \vdash Cast D e : T \\ P,E,h \vdash e \text{ instanceof } U : T \\ P,E,h \vdash a[i] : T \\ P,E,h \vdash a[i] := e : T \\ P,E,h \vdash a.length : T \\ P,E,h \vdash e.F\{D\} : T \\ P,E,h \vdash e.F\{D\} := v : T \\ P,E,h \vdash e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3) : T \\ P,E,h \vdash e_1 \llbracket bop \rrbracket e_2 : T \\ P,E,h \vdash new C : T \\ P,E,h \vdash e.M(es) : T \\ P,E,h \vdash sync(o') e : T \\ P,E,h \vdash insync(a) e : T \end{aligned}$$

4.10.2 Some interesting lemmas

lemma $WTrts\text{-Val}[simp]$:

$P,E,h \vdash map Val vs [:] Ts \longleftrightarrow map (typeof_h) vs = map Some Ts$
by(*induct vs arbitrary*: Ts) *auto*

lemma $WTrt\text{-env-mono}$: $P,E,h \vdash e : T \implies (\bigwedge E'. E \subseteq_m E' \implies P,E',h \vdash e : T)$

and $WTrts\text{-env-mono}$: $P,E,h \vdash es [:] Ts \implies (\bigwedge E'. E \subseteq_m E' \implies P,E',h \vdash es [:] Ts)$

apply(*induct rule*: $WTrt\text{-}WTrts.inducts$)

apply(*simp add*: $WTrtNew$)

apply(*fastforce simp*: $WTrtNewArray$)

```

apply(fastforce simp: WTrtCast)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtInstanceOf)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtVal)
apply(simp add: WTrtVar map-le-def dom-def)
apply(fastforce simp add: WTrtBinOp)
apply(force simp: map-le-def)
apply(force simp: WTrtAcc)
apply(force simp: WTrtAccNT)
apply(rule WTrtAAss, fastforce, blast, blast)
apply(fastforce)
apply(rule WTrtALength, blast)
apply(blast)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtFAcc)
apply(simp add: WTrtFAccNT)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtFAss)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtFAssNT)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtCAS)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtCASNT)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtCall)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtCallNT)
apply(fastforce simp: map-le-def)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtSeq)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtCond)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtWhile)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtThrow)
apply(auto simp: WTrtTry map-le-def dom-def)
done

lemma WT-implies-WTrt: P,E ⊢ e :: T ==> P,E,h ⊢ e : T
  and WTs-implies-WTrts: P,E ⊢ es [:] Ts ==> P,E,h ⊢ es [:] Ts
apply(induct rule: WT-WTs.inducts)
apply fast
apply fast
apply fast
apply fast
apply(fastforce dest:typeof-lit-typeof)
apply(simp)
apply(fastforce intro: WT-binop-WTrt-binop)
apply(fastforce)
apply(erule WTrtAcc)
apply(assumption)
apply(erule WTrtAAss)
apply(assumption)+
apply(erule WTrtALength)
apply(fastforce intro: has-visible-field)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtFAss dest: has-visible-field)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtCAS dest: has-visible-field)
apply(fastforce simp: WTrtCall)
apply(clarsimp simp del: fun-upd-apply, blast intro: typeof-lit-typeof)
apply(fastforce)+
```

done

lemma *wt-blocks*:

```

 $\bigwedge E. \llbracket \text{length } Vs = \text{length } Ts; \text{length } vs = \text{length } Ts \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $(P, E, h \vdash \text{blocks } Vs \ Ts \ vs \ e : T) =$ 
 $(P, E(Vs[\mapsto] Ts), h \vdash e : T \wedge (\exists Ts'. \text{map}(\text{typeof}_h) \ vs = \text{map Some } Ts' \wedge P \vdash Ts' [\leq] Ts))$ 
apply(induct Vs Ts vs e rule:blocks.induct)
apply (force)
apply simp-all
done

```

end

context *J-heap* **begin**

```

lemma WTrt-hext-mono:  $P, E, h \vdash e : T \implies h \trianglelefteq h' \implies P, E, h' \vdash e : T$ 
    and WTrts-hext-mono:  $P, E, h \vdash es[:] Ts \implies h \trianglelefteq h' \implies P, E, h' \vdash es[:] Ts$ 
apply(induct rule: WTrt-WTrts.inducts)
apply (simp add: WTrtNew)
apply (fastforce simp: WTrtNewArray)
apply (fastforce simp: WTrtCast)
apply (fastforce simp: WTrtInstanceOf)
apply (fastforce simp: WTrtVal dest:hext-typeof-mono)
apply (simp add: WTrtVar)
apply (fastforce simp add: WTrtBinOp)
apply (fastforce simp add: WTrtLAss)
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply fastforce
apply (fastforce simp add: WTrtFAccNT)
apply (fastforce simp: WTrtFAss del:WTrt-WTrts.intros WTrt-elim-cases)
apply (fastforce simp: WTrtFAssNT)
apply (fastforce simp: WTrtCAS)
apply (fastforce simp: WTrtCASNT)
apply (fastforce simp: WTrtCall)
apply (fastforce simp: WTrtCallNT)
apply (fastforce intro: hext-typeof-mono)
apply fastforce+
done

```

end

end

4.11 Progress of Small Step Semantics

```

theory Progress
imports
    WellTypeRT

```

```

DefAss
SmallStep
..Common/ExternalCallWF
WWellForm
begin

context J-heap begin

lemma final-addrE [consumes 3, case-names addr Throw]:
   $\llbracket P, E, h \vdash e : T; \text{class-type-of}' T = \lfloor U \rfloor; \text{final } e;$ 
   $\wedge a. e = \text{addr } a \implies R;$ 
   $\wedge a. e = \text{Throw } a \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$ 
apply(auto elim!: final.cases)
apply(case-tac v)
apply auto
done

lemma finalRefE [consumes 3, case-names null Class Array Throw]:
   $\llbracket P, E, h \vdash e : T; \text{is-refT } T; \text{final } e;$ 
   $e = \text{null} \implies R;$ 
   $\wedge a. C. \llbracket e = \text{addr } a; T = \text{Class } C \rrbracket \implies R;$ 
   $\wedge a. U. \llbracket e = \text{addr } a; T = U[\ ] \rrbracket \implies R;$ 
   $\wedge a. e = \text{Throw } a \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$ 
apply(auto simp:final-iff)
apply(case-tac v)
apply(auto elim!: is-refT.cases)
done

end

theorem (in J-progress) red-progress:
  assumes wf: wwf-J-prog P and hconf: hconf h
  shows progress:  $\llbracket P, E, h \vdash e : T; \mathcal{D} e \lfloor \text{dom } l \rfloor; \neg \text{final } e \rrbracket \implies \exists e' s' ta. \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, l) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
  and progresss:  $\llbracket P, E, h \vdash es [:] Ts; \mathcal{D}s es \lfloor \text{dom } l \rfloor; \neg \text{finals } es \rrbracket \implies \exists es' s' ta. \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, (h, l) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle$ 
proof (induct arbitrary: l and l rule: WTrt-WTrts.inducts)
  case (WTrtNew C)
  thus ?case using WTrtNew
    by(cases allocate h (Class-type C) = {}) (fastforce intro: RedNewFail RedNew)+
next
  case (WTrtNewArray E e T l)
  have IH:  $\llbracket \mathcal{D} e \lfloor \text{dom } l \rfloor; \neg \text{final } e \rrbracket \implies \exists e' s' tas. \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, l) \rangle - tas \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
  and D:  $\mathcal{D} (\text{newA } T[e]) \lfloor \text{dom } l \rfloor$ 
  and ei:  $P, E, h \vdash e : \text{Integer}$  by fact+
  from D have De:  $\mathcal{D} e \lfloor \text{dom } l \rfloor$  by auto
  show ?case
  proof cases
    assume final e
    thus ?thesis
    proof (rule finalE)
      fix v
      assume e [simp]: e = Val v
      with ei have typeof_h v = Some Integer by fastforce

```

```

hence exei:  $\exists i. v = \text{Intg } i$  by fastforce
then obtain i where  $v = \text{Intg } i$  by blast
thus ?thesis
proof (cases  $0 \leq s i$ )
  case True
  thus ?thesis using True  $\langle v = \text{Intg } i \rangle$  WTrtNewArray.prem
    by(cases allocate h (Array-type T (nat (sint i))) = {}) (auto simp del: split-paired-Ex intro:
RedNewArrayFail RedNewArray)
  next
    assume  $\neg 0 \leq s i$ 
    hence  $i < s 0$  by simp
    then have extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨newA T[Val(Intg i)],(h, l)⟩  $\rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NegativeArraySize},(h, l) \rangle$ 
      by – (rule RedNewArrayNegative, auto)
    with e v show ?thesis by blast
  qed
next
fix exa
assume e:  $e = \text{Throw } exa$ 
then have extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨newA T[Throw exa],(h, l)⟩  $\rightarrow \langle \text{Throw } exa,(h, l) \rangle$ 
  by – (rule NewArrayThrow)
with e show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
assume  $\neg \text{final } e$ 
with IH De have exes:  $\exists e' s' ta. \text{extTA},P,t \vdash \langle e,(h, l) \rangle \rightarrow \langle e',s' \rangle$  by simp
then obtain e' s' ta where extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, l)⟩  $\rightarrow \langle e',s' \rangle$  by blast
hence extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨newA T[e],(h, l)⟩  $\rightarrow \langle newA T[e'],s' \rangle$  by – (rule NewArrayRed)
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
next
case (WTrtCast E e T U l)
have wte: P, E, h ⊢ e : T
and IH:  $\bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D} e \mid \text{dom } l]; \neg \text{final } e \Rightarrow \exists e' s' tas. \text{extTA},P,t \vdash \langle e,(h,l) \rangle \rightarrow \langle e',s' \rangle$ 
and D:  $\mathcal{D} (\text{Cast } U e) \mid \text{dom } l$  by fact+
from D have De:  $\mathcal{D} e \mid \text{dom } l$  by auto
show ?case
proof (cases final e)
  assume final e
  thus ?thesis
  proof (rule finalE)
    fix v
    assume ev:  $e = \text{Val } v$ 
    with WTrtCast obtain V where thvU:  $\text{typeof}_h v = \lfloor V \rfloor$  by fastforce
    thus ?thesis
    proof (cases  $P \vdash V \leq U$ )
      assume  $P \vdash V \leq U$ 
      with thvU have extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨Cast U (Val v),(h, l)⟩  $\rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v,(h,l) \rangle$ 
        by – (rule RedCast, auto)
      with ev show ?thesis by blast
    next
      assume  $\neg P \vdash V \leq U$ 
      with thvU have extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨Cast U (Val v),(h, l)⟩  $\rightarrow \langle \text{THROW ClassCast},(h,l) \rangle$ 
        by – (rule RedCastFail, auto)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

    with ev show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
fix a
assume e = Throw a
thus ?thesis by(blast intro!:CastThrow)
qed
next
assume nf: ¬ final e
from IH[OF De nf] show ?thesis by (blast intro!:CastRed)
qed
next
case (WTrtInstanceOf E e T U l)
have wte: P,E,h ⊢ e : T
and IH: ∀l. [D e | dom l]; ¬ final e]
  ⇒ ∃e' s' tas. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h,l)⟩ −tas→ ⟨e',s'⟩
and D: D (e instanceof U) | dom l] by fact+
from D have De: D e | dom l] by auto
show ?case
proof (cases final e)
assume final e
thus ?thesis
proof (rule finalE)
fix v
assume ev: e = Val v
with WTrtInstanceOf obtain V where thvU: typeofh v = | V | by fastforce
hence extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨(Val v) instanceof U,(h, l)⟩ −ε→ ⟨Val (Bool (v ≠ Null ∧ P ⊢ V ≤ U)),(h,l)⟩
by -(rule RedInstanceOf, auto)
with ev show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
fix a
assume e = Throw a
thus ?thesis by(blast intro!:InstanceOfThrow)
qed
next
assume nf: ¬ final e
from IH[OF De nf] show ?thesis by (blast intro!:InstanceOfRed)
qed
next
case WTrtVal thus ?case by(simp add:final-iff)
next
case WTrtVar thus ?case by(fastforce intro!:RedVar simp:hyper-isin-def)
next
case (WTrtBinOp E e1 T1 e2 T2 bop T)
show ?case
proof cases
assume final e1
thus ?thesis
proof (rule finalE)
fix v1 assume [simp]: e1 = Val v1
show ?thesis
proof cases
assume final e2
thus ?thesis

```

```

proof (rule finalE)
  fix v2 assume [simp]: e2 = Val v2
  with WTrtBinOp have type: typeofh v1 =  $\lfloor T_1 \rfloor$  typeofh v2 =  $\lfloor T_2 \rfloor$  by auto
  from binop-progress[OF this <P  $\vdash T_1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner T_2 : T>] obtain va
    where binop bop v1 v2 =  $\lfloor va \rfloor$  by blast
  thus ?thesis by(cases va)(fastforce intro: RedBinOp RedBinOpFail)+
next
  fix a assume e2 = Throw a
  thus ?thesis by(fastforce intro: BinOpThrow2)
qed
next
  assume  $\neg final e_2$  with WTrtBinOp show ?thesis
    by simp (fast intro!: BinOpRed2)
qed
next
  fix a assume e1 = Throw a
  thus ?thesis by simp (fast intro: BinOpThrow1)
qed
next
  assume  $\neg final e_1$  with WTrtBinOp show ?thesis
    by simp (fast intro: BinOpRed1)
qed
next
  case (WTrtLAss E V T e T')
  show ?case
  proof cases
    assume final e with WTrtLAss show ?thesis
      by(fastforce simp: final-iff intro!: RedLAss LAssThrow)
next
  assume  $\neg final e$  with WTrtLAss show ?thesis
    by simp (fast intro: LAssRed)
qed
next
  case (WTrtAcc E a T i l)
  have wte: P,E,h  $\vdash a : T[]$ 
  and wtei: P,E,h  $\vdash i : Integer$ 
  and IHa:  $\bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D} a \lfloor dom l \rfloor; \neg final a]$ 
     $\implies \exists e' s'. extTA, P, t \vdash \langle a, (h, l) \rangle - tas \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
  and IHi:  $\bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D} i \lfloor dom l \rfloor; \neg final i]$ 
     $\implies \exists e' s'. extTA, P, t \vdash \langle i, (h, l) \rangle - tas \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
  and D:  $\mathcal{D} (a[i]) \lfloor dom l \rfloor$  by fact+
  have ref: is-refT (T[]) by simp
  from D have Da:  $\mathcal{D} a \lfloor dom l \rfloor$  by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases final a)
    assume final a
    with wte ref show ?case
    proof (cases rule: finalRefE)
      case null
      thus ?thesis
      proof (cases final i)
        assume final i
        thus ?thesis
        proof (rule finalE)$ 
```

```

fix v
assume i: i = Val v
have extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨null[ Val v], (h, l)⟩ −ε→ ⟨THROW NullPointer, (h,l)⟩
  by(rule RedAAccNull)
with i null show ?thesis by blast
next
fix ex
assume i: i = Throw ex
have extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨null[ Throw ex], (h, l)⟩ −ε→ ⟨Throw ex, (h,l)⟩
  by(rule AAccThrow2)
with i null show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
assume ¬ final i
from WTrtAAcc null show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
next
case (Array ad U)
with wte obtain n where ty: typeof-addr h ad = [Array-type U n] by auto
thus ?thesis
proof (cases final i)
  assume final i
  thus ?thesis
  proof(rule finale)
    fix v
    assume [simp]: i = Val v
    with wtei have typeof_h v = Some Integer by fastforce
    hence ∃ i. v = Intg i by fastforce
    then obtain i where [simp]: v = Intg i by blast
    thus ?thesis
    proof (cases i < s 0 ∨ sint i ≥ int n)
      case True
      with WTrtAAcc Array ty show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: RedAAccBounds)
    next
      case False
      then have nat (sint i) < n
        by (simp add: not-le word-sless-alt nat-less-iff)
      with ty have P,h ⊢ ad@ACell (nat (sint i)) : U by(auto intro!: addr-loc-type.intros)
      from heap-read-total[OF hconf this]
      obtain v where heap-read h ad (ACell (nat (sint i))) v by blast
      with False Array ty show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: RedAAcc)
    qed
  next
  fix ex
  assume i = Throw ex
  with WTrtAAcc Array show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAccThrow2)
qed
next
assume ¬ final i
with WTrtAAcc Array show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAccRed2)
qed
next
fix ex

```

```

assume  $a = \text{Throw } ex$ 
with  $WTrtAAcc$  show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAccThrow1)
qed simp
next
assume  $\neg \text{final } a$ 
with  $WTrtAAcc$  show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAccRed1)
qed
next
case ( $WTrtAAccNT E a i T l$ )
have  $wte: P, E, h \vdash a : NT$ 
and  $wtei: P, E, h \vdash i : \text{Integer}$ 
and  $IHa: \bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D} a \mid \text{dom } l; \neg \text{final } a]$ 
 $\implies \exists e' s' tas. \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle a, (h, l) \rangle - tas \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
and  $IHi: \bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D} i \mid \text{dom } l; \neg \text{final } i]$ 
 $\implies \exists e' s' tas. \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle i, (h, l) \rangle - tas \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$  by fact+
have  $ref: \text{is-refT } NT$  by simp
with  $WTrtAAccNT$  have  $Da: \mathcal{D} a \mid \text{dom } l$  by simp
thus ?case
proof (cases final a)
case True
with  $wte ref$  show ?thesis
proof (cases rule: finalRefE)
case null
thus ?thesis
proof (cases final i)
assume final i
thus ?thesis
proof (rule finalE)
fix v
assume  $i: i = Val v$ 
have  $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{null} \mid Val v \rangle, (h, l) \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, (h, l) \rangle$ 
by (rule RedAAccNull)
with  $WTrtAAccNT \langle \text{final } a \rangle \text{ null } \langle \text{final } i \rangle \ i$  show ?thesis by blast
next
fix ex
assume  $i: i = \text{Throw } ex$ 
have  $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{null} \mid \text{Throw } ex \rangle, (h, l) \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Throw } ex, (h, l) \rangle$ 
by (rule AAccThrow2)
with  $WTrtAAccNT \langle \text{final } a \rangle \text{ null } \langle \text{final } i \rangle \ i$  show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
assume  $\neg \text{final } i$ 
with  $WTrtAAccNT$  null show ?thesis
by (fastforce intro: AAccRed2)
qed
next
case Throw thus ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAccThrow1)
qed simp-all
next
case False
with  $WTrtAAccNT$  Da show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAccRed1)
qed
next
case ( $WTrtAAss E a T i e T' l$ )

```

```

have wta:  $P, E, h \vdash a : T[]$ 
  and wti:  $P, E, h \vdash i : \text{Integer}$ 
  and wte:  $P, E, h \vdash e : T'$ 
  and D:  $\mathcal{D}(a[i] := e) \lfloor \text{dom } l$ 
  and IH1:  $\bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D} a \lfloor \text{dom } l; \neg \text{final } a] \implies \exists e' s' \text{ tas. } \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle a, (h, l) \rangle - \text{tas} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
  and IH2:  $\bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D} i \lfloor \text{dom } l; \neg \text{final } i] \implies \exists e' s' \text{ tas. } \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle i, (h, l) \rangle - \text{tas} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
  and IH3:  $\bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D} e \lfloor \text{dom } l; \neg \text{final } e] \implies \exists e' s' \text{ tas. } \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, l) \rangle - \text{tas} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$  by
fact+
have ref:  $\text{is-refT } (T[])$  by simp
show ?case
proof (cases final a)
  assume fa: final a
  with wta ref show ?thesis
proof(cases rule: finalRefE)
  case null
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases final i)
    assume final i
    thus ?thesis
    proof (rule finalE)
      fix v
      assume i:  $i = \text{Val } v$ 
      with wti have  $\text{typeof}_h v = \text{Some Integer}$  by fastforce
      then obtain idx where  $v = \text{Intg } idx$  by fastforce
      thus ?thesis
      proof (cases final e)
        assume final e
        thus ?thesis
        proof (rule finalE)
          fix w
          assume e =  $\text{Val } w$ 
          with WTrtAAss null show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: RedAAssNull)
next
  fix ex
  assume e =  $\text{Throw } ex$ 
  with WTrtAAss null show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAssThrow3)
qed
next
  assume  $\neg \text{final } e$ 
  with WTrtAAss null show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAssRed3)
qed
next
  fix ex
  assume i =  $\text{Throw } ex$ 
  with WTrtAAss null show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAssThrow2)
qed
next
  assume  $\neg \text{final } i$ 
  with WTrtAAss null show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAssRed2)
qed
next
  case (Array ad U)
  with wta obtain n where ty:  $\text{typeof-addr } h \text{ ad} = [\text{Array-type } U \ n]$  by auto
  thus ?thesis

```

```

proof (cases final i)
  assume fi: final i
  thus ?thesis
  proof (rule finalE)
    fix v
    assume ivalv: i = Val v
    with wti have typeof_h v = Some Integer by fastforce
    then obtain idx where vidx: v = Intg idx by fastforce
    thus ?thesis
  proof (cases final e)
    assume fe: final e
    thus ?thesis
    proof (rule finale)
      fix w
      assume evalw: e = Val w
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases idx <_s 0 ∨ sint idx ≥ int n)
        case True
        with ty evalw Array ivalv vidx show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: RedAAssBounds)
      next
        case False
        then have nat (sint idx) < n
        by (simp add: not-le word-sless-alt nat-less-iff)
        with ty have adal: P,h ⊢ ad@ACell (nat (sint idx)) : U
        by (auto intro!: addr-loc-type.intros)
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases P ⊢ T' ≤ U)
          case True
          with wte evalw have P,h ⊢ w :≤ U
          by (auto simp add: conf-def)
          from heap-write-total[OF hconf adal this]
          obtain h' where h': heap-write h ad (ACell (nat (sint idx))) w h' ..
          with ty False vidx ivalv evalw Array wte True
          show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: RedAAss)
        next
          case False
          with ty vidx ivalv evalw Array wte ↵ (idx <_s 0 ∨ sint idx ≥ int n)
          show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: RedAAssStore)
        qed
      qed
    next
      fix ex
      assume e = Throw ex
      with Array ivalv show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAssThrow3)
    qed
  next
    assume ¬ final e
    with WTrtAAss Array fi ivalv vidx show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAssRed3)
  qed
  next
    fix ex
    assume i = Throw ex
    with WTrtAAss Array show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAssThrow2)
  qed

```

```

next
  assume  $\neg \text{final } i$ 
  with  $WTrtAAss\ \text{Array}$  show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAssRed2)
  qed
next
  fix ex
  assume  $a = \text{Throw } ex$ 
  with  $WTrtAAss$  show ?thesis by (fastforce intro:AAssThrow1)
  qed simp-all
next
  assume  $\neg \text{final } a$ 
  with  $WTrtAAss$  show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: AAssRed1)
  qed
next
  case ( $WTrtAAssNT\ E\ a\ i\ e\ T'\ l$ )
  have  $wta: P,E,h \vdash a : NT$ 
  and  $wti: P,E,h \vdash i : Integer$ 
  and  $wte: P,E,h \vdash e : T'$ 
  and  $D: \mathcal{D}\ (a[i] := e) \ [dom\ l]$ 
  and  $IH1: \bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D}\ a [dom\ l]; \neg \text{final } a] \implies \exists e' s' tas. extTA,P,t \vdash \langle a, (h, l) \rangle - tas \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
  and  $IH2: \bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D}\ i [dom\ l]; \neg \text{final } i] \implies \exists e' s' tas. extTA,P,t \vdash \langle i, (h, l) \rangle - tas \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
  and  $IH3: \bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D}\ e [dom\ l]; \neg \text{final } e] \implies \exists e' s' tas. extTA,P,t \vdash \langle e, (h, l) \rangle - tas \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$  by
fact+
  have ref: is-refT NT by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases final a)
    assume fa: final a
    show ?case
    proof (cases final i)
      assume fi: final i
      show ?case
      proof (cases final e)
        assume fe: final e
        with  $WTrtAAssNT\ fa\ fi$  show ?thesis
          by (fastforce simp:final-iff intro: RedAAssNull AAssThrow1 AAssThrow2 AAssThrow3)
    next
      assume  $\neg \text{final } e$ 
      with  $WTrtAAssNT\ fa\ fi$  show ?thesis
        by (fastforce simp: final-iff intro!:AAssRed3 AAssThrow1 AAssThrow2)
    qed
  next
    assume  $\neg \text{final } i$ 
    with  $WTrtAAssNT\ fa$  show ?thesis
      by (fastforce simp: final-iff intro!:AAssRed2 AAssThrow1)
    qed
  next
    assume  $\neg \text{final } a$ 
    with  $WTrtAAssNT$  show ?thesis by (fastforce simp: final-iff intro!:AAssRed1)
    qed
next
  case ( $WTrtALength\ E\ a\ T\ l$ )
  show ?case
  proof(cases final a)
    case True

```

```

note wta = <P,E,h ⊢ a : T[]>
thus ?thesis
proof(rule finalRefE[OF -- True])
  show is-refT (T[]) by simp
next
  assume a = null
  thus ?thesis by(fastforce intro: RedALengthNull)
next
  fix ad U
  assume a = addr ad and T[] = U[]
  with wta show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: RedALength)
next
  fix ad
  assume a = Throw ad
  thus ?thesis by (fastforce intro: ALengthThrow)
qed simp
next
  case False
  from <D (a.length) | dom l|> have D a | dom l| by simp
  with False <[D a | dom l|; ¬ final a]⇒⇒ ∃ e' s' ta. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨a,(h, l)⟩ − ta → ⟨e',s'⟩>
  obtain e' s' ta where extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨a,(h, l)⟩ − ta → ⟨e',s'⟩ by blast
  thus ?thesis by(blast intro: ALengthRed)
qed
next
  case (WTrtALengthNT E a T l)
  show ?case
  proof(cases final a)
    case True
    note wta = <P,E,h ⊢ a : NT>
    thus ?thesis
    proof(rule finalRefE[OF -- True])
      show is-refT NT by simp
    next
      assume a = null
      thus ?thesis by(blast intro: RedALengthNull)
    next
      fix ad
      assume a = Throw ad
      thus ?thesis by(blast intro: ALengthThrow)
    qed simp-all
  next
    case False
    from <D (a.length) | dom l|> have D a | dom l| by simp
    with False <[D a | dom l|; ¬ final a]⇒⇒ ∃ e' s' ta. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨a,(h, l)⟩ − ta → ⟨e',s'⟩>
    obtain e' s' ta where extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨a,(h, l)⟩ − ta → ⟨e',s'⟩ by blast
    thus ?thesis by(blast intro: ALengthRed)
  qed
next
  case (WTrtFAcc E e U C F T fm D l)
  have wte: P,E,h ⊢ e : U
  and icto: class-type-of' U = [C]
  and field: P ⊢ C has F:T (fm) in D by fact+
  show ?case
  proof cases

```

```

assume final e
with wte icto show ?thesis
proof (cases rule: final-addrE)
  case (addr a)
    with wte obtain hU where ty: typeof-addr h a = |hU| U = ty-of-htype hU by auto
    with icto field have P,h ⊢ a@CFIELD D F : T by(auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
    from heap-read-total[OF hconf this]
    obtain v where heap-read h a (CFIELD D F) v by blast
    with addr ty show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: RedFAcc)
  next
    fix a assume e = Throw a
    thus ?thesis by(fastforce intro: FAccThrow)
  qed
  next
    assume ¬ final e with WTrtFAcc show ?thesis
      by(fastforce intro!: FAccRed)
    qed
  next
    case (WTrtFAccNT E e F D T l)
    show ?case
    proof cases
      assume final e — e is null or throw
      with WTrtFAccNT show ?thesis
        by(fastforce simp:final-iff intro: RedFAccNull FAccThrow)
    next
      assume ¬ final e — e reduces by IH
      with WTrtFAccNT show ?thesis by simp (fast intro: FAccRed)
    qed
  next
    case (WTrtFAss E e1 U C F T fm D e2 T2 l)
    have wte1: P,E,h ⊢ e1 : U
      and icto: class-type-of' U = |C|
      and field: P ⊢ C has F:T (fm) in D by fact+
    show ?case
    proof cases
      assume final e1
      with wte1 icto show ?thesis
      proof (rule final-addrE)
        fix a assume e1: e1 = addr a
        show ?thesis
        proof cases
          assume final e2
          thus ?thesis
          proof (rule finalE)
            fix v assume e2: e2 = Val v
            from wte1 field icto e1 have adal: P,h ⊢ a@CFIELD D F : T
              by(auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
            from e2 ⟨P ⊢ T2 ≤ T⟩ ⟨P,E,h ⊢ e2 : T2⟩
            have P,h ⊢ v :≤ T by(auto simp add: conf-def)
            from heap-write-total[OF hconf adal this] obtain h'
              where heap-write h a (CFIELD D F) v h' ..
            with wte1 field e1 e2 show ?thesis
              by(fastforce intro: RedFAss)
  next

```

```

fix a assume e2 = Throw a
thus ?thesis using e1 by(fastforce intro:FAssThrow2)
qed
next
assume ¬ final e2 with WTrtFAss ⟨final e1⟩ e1 show ?thesis
by simp (fast intro!:FAssRed2)
qed
next
fix a assume e1 = Throw a
thus ?thesis by(fastforce intro:FAssThrow1)
qed
next
assume ¬ final e1 with WTrtFAss show ?thesis
by(simp del: split-paired-Ex)(blast intro!:FAssRed1)
qed
next
case (WTrtFAssNT E e1 e2 T2 F D l)
show ?case
proof cases
assume final e1 — e1 is null or throw
show ?thesis
proof cases
assume final e2 — e2 is Val or throw
with WTrtFAssNT ⟨final e1⟩ show ?thesis
by(fastforce simp:final-iff intro: RedFAssNull FAssThrow1 FAssThrow2)
next
assume ¬ final e2 — e2 reduces by IH
with WTrtFAssNT ⟨final e1⟩ show ?thesis
by (fastforce simp:final-iff intro!:FAssRed2 FAssThrow1)
qed
next
assume ¬ final e1 — e1 reduces by IH
with WTrtFAssNT show ?thesis by (fastforce intro:FAssRed1)
qed
next
case (WTrtCAS E e1 U C F T fm D e2 T2 e3 T3)
show ?case
proof(cases final e1)
case e1: True
with WTrtCAS.hyps(1,3) show ?thesis
proof(rule final-addrE)
fix a
assume e1: e1 = addr a
with WTrtCAS.hyps(1) obtain hU
where ty: typeof-addr h a = |hU| U = ty-of-htype hU by auto
with WTrtCAS.hyps(3,4) have adal: P,h ⊢ a@CField D F : T by(auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
from heap-read-total[OF hconf this]
obtain v where v: heap-read h a (CField D F) v by blast
show ?thesis
proof(cases final e2)
case e2: True
show ?thesis
proof(cases final e3)
case e3: True

```

```

consider (Val2) v2 where e2 = Val v2 | (Throw2) a2 where e2 = Throw a2
  using e2 by(auto simp add: final-iff)
then show ?thesis
proof(cases)
  case Val2
    consider (Succeed) v3 where e3 = Val v3 v2 = v
      | (Fail) v3 where e3 = Val v3 v2 ≠ v
      | (Throw3) a3 where e3 = Throw a3
        using e3 by(auto simp add: final-iff)
    then show ?thesis
    proof cases
      case Succeed
        with WTrtCAS.hyps(9,11) adal have P,h ⊢ v3 :≤ T by(auto simp add: conf-def)
        from heap-write-total[OF hconf adal this] obtain h'
          where heap-write h a (CField D F) v3 h' ..
        with Val2 e1 v Succeed show ?thesis
          by(auto intro: RedCASSucceed simp del: split-paired-Ex)
    next
      case Fail
        with Val2 e1 v show ?thesis
          by(auto intro: RedCASFail simp del: split-paired-Ex)
    next
      case Throw3
        then show ?thesis using e1 Val2 by(auto intro: CASThrow3 simp del: split-paired-Ex)
    qed
  next
    case Throw2
      then show ?thesis using e1 by(auto intro: CASThrow2 simp del: split-paired-Ex)
    qed
  next
    case False
      with WTrtCAS e1 e2 show ?thesis
        by(fastforce simp del: split-paired-Ex simp add: final-iff intro: CASRed3 CASThrow2)
    qed
  next
    case False
      with WTrtCAS e1 show ?thesis
        by(fastforce intro: CASRed2 CASThrow2 simp del: split-paired-Ex)
      qed
    qed(fastforce intro: CASThrow)
  next
    case False
      then show ?thesis using WTrtCAS by(fastforce intro: CASRed1)
    qed
  next
    case (WTrtCASNT E e1 e2 T2 e3 T3 D F)
    note [simp del] = split-paired-Ex
    show ?case
    proof(cases final e1)
      case e1: True
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases final e2)
        case e2: True
        show ?thesis
      
```

```

proof(cases final e3)
  case True
  with e1 e2 WTrtCASNT show ?thesis
    by(fastforce simp add: final-iff intro: CASNull CASThrow CASThrow2 CASThrow3)
next
  case False
  with e1 e2 WTrtCASNT show ?thesis
    by(fastforce simp add: final-iff intro: CASRed3 CASThrow CASThrow2)
qed
next
  case False
  with e1 WTrtCASNT show ?thesis
    by(fastforce simp add: final-iff intro: CASRed2 CASThrow)
qed
next
  case False
  with WTrtCASNT show ?thesis
    by(fastforce simp add: final-iff intro: CASRed1)
qed
next
case (WTrtCall E e U C M Ts T meth D es Ts' l)
have wte: P,E,h ⊢ e : U
  and icto: class-type-of' U = [C] by fact+
have IHe: ∀l. [D e | dom l]; ¬ final e
  ⇒ ∃e' s' tas. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h,l)⟩ − tas → ⟨e',s'⟩ by fact
have sees: P ⊢ C sees M: Ts → T = meth in D by fact
have wtes: P,E,h ⊢ es [:] Ts' by fact
have IHes: ∀l. [Ds es | dom l]; ¬ finals es ⇒ ∃es' s' ta. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es,(h,l)⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es',s'⟩
by fact
have subtype: P ⊢ Ts' [≤] Ts by fact
have dae: D (e · M(es)) | dom l by fact
show ?case
proof(cases final e)
  assume fine: final e
  with wte icto show ?thesis
  proof (rule final-addrE)
    fix a assume e-addr: e = addr a
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases ∃ vs. es = map Val vs)
      assume es: ∃ vs. es = map Val vs
      from wte e-addr obtain hU where ha: typeof-addr h a = [hU] U = ty-of-htype hU by(auto)
      have length es = length Ts' using wtes by(auto simp add: WTrts-conv-list-all2 dest: list-all2-lengthD)
      moreover from subtype have length Ts' = length Ts by(auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)
      ultimately have esTs: length es = length Ts by(auto)
      show ?thesis
    proof(cases meth)
      case (Some pnsbody)
      with esTs e-addr ha sees subtype es sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf sees] icto
      show ?thesis by(cases pnsbody) (fastforce intro!: RedCall simp:list-all2-iff wf-mdecl-def)
    next
      case None
      with sees wf have D · M(Ts) :: T by(auto intro: sees-wf-native)
      moreover from es obtain vs where vs: es = map Val vs ..
      with wtes have tyes: map typeof_h vs = map Some Ts' by simp
    
```

```

with ha ⟨D·M(Ts) :: T⟩ icto sees None
have P,h ⊢ a·M(vs) : T using subtype by(auto simp add: external-WT'-iff)
from external-call-progress[OF wf this hconf, of t] obtain ta va h'
  where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ −ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩ by blast
thus ?thesis using ha icto None sees vs e-addr
  by(fastforce intro: RedCallExternal simp del: split-paired-Ex)
qed
next
  assume ¬(∃ vs. es = map Val vs)
  hence not-all-Val: ¬(∀ e ∈ set es. ∃ v. e = Val v)
    by(simp add:ex-map-conv)
  let ?ves = takeWhile (λe. ∃ v. e = Val v) es
  let ?rest = dropWhile (λe. ∃ v. e = Val v) es
  let ?ex = hd ?rest let ?rst = tl ?rest
  from not-all-Val have nonempty: ?rest ≠ [] by auto
  hence es: es = ?ves @ ?ex # ?rst by simp
  have ∀ e ∈ set ?ves. ∃ v. e = Val v by(fastforce dest:set-takeWhileD)
  then obtain vs where ves: ?ves = map Val vs
    using ex-map-conv by blast
  show ?thesis
proof cases
  assume final ?ex
  moreover from nonempty have ¬(∃ v. ?ex = Val v)
    by(auto simp:neq-Nil-conv simp del:dropWhile-eq-Nil-conv)
      (simp add:dropWhile-eq-Cons-conv)
  ultimately obtain b where ex-Throw: ?ex = Throw b
    by(fast elim!:finalE)
  show ?thesis using e-addr es ex-Throw ves
    by(fastforce intro:CallThrowParams)
next
  assume not-fin: ¬ final ?ex
  have finals es = finals(?ves @ ?ex # ?rst) using es
    by(rule arg-cong)
  also have ... = finals(?ex # ?rst) using ves by simp
  finally have finals es = finals(?ex # ?rst) .
  hence ¬ finals es using not-finals-ConsI[OF not-fin] by blast
  thus ?thesis using e-addr dae IHes by(fastforce intro!:CallParams)
qed
qed
next
  fix a assume e = Throw a
  thus ?thesis by(fast intro!:CallThrowObj)
qed
next
  assume ¬ final e
  with WTrtCall show ?thesis by(simp del: split-paired-Ex)(blast intro!:CallObj)
qed
next
  case (WTrtCallNT E e es Ts M T l)
  have wte: P,E,h ⊢ e : NT by fact
  have IHes: ⋀l. [D e | dom l]; ¬ final e ⟹
    ⟹ ∃ e' s' tas. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h,l)⟩ −tas→⟨e',s'⟩ by fact
  have IHes: ⋀l. [Ds es | dom l]; ¬ finals es ⟹ ∃ es' s' ta. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es,(h,l)⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es',s'⟩
by fact

```

```

have wtes:  $P, E, h \vdash es \text{ [ ] } Ts \text{ by fact}$ 
have dae:  $\mathcal{D} (e \cdot M(es)) \text{ [ dom } l \text{ ] by fact}$ 
show ?case
proof(cases final e)
  assume final e
  moreover
    { fix v assume  $e = Val v$ 
      hence  $e = null$  using  $WTrtCallNT$  by simp
      have ?case
      proof cases
        assume  $finals es$ 
        moreover
          { fix vs assume  $es = map Val vs$ 
            with  $WTrtCallNT \langle e = null \rangle \langle finals es \rangle$  have ?thesis by(fastforce intro: RedCallNull) }
        moreover
          { fix vs a es' assume  $es = map Val vs @ Throw a \# es'$ 
            with  $WTrtCallNT \langle e = null \rangle \langle finals es \rangle$  have ?thesis by(fastforce intro: CallThrowParams)
      }
      ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce simp:finals-iff)
    next
      assume  $\neg finals es$  —  $es$  reduces by IH
      with  $WTrtCallNT \langle e = null \rangle$  show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: CallParams)
    qed
  }
  moreover
    { fix a assume  $e = Throw a$ 
      with  $WTrtCallNT$  have ?case by(fastforce intro: CallThrowObj) }
  ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce simp:final-iff)
next
  assume  $\neg final e$  —  $e$  reduces by IH
  with  $WTrtCallNT$  show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: CallObj)
qed
next
  case ( $WTrtBlock E V T e T' vo l$ )
  have IH:  $\bigwedge l. [\mathcal{D} e \text{ [ dom } l \text{ ]}; \neg final e]$ 
     $\implies \exists e' s' tas. extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, l) \rangle - tas \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
  and D:  $\mathcal{D} \{V: T=vo; e\} \text{ [ dom } l \text{ ] by fact+}$ 
  show ?case
  proof cases
    assume final e
    thus ?thesis
    proof (rule finalE)
      fix v assume  $e = Val v$  thus ?thesis by(fast intro: RedBlock)
    next
      fix a assume  $e = Throw a$ 
      thus ?thesis by(fast intro: BlockThrow)
    qed
  next
    assume not-fin:  $\neg final e$ 
    from D have De:  $\mathcal{D} e \text{ [ dom } (l(V:=vo)) \text{ ] by (auto simp add:hyperset-defs)}$ 
    from IH[OF De not-fin]
    obtain h' l' e' tas where red:  $extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, l(V:=vo)) \rangle - tas \rightarrow \langle e', (h', l') \rangle$ 
      by auto
    thus ?thesis by(blast intro: BlockRed)
  
```

```

qed
next
case (WTrtSynchronized E o' T e T' l)
note wto = <P,E,h ⊢ o' : T>
note IHe = <∀l. [D e | dom l]; ¬ final e ⟶ ∃ e' s' tas. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, l)⟩ -tas→ ⟨e',s'⟩>
note wte = <P,E,h ⊢ e : T'>
note IHo = <∀l. [D o' | dom l]; ¬ final o' ⟶ ∃ e' s' tas. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨o',(h, l)⟩ -tas→ ⟨e',s'⟩>
note refT = <is-refT T>
note dae = <D (sync(o') e) | dom l>
show ?case
proof(cases final o')
  assume fino: final o'
  thus ?thesis
  proof (rule finalE)
    fix v
    assume oval: o' = Val v
    with wto refT show ?thesis
    proof(cases v)
      assume vnull: v = Null
      with oval vnull show ?thesis
      by(fastforce intro: SynchronizedNull)
    next
    fix ad
    assume vaddr: v = Addr ad
    thus ?thesis using oval
    by(fastforce intro: LockSynchronized)
  qed(auto elim: refTE)
next
fix a
assume vaddr: v = Addr a
thus ?thesis using oval
by(fastforce intro: SynchronizedThrow1)
qed
next
fix a
assume othrow: o' = Throw a
thus ?thesis by(fastforce intro: SynchronizedThrow1)
qed
next
assume nfin: ¬ final o'
with dae IHo show ?case by(fastforce intro: SynchronizedRed1)
qed
next
case (WTrtInSynchronized E a T e T' l)
show ?case
proof(cases final e)
  case True thus ?thesis
  by(fastforce elim!: finalE intro: UnlockSynchronized SynchronizedThrow2)
next
  case False
  moreover from <D (insync(a) e) | dom l> have D e | dom l by simp
  moreover note IHc = <∀l. [D e | dom l]; ¬ final e ⟶ ∃ e' s' tas. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, l)⟩ -tas→ ⟨e',s'⟩>
  ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: SynchronizedRed2)
qed
next
case (WTrtSeq E e1 T1 e2 T2 l)
show ?case
proof cases
  assume final e1

```

```

thus ?thesis
  by(fast elim:finalE intro:intro:RedSeq SeqThrow)
next
  assume  $\neg \text{final } e_1$  with WTrtSeq show ?thesis
    by(simp del: split-paired-Ex)(blast intro!:SeqRed)
qed
next
  case (WTrtCond E e e1 T1 e2 T2 T l)
  have wt: P,E,h ⊢ e : Boolean by fact
  show ?case
  proof cases
    assume final e
    thus ?thesis
    proof (rule finalE)
      fix v assume val:  $e = \text{Val } v$ 
      then obtain b where v:  $v = \text{Bool } b$  using wt by auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases b)
        case True with val v show ?thesis by(fastforce intro:RedCondT)
      next
        case False with val v show ?thesis by(fastforce intro:RedCondF)
      qed
    qed
  next
    fix a assume e = Throw a
    thus ?thesis by(fast intro:CondThrow)
  qed
next
  assume  $\neg \text{final } e$  with WTrtCond show ?thesis
  by simp (fast intro:CondRed)
qed
next
  case WTrtWhile show ?case by(fast intro:RedWhile)
next
  case (WTrtThrow E e T T' l)
  show ?case
  proof cases
    assume final e — Then e must be throw or null
    thus ?thesis
    proof(induct rule: finalE)
      case (Val v)
        with  $\langle P \vdash T \leq \text{Class Throwable} \rangle \langle \neg \text{final } (\text{throw } e) \rangle \langle P, E, h \vdash e : T \rangle$ 
        have v = Null by(cases v)(auto simp add: final-iff widen-Class)
        thus ?thesis using Val by(fastforce intro: RedThrowNull)
    next
      case (Throw a)
        thus ?thesis by(fastforce intro: ThrowThrow)
    qed
  next
    assume  $\neg \text{final } e$  — Then e must reduce
    with WTrtThrow show ?thesis by simp (blast intro: ThrowRed)
  qed
next
  case (WTrtTry E e1 T1 V C e2 T2 l)
  have wt1: P,E,h ⊢ e1 : T1 by fact

```

```

show ?case
proof cases
  assume final e1
  thus ?thesis
  proof (rule finalE)
    fix v assume e1 = Val v
    thus ?thesis by(fast intro:RedTry)
  next
    fix a
    assume e1-Throw: e1 = Throw a
    with wt1 obtain D where ha: typeof-addr h a = [Class-type D]
      by(auto simp add: widen-Class)
    thus ?thesis using e1-Throw
      by(cases P ⊢ D ⊢* C)(fastforce intro:RedTryCatch RedTryFail)++
  qed
next
  assume ¬ final e1
  with WTrtTry show ?thesis by simp (fast intro:TryRed)
qed
next
  case WTrtNil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (WTrtCons E e T es Ts)
  have IHes: ∀l. [D e ⊢ dom l]; ¬ final e
    ⇒ ∃e' s' ta. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h,l)⟩ -ta→ ⟨e',s'⟩
  and IHes: ∀l. [Ds es ⊢ dom l]; ¬ finals es
    ⇒ ∃es' s' ta. extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es,(h,l)⟩ [-ta→] ⟨es',s'⟩
  and D: Ds (e#es) ⊢ dom l and not-fins: ¬ finals(e # es) by fact+
  have De: D e ⊢ dom l and Des: Ds es (dom l ⊢ A e)
    using D by auto
  show ?case
  proof cases
    assume final e
    thus ?thesis
    proof (rule finalE)
      fix v assume e: e = Val v
      hence Des': Ds es ⊢ dom l using De Des by auto
      have not-fins-tl: ¬ finals es using not-fins e by simp
      show ?thesis using e IHes[OF Des' not-fins-tl]
        by (blast intro!:ListRed2)
    next
      fix a assume e = Throw a
      hence False using not-fins by simp
      thus ?thesis ..
    qed
  next
    assume ¬ final e
    with IHes[OF De] show ?thesis by(fast intro!:ListRed1)
  qed
qed
end

```

4.12 Preservation of definite assignment

theory *DefAssPreservation*

imports

DefAss

JWellForm

SmallStep

begin

Preservation of definite assignment more complex and requires a few lemmas first.

lemma *D-extRetJ* [*intro!*]: $\mathcal{D} e A \implies \mathcal{D} (\text{extRet2J } e \text{ va}) A$

by(*cases va*) *simp-all*

lemma *blocks-defass* [*iff*]: $\bigwedge A. [\ length Vs = length Ts; length vs = length Ts] \implies \mathcal{D} (\text{blocks } Vs Ts vs e) A = \mathcal{D} e (A \sqcup [\text{set } Vs])$

context *J-heap-base* **begin**

lemma *red-lA-incr*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashv \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies [\text{dom (lcl } s)] \sqcup \mathcal{A} e \sqsubseteq [\text{dom (lcl } s')] \sqcup \mathcal{A} e'$
and *reds-lA-incr*: $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \dashv \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle \implies [\text{dom (lcl } s)] \sqcup \mathcal{A}s es \sqsubseteq [\text{dom (lcl } s')] \sqcup \mathcal{A}s es'$

apply(*induct rule:red-reds.inducts*)

apply(*simp-all del:fun-upd-apply add:hyperset-defs*)

apply *blast*

```

lemma assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  shows red-preserves-defass: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,s⟩ -ta→ ⟨e',s'⟩ ==> D e [dom (lcl s)] ==> D e' [dom (lcl s')]
    and reds-preserves-defass: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es,s⟩ [-ta→] ⟨es',s'⟩ ==> Ds es [dom (lcl s)] ==> Ds es' [dom (lcl s')]
  proof (induction rule:red-reds.inducts)
    case BinOpRed1 thus ?case by (auto elim!: D-mono[OF red-lA-incr])
  next
    case AAccRed1 thus ?case by (auto elim!: D-mono[OF red-lA-incr])
  next
    case AAssRed1 thus ?case by (auto intro: red-lA-incr sqUn-lem D-mono)
  next
    case AAssRed2 thus ?case by (auto elim!: D-mono[OF red-lA-incr])
  next
    case FAssRed1 thus ?case by (auto elim!: D-mono[OF red-lA-incr])
  next
    case CASRed1 thus ?case by (auto intro: red-lA-incr sqUn-lem D-mono)
  next
    case CASRed2 thus ?case by (auto elim!: D-mono[OF red-lA-incr])
  next
    case CallObj thus ?case by (auto elim!: Ds-mono[OF red-lA-incr])
  next
    case CallParams thus ?case by (auto elim!: Ds-mono[OF red-lA-incr])
  next
    case RedCall thus ?case by (auto dest!:sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf] simp:wf-mdecl-def elim!:D-mono')
  next
    case BlockRed thus ?case
      by (auto simp:hyperset-defs elim!:D-mono' simp del:fun-upd-apply split: if-split-asm)
  next
    case SynchronizedRed1 thus ?case by (auto elim!: D-mono[OF red-lA-incr])
  next
    case SeqRed thus ?case by (auto elim!: D-mono[OF red-lA-incr])
  next
    case CondRed thus ?case by (auto elim!: D-mono[OF red-lA-incr])
  next
    case TryRed thus ?case
      by (fastforce dest:red-lcl-incr intro:D-mono' simp:hyperset-defs)
  next
    case RedWhile thus ?case by (auto simp:hyperset-defs elim!:D-mono')
  next
    case ListRed1 thus ?case by (auto elim!: Ds-mono[OF red-lA-incr])
  qed (auto simp:hyperset-defs)

end
end

```

4.13 Type Safety Proof

```

theory TypeSafe
imports
  Progress

```

DefAssPreservation
begin

4.13.1 Basic preservation lemmas

First two easy preservation lemmas.

```

theorem (in J-conf-read)
  shows red-preserves-hconf:
     $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; P, E, hp s \vdash e : T; hconf (hp s) \rrbracket \implies hconf (hp s')$ 
  and reds-preserves-hconf:
     $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; P, E, hp s \vdash es [:] Ts; hconf (hp s) \rrbracket \implies hconf (hp s')$ 
proof (induct arbitrary: T E and Ts E rule: red-reds.inducts)
  case RedNew thus ?case
    by(auto intro: hconf-heap-ops-mono)
next
  case RedNewFail thus ?case
    by(auto intro: hconf-heap-ops-mono)
next
  case RedNewArray thus ?case
    by(auto intro: hconf-heap-ops-mono)
next
  case RedNewArrayFail thus ?case
    by(auto intro: hconf-heap-ops-mono)
next
  case (RedAAss h a U n i v U' h' l)
  from <sint i < int n> <0 <=s i>
  have nat (sint i) < n
    by (simp add: word-sle-eq nat-less-iff)
  thus ?case using RedAAss
    by(fastforce elim: hconf-heap-write-mono intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: conf-def)
next
  case RedFAss thus ?case
    by(fastforce elim: hconf-heap-write-mono intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: conf-def)
next
  case RedCASSucceed thus ?case
    by(fastforce elim: hconf-heap-write-mono intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: conf-def)
next
  case (RedCallExternal s a U M Ts T' D vs ta va h' ta' e' s')
  hence P, hp s \vdash a.M(vs) : T
    by(fastforce simp add: external-WT'-iff dest: sees-method-fun)
  with RedCallExternal show ?case by(auto dest: external-call-hconf)
qed auto

theorem (in J-heap) red-preserves-lconf:
   $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; P, E, hp s \vdash e : T; P, hp s \vdash lcl s (: \leq) E \rrbracket \implies P, hp s' \vdash lcl s' (: \leq) E$ 
  and reds-preserves-lconf:
     $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; P, E, hp s \vdash es [:] Ts; P, hp s \vdash lcl s (: \leq) E \rrbracket \implies P, hp s' \vdash lcl s' (: \leq) E$ 
proof (induct arbitrary: T E and Ts E rule: red-reds.inducts)
  case RedNew thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro:lconf-hext hext-heap-ops simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case RedNewFail thus ?case
    by(auto intro:lconf-hext hext-heap-ops simp del: fun-upd-apply)

```

```

next
  case RedNewArray thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro:lconf-hext hext-heap-ops simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case RedNewArrayFail thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro:lconf-hext hext-heap-ops simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case RedLAss thus ?case
    by(fastforce elim: lconf-upd simp add: conf-def simp del: fun-upd-apply )
next
  case RedAAss thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro:lconf-hext hext-heap-ops simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case RedFAss thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro:lconf-hext hext-heap-ops simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case RedCASSucceed thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro:lconf-hext hext-heap-ops simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case (BlockRed e h x V vo ta e' h' x' T T' E)
  note red = <extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, x(V := vo))⟩ − ta → ⟨e',(h', x')⟩>
  note IH = <|T E. [P,E,hp (h, x(V := vo)) ⊢ e : T;
    P,hp (h, x(V := vo)) ⊢ lcl (h, x(V := vo)) (≤) E]
    ⇒ P,hp (h', x') ⊢ lcl (h', x') (≤) E>
  note wt = <P,E,hp (h, x) ⊢ {V:T=vo; e} : T'>
  note lconf = <P,hp (h, x) ⊢ lcl (h, x) (≤) E>
  from lconf-hext[OF lconf[simplified] red-hext-incr[OF red, simplified]]
  have P,h' ⊢ x (≤) E .
  moreover from wt have P,E(V ⊨ T),h ⊢ e : T' by(cases vo, auto)
  moreover from lconf wt have P,h ⊢ x(V := vo) (≤) E(V ⊨ T)
    by(cases vo)(simp add: lconf-def,auto intro: lconf-upd2 simp add: conf-def)
  ultimately have P,h' ⊢ x' (≤) E(V ⊨ T)
    by(auto intro: IH[simplified])
  with <P,h' ⊢ x (≤) E> show ?case
    by(auto simp add: lconf-def split: if-split-asm)
next
  case (RedCallExternal s a U M Ts T' D vs ta va h' ta' e' s')
  from <P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),hp s⟩ − ta → ext ⟨va,h'⟩ have hp s ⊑ h' by(rule red-external-hext)
  with <s' = (h', lcl s)> <P,hp s ⊢ lcl s (≤) E> show ?case by(auto intro: lconf-hext)
qed auto

```

Combining conformance of heap and local variables:

```

definition (in J-heap-conf-base) sconf :: env ⇒ ('addr, 'heap) Jstate ⇒ bool (- ⊢ - √ [51,51]50)
  where E ⊢ s √ ≡ let (h,l) = s in hconf h ∧ P,h ⊢ l (≤) E ∧ preallocated h

```

```

context J-conf-read begin

```

```

lemma red-preserves-sconf:
  [ extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,s⟩ − tas → ⟨e',s'⟩; P,E,hp s ⊢ e : T; E ⊢ s √ ] ⇒ E ⊢ s' √
  apply(auto dest: red-preserves-hconf red-preserves-lconf simp add:sconf-def)
  apply(fastforce dest: red-hext-incr intro: preallocated-hext)
done

```

```

lemma reds-preserves-sconf:

```

```

 $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; P, E, hp \vdash es [::] Ts; E \vdash s \vee \rrbracket \implies E \vdash s' \vee$ 
apply(auto dest: reds-preserves-hconf reds-preserves-lconf simp add: sconf-def)
apply(fastforce dest: reds-hext-incr intro: preallocated-hext)
done

end

lemma (in J-heap-base) wt-external-call:
 $\llbracket \text{conf-extRet } P \ h \ va \ T; P, E, h \vdash e : T \rrbracket \implies \exists T'. P, E, h \vdash \text{extRet2J } e \ va : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T$ 
by(cases va)(auto simp add: conf-def)

```

4.13.2 Subject reduction

```

theorem (in J-conf-read) assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
shows subject-reduction:
 $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; E \vdash s \vee; P, E, hp \vdash e : T; P, hp \vdash t \sqrt{t} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists T'. P, E, hp \vdash e' : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T$ 
and subjects-reduction:
 $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; E \vdash s \vee; P, E, hp \vdash es [::] Ts; P, hp \vdash t \sqrt{t} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists Ts'. P, E, hp \vdash es' [::] Ts' \wedge P \vdash Ts' [\leq] Ts$ 
proof (induct arbitrary: T E and Ts E rule:red-reds.inducts)
case RedNew
thus ?case by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD)
next
case RedNewFail thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
by(fastforce intro:typeof-OutOfMemory preallocated-heap-ops simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case NewArrayRed
thus ?case by fastforce
next
case RedNewArray
thus ?case by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD)
next
case RedNewArrayNegative thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
by(fastforce intro: preallocated-heap-ops simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case RedNewArrayFail thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
by(fastforce intro:typeof-OutOfMemory preallocated-heap-ops simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case (CastRed e s ta e' s' C T E)
have esse: extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle
and IH: \bigwedge T E. \llbracket E \vdash s \vee; P, E, hp \vdash e : T; P, hp \vdash t \sqrt{t} \rrbracket \implies \exists T'. P, E, hp \vdash e' : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T
and hconf: E \vdash s \vee
and wtc: P, E, hp \vdash Cast C e : T by fact+
thus ?case
proof(clarsimp)
fix T'
assume wte: P, E, hp \vdash e : T' is-type P C
from wte and hconf and IH and \langle P, hp \vdash t \sqrt{t} \rangle have \exists U. P, E, hp \vdash e' : U \wedge P \vdash U \leq T'
by simp
then obtain U where wtee: P, E, hp \vdash e' : U and UsTT: P \vdash U \leq T' by blast

```

```

from wtee <is-type P C> have P,E,hp s' ⊢ Cast C e' : C by(rule WTrtCast)
thus ∃ T'. P,E,hp s' ⊢ Cast C e' : T' ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ C by blast
qed
next
case RedCast thus ?case
  by(clarsimp simp add: is-refT-def)
next
case RedCastFail thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
  by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case (InstanceOfRed e s ta e' s' U T E)
have IH: ∀ T E. [E ⊢ s √; P,E,hp s ⊢ e : T; P,hp s ⊢ t √t] ⇒ ∃ T'. P,E,hp s' ⊢ e' : T' ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ T
  and hconf: E ⊢ s √
  and wtc: P,E,hp s ⊢ e instanceof U : T
  and tconf: P,hp s ⊢ t √t by fact+
from wtc obtain T' where P,E,hp s ⊢ e : T' by auto
from IH[OF hconf this tconf] obtain T'' where P,E,hp s' ⊢ e' : T'' by auto
with wtc show ?case by auto
next
case RedInstanceOf thus ?case
  by(clarsimp)
next
case (BinOpRed1 e1 s ta e1' s' bop e2 T E)
have red: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e1, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e1', s'⟩
  and IH: ∀ T E. [E ⊢ s √; P,E,hp s ⊢ e1:T; P,hp s ⊢ t √t]
    ⇒ ∃ U. P,E,hp s' ⊢ e1' : U ∧ P ⊢ U ≤ T
  and conf: E ⊢ s √ and wt: P,E,hp s ⊢ e1 «bop» e2 : T
  and tconf: P,hp s ⊢ t √t by fact+
from wt obtain T1 T2 where wt1: P,E,hp s ⊢ e1 : T1
  and wt2: P,E,hp s ⊢ e2 : T2 and wtbop: P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T by auto
from IH[OF conf wt1 tconf] obtain T1' where wt1': P,E,hp s' ⊢ e1' : T1'
  and sub: P ⊢ T1' ≤ T1 by blast
from WTrt-binop-widen-mono[OF wtbop sub widen-refl]
obtain T' where wtbop': P ⊢ T1' «bop» T2 : T' and sub': P ⊢ T' ≤ T by blast
from wt1' WTrt-hext-mono[OF wt2 red-hext-incr[OF red]] wtbop'
have P,E,hp s' ⊢ e1' «bop» e2 : T' by(rule WTrtBinOp)
with sub' show ?case by blast
next
case (BinOpRed2 e2 s ta e2' s' v1 bop T E)
have red: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e2,s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e2',s'⟩ by fact
have IH: ∀ E T. [E ⊢ s √; P,E,hp s ⊢ e2:T; P,hp s ⊢ t √t]
  ⇒ ∃ U. P,E,hp s' ⊢ e2' : U ∧ P ⊢ U ≤ T
  and tconf: P,hp s ⊢ t √t by fact+
have conf: E ⊢ s √ and wt: P,E,hp s ⊢ (Val v1) «bop» e2 : T by fact+
from wt obtain T1 T2 where wt1: P,E,hp s ⊢ Val v1 : T1
  and wt2: P,E,hp s ⊢ e2 : T2 and wtbop: P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T by auto
from IH[OF conf wt2 tconf] obtain T2' where wt2': P,E,hp s' ⊢ e2' : T2'
  and sub: P ⊢ T2' ≤ T2 by blast
from WTrt-binop-widen-mono[OF wtbop widen-refl sub]
obtain T' where wtbop': P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2' : T' and sub': P ⊢ T' ≤ T by blast
from WTrt-hext-mono[OF wt1 red-hext-incr[OF red]] wtbop'
have P,E,hp s' ⊢ Val v1 «bop» e2' : T' by(rule WTrtBinOp)
with sub' show ?case by blast

```

```

next
case (RedBinOp bop v1 v2 v s)
  from  $\langle E \vdash s \sqrt{\cdot} \rangle$  have preh: preallocated (hp s) by(cases s)(simp add: sconf-def)
  from  $\langle P, E, hp \vdash Val v1 \llbracket bop \rrbracket Val v2 : T \rangle$  obtain T1 T2
    where typeofhp s v1 = [T1] typeofhp s v2 = [T2]  $P \vdash T1 \llbracket bop \rrbracket T2 : T$  by auto
    with wf preh have P, hp s ⊢ v :≤ T using <binop bop v1 v2 = [Inl v]>
      by(rule binop-type)
    thus ?case by(auto simp add: conf-def)
next
case (RedBinOpFail bop v1 v2 a s)
  from  $\langle E \vdash s \sqrt{\cdot} \rangle$  have preh: preallocated (hp s) by(cases s)(simp add: sconf-def)
  from  $\langle P, E, hp \vdash Val v1 \llbracket bop \rrbracket Val v2 : T \rangle$  obtain T1 T2
    where typeofhp s v1 = [T1] typeofhp s v2 = [T2]  $P \vdash T1 \llbracket bop \rrbracket T2 : T$  by auto
    with wf preh have P, hp s ⊢ Addr a :≤ Class Throwable using <binop bop v1 v2 = [Inr a]>
      by(rule binop-type)
    thus ?case by(auto simp add: conf-def)
next
case RedVar thus ?case by(fastforce simp:sconf-def lconf-def conf-def)
next
case LAssRed thus ?case by(blast intro:widen-trans)
next
case RedLAss thus ?case by fastforce
next
case (AAccRed1 a s ta a' s' i T E)
  have IH:  $\bigwedge E T. \llbracket E \vdash s \sqrt{\cdot}; P, E, hp \vdash a : T; P, hp \vdash t \sqrt{t} \rrbracket \implies \exists T'. P, E, hp \vdash a' : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T$ 
    and assa: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨a,s⟩ -ta→ ⟨a',s'⟩
    and wt: P,E,hp s ⊢ a[i] : T
    and hconf: E ⊢ s √
    and tconf: P,hp s ⊢ t √t by fact+
  from wt have wti: P,E,hp s ⊢ i : Integer by auto
  from wti red-hext-incr[OF assa] have wti': P,E,hp s' ⊢ i : Integer by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
  { assume wta: P,E,hp s ⊢ a : T[]
    from IH[OF hconf wta tconf]
    obtain U where wta': P,E,hp s' ⊢ a' : U and UsubT: P ⊢ U ≤ T[] by fastforce
    with wta' wti' have ?case by(cases U, auto simp add: widen-Array) }
moreover
{ assume wta: P,E,hp s ⊢ a : NT
  from IH[OF hconf wta tconf] have P,E,hp s' ⊢ a' : NT by fastforce
  from this wti' have ?case
    by(fastforce intro: WTrtAAccNT) }
ultimately show ?case using wt by auto
next
case (AAccRed2 i s ta i' s' a T E)
  have IH:  $\bigwedge E T. \llbracket E \vdash s \sqrt{\cdot}; P, E, hp \vdash i : T; P, hp \vdash t \sqrt{t} \rrbracket \implies \exists T'. P, E, hp \vdash i' : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T$ 
    and issi: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨i,s⟩ -ta→ ⟨i',s'⟩
    and wt: P,E,hp s ⊢ Val a[i] : T
    and sconf: E ⊢ s √
    and tconf: P,hp s ⊢ t √t by fact+
  from wt have wti: P,E,hp s ⊢ i : Integer by auto
  from wti IH sconf tconf have wti': P,E,hp s' ⊢ i' : Integer by blast
  from wt show ?case
  proof (rule WTrt-elim-cases)

```

```

assume wta: P,E,hp s ⊢ Val a : T []
from wta red-hext-incr[OF issi] have wta': P,E,hp s' ⊢ Val a : T [] by (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
from wta' wti' show ?case by(fastforce)
next
assume wta: P,E,hp s ⊢ Val a : NT
from wta red-hext-incr[OF issi] have wta': P,E,hp s' ⊢ Val a : NT by (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
from wta' wti' show ?case
by(fastforce elim: WTrtAAccNT)
qed
next
case RedAAccNull thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case RedAAccBounds thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case (RedAAcc h a T n i v l T' E)
from ⟨E ⊢ (h, l) √⟩ have hconf h by(clar simp simp add: sconf-def)
from ⟨0 <= s i⟩ ⟨sint i < int n⟩
have nat (sint i) < n
by (simp add: word-sle-eq nat-less-iff)
with ⟨typeof-addr h a = [Array-type T n]⟩ have P,h ⊢ a@ACell (nat (sint i)) : T
by(auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
from heap-read-conf[OF ⟨heap-read h a (ACell (nat (sint i))) v this] ⟨hconf h⟩]
have P,h ⊢ v :≤ T by simp
thus ?case using RedAAcc by(auto simp add: conf-def)
next
case (AAssRed1 a s ta a' s' i e T E)
have IH: ∏E T. [E ⊢ s √; P,E,hp s ⊢ a : T; P,hp s ⊢ t √t] ⇒ ∃T'. P,E,hp s' ⊢ a' : T' ∧ P ⊢
T' ≤ T
and assa: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨a,s⟩ -ta→ ⟨a',s'⟩
and wt: P,E,hp s ⊢ a[i] := e : T
and sconf: E ⊢ s √
and tconf: P,hp s ⊢ t √t by fact+
from wt have void: T = Void by blast
from wt have wti: P,E,hp s ⊢ i : Integer by auto
from wti red-hext-incr[OF assa] have wti': P,E,hp s' ⊢ i : Integer by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
{ assume wta: P,E,hp s ⊢ a : NT
from IH[OF sconf wta tconf] have wta': P,E,hp s' ⊢ a' : NT by fastforce
from wt wta obtain V where wte: P,E,hp s ⊢ e : V by(auto)
from wte red-hext-incr[OF assa] have wte': P,E,hp s' ⊢ e : V by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
from wta' wti' wte' void have ?case
by(fastforce elim: WTrtAAccNT) }
moreover
{ fix U
assume wta: P,E,hp s ⊢ a : U []
from IH[OF sconf wta tconf]
obtain U' where wta': P,E,hp s' ⊢ a' : U' and UsSubT: P ⊢ U' ≤ U [] by fastforce
with wta' have ?case
proof(cases U')
case NT
assume UNT: U' = NT
from UNT wt wta obtain V where wte: P,E,hp s ⊢ e : V by(auto)
from wte red-hext-incr[OF assa] have wte': P,E,hp s' ⊢ e : V by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)

```

```

from wta' UNT wti' wte' void show ?thesis
  by(fastforce elim: WTrtAAssNT)
next
  case (Array A)
  have UA:  $U' = A[]$  by fact
  with UA  $U_{subT}$  wt wta obtain V where wte:  $P,E,hp\ s \vdash e : V$  by auto
  from wte red-hext-incr[OF assa] have wte':  $P,E,hp\ s' \vdash e : V$  by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
  with wta' wte' UA wti' void show ?thesis by (fast elim: WTrtAAss)
  qed(simp-all add: widen-Array) }
ultimately show ?case using wt by blast
next
  case (AAssRed2 i s ta i' s' a e T E)
  have IH:  $\bigwedge E\ T. [\![E \vdash s \checkmark; P,E,hp\ s \vdash i : T; P,hp\ s \vdash t \sqrt{t}]\!] \implies \exists T'. P,E,hp\ s' \vdash i' : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T$ 
    and issi: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨i,s⟩ –ta→ ⟨i',s'⟩
    and wt:  $P,E,hp\ s \vdash Val\ a[i] := e : T$ 
    and sconf:  $E \vdash s \checkmark$  and tconf:  $P,hp\ s \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  by fact+
  from wt have void:  $T = Void$  by blast
  from wt have wti:  $P,E,hp\ s \vdash i : Integer$  by auto
  from IH[OF sconf wti tconf] have wti':  $P,E,hp\ s' \vdash i' : Integer$  by fastforce
  from wt show ?case
  proof(rule WTrt-elim-cases)
    fix U T'
    assume wta:  $P,E,hp\ s \vdash Val\ a : U[]$ 
      and wte:  $P,E,hp\ s \vdash e : T'$ 
    from wte red-hext-incr[OF issi] have wte':  $P,E,hp\ s' \vdash e : T'$  by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
    from wta red-hext-incr[OF issi] have wta':  $P,E,hp\ s' \vdash Val\ a : U[]$  by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
    from wta' wti' wte' void show ?case by (fastforce elim: WTrtAAss)
  next
    fix T'
    assume wta:  $P,E,hp\ s \vdash Val\ a : NT$ 
      and wte:  $P,E,hp\ s \vdash e : T'$ 
    from wte red-hext-incr[OF issi] have wte':  $P,E,hp\ s' \vdash e : T'$  by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
    from wta red-hext-incr[OF issi] have wta':  $P,E,hp\ s' \vdash Val\ a : NT$  by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
    from wta' wti' wte' void show ?case by (fastforce elim: WTrtAAss)
  qed
next
  case (AAssRed3 e s ta e' s' a i T E)
  have IH:  $\bigwedge E\ T. [\![E \vdash s \checkmark; P,E,hp\ s \vdash e : T; P,hp\ s \vdash t \sqrt{t}]\!] \implies \exists T'. P,E,hp\ s' \vdash e' : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T$ 
    and issi: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,s⟩ –ta→ ⟨e',s'⟩
    and wt:  $P,E,hp\ s \vdash Val\ a[Val\ i] := e : T$ 
    and sconf:  $E \vdash s \checkmark$  and tconf:  $P,hp\ s \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  by fact+
  from wt have void:  $T = Void$  by blast
  from wt have wti:  $P,E,hp\ s \vdash Val\ i : Integer$  by auto
  from wti red-hext-incr[OF issi] have wti':  $P,E,hp\ s' \vdash Val\ i : Integer$  by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
  from wt show ?case
  proof(rule WTrt-elim-cases)
    fix U T'
    assume wta:  $P,E,hp\ s \vdash Val\ a : U[]$ 
      and wte:  $P,E,hp\ s \vdash e : T'$ 
    from wta red-hext-incr[OF issi] have wta':  $P,E,hp\ s' \vdash Val\ a : U[]$  by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
    from IH[OF sconf wte tconf]
    obtain V where wte':  $P,E,hp\ s' \vdash e' : V$  by fastforce

```

```

from wta' wti' wte' void show ?case by (fastforce elim:WTrtAAss)
next
fix T'
assume wta: P,E,hp s ⊢ Val a : NT
and wte: P,E,hp s ⊢ e : T'
from wta red-hext-incr[OF issi] have wta': P,E,hp s' ⊢ Val a : NT by – (rule WTrt-hext-mono)
from IH[OF sconf wte tconf]
obtain V where wte': P,E,hp s' ⊢ e' : V by fastforce
from wta' wti' wte' void show ?case by (fastforce elim:WTrtAAss)
qed
next
case RedAAssNull thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case RedAAssBounds thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case RedAAssStore thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case RedAAss thus ?case
by(auto simp del:fun-upd-apply)
next
case (ALengthRed a s ta a' s' T E)
note IH = ⟨ ∫ T'. [E ⊢ s √; P,E,hp s ⊢ a : T'; P,hp s ⊢ t √t] ⟩
      ⇒ ∃ T''. P,E,hp s' ⊢ a' : T'' ∧ P ⊢ T'' ≤ T'
from ⟨P,E,hp s ⊢ a.length : T⟩
show ?case
proof(rule WTrt-elim-cases)
fix T'
assume [simp]: T = Integer
and wta: P,E,hp s ⊢ a : T'[]
from wta ⟨E ⊢ s √⟩ IH ⟨P,hp s ⊢ t √t⟩
obtain T'' where wta': P,E,hp s' ⊢ a' : T''
and sub: P ⊢ T'' ≤ T'[] by blast
from sub have P,E,hp s' ⊢ a'.length : Integer
unfolding widen-Array
proof(rule disjE)
assume T'' = NT
with wta' show ?thesis by(auto)
next
assume ∃ V. T'' = V[] ∧ P ⊢ V ≤ T'
then obtain V where T'' = V[] P ⊢ V ≤ T' by blast
with wta' show ?thesis by –(rule WTrtALength, simp)
qed
thus ?thesis by(simp)
next
assume P,E,hp s ⊢ a : NT
with ⟨E ⊢ s √⟩ IH ⟨P,hp s ⊢ t √t⟩
obtain T'' where wta': P,E,hp s' ⊢ a' : T''
and sub: P ⊢ T'' ≤ NT by blast
from sub have T'' = NT by auto
with wta' show ?thesis by(auto)
qed

```

```

next
  case (RedALength h a T n l T' E)
    from  $\langle P, E, hp \mid (h, l) \vdash \text{addr } a \cdot \text{length} : T' \rangle$   $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h a = [\text{Array-type } T n] \rangle$ 
    have [simp]:  $T' = \text{Integer}$  by(auto)
    thus ?case by(auto)
next
  case RedALengthNull thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
    by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
  case (FAccRed e s ta e' s' F D T E)
    have IH:  $\bigwedge T. \llbracket E \vdash s \checkmark; P, E, hp \mid s \vdash e : T; P, hp \mid s \vdash t \sqrt{t} \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \exists U. P, E, hp \mid s' \vdash e' : U \wedge P \vdash U \leq T$ 
    and conf:  $E \vdash s \checkmark$  and wt:  $P, E, hp \mid s \vdash e \cdot F\{D\} : T$ 
    and tconf:  $P, hp \mid s \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  by fact+
    — Now distinguish the two cases how wt can have arisen.
  { fix  $T' C fm$ 
    assume wte:  $P, E, hp \mid s \vdash e : T'$ 
    and icto:  $\text{class-type-of}' T' = [C]$ 
    and has:  $P \vdash C \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D$ 
    from IH[OF conf wte tconf]
    obtain  $U$  where wte':  $P, E, hp \mid s' \vdash e' : U$  and UsubC:  $P \vdash U \leq T'$  by auto
    — Now distinguish what  $U$  can be.
    with UsubC have ?case
    proof(cases U = NT)
      case True
        thus ?thesis using wte' by(blast intro: WTrtFAccNT widen-refl)
    next
      case False
      with icto UsubC obtain C' where icto': class-type-of' U = [C']
        and C'subC:  $P \vdash C' \preceq^* C$ 
        by(rule widen-is-class-type-of)
        from has-field-mono[OF has C'subC] wte' icto'
        show ?thesis by(auto intro!: WTrtFAcc)
    qed }
  moreover
  { assume  $P, E, hp \mid s \vdash e : NT$ 
    hence  $P, E, hp \mid s' \vdash e' : NT$  using IH[OF conf - tconf] by fastforce
    hence ?case by(fastforce intro: WTrtFAccNT widen-refl) }
  ultimately show ?case using wt by blast
next
  case RedFAcc thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
    by(fastforce dest: heap-read-conf intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: conf-def)
next
  case RedFAccNull thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
    by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
  case (FAssRed1 e s ta e' s' F D e2)
    have red:  $\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashv \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
    and IH:  $\bigwedge T. \llbracket E \vdash s \checkmark; P, E, hp \mid s \vdash e : T; P, hp \mid s \vdash t \sqrt{t} \rrbracket$ 
       $\implies \exists U. P, E, hp \mid s' \vdash e' : U \wedge P \vdash U \leq T$ 
    and conf:  $E \vdash s \checkmark$  and wt:  $P, E, hp \mid s \vdash e \cdot F\{D\} := e_2 : T$ 
    and tconf:  $P, hp \mid s \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  by fact+
    from wt have void:  $T = \text{Void}$  by blast
    — We distinguish if e has type NT or a Class type

```

```

{ assume  $P, E, hp \ s \vdash e : NT$ 
  hence  $P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e' : NT$  using  $IH[OF\ conf - tconf]$  by fastforce
  moreover obtain  $T_2$  where  $P, E, hp \ s \vdash e_2 : T_2$  using  $wt$  by auto
  from this red-hext-incr[ $OF\ red$ ] have  $P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e_2 : T_2$ 
    by(rule WTrt-hext-mono)
  ultimately have ?case using void by(blast intro!:WTrtFAssNT)
}

moreover
{ fix  $T' C TF T_2 fm$ 
  assume  $wt_1: P, E, hp \ s \vdash e : T'$  and  $icto: class-type-of' T' = \lfloor C \rfloor$  and  $wt_2: P, E, hp \ s \vdash e_2 : T_2$ 
    and  $has: P \vdash C has F:TF (fm) in D$  and  $sub: P \vdash T_2 \leq TF$ 
  obtain  $U$  where  $wt_1': P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e' : U$  and  $UsubC: P \vdash U \leq T'$ 
    using  $IH[OF\ conf\ wt_1\ tconf]$  by blast
  have  $wt_2': P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e_2 : T_2$ 
    by(rule WTrt-hext-mono[ $OF\ wt_2\ red\text{-}hext\text{-}incr[OF\ red]$ ])
  — Is  $U$  the null type or a class type?
  have ?case
  proof(cases  $U = NT$ )
    case True
    with  $wt_1' wt_2' void$  show ?thesis by(blast intro!:WTrtFAssNT)
  next
    case False
    with  $icto\ UsubC$  obtain  $C'$  where  $icto': class-type-of' U = \lfloor C' \rfloor$ 
      and  $subclass: P \vdash C' \preceq^* C$  by(rule widen-is-class-type-of)
    have  $P \vdash C' has F:TF (fm) in D$  by(rule has-field-mono[ $OF\ has\ subclass$ ])
      with  $wt_1'$  show ?thesis using  $wt_2'$  sub void  $icto'$  by(blast intro!:WTrtFAss)
  qed }
ultimately show ?case using wt by blast
next
case (FAssRed2  $e_2\ s\ ta\ e_2'\ s'\ v\ F\ D\ T\ E$ )
have  $red: extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e_2, s \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle e_2', s' \rangle$ 
and  $IH: \bigwedge E\ T. [E \vdash s \checkmark; P, E, hp \ s \vdash e_2 : T; P, hp \ s \vdash t \checkmark] \implies \exists U. P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e_2' : U \wedge P \vdash U \leq T$ 
and  $conf: E \vdash s \checkmark$  and  $wt: P, E, hp \ s \vdash Val\ v \cdot F\{D\} := e_2 : T$ 
and  $tconf: P, hp \ s \vdash t \checkmark$  by fact+
from  $wt$  have [simp]:  $T = Void$  by auto
from  $wt$  show ?case
proof (rule WTrt-elim-cases)
fix  $U\ C\ TF\ T_2\ fm$ 
assume  $wt_1: P, E, hp \ s \vdash Val\ v : U$ 
  and  $icto: class-type-of' U = \lfloor C \rfloor$ 
  and  $has: P \vdash C has F:TF (fm) in D$ 
  and  $wt_2: P, E, hp \ s \vdash e_2 : T_2$  and  $TsubTF: P \vdash T_2 \leq TF$ 
have  $wt_1': P, E, hp \ s' \vdash Val\ v : U$ 
  by(rule WTrt-hext-mono[ $OF\ wt_1\ red\text{-}hext\text{-}incr[OF\ red]$ ])
obtain  $T_2'$  where  $wt_2': P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e_2' : T_2'$  and  $T'subT: P \vdash T_2' \leq T_2$ 
  using  $IH[OF\ conf\ wt_2\ tconf]$  by blast
have  $P, E, hp \ s' \vdash Val\ v \cdot F\{D\} := e_2' : Void$ 
  by(rule WTrtFAss[ $OF\ wt_1'\ icto\ has\ wt_2'\ widen\text{-}trans[OF\ T'subT\ TsubTF]$ ])
thus ?case by auto
next
fix  $T_2$  assume  $null: P, E, hp \ s \vdash Val\ v : NT$  and  $wt_2: P, E, hp \ s \vdash e_2 : T_2$ 
from  $null$  have  $v = Null$  by simp
moreover

```

```

obtain  $T_2'$  where  $P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e_2' : T_2' \wedge P \vdash T_2' \leq T_2$ 
  using  $IH[OF \ conf \ wt_2 \ tconf]$  by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: WTrtFAssNT)
qed
next
  case RedFAss thus ?case by(auto simp del:fun-upd-apply)
next
  case RedFAssNull thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
    by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
  case (CASRed1 e s ta e' s' D F e2 e3)
  from CASRed1.prems(2) consider (NT) T2 T3 where
     $P, E, hp \ s \vdash e : NT \quad T = Boolean \quad P, E, hp \ s \vdash e2 : T2 \quad P, E, hp \ s \vdash e3 : T3$ 
    | (RefT)  $U \ T' \ C \ fm \ T2 \ T3$  where
       $P, E, hp \ s \vdash e : U \ T = Boolean \ class-type-of' \ U = \lfloor C \rfloor \ P \vdash C \ has \ F : T' \ (fm) \ in \ D$ 
       $P, E, hp \ s \vdash e2 : T2 \ P \vdash T2 \leq T' \ P, E, hp \ s \vdash e3 : T3 \ P \vdash T3 \leq T' \ volatile \ fm$  by fastforce
thus ?case
proof cases
  case NT
  have  $P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e' : NT$  using CASRed1.hyps(2)[OF CASRed1.prems(1) NT(1) CASRed1.prems(3)]
by auto
  moreover from NT CASRed1.hyps(1)[THEN red-hext-incr]
  have  $P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e2 : T2 \ P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e3 : T3$  by(auto intro: WTrt-hext-mono)
  ultimately show ?thesis using NT by(auto intro: WTrtCASNT)
next
  case RefT
  from CASRed1.hyps(2)[OF CASRed1.prems(1) RefT(1) CASRed1.prems(3)]
  obtain  $U'$  where  $wt1: P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e' : U' \ P \vdash U' \leq U$  by blast
  from RefT CASRed1.hyps(1)[THEN red-hext-incr]
  have  $wt2: P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e2 : T2$  and  $wt3: P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e3 : T3$  by(auto intro: WTrt-hext-mono)
  show ?thesis
proof(cases  $U' = NT$ )
  case True
  with RefT wt1 wt2 wt3 show ?thesis by(auto intro: WTrtCASNT)
next
  case False
  with RefT(3) wt1 obtain  $C'$  where  $icto': class-type-of' \ U' = \lfloor C' \rfloor$ 
    and subclass:  $P \vdash C' \preceq^* C$  by(blast intro: widen-is-class-type-of)
  have  $P \vdash C' \ has \ F : T' \ (fm) \ in \ D$  by(rule has-field-mono[OF RefT(4) subclass])
  with RefT wt1 wt2 wt3 icto' show ?thesis by(auto intro!: WTrtCAS)
qed
qed
next
  case (CASRed2 e s ta e' s' v D F e3)
  consider (Null)  $v = Null \mid (Val) \ U \ C \ T' \ fm \ T2 \ T3$  where
     $class-type-of' \ U = \lfloor C \rfloor \ P \vdash C \ has \ F : T' \ (fm) \ in \ D \ volatile \ fm$ 
     $P, E, hp \ s \vdash e : T2 \ P \vdash T2 \leq T' \ P, E, hp \ s \vdash e3 : T3 \ P \vdash T3 \leq T' \ T = Boolean$ 
     $typeof_{hp} \ s \ v = \lfloor U \rfloor$  using CASRed2.prems(2) by auto
  then show ?case
proof cases
  case Null
  then show ?thesis using CASRed2
    by(force dest: red-hext-incr intro: WTrt-hext-mono WTrtCASNT)
next

```

```

case Val
from CASRed2.hyps(1) have hext:  $hp s \leq hp s'$  by(auto dest: red-hext-incr)
with Val(9) have typeof $_{hp}$   $s' v = [U]$  by(rule type-of-hext-type-of)
moreover from CASRed2.hyps(2)[OF CASRed2.prefs(1) Val(4) CASRed2.prefs(3)] Val(5)
obtain  $T2'$  where  $P,E,hp s' \vdash e' : T2' P \vdash T2' \leq T'$  by(auto intro: widen-trans)
moreover from Val(6) hext have  $P,E,hp s' \vdash e3 : T3$  by(rule WTrt-hext-mono)
ultimately show ?thesis using Val by(auto intro: WTrtCAS)
qed
next
case (CASRed3 e s ta e' s' v D F v')
consider (Null)  $v = Null$  | (Val)  $U C T' fm T2 T3$  where
 $T = Boolean\ class-type-of' U = [C] P \vdash C has F:T' (fm)$  in  $D$  volatile fm
 $P \vdash T2 \leq T' P,E,hp s \vdash e : T3 P \vdash T3 \leq T'$ 
 $typeof_{hp} s v = [U] typeof_{hp} s' v' = [T2]$ 
using CASRed3.prefs(2) by auto
then show ?case
proof cases
case Null
then show ?thesis using CASRed3
by(force dest: red-hext-incr intro: type-of-hext-type-of WTrtCASNT)
next
case Val
from CASRed3.hyps(1) have hext:  $hp s \leq hp s'$  by(auto dest: red-hext-incr)
with Val(8,9) have typeof $_{hp}$   $s' v = [U]$  typeof $_{hp}$   $s' v' = [T2]$ 
by(blast intro: type-of-hext-type-of)+
moreover from CASRed3.hyps(2)[OF CASRed3.prefs(1) Val(6) CASRed3.prefs(3)] Val(7)
obtain  $T3'$  where  $P,E,hp s' \vdash e' : T3' P \vdash T3' \leq T'$  by(auto intro: widen-trans)
ultimately show ?thesis using Val by(auto intro: WTrtCAS)
qed
next
case CASNull thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case (CallObj e s ta e' s' M es T E)
have red: extTA,P,t  $\vdash \langle e,s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e',s' \rangle$ 
and IH:  $\bigwedge E T. \llbracket E \vdash s \checkmark; P,E,hp s \vdash e : T; P,hp s \vdash t \checkmark \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists U. P,E,hp s' \vdash e' : U \wedge P \vdash U \leq T$ 
and conf:  $E \vdash s \checkmark$  and wt:  $P,E,hp s \vdash e.M(es) : T$ 
and tconf:  $P,hp s \vdash t \checkmark$  by fact+
— We distinguish if  $e$  has type  $NT$  or a Class type
from wt show ?case
proof(rule WTrt-elim-cases)
fix  $T' C Ts meth D Us$ 
assume wte:  $P,E,hp s \vdash e : T'$  and icto: class-type-of'  $T' = [C]$ 
and method:  $P \vdash C$  sees  $M:Ts \rightarrow T = meth$  in  $D$ 
and wtes:  $P,E,hp s \vdash es :: Us$  and subs:  $P \vdash Us \leq Ts$ 
obtain  $U$  where wte':  $P,E,hp s' \vdash e' : U$  and usubC:  $P \vdash U \leq T'$ 
using IH[OF conf wte tconf] by blast
show ?thesis
proof(cases  $U = NT$ )
case True
moreover have  $P,E,hp s' \vdash es :: Us$ 
by(rule WTrts-hext-mono[OF wtes red-hext-incr[OF red]])
ultimately show ?thesis using wte' by(blast intro!: WTrtCallNT)

```

```

next
  case False
  with icto UsubC obtain C'
    where icto': class-type-of' U = [C'] and subclass: P ⊢ C' ≤* C
      by(rule widen-is-class-type-of)

  obtain Ts' T' meth' D'
    where method': P ⊢ C' sees M:Ts'→T' = meth' in D'
      and subs': P ⊢ Ts [≤] Ts' and sub': P ⊢ T' ≤ T
      using Call-lemma[OF method subclass wf] by fast
    have wtes': P,E,hp s' ⊢ es [:] Us
      by(rule WTrts-hext-mono[OF wtes red-hext-incr[OF red]])
    show ?thesis using wtes' wte' icto' subs method' subs' sub' by(blast intro:widens-trans)
qed
next
fix Ts
assume P,E,hp s ⊢ e:NT
hence P,E,hp s' ⊢ e' : NT using IH[OF conf - tconf] by fastforce
moreover
fix Ts assume wtes: P,E,hp s ⊢ es [:] Ts
have P,E,hp s' ⊢ es [:] Ts
  by(rule WTrts-hext-mono[OF wtes red-hext-incr[OF red]])
ultimately show ?thesis by(blast intro!:WTrtCallNT)
qed
next
case (CallParams es s ta es' s' v M T E)
have reds: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es,s⟩ [-ta→] ⟨es',s'⟩
and IH: ⋀ Ts E. [E ⊢ s √; P,E,hp s ⊢ es [:] Ts; P,hp s ⊢ t √t]
  ⇒ ∃ Ts'. P,E,hp s' ⊢ es' [:] Ts' ∧ P ⊢ Ts' [≤] Ts
and conf: E ⊢ s √ and wt: P,E,hp s ⊢ Val v·M(es) : T
and tconf: P,hp s ⊢ t √t by fact+
from wt show ?case
proof (rule WTrt-elim-cases)
fix U C Ts meth D Us
assume wte: P,E,hp s ⊢ Val v : U and icto: class-type-of' U = [C]
  and P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T = meth in D
  and wtes: P,E,hp s ⊢ es [:] Us and P ⊢ Us [≤] Ts
moreover have P,E,hp s' ⊢ Val v : U
  by(rule WTrt-hext-mono[OF wte reds-hext-incr[OF reds]])
moreover obtain Us' where P,E,hp s' ⊢ es' [:] Us' P ⊢ Us' [≤] Us
  using IH[OF conf wtes tconf] by blast
ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce intro:WTrtCall widens-trans)
next
fix Us
assume null: P,E,hp s ⊢ Val v : NT and wtes: P,E,hp s ⊢ es [:] Us
from null have v = Null by simp
moreover
obtain Us' where P,E,hp s' ⊢ es' [:] Us' ∧ P ⊢ Us' [≤] Us
  using IH[OF conf wtes tconf] by blast
ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce intro:WTrtCallNT)
qed
next
case (RedCall s a U M Ts T pns body D vs T' E)
have hp: typeof-addr (hp s) a = [U]

```

```

and method:  $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor(pns, body)\rfloor \text{ in } D$ 
and wt:  $P, E, hp \vdash \text{addr } a \cdot M(\text{map Val vs}) : T' \text{ by fact+}$ 
obtain  $Ts'$  where wtes:  $P, E, hp \vdash \text{map Val vs} [:] Ts'$ 
and subs:  $P \vdash Ts' \leq Ts \text{ and } T' \text{ is } T: T' = T$ 
using wt method hp wf by(auto 4 3 dest: sees-method-fun)
from wtes subs have length-vs:  $\text{length vs} = \text{length } Ts$ 
by(auto simp add: WTrts-conv-list-all2 dest!: list-all2-lengthD)
have UsubD:  $P \vdash \text{ty-of-htype } U \leq \text{Class } (\text{class-type-of } U)$ 
by(cases U)(simp-all add: widen-array-object)
from sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf method] obtain  $T''$ 
where wtobody:  $P, [this \# pns \mapsto] \text{Class } D \# Ts \vdash body :: T''$ 
and  $T'' \text{ sub } T: P \vdash T'' \leq T \text{ and } \text{length-pns: } \text{length pns} = \text{length } Ts$ 
by(fastforce simp:wf-mdecl-def simp del:map-upds-twist)
from wtobody have  $P, \text{Map.empty}([this \# pns \mapsto] \text{Class } D \# Ts), hp \vdash body : T''$ 
by(rule WT-implies-WTrt)
hence  $P, E([this \# pns \mapsto] \text{Class } D \# Ts), hp \vdash body : T''$ 
by(rule WTrt-env-mono) simp
hence  $P, E, hp \vdash \text{blocks } ([this \# pns] (\text{Class } D \# Ts) (\text{Addr } a \# vs) body : T''$ 
using wtes subs hp sees-method-decl-above[OF method] length-vs length-pns UsubD
by(auto simp add:wt-blocks rel-list-all2-Cons2 intro: widen-trans)
with  $T'' \text{ sub } T \text{ is } T \text{ show ?case by blast}$ 
next
case (RedCallExternal s a U M Ts T' D vs ta va h' ta' e' s')
from  $\langle P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), hp \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle \rangle$  have  $hp \vdash s \leq h' \text{ by(rule red-external-hext)}$ 
with  $\langle P, E, hp \vdash \text{addr } a \cdot M(\text{map Val vs}) : T \rangle$ 
have  $P, E, h' \vdash \text{addr } a \cdot M(\text{map Val vs}) : T \text{ by(rule WTrt-hext-mono)}$ 
moreover from  $\langle \text{typeof-addr } (hp \vdash s) a = \lfloor U \rfloor \rangle \langle P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T' = \text{Native in } D \rangle$ 
have  $P, E, hp \vdash \text{addr } a \cdot M(\text{map Val vs}) : T$ 
have  $P, hp \vdash a \cdot M(vs) : T'$ 
by(fastforce simp add: external-WT'-iff dest: sees-method-fun)
ultimately show ?case using RedCallExternal
by(auto 4 3 intro: red-external-conf-extRet[OF wf] intro!: wt-external-call simp add: sconf-def dest: sees-method-fun[where C=class-type-of U])
next
case RedCallNull thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case (BlockRed e h x V vo ta e' h' x' T T' E)
note IH =  $\langle \bigwedge T E. \llbracket E \vdash (h, x(V := vo)) \vee; P, E, hp \vdash (h, x(V := vo)) \vdash e : T; P, hp \vdash (h, x(V := vo)) \vdash t \vee t \rrbracket \implies \exists T'. P, E, hp \vdash (h', x') \vdash e' : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T \rangle \text{ simplified}$ 
from  $\langle P, E, hp \vdash (h, x) \vdash \{V: T = vo; e\} : T' \rangle$  have  $P, E \vdash (V \mapsto T), h \vdash e : T' \text{ by(cases vo, auto)}$ 
moreover from  $\langle E \vdash (h, x) \vee \rangle \langle P, E, hp \vdash (h, x) \vdash \{V: T = vo; e\} : T' \rangle$ 
have  $(E(V \mapsto T)) \vdash (h, x(V := vo)) \vee$ 
by(cases vo)(simp add: lconf-def sconf-def,auto simp add: sconf-def conf-def intro: lconf-upd2)
ultimately obtain  $T''$  where wt':  $P, E \vdash (V \mapsto T), h' \vdash e' : T'' \wedge P \vdash T'' \leq T' \text{ using } \langle P, hp \vdash (h, x) \vdash t \vee t \rangle$ 
by(auto dest: IH)
{ fix v
assume vo:  $x' V = \lfloor v \rfloor$ 
from  $\langle (E(V \mapsto T)) \vdash (h, x(V := vo)) \vee \rangle \langle \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, x(V := vo)) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', x') \rangle \rangle$ 
have  $P, h' \vdash x' (\leq) (E(V \mapsto T)) \text{ by(auto simp add: sconf-def dest: red-preserves-lconf)}$ 
with vo have  $\exists T'. \text{typeof}_{h'} v = \lfloor T' \rfloor \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T \text{ by(fastforce simp add: sconf-def lconf-def)}$ 

```

```

 $\text{conf-def})$ 
  then obtain  $T'$  where  $\text{typeof}_{h'} v = \lfloor T' \rfloor$   $P \vdash T' \leq T$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
    hence  $?case$  using  $wt' \text{vo by}(auto)$  }
  moreover
  { assume  $x' V = \text{None}$  with  $wt'$  have  $?case$  by( $auto$ ) }
  ultimately show  $?case$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
next
  case  $\text{RedBlock}$  thus  $?case$  by  $auto$ 
next
  case ( $\text{SynchronizedRed1} o' s \text{ ta } o'' s' e T E$ )
    have  $red: \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle o', s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle o'', s' \rangle$  by  $\text{fact}$ 
    have  $IH: \bigwedge T E. [\![E \vdash s \vee; P, E, hp s \vdash o' : T; P, hp s \vdash t \vee t]\!] \implies \exists T'. P, E, hp s' \vdash o'' : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T$  by  $\text{fact}$ 
    have  $conf: E \vdash s \vee$  by  $\text{fact}$ 
    have  $wt: P, E, hp s \vdash \text{sync}(o') e : T$  by  $\text{fact+}$ 
    thus  $?case$ 
    proof(rule WTrt-elim-cases)
      fix  $To$ 
      assume  $wto: P, E, hp s \vdash o' : To$ 
      and  $refT: \text{is-refT } To$ 
      and  $wte: P, E, hp s \vdash e : T$ 
      from  $IH[O \text{f conf wto } \langle P, hp s \vdash t \vee t \rangle]$  obtain  $To'$  where  $P, E, hp s' \vdash o'' : To'$  and  $sub: P \vdash To' \leq To$  by  $auto$ 
      moreover have  $P, E, hp s' \vdash e : T$ 
        by(rule  $\text{WTrt-hext-mono}[O \text{f wte red-hext-incr}[O \text{f red}]]$ )
      moreover have  $\text{is-refT } To'$  using  $refT \text{ sub by}(auto \text{ intro: widen-refT})$ 
      ultimately show  $?thesis$  by( $auto$ )
    qed
next
  case  $\text{SynchronizedNull}$  thus  $?case$  unfolding  $sconf-def$ 
    by( $\text{fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable}[O \text{f wf}]$ )
next
  case  $\text{LockSynchronized}$  thus  $?case$  by( $auto$ )
next
  case ( $\text{SynchronizedRed2} e s \text{ ta } e' s' a T E$ )
    have  $red: \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$  by  $\text{fact}$ 
    have  $IH: \bigwedge T E. [\![E \vdash s \vee; P, E, hp s \vdash e : T; P, hp s \vdash t \vee t]\!] \implies \exists T'. P, E, hp s' \vdash e' : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T$  by  $\text{fact}$ 
    have  $conf: E \vdash s \vee$  by  $\text{fact}$ 
    have  $wt: P, E, hp s \vdash \text{insync}(a) e : T$  by  $\text{fact}$ 
    thus  $?case$ 
    proof(rule WTrt-elim-cases)
      fix  $Ta$ 
      assume  $P, E, hp s \vdash e : T$ 
      and  $hpa: \text{typeof-addr } (hp s) a = \lfloor Ta \rfloor$ 
      from  $\langle P, E, hp s \vdash e : T \rangle \text{ conf } \langle P, hp s \vdash t \vee t \rangle$  obtain  $T'$ 
        where  $P, E, hp s' \vdash e' : T' P \vdash T' \leq T$  by( $\text{blast dest: IH}$ )
      moreover from  $red$  have  $\text{hext: } hp s \trianglelefteq hp s' \text{ by}(auto \text{ dest: red-hext-incr})$ 
      with  $hpa$  have  $P, E, hp s' \vdash \text{addr } a : \text{ty-of-htype } Ta$ 
        by( $auto \text{ intro: typeof-addr-hext-mono}$ )
      ultimately show  $?thesis$  by  $auto$ 
    qed
next
  case  $\text{UnlockSynchronized}$  thus  $?case$  by( $auto$ )

```

```

next
  case SeqRed thus ?case
    apply(auto)
    apply(drule WTrt-hext-mono[OF - red-hext-incr], assumption)
    by auto
next
  case (CondRed b s ta b' s' e1 e2 T E)
  have red: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨b,s⟩ −ta→⟨b',s'⟩ by fact
  have IH: ⋀T E. [E ⊢ s √; P,E,hp s ⊢ b : T; P,hp s ⊢ t √t] ⇒ ∃T'. P,E,hp s' ⊢ b' : T' ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ T by fact
  have conf: E ⊢ s √ by fact
  have wt: P,E,hp s ⊢ if (b) e1 else e2 : T by fact
  thus ?case
  proof(rule WTrt-elim-cases)
    fix T1 T2
    assume wtb: P,E,hp s ⊢ b : Boolean
    and wte1: P,E,hp s ⊢ e1 : T1
    and wte2: P,E,hp s ⊢ e2 : T2
    and lub: P ⊢ lub(T1, T2) = T
    from IH[OF conf wtb ⟨P,hp s ⊢ t √t⟩] have P,E,hp s' ⊢ b' : Boolean by(auto)
    moreover have P,E,hp s' ⊢ e1 : T1
      by(rule WTrt-hext-mono[OF wte1 red-hext-incr[OF red]])
    moreover have P,E,hp s' ⊢ e2 : T2
      by(rule WTrt-hext-mono[OF wte2 red-hext-incr[OF red]])
    ultimately show ?thesis using lub by auto
  qed
next
  case (ThrowRed e s ta e' s' T E)
  have IH: ⋀T E. [E ⊢ s √; P,E,hp s ⊢ e : T; P,hp s ⊢ t √t] ⇒ ∃T'. P,E,hp s' ⊢ e' : T' ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ T by fact
  have conf: E ⊢ s √ by fact
  have wt: P,E,hp s ⊢ throw e : T by fact
  then obtain T'
    where wte: P,E,hp s ⊢ e : T'
    and nobject: P ⊢ T' ≤ Class Throwable by auto
  from IH[OF conf wte ⟨P,hp s ⊢ t √t⟩] obtain T"
    where wte': P,E,hp s' ⊢ e' : T"
    and PT'T": P ⊢ T" ≤ T' by blast
  from nobject PT'T" have P ⊢ T" ≤ Class Throwable
    by(auto simp add: widen-Class)(erule noteE, rule rtranclp-trans)
  hence P,E,hp s' ⊢ throw e' : T using wte' PT'T"
    by -(erule WTrtThrow)
  thus ?case by(auto)
next
  case RedThrowNull thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
    by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
  case (TryRed e s ta e' s' C V e2 T E)
  have red: extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,s⟩ −ta→⟨e',s'⟩ by fact
  have IH: ⋀T E. [E ⊢ s √; P,E,hp s ⊢ e : T; P,hp s ⊢ t √t] ⇒ ∃T'. P,E,hp s' ⊢ e' : T' ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ T by fact
  have conf: E ⊢ s √ by fact
  have wt: P,E,hp s ⊢ try e catch(C V) e2 : T by fact
  thus ?case

```

```

proof(rule WTrt-elim-cases)
fix T1
assume wte: P,E,hp s ⊢ e : T1
  and wte2: P,E(V ↪ Class C),hp s ⊢ e2 : T
  and sub: P ⊢ T1 ≤ T
from IH[OF conf wte <P,hp s ⊢ t √t>] obtain T1' where P,E,hp s' ⊢ e' : T1' and P ⊢ T1' ≤
T1 by(auto)
moreover have P,E(V ↪ Class C),hp s' ⊢ e2 : T
  by(rule WTrt-hext-mono[OF wte2 red-hext-incr[OF red]])
ultimately show ?thesis using sub by(auto elim: widen-trans)
qed
next
case RedTryFail thus ?case unfolding sconf-def
  by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
next
case RedSeq thus ?case by auto
next
case RedCondT thus ?case by(auto dest: is-lub-upper)
next
case RedCondF thus ?case by(auto dest: is-lub-upper)
next
case RedWhile thus ?case by(fastforce)
next
case RedTry thus ?case by auto
next
case RedTryCatch thus ?case by(fastforce)
next
case (ListRed1 e s ta e' s' es Ts E)
  note IH = <A T E. [E ⊢ s √; P,E,hp s ⊢ e : T; P,hp s ⊢ t √t] ⟹ ∃ T'. P,E,hp s' ⊢ e' : T' ∧ P
  ⊢ T' ≤ T>
  from <P,E,hp s ⊢ e # es [:] Ts> obtain T Ts' where Ts = T # Ts' P,E,hp s ⊢ e : T P,E,hp s ⊢
es [:] Ts' by auto
  with IH[of E T] <E ⊢ s √> WTrts-hext-mono[OF <P,E,hp s ⊢ es [:] Ts'> red-hext-incr[OF <extTA,P,t
  ⊢ <e,s> -ta→ <e',s'>>]]
  show ?case using <P,hp s ⊢ t √t> by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons2 intro: widens-refl)
next
case ListRed2 thus ?case
  by(fastforce dest: hext-typeof-mono[OF reds-hext-incr])
qed(fastforce) +
end

```

4.14 Progress and type safety theorem for the multithreaded system

```

theory ProgressThreaded
imports
  Threaded
  TypeSafe
  ..../Framework/FWProgress
begin

lemma lock-ok-ls-Some-ex-ts-not-final:

```

```

assumes lock: lock-ok ls ts
and hl: has-lock (ls $ l) t
shows  $\exists e x ln. ts t = \lfloor((e, x), ln)\rfloor \wedge \neg final e$ 
proof -
  from lock have lock-thread-ok ls ts
  by(rule lock-ok-lock-thread-ok)
  with hl obtain e x ln
    where tst: ts t =  $\lfloor((e, x), ln)\rfloor$ 
    by(auto dest!: lock-thread-okD)
  { assume final e
    hence expr-locks e l = 0 by(rule final-locks)
    with lock tst have has-locks (ls $ l) t = 0
    by(auto dest: lock-okD2[rule-format, where l=l])
    with hl have False by simp }
    with tst show ?thesis by auto
  qed

```

4.14.1 Preservation lemmata

4.14.2 Definite assignment

abbreviation

$def-ass-ts-ok :: ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr \times 'addr locals) thread-info \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow bool$
where

$def-ass-ts-ok \equiv ts-ok (\lambda t (e, x) h. \mathcal{D} e \lfloor dom x \rfloor)$

context $J\text{-}heap\text{-}base$ **begin**

lemma assumes wf: wf-J-prog P

shows red-def-ass-new-thread:

$\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; NewThread t'' (e'', x'') c'' \in set \{ta\}_t \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{D} e'' \lfloor dom x'' \rfloor$

and reds-def-ass-new-thread:

$\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; NewThread t'' (e'', x'') c'' \in set \{ta\}_t \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{D} e'' \lfloor dom x'' \rfloor$

proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)

case (RedCallExternal s a T M vs ta va h' ta' e' s')

then obtain C fs a **where** subThread: $P \vdash C \preceq^* Thread$ **and** ext: extNTA2J P (C, run, a) = (e'', x'')

by(fastforce dest: red-external-new-thread-sub-thread)

from sub-Thread-sees-run[OF wf subThread] **obtain** D pns body

where sees: $P \vdash C \text{ sees } run: [] \rightarrow Void = \lfloor(pns, body)\rfloor \text{ in } D$ **by** auto

from sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf this] **have** D body $\lfloor\{this\}\rfloor$

by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)

with sees ext **show** ?case **by**(clarify simp del: fun-upd-apply)

qed(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps)

lemma lifting-wf-def-ass: wf-J-prog P \implies lifting-wf final-expr (mred P) ($\lambda t (e, x) m. \mathcal{D} e \lfloor dom x \rfloor$)
apply(unfold-locales)

apply(auto dest: red-preserves-defass red-def-ass-new-thread)

done

lemma def-ass-ts-ok-J-start-state:

$\llbracket wf\text{-}J\text{-}prog P; P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor(pns, body)\rfloor \text{ in } D; length vs = length Ts \rrbracket \implies$
 $def-ass-ts-ok (thr (J\text{-}start\text{-}state P C M vs)) h$

```

apply(rule ts-okI)
apply(drule (1) sees-wf-mdecl)
apply(clar simp simp add: wf-mdecl-def start-state-def split: if-split-asm)
done

end

```

4.14.3 typeability

context *J-heap-base begin*

definition *type-ok* :: 'addr J-prog \Rightarrow env \times ty \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow bool
where *type-ok* *P* \equiv $(\lambda(E, T). e \ c. (\exists T'. (P, E, c \vdash e : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T)))$

definition *J-sconf-type-ET-start* :: 'm prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow ('thread-id \rightarrow (env \times ty))
where

J-sconf-type-ET-start *P C M* \equiv
let $(-, -, T, -)$ = *method* *P C M*
in $([\text{start-tid} \mapsto (\text{Map.empty}, T)])$

lemma fixes *E* :: env

assumes *wf*: wf-J-prog *P*

shows red-type-newthread:

$\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; P, E, hp \ s \vdash e : T; \text{NewThread } t''(e'', x'') (hp \ s') \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists E \ T. P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e'' : T \wedge P, hp \ s' \vdash x'' (\leq) E$

and reds-type-newthread:

$\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t''(e'', x'') (hp \ s') \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t; P, E, hp \ s \vdash es [:] Ts \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists E \ T. P, E, hp \ s' \vdash e'' : T \wedge P, hp \ s' \vdash x'' (\leq) E$

proof(induct arbitrary: *E T* **and** *E Ts* rule: red-reds.inducts)

case (*RedCallExternal* *s a U M Ts T' D vs ta va h' ta' e' s')*

from $\langle \text{NewThread } t''(e'', x'') (hp \ s') \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t, \langle ta' = \text{extTA2J } P \ ta \rangle$

obtain *C' M' a'* **where** *nt: NewThread t''(C', M', a') (hp s') \in set {ta}_t*

and *extNTA2J P (C', M', a') = (e'', x'')* **by** fastforce

from red-external-new-thread-sees[*OF wf* $\langle P, t \vdash \langle a.M(vs), hp \ s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \text{ext } \langle va, h' \rangle \ nt \rangle$ **typeof-addr** *(hp s)* *a* = $\lfloor U \rfloor$]

obtain *T pns body D* **where** *h'a': typeof-addr h' a' = $\lfloor \text{Class-type } C' \rfloor$*

and *sees: P $\vdash C' \ sees M' : [] \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor$ in D* **by** auto

from sees-wf-mdecl[*OF wf sees*] **obtain** *T* **where** *P, [this \mapsto Class D] $\vdash body :: T$*

by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)

hence *WTrt P (hp s') [this \mapsto Class D] body T* **by**(rule WT-implies-WTrt)

moreover from sees **have** *P $\vdash C' \preceq^* D$* **by**(rule sees-method-decl-above)

with *h'a'* **have** *P, h' $\vdash [this \mapsto \text{Addr } a'] (\leq) [this \mapsto \text{Class } D]$* **by**(auto simp add: lconf-def conf-def)

ultimately show ?case **using** *h'a' sees s' = (h', lcl s)*

$\langle \text{extNTA2J } P (C', M', a') = (e'', x'') \rangle$ **by**(fastforce intro: sees-method-decl-above)

qed(fastforce simp add: ta-upd-simps)+

end

context *J-heap-conf-base begin*

definition *sconf-type-ok* :: (env \times ty) \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'addr expr \times 'addr locals \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow bool
where

sconf-type-ok ET t ex h \equiv *fst ET* $\vdash (h, \text{snd } ex) \vee \wedge *type-ok P ET (fst ex) h* \wedge *P, h $\vdash t \vee t$*$

```

abbreviation sconf-type-ts-ok ::  

  ('thread-id → (env × ty)) ⇒ ('addr,'thread-id,'addr expr × 'addr locals) thread-info ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool  

where  

  sconf-type-ts-ok ≡ ts-inv sconf-type-ok

lemma ts-inv-ok-J-sconf-type-ET-start:  

  ts-inv-ok (thr (J-start-state P C M vs)) (J-sconf-type-ET-start P C M)  

by(rule ts-inv-okI)(simp add: start-state-def J-sconf-type-ET-start-def split-beta)

end

lemma (in J-heap) red-preserve-welltype:  

  [ extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h, x)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', (h', x')⟩; P,E,h ⊢ e'': T ] ⇒ P,E,h' ⊢ e'': T  

by(auto elim: WTrt-hext-mono dest!: red-hext-incr)

context J-heap-conf begin

lemma sconf-type-ts-ok-J-start-state:  

  [ wf-J-prog P; wf-start-state P C M vs ]  

  ⇒ sconf-type-ts-ok (J-sconf-type-ET-start P C M) (thr (J-start-state P C M vs)) (shr (J-start-state P C M vs))  

apply(erule wf-start-state.cases)  

apply(rule ts-invI)  

apply(simp add: start-state-def split: if-split-asm)  

apply(frule (1) sees-wf-mdecl)  

apply(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def J-sconf-type-ET-start-def sconf-type-ok-def sconf-def type-ok-def)  

  apply(erule hconf-start-heap)  

  apply(erule preallocated-start-heap)  

  apply(erule wf-prog-wf-syscls)  

apply(frule list-all2-lengthD)  

apply(auto simp add: wt-blocks confs-conv-map intro: WT-implies-WTrt)[1]  

apply(erule tconf-start-heap-start-tid)  

apply(erule wf-prog-wf-syscls)  

done

lemma J-start-state-sconf-type-ok:  

assumes wf: wf-J-prog P  

  and ok: wf-start-state P C M vs  

shows ts-ok (λt x h. ∃ET. sconf-type-ok ET t x h) (thr (J-start-state P C M vs)) start-heap  

using sconf-type-ts-ok-J-start-state[OF assms]  

unfolding shr-start-state by(rule ts-inv-into-ts-ok-Ex)

end

context J-conf-read begin

lemma red-preserves-type-ok:  

  [ extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',s'⟩; wf-J-prog P; E ⊢ s √; type-ok P (E, T) e (hp s); P,hp s ⊢ t √t ]  

  ⇒ type-ok P (E, T) e' (hp s')  

apply(clar simp simp add: type-ok-def)  

apply(subgoal-tac ∃ T''. P,E,hp s' ⊢ e' : T'' ∧ P ⊢ T'' ≤ T')  

  apply(fast elim: widen-trans)  

by(rule subject-reduction)

```

lemma lifting-inv-sconf-subject-ok:

assumes wf: wf-J-prog P

shows lifting-inv final-expr (mred P) sconf-type-ok

proof(unfold-locales)

fix t x m ta x' m' i

assume mred: mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')

and sconf-type-ok i t x m

moreover obtain e l where x [simp]: $x = (e, l)$ by(cases x, auto)

moreover obtain e' l' where x' [simp]: $x' = (e', l')$ by(cases x', auto)

moreover obtain E T where i [simp]: $i = (E, T)$ by(cases i, auto)

ultimately have sconf-type: sconf-type-ok (E, T) t (e, l) m

and red: P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (m, l)⟩ –ta→ ⟨e', (m', l')⟩ by auto

from sconf-type have sconf: $E \vdash (m, l) \vee \text{and type-ok } P (E, T) e m \text{ and } t \text{conf: } P, m \vdash t \sqrt{t}$
by(auto simp add: sconf-type-ok-def)

then obtain T' where $P, E, m \vdash e : T' P \vdash T' \leq T$ by(auto simp add: type-ok-def)

from ⟨E ⊢ (m, l) √⟩ ⟨P, E, m ⊢ e : T'⟩ red tconf
have $E \vdash (m', l') \vee$ by(auto elim: red-preserves-sconf)

moreover
from red ⟨P, E, m ⊢ e : T'⟩ wf ⟨E ⊢ (m, l) √⟩ tconf
obtain T'' where $P, E, m' \vdash e' : T'' P \vdash T'' \leq T'$
by(auto dest: subject-reduction)

note ⟨P, E, m' ⊢ e' : T''⟩

moreover
from ⟨P ⊢ T'' ≤ T'⟩ ⟨P ⊢ T' ≤ T⟩
have $P \vdash T'' \leq T$ by(rule widen-trans)

moreover from mred tconf have $P, m' \vdash t \sqrt{t}$ by(rule red-tconf.preserves-red)

ultimately have sconf-type-ok (E, T) t (e', l') m'
by(auto simp add: sconf-type-ok-def type-ok-def)

thus sconf-type-ok i t x' m' by simp

next

fix t x m ta x' m' i t'' x''

assume mred: mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')

and sconf-type-ok i t x m

and NewThread t'' x'' m' ∈ set {ta}t

moreover obtain e l where x [simp]: $x = (e, l)$ by(cases x, auto)

moreover obtain e' l' where x' [simp]: $x' = (e', l')$ by(cases x', auto)

moreover obtain E T where i [simp]: $i = (E, T)$ by(cases i, auto)

moreover obtain e'' l'' where x'' [simp]: $x'' = (e'', l'')$ by(cases x'', auto)

ultimately have sconf-type: sconf-type-ok (E, T) t (e, l) m

and red: P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (m, l)⟩ –ta→ ⟨e', (m', l')⟩
and nt: NewThread t'' (e'', l'') m' ∈ set {ta}t by auto

from sconf-type have sconf: $E \vdash (m, l) \vee \text{and type-ok } P (E, T) e m \text{ and } t \text{conf: } P, m \vdash t \sqrt{t}$
by(auto simp add: sconf-type-ok-def)

then obtain T' where $P, E, m \vdash e : T' P \vdash T' \leq T$ by(auto simp add: type-ok-def)

from nt ⟨P, E, m ⊢ e : T'⟩ red have $\exists E T. P, E, m' \vdash e' : T \wedge P, m' \vdash l'' (\leq) E$
by(fastforce dest: red-type-newthread[OF wf])

then obtain E'' T'' where $P, E'', m' \vdash e' : T'' P, m' \vdash l'' (\leq) E''$ by blast

moreover
from sconf red ⟨P, E, m ⊢ e : T'⟩ tconf have $E \vdash (m', l') \vee$
by(auto intro: red-preserves-sconf)

moreover from mred tconf ⟨NewThread t'' x'' m' ∈ set {ta}t⟩ have $P, m' \vdash t'' \sqrt{t}$
by(rule red-tconf.preserves-NewThread)

ultimately show $\exists i''. \text{sconf-type-ok } i'' t'' x'' m'$
by(auto simp add: sconf-type-ok-def type-ok-def sconf-def)

```

next
  fix  $t x m ta x' m' i i'' t'' x''$ 
  assume  $mred: mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')$ 
    and  $sconf-type-ok i t x m$ 
    and  $sconf-type-ok i'' t'' x'' m$ 
  moreover obtain  $e l$  where  $x [simp]: x = (e, l)$  by(cases  $x$ , auto)
  moreover obtain  $e' l'$  where  $x' [simp]: x' = (e', l')$  by(cases  $x'$ , auto)
  moreover obtain  $E T$  where  $i [simp]: i = (E, T)$  by(cases  $i$ , auto)
  moreover obtain  $e'' l''$  where  $x'' [simp]: x'' = (e'', l'')$  by(cases  $x''$ , auto)
  moreover obtain  $E'' T''$  where  $i'' [simp]: i'' = (E'', T'')$  by(cases  $i''$ , auto)
  ultimately have  $sconf-type: sconf-type-ok (E, T) t (e, l) m$ 
    and  $red: P, t \vdash \langle e, (m, l) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (m', l') \rangle$ 
    and  $sc: sconf-type-ok (E'', T'') t'' (e'', l'') m$  by auto
  from  $sconf-type$  obtain  $T'$  where  $P, E, m \vdash e : T'$  and  $P, m \vdash t \sqrt{t}$ 
    by(auto simp add: sconf-type-ok-def type-ok-def)
  from  $sc$  have  $sconf: E'' \vdash (m, l'') \sqrt{\cdot}$  and  $type-ok P (E'', T'') e'' m$  and  $P, m \vdash t'' \sqrt{t}$ 
    by(auto simp add: sconf-type-ok-def)
  then obtain  $T'''$  where  $P, E'', m \vdash e'' : T''' P \vdash T''' \leq T''$  by(auto simp add: type-ok-def)
  moreover from  $red \langle P, E'', m \vdash e'' : T''' \rangle$  have  $P, E'', m' \vdash e'' : T'''$ 
    by(rule red-preserve-welltype)
  moreover from  $sconf red \langle P, E, m \vdash e : T' \rangle$  have  $hconf m'$ 
    unfolding  $sconf$ -def by(auto dest: red-preserves-hconf)
  moreover {
    from  $red$  have  $hext m m'$  by(auto dest: red-hext-incr)
    moreover from  $sconf$  have  $P, m \vdash l'' (\leq) E''$  preallocated  $m$ 
      by(simp-all add: sconf-def)
    ultimately have  $P, m' \vdash l'' (\leq) E''$  preallocated  $m'$ 
      by(blast intro: lconf-hext preallocated-hext)+ }
  moreover from  $mred \langle P, m \vdash t \sqrt{t} \rangle \langle P, m \vdash t'' \sqrt{t} \rangle$ 
  have  $P, m' \vdash t'' \sqrt{t}$  by(rule red-tconf.preserves-other)
  ultimately have  $sconf-type-ok (E'', T'') t'' (e'', l'') m'$ 
    by(auto simp add: sconf-type-ok-def sconf-def type-ok-def)
  thus  $sconf-type-ok i'' t'' x'' m'$  by simp
qed

end

```

4.14.4 wf-red

context J -progress **begin**

context **begin**

```

declare  $red-mthr.actions-ok-iff$  [simp del]
declare  $red-mthr.actions-ok.cases$  [rule del]
declare  $red-mthr.actions-ok.intros$  [rule del]

```

lemma assumes $wf: wf-prog wf-md P$

shows $red-wf-red-aux:$

$\| P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \neg red-mthr.actions-ok' (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ta;$

$sync-ok e; hconf (hp s); P, hp s \vdash t \sqrt{t};$

$\forall l. has-locks (ls \$ l) t \geq expr-locks e l;$

$ws t = None \vee$

$(\exists a vs w T Ts Tr D. call e = \lfloor (a, wait, vs) \rfloor \wedge typeof-addr (hp s) a = \lfloor T \rfloor \wedge P \vdash class-type-of T$

$\text{sees wait: } Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D \wedge ws t = [\text{PostWS } w]) \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow \exists e'' s'' ta'. P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle e'', s'' \rangle \wedge$
 $(\text{red-mthr.actions-ok} (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ta' \vee$
 $\text{red-mthr.actions-ok}' (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ta' \wedge \text{red-mthr.actions-subset} ta' ta)$
(is $\llbracket \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; ?\text{wakeups } e s \rrbracket \Rightarrow ?\text{concl } e s ta$)
and $\text{reds-wf-red-aux}:$
 $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \neg \text{red-mthr.actions-ok}' (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ta;$
 $\text{sync-oks } es; hconf (hp s); P, hp s \vdash t \sqrt{t};$
 $\forall l. \text{has-locks} (ls \$ l) t \geq \text{expr-lockss } es l;$
 $ws t = \text{None } \vee$
 $(\exists a \text{ vs } w T Ts T Tr D. \text{calls } es = \llbracket (a, \text{wait}, vs) \rrbracket \wedge \text{typeof-addr} (hp s) a = \lfloor T \rfloor \wedge P \vdash \text{class-type-of}$
 $T \text{ sees wait: } Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D \wedge ws t = [\text{PostWS } w]) \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow \exists es'' s'' ta'. P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta' \rightarrow] \langle es'', s'' \rangle \wedge$
 $(\text{red-mthr.actions-ok} (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ta' \vee$
 $\text{red-mthr.actions-ok}' (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ta' \wedge \text{red-mthr.actions-subset} ta' ta)$
proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
case (*SynchronizedRed2* $e s ta e' s' a$)
note $IH = \langle \neg \text{red-mthr.actions-ok}' (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ta; \text{sync-ok } e; hconf (hp s); P, hp s \vdash t \sqrt{t};$
 $\forall l. \text{expr-locks } es l \leq \text{has-locks} (ls \$ l) t; ?\text{wakeups } e s \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow ?\text{concl } e s ta$
note $\neg \text{red-mthr.actions-ok}' (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ta$
moreover from $\langle \text{sync-ok } (\text{insync}(a) e) \rangle$ **have** $\text{sync-ok } e$ **by** *simp*
moreover note $\langle hconf (hp s) \rangle$ $\langle P, hp s \vdash t \sqrt{t} \rangle$
moreover from $\langle \forall l. \text{expr-locks } (\text{insync}(a) e) l \leq \text{has-locks} (ls \$ l) t \rangle$
have $\forall l. \text{expr-locks } es l \leq \text{has-locks} (ls \$ l) t$ **by** (force split: if-split-asm)
moreover from $\langle ?\text{wakeups } (\text{insync}(a) e) s \rangle$ **have** $? \text{wakeups } e s$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have $? \text{concl } e s ta$ **by** (*rule IH*)
thus $? \text{case}$ **by** (fastforce intro: *red-reds.SynchronizedRed2*)
next
case *RedCall* **thus** $? \text{case}$
by (auto simp add: *is-val iff contains-insync-conv contains-insyncs-conv red-mthr.actions-ok'-empty red-mthr.actions-ok'-ta-upd-obs dest: sees-method-fun*)
next
case (*RedCallExternal* $s a U M Ts T D vs ta va h' ta' e' s'$)
from $\langle ?\text{wakeups } (\text{addr } a \cdot M(\text{map Val } vs)) s \rangle$
have $wset (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t = \text{None} \vee (M = \text{wait} \wedge (\exists w. wset (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t = [\text{PostWS } w]))$ **by** *auto*
with wf $\langle P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), hp s \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle \rangle$ $\langle P, hp s \vdash t \sqrt{t} \rangle$ $\langle hconf (hp s) \rangle$
obtain $ta'' va' h''$ **where** $red': P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), hp s \rangle - ta'' \rightarrow ext \langle va', h'' \rangle$
and $aok: \text{red-mthr.actions-ok} (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ta'' \vee$
 $\text{red-mthr.actions-ok}' (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ta'' \wedge \text{final-thread.actions-subset} ta'' ta$
by (rule *red-external-wf-red*)
from $aok \langle ta' = extTA2J P ta' \rangle$
have $\text{red-mthr.actions-ok} (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t (extTA2J P ta'') \vee$
 $\text{red-mthr.actions-ok}' (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t (extTA2J P ta'') \wedge \text{red-mthr.actions-subset} (extTA2J P ta'')$
by (auto simp add: *red-mthr.actions-ok'-convert-extTA red-mthr.actions-ok-iff elim: final-thread.actions-subset.cases del: subsetI*)
moreover from $red' \langle \text{typeof-addr} (hp s) a = \lfloor U \rfloor \rangle \langle P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \text{Native in } D \rangle$
obtain $s'' e''$ **where** $P, t \vdash \langle \text{addr } a \cdot M(\text{map Val } vs), s \rangle - extTA2J P ta'' \rightarrow \langle e'', s'' \rangle$
by (fastforce intro: *red-reds.RedCallExternal*)
ultimately show $? \text{case}$ **by** *blast*
next

```

case LockSynchronized
hence False by(auto simp add: lock-ok-las'-def finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)
thus ?case ..
next
  case (UnlockSynchronized a v s)
  from < $\forall l. \text{expr-locks}(\text{insync}(a) \text{ Val } v) l \leq \text{has-locks}(\text{ls } \$ l) t$ >
  have has-lock (ls \$ a) t by(force split: if-split-asm)
  with UnlockSynchronized have False by(auto simp add: lock-ok-las'-def finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (SynchronizedThrow2 a ad s)
  from < $\forall l. \text{expr-locks}(\text{insync}(a) \text{ Throw } ad) l \leq \text{has-locks}(\text{ls } \$ l) t$ >
  have has-lock (ls \$ a) t by(force split: if-split-asm)
  with SynchronizedThrow2 have False
    by(auto simp add: lock-ok-las'-def finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)
  thus ?case ..
next
  case BlockRed thus ?case by(simp)(blast intro: red-reds.intros)
qed
(simp-all add: is-val-iff contains-insync-conv contains-insyncs-conv red-mthr.actions-ok'-empty
red-mthr.actions-ok'-ta-upd-obs thread-action'-to-thread-action.simps red-mthr.actions-ok-iff
split: if-split-asm del: split-paired-Ex,
(blast intro: red-reds.intros elim: add-leE)+)

end
end

context J-heap-base begin

lemma shows red-ta-satisfiable:
   $P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \exists s. \text{red-mthr.actions-ok } s t \text{ ta}$ 
  and reds-ta-satisfiable:
   $P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle \implies \exists s. \text{red-mthr.actions-ok } s t \text{ ta}$ 
  apply(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
  apply(fastforce simp add: lock-ok-las-def finfun-upd-apply intro: exI[where x=K$ None] exI[where x=K$ |(t, 0)|] may-lock.intros dest: red-external-ta-satisfiable[where final=final-expr :: ('addr expr × 'addr locals) ⇒ bool])+
  done

end

context J-typesafe begin

lemma wf-progress:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  shows progress final-expr (mred P)
    (red-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P (({s. sync-es-ok (thr s) (shr s)} ∩ {s. Es. sconf-type-ts-ok Es (thr s) (shr s)} ∩ {s. def-ass-ts-ok (thr s) (shr s)})) ∩ {s. (is progress - - ?wf-state)})
  proof
  {
    fix s t x ta x' m' w
    assume mred P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
  }

```

```

and Suspend: Suspend w ∈ set {ta}w
moreover obtain e xs where x: x = (e, xs) by(cases x)
moreover obtain e' xs' where x': x' = (e', xs') by(cases x')
ultimately have red: P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (shr s, xs)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', (m', xs')⟩ by simp
from red-Suspend-is-call[OF red Suspend]
show ¬ final-expr x' by(auto simp add: x')
}
note Suspend-final = this
{
fix s
assume s: s ∈ ?wf-state
hence lock-thread-ok (locks s) (thr s)
by(auto dest: red-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1 intro: lock-ok-lock-thread-ok)
moreover
have red-mthr.wset-final-ok (wset s) (thr s)
proof(rule red-mthr.wset-final-okI)
fix t w
assume wset s t = ⌊w⌋
from red-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD2[OF s this]
obtain x0 ta x m1 w' ln'' and s0 :: ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J-state
where mred: mred P t (x0, shr s0) ta (x, m1)
and Suspend: Suspend w' ∈ set {ta}w
and tst: thr s t = ⌊(x, ln'')⌋ by blast
from Suspend-final[OF mred Suspend] tst
show ∃x ln. thr s t = ⌊(x, ln)⌋ ∧ ¬ final-expr x by blast
qed
ultimately show lock-thread-ok (locks s) (thr s) ∧ red-mthr.wset-final-ok (wset s) (thr s) ..
}
next
fix s t ex ta e'x' m'
assume wfs: s ∈ ?wf-state
and thr s t = ⌊(ex, no-wait-locks)⌋
and mred P t (ex, shr s) ta (e'x', m')
and wait: ¬ waiting (wset s t)
moreover obtain ls ts m ws is where s: s = (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) by(cases s) fastforce
moreover obtain e x where ex: ex = (e, x) by(cases ex)
moreover obtain e' x' where e'x': e'x' = (e', x') by(cases e'x')
ultimately have tst: ts t = ⌊(ex, no-wait-locks)⌋
and red: P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (m, x)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', (m', x')⟩ by auto
from wf have wwf: wwf-J-prog P by(rule wf-prog-wwf-prog)
from wfs s obtain Es where aeos: sync-es-ok ts m
and lockok: lock-ok ls ts
and sconf-type-ts-ok Es ts m
by(auto dest: red-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1)
with tst ex obtain E T where sconf: sconf-type-ok (E, T) t (e, x) m
and aoe: sync-ok e by(fastforce dest: ts-okD ts-invD)
then obtain T' where hconf m P,E,m ⊢ e : T' preallocated m
by(auto simp add: sconf-type-ok-def sconf-def type-ok-def)
from <sconf-type-ts-ok Es ts m> s have thread-conf P (thr s) (shr s)
by(auto dest: ts-invD intro!: ts-okI simp add: sconf-type-ok-def)
with <thr s t = ⌊(ex, no-wait-locks)⌋> have P,shr s ⊢ t √t by(auto dest: ts-okD)

show ∃ta' x' m'. mred P t (ex, shr s) ta' (x', m') ∧
(red-mthr.actions-ok s t ta' ∨ red-mthr.actions-ok' s t ta' ∧ red-mthr.actions-subset ta' ta)

```

```

proof(cases red-mthr.actions-ok' s t ta)
  case True
  have red-mthr.actions-subset ta ta ..
  with True <mred P t (ex, shr s) ta (e'x', m')> show ?thesis by blast
next
  case False
  from lock-okD2[OF lockok, OF tst[unfolded ex]]
  have locks:  $\forall l. \text{has-locks } (ls \$ l) \geq \text{expr-locks } e l$  by simp
  have ws t = None  $\vee (\exists a vs w T Ts Tr D. \text{call } e = \lfloor(a, wait, vs)\rfloor \wedge \text{typeof-addr } (hp(m, x)) a = \lfloor T\rfloor \wedge P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees wait: } Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D \wedge ws t = \lfloor \text{PostWS } w \rfloor)$ 
  proof(cases ws t)
    case None thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case (Some w)
    with red-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD2[OF wfs, of t w] tst ex s
    obtain e0 x0 m0 ta0 w' s1 tta1
      where red0:  $P, t \vdash \langle e0, (m0, x0) \rangle - ta0 \rightarrow \langle e, (shr s1, x) \rangle$ 
      and Suspend:  $Suspend \ w' \in \text{set } \{ta0\}_w$ 
      and s1:  $P \vdash s1 \rightarrow tta1 \rightarrow^* s$  by auto
    from red-Suspend-is-call[OF red0 Suspend] obtain a vs T Ts Tr D
      where call:  $\text{call } e = \lfloor(a, wait, vs)\rfloor$ 
      and type:  $\text{typeof-addr } m0 a = \lfloor T\rfloor$ 
      and iec:  $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees wait: } Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D$  by fastforce
    from red0 have m0  $\leq$  shr s1 by(auto dest: red-hext-incr)
    also from s1 have shr s1  $\leq$  shr s by(rule RedT-hext-incr)
    finally have typeof-addr (shr s) a =  $\lfloor T\rfloor$  using type
      by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)
    moreover from Some wait s obtain w' where ws t =  $\lfloor \text{PostWS } w' \rfloor$ 
      by(auto simp add: not-waiting-iff)
    ultimately show ?thesis using call iec s by auto
  qed
  from red-wf-red-aux[OF wf red False[unfolded s] aoe -- locks, OF -- this] <hconf m> <P,shr s  $\vdash t$ 
  √t> ex s
  show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
next
fix s t x
assume wfs:  $s \in ?wf\text{-state}$ 
and tst:  $\text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ 
and nfin:  $\neg \text{final-expr } x$ 
obtain e xs where x:  $x = (e, xs)$  by(cases x)
from wfs have def-ass-ts-ok (thr s) (shr s) by(auto dest: red-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1)
with tst x have DA:  $\mathcal{D} e \lfloor \text{dom } xs \rfloor$  by(auto dest: ts-okD)
from wfs obtain Es where sconf-type-ts-ok Es (thr s) (shr s)
  by(auto dest: red-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1)
with tst x obtain E T where sconf-type-ok (E, T) t (e, xs) (shr s) by(auto dest: ts-invD)
then obtain T' where hconf (shr s) P, E, shr s  $\vdash e : T'$ 
  by(auto simp add: sconf-type-ok-def sconf-def type-ok-def)
from red-progress(1)[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf] this DA, where extTA=extTA2J P and t=t] nfin
x
show  $\exists ta x' m'. \text{mred } P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')$  by fastforce
next
fix s t x xm ta xm'
assume s  $\in ?wf\text{-state}$ 

```

```

and  $\text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$ 
and  $\text{mred } P \ t \ xm \ ta \ xm'$ 
and  $\text{Notified} \in \text{set } \{ta\}_w \vee \text{WokenUp} \in \text{set } \{ta\}_w$ 
thus  $\text{collect-waits } ta = \{\}$ 
by(auto dest: red-ta-Wakeup-no-Join-no-Lock-no-Interrupt simp: split-beta)
next
fix  $s \ t \ x \ ta \ x' \ m'$ 
assume  $s \in ?wf\text{-state}$ 
and  $\text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$ 
and  $\text{mred } P \ t \ (x, \text{shr } s) \ ta \ (x', m')$ 
thus  $\exists s'. \text{red-mthr.actions-ok } s' \ t \ ta$ 
by(fastforce simp add: split-beta dest!: red-ta-satisfiable)
qed

lemma redT-progress-deadlock:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and Red:  $P \vdash J\text{-start-state } P \ C \ M \ vs \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow^* s$ 
and ndead:  $\neg \text{red-mthr.deadlock } P \ s$ 
shows  $\exists t' \ ta' \ s'. P \vdash s - t' \triangleright ta' \rightarrow s'$ 
proof -
let ?wf-state = red-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P ( $\{s. \text{sync-es-ok } (\text{thr } s) \ (\text{shr } s) \wedge \text{lock-ok } (\text{locks } s) \ (\text{thr } s)\} \cap \{s. \exists Es. \text{sconf-type-ts-ok } Es \ (\text{thr } s) \ (\text{shr } s)\} \cap \{s. \text{def-ass-ts-ok } (\text{thr } s) \ (\text{shr } s)\}$ )
interpret red-mthr: progress
final-expr mred P convert-RA ?wf-state
using wf by(rule wf-progress)
from wf-start obtain Ts T pns body D
where start: start-heap-ok P  $\vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor$  in D P,start-heap  $\vdash vs \ [:\leq] \ Ts$ 
by(cases) auto
from start have len: length Ts = length vs by(auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)

have invariant3p (mredT P) ?wf-state
by(rule red-mthr.invariant3p-wset-Suspend-ok) (intro invariant3p-IntI invariant3p-sync-es-ok-lock-ok[OF wf] lifting-inv.invariant3p-ts-inv[OF lifting-inv-sconf-subject-ok[OF wf]] lifting-wf.invariant3p-ts-ok[OF lifting-wf-def-ass[OF wf]])
moreover note Red moreover
have start': J-start-state P C M vs  $\in ?wf\text{-state}$ 
apply(rule red-mthr.wset-Suspend-okI)
apply(blast intro: sconf-type-ts-ok-J-start-state sync-es-ok-J-start-state lock-ok-J-start-state def-ass-ts-ok-J-start-state start wf len len[symmetric] wf-start)
apply(simp add: start-state-def split-beta)
done
ultimately have s  $\in ?wf\text{-state}$  unfolding red-mthr.RedT-def
by(rule invariant3p-rtrancl3p)
thus ?thesis using ndead by(rule red-mthr.redT-progress)
qed

lemma redT-progress-deadlocked:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and Red:  $P \vdash J\text{-start-state } P \ C \ M \ vs \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow^* s$ 
and ndead:  $\text{red-mthr.not-final-thread } s \ t \ \neg t \in \text{red-mthr.deadlocked } P \ s$ 
shows  $\exists t' \ ta' \ s'. P \vdash s - t' \triangleright ta' \rightarrow s'$ 
using wf wf-start Red

```

```

proof(rule redT-progress-deadlock)
  from ndead show  $\neg$  red-mthr.deadlock P s
    unfolding red-mthr.deadlock-eq-deadlocked'
    by(auto simp add: red-mthr.deadlocked'-def)
  qed

```

4.14.5 Type safety proof

```

theorem TypeSafetyT:
  fixes C and M and ttas and Es
  defines Es ==> J-sconf-type-ET-start P C M
  and Es' ==> upd-inv Es sconf-type-ok (concat (map (thr-a o snd) ttas))
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and start-wf: wf-start-state P C M vs
  and RedT: P ⊢ J-start-state P C M vs → ttas →* s'
  and nored:  $\neg$  ( $\exists t ta s''. P \vdash s' -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s''$ )
  shows thread-conf P (thr s') (shr s')
  and thr s' t =  $\lfloor((e', x'), ln')\rfloor \implies$ 
     $(\exists v. e' = Val v \wedge (\exists E T. Es' t = \lfloor(E, T)\rfloor \wedge P, shr s' \vdash v : \leq T) \wedge ln' = no\text{-wait\text{-}locks})$ 
     $\vee (\exists a C. e' = Throw a \wedge typeof-addr (shr s') a = \lfloor Class-type C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* Throwable \wedge ln' = no\text{-wait\text{-}locks})$ 
     $\vee (t \in red\text{-mthr}\text{.deadlocked } P s' \wedge (\exists E T. Es' t = \lfloor(E, T)\rfloor \wedge (\exists T'. P, E, shr s' \vdash e' : T' \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T)))$ 
    (is -  $\implies$  ?thesis2)
  and Es ⊆m Es'
  proof -
    from start-wf obtain Ts T pns body D
      where start-heap: start-heap-ok
      and sees: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts → T =  $\lfloor(pns, body)\rfloor$  in D
      and conf: P,start-heap ⊢ vs [≤] Ts
      by cases auto

    from RedT show thread-conf P (thr s') (shr s')
      by(rule red-tconf.RedT-preserves)(rule thread-conf-start-state[OF start-heap wf-prog-wf-syscls[OF wf]]))

    show Es ⊆m Es' using RedT ts-inv-ok-J-sconf-type-ET-start
      unfolding Es'-def Es-def by(rule red-mthr.RedT-upd-inv-ext)

    assume thr s' t =  $\lfloor((e', x'), ln')\rfloor$ 
    moreover obtain ls' ts' m' ws' is' where s' [simp]: s' = (ls', (ts', m'), ws', is') by(cases s') fastforce
    ultimately have es't: ts' t =  $\lfloor((e', x'), ln')\rfloor$  by simp
    from wf have wwf: wwf-J-prog P by(rule wf-prog-wwf-prog)
    from conf have len: length vs = length Ts by(rule list-all2-lengthD)
    from RedT def-ass-ts-ok-J-start-state[OF wf sees len] have defass': def-ass-ts-ok ts' m'
      by(fastforce dest: lifting-wf.RedT-preserves[OF lifting-wf-def-ass, OF wf])
    from RedT sync-es-ok-J-start-state[OF wf sees len[symmetric]] lock-ok-J-start-state[OF wf sees len[symmetric]]
    have lock': lock-ok ls' ts' by (fastforce dest: RedT-preserves-lock-ok[OF wf])
    from RedT sync-es-ok-J-start-state[OF wf sees len[symmetric]] have addr': sync-es-ok ts' m'
      by(fastforce dest: RedT-preserves-sync-ok[OF wf])
    from RedT sconf-type-ts-ok-J-start-state[OF wf start-wf]
    have sconf-subject': sconf-type-ts-ok Es' ts' m' unfolding Es'-def Es-def
      by(fastforce dest: lifting-inv.RedT-invariant[OF lifting-inv-sconf-subject-ok, OF wf] intro: thread-conf-start-state[wf-prog-wf-syscls[OF wf]])

```

```

with es't obtain E T where ET: Es' t = [(E, T)]
  and sconf-type-ok (E, T) t (e', x') m' by(auto dest!: ts-invD)
{ assume final e'
  have ln' = no-wait-locks
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume ln' ≠ no-wait-locks
    then obtain l where ln' $ l > 0
      by(auto simp add: neq-no-wait-locks-conv)
    from lock' es't have has-locks (ls' $ l) t + ln' $ l = expr-locks e' l
      by(auto dest: lock-okD2)
    with <ln' $ l > 0 have expr-locks e' l > 0 by simp
    moreover from <final e'> have expr-locks e' l = 0 by(rule final-locks)
    ultimately show False by simp
  qed }
note ln' = this
{ assume ∃ v. e' = Val v
  then obtain v where v: e' = Val v by blast
  with sconf-subject' ET es't have P,m' ⊢ v :≤ T
    by(auto dest: ts-invD simp add: type-ok-def sconf-type-ok-def conf-def)
  moreover from v ln' have ln' = no-wait-locks by(auto)
  ultimately have ∃ v. e' = Val v ∧ (∃ E T. Es' t = [(E, T)] ∧ P,m' ⊢ v :≤ T ∧ ln' = no-wait-locks)
    using ET v by blast }
moreover
{ assume ∃ a. e' = Throw a
  then obtain a where a: e' = Throw a by blast
  with sconf-subject' ET es't have ∃ T'. P,E,m' ⊢ e' : T' ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ T
    apply -
    apply(drule ts-invD, assumption)
    by(clarsimp simp add: type-ok-def sconf-type-ok-def)
  then obtain T' where P,E,m' ⊢ e' : T' and P ⊢ T' ≤ T by blast
  with a have ∃ C. typeof-addr m' a = [Class-type C] ∧ P ⊢ C ≤* Throwable
    by(auto simp add: widen-Class)
  moreover from a ln' have ln' = no-wait-locks by(auto)
  ultimately have ∃ a C. e' = Throw a ∧ typeof-addr m' a = [Class-type C] ∧ P ⊢ C ≤* Throwable
  ∧ ln' = no-wait-locks
    using a by blast }
moreover
{ assume nfine': ¬ final e'
  with es't have red-mthr.not-final-thread s' t
    by(auto intro: red-mthr.not-final-thread.intros)
  with nored have t ∈ red-mthr.deadlocked P s'
    by -(erule contrapos-np, rule redT-progress-deadlocked[OF wf start-wf RedT])
  moreover
  from <sconf-type-ok (E, T) t (e', x') m'>
  obtain T'' where P,E,m' ⊢ e' : T'' P ⊢ T'' ≤ T
    by(auto simp add: sconf-type-ok-def type-ok-def)
  with ET have ∃ E T. Es' t = [(E, T)] ∧ (∃ T'. P,E,m' ⊢ e' : T' ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ T)
    by blast
  ultimately have t ∈ red-mthr.deadlocked P s' ∧ (∃ E T. Es' t = [(E, T)] ∧ (∃ T'. P,E,m' ⊢ e' :
  T' ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ T)) .. }
  ultimately show ?thesis2 by simp(blast)
qed

end

```

end

4.15 Preservation of Deadlock

```

theory Deadlocked
imports
  ProgressThreaded
begin

context J-progress begin

lemma red-wt-hconf-hext:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and hconf: hconf H
  and tconf: P,H ⊢ t √t
  shows [[ convert-extTA extNTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; P,E,H ⊢ e : T; hext H (hp s) ]]
    ⟹ ∃ ta' e' s'. convert-extTA extNTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (H, lcl s)⟩ −ta'→ ⟨e', s'⟩ ∧
      collect-locks {ta}l = collect-locks {ta'}l ∧ collect-cond-actions {ta}c = collect-cond-actions {ta'}c ∧
      collect-interrupts {ta}i = collect-interrupts {ta'}i
  and [[ convert-extTA extNTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; P,E,H ⊢ es [:] Ts; hext H (hp s) ]]
    ⟹ ∃ ta' es' s'. convert-extTA extNTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, (H, lcl s)⟩ [−ta'→] ⟨es', s'⟩ ∧
      collect-locks {ta}l = collect-locks {ta'}l ∧ collect-cond-actions {ta}c = collect-cond-actions {ta'}c ∧
      collect-interrupts {ta}i = collect-interrupts {ta'}i
proof(induct arbitrary: E T and E Ts rule: red-reds.inducts)
  case (RedNew h' a h C l)
  thus ?case
    by(cases allocate H (Class-type C) = {}) (fastforce simp add: ta-upd-simps intro: RedNewFail
red-reds.RedNew)+

next
  case (RedNewFail h C l)
  thus ?case
    by(cases allocate H (Class-type C) = {}) (fastforce simp add: ta-upd-simps intro: red-reds.RedNewFail
RedNew)+

next
  case NewArrayRed thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)

next
  case (RedNewArray i h' a h T l E T')
  thus ?case
    by(cases allocate H (Array-type T (nat (sint i))) = {}) (fastforce simp add: ta-upd-simps intro:
red-reds.RedNewArray RedNewArrayFail)+

next
  case RedNewArrayNegative thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)

next
  case (RedNewArrayFail i h T l E T')
  thus ?case
    by(cases allocate H (Array-type T (nat (sint i))) = {}) (fastforce simp add: ta-upd-simps intro:
RedNewArray red-reds.RedNewArrayFail)+

next
  case CastRed thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)

next

```

```

case (RedCast s v U T E T')
from ⟨P,E,H ⊢ Cast T (Val v) : T'⟩ show ?case
proof(rule WTrt-elim-cases)
  fix T''
  assume wt: P,E,H ⊢ Val v : T'' T' = T
  thus ?thesis
    by(cases P ⊢ T'' ≤ T)(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedCast red-reds.RedCastFail)+
qed
next
case (RedCastFail s v U T E T')
from ⟨P,E,H ⊢ Cast T (Val v) : T'⟩
obtain T'' where P,E,H ⊢ Val v : T'' T = T' by auto
  thus ?case
    by(cases P ⊢ T'' ≤ T)(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedCast red-reds.RedCastFail)+
next
case InstanceOfRed thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
case RedInstanceOf thus ?case
  using [[hypsubst-thin = true]]
  by auto((rule exI conjI red-reds.RedInstanceOf), auto)
next
case BinOpRed1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
case BinOpRed2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
case RedBinOp thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
case RedBinOpFail thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
case RedVar thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
case LAssRed thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
case RedLAss thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
case AAccRed1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
case AAccRed2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
case RedAAccNull thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
case RedAAccBounds thus ?case
  by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedAAccBounds dest: hext-arrD)
next
case (RedAAcc h a T n i v l E T')
from ⟨P,E,H ⊢ addr a[Val (Intg i)] : T'⟩
have wt: P,E,H ⊢ addr a : T'[] by(auto)
with ⟨H ⊑ hp (h, l)⟩ ⟨typeof-addr h a = [Array-type T n]⟩
have Ha: typeof-addr H a = [Array-type T n] by(auto dest: hext-arrD)
with ⟨0 <=s i & sint i < int n⟩
have nat (sint i) < n
  by (simp add: word-sle-eq nat-less-iff)
with Ha have P,H ⊢ a@ACell (nat (sint i)) : T
  by(auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros)

```

```

from heap-read-total[OF hconf this]
obtain v where heap-read H a (ACell (nat (sint i))) v by blast
with Ha <0 <=s i> <sint i < int n> show ?case
  by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedAAcc simp add: ta-upd-simps)
next
  case AAssRed1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case AAssRed2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case AAssRed3 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case RedAAssNull thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case RedAAssBounds thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedAAssBounds dest: hext-arrD)
next
  case (RedAAssStore s a T n i w U E T')
    from <P,E,H ⊢ addr a[Val (Intg i)] := Val w : T'>
    obtain T'' T''' where wt: P,E,H ⊢ addr a : T''[][]
      and wtw: P,E,H ⊢ Val w : T''' by auto
      with <H ⊑ hp s> <typeof-addr (hp s) a = [Array-type T n]>
      have Ha: typeof-addr H a = [Array-type T n] by(auto dest: hext-arrD)
      from <typeof_hp s w = [U]> wtw <H ⊑ hp s> have typeof_H w = [U]
        by(auto dest: type-of-hext-type-of)
      with Ha <0 <=s i> <sint i < int n> <¬ P ⊢ U ≤ T> show ?case
        by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedAAssStore)
next
  case (RedAAss h a T n i w U h' l E T')
    from <P,E,H ⊢ addr a[Val (Intg i)] := Val w : T'>
    obtain T'' T''' where wt: P,E,H ⊢ addr a : T''[][]
      and wtw: P,E,H ⊢ Val w : T''' by auto
      with <H ⊑ hp (h, l)> <typeof-addr h a = [Array-type T n]>
      have Ha: typeof-addr H a = [Array-type T n] by(auto dest: hext-arrD)
      from <typeof_h w = [U]> wtw <H ⊑ hp (h, l)> have typeof_H w = [U]
        by(auto dest: type-of-hext-type-of)
      moreover
        with <P ⊢ U ≤ T> have conf: P,H ⊢ w :≤ T
          by(auto simp add: conf-def)
        from <0 <=s i> <sint i < int n>
        have nat (sint i) < n
          by (simp add: word-sle-eq nat-less-iff)
        with Ha have P,H ⊢ a@ACell (nat (sint i)) : T
          by(auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
        from heap-write-total[OF hconf this conf]
        obtain H' where heap-write H a (ACell (nat (sint i))) w H' ..
        ultimately show ?case using <0 <=s i> <sint i < int n> Ha <P ⊢ U ≤ T>
          by(fastforce simp del: split-paired-Ex intro: red-reds.RedAAss)
next
  case ALengthRed thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case (RedALength h a T n l E T')
    from <P,E,H ⊢ addr a.length : T'>
    obtain T'' where [simp]: T' = Integer
      and wta: P,E,H ⊢ addr a : T''[] by(auto)
    then obtain n'' where typeof-addr H a = [Array-type T'' n''] by(auto)

```

```

thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedALength)
next
  case RedALengthNull show ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedALengthNull)
next
  case FAccRed thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case (RedFAcc h a D F v l E T)
    from <P,E,H ⊢ addr a·F{D} : T> obtain U C' fm
      where wt: P,E,H ⊢ addr a : U
        and icto: class-type-of' U = [C']
        and has: P ⊢ C' has F:T (fm) in D
        by(auto)
    then obtain hU where Ha: typeof-addr H a = [hU] U = ty-of-htype hU by(auto)
    with icto <P ⊢ C' has F:T (fm) in D> have P,H ⊢ a@CField D F : T
      by(auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
    from heap-read-total[OF hconf this]
    obtain v where heap-read H a (CField D F) v by blast
    thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedFAcc simp add: ta-upd-simps)
next
  case RedFAccNull thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case FAAssRed1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case FAAssRed2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case RedFAssNull thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case (RedFAss h a D F v h' l E T)
    from <P,E,H ⊢ addr a·F{D} := Val v : T> obtain U C' T' T2 fm
      where wt: P,E,H ⊢ addr a : U
        and icto: class-type-of' U = [C']
        and has: P ⊢ C' has F:T' (fm) in D
        and wtv: P,E,H ⊢ Val v : T2
        and T2T: P ⊢ T2 ≤ T' by(auto)
    moreover from wt obtain hU where Ha: typeof-addr H a = [hU] U = ty-of-htype hU by(auto)
    with icto has have adal: P,H ⊢ a@CField D F : T' by(auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
    from wtv T2T have P,H ⊢ v :≤ T' by(auto simp add: conf-def)
    from heap-write-total[OF hconf adal this]
    obtain h' where heap-write H a (CField D F) v h' ..
    thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedFAss)
next
  case CASRed1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case CASRed2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case CASRed3 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case CASNull thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case (RedCASSucceed h a D F v v' h' l)
    note split-paired-Ex[simp del]
    from RedCASSucceed.preds(1) obtain T' fm T2 T3 U C where *:
      T = Boolean class-type-of' U = [C] P ⊢ C has F:T' (fm) in D
      volatile fm P ⊢ T2 ≤ T' P ⊢ T3 ≤ T'

```

```

 $P, E, H \vdash Val v : T2$   $P, E, H \vdash Val v' : T3$   $P, E, H \vdash addr a : U$  by auto
then have  $adal: P, H \vdash a @ CField D F : T'$  by(auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
from heap-read-total[ $OF hconf this$ ] obtain  $v''$  where  $v': heap-read H a (CField D F)$   $v''$  by blast
show ?case
proof(cases  $v'' = v$ )
  case True
  from * have  $P, H \vdash v' : \leq T'$  by(auto simp add: conf-def)
  from heap-write-total[ $OF hconf adal this$ ] True *  $v'$ 
  show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedCASSucceed)
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using *  $v'$  by(fastforce intro: RedCASFail)
qed
next
  case ( $RedCASFail h a D F v'' v v' l$ )
  note split-paired-Ex[simp del]
  from RedCASFail.prem(1) obtain  $T' fm T2 T3 U C$  where *:
     $T = Boolean class-type-of' U = \lfloor C \rfloor$   $P \vdash C has F: T' (fm) in D$ 
    volatile fm  $P \vdash T2 \leq T'$   $P \vdash T3 \leq T'$ 
     $P, E, H \vdash Val v : T2$   $P, E, H \vdash Val v' : T3$   $P, E, H \vdash addr a : U$  by auto
    then have  $adal: P, H \vdash a @ CField D F : T'$  by(auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
    from heap-read-total[ $OF hconf this$ ] obtain  $v'''$  where  $v'': heap-read H a (CField D F)$   $v'''$  by blast
    show ?case
    proof(cases  $v''' = v$ )
      case True
      from * have  $P, H \vdash v' : \leq T'$  by(auto simp add: conf-def)
      from heap-write-total[ $OF hconf adal this$ ] True *  $v''$ 
      show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedCASSucceed)
    next
      case False
      then show ?thesis using *  $v''$  by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedCASFail)
    qed
next
  case CallObj thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case CallParams thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case ( $RedCall s a U M Ts T pns body D vs E T'$ )
  from < $P, E, H \vdash addr a \cdot M (map Val vs) : T'$ >
  obtain  $U' C' Ts' meth D' Ts''$ 
    where wta:  $P, E, H \vdash addr a : U'$ 
    and icto:  $class-type-of' U' = \lfloor C' \rfloor$ 
    and sees:  $P \vdash C' sees M: Ts' \rightarrow T' = meth in D'$ 
    and wtcs:  $P, E, H \vdash map Val vs [:] Ts''$ 
    and widens:  $P \vdash Ts'' \leq Ts'$  by auto
  from wta obtain  $hU'$  where  $Ha: typeof-addr H a = \lfloor hU' \rfloor$   $U' = ty-of-htype hU'$  by(auto)
  moreover from <typeof-addr (hp s) a =  $\lfloor U \rfloor$  < $H \leq hp s$ > Ha
  have [simp]:  $U = hU'$  by(auto dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono)
  from wtcs have length vs = length Ts"
    by(auto intro: map-eq-imp-length-eq)
  moreover from widens have length  $Ts'' = length Ts'$ 
    by(auto dest: widens-lengthD)
  moreover from sees icto sees < $P \vdash class-type-of U sees M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor$  in  $D$ > Ha
  have [simp]:  $meth = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor$  by(auto dest: sees-method-fun)

```

```

with sees wf have wf-mdecl wf-J-mdecl P D' (M, Ts', T', [(pns, body)])
  by(auto intro: sees-wf-mdecl)
hence length pns = length Ts' by(simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
ultimately show ?case using sees icto
  by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedCall)
next
  case (RedCallExternal s a U M Ts T' D vs ta va h' ta' e' s')
    from <P,E,H ⊢ addr a·M(map Val vs) : T>
    obtain U' C' Ts' meth D' Ts'''
      where wta: P,E,H ⊢ addr a : U' and icto: class-type-of' U' = [C']
      and sees: P ⊢ C' sees M: Ts'→T = meth in D'
      and wtvs: P,E,H ⊢ map Val vs [:] Ts'''
      and sub: P ⊢ Ts''' ≤ Ts' by auto
    from wta <typeof-addr (hp s) a = [U]> <hext H (hp s)> have [simp]: U' = ty-of-htype U
      by(auto dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono)
    with icto have [simp]: C' = class-type-of U by(auto)
    from sees <P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M: Ts→T' = Native in D>
    have [simp]: meth = Native by(auto dest: sees-method-fun)
    with wta sees icto wtvs sub have P,H ⊢ a·M(vs) : T
      by(cases U)(auto 4 4 simp add: external-WT'-iff)
    from red-external-wt-hconf-hext[OF wf <P,t ⊢ <a·M(vs),hp s> -ta→ext <va,h'>> <H ≤ hp s> this
tconf hconf]
      wta icto sees <ta' = convert-extTA extNTA ta> <e' = extRet2J (addr a·M(map Val vs)) va> <s' =
(h', lcl s)>
      show ?case by(cases U)(auto 4 5 intro: red-reds.RedCallExternal simp del: split-paired-Ex)
next
  case RedCallNull thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case (BlockRed e h l V vo ta e' h' l' T E T')
    note IH = BlockRed.hyps(2)
    from IH[of E(V ↪ T) T'] <P,E,H ⊢ {V:T=vo; e} : T'> <hext H (hp (h, l))>
    show ?case by(fastforce dest: red-reds.BlockRed)
next
  case RedBlock thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case SynchronizedRed1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case SynchronizedNull thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case LockSynchronized thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case SynchronizedRed2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case UnlockSynchronized thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case SeqRed thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case RedSeq thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case CondRed thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case RedCondT thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case RedCondF thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)

```

```

next
  case RedWhile thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case ThrowRed thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case RedThrowNull thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case TryRed thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case RedTry thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case (RedTryCatch s a D C V e2 E T)
    from <P,E,H ⊢ try Throw a catch(C V) e2 : T>
    obtain T' where P,E,H ⊢ addr a : T' by auto
    with <typeof-addr (hp s) a = [Class-type D]> <hext H (hp s)>
    have Ha: typeof-addr H a = [Class-type D]
      by(auto dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono)
    with <P ⊢ D ⊑* C> show ?case
      by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedTryCatch)
next
  case (RedTryFail s a D C V e2 E T)
    from <P,E,H ⊢ try Throw a catch(C V) e2 : T>
    obtain T' where P,E,H ⊢ addr a : T' by auto
    with <typeof-addr (hp s) a = [Class-type D]> <hext H (hp s)>
    have Ha: typeof-addr H a = [Class-type D]
      by(auto dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono)
    with <¬ P ⊢ D ⊑* C> show ?case
      by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedTryFail)
next
  case ListRed1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case ListRed2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case NewArrayThrow thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case CastThrow thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case InstanceOfThrow thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case BinOpThrow1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case BinOpThrow2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case LAssThrow thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case AAccThrow1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case AAccThrow2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case AAccThrow1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case AAccThrow2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)
next
  case AAccThrow3 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intro)

```

```

next
  case ALengthThrow thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case FAccThrow thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case FAssThrow1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case FAssThrow2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case CASThrow thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case CASThrow2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case CASThrow3 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case CallThrowObj thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case CallThrowParams thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case BlockThrow thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case SynchronizedThrow1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case SynchronizedThrow2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case SeqThrow thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case CondThrow thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
next
  case ThrowThrow thus ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)
qed

lemma can-lock-devreserp:
  
$$\llbracket \text{wf-J-prog } P; \text{red-mthr.can-sync } P t (e, l) h' L; P, E, h \vdash e : T; P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}; h \text{conf } h; h \trianglelefteq h' \rrbracket$$

  
$$\implies \text{red-mthr.can-sync } P t (e, l) h L$$

apply(erule red-mthr.can-syncE)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(drule red-wt-hconf-hext, assumption+)
apply(simp)
apply(fastforce intro!: red-mthr.can-syncI)
done

end

context J-typesafe begin

lemma preserve-deadlocked:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  shows preserve-deadlocked final-expr (mred P) convert-RA ( $\{s. \text{sync-es-ok } (\text{thr } s) (\text{shr } s) \wedge \text{lock-ok } (\text{locks } s) (\text{thr } s)\} \cap \{s. \exists Es. \text{sconf-type-ts-ok } Es (\text{thr } s) (\text{shr } s)\} \cap \{s. \text{def-ass-ts-ok } (\text{thr } s) (\text{shr } s)\}$ )
  (is preserve-deadlocked - - - ?wf-state)
proof(unfold-locales)
  show inv: invariant3p (mredT P) ?wf-state
    by(intro invariant3p-IntI invariant3p-sync-es-ok-lock-ok[OF wf] lifting-inv.invariant3p-ts-inv[OF
```

```

lifting-inv-sconf-subject-ok[OF wf] lifting-wf.invariant3p-ts-ok[OF lifting-wf-def-ass[OF wf]]]

fix s t' ta' s' t x ln
assume wfs: s ∈ ?wf-state
  and redT: P ⊢ s –t▷ta'→ s'
  and tst: thr s t = [(x, ln)]
from redT have hext: shr s ≤ shr s' by(rule redT-hext-incr)

from inv redT wfs have wfs': s' ∈ ?wf-state by(rule invariant3pD)
from redT tst obtain x' ln' where ts't: thr s' t= [(x', ln')]
  by(cases thr s' t)(cases s, cases s', auto dest: red-mthr.redT-thread-not-disappear)

from wfs tst obtain E T where wt: P,E,shr s ⊢ fst x : T
  and hconf: hconf (shr s)
  and da: D (fst x) [dom (snd x)]
  and tconf: P,shr s ⊢ t √t
    by(force dest: ts-invD ts-okD simp add: type-ok-def sconf-def sconf-type-ok-def)
from wt hext have wt': P,E,shr s' ⊢ fst x : T by(rule WTrt-hext-mono)
from wfs' ts't have hconf': hconf (shr s')
  by(auto dest: ts-invD simp add: type-ok-def sconf-def sconf-type-ok-def)

{
  assume cs: red-mthr.must-sync P t x (shr s)
  from cs have ¬ final (fst x) by(auto elim!: red-mthr.must-syncE simp add: split-beta)

  from progress[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf] hconf' wt' da this, of extTA2J P t]
  obtain e' h x' ta where P,t ⊢ ⟨fst x,(shr s', snd x)⟩ –ta→ ⟨e', (h, x')⟩ by auto
  with red-ta-satisfiable[OF this]
  show red-mthr.must-sync P t x (shr s')
    by-(rule red-mthr.must-syncI, fastforce simp add: split-beta)
next
  fix LT
  assume red-mthr.can-sync P t x (shr s') LT
  with can-lock-devreserp[OF wf - wt tconf hconf hext, of snd x LT]
  show ∃ LT' ⊆ LT. red-mthr.can-sync P t x (shr s) LT' by auto
}
qed

end
end

```

4.16 Program annotation

```

theory Annotate
imports
  WellType
begin

abbreviation (output)
  unanFAcc :: 'addr expr ⇒ vname ⇒ 'addr expr ((-->) [10,10] 90)
where
  unanFAcc e F ≡ FAcc e F (STR "")

```

abbreviation (output)

unanFAss :: 'addr expr \Rightarrow vname \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow 'addr expr (($\cdots := -$) [10,0,90] 90)

where

unanFAss e F e' \equiv *FAss e F (STR "''") e'*

definition array-length-field-name :: vname

where *array-length-field-name* = *STR "length"*

notation (output) array-length-field-name (length)**definition super :: vname**

where *super* = *STR "super"*

lemma super-neq-this [simp]: super \neq this this \neq super

by(*simp-all add: this-def super-def*)

inductive Anno :: (ty \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'addr J-prog \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow bool

($\cdots \vdash \cdots \rightsquigarrow \cdots$ [51,51,0,0,51]50)

and *Annos* :: (ty \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'addr J-prog \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow 'addr expr list \Rightarrow 'addr expr list \Rightarrow bool

($\cdots \vdash \cdots \rightsquigarrow \cdots$ [51,51,0,0,51]50)

for *is-lub* :: ty \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow bool **and** *P* :: 'addr J-prog

where

AnnoNew: is-lub,P,E \vdash new C \rightsquigarrow new C

| *AnnoNewArray: is-lub,P,E* \vdash i \rightsquigarrow i' \implies *is-lub,P,E* \vdash newA T[i] \rightsquigarrow newA T[i']

| *AnnoCast: is-lub,P,E* \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e' \implies *is-lub,P,E* \vdash Cast C e \rightsquigarrow Cast C e'

| *AnnoInstanceOf: is-lub,P,E* \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e' \implies *is-lub,P,E* \vdash e instanceof T \rightsquigarrow e' instanceof T

| *AnnoVal: is-lub,P,E* \vdash Val v \rightsquigarrow Val v

| *AnnoVarVar: [E V = [T]; V \neq super]* \implies *is-lub,P,E* \vdash Var V \rightsquigarrow Var V

| *AnnoVarField:*

— There is no need to handle access of array fields explicitly, because arrays do not implement methods, i.e. *this* is always of a *Class* type.

[E V = None; V \neq super; E this = [Class C]; P \vdash C sees V:T (fm) in D]

\implies *is-lub,P,E* \vdash Var V \rightsquigarrow Var this.V{D}

| *AnnoBinOp:*

[is-lub,P,E \vdash e1 \rightsquigarrow e1'; is-lub,P,E \vdash e2 \rightsquigarrow e2']

\implies *is-lub,P,E* \vdash e1 «bop» e2 \rightsquigarrow e1' «bop» e2'

| *AnnoLAssVar:*

[E V = [T]; V \neq super; is-lub,P,E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e'] \implies *is-lub,P,E* \vdash V:=e \rightsquigarrow V:=e'

| *AnnoLAssField:*

[E V = None; V \neq super; E this = [Class C]; P \vdash C sees V:T (fm) in D; is-lub,P,E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e']

\implies *is-lub,P,E* \vdash V:=e \rightsquigarrow Var this.V{D} := e'

| *AnnoAAcc:*

[is-lub,P,E \vdash a \rightsquigarrow a'; is-lub,P,E \vdash i \rightsquigarrow i'] \implies *is-lub,P,E* \vdash a[i] \rightsquigarrow a'[i']

| *AnnoAAss:*

[is-lub,P,E \vdash a \rightsquigarrow a'; is-lub,P,E \vdash i \rightsquigarrow i'; is-lub,P,E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e'] \implies *is-lub,P,E* \vdash a[i] := e \rightsquigarrow a'[i] := e'

| *AnnoALength:*

is-lub,P,E \vdash a \rightsquigarrow a' \implies *is-lub,P,E* \vdash a.length \rightsquigarrow a'.length

— All arrays implicitly declare a final field called *length* to store the array length, which hides a potential field of the same name in *Object* (cf. JLS 6.4.5). The last premise implements the hiding because field lookup does not model the implicit declaration.

AnnoFAcc:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e' :: U; \text{class-type-of}' U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D; \\ & \quad \text{is-Array } U \longrightarrow F \neq \text{array-length-field-name} \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \cdot F\{\text{STR } "''"\} \rightsquigarrow e' \cdot F\{D\} \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoFAccALength:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e' :: T[\cdot] \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \cdot \text{array-length-field-name}\{\text{STR } "''"\} \rightsquigarrow e' \cdot \text{length} \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoFAccSuper:*

- In class C with super class D, "super" is syntactic sugar for " $((D) \text{ this})$ " (cf. JLS, 15.11.2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket E \text{ this} = \lfloor \text{Class } C \rfloor; C \neq \text{Object}; \text{class } P \text{ C} = \lfloor (D, fs, ms) \rfloor; \\ & \quad P \vdash D \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D' \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{Var super} \cdot F\{\text{STR } "''"\} \rightsquigarrow (\text{Cast } (\text{Class } D) (\text{Var this})) \cdot F\{D'\} \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoFAss:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e1 \rightsquigarrow e1'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e2 \rightsquigarrow e2'; \\ & \quad \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e1' :: U; \text{class-type-of}' U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D; \\ & \quad \text{is-Array } U \longrightarrow F \neq \text{array-length-field-name} \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e1 \cdot F\{\text{STR } "''"\} := e2 \rightsquigarrow e1' \cdot F\{D\} := e2' \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoFAssSuper:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket E \text{ this} = \lfloor \text{Class } C \rfloor; C \neq \text{Object}; \text{class } P \text{ C} = \lfloor (D, fs, ms) \rfloor; \\ & \quad P \vdash D \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e' \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{Var super} \cdot F\{\text{STR } "''"\} := e \rightsquigarrow (\text{Cast } (\text{Class } D) (\text{Var this})) \cdot F\{D'\} := e' \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoCAS:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e1 \rightsquigarrow e1'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e2 \rightsquigarrow e2'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e3 \rightsquigarrow e3' \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3) \rightsquigarrow e1' \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2', e3') \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoCall:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash es [\rightsquigarrow] es' \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{Call } e M es \rightsquigarrow \text{Call } e' M es' \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoBlock:*

$$\text{is-lub}, P, E(V \mapsto T) \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e' \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \{V:T=vo; e\} \rightsquigarrow \{V:T=vo; e'\}$$

| *AnnoSync:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e1 \rightsquigarrow e1'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e2 \rightsquigarrow e2' \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{sync}(e1) e2 \rightsquigarrow \text{sync}(e1') e2' \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoComp:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e1 \rightsquigarrow e1'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e2 \rightsquigarrow e2' \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e1;; e2 \rightsquigarrow e1';; e2' \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoCond:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e1 \rightsquigarrow e1'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e2 \rightsquigarrow e2' \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2 \rightsquigarrow \text{if } (e') e1' \text{ else } e2' \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoLoop:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash c \rightsquigarrow c' \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{while } (e) c \rightsquigarrow \text{while } (e') c' \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoThrow:*

$$\text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e' \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{throw } e \rightsquigarrow \text{throw } e'$$

| *AnnoTry:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e1 \rightsquigarrow e1'; \text{is-lub}, P, E(V \mapsto \text{Class } C) \vdash e2 \rightsquigarrow e2' \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash \text{try } e1 \text{ catch}(C V) e2 \rightsquigarrow \text{try } e1' \text{ catch}(C V) e2' \end{aligned}$$

| *AnnoNil:*

$$\text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash [] [\rightsquigarrow] []$$

| *AnnoCons:*

$$\llbracket \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e'; \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash es [\rightsquigarrow] es' \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \# es [\rightsquigarrow] e' \# es'$$

inductive-cases *Anno-cases* [elim!]:

is-lub', P, E $\vdash \text{new } C \rightsquigarrow e$

$\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash \text{newA } T[e] \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash \text{Cast } T e \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash e \text{ instanceof } T \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash \text{Val } v \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash \text{Var } V \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e2 \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash V := e \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash e1[e2] \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash e1[e2] := e3 \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash e.\text{length} \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash e.F\{D\} \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash e1.F\{D\} := e2 \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash e1.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3) \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash e.M(es) \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash \{V:T=vo; e\} \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash \text{sync}(e1) e2 \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash \text{insync}(a) e2 \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash e1;; e2 \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash \text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2 \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash \text{while}(e1) e2 \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash \text{throw } e \rightsquigarrow e'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash \text{try } e1 \text{ catch}(C V) e2 \rightsquigarrow e'$

inductive-cases *Annos-cases* [*elim!*]:

$\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash [] \rightsquigarrow es'$
 $\text{is-lub}', P, E \vdash e \# es \rightsquigarrow es'$

abbreviation *Anno'* :: 'addr J-prog \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow bool $(-, - \vdash - \rightsquigarrow - [51, 0, 0, 51] 50)$

where *Anno'* *P* \equiv *Anno* (*TypeRel.is-lub P*) *P*

abbreviation *Annos'* :: 'addr J-prog \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow 'addr expr list \Rightarrow 'addr expr list \Rightarrow bool $(-, - \vdash - \rightsquigarrow - [51, 0, 0, 51] 50)$

where *Annos'* *P* \equiv *Annos* (*TypeRel.is-lub P*) *P*

definition *annotate* :: 'addr J-prog \Rightarrow env \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow 'addr expr
where *annotate P E e* = THE-default *e* $(\lambda e'. P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e')$

lemma fixes *is-lub* :: *ty* \Rightarrow *ty* \Rightarrow *ty* \Rightarrow bool $(\vdash \text{lub}'((-, / -))' = - [51, 51, 51] 50)$

assumes *is-lub-unique*: $\bigwedge T1 T2 T3 T4. [\vdash \text{lub}(T1, T2) = T3; \vdash \text{lub}(T1, T2) = T4] \implies T3 = T4$

shows *Anno-fun*: $[\vdash \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e'; \vdash \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e''] \implies e' = e''$

and *Annos-fun*: $[\vdash \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash es \rightsquigarrow es'; \vdash \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash es \rightsquigarrow es''] \implies es' = es''$

proof(induct arbitrary: *e''* and *es''* rule: *Anno-Annos.inducts*)

case (*AnnoFAcc E e e' U C F T fm D*)

from $\langle \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e.F\{\text{STR } " "\} \rightsquigarrow e'' \rangle$ **show** ?case

proof(rule *Anno-cases*)

fix *e''' U' C' T' fm' D'*

assume *is-lub, P, E* $\vdash e \rightsquigarrow e'''$ *is-lub, P, E* $\vdash e''' :: U'$

and *class-type-of' U' = [C']*

and *P* $\vdash C'$ sees *F:T' (fm')* in *D' e'' = e'''·F{D'}*

from $\langle \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e \rightsquigarrow e'' \rangle$ **have** *e' = e'''* by(*rule AnnoFAcc*)

with $\langle \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e' :: U \rangle$ $\langle \text{is-lub}, P, E \vdash e''' :: U' \rangle$

have *U = U'* by(*auto intro: WT-unique is-lub-unique*)

```

with <class-type-of' U = [C]> <class-type-of' U' = [C']>
have C = C' by(auto)
with <P ⊢ C' sees F:T' (fm') in D'> <P ⊢ C sees F:T (fm) in D>
have D' = D by(auto dest: sees-field-fun)
with <e'' = e'''·F{D'}> <e' = e'''> show ?thesis by simp
next
fix e''' T
assume e'' = e'''·length
and is-lub,P,E ⊢ e''' :: T[]
and is-lub,P,E ⊢ e ~ e'''
and F = array-length-field-name
from <is-lub,P,E ⊢ e ~ e'''> have e' = e''' by(rule AnnoFAcc)
with <is-lub,P,E ⊢ e' :: U> <is-lub,P,E ⊢ e''' :: T[]> have U = T[] by(auto intro: WT-unique
is-lub-unique)
with <class-type-of' U = [C]> <is-Array U —> F ≠ array-length-field-name>
show ?thesis using <F = array-length-field-name> by simp
next
fix C' D' fs ms T D''
assume E this = [Class C']
and class P C' = [(D', fs, ms)]
and e = Var super
and e'' = Cast (Class D') (Var this)·F{D''}
with <is-lub,P,E ⊢ e ~ e'> have False by(auto)
thus ?thesis ..
qed
next
case AnnoFAccALength thus ?case by(fastforce intro: WT-unique[OF is-lub-unique])
next
case (AnnoFAss E e1 e1' e2 e2' U C F T fm D)
from <is-lub,P,E ⊢ e1·F{STR ""} := e2 ~ e''>
show ?case
proof(rule Anno-cases)
fix e1'' e2'' U' C' T' fm' D'
assume is-lub,P,E ⊢ e1 ~ e1'' is-lub,P,E ⊢ e2 ~ e2''
and is-lub,P,E ⊢ e1'' :: U' and class-type-of' U' = [C']
and P ⊢ C' sees F:T' (fm') in D'
and e'' = e1''·F{D'} := e2''
from <is-lub,P,E ⊢ e1 ~ e1''> have e1' = e1'' by(rule AnnoFAss)
moreover with <is-lub,P,E ⊢ e1' :: U> <is-lub,P,E ⊢ e1'' :: U'>
have U = U' by(auto intro: WT-unique is-lub-unique)
with <class-type-of' U = [C]> <class-type-of' U' = [C']>
have C = C' by(auto)
with <P ⊢ C' sees F:T' (fm') in D'> <P ⊢ C sees F:T (fm) in D>
have D' = D by(auto dest: sees-field-fun)
moreover from <is-lub,P,E ⊢ e2 ~ e2''> have e2' = e2'' by(rule AnnoFAss)
ultimately show ?thesis using <e'' = e1''·F{D'} := e2''> by simp
next
fix C' D' fs ms T' fm' D'' e'''
assume e'' = Cast (Class D') (Var this)·F{D''} := e'''
and E this = [Class C']
and class P C' = [(D', fs, ms)]
and P ⊢ D' sees F:T' (fm') in D''
and is-lub,P,E ⊢ e2 ~ e'''
and e1 = Var super

```

```

with <is-lub,P,E ⊢ e1 ~> e1' have False by(auto elim: Anno-cases)
thus ?thesis ..
qed
qed(fastforce dest: sees-field-fun)+
```

4.16.1 Code generation

```

definition Anno-code :: 'addr J-prog ⇒ env ⇒ 'addr expr ⇒ 'addr expr ⇒ bool (-,- ⊢ - ~>'' - [51,0,0,51]50)
where Anno-code P = Anno (is-lub-sup P) P
```

```

definition Annos-code :: 'addr J-prog ⇒ env ⇒ 'addr expr list ⇒ 'addr expr list ⇒ bool (-,- ⊢ - [~>'] - [51,0,0,51]50)
where Annos-code P = Annos (is-lub-sup P) P
```

```

primrec block-types :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp ⇒ ty list
  and blocks-types :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list ⇒ ty list
```

where

```

block-types (new C) = []
| block-types (newA T[e]) = block-types e
| block-types (Cast U e) = block-types e
| block-types (e instanceof U) = block-types e
| block-types (e1 «bop» e2) = block-types e1 @ block-types e2
| block-types (Val v) = []
| block-types (Var V) = []
| block-types (V := e) = block-types e
| block-types (a[i]) = block-types a @ block-types i
| block-types (a[i] := e) = block-types a @ block-types i @ block-types e
| block-types (a.length) = block-types a
| block-types (e.F{D}) = block-types e
| block-types (e.F{D} := e') = block-types e @ block-types e'
| block-types (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) = block-types e @ block-types e' @ block-types e''
| block-types (e.M(es)) = block-types e @ blocks-types es
| block-types {V:T=vo; e} = T # block-types e
| block-types (sync V(e) e') = block-types e @ block-types e'
| block-types (insync V(a) e) = block-types e
| block-types (e;;e') = block-types e @ block-types e'
| block-types (if (e) e1 else e2) = block-types e @ block-types e1 @ block-types e2
| block-types (while (b) c) = block-types b @ block-types c
| block-types (throw e) = block-types e
| block-types (try e catch(C V) e') = block-types e @ Class C # block-types e'

| blocks-types [] = []
| blocks-types (e#es) = block-types e @ blocks-types es
```

```

lemma fixes is-lub1 :: ty ⇒ ty ⇒ ty ⇒ bool (⊤1 lub'((-,/-)') = - [51,51,51] 50)
  and is-lub2 :: ty ⇒ ty ⇒ ty ⇒ bool (⊤2 lub'((-,/-)') = - [51,51,51] 50)
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and is-lub1-into-is-lub2: ∀T1 T2 T3. [⊤1 lub(T1, T2) = T3; is-type P T1; is-type P T2] ⇒ ⊤2 lub(T1, T2) = T3
  and is-lub2-is-type: ∀T1 T2 T3. [⊤2 lub(T1, T2) = T3; is-type P T1; is-type P T2] ⇒ is-type P T3
  shows Anno-change-is-lub:
    [is-lub1,P,E ⊢ e ~> e'; ran E ∪ set (block-types e) ⊆ types P] ⇒ is-lub2,P,E ⊢ e ~> e'
```

and Annos-change-is-lub:
 $\llbracket \text{is-lub1}, P, E \vdash es \sim [es']; \text{ran } E \cup \text{set}(\text{blocks-types } es) \subseteq \text{types } P \rrbracket \implies \text{is-lub2}, P, E \vdash es \sim [es']$

proof (induct rule: Anno-Annos.inducts)

case (AnnoBlock E V T e e' vo)
from $\langle \text{ran } E \cup \text{set}(\text{block-types } \{V:T=vo; e\}) \subseteq \text{types } P \rangle$
have $\text{ran}(E(V \mapsto T)) \cup \text{set}(\text{block-types } e) \subseteq \text{types } P$
by(auto simp add: ran-def)
thus ?case using AnnoBlock by(blast intro: Anno-Annos.intros)

next

case (AnnoTry E e1 e1' V C e2 e2')
from $\langle \text{ran } E \cup \text{set}(\text{block-types } (\text{try } e1 \text{ catch}(C V) e2)) \subseteq \text{types } P \rangle$
have $\text{ran}(E(V \mapsto \text{Class } C)) \cup \text{set}(\text{block-types } e2) \subseteq \text{types } P$
by(auto simp add: ran-def)
thus ?case using AnnoTry by(simp del: fun-upd-apply)(blast intro: Anno-Annos.intros)

qed(simp-all del: is-Array.simps is-Array-conv, (blast intro: Anno-Annos.intros WT-change-is-lub[OF wf, where ?is-lub1.0=is-lub1 and ?is-lub2.0=is-lub2] is-lub1-into-is-lub2 is-lub2-is-type)+)

lemma assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
shows Anno-into-Anno-code: $\llbracket P, E \vdash e \sim e'; \text{ran } E \cup \text{set}(\text{block-types } e) \subseteq \text{types } P \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash e \sim' e'$
and Annos-into-Annos-code: $\llbracket P, E \vdash es \sim [es']; \text{ran } E \cup \text{set}(\text{blocks-types } es) \subseteq \text{types } P \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash es \sim' es'$

proof –

assume anno: P,E ⊢ e ~ e'
and ran: ran E ∪ set (block-types e) ⊆ types P
show P,E ⊢ e ~' e' unfolding Anno-code-def
by(rule Anno-change-is-lub[OF wf - - anno ran])(blast intro!: is-lub-sup.intros intro: is-lub-subD[OF wf] sup-is-type[OF wf] elim!: is-lub-sup.cases)+

next

assume annos: P,E ⊢ es ~ [es']
and ran: ran E ∪ set (blocks-types es) ⊆ types P
show P,E ⊢ es ~' es' unfolding Annos-code-def
by(rule Annos-change-is-lub[OF wf - - annos ran])(blast intro!: is-lub-sup.intros intro: is-lub-subD[OF wf] sup-is-type[OF wf] elim!: is-lub-sup.cases)+

qed

lemma assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
shows Anno-code-into-Anno: $\llbracket P, E \vdash e \sim' e'; \text{ran } E \cup \text{set}(\text{block-types } e) \subseteq \text{types } P \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash e \sim e'$
and Annos-code-into-Annos: $\llbracket P, E \vdash es \sim' es'; \text{ran } E \cup \text{set}(\text{blocks-types } es) \subseteq \text{types } P \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash es \sim [es']$

proof –

assume anno: P,E ⊢ e ~' e'
and ran: ran E ∪ set (block-types e) ⊆ types P
show P,E ⊢ e ~ e'
by(rule Anno-change-is-lub[OF wf - - anno[unfolded Anno-code-def] ran])(blast elim!: is-lub-sup.cases intro: sup-is-lubI[OF wf] is-lub-is-type[OF wf])+

next

assume annos: P,E ⊢ es ~' es'
and ran: ran E ∪ set (blocks-types es) ⊆ types P
show P,E ⊢ es ~ [es']
by(rule Annos-change-is-lub[OF wf - - annos[unfolded Annos-code-def] ran])(blast elim!: is-lub-sup.cases intro: sup-is-lubI[OF wf] is-lub-is-type[OF wf])+

qed

```

lemma fixes is-lub
assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
shows WT-block-types-is-type: is-lub,P,E ⊢ e :: T ⇒ set (block-types e) ⊆ types P
and WTs-blocks-types-is-type: is-lub,P,E ⊢ es [::] Ts ⇒ set (blocks-types es) ⊆ types P
apply(induct rule: WT-WTs.inducts)
apply(auto intro: is-class-sub-Throwable[OF wf])
done

lemma fixes is-lub
shows Anno-block-types: is-lub,P,E ⊢ e ~> e' ⇒ block-types e = block-types e'
and Annos-blocks-types: is-lub,P,E ⊢ es [~>] es' ⇒ blocks-types es = blocks-types es'
by(induct rule: Anno-Annos.inducts) auto

code-pred
(modes: (i ⇒ i ⇒ o ⇒ bool) ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ o ⇒ bool)
[detect-switches, skip-proof]
Anno
.

definition annotate-code :: 'addr J-prog ⇒ env ⇒ 'addr expr ⇒ 'addr expr
where annotate-code P E e = THE-default e (λe'. P,E ⊢ e ~>' e')

code-pred
(modes: i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ o ⇒ bool)
[inductify]
Anno-code
.

lemma eval-Anno-i-i-i-o-conv:
Predicate.eval (Anno-code-i-i-i-o P E e) = (λe'. P,E ⊢ e ~>' e')
by(auto intro!: ext intro: Anno-code-i-i-i-oI elim: Anno-code-i-i-i-oE)

lemma annotate-code[code]:
annotate-code P E e = Predicate.singleton (λ_. Code.abort (STR "annotate") (λ_. e)) (Anno-code-i-i-i-o P E e)
by(simp add: THE-default-def Predicate.singleton-def annotate-code-def eval-Anno-i-i-i-o-conv)

end
theory J-Main
imports
State
Deadlocked
Annotate
begin

end

```


Chapter 5

Jinja Virtual Machine

5.1 State of the JVM

```
theory JVMState
imports
  .. / Common / Observable-Events
begin

  type-synonym
    pc = nat

  type-synonym
    'addr frame = 'addr val list × 'addr val list × cname × mname × pc
    — operand stack
    — registers (including this pointer, method parameters, and local variables)
    — name of class where current method is defined
    — parameter types
    — program counter within frame

  print-translation ‹
  let
    fun tr'
      [Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax val}, -) $ a1),
       Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
         (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax val}, -) $ a2)) $ (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
           Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
             Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax nat}, -))))] =
        if a1 = a2 then Syntax.const @{type-syntax frame} $ a1
        else raise Match;
      in [(@{type-syntax prod}, K tr')]
    end
  ›
  typ 'addr frame
```

5.1.2 Runtime State

type-synonym

('addr, 'heap) jvm-state = 'addr option × 'heap × 'addr frame list
 — exception flag, heap, frames

type-synonym

'addr jvm-thread-state = 'addr option × 'addr frame list
 — exception flag, frames, thread lock state

type-synonym

('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action = ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr jvm-thread-state, 'heap) Ninja-thread-action

type-synonym

('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-ta-state = ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action × ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state

print-translation ↶

```

let
  fun tr'
    [a1, t
     , Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax option}, -) $ a2) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $
        (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
         (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax val}, -) $ a3)) $
         (* Next bit: same syntax translation as for frame *)
         (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
          (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax val}, -) $ a4)) $
          (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
           Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $)
           (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
            Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $)
            Const (@{type-syntax nat}, -))))))
     , h] =
  if a1 = a2 andalso a2 = a3 andalso a3 = a4 then Syntax.const @{type-syntax jvm-thread-action}
  $ a1 $ t $ h
  else raise Match;
  in [(@{type-syntax Ninja-thread-action}, K tr')]
  end
>
typ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action
end

```

5.2 Instructions of the JVM

theory *JVMInstructions*

imports

JVMState

../Common/BinOp

begin

datatype 'addr instr	
= Load nat	— load from local variable
Store nat	— store into local variable
Push 'addr val	— push a value (constant)
New cname	— create object
NewArray ty	— create array for elements of given type
ALoad	— Load array element from heap to stack
AStore	— Set element in array
ALength	— Return the length of the array
Getfield vname cname	— Fetch field from object
Putfield vname cname	— Set field in object
CAS vname cname	— Compare-and-swap instruction
Checkcast ty	— Check whether object is of given type
Instanceof ty	— instanceof test
Invoke mname nat	— inv. instance meth of an object
Return	— return from method
Pop	— pop top element from opstack
Dup	— duplicate top stack element
Swap	— swap top stack elements
BinOpInstr bop	— binary operator instruction
Goto int	— goto relative address
IfFalse int	— branch if top of stack false
ThrowExc	— throw top of stack as exception
MEnter	— enter the monitor of object on top of the stack
MExit	— exit the monitor of object on top of the stack

abbreviation CmpEq :: 'addr instr

where CmpEq ≡ BinOpInstr Eq

abbreviation CmpLeq :: 'addr instr

where CmpLeq ≡ BinOpInstr LessOrEqual

abbreviation CmpGeq :: 'addr instr

where CmpGeq ≡ BinOpInstr GreaterOrEqual

abbreviation CmpLt :: 'addr instr

where CmpLt ≡ BinOpInstr LessThan

abbreviation CmpGt :: 'addr instr

where CmpGt ≡ BinOpInstr GreaterThan

abbreviation IAdd :: 'addr instr

where IAdd ≡ BinOpInstr Add

abbreviation ISub :: 'addr instr

where ISub ≡ BinOpInstr Subtract

abbreviation IMult :: 'addr instr

where IMult ≡ BinOpInstr Mult

abbreviation IDiv :: 'addr instr

where IDiv ≡ BinOpInstr Div

abbreviation IMod :: 'addr instr

```

where IMod  $\equiv$  BinOpInstr Mod

abbreviation IShl :: 'addr instr
where IShl  $\equiv$  BinOpInstr ShiftLeft

abbreviation IShr :: 'addr instr
where IShr  $\equiv$  BinOpInstr ShiftRightSigned

abbreviation IUShr :: 'addr instr
where IUShr  $\equiv$  BinOpInstr ShiftRightZeros

abbreviation IAnd :: 'addr instr
where IAnd  $\equiv$  BinOpInstr BinAnd

abbreviation IOr :: 'addr instr
where IOr  $\equiv$  BinOpInstr BinOr

abbreviation IXor :: 'addr instr
where IXor  $\equiv$  BinOpInstr BinXor

type-synonym
  'addr bytecode = 'addr instr list

type-synonym
  ex-entry = pc  $\times$  pc  $\times$  cname option  $\times$  pc  $\times$  nat
  — start-pc, end-pc, exception type (None = Any), handler-pc, remaining stack depth

type-synonym
  ex-table = ex-entry list

type-synonym
  'addr jvm-method = nat  $\times$  nat  $\times$  'addr bytecode  $\times$  ex-table
  — max stackszie
  — number of local variables. Add 1 + no. of parameters to get no. of registers
  — instruction sequence
  — exception handler table

type-synonym
  'addr jvm-prog = 'addr jvm-method prog

end

```

5.3 Abstract heap locales for byte code programs

```

theory JVMHeap
imports
  ../Common/Conform
  JVMInstructions
begin

locale JVM-heap-base =
  heap-base +
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id

```

```

and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool

locale JVM-heap =
  JVM-heap-base +
  heap +
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr jvm-prog

locale JVM-heap-conf-base =
  heap-conf-base +
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr jvm-prog

sublocale JVM-heap-conf-base < JVM-heap-base .

locale JVM-heap-conf-base' =
  JVM-heap-conf-base +
  heap +
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr jvm-prog

sublocale JVM-heap-conf-base' < JVM-heap by(unfold-locales)

locale JVM-heap-conf =
  JVM-heap-conf-base' +

```

```

heap-conf +
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr jvm-prog

locale JVM-progress =
heap-progress +
JVM-heap-conf-base' +
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr jvm-prog

locale JVM-conf-read =
heap-conf-read +
JVM-heap-conf +
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr jvm-prog

locale JVM-typesafe =
heap-typesafe +
JVM-conf-read +
JVM-progress +
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr jvm-prog

```

end

5.4 JVM Instruction Semantics

```

theory JVMExecInstr
imports
  JVMInstructions
  JVMHeap
  ..../Common/ExternalCall
begin

primrec extRet2JVM :: 
  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  pc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame list
   $\Rightarrow$  'addr extCallRet  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state
where
  extRet2JVM n h stk loc C M pc frs (RetVal v) = (None, h, (v  $\#$  drop (Suc n) stk, loc, C, M, pc + 1)  $\#$  frs)
  | extRet2JVM n h stk loc C M pc frs (RetExc a) = ([a], h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)  $\#$  frs)
  | extRet2JVM n h stk loc C M pc frs RetStaySame = (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)  $\#$  frs)

lemma eq-extRet2JVM-conv [simp]:
  (xcp, h', frs') = extRet2JVM n h stk loc C M pc frs va  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  h' = h  $\wedge$  (case va of RetVal v  $\Rightarrow$  xcp = None  $\wedge$  frs' = (v  $\#$  drop (Suc n) stk, loc, C, M, pc + 1)
   $\#$  frs
    | RetExc a  $\Rightarrow$  xcp = [a]  $\wedge$  frs' = (stk, loc, C, M, pc)  $\#$  frs
    | RetStaySame  $\Rightarrow$  xcp = None  $\wedge$  frs' = (stk, loc, C, M, pc)  $\#$  frs)
  by(cases va) auto

definition extNTA2JVM :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  (cname  $\times$  mname  $\times$  'addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-thread-state
where extNTA2JVM P  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda$ (C, M, a). let (D, M', Ts, meth) = method P C M; (mxs, mxl0, ins, xt) = the meth
  in (None, [([], Addr a  $\#$  replicate mxl0 undefined-value, D, M, 0)]))

abbreviation extTA2JVM :: 
  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) external-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action
where extTA2JVM P  $\equiv$  convert-extTA (extNTA2JVM P)

context JVM-heap-base begin

primrec exec-instr :: 
  'addr instr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list
   $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  pc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame list  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action  $\times$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state) set
where
  exec-instr-Load:
  exec-instr (Load n) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  {(( $\varepsilon$ , (None, h, ((loc ! n)  $\#$  stk, loc, C0, M0, pc+1)  $\#$  frs))}

  | exec-instr (Store n) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  {(( $\varepsilon$ , (None, h, (tl stk, loc[n:=hd stk], C0, M0, pc+1)  $\#$  frs))}

  | exec-instr-Push:

```

```

exec-instr (Push v) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  {(\varepsilon, (None, h, (v # stk, loc, C0, M0, pc+1) # frs))}

| exec-instr-New:
exec-instr (New C) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  (let HA = allocate h (Class-type C)
   in if HA = {} then {(\varepsilon, [addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
      else (\lambda(h', a). ({NewHeapElem a (Class-type C)}, None, h', (Addr a # stk, loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs)) ` HA)

| exec-instr-NewArray:
exec-instr (NewArray T) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  (let si = the-Intg (hd stk);
   i = nat (sint si)
   in (if si < s 0
        then {(\varepsilon, [addr-of-sys-xcpt NegativeArraySize], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
        else let HA = allocate h (Array-type T i)
              in if HA = {} then {(\varepsilon, [addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
                 else (\lambda(h', a). ({NewHeapElem a (Array-type T i)}, None, h', (Addr a # tl stk, loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs)) ` HA))

| exec-instr-ALoad:
exec-instr ALoad P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  (let i = the-Intg (hd stk);
   va = hd (tl stk);
   a = the-Addr va;
   len = alen-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h a))
   in (if va = Null then {(\varepsilon, [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
       else if i < s 0 \ int len \leq sint i then
           {(\varepsilon, [addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBounds], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
           else {({ReadMem a (ACell (nat (sint i))) v}, None, h, (v # tl (tl stk), loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs) | v.
                 heap-read h a (ACell (nat (sint i))) v }))

| exec-instr-AStore:
exec-instr AStore P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  (let ve = hd stk;
   vi = hd (tl stk);
   va = hd (tl (tl stk))
   in (if va = Null then {(\varepsilon, [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
       else (let i = the-Intg vi;
             idx = nat (sint i);
             a = the-Addr va;
             hT = the (typeof-addr h a);
             T = ty-of-htype hT;
             len = alen-of-htype hT;
             U = the (typeof_h ve)
             in (if i < s 0 \ int len \leq sint i then
                  {(\varepsilon, [addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBounds], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
                  else if P \vdash U \leq the-Array T then
                      {({WriteMem a (ACell idx) ve}, None, h', (tl (tl (tl stk)), loc, C0, M0, pc+1) # frs)
                       | h'. heap-write h a (ACell idx) ve h'}
                      else {(\varepsilon, ([addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayStore], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs))}))))
```

```

| exec-instr-ALength:
exec-instr ALength P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
{((ε, (let va = hd stk
      in if va = Null
          then ([addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)
          else (None, h, (Intg (word-of-int (int (alen-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h (the-Addr va)))))) # tl stk, loc, C0, M0, pc+1) # frs)))}

| exec-instr (Getfield F C) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(let v = hd stk
  in if v = Null then {((ε, [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
  else let a = the-Addr v
    in {({ReadMem a (CField C F) v'}, None, h, (v' # (tl stk), loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs) |
v'.
    heap-read h a (CField C F) v'})}

| exec-instr (Putfield F C) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(let v = hd stk;
  r = hd (tl stk)
  in if r = Null then {((ε, [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
  else let a = the-Addr r
    in {({WriteMem a (CField C F) v'}, None, h', (tl (tl stk), loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs) | h'.
    heap-write h a (CField C F) v'})}

| exec-instr (CAS F C) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(let v'' = hd stk; v' = hd (tl stk); v = hd (tl (tl stk))
  in if v = Null then {((ε, [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
  else let a = the-Addr v
    in {({ReadMem a (CField C F) v', WriteMem a (CField C F) v''}, None, h', (Bool True # tl (tl (tl stk)), loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs) | h'.
    heap-read h a (CField C F) v' ∧ heap-write h a (CField C F) v'' h'} ∪
    {({ReadMem a (CField C F) v''}, None, h, (Bool False # tl (tl (tl stk)), loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs) | v''.
    heap-read h a (CField C F) v'' ∧ v'' ≠ v')}

| exec-instr (Checkcast T) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
{((ε, let U = the (typeof_h (hd stk)))
  in if P ⊢ U ≤ T then (None, h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs)
  else ([addr-of-sys-xcpt ClassCast], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs))}

| exec-instr (Instanceof T) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
{((ε, None, h, (Bool (hd stk ≠ Null ∧ P ⊢ the (typeof_h (hd stk)) ≤ T) # tl stk, loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs))}

| exec-instr-Invoke:
exec-instr (Invoke M n) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(let ps = rev (take n stk);
  r = stk ! n;
  a = the-Addr r;
  T = the (typeof-addr h a)
  in (if r = Null then {((ε, [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
  else
    let C = class-type-of T;
    (D,M',Ts,meth)= method P C M

```

in case meth of

- $\text{Native} \Rightarrow$
 - $\{(extTA2JVM\ P\ ta,\ extRet2JVM\ n\ h'\ stk\ loc\ C0\ M0\ pc\ frs\ va)\mid ta\ va\ h'\}$
 - $(ta,\ va,\ h')\in red-external-aggr\ P\ t\ a\ M\ ps\ h\}$
- $\lfloor(mxs,mxl_0,ins,xt)\rfloor \Rightarrow$
 - $let\ f' = ([], [r]@ps@(replicate\ mxl_0\ undefined-value), D, M, 0)$
 - $in\ \{(\varepsilon,\ None,\ h,\ f'\#(stk,\ loc,\ C0,\ M0,\ pc)\#frs)\})$

| exec-instr $Return\ P\ t\ h\ stk_0\ loc_0\ C_0\ M_0\ pc\ frs =$

- $\{(\varepsilon,\ (if\ frs=[]) then\ (None,\ h,\ [])\ else$
- $let\ v = hd\ stk_0;$
- $(stk,loc,C,m,pc) = hd\ frs;$
- $n = length\ (fst\ (snd\ (method\ P\ C_0\ M_0)))$
- $in\ (None,\ h,\ (v\#(drop\ (n+1)\ stk),loc,C,m,pc+1)\#tl\ frs))\}$

| exec-instr $Pop\ P\ t\ h\ stk\ loc\ C_0\ M_0\ pc\ frs =$

- $\{(\varepsilon,\ (None,\ h,\ (tl\ stk,\ loc,\ C_0,\ M_0,\ pc+1)\#frs)\})$

| exec-instr $Dup\ P\ t\ h\ stk\ loc\ C_0\ M_0\ pc\ frs =$

- $\{(\varepsilon,\ (None,\ h,\ (hd\ stk\#\ stk,\ loc,\ C_0,\ M_0,\ pc+1)\#frs)\})$

| exec-instr $Swap\ P\ t\ h\ stk\ loc\ C_0\ M_0\ pc\ frs =$

- $\{(\varepsilon,\ (None,\ h,\ (hd\ (tl\ stk)\#\ hd\ stk\#\ tl\ (tl\ stk),\ loc,\ C_0,\ M_0,\ pc+1)\#frs)\})\}$

| exec-instr $(BinOpInstr\ bop)\ P\ t\ h\ stk\ loc\ C0\ M0\ pc\ frs =$

- $\{(\varepsilon,$
- $case\ the\ (binop\ bop\ (hd\ (tl\ stk))\ (hd\ stk))\ of$
- $Inl\ v\Rightarrow (None,\ h,\ (v\#\ tl\ (tl\ stk),\ loc,\ C0,\ M0,\ pc+1)\#frs)$
- $| Inr\ a\Rightarrow (Some\ a,\ h,\ (stk,\ loc,\ C0,\ M0,\ pc)\#frs))\}$

| exec-instr $(IfFalse\ i)\ P\ t\ h\ stk\ loc\ C_0\ M_0\ pc\ frs =$

- $\{(\varepsilon,\ (let\ pc' = if\ hd\ stk = Bool\ False\ then\ nat(int\ pc+i)\ else\ pc+1$
- $in\ (None,\ h,\ (tl\ stk,\ loc,\ C_0,\ M_0,\ pc')\#frs))\})$

| exec-instr-Goto:

exec-instr $(Goto\ i)\ P\ t\ h\ stk\ loc\ C_0\ M_0\ pc\ frs =$

- $\{(\varepsilon,\ (None,\ h,\ (stk,\ loc,\ C_0,\ M_0,\ nat(int\ pc+i))\#frs)\})$

| exec-instr $ThrowExc\ P\ t\ h\ stk\ loc\ C_0\ M_0\ pc\ frs =$

- $\{(\varepsilon,\ (let\ xp' = if\ hd\ stk = Null\ then\ \lfloor addr-of-sys-xcpt\ NullPointer\rfloor\ else\ \lfloor the-Addr(hd\ stk)\rfloor$
- $in\ (xp',\ h,\ (stk,\ loc,\ C_0,\ M_0,\ pc)\#frs))\})$

| exec-instr-MEnter:

exec-instr $MEnter\ P\ t\ h\ stk\ loc\ C_0\ M_0\ pc\ frs =$

- $\{let\ v = hd\ stk$
- $in\ if\ v = Null$
- $then\ (\varepsilon,\ \lfloor addr-of-sys-xcpt\ NullPointer\rfloor,\ h,\ (stk,\ loc,\ C_0,\ M_0,\ pc)\#frs)$
- $else\ (\{Lock\rightarrow the-Addr\ v,\ SyncLock\ (the-Addr\ v)\},\ None,\ h,\ (tl\ stk,\ loc,\ C_0,\ M_0,\ pc+1)\#frs)\})$

| exec-instr-MExit:

exec-instr $MExit\ P\ t\ h\ stk\ loc\ C_0\ M_0\ pc\ frs =$

- $\{let\ v = hd\ stk$
- $in\ if\ v = Null$
- $then\ \{(\varepsilon,\ \lfloor addr-of-sys-xcpt\ NullPointer\rfloor,\ h,\ (stk,\ loc,\ C_0,\ M_0,\ pc)\#frs)\}$

```

else {(\{Unlock→the-Addr v, SyncUnlock (the-Addr v)\}, None, h, (tl stk, loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs),
      (\{UnlockFail→the-Addr v\}, [addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc)
# frs))}

end

end

```

5.5 Exception handling in the JVM

```

theory JVMExceptions
imports
  JVMInstructions
begin

abbreviation Any :: cname option
where Any ≡ None

definition matches-ex-entry :: 'm prog ⇒ cname ⇒ pc ⇒ ex-entry ⇒ bool
where
  matches-ex-entry P C pc xcp ≡
    let (s, e, C', h, d) = xcp in
    s ≤ pc ∧ pc < e ∧ (case C' of None ⇒ True | [C''] ⇒ P ⊢ C ⊢* C'')

```

```

primrec
  match-ex-table :: 'm prog ⇒ cname ⇒ pc ⇒ ex-table ⇒ (pc × nat) option
where
  match-ex-table P C pc [] = None
  | match-ex-table P C pc (e#es) = (if matches-ex-entry P C pc e
    then Some (snd(snd(snd e)))
    else match-ex-table P C pc es)

```

```

abbreviation ex-table-of :: 'addr jvm-prog ⇒ cname ⇒ mname ⇒ ex-table
where ex-table-of P C M == snd (snd (snd (the (snd (snd (snd(method P C M)))))))

```

```

lemma match-ex-table-SomeD:
  match-ex-table P C pc xt = Some (pc',d') ⇒
  ∃(f,t,D,h,d) ∈ set xt. matches-ex-entry P C pc (f,t,D,h,d) ∧ h = pc' ∧ d = d'
  by (induct xt) (auto split: if-split-asm)

```

```
end
```

5.6 Program Execution in the JVM

```

theory JVMSexec
imports
  JVMSexecInstr
  JVMExceptions
  .. / Common / StartConfig
begin

```

abbreviation *instrs-of* :: '*addr jvm-prog* \Rightarrow *cname* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow '*addr instr list*
where *instrs-of P C M* == *fst(snd(snd(the(snd(snd(snd(method P C M)))))))*

5.6.1 single step execution

context *JVM-heap-base begin*

```

fun exception-step :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame list  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr,  

  'heap) jvm-state
where
  exception-step P a h (stk, loc, C, M, pc) frs =
    (case match-ex-table P (cname-of h a) pc (ex-table-of P C M) of
     | None  $\Rightarrow$  ([a], h, frs)
     | Some (pc', d)  $\Rightarrow$  (None, h, (Addr a # drop (size stk - d) stk, loc, C, M, pc') # frs))
  lemma exception-step-def-raw:
  exception-step =
    ( $\lambda P a h (stk, loc, C, M, pc) frs.$ 
     case match-ex-table P (cname-of h a) pc (ex-table-of P C M) of
      | None  $\Rightarrow$  ([a], h, frs)
      | Some (pc', d)  $\Rightarrow$  (None, h, (Addr a # drop (size stk - d) stk, loc, C, M, pc') # frs))
  by(intro ext) auto

  fun exec :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, heap) jvm-state  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, thread-id, heap)  

jvm-ta-state set where
  exec P t (xcp, h, []) = {}
  | exec P t (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs) = exec-instr (instrs-of P C M ! pc) P t h stk loc C M
  | pc frs
  | exec P t ([a], h, fr # frs) = {(\varepsilon, exception-step P a h fr frs)}

```

5.6.2 relational view

```

inductive exec-1 ::  

  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, heap) jvm-state  

   $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, thread-id, heap) jvm-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, heap) jvm-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool  

  (-,-  $\vdash$  / - ---jvm $\rightarrow$  / - [61,0,61,0,61] 60)
  for P :: addr jvm-prog and t :: thread-id
where
  exec-1I:
  (ta, σ')  $\in$  exec P t σ  $\implies$  P,t ⊢ σ -ta-jvm→ σ'

lemma exec-1-iff:
  P,t ⊢ σ -ta-jvm→ σ'  $\longleftrightarrow$  (ta, σ')  $\in$  exec P t σ
  by(auto intro: exec-1I elim: exec-1.cases)

```

end

The start configuration of the JVM: in the start heap, we call a method *m* of class *C* in program *P* with parameters *vs*. The *this* pointer of the frame is set to *Null* to simulate a static method invocation.

```

abbreviation JVM-local-start ::  

  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  ty list  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-method  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  

   $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-thread-state
where

```

JVM-local-start \equiv
 $\lambda C M Ts T (mxs, mxl0, b) \text{ vs.}$
 $(None, [([], Null \# vs @ replicate mxl0 undefined-value, C, M, 0)])$

context *JVM-heap-base* **begin**

abbreviation *JVM-start-state* ::
 $'addr jvm-prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow 'addr val list \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr jvm-thread-state, 'heap, 'addr)$
state

where
 $JVM\text{-start-state} \equiv start\text{-state } JVM\text{-local-start}$

definition *JVM-start-state'* :: $'addr jvm-prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow 'addr val list \Rightarrow ('addr, 'heap)$
jvm-state

where
 $JVM\text{-start-state}' P C M vs \equiv$
 $let (D, Ts, T, meth) = method P C M;$
 $(mxs, mxl0, ins, xt) = the meth$
 $in (None, start\text{-heap}, [([], Null \# vs @ replicate mxl0 undefined-value, D, M, 0)])$

end

end

5.7 A Defensive JVM

theory *JVMDefensive*
imports *JVMExec .. / Common / ExternalCallWF*
begin

Extend the state space by one element indicating a type error (or other abnormal termination)

datatype $'a type-error = TypeError \mid Normal 'a$

context *JVM-heap-base* **begin**

definition *is-Array-ref* :: $'addr val \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $is\text{-Array-ref } v h \equiv$
 $is\text{-Ref } v \wedge$
 $(v \neq Null \longrightarrow typeof\text{-addr } h (\text{the-Addr } v) \neq None \wedge is\text{-Array } (\text{ty-of-htype } (\text{the } (typeof\text{-addr } h (\text{the-Addr } v))))))$

declare *is-Array-ref-def*[simp]

primrec *check-instr* :: $['addr instr, 'addr jvm-prog, 'heap, 'addr val list, 'addr val list,$
 $cname, mname, pc, 'addr frame list] \Rightarrow bool$

where

check-instr-Load:
 $check\text{-instr } (\text{Load } n) P h stk loc C M_0 pc frs =$
 $(n < length loc)$

| *check-instr-Store*:
 $check\text{-instr } (\text{Store } n) P h stk loc C_0 M_0 pc frs =$

($0 < \text{length } \textit{stk} \wedge n < \text{length } \textit{loc}$)

- | *check-instr-Push*:
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{Push } v) P h \textit{stk} \textit{loc} C_0 M_0 \textit{pc frs} =$
 $(\neg \text{is-Addr } v)$
- | *check-instr-New*:
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{New } C) P h \textit{stk} \textit{loc} C_0 M_0 \textit{pc frs} =$
 $\text{is-class } P C$
- | *check-instr-NewArray*:
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{NewArray } T) P h \textit{stk} \textit{loc} C_0 M_0 \textit{pc frs} =$
 $(\text{is-type } P (T[\]) \wedge 0 < \text{length } \textit{stk} \wedge \text{is-Intg } (\text{hd } \textit{stk}))$
- | *check-instr-ALoad*:
 $\text{check-instr } ALoad P h \textit{stk} \textit{loc} C_0 M_0 \textit{pc frs} =$
 $(1 < \text{length } \textit{stk} \wedge \text{is-Intg } (\text{hd } \textit{stk}) \wedge \text{is-Array-ref } (\text{hd } (\text{tl } \textit{stk})) h)$
- | *check-instr-AStore*:
 $\text{check-instr } AStore P h \textit{stk} \textit{loc} C_0 M_0 \textit{pc frs} =$
 $(2 < \text{length } \textit{stk} \wedge \text{is-Intg } (\text{hd } (\text{tl } \textit{stk})) \wedge \text{is-Array-ref } (\text{hd } (\text{tl } (\text{tl } \textit{stk}))) h \wedge \text{typeof}_h (\text{hd } \textit{stk}) \neq \text{None})$
- | *check-instr-ALength*:
 $\text{check-instr } ALength P h \textit{stk} \textit{loc} C_0 M_0 \textit{pc frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length } \textit{stk} \wedge \text{is-Array-ref } (\text{hd } \textit{stk}) h)$
- | *check-instr-Getfield*:
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{Getfield } F C) P h \textit{stk} \textit{loc} C_0 M_0 \textit{pc frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length } \textit{stk} \wedge (\exists C' T \textit{fm}. P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm)} \text{ in } C') \wedge$
 $(\text{let } (C', T, \textit{fm}) = \text{field } P C F; \textit{ref} = \text{hd } \textit{stk} \text{ in}$
 $C' = C \wedge \text{is-Ref } \textit{ref} \wedge (\textit{ref} \neq \text{Null} \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists T. \text{typeof-addr } h \text{ (the-Addr ref)} = \lfloor T \rfloor \wedge P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \preceq^* C)))$
- | *check-instr-Putfield*:
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{Putfield } F C) P h \textit{stk} \textit{loc} C_0 M_0 \textit{pc frs} =$
 $(1 < \text{length } \textit{stk} \wedge (\exists C' T \textit{fm}. P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm)} \text{ in } C') \wedge$
 $(\text{let } (C', T, \textit{fm}) = \text{field } P C F; v = \text{hd } \textit{stk}; \textit{ref} = \text{hd } (\text{tl } \textit{stk}) \text{ in}$
 $C' = C \wedge \text{is-Ref } \textit{ref} \wedge (\textit{ref} \neq \text{Null} \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists T'. \text{typeof-addr } h \text{ (the-Addr ref)} = \lfloor T' \rfloor \wedge P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T' \preceq^* C \wedge P, h \vdash v : \leq T)))$
- | *check-instr-CAS*:
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{CAS } F C) P h \textit{stk} \textit{loc} C_0 M_0 \textit{pc frs} =$
 $(2 < \text{length } \textit{stk} \wedge (\exists C' T \textit{fm}. P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm)} \text{ in } C') \wedge$
 $(\text{let } (C', T, \textit{fm}) = \text{field } P C F; v'' = \text{hd } \textit{stk}; v' = \text{hd } (\text{tl } \textit{stk}); v = \text{hd } (\text{tl } (\text{tl } \textit{stk})) \text{ in}$
 $C' = C \wedge \text{is-Ref } v \wedge \text{volatile } \textit{fm} \wedge (v \neq \text{Null} \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists T'. \text{typeof-addr } h \text{ (the-Addr v)} = \lfloor T' \rfloor \wedge P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T' \preceq^* C \wedge P, h \vdash v' : \leq T \wedge P, h \vdash v'' : \leq T)))$
- | *check-instr-Checkcast*:
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{Checkcast } T) P h \textit{stk} \textit{loc} C_0 M_0 \textit{pc frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length } \textit{stk} \wedge \text{is-type } P T)$
- | *check-instr-Instanceof*:
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{Instanceof } T) P h \textit{stk} \textit{loc} C_0 M_0 \textit{pc frs} =$

$(0 < \text{length } \text{stk} \wedge \text{is-type } P \ T \wedge \text{is-Ref } (\text{hd } \text{stk}))$

| *check-instr-Invoke:*
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{Invoke } M \ n) \ P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc } C_0 \ M_0 \ \text{pc } \text{frs} =$
 $(n < \text{length } \text{stk} \wedge \text{is-Ref } (\text{stk!n}) \wedge$
 $(\text{stk!n} \neq \text{Null} \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{let } a = \text{the-Addr } (\text{stk!n});$
 $T = \text{the } (\text{typeof-addr } h \ a);$
 $C = \text{class-type-of } T;$
 $(D, Ts, Tr, \text{meth}) = \text{method } P \ C \ M$
 $\text{in } \text{typeof-addr } h \ a \neq \text{None} \wedge P \vdash C \ \text{has } M \wedge$
 $P, h \vdash \text{rev } (\text{take } n \ \text{stk}) \ [:\leq] \ Ts \wedge$
 $(\text{meth} = \text{None} \longrightarrow D \cdot M(Ts) :: Tr))))$

| *check-instr-Return:*
 $\text{check-instr } \text{Return } P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc } C_0 \ M_0 \ \text{pc } \text{frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length } \text{stk} \wedge ((0 < \text{length } \text{frs}) \longrightarrow$
 $(P \vdash C_0 \ \text{has } M_0) \wedge$
 $(\text{let } v = \text{hd } \text{stk};$
 $T = \text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{method } P \ C_0 \ M_0)))$
 $\text{in } P, h \vdash v : \leq T))$

| *check-instr-Pop:*
 $\text{check-instr } \text{Pop } P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc } C_0 \ M_0 \ \text{pc } \text{frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length } \text{stk})$

| *check-instr-Dup:*
 $\text{check-instr } \text{Dup } P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc } C_0 \ M_0 \ \text{pc } \text{frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length } \text{stk})$

| *check-instr-Swap:*
 $\text{check-instr } \text{Swap } P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc } C_0 \ M_0 \ \text{pc } \text{frs} =$
 $(1 < \text{length } \text{stk})$

| *check-instr-BinOpInstr:*
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{BinOpInstr } bop) \ P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc } C_0 \ M_0 \ \text{pc } \text{frs} =$
 $(1 < \text{length } \text{stk} \wedge (\exists T1 \ T2 \ T. \ \text{typeof}_h (\text{hd } \text{stk}) = \lfloor T2 \rfloor \wedge \text{typeof}_h (\text{hd } (\text{tl } \text{stk})) = \lfloor T1 \rfloor \wedge P \vdash T1 \llbracket bop \rrbracket T2 : T))$

| *check-instr-IfFalse:*
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{IfFalse } b) \ P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc } C_0 \ M_0 \ \text{pc } \text{frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length } \text{stk} \wedge \text{is-Bool } (\text{hd } \text{stk}) \wedge 0 \leq \text{int } \text{pc} + b)$

| *check-instr-Goto:*
 $\text{check-instr } (\text{Goto } b) \ P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc } C_0 \ M_0 \ \text{pc } \text{frs} =$
 $(0 \leq \text{int } \text{pc} + b)$

| *check-instr-Throw:*
 $\text{check-instr } \text{ThrowExc } P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc } C_0 \ M_0 \ \text{pc } \text{frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length } \text{stk} \wedge \text{is-Ref } (\text{hd } \text{stk}) \wedge P \vdash \text{the } (\text{typeof}_h (\text{hd } \text{stk})) \leq \text{Class Throwable})$

| *check-instr-MEnter:*
 $\text{check-instr } \text{MEnter } P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc } C_0 \ M_0 \ \text{pc } \text{frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length } \text{stk} \wedge \text{is-Ref } (\text{hd } \text{stk}))$

```

| check-instr-MExit:
  check-instr MExit P h stk loc C₀ M₀ pc frs =
    (0 < length stk ∧ is-Ref (hd stk))

definition check-xcpt :: 'addr jvm-prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ nat ⇒ pc ⇒ ex-table ⇒ 'addr ⇒ bool
where
  check-xcpt P h n pc xt a ↔
    (exists C. typeof-addr h a = [Class-type C] ∧
     (case match-ex-table P C pc xt of None ⇒ True | Some (pc', d') ⇒ d' ≤ n))

definition check :: 'addr jvm-prog ⇒ ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state ⇒ bool
where
  check P σ ≡ let (xcpt, h, frs) = σ in
    (case frs of [] ⇒ True | (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs' ⇒
     P ⊢ C has M ∧
     (let (C', Ts, T, meth) = method P C M; (mxs, mxl₀, ins, xt) = the meth; i = ins!pc in
      meth ≠ None ∧ pc < size ins ∧ size stk ≤ mxs ∧
      (case xcpt of None ⇒ check-instr i P h stk loc C M pc frs'
       | Some a ⇒ check-xcpt P h (length stk) pc xt a)))
    )

definition exec-d :: 'addr jvm-prog ⇒ 'thread-id ⇒ ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-ta-state set type-error
where
  exec-d P t σ ≡ if check P σ then Normal (exec P t σ) else TypeError

inductive
  exec-1-d :: 'addr jvm-prog ⇒ 'thread-id ⇒ ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state type-error
  ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action ⇒ ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state type-error ⇒ bool
  (--- ⊢ ---jvmd→ - [61, 0, 61, 0, 61] 60)
  for P :: 'addr jvm-prog and t :: 'thread-id
where
  exec-1-d-ErrorI: exec-d P t σ = TypeError ⇒ P, t ⊢ Normal σ −ε−jvmd→ TypeError
  | exec-1-d-NormalI: [ exec-d P t σ = Normal Σ; (tas, σ') ∈ Σ ] ⇒ P, t ⊢ Normal σ −tas−jvmd→ Normal σ'

lemma jvmd-NormalD:
  P, t ⊢ Normal σ −ta−jvmd→ Normal σ' ⇒ check P σ ∧ (ta, σ') ∈ exec P t σ ∧ (exists xcp h f frs. σ = (xcp, h, f # frs))
  apply(erule exec-1-d.cases, auto simp add: exec-d-def split: if-split-asm)
  apply(case-tac b, auto)
  done

lemma jvmd-Normale:
  assumes P, t ⊢ Normal σ −ta−jvmd→ Normal σ'
  obtains xcp h f frs where check P σ (ta, σ') ∈ exec P t σ σ = (xcp, h, f # frs)
  using assms
  by(auto dest: jvmd-NormalD)

lemma exec-d-eq-TypeError: exec-d P t σ = TypeError ↔ ¬ check P σ
  by(simp add: exec-d-def)

```

```

lemma exec-d-eq-Normal: exec-d P t σ = Normal (exec P t σ)  $\longleftrightarrow$  check P σ
by(auto simp add: exec-d-def)

end

declare split-paired-All [simp del]
declare split-paired-Ex [simp del]

lemma if-neq [dest!]:
  (if P then A else B) ≠ B  $\implies$  P
  by (cases P, auto)

context JVM-heap-base begin

lemma exec-d-no-errorI [intro]:
  check P σ  $\implies$  exec-d P t σ ≠ TypeError
  by (unfold exec-d-def) simp

theorem no-type-error-commutes:
  exec-d P t σ ≠ TypeError  $\implies$  exec-d P t σ = Normal (exec P t σ)
  by (unfold exec-d-def, auto)

lemma defensive-imp-aggressive-1:
  P, t ⊢ (Normal σ) -tas-jvmd $\rightarrow$  (Normal σ')  $\implies$  P, t ⊢ σ -tas-jvm $\rightarrow$  σ'
  by(auto elim!: exec-1-d.cases intro!: exec-1.intros simp add: exec-d-def split: if-split-asm)

end

context JVM-heap begin

lemma check-exec-hext:
  assumes exec: (ta, xcp', h', frs')  $\in$  exec P t (xcp, h, frs)
  and check: check P (xcp, h, frs)
  shows h ⊲ h'
  proof -
    from exec have frs ≠ [] by(auto)
    then obtain f Frs where frs [simp]: frs = f # Frs
      by(fastforce simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
    obtain stk loc C0 M0 pc where f [simp]: f = (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc)
      by(cases f, blast)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases xcp)
      case None
        with check obtain C' Ts T mxs m xl0 ins xt
          where mthd: P ⊢ C0 sees M0 : Ts  $\rightarrow$  T = ⌊(mxs, m xl0, ins, xt)⌋ in C'
            method P C0 M0 = (C', Ts, T, ⌊(mxs, m xl0, ins, xt)⌋)
          and check-ins: check-instr (ins ! pc) P h stk loc C0 M0 pc Frs
          and pc < length ins
          and length stk ≤ mxs
          by(auto simp add: check-def has-method-def)
        from None exec mthd
        have xexec: (ta, xcp', h', frs')  $\in$  exec-instr (ins ! pc) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc Frs by(clar simp)
        thus ?thesis
  
```

```

proof(cases ins ! pc)
  case (New C)
    with xexec show ?thesis
      by(auto intro: hext-allocate split: if-split-asm)
  next
    case (NewArray T)
      with xexec show ?thesis
        by(auto intro: hext-allocate split: if-split-asm)
  next
    case AStore
      with xexec check-ins show ?thesis
        by(auto simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm intro: hext-heap-write)
  next
    case Putfield
      with xexec check-ins show ?thesis
        by(auto intro: hext-heap-write simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm)
  next
    case CAS
      with xexec check-ins show ?thesis
        by(auto intro: hext-heap-write simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm)
  next
    case (Invoke M n)
      with xexec check-ins show ?thesis
        apply(auto simp add: min-def split-beta is-Ref-def extRet2JVM-def has-method-def
              split: if-split-asm intro: red-external-aggr-hext)
        apply(case-tac va)
        apply(auto 4 3 intro: red-external-aggr-hext is-native.intros)
        done
  next
    case (BinOpInstr bop)
      with xexec check-ins show ?thesis by(auto split: sum.split-asm)
      qed(auto simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm)
  next
    case (Some a)
      with exec have h' = h by auto
      thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  qed

lemma exec-1-d-hext:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \text{Normal } (xcp, h, frs) - \text{ta-jvmd} \rightarrow \text{Normal } (xcp', h', frs') \rrbracket \implies h \trianglelefteq h'$ 
  by(auto elim!: exec-1-d.cases simp add: exec-d-def split: if-split-asm intro: check-exec-hext)

end
end

```

5.8 Instantiating the framework semantics with the JVM

```

theory JVMThreaded
imports
  JVMDefensive
  .. / Common / ConformThreaded

```

```

.. / Framework / FWLiftingSem
.. / Framework / FWProgressAux
begin

primrec JVM-final :: 'addr jvm-thread-state ⇒ bool
where
JVM-final (xcp, frs) = (frs = [])

The aggressive JVM

context JVM-heap-base begin

abbreviation mexec :: 
'addr jvm-prog ⇒ 'thread-id ⇒ ('addr jvm-thread-state × 'heap)
⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action ⇒ ('addr jvm-thread-state × 'heap) ⇒ bool
where
mexec P t ≡ (λ((xcp, frstls), h) ta ((xcp', frstls'), h')). P, t ⊢ (xcp, h, frstls) −ta−jvm→ (xcp', h',
frstls')

lemma NewThread-memory-exec-instr:
[ (ta, s) ∈ exec-instr I P t h stk loc C M pc frs; NewThread t' x m ∈ set {ta}t ] ⇒ m = fst (snd s)
apply(cases I)
apply(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta ta-upd-simps)
apply(auto dest!: red-ext-aggr-new-thread-heap simp add: extRet2JVM-def split: extCallRet.split)
done

lemma NewThread-memory-exec:
[ P, t ⊢ σ −ta−jvm→ σ'; NewThread t' x m ∈ set {ta}t ] ⇒ m = (fst (snd σ'))
apply(erule exec-1.cases)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(case-tac bb, simp)
apply(case-tac ag, auto simp add: exception-step-def-raw split: list.split-asm)
apply(drule NewThread-memory-exec-instr, simp+)
done

lemma exec-instr-Wakeup-no-Lock-no-Join-no-Interrupt:
[ (ta, s) ∈ exec-instr I P t h stk loc C M pc frs; Notified ∈ set {ta}w ∨ WokenUp ∈ set {ta}w ]
⇒ collect-locks {ta}l = {} ∧ collect-cond-actions {ta}c = {} ∧ collect-interrupts {ta}i = {}
apply(cases I)
apply(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta ta-upd-simps dest: red-external-aggr-Wakeup-no-Join)
done

lemma mexec-instr-Wakeup-no-Join:
[ P, t ⊢ σ −ta−jvm→ σ'; Notified ∈ set {ta}w ∨ WokenUp ∈ set {ta}w ]
⇒ collect-locks {ta}l = {} ∧ collect-cond-actions {ta}c = {} ∧ collect-interrupts {ta}i = {}
apply(erule exec-1.cases)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(case-tac bb, simp)
apply(case-tac ag, clarsimp simp add: exception-step-def-raw split: list.split-asm del: disjE)
apply(drule exec-instr-Wakeup-no-Lock-no-Join-no-Interrupt)
apply auto
done

lemma mexec-final:
[ mexec P t (x, m) ta (x', m'); JVM-final x ] ⇒ False

```

```

by(cases x)(auto simp add: exec-1-iff)

lemma exec-mthr: multithreaded JVM-final (mexec P)
apply(unfold-locales)
apply(clar simp, drule NewThread-memory-exec, fastforce, simp)
apply(erule (1) mexec-final)
done

end

sublocale JVM-heap-base < exec-mthr:
  multithreaded
  JVM-final
  mexec P
  convert-RA
  for P
by(rule exec-mthr)

context JVM-heap-base begin

abbreviation mexecT :: 
  'addr jvm-prog
  ⇒ ('addr,'thread-id,'addr jvm-thread-state,'heap,'addr) state
  ⇒ 'thread-id × ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action
  ⇒ ('addr,'thread-id,'addr jvm-thread-state,'heap,'addr) state ⇒ bool
where
  mexecT P ≡ exec-mthr.redT P

abbreviation mexecT-syntax1 :: 
  'addr jvm-prog ⇒ ('addr,'thread-id,'addr jvm-thread-state,'heap,'addr) state
  ⇒ 'thread-id ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action
  ⇒ ('addr,'thread-id,'addr jvm-thread-state,'heap,'addr) state ⇒ bool
  (- ⊢ - ->->jvm - [50,0,0,0,50] 80)
where
  mexecT-syntax1 P s t ta s' ≡ mexecT P s (t, ta) s'

abbreviation mExecT-syntax1 :: 
  'addr jvm-prog ⇒ ('addr,'thread-id,'addr jvm-thread-state,'heap,'addr) state
  ⇒ ('thread-id × ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action) list
  ⇒ ('addr,'thread-id,'addr jvm-thread-state,'heap,'addr) state ⇒ bool
  (- ⊢ - ->->jvm* - [50,0,0,50] 80)
where
  P ⊢ s ->ttas->jvm* s' ≡ exec-mthr.RedT P s ttas s'

The defensive JVM

abbreviation mexecd :: 
  'addr jvm-prog ⇒ 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr jvm-thread-state × 'heap
  ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action ⇒ 'addr jvm-thread-state × 'heap ⇒ bool
where
  mexecd P t ≡ (λ((xcp, frstls), h) ta ((xcp', frstls'), h')). P,t ⊢ Normal (xcp, h, frstls) −ta−jvmd→
  Normal (xcp', h', frstls')

lemma execd-mthr: multithreaded JVM-final (mexecd P)

```

```

apply(unfold-locales)
apply(fastforce dest: defensive-imp-aggressive-1 NewThread-memory-exec)
apply(auto elim: jvmd-NormalE)
done

end

sublocale JVM-heap-base < execd-mthr:
  multithreaded
  JVM-final
  mexecd P
  convert-RA
for P
by(rule execd-mthr)

context JVM-heap-base begin

abbreviation mexecdT :: 
  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr jvm-thread-state, 'heap, 'addr) state
   $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\times$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action
   $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr jvm-thread-state, 'heap, 'addr) state  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  mexecdT P  $\equiv$  execd-mthr.redT P

abbreviation mexecdT-syntax1 :: 
  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr jvm-thread-state, 'heap, 'addr) state
   $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action
   $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr jvm-thread-state, 'heap, 'addr) state  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (-  $\vdash$  - - $\rightarrow$ - $\rightarrow$ jvmd - [50,0,0,0,50] 80)
where
  mexecdT-syntax1 P s t ta s'  $\equiv$  mexecdT P s (t, ta) s'

abbreviation mExecdT-syntax1 :: 
  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr jvm-thread-state, 'heap, 'addr) state
   $\Rightarrow$  ('thread-id  $\times$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action) list
   $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr jvm-thread-state, 'heap, 'addr) state  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (-  $\vdash$  - - $\rightarrow$ - $\rightarrow$ jvmd* - [50,0,0,50] 80)
where
  P  $\vdash$  s  $\rightarrow$  ttas  $\rightarrow$  jvmd* s'  $\equiv$  execd-mthr.RedT P s ttas s'

lemma mexecd-Suspend-Invoke:
   $\llbracket \text{mexecd } P \text{ } t \text{ } (x, m) \text{ } ta \text{ } (x', m'); \text{Suspend } w \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_w \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists \text{stk } \text{loc } C \text{ } M \text{ } pc \text{ } frs' \text{ } n \text{ } a \text{ } T \text{ } Ts \text{ } Tr \text{ } D. \text{ } x' = (\text{None}, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc) \# frs') \wedge \text{instrs-of } P \text{ } C \text{ } M \text{ ! } pc = \text{Invoke wait } n \wedge \text{stk ! } n = \text{Addr } a \wedge \text{typeof-addr } m \text{ } a = \lfloor T \rfloor \wedge P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } \text{wait:Ts} \rightarrow \text{Tr} = \text{Native in } D \wedge D \cdot \text{wait}(Ts) :: Tr$ 
apply(cases x')
apply(cases x)
apply(cases fst x)
apply(auto elim!: jvmd-NormalE simp add: split-beta)
apply(rename-tac [] stk loc C M pc frs)
apply(case-tac [] instrs-of P C M ! pc)
apply(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta check-def is-Ref-def has-method-def)
apply(frule red-external-aggr-Suspend-StaySame, simp, drule red-external-aggr-Suspend-waitD, simp,

```

```

 $\text{fastforce}) +$ 
done

end

context JVM-heap begin

lemma exec-instr-New-Thread-exists-thread-object:
 $\llbracket (ta, \text{xcp}', h', \text{frs}') \in \text{exec-instr ins } P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs};$ 
 $\text{check-instr ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs};$ 
 $\text{NewThread } t' x h'' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists C. \text{typeof-addr } h' (\text{thread-id2addr } t') = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread}$ 
apply(cases ins)
apply(fastforce simp add: split-beta ta-upd-simps split: if-split-asm intro: red-external-aggr-new-thread-exists-thread)
done

lemma exec-New-Thread-exists-thread-object:
 $\llbracket P, t \vdash \text{Normal } (\text{xcp}, h, \text{frs}) - \text{ta-jvmd} \rightarrow \text{Normal } (\text{xcp}', h', \text{frs}'); \text{NewThread } t' x h'' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists C. \text{typeof-addr } h' (\text{thread-id2addr } t') = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread}$ 
apply(cases xcp)
apply(case-tac [|] frs)
apply(auto simp add: check-def elim!: jvmd-NormalE dest!: exec-instr-New-Thread-exists-thread-object)
done

lemma exec-instr-preserve-tconf:
 $\llbracket (ta, \text{xcp}', h', \text{frs}') \in \text{exec-instr ins } P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs};$ 
 $\text{check-instr ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs};$ 
 $P, h \vdash t' \sqrt{t} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P, h' \vdash t' \sqrt{t}$ 
apply(cases ins)
apply(auto intro: tconf-hext-mono hext-allocate hext-heap-write red-external-aggr-preserves-tconf split:
if-split-asm sum.split-asm simp add: split-beta has-method-def intro!: is-native.intros cong del: image-cong-simp)
done

lemma exec-preserve-tconf:
 $\llbracket P, t \vdash \text{Normal } (\text{xcp}, h, \text{frs}) - \text{ta-jvmd} \rightarrow \text{Normal } (\text{xcp}', h', \text{frs}'); P, h \vdash t' \sqrt{t} \rrbracket \implies P, h' \vdash t' \sqrt{t}$ 
apply(cases xcp)
apply(case-tac [|] frs)
apply(auto simp add: check-def elim!: jvmd-NormalE elim!: exec-instr-preserve-tconf)
done

lemma lifting-wf-thread-conf: lifting-wf JVM-final (mexecd P) ( $\lambda t x m. P, m \vdash t \sqrt{t}$ )
by(unfold-locales)(auto intro: exec-preserve-tconf dest: exec-New-Thread-exists-thread-object intro: tconfI)

end

sublocale JVM-heap < execd-tconf: lifting-wf JVM-final mexecd P convert-RA  $\lambda t x m. P, m \vdash t \sqrt{t}$ 
by(rule lifting-wf-thread-conf)

context JVM-heap begin

lemma execd-hext:
 $P \vdash s - t \triangleright \text{ta} \rightarrow_{jvmd} s' \implies \text{shr } s \trianglelefteq \text{shr } s'$ 

```

```

by(auto elim!: execd-mthr.redT.cases dest!: exec-1-d-hext intro: hext-trans)

lemma Execd-hext:
  assumes P ⊢ s →tta→jvmd* s'
  shows shr s ⊑ shr s'
using assms unfolding execd-mthr.RedT-def
by(induct)(auto dest!: execd-hext intro: hext-trans simp add: execd-mthr.RedT-def)

end

end
theory JVM-Main
imports
  JVMState
  JVMThreaded
begin

end

```


Chapter 6

Bytecode verifier

6.1 The JVM Type System as Semilattice

```
theory JVM-SemiType
imports
  .. / Common / SemiType
begin

type-synonym tyl = ty err list
type-synonym tys = ty list
type-synonym tyi = tys × tyl
type-synonym tyi' = tyi option
type-synonym tym = tyi' list
type-synonym tyP = mname ⇒ cname ⇒ tym

definition stk-esl :: 'c prog ⇒ nat ⇒ tys esl
where
  stk-esl P mxs ≡ upto-esl mxs (SemiType.esl P)

definition loc-sl :: 'c prog ⇒ nat ⇒ tyl sl
where
  loc-sl P mxl ≡ Listn.sl mxl (Err.sl (SemiType.esl P))

definition sl :: 'c prog ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ tyi' err sl
where
  sl P mxs mxl ≡
    Err.sl(Opt.esl(Product.esl (stk-esl P mxs) (Err.esl(loc-sl P mxl)))))

definition states :: 'c prog ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ tyi' err set
where
  states P mxs mxl ≡ fst(sl P mxs mxl)

definition le :: 'c prog ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ tyi' err ord
where
  le P mxs mxl ≡ fst(snd(sl P mxs mxl))

definition sup :: 'c prog ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ tyi' err binop
where
  sup P mxs mxl ≡ snd(snd(sl P mxs mxl))
```

```

definition sup-ty-opt :: ['c prog,ty err,ty err]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (-  $\vdash$  -  $\leq_{\top}$  - [71,71,71] 70)
where
  sup-ty-opt P  $\equiv$  Err.le (widen P)

definition sup-state :: ['c prog,tyi,tyi]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (-  $\vdash$  -  $\leq_i$  - [71,71,71] 70)
where
  sup-state P  $\equiv$  Product.le (Listn.le (widen P)) (Listn.le (sup-ty-opt P))

definition sup-state-opt :: ['c prog,tyi',tyi]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (-  $\vdash$  -  $\leq''$  - [71,71,71] 70)
where
  sup-state-opt P  $\equiv$  Opt.le (sup-state P)

abbreviation sup-loc :: ['c prog,tyl,tyl]  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\vdash$  - [ $\leq_{\top}$ ] - [71,71,71] 70)
where P  $\vdash$  LT [ $\leq_{\top}$ ] LT'  $\equiv$  list-all2 (sup-ty-opt P) LT LT'

notation (ASCII)
  sup-ty-opt (- | - - <= T - [71,71,71] 70) and
  sup-state (- | - - <= i - [71,71,71] 70) and
  sup-state-opt (- | - - <= ' - [71,71,71] 70) and
  sup-loc (- | - - [<= T] - [71,71,71] 70)

```

6.1.1 Unfolding

```

lemma JVM-states-unfold:
  states P mxs mxl  $\equiv$  err(opt((Union {list n (types P) | n. n <= mxs})  $\times$ 
    list mxl (err(types P))))
  apply (unfold states-def sl-def Opt.esl-def Err.sl-def
    stk-esl-def loc-sl-def Product.esl-def
    Listn.sl-def upto-esl-def SemiType.esl-def Err.esl-def)
  apply simp
  done

```

```

lemma JVM-le-unfold:
  le P m n  $\equiv$ 
  Err.le(Opt.le(Product.le(Listn.le(widen P))(Listn.le(Err.le(widen P)))))
  apply (unfold le-def sl-def Opt.esl-def Err.sl-def
    stk-esl-def loc-sl-def Product.esl-def
    Listn.sl-def upto-esl-def SemiType.esl-def Err.esl-def)
  apply simp
  done

```

```

lemma sl-def2:
  JVM-SemiType.sl P mxs mxl  $\equiv$ 
  (states P mxs mxl, JVM-SemiType.le P mxs mxl, JVM-SemiType.sup P mxs mxl)
  by (unfold JVM-SemiType.sup-def states-def JVM-SemiType.le-def) simp

```

```

lemma JVM-le-conv:
  le P m n (OK t1) (OK t2) = P  $\vdash$  t1  $\leq'$  t2
  by (simp add: JVM-le-unfold Err.le-def lesub-def sup-state-opt-def
    sup-state-def sup-ty-opt-def)

```

lemma *JVM-le-Err-conv*:
 $le P m n = Err.le (sup-state-opt P)$
by (*unfold sup-state-opt-def sup-state-def sup-ty-opt-def JVM-le-unfold*) *simp*

lemma *err-le-unfold [iff]*:
 $Err.le r (OK a) (OK b) = r a b$
by (*simp add: Err.le-def lesub-def*)

6.1.2 Semilattice

lemma *order-sup-state-opt [intro, simp]*:
 $wf\text{-}prog wf\text{-}mb P \implies order (sup-state-opt P)$
by (*unfold sup-state-opt-def sup-state-def sup-ty-opt-def*) *blast*

lemma *semilat-JVM [intro?]*:
 $wf\text{-}prog wf\text{-}mb P \implies semilat (JVM-SemiType.sl P mxs mxl)$
apply (*unfold JVM-SemiType.sl-def stk-esl-def loc-sl-def*)
apply (*blast intro: err-semilat-Product-esl err-semilat-upto-esl Listn-sl err-semilat-JType-esl*)
done

lemma *acc-JVM [intro]*:
 $wf\text{-}prog wf\text{-}mb P \implies acc (JVM-SemiType.states P mxs mxl) (JVM-SemiType.le P mxs mxl)$
by (*unfold JVM-le-unfold JVM-states-unfold*) *blast*

6.1.3 Widening with \top

lemma *widen-refl [iff]*: $widen P t t$ **by** (*simp add: fun-of-def*)

lemma *sup-ty-opt-refl [iff]*: $P \vdash T \leq_{\top} T$
apply (*unfold sup-ty-opt-def*)
apply (*fold lesub-def*)
apply (*rule le-err-refl*)
apply (*simp add: lesub-def*)
done

lemma *Err-any-conv [iff]*: $P \vdash Err \leq_{\top} T = (T = Err)$
by (*unfold sup-ty-opt-def*) (*rule Err-le-conv [simplified lesub-def]*)

lemma *any-Err [iff]*: $P \vdash T \leq_{\top} Err$
by (*unfold sup-ty-opt-def*) (*rule le-Err [simplified lesub-def]*)

lemma *OK-OK-conv [iff]*:
 $P \vdash OK T \leq_{\top} OK T' = P \vdash T \leq T'$
by (*simp add: sup-ty-opt-def fun-of-def*)

lemma *any-OK-conv [iff]*:
 $P \vdash X \leq_{\top} OK T' = (\exists T. X = OK T \wedge P \vdash T \leq T')$
apply (*unfold sup-ty-opt-def*)
apply (*rule le-OK-conv [simplified lesub-def]*)
done

lemma *OK-any-conv*:
 $P \vdash OK T \leq_{\top} X = (X = Err \vee (\exists T'. X = OK T' \wedge P \vdash T \leq T'))$
apply (*unfold sup-ty-opt-def*)
apply (*rule OK-le-conv [simplified lesub-def]*)
done

lemma *sup-ty-opt-trans* [*intro?*, *trans*]:
 $\llbracket P \vdash a \leq_{\top} b; P \vdash b \leq_{\top} c \rrbracket \implies P \vdash a \leq_{\top} c$
by (*auto intro: widen-trans*
 simp add: sup-ty-opt-def Err.le-def lesub-def fun-of-def
 split: err.splits)

6.1.4 Stack and Registers

lemma *stk-convert*:
 $P \vdash ST \leq ST' = Listn.le (widen P) ST ST'$
by (*simp add: Listn.le-def lesub-def*)

lemma *sup-loc-refl* [*iff*]: $P \vdash LT \leq_{\top} LT$
by (*rule list-all2-refl*) *simp*

lemmas *sup-loc-Cons1* [*iff*] = *list-all2-Cons1* [*of sup-ty-opt P*] **for** *P*

lemma *sup-loc-def*:
 $P \vdash LT \leq_{\top} LT' \equiv Listn.le (sup-ty-opt P) LT LT'$
by (*simp add: Listn.le-def lesub-def*)

lemma *sup-loc-widens-conv* [*iff*]:
 $P \vdash map OK Ts \leq_{\top} map OK Ts' = P \vdash Ts \leq Ts'$
by (*simp add: list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2*)

lemma *sup-loc-trans* [*intro?*, *trans*]:
 $\llbracket P \vdash a \leq_{\top} b; P \vdash b \leq_{\top} c \rrbracket \implies P \vdash a \leq_{\top} c$
by (*rule list-all2-trans, rule sup-ty-opt-trans*)

6.1.5 State Type

lemma *sup-state-conv* [*iff*]:
 $P \vdash (ST, LT) \leq_i (ST', LT') = (P \vdash ST \leq ST' \wedge P \vdash LT \leq_{\top} LT')$
by (*auto simp add: sup-state-def stk-convert lesub-def Product.le-def sup-loc-def*)

lemma *sup-state-conv2*:
 $P \vdash s1 \leq_i s2 = (P \vdash fst s1 \leq fst s2 \wedge P \vdash snd s1 \leq_{\top} snd s2)$
by (*cases s1, cases s2*) *simp*

lemma *sup-state-refl* [*iff*]: $P \vdash s \leq_i s$
by (*auto simp add: sup-state-conv2 intro: list-all2-refl*)

lemma *sup-state-trans* [*intro?*, *trans*]:
 $\llbracket P \vdash a \leq_i b; P \vdash b \leq_i c \rrbracket \implies P \vdash a \leq_i c$
by (*auto intro: sup-loc-trans widens-trans simp add: sup-state-conv2*)

lemma *sup-state-opt-None-any* [*iff*]:
 $P \vdash None \leq' s$

```

by (simp add: sup-state-opt-def Opt.le-def)

lemma sup-state-opt-any-None [iff]:
   $P \vdash s \leq' \text{None} = (s = \text{None})$ 
by (simp add: sup-state-opt-def Opt.le-def)

lemma sup-state-opt-Some-Some [iff]:
   $P \vdash \text{Some } a \leq' \text{Some } b = P \vdash a \leq_i b$ 
by (simp add: sup-state-opt-def Opt.le-def lesub-def)

lemma sup-state-opt-any-Some:
   $P \vdash (\text{Some } s) \leq' X = (\exists s'. X = \text{Some } s' \wedge P \vdash s \leq_i s')$ 
by (simp add: sup-state-opt-def Opt.le-def lesub-def)

lemma sup-state-opt-refl [iff]:  $P \vdash s \leq' s$ 
by (simp add: sup-state-opt-def Opt.le-def lesub-def)

lemma sup-state-opt-trans [intro?, trans]:
   $\llbracket P \vdash a \leq' b; P \vdash b \leq' c \rrbracket \implies P \vdash a \leq' c$ 
  apply (unfold sup-state-opt-def Opt.le-def lesub-def)
  apply (simp del: split-paired-All)
  apply (rule sup-state-trans, assumption+)
  done

end

```

6.2 Effect of Instructions on the State Type

```

theory Effect
imports
  JVM-SemiType
  ..../JVM/JVMEExceptions
begin

locale jvm-method = prog +
  fixes mxs :: nat
  fixes mxl0 :: nat
  fixes Ts :: ty list
  fixes Tr :: ty
  fixes is :: 'addr instr list
  fixes xt :: ex-table

  fixes mxl :: nat
  defines mxl-def:  $mxl \equiv 1 + \text{size } Ts + mxl_0$ 

```

Program counter of successor instructions:

```

primrec succs :: 'addr instr  $\Rightarrow$  tyi  $\Rightarrow$  pc  $\Rightarrow$  pc list
where
  succs (Load idx) τ pc = [pc+1]
  | succs (Store idx) τ pc = [pc+1]
  | succs (Push v) τ pc = [pc+1]
  | succs (Getfield F C) τ pc = [pc+1]
  | succs (Putfield F C) τ pc = [pc+1]
  | succs (CAS F C) τ pc = [pc+1]

```

$\mid \text{succs } (\text{New } C) \tau pc$	$= [pc+1]$
$\mid \text{succs } (\text{NewArray } T) \tau pc$	$= [pc+1]$
$\mid \text{succs } A\text{Load} \tau pc$	$= (\text{if } (\text{fst } \tau)!1 = NT \text{ then } [] \text{ else } [pc+1])$
$\mid \text{succs } A\text{Store} \tau pc$	$= (\text{if } (\text{fst } \tau)!2 = NT \text{ then } [] \text{ else } [pc+1])$
$\mid \text{succs } A\text{Length} \tau pc$	$= (\text{if } (\text{fst } \tau)!0 = NT \text{ then } [] \text{ else } [pc+1])$
$\mid \text{succs } (\text{Checkcast } C) \tau pc$	$= [pc+1]$
$\mid \text{succs } (\text{Instanceof } T) \tau pc$	$= [pc+1]$
$\mid \text{succs } \text{Pop} \tau pc$	$= [pc+1]$
$\mid \text{succs } \text{Dup} \tau pc$	$= [pc+1]$
$\mid \text{succs } \text{Swap} \tau pc$	$= [pc+1]$
$\mid \text{succs } (\text{BinOpInstr } b) \tau pc$	$= [pc+1]$
$\mid \text{succs-IfFalse:}$	
$\quad \text{succs } (\text{IfFalse } b) \tau pc$	$= [pc+1, \text{nat } (\text{int } pc + b)]$
$\mid \text{succs-Goto:}$	
$\quad \text{succs } (\text{Goto } b) \tau pc$	$= [\text{nat } (\text{int } pc + b)]$
$\mid \text{succs-Return:}$	
$\quad \text{succs } \text{Return} \tau pc$	$= []$
$\mid \text{succs-Invoke:}$	
$\quad \text{succs } (\text{Invoke } M n) \tau pc$	$= (\text{if } (\text{fst } \tau)!n = NT \text{ then } [] \text{ else } [pc+1])$
$\mid \text{succs-Throw:}$	
$\quad \text{succs } \text{ThrowExc} \tau pc$	$= []$
$\mid \text{succs } M\text{Enter} \tau pc$	$= (\text{if } (\text{fst } \tau)!0 = NT \text{ then } [] \text{ else } [pc+1])$
$\mid \text{succs } M\text{Exit} \tau pc$	$= (\text{if } (\text{fst } \tau)!0 = NT \text{ then } [] \text{ else } [pc+1])$

Effect of instruction on the state type:

fun $\text{eff}_i :: 'addr \text{ instr} \times 'm \text{ prog} \times \text{ty}_i \Rightarrow \text{ty}_i$
where
$\quad \text{eff}_i\text{-Load:}$
$\quad \text{eff}_i (\text{Load } n, P, (ST, LT)) = (\text{ok-val } (LT ! n) \# ST, LT)$
$\mid \text{eff}_i\text{-Store:}$
$\quad \text{eff}_i (\text{Store } n, P, (T \# ST, LT)) = (ST, LT[n := OK T])$
$\mid \text{eff}_i\text{-Push:}$
$\quad \text{eff}_i (\text{Push } v, P, (ST, LT)) = (\text{the } (\text{typeof } v) \# ST, LT)$
$\mid \text{eff}_i\text{-Getfield:}$
$\quad \text{eff}_i (\text{Getfield } F C, P, (T \# ST, LT)) = (\text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{field } P C F)) \# ST, LT)$
$\mid \text{eff}_i\text{-Putfield:}$
$\quad \text{eff}_i (\text{Putfield } F C, P, (T_1 \# T_2 \# ST, LT)) = (ST, LT)$
$\mid \text{eff}_i\text{-CAS:}$
$\quad \text{eff}_i (\text{CAS } F C, P, (T_1 \# T_2 \# T_3 \# ST, LT)) = (\text{Boolean } \# ST, LT)$
$\mid \text{eff}_i\text{-New:}$
$\quad \text{eff}_i (\text{New } C, P, (ST, LT)) = (\text{Class } C \# ST, LT)$
$\mid \text{eff}_i\text{-NewArray:}$
$\quad \text{eff}_i (\text{NewArray } Ty, P, (T \# ST, LT)) = (Ty[] \# ST, LT)$
$\mid \text{eff}_i\text{-ALoad:}$
$\quad \text{eff}_i (A\text{Load}, P, (T_1 \# T_2 \# ST, LT)) = (\text{the-Array } T_2 \# ST, LT)$

$\mid eff_i\text{-}AStore:$	$eff_i(AStore, P, (T1 \# T2 \# T3 \# ST, LT)) = (ST, LT)$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}ALength:$	$eff_i(ALength, P, (T1 \# ST, LT)) = (Integer \# ST, LT)$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}Checkcast:$	$eff_i(Checkcast Ty, P, (T \# ST, LT)) = (Ty \# ST, LT)$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}Instanceof:$	$eff_i(Instanceof Ty, P, (T \# ST, LT)) = (Boolean \# ST, LT)$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}Pop:$	$eff_i(Pop, P, (T \# ST, LT)) = (ST, LT)$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}Dup:$	$eff_i(Dup, P, (T \# ST, LT)) = (T \# T \# ST, LT)$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}Swap:$	$eff_i(Swap, P, (T1 \# T2 \# ST, LT)) = (T2 \# T1 \# ST, LT)$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}BinOpInstr:$	$eff_i(BinOpInstr bop, P, (T2 \# T1 \# ST, LT)) = ((THE T. P \vdash T1 \ll bop \gg T2 : T) \# ST, LT)$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}IfFalse:$	$eff_i(IfFalse b, P, (T1 \# ST, LT)) = (ST, LT)$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}Invoke:$	$eff_i(Invoke M n, P, (ST, LT)) = \\ (let U = fst (snd (snd (method P (the (class-type-of' (ST ! n))) M))) \\ in (U \# drop (n+1) ST, LT))$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}Goto:$	$eff_i(Goto n, P, s) = s$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}MEnter:$	$eff_i(MEnter, P, (T1 \# ST, LT)) = (ST, LT)$
$\mid eff_i\text{-}MExit:$	$eff_i(MExit, P, (T1 \# ST, LT)) = (ST, LT)$

```

fun is-relevant-class :: 'addr instr => 'm prog => cname => bool
where
  rel-Getfield:
    is-relevant-class (Getfield F D) = ( $\lambda P C. P \vdash NullPointer \preceq^* C$ )
   $\mid$  rel-Putfield:
    is-relevant-class (Putfield F D) = ( $\lambda P C. P \vdash NullPointer \preceq^* C$ )
   $\mid$  rel-CAS:
    is-relevant-class (CAS F D) = ( $\lambda P C. P \vdash NullPointer \preceq^* C$ )
   $\mid$  rel-Checcast:
    is-relevant-class (Checkcast T) = ( $\lambda P C. P \vdash ClassCast \preceq^* C$ )
   $\mid$  rel-New:
    is-relevant-class (New D) = ( $\lambda P C. P \vdash OutOfMemory \preceq^* C$ )

```

```

| rel-Throw:
  is-relevant-class ThrowExc      = ( $\lambda P C. \text{True}$ )
| rel-Invoke:
  is-relevant-class (Invoke M n)   = ( $\lambda P C. \text{True}$ )
| rel-NewArray:
  is-relevant-class (NewArray T)   = ( $\lambda P C. (P \vdash \text{OutOfMemory} \preceq^* C) \vee (P \vdash \text{NegativeArraySize} \preceq^* C)$ )
| rel-ALoad:
  is-relevant-class ALoad        = ( $\lambda P C. P \vdash \text{ArrayIndexOutOfBounds} \preceq^* C \vee P \vdash \text{NullPointer} \preceq^* C$ )
| rel-AStore:
  is-relevant-class AStore       = ( $\lambda P C. P \vdash \text{ArrayIndexOutOfBounds} \preceq^* C \vee P \vdash \text{ArrayStore} \preceq^* C \vee P \vdash \text{NullPointer} \preceq^* C$ )
| rel-ALength:
  is-relevant-class ALength      = ( $\lambda P C. P \vdash \text{NullPointer} \preceq^* C$ )
| rel-MEnter:
  is-relevant-class MEnter       = ( $\lambda P C. P \vdash \text{IllegalMonitorState} \preceq^* C \vee P \vdash \text{NullPointer} \preceq^* C$ )
| rel-MExit:
  is-relevant-class MExit        = ( $\lambda P C. P \vdash \text{IllegalMonitorState} \preceq^* C \vee P \vdash \text{NullPointer} \preceq^* C$ )
| rel-BinOp:
  is-relevant-class (BinOpInstr bop) = binop-relevant-class bop
| rel-default:
  is-relevant-class i            = ( $\lambda P C. \text{False}$ )

```

definition is-relevant-entry :: 'm prog \Rightarrow 'addr instr \Rightarrow pc \Rightarrow ex-entry \Rightarrow bool
where

```

is-relevant-entry P i pc e  $\equiv$ 
let (f,t,C,h,d) = e
in (case C of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | [C']  $\Rightarrow$  is-relevant-class i P C')  $\wedge$  pc  $\in$  {f..<t}

```

definition relevant-entries :: 'm prog \Rightarrow 'addr instr \Rightarrow pc \Rightarrow ex-table \Rightarrow ex-table
where

```
relevant-entries P i pc  $\equiv$  filter (is-relevant-entry P i pc)
```

definition xcpt-eff :: 'addr instr \Rightarrow 'm prog \Rightarrow pc \Rightarrow ty_i \Rightarrow ex-table \Rightarrow (pc \times ty_i) list
where

```

xcpt-eff i P pc  $\tau$  et  $\equiv$  let (ST,LT) =  $\tau$  in
map ( $\lambda (f,t,C,h,d). (h, \text{Some } ((\text{case } C \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{Class Throwable} \mid \text{Some } C' \Rightarrow \text{Class } C') \# \text{drop}$ 
(size ST - d) ST, LT))) (relevant-entries P i pc et)

```

definition norm-eff :: 'addr instr \Rightarrow 'm prog \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ty_i \Rightarrow (pc \times ty_i) list
where norm-eff i P pc τ \equiv map ($\lambda pc'. (pc', \text{Some } (\text{eff}_i (i, P, \tau)))$) (succs i τ pc)

definition eff :: 'addr instr \Rightarrow 'm prog \Rightarrow pc \Rightarrow ex-table \Rightarrow ty_i \Rightarrow (pc \times ty_i) list
where

```

eff i P pc et t  $\equiv$ 
case t of
  None  $\Rightarrow$  []
  Some  $\tau$   $\Rightarrow$  (norm-eff i P pc  $\tau$ ) @ (xcpt-eff i P pc  $\tau$  et)

```

lemma eff-None:

```
eff i P pc xt None = []
by (simp add: eff-def)
```

lemma eff-Some:

$\text{eff } i \text{ } P \text{ } pc \text{ } xt \text{ } (\text{Some } \tau) = \text{norm-eff } i \text{ } P \text{ } pc \text{ } \tau @ \text{xcpt-eff } i \text{ } P \text{ } pc \text{ } \tau \text{ } xt$
by (simp add: eff-def)

Conditions under which eff is applicable:

```

fun appi :: 'addr instr × 'm prog × pc × nat × ty × tyi ⇒ bool
where

  appi-Load:
    appi (Load n, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (ST,LT)) =
      (n < length LT ∧ LT ! n ≠ Err ∧ length ST < mxs)
  | appi-Store:
    appi (Store n, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T#ST, LT)) =
      (n < length LT)
  | appi-Push:
    appi (Push v, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (ST,LT)) =
      (length ST < mxs ∧ typeof v ≠ None)
  | appi-Getfield:
    appi (Getfield F C, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T#ST, LT)) =
      (Ǝ Tf fm. P ⊢ C sees F:Tf (fm) in C ∧ P ⊢ T ≤ Class C)
  | appi-Putfield:
    appi (Putfield F C, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T1#T2#ST, LT)) =
      (Ǝ Tf fm. P ⊢ C sees F:Tf (fm) in C ∧ P ⊢ T2 ≤ (Class C) ∧ P ⊢ T1 ≤ Tf)
  | appi-CAS:
    appi (CAS F C, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T3#T2#T1#ST, LT)) =
      (Ǝ Tf fm. P ⊢ C sees F:Tf (fm) in C ∧ volatile fm ∧ P ⊢ T1 ≤ Class C ∧ P ⊢ T2 ≤ Tf ∧ P ⊢
      T3 ≤ Tf)
  | appi-New:
    appi (New C, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (ST,LT)) =
      (is-class P C ∧ length ST < mxs)
  | appi-NewArray:
    appi (NewArray Ty, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (Integer#ST,LT)) =
      is-type P (Ty[])
  | appi-ALoad:
    appi (ALoad, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T1#T2#ST,LT)) =
      (T1 = Integer ∧ (T2 ≠ NT → (Ǝ Ty. T2 = Ty[])))
  | appi-AStore:
    appi (AStore, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T1#T2#T3#ST,LT)) =
      (T2 = Integer ∧ (T3 ≠ NT → (Ǝ Ty. T3 = Ty[])))
  | appi-ALength:
    appi (ALength, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T1#ST,LT)) =
      (T1 = NT ∨ (Ǝ Ty. T1 = Ty[]))
  | appi-Checkcast:
    appi (Checkcast Ty, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T#ST,LT)) =
      (is-type P Ty)
  | appi-Instanceof:
    appi (Instanceof Ty, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T#ST,LT)) =
      (is-type P Ty ∧ is-refT T)
  | appi-Pop:
    appi (Pop, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T#ST,LT)) =
      True
  | appi-Dup:
    appi (Dup, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T#ST,LT)) =
      (Suc (length ST) < mxs)

```

```

| appi-Swap:
  appi (Swap, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T1#T2#ST,LT)) = True
| appi-BinOpInstr:
  appi (BinOpInstr bop, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T2#T1#ST,LT)) = ( $\exists T. P \vdash T1 \ll bop \gg T2 : T$ )
| appi-IfFalse:
  appi (IfFalse b, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (Boolean#ST,LT)) =
    (0 ≤ int pc + b)
| appi-Goto:
  appi (Goto b, P, pc, mxs, Tr, s) = (0 ≤ int pc + b)
| appi-Return:
  appi (Return, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T#ST,LT)) = (P ⊢ T ≤ Tr)
| appi-Throw:
  appi (ThrowExc, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T#ST,LT)) =
    (T = NT ∨ ( $\exists C. T = \text{Class } C \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable}$ ))
| appi-Invoke:
  appi (Invoke M n, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (ST,LT)) =
    (n < length ST ∧
     (ST!n ≠ NT →
      ( $\exists C D Ts T m. \text{class-type-of}'(ST ! n) = \lfloor C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \text{ sees } M:Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D \wedge P \vdash \text{rev}(\text{take } n \text{ ST}) [\leq] Ts$ )))
| appi-MEnter:
  appi (MEnter, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T#ST,LT)) = (is-refT T)
| appi-MExit:
  appi (MExit, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T#ST,LT)) = (is-refT T)
| appi-default:
  appi (i, P, pc, mxs, Tr, s) = False

```

definition xcpt-app :: 'addr instr ⇒ 'm prog ⇒ pc ⇒ nat ⇒ ex-table ⇒ ty_i ⇒ bool
where
 xcpt-app i P pc mxs xt τ ≡ $\forall (f,t,C,h,d) \in \text{set(relevant-entries } P \text{ } i \text{ } pc \text{ } xt\text{). (case } C \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{True}$
 $| \text{Some } C' \Rightarrow \text{is-class } P \text{ } C')$ $\wedge d \leq \text{size } (\text{fst } \tau) \wedge d < mxs$

definition app :: 'addr instr ⇒ 'm prog ⇒ nat ⇒ ty ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ ex-table ⇒ ty_i' ⇒ bool
where
 app i P mxs T_r pc mpc xt t ≡ case t of None ⇒ True | Some τ ⇒
 app_i (i, P, pc, mxs, T_r, τ) \wedge xcpt-app i P pc mxs xt τ \wedge
 ($\forall (pc', \tau') \in \text{set(eff } i \text{ } P \text{ } pc \text{ } xt \text{ } t\text{). } pc' < mpc$)

lemma app-Some:
 app i P mxs T_r pc mpc xt (Some τ) =
 (app_i (i, P, pc, mxs, T_r, τ) \wedge xcpt-app i P pc mxs xt τ \wedge
 ($\forall (pc', s') \in \text{set(eff } i \text{ } P \text{ } pc \text{ } xt \text{ } (Some \tau)\text{). } pc' < mpc$))
by (simp add: app-def)

locale eff = jvm-method +
fixes eff_i **and** app_i **and** eff **and** app
fixes norm-eff **and** xcpt-app **and** xcpt-eff
fixes mpc
defines mpc ≡ size is
defines eff_i i τ ≡ Effect.eff_i (i, P, τ)

```

notes effi-simps [simp] = Effect.effi.simps [where P = P, folded effi-def]

defines appi i pc τ ≡ Effect.appi (i, P, pc, mxs, Tr, τ)
notes appi-simps [simp] = Effect.appi.simps [where P=P and mxs=mxs and Tr=Tr, folded appi-def]

defines xcpt-eff i pc τ ≡ Effect.xcpt-eff i P pc τ xt
notes xcpt-eff = Effect.xcpt-eff-def [of - P - - xt, folded xcpt-eff-def]

defines norm-eff i pc τ ≡ Effect.norm-eff i P pc τ
notes norm-eff = Effect.norm-eff-def [of - P, folded norm-eff-def effi-def]

defines eff i pc ≡ Effect.eff i P pc xt
notes eff = Effect.eff-def [of - P - xt, folded eff-def norm-eff-def xcpt-eff-def]

defines xcpt-app i pc τ ≡ Effect.xcpt-app i P pc mxs xt τ
notes xcpt-app = Effect.xcpt-app-def [of - P - mxs xt, folded xcpt-app-def]

defines app i pc ≡ Effect.app i P mxs Tr pc mpc xt
notes app = Effect.app-def [of - P mxs Tr - mpc xt, folded app-def xcpt-app-def appi-def eff-def]

lemma length-cases2:
assumes ⋀ LT. P ([] , LT)
assumes ⋀ l ST LT. P (l#ST , LT)
shows P s
by (cases s, cases fst s) (auto intro!: assms)

lemma length-cases3:
assumes ⋀ LT. P ([] , LT)
assumes ⋀ l LT. P ([l] , LT)
assumes ⋀ l l' ST LT. P (l#l'#ST , LT)
shows P s
apply(rule length-cases2; (rule assms) ?)
subgoal for l ST LT by(cases ST; clarsimp simp: assms)
done

lemma length-cases4:
assumes ⋀ LT. P ([] , LT)
assumes ⋀ l LT. P ([l] , LT)
assumes ⋀ l l' LT. P ([l,l'] , LT)
assumes ⋀ l l' l'' ST LT. P (l#l'#l''#ST , LT)
shows P s
apply(rule length-cases3; (rule assms) ?)
subgoal for l l' ST LT by(cases ST; clarsimp simp: assms)
done

lemma length-cases5:
assumes ⋀ LT. P ([] , LT)
assumes ⋀ l LT. P ([l] , LT)
assumes ⋀ l l' LT. P ([l,l'] , LT)
assumes ⋀ l l' l'' LT. P ([l,l',l''] , LT)
assumes ⋀ l l' l'' l''' ST LT. P (l#l'#l''#l'''#ST , LT)

```

shows $P s$

apply(rule length-cases4; (rule assms)?)

subgoal for $l l' l'' ST LT$ **by**(cases ST ; clarsimp simp: assms)

done

simp rules for app

lemma appNone[simp]: $app i P mxs T_r pc mpc et None = True$
by (simp add: app-def)

lemma appLoad[simp]:
 $app_i (Load idx, P, T_r, mxs, pc, s) = (\exists ST LT. s = (ST,LT) \wedge idx < length LT \wedge LT!idx \neq Err \wedge length ST < mxs)$
by (cases s , simp)

lemma appStore[simp]:
 $app_i (Store idx, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) = (\exists ts ST LT. s = (ts\#ST,LT) \wedge idx < length LT)$
by (rule length-cases2, auto)

lemma appPush[simp]:
 $app_i (Push v, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) = (\exists ST LT. s = (ST,LT) \wedge length ST < mxs \wedge typeof v \neq None)$
by (cases s , simp)

lemma appGetField[simp]:
 $app_i (Getfield F C, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) = (\exists oT vT ST LT fm. s = (oT\#ST, LT) \wedge P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:vT (fm) \text{ in } C \wedge P \vdash oT \leq (\text{Class } C))$
by (rule length-cases2 [of - s]) auto

lemma appPutField[simp]:
 $app_i (Putfield F C, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) = (\exists vT vT' oT ST LT fm. s = (vT\#oT\#ST, LT) \wedge P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:vT' (fm) \text{ in } C \wedge P \vdash oT \leq (\text{Class } C) \wedge P \vdash vT \leq vT')$
by (rule length-cases4 [of - s], auto)

lemma appCAS[simp]:
 $app_i (CAS F C, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) = (\exists T1 T2 T3 T' ST LT fm. s = (T3 \# T2 \# T1 \# ST, LT) \wedge P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T' (fm) \text{ in } C \wedge volatile fm \wedge P \vdash T1 \leq \text{Class } C \wedge P \vdash T2 \leq T' \wedge P \vdash T3 \leq T')$
by (rule length-cases4 [of - s]) auto

lemma appNew[simp]:
 $app_i (New C, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) = (\exists ST LT. s = (ST,LT) \wedge is-class P C \wedge length ST < mxs)$
by (cases s , simp)

lemma appNewArray[simp]:
 $app_i (NewArray Ty, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) = (\exists ST LT. s = (Integer\#ST, LT) \wedge is-type P (Ty[]))$
by (cases s , simp, cases fst s , simp)(cases hd (fst s), auto)

lemma appALoad[simp]:
 $app_i (ALoad, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) =$

$(\exists T ST LT. s = (Integer \# T \# ST, LT) \wedge (T \neq NT \rightarrow (\exists T'. T = T'[])))$

proof –

obtain $ST LT$ where [simp]: $s = (ST, LT)$ by (cases s)

have $ST = [] \vee (\exists T. ST = [T]) \vee (\exists T_1 T_2 ST'. ST = T_1 \# T_2 \# ST')$

by (cases ST, auto, case-tac list, auto)

moreover

{ assume $ST = []$ hence ?thesis by simp }

moreover

{ fix T assume $ST = [T]$ hence ?thesis by (cases T, auto) }

moreover

{ fix $T_1 T_2 ST'$ assume $ST = T_1 \# T_2 \# ST'$

hence ?thesis by (cases T_1 , auto)

}

ultimately show ?thesis by blast

qed

lemma $appAStore[\text{simp}]$:

$app_i (AStore, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) =$

$(\exists T U ST LT. s = (T \# Integer \# U \# ST, LT) \wedge (U \neq NT \rightarrow (\exists T'. U = T'[])))$

proof –

obtain $ST LT$ where [simp]: $s = (ST, LT)$ by (cases s)

have $ST = [] \vee (\exists T. ST = [T]) \vee (\exists T_1 T_2. ST = [T_1, T_2]) \vee (\exists T_1 T_2 T_3 ST'. ST = T_1 \# T_2 \# T_3 \# ST')$

by (cases ST, auto, case-tac list, auto, case-tac lista, auto)

moreover

{ assume $ST = []$ hence ?thesis by simp }

moreover

{ fix T assume $ST = [T]$ hence ?thesis by(simp) }

moreover

{ fix $T_1 T_2$ assume $ST = [T_1, T_2]$ hence ?thesis by simp }

moreover

{ fix $T_1 T_2 T_3 ST'$ assume $ST = T_1 \# T_2 \# T_3 \# ST'$ hence ?thesis by(cases T_2 , auto) }

ultimately show ?thesis by blast

qed

lemma $appALength[\text{simp}]$:

$app_i (ALength, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) =$

$(\exists T ST LT. s = (T \# ST, LT) \wedge (T \neq NT \rightarrow (\exists T'. T = T'[])))$

by (cases s, cases fst s, simp add: app-def) (cases hd (fst s), auto)

lemma $appCheckcast[\text{simp}]$:

$app_i (Checkcast Ty, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) =$

$(\exists T ST LT. s = (T \# ST, LT) \wedge \text{is-type } P \text{ Ty})$

by (cases s, cases fst s, simp add: app-def) (cases hd (fst s), auto)

lemma $appInstanceof[\text{simp}]$:

$app_i (Instanceof Ty, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) =$

$(\exists T ST LT. s = (T \# ST, LT) \wedge \text{is-type } P \text{ Ty} \wedge \text{is-refT } T)$

by (cases s, cases fst s, simp add: app-def) (cases hd (fst s), auto)

lemma $app_i Pop[\text{simp}]$:

$app_i (Pop, P, pc, mxs, T_r, s) = (\exists ts ST LT. s = (ts \# ST, LT))$

by (rule length-cases2, auto)

```

lemma appDup[simp]:

$$\text{app}_i (\text{Dup}, P, \text{pc}, \text{mxs}, T_r, s) = (\exists T ST LT. s = (T \# ST, LT) \wedge \text{Suc} (\text{length } ST) < \text{mxs})$$

by (cases s, cases fst s, simp-all)

lemma appiSwap[simp]:

$$\text{app}_i (\text{Swap}, P, \text{pc}, \text{mxs}, T_r, s) = (\exists T_1 T_2 ST LT. s = (T_1 \# T_2 \# ST, LT))$$

by(rule length-cases4) auto

lemma appBinOp[simp]:

$$\text{app}_i (\text{BinOpInstr } \text{bop}, P, \text{pc}, \text{mxs}, T_r, s) = (\exists T_1 T_2 ST LT T. s = (T_2 \# T_1 \# ST, LT) \wedge P \vdash T_1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner T_2 : T)$$

proof –
  obtain ST LT where [simp]:  $s = (ST, LT)$  by (cases s)
  have ST = []  $\vee$  ( $\exists T. ST = [T]$ )  $\vee$  ( $\exists T_1 T_2 ST'. ST = T_1 \# T_2 \# ST'$ )
    by (cases ST, auto, case-tac list, auto)
  moreover
  { assume ST = [] hence ?thesis by simp }
  moreover
  { fix T assume ST = [T] hence ?thesis by (cases T, auto) }
  moreover
  { fix T_1 T_2 ST' assume ST = T_1 \# T_2 \# ST'
    hence ?thesis by simp
  }
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma appIfFalse [simp]:

$$\text{app}_i (\text{IfFalse } b, P, \text{pc}, \text{mxs}, T_r, s) = (\exists ST LT. s = (\text{Boolean} \# ST, LT) \wedge 0 \leq \text{int pc} + b)$$

apply (rule length-cases2)
apply simp
apply (case-tac l)
apply auto
done

lemma appReturn[simp]:

$$\text{app}_i (\text{Return}, P, \text{pc}, \text{mxs}, T_r, s) = (\exists T ST LT. s = (T \# ST, LT) \wedge P \vdash T \leq T_r)$$

by (rule length-cases2, auto)

lemma appThrow[simp]:

$$\text{app}_i (\text{ThrowExc}, P, \text{pc}, \text{mxs}, T_r, s) = (\exists T ST LT. s = (T \# ST, LT) \wedge (T = NT \vee (\exists C. T = \text{Class } C \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable})))$$

by (rule length-cases2, auto)

lemma appMEnter[simp]:

$$\text{app}_i (\text{MEnter}, P, \text{pc}, \text{mxs}, T_r, s) = (\exists T ST LT. s = (T \# ST, LT) \wedge \text{is-refT } T)$$

by (rule length-cases2, auto)

lemma appMExit[simp]:

$$\text{app}_i (\text{MExit}, P, \text{pc}, \text{mxs}, T_r, s) = (\exists T ST LT. s = (T \# ST, LT) \wedge \text{is-refT } T)$$

by (rule length-cases2, auto)

lemma effNone:

```

$(pc', s') \in set (eff i P pc et None) \implies s' = None$
by (auto simp add: eff-def xcpt-eff-def norm-eff-def)

lemma relevant-entries-append [simp]:

$relevant\text{-}entries P i pc (xt @ xt') = relevant\text{-}entries P i pc xt @ relevant\text{-}entries P i pc xt'$
by (unfold relevant-entries-def) simp

lemma xcpt-app-append [iff]:

$xcpt\text{-}app i P pc mxs (xt @ xt') \tau = (xcpt\text{-}app i P pc mxs xt \tau \wedge xcpt\text{-}app i P pc mxs xt' \tau)$
unfolding xcpt-app-def **by** force

lemma xcpt-eff-append [simp]:

$xcpt\text{-}eff i P pc \tau (xt @ xt') = xcpt\text{-}eff i P pc \tau xt @ xcpt\text{-}eff i P pc \tau xt'$
by (unfold xcpt-eff-def, cases τ) simp

lemma app-append [simp]:

$app i P pc T mxs mpc (xt @ xt') \tau = (app i P pc T mxs mpc xt \tau \wedge app i P pc T mxs mpc xt' \tau)$
by (unfold app-def eff-def) auto

6.2.1 Code generator setup

declare list-all2-Nil [code]

declare list-all2-Cons [code]

lemma eff_i-BinOpInstr-code:

$eff_i (BinOpInstr bop, P, (T2 \# T1 \# ST, LT)) = (Predicate.the (WTrt-binop-i-i-i-o P T1 bop T2) \# ST, LT)$
by (simp add: the-WTrt-binop-code)

lemmas eff_i-code[code] =

$eff_i\text{-Load } eff_i\text{-Store } eff_i\text{-Push } eff_i\text{-Getfield } eff_i\text{-Putfield } eff_i\text{-New } eff_i\text{-NewArray } eff_i\text{-ALoad}$
 $eff_i\text{-AStore } eff_i\text{-ALength } eff_i\text{-Checkcast } eff_i\text{-Instanceof } eff_i\text{-Pop } eff_i\text{-Dup } eff_i\text{-Swap } eff_i\text{-BinOpInstr-code}$
 $eff_i\text{-IfFalse } eff_i\text{-Invoke } eff_i\text{-Goto } eff_i\text{-MEnter } eff_i\text{-MExit}$

lemma app_i-Getfield-code:

$app_i (Getfield F C, P, pc, mxs, T_r, (T \# ST, LT)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $Predicate.holds (Predicate.bind (sees-field-i-i-o-o-i P C F C) (\lambda T. Predicate.single ())) \wedge P \vdash T \leq Class C$

apply (clar simp simp add: Predicate.bind-def Predicate.single-def holds-eq eval-sees-field-i-i-o-i-conv)
done

lemma app_i-Putfield-code:

$app_i (Putfield F C, P, pc, mxs, T_r, (T_1 \# T_2 \# ST, LT)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $P \vdash T_2 \leq (Class C) \wedge$
 $Predicate.holds (Predicate.bind (sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-i P C F C) (\lambda(T, fm). if P \vdash T_1 \leq T then Predicate.single () else bot))$
by (auto simp add: holds-eq eval-sees-field-i-i-o-i-conv split: if-splits)

lemma app_i-CAS-code:

$app_i (CAS F C, P, pc, mxs, T_r, (T_3 \# T_2 \# T_1 \# ST, LT)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $P \vdash T_1 \leq Class C \wedge$
 $Predicate.holds (Predicate.bind (sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-i P C F C) (\lambda(T, fm). if P \vdash T_2 \leq T \wedge P \vdash T_3 \leq T \wedge volatile fm then Predicate.single () else bot))$

```

by(auto simp add: holds-eq eval-sees-field-i-i-o-i-conv)

lemma appi-ALoad-code:
  appi (ALoad, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T1#T2#ST,LT)) =
    (T1 = Integer ∧ (case T2 of Ty[ ] ⇒ True | NT ⇒ True | - ⇒ False))
by(simp add: split: ty.split)

lemma appi-AStore-code:
  appi (AStore, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T1#T2#T3#ST,LT)) =
    (T2 = Integer ∧ (case T3 of Ty[ ] ⇒ True | NT ⇒ True | - ⇒ False))
by(simp add: split: ty.split)

lemma appi-ALength-code:
  appi (ALength, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T1#ST,LT)) =
    (case T1 of Ty[ ] ⇒ True | NT ⇒ True | - ⇒ False)
by(simp add: split: ty.split)

lemma appi-BinOpInstr-code:
  appi (BinOpInstr bop, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T2#T1#ST,LT)) =
    Predicate.holds (Predicate.bind (WTrt-binop-i-i-i-o P T1 bop T2) (λ T. Predicate.single ()))
by (auto simp add: holds-eq eval-WTrt-binop-i-i-i-o)

lemma appi-Invoke-code:
  appi (Invoke M n, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (ST,LT)) =
    (n < length ST ∧
     (ST!n ≠ NT →
      (case class-type-of' (ST ! n) of Some C ⇒
       Predicate.holds (Predicate.bind (Method-i-i-i-o-o-o-o P C M)
                               (λ(Ts, -). if P ⊢ rev (take n ST) [≤] Ts then Predicate.single () else
                               bot))
      | - ⇒ False)))
proof –
  have bind-Ex: ⋀ P f. Predicate.bind P f = Predicate.Pred (λ x. (∃ y. Predicate.eval P y ∧ Predicate.eval (f y) x))
    by (rule pred-eqI) auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: bind-Ex Predicate.single-def holds-eq eval-Method-i-i-i-o-o-o-o-conv split: ty.split)
qed

lemma appi-Throw-code:
  appi (ThrowExc, P, pc, mxs, Tr, (T#ST,LT)) =
    (case T of NT ⇒ True | Class C ⇒ P ⊢ C ⊢* Throwable | - ⇒ False)
by(simp split: ty.split)

lemmas appi-code [code] =
  appi-Load appi-Store appi-Push
  appi-Getfield-code appi-Putfield-code appi-CAS-code
  appi-New appi-NewArray
  appi-ALoad-code appi-AStore-code appi-ALength-code
  appi-Checkcast appi-Instanceof
  appi-Pop appi-Dup appi-Swap appi-BinOpInstr-code appi-IfFalse appi-Goto
  appi-Return appi-Throw-code appi-Invoke-code appi-MEnter appi-MExit
  appi-default

```

end

6.3 The Bytecode Verifier

theory *BVSpec*

imports

Effect

begin

This theory contains a specification of the BV. The specification describes correct typings of method bodies; it corresponds to type *checking*.

definition *check-types* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *ty_i*' *err list* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

check-types P mxs mxl τs ≡ set τs ⊆ states P mxs mxl

— An instruction is welltyped if it is applicable and its effect

— is compatible with the type at all successor instructions:

definition *wt-instr* :: '['*m prog,ty,nat,pc,ex-table,addr instr,pc,ty_m*'] \Rightarrow *bool*

$(-, -, -, -, - \vdash -, - : - [60, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 61] 60)$

where

P, T, mxs, mpc, xt ⊢ i, pc :: τs ≡

app i P mxs T pc mpc xt (τs!pc) ∧

(∀(pc', τ') ∈ set (eff i P pc xt (τs!pc)). P ⊢ τ' ≤' τs!pc')

— The type at *pc=0* conforms to the method calling convention:

definition *wt-start* :: '['*m prog,cname,ty list,nat,ty_m*'] \Rightarrow *bool*

where

wt-start P C Ts mxl₀ τs ≡

P ⊢ Some ([]OK (Class C) # map OK Ts@replicate mxl₀ Err) ≤' τs!0

— A method is welltyped if the body is not empty,

— if the method type covers all instructions and mentions

— declared classes only, if the method calling convention is respected, and

— if all instructions are welltyped.

definition *wt-method* :: '['*m prog,cname,ty list,ty,nat,nat,addr instr list, ex-table,ty_m*'] \Rightarrow *bool*

where

wt-method P C Ts T_r mxs mxl₀ is xt τs ≡

0 < size is ∧ size τs = size is ∧

check-types P mxs (1 + size Ts + mxl₀) (map OK τs) ∧

wt-start P C Ts mxl₀ τs ∧

(∀pc < size is. P, T_r, mxs, size is, xt ⊢ is!pc, pc :: τs)

— A program is welltyped if it is wellformed and all methods are welltyped

definition *wf-jvm-prog-phi* :: *ty_P* \Rightarrow '*addr jvm-prog* \Rightarrow *bool* (*wf'-jvm'-prog-*)

where

wf-jvm-prog_Φ ≡

wf-prog (λP C (M, Ts, T_r, (mxs, mxl₀, is, xt))).

wt-method P C Ts T_r mxs mxl₀ is xt (Φ C M))

definition *wf-jvm-prog* :: '*addr jvm-prog* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

wf-jvm-prog P ≡ ∃Φ. wf-jvm-prog_Φ P

```

lemma wt-jvm-progD:
  wf-jvm-progΦ P  $\implies \exists \text{wt. wf-prog wt } P$ 
lemma wt-jvm-prog-impl-wt-instr:
   $\llbracket \text{wf-jvm-prog}_\Phi P; P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C; pc < \text{size ins} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies P, T, mxs, \text{size ins}, xt \vdash \text{ins!pc}, pc :: \Phi C M$ 
lemma wt-jvm-prog-impl-wt-start:
   $\llbracket \text{wf-jvm-prog}_\Phi P; P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $0 < \text{size ins} \wedge \text{wt-start } P C Ts mxl_0 (\Phi C M)$ 
end

```

6.4 BV Type Safety Invariant

```

theory BVConform
imports
  BVSpec
  ..JVM/JVMExec
begin

context JVM-heap-base begin

definition confT :: 'c prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  ty err  $\Rightarrow$  bool
   $(-, - \vdash - : \leq_T - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$ 
where
   $P, h \vdash v : \leq_T E \equiv \text{case } E \text{ of Err } \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid OK T \Rightarrow P, h \vdash v : \leq_T T$ 

notation (ASCII)
  confT  $(-, - | - : \leq_T - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$ 

abbreviation confTs :: 'c prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  tyl  $\Rightarrow$  bool
   $(-, - \vdash - : \leq_T - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$ 
where
   $P, h \vdash vs : \leq_T Ts \equiv \text{list-all2 } (\text{confT } P h) vs Ts$ 

notation (ASCII)
  confTs  $(-, - | - : \leq_T - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$ 

definition conf-f :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  tyi  $\Rightarrow$  'addr bytecode  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  conf-f P h  $\equiv \lambda(ST, LT) \text{ is } (stk, loc, C, M, pc). P, h \vdash stk : \leq ST \wedge P, h \vdash loc : \leq_T LT \wedge pc < \text{size is}$ 

primrec conf-fs :: ['addr jvm-prog, 'heap, tyP, mname, nat, ty, 'addr frame list]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  conf-fs P h Φ M0 n0 T0 [] = True
   $| \text{conf-fs } P h \Phi M_0 n_0 T_0 (f\#frs) =$ 
     $(\text{let } (stk, loc, C, M, pc) = f \text{ in}$ 
     $(\exists ST LT Ts T mxs mxl_0 \text{ is } xt.$ 
       $\Phi C M ! pc = \text{Some } (ST, LT) \wedge$ 
       $(P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, is, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C) \wedge$ 
       $(\exists Ts' T' D m D'.$ 
         $is!pc = (\text{Invoke } M_0 n_0) \wedge \text{class-type-of}' (ST!n_0) = [D] \wedge P \vdash D \text{ sees } M_0 : Ts' \rightarrow T' = m \text{ in } D'$ 

```

```

 $\wedge P \vdash T_0 \leq T' \wedge$ 
 $\text{conf-f } P h (ST, LT) \text{ is } f \wedge \text{conf-fs } P h \Phi M (\text{size } Ts) T fs))$ 

primrec conf-xcp :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr option  $\Rightarrow$  'addr instr  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
 $\text{conf-xcp } P h \text{ None } i = \text{True}$ 
 $| \text{conf-xcp } P h [a] i = (\exists D. \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } D \rfloor \wedge P \vdash D \preceq^* \text{Throwable} \wedge$ 
 $(\forall D'. P \vdash D \preceq^* D' \longrightarrow \text{is-relevant-class } i P D'))$ 

end

context JVM-heap-conf-base begin

definition correct-state :: [typ, 'thread-id, ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state]  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
 $\text{correct-state } \Phi t \equiv \lambda(xp,h,frs).$ 
 $P,h \vdash t \vee t \wedge h \text{conf } h \wedge \text{preallocated } h \wedge$ 
 $(\text{case } frs \text{ of}$ 
 $\quad [] \Rightarrow \text{True}$ 
 $\quad | (f \# fs) \Rightarrow$ 
 $\quad (\text{let } (stk,loc,C,M,pc) = f$ 
 $\quad \text{in } \exists Ts T mxs mxl_0 \text{ is } xt \tau.$ 
 $\quad (P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs,mxl_0,is,xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C) \wedge$ 
 $\quad \Phi C M ! pc = \text{Some } \tau \wedge$ 
 $\quad \text{conf-f } P h \tau \text{ is } f \wedge \text{conf-fs } P h \Phi M (\text{size } Ts) T fs \wedge$ 
 $\quad \text{conf-xcp } P h xp (\text{is } ! pc))$ 

notation
 $\text{correct-state } (- \vdash \_ \vee [61,0,0] 61)$ 

notation (ASCII)
 $\text{correct-state } (- \mid - \vee [ok] [61,0,0] 61)$ 

end

context JVM-heap-base begin

lemma conf-f-def2:
 $\text{conf-f } P h (ST,LT) \text{ is } (stk,loc,C,M,pc) \equiv$ 
 $P,h \vdash stk [: \leq] ST \wedge P,h \vdash loc [: \leq_{\top}] LT \wedge pc < \text{size is}$ 
by (simp add: conf-f-def)

```

6.4.1 Values and \top

```

lemma confT-Err [iff]:  $P,h \vdash x : \leq_{\top} Err$ 
by (simp add: confT-def)

lemma confT-OK [iff]:  $P,h \vdash x : \leq_{\top} OK T = (P,h \vdash x : \leq T)$ 
by (simp add: confT-def)

lemma confT-cases:
 $P,h \vdash x : \leq_{\top} X = (X = Err \vee (\exists T. X = OK T \wedge P,h \vdash x : \leq T))$ 
by (cases X) auto

lemma confT-widen [intro?, trans]:

```

```

 $\llbracket P, h \vdash x : \leq_{\top} T; P \vdash T \leq_{\top} T' \rrbracket \implies P, h \vdash x : \leq_{\top} T'$ 
by (cases  $T'$ , auto intro: conf-widen)

```

end

context *JVM-heap* **begin**

```

lemma confT-hext [intro?, trans]:
 $\llbracket P, h \vdash x : \leq_{\top} T; h \trianglelefteq h' \rrbracket \implies P, h' \vdash x : \leq_{\top} T$ 
by (cases  $T$ ) (blast intro: conf-hext)+

```

end

6.4.2 Stack and Registers

context *JVM-heap-base* **begin**

```

lemma confTs-Cons1 [iff]:
 $P, h \vdash x \# xs \text{ [:}\leq_{\top}\text{] } Ts = (\exists z \in zs. Ts = z \# zs \wedge P, h \vdash x : \leq_{\top} z \wedge \text{list-all2 (confT P h) xs zs})$ 
by (rule list-all2-Cons1)

```

```

lemma confTs-confT-sup:
 $\llbracket P, h \vdash \text{loc} \text{ [:}\leq_{\top}\text{] } LT; n < \text{size } LT; LT!n = \text{OK } T; P \vdash T \leq T' \rrbracket \implies P, h \vdash (\text{loc}!n) \leq T'$ 
apply (frule list-all2-lengthD)
apply (drule list-all2-nthD, simp)
apply simp
apply (erule conf-widen, assumption+)
done

```

```

lemma confTs-widen [intro?, trans]:
 $P, h \vdash \text{loc} \text{ [:}\leq_{\top}\text{] } LT \implies P \vdash LT \text{ [:}\leq_{\top}\text{] } LT' \implies P, h \vdash \text{loc} \text{ [:}\leq_{\top}\text{] } LT'$ 
by (rule list-all2-trans, rule confT-widen)

```

```

lemma confTs-map [iff]:
 $(P, h \vdash vs \text{ [:}\leq_{\top}\text{] } \text{map OK } Ts) = (P, h \vdash vs \text{ [:}\leq\text{] } Ts)$ 
by (induct Ts arbitrary: vs) (auto simp add: list-all2-Cons2)

```

```

lemma (in  $-$ ) reg-widen-Err:
 $(P \vdash \text{replicate } n \text{ Err} \text{ [:}\leq_{\top}\text{] } LT) = (LT = \text{replicate } n \text{ Err})$ 
by (induct n arbitrary: LT) (auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1)

```

declare reg-widen-Err [iff]

```

lemma confTs-Err [iff]:
 $P, h \vdash \text{replicate } n \text{ v} \text{ [:}\leq_{\top}\text{] } \text{replicate } n \text{ Err}$ 
by (induct n) auto

```

end

context *JVM-heap* **begin**

```

lemma confTs-hext [intro?]:
 $P, h \vdash \text{loc} \text{ [:}\leq_{\top}\text{] } LT \implies h \trianglelefteq h' \implies P, h' \vdash \text{loc} \text{ [:}\leq_{\top}\text{] } LT$ 

```

by (fast elim: list-all2-mono confT-hext)

6.4.3 correct-frames

declare fun-upd-apply[simp del]

lemma conf-f-hext:

$\llbracket \text{conf-f } P h \Phi M f; h \trianglelefteq h' \rrbracket \implies \text{conf-f } P h' \Phi M f$

by(cases f, cases Φ , auto simp add: conf-f-def intro: confs-hext confTs-hext)

lemma conf-fs-hext:

$\llbracket \text{conf-fs } P h \Phi M n T_r frs; h \trianglelefteq h' \rrbracket \implies \text{conf-fs } P h' \Phi M n T_r frs$

apply (induct frs arbitrary: $M n T_r$)

apply simp

apply clarify

apply (simp (no-asm-use))

apply clarify

apply (unfold conf-f-def)

apply (simp (no-asm-use) split: if-split-asm)

apply (fast elim!: confs-hext confTs-hext)+

done

declare fun-upd-apply[simp]

lemma conf-xcp-hext:

$\llbracket \text{conf-xcp } P h \text{ xcp } i; h \trianglelefteq h' \rrbracket \implies \text{conf-xcp } P h' \text{ xcp } i$

by(cases xcp)(auto elim: typeof-addr-hext-mono)

end

context JVM-heap-conf-base **begin**

lemmas defs1 = correct-state-def conf-f-def wt-instr-def eff-def norm-eff-def app-def xcpt-app-def

lemma correct-state-impl-Some-method:

$\Phi \vdash t: (\text{None}, h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc)\#frs) \vee$

$\implies \exists m Ts T. P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor m \rfloor \text{ in } C$

by(fastforce simp add: defs1)

end

context JVM-heap-conf-base' **begin**

lemma correct-state-hext-mono:

$\llbracket \Phi \vdash t: (\text{xcp}, h, frs) \vee; h \trianglelefteq h'; h \text{conf } h' \rrbracket \implies \Phi \vdash t: (\text{xcp}, h', frs) \vee$

unfolding correct-state-def

by(fastforce elim: tconf-hext-mono preallocated-hext conf-f-hext conf-fs-hext conf-xcp-hext split: list.split)

end

end

6.5 BV Type Safety Proof

```
theory BVSpecTypeSafe
imports
  BVConform
  ..../Common/ExternalCallWF
begin
```

```
declare listE-length [simp del]
```

This theory contains proof that the specification of the bytecode verifier only admits type safe programs.

6.5.1 Preliminaries

Simp and intro setup for the type safety proof:

```
context JVM-heap-conf-base begin
lemmas widen-rules [intro] = conf-widen confT-widen confs-widens confTs-widen
end
```

6.5.2 Exception Handling

For the *Invoke* instruction the BV has checked all handlers that guard the current *pc*.

lemma *Invoke-handlers*:

```
match-ex-table P C pc xt = Some (pc',d') ==>
  ∃(f,t,D,h,d) ∈ set (relevant-entries P (Invoke n M) pc xt).
    (case D of None ⇒ True | Some D' ⇒ P ⊢ C ⊑* D') ∧ pc ∈ {f..<t} ∧ pc' = h ∧ d' = d
  by (induct xt) (auto simp add: relevant-entries-def matches-ex-entry-def
    is-relevant-entry-def split: if-split-asm)
```

lemma *match-is-relevant*:

```
assumes rv: ⋀D'. P ⊢ D ⊑* D' ==> is-relevant-class (ins ! i) P D'
assumes match: match-ex-table P D pc xt = Some (pc',d')
shows ∃(f,t,D',h,d) ∈ set (relevant-entries P (ins ! i) pc xt). (case D' of None ⇒ True | Some D'' ⇒ P ⊢ D ⊑* D'') ∧ pc ∈ {f..<t} ∧ pc' = h ∧ d' = d
using rv match
by(fastforce simp add: relevant-entries-def is-relevant-entry-def matches-ex-entry-def dest: match-ex-table-SomeD)
```

```
context JVM-heap-conf-base begin
```

lemma *exception-step-conform*:

```
fixes σ' :: ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state
assumes wtp: wf-jvm-progΦ P
assumes correct: Φ ⊢ t:([_xcp], h, fr # frs) √
shows Φ ⊢ t:exception-step P xcp h fr frs √
proof -
  obtain stk loc C M pc where fr: fr = (stk, loc, C, M, pc) by(cases fr)
  from correct obtain Ts T mxs mxl0 ins xt
    where meth: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts → T = [(mxs,mxl0,ins,xt)] in C
    by (simp add: correct-state-def fr) blast
```

```

from correct meth fr obtain D
  where hxcp: typeof-addr h xp =  $\lfloor \text{Class-type } D \rfloor$  and DsubThrowable:  $P \vdash D \preceq^* \text{Throwable}$ 
  and rv:  $\bigwedge D'. P \vdash D \preceq^* D' \implies \text{is-relevant-class (instrs-of } P C M ! pc) P D'$ 
  by(fastforce simp add: correct-state-def dest: sees-method-fun)

from meth have [simp]: ex-table-of P C M = xt by simp

from correct have tconf:  $P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  by(simp add: correct-state-def)

show ?thesis
proof(cases match-ex-table P D pc xt)
  case None
    with correct fr meth hxcp show ?thesis
      by(fastforce simp add: correct-state-def cname-of-def split: list.split)
  next
    case (Some pc-d)
      then obtain pc' d' where pcd: pc-d = (pc', d')
        and match: match-ex-table P D pc xt = Some (pc', d') by (cases pc-d) auto
      from match-is-relevant[OF rv match] meth obtain f t D'
        where rv:  $(f, t, D', pc', d') \in \text{set (relevant-entries } P (\text{ins ! pc}) pc xt)$ 
        and DsubD': (case D' of None  $\Rightarrow$  True | Some D''  $\Rightarrow$   $P \vdash D \preceq^* D''$ ) and pc: pc ∈ {f.. $< t$ }
      by(auto)
    from correct meth obtain ST LT
      where h-ok: hconf h
      and Φ-pc:  $\Phi C M ! pc = \text{Some (ST, LT)}$ 
      and frame: conf-f P h (ST,LT) ins (stk,loc,C,M,pc)
      and frames: conf-fs P h Φ M (size Ts) T frs
      and preh: preallocated h
      unfolding correct-state-def fr by(auto dest: sees-method-fun)

    from frame obtain stk:  $P, h \vdash \text{stk} [\leq] ST$ 
      and loc:  $P, h \vdash \text{loc} [\leq_{\top}] LT$  and pc: pc < size ins
      by (unfold conf-f-def) auto

    from stk have [simp]: size stk = size ST ..

    from wtp meth correct fr have wt:  $P, T, mxs, \text{size ins}, \text{xt} \vdash \text{ins!pc, pc :: } \Phi C M$ 
      by (auto simp add: correct-state-def conf-f-def
        dest: sees-method-fun
        elim!: wt-jvm-prog-impl-wt-instr)

    from wt Φ-pc have
      eff:  $\forall (pc', s') \in \text{set (xcept-eff (ins!pc) P pc (ST,LT) xt)}.$ 
         $pc' < \text{size ins} \wedge P \vdash s' \leq' \Phi C M ! pc'$ 
      by (auto simp add: def1)

    let ?stk' = Addr xp # drop (length stk - d') stk
    let ?f = (?stk', loc, C, M, pc')

    have conf:  $P, h \vdash \text{Addr xp :} \leq \text{Class (case D' of None } \Rightarrow \text{Throwable} \mid \text{Some D'' } \Rightarrow D'')$ 
      using DsubD' hxcp DsubThrowable by(auto simp add: conf-def)
  
```

```

obtain ST' LT' where
   $\Phi\text{-}pc': \Phi C M ! pc' = Some(ST', LT')$  and
   $pc': pc' < size ins$  and
  less:  $P \vdash (Class D \# drop (size ST - d') ST, LT) \leq_i (ST', LT')$ 
proof(cases D')
  case Some
  thus ?thesis using eff rv DsubD' conf that
    by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-eff-def sup-state-opt-any-Some intro: widen-trans[OF widen-subcls])
next
  case None
  with that eff rv conf DsubThrowable show ?thesis
    by(fastforce simp add: xcpt-eff-def sup-state-opt-any-Some intro: widen-trans[OF widen-subcls])
qed

with conf loc stk hxcp have conf-f P h (ST',LT') ins ?f
  by (auto simp add: defs1 conf-def intro: list-all2-dropI)

with meth h-ok frames  $\Phi\text{-}pc'$  fr match hxcp tconf preh
show ?thesis unfolding correct-state-def
  by(fastforce dest: sees-method-fun simp add: cname-of-def)
qed
qed

end

```

6.5.3 Single Instructions

In this subsection we prove for each single (welltyped) instruction that the state after execution of the instruction still conforms. Since we have already handled raised exceptions above, we can now assume that no exception has been raised in this step.

```

context JVM-conf-read begin

declare defs1 [simp]

lemma Invoke-correct:
  fixes  $\sigma' :: ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state$ 
  assumes wtprog: wf-jvm-prog $_{\Phi}$  P
  assumes meth-C:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor$  in C
  assumes ins: ins ! pc = Invoke M' n
  assumes uti:  $P, T, mxs, size ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi C M$ 
  assumes approx:  $\Phi \vdash t : (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \vee$ 
  assumes exec: (tas,  $\sigma$ )  $\in$  exec-instr (ins!pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs
  shows  $\Phi \vdash t : \sigma \vee$ 
proof -
  note split-paired-Ex [simp del]

from wtprog obtain wfmb where wfprog: wf-prog wfmb P
  by (simp add: wf-jvm-prog-phi-def)

from ins meth-C approx obtain ST LT where
  heap-ok: hconf h and
  tconf:  $P, h \vdash t \vee t$  and
   $\Phi\text{-}pc: \Phi C M ! pc = Some(ST, LT)$  and

```

```

frame: conf-f P h (ST,LT) ins (stk,loc,C,M,pc) and
frames: conf-fs P h Φ M (size Ts) T frs and
preh: preallocated h
by (fastforce dest: sees-method-fun)

from ins wti Φ-pc
have n: n < size ST by simp

show ?thesis
proof(cases stk!n = Null)
  case True
    with ins heap-ok Φ-pc frame frames exec meth-C tconf preh show ?thesis
      by(fastforce elim: wf-preallocatedE[OF wfprog, where C=NullPointer])
next
  case False
  note Null = this
  have NT: ST!n ≠ NT
  proof
    assume ST!n = NT
    moreover from frame have P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST by simp
    with n have P,h ⊢ stk!n :≤ ST!n by (simp add: list-all2-conv-all-nth)
    ultimately have stk!n = Null by simp
    with Null show False by contradiction
  qed

from frame obtain
  stk: P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST and
  loc: P,h ⊢ loc [:≤⊤] LT by simp

from NT ins wti Φ-pc have pc': pc+1 < size ins by simp

from NT ins wti Φ-pc obtain ST' LT'
  where pc': pc+1 < size ins
  and Φ': Φ C M ! (pc+1) = Some (ST', LT')
  and LT': P ⊢ LT [:≤⊤] LT'
  by(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv sup-state-opt-any-Some split: if-split-asm)
with NT ins wti Φ-pc obtain D D' TTs TT m
  where D: class-type-of' (ST!n) = |D|
  and m-D: P ⊢ D sees M': TTs → TT = m in D'
  and Ts: P ⊢ rev (take n ST) [:≤] TTs
  and ST': P ⊢ (TT # drop (n+1) ST) [:≤] ST'
  by(auto)

from n stk D have P,h ⊢ stk!n :≤ ST ! n
  by (auto simp add: list-all2-conv-all-nth)

from ⟨P,h ⊢ stk!n :≤ ST ! n⟩ Null D
obtain U a where
  Addr: stk!n = Addr a and
  obj: typeof-addr h a = Some U and
  UsubSTn: P ⊢ ty-of-htype U ≤ ST ! n
  by(cases stk ! n)(auto simp add: conf-def widen-Class)

from D UsubSTn obtain C' where

```

```

 $C': \text{class-type-of}' (\text{ty-of-htype } U) = \lfloor C' \rfloor \text{ and } C'\text{sub}D: P \vdash C' \preceq^* D$ 
 $\text{by (rule widen-is-class-type-of) simp}$ 

with wfprog m-D
obtain Ts' T' D'' meth' where
   $m\text{-}C': P \vdash C' \text{ sees } M': Ts' \rightarrow T' = meth' \text{ in } D'' \text{ and}$ 
   $T': P \vdash T' \leq TT \text{ and}$ 
   $Ts': P \vdash TTs [\leq] Ts'$ 
  by (auto dest: sees-method-mono)

from Ts n have [simp]: size TTs = n
  by (auto dest: list-all2-lengthD simp: min-def)
with Ts' have [simp]: size Ts' = n
  by (auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)

from m-C' wfprog
obtain mD'': P ⊢ D'' sees M': Ts' → T' = meth' in D''
  by (fast dest: sees-method-idemp)

{ fix mxs' m xl' ins' xt'
  assume [simp]: meth' =  $\lfloor (mxs', m xl', ins', xt') \rfloor$ 
  let ?loc' = Addr a # rev (take n stk) @ replicate m xl' undefined-value
  let ?f' = ([] , ?loc' , D'' , M' , 0)
  let ?f = (stk , loc , C , M , pc)

from Addr obj m-C' ins meth-C exec C' False
have s': σ = (None, h, ?f' # ?f # frs) by (auto split: if-split-asm)

moreover
from wtprog mD''
obtain start: wt-start P D'' Ts' m xl' (Φ D'' M') and ins': ins' ≠ []
  by (auto dest: wt-jvm-prog-impl-wt-start)
then obtain LT₀ where LT₀: Φ D'' M' ! 0 = Some ([] , LT₀)
  by (clar simp simp add: wt-start-def def1 sup-state-opt-any-Some)
moreover
have conf-f P h ([] , LT₀) ins' ?f'
proof -
  let ?LT = OK (Class D'') # (map OK Ts') @ (replicate m xl' Err)

from stk have P,h ⊢ take n stk [:≤] take n ST ..
hence P,h ⊢ rev (take n stk) [:≤] rev (take n ST) by simp
also note Ts also note Ts' finally
have P,h ⊢ rev (take n stk) [:≤_T] map OK Ts' by simp
also
have P,h ⊢ replicate m xl' undefined-value [:≤_T] replicate m xl' Err by simp
also from m-C' have P ⊢ C' ⊑^* D'' by (rule sees-method-decl-above)
from obj heap-ok have is-htype P U by (rule typeof-addr-is-type)
with C' have P ⊢ ty-of-htype U ≤ Class C'
  by(cases U)(simp-all add: widen-array-object)
with <P ⊢ C' ⊑^* D''> obj C' have P,h ⊢ Addr a :≤ Class D''
  by (auto simp add: conf-def intro: widen-trans)
ultimately
have P,h ⊢ ?loc' [:≤_T] ?LT by simp
also from start LT₀ have P ⊢ ... [:≤_T] LT₀ by (simp add: wt-start-def)

```

```

finally have  $P, h \vdash ?loc' [:\leq_{\top}] LT_0 .$ 
thus  $?thesis$  using  $ins'$  by  $simp$ 
qed
ultimately have  $?thesis$  using  $s' \Phi\text{-}pc approx meth\text{-}C m\text{-}D T' ins D tconf C' mD''$ 
by (fastforce dest: sees-method-fun [of - C]) }
moreover
{ assume [ $simp$ ]:  $meth' = Native$ 
  with  $wfprog m\text{-}C'$  have  $D''\cdot M'(Ts') :: T'$  by (simp add: sees-wf-native)
  with  $C' m\text{-}C'$  have  $nec: is\text{-}native P U M'$  by (auto intro: is-native.intros)
from  $ins n Addr obj exec m\text{-}C' C'$ 
obtain  $va h' tas'$  where  $va: (tas', va, h') \in red\text{-}external\text{-}aggr P t a M' (rev (take n stk)) h$ 
  and  $\sigma: \sigma = extRet2JVM n h' stk loc C M pc frs va$  by (auto)
from  $va nec obj$  have  $hext: h \leq h'$  by (auto intro: red-external-aggr-hext)
with  $frames$  have  $frames': conf\text{-}fs P h' \Phi M (length Ts) T frs$  by (rule conf-fs-hext)
from  $preh hext$  have  $preh': preallocated h'$  by (rule preallocated-hext)
from  $va nec obj tconf$  have  $tconf': P, h' \vdash t \sqrt{t}$ 
  by (auto dest: red-external-aggr-preserves-tconf)
from  $hext obj$  have  $obj': typeof\text{-}addr h' a = \lfloor U \rfloor$  by (rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)
from  $stk$  have  $P, h \vdash take n stk [:\leq] take n ST$  by (rule list-all2-takeI)
then obtain  $Us$  where  $map\ typeof_h (take n stk) = map\ Some\ Us\ P \vdash Us [:\leq] take n ST$ 
  by (auto simp add: confs-conv-map)
hence  $Us: map\ typeof_h (rev (take n stk)) = map\ Some\ (rev\ Us)\ P \vdash rev\ Us [:\leq] rev\ (take\ n\ ST)$ 
  by (simp only: rev-map[symmetric], simp)
from  $\langle P \vdash rev\ Us [:\leq] rev\ (take\ n\ ST) \rangle\ Ts\ Ts'$ 
have  $P \vdash rev\ Us [:\leq] Ts'$  by (blast intro: widens-trans)
with  $obj\ \langle map\ typeof_h (rev\ (take\ n\ stk)) = map\ Some\ (rev\ Us) \rangle\ C' m\text{-}C'$ 
have  $wtext': P, h \vdash a\cdot M' (rev\ (take\ n\ stk)) : T'$  by (simp add: external-WT'.intros)
from  $va$  have  $va': P, t \vdash \langle a\cdot M' (rev\ (take\ n\ stk)), h \rangle - tas' \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$ 
  by (unfold WT-red-external-list-conv[OF wfprog wtext' tconf])
with  $heap\text{-}ok\ wtext'\ tconf\ wfprog$  have  $heap\text{-}ok': hconf\ h'$  by (auto dest: external-call-hconf)
have  $?thesis$ 
proof (cases va)
  case (RetExc a')
    from  $frame\ hext$  have  $conf\text{-}f\ P\ h' (ST, LT) ins (stk, loc, C, M, pc)$  by (rule conf-f-hext)
    with  $\sigma\ tconf'\ heap\text{-}ok'\ meth\text{-}C\ \Phi\text{-}pc\ frames'\ RetExc\ red\text{-}external\text{-}conf\text{-}extRet[OF\ wfprog\ va'\ wtext'\ heap\text{-}ok\ preh\ tconf]\ ins\ preh'$ 
      show  $?thesis$  by (fastforce simp add: conf-def widen-Class)
  next
    case RetStaySame
    from  $frame\ hext$  have  $conf\text{-}f\ P\ h' (ST, LT) ins (stk, loc, C, M, pc)$  by (rule conf-f-hext)
    with  $\sigma\ heap\text{-}ok'\ meth\text{-}C\ \Phi\text{-}pc\ RetStaySame\ frames'\ tconf'\ preh'$  show  $?thesis$  by fastforce
  next
    case (RetVal v)
    with  $\sigma$  have  $\sigma: \sigma = (None, h', (v \# drop (n+1) stk, loc, C, M, pc+1) \# frs)$  by simp
    from  $heap\text{-}ok\ wtext'\ va'\ RetVal\ preh\ tconf$  have  $P, h' \vdash v : \leq T'$ 
      by (auto dest: red-external-conf-extRet[OF wfprog])
    from  $stk$  have  $P, h \vdash drop (n + 1) stk [:\leq] drop (n+1) ST$  by (rule list-all2-dropI)
    hence  $P, h' \vdash drop (n + 1) stk [:\leq] drop (n+1) ST$  using  $hext$  by (rule confs-hext)
    with  $\langle P, h' \vdash v : \leq T' \rangle$  have  $P, h' \vdash v \# drop (n + 1) stk [:\leq] T' \# drop (n+1) ST$ 
      by (auto simp add: conf-def intro: widen-trans)
    also

```

```

with NT ins wti  $\Phi$ -pc  $\Phi'$  nec False D m-D T'
have  $P \vdash (T' \# drop (n + 1) ST) \leq ST'$ 
    by (auto dest: sees-method-fun intro: widen-trans)
also from loc hext have  $P, h' \vdash loc \leq_{\top} LT$  by (rule confTs-hext)
hence  $P, h' \vdash loc \leq_{\top} LT'$  using LT' by (rule confTs-widen)
ultimately show ?thesis using <hconf h'>  $\sigma$  meth-C  $\Phi'$  pc' frames' tconf' preh' by fastforce
qed }
ultimately show ?thesis by (cases meth') auto
qed
qed

declare list-all2-Cons2 [iff]

lemma Return-correct:
assumes wt-prog: wf-jvm-prog $_{\Phi}$  P
assumes meth:  $P \vdash C$  sees  $M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mzs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor$  in C
assumes ins: ins ! pc = Return
assumes wt:  $P, T, mzs, size ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi C M$ 
assumes correct:  $\Phi \vdash t:(None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \vee$ 
assumes s': (tas,  $\sigma')$   $\in exec P t (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs)$ 
shows  $\Phi \vdash t:\sigma' \vee$ 
proof -
  from wt-prog
  obtain wfmb where wf: wf-prog wfmb P by (simp add: wf-jvm-prog-phi-def)

  from meth ins s' correct
  have frs = []  $\Longrightarrow$  ?thesis by (simp add: correct-state-def)
  moreover
  { fix f frs' assume frs': frs = f#frs'
    moreover obtain stk' loc' C' M' pc' where
      f:  $f = (stk', loc', C', M', pc')$  by (cases f)
    moreover note meth ins s'
    ultimately
    have  $\sigma' = (None, h, (hd stk\#(drop (1 + size Ts) stk'), loc', C', M', pc' + 1)\#frs')$ 
    (is  $\sigma' = (None, h, ?f'\#frs')$ )
    by simp

  from correct meth
  obtain ST LT where
    h-ok: hconf h and
    tconf:  $P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  and
     $\Phi$ -pc:  $\Phi C M ! pc = Some (ST, LT)$  and
    frame: conf-f P h (ST, LT) ins (stk, loc, C, M, pc) and
    frames: conf-fs P h  $\Phi M$  (size Ts) T frs and
    preh: preallocated h
    by (auto dest: sees-method-fun)

  from  $\Phi$ -pc ins wt
  obtain U ST0 where ST = U # ST0  $P \vdash U \leq T$ 
    by (simp add: wt-instr-def app-def) blast
  with wf frame
  have hd-stk:  $P, h \vdash hd stk : \leq T$  by (auto simp add: conf-f-def)

```

```

from f frs' frames
obtain ST' LT' Ts'' T'' mxs' mxl_0' ins' xt' Ts' T' where
  Φ': Φ C' M' ! pc' = Some (ST', LT') and
  meth-C': P ⊢ C' sees M': Ts'' → T'' = [(mxs', mxl_0', ins', xt')] in C' and
  ins': ins' ! pc' = Invoke M (size Ts) and
  D: ∃ D m D'. class-type-of' (ST' ! (size Ts)) = Some D ∧ P ⊢ D sees M: Ts'' → T' = m in D' and
  T': P ⊢ T ≤ T' and
  frame': conf-f P h (ST', LT') ins' f and
  conf-fs: conf-fs P h Φ M' (size Ts'') T'' frs'
  by clar simp blast

from f frame' obtain
  stk': P, h ⊢ stk' [:≤] ST' and
  loc': P, h ⊢ loc' [:≤_T] LT' and
  pc': pc' < size ins'
  by (simp add: conf-f-def)

from wt-prog meth-C' pc'
have wti: P, T'', mxs', size ins', xt' ⊢ ins' ! pc', pc' :: Φ C' M'
  by (rule wt-jvm-prog-impl-wt-instr)

obtain aTs ST'' LT'' where
  Φ-suc: Φ C' M' ! Suc pc' = Some (ST'', LT'') and
  less: P ⊢ (T' # drop (size Ts+1) ST', LT') ≤_i (ST'', LT'') and
  suc-pc': Suc pc' < size ins'
  using ins' Φ' D T' wti
  by(fastforce simp add: sup-state-opt-any-Some split: if-split-asm)

from hd-stk T' have hd-stk': P, h ⊢ hd stk :≤ T' ..
have frame'':
  conf-f P h (ST'', LT'') ins' ?f'
proof -
  from stk'
  have P, h ⊢ drop (1+size Ts) stk' [:≤] drop (1+size Ts) ST' ..
  moreover
  with hd-stk' less
  have P, h ⊢ hd stk # drop (1+size Ts) stk' [:≤] ST'' by auto
  moreover
  from wf loc' less have P, h ⊢ loc' [:≤_T] LT'' by auto
  ultimately note suc-pc'
  ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: conf-f-def)
qed

with σ' frs' f meth h-ok hd-stk Φ-suc frames meth-C' Φ' tconf preh
have ?thesis by (fastforce dest: sees-method-fun [of - C'])
}

ultimately
show ?thesis by (cases frs) blast+
qed

declare sup-state-opt-any-Some [iff]
declare not-Err-eq [iff]

```

lemma *Load-correct*:

〔 wf-prog wt P ;
 $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C;$
 $ins!pc = Load \ idx;$
 $P, T, mxs, size \ ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi \ C \ M;$
 $\Phi \vdash t : (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \vee ;$
 $(tas, \sigma') \in exec \ P \ t \ (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs)$ 〕
 $\implies \Phi \vdash t : \sigma' \vee$
by (fastforce dest: sees-method-fun [of - C] elim!: confTs-confT-sup)

declare [[simproc del: list-to-set-comprehension]]

lemma *Store-correct*:

〔 wf-prog wt P ;
 $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C;$
 $ins!pc = Store \ idx;$
 $P, T, mxs, size \ ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi \ C \ M;$
 $\Phi \vdash t : (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \vee ;$
 $(tas, \sigma') \in exec \ P \ t \ (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs)$ 〕
 $\implies \Phi \vdash t : \sigma' \vee$
apply clar simp
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply clar simp
apply (blast intro!: list-all2-update-cong)
done

lemma *Push-correct*:

〔 wf-prog wt P ;
 $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C;$
 $ins!pc = Push \ v;$
 $P, T, mxs, size \ ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi \ C \ M;$
 $\Phi \vdash t : (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \vee ;$
 $(tas, \sigma') \in exec \ P \ t \ (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs)$ 〕
 $\implies \Phi \vdash t : \sigma' \vee$
apply clar simp
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply clar simp
apply (blast dest: typeof-lit-conf)
done

declare [[simproc add: list-to-set-comprehension]]

lemma *Checkcast-correct*:

〔 wf-jvm-prog $_{\Phi}$ P ;
 $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C;$
 $ins!pc = Checkcast \ D;$
 $P, T, mxs, size \ ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi \ C \ M;$
 $\Phi \vdash t : (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \vee ;$
 $(tas, \sigma) \in exec-instr (ins!pc) \ P \ t \ h \ stk \ loc \ C \ M \ pc \ frs$ 〕
 $\implies \Phi \vdash t : \sigma \vee$
using wf-preallocatedD[of $\lambda P \ C \ (M, Ts, T_r, mxs, mxl_0, is, xt)$. wt-method $P \ C \ Ts \ T_r \ mxs \ m xl_0 \ is \ xt$ ($\Phi \ C \ M$) $P \ h \ ClassCast$]
apply (clar simp simp add: wf-jvm-prog-phi-def split: if-split-asm)
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)

```

apply(fastforce simp add: conf-def intro: widen-trans)
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply(fastforce simp add: conf-def intro: widen-trans)
done

lemma Instanceof-correct:
[] wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P;
  P ⊢ C sees M : Ts → T = |(mxs, m xl0, ins, xt) in C;
  ins!pc = Instanceof Ty;
  P, T, mxs, size ins, xt ⊢ ins!pc, pc ::  $\Phi$  C M;
   $\Phi$  ⊢ t : (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs) √;
  (tas, σ) ∈ exec-instr (ins!pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs []
  ==>  $\Phi$  ⊢ t : σ √
apply (clar simp simp add: wf-jvm-prog-phi-def split: if-split-asm)
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply fastforce
done

declare split-paired-All [simp del]

end

lemma widens-Cons [iff]:
  P ⊢ (T # Ts) [≤] Us = (exists z zs. Us = z # zs ∧ P ⊢ T ≤ z ∧ P ⊢ Ts [≤] zs)
by(rule list-all2-Cons1)

context heap-conf-base begin

end

context JVM-conf-read begin

lemma Getfield-correct:
assumes wf: wf-prog wt P
assumes mC: P ⊢ C sees M : Ts → T = |(mxs, m xl0, ins, xt) in C
assumes i: ins!pc = Getfield F D
assumes wt: P, T, mxs, size ins, xt ⊢ ins!pc, pc ::  $\Phi$  C M
assumes cf:  $\Phi$  ⊢ t : (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs) √
assumes xc: (tas, σ') ∈ exec-instr (ins!pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs

shows  $\Phi$  ⊢ t : σ' √
proof -
from mC cf obtain ST LT where
  h √: hconf h and
  tconf: P, h ⊢ t √ t and
  Φ:  $\Phi$  C M ! pc = Some (ST, LT) and
  stk: P, h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST and loc: P, h ⊢ loc [:≤T] LT and
  pc: pc < size ins and
  fs: conf-fs P h Φ M (size Ts) T frs and
  preh: preallocated h
  by (fastforce dest: sees-method-fun)

from i Φ wt obtain oT ST'' vT ST' LT' vT' fm where

```

```

 $oT: P \vdash oT \leq \text{Class } D \text{ and}$ 
 $ST: ST = oT \# ST'' \text{ and}$ 
 $F: P \vdash D \text{ sees } F:vT \text{ (fm) in } D \text{ and}$ 
 $pc': pc+1 < \text{size ins} \text{ and}$ 
 $\Phi': \Phi C M ! (pc+1) = \text{Some } (vT' \# ST', LT') \text{ and}$ 
 $ST': P \vdash ST'' [\leq] ST' \text{ and } LT': P \vdash LT [\leq_{\top}] LT' \text{ and}$ 
 $vT': P \vdash vT \leq vT'$ 
 $\text{by fastforce}$ 

from stk ST obtain ref stk' where
  stk': stk = ref#stk' and
  ref: P,h ⊢ ref :≤ oT and
  ST'': P,h ⊢ stk' [:≤] ST''
  by auto

show ?thesis
proof(cases ref = Null)
  case True
    with tconf h √ i xc stk' mC fs Φ ST'' ref ST loc pc'
      wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h NullPointer] preh
    show ?thesis by(fastforce)
  next
    case False
    from ref oT have P,h ⊢ ref :≤ Class D ..
    with False obtain a U' D' where a: ref = Addr a
      and h: typeof-addr h a = Some U'
      and U': D' = class-type-of U' and D': P ⊢ D' ⊣* D
      by (blast dest: non-npD2)

  { fix v
    assume read: heap-read h a (CField D F) v
    from D' F have has-field: P ⊢ D' has F:vT (fm) in D
      by (blast intro: has-field-mono has-visible-field)
    with h have P,h ⊢ a@CField D F : vT unfolding U' ..
    with read have v: P,h ⊢ v :≤ vT using h √
      by(rule heap-read-conf)

    from ST'' ST' have P,h ⊢ stk' [:≤] ST' ..
    moreover
      from v vT' have P,h ⊢ v :≤ vT' by blast
    moreover
      from loc LT' have P,h ⊢ loc [:≤_{\top}] LT' ..
    moreover
      note h √ mC Φ' pc' v fs tconf preh
      ultimately have Φ ⊢ t:(None, h, (v#stk',loc,C,M,pc+1)#frs) √ by fastforce }
    with a h i mC stk' xc
    show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed

lemma Putfield-correct:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wt P
  assumes mC: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T=[(mxs,mxl_0,ins,xt)] in C
  assumes i: ins!pc = Putfield F D

```

```

assumes  $wt: P, T, mcs, size ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi C M$ 
assumes  $cf: \Phi \vdash t:(None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \vee$ 
assumes  $xc: (tas, \sigma') \in exec-instr (ins!pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs$ 
shows  $\Phi \vdash t:\sigma' \vee$ 

```

proof –

```

from  $mC cf$  obtain  $ST LT$  where
   $h\sqrt{ }: hconf h$  and
   $tconf: P, h \vdash t \sqrt{ }t$  and
   $\Phi: \Phi C M ! pc = Some (ST, LT)$  and
   $stk: P, h \vdash stk [: \leq] ST$  and  $loc: P, h \vdash loc [: \leq_{\top}] LT$  and
   $pc: pc < size ins$  and
   $fs: conf-fs P h \Phi M (size Ts) T frs$  and
   $preh: preallocated h$ 
  by (fastforce dest: sees-method-fun)

```

```

from  $i \Phi wt$  obtain  $vT vT' oT ST'' ST' LT' fm$  where
   $ST: ST = vT \# oT \# ST''$  and
   $field: P \vdash D$  sees  $F:vT'$  ( $fm$ ) in  $D$  and
   $oT: P \vdash oT \leq Class D$  and  $vT: P \vdash vT \leq vT'$  and
   $pc': pc+1 < size ins$  and
   $\Phi': \Phi C M !(pc+1) = Some (ST', LT')$  and
   $ST': P \vdash ST'' [: \leq] ST'$  and  $LT': P \vdash LT [: \leq_{\top}] LT'$ 
  by clar simp

```

```

from  $stk ST$  obtain  $v ref stk'$  where
   $stk': stk = v \# ref \# stk'$  and
   $v: P, h \vdash v : \leq vT$  and
   $ref: P, h \vdash ref : \leq oT$  and
   $ST'': P, h \vdash stk' [: \leq] ST''$ 
  by auto

```

```

show ?thesis
proof(cases  $ref = Null$ )
  case True
  with  $tconf h\sqrt{ } i xc stk' mC fs \Phi ST'' ref ST loc pc' v$ 
    wf-preallocatedD[ $OF wf$ , of  $h$  NullPointer]  $preh$ 
  show ?thesis by(fastforce)

```

next

```

  case False
  from  $ref oT$  have  $P, h \vdash ref : \leq Class D ..$ 
  with False obtain  $a U' D'$  where
     $a: ref = Addr a$  and  $h: typeof-addr h a = Some U'$ 
     $\text{and } U': D' = class-type-of U'$  and  $D': P \vdash D' \preceq^* D$ 
    by (blast dest: non-npD2)

```

from $v vT$ **have** $vT': P, h \vdash v : \leq vT' ..$

```

from  $field D'$  have  $has-field: P \vdash D'$  has  $F:vT'$  ( $fm$ ) in  $D$ 
  by (blast intro: has-field-mono has-visible-field)
with  $h$  have  $al: P, h \vdash a @ CField D F : vT'$  unfolding  $U'$  ..
let  $?f' = (stk', loc, C, M, pc+1)$ 

```

```

{ fix  $h'$ 
  assume write: heap-write  $h a (CField D F) v h'$ 

```

```

hence  $hext: h \sqsubseteq h'$  by(rule hext-heap-write)
with preh have preallocated  $h'$  by(rule preallocated-hext)
moreover
from write  $h \vee al vT'$  have hconf  $h'$  by(rule hconf-heap-write-mono)
moreover
from  $ST'' ST'$  have  $P,h \vdash stk' [:\leq] ST'$  ..
from this  $hext$  have  $P,h' \vdash stk' [:\leq] ST'$  by (rule confs-hext)
moreover
from loc  $LT'$  have  $P,h \vdash loc [:\leq_{\top}] LT'$  ..
from this  $hext$  have  $P,h' \vdash loc [:\leq_{\top}] LT'$  by (rule confTs-hext)
moreover
from fs  $hext$ 
have conf-fs  $P h' \Phi M$  (size  $Ts$ )  $T frs$  by (rule conf-fs-hext)
moreover
note  $mC \Phi' pc'$ 
moreover
from tconf  $hext$  have  $P,h' \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  by(rule tconf-hext-mono)
ultimately have  $\Phi \vdash t:(None, h', ?f'\#frs) \vee$  by fastforce }
with a  $h i mC stk' xc$  show ?thesis by(auto simp del: correct-state-def)
qed
qed

```

lemma CAS-correct:

```

assumes wf: wf-prog wt P
assumes mC:  $P \vdash C$  sees  $M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor$  in C
assumes i: ins!pc = CAS F D
assumes wt:  $P, T, mxs, size ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi C M$ 
assumes cf:  $\Phi \vdash t:(None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \vee$ 
assumes xc:  $(tas, \sigma') \in exec-instr (ins!pc)$   $P t h stk loc C M pc frs$ 
shows  $\Phi \vdash t:\sigma' \vee$ 

```

proof –

```

from mC cf obtain ST LT where
   $h \vee hconf h$  and
  tconf:  $P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  and
   $\Phi: \Phi C M ! pc = Some (ST, LT)$  and
  stk:  $P, h \vdash stk [:\leq] ST$  and loc:  $P, h \vdash loc [:\leq_{\top}] LT$  and
  pc:  $pc < size ins$  and
  fs: conf-fs  $P h \Phi M$  (size  $Ts$ )  $T frs$  and
  preh: preallocated h
  by (fastforce dest: sees-method-fun)

```

```

from i  $\Phi$  wt obtain T1 T2 T3 T' ST'' ST' LT' fm where
  ST:  $ST = T3 \# T2 \# T1 \# ST''$  and
  field:  $P \vdash D$  sees  $F:T' (fm)$  in D and
  oT:  $P \vdash T1 \leq Class D$  and T2:  $P \vdash T2 \leq T'$  and T3:  $P \vdash T3 \leq T'$  and
  pc':  $pc+1 < size ins$  and
   $\Phi': \Phi C M!(pc+1) = Some (Boolean \# ST', LT')$  and
  ST':  $P \vdash ST'' [:\leq] ST'$  and LT':  $P \vdash LT [:\leq_{\top}] LT'$ 
  by clar simp

```

```

from stk ST obtain v'' v' v stk' where
  stk':  $stk = v'' \# v' \# v \# stk'$  and
  v:  $P, h \vdash v : \leq T1$  and
  v':  $P, h \vdash v' : \leq T2$  and

```

```

 $v'': P, h \vdash v'' : \leq T3$  and  

 $ST'': P, h \vdash stk' [:\leq] ST''$   

by auto

show ?thesis
proof(cases  $v = Null$ )
  case True
    with tconf  $h \vee i \; xc \; stk' \; mC \; fs \; \Phi \; ST'' \; v \; ST \; loc \; pc' \; v' \; v''$ 
      wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h NullPointer] preh
    show ?thesis by(fastforce)
  next
    case False
    from  $v \; oT$  have  $P, h \vdash v : \leq Class \; D ..$ 
    with False obtain  $a \; U' \; D'$  where
       $a: v = Addr \; a$  and  $h: typeof-addr \; h \; a = Some \; U'$ 
      and  $U': D' = class-type-of \; U'$  and  $D': P \vdash D' \preceq^* D$ 
      by (blast dest: non-npD2)

    from  $v' \; T2$  have  $vT': P, h \vdash v' : \leq T' ..$ 
    from  $v'' \; T3$  have  $vT'': P, h \vdash v'' : \leq T' ..$ 

    from field  $D'$  have has-field:  $P \vdash D' \; has \; F:T' \; (fm) \; in \; D$ 
      by (blast intro: has-field-mono has-visible-field)
    with  $h$  have al:  $P, h \vdash a @ CField \; D \; F : T'$  unfolding  $U' ..$ 

    from  $ST'' \; ST'$  have  $stk'': P, h \vdash stk' [:\leq] ST' ..$ 
    from loc  $LT'$  have  $loc': P, h \vdash loc [:\leq_T] LT' ..$ 
    { fix  $h'$ 
      assume write: heap-write  $h \; a \; (CField \; D \; F) \; v'' \; h'$ 
      hence hext:  $h \trianglelefteq h'$  by(rule hext-heap-write)
      with preh have preallocated  $h'$  by(rule preallocated-hext)
      moreover
      from write  $h \vee al \; vT''$  have hconf  $h'$  by(rule hconf-heap-write-mono)
      moreover
      from  $stk'' \; hext$  have  $P, h' \vdash stk' [:\leq] ST'$  by (rule confs-hext)
      moreover
      from loc' hext have  $P, h' \vdash loc [:\leq_T] LT'$  by (rule confTs-hext)
      moreover
      from fs hext
      have conf-fs  $P \; h' \; \Phi \; M \; (size \; Ts) \; T \; frs$  by (rule conf-fs-hext)
      moreover
      note  $mC \; \Phi' \; pc'$ 
      moreover
      let  $?f' = (Bool \; True \# \; stk', loc, C, M, pc + 1)$ 
      from tconf hext have  $P, h' \vdash t \; \sqrt{t}$  by(rule tconf-hext-mono)
      ultimately have  $\Phi \vdash t: (None, h', ?f' \# frs) \vee$  by fastforce
    } moreover {
      let  $?f' = (Bool \; False \# \; stk', loc, C, M, pc + 1)$ 
      have  $\Phi \vdash t: (None, h, ?f' \# frs) \vee$  using tconf  $h \vee$  preh  $mC \; \Phi' \; stk'' \; loc' \; pc' \; fs$ 
        by fastforce
    } ultimately show ?thesis using a h i mC stk' xc by(auto simp del: correct-state-def)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma New-correct:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wt P
  assumes meth: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T=|(mzs,mxl0,ins,xt)| in C
  assumes ins: ins!pc = New X
  assumes wt: P,T,mzs,size ins,xt ⊢ ins!pc,pc :: Φ C M
  assumes conf: Φ ⊢ t:(None, h, (stk,loc,C,M,pc) # frs) √
  assumes no-x: (tas, σ) ∈ exec-instr (ins!pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs
  shows Φ ⊢ t:σ √

proof -
  from ins conf meth
  obtain ST LT where
    heap-ok: hconf h and
    tconf: P,h ⊢ t √/t and
    Φ-pc: Φ C M!pc = Some (ST,LT) and
    frame: conf-f P h (ST,LT) ins (stk,loc,C,M,pc) and
    frames: conf-fs P h Φ M (size Ts) T frs and
    preh: preallocated h
    by (auto dest: sees-method-fun)

  from Φ-pc ins wt
  obtain ST' LT' where
    is-class-X: is-class P X and
    mzs: size ST < mzs and
    suc-pc: pc+1 < size ins and
    Φ-suc: Φ C M!(pc+1) = Some (ST', LT') and
    less: P ⊢ (Class X # ST, LT) ≤i (ST', LT')
    by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases allocate h (Class-type X) = {})
    case True
      with frame frames tconf suc-pc no-x ins meth Φ-pc
        wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h OutOfMemory] preh is-class-X heap-ok
      show ?thesis
      by(fastforce intro: tconf-hext-mono confs-hext confTs-hext conf-fs-hext)
    next
    case False
      with ins meth no-x obtain h' oref
        where new: (h', oref) ∈ allocate h (Class-type X)
        and σ': σ = (None, h', (Addr oref # stk, loc, C, M, pc+1) # frs) (is σ = (None, h', ?f # frs))
        by auto

      from new have hext: h ⊢ h' by(rule hext-allocate)
      with preh have preh': preallocated h' by(rule preallocated-hext)
      from new heap-ok is-class-X have heap-ok': hconf h'
      by(auto intro: hconf-allocate-mono)

      with new is-class-X have h': typeof-addr h' oref = | Class-type X | by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD)

      note heap-ok' σ'
      moreover
      from frame less suc-pc wf h' hext
      have conf-f P h' (ST', LT') ins ?f
        apply (clarsimp simp add: fun-upd-apply conf-def split-beta)
        apply (auto intro: confs-hext confTs-hext)

```

```

done
moreover
from frames hext have conf-fs P h' Φ M (size Ts) T frs by (rule conf-fs-hext)
moreover from tconf hext have P,h' ⊢ t √/t by(rule tconf-hext-mono)
ultimately
show ?thesis using meth Φ-suc preh' by fastforce
qed
qed

```

lemma Goto-correct:

```

 $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wt } P;$ 
 $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C;$ 
 $ins ! pc = \text{Goto branch};$ 
 $P, T, mxs, \text{size } ins, xt \vdash ins ! pc, pc :: \Phi C M;$ 
 $\Phi \vdash t : (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \vee;$ 
 $(tas, \sigma') \in \text{exec } P t (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs)$ 
 $\implies \Phi \vdash t : \sigma' \vee$ 
apply clar simp
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply fastforce
done

```

declare [[simproc del: list-to-set-comprehension]]

lemma IfFalse-correct:

```

 $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wt } P;$ 
 $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C;$ 
 $ins ! pc = \text{IfFalse branch};$ 
 $P, T, mxs, \text{size } ins, xt \vdash ins ! pc, pc :: \Phi C M;$ 
 $\Phi \vdash t : (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \vee;$ 
 $(tas, \sigma') \in \text{exec } P t (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs)$ 
 $\implies \Phi \vdash t : \sigma' \vee$ 
apply clar simp
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply fastforce
done

```

declare [[simproc add: list-to-set-comprehension]]

lemma BinOp-correct:

```

 $\llbracket \text{wf-prog wt } P;$ 
 $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C;$ 
 $ins ! pc = \text{BinOpInstr bop};$ 
 $P, T, mxs, \text{size } ins, xt \vdash ins ! pc, pc :: \Phi C M;$ 
 $\Phi \vdash t : (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \vee;$ 
 $(tas, \sigma') \in \text{exec } P t (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs)$ 
 $\implies \Phi \vdash t : \sigma' \vee$ 
apply clar simp
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply (clar simp simp add: conf-def)
apply (drule (2) WTrt-binop-widen-mono)
apply clar simp
apply (frule (2) binop-progress)
apply (clar simp split: sum.split-asm)

```

```

apply(frule (5) binop-type)
apply(fastforce intro: widen-trans simp add: conf-def)
apply(frule (5) binop-type)
apply(clar simp simp add: conf-def)
apply(clar simp simp add: widen-Class)
apply(fastforce intro: widen-trans dest: binop-relevant-class simp add: cname-of-def conf-def)
done

lemma Pop-correct:

$$\llbracket \text{wf-prog wt } P; \\ P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C; \\ ins ! pc = Pop; \\ P, T, mxs, size ins, xt \vdash ins ! pc, pc :: \Phi \ C \ M; \\ \Phi \vdash t : (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \checkmark; \\ (tas, \sigma') \in exec P t (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \Phi \vdash t : \sigma' \checkmark$$

apply clar simp
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply fastforce
done

lemma Dup-correct:

$$\llbracket \text{wf-prog wt } P; \\ P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C; \\ ins ! pc = Dup; \\ P, T, mxs, size ins, xt \vdash ins ! pc, pc :: \Phi \ C \ M; \\ \Phi \vdash t : (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \checkmark; \\ (tas, \sigma') \in exec P t (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \Phi \vdash t : \sigma' \checkmark$$

apply clar simp
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply fastforce
done

lemma Swap-correct:

$$\llbracket \text{wf-prog wt } P; \\ P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C; \\ ins ! pc = Swap; \\ P, T, mxs, size ins, xt \vdash ins ! pc, pc :: \Phi \ C \ M; \\ \Phi \vdash t : (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \checkmark; \\ (tas, \sigma') \in exec P t (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \Phi \vdash t : \sigma' \checkmark$$

apply clar simp
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply fastforce
done

declare [[simproc del: list-to-set-comprehension]]

lemma Throw-correct:

$$\llbracket \text{wf-prog wt } P; \\ P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C; \\ ins ! pc = ThrowExc; \\ P, T, mxs, size ins, xt \vdash ins ! pc, pc :: \Phi \ C \ M;$$


```

```

 $\Phi \vdash t:(None, h, (stk,loc,C,M,pc)\#frs) \checkmark;$ 
 $(tas, \sigma') \in exec-instr (ins!pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs ]$ 
 $\implies \Phi \vdash t:\sigma' \checkmark$ 
using wf-preallocatedD[of wt P h NullPointer]
apply(clar simp)
apply(drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply(auto)
  apply fastforce
  apply fastforce
apply(drule (1) non-npD)
apply fastforce+
done

declare [[simproc add: list-to-set-comprehension]]

lemma NewArray-correct:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wt P
  assumes meth:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mzs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C$ 
  assumes ins: ins!pc = NewArray X
  assumes wt:  $P, T, mzs, size ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi \ C \ M$ 
  assumes conf:  $\Phi \vdash t:(None, h, (stk,loc,C,M,pc)\#frs) \checkmark$ 
  assumes no-x:  $(tas, \sigma) \in exec-instr (ins!pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs$ 
  shows  $\Phi \vdash t:\sigma \checkmark$ 
proof –
  from ins conf meth
  obtain ST LT where
    heap-ok: hconf h and
    tconf:  $P, h \vdash t \checkmark$  and
     $\Phi\text{-}pc: \Phi \ C \ M ! pc = Some (ST, LT)$  and
    stk:  $P, h \vdash stk [:\leq] ST$  and loc:  $P, h \vdash loc [:\leq_{\top}] LT$  and
    pc: pc < size ins and
    frame: conf-f P h (ST,LT) ins (stk,loc,C,M,pc) and
    frames: conf-fs P h  $\Phi \ M$  (size Ts) T frs and
    preh: preallocated h
    by (auto dest: sees-method-fun)

  from ins  $\Phi\text{-}pc$  wt obtain ST'' X' ST' LT' where
    ST:  $ST = Integer \ # \ ST''$  and
    pc': pc+1 < size ins and
     $\Phi': \Phi \ C \ M ! (pc+1) = Some (X' \ # \ ST', LT')$  and
    ST':  $P \vdash ST'' [:\leq] ST'$  and LT':  $P \vdash LT [:\leq_{\top}] LT'$  and
    XX':  $P \vdash X[\cdot] \leq X'$  and
    suc-pc: pc+1 < size ins and
    is-type-X: is-type P (X[])
    by(fastforce dest: Array-widen)

  from stk ST obtain si stk' where si:  $stk = Intg \ si \ # \ stk'$ 
    by(auto simp add: conf-def)

  show ?thesis
  proof(cases si <s 0  $\vee$  allocate h (Array-type X (nat (sint si))) = {})
    case True
    with frame frames tconf heap-ok suc-pc no-x ins meth  $\Phi\text{-}pc$  si preh
      wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h OutOfMemory] wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h NegativeAxisSize]

```

```

show ?thesis
  by(fastforce intro: tconf-hext-mono confs-hext confTs-hext conf-fs-hext split: if-split-asm) +
next
  case False
  with ins meth si no-x obtain h' oref
    where new:  $(h', oref) \in \text{allocate } h \ (\text{Array-type } X \ (\text{nat} \ (\text{sint } si)))$ 
    and  $\sigma': \sigma = (\text{None}, h', (\text{Addr } oref \# tl \ stk, loc, C, M, pc + 1) \# frs)$  (is  $\sigma = (\text{None}, h', ?f \# frs)$ )
    by(auto split: if-split-asm)
  from new have hext:  $h \trianglelefteq h'$  by(rule hext-allocate)
  with preh have preh': preallocated  $h'$  by(rule preallocated-hext)
  from new heap-ok is-type-X have heap-ok': hconf  $h'$  by(auto intro: hconf-allocate-mono)
  from False have si':  $0 \leq si$  by auto
  with new is-type-X have h': typeof-addr  $h' \ oref = [\text{Array-type } X \ (\text{nat} \ (\text{sint } si))]$ 
    by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD)

  note  $\sigma' \text{ heap-ok}'$ 
  moreover
  from frame ST' ST LT' suc- $pc$  wf XX' h' hext
  have conf-f P h'  $(X' \# ST', LT')$  ins ?f
    by(clarsimp simp add: fun-upd-apply conf-def split-beta)(auto intro: confs-hext confTs-hext)
  moreover
  from frames hext have conf-fs P h'  $\Phi \ M$  (size Ts) T frs by (rule conf-fs-hext)
  moreover from tconf hext have P,h' ⊢ t √t by(rule tconf-hext-mono)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis using meth  $\Phi' \ preh'$  by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma ALoad-correct:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wt P
  assumes meth:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C$ 
  assumes ins:  $ins!pc = ALoad$ 
  assumes wt:  $P, T, mxs, size \ ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi \ C \ M$ 
  assumes conf:  $\Phi \vdash t: (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \checkmark$ 
  assumes no-x:  $(tas, \sigma) \in \text{exec-instr} (ins!pc) \ P \ t \ h \ stk \ loc \ C \ M \ pc \ frs$ 
  shows  $\Phi \vdash t:\sigma \checkmark$ 
proof -
  from ins conf meth
  obtain ST LT where
    heap-ok: hconf  $h$  and
    tconf:  $P, h \vdash t \checkmark$  and
    Φ-pc:  $\Phi \ C \ M!pc = Some (ST, LT)$  and
    stk:  $P, h \vdash stk \ [ \leq ] \ ST$  and loc:  $P, h \vdash loc \ [ \leq_{\top} ] \ LT$  and
    pc:  $pc < size \ ins$  and
    frame: conf-f P h  $(ST, LT)$  ins  $(stk, loc, C, M, pc)$  and
    frames: conf-fs P h  $\Phi \ M$  (size Ts) T frs and
    preh: preallocated  $h$ 
    by (auto dest: sees-method-fun)

  from ins wt Φ-pc have lST: length ST > 1 by(auto)

  show ?thesis
  proof(cases hd (tl stk) = Null)
    case True

```

```

with ins no-x heap-ok tconf  $\Phi$ -pc stk loc frame frames meth wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h Null-Pointer] preh
  show ?thesis by(fastforce)
next
  case False
  note stkNN = this
  have STNN: hd (tl ST) ≠ NT
  proof
    assume hd (tl ST) = NT
    moreover
    from frame have P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST by simp
    with lST have P,h ⊢ hd (tl stk) :≤ hd (tl ST)
      by (cases ST, auto, case-tac list, auto)
    ultimately
    have hd (tl stk) = Null by simp
    with stkNN show False by contradiction
  qed

with stkNN ins  $\Phi$ -pc wt obtain ST'' X X' ST' LT' where
  ST: ST = Integer # X[] # ST'' and
  pc': pc+1 < size ins and
   $\Phi'$ :  $\Phi C M ! (pc+1) = \text{Some}(X' \# ST', LT')$  and
  ST': P ⊢ ST'' [≤] ST' and LT': P ⊢ LT [≤T] LT' and
  XX': P ⊢ X ≤ X' and
  suc-pc: pc+1 < size ins
  by(fastforce)

from stk ST obtain ref idx stk' where
  stk': stk = idx#ref#stk' and
  idx: P,h ⊢ idx :≤ Integer and
  ref: P,h ⊢ ref :≤ X[] and
  ST'': P,h ⊢ stk' [:≤] ST''
  by auto

from stkNN stk' have ref ≠ Null by(simp)
with ref obtain a Xel n
  where a: ref = Addr a
  and ha: typeof-addr h a = [Array-type Xel n]
  and Xel: P ⊢ Xel ≤ X
  by(cases ref)(fastforce simp add: conf-def widen-Array)+

from idx obtain idxI where idxI: idx = Intg idxI
  by(auto simp add: conf-def)
show ?thesis
proof(cases 0 <= s idxI ∧ sint idxI < int n)
  case True
  hence si': 0 <= s idxI sint idxI < int n by auto
  hence nat (sint idxI) < n
    by (simp add: word-sle-eq nat-less-iff)
  with ha have al: P,h ⊢ a@ACell (nat (sint idxI)) : Xel ..

  { fix v
    assume read: heap-read h a (ACell (nat (sint idxI))) v
    hence v: P,h ⊢ v :≤ Xel using al heap-ok by(rule heap-read-conf)
  }

```

```

let ?f = (v # stk', loc, C, M, pc + 1)

from frame ST' ST LT' suc-pc wf XX' Xel idxI si' v ST"
have conf-f P h (X' # ST', LT') ins ?f
  by(auto intro: widen-trans simp add: conf-def)
  hence  $\Phi \vdash t:(None, h, ?f \# frs) \checkmark$ 
  using meth  $\Phi'$  heap-ok  $\Phi$ -pc frames tconf preh by fastforce }
with ins meth si' stk' a ha no-x idxI idx
show ?thesis by(auto simp del: correct-state-def split: if-split-asm)
next
  case False
  with stk' idxI ins no-x heap-ok tconf meth a ha Xel  $\Phi$ -pc frame frames
    wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h ArrayIndexOutOfBounds]
  show ?thesis
    by (fastforce simp: preh split: if-split-asm simp del: Listn.lesub-list-impl-same-size)
  qed
qed
qed

```

lemma AStore-correct:

```

assumes wf: wf-prog wt P
assumes meth:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C$ 
assumes ins: ins!pc = AStore
assumes wt: P, T, mxs, size ins, xt  $\vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi C M$ 
assumes conf:  $\Phi \vdash t: (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \checkmark$ 
assumes no-x: (tas,  $\sigma$ )  $\in$  exec-instr (ins!pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs
shows  $\Phi \vdash t: \sigma \checkmark$ 

```

proof –

```

from ins conf meth
obtain ST LT where
  heap-ok: hconf h and
  tconf:  $P, h \vdash t \checkmark$   $t$  and
   $\Phi$ -pc:  $\Phi C M!pc = \text{Some } (ST, LT)$  and
  stk:  $P, h \vdash stk \leq ST$  and loc:  $P, h \vdash loc \leq_{\top} LT$  and
  pc: pc < size ins and
  frame: conf-f P h (ST, LT) ins (stk, loc, C, M, pc) and
  frames: conf-fs P h  $\Phi M$  (size Ts) T frs and
  preh: preallocated h
  by (auto dest: sees-method-fun)

```

from ins wt Φ -pc **have** lST: length ST > 2 **by**(auto)

show ?thesis

```

proof(cases hd (tl (tl stk)) = Null)
  case True
    with ins no-x heap-ok tconf  $\Phi$ -pc stk loc frame frames meth wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h Null-Pointer] preh
    show ?thesis by(fastforce)
next
  case False
  note stkNN = this
  have STNN: hd (tl (tl ST))  $\neq$  NT

```

proof

assume $hd(tl(tl ST)) = NT$
moreover
from frame have $P,h \vdash stk[: \leq] ST$ by simp
with lST have $P,h \vdash hd(tl(tl stk)) : \leq hd(tl(tl ST))$
by (cases ST , auto, case-tac list, auto, case-tac lista, auto)
ultimately
have $hd(tl(tl stk)) = Null$ by simp
with $stkNN$ show False by contradiction
qed

with ins $stkNN$ Φ -pc wt obtain $ST'' Y X ST' LT'$ where

$ST: ST = Y \# Integer \# X[] \# ST''$ and
 $pc': pc + 1 < size ins$ and
 $\Phi': \Phi C M ! (pc + 1) = Some(ST', LT')$ and
 $ST': P \vdash ST'' [\leq] ST'$ and $LT': P \vdash LT [\leq_{\top}] LT'$ and
 $suc_pc: pc + 1 < size ins$
by(fastforce)

from $stk ST$ obtain ref e idx stk' where
 $stk': stk = e \# idx \# ref \# stk'$ and
 $idx: P,h \vdash idx : \leq Integer$ and
 $ref: P,h \vdash ref : \leq X[]$ and
 $e: P,h \vdash e : \leq Y$ and
 $ST'': P,h \vdash stk' [: \leq] ST''$
by auto

from $stkNN$ stk' have $ref \neq Null$ by(simp)
with ref obtain a $Xel n$
where $a: ref = Addr a$
and $ha: typeof_addr h a = [Array_type Xel n]$
and $Xel: P \vdash Xel \leq X$
by(cases ref)(fastforce simp add: conf-def widen-Array)+

from idx obtain $idxI$ where $idxI: idx = Intg$ $idxI$
by(auto simp add: conf-def)

show ?thesis

proof(cases $0 \leq s idxI \wedge sint idxI < int n$)
case True
hence $si': 0 \leq s idxI \wedge sint idxI < int n$ by simp-all

from e obtain Te where $Te: typeof_h e = [Te]$ $P \vdash Te \leq Y$
by(auto simp add: conf-def)

show ?thesis

proof(cases $P \vdash Te \leq Xel$)
case True
with Te have $eXel: P,h \vdash e : \leq Xel$
by(auto simp add: conf-def intro: widen-trans)

{ fix h'
assume write: heap-write $h a (ACell(nat(sint idxI))) e h'$
hence $hext: h \leq h'$ by(rule hext-heap-write)}

```

with preh have preh': preallocated h' by(rule preallocated-hext)

let ?f = (stk', loc, C, M, pc + 1)

from si' have nat (sint idxI) < n
  by (simp add: word-sle-eq nat-less-iff)
with ha have P,h ⊢ a@ACell (nat (sint idxI)) : Xel ..
with write heap-ok have heap-ok': hconf h' using eXel
  by(rule hconf-heap-write-mono)
moreover
from ST stk ST' have P,h ⊢ stk' [:≤] ST' by auto
with hext have stk'': P,h' ⊢ stk' [:≤] ST'
  by- (rule confs-hext)
moreover
from loc LT' have P,h ⊢ loc [:≤T] LT' ..
with hext have P,h' ⊢ loc [:≤T] LT' by – (rule confTs-hext)
moreover
with frame ST' ST LT' suc-pc wf Xel idxI si' stk''
have conf-f P h' (ST', LT') ins ?f
  by(clar simp)
with frames hext have conf-fs P h' Φ M (size Ts) T frs by- (rule conf-fs-hext)
moreover from tconf hext have P,h' ⊢ t √t by(rule tconf-hext-mono)
ultimately have Φ ⊢ t:(None, h', ?f # frs) √ using meth Φ' Φ-pc suc-pc preh'
  by(fastforce) }
with True si' ins meth stk' a ha no-x idxI idx Te
show ?thesis
  by(auto split: if-split-asm simp del: correct-state-def intro: widen-trans)
next
case False
with stk' idxI ins no-x heap-ok tconf meth a ha Xel Te Φ-pc frame frames si'
  wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h ArrayStore]
show ?thesis
  by (fastforce split: if-splits list.splits simp: preh
    simp del: Listn.lesub-list-impl-same-size)
qed
next
case False
with stk' idxI ins no-x heap-ok tconf meth a ha Xel Φ-pc frame frames preh
  wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException]
show ?thesis by(fastforce split: if-split-asm)
qed
qed
qed

lemma ALength-correct:
assumes wf: wf-prog wt P
assumes meth: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T=|(mzs,mxl0,ins,xt)| in C
assumes ins: ins!pc = ALength
assumes wt: P,T,mzs,size ins,xt ⊢ ins!pc,pc :: Φ C M
assumes conf: Φ ⊢ t: (None, h, (stk,loc,C,M,pc)#frs) √
assumes no-x: (tas, σ) ∈ exec-instr (ins!pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs
shows Φ ⊢ t: σ √
proof –
  from ins conf meth

```

```

obtain ST LT where
  heap-ok: hconf h and
  tconf: P,h ⊢ t √/t and
  Φ-pc: Φ C M!pc = Some (ST,LT) and
  stk: P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST and loc: P,h ⊢ loc [:≤⊤] LT and
  pc: pc < size ins and
  frame: conf-f P h (ST,LT) ins (stk,loc,C,M,pc) and
  frames: conf-fs P h Φ M (size Ts) T frs and
  preh: preallocated h
  by (auto dest: sees-method-fun)

from ins wt Φ-pc have lST: length ST > 0 by(auto)

show ?thesis
proof(cases hd stk = Null)
  case True
    with ins no-x heap-ok tconf Φ-pc stk loc frame frames meth wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h Null-
Pointer] preh
    show ?thesis by(fastforce)
  next
  case False
    note stkNN = this
    have STNN: hd ST ≠ NT
    proof
      assume hd ST = NT
      moreover
      from frame have P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST by simp
      with lST have P,h ⊢ hd stk :≤ hd ST
        by (cases ST, auto)
      ultimately
      have hd stk = Null by simp
      with stkNN show False by contradiction
    qed

    with stkNN ins Φ-pc wt obtain ST'' X ST' LT' where
      ST: ST = (X[]) # ST'' and
      pc': pc+1 < size ins and
      Φ': Φ C M ! (pc+1) = Some (ST', LT') and
      ST': P ⊢ (Integer # ST') [:≤] ST' and LT': P ⊢ LT [:≤⊤] LT' and
      suc-pc: pc+1 < size ins
      by(fastforce)

    from stk ST obtain ref stk' where
      stk': stk = ref#stk' and
      ref: P,h ⊢ ref :≤ X[] and
      ST'': P,h ⊢ stk' [:≤] ST''
      by auto

    from stkNN stk' have ref ≠ Null by(simp)
    with ref obtain a Xel n
      where a: ref = Addr a
      and ha: typeof-addr h a = [Array-type Xel n]
      and Xel: P ⊢ Xel ≤ X
      by(cases ref)(fastforce simp add: conf-def widen-Array)+
```

```

from ins meth stk' a ha no-x have  $\sigma'$ :
 $\sigma = (\text{None}, h, (\text{Intg} (\text{word-of-int} (\text{int } n)) \# stk', loc, C, M, pc + 1) \# frs)$ 
(is  $\sigma = (\text{None}, h, ?f \# frs)$ )
by(auto)
moreover
from ST stk stk' ST' have P,h  $\vdash \text{Intg} si \# stk' [:\leq] ST'$  by(auto)
with frame ST' ST LT' suc-pc wf
have conf-f P h (ST', LT') ins ?f
by(fastforce intro: widen-trans)
ultimately show ?thesis using meth  $\Phi'$  heap-ok  $\Phi$ -pc frames tconf preh by fastforce
qed
qed

```

lemma MEnter-correct:

```

assumes wf: wf-prog wt P
assumes meth:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mzs, mzl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C$ 
assumes ins: ins!pc = MEnter
assumes wt:  $P, T, mzs, \text{size } ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi C M$ 
assumes conf:  $\Phi \vdash t : (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \checkmark$ 
assumes no-x:  $(tas, \sigma) \in \text{exec-instr} (ins!pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs$ 
shows  $\Phi \vdash t : \sigma \checkmark$ 

```

proof –

```

from ins conf meth
obtain ST LT where
  heap-ok: hconf h and
  tconf:  $P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  and
   $\Phi$ -pc:  $\Phi C M!pc = \text{Some } (ST, LT)$  and
  stk:  $P, h \vdash stk [:\leq] ST$  and loc:  $P, h \vdash loc [:\leq_{\top}] LT$  and
  pc: pc < size ins and
  frame: conf-f P h (ST, LT) ins (stk, loc, C, M, pc) and
  frames: conf-fs P h  $\Phi M$  (size Ts) T frs and
  preh: preallocated h
by (auto dest: sees-method-fun)

```

from ins wt Φ -pc **have** lST: length ST > 0 **by**(auto)

```

show ?thesis
proof(cases hd stk = Null)
  case True
    with ins no-x heap-ok tconf  $\Phi$ -pc stk loc frame frames meth wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h Null-Pointer] preh
    show ?thesis by(fastforce)
  next
    case False
    note stkNN = this
    have STNN: hd ST ≠ NT
    proof
      assume hd ST = NT
      moreover
      from frame have P,h  $\vdash stk [:\leq] ST$  by simp
      with lST have P,h  $\vdash hd \text{ stk} \leq hd ST$ 
      by (cases ST, auto)
    
```

ultimately
have $hd\ stk = Null$ **by** *simp*
with $stkNN$ **show** *False* **by** *contradiction*
qed

with $stkNN\ ins\ \Phi\text{-}pc\ wt$ **obtain** $ST''\ X\ ST'\ LT'$ **where**
 $ST: ST = X \# ST''$ **and**
 $refT: is\text{-}refT\ X$ **and**
 $pc': pc + 1 < size\ ins$ **and**
 $\Phi': \Phi\ C\ M\ !\ (pc + 1) = Some\ (ST', LT')$ **and**
 $ST': P \vdash ST'' \leq ST'$ **and** $LT': P \vdash LT \leq_{\top} LT'$ **and**
 $suc\text{-}pc: pc + 1 < size\ ins$
by(*fastforce*)

from $stk\ ST$ **obtain** $ref\ stk'$ **where**
 $stk': stk = ref \# stk'$ **and**
 $ref: P, h \vdash ref : \leq X$
by *auto*

from $stkNN\ stk'$ **have** $ref \neq Null$ **by**(*simp*)
moreover
from $loc\ LT'$ **have** $P, h \vdash loc \leq_{\top} LT'$..
moreover
from $ST\ stk\ stk'\ ST'$
have $P, h \vdash stk' \leq ST'$ **by**(*auto*)
ultimately show ?*thesis* **using** *meth* Φ' *heap-ok* $\Phi\text{-}pc$ *suc*-*pc* *frames* *loc* LT' *no-x* *ins* $stk'\ ST'$
tconf *preh*
by(*fastforce*)
qed
qed

lemma *MExit-correct*:
assumes *wf*: *wf-prog* *wt* *P*
assumes *meth*: $P \vdash C$ *sees* $M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mzs, mzl_0, ins, xt) \rfloor$ *in* *C*
assumes *ins*: $ins!pc = M\text{Exit}$
assumes *wt*: $P, T, mzs, size\ ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi\ C\ M$
assumes *conf*: $\Phi \vdash t: (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \vee$
assumes *no-x*: $(tas, \sigma) \in exec\text{-}instr\ (ins!pc)\ P\ t\ h\ stk\ loc\ C\ M\ pc\ frs$
shows $\Phi \vdash t: \sigma \vee$

proof –
from *ins conf meth*
obtain $ST\ LT$ **where**
 $heap\text{-}ok: hconf\ h$ **and**
 $tconf: P, h \vdash t \vee t$ **and**
 $\Phi\text{-}pc: \Phi\ C\ M!pc = Some\ (ST, LT)$ **and**
 $stk: P, h \vdash stk \leq ST$ **and** $loc: P, h \vdash loc \leq_{\top} LT$ **and**
 $pc: pc < size\ ins$ **and**
 $frame: conf\text{-}f P\ h\ (ST, LT)\ ins\ (stk, loc, C, M, pc)$ **and**
 $frames: conf\text{-}fs P\ h\ \Phi\ M\ (size\ Ts)\ T\ frs$ **and**
 $preh: preallocated\ h$
by (*auto dest*: *sees-method-fun*)

from *ins wt* $\Phi\text{-}pc$ **have** *lST: length ST > 0* **by**(*auto*)

```

show ?thesis
proof(cases hd stk = Null)
  case True
    with ins no-x heap-ok tconf  $\Phi$ -pc stk loc frame frames meth wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h Null-Pointer] preh
    show ?thesis by(fastforce)
  next
    case False
    note stkNN = this
    have STNN: hd ST  $\neq$  NT
    proof
      assume hd ST = NT
      moreover
      from frame have P,h  $\vdash$  stk  $[\leq]$  ST by simp
      with lST have P,h  $\vdash$  hd stk  $\leq$  hd ST
        by (cases ST, auto)
      ultimately
      have hd stk = Null by simp
      with stkNN show False by contradiction
    qed

    with stkNN ins  $\Phi$ -pc wt obtain ST'' X ST' LT' where
      ST: ST = X # ST'' and
      refT: is-refT X and
      pc': pc+1 < size ins and
       $\Phi'$ :  $\Phi$  C M ! (pc+1) = Some (ST', LT') and
      ST': P  $\vdash$  ST''  $[\leq]$  ST' and LT': P  $\vdash$  LT  $[\leq_{\top}]$  LT' and
      suc-pc: pc+1 < size ins
      by(fastforce)

    from stk ST obtain ref stk' where
      stk': stk = ref#stk' and
      ref: P,h  $\vdash$  ref  $\leq$  X
      by auto

    from stkNN stk' have ref  $\neq$  Null by(simp)
    moreover
    from loc LT' have P,h  $\vdash$  loc  $[\leq_{\top}]$  LT' ..
    moreover
    from ST stk stk' ST'
    have P,h  $\vdash$  stk'  $[\leq]$  ST' by(auto)
    ultimately
    show ?thesis using meth  $\Phi'$  heap-ok  $\Phi$ -pc suc-pc frames loc LT' no-x ins stk' ST' tconf frame preh
      wf-preallocatedD[OF wf, of h IllegalMonitorState]
      by(fastforce)
    qed
  qed

```

The next theorem collects the results of the sections above, i.e. exception handling and the execution step for each instruction. It states type safety for single step execution: in welltyped programs, a conforming state is transformed into another conforming state when one instruction is executed.

theorem instr-correct:
 \llbracket wf-jvm-prog $_{\Phi}$ P;

```

 $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = [(m_{xs}, m_{xl_0}, ins, xt)] \text{ in } C;$ 
 $(tas, \sigma') \in exec P t (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs);$ 
 $\Phi \vdash t : (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)\#frs) \vee []$ 
 $\implies \Phi \vdash t : \sigma' \vee$ 
apply (subgoal-tac  $P, T, m_{xs}, size ins, xt \vdash ins!pc, pc :: \Phi C M$ )
prefer 2
apply (erule wt-jvm-prog-impl-wt-instr, assumption)
apply clar simp
apply (drule (1) sees-method-fun)
apply simp
apply (unfold exec.simps Let-def set-map)
apply (frule wt-jvm-progD, erule exE)
apply (cases ins ! pc)
apply (rule Load-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Store-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Push-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule New-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule NewArray-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule ALoad-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule AStore-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule ALength-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Getfield-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Putfield-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule CAS-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Checkcast-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Instanceof-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Invoke-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Return-correct, assumption+, fastforce simp add: split-beta)
apply (rule Pop-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Dup-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Swap-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule BinOp-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Goto-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule IfFalse-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule Throw-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule MEnter-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
apply (rule MExit-correct, assumption+, fastforce)
done

declare defs1 [simp del]

end

```

6.5.4 Main

```

lemma (in JVM-conf-read) BV-correct-1 [rule-format]:
 $\wedge \sigma. [] \vdash wf-jvm-prog_\Phi P; \Phi \vdash t : \sigma \vee [] \implies P, t \vdash \sigma - tas-jvm \rightarrow \sigma' \longrightarrow \Phi \vdash t : \sigma' \vee$ 
apply (simp only: split-tupled-all exec-1-iff)
apply (rename-tac xp h frs)
apply (case-tac xp)
apply (case-tac frs)
apply simp
apply (simp only: split-tupled-all)
apply hypsubst

```

```

apply (frule correct-state-impl-Some-method)
apply clarify
apply (rule instr-correct)
apply assumption+
apply clarify
apply(case-tac frs)
apply simp
apply(clarsimp simp only: exec.simps set-simps)
apply(erule (1) exception-step-conform)
done

theorem (in JVM-progress) progress:
assumes wt: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
and cs:  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, f \# frs)$  √
shows  $\exists ta \sigma'. P, t \vdash (xcp, h, f \# frs) -ta-jvm \rightarrow \sigma'$ 
proof -
obtain stk loc C M pc where f: f = (stk, loc, C, M, pc) by(cases f)
with cs obtain Ts T mxs mxl0 is xt ST LT
where hconf: hconf h
and sees: P ⊢ C sees M: Ts → T = ⌊(mxs, mxl0, is, xt)⌋ in C
and Φ-pc: Φ C M ! pc = ⌊(ST, LT)⌋
and ST: P, h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST
and LT: P, h ⊢ loc [:≤T] LT
and pc: pc < length is
by(auto simp add: defs1)
show ?thesis
proof(cases xcp)
case Some thus ?thesis
unfolding f exec-1-iff by auto
next
case [simp]: None
note [simp del] = split-paired-Ex
note [simp] = defs1 list-all2-Cons2

from wt obtain wf-md where wf: wf-prog wf-md P by(auto dest: wt-jvm-progD)
from wt sees pc have wt: P, T, mxs, size is, xt ⊢ is!pc, pc :: Φ C M
by(rule wt-jvm-prog-impl-wt-instr)

have  $\exists ta \sigma'. (ta, \sigma') \in exec-instr (is ! pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs$ 
proof(cases is ! pc)
case [simp]: ALoad
with wt Φ-pc have lST: length ST > 1 by(auto)
show ?thesis
proof(cases hd (tl stk) = Null)
case True thus ?thesis by simp
next
case False
have STNN: hd (tl ST) ≠ NT
proof
assume hd (tl ST) = NT
moreover
from ST lST have P, h ⊢ hd (tl stk) :≤ hd (tl ST)

```

```

by (cases ST)(auto, case-tac list, auto)
ultimately have hd (tl stk) = Null by simp
with False show False by contradiction
qed

with False Φ-pc wt obtain ST'' X where ST = Integer # X[] # ST'' by auto
with ST obtain ref idx stk' where stk': stk = idx#ref#stk' and idx: P,h ⊢ idx :≤ Integer
and ref: P,h ⊢ ref :≤ X[] by(auto)

from False stk' have ref ≠ Null by(simp)
with ref obtain a Xel n where a: ref = Addr a
and ha: typeof-addr h a = [Array-type Xel n]
and Xel: P ⊢ Xel ≤ X
by(cases ref)(fastforce simp add: conf-def widen-Array)+

from idx obtain idxI where idxI: idx = Intg idxI
by(auto simp add: conf-def)
show ?thesis
proof(cases 0 <=s idxI ∧ sint idxI < int n)
case True
hence si': 0 <=s idxI sint idxI < int n by auto
hence nat (sint idxI) < n
by (simp add: word-sle-eq nat-less-iff)
with ha have al: P,h ⊢ a@ACell (nat (sint idxI)) : Xel ..
from heap-read-total[OF hconf this] True False ha stk' idxI a
show ?thesis by auto
next
case False with ha stk' idxI a show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
next
case [simp]: AStore
from wt Φ-pc have lST: length ST > 2 by(auto)

show ?thesis
proof(cases hd (tl (tl stk)) = Null)
case True thus ?thesis by(fastforce)
next
case False
note stkNN = this
have STNN: hd (tl (tl ST)) ≠ NT
proof
assume hd (tl (tl ST)) = NT
moreover
from ST lST have P,h ⊢ hd (tl (tl stk)) :≤ hd (tl (tl ST))
by (cases ST, auto, case-tac list, auto, case-tac lista, auto)
ultimately have hd (tl (tl stk)) = Null by simp
with stkNN show False by contradiction
qed

with stkNN Φ-pc wt obtain ST'' Y X
where ST = Y # Integer # X[] # ST'' by(fastforce)

with ST obtain ref e idx stk' where stk': stk = e#idx#ref#stk'

```

```

and idx:  $P, h \vdash idx : \leq Integer$  and ref:  $P, h \vdash ref : \leq X[]$ 
and e:  $P, h \vdash e : \leq Y$  by auto

from stkNN stk' have ref ≠ Null by (simp)
with ref obtain a Xel n where a: ref = Addr a
  and ha: typeof-addr h a = [Array-type Xel n]
  and Xel:  $P \vdash Xel \leq X$ 
  by (cases ref) (fastforce simp add: conf-def widen-Array)+

from idx obtain idxI where idxI: idx = Intg idxI
  by (auto simp add: conf-def)

show ?thesis
proof(cases 0 <=s idxI ∧ sint idxI < int n)
  case True
    hence si': 0 <=s idxI sint idxI < int n by simp-all
    hence nat (sint idxI) < n
      by (simp add: word-sle-eq nat-less-iff)
    with ha have adal:  $P, h \vdash a @ ACell (nat (sint idxI)) : Xel ..$ 

    show ?thesis
    proof(cases P ⊢ the (typeof_h e) ≤ Xel)
      case False
        with ha stk' idxI a show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case True
          hence  $P, h \vdash e : \leq Xel$  using e by (auto simp add: conf-def)
          from heap-write-total[OF hconf adal this] ha stk' idxI a show ?thesis by auto
        qed
      next
        case False with ha stk' idxI a show ?thesis by auto
        qed
      qed
    next
      case [simp]: (Getfield F D)

from Φ-pc wt obtain oT ST'' vT fm where oT:  $P \vdash oT \leq Class D$ 
  and ST = oT # ST'' and F:  $P \vdash D$  sees F:vT (fm) in D
  by fastforce

with ST obtain ref stk' where stk': stk = ref#stk'
  and ref:  $P, h \vdash ref : \leq oT$  by auto

  show ?thesis
  proof(cases ref = Null)
    case True thus ?thesis using stk' by auto
  next
    case False
    from ref oT have  $P, h \vdash ref : \leq Class D ..$ 
    with False obtain a U' D' where
      a: ref = Addr a and h: typeof-addr h a = Some U'
      and U': D' = class-type-of U' and D':  $P \vdash D' \preceq^* D$ 
      by (blast dest: non-npD2)

```

```

from D' F have has-field: P ⊢ D' has F:vT (fm) in D
  by (blast intro: has-field-mono has-visible-field)
with h have P,h ⊢ a@CField D F : vT unfolding U' ..
from heap-read-total[OF hconf this]
show ?thesis using stk' a by auto
qed
next
case [simp]: (Putfield F D)

from Φ-pc wt obtain vT vT' oT ST'' fm where ST = vT # oT # ST''
  and field: P ⊢ D sees F:vT' (fm) in D
  and oT: P ⊢ oT ≤ Class D
  and vT': P ⊢ vT ≤ vT' by fastforce
with ST obtain v ref stk' where stk': stk = v#ref#stk'
  and ref: P,h ⊢ ref :≤ oT
  and v: P,h ⊢ v :≤ vT by auto

show ?thesis
proof(cases ref = Null)
  case True with stk' show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
from ref oT have P,h ⊢ ref :≤ Class D ..
with False obtain a U' D' where
  a: ref = Addr a and h: typeof-addr h a = Some U' and
  U': D' = class-type-of U' and D': P ⊢ D' ⊑* D
  by (blast dest: non-npD2)

from field D' have has-field: P ⊢ D' has F:vT' (fm) in D
  by (blast intro: has-field-mono has-visible-field)
with h have al: P,h ⊢ a@CField D F : vT' unfolding U' ..
from v vT' have P,h ⊢ v :≤ vT' by auto
from heap-write-total[OF hconf al this] v a stk' h show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case [simp]: (CAS F D)
from Φ-pc wt obtain T' T1 T2 T3 ST'' fm where ST = T3 # T2 # T1 # ST''
  and field: P ⊢ D sees F:T' (fm) in D
  and oT: P ⊢ T1 ≤ Class D
  and vT': P ⊢ T2 ≤ T' P ⊢ T3 ≤ T' by fastforce
with ST obtain v v' v'' stk' where stk': stk = v''#v'#v#stk'
  and v: P,h ⊢ v :≤ T1
  and v': P,h ⊢ v' :≤ T2
  and v'': P,h ⊢ v'' :≤ T3 by auto
show ?thesis
proof(cases v= Null)
  case True with stk' show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
from v oT have P,h ⊢ v :≤ Class D ..
with False obtain a U' D' where
  a: v = Addr a and h: typeof-addr h a = Some U' and
  U': D' = class-type-of U' and D': P ⊢ D' ⊑* D
  by (blast dest: non-npD2)

```

```

from field  $D'$  have has-field:  $P \vdash D' \text{ has } F : T'$  (fm) in  $D$ 
  by (blast intro: has-field-mono has-visible-field)
with  $h$  have al:  $P, h \vdash a @ CField D F : T'$  unfolding  $U'$  ..
from  $v' vT'$  have  $P, h \vdash v' : \leq T'$  by auto
from heap-read-total[ $OF\ hconf\ al$ ] obtain  $v'''$  where  $v''' : \text{heap-read } h\ a\ (CField\ D\ F)$   $v'''$  by
  blast
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases  $v''' = v'$ )
    case True
      from  $v'' vT'$  have  $P, h \vdash v'' : \leq T'$  by auto
      from heap-write-total[ $OF\ hconf\ al\ this$ ]  $v\ a\ stk'\ h\ v'''$  True show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case False
        from  $v''' v\ a\ stk'\ h\ False$  show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  qed
next
  case [simp]: (Invoke M' n)
from  $wt\ \Phi\text{-pc}$  have  $n : n < \text{size } ST$  by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases  $stk!n = \text{Null}$ )
    case True thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    note  $\text{Null} = this$ 
    have  $NT : ST!n \neq NT$ 
    proof
      assume  $ST!n = NT$ 
      moreover from  $ST\ n$  have  $P, h \vdash stk!n : \leq ST!n$  by (simp add: list-all2-conv-all-nth)
      ultimately have  $stk!n = \text{Null}$  by simp
      with Null show False by contradiction
    qed
from  $NT\ wt\ \Phi\text{-pc}$  obtain  $D\ D'\ Ts\ T\ m$ 
  where  $D : \text{class-type-of}'(ST!n) = \text{Some } D$ 
  and  $m\text{-}D : P \vdash D \text{ sees } M' : Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D'$ 
  and  $Ts : P \vdash \text{rev}(\text{take } n\ ST) [\leq] Ts$ 
  by auto

from  $n\ ST\ D$  have  $P, h \vdash stk!n : \leq ST!n$ 
  by (auto simp add: list-all2-conv-all-nth)

from  $\langle P, h \vdash stk!n : \leq ST!n \rangle\ Null\ D$ 
obtain  $a\ T'$  where
  Addr:  $stk!n = \text{Addr } a$  and
  obj:  $\text{typeof-addr } h\ a = \text{Some } T'$  and
   $T'\text{subSTn} : P \vdash \text{ty-of-htype } T' \leq ST ! n$ 
  by(cases  $stk ! n$ )(auto simp add: conf-def widen-Class)

from  $D\ T'\text{subSTn}$  obtain  $C'$  where
   $C' : \text{class-type-of}'(\text{ty-of-htype } T') = \lfloor C' \rfloor$  and  $C'\text{subD} : P \vdash C' \preceq^* D$ 

```

```

by(rule widen-is-class-type-of) simp

from Call-lemma[OF m-D C'subD wf]
obtain D' Ts' T' m'
  where Call': P ⊢ C' sees M': Ts' → T' = m' in D' P ⊢ Ts [≤] Ts'
    P ⊢ T' ≤ T P ⊢ C' ⊑* D' is-type P T' ∀ T ∈ set Ts'. is-type P T
  by blast

show ?thesis
proof(cases m')
  case Some with Call' C' obj Addr C' C'subD show ?thesis by(auto)
next
  case [simp]: None
  from ST have P,h ⊢ take n stk [≤] take n ST by(rule list-all2-takeI)
  then obtain Us where map typeofh (take n stk) = map Some Us P ⊢ Us [≤] take n ST
    by(auto simp add: confs-conv-map)
  hence Us: map typeofh (rev (take n stk)) = map Some (rev Us) P ⊢ rev Us [≤] rev (take n
ST)
    by- (simp only: rev-map[symmetric], simp)
  with Ts <P ⊢ Ts [≤] Ts' have P ⊢ rev Us [≤] Ts' by(blast intro: widens-trans)
  with obj Us Call' C' have P,h ⊢ a·M'(rev (take n stk)) : T'
    by(auto intro!: external-WT'.intros)
  from external-call-progress[OF wf this hconf, of t] obj Addr Call' C'
  show ?thesis by(auto dest!: red-external-imp-red-external-aggr)
  qed
qed
qed(auto 4 4 simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm)
thus ?thesis using sees None
  unfolding f exec-1-iff by(simp del: split-paired-Ex)
qed
qed

lemma (in JVM-heap-conf) BV-correct-initial:
  shows [ wf-jvm-progΦ P; start-heap-ok; P ⊢ C sees M:Ts → T = [m] in D; P,start-heap ⊢ vs [≤] Ts ]
   $\implies \Phi \vdash \text{start-tid:JVM-start-state}' P C M vs \checkmark$ 
  apply (cases m)
  apply (unfold JVM-start-state'-def)
  apply (unfold correct-state-def)
  apply (clarify)
  apply (frule wt-jvm-progD)
  apply (erule exE)
  apply (frule wf-prog-wf-syscls)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply(erule (1) tconf-start-heap-start-tid)
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply (simp add: wf-jvm-prog-phi-def hconf-start-heap)
  apply(frule sees-method-idemp)
  apply (frule wt-jvm-prog-impl-wt-start, assumption+)
  apply (unfold conf-f-def wt-start-def)
  apply(auto simp add: sup-state-opt-any-Some)
  apply(erule preallocated-start-heap)
  apply(rule exI conjI|assumption)+
  apply(auto simp add: list-all2-append1)

```

```
apply(auto dest: list-all2-lengthD intro!: extI)
done
```

```
end
```

6.6 Welltyped Programs produce no Type Errors

```
theory BVNoTypeError
imports
  ..../JVM/JVMDefensive
  BVSpecTypeSafe
begin

lemma wt-jvm-prog-states:
  [ wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P; P  $\vdash$  C sees M:  $Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor(mxs, mxl, ins, et)\rfloor$  in C;
     $\Phi C M ! pc = \tau; pc < size ins \right]$ 
   $\implies OK \tau \in states P mxs (1 + size Ts + m xl)$ 
context JVM-heap-conf-base' begin

declare is-IntgI [simp, intro]
declare is-BoolI [simp, intro]
declare is-RefI [simp]
```

The main theorem: welltyped programs do not produce type errors if they are started in a conformant state.

```
theorem no-type-error:
assumes welltyped: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P and conforms:  $\Phi \vdash t:\sigma \checkmark$ 
shows exec-d P t  $\sigma \neq TypeError$ 
```

6.7 Progress result for both of the multithreaded JVMs

```
theory BVProgressThreaded
imports
  ..../Framework/FWProgress
  ..../Framework/FWLTS
  BVNoTypeError
  ..../JVM/JVMThreaded
begin

lemma (in JVM-heap-conf-base') mexec-eq-mexecd:
  [ wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P;  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frs) \checkmark \right] \implies mexec P t ((xcp, frs), h) = mexecd P t ((xcp, frs), h)
apply(auto intro!: ext)
apply(unfold exec-1-iff)
apply(drule no-type-error)
apply(assumption)
apply(clarify)
apply(rule exec-1-d-NormalI)
apply(assumption)
apply(simp add: exec-d-def split: if-split-asm)
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE, auto)
done$ 
```

```

context JVM-heap-conf-base begin

abbreviation
  correct-state-ts ::  $ty_P \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr jvm-thread-state) thread-info \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow bool$ 
where
  correct-state-ts  $\Phi \equiv ts\text{-ok} (\lambda t (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frstls) \checkmark)$ 

lemma correct-state-ts-thread-conf:
  correct-state-ts  $\Phi (thr s) (shr s) \implies thread\text{-conf } P (thr s) (shr s)$ 
by(erule ts-ok-mono)(auto simp add: correct-state-def)

lemma invoke-new-thread:
assumes wf-jvm-prog $_{\Phi}$   $P$ 
and  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C$ 
and  $ins ! pc = \text{Invoke Type.start } 0$ 
and  $P, T, mxs, size ins, xt \vdash ins ! pc, pc :: \Phi C M$ 
and  $\Phi \vdash t: (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \checkmark$ 
and  $\text{typeof-addr } h (\text{thread-id2addr } a) = \lfloor \text{Class-type } D \rfloor$ 
and  $P \vdash D \preceq^* Thread$ 
and  $P \vdash D \text{ sees } run: [] \rightarrow Void = \lfloor (mxs', mxl0', ins', xt') \rfloor \text{ in } D'$ 
shows  $\Phi \vdash a: (None, h, [([], Addr (\text{thread-id2addr } a) \# replicate mxl0' undefined-value, D', run, 0)]) \checkmark$ 
proof -
  from  $\langle \Phi \vdash t: (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \checkmark \rangle$ 
  have  $h\text{conf } h$  and preallocated  $h$  by(simp-all add: correct-state-def)
  moreover
  from  $\langle P \vdash D \text{ sees } run: [] \rightarrow Void = \lfloor (mxs', mxl0', ins', xt') \rfloor \text{ in } D' \rangle$ 
  have  $P \vdash D' \text{ sees } run: [] \rightarrow Void = \lfloor (mxs', mxl0', ins', xt') \rfloor \text{ in } D'$ 
    by(rule sees-method-idemp)
  with  $\langle wf-jvm-prog_{\Phi} P \rangle$ 
  have  $wt\text{-start } P D' [] m xl0' (\Phi D' run) \text{ and } ins' \neq []$ 
    by(auto dest: wt-jvm-prog-impl-wt-start)
  then obtain  $LT' \text{ where } LT': \Phi D' run ! 0 = Some ([] , LT')$ 
    by (clar simp simp add: wt-start-def defs1 sup-state-opt-any-Some)
  moreover
  have conf-f  $P h ([] , LT') ins' ([] , Addr (\text{thread-id2addr } a) \# replicate m xl0' undefined-value, D', run, 0)$ 
  proof -
    let ?LT = OK (Class  $D'$ )  $\# (\text{replicate } m xl0' Err)$ 
    have  $P, h \vdash \text{replicate } m xl0' \text{ undefined-value } [: \leq_{\top}] \text{ replicate } m xl0' Err$  by simp
    also from  $\langle P \vdash D \text{ sees } run: [] \rightarrow Void = \lfloor (mxs', m xl0', ins', xt') \rfloor \text{ in } D' \rangle$ 
    have  $P \vdash D \preceq^* D'$  by(rule sees-method-decl-above)
    with  $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h (\text{thread-id2addr } a) = \lfloor \text{Class-type } D \rfloor \rangle$ 
    have  $P, h \vdash Addr (\text{thread-id2addr } a) : \leq \text{Class } D'$ 
      by(simp add: conf-def)
    ultimately have  $P, h \vdash Addr (\text{thread-id2addr } a) \# \text{replicate } m xl0' \text{ undefined-value } [: \leq_{\top}] ?LT$ 
  by(simp)
  also from  $\langle wt\text{-start } P D' [] m xl0' (\Phi D' run) \rangle LT'$ 
  have  $P \vdash \dots [ \leq_{\top} ] LT'$  by(simp add: wt-start-def)
  finally have  $P, h \vdash Addr (\text{thread-id2addr } a) \# \text{replicate } m xl0' \text{ undefined-value } [: \leq_{\top}] LT'.$ 
    with  $\langle ins' \neq [] \rangle$  show ?thesis by(simp add: conf-f-def)
  qed
  moreover from  $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h (\text{thread-id2addr } a) = \lfloor \text{Class-type } D \rfloor \rangle \langle P \vdash D \preceq^* Thread \rangle$ 

```

```

have  $P, h \vdash a \sqrt{t} \text{ by}(rule tconfI)$ 
ultimately show ?thesis using < $P \vdash D' \text{ sees run: } [] \rightarrow \text{Void} = \lfloor (mxs', mxl0', ins', xt') \rfloor \text{ in } D'$ >
  by(fastforce simp add: correct-state-def)
qed

lemma exec-new-threadE:
assumes wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
and  $P, t \vdash \text{Normal } \sigma - ta - jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal } \sigma'$ 
and  $\Phi \vdash t: \sigma \sqrt{}$ 
and  $\{ta\}_t \neq []$ 
obtains h frs a stk loc C M pc Ts T mxs mxl0 ins xt M' n Ta ta' va Us Us' U m' D'
where  $\sigma = (\text{None}, h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc) \# frs)$ 
and  $(ta, \sigma') \in \text{exec } P t (\text{None}, h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc) \# frs)$ 
and  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C$ 
and  $\text{stk} ! n = \text{Addr } a$ 
and  $\text{ins} ! pc = \text{Invoke } M' n$ 
and  $n < \text{length } \text{stk}$ 
and  $\text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor Ta \rfloor$ 
and  $\text{is-native } P Ta M'$ 
and  $ta = \text{extTA2JVM } P ta'$ 
and  $\sigma' = \text{extRet2JVM } n m' \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs va$ 
and  $(ta', va, m') \in \text{red-external-aggr } P t a M' (\text{rev} (\text{take } n \text{ stk})) h$ 
and  $\text{map typeof}_h (\text{rev} (\text{take } n \text{ stk})) = \text{map Some } Us$ 
and  $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } Ta \text{ sees } M': Us' \rightarrow U = \text{Native in } D'$ 
and  $D' \cdot M'(Us') :: U$ 
and  $P \vdash Us \leq Us'$ 
proof -
from < $P, t \vdash \text{Normal } \sigma - ta - jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal } \sigma'$ > obtain h f Frs xcp
  where check: check P  $\sigma$ 
  and exec:  $(ta, \sigma') \in \text{exec } P t \sigma$ 
  and [simp]:  $\sigma = (xcp, h, f \# Frs)$ 
  by(rule jvmd-NormalE)
obtain stk loc C M pc where [simp]:  $f = (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc)$ 
  by(cases f, blast)
from < $\{ta\}_t \neq []$ > exec have [simp]:  $xcp = \text{None}$  by(cases xcp) auto
from < $\Phi \vdash t: \sigma \sqrt{}$ >
obtain Ts T mxs mxl0 ins xt ST LT
  where hconf h preallocated h
  and sees:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl0, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C$ 
  and  $\Phi \vdash C M ! pc = \lfloor (ST, LT) \rfloor$ 
  and conf-f P h (ST, LT) ins (stk, loc, C, M, pc)
  and conf-fs P h  $\Phi M (\text{length } Ts) T Frs$ 
  by(fastforce simp add: correct-state-def)
from check < $\Phi \vdash C M ! pc = \lfloor (ST, LT) \rfloor$ > sees
have checkins: check-instr (ins ! pc) P h stk loc C M pc Frs
  by(clarsimp simp add: check-def)
from sees < $\{ta\}_t \neq []$ > exec obtain M' n where [simp]:  $\text{ins} ! pc = \text{Invoke } M' n$ 
  by(cases ins ! pc, auto split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta ta-upd-simps)
from < $\text{wf-jvm-prog } \Phi P$ > obtain wfmd where wfp: wf-prog wfmd P by(auto dest: wt-jvm-progD)

from checkins have n < length stk is-Ref (stk ! n) by auto
moreover from exec sees < $\{ta\}_t \neq []$ > have stk ! n  $\neq \text{Null}$  by auto
with < $\text{is-Ref } (stk ! n)$ > obtain a where stk ! n = Addr a
  by(auto simp add: is-Ref-def elim: is-AddrE)

```

```

moreover with checkins obtain Ta where Ta: typeof-addr h a = [ Ta] by(fastforce)
moreover with checkins exec sees <n < length stk> <{ta}t ≠ []> <stk ! n = Addr a>
obtain Us Us' U D' where map typeof_h (rev (take n stk)) = map Some Us
  and P ⊢ class-type-of Ta sees M':Us'→U = Native in D' and D'•M'(Us') :: U
  and P ⊢ Us [≤] Us'
by(auto simp add: confs-conv-map min-def split-beta has-method-def external-WT'-iff split: if-split-asm)
moreover with <typeof-addr h a = [ Ta]> <n < length stk> exec sees <stk ! n = Addr a>
obtain ta' va h' where ta = extTA2JVM P ta' σ' = extRet2JVM n h' stk loc C M pc Frs va
  (ta', va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M' (rev (take n stk)) h
  by(fastforce simp add: min-def)
ultimately show thesis using exec sees
  by-(rule that, auto intro!: is-native.intros)
qed

```

end

context JVM-conf-read **begin**

lemma correct-state-new-thread:

```

assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
and red: P,t ⊢ Normal σ -ta-jvmd→ Normal σ'
and cs: Φ ⊢ t: σ √
and nt: NewThread t'' (xcp, frs) h'' ∈ set {ta}t
shows Φ ⊢ t'': (xcp, h'', frs) √

```

proof –

```

from wf obtain wt where wfp: wf-prog wt P by(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)
from nt have {ta}t ≠ [] by auto
with wf red cs
obtain h Frs a stk loc C M pc Ts T mxs mxl0 ins xt M' n Ta ta' va h' Us Us' U D'
  where [simp]: σ = (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # Frs)
  and exec: (ta, σ') ∈ exec P t (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # Frs)
  and sees: P ⊢ C sees M: Ts→T = [(mxs, mxl0, ins, xt)] in C
  and [simp]: stk ! n = Addr a
  and [simp]: ins ! pc = Invoke M' n
  and n: n < length stk
  and Ta: typeof-addr h a = [ Ta]
  and iec: is-native P Ta M'
  and ta: ta = extTA2JVM P ta'
  and σ': σ' = extRet2JVM n h' stk loc C M pc Frs va
  and rel: (ta', va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M' (rev (take n stk)) h
  and Us: map typeof_h (rev (take n stk)) = map Some Us
  and wtext: P ⊢ class-type-of Ta sees M':Us'→U = Native in D' D'•M'(Us') :: U
  and sub: P ⊢ Us [≤] Us'
  by(rule exec-new-threadE)

```

```

from cs have hconf: hconf h and preh: preallocated h
  and tconf: P,h ⊢ t √t by(auto simp add: correct-state-def)

```

```

from Ta Us wtext sub have wtext': P,h ⊢ a•M'(rev (take n stk)) : U
  by(auto intro!: external-WT'.intros)

```

```

from rel have red: P,t ⊢ <a•M'(rev (take n stk)), h> -ta'→ext <va, h'>
  by(unfold WT-red-external-list-conv[OF wfp wtext' tconf])

```

```

from ta nt obtain D M'' a' where nt': NewThread t'' (D, M'', a') h'' ∈ set {ta'}t
  (xcp, frs) = extNTA2JVM P (D, M'', a') by auto
with red have [simp]: h'' = h' by-(rule red-ext-new-thread-heap)
from red-external-new-thread-sub-thread[OF red nt'(1)]

```

```

have  $h't'': \text{typeof-addr } h' a' = [\text{Class-type } D] P \vdash D \preceq^* \text{Thread}$  and  $[\text{simp}]: M'' = \text{run by auto}$ 
from  $\text{red-external-new-thread-exists-thread-object}[OF \text{ red } nt'(1)]$ 
have  $t\text{conf}' : P, h' \vdash t'' \sqrt{t} \text{ by}(auto \text{ intro: } t\text{conf}')$ 
from  $\text{sub-Thread-sees-run}[OF \text{ wfp } \langle P \vdash D \preceq^* \text{Thread} \rangle]$  obtain  $m\text{xs}' m\text{xl0}' i\text{ns}' x\text{t}' D'$ 
where  $\text{seesrun}: P \vdash D \text{ sees run: } [] \rightarrow \text{Void} = [(m\text{xs}', m\text{xl0}', i\text{ns}', x\text{t}')] \text{ in } D' \text{ by auto}$ 
with  $nt' ta nt$  have  $x\text{cp} = \text{None}$   $f\text{rs} = [([], \text{Addr } a' \# \text{replicate } m\text{xl0}' \text{ undefined-value}, D', \text{run}, 0)]$ 
by(auto simp add: extNTA2JVM-def split-beta)
moreover
have  $\Phi \vdash t'': (\text{None}, h', [([], \text{Addr } a' \# \text{replicate } m\text{xl0}' \text{ undefined-value}, D', \text{run}, 0)]) \checkmark$ 
proof –
  from  $\text{red } w\text{ttext}' \langle h\text{conf } h \rangle$  have  $h\text{conf } h'$ 
  by(rule external-call-hconf)
  moreover from  $\text{red}$  have  $h \trianglelefteq h'$  by(rule red-external-hext)
  with  $\text{preh}$  have  $\text{preallocated } h'$  by(rule preallocated-hext)
  moreover from  $\text{seesrun}$ 
  have  $\text{seesrun}' : P \vdash D' \text{ sees run: } [] \rightarrow \text{Void} = [(m\text{xs}', m\text{xl0}', i\text{ns}', x\text{t}')] \text{ in } D'$ 
    by(rule sees-method-idemp)
  moreover with  $\langle w\text{f-jvm-prog}_\Phi P \rangle$ 
  obtain  $w\text{t-start } P D' [] m\text{xl0}' (\Phi D' \text{ run}) i\text{ns}' \neq []$ 
    by (auto dest: wt-jvm-prog-impl-wt-start)
  then obtain  $L\text{T}'$  where  $\Phi D' \text{ run } ! 0 = \text{Some } ([] , L\text{T}')$ 
    by (clar simp simp add: wt-start-def defs1 sup-state-opt-any-Some)
  moreover
  have  $\text{conf-f } P h' ([] , L\text{T}') i\text{ns}' ([] , \text{Addr } a' \# \text{replicate } m\text{xl0}' \text{ undefined-value}, D', \text{run}, 0)$ 
  proof –
    let  $?L\text{T} = OK$  ( $\text{Class } D'$ )  $\# (\text{replicate } m\text{xl0}' \text{ Err})$ 
    from  $\text{seesrun}$  have  $P \vdash D \preceq^* D'$  by(rule sees-method-decl-above)
    hence  $P, h' \vdash \text{Addr } a' \# \text{replicate } m\text{xl0}' \text{ undefined-value } [: \leq_\top] ?L\text{T}$ 
      using  $h't''$  by(simp add: conf-def)
    also from  $\langle w\text{t-start } P D' [] m\text{xl0}' (\Phi D' \text{ run}) \rangle \langle \Phi D' \text{ run } ! 0 = \text{Some } ([] , L\text{T}') \rangle$ 
    have  $P \vdash ?L\text{T} [: \leq_\top] L\text{T}'$  by(simp add: wt-start-def)
    finally have  $P, h' \vdash \text{Addr } a' \# \text{replicate } m\text{xl0}' \text{ undefined-value } [: \leq_\top] L\text{T}'$ .
      with  $\langle i\text{ns}' \neq [] \rangle$  show  $?thesis$  by(simp add: conf-f-def)
    qed
    ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $t\text{conf}'$  by(fastforce simp add: correct-state-def)
  qed
  ultimately show  $?thesis$  by(clar simp)
  qed

lemma  $\text{correct-state-heap-change}$ :
  assumes  $w\text{f}: w\text{f-jvm-prog}_\Phi P$ 
  and  $\text{red}: P, t \vdash \text{Normal } (x\text{cp}, h, f\text{rs}) - ta-jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal } (x\text{cp}', h', f\text{rs}')$ 
  and  $\text{cs}: \Phi \vdash t: (x\text{cp}, h, f\text{rs}) \checkmark$ 
  and  $\text{cs}'': \Phi \vdash t'': (x\text{cp}'', h, f\text{rs}'') \checkmark$ 
  shows  $\Phi \vdash t'': (x\text{cp}'', h', f\text{rs}'') \checkmark$ 
proof(cases  $x\text{cp}$ )
  case  $\text{None}$ 
  from  $\text{cs}$  have  $P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t} \text{ by}(simp \text{ add: } \text{correct-state-def})$ 
  with  $\text{red}$  have  $\text{hext } h h'$  by (auto intro: exec-1-d-hext simp add: tconf-def)
  from  $\langle w\text{f-jvm-prog}_\Phi P \rangle$   $\text{cs red}$  have  $\Phi \vdash t: (x\text{cp}', h', f\text{rs}') \checkmark$ 
    by(auto elim!: jvmd-NormalE intro: BV-correct-1 simp add: exec-1-iff)
  from  $\text{cs}''$  have  $P, h \vdash t'' \sqrt{t} \text{ by}(simp \text{ add: } \text{correct-state-def})$ 
  with  $\langle h \trianglelefteq h' \rangle$  have  $t\text{conf}' : P, h' \vdash t'' \sqrt{t} \text{ by}-(\text{rule tconf-hext-mono})$ 

```

```

from <Φ ⊢ t: (xcp', h', frs') √>
have hconf': hconf h' preallocated h' by(simp-all add: correct-state-def)

show ?thesis
proof(cases frs'')
  case Nil thus ?thesis using tconf' hconf' by(simp add: correct-state-def)
next
  case (Cons f'' Frs'')
    obtain stk'' loc'' C0'' M0'' pc''
      where f'' = (stk'', loc'', C0'', M0'', pc'')
        by(cases f'', blast)
      with <frs'' = f'' # Frs''> cs''
        obtain Ts'' T'' mxs'' mxl0'' ins'' xt'' ST'' LT''
          where hconf h
            and sees'': P ⊢ C0'' sees M0'': Ts'' → T'' = ⌈(mxs'', mxl0'', ins'', xt'')⌉ in C0''
            and Φ C0'' M0'' ! pc'' = ⌈(ST'', LT'')⌉
            and conf-f P h (ST'', LT'') ins'' (stk'', loc'', C0'', M0'', pc'')
            and conf-fs P h Φ M0'' (length Ts'') T'' Frs''
          by(fastforce simp add: correct-state-def)

        show ?thesis using Cons <Φ ⊢ t'': (xcp'', h, frs'') √> <hext h h'> hconf' tconf'
          apply(cases xcp'')
          apply(auto simp add: correct-state-def)
          apply(blast dest: hext-objD intro: conf-fs-hext conf-f-hext)+
          done
      qed
    next
      case (Some a)
      with <P, t ⊢ Normal (xcp, h, frs) -ta-jvmd→ Normal (xcp', h', frs')>
      have h = h' by(auto elim!: jvmd-NormalE)
      with <Φ ⊢ t'': (xcp'', h, frs'') √> show ?thesis by simp
    qed

lemma lifting-wf-correct-state-d:
  wf-jvm-progΦ P ==> lifting-wf JVM-final (mexecd P) (λt (xcp, frs) h. Φ ⊢ t: (xcp, h, frs) √)
  by(unfold-locales)(auto intro: BV-correct-d-1 correct-state-new-thread correct-state-heap-change)

lemma lifting-wf-correct-state:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
  shows lifting-wf JVM-final (mexec P) (λt (xcp, frs) h. Φ ⊢ t: (xcp, h, frs) √)
  proof(unfold-locales)
    fix t x m ta x' m'
    assume mexec P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
    and (λ(xcp, frs) h. Φ ⊢ t: (xcp, h, frs) √) x m
    with wf show (λ(xcp, frs) h. Φ ⊢ t: (xcp, h, frs) √) x' m'
      by(cases x)(cases x', simp add: welltyped-commute[symmetric, OF <wf-jvm-progΦ P>], rule BV-correct-d-1)
  next
    fix t x m ta x' m' t'' x''
    assume mexec P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
    and (λ(xcp, frs) h. Φ ⊢ t: (xcp, h, frs) √) x m
    and NewThread t'' x'' m' ∈ set {ta}t
    with wf show (λ(xcp, frs) h. Φ ⊢ t'': (xcp, h, frs) √) x'' m'
      apply(cases x, cases x', cases x'', clarify, unfold welltyped-commute[symmetric, OF <wf-jvm-progΦ P>])

```

```

  by(rule correct-state-new-thread)
next
fix t x m ta x' m' t'' x''
assume mexec P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  and ( $\lambda(xcp, frs) h. \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frs) \vee x m$ )
  and ( $\lambda(xcp, frs) h. \Phi \vdash t'': (xcp, h, frs) \vee x'' m$ )
with wf show ( $\lambda(xcp, frs) h. \Phi \vdash t'': (xcp, h, frs) \vee x'' m'$ 
  by(cases x)(cases x', cases x'', clarify, unfold welltyped-commute[symmetric, OF wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$ 
P], rule correct-state-heap-change)
qed

lemmas preserves-correct-state = FWLiftingSem.lifting-wf.RedT-preserves[OF lifting-wf-correct-state]
lemmas preserves-correct-state-d = FWLiftingSem.lifting-wf.RedT-preserves[OF lifting-wf-correct-state-d]

end

context JVM-heap-conf-base begin

definition correct-jvm-state :: typ  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr jvm-thread-state, 'heap, 'addr) state set
where
  correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ 
  = {s. correct-state-ts  $\Phi$  (thr s) (shr s)  $\wedge$  lock-thread-ok (locks s) (thr s)}
```

end

```

context JVM-heap-conf begin

lemma correct-jvm-state-initial:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
  shows JVM-start-state P C M vs  $\in$  correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ 
proof -
  from wf-start obtain Ts T m D
    where start-heap-ok and  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor m \rfloor \text{ in } D$ 
      and  $P, \text{start-heap} \vdash vs \leq Ts$  by cases
  with wf BV-correct-initial[OF wf this] show ?thesis
    by(cases m)(auto simp add: correct-jvm-state-def start-state-def JVM-start-state'-def intro: lock-thread-okI
ts-okI split: if-split-asm)
qed

end

context JVM-conf-read begin

lemma invariant3p-correct-jvm-state-mexecdT:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  shows invariant3p (mexecdT P) (correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ )
  unfolding correct-jvm-state-def
  apply(rule invariant3pI)
  apply safe
  apply(erule (1) lifting-wf.redT-preserves[OF lifting-wf-correct-state-d[OF wf]])
  apply(erule (1) execdT-mthr.redT-preserves-lock-thread-ok)
done

```

```

lemma invariant3p-correct-jvm-state-mexecT:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  shows invariant3p (mexecT P) (correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ )
unfolding correct-jvm-state-def
apply(rule invariant3pI)
apply safe
apply(erule (1) lifting-wf.redT-preserves[OF lifting-wf-correct-state[OF wf]])
apply(erule (1) exec-mthr.redT-preserves-lock-thread-ok)
done

lemma correct-jvm-state-preserved:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  and correct:  $s \in$  correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ 
  and red:  $P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ttas}^{jvm*} s'$ 
  shows  $s' \in$  correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ 
using wf red correct unfolding exec-mthr.RedT-def
by(rule invariant3p-rtrancl3p[OF invariant3p-correct-jvm-state-mexecT])

theorem jvm-typesafe:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  and start: wf-start-state P C M vs
  and exec:  $P \vdash$  JVM-start-state P C M vs  $\rightarrow_{ttas}^{jvm*} s'$ 
  shows  $s' \in$  correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ 
by(rule correct-jvm-state-preserved[OF wf - exec])(rule correct-jvm-state-initial[OF wf start])

end

declare (in JVM-typesafe) split-paired-Ex [simp del]

context JVM-heap-conf-base' begin

lemma execd-NewThread-Thread-Object:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  and conf:  $\Phi \vdash t': \sigma \checkmark$ 
  and red:  $P, t' \vdash$  Normal  $\sigma - ta - jvmd \rightarrow$  Normal  $\sigma'$ 
  and nt: NewThread t x m  $\in$  set  $\{ta\}_t$ 
  shows  $\exists C.$  typeof-addr (fst (snd  $\sigma'$ )) (thread-id2addr t) =  $\lfloor$  Class-type C  $\rfloor$   $\wedge$   $P \vdash$  Class C  $\leq$  Class Thread
proof -
  from wf obtain wfmd where wfp: wf-prog wfmd P by(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)
  from red obtain h f Frs xcp
    where check: check P  $\sigma$ 
      and exec: (ta,  $\sigma'$ )  $\in$  exec P t'  $\sigma$ 
      and [simp]:  $\sigma = (xcp, h, f \# Frs)$ 
      by(rule jvmd-NormalE)
  obtain xcp' h' frs' where [simp]:  $\sigma' = (xcp', h', frs')$  by(cases  $\sigma'$ , auto)
  obtain stk loc C M pc where [simp]: f = (stk, loc, C, M, pc) by(cases f, blast)
  from exec nt have [simp]: xcp = None by(cases xcp, auto)
  from < $\Phi \vdash t': \sigma \checkmark$ > obtain Ts T mxs mxl0 ins xt ST LT
    where hconf h
      and P,h  $\vdash t' \checkmark t$ 
      and sees:  $P \vdash C$  sees M:  $Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl0, ins, xt) \rfloor$  in C
      and  $\Phi \vdash C M ! pc = \lfloor (ST, LT) \rfloor$ 

```

```

and conf-f P h (ST, LT) ins (stk, loc, C, M, pc)
and conf-fs P h Φ M (length Ts) T Frs
  by(fastforce simp add: correct-state-def)
from wf red conf nt
obtain h frs a stk loc C M pc M' n ta' va h'
  where ha: typeof-addr h a ≠ None and ta: ta = extTA2JVM P ta'
  and σ': σ' = extRet2JVM n h' stk loc C M pc frs va
  and rel: (ta', va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t' a M' (rev (take n stk)) h
  by -(erule (2) exec-new-threadE, fastforce+)
from nt ta obtain x' where NewThread t x' m ∈ set {ta'}_t by auto
from red-external-aggr-new-thread-exists-thread-object[OF rel ha this] σ'
show ?thesis by(cases va) auto
qed

lemma mexecdT-NewThread-Thread-Object:
   $\llbracket \text{wf-jvm-prog}_\Phi P; \text{correct-state-ts } \Phi (\text{thr } s) (\text{shr } s); P \vdash s - t \triangleright \text{ta} \rightarrow_{jvmd} s'; \text{NewThread } t x m \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists C. \text{typeof-addr} (\text{shr } s') (\text{thread-id2addr } t) = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Thread}$ 
apply(frule correct-state-ts-thread-conf)
apply(erule execdT-mthr.redT.cases)
apply(hypsubst)
apply(frule (2) execdT-tconf.redT-updTs-preserves[where ln'=redT-updLns (locks s) t' no-wait-locks {ta}_l])
apply clarsimp
apply(clarsimp)
apply(drule execdT-NewThread-Thread-Object)
  apply(drule (1) ts-okD)
  apply(fastforce)
  apply(assumption)
  apply(fastforce)
  apply(clarsimp)
apply(simp)
done

end

context JVM-heap begin

lemma exec-ta-satisfiable:
  assumes P,t ⊢ s - ta - jvm → s'
  shows ∃ s. exec-mthr.actions-ok s t ta
proof -
  obtain xcp h frs where [simp]: s = (xcp, h, frs) by(cases s)
  from assms obtain stk loc C M pc frs' where [simp]: frs = (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs'
    by(cases frs)(auto simp add: exec-1-iff)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases xcp)
    case Some with assms show ?thesis by(auto simp add: exec-1-iff lock-ok-las-def finfun-upd-apply split-paired-Ex)
  next
    case None
    with assms show ?thesis
      apply(cases instrs-of P C M ! pc)
      apply(auto simp add: exec-1-iff lock-ok-las-def finfun-upd-apply split-beta final-thread.actions-ok-iff)

```

```

split: if-split-asm dest: red-external-aggr-ta-satisfiable[where final=JVM-final])
  apply(fastforce simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff lock-ok-las-def dest: red-external-aggr-ta-satisfiable[where final=JVM-final])
    apply(fastforce simp add: finfun-upd-apply intro: exI[where x=K$ None] exI[where x=K$ |(t,
0)] may-lock.intros)+ done qed qed
end

context JVM-typesafe begin

lemma execd-wf-progress:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  shows progress JVM-final (mexecd P) (execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P (correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ ))
  (is progress - - ?wf-state)
proof
{
  fix s t x ta x' m' w
  assume mexecd: mexecd P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
  and Suspend: Suspend w ∈ set {ta}w
  from mexecd-Suspend-Invoke[OF mexecd Suspend]
  show ¬ JVM-final x' by auto
}
note Suspend-final = this
{
  fix s
  assume s: s ∈ ?wf-state
  hence lock-thread-ok (locks s) (thr s)
    by(auto dest: execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1 simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
  moreover
  have exec-mthr.wset-final-ok (wset s) (thr s)
  proof(rule exec-mthr.wset-final-okI)
    fix t w
    assume wset s t = |w|
    from execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD2[OF s this]
    obtain x0 ta x m1 w' ln'' and s0 :: ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr option × 'addr frame list, 'heap,
'addr) state
      where mexecd: mexecd P t (x0, shr s0) ta (x, m1)
      and Suspend: Suspend w' ∈ set {ta}w
      and tst: thr s t = |(x, ln'')| by blast
      from Suspend-final[OF mexecd Suspend] tst
      show ∃x ln. thr s t = |(x, ln)| ∧ ¬ JVM-final x by blast
    qed
    ultimately show lock-thread-ok (locks s) (thr s) ∧ exec-mthr.wset-final-ok (wset s) (thr s) ..
  }
next
fix s t x ta x' m'
assume wfs: s ∈ ?wf-state
and thr s t = |(x, no-wait-locks)|
and mexecd P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
and wait: ¬ waiting (wset s t)
moreover obtain ls ts h ws is where s [simp]: s = (ls, (ts, h), ws, is) by(cases s) fastforce

```

```

ultimately have ts t =  $\lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$  mexecd P t (x, h) ta (x', m') by auto
from wfs have correct-state-ts  $\Phi$  ts h by(auto dest: execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1 simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
from wf obtain wfmd where wfp: wf-prog wfmd P by(auto dest: wt-jvm-progD)

from <ts t =  $\lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ > <mexecd P t (x, h) ta (x', m')>
obtain xcp frs xcp' frs'
  where  $P, t \vdash \text{Normal } (xcp, h, frs) - ta - jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal } (xcp', m', frs')$ 
  and [simp]:  $x = (xcp, frs)$   $x' = (xcp', frs')$ 
  by(cases x, auto)
then obtain f Frs
  where check: check P (xcp, h, f # Frs)
  and [simp]: frs = f # Frs
  and exec:  $(ta, xcp', m', frs') \in \text{exec } P t (xcp, h, f # Frs)$ 
  by(auto elim: jvmd-NormalE)
with <ts t =  $\lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ > <correct-state-ts  $\Phi$  ts h>
have correct:  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, f \# Frs) \vee \text{by}(\text{auto dest: ts-okD})$ 
obtain stk loc C M pc where f [simp]: f = (stk, loc, C, M, pc) by (cases f)
from correct obtain Ts T mxs mxl0 ins xt ST LT
  where hconf: hconf h
  and tconf:  $P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}$ 
  and sees:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor(mxs, mxl0, ins, xt)\rfloor \text{ in } C$ 
  and wt:  $\Phi C M ! pc = \lfloor(ST, LT)\rfloor$ 
  and conf-f: conf-f P h (ST, LT) ins (stk, loc, C, M, pc)
  and confs: conf-fs P h  $\Phi M (\text{length } Ts) T Frs$ 
  and confxcp: conf-xcp P h xcp (ins ! pc)
  and preh: preallocated h
  by(fastforce simp add: correct-state-def)

have  $\exists ta' \sigma'. P, t \vdash \text{Normal } (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# Frs) - ta' - jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal } \sigma' \wedge$ 
  (final-thread.actions-ok JVM-final (ls, (ts, h), ws, is) t ta'  $\vee$ 
  final-thread.actions-ok' (ls, (ts, h), ws, is) t ta'  $\wedge$  final-thread.actions-subset ta' ta)
proof(cases final-thread.actions-ok' (ls, (ts, h), ws, is) t ta)
  case True
  have final-thread.actions-subset ta ta ..
  with True < $P, t \vdash \text{Normal } (xcp, h, frs) - ta - jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal } (xcp', m', frs')$ >
  show ?thesis by auto
next
  case False
  note naok = this
  have ws: wset s t = None  $\vee$ 
    ( $\exists n a T w. ins ! pc = \text{Invoke wait } n \wedge stk ! n = \text{Addr } a \wedge \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor T \rfloor \wedge \text{is-native}$ 
    P T wait  $\wedge$  wset s t =  $\lfloor \text{PostWS } w \rfloor \wedge xcp = \text{None}$ )
  proof(cases wset s t)
    case None thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case (Some w)
    from execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD2[OF wfs this] <ts t =  $\lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ >
    obtain xcp0 frs0 h0 ta0 w' s1 tta1
      where red0: mexecd P t ((xcp0, frs0), h0) ta0 ((xcp, frs), shr s1)
      and Suspend: Suspend w'  $\in$  set  $\{ta0\}_w$ 
      and s1:  $P \vdash s1 \rightarrow tta1 \rightarrow_{jvmd^*} s$ 
      by auto
    from mexecd-Suspend-Invoke[OF red0 Suspend] sees

```

```

obtain n a T where [simp]: ins ! pc = Invoke wait n xcp = None stk ! n = Addr a
  and type: typeof-addr h0 a =  $\lfloor T \rfloor$ 
  and iec: is-native P T wait
  by(auto simp add: is-native.simps) blast

from red0 have h0  $\trianglelefteq$  shr s1 by(auto dest: exec-1-d-hext)
also from s1 have shr s1  $\trianglelefteq$  shr s by(rule Execd-hext)
finally have typeof-addr (shr s) a =  $\lfloor T \rfloor$  using type
  by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)
moreover from Some wait s obtain w' where ws t =  $\lfloor PostWS w' \rfloor$ 
  by(auto simp add: not-waiting-iff)
ultimately show ?thesis using iec s by auto
qed

from ws naok exec sees
show ?thesis
proof(cases ins ! pc)
  case (Invoke M' n)
    from ws Invoke check exec sees naok obtain a Ts U Ta Us D D'
      where a: stk ! n = Addr a
      and n: n < length stk
      and Ta: typeof-addr h a =  $\lfloor Ta \rfloor$ 
      and wtext: P  $\vdash$  class-type-of Ta sees M':Us $\rightarrow$ U = Native in D' D' $\cdot$ M'(Us)::U
      and sub: P  $\vdash$  Ts [ $\leq$ ] Us
      and Ts: map typeof_h (rev (take n stk)) = map Some Ts
      and [simp]: xcp = None
      apply(cases xcp)
      apply(simp add: is-Ref-def has-method-def external-WT'-iff check-def lock-ok-las'-def confs-conv-map
split-beta split: if-split-asm option.splits)
        apply(auto simp add: lock-ok-las'-def)[2]
        apply(fastforce simp add: is-native.simps lock-ok-las'-def dest: sees-method-fun)+
        done
      from exec Ta n a sees Invoke wtext obtain ta' va m"
        where exec': (ta', va, m')  $\in$  red-external-aggr P t a M' (rev (take n stk)) h
        and ta: ta = extTA2JVM P ta'
        and va: (xcp', m', frs') = extRet2JVM n m'' stk loc C M pc Frs va
        by(auto)
      from va have [simp]: m'' = m' by(cases va) simp-all
      from Ta Ts wtext sub have wtext': P,h  $\vdash$  a $\cdot$ M'(rev (take n stk)) : U
        by(auto intro!: external-WT'.intros simp add: is-native.simps)
      with wfp exec' tconf have red: P,t  $\vdash$  ⟨a $\cdot$ M'(rev (take n stk)), h⟩  $-ta' \rightarrow_{ext} \langle va, m' \rangle$ 
        by(simp add: WT-red-external-list-conv)
      from ws Invoke have wset s t = None  $\vee$  M' = wait  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  w. wset s t =  $\lfloor PostWS w \rfloor$ ) by auto
      with wfp red tconf hconf obtain ta'' va' h'' 
        where red': P,t  $\vdash$  ⟨a $\cdot$ M'(rev (take n stk)), h⟩  $-ta'' \rightarrow_{ext} \langle va', h' \rangle$ 
          and ok': final-thread.actions-ok JVM-final s t ta''  $\vee$  final-thread.actions-ok' s t ta''  $\wedge$  final-thread.actions-subset ta'' ta'
        by(rule red-external-wf-red)
      from red' a n Ta Invoke sees wtext
      have (extTA2JVM P ta'', extRet2JVM n h'' stk loc C M pc Frs va')  $\in$  exec P t (xcp, h, f # Frs)
        by(auto intro: red-external-imp-red-external-aggr)
      with check have P,t  $\vdash$  Normal (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # Frs)  $-extTA2JVM P ta'' -jvmd \rightarrow$ 
Normal (extRet2JVM n h'' stk loc C M pc Frs va')
        by -(rule exec-1-d.exec-1-d-NormalI, auto simp add: exec-d-def)

```

```

moreover from ok' ta
have final-thread.actions-ok JVM-final (ls, (ts, h), ws, is) t (extTA2JVM P ta'') ∨
final-thread.actions-ok' (ls, (ts, h), ws, is) t (extTA2JVM P ta'') ∧ final-thread.actions-subset
(extTA2JVM P ta'') ta
by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok'-convert-extTA elim: final-thread.actions-subset.cases
del: subsetI)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case MEnter
with exec sees naok ws have False
by(cases xcp)(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: lock-ok-las'-def finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)
thus ?thesis ..
next
case MExit
with exec sees False check ws obtain a where [simp]: hd stk = Addr a xcp = None ws t = None
and ta: ta = {Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a} ∨ ta = {UnlockFail→a}
by(cases xcp)(fastforce split: if-split-asm simp add: lock-ok-las'-def finfun-upd-apply is-Ref-def
check-def)+
from ta show ?thesis
proof(rule disjE)
assume ta: ta = {Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a}
let ?ta' = {UnlockFail→a}
from ta exec sees MExit obtain σ'
where (?ta', σ') ∈ exec P t (xcp, h, f # Frs) by auto
with check have P,t ⊢ Normal (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # Frs) −?ta'−jvmd→ Normal σ'
by -(rule exec-1-d.exec-1-d-NormalII, auto simp add: exec-d-def)
moreover from False ta have has-locks (ls $ a) t = 0
by(auto simp add: lock-ok-las'-def finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)
hence final-thread.actions-ok' (ls, (ts, h), ws, is) t ?ta'
by(auto simp add: lock-ok-las'-def finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)
moreover from ta have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-subset-iff collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)
ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce simp add: ta-upd-simps)
next
assume ta: ta = {UnlockFail→a}
let ?ta' = {Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a}
from ta exec sees MExit obtain σ'
where (?ta', σ') ∈ exec P t (xcp, h, f # Frs) by auto
with check have P,t ⊢ Normal (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # Frs) −?ta'−jvmd→ Normal σ'
by -(rule exec-1-d.exec-1-d-NormalII, auto simp add: exec-d-def)
moreover from False ta have has-lock (ls $ a) t
by(auto simp add: lock-ok-las'-def finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)
hence final-thread.actions-ok' (ls, (ts, h), ws, is) t ?ta'
by(auto simp add: lock-ok-las'-def finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)
moreover from ta have final-thread.actions-subset ?ta' ta
by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-subset-iff collect-locks'-def finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps)
ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce simp add: ta-upd-simps)
qed
qed(case-tac [|] xcp, auto simp add: split-beta lock-ok-las'-def split: if-split-asm)
qed
thus ∃ ta' x' m'. mexecd P t (x, shr s) ta' (x', m') ∧
(final-thread.actions-ok JVM-final s t ta' ∨
final-thread.actions-ok' s t ta' ∧ final-thread.actions-subset ta' ta)
by fastforce

```

```

next
  fix  $s t x$ 
  assume  $wfs: s \in ?wf\text{-state}$ 
    and  $tst: thr s t = \lfloor(x, no\text{-wait}\text{-locks})\rfloor$ 
    and  $\neg JVM\text{-final } x$ 
  from  $wfs$  have  $correct: correct\text{-state}\text{-ts } \Phi (thr s) (shr s)$ 
    by(auto dest: execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1 simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
  obtain  $xcp frs$  where  $x: x = (xcp, frs)$  by (cases x, auto)
  with  $\langle\neg JVM\text{-final } x\rangle$  obtain  $f Frs$  where  $frs = f \# Frs$ 
    by(fastforce simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
  with  $tst$  correct  $x$  have  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s, f \# Frs) \vee \text{by}(auto dest: ts\text{-okD})$ 
  with  $\langle wf\text{-jvm-prog}_\Phi P \rangle$ 
  have  $exec\text{-d } P t (xcp, shr s, f \# Frs) \neq TypeError$  by(auto dest: no-type-error)
  then obtain  $\Sigma$  where  $exec\text{-d } P t (xcp, shr s, f \# Frs) = Normal \Sigma$  by(auto)
  hence  $exec P t (xcp, shr s, f \# Frs) = \Sigma$ 
    by(auto simp add: exec-d-def check-def split: if-split-asm)
  with  $progress[OF wf \langle\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s, f \# Frs)\rangle \vee]$ 
  obtain  $ta \sigma$  where  $(ta, \sigma) \in \Sigma$  unfolding  $exec\text{-1-iff}$  by blast
  with  $\langle x = (xcp, frs) \rangle \langle frs = f \# Frs \rangle \langle \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s, f \# Frs) \vee \langle wf\text{-jvm-prog}_\Phi P \rangle \langle exec\text{-d } P t (xcp, shr s, f \# Frs) = Normal \Sigma \rangle$ 
  show  $\exists ta' m'. mexecd P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')$ 
    by(cases ta, cases \sigma)(fastforce simp add: split-paired-Ex intro: exec-1-d-NormalI)
  qed(fastforce dest: defensive-imp-aggressive-1 mexec-instr-Wakeup-no-Join exec-ta-satisfiable)+

```

end

context $JVM\text{-conf-read}$ **begin**

```

lemma  $mexecT\text{-eq}\text{-mexecdT}:$ 
  assumes  $wf: wf\text{-jvm-prog}_\Phi P$ 
  and  $cs: correct\text{-state}\text{-ts } \Phi (thr s) (shr s)$ 
  shows  $P \vdash s \dashv\! ta \rightarrow_{jvm} s' = P \vdash s \dashv\! ta \rightarrow_{jvmd} s'$ 
proof(rule iffI)
  assume  $P \vdash s \dashv\! ta \rightarrow_{jvm} s'$ 
  thus  $P \vdash s \dashv\! ta \rightarrow_{jvmd} s'$ 
proof(cases rule: exec-mthr.redT-elims[consumes 1, case-names normal acquire])
  case (normal x x' m')
  obtain  $xcp frs$  where  $x [simp]: x = (xcp, frs)$  by(cases x, auto)
  from  $\langle thr s t = \lfloor(x, no\text{-wait}\text{-locks})\rfloor \rangle$   $cs$ 
  have  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s, frs) \vee \text{by}(auto dest: ts\text{-okD})$ 
  from  $mexec\text{-eq}\text{-mexecdT}[OF wf \langle\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s, frs)\rangle \vee] \langle mexec P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m') \rangle$ 
  have  $*: mexecd P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')$  by simp
    with lifting-wf.redT-updTs-preserves[OF lifting-wf-correct-state-d[OF wf] cs, OF this  $\langle thr s t = \lfloor(x, no\text{-wait}\text{-locks})\rfloor \rangle$   $\langle thread\text{-oks}(thr s) \{ta\}_t \rangle$ 
    have  $correct\text{-state}\text{-ts } \Phi ((redT\text{-updTs}(thr s) \{ta\}_t)(t \mapsto (x', redT\text{-updLns}(locks s) t no\text{-wait}\text{-locks} \{ta\}_l))) m'$  by simp
      with  $*$  show  $?thesis$  using normal
        by(cases s' (erule execd-mthr.redT-normal, auto))
  next
    case acquire thus  $?thesis$ 
      apply(cases s', clarify)
      apply(rule execd-mthr.redT-acquire, assumption+)
      by(auto)
  qed

```

```

next
assume  $P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ta} jvmd s'$ 
thus  $P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ta} jvm s'$ 
proof(cases rule: execd-mthr.redT-elims[consumes 1, case-names normal acquire])
  case (normal  $x x' m'$ )
    obtain  $xcp frs$  where  $x$  [simp]:  $x = (xcp, frs)$  by(cases  $x$ , auto)
    from  $\langle thr s t = \lfloor (x, no-wait-locks) \rfloor \rangle cs$ 
    have  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s, frs) \vee \text{by}(auto dest: ts-okD)$ 
    from mexec-eq-mexecd[ $OF wf \langle \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s, frs) \vee \rangle \langle mexec P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m') \rangle$ ]
    have  $mexec P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')$  by simp
    moreover from lifting-wf.redT-updTs-preserves[ $OF lifting-wf-correct-state-d[OF wf] cs, OF \langle mexec P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m') \rangle \langle thr s t = \lfloor (x, no-wait-locks) \rfloor \rangle \langle thread-oks (thr s) \{ta\}_t \rangle$ ]
    have  $correct-state-ts \Phi ((redT-updTs (thr s) \{ta\}_t)(t \mapsto (x', redT-updLns (locks s) t no-wait-locks \{ta\}_l))) m'$  by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using normal
      by(cases  $s'$ )(erule exec-mthr.redT-normal, auto)
next
  case acquire thus ?thesis
    apply(cases  $s'$ , clarify)
    apply(rule exec-mthr.redT-acquire, assumption+)
    by(auto)
qed
qed

lemma mExecT-eq-mExecdT:
  assumes  $wf: wf-jvm-prog_\Phi P$ 
  and  $ct: correct-state-ts \Phi (thr s) (shr s)$ 
  shows  $P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ttas} jvm^* s' = P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ttas} jvmd^* s'$ 
proof
  assume  $Red: P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ttas} jvm^* s'$ 
  thus  $P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ttas} jvmd^* s'$  using ct
proof(induct rule: exec-mthr.RedT-induct[consumes 1, case-names refl step])
  case refl thus ?case by auto
next
  case (step  $s ttas s' t ta s''$ )
  hence  $P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ttas} jvmd^* s'$  by blast
  moreover from  $\langle correct-state-ts \Phi (thr s) (shr s) \rangle \langle P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ttas} jvm^* s' \rangle$ 
  have  $correct-state-ts \Phi (thr s') (shr s')$ 
  by(auto dest: preserves-correct-state[ $OF wf$ ])
  with  $\langle P \vdash s' \rightarrow_{ta} jvm s'' \rangle$  have  $P \vdash s' \rightarrow_{ta} jvmd s''$ 
  by(unfold mexecT-eq-mexecdT[ $OF wf$ ])
  ultimately show ?case
  by(blast intro: execd-mthr.RedTI rtranc13p-step elim: execd-mthr.RedTE)
qed
next
  assume  $Red: P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ttas} jvmd^* s'$ 
  thus  $P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ttas} jvm^* s'$  using ct
proof(induct rule: execd-mthr.RedT-induct[consumes 1, case-names refl step])
  case refl thus ?case by auto
next
  case (step  $s ttas s' t ta s''$ )
  hence  $P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ttas} jvm^* s'$  by blast
  moreover from  $\langle correct-state-ts \Phi (thr s) (shr s) \rangle \langle P \vdash s \rightarrow_{ttas} jvmd^* s' \rangle$ 

```

```

have correct-state-ts  $\Phi$  (thr  $s'$ ) (shr  $s'$ )
  by(auto dest: preserves-correct-state-d[ $OF\ wf$ ])
  with  $\langle P \vdash s' - t \triangleright ta \rightarrow_{jvmd} s'' \rangle$ , have  $P \vdash s' - t \triangleright ta \rightarrow_{jvm} s''$ 
    by(unfold mexecT-eq-mexecdT[ $OF\ wf$ ])
  ultimately show ?case
    by(blast intro: exec-mthr.RedTI rtrancl3p-step elim: exec-mthr.RedTE)
  qed
qed

lemma mexecT-preserves-thread-conf:
   $\llbracket wf\text{-}jvm\text{-}prog}_\Phi P; \text{correct-state-ts } \Phi \text{ (thr } s\text{) (shr } s\text{)}; \\ P \vdash s - t \triangleright ta \rightarrow_{jvm} s'; \text{thread-conf } P \text{ (thr } s\text{) (shr } s\text{)} \rrbracket \\ \implies \text{thread-conf } P \text{ (thr } s'\text{) (shr } s'\text{)}$ 
  by(simp only: mexecT-eq-mexecdT)(rule execd-tconf.redT-preserves)

lemma mExecT-preserves-thread-conf:
   $\llbracket wf\text{-}jvm\text{-}prog}_\Phi P; \text{correct-state-ts } \Phi \text{ (thr } s\text{) (shr } s\text{)}; \\ P \vdash s - \triangleright tta \rightarrow_{jvm^*} s'; \text{thread-conf } P \text{ (thr } s\text{) (shr } s\text{)} \rrbracket \\ \implies \text{thread-conf } P \text{ (thr } s'\text{) (shr } s'\text{)}$ 
  by(simp only: mExecT-eq-mExecdT)(rule execd-tconf.RedT-preserves)

lemma wset-Suspend-ok-mexecdT-mexec:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $_\Phi$  P
  shows exec-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P (correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ ) = execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P (correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ )
  apply(safe)
  apply(rule execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-okI)
  apply(erule exec-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1)
  apply(drule (1) exec-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD2)
  apply(subst (asm) (2) split-paired-Ex)
  apply(elim bxE exE conjE)
  apply(subst (asm) mexec-eq-mexecd[ $OF\ wf$ ])
  apply(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
  apply(blast dest: ts-okD)
  apply(subst (asm) mexecT-eq-mexecdT[ $OF\ wf$ ])
  apply(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
  apply(subst (asm) mExecT-eq-mExecdT[ $OF\ wf$ ])
  apply(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
  apply(rule bexI exI|erule conjI|assumption)+
  apply(rule exec-mthr.wset-Suspend-okI)
  apply(erule execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1)
  apply(drule (1) execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD2)
  apply(subst (asm) (2) split-paired-Ex)
  apply(elim bxE exE conjE)
  apply(subst (asm) mexec-eq-mexecd[ $OF\ wf$ , symmetric])
  apply(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
  apply(blast dest: ts-okD)
  apply(subst (asm) mexecT-eq-mexecdT[ $OF\ wf$ , symmetric])
  apply(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
  apply(subst (asm) mExecT-eq-mExecdT[ $OF\ wf$ , symmetric])
  apply(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
  apply(rule bexI exI|erule conjI|assumption)+
  done

```

```

end

context JVM-typesafe begin

lemma exec-wf-progress:
assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
shows progress JVM-final (mexec P) (exec-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P (correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ ))
(is progress - - ?wf-state)
proof -
interpret progress: progress JVM-final mexecd P convert-RA ?wf-state
using assms unfolding wset-Suspend-ok-mexecd-mexec[OF wf] by(rule execd-wf-progress)
show ?thesis
proof(unfold-locales)
fix s
assume s ∈ ?wf-state
thus lock-thread-ok (locks s) (thr s) ∧ exec-mthr.wset-final-ok (wset s) (thr s)
by(rule progress.wf-stateD)
next
fix s t x ta x' m'
assume wfs: s ∈ ?wf-state
and tst: thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋
and exec: mexec P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
and wait: ¬ waiting (wset s t)
from wfs tst have correct:  $\Phi \vdash t: (\text{fst } x, \text{shr } s, \text{snd } x) \checkmark$ 
by(auto dest!: exec-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1 ts-okD simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
with exec have mexecd P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
by(cases x)(simp only: mexec-eq-mexecd[OF wf] fst-conv snd-conv)
from progress.wf-red[OF wfs tst this wait] correct
show ∃ ta' x' m'. mexec P t (x, shr s) ta' (x', m') ∧
(final-thread.actions-ok JVM-final s t ta' ∨
final-thread.actions-ok' s t ta' ∧ final-thread.actions-subset ta' ta)
by(cases x)(simp only: fst-conv snd-conv mexec-eq-mexecd[OF wf])
next
fix s t x ta x' m' w
assume wfs: s ∈ ?wf-state
and tst: thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋
and exec: mexec P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
and wait: ¬ waiting (wset s t)
and Suspend: Suspend w ∈ set {ta}_w
from wfs tst have correct:  $\Phi \vdash t: (\text{fst } x, \text{shr } s, \text{snd } x) \checkmark$ 
by(auto dest!: exec-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1 ts-okD simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
with exec have mexecd P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
by(cases x)(simp only: mexec-eq-mexecd[OF wf] fst-conv snd-conv)
with wfs tst show ¬ JVM-final x' using wait Suspend by(rule progress.red-wait-set-not-final)
next
fix s t x
assume wfs: s ∈ ?wf-state
and tst: thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋
and ¬ JVM-final x
from progress.wf-progress[OF this]
show ∃ ta x' m'. mexec P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
by(auto dest: defensive-imp-aggressive-1 simp add: split-beta)
qed(fastforce dest: mexec-instr-Wakeup-no-Join exec-ta-satisfiable)+
qed

```

theorem *mexecd-TypeSafety*:

fixes $ln :: 'addr \Rightarrow f nat$

assumes $wf: wf-jvm-prog_{\Phi} P$

and $s: s \in execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P$ (*correct-jvm-state* Φ)

and $Exec: P \vdash s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow jvmd^* s'$

and $\neg (\exists t ta s''. P \vdash s' - t \triangleright ta \rightarrow jvmd s'')$

and $ts't: thr s' t = \lfloor ((xcp, frs), ln) \rfloor$

shows $frs \neq [] \vee ln \neq no-wait-locks \implies t \in execd-mthr.deadlocked P s'$

and $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s', frs) \checkmark$

proof –

interpret progress JVM-final *mexecd* P convert-RA $execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P$ (*correct-jvm-state* Φ)

by(*rule execd-wf-progress*) fact+

from *Exec* s have $wfs': s' \in execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P$ (*correct-jvm-state* Φ)

unfolding *execd-mthr.RedT-def*

by(*blast intro: invariant3p-rtranc13p execd-mthr.invariant3p-wset-Suspend-ok invariant3p-correct-jvm-state-mexecdT[OF wf]*)

with $ts't$ show *cst*: $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s', frs) \checkmark$

by(*auto dest: ts-okD execd-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1 simp add: correct-jvm-state-def*)

assume $nfin: frs \neq [] \vee ln \neq no-wait-locks$

from $nfin \langle thr s' t = \lfloor ((xcp, frs), ln) \rfloor \rangle$ have *exec-mthr.not-final-thread* $s' t$

by(*auto simp: exec-mthr.not-final-thread-iff*)

from $\neg (\exists t ta s''. P \vdash s' - t \triangleright ta \rightarrow jvmd s'')$

show $t \in execd-mthr.deadlocked P s'$

proof(*rule contrapos-np*)

assume $t \notin execd-mthr.deadlocked P s'$

with $\langle exec-mthr.not-final-thread s' t \rangle$ have $\neg execd-mthr.deadlocked' P s'$

by(*auto simp add: execd-mthr.deadlocked'-def*)

hence $\neg execd-mthr.deadlock P s'$ unfolding *execd-mthr.deadlock-eq-deadlocked'*.

thus $\exists t ta s''. P \vdash s' - t \triangleright ta \rightarrow jvmd s''$ by(*rule redT-progress[OF wfs']*)

qed

qed

theorem *mexec-TypeSafety*:

fixes $ln :: 'addr \Rightarrow f nat$

assumes $wf: wf-jvm-prog_{\Phi} P$

and $s: s \in exec-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P$ (*correct-jvm-state* Φ)

and $Exec: P \vdash s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow jvm^* s'$

and $\neg (\exists t ta s''. P \vdash s' - t \triangleright ta \rightarrow jvm s'')$

and $ts't: thr s' t = \lfloor ((xcp, frs), ln) \rfloor$

shows $frs \neq [] \vee ln \neq no-wait-locks \implies t \in multithreaded-base.deadlocked JVM-final (mexec P) s'$

and $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s', frs) \checkmark$

proof –

interpret progress JVM-final *mexec* P convert-RA $exec-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P$ (*correct-jvm-state* Φ)

by(*rule exec-wf-progress*) fact+

from *Exec* s have $wfs': s' \in exec-mthr.wset-Suspend-ok P$ (*correct-jvm-state* Φ)

unfolding *exec-mthr.RedT-def*

by(*blast intro: invariant3p-rtranc13p exec-mthr.invariant3p-wset-Suspend-ok invariant3p-correct-jvm-state-mexecT[OF wf]*)

```

with ts't show cst:  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s', frs) \vee$ 
  by(auto dest: ts-okD exec-mthr.wset-Suspend-okD1 simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)

assume nfin: frs ≠ [] ∨ ln ≠ no-wait-locks
from nfin <thr s' t = [((xcp, frs), ln)]> have exec-mthr.not-final-thread s' t
  by(auto simp: exec-mthr.not-final-thread-iff)
from <¬ (∃ t ta s''. P ⊢ s' -t>ta→jvm s'')>
show t ∈ exec-mthr.deadlocked P s'
proof(rule contrapos-np)
  assume t ∉ exec-mthr.deadlocked P s'
  with <exec-mthr.not-final-thread s' t> have ¬ exec-mthr.deadlocked' P s'
    by(auto simp add: exec-mthr.deadlocked'-def)
  hence ¬ exec-mthr.deadlock P s' unfolding exec-mthr.deadlock-eq-deadlocked'.
  thus ∃ t ta s''. P ⊢ s' -t>ta→jvm s'' by(rule redT-progress[OF wf])
qed
qed

lemma start-mexec-mexecd-commute:
assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
and start: wf-start-state P C M vs
shows P ⊢ JVM-start-state P C M vs →ttas→jvmd* s ↔ P ⊢ JVM-start-state P C M vs
→ttas→jvmd* s
using correct-jvm-state-initial[OF assms]
by(clarsimp simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)(rule mExecT-eq-mExecdT[symmetric, OF wf])

theorem mRtrancl-eq-mRtrancl:
assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
and ct: correct-state-ts  $\Phi$  (thr s) (shr s)
shows exec-mthr.mthr.Rtrancl3p P s ttas ↔ execd-mthr.mthr.Rtrancl3p P s ttas (is ?lhs ↔ ?rhs)
proof
  show ?lhs if ?rhs using that ct
  proof(coinduction arbitrary: s ttas)
    case Rtrancl3p
    interpret lifting-wf JVM-final mexecd P convert-RA λt (xcp, frs) h.  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frs) \vee$ 
      using wf by(rule lifting-wf-correct-state-d)
    from Rtrancl3p(1) show ?case
    proof cases
      case stop: Rtrancl3p-stop
      then show ?thesis using mexecT-eq-mexecdT[OF wf Rtrancl3p(2)] by clarsimp
    next
      case (Rtrancl3p-into-Rtrancl3p s' ttas' tta)
      then show ?thesis using mexecT-eq-mexecdT[OF wf Rtrancl3p(2)] Rtrancl3p(2)
        by(cases tta; cases s')(fastforce simp add: split-paired-Ex dest: redT-preserves)
    qed
  qed
  show ?rhs if ?lhs using that ct
  proof(coinduction arbitrary: s ttas)
    case Rtrancl3p
    interpret lifting-wf JVM-final mexec P convert-RA λt (xcp, frs) h.  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frs) \vee$ 
      using wf by(rule lifting-wf-correct-state)
    from Rtrancl3p(1) show ?case
    proof cases

```

```

case stop: Rtrancl3p-stop
then show ?thesis using mexecT-eq-mexecdT[OF wf Rtrancl3p(2)] by clarsimp
next
  case (Rtrancl3p-into-Rtrancl3p s' ttas' tta)
  then show ?thesis using mexecT-eq-mexecdT[OF wf Rtrancl3p(2)] Rtrancl3p(2)
    by(cases tta; cases s')(fastforce simp add: split-paired-Ex dest: redT-preserves)
qed
qed
qed

lemma start-mRtrancl-mRtrancld-commute:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
  and start: wf-start-state P C M vs
  shows exec-mthr.mthr.Rtrancl3p P (JVM-start-state P C M vs) ttas  $\longleftrightarrow$  execd-mthr.mthr.Rtrancl3p
  P (JVM-start-state P C M vs) ttas
  using correct-jvm-state-initial[OF assms] by(clarsimp simp add: correct-jvm-state-def mRtrancl-eq-mRtrancld[OF wf])
end

```

6.7.1 Determinism

```

context JVM-heap-conf begin

lemma exec-instr-deterministic:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and det: deterministic-heap-ops
  and exec1: (ta',  $\sigma'$ )  $\in$  exec-instr i P t (shr s) stk loc C M pc frs
  and exec2: (ta'',  $\sigma''$ )  $\in$  exec-instr i P t (shr s) stk loc C M pc frs
  and check: check-instr i P (shr s) stk loc C M pc frs
  and aok1: final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta'
  and aok2: final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta''
  and tconf: P,shr s  $\vdash$  t  $\sqrt{t}$ 
  shows ta' = ta''  $\wedge$   $\sigma' = \sigma''$ 
  using exec1 exec2 aok1 aok2
  proof(cases i)
    case (Invoke M' n)
    { fix T ta''' ta'''' va' va'' h' h"
      assume T: typeof-addr (shr s) (the-Addr (stk ! n)) = ⌊ T ⌋
      and method: snd (snd (method P (class-type-of T) M')) = None P  $\vdash$  class-type-of T has
      M'
      and params: P,shr s  $\vdash$  rev (take n stk)  $[\leq]$  fst (snd (method P (class-type-of T) M'))
      and red1: (ta''', va', h')  $\in$  red-external-aggr P t (the-Addr (stk ! n)) M' (rev (take n stk)) (shr s)
      and red2: (ta'''', va'', h'')  $\in$  red-external-aggr P t (the-Addr (stk ! n)) M' (rev (take n stk)) (shr
      s)
      and ta': ta' = extTA2JVM P ta'''
      and ta'': ta'' = extTA2JVM P ta'''''
      from T method params obtain T' where P,shr s  $\vdash$  the-Addr (stk ! n)•M'(rev (take n stk)) : T'
        by(fastforce simp add: has-method-def confs-conv-map external-WT'-iff)
      hence P,t  $\vdash$  ⟨the-Addr (stk ! n)•M'(rev (take n stk)), shr s⟩ -ta''' → ext ⟨va', h'⟩
        and P,t  $\vdash$  ⟨the-Addr (stk ! n)•M'(rev (take n stk)), shr s⟩ -ta'''' → ext ⟨va'', h''⟩
        using red1 red2 tconf
        by-(rule WT-red-external-aggr-imp-red-external[OF wf], assumption+)
      moreover from aok1 aok2 ta' ta''
```

```

have final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta"""
  and final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta"""
  by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff)
ultimately have ta"" = ta""'' ∧ va' = va'' ∧ h' = h"""
  by(rule red-external-deterministic[OF det]) }
with assms Invoke show ?thesis
  by(clarsimp simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm) blast
next
  case MExit
  { assume final-thread.actions-ok final s t {UnlockFail→the-Addr (hd stk)}
    and final-thread.actions-ok final s t {Unlock→the-Addr (hd stk), SyncUnlock (the-Addr (hd stk))}
    hence False
      by(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff lock-ok-las-def finfun-upd-apply elim!: allE[where
x=the-Addr (hd stk)]) }
    with assms MExit show ?thesis by(auto split: if-split-asm)
qed(auto simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm dest: deterministic-heap-ops-readD[OF det] deterministic-heap-ops-writeD[OF det] deterministic-heap-ops-allocatedD[OF det])

lemma exec-1-deterministic:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  and det: deterministic-heap-ops
  and exec1: P,t ⊢ (xcp, shr s, frs) − ta'−jvm → σ'
  and exec2: P,t ⊢ (xcp, shr s, frs) − ta''−jvm → σ''
  and aok1: final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta'
  and aok2: final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta''
  and conf:  $\Phi \vdash t:(xcp, shr s, frs)$  ✓
  shows ta' = ta'' ∧ σ' = σ''
proof -
  from wf obtain wf-md where wf': wf-prog wf-md P by(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)
  from conf have tconf: P,shr s ⊢ t √t by(simp add: correct-state-def)
  from exec1 conf have P,t ⊢ Normal (xcp, shr s, frs) − ta'−jvmd → Normal σ'
    by(simp add: welltyped-commute[OF wf])
  hence check P (xcp, shr s, frs) by(rule jvmd-NormalE)
  with exec1 exec2 aok1 aok2 tconf show ?thesis
    by(cases xcp)(case-tac [] frs, auto elim!: exec-1.cases dest: exec-instr-deterministic[OF wf' det]
simp add: check-def split-beta)
qed

end

context JVM-conf-read begin

lemma invariant3p-correct-state-ts:
  assumes wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  shows invariant3p (mexecT P) {s. correct-state-ts  $\Phi$  (thr s) (shr s)}
using assms by(rule lifting-wf.invariant3p-ts-ok[OF lifting-wf-correct-state])

lemma mexec-deterministic:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  and det: deterministic-heap-ops
  shows exec-mthr.deterministic P {s. correct-state-ts  $\Phi$  (thr s) (shr s)}
proof(rule exec-mthr.deterministicI)
  fix s t x ta' x' m' ta'' x'' m''
  assume tst: thr s t = [(x, no-wait-locks)]

```

```

and red: mexec P t (x, shr s) ta' (x', m') mexec P t (x, shr s) ta'' (x'', m'')
and aok: exec-mthr.actions-ok s t ta' exec-mthr.actions-ok s t ta''
and correct [simplified]: s ∈ {s. correct-state-ts Φ (thr s) (shr s)}
moreover obtain xcp frs where [simp]: x = (xcp, frs) by(cases x)
moreover obtain xcp' frs' where [simp]: x' = (xcp', frs') by(cases x')
moreover obtain xcp'' frs'' where [simp]: x'' = (xcp'', frs'') by(cases x'')
ultimately have exec1: P,t ⊢ (xcp, shr s, frs) −ta'−jvm→ (xcp', m', frs')
    and exec1: P,t ⊢ (xcp, shr s, frs) −ta''−jvm→ (xcp'', m'', frs'')
    by simp-all
moreover note aok
moreover from correct tst have Φ ⊢ t:(xcp, shr s, frs) √
    by(auto dest: ts-okD)
ultimately have ta' = ta'' ∧ (xcp', m', frs') = (xcp'', m'', frs'')
    by(rule exec-1-deterministic[OF wf det])
thus ta' = ta'' ∧ x' = x'' ∧ m' = m'' by simp
qed(rule invariant3p-correct-state-ts[OF wf])

```

end

end

6.8 Preservation of deadlock for the JVMs

```

theory JVMDeadlocked
imports
    BVProgressThreaded
begin

context JVM-progress begin

lemma must-sync-preserved-d:
assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
and ml: execd-mthr.must-sync P t (xcp, frs) h
and hext: hext h h'
and hconf': hconf h'
and cs: Φ ⊢ t: (xcp, h, frs) √
shows execd-mthr.must-sync P t (xcp, frs) h'
proof(rule execd-mthr.must-syncI)
from ml obtain ta xcp' frs' m'
    where red: P,t ⊢ Normal (xcp, h, frs) −ta−jvmd→ Normal (xcp', m', frs')
        by(auto elim: execd-mthr.must-syncE)
then obtain f Frs
    where check: check P (xcp, h, frs)
        and exec: (ta, xcp', m', frs') ∈ exec P t (xcp, h, frs)
        and [simp]: frs = f # Frs
        by(auto elim: jvmd-NormalE)
from cs hext hconf' have cs': Φ ⊢ t: (xcp, h', frs) √
    by(rule correct-state-hext-mono)
then obtain ta σ' where exec: P,t ⊢ (xcp, h', frs) −ta−jvm→ σ'
    by(auto dest: progress[OF wf])
hence P,t ⊢ Normal (xcp, h', frs) −ta−jvmd→ Normal σ'
    unfolding welltyped-commute[OF wf cs'] .
moreover from exec have ∃ s. exec-mthr.actions-ok s t ta by(rule exec-ta-satisfiable)

```

```

ultimately show  $\exists ta\ x'\ m'\ s.\ mexecd\ P\ t\ ((xcp,\ frs),\ h')\ ta\ (x',\ m') \wedge exec-mthr.actions-ok\ s\ t\ ta$ 
  by(cases  $\sigma'$ )(fastforce simp del: split-paired-Ex)
qed

lemma can-sync-devreserp-d:
assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $_{\Phi}$  P
and cl': execd-mthr.can-sync P t (xcp, frs) h' L
and cs:  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frs)$  √
and hext: hext h h'
and hconf': hconf h'
shows  $\exists L' \subseteq L.\ execd-mthr.can-sync P t (xcp, frs) h L'$ 
proof -
from cl' obtain ta xcp' frs' m'
  where red:  $P, t \vdash Normal (xcp, h', frs) - ta - jvmd \rightarrow Normal (xcp', m', frs')$ 
  and L:  $L = collect-locks \{ta\}_l <+> collect-cond-actions \{ta\}_c <+> collect-interrupts \{ta\}_i$ 
  by -(erule execd-mthr.can-syncE, auto)
then obtain f Frs
  where check: check P (xcp, h', frs)
  and exec:  $(ta, xcp', m', frs') \in exec P t (xcp, h', frs)$ 
  and [simp]:  $frs = f \# Frs$ 
  by(auto elim: jvmd-NormalE simp add: finfun-upd-apply)
obtain stk loc C M pc where [simp]:  $f = (stk, loc, C, M, pc)$  by (cases f, blast)
from cs obtain ST LT Ts T mxs mxl ins xt where
  hconf: hconf h and
  tconf:  $P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  and
  meth:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (mxs, mxl, ins, xt) \rfloor \text{ in } C$  and
  Φ:  $\Phi C M ! pc = Some (ST, LT)$  and
  frame: conf-f P h (ST, LT) ins (stk, loc, C, M, pc) and
  frames: conf-fs P h Φ M (size Ts) T Frs
  by (fastforce simp add: correct-state-def dest: sees-method-fun)
from cs have exec P t (xcp, h, f # Frs) ≠ {}
  by(auto dest!: progress[OF wf] simp add: exec-1-iff)
with no-type-error[OF wf cs] have check': check P (xcp, h, frs)
  by(auto simp add: exec-d-def split: if-split-asm)
from wf obtain wfmd where wfp: wf-prog wfmd P by(auto dest: wt-jvm-progD)
from tconf hext have tconf':  $P, h' \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  by(rule tconf-hext-mono)
show ?thesis
proof(cases xcp)
  case [simp]: (Some a)
  with exec have [simp]:  $m' = h'$  by(auto)
  from  $\langle \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frs) \rangle \vee \langle \text{obtain } D \text{ where } D: typeof-addr h a = \lfloor Class-type D \rfloor \rangle$ 
    by(auto simp add: correct-state-def)
  with hext have cname-of h a = cname-of h' a by(auto dest: hext-objD simp add: cname-of-def)
  with exec have  $(ta, xcp', h, frs') \in exec P t (xcp, h, frs)$  by auto
  moreover from check D hext have check P (xcp, h, frs)
    by(auto simp add: check-def check-xcpt-def dest: hext-objD)
  ultimately have  $P, t \vdash Normal (xcp, h, frs) - ta - jvmd \rightarrow Normal (xcp', h, frs')$ 
    by -(rule exec-1-d-NormalI, simp only: exec-d-def if-True)
  with L have execd-mthr.can-sync P t (xcp, frs) h L
    by(auto intro: execd-mthr.can-syncI)
  thus ?thesis by auto
next
  case [simp]: None

```

note [simp] = *defs1 list-all2-Cons2*

```

from frame have ST: P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST
  and LT: P,h ⊢ loc [:≤T] LT
  and pc: pc < length ins by simp-all
from wf meth pc have wt: P,T,mxs,size ins,xt ⊢ ins!pc,pc :: Φ C M
  by(rule wt-jvm-prog-impl-wt-instr)

from ⟨Φ ⊢ t: (xcp, h, frs) √⟩
have ∃ ta σ'. P,t ⊢ (xcp, h, f # Frs) -ta-jvm→ σ'
  by(auto dest: progress[OF wf] simp del: correct-state-def split-paired-Ex)
with exec meth have ∃ ta' σ'. (ta', σ') ∈ exec P t (xcp, h, frs) ∧ collect-locks {ta'}l ⊆ collect-locks {ta}l ∧ collect-cond-actions {ta'}c ⊆ collect-cond-actions {ta}c ∧ collect-interrupts {ta'}i ⊆ collect-interrupts {ta}i
proof(cases ins ! pc)
  case (Invoke M' n)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases stk ! n = Null)
    case True with exec meth show ?thesis by simp
  next
  case False
  with check meth obtain a where a: stk ! n = Addr a and n: n < length stk
    by(auto simp add: check-def is-Ref-def Invoke)
from frame have stk: P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST by(auto simp add: conf-f-def)
hence P,h ⊢ stk ! n :≤ ST ! n using n by(rule list-all2-nthD)
with a obtain ao Ta where Ta: typeof-addr h a = [Ta]
  by(auto simp add: conf-def)
from hext Ta have Ta': typeof-addr h' a = [Ta] by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)
with check a meth Invoke False obtain D Ts' T' meth D'
  where C: D = class-type-of Ta
  and sees': P ⊢ D sees M':Ts'→T' = meth in D'
  and params: P,h' ⊢ rev (take n stk) [:≤] Ts'
  by(auto simp add: check-def has-method-def)
show ?thesis
proof(cases meth)
  case Some
  with exec meth a Ta Ta' Invoke n sees' C show ?thesis by(simp add: split-beta)
  next
  case None
  with exec meth a Ta Ta' Invoke n sees' C
  obtain ta' va h'' where ta': ta = extTA2JVM P ta'
    and va: (xcp', m', frs') = extRet2JVM n h'' stk loc C M pc Frs va
    and exec': (ta', va, h'') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M' (rev (take n stk)) h'
    by(fastforce)
from va have [simp]: h'' = m' by(cases va) simp-all
note Ta moreover from None sees' wfp have D'·M'(Ts') :: T' by(auto intro: sees-wf-native)
with C sees' params Ta' None have P,h' ⊢ a·M'(rev (take n stk)) : T'
  by(auto simp add: external-WT'-iff confs-conv-map)
with wfp exec' tconf' have red: P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M'(rev (take n stk)), h'⟩ -ta'→ext ⟨va, m'⟩
  by(simp add: WT-red-external-list-conv)

from stk have P,h ⊢ take n stk [:≤] take n ST by(rule list-all2-takeI)
then obtain Ts where map typeofh (take n stk) = map Some Ts
  by(auto simp add: confs-conv-map)

```

```

hence map typeofh (rev (take n stk)) = map Some (rev Ts) by(simp only: rev-map[symmetric])
moreover hence map typeofh' (rev (take n stk)) = map Some (rev Ts) using hext by(rule
map-typeof-hext-mono)
with ⟨P,h' ⊢ a·M'(rev (take n stk)) : T'⟩ ⟨D'·M'(Ts') :: T'⟩ sees' C Ta' Ta
have P ⊢ rev Ts [≤] Ts' by cases (auto dest: sees-method-fun)
ultimately have P,h ⊢ a·M'(rev (take n stk)) : T'
using Ta C sees' params None ⟨D'·M'(Ts') :: T'⟩
by(auto simp add: external-WT'-iff confs-conv-map)
from red-external-wt-hconf-hext[OF wfp red hext this tconf hconf]
obtain ta'' va' h''' where P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M'(rev (take n stk)),h⟩ −ta'' →ext ⟨va',h'''⟩
and ta'': collect-locks {ta''}l = collect-locks {ta'}l
collect-cond-actions {ta''}c = collect-cond-actions {ta'}c
collect-interrupts {ta''}i = collect-interrupts {ta'}i
by auto
with None a Ta Invoke meth Ta' n C sees'
have (extTA2JVM P ta'', extRet2JVM n h''' stk loc C M pc Frs va') ∈ exec P t (xcp, h, frs)
by(force intro: red-external-imp-red-external-aggr simp del: split-paired-Ex)
with ta'' ta' show ?thesis by(fastforce simp del: split-paired-Ex)
qed
qed
qed(auto 4 4 split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta ta-upd-simps exec-1-iff intro: rev-image-eqI simp
del: split-paired-Ex)
with check' have ∃ ta' σ'. P,t ⊢ Normal (xcp, h, frs) −ta' −jvmd → Normal σ' ∧ collect-locks
{ta'}l ⊆ collect-locks {ta''}l ∧
collect-cond-actions {ta''}c ⊆ collect-cond-actions {ta'}c ∧ collect-interrupts {ta''}i ⊆ collect-interrupts
{ta'}i
apply clarify
apply(rule exI conjI)+
apply(rule exec-1-d.exec-1-d-NormalI, auto simp add: exec-d-def)
done
with L show ?thesis
apply −
apply(erule exE conjE|rule exI conjI)+
prefer 2
apply(rule-tac x'=(fst σ', snd (snd σ')) and m'=fst (snd σ') in execd-mthr.can-syncI)
apply auto
done
qed
qed
end

context JVM-typesafe begin

lemma execd-preserve-deadlocked:
assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
shows preserve-deadlocked JVM-final (mexecd P) convert-RA (correct-jvm-state Φ)
proof(unfold-locales)
show invariant3p (mexecdT P) (correct-jvm-state Φ)
by(rule invariant3p-correct-jvm-state-mexecdT[OF wf])
next
fix s t' ta' s' t x ln
assume s: s ∈ correct-jvm-state Φ
and red: P ⊢ s −t' ta' →jvmd s'

```

```

and  $tst: \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor$ 
and  $\text{execd-mthr.must-sync } P \ t \ x \ (\text{shr } s)$ 
moreover obtain  $xcp \ frs$  where  $x \ [\text{simp}]: x = (xcp, frs)$  by(cases x, auto)
ultimately have  $ml: \text{execd-mthr.must-sync } P \ t \ (xcp, frs) \ (\text{shr } s)$  by simp
moreover from  $s$  have  $cs': \text{correct-state-ts } \Phi \ (\text{thr } s) \ (\text{shr } s)$  by(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
with  $tst$  have  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, \text{shr } s, frs) \ \vee \ \text{by(auto dest: ts-okD)}$ 
moreover from  $red$  have  $\text{hext } (\text{shr } s) \ (\text{shr } s')$  by(rule execd-hext)
moreover from  $wf$  red  $cs'$  have  $\text{correct-state-ts } \Phi \ (\text{thr } s') \ (\text{shr } s')$ 
    by(rule lifting-wf.redT-preserves[OF lifting-wf-correct-state-d])
from red  $tst$  have  $\text{thr } s' \ t \neq \text{None}$ 
    by(cases s)(cases s', rule notI, auto dest: execd-mthr.redT-thread-not-disappear)
with <math>\langle \text{correct-state-ts } \Phi \ (\text{thr } s') \ (\text{shr } s') \rangle</math> have  $\text{hconf } (\text{shr } s')$ 
    by(auto dest: ts-okD simp add: correct-state-def)
ultimately have  $\text{execd-mthr.must-sync } P \ t \ (xcp, frs) \ (\text{shr } s')$ 
    by-(rule must-sync-preserved-d[OF wf])
thus  $\text{execd-mthr.must-sync } P \ t \ x \ (\text{shr } s')$  by simp
next
fix  $s' \ ta' \ s' \ t \ x \ ln \ L$ 
assume  $s: s \in \text{correct-jvm-state } \Phi$ 
and  $red: P \vdash s - t' \triangleright \text{ta}' \rightarrow_{\text{jvmd}} s'$ 
and  $tst: \text{thr } s \ t = \lfloor(x, ln)\rfloor$ 
and  $\text{execd-mthr.can-sync } P \ t \ x \ (\text{shr } s') \ L$ 
moreover obtain  $xcp \ frs$  where  $x \ [\text{simp}]: x = (xcp, frs)$  by(cases x, auto)
ultimately have  $ml: \text{execd-mthr.can-sync } P \ t \ (xcp, frs) \ (\text{shr } s') \ L$  by simp
moreover from  $s$  have  $cs': \text{correct-state-ts } \Phi \ (\text{thr } s) \ (\text{shr } s)$  by(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
with  $tst$  have  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, \text{shr } s, frs) \ \vee \ \text{by(auto dest: ts-okD)}$ 
moreover from  $red$  have  $\text{hext } (\text{shr } s) \ (\text{shr } s')$  by(rule execd-hext)
moreover from  $red \ tst$  have  $\text{thr } s' \ t \neq \text{None}$ 
    by(cases s)(cases s', rule notI, auto dest: execd-mthr.redT-thread-not-disappear)
from red  $cs'$  have  $\text{correct-state-ts } \Phi \ (\text{thr } s') \ (\text{shr } s')$ 
    by(rule lifting-wf.redT-preserves[OF lifting-wf-correct-state-d[OF wf]])
with <math>\langle \text{thr } s' \ t \neq \text{None} \rangle</math> have  $\text{hconf } (\text{shr } s')$ 
    by(auto dest: ts-okD simp add: correct-state-def)
ultimately have  $\exists L' \subseteq L. \text{execd-mthr.can-sync } P \ t \ (xcp, frs) \ (\text{shr } s) \ L'$ 
    by-(rule can-sync-devreserp-d[OF wf])
thus  $\exists L' \subseteq L. \text{execd-mthr.can-sync } P \ t \ x \ (\text{shr } s) \ L'$  by simp
qed

```

end

and now everything again for the aggressive VM

context $JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}conf\text{-}base'$ begin

```

lemma  $\text{must-lock-d-eq-must-lock}:$ 
 $\llbracket wf\text{-jvm-prog}_\Phi P; \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frs) \ \vee \ \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{execd-mthr.must-sync } P \ t \ (xcp, frs) \ h = \text{exec-mthr.must-sync } P \ t \ (xcp, frs) \ h$ 
apply(rule iffI)
apply(rule exec-mthr.must-syncI)
apply(erule execd-mthr.must-syncE)
apply(simp only: mexec-eq-mexecd)
apply(blast)
apply(rule execd-mthr.must-syncI)
apply(erule exec-mthr.must-syncE)
apply(simp only: mexec-eq-mexecd[symmetric])

```

```

apply(blast)
done

lemma can-lock-d-eq-can-lock:
   $\llbracket wf\text{-jvm-prog}_\Phi P; \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frs) \vee \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies execd\text{-mthr.can-sync} P t (xcp, frs) h L = exec\text{-mthr.can-sync} P t (xcp, frs) h L$ 
apply(rule iffI)
  apply(erule execd-mthr.can-syncE)
  apply(rule exec-mthr.can-syncI)
    apply(simp only: mexec-eq-mexecd)
    apply(assumption)+
  apply(erule exec-mthr.can-syncE)
  apply(rule execd-mthr.can-syncI)
  by(simp only: mexec-eq-mexecd)

end

context JVM-typesafe begin

lemma exec-preserve-deadlocked:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $_\Phi$  P
  shows preserve-deadlocked JVM-final (mexec P) convert-RA (correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ )
proof -
  interpret preserve-deadlocked JVM-final mexecd P convert-RA correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ 
  by(rule execd-preserve-deadlocked) fact+
  {
    fix s t' ta' s' t x
    assume s: s  $\in$  correct-jvm-state  $\Phi$ 
    and red: P  $\vdash$  s  $-t' \triangleright_{jvm} ta' \rightarrow_{jvm} s'$ 
    and tst: thr s t =  $\lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor$ 
    obtain xcp frs where x [simp]: x = (xcp, frs) by(cases x, auto)
    from s have css: correct-state-ts  $\Phi$  (thr s) (shr s) by(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def)
    with red have redd: P  $\vdash$  s  $-t' \triangleright_{jvmd} ta' \rightarrow_{jvmd} s'$  by(simp add: mexecT-eq-mexecdT[OF wf])
    from css tst have cst:  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s, frs) \vee$  by(auto dest: ts-okD)
    from redd have cst':  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, shr s', frs) \vee$ 
    proof(cases rule: execd-mthr.redT-elims)
      case acquire with cst show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case (normal X X' M' ws')
      obtain XCP FRS where X [simp]: X = (XCP, FRS) by(cases X, auto)
      obtain XCP' FRS' where X' [simp]: X' = (XCP', FRS') by(cases X', auto)
      from <mexecd P t' (X, shr s) ta' (X', M')>
      have P, t'  $\vdash$  Normal (XCP, shr s, FRS)  $-ta' \rightarrow_{jvmd} Normal (XCP', M', FRS')$  by simp
      moreover from <thr s t' =  $\lfloor (X, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle$  css
      have  $\Phi \vdash t': (XCP, shr s, FRS) \vee$  by(auto dest: ts-okD)
      ultimately have  $\Phi \vdash t': (XCP, M', FRS) \vee$  by -(rule correct-state-heap-change[OF wf])
      moreover from lifting-wf.redT-updTs-preserves[OF lifting-wf-correct-state-d[OF wf]] css, OF
      <mexecd P t' (X, shr s) ta' (X', M')> <thr s t' =  $\lfloor (X, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle$ , of no-wait-locks] <thread-oks
      (thr s) {ta'}_t
      have correct-state-ts  $\Phi ((redT\text{-updTs (thr s) } \{ta'\}_t)(t' \mapsto (X', \text{no-wait-locks}))) M'$  by simp
      ultimately have correct-state-ts  $\Phi (redT\text{-updTs (thr s) } \{ta'\}_t) M'$ 
      using <thr s t' =  $\lfloor (X, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle$  <thread-oks (thr s) {ta'}_t
      apply(auto intro!: ts-okI dest: ts-okD)
      apply(case-tac t=t')
  }

```

```

apply(fastforce dest: redT-updTs-Some)
apply(drule-tac t=t in ts-okD, fastforce+)
done
hence correct-state-ts Φ (redT-updTs (thr s) {ta'}`t) (shr s')
using <s' = (redT-updLs (locks s) t' {ta'}`l, ((redT-updTs (thr s) {ta'}`t)(t' ↪ (X', redT-updLns
(locks s) t' no-wait-locks {ta'}`l)), M'), ws', redT-updIs (interrupts s) {ta'}`i)>
by simp
moreover from tst <thread-oks (thr s) {ta'}`t>
have redT-updTs (thr s) {ta'}`t t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋ by(auto intro: redT-updTs-Some)
ultimately show ?thesis by(auto dest: ts-okD)
qed
{ assume exec-mthr.must-sync P t x (shr s)
hence ml: exec-mthr.must-sync P t (xcp, frs) (shr s) by simp
with cst have execd-mthr.must-sync P t (xcp, frs) (shr s)
by(auto dest: must-lock-d-eq-must-lock[OF wf])
with s redd tst have execd-mthr.must-sync P t x (shr s')
unfolding x by(rule can-lock-preserved)
with cst' have exec-mthr.must-sync P t x (shr s')
by(auto dest: must-lock-d-eq-must-lock[OF wf]) }
note ml = this
{ fix L
assume exec-mthr.can-sync P t x (shr s') L
hence cl: exec-mthr.can-sync P t (xcp, frs) (shr s') L by simp
with cst' have execd-mthr.can-sync P t (xcp, frs) (shr s') L
by(auto dest: can-lock-d-eq-can-lock[OF wf])
with s redd tst
have ∃ L' ⊆ L. execd-mthr.can-sync P t x (shr s) L'
unfolding x by(rule can-lock-devreserp)
then obtain L' where execd-mthr.can-sync P t x (shr s) L'
and L': L' ⊆ L by blast
with cst have exec-mthr.can-sync P t x (shr s) L'
by(auto dest: can-lock-d-eq-can-lock[OF wf])
with L' have ∃ L' ⊆ L. exec-mthr.can-sync P t x (shr s) L'
by(blast) }
note this ml }
moreover have invariant3p (mexecT P) (correct-jvm-state Φ) by(rule invariant3p-correct-jvm-state-mexecT[OF wf])
ultimately show ?thesis by(unfold-locales)
qed

end
end

```

6.9 Monotonicity of eff and app

```

theory EffectMono
imports
Effect
begin

declare not-Err-eq [iff]

```

```

declare widens-trans[trans]

lemma appi-mono:
  assumes wf: wf-prog p P
  assumes less: P ⊢ τ ≤i τ'
  shows appi (i, P, mxs, mpc, rT, τ') ⇒ appi (i, P, mxs, mpc, rT, τ)
proof –
  assume app: appi (i, P, mxs, mpc, rT, τ')

  obtain ST LT ST' LT' where
    [simp]: τ = (ST, LT) and
    [simp]: τ' = (ST', LT')
    by (cases τ, cases τ')

  from less have [simp]: size ST = size ST' and [simp]: size LT = size LT'
  by (auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)

  note [iff] = list-all2-Cons2 widen-Class
  note [simp] = fun-of-def

  from app less show appi (i, P, mxs, mpc, rT, τ)
  proof (cases i)
    case Load
    with app less show ?thesis by (auto dest!: list-all2-nthD)
  next
    case (Invoke M n)
    with app have n: n < size ST' by simp

    { assume ST!n = NT hence ?thesis using n app Invoke by simp }
    moreover {
      assume ST'!n = NT
      moreover with n less have ST!n = NT
      by (auto dest: list-all2-nthD)
      ultimately have ?thesis using n app Invoke by simp }
    moreover {
      assume ST: ST!n ≠ NT and ST': ST'!n ≠ NT

      from ST' app Invoke
      obtain D Ts T m C'
        where D: class-type-of' (ST' ! n) = ⌊D⌋
        and Ts: P ⊢ rev (take n ST) [≤] Ts
        and D-M: P ⊢ D sees M: Ts → T = m in C'
        by fastforce

      from less have P ⊢ ST!n ≤ ST'!n
      by (auto dest: list-all2-nthD2[OF - n])
      with D obtain D' where D': class-type-of' (ST ! n) = ⌊D'⌋
      and DsubC: P ⊢ D' ⊑* D
      using ST by (rule widen-is-class-type-of)
      from wf D-M DsubC obtain Ts' T' m' C'' where
        D'-M: P ⊢ D' sees M: Ts' → T' = m' in C'' and
        Ts': P ⊢ Ts [≤] Ts'
      by (blast dest: sees-method-mono)
      from less have P ⊢ rev (take n ST) [≤] rev (take n ST') by simp
    }
  
```

```

also note  $Ts$  also note  $Ts'$ 
finally have  $P \vdash rev (take n ST) [\leq] Ts'$ .
with  $D' - M D'$  app less Invoke  $D$  have ?thesis by(auto)
}
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case Getfield
with app less show ?thesis
by(fastforce simp add: sees-field-def widen-Array dest: has-fields-fun)
next
case Putfield
with app less show ?thesis
by (fastforce intro: widen-trans rtrancl-trans simp add: sees-field-def widen-Array dest: has-fields-fun)
next
case CAS
with app less show ?thesis
by (fastforce intro: widen-trans rtrancl-trans simp add: sees-field-def widen-Array dest: has-fields-fun)
next
case Return
with app less show ?thesis by (fastforce intro: widen-trans)
next
case ALoad
with app less show ?thesis by(auto simp add: widen-Array)
next
case AStore
with app less show ?thesis by(auto simp add: widen-Array)
next
case ALength
with app less show ?thesis by(auto simp add: widen-Array)
next
case (Checkcast  $T$ )
with app less show ?thesis
by(auto elim!: refTE simp: widen-Array)
next
case (Instanceof  $T$ )
with app less show ?thesis
by(auto elim!: refTE simp: widen-Array)
next
case ThrowExc
with app less show ?thesis
by(auto elim!: refTE simp: widen-Array)
next
case MEnter
with app less show ?thesis
by(auto elim!: refTE simp: widen-Array)
next
case MExit
with app less show ?thesis
by(auto elim!: refTE simp: widen-Array)
next
case (BinOpInstr bop)
with app less show ?thesis by(force dest: WTrt-binop-widen-mono)
next
case Dup

```

```

with app less show ?thesis
  by(auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)
next
  case Swap
  with app less show ?thesis
    by(auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)
  qed (auto elim!: refTE not-refTE)
qed

lemma succs-mono:
assumes wf: wf-prog p P and appi: appi (i,P,mxs,mpc,rT,τ')
shows P ⊢ τ ≤i τ' ⟹ set (succs i τ pc) ⊆ set (succs i τ' pc)
proof (cases i)
  case (Invoke M n)
  obtain ST LT ST' LT' where
    [simp]: τ = (ST,LT) and [simp]: τ' = (ST',LT') by (cases τ, cases τ')
  assume P ⊢ τ ≤i τ'
  moreover
  with appi Invoke have n < size ST by (auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)
  ultimately
  have P ⊢ ST!n ≤ ST'!n by (auto simp add: fun-of-def dest: list-all2-nthD)
  with Invoke show ?thesis by auto
next
  case ALoad
  obtain ST LT ST' LT' where
    [simp]: τ = (ST,LT) and [simp]: τ' = (ST',LT') by (cases τ, cases τ')
  assume P ⊢ τ ≤i τ'
  moreover
  with appi ALoad have 1 < size ST by (auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)
  ultimately
  have P ⊢ ST!1 ≤ ST'!1 by (auto simp add: fun-of-def dest: list-all2-nthD)
  with ALoad show ?thesis by auto
next
  case AStore
  obtain ST LT ST' LT' where
    [simp]: τ = (ST,LT) and [simp]: τ' = (ST',LT') by (cases τ, cases τ')
  assume P ⊢ τ ≤i τ'
  moreover
  with appi AStore have 2 < size ST by (auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)
  ultimately
  have P ⊢ ST!2 ≤ ST'!2 by (auto simp add: fun-of-def dest: list-all2-nthD)
  with AStore show ?thesis by auto
next
  case ALength
  obtain ST LT ST' LT' where
    [simp]: τ = (ST,LT) and [simp]: τ' = (ST',LT') by (cases τ, cases τ')
  assume P ⊢ τ ≤i τ'
  moreover
  with appi ALength have 0 < size ST by (auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)
  ultimately
  have P ⊢ ST!0 ≤ ST'!0 by (auto simp add: fun-of-def dest: list-all2-nthD)
  with ALength show ?thesis by auto
next
  case MEnter

```

```

obtain ST LT ST' LT' where
  [simp]:  $\tau = (ST, LT)$  and [simp]:  $\tau' = (ST', LT')$  by (cases  $\tau$ , cases  $\tau'$ )
assume  $P \vdash \tau \leq_i \tau'$ 
moreover
with  $app_i MEnter$  have  $0 < size ST$  by (auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)
ultimately
have  $P \vdash ST!0 \leq ST!0$  by (auto simp add: fun-of-def dest: list-all2-nthD)
with  $MEnter$  show ?thesis by auto
next
case  $MExit$ 
obtain ST LT ST' LT' where
  [simp]:  $\tau = (ST, LT)$  and [simp]:  $\tau' = (ST', LT')$  by (cases  $\tau$ , cases  $\tau'$ )
assume  $P \vdash \tau \leq_i \tau'$ 
moreover
with  $app_i MExit$  have  $0 < size ST$  by (auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)
ultimately
have  $P \vdash ST!0 \leq ST!0$  by (auto simp add: fun-of-def dest: list-all2-nthD)
with  $MExit$  show ?thesis by auto
qed auto

lemma app-mono:
assumes wf: wf-prog p P
assumes less':  $P \vdash \tau \leq' \tau'$ 
shows  $app i P m rT pc mpc xt \tau' \implies app i P m rT pc mpc xt \tau$ 
proof (cases  $\tau$ )
  case None thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Some  $\tau_1$ )
  moreover
  with less' obtain  $\tau_2$  where  $\tau_2: \tau' = Some \tau_2$  by (cases  $\tau'$ ) auto
  ultimately have less:  $P \vdash \tau_1 \leq_i \tau_2$  using less' by simp

  assume app i P m rT pc mpc xt  $\tau'$ 
  with Some  $\tau_2$  obtain
    appi:  $app_i (i, P, pc, m, rT, \tau_2)$  and
    xcpt:  $xcpt-app i P pc m xt \tau_2$  and
    succs:  $\forall (pc', s') \in set (eff i P pc xt (Some \tau_2)). pc' < mpc$ 
    by (auto simp add: app-def)

  from wf less appi have appi (i, P, pc, m, rT,  $\tau_1$ ) by (rule appi-mono)
  moreover
  from less have size (fst  $\tau_1$ ) = size (fst  $\tau_2$ )
    by (cases  $\tau_1$ , cases  $\tau_2$ ) (auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)
  with xcpt have xcpt-app i P pc m xt  $\tau_1$  by (simp add: xcpt-app-def)
  moreover
  from wf appi less have  $\forall pc. set (succs i \tau_1 pc) \subseteq set (succs i \tau_2 pc)$ 
    by (blast dest: succs-mono)
  with succs
  have  $\forall (pc', s') \in set (eff i P pc xt (Some \tau_1)). pc' < mpc$ 
    by (cases  $\tau_1$ , cases  $\tau_2$ )
      (auto simp add: eff-def norm-eff-def xcpt-eff-def dest: bspec)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis using Some by (simp add: app-def)
qed

```

```

lemma effi-mono:
assumes wf: wf-prog p P
assumes less: P ⊢ τ ≤i τ'
assumes appi: app i P m rT pc mpc xt (Some τ')
assumes succs: succs i τ pc ≠ [] succs i τ' pc ≠ []
shows P ⊢ effi (i,P,τ) ≤i effi (i,P,τ')

proof -
  obtain ST LT ST' LT' where
    [simp]: τ = (ST,LT) and
    [simp]: τ' = (ST',LT')
    by (cases τ, cases τ')

  note [simp] = eff-def app-def fun-of-def

  from less have P ⊢ (Some τ) ≤' (Some τ') by simp
  from wf this appi
  have app: app i P m rT pc mpc xt (Some τ) by (rule app-mono)

  from less app appi show ?thesis
  proof (cases i)
    case ThrowExc with succs have False by simp
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case Return with succs have False by simp
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case (Load i)
    from Load app obtain y where
      y: i < size LT LT!i = OK y by clarsimp
    from Load appi obtain y' where
      y': i < size LT' LT'!i = OK y' by clarsimp

    from less have P ⊢ LT [≤T] LT' by simp
    with y y' have P ⊢ y ≤ y' by (auto dest: list-all2-nthD)
    with Load less y y' app appi
    show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case Store with less app appi
    show ?thesis by (auto simp add: list-all2-update-cong)
  next
    case (Invoke M n)
    with appi have n: n < size ST' by simp
    from less have [simp]: size ST = size ST'
      by (auto dest: list-all2-lengthD)

    from Invoke succs have ST: ST!n ≠ NT and ST': ST'!n ≠ NT by (auto)

    from ST' appi Invoke obtain D Ts T m C'
      where D: class-type-of' (ST'!n) = |D|
      and Ts: P ⊢ rev (take n ST') [≤] Ts
      and D-M: P ⊢ D sees M: Ts → T = m in C'
      by fastforce

```

```

from less have  $P \vdash ST!n \leq ST'!n$  by(auto dest: list-all2-nthD2[ $OF - n$ ])
with  $D$  obtain  $D'$  where  $D': class\text{-}type\text{-}of' (ST ! n) = [D']$ 
  and  $DsubC: P \vdash D' \preceq^* D$ 
  using  $ST$  by(rule widen-is-class-type-of)

from wf  $D\text{-}M DsubC$  obtain  $Ts' T' m' C''$  where
   $D'\text{-}M: P \vdash D' sees M: Ts' \rightarrow T' = m'$  in  $C''$  and
   $Ts': P \vdash Ts \leq Ts'$  and  $P \vdash T' \leq T$  by (blast dest: sees-method-mono)

show ?thesis using Invoke n D D' D-M less D'-M Ts' < $P \vdash T' \leq T$ >
  by(auto intro: list-all2-dropI)
next
  case ALoad with less app  $app_i$  succs
  show ?thesis by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: Array-Array-widen)
next
  case AStore with less app  $app_i$  succs
  show ?thesis by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: Array-Array-widen)
next
  case (BinOpInstr bop)
  with less app  $app_i$  succs show ?thesis
    by auto(force dest: WTrt-binop-widen-mono WTrt-binop-fun)
qed auto
qed
end

```

6.10 The Typing Framework for the JVM

```

theory TF-JVM
imports
  ..../DFA/Typing-Framework-err
  EffectMono
  BVSPEC
  ..../Common/ExternalCallWF
begin

definition exec :: 'addr jvm-prog ⇒ nat ⇒ ty ⇒ ex-table ⇒ 'addr instr list ⇒ tyi' err step-type
where
  exec G maxs rT et bs ≡
    err-step (size bs) (λpc. app (bs!pc) G maxs rT pc (size bs) et) (λpc. eff (bs!pc) G pc et)

locale JVM-sl =
  fixes P :: 'addr jvm-prog and maxs and mxl0
  fixes Ts :: ty list and is :: 'addr instr list and xt and Tr

  fixes mxl and A and r and f and app and eff and step
  defines [simp]: mxl ≡ 1 + size Ts + mxl0
  defines [simp]: A ≡ states P maxs mxl
  defines [simp]: r ≡ JVM-SemiType.le P maxs mxl
  defines [simp]: f ≡ JVM-SemiType.sup P maxs mxl

  defines [simp]: app ≡ λpc. Effect.app (is!pc) P maxs Tr pc (size is) xt
  defines [simp]: eff ≡ λpc. Effect.eff (is!pc) P pc xt

```

```

defines [simp]: step ≡ err-step (size is) app eff

locale start-context = JVM-sl +
  fixes p and C
  assumes wf: wf-prog p P
  assumes C: is-class P C
  assumes Ts: set Ts ⊆ types P

  fixes first :: tyi' and start
  defines [simp]:
    first ≡ Some ([] , OK (Class C) # map OK Ts @ replicate m xl0 Err)
  defines [simp]:
    start ≡ OK first # replicate (size is - 1) (OK None)

```

6.10.1 Connecting JVM and Framework

```

lemma (in JVM-sl) step-def-exec: step ≡ exec P mxs Tr xt is
  by (simp add: exec-def)

lemma special-ex-swap-lemma [iff]:
  ( $\exists X. (\exists n. X = A \wedge P n) \wedge Q X = (\exists n. Q(A n) \wedge P n)$ )
  by blast

lemma ex-in-list [iff]:
  ( $\exists n. ST \in list n A \wedge n \leq mxs = (set ST \subseteq A \wedge size ST \leq mxs)$ )
  by (unfold list-def) auto

lemma singleton-list:
  ( $\exists n. [Class C] \in list n (types P) \wedge n \leq mxs = (is-class P C \wedge 0 < mxs)$ )
  by (auto)

lemma set-drop-subset:
  set xs ⊆ A  $\implies$  set (drop n xs) ⊆ A
  by (auto dest: in-set-dropD)

lemma Suc-minus-minus-le:
  n < mxs  $\implies$  Suc (n - (n - b)) ≤ mxs
  by arith

lemma in-listE:
  [| xs ∈ list n A; [| size xs = n; set xs ⊆ A |]  $\implies$  P |]  $\implies$  P
  by (unfold list-def) blast

declare is-relevant-entry-def [simp]
declare set-drop-subset [simp]

lemma (in start-context) [simp, intro!]: is-class P Throwable
  apply(rule converse-subcls-is-class[OF wf])
  apply(rule xcpt-subcls-Throwable[OF - wf])
  prefer 2
  apply(rule is-class-xcpt[OF - wf])
  apply(fastforce simp add: sys-xcpts-def sys-xcpts-list-def) +
  done

```

```

declare option.splits[split del]
declare option.case-cong[cong]
declare is-type-array [simp del]

theorem (in start-context) exec-pres-type:
  pres-type step (size is) A
declare option.case-cong-weak[cong]
declare option.splits[split]
declare is-type-array[simp]

declare is-relevant-entry-def [simp del]
declare set-drop-subset [simp del]

lemma lesubstep-type-simple:
  xs ⊑_Product.le (=) r ys ==> set xs {⊑_r} set ys
declare is-relevant-entry-def [simp del]

lemma conjI2: [| A; A ==> B |] ==> A ∧ B by blast

lemma (in JVM-sl) eff-mono:
  [| wf-prog p P; pc < length is; s ⊑_sup-state-opt P t; app pc t |]
  ==> set (eff pc s) {⊑_sup-state-opt P} set (eff pc t)
lemma (in JVM-sl) bounded-step: bounded step (size is)
theorem (in JVM-sl) step-mono:
  wf-prog wf-mb P ==> mono r step (size is) A

lemma (in start-context) first-in-A [iff]: OK first ∈ A
  using Ts C by (force intro!: list-appendI simp add: JVM-states-unfold)

lemma (in JVM-sl) wt-method-def2:
  wt-method P C' Ts T_r mxs mxl_0 is xt τs ==
  (is ≠ [] ∧
   size τs = size is ∧
   OK ‘ set τs ⊆ states P mxs mxl ∧
   wt-start P C' Ts mxl_0 τs ∧
   wt-app-eff (sup-state-opt P) app eff τs)
end

```

6.11 LBV for the JVM

```

theory LBVJVM
imports
  ..../DFA/Abstract-BV
  TF-JVM
begin

type-synonym prog-cert = cname ⇒ mname ⇒ ty_i' err list

definition check-cert :: 'addr jvm-prog ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ ty_i' err list ⇒ bool

```

where

$$\text{check-cert } P \text{ mxs mxl } n \text{ cert} \equiv \text{check-types } P \text{ mxs mxl cert} \wedge \text{size cert} = n+1 \wedge (\forall i < n. \text{cert}!i \neq \text{Err}) \wedge \text{cert}!n = \text{OK None}$$

definition $lbv_{jvm} :: \text{'addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow \text{ex-table} \Rightarrow \text{ty}_i' \text{ err list} \Rightarrow \text{'addr instr list} \Rightarrow \text{ty}_i' \text{ err} \Rightarrow \text{ty}_i' \text{ err}$

where

$$\begin{aligned} lbv_{jvm} P \text{ mxs maxr } T_r \text{ et cert bs} \equiv \\ \text{wtl-inst-list bs cert (JVM-SemiType.sup } P \text{ mxs maxr) (JVM-SemiType.le } P \text{ mxs maxr) Err (OK} \\ \text{None) (exec } P \text{ mxs } T_r \text{ et bs) 0 \end{aligned}$$

definition $wt-lbv :: \text{'addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{cname} \Rightarrow \text{ty list} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{ex-table} \Rightarrow \text{ty}_i' \text{ err list} \Rightarrow \text{'addr instr list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$$\begin{aligned} wt-lbv P C Ts T_r \text{ mxs mxl}_0 \text{ et cert ins} \equiv \\ \text{check-cert } P \text{ mxs } (1+\text{size } Ts + \text{mxl}_0) \text{ (size ins)} \text{ cert} \wedge \\ 0 < \text{size ins} \wedge \\ (\text{let start} = \text{Some } ([](OK (\text{Class } C))\#((\text{map } OK Ts))@\text{(replicate mxl}_0 \text{ Err})); \\ \text{result} = lbv_{jvm} P \text{ mxs } (1+\text{size } Ts + \text{mxl}_0) \text{ } T_r \text{ et cert ins (OK start)} \\ \text{in result} \neq \text{Err}) \end{aligned}$$

definition $wt-jvm-prog-lbv :: \text{'addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{prog-cert} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$$\begin{aligned} wt-jvm-prog-lbv P \text{ cert} \equiv \\ wf-prog (\lambda P C (mn, Ts, T_r, (mxs, mxl_0, b, et)). \text{wt-lbv } P \text{ C Ts } T_r \text{ mxs mxl}_0 \text{ et (cert } C \text{ mn) b) P \end{aligned}$$

definition $mk-cert :: \text{'addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow \text{ex-table} \Rightarrow \text{'addr instr list} \Rightarrow \text{ty}_m \Rightarrow \text{ty}_i' \text{ err list}$

where

$$mk-cert P \text{ mxs } T_r \text{ et bs phi} \equiv \text{make-cert (exec } P \text{ mxs } T_r \text{ et bs) (map } OK \text{ phi) (OK None)}$$

definition $prg-cert :: \text{'addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{ty}_P \Rightarrow \text{prog-cert}$

where

$$\begin{aligned} prg-cert P \text{ phi C mn} \equiv \text{let } (C, Ts, T_r, \text{meth}) = \text{method } P \text{ C mn}; (mxs, mxl_0, ins, et) = \text{the meth} \\ \text{in } mk-cert P \text{ mxs } T_r \text{ et ins (phi } C \text{ mn)} \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{check-certD [intro?]}:$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{check-cert } P \text{ mxs mxl } n \text{ cert} \implies \text{cert-ok cert } n \text{ Err (OK None) (states } P \text{ mxs mxl)} \\ \text{by (unfold cert-ok-def check-cert-def check-types-def) auto} \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in start-context) $wt-lbv-wt-step:$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes } lbv: \text{wt-lbv } P \text{ C Ts } T_r \text{ mxs mxl}_0 \text{ xt cert is} \\ \text{shows } \exists \tau s \in \text{list (size is)} \text{ A. wt-step r Err step } \tau s \wedge \text{OK first } \sqsubseteq_r \tau s!0 \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in start-context) $wt-lbv-wt-method:$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes } lbv: \text{wt-lbv } P \text{ C Ts } T_r \text{ mxs mxl}_0 \text{ xt cert is} \\ \text{shows } \exists \tau s. \text{wt-method } P \text{ C Ts } T_r \text{ mxs mxl}_0 \text{ is xt } \tau s \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in start-context) $wt-method-wt-lbv:$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes } wt: \text{wt-method } P \text{ C Ts } T_r \text{ mxs mxl}_0 \text{ is xt } \tau s \\ \text{defines [simp]: cert} \equiv \text{mk-cert } P \text{ mxs } T_r \text{ xt is } \tau s \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{shows } \text{wt-lbv } P \text{ C Ts } T_r \text{ mxs mxl}_0 \text{ xt cert is}$$

```

theorem jvm-lbv-correct:
  wt-jvm-prog-lbv P Cert  $\implies$  wf-jvm-prog P
theorem jvm-lbv-complete:
  assumes wt: wf-jvm-prog $_{\Phi}$  P
  shows wt-jvm-prog-lbv P (prg-cert P  $\Phi$ )
end

```

6.12 Kildall for the JVM

```

theory BVExec
imports
  ..../DFA/Abstract-BV
  TF-JVM
begin

definition kiljvm :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$ 
             'addr instr list  $\Rightarrow$  ex-table  $\Rightarrow$  ty $_i$  err list  $\Rightarrow$  ty $_i$  err list
where
  kiljvm P mxs mxl T $_r$  is xt  $\equiv$ 
    kildall (JVM-SemiType.le P mxs mxl) (JVM-SemiType.sup P mxs mxl)
    (exec P mxs T $_r$  xt is)

definition wt-kildall :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  ty list  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$ 
             'addr instr list  $\Rightarrow$  ex-table  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  wt-kildall P C' Ts T $_r$  mxs mxl $_0$  is xt  $\equiv$ 
  0 < size is  $\wedge$ 
  (let first = Some ([],[OK (Class C')])@(map OK Ts)@(replicate mxl $_0$  Err));
  start = OK first#(replicate (size is - 1) (OK None));
  result = kiljvm P mxs (1+size Ts+mxl $_0$ ) T $_r$  is xt start
  in  $\forall n < size$  is. result!n  $\neq$  Err)

definition wf-jvm-prog $_k$  :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  wf-jvm-prog $_k$  P  $\equiv$ 
  wf-prog ( $\lambda P$  C' (M,Ts,T $_r$ ,(mxs,mxl $_0$ ,is,xt)). wt-kildall P C' Ts T $_r$  mxs mxl $_0$  is xt) P

theorem (in start-context) is-bcv-kiljvm:
  is-bcv r Err step (size is) A (kiljvm P mxs mxl T $_r$  is xt)

lemma subset-replicate [intro?]: set (replicate n x)  $\subseteq$  {x}
  by (induct n) auto

lemma in-set-replicate:
  assumes x  $\in$  set (replicate n y)
  shows x = y

lemma (in start-context) start-in-A [intro?]:
  0 < size is  $\implies$  start  $\in$  list (size is) A
  using Ts C

theorem (in start-context) wt-kil-correct:

```

```

assumes wtk: wt-kildall P C Ts Tr mxs mxl0 is xt
shows  $\exists \tau s. \text{wt-method } P C Ts Tr mxs mxl_0 \text{ is } xt \tau s$ 

theorem (in start-context) wt-kil-complete:
assumes wtm: wt-method P C Ts Tr mxs mxl0 is xt τs
shows wt-kildall P C Ts Tr mxs mxl0 is xt

theorem jvm-kildall-correct:
wf-jvm-progk P = wf-jvm-prog P
end

```

6.13 Code generation for the byte code verifier

```

theory BCVExec
imports
  BVNoTypeError
  BVExec
begin

lemmas [code-unfold] = exec-lub-def

lemmas [code] = JVM-le-unfold[THEN meta-eq-to-obj-eq]

lemma err-code [code]:
  Err.err A = Collect (case-err True (λx. x ∈ A))
by(auto simp add: err-def split: err.split)

lemma list-code [code]:
  list n A = {xs. size xs = n ∧ list-all (λx. x ∈ A) xs}
unfolding list-def
by(auto intro!: ext simp add: list-all-iff)

lemma opt-code [code]:
  opt A = Collect (case-option True (λx. x ∈ A))
by(auto simp add: opt-def split: option.split)

lemma Times-code [code-unfold]:
  Sigma A (%-. B) = {(a, b). a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ B}
by auto

lemma upto-esl-code [code]:
  upto-esl m (A, r, f) = (Union ((λn. list n A) ` {..m}), Listn.le r, Listn.sup f)
by(auto simp add: upto-esl-def)

lemmas [code] = lesub-def plussub-def

lemma JVM-sup-unfold [code]:
  JVM-SemiType.sup S m n =
  lift2 (Opt.sup (Product.sup (Listn.sup (SemiType.sup S))) (λx y. OK (map2 (lift2 (SemiType.sup S)) x y))))
unfolding JVM-SemiType.sup-def JVM-SemiType.sl-def Opt.esl-def Err.sl-def
stk-esl-def loc-sl-def Product.esl-def Listn.sl-def upto-esl-def
SemiType.esl-def Err.esl-def

```

by *simp*

declare *sup-fun-def* [*code*]

lemma [*code*]: *states P mxs mxl* = *fst(sl P mxs mxl)*
unfolding *states-def* ..

lemma *check-types-code* [*code*]:

check-types P mxs mxl τs = *(list-all (λx. x ∈ (states P mxs mxl)) τs)*
unfolding *check-types-def* **by**(*auto simp add: list-all-iff*)

lemma *wf-jvm-prog-code* [*code-unfold*]:

wf-jvm-prog = *wf-jvm-prog_k*

by(*simp add: fun-eq-iff jvm-kildall-correct*)

definition *wf-jvm-prog'* = *wf-jvm-prog*

ML-val ‹@{*code wf-jvm-prog'*}›

end

theory *BV-Main*

imports

JVMDeadlocked

LBVJVM

BCVExec

begin

end

Chapter 7

Compilation

7.1 Method calls in expressions

```
theory CallExpr imports
  ..../J/Expr
begin

fun inline-call :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp => ('a,'b,'addr) exp => ('a,'b,'addr) exp
  and inline-calls :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp => ('a,'b,'addr) exp list => ('a,'b,'addr) exp list
where
  inline-call f (new C) = new C
  | inline-call f (newA T[e]) = newA T[inline-call f e]
  | inline-call f (Cast C e) = Cast C (inline-call f e)
  | inline-call f (e instanceof T) = (inline-call f e) instanceof T
  | inline-call f (Val v) = Val v
  | inline-call f (Var V) = Var V
  | inline-call f (V:=e) = V := inline-call f e
  | inline-call f (e «bop» e') = (if is-val e then (e «bop» inline-call f e') else (inline-call f e «bop» e'))
  | inline-call f (a[i]) = (if is-val a then a[inline-call f i] else (inline-call f a)[i])
  | inline-call f (AAss a i e) =
    (if is-val a then if is-val i then AAss a i (inline-call f e) else AAss a (inline-call f i) e
     else AAss (inline-call f a) i e)
  | inline-call f (a.length) = inline-call f a.length
  | inline-call f (e.F{D}) = inline-call f e.F{D}
  | inline-call f (FAss e F D e') = (if is-val e then FAss e F D (inline-call f e') else FAss (inline-call f e) F D e')
  | inline-call f (CompareAndSwap e D F e' e'') =
    (if is-val e then if is-val e' then CompareAndSwap e D F e' (inline-call f e'')
     else CompareAndSwap e D F (inline-call f e') e''
     else CompareAndSwap (inline-call f e) D F e' e'')
  | inline-call f (e.M(es)) =
    (if is-val e then if is-vals es ∧ is-addr e then f else e.M(inline-calls f es) else inline-call f e.M(es))
  | inline-call f ({V:T=vo; e}) = {V:T=vo; inline-call f e}
  | inline-call f (sync_V(o') e) = sync_V (inline-call f o') e
  | inline-call f (insync_V(a) e) = insync_V (a) (inline-call f e)
  | inline-call f (e;;e') = inline-call f e;;e'
  | inline-call f (if (b) e else e') = (if (inline-call f b) e else e')
  | inline-call f (while (b) e) = while (b) e
  | inline-call f (throw e) = throw (inline-call f e)
  | inline-call f (try e1 catch(C V) e2) = try inline-call f e1 catch(C V) e2
```

```

| inline-calls f [] = []
| inline-calls f (e#es) = (if is-val e then e # inline-calls f es else inline-call f e # es)

fun collapse :: 'addr expr × 'addr expr list ⇒ 'addr expr where
  collapse (e, []) = e
  | collapse (e, (e' # es)) = collapse (inline-call e e', es)

definition is-call :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp ⇒ bool
where is-call e = (call e ≠ None)

definition is-calls :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list ⇒ bool
where is-calls es = (calls es ≠ None)

lemma inline-calls-map-Val-append [simp]:
  inline-calls f (map Val vs @ es) = map Val vs @ inline-calls f es
by(induct vs, auto)

lemma inline-call-eq-Val-aux:
  inline-call e E = Val v ⇒ call E = [aMvs] ⇒ e = Val v
by(induct E)(auto split: if-split-asm)

lemmas inline-call-eq-Val [dest] = inline-call-eq-Val-aux inline-call-eq-Val-aux[OF sym, THEN sym]

lemma inline-calls-eq-empty [simp]: inline-calls e es = [] ↔ es = []
by(cases es, auto)

lemma inline-calls-map-Val [simp]: inline-calls e (map Val vs) = map Val vs
by(induct vs) auto

lemma fixes E :: ('a,'b, 'addr) exp and Es :: ('a,'b, 'addr) exp list
  shows inline-call-eq-Throw [dest]: inline-call e E = Throw a ⇒ call E = [aMvs] ⇒ e = Throw a ∨ e = addr a
by(induct E rule: exp.induct)(fastforce split:if-split-asm)+

lemma Throw-eq-inline-call-eq [dest]:
  inline-call e E = Throw a ⇒ call E = [aMvs] ⇒ Throw a = e ∨ addr a = e
by(auto dest: inline-call-eq-Throw[OF sym])

lemma is-vals-inline-calls [dest]:
  [| is-vals (inline-calls e es); calls es = [aMvs] |] ⇒ is-val e
by(induct es, auto split: if-split-asm)

lemma [dest]: [| inline-calls e es = map Val vs; calls es = [aMvs] |] ⇒ is-val e
  [| map Val vs = inline-calls e es; calls es = [aMvs] |] ⇒ is-val e
by(fastforce intro!: is-vals-inline-calls del: is-val.intros simp add: is-vals-conv elim: sym)+

lemma inline-calls-eq-Val-Throw [dest]:
  [| inline-calls e es = map Val vs @ Throw a # es'; calls es = [aMvs] |] ⇒ e = Throw a ∨ is-val e
apply(induct es arbitrary: vs a es')
apply(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv split: if-split-asm)
done

```

```

lemma Val-Throw-eq-inline-calls [dest]:
   $\llbracket \text{map } \text{Val } vs @ \text{Throw } a \# es' = \text{inline-calls } e \ es; \text{calls } es = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \text{Throw } a = e \vee \text{is-val } e$ 
  by(auto dest: inline-calls-eq-Val-Throw[OF sym])

declare option.split [split del] if-split-asm [split] if-split [split del]

lemma call-inline-call [simp]:
   $\text{call } e = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \implies \text{call } (\text{inline-call } \{v:T=vo; e'\} e) = \text{call } e'$ 
   $\text{calls } es = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \implies \text{calls } (\text{inline-calls } \{v:T=vo; e'\} es) = \text{call } e'$ 
apply(induct e and es rule: call.induct calls.induct)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce split: if-split)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce split: if-split)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(fastforce split: if-split)
apply(fastforce split: if-split)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce)
apply(fastforce split: if-split)
apply(fastforce split: if-split)
apply(fastforce split: if-split)
apply(fastforce)
done

declare option.split [split] if-split [split] if-split-asm [split del]

lemma fv-inline-call:  $\text{fv } (\text{inline-call } e' e) \subseteq \text{fv } e \cup \text{fv } e'$ 
  and fvs-inline-calls:  $\text{fvs } (\text{inline-calls } e' es) \subseteq \text{fvs } es \cup \text{fv } e'$ 
by(induct e and es rule: call.induct calls.induct)(fastforce split: if-split-asm)+

lemma contains-insync-inline-call-conv:
  contains-insync ( $\text{inline-call } e \ e'$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  contains-insync  $e \wedge \text{call } e' \neq \text{None} \vee \text{contains-insync } e'$ 
  and contains-insyncs-inline-calls-conv:
  contains-insyncs ( $\text{inline-calls } e \ es'$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  contains-insync  $e \wedge \text{calls } es' \neq \text{None} \vee \text{contains-insyncs } es'$ 
by(induct e' and es' rule: call.induct calls.induct)(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv)

lemma contains-insync-inline-call [simp]:
   $\text{call } e' = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \implies \text{contains-insync } (\text{inline-call } e \ e') \longleftrightarrow \text{contains-insync } e \vee \text{contains-insync } e'$ 

```

```

and contains-insyncs-inline-calls [simp]:
  calls es' = [ $aMvs$ ]  $\implies$  contains-insyncs (inline-calls e es')  $\longleftrightarrow$  contains-insync e  $\vee$  contains-insyncs es'
by(simp-all add: contains-insync-inline-call-conv contains-insyncs-inline-calls-conv)

lemma collapse-append [simp]:
  collapse (e, es @ es') = collapse (collapse (e, es), es')
by(induct es arbitrary: e, auto)

lemma collapse-conv-foldl:
  collapse (e, es) = foldl inline-call e es
by(induct es arbitrary: e) simp-all

lemma fv-collapse:  $\forall e \in \text{set } es. \text{is-call } e \implies \text{fv}(\text{collapse}(e, es)) \subseteq \text{fvs}(e \# es)$ 
apply(induct es arbitrary: e)
apply(insert fv-inline-call)
apply(fastforce dest: subsetD)+  

done

lemma final-inline-callD:  $\llbracket \text{final } (\text{inline-call } E e); \text{is-call } e \rrbracket \implies \text{final } E$ 
by(induct e)(auto simp add: is-call-def split: if-split-asm)

lemma collapse-finalD:  $\llbracket \text{final } (\text{collapse}(e, es)); \forall e \in \text{set } es. \text{is-call } e \rrbracket \implies \text{final } e$ 
by(induct es arbitrary: e)(auto dest: final-inline-callD)

context heap-base begin

definition synthesized-call :: ' $m$  prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr  $\times$  mname  $\times$  'addr val list)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  synthesized-call P h =
     $(\lambda(a, M, vs). \exists T Ts Tr D. \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor T \rfloor \wedge P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D)$ 

lemma synthesized-call-conv:
  synthesized-call P h (a, M, vs) =
     $(\exists T Ts Tr D. \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor T \rfloor \wedge P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D)$ 
by(simp add: synthesized-call-def)

end

end

```

7.2 The NinjaThreads source language with explicit call stacks

```

theory J0 imports
  ..../J/WellForm
  ..../J/WellType
  ..../J/Threaded
  ..../Framework/FWBisimulation
  CallExpr
begin

declare widen-refT [elim]

```

abbreviation *final-expr0* :: *'addr expr × 'addr expr list ⇒ bool* **where**
 $\text{final-expr0} \equiv \lambda(e, es). \text{final } e \wedge es = []$

type-synonym

('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-thread-action =
('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr × 'addr expr list, 'heap) Ninja-thread-action

type-synonym

('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-state = *('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr expr × 'addr expr list, 'heap, 'addr) state*

print-translation ↶

```

let
  fun tr'
    [a1, t
     , Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax exp}, -) $
        Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax unit}, -) $ a2) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $
        (Const (@{type-syntax exp}, -) $
         Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax unit}, -) $ a3))
     , h] =
      if a1 = a2 andalso a2 = a3 then Syntax.const @{type-syntax J0-thread-action} $ a1 $ t $ h
      else raise Match;
    in [(@{type-syntax Ninja-thread-action}, K tr')]
```

end

› **typ** *('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-thread-action*

print-translation ↶

```

let
  fun tr'
    [a1, t
     , Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax exp}, -) $
        Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax unit}, -) $ a2) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $
        (Const (@{type-syntax exp}, -) $
         Const (@{type-syntax String.literal}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax unit}, -) $ a3))
     , h, a4] =
      if a1 = a2 andalso a2 = a3 then Syntax.const @{type-syntax J0-state} $ a1 $ t $ h
      else raise Match;
    in [(@{type-syntax state}, K tr')]
```

end

› **typ** *('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-state*

definition *extNTA2J0* :: *'addr J-prog ⇒ (cname × mname × 'addr) ⇒ ('addr expr × 'addr expr list)*
where

extNTA2J0 P = $(\lambda(C, M, a). \text{let } (D, -, -, \text{meth}) = \text{method } P C M; (-, \text{body}) = \text{the meth}$

```

in (<{this:Class D=[Addr a]; body}, []))

lemma extNTA2J0-iff [simp]:
  extNTA2J0 P (C, M, a) =
  ({this:Class (fst (method P C M))=[Addr a]; snd (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))))}, [])
by(simp add: extNTA2J0-def split-def)

abbreviation extTA2J0 :: 
  'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) external-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-thread-action
where extTA2J0 P  $\equiv$  convert-extTA (extNTA2J0 P)

lemma obs-a-extTA2J-eq-obs-a-extTA2J0 [simp]: {extTA2J P ta}_o = {extTA2J0 P ta}_o
by(cases ta)(simp add: ta-upd-simps)

lemma extTA2J0- $\varepsilon$ : extTA2J0 P  $\varepsilon$  =  $\varepsilon$ 
by(simp)

context J-heap-base begin

definition no-call :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where no-call P h e = ( $\forall$  aMvs. call e = [aMvs]  $\longrightarrow$  synthesized-call P h aMvs)

definition no-calls :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where no-calls P h es = ( $\forall$  aMvs. calls es = [aMvs]  $\longrightarrow$  synthesized-call P h aMvs)

inductive red0 :: 
  'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr list  $\Rightarrow$  'heap
   $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr list  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (-,-  $\vdash$  0 ((1<'-/-,/-) -->/( 1<'-/-,/-))) [51,0,0,0,0,0,0,0] 81)
for P :: 'addr J-prog and t :: 'thread-id
where

  red0Red:
     $\llbracket$  extTA2J0 P,P,t  $\vdash$  (e, (h, Map.empty))  $-ta\rightarrow$  (e', (h', xs'));
     $\forall$  aMvs. call e = [aMvs]  $\longrightarrow$  synthesized-call P h aMvs  $\rrbracket$ 
     $\implies$  P,t  $\vdash$  0 (e/es, h)  $-ta\rightarrow$  (e'/es, h')

  | red0Call:
     $\llbracket$  call e = [(a, M, vs)]; typeof-addr h a = [U];
    P  $\vdash$  class-type-of U sees M:Ts $\rightarrow$ T = [(pns, body)] in D;
    size vs = size pns; size Ts = size pns  $\rrbracket$ 
     $\implies$  P,t  $\vdash$  0 (e/es, h)  $-e\rightarrow$  (blocks (this # pns) (Class D # Ts) (Addr a # vs) body/e#es, h)

  | red0Return:
    final e'  $\implies$  P,t  $\vdash$  0 (e'/e#es, h)  $-e\rightarrow$  (inline-call e' e/es, h)

abbreviation J0-start-state :: 'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-state
where
  J0-start-state  $\equiv$ 
  start-state ( $\lambda$ C M Ts T (pns, body) vs. (blocks (this # pns) (Class C # Ts) (Null # vs) body, []))

abbreviation mred0 :: 
  'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr,'thread-id,'addr expr  $\times$  'addr expr list,'heap,'addr,('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event)
```

semantics

where $mred0 P \equiv (\lambda t ((e, es), h) ta ((e', es'), h')). red0 P t e es h ta e' es' h'$

end

declare $domIff[iff, simp del]$

context $J\text{-heap-base}$ **begin**

lemma assumes $wwf: wwf\text{-}J\text{-}prog P$

shows $red\text{-fv}\text{-subset}: extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies fv e' \subseteq fv e$

and $reds\text{-fvs}\text{-subset}: extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle \implies fvs es' \subseteq fvs es$

proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)

case ($RedCall s a U M Ts T pns body D vs$)

hence $fv body \subseteq \{this\} \cup set pns$

using wwf **by**(*fastforce dest!*: $sees\text{-}wf\text{-}mdecl simp:wf\text{-}mdecl-def$)

with $RedCall$ **show** ?**case** **by** *fastforce*

next

case $RedCallExternal$ **thus** ?**case** **by**(*auto simp add: extRet2J-def split: extCallRet.split-asm*)

qed(*fastforce*)+

end

declare $domIff[iff del]$

context $J\text{-heap-base}$ **begin**

lemma assumes $wwf: wwf\text{-}J\text{-}prog P$

shows $red\text{-fv}\text{-ok}: \llbracket extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; fv e \subseteq dom(lcl s) \rrbracket \implies fv e' \subseteq dom(lcl s')$

and $reds\text{-fvs}\text{-ok}: \llbracket extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; fvs es \subseteq dom(lcl s) \rrbracket \implies fvs es' \subseteq dom(lcl s')$

proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)

case ($RedCall s a U M Ts T pns body D vs$)

from $\langle P \vdash class\text{-}type\text{-}of U sees M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor \text{ in } D \rangle$ **have** $wwf\text{-}J\text{-}mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, pns, body)$
by(*auto dest!*: $sees\text{-}wf\text{-}mdecl[OF wwf]$ *simp add:* $wf\text{-}mdecl\text{-}def$)

with $RedCall$ **show** ?**case** **by**(*auto*)

next

case $RedCallExternal$ **thus** ?**case** **by**(*auto simp add: extRet2J-def split: extCallRet.split-asm*)

next

case ($BlockRed e h x V vo ta e' h' x' T$)

note $red = \langle extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, x(V := vo)) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', x') \rangle \rangle$

hence $fv e' \subseteq fv e$ **by**(*auto dest: red-fv-subset[OF wwf]* *del: subsetI*)

moreover from $\langle fv \{V: T=vo; e\} \subseteq dom(lcl(h, x)) \rangle$

have $fv e - \{V\} \subseteq dom x$ **by**(*simp*)

ultimately have $fv e' - \{V\} \subseteq dom x - \{V\}$ **by**(*auto*)

moreover from red **have** $dom(x(V := vo)) \subseteq dom x'$

by(*auto dest: red-lcl-incr del: subsetI*)

ultimately have $fv e' - \{V\} \subseteq dom x' - \{V\}$

by(*auto split: if-split-asm*)

thus ?**case** **by**(*auto simp del: fun-upd-apply*)

qed(*fastforce dest: red-lcl-incr del: subsetI*)

lemma *is-call-red-state-unchanged*:

$\llbracket extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; call e = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor; \neg synthesized\text{-}call P (hp s) aMvs \rrbracket \implies s' = s$

$\wedge \ ta = \varepsilon$

and *is-calls-reds-state-unchanged*:
 $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{calls } es = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor; \neg \text{synthesized-call } P (hp s) aMvs \rrbracket \implies s' = s \wedge ta = \varepsilon$
apply(*induct rule: red-reds.inducts*)
apply(*fastforce split: if-split-asm simp add: synthesized-call-def*) +
done

lemma *called-methodD*:
 $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{call } e = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \neg \text{synthesized-call } P (hp s) (a, M, vs) \rrbracket \implies \exists hT D Us U pns \text{ body}. \ hp s' = hp s \wedge \text{typeof-addr } (hp s) a = \lfloor hT \rfloor \wedge$
 $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } hT \text{ sees } M: Us \rightarrow U = \lfloor (pns, \text{body}) \rfloor \text{ in } D \wedge$
 $\text{length } vs = \text{length } pns \wedge \text{length } Us = \text{length } pns$

and *called-methodsD*:
 $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{calls } es = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \neg \text{synthesized-call } P (hp s) (a, M, vs) \rrbracket \implies \exists hT D Us U pns \text{ body}. \ hp s' = hp s \wedge \text{typeof-addr } (hp s) a = \lfloor hT \rfloor \wedge$
 $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } hT \text{ sees } M: Us \rightarrow U = \lfloor (pns, \text{body}) \rfloor \text{ in } D \wedge$
 $\text{length } vs = \text{length } pns \wedge \text{length } Us = \text{length } pns$

apply(*induct rule: red-reds.inducts*)
apply(*auto split: if-split-asm simp add: synthesized-call-def*)
apply(*fastforce*)
done

7.2.1 Silent moves

primrec $\tau\text{move}0 :: 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow \text{'heap} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\tau\text{moves}0 :: 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow \text{'heap} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where

- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (\text{new } C) \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (\text{newA } T[e]) \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a)$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (\text{Cast } U e) \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a) \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val } v)$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (\text{e instanceof } T) \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a) \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val } v)$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (\text{e «bop» } e') \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a) \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val } v \wedge (\tau\text{move}0 P h e' \vee (\exists a. e' = \text{Throw } a) \vee (\exists v. e' = \text{Val } v)))$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (\text{Val } v) \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (\text{Var } V) \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (V := e) \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a) \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val } v)$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (a[i]) \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h a \vee (\exists ad. a = \text{Throw } ad) \vee (\exists v. a = \text{Val } v \wedge (\tau\text{move}0 P h i \vee (\exists a. i = \text{Throw } a)))$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (A\text{Ass } a i e) \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h a \vee (\exists ad. a = \text{Throw } ad) \vee (\exists v. a = \text{Val } v \wedge (\tau\text{move}0 P h i \vee (\exists a. i = \text{Throw } a) \vee (\exists v. i = \text{Val } v \wedge (\tau\text{move}0 P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a)))))$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (a.length) \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h a \vee (\exists ad. a = \text{Throw } ad)$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (e.F\{D\}) \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a)$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (F\text{Ass } e F D e') \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a) \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val } v \wedge (\tau\text{move}0 P h e' \vee (\exists a. e' = \text{Throw } a)))$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (e.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e', e'')) \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a) \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val } v \wedge$
 $(\tau\text{move}0 P h e' \vee (\exists a. e' = \text{Throw } a) \vee (\exists v. e' = \text{Val } v \wedge (\tau\text{move}0 P h e'' \vee (\exists a. e'' = \text{Throw } a))))$
- $\tau\text{move}0 P h (e.M(es)) \longleftrightarrow \tau\text{move}0 P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a) \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val } v \wedge ((\tau\text{moves}0 P h es \vee (\exists vs a es'. es = \text{map Val } vs @ \text{Throw } a \# es')) \vee$

$(\exists vs. es = map\ Val\ vs \wedge (v = Null \vee (\forall T\ C\ Ts\ Tr\ D. \text{typeof}_h\ v = \lfloor T \rfloor \rightarrow \text{class-type-of}'\ T = \lfloor C \rfloor \rightarrow P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D \rightarrow \tau_{\text{external-defs}}\ D\ M)))$
 $\mid \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ (\{V : T = vo; e\}) \longleftrightarrow \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ e \vee ((\exists a. e = \text{Throw}\ a) \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val}\ v))$
 $\mid \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ (\text{sync}_{V'}(e)\ e') \longleftrightarrow \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw}\ a)$
 $\mid \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ (\text{insync}_{V'}(ad)\ e) \longleftrightarrow \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ e$
 $\mid \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ (e; e') \longleftrightarrow \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw}\ a) \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val}\ v)$
 $\mid \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ (\text{if}\ (e)\ e' \text{ else } e'') \longleftrightarrow \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw}\ a) \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val}\ v)$
 $\mid \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ (\text{while}\ (e)\ e') = \text{True}$
 $\mid \text{--- Throw } a \text{ is no } \tau_{\text{move0}} \text{ because there is no reduction for it. If it were, most defining equations would be simpler. However, } \text{insync}_{V'}(ad) \text{ Throw ad must not be a } \tau_{\text{move0}}, \text{ but would be if Throw } a \text{ was.}$
 $\mid \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ (\text{throw}\ e) \longleftrightarrow \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw}\ a) \vee e = \text{null}$
 $\mid \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ (\text{try}\ e\ \text{catch}(C\ V)\ e') \longleftrightarrow \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw}\ a) \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val}\ v)$
 $\mid \tau_{\text{moves0}}\ P\ h\ [] \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $\mid \tau_{\text{moves0}}\ P\ h\ (e \# es) \longleftrightarrow \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ e \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val}\ v \wedge \tau_{\text{moves0}}\ P\ h\ es)$

abbreviation $\tau\text{MOVE} :: 'm\ prog \Rightarrow (('addr\ expr \times 'addr\ locals) \times 'heap, ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J\text{-thread-action})\ trsys$

where $\tau\text{MOVE} \equiv \lambda P\ ((e, x), h)\ ta\ s'. \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ e \wedge ta = \varepsilon$

primrec $\tau\text{Move0} :: 'm\ prog \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr\ expr \times 'addr\ expr\ list) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where

$$\tau\text{Move0}\ P\ h\ (e, es) = (\tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ e \vee \text{final}\ e)$$

abbreviation $\tau\text{MOVE0} :: 'm\ prog \Rightarrow (('addr\ expr \times 'addr\ expr\ list) \times 'heap, ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0\text{-thread-action})\ trsys$

where $\tau\text{MOVE0} \equiv \lambda P\ (es, h)\ ta\ s. \tau\text{Move0}\ P\ h\ es \wedge ta = \varepsilon$

definition $\tau\text{red0} ::$

$(('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) \text{ external-thread-action} \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap) \text{ Jinja-thread-action})$
 $\Rightarrow 'addr\ J\text{-prog} \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr\ expr \times 'addr\ locals) \Rightarrow ('addr\ expr \times 'addr\ locals)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\tau\text{red0}\ extTA\ P\ t\ h\ exs\ e'xs' =$
 $(extTA, P, t \vdash \langle \text{fst}\ exs, (h, \text{snd}\ exs) \rangle \dashv\vDash \langle \text{fst}\ e'xs', (h, \text{snd}\ e'xs') \rangle) \wedge \tau_{\text{move0}}\ P\ h\ (\text{fst}\ exs) \wedge \text{no-call}\ P\ h\ (\text{fst}\ exs)$

definition $\tau\text{reds0} ::$

$(('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) \text{ external-thread-action} \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap) \text{ Jinja-thread-action})$
 $\Rightarrow 'addr\ J\text{-prog} \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr\ expr\ list \times 'addr\ locals) \Rightarrow ('addr\ expr\ list \times 'addr\ locals)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$\tau\text{reds0}\ extTA\ P\ t\ h\ esxs\ es'xs' =$
 $(extTA, P, t \vdash \langle \text{fst}\ esxs, (h, \text{snd}\ esxs) \rangle \dashv\vDash \langle \text{fst}\ es'xs', (h, \text{snd}\ es'xs') \rangle) \wedge \tau_{\text{moves0}}\ P\ h\ (\text{fst}\ esxs) \wedge \text{no-calls}\ P\ h\ (\text{fst}\ esxs)$

abbreviation $\tau\text{red0t} ::$

$(('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) \text{ external-thread-action} \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap) \text{ Jinja-thread-action})$
 $\Rightarrow 'addr\ J\text{-prog} \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr\ expr \times 'addr\ locals) \Rightarrow ('addr\ expr \times 'addr\ locals)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where $\tau\text{red0t}\ extTA\ P\ t\ h \equiv (\tau\text{red0}\ extTA\ P\ t\ h)^{\wedge+}$

abbreviation $\tau\text{reds0t} ::$

$((\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}, \text{heap}) \text{ external-thread-action} \Rightarrow (\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}, \text{x}, \text{heap}) \text{ Ninja-thread-action})$
 $\Rightarrow \text{addr J-prog} \Rightarrow \text{thread-id} \Rightarrow \text{heap} \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr list} \times \text{addr locals}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr list} \times \text{addr locals}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau \text{reds0t extTA P t h} \equiv (\tau \text{reds0 extTA P t h})^{\wedge + +}$

abbreviation $\tau \text{red0r} ::$
 $((\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}, \text{heap}) \text{ external-thread-action} \Rightarrow (\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}, \text{x}, \text{heap}) \text{ Ninja-thread-action})$
 $\Rightarrow \text{addr J-prog} \Rightarrow \text{thread-id} \Rightarrow \text{heap} \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr} \times \text{addr locals}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr} \times \text{addr locals}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau \text{red0r extTA P t h} \equiv (\tau \text{red0 extTA P t h})^{\wedge **}$

abbreviation $\tau \text{reds0r} ::$
 $((\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}, \text{heap}) \text{ external-thread-action} \Rightarrow (\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}, \text{x}, \text{heap}) \text{ Ninja-thread-action})$
 $\Rightarrow \text{addr J-prog} \Rightarrow \text{thread-id} \Rightarrow \text{heap} \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr list} \times \text{addr locals}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr list} \times \text{addr locals}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau \text{reds0r extTA P t h} \equiv (\tau \text{reds0 extTA P t h})^{\wedge **}$

definition $\tau \text{Red0} ::$
 $\text{addr J-prog} \Rightarrow \text{thread-id} \Rightarrow \text{heap} \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr} \times \text{addr expr list}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr} \times \text{addr expr list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau \text{Red0 P t h ees e'ees'} = (P, t \vdash 0 \langle \text{fst ees} / \text{snd ees}, h \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{fst e'ees'} / \text{snd e'ees'}, h \rangle) \wedge \tau \text{Move0 P h ees}$

abbreviation $\tau \text{Red0r} ::$
 $\text{addr J-prog} \Rightarrow \text{thread-id} \Rightarrow \text{heap} \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr} \times \text{addr expr list}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr} \times \text{addr expr list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau \text{Red0r P t h} \equiv (\tau \text{Red0 P t h})^{\wedge **}$

abbreviation $\tau \text{Red0t} ::$
 $\text{addr J-prog} \Rightarrow \text{thread-id} \Rightarrow \text{heap} \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr} \times \text{addr expr list}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr} \times \text{addr expr list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau \text{Red0t P t h} \equiv (\tau \text{Red0 P t h})^{\wedge + +}$

lemma $\tau \text{move0-}\tau \text{moves0-intros}:$
fixes $e e1 e2 e' :: (\text{a}, \text{b}, \text{addr}) \text{ exp}$ **and** $\text{es} :: (\text{a}, \text{b}, \text{addr}) \text{ exp list}$
shows $\tau \text{move0NewArray}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{newA T}[e])$
and $\tau \text{move0Cast}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{Cast U e})$
and $\tau \text{move0CastRed}: \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{Cast U} (\text{Val v}))$
and $\tau \text{move0InstanceOf}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{e instanceof T})$
and $\tau \text{move0InstanceOfRed}: \tau \text{move0 P h} ((\text{Val v}) \text{ instanceof T})$
and $\tau \text{move0BinOp1}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{e} \ll \text{bop} \gg \text{e}')$
and $\tau \text{move0BinOp2}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{Val v} \ll \text{bop} \gg \text{e})$
and $\tau \text{move0BinOp}: \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{Val v} \ll \text{bop} \gg \text{Val v}')$
and $\tau \text{move0Var}: \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{Var V})$
and $\tau \text{move0LAss}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{V} := \text{e})$
and $\tau \text{move0LAssRed}: \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{V} := \text{Val v})$
and $\tau \text{move0AAcc1}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{e}[e] := \text{e}')$
and $\tau \text{move0AAcc2}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{Val v}[e] := \text{e}')$
and $\tau \text{move0AAcc1}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{e}[e1] := \text{e}2)$
and $\tau \text{move0AAcc2}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{Val v}[e] := \text{e}')$
and $\tau \text{move0AAcc3}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{Val v}[Val v] := \text{e})$
and $\tau \text{move0ALength}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{e-length})$
and $\tau \text{move0FAcc}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{e} \cdot \text{F}\{D\})$
and $\tau \text{move0FAss1}: \tau \text{move0 P h e} \implies \tau \text{move0 P h} (\text{FAss e F D e}')$

and $\taumove{0}{F\text{Ass}2}: \taumove{0}{P h e} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e)}$
and $\taumove{0}{CAS1}: \taumove{0}{P h e} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (e \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e', e''))}$
and $\taumove{0}{CAS2}: \taumove{0}{P h e'} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e', e''))}$
and $\taumove{0}{CAS3}: \taumove{0}{P h e''} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v', e''))}$
and $\taumove{0}{CallObj}: \taumove{0}{P h e} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (e \cdot M(es))}$
and $\taumove{0}{CallParams}: \taumoves{0}{P h es} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Val } v \cdot M(es))}$
and $\taumove{0}{Call}: (\wedge T C Ts Tr D. \llbracket \text{typeof}_h v = \lfloor T \rfloor; \text{class-type-of}' T = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D \rrbracket) \implies \tauexternal{D M} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Val } v \cdot M(\text{map Val vs}))}$
and $\taumove{0}{Block}: \taumove{0}{P h e} \implies \taumove{0}{P h \{V:T=vo; e\}}$
and $\taumove{0}{BlockRed}: \taumove{0}{P h \{V:T=vo; Val v\}}$
and $\taumove{0}{Sync}: \taumove{0}{P h e} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (\text{sync}_{V'}(e) e')}$
and $\taumove{0}{InSync}: \taumove{0}{P h e} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (\text{insync}_{V'}(a) e)}$
and $\taumove{0}{Seq}: \taumove{0}{P h e} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (e;;e')}$
and $\taumove{0}{SeqRed}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Val } v;; e')}$
and $\taumove{0}{Cond}: \taumove{0}{P h e} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2)}$
and $\taumove{0}{CondRed}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{if } (\text{Val } v) e1 \text{ else } e2)}$
and $\taumove{0}{WhileRed}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{while } (e) e')}$
and $\taumove{0}{Throw}: \taumove{0}{P h e} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (\text{throw } e)}$
and $\taumove{0}{ThrowNull}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{throw null})}$
and $\taumove{0}{Try}: \taumove{0}{P h e} \implies \taumove{0}{P h (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e')}$
and $\taumove{0}{TryRed}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{try Val } v \text{ catch}(C V) e)}$
and $\taumove{0}{TryThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{try Throw } a \text{ catch}(C V) e)}$
and $\taumove{0}{NewArrayThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{newA } T \lfloor \text{Throw } a \rfloor)}$
and $\taumove{0}{CastThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Cast } T (\text{Throw } a))}$
and $\taumove{0}{CInstanceOfThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h ((\text{Throw } a) \text{ instanceof } T)}$
and $\taumove{0}{BinOpThrow1}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Throw } a \text{ «bop» } e')}$
and $\taumove{0}{BinOpThrow2}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Val } v \text{ «bop» } \text{Throw } a)}$
and $\taumove{0}{LAssThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h (V:= (\text{Throw } a))}$
and $\taumove{0}{AAccThrow1}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Throw } a \lfloor e \rfloor)}$
and $\taumove{0}{AAccThrow2}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Val } v \lfloor \text{Throw } a \rfloor)}$
and $\taumove{0}{AAssThrow1}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{AAss } (\text{Throw } a) e e')}$
and $\taumove{0}{AAssThrow2}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{AAss } (\text{Val } v) (\text{Throw } a) e')}$
and $\taumove{0}{AAssThrow3}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{AAss } (\text{Val } v) (\text{Val } v') (\text{Throw } a))}$
and $\taumove{0}{ALengthThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Throw } a \cdot \text{length})}$
and $\taumove{0}{FAccThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Throw } a \cdot F\{D\})}$
and $\taumove{0}{FAssThrow1}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Throw } a \cdot F\{D\} := e)}$
and $\taumove{0}{FAssThrow2}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{FAss } (\text{Val } v) F D (\text{Throw } a))}$
and $\taumove{0}{CallThrowObj}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Throw } a \cdot M(es))}$
and $\taumove{0}{CallThrowParams}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Val } v \cdot M(\text{map Val vs @ Throw } a \# es))}$
and $\taumove{0}{BlockThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h \{V:T=vo; \text{Throw } a\}}$
and $\taumove{0}{SyncThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{sync}_{V'}(\text{Throw } a) e)}$
and $\taumove{0}{SeqThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{Throw } a;;e)}$
and $\taumove{0}{CondThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{if } (\text{Throw } a) e1 \text{ else } e2)}$
and $\taumove{0}{ThrowThrow}: \taumove{0}{P h (\text{throw } (\text{Throw } a))}$

and $\taumoves{0}{Hd}: \taumove{0}{P h e} \implies \taumoves{0}{P h (e \# es)}$
and $\taumoves{0}{Tl}: \taumoves{0}{P h es} \implies \taumoves{0}{P h (\text{Val } v \# es)}$

by auto

lemma $\taumoves{0}{\text{-map-Val}} [\text{iff}]:$
 $\neg \taumoves{0}{P h (\text{map Val vs})}$
by (induct vs) auto

lemma $\taumoves{0}{\text{-map-Val-append}} [\text{simp}]:$

$\tau\text{moves0 } P h (\text{map Val vs @ es}) = \tau\text{moves0 } P h es$
by(induct vs)(auto)

lemma no-reds-map-Val-Throw [simp]:

$\text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{map Val vs @ Throw a \# es, s} \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle = \text{False}$
by(induct vs arbitrary: es')(auto elim: reds.cases)

lemma assumes [simp]: $\text{extTA } \varepsilon = \varepsilon$

shows red- τ -taD: $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \tau\text{move0 } P (hp s) e \rrbracket \implies ta = \varepsilon$
and reds- τ -taD: $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \tau\text{moves0 } P (hp s) es \rrbracket \implies ta = \varepsilon$
apply(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)

apply(fastforce simp add: map-eq-append-conv τ external'-def τ external-def dest: τ external'-red-external-TA-empty done

lemma $\tau\text{move0-heap-unchanged}$: $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \tau\text{move0 } P (hp s) e \rrbracket \implies hp s' = hp s$

and $\tau\text{moves0-heap-unchanged}$: $\llbracket \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \tau\text{moves0 } P (hp s) es \rrbracket \implies hp s' = hp s$

apply(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)

apply(auto)

apply(fastforce simp add: map-eq-append-conv τ external'-def τ external-def dest: τ external'-red-external-heap-unch done

lemma $\tau\text{Move0-iff}$:

$\tau\text{Move0 } P h ees \longleftrightarrow (\text{let } (e, -) = ees \text{ in } \tau\text{move0 } P h e \vee \text{final } e)$
by(cases ees)(simp)

lemma no-call-simps [simp]:

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{new } C) = \text{True}$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{newA } T[e]) = \text{no-call } P h e$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{Cast } T e) = \text{no-call } P h e$

$\text{no-call } P h (e \text{ instanceof } T) = \text{no-call } P h e$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{Val } v) = \text{True}$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{Var } V) = \text{True}$

$\text{no-call } P h (V := e) = \text{no-call } P h e$

$\text{no-call } P h (e \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e') = (\text{if is-val } e \text{ then no-call } P h e' \text{ else no-call } P h e)$

$\text{no-call } P h (a[i]) = (\text{if is-val } a \text{ then no-call } P h i \text{ else no-call } P h a)$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{AAss } a i e) = (\text{if is-val } a \text{ then (if is-val } i \text{ then no-call } P h e \text{ else no-call } P h i) \text{ else no-call } P h a)$

$\text{no-call } P h (a.length) = \text{no-call } P h a$

$\text{no-call } P h (e.F\{D\}) = \text{no-call } P h e$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{FAss } e F D e') = (\text{if is-val } e \text{ then no-call } P h e' \text{ else no-call } P h e)$

$\text{no-call } P h (e.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e', e'')) = (\text{if is-val } e \text{ then (if is-val } e' \text{ then no-call } P h e'' \text{ else no-call } P h e') \text{ else no-call } P h e)$

$\text{no-call } P h (e.M(es)) = (\text{if is-val } e \text{ then (if is-vals } es \wedge \text{is-addr } e \text{ then synthesized-call } P h (\text{THE } a.$

$e = \text{addr } a, M, \text{THE } vs. es = \text{map Val vs} \text{ else no-calls } P h es \text{ else no-call } P h e)$

$\text{no-call } P h (\{V:T=vo; e\}) = \text{no-call } P h e$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{sync}_{V'}(e) e') = \text{no-call } P h e$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{insync}_{V'}(ad) e) = \text{no-call } P h e$

$\text{no-call } P h (e;;e') = \text{no-call } P h e$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) = \text{no-call } P h e$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{while}(e) e') = \text{True}$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{throw } e) = \text{no-call } P h e$

$\text{no-call } P h (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e') = \text{no-call } P h e$

```

by(auto simp add: no-call-def no-calls-def)

lemma no-calls-simps [simp]:
  no-calls P h [] = True
  no-calls P h (e # es) = (if is-val e then no-calls P h es else no-call P h e)
by(simp-all add: no-call-def no-calls-def)

lemma no-calls-map-Val [simp]:
  no-calls P h (map Val vs)
by(induct vs) simp-all

lemma assumes nfin:  $\neg$  final e'
  shows inline-call- $\tau$ move0-inv: call e =  $\lfloor aMvs \rfloor \Rightarrow \tau$ move0 P h (inline-call e' e) =  $\tau$ move0 P h e'
  and inline-calls- $\tau$ moves0-inv: calls es =  $\lfloor aMvs \rfloor \Rightarrow \tau$ moves0 P h (inline-calls e' es) =  $\tau$ move0 P h e'
apply(induct e and es rule:  $\tau$ move0.induct  $\tau$ moves0.induct)
apply(insert nfin)
apply simp-all
apply auto
done

lemma  $\tau$ red0-iff [iff]:
   $\tau$ red0 extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') = (extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h, xs)⟩  $\xrightarrow{-\varepsilon}$  ⟨e', (h, xs')⟩)  $\wedge$   $\tau$ move0 P h e  $\wedge$ 
  no-call P h e)
by(simp add:  $\tau$ red0-def)

lemma  $\tau$ reds0-iff [iff]:
   $\tau$ reds0 extTA P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') =
  (extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, (h, xs)⟩  $\xrightarrow{[-\varepsilon]}$  ⟨es', (h, xs')⟩)  $\wedge$   $\tau$ moves0 P h es  $\wedge$  no-calls P h es)
by(simp add:  $\tau$ reds0-def)

lemma  $\tau$ red0t-1step:
   $\llbracket$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h, xs)⟩  $\xrightarrow{-\varepsilon}$  ⟨e', (h, xs')⟩;  $\tau$ move0 P h e; no-call P h e  $\rrbracket$ 
   $\Rightarrow$   $\tau$ red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs')
by(blast intro: tranclp.r-into-trancl)

lemma  $\tau$ red0t-2step:
   $\llbracket$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h, xs)⟩  $\xrightarrow{-\varepsilon}$  ⟨e', (h, xs')⟩;  $\tau$ move0 P h e; no-call P h e;
  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e', (h, xs')⟩  $\xrightarrow{-\varepsilon}$  ⟨e'', (h, xs'')⟩;  $\tau$ move0 P h e'; no-call P h e'  $\rrbracket$ 
   $\Rightarrow$   $\tau$ red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e'', xs'')
by(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl[OF  $\tau$ red0t-1step])

lemma  $\tau$ red1t-3step:
   $\llbracket$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h, xs)⟩  $\xrightarrow{-\varepsilon}$  ⟨e', (h, xs')⟩;  $\tau$ move0 P h e; no-call P h e;
  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e', (h, xs')⟩  $\xrightarrow{-\varepsilon}$  ⟨e'', (h, xs'')⟩;  $\tau$ move0 P h e'; no-call P h e';
  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e'', (h, xs'')⟩  $\xrightarrow{-\varepsilon}$  ⟨e''', (h, xs''')⟩;  $\tau$ move0 P h e''; no-call P h e''  $\rrbracket$ 
   $\Rightarrow$   $\tau$ red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e''', xs'''')
by(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl[OF  $\tau$ red0t-2step])

lemma  $\tau$ reds0t-1step:
   $\llbracket$  extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, (h, xs)⟩  $\xrightarrow{[-\varepsilon]}$  ⟨es', (h, xs')⟩;  $\tau$ moves0 P h es; no-calls P h es  $\rrbracket$ 
   $\Rightarrow$   $\tau$ reds0t extTA P t h (es, xs) (es', xs')
by(blast intro: tranclp.r-into-trancl)

```

lemma $\tau reds0t\text{-}2step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau moves0 P h es; no-calls P h es; \\ & \quad extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau moves0 P h es'; no-calls P h es' \rrbracket \\ \implies & \tau reds0t extTA P t h (es, xs) (es'', xs'') \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl[OF $\tau reds0t\text{-}1step$])

lemma $\tau reds0t\text{-}3step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau moves0 P h es; no-calls P h es; \\ & \quad extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau moves0 P h es'; no-calls P h es'; \\ & \quad extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es''', (h, xs''') \rangle; \tau moves0 P h es''; no-calls P h es'' \rrbracket \\ \implies & \tau reds0t extTA P t h (es, xs) (es''', xs''') \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl[OF $\tau reds0t\text{-}2step$])

lemma $\tau red0r\text{-}1step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau move0 P h e; no-call P h e \rrbracket \\ \implies & \tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro: r-intro-rtranclp)

lemma $\tau red0r\text{-}2step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau move0 P h e; no-call P h e; \\ & \quad extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau move0 P h e'; no-call P h e' \rrbracket \\ \implies & \tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e'', xs'') \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl[OF $\tau red0r\text{-}1step$])

lemma $\tau red0r\text{-}3step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau move0 P h e; no-call P h e; \\ & \quad extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau move0 P h e'; no-call P h e' \rrbracket \\ & \quad extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e''', (h, xs''') \rangle; \tau move0 P h e''; no-call P h e'' \rrbracket \\ \implies & \tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e''', xs''') \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl[OF $\tau red0r\text{-}2step$])

lemma $\tau reds0r\text{-}1step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau moves0 P h es; no-calls P h es \rrbracket \\ \implies & \tau reds0r extTA P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro: r-intro-rtranclp)

lemma $\tau reds0r\text{-}2step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau moves0 P h es; no-calls P h es; \\ & \quad extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau moves0 P h es'; no-calls P h es' \rrbracket \\ \implies & \tau reds0r extTA P t h (es, xs) (es'', xs'') \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl[OF $\tau reds0r\text{-}1step$])

lemma $\tau reds0r\text{-}3step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau moves0 P h es; no-calls P h es; \\ & \quad extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau moves0 P h es'; no-calls P h es'; \\ & \quad extTA, P, t \vdash \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es''', (h, xs''') \rangle; \tau moves0 P h es''; no-calls P h es'' \rrbracket \\ \implies & \tau reds0r extTA P t h (es, xs) (es''', xs''') \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl[OF $\tau reds0r\text{-}2step$])

lemma $\tau red0t\text{-}inj\text{-}\tau reds0t$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \\ \implies & \tau reds0t extTA P t h (e \# es, xs) (e' \# es, xs') \end{aligned}$$

by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl ListRed1)

lemma $\tau_{\text{reds}0t\text{-cons}}\text{-}\tau_{\text{reds}0t}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{reds}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \\ \implies & \tau_{\text{reds}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (\text{Val } v \# es, xs) (\text{Val } v \# es', xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{tranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{tranclp.trancl-into-trancl ListRed2}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\tau_{\text{red}0r\text{-inj}}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0r}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{red}0r} \text{ extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \\ \implies & \tau_{\text{red}0r} \text{ extTA } P t h (e \# es, xs) (e' \# es, xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{rtranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl ListRed1}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\tau_{\text{red}0r\text{-cons}}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0r}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{red}0r} \text{ extTA } P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \\ \implies & \tau_{\text{red}0r} \text{ extTA } P t h (\text{Val } v \# es, xs) (\text{Val } v \# es', xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{rtranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl ListRed2}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{NewArray}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0t\text{-xt}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \\ \implies & \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (\text{newA } T[e], xs) (\text{newA } T[e'], xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{tranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{tranclp.trancl-into-trancl NewArrayRed}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{Cast}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0t\text{-xt}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (\text{Cast } T e, xs) (\text{Cast } T e', xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{tranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{tranclp.trancl-into-trancl CastRed}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{InstanceOf}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0t\text{-xt}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (e \text{ instanceof } T, xs) (e' \text{ instanceof } T, xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{tranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{tranclp.trancl-into-trancl InstanceOfRed}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{BinOp}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0t\text{-xt1}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (e1, xs) (e1', xs') \implies \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \urcorner e2, xs) (e1' \llcorner \text{bop} \urcorner e2, xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{tranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{tranclp.trancl-into-trancl BinOpRed1}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{BinOp}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0t\text{-xt2}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (e2, xs) (e2', xs') \implies \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (\text{Val } v \llcorner \text{bop} \urcorner e2, xs) (\text{Val } v \llcorner \text{bop} \urcorner e2', xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{tranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{tranclp.trancl-into-trancl BinOpRed2}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{LAss}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0t}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (V := e, xs) (V := e', xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{tranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{tranclp.trancl-into-trancl LAssRed}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{AAcc}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0t\text{-xt1}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (a[i], xs) (a'[i], xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{tranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{tranclp.trancl-into-trancl AAccRed1}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{AAcc}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0t\text{-xt2}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (i, xs) (i', xs') \implies \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (\text{Val } a[i], xs) (\text{Val } a[i'], xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{tranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{tranclp.trancl-into-trancl AAccRed2}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{AAss}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0t\text{-xt1}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau_{\text{red}0t} \text{ extTA } P t h (a[i] := e, xs) (a'[i] := e, xs') \\ \text{by} & (\text{induct rule: } \text{tranclp-induct2})(\text{auto intro: } \text{tranclp.trancl-into-trancl AAssRed1}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\text{AAss}\text{-}\tau_{\text{red}0t\text{-xt2}}$:

$\tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (i, xs) (i', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (\text{Val } a[i] := e, xs) (\text{Val } a[i'] := e, xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl AAssRed2*)

lemma *AAss-τred0t-xt3*:

$\tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (\text{Val } a[\text{Val } i] := e, xs) (\text{Val } a[\text{Val } i'] := e', xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl AAssRed3*)

lemma *ALength-τred0t-xt*:

$\tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (a.\text{length}, xs) (a'.\text{length}, xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl ALengthRed*)

lemma *FAcc-τred0t-xt*:

$\tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e.F\{D\}, xs) (e'.F\{D\}, xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl FAccRed*)

lemma *FAss-τred0t-xt1*:

$\tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e.F\{D\} := e2, xs) (e'.F\{D\} := e2, xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl FAssRed1*)

lemma *FAss-τred0t-xt2*:

$\tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (\text{Val } v.F\{D\} := e, xs) (\text{Val } v.F\{D\} := e', xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl FAssRed2*)

lemma *CAS-τred0t-xt1*:

$\tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3), xs) (e'.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3), xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl CASRed1*)

lemma *CAS-τred0t-xt2*:

$\tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (\text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e, e3), xs) (\text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e', e3), xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl CASRed2*)

lemma *CAS-τred0t-xt3*:

$\tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (\text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v', e), xs) (\text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v', e'), xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl CASRed3*)

lemma *Call-τred0t-obj*:

$\tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e.M(ps), xs) (e'.M(ps), xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl CallObj*)

lemma *Call-τred0t-param*:

$\tau\text{reds0t extTA } P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (\text{Val } v.M(es), xs) (\text{Val } v.M(es'), xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(fastforce intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl CallParams*)

lemma *Block-τred0t-xt*:

$\tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (e, xs(V := vo)) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0t extTA } P t h (\{V:T=vo; e\}, xs) (\{V:T=xs'\} V; e'), xs'(V := xs V))$

proof(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)

case base thus ?case by(auto intro: *BlockRed simp del: fun-upd-apply*)

next

```

case (step  $e' xs' e'' xs''$ )
from  $\langle \tau red0 extTA P t h (e', xs') (e'', xs'') \rangle$ 
have  $extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle$   $\tau move0 P h e' no-call P h e'$  by auto
hence  $extTA, P, t \vdash \langle e', (h, xs'(V := xs V, V := xs' V)) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle$  by simp
from BlockRed[OF this, of T]  $\langle \tau move0 P h e' \rangle \langle no-call P h e' \rangle$ 
have  $\tau red0 extTA P t h (\{V:T=xs' V; e'\}, xs'(V := xs V)) (\{V:T=xs'' V; e''\}, xs''(V := xs V))$ 
by(auto)
with  $\langle \tau red0t extTA P t h (\{V:T=vo; e\}, xs) (\{V:T=xs' V; e'\}, xs'(V := xs V)) \rangle$  show ?case ..
qed

```

lemma Sync- $\tau red0t$ -xt:

```

 $\tau red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0t extTA P t h (sync_V (e) e2, xs) (sync_V (e') e2, xs')$ 
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl SynchronizedRed1)

```

lemma InSync- $\tau red0t$ -xt:

```

 $\tau red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0t extTA P t h (insync_V (a) e, xs) (insync_V (a) e', xs')$ 
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl SynchronizedRed2)

```

lemma Seq- $\tau red0t$ -xt:

```

 $\tau red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0t extTA P t h (e;;e2, xs) (e';e2, xs')$ 
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl SeqRed)

```

lemma Cond- $\tau red0t$ -xt:

```

 $\tau red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0t extTA P t h (if (e) e1 else e2, xs) (if (e') e1 else e2, xs')$ 
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl CondRed)

```

lemma Throw- $\tau red0t$ -xt:

```

 $\tau red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0t extTA P t h (throw e, xs) (throw e', xs')$ 
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl ThrowRed)

```

lemma Try- $\tau red0t$ -xt:

```

 $\tau red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0t extTA P t h (try e catch(C V) e2, xs) (try e' catch(C V) e2, xs')$ 
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl TryRed)

```

lemma NewArray- $\tau red0r$ -xt:

```

 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (newA T[e], xs) (newA T[e'], xs')$ 
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl NewArrayRed)

```

lemma Cast- $\tau red0r$ -xt:

```

 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (Cast T e, xs) (Cast T e', xs')$ 
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CastRed)

```

lemma InstanceOf- $\tau red0r$ -xt:

```

 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (e instanceof T, xs) (e' instanceof T, xs')$ 
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl InstanceOfRed)

```

lemma BinOp- $\tau red0r$ -xt1:

```

 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e1, xs) (e1', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (e1 «bop» e2, xs) (e1' «bop» e2, xs')$ 
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl BinOpRed1)

```

lemma BinOp- $\tau red0r$ -xt2:

```

 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e2, xs) (e2', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (Val v «bop» e2, xs) (Val v «bop»$ 

```

$e2', xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl BinOpRed2)

lemma $LAss\text{-}\tau red0r$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (V := e, xs) (V := e', xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl LAssRed)

lemma $AAcc\text{-}\tau red0r\text{-}xt1$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (a[i], xs) (a'[i], xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAccRed1)

lemma $AAcc\text{-}\tau red0r\text{-}xt2$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (i, xs) (i', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (Val a[i], xs) (Val a[i'], xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAccRed2)

lemma $AAss\text{-}\tau red0r\text{-}xt1$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (a[i] := e, xs) (a'[i] := e, xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAssRed1)

lemma $AAss\text{-}\tau red0r\text{-}xt2$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (i, xs) (i', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (Val a[i] := e, xs) (Val a[i'] := e, xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAssRed2)

lemma $AAss\text{-}\tau red0r\text{-}xt3$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (Val a[Val i] := e, xs) (Val a[Val i'] := e', xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAssRed3)

lemma $ALength\text{-}\tau red0r\text{-}xt$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (a.length, xs) (a'.length, xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl ALengthRed)

lemma $FAcc\text{-}\tau red0r\text{-}xt$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (e.F\{D\}, xs) (e'.F\{D\}, xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl FAccRed)

lemma $FAss\text{-}\tau red0r\text{-}xt1$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (e.F\{D\} := e2, xs) (e'.F\{D\} := e2, xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl FAssRed1)

lemma $FAss\text{-}\tau red0r\text{-}xt2$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (Val v.F\{D\} := e, xs) (Val v.F\{D\} := e', xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl FAssRed2)

lemma $CAS\text{-}\tau red0r\text{-}xt1$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3), xs)$
 $(e'.compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3), xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CASRed1)

lemma $CAS\text{-}\tau red0r\text{-}xt2$:
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau red0r extTA P t h (Val v.compareAndSwap(D.F, e, e3), xs)$
 $(Val v.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e3), xs')$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CASRed2)

lemma CAS- τ red0r-xt3:

$$\tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v', e), xs) (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v', e'), xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CASRed3)

lemma Call- τ red0r-obj:

$$\tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (e \cdot M(ps), xs) (e' \cdot M(ps), xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CallObj)

lemma Call- τ red0r-param:

$$\tau\text{reds0r extTA } P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (\text{Val } v \cdot M(es), xs) (\text{Val } v \cdot M(es'), xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CallParams)+

lemma Block- τ red0r-xt:

$$\tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (e, xs(V := vo)) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (\{V:T=vo; e\}, xs) (\{V:T=xs' V; e'\}, xs'(V := xs V))$$

proof(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)

case refl thus ?case **by**(simp del: fun-upd-apply)(auto simp add: fun-upd-apply)

next

case (step e' xs' e'' xs'')

from ⟨τred0 extTA P t h (e', xs') (e'', xs'')⟩

have extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e',(h, xs')⟩ → ⟨e'',(h, xs'')⟩ τmove0 P h e' no-call P h e' **by** auto

hence extTA,P,t ⊢ ⟨e',(h, xs'(V := xs V, V := xs' V))⟩ → ⟨e'',(h, xs'')⟩ **by** simp

from BlockRed[OF this, of T] ⟨τmove0 P h e'⟩ ⟨no-call P h e'⟩

have τred0 extTA P t h (\{V:T=xs' V; e'\}, xs'(V := xs V)) (\{V:T=xs'' V; e''\}, xs''(V := xs V))

by auto

with ⟨τred0 extTA P t h (\{V:T=vo; e\}, xs) (\{V:T=xs' V; e'\}, xs'(V := xs V))⟩ **show** ?case ..

qed

lemma Sync- τ red0r-xt:

$$\tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (\text{sync}_V(e) e2, xs) (\text{sync}_V(e') e2, xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl SynchronizedRed1)

lemma InSync- τ red0r-xt:

$$\tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (\text{insync}_V(a) e, xs) (\text{insync}_V(a) e', xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl SynchronizedRed2)

lemma Seq- τ red0r-xt:

$$\tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (e;e2, xs) (e';e2, xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl SeqRed)

lemma Cond- τ red0r-xt:

$$\tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2, xs) (\text{if } (e') e1 \text{ else } e2, xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CondRed)

lemma Throw- τ red0r-xt:

$$\tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (\text{throw } e, xs) (\text{throw } e', xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl ThrowRed)

lemma Try- τ red0r-xt:

$$\tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red0r extTA } P t h (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2, xs) (\text{try } e' \text{ catch}(C V) e2, xs')$$

```

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl TryRed)

lemma  $\tau Red0\text{-conv}$  [iff]:
 $\tau Red0 P t h (e, es) (e', es') = (P, t \vdash 0 \langle e/es, h \rangle \rightarrow \langle e'/es', h \rangle \wedge \tau Move0 P h (e, es))$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau Red0\text{-def}$ )

lemma  $\tau red0r\text{-lcl-incr}:$ 
 $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \text{dom } xs \subseteq \text{dom } xs'$ 
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto dest: red-lcl-incr del: subsetI)

lemma  $\tau red0t\text{-lcl-incr}:$ 
 $\tau red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \text{dom } xs \subseteq \text{dom } xs'$ 
by(rule  $\tau red0r\text{-lcl-incr} \text{ (rule tranclp-into-rtranclp)}$ )

lemma  $\tau red0r\text{-dom-lcl}:$ 
assumes wwf: wwf-J-prog P
shows  $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \text{dom } xs' \subseteq \text{dom } xs \cup \text{fv } e$ 
apply(induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2)
apply blast
apply(clarsimp del: subsetI)
apply(frule red-dom-lcl)
apply(drule red-fv-subset[OF wwf])
apply auto
done

lemma  $\tau red0t\text{-dom-lcl}:$ 
assumes wwf: wwf-J-prog P
shows  $\tau red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \text{dom } xs' \subseteq \text{dom } xs \cup \text{fv } e$ 
by(rule  $\tau red0r\text{-dom-lcl} \text{ (rule tranclp-into-rtranclp)}$ )

lemma  $\tau red0r\text{-fv-subset}:$ 
assumes wwf: wwf-J-prog P
shows  $\tau red0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \text{fv } e' \subseteq \text{fv } e$ 
by(induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2)(auto dest: red-fv-subset[OF wwf])

lemma  $\tau red0t\text{-fv-subset}:$ 
assumes wwf: wwf-J-prog P
shows  $\tau red0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \text{fv } e' \subseteq \text{fv } e$ 
by(rule  $\tau red0r\text{-fv-subset} \text{ (rule tranclp-into-rtranclp)}$ )

lemma fixes e :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp and es :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list
shows  $\tau move0\text{-callD: } call e = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \implies \tau move0 P h e \longleftrightarrow (\text{synthesized-call } P h (a, M, vs) \rightarrow \tau external' P h a M)$ 
and  $\tau moves0\text{-callsD: } calls es = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \implies \tau moves0 P h es \longleftrightarrow (\text{synthesized-call } P h (a, M, vs) \rightarrow \tau external' P h a M)$ 
apply(induct e and es rule: call.induct calls.induct)
apply(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv)
apply(fastforce simp add: synthesized-call-def map-eq-append-conv  $\tau external'\text{-def } \tau external\text{-def dest: sees-method-fun} +$ )
done

lemma fixes e :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp and es :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list
shows  $\tau move0\text{-not-call: } \llbracket \tau move0 P h e; call e = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{synthesized-call } P h (a, M, vs) \rrbracket \implies \tau external' P h a M$ 

```

and $\tau\text{moves0-not-calls}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{moves0 } P h es; \text{calls } es = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{synthesized-call } P h (a, M, vs) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \tau\text{external}' P h a M$

apply(drule $\tau\text{move0-callD}$ [where $P=P$ and $h=h$], simp)
apply(drule $\tau\text{moves0-callsD}$ [where $P=P$ and $h=h$], simp)
done

lemma $\tau\text{red0-into-}\tau\text{Red0}$:

assumes $\text{red}: \tau\text{red0 } (\text{extTA2J0 } P) P t h (e, \text{Map.empty}) (e', xs')$
shows $\tau\text{Red0 } P t h (e, es) (e', es)$

proof –

from red have $\text{red}: \text{extTA2J0 } P, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, \text{Map.empty}) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle$
 and $\tau\text{move0 } P h e$ and $\text{no-call } P h e$ by auto
 hence $P, t \vdash_0 \langle e / es, h \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e' / es, h \rangle$
 by –(erule red0Red , auto simp add: no-call-def)
 thus ?thesis using ⟨ $\tau\text{move0 } P h e$ ⟩ by(auto)

qed

lemma $\tau\text{red0r-into-}\tau\text{Red0r}$:

assumes $\text{wwf}: \text{wwf-J-prog } P$
shows

$\llbracket \tau\text{red0r } (\text{extTA2J0 } P) P t h (e, \text{Map.empty}) (e'', \text{Map.empty}); \text{fv } e = \{\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \tau\text{Red0r } P t h (e, es) (e'', es)$

proof(induct e xs≡ $\text{Map.empty} :: \text{addr locals rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2}$)

case refl show ?case by blast

next

case (step $e e' xs'$)
 from ⟨ $\tau\text{red0 } (\text{extTA2J0 } P) P t h (e, \text{Map.empty}) (e', xs')$ ⟩
 have $\text{red}: \text{extTA2J0 } P, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, \text{Map.empty}) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle$
 and $\tau\text{move0 } P h e$ and $\text{no-call } P h e$ by auto
 from red-dom-lcl[OF red] ⟨ $\text{fv } e = \{\}$ ⟩
 have $\text{dom } xs' = \{\}$ by(auto split;if-split-asm)
 hence $xs' = \text{Map.empty}$ by(auto)
 moreover
 from wwf red have $\text{fv } e' \subseteq \text{fv } e$ by(rule red-fv-subset)
 with ⟨ $\text{fv } e = \{\}$ ⟩ have $\text{fv } e' = \{\}$ by blast
 ultimately have $\tau\text{Red0r } P t h (e', es) (e'', es)$ by(rule step)
 moreover from red ⟨ $\tau\text{move0 } P h e$ ⟩ ⟨ $xs' = \text{Map.empty}$ ⟩ ⟨ $\text{no-call } P h e$ ⟩
 have $\tau\text{Red0 } P t h (e, es) (e', es)$ by(auto simp add: no-call-def intro!: red0Red)
 ultimately show ?case by(blast intro: converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp)

qed

lemma $\tau\text{red0t-into-}\tau\text{Red0t}$:

assumes $\text{wwf}: \text{wwf-J-prog } P$
shows

$\llbracket \tau\text{red0t } (\text{extTA2J0 } P) P t h (e, \text{Map.empty}) (e'', \text{Map.empty}); \text{fv } e = \{\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \tau\text{Red0t } P t h (e, es) (e'', es)$

proof(induct e xs≡ $\text{Map.empty} :: \text{addr locals rule: converse-tranclp-induct2}$)

case base thus ?case
 by(blast intro!: tranclp.r-into-trancl $\tau\text{red0-into-}\tau\text{Red0}$)

next

case (step $e e' xs'$)
 from ⟨ $\tau\text{red0 } (\text{extTA2J0 } P) P t h (e, \text{Map.empty}) (e', xs')$ ⟩
 have $\text{red}: \text{extTA2J0 } P, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, \text{Map.empty}) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle$ and $\tau\text{move0 } P h e$ and no-call

```

P h e by auto
from red-dom-lcl[OF red] <fv e = {}>
have dom xs' = {} by(auto split;if-split-asm)
hence xs' = Map.empty by auto
moreover from wwf red have fv e' ⊆ fv e by(rule red-fv-subset)
with <fv e = {}> have fv e' = {} by blast
ultimately have τRed0t P t h (e', es) (e'', es) by(rule step)
moreover from red <τmove0 P h e> <xs' = Map.empty> <no-call P h e>
have τRed0 P t h (e, es) (e', es) by(auto simp add: no-call-def intro!: red0Red)
ultimately show ?case by(blast intro: tranclp-into-tranclp2)
qed

lemma τred0r-Val:
τred0r extTA P t h (Val v, xs) s' ↔ s' = (Val v, xs)
proof
assume τred0r extTA P t h (Val v, xs) s'
thus s' = (Val v, xs) by induct(auto)
qed auto

lemma τred0t-Val:
τred0t extTA P t h (Val v, xs) s' ↔ False
proof
assume τred0t extTA P t h (Val v, xs) s'
thus False by induct auto
qed auto

lemma τreds0r-map-Val:
τreds0r extTA P t h (map Val vs, xs) s' ↔ s' = (map Val vs, xs)
proof
assume τreds0r extTA P t h (map Val vs, xs) s'
thus s' = (map Val vs, xs) by induct auto
qed auto

lemma τreds0t-map-Val:
τreds0t extTA P t h (map Val vs, xs) s' ↔ False
proof
assume τreds0t extTA P t h (map Val vs, xs) s'
thus False by induct auto
qed auto

lemma Red-Suspend-is-call:
[] P, t ⊢ 0 ⟨e/exs, h⟩ −ta→ ⟨e'/exs', h'⟩; Suspend w ∈ set {ta}w ] ⇒ is-call e'
by(auto elim!: red0.cases dest: red-Suspend-is-call simp add: is-call-def)

lemma red0-mthr: multithreaded final-expr0 (mred0 P)
by(unfold-locales)(auto elim!: red0.cases dest: red-new-thread-heap)

lemma red0-τmthr-wf: τmultithreaded-wf final-expr0 (mred0 P) (τMOVE0 P)
proof –
interpret multithreaded final-expr0 mred0 P by(rule red0-mthr)
show ?thesis
proof
fix x1 m1 t ta1 x1' m1'

```

```

assume mred0 P t (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1') τMOVE0 P (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1')
thus m1 = m1' by(cases x1)(fastforce elim!: red0.cases dest: τmove0-heap-unchanged)
qed(simp add: split-beta)
qed

lemma red-τmthr-wf: τmultithreaded-wf final-expr (mred P) (τMOVE P)
proof
  fix x1 m1 t ta1 x1' m1'
  assume mred P t (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1') τMOVE P (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1')
  thus m1 = m1' by(auto dest: τmove0-heap-unchanged simp add: split-def)
  qed(simp add: split-beta)

end

sublocale J-heap-base < red-mthr:
  τmultithreaded-wf
  final-expr
  mred P
  convert-RA
  τMOVE P
  for P
by(rule red-τmthr-wf)

sublocale J-heap-base < red0-mthr:
  τmultithreaded-wf
  final-expr0
  mred0 P
  convert-RA
  τMOVE0 P
  for P
by(rule red0-τmthr-wf)

context J-heap-base begin

lemma τRed0r-into-red0-τmthr-silent-moves:
  τRed0r P t h (e, es) (e'', es'') ==> red0-mthr.silent-moves P t ((e, es), h) ((e'', es''), h)
apply(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)
  apply blast
apply(erule rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
apply(simp add: red0-mthr.silent-move-iff)
done

lemma τRed0t-into-red0-τmthr-silent-movet:
  τRed0t P t h (e, es) (e'', es'') ==> red0-mthr.silent-movet P t ((e, es), h) ((e'', es''), h)
apply(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)
apply(fastforce simp add: red0-mthr.silent-move-iff elim: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl)+
done

end

end

```

7.3 Bisimulation proof for between source code small step semantics with and without callstacks for single threads

```

theory J0Bisim imports
  J0
  ..../J/JWellForm
  ..../Common/ExternalCallWF
begin

inductive wf-state :: 'addr expr × 'addr expr list ⇒ bool
  where
    [] fvs (e # es) = {}; ∀ e ∈ set es. is-call e []
    ⟹ wf-state (e, es)

inductive bisim-red-red0 :: ('addr expr × 'addr locals) × 'heap ⇒ ('addr expr × 'addr expr list) × 'heap ⇒ bool
  where
    wf-state ees ⟹ bisim-red-red0 ((collapse ees, Map.empty), h) (ees, h)

abbreviation ta-bisim0 :: ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J-thread-action ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-thread-action
  ⇒ bool
  where
    ta-bisim0 ≡ ta-bisim (λt. bisim-red-red0)

lemma wf-state-iff [simp, code]:
  wf-state (e, es) ⟷ fvs (e # es) = {} ∧ (∀ e ∈ set es. is-call e)
  by(simp add: wf-state.simps)

lemma bisim-red-red0I [intro]:
  [] e' = collapse ees; xs = Map.empty; h' = h; wf-state ees []
  ⟹ bisim-red-red0 ((e', xs), h') (ees, h)
  by(simp add: bisim-red-red0.simps del: split-paired-Ex)

lemma bisim-red-red0-final0D:
  [] bisim-red-red0 (x1, m1) (x2, m2); final-expr0 x2 []
  ⟹ final-expr x1
  by(erule bisim-red-red0.cases) auto

context J-heap-base begin

lemma red0-preserves-wf-state:
  assumes wf: wwf-J-prog P
  and red: P,t ⊢ 0 ⟨e / es, h⟩ −ta→ ⟨e' / es', h'⟩
  and wf-state: wf-state (e, es)
  shows wf-state (e', es')
  using wf-state
  proof(cases)
    assume fvs (e # es) = {} and icl: ∀ e ∈ set es. is-call e
    hence fv: fv e = {} fvs es = {} by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof
      from red show fvs (e' # es') = {}
      proof cases
        case (red0Red xs')
        hence [simp]: es' = es
        and red: extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', xs')⟩ by auto
        from red-fv-subset[OF wf red] fv have fv e' = {} by auto
    qed
  qed
end

```

```

with fv show ?thesis by simp
next
case (red0Call a M vs U Ts T pns body D)
hence [simp]: ta = ε
  e' = blocks (this # pns) (Class D # Ts) (Addr a # vs) body
  es' = e # es h' = h
  and sees: P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M: Ts → T = [(pns, body)] in D by auto
from sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf sees]
have fv body ⊆ insert this (set pns) length Ts = length pns by(simp-all add: wf-mdecl-def)
thus ?thesis using fv ⟨length vs = length pns⟩ by auto
next
case (red0Return E)
with fv-inline-call[of e E] show ?thesis using fv by auto
qed
next
from red icl show ∀ e ∈ set es'. is-call e
  by cases(simp-all add: is-call-def)
qed
qed

lemma new-thread-bisim0-extNTA2J-extNTA2J0:
assumes wf: wwf-J-prog P
and red: P, t ⊢ ⟨a'·M'(vs), h⟩ − ta → ext ⟨va, h'⟩
and nt: NewThread t' CMA m ∈ set {ta}t
shows bisim-red-red0 (extNTA2J P CMA, m) (extNTA2J0 P CMA, m)
proof –
obtain C M a where CMA [simp]: CMA = (C, M, a) by(cases CMA)
from red nt have [simp]: m = h' by(rule red-ext-new-thread-heap)
from red-external-new-thread-sees[OF wf red nt[unfolded CMA]]
obtain T pns body D where h'a: typeof-addr h' a = [Class-type C]
  and sees: P ⊢ C sees M: [] → T = [(pns, body)] in D by auto
from sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf sees] have fv body ⊆ {this} by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
with red nt h'a sees show ?thesis by(fastforce simp add: is-call-def intro: bisim-red-red0.intros)
qed

lemma ta-bisim0-extNTA2J-extNTA2J0:
  [| wwf-J-prog P; P, t ⊢ ⟨a'·M'(vs), h⟩ − ta → ext ⟨va, h'⟩ |]
  ==> ta-bisim0 (extTA2J P ta) (extTA2J0 P ta)
apply(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def intro!: list-all2-all-nthI)
apply(case-tac {ta}t ! n)
apply(simp-all)
apply(erule (1) new-thread-bisim0-extNTA2J-extNTA2J0)
apply(auto simp add: in-set-conv-nth)
done

lemma assumes wf: wwf-J-prog P
shows red-red0-tabisim0:
P, t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ − ta → ⟨e', s'⟩ ==> ∃ ta'. extTA2J0 P, P, t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ − ta' → ⟨e', s'⟩ ∧ ta-bisim0 ta ta'
and reds-reds0-tabisim0:
P, t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta →] ⟨es', s'⟩ ==> ∃ ta'. extTA2J0 P, P, t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta' →] ⟨es', s'⟩ ∧ ta-bisim0 ta ta'
proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
case (RedCallExternal s a T M Ts Tr D vs ta va h' ta' e' s')
note red = ⟨P, t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), hp s⟩ − ta → ext ⟨va, h'⟩⟩
note T = ⟨typeof-addr (hp s) a = [T]⟩

```

```

from T <P ⊢ class-type-of T sees M: Ts→Tr = Native in D> red
have extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨addr a·M(map Val vs),s⟩ –extTA2J0 P ta→⟨e',(h', lcl s)⟩
  by(rule red-reds.RedCallExternal)(simp-all add: ⟨e' = extRet2J (addr a·M(map Val vs)) va⟩)
moreover from ⟨ta' = extTA2J P ta⟩ T red wf
have ta-bisim0 ta' (extTA2J0 P ta) by(auto intro: ta-bisim0-extNTA2J-extNTA2J0)
ultimately show ?case unfolding ⟨s' = (h', lcl s)⟩ by blast
next
  case RedTryFail thus ?case by(force intro: red-reds.RedTryFail)
qed(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros simp add: ta-bisim-def ta-upd-simps)+

lemma assumes wf: wwf-J-prog P
  shows red0-red-tabisim0:
    extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ –ta→⟨e', s'⟩ ⟹ ∃ ta'. P,t ⊢ ⟨e, s⟩ –ta'→⟨e', s'⟩ ∧ ta-bisim0 ta' ta
  and reds0-reds-tabisim0:
    extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [–ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩ ⟹ ∃ ta'. P,t ⊢ ⟨es, s⟩ [–ta'→] ⟨es', s'⟩ ∧ ta-bisim0 ta' ta
proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
  case (RedCallExternal s a T M Ts Tr D vs ta va h' ta' e' s')
  note red = ⟨P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs),hp s⟩ –ta→ext ⟨va,h'⟩⟩
  note T = ⟨typeof-addr (hp s) a = [T]⟩
  from T <P ⊢ class-type-of T sees M: Ts→Tr = Native in D> red
  have P,t ⊢ ⟨addr a·M(map Val vs),s⟩ –extTA2J P ta→⟨e',(h', lcl s)⟩
    by(rule red-reds.RedCallExternal)(simp-all add: ⟨e' = extRet2J (addr a·M(map Val vs)) va⟩)
  moreover from ⟨ta' = extTA2J0 P ta⟩ T red wf
  have ta-bisim0 (extTA2J P ta) ta' by(auto intro: ta-bisim0-extNTA2J-extNTA2J0)
  ultimately show ?case unfolding ⟨s' = (h', lcl s)⟩ by blast
next
  case RedTryFail thus ?case by(force intro: red-reds.RedTryFail)
qed(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros simp add: ta-bisim-def ta-upd-simps)+

lemma red-inline-call-red:
  assumes red: P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h, Map.empty)⟩ –ta→⟨e', (h', Map.empty)⟩
  shows call E = [aMvs] ⟹ P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-call e E, (h, x)⟩ –ta→⟨inline-call e' E, (h', x)⟩
  (is - ⟹ ?concl E x)
and
calls Es = [aMvs] ⟹ P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-calls e Es, (h, x)⟩ [–ta→] ⟨inline-calls e' Es, (h', x)⟩
(is - ⟹ ?concls Es x)
proof(induct E and Es arbitrary: x and x rule: call.induct calls.induct)
  case (Call obj M pns x)
  note IHobj = ⟨x. call obj = [aMvs] ⟹ ?concl obj x⟩
  note IHpns = ⟨x. calls pns = [aMvs] ⟹ ?concls pns x⟩
  obtain a M' vs where [simp]: aMvs = (a, M', vs) by(cases aMvs, auto)
  from ⟨call (obj·M(pns)) = [aMvs]⟩ have call (obj·M(pns)) = [(a, M', vs)] by simp
  thus ?case
  proof(induct rule: call-callE)
    case CallObj
    with IHobj[of x] show ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.CallObj)
  next
    case (CallParams v'')
    with IHpns[of x] show ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.CallParams)
  next
    case Call
    from red-lcl-add[OF red, where ?l0.0=x]
    have P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, x)⟩ –ta→⟨e', (h', x)⟩ by simp

```

```

with Call show ?case by(fastforce dest: BlockRed)
qed
next
case (Block V T' vo exp x)
note IH = <math>\langle \bigwedge x. call exp = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \Rightarrow ?concl exp x \rangle
from IH[of x(V := vo)] <math>\langle call \{V:T'=vo; exp\} = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \rangle
show ?case by(clarsimp simp del: fun-upd-apply)(drule BlockRed, auto)
next
case (Cons-exp exp exps x)
show ?case
proof(cases is-val exp)
  case True
  with <math>\langle calls (exp \# exps) = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \rangle \text{ have } calls exps = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \text{ by auto}
  with <math>\langle calls exps = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \Rightarrow ?concls exps x \rangle \text{ True}
  show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: ListRed2)
next
case False
with <math>\langle calls (exp \# exps) = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \rangle \text{ have } call exp = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \text{ by auto}
with <math>\langle call exp = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \Rightarrow ?concl exp x \rangle \text{ True}
show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: ListRed1)
qed
qed(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)+

lemma
assumes P ⊢ class-type-of T sees M:Us→U = [(pns, body)] in D length vs = length pns length Us
= length pns
shows is-call-red-inline-call:
  <math>\llbracket call e = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; typeof-addr (hp s) a = \lfloor T \rfloor \rrbracket
  \Rightarrow P, t ⊢ \langle e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle inline-call (blocks (this \# pns) (Class D \# Us) (Addr a \# vs) body) e, s \rangle
  (\text{is } - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow ?red e s)
and is-calls-reds-inline-calls:
  <math>\llbracket calls es = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; typeof-addr (hp s) a = \lfloor T \rfloor \rrbracket
  \Rightarrow P, t ⊢ \langle es, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle inline-calls (blocks (this \# pns) (Class D \# Us) (Addr a \# vs) body) es, s \rangle
  (\text{is } - \Rightarrow - \Rightarrow ?reds es s)
proof(induct e and es arbitrary: s and s rule: call.induct calls.induct)
  case (Call obj M' params s)
  note IHOBJ = <math>\langle \bigwedge s. \llbracket call obj = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; typeof-addr (hp s) a = \lfloor T \rfloor \rrbracket \Rightarrow ?red obj s \rangle
  note IHParams = <math>\langle \bigwedge s. \llbracket calls params = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; typeof-addr (hp s) a = \lfloor T \rfloor \rrbracket \Rightarrow ?reds params s \rangle
  from <math>\langle call (obj \cdot M'(params)) = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \rangle
  show ?case
proof(induct rule: call-callE)
  case CallObj
  from IHOBJ[OF CallObj] <math>\langle typeof-addr (hp s) a = \lfloor T \rfloor \rangle \text{ have } ?red obj s \text{ by blast}
  moreover from CallObj have <math>\neg is-val obj \text{ by auto}
  ultimately show ?case by(auto intro: red-reds.CallObj)
next
case (CallParams v)
from IHParams[OF <math>\langle calls params = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \rangle \langle typeof-addr (hp s) a = \lfloor T \rfloor \rangle
have ?reds params s \text{ by blast}
moreover from CallParams have <math>\neg is-vals params \text{ by auto}
ultimately show ?case using <math>\langle obj = Val v \rangle \text{ by}(auto intro: red-reds.CallParams)
next
case Call

```

```

with RedCall[where s=s, simplified, OF <typeof-addr (hp s) a = [T]> <P ⊢ class-type-of T sees
M:Us→U = [(pns, body)] in D> <length vs = length pns> <length Us = length pns>]
show ?thesis by(simp)
qed
next
case (Block V ty vo exp s)
note IH = <A.s. [call exp = [(a, M, vs)]; typeof-addr (hp s) a = [T]] => ?red exp s>
from <call {V:ty=vo; exp} = [(a, M, vs)]> IH[of (hp s, (lcl s)(V := vo))] <typeof-addr (hp s) a =
[T]>
show ?case by(cases s, simp del: fun-upd-apply)(drule red-reds.BlockRed, simp)
qed(fastforce intro: red-reds.intros)+

lemma red-inline-call-red':
assumes fv: fv ee = {}
and eefin: ¬ final ee
shows <[ call E = [aMvs]; P,t ⊢ <inline-call ee E, (h, x)> -ta→ <E', (h', x')> ]>
      => ∃ ee'. E' = inline-call ee' E ∧ P,t ⊢ <ee, (h, Map.empty)> -ta→ <ee', (h', Map.empty)> ∧
x = x'
(is <[-; -]> => ?concl E E' x x')
and <[ calls Es = [aMvs]; P,t ⊢ <inline-calls ee Es, (h, x)> [-ta→] <Es', (h', x')> ]>
      => ∃ ee'. Es' = inline-calls ee' Es ∧ P,t ⊢ <ee, (h, Map.empty)> -ta→ <ee', (h', Map.empty)> ∧
x = x'
(is <[-; -]> => ?concls Es Es' x x')
proof(induct E and Es arbitrary: E' x x' and Es' x x' rule: call.induct calls.induct)
case new thus ?case by simp
next
case (newArray T exp E' x x')
thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases)
next
case Cast thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!:red-cases)
next
case InstanceOf thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!:red-cases)
next
case Val thus ?case by simp
next
case Var thus ?case by simp
next
case LAss
thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases)
next
case BinOp
thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases split: if-split-asm)
next
case AAcc
thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases split: if-split-asm)
next
case AAAss thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases split: if-split-asm)
next
case ALen thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases split: if-split-asm)
next
case FAcc thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases)
next
case FAAss thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases split: if-split-asm)
next

```

```

case CompareAndSwap thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases split: if-split-asm)
next
case (Call obj M pns E' x x')
note IHobj = <A x E' x'. [call obj = |aMvs]; P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-call ee obj,(h, x)⟩ -ta→ ⟨E',(h', x')⟩]>
    ==> ?concl obj E' x x'
note IHpns = <A Es' x x'. [calls pns = |aMvs]; P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-calls ee pns,(h, x)⟩ [-ta→] ⟨Es',(h', x')⟩]>
    ==> ?concls pns Es' x x'
note red = <P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-call ee (obj·M(pns)),(h, x)⟩ -ta→ ⟨E',(h', x')⟩⟩
obtain a M' vs where [simp]: aMvs = (a, M', vs) by(cases aMvs, auto)
from <call (obj·M(pns)) = |aMvs> have call (obj·M(pns)) = |(a,M',vs)| by simp
thus ?case
proof(cases rule: call-callE)
case CallObj
hence ¬ is-val obj by auto
with red CallObj eefin obtain obj' where E' = obj'·M(pns)
and red': P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-call ee obj,(h, x)⟩ -ta→ ⟨obj',(h', x')⟩
by(auto elim!: red-cases)
from IHobj[OF - red] CallObj obtain ee'
where inline-call ee' obj = obj' x = x'
and P,t ⊢ ⟨ee,(h, Map.empty)⟩ -ta→ ⟨ee',(h', Map.empty)⟩ by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)
with <E' = obj'·M(pns)> CallObj red' show ?thesis by(fastforce simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
case (CallParams v'')
hence ¬ is-vals pns by auto
with red CallParams eefin obtain pns' where E' = obj·M(pns')
and red': P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-calls ee pns,(h, x)⟩ [-ta→] ⟨pns',(h', x')⟩
by(auto elim!: red-cases)
from IHpns[OF - red] CallParams obtain ee'
where inline-calls ee' pns = pns' x = x'
and P,t ⊢ ⟨ee,(h, Map.empty)⟩ -ta→ ⟨ee',(h', Map.empty)⟩
by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)
with <E' = obj·M(pns')> CallParams red' ¬ is-vals pns
show ?thesis by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
case Call
with red have red': P,t ⊢ ⟨ee,(h, x)⟩ -ta→ ⟨E',(h', x')⟩ by(auto)
from red-lcl-sub[OF red', of {}] fv
have P,t ⊢ ⟨ee,(h, Map.empty)⟩ -ta→ ⟨E',(h', Map.empty)⟩ by simp
moreover have x' = x
proof(rule ext)
fix V
from red-notfree-unchanged[OF red', of V] fv
show x' V = x V by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using Call by simp
qed
next
case (Block V ty voo exp E' x x')
note IH = <A x E' x'. [call exp = |aMvs]; P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-call ee exp,(h, x)⟩ -ta→ ⟨E',(h', x')⟩]>
    ==> ?concl exp E' x x'
from <call {V:ty=voo; exp} = |aMvs> have ic: call exp = |aMvs| by simp
note red = <P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-call ee {V:ty=voo; exp},(h, x)⟩ -ta→ ⟨E',(h', x')⟩⟩
hence P,t ⊢ <{V:ty=voo; inline-call ee exp},(h, x)⟩ -ta→ ⟨E',(h', x')⟩ by simp

```

```

with ic eefin obtain exp' x'' where E' = { V:ty=x'' V; exp'}
  and red': P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-call ee exp,(h, fun-upd x V voo)⟩ −ta→ ⟨exp',(h', x'')⟩
  and x' = fun-upd x'' V (x V)
  by −(erule red.cases,auto dest: inline-call-eq-Val)
from IH[OF ic red'] obtain ee' vo'
  where icl: inline-call ee' exp = exp' x'' = fun-upd x V voo
  and red'': P,t ⊢ ⟨ee,(h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨ee',(h', Map.empty)⟩ by blast
from ⟨x'' = fun-upd x V voo⟩ have x'' V = voo by(simp add: fun-eq-iff)
with icl red'' ⟨E' = { V:ty=x'' V; exp'}⟩ ⟨x' = fun-upd x'' V (x V)⟩ red'
show ?case by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case Synchronized thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases)
next
  case InSynchronized thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases)
next
  case Seq
    thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases)
next
  case Cond thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases)
next
  case While thus ?case by simp
next
  case throw
    thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases)
next
  case TryCatch
    thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: red-cases)
next
  case Nil-exp thus ?case by simp
next
  case Cons-exp
    thus ?case using eefin by(auto elim!: reds-cases split: if-split-asm)
qed

```

lemma assumes sees: $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } M:Us \rightarrow U = \lfloor(pns, \text{body})\rfloor \text{ in } D$

shows is-call-red-inline-callD:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P,t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{call } e = \lfloor(a, M, vs)\rfloor; \text{typeof-addr } (hp s) a = \lfloor T \rfloor \rrbracket \\ & \implies e' = \text{inline-call } (\text{blocks } (\text{this} \# pns) (\text{Class } D \# Us) (\text{Addr } a \# vs) \text{ body}) e \\ & \text{and is-calls-reds-inline-callsD:} \\ & \llbracket P,t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \rightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{calls } es = \lfloor(a, M, vs)\rfloor; \text{typeof-addr } (hp s) a = \lfloor T \rfloor \rrbracket \\ & \implies es' = \text{inline-calls } (\text{blocks } (\text{this} \# pns) (\text{Class } D \# Us) (\text{Addr } a \# vs) \text{ body}) es \end{aligned}$$

proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)

case RedCall with sees show ?case by(auto dest: sees-method-fun)

next

case RedCallExternal

with sees show ?case by(auto dest: sees-method-fun)

next

case (BlockRed e h x V vo ta e' h' x' T')

from ⟨call {V:T'=vo; e} = ⌊(a, M, vs)⌋⟩ ⟨typeof-addr (hp (h, x)) a = ⌊T⌋⟩ sees

have call e = ⌊(a, M, vs)⌋ and ¬ synthesized-call P h (a, M, vs)

by(auto simp add: synthesized-call-conv dest: sees-method-fun)

with ⟨P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, x(V:=vo))⟩ → ⟨e',(h', x')⟩⟩

have x(V:=vo) = x' by(auto dest: is-call-red-state-unchanged)

hence x' V = vo by auto

```

with BlockRed show ?case by(simp)
qed(fastforce split: if-split-asm)+

lemma (in -) wf-state-ConsD: wf-state (e, e' # es) ==> wf-state (e', es)
by(simp)

lemma red-fold-exs:
  [ P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', Map.empty)⟩; wf-state (e, es) ]
  ==> P,t ⊢ ⟨collapse (e, es), (h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨collapse (e', es), (h', Map.empty)⟩
  (is [ [ ; - ] ==> ?concl e e' es]
proof(induction es arbitrary: e e')
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons E es)
    note wf = ⟨wf-state (e, E # es)⟩
    note red = ⟨P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', Map.empty)⟩⟩
    from wf obtain a M vs arrobj where call: call E = |(a, M, vs)|
      by(auto simp add: is-call-def)
    from red call have P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-call e E,(h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨inline-call e' E,(h', Map.empty)⟩
      by(rule red-inline-call-red)
    hence P,t ⊢ ⟨collapse (inline-call e E, es),(h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨collapse (inline-call e' E, es),(h', Map.empty)⟩
  proof(rule Cons.IH)
    from wf have fv E = {} fv e = {} by auto
    with fv-inline-call[of e E] have fv (inline-call e E) = {} by auto
    thus wf-state (inline-call e E, es) using wf by auto
  qed
  thus ?case by simp
qed

lemma red-fold-exs':
  [ P,t ⊢ ⟨collapse (e, es), (h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', (h', x')⟩; wf-state (e, es); ¬ final e ]
  ==> ∃ E'. e' = collapse (E', es) ∧ P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨E', (h', Map.empty)⟩
  (is [ [ ; -; - ] ==> ?concl e es]
proof(induction es arbitrary: e)
  case Nil
    hence red': P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', x')⟩ by simp
    with red-dom-lcl[OF this] ⟨wf-state (e, [])⟩ show ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons E es)
    note wf = ⟨wf-state (e, E # es)⟩
    note nfin = ¬ final e
    from wf have fv e = {} by simp
    from wf obtain a M vs where call: call E = |(a, M, vs)| by(auto simp add: is-call-def)
    from ⟨P,t ⊢ ⟨collapse (e, E # es),(h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', x')⟩⟩
    have P,t ⊢ ⟨collapse (inline-call e E, es),(h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', x')⟩ by simp
    moreover from wf fv-inline-call[of e E] have wf-state (inline-call e E, es) by auto
    moreover from nfin call have ¬ final (inline-call e E) by(auto elim!: final.cases)
    ultimately have ?concl (inline-call e E) es by(rule Cons.IH)
    then obtain E' where e': e' = collapse (E', es)
      and red': P,t ⊢ ⟨inline-call e E,(h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨E',(h', Map.empty)⟩ by blast
      from red-inline-call-red'(1)[OF ⟨fv e = {}⟩ nfin ⟨call E = |(a, M, vs)|⟩ red']
      obtain e' where E' = inline-call e' E P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h, Map.empty)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', Map.empty)⟩ by auto
      thus ?case using e' by auto

```

qed

lemma $\tau Red0r\text{-inline-call-not-final}$:

$\exists e' es'. \tau Red0r P t h (e, es) (e', es') \wedge (\text{final } e' \longrightarrow es' = []) \wedge \text{collapse} (e, es) = \text{collapse} (e', es')$

proof(*induct* es *arbitrary*: e)

case Nil **thus** ?**case** **by** *blast*

next

case ($\text{Cons } e es E$) **show** ?**case**

proof(*cases* $\text{final } E$)

case True

hence $\tau Red0 P t h (E, e \# es) (\text{inline-call } E e, es)$ **by**(*auto intro*: red0Return)

moreover from $\text{Cons}[\text{of inline-call } E e]$ **obtain** $e' es'$

where $\tau Red0r P t h (\text{inline-call } E e, es) (e', es')$ $\text{final } e' \longrightarrow es' = []$

$\text{collapse} (\text{inline-call } E e, es) = \text{collapse} (e', es')$ **by** *blast*

ultimately show ?**thesis** **unfolding** collapse.simps **by**(*blast intro*: $\text{converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp}$)

qed *blast*

qed

lemma $\tau Red0\text{-preserves-wf-state}$:

$\llbracket \text{wwf-J-prog } P; \tau Red0 P t h (e, es) (e', es'); \text{wf-state} (e, es) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf-state} (e', es')$
by(*auto simp del*: $\text{wf-state-iff intro}$: $\text{red0-preserves-wf-state}$)

lemma $\tau Red0r\text{-preserves-wf-state}$:

assumes $wf: \text{wwf-J-prog } P$

shows $\llbracket \tau Red0r P t h (e, es) (e', es'); \text{wf-state} (e, es) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf-state} (e', es')$

by(*induct rule*: rtranclp-induct2)(*blast intro*: $\tau Red0\text{-preserves-wf-state}[OF wf]$) +

lemma $\tau Red0t\text{-preserves-wf-state}$:

assumes $wf: \text{wwf-J-prog } P$

shows $\llbracket \tau Red0t P t h (e, es) (e', es'); \text{wf-state} (e, es) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf-state} (e', es')$

by(*induct rule*: tranclp-induct2)(*blast intro*: $\tau Red0\text{-preserves-wf-state}[OF wf]$) +

lemma $\text{collapse-}\tau move0\text{-inv}$:

$\llbracket \forall e \in \text{set } es. \text{is-call } e; \neg \text{final } e \rrbracket \implies \tau move0 P h (\text{collapse} (e, es)) = \tau move0 P h e$
proof(*induction* es *arbitrary*: e)

case Nil **thus** ?**case** **by** *clar simp*

next

case ($\text{Cons } e es e''$)

from $\langle \forall a \in \text{set } (e \# es). \text{is-call } a \rangle$ **obtain** $aMvs$ **where** $\text{calls}: \forall e \in \text{set } es. \text{is-call } e$

and $\text{call}: \text{call } e = [aMvs]$ **by**(*auto simp add*: is-call-def)

note calls **moreover**

from $\langle \neg \text{final } e'' \rangle$ $\text{call have } \neg \text{final} (\text{inline-call } e'' e)$ **by**(*auto simp add*: final-iff)

ultimately have $\tau move0 P h (\text{collapse} (\text{inline-call } e'' e, es)) = \tau move0 P h (\text{inline-call } e'' e)$

by(*rule* Cons.IH)

also from $\text{call } \langle \neg \text{final } e'' \rangle$ **have** $\dots = \tau move0 P h e''$ **by**(*auto simp add*: $\text{inline-call-}\tau move0\text{-inv}$)

finally show ?**case** **by** *simp*

qed

lemma $\tau Red0r\text{-into-silent-moves}$:

$\tau Red0r P t h (e, es) (e', es') \implies \text{red0-mthr.silent-moves } P t ((e, es), h) ((e', es'), h)$

by(*induct rule*: rtranclp-induct2)(*fastforce intro*: $\tau \text{trsyst.silent-move.intros elim!}$: $\text{rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl}$) +

lemma $\tau Red0t\text{-into-silent-movet}$:

$\tau Red0t P t h (e, es) (e', es') \implies \text{red0-mthr.silent-movet } P t ((e, es), h) ((e', es'), h)$

```

by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(fastforce intro: τtrs.silent-move.intros elim!: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl)+

lemma red-simulates-red0:
  assumes wwf: wwf-J-prog P
  and sim: bisim-red-red0 s1 s2 mred0 P t s2 ta2 s2' ⊢ τMOVE0 P s2 ta2 s2'
  shows ∃ s1' ta1. mred P t s1 ta1 s1' ∧ ⊢ τMOVE P s1 ta1 s1' ∧ bisim-red-red0 s1' s2' ∧ ta-bisim0
  ta1 ta2
proof -
  note sim
  moreover obtain e1 h1 x1 where s1: s1 = ((e1, x1), h1) by(cases s1, auto)
  moreover obtain e' es' h2' where s2': s2' = ((e', es'), h2') by(cases s2', auto)
  moreover obtain e es h2 where s2: s2 = ((e, es), h2) by(cases s2, auto)
  ultimately have bisim: bisim-red-red0 ((e1, x1), h1) ((e, es), h2)
  and red: P,t ⊢ 0 ⟨e/es, h2⟩ -ta2→ ⟨e'/es', h2'⟩
  and τ: ⊢ τmove0 P h2 e ∧ ⊢ final e ∨ ta2 ≠ ε by auto
  from red τ have τ: ⊢ τmove0 P h2 e and nfin: ⊢ final e
    by(cases, auto dest: red-τ-taD[where extTA=extTA2J0 P, OF extTA2J0-ε])+ 
  from bisim have heap: h1 = h2 and fold: e1 = collapse (e, es)
    and x1: x1 = Map.empty and wf-state: wf-state (e, es)
    by(auto elim!: bisim-red-red0.cases)
  from red wf-state have wf-state': wf-state (e', es') by(rule red0-preserves-wf-state[OF wwf])
  from red show ?thesis
  proof(cases)
    case (red0Red xs')
      hence [simp]: es' = es
        and extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h2, Map.empty)⟩ -ta2→ ⟨e', (h2', xs')⟩ by auto
      from red0-red-tabisim0[OF wwf this(2)] obtain ta1
        where red': P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h2, Map.empty)⟩ -ta1→ ⟨e', (h2', xs')⟩
        and tasim: ta-bisim0 ta1 ta2 by auto
      moreover from wf-state have fv e = {} by auto
      with red-dom-lcl[OF red] red-fv-subset[OF wwf red] have xs' = Map.empty by auto
      ultimately have P,t ⊢ ⟨e, (h2, Map.empty)⟩ -ta1→ ⟨e', (h2', Map.empty)⟩ by simp
        with wf-state have P,t ⊢ ⟨collapse (e, es), (h2, Map.empty)⟩ -ta1→ ⟨collapse (e', es), (h2', Map.empty)⟩
          by -(erule red-fold-exs, auto)
      moreover from τ wf-state fold nfin have ⊢ τmove0 P h2 e1 by(auto simp add: collapse-τmove0-inv)
        hence ⊢ τMOVE P ((collapse (e, es), Map.empty), h2) ta1 ((collapse (e', es), Map.empty), h2')
          unfolding fold by auto
      moreover from wf-state' have bisim-red-red0 ((collapse (e', es), Map.empty), h2') s2'
        unfolding s2' by(auto)
      ultimately show ?thesis unfolding heap s1 s2 s2' fold x1
        using tasim by(fastforce intro!: exI rtranclp.rtrancl-refl)
  next
    case red0Call
      with τ have False
      by(auto simp add: synthesized-call-def τexternal'-def dest!: τmove0-callD[where P=P and h=h2]
      dest: sees-method-fun)
      thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case red0Return with nfin show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

lemma delay-bisimulation-measure-red-red0:

```

```

assumes wf: wwf-J-prog P
shows delay-bisimulation-measure (mred P t) (mred0 P t) bisim-red-red0 ta-bisim0 ( $\tau$ MOVE P)
( $\tau$ MOVE0 P) ( $\lambda e e'. \text{False}$ ) ( $\lambda((e, es), h) ((e, es'), h)$ ). length es < length es'
proof
  show wfP ( $\lambda e e'. \text{False}$ ) by auto
next
  have wf { $(x :: \text{nat}, y). x < y$ } by(rule wf-less)
  hence wf (inv-image { $(x :: \text{nat}, y). x < y$ } (length o snd o fst)) by(rule wf-inv-image)
  also have inv-image { $(x :: \text{nat}, y). x < y$ } (length o snd o fst) = { $(x, y). (\lambda((e, es), h) ((e, es'), h))$ . length es < length es'} x y by auto
  finally show wfP ( $\lambda((e, es), h) ((e, es'), h)$ . length es < length es')
    unfolding wfP-def .
next
  fix s1 s2 s1'
  assume bisim-red-red0 s1 s2 and red-mthr.silent-move P t s1 s1'
  moreover obtain e1 h1 x1 where s1: s1 = ((e1, x1), h1) by(cases s1, auto)
  moreover obtain e1' h1' x1' where s1': s1' = ((e1', x1'), h1') by(cases s1', auto)
  moreover obtain e es h2 where s2: s2 = ((e, es), h2) by(cases s2, auto)
  ultimately have bisim: bisim-red-red0 ((e1, x1), h1) ((e, es), h2)
    and red: P,t ⊢ ⟨e1, (h1, x1)⟩  $\rightarrow$  ε → ⟨e1', (h1', x1')⟩
    and  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move0 P h1 e1 by(auto elim:  $\tau$ trsys.silent-move.cases)
  from bisim have heap: h1 = h2
    and fold: e1 = collapse (e, es)
    and x1: x1 = Map.empty
    and wf-state: wf-state (e, es)
    by(auto elim: bisim-red-red0.cases)
  from  $\tau$ Red0r-inline-call-not-final[of P t h1 e es]
  obtain e' es' where red1:  $\tau$ Red0r P t h1 (e, es) (e', es')
    and final e'  $\Rightarrow$  es' = []
    and feq: collapse (e, es) = collapse (e', es') by blast
  have nfin:  $\neg$  final e'
  proof
    assume fin: final e'
    hence es' = [] by(rule ⟨final e'  $\Rightarrow$  es' = []⟩)
    with fold fin feq have final e1 by simp
    with red show False by auto
  qed
  from red1 wf-state have wf-state': wf-state (e', es') by(rule  $\tau$ Red0r-preserves-wf-state[OF wf])
  hence fv: fvs (e' # es') = {} and icl:  $\forall e \in \text{set } es'. \text{is-call } e$  by auto
  from red-fold-exs'[OF red[unfolded fold x1 feq] wf-state' nfin]
  obtain E' where e1': e1' = collapse (E', es')
    and red': P,t ⊢ ⟨e', (h1, Map.empty)⟩  $\rightarrow$  ⟨E', (h1', Map.empty)⟩ by auto
  from fv fv-collapse[of es e] wf-state fold feq have fv e1 = {} by(auto)
  with red-dom-lcl[OF red] x1 have x1': x1' = Map.empty by simp
  from red-red0-tabisim0[OF wf red']
  have red'': extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e', (h1, Map.empty)⟩  $\rightarrow$  ⟨E', (h1', Map.empty)⟩ by simp
  show bisim-red-red0 s1' s2  $\wedge$  ( $\lambda e e'. \text{False}$ )  $\wedge$  s1' s1  $\vee$ 
    ( $\exists s2'. \text{red0-mthr.silent-movet P t s2 s2'}$   $\wedge$  bisim-red-red0 s1' s2')
  proof(cases no-call P h1 e')
    case True
    with red'' have P,t ⊢ 0 ⟨e'/es', h1⟩  $\rightarrow$  ⟨E'/es', h1'⟩ unfolding no-call-def by(rule red0Red)
    moreover from red  $\tau$  have [simp]: h1' = h1 by(auto dest:  $\tau$ move0-heap-unchanged)
    moreover from  $\tau$  fold feq icl nfin have  $\tau$ move0 P h1 e' by(simp add: collapse- $\tau$ move0-inv)
    ultimately have  $\tau$ Red0 P t h1 (e', es') (E', es') using ⟨ $\tau$ move0 P h1 e'⟩ by auto

```

with red1 **have** $\tau\text{Red0t } P t h1 (e, es) (E', es')$ **by**(rule $rtranclp\text{-}into\text{-}tranclp1$)
moreover hence $\text{wf-state}(E', es')$ **using** wf-state **by**(rule $\tau\text{Red0t}\text{-preserves-wf-state}[OF wf]$)
hence $\text{bisim-red-red0 } ((e1', x1'), h1) ((E', es'), h1)$ **unfolding** $x1' e1'$ **by**(auto)
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $s1 s1' s2$ **heap** **by** $\text{simp}(\text{blast intro: } \tau\text{Red0t}\text{-into-silent-movet})$
next
case False
then obtain $a M$ **vs** **where** $\text{call: call } e' = \lfloor(a, M, vs)\rfloor$
and $\text{notsynth: } \neg \text{synthesized-call } P h1 (a, M, vs)$ **by**(auto $\text{simp add: no-call-def}$)
from $\text{notsynth called-methodD}[OF \text{red'' call}]$ **obtain** $T D Us U pns$ **body**
where $h1' = h1$
and $\text{ha: typeof-addr } h1 a = \lfloor T \rfloor$
and $\text{sees: } P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } M: Us \rightarrow U = \lfloor(pns, body)\rfloor$ **in** D
and $\text{length: } \text{length } vs = \text{length } pns$ $\text{length } Us = \text{length } pns$
by(auto)
let $?e = \text{blocks } (\text{this} \# pns) (\text{Class } D \# Us) (\text{Addr } a \# vs) \text{ body}$
from $\text{call ha have } P, t \vdash 0 \langle e'/es', h1 \rangle \dashv \langle ?e/e' \# es', h1 \rangle$
using sees length **by**(rule red0Call)
moreover from $\tau \text{ fold feq icl nfn False have } \tau\text{move0 } P h1 e' \text{ by}$ (simp add: collapse- $\tau\text{move0-inv}$)
ultimately have $\tau\text{Red0 } P t h1 (e', es') (?e, e' \# es')$ **by** auto
with red1 **have** $\tau\text{Red0t } P t h1 (e, es) (?e, e' \# es')$ **by**(rule $rtranclp\text{-}into\text{-}tranclp1$)
moreover {
from $\langle P, t \vdash 0 \langle e'/es', h1 \rangle \dashv \langle ?e/e' \# es', h1 \rangle \rangle$ **have** $\text{wf-state } (?e, e' \# es')$
using wf-state' **by**(rule $\text{red0-preserves-wf-state}[OF wf]$)
moreover from $\text{is-call-red-inline-callD}[OF \text{sees red' call}]$ **ha**
have $E' = \text{inline-call } ?e e'$ **by** auto
ultimately have $\text{bisim-red-red0 } s1' ((?e, e' \# es'), h1')$ **unfolding** $s1' e1' x1'$
by(auto del: $\text{wf-state.cases wf-state.intros}$)
moreover from $\text{red' call notsynth have } h1 = h1'$
by(auto dest: $\text{is-call-red-state-unchanged}$)
ultimately show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $heap x1' x1 s2 s1' \langle h1' = h1 \rangle$
by(blast intro: $\tau\text{Red0t}\text{-into-silent-movet})$
qed
next
fix $s1 s2 s2'$
assume $\text{bisim-red-red0 } s1 s2$ **and** $\text{red0-mthr.silent-move } P t s2 s2'$
moreover obtain $e1 h1 x1$ **where** $s1: s1 = ((e1, x1), h1)$ **by**(cases $s1$, auto)
moreover obtain $e' es' h2'$ **where** $s2': s2' = ((e', es'), h2')$ **by**(cases $s2'$, auto)
moreover obtain $e es h2$ **where** $s2: s2 = ((e, es), h2)$ **by**(cases $s2$, auto)
ultimately have $\text{bisim: bisim-red-red0 } ((e1, x1), h1) ((e, es), h2)$
and $\text{red: } P, t \vdash 0 \langle e/es, h2 \rangle \dashv \langle e'/es', h2' \rangle$
and $\tau: \tau\text{move0 } P h2 e \vee \text{final } e$ **by**(auto elim: $\tau\text{trsyst.silent-move.cases}$)
from bisim **have** $\text{heap: } h1 = h2$
and $\text{fold: } e1 = \text{collapse } (e, es)$
and $x1: x1 = \text{Map.empty}$ **and** $\text{wf-state: wf-state } (e, es)$
by(auto elim!: $\text{bisim-red-red0.cases}$)
from $\text{red wf-state have }$ $\text{wf-state': wf-state } (e', es')$ **by**(rule $\text{red0-preserves-wf-state}[OF wf]$)
from red show $\text{bisim-red-red0 } s1 s2' \wedge (\lambda((e, es), h) ((e, es'), h). \text{length } es < \text{length } es')^{++} s2' s2$
 \vee
 $(\exists s1'. \text{red-mthr.silent-movet } P t s1 s1' \wedge \text{bisim-red-red0 } s1' s2')$
proof cases
case $(\text{red0Red } xs')$
hence [simp]: $es' = es$
and $\text{extTA2J0 } P, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h2, \text{Map.empty}) \rangle \dashv \langle e', (h2', xs') \rangle$ **by** auto
from $\text{red0-red-tabisim0}[OF wf \text{ this}(2)]$ **have** $\text{red': } P, t \vdash \langle e, (h2, \text{Map.empty}) \rangle \dashv \langle e', (h2', xs') \rangle$

```

by auto
moreover from wf-state have fv e = {} by auto
with red-dom-lcl[OF red'] red-fv-subset[OF wf red'] have xs' = Map.empty by auto
ultimately have P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h2, Map.empty)⟩ → ε → ⟨e',(h2', Map.empty)⟩ by simp
hence P,t ⊢ ⟨collapse (e, es),(h2, Map.empty)⟩ → ε → ⟨collapse (e', es),(h2', Map.empty)⟩
using wf-state by(rule red-fold-exs)
moreover from red' have ¬ final e by auto
with τ wf-state fold have τmove0 P h2 e1 by(auto simp add: collapse-τmove0-inv)
ultimately have red-mthr.silent-movet P t s1 ((collapse (e', es), Map.empty), h2')
using s1 fold τ x1 heap by(auto intro: τtrsyst.silent-move.intros)
moreover from wf-state' have bisim-red-red0 ((collapse (e', es), Map.empty), h2') s2'
unfolding s2' by(auto)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
case (red0Call a M vs U Ts T pns body D)
hence [simp]: es' = e # es h2' = h2 e' = blocks (this # pns) (Class D # Ts) (Addr a # vs) body
and call: call e = [(a, M, vs)]
and ha: typeof-addr h2 a = [U]
and sees: P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M: Ts → T = [(pns, body)] in D
and len: length vs = length pns length Ts = length pns by auto
from is-call-red-inline-call(1)[OF sees len call, of (h2, Map.empty)] ha
have P,t ⊢ ⟨e,(h2, Map.empty)⟩ → ε → ⟨inline-call e' e, (h2, Map.empty)⟩ by simp
hence P,t ⊢ ⟨collapse (e, es), (h2, Map.empty)⟩ → ε → ⟨collapse (inline-call e' e, es), (h2, Map.empty)⟩
using wf-state by(rule red-fold-exs)
moreover from call ha wf-state τ have τmove0 P h2 (collapse (e, es))
by(subst collapse-τmove0-inv) auto
hence τMOVE P ((collapse (e, es), Map.empty), h2) ε ((collapse (inline-call e' e, es), Map.empty), h2) by auto
moreover from wf-state'
have bisim-red-red0 ((collapse (inline-call e' e, es), Map.empty), h2) ((e', es'), h2') by(auto)
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding s1 s2 s2' fold heap x1 by(fastforce)
next
case (red0Return E)
hence [simp]: es = E # es' e' = inline-call e E h2' = h2 by auto
from fold wf-state'
have bisim-red-red0 ((e1, Map.empty), h1) ((inline-call e E, es'), h2)
unfolding heap by(auto)
thus ?thesis using s1 s2' s2 x1 by auto
qed
next
fix s1 s2 ta1 s1'
assume bisim-red-red0 s1 s2 and mred P t s1 ta1 s1' and ¬ τMOVE P s1 ta1 s1'
moreover obtain e1 h1 x1 where s1: s1 = ((e1, x1), h1) by(cases s1, auto)
moreover obtain e1' h1' x1' where s1': s1' = ((e1', x1'), h1') by(cases s1', auto)
moreover obtain e es h2 where s2: s2 = ((e, es), h2) by(cases s2, auto)
ultimately have bisim: bisim-red-red0 ((e1, x1), h1) ((e, es), h2)
and red: P,t ⊢ ⟨e1, (h1, x1)⟩ → ta1 → ⟨e1', (h1', x1')⟩
and τ: ¬ τmove0 P h1 e1 by(auto dest: red-τ-taD[where extTA=extTA2J P, OF extTA2J-ε])
from bisim have heap: h1 = h2
and fold: e1 = collapse (e, es)
and x1: x1 = Map.empty
and wf-state: wf-state (e, es)

```

```

by(auto elim!: bisim-red-red0.cases)
from τRed0r-inline-call-not-final[of P t h1 e es]
obtain e' es' where red1: τRed0r P t h1 (e, es) (e', es')
  and final e' ==> es' = [] and feq: collapse (e, es) = collapse (e', es') by blast
hence red1': red0-mthr.silent-moves P t ((e, es), h2) ((e', es'), h2)
  unfolding heap by -(rule τRed0r-into-silent-moves)
have nfin: ¬ final e'
proof
  assume fin: final e'
  hence es' = [] by(rule <final e' ==> es' = []>)
  with fold fin feq have final e1 by simp
  with red show False by auto
qed
from red1 wf-state have wf-state': wf-state (e', es') by(rule τRed0r-preserves-wf-state[OF wf])
hence fv: fvs (e' # es') = {} and icl: ∀ e ∈ set es'. is-call e by auto
from red-fold-exs'[OF red[unfolded fold x1 feq] wf-state' nfin]
obtain E' where e1': e1' = collapse (E', es')
  and red': P,t ⊢ ⟨e',(h1, Map.empty)⟩ -ta1→ ⟨E',(h1', Map.empty)⟩ by auto
from fv fv-collapse[OF icl, of e'] fold feq have fv e1 = {} by(auto)
with red-dom-lcl[OF red] x1 have x1': x1' = Map.empty by simp
from red-red0-tabisim0[OF wf red'] obtain ta2
  where red'': extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e',(h1, Map.empty)⟩ -ta2→ ⟨E',(h1', Map.empty)⟩
    and tasim: ta-bisim0 ta1 ta2 by auto
from τ fold feq icl nfin have ¬ τmove0 P h1 e' by(simp add: collapse-τmove0-inv)
hence ∀ aMvs. call e' = [aMvs] → synthesized-call P h1 aMvs
  by(auto dest: τmove0-callD)
with red'' have red'': P,t ⊢0 ⟨e'/es', h1⟩ -ta2→ ⟨E'/es', h1'⟩ by(rule red0Red)
moreover from τ fold feq icl nfin have ¬ τmove0 P h1 e' by(simp add: collapse-τmove0-inv)
hence ¬ τMOVE0 P ((e', es'), h1) ta2 ((E', es'), h1') using nfin by auto
moreover from red''' wf-state' have wf-state (E', es') by(rule red0-preserves-wf-state[OF wf])
hence bisim-red-red0 s1' ((E', es'), h1') unfolding s1' e1' x1' by(auto)
ultimately show ∃ s2' s2'' ta2. red0-mthr.silent-moves P t s2 s2' ∧ mred0 P t s2' ta2 s2'' ∧
  ¬ τMOVE0 P s2' ta2 s2'' ∧ bisim-red-red0 s1' s2'' ∧ ta-bisim0 ta1 ta2
  using tasim red1' heap unfolding s1' s2 by -(rule exI conjI|assumption|auto)+

next
fix s1 s2 ta2 s2'
assume bisim-red-red0 s1 s2 and mred0 P t s2 ta2 s2' ∧ τMOVE0 P s2 ta2 s2'
from red-simulates-red0[OF wf this]
show ∃ s1' s1'' ta1. red-mthr.silent-moves P t s1 s1' ∧ mred P t s1' ta1 s1'' ∧
  ¬ τMOVE P s1' ta1 s1'' ∧ bisim-red-red0 s1'' s2' ∧ ta-bisim0 ta1 ta2
  by(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-refl)
qed

lemma delay-bisimulation-diverge-red-red0:
  assumes wwf-J-prog P
  shows delay-bisimulation-diverge (mred P t) (mred0 P t) bisim-red-red0 ta-bisim0 (τMOVE P)
(τMOVE0 P)
proof -
interpret delay-bisimulation-measure
  mred P t mred0 P t bisim-red-red0 ta-bisim0 τMOVE P τMOVE0 P
  λe e'. False λ((e, es), h) ((e, es'), h). length es < length es'
  using assms by(rule delay-bisimulation-measure-red-red0)
show ?thesis by unfold-locales
qed

```

lemma *bisim-red-red0-finalD*:

assumes *bisim*: *bisim-red-red0* (*x1, m1*) (*x2, m2*)
and *final-expr* *x1*
shows $\exists x2'. \text{red0-mthr.silent-moves } P t (x2, m2) (x2', m2) \wedge \text{bisim-red-red0} (x1, m1) (x2', m2)$
 $\wedge \text{final-expr0 } x2'$

proof –

from *bisim*

obtain *e' e es* **where** *wf-state*: *wf-state* (*e, es*)
and [*simp*]: *x1 = (e', Map.empty)* *x2 = (e, es)* *e' = collapse (e, es)* *m2 = m1*
by *cases(cases x2, auto)*

from $\langle \text{final-expr } x1 \rangle$ **have** *final (collapse (e, es))* **by** *simp*

moreover from *wf-state* **have** $\forall e \in \text{set es}. \text{is-call } e$ **by** *auto*

ultimately have *red0-mthr.silent-moves P t ((e, es), m1) ((collapse (e, es), []), m1)*

proof(induction es arbitrary: e)

case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*Cons e' es*)

from $\langle \text{final (collapse (e, e' \# es))} \rangle$ **have** *final (collapse (inline-call e e', es))* **by** *simp*

moreover from $\langle \forall e \in \text{set (e' \# es)}. \text{is-call } e \rangle$ **have** $\forall e \in \text{set es}. \text{is-call } e$ **by** *simp*

ultimately have *red0-mthr.silent-moves P t ((inline-call e e', es), m1) ((collapse (inline-call e e', es), []), m1)*

by (*rule Cons.IH*)

moreover from $\langle \text{final (collapse (e, e' \# es))} \rangle$ $\langle \forall e \in \text{set (e' \# es)}. \text{is-call } e \rangle$
have *final e* **by** (*rule collapse-finalD*)

hence $P, t \vdash_0 \langle e/e' \# es, m1 \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle \text{inline-call } e e'/es, m1 \rangle$ **by** (*rule red0Return*)

with $\langle \text{final } e \rangle$ **have** *red0-mthr.silent-move P t ((e, e' # es), m1) ((inline-call e e', es), m1)* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?case* **by** $\neg(\text{erule converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp}, \text{simp})$

qed

moreover have *bisim-red-red0* (*(collapse (e, es), Map.empty), m1*) (*(collapse (e, es), []), m1*)
using $\langle \text{final (collapse (e, es))} \rangle$ **by** (*auto intro!: bisim-red-red0I*)

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** $\langle \text{final (collapse (e, es))} \rangle$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *red0-simulates-red-not-final*:

assumes *wwf*: *wwf-J-prog P*

assumes *bisim*: *bisim-red-red0* (*(e, xs), h*) (*(e0, es0), h0*)
and *red*: $P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle$
and *fin*: $\neg \text{final } e0$
and *nτ*: $\neg \tau \text{move0 } P h e$
shows $\exists e0' \text{ta0}. P, t \vdash_0 \langle e0/es0, h \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle e0'/es0, h' \rangle \wedge \text{bisim-red-red0} ((e', xs'), h') ((e0', es0), h') \wedge \text{ta-bisim0 ta ta0}$

proof –

from *bisim* **have** [*simp*]: *xs = Map.empty* *h0 = h* **and** *e: e = collapse (e0, es0)*

and *wfs: wf-state (e0, es0)* **by** (*auto elim!: bisim-red-red0.cases*)

with *red* **have** $P, t \vdash \langle \text{collapse } (e0, es0), (h, \text{Map.empty}) \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle$ **by** *simp*

from *wfs red-fold-exs'[OF this]* *fin obtain e0' where* *e': e' = collapse (e0', es0)*

and *red': P, t ⊢ ⟨e0, (h, Map.empty)⟩ → ⟨e0', (h', Map.empty)⟩* **by** (*auto*)

from *wfs fv-collapse[of es0, of e0] e have fv e = {}* **by** (*auto*)

with *red-dom-lcl[OF red]* **have** [*simp*]: *xs' = Map.empty* **by** *simp*

from *red-red0-tabisim0[OF wwf red'] obtain ta0*

where *red'': extTA2J0 P, P, t ⊢ ⟨e0, (h, Map.empty)⟩ → ta0 → ⟨e0', (h', Map.empty)⟩*

and *tasim: ta-bisim0 ta ta0* **by** *auto*

from *nτ e wfs fin have* $\neg \tau \text{move0 } P h e0$ **by** (*auto simp add: collapse-τmove0-inv*)

hence $\forall aMvs. call e0 = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \rightarrow synthesized-call P h aMvs$
 by(auto dest: $\tau move0\text{-call}D$)
 with red'' have red''' : $P, t \vdash 0 \langle e0/es0, h \rangle - ta0 \rightarrow \langle e0'/es0, h' \rangle$ by(rule $red0Red$)
 moreover from red''' wfs have wf-state $(e0', es0)$ by(rule $red0\text{-preserves-wf-state}[OF wwf]$)
 hence $bisim\text{-red-red}0 ((e', xs'), h') ((e0', es0), h')$ unfolding e' by(auto)
 ultimately show ?thesis using tasim by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex)
 qed

lemma $red\text{-red}0\text{-FWbisim}$:
assumes $wf: wwf\text{-J-prog } P$
shows $FWdelay\text{-bisimulation-diverge final-expr } (mred P) final\text{-expr}0 (mred0 P)$
 $(\lambda t. bisim\text{-red-red}0) (\lambda exs (e0, es0). \neg final e0) (\tau MOVE P) (\tau MOVE0 P)$
proof –
 interpret delay-bisimulation-diverge mred P t mred0 P t bisim-red-red0 ta-bisim0 $\tau MOVE P \tau MOVE0 P$
 for t by(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-red-red0[$OF wf$])
 show ?thesis
proof
 fix t and $s1 :: ((addr expr \times addr locals) \times heap)$ and $s2 :: ((addr expr \times addr expr list) \times heap)$
 assume $bisim\text{-red-red}0 s1 s2 (\lambda(x1, m). final\text{-expr } x1) s1$
 moreover obtain $x1 m1$ where [simp]: $s1 = (x1, m1)$ by(cases $s1$)
 moreover obtain $x2 m2$ where [simp]: $s2 = (x2, m2)$ by(cases $s2$)
 ultimately have $bisim\text{-red-red}0 (x1, m1) (x2, m2) final\text{-expr } x1$ by simp-all
 from $bisim\text{-red-red}0\text{-final}D[OF this, of } P t]$
 show $\exists s2'. red0\text{-mthr.silent-moves } P t s2 s2' \wedge bisim\text{-red-red}0 s1 s2' \wedge (\lambda(x2, m). final\text{-expr}0 x2) s2'$ by auto
next
 fix t and $s1 :: ((addr expr \times addr locals) \times heap)$ and $s2 :: ((addr expr \times addr expr list) \times heap)$
 assume $bisim\text{-red-red}0 s1 s2 (\lambda(x2, m). final\text{-expr}0 x2) s2$
 moreover obtain $x1 m1$ where [simp]: $s1 = (x1, m1)$ by(cases $s1$)
 moreover obtain $x2 m2$ where [simp]: $s2 = (x2, m2)$ by(cases $s2$)
 ultimately have $bisim\text{-red-red}0 (x1, m1) (x2, m2) final\text{-expr}0 x2$ by simp-all
 moreover hence $final\text{-expr } x1$ by(rule $bisim\text{-red-red}0\text{-final}D$)
 ultimately show $\exists s1'. red0\text{-mthr.silent-moves } P t s1 s1' \wedge bisim\text{-red-red}0 s1' s2 \wedge (\lambda(x1, m). final\text{-expr } x1) s1'$ by auto
next
 fix $t' x m1 xx m2 t x1 x2 x1' ta1 x1'' m1' x2' ta2 x2'' m2'$
 assume $b: bisim\text{-red-red}0 (x, m1) (xx, m2)$ and $bo: bisim\text{-red-red}0 (x1, m1) (x2, m2)$
 and $red\text{-mthr.silent-moves } P t (x1, m1) (x1', m1)$
 and $red1: mred P t (x1', m1) ta1 (x1'', m1')$ and $\neg \tau MOVE P (x1', m1) ta1 (x1'', m1')$
 and $red0\text{-mthr.silent-moves } P t (x2, m2) (x2', m2)$
 and $red2: mred0 P t (x2', m2) ta2 (x2'', m2')$ and $\neg \tau MOVE0 P (x2', m2) ta2 (x2'', m2')$
 and $bo': bisim\text{-red-red}0 (x1'', m1') (x2'', m2')$
 and $tb: ta\text{-bisim}0 ta1 ta2$
 from b have $m1 = m2$ by(auto elim: $bisim\text{-red-red}0.cases$)
 moreover from bo' have $m1' = m2'$ by(auto elim: $bisim\text{-red-red}0.cases$)
 ultimately show $bisim\text{-red-red}0 (x, m1') (xx, m2')$ using b
 by(auto elim: $bisim\text{-red-red}0.cases$)
next
 fix $t x1 m1 x2 m2 x1' ta1 x1'' m1' x2' ta2 x2'' m2' w$
 assume $b: bisim\text{-red-red}0 (x1, m1) (x2, m2)$

```

and red-mthr.silent-moves  $P t (x_1, m_1) (x'_1, m_1)$ 
and red1: mred  $P t (x'_1, m_1) ta_1 (x''_1, m_1')$  and  $\neg \tau MOVE P (x'_1, m_1) ta_1 (x''_1, m_1')$ 
and red0-mthr.silent-moves  $P t (x_2, m_2) (x'_2, m_2)$ 
and red2: mred0  $P t (x'_2, m_2) ta_2 (x''_2, m_2')$  and  $\neg \tau MOVE0 P (x'_2, m_2) ta_2 (x''_2, m_2')$ 
and b': bisim-red-red0  $(x''_1, m_1') (x''_2, m_2')$  and ta-bisim0  $ta_1 ta_2$ 
and Suspend: Suspend  $w \in set \{ta_1\}_w$  Suspend  $w \in set \{ta_2\}_w$ 
hence  $(\lambda e_0, es_0). is-call e_0) x_1'' x_2''$ 
by(cases  $x_1'$ )(cases  $x_2'$ , auto dest: Red-Suspend-is-call simp add: final-iff)
thus  $(\lambda e_0, es_0). \neg final e_0) x_1'' x_2''$  by(auto simp add: final-iff is-call-def)

next
fix  $t x_1 m_1 x_2 m_2 ta_1 x'_1 m_1'$ 
assume b: bisim-red-red0  $(x_1, m_1) (x'_1, m_1')$ 
and c:  $(\lambda e_0, es_0). \neg final e_0) x_2$ 
and red1: mred  $P t (x_1, m_1) ta_1 (x'_1, m_1')$ 
and wakeup: Notified  $\in set \{ta_1\}_w \vee WokenUp \in set \{ta_1\}_w$ 
from c have  $\neg final (fst x_2)$  by(auto simp add: is-call-def)
moreover from red1 wakeup have  $\neg \tau move0 P m_1 (fst x_1)$ 
by(cases  $x_1$ )(auto dest: red- $\tau$ -taD[where extTA=extTA2J  $P$ , simplified] simp add: ta-upd-simps)
moreover from b have  $m_2 = m_1$  by(cases) auto
ultimately obtain  $e_0' ta_0$  where  $P, t \vdash 0 \langle fst x_2 / snd x_2, m_2 \rangle - ta_0 \rightarrow \langle e_0' / snd x_2, m_1' \rangle$ 
bisim-red-red0  $((fst x'_1, snd x'_1), m_1') ((e_0', snd x_2), m_1')$  ta-bisim0  $ta_1 ta_0$ 
using red0-simulates-red-not-final[ $OF wf$ , of  $fst x_1 snd x_1 m_1 fst x_2 snd x_2 m_2 t ta_1 fst x'_1 m_1'$ 
 $snd x_1]$ 
using b red1 by(auto simp add: split-beta)
thus  $\exists ta_2 x'_2 m_2'. mred0 P t (x_2, m_2) ta_2 (x'_2, m_2') \wedge bisim-red-red0 (x'_1, m_1') (x'_2, m_2') \wedge$ 
ta-bisim0  $ta_1 ta_2$ 
by(cases  $ta_0$ )(fastforce simp add: split-beta)
next
fix  $t x_1 m_1 x_2 m_2 ta_2 x'_2 m_2'$ 
assume b: bisim-red-red0  $(x_1, m_1) (x'_1, m_1')$ 
and c:  $(\lambda e_0, es_0). \neg final e_0) x_2$ 
and red2: mred0  $P t (x_2, m_2) ta_2 (x'_2, m_2')$ 
and wakeup: Notified  $\in set \{ta_2\}_w \vee WokenUp \in set \{ta_2\}_w$ 
from b have [simp]:  $m_1 = m_2$  by cases auto
with red-simulates-red0[ $OF wf$  b red2] wakeup obtain  $s_1' ta_1$ 
where mred  $P t (x_1, m_1) ta_1 s_1' bisim-red-red0 s_1' (x'_2, m_2')$  ta-bisim0  $ta_1 ta_2$ 
by(fastforce simp add: split-paired-Ex)
moreover from  $\langle bisim-red-red0 s_1' (x'_2, m_2') \rangle$  have  $m_2' = snd s_1'$  by cases auto
ultimately
show  $\exists ta_1 x'_1 m_1'. mred P t (x_1, m_1) ta_1 (x'_1, m_1') \wedge bisim-red-red0 (x'_1, m_1') (x'_2, m_2') \wedge$ 
ta-bisim0  $ta_1 ta_2$ 
by(cases  $ta_1$ )(fastforce simp add: split-beta)
next
show  $(\exists x. final\_expr x) \leftrightarrow (\exists x. final\_expr0 x)$ 
by(auto simp add: final-iff)
qed
qed

end

sublocale J-heap-base < red-red0:
FWdelay-bisimulation-base
final-expr
mred  $P$ 

```

```

final-expr0
mred0 P
convert-RA
λt. bisim-red-red0
λexs (e0, es0). ¬ final e0
τMOVE P τMOVE0 P
for P
by(unfold-locales)

context J-heap-base begin

lemma bisim-J-J0-start:
assumes wf: wwf-J-prog P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
shows red-red0.mbisim (J-start-state P C M vs) (J0-start-state P C M vs)
proof -
from wf-start obtain Ts T pns body D
where sees: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T=⌈(pns,body)⌉ in D
and conf: P,start-heap ⊢ vs [:≤] Ts
by cases auto

from conf have vs: length vs = length Ts by(rule list-all2-lengthD)
from sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf sees]
have wwfCM: wwf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, pns, body)
and len: length pns = length Ts by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
from wwfCM have fvbody: fv body ⊆ {this} ∪ set pns
and pns: length pns = length Ts by simp-all
with vs len have fv: fv (blocks pns Ts vs body) ⊆ {this} by auto
with len vs sees show ?thesis unfolding start-state-def
by(auto intro!: red-red0.mbisimI)(auto intro!: bisim-red-red0.intros wset-thread-okI simp add:
is-call-def split: if-split-asm)
qed

end

end

```

7.4 The intermediate language J1

```

theory J1State imports
..../J/State
CallExpr
begin

type-synonym
'addr expr1 = (nat, nat, 'addr) exp

type-synonym
'addr J1-prog = 'addr expr1 prog

type-synonym
'addr locals1 = 'addr val list

```

translations

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{type}) \text{ } 'addr \text{ } expr1 &\leq= (\text{type}) \text{ } (\text{nat}, \text{nat}, 'addr) \text{ } exp \\ (\text{type}) \text{ } 'addr \text{ } J1\text{-prog} &\leq= (\text{type}) \text{ } 'addr \text{ } expr1 \text{ } prog \end{aligned}$$
type-synonym

$$'addr \text{ } J1\text{state} = ('addr \text{ } expr1 \times 'addr \text{ } locals1) \text{ } list$$
type-synonym

$$\begin{aligned} ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) \text{ } J1\text{-thread-action} = \\ ('addr, 'thread-id, ('addr \text{ } expr1 \times 'addr \text{ } locals1) \times ('addr \text{ } expr1 \times 'addr \text{ } locals1) \text{ } list, 'heap) \text{ } J1\text{-thread-action} \end{aligned}$$
type-synonym

$$\begin{aligned} ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) \text{ } J1\text{-state} = \\ ('addr, 'thread-id, ('addr \text{ } expr1 \times 'addr \text{ } locals1) \times ('addr \text{ } expr1 \times 'addr \text{ } locals1) \text{ } list, 'heap, 'addr) \text{ } state \end{aligned}$$
print-translation <

```

let
  fun tr'
    [a1, t
     , Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
        (Const (@{type-syntax exp}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax nat}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax
nat}, -) $ a2) $
         (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax val}, -) $ a3))) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $
        (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
         (Const (@{type-syntax exp}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax nat}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax
nat}, -) $ a4) $
          (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax val}, -) $ a5)))))
     , h] =
      if a1 = a2 andalso a2 = a3 andalso a3 = a4 andalso a4 = a5
      then Syntax.const @{type-syntax J1-thread-action} $ a1 $ t $ h
      else raise Match;
      in [(@{type-syntax J1-thread-action}, K tr')]
```

end

>

typ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J1-thread-action
print-translation <

```

let
  fun tr'
    [a1, t
     , Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
        (Const (@{type-syntax exp}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax nat}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax
nat}, -) $ a2) $
         (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax val}, -) $ a3))) $
       (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $
        (Const (@{type-syntax prod}, -) $
         (Const (@{type-syntax exp}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax nat}, -) $ Const (@{type-syntax
nat}, -) $ a4) $
          (Const (@{type-syntax list}, -) $ (Const (@{type-syntax val}, -) $ a5)))))
```

```

, h, a6] =
if a1 = a2 andalso a2 = a3 andalso a3 = a4 andalso a4 = a5 andalso a5 = a6
then Syntax.const @{type-syntax J1-state} $ a1 $ t $ h
else raise Match;
in [(@{type-syntax state}, K tr')]
end
>
typ ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J1-state

fun blocks1 :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ty list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat,'b,'addr) exp  $\Rightarrow$  (nat,'b,'addr) exp
where
blocks1 n [] e = e
| blocks1 n (T#Ts) e = {n:T=None; blocks1 (Suc n) Ts e}

primrec max-vars:: ('a,'b,'addr) exp  $\Rightarrow$  nat
and max-varss:: ('a,'b,'addr) exp list  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
max-vars (new C) = 0
| max-vars (newA T[e]) = max-vars e
| max-vars (Cast C e) = max-vars e
| max-vars (e instanceof T) = max-vars e
| max-vars (Val v) = 0
| max-vars (e «bop» e') = max (max-vars e) (max-vars e')
| max-vars (Var V) = 0
| max-vars (V:=e) = max-vars e
| max-vars (a[i]) = max (max-vars a) (max-vars i)
| max-vars (AAss a i e) = max (max (max-vars a) (max-vars i)) (max-vars e)
| max-vars (a.length) = max-vars a
| max-vars (e.F{D}) = max-vars e
| max-vars (FAss e1 F D e2) = max (max-vars e1) (max-vars e2)
| max-vars (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) = max (max (max-vars e) (max-vars e')) (max-vars e'')
| max-vars (e.M(es)) = max (max-vars e) (max-varss es)
| max-vars ({V:T=vo; e}) = max-vars e + 1
— sync and insync will need an extra local variable when compiling to bytecode to store the object
that is being synchronized on until its release
| max-vars (syncV (e') e) = max (max-vars e') (max-vars e + 1)
| max-vars (insyncV (a) e) = max-vars e + 1
| max-vars (e1;;e2) = max (max-vars e1) (max-vars e2)
| max-vars (if (e) e1 else e2) =
  max (max-vars e) (max (max-vars e1) (max-vars e2))
| max-vars (while (b) e) = max (max-vars b) (max-vars e)
| max-vars (throw e) = max-vars e
| max-vars (try e1 catch(C V) e2) = max (max-vars e1) (max-vars e2 + 1)

| max-varss [] = 0
| max-varss (e#es) = max (max-vars e) (max-varss es)

```

— Indices in blocks increase by 1

```

primrec B :: 'addr expr1  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and Bs :: 'addr expr1 list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
B (new C) i = True
| B (newA T[e]) i = B e i

```

```

|  $\mathcal{B} (\text{Cast } C e) i = \mathcal{B} e i$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (e \text{ instanceof } T) i = \mathcal{B} e i$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (\text{Val } v) i = \text{True}$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (e_1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e_2) i = (\mathcal{B} e_1 i \wedge \mathcal{B} e_2 i)$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (\text{Var } j) i = \text{True}$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (j := e) i = \mathcal{B} e i$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (a[j]) i = (\mathcal{B} a i \wedge \mathcal{B} j i)$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (a[j] := e) i = (\mathcal{B} a i \wedge \mathcal{B} j i \wedge \mathcal{B} e i)$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (a.\text{length}) i = \mathcal{B} a i$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (e.F\{D\}) i = \mathcal{B} e i$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (e_1.F\{D\} := e_2) i = (\mathcal{B} e_1 i \wedge \mathcal{B} e_2 i)$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (e.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e', e'')) i = (\mathcal{B} e i \wedge \mathcal{B} e' i \wedge \mathcal{B} e'' i)$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (e.M(es)) i = (\mathcal{B} e i \wedge \mathcal{B}s es i)$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (\{j:T=vo; e\}) i = (i = j \wedge \mathcal{B} e (i+1))$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (\text{sync}_V(o') e) i = (i = V \wedge \mathcal{B} o' i \wedge \mathcal{B} e (i+1))$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (\text{insync}_V(a) e) i = (i = V \wedge \mathcal{B} e (i+1))$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (e_1;;e_2) i = (\mathcal{B} e_1 i \wedge \mathcal{B} e_2 i)$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (\text{if } (e) e_1 \text{ else } e_2) i = (\mathcal{B} e i \wedge \mathcal{B} e_1 i \wedge \mathcal{B} e_2 i)$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (\text{throw } e) i = \mathcal{B} e i$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (\text{while } (e) c) i = (\mathcal{B} e i \wedge \mathcal{B} c i)$ 
|  $\mathcal{B} (\text{try } e_1 \text{ catch}(C j) e_2) i = (\mathcal{B} e_1 i \wedge i=j \wedge \mathcal{B} e_2 (i+1))$ 

|  $\mathcal{B}s [] i = \text{True}$ 
|  $\mathcal{B}s (e\#es) i = (\mathcal{B} e i \wedge \mathcal{B}s es i)$ 

```

Variables for monitor addresses do not occur freely in synchronization blocks

```

primrec syncvars :: ('a, 'a, 'addr) exp  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and syncvarss :: ('a, 'a, 'addr) exp list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  syncvars (new C) = True
  syncvars (newA T[e]) = syncvars e
  syncvars (Cast T e) = syncvars e
  syncvars (e instanceof T) = syncvars e
  syncvars (Val v) = True
  syncvars (e1  $\llcorner$  bop  $\lrcorner$  e2) = (syncvars e1  $\wedge$  syncvars e2)
  syncvars (Var V) = True
  syncvars (V := e) = syncvars e
  syncvars (a[i]) = (syncvars a  $\wedge$  syncvars i)
  syncvars (a[i] := e) = (syncvars a  $\wedge$  syncvars i  $\wedge$  syncvars e)
  syncvars (a.length) = syncvars a
  syncvars (e.F\{D\}) = syncvars e
  syncvars (e.F\{D\} := e2) = (syncvars e  $\wedge$  syncvars e2)
  syncvars (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) = (syncvars e  $\wedge$  syncvars e'  $\wedge$  syncvars e'')
  syncvars (e.M(es)) = (syncvars e  $\wedge$  syncvarss es)
  syncvars {V:T=vo;e} = syncvars e
  syncvars (sync_V(e1) e2) = (syncvars e1  $\wedge$  syncvars e2  $\wedge$  V  $\notin$  fv e2)
  syncvars (insync_V(a) e) = (syncvars e  $\wedge$  V  $\notin$  fv e)
  syncvars (e1;;e2) = (syncvars e1  $\wedge$  syncvars e2)
  syncvars (if (b) e1 else e2) = (syncvars b  $\wedge$  syncvars e1  $\wedge$  syncvars e2)
  syncvars (while (b) c) = (syncvars b  $\wedge$  syncvars c)
  syncvars (throw e) = syncvars e
  syncvars (try e1 catch(C V) e2) = (syncvars e1  $\wedge$  syncvars e2)

  syncvarss [] = True

```

```

| syncvarss (e#es) = (syncvars e ∧ syncvarss es)

definition bsok :: 'addr expr1 ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
where bsok e n ≡ B e n ∧ expr-locks e = (λad. 0)

definition bsoks :: 'addr expr1 list ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
where bsoks es n ≡ Bs es n ∧ expr-lockss es = (λad. 0)

primrec call1 :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp ⇒ ('addr × mname × 'addr val list) option
  and calls1 :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list ⇒ ('addr × mname × 'addr val list) option
where
  call1 (new C) = None
| call1 (newA T[e]) = call1 e
| call1 (Cast C e) = call1 e
| call1 (e instanceof T) = call1 e
| call1 (Val v) = None
| call1 (Var V) = None
| call1 (V:=e) = call1 e
| call1 (e «bop» e') = (if is-val e then call1 e' else call1 e)
| call1 (a[i]) = (if is-val a then call1 i else call1 a)
| call1 (AAss a i e) = (if is-val a then (if is-val i then call1 e else call1 i) else call1 a)
| call1 (a·length) = call1 a
| call1 (e·F{D}) = call1 e
| call1 (FAss e F D e') = (if is-val e then call1 e' else call1 e)
| call1 (CompareAndSwap e D F e' e'') = (if is-val e then (if is-val e' then call1 e'' else call1 e') else call1 e)
| call1 (e·M(es)) = (if is-val e then
  (if is-vals es ∧ is-addr e then [(THE a. e = addr a, M, THE vs. es = map Val vs)]
  else calls1 es)
  else call1 e)
| call1 ({V:T=vo; e}) = (case vo of None ⇒ call1 e | Some v ⇒ None)
| call1 (syncV(o') e) = call1 o'
| call1 (insyncV(a) e) = call1 e
| call1 (e;;e') = call1 e
| call1 (if (e) e1 else e2) = call1 e
| call1 (while(b) e) = None
| call1 (throw e) = call1 e
| call1 (try e1 catch(C V) e2) = call1 e1

| calls1 [] = None
| calls1 (e#es) = (if is-val e then calls1 es else call1 e)

lemma expr-locks-blocks1 [simp]:
  expr-locks (blocks1 n Ts e) = expr-locks e
by(induct n Ts e rule: blocks1.induct) simp-all

lemma max-varss-append [simp]:
  max-varss (es @ es') = max (max-varss es) (max-varss es')
by(induct es, auto)

lemma max-varss-map-Val [simp]: max-varss (map Val vs) = 0
by(induct vs) auto

```

```

lemma blocks1-max-vars:
  max-vars (blocks1 n Ts e) = max-vars e + length Ts
  by(induct n Ts e rule: blocks1.induct)(auto)

lemma blocks-max-vars:
  [ length vs = length pns; length Ts = length pns ]
   $\implies$  max-vars (blocks pns Ts vs e) = max-vars e + length pns
  by(induct pns Ts vs e rule: blocks.induct)(auto)

lemma Bs-append [simp]: Bs (es @ es') n  $\longleftrightarrow$  Bs es n  $\wedge$  Bs es' n
  by(induct es) auto

lemma Bs-map-Val [simp]: Bs (map Val vs) n
  by(induct vs) auto

lemma B-blocks1 [intro]: B body (n + length Ts)  $\implies$  B (blocks1 n Ts body) n
  by(induct n Ts body rule: blocks1.induct)(auto)

lemma B-extRet2J [simp]: B e n  $\implies$  B (extRet2J e va) n
  by(cases va) auto

lemma B-inline-call: [ B e n;  $\bigwedge$ n. B e' n ]  $\implies$  B (inline-call e' e) n
  and Bs-inline-calls: [ Bs es n;  $\bigwedge$ n. B e' n ]  $\implies$  Bs (inline-calls e' es) n
  by(induct e and es arbitrary: n and n rule: call.induct calls.induct) auto

lemma syncvarss-append [simp]: syncvarss (es @ es')  $\longleftrightarrow$  syncvarss es  $\wedge$  syncvarss es'
  by(induct es) auto

lemma syncvarss-map-Val [simp]: syncvarss (map Val vs)
  by(induct vs) auto

lemma bsok-simps [simp]:
  bsok (new C) n = True
  bsok (newA T[e]) n = bsok e n
  bsok (Cast T e) n = bsok e n
  bsok (e instanceof T) n = bsok e n
  bsok (e1 «bop» e2) n = (bsok e1 n  $\wedge$  bsok e2 n)
  bsok (Var V) n = True
  bsok (Val v) n = True
  bsok (V := e) n = bsok e n
  bsok (a[i]) n = (bsok a n  $\wedge$  bsok i n)
  bsok (a[i] := e) n = (bsok a n  $\wedge$  bsok i n  $\wedge$  bsok e n)
  bsok (a.length) n = bsok a n
  bsok (e.F{D}) n = bsok e n
  bsok (e.F{D} := e') n = (bsok e n  $\wedge$  bsok e' n)
  bsok (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) n = (bsok e n  $\wedge$  bsok e' n  $\wedge$  bsok e'' n)
  bsok (e.M(ps)) n = (bsok e n  $\wedge$  bsoks ps n)
  bsok { V:T=vo; e } n = (bsok e (Suc n)  $\wedge$  V = n)
  bsok (sync_V (e) e') n = (bsok e n  $\wedge$  bsok e' (Suc n)  $\wedge$  V = n)
  bsok (insync_V (ad) e) n = False
  bsok (e;; e') n = (bsok e n  $\wedge$  bsok e' n)
  bsok (if (e) e1 else e2) n = (bsok e n  $\wedge$  bsok e1 n  $\wedge$  bsok e2 n)
  bsok (while (b) c) n = (bsok b n  $\wedge$  bsok c n)
  bsok (throw e) n = bsok e n

```

```

bsok (try e catch(C V) e') n = (bsok e n ∧ bsok e' (Suc n) ∧ V = n)
and bsoks-simps [simp]:
  bsoks [] n = True
  bsoks (e # es) n = (bsok e n ∧ bsoks es n)
by(auto simp add: bsok-def bsoks-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma call1-callE:
  assumes call1 (obj·M(pns)) = [(a, M', vs)]
  obtains (CallObj) call1 obj = [(a, M', vs)]
    | (CallParams) v where obj = Val v calls1 pns = [(a, M', vs)]
    | (Call) obj = addr a pns = map Val vs M = M'
  using assms by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv)

lemma calls1-map-Val-append [simp]:
  calls1 (map Val vs @ es) = calls1 es
by(induct vs) simp-all

lemma calls1-map-Val [simp]:
  calls1 (map Val vs) = None
by(induct vs) simp-all

lemma fixes e :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp and es :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list
  shows call1-imp-call: call1 e = [aMvs]  $\implies$  call e = [aMvs]
  and calls1-imp-calls: calls1 es = [aMvs]  $\implies$  calls es = [aMvs]
by(induct e and es rule: call1.induct calls1.induct) auto

lemma max-vars-inline-call: max-vars (inline-call e' e)  $\leq$  max-vars e + max-vars e'
  and max-varss-inline-calls: max-varss (inline-calls e' es)  $\leq$  max-varss es + max-vars e'
by(induct e and es rule: call1.induct calls1.induct) auto

lemmas inline-call-max-vars1 = max-vars-inline-call
lemmas inline-calls-max-varss1 = max-varss-inline-calls

end

```

7.5 Abstract heap locales for J1 programs

```

theory J1Heap imports
  J1State
  ..../Common/Conform
begin

locale J1-heap-base = heap-base +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and sc-spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool

locale J1-heap = heap +

```

```

constraints addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and sc-spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr J1-prog

sublocale J1-heap < J1-heap-base .

locale J1-heap-conf-base = heap-conf-base +
constraints addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and sc-spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr J1-prog

sublocale J1-heap-conf-base < J1-heap-base .

locale J1-heap-conf =
J1-heap-conf-base +
heap-conf +
constraints addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and sc-spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr J1-prog

sublocale J1-heap-conf < J1-heap by(unfold-locales)

locale J1-conf-read =
J1-heap-conf +
heap-conf-read +
constraints addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and sc-spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

and $P :: \text{'addr } J1\text{-prog}$

end

7.6 Semantics of the intermediate language

theory $J1$ **imports**

$J1State$

$J1Heap$

$\dots / Framework / FWBisimulation$

begin

abbreviation $final\text{-expr}1 :: (\text{'addr expr}1 \times \text{'addr locals}1) \times (\text{'addr expr}1 \times \text{'addr locals}1) list \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where

$$final\text{-expr}1 \equiv \lambda(ex, exs). final(fst ex) \wedge exs = []$$

definition $extNTA2J1 ::$

$\text{'addr } J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{cname} \times \text{mname} \times \text{'addr}) \Rightarrow ((\text{'addr expr}1 \times \text{'addr locals}1) \times (\text{'addr expr}1 \times \text{'addr locals}1) list)$

where

$extNTA2J1 P = (\lambda(C, M, a). let (D, -, -, meth) = method P C M; body = the meth in ((\{0:Class D=None; body\}, Addr a \# replicate(max-vars body) undefined-value), []))$

lemma $extNTA2J1\text{-iff} [simp]:$

$extNTA2J1 P (C, M, a) = ((\{0:Class (fst (method P C M))=None; the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M))))\}, Addr a \# replicate(max-vars (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M))))))) undefined-value), [])$

by($simp add: extNTA2J1\text{-def split-beta}$)

abbreviation $extTA2J1 ::$

$\text{'addr } J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr, 'thread-id, 'heap}) external\text{-thread\text{-}action} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr, 'thread-id, 'heap}) J1\text{-thread\text{-}action}$
where $extTA2J1 P \equiv convert\text{-extTA} (extNTA2J1 P)$

abbreviation (*input*) $extRet2J1 :: \text{'addr expr}1 \Rightarrow \text{'addr extCallRet} \Rightarrow \text{'addr expr}1$

where $extRet2J1 \equiv extRet2J$

lemma $max\text{-vars}\text{-}extRet2J1 [simp]:$

$$\text{max-vars } e = 0 \implies \text{max-vars } (extRet2J1 e va) = 0$$

by(*cases va*) *simp-all*

context $J1\text{-heap\text{-}base}$ **begin**

abbreviation $J1\text{-start\text{-}state} :: \text{'addr } J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow \text{cname} \Rightarrow \text{mname} \Rightarrow \text{'addr val list} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr, 'thread-id, 'heap}) J1\text{-state}$

where

$J1\text{-start\text{-}state} \equiv$

$start\text{-state} (\lambda C M Ts T body vs. ((blocks1 0 (Class C \# Ts) body, Null \# vs @ replicate(max-vars body) undefined-value), []))$

inductive $red1 ::$

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{'addr } J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow \text{'thread-id} \Rightarrow \text{'addr expr}1 \Rightarrow \text{'heap} \times \text{'addr locals}1$

$\Rightarrow (\text{'addr, 'thread-id, 'heap}) external\text{-thread\text{-}action} \Rightarrow \text{'addr expr}1 \Rightarrow \text{'heap} \times \text{'addr locals}1 \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot \vdash 1 ((1\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle) \dashrightarrow / (1\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)) [51, 51, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 81)$
and $\text{reds1} ::$
 $\text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{'addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow \text{'thread-id} \Rightarrow \text{'addr expr1 list} \Rightarrow \text{'heap} \times \text{'addr locals1}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'thread-id}, \text{'heap}) \text{ external-thread-action} \Rightarrow \text{'addr expr1 list} \Rightarrow \text{'heap} \times \text{'addr locals1} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot \vdash 1 ((1\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle) \dashrightarrow / (1\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)) [51, 51, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 81)$
for $uf :: \text{bool}$ **and** $P :: \text{'addr J1-prog}$ **and** $t :: \text{'thread-id}$
where
Red1New:
 $(h', a) \in \text{allocate } h \text{ (Class-type } C)$
 $\Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{new } C, (h, l) \rangle - \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Class-type } C)\} \rightarrow \langle \text{addr } a, (h', l) \rangle$

| *Red1NewFail:*
 $\text{allocate } h \text{ (Class-type } C) = \{\}$
 $\Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{new } C, (h, l) \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW OutOfMemory}, (h, l) \rangle$

| *New1ArrayRed:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{newA } T[e], s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \text{newA } T[e'], s' \rangle$

| *Red1NewArray:*
 $\llbracket 0 \leq i; (h', a) \in \text{allocate } h \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i))) \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)], (h, l) \rangle - \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i))\} \rightarrow \langle \text{addr } a, (h', l) \rangle$

| *Red1NewArrayNegative:*
 $i < s 0 \Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)], s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NegativeArraySize}, s \rangle$

| *Red1NewArrayFail:*
 $\llbracket 0 \leq i; \text{allocate } h \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i))) = \{\} \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)], (h, l) \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW OutOfMemory}, (h, l) \rangle$

| *Cast1Red:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Cast } C e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \text{Cast } C e', s' \rangle$

| *Red1Cast:*
 $\llbracket \text{typeof}_{hp} s v = \lfloor U \rfloor; P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Cast } T (\text{Val } v), s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v, s \rangle$

| *Red1CastFail:*
 $\llbracket \text{typeof}_{hp} s v = \lfloor U \rfloor; \neg P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Cast } T (\text{Val } v), s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW ClassCast}, s \rangle$

| *InstanceOf1Red:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e \text{ instanceof } T, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e' \text{ instanceof } T, s' \rangle$

| *Red1InstanceOf:*
 $\llbracket \text{typeof}_{hp} s v = \lfloor U \rfloor; b \leftrightarrow v \neq \text{Null} \wedge P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Val } v) \text{ instanceof } T, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Val (Bool } b), s \rangle$

| *Bin1OpRed1:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e \text{ ``bop'' } e2, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e' \text{ ``bop'' } e2, s' \rangle$

- | *Bin1OpRed2:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Val } v) \ll bop \gg e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle (\text{Val } v) \ll bop \gg e', s' \rangle$
- | *Red1BinOp:*
 $\text{binop } bop \ v1 \ v2 = \text{Some } (\text{Inl } v) \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Val } v1) \ll bop \gg (\text{Val } v2), s \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v, s \rangle$
- | *Red1BinOpFail:*
 $\text{binop } bop \ v1 \ v2 = \text{Some } (\text{Inr } a) \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Val } v1) \ll bop \gg (\text{Val } v2), s \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
- | *Red1Var:*
 $\llbracket (lcl s)!V = v; V < \text{size } (lcl s) \rrbracket \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Var } V, s \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v, s \rangle$
- | *LAss1Red:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle V := e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle V := e', s' \rangle$
- | *Red1LAss:*
 $V < \text{size } l \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle V := (\text{Val } v), (h, l) \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{unit}, (h, l[V := v]) \rangle$
- | *AAcc1Red1:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle a', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a[i], s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle a'[i], s' \rangle$
- | *AAcc1Red2:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle i, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle i', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Val } a)[i], s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle (\text{Val } a)[i'], s' \rangle$
- | *Red1AAccNull:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{null}[\text{Val } i], s \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | *Red1AAccBounds:*
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp s) a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor; i < s \ 0 \vee \text{sint } i \geq \text{int } n \rrbracket \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{addr } a)[\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)], s \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}, s \rangle$
- | *Red1AAcc:*
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor; 0 \leq s \ i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n; \text{heap-read } h a (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i))) v \rrbracket \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{addr } a)[\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)], (h, xs) \rangle -\{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i))) v\} \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v, (h, xs) \rangle$
- | *AAss1Red1:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle a', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a[i] := e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle a'[i] := e, s' \rangle$
- | *AAss1Red2:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle i, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle i', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Val } a)[i] := e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle (\text{Val } a)[i'] := e, s' \rangle$
- | *AAss1Red3:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{AAss } (\text{Val } a) (\text{Val } i) e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle (\text{Val } a)[\text{Val } i] := e', s' \rangle$
- | *Red1AAssNull:*
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{AAss null } (\text{Val } i) (\text{Val } e), s \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$

- | *Red1AAssBounds:*
 - $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp\ s) \ a = [\text{Array-type } T\ n]; i <_s 0 \vee \text{sint } i \geq \text{int } n \rrbracket$
 - $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle AAss (\text{addr } a) (\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)) (\text{Val } e), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBounds}, s \rangle$
- | *Red1AAssStore:*
 - $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp\ s) \ a = [\text{Array-type } T\ n]; 0 \leq i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n;$
 - $\text{typeof}_{hp\ s} w = [U]; \neg(P \vdash U \leq T)$
 - $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle AAss (\text{addr } a) (\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)) (\text{Val } w), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW ArrayStore}, s \rangle$
- | *Red1AAss:*
 - $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h\ a = [\text{Array-type } T\ n]; 0 \leq i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n; \text{typeof}_h w = \text{Some } U; P \vdash U \leq T;$
 - $\text{heap-write } h\ a (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i))) w\ h'$
 - $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle AAss (\text{addr } a) (\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)) (\text{Val } w), (h, l) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\{\text{WriteMem } a (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i))) w\}} \langle \text{unit}, (h', l) \rangle$
- | *ALength1Red:*
 - $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle a', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a \cdot \text{length}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle a' \cdot \text{length}, s' \rangle$
- | *Red1ALength:*
 - $\text{typeof-addr } h\ a = [\text{Array-type } T\ n]$
 - $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{addr } a \cdot \text{length}, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Val } (\text{Intg } (\text{word-of-nat } n)), (h, xs) \rangle$
- | *Red1ALengthNull:*
 - $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{null} \cdot \text{length}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | *FAcc1Red:*
 - $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e \cdot F\{D\}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e' \cdot F\{D\}, s' \rangle$
- | *Red1FAcc:*
 - $\text{heap-read } h\ a (\text{CField } D\ F)\ v$
 - $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{addr } a) \cdot F\{D\}, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D\ F)\ v\}} \langle \text{Val } v, (h, xs) \rangle$
- | *Red1FAccNull:*
 - $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{null} \cdot F\{D\}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | *FAss1Red1:*
 - $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e' \cdot F\{D\} := e2, s' \rangle$
- | *FAss1Red2:*
 - $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle FAss (\text{Val } v) F\ D\ e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle \text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e', s' \rangle$
- | *Red1FAss:*
 - $\text{heap-write } h\ a (\text{CField } D\ F)\ v\ h' \implies$
 - $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle FAss (\text{addr } a) F\ D\ (\text{Val } v), (h, l) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\{\text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D\ F)\ v\}} \langle \text{unit}, (h', l) \rangle$
- | *Red1FAssNull:*
 - $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle FAss \text{ null } F\ D\ (\text{Val } v), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | *CAS1Red1:*
 - $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle \implies$
 - $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e' \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), s' \rangle$
- | *CAS1Red2:*

- $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle Val v \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e, e3), s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle Val v \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e', e3), s' \rangle$
- | CAS1Red3:
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle Val v \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, Val v', e), s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle Val v \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, Val v', e'), s' \rangle$
- | CAS1Null:
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle null \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, Val v, Val v'), s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | Red1CASSucceed:
 $\llbracket \text{heap-read } h \text{ } a \text{ (CField D F) } v; \text{heap-write } h \text{ } a \text{ (CField D F) } v' \text{ } h' \rrbracket \implies$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{addr } a \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, Val v, Val v'), (h, l) \rangle$
 $- \{\text{ReadMem } a \text{ (CField D F) } v, \text{WriteMem } a \text{ (CField D F) } v'\} \rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{true}, (h, l) \rangle$
- | Red1CASFail:
 $\llbracket \text{heap-read } h \text{ } a \text{ (CField D F) } v''; v \neq v'' \rrbracket \implies$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{addr } a \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, Val v, Val v'), (h, l) \rangle$
 $- \{\text{ReadMem } a \text{ (CField D F) } v''\} \rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{false}, (h, l) \rangle$
- | Call1Obj:
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e \cdot M(es), s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e' \cdot M(es), s' \rangle$
- | Call1Params:
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle \implies$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (Val v) \cdot M(es), s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle (Val v) \cdot M(es'), s' \rangle$
- | Red1CallExternal:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp \text{ } s) \text{ } a = \lfloor T \rfloor; P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } M:Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in D}; P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), hp \text{ } s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \text{ext } \langle va, h' \rangle;$
 $e' = \text{extRet2J1 } ((\text{addr } a) \cdot M(\text{map } Val \text{ } vs)) \text{ } va; s' = (h', \text{lcl } s) \rrbracket$
 $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{addr } a) \cdot M(\text{map } Val \text{ } vs), s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
- | Red1CallNull:
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle null \cdot M(\text{map } Val \text{ } vs), s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$
- | Block1Some:
 $V < \text{length } x \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \{V:T=[v]; e\}, (h, x) \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \{V:T=None; e\}, (h, x[V := v]) \rangle$
- | Block1Red:
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, x) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', x') \rangle$
 $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \{V:T=None; e\}, (h, x) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \{V:T=None; e'\}, (h', x') \rangle$
- | Red1Block:
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \{V:T=None; Val u\}, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle Val u, s \rangle$
- | Synchronized1Red1:
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle o', s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle o'', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle sync_V(o') \text{ } e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle sync_V(o'') \text{ } e, s' \rangle$
- | Synchronized1Null:
 $V < \text{length } xs \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle sync_V(null) \text{ } e, (h, xs) \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, (h, xs[V :=$

$\text{Null}])\rangle$

| *Lock1Synchronized*:

$V < \text{length } xs \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{sync}_V (\text{addr } a) e, (h, xs) \rangle - \{\text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{SyncLock } a\} \rightarrow \langle \text{insync}_V (a) e, (h, xs[V := \text{Addr } a]) \rangle$

| *Synchronized1Red2*:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{insync}_V (a) e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \text{insync}_V (a) e', s' \rangle$

| *Unlock1Synchronized*:

$\llbracket xs ! V = \text{Addr } a'; V < \text{length } xs \rrbracket \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{insync}_V (a) (\text{Val } v), (h, xs) \rangle - \{\text{SyncUnlock } a'\} \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v, (h, xs) \rangle$

| *Unlock1SynchronizedNull*:

$\llbracket xs ! V = \text{Null}; V < \text{length } xs \rrbracket \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{insync}_V (a) (\text{Val } v), (h, xs) \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, (h, xs) \rangle$

| *Unlock1SynchronizedFail*:

$\llbracket uf; xs ! V = \text{Addr } a'; V < \text{length } xs \rrbracket \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{insync}_V (a) (\text{Val } v), (h, xs) \rangle - \{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow a'\} \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW IllegalMonitorState}, (h, xs) \rangle$

| *Seq1Red*:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e;;e2, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e';e2, s' \rangle$

| *Red1Seq*:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Seq} (\text{Val } v) e, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e, s \rangle$

| *Cond1Red*:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle b, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle b', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{if } (b) e1 \text{ else } e2, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \text{if } (b') e1 \text{ else } e2, s' \rangle$

| *Red1CondT*:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{if } (\text{true}) e1 \text{ else } e2, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e1, s \rangle$

| *Red1CondF*:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{if } (\text{false}) e1 \text{ else } e2, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e2, s \rangle$

| *Red1While*:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{while}(b) c, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{if } (b) (c;;\text{while}(b) c) \text{ else unit}, s \rangle$

| *Throw1Red*:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{throw } e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \text{throw } e', s' \rangle$

| *Red1ThrowNull*:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{throw null}, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, s \rangle$

| *Try1Red*:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle \text{try } e' \text{ catch}(C V) e2, s' \rangle$

| *Red1Try*:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{try } (\text{Val } v) \text{ catch}(C V) e2, s \rangle - \varepsilon \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } v, s \rangle$

| *Red1TryCatch*:

$\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } D \rfloor; P \vdash D \preceq^* C; V < \text{length } x \rrbracket$
 $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{try} (\text{Throw } a) \text{ catch}(C \ V) \ e2, (h, x) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \{\{V: \text{Class } C=\text{None}; e2\}, (h, x[V := \text{Addr } a])\}$

| Red1TryFail:
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } (hp \ s) \ a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } D \rfloor; \neg P \vdash D \preceq^* C \rrbracket$
 $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{try} (\text{Throw } a) \text{ catch}(C \ V) \ e2, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$

| List1Red1:
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e', s' \rangle \implies$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e \# es, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle e' \# es, s' \rangle$

| List1Red2:
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle es', s' \rangle \implies$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Val } v \ # es, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-ta} \langle \text{Val } v \ # es', s' \rangle$

| New1ArrayThrow: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{newA } T[\text{Throw } a], s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| Cast1Throw: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Cast } C (\text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| InstanceOf1Throw: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Throw } a) \text{ instanceof } T, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| Bin1OpThrow1: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Throw } a) \llcorner \text{bop} \ e2, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| Bin1OpThrow2: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Val } v_1) \llcorner \text{bop} \ (\text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| LAss1Throw: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle V:= (\text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| AAcc1Throw1: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Throw } a)[i], s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| AAcc1Throw2: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Val } v)[\text{Throw } a], s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| AAss1Throw1: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Throw } a)[i] := e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| AAss1Throw2: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Val } v)[\text{Throw } a] := e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| AAss1Throw3: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{AAss } (\text{Val } v) (\text{Val } i) (\text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| ALength1Throw: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Throw } a).\text{length}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| FAcc1Throw: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Throw } a).\text{F}\{D\}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| FAAss1Throw1: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Throw } a).\text{F}\{D\} := e2, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| FAAss1Throw2: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{FAss } (\text{Val } v) \text{ F D } (\text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| CAS1Throw: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Throw } a.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| CAS1Throw2: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Throw } a, e3), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| CAS1Throw3: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v', \text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| Call1ThrowObj: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Throw } a).\text{M}(es), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| Call1ThrowParams: $\llbracket es = \text{map Val } vs @ \text{ Throw } a \ # es' \rrbracket \implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Val } v).\text{M}(es), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| Block1Throw: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \{V: T=\text{None}; \text{ Throw } a\}, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| Synchronized1Throw1: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{sync}_V (\text{Throw } a) \ e, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| Synchronized1Throw2:
 $\llbracket xs ! V = \text{Addr } a'; V < \text{length } xs \rrbracket$
 $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{insync}_V (a) \text{ Throw ad}, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\{\text{Unlock} \rightarrow a', \text{SyncUnlock } a'\}} \langle \text{Throw ad}, (h, xs) \rangle$

| Synchronized1Throw2Fail:
 $\llbracket uf; xs ! V = \text{Addr } a'; V < \text{length } xs \rrbracket$
 $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{insync}_V (a) \text{ Throw ad}, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow a'\}} \langle \text{THROW IllegalMonitorState}, (h, xs) \rangle$

| Synchronized1Throw2Null:
 $\llbracket xs ! V = \text{Null}; V < \text{length } xs \rrbracket$
 $\implies uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{insync}_V (a) \text{ Throw ad}, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{THROW NullPointer}, (h, xs) \rangle$

| Seq1Throw: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Throw } a);; e2, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| Cond1Throw: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{if } (\text{Throw } a) \ e1 \text{ else } e2, s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$
| Throw1Throw: $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{throw}(\text{Throw } a), s \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{Throw } a, s \rangle$

inductive-cases red1-cases:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{new } C, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{new } T[e], s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e \ll bop \gg e', s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e'', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Var } V, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle V:=e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a[i], s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a[i] := e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a.\text{length}, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e.F\{D\}, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e.F\{D\} := e2, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e', e'), s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e''', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e.M(es), s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \{V:T=vo; e\}, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{sync}_V(o') e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{insync}_V(a) e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e;;e', s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e'', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{throw } e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
 $uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e'', s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$

inductive Red1 ::

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{'addr } J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow \text{'thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1}) \Rightarrow (\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1})$
 $\text{list} \Rightarrow \text{'heap}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'thread-id}, \text{'heap}) \ J1\text{-thread-action}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1}) \Rightarrow (\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1}) \ \text{list} \Rightarrow \text{'heap} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

$(-, -, - \vdash 1 ((1(-/-, /-)) \dashrightarrow / (1(-/-, /-))) [51, 51, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 81)$

for $uf :: \text{bool}$ **and** $P :: \text{'addr } J1\text{-prog}$ **and** $t :: \text{'thread-id}$

where

red1Red:

$uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, x) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', x') \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (e, x)/exs, h \rangle -extTA2J1 P ta \rightarrow \langle (e', x')/exs, h \rangle$

| **red1Call:**

$\llbracket \text{call1 } e = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor U \rfloor;$
 $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \text{ sees } M:Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{body} \rfloor \text{ in } D;$
 $\text{size } vs = \text{size } Ts \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (e, x)/exs, h \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle (\text{blocks1 } 0 (\text{Class } D\#Ts) \text{ body}, \text{Addr } a \# vs @ \text{replicate } (\text{max-vars body}) \text{ undefined-value})/(e, x)\#exs, h \rangle$

| **red1Return:**

$\text{final } e' \Rightarrow uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (e', x')/(e, x)\#exs, h \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle (\text{inline-call } e' e, x)/exs, h \rangle$

abbreviation mred1g :: $\text{bool} \Rightarrow \text{'addr } J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'thread-id}, (\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1}) \times (\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1}) \ \text{list}, \text{'heap}, \text{'addr}, (\text{'addr}, \text{'thread-id}) \ \text{obs-event}) \ \text{semantics}$
where $mred1g uf P \equiv \lambda t ((ex, exs), h) ta ((ex', exs'), h'). uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle ex/exs, h \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle ex'/exs', h' \rangle$

abbreviation mred1' ::

$\text{'addr } J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'thread-id}, (\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1}) \times (\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1}) \ \text{list}, \text{'heap}, \text{'addr}, (\text{'addr}, \text{'thread-id}) \ \text{obs-event}) \ \text{semantics}$
where $mred1' \equiv mred1g \text{ False}$

abbreviation mred1 ::

$\text{'addr } J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'thread-id}, (\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1}) \times (\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1}) \ \text{list}, \text{'heap}, \text{'addr}, (\text{'addr}, \text{'thread-id}) \ \text{obs-event}) \ \text{semantics}$

where $mred1 \equiv mred1g\ True$

lemma $red1\text{-preserves-len}: uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{length}(\text{lcl } s') = \text{length}(\text{lcl } s)$
and $reds1\text{-preserves-len}: uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle \implies \text{length}(\text{lcl } s') = \text{length}(\text{lcl } s)$
by(*induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts*)(*auto*)

lemma $reds1\text{-preserves-elen}: uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle \implies \text{length } es' = \text{length } es$
by(*induct es arbitrary: es'*)(*auto elim: reds1.cases*)

lemma $red1\text{-Val-iff [iff]}:$
 $\neg uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Val } v, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
by(*auto elim: red1.cases*)

lemma $red1\text{-Throw-iff [iff]}:$
 $\neg uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Throw } a, xs \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$
by(*auto elim: red1.cases*)

lemma $reds1\text{-Nil-iff [iff]}:$
 $\neg uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle [], s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle$
by(*auto elim: reds1.cases*)

lemma $reds1\text{-Val-iff [iff]}:$
 $\neg uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{map Val } vs, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle$
by(*induct vs arbitrary: es'*)(*auto elim: reds1.cases*)

lemma $reds1\text{-map-Val-Throw-iff [iff]}:$
 $\neg uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{map Val } vs @ \text{ Throw } a \# es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle$
by(*induct vs arbitrary: es'*)(*auto elim: reds1.cases elim!: red1-cases*)

lemma $red1\text{-max-vars-decr}: uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{max-vars } e$
and $reds1\text{-max-varss-decr}: uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle \implies \text{max-varss } es' \leq \text{max-varss } es$
by(*induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts*)(*auto*)

lemma $red1\text{-new-thread-heap}: \llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t' \text{ ex } h \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket \implies h = hp \ s'$
and $reds1\text{-new-thread-heap}: \llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t' \text{ ex } h \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket \implies h = hp \ s'$
apply(*induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts*)
apply(*fastforce dest: red-ext-new-thread-heap simp add: ta-upd-simps*)
done

lemma $red1\text{-new-threadD}:$
 $\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t' \ x \ H \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists a \ M \ vs \ va \ T \ Ts \ Tr \ D. \ P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), hp \ s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \text{ext } \langle va, hp \ s' \rangle \wedge \text{typeof-addr } (hp \ s) \ a = \lfloor T \rfloor \wedge P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \ \text{sees } M:Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D$
and $reds1\text{-new-threadD}:$
 $\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t' \ x \ H \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists a \ M \ vs \ va \ T \ Ts \ Tr \ D. \ P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), hp \ s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \text{ext } \langle va, hp \ s' \rangle \wedge \text{typeof-addr } (hp \ s) \ a = \lfloor T \rfloor \wedge P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \ \text{sees } M:Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D$
by(*induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts*)(*fastforce simp add: ta-upd-simps*)

lemma $red1\text{-call-synthesized}: \llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{call1 } e = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \text{synthesized-call } P \ (hp \ s) \ aMvs$
and $reds1\text{-calls-synthesized}: \llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{calls1 } es = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \text{synthe-}$

```

sized-call P (hp s) aMvs
apply(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)
apply(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv append-eq-map-conv synthesized-call-conv)
apply blast
done

lemma red1-preserves-B: [[ uf,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; B e n ] ] ⇒ B e' n
  and reds1-preserves-Bs: [[ uf,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; Bs es n ] ] ⇒ Bs es' n
by(induct arbitrary: n and n rule: red1-reds1.inducts)(auto)

end

context J1-heap begin

lemma red1-hext-incr: uf,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩ ⇒ hext (hp s) (hp s')
  and reds1-hext-incr: uf,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩ ⇒ hext (hp s) (hp s')
by(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)(auto intro: hext-heap-ops red-external-hext)

lemma Red1-hext-incr: uf,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨ex/exs,h⟩ −ta→ ⟨ex'/exs',h'⟩ ⇒ h ⊑ h'
by(auto elim!: Red1.cases dest: red1-hext-incr)

end

```

7.6.1 Silent moves

context J1-heap-base begin

```

primrec τmove1 :: 'm prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp ⇒ bool
  and τmoves1 :: 'm prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list ⇒ bool
where
  τmove1 P h (new C) ←→ False
  | τmove1 P h (newA T[e]) ←→ τmove1 P h e ∨ (exists a. e = Throw a)
  | τmove1 P h (Cast U e) ←→ τmove1 P h e ∨ final e
  | τmove1 P h (e instanceof T) ←→ τmove1 P h e ∨ final e
  | τmove1 P h (e «bop» e') ←→ τmove1 P h e ∨ (exists a. e = Throw a) ∨ (exists v. e = Val v ∧ (τmove1 P h e' ∨ final e'))
  | τmove1 P h (Val v) ←→ False
  | τmove1 P h (Var V) ←→ True
  | τmove1 P h (V := e) ←→ τmove1 P h e ∨ final e
  | τmove1 P h (a[i]) ←→ τmove1 P h a ∨ (exists ad. a = Throw ad) ∨ (exists v. a = Val v ∧ (τmove1 P h i ∨ (exists a. i = Throw a)))
  | τmove1 P h (AAss a i e) ←→ τmove1 P h a ∨ (exists ad. a = Throw ad) ∨ (exists v. a = Val v ∧ (τmove1 P h i ∨ (exists a. i = Throw a) ∨ (exists v. i = Val v ∧ (τmove1 P h e ∨ (exists a. e = Throw a))))) ∨ (τmove1 P h (a-length) ←→ τmove1 P h a ∨ (exists ad. a = Throw ad))
  | τmove1 P h (e·F{D}) ←→ τmove1 P h e ∨ (exists a. e = Throw a)
  | τmove1 P h (FAss e F D e') ←→ τmove1 P h e ∨ (exists a. e = Throw a) ∨ (exists v. e = Val v ∧ (τmove1 P h e' ∨ (exists a. e' = Throw a)))
  | τmove1 P h (e·compareAndSwap(D·F, e', e'')) ←→ τmove1 P h e ∨ (exists a. e = Throw a) ∨ (exists v. e = Val v ∧ (τmove1 P h e' ∨ (exists a. e' = Throw a) ∨ (exists v. e' = Val v ∧ (τmove1 P h e'' ∨ (exists a. e'' = Throw a))))) ∨ (τmove1 P h (e·M(es)) ←→ τmove1 P h e ∨ (exists a. e = Throw a) ∨ (exists v. e = Val v ∧ (τmoves1 P h es ∨ (exists vs a es'. es = map Val vs @ Throw a # es') ∨ (exists vs. es = map Val vs ∧ (v = Null ∨ (forall T C Ts Tr D. typeof_h v = |T| → class-type-of' T =
```

$| C \rightarrow P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D \rightarrow \tau_{\text{external-defs}} D M)))$
 $| \tau_{move1} P h (\{V:T=vo; e\}) \longleftrightarrow vo \neq \text{None} \vee \tau_{move1} P h e \vee \text{final } e$
 $| \tau_{move1} P h (\text{sync}_{V'}(e) e') \longleftrightarrow \tau_{move1} P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a)$
 $| \tau_{move1} P h (\text{insync}_{V'}(ad) e) \longleftrightarrow \tau_{move1} P h e$
 $| \tau_{move1} P h (e;;e') \longleftrightarrow \tau_{move1} P h e \vee \text{final } e$
 $| \tau_{move1} P h (\text{if } (e) e' \text{ else } e'') \longleftrightarrow \tau_{move1} P h e \vee \text{final } e$
 $| \tau_{move1} P h (\text{while } (e) e') = \text{True}$
 $| \tau_{move1} P h (\text{throw } e) \longleftrightarrow \tau_{move1} P h e \vee (\exists a. e = \text{Throw } a) \vee e = \text{null}$
 $| \tau_{move1} P h (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e') \longleftrightarrow \tau_{move1} P h e \vee \text{final } e$

 $| \tau_{moves1} P h [] \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $| \tau_{moves1} P h (e \# es) \longleftrightarrow \tau_{move1} P h e \vee (\exists v. e = \text{Val } v \wedge \tau_{moves1} P h es)$

fun $\tau_{Move1} :: 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow (('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp} \times 'c) \times (('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp} \times 'd) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
 $\tau_{Move1} P h ((e, x), exs) = (\tau_{move1} P h e \vee \text{final } e)$

definition $\tau_{red1g} :: \text{bool} \Rightarrow 'addr J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau_{red1g} uf P t h exs e'xs' = (uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{fst } exs, (h, \text{snd } exs) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{fst } e'xs', (h, \text{snd } e'xs') \rangle) \wedge \tau_{move1} P h (\text{fst } exs)$

definition $\tau_{reds1g} ::$
 $\text{bool} \Rightarrow 'addr J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \text{ list} \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \text{ list} \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
 $\tau_{reds1g} uf P t h esxs es'xs' =$
 $(uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{fst } esxs, (h, \text{snd } esxs) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{fst } es'xs', (h, \text{snd } es'xs') \rangle) \wedge \tau_{moves1} P h (\text{fst } esxs)$

abbreviation $\tau_{red1gt} ::$
 $\text{bool} \Rightarrow 'addr J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau_{red1gt} uf P t h \equiv (\tau_{red1g} uf P t h)^{\wedge+}$

abbreviation $\tau_{reds1gt} ::$
 $\text{bool} \Rightarrow 'addr J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \text{ list} \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \text{ list} \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau_{reds1gt} uf P t h \equiv (\tau_{reds1g} uf P t h)^{\wedge+}$

abbreviation $\tau_{red1gr} ::$
 $\text{bool} \Rightarrow 'addr J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau_{red1gr} uf P t h \equiv (\tau_{red1g} uf P t h)^{\wedge**}$

abbreviation $\tau_{reds1gr} ::$
 $\text{bool} \Rightarrow 'addr J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \text{ list} \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \text{ list} \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau_{reds1gr} uf P t h \equiv (\tau_{reds1g} uf P t h)^{\wedge**}$

definition $\tau_{Red1g} ::$
 $\text{bool} \Rightarrow 'addr J1\text{-prog} \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times (('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $\Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times (('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau_{Red1g} uf P t h exes ex'xes' = (uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{fst } exes/\text{snd } exes, h \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle \text{fst } ex'xes'/\text{snd } ex'xes', h \rangle)$

$\text{ex}'\text{exs}', h\rangle \wedge \tau\text{Move1 } P h \text{ exes})$

abbreviation $\tau\text{Red1gt} ::$

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow (\text{addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}) \times ((\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}) \text{ list})) \text{ list})) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau\text{Red1gt uf } P t h \equiv (\tau\text{Red1g uf } P t h) \hat{+} +$

abbreviation $\tau\text{Red1gr} ::$

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow (\text{addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}) \times ((\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}) \text{ list})) \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \text{ list})) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau\text{Red1gr uf } P t h \equiv (\tau\text{Red1g uf } P t h) \hat{*} *$

abbreviation $\tau\text{red1} ::$

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow (\text{addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}))) \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau\text{red1} \equiv \tau\text{red1g True}$

abbreviation $\tau\text{reds1} ::$

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow (\text{addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((\text{addr expr1 list} \times \text{addr locals1}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr1 list} \times \text{addr locals1}))) \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau\text{reds1} \equiv \tau\text{reds1g True}$

abbreviation $\tau\text{red1t} ::$

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow (\text{addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}))) \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau\text{red1t} \equiv \tau\text{red1gt True}$

abbreviation $\tau\text{reds1t} ::$

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow (\text{addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((\text{addr expr1 list} \times \text{addr locals1}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr1 list} \times \text{addr locals1}))) \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau\text{reds1t} \equiv \tau\text{reds1gt True}$

abbreviation $\tau\text{red1r} ::$

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow (\text{addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}))) \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau\text{red1r} \equiv \tau\text{red1gr True}$

abbreviation $\tau\text{reds1r} ::$

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow (\text{addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((\text{addr expr1 list} \times \text{addr locals1}) \Rightarrow (\text{addr expr1 list} \times \text{addr locals1}))) \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau\text{reds1r} \equiv \tau\text{reds1gr True}$

abbreviation $\tau\text{Red1} ::$

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow (\text{addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}) \times ((\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}) \text{ list})) \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau\text{Red1} \equiv \tau\text{Red1g True}$

abbreviation $\tau\text{Red1t} ::$

$\text{bool} \Rightarrow (\text{addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow (\text{thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{heap} \Rightarrow ((\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}) \times ((\text{addr expr1} \times \text{addr locals1}) \text{ list})) \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $\tau\text{Red1t} \equiv \tau\text{Red1g True}$

where $\tau Red1t \equiv \tau Red1gt \text{ True}$

abbreviation $\tau Red1r ::$

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times (('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) list)
 \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times (('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) list) \Rightarrow bool
where $\tau Red1r \equiv \tau Red1gr \text{ True}$

abbreviation $\tau red1' ::$

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1)
 \Rightarrow bool
where $\tau red1' \equiv \tau red1g \text{ False}$

abbreviation $\tau reds1' ::$

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 list \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 list \times 'addr locals1)
 \Rightarrow bool
where $\tau reds1' \equiv \tau reds1g \text{ False}$

abbreviation $\tau red1't ::$

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1)
 \Rightarrow bool
where $\tau red1't \equiv \tau red1gt \text{ False}$

abbreviation $\tau reds1't ::$

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 list \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 list \times 'addr locals1)
 \Rightarrow bool
where $\tau reds1't \equiv \tau reds1gt \text{ False}$

abbreviation $\tau red1'r ::$

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1)
 \Rightarrow bool
where $\tau red1'r \equiv \tau red1gr \text{ False}$

abbreviation $\tau reds1'r ::$

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 list \times 'addr locals1) \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 list \times 'addr locals1)
 \Rightarrow bool
where $\tau reds1'r \equiv \tau reds1gr \text{ False}$

abbreviation $\tau Red1' ::$

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times (('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) list)
 \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times (('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) list) \Rightarrow bool
where $\tau Red1' \equiv \tau Red1g \text{ False}$

abbreviation $\tau Red1't ::$

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times (('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) list)
 \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times (('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) list) \Rightarrow bool
where $\tau Red1't \equiv \tau Red1gt \text{ False}$

abbreviation $\tau Red1'r ::$

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times (('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) list)
 \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times (('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) list) \Rightarrow bool

where $\tau Red1'r \equiv \tau Red1gr False$

abbreviation $\tau MOVE1 ::$

'm prog $\Rightarrow (((addr\ expr1 \times 'addr\ locals1) \times ('addr\ expr1 \times 'addr\ locals1)\ list) \times 'heap, ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J1-thread-action) trsys$

where $\tau MOVE1 P \equiv \lambda(exeds, h) ta\ s. \tau Move1 P h\ exeds \wedge ta = \varepsilon$

lemma $\tau move1\text{-}moves1\text{-intros}:$

fixes $e :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp$ and $es :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp$ list
 shows $\tau move1NewArray: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (newA T[e])$
 and $\tau move1Cast: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (Cast U e)$
 and $\tau move1CastRed: \tau move1 P h (Cast U (Val v))$
 and $\tau move1InstanceOf: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (e instanceof U)$
 and $\tau move1InstanceOfRed: \tau move1 P h ((Val v) instanceof U)$
 and $\tau move1BinOp1: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (e « bop » e')$
 and $\tau move1BinOp2: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (Val v « bop » e)$
 and $\tau move1BinOp: \tau move1 P h (Val v « bop » Val v')$
 and $\tau move1Var: \tau move1 P h (Var V)$
 and $\tau move1LAss: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (V := e)$
 and $\tau move1LAssRed: \tau move1 P h (V := Val v)$
 and $\tau move1AAcc1: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (e[e'])$
 and $\tau move1AAcc2: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (Val v[e])$
 and $\tau move1AAss1: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (AAss e e' e'')$
 and $\tau move1AAss2: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (AAss (Val v) e e')$
 and $\tau move1AAss3: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (AAss (Val v) (Val v') e)$
 and $\tau move1ALength: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (e.length)$
 and $\tau move1FAcc: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (e.F\{D\})$
 and $\tau move1FAss1: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (FAss e F D e')$
 and $\tau move1FAss2: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (FAss (Val v) F D e)$
 and $\tau move1CAS1: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e''))$
 and $\tau move1CAS2: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (Val v.compareAndSwap(D.F, e, e''))$
 and $\tau move1CAS3: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (Val v.compareAndSwap(D.F, Val v', e))$
 and $\tau move1CallObj: \tau move1 P h obj \implies \tau move1 P h (obj.M(ps))$
 and $\tau move1CallParams: \tau moves1 P h ps \implies \tau move1 P h (Val v.M(ps))$
 and $\tau move1Call: (\bigwedge T C Ts Tr D. [\ typeof_h v = \lfloor T \rfloor; class-type-of' T = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C sees M : Ts \rightarrow Tr = Native\ in\ D] \implies \tau external-defs D M) \implies \tau move1 P h (Val v.M(map Val vs))$
 and $\tau move1BlockSome: \tau move1 P h \{V:T=\lfloor v \rfloor; e\}$
 and $\tau move1Block: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h \{V:T=None; e\}$
 and $\tau move1BlockRed: \tau move1 P h \{V:T=None; Val v\}$
 and $\tau move1Sync: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (sync_{V'}(e) e')$
 and $\tau move1InSync: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (insync_{V'}(a) e)$
 and $\tau move1Seq: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (e;; e')$
 and $\tau move1SeqRed: \tau move1 P h (Val v;; e)$
 and $\tau move1Cond: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (if (e) e1 else e2)$
 and $\tau move1CondRed: \tau move1 P h (if (Val v) e1 else e2)$
 and $\tau move1WhileRed: \tau move1 P h (while (c) e)$
 and $\tau move1Throw: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (throw e)$
 and $\tau move1ThrowNull: \tau move1 P h (throw null)$
 and $\tau move1Try: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau move1 P h (try e catch(C V) e'')$
 and $\tau move1TryRed: \tau move1 P h (try Val v catch(C V) e)$
 and $\tau move1TryThrow: \tau move1 P h (try Throw a catch(C V) e)$
 and $\tau move1NewArrayThrow: \tau move1 P h (newA T[Throw a])$
 and $\tau move1CastThrow: \tau move1 P h (Cast T (Throw a))$
 and $\tau move1InstanceOfThrow: \tau move1 P h ((Throw a) instanceof T)$

and $\tau move1BinOpThrow1: \tau move1 P h (\text{Throw } a \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2)$
and $\tau move1BinOpThrow2: \tau move1 P h (\text{Val } v \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket \text{Throw } a)$
and $\tau move1LAssThrow: \tau move1 P h (V := (\text{Throw } a))$
and $\tau move1AAccThrow1: \tau move1 P h (\text{Throw } a[e])$
and $\tau move1AAccThrow2: \tau move1 P h (\text{Val } v \llbracket \text{Throw } a])$
and $\tau move1AAssThrow1: \tau move1 P h (\text{AAss} (\text{Throw } a) e e')$
and $\tau move1AAssThrow2: \tau move1 P h (\text{AAss} (\text{Val } v) (\text{Throw } a) e')$
and $\tau move1AAssThrow3: \tau move1 P h (\text{AAss} (\text{Val } v) (\text{Val } v') (\text{Throw } a))$
and $\tau move1ALengthThrow: \tau move1 P h (\text{Throw } a.length)$
and $\tau move1FAccThrow: \tau move1 P h (\text{Throw } a.F\{D\})$
and $\tau move1FAssThrow1: \tau move1 P h (\text{Throw } a.F\{D\} := e)$
and $\tau move1FAssThrow2: \tau move1 P h (\text{FAss} (\text{Val } v) F D (\text{Throw } a))$
and $\tau move1CASThrow1: \tau move1 P h (\text{CompareAndSwap} (\text{Throw } a) D F e e')$
and $\tau move1CASThrow2: \tau move1 P h (\text{CompareAndSwap} (\text{Val } v) D F (\text{Throw } a) e')$
and $\tau move1CASThrow3: \tau move1 P h (\text{CompareAndSwap} (\text{Val } v) D F (\text{Val } v') (\text{Throw } a))$
and $\tau move1CallThrowObj: \tau move1 P h (\text{Throw } a.M(es))$
and $\tau move1CallThrowParams: \tau move1 P h (\text{Val } v.M(\text{map Val vs} @ \text{Throw } a \# es))$
and $\tau move1BlockThrow: \tau move1 P h \{ V:T=None; \text{Throw } a \}$
and $\tau move1SyncThrow: \tau move1 P h (\text{sync}_V' (\text{Throw } a) e)$
and $\tau move1SeqThrow: \tau move1 P h (\text{Throw } a;;e)$
and $\tau move1CondThrow: \tau move1 P h (\text{if } (\text{Throw } a) e1 \text{ else } e2)$
and $\tau move1ThrowThrow: \tau move1 P h (\text{throw } (\text{Throw } a))$

and $\tau moves1Hd: \tau move1 P h e \implies \tau moves1 P h (e \# es)$
and $\tau moves1Tl: \tau moves1 P h es \implies \tau moves1 P h (\text{Val } v \# es)$

by fastforce+

lemma $\tau moves1\text{-map-Val} [\text{dest!}]:$
 $\tau moves1 P h (\text{map Val es}) \implies \text{False}$
by(induct es)(auto)

lemma $\tau moves1\text{-map-Val-ThrowD} [\text{simp}]: \tau moves1 P h (\text{map Val vs} @ \text{Throw } a \# es) = \text{False}$
by(induct vs)(fastforce)+

lemma fixes $e :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp}$ **and** $es :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) \text{ exp list}$
shows $\tau move1\text{-not-call1}:$
 $call1 e = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \implies \tau move1 P h e \longleftrightarrow (\text{synthesized-call } P h (a, M, vs) \longrightarrow \tau external' P h a M)$
and $\tau moves1\text{-not-calls1}:$
 $calls1 es = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \implies \tau moves1 P h es \longleftrightarrow (\text{synthesized-call } P h (a, M, vs) \longrightarrow \tau external' P h a M)$
apply(induct e **and** es rule: call1.induct calls1.induct)
apply(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv)
apply(fastforce simp add: synthesized-call-def map-eq-append-conv $\tau external'\text{-def}$ $\tau external\text{-def}$ dest: sees-method-fun)+
done

lemma $red1\text{-}\tau\text{-taD}: \llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle \dashv ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \tau move1 P (hp s) e \rrbracket \implies ta = \varepsilon$
and $reds1\text{-}\tau\text{-taD}: \llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle \dashv ta \rightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle; \tau moves1 P (hp s) es \rrbracket \implies ta = \varepsilon$
apply(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)
apply(fastforce simp add: map-eq-append-conv $\tau external'\text{-def}$ $\tau external\text{-def}$ dest: $\tau external'\text{-red-external-TA-empty}$)+
done

lemma $\tau move1\text{-heap-unchanged}: \llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle \dashv ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \tau move1 P (hp s) e \rrbracket \implies hp s' =$

```

hp s
and  $\tau\text{moves1-heap-unchanged}$ :  $\llbracket \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \tau\text{moves1 } P \text{ (hp } s \text{) } es \rrbracket \implies \text{hp } s'$ 
 $= \text{hp } s$ 
apply(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)
apply(auto)
apply(fastforce simp add: map-eq-append-conv  $\tau\text{external}'\text{-def}$   $\tau\text{external-def dest: } \tau\text{external}'\text{-red-external-heap-unchanged}$ )
done

lemma  $\tau\text{Move1-iff}:$ 
 $\tau\text{Move1 } P \text{ h exes } \longleftrightarrow (\text{let } ((e, -), -) = \text{exes} \text{ in } \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ h e} \vee \text{final e})$ 
by(cases exes)(auto)

lemma  $\tau\text{red1-iff [iff]}:$ 
 $\tau\text{red1g uf } P \text{ t h } (e, xs) (e', xs') = (\text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle \wedge \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ h e})$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{red1g-def}$ )

lemma  $\tau\text{reds1-iff [iff]}:$ 
 $\tau\text{reds1g uf } P \text{ t h } (es, xs) (es', xs') = (\text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle \wedge \tau\text{moves1 } P \text{ h es})$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{reds1g-def}$ )

lemma  $\tau\text{red1t-1step}:$ 
 $\llbracket \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ h e} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P \text{ t h } (e, xs) (e', xs')$ 
by(blast intro: tranclp.r-into-trancl)

lemma  $\tau\text{red1t-2step}:$ 
 $\llbracket \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ h e};$ 
 $\quad \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ h e}' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P \text{ t h } (e, xs) (e'', xs'')$ 
by(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl[OF  $\tau\text{red1t-1step}$ ])

lemma  $\tau\text{red1t-3step}:$ 
 $\llbracket \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ h e};$ 
 $\quad \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ h e}';$ 
 $\quad \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle e''', (h, xs''') \rangle; \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ h e}''' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P \text{ t h } (e, xs) (e''', xs''')$ 
by(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl[OF  $\tau\text{red1t-2step}$ ])

lemma  $\tau\text{reds1t-1step}:$ 
 $\llbracket \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau\text{moves1 } P \text{ h es} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \tau\text{reds1gt uf } P \text{ t h } (es, xs) (es', xs')$ 
by(blast intro: tranclp.r-into-trancl)

lemma  $\tau\text{reds1t-2step}:$ 
 $\llbracket \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau\text{moves1 } P \text{ h es};$ 
 $\quad \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau\text{moves1 } P \text{ h es}' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \tau\text{reds1gt uf } P \text{ t h } (es, xs) (es'', xs'')$ 
by(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl[OF  $\tau\text{reds1t-1step}$ ])

lemma  $\tau\text{reds1t-3step}:$ 
 $\llbracket \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau\text{moves1 } P \text{ h es};$ 
 $\quad \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau\text{moves1 } P \text{ h es}';$ 
 $\quad \text{uf}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle [-\varepsilon \rightarrow] \langle es''', (h, xs''') \rangle; \tau\text{moves1 } P \text{ h es}''' \rrbracket$ 

```

$\implies \tau reds1gt uf P t h (es, xs) (es'', xs'')$
by(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl[*OF* $\tau reds1t\text{-}2step$])

lemma $\tau red1r\text{-}1step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau move1 P h e]\!] \\ & \implies \tau red1gr uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \\ & \text{by}(blast intro: r-into-rtranclp) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\tau red1r\text{-}2step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau move1 P h e; \\ & \quad uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau move1 P h e']\!] \\ & \implies \tau red1gr uf P t h (e, xs) (e'', xs'') \\ & \text{by}(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl[*OF* $\tau red1r\text{-}1step$]) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\tau red1r\text{-}3step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau move1 P h e; \\ & \quad uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau move1 P h e'; \\ & \quad uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle e''', (h, xs''') \rangle; \tau move1 P h e'']\!] \\ & \implies \tau red1gr uf P t h (e, xs) (e''', xs''') \\ & \text{by}(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl[*OF* $\tau red1r\text{-}2step$]) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\tau reds1r\text{-}1step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{[-\varepsilon]} \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau moves1 P h es]\!] \\ & \implies \tau reds1gr uf P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \\ & \text{by}(blast intro: r-into-rtranclp) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\tau reds1r\text{-}2step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{[-\varepsilon]} \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau moves1 P h es; \\ & \quad uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle \xrightarrow{[-\varepsilon]} \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau moves1 P h es']\!] \\ & \implies \tau reds1gr uf P t h (es, xs) (es'', xs'') \\ & \text{by}(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl[*OF* $\tau reds1r\text{-}1step$]) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\tau reds1r\text{-}3step$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{[-\varepsilon]} \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle; \tau moves1 P h es; \\ & \quad uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle \xrightarrow{[-\varepsilon]} \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle; \tau moves1 P h es'; \\ & \quad uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle \xrightarrow{[-\varepsilon]} \langle es''', (h, xs''') \rangle; \tau moves1 P h es'']\!] \\ & \implies \tau reds1gr uf P t h (es, xs) (es''', xs''') \\ & \text{by}(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl[*OF* $\tau reds1r\text{-}2step$]) \end{aligned}$$

lemma $\tau red1t\text{-preserves-len}$: $\tau red1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \text{length } xs' = \text{length } xs$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto dest: red1-preserves-len)

lemma $\tau red1r\text{-preserves-len}$: $\tau red1gr uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \text{length } xs' = \text{length } xs$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto dest: red1-preserves-len)

lemma $\tau red1t\text{-inj-}\tau reds1t$: $\tau red1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau reds1gt uf P t h (e \# es, xs) (e' \# es, xs')$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl List1Red1 $\tau moves1Hd$)

lemma $\tau reds1t\text{-cons-}\tau reds1t$: $\tau reds1gt uf P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \implies \tau reds1gt uf P t h (\text{Val } v \# es, xs) (\text{Val } v \# es', xs')$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl List1Red2 $\tau moves1Tl$)

lemma $\tau red1r\text{-inj-}\tau reds1r$: $\tau red1gr uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau reds1gr uf P t h (e \# es, xs) (e' \# es', xs')$

$es, xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl List1Red1* $\tau\text{moves1Hd}$)

lemma $\tau\text{reds1r-cons-}\tau\text{reds1r}: \tau\text{reds1gr uf } P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \implies \tau\text{reds1gr uf } P t h (\text{Val } v \# es, xs) (\text{Val } v \# es', xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl List1Red2* $\tau\text{moves1Tl}$)

lemma *NewArray*- $\tau\text{red1t-xt}$:
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (\text{newA } T[e], xs) (\text{newA } T[e'], xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl New1ArrayRed* $\tau\text{move1NewArray}$)

lemma *Cast*- $\tau\text{red1t-xt}$:
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (\text{Cast } T e, xs) (\text{Cast } T e', xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Cast1Red* $\tau\text{move1Cast}$)

lemma *InstanceOf*- $\tau\text{red1t-xt}$:
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e \text{ instanceof } T, xs) (e' \text{ instanceof } T, xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl InstanceOf1Red* $\tau\text{move1InstanceOf}$)

lemma *BinOp*- $\tau\text{red1t-xt1}$:
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e1, xs) (e1', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \urcorner e2, xs) (e1' \llcorner \text{bop} \urcorner e2, xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Bin1OpRed1* $\tau\text{move1BinOp1}$)

lemma *BinOp*- $\tau\text{red1t-xt2}$:
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e2, xs) (e2', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (\text{Val } v \llcorner \text{bop} \urcorner e2, xs) (\text{Val } v \llcorner \text{bop} \urcorner e2', xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Bin1OpRed2* $\tau\text{move1BinOp2}$)

lemma *LAss*- τred1t :
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (V := e, xs) (V := e', xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl LAss1Red* $\tau\text{move1LAss}$)

lemma *AAcc*- $\tau\text{red1t-xt1}$:
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (a[i], xs) (a'[i], xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl AAcc1Red1* $\tau\text{move1AAcc1}$)

lemma *AAcc*- $\tau\text{red1t-xt2}$:
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (i, xs) (i', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (\text{Val } a[i], xs) (\text{Val } a[i'], xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl AAcc1Red2* $\tau\text{move1AAcc2}$)

lemma *AAss*- $\tau\text{red1t-xt1}$:
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (a[i] := e, xs) (a'[i] := e, xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl AAss1Red1* $\tau\text{move1AAss1}$)

lemma *AAss*- $\tau\text{red1t-xt2}$:
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (i, xs) (i', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (\text{Val } a[i] := e, xs) (\text{Val } a[i'] := e, xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl AAss1Red2* $\tau\text{move1AAss2}$)

lemma *AAss*- $\tau\text{red1t-xt3}$:
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (\text{Val } a[\text{Val } i] := e, xs) (\text{Val } a[\text{Val } i'] := e', xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl AAss1Red3* $\tau\text{move1AAss3}$)

lemma *ALength*- $\tau\text{red1t-xt}$:
 $\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (a.length, xs) (a'.length, xs')$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl ALength1Red τmove1ALength*)

lemma *FAcc-τred1t-xt*:

$$\taured1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \taured1gt uf P t h (e \cdot F\{D\}, xs) (e' \cdot F\{D\}, xs')$$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl FAcc1Red τmove1FAcc*)

lemma *FAss-τred1t-xt1*:

$$\taured1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \taured1gt uf P t h (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, xs) (e' \cdot F\{D\} := e2, xs')$$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl FAss1Red1 τmove1FAss1*)

lemma *FAss-τred1t-xt2*:

$$\taured1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \taured1gt uf P t h (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e, xs) (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e', xs')$$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl FAss1Red2 τmove1FAss2*)

lemma *CAS-τred1t-xt1*:

$$\begin{aligned} \taured1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') &\implies \taured1gt uf P t h (e \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), xs) \\ &(\text{e}' \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), xs') \end{aligned}$$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl CAS1Red1*)

lemma *CAS-τred1t-xt2*:

$$\begin{aligned} \taured1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') &\implies \taured1gt uf P t h (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e, e3), xs) (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e', e3), xs') \end{aligned}$$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl CAS1Red2*)

lemma *CAS-τred1t-xt3*:

$$\begin{aligned} \taured1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') &\implies \taured1gt uf P t h (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v', e), xs) \\ &(\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v', e'), xs') \end{aligned}$$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl CAS1Red3*)

lemma *Call-τred1t-obj*:

$$\taured1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \taured1gt uf P t h (e \cdot M(ps), xs) (e' \cdot M(ps), xs')$$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Call1Obj τmove1CallObj*)

lemma *Call-τred1t-param*:

$$\taured1gt uf P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \implies \taured1gt uf P t h (\text{Val } v \cdot M(es), xs) (\text{Val } v \cdot M(es'), xs')$$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Call1Params τmove1CallParams*)

lemma *Block-None-τred1t-xt*:

$$\taured1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \taured1gt uf P t h (\{\text{V:T=None}; e\}, xs) (\{\text{V:T=None}; e'\}, xs')$$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl τmove1Block elim!: Block1Red*)

lemma *Block-τred1t-Some*:

$$\llbracket \taured1gt uf P t h (e, xs[V := v]) (e', xs'); V < \text{length } xs \rrbracket$$

$$\implies \taured1gt uf P t h (\{\text{V:Ty=[v]}; e\}, xs) (\{\text{V:Ty=None}; e'\}, xs')$$

by(*blast intro: tranclp-into-trancl2 Block1Some τmove1BlockSome Block-None-τred1t-xt*)

lemma *Sync-τred1t-xt*:

$$\taured1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \taured1gt uf P t h (\text{sync}_V (e) e2, xs) (\text{sync}_V (e') e2, xs')$$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Synchronized1Red1 τmove1Sync*)

lemma *InSync-τred1t-xt*:

$$\taured1gt uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \taured1gt uf P t h (\text{insync}_V (a) e, xs) (\text{insync}_V (a) e', xs')$$

by(*induct rule: tranclp-induct2*)(*auto intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Synchronized1Red2 τmove1InSync*)

lemma *Seq- τ red1t-xt*:

$\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e; e2, xs) (e'; e2, xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Seq1Red* $\tau\text{move1Seq}$)

lemma *Cond- τ red1t-xt*:

$\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2, xs) (\text{if } (e') e1 \text{ else } e2, xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Cond1Red* $\tau\text{move1Cond}$)

lemma *Throw- τ red1t-xt*:

$\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (\text{throw } e, xs) (\text{throw } e', xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Throw1Red* $\tau\text{move1Throw}$)

lemma *Try- τ red1t-xt*:

$\tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2, xs) (\text{try } e' \text{ catch}(C V) e2, xs')$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Try1Red* $\tau\text{move1Try}$)

lemma *NewArray- τ red1r-xt*:

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (\text{newA } T[e], xs) (\text{newA } T[e'], xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl New1ArrayRed* $\tau\text{move1NewArray}$)

lemma *Cast- τ red1r-xt*:

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (\text{Cast } T e, xs) (\text{Cast } T e', xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Cast1Red* $\tau\text{move1Cast}$)

lemma *InstanceOf- τ red1r-xt*:

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e \text{ instanceof } T, xs) (e' \text{ instanceof } T, xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl InstanceOf1Red* $\tau\text{move1InstanceOf}$)

lemma *BinOp- τ red1r-xt1*:

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e1, xs) (e1', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e1 \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2, xs) (e1' \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2, xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Bin1OpRed1* $\tau\text{move1BinOp1}$)

lemma *BinOp- τ red1r-xt2*:

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e2, xs) (e2', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (\text{Val } v \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2, xs) (\text{Val } v \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2', xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Bin1OpRed2* $\tau\text{move1BinOp2}$)

lemma *LAss- τ red1r*:

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (V := e, xs) (V := e', xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl LAss1Red* $\tau\text{move1LAss}$)

lemma *AAcc- τ red1r-xt1*:

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (a[i], xs) (a'[i], xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAcc1Red1* $\tau\text{move1AAcc1}$)

lemma *AAcc- τ red1r-xt2*:

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (i, xs) (i', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (\text{Val } a[i], xs) (\text{Val } a[i'], xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAcc1Red2* $\tau\text{move1AAcc2}$)

lemma *AAss- τ red1r-xt1*:

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (a[i] := e, xs) (a'[i] := e, xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAss1Red1* $\tau\text{move1AAss1}$)

lemma *AAss- $\tau\text{red1r-xt2}$:*

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (i, xs) (i', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (\text{Val } a[i] := e, xs) (\text{Val } a[i'] := e, xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAss1Red2* $\tau\text{move1AAss2}$)

lemma *AAss- $\tau\text{red1r-xt3}$:*

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (\text{Val } a[\text{Val } i] := e, xs) (\text{Val } a[\text{Val } i'] := e', xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAss1Red3* $\tau\text{move1AAss3}$)

lemma *ALength- $\tau\text{red1r-xt}$:*

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (a, xs) (a', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (a.\text{length}, xs) (a'.\text{length}, xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl ALength1Red* $\tau\text{move1ALength}$)

lemma *FAcc- $\tau\text{red1r-xt}$:*

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e.F\{D\}, xs) (e'.F\{D\}, xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl FAcc1Red* $\tau\text{move1FAcc}$)

lemma *FAss- $\tau\text{red1r-xt1}$:*

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e.F\{D\} := e2, xs) (e'.F\{D\} := e2, xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl FAss1Red1* $\tau\text{move1FAss1}$)

lemma *FAss- $\tau\text{red1r-xt2}$:*

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (\text{Val } v.F\{D\} := e, xs) (\text{Val } v.F\{D\} := e', xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl FAss1Red2* $\tau\text{move1FAss2}$)

lemma *CAS- $\tau\text{red1r-xt1}$:*

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3), xs)$
 $(e'.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3), xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CAS1Red1*)

lemma *CAS- $\tau\text{red1r-xt2}$:*

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (\text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e, e3), xs) (\text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e', e3), xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CAS1Red2*)

lemma *CAS- $\tau\text{red1r-xt3}$:*

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (\text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v', e), xs)$
 $(\text{Val } v.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v', e'), xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CAS1Red3*)

lemma *Call- $\tau\text{red1r-obj}$:*

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e.M(ps), xs) (e'.M(ps), xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Call1Obj* $\tau\text{move1CallObj}$)

lemma *Call- $\tau\text{red1r-param}$:*

$\tau\text{reds1gr uf } P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (\text{Val } v.M(es), xs) (\text{Val } v.M(es'), xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Call1Params* $\tau\text{move1CallParams}$)

lemma *Block-None- $\tau\text{red1r-xt}$:*

$\tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (\{V:T=\text{None}; e\}, xs) (\{V:T=\text{None}; e'\}, xs')$
by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct2*)(auto intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl* $\tau\text{move1Block elim!: Block1Red}$)

lemma *Block-τred1r-Some*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (e, \ xs[V := v]) \ (e', \ xs'); \ V < \text{length } xs \rrbracket \\ & \implies \tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (\{V:\text{Ty}=\lfloor v \rfloor; e\}, \ xs) \ (\{V:\text{Ty}=\text{None}; e'\}, \ xs') \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro: converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp *Block1Some* *τmove1BlockSome* *Block-None-τred1r-xt*)

lemma *Sync-τred1r-xt*:

$$\tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (e, \ xs) \ (e', \ xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (\text{sync}_V \ (e) \ e2, \ xs) \ (\text{sync}_V \ (e') \ e2, \ xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl *Synchronized1Red1* *τmove1Sync*)

lemma *InSync-τred1r-xt*:

$$\tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (e, \ xs) \ (e', \ xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (\text{insync}_V \ (a) \ e, \ xs) \ (\text{insync}_V \ (a) \ e', \ xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl *Synchronized1Red2* *τmove1InSync*)

lemma *Seq-τred1r-xt*:

$$\tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (e, \ xs) \ (e', \ xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (e; e2, \ xs) \ (e'; e2, \ xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl *Seq1Red* *τmove1Seq*)

lemma *Cond-τred1r-xt*:

$$\tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (e, \ xs) \ (e', \ xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (\text{if } (e) \ e1 \ \text{else} \ e2, \ xs) \ (\text{if } (e') \ e1 \ \text{else} \ e2, \ xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl *Cond1Red* *τmove1Cond*)

lemma *Throw-τred1r-xt*:

$$\tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (e, \ xs) \ (e', \ xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (\text{throw } e, \ xs) \ (\text{throw } e', \ xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl *Throw1Red* *τmove1Throw*)

lemma *Try-τred1r-xt*:

$$\tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (e, \ xs) \ (e', \ xs') \implies \tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (\text{try } e \ \text{catch}(C \ V) \ e2, \ xs) \ (\text{try } e' \ \text{catch}(C \ V) \ e2, \ xs')$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl *Try1Red* *τmove1Try*)

lemma *τred1t-ThrowD [dest]*: $\tau\text{red1gt } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (\text{Throw } a, \ xs) \ (e'', \ xs'') \implies e'' = \text{Throw } a \wedge xs'' = xs$

by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto)

lemma *τred1r-ThrowD [dest]*: $\tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (\text{Throw } a, \ xs) \ (e'', \ xs'') \implies e'' = \text{Throw } a \wedge xs'' = xs$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto)

lemma *τRed1-conv [iff]*:

$$\tau\text{Red1g } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (ex, \ exs) \ (ex', \ exs') = (\text{uf}, \ P, \ t \vdash 1 \ \langle ex/exs, \ h \rangle \ -\varepsilon\rightarrow \langle ex'/exs', \ h \rangle \ \wedge \ \tau\text{Move1 } P \ h \ (ex, \ exs))$$

by(simp add: *τRed1g-def*)

lemma *τred1t-into-τRed1t*:

$$\tau\text{red1gt } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (e, \ xs) \ (e'', \ xs'') \implies \tau\text{Red1gt } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ ((e, \ xs), \ exs) \ ((e'', \ xs''), \ exs)$$

by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(fastforce dest: *red1Red* intro: *τmove1Block* *tranclp.intros*)+

lemma *τred1r-into-τRed1r*:

$$\tau\text{red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ (e, \ xs) \ (e'', \ xs'') \implies \tau\text{Red1gr } \text{uf } P \ t \ h \ ((e, \ xs), \ exs) \ ((e'', \ xs''), \ exs)$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(fastforce dest: *red1Red* intro: *τmove1Block* *rtranclp.intros*)+

lemma *red1-max-vars*: $\text{uf}, \ P, \ t \vdash 1 \ \langle e, \ s \rangle \ -ta\rightarrow \langle e', \ s' \rangle \implies \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{max-vars } e$

and *reds1-max-varss*: $\text{uf}, \ P, \ t \vdash 1 \ \langle es, \ s \rangle \ [-ta\rightarrow] \ \langle es', \ s' \rangle \implies \text{max-varss } es' \leq \text{max-varss } es$

```

by(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts) auto

lemma  $\tau_{red1t\text{-max-}vars} : \tau_{red1gt\text{ uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs')} \implies \text{max-}vars e' \leq \text{max-}vars e$ 
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto dest: red1-max-vars)

lemma  $\tau_{red1r\text{-max-}vars} : \tau_{red1gr\text{ uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs')} \implies \text{max-}vars e' \leq \text{max-}vars e$ 
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto dest: red1-max-vars)

lemma  $\tau_{red1r\text{-Val}} :$ 
 $\tau_{red1gr\text{ uf } P t h (\text{Val } v, xs) s'} \longleftrightarrow s' = (\text{Val } v, xs)$ 
proof
  assume  $\tau_{red1gr\text{ uf } P t h (\text{Val } v, xs) s'}$ 
  thus  $s' = (\text{Val } v, xs)$  by induct(auto)
qed auto

lemma  $\tau_{red1t\text{-Val}} :$ 
 $\tau_{red1gt\text{ uf } P t h (\text{Val } v, xs) s'} \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
proof
  assume  $\tau_{red1gt\text{ uf } P t h (\text{Val } v, xs) s'}$ 
  thus  $\text{False}$  by induct auto
qed auto

lemma  $\tau_{reds1r\text{-map-Val}} :$ 
 $\tau_{reds1gr\text{ uf } P t h (\text{map Val vs, xs}) s'} \longleftrightarrow s' = (\text{map Val vs, xs})$ 
proof
  assume  $\tau_{reds1gr\text{ uf } P t h (\text{map Val vs, xs}) s'}$ 
  thus  $s' = (\text{map Val vs, xs})$  by induct auto
qed auto

lemma  $\tau_{reds1t\text{-map-Val}} :$ 
 $\tau_{reds1gt\text{ uf } P t h (\text{map Val vs, xs}) s'} \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
proof
  assume  $\tau_{reds1gt\text{ uf } P t h (\text{map Val vs, xs}) s'}$ 
  thus  $\text{False}$  by induct auto
qed auto

lemma  $\tau_{reds1r\text{-map-Val-Throw}} :$ 
 $\tau_{reds1gr\text{ uf } P t h (\text{map Val vs @ Throw a \# es, xs}) s'} \longleftrightarrow s' = (\text{map Val vs @ Throw a \# es, xs})$ 
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs thus ?rhs by induct auto
qed auto

lemma  $\tau_{reds1t\text{-map-Val-Throw}} :$ 
 $\tau_{reds1gt\text{ uf } P t h (\text{map Val vs @ Throw a \# es, xs}) s'} \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs thus ?rhs by induct auto
qed auto

lemma  $\tau_{red1r\text{-Throw}} :$ 
 $\tau_{red1gr\text{ uf } P t h (\text{Throw a, xs}) s'} \longleftrightarrow s' = (\text{Throw a, xs})$  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs thus ?rhs by induct auto

```

qed *simp*

lemma $\tau red1t\text{-Throw}$:

$\tau red1gt uf P t h (\text{Throw } a, xs) s' \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ (**is** $?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs$)

proof

assume $?lhs$ **thus** $?rhs$ **by** *induct auto*

qed *simp*

lemma $red1\text{-False-into-red1-True}$:

$\text{False}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$

and $reds1\text{-False-into-reds1-True}$:

$\text{False}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle \implies \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle$

by (*induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts*) (*auto intro: red1-reds1.intros*)

lemma $Red1\text{-False-into-Red1-True}$:

assumes $\text{False}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle ex/exs, shr s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle ex'/exs', m' \rangle$

shows $\text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle ex/exs, shr s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle ex'/exs', m' \rangle$

using *assms*

by (*cases*) (*auto dest: Red1.intros red1-False-into-red1-True*)

lemma $red1\text{-Suspend-is-call}$:

$\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{Suspend } w \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_w \rrbracket \implies call1 e' \neq \text{None}$

and $reds\text{-Suspend-is-calls}$:

$\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{Suspend } w \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_w \rrbracket \implies calls1 es' \neq \text{None}$

by (*induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts*) (*auto dest: red-external-Suspend-StaySame*)

lemma $Red1\text{-Suspend-is-call}$:

$\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (e, xs)/exs, h \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle (e', xs')/exs', h' \rangle; \text{Suspend } w \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_w \rrbracket \implies call1 e' \neq \text{None}$

by (*auto elim!: Red1.cases dest: red1-Suspend-is-call*)

lemma $Red1\text{-mthr: multithreaded final-expr1}$ ($mred1g uf P$)

by (*unfold-locales*) (*fastforce elim!: Red1.cases dest: red1-new-thread-heap*) +

lemma $red1\text{-}\tau move1\text{-heap-unchanged}$: $\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \tau move1 P (hp s) e \rrbracket \implies hp s' = hp s$

and $red1\text{-}\tau moves1\text{-heap-unchanged}$: $\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle; \tau moves1 P (hp s) es \rrbracket \implies hp s' = hp s$

apply (*induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts*)

apply (*fastforce simp add: map-eq-append-conv $\tau external'$ -def $\tau external$ -def dest: $\tau external'$ -red-external-heap-unch done*)

lemma $Red1\text{-}\tau mthr-wf: \tau multithreaded-wf final-expr1$ ($mred1g uf P$) ($\tau MOVE1 P$)

proof –

interpret *multithreaded final-expr1 mred1g uf P convert-RA*

by (*rule Red1-mthr*)

show *?thesis*

proof

fix $x1 m1 t ta1 x1' m1'$

assume $mred1g uf P t (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1') \tau MOVE1 P (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1')$

thus $m1 = m1'$ **by** (*cases x1*) (*fastforce elim!: Red1.cases dest: red1- $\tau move1$ -heap-unchanged*)

next

fix $s ta s'$

assume $\tau MOVE1 P s ta s'$

thus $ta = \varepsilon$ **by** (*simp add: split-beta*)

```

qed
qed

end

sublocale J1-heap-base < Red1-mthr:
  τmultithreaded-wf
    final-expr1
    mred1g uf P
    convert-RA
    τMOVE1 P
  for uf P
by(rule Red1-τmthr-wf)

context J1-heap-base begin

lemma τRed1't-into-Red1'-τmthr-silent-movet:
  τRed1gt uf P t h (ex2, exs2) (ex2'', exs2'')
  ==> Red1-mthr.silent-movet uf P t ((ex2, exs2), h) ((ex2'', exs2''), h)
apply(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)
apply clar simp
apply(rule tranclp.r-into-trancl)
apply(simp add: Red1-mthr.silent-move-iff)
apply(erule tranclp.trancl-into-trancl)
apply(simp add: Red1-mthr.silent-move-iff)
done

lemma τRed1t-into-Red1'-τmthr-silent-moves:
  τRed1gt uf P t h (ex2, exs2) (ex2'', exs2'')
  ==> Red1-mthr.silent-moves uf P t ((ex2, exs2), h) ((ex2'', exs2''), h)
by(rule tranclp-into-rtrancl)(rule τRed1't-into-Red1'-τmthr-silent-movet)

lemma τRed1'r-into-Red1'-τmthr-silent-moves:
  τRed1gr uf P t h (ex, exs) (ex', exs') ==> Red1-mthr.silent-moves uf P t ((ex, exs), h) ((ex', exs'), h)
apply(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)
apply blast
apply(erule rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
apply(simp add: Red1-mthr.silent-move-iff)
done

lemma τRed1r-rtranclpD:
  τRed1gr uf P t h s s' ==> τtrsyst.silent-moves (mred1g uf P t) (τMOVE1 P) (s, h) (s', h)
apply(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)
apply(auto elim!: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl intro: τtrsyst.silent-move.intros)
done

lemma τRed1t-tranclpD:
  τRed1gt uf P t h s s' ==> τtrsyst.silent-movet (mred1g uf P t) (τMOVE1 P) (s, h) (s', h)
apply(induct rule: tranclp-induct)
apply(rule tranclp.r-into-trancl)
apply(auto elim!: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl intro!: τtrsyst.silent-move.intros simp: τRed1g-def split-def)
done

```

```

lemma  $\tau mreds1\text{-Val-Nil}$ :  $\tau trsys.silent-moves (mred1g uf P t) (\tau MOVE1 P) (((Val v, xs), []), h) s \longleftrightarrow s = (((Val v, xs), []), h)$ 
proof
  assume  $\tau trsys.silent-moves (mred1g uf P t) (\tau MOVE1 P) (((Val v, xs), []), h) s$ 
  thus  $s = (((Val v, xs), []), h)$ 
    by induct(auto elim!: Red1-mthr.silent-move.cases Red1.cases)
qed auto

lemma  $\tau mreds1\text{-Throw-Nil}$ :
   $\tau trsys.silent-moves (mred1g uf P t) (\tau MOVE1 P) (((Throw a, xs), []), h) s \longleftrightarrow s = (((Throw a, xs), []), h)$ 
proof
  assume  $\tau trsys.silent-moves (mred1g uf P t) (\tau MOVE1 P) (((Throw a, xs), []), h) s$ 
  thus  $s = (((Throw a, xs), []), h)$ 
    by induct(auto elim!: Red1-mthr.silent-move.cases Red1.cases)
qed auto

end
end

```

7.7 Deadlock perservation for the intermediate language

```

theory J1Deadlock imports
  J1
  ..../Framework/FWDeadlock
  ..../Common/ExternalCallWF
begin

context J1-heap-base begin

lemma IUF-red-taD:
   $\text{True}, P, t \vdash_1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle$ 
   $\implies \exists e' ta' s'. \text{False}, P, t \vdash_1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta' \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \wedge$ 
     $\text{collect-locks } \{ta'\}_l \subseteq \text{collect-locks } \{ta\}_l \wedge \text{set } \{ta'\}_c \subseteq \text{set } \{ta\}_c \wedge \text{collect-interrupts } \{ta'\}_i \subseteq \text{collect-interrupts } \{ta\}_i \wedge$ 
     $(\exists s. \text{Red1-mthr.actions-ok } s t ta')$ 

and IUFs-reds-taD:
   $\text{True}, P, t \vdash_1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle$ 
   $\implies \exists es' ta' s'. \text{False}, P, t \vdash_1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta' \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle \wedge$ 
     $\text{collect-locks } \{ta'\}_l \subseteq \text{collect-locks } \{ta\}_l \wedge \text{set } \{ta'\}_c \subseteq \text{set } \{ta\}_c \wedge \text{collect-interrupts } \{ta'\}_i \subseteq \text{collect-interrupts } \{ta\}_i \wedge$ 
     $(\exists s. \text{Red1-mthr.actions-ok } s t ta')$ 

proof(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)
  case Red1InstanceOf thus ?case
    using [[hypsubst-thin = true]]
    by(auto intro!: exI red1-reds1.Red1InstanceOf simp del: split-paired-Ex)((subst fst-conv snd-conv wset-def)+, simp)
  next
  case Red1CallExternal thus ?case
    by(fastforce simp del: split-paired-Ex dest: red-external-ta-satisfiable[where final=final-expr1 :: ('addr expr1 × 'addr val list) × ('addr expr1 × 'addr val list) list ⇒ bool] intro: red1-reds1.Red1CallExternal)

```

```

next
  case Lock1Synchronized thus ?case
    by(auto intro!: exI exI[where x=(K$ None, (Map.empty, undefined), Map.empty, {})] red1-reds1.Lock1Synchronized
simp del: split-paired-Ex simp add: lock-ok-las-def finfun-upd-apply may-lock.intros(1))
next
  case (Synchronized1Red2 e s ta e' s' V a)
  then obtain e' ta' s'
    where False,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e,s⟩ −ta' → ⟨e',s'⟩
    and L: collect-locks {ta'}_l ⊆ collect-locks {ta}_l ∧ set {ta'}_c ⊆ set {ta}_c ∧ collect-interrupts {ta'}_i
    ⊆ collect-interrupts {ta}_i
    and aok: ∃ s. Red1-mthr.actions-ok s t ta'
    by blast
    from ⟨False,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e,s⟩ −ta' → ⟨e',s'⟩⟩ have False,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨insync_V (a) e, s⟩ −ta' → ⟨insync_V (a)
e', s'⟩
      by(rule red1-reds1.Synchronized1Red2)
    thus ?case using L aok by blast
next
  case Unlock1Synchronized thus ?case
    by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex intro!: exI exI[where x=(K$ [(t, 0)], (Map.empty, undefined),
Map.empty, {})] red1-reds1.Unlock1Synchronized simp add: lock-ok-las-def finfun-upd-apply)
next
  case Unlock1SynchronizedFail thus ?case
    by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex intro!: exI exI[where x=(K$ [(t, 0)], (Map.empty, undefined),
Map.empty, {})] red1-reds1.Unlock1Synchronized simp add: lock-ok-las-def finfun-upd-apply collect-locks-def split: if-split-asm)
next
  case Synchronized1Throw2 thus ?case
    by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex intro!: exI exI[where x=(K$ [(t, 0)], (Map.empty, undefined),
Map.empty, {})] red1-reds1.Synchronized1Throw2 simp add: lock-ok-las-def finfun-upd-apply)
next
  case Synchronized1Throw2Fail thus ?case
    by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex intro!: exI exI[where x=(K$ [(t, 0)], (Map.empty, undefined),
Map.empty, {})] red1-reds1.Synchronized1Throw2 simp add: lock-ok-las-def finfun-upd-apply collect-locks-def split: if-split-asm)
qed(fastforce intro: red1-reds1.intros)+

lemma IUF-Red1-taD:
  assumes True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨ex/exs, h⟩ −ta → ⟨ex'/exs', h'⟩
  shows ∃ ex' exs' h' ta'. False,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨ex/exs, h⟩ −ta' → ⟨ex'/exs', h'⟩ ∧
    collect-locks {ta'}_l ⊆ collect-locks {ta}_l ∧ set {ta'}_c ⊆ set {ta}_c ∧ collect-interrupts {ta'}_i ⊆
    collect-interrupts {ta}_i ∧
    (∃ s. Red1-mthr.actions-ok s t ta')
using assms
apply(cases)
apply(safe dest!: IUF-red-taD)
  apply(simp del: split-paired-Ex)
  apply(rule exI conjI)+
  apply(erule red1Red)
  apply simp
  apply blast
  apply(rule exI conjI red1Call)+
  apply(auto simp add: lock-ok-las-def)
  apply(rule exI conjI red1Return)+
  apply auto

```

done

lemma *mred1'-mred1-must-sync-eq*:

Red1-mthr.must-sync False P t x (shr s) = Red1-mthr.must-sync True P t x (shr s)

proof

assume *Red1-mthr.must-sync False P t x (shr s)*

thus *Red1-mthr.must-sync True P t x (shr s)*

by(rule *Red1-mthr.must-syncE*)(rule *Red1-mthr.must-syncI*, auto simp add: split-def simp del: split-paired-Ex intro: Red1-False-into-Red1-True)

next

assume *Red1-mthr.must-sync True P t x (shr s)*

thus *Red1-mthr.must-sync False P t x (shr s)*

apply(rule *Red1-mthr.must-syncE*)

apply(rule *Red1-mthr.must-syncI*)

apply(cases *x*)

apply(auto simp add: split-beta split-paired-Ex)

apply(drule *IUF-Red1-taD*)

apply simp

apply blast

done

qed

lemma *Red1-Red1'-deadlock-inv*:

Red1-mthr.deadlock True P s = Red1-mthr.deadlock False P s

proof(rule iffI)

assume *dead: Red1-mthr.deadlock True P s*

show *Red1-mthr.deadlock False P s*

proof(rule multithreaded-base.deadlockI)

fix *t x*

assume *tst: thr s t = [(x, no-wait-locks)]*

and *nfin: ~ final-expr1 x*

and *wst: wset s t = None*

with *dead obtain ms: Red1-mthr.must-sync True P t x (shr s)*

and *cs [rule-format]: ! LT. Red1-mthr.can-sync True P t x (shr s) LT —> (3 lt in LT. final-thread.must-wait final-expr1 s t lt (dom (thr s)))*

by(rule *Red1-mthr.deadlockD1*)

from *ms[folded mred1'-mred1-must-sync-eq]*

show *Red1-mthr.must-sync False P t x (shr s) ^*

(! LT. Red1-mthr.can-sync False P t x (shr s) LT —>

(3 lt in LT. final-thread.must-wait final-expr1 s t lt (dom (thr s))))

proof

show *! LT. Red1-mthr.can-sync False P t x (shr s) LT —>*

(3 lt in LT. final-thread.must-wait final-expr1 s t lt (dom (thr s)))

proof(intro strip)

fix *LT*

assume *Red1-mthr.can-sync False P t x (shr s) LT*

then obtain *ta x' m' where mred1' P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')*

and [simp]: *LT = collect-locks {ta}l <+> collect-cond-actions {ta}c <+> collect-interrupts*

{ta}i

by(rule *Red1-mthr.can-syncE*)

hence *mred1 P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m') by(auto simp add: split-beta intro: Red1-False-into-Red1-True)*

hence *Red1-mthr.can-sync True P t x (shr s) LT by(rule Red1-mthr.can-syncI) simp*

thus *3 lt in LT. final-thread.must-wait final-expr1 s t lt (dom (thr s)) by(rule cs)*

qed

```

qed
next
fix t x ln l
assume thr s t = ⌊(x, ln)⌋ 0 < ln $ l ∉ waiting (wset s t)
thus ∃ l t'. 0 < ln $ l ∧ t ≠ t' ∧ thr s t' ≠ None ∧ has-lock ((locks s) $ l) t'
  by(rule Red1-mthr.deadlockD2[OF dead]) blast
next
fix t x w
assume thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋
thus wset s t ≠ ⌊PostWS w⌋
  by(rule Red1-mthr.deadlockD3[OF dead, rule-format])
qed
next
assume dead: Red1-mthr.deadlock False P s
show Red1-mthr.deadlock True P s
proof(rule Red1-mthr.deadlockI)
fix t x
assume tst: thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋
and nfin: ¬ final-expr1 x
and wst: wset s t = None
with dead obtain ms: Red1-mthr.must-sync False P t x (shr s)
  and cs [rule-format]: ∀ LT. Red1-mthr.can-sync False P t x (shr s) LT →
    (∃ lt∈LT. final-thread.must-wait final-expr1 s t lt (dom (thr s)))
  by(rule Red1-mthr.deadlockD1)
from ms[unfolded mred1'-mred1-must-sync-eq]
show Red1-mthr.must-sync True P t x (shr s) ∧
  (∀ LT. Red1-mthr.can-sync True P t x (shr s) LT →
    (∃ lt∈LT. final-thread.must-wait final-expr1 s t lt (dom (thr s))))
proof
show ∀ LT. Red1-mthr.can-sync True P t x (shr s) LT →
  (∃ lt∈LT. final-thread.must-wait final-expr1 s t lt (dom (thr s)))
proof(intro strip)
fix LT
assume Red1-mthr.can-sync True P t x (shr s) LT
then obtain ta x' m' where mred1 P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
  and [simp]: LT = collect-locks {ta}l <+> collect-cond-actions {ta}c <+> collect-interrupts
{ta}i
  by(rule Red1-mthr.can-syncE)
then obtain e xs exs e' xs' exs' where x [simp]: x = ((e, xs), exs) x' = ((e', xs'), exs')
  and red: True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨(e, xs)/exs, shr s⟩ -ta→ ⟨(e', xs')/exs', m'⟩ by(cases x, cases x')
fastforce
from IUF-Red1-taD[OF red] obtain ex'' exs'' h'' ta'
  where red': False, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨(e, xs)/exs, shr s⟩ -ta→ ⟨ex''/exs'', h''⟩
  and collect-locks {ta'}l <+> collect-cond-actions {ta'}c <+> collect-interrupts {ta'}i ⊆
collect-locks {ta}l <+> collect-cond-actions {ta}c <+> collect-interrupts {ta}i
  by auto blast
then obtain LT' where cs': Red1-mthr.can-sync False P t x (shr s) LT'
  and LT': LT' ⊆ LT by(cases ex'')(fastforce intro!: Red1-mthr.can-syncI)
with cs[of LT'] show ∃ lt∈LT. final-thread.must-wait final-expr1 s t lt (dom (thr s)) by auto
qed
qed
next
fix t x ln l
assume thr s t = ⌊(x, ln)⌋ 0 < ln $ l ∉ waiting (wset s t)

```

```

thus  $\exists l t'. 0 < ln \$ l \wedge t \neq t' \wedge thr s t' \neq None \wedge has-lock ((locks s) \$ l) t'$ 
    by(rule Red1-mthr.deadlockD2[OF dead]) blast
next
fix t x w
assume  $thr s t = \lfloor(x, no\text{-}wait\text{-}locks)\rfloor$ 
thus  $wset s t \neq \lfloor PostWS w \rfloor$ 
    by(rule Red1-mthr.deadlockD3[OF dead, rule-format])
qed
qed

end
end

```

7.8 Program Compilation

```

theory PCompiler
imports
  .. / Common / WellForm
  .. / Common / BinOp
  .. / Common / Conform
begin

definition compM ::  $(mname \Rightarrow ty list \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a mdecl' \Rightarrow 'b mdecl'$ 
where  $compM f \equiv \lambda(M, Ts, T, m). (M, Ts, T, map\text{-}option (f M Ts T) m)$ 

definition compC ::  $(cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow ty list \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a cdecl \Rightarrow 'b cdecl$ 
where  $compC f \equiv \lambda(C, D, Fdecls, Mdecls). (C, D, Fdecls, map (compM (f C)) Mdecls)$ 

primrec compP ::  $(cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow ty list \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a prog \Rightarrow 'b prog$ 
where  $compP f (Program P) = Program (map (compC f) P)$ 

```

Compilation preserves the program structure. Therfore lookup functions either commute with compilation (like method lookup) or are preserved by it (like the subclass relation).

```

lemma map-of-map4:
  map-of (map ( $\lambda(x,a,b,c).(x,a,b,f x a b c)$ ) ts) =
  ( $\lambda x. map\text{-}option (\lambda(a,b,c).(a,b,f x a b c)) (map\text{-}of ts x)$ )
apply(induct ts)
apply simp
apply(rule ext)
apply fastforce
done

lemma class-compP:
  class P C = Some (D, fs, ms)
   $\Rightarrow$  class (compP f P) C = Some (D, fs, map (compM (f C)) ms)
by(cases P)(simp add:class-def compP-def compC-def map-of-map4)

lemma class-compPD:
  class (compP f P) C = Some (D, fs, cms)
   $\Rightarrow \exists ms. class P C = Some(D,fs,ms) \wedge cms = map (compM (f C)) ms$ 
by(cases P)(clarify simp add:class-def compP-def compC-def map-of-map4)

```

lemma [simp]: *is-class* (*compP f P*) *C* = *is-class* *P C*

lemma [simp]: *class* (*compP f P*) *C* = *map-option* ($\lambda c. \text{snd}(\text{compC } f (C, c))$) (*class P C*)

lemma *sees-methods-compP*:

$P \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm \implies$

$\text{compP } f P \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } (\lambda M. \text{map-option} (\lambda ((Ts, T, m), D). ((Ts, T, \text{map-option} (f D M Ts T) m), D)) (Mm M))$

lemma *sees-method-compP*:

$P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D \implies$

$\text{compP } f P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \text{map-option} (f D M Ts T) m \text{ in } D$

lemma [simp]:

$P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D \implies$

$\text{method} (\text{compP } f P) C M = (D, Ts, T, \text{map-option} (f D M Ts T) m)$

lemma *sees-methods-compPD*:

$\llbracket cP \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm'; cP = \text{compP } f P \rrbracket \implies$

$\exists Mm. P \vdash C \text{ sees-methods } Mm \wedge$

$Mm' = (\lambda M. \text{map-option} (\lambda ((Ts, T, m), D). ((Ts, T, \text{map-option} (f D M Ts T) m), D)) (Mm M))$

lemma *sees-method-compPD*:

$\text{compP } f P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = fm \text{ in } D \implies$

$\exists m. P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D \wedge \text{map-option} (f D M Ts T) m = fm$

lemma *sees-method-native-compP* [simp]:

$\text{compP } f P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \text{Native in } D \longleftrightarrow P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \text{Native in } D$

by(*auto dest: sees-method-compPD sees-method-compP*)

lemma [simp]: *subcls1* (*compP f P*) = *subcls1 P*

by(*fastforce simp add: is-class-def compC-def intro:subcls1I order-antisym dest:subcls1D*)

lemma [simp]: *is-type* (*compP f P*) *T* = *is-type* *P T*

by(*induct T*)(*auto cong: ty.case-cong*)

lemma *is-type-compP* [simp]: *is-type* (*compP f P*) = *is-type* *P*

by *auto*

lemma *compP-widen* [simp]:

$(\text{compP } f P \vdash T \leq T') = (P \vdash T \leq T')$

by(*induct T' arbitrary: T*)(*simp-all add: widen-Class widen-Array*)

lemma [simp]: (*compP f P* $\vdash Ts \leq Ts'$) = (*P* $\vdash Ts \leq Ts'$)

lemma *is-lub-compP* [simp]:

is-lub (*compP f P*) = *is-lub* *P*

by(*auto intro!: ext elim!: is-lub.cases intro: is-lub.intros*)

lemma [simp]:

fixes *f* :: *cname* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow *ty list* \Rightarrow *ty* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow '*b*

shows (*compP f P* $\vdash C$ *has-fields* *FDTs*) = (*P* $\vdash C$ *has-fields* *FDTs*)

lemma [simp]: *fields* (*compP f P*) *C* = *fields* *P C*

lemma [simp]: (*compP f P* $\vdash C$ *sees* *F:T (fm)* *in D*) = (*P* $\vdash C$ *sees* *F:T (fm)* *in D*)

lemma [simp]: $\text{field}(\text{compP } f P) F D = \text{field } P F D$

7.8.1 Invariance of wf-prog under compilation

lemma [iff]: $\text{distinct-fst}(\text{classes}(\text{compP } f P)) = \text{distinct-fst}(\text{classes } P)$

lemma [iff]: $\text{distinct-fst}(\text{map}(\text{compM } f) ms) = \text{distinct-fst} ms$

lemma [iff]: $\text{wf-syscls}(\text{compP } f P) = \text{wf-syscls } P$
unfolding wf-syscls-def by auto

lemma [iff]: $\text{wf-fdecl}(\text{compP } f P) = \text{wf-fdecl } P$

lemma set-compP:

$(\text{class}(\text{compP } f P) C = \lfloor(D, fs, ms')\rfloor) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists ms. \text{class } P C = \lfloor(D, fs, ms)\rfloor \wedge ms' = \text{map}(\text{compM}(f C)) ms)$
by(cases P)(auto simp add: compC-def image-iff map-of-map4)

lemma compP-has-method: $\text{compP } f P \vdash C \text{ has } M \longleftrightarrow P \vdash C \text{ has } M$
unfolding has-method-def
by(fastforce dest: sees-method-compPD intro: sees-method-compP)

lemma is-native-compP [simp]: $\text{is-native}(\text{compP } f P) = \text{is-native } P$
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff is-native.simps)

lemma $\tau_{\text{external-compP}}$ [simp]:

$\tau_{\text{external}}(\text{compP } f P) = \tau_{\text{external}} P$
by(auto intro!: ext simp add: τ_{external} -def)

context heap-base **begin**

lemma heap-clone-compP [simp]:

$\text{heap-clone}(\text{compP } f P) = \text{heap-clone } P$
by(intro ext)(auto elim!: heap-clone.cases intro: heap-clone.intros)

lemma red-external-compP [simp]:

$\text{compP } f P, t \vdash \langle a.M(vs), h \rangle -ta\rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle \longleftrightarrow P, t \vdash \langle a.M(vs), h \rangle -ta\rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$
by(auto elim!: red-external.cases intro: red-external.intros)

lemma $\tau_{\text{external}'-compP}$ [simp]:

$\tau_{\text{external}'}(\text{compP } f P) = \tau_{\text{external}'} P$
by(simp add: $\tau_{\text{external}'}$ -def [abs-def])

end

lemma wf-overriding-compP [simp]: $\text{wf-overriding}(\text{compP } f P) D (\text{compM}(f C) m) = \text{wf-overriding } P D m$
by(cases m)(fastforce intro: sees-method-compP[where f=f] dest: sees-method-compPD[where f=f]
simp add: compM-def)

lemma wf-cdecl-compPI:

assumes wf1-imp-wf2:

$\bigwedge C M Ts T m. \llbracket \text{wf-mdecl } wf_1 P C (M, Ts, T, \lfloor m \rfloor); P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor m \rfloor \text{ in } C \rrbracket$

$\implies wf\text{-mdecl } wf_2 (compP f P) C (M, Ts, T, [f C M Ts T m])$
and $wfcP1: \forall C rest. class P C = [rest] \longrightarrow wf\text{-cdecl } wf_1 P (C, rest)$
and $xcomp: class (compP f P) C = [rest']$
and $wf: wf\text{-prog } p P$
shows $wf\text{-cdecl } wf_2 (compP f P) (C, rest')$
proof –
obtain $D fs ms'$ **where** $x: rest' = (D, fs, ms')$ **by**(cases $rest'$)
with $xcomp$ **obtain** ms **where** $xsrc: class P C = [(D, fs, ms)]$
 and $ms': ms' = map (compM (f C)) ms$
 by(auto simp add: set-compP compC-def)
from $xsrc wfcP1$ **have** $wf1: wf\text{-cdecl } wf_1 P (C, D, fs, ms)$ **by** blast
 { fix field
 assume $field \in set fs$
 with $wf1$ **have** $wf\text{-fdecl } (compP f P) field$ **by**(simp add: wf-cdecl-def)
 }
moreover from $wf1$ **have** $distinct\text{-fst } fs$ **by**(simp add: wf-cdecl-def)
moreover
 { fix m
 assume $mset': m \in set ms'$
 obtain $M Ts' T' body'$ **where** $m: m = (M, Ts', T', body')$ **by**(cases m)
 with ms' **obtain** $body$ **where** $mf: body' = map\text{-option } (f C M Ts' T') body$
 and $mset: (M, Ts', T', body) \in set ms$ **using** $mset'$
 by(clar simp simp add: image-iff compM-def)
 moreover from $mset xsrc wfcP1$ **have** $wf\text{-mdecl } wf_1 P C (M, Ts', T', body)$
 by(fastforce simp add: wf-cdecl-def)
 moreover from $wf xsrc mset x$ **have** $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts' \rightarrow T' = body \text{ in } C$
 by(auto intro: mdecl-visible)
 ultimately have $wf\text{-mdecl } wf_2 (compP f P) C m$ **using** m
 by(cases body)(simp add: wf-mdecl-def, auto intro: wf1-imp-wf2) }
moreover from $wf1$ **have** $distinct\text{-fst } ms$ **by**(simp add: wf-cdecl-def)
with ms' **have** $distinct\text{-fst } ms'$ **by**(auto)
moreover
 { **assume** $CObj: C \neq Object$
 with $xsrc wfcP1$
 have $part1: is\text{-class } (compP f P) D \dashv compP f P \vdash D \preceq^* C$
 by(auto simp add: wf-cdecl-def)
 }
 { fix m
 assume $mset': m \in set ms'$
 obtain $M Ts T body'$ **where** $m: m = (M, Ts, T, body')$ **by**(cases m)
 with $mset' ms'$ **obtain** $body$ **where** $mf: body' = map\text{-option } (f C M Ts T) body$
 and $mset: (M, Ts, T, body) \in set ms$
 by(clar simp simp add: image-iff compM-def)
 from $wf1 CObj mset$
 have $wf\text{-overriding } P D (M, Ts, T, body)$ **by**(auto simp add: wf-cdecl-def simp del: wf-overriding.simps)
 hence $wf\text{-overriding } (compP f P) D m$ **unfolding** $m mf$
 by(subst (asm) wf-overriding-compP[symmetric, where $f=f$ and $C=C$])(simp del: wf-overriding.simps
 wf-overriding-compP add: compM-def) }
 note this part1 }
moreover
 { **assume** $C = Thread$
 with $wf1 ms'$ **have** $\exists m. (run, [], Void, m) \in set ms'$
 by(fastforce simp add: wf-cdecl-def image-iff compM-def)+ }
 ultimately show ?thesis **unfolding** x **wf-cdecl-def** **by** blast
qed

lemma *wf-prog-compPI*:

assumes *lift*:

$$\bigwedge C M Ts T m. \llbracket P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor m \rfloor \text{ in } C; wf\text{-mdecl } wf_1 P C (M, Ts, T, \lfloor m \rfloor) \rrbracket \implies wf\text{-mdecl } wf_2 (\text{compP } f P) C (M, Ts, T, \lfloor f C M Ts T m \rfloor)$$

and *wf*: *wf-prog wf₁ P*

shows *wf-prog wf₂ (compP f P)*

using *wf*

apply (*clar simp simp add:wf-prog-def2*)

apply(*rule wf-cdecl-compPI[OF lift]*, *assumption+*)

apply(*auto intro: wf*)

done

lemma *wf-cdecl-compPD*:

assumes *wf1-imp-wf2*:

$$\bigwedge C M Ts T m. \llbracket wf\text{-mdecl } wf_1 (\text{compP } f P) C (M, Ts, T, \lfloor f C M Ts T m \rfloor); \text{compP } f P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor f C M Ts T m \rfloor \text{ in } C \rrbracket \implies wf\text{-mdecl } wf_2 P C (M, Ts, T, \lfloor m \rfloor)$$

and *wfcP1*: $\forall C \text{ rest. class } (\text{compP } f P) C = \lfloor \text{rest} \rfloor \longrightarrow wf\text{-cdecl } wf_1 (\text{compP } f P) (C, \text{rest})$

and *xcomp*: *class P C = [rest]*

and *wf*: *wf-prog wf-md (compP f P)*

shows *wf-cdecl wf₂ P (C, rest)*

proof –

obtain *D fs ms' where* *x: rest = (D, fs, ms')* **by**(*cases rest*)

with *xcomp have* *xsrc: class (compP f P) C = [(D,fs,map (compM (f C)) ms')]*

by(*auto simp add: set-compP compC-def*)

from *xsrc wfcP1 have* *wf1: wf-cdecl wf₁ (compP f P) (C,D,fs,map (compM (f C)) ms')* **by** *blast*

{ fix field

assume *field ∈ set fs*

with *wf1 have* *wf-fdecl P field* **by**(*simp add: wf-cdecl-def*)

}

moreover from *wf1 have* *distinct-fst fs* **by**(*simp add: wf-cdecl-def*)

moreover

{ fix m

assume *mset': m ∈ set ms'*

obtain *M Ts' T' body' where* *m: m = (M, Ts', T', body')* **by**(*cases m*)

hence *mset: (M, Ts', T', map-option (f C M Ts' T') body') ∈ set (map (compM (f C)) ms')* **using** *mset'*

by(*auto simp add: image-iff compM-def intro: rev-bexI*)

moreover from *wf xsrc mset x have* *compP f P ⊢ C sees M : Ts' → T' = map-option (f C M Ts' T') body' in C*

by(*auto intro: mdecl-visible*)

moreover from *mset wfcP1[rule-format, OF xsrc]*

have *wf-mdecl wf₁ (compP f P) C (M, Ts', T', map-option (f C M Ts' T') body')*

by(*auto simp add: wf-cdecl-def*)

ultimately have *wf-mdecl wf₂ P C m using m*

by(*cases body')(simp add: wf-mdecl-def, auto intro: wf1-imp-wf2*)

moreover from *wf1 have* *distinct-fst ms'* **by**(*simp add: wf-cdecl-def*)

moreover

{ assume *CObj: C ≠ Object*

with *xsrc wfcP1*

have *part1: is-class P D ⊢ P ⊢ D ⊢* C*

by(*auto simp add: wf-cdecl-def*)

```

{ fix m
  assume mset': m ∈ set ms'
  with wf1 CObj have wf-overriding (compP f P) D (compM (f C) m)
    by(simp add: wf-cdecl-def del: wf-overriding-compP)
  hence wf-overriding P D m by simp }
  note this part1 }

moreover
{ assume C = Thread
  with wf1 have ∃ m. (run, [], Void, m) ∈ set ms'
    by(fastforce simp add: wf-cdecl-def image-iff compM-def)+ }
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding x wf-cdecl-def by blast
qed

lemma wf-prog-compPD:
assumes wf: wf-prog wf1 (compP f P)
and lift:
  ∧ C M Ts T m.
  [| compP f P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T = |f C M Ts T m| in C; wf-mdecl wf1 (compP f P) C (M,Ts,T,
  |f C M Ts T m)|]
  ==> wf-mdecl wf2 P C (M,Ts,T,|m|)
shows wf-prog wf2 P
using wf
apply(clarsimp simp add:wf-prog-def2)
apply(rule wf-cdecl-compPD[OF lift], assumption+)
apply(auto intro: wf)
done

lemma WT-binop-compP [simp]: compP f P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 :: T ↔ P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 :: T
by(cases bop)(fastforce)+

lemma WTrt-binop-compP [simp]: compP f P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T ↔ P ⊢ T1 «bop» T2 : T
by(cases bop)(fastforce)+

lemma binop-relevant-class-compP [simp]: binop-relevant-class bop (compP f P) = binop-relevant-class
bop P
by(cases bop) simp-all

lemma is-class-compP [simp]:
  is-class (compP f P) = is-class P
by(simp add: is-class-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma has-field-compP [simp]:
  compP f P ⊢ C has F:T (fm) in D ↔ P ⊢ C has F:T (fm) in D
by(auto simp add: has-field-def)

context heap-base begin

lemma compP-addr-loc-type [simp]:
  addr-loc-type (compP f P) = addr-loc-type P
by(auto elim!: addr-loc-type.cases intro: addr-loc-type.intros intro!: ext)

lemma conf-compP [simp]:
  compP f P,h ⊢ v :≤ T ↔ P,h ⊢ v :≤ T
by(simp add: conf-def)

```

```

lemma compP-conf: conf (compP f P) = conf P
by(auto simp add: conf-def intro!: ext)

lemma compP-confs: compP f P,h ⊢ vs [≤] Ts ←→ P,h ⊢ vs [≤] Ts
by(simp add: compP-conf)

lemma tconf-compP [simp]: compP f P, h ⊢ t √t ←→ P,h ⊢ t √t
by(auto simp add: tconf-def)

lemma wf-start-state-compP [simp]:
  wf-start-state (compP f P) = wf-start-state P
by(auto 4 6 simp add: fun-eq-iff wf-start-state.simps compP-conf dest: sees-method-compP[where f=f]
  sees-method-compPD[where f=f])

end

lemma compP-addr-conv:
  addr-conv addr2thread-id thread-id2addr typeof-addr (compP f P) = addr-conv addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  typeof-addr P
unfolding addr-conv-def
by simp

lemma compP-heap:
  heap addr2thead-id thread-id2addr allocate typeof-addr heap-write (compP f P) =
  heap addr2thead-id thread-id2addr allocate typeof-addr heap-write P
unfolding heap-def compP-addr-conv heap-axioms-def
by auto

lemma compP-heap-conf:
  heap-conf addr2thead-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-write hconf (compP f
P) =
  heap-conf addr2thead-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-write hconf P
unfolding heap-conf-def heap-conf-axioms-def compP-heap
unfolding heap-base.compP-conf heap-base.compP-addr-loc-type is-type-compP is-class-compP
by(rule refl)

lemma compP-heap-conf-read:
  heap-conf-read addr2thead-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
hconf (compP f P) =
  heap-conf-read addr2thead-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
hconf P
unfolding heap-conf-read-def heap-conf-read-axioms-def
unfolding compP-heap-conf heap-base.compP-conf heap-base.compP-addr-loc-type
by(rule refl)

compiler composition

lemma compM-compM:
  compM f (compM g md) = compM (λM Ts T. f M Ts T ∘ g M Ts T) md
by(cases md)(simp add: compM-def option.map-comp o-def)

lemma compC-compC:
  compC f (compC g cd) = compC (λC M Ts T. f C M Ts T ∘ g C M Ts T) cd
by(simp add: compC-def split-beta compM-compM)

```

```

lemma compP-compP:
  compP f (compP g P) = compP ( $\lambda C M Ts T. f C M Ts T \circ g C M Ts T$ ) P
by(cases P)(simp add: compC-compC)

end

```

7.9 Compilation Stage 2

```

theory Compiler2
imports PCompiler J1State .. / JVM / JVMInstructions
begin

primrec compE2 :: 'addr expr1       $\Rightarrow$  'addr instr list
  and compEs2 :: 'addr expr1 list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr instr list
where
  compE2 (new C) = [New C]
  | compE2 (newA T[e]) = compE2 e @ [NewArray T]
  | compE2 (Cast T e) = compE2 e @ [Checkcast T]
  | compE2 (e instanceof T) = compE2 e @ [Instanceof T]
  | compE2 (Val v) = [Push v]
  | compE2 (e1 «bop» e2) = compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2 @ [BinOpInstr bop]
  | compE2 (Var i) = [Load i]
  | compE2 (i:=e) = compE2 e @ [Store i, Push Unit]
  | compE2 (a[i]) = compE2 a @ compE2 i @ [ALoad]
  | compE2 (a[i] := e) = compE2 a @ compE2 i @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit]
  | compE2 (a.length) = compE2 a @ [ALength]
  | compE2 (e.F{D}) = compE2 e @ [Getfield F D]
  | compE2 (e1.F{D} := e2) = compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2 @ [Putfield F D, Push Unit]
  | compE2 (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) = compE2 e @ compE2 e' @ compE2 e'' @ [CAS F D]
  | compE2 (e.M(es)) = compE2 e @ compEs2 es @ [Invoke M (size es)]
  | compE2 ({i:T=vo; e}) = (case vo of None  $\Rightarrow$  [] | [v]  $\Rightarrow$  [Push v, Store i]) @ compE2 e
  | compE2 (syncV(o') e) = compE2 o' @ [Dup, Store V, MEnter] @
    compE2 e @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit, ThrowExc]
  | compE2 (insyncV(a) e) = [Goto 1] — Define insync sensibly
  | compE2 (e1;;e2) = compE2 e1 @ [Pop] @ compE2 e2
  | compE2 (if (e) e1 else e2) =
    (let cnd = compE2 e;
     thn = compE2 e1;
     els = compE2 e2;
     test = IfFalse (int(size thn + 2));
     thnex = Goto (int(size els + 1))
     in cnd @ [test] @ thn @ [thnex] @ els)
  | compE2 (while (e) c) =
    (let cnd = compE2 e;
     bdy = compE2 c;
     test = IfFalse (int(size bdy + 3));
     loop = Goto (-int(size bdy + size cnd + 2))
     in cnd @ [test] @ bdy @ [Pop] @ [loop] @ [Push Unit])
  | compE2 (throw e) = compE2 e @ [ThrowExc]
  | compE2 (try e1 catch(C i) e2) =
    (let catch = compE2 e2
     in compE2 e1 @ [Goto (int(size catch)+2), Store i] @ catch)

```

```
| compEs2 [] = []
| compEs2 (e#es) = compE2 e @ compEs2 es
```

Compilation of exception table. Is given start address of code to compute absolute addresses necessary in exception table.

```
fun compxE2 :: 'addr expr1      => pc => nat => ex-table
and compxEs2 :: 'addr expr1 list => pc => nat => ex-table
where
  compxE2 (new C) pc d = []
  compxE2 (newA T[e]) pc d = compxE2 e pc d
  compxE2 (Cast T e) pc d = compxE2 e pc d
  compxE2 (e instanceof T) pc d = compxE2 e pc d
  compxE2 (Val v) pc d = []
  compxE2 (e1 «bop» e2) pc d =
    compxE2 e1 pc d @ compxE2 e2 (pc + size(compE2 e1)) (d+1)
  compxE2 (Var i) pc d = []
  compxE2 (i:=e) pc d = compxE2 e pc d
  compxE2 (a[i]) pc d = compxE2 a pc d @ compxE2 i (pc + size (compE2 a)) (d + 1)
  compxE2 (a[i] := e) pc d =
    (let pc1 = pc + size (compE2 a);
     pc2 = pc1 + size (compE2 i)
     in compxE2 a pc d @ compxE2 i pc1 (d + 1) @ compxE2 e pc2 (d + 2))
  compxE2 (a.length) pc d = compxE2 a pc d
  compxE2 (e·F{D}) pc d = compxE2 e pc d
  compxE2 (e1·F{D} := e2) pc d = compxE2 e1 pc d @ compxE2 e2 (pc + size (compE2 e1)) (d + 1)
  compxE2 (e·compareAndSwap(D·F, e', e'')) pc d =
    (let pc1 = pc + size (compE2 e);
     pc2 = pc1 + size (compE2 e')
     in compxE2 e pc d @ compxE2 e' pc1 (d + 1) @ compxE2 e'' pc2 (d + 2))
  compxE2 (e·M(es)) pc d = compxE2 e pc d @ compxEs2 es (pc + size(compE2 e)) (d+1)
  compxE2 ({i:T=vo; e}) pc d = compxE2 e (case vo of None => pc | [v] => Suc (Suc pc)) d
  compxE2 (syncV(o') e) pc d =
    (let pc1 = pc + size (compE2 o') + 3;
     pc2 = pc1 + size(compE2 e)
     in compxE2 o' pc d @ compxE2 e pc1 d @ [(pc1, pc2, None, Suc (Suc (Suc pc2)), d)])
  compxE2 (insyncV(a) e) pc d = []
  compxE2 (e1;;e2) pc d =
    compxE2 e1 pc d @ compxE2 e2 (pc+size(compE2 e1)+1) d
  compxE2 (if (e) e1 else e2) pc d =
    (let pc1 = pc + size(compE2 e) + 1;
     pc2 = pc1 + size(compE2 e1) + 1
     in compxE2 e pc d @ compxE2 e1 pc1 d @ compxE2 e2 pc2 d)
  compxE2 (while (b) e) pc d =
    compxE2 b pc d @ compxE2 e (pc+size(compE2 b)+1) d
  compxE2 (throw e) pc d = compxE2 e pc d
  compxE2 (try e1 catch(C i) e2) pc d =
    (let pc1 = pc + size(compE2 e1)
     in compxE2 e1 pc d @ compxE2 e2 (pc1+2) d @ [(pc,pc1,Some C,pc1+1,d)])
  compxEs2 [] pc d = []
  compxEs2 (e#es) pc d = compxE2 e pc d @ compxEs2 es (pc+size(compE2 e)) (d+1)
```

```

lemmas compxE2-compxEs2-induct =
  compxE2-compxEs2.induct[
    unfolded meta-all5-eq-conv meta-all4-eq-conv meta-all3-eq-conv meta-all2-eq-conv meta-all-eq-conv,
    case-names
    new NewArray Cast InstanceOf Val BinOp Var LAss AAcc AAss ALen FAcc FAss Call Block
    Synchronized InSynchronized Seq Cond While throw TryCatch
    Nil Cons]

lemma compE2-neq-Nil [simp]: compE2 e ≠ []
by(induct e) auto

declare compE2-neq-Nil[symmetric, simp]

lemma compEs2-append [simp]: compEs2 (es @ es') = compEs2 es @ compEs2 es'
by(induct es) auto

lemma compEs2-eq-Nil-conv [simp]: compEs2 es = [] ↔ es = []
by(cases es) auto

lemma compEs2-map-Val: compEs2 (map Val vs) = map Push vs
by(induct vs) auto

lemma compE2-0th-neq-Invoke [simp]:
  compE2 e ! 0 ≠ Invoke M n
by(induct e)(auto simp add: nth-append)

declare compE2-0th-neq-Invoke[symmetric, simp]

lemma compxEs2-append [simp]:
  compxEs2 (es @ es') pc d = compxEs2 es pc d @ compxEs2 es' (length (compEs2 es) + pc) (length es + d)
by(induct es arbitrary: pc d)(auto simp add: ac-simps)

lemma compxEs2-map-Val [simp]: compxEs2 (map Val vs) pc d = []
by(induct vs arbitrary: d pc) auto

lemma compE2-blocks1 [simp]:
  compE2 (blocks1 n Ts body) = compE2 body
by(induct n Ts body rule: blocks1.induct)(auto)

lemma compxE2-blocks1 [simp]:
  compxE2 (blocks1 n Ts body) = compxE2 body
by(induct n Ts body rule: blocks1.induct)(auto intro!: ext)

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr1 and es :: 'addr expr1 list
  shows compE2-not-Return: Return ∉ set (compE2 e)
  and compEs2-not-Return: Return ∉ set (compEs2 es)
by(induct e and es rule: compE2.induct compEs2.induct)(auto)

primrec max-stack :: 'addr expr1 ⇒ nat
  and max-stacks :: 'addr expr1 list ⇒ nat
where
  max-stack (new C) = 1
  | max-stack (newA T[e]) = max-stack e

```

```

| max-stack (Cast C e) = max-stack e
| max-stack (e instanceof T) = max-stack e
| max-stack (Val v) = 1
| max-stack (e1 «bop» e2) = max (max-stack e1) (max-stack e2) + 1
| max-stack (Var i) = 1
| max-stack (i:=e) = max-stack e
| max-stack (a[i]) = max (max-stack a) (max-stack i + 1)
| max-stack (a[i] := e) = max (max (max-stack a) (max-stack i + 1)) (max-stack e + 2)
| max-stack (a.length) = max-stack a
| max-stack (e.F{D}) = max-stack e
| max-stack (e1.F{D} := e2) = max (max-stack e1) (max-stack e2) + 1
| max-stack (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) = max (max (max-stack e) (max-stack e' + 1)) (max-stack e'' + 2)
| max-stack (e.M(es)) = max (max-stack e) (max-stacks es) + 1
| max-stack ({i:T=vo; e}) = max-stack e
| max-stack (sync_V(o') e) = max (max-stack o') (max (max-stack e) 2)
| max-stack (insync_V(a) e) = 1
| max-stack (e1;;e2) = max (max-stack e1) (max-stack e2)
| max-stack (if (e) e1 else e2) =
  max (max-stack e) (max (max-stack e1) (max-stack e2))
| max-stack (while (e) c) = max (max-stack e) (max-stack c)
| max-stack (throw e) = max-stack e
| max-stack (try e1 catch(C i) e2) = max (max-stack e1) (max-stack e2)

| max-stacks [] = 0
| max-stacks (e#es) = max (max-stack e) (1 + max-stacks es)

lemma max-stack1: 1 ≤ max-stack e
lemma max-stacks-ge-length: max-stacks es ≥ length es
by(induct es, auto)

lemma max-stack-blocks1 [simp]:
  max-stack (blocks1 n Ts body) = max-stack body
by(induct n Ts body rule: blocks1.induct) auto

definition compMb2 :: 'addr expr1 ⇒ 'addr jvm-method
where
  compMb2 ≡ λbody.
  let ins = compE2 body @ [Return];
    xt = compxE2 body 0 0
  in (max-stack body, max-vars body, ins, xt)

definition compP2 :: 'addr J1-prog ⇒ 'addr jvm-prog
where compP2 ≡ compP (λC M Ts T. compMb2)

lemma compMb2:
  compMb2 e = (max-stack e, max-vars e, (compE2 e @ [Return]), compxE2 e 0 0)
by (simp add: compMb2-def)

end

```

7.10 Various Operations for Exception Tables

```

theory Exception-Tables imports
  Compiler2
  ..../Common/ExternalCallWF
  ..../JVM/JVMEceptions
begin

definition pcs :: ex-table  $\Rightarrow$  nat set
where pcs xt  $\equiv$   $\bigcup \{f, t, C, h, d \in \text{set } \text{xt}. \{f \ldots < t\}$ 

lemma pcs-subset:
  fixes e :: 'addr expr1 and es :: 'addr expr1 list
  shows pcs(compxE2 e pc d)  $\subseteq \{pc \ldots < pc + \text{size}(\text{compE2 } e)\}$ 
    and pcs(compxEs2 es pc d)  $\subseteq \{pc \ldots < pc + \text{size}(\text{compEs2 } es)\}$ 
apply(induct e pc d and es pc d rule: compxE2-compxEs2-induct)
apply(simp-all add:pcs-def)
apply(fastforce) +
done

lemma pcs-Nil [simp]: pcs [] = {}
by(simp add:pcs-def)

lemma pcs-Cons [simp]: pcs (x#xt) = {fst x ..< fst(snd x)}  $\cup$  pcs xt
by(auto simp add: pcs-def)

lemma pcs-append [simp]: pcs(xt1 @ xt2) = pcs xt1  $\cup$  pcs xt2
by(simp add:pcs-def)

lemma [simp]: pc < pc0  $\vee$  pc0+size(compE2 e)  $\leq$  pc  $\implies$  pc  $\notin$  pcs(compxE2 e pc0 d)
using pcs-subset by fastforce

lemma [simp]: pc < pc0  $\vee$  pc0+size(compEs2 es)  $\leq$  pc  $\implies$  pc  $\notin$  pcs(compxEs2 es pc0 d)
using pcs-subset by fastforce

lemma [simp]: pc1 + size(compE2 e1)  $\leq$  pc2  $\implies$  pcs(compxE2 e1 pc1 d1)  $\cap$  pcs(compxE2 e2 pc2 d2) = {}
using pcs-subset by fastforce

lemma [simp]: pc1 + size(compE2 e)  $\leq$  pc2  $\implies$  pcs(compxE2 e pc1 d1)  $\cap$  pcs(compxEs2 es pc2 d2) = {}
using pcs-subset by fastforce

lemma match-ex-table-append-not-pcs [simp]:
  pc  $\notin$  pcs xt0  $\implies$  match-ex-table P C pc (xt0 @ xt1) = match-ex-table P C pc xt1
by(induct xt0) (auto simp: matches-ex-entry-def)

lemma outside-pcs-not-matches-entry [simp]:
   $\llbracket x \in \text{set } \text{xt}; pc \notin \text{set } \text{xt} \rrbracket \implies \neg \text{matches-ex-entry } P D pc x$ 
by(auto simp:matches-ex-entry-def pcs-def)

lemma outside-pcs-compxE2-not-matches-entry [simp]:
assumes xe: xe  $\in$  set(compxE2 e pc d)
and outside: pc' < pc  $\vee$  pc+size(compE2 e)  $\leq$  pc'
```

```

shows  $\neg \text{matches-ex-entry } P C pc' xe$ 
proof
  assume  $\text{matches-ex-entry } P C pc' xe$ 
  with  $xe$  have  $pc' \in \text{pcs}(\text{compxE2 } e pc d)$ 
    by(force simp add:matches-ex-entry-def pcs-def)
  with outside show False by simp
qed

lemma outside-pcs-compxEs2-not-matches-entry [simp]:
  assumes  $xe: xe \in \text{set}(\text{compxEs2 } es pc d)$ 
  and outside:  $pc' < pc \vee pc + \text{size}(\text{compxEs2 } es) \leq pc'$ 
  shows  $\neg \text{matches-ex-entry } P C pc' xe$ 
proof
  assume  $\text{matches-ex-entry } P C pc' xe$ 
  with  $xe$  have  $pc' \in \text{pcs}(\text{compxEs2 } es pc d)$ 
    by(force simp add:matches-ex-entry-def pcs-def)
  with outside show False by simp
qed

lemma match-ex-table-app[simp]:
   $\forall xte \in \text{set } xt_1. \neg \text{matches-ex-entry } P D pc xte \implies$ 
   $\text{match-ex-table } P D pc (xt_1 @ xt) = \text{match-ex-table } P D pc xt$ 
by(induct xt_1) simp-all

lemma match-ex-table-eq-NoneI [simp]:
   $\forall x \in \text{set } xtab. \neg \text{matches-ex-entry } P C pc x \implies$ 
   $\text{match-ex-table } P C pc xtab = \text{None}$ 
using match-ex-table-app[where  $?xt = []$ ] by fastforce

lemma match-ex-table-not-pcs-None:
   $pc \notin \text{pcs } xt \implies \text{match-ex-table } P C pc xt = \text{None}$ 
by(auto intro: match-ex-table-eq-NoneI)

lemma match-ex-entry:
  fixes start shows
     $\text{matches-ex-entry } P C pc (\text{start}, \text{end}, \text{catch-type}, \text{handler}) =$ 
     $(\text{start} \leq pc \wedge pc < \text{end} \wedge (\text{case catch-type of None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid [C'] \Rightarrow P \vdash C \preceq^* C'))$ 
by(simp add:matches-ex-entry-def)

lemma pcs-compxE2D [dest]:
   $pc \in \text{pcs } (\text{compxE2 } e pc' d) \implies pc' \leq pc \wedge pc < pc' + \text{length } (\text{compxE2 } e)$ 
using pcs-subset by(fastforce)

lemma pcs-compxEs2D [dest]:
   $pc \in \text{pcs } (\text{compxEs2 } es pc' d) \implies pc' \leq pc \wedge pc < pc' + \text{length } (\text{compxEs2 } es)$ 
using pcs-subset by(fastforce)

definition shift :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ex-table  $\Rightarrow$  ex-table
where
   $\text{shift } n xt \equiv \text{map } (\lambda(\text{from}, \text{to}, C, \text{handler}, \text{depth}). (n + \text{from}, n + \text{to}, C, n + \text{handler}, \text{depth})) xt$ 

lemma shift-0 [simp]:  $\text{shift } 0 xt = xt$ 
by(induct xt) (auto simp:shift-def)

```

```

lemma shift-Nil [simp]: shift n [] = []
by(simp add:shift-def)

lemma shift-Cons-tuple [simp]:
  shift n ((from, to, C, handler, depth) # xt) = (from + n, to + n, C, handler + n, depth) # shift n xt
by(simp add: shift-def)

lemma shift-append [simp]: shift n (xt1 @ xt2) = shift n xt1 @ shift n xt2
by(simp add:shift-def)

lemma shift-shift [simp]: shift m (shift n xt) = shift (m+n) xt
by(simp add: shift-def split-def)

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr1 and es :: 'addr expr1 list
  shows shift-compxE2: shift pc (compxE2 e pc' d) = compxE2 e (pc' + pc) d
  and shift-compxEs2: shift pc (compxEs2 es pc' d) = compxEs2 es (pc' + pc) d
by(induct e and es arbitrary: pc pc' d and pc pc' d rule: compE2.induct compEs2.induct)
  (auto simp:shift-def ac-simps)

lemma compxE2-size-convs [simp]: n ≠ 0 ⇒ compxE2 e n d = shift n (compxE2 e 0 d)
  and compxEs2-size-convs: n ≠ 0 ⇒ compxEs2 es n d = shift n (compxEs2 es 0 d)
by(simp-all add:shift-compxE2 shift-compxEs2)

lemma pcs-shift-conv [simp]: pcs (shift n xt) = (+) n ` pcs xt
apply(auto simp add: shift-def pcs-def)
apply(rule-tac x=x-n in image-eqI)
apply(auto)
apply(rule bexI)
prefer 2
apply(assumption)
apply(auto)
done

lemma image-plus-const-conv [simp]:
  fixes m :: nat
  shows m ∈ (+) n ` A ↔ m ≥ n ∧ m - n ∈ A
by(force)

lemma match-ex-table-shift-eq-None-conv [simp]:
  match-ex-table P C pc (shift n xt) = None ↔ pc < n ∨ match-ex-table P C (pc - n) xt = None
by(induct xt)(auto simp add: match-ex-entry split: if-split-asm)

lemma match-ex-table-shift-pc-None:
  pc ≥ n ⇒ match-ex-table P C pc (shift n xt) = None ↔ match-ex-table P C (pc - n) xt = None
by(simp add: match-ex-table-shift-eq-None-conv)

lemma match-ex-table-shift-eq-Some-conv [simp]:
  match-ex-table P C pc (shift n xt) = ⌊(pc', d)⌋ ↔
    pc ≥ n ∧ pc' ≥ n ∧ match-ex-table P C (pc - n) xt = ⌊(pc' - n, d)⌋
by(induct xt)(auto simp add: match-ex-entry split: if-split-asm)

lemma match-ex-table-shift:
  match-ex-table P C pc xt = ⌊(pc', d)⌋ ⇒ match-ex-table P C (n + pc) (shift n xt) = ⌊(n + pc', d)⌋

```

```

by(simp add: match-ex-table-shift-eq-Some-conv)

lemma match-ex-table-shift-pcD:
  match-ex-table P C pc (shift n xt) = ⌊(pc', d)⌋  $\Rightarrow$  pc  $\geq$  n  $\wedge$  pc'  $\geq$  n  $\wedge$  match-ex-table P C (pc - n) xt = ⌊(pc' - n, d)⌋
by(simp add: match-ex-table-shift-eq-Some-conv)

lemma match-ex-table-pcsD: match-ex-table P C pc xt = ⌊(pc', D)⌋  $\Rightarrow$  pc  $\in$  pcs xt
by(induct xt)(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: match-ex-entry)

definition stack-xlift :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ex-table  $\Rightarrow$  ex-table
where stack-xlift n xt  $\equiv$  map (λ(from,to,C,handler,depth). (from, to, C, handler, n + depth)) xt

lemma stack-xlift-0 [simp]: stack-xlift 0 xt = xt
by(induct xt, auto simp add: stack-xlift-def)

lemma stack-xlift-Nil [simp]: stack-xlift n [] = []
by(simp add: stack-xlift-def)

lemma stack-xlift-Cons-tuple [simp]:
  stack-xlift n ((from, to, C, handler, depth) # xt) = (from, to, C, handler, depth + n) # stack-xlift n xt
by(simp add: stack-xlift-def)

lemma stack-xlift-append [simp]: stack-xlift n (xt @ xt') = stack-xlift n xt @ stack-xlift n xt'
by(simp add: stack-xlift-def)

lemma stack-xlift-stack-xlift [simp]: stack-xlift n (stack-xlift m xt) = stack-xlift (n + m) xt
by(simp add: stack-xlift-def split-def)

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr1 and es :: 'addr expr1 list
  shows stack-xlift-compxE2: stack-xlift n (compxE2 e pc d) = compxE2 e pc (n + d)
  and stack-xlift-compxEs2: stack-xlift n (compxEs2 es pc d) = compxEs2 es pc (n + d)
by(induct e and es arbitrary: d pc and d pc rule: compxE2.induct compxEs2.induct)
  (auto simp add: shift-compxE2 simp del: compxE2-size-convs)

lemma compxE2-stack-xlift-convs [simp]: d > 0  $\Rightarrow$  compxE2 e pc d = stack-xlift d (compxE2 e pc 0)
  and compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs [simp]: d > 0  $\Rightarrow$  compxEs2 es pc d = stack-xlift d (compxEs2 es pc 0)
by(simp-all add: stack-xlift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxEs2)

lemma stack-xlift-shift [simp]: stack-xlift d (shift n xt) = shift n (stack-xlift d xt)
by(induct xt)(auto)

lemma pcs-stack-xlift-conv [simp]: pcs (stack-xlift n xt) = pcs xt
by(auto simp add: pcs-def stack-xlift-def)

lemma match-ex-table-stack-xlift-eq-None-conv [simp]:
  match-ex-table P C pc (stack-xlift d xt) = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  match-ex-table P C pc xt = None
by(induct xt)(auto simp add: match-ex-entry)

lemma match-ex-table-stack-xlift-eq-Some-conv [simp]:

```

match-ex-table P C pc (stack-xlift n xt) = ⌊(pc', d)⌋ ↔ d ≥ n ∧ match-ex-table P C pc xt = ⌊(pc', d - n)⌋
by(*induct xt*)(*auto simp add: match-ex-entry*)

lemma *match-ex-table-stack-xliftD*:
match-ex-table P C pc (stack-xlift n xt) = ⌊(pc', d)⌋ ⇒ d ≥ n ∧ match-ex-table P C pc xt = ⌊(pc', d - n)⌋
by(*simp*)

lemma *match-ex-table-stack-xlift*:
match-ex-table P C pc xt = ⌊(pc', d)⌋ ⇒ match-ex-table P C pc (stack-xlift n xt) = ⌊(pc', n + d)⌋
by *simp*

lemma *pcs-stack-xlift*: *pcs (stack-xlift n xt) = pcs xt*
by(*auto simp add: stack-xlift-def pcs-def*)

lemma *match-ex-table-None-append [simp]*:
match-ex-table P C pc xt = None
⇒ match-ex-table P C pc (xt @ xt') = match-ex-table P C pc xt'
by(*induct xt, auto*)

lemma *match-ex-table-Some-append [simp]*:
match-ex-table P C pc xt = ⌊(pc', d)⌋ ⇒ match-ex-table P C pc (xt @ xt') = ⌊(pc', d)⌋
by(*induct xt*)(*auto*)

lemma *match-ex-table-append*:
match-ex-table P C pc (xt @ xt') = (case match-ex-table P C pc xt of None ⇒ match-ex-table P C pc xt'
| Some pcd ⇒ Some pcd)
by(*auto*)

lemma *match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2*:
match-ex-table P a pc (compxE2 e pc' d) = ⌊pcd⌋ ⇒ pc' ≤ pc ∧ pc < length (compE2 e) + pc'

and *match-ex-table-pc-length-compEs2*:
match-ex-table P a pc (compxEs2 es pc' d) = ⌊pcd⌋ ⇒ pc' ≤ pc ∧ pc < length (compEs2 es) + pc'
using *pcs-subset* **by**(*cases pcd, fastforce dest!: match-ex-table-pcsD*)+

lemma *match-ex-table-compxE2-shift-conv*:
f > 0 ⇒ match-ex-table P C pc (compxE2 e f d) = ⌊(pc', d')⌋ ↔ pc ≥ f ∧ pc' ≥ f ∧ match-ex-table P C (pc - f) (compxE2 e 0 d) = ⌊(pc' - f, d')⌋
by *simp*

lemma *match-ex-table-compxEs2-shift-conv*:
f > 0 ⇒ match-ex-table P C pc (compxEs2 es f d) = ⌊(pc', d')⌋ ↔ pc ≥ f ∧ pc' ≥ f ∧ match-ex-table P C (pc - f) (compxEs2 es 0 d) = ⌊(pc' - f, d')⌋
by(*simp add: compxEs2-size-convs*)

lemma *match-ex-table-compxE2-stack-conv*:
d > 0 ⇒ match-ex-table P C pc (compxE2 e 0 d) = ⌊(pc', d')⌋ ↔ d' ≥ d ∧ match-ex-table P C pc (compxE2 e 0 0) = ⌊(pc', d' - d)⌋
by *simp*

lemma *match-ex-table-compxEs2-stack-conv*:

```

 $d > 0 \implies \text{match-ex-table } P C pc (\text{compxEs2 es } 0 d) = \lfloor (pc', d') \rfloor \longleftrightarrow d' \geq d \wedge \text{match-ex-table } P$ 
 $C pc (\text{compxEs2 es } 0 0) = \lfloor (pc', d' - d) \rfloor$ 
by(simp add: compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs)

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr1 and es :: 'addr expr1 list
shows match-ex-table-compxE2-not-same: match-ex-table P C pc (compxE2 e n d) =  $\lfloor (pc', d') \rfloor \implies$ 
 $pc \neq pc'$ 
and match-ex-table-compxEs2-not-same: match-ex-table P C pc (compxEs2 es n d) =  $\lfloor (pc', d') \rfloor \implies$ 
 $pc \neq pc'$ 
apply(induct e n d and es n d rule: compxE2-compxEs2-induct)
apply(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append match-ex-entry simp del: compxE2-size-convs compxEs2-size-convs
compxE2-stack-xlift-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs split: if-split-asm)
done

end

```

7.11 Type rules for the intermediate language

```

theory J1WellType imports
  J1State
  ..../Common/ExternalCallWF
  ..../Common/SemiType
begin

declare Listn.lesub-list-impl-same-size[simp del] listE-length [simp del]

```

7.11.1 Well-Typedness

type-synonym
 $env1 = ty \text{ list}$ — type environment indexed by variable number

inductive WT1 :: 'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow env1 \Rightarrow 'addr expr1 \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow bool $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash 1 \cdot :: \cdot \quad [51, 0, 0, 51] \quad 50)$
and WTs1 :: 'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow env1 \Rightarrow 'addr expr1 list \Rightarrow ty list \Rightarrow bool $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash 1 \cdot :: \cdot \quad [51, 0, 0, 51] \quad 50)$
for P :: 'addr J1-prog
where

WT1New:
 $is\text{-class } P \ C \ \implies$
 $P, E \vdash 1 \ new \ C :: Class \ C$

| WT1NewArray:
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash 1 \ e :: Integer; is\text{-type } P \ (T[\cdot]) \rrbracket \implies$
 $P, E \vdash 1 \ newA \ T[e] :: T[\cdot]$

| WT1Cast:
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash 1 \ e :: T; P \vdash U \leq T \vee P \vdash T \leq U; is\text{-type } P \ U \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, E \vdash 1 \ Cast \ U \ e :: U$

| WT1InstanceOf:
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash 1 \ e :: T; P \vdash U \leq T \vee P \vdash T \leq U; is\text{-type } P \ U; is\text{-refT } U \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, E \vdash 1 \ e \ instanceof \ U :: Boolean$

| WT1Val:
 $typeof \ v = Some \ T \implies$

- $P, E \vdash_1 Val v :: T$
- | $WT1Var:$
 $\llbracket E!V = T; V < size E \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 Var V :: T$
 - | $WT1BinOp:$
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 e1 :: T1; P, E \vdash_1 e2 :: T2; P \vdash T1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner T2 :: T \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 e1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner e2 :: T$
 - | $WT1LAss:$
 $\llbracket E!i = T; i < size E; P, E \vdash_1 e :: T'; P \vdash T' \leq T \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 i := e :: Void$
 - | $WT1Acc:$
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 a :: T[]; P, E \vdash_1 i :: Integer \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 a[i] :: T$
 - | $WT1AAss:$
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 a :: T[]; P, E \vdash_1 i :: Integer; P, E \vdash_1 e :: T'; P \vdash T' \leq T \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 a[i] := e :: Void$
 - | $WT1ALength:$
 $P, E \vdash_1 a :: T[] \implies P, E \vdash_1 a.length :: Integer$
 - | $WTFAcc1:$
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 e :: U; class-type-of' U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C sees F:T (fm) in D \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 e.F\{D\} :: T$
 - | $WTFAss1:$
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 e1 :: U; class-type-of' U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C sees F:T (fm) in D; P, E \vdash_1 e2 :: T'; P \vdash T' \leq T \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 e1.F\{D\} := e2 :: Void$
 - | $WTCAS1:$
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 e1 :: U; class-type-of' U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C sees F:T (fm) in D; volatile fm; P, E \vdash_1 e2 :: T'; P \vdash T' \leq T; P, E \vdash_1 e3 :: T''; P \vdash T'' \leq T \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 e1.compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3) :: Boolean$
 - | $WT1Call:$
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 e :: U; class-type-of' U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C sees M:Ts \rightarrow T = m in D; P, E \vdash_1 es :: Ts'; P \vdash Ts' \leq T \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 e.M(es) :: T$
 - | $WT1Block:$
 $\llbracket is-type P T; P, E @ [T] \vdash_1 e :: T'; case vo of None \Rightarrow True | [v] \Rightarrow \exists T'. typeof v = \lfloor T' \rfloor \wedge P \vdash T' \leq T \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 \{ V:T=vo; e \} :: T'$
 - | $WT1Synchronized:$
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 o' :: T; is-refT T; T \neq NT; P, E @ [Class Object] \vdash_1 e :: T' \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 sync_V(o') e :: T'$
 - | $WT1Seq:$

$\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 e_1 :: T_1; P, E \vdash_1 e_2 :: T_2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, E \vdash_1 e_1; ; e_2 :: T_2$

| *WT1Cond:*
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 e :: Boolean; P, E \vdash_1 e_1 :: T_1; P, E \vdash_1 e_2 :: T_2; P \vdash \text{lub}(T_1, T_2) = T \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, E \vdash_1 \text{if } (e) e_1 \text{ else } e_2 :: T$

| *WT1While:*
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 e :: Boolean; P, E \vdash_1 c :: T \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, E \vdash_1 \text{while } (e) c :: \text{Void}$

| *WT1Throw:*
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 e :: \text{Class } C; P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable} \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 \text{throw } e :: \text{Void}$

| *WT1Try:*
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 e_1 :: T; P, E @ [\text{Class } C] \vdash_1 e_2 :: T; \text{is-class } P C \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 \text{try } e_1 \text{ catch}(C V) e_2 :: T$

| *WT1Nil:* $P, E \vdash_1 [] :: []$

| *WT1Cons:* $\llbracket P, E \vdash_1 e :: T; P, E \vdash_1 es :: Ts \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash_1 e \# es :: T \# Ts$

declare *WT1-WTs1.intros[intro!]*
declare *WT1Nil[iff]*

inductive-cases *WT1-WTs1-cases[elim!]:*

$P, E \vdash_1 \text{Val } v :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 \text{Var } i :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 \text{Cast } D e :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 e \text{ instanceof } U :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 i := e :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 \{i : U = vo; e\} :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 e_1; ; e_2 :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 \text{if } (e) e_1 \text{ else } e_2 :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 \text{while } (e) c :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 \text{throw } e :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 \text{try } e_1 \text{ catch}(C i) e_2 :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 e \cdot F\{D\} :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 e_1 \cdot F\{D\} := e_2 :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 e \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e', e'') :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 e_1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e_2 :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 \text{new } C :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 \text{newA } T' [e] :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 a[i] := e :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 a[i] :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 a \cdot \text{length} :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 e \cdot M(es) :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 \text{sync}_V(o') e :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 \text{insync}_V(a) e :: T$
 $P, E \vdash_1 [] :: Ts$
 $P, E \vdash_1 e \# es :: Ts$

lemma *WTs1-same-size:* $P, E \vdash_1 es :: Ts \implies \text{size } es = \text{size } Ts$

by (induct es arbitrary: Ts) auto

lemma WTs1-snoc-cases:

assumes wt: P,E ⊢ 1 es @ [e] [:] Ts
obtains T Ts' where P,E ⊢ 1 es [:] Ts' P,E ⊢ 1 e :: T
proof –
 from wt have $\exists T \text{ Ts}' . P,E \vdash 1 es [:] \text{ Ts}' \wedge P,E \vdash 1 e :: T$
 by(induct es arbitrary: Ts) auto
 thus thesis by(auto intro: that)
qed

lemma WTs1-append:

assumes wt: P,Env ⊢ 1 es @ es' [:] Ts
obtains Ts' Ts'' where P,Env ⊢ 1 es [:] Ts' P,Env ⊢ 1 es' [:] Ts''
proof –
 from wt have $\exists Ts' Ts'' . P,Env \vdash 1 es [:] \text{ Ts}' \wedge P,Env \vdash 1 es' [:] \text{ Ts}''$
 by(induct es arbitrary: Ts) auto
 thus ?thesis by(auto intro: that)
qed

lemma WT1-not-contains-insync: P,E ⊢ 1 e :: T $\implies \neg \text{contains-insync } e$
 and WTs1-not-contains-insyncs: P,E ⊢ 1 es [:] Ts $\implies \neg \text{contains-insyncs } es$
by(induct rule: WT1-WTs1.inducts) auto

lemma WT1-expr-locks: P,E ⊢ 1 e :: T $\implies \text{expr-locks } e = (\lambda a. 0)$
 and WTs1-expr-lockss: P,E ⊢ 1 es [:] Ts $\implies \text{expr-lockss } es = (\lambda a. 0)$
by(induct rule: WT1-WTs1.inducts)(auto)

lemma assumes wf: wf-prog wfmd P

shows WT1-unique: P,E ⊢ 1 e :: T1 $\implies P,E \vdash 1 e :: T2 \implies T1 = T2$
 and WTs1-unique: P,E ⊢ 1 es [:] Ts1 $\implies P,E \vdash 1 es [:] Ts2 \implies Ts1 = Ts2$
apply(induct arbitrary: T2 and Ts2 rule: WT1-WTs1.inducts)
apply blast
apply blast
apply blast
apply blast
apply clarsimp
apply blast
apply(blast dest: WT-binop-fun)
apply blast
apply blast
apply blast
apply blast
apply blast
apply (blast dest: sees-field-idemp sees-field-fun)
apply (blast dest: sees-field-fun)
apply blast

apply(erule WT1-WTs1-cases)

apply(simp)

apply (blast dest: sees-method-idemp sees-method-fun)

apply blast

apply blast

apply blast

```

apply(blast dest: is-lub-unique[OF wf])
apply blast
apply blast
apply blast
apply blast
apply blast
done

lemma assumes wf: wf-prog p P
  shows WT1-is-type: P,E ⊢ 1 e :: T ==> set E ⊆ types P ==> is-type P T
    and WTs1-is-type: P,E ⊢ 1 es [:] Ts ==> set E ⊆ types P ==> set Ts ⊆ types P
apply(induct rule:WT1-WTs1.inducts)
apply simp
apply simp
apply simp
apply simp
apply (simp add:typeof-lit-is-type)
apply (fastforce intro:nth-mem)
apply(simp add: WT-binop-is-type)
apply(simp)
apply(simp del: is-type-array add: is-type-ArrayD)
apply(simp)
apply(simp)
apply (simp add:sees-field-is-type[OF - wf])
apply simp
apply simp
apply(fastforce dest!: sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf] simp:wf-mdecl-def)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: is-class-Object[OF wf])
apply simp
apply(blast dest: is-lub-is-type[OF wf])
apply simp
apply simp
apply simp
apply simp
apply(simp)
done

lemma blocks1-WT:
  [ P,Env @ Ts ⊢ 1 body :: T; set Ts ⊆ types P ] ==> P,Env ⊢ 1 blocks1 (length Env) Ts body :: T
proof(induct n==length Env Ts body arbitrary: Env rule: blocks1.induct)
  case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (2 T' Ts e)
  note IH = `<ENV'. [Suc (length Env) = length Env'; P,Env' @ Ts ⊢ 1 e :: T; set Ts ⊆ types P ] ==> P,Env' ⊢ 1 blocks1 (length Env') Ts e :: T`
  from `set (T' # Ts) ⊆ types P` have set Ts ⊆ types P is-type P T' by(auto)
  moreover from `P,Env @ T' # Ts ⊢ 1 e :: T` have P,(Env @ [T']) @ Ts ⊢ 1 e :: T by simp
  note IH[OF - this]
  ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

lemma WT1-fv: [ P,E ⊢ 1 e :: T; B e (length E); syncvars e ] ==> fv e ⊆ {0..

```

```

proof(induct rule: WT1-WTs1.inducts)
  case (WT1Synchronized E e1 T e2 T' V)
    note IH1 = <[B e1 (length E); syncvars e1] => fv e1 ⊆ {0..<length E}>
    note IH2 = <[B e2 (length (E @ [Class Object])); syncvars e2] => fv e2 ⊆ {0..<length (E @ [Class Object])}>
    from <B (sync V (e1) e2) (length E)> have [simp]: V = length E
      and B1: B e1 (length E) and B2: B e2 (Suc (length E)) by auto
    from <syncvars (sync V (e1) e2)> have sync1: syncvars e1 and sync2: syncvars e2 and V: V ∉ fv e2 by auto
    have fv e2 ⊆ {0..<length E}
    proof
      fix x
      assume x: x ∈ fv e2
      with V have x ≠ length E by auto
      moreover from IH2 B2 sync2 have fv e2 ⊆ {0..<Suc (length E)} by auto
      with x have x < Suc (length E) by auto
      ultimately show x ∈ {0..<length E} by auto
    qed
    with IH1[OF B1 sync1] show ?case by(auto)
  next
    case (WT1Cond E e e1 T1 e2 T2 T)
      thus ?case by(auto del: subsetI)
    qed fastforce+
  end

```

7.12 Well-Formedness of Intermediate Language

theory J1WellForm **imports**

 /J/DefAss
 J1WellType

begin

7.12.1 Well-formedness

definition wf-J1-mdecl :: 'addr J1-prog ⇒ cname ⇒ 'addr expr1 mdecl ⇒ bool
where

 wf-J1-mdecl P C ≡ λ(M, Ts, T, body).
 (∃ T'. P, Class C#Ts ⊢ 1 body :: T' ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ T) ∧
 D body [..size Ts] ∧ B body (size Ts + 1) ∧ syncvars body

lemma wf-J1-mdecl[simp]:
 wf-J1-mdecl P C (M, Ts, T, body) ≡
 ((∃ T'. P, Class C#Ts ⊢ 1 body :: T' ∧ P ⊢ T' ≤ T) ∧
 D body [..size Ts] ∧ B body (size Ts + 1)) ∧ syncvars body
by (simp add:wf-J1-mdecl-def)

abbreviation wf-J1-prog :: 'addr J1-prog ⇒ bool
where wf-J1-prog == wf-prog wf-J1-mdecl

end

7.13 Preservation of Well-Typedness in Stage 2

```

theory TypeComp
imports
  Exception-Tables
  J1WellForm
  ..../BV/BVSpec
  HOL-Library.Prefix-Order
  HOL-Library.Sublist
begin

locale TC0 =
  fixes P :: 'addr J1-prog and m xl :: nat
begin

definition ty :: ty list ⇒ 'addr expr1 ⇒ ty
where ty E e ≡ THE T. P,E ⊢ 1 e :: T

definition tyl :: ty list ⇒ nat set ⇒ ty_l
where tyl E A' ≡ map (λi. if i ∈ A' ∧ i < size E then OK(E!i) else Err) [0..< m xl]

definition ty'_i :: ty list ⇒ ty list ⇒ nat set option ⇒ ty'_i
where ty'_i ST E A ≡ case A of None ⇒ None | [A'] ⇒ Some(ST, tyl E A')

definition after :: ty list ⇒ nat set option ⇒ ty list ⇒ 'addr expr1 ⇒ ty'_i
  where after E A ST e ≡ ty'_i (ty E e # ST) E (A ∪ A e)

end

locale TC1 = TC0 +
  fixes wfmd
  assumes wf-prog: wf-prog wfmd P
begin

lemma ty-def2 [simp]: P,E ⊢ 1 e :: T ⇒ ty E e = T
apply(unfold ty-def ty-def)
apply(blast intro: the-equality WT1-unique[OF wf-prog])
done

end

context TC0 begin

lemma ty'_i'-None [simp]: ty'_i ST E None = None
by(simp add:ty'_i'-def)

lemma tyl-app-diff[simp]:
  tyl (E@[T]) (A - {size E}) = tyl E A
by(auto simp add:tyl-def hyperset-defs)

lemma ty'_i-app-diff[simp]:
  ty'_i ST (E @ [T]) (A ∘ size E) = ty'_i ST E A
by(auto simp add:ty'_i-def hyperset-defs)

```

lemma *ty_l-antimono*:

$A \subseteq A' \implies P \vdash \text{ty}_l E A' [\leq_{\top}] \text{ty}_l E A$
by(auto simp:ty_l-def list-all2-conv-all-nth)

lemma *ty_i'-antimono*:

$A \subseteq A' \implies P \vdash \text{ty}_i' ST E [A'] \leq' \text{ty}_i' ST E [A]$
by(auto simp:ty_i'-def ty_l-def list-all2-conv-all-nth)

lemma *ty_l-env-antimono*:

$P \vdash \text{ty}_l (E @ [T]) A [\leq_{\top}] \text{ty}_l E A$
by(auto simp:ty_l-def list-all2-conv-all-nth)

lemma *ty_i'-env-antimono*:

$P \vdash \text{ty}_i' ST (E @ [T]) A \leq' \text{ty}_i' ST E A$
by(auto simp:ty_i'-def ty_l-def list-all2-conv-all-nth)

lemma *ty_i'-incr*:

$P \vdash \text{ty}_i' ST (E @ [T]) [\text{insert} (\text{size } E) A] \leq' \text{ty}_i' ST E [A]$
by(auto simp:ty_i'-def ty_l-def list-all2-conv-all-nth)

lemma *ty_l-incr*:

$P \vdash \text{ty}_l (E @ [T]) (\text{insert} (\text{size } E) A) [\leq_{\top}] \text{ty}_l E A$
by(auto simp: hyperset-defs ty_l-def list-all2-conv-all-nth)

lemma *ty_l-in-types*:

$\text{set } E \subseteq \text{types } P \implies \text{ty}_l E A \in \text{list mxl} (\text{err} (\text{types } P))$
by(auto simp add:ty_l-def intro!:listI dest!: nth-mem)

function *compT* :: *ty list* \Rightarrow *nat hyperset* \Rightarrow *ty list* \Rightarrow 'addr expr1 \Rightarrow *ty_i' list*

and *compTs* :: *ty list* \Rightarrow *nat hyperset* \Rightarrow *ty list* \Rightarrow 'addr expr1 list \Rightarrow *ty_i' list*

where

$\begin{aligned} \text{compT } E A ST (\text{new } C) &= [] \\ | \text{compT } E A ST (\text{newA } T[e]) &= \text{compT } E A ST e @ [\text{after } E A ST e] \\ | \text{compT } E A ST (\text{Cast } C e) &= \text{compT } E A ST e @ [\text{after } E A ST e] \\ | \text{compT } E A ST (e \text{ instanceof } T) &= \text{compT } E A ST e @ [\text{after } E A ST e] \\ | \text{compT } E A ST (\text{Val } v) &= [] \\ | \text{compT } E A ST (e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \llcorner e2) &= \\ &\quad (\text{let } ST1 = \text{ty } E e1 \# ST; A1 = A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e1 \text{ in} \\ &\quad \quad \text{compT } E A ST e1 @ [\text{after } E A ST e1] @ \\ &\quad \quad \text{compT } E A1 ST1 e2 @ [\text{after } E A1 ST1 e2]) \\ | \text{compT } E A ST (\text{Var } i) &= [] \\ | \text{compT } E A ST (i := e) &= \text{compT } E A ST e @ [\text{after } E A ST e, \text{ty}_i' ST E (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e \sqcup [\{i\}])] \\ | \text{compT } E A ST (a[i]) &= \\ &\quad (\text{let } ST1 = \text{ty } E a \# ST; A1 = A \sqcup \mathcal{A} a \\ &\quad \quad \text{in } \text{compT } E A ST a @ [\text{after } E A ST a] @ \text{compT } E A1 ST1 i @ [\text{after } E A1 ST1 i]) \\ | \text{compT } E A ST (a[i] := e) &= \\ &\quad (\text{let } ST1 = \text{ty } E a \# ST; A1 = A \sqcup \mathcal{A} a; \\ &\quad \quad ST2 = \text{ty } E i \# ST1; A2 = A1 \sqcup \mathcal{A} i; A3 = A2 \sqcup \mathcal{A} e \end{aligned}$

```

in compT E A ST a @ [after E A ST a] @ compT E A1 ST1 i @ [after E A1 ST1 i] @ compT E
A2 ST2 e @ [after E A2 ST2 e, tyi' ST E A3])
| compT E A ST (a.length) = compT E A ST a @ [after E A ST a]
| compT E A ST (e.F{D}) = compT E A ST e @ [after E A ST e]
| compT E A ST (e1.F{D} := e2) =
  (let ST1 = ty E e1#ST; A1 = A ⊔ A e1; A2 = A1 ⊔ A e2
   in compT E A ST e1 @ [after E A ST e1] @ compT E A1 ST1 e2 @ [after E A1 ST1 e2] @ [tyi'
   ST E A2])
| compT E A ST (e1.compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3)) =
  (let ST1 = ty E e1 # ST; A1 = A ⊔ A e1; ST2 = ty E e2 # ST1; A2 = A1 ⊔ A e2; A3 = A2
   ⊔ A e3
   in compT E A ST e1 @ [after E A ST e1] @ compT E A1 ST1 e2 @ [after E A1 ST1 e2] @ compT
   E A2 ST2 e3 @ [after E A2 ST2 e3])
| compT E A ST (e.M(es)) =
  compT E A ST e @ [after E A ST e] @
  compTs E (A ⊔ A e) (ty E e # ST) es
| compT E A ST {i:T=None; e} = compT (E@[T]) (A ⊕ i) ST e
| compT E A ST {i:T=[v]; e} =
  [after E A ST (Val v), tyi' ST (E@[T]) (A ⊔ [i])] @ compT (E@[T]) (A ⊔ [i]) ST e

| compT E A ST (synci (e1) e2) =
  (let A1 = A ⊔ A e1 ⊔ [i]; E1 = E @ [Class Object]; ST2 = ty E1 e2 # ST; A2 = A1 ⊔ A e2
   in compT E A ST e1 @
     [after E A ST e1,
      tyi' (Class Object # Class Object # ST) E (A ⊔ A e1),
      tyi' (Class Object # ST) E1 A1,
      tyi' ST E1 A1] @
     compT E1 A1 ST e2 @
     [tyi' ST2 E1 A2, tyi' (Class Object # ST2) E1 A2, tyi' ST2 E1 A2,
      tyi' (Class Throwable # ST) E1 A1,
      tyi' (Class Object # Class Throwable # ST) E1 A1,
      tyi' (Class Throwable # ST) E1 A1])
| compT E A ST (insynci (a) e) = []
| compT E A ST (e1;;e2) =
  (let A1 = A ⊔ A e1 in
   compT E A ST e1 @ [after E A ST e1, tyi' ST E A1] @
   compT E A1 ST e2)
| compT E A ST (if (e) e1 else e2) =
  (let A0 = A ⊔ A e; τ = tyi' ST E A0 in
   compT E A ST e @ [after E A ST e, τ] @
   compT E A0 ST e1 @ [after E A0 ST e1, τ] @
   compT E A0 ST e2)
| compT E A ST (while (e) c) =
  (let A0 = A ⊔ A e; A1 = A0 ⊔ A c; τ = tyi' ST E A0 in
   compT E A ST e @ [after E A ST e, τ] @
   compT E A0 ST c @ [after E A0 ST c, tyi' ST E A1, tyi' ST E A0])
| compT E A ST (throw e) = compT E A ST e @ [after E A ST e]
| compT E A ST (try e1 catch(C i) e2) =
  compT E A ST e1 @ [after E A ST e1] @
  [tyi' (Class C#ST) E A, tyi' ST (E@[Class C]) (A ⊔ [i])] @
  compT (E@[Class C]) (A ⊔ [i]) ST e2
| compTs E A ST [] = []

```

$\mid \text{compTs } E A ST (e \# es) = \text{compT } E A ST e @ [\text{after } E A ST e] @$
 $\quad \text{compTs } E (A \sqcup (\mathcal{A} e)) (ty E e \# ST) es$
by pat-completeness simp-all
termination
apply(relation case-sum ($\lambda p. \text{size}(\text{snd}(\text{snd}(\text{snd} p)))$) ($\lambda p. \text{size-list size}(\text{snd}(\text{snd}(\text{snd} p)))$) <*mlex*>
 $\{\}$
apply(rule wf-mlex[OF wf-empty])
apply(rule mlex-less, simp)+
done

lemmas $\text{compT-compTs-induct} =$
 $\text{compT-compTs.induct}[$
 $\quad \text{unfolded meta-all5-eq-conv meta-all4-eq-conv meta-all3-eq-conv meta-all2-eq-conv meta-all-eq-conv,}$
 $\quad \text{case-names}$
 $\quad \text{new NewArray Cast InstanceOf Val BinOp Var LAss AAcc AAss ALen FAcc FAss CompareAndSwap}$
 $\quad \text{Call BlockNone BlockSome}$
 $\quad \text{Synchronized InSynchronized Seq Cond While throw TryCatch}$
 $\quad \text{Nil Cons}]$

definition $\text{compTa} :: ty \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat hyperset} \Rightarrow ty \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'addr expr1 \Rightarrow ty_i' \text{ list}$
where $\text{compTa } E A ST e \equiv \text{compT } E A ST e @ [\text{after } E A ST e]$

lemmas $\text{compE2-not-Nil} = \text{compE2-neq-Nil}$
declare $\text{compE2-not-Nil}[\text{simp}]$

lemma $\text{compT-sizes}[\text{simp}]:$
shows $\text{size}(\text{compT } E A ST e) = \text{size}(\text{compE2 } e) - 1$
and $\text{size}(\text{compTs } E A ST es) = \text{size}(\text{compEs2 } es)$
apply(induct E A ST e and E A ST es rule: compT-compTs-induct)
apply(auto split:nat-diff-split)
done

lemma $\text{compT-None-not-Some} [\text{simp}]: \lfloor \tau \rfloor \notin \text{set}(\text{compT } E \text{ None } ST e)$
and $\text{compTs-None-not-Some} [\text{simp}]: \lfloor \tau \rfloor \notin \text{set}(\text{compTs } E \text{ None } ST es)$
by(induct E A≡None :: nat hyperset ST e and E A≡None :: nat hyperset ST es rule: compT-compTs-induct)
 $(\text{simp-all add:after-def})$

lemma $\text{pair-eq-ty}_i'\text{-conv}:$
 $(\lfloor (ST, LT) \rfloor = ty_i' ST_0 E A) = (\text{case } A \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Some } A \Rightarrow (ST = ST_0 \wedge LT = ty_l E A))$
by(simp add:ty_i'-def)

lemma $\text{pair-conv-ty}_i': \lfloor (ST, ty_l E A) \rfloor = ty_i' ST E \lfloor A \rfloor$
by(simp add:ty_i'-def)

lemma $ty_i'\text{-antimono2}:$
 $\llbracket E \leq E'; A \subseteq A' \rrbracket \implies P \vdash ty_i' ST E' \lfloor A' \rfloor \leq' ty_i' ST E \lfloor A \rfloor$
by(auto simp:ty_i'-def ty_l-def list-all2-conv-all-nth less-eq-list-def prefix-def)

declare $ty_i'\text{-antimono} [\text{intro!}] \text{ after-def}[\text{simp}] \text{ pair-conv-ty}_i'[\text{simp}] \text{ pair-eq-ty}_i'\text{-conv}[\text{simp}]$

lemma $\text{compT-LT-prefix}:$
 $\llbracket \lfloor (ST, LT) \rfloor \in \text{set}(\text{compT } E A ST_0 e); \mathcal{B} e (\text{size } E) \rrbracket \implies P \vdash \lfloor (ST, LT) \rfloor \leq' ty_i' ST E A$
and $\text{compTs-LT-prefix}:$

```

 $\llbracket \lfloor (ST, LT) \rfloor \in set(compTs E A ST0 es); Bs es (size E) \rrbracket \implies P \vdash \lfloor (ST, LT) \rfloor \leq' ty_i' ST E A$ 
proof(induct E A ST0 e and E A ST0 es rule: compT-compTs-induct)
  case FAss thus ?case by(fastforce simp:hyperset-defs elim!:sup-state-opt-trans)
next
  case BinOp thus ?case
    by(fastforce simp:hyperset-defs elim!:sup-state-opt-trans split:bop.splits)
next
  case Seq thus ?case by(fastforce simp:hyperset-defs elim!:sup-state-opt-trans)
next
  case While thus ?case by(fastforce simp:hyperset-defs elim!:sup-state-opt-trans)
next
  case Cond thus ?case by(fastforce simp:hyperset-defs elim!:sup-state-opt-trans)
next
  case BlockNone thus ?case by(auto)
next
  case BlockSome thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp only: ty_i'-def)(fastforce intro: ty_i'-incr simp add: hyperset-defs elim: sup-state-opt-trans)
next
  case Call thus ?case by(fastforce simp:hyperset-defs elim!:sup-state-opt-trans)
next
  case Cons thus ?case
    by(fastforce simp:hyperset-defs elim!:sup-state-opt-trans)
next
  case TryCatch thus ?case
    by(fastforce simp:hyperset-defs intro!: ty_i'-incr elim!:sup-state-opt-trans)
next
  case NewArray thus ?case by(auto simp add: hyperset-defs)
next
  case AAcc thus ?case by(fastforce simp:hyperset-defs elim!:sup-state-opt-trans)
next
  case AAss thus ?case by(auto simp:hyperset-defs Un-ac elim!:sup-state-opt-trans)
next
  case ALen thus ?case by(auto simp add: hyperset-defs)
next
  case CompareAndSwap thus ?case by(auto simp: hyperset-defs Un-ac elim!:sup-state-opt-trans)
next
  case Synchronized thus ?case
    by(fastforce simp add: hyperset-defs elim: sup-state-opt-trans intro: sup-state-opt-trans[OF ty_i'-incr]
     $ty_i\text{-antimono2})$ 
  qed (auto simp:hyperset-defs)

declare ty_i'-antimono [rule del] after-def[simp del] pair-conv-ty_i'[simp del] pair-eq-ty_i'-conv[simp del]

lemma OK-None-states [iff]:  $OK \ None \in states \ P \ mxs \ mxl$ 
by(simp add: JVM-states-unfold)

end

context TC1 begin

lemma after-in-states:
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash 1 \ e :: T; set E \subseteq types \ P; set ST \subseteq types \ P; size \ ST + max-stack \ e \leq mxs \ \rrbracket \implies OK \ (after \ E \ A \ ST \ e) \in states \ P \ mxs \ mxl$ 
apply(subgoal-tac size \ ST + 1 \ \leq \ mxs)

```

```

apply(simp add:after-def tyi'-def JVM-states-unfold tyi-in-types)
apply(clarify intro!: exI)
apply(rule conjI)
  apply(rule exI[where x=length ST + 1], fastforce)
  apply(clarsimp)
apply(rule conjI[OF WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog]], auto intro: listI)
using max-stack1[of e] by simp

end

context TC0 begin

lemma OK-tyi'-in-statesI [simp]:
  [| set E ⊆ types P; set ST ⊆ types P; size ST ≤ mxs |]
  ==> OK (tyi' ST E A) ∈ states P mxs mxl
apply(simp add:tyi'-def JVM-states-unfold tyi-in-types)
apply(blast intro!:listI)
done

end

lemma is-class-type-aux: is-class P C ==> is-type P (Class C)
by(simp)

context TC1 begin

declare is-type.simps[simp del] subsetI[rule del]

theorem
  shows compT-states:
  [| P,E ⊢ 1 e :: T; set E ⊆ types P; set ST ⊆ types P;
     size ST + max-stack e ≤ mxs; size E + max-vars e ≤ mxl |]
  ==> OK ` set(compT E A ST e) ⊆ states P mxs mxl
  (is PROP ?P e E T A ST)

and compTs-states:
  [| P,E ⊢ 1 es[::] Ts; set E ⊆ types P; set ST ⊆ types P;
     size ST + max-stacks es ≤ mxs; size E + max-varss es ≤ mxl |]
  ==> OK ` set(compTs E A ST es) ⊆ states P mxs mxl
  (is PROP ?Ps es E Ts A ST)

proof(induct E A ST e and E A ST es arbitrary: T and Ts rule: compT-compTs-induct)
  case new thus ?case by(simp)
next
  case (Cast C e) thus ?case by(auto simp:after-in-states)
next
  case InstanceOf thus ?case by(auto simp:after-in-states)
next
  case Val thus ?case by(simp)
next
  case Var thus ?case by(simp)
next
  case LAss thus ?case by(auto simp:after-in-states)
next
  case FAcc thus ?case by(auto simp:after-in-states)

```

```

next
  case FAss thus ?case
    by(auto simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case CompareAndSwap thus ?case by(auto simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case Seq thus ?case
    by(auto simp:image-Un after-in-states)
next
  case BinOp thus ?case
    by(auto simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case Cond thus ?case
    by(force simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case While thus ?case
    by(auto simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case BlockNone thus ?case by auto
next
  case (BlockSome E A ST i ty v exp)
    with max-stack1[of exp] show ?case by(auto intro: after-in-states)
next
  case (TryCatch E A ST e1 C i e2)
    moreover have size ST + 1 ≤ maxs using TryCatch.psms max-stack1[of e1] by auto
    ultimately show ?case
      by(auto simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states
          is-class-type-aux)
next
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case Cons thus ?case
    by(auto simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case throw thus ?case
    by(auto simp: WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case Call thus ?case
    by(auto simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case NewArray thus ?case
    by(auto simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case AAcc thus ?case by(auto simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case AAss thus ?case by(auto simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case ALen thus ?case by(auto simp:image-Un WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog] after-in-states)
next
  case InSynchronized thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Synchronized E A ST i exp1 exp2)
    from ⟨P,E ⊢ 1 synci (exp1) exp2 :: T⟩ obtain T1
    where wt1: P,E ⊢ 1 exp1 :: T1 and T1: is-refT T1 T1 ≠ NT

```

```

and  $wt2: P, E @ [Class Object] \vdash 1 exp2 :: T$  by auto
moreover note  $E = \langle \text{set } E \subseteq \text{types } P \rangle$  with wf-prog
have  $E': \text{set } (E @ [Class Object]) \subseteq \text{types } P$  by (auto simp add: is-type.simps)
moreover from wf-prog  $wt2\ E'$  have  $T: \text{is-type } P\ T$  by (rule WT1-is-type)
note  $ST = \langle \text{set } ST \subseteq \text{types } P \rangle$  with wf-prog
have  $ST': \text{set } (\text{Class Object} \# ST) \subseteq \text{types } P$  by (auto simp add: is-type.simps)
moreover from wf-prog have throwable:  $\text{is-type } P$  (Class Throwable)
  unfolding is-type.simps by (rule is-class-Throwable)
ultimately show ?case using Synchronized max-stack1[of exp2] T
  by (auto simp add: image-Un after-in-states)
qed

declare is-type.simps[simp] subsetI[intro!]

end

locale TC2 = TC0 +
  fixes  $T_r :: ty$  and  $mcs :: pc$ 
begin

definition
   $wt\text{-instrs} :: 'addr instr list \Rightarrow ex\text{-table} \Rightarrow ty_i' list \Rightarrow \text{bool} ((\vdash \_, \_ / [:]/ \_) [0,0,51] 50)$ 
where
   $\vdash is,xt [:] \tau s \equiv size is < size \tau s \wedge \text{pcs } xt \subseteq \{0..<size is\} \wedge (\forall pc < size is. P, T_r, mcs, size \tau s, xt \vdash is!pc, pc :: \tau s)$ 

lemmas wt-defs = wt-instrs-def wt-instr-def app-def eff-def norm-eff-def

lemma wt-instrs-Nil [simp]:  $\tau s \neq [] \implies \vdash [], [] [:] \tau s$ 
by (simp add: wt-defs)

end

locale TC3 = TC1 + TC2

lemma eff-None [simp]:  $\text{eff } i\ P\ pc\ et\ None = []$ 
by (simp add: Effect.eff-def)

declare split-comp-eq[simp del]

lemma wt-instr-appR:
   $\llbracket P, T, m, mpc, xt \vdash is!pc, pc :: \tau s; pc < size is; size is < size \tau s; mpc \leq size \tau s; mpc \leq mpc' \rrbracket \implies P, T, m, mpc', xt \vdash is!pc, pc :: \tau s @ \tau s'$ 
by (fastforce simp:wt-instr-def app-def)

lemma relevant-entries-shift [simp]:
   $\text{relevant-entries } P\ i\ (pc+n)\ (shift\ n\ xt) = shift\ n\ (\text{relevant-entries } P\ i\ pc\ xt)$ 
apply (induct xt)
apply (unfold relevant-entries-def shift-def)
apply simp
apply (auto simp add: is-relevant-entry-def)

```

done

```

lemma xcpt-eff-shift [simp]:
  xcpt-eff i P (pc+n) τ (shift n xt) =
    map (λ(pc,τ). (pc + n, τ)) (xcpt-eff i P pc τ xt)
apply(simp add: xcpt-eff-def)
apply(cases τ)
apply(auto simp add: shift-def)
done

```

```

lemma eff-shift [simp]:
  appi (i, P, pc, m, T, τ) ==>
    eff i P (pc+n) (shift n xt) (Some τ) =
      map (λ(pc,τ). (pc+n,τ)) (eff i P pc xt (Some τ))
apply(simp add:eff-def norm-eff-def)
apply(cases i,auto)
done

```

```

lemma xcpt-app-shift [simp]:
  xcpt-app i P (pc+n) m (shift n xt) τ = xcpt-app i P pc m xt τ
by (simp add: xcpt-app-def) (auto simp add: shift-def)

```

```

lemma wt-instr-appL:
  [ P,T,m,mpc,xt ⊢ i,pc :: τs; pc < size τs; mpc ≤ size τs ]
  ==> P,T,m,mpc + size τs',shift (size τs') xt ⊢ i,pc+size τs' :: τs'@τs
apply(clarsimp simp add: wt-instr-def app-def)
apply(auto)
apply(cases i, auto)
done

```

```

lemma wt-instr-Cons:
  [ P,T,m,mpc - 1,[] ⊢ i,pc - 1 :: τs;
    0 < pc; 0 < mpc; pc < size τs + 1; mpc ≤ size τs + 1 ]
  ==> P,T,m,mpc,[] ⊢ i,pc :: τ#τs
apply(drule wt-instr-appL[where τs' = [τ]])
apply arith
apply arith
apply (simp split:nat-diff-split-asm)
done

```

```

lemma wt-instr-append:
  [ P,T,m,mpc - size τs',[] ⊢ i,pc - size τs' :: τs;
    size τs' ≤ pc; size τs' ≤ mpc; pc < size τs + size τs'; mpc ≤ size τs + size τs' ]
  ==> P,T,m,mpc,[] ⊢ i,pc :: τs'@τs
apply(drule wt-instr-appL[where τs' = τs'])
apply arith
apply arith

```

```

apply (simp split:nat-diff-split-asm)
done

lemma xcpt-app-pcs:
  pc  $\notin$  pcs xt  $\implies$  xcpt-app i P pc maxs xt  $\tau$ 
by (auto simp add: xcpt-app-def relevant-entries-def is-relevant-entry-def pcs-def)

lemma xcpt-eff-pcs:
  pc  $\notin$  pcs xt  $\implies$  xcpt-eff i P pc  $\tau$  xt = []
by (cases  $\tau$ )
  (auto simp add: is-relevant-entry-def xcpt-eff-def relevant-entries-def pcs-def
    intro!: filter-False)

lemma pcs-shift:
  pc < n  $\implies$  pc  $\notin$  pcs (shift n xt)
by (auto simp add: shift-def pcs-def)

lemma xcpt-eff-shift-pc-ge-n: assumes x  $\in$  set (xcpt-eff i P pc  $\tau$  (shift n xt))
  shows n  $\leq$  pc
proof -
  { assume pc < n
    hence pc  $\notin$  pcs (shift n xt) by(rule pcs-shift)
    with assms have False
      by(auto simp add: pcs-def xcpt-eff-def is-relevant-entry-def relevant-entries-def split-beta cong:
        filter-cong)
    thus ?thesis by(cases n  $\leq$  pc)(auto)
  qed

lemma wt-instr-appRx:
   $\llbracket P, T, m, mpc, xt \vdash is!pc, pc :: \tau_s; pc < size is; size is < size \tau_s; mpc \leq size \tau_s \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies P, T, m, mpc, xt @ shift (size is) xt' \vdash is!pc, pc :: \tau_s$ 
apply(clar simp simp:wt-instr-def eff-def app-def)
apply(fastforce dest: xcpt-eff-shift-pc-ge-n intro!: xcpt-app-pcs[OF pcs-shift])
done

lemma wt-instr-appLx:
   $\llbracket P, T, m, mpc, xt \vdash i, pc :: \tau_s; pc \notin pcs xt' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies P, T, m, mpc, xt' @ xt \vdash i, pc :: \tau_s$ 
by (auto simp:wt-instr-def app-def eff-def xcpt-app-pcs xcpt-eff-pcs)

context TC2 begin

lemma wt-instrs-extR:
   $\vdash is, xt :: \tau_s \implies \vdash is, xt :: \tau_s @ \tau_{s'}$ 
by(auto simp add:wt-instrs-def wt-instr-appR)

lemma wt-instrs-ext:
   $\llbracket \vdash is_1, xt_1 :: \tau_{s_1} @ \tau_{s_2}; \vdash is_2, xt_2 :: \tau_{s_2}; size \tau_{s_1} = size is_1 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt_1 @ shift (size is_1) xt_2 :: \tau_{s_1} @ \tau_{s_2}$ 

```

```

apply(clar simp simp:wt-instrs-def)
apply(rule conjI, fastforce)
apply(rule conjI, fastforce simp add: pcs-shift-conv)
apply clar simp
apply(rule conjI, fastforce simp:wt-instr-appRx)
apply clar simp
apply(erule-tac  $x = pc - \text{size } is_1$  in allE) +
apply(thin-tac  $P \rightarrow Q$  for  $P$   $Q$ )
apply(erule impE, arith)
apply(drule-tac  $\tau s' = \tau s_1$  in wt-instr-appL)
  apply arith
  apply simp
apply(fastforce simp add:add.commute intro!: wt-instr-appLx)
done

```

corollary wt-instrs-ext2:

```

 $\llbracket \vdash is_2, xt_2 :: \tau s_2; \vdash is_1, xt_1 :: \tau s_1 @ \tau s_2; \text{size } \tau s_1 = \text{size } is_1 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt_1 @ \text{shift}(\text{size } is_1) xt_2 :: \tau s_1 @ \tau s_2$ 
by(rule wt-instrs-ext)

```

corollary wt-instrs-ext-prefix [trans]:

```

 $\llbracket \vdash is_1, xt_1 :: \tau s_1 @ \tau s_2; \vdash is_2, xt_2 :: \tau s_3;$ 
 $\text{size } \tau s_1 = \text{size } is_1; \tau s_3 \leq \tau s_2 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt_1 @ \text{shift}(\text{size } is_1) xt_2 :: \tau s_1 @ \tau s_2$ 
by(bestsimp simp:less-eq-list-def prefix-def elim: wt-instrs-ext dest:wt-instrs-extR)

```

corollary wt-instrs-app:

```

assumes  $is_1: \vdash is_1, xt_1 :: \tau s_1 @ [\tau]$ 
assumes  $is_2: \vdash is_2, xt_2 :: \tau \# \tau s_2$ 
assumes  $s: \text{size } \tau s_1 = \text{size } is_1$ 
shows  $\vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt_1 @ \text{shift}(\text{size } is_1) xt_2 :: \tau s_1 @ \tau \# \tau s_2$ 
proof -
  from  $is_1$  have  $\vdash is_1, xt_1 :: (\tau s_1 @ [\tau]) @ \tau s_2$ 
    by (rule wt-instrs-extR)
  hence  $\vdash is_1, xt_1 :: \tau s_1 @ \tau \# \tau s_2$  by simp
  from this  $is_2$   $s$  show ?thesis by (rule wt-instrs-ext)
qed

```

corollary wt-instrs-app-last[trans]:

```

 $\llbracket \vdash is_2, xt_2 :: \tau \# \tau s_2; \vdash is_1, xt_1 :: \tau s_1;$ 
 $\text{last } \tau s_1 = \tau; \text{size } \tau s_1 = \text{size } is_1 + 1 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt_1 @ \text{shift}(\text{size } is_1) xt_2 :: \tau s_1 @ \tau s_2$ 
apply(cases  $\tau s_1$  rule:rev-cases)
  apply simp
apply(simp add:wt-instrs-app)
done

```

corollary wt-instrs-append-last[trans]:

```

 $\llbracket \vdash is, xt :: \tau s; P, T_r, mxs, mpc, [] \vdash i, pc :: \tau s;$ 

```

$pc = \text{size } is; mpc = \text{size } \tau s; \text{size } is + 1 < \text{size } \tau s \Rightarrow$
 $\vdash is@[i],xt :: \tau s$
apply(clar simp simp add:wt-instrs-def)
apply(rule conjI, fastforce)
apply(fastforce intro!:wt-instr-appLx[where $xt = []$, simplified]
 $\quad dest!:less\text{-antisym}]$
done

corollary *wt-instrs-app2*:
 $\vdash (is_2 :: 'b \text{ instr list}), xt_2 :: \tau' \# \tau s_2; \vdash is_1, xt_1 :: \tau \# \tau s_1 @ [\tau'];$
 $\quad xt' = xt_1 @ \text{shift} (\text{size } is_1) xt_2; \text{size } \tau s_1 + 1 = \text{size } is_1 \Rightarrow$
 $\vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt' :: \tau \# \tau s_1 @ \tau' \# \tau s_2$
using *wt-instrs-app*[where $?{\tau s}_1.0 = \tau \# \tau s_1$ and $?'b = 'b$] **by** *simp*

corollary *wt-instrs-app2-simp*[trans,simp]:
 $\vdash (is_2 :: 'b \text{ instr list}), xt_2 :: \tau' \# \tau s_2; \vdash is_1, xt_1 :: \tau \# \tau s_1 @ [\tau'];$
 $\quad \text{size } \tau s_1 + 1 = \text{size } is_1 \Rightarrow$
 $\vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt_1 @ \text{shift} (\text{size } is_1) xt_2 :: \tau \# \tau s_1 @ \tau' \# \tau s_2$
using *wt-instrs-app*[where $?{\tau s}_1.0 = \tau \# \tau s_1$ and $?'b = 'b$] **by** *simp*

corollary *wt-instrs-Cons*[simp]:
 $\vdash \tau s \neq []; \vdash [i],[] :: [\tau, \tau']; \vdash is, xt :: \tau' \# \tau s \Rightarrow$
 $\vdash i \# is, shift 1 xt :: \tau \# \tau' \# \tau s$
using *wt-instrs-app2*[where $?is_1.0 = [i]$ and $?{\tau s}_1.0 = []$ and $?is_2.0 = is$
 \quad and $?xt_1.0 = []$]
by *simp*

corollary *wt-instrs-Cons2*[trans]:
assumes $\tau s: \vdash is, xt :: \tau s$
assumes $i: P, T_r, mxs, mpc, [] \vdash i, 0 :: \tau \# \tau s$
assumes $mpc: mpc = \text{size } \tau s + 1$
shows $\vdash i \# is, shift 1 xt :: \tau \# \tau s$
proof –
 from τs have $\tau s \neq []$ **by** (auto simp: *wt-instrs-def*)
 with $mpc i$ have $\vdash [i],[] :: [\tau] @ \tau s$ **by** (*simp add: wt-instrs-def*)
 with τs show $?thesis$ **by** (fastforce dest: *wt-instrs-ext*)
qed

lemma *wt-instrs-last-incr*[trans]:
 $\vdash is, xt :: \tau s @ [\tau]; P \vdash \tau \leq' \tau' \Rightarrow \vdash is, xt :: \tau s @ [\tau']$
apply(clar simp simp add:wt-instrs-def wt-instr-def)
apply(rule conjI)
apply(fastforce)
apply(clar simp)
apply(rename-tac $pc' tau'$)
apply(erule allE, erule (1) impE)
apply(clar simp)
apply(drule (1) bspec)
apply(clar simp)
apply(subgoal-tac $pc' = \text{size } \tau s$)

```

prefer 2
apply(clarsimp simp:app-def)
apply(drule (1) bspec)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(auto elim!:sup-state-opt-trans)
done

end

lemma [iff]: xcpt-app i P pc mxs [] τ
by (simp add: xcpt-app-def relevant-entries-def)

lemma [simp]: xcpt-eff i P pc τ [] = []
by (simp add: xcpt-eff-def relevant-entries-def)

context TC2 begin

lemma wt-New:
   $\llbracket \text{is-class } P \ C; \text{size } ST < mxs \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\vdash [\text{New } C],[],[] \ [::] [\text{ty}_i' \ ST \ E \ A, \text{ty}_i' (\text{Class } C \# ST) \ E \ A]$ 
by(simp add:wt-defs ty_i'-def)

lemma wt-Cast:
   $\text{is-type } P \ T \implies$ 
   $\vdash [\text{Checkcast } T],[],[] \ [::] [\text{ty}_i' (U \ # ST) \ E \ A, \text{ty}_i' (T \ # ST) \ E \ A]$ 
by(simp add: ty_i'-def wt-defs)

lemma wt-Instanceof:
   $\llbracket \text{is-type } P \ T; \text{is-refT } U \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\vdash [\text{Instanceof } T],[],[] \ [::] [\text{ty}_i' (U \ # ST) \ E \ A, \text{ty}_i' (\text{Boolean} \ # ST) \ E \ A]$ 
by(simp add: ty_i'-def wt-defs)

lemma wt-Push:
   $\llbracket \text{size } ST < mxs; \text{typeof } v = \text{Some } T \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \vdash [\text{Push } v],[],[] \ [::] [\text{ty}_i' ST \ E \ A, \text{ty}_i' (T \# ST) \ E \ A]$ 
by(simp add: ty_i'-def wt-defs)

lemma wt-Pop:
   $\vdash [\text{Pop}],[],[] \ [::] (\text{ty}_i' (T \# ST) \ E \ A \ # \text{ty}_i' ST \ E \ A \ # \tau_s)$ 
by(simp add: ty_i'-def wt-defs)

lemma wt-BinOpInstr:
   $P \vdash T1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner T2 :: T \implies \vdash [\text{BinOpInstr bop}],[],[] \ [::] [\text{ty}_i' (T2 \ # T1 \ # ST) \ E \ A, \text{ty}_i' (T \ # ST) \ E \ A]$ 
by(auto simp:ty_i'-def wt-defs dest: WT-binop-WTrt-binop intro: list-all2-refl)

lemma wt-Load:
   $\llbracket \text{size } ST < mxs; \text{size } E \leq mxl; i \in\in A; i < \text{size } E \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \vdash [\text{Load } i],[],[] \ [::] [\text{ty}_i' ST \ E \ A, \text{ty}_i' (E!i \ # ST) \ E \ A]$ 
by(auto simp add:ty_i'-def wt-defs tyl-def hyperset-defs intro: widens-refl)

```

lemma *wt-Store*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P \vdash T \leq E!i; i < \text{size } E; \text{size } E \leq \text{mxl} \rrbracket \implies \\ & \vdash [\text{Store } i],[],[] \cdot [\text{ty}_i' (T \# ST) E A, \text{ty}_i' ST E (\lfloor \{i\} \rfloor \sqcup A)] \\ \mathbf{by} & (\text{auto simp:hyperset-defs nth-list-update ty}_i'\text{-def wt-defs ty}_i\text{-def} \\ & \text{intro:list-all2-all-nthI}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *wt-Get*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D; \text{class-type-of' } U = \lfloor C \rfloor \rrbracket \implies \\ & \vdash [\text{Getfield } F D],[],[] \cdot [\text{ty}_i' (U \# ST) E A, \text{ty}_i' (T \# ST) E A] \\ \mathbf{by} & (\text{cases } U)(\text{auto simp: ty}_i'\text{-def wt-defs dest: sees-field-idemp sees-field-decl-above intro: widens-refl} \\ & \text{widen-trans widen-array-object}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *wt-Put*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D; \text{class-type-of' } U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash T' \leq T \rrbracket \implies \\ & \vdash [\text{Putfield } F D],[],[] \cdot [\text{ty}_i' (T' \# U \# ST) E A, \text{ty}_i' ST E A] \\ \mathbf{by} & (\text{cases } U)(\text{auto 4 3 intro: sees-field-idemp widen-trans widen-array-object dest: sees-field-decl-above} \\ & \text{simp: ty}_i'\text{-def wt-defs}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *wt-CAS*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D; \text{class-type-of' } U' = \lfloor C \rfloor; \text{volatile fm}; P \vdash T2 \leq T; P \vdash T3 \leq T \rrbracket \implies \\ & \vdash [\text{CAS } F D],[],[] \cdot [\text{ty}_i' (T3 \# T2 \# U' \# ST) E A, \text{ty}_i' (\text{Boolean} \# ST) E A] \\ \mathbf{by} & (\text{cases } U')(\text{auto 4 4 simp add: ty}_i'\text{-def wt-defs intro: sees-field-idemp widen-trans widen-array-object} \\ & \text{dest: sees-field-decl-above}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *wt-Throw*:

$$\begin{aligned} & P \vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable} \implies \vdash [\text{ThrowExc}],[],[] \cdot [\text{ty}_i' (\text{Class } C \# ST) E A, \tau'] \\ \mathbf{by} & (\text{simp add: ty}_i'\text{-def wt-defs}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *wt-IfFalse*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket 2 \leq i; \text{nat } i < \text{size } \tau_s + 2; P \vdash \text{ty}_i' ST E A \leq' \tau_s ! \text{nat}(i - 2) \rrbracket \\ & \implies \vdash [\text{IfFalse } i],[],[] \cdot [\text{ty}_i' (\text{Boolean} \# ST) E A \# \text{ty}_i' ST E A \# \tau_s \\ \mathbf{by} & (\text{auto simp add: ty}_i'\text{-def wt-defs eval-nat-numeral nat-diff-distrib}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *wt-Goto*:

$$\llbracket 0 \leq \text{int } pc + i; \text{nat } (\text{int } pc + i) < \text{size } \tau_s; \text{size } \tau_s \leq mpc; \\ P \vdash \tau_s!pc \leq' \tau_s ! \text{nat } (\text{int } pc + i) \rrbracket$$

$$\implies P, T, mxs, mpc, [] \vdash \text{Goto } i, pc :: \tau_s$$

by (*clar simp simp add: wt-defs*)

end

context *TC3* begin

lemma *wt-Invoke*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{size } es = \text{size } Ts'; \text{class-type-of' } U = \lfloor C \rfloor; P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = m \text{ in } D; P \vdash Ts' [\leq] Ts \rrbracket \\ & \implies \vdash [\text{Invoke } M (\text{size } es)],[],[] \cdot [\text{ty}_i' (\text{rev } Ts' @ U \# ST) E A, \text{ty}_i' (T \# ST) E A] \end{aligned}$$

apply (*clar simp simp add: ty}_i'\text{-def wt-defs*)

apply *safe*

apply (*simp-all (no-asm-use)*)

apply (*auto simp add: intro: widens-refl*)

done

```

end

declare nth-append[simp del]
declare [[simproc del: list-to-set-comprehension]]

context TC2 begin

corollary wt-instrs-app3[simp]:
   $\llbracket \vdash (is_2 :: 'b \text{ instr list}),[] :: (\tau' \# \tau s_2); \vdash is_1,xt_1 :: \tau \# \tau s_1 @ [\tau]; \text{size } \tau s_1 + 1 = \text{size } is_1 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \vdash (is_1 @ is_2),xt_1 :: \tau \# \tau s_1 @ \tau' \# \tau s_2$ 
using wt-instrs-app2[where ?xt2.0 = [] and ?'b = 'b] by (simp add:shift-def)

corollary wt-instrs-Cons3[simp]:
   $\llbracket \tau s \neq []; \vdash [i],[] :: [\tau,\tau]; \vdash is,[] :: \tau' \# \tau s \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \vdash (i \# is),[] :: \tau \# \tau' \# \tau s$ 
using wt-instrs-Cons[where ?xt = []]
by (simp add:shift-def)

lemma wt-instrs-xapp:
   $\llbracket \vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt :: \tau s_1 @ ty_i' (\text{Class } D \# ST) E A \# \tau s_2;$ 
   $\forall \tau \in \text{set } \tau s_1. \forall ST' LT'. \tau = \text{Some}(ST',LT') \longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{size } ST \leq \text{size } ST' \wedge P \vdash \text{Some}(\text{drop}(\text{size } ST' - \text{size } ST) ST',LT') \leq' ty_i' ST E A;$ 
   $\text{size } is_1 = \text{size } \tau s_1; \text{size } ST < mxs; \text{case } Co \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow D = \text{Throwable} \mid \text{Some } C \Rightarrow D = C \wedge$ 
   $is\text{-class } P C \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $\vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt @ [(0,\text{size } is_1 - \text{Suc } n,Co,\text{size } is_1,\text{size } ST)] :: \tau s_1 @ ty_i' (\text{Class } D \# ST) E A \# \tau s_2$ 
apply(simp add:wt-instrs-def split del: option.split-asm)
apply(rule conjI)
  apply(clarsimp split del: option.split-asm)
  apply arith
  apply(clarsimp split del: option.split-asm)
  apply(erule alle, erule (1) impE)
  apply(clarsimp simp add: wt-instr-def app-def eff-def split del: option.split-asm)
  apply(rule conjI)
    apply (thin-tac  $\forall x \in A \cup B. P x \text{ for } A B P$ )
    apply (thin-tac  $\forall x \in A \cup B. P x \text{ for } A B P$ )
    apply (clarsimp simp add: xcpt-app-def relevant-entries-def split del: option.split-asm)
    apply (simp add: nth-append is-relevant-entry-def split if-split-asm split del: option.split-asm)
      apply (drule-tac  $x=\tau s_1!pc$  in bspec)
        apply (blast intro: nth-mem)
        apply fastforce
        apply fastforce
      apply (rule conjI)
        apply(clarsimp split del: option.split-asm)
        apply (erule disjE, blast)
        apply (erule disjE, blast)
        apply (clarsimp simp add: xcpt-eff-def relevant-entries-def split if-split-asm)
        apply(clarsimp split del: option.split-asm)
        apply (erule disjE, blast)
        apply (erule disjE, blast)
        apply (clarsimp simp add: xcpt-eff-def relevant-entries-def split if-split-asm split del: option.split-asm)
        apply (simp add: nth-append is-relevant-entry-def split if-split-asm split del: option.split-asm)

```

```

apply (drule-tac  $x = \tau s_1 ! pc$  in bspec)
apply (blast intro: nth-mem)
apply (fastforce simp add: tyi'-def)
done

lemma wt-instrs-xapp-Some[trans]:
 $\llbracket \vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt :: \tau s_1 @ ty_i' (Class C \# ST) E A \# \tau s_2;$ 
 $\forall \tau \in set \tau s_1. \forall ST' LT'. \tau = Some(ST',LT') \longrightarrow$ 
 $size ST \leq size ST' \wedge P \vdash Some (drop (size ST' - size ST) ST',LT') \leq' ty_i' ST E A;$ 
 $size is_1 = size \tau s_1; is\text{-}class P C; size ST < mxs \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt @ [(0, size is_1 - Suc n, Some C, size is_1, size ST)] :: \tau s_1 @ ty_i' (Class C \# ST) E A$ 
 $\# \tau s_2$ 
by(erule (3) wt-instrs-xapp) simp

lemma wt-instrs-xapp-Any:
 $\llbracket \vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt :: \tau s_1 @ ty_i' (Class Throwable \# ST) E A \# \tau s_2;$ 
 $\forall \tau \in set \tau s_1. \forall ST' LT'. \tau = Some(ST',LT') \longrightarrow$ 
 $size ST \leq size ST' \wedge P \vdash Some (drop (size ST' - size ST) ST',LT') \leq' ty_i' ST E A;$ 
 $size is_1 = size \tau s_1; size ST < mxs \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\vdash is_1 @ is_2, xt @ [(0, size is_1 - Suc n, None, size is_1, size ST)] :: \tau s_1 @ ty_i' (Class Throwable \# ST)$ 
 $E A \# \tau s_2$ 
by(erule (3) wt-instrs-xapp) simp

end

declare [[simproc add: list-to-set-comprehension]]
declare nth-append[simp]

lemma drop-Cons-Suc:
 $\wedge xs. drop n xs = y \# ys \implies drop (Suc n) xs = ys$ 
apply (induct n)
apply simp
apply (simp add: drop-Suc)
done

lemma drop-mess:
 $\llbracket Suc (length xs_0) \leq length xs; drop (length xs - Suc (length xs_0)) xs = x \# xs_0 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies drop (length xs - length xs_0) xs = xs_0$ 
apply (cases xs)
apply simp
apply (simp add: Suc-diff-le)
apply (case-tac length list - length xs_0)
apply simp
apply (simp add: drop-Cons-Suc)
done

lemma drop-mess2:
assumes len:  $Suc (Suc (length xs_0)) \leq length xs$ 
and drop:  $drop (length xs - Suc (Suc (length xs_0))) xs = x_1 \# x_2 \# xs_0$ 
shows  $drop (length xs - length xs_0) xs = xs_0$ 
proof(cases xs)
case Nil with assms show ?thesis by simp
next
case (Cons x xs')

```

```

note Cons[simp]
show ?thesis
proof(cases xs')
  case Nil with assms show ?thesis by(simp)
next
  case (Cons x' xs'')
    note Cons[simp]
    show ?thesis
    proof(rule drop-mess)
      from len show Suc (length xs0)  $\leq$  length xs by simp
    next
      have drop (length xs - length (x2 # xs0)) xs = x2 # xs0
      proof(rule drop-mess)
        from len show Suc (length (x2 # xs0))  $\leq$  length xs by(simp)
      next
        from drop show drop (length xs - Suc (length (x2 # xs0))) xs = x1 # x2 # xs0 by simp
      qed
      thus drop (length xs - Suc (length xs0)) xs = x2 # xs0 by(simp)
    qed
  qed
qed
abbreviation postfix :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  bool ((-/  $\gg$  -) [51, 50] 50) where
  postfix xs ys  $\equiv$  suffix ys xs

lemma postfix-conv-eq-length-drop:
  ST'  $\gg$  ST  $\longleftrightarrow$  length ST  $\leq$  length ST'  $\wedge$  drop (length ST' - length ST) ST' = ST
  apply(auto)
  apply (metis append-eq-conv-conj append-take-drop-id diff-is-0-eq drop-0 linorder-not-less nat-le-linear
suffix-take)
  apply (metis append-take-drop-id length-drop suffix-take same-append-eq size-list-def)
  by (metis suffix-drop)

declare suffix-ConsI[simp]

context TC0 begin

declare after-def[simp] pair-eq-tyi'-conv[simp]

lemma
  assumes ST0  $\gg$  ST'
  shows compT-ST-prefix:
   $[(ST, LT)] \in set(compT E A ST0 e) \implies ST \gg ST'$ 

  and compTs-ST-prefix:
   $[(ST, LT)] \in set(compTs E A ST0 es) \implies ST \gg ST'$ 
  using assms
  by(induct E A ST0 e and E A ST0 es rule: compT-compTs-induct) auto

declare after-def[simp del] pair-eq-tyi'-conv[simp del]

end
declare suffix-ConsI[simp del]

```

lemma *fun-of-simp* [*simp*]: *fun-of S x y = ((x,y) ∈ S)*
by (*simp add: fun-of-def*)

declare *widens-refl* [*iff*]

context *TC3 begin*

theorem *compT-wt-instrs*:

$\llbracket P, E \vdash 1 e :: T; \mathcal{D} e A; \mathcal{B} e (\text{size } E); \text{size } ST + \text{max-stack } e \leq mxs; \text{size } E + \text{max-vars } e \leq mxl; \text{set } E \subseteq \text{types } P \rrbracket$
 $\implies \vdash \text{compE2 } e, \text{compxE2 } e 0 (\text{size } ST) [::] \text{ty}_i' ST E A \# \text{compT } E A ST e @ [\text{after } E A ST e]$
(is PROP ?P e E T A ST)

and *compTs-wt-instrs*:

$\llbracket P, E \vdash 1 es [::] Ts; \mathcal{D}s es A; \mathcal{B}s es (\text{size } E); \text{size } ST + \text{max-stacks } es \leq mxs; \text{size } E + \text{max-varss } es \leq mxl; \text{set } E \subseteq \text{types } P \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{let } \tau s = \text{ty}_i' ST E A \# \text{compTs } E A ST es$
 $\quad \text{in } \vdash \text{compEs2 } es, \text{compxEs2 } es 0 (\text{size } ST) [::] \tau s \wedge \text{last } \tau s = \text{ty}_i' (\text{rev } Ts @ ST) E (A \sqcup \mathcal{A}s es)$
(is PROP ?Ps es E Ts A ST)

proof(induct E A ST e and E A ST es arbitrary: T and Ts rule: compT-compTs-induct)

case (*TryCatch E A ST e1 C i e2*)

hence [*simp*]: *i = size E by simp*

have *wt1: P,E ⊢ 1 e1 :: T and wt2: P,E@[Class C] ⊢ 1 e2 :: T*

and class: is-class P C using TryCatch by auto

let *?A1 = A ∪ A e1 let ?Ai = A ∪ {i} let ?Ei = E @ [Class C]*
let *?τ = tyi' ST E A let ?τs1 = compT E A ST e1*
let *?τ1 = tyi' (T#ST) E ?A1 let ?τ2 = tyi' (Class C#ST) E A*
let *?τ3 = tyi' ST ?Ei ?Ai let ?τs2 = compT ?Ei ?Ai ST e2*
let *?τ2' = tyi' (T#ST) ?Ei (?Ai ∪ A e2)*
let *?τ' = tyi' (T#ST) E (A ∪ A e1 ∩ (A e2 ⊖ i))*
let *?go = Goto (int(size(compE2 e2)) + 2)*
have *PROP ?P e2 ?Ei T ?Ai ST by fact*
hence *vdash compE2 e2, compxE2 e2 0 (size ST) [::] (?τ3 # ?τs2) @ [?τ2']*

using TryCatch.preds class by(auto simp:after-def)

also have *?Ai ∪ A e2 = (A ∪ A e2) ∪ {size E}*

by(fastforce simp:hyperset-defs)

also have *P ⊢ tyi' (T#ST) ?Ei ... ≤' tyi' (T#ST) E (A ∪ A e2)*

by(simp add:hyperset-defs tyi-incr tyi'-def)

also have *P ⊢ ... ≤' tyi' (T#ST) E (A ∪ A e1 ∩ (A e2 ⊖ i))*

by(auto intro!: tyi-antimono simp:hyperset-defs tyi'-def)

also have *(?τ3 # ?τs2) @ [?τ'] = ?τ3 # ?τs2 @ [?τ'] by simp*

also have *vdash [Store i],[] [::] ?τ2 # [] @ [?τ3]*

using TryCatch.preds

by(auto simp:nth-list-update wt-defs tyi'-def tyi-def

list-all2-conv-all-nth hyperset-defs)

also have *[] @ (?τ3 # ?τs2 @ [?τ']) = (?τ3 # ?τs2 @ [?τ']) by simp*

also have *P, Tr, mxs, size(compE2 e2) + 3, [] ⊢ ?go, 0 :: ?τ1 # ?τ2 # ?τ3 # ?τs2 @ [?τ']*

by(auto simp: hyperset-defs tyi'-def wt-defs nth-Cons nat-add-distrib

fun-of-def intro: tyi-antimono list-all2-refl split:nat.split)

also have *vdash compE2 e1, compxE2 e1 0 (size ST) [::] ?τ # ?τs1 @ [?τ1]*

using TryCatch by(auto simp:after-def)

also have *?τ # ?τs1 @ ?τ1 # ?τ2 # ?τ3 # ?τs2 @ [?τ'] =*

(?τ # ?τs1 @ [?τ1]) @ ?τ2 # ?τ3 # ?τs2 @ [?τ'] by simp

```

also have compE2 e1 @ ?go # [Store i] @ compE2 e2 =
  (compE2 e1 @ [?go]) @ (Store i # compE2 e2) by simp
also
let ?Q τ = ∀ ST' LT'. τ = ⌊(ST', LT')⌋ →
  size ST ≤ size ST' ∧ P ⊢ Some (drop (size ST' - size ST) ST', LT') ≤' tyi' ST E A
{
  have ?Q (tyi' ST E A) by(clarsimp simp add: tyi'-def)
  moreover have ?Q (tyi' (T # ST) E ?A1)
    by(fastforce simp add: tyi'-def hyperset-defs intro!: tyi-antimono)
  moreover { fix τ
    assume τ: τ ∈ set (compT E A ST e1)
    hence ∀ ST' LT'. τ = ⌊(ST', LT')⌋ → ST' ≈ ST by(auto intro: compT-ST-prefix[OF
      suffix-order.order-refl])
    with τ have ?Q τ unfolding postfix-conv-eq-length-drop using <B (try e1 catch(C i) e2) (length
      E)>
      by(fastforce dest!: compT-LT-prefix simp add: tyi'-def) }
    ultimately
    have ∀ τ∈set (tyi' ST E A # compT E A ST e1 @ [tyi' (T # ST) E ?A1]). ?Q τ by auto
  }
also from TryCatch.preds max-stack1[of e1] have size ST + 1 ≤ mxs by auto
ultimately show ?case using wt1 wt2 TryCatch.preds class
  by(simp add:after-def)(erule-tac x=0 in meta-allE, simp)
next
  case (Synchronized E A ST i e1 e2)
  note wt = <P,E ⊢ 1 synci (e1) e2 :: T>
  then obtain U where wt1: P,E ⊢ 1 e1 :: U
    and U: is-refT U U ≠ NT
    and wt2: P,E@([Class Object] ⊢ 1 e2 :: T by auto
  from <B (synci (e1) e2) (length E)> have [simp]: i = length E
    and B1: B e1 (length E) and B2: B e2 (length (E@([Class Object]))) by auto

  note lenST = <length ST + max-stack (synci (e1) e2) ≤ mxs>
  note lenE = <length E + max-vars (synci (e1) e2) ≤ mxl>

  let ?A1 = A ∪ A e1 let ?A2 = ?A1 ∪ [{i}]
  let ?A3 = ?A2 ∪ A e2 let ?A4 = ?A1 ∪ A e2
  let ?E1 = E @ [Class Object]
  let ?τ = tyi' ST E A let ?τs1 = compT E A ST e1
  let ?τ1 = tyi' (U#ST) E ?A1
  let ?τ1' = tyi' (Class Object # Class Object # ST) E ?A1
  let ?τ1'' = tyi' (Class Object#ST) ?E1 ?A2
  let ?τ1''' = tyi' ST ?E1 ?A2
  let ?τs2 = compT ?E1 ?A2 ST e2
  let ?τ2 = tyi' (T#ST) ?E1 ?A3 let ?τ2' = tyi' (Class Object#T#ST) ?E1 ?A3
  let ?τ2'' = ?τ2
  let ?τ3 = tyi' (Class Throwable#ST) ?E1 ?A2
  let ?τ3' = tyi' (Class Object#Class Throwable#ST) ?E1 ?A2
  let ?τ3'' = ?τ3
  let ?τ' = tyi' (T#ST) E ?A4

  from lenE lenST max-stack1[of e2] U
  have ⊢ [Load i, MExit, ThrowExc], [] [:] [?τ3, ?τ3', ?τ3'', ?τ]
    by(auto simp add: tyi'-def tyi-def wt-defs hyperset-defs nth-Cons split: nat.split)
  also have P,Tr,mxs,5,[] ⊢ Goto 4,0 :: [?τ2'', ?τ3, ?τ3', ?τ3'', ?τ]

```

```

by(auto simp: hyperset-defs tyi'-def wt-defs intro: tyl-antimono tyl-incr)
also have P, Tr, mxs, 6, [] ⊢ MExit, 0 :: [?τ2', ?τ2'', ?τ3, ?τ3', ?τ3'', ?τ]
  by(auto simp: hyperset-defs tyi'-def wt-defs intro: tyl-antimono tyl-incr)
also from lenE lenST max-stack1[of e2]
have P, Tr, mxs, 7, [] ⊢ Load i, 0 :: [?τ2, ?τ2', ?τ2'', ?τ3, ?τ3', ?τ3'', ?τ]
  by(auto simp: hyperset-defs tyi'-def wt-defs tyl-def intro: tyl-antimono)
also from ⌜D (synci (e1) e2) A⌝ have D e2 (A ∪ A e1 ∪ [{length E}])
  by(auto elim!: D-mono' simp add: hyperset-defs)
with ⌜PROP ?P e2 ?E1 T ?A2 ST⌝ Synchronized wt2 is-class-Object[OF wf-prog]
have ⊢ compE2 e2, compxE2 e2 0 (size ST) [:] ?τ1'''#?τs2@[?τ2]
  by(auto simp add: after-def)
finally have ⊢ (compE2 e2 @ [Load i, MExit, Goto 4]) @ [Load i, MExit, ThrowExc], compxE2 e2
0 (size ST) [:]
  (?τ1'''#?τs2 @ [?τ2, ?τ2', ?τ2'']) @ [?τ3, ?τ3', ?τ3'', ?τ]
  by(simp)
hence ⊢ (compE2 e2 @ [Load i, MExit, Goto 4]) @ [Load i, MExit, ThrowExc],
  compxE2 e2 0 (size ST) @ [(0, size (compE2 e2 @ [Load i, MExit, Goto 4])) - Suc 2, None,
size (compE2 e2 @ [Load i, MExit, Goto 4]), size ST)] [:]
  (?τ1'''#?τs2 @ [?τ2, ?τ2', ?τ2'']) @ [?τ3, ?τ3', ?τ3'', ?τ]
proof(rule wt-instrs-xapp-Any)
  from lenST show length ST < mxs by simp
next
show ∀τ∈set (?τ1'''#?τs2 @ [?τ2, ?τ2', ?τ2'']). ∀ST' LT'.
  τ = ⌜(ST', LT')⌝ → length ST ≤ length ST' ∧
  P ⊢ ⌜(drop (length ST' - length ST) ST', LT')⌝ ≤' tyi' ST (E @ [Class Object]) ?A2
proof(intro strip)
  fix τ ST' LT'
  assume τ∈set (?τ1'''#?τs2 @ [?τ2, ?τ2', ?τ2'']) τ = ⌜(ST', LT')⌝
  hence τ: ⌜(ST', LT')⌝ ∈ set (?τ1'''#?τs2 @ [?τ2, ?τ2', ?τ2'']) by simp
  show length ST ≤ length ST' ∧ P ⊢ ⌜(drop (length ST' - length ST) ST', LT')⌝ ≤' tyi' ST (E
@ [Class Object]) ?A2
  proof(cases ⌜(ST', LT')⌝ ∈ set ?τs2)
    case True
      from compT-ST-prefix[OF suffix-order.order-refl this] compT-LT-prefix[OF this B2]
      show ?thesis unfolding postfix-conv-eq-length-drop by(simp add: tyi'-def)
    next
    case False
      with τ show ?thesis
        by(auto simp add: tyi'-def hyperset-defs intro: tyl-antimono)
    qed
  qed
qed simp
hence ⊢ compE2 e2 @ [Load i, MExit, Goto 4, Load i, MExit, ThrowExc],
  compxE2 e2 0 (size ST) @ [(0, size (compE2 e2), None, Suc (Suc (size (compE2 e2)))), size ST)] [:]
  ?τ1'''#?τs2 @ [?τ2, ?τ2', ?τ2'', ?τ3, ?τ3', ?τ3'', ?τ] by simp
also from wt1 ⌜set E ⊆ types P⌝ have is-type P U by(rule WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog])
with U have P ⊢ U ≤ Class Object by(auto elim!: is-refT.cases intro: subcls-C-Object[OF - wf-prog]
widen-array-object)
with lenE lenST max-stack1[of e2]
have ⊢ [Dup, Store i, MEnter], [] [:] ?τ1, ?τ1', ?τ1'' @ [?τ1''']
  by(auto simp add: tyi'-def tyl-def wt-defs hyperset-defs nth-Cons nth-list-update list-all2-conv-all-nth
split: nat.split)
finally have ⊢ Dup # Store i # MEnter # compE2 e2 @ [Load i, MExit, Goto 4, Load i, MExit,
```

```

 $\text{ThrowExc}],$ 
 $\text{compxE2 e2 3 (size ST) @ [(3, 3 + size (comxE2 e2), None, 6 + size (comxE2 e2), size ST)]}$ 
 $[:]\ ?\tau_1 \# ?\tau_1' \# ?\tau_1'' \# ?\tau_1''' \# ?\tau s_2 @ [?\tau_2, ?\tau_2', ?\tau_2'', ?\tau_3, ?\tau_3', ?\tau_3'', ?\tau]$ 
 $\text{by(simp add: eval-nat-numeral shift-def)}$ 
 $\text{also from } \langle \text{PROP } ?P \ e1 \ E \ U \ A \ ST \rangle \text{ wt1 B1 } \langle \mathcal{D} \ (\text{sync}_i (e1) \ e2) \ A \rangle \text{ lenE lenST } \langle \text{set } E \subseteq \text{types } P \rangle$ 
 $\text{have } \vdash \text{comxE2 e1, compxE2 e1 0 (size ST)} [:] ?\tau \# ?\tau s_1 @ [?\tau_1]$ 
 $\text{by(auto simp add: after-def)}$ 
 $\text{finally show ?case using wt1 wt2 wt by(simp add: after-def ac-simps shift-Cons-tuple hyperUn-assoc)}$ 
 $\text{next}$ 
 $\text{case new thus ?case by(auto simp add:after-def wt-New)}$ 
 $\text{next}$ 
 $\text{case (BinOp E A ST e1 bop e2)}$ 
 $\text{have } T: P,E \vdash 1 \ e1 \ «\text{bop}\» \ e2 :: T \text{ by fact}$ 
 $\text{then obtain } T_1 \ T_2 \text{ where } T_1: P,E \vdash 1 \ e1 :: T_1 \text{ and } T_2: P,E \vdash 1 \ e2 :: T_2 \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{bopT: } P \vdash T_1 «\text{bop}\» T_2 :: T \text{ by auto}$ 
 $\text{let } ?A_1 = A \sqcup \mathcal{A} \ e1 \text{ let } ?A_2 = ?A_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A} \ e2$ 
 $\text{let } ?\tau = ty_i' \ ST \ E \ A \text{ let } ?\tau s_1 = \text{compT } E \ A \ ST \ e1$ 
 $\text{let } ?\tau_1 = ty_i' (T_1 \# ST) \ E \ ?A_1 \text{ let } ?\tau s_2 = \text{compT } E \ ?A_1 (T_1 \# ST) \ e2$ 
 $\text{let } ?\tau_2 = ty_i' (T_2 \# T_1 \# ST) \ E \ ?A_2 \text{ let } ?\tau' = ty_i' (T \# ST) \ E \ ?A_2$ 
 $\text{from bopT have } \vdash [\text{BinOpInstr bop}],[] [:] [?\tau_2, ?\tau] \text{ by(rule wt-BinOpInstr)}$ 
 $\text{also from BinOp.hyps(2)[of } T_2] \text{ BinOp.prem T_2 T_1}$ 
 $\text{have } \vdash \text{comxE2 e2, compxE2 e2 0 (size (ty E e1 \# ST))} [:] ?\tau_1 \# ?\tau s_2 @ [?\tau_2] \text{ by (auto simp: after-def)}$ 
 $\text{also from BinOp T_1 have } \vdash \text{comxE2 e1, compxE2 e1 0 (size ST)} [:] ?\tau \# ?\tau s_1 @ [?\tau_1]$ 
 $\text{by (auto simp: after-def)}$ 
 $\text{finally show ?case using } T \ T_1 \ T_2 \text{ by (simp add: after-def hyperUn-assoc)}$ 
 $\text{next}$ 
 $\text{case (Cons E A ST e es)}$ 
 $\text{have } P,E \vdash 1 \ e \ # \ es [:] Ts \text{ by fact}$ 
 $\text{then obtain } T_e \ Ts' \text{ where}$ 
 $T_e: P,E \vdash 1 \ e :: T_e \text{ and } Ts': P,E \vdash es [:] Ts' \text{ and}$ 
 $Ts: Ts = T_e \# Ts' \text{ by auto}$ 
 $\text{let } ?A_e = A \sqcup \mathcal{A} \ e$ 
 $\text{let } ?\tau = ty_i' \ ST \ E \ A \text{ let } ?\tau s_e = \text{compT } E \ A \ ST \ e$ 
 $\text{let } ?\tau_e = ty_i' (T_e \# ST) \ E \ ?A_e \text{ let } ?\tau s' = \text{compTs } E \ ?A_e (T_e \# ST) \ es$ 
 $\text{let } ?\tau s = ?\tau \# ?\tau s_e @ (?\tau_e \# ?\tau s')$ 
 $\text{from Cons.hyps(2) Cons.prem T_e Ts'}$ 
 $\text{have } \vdash \text{comxEs2 es, compxEs2 es 0 (size (T_e \# ST))} [:] ?\tau_e \# ?\tau s' \text{ by (simp add: after-def)}$ 
 $\text{also from Cons T_e have } \vdash \text{comxE2 e, compxE2 e 0 (size ST)} [:] ?\tau \# ?\tau s_e @ [?\tau_e] \text{ by (auto simp: after-def)}$ 
 $\text{moreover}$ 
 $\text{from Cons.hyps(2)[OF Ts] Cons.prem T_e Ts' Ts}$ 
 $\text{have last } ?\tau s = ty_i' (\text{rev } Ts @ ST) \ E \ (?A_e \sqcup \mathcal{A} \ es \ es) \text{ by simp}$ 
 $\text{ultimately show ?case using } T_e$ 
 $\text{by(auto simp add: after-def hyperUn-assoc shift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxEs2 simp del: com-pxE2-size-convs compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs intro: wt-instrs-app2)}$ 
 $\text{next}$ 
 $\text{case (FAss E A ST e1 F D e2)}$ 
 $\text{hence Void: } P,E \vdash 1 \ e1 \cdot F \{D\} := e2 :: \text{Void by auto}$ 
 $\text{then obtain } U \ C \ T \ T' \ fm \text{ where}$ 
 $C: P,E \vdash 1 \ e1 :: U \text{ and } U: \text{class-type-of'} \ U = [C] \text{ and sees: } P \vdash C \text{ sees } F:T \ (fm) \text{ in } D \text{ and}$ 
 $T': P,E \vdash 1 \ e2 :: T' \text{ and } T' \cdot T: P \vdash T' \leq T \text{ by auto}$ 
 $\text{let } ?A_1 = A \sqcup \mathcal{A} \ e1 \text{ let } ?A_2 = ?A_1 \sqcup \mathcal{A} \ e2$ 
 $\text{let } ?\tau = ty_i' \ ST \ E \ A \text{ let } ?\tau s_1 = \text{compT } E \ A \ ST \ e1$ 

```

```

let ? $\tau_1$  =  $ty_i'$  ( $U \# ST$ )  $E ?A_1$  let ? $\tau_{s2}$  =  $compT E ?A_1 (U \# ST) e_2$ 
let ? $\tau_2$  =  $ty_i'$  ( $T' \# U \# ST$ )  $E ?A_2$  let ? $\tau_3$  =  $ty_i' ST E ?A_2$ 
let ? $\tau'$  =  $ty_i' (Void \# ST) E ?A_2$ 
from FAAss.premises sees  $T' \dashv T U$ 
have  $\vdash [Putfield F D, Push Unit], [] :: [::] [? $\tau_2$ , ? $\tau_3$ , ? $\tau$ ]$ 
    by (fastforce simp add: wt-Push wt- $\tau$ )
also from FAAss.hyps(2)[of  $T'$ ] FAAss.premises  $T' C$ 
have  $\vdash compE2 e_2, compxE2 e_2 0 (size ST + 1) :: ?\tau_1 \# ?\tau_{s2} @ [? $\tau_2]$ 
    by (auto simp add: after-def hyperUn-assoc)
also from FAAss C have  $\vdash compE2 e_1, compxE2 e_1 0 (size ST) :: ?\tau \# ?\tau_{s1} @ [? $\tau_1]$ 
    by (auto simp add: after-def)
finally show ?case using Void C  $T'$  by (simp add: after-def hyperUn-assoc)
next
  case Val thus ?case by (auto simp:after-def wt-Push)
next
  case (Cast T exp) thus ?case by (auto simp:after-def wt-Cast)
next
  case (InstanceOf E A ST e) thus ?case
    by (auto simp:after-def intro!: wt-Instanceof wt-instrs-app3 intro: widen-refT refT-widen)
next
  case (BlockNone E A ST i Ti e)
from ⟨P,E ⊢ 1 {i:Ti=None; e} :: T⟩ have wte:  $P, E @ [Ti] \vdash 1 e :: T$ 
    and Ti: is-type P Ti by auto
let ? $\tau_s$  =  $ty_i' ST E A \# compT (E @ [Ti]) (A \ominus i) ST e$ 
from BlockNone wte Ti
have  $\vdash compE2 e, compxE2 e 0 (size ST) :: ?\tau_s @ [ty_i' (T \# ST) (E @ [Ti]) (A \ominus (size E) \sqcup \mathcal{A} e)]$ 
    by (auto simp add: after-def)
also have  $P \vdash ty_i' (T \# ST) (E @ [Ti]) (A \ominus size E \sqcup \mathcal{A} e) \leq' ty_i' (T \# ST) (E @ [Ti]) ((A \sqcup \mathcal{A}) \ominus size E)$ 
    by (auto simp add:hyperset-defs intro: tyi'-antimono)
also have ... =  $ty_i' (T \# ST) E (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e)$  by simp
also have  $P \vdash ... \leq' ty_i' (T \# ST) E (A \sqcup (\mathcal{A} e \ominus i))$ 
    by (auto simp add:hyperset-defs intro: tyi'-antimono)
finally show ?case using BlockNone.premises by (simp add: after-def)
next
  case (BlockSome E A ST i Ti v e)
from ⟨P,E ⊢ 1 {i:Ti=[v]; e} :: T⟩ obtain Tv
  where Tv:  $P, E \vdash 1 Val v :: Tv P \vdash Tv \leq Ti$ 
    and wte:  $P, E @ [Ti] \vdash 1 e :: T$ 
    and Ti: is-type P Ti by auto
from ⟨length ST + max-stack {i:Ti=[v]; e} ≤ mxs⟩
have lenST: length ST + max-stack e ≤ mxs by simp
from ⟨length E + max-vars {i:Ti=[v]; e} ≤ mxl⟩
have lenE: length (E @ [Ti]) + max-vars e ≤ mxl by simp
from ⟨B {i:Ti=[v]; e} (length E)⟩ have [simp]: i = length E
    and B: B e (length (E @ [Ti])) by auto

from BlockSome wte
have  $\vdash compE2 e, compxE2 e 0 (size ST) :: (ty_i' ST (E @ [Ti]) (A \sqcup [\{length E\}]) \# compT (E @ [Ti]) (A \sqcup [\{i\}]) ST e) @ [ty_i' (T \# ST) (E @ [Ti]) (A \sqcup [\{size E\}] \sqcup \mathcal{A} e)]$ 
    by (auto simp add: after-def)
also have  $P \vdash ty_i' (T \# ST) (E @ [Ti]) (A \sqcup [\{length E\}] \sqcup \mathcal{A} e) \leq' ty_i' (T \# ST) (E @ [Ti]) ((A \sqcup \mathcal{A} e) \ominus length E)$$$ 
```

```

    by(auto simp add: hyperset-defs intro: tyi'-antimono)
also have ... = tyi'(T # ST) E (A ⊔ A e) by simp
also have P ⊢ ... ≤' tyi'(T # ST) E (A ⊔ (A e ⊖ i))
    by(auto simp add:hyperset-defs intro: tyi'-antimono)
also note append-Cons
also {
from lenST max-stack1[of e] Tv
have ⊢ [Push v], [] [:] [tyi' ST E A, tyi'(ty E (Val v) # ST) E A]
    by(auto intro: wt-Push)
moreover from Tv lenE
have ⊢ [Store (length E)], [] [:] [tyi'(Tv # ST) (E @ [Ti]) (A ⊖ length E), tyi' ST (E @ [Ti])
(⌊{length E}⌋ ⊔ (A ⊖ length E))]
    by -(rule wt-Store, auto)
moreover have tyi'(Tv # ST) (E @ [Ti]) (A ⊖ length E) = tyi'(Tv # ST) E A by(simp add:
tyi'-def)
moreover have ⌈{length E}⌉ ⊔ (A ⊖ length E) = A ⊔ ⌈{length E}⌉ by(simp add: hyperset-defs)
ultimately have ⊢ [Push v, Store (length E)], [] [:] [tyi' ST E A, tyi'(Tv # ST) E A, tyi' ST
(E @ [Ti]) (A ⊖ ⌈{length E}⌉)]
    using Tv by(auto intro: wt-instrs-Cons3)
}
finally show ?case using Tv <P,E ⊢ 1 {i:Ti=|v|; e} :: T> wte by(simp add: after-def)
next
case Var thus ?case by(auto simp:after-def wt-Load)
next
case FAcc thus ?case by(auto simp:after-def wt-Get)
next
case (LAss E A ST i e) thus ?case using max-stack1[of e]
by(auto simp: hyper-insert-comm after-def wt-Store wt-Push simp del: hyperUn-comm hyperUn-leftComm)
next
case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
case throw thus ?case by(auto simp add: after-def wt-Throw)
next
case (While E A ST e c)
obtain Tc where wte: P,E ⊢ 1 e :: Boolean and wtc: P,E ⊢ 1 c :: Tc
and [simp]: T = Void using While by auto
have [simp]: ty E (while (e) c) = Void using While by simp
let ?A0 = A ⊔ A e let ?A1 = ?A0 ⊔ A c
let ?τ = tyi' ST E A let ?τe = compT E A ST e
let ?τe = tyi'(Boolean#ST) E ?A0 let ?τ1 = tyi' ST E ?A0
let ?τsc = compT E ?A0 ST c let ?τc = tyi'(Tc#ST) E ?A1
let ?τ2 = tyi' ST E ?A1 let ?τ' = tyi'(Void#ST) E ?A0
let ?τs = (?τ # ?τse @ [?τe]) @ ?τ1 # ?τsc @ [?τc, ?τ2, ?τ1, ?τ']
have ⊢ [], [] [:] @ ?τs by(simp add:wt-instrs-def)
also
from While.hyps(1)[of Boolean] While.prem
have ⊢ compE2 e, compxE2 e 0 (size ST) [:] ?τ # ?τse @ [?τe]
    by (auto simp:after-def)
also
have [] @ ?τs = (?τ # ?τse) @ ?τe # ?τ1 # ?τsc @ [?τc, ?τ2, ?τ1, ?τ'] by simp
also
let ?ne = size(compE2 e) let ?nc = size(compE2 c)
let ?if = IfFalse (int ?nc + 3)
have ⊢ [?if], [] [:] ?τe # ?τ1 # ?τsc @ [?τc, ?τ2, ?τ1, ?τ']
```

```

by(simp add: wt-instr-Cons wt-instr-append wt-IfFalse
    nat-add-distrib split: nat-diff-split)
also
have (?τ # ?τse) @ (?τe # ?τ1 # ?τsc @ [?τc, ?τ2, ?τ1, ?τ']) = ?τs by simp
also from While.hyps(2)[of Tc] While.prems wtc
have ⊢ compE2 c,compxE2 c 0 (size ST) [:] ?τ1 # ?τsc @ [?τc]
    by (auto simp:after-def)
also have ?τs = (?τ # ?τse @ [?τe, ?τ1] @ ?τsc) @ [?τc, ?τ2, ?τ1, ?τ'] by simp
also have ⊢ [Pop],[] [:] [?τc, ?τ2] by(simp add:wt-Pop)
also have (?τ # ?τse @ [?τe, ?τ1] @ ?τsc) @ [?τc, ?τ2, ?τ1, ?τ'] = ?τs by simp
also let ?go = Goto (-int(?nc+?ne+2))
have P ⊢ ?τ2 ≤' ?τ by(fastforce intro: tyi'-antimono simp: hyperset-defs)
hence P, Tr, mxs, size ?τs, [] ⊢ ?go, ?ne+?nc+2 :: ?τs
    by(simp add: wt-Goto split: nat-diff-split)
also have ?τs = (?τ # ?τse @ [?τe, ?τ1] @ ?τsc @ [?τc, ?τ2]) @ [?τ1, ?τ']
    by simp
also have ⊢ [Push Unit],[] [:] [?τ1, ?τ']
    using While.prems max-stack1[of c] by(auto simp add:wt-Push)
finally show ?case using wtc wte
    by (simp add:after-def)
next
case (Cond E A ST e e1 e2)
obtain T1 T2 where wte: P,E ⊢ 1 e :: Boolean
    and wt1: P,E ⊢ 1 e1 :: T1 and wt2: P,E ⊢ 1 e2 :: T2
    and sub1: P ⊢ T1 ≤ T and sub2: P ⊢ T2 ≤ T
    using Cond by(auto dest: is-lub-upper)
have [simp]: ty E (if (e) e1 else e2) = T using Cond by simp
let ?A0 = A ∪ A e let ?A2 = ?A0 ∪ A e2 let ?A1 = ?A0 ∪ A e1
let ?A' = ?A0 ∪ A e1 ∩ A e2
let ?τ2 = tyi' ST E ?A0 let ?τ' = tyi' (T#ST) E ?A'
let ?τs2 = compT E ?A0 ST e2
have PROP ?P e2 E T2 ?A0 ST by fact
hence ⊢ compE2 e2, compxE2 e2 0 (size ST) [:] (?τ2#?τs2) @ [tyi' (T2#ST) E ?A2]
    using Cond.prems wt2 by(auto simp add:after-def)
also have P ⊢ tyi' (T2#ST) E ?A2 ≤' ?τ' using sub2
    by(auto simp add: hyperset-defs tyi'-def intro!: tyi-antimono)
also
let ?τ3 = tyi' (T1 # ST) E ?A1
let ?g2 = Goto(int (size (compE2 e2)+1))
from sub1 have P, Tr, mxs, size(compE2 e2)+2, [] ⊢ ?g2, 0 :: ?τs2 # (?τ2#?τs2) @ [?τ]
    by(cases length (compE2 e2))
    (auto simp: hyperset-defs wt-defs nth-Cons tyi'-def neq-Nil-conv
        split:nat.split intro!: tyi-antimono)
also let ?τs1 = compT E ?A0 ST e1
have PROP ?P e1 E T1 ?A0 ST by fact
hence ⊢ compE2 e1, compxE2 e1 0 (size ST) [:] ?τ2 # ?τs1 @ [?τ3]
    using Cond.prems wt1 by(auto simp add:after-def)
also
let ?τs12 = ?τ2 # ?τs1 @ ?τ3 # (?τ2 # ?τs2) @ [?τ]
let ?τ1 = tyi' (Boolean#ST) E ?A0
let ?g1 = IfFalse(int (size (compE2 e1)+2))
let ?code = compE2 e1 @ ?g2 # compE2 e2
have ⊢ [?g1], [] [:] [?τ1] @ ?τs12
    by(simp add: wt-IfFalse nat-add-distrib split:nat-diff-split)

```

```

also (wt-intrs-ext2) have [?τ1] @ ?τs12 = ?τ1 # ?τs12 by simp also
let ?τ = tyi' ST E A
have PROP ?P e E Boolean A ST by fact
hence ⊢ compE2 e, compxE2 e 0 (size ST) [:] ?τ # compT E A ST e @ [?τ1]
  using Cond.prems wte by(auto simp add:after-def)
finally show ?case using wte wt1 wt2 by(simp add:after-def hyperUn-assoc)
next
  case (Call E A ST e M es)
  from ⟨P,E ⊢ 1 e•M(es) :: T⟩
  obtain U C D Ts m Ts'
    where C: P,E ⊢ 1 e :: U
    and icto: class-type-of' U = [C]
    and method: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts → T = m in D
    and wtes: P,E ⊢ 1 es [:] Ts' and subs: P ⊢ Ts' [≤] Ts
      by(cases) auto
  from wtes have same-size: size es = size Ts' by(rule WTs1-same-size)
  let ?A0 = A ∪ A e let ?A1 = ?A0 ∪ As es
  let ?τ = tyi' ST E A let ?τse = compT E A ST e
  let ?τe = tyi' (U # ST) E ?A0
  let ?τses = compTs E ?A0 (U # ST) es
  let ?τ1 = tyi' (rev Ts' @ U # ST) E ?A1
  let ?τ' = tyi' (T # ST) E ?A1
  have ⊢ [Invoke M (size es)],[],[:][?τ1,?τ]
    by(rule wt-Invoke[OF same-size icto method subs])
  also
    from Call.hyps(2)[of Ts'] Call.prems wtes C
    have ⊢ compEs2 es, compxEs2 es 0 (size ST+1) [:] ?τe # ?τses
      last (?τe # ?τses) = ?τ1
      by(auto simp add:after-def)
    also have (?τe # ?τses) @ [?τ'] = ?τe # ?τses @ [?τ'] by simp
    also have ⊢ compE2 e, compxE2 e 0 (size ST) [:] ?τ # ?τse @ [?τe]
      using Call C by(auto simp add:after-def)
    finally show ?case using Call.prems C
      by(simp add:after-def hyperUn-assoc shift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxEs2 del: compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs
        compxEs2-size-convs)
  next
    case Seq thus ?case
      by(auto simp:after-def)
      (fastforce simp:wt-Push wt-Pop hyperUn-assoc
        intro:wt-intrs-app2 wt-intrs-Cons)
  next
    case (NewArray E A ST Ta e)
    from ⟨P,E ⊢ 1 newA Ta[e] :: T⟩
    have ⊢ [NewArray Ta],[],[:][tyi' (Integer # ST) E (A ∪ A e), tyi' (Ta[] # ST) E (A ∪ A e)]
      by(auto simp:hyperset-defs tyi'-def wt-defs tyi-def)
    with NewArray show ?case by(auto simp: after-def intro: wt-intrs-app3)
  next
    case (ALen E A ST exp)
    { fix T
      have ⊢ [ALength],[],[:][tyi' (T[] # ST) E (A ∪ A exp), tyi' (Integer # ST) E (A ∪ A exp)]
        by(auto simp:hyperset-defs tyi'-def wt-defs tyi-def) }
      with ALen show ?case by(auto simp add: after-def)(rule wt-intrs-app2, auto)
  next
    case (AAcc E A ST a i)

```

```

from <P,E ⊢ 1 a[i] :: T> have wta: P,E ⊢ 1 a :: T[] and wti: P,E ⊢ 1 i :: Integer by auto
let ?A1 = A ∪ A a let ?A2 = ?A1 ∪ A i
let ?τ = tyi' ST E A let ?τsa = compT E A ST a
let ?τ1 = tyi' (T[]#ST) E ?A1 let ?τsi = compT E ?A1 (T[]#ST) i
let ?τ2 = tyi' (Integer#T[]#ST) E ?A2 let ?τ' = tyi' (T#ST) E ?A2
have ⊢ [ALoad], [] [:] [?τ2,?τ] by(auto simp add: tyi'-def wt-defs)
also from AAcc.hyps(2)[of Integer] AAcc.premss wti wta
have ⊢ compE2 i, compxE2 i 0 (size ST+1) [:] ?τ1#?τsi@[?τ2]
  by(auto simp add: after-def)
also from wta AAcc have ⊢ compE2 a, compxE2 a 0 (size ST) [:] ?τ#?τsa@[?τ1]
  by(auto simp add: after-def)
finally show ?case using wta wti <P,E ⊢ 1 a[i] :: T> by(simp add: after-def hyperUn-assoc)
next
case (AAss E A ST a i e)
note wt = <P,E ⊢ 1 a[i] := e :: T>
then obtain Ta U where wta: P,E ⊢ 1 a :: Ta[] and wti: P,E ⊢ 1 i :: Integer
  and wte: P,E ⊢ 1 e :: U and U: P ⊢ U ≤ Ta and [simp]: T = Void by auto
let ?A1 = A ∪ A a let ?A2 = ?A1 ∪ A i let ?A3 = ?A2 ∪ A e
let ?τ = tyi' ST E A let ?τsa = compT E A ST a
let ?τ1 = tyi' (Ta[]#ST) E ?A1 let ?τsi = compT E ?A1 (Ta[]#ST) i
let ?τ2 = tyi' (Integer#Ta[]#ST) E ?A2 let ?τse = compT E ?A2 (Integer#Ta[]#ST) e
let ?τ3 = tyi' (U#Integer#Ta[]#ST) E ?A3 let ?τ4 = tyi' ST E ?A3
let ?τ' = tyi' (Void#ST) E ?A3
from <length ST + max-stack (a[i] := e) ≤ mxs>
have ⊢ [AStore, Push Unit], [] [:] [?τ3,?τ4,?τ]
  by(auto simp add: tyi'-def wt-defs nth-Cons split: nat.split)
also from AAss.hyps(3)[of U] wte AAss.premss wta wti
have ⊢ compE2 e, compxE2 e 0 (size ST+2) [:] ?τ2#?τse@[?τ3]
  by(auto simp add: after-def)
also from AAss.hyps(2)[of Integer] wti wta AAss.premss
have ⊢ compE2 i, compxE2 i 0 (size ST+1) [:] ?τ1#?τsi@[?τ2]
  by(auto simp add: after-def)
also from wta AAss have ⊢ compE2 a, compxE2 a 0 (size ST) [:] ?τ#?τsa@[?τ1]
  by(auto simp add: after-def)
finally show ?case using wta wti wte <P,E ⊢ 1 a[i] := e :: T>
  by(simp add: after-def hyperUn-assoc)
next
case (CompareAndSwap E A ST e1 D F e2 e3)
note wt = <P,E ⊢ 1 e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3) :: T>
then obtain T1 T2 T3 C fm T' where [simp]: T = Boolean
  and wt1: P,E ⊢ 1 e1 :: T1 class-type-of' T1 = |C| P ⊢ C sees F:T' (fm) in D volatile fm
  and wt2: P,E ⊢ 1 e2 :: T2 P ⊢ T2 ≤ T' and wt3: P,E ⊢ 1 e3 :: T3 P ⊢ T3 ≤ T'
  by auto
let ?A1 = A ∪ A e1 let ?A2 = ?A1 ∪ A e2 let ?A3 = ?A2 ∪ A e3
let ?τ = tyi' ST E A let ?τs1 = compT E A ST e1
let ?τ1 = tyi' (T1#ST) E ?A1 let ?τs2 = compT E ?A1 (T1#ST) e2
let ?τ2 = tyi' (T2#T1#ST) E ?A2 let ?τs3 = compT E ?A2 (T2#T1#ST) e3
let ?τ3 = tyi' (T3#T2#T1#ST) E ?A3
let ?τ' = tyi' (Boolean#ST) E ?A3
from <length ST + max-stack (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) ≤ mxs>
have ⊢ [CAS F D], [] [:] [?τ3,?τ] using wt1 wt2 wt3
  by(cases T1)(auto simp add: tyi'-def wt-defs nth-Cons split: nat.split intro: sees-field-idemp widen-trans[OF widen-array-object] dest: sees-field-decl-above)
also from CompareAndSwap.hyps(3)[of T3] wt3 CompareAndSwap.premss wt1 wt2

```

```

have  $\vdash \text{compE2 } e3, \text{compxE2 } e3 \ 0 \ (\text{size } ST+2) :: ?\tau2 \# ?\tau3 @ [?\tau3]$ 
  by(auto simp add: after-def)
also from CompareAndSwap.hyps(2)[of T2] wt2 wt1 CompareAndSwap.preds
have  $\vdash \text{compE2 } e2, \text{compxE2 } e2 \ 0 \ (\text{size } ST+1) :: ?\tau1 \# ?\tau2 @ [?\tau2]$ 
  by(auto simp add: after-def)
also from wt1 CompareAndSwap have  $\vdash \text{compE2 } e1, \text{compxE2 } e1 \ 0 \ (\text{size } ST) :: ?\tau \# ?\tau s1 @ [?\tau1]$ 
  by(auto simp add: after-def)
also have ty E (e1·compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3)) = T using wt by(rule ty-def2)
ultimately show ?case using wt1 wt2 wt3
  by(simp add: after-def hyperUn-assoc)
next
  case (InSynchronized i a exp) thus ?case by auto
qed

end

lemma states-compP [simp]: states (compP f P) mxs mxl = states P mxs mxl
by (simp add: JVM-states-unfold)

lemma [simp]: appi (i, compP f P, pc, mpc, T, τ) = appi (i, P, pc, mpc, T, τ)
proof -
  { fix ST LT
    have appi (i, compP f P, pc, mpc, T, (ST, LT)) = appi (i, P, pc, mpc, T, (ST, LT))
    proof(cases i)
      case (Invoke M n)
      have  $\bigwedge C \ Ts \ D. (\exists T m. \text{compP } f \ P \vdash C \ \text{sees } M: \ Ts \rightarrow T = m \ \text{in } D) \longleftrightarrow (\exists T m. \ P \vdash C \ \text{sees } M: \ Ts \rightarrow T = m \ \text{in } D)$ 
        by(auto dest!: sees-method-compPD dest: sees-method-compP)
        with Invoke show ?thesis by clarsimp
      qed(simp-all)
    thus ?thesis by(cases τ) simp
  qed

lemma [simp]: is-relevant-entry (compP f P) i = is-relevant-entry P i
apply (rule ext)+
apply (unfold is-relevant-entry-def)
apply (cases i)
apply auto
done

lemma [simp]: relevant-entries (compP f P) i pc xt = relevant-entries P i pc xt
by (simp add: relevant-entries-def)

lemma [simp]: app i (compP f P) mpc T pc mxl xt τ = app i P mpc T pc mxl xt τ
apply (simp add: app-def xcpt-app-def eff-def xcpt-eff-def norm-eff-def)
apply (fastforce simp add: image-def)
done

lemma [simp]: app i P mpc T pc mxl xt τ  $\implies$  eff i (compP f P) pc xt τ = eff i P pc xt τ
apply (clarsimp simp add: eff-def norm-eff-def xcpt-eff-def app-def)
apply (cases i)
apply(auto)
done

```

```

lemma [simp]: widen (compP f P) = widen P
  apply (rule ext)+
  apply (simp)
  done

lemma [simp]: compP f P ⊢ τ ≤' τ' = P ⊢ τ ≤' τ'
by (simp add: sup-state-opt-def sup-state-def sup-ty-opt-def)

lemma [simp]: compP f P, T, mpc, mxl, xt ⊢ i, pc :: τs = P, T, mpc, mxl, xt ⊢ i, pc :: τs
by (simp add: wt-instr-def cong: conj-cong)

declare TC0.compT-sizes[simp] TC1.ty-def2[OF TC1.intro, simp]

lemma compT-method:
fixes e and A and C and Ts and mxl0
defines [simp]: E ≡ Class C # Ts
  and [simp]: A ≡ {..size Ts}
  and [simp]: A' ≡ A ∪ A e
  and [simp]: mxs ≡ max-stack e
  and [simp]: m xl0 ≡ max-vars e
  and [simp]: m xl ≡ 1 + size Ts + m xl0
assumes wf-prog: wf-prog p P
shows [| P, E ⊢ e :: T; D e A; B e (size E); set E ⊆ types P; P ⊢ T ≤ T' |] ==>
  wt-method (compP2 P) C Ts T' mxs m xl0 (compE2 e @ [Return]) (compxE2 e 0 0)
  (TC0.tyi' m xl [] E A # TC0.compTa P m xl E A [] e)
using wf-prog
apply(simp add:wt-method-def TC0.compTa-def TC0.after-def compP2-def compMb2-def)
apply(rule conjI)
apply(simp add:check-types-def TC0.OK-tyi'-in-statesI)
apply(rule conjI)
apply(frule WT1-is-type[OF wf-prog])
  apply simp
  apply(insert max-stack1[of e])
  apply(fastforce intro!: TC0.OK-tyi'-in-statesI)
  apply(erule (1) TC1.compT-states[OF TC1.intro])
    apply simp
    apply simp
    apply simp
    apply simp
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply(fastforce simp add:wt-start-def TC0.tyi'-def TC0.tyl-def list-all2-conv-all-nth nth-Cons split:nat.split dest:less-antisym)
  apply (frule (1) TC3.compT-wt-instrs[OF TC3.intro[OF TC1.intro]], where ST = [] and mxs = max-stack e and m xl = 1 + size Ts + max-vars e)
    apply simp
    apply simp
    apply simp
    apply simp
    apply simp
  apply(clarsimp simp:TC2.wt-instrs-def TC0.after-def)
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply(fastforce)
  apply(clarsimp)

```

```

apply(drule (1) less-antisym)
apply(thin-tac  $\forall x. P x$  for P)
apply(clarsimp simp:TC2.wt-defs xcpt-app-pcs xcpt-eff-pcs TC0.tyi'-def)
done

```

```

definition compTP :: 'addr J1-prog  $\Rightarrow$  typP
where
compTP P C M  $\equiv$ 
let (D, Ts, T, meth) = method P C M;
e = the meth;
E = Class C # Ts;
A =  $\lfloor \{..size Ts\} \rfloor$ ;
mxl =  $1 + size Ts + max-vars e$ 
in (TC0.tyi' mxl [] E A # TC0.compTa P mxl E A [] e)

```

```

theorem wt-compTP-compP2:
wf-J1-prog P  $\implies$  wf-jvm-prog compTP P (compP2 P)
apply (simp add: wf-jvm-prog-phi-def compP2-def compMb2-def)
apply (rule wf-prog-compPI)
prefer 2 apply assumption
apply (clarsimp simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
apply (simp add: compTP-def)
apply (rule compT-method [simplified compP2-def compMb2-def, simplified])
apply assumption+
apply (drule (1) sees-wf-mdecl)
apply (simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
apply (fastforce intro: sees-method-is-class)
apply assumption
done

```

```

theorem wt-compP2:
wf-J1-prog P  $\implies$  wf-jvm-prog (compP2 P)
by(auto simp add: wf-jvm-prog-def intro: wt-compTP-compP2)

```

end

7.14 Unobservable steps for the JVM

```

theory JVMTau imports
TypeComp
../JVM/JVMThreaded
../Framework/FWLTS
begin

declare nth-append [simp del]
declare Listn.lesub-list-impl-same-size[simp del]
declare listE-length [simp del]

declare match-ex-table-append-not-pcs[simp del]
outside-pcs-not-matches-entry [simp del]

```

*outside-pcs-compxE2-not-matches-entry [simp del]
 outside-pcs-compxEs2-not-matches-entry [simp del]*

context JVM-heap-base **begin**

primrec $\tau_{instr} :: 'm\ prog \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow 'addr\ val\ list \Rightarrow 'addr\ instr \Rightarrow bool$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Load } n) = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Store } n) = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Push } v) = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{New } C) = \text{False} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{NewArray } T) = \text{False} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ ALoad = \text{False} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ AStore = \text{False} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ ALength = \text{False} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Getfield } F\ D) = \text{False} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Putfield } F\ D) = \text{False} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{CAS } F\ D) = \text{False} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Checkcast } T) = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Instanceof } T) = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Invoke } M\ n) = \\ & \quad (n < \text{length } stk \wedge \\ & \quad (stk !\ n = \text{Null} \vee \\ & \quad (\forall T\ Ts\ Tr\ D.\ \text{typeof-addr } h\ (\text{the-Addr } (stk !\ n)) = \lfloor T \rfloor \longrightarrow P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow Tr = \\ & \quad \text{Native in } D \longrightarrow \tau_{external-defs}\ D\ M))) \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ \text{Return} = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ \text{Pop} = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ \text{Dup} = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ \text{Swap} = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{BinOpInstr } bop) = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Goto } i) = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{IfFalse } i) = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ \text{ThrowExc} = \text{True} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ MEnter = \text{False} \\ | & \tau_{instr}\ P\ h\ stk\ MExit = \text{False} \end{aligned}$$

inductive $\tau_{move2} :: 'm\ prog \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow 'addr\ val\ list \Rightarrow 'addr\ expr1 \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'addr\ option \Rightarrow bool$
and $\tau_{moves2} :: 'm\ prog \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow 'addr\ val\ list \Rightarrow 'addr\ expr1\ list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'addr\ option \Rightarrow bool$

for $P :: 'm\ prog$ **and** $h :: 'heap$ **and** $stk :: 'addr\ val\ list$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{move2xcp}:\ pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \implies \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ e\ pc\ |\ xcp] \\ | & \tau_{move2NewArray}:\ \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ e\ pc\ xcp \implies \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{newA } T[e])\ pc\ xcp \\ | & \tau_{move2Cast}:\ \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ e\ pc\ xcp \implies \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Cast } T\ e)\ pc\ xcp \\ | & \tau_{move2CastRed}:\ \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Cast } T\ e)\ (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e))\ None \\ | & \tau_{move2InstanceOf}:\ \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ e\ pc\ xcp \implies \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{e instanceof } T)\ pc\ xcp \\ | & \tau_{move2InstanceOfRed}:\ \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{e instanceof } T)\ (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e))\ None \\ | & \tau_{move2Val}:\ \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ (\text{Val } v)\ 0\ None \\ | & \tau_{move2BinOp1}:\ \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ e1\ pc\ xcp \implies \tau_{move2}\ P\ h\ stk\ (e1 \ll bop \gg e2)\ pc\ xcp \end{aligned}$$

| $\tau move2BinOp2:$
 $\tau move2 P h stk e2 pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (e1 \ll bop \gg e2) (length (compE2 e1) + pc) xcp$
 | $\tau move2BinOp:$
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e1 \ll bop \gg e2) (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) None$

| $\tau move2Var:$
 $\tau move2 P h stk (Var V) 0 None$

| $\tau move2LAss:$
 $\tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (V := e) pc xcp$
 | $\tau move2LAssRed1:$
 $\tau move2 P h stk (V := e) (length (compE2 e)) None$
 | $\tau move2LAssRed2: \tau move2 P h stk (V := e) (Suc (length (compE2 e))) None$

| $\tau move2AAcc1: \tau move2 P h stk a pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (a[i] := e) pc xcp$
 | $\tau move2AAcc2: \tau move2 P h stk i pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + pc) xcp$

| $\tau move2AAss1: \tau move2 P h stk a pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (a[i] := e) pc xcp$
 | $\tau move2AAss2: \tau move2 P h stk i pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + pc) xcp$
 | $\tau move2AAss3: \tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc) xcp$
 | $\tau move2AAssRed: \tau move2 P h stk (a[i] := e) (Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e))) None$

| $\tau move2ALength: \tau move2 P h stk a pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (a.length) pc xcp$

| $\tau move2FAcc: \tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (e.F\{D\}) pc xcp$

| $\tau move2FAss1: \tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (e.F\{D\} := e') pc xcp$
 | $\tau move2FAss2: \tau move2 P h stk e' pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (e.F\{D\} := e') (length (compE2 e) + pc) xcp$
 | $\tau move2FAssRed: \tau move2 P h stk (e.F\{D\} := e') (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e'))) None$

| $\tau move2CAS1: \tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) pc xcp$
 | $\tau move2CAS2: \tau move2 P h stk e' pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) (length (compE2 e) + pc) xcp$
 | $\tau move2CAS3: \tau move2 P h stk e'' pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e') + pc) xcp$

| $\tau move2CallObj:$
 $\tau move2 P h stk obj pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (obj.M(ps)) pc xcp$
 | $\tau move2CallParams:$
 $\tau moves2 P h stk ps pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (obj.M(ps)) (length (compE2 obj) + pc) xcp$
 | $\tau move2Call:$
 $\llbracket length ps < length stk;$
 $stk ! length ps = Null \vee$
 $(\forall T Ts Tr D. \text{typeof-addr } h (\text{the-Addr } (stk ! length ps)) = \lfloor T \rfloor \longrightarrow P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees}$
 $M : Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D \longrightarrow \tau \text{external-defs } D M) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \tau move2 P h stk (obj.M(ps)) (length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps)) None$

| $\tau move2BlockSome1:$

```

 $\tau move2 P h stk \{V:T=[v]; e\} 0 None$ 
|  $\tau move2BlockSome2:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk \{V:T=[v]; e\} (Suc 0) None$ 
|  $\tau move2BlockSome:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk \{V:T=[v]; e\} (Suc (Suc pc)) xcp$ 
|  $\tau move2BlockNone:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk \{V:T=None; e\} pc xcp$ 

|  $\tau move2Sync1:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk o' pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (sync_V(o') e) pc xcp$ 
|  $\tau move2Sync2:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (sync_V(o') e) (length (compE2 o')) None$ 
|  $\tau move2Sync3:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (sync_V(o') e) (Suc (length (compE2 o'))) None$ 
|  $\tau move2Sync4:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (sync_V(o') e) (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 o') + pc)))) xcp$ 
|  $\tau move2Sync5:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (sync_V(o') e) (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 o') + length (compE2 e))))) None$ 
|  $\tau move2Sync6:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (sync_V(o') e) (5 + length (compE2 o') + length (compE2 e)) None$ 
|  $\tau move2Sync7:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (sync_V(o') e) (6 + length (compE2 o') + length (compE2 e)) None$ 
|  $\tau move2Sync8:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (sync_V(o') e) (8 + length (compE2 o') + length (compE2 e)) None$ 

|  $\tau move2InSync: \tau move2 P h stk (insync_V(a) e) 0 None$ 

|  $\tau move2Seq1:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (e;;e') pc xcp$ 
|  $\tau move2SeqRed:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e;;e') (length (compE2 e)) None$ 
|  $\tau move2Seq2:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk e' pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (e;;e') (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)) xcp$ 

|  $\tau move2Cond:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (if (e) e1 else e2) pc xcp$ 
|  $\tau move2CondRed:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (if (e) e1 else e2) (length (compE2 e)) None$ 
|  $\tau move2CondThen:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk e1 pc xcp$ 
 $\implies \tau move2 P h stk (if (e) e1 else e2) (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)) xcp$ 
|  $\tau move2CondThenExit:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (if (e) e1 else e2) (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1))) None$ 
|  $\tau move2CondElse:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk e2 pc xcp$ 
 $\implies \tau move2 P h stk (if (e) e1 else e2) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1) + pc))) xcp$ 

|  $\tau move2While1:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk c pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (while (c) e) pc xcp$ 
|  $\tau move2While2:$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (while (c) e) (Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc)) xcp$ 

```

```

|  $\tau move2While3$ : — Jump back to condition
   $\tau move2 P h stk (while (c) e) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e)))) None$ 
|  $\tau move2While4$ : — last instruction: Push Unit
   $\tau move2 P h stk (while (c) e) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e)))) None$ 
|  $\tau move2While5$ : — IfFalse instruction
   $\tau move2 P h stk (while (c) e) (length (compE2 c)) None$ 
|  $\tau move2While6$ : — Pop instruction
   $\tau move2 P h stk (while (c) e) (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e))) None$ 

|  $\tau move2Throw1$ :
   $\tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (throw e) pc xcp$ 
|  $\tau move2Throw2$ :
   $\tau move2 P h stk (throw e) (length (compE2 e)) None$ 

|  $\tau move2Try1$ :
   $\tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau move2 P h stk (try e catch(C V) e') pc xcp$ 
|  $\tau move2TryJump$ :
   $\tau move2 P h stk (try e catch(C V) e') (length (compE2 e)) None$ 
|  $\tau move2TryCatch2$ :
   $\tau move2 P h stk (try e catch(C V) e') (Suc (length (compE2 e))) None$ 
|  $\tau move2Try2$ :
   $\tau move2 P h stk \{V:T=None; e'\} pc xcp$ 
   $\implies \tau move2 P h stk (try e catch(C V) e') (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc))) xcp$ 

|  $\tau moves2Hd$ :
   $\tau move2 P h stk e pc xcp \implies \tau moves2 P h stk (e \# es) pc xcp$ 
|  $\tau moves2Tl$ :
   $\tau moves2 P h stk es pc xcp \implies \tau moves2 P h stk (e \# es) (length (compE2 e) + pc) xcp$ 

```

inductive-cases $\tau move2$ -cases:

```

 $\tau move2 P h stk (new C) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (newA T[e]) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (Cast T e) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e instanceof T) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (Val v) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (Var V) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e1 «bop» e2) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (V := e) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e1[e2]) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e1[e2] := e3) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e1.length) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e1.F\{D\}) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e1.F\{D\} := e3) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e1.compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3)) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e.M(ps)) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk \{V:T=vo; e\} pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (sync_V(e1) e2) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (e1;;e2) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (if (e1) e2 else e3) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (while (e1) e2) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (try e1 catch(C V) e2) pc xcp$ 
 $\tau move2 P h stk (throw e) pc xcp$ 

```

lemma $\tau moves2xcp: pc < length (compEs2 es) \implies \tau moves2 P h stk es pc [xcp]$

```

proof(induct es arbitrary: pc)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons e es)
  note IH = < $\bigwedge pc. pc < \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } es) \implies \tau\text{moves2 } P h \text{ stk } es \text{ pc } [xcp]$ >
  note pc = < $pc < \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } (e \# es))$ >
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
    case True
    thus ?thesis by(auto intro: τmoves2Hd τmove2xcp)
  next
    case False
    with pc IH[of pc - length (compE2 e)]
    have τmoves2 P h stk es (pc - length (compE2 e)) [xcp] by(simp)
    hence τmoves2 P h stk (e # es) (length (compE2 e) + (pc - length (compE2 e))) [xcp]
      by(rule τmoves2Tl)
    with False show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

```

lemma $\tau\text{move2-intros}'$:

```

shows  $\tau\text{move2CastRed}'$ :  $pc = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (\text{Cast } T e) \text{ pc } \text{None}$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2InstanceOfRed}'$ :  $pc = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e \text{ instanceof } T) \text{ pc } \text{None}$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2BinOp2}'$ :  $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e2 \text{ pc } xcp; pc' = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e1 \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2) \text{ pc' } xcp$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2BinOp}'$ :  $pc = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2) \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e1 \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2) \text{ pc } \text{None}$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2LAssRed1}'$ :  $pc = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (V := e) \text{ pc } \text{None}$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2LAssRed2}'$ :  $pc = \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)) \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (V := e) \text{ pc } \text{None}$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2AAcc2}'$ :  $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } i \text{ pc } xcp; pc' = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + pc \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (a[i]) \text{ pc' } xcp$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2AAss2}'$ :  $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } i \text{ pc } xcp; pc' = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + pc \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (a[i] := e) \text{ pc' } xcp$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2AAss3}'$ :  $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } xcp; pc' = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } i) + pc \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (a[i] := e) \text{ pc' } xcp$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2AAssRed}'$ :  $pc = \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)) \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (a[i] := e) \text{ pc } \text{None}$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2FAss2}'$ :  $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e' \text{ pc } xcp; pc' = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + pc \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e.F\{D\} := e') \text{ pc' } xcp$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2FAssRed}'$ :  $pc = \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e')) \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e.F\{D\} := e') \text{ pc } \text{None}$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2CAS2}'$ :  $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e2 \text{ pc } xcp; pc' = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \text{ pc' } xcp$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2CAS3}'$ :  $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e3 \text{ pc } xcp; pc' = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2) + pc \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \text{ pc' } xcp$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2CallParams}'$ :  $\llbracket \tau\text{moves2 } P h \text{ stk } ps \text{ pc } xcp; pc' = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } obj) + pc \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (obj.M(ps)) \text{ pc' } xcp$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2Call}'$ :  $\llbracket pc = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } obj) + \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } ps); \text{length } ps < \text{length } \text{stk}; \text{stk } ! \text{length } ps = \text{Null} \vee (\forall T Ts Tr D. \text{typeof-addr } h (\text{the-Addr } (\text{stk } ! \text{length } ps)) = \lfloor T \rfloor \longrightarrow P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D \longrightarrow \tau\text{external-defs } D M) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (obj.M(ps)) \text{ pc } \text{None}$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2BlockSome2}'$ :  $pc = \text{Suc } 0 \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } \{V:T=[v]; e\} \text{ pc } \text{None}$ 
and  $\tau\text{move2BlockSome}'$ :  $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } xcp; pc' = \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } pc) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk }$ 

```

```

{V:T=[v]; e} pc' xcp
  and τmove2Sync2': pc = length (compE2 o') ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (syncV (o') e) pc None
  and τmove2Sync3': pc = Suc (length (compE2 o')) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (syncV (o') e) pc None
  and τmove2Sync4': [ τmove2 P h stk e pc xcp; pc' = Suc (Suc (length (compE2 o') + pc)) ]
    ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (syncV (o') e) pc' xcp
  and τmove2Sync5': pc = Suc (Suc (length (compE2 o') + length (compE2 e))) ⇒ τmove2
    P h stk (syncV (o') e) pc None
  and τmove2Sync6': pc = 5 + length (compE2 o') + length (compE2 e) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (syncV
    (o') e) pc None
  and τmove2Sync7': pc = 6 + length (compE2 o') + length (compE2 e) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (syncV
    (o') e) pc None
  and τmove2Sync8': pc = 8 + length (compE2 o') + length (compE2 e) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (syncV
    (o') e) pc None
  and τmove2SeqRed': pc = length (compE2 e) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (e;;e') pc None
  and τmove2Seq2': [ τmove2 P h stk e' pc xcp; pc' = Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc) ] ⇒ τmove2
    P h stk (e;;e') pc' xcp
  and τmove2CondRed': pc = length (compE2 e) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (if (e) e1 else e2) pc None
  and τmove2CondThen': [ τmove2 P h stk e1 pc xcp; pc' = Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc) ] ⇒
    τmove2 P h stk (if (e) e1 else e2) pc' xcp
  and τmove2CondThenExit': pc = Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1)) ⇒ τmove2 P h
    stk (if (e) e1 else e2) pc None
  and τmove2CondElse': [ τmove2 P h stk e2 pc xcp; pc' = Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length
    (compE2 e1) + pc)) ]
    ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (if (e) e1 else e2) pc' xcp
  and τmove2While2': [ τmove2 P h stk e pc xcp; pc' = Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc) ] ⇒ τmove2
    P h stk (while (c) e) pc' xcp
  and τmove2While3': pc = Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e))) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk
    (while (c) e) pc None
  and τmove2While4': pc = Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e))) ⇒ τmove2
    P h stk (while (c) e) pc None
  and τmove2While5': pc = length (compE2 c) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (while (c) e) pc None
  and τmove2While6': pc = Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e)) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (while
    (c) e) pc None
  and τmove2Throw2': pc = length (compE2 e) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (throw e) pc None
  and τmove2TryJump': pc = length (compE2 e) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (try e catch(C V) e') pc None
  and τmove2TryCatch2': pc = Suc (length (compE2 e)) ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (try e catch(C V) e')
    pc None
  and τmove2Try2': [ τmove2 P h stk {V:T=None; e'} pc xcp; pc' = Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e)
    + pc)) ]
    ⇒ τmove2 P h stk (try e catch(C V) e') pc' xcp
  and τmoves2Tl': [ τmoves2 P h stk es pc xcp; pc' = length (compE2 e) + pc ] ⇒ τmoves2 P h stk
    (e # es) pc' xcp
  apply(blast intro: τmove2-τmoves2.intros)+
  done

```

lemma τmove2-iff: τmove2 P h stk e pc xcp ⇔ pc < length (compE2 e) ∧ (xcp = None → τinstr
 P h stk (compE2 e ! pc)) (**is** ?lhs1 ⇔ ?rhs1)

and τmoves2-iff: τmoves2 P h stk es pc xcp ⇔ pc < length (compEs2 es) ∧ (xcp = None →
 τinstr P h stk (compEs2 es ! pc)) (**is** ?lhs2 ⇔ ?rhs2)

proof –

have rhs1lhs1: [τinstr P h stk (compE2 e ! pc); pc < length (compE2 e)] ⇒ τmove2 P h stk e pc
 None

and rhs2lhs2: [τinstr P h stk (compEs2 es ! pc); pc < length (compEs2 es)] ⇒ τmoves2 P h
 stk es pc None

```

apply(induct e and es arbitrary: pc and pc rule: compE2.induct compEs2.induct)
apply(force intro: τmove2-τmoves2.intros τmove2-intros' simp add: nth-append nth-Cons' not-less-eq
split: if-split-asm)+
done

{ assume pc < length (compE2 e) xcp ≠ None
  hence τmove2 P h stk e pc xcp by(auto intro: τmove2xcp) }
with rhs1lhs1 have ?rhs1 ==> ?lhs1 by(cases xcp) auto
moreover {
  assume pc < length (compEs2 es) xcp ≠ None
  hence τmoves2 P h stk es pc xcp by(auto intro: τmoves2xcp) }
with rhs2lhs2 have ?rhs2 ==> ?lhs2 by(cases xcp) auto
moreover have ?lhs1 ==> ?rhs1 and ?lhs2 ==> ?rhs2
  by(induct rule: τmove2-τmoves2.inducts)(fastforce simp add: nth-append eval-nat-numeral)+
ultimately show ?lhs1 ↔ ?rhs1 ?lhs2 ↔ ?rhs2 by blast+
qed

lemma τmove2-pc-length-compE2: τmove2 P h stk e pc xcp ==> pc < length (compE2 e)
  and τmoves2-pc-length-compEs2: τmoves2 P h stk es pc xcp ==> pc < length (compEs2 es)
by(simp-all add: τmove2-iff τmoves2-iff)

lemma τmove2-pc-length-compE2-conv: pc ≥ length (compE2 e) ==> ¬ τmove2 P h stk e pc xcp
by(auto dest: τmove2-pc-length-compE2)

lemma τmoves2-pc-length-compEs2-conv: pc ≥ length (compEs2 es) ==> ¬ τmoves2 P h stk es pc xcp
by(auto dest: τmoves2-pc-length-compEs2)

lemma τmoves2-append [elim]:
  τmoves2 P h stk es pc xcp ==> τmoves2 P h stk (es @ es') pc xcp
by(auto simp add: τmoves2-iff nth-append)

lemma append-τmoves2:
  τmoves2 P h stk es pc xcp ==> τmoves2 P h stk (es' @ es) (length (compEs2 es') + pc) xcp
by(simp add: τmoves2-iff)

lemma [dest]:
  shows τmove2-NewArrayD: [ τmove2 P h stk (newA T[e]) pc xcp; pc < length (compE2 e) ] ==>
τmove2 P h stk e pc xcp
  and τmove2-CastD: [ τmove2 P h stk (Cast T e) pc xcp; pc < length (compE2 e) ] ==> τmove2 P
h stk e pc xcp
  and τmove2-InstanceOfD: [ τmove2 P h stk (e instanceof T) pc xcp; pc < length (compE2 e) ] ==>
τmove2 P h stk e pc xcp
  and τmove2-BinOp1D: [ τmove2 P h stk (e1 «bop» e2) pc' xcp'; pc' < length (compE2 e1) ] ==>
τmove2 P h stk e1 pc' xcp'
  and τmove2-BinOp2D:
    [ τmove2 P h stk (e1 «bop» e2) (length (compE2 e1) + pc') xcp'; pc' < length (compE2 e2) ] ==>
τmove2 P h stk e2 pc' xcp'
  and τmove2-LAssD: [ τmove2 P h stk (V := e) pc xcp; pc < length (compE2 e) ] ==> τmove2 P h
stk e pc xcp
  and τmove2-AAccD1: [ τmove2 P h stk (a[i]) pc xcp; pc < length (compE2 a) ] ==> τmove2 P h
stk a pc xcp
  and τmove2-AAccD2: [ τmove2 P h stk (a[i]) (length (compE2 a) + pc) xcp; pc < length (compE2
i) ] ==> τmove2 P h stk i pc xcp
  and τmove2-AAssD1: [ τmove2 P h stk (a[i] := e) pc xcp; pc < length (compE2 a) ] ==> τmove2

```

$P h \text{ stk } a \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}A\text{AssD2}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (a[i] := e) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{pc}) \text{ xcp}; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } i \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}A\text{AssD3}$:
 $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (a[i] := e) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{pc}) \text{ xcp}; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}A\text{LengthD}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (a.\text{length}) \text{ pc } xcp; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } a \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}F\text{AccD}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e.F\{D\}) \text{ pc } xcp; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}F\text{AssD1}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e.F\{D\} := e') \text{ pc } xcp; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}F\text{AssD2}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e.F\{D\} := e') (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}) \text{ xcp}; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e') \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e' \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}C\text{ASD1}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e1.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3)) \text{ pc } xcp; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e1 \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}C\text{ASD2}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e1.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3)) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{pc}) \text{ xcp}; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e2 \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}C\text{ASD3}$:
 $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e1.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3)) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{pc}) \text{ xcp}; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e3) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e3 \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}C\text{allObjD}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e.M(es)) \text{ pc } xcp; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}B\text{lockNoneD}$: $\tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } \{V:T=\text{None}; e\} \text{ pc } xcp \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}B\text{lockSomeD}$: $\tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } \{V:T=[v]; e\} (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } \text{pc})) \text{ xcp} \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}S\text{ync1D}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (\text{sync}_V(o') e) \text{ pc } xcp; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } o') \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } o' \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}S\text{ync2D}$:
 $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (\text{sync}_V(o') e) (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } o') + \text{pc})))) \text{ xcp}; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}S\text{eq1D}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e1;; e2) \text{ pc } xcp; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e1 \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}S\text{eq2D}$: $\tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (e1;; e2) (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{pc}')) \text{ xcp}' \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e2 \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$
and $\tau\text{move2-}I\text{fCondD}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (\text{if } (e) \text{ e1 else e2}) \text{ pc } xcp; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}I\text{fThenD}$:
 $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (\text{if } (e) \text{ e1 else e2}) (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}')) \text{ xcp}'; \text{pc}' < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e1 \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$
and $\tau\text{move2-}I\text{fElseD}$:
 $\tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (\text{if } (e) \text{ e1 else e2}) (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{pc}'))) \text{ xcp}' \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e2 \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$
and $\tau\text{move2-}W\text{hileCondD}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (\text{while } (c) \text{ b}) \text{ pc } xcp; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } c) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } c \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}T\text{hrowD}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (\text{throw } e) \text{ pc } xcp; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } xcp$
and $\tau\text{move2-}T\text{ry1D}$: $\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } (\text{try } e1 \text{ catch}(C' V) \text{ e2}) \text{ pc } xcp; \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e1 \text{ pc } xcp$
apply(auto elim!: $\tau\text{move2-cases}$ intro: $\tau\text{move2xcp}$ dest: $\tau\text{move2-}pc\text{-length-}compE2$)
done

lemma $\tau\text{move2-Invoke}$:

$\llbracket \tau\text{move2 } P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } \text{None}; \text{compE2 } e ! \text{ pc } = \text{Invoke } M n \rrbracket$

$\implies n < \text{length } \text{stk} \wedge (\text{stk} ! n = \text{Null} \vee (\forall T \text{ Ts } \text{Tr } D. \text{typeof-addr } h (\text{the-Addr } (\text{stk} ! n)) = \lfloor T \rfloor \longrightarrow P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } M : \text{Ts} \rightarrow \text{Tr} = \text{Native in } D \longrightarrow \tau_{\text{external-defs}} D M))$

and $\tau_{\text{moves2-Invoke}}$:

$\llbracket \tau_{\text{moves2}} P h \text{ stk } es \text{ pc } \text{None}; \text{compEs2 } es \text{ ! pc} = \text{Invoke } M \text{ } n \rrbracket$

$\implies n < \text{length } \text{stk} \wedge (\text{stk} ! n = \text{Null} \vee (\forall T \text{ C } \text{Ts } \text{Tr } D. \text{typeof-addr } h (\text{the-Addr } (\text{stk} ! n)) = \lfloor T \rfloor \longrightarrow P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } M : \text{Ts} \rightarrow \text{Tr} = \text{Native in } D \longrightarrow \tau_{\text{external-defs}} D M))$

by(*simp-all add: $\tau_{\text{move2-iff}}$ $\tau_{\text{moves2-iff}}$ split-beta*)

lemmas $\tau_{\text{move2-compE2-not-Invoke}} = \tau_{\text{move2-Invoke}}$

lemmas $\tau_{\text{moves2-compEs2-not-Invoke}} = \tau_{\text{moves2-Invoke}}$

lemma $\tau_{\text{move2-blocks1}}$ [simp]:

$\tau_{\text{move2}} P h \text{ stk } (\text{blocks1 } n \text{ Ts } \text{body}) \text{ pc' } \text{xcp'} = \tau_{\text{move2}} P h \text{ stk } \text{body} \text{ pc' } \text{xcp'}$

by(*simp add: $\tau_{\text{move2-iff}}$*)

lemma $\tau_{\text{instr-stk-append}}$:

$\tau_{\text{instr}} P h \text{ stk } i \implies \tau_{\text{instr}} P h (\text{stk} @ vs) i$

by(*cases i*)(*auto simp add: nth-append*)

lemma $\tau_{\text{move2-stk-append}}$:

$\tau_{\text{move2}} P h \text{ stk } e \text{ pc } \text{xcp} \implies \tau_{\text{move2}} P h (\text{stk} @ vs) e \text{ pc } \text{xcp}$

by(*simp add: $\tau_{\text{move2-iff}}$ $\tau_{\text{instr-stk-append}}$*)

lemma $\tau_{\text{moves2-stk-append}}$:

$\tau_{\text{moves2}} P h \text{ stk } es \text{ pc } \text{xcp} \implies \tau_{\text{moves2}} P h (\text{stk} @ vs) es \text{ pc } \text{xcp}$

by(*simp add: $\tau_{\text{moves2-iff}}$ $\tau_{\text{instr-stk-append}}$*)

fun τ_{Move2} :: 'addr jvm-prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state \Rightarrow bool

where

$\tau_{\text{Move2}} P (\text{xcp}, h, []) = \text{False}$

$| \tau_{\text{Move2}} P (\text{xcp}, h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, \text{pc}) \# \text{frs}) =$

$(\text{let } (-,-,\text{meth}) = \text{method } P C M; (-,-,\text{ins},\text{xt}) = \text{the meth}$

$\text{in } (\text{pc} < \text{length } \text{ins} \wedge (\text{xcp} = \text{None} \longrightarrow \tau_{\text{instr}} P h \text{ stk } (\text{ins} ! \text{pc}))))$

lemma $\tau_{\text{Move2-iff}}$:

$\tau_{\text{Move2}} P \sigma = (\text{let } (\text{xcp}, h, \text{frs}) = \sigma$

$\text{in case frs of } [] \Rightarrow \text{False}$

$| (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, \text{pc}) \# \text{frs}' =$

$(\text{let } (-,-,\text{meth}) = \text{method } P C M; (-,-,\text{ins},\text{xt}) = \text{the meth}$

$\text{in } (\text{pc} < \text{length } \text{ins} \wedge (\text{xcp} = \text{None} \longrightarrow \tau_{\text{instr}} P h \text{ stk } (\text{ins} ! \text{pc}))))$

by(*cases σ*)(*clar simp split: list.splits simp add: fun-eq-iff split-beta*)

lemma $\tau_{\text{instr-compP}}$ [simp]: $\tau_{\text{instr}} (\text{compP } f P) h \text{ stk } i \longleftrightarrow \tau_{\text{instr}} P h \text{ stk } i$

by(*cases i*) *auto*

lemma [simp]: fixes $e :: \text{'addr expr1}$ **and $es :: \text{'addr expr1 list}$**

shows $\tau_{\text{move2-compP}}: \tau_{\text{move2}} (\text{compP } f P) h \text{ stk } e = \tau_{\text{move2}} P h \text{ stk } e$

and $\tau_{\text{moves2-compP}}: \tau_{\text{moves2}} (\text{compP } f P) h \text{ stk } es = \tau_{\text{moves2}} P h \text{ stk } es$

by(*auto simp add: $\tau_{\text{move2-iff}}$ $\tau_{\text{moves2-iff}}$ fun-eq-iff*)

lemma $\tau_{\text{Move2-compP2}}$:

$P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : \text{Ts} \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{body} \rfloor \text{ in } D \implies$

$\tau_{\text{Move2}} (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{xcp}, h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, \text{pc}) \# \text{frs}) =$

$(\text{case } xcp \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \tau\text{move2 } P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{body} \ pc \ xcp \vee pc = \text{length} (\text{compE2 body}) \mid \text{Some } a \Rightarrow pc < \text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 body})))$
by(clar simp simp add: $\tau\text{move2-iff compP2-def compMb2-def nth-append nth-Cons' split: option.splits if-split-asm}$)

abbreviation $\tau\text{MOVE2} ::$
 $'addr \ jvm\text{-prog} \Rightarrow (('addr \ option \times 'addr \ frame \ list) \times 'heap, ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) \ jvm\text{-thread-action})$
trs
where $\tau\text{MOVE2 } P \equiv \lambda((xcp, frs), h) \ ta \ s. \ \tau\text{Move2 } P \ (xcp, h, frs) \wedge ta = \varepsilon$

lemma $\tau\text{jvmd-heap-unchanged}:$
 $\llbracket P, t \vdash \text{Normal} \ (xcp, h, frs) \ -\varepsilon\text{-jvmd}\rightarrow \text{Normal} \ (xcp', h', frs'); \ \tau\text{Move2 } P \ (xcp, h, frs) \rrbracket$
 $\implies h = h'$
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(clar simp)
apply(cases xcp)
apply(rename-tac stk loc C M pc FRS M' Ts T meth mxs mxl ins xt)
apply(case-tac ins ! pc)
prefer 19 — BinOpInstr
apply(rename-tac bop)
apply(case-tac the (binop bop (hd (tl stk)) (hd stk)))
apply(auto simp add: split-beta $\tau\text{external-def split: if-split-asm}$)
apply(fastforce simp add: check-def has-method-def $\tau\text{external-def dest: external-red-external-aggr-heap-unchanged}$
done

lemma $mexecd\text{-}\tau\text{mthr-wf}:$
 $\tau\text{multithreaded-wf JVM-final} \ (mexecd \ P) \ (\tau\text{MOVE2 } P)$
proof
fix $t \ x \ h \ ta \ x' \ h'$
assume $mexecd \ P \ t \ (x, h) \ ta \ (x', h')$
and $\tau\text{MOVE2 } P \ (x, h) \ ta \ (x', h')$
thus $h = h'$
by(cases x)(cases x', auto dest: $\tau\text{jvmd-heap-unchanged}$)
next
fix $s \ ta \ s'$
assume $\tau\text{MOVE2 } P \ s \ ta \ s'$ **thus** $ta = \varepsilon$ **by**(simp add: split-beta)
qed

end

sublocale $JVM\text{-heap-base} < execd\text{-mthr}:$
 $\tau\text{multithreaded-wf}$
 $JVM\text{-final}$
 $mexecd \ P$
 $convert\text{-RA}$
 $\tau\text{MOVE2 } P$
for P
by(rule mexecd- $\tau\text{mthr-wf}$)

context $JVM\text{-heap-base} \begin{array}{l} \text{begin} \\ \\ \end{array}$

lemma $\tau\text{exec-1-taD}:$
assumes exec: $\text{exec-1-d } P \ t \ (\text{Normal} \ (xcp, h, frs)) \ ta \ (\text{Normal} \ (xcp', h', frs'))$
and $\tau: \tau\text{Move2 } P \ (xcp, h, frs)$

```

shows  $ta = \varepsilon$ 
using assms
apply(auto elim!: jvmd-NormalE simp add: split-beta)
apply(cases xcp)
apply auto
apply(rename-tac stk loc C M pc FRS)
apply(case-tac instrs-of P C M ! pc)
apply(simp-all split: if-split-asm)
apply(auto simp add: check-def has-method-def  $\tau$  external-def dest!:  $\tau$  external-red-external-aggr-TA-empty)
done

end

end

```

7.15 JVM Semantics for the delay bisimulation proof from intermediate language to byte code

```

theory Execs imports JVMTau begin

declare match-ex-table-app [simp del]
match-ex-table-eq-NoneI [simp del]
compxE2-size-convs [simp del]
compxE2-stack-xlift-convs [simp del]
compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs [simp del]

type-synonym
('addr, 'heap) check-instr' =
'addr instr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  pc
 $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame list  $\Rightarrow$  bool

primrec check-instr' :: ('addr, 'heap) check-instr'
where
check-instr'-Load:
check-instr' (Load n) P h stk loc C M0 pc frs =
True

| check-instr'-Store:
check-instr' (Store n) P h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(0 < length stk)

| check-instr'-Push:
check-instr' (Push v) P h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
True

| check-instr'-New:
check-instr' (New C) P h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
True

| check-instr'-NewArray:
check-instr' (NewArray T) P h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(0 < length stk)

```

- | *check-instr'-ALoad:*
 $\text{check-instr}' \text{ ALLoad } P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(1 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-AStore:*
 $\text{check-instr}' \text{ AStore } P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(2 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-ALength:*
 $\text{check-instr}' \text{ ALength } P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-Getfield:*
 $\text{check-instr}' (\text{Getfield } F C) P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-Putfield:*
 $\text{check-instr}' (\text{Putfield } F C) P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(1 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-CAS:*
 $\text{check-instr}' (\text{CAS } F C) P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(2 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-Checkcast:*
 $\text{check-instr}' (\text{Checkcast } T) P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-Instanceof:*
 $\text{check-instr}' (\text{Instanceof } T) P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-Invoke:*
 $\text{check-instr}' (\text{Invoke } M n) P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(n < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-Return:*
 $\text{check-instr}' \text{ Return } P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-Pop:*
 $\text{check-instr}' \text{ Pop } P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-Dup:*
 $\text{check-instr}' \text{ Dup } P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(0 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-Swap:*
 $\text{check-instr}' \text{ Swap } P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$
 $(1 < \text{length stk})$
- | *check-instr'-BinOpInstr:*
 $\text{check-instr}' (\text{BinOpInstr } bop) P h \text{ stk loc } C_0 M_0 \text{ pc frs} =$

```

(1 < length stk)

| check-instr'-IfFalse:
  check-instr' (IfFalse b) P h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  (0 < length stk ∧ 0 ≤ int pc+b)

| check-instr'-Goto:
  check-instr' (Goto b) P h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  (0 ≤ int pc+b)

| check-instr'-Throw:
  check-instr' ThrowExc P h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  (0 < length stk)

| check-instr'-MEnter:
  check-instr' MEnter P h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  (0 < length stk)

| check-instr'-MExit:
  check-instr' MExit P h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  (0 < length stk)

definition ci-stk-offer :: ('addr, 'heap) check-instr' ⇒ bool
where
  ci-stk-offer ci =
  ( ∀ ins P h stk stk' loc C M pc frs. ci ins P h stk loc C M pc frs → ci ins P h (stk @ stk') loc C M pc frs)

lemma ci-stk-offerI:
  ( ∃ ins P h stk stk' loc C M pc frs. ci ins P h stk loc C M pc frs ⇒ ci ins P h (stk @ stk') loc C M pc frs) ⇒ ci-stk-offer ci
unfolding ci-stk-offer-def by blast

lemma ci-stk-offerD:
  [ ci-stk-offer ci; ci ins P h stk loc C M pc frs ] ⇒ ci ins P h (stk @ stk') loc C M pc frs
unfolding ci-stk-offer-def by blast

lemma check-instr'-stk-offer:
  ci-stk-offer check-instr'
proof(rule ci-stk-offerI)
  fix ins P h stk stk' loc C M pc frs
  assume check-instr' ins P h stk loc C M pc frs
  thus check-instr' ins P h (stk @ stk') loc C M pc frs
    by(cases ins) auto
qed

context JVM-heap-base begin

lemma check-instr-imp-check-instr':
  check-instr ins P h stk loc C M pc frs ⇒ check-instr' ins P h stk loc C M pc frs
by(cases ins) auto

lemma check-instr-stk-offer:

```

```

ci-stk-offer check-instr
proof(rule ci-stk-offerI)
  fix ins P h stk stk' loc C M pc frs
  assume check-instr ins P h stk loc C M pc frs
  thus check-instr ins P h (stk @ stk') loc C M pc frs
    by(cases ins)(auto simp add: nth-append hd-append neq-Nil-conv tl-append split: list.split)
  qed

end

primrec jump-ok :: 'addr instr list ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
where jump-ok [] n n' = True
  | jump-ok (x # xs) n n' = (jump-ok xs (Suc n) n' ∧
    (case x of IfFalse m ⇒ – int n ≤ m ∧ m ≤ int (n' + length xs)
     | Goto m ⇒ – int n ≤ m ∧ m ≤ int (n' + length xs)
     | - ⇒ True))
lemma jump-ok-append [simp]:
  jump-ok (xs @ xs') n n' ↔ jump-ok xs n (n' + length xs') ∧ jump-ok xs' (n + length xs) n'
apply(induct xs arbitrary: n)
  apply(simp)
apply(auto split: instr.split)
done

lemma jump-ok-GotoD:
   $\llbracket \text{jump-ok } \text{ins } n \text{ } n'; \text{ins ! pc} = \text{Goto } m; \text{pc} < \text{length ins} \rrbracket \implies -\text{int}(pc + n) \leq m \wedge m < \text{int}(\text{length ins} - pc + n')$ 
apply(induct ins arbitrary: n n' pc)
  apply(simp)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(case-tac pc)
apply(fastforce)
done

lemma jump-ok-IfFalseD:
   $\llbracket \text{jump-ok } \text{ins } n \text{ } n'; \text{ins ! pc} = \text{IfFalse } m; \text{pc} < \text{length ins} \rrbracket \implies -\text{int}(pc + n) \leq m \wedge m < \text{int}(\text{length ins} - pc + n')$ 
apply(induct ins arbitrary: n n' pc)
  apply(simp)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(case-tac pc)
apply(fastforce)
done

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr1 and es :: 'addr expr1 list
  shows compE2-jump-ok [intro!]: jump-ok (compE2 e) n (Suc n')
  and compEs2-jump-ok [intro!]: jump-ok (compEs2 es) n (Suc n')
apply(induct e and es arbitrary: n n' and n n' rule: compE2.induct compEs2.induct)
apply(auto split: bop.split)
done

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr1 and es :: 'addr expr1 list
  shows compE1-Goto-not-same: [ compE2 e ! pc = Goto i; pc < length (compE2 e) ] ⇒ nat (int

```

```

 $pc + i) \neq pc$ 
and compEs2-Goto-not-same:  $\llbracket \text{compEs2 } es ! pc = \text{Goto } i; pc < \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } es) \rrbracket \implies \text{nat } (\text{int } pc + i) \neq pc$ 
apply(induct e and es arbitrary: pc i and pc i rule: compE2.induct compEs2.induct)
apply(auto simp add: nth-Cons nth-append split: if-split-asm bop.split-asm nat.splits)
apply fastforce+
done

fun ins-jump-ok :: 'addr instr  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  ins-jump-ok (Goto m) l =  $(- (\text{int } l) \leq m)$ 
  | ins-jump-ok (IfFalse m) l =  $(- (\text{int } l) \leq m)$ 
  | ins-jump-ok - - = True

definition wf-ci :: ('addr, 'heap) check-instr'  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  wf-ci ci  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    ci-stk-offer ci  $\wedge$  ci  $\leq$  check-instr'  $\wedge$ 
     $(\forall \text{ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc pc' \text{ frs}. \text{ ci ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs} \longrightarrow \text{ins-jump-ok ins } pc' \longrightarrow \text{ci ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc' \text{ frs})$ 

lemma wf-ciI:
   $\llbracket \text{ci-stk-offer } ci;$ 
   $\wedge \text{ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs}. \text{ ci ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs} \implies \text{check-instr}' \text{ ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs};$ 
   $\wedge \text{ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc pc' \text{ frs}. \llbracket \text{ci ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs}; \text{ins-jump-ok ins } pc' \rrbracket \implies \text{ci ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc' \text{ frs} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{wf-ci } ci$ 
unfolding wf-ci-def le-fun-def le-bool-def
by blast

lemma check-instr'-pc:
   $\llbracket \text{check-instr}' \text{ ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs}; \text{ins-jump-ok ins } pc' \rrbracket \implies \text{check-instr}' \text{ ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc' \text{ frs}$ 
by(cases ins) auto

lemma wf-ci-check-instr' [iff]:
  wf-ci check-instr'
apply(rule wf-ciI)
  apply(rule check-instr'-stk-offer)
  apply(assumption)
apply(erule (1) check-instr'-pc)
done

lemma jump-ok-ins-jump-ok:
   $\llbracket \text{jump-ok ins } n n'; pc < \text{length ins} \rrbracket \implies \text{ins-jump-ok } (\text{ins } ! pc) (pc + n)$ 
apply(induct ins arbitrary: n n' pc)
apply(fastforce simp add: nth-Cons' gr0-conv-Suc split: instr.split-asm)+
done

context JVM-heap-base begin

lemma check-instr-pc:
   $\llbracket \text{check-instr ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs}; \text{ins-jump-ok ins } pc' \rrbracket \implies \text{check-instr ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M$ 

```

```

 $pc' frs$ 
by(cases ins) auto

lemma wf-ci-check-instr [iff]:
  wf-ci check-instr
  apply(rule wf-ciI)
    apply(rule check-instr-stk-offer)
    apply(erule check-instr-imp-check-instr')
    apply(erule (1) check-instr-pc)
  done

end

lemma wf-ciD1: wf-ci ci  $\implies$  ci-stk-offer ci
unfolding wf-ci-def by blast

lemma wf-ciD2:  $\llbracket \text{wf-ci } ci; ci \text{ ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs} \rrbracket \implies \text{check-instr}' \text{ ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs}$ 
unfolding wf-ci-def le-fun-def le-bool-def
by blast

lemma wf-ciD3:  $\llbracket \text{wf-ci } ci; ci \text{ ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs}; \text{ins-jump-ok ins } pc' \rrbracket \implies ci \text{ ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc' \text{ frs}$ 
unfolding wf-ci-def by blast

lemma check-instr'-ins-jump-ok: check-instr' ins P h stk loc C M pc frs  $\implies$  ins-jump-ok ins pc
by(cases ins) auto
lemma wf-ci-ins-jump-ok:
  assumes wf: wf-ci ci
  and ci: ci ins P h stk loc C M pc frs
  and pc': pc  $\leq$  pc'
  shows ins-jump-ok ins pc'
proof -
  from wf ci have check-instr' ins P h stk loc C M pc frs by(rule wf-ciD2)
  with pc' have check-instr' ins P h stk loc C M pc' frs by(cases ins) auto
  thus ?thesis by(rule check-instr'-ins-jump-ok)
qed

lemma wf-ciD3':  $\llbracket \text{wf-ci } ci; ci \text{ ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs}; pc \leq pc' \rrbracket \implies ci \text{ ins } P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc' \text{ frs}$ 
apply(frule (2) wf-ci-ins-jump-ok)
apply(erule (2) wf-ciD3)
done

typedef ('addr, 'heap) check-instr = Collect wf-ci :: ('addr, 'heap) check-instr' set
  morphisms ci-app Abs-check-instr
  by auto

lemma ci-app-check-instr' [simp]: ci-app (Abs-check-instr check-instr') = check-instr'
by(simp add: Abs-check-instr-inverse)

lemma (in JVM-heap-base) ci-app-check-instr [simp]: ci-app (Abs-check-instr check-instr) = check-instr
by(simp add: Abs-check-instr-inverse)

lemma wf-ci-stk-offerD:
  ci-app ci ins P h stk loc C M pc frs  $\implies$  ci-app ci ins P h (stk @ stk') loc C M pc frs

```

```

apply(rule ci-stk-offerD[OF wf-ciD1]) back
by(rule ci-app [simplified])

lemma wf-ciD2-ci-app:
  ci-app ci ins P h stk loc C M pc frs  $\implies$  check-instr' ins P h stk loc C M pc frs
apply(cases ci)
apply(simp add: Abs-check-instr-inverse)
apply(erule (1) wf-ciD2)
done

lemma wf-ciD3-ci-app:
   $\llbracket \text{ci-app } ci \text{ ins } P \text{ h stk loc } C \text{ M pc frs; ins-jump-ok ins pc'} \rrbracket \implies \text{ci-app } ci \text{ ins } P \text{ h stk loc } C \text{ M pc' frs}$ 
apply(cases ci)
apply(simp add: Abs-check-instr-inverse)
apply(erule (2) wf-ciD3)
done

lemma wf-ciD3'-ci-app:  $\llbracket \text{ci-app } ci \text{ ins } P \text{ h stk loc } C \text{ M pc frs; pc} \leq pc' \rrbracket \implies \text{ci-app } ci \text{ ins } P \text{ h stk loc } C \text{ M pc' frs}$ 
apply(cases ci)
apply(simp add: Abs-check-instr-inverse)
apply(erule (2) wf-ciD3')
done

context JVM-heap-base begin

inductive exec-meth ::

  ('addr, 'heap) check-instr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr instr list  $\Rightarrow$  ex-table  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
   $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap)
  jvm-thread-action
   $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
for ci :: ('addr, 'heap) check-instr and P :: 'addr jvm-prog
and ins :: 'addr instr list and xt :: ex-table and t :: 'thread-id
where

  exec-instr:
     $\llbracket (ta, xcp, h', [(stk', loc', undefined, undefined, pc')]) \in \text{exec-instr } (ins ! pc) \text{ P t h stk loc undefined undefined pc} [];$ 
    pc < length ins;
    ci-app ci (ins ! pc) P h stk loc undefined undefined pc [] []
     $\implies \text{exec-meth } ci \text{ P ins xt t h } (stk, loc, pc, \text{None}) \text{ ta } h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp)$ 

  | exec-catch:
     $\llbracket \text{match-ex-table } P (\text{cname-of } h \text{ xcp}) \text{ pc xt} = \lfloor (pc', d) \rfloor; d \leq \text{length } stk \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \text{exec-meth } ci \text{ P ins xt t h } (stk, loc, pc, [xcp]) \in h (\text{Addr xcp} \# \text{drop} (\text{size } stk - d) \text{ stk, loc, pc', None})$ 

lemma exec-meth-instr:
  exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(ta, xcp, h', [(stk', loc', undefined, undefined, pc')]) \in \text{exec-instr } (ins ! pc) \text{ P t h stk loc undefined undefined pc} [] \wedge$ 
  pc < length ins  $\wedge$  ci-app ci (ins ! pc) P h stk loc undefined undefined pc []
by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-instr)

lemma exec-meth-xcpt:
  exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, [xcp]) ta h (stk', loc', pc', xcp')  $\longleftrightarrow$ 

```

$(\exists d. \text{match-ex-table } P (\text{cname-of } h \text{ } xcp) \text{ } pc \text{ } xt = \lfloor (pc', d) \rfloor \wedge ta = \varepsilon \wedge stk' = (\text{Addr } xcp \# \text{ drop} \text{ } (\text{size } stk - d) \text{ } stk) \wedge loc' = loc \wedge xcp' = \text{None} \wedge d \leq \text{length } stk)$
by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-catch)

abbreviation exec-meth-a

where exec-meth-a \equiv exec-meth (Abs-check-instr check-instr')

abbreviation exec-meth-d

where exec-meth-d \equiv exec-meth (Abs-check-instr check-instr)

lemma exec-meth-length-compE2D [dest]:

exec-meth ci P (compE2 e) (compxE2 e 0 d) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s' \implies pc < length (compE2 e)

apply(erule exec-meth.cases)

apply(auto dest: match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)

done

lemma exec-meth-length-compEs2D [dest]:

exec-meth ci P (compEs2 es) (compxEs2 es 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s' \implies pc < length (compEs2 es)

apply(erule exec-meth.cases)

apply(auto dest: match-ex-table-pc-length-compEs2)

done

lemma exec-instr-stk-offer:

assumes check: check-instr' (ins ! pc) P h stk loc C M pc frs

and exec: (ta', xcp', h', (stk', loc', C, M, pc') # frs) \in exec-instr (ins ! pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs

shows (ta', xcp', h', (stk' @ stk'', loc', C, M, pc') # frs) \in exec-instr (ins ! pc) P t h (stk @ stk'') loc C M pc frs

using assms

proof(cases ins ! pc)

case (Invoke M n)

thus ?thesis **using** exec check

by(auto split: if-split-asm extCallRet.splits split del: if-split simp add: split-beta nth-append min-def extRet2JVM-def)

qed(force simp add: nth-append is-Ref-def has-method-def nth-Cons split-beta hd-append tl-append neq-Nil-conv split: list.split if-split-asm nat.splits sum.split-asm)+

lemma exec-meth-stk-offer:

assumes exec: exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')

shows exec-meth ci P ins (stack-xlift (length stk'') xt) t h (stk @ stk'', loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ stk'', loc', pc', xcp')

using exec

proof(cases)

case (exec-catch xcp d)

from <match-ex-table P (cname-of h xcp) pc xt = $\lfloor (pc', d) \rfloor$ >

have match-ex-table P (cname-of h xcp) pc (stack-xlift (length stk'') xt) = $\lfloor (pc', \text{length } stk'' + d) \rfloor$

by(simp add: match-ex-table-stack-xlift)

moreover have length stk'' + d \leq length (stk @ stk'') **using** <d \leq length stk> **by** simp

ultimately have exec-meth ci P ins (stack-xlift (length stk'') xt) t h ((stk @ stk''), loc, pc, [xcp]) ε h ((Addr xcp # drop (length (stk @ stk'') - (length stk'' + d)) (stk @ stk'')), loc, pc', None)

by(rule exec-meth.exec-catch)

with exec-catch **show** ?thesis **by**(simp)

next

```

case exec-instr
note ciins = <ci-app ci (ins ! pc) P h stk loc undefined undefined pc []>
hence ci-app ci (ins ! pc) P h (stk @ stk'') loc undefined undefined pc []
  by(rule wf-ci-stk-offerD)
moreover from ciins
have check-instr' (ins ! pc) P h stk loc undefined undefined pc []
  by(rule wf-ciD2-ci-app)
hence (ta, xcp', h', [(stk' @ stk'', loc', undefined, undefined, pc')]) ∈ exec-instr (ins ! pc) P t h (stk
@ stk'') loc undefined undefined pc []
  using <(ta, xcp', h', [(stk', loc', undefined, undefined, pc')]) ∈ exec-instr (ins ! pc) P t h stk loc
undefined undefined pc []>
  by(rule exec-instr-stk-offer)
ultimately show ?thesis using exec-instr by(auto intro: exec-meth.exec-instr)
qed

lemma exec-meth-append-xt [intro]:
  exec-meth ci P ins xt t h s ta h' s'
   $\implies$  exec-meth ci P (ins @ ins') (xt @ xt') t h s ta h' s'
apply(erule exec-meth.cases)
apply(auto)
apply(rule exec-instr)
  apply(clarify simp add: nth-append)
  apply(simp)
apply(simp add: nth-append)
apply(rule exec-catch)
by(simp)

lemma exec-meth-append [intro]:
  exec-meth ci P ins xt t h s ta h' s'  $\implies$  exec-meth ci P (ins @ ins') xt t h s ta h' s'
by(rule exec-meth-append-xt[where xt'=[], simplified])

lemma append-exec-meth-xt:
  assumes exec: exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  and jump: jump-ok ins 0 n
  and pcs: pcs xt' ⊆ {0.. ins'}
  shows exec-meth ci P (ins' @ ins) (xt' @ shift (length ins') xt) t h (stk, loc, (length ins' + pc), xcp)
  ta h' (stk', loc', (length ins' + pc'), xcp')
using exec
proof(cases)
  case (exec-catch xcp d)
  from <match-ex-table P (cname-of h xcp) pc xt = ⌊(pc', d)⌋>
  have match-ex-table P (cname-of h xcp) (length ins' + pc) (shift (length ins') xt) = ⌊(length ins' +
  pc', d)⌋
  by(simp add: match-ex-table-shift)
  moreover from pcs have length ins' + pc ∉ pcs xt' by(auto)
  ultimately have match-ex-table P (cname-of h xcp) (length ins' + pc) (xt' @ shift (length ins') xt)
  = ⌊(length ins' + pc', d)⌋
  by(simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs)
  with exec-catch show ?thesis by(auto dest: exec-meth.exec-catch)
next
  case exec-instr
  note exec = <(ta, xcp', h', [(stk', loc', undefined, undefined, pc')]) ∈ exec-instr (ins ! pc) P t h stk
loc undefined undefined pc []>
  hence (ta, xcp', h', [(stk', loc', undefined, undefined, length ins' + pc')]) ∈ exec-instr (ins ! pc) P t

```

```

 $h \text{ stk loc undefined undefined } (\text{length } ins' + pc) []$ 
proof(cases ins ! pc)
  case (Goto i)
    with jump <pc < length ins> have - int pc ≤ i i < int (length ins - pc + n)
      by(auto dest: jump-ok-GotoD)
    with exec Goto show ?thesis by(auto)
next
  case (IfFalse i)
    with jump <pc < length ins> have - int pc ≤ i i < int (length ins - pc + n)
      by(auto dest: jump-ok-IfFalseD)
    with exec IfFalse show ?thesis by(auto)
next
  case (Invoke M n)
    with exec show ?thesis
      by(auto split: if-split-asm extCallRet.splits split del: if-split simp add: split-beta nth-append min-def
extRet2JVM-def)
    qed(auto simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm)
    moreover from <ci-app ci (ins ! pc) P h stk loc undefined undefined pc []
    have ci-app ci (ins ! pc) P h stk loc undefined undefined (length ins' + pc) []
      by(rule wf-ciD3'-ci-app) simp
    ultimately have exec-meth ci P (ins' @ ins) (xt' @ shift (length ins') xt) t h (stk, loc, (length ins'
+ pc), None) ta h' (stk', loc', (length ins' + pc'), xcp')
      using <pc < length ins> by -(rule exec-meth.exec-instr, simp-all)
    thus ?thesis using exec-instr by(auto)
  qed

lemma append-exec-meth:
  assumes exec: exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  and jump: jump-ok ins 0 n
  shows exec-meth ci P (ins' @ ins) (shift (length ins') xt) t h (stk, loc, (length ins' + pc), xcp) ta h'
(stk', loc', (length ins' + pc'), xcp')
  using assms by(rule append-exec-meth-xt [where xt' = [], simplified])

lemma exec-meth-take-xt':
  [] exec-meth ci P (ins @ ins') (xt' @ xt) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s';
  pc < length ins; pc ∉ pcs xt []
   $\implies$  exec-meth ci P ins xt' t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s'
  apply(erule exec-meth.cases)
  apply(auto intro: exec-meth.intros simp add: match-ex-table-append nth-append dest: match-ex-table-pcsD)
  done

lemma exec-meth-take-xt:
  [] exec-meth ci P (ins @ ins') (xt' @ shift (length ins) xt) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s';
  pc < length ins []
   $\implies$  exec-meth ci P ins xt' t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s'
  by(auto intro: exec-meth-take-xt')

lemma exec-meth-take:
  [] exec-meth ci P (ins @ ins') xt t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s';
  pc < length ins []
   $\implies$  exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s'
  by(auto intro: exec-meth-take-xt[where xt = []])

```

```

lemma exec-meth-drop-xt:
  assumes exec: exec-meth ci P (ins @ ins') (xt @ shift (length ins) xt') t h (stk, loc, (length ins + pc), xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  and xt: pcs xt ⊆ {..<length ins}
  and jump: jump-ok ins' 0 n
  shows exec-meth ci P ins' xt' t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', (pc' - length ins), xcp')
using exec
proof(cases rule: exec-meth.cases)
  case exec-instr
  let ?PC = length ins + pc
  note [simp] = <xcp = None>
  from <?PC < length (ins @ ins')> have pc: pc < length ins' by simp
  moreover with <(ta, xcp', h', [(stk', loc', undefined, undefined, pc')]) ∈ exec-instr ((ins @ ins') ! ?PC) P t h stk loc undefined undefined ?PC []>
    have (ta, xcp', h', [(stk', loc', undefined, undefined, pc' - length ins)]) ∈ exec-instr (ins' ! pc) P t h
    stk loc undefined undefined pc []
    apply(cases ins' ! pc)
    apply(simp-all add: split-beta split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm split del: if-split)
    apply(force split: extCallRet.splits simp add: min-def extRet2JVM-def)+
    done
  moreover from <ci-app ci ((ins @ ins') ! ?PC) P h stk loc undefined undefined ?PC []> jump pc
  have ci-app ci (ins' ! pc) P h stk loc undefined undefined pc []
  by(fastforce elim: wf-ciD3-ci-app dest: jump-ok-ins-jump-ok)
  ultimately show ?thesis by(auto intro: exec-meth.intros)
next
  case (exec-catch XCP D)
  let ?PC = length ins + pc
  note [simp] = <xcp = [XCP]>
  <ta = ε> <h' = h> <stk' = Addr XCP # drop (length stk - D) stk> <loc' = loc> <xcp' = None>
  from <match-ex-table P (cname-of h XCP) ?PC (xt @ shift (length ins) xt') = [(pc', D)]> xt
  have match-ex-table P (cname-of h XCP) pc xt' = [(pc' - length ins, D)]
  by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append dest: match-ex-table-shift-pcD match-ex-table-pcsD)
  with <D ≤ length stk> show ?thesis by(auto intro: exec-meth.intros)
qed

lemma exec-meth-drop:
  ⟦ exec-meth ci P (ins @ ins') (shift (length ins) xt) t h (stk, loc, (length ins + pc), xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp');
    jump-ok ins' 0 b ⟧
   $\implies$  exec-meth ci P ins' xt t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', (pc' - length ins), xcp')
by(auto intro: exec-meth-drop-xt[where xt = []])

lemma exec-meth-drop-xt-pc:
  assumes exec: exec-meth ci P (ins @ ins') (xt @ shift (length ins) xt') t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  and pc: pc ≥ length ins
  and pcs: pcs xt ⊆ {..<length ins}
  and jump: jump-ok ins' 0 n'
  shows pc' ≥ length ins
using exec
proof(cases rule: exec-meth.cases)
  case exec-instr thus ?thesis using jump pc
  apply(cases ins' ! (pc - length ins))
  apply(simp-all add: split-beta nth-append split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm)

```

```

apply(force split: extCallRet.splits simp add: min-def extRet2JVM-def dest: jump-ok-GotoD jump-ok-IfFalseD) +
  done
next
  case exec-catch thus ?thesis using pcs pc
    by(auto dest: match-ex-table-pcsD match-ex-table-shift-pcD simp add: match-ex-table-append)
qed

lemmas exec-meth-drop-pc = exec-meth-drop-xt-pc[where xt=[], simplified]

definition exec-move :: 
  ('addr, 'heap) check-instr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr J1-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1
   $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)
   $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action
   $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where exec-move ci P t e  $\equiv$  exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compxE2 e 0 0) t

definition exec-moves :: 
  ('addr, 'heap) check-instr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr J1-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1 list
   $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)
   $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action
   $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where exec-moves ci P t es  $\equiv$  exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compxEs2 es 0 0) t

abbreviation exec-move-a
where exec-move-a  $\equiv$  exec-move (Abs-check-instr check-instr')

abbreviation exec-move-d
where exec-move-d  $\equiv$  exec-move (Abs-check-instr check-instr)

abbreviation exec-moves-a
where exec-moves-a  $\equiv$  exec-moves (Abs-check-instr check-instr')

abbreviation exec-moves-d
where exec-moves-d  $\equiv$  exec-moves (Abs-check-instr check-instr)

lemma exec-move-newArrayI:
  exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s'  $\Longrightarrow$  exec-move ci P t (newA T[e]) h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-newArray:
  pc < length (compE2 e)  $\Longrightarrow$  exec-move ci P t (newA T[e]) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t
  e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
unfolding exec-move-def by(auto intro!: ext intro: exec-meth-take)

lemma exec-move-CastI:
  exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s'  $\Longrightarrow$  exec-move ci P t (Cast T e) h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-Cast:
  pc < length (compE2 e)  $\Longrightarrow$  exec-move ci P t (Cast T e) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e
  h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
unfolding exec-move-def by(auto intro!: ext intro: exec-meth-take)

lemma exec-move-InstanceOfI:

```

exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s' \implies exec-move ci P t (e instanceof T) h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-InstanceOf:

pc < length (compE2 e) \implies exec-move ci P t (e instanceof T) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
unfolding exec-move-def by (auto intro!: ext intro: exec-meth-take)

lemma exec-move-BinOpI1:

exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s' \implies exec-move ci P t (e «bop» e') h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-BinOp1:

pc < length (compE2 e) \implies exec-move ci P t (e «bop» e') h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
unfolding exec-move-def by (auto intro!: ext intro: exec-meth-take-xt simp add: compxE2-size-convs)

lemma exec-move-BinOpI2:

assumes exec: exec-move ci P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
shows exec-move ci P t (e1 «bop» e2) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp')

proof -

from exec **have** exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')

unfolding exec-move-def .

from exec-meth-stk-offer[*OF this, where stk''=[v]*] **show** ?thesis

by(fastforce split: bop.splits intro: append-exec-meth-xt simp add: exec-move-def compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)

qed

lemma exec-move-LAssI:

exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s' \implies exec-move ci P t (V := e) h s ta h' s'

unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-LAss:

pc < length (compE2 e) \implies exec-move ci P t (V := e) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
unfolding exec-move-def by (auto intro!: ext intro: exec-meth-take)

lemma exec-move-AAccI1:

exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s' \implies exec-move ci P t (e[e'] h s ta h' s')
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-AAccI:

pc < length (compE2 e) \implies exec-move ci P t (e[e'] h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp))
unfolding exec-move-def

by(auto intro!: ext intro: exec-meth-take-xt simp add: compxE2-size-convs)

lemma exec-move-AAccI2:

assumes exec: exec-move ci P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
shows exec-move ci P t (e1[e2]) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp')

```

proof -
  from exec have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta
  h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    unfolding exec-move-def .
  from exec-meth-stk-offer[OF this, where stk''=[v]] show ?thesis
    by(fastforce intro: append-exec-meth-xt simp add: exec-move-def compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
  qed

lemma exec-move-AAssI1:
  exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s'  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (e[e'] := e'') h s ta h' s'
  unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-AAssI1:
  assumes pc: pc < length (compE2 e)
  shows exec-move ci P t (e[e'] := e'') h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof(rule ext iffI)+
    fix ta h' s' assume ?rhs ta h' s'
    thus ?rhs ta h' s' by(rule exec-move-AAssI1)
  next
    fix ta h' s' assume ?lhs ta h' s'
    hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compE2 e' @ compE2 e'' @ [AStore, Push Unit])
      (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (compxE2 e' 0 (Suc 0) @ compxE2 e'' (length (compE2
      e')) (Suc (Suc 0)))) t
      h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s' by(simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
    thus ?rhs ta h' s' unfolding exec-move-def using pc by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)
  qed

lemma exec-move-AAssI2:
  assumes exec: exec-move ci P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  shows exec-move ci P t (e1[e2] := e3) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'
  @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp')
  proof -
    from exec have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta
    h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      unfolding exec-move-def .
    from exec-meth-stk-offer[OF this, where stk''=[v], simplified stack-xlift-compxE2, simplified]
      have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e2 @ compE2 e3 @ [AStore, Push Unit]) (compxE2 e2 0
      (Suc 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e2)) (compxE2 e3 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta
      h' (stk' @ [v], loc', pc', xcp')
        by(rule exec-meth-append-xt)
      hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2 @ compE2 e3 @ [AStore, Push Unit])
        (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e2))
        (compxE2 e3 0 (Suc (Suc 0))))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v],
        loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp')
          by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
        thus ?thesis by(auto simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
      qed

lemma exec-move-AAssI3:
  assumes exec: exec-move ci P t e3 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  shows exec-move ci P t (e1[e2] := e3) h (stk @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2
  e2) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc', xcp')
  proof -

```

```

from exec have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e3) (compxE2 e3 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta
h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  unfolding exec-move-def .
from exec-meth-stk-offer[OF this, where stk''=[v', v], simplified stack-xlift-compxE2, simplified]
have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e3 @ [AStore, Push Unit]) (compxE2 e3 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))
t h (stk @ [v', v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v', v], loc', pc', xcp')
  by(rule exec-meth-append)
hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ compE2 e3 @ [AStore, Push Unit])

  ((compxE2 e1 0 0 @ compxE2 e2 (length (compE2 e1)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length
  (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) (compxE2 e3 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h (stk @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 e1
  @ compE2 e2) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + pc', xcp')
  by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
  thus ?thesis by(auto simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
qed

lemma exec-move-ALengthI:
  exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s'  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (e.length) h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-ALength:
  pc < length (compE2 e)  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (e.length) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h
  (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
unfolding exec-move-def by(auto intro!: ext intro: exec-meth-take)

lemma exec-move-FAccI:
  exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s'  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (e.F{D}) h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-FAcc:
  pc < length (compE2 e)  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (e.F{D}) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h
  (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
unfolding exec-move-def by(auto intro!: ext intro: exec-meth-take)

lemma exec-move-FAssI1:
  exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s'  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (e.F{D} := e') h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-FAss1:
  pc < length (compE2 e)  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (e.F{D} := e') h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P
  t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
unfolding exec-move-def
by(auto intro!: ext intro: exec-meth-take-xt simp add: compxE2-size-convs)

lemma exec-move-FAssI2:
  assumes exec: exec-move ci P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  shows exec-move ci P t (e1.F{D} := e2) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h'
  (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp')
proof -
  from exec have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta
h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  unfolding exec-move-def .
from exec-meth-stk-offer[OF this, where stk''=[v]] show ?thesis
  by(fastforce intro: append-exec-meth-xt simp add: exec-move-def compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)

```

qed

lemma *exec-move-CASI1*:

exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s' \implies exec-move ci P t (e·compareAndSwap(D·F, e', e'')) h s ta h' s'
unfolding *exec-move-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *exec-move-CASI1*:

assumes *pc: pc < length (compE2 e)*

shows *exec-move ci P t (e·compareAndSwap(D·F, e', e'')) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)*

(is *?lhs = ?rhs*)

proof(rule ext iffI)+

fix *ta h' s' assume* *?rhs ta h' s'*

thus *?rhs ta h' s' by*(rule *exec-move-CASI1*)

next

fix *ta h' s' assume* *?lhs ta h' s'*

hence *exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compE2 e' @ compE2 e'' @ [CAS F D])*

(compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (compxE2 e' 0 (Suc 0) @ compxE2 e'' (length (compE2 e'))) (Suc (Suc 0)))) t

h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s' by(simp add: *exec-move-def shift-compxE2 ac-simps*)

thus *?rhs ta h' s' unfolding exec-move-def using pc by*(rule *exec-meth-take-xt*)

qed

lemma *exec-move-CASI2*:

assumes *exec: exec-move ci P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')*

shows *exec-move ci P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp')*

proof –

from *exec have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')*

unfolding *exec-move-def*.

from *exec-meth-stk-offer[OF this, where stk''=[v], simplified stack-xlift-compxE2, simplified]*

have *exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e2 @ compE2 e3 @ [CAS F D]) (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e2)) (compxE2 e3 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v], loc', pc', xcp')*

by(rule *exec-meth-append-xt*)

hence *exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2 @ compE2 e3 @ [CAS F D]) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e2)) (compxE2 e3 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp')*

by(rule *append-exec-meth-xt*) *auto*

thus *?thesis by*(auto simp add: *exec-move-def shift-compxE2 ac-simps*)

qed

lemma *exec-move-CASI3*:

assumes *exec: exec-move ci P t e3 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')*

shows *exec-move ci P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc', xcp')*

proof –

from *exec have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e3) (compxE2 e3 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')*

unfolding *exec-move-def*.

from *exec-meth-stk-offer[OF this, where stk''=[v', v], simplified stack-xlift-compxE2, simplified]*

have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e3 @ [CAS F D]) (compxE2 e3 0 (Suc (Suc 0))) t h (stk @ [v', v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v', v], loc', pc', xcp')

by(rule exec-meth-append)

hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ compE2 e3 @ [CAS F D])
 $((compxE2 e1 0 0 @ compxE2 e2 (length (compE2 e1)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) (compxE2 e3 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h (stk @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + pc', xcp')$

by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto

thus ?thesis **by**(auto simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2 ac-simps)

qed

lemma exec-move-CallI1:

exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s' \implies exec-move ci P t (e.M(es)) h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def **by** auto

lemma exec-move-Call1:

pc < length (compE2 e) \implies exec-move ci P t (e.M(es)) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)

unfolding exec-move-def

by(auto intro!: ext intro: exec-meth-take-xt simp add: compxEs2-size-convs)

lemma exec-move-CallI2:

assumes exec: exec-moves ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')

shows exec-move ci P t (e.M(es)) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e) + pc', xcp')

proof –

from exec **have** exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compxEs2 es) (compxEs2 es 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')

unfolding exec-moves-def .

from exec-meth-stk-offer[*OF this, where* stk''=[v]] **show** ?thesis

by(fastforce intro: append-exec-meth-xt simp add: exec-move-def compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs)
qed

lemma exec-move-BlockNoneI:

exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s' \implies exec-move ci P t {V:T=None; e} h s ta h' s'

unfolding exec-move-def **by** simp

lemma exec-move-BlockNone:

exec-move ci P t {V:T=None; e} = exec-move ci P t e

unfolding exec-move-def **by**(simp)

lemma exec-move-BlockSomeI:

assumes exec: exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')

shows exec-move ci P t {V:T=[v]; e} h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc pc), xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', Suc (Suc pc'), xcp')

proof –

let ?ins = [Push v, Store V]

from exec **have** exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (compxE2 e 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')

by(simp add: exec-move-def)

hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (?ins @ compE2 e) (shift (length ?ins) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?ins + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', length ?ins + pc', xcp')

by(rule append-exec-meth) auto

thus ?thesis **by**(simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2)

```

qed

lemma exec-move-BlockSome:
  exec-move ci P t {V:T=[v]; e} h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc pc), xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', Suc (Suc pc'), xcp') =
  = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?rhs thus ?lhs by(rule exec-move-BlockSomeI)
next
  let ?ins = [Push v, Store V]
  assume ?lhs
  hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (?ins @ compE2 e) (shift (length ?ins) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?ins + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', length ?ins + pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2)
  hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (compxE2 e 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', length ?ins + pc' - length ?ins, xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-drop) auto
  thus ?rhs by(simp add: exec-move-def)
qed

lemma exec-move-SyncI1:
  exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s'  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (syncV (e) e') h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-Sync1:
  assumes pc: pc < length (compE2 e)
  shows exec-move ci P t (syncV (e) e') h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(rule ext iffI)+
  fix ta h' s'
  assume ?lhs ta h' s'
  hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ Dup # Store V # MEnter # compE2 e' @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit, ThrowExc])
    (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (compxE2 e' 3 0 @ [(3, 3 + length (compE2 e')), None, 6 + length (compE2 e'), 0)]))
    t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s'
    by(simp add: shift-compxE2 ac-simps exec-move-def)
  thus ?rhs ta h' s' unfolding exec-move-def using pc by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)
qed(rule exec-move-SyncI1)

lemma exec-move-SyncI2:
  assumes exec: exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  shows exec-move ci P t (syncV (o') e) h (stk, loc, (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 o') + pc))))), xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 o') + pc'))))), xcp')
proof -
  let ?e = compE2 o' @ [Dup, Store V, MEnter]
  let ?e' = [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit, ThrowExc]
  from exec have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (compxE2 e 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: exec-move-def)
  hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) ((?e @ compE2 e) @ ?e') ((compxE2 o' 0 0 @ shift (length ?e) (compxE2 e 0 0)) @ [(length ?e, length ?e + length (compE2 e), None, length ?e + length (compE2 e) + 3, 0)]) t h (stk, loc, (length ?e + pc), xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', (length ?e + pc'), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-append-xt[OF append-exec-meth-xt]) auto

```

thus ?thesis **by**(simp add: eval-nat-numeral shift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
qed

lemma exec-move-SeqI1:
 $\text{exec-move } ci \ P \ t \ e \ h \ s \ ta \ h' \ s' \implies \text{exec-move } ci \ P \ t \ (e;;e') \ h \ s \ ta \ h' \ s'$
unfolding exec-move-def **by** auto

lemma exec-move-Seq1:
assumes pc: $pc < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$
shows $\text{exec-move } ci \ P \ t \ (e;;e') \ h \ (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) = \text{exec-move } ci \ P \ t \ e \ h \ (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp})$
 $(\text{is } ?lhs = ?rhs)$
proof(rule ext iffI)+
 fix ta h' s'
 assume ?lhs ta h' s'
 hence $\text{exec-meth } ci \ (\text{compP2 } P) \ (\text{compE2 } e @ \text{Pop} \# \text{compE2 } e') \ (\text{compxE2 } e \ 0 \ 0 @ \text{shift}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)) \ (\text{compxE2 } e' (\text{Suc } 0) \ 0)) \ t \ h \ (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \ ta \ h' \ s'$
 by(simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2)
 thus ?rhs ta h' s' **unfolding** exec-move-def **using** pc **by**(rule exec-meth-take-xt)
qed(rule exec-move-SeqI1)

lemma exec-move-SeqI2:
assumes exec: $\text{exec-move } ci \ P \ t \ e \ h \ (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \ ta \ h' \ (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc', \text{xcp}')$
shows $\text{exec-move } ci \ P \ t \ (e';;e) \ h \ (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, (\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e') + pc)), \text{xcp}) \ ta \ h' \ (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', (\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e') + pc')), \text{xcp}')$
proof –
 from exec **have** exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (compxE2 e 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h'
 $(\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc', \text{xcp}')$
 by(simp add: exec-move-def)
 hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) ((compE2 e' @ [Pop]) @ compE2 e) (compxE2 e' 0 0 @ shift(length(compE2 e' @ [Pop])) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, (length((compE2 e') @ [Pop]) + pc), xcp) ta h'
 $(\text{stk}', \text{loc}', (\text{length}((\text{compE2 } e') @ [\text{Pop}]) + pc'), \text{xcp}')$
 by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
 thus ?thesis **by**(simp add: shift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
qed

lemma exec-move-Seq2:
assumes pc: $pc < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$
shows $\text{exec-move } ci \ P \ t \ (e';;e) \ h \ (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e') + pc), \text{xcp}) \ ta \ h' \ (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e') + pc'), \text{xcp}') =$
 $\text{exec-move } ci \ P \ t \ e \ h \ (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \ ta \ h' \ (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc', \text{xcp}')$
 $(\text{is } ?lhs = ?rhs)$
proof
 let ?E = compE2 e' @ [Pop]
 assume ?lhs
 hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (?E @ compE2 e) (compxE2 e' 0 0 @ shift(length ?E) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?E + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', length ?E + pc', xcp')
 by(simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2)
 from exec-meth-drop-xt[*OF this*] **show** ?rhs **unfolding** exec-move-def **by** fastforce
qed(rule exec-move-SeqI2)

lemma exec-move-CondI1:
 $\text{exec-move } ci \ P \ t \ e \ h \ s \ ta \ h' \ s' \implies \text{exec-move } ci \ P \ t \ (\text{if } (e) \ e1 \ \text{else } e2) \ h \ s \ ta \ h' \ s'$
unfolding exec-move-def **by** auto

```

lemma exec-move-Cond1:
  assumes pc:  $pc < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$ 
  shows exec-move ci P t (if (e) e1 else e2) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof(rule ext iffI)+
    let ?E = IfFalse (2 + int (length (compE2 e1))) # compE2 e1 @ Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2))) # compE2 e2
    let ?xt = compxE2 e1 (Suc 0) 0 @ compxE2 e2 (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))) 0
    fix ta h' s'
    assume ?lhs ta h' s'
    hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ ?E) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e)) ?xt)
    t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s'
    by(simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
    thus ?rhs ta h' s' unfolding exec-move-def using pc by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)
  qed(rule exec-move-CondI1)

lemma exec-move-CondI2:
  assumes exec: exec-move ci P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  shows exec-move ci P t (if (e) e1 else e2) h (stk, loc, (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)), xcp) ta h'
  (stk', loc', (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc')), xcp')
  proof -
    from exec have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e1) (compxE2 e1 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta
    h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: exec-move-def)
    hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (((compE2 e @ [IfFalse (2 + int (length (compE2 e1)))])) @ compE2
    e1) @ Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2))) # compE2 e2 ((compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2
    e @ [IfFalse (2 + int (length (compE2 e1)))])) (compxE2 e1 0 0)) @ (compxE2 e2 (Suc (Suc (length
    (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1)))) 0)) t h (stk, loc, (length (compE2 e @ [IfFalse (2 + int (length
    (compE2 e1)))])) + pc), xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', (length (compE2 e @ [IfFalse (2 + int (length
    (compE2 e1)))])) + pc'), xcp')
    by -(rule exec-meth-append-xt, rule append-exec-meth-xt, auto)
    thus ?thesis by(simp add: shift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
  qed

lemma exec-move-Cond2:
  assumes pc:  $pc < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1)$ 
  shows exec-move ci P t (if (e) e1 else e2) h (stk, loc, (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)), xcp) ta h'
  (stk', loc', (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc')), xcp') = exec-move ci P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h'
  (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof
    let ?E1 = compE2 e @ [IfFalse (2 + int (length (compE2 e1)))]
    let ?E2 = Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2))) # compE2 e2
    assume ?lhs
    hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (?E1 @ compE2 e1 @ ?E2) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length ?E1)
    (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1))) (compxE2 e2 (Suc 0 0))) t h (stk, loc, length ?E1 +
    pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', length ?E1 + pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
    thus ?rhs unfolding exec-move-def
    by -(rule exec-meth-take-xt, drule exec-meth-drop-xt, auto simp add: pc)
  qed(rule exec-move-CondI2)

lemma exec-move-CondI3:

```

```

assumes exec: exec-move ci P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
shows exec-move ci P t (if (e) e1 else e2) h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1) + pc)), xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1) + pc')), xcp')
proof -
  let ?E = compE2 e @ IfFalse (2 + int (length (compE2 e1))) # compE2 e1 @ [Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2)))]
  let ?xt = compxE2 e 0 0 @ compxE2 e1 (Suc (length (compE2 e))) 0
  from exec have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: exec-move-def)
  hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (?E @ compE2 e2) (?xt @ shift (length ?E) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?E + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', length ?E + pc', xcp')
    by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
  thus ?thesis by(simp add: shift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
qed

```

```

lemma exec-move-Cond3:
  exec-move ci P t (if (e) e1 else e2) h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1) + pc)), xcp) ta
    h' (stk', loc', Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1) + pc')), xcp') =
  exec-move ci P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  let ?E = compE2 e @ IfFalse (2 + int (length (compE2 e1))) # compE2 e1 @ [Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2)))]
  let ?xt = compxE2 e 0 0 @ compxE2 e1 (Suc (length (compE2 e))) 0
  assume ?lhs
  hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (?E @ compE2 e2) (?xt @ shift (length ?E) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?E + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', length ?E + pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: shift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
  thus ?rhs unfolding exec-move-def by -(drule exec-meth-drop-xt, auto)
qed(rule exec-move-CondI3)

```

```

lemma exec-move-WhileI1:
  exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s'  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (while (e) e') h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

```

```

lemma (in ab-group-add) uminus-minus-left-commute:
  - a - (b + c) = - b - (a + c)
  by (simp add: algebra-simps)

```

```

lemma exec-move-While1:
  assumes pc: pc < length (compE2 e)
  shows exec-move ci P t (while (e) e') h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof(rule ext iffI)+
  let ?E = IfFalse (3 + int (length (compE2 e'))) # compE2 e' @ [Pop, Goto (- int (length (compE2 e)) + (-2 - int (length (compE2 e')))), Push Unit]
  let ?xt = compxE2 e' (Suc 0) 0
  fix ta h' s'
  assume ?lhs ta h' s'
  then have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ ?E) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e)) ?xt) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s'

```

```

by (simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2 algebra-simps uminus-minus-left-commute)
thus ?rhs ta h' s' unfolding exec-move-def using pc by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)
qed(rule exec-move-WhileI1)

lemma exec-move-WhileI2:
assumes exec: exec-move ci P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
shows exec-move ci P t (while (e) e1) h (stk, loc, (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)), xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc')), xcp')
proof -
  let ?E = compE2 e @ [IfFalse (3 + int (length (compE2 e1)))]
  let ?E' = [Pop, Goto (- int (length (compE2 e)) + (-2 - int (length (compE2 e1)))), Push Unit]
  from exec have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e1) (compxE2 e1 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: exec-move-def)
  hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) ((?E @ compE2 e1) @ ?E') (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length ?E)
    (compxE2 e1 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?E + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', length ?E + pc', xcp')
    by -(rule exec-meth-append, rule append-exec-meth-xt, auto)
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: shift-compxE2 exec-move-def algebra-simps uminus-minus-left-commute)
qed

lemma exec-move-While2:
assumes pc: pc < length (compE2 e')
shows exec-move ci P t (while (e) e') h (stk, loc, (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)), xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc')), xcp') =
  exec-move ci P t e' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
  let ?E = compE2 e @ [IfFalse (3 + int (length (compE2 e')))]
  let ?E' = [Pop, Goto (- int (length (compE2 e)) + (-2 - int (length (compE2 e')))), Push Unit]
  assume ?lhs
  hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) ((?E @ compE2 e') @ ?E') (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length ?E)
    (compxE2 e' 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?E + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', length ?E + pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2 algebra-simps uminus-minus-left-commute)
  thus ?rhs unfolding exec-move-def using pc
    by -(drule exec-meth-take, simp, drule exec-meth-drop-xt, auto)
qed(rule exec-move-WhileI2)

lemma exec-move-ThrowI:
  exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s'  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (throw e) h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-Throw:
  pc < length (compE2 e)  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (throw e) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) = exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
unfolding exec-move-def by(auto intro!: ext_intro: exec-meth-take)

lemma exec-move-TryI1:
  exec-move ci P t e h s ta h' s'  $\implies$  exec-move ci P t (try e catch(C V) e') h s ta h' s'
unfolding exec-move-def by auto

lemma exec-move-TryI2:
assumes exec: exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
shows exec-move ci P t (try e' catch(C V) e) h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e') + pc)), xcp)
  ta h' (stk', loc', Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e') + pc')), xcp')

```

proof –

```

let ?e = compE2 e' @ [Goto (int(size (compE2 e))+2), Store V]
from exec have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (compxE2 e 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h'
(stk', loc', pc', xcp')
by(simp add: exec-move-def)
hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) ((?e @ compE2 e) @ []) ((compxE2 e' 0 0 @ shift (length ?e)
(compxE2 e 0 0)) @ [(0, length (compE2 e')), [C], Suc (length (compE2 e')), 0)]) t h (stk, loc, (length
?e + pc), xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', (length ?e + pc'), xcp')
by(rule exec-meth-append-xt[OF append-exec-meth-xt]) auto
thus ?thesis by(simp add: eval-nat-numeral shift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
qed
```

lemma exec-move-Try2:

```

exec-move ci P t (try e catch(C V) e') h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)), xcp) ta
h' (stk', loc', Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc')), xcp') =
exec-move ci P t e' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
```

proof

```

let ?E = compE2 e @ [Goto (int(size (compE2 e'))+2), Store V]
let ?xt = [(0, length (compE2 e), [C], Suc (length (compE2 e)), 0)]
assume lhs: ?lhs
hence pc: pc < length (compE2 e')
by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def match-ex-table-append match-ex-entry
dest: match-ex-table-pcsD)
from lhs have exec-meth ci (compP2 P) ((?E @ compE2 e') @ []) ((compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length
?E) (compxE2 e' 0 0)) @ ?xt) t h (stk, loc, length ?E + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', length ?E + pc',
xcp')
by(simp add: exec-move-def shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
thus ?rhs unfolding exec-move-def using pc
by-(drule exec-meth-drop-xt[OF exec-meth-take-xt], auto)
qed(rule exec-move-TryI2)
```

lemma exec-move-raise-xcp-pcd:

```

exec-move ci P t E h (stk, loc, pc, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', Some a)  $\implies$  pc' = pc
apply(cases compE2 E ! pc)
apply(auto simp add: exec-move-def elim!: exec-meth.cases split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm)
apply(auto split: extCallRet.split-asm simp add: split-beta)
done
```

definition $\tau_{\text{exec-meth}}$::

$$\begin{aligned}
('addr, 'heap) \text{ check-instr} &\Rightarrow 'addr jvm-prog \Rightarrow 'addr instr list \Rightarrow ex-table \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap \\
&\Rightarrow ('addr val list \times 'addr val list \times pc \times 'addr option) \\
&\Rightarrow ('addr val list \times 'addr val list \times pc \times 'addr option) \Rightarrow \text{bool}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_{\text{exec-meth}} ci P ins xt t h s s' &\longleftrightarrow \\
\text{exec-meth } ci P \text{ ins } xt \text{ } t \text{ } h \text{ } s \text{ } \varepsilon \text{ } h \text{ } s' \wedge (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (s))) = \text{None} &\longrightarrow \tau_{\text{instr}} P h (\text{fst } s) (\text{ins } ! \text{fst } (\text{snd } (s)))
\end{aligned}$$

abbreviation $\tau_{\text{exec-meth-a}}$

where $\tau_{\text{exec-meth-a}} \equiv \tau_{\text{exec-meth}} (\text{Abs-check-instr check-instr}')$

abbreviation $\tau_{\text{exec-meth-d}}$

where $\tau_{\text{exec-meth-d}} \equiv \tau_{\text{exec-meth}} (\text{Abs-check-instr check-instr})$

lemma $\tau_{exec-methI}$ [*intro*]:
 $\llbracket exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \in h s'; xcp = None \implies \tau_{instr} P h stk (ins ! pc) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \tau_{exec-meth} ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) s'$
by(*simp add: $\tau_{exec-meth-def}$*)

lemma $\tau_{exec-methE}$ [*elim*]:
assumes $\tau_{exec-meth} ci P ins xt t h s s'$
obtains $stk loc pc xcp$
where $s = (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
and $exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \in h s'$
and $xcp = None \implies \tau_{instr} P h stk (ins ! pc)$
using *assms*
by(*cases s*)(*auto simp add: $\tau_{exec-meth-def}$*)

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec-methr} ::$
 $('addr, 'heap) check-instr \Rightarrow 'addr jvm-prog \Rightarrow 'addr instr list \Rightarrow ex-table \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap$
 $\Rightarrow ('addr val list \times 'addr val list \times pc \times 'addr option)$
 $\Rightarrow ('addr val list \times 'addr val list \times pc \times 'addr option) \Rightarrow bool$
where
 $\tau_{Exec-methr} ci P ins xt t h == (\tau_{exec-meth} ci P ins xt t h)^{\wedge\wedge\wedge}$

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec-metht} ::$
 $('addr, 'heap) check-instr \Rightarrow 'addr jvm-prog \Rightarrow 'addr instr list \Rightarrow ex-table \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'heap$
 $\Rightarrow ('addr val list \times 'addr val list \times pc \times 'addr option)$
 $\Rightarrow ('addr val list \times 'addr val list \times pc \times 'addr option) \Rightarrow bool$
where
 $\tau_{Exec-metht} ci P ins xt t h == (\tau_{exec-meth} ci P ins xt t h)^{\wedge\wedge}$

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec-methr-a}$
where $\tau_{Exec-methr-a} \equiv \tau_{Exec-methr} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr')$

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec-methr-d}$
where $\tau_{Exec-methr-d} \equiv \tau_{Exec-methr} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr)$

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec-metht-a}$
where $\tau_{Exec-metht-a} \equiv \tau_{Exec-metht} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr')$

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec-metht-d}$
where $\tau_{Exec-metht-d} \equiv \tau_{Exec-metht} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr)$

lemma $\tau_{Exec-methr-refl}$: $\tau_{Exec-methr} ci P ins xt t h s s ..$

lemma $\tau_{Exec-methr-step}'$:
 $\llbracket \tau_{Exec-methr} ci P ins xt t h s (stk', loc', pc', xcp');$
 $\tau_{exec-meth} ci P ins xt t h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') s' \rrbracket$
 $\implies \tau_{Exec-methr} ci P ins xt t h s s'$
by(*rule rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl*)

lemma $\tau_{Exec-methr-step}$:
 $\llbracket \tau_{Exec-methr} ci P ins xt t h s (stk', loc', pc', xcp');$
 $exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \in h s';$
 $xcp' = None \implies \tau_{instr} P h stk' (ins ! pc') \rrbracket$
 $\implies \tau_{Exec-methr} ci P ins xt t h s s'$

```

by(erule  $\tau\text{Exec-methr-step}'$ )(rule  $\tau\text{exec-methI}$ )

lemmas  $\tau\text{Exec-methr-intros} = \tau\text{Exec-methr-refl } \tau\text{Exec-methr-step}$ 
lemmas  $\tau\text{Exec-methr1step} = \tau\text{Exec-methr-step}[OF \tau\text{Exec-methr-refl}]$ 
lemmas  $\tau\text{Exec-methr2step} = \tau\text{Exec-methr-step}[OF \tau\text{Exec-methr-step}, OF \tau\text{Exec-methr-refl}]$ 
lemmas  $\tau\text{Exec-methr3step} = \tau\text{Exec-methr-step}[OF \tau\text{Exec-methr-step}, OF \tau\text{Exec-methr-step}, OF \tau\text{Exec-methr-refl}]$ 

lemma  $\tau\text{Exec-methr-cases}$  [consumes 1, case-names refl step]:
  assumes  $\tau\text{Exec-methr ci P ins xt t h s s'}$ 
  obtains  $s = s'$ 
  |  $stk' loc' pc' xcp'$ 
    where  $\tau\text{Exec-methr ci P ins xt t h s (stk', loc', pc', xcp')}$ 
       $\text{exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk', loc', pc', xcp')} \in h s'$ 
       $xcp' = \text{None} \implies \tau\text{instr P h stk' (ins ! pc')}$ 
  using assms
  by(rule rtranclp.cases)(auto elim!:  $\tau\text{exec-methE}$ )

lemma  $\tau\text{Exec-methr-induct}$  [consumes 1, case-names refl step]:
   $\llbracket \tau\text{Exec-methr ci P ins xt t h s s'};$ 
   $Q s;$ 
   $\wedge \text{stk loc pc xcp s'}. \llbracket \tau\text{Exec-methr ci P ins xt t h s (stk, loc, pc, xcp)}; \text{exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)} \in h s' ;$ 
   $xcp = \text{None} \implies \tau\text{instr P h stk (ins ! pc)}; Q (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rrbracket \implies Q s' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies Q s'$ 
  by(erule (1) rtranclp-induct)(blast elim:  $\tau\text{exec-methE}$ )

lemma  $\tau\text{Exec-methr-trans}$ :
   $\llbracket \tau\text{Exec-methr ci P ins xt t h s s'}; \tau\text{Exec-methr ci P ins xt t h s' s''} \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{Exec-methr ci P ins xt t h s''}$ 
  by(rule rtranclp-trans)

lemmas  $\tau\text{Exec-meth-induct-split} = \tau\text{Exec-methr-induct}[split-format (complete), consumes 1, case-names \tau\text{Exec-refl } \tau\text{Exec-step}]$ 

lemma  $\tau\text{Exec-methr-converse-cases}$  [consumes 1, case-names refl step]:
  assumes  $\tau\text{Exec-methr ci P ins xt t h s s'}$ 
  obtains  $s = s'$ 
  |  $stk loc pc xcp s''$ 
    where  $s = (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$ 
       $\text{exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)} \in h s''$ 
       $xcp = \text{None} \implies \tau\text{instr P h stk (ins ! pc)}$ 
       $\tau\text{Exec-methr ci P ins xt t h s'' s'}$ 
  using assms
  by(erule converse-rtranclpE)(blast elim:  $\tau\text{exec-methE}$ )

definition  $\tau\text{exec-move} ::$ 
   $(\text{'addr}, \text{'heap}) \text{ check-instr} \Rightarrow \text{'addr J1-prog} \Rightarrow \text{'thread-id} \Rightarrow \text{'addr expr1} \Rightarrow \text{'heap}$ 
   $\Rightarrow (\text{'addr val list} \times \text{'addr val list} \times \text{pc} \times \text{'addr option})$ 
   $\Rightarrow (\text{'addr val list} \times \text{'addr val list} \times \text{pc} \times \text{'addr option}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where
   $\tau\text{exec-move ci P t e h} =$ 
   $(\lambda(stk, loc, pc, xcp) s'. \text{exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)} \in h s' \wedge \tau\text{move2 P h stk e pc xcp})$ 

definition  $\tau\text{exec-moves} ::$ 

```

```

('addr, 'heap) check-instr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr J1-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1 list  $\Rightarrow$  'heap
 $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)
 $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
 $\tau_{exec\text{-}moves} ci P t es h =$ 
 $(\lambda(stk, loc, pc, xcp) s'. exec\text{-}moves ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \in h s' \wedge \tau_{moves2} P h stk es pc xcp)$ 

lemma  $\tau_{exec\text{-}moveI}$ :
 $\llbracket exec\text{-}move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \in h s'; \tau_{move2} P h stk e pc xcp \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \tau_{exec\text{-}move} ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) s'$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau_{exec\text{-}move}\text{-}def$ )

lemma  $\tau_{exec\text{-}moveE}$ :
assumes  $\tau_{exec\text{-}move} ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) s'$ 
obtains  $exec\text{-}move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \in h s' \tau_{move2} P h stk e pc xcp$ 
using assms by(simp add:  $\tau_{exec\text{-}move}\text{-}def$ )

lemma  $\tau_{exec\text{-}movesI}$ :
 $\llbracket exec\text{-}moves ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \in h s'; \tau_{moves2} P h stk es pc xcp \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \tau_{exec\text{-}moves} ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) s'$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau_{exec\text{-}moves}\text{-}def$ )

lemma  $\tau_{exec\text{-}movesE}$ :
assumes  $\tau_{exec\text{-}moves} ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) s'$ 
obtains  $exec\text{-}moves ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \in h s' \tau_{moves2} P h stk es pc xcp$ 
using assms by(simp add:  $\tau_{exec\text{-}moves}\text{-}def$ )

lemma  $\tau_{exec\text{-}move\text{-}conv}\text{-}\tau_{exec\text{-}meth}$ :
 $\tau_{exec\text{-}move} ci P t e = \tau_{exec\text{-}meth} ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (compxE2 e 0 0) t$ 
by(auto simp add:  $\tau_{exec\text{-}move}\text{-}def$  exec\text{-}move\text{-}def  $\tau_{move2\text{-}iff}$  compP2\text{-}def intro!: ext  $\tau_{exec\text{-}methI}$  elim!:  $\tau_{exec\text{-}methE}$ )

lemma  $\tau_{exec\text{-}moves\text{-}conv}\text{-}\tau_{exec\text{-}meth}$ :
 $\tau_{exec\text{-}moves} ci P t es = \tau_{exec\text{-}meth} ci (compP2 P) (compEs2 es) (compxEs2 es 0 0) t$ 
by(auto simp add:  $\tau_{exec\text{-}moves}\text{-}def$  exec\text{-}moves\text{-}def  $\tau_{moves2\text{-}iff}$  compP2\text{-}def intro!: ext  $\tau_{exec\text{-}methI}$  elim!:  $\tau_{exec\text{-}methE}$ )

abbreviation  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}mover}$ 
where  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}mover} ci P t e h == (\tau_{exec\text{-}move} ci P t e h)^{**}$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movet}$ 
where  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movet} ci P t e h == (\tau_{exec\text{-}move} ci P t e h)^{++}$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}mover-a}$ 
where  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}mover-a} \equiv \tau_{Exec\text{-}mover} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr')$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}mover-d}$ 
where  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}mover-d} \equiv \tau_{Exec\text{-}mover} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr)$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movet-a}$ 
where  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movet-a} \equiv \tau_{Exec\text{-}movet} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr')$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movet-d}$ 
where  $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movet-d} \equiv \tau_{Exec\text{-}movet} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr)$ 

```

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movesr}$

where $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movesr} ci P t e h == (\tau_{exec\text{-}moves} ci P t e h)^{\wedge**}$

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec\text{-}moveset}$

where $\tau_{Exec\text{-}moveset} ci P t e h == (\tau_{exec\text{-}moves} ci P t e h)^{\wedge++}$

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movesr\text{-}a}$

where $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movesr\text{-}a} \equiv \tau_{Exec\text{-}movesr} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr')$

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movesr\text{-}d}$

where $\tau_{Exec\text{-}movesr\text{-}d} \equiv \tau_{Exec\text{-}movesr} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr)$

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec\text{-}moveset\text{-}a}$

where $\tau_{Exec\text{-}moveset\text{-}a} \equiv \tau_{Exec\text{-}moveset} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr')$

abbreviation $\tau_{Exec\text{-}moveset\text{-}d}$

where $\tau_{Exec\text{-}moveset\text{-}d} \equiv \tau_{Exec\text{-}moveset} (Abs\text{-}check\text{-}instr check\text{-}instr)$

lemma $\tau_{Execr\text{-}refl}: \tau_{Exec\text{-}mover} ci P t e h s s$

by(rule rtranclp.rtrancl-refl)

lemma $\tau_{Execsr\text{-}refl}: \tau_{Exec\text{-}movesr} ci P t e h s s$

by(rule rtranclp.rtrancl-refl)

lemma $\tau_{Execr\text{-}step}:$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\tau_{Exec\text{-}mover} ci P t e h s (stk', loc', pc', xcp'); \\ & \quad exec\text{-}move ci P t e h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \in h s'; \\ & \quad \tau_{move2} P h stk' e pc' xcp'] \\ & \implies \tau_{Exec\text{-}mover} ci P t e h s s' \end{aligned}$$

by(rule rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)(auto elim: $\tau_{exec\text{-}move} I$)

lemma $\tau_{Execsr\text{-}step}:$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\tau_{Exec\text{-}movesr} ci P t es h s (stk', loc', pc', xcp'); \\ & \quad exec\text{-}moves ci P t es h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \in h s'; \\ & \quad \tau_{moves2} P h stk' es pc' xcp'] \\ & \implies \tau_{Exec\text{-}movesr} ci P t es h s s' \end{aligned}$$

by(rule rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)(auto elim: $\tau_{exec\text{-}moves} I$)

lemma $\tau_{Exec\text{-}step}:$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\tau_{Exec\text{-}movet} ci P t e h s (stk', loc', pc', xcp'); \\ & \quad exec\text{-}move ci P t e h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \in h s'; \\ & \quad \tau_{move2} P h stk' e pc' xcp'] \\ & \implies \tau_{Exec\text{-}movet} ci P t e h s s' \end{aligned}$$

by(rule tranclp.trancl-into-trancl)(auto intro: $\tau_{exec\text{-}move} I$)

lemma $\tau_{Execst\text{-}step}:$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\tau_{Exec\text{-}moveset} ci P t es h s (stk', loc', pc', xcp'); \\ & \quad exec\text{-}moves ci P t es h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \in h s'; \\ & \quad \tau_{moves2} P h stk' es pc' xcp'] \\ & \implies \tau_{Exec\text{-}moveset} ci P t es h s s' \end{aligned}$$

by(rule tranclp.trancl-into-trancl)(auto intro: $\tau_{exec\text{-}moves} I$)

lemmas $\tau_{Execr1step} = \tau_{Execr\text{-}step}[OF \tau_{Execr\text{-}refl}]$

```

lemmas  $\tau_{\text{Execr2step}} = \tau_{\text{Execr-step}}[\text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execr-step}}, \text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execr-refl}}]$ 
lemmas  $\tau_{\text{Execr3step}} = \tau_{\text{Execr-step}}[\text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execr-step}}, \text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execr-step}}, \text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execr-refl}}]$ 

lemmas  $\tau_{\text{Execsr1step}} = \tau_{\text{Execsr-step}}[\text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execsr-refl}}]$ 
lemmas  $\tau_{\text{Execsr2step}} = \tau_{\text{Execsr-step}}[\text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execsr-step}}, \text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execsr-refl}}]$ 
lemmas  $\tau_{\text{Execsr3step}} = \tau_{\text{Execsr-step}}[\text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execsr-step}}, \text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execsr-step}}, \text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execsr-refl}}]$ 

lemma  $\tau_{\text{Exec1step}}:$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{exec-move } ci P t e h s \varepsilon h s'; \\ & \quad \tau_{\text{move2}} P h (\text{fst } s) e (\text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } s))) (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } s))) ] \\ & \implies \tau_{\text{Exec-movet}} ci P t e h s s' \end{aligned}$$

by(rule tranclp.r-into-trancl)(cases s, auto intro:  $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}}$ )

lemmas  $\tau_{\text{Exec12step}} = \tau_{\text{Exec-step}}[\text{OF } \tau_{\text{Exec1step}}]$ 
lemmas  $\tau_{\text{Exec13step}} = \tau_{\text{Exec-step}}[\text{OF } \tau_{\text{Exec1step}}, \text{OF } \tau_{\text{Exec1step}}]$ 

lemma  $\tau_{\text{Execst1step}}:$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{exec-moves } ci P t es h s \varepsilon h s'; \\ & \quad \tau_{\text{moves2}} P h (\text{fst } s) es (\text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } s))) (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } s))) ] \\ & \implies \tau_{\text{Exec-movest}} ci P t es h s s' \end{aligned}$$

by(rule tranclp.r-into-trancl)(cases s, auto intro:  $\tau_{\text{exec-movesI}}$ )

lemmas  $\tau_{\text{Execst2step}} = \tau_{\text{Execst-step}}[\text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execst1step}}]$ 
lemmas  $\tau_{\text{Execst3step}} = \tau_{\text{Execst-step}}[\text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execst-step}}, \text{OF } \tau_{\text{Execst1step}}]$ 

lemma  $\tau_{\text{Execr-induct}} \text{ [consumes 1, case-names refl step]:}$ 
assumes major:  $\tau_{\text{Exec-mover}} ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
and refl:  $Q stk loc pc xcp$ 
and step:  $\bigwedge stk' loc' pc' xcp' stk'' loc'' pc'' xcp''$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & [\tau_{\text{Exec-mover}} ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp'); \\ & \quad \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp''); Q stk' loc' pc' xcp' ] \\ & \implies Q stk'' loc'' pc'' xcp'' \end{aligned}$$

shows  $Q stk'' loc'' pc'' xcp''$ 
using major refl
by(rule rtranclp-induct4)(rule step)

lemma  $\tau_{\text{Execsr-induct}} \text{ [consumes 1, case-names refl step]:}$ 
assumes major:  $\tau_{\text{Exec-movesr}} ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
and refl:  $Q stk loc pc xcp$ 
and step:  $\bigwedge stk' loc' pc' xcp' stk'' loc'' pc'' xcp''$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & [\tau_{\text{Exec-movesr}} ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp'); \\ & \quad \tau_{\text{exec-moves}} ci P t es h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp''); Q stk' loc' pc' xcp' ] \\ & \implies Q stk'' loc'' pc'' xcp'' \end{aligned}$$

shows  $Q stk'' loc'' pc'' xcp''$ 
using major refl
by(rule rtranclp-induct4)(rule step)

lemma  $\tau_{\text{Exec1-induct}} \text{ [consumes 1, case-names base step]:}$ 
assumes major:  $\tau_{\text{Exec-movet}} ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
and base:  $\bigwedge stk' loc' pc' xcp'. \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \implies Q stk' loc' pc' xcp'$ 
and step:  $\bigwedge stk' loc' pc' xcp' stk'' loc'' pc'' xcp''$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & [\tau_{\text{Exec-movet}} ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp'); \\ & \quad \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp''); Q stk' loc' pc' xcp' ] \end{aligned}$$


```

$\implies Q \text{stk}'' \text{loc}'' \text{pc}'' \text{xcp}''$
shows $Q \text{stk}'' \text{loc}'' \text{pc}'' \text{xcp}''$
using major
by(rule tranclp-induct4)(erule base step)+

lemma $\tau\text{Execst-induct}$ [consumes 1, case-names base step]:
assumes major: $\tau\text{Exec-movest} \text{ci } P \text{t es } h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}'', \text{pc}'', \text{xcp}'')$
and base: $\bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{loc}' \text{pc}' \text{xcp}' . \tau\text{exec-moves} \text{ci } P \text{t es } h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}') \implies Q \text{stk}' \text{loc}' \text{pc}' \text{xcp}'$
and step: $\bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{loc}' \text{pc}' \text{xcp}' \text{stk}'' \text{loc}'' \text{pc}'' \text{xcp}'' .$
 $\llbracket \tau\text{Exec-movest} \text{ci } P \text{t es } h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}');$
 $\tau\text{exec-moves} \text{ci } P \text{t es } h (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}') (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}'', \text{pc}'', \text{xcp}''); Q \text{stk}' \text{loc}' \text{pc}' \text{xcp}' \rrbracket$
 $\implies Q \text{stk}'' \text{loc}'' \text{pc}'' \text{xcp}''$
shows $Q \text{stk}'' \text{loc}'' \text{pc}'' \text{xcp}''$
using major
by(rule tranclp-induct4)(erule base step)+

lemma $\tau\text{Exec-mover-}\tau\text{Exec-methr}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-mover} \text{ci } P \text{t e} = \tau\text{Exec-methr} \text{ci} (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } e) (\text{compxE2 } e \ 0 \ 0) \ t$
by(simp only: $\tau\text{exec-move-conv-}\tau\text{exec-meth}$)

lemma $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-}\tau\text{Exec-methr}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movesr} \text{ci } P \text{t es} = \tau\text{Exec-methr} \text{ci} (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compEs2 } es) (\text{compxEs2 } es \ 0 \ 0) \ t$
by(simp only: $\tau\text{exec-moves-conv-}\tau\text{exec-meth}$)

lemma $\tau\text{Exec-movet-}\tau\text{Exec-metht}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet} \text{ci } P \text{t e} = \tau\text{Exec-metht} \text{ci} (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } e) (\text{compxE2 } e \ 0 \ 0) \ t$
by(simp only: $\tau\text{exec-move-conv-}\tau\text{exec-meth}$)

lemma $\tau\text{Exec-movest-}\tau\text{Exec-metht}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movest} \text{ci } P \text{t es} = \tau\text{Exec-metht} \text{ci} (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compEs2 } es) (\text{compxEs2 } es \ 0 \ 0) \ t$
by(simp only: $\tau\text{exec-moves-conv-}\tau\text{exec-meth}$)

lemma $\tau\text{Exec-mover-trans}$:
 $\llbracket \tau\text{Exec-mover} \text{ci } P \text{t e } h \text{ s } s'; \tau\text{Exec-mover} \text{ci } P \text{t e } h \text{ s}' \text{ s}'' \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover} \text{ci } P \text{t e } h \text{ s } s''$
by(rule rtranclp-trans)

lemma $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-trans}$:
 $\llbracket \tau\text{Exec-movesr} \text{ci } P \text{t es } h \text{ s } s'; \tau\text{Exec-movesr} \text{ci } P \text{t es } h \text{ s}' \text{ s}'' \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{Exec-movesr} \text{ci } P \text{t es } h \text{ s } s''$
by(rule rtranclp-trans)

lemma $\tau\text{Exec-movet-trans}$:
 $\llbracket \tau\text{Exec-movet} \text{ci } P \text{t e } h \text{ s } s'; \tau\text{Exec-movet} \text{ci } P \text{t e } h \text{ s}' \text{ s}'' \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet} \text{ci } P \text{t e } h \text{ s } s''$
by(rule tranclp-trans)

lemma $\tau\text{Exec-movest-trans}$:
 $\llbracket \tau\text{Exec-movest} \text{ci } P \text{t es } h \text{ s } s'; \tau\text{Exec-movest} \text{ci } P \text{t es } h \text{ s}' \text{ s}'' \rrbracket \implies \tau\text{Exec-movest} \text{ci } P \text{t es } h \text{ s } s''$
by(rule tranclp-trans)

lemma $\tau\text{exec-move-into-}\tau\text{exec-moves}$:
 $\tau\text{exec-move} \text{ci } P \text{t e } h \text{ s } s' \implies \tau\text{exec-moves} \text{ci } P \text{t } (e \ # \ es) \ h \ s \ s'$
by(cases s)(auto elim!: $\tau\text{exec-moveE}$ intro!: $\tau\text{exec-movesI}$ simp add: exec-move-def exec-moves-def intro: $\tau\text{moves2Hd}$)

lemma $\tau_{\text{Exec-mover}} \cdot \tau_{\text{Exec-movesr}}$:

$\tau_{\text{Exec-mover}} ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{\text{Exec-movesr}} ci P t (e \# es) h s s'$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl $\tau_{\text{exec-move-into-}\tau_{\text{exec-moves}}}$)+

lemma $\tau_{\text{Exec-movet}} \cdot \tau_{\text{Exec-movest}}$:

$\tau_{\text{Exec-movet}} ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{\text{Exec-movest}} ci P t (e \# es) h s s'$

by(induct rule: tranclp-induct)(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl $\tau_{\text{exec-move-into-}\tau_{\text{exec-moves}}}$)+

lemma exec-moves-append: exec-moves ci P t es h s ta h' s' \implies exec-moves ci P t (es @ es') h s ta h' s'

by(auto simp add: exec-moves-def)

lemma $\tau_{\text{exec-moves-append}}$: $\tau_{\text{exec-moves}} ci P t es h s s' \implies \tau_{\text{exec-moves}} ci P t (es @ es') h s s'$

by(cases s)(auto elim!: $\tau_{\text{exec-movesE}}$ intro!: $\tau_{\text{exec-movesI}}$ exec-moves-append)

lemma $\tau_{\text{Exec-movesr-append}}$ [intro]:

$\tau_{\text{Exec-movesr}} ci P t es h s s' \implies \tau_{\text{Exec-movesr}} ci P t (es @ es') h s s'$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl $\tau_{\text{exec-moves-append}}$)+

lemma $\tau_{\text{Exec-movest-append}}$ [intro]:

$\tau_{\text{Exec-movest}} ci P t es h s s' \implies \tau_{\text{Exec-movest}} ci P t (es @ es') h s s'$

by(induct rule: tranclp-induct)(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl $\tau_{\text{exec-moves-append}}$)+

lemma append-exec-moves:

assumes len: length vs = length es'

and exec: exec-moves ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')

shows exec-moves ci P t (es' @ es) h ((stk @ vs), loc, (length (compEs2 es') + pc), xcp) ta h' ((stk' @ vs), loc', (length (compEs2 es') + pc'), xcp')

proof –

from exec **have** exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compEs2 es) (compxEs2 es 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')

unfolding exec-moves-def .

hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compEs2 es) (stack-xlift (length vs) (compxEs2 es 0 0)) t h ((stk @ vs), loc, pc, xcp) ta h' ((stk' @ vs), loc', pc', xcp') **by**(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)

hence exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compEs2 es' @ compEs2 es) (compxEs2 es' 0 0 @ shift (length (compEs2 es'))) (stack-xlift (length (vs)) (compxEs2 es 0 0))) t h ((stk @ vs), loc, (length (compEs2 es') + pc), xcp) ta h' ((stk' @ vs), loc', (length (compEs2 es') + pc'), xcp')

by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto

thus ?thesis **by**(simp add: exec-moves-def stack-xlift-compxEs2 shift-compxEs2 len)

qed

lemma append- $\tau_{\text{exec-moves}}$:

\llbracket length vs = length es';

$\tau_{\text{exec-moves}} ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \rrbracket$

$\implies \tau_{\text{exec-moves}} ci P t (es' @ es) h ((stk @ vs), loc, (length (compEs2 es') + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ vs), loc', (length (compEs2 es') + pc'), xcp')$

by(auto elim!: $\tau_{\text{exec-movesE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-movesI}}$ append-exec-moves $\tau_{\text{moves2-stk-append}}$ append- τ_{moves2})

lemma append- $\tau_{\text{Exec-movesr}}$:

assumes len: length vs = length es'

shows $\tau_{\text{Exec-movesr}} ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$

$\implies \tau_{\text{Exec-movesr}} ci P t (es' @ es) h ((stk @ vs), loc, (length (compEs2 es') + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ vs), loc', (length (compEs2 es') + pc'), xcp')$

by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct4*)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl append-τexec-moves[OF len]*)+

lemma *append-τExec-movest*:

assumes *len: length vs = length es'*

shows $\tau\text{Exec-movest } ci P t es h \ (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movest } ci P t (es' @ es) h ((stk @ vs), loc, (length (compEs2 es') + pc), xcp) \ ((stk' @ vs), loc', (length (compEs2 es') + pc'), xcp')$

by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct4*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl append-τexec-moves[OF len]*)+

lemma *NewArray-τexecI*:

$\tau\text{exec-move } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{exec-move } ci P t (newA T[e]) h s s'$

by(cases *s*)(blast elim: *τexec-moveE intro: τexec-moveI τmove2-τmoves2.intros exec-move-newArrayI*)

lemma *Cast-τexecI*:

$\tau\text{exec-move } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{exec-move } ci P t (Cast T e) h s s'$

by(cases *s*)(blast elim: *τexec-moveE intro: τexec-moveI τmove2-τmoves2.intros exec-move-CastI*)

lemma *InstanceOf-τexecI*:

$\tau\text{exec-move } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{exec-move } ci P t (e \text{ instanceof } T) h s s'$

by(cases *s*)(blast elim: *τexec-moveE intro: τexec-moveI τmove2-τmoves2.intros exec-move-InstanceOfI*)

lemma *BinOp-τexecI1*:

$\tau\text{exec-move } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{exec-move } ci P t (e \text{ «bop» } e') h s s'$

by(cases *s*)(blast elim: *τexec-moveE intro: τexec-moveI τmove2-τmoves2.intros exec-move-BinOpI1*)

lemma *BinOp-τexecI2*:

$\tau\text{exec-move } ci P t e' h \ (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{exec-move } ci P t (e \text{ «bop» } e') h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (length (compE2 e) + pc), xcp) \ ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (length (compE2 e) + pc'), xcp')$

by(blast elim: *τexec-moveE intro: τexec-moveI τmove2-τmoves2.intros exec-move-BinOpI2 τmove2-stk-append*)

lemma *LAss-τexecI*:

$\tau\text{exec-move } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{exec-move } ci P t (V := e) h s s'$

by(cases *s*)(blast elim: *τexec-moveE intro: τexec-moveI τmove2-τmoves2.intros exec-move-LAssI*)

lemma *AAcc-τexecI1*:

$\tau\text{exec-move } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{exec-move } ci P t (e[i] := e') h s s'$

by(cases *s*)(blast elim: *τexec-moveE intro: τexec-moveI τmove2-τmoves2.intros exec-move-AAccI1*)

lemma *AAcc-τexecI2*:

$\tau\text{exec-move } ci P t e' h \ (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{exec-move } ci P t (e[e'] := e') h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (length (compE2 e) + pc), xcp) \ ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (length (compE2 e) + pc'), xcp')$

by(blast elim: *τexec-moveE intro: τexec-moveI τmove2-τmoves2.intros exec-move-AAccI2 τmove2-stk-append*)

lemma *AAss-τexecI1*:

$\tau\text{exec-move } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{exec-move } ci P t (e[i] := e') h s s'$

by(cases *s*)(blast elim: *τexec-moveE intro: τexec-moveI τmove2-τmoves2.intros exec-move-AAssI1*)

lemma *AAss-τexecI2*:

$\tau\text{exec-move } ci P t e' h \ (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{exec-move } ci P t (e[e'] := e') h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (length (compE2 e) + pc), xcp) \ ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (length (compE2 e) + pc'), xcp')$

by(blast elim: $\tau_{exec-moveE}$ intro: $\tau_{exec-moveI} \tau_{move2-\tau moves2}$.intros exec-move-AAssI2 $\tau_{move2-stk-append}$)

lemma AAss- τ_{execI3} :

$\tau_{exec-move ci P t e'' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')}$
 $\implies \tau_{exec-move ci P t (e[e'] := e'') h ((stk @ [v, v']), loc, (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e') + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v, v']), loc', (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e') + pc'), xcp')}$
by(blast elim: $\tau_{exec-moveE}$ intro: $\tau_{exec-moveI} \tau_{move2-\tau moves2}$.intros exec-move-AAssI3 $\tau_{move2-stk-append}$)

lemma ALengtH- τ_{execI} :

$\tau_{exec-move ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{exec-move ci P t (e.length) h s s'}}$
by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau_{exec-moveE}$ intro: $\tau_{exec-moveI} \tau_{move2-\tau moves2}$.intros exec-move-ALengthI)

lemma FAcc- τ_{execI} :

$\tau_{exec-move ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{exec-move ci P t (e.F\{D\}) h s s'}$
by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau_{exec-moveE}$ intro: $\tau_{exec-moveI} \tau_{move2-\tau moves2}$.intros exec-move-FAccI)

lemma FAss- τ_{execI1} :

$\tau_{exec-move ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{exec-move ci P t (e.F\{D\} := e') h s s'}$
by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau_{exec-moveE}$ intro: $\tau_{exec-moveI} \tau_{move2-\tau moves2}$.intros exec-move-FAssI1)

lemma FAss- τ_{execI2} :

$\tau_{exec-move ci P t e' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')}$
 $\implies \tau_{exec-move ci P t (e.F\{D\} := e') h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (length (compE2 e) + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (length (compE2 e) + pc'), xcp')}$
by(blast elim: $\tau_{exec-moveE}$ intro: $\tau_{exec-moveI} \tau_{move2-\tau moves2}$.intros exec-move-FAssI2 $\tau_{move2-stk-append}$)

lemma CAS- τ_{execI1} :

$\tau_{exec-move ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{exec-move ci P t (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'') h s s')}$
by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau_{exec-moveE}$ intro: $\tau_{exec-moveI} \tau_{move2-\tau moves2}$.intros exec-move-CASI1)

lemma CAS- τ_{execI2} :

$\tau_{exec-move ci P t e' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')}$
 $\implies \tau_{exec-move ci P t (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'') h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (length (compE2 e) + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (length (compE2 e) + pc'), xcp')}$
by(blast elim: $\tau_{exec-moveE}$ intro: $\tau_{exec-moveI} \tau_{move2-\tau moves2}$.intros exec-move-CASI2 $\tau_{move2-stk-append}$)

lemma CAS- τ_{execI3} :

$\tau_{exec-move ci P t e'' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')}$
 $\implies \tau_{exec-move ci P t (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'') h ((stk @ [v, v']), loc, (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e') + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v, v']), loc', (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e') + pc'), xcp')}$
by(blast elim: $\tau_{exec-moveE}$ intro: $\tau_{exec-moveI} \tau_{move2-\tau moves2}$.intros exec-move-CASI3 $\tau_{move2-stk-append}$)

lemma Call- τ_{execI1} :

$\tau_{exec-move ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{exec-move ci P t (e.M(es)) h s s'}}$
by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau_{exec-moveE}$ intro: $\tau_{exec-moveI} \tau_{move2-\tau moves2}$.intros exec-move-CallI1)

lemma Call- τ_{execI2} :

$\tau_{exec-moves ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')}$
 $\implies \tau_{exec-move ci P t (e.M(es)) h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (length (compE2 e) + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (length (compE2 e) + pc'), xcp')}$
by(blast elim: $\tau_{exec-movesE}$ intro: $\tau_{exec-moveI} \tau_{move2-\tau moves2}$.intros exec-move-CallI2 $\tau_{moves2-stk-append}$)

lemma Block- $\tau_{execI-Some}$:

$\tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t \{ V:T=[v]; e \} h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc pc), xcp) (stk', loc', Suc (Suc pc'), xcp')$
by(blast elim: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}} \tau_{\text{move2-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros exec-move-BlockSomeI}}$)

lemma *Block- $\tau_{\text{execI-None}}$:*

$\tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t \{ V:T=\text{None}; e \} h s s'$
by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}} \tau_{\text{move2-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros exec-move-BlockNoneI}}$)

lemma *Sync- τ_{execI} :*

$\tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t (\text{sync}_V (e) e') h s s'$
by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}} \tau_{\text{move2-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros exec-move-SyncI1}}$)

lemma *Insync- τ_{execI} :*

$\tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t (\text{sync}_V (e) e') h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc))), xcp)$
 $(stk', loc', Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc'))), xcp')$
by(blast elim: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}} \tau_{\text{move2-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros exec-move-SyncI2}}$)

lemma *Seq- τ_{execI1} :*

$\tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t (e; e') h s s'$
by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}} \tau_{\text{move2-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros exec-move-SeqI1}}$)

lemma *Seq- τ_{execI2} :*

$\tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t (e; e') h (stk, loc, Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc), xcp) (stk', loc', Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc')), xcp')$
by(blast elim: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}} \tau_{\text{move2-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros exec-move-SeqI2}}$)

lemma *Cond- τ_{execI1} :*

$\tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t (\text{if } (e) e' \text{ else } e'') h s s'$
by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}} \tau_{\text{move2-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros exec-move-CondI1}}$)

lemma *Cond- τ_{execI2} :*

$\tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t (\text{if } (e) e' \text{ else } e'') h (stk, loc, Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc), xcp) (stk', loc', Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc')), xcp')$
by(blast elim: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}} \tau_{\text{move2-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros exec-move-CondI2}}$)

lemma *Cond- τ_{execI3} :*

$\tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e'' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t (\text{if } (e) e' \text{ else } e'') h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e') + pc)), xcp) (stk', loc', Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e') + pc')), xcp')$
by(blast elim: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}} \tau_{\text{move2-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros exec-move-CondI3}}$)

lemma *While- τ_{execI1} :*

$\tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t (\text{while } (e) e') h s s'$
by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}} \tau_{\text{move2-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros exec-move-WhileI1}}$)

lemma *While- τ_{execI2} :*

$\tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t e' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau_{\text{exec-move}} ci P t (\text{while } (e) e') h (stk, loc, Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc), xcp) (stk', loc', Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc')), xcp')$
by(blast elim: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveE}}$ intro: $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}} \tau_{\text{move2-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros exec-move-WhileI2}}$)

lemma *Throw- $\tau execI$* :

$$\tau exec\text{-move } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau exec\text{-move } ci P t (\text{throw } e) h s s'$$

by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau exec\text{-move}E$ intro: $\tau exec\text{-move}I$ $\tau move2\text{-}\tau moves2$.intros exec-move-*ThrowI*)

lemma *Try- $\tau execI1$* :

$$\tau exec\text{-move } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau exec\text{-move } ci P t (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e') h s s'$$

by(cases s)(blast elim: $\tau exec\text{-move}E$ intro: $\tau exec\text{-move}I$ $\tau move2\text{-}\tau moves2$.intros exec-move-*TryI1*)

lemma *Try- $\tau execI2$* :

$$\tau exec\text{-move } ci P t e' h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$$

$\implies \tau exec\text{-move } ci P t (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e') h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{comp}E2 e) + \text{pc})), \text{xcp})$
 $(\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{comp}E2 e) + \text{pc}')), \text{xcp}')$

by(blast elim: $\tau exec\text{-move}E$ intro: $\tau exec\text{-move}I$ $\tau move2\text{-}\tau moves2$.intros exec-move-*TryI2*)

lemma *NewArray- $\tau ExecrI$* :

$$\tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t (\text{newA } T[e]) h s s'$$

by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl NewArray- $\tau execI$*)+

lemma *Cast- $\tau ExecrI$* :

$$\tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t (\text{Cast } T e) h s s'$$

by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Cast- $\tau execI$*)+

lemma *InstanceOf- $\tau ExecrI$* :

$$\tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t (\text{e instanceof } T) h s s'$$

by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl InstanceOf- $\tau execI$*)+

lemma *BinOp- $\tau ExecrI1$* :

$$\tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t e1 h s s' \implies \tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t (e1 \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2) h s s'$$

by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl BinOp- $\tau execI1$*)+

lemma *BinOp- $\tau ExecrI2$* :

$$\tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t e2 h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$$

$\implies \tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t (e \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2) h ((\text{stk} @ [v]), \text{loc}, (\text{length}(\text{comp}E2 e) + \text{pc}), \text{xcp}) ((\text{stk}' @ [v]), \text{loc}', (\text{length}(\text{comp}E2 e) + \text{pc}'), \text{xcp}')$

by(induct rule: $\tau Execr\text{-induct}$)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl BinOp- $\tau execI2$*)

lemma *LAss- $\tau ExecrI$* :

$$\tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t (V := e) h s s'$$

by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl LAss- $\tau execI$*)+

lemma *AAcc- $\tau ExecrI1$* :

$$\tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t (e[i]) h s s'$$

by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAcc- $\tau execI1$*)+

lemma *AAcc- $\tau ExecrI2$* :

$$\tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t i h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$$

$\implies \tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t (a[i]) h ((\text{stk} @ [v]), \text{loc}, (\text{length}(\text{comp}E2 a) + \text{pc}), \text{xcp}) ((\text{stk}' @ [v]), \text{loc}', (\text{length}(\text{comp}E2 a) + \text{pc}'), \text{xcp}')$

by(induct rule: $\tau Execr\text{-induct}$)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAcc- $\tau execI2$*)

lemma *AAss- $\tau ExecrI1$* :

$$\tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau Exec\text{-mover } ci P t (e[i] := e') h s s'$$

by(*induct rule: rtranclp-induct*)(*blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAss-τexecI1*)+

lemma AAss-τExecrI2:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t i h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (a[i] := e) h ((\text{stk} @ [v]), \text{loc}, (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{pc}), \text{xcp}) ((\text{stk}' @ [v]), \text{loc}', (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{pc}'), \text{xcp}')$

by(*induct rule: τExecr-induct*)(*blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAss-τexecI2*)+

lemma AAss-τExecrI3:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (a[i] := e) h ((\text{stk} @ [v, v']), \text{loc}, (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{pc}), \text{xcp}) ((\text{stk}' @ [v, v']), \text{loc}', (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{pc}'), \text{xcp}')$

by(*induct rule: τExecr-induct*)(*blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAss-τexecI3*)+

lemma ALengt-τExecrI:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (e \cdot \text{length}) h s s'$

by(*induct rule: rtranclp-induct*)(*blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl ALengt-τexecI*)+

lemma FAcc-τExecrI:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (e \cdot F\{D\}) h s s'$

by(*induct rule: rtranclp-induct*)(*blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl FAcc-τexecI*)+

lemma FAAss-τExecrI1:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (e \cdot F\{D\} := e') h s s'$

by(*induct rule: rtranclp-induct*)(*blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl FAAss-τexecI1*)+

lemma FAAss-τExecrI2:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e' h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (e \cdot F\{D\} := e') h ((\text{stk} @ [v]), \text{loc}, (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}), \text{xcp}) ((\text{stk}' @ [v]), \text{loc}', (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}'), \text{xcp}')$

by(*induct rule: τExecr-induct*)(*blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl FAAss-τexecI2*)+

lemma CAS-τExecrI1:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (e \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e', e'') h s s'$

by(*induct rule: rtranclp-induct*)(*blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CAS-τexecI1*)+

lemma CAS-τExecrI2:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e' h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (e \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e', e'') h ((\text{stk} @ [v]), \text{loc}, (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}), \text{xcp}) ((\text{stk}' @ [v]), \text{loc}', (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}'), \text{xcp}')$

by(*induct rule: τExecr-induct*)(*blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CAS-τexecI2*)+

lemma CAS-τExecrI3:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e'' h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (e \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e', e'') h ((\text{stk} @ [v, v']), \text{loc}, (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e') + \text{pc}), \text{xcp}) ((\text{stk}' @ [v, v']), \text{loc}', (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e') + \text{pc}'), \text{xcp}')$

by(*induct rule: τExecr-induct*)(*blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CAS-τexecI3*)+

lemma Call-τExecrI1:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t obj h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (obj \cdot M'(es)) h s s'$

by(*induct rule: rtranclp-induct*)(*blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Call-τexecI1*)+

lemma Call-τExecrI2:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h \text{ (} stk, loc, pc, xcp \text{) } (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t \{obj.M'(es)\} h \text{ ((} stk @ [v] \text{), loc, (length (compE2 obj) + pc), xcp \text{) } ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (length (compE2 obj) + pc'), xcp')}$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Execr-induct}$)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Call- τexecI2)+

lemma $\text{Block-}\tau\text{ExecrI-Some}$:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h \text{ (} stk, loc, pc, xcp \text{) } (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t \{V:T=[v]; e\} h \text{ (} stk, loc, (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc)), xcp \text{) } (stk', loc', (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc')), xcp')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Execr-induct}$)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Block- $\tau\text{execI-Some}$)+

lemma $\text{Block-}\tau\text{ExecrI-None}$:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t \{V:T=\text{None}; e\} h s s'$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Block- $\tau\text{execI-None}$)+

lemma $\text{Sync-}\tau\text{ExecrI}$:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (\text{sync}_V (e) e') h s s'$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Sync- τexecI)+

lemma $\text{Insync-}\tau\text{ExecrI}$:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e' h \text{ (} stk, loc, pc, xcp \text{) } (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (\text{sync}_V (e) e') h \text{ (} stk, loc, (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc)))), xcp \text{) } (stk', loc', (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc')))), xcp')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Execr-induct}$)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Insync- τexecI)+

lemma $\text{Seq-}\tau\text{ExecrI1}$:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (e;;e') h s s'$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Seq- τexecI1)+

lemma $\text{Seq-}\tau\text{ExecrI2}$:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h \text{ (} stk, loc, pc, xcp \text{) } (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \implies$
 $\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (e';;e) h \text{ (} stk, loc, (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e') + pc)), xcp \text{) } (stk', loc', (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e') + pc')), xcp')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Execr-induct}$)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Seq- τexecI2)+

lemma $\text{Cond-}\tau\text{ExecrI1}$:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) h s s'$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Cond- τexecI1)+

lemma $\text{Cond-}\tau\text{ExecrI2}$:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e1 h \text{ (} stk, loc, pc, xcp \text{) } (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \implies$
 $\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) h \text{ (} stk, loc, (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc)), xcp \text{) } (stk', loc', (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc')), xcp')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Execr-induct}$)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Cond- τexecI2)+

lemma $\text{Cond-}\tau\text{ExecrI3}$:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e2 h \text{ (} stk, loc, pc, xcp \text{) } (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \implies$
 $\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) h \text{ (} stk, loc, (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + length (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc))), xcp \text{) } (stk', loc', (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + length (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc')), xcp')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Execr-induct}$)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Cond- τexecI3)+

lemma $\text{While-}\tau\text{ExecrI1}$:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t c h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (\text{while } (c) e) h s s'$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl While- τexecI1)+

lemma *While- τ ExecrI2*:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (\text{while } (c) E) h (stk, loc, (Suc (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } c) + pc)), xcp) (stk', loc', (Suc (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } c) + pc')), xcp')$

by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Execr-induct}$)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl While- τ execI2*)+

lemma *Throw- τ ExecrI*:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (\text{throw } e) h s s'$

by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Throw- τ execI*)+

lemma *Try- τ ExecrI1*:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t E h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (\text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e) h s s'$

by(induct rule: *rtranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Try- τ execI1*)+

lemma *Try- τ ExecrI2*:

$\tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-mover } ci P t (\text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e) h (stk, loc, (Suc (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } E) + pc))), xcp) (stk', loc', (Suc (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } E) + pc'))), xcp')$

by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Execr-induct}$)(blast intro: *rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Try- τ execI2*)+

lemma *NewArray- τ ExecI*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (\text{newA } T[e]) h s s'$

by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl NewArray- τ execI*)+

lemma *Cast- τ ExecI*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (\text{Cast } T e) h s s'$

by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Cast- τ execI*)+

lemma *InstanceOf- τ ExecI*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e \text{ instanceof } T) h s s'$

by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl InstanceOf- τ execI*)+

lemma *BinOp- τ ExecI1*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e1 h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e1 \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2) h s s'$

by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl BinOp- τ execI1*)+

lemma *BinOp- τ ExecI2*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2) h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + pc'), xcp')$

by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Execr-induct}$)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl BinOp- τ execI2*)+

lemma *LAss- τ ExecI*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (V := e) h s s'$

by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl LAss- τ execI*)+

lemma *AAcc- τ ExecI1*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e[i]) h s s'$

by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl AAcc- τ execI1*)+

lemma *AAcc- τ ExecI2*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$

$\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (a[i]) h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (length (compE2 a) + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (length (compE2 a) + pc'), xcp')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Exect-induct}$)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp.trancl_into_trancl } A\text{Acc-}\tau\text{execI2}$)+

lemma $A\text{Ass-}\tau\text{ExecI1}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e[i] := e') h s s'$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp.trancl_into_trancl } A\text{Ass-}\tau\text{execI1}$)+

lemma $A\text{Ass-}\tau\text{ExecI2}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (a[i] := e) h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (length (compE2 a) + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (length (compE2 a) + pc'), xcp')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Exect-induct}$)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp.trancl_into_trancl } A\text{Ass-}\tau\text{execI2}$)+

lemma $A\text{Ass-}\tau\text{ExecI3}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (a[i] := e) h ((stk @ [v, v']), loc, (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i)) + pc, xcp) ((stk' @ [v, v']), loc', (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc'), xcp')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Exect-induct}$)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp.trancl_into_trancl } A\text{Ass-}\tau\text{execI3}$)+

lemma $A\text{Length-}\tau\text{ExecI}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e.length) h s s'$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp.trancl_into_trancl } A\text{Length-}\tau\text{execI}$)+

lemma $F\text{Acc-}\tau\text{ExecI}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e.F\{D\}) h s s'$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp.trancl_into_trancl } F\text{Acc-}\tau\text{execI}$)+

lemma $F\text{Ass-}\tau\text{ExecI1}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e.F\{D\} := e') h s s'$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp.trancl_into_trancl } F\text{Ass-}\tau\text{execI1}$)+

lemma $F\text{Ass-}\tau\text{ExecI2}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e.F\{D\} := e') h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (length (compE2 e) + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (length (compE2 e) + pc'), xcp')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Exect-induct}$)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp.trancl_into_trancl } F\text{Ass-}\tau\text{execI2}$)+

lemma $C\text{AS-}\tau\text{ExecI1}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) h s s'$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp.trancl_into_trancl } C\text{AS-}\tau\text{execI1}$)+

lemma $C\text{AS-}\tau\text{ExecI2}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (length (compE2 e) + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (length (compE2 e) + pc'), xcp')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Exect-induct}$)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp.trancl_into_trancl } C\text{AS-}\tau\text{execI2}$)+

lemma $C\text{AS-}\tau\text{ExecI3}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e'' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) h ((stk @ [v, v']), loc, (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e') + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v, v']), loc', (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e') + pc'), xcp')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Exect-induct}$)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp.trancl_into_trancl } C\text{AS-}\tau\text{execI3}$)+

lemma *Call- τ ExecI1*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t obj h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (\text{obj} \cdot M'(es)) h s s'$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Call- τ execI1*)+

lemma *Call- τ ExecI2*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movest } ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (\text{obj} \cdot M'(es)) h ((stk @ [v]), loc, (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } obj) + pc), xcp) ((stk' @ [v]), loc', (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } obj) + pc'), xcp')$
by(induct rule: *τ Execst-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Call- τ execI2*)+

lemma *Block- τ ExecI-Some*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t \{V:T=[v]; e\} h (stk, loc, (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc)), xcp) (stk', loc', (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc')), xcp')$
by(induct rule: *τ ExecI-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Block- τ execI-Some*)+

lemma *Block- τ ExecI-None*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t \{V:T=\text{None}; e\} h s s'$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Block- τ execI-None*)+

lemma *Sync- τ ExecI*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (\text{sync}_V (e) e') h s s'$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Sync- τ execI*)+

lemma *Insync- τ ExecI*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e' h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (\text{sync}_V (e) e') h (stk, loc, (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc))), xcp) (stk', loc', (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc'))), xcp')$
by(induct rule: *τ ExecI-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Insync- τ execI*)+

lemma *Seq- τ ExecI1*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e;;e') h s s'$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Seq- τ execI1*)+

lemma *Seq- τ ExecI2*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \implies$
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (e';;e) h (stk, loc, (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e') + pc)), xcp) (stk', loc', (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e') + pc')), xcp')$
by(induct rule: *τ ExecI-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Seq- τ execI2*)+

lemma *Cond- τ ExecI1*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e h s s' \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) h s s'$
by(induct rule: *tranclp-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Cond- τ execI1*)+

lemma *Cond- τ ExecI2*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \implies$
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) h (stk, loc, (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc)), xcp) (stk', loc', (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc')), xcp')$
by(induct rule: *τ ExecI-induct*)(blast intro: *tranclp.trancl-into-trancl Cond- τ execI2*)+

lemma *Cond- τ ExecI3*:

$\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \implies$
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet } ci P t (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) h (stk, loc, (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + length (\text{compE2 } e))))$

$e1) + pc)), \text{xcp}) (stk', loc', (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2} e) + \text{length} (\text{compE2} e1) + pc'))), \text{xcp}')$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Exec}-\text{induct}$)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp}.\text{trancl}-\text{into}-\text{trancl} \text{Cond}-\tau\text{execI3}$)+

lemma $\text{While-}\tau\text{ExecI1}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet ci P t c h s s'} \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet ci P t (while (c) e) h s s'}$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp}.\text{trancl}-\text{into}-\text{trancl} \text{While-}\tau\text{execI1}$)+

lemma $\text{While-}\tau\text{ExecI2}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet ci P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)} (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet ci P t (while (c) E) h (stk, loc, (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2} c) + pc)), \text{xcp}) (stk', loc',$
 $(\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2} c) + pc')), \text{xcp}'))$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Exec}-\text{induct}$)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp}.\text{trancl}-\text{into}-\text{trancl} \text{While-}\tau\text{execI2}$)+

lemma $\text{Throw-}\tau\text{ExecI}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet ci P t e h s s'} \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet ci P t (throw e) h s s'}$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp}.\text{trancl}-\text{into}-\text{trancl} \text{Throw-}\tau\text{execI}$)+

lemma $\text{Try-}\tau\text{ExecI1}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet ci P t E h s s'} \implies \tau\text{Exec-movet ci P t (try E catch(C' V) e) h s s'}$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp}.\text{trancl}-\text{into}-\text{trancl} \text{Try-}\tau\text{execI1}$)+

lemma $\text{Try-}\tau\text{ExecI2}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movet ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)} (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $\implies \tau\text{Exec-movet ci P t (try E catch(C' V) e) h (stk, loc, (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2} E) + pc))), \text{xcp}) (stk', loc', (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2} E) + pc')), \text{xcp}'))}$
by(induct rule: $\tau\text{Exec}-\text{induct}$)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp}.\text{trancl}-\text{into}-\text{trancl} \text{Try-}\tau\text{execI2}$)+

lemma $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-map-Val}$:
 $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-a P t (map Val vs) h ([]}, xs, 0, \text{None}) ((\text{rev} vs), xs, (\text{length} (\text{compEs2} (\text{map Val vs}))), \text{None})$
proof(induct vs arbitrary: pc stk Ts rule: rev-induct)
 case Nil thus ?case **by**(auto)
next
 case (snoc v vs')
 let ?E = $\text{compEs2} (\text{map Val vs}')$
 from snoc have $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-a P t (map Val (vs' @ [v]) h ([]}, xs, 0, \text{None}) ((\text{rev} vs'), xs, (\text{length} ?E), \text{None})$
 by auto
 also {
 have exec-meth-a ($\text{compP2} P$) (?E @ [Push v]) ($\text{compxEs2} (\text{map Val vs}') 0 0 @ \text{shift} (\text{length} ?E)$
 $[]}, t h ((\text{rev} vs'), xs, (\text{length} ?E + 0), \text{None}) \varepsilon h ((v \# \text{rev} vs'), xs, (\text{length} ?E + \text{Suc} 0), \text{None})$
 by -(rule append-exec-meth-xt, auto simp add: exec-meth-instr)
 moreover have $\tau\text{moves2} (\text{compP2} P) h (\text{rev} vs') (\text{map Val vs}' @ [\text{Val} v]) (\text{length} (\text{compEs2} (\text{map Val vs}')) + 0)$ None
 by(rule append- τmoves2 $\tau\text{moves2Hd}$ $\tau\text{move2Val}$)+
 ultimately have $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-a P t (map Val (vs' @ [v]) h ((\text{rev} vs'), xs, (\text{length} ?E), \text{None}) ((\text{rev} (vs' @ [v])), xs, (\text{length} (\text{compEs2} (\text{map Val (vs' @ [v]))}), \text{None}))}$
 by -(rule $\tau\text{Execsr1step}$, auto simp add: exec-moves-def compP2-def) }
 finally show ?case .
qed

lemma $\tau\text{Exec-mover-blocks1}$ [simp]:
 $\tau\text{Exec-mover ci P t (blocks1 n Ts body) h s s'} = \tau\text{Exec-mover ci P t body h s s'}$
by(simp add: $\tau\text{exec-move-conv-}\tau\text{exec-meth}$)

```

lemma  $\tau_{\text{Exec-movet-blocks1}}$  [simp]:
 $\tau_{\text{Exec-movet}} ci P t (\text{blocks1 } n \text{ } Ts \text{ } body) h s s' = \tau_{\text{Exec-movet}} ci P t body h s s'$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau_{\text{exec-move-conv}} \cdot \tau_{\text{exec-meth}}$ )

definition  $\tau_{\text{exec-1}} :: \text{'addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{'thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap}) \text{jvm-state} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap}) \text{jvm-state}$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where  $\tau_{\text{exec-1}} P t \sigma \sigma' \longleftrightarrow \text{exec-1 } P t \sigma \varepsilon \sigma' \wedge \tau_{\text{Move2}} P \sigma$ 

lemma  $\tau_{\text{exec-1I}}$  [intro]:
 $\llbracket \text{exec-1 } P t \sigma \varepsilon \sigma'; \tau_{\text{Move2}} P \sigma \rrbracket \implies \tau_{\text{exec-1}} P t \sigma \sigma'$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau_{\text{exec-1-def}}$ )

lemma  $\tau_{\text{exec-1E}}$  [elim]:
assumes  $\tau_{\text{exec-1}} P t \sigma \sigma'$ 
obtains  $\text{exec-1 } P t \sigma \varepsilon \sigma' \tau_{\text{Move2}} P \sigma$ 
using assms by(auto simp add:  $\tau_{\text{exec-1-def}}$ )

abbreviation  $\tau_{\text{Exec-1r}} :: \text{'addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{'thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap}) \text{jvm-state} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap})$ 
 $\text{jvm-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where  $\tau_{\text{Exec-1r}} P t == (\tau_{\text{exec-1}} P t)^{\wedge**}$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{\text{Exec-1t}} :: \text{'addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{'thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap}) \text{jvm-state} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap})$ 
 $\text{jvm-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where  $\tau_{\text{Exec-1t}} P t == (\tau_{\text{exec-1}} P t)^{\wedge++}$ 

definition  $\tau_{\text{exec-1-d}} :: \text{'addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{'thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap}) \text{jvm-state} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap})$ 
 $\text{jvm-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where  $\tau_{\text{exec-1-d}} P t \sigma \sigma' \longleftrightarrow \text{exec-1 } P t \sigma \varepsilon \sigma' \wedge \tau_{\text{Move2}} P \sigma \wedge \text{check } P \sigma$ 

lemma  $\tau_{\text{exec-1-dI}}$  [intro]:
 $\llbracket \text{exec-1 } P t \sigma \varepsilon \sigma'; \text{check } P \sigma; \tau_{\text{Move2}} P \sigma \rrbracket \implies \tau_{\text{exec-1-d}} P t \sigma \sigma'$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau_{\text{exec-1-d-def}}$ )

lemma  $\tau_{\text{exec-1-dE}}$  [elim]:
assumes  $\tau_{\text{exec-1-d}} P t \sigma \sigma'$ 
obtains  $\text{exec-1 } P t \sigma \varepsilon \sigma' \text{check } P \sigma \tau_{\text{Move2}} P \sigma$ 
using assms by(auto simp add:  $\tau_{\text{exec-1-d-def}}$ )

abbreviation  $\tau_{\text{Exec-1-dr}} :: \text{'addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{'thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap}) \text{jvm-state} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap})$ 
 $\text{jvm-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where  $\tau_{\text{Exec-1-dr}} P t == (\tau_{\text{exec-1-d}} P t)^{\wedge**}$ 

abbreviation  $\tau_{\text{Exec-1-dt}} :: \text{'addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{'thread-id} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap}) \text{jvm-state} \Rightarrow (\text{'addr}, \text{'heap})$ 
 $\text{jvm-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where  $\tau_{\text{Exec-1-dt}} P t == (\tau_{\text{exec-1-d}} P t)^{\wedge++}$ 

declare  $\text{compxE2-size-convs}$ [simp del]  $\text{compxEs2-size-convs}$ [simp del]
declare  $\text{compxE2-stack-xlift-convs}$ [simp del]  $\text{compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs}$ [simp del]

lemma  $\text{exec-instr-frs-offer}$ :
 $(ta, \text{xcp}', h', (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', C, M, pc') \# frs) \in \text{exec-instr ins } P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs$ 
 $\implies (ta, \text{xcp}', h', (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', C, M, pc') \# frs @ frs') \in \text{exec-instr ins } P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc (frs @$ 

```

```

 $frs')$ 
apply(cases ins)
apply(simp-all add: nth-append split-beta split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm)
apply(force split: extCallRet.split-asm simp add: extRet2JVM-def) +
done

lemma check-instr-frs-offer:
 $\llbracket \text{check-instr } ins \ P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ C \ M \ pc \ frs; ins \neq \text{Return} \ \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{check-instr } ins \ P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ C \ M \ pc \ (frs @ frs')$ 
by(cases ins)(simp-all split: if-split-asm)

lemma exec-instr-CM-change:
 $(ta, xcp', h', (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', C, M, pc') \# frs) \in \text{exec-instr } ins \ P \ t \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ C \ M \ pc \ frs$ 
 $\implies (ta, xcp', h', (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', C', M', pc') \# frs) \in \text{exec-instr } ins \ P \ t \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ C' \ M' \ pc \ frs$ 
apply(cases ins)
apply(simp-all add: nth-append split-beta neq-Nil-conv split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm)
apply(force split: extCallRet.split-asm simp add: extRet2JVM-def) +
done

lemma check-instr-CM-change:
 $\llbracket \text{check-instr } ins \ P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ C \ M \ pc \ frs; ins \neq \text{Return} \ \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{check-instr } ins \ P \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ C' \ M' \ pc \ frs$ 
by(cases ins)(simp-all split: if-split-asm)

lemma exec-move-exec-1:
assumes exec: exec-move ci P t body h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
and sees:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{body} \rfloor \text{ in } D$ 
shows exec-1 (compP2 P) t (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs) ta (xcp', h', (stk', loc', C, M, pc')) # frs
# frs)
using exec unfolding exec-move-def
proof(cases)
  case exec-instr
    note [simp] = <xcp = None>
    and exec = <(ta, xcp', h', [(stk', loc', undefined, undefined, pc')])>
       $\in \text{exec-instr } (\text{compE2 body ! pc}) (\text{compP2 P}) \ t \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ \text{undefined} \ \text{undefined} \ pc \ []$ 
    from exec have (ta, xcp', h', [(stk', loc', C, M, pc')]) 
       $\in \text{exec-instr } (\text{compE2 body ! pc}) (\text{compP2 P}) \ t \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ C \ M \ pc \ []$ 
    by(rule exec-instr-CM-change)
    from exec-instr-frs-offer[OF this, of frs]
    have (ta, xcp', h', (stk', loc', C, M, pc') # frs)
       $\in \text{exec-instr } (\text{compE2 body ! pc}) (\text{compP2 P}) \ t \ h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ C \ M \ pc \ frs$  by simp
    with sees <pc < length (compE2 body)> show ?thesis
      by(simp add: exec-1-iff compP2-def compMb2-def nth-append)
  next
    case exec-catch
    thus ?thesis using sees-method-compP[OF sees, of  $\lambda C \ M \ Ts \ T. \text{compMb2}$ ]
      by(simp add: exec-1-iff compMb2-def compP2-def)
  qed

lemma  $\tau$ exec-move- $\tau$ exec-1:
assumes exec:  $\tau$ exec-move ci P t body h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
and sees:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{body} \rfloor \text{ in } D$ 
shows  $\tau$ exec-1 (compP2 P) t (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs) (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc') # frs)

```

```

proof(rule  $\tau_{exec-1I}$ )
  from exec obtain exec': exec-move ci P t body h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)  $\varepsilon$  h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') 
    and  $\tau$ :  $\tau_{move2} P h$  stk body pc xcp by(rule  $\tau_{exec-moveE}$ )
    have exec-1 (compP2 P) t (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs)  $\varepsilon$  (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc') # frs)
    using exec' sees by(rule exec-move-exec-1)
    thus compP2 P,t  $\vdash$  (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs)  $-\varepsilon-jvm\rightarrow$  (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc') # frs) by auto
    { fix a
      assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
      from sees-method-compP[OF sees, of  $\lambda C M Ts T. compMb2$ ]
      have ex-table-of (compP2 P) C M = compxE2 body 0 0 by(simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def)
      hence match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) pc (ex-table-of (compP2 P) C M)  $\neq$  None pc < length (compE2 body)
      using exec' sees by(auto simp add: exec-move-def elim: exec-meth.cases) }
      with  $\tau$  sees sees-method-compP[OF sees, of  $\lambda C M Ts T. compMb2$ ]
      show  $\tau_{Move2} (compP2 P)$  (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs)
      unfolding  $\tau_{Move2-compP2}$ [OF sees] by(fastforce simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def)
    qed

lemma  $\tau_{Exec-mover-\tau_{Exec-1r}}$ :
  assumes move:  $\tau_{Exec-mover} ci P t body h$  (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  and sees:  $P \vdash C$  sees M :  $Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor body \rfloor$  in D
  shows  $\tau_{Exec-1r} (compP2 P)$  t (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs') (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc') # frs')
  using move
  by(induct rule:  $\tau_{Execr-induct}$ )(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl  $\tau_{exec-move-\tau_{exec-1}}$ [OF - sees])+

lemma  $\tau_{Exec-movet-\tau_{Exec-1t}}$ :
  assumes move:  $\tau_{Exec-movet} ci P t body h$  (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  and sees:  $P \vdash C$  sees M :  $Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor body \rfloor$  in D
  shows  $\tau_{Exec-1t} (compP2 P)$  t (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs') (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc') # frs')
  using move
  by(induct rule:  $\tau_{Execr-induct}$ )(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl  $\tau_{exec-move-\tau_{exec-1}}$ [OF - sees])+

lemma  $\tau_{Exec-1r-rtranclpD}$ :
   $\tau_{Exec-1r} P t$  (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h', frs')
   $\implies (\lambda((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h'). exec-1 P t$  (xcp, h, frs)  $\varepsilon$  (xcp', h', frs')  $\wedge \tau_{Move2} P$  (xcp, h, frs))  $\hat{\wedge}**$  ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h')
  by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct3)(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)+

lemma  $\tau_{Exec-1t-rtranclpD}$ :
   $\tau_{Exec-1t} P t$  (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h', frs')
   $\implies (\lambda((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h'). exec-1 P t$  (xcp, h, frs)  $\varepsilon$  (xcp', h', frs')  $\wedge \tau_{Move2} P$  (xcp, h, frs))  $\hat{+}+$  ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h')
  by(induct rule: tranclp-induct3)(fastforce intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl)+

lemma exec-meth-length-compE2-stack-xliftD:
  exec-meth ci P (compE2 e) (stack-xlift d (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s'
   $\implies$  pc < length (compE2 e)
  by(cases s')(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)

lemma exec-meth-length-pc-xt-Nil:

```

exec-meth $ci P ins [] t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s' \implies pc < length ins$

apply(erule exec-meth.cases)

apply(auto dest: match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)

done

lemma *BinOp-exec2D*:

assumes $exec: exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 (e1 «bop» e2)) (compxE2 (e1 «bop» e2) 0 0) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$

and $pc: pc < length (compE2 e2)$

shows $exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length [v1])) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcp') \wedge pc' \geq length (compE2 e1)$

proof

from $exec$ **have** $exec-meth ci (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ [BinOpInstr bop]) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1))) (stack-xlift (length [v1])) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$

by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)

hence $exec': exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1))) (stack-xlift (length [v1])) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$

by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: pc)

thus $exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length [v1])) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcp')$

by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto

from $exec'$ **show** $pc' \geq length (compE2 e1)$

by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto)

qed

lemma *Call-execParamD*:

assumes $exec: exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 (obj.M'(ps))) (compxE2 (obj.M'(ps)) 0 0) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$

and $pc: pc < length (compEs2 ps)$

shows $exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compEs2 ps) (stack-xlift (length [v])) (compxEs2 ps 0 0) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 obj), xcp') \wedge pc' \geq length (compE2 obj)$

proof

from $exec$ **have** $exec-meth ci (compP2 P) ((compE2 obj @ compEs2 ps) @ [Invoke M' (length ps)]) (compxE2 obj 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 obj))) (stack-xlift (length [v])) (compxEs2 ps 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$

by(simp add: compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs)

hence $exec': exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compE2 obj @ compEs2 ps) (compxE2 obj 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 obj))) (stack-xlift (length [v])) (compxEs2 ps 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$

by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: pc)

thus $exec-meth ci (compP2 P) (compEs2 ps) (stack-xlift (length [v])) (compxEs2 ps 0 0) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 obj), xcp')$

by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto

from $exec'$ **show** $pc' \geq length (compE2 obj)$

by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto)

qed

lemma *exec-move-length-compE2D* [dest]:

$exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s' \implies pc < length (compE2 e)$

by(cases s')(auto simp add: exec-move-def)

lemma *exec-moves-length-compEs2D* [dest]:

```

exec-moves ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' s' ==> pc < length (compEs2 es)
by(cases s')(auto simp add: exec-moves-def)

lemma exec-meth-ci-appD:
  [ exec-meth ci P ins xt t h (stk, loc, pc, None) ta h' fr' ]
  ==> ci-app ci (ins ! pc) P h stk loc undefined undefined pc []
by(cases fr')(simp add: exec-meth-instr)

lemma exec-move-ci-appD:
  exec-move ci P t E h (stk, loc, pc, None) ta h' fr'
  ==> ci-app ci (compE2 E ! pc) (compP2 P) h stk loc undefined undefined pc []
unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-meth-ci-appD)

lemma exec-moves-ci-appD:
  exec-moves ci P t Es h (stk, loc, pc, None) ta h' fr'
  ==> ci-app ci (compEs2 Es ! pc) (compP2 P) h stk loc undefined undefined pc []
unfolding exec-moves-def by(rule exec-meth-ci-appD)

lemma τinstr-stk-append-check:
  check-instr' i P h stk loc C M pc frs ==> τinstr P h (stk @ vs) i = τinstr P h stk i
by(cases i)(simp-all add: nth-append)

lemma τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move:
  exec-move ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, None) ta h' fr'
  ==> τinstr (compP2 P) h (stk @ vs) (compE2 e ! pc) = τinstr (compP2 P) h stk (compE2 e ! pc)
apply(drule exec-move-ci-appD)
apply(drule wf-ciD2-ci-app)
apply(erule τinstr-stk-append-check)
done

lemma τinstr-stk-drop-exec-moves:
  exec-moves ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, None) ta h' fr'
  ==> τinstr (compP2 P) h (stk @ vs) (compEs2 es ! pc) = τinstr (compP2 P) h stk (compEs2 es !
pc)
apply(drule exec-moves-ci-appD)
apply(drule wf-ciD2-ci-app)
apply(erule τinstr-stk-append-check)
done

end
end

```

7.16 The delay bisimulation between intermediate language and JVM

```

theory J1JVMBisim imports
  Execs
  ..../BV/BVNoTypeError
  J1
begin

declare Listn.lesub-list-impl-same-size[simp del]

```

```

lemma (in JVM-heap-conf-base') τexec-1-τexec-1-d:
  [| wf-jvm-progΦ P; τexec-1 P t σ σ'; Φ |- t:σ [ok] |] ==> τexec-1-d P t σ σ'
by(auto simp add: τexec-1-def τexec-1-d-def welltyped-commute[symmetric] elim: jvmd-NormalE)

context JVM-conf-read begin

lemma τExec-1r-preserves-correct-state:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
  and exec: τExec-1r P t σ σ'
  shows Φ |- t:σ [ok] ==> Φ |- t:σ' [ok]
using exec
by(induct)(blast intro: BV-correct-1[OF wf])+

lemma τExec-1t-preserves-correct-state:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
  and exec: τExec-1t P t σ σ'
  shows Φ |- t:σ [ok] ==> Φ |- t:σ' [ok]
using exec
by(induct)(blast intro: BV-correct-1[OF wf])+

lemma τExec-1r-τExec-1-dr:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
  shows [| τExec-1r P t σ σ'; Φ |- t:σ [ok] |] ==> τExec-1-dr P t σ σ'
apply(induct rule: rtranclp-induct)
apply(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl τexec-1-τexec-1-d[OF wf] τExec-1r-preserves-correct-state[OF wf])+
done

lemma τExec-1t-τExec-1-dt:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
  shows [| τExec-1t P t σ σ'; Φ |- t:σ [ok] |] ==> τExec-1-dt P t σ σ'
apply(induct rule: tranclp-induct)
apply(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl τexec-1-τexec-1-d[OF wf] τExec-1t-preserves-correct-state[OF wf])+
done

lemma τExec-1-dr-preserves-correct-state:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
  and exec: τExec-1-dr P t σ σ'
  shows Φ |- t: σ [ok] ==> Φ |- t: σ' [ok]
using exec
by(induct)(blast intro: BV-correct-1[OF wf])+

lemma τExec-1-dt-preserves-correct-state:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
  and exec: τExec-1-dt P t σ σ'
  shows Φ |- t:σ [ok] ==> Φ |- t:σ' [ok]
using exec
by(induct)(blast intro: BV-correct-1[OF wf])+

end

locale J1-JVM-heap-base =

```

```

J1-heap-base +
JVM-heap-base +
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

inductive bisim1 ::

'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1)
 $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)  $\Rightarrow$  bool

and bisims1 ::

'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1 list  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr expr1 list  $\times$  'addr locals1)
 $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)  $\Rightarrow$  bool

and bisim1-syntax ::

'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1)
 $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(-,-,-  $\vdash$  -  $\leftrightarrow$  - [50, 0, 0, 0, 50] 100)

and bisims1-syntax ::

'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1 list  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr expr1 list  $\times$  'addr locals1)
 $\Rightarrow$  ('addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  pc  $\times$  'addr option)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(-,-,-  $\vdash$  -  $\leftrightarrow$  - [50, 0, 0, 0, 50] 100)
for P :: 'm prog and h :: 'heap

where

P, e, h  $\vdash$  exs  $\leftrightarrow$  s  $\equiv$  bisim1 P h e exs s
| P, es, h  $\vdash$  esxs [ $\leftrightarrow$ ] s  $\equiv$  bisims1 P h es esxs s

| bisim1Val2:
pc = length (compE2 e)  $\Longrightarrow$  P, e, h  $\vdash$  (Val v, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (v # [], xs, pc, None)

| bisim1New:
P, new C, h  $\vdash$  (new C, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([][], xs, 0, None)

| bisim1NewThrow:
P, new C, h  $\vdash$  (THROW OutOfMemory, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([][], xs, 0, [addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory])

| bisim1NewArray:
P, e, h  $\vdash$  (e', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, xcpt)  $\Longrightarrow$  P, newA T[e], h  $\vdash$  (newA T[e'], xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, xcpt)

| bisim1NewArrayThrow:
P, e, h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, [a])  $\Longrightarrow$  P, newA T[e], h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, [a])

| bisim1NewArrayFail:
P, newA T[e], h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([v], xs, length (compE2 e), [a])

```

- | *bisim1Cast:*
 $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies P, Cast\ T\ e, h \vdash (Cast\ T\ e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
- | *bisim1CastThrow:*
 $P, e, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \implies P, Cast\ T\ e, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$
- | *bisim1CastFail:*
 $P, Cast\ T\ e, h \vdash (THROW\ ClassCast, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, length\ (compE2\ e), [addr-of-sys-xcpt\ Class-Cast])$
- | *bisim1InstanceOf:*
 $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies P, e \text{ instanceof } T, h \vdash (e' \text{ instanceof } T, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
- | *bisim1InstanceOfThrow:*
 $P, e, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \implies P, e \text{ instanceof } T, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$
- | *bisim1Val:* $P, Val\ v, h \vdash (Val\ v, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, None)$
- | *bisim1Var:* $P, Var\ V, h \vdash (Var\ V, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, None)$
- | *bisim1BinOp1:*
 $P, e1, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies P, e1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner e2, h \vdash (e' \llcorner bop \lrcorner e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
- | *bisim1BinOp2:*
 $P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies P, e1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner e2, h \vdash (Val\ v1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v1], loc, length\ (compE2\ e1) + pc, xcp)$
- | *bisim1BinOpThrow1:*
 $P, e1, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \implies P, e1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner e2, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$
- | *bisim1BinOpThrow2:*
 $P, e2, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \implies P, e1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner e2, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v1], loc, length\ (compE2\ e1) + pc, [a])$
- | *bisim1BinOpThrow:*
 $P, e1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner e2, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v1, v2], xs, length\ (compE2\ e1) + length\ (compE2\ e2), [a])$
- | *bisim1LAss1:*
 $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies P, V:=e, h \vdash (V:=e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
- | *bisim1LAss2:*
 $P, V:=e, h \vdash (unit, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, Suc\ (length\ (compE2\ e)), None)$
- | *bisim1LAssThrow:*
 $P, e, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \implies P, V:=e, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$

- | *bisim1Acc1*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, a, h \vdash (a', xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\ \implies P, a[i], h \vdash (a'[i], xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1Acc2*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, i, h \vdash (i', xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\ \implies P, a[i], h \vdash (\text{Val } v[i], xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, xcp) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1AccThrow1*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, a, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [ad]) \\ \implies P, a[i], h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [ad]) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1AccThrow2*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, i, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [ad]) \\ \implies P, a[i], h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, [ad]) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1AccFail*:

$$P, a[i], h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v, v'], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), [ad])$$
- | *bisim1Ass1*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, a, h \vdash (a', xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\ \implies P, a[i] := e, h \vdash (a'[i] := e, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1Ass2*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, i, h \vdash (i', xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\ \implies P, a[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Val } v[i] := e, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, xcp) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1Ass3*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\ \implies P, a[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Val } v[\text{Val } v'] := e', xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk @ [v', v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + pc, xcp) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1AssThrow1*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, a, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [ad]) \\ \implies P, a[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [ad]) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1AssThrow2*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, i, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [ad]) \\ \implies P, a[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, [ad]) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1AssThrow3*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [ad]) \\ \implies P, a[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk @ [v', v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + pc, [ad]) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1AssFail*:

$$P, a[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v', v, v'], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), [ad])$$
- | *bisim1Ass4*:

$$P, a[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{unit}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)), \text{None})$$

- | *bisim1ALength*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, a, h \vdash (a', xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\ \implies P, a \cdot \text{length}, h \vdash (a' \cdot \text{length}, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1ALengthThrow*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, a, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \\ \implies P, a \cdot \text{length}, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1ALengthNull*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, a \cdot \text{length}, h \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) &\leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a), \lfloor \text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer} \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1FAcc*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\ \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\}, h \vdash (e' \cdot F\{D\}, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1FAccThrow*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \\ \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\}, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1FAccNull*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, e \cdot F\{D\}, h \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) &\leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \lfloor \text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer} \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1FAss1*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\ \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h \vdash (e' \cdot F\{D\} := e2, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1FAss2*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\ \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e', xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc, xcp) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1FAssThrow1*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \\ \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1FAssThrow2*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \\ \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) &\leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1FAssNull*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) &\leftrightarrow ([v, \text{Null}], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2), \lfloor \text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer} \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1FAss3*:

$$\begin{aligned} P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h \vdash (\text{unit}, xs) &\leftrightarrow ([][], xs, \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2)), \text{None}) \end{aligned}$$
- | *bisim1CAS1*:

$P, e1, h \vdash (e1', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
 $\implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (e1' \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$

| *bisim1CAS2:*
 $P, e2, h \vdash (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
 $\implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2', e3), xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, xcp)$

| *bisim1CAS3:*
 $P, e3, h \vdash (e3', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
 $\implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, Val v', e3'), xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v', v], loc, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2) + pc, xcp)$

| *bisim1CASThrow1:*
 $P, e1, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$
 $\implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1CASThrow2:*
 $P, e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$
 $\implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1CASThrow3:*
 $P, e3, h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$
 $\implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v', v], loc, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2) + pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1CASFail:*
 $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v', v, v'], xs, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e3), \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1Call1:*
 $P, obj, h \vdash (obj', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
 $\implies P, obj \cdot M(ps), h \vdash (obj' \cdot M(ps), xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$

| *bisim1CallParams:*
 $P, ps, h \vdash (ps', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
 $\implies P, obj \cdot M(ps), h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot M(ps'), xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } obj) + pc, xcp)$

| *bisim1CallThrowObj:*
 $P, obj, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor a \rfloor)$
 $\implies P, obj \cdot M(ps), h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor a \rfloor)$

| *bisim1CallThrowParams:*
 $P, ps, h \vdash (\text{map } \text{Val } vs @ \text{Throw } a \# ps', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor a \rfloor)$
 $\implies P, obj \cdot M(ps), h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } obj) + pc, \lfloor a \rfloor)$

| *bisim1CallThrow:*
 $\text{length } ps = \text{length } vs$
 $\implies P, obj \cdot M(ps), h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (vs @ [v], xs, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } obj) + \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } ps), \lfloor a \rfloor)$

- | *bisim1BlockSome1*:
 $P, \{V:T=[v]; e\}, h \vdash (\{V:T=[v]; e\}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None})$
- | *bisim1BlockSome2*:
 $P, \{V:T=[v]; e\}, h \vdash (\{V:T=[v]; e\}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, \text{Suc } 0, \text{None})$
- | *bisim1BlockSome4*:
 $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
 $\implies P, \{V:T=[v]; e\}, h \vdash (\{V:T=\text{None}; e'\}, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc), xcp)$
- | *bisim1BlockThrowSome*:
 $P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$
 $\implies P, \{V:T=[v]; e\}, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc), [a])$
- | *bisim1BlockNone*:
 $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
 $\implies P, \{V:T=\text{None}; e\}, h \vdash (\{V:T=\text{None}; e'\}, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
- | *bisim1BlockThrowNone*:
 $P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$
 $\implies P, \{V:T=\text{None}; e\}, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$
- | *bisim1Sync1*:
 $P, e1, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
 $\implies P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{sync}_V(e') e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
- | *bisim1Sync2*:
 $P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{sync}_V(\text{Val } v) e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v, v], xs, \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)), \text{None})$
- | *bisim1Sync3*:
 $P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{sync}_V(\text{Val } v) e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs[V := v], \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1))), \text{None})$
- | *bisim1Sync4*:
 $P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
 $\implies P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{insync}_V(a) e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc))), xcp)$
- | *bisim1Sync5*:
 $P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{insync}_V(a) \text{ Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow ([xs ! V, v], xs, 4 + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$
- | *bisim1Sync6*:
 $P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, 5 + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$
- | *bisim1Sync7*:
 $P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{insync}_V(a) \text{ Throw } a', xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a'], xs, 6 + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$
- | *bisim1Sync8*:
 $P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{insync}_V(a) \text{ Throw } a', xs) \leftrightarrow ([xs ! V, \text{Addr } a'], xs, 7 + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$

- | *bisim1Sync9:*
 $P, sync_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], xs, 8 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$
- | *bisim1Sync10:*
 $P, sync_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], xs, 8 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), [a])$
- | *bisim1Sync11:*
 $P, sync_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], xs, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1))), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$
- | *bisim1Sync12:*
 $P, sync_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v, v'], xs, 4 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), [a])$
- | *bisim1Sync14:*
 $P, sync_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v, \text{Addr } a], xs, 7 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), [a])$
- | *bisim1SyncThrow:*
 $P, e1, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$
 $\implies P, sync_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$
- | *bisim1InSync:* — This rule only exists such that $P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None})$ holds for all e
 $P, insync_V(a) e, h \vdash (insync_V(a) e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None})$
- | *bisim1Seq1:*
 $P, e1, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \implies P, e1; e2, h \vdash (e'; e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
- | *bisim1SeqThrow1:*
 $P, e1, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a]) \implies P, e1; e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$
- | *bisim1Seq2:*
 $P, e2, h \vdash exs \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
 $\implies P, e1; e2, h \vdash exs \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{pc}), \text{xcp})$
- | *bisim1Cond1:*
 $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
 $\implies P, \text{if}(e) e1 \text{ else } e2, h \vdash (\text{if}(e') e1 \text{ else } e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
- | *bisim1CondThen:*
 $P, e1, h \vdash exs \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
 $\implies P, \text{if}(e) e1 \text{ else } e2, h \vdash exs \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}), \text{xcp})$
- | *bisim1CondElse:*
 $P, e2, h \vdash exs \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
 $\implies P, \text{if}(e) e1 \text{ else } e2, h \vdash exs \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{pc})), \text{xcp})$
- | *bisim1CondThrow:*

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor) \\
& \implies P, \text{if } (e) \ e1 \ \text{else} \ e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor)
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1While1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, \text{while } (c) \ e, h \vdash (\text{while } (c) \ e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None})
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1While3*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, c, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \\
& \implies P, \text{while } (c) \ e, h \vdash (\text{if } (e') (e; \text{while } (c) \ e) \ \text{else} \ \text{unit}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1While4*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \\
& \implies P, \text{while } (c) \ e, h \vdash (e'; \text{while } (c) \ e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{pc}), \text{xcp})
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1While6*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, \text{while } (c) \ e, h \vdash (\text{while } (c) \ e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e))), \text{None})
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1While7*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, \text{while } (c) \ e, h \vdash (\text{unit}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)))), \text{None})
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1WhileThrow1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, c, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor) \\
& \implies P, \text{while } (c) \ e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor)
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1WhileThrow2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor) \\
& \implies P, \text{while } (c) \ e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{pc}), \lfloor a \rfloor)
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1Throw1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \implies P, \text{throw } e, h \vdash (\text{throw } e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1Throw2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, \text{throw } e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], xs, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), \lfloor a \rfloor)
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1ThrowNull*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, \text{throw } e, h \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], xs, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1ThrowThrow*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor) \implies P, \text{throw } e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor)
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1Try*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \\
& \implies P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C \ V) \ e2, h \vdash (\text{try } e' \text{ catch}(C \ V) \ e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})
\end{aligned}$$

| *bisim1TryCatch1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor); \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C' \rfloor; P \vdash C' \preceq^* C \rrbracket \\
& \implies P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C \ V) \ e2, h \vdash (\{V:\text{Class } C=\text{None}; e2\}, xs[V := \text{Addr } a]) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)), \text{None})
\end{aligned}$$

```

| bisim1TryCatch2:
  P, e2, h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
  ⇒ P, try e catch(C V) e2, h ⊢ ({V:Class C=None; e'}, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2
  e) + pc)), xcp)

| bisim1TryFail:
  [P, e, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a]); typeof-addr h a = [Class-type C']; ¬ P ⊢ C' ≤* C]
  ⇒ P, try e catch(C V) e2, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])

| bisim1TryCatchThrow:
  P, e2, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
  ⇒ P, try e catch(C V) e2, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)),
  [a])

| bisims1Nil: P, [], h ⊢ ([][], xs) [↔] ([][], xs, 0, None)

| bisims1List1:
  P, e, h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ⇒ P, e#es, h ⊢ (e'#es, xs) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, xcp)

| bisims1List2:
  P, es, h ⊢ (es', xs) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
  ⇒ P, e#es, h ⊢ (Val v # es', xs) [↔] (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, xcp)

```

inductive-cases *bisim1-cases*:

```
P,e,h ⊢ (Val v, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
```

```

lemma bisim1-refl: P,e,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ ([][], xs, 0, None)
and bisims1-refl: P,es,h ⊢ (es, xs) [↔] ([][], xs, 0, None)
apply(induct e and es rule: call.induct calls.induct)
apply(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros simp add: nat-fun-sum-eq-conv)
apply(rename-tac option a)
apply(case-tac option)
apply(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros split: if-split-asm)
done

```

```

lemma bisims1-lengthD: P, es, h ⊢ (es', xs) [↔] s ⇒ length es = length es'
apply(induct es arbitrary: es' s)
apply(auto elim: bisims1.cases)
done

```

Derive an alternative induction rule for *bisim1* such that (i) induction hypothesis are generated for all subexpressions and (ii) the number of surrounding blocks is passed through.

inductive *bisim1'* ::

```
'm prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ 'addr expr1 ⇒ nat ⇒ ('addr expr1 × 'addr locals1)
⇒ ('addr val list × 'addr val list × pc × 'addr option) ⇒ bool
```

```
and bisims1' ::  

'm prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ 'addr expr1 list ⇒ nat ⇒ ('addr expr1 list × 'addr locals1)
⇒ ('addr val list × 'addr val list × pc × 'addr option) ⇒ bool
```

```
and bisim1'-syntax ::
```

' m prog \Rightarrow 'addr expr1 \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1)
 \Rightarrow ('addr val list \times 'addr val list \times pc \times 'addr option) \Rightarrow bool
 $(-, -, -, \vdash'' - \leftrightarrow - [50, 0, 0, 0, 0, 50] 100)$

and bisims1'-syntax ::

' m prog \Rightarrow 'addr expr1 list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow ('addr expr1 list \times 'addr val list)
 \Rightarrow ('addr val list \times 'addr val list \times pc \times 'addr option) \Rightarrow bool
 $(-, -, -, \vdash'' - [\leftrightarrow] - [50, 0, 0, 0, 0, 50] 100)$

for $P :: 'm$ prog **and** $h :: 'heap$

where

$P, e, n, h \vdash' exs \leftrightarrow s \equiv \text{bisim1}' P h e n exs s$
 $| P, es, n, h \vdash' esxs [\leftrightarrow] s \equiv \text{bisims1}' P h es n esxs s$

| bisim1Val2':
 $P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow (v \# [], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$

| bisim1New':
 $P, \text{new } C, n, h \vdash' (\text{new } C, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None})$

| bisim1NewThrow':
 $P, \text{new } C, n, h \vdash' (\text{THROW OutOfMemory}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory}])$

| bisim1NewArray':
 $P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
 $\Rightarrow P, \text{newA } T[e], n, h \vdash' (\text{newA } T[e'], xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$

| bisim1NewArrayThrow':
 $P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$
 $\Rightarrow P, \text{newA } T[e], n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$

| bisim1NewArrayFail':
 $(\bigwedge \text{xs}. P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}))$
 $\Rightarrow P, \text{newA } T[e], n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), [a])$

| bisim1Cast':
 $P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
 $\Rightarrow P, \text{Cast } T e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Cast } T e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$

| bisim1CastThrow':
 $P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$
 $\Rightarrow P, \text{Cast } T e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$

| bisim1CastFail':
 $(\bigwedge \text{xs}. P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}))$
 $\Rightarrow P, \text{Cast } T e, n, h \vdash' (\text{THROW ClassCast}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt ClassCast}])$

| bisim1InstanceOf':
 $P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
 $\Rightarrow P, e \text{ instanceof } T, n, h \vdash' (e' \text{ instanceof } T, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$

- | *bisim1InstanceOfThrow'*:
 $P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$
 $\implies P, e \text{ instanceof } T, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$
- | *bisim1Val'*: $P, \text{Val } v, n, h \vdash' (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None})$
- | *bisim1Var'*: $P, \text{Var } V, n, h \vdash' (\text{Var } V, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None})$
- | *bisim1BinOp1'*:
 $\llbracket P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp});$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e2, n, h \vdash' (e' \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
- | *bisim1BinOp2'*:
 $\llbracket P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp});$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Val } v1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk} @ [v1], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
- | *bisim1BinOpThrow1'*:
 $\llbracket P, e1, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a]);$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$
- | *bisim1BinOpThrow2'*:
 $\llbracket P, e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a]);$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk} @ [v1], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{pc}, [a])$
- | *bisim1BinOpThrow'*:
 $\llbracket \wedge_{xs.} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v1, v2], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2), [a])$
- | *bisim1LAss1'*:
 $P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
 $\implies P, V := e, n, h \vdash' (V := e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
- | *bisim1LAss2'*:
 $(\wedge_{xs.} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}))$
 $\implies P, V := e, n, h \vdash' (\text{unit}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)), \text{None})$
- | *bisim1LAssThrow'*:
 $P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$
 $\implies P, V := e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$
- | *bisim1Acc1'*:
 $\llbracket P, a, n, h \vdash' (a', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}); \wedge_{xs.} P, i, n, h \vdash' (i, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, a[i], n, h \vdash' (a'[i], xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
- | *bisim1Acc2'*:

$\llbracket P, i, n, h \vdash' (i', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \bigwedge_{xs} P, a, n, h \vdash' (a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, a[i], n, h \vdash' (Val v[i'], xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp)$

| *bisim1AAccThrow1'*:
 $\llbracket P, a, n, h \vdash' (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, i, n, h \vdash' (i, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, a[i], n, h \vdash' (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1AAccThrow2'*:
 $\llbracket P, i, n, h \vdash' (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, a, n, h \vdash' (a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, a[i], n, h \vdash' (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1AAccFail'*:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs} P, a, n, h \vdash' (a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None); \bigwedge_{xs} P, i, n, h \vdash' (i, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, a[i], n, h \vdash' (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v, v'], xs, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1AAss1'*:
 $\llbracket P, a, n, h \vdash' (a', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, i, n, h \vdash' (i, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, a[i] := e, n, h \vdash' (a'[i] := e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$

| *bisim1AAss2'*:
 $\llbracket P, i, n, h \vdash' (i', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, a, n, h \vdash' (a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, a[i] := e, n, h \vdash' (Val v[i'] := e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp)$

| *bisim1AAss3'*:
 $\llbracket P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, a, n, h \vdash' (a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, i, n, h \vdash' (i, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, a[i] := e, n, h \vdash' (Val v[Val v'] := e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc, xcp)$

| *bisim1AAssThrow1'*:
 $\llbracket P, a, n, h \vdash' (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, i, n, h \vdash' (i, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, a[i] := e, n, h \vdash' (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1AAssThrow2'*:
 $\llbracket P, i, n, h \vdash' (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, a, n, h \vdash' (a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, a[i] := e, n, h \vdash' (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1AAssThrow3'*:
 $\llbracket P, e, n, h \vdash' (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, a, n, h \vdash' (a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None);$
 $\quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, i, n, h \vdash' (i, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, a[i] := e, n, h \vdash' (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2$

$i) + pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| $bisim1AAssFail'$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\forall xs. P, a, n, h \vdash' (a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}); \\ & \quad \wedge \forall xs. P, i, n, h \vdash' (i, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}); \\ & \quad \wedge \forall xs. P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None})] \\ & \implies P, a[i] := e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v', v, v'], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) \\ & + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \lfloor ad \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$

| $bisim1AAss4'$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\forall xs. P, a, n, h \vdash' (a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}); \\ & \quad \wedge \forall xs. P, i, n, h \vdash' (i, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}); \\ & \quad \wedge \forall xs. P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None})] \\ & \implies P, a[i] := e, n, h \vdash' (\text{unit}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)), \text{None}) \end{aligned}$$

| $bisim1ALength'$:

$$\begin{aligned} & P, a, n, h \vdash' (a', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \\ & \implies P, a \cdot \text{length}, n, h \vdash' (a' \cdot \text{length}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \end{aligned}$$

| $bisim1ALengthThrow'$:

$$\begin{aligned} & P, a, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \\ & \implies P, a \cdot \text{length}, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$

| $bisim1ALengthNull'$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall xs. P, a, n, h \vdash' (a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None})) \\ & \implies P, a \cdot \text{length}, n, h \vdash' (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a), \lfloor \text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer} \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$

| $bisim1FAcc'$:

$$\begin{aligned} & P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \\ & \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\}, n, h \vdash' (e' \cdot F\{D\}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \end{aligned}$$

| $bisim1FAccThrow'$:

$$\begin{aligned} & P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \\ & \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\}, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$

| $bisim1FAccNull'$:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall xs. P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None})) \\ & \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\}, n, h \vdash' (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \lfloor \text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer} \rfloor) \end{aligned}$$

| $bisim1FAss1'$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\forall P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}); \\ & \quad \wedge \forall xs. P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None})] \\ & \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, n, h \vdash' (e' \cdot F\{D\} := e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \end{aligned}$$

| $bisim1FAss2'$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\forall P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}); \\ & \quad \wedge \forall xs. P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk } @ [v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc, \\ & \quad \text{xcp}) \\
| \quad & \text{bisim1FAssThrow1':} \\
& \quad [\![P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw ad}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})]\!] \\
& \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw ad}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \\
| \quad & \text{bisim1FAssThrow2':} \\
& \quad [\![P, e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw ad}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})]\!] \\
& \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw ad}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk } @ [v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \\
| \quad & \text{bisim1FAssNull':} \\
& \quad [\![\bigwedge_{xs} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})]\!] \\
& \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v, \text{Null}], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + \\
& \quad \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2), \lfloor \text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer} \rfloor) \\
| \quad & \text{bisim1FAss3':} \\
& \quad [\![\bigwedge_{xs} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})]\!] \\
& \implies P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{unit}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2)), \\
& \quad \text{None}) \\
| \quad & \text{bisim1CAS1':} \\
& \quad [\![P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e3, n, h \vdash' (e3, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})]\!] \\
& \implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), n, h \vdash' (e1' \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \\
& \quad \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \\
| \quad & \text{bisim1CAS2':} \\
& \quad [\![P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e3, n, h \vdash' (e3, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})]\!] \\
& \implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), n, h \vdash' (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2', e3), xs) \leftrightarrow \\
& \quad (\text{stk } @ [v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \text{xcp}) \\
| \quad & \text{bisim1CAS3':} \\
& \quad [\![P, e3, n, h \vdash' (e3', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})]\!] \\
& \implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), n, h \vdash' (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, Val v', e3'), xs) \leftrightarrow \\
& \quad (\text{stk } @ [v', v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + pc, \text{xcp}) \\
| \quad & \text{bisim1CASThrow1':} \\
& \quad [\![P, e1, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw ad}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}); \\
& \quad \quad \bigwedge_{xs} P, e3, n, h \vdash' (e3, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})]\!] \\
& \implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw ad}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor) \\
| \quad & \text{bisim1CASThrow2':}
\end{aligned}$$

$\llbracket P, e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor);$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, e3, n, h \vdash' (e3, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk} @ [v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1CASThrow3'*:
 $\llbracket P, e3, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor);$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk} @ [v', v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + pc, \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1CASFail'*:
 $\llbracket \wedge_{xs.} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, e3, n, h \vdash' (e3, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } ad, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v', v, v'], xs, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e3), \lfloor ad \rfloor)$

| *bisim1Call1'*:
 $\llbracket P, obj, n, h \vdash' (obj', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp});$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, ps, n, h \vdash' (ps, xs) [\leftrightarrow] (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, obj \cdot M(ps), n, h \vdash' (obj' \cdot M(ps), xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp})$

| *bisim1CallParams'*:
 $\llbracket P, ps, n, h \vdash' (ps', xs) [\leftrightarrow] (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}); ps \neq \[];$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, obj, n, h \vdash' (obj, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, obj \cdot M(ps), n, h \vdash' (\text{Val } v \cdot M(ps'), xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk} @ [v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } obj) + pc, \text{xcp})$

| *bisim1CallThrowObj'*:
 $\llbracket P, obj, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor a \rfloor);$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, ps, n, h \vdash' (ps, xs) [\leftrightarrow] (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, obj \cdot M(ps), n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor a \rfloor)$

| *bisim1CallThrowParams'*:
 $\llbracket P, ps, n, h \vdash' (\text{map } \text{Val } vs @ \text{Throw } a \# ps', xs) [\leftrightarrow] (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \lfloor a \rfloor);$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, obj, n, h \vdash' (obj, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, obj \cdot M(ps), n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk} @ [v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } obj) + pc, \lfloor a \rfloor)$

| *bisim1CallThrow'*:
 $\llbracket \text{length } ps = \text{length } vs;$
 $\quad \wedge_{xs.} P, obj, n, h \vdash' (obj, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}); \wedge_{xs.} P, ps, n, h \vdash' (ps, xs) [\leftrightarrow] (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, obj \cdot M(ps), n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (vs @ [v], xs, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } obj) + \text{length} (\text{compEs2 } ps), \lfloor a \rfloor)$

| *bisim1BlockSome1'*:
 $(\wedge_{xs.} P, e, \text{Suc } n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}))$
 $\implies P, \{V:T=[v]; e\}, n, h \vdash' (\{V:T=[v]; e\}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})$

| *bisim1BlockSome2'*:
 $(\wedge_{xs.} P, e, \text{Suc } n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}))$

$\implies P, \{V:T=[v]; e\}, n, h \vdash' (\{V:T=[v]; e\}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, Suc 0, None)$

| *bisim1BlockSome4'*:

$P, e, Suc n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$

$\implies P, \{V:T=[v]; e\}, n, h \vdash' (\{V:T=None; e'\}, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, Suc (Suc pc), xcp)$

| *bisim1BlockThrowSome'*:

$P, e, Suc n, h \vdash' (Throw a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$

$\implies P, \{V:T=[v]; e\}, n, h \vdash' (Throw a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, Suc (Suc pc), [a])$

| *bisim1BlockNone'*:

$P, e, Suc n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$

$\implies P, \{V:T=None; e\}, n, h \vdash' (\{V:T=None; e'\}, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$

| *bisim1BlockThrowNone'*:

$P, e, Suc n, h \vdash' (Throw a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$

$\implies P, \{V:T=None; e\}, n, h \vdash' (Throw a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$

| *bisim1Sync1'*:

$\llbracket P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp);$

$\quad \bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h \vdash' (e2, xs)} \llbracket \llbracket \emptyset, xs, 0, None \rrbracket \rrbracket$

$\implies P, sync_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (sync_V(e') e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$

| *bisim1Sync2'*:

$\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs)} \llbracket \llbracket \emptyset, xs, 0, None \rrbracket \rrbracket;$

$\quad \bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h \vdash' (e2, xs)} \llbracket \llbracket \emptyset, xs, 0, None \rrbracket \rrbracket$

$\implies P, sync_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (sync_V(Val v) e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v, v], xs, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), None)$

| *bisim1Sync3'*:

$\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs)} \llbracket \llbracket \emptyset, xs, 0, None \rrbracket \rrbracket;$

$\quad \bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h \vdash' (e2, xs)} \llbracket \llbracket \emptyset, xs, 0, None \rrbracket \rrbracket$

$\implies P, sync_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (sync_V(Val v) e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs[V := v], Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1))), None)$

| *bisim1Sync4'*:

$\llbracket P, e2, Suc n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp);$

$\quad \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs)} \llbracket \llbracket \emptyset, xs, 0, None \rrbracket \rrbracket$

$\implies P, sync_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (insync_V(a) e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)) + pc)), xcp$

| *bisim1Sync5'*:

$\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs)} \llbracket \llbracket \emptyset, xs, 0, None \rrbracket \rrbracket;$

$\quad \bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h \vdash' (e2, xs)} \llbracket \llbracket \emptyset, xs, 0, None \rrbracket \rrbracket$

$\implies P, sync_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (insync_V(a) Val v, xs) \leftrightarrow ([xs ! V, v], xs, 4 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)$

| *bisim1Sync6'*:

$\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs)} \llbracket \llbracket \emptyset, xs, 0, None \rrbracket \rrbracket;$

$\quad \bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h \vdash' (e2, xs)} \llbracket \llbracket \emptyset, xs, 0, None \rrbracket \rrbracket$

$\implies P, sync_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (Val v, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, 5 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)$

| *bisim1Sync7'*:

$\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h} h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h} h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{insync}_V(a) \text{ Throw } a', xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a'], xs, 6 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$

| $bisim1Sync8'$:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h} h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h} h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{insync}_V(a) \text{ Throw } a', xs) \leftrightarrow ([xs ! V, \text{Addr } a'], xs, 7 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$

| $bisim1Sync9'$:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h} h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h} h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], xs, 8 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$

| $bisim1Sync10'$:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h} h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h} h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], xs, 8 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), [a])$

| $bisim1Sync11'$:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h} h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h} h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], xs, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1)), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$

| $bisim1Sync12'$:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h} h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h} h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v, v'], xs, 4 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), [a])$

| $bisim1Sync14'$:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, e1, n, h} h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h} h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v, \text{Addr } a'], xs, 7 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), [a])$

| $bisim1SyncThrow'$:
 $\llbracket P, e1, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a]);$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, Suc n, h} h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$

| $bisim1InSync'$:
 $P, \text{insync}_V(a) e, n, h \vdash' (\text{insync}_V(a) e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})$

| $bisim1Seq1'$:
 $\llbracket P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp});$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, n, h} h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \implies P, e1;;e2, n, h \vdash' (e';;e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\
| \ bisim1SeqThrow': & \llbracket P, e1, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \\
& \implies P, e1;;e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \\
| \ bisim1Seq2': & \llbracket P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \\
& \implies P, e1;;e2, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc), xcp) \\
| \ bisim1Cond1': & \llbracket P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \\
& \implies P, \text{if } (e) \ e1 \text{ else } e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{if } (e') \ e1 \text{ else } e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\
| \ bisim1CondThen': & \llbracket P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \\
& \implies P, \text{if } (e) \ e1 \text{ else } e2, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + pc), xcp) \\
| \ bisim1CondElse': & \llbracket P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \\
& \implies P, \text{if } (e) \ e1 \text{ else } e2, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc)), xcp) \\
| \ bisim1CondThrow': & \llbracket P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e1, n, h \vdash' (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e2, n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \\
& \implies P, \text{if } (e) \ e1 \text{ else } e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \\
| \ bisim1While1': & \llbracket \wedge_{xs} P, c, n, h \vdash' (c, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \\
& \implies P, \text{while } (c) \ e, n, h \vdash' (\text{while } (c) \ e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}) \\
| \ bisim1While3': & \llbracket P, c, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \\
& \implies P, \text{while } (c) \ e, n, h \vdash' (\text{if } (e') \ (e;; \text{while } (c) \ e) \text{ else unit}, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \\
| \ bisim1While4': & \llbracket P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \\
& \quad \wedge_{xs} P, c, n, h \vdash' (c, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \\
& \implies P, \text{while } (c) \ e, n, h \vdash' (e';; \text{while } (c) \ e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + pc), xcp)
\end{aligned}$$

- | *bisim1While6'*:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, c, n, h} h \vdash' (c, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e, n, h} h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $P, \text{while } (c) e, n, h \vdash' (\text{while } (c) e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e))), \text{None})$
- | *bisim1While7'*:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge_{xs. P, c, n, h} h \vdash' (c, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None});$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e, n, h} h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $P, \text{while } (c) e, n, h \vdash' (\text{unit}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)))), \text{None})$
- | *bisim1WhileThrow1'*:
 $\llbracket P, c, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor);$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e, n, h} h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $P, \text{while } (c) e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor)$
- | *bisim1WhileThrow2'*:
 $\llbracket P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor);$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, c, n, h} h \vdash' (c, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $P, \text{while } (c) e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{pc}), \lfloor a \rfloor)$
- | *bisim1Throw1'*:
 $P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
 $\implies P, \text{throw } e, n, h \vdash' (\text{throw } e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
- | *bisim1Throw2'*:
 $(\bigwedge_{xs. P, e, n, h} h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}))$
 $\implies P, \text{throw } e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], xs, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), \lfloor a \rfloor)$
- | *bisim1ThrowNull'*:
 $(\bigwedge_{xs. P, e, n, h} h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}))$
 $\implies P, \text{throw } e, n, h \vdash' (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], xs, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), \lfloor \text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer} \rfloor)$
- | *bisim1ThrowThrow'*:
 $P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor)$
 $\implies P, \text{throw } e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor)$
- | *bisim1Try'*:
 $\llbracket P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp});$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, \text{Suc } n, h} h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{try } e' \text{ catch}(C V) e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
- | *bisim1TryCatch1'*:
 $\llbracket P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor); \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C' \rfloor; P \vdash C' \preceq^* C;$
 $\bigwedge_{xs. P, e2, \text{Suc } n, h} h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2, n, h \vdash' (\{V:\text{Class } C=\text{None}; e2\}, xs[V := \text{Addr } a]) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)), \text{None})$
- | *bisim1TryCatch2'*:
 $\llbracket P, e2, \text{Suc } n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp});$

$\begin{aligned} & \wedge_{xs. P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})} \\ & \implies P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2, n, h \vdash' (\{V:\text{Class } C=\text{None}; e'\}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc)), \text{xcp}) \end{aligned}$

| *bisim1TryFail'*:
 $\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P, e, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, [a]); \text{typeof-addr } h a = [\text{Class-type } C'] ; \neg P \vdash C' \preceq^* \\ & C; \end{aligned}$
 $\begin{aligned} & \wedge_{xs. P, e2, \text{Suc } n, h \vdash' (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})} \\ & \implies P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, [a]) \end{aligned}$

| *bisim1TryCatchThrow'*:
 $\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P, e2, \text{Suc } n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, [a]); \\ & \wedge_{xs. P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})} \\ & \implies P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2, n, h \vdash' (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc)), [a]) \end{aligned}$

| *bisims1Nil'*: $P, [], n, h \vdash' (\[], xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})$

| *bisims1List1'*:
 $\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P, e, n, h \vdash' (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}); \\ & \wedge_{xs. P, es, n, h \vdash' (es, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})} \\ & \implies P, e\#es, n, h \vdash' (e'\#es, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \end{aligned}$

| *bisims1List2'*:
 $\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P, es, n, h \vdash' (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}); \\ & \wedge_{xs. P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})} \\ & \implies P, e\#es, n, h \vdash' (\text{Val } v \# es', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk } @ [v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc, \text{xcp}) \end{aligned}$

lemma *bisim1'-refl*: $P, e, n, h \vdash' (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})$
and *bisims1'-refl*: $P, es, n, h \vdash' (es, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})$
apply(induct e and es arbitrary: n xs and n xs rule: call.induct calls.induct)
apply(auto intro: bisim1'-bisims1'.intros simp add: nat-fun-sum-eq-conv)
apply(rename-tac option a b c)
apply(case-tac option)
apply(auto intro: bisim1'-bisims1'.intros simp add: fun-eq-iff split: if-split-asm)
done

lemma *bisim1-imp-bisim1'*: $P, e, h \vdash exs \leftrightarrow s \implies P, e, n, h \vdash' exs \leftrightarrow s$
and *bisims1-imp-bisims1'*: $P, es, h \vdash esxs \leftrightarrow s \implies P, es, n, h \vdash' esxs \leftrightarrow s$
proof(induct arbitrary: n and n rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts)
case (*bisim1CallParams ps ps' xs stk loc pc xcp obj M v*)
show ?case
proof(cases ps = [])
case *True*
with $\langle P, ps, h \vdash (ps', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \rangle$ **have** $ps' = [] \text{ pc } = 0 \text{ stk } = [] \text{ loc } = xs \text{ xcp } = \text{None}$
by(auto elim: *bisims1.cases*)
moreover have $P, obj, n, h \vdash' (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } obj), \text{None})$
by(blast intro: *bisim1Val2' bisim1'-refl*)
hence $P, obj \cdot M([]), n, h \vdash' (\text{Val } v \cdot M([]), xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } obj), \text{None})$
by-(rule *bisim1Call1'*, auto intro!: *bisims1Nil'* simp add: *bsoks-def*)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** *True* **by** *simp*
next
case *False* **with** *bisim1CallParams* **show** ?thesis
by(auto intro: *bisim1CallParams'* *bisims1'-refl bisim1'-refl*)

```

qed
qed(auto intro: bisim1'-bisims1'.intros bisim1'-refl bisims1'-refl)

lemma bisim1'-imp-bisim1: P, e, n, h ⊢' exs ↔ s ==> P, e, h ⊢ exs ↔ s
  and bisims1'-imp-bisims1: P, es, n, h ⊢' esxs [↔] s ==> P, es, h ⊢ esxs [↔] s
apply(induct rule: bisim1'-bisims1'.inducts)
apply(blast intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros) +
done

lemma bisim1'-eq-bisim1: bisim1' P h e n = bisim1 P h e
  and bisims1'-eq-bisims1: bisims1' P h es n = bisims1 P h es
by(blast intro!: ext bisim1-imp-bisim1' bisims1-imp-bisims1' bisim1'-imp-bisim1 bisims1'-imp-bisims1) +
end

lemmas bisim1-bisims1-inducts =
J1-JVM-heap-base.bisim1'-bisims1'.inducts
[simplified J1-JVM-heap-base.bisim1'-eq-bisim1 J1-JVM-heap-base.bisims1'-eq-bisims1,
consumes 1,
case-names bisim1Val2 bisim1New bisim1NewThrow
bisim1NewArray bisim1NewArrayThrow bisim1NewArrayFail bisim1Cast bisim1CastThrow bisim1Cast-
Fail
bisim1InstanceOf bisim1InstanceOfThrow
bisim1Val bisim1Var bisim1BinOp1 bisim1BinOp2 bisim1BinOp bisim1BinOpThrow1 bisim1BinOpThrow2 bisim1BinOpThrow
bisim1LAss1 bisim1LAss2 bisim1LAssThrow
bisim1AAcc1 bisim1AAcc2 bisim1AAcc Throw1 bisim1AAcc Throw2 bisim1AAccFail
bisim1AAss1 bisim1AAss2 bisim1AAss3 bisim1AAss Throw1 bisim1AAss Throw2
bisim1AAssThrow3 bisim1AAssFail bisim1AAss4
bisim1ALength bisim1ALength Throw bisim1ALengthNull
bisim1FAcc bisim1FAcc Throw bisim1FAccNull
bisim1FAss1 bisim1FAss2 bisim1FAss Throw1 bisim1FAss Throw2 bisim1FAssNull bisim1FAss3
bisim1CAS1 bisim1CAS2 bisim1CAS3 bisim1CASThrow1 bisim1CASThrow2
bisim1CASThrow3 bisim1CASThrow
bisim1Call1 bisim1CallParams bisim1CallThrowObj bisim1CallThrowParams
bisim1CallThrow
bisim1BlockSome1 bisim1BlockSome2 bisim1BlockSome4 bisim1BlockThrowSome
bisim1BlockNone bisim1BlockThrowNone
bisim1Sync1 bisim1Sync2 bisim1Sync3 bisim1Sync4 bisim1Sync5 bisim1Sync6
bisim1Sync7 bisim1Sync8 bisim1Sync9 bisim1Sync10 bisim1Sync11 bisim1Sync12
bisim1Sync14 bisim1Sync Throw bisim1InSync
bisim1Seq1 bisim1Seq Throw1 bisim1Seq2
bisim1Cond1 bisim1CondThen bisim1CondElse bisim1CondThrow
bisim1While1 bisim1While3 bisim1While4
bisim1While6 bisim1While7 bisim1While Throw1 bisim1While Throw2
bisim1Throw1 bisim1Throw2 bisim1ThrowNull bisim1Throw Throw
bisim1Try bisim1TryCatch1 bisim1TryCatch2 bisim1TryFail bisim1TryCatch Throw
bisims1Nil bisims1List1 bisims1List2]

lemmas bisim1-bisims1-inducts-split = bisim1-bisims1-inducts[split-format (complete)]

context J1-JVM-heap-base begin

```

lemma *bisim1-pc-length-compE2*: $P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies pc \leq \text{length}(\text{compE2 } E)$
and *bisims1-pc-length-compEs2*: $P, Es, h \vdash (es, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies pc \leq \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } Es)$

apply(*induct* (stk, loc, pc, xcp) **and** (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
arbitrary: $stk loc pc xcp$ **and** $stk loc pc xcp$ *rule*: *bisim1-bisims1.inducts*)
apply(*auto*)
done

lemma *bisim1-pc-length-compE2D*:
 $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), xcp)$
 $\implies xcp = \text{None} \wedge \text{call1 } e' = \text{None} \wedge (\exists v. stk = [v] \wedge (\text{is-val } e' \longrightarrow e' = \text{Val } v \wedge xs = loc))$

and *bisims1-pc-length-compEs2D*:
 $P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } es), xcp)$
 $\implies xcp = \text{None} \wedge \text{calls1 } es' = \text{None} \wedge (\exists vs. stk = rev vs \wedge \text{length } vs = \text{length } es \wedge (\text{is-vals } es' \longrightarrow es' = \text{map Val } vs \wedge xs = loc))$

proof(*induct* (e', xs) ($stk, loc, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), xcp$)
and (es', xs) ($stk, loc, \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } es), xcp$)
arbitrary: $e' xs$ $stk loc xcp$ **and** $es' xs$ $stk loc xcp$ *rule*: *bisim1-bisims1.inducts*)
case (*bisims1List2* es es' xs $stk loc pc xcp$ $e v$)
then obtain vs **where** $xcp = \text{None}$ $\text{calls1 } es' = \text{None}$
 $stk = rev vs$ $\text{length } vs = \text{length } es$ $\text{is-vals } es' \longrightarrow es' = \text{map Val } vs \wedge xs = loc$ **by** *auto*
thus ?*case*
by(*clarsimp*)(*rule-tac* $x=v\#vs$ **in** *exI*, *auto*)
qed(*simp-all* (*no-asm-use*), (*fastforce dest*: *bisim1-pc-length-compE2 bisims1-pc-length-compEs2 split*:
bop.split-asm if-split-asm)+)

corollary *bisim1-call-pcD*: $\llbracket P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \text{call1 } e' = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \rrbracket \implies pc < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$
and *bisims1-calls-pcD*: $\llbracket P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \text{calls1 } es' = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \rrbracket \implies pc < \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } es)$

proof –
assume *bisim*: $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
and *call*: $\text{call1 } e' = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor$

{ **assume** $pc = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$
with *bisim call have False*
by(*auto dest*: *bisim1-pc-length-compE2D*) }
moreover from *bisim have* $pc \leq \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$
by(*rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2*)
ultimately show $pc < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$
by(*cases pc < length (compE2 e)*)(*auto*)

next
assume *bisim*: $P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
and *call*: $\text{calls1 } es' = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor$
{ **assume** $pc = \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } es)$
with *bisim call have False*
by(*auto dest*: *bisims1-pc-length-compEs2D*) }
moreover from *bisim have* $pc \leq \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } es)$
by(*rule bisims1-pc-length-compEs2*)
ultimately show $pc < \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } es)$
by(*cases pc < length (compEs2 es)*)(*auto*)

qed

lemma *bisim1-length-xs*: $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies \text{length } xs = \text{length } loc$
and *bisims1-length-xs*: $P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies \text{length } xs = \text{length } loc$
by(*induct* (e', xs) (stk, loc, pc, xcp) **and** (es', xs) (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
arbitrary: $e' xs stk loc pc xcp$ **and** $es' xs stk loc pc xcp$ *rule*: *bisim1-bisims1.inducts*)
auto

lemma *bisim1-Val-length-compE2D*:
 $P, e, h \vdash (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), xcp) \implies \text{stk} = [v] \wedge xs = loc \wedge xcp = \text{None}$
and *bisims1-Val-length-compEs2D*:
 $P, es, h \vdash (\text{map Val } vs, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } es), xcp) \implies \text{stk} = \text{rev } vs \wedge xs = loc \wedge xcp = \text{None}$
by(*auto dest*: *bisim1-pc-length-compE2D bisims1-pc-length-compEs2D*)

lemma *bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None*:
 $P, e, h \vdash (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies xs = loc \wedge xcp = \text{None}$
and *bisims-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None*:
 $P, es, h \vdash (\text{map Val } vs, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies xs = loc \wedge xcp = \text{None}$
apply(*induct* ($\text{Val } v :: \text{'addr expr1, xs}'$) (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
and ($\text{map Val } vs :: \text{'addr expr1 list, xs}'$) (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
arbitrary: $v xs stk loc pc xcp$ **and** $vs xs stk loc pc xcp$ *rule*: *bisim1-bisims1.inducts*)
apply(*auto*)
done

lemma *bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke*:
 $\llbracket P, e, h \vdash (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \rrbracket \implies \text{compE2 } e ! pc \neq \text{Invoke } M n'$
and *bisims-Val-pc-not-Invoke*:
 $\llbracket P, es, h \vdash (\text{map Val } vs, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); pc < \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } es) \rrbracket \implies \text{compEs2 } es ! pc \neq \text{Invoke } M n'$
apply(*induct* ($\text{Val } v :: \text{'addr expr1, xs}'$) (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
and ($\text{map Val } vs :: \text{'addr expr1 list, xs}'$) (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
arbitrary: $v xs stk loc pc xcp$ **and** $vs xs stk loc pc xcp$ *rule*: *bisim1-bisims1.inducts*)
apply(*auto simp add*: *nth-append compEs2-map-Val dest*: *bisim1-pc-length-compE2*)
done

lemma *bisim1-VarD*: $P, E, h \vdash (\text{Var } V, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies xs = loc$
and $P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \implies \text{True}$
by(*induct* ($\text{Var } V :: \text{'addr expr1, xs}'$) (stk, loc, pc, xcp) **and** *arbitrary*: $V xs stk loc pc xcp$ **and** *rule*: *bisim1-bisims1.inducts*) *auto*

lemma *bisim1-ThrowD*:
 $P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
 $\implies pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \wedge (xcp = \lfloor a \rfloor \vee xcp = \text{None}) \wedge xs = loc$
and *bisims1-ThrowD*:
 $P, es, h \vdash (\text{map Val } vs @ \text{Throw } a \# es', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$
 $\implies pc < \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } es) \wedge (xcp = \lfloor a \rfloor \vee xcp = \text{None}) \wedge xs = loc$
apply(*induct* ($\text{Throw } a :: \text{'addr expr1, xs}'$) (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
and ($\text{map Val } vs @ \text{Throw } a \# es', xs$) (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
arbitrary: $xs stk loc pc xcp$ **and** $vs es' xs stk loc pc xcp$ *rule*: *bisim1-bisims1.inducts*)
apply(*fastforce dest*: *bisim1-pc-length-compE2 bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None simp add*: *Cons-eq-append-conv*)
done

```

lemma fixes P :: 'addr J1-prog
  shows bisim1-Invoke-stkD:
     $\llbracket P, e, h \vdash exs \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None); pc < length (compE2 e); compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M n' \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \exists vs v stk'. stk = vs @ v \# stk' \wedge length vs = n'$ 

  and bisims1-Invoke-stkD:
     $\llbracket P, es, h \vdash esxs \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None); pc < length (compEs2 es); compEs2 es ! pc = Invoke M n' \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \exists vs v stk'. stk = vs @ v \# stk' \wedge length vs = n'$ 
  proof(induct (stk, loc, pc, None :: 'addr option) and (stk, loc, pc, None :: 'addr option))
    arbitrary: stk loc pc and stk loc pc rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts)
  case bisim1Call1
  thus ?case
    apply(clarsimp simp add: nth-append append-eq-append-conv2 neq-Nil-conv split: if-split-asm)
    apply(drule bisim1-pc-length-compE2,clarsimp simp add: neq-Nil-conv nth-append)
    apply(frule bisim1-pc-length-compE2,clarsimp)
    apply(drule bisim1-pc-length-compE2D, fastforce)
    done
  next
  case bisim1CallParams thus ?case
    apply(clarsimp simp add: nth-append append-eq-Cons-conv split: if-split-asm)
    apply(fastforce simp add: append-eq-append-conv2 Cons-eq-append-conv)
    apply(frule bisims1-pc-length-compEs2,clarsimp)
    apply(drule bisims1-pc-length-compEs2D, fastforce simp add: append-eq-append-conv2)
    done
  qed(fastforce simp add: nth-append append-eq-append-conv2 neq-Nil-conv split: if-split-asm bop.split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 bisims1-pc-length-compEs2)+

lemma fixes P :: 'addr J1-prog
  shows bisim1-call-xcpNone: P, e, h  $\vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor a \rfloor)$   $\implies call1 e' = None$ 
  and bisims1-calls-xcpNone: P, es, h  $\vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor a \rfloor)$   $\implies calls1 es' = None$ 
  apply(induct (e', xs) (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor a :: 'addr \rfloor) and (es', xs) (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor a :: 'addr \rfloor))
    arbitrary: e' xs stk loc pc and es' xs stk loc pc rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts)
  apply(auto dest: bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None bisims-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None simp add: is-vals-conv)
  done

lemma bisims1-map-Val-append:
  assumes bisim: P, es', h  $\vdash (es'', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$ 
  shows length es = length vs
     $\implies P, es @ es', h \vdash (map Val vs @ es'', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ rev vs, loc, length (compEs2 es) + pc, xcp)$ 
  proof(induction vs arbitrary: es)
    case Nil thus ?case using bisim by simp
  next
    case (Cons v vs)
      from <length es = length (v # vs)> obtain e es''' where [simp]: es = e # es''' by(cases es, auto)
      with <length es = length (v # vs)> have len: length es''' = length vs by simp
      from Cons.IH[OF len]
      show ?case by(simp add: add.assoc append-assoc[symmetric] del: append-assoc)(rule bisims1List2, auto)
  qed

lemma bisim1-hext-mono:  $\llbracket P, e, h \vdash exs \leftrightarrow s; hext h h' \rrbracket \implies P, e, h' \vdash exs \leftrightarrow s$  (is PROP ?thesis1)
  and bisims1-hext-mono:  $\llbracket P, es, h \vdash esxs \leftrightarrow s; hext h h' \rrbracket \implies P, es, h' \vdash esxs \leftrightarrow s$  (is PROP

```

```

?thesis2)
proof -
  assume hext: hext h h'
  have P,e,h ⊢ esx ↔ s ⇒ P,e,h' ⊢ esx ↔ s
    and P,es,h ⊢ esxs [↔] s ⇒ P,es,h' ⊢ esxs [↔] s
    apply(induct rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts)
    apply(insert hext)
    apply(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: hext-objD)
    done
  thus PROP ?thesis1 and PROP ?thesis2 by auto
qed

declare match-ex-table-append-not-pcs [simp]
  match-ex-table-eq-NoneI[simp]
  outside-pcs-compxE2-not-matches-entry[simp]
  outside-pcs-compxEs2-not-matches-entry[simp]

lemma bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught:
  P, e, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
  ⇒ match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (pc' + pc) (compxE2 e pc' d) = None
  and bisims1-xcp-Some-not-caught:
  P, es, h ⊢ (map Val vs @ Throw a # es', xs) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, [a])
  ⇒ match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (pc' + pc) (compxEs2 es pc' d) = None
proof(induct (Throw a :: 'addr expr1, xs) (stk, loc, pc, [a :: 'addr]))
  and (map Val vs @ Throw a # es' :: 'addr expr1 list, xs) (stk, loc, pc, [a :: 'addr])
  arbitrary: xs stk loc pc pc' d and xs stk loc pc vs es' pc' d rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts
  case bisim1Sync10
  thus ?case by(simp add: matches-ex-entry-def)
next
  case bisim1Sync11
  thus ?case by(simp add: matches-ex-entry-def)
next
  case (bisim1SyncThrow e1 xs stk loc pc e2)
  note IH = ⟨match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (pc' + pc) (compxE2 e1 pc' d) = None⟩
  from ⟨P,e1,h ⊢ (Throw a,xs) ↔ (stk,loc,pc,[a])⟩ have pc < length (compe2 e1) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  with IH show ?case
  by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def dest: match-ex-table-pc-length-compe2 intro: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None)
next
  case bisims1List1 thus ?case
  by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv dest: bisim1-ThrowD bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None)
next
  case (bisims1List2 es es'' xs stk loc pc e v)
  hence ⋀pc' d. match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (pc' + pc) (compxEs2 es pc' d) = None
  by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)
  from this[of pc' + length (compe2 e) Suc d] show ?case by(auto simp add: add.assoc)
next
  case (bisim1BlockThrowSome e xs stk loc pc T v)
  hence ⋀pc'. match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (pc' + pc) (compxE2 e pc' d) = None by auto
  from this[of 2+pc'] show ?case by(auto)
next

```

```

case (bisim1Seq2 e2 stk loc pc e1 xs)
hence  $\bigwedge_{pc'}$ . match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (pc' + pc) (compxE2 e2 pc' d) = None by
auto
from this[of Suc (pc' + length (compE2 e1))] show ?case by(simp add: add.assoc)
next
case (bisim1CondThen e1 stk loc pc e e2 xs)
hence  $\bigwedge_{pc'}$ . match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (pc' + pc) (compxE2 e1 pc' d) = None by
auto
note this[of Suc (pc' + length (compE2 e))]
moreover from ⟨P,e1,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])⟩
have pc < length (compE2 e1) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
ultimately show ?case by(simp add: add.assoc match-ex-table-eq-NoneI outside-pcs-compxE2-not-matches-entry)
next
case (bisim1CondElse e2 stk loc pc e e1 xs)
hence  $\bigwedge_{pc'}$ . match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (pc' + pc) (compxE2 e2 pc' d) = None by
auto
note this[of Suc (Suc (pc' + (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1))))]
thus ?case by(simp add: add.assoc)
next
case (bisim1WhileThrow2 e xs stk loc pc c)
hence  $\bigwedge_{pc'}$ . match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (pc' + pc) (compxE2 e pc' d) = None by
auto
from this[of Suc (pc' + (length (compE2 c)))] show ?case by(simp add: add.assoc)
next
case (bisim1Throw1 e xs stk loc pc)
thus ?case by(auto dest: bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None)
next
case (bisim1TryFail e xs stk loc pc C' C e2)
hence match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (pc' + pc) (compxE2 e pc' d) = None by auto
moreover from ⟨P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])⟩ have pc < length (compE2 e)
by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
ultimately show ?case using ⟨typeof-addr h a = [Class-type C'] ⟩ ⊢ P ⊢ C' ⊑* C
by(simp add: matches-ex-entry-def cname-of-def)
next
case (bisim1TryCatchThrow e2 xs stk loc pc e C)
hence  $\bigwedge_{pc'}$ . match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (pc' + pc) (compxE2 e2 pc' d) = None by
auto
from this[of Suc (Suc (pc' + (length (compE2 e))))] show ?case by(simp add: add.assoc matches-ex-entry-def)
next
case bisim1Sync12 thus ?case
by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD simp add: match-ex-table-append eval-nat-numeral, simp add: matches-ex-entry-def)
next
case bisim1Sync14 thus ?case
by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD simp add: match-ex-table-append eval-nat-numeral, simp add: matches-ex-entry-def)
qed(fastforce dest: bisim1-ThrowD simp add: add.assoc[symmetric])+

declare match-ex-table-append-not-pcs [simp del]
match-ex-table-eq-NoneI [simp del]
outside-pcs-compxE2-not-matches-entry [simp del]
outside-pcs-compxEs2-not-matches-entry [simp del]

lemma bisim1-xcp-pcD: P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])  $\implies$  pc < length (compE2 e)

```

and *bisims1-xcp-pcD*: $P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \implies pc < \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } es)$
by(*induct* (e' , xs) ($stk, loc, pc, [a :: 'addr']$) **and** (es', xs) ($stk, loc, pc, [a :: 'addr']$)
arbitrary: $e' xs stk loc pc$ **and** $es' xs stk loc pc$ *rule*: *bisim1-bisims1.inducts*)
auto

declare *nth-append* [*simp*]

lemma *bisim1-Val-τ Exec-move*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![P, E, h \vdash (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); pc < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } E)]\!] \\ & \implies xs = loc \wedge xcp = \text{None} \wedge \\ & \quad \tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (stk, xs, pc, \text{None}) ([v], xs, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } E), \text{None}) \end{aligned}$$

and *bisims1-Val-τ Exec-moves*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![P, Es, h \vdash (\text{map Val } vs, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); pc < \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } Es)]\!] \\ & \implies xs = loc \wedge xcp = \text{None} \wedge \\ & \quad \tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t Es h (stk, xs, pc, \text{None}) (\text{rev } vs, xs, \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } Es), \text{None}) \end{aligned}$$

proof(*induct* ($\text{Val } v :: 'addr \text{expr1}, xs$) (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
and ($\text{map Val } vs :: 'addr \text{expr1 list}, xs$) (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
arbitrary: $v xs stk loc pc xcp$ **and** $vs xs stk loc pc xcp$ *rule*: *bisim1-bisims1.inducts*)

case *bisim1Val* **thus** ?**case** **by**(*auto intro!*: $\tau \text{Execr1step exec-instr } \tau \text{move2Val simp add: exec-move-def}$)

next

case (*bisim1LAss2* $V e xs$)
have $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t (V := e) h ([] , xs, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)), \text{None}) ([\text{Unit}], xs, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e))), \text{None})$
by(*auto intro!*: $\tau \text{Execr1step exec-instr } \tau \text{move2LAssRed2 simp add: exec-move-def}$)
with *bisim1LAss2* **show** ?**case** **by** *simp*

next

case (*bisim1AAss4* $a i e xs$)
have $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h ([] , xs, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)), \text{None}) ([\text{Unit}], xs, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e))), \text{None})$
by(*auto intro!*: $\tau \text{Execr1step exec-instr } \tau \text{move2AAssRed simp add: exec-move-def}$)
with *bisim1AAss4* **show** ?**case** **by**(*simp add: ac-simps*)

next

case (*bisim1FAss3* $e F D e2 xs$)
have $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e.F\{D\} := e2) h ([] , xs, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2)), \text{None}) ([\text{Unit}], xs, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2))), \text{None})$
by(*auto intro!*: $\tau \text{Execr1step exec-instr } \tau \text{move2FAssRed simp add: exec-move-def}$)
with *bisim1FAss3* **show** ?**case** **by** *simp*

next

case (*bisim1Sync6* $V e1 e2 v xs$)
have $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h ([v], xs, 5 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$

$$([v], xs, 9 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$$

by(*rule* $\tau \text{Execr1step}$)(*auto intro!*: $\text{exec-instr } \tau \text{move2Sync6 simp add: exec-move-def}$)
with *bisim1Sync6* **show** ?**case** **by**(*auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral*)

next

case (*bisim1Seq2* $e2 stk loc pc xcp e1 v xs$)
from $\langle \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc) < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } (e1;; e2)) \rangle$ **have** $pc: pc < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2)$ **by** *simp*
with $\langle pc < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2) \implies xs = loc \wedge xcp = \text{None} \wedge \tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (stk, xs, pc, \text{None}) ([v], xs, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None}) \rangle$
have $xs = loc xcp = \text{None}$
 $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (stk, xs, pc, \text{None}) ([v], xs, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$ **by** *auto*

```

moreover
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1;;e2) h (stk, xs, Suc (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc), \text{None}) ([v], xs, Suc (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2)), \text{None})$ 
    by  $-(\text{rule Seq-}\tau\text{ExecrI2})$ 
    ultimately show ?case by(simp)
next
  case (bisim1CondThen  $e1$   $stk$   $loc$   $pc$   $xcp$   $e$   $e2$   $v$   $xs$ )
    from  $\langle P, e1, h \vdash (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
    have  $pc \leq \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)$  by(rule bisim1- $pc$ -length-compE2)

  have  $e: \tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) h$ 
     $([v], xs, Suc (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1))), \text{None})$ 
     $([v], xs, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2)), \text{None})$ 
    by(rule  $\tau\text{Execr1step}$ )(auto simp add: exec-move-def intro!: exec-instr  $\tau\text{move2CondThenExit}$ )
  show ?case
  proof(cases  $pc < \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)$ )
    case True
    with  $\langle pc < \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)$ 
       $\implies xs = loc \wedge xcp = \text{None} \wedge \tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e1 h (stk, xs, pc, \text{None}) ([v], xs, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$ 
    have  $s: xs = loc xcp = \text{None}$ 
      and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e1 h (stk, xs, pc, \text{None}) ([v], xs, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$  by auto
    hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) h$ 
       $(stk, xs, Suc (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + pc), \text{None})$ 
       $([v], xs, Suc (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)), \text{None})$ 
      by  $-(\text{rule Cond-}\tau\text{ExecrI2})$ 
    with  $e$  True  $s$  show ?thesis by(simp)
next
  case False
  with  $\langle pc \leq \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) \rangle$  have  $pc: pc = \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)$  by auto
  with  $\langle P, e1, h \vdash (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
  have  $stk = [v]$   $xs = loc xcp = \text{None}$  by(auto dest: bisim1- $Val$ -length-compE2D)
  with  $pc$   $e$  show ?thesis by(simp)
qed
next
  case (bisim1CondElse  $e2$   $stk$   $loc$   $pc$   $xcp$   $e$   $e1$   $v$   $xs$ )
    from  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
    have  $pc \leq \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2)$  by(rule bisim1- $pc$ -length-compE2)

    show ?case
    proof(cases  $pc < \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2)$ )
      case True
      with  $\langle pc < \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2)$ 
         $\implies xs = loc \wedge xcp = \text{None} \wedge \tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (stk, xs, pc, \text{None}) ([v], xs, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$ 
      have  $s: xs = loc xcp = \text{None}$ 
        and  $e: \tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (stk, xs, pc, \text{None}) ([v], xs, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$  by auto
        from  $e$  have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) h (stk, xs, Suc (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc)), \text{None}) ([v], xs, Suc (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + length (\text{compE2 } e2))), \text{None})$ 
        by(rule Cond- $\tau\text{ExecrI3}$ )
      with True  $s$  show ?thesis by(simp add: add.assoc)
next
  case False

```

```

with <pc ≤ length (compE2 e2)> have pc: pc = length (compE2 e2) by auto
with <P, e2, h ⊢ (Val v, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
have stk = [v] xs = loc xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-Val-length-compE2D)
with pc show ?thesis by(simp add: add.assoc)
qed
next
case (bisim1While7 c e xs)
have τExec-mover-a P t (while (c) e) h
  ([] , xs , Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e)))) , None)
  ([Unit] , xs , length (compE2 (while (c) e)) , None)
by(auto intro!: τExec1step exec-instr τmove2While4 simp add: exec-move-def)
thus ?case by(simp)
next
case (bisims1List1 e e' xs stk loc pc xcp es)
from <e' # es = map Val vs> obtain v vs' where [simp]: vs = v # vs' e' = Val v es = map Val vs'
by auto
from <P,e,h ⊢ (e',xs) ↔ (stk,loc,pc,xcp)>
have length: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
hence xs = loc ∧ xcp = None ∧ τExec-mover-a P t e h (stk, xs, pc, None) ([v], xs, length (compE2 e), None)
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
  case True
  with <[e' = Val v; pc < length (compE2 e)] ⟹ xs = loc ∧ xcp = None ∧ τExec-mover-a P t e h
  (stk, xs, pc, None) ([v], xs, length (compE2 e), None)>
  show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
with length have pc: pc = length (compE2 e) by auto
with <P,e,h ⊢ (e',xs) ↔ (stk,loc,pc,xcp)> have stk = [v] xs = loc xcp = None
  by(auto dest: bisim1-Val-length-compE2D)
with pc show ?thesis by(auto)
qed
hence s: xs = loc xcp = None
  and exec1: τExec-mover-a P t e h (stk, xs, pc, None) ([v], xs, length (compE2 e), None) by auto
  from exec1 have τExec-mover-a P t (e # es) h (stk, xs, pc, None) ([v], xs, length (compE2 e), None)
    by(auto intro: τExec-mover-τExec-mover)
  moreover have τExec-mover-a P t (map Val vs') h ([] , xs , 0 , None) (rev vs' , xs , length (compEs2 (map Val vs')), None)
    by(rule τExec-mover-map-Val)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t ([e] @ map Val vs') h ([] @ [v] , xs , length (compEs2 [e]) + 0 , None) (rev
  vs' @ [v] , xs , length (compEs2 [e]) + length (compEs2 (map Val vs')), None)
    by -(rule append-τExec-mover, auto)
  ultimately show ?case using s by(auto)
next
case (bisims1List2 es es' xs stk loc pc xcp e v)
from <Val v # es' = map Val vs> obtain vs' where [simp]: vs = v # vs' es' = map Val vs' by auto
from <P,es,h ⊢ (es',xs) [↔] (stk,loc,pc,xcp)>
have length: pc ≤ length (compEs2 es) by(auto dest: bisims1-pc-length-compEs2)
hence xs = loc ∧ xcp = None ∧ τExec-mover-a P t es h (stk, xs, pc, None) (rev vs' , xs , length
  (compEs2 es), None)
proof(cases pc < length (compEs2 es))
  case True
  with <[es' = map Val vs'; pc < length (compEs2 es)] ⟹ xs = loc ∧ xcp = None ∧ τExec-mover-a

```

```

P t es h (stk, xs, pc, None)
  (rev vs', xs, length (compEs2 es), None)›
  show ?thesis by auto
next
  case False
  with length have pc: pc = length (compEs2 es) by auto
  with ⟨P,es,h ⊢ (es',xs) [↔] (stk,loc,pc,xcp)⟩ have stk = rev vs' xs = loc xcp = None
    by(auto dest: bisims1-Val-length-compEs2D)
  with pc show ?thesis by(auto)
qed
hence s: xs = loc xcp = None
  and exec1: τExec-movesr-a P t es h (stk, xs, pc, None) (rev vs', xs, length (compEs2 es), None)
by auto
  from exec1 have τExec-movesr-a P t ([e] @ es) h (stk @ [v], xs, length (compEs2 [e]) + pc, None)
  (rev vs' @ [v], xs, length (compEs2 [e]) + length (compEs2 es), None)
    by -(rule append-τExec-movesr, auto)
  thus ?case using s by(auto)
qed(auto)

lemma bisim1Val2D1:
  assumes bisim: P, e, h ⊢ (Val v,xs) ↔ (stk,loc,pc,xcp)
  shows xcp = None ∧ xs = loc ∧ τExec-mover-a P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e), None)
proof -
  from bisim have xcp = None xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None)
  moreover
  have τExec-mover-a P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e), None)
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
    case True
    from bisim1-Val-τExec-move[OF bisim True] show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
    from bisim have pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
    with False have pc = length (compE2 e) by auto
    with bisim have stk = [v] loc = xs xcp= None by(auto dest: bisim1-Val-length-compE2D)
    with ⟨pc = length (compE2 e)⟩ show ?thesis by(auto)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma bisim1-Throw-τExec-movet:
  ⟦ P, e, h ⊢ (Throw a,xs) ↔ (stk,loc,pc,None) ⟧
  ⟹ ∃ pc'. τExec-movet-a P t e h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a]) ∧
  P, e, h ⊢ (Throw a,xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a]) ∧ xs = loc

  and bisims1-Throw-τExec-movest:
  ⟦ P, es, h ⊢ (map Val vs @ Throw a # es',xs) [↔] (stk,loc,pc,None) ⟧
  ⟹ ∃ pc'. τExec-movest-a P t es h (stk, loc, pc, None) (Addr a # rev vs, loc, pc', [a]) ∧
  P, es, h ⊢ (map Val vs @ Throw a # es',xs) [↔] (Addr a # rev vs, loc, pc', [a]) ∧ xs = loc
proof(induct e n :: nat Throw a :: 'addr expr1 xs stk loc pc None :: 'addr option)
  and es n :: nat map Val vs @ Throw a # es' :: 'addr expr1 list xs stk loc pc None :: 'addr option
  arbitrary: and vs rule: bisim1-bisims1-inducts-split
  case (bisim1Sync9 e1 n e2 V xs)
  let ?pc = 8 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)

```

```

have  $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t (\text{sync}_V (e1) e2) h ([\text{Addr } a], xs, ?pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], xs, ?pc, [a])$ 
  by(rule  $\tau\text{Exec1step}$ )(auto intro: exec-instr  $\tau\text{move2-}\tau\text{moves2.intros simp add: is-Ref-def exec-move-def}$ )
  moreover
  have  $P, \text{sync}_V (e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], xs, ?pc, [a])$  by(rule bisim1Sync10)
    ultimately show ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1Seq2 e2 n xs stk loc pc e1)
  then obtain pc' where  $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t e2 h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a])$ 
     $P, e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a])$  xs = loc by auto
    thus ?case by(auto intro: Seq- $\tau\text{ExecI2 bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq2}$ )
next
  case (bisim1CondThen e1 n xs stk loc pc e2)
  then obtain pc' where exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t e1 h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a])$ 
    and bisim:  $P, e1, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a])$  and s: xs = loc by auto
    from exec have  $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + pc), \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + pc), [a])$ 
      by(rule Cond- $\tau\text{ExecI2}$ )
    moreover from bisim
    have  $P, \text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + pc'), [a])$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondThen)
    ultimately show ?case using s by(auto)
next
  case (bisim1CondElse e2 n xs stk loc pc e1)
  then obtain pc' where exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t e2 h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a])$ 
    and bisim:  $P, e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a])$  and s: xs = loc by auto
    let ?pc pc = Suc(Suc(length(compE2 e) + length(compE2 e1) + pc))
    from exec have  $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, (?pc pc), \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, ?pc pc', [a])$ 
      by(rule Cond- $\tau\text{ExecI3}$ )
    moreover from bisim
    have  $P, \text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, ?pc pc', [a])$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondElse)
    ultimately show ?case using s by auto
next
  case (bisim1Throw1 e n xs stk loc pc)
  note bisim =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (\text{addr } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  hence s: xs = loc
    and exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    from exec have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{throw } e) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$ 
      by(rule Throw- $\tau\text{ExecrI}$ )
    also have  $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t (\text{throw } e) h ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), [a])$ 
      by(rule  $\tau\text{Exec1step, auto intro: exec-instr } \tau\text{move2Throw2 simp add: is-Ref-def exec-move-def}$ )
    also have  $P, \text{throw } e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), [a])$ 
      by(rule bisim1Throw2)
    ultimately show ?case using s by auto
next
  case (bisims1List1 e n e' xs stk loc pc es vs)
  note bisim =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  show ?case
  proof(cases is-val e')
    case True

```

```

with <e' # es = map Val vs @ Throw a # es'> obtain v vs' where vs = v # vs' e' = Val v
  and es: es = map Val vs' @ Throw a # es' by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)
with bisim have P,e,h ⊢ (Val v, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None) by simp
from bisim1Val2D1[OF this] have [simp]: xs = loc
  and exec: τExec-mover-a P t e h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e), None)
    by auto
from exec have τExec-movesr-a P t (e # es) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e),
None)
  by(rule τExec-mover-τExec-movesr)
also from es <es = map Val vs' @ Throw a # es'>
  ⇒ ∃pc'. τExec-movest-a P t es h ([] , loc, 0, None) (Addr a # rev vs', loc, pc', [a]) ∧
    P,es,h ⊢ (map Val vs' @ Throw a # es', loc) [↔] (Addr a # rev vs', loc, pc', [a]) ∧ loc = loc
  obtain pc' where execes: τExec-movest-a P t es h ([] , loc, 0, None) (Addr a # rev vs', loc, pc',
[a])
    and bisim': P,es,h ⊢ (map Val vs' @ Throw a # es', loc) [↔] (Addr a # rev vs', loc, pc', [a])
by auto
  from append-τExec-movest[OF - execes, of [v] [e]]
  have τExec-movest-a P t (e # es) h ([v], loc, length (compE2 e), None) (Addr a # rev vs' @ [v],
loc, length (compE2 e) + pc', [a]) by simp
  also from bisims1List2[OF bisim', of e v] es <e' = Val v> <vs = v # vs'>
  have P,e # es,h ⊢ (e' # es, xs) [↔] ((Addr a # rev vs), loc, length (compE2 e) + pc', [a]) by
simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using <vs = v # vs'> es <e' = Val v> by auto
next
  case False
  with <e' # es = map Val vs @ Throw a # es'> have [simp]: e' = Throw a es = es' vs = []
    by(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv)
  from <e' = Throw a => ∃pc'. τExec-movet-a P t e h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
  ∧ P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a]) ∧ xs = loc
  obtain pc' where τExec-movet-a P t e h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
    and bisim: P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a]) and s: xs = loc by auto
  hence τExec-movest-a P t (e # es) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
    by-(rule τExec-movet-τExec-movest)
  moreover from bisim
  have P,e#es,h ⊢ (Throw a#es,xs) [↔] ([Addr a],loc,pc',[a]) by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List1)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
qed
next
  case (bisims1List2 es n es'' xs stk loc pc e v)
  note IH = <¬vs. es'' = map Val vs @ Throw a # es'>
  ⇒ ∃pc'. τExec-movest-a P t es h (stk, loc, pc, None) (Addr a # rev vs, loc, pc', [a]) ∧
    P,es,h ⊢ (map Val vs @ Throw a # es',xs) [↔] (Addr a # rev vs,loc,pc',[a]) ∧ xs = loc
  from <Val v # es'' = map Val vs @ Throw a # es'>
  obtain vs' where [simp]: vs = v # vs' es'' = map Val vs' @ Throw a # es' by(auto simp add:
Cons-eq-append-conv)
  from IH[OF <es'' = map Val vs' @ Throw a # es'>]
  obtain pc' where exec: τExec-movest-a P t es h (stk, loc, pc, None) (Addr a # rev vs', loc, pc', [a])
    and bisim: P,es,h ⊢ (map Val vs' @ Throw a # es',xs) [↔] (Addr a # rev vs',loc,pc',[a])
    and [simp]: xs = loc by auto
  from append-τExec-movest[OF - exec, of [v] [e]]
  have τExec-movest-a P t (e # es) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, None) (Addr a # rev
vs, loc, length (compE2 e) + pc', [a]) by simp
  moreover from bisim
  have P,e#es,h ⊢ (Val v # map Val vs' @ Throw a # es',xs) [↔] ((Addr a # rev vs')@[v],loc,length

```

```

 $(compE2 e) + pc', [a])$ 
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List2)
ultimately show ?case by(auto)
qed(auto)

lemma bisim1-Throw- $\tau$ Exec-mover:
 $\llbracket P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists pc'. \tau\text{Exec-mover-}a P t e h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a]) \wedge$ 
 $P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a]) \wedge xs = \text{loc}$ 
by(drule bisim1-Throw- $\tau$ Exec-movet)(blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)

lemma bisims1-Throw- $\tau$ Exec-movesr:
 $\llbracket P, es, h \vdash (\text{map Val vs} @ \text{Throw } a \# es', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists pc'. \tau\text{Exec-movesr-}a P t es h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) (\text{Addr } a \# \text{rev vs}, \text{loc}, pc', [a]) \wedge$ 
 $P, es, h \vdash (\text{map Val vs} @ \text{Throw } a \# es', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{Addr } a \# \text{rev vs}, \text{loc}, pc', [a]) \wedge xs = \text{loc}$ 
by(drule bisims1-Throw- $\tau$ Exec-movest)(blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)

declare split-beta [simp]

lemma bisim1-inline-call-Throw:
 $\llbracket P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}); call1 e' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{compE2 } e ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n0; pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies n0 = \text{length vs} \wedge P, e, h \vdash (\text{inline-call } (\text{Throw } A) e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, [A])$ 
(is  $\llbracket \_ ; \_ ; \_ ; \_ \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concl } e n e' xs pc \text{ stk loc}$ )

and bisims1-inline-calls-Throw:
 $\llbracket P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}); calls1 es' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{compEs2 } es ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n0; pc < \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } es) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies n0 = \text{length vs} \wedge P, es, h \vdash (\text{inline-calls } (\text{Throw } A) es', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, [A])$ 
(is  $\llbracket \_ ; \_ ; \_ ; \_ \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concls } es n es' xs pc \text{ stk loc}$ )

proof(induct e n :: nat e' xs stk loc pc None :: 'addr option
      and es n :: nat es' xs stk loc pc None :: 'addr option
      rule: bisim1-bisims1-inducts-split)
case (bisim1BinOp1 e1 n e' xs stk loc pc e2 bop)
note IH1 =  $\langle \llbracket \text{call1 } e' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{compE2 } e1 ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n0; pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concl } e1 n e' xs pc \text{ stk loc} \rangle$ 
note bisim1 =  $\langle P, e1, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
note ins =  $\langle \text{compE2 } (e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e2) ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n0 \rangle$ 
note call =  $\langle \text{call1 } (e' \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e2) = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \rangle$ 
show ?case
proof(cases is-val e')
case False
with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 e1)
by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
with call ins IH1 False show ?thesis
by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp1)
next
case True
then obtain v where [simp]: e' = Val v by auto
from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 e1) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
moreover {
  assume pc: pc < length (compE2 e1)
  with bisim1 ins have False
  by(auto dest: bisim1-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }

```

```

ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e1) by(cases pc < length (compE2 e1)) auto
from call ins show ?thesis by simp
qed
next
case bisim1BinOp2 thus ?case
  by(auto split: if-split-asm bop.split-asm dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp2)
next
case (bisim1AAcc1 A n a' xs stk loc pc i)
note IH1 = <[call1 a' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 A ! pc = Invoke M n0; pc < length (compE2 A)]>
  => ?concl A n a' xs pc stk loc
note bisim1 = <P,A,h ⊢ (a', xs) ⇔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
note ins = <compE2 (A[i]) ! pc = Invoke M n0>
note call = <call1 (a'[i]) = [(a, M, vs)]>
show ?case
proof(cases is-val a')
  case False
  with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 A)
    by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
  with call ins IH1 False show ?thesis
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc1)
next
case True
then obtain v where [simp]: a' = Val v by auto
from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 A) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
moreover {
  assume pc: pc < length (compE2 A)
  with bisim1 ins have False
    by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 A) by(cases pc < length (compE2 A)) auto
from call ins show ?thesis by simp
qed
next
case bisim1AAcc2 thus ?case
  by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc2)
next
case (bisim1AAss1 A n a' xs stk loc pc i e)
note IH1 = <[call1 a' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 A ! pc = Invoke M n0; pc < length (compE2 A)]>
  => ?concl A n a' xs pc stk loc
note bisim1 = <P,A,h ⊢ (a', xs) ⇔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
note ins = <compE2 (A[i] := e) ! pc = Invoke M n0>
note call = <call1 (a'[i] := e) = [(a, M, vs)]>
show ?case
proof(cases is-val a')
  case False
  with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 A)
    by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
  with call ins IH1 False show ?thesis
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss1)
next
case True
then obtain v where [simp]: a' = Val v by auto
from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 A) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
moreover {
  assume pc: pc < length (compE2 A)

```

```

with bisim1 ins have False
  by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 A) by(cases pc < length (compE2 A)) auto
from call ins show ?thesis by simp
qed
next
case (bisim1AAss2 i n i' xs stk loc pc A e v)
note IH1 = <[call1 i' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 i ! pc = Invoke M n0; pc < length (compE2 i)]>
          ==> ?concl i n i' xs pc stk loc
note bisim1 = <P,i,h ⊢ (i', xs) ⇔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
note ins = <compE2 (A[i] := e) ! (length (compE2 A) + pc) = Invoke M n0>
note call = <call1 (Val v[i'] := e) = [(a, M, vs)]>
show ?case
proof(cases is-val i')
  case False
    with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 i)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
    with call ins IH1 False show ?thesis
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss2)
next
case True
then obtain v where [simp]: i' = Val v by auto
from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 i) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
moreover {
  assume pc: pc < length (compE2 i)
  with bisim1 ins have False
    by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 i) by(cases pc < length (compE2 i)) auto
from call ins show ?thesis by simp
qed
next
case bisim1AAss3 thus ?case
  by(auto split: if-split-asm nat.split-asm simp add: nth-Cons dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss3)
next
case (bisim1FAss1 e n e' xs stk loc pc e2 F D)
note IH1 = <[call1 e' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M n0; pc < length (compE2 e)]>
          ==> ?concl e n e' xs pc stk loc
note bisim1 = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ⇔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
note ins = <compE2 (e.F{D} := e2) ! pc = Invoke M n0>
note call = <call1 (e'.F{D} := e2) = [(a, M, vs)]>
show ?case
proof(cases is-val e')
  case False
    with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 e)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
    with call ins IH1 False show ?thesis
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss1)
next
case True
then obtain v where [simp]: e' = Val v by auto
from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
moreover {
  assume pc: pc < length (compE2 e)
  with bisim1 ins have False

```

```

    by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by(cases pc < length (compE2 e)) auto
from call ins show ?thesis by simp
qed
next
case bisim1FAss2 thus ?case
  by(auto split: if-split-asm nat.split-asm simp add: nth-Cons dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss2)
next
  case (bisim1CAS1 E n e' xs stk loc pc e2 e3 D F)
  note IH1 = <[call1 e' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 E ! pc = Invoke M n0; pc < length (compE2 E)]>
    => ?concl E n e' xs pc stk loc>
  note bisim1 = <P,E,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
  note ins = <compE2 - ! pc = Invoke M n0>
  note call = <call1 - = [(a, M, vs)]>
  show ?case
  proof(cases is-val e')
    case False
      with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 E)
        by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
      with call ins IH1 False show ?thesis
        by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS1)
    next
      case True
      then obtain v where [simp]: e' = Val v by auto
      from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 E) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
      moreover {
        assume pc: pc < length (compE2 E)
        with bisim1 ins have False
          by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
      ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 E) by(cases pc < length (compE2 E)) auto
      from call ins show ?thesis by simp
    qed
  next
  case (bisim1CAS2 e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc e1 e3 D F v)
  note IH1 = <[call1 e2' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 e2 ! pc = Invoke M n0; pc < length (compE2 e2)]>
    => ?concl e2 n e2' xs pc stk loc>
  note bisim1 = <P,e2,h ⊢ (e2', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
  note ins = <compE2 - ! (length (compE2 e1) + pc) = Invoke M n0>
  note call = <call1 - = [(a, M, vs)]>
  show ?case
  proof(cases is-val e2')
    case False
      with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 e2)
        by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
      with call ins IH1 False show ?thesis
        by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS2)
    next
      case True
      then obtain v where [simp]: e2' = Val v by auto
      from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 e2) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
      moreover {
        assume pc: pc < length (compE2 e2)
        with bisim1 ins have False
          by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

ultimately have [simp]:  $pc = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2)$  by(cases  $pc < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2)$ ) auto
from call ins show ?thesis by simp
qed
next
case (bisim1Call1 obj n obj' xs stk loc pc ps M')
note IH1 = ‹[call1 obj' = |(a, M, vs)|; compE2 obj ! pc = Invoke M n0;
pc < length (compE2 obj)] []
    ⟹ ?concl obj n obj' xs pc stk loc›
note IH2 = ‹⟨xs. [calls1 ps = |(a, M, vs)|; compEs2 ps ! 0 = Invoke M n0; 0 < length (compEs2
ps)] []
    ⟹ ?concls ps n ps xs 0 [] xs›
note ins = ⟨compE2 (obj · M'(ps)) ! pc = Invoke M n0⟩
note bisim1 = ⟨P, obj, h ⊢ (obj', xs) ↪ (stk, loc, pc, None)⟩
note call = ⟨call1 (obj' · M'(ps)) = |(a, M, vs)|⟩
thus ?case
proof(cases rule: call1-callE)
case CallObj
with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 obj) by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
with call ins CallObj IH1 show ?thesis
by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Call1)
next
case (CallParams v)
from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 obj) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
moreover {
assume pc: pc < length (compE2 obj)
with bisim1 ins CallParams have False by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 obj) by(cases pc < length (compE2 obj)) auto
with bisim1 CallParams have [simp]: stk = [v] loc = xs by(auto dest: bisim1-Val-length-compE2D)
from IH2[of loc] CallParams ins
show ?thesis
apply(clarsimp simp add: compEs2-map-Val is-vals-conv split: if-split-asm)
apply(drule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallParams)
apply(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
done
next
case [simp]: Call
from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 obj) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
moreover {
assume pc: pc < length (compE2 obj)
with bisim1 ins have False by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 obj) by(cases pc < length (compE2 obj)) auto
with ins have [simp]: vs = [] by(auto simp add: compEs2-map-Val split: if-split-asm)
from bisim1 have [simp]: stk = [Addr a] xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-Val-length-compE2D)
from ins show ?thesis by(auto intro: bisim1CallThrow[of [][], simplified])
qed
next
case (bisim1CallParams ps n ps' xs stk loc pc obj M' v)
note IH2 = ‹[calls1 ps' = |(a, M, vs)|; compEs2 ps ! pc = Invoke M n0; pc < length (compEs2 ps)]
[]
    ⟹ ?concls ps n ps' xs pc stk loc›
note ins = ⟨compE2 (obj · M'(ps)) ! (length (compE2 obj) + pc) = Invoke M n0⟩
note bisim2 = ⟨P, ps, h ⊢ (ps', xs) ↪ (stk, loc, pc, None)⟩
note call = ⟨call1 (Val v · M'(ps')) = |(a, M, vs)|⟩
thus ?case

```

```

proof(cases rule: call1-callE)
  case CallObj thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case (CallParams v')
  hence [simp]:  $v' = v$  and call': calls1 ps' =  $\lfloor(a, M, vs)\rfloor$  by auto
  from bisim2 call' have pc < length (compEs2 ps) by(auto intro: bisims1-calls-pcD)
  with IH2 CallParams ins show ?thesis
    by(auto simp add: is-vals-conv split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallParams)
next
  case Call
  hence [simp]:  $v = \text{Addr } a \ M' = M$  ps' = map Val vs by auto
  from bisim2 have pc ≤ length (compEs2 ps) by(auto dest: bisims1-pc-length-compEs2)
  moreover {
    assume pc: pc < length (compEs2 ps)
    with bisim2 ins have False by(auto dest: bisims-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
    ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compEs2 ps) by(cases pc < length (compEs2 ps)) auto
    from bisim2 have [simp]: stk = rev vs xs = loc by(auto dest: bisims1-Val-length-compEs2D)
    from bisim2 have length ps = length vs by(auto dest: bisims1-lengthD)
    with ins show ?thesis by(auto intro: bisim1CallThrow)
  qed
next
  case (bisims1List1 e n e' xs stk loc pc es)
  note IH1 = <[call1 e' =  $\lfloor(a, M, vs)\rfloor$ ; compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M n0; pc < length (compE2 e)]>
     $\implies$  ?concl e n e' xs pc stk loc>
  note IH2 = < $\bigwedge$  xs. [calls1 es =  $\lfloor(a, M, vs)\rfloor$ ; compEs2 es ! 0 = Invoke M n0; 0 < length (compEs2 es)]>
     $\implies$  ?concls es n es xs 0 [] xs>
  note bisim1 = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, None)>
  note call = <calls1 (e' # es) =  $\lfloor(a, M, vs)\rfloor$ >
  note ins = <compEs2 (e # es) ! pc = Invoke M n0>
  show ?case
  proof(cases is-val e')
    case False
    with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
    with call ins False IH1 show ?thesis
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List1)
  next
    case True
    then obtain v where [simp]:  $e' = \text{Val } v$  by auto
    from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
    moreover {
      assume pc: pc < length (compE2 e)
      with bisim1 ins have False by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
      ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by(cases pc < length (compE2 e)) auto
      with bisim1 have [simp]: stk = [v] loc = xs by(auto dest: bisim1-Val-length-compE2D)
      from call have es ≠ [] by(cases es) simp-all
      with IH2[of loc] call ins
      show ?thesis by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisims1List2)
    qed
  qed(auto split: if-split-asm bop.split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)

lemma bisim1-max-stack: P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, xcp)  $\implies$  length stk ≤ max-stack e
  and bisims1-max-stacks: P,es,h ⊢ (es', xs) [ $\leftrightarrow$ ] (stk, loc, pc, xcp)  $\implies$  length stk ≤ max-stacks es
  apply(induct (e', xs) (stk, loc, pc, xcp) and (es', xs) (stk, loc, pc, xcp))

```

```

arbitrary: e' xs stk loc pc xcp and es' xs stk loc pc xcp rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts)
apply(auto simp add: max-stack1[simplified] max-def max-stacks-ge-length)
apply(drule sym, simp add: max-stacks-ge-length, drule sym, simp, rule le-trans[OF max-stacks-ge-length],
simp)
done

inductive bisim1-fr :: 'addr J1-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame  $\Rightarrow$  bool
for P :: 'addr J1-prog and h :: 'heap
where
   $\llbracket P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{body} \rfloor \text{ in } D \rrbracket$ 
    P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body, h  $\vdash$  (e, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, None);
    call1 e =  $\lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor$ ;
    max-vars e  $\leq$  length xs
   $\implies$  bisim1-fr P h (e, xs) (stk, loc, C, M, pc)

declare bisim1-fr.intros [intro]
declare bisim1-fr.cases [elim]

lemma bisim1-fr-hext-mono:
   $\llbracket \text{bisim1-fr } P \text{ h } exs \text{ fr; hext } h \text{ h}' \rrbracket \implies \text{bisim1-fr } P \text{ h}' \text{ exs } fr$ 
by(auto intro: bisim1-hext-mono)

lemma bisim1-max-vars: P,E,h  $\vdash$  (e, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, xcp)  $\implies$  max-vars E  $\geq$  max-vars e
  and bisims1-max-varss: P,Es,h  $\vdash$  (es,xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk,loc,pc,xcp)  $\implies$  max-varss Es  $\geq$  max-varss es
apply(induct E (e, xs) (stk, loc, pc, xcp) and Es (es, xs) (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
  arbitrary: e xs stk loc pc xcp and es xs stk loc pc xcp rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts)
apply(auto)
done

lemma bisim1-call- $\tau$ Exec-move:
   $\llbracket P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None); call1 e' = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor; n + max-vars e' \leq length xs; \neg contains-insync e \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists pc' loc' stk'. \tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h (stk, loc, pc, None) (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc', pc', None) \wedge$ 
  pc'  $<$  length (compE2 e)  $\wedge$  compE2 e ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs)  $\wedge$ 
  P,e,h  $\vdash$  (e', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
  (is  $\llbracket \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \rrbracket \implies ?concl e n e' xs pc stk loc$ )

and bisims1-calls- $\tau$ Exec-moves:
   $\llbracket P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None); calls1 es' = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor;$ 
  n + max-varss es'  $\leq$  length xs;  $\neg contains-insyncs es$ 
   $\implies \exists pc' stk' loc'. \tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t es h (stk, loc, pc, None) (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc', pc', None) \wedge$ 
  pc'  $<$  length (compEs2 es)  $\wedge$  compEs2 es ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs)  $\wedge$ 
  P,es,h  $\vdash$  (es', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
  (is  $\llbracket \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \rrbracket \implies ?concls es n es' xs pc stk loc$ )
proof(induct e n :: nat e' xs stk loc pc xcp≡None :: 'addr option
  and es n :: nat es' xs stk loc pc xcp≡None :: 'addr option
  rule: bisim1-bisims1-inducts-split)
case bisim1Val2 thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1New thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1NewArray thus ?case

```

```

  by auto (fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1NewArray elim!: NewArray- $\tau$ ExecrI intro!: exI)
next
  case bisim1Cast thus ?case
    by(auto)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Cast elim!: Cast- $\tau$ ExecrI intro!: exI) +
next
  case bisim1InstanceOf thus ?case
    by(auto)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1InstanceOf elim!: InstanceOf- $\tau$ ExecrI intro!: exI) +
next
  case bisim1Val thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1Var thus ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1BinOp1 e1 n e' xs stk loc pc e2 bop)
    note IH1 = <[call1 e' = [(a, M', vs)]; n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs; ¬ contains-insync e1 ] =>
    ?concl e1 n e' xs pc stk loc
    note IH2 = <[xs. [call1 e2 = [(a, M', vs)]; n + max-vars e2 ≤ length xs; ¬ contains-insync e2 ] =>
    ?concl e2 n e2 xs 0 ] xs>
    note call = <call1 (e' «bop» e2) = [(a, M', vs)]>
    note len = <n + max-vars (e' «bop» e2) ≤ length xs>
    note bisim1 = <P,e1,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
    note cs = <¬ contains-insync (e1 «bop» e2)>
    show ?case
    proof(cases is-val e')
      case True
      then obtain v where [simp]: e' = Val v by auto
      from bisim1 have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e1), None)
        and [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
      hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e1), None)
        by-(rule BinOp- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
      also from call IH2[of loc] len cs obtain pc' stk' loc'
        where exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h ([] , xs, 0, None) (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
          and ins: compE2 e2 ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs) pc' < length (compE2 e2)
          and bisim': P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None) by auto
        from BinOp- $\tau$ ExecrI2[OF exec, of e1 bop v]
        have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h ([v], loc, length (compE2 e1), None) (rev vs @ Addr a #
        (stk' @ [v]), loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', None) by simp
        also (rtranclp-trans) from bisim'
        have P,e1 «bop» e2,h ⊢ (Val v «bop» e2, xs) ↔ ((rev vs @ Addr a # stk') @ [v], loc', length
        (compE2 e1) + pc', None)
          by(rule bisim1BinOp2)
        ultimately show ?thesis using ins by fastforce
      next
        case False with IH1 len False call cs show ?thesis
          by(clarsimp)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp1 elim!: BinOp- $\tau$ ExecrI1 intro!: exI)
        qed
      next
        case (bisim1BinOp2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc e1 bop v1)
        then obtain pc' loc' stk' where pc': pc' < length (compE2 e2) compE2 e2 ! pc' = Invoke M' (length
        vs)
          and exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, None) (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
          and bisim': P,e2,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None) by fastforce
          from exec have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc,
          None)
            ((rev vs @ Addr a # stk') @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc',

```

```

None)
  by(rule BinOp- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
  moreover from bisim'
    have  $P, e1 \ll bop \gg e2, h \vdash (Val v1 \ll bop \gg e', xs) \leftrightarrow ((rev vs @ Addr a \# stk') @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', None)$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp2)
      ultimately show ?case using pc' by(fastforce)
  next
    case bisim1LAss1 thus ?case
      by(auto)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1LAss1 elim!: LAss- $\tau$ ExecrI intro!: exI)
  next
    case bisim1LAss2 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (bisim1AAcc1 A n a' xs stk loc pc i)
      note IH1 = <[call1 a' = [(a, M', vs)]; n + max-vars a' ≤ length xs; ¬ contains-insync A]> ==>
      ?concl A n a' xs pc stk loc
      note IH2 = <¬xs. [call1 i = [(a, M', vs)]; n + max-vars i ≤ length xs; ¬ contains-insync i]> ==>
      ?concl i n i xs 0 [] xs
      note call = <call1 (a'[i]) = [(a, M', vs)]>
      note len = <n + max-vars (a'[i]) ≤ length xs>
      note bisim1 = <P,A,h ⊢ (a', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
      note cs = <¬ contains-insync (A[i])>
      show ?case
      proof(cases is-val a')
        case True
          then obtain v where [simp]: a' = Val v by auto
          from bisim1 have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t A h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], loc, length (compE2 A), None)
            and [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
            hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (A[i]) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], loc, length (compE2 A), None)
              by-(rule AAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
            also from call IH2[of loc] len cs obtain pc' stk' loc'
              where exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t i h ([][], xs, 0, None) (rev vs @ Addr a \# stk', loc', pc', None)
                and ins: compE2 i ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs) pc' < length (compE2 i)
                and bisim': P,i,h ⊢ (i, xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a \# stk', loc', pc', None) by auto
              from AAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI2[OF exec, of A v]
              have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (A[i]) h ([v], loc, length (compE2 A), None) (rev vs @ Addr a \# (stk' @ [v]), loc', length (compE2 A) + pc', None) by simp
              also (rtranclp-trans) from bisim'
              have P,A[i],h ⊢ (Val v[i], xs) ↔ ((rev vs @ Addr a \# stk') @ [v], loc', length (compE2 A) + pc', None)
            by(rule bisim1AAcc2)
            ultimately show ?thesis using ins by fastforce
        next
          case False with IH1 len False call cs show ?thesis
            by(clarsimp)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc1 elim!: AAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI1 intro!: exI)
        qed
      next
        case (bisim1AAcc2 i n i' xs stk loc pc A v)
          then obtain pc' loc' stk' where pc': pc' < length (compE2 i) compE2 i ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs)
            and exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t i h (stk, loc, pc, None) (rev vs @ Addr a \# stk', loc', pc', None)
            and bisim': P,i,h ⊢ (i', xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a \# stk', loc', pc', None) by fastforce
          from exec have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (A[i]) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 A) + pc, None)
            ((rev vs @ Addr a \# stk') @ [v], loc', length (compE2 A) + pc', None)

```

```

by(rule AAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
moreover from bisim'
have P,A[i],h  $\vdash$  (Val v[i'], xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ((rev vs @ Addr a # stk') @ [v], loc', length (compE2 A) + pc', None)
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc2)
ultimately show ?case using pc' by(fastforce)
next
  case (bisim1AAss1 A n a' xs stk loc pc i e)
    note IH1 = <[call1 a' = [(a, M', vs)]; n + max-vars a'  $\leq$  length xs;  $\neg$  contains-insync A]  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ?concl A n a' xs pc stk loc>
    note IH2 = < $\bigwedge$ xs. [call1 i = [(a, M', vs)]; n + max-vars i  $\leq$  length xs;  $\neg$  contains-insync i]  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ?concl i n i xs 0 [] xs>
    note IH3 = < $\bigwedge$ xs. [call1 e = [(a, M', vs)]; n + max-vars e  $\leq$  length xs;  $\neg$  contains-insync e]  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ?concl e n e xs 0 [] xs>
    note call = <call1 (a'[i] := e) = [(a, M', vs)]>
    note len = <n + max-vars (a'[i] := e)  $\leq$  length xs>
    note bisim1 = <P,A,h  $\vdash$  (a', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, None)>
    note bisim2 = <P,i,h  $\vdash$  (i, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([], loc, 0, None)>
    note cs = < $\neg$  contains-insync (A[i] := e)>
    show ?case
    proof(cases is-val a')
      case True
        then obtain v where [simp]: a' = Val v by auto
        from bisim1 have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t A h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], loc, length (compE2 A), None)
          and [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
          hence exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (A[i] := e) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], loc, length (compE2 A), None)
        by-(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
        show ?thesis
        proof(cases is-val i)
          case True
            then obtain v' where [simp]: i = Val v' by auto
            note exec also from bisim2
            have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t i h ([], loc, 0, None) ([v'], loc, length (compE2 i), None)
              by(auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
            from AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2[OF this, of A e v]
            have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (A[i] := e) h ([v], loc, length (compE2 A), None) ([v', v], loc, length (compE2 A) + length (compE2 i), None) by simp
              also (rtranclp-trans) from call IH3[of loc] len cs obtain pc' stk' loc'
                where exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e h ([], loc, 0, None) (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
                and ins: compE2 e ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs) pc' < length (compE2 e)
                and bisim': P,e,h  $\vdash$  (e, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None) by auto
              from AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI3[OF exec, of A i v' v]
              have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (A[i] := e) h ([v', v], loc, length (compE2 A) + length (compE2 i), None)
                ((rev vs @ Addr a # stk') @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 A) + length (compE2 i)
                + pc', None) by simp
                also (rtranclp-trans) from bisim'
                have P,A[i] := e,h  $\vdash$  (Val v[Val v'] := e, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ((rev vs @ Addr a # stk') @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 A) + length (compE2 i) + pc', None)
                  by - (rule bisim1AAss3, simp)
                ultimately show ?thesis using ins by fastforce
              next
                case False

```

```

note exec also from False call IH2[of loc] len cs obtain pc' stk' loc'
  where exec:  $\tau_{\text{Exec-mover-a}} P t i h ([] \cdot xs, 0, \text{None})$  ( $\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{None}$ )
  and ins:  $\text{compE2 } i ! \text{pc}' = \text{Invoke } M'(\text{length } vs) \text{ pc}' < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i)$ 
  and bisim':  $P, i, h \vdash (i, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{None})$  by auto
  from AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2[OF exec, of A e v]
  have  $\tau_{\text{Exec-mover-a}} P t (A[i] := e) h ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } A), \text{None})$  ( $\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# (\text{stk}' @ [v]), \text{loc}', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } A) + \text{pc}', \text{None}$ ) by simp
    also (rtranclp-trans) from bisim'
  have  $P, A[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Val } v[i] := e, xs) \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}') @ [v], \text{loc}', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } A) + \text{pc}', \text{None})$ 
    by(rule bisim1AAss2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using ins False by(fastforce intro!: exI)
qed
next
case False with IH1 len False call cs show ?thesis
  by(clarsimp)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss1 elim!: AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI1 intro!: exI)
qed
next
case (bisim1AAss2 i n i' xs stk loc pc A e v)
note IH2 =  $\langle \llbracket \text{call1 } i' = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor \rrbracket; n + \text{max-vars } i' \leq \text{length } xs; \neg \text{contains-insync } i \rangle \implies ?\text{concl}$ 
i n i' xs pc stk loc
note IH3 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. \llbracket \text{call1 } e = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor \rrbracket; n + \text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } xs; \neg \text{contains-insync } e \rangle \implies$ 
?concl e n e xs 0 [] xs
note call =  $\langle \text{call1 } (\text{Val } v[i'] := e) = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor \rangle$ 
note len =  $\langle n + \text{max-vars } (\text{Val } v[i'] := e) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
note bisim2 =  $\langle P, i, h \vdash (i', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
note cs =  $\langle \neg \text{contains-insync } (A[i] := e) \rangle$ 
show ?case
proof(cases is-val i')
  case True
  then obtain v' where [simp]:  $i' = \text{Val } v'$  by auto
  from bisim2 have exec:  $\tau_{\text{Exec-mover-a}} P t i h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) ([v'], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$ 
and [simp]:  $xs = \text{loc}$  by(auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
  from AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2[OF exec, of A e v]
  have  $\tau_{\text{Exec-mover-a}} P t (A[i] := e) h (\text{stk} @ [v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } A) + \text{pc}, \text{None}) ([v', v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } A) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$  by simp
    also from call IH3[of loc] len cs obtain pc' stk' loc'
      where exec:  $\tau_{\text{Exec-mover-a}} P t e h ([] \cdot xs, 0, \text{None})$  ( $\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{None}$ )
      and ins:  $\text{compE2 } e ! \text{pc}' = \text{Invoke } M'(\text{length } vs) \text{ pc}' < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)$ 
      and bisim':  $P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{None})$  by auto
      from AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI3[OF exec, of A i v' v]
      have  $\tau_{\text{Exec-mover-a}} P t (A[i] := e) h ([v', v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } A) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$ 
        ((rev vs @ Addr a # stk') @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 A) + length (compE2 i) + pc', None) by simp
      also (rtranclp-trans) from bisim'
      have  $P, A[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Val } v \lfloor \text{Val } v' \rfloor := e, xs) \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}') @ [v', v], \text{loc}', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } A) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{pc}', \text{None})$ 
        by(rule bisim1AAss3)
      ultimately show ?thesis using ins by(fastforce intro!: exI)
next
case False
with IH2 len call cs obtain pc' loc' stk'
where ins:  $\text{pc}' < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) \text{ compE2 } i ! \text{pc}' = \text{Invoke } M'(\text{length } vs)$ 

```

```

and exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t i h \text{ (}stk, loc, pc, None\text{)} \text{ (}rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk', loc', pc', None\text{)}$ 
and bisim':  $P, i, h \vdash (i', xs) \leftrightarrow (rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk', loc', pc', None)$  by fastforce
from bisim' have  $P, A[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Val } v[i'] := e, xs) \leftrightarrow ((rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk') @ [v], loc',$ 
length ( $\text{compE2 } A$ ) +  $pc', None)$ 
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss2)
with AAss- $\tau\text{ExecrI2}$ [OF exec, of  $A\ e\ v$ ] ins False show ?thesis by(auto intro!: exI)
qed
next
case (bisim1AAss3  $e\ n\ e'\ xs\ stk\ loc\ pc\ A\ i\ v\ v'$ )
then obtain  $pc'\ loc'\ stk'$  where  $pc' : pc' < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) \text{ compE2 } e ! pc' = \text{Invoke } M' \text{ (length } vs)$ 
and exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h \text{ (}stk, loc, pc, None\text{)} \text{ (}rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk', loc', pc', None\text{)}$ 
and bisim':  $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk', loc', pc', None)$  by fastforce
from exec have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (A[i]:=e)\ h \text{ (}stk @ [v', v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } A) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + pc, None)$ 
by(rule AAss- $\tau\text{ExecrI3}$ )
moreover from bisim'
have  $P, A[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Val } v[\text{Val } v'] := e', xs) \leftrightarrow ((rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk') @ [v', v], loc', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } A) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + pc', None)$ 
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss3)
ultimately show ?case using pc' by(fastforce intro!: exI)
next
case bisim1AAss4 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1ALength thus ?case
by(auto)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1ALength elim!: ALLength- $\tau\text{ExecrI}$  intro!: exI)
next
case bisim1FAcc thus ?case
by(auto)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAcc elim!: FAcc- $\tau\text{ExecrI}$  intro!: exI)
next
case (bisim1FAss1  $e\ n\ e'\ xs\ stk\ loc\ pc\ e2\ F\ D$ )
note IH1 =  $\langle \llbracket \text{call1 } e' = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor; n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs; \neg \text{contains-insync } e \rrbracket \Rightarrow ?\text{concl } e\ n\ e'\ xs\ pc\ stk\ loc \rangle$ 
note IH2 =  $\langle \wedge xs. \llbracket \text{call1 } e2 = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor; n + \text{max-vars } e2 \leq \text{length } xs; \neg \text{contains-insync } e2 \rrbracket \Rightarrow ?\text{concl } e2\ n\ e2\ xs\ 0 \ ]\ xs \rangle$ 
note call =  $\langle \text{call1 } (e' \cdot F\{D\} := e2) = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor \rangle$ 
note len =  $\langle n + \text{max-vars } (e' \cdot F\{D\} := e2) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
note bisim1 =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None) \rangle$ 
note cs =  $\langle \neg \text{contains-insync } (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) \rangle$ 
show ?case
proof(cases is-val  $e'$ )
case True
then obtain v where [simp]:  $e' = \text{Val } v$  by auto
from bisim1 have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h \text{ (}stk, loc, pc, None\text{)} ([v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), None)$ 
and [simp]:  $xs = loc$  by(auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) h \text{ (}stk, loc, pc, None\text{)} ([v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), None)$ 
by-(rule FAss- $\tau\text{ExecrI1}$ )
also from call IH2[of loc] len cs obtain pc' stk' loc'
where exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h ([], xs, 0, None) \text{ (}rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk', loc', pc', None\text{)}$ 
and ins:  $\text{compE2 } e2 ! pc' = \text{Invoke } M' \text{ (length } vs) \text{ pc}' < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2)$ 
and bisim':  $P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk', loc', pc', None)$  by auto
from FAss- $\tau\text{ExecrI2}$ [OF exec, of  $e\ F\ D\ v$ ]

```

```

have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) h ([v], loc, length (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None}) (\text{rev } vs @ Addr a \# (stk' @ [v]), loc', length (\text{compE2 } e) + pc', \text{None})$  by simp
  also (rtrancl-trans) from bisim'
have  $P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev } vs @ Addr a \# stk') @ [v], loc', length (\text{compE2 } e) + pc', \text{None})$ 
  by(rule bisim1FAss2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using ins by fastforce
next
  case False with IH1 len False call cs show ?thesis
    by(clar simp)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss1 elim!: FAss- $\tau$ ExecrI1 intro!: exI)
  qed
next
  case (bisim1FAss2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc e F D v)
  then obtain  $pc' loc' stk'$  where  $pc' < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) \text{ compE2 } e2 ! pc' = \text{Invoke } M' (\text{length } vs)$ 
    and exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, \text{None}) (\text{rev } vs @ Addr a \# stk', loc', pc', \text{None})$ 
    and bisim':  $P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ Addr a \# stk', loc', pc', \text{None})$  by fastforce
    from exec have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (\text{compE2 } e) + pc, \text{None})$ 
       $((\text{rev } vs @ Addr a \# stk') @ [v], loc', length (\text{compE2 } e) + pc', \text{None})$ 
      by(rule FAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
    moreover from bisim'
    have  $P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e', xs) \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev } vs @ Addr a \# stk') @ [v], loc', length (\text{compE2 } e) + pc', \text{None})$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss2)
      ultimately show ?case using pc' by(fastforce)
next
  case bisim1FAss3 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (bisim1CAS1 e1 n e' xs stk loc pc e2 e3 D F)
  note IH1 =  $\langle \llbracket \text{call1 } e' = [(a, M', vs)] \rrbracket; n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs; \neg \text{contains-insync } e1 \rangle \Rightarrow$ 
  ?concl e1 n e' xs pc stk loc
  note IH2 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. \llbracket \text{call1 } e2 = [(a, M', vs)] \rrbracket; n + \text{max-vars } e2 \leq \text{length } xs; \neg \text{contains-insync } e2 \rangle$ 
   $\Rightarrow$  ?concl e2 n e2 xs 0 [] xs
  note IH3 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. \llbracket \text{call1 } e3 = [(a, M', vs)] \rrbracket; n + \text{max-vars } e3 \leq \text{length } xs; \neg \text{contains-insync } e3 \rangle$ 
   $\Rightarrow$  ?concl e3 n e3 xs 0 [] xs
  note call =  $\langle \text{call1 } - = [(a, M', vs)] \rangle$ 
  note len =  $\langle n + \text{max-vars } - \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
  note bisim1 =  $\langle P, e1, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2, loc) \leftrightarrow ([], loc, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  note cs =  $\langle \neg \text{contains-insync } - \rangle$ 
  show ?case
  proof(cases is-val e')
    case True
    then obtain v where [simp]:  $e' = \text{Val } v$  by auto
    from bisim1 have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, \text{None}) ([v], loc, length (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$ 
      and [simp]:  $xs = loc$  by(auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h (stk, loc, pc, \text{None}) ([v], loc, length (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$ 
      by-(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases is-val e2)
      case True
      then obtain v' where [simp]:  $e2 = \text{Val } v'$  by auto
      note exec also from bisim2

```

```

have  $\tau\text{-Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h ([] , loc, 0, \text{None}) ([v'] , loc, \text{length (compE2 } e2), \text{None})$ 
  by(auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
  from CAS- $\tau\text{-ExecrI2[OF this, of } e1 D F e3]$ 
    have  $\tau\text{-Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h ([v] , loc, \text{length (compE2 } e1),$ 
     $\text{None}) ([v', v] , loc, \text{length (compE2 } e1) + \text{length (compE2 } e2), \text{None})$  by simp
    also (rtranclp-trans) from call IH3[of loc] len cs obtain pc' stk' loc'
      where exec:  $\tau\text{-Exec-mover-a } P t e3 h ([] , loc, 0, \text{None}) (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', loc', pc', \text{None})$ 
        and ins: compE2 e3 ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs) pc' < length (compE2 e3)
        and bisim':  $P, e3, h \vdash (e3, loc) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', loc', pc', \text{None})$  by auto
      from CAS- $\tau\text{-ExecrI3[OF exec, of } e1 D F e2 v' v]$ 
        have  $\tau\text{-Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h ([v', v] , loc, \text{length (compE2 } e1)$ 
         $+ \text{length (compE2 } e2), \text{None})$ 
          ((rev vs @ Addr a # stk') @ [v', v] , loc', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2
          e2) + pc', None) by simp
        also (rtranclp-trans) from bisim'
          have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v', e3), xs) \leftrightarrow$ 
          ((rev vs @ Addr a # stk') @ [v', v] , loc', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc', None)
            by – (rule bisim1CAS3, simp)
          ultimately show ?thesis using ins by fastforce
        next
        case False
          note exec also from False call IH2[of loc] len cs obtain pc' stk' loc'
            where exec:  $\tau\text{-Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', loc', pc', \text{None})$ 
              and ins: compE2 e2 ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs) pc' < length (compE2 e2)
              and bisim':  $P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', loc', pc', \text{None})$  by auto
            from CAS- $\tau\text{-ExecrI2[OF exec, of } e1 D F e3 v]$ 
              have  $\tau\text{-Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h ([v] , loc, \text{length (compE2 } e1),$ 
               $\text{None}) (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# (\text{stk}' @ [v]), loc', \text{length (compE2 } e1) + pc', \text{None})$  by simp
              also (rtranclp-trans) from bisim'
                have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), xs) \leftrightarrow$ 
                ((rev vs @ Addr a # stk') @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', None)
                  by(rule bisim1CAS2)
                ultimately show ?thesis using ins False by(fastforce intro!: exI)
              qed
            next
            case False with IH1 len False call cs show ?thesis
              by(clar simp)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS1 elim!: CAS- $\tau\text{-ExecrI1 intro!: exI}$ )
            qed
          next
            case (bisim1CAS2 e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc e1 e3 D F v)
              note IH2 = <call1 e2' = [(a, M', vs)]; n + max-vars e2' ≤ length xs; ¬ contains-insync e2> ==>
              ?concl e2 n e2' xs pc stk loc
              note IH3 = <Axs. [call1 e3 = [(a, M', vs)]; n + max-vars e3 ≤ length xs; ¬ contains-insync e3]>
              ==> ?concl e3 n e3 xs 0 [] xs
              note call = <call1 - = [(a, M', vs)]>
              note len = <n + max-vars - ≤ length xs>
              note bisim2 = <P, e2, h ⊢ (e2', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
              note cs = <¬ contains-insync ->
              show ?case
              proof(cases is-val e2')
                case True
                  then obtain v' where [simp]: e2' = Val v' by auto
                  from bisim2 have exec:  $\tau\text{-Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) ([v'] , loc, \text{length (compE2 } e2),$ 
                   $\text{None})$ 

```

```

and [simp]:  $xs = loc \text{ by}(\text{auto dest!}: bisim1Val2D1)$ 
from CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI2[ $OF \text{ exec, of } e1 D F e3 v$ ]
have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, None) ([v', v], loc, length (\text{compE2 } e1) + length (\text{compE2 } e2), None) \text{ by simp}$ 
also from call IH3[of loc] len cs obtain pc' stk' loc'
  where exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e3 h ([] , xs, 0, None) (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc', pc', None)$ 
  and ins:  $\text{compE2 } e3 ! pc' = \text{Invoke } M' (\text{length } vs) pc' < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e3)$ 
  and bisim':  $P, e3, h \vdash (e3, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc', pc', None) \text{ by auto}$ 
from CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI3[ $OF \text{ exec, of } e1 D F e2 v' v$ ]
have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h ([v', v], loc, length (\text{compE2 } e1) + length (\text{compE2 } e2), None)$ 
   $((\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk') @ [v', v], loc', length (\text{compE2 } e1) + length (\text{compE2 } e2) + pc', None) \text{ by simp}$ 
also (rtrancl-trans) from bisim'
have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v', e3), xs) \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk') @ [v', v], loc', length (\text{compE2 } e1) + length (\text{compE2 } e2) + pc', None)$ 
  by(rule bisim1CAS3)
ultimately show ?thesis using ins by(fastforce intro!: exI)
next
case False
with IH2 len call cs obtain pc' loc' stk'
  where ins:  $pc' < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) \text{ compE2 } e2 ! pc' = \text{Invoke } M' (\text{length } vs)$ 
  and exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, None) (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc', pc', None)$ 
  and bisim':  $P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc', pc', None) \text{ by fastforce}$ 
from bisim' have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2', e3), xs) \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk') @ [v], loc', length (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc', None)$ 
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS2)
with CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI2[ $OF \text{ exec, of } e1 D F e3 v$ ] ins False show ?thesis by(auto intro!: exI)
qed
next
case (bisim1CAS3 e3 n e3' xs stk loc pc e1 e2 D F v v')
then obtain pc' loc' stk' where pc':  $pc' < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e3) \text{ compE2 } e3 ! pc' = \text{Invoke } M' (\text{length } vs)$ 
  and exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e3 h (stk, loc, pc, None) (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc', pc', None)$ 
  and bisim':  $P, e3, h \vdash (e3, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc', pc', None) \text{ by fastforce}$ 
from exec have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h (stk @ [v', v], loc, length (\text{compE2 } e1) + length (\text{compE2 } e2) + pc, None)$ 
   $((\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk') @ [v', v], loc', length (\text{compE2 } e1) + length (\text{compE2 } e2) + pc', None)$ 
  by(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI3)
moreover from bisim'
have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v', e3'), xs) \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk') @ [v', v], loc', length (\text{compE2 } e1) + length (\text{compE2 } e2) + pc', None)$ 
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS3)
ultimately show ?case using pc' by(fastforce intro!: exI)
next
case (bisim1Call1 obj n obj' xs stk loc pc ps M)
note IH1 =  $\langle \llbracket \text{call1 } obj' = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor; n + \text{max-vars } obj' \leq \text{length } xs; \neg \text{contains-insync } obj \rrbracket \Rightarrow ?\text{concl } obj \ n \ obj' \ xs \ pc \ stk \ loc \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow ?\text{concl } obj \ n \ obj' \ xs \ pc \ stk \ loc$ 
note IH2 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. \llbracket \text{calls1 } ps = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor; n + \text{max-varss } ps \leq \text{length } xs; \neg \text{contains-insyncs } ps \rrbracket \Rightarrow ?\text{concl } ps \ n \ ps \ xs \ 0 \ [] \ xs \rangle$ 
note len =  $\langle n + \text{max-vars } (obj' \cdot M(ps)) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
note bisim1 =  $\langle P, obj, h \vdash (obj', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None) \rangle$ 
note call =  $\langle \text{call1 } (obj' \cdot M(ps)) = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor \rangle$ 

```

```

note cs =  $\neg \text{contains-insync } (\text{obj} \cdot M(\text{ps}))$ 
from call show ?case
proof(cases rule: call1-callE)
  case CallObj
    hence  $\neg \text{is-val } \text{obj}'$  by auto
    with CallObj IH1 len cs show ?thesis
      by(clarsimp)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Call1 elim!: Call-τ ExecrI1 intro!: exI)
  next
    case (CallParams v)
      with bisim1 have  $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t \text{ obj } h \text{ (stk, loc, pc, None) } ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 obj}), \text{None})$ 
        and [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
        hence  $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t \text{ (obj} \cdot M(\text{ps})) h \text{ (stk, loc, pc, None) } ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 obj}), \text{None})$ 
          by-(rule Call-τ ExecrI1)
        also from IH2[of loc] CallParams len cs obtain pc' stk' loc'
          where exec:  $\tau \text{Exec-movesr-a } P t \text{ ps } h \text{ ([]}, \text{loc}, 0, \text{None})$  (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
          and ins:  $\text{compEs2 ps ! pc'} = \text{Invoke } M' \text{ (length vs) pc'} < \text{length } (\text{compEs2 ps})$ 
          and bisim':  $P, \text{ps}, h \vdash (\text{ps}, \text{xs}) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None})$  by auto
          from Call-τ ExecrI2[OF exec, of obj M v]
          have  $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t \text{ (obj} \cdot M(\text{ps})) h \text{ ([v], loc, length } (\text{compE2 obj}), \text{None})$  (rev vs @ Addr a # (stk' @ [v]), loc', length } (compE2 obj) + pc', None) by simp
          also (rtranclp-trans)
          have  $P, \text{obj} \cdot M(\text{ps}), h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot M(\text{ps}), \text{xs}) \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev vs @ Addr a # stk'}) @ [v], \text{loc}', \text{length } (\text{compE2 obj}) + pc', \text{None})$  using bisim' by(rule bisim1CallParams)
          ultimately show ?thesis using ins CallParams by fastforce
  next
    case [simp]: Call
      from bisim1 have  $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t \text{ obj } h \text{ (stk, loc, pc, None) } ([\text{Addr a}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 obj}), \text{None})$ 
        and [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
        hence  $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t \text{ (obj} \cdot M(\text{ps})) h \text{ (stk, loc, pc, None) } ([\text{Addr a}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 obj}), \text{None})$ 
          by-(rule Call-τ ExecrI1)
        also have  $\tau \text{Exec-movesr-a } P t \text{ ps } h \text{ ([]}, \text{xs}, 0, \text{None})$  (rev vs, xs, length } (compEs2 ps), None)
        proof(cases vs)
          case Nil with Call show ?thesis by(auto)
        next
          case Cons with Call bisims1-Val-τ Exec-moves[OF bisims1-refl[of P h map Val vs loc]]
            show ?thesis by(auto simp add: bsoks-def)
          qed
          from Call-τ ExecrI2[OF this, of obj M Addr a]
          have  $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t \text{ (obj} \cdot M(\text{ps})) h \text{ ([Addr a], loc, length } (\text{compE2 obj}), \text{None})$  (rev vs @ [Addr a], xs, length } (compE2 obj) + length } (compEs2 ps), None) by simp
          also (rtranclp-trans)
          have  $P, \text{ps}, h \vdash (\text{map } \text{Val } \text{vs}, \text{xs}) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev vs, xs, length } (\text{compEs2 ps}), \text{None})$ 
            by(rule bisims1-map-Val-append[OF bisims1Nil, simplified])(simp-all add: bsoks-def)
          hence  $P, \text{obj} \cdot M(\text{ps}), h \vdash (\text{addr a} \cdot M(\text{map } \text{Val } \text{vs}), \text{xs}) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev vs @ [Addr a], xs, length } (\text{compE2 obj}) + length } (compEs2 ps), \text{None})$ 
            by(rule bisim1CallParams)
          ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
        qed
      next
        case (bisim1CallParams ps n ps' xs stk loc pc obj M v)

```

```

note  $IH2 = \langle \llbracket calls1 ps' = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor; n + max-varss ps' \leq length xs; \neg contains-insyncs ps \rrbracket \Rightarrow$ 
?concls ps n ps' xs pc stk loc
note  $bisim2 = \langle P, ps, h \vdash (ps', xs) [\leftrightarrow] (stk, loc, pc, None) \rangle$ 
note  $call = \langle call1 (Val v \cdot M(ps')) = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor \rangle$ 
note  $len = \langle n + max-vars (Val v \cdot M(ps')) \leq length xs \rangle$ 
note  $cs = \langle \neg contains-insync (obj \cdot M(ps)) \rangle$ 
from  $call$  show ?case
proof(cases rule: call1-callE)
  case  $CallObj$  thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case ( $CallParams v'$ )
    with  $IH2$   $len$   $cs$  obtain  $pc' stk' loc'$ 
      where  $exec: \tau Exec-movesr-a P t ps h (stk, loc, pc, None) (rev vs @ Addr a \# stk', loc', pc', None)$ 
        and  $ins: pc' < length (compEs2 ps) compEs2 ps ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs)$ 
        and  $bisim': P, ps, h \vdash (ps', xs) [\leftrightarrow] (rev vs @ Addr a \# stk', loc', pc', None)$  by auto
        from  $exec$  have  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t (obj \cdot M(ps)) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, None)$ 
           $((rev vs @ Addr a \# stk') @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj) + pc', None)$ 
          by(rule  $Call\text{-}\tau ExecrI2$ )
        moreover have  $P, obj \cdot M(ps), h \vdash (Val v \cdot M(ps'), xs) \leftrightarrow$ 
           $((rev vs @ Addr a \# stk') @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj) + pc', None)$ 
        using  $bisim'$  by(rule  $bisim1\text{-}bisims1.bisim1CallParams$ )
        ultimately show ?thesis using ins by fastforce
next
  case  $Call$ 
    hence [simp]:  $v = Addr a ps' = map Val vs M' = M$  by simp-all
    have  $xs = loc \wedge \tau Exec-movesr-a P t ps h (stk, loc, pc, None) (rev vs, loc, length (compEs2 ps), None)$ 
    proof(cases pc < length (compEs2 ps))
      case  $True$  with  $bisim2$  show ?thesis by(auto dest: bisims1-Val- $\tau Exec$ -moves)
    next
      case  $False$ 
        from  $bisim2$  have  $pc \leq length (compEs2 ps)$  by(rule bisims1-pc-length-compEs2)
        with  $False$  have  $pc = length (compEs2 ps)$  by simp
        with  $bisim2$  show ?thesis by(auto dest: bisims1-Val-length-compEs2D)
    qed
    then obtain [simp]:  $xs = loc$ 
    and  $exec: \tau Exec-movesr-a P t ps h (stk, loc, pc, None) (rev vs, loc, length (compEs2 ps), None)$ 
  ..
  from  $exec$  have  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t (obj \cdot M(ps)) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, None)$ 
     $((rev vs @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps), None)$ 
    by(rule  $Call\text{-}\tau ExecrI2$ )
  moreover from  $bisim2$  have  $len: length ps = length ps'$  by(auto dest: bisims1-lengthD)
  moreover have  $P, ps, h \vdash (map Val vs, xs) [\leftrightarrow] (rev vs, xs, length (compEs2 ps), None)$  using len
    by-(rule bisims1-map-Val-append[OF bisims1Nil, simplified], simp-all)
  hence  $P, obj \cdot M(ps), h \vdash (addr a \cdot M(map Val vs), xs) \leftrightarrow (rev vs @ [Addr a], xs, length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps), None)$  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallParams)
  ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
  qed
next
  case  $bisim1BlockSome1$  thus ?case by simp
next
  case  $bisim1BlockSome2$  thus ?case by simp
next

```

```

case (bisim1BlockSome4 e n e' xs stk loc pc V T v)
then obtain pc' loc' stk' where pc': pc' < length (compE2 e) compE2 e ! pc' = Invoke M' (length
vs)
    and exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e h (stk, loc, pc, None) (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
    and bisim': P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None) by auto
    note Block- $\tau$ ExecrI-Some[OF exec, of V T v]
    moreover from bisim' have P,{V:T=[v]; e},h ⊢ ({V:T=None; e'}, xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a #
stk', loc', Suc (Suc pc'), None)
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockSome4)
    ultimately show ?case using pc' by fastforce
next
case (bisim1BlockNone e n e' xs stk loc pc V T)
then obtain pc' loc' stk' where pc': pc' < length (compE2 e) compE2 e ! pc' = Invoke M' (length
vs)
    and exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e h (stk, loc, pc, None) (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
    and bisim': P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None) by auto
    note Block- $\tau$ ExecrI-None[OF exec, of V T]
    moreover from bisim' have P,{V:T=None; e},h ⊢ ({V:T=None; e'}, xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a #
stk', loc', pc', None)
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockNone)
    ultimately show ?case using pc' by fastforce
next
case bisim1Sync1 thus ?case
    by (auto)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync1 elim!: Sync- $\tau$ ExecrI intro!: exI)
next
case bisim1Sync2 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync3 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync4 thus ?case
    by (auto)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync4 elim!: Insync- $\tau$ ExecrI intro!: exI)
next
case bisim1Sync5 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync6 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync7 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync8 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync9 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1InSync thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Seq1 thus ?case
    by (auto)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq1 elim!: Seq- $\tau$ ExecrI1 intro!: exI)
next
case (bisim1Seq2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc e1)
then obtain pc' loc' stk' where pc': pc' < length (compE2 e2) compE2 e2 ! pc' = Invoke M' (length
vs)
    and exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, None) (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
    and bisim': P,e2,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None) by auto
    from Seq- $\tau$ ExecrI2[OF exec, of e1] pc' bisim'
    show ?case by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq2 intro!: exI)

```

```

next
  case bisim1Cond1 thus ?case
    by (auto)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Cond1 elim!: Cond- $\tau$ ExecrI1 intro!: exI)+
next
  case (bisim1CondThen e1 n e' xs stk loc pc e e2)
  then obtain pc' loc' stk' where pc': pc' < length (compE2 e1) compE2 e1 ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs)
    and exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, None) (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
    and bisim': P,e1,h  $\vdash$  (e', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None) by auto
    from Cond- $\tau$ ExecrI2[OF exec] pc' bisim' show ?case
      by (fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondThen intro!: exI)
next
  case (bisim1CondElse e2 n e' xs stk loc pc e e1)
  then obtain pc' loc' stk' where pc': pc' < length (compE2 e2) compE2 e2 ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs)
    and exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, None) (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
    and bisim': P,e2,h  $\vdash$  (e', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None) by auto
    from Cond- $\tau$ ExecrI3[OF exec] pc' bisim' show ?case
      by (fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondElse intro!: exI)
next
  case bisim1While1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1While3 thus ?case
    by (auto)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While3 elim!: While- $\tau$ ExecrI1 intro!: exI)+
next
  case bisim1While4 thus ?case
    by (auto)(fastforce intro!: While- $\tau$ ExecrI2 bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While4 exI)+
next
  case bisim1While6 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1While7 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1Throw1 thus ?case
    by (auto)(fastforce intro!: exI bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Throw1 elim!: Throw- $\tau$ ExecrI)+
next
  case bisim1Try thus ?case
    by (auto)(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Try elim!: Try- $\tau$ ExecrI1 intro!: exI)+
next
  case (bisim1TryCatch1 e n a' xs stk loc pc C' C e2 V)
  note IH2 =  $\langle \wedge_{xs.} [call1\ e2 = [(a, M', vs)]; Suc\ n + max-vars\ e2 \leq length\ xs; \neg contains-insync\ e2] \rangle \implies ?concl\ e2\ (Suc\ V)\ e2\ xs\ 0\ []\ xs$ 
  note bisim1 =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a']) \rangle$ 
  note bisim2 =  $\langle \wedge_{xs.} P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  note len =  $\langle n + max-vars\ \{V:Class\ C=\text{None};\ e2\} \leq length\ (xs[V := \text{Addr } a']) \rangle$ 
  note cs =  $\langle \neg contains-insync\ (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C\ V)\ e2) \rangle$ 
  from bisim1 have [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from len have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (try e catch(C V) e2) h ([Addr a'], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e)), None) ([]), loc[V := Addr a'], Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e))), None)
    by -(rule  $\tau$ Execr1step,auto simp add: exec-move-def intro:  $\tau$ move2- $\tau$ moves2.intros exec-instr)
    also from IH2[of loc[V := Addr a']] len  $\langle call1\ \{V:Class\ C=\text{None};\ e2\} = [(a, M', vs)] \rangle$  cs
    obtain pc' loc' stk'
      where exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h ([]), loc[V := Addr a'], 0, None) (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc', pc', None)
      and ins: pc' < length (compE2 e2) compE2 e2 ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs)

```

and $\text{bisim}' : P, e2, h \vdash (e2, \text{loc}[V := \text{Addr } a']) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{None})$ **by auto**
from $\text{Try-}\tau\text{ExecrI2}[\text{OF exec, of } e \text{ C } V]$
have $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2) h ([]), \text{loc}[V := \text{Addr } a'], \text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e))), \text{None})$ ($\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}')), \text{None}$) **by simp**
also from bisim'
have $P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2, h \vdash (\{V: \text{Class } C = \text{None}; e2\}, \text{loc}[V := \text{Addr } a']) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}', (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}'))), \text{None})$
by (rule bisim1TryCatch2)
ultimately show $?case$ **using** ins **by** fastforce
next
case bisim1TryCatch2 **thus** $?case$
by (auto)(fastforce intro! : $\text{Try-}\tau\text{ExecrI2 bisim1-bisims1.bisim1TryCatch2 exI}$)
next
case bisims1Nil **thus** $?case$ **by** simp
next
case $(\text{bisims1List1 } e n e' xs \text{ stk loc pc es})$
note $IH1 = \langle \llbracket \text{call1 } e' = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor; n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs; \neg \text{contains-insync } e \rrbracket \Rightarrow ?concl e n e' xs \text{ pc stk loc} \rangle$
note $IH2 = \langle \bigwedge \text{xs}. \llbracket \text{calls1 } es = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor; n + \text{max-varss } es \leq \text{length } xs; \neg \text{contains-insyncs } es \rrbracket \Rightarrow ?concls es n es xs 0 [] \text{ xs} \rangle$
note $\text{bisim1} = \langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) \rangle$
note $\text{call} = \langle \text{calls1 } (e' \# es) = \lfloor (a, M', vs) \rfloor \rangle$
note $\text{len} = \langle n + \text{max-varss } (e' \# es) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$
note $\text{cs} = \langle \neg \text{contains-insyncs } (e \# es) \rangle$
show $?case$
proof (cases $\text{is-val } e'$)
case True
then obtain v **where** [simp]: $e' = \text{Val } v$ **by** auto
with bisim1 **have** $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$
and [simp]: $xs = \text{loc}$ **by** (auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-a } P t (e \# es) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$
by -(rule $\tau\text{Exec-mover-}\tau\text{Exec-movesr}$)
also from $\text{call } IH2[\text{of loc}] \text{ len cs obtain } \text{pc}' \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}'$
where $\text{exec: } \tau\text{Exec-movesr-a } P t es h ([]), xs, 0, \text{None})$ ($\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{None})$
and $\text{ins: } \text{compEs2 } es ! \text{ pc}' = \text{Invoke } M' (\text{length } vs) \text{ pc}' < \text{length} (\text{compEs2 } es)$
and $\text{bisim}' : P, es, h \vdash (es, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{None})$ **by** auto
from $\text{append-}\tau\text{Exec-movesr}[\text{OF - exec, of } [v] [e]]$
have $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-a } P t (e \# es) h ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$ ($\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# (\text{stk}' @ [v]), \text{loc}', \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}', \text{None})$
by simp
also (rtranclp-trans) **from** bisim'
have $P, e \# es, h \vdash (\text{Val } v \# es, xs) \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}') @ [v], \text{loc}', \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}', \text{None})$
by (rule $\text{bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List2}$)
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** ins **by** fastforce
next
case False
with $\text{call } IH1 \text{ len cs show } ?thesis$
by (auto)(fastforce intro! : $\tau\text{Exec-mover-}\tau\text{Exec-movesr bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List1 exI}$)
qed
next
case $(\text{bisims1List2 } es n es' xs \text{ stk loc pc } e \text{ v})$
then obtain $\text{pc}' \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}'$ **where** $\text{pc}' : \text{pc}' < \text{length} (\text{compEs2 } es) \text{ compEs2 } es ! \text{ pc}' = \text{Invoke } M' (\text{length } vs)$

```

and exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-a } P t es h (stk, loc, pc, None) \text{ (rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc', pc', None)$ 
and bisim':  $P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) [\leftrightarrow] (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc', pc', None)$  by auto
note append- $\tau\text{Exec-movesr}[OF - exec, of [v] [e]]$ 
moreover from bisim'
have  $P, e \# es, h \vdash (\text{Val } v \# es', xs) [\leftrightarrow] ((\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk') @ [v], loc', length (\text{compE2 } e) + pc', None)$ 
by (rule bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List2)
ultimately show ?case using pc' by fastforce
qed

lemma fixes  $P :: \text{'addr J1-prog}$ 
shows bisim1-inline-call-Val:
 $\llbracket P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None); call1 e' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{compE2 } e ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n0 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{length } stk \geq \text{Suc}(\text{length } vs) \wedge n0 = \text{length } vs \wedge$ 
 $P, e, h \vdash (\text{inline-call } (\text{Val } v) e', xs) \leftrightarrow (v \# \text{drop}(\text{Suc}(\text{length } vs)) \text{stk}, loc, \text{Suc } pc, None)$ 
(is  $\llbracket \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concl } e \ n \ e' \ xs \ pc \ stk \ loc$ )

and bisims1-inline-calls-Val:
 $\llbracket P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None); calls1 es' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{compEs2 } es ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n0 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{length } stk \geq \text{Suc}(\text{length } vs) \wedge n0 = \text{length } vs \wedge$ 
 $P, es, h \vdash (\text{inline-calls } (\text{Val } v) es', xs) \leftrightarrow (v \# \text{drop}(\text{Suc}(\text{length } vs)) \text{stk}, loc, \text{Suc } pc, None)$ 
(is  $\llbracket \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concls } es \ n \ es' \ xs \ pc \ stk \ loc$ )

proof(induct  $(e', xs)$  (stk, loc, pc, None :: 'addr option)
and  $(es', xs)$  (stk, loc, pc, None :: 'addr option)
arbitrary:  $e' \ xs \ stk \ loc \ pc$  and  $es' \ xs \ stk \ loc \ pc$  rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts)
case bisim1Val2 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1New thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1NewArray thus ?case
by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1NewArray)
next
case bisim1Cast thus ?case
by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Cast)
next
case bisim1InstanceOf thus ?case
by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1InstanceOf)
next
case bisim1Val thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Var thus ?case by simp
next
case (bisim1BinOp1 e1 e' xs stk loc pc bop e2)
note IH1 =  $\langle \llbracket \text{call1 } e' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{compE2 } e1 ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n0 \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concl } e1 \ n \ e' \ xs \ pc \ stk \ loc \rangle$ 
note bisim1 =  $\langle P, e1, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None) \rangle$ 
note call =  $\langle \text{call1 } (e' \ « \text{bop} » e2) = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \rangle$ 
note ins =  $\langle \text{compE2 } (e1 \ « \text{bop} » e2) ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n0 \rangle$ 
show ?case
proof(cases is-val e')
case False
with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 e1) by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)

```

```

with call ins False IH1 show ?thesis
  by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp1)
next
  case True
  then obtain v where [simp]:  $e' = \text{Val } v$  by auto
  from bisim1 have pc  $\leq \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1)$  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  moreover {
    assume pc: pc  $< \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1)$ 
    with bisim1 ins have False by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
    ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e1) by(cases pc < length (compE2 e1)) auto
    with ins have False by(simp)
    thus ?thesis ..
qed
next
  case (bisim1BinOp2 e2 e' xs stk loc pc e1 bop v1)
  note IH2 =  $\langle \llbracket \text{call1 } e' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{compE2 } e2 ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n0 \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concl } e2 n e' xs pc stk loc \rangle$ 
  note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None) \rangle$ 
  note call =  $\langle \text{call1 } (\text{Val } v1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e') = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \rangle$ 
  note ins =  $\langle \text{compE2 } (e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e2) ! (\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc) = \text{Invoke } M n0 \rangle$ 
  from call bisim2 have pc: pc  $< \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2)$  by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
  with ins have ins': compE2 e2 ! pc = Invoke M n0 by(simp)
  from IH2 ins' pc call show ?case by(auto dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp2)
next
  case bisim1LAss1 thus ?case
    by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1LAss1)
next
  case bisim1LAss2 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (bisim1AAcc1 A a' xs stk loc pc i)
  note IH1 =  $\langle \llbracket \text{call1 } a' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{compE2 } A ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n0 \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concl } A n a' xs pc stk loc \rangle$ 
  note bisim1 =  $\langle P, A, h \vdash (a', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None) \rangle$ 
  note call =  $\langle \text{call1 } (a'[i]) = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \rangle$ 
  note ins =  $\langle \text{compE2 } (A[i]) ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n0 \rangle$ 
  show ?case
  proof(cases is-val a')
    case False
    with bisim1 call have pc  $< \text{length}(\text{compE2 } A)$  by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
    with call ins False IH1 show ?thesis
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc1)
next
  case True
  then obtain v where [simp]:  $a' = \text{Val } v$  by auto
  from bisim1 have pc  $\leq \text{length}(\text{compE2 } A)$  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  moreover {
    assume pc: pc  $< \text{length}(\text{compE2 } A)$ 
    with bisim1 ins have False by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
    ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 A) by(cases pc < length (compE2 A)) auto
    with ins have False by(simp)
    thus ?thesis ..
qed
next
  case (bisim1AAcc2 i i' xs stk loc pc A v)

```

```

note  $IH2 = \langle \llbracket call1 i' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; compE2 i ! pc = Invoke M n0 \rrbracket \implies ?concl i n i' xs pc stk loc \rangle$ 
note  $bisim2 = \langle P, i, h \vdash (i', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None) \rangle$ 
note  $call = \langle call1 (Val v[i']) = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \rangle$ 
note  $ins = \langle compE2 (A[i]) ! (length (compE2 A) + pc) = Invoke M n0 \rangle$ 
from  $call$   $bisim2$  have  $pc: pc < length (compE2 A)$  by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
with  $ins$  have  $ins': compE2 i ! pc = Invoke M n0$  by(simp)
from  $IH2$   $ins'$   $pc$   $call$  show  $?case$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc2)
next
case ( $bisim1AAcc1 A a' xs stk loc pc i e$ )
note  $IH1 = \langle \llbracket call1 a' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; compE2 A ! pc = Invoke M n0 \rrbracket \implies ?concl A n a' xs pc stk loc \rangle$ 
note  $bisim1 = \langle P, A, h \vdash (a', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None) \rangle$ 
note  $call = \langle call1 (a'[i] := e) = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \rangle$ 
note  $ins = \langle compE2 (A[i] := e) ! pc = Invoke M n0 \rangle$ 
show  $?case$ 
proof(cases is-val  $a'$ )
  case False
    with  $bisim1$   $call$  have  $pc < length (compE2 A)$  by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
    with  $call$   $ins$  False  $IH1$  show  $?thesis$  by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc1)
next
  case True
    then obtain  $v$  where [simp]:  $a' = Val v$  by auto
    from  $bisim1$  have  $pc \leq length (compE2 A)$  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
    moreover {
      assume  $pc: pc < length (compE2 A)$ 
      with  $bisim1$   $ins$  have False by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
      ultimately have [simp]:  $pc = length (compE2 A)$  by(cases  $pc < length (compE2 A)$ ) auto
      with  $ins$  have False by(simp)
      thus  $?thesis$  ..
qed
next
case ( $bisim1AAcc2 i i' xs stk loc pc A e v$ )
note  $IH2 = \langle \llbracket call1 i' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; compE2 i ! pc = Invoke M n0 \rrbracket \implies ?concl i n i' xs pc stk loc \rangle$ 
note  $bisim2 = \langle P, i, h \vdash (i', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None) \rangle$ 
note  $call = \langle call1 (Val v[i'] := e) = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \rangle$ 
note  $ins = \langle compE2 (A[i] := e) ! (length (compE2 A) + pc) = Invoke M n0 \rangle$ 
show  $?case$ 
proof(cases is-val  $i'$ )
  case False
    with  $bisim2$   $call$  have  $pc: pc < length (compE2 i)$  by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
    with  $ins$  have  $ins': compE2 i ! pc = Invoke M n0$  by(simp)
    from  $IH2$   $ins'$   $pc$  False  $call$  show  $?thesis$  by(auto dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc2)
next
  case True
    then obtain  $v$  where [simp]:  $i' = Val v$  by auto
    from  $bisim2$  have  $pc \leq length (compE2 i)$  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
    moreover {
      assume  $pc: pc < length (compE2 i)$ 
      with  $bisim2$   $ins$  have False by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
      ultimately have [simp]:  $pc = length (compE2 i)$  by(cases  $pc < length (compE2 i)$ ) auto
      with  $ins$  have False by(simp)
      thus  $?thesis$  ..
qed

```

```

next
  case (bisim1Ass3 e e' xs stk loc pc i A v v')
    note IH2 = <[call1 e' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M n0] => ?concl e n e' xs pc stk
    loc>
    note bisim3 = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
    note call = <call1 (Val v | Val v') := e'> = [(a, M, vs)]
    note ins = <compE2 (i[A] := e) ! (length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 A) + pc) = Invoke M n0>
    from call bisim3 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
    with ins have ins': compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M n0 by(simp)
    from IH2 ins' pc call show ?case by(auto dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Ass3)
next
  case bisim1Ass4 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1ALength thus ?case
    by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1ALength)
next
  case bisim1FAcc thus ?case
    by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAcc)
next
  case (bisim1FAss1 e1 e' xs stk loc pc F D e2)
  note IH1 = <[call1 e' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 e1 ! pc = Invoke M n0] => ?concl e1 n e' xs pc stk
  loc>
  note bisim1 = <P,e1,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
  note call = <call1 (e'·F{D} := e2) = [(a, M, vs)]>
  note ins = <compE2 (e1·F{D} := e2) ! pc = Invoke M n0>
  show ?case
  proof(cases is-val e')
    case False
    with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 e1) by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
    with call ins False IH1 show ?thesis
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss1)
next
  case True
  then obtain v where [simp]: e' = Val v by auto
  from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 e1) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  moreover {
    assume pc: pc < length (compE2 e1)
    with bisim1 ins have False by(auto dest: bisim1-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
    ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e1) by(cases pc < length (compE2 e1)) auto
    with ins have False by(simp)
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
next
  case (bisim1FAss2 e2 e' xs stk loc pc e1 F D v1)
  note IH2 = <[call1 e' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 e2 ! pc = Invoke M n0] => ?concl e2 n e' xs pc stk
  loc>
  note bisim2 = <P,e2,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
  note call = <call1 (Val v1·F{D} := e') = [(a, M, vs)]>
  note ins = <compE2 (e1·F{D} := e2) ! (length (compE2 e1) + pc) = Invoke M n0>
  from call bisim2 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e2) by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
  with ins have ins': compE2 e2 ! pc = Invoke M n0 by(simp)
  from IH2 ins' pc call show ?case by(auto dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss2)
next
  case bisim1FAss3 thus ?case by simp

```

```

next
  case (bisim1CAS1 e1 e' xs stk loc pc D F e2 E3)
    note IH1 = <[call1 e' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 e1 ! pc = Invoke M n0] => ?concl e1 n e' xs pc stk loc>
    note bisim1 = <P,e1,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
    note call = <call1 - = [(a, M, vs)]>
    note ins = <compE2 - ! pc = Invoke M n0>
    show ?case
    proof(cases is-val e')
      case False
        with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 e1) by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
        with call ins False IH1 show ?thesis by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS1)
  next
    case True
      then obtain v where [simp]: e' = Val v by auto
      from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 e1) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
      moreover {
        assume pc: pc < length (compE2 e1)
        with bisim1 ins have False by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
      ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e1) by(cases pc < length (compE2 e1)) auto
      with ins have False by(simp)
      thus ?thesis ..
  qed
  next
    case (bisim1CAS2 e2 e2' xs stk loc pc e1 D F e3 v)
      note IH2 = <[call1 e2' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 e2 ! pc = Invoke M n0] => ?concl e2 n e2' xs pc
      stk loc>
      note bisim2 = <P,e2,h ⊢ (e2', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
      note call = <call1 - = [(a, M, vs)]>
      note ins = <compE2 - ! (length (compE2 e1) + pc) = Invoke M n0>
      show ?case
      proof(cases is-val e2')
        case False
          with bisim2 call have pc: pc < length (compE2 e2) by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
          with ins have ins': compE2 e2 ! pc = Invoke M n0 by(simp)
          from IH2 ins' pc False call show ?thesis by(auto dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS2)
  next
    case True
      then obtain v where [simp]: e2' = Val v by auto
      from bisim2 have pc ≤ length (compE2 e2) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
      moreover {
        assume pc: pc < length (compE2 e2)
        with bisim2 ins have False by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
      ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e2) by(cases pc < length (compE2 e2)) auto
      with ins have False by(simp)
      thus ?thesis ..
  qed
  next
    case (bisim1CAS3 e3 e3' xs stk loc pc e1 D F e2 v v')
      note IH2 = <[call1 e3' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 e3 ! pc = Invoke M n0] => ?concl e3 n e3' xs pc
      stk loc>
      note bisim3 = <P,e3,h ⊢ (e3', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
      note call = <call1 - = [(a, M, vs)]>
      note ins = <compE2 - ! (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc) = Invoke M n0>

```

```

from call bisim3 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e3) by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
with ins have ins': compE2 e3 ! pc = Invoke M n0 by(simp)
from IH2 ins' pc call show ?case by(auto dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS3)
next
  case (bisim1Call1 obj obj' xs stk loc pc M' ps)
  note IH1 = <[call1 obj' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 obj ! pc = Invoke M n0]> ==> ?concl obj n obj' xs
  pc stk loc
    note bisim1 = <P,obj,h ⊢ (obj', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
    note call = <call1 (obj'·M'(ps)) = [(a, M, vs)]>
    note ins = <compE2 (obj·M'(ps)) ! pc = Invoke M n0>
    show ?case
    proof(cases is-val obj')
      case False
      with call bisim1 have pc < length (compE2 obj) by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
      with call False ins IH1 False show ?thesis
        by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Call1)
    next
      case True
      then obtain v' where [simp]: obj' = Val v' by auto
      from bisim1 have pc ≤ length (compE2 obj) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
      moreover {
        assume pc: pc < length (compE2 obj)
        with bisim1 ins have False by(auto dest: bisim1-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
        ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 obj) by(cases pc < length (compE2 obj)) auto
        with ins have [simp]: ps = [] M' = M
          by(auto split: if-split-asm)(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
        from ins call have [simp]: vs = [] by(auto split: if-split-asm)
        with bisim1 have [simp]: stk = [v'] xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
        from bisim1Val2[of length (compE2 (obj·M([]))) obj·M([]) P h v loc] call ins
        show ?thesis by(auto simp add: is-val-iff)
      qed
    next
    case (bisim1CallParams ps ps' xs stk loc pc obj M' v')
    note IH2 = <[calls1 ps' = [(a, M, vs)]; compEs2 ps ! pc = Invoke M n0]> ==> ?concls ps n ps' xs
    pc stk loc
      note bisim = <P,ps,h ⊢ (ps', xs) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, None)>
      note call = <call1 (Val v'·M'(ps')) = [(a, M, vs)]>
      note ins = <compE2 (obj·M'(ps)) ! (length (compE2 obj) + pc) = Invoke M n0>
      from call show ?case
      proof(cases rule: call1-calle)
        case CallObj thus ?thesis by simp
      next
        case (CallParams v'')
          hence [simp]: v'' = v' and call': calls1 ps' = [(a, M, vs)] by simp-all
          from bisim call' have pc: pc < length (compEs2 ps) by(rule bisims1-calls-pcD)
          with ins have ins': compEs2 ps ! pc = Invoke M n0 by(simp)
          with IH2 call' ins pc
          have P,ps,h ⊢ (inline-calls (Val v) ps', xs)
            [↔] (v # drop (Suc (length vs)) stk, loc, Suc pc, None)
            and len: Suc (length vs) ≤ length stk and n0: n0 = length vs by auto
            hence P,obj·M'(ps),h ⊢ (Val v'·M'(inline-calls (Val v) ps'), xs)
              ↔ ((v # drop (Suc (length vs)) stk) @ [v'], loc, length (compE2 obj) + Suc pc,
            None)
            by-(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallParams)

```

```

thus ?thesis using call' len n0 by(auto simp add: is-vals-conv)
next
  case Call
    hence [simp]:  $v' = \text{Addr } a \ M' = M \ ps' = \text{map } Val \ vs$  by auto
    from bisim have  $pc \leq \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } ps)$  by(auto dest: bisims1-pc-length-compEs2)
    moreover {
      assume  $pc: pc < \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } ps)$ 
      with bisim ins have False by(auto dest: bisims-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
      ultimately have [simp]:  $pc = \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } ps)$  by(cases pc < length (compEs2 ps)) auto
      from bisim have [simp]:  $stk = \text{rev } vs \ xs = loc$  by(auto dest: bisims1-Val-length-compEs2D)
      hence  $P, obj.M(ps), h \vdash (\text{Val } v, loc) \leftrightarrow ([v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (obj.M(ps))), \text{None})$  by-(rule
bisim1Val2, simp)
      moreover from bisim have  $\text{length } ps = \text{length } ps'$  by(rule bisims1-lengthD)
      ultimately show ?thesis using ins by(auto)
    qed
  next
    case bisim1BlockSome1 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case bisim1BlockSome2 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case bisim1BlockSome4 thus ?case
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockSome4)
  next
    case bisim1BlockNone thus ?case
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockNone)
  next
    case bisim1Sync1 thus ?case
      by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync1)
  next
    case bisim1Sync2 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case bisim1Sync3 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case bisim1Sync4 thus ?case
      by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync4)
  next
    case bisim1Sync5 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case bisim1Sync6 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case bisim1Sync7 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case bisim1Sync8 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case bisim1Sync9 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case bisim1InSync thus ?case by(simp)
  next
    case bisim1Seq1 thus ?case
      by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq1)
  next
    case bisim1Seq2 thus ?case
      by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)(fastforce dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq2)
  next

```

```

case bisim1Cond1 thus ?case
  by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Cond1)
next
  case (bisim1CondThen e1 stk loc pc e e2 e' xs) thus ?case
    by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
      (fastforce dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondThen[where e=e and ?e2.0=e2])
next
  case (bisim1CondElse e2 stk loc pc e e1 e' xs) thus ?case
    by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
      (fastforce dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondElse[where e=e and ?e1.0=e1])
next
  case bisim1While1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1While3 thus ?case
    by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While3)
next
  case bisim1While4 thus ?case
    by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)(fastforce dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While4)
next
  case bisim1While6 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1While7 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1Throw1 thus ?case
    by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Throw1)
next
  case bisim1Try thus ?case
    by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Try)
next
  case bisim1TryCatch1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1TryCatch2 thus ?case
    by(fastforce dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1TryCatch2)
next
  case bisims1Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (bisims1List1 e e' xs stk loc pc es)
    note IH1 = <[call1 e' = [(a, M, vs)]; compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M n0]>  $\implies$  ?concl e n e' xs pc stk loc
    note bisim1 = <P,e,h  $\vdash$  (e', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, None)>
    note call = <calls1 (e' # es) = [(a, M, vs)]>
    note ins = <compEs2 (e # es) ! pc = Invoke M n0>
    show ?case
    proof(cases is-val e')
      case False
        with bisim1 call have pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto intro: bisim1-call-pcD)
        with call ins False IH1 show ?thesis
          by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List1)
next
  case True
    then obtain v where [simp]: e' = Val v by auto
    from bisim1 have pc  $\leq$  length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
    moreover {
      assume pc: pc < length (compE2 e)

```

```

with bisim1 ins have False by(auto dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke) }
ultimately have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by(cases pc < length (compE2 e)) auto
with ins call have False by(cases es)(auto)
thus ?thesis ..
qed
next
case (bisims1List2 es es' xs stk loc pc e v')
note IH = <[calls1 es' = [(a, M, vs)]; compEs2 es ! pc = Invoke M n0] => ?concls es n es' xs pc
stk loc>
note call = <calls1 (Val v' # es') = [(a, M, vs)]>
note bisim = <P,es,h ⊢ (es', xs) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, None)>
note ins = <compEs2 (e # es) ! (length (compE2 e) + pc) = Invoke M n0>
from call have call': calls1 es' = [(a, M, vs)] by simp
with bisim have pc: pc < length (compEs2 es) by(rule bisims1-calls-pcD)
with ins have ins': compEs2 es ! pc = Invoke M n0 by(simp)
from IH call ins pc show ?case
by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List2)
qed

lemma bisim1-fv: P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ s => fv e' ⊆ fv e
and bisims1-fvs: P,es,h ⊢ (es', xs) [↔] s => fvs es' ⊆ fvs es
apply(induct (e', xs) s and (es', xs) s arbitrary: e' xs and es' xs rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts)
apply(auto)
done

lemma bisim1-syncvars: [[ P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ s; syncvars e ]] => syncvars e'
and bisims1-syncvarss: [[ P,es,h ⊢ (es', xs) [↔] s; syncvarss es ]] => syncvarss es'
apply(induct (e', xs) s and (es', xs) s arbitrary: e' xs and es' xs rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts)
apply(auto dest: bisim1-fv)
done

declare pcs-stack-xlift [simp]

lemma bisim1-Val-τred1r:
[[ P, E, h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ ([v], loc, length (compE2 E), None); n + max-vars e ≤ length xs; B E n ]]
=> τred1r P t h (e, xs) (Val v, loc)

and bisims1-Val-τReds1r:
[[ P, Es, h ⊢ (es, xs) [↔] (rev vs, loc, length (compEs2 Es), None); n + max-varss es ≤ length xs;
B Es n ]]
=> τreds1r P t h (es, xs) (map Val vs, loc)
proof(induct E n e xs stk≡[v] loc pc≡length (compE2 E) xcp≡None::'addr option
and Es n es xs stk≡rev vs loc pc≡length (compEs2 Es) xcp≡None::'addr option
arbitrary: v and vs rule: bisim1-bisims1-inducts-split)
case bisim1BlockSome2 thus ?case by(simp (no-asm-use))
next
case (bisim1BlockSome4 e n e' xs loc pc V T val)
from <B {V:T=[val]; e} n> have [simp]: n = V and B e (Suc n) by auto
note len = <n + max-vars {V:T=None; e'} ≤ length xs>
hence V: V < length xs by simp
from <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ ([v], loc, pc, None)>
have lenxs: length xs = length loc by(auto dest: bisim1-length-xs)
note IH = <[pc = length (compE2 e); Suc n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs; B e (Suc n)]>

```

```

 $\implies \tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (\text{Val } v, \text{loc})$ 
with  $\text{len } \langle \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc) = \text{length } (\text{compE2 } \{V:T=[\text{val}]; e\}) \rangle \langle \mathcal{B} e (\text{Suc } n) \rangle$ 
have  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (\text{Val } v, \text{loc})$  by (simp)
hence  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (\{V:T=\text{None}; e'\}, xs) (\{V:T=\text{None}; \text{Val } v\}, \text{loc})$ 
by (rule Block-None-τred1r-xt)
thus ?case using  $V$   $\text{lenxs}$  by (auto elim!: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl intro: Red1Block τmove1BlockRed)
next
  case ( $\text{bisim1BlockNone } e n e' xs loc V T$ )
  from  $\langle \mathcal{B} \{V:T=\text{None}; e\} n \rangle$  have [simp]:  $n = V$  and  $\mathcal{B} e (\text{Suc } n)$  by auto
  note  $\text{len} = \langle n + \text{max-vars } \{V:T=\text{None}; e'\} \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
  hence  $V: V < \text{length } xs$  by simp
  from  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } \{V:T=\text{None}; e\}), \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  have  $\text{lenxs}: \text{length } xs = \text{length } \text{loc}$  by (auto dest: bisim1-length-xs)
  note  $IH = \langle [\text{length } (\text{compE2 } \{V:T=\text{None}; e\}) = \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e); \text{Suc } n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs; \mathcal{B} e (\text{Suc } n) \rangle$ 
     $\implies \tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (\text{Val } v, \text{loc})$ 
  with  $\text{len } \langle \mathcal{B} e (\text{Suc } n) \rangle$  have  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (\text{Val } v, \text{loc})$  by (simp)
  hence  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (\{V:T=\text{None}; e'\}, xs) (\{V:T=\text{None}; \text{Val } v\}, \text{loc})$ 
  by (rule Block-None-τred1r-xt)
thus ?case using  $V$   $\text{lenxs}$  by (auto elim!: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl intro: Red1Block τmove1BlockRed)
next
  case ( $\text{bisim1TryCatch2 } e2 n e' xs loc pc e C V$ )
  from  $\langle \mathcal{B} (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2) n \rangle$  have [simp]:  $n = V$  and  $\mathcal{B} e2 (\text{Suc } n)$  by auto
  note  $\text{len} = \langle n + \text{max-vars } \{V:\text{Class } C=\text{None}; e'\} \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
  hence  $V: V < \text{length } xs$  by simp
  from  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  have  $\text{lenxs}: \text{length } xs = \text{length } \text{loc}$  by (auto dest: bisim1-length-xs)
  note  $IH = \langle [pc = \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2); \text{Suc } n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs; \mathcal{B} e2 (\text{Suc } n)] \rangle$ 
     $\implies \tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (\text{Val } v, \text{loc})$ 
  with  $\text{len } \langle \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc)) = \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e2)) \rangle \langle \mathcal{B} e2 (\text{Suc } n) \rangle$ 
  have  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (\text{Val } v, \text{loc})$  by (simp)
  hence  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (\{V:\text{Class } C=\text{None}; e'\}, xs) (\{V:\text{Class } C=\text{None}; \text{Val } v\}, \text{loc})$ 
  by (rule Block-None-τred1r-xt)
thus ?case using  $V$   $\text{lenxs}$  by (auto elim!: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl intro: Red1Block τmove1BlockRed)
next
  case ( $\text{bisims1List1 } e n e' xs loc es$ )
  note  $\text{bisim} = \langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs, \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } (e \# es)), \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  then have  $es: es = []$  and  $pc: \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } (e \# es)) = \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)$ 
  by (auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  with  $\text{bisim}$  obtain  $val$  where  $\text{stk}: \text{rev } vs = [\text{val}]$  and  $e': \text{is-val } e' \implies e' = \text{Val } val$ 
  by (auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with  $es pc$   $\text{bisims1List1}$  have  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (\text{Val } val, \text{loc})$  by simp
  with  $\text{stk } es$  show ?case by (auto intro: τred1r-inj-τreds1r)
next
  case ( $\text{bisims1List2 } es n es' xs stk loc pc e v$ )
  from  $\langle \text{stk } @ [v] = \text{rev } vs \rangle$  obtain  $vs'$  where  $vs: vs = v \# vs'$  by (cases vs) auto
  with  $\text{bisims1List2}$  show ?case by (auto intro: τreds1r-cons-τreds1r)
qed(fastforce dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 bisims1-pc-length-compEs2)+

```

lemma *exec-meth-stk-split*:

```

 $\llbracket P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp});$ 
 $\quad \text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } E) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } E \ 0 \ 0)) \ t$ 
 $\quad h (\text{stk } @ STK, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) \ ta \ h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc', \text{xcp}') \rrbracket$ 

```

$\implies \exists stk''.\; stk'' = stk'' @ STK \wedge exec\text{-meth}\text{-}d (compP2 P) (compE2 E) (compxE2 E 0 0) t$
 $h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $(\mathbf{is} \llbracket \cdot; ?exec E stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rrbracket \implies ?concl E stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp')$

and exec-meth-stk-splits:
 $\llbracket P, Es, h \vdash (es, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp);$
 $exec\text{-meth}\text{-}d (compP2 P) (compEs2 Es) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxEs2 Es 0 0)) t$
 $h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists stk''.\; stk'' = stk'' @ STK \wedge exec\text{-meth}\text{-}d (compP2 P) (compEs2 Es) (compxEs2 Es 0 0) t$
 $h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc', xcp')$
 $(\mathbf{is} \llbracket \cdot; ?execs Es stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rrbracket \implies ?concls Es stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp')$

**proof(induct E n :: nat e xs stk loc pc xcp and Es n :: nat es xs stk loc pc xcp
arbitrary: stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK and stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK rule: bisim1-bisims1-inducts-split)**
case bisim1InSync thus ?case by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros)
next
case bisim1Val2 thus ?case by(auto dest: exec-meth-length-compE2-stack-xliftD)
next
case bisim1New thus ?case
by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm cong del: image-cong-simp)
next
case bisim1NewThrow thus ?case by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros)
next
case (bisim1NewArray e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp T)
note bisim = <P, e, h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note IH = <∀stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
 $\implies ?concl e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'$
note exec = <?exec (newA T[e]) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
case True
with exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)(erule exec-meth-take)
from IH[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
with bisim obtain v where [simp]: stk = [v] xcp = None
by(auto dest: dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with exec show ?thesis
apply simp
apply (erule exec-meth.cases)
apply (auto 4 4 intro: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm cong del: image-cong-simp)
done
qed
next
case (bisim1NewArrayThrow e n a xs stk loc pc T)
note bisim = <P, e, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
note IH = <∀stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
 $\implies ?concl e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'$
note exec = <?exec (newA T[e]) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) and [simp]: xs = loc

```

by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  by(simp)(erule exec-meth-take[OF - pc])
from IH[OF this] show ?case by(auto)
next
  case bisim1NewArrayFail thus ?case
    by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pcsD simp add: stack-xlift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
next
  case (bisim1Cast e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp T)
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note IH = <ʃ stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note exec = <?exec (Cast T e) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
    case True
      with exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
        by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)(erule exec-meth-take)
      from IH[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case False
      with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
      with bisim obtain v where [simp]: stk = [v] xcp = None
        by(auto dest: dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
      with exec show ?thesis apply(simp)
        by(erule exec-meth.cases)(auto intro!: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)
    qed
  next
  case (bisim1CastThrow e n a xs stk loc pc T)
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  note IH = <ʃ stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note exec = <?exec (Cast T e) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) and [simp]: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    by(simp)(erule exec-meth-take[OF - pc])
  from IH[OF this] show ?case by(auto)
next
  case bisim1CastFail thus ?case
    by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pcsD simp add: stack-xlift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
next
  case (bisim1InstanceOf e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp T)
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note IH = <ʃ stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note exec = <?exec (e instanceof T) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
    case True
      with exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
        by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)(erule exec-meth-take)
  
```

```

from IH[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
next
  case False
    with pc have [simp]:  $pc = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$  by simp
    with bisim obtain v where [simp]:  $\text{stk} = [v]$   $\text{xcp} = \text{None}$ 
      by(auto dest: dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
    with exec show ?thesis apply(simp)
      by(erule exec-meth.cases)(auto intro!: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)
qed
next
  case (bisim1InstanceOfThrow e n a xs stk loc pc T)
  note bisim =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a]) \rangle$ 
  note IH =  $\langle \bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \text{ STK}. \ ?\text{exec } e \text{ stk } \text{STK} \text{ loc } \text{pc} \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}'$ 
     $\implies ?\text{concl } e \text{ stk } \text{STK} \text{ loc } \text{pc} \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
  note exec =  $\langle ?\text{exec } (e \text{ instanceof } T) \text{ stk } \text{STK} \text{ loc } \text{pc} \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
  from bisim have pc:  $pc < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$  and [simp]:  $xs = \text{loc}$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    by(simp)(erule exec-meth-take[OF - pc])
  from IH[OF this] show ?case by(auto)
next
  case bisim1Val thus ?case by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros)
next
  case bisim1Var thus ?case by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros)
next
  case (bisim1BinOp1 e1 n e1' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 bop)
  note IH1 =  $\langle \bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \text{ STK}. \ ?\text{exec } e1 \text{ stk } \text{STK} \text{ loc } \text{pc} \text{ xcp} \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}'$ 
     $\implies ?\text{concl } e1 \text{ stk } \text{STK} \text{ loc } \text{pc} \text{ xcp} \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
  note IH2 =  $\langle \bigwedge \text{xs} \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \text{ STK}. \ ?\text{exec } e2 \text{ [] } \text{STK} \text{ xs } 0 \text{ None } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}'$ 
     $\implies ?\text{concl } e2 \text{ [] } \text{STK} \text{ xs } 0 \text{ None } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
  note bisim1 =  $\langle P, e1, h \vdash (e1', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$ 
  note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([], \text{loc}, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  note exec =  $\langle ?\text{exec } (e1 \text{ ``bop'' } e2) \text{ stk } \text{STK} \text{ loc } \text{pc} \text{ xcp} \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
  from bisim1 have pc:  $pc \leq \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1)$  by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e1))
    case True
      with exec have ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
        by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)(erule exec-meth-take-xt)
      from IH1[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
      with pc have pc:  $pc = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1)$  by simp
      with exec have pc' ≥ length (compE2 e1)
        by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs stack-xlift-compxE2)(auto split: bop.splits elim!: exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)
        then obtain PC where PC:  $pc' = PC + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1)$ 
          by -(rule-tac PC34=pc' - length (compE2 e1) in that, simp)
        from pc bisim1 obtain v where stk = [v] xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
        with exec pc have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)
        (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ compxE2 e2 (length (compE2 e1)) (Suc 0))) t h (stk
        @ STK, loc, length (compE2 e1) + 0, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
          by-(rule exec-meth-take, auto)
        hence ?exec e2 [] (v # STK) loc 0 None stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 e1)) xcp'
          using ⟨stk = [v]⟩ ⟨xcp = None⟩

```

```

by  $\neg(\text{rule exec-meth-drop-xt}, \text{auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2})$ 
from IH2[OF this] PC obtain  $\text{stk}' = \text{stk}'' @ v \# STK$ 
and exec-meth-d ( $\text{compP2 } P$ ) ( $\text{compE2 } e2$ ) ( $\text{compxE2 } e2 \ 0 \ 0$ )  $t \ h ([] \text{, loc, } 0, \text{None}) \ ta \ h' (\text{stk}'',$ 
 $\text{loc}', PC, \text{xcp}')$  by auto
hence exec-meth-d ( $\text{compP2 } P$ ) (( $\text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{compE2 } e2$ ) @ [BinOpInstr bop])
( $\text{compxE2 } e1 \ 0 \ 0 @ \text{shift} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)) (\text{stack-xlift} (\text{length} [v]) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \ 0 \ 0))) \ t \ h$ 
([] @ [v], loc, length ( $\text{compE2 } e1$ ) + 0, None)  $ta \ h' (\text{stk}'' @ [v], \text{loc}', \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + PC,$ 
 $\text{xcp}')$ 
apply -
apply(rule exec-meth-append)
apply(rule append-exec-meth-xt)
apply(erule exec-meth-stk-offer)
by(auto)
thus ?thesis using < $\text{stk} = [v]$ > < $\text{xcp} = \text{None}$ >  $\text{stk}' pc PC$ 
by(clarsimp simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
qed
next
case (bisim1BinOp2 e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc xcp e1 bop v1)
note IH2 = < $\bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' STK. \ ?\text{exec } e2 \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$ 
 $\implies ?\text{concl } e2 \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$ >
note bisim1 = < $P, e1, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] \text{, xs, } 0, \text{None})$ >
note bisim2 = < $P, e2, h \vdash (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp})$ >
note exec = < $?exec (e1 \ «bop» e2) (\text{stk} @ [v1]) STK \ loc (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc) \ xcp \ \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}'$ 
 $\text{xcp}'$ >
from bisim2 have pc:  $pc \leq \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2)$  by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length ( $\text{compE2 } e2$ ))
case True
from exec have exec-meth-d ( $\text{compP2 } P$ ) (( $\text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{compE2 } e2$ ) @ [BinOpInstr bop])
( $\text{stack-xlift} (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } e1 \ 0 \ 0) @ \text{shift} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)) (\text{stack-xlift} (\text{length }$ 
 $STK) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \ 0 (\text{Suc } 0)))) \ t$ 
 $h (\text{stk} @ v1 \# STK, \text{loc, } \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \text{xcp}) \ ta \ h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc', \text{xcp}')$  by(simp add:
compxE2-size-convs)
hence exec': exec-meth-d ( $\text{compP2 } P$ ) ( $\text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{compE2 } e2$ ) ( $\text{stack-xlift} (\text{length } STK)$ 
( $\text{compxE2 } e1 \ 0 \ 0$ ) @
 $\text{shift} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)) (\text{stack-xlift} (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \ 0 (\text{Suc } 0)))) \ t$ 
 $h (\text{stk} @ v1 \# STK, \text{loc, } \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \text{xcp}) \ ta \ h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc', \text{xcp}')$ 
by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: True)
hence exec-meth-d ( $\text{compP2 } P$ ) ( $\text{compE2 } e2$ ) ( $\text{stack-xlift} (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \ 0 (\text{Suc } 0))$ )  $t$ 
 $h (\text{stk} @ v1 \# STK, \text{loc, } pc, \text{xcp}) \ ta \ h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc' - \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{xcp}')$ 
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
hence ?exec e2 stk (v1 # STK) loc pc xcp  $\text{stk}' \text{ loc}' (pc' - \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)) \ xcp'$ 
by(simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
from IH2[OF this] obtain  $\text{stk}''$  where  $\text{stk}' = \text{stk}'' @ v1 \# STK$ 
and exec'': exec-meth-d ( $\text{compP2 } P$ ) ( $\text{compE2 } e2$ ) ( $\text{compxE2 } e2 \ 0 \ 0$ )  $t \ h (\text{stk}, \text{loc, } pc, \text{xcp}) \ ta \ h'$ 
( $\text{stk}'' \text{, loc}', pc' - \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{xcp}')$  by blast
from exec'' have exec-meth-d ( $\text{compP2 } P$ ) ( $\text{compE2 } e2$ ) ( $\text{stack-xlift} (\text{length} [v1]) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \ 0$ 
 $0)) \ t \ h (\text{stk} @ [v1], \text{loc, } pc, \text{xcp})$ 
 $ta \ h' (\text{stk}'' @ [v1], \text{loc}', pc' - \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{xcp}')$ 
by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
hence exec-meth-d ( $\text{compP2 } P$ ) ( $\text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{compE2 } e2$ ) ( $\text{compxE2 } e1 \ 0 \ 0 @ \text{shift} (\text{length}$ 
( $\text{compE2 } e1)) (\text{stack-xlift} (\text{length} [v1]) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \ 0 \ 0))) \ t \ h (\text{stk} @ [v1], \text{loc, } \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) +$ 
 $pc, \text{xcp})$ 
 $ta \ h' (\text{stk}'' @ [v1], \text{loc}', \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + (pc' - \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)), \text{xcp}')$ 

```

```

  by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ [BinOpInstr bop]) (compxE2 e1 0 0
    @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, length
    (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp)
    ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e1) + (pc' - length (compE2 e1)), xcp')
  by(rule exec-meth-append)
  moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 e1)
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using stk' by(simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
next
  case False
  with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 e2) by simp
  with bisim2 obtain v2 where [simp]: stk = [v2] xcp = None
    by(auto dest: dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with exec pc show ?thesis
    by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases split: sum.split-asm intro!: exec-meth.intros)
qed
next
  case (bisim1BinOpThrow1 e1 n a xs stk loc pc e2 bop)
  note bisim1 = <P,e1,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  note IH1 = <λstk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e1 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  note exec = <?exec (e1 «bop» e2) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e1) and [simp]: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ (compE2 e2 @ [BinOpInstr bop]))
    (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
    (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0)))) t
    h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp') by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
  hence ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule pc)
  from IH1[OF this] show ?case by(auto)
next
  case (bisim1BinOpThrow2 e2 n a xs stk loc pc e1 bop v1)
  note bisim2 = <P,e2,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  note IH2 = <λstk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e2 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  note exec = <?exec (e1 «bop» e2) (stk @ [v1]) STK loc (length (compE2 e1) + pc) [a] stk' loc' pc'
    xcp'>
  from bisim2 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e2) and [simp]: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ [BinOpInstr bop])
    (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
    (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0)))) t
    h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
  hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)
    (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
    (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0)))) t
    h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)

```

```

hence ?exec e2 stk (v1 # STK) loc pc [a] stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 e1)) xcp'
  by(simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
from IH2[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ v1 # STK and
  exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcp') by blast
from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))
t h (stk @ [v1], loc, pc, [a])
ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcp')
by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1))) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, [a])
ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e1) + (pc' - length (compE2 e1)), xcp')
by(rule append-exec-meth-xt)(auto)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ [BinOpInstr bop]) (compxE2 e1 0 0
@ shift (length (compE2 e1))) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, [a])
ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e1) + (pc' - length (compE2 e1)), xcp')
by(rule exec-meth-append)
moreover from exec' have pc': pc' ≥ length (compE2 e1)
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
ultimately show ?case using stk' by(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
next
case bisim1BinOpThrow thus ?case
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pcsD simp add: stack-xlift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
next
case (bisim1LAss1 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp V)
note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note IH = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note exec = <?exec (V := e) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
  case True
  with exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)(erule exec-meth-take)
  from IH[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
  with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
  with bisim obtain v where [simp]: stk = [v] xcp = None
    by(auto dest: dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with exec show ?thesis apply(simp)
    by(erule exec-meth.cases)(auto intro!: exec-meth.intros)
qed
next
case (bisim1LAss2 e n xs V)
thus ?case by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros)
next
case (bisim1LAssThrow e n a xs stk loc pc V)
note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
note IH = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note exec = <?exec (V := e) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
```

```

from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) and [simp]: xs = loc
  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  by(simp)(erule exec-meth-take[OF - pc])
from IH[OF this] show ?case by(auto)
next
  case (bisim1AAcc1 a n a' xs stk loc pc xcp i)
  note IH1 = <math>\langle \forall stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec a stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' 
    \implies ?concl a stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  note IH2 = <math>\langle \forall xs stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec i [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp' 
    \implies ?concl i [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  note bisim1 = <math>\langle P, a, h \vdash (a', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle</math>
  note bisim2 = <math>\langle P, i, h \vdash (i, loc) \leftrightarrow ([] , loc, 0, None) \rangle</math>
  note exec = <math>\langle ?exec (a[i]) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle</math>
from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 a) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 a))
  case True
  with exec have ?exec a stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)(erule exec-meth-take-xt)
  from IH1[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
next
  case False
  with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 a) by simp
  with exec have pc' ≥ length (compE2 a)
    by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs stack-xlift-compxE2)(auto elim!: exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)
  then obtain PC where PC: pc' = PC + length (compE2 a)
    by-(rule-tac PC34=pc' - length (compE2 a) in that, simp)
  from pc bisim1 obtain v where stk = [v] xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with exec pc have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 a @ compE2 i)
    (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 a 0 0 @ compxE2 i (length (compE2 a)) (Suc 0))) t h (stk @
    STK, loc, length (compE2 a) + 0, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by-(rule exec-meth-take, auto)
  hence ?exec i [] (v # STK) loc 0 None stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 a)) xcp'
    using <math>\langle stk = [v] \rangle \langle xcp = None \rangle</math>
    by-(rule exec-meth-drop-xt, auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
  from IH2[OF this] PC obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ v # STK
    and exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (compxE2 i 0 0) t h ([] , loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc',
    PC, xcp') by auto
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 a @ compE2 i) @ [ALoad])
    (compxE2 a 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0))) t h
    ([] @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) + 0, None) ta h' (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 a) + PC,
    xcp')
    apply -
    apply(rule exec-meth-append)
    apply(rule append-exec-meth-xt)
    apply(erule exec-meth-stk-offer)
    by(auto)
  thus ?thesis using <math>\langle stk = [v] \rangle \langle xcp = None \rangle</math> stk' pc PC
    by(clarsimp simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
qed
next
  case (bisim1AAcc2 i n i' xs stk loc pc xcp a v1)
  note IH2 = <math>\langle \forall stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec i stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
```

```

 $\implies ?concl i \text{stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}'$ 
note  $bisim2 = \langle P, i, h \vdash (i', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
note  $exec = \langle ?exec (a[i]) (\text{stk} @ [v1]) STK \text{ loc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc) \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
from  $bisim2$  have  $pc : pc \leq \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i)$  by(rule  $bisim1\text{-pc-length-compE2}$ )
show  $?case$ 
proof(cases  $pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i)$ )
  case  $True$ 
    from  $exec$  have  $exec\text{-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) ((\text{compE2 } a @ \text{compE2 } i) @ [ALoad])$ 
       $(\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } a \ 0 \ 0)) @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a)) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } i \ 0 \ (\text{Suc } 0))) t$ 
       $h (\text{stk} @ v1 \ # STK, \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, \text{xcp}) \text{ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc', xcp') \text{ by}(\text{simp add: compxE2-size-convs})$ 
      hence  $exec': exec\text{-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } a @ \text{compE2 } i) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } a \ 0 \ 0)) @$ 
         $\text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a)) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } i \ 0 \ (\text{Suc } 0))) t$ 
         $h (\text{stk} @ v1 \ # STK, \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, \text{xcp}) \text{ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc', xcp')$ 
        by(rule  $exec\text{-meth-take}$ )( $\text{simp add: True}$ )
      hence  $exec\text{-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } i) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } i \ 0 \ (\text{Suc } 0))) t$ 
         $h (\text{stk} @ v1 \ # STK, \text{loc}, pc, xcp) \text{ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc' - \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a), xcp')$ 
        by(rule  $exec\text{-meth-drop-xt}$ )(auto  $\text{simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2}$ )
      hence  $?exec i \text{stk } (v1 \ # STK) \text{ loc } pc \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' (pc' - \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a)) \text{xcp}'$ 
        by( $\text{simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs}$ )
      from  $IH2[Of this]$  obtain  $\text{stk}''$  where  $\text{stk}' : \text{stk}'' @ v1 \ # STK$ 
        and  $exec'': exec\text{-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } i) (\text{compxE2 } i \ 0 \ 0) t h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, xcp) \text{ta } h'$ 
           $(\text{stk}'', \text{loc}', pc' - \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a), xcp') \text{ by blast}$ 
        from  $exec''$  have  $exec\text{-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } i) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } [v1]) (\text{compxE2 } i \ 0 \ 0))$ 
         $t h (\text{stk} @ [v1], \text{loc}, pc, xcp)$ 
         $\text{ta } h' (\text{stk}'' @ [v1], \text{loc}', pc' - \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a), xcp')$ 
        by(rule  $exec\text{-meth-stk-offer}$ )
      hence  $exec\text{-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } a @ \text{compE2 } i) (\text{compxE2 } a \ 0 \ 0 @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a)) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } [v1]) (\text{compxE2 } i \ 0 \ 0))) t h (\text{stk} @ [v1], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, xcp)$ 
         $\text{ta } h' (\text{stk}'' @ [v1], \text{loc}', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + (pc' - \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a)), xcp')$ 
        by(rule  $append\text{-exec-meth-xt}$ ) auto
      hence  $exec\text{-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) ((\text{compE2 } a @ \text{compE2 } i) @ [ALoad]) (\text{compxE2 } a \ 0 \ 0 @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a)) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } [v1]) (\text{compxE2 } i \ 0 \ 0))) t h (\text{stk} @ [v1], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, xcp)$ 
         $\text{ta } h' (\text{stk}'' @ [v1], \text{loc}', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + (pc' - \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a)), xcp')$ 
        by(rule  $exec\text{-meth-append}$ )
      moreover from  $exec'$  have  $pc' \geq \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a)$ 
        by(rule  $exec\text{-meth-drop-xt-pc}$ )(auto  $\text{simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2}$ )
      ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $\text{stk}'$  by( $\text{simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2}$ )
    next
      case  $False$ 
      with  $pc$  have  $pc : pc = \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i)$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
      with  $bisim2$  obtain  $v2$  where [ $\text{simp}$ ]:  $\text{stk} = [v2] \text{ xcp} = \text{None}$ 
        by(auto  $\text{dest: dest: bisim1\text{-pc-length-compE2D}}$ )
      with  $exec pc$  show  $?thesis$ 
        by(clar simp)(erule  $exec\text{-meth.cases}$ , auto intro!:  $exec\text{-meth.intros split: if-split-asm}$ )
    qed
  next
    case ( $bisim1AAccThrow1 A n a xs \text{stk } loc \text{ pc } i$ )
    note  $bisim1 = \langle P, A, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, [a]) \rangle$ 
    note  $IH1 = \langle \bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \text{ STK}. \ ?exec A \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
     $\implies ?concl A \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$ 

```

```

note exec = <?exec (A[i]) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 A) and [simp]: xs = loc
  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 A @ (compE2 i @ [ALoad]))
  (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 A 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 A)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
  (compxE2 i 0 (Suc 0)))) t
  h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp') by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
  hence ?exec A stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule pc)
  from IH1[OF this] show ?case by(auto)
next
  case (bisim1AAccThrow2 i n a xs stk loc pc A v1)
  note bisim2 = <P,i,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  note IH2 = <!stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec i stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl i stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note exec = <?exec (A[i]) (stk @ [v1]) STK loc (length (compE2 A) + pc) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  from bisim2 have pc: pc < length (compE2 i) and [simp]: xs = loc
  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 A @ compE2 i) @ [ALoad])
  (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 A 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 A)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
  (compxE2 i 0 (Suc 0)))) t
  h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, length (compE2 A) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
  hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 A @ compE2 i)
    (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 A 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 A)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
  (compxE2 i 0 (Suc 0)))) t
  h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, length (compE2 A) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: pc)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 i 0 (Suc 0))) t
  h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 A), xcp')
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  hence ?exec i stk (v1 # STK) loc pc [a] stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 A)) xcp'
  by(simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
  from IH2[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ v1 # STK and
  exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (compxE2 i 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk'', loc',
  pc' - length (compE2 A), xcp') by blast
  from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 i 0 0)) t
  h (stk @ [v1], loc, pc, [a])
  ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', pc' - length (compE2 A), xcp')
  by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 A @ compE2 i) (compxE2 A 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2
  A))) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 i 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 A) + pc, [a])
  ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 A) + (pc' - length (compE2 A)), xcp')
  by(rule append-exec-meth-xt)(auto)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 A @ compE2 i) @ [ALoad]) (compxE2 A 0 0 @ shift
  (length (compE2 A)) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 i 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2
  A) + pc, [a])
  ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 A) + (pc' - length (compE2 A)), xcp')
  by(rule exec-meth-append)
  moreover from exec' have pc': pc' ≥ length (compE2 A)
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  ultimately show ?case using stk' by(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
next
  case bisim1AAccFail thus ?case
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pcsD simp add: stack-xlift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxE2)

```

```

next
case (bisim1AAss1 a n a' xs stk loc pc xcp i e)
note IH1 = <stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec a stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ==> ?concl a stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note IH2 = <xs stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec i [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ==> ?concl i [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim1 = <P,a,h ⊢ (a', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note bisim2 = <P,i,h ⊢ (i, loc) ↔ ([] , loc, 0, None)>
note exec = <?exec (a[i] := e) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 a) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 a @ compE2 i @ compE2 e @ [AStore,
Push Unit]) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 a 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length
STK) (compxE2 i 0 (Suc 0) @ compxE2 e (length (compE2 i)) (Suc (Suc 0)))) t
h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 a))
case True
with exec' have ?exec a stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)
from IH1[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 a) by simp
with exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 a) by -(erule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc, auto)
then obtain PC where PC: pc' = PC + length (compE2 a)
by -(rule-tac PC34=pc' - length (compE2 a) in that, simp)
from pc bisim1 obtain v where stk = [v] xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with exec PC pc
have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 a @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit])
(stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 a 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (compxE2 i 0 (Suc 0))) @ shift
(length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (length STK + Suc (Suc 0)))) t
h (v # STK, loc, length (compE2 a) + 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', length (compE2 a) + PC, xcp')
by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 a @ compE2 i)
(stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 a 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (compxE2 i 0 (Suc 0)))) t h
(v # STK, loc, length (compE2 a) + 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', length (compE2 a) + PC, xcp')
by(rule exec-meth-take-xt) simp
hence ?exec i [] (v # STK) loc 0 None stk' loc' ((length (compE2 a) + PC) - length (compE2
a)) xcp'
by -(rule exec-meth-drop-xt, auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
from IH2[OF this] PC obtain stk'' where stk': stk'' @ v # STK
and exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (compxE2 i 0 0) t h ([] , loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc',
PC, xcp') by auto
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 a @ compE2 i) @ (compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit]))
((compxE2 a 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length [v])) (compxE2 i 0 0))) @
shift (length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc 0))) t h
([] @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) + 0, None) ta h' (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 a) + PC,
xcp')
apply -
apply(rule exec-meth-append-xt)
apply(rule append-exec-meth-xt)
apply(erule exec-meth-stk-offer)
by(auto)
thus ?thesis using <stk = [v]> <xcp = None> stk' pc PC

```

```

by(clarsimp simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
qed
next
case (bisim1AAss2 i n i' xs stk loc pc xcp a e v)
note IH2 = <\ $\bigwedge$ stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec i stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
     $\implies$  ?concl i stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note IH3 = < $\bigwedge$ xs stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
     $\implies$  ?concl e [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim2 = <P,i,h  $\vdash$  (i', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note bisim3 = <P,e,h  $\vdash$  (e, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([] , loc, 0, None)>
note exec = <?exec (a[i] := e) (stk @ [v]) STK loc (length (compE2 a) + pc) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim2 have pc: pc  $\leq$  length (compE2 i) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
from exec have exec':  $\bigwedge$ v'. exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 a @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit]) ((compxE2 a 0 (length STK) @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length (v # STK)) (compxE2 i 0 0))) @ shift (length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)) (stack-xlift (length (v' # v # STK)) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t
    h (stk @ v # STK, loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc  $<$  length (compE2 i))
case True with exec'[of arbitrary]
have exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 a @ compE2 i) (compxE2 a 0 (length STK) @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length (v # STK)) (compxE2 i 0 0))) t h (stk @ v # STK, loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by-(erule exec-meth-take-xt, simp)
hence ?exec i stk (v # STK) loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 a)) xcp'
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
from IH2[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ v # STK
    and exec'''': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (compxE2 i 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp') by blast
from exec''' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 a @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit]) ((compxE2 a 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0))) @ shift (length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 a) + (pc' - length (compE2 a)), xcp')
    apply -
    apply(rule exec-meth-append-xt)
    apply(rule append-exec-meth-xt)
    apply(erule exec-meth-stk-offer)
    by auto
moreover from exec'' have pc'  $\geq$  length (compE2 a)
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
ultimately show ?thesis using stk' by(auto simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
next
case False
with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 i) by simp
with exec'[of arbitrary] have pc'  $\geq$  length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)
    by-(erule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc, auto simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
then obtain PC where PC: pc' = PC + length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i)
    by -(rule-tac PC34=pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2 i) in that, simp)
from pc bisim2 obtain v' where stk: stk = [v'] and xcp: xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
from exec'[of v']
have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit]) (stack-xlift (length (v' # v # STK)) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t
    h (v' # v # STK, loc, 0, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2 i),

```

```

 $xcp')$ 
unfolding  $stk pc append\text{-}Cons append\text{-}Nil$ 
by  $-(rule exec\text{-}meth\text{-}drop\text{-}xt, simp only: add\text{-}0-right length\text{-}append, auto simp add: shift\text{-}compxE2 stack\text{-}xlift\text{-}compxE2)$ 
with  $PC$   $xcp$  have  $?exec e [] (v' \# v \# STK) loc 0 None stk' loc' PC xcp'$ 
by  $-(rule exec\text{-}meth\text{-}take, auto)$ 
from  $IH3[Of this]$  obtain  $stk''$  where  $stk' = stk'' @ v' \# v \# STK$ 
and  $exec\text{-}meth\text{-}d (compP2 P) (compxE2 e 0 0) t h ([] @ [v', v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc', PC, xcp')$  by  $auto$ 
hence  $exec\text{-}meth\text{-}d (compP2 P) (((compxE2 a @ compxE2 i) @ compxE2 e) @ [AStore, Push Unit]) ((compxE2 a 0 0 @ compxE2 i (length (compxE2 a)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compxE2 a @ compxE2 i)) (stack\text{-}xlift (length [v', v]) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t h ([] @ [v', v], loc, length (compxE2 a @ compxE2 i) + 0, None) ta h' (stk'' @ [v', v], loc', length (compxE2 a @ compxE2 i) + PC, xcp')$ 
apply  $-$ 
apply(rule exec-meth-append)
apply(rule append-exec-meth-xt)
apply(erule exec-meth-stk-offer)
by  $auto$ 
thus  $?thesis$  using  $stk xcp stk' pc PC$ 
by(clar simp simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
qed
next
case ( $bisim1AAss3 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp a i v1 v2$ )
note  $IH3 = \langle \forall stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle \implies ?concl e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'$ 
note  $bisim3 = \langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
note  $exec = \langle ?exec (a[i] := e) (stk @ [v2, v1]) STK loc (length (compxE2 a) + length (compxE2 i) + pc) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
from  $bisim3$  have  $pc: pc \leq length (compxE2 e)$  by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compxE2)
show  $?case$ 
proof(cases pc < length (compxE2 e))
case  $True$ 
from  $exec$  have  $exec\text{-}meth\text{-}d (compP2 P) (((compxE2 a @ compxE2 i) @ compxE2 e) @ [AStore, Push Unit]) ((compxE2 a 0 (length STK) @ compxE2 i (length (compxE2 a)) (Suc (length STK))) @ shift (length (compxE2 a @ compxE2 i)) (stack\text{-}xlift (length (v2 \# v1 \# STK)) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t h (stk @ v2 \# v1 \# STK, loc, length (compxE2 a @ compxE2 i) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
hence  $exec': exec\text{-}meth\text{-}d (compP2 P) ((compxE2 a @ compxE2 i) @ compxE2 e) ((compxE2 a 0 (length STK) @ compxE2 i (length (compxE2 a)) (Suc (length STK))) @ shift (length (compxE2 a @ compxE2 i)) (stack\text{-}xlift (length (v2 \# v1 \# STK)) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t h (stk @ v2 \# v1 \# STK, loc, length (compxE2 a @ compxE2 i) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: True)
hence  $?exec e stk (v2 \# v1 \# STK) loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length (compxE2 a @ compxE2 i)) xcp'$ 
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
from  $IH3[Of this]$  obtain  $stk''$  where  $stk' = stk'' @ v2 \# v1 \# STK$ 
and  $exec'': exec\text{-}meth\text{-}d (compP2 P) (compxE2 e 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compxE2 a @ compxE2 i), xcp')$  by blast
from  $exec''$  have  $exec\text{-}meth\text{-}d (compP2 P) (compxE2 e) (stack\text{-}xlift (length [v2, v1]) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', pc' - length (compxE2 a @ compxE2 i), xcp')$ 

```

```

by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 a @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e) ((compxE2 a 0 0 @
compxE2 i (length (compE2 a)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)) (stack-xlift (length
[v2, v1]) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 a @ compE2 i) + pc, xcp)
  ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', length (compE2 a @ compE2 i) + (pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2
i)), xcp')
    by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (((compE2 a @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e) @ [AStore, Push Unit])
((compxE2 a 0 0 @ compxE2 i (length (compE2 a)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compE2 a @ compE2
i)) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v1]) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 a @ compE2 i) + pc, xcp)
  ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', length (compE2 a @ compE2 i) + (pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2
i)), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-append)
  moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using stk' by(simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
next
case False
with pc have pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
with bisim3 obtain v3 where [simp]: stk = [v3] xcp = None
  by(auto dest: dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with exec pc show ?thesis apply(simp)
  by(erule exec-meth.cases)(auto intro!: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)
qed
next
case (bisim1AAssThrow1 A n a xs stk loc pc i e)
note bisim1 = <P,A,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
note IH1 = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec A stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  ⇒ ?concl A stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note exec = <?exec (A[i] := e) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 A) and [simp]: xs = loc
  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 A @ (compE2 i @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push
Unit]))
  (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 A 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 A)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
(compxE2 i 0 (Suc 0) @ compxE2 e (length (compE2 i)) (Suc (Suc 0))))) t
  h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp') by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
hence ?exec A stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule pc)
from IH1[OF this] show ?case by(auto)
next
case (bisim1AAssThrow2 i n a xs stk loc pc A e v1)
note bisim2 = <P,i,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
note IH2 = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec i stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  ⇒ ?concl i stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note exec = <?exec (A[i] := e) (stk @ [v1]) STK loc (length (compE2 A) + pc) [a] stk' loc' pc'
xcp'>
from bisim2 have pc: pc < length (compE2 i) and [simp]: xs = loc
  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 A @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push
Unit])
  ((stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 A 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 A)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
(compxE2 i 0 (Suc 0)))) @ (shift (length (compE2 A @ compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc (length

```

```

 $STK)))))) t$ 
 $h(stk @ v1 \# STK, loc, length(compE2 A) + pc, [a]) ta h'(stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
 $\text{by}(simp\ add: shift-compxE2\ stack-xlift-compxE2\ ac-simps)$ 
 $\text{hence } exec': exec\text{-meth-d} (compP2 P) (compE2 A @ compE2 i)$ 
 $(stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 A 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 A)) (stack-xlift (length STK)$ 
 $(compxE2 i 0 (Suc 0)))) t$ 
 $h(stk @ v1 \# STK, loc, length(compE2 A) + pc, [a]) ta h'(stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
 $\text{by}(rule\ exec\text{-meth-take-xt})(simp\ add: pc)$ 
 $\text{hence } exec\text{-meth-d} (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 i 0 (Suc 0))) t$ 
 $h(stk @ v1 \# STK, loc, pc, [a]) ta h'(stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 A), xcp')$ 
 $\text{by}(rule\ exec\text{-meth-drop-xt})(auto\ simp\ add: stack-xlift-compxE2)$ 
 $\text{hence } ?exec i stk (v1 \# STK) loc pc [a] stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 A)) xcp'$ 
 $\text{by}(simp\ add: compxE2\stack-xlift\conv)$ 
 $\text{from } IH2[Of\ this]\ obtain\ stk''\ where\ stk': stk'' @ v1 \# STK\ and$ 
 $exec'': exec\text{-meth-d} (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (compxE2 i 0 0) t h(stk, loc, pc, [a]) ta h'(stk'', loc',$ 
 $pc' - length (compE2 A), xcp') \text{ by blast}$ 
 $\text{from } exec''\ have\ exec\text{-meth-d} (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 i 0 0)) t$ 
 $h(stk @ [v1], loc, pc, [a])$ 
 $ta h'(stk'' @ [v1], loc', pc' - length (compE2 A), xcp')$ 
 $\text{by}(rule\ exec\text{-meth-stk-offer})$ 
 $\text{hence } exec\text{-meth-d} (compP2 P) (compE2 A @ compE2 i) (compxE2 A 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2$ 
 $A)) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 i 0 0))) t$ 
 $h(stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 A) + pc, [a])$ 
 $ta h'(stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 A) + (pc' - length (compE2 A)), xcp')$ 
 $\text{by}(rule\ append\text{-exec-meth-xt})(auto)$ 
 $\text{hence } exec\text{-meth-d} (compP2 P) ((compE2 A @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit])$ 
 $((compxE2 A 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 A)) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 i 0 0))) @ (shift$ 
 $(length (compE2 A @ compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc 0))))) t$ 
 $h(stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 A) + pc, [a])$ 
 $ta h'(stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 A) + (pc' - length (compE2 A)), xcp')$ 
 $\text{by}(rule\ exec\text{-meth-append-xt})$ 
 $\text{moreover from } exec'\ have\ pc': pc' \geq length (compE2 A)$ 
 $\text{by}(rule\ exec\text{-meth-drop-xt-pc})(auto\ simp\ add: stack-xlift-compxE2)$ 
 $\text{ultimately show } ?case\ using\ stk'\ \text{by}(auto\ simp\ add: stack-xlift-compxE2\ shift-compxE2)$ 
 $\text{next}$ 
 $\text{case } (bisim1AAssThrow3\ e n a xs\ stk\ loc\ pc\ A\ i\ v2\ v1)$ 
 $\text{note } bisim3 = \langle P, e, h \vdash (Throw\ a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \rangle$ 
 $\text{note } IH3 = \langle \bigwedge stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec\ e\ stk\ STK\ loc\ pc\ [a]\ stk' loc' pc' xcp'$ 
 $\implies ?concl\ e\ stk\ STK\ loc\ pc\ [a]\ stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\text{note } exec = \langle ?exec\ (A[i] := e)\ (stk @ [v2, v1])\ STK\ loc\ (length (compE2 A) + length (compE2 i)$ 
 $+ pc)\ [a]\ stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\text{from } bisim3\ have\ pc: pc < length (compE2 e)\ \text{and}\ [simp]: xs = loc$ 
 $\text{by}(auto\ dest: bisim1\text{-ThrowD})$ 
 $\text{from } exec\ have\ exec\text{-meth-d} (compP2 P) (((compE2 A @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e) @ [AStore, Push$ 
 $Unit])$ 
 $((stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 A 0 0 @ compxE2 i (length (compE2 A)) (Suc 0))) @ shift$ 
 $(length (compE2 A @ compE2 i)) (stack-xlift (length (v2 \# v1 \# STK)) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t$ 
 $h(stk @ v2 \# v1 \# STK, loc, length (compE2 A @ compE2 i) + pc, [a]) ta h'(stk', loc', pc',$ 
 $xcp')$ 
 $\text{by}(simp\ add: shift-compxE2\ stack-xlift-compxE2\ ac-simps)$ 
 $\text{hence } exec': exec\text{-meth-d} (compP2 P) ((compE2 A @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e)$ 
 $((stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 A 0 0 @ compxE2 i (length (compE2 A)) (Suc 0))) @ shift$ 
 $(length (compE2 A @ compE2 i)) (stack-xlift (length (v2 \# v1 \# STK)) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t$ 
 $h(stk @ v2 \# v1 \# STK, loc, length (compE2 A @ compE2 i) + pc, [a]) ta h'(stk', loc', pc',$ 

```

```

 $xcp')$ 
 $\text{by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: pc)}$ 
 $\text{hence ?exec e stk (v2 \# v1 \# STK) loc pc [a] stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 A @ compE2 i))}$ 
 $xcp'$ 
 $\text{by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto}$ 
 $\text{from IH3[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk' = stk'' @ v2 \# v1 \# STK and}$ 
 $\text{exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (compxE2 e 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk'',}$ 
 $\text{loc', pc' - length (compE2 A @ compE2 i), xcp') by blast}$ 
 $\text{from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v1]) (compxE2 e 0$ 
 $0)) t h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, pc, [a])$ 
 $\text{ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', pc' - length (compE2 A @ compE2 i), xcp')}$ 
 $\text{by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)}$ 
 $\text{hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 A @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e) ((compxE2 A 0 0 @ compxE2$ 
 $i (length (compE2 A)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compE2 A @ compE2 i)) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v1])$ 
 $(compxE2 e 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 A @ compE2 i) + pc, [a])$ 
 $\text{ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', length (compE2 A @ compE2 i) + (pc' - length (compE2 A @ compE2$ 
 $i)), xcp')}$ 
 $\text{by(rule append-exec-meth-xt)(auto)}$ 
 $\text{hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (((compE2 A @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e) @ [AStore, Push Unit])}$ 
 $((compxE2 A 0 0 @ compxE2 i (length (compE2 A)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compE2 A @ compE2 i))$ 
 $(stack-xlift (length [v2, v1]) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 A @ compE2$ 
 $i) + pc, [a])$ 
 $\text{ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', length (compE2 A @ compE2 i) + (pc' - length (compE2 A @ compE2$ 
 $i)), xcp')}$ 
 $\text{by(rule exec-meth-append)}$ 
 $\text{moreover from exec' have pc': pc' \geq length (compE2 A @ compE2 i)}$ 
 $\text{by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)}$ 
 $\text{ultimately show ?case using stk' by(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)}$ 
 $\text{next}$ 
 $\text{case bisim1AAssFail thus ?case}$ 
 $\text{by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pcsD simp add: stack-xlift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxE2)}$ 
 $\text{next}$ 
 $\text{case bisim1AAss4 thus ?case}$ 
 $\text{by -(erule exec-meth.cases, auto intro!: exec-meth.exec-instr)}$ 
 $\text{next}$ 
 $\text{case (bisim1ALength a n a' xs stk loc pc xcp)}$ 
 $\text{note bisim} = \langle P, a, h \vdash (a', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
 $\text{note IH} = \langle \bigwedge stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec a stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\implies ?concl a stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'$ 
 $\text{note exec} = \langle ?exec (a.length) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\text{from bisim have pc: pc \leq length (compE2 a) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)}$ 
 $\text{show ?case}$ 
 $\text{proof(cases pc < length (compE2 a))}$ 
 $\text{case True}$ 
 $\text{with exec have ?exec a stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'}$ 
 $\text{by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)(erule exec-meth-take)}$ 
 $\text{from IH[OF this] show ?thesis by auto}$ 
 $\text{next}$ 
 $\text{case False}$ 
 $\text{with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 a) by simp}$ 
 $\text{with bisim obtain v where [simp]: stk = [v] xcp = None}$ 
 $\text{by(auto dest: dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)}$ 
 $\text{with exec show ?thesis apply(simp)}$ 
 $\text{by(erule exec-meth.cases)(auto intro!: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)}$ 

```

```

qed
next
  case (bisim1ALengthThrow e n a xs stk loc pc)
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  note IH = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note exec = <?exec (e.length) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) and [simp]: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    by(simp)(erule exec-meth-take[OF - pc])
  from IH[OF this] show ?case by(auto)
next
  case bisim1ALengthNull thus ?case
    by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pcsD simp add: stack-xlift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
next
  case (bisim1FAcc e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp F D)
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note IH = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note exec = <?exec (e.F{D}) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
    case True
      with exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
        by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)(erule exec-meth-take)
      from IH[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case False
        with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
        with bisim obtain v where [simp]: stk = [v] xcp = None
          by(auto dest: dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
        with exec show ?thesis apply(simp)
          by(erule exec-meth.cases)(fastforce intro!: exec-meth.intros simp add: is-Ref-def split: if-split-asm)+
    qed
  next
  case (bisim1FAccThrow e n a xs stk loc pc F D)
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  note IH = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note exec = <?exec (e.F{D}) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) and [simp]: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    by(simp)(erule exec-meth-take[OF - pc])
  from IH[OF this] show ?case by(auto)
next
  case bisim1FAccNull thus ?case
    by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pcsD simp add: stack-xlift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
next
  case (bisim1FAss1 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 F D)
  note IH1 = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'

```

```

note  $IH2 = \langle \bigwedge xs \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \text{ STK}. \text{ ?exec e2 } [] \text{ STK xs } 0 \text{ None } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$   

 $\implies \text{ ?concl e2 } [] \text{ STK xs } 0 \text{ None } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
note  $bisim1 = \langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$ 
note  $bisim2 = \langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([] \text{, loc, } 0 \text{, None}) \rangle$ 
note  $\text{exec} = \langle \text{ ?exec (e.F\{D\} := e2) } \text{ stk STK loc pc xcp } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
from  $bisim1$  have  $\text{pc: pc} \leq \text{length (compE2 e)}$  by(rule  $bisim1\text{-pc-length-compE2}$ )
show  $\text{?case}$ 
proof(cases  $\text{pc} < \text{length (compE2 e)}$ )
  case  $\text{True}$ 
    with  $\text{exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$ 
      by(simp add:  $\text{compxE2-size-convs}$ )(erule  $\text{exec-meth-take-xt}$ )
    from  $IH1[\text{OF this}]$  show  $\text{?thesis}$  by auto
next
  case  $\text{False}$ 
    with  $\text{pc have pc: pc} = \text{length (compE2 e)}$  by simp
    with  $\text{exec have pc}' \geq \text{length (compE2 e)}$ 
      by(simp add:  $\text{compxE2-size-convs stack-xlift-compxE2}$ )(auto elim!:  $\text{exec-meth-drop-xt-pc}$ )
    then obtain  $PC$  where  $PC: pc' = PC + \text{length (compE2 e)}$ 
      by -(rule-tac  $PC34=pc' - \text{length (compE2 e)}$  in that, simp)
    from  $pc$   $bisim1$  obtain  $v$  where  $\text{stk} = [v]$   $\text{xcp} = \text{None}$  by(auto dest:  $bisim1\text{-pc-length-compE2D}$ )
    with  $\text{exec pc have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compE2 e2)}$ 
       $(\text{stack-xlift (length STK)} (\text{compxE2 e } 0 \text{ } 0 @ \text{compxE2 e2 (length (compE2 e)) (Suc } 0))) \text{ t}$ 
       $h (\text{stk @ STK, loc, length (compE2 e) + } 0, \text{xcp}) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
      by-(rule  $\text{exec-meth-take, auto}$ )
    hence  $\text{ ?exec e2 } [] \text{ (v # STK) loc } 0 \text{ None } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' (\text{pc}' - \text{length (compE2 e)}) \text{ xcp}'$ 
      using  $\langle \text{stk} = [v] \rangle \langle \text{xcp} = \text{None} \rangle$ 
      by -(rule  $\text{exec-meth-drop-xt, auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2}$ )
    from  $IH2[\text{OF this}]$   $PC$  obtain  $\text{stk}''$  where  $\text{stk}' = \text{stk}'' @ v \# STK$ 
      and  $\text{exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 } 0 \text{ } 0) \text{ t } h ([] \text{, loc, } 0 \text{, None}) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}'',$ 
       $\text{loc}', PC, \text{xcp}')$  by auto
      hence  $\text{exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e @ compE2 e2) @ [Putfield F D, Push Unit])}$ 
         $(\text{compxE2 e } 0 \text{ } 0 @ \text{shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 e2 } 0 \text{ } 0))) \text{ t } h$ 
         $([] @ [v], \text{loc, length (compE2 e) + } 0, \text{None}) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}'' @ [v], \text{loc}', \text{length (compE2 e) + } PC,$ 
         $\text{xcp}')$ 
        apply -
        apply(rule  $\text{exec-meth-append}$ )
        apply(rule  $\text{append-exec-meth-xt}$ )
        apply(erule  $\text{exec-meth-stk-offer}$ )
        by(auto)
      thus  $\text{?thesis using } \langle \text{stk} = [v] \rangle \langle \text{xcp} = \text{None} \rangle \text{ stk}' \text{ pc } PC$ 
        by(clar simp simp add:  $\text{shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps}$ )
qed
next
  case  $(bisim1FAss2 e2 n e' xs \text{ stk loc pc xcp e F D v1})$ 
  note  $IH2 = \langle \bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \text{ STK}. \text{ ?exec e2 } \text{ stk STK loc pc xcp } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$   

 $\implies \text{ ?concl e2 } \text{ stk STK loc pc xcp } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
  note  $bisim2 = \langle P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$ 
  note  $\text{exec} = \langle \text{ ?exec (e.F\{D\} := e2) } \text{ (stk @ [v1]) } \text{ STK loc (length (compE2 e) + pc) xcp } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
  from  $bisim2$  have  $\text{pc: pc} \leq \text{length (compE2 e2)}$  by(rule  $bisim1\text{-pc-length-compE2}$ )
  show  $\text{?case}$ 
  proof(cases  $\text{pc} < \text{length (compE2 e2)}$ )
    case  $\text{True}$ 
    from  $\text{exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e @ compE2 e2) @ [Putfield F D, Push Unit])}$ 

```

```

(stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
(compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0)))) t
    h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp') by(simp add:
compxE2-size-convs)
    hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2
e 0 0) @
        shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0)))) t
        h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: True)
    hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0))) t
        h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
        by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
    hence ?exec e2 stk (v1 # STK) loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 e)) xcp'
        by(simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
    from IH2[Of this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ v1 # STK
        and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h'
(stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp') by blast
        from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0
0)) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, pc, xcp)
            ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
            by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
        hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2
e)) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, xcp)
            ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e) + (pc' - length (compE2 e)), xcp')
            by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
        hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e @ compE2 e2) @ [Putfield F D, Push Unit]) (compxE2
e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v1], loc,
length (compE2 e) + pc, xcp)
            ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e) + (pc' - length (compE2 e)), xcp')
            by(rule exec-meth-append)
        moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 e)
            by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
        ultimately show ?thesis using stk' by(simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
next
case False
with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 e2) by simp
with bisim2 obtain v2 where [simp]: stk = [v2] xcp = None
    by(auto dest: dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with exec pc show ?thesis apply(simp)
    by(erule exec-meth.cases)(fastforce intro!: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)+qed
next
case (bisim1FAssThrow1 e n a xs stk loc pc e2 F D)
note bisim1 = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
note IH1 = <∀stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
note exec = <?exec (e•F{D} := e2) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) and [simp]: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ (compE2 e2 @ [Putfield F D, Push Unit]))
    (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
(compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0)))) t
    h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp') by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
    hence ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule pc)

```

```

from IH1[OF this] show ?case by(auto)
next
  case (bisim1FAssThrow2 e2 n a xs stk loc pc e F D v1)
  note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a]) \rangle$ 
  note IH2 =  $\langle \bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \text{ STK}. \ ?\text{exec } e2 \text{ stk } \text{STK} \text{ loc } \text{pc} \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$ 
     $\implies ?\text{concl } e2 \text{ stk } \text{STK} \text{ loc } \text{pc} \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$ 
  note exec =  $\langle ?\text{exec } (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) (\text{stk} @ [v1]) \text{ STK} \text{ loc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}) \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
  from bisim2 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e2) and [simp]: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e @ compE2 e2) @ [Putfield F D, Push Unit])
    (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
    (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0)))) t
    h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
  hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compE2 e2)
    (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
    (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0)))) t
    h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: pc)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0))) t
    h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  hence ?exec e2 stk (v1 # STK) loc pc [a] stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 e)), xcp'
    by(simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
  from IH2[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk'' @ v1 # STK and
    exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk'',
    loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp') by blast
  from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))
  t h (stk @ [v1], loc, pc, [a])
    ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e)))
    (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, [a])
    ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e) + (pc' - length (compE2 e)), xcp')
    by(rule append-exec-meth-xt)(auto)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e @ compE2 e2) @ [Putfield F D, Push Unit]) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e)))
    (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, [a])
    ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e) + (pc' - length (compE2 e)), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-append)
  moreover from exec' have pc': pc'  $\geq$  length (compE2 e)
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  ultimately show ?case using stk' by(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
next
  case bisim1FAssNull thus ?case
    by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pcsD simp add: stack-xlift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
next
  case bisim1FAss3 thus ?case
    by -(erule exec-meth.cases, auto intro!: exec-meth.exec-instr)
next
  case (bisim1CAS1 e1 n e1' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 e3 D F)
  note IH1 =  $\langle \bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \text{ STK}. \ ?\text{exec } e1 \text{ stk } \text{STK} \text{ loc } \text{pc} \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$ 
     $\implies ?\text{concl } e1 \text{ stk } \text{STK} \text{ loc } \text{pc} \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$ 

```

```

note  $IH2 = \langle \wedge xs \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \text{ STK} . ?\text{exec } e2 [] \text{ STK } xs \text{ 0 } \text{ None } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$ 
       $\implies ?\text{concl } e2 [] \text{ STK } xs \text{ 0 } \text{ None } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
note  $bisim1 = \langle P, e1, h \vdash (e1', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$ 
note  $bisim2 = \langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([] \text{, loc, 0, None}) \rangle$ 
note  $\text{exec} = \langle ?\text{exec} - \text{stk } \text{STK } \text{loc } \text{pc } \text{xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
from  $bisim1$  have  $\text{pc: pc} \leq \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)$  by(rule  $bisim1\text{-pc-length-compE2}$ )
from  $\text{exec}$  have  $\text{exec}' : \text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{compE2 } e2 @ \text{compE2 } e3 @ [\text{CAS F D}]) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } \text{STK}) (\text{compxE2 } e1 \text{ 0 } 0) @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } \text{STK}) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \text{ 0 } (\text{Suc } 0) @ \text{compxE2 } e3 (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2)) (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)))))) t$ 
 $h (\text{stk } @ \text{STK}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
by(simp add:  $\text{compxE2-size-convs}$ )
show ?case
proof(cases  $\text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1))$ 
  case True
    with  $\text{exec}'$  have  $?exec \text{ e1 } \text{stk } \text{STK } \text{loc } \text{pc } \text{xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$  by(rule  $\text{exec-meth-take-xt}$ )
    from  $IH1[\text{OF this}]$  show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
      with  $\text{pc}$  have  $\text{pc: pc} = \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)$  by simp
      with  $\text{exec}'$  have  $\text{pc}' \geq \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)$  by -(erule  $\text{exec-meth-drop-xt-PC}$ , auto)
      then obtain  $PC$  where  $PC: PC = PC + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)$ 
        by -(rule-tac  $PC34=pc' - \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)$  in that, simp)
      from  $\text{pc bisim1 obtain v where}$   $\text{stk} = [v] \text{ xcp} = \text{None}$  by(auto dest:  $bisim1\text{-pc-length-compE2D}$ )
      with  $\text{exec } PC \text{ pc}$ 
        have  $\text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) ((\text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{compE2 } e2) @ \text{compE2 } e3 @ [\text{CAS F D}]) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } \text{STK}) (\text{compxE2 } e1 \text{ 0 } 0 @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \text{ 0 } (\text{Suc } 0))) @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{compE2 } e2)) (\text{compxE2 } e3 \text{ 0 } (\text{length } \text{STK} + \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)))))) t$ 
         $h (v \# \text{STK}, \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + 0, \text{None}) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + PC, \text{xcp}')$ 
        by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
        hence  $\text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{compE2 } e2)$ 
           $(\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } \text{STK}) (\text{compxE2 } e1 \text{ 0 } 0 @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \text{ 0 } (\text{Suc } 0)))) @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{compE2 } e2)) (\text{compxE2 } e3 \text{ 0 } (\text{length } \text{STK} + \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)))))) t$ 
           $h (v \# \text{STK}, \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + 0, \text{None}) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + PC, \text{xcp}')$ 
          by(rule  $\text{exec-meth-take-xt}$ ) simp
        hence  $?exec \text{ e2 } [] (v \# \text{STK}) \text{ loc } 0 \text{ None } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' ((\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + PC) - \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)) \text{ xcp}'$ 
          by -(rule  $\text{exec-meth-drop-xt}$ , auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
        from  $IH2[\text{OF this}]$   $PC$  obtain  $\text{stk}''$  where  $\text{stk}' = \text{stk}'' @ v \# \text{STK}$ 
          and  $\text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } e2) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \text{ 0 } 0) t h ([] \text{, loc, 0, None}) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}', PC, \text{xcp}')$  by auto
        hence  $\text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) ((\text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{compE2 } e2) @ (\text{compE2 } e3 @ [\text{CAS F D}]))$ 
           $((\text{compxE2 } e1 \text{ 0 } 0 @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } [v]) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \text{ 0 } 0))) @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{compE2 } e2)) (\text{compxE2 } e3 \text{ 0 } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)))))) t$ 
           $([] @ [v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + 0, \text{None}) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}'' @ [v], \text{loc}', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + PC, \text{xcp}')$ 
          apply -
          apply(rule  $\text{exec-meth-append-xt}$ )
          apply(rule  $\text{append-exec-meth-xt}$ )
          apply(erule  $\text{exec-meth-stk-offer}$ )
          by(auto)
        thus ?thesis using  $\langle \text{stk} = [v] \rangle \langle \text{xcp} = \text{None} \rangle \text{ stk}' \text{ pc } PC$ 
          by(clarify simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
  qed
  next

```

```

case (bisim1CAS2 e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc xcp e1 e3 D F v)
note IH2 = <math>\langle \wedge_{stk'} loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' >
    \implies ?concl e2 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note IH3 = <math>\langle \wedge_{xs} stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e3 [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp' >
    \implies ?concl e3 [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim2 = <math>\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle</math>
note bisim3 = <math>\langle P, e3, h \vdash (e3, loc) \leftrightarrow ([] , loc, 0, None) \rangle</math>
note exec = <math>\langle ?exec - (stk @ [v]) STK loc (length (compE2 e1) + pc) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' >
from bisim2 have pc: pc <= length (compE2 e2) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
    from exec have exec': &v'. exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ compE2 e3
@ [CAS F D]) ((compxE2 e1 0 (length STK) @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length (v # STK)) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) @ shift (length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) (stack-xlift (length (v' # v # STK)) (compxE2 e3 0 0))) t
    h (stk @ v # STK, loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e2))
  case True with exec'[of undefined]
    have exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e1 0 (length STK) @
shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length (v # STK)) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t h (stk @ v # STK,
loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by-(erule exec-meth-take-xt, simp)
    hence ?exec e2 stk (v # STK) loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 e1)) xcp'
      by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
    from IH2[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk' = stk'' @ v # STK
      and exec'''': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h'
(stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcp') by blast
      from exec''' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ compE2 e3 @ [CAS
F D]) ((compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) @
shift (length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) (compxE2 e3 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length
(compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + (pc' - length (compE2 e1)),
xcp')
      apply -
      apply(rule exec-meth-append-xt)
      apply(rule append-exec-meth-xt)
      apply(erule exec-meth-stk-offer)
      by auto
    moreover from exec'' have pc' >= length (compE2 e1)
      by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using stk' by(auto simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
next
case False
with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 e2) by simp
with exec'[of undefined] have pc' >= length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)
  by-(erule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc, auto simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
  then obtain PC where PC: pc' = PC + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
    by -(rule-tac PC34=pc' - length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) in that, simp)
from pc bisim2 obtain v' where stk: stk = [v'] and xcp: xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  from exec'[of v']
  have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e3 @ [CAS F D]) (stack-xlift (length (v' # v # STK))
(compxE2 e3 0 0)) t
    h (v' # v # STK, loc, 0, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1 @ compE2
e2), xcp')
  unfolding stk pc append-Cons append-Nil

```

```

by -(rule exec-meth-drop-xt, simp only: add-0-right length-append, auto simp add: shift-compxE2
stack-xlift-compxE2)
  with PC xcp have ?exec e3 [] (v' # v # STK) loc 0 None stk' loc' PC xcp'
    by -(rule exec-meth-take,auto)
    from IH3[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ v' # v # STK
      and exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxE2 e3) (compxE2 e3 0 0) t h ([] @ [v', v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc', PC, xcp') by auto
      hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (((compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ compE2 e3) @ [CAS F D])
        ((compxE2 e1 0 0 @ compxE2 e2 (length (compxE2 e1)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) (stack-xlift (length [v', v]) (compxE2 e3 0 0))) t h ([] @ [v', v], loc, length (compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + 0, None) ta h' (stk'' @ [v', v], loc', length (compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + PC, xcp')
        apply -
        apply(rule exec-meth-append)
        apply(rule append-exec-meth-xt)
        apply(erule exec-meth-stk-offer)
        by auto
      thus ?thesis using stk xcp stk' pc PC
        by(clar simp simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
  qed
next
  case (bisim1CAS3 e3 n e3' xs stk loc pc xcp e1 e2 D F v1 v2)
  note IH3 = <@stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e3 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
     $\implies$  ?concl e3 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note bisim3 = <P,e3,h  $\vdash$  (e3', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note exec = <?exec - (stk @ [v2, v1]) STK loc (length (compxE2 e1) + length (compxE2 e2) + pc)
  xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  from bisim3 have pc: pc  $\leq$  length (compxE2 e3) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compxE2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compxE2 e3))
    case True
    from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (((compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ compE2 e3) @ [CAS F
D])
      ((compxE2 e1 0 (length STK) @ compxE2 e2 (length (compxE2 e1)) (Suc (length STK))) @ shift
      (length (compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) (stack-xlift (length (v2 # v1 # STK)) (compxE2 e3 0 0))) t
      h (stk @ v2 # v1 # STK, loc, length (compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc',
      xcp')
      by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
      hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ compE2 e3)
        ((compxE2 e1 0 (length STK) @ compxE2 e2 (length (compxE2 e1)) (Suc (length STK))) @ shift
        (length (compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) (stack-xlift (length (v2 # v1 # STK)) (compxE2 e3 0 0))) t
        h (stk @ v2 # v1 # STK, loc, length (compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc',
        xcp')
        by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: True)
        hence ?exec e3 stk (v2 # v1 # STK) loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length (compxE2 e1 @ compE2
e2)) xcp'
          by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
        from IH3[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ v2 # v1 # STK
          and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxE2 e3) (compxE2 e3 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h'
          (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2), xcp') by blast
        from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxE2 e3) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v1]) (compxE2 e3
0 0)) t h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, pc, xcp)
          ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', pc' - length (compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2), xcp')
          by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
        hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compxE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ compE2 e3) ((compxE2 e1 0 0 @

```

```

compxE2 e2 (length (compe2 e1)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compe2 e1 @ compe2 e2)) (stack-xlift
(length [v2, v1]) (compxE2 e3 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, length (compe2 e1 @ compe2 e2) + pc, xcp)
    ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', length (compe2 e1 @ compe2 e2) + (pc' - length (compe2 e1 @
compe2 e2)), xcp')
  by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (((compe2 e1 @ compe2 e2) @ compe2 e3) @ [CAS F D])
((compxE2 e1 0 0 @ compxE2 e2 (length (compe2 e1)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compe2 e1 @
compe2 e2)) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v1]) (compxE2 e3 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, length (compe2 e1 @ compe2 e2) + pc, xcp)
    ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', length (compe2 e1 @ compe2 e2) + (pc' - length (compe2 e1 @
compe2 e2)), xcp')
  by(rule exec-meth-append)
  moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compe2 e1 @ compe2 e2)
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using stk' by(simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
next
case False
with pc have pc: pc = length (compe2 e3) by simp
with bisim3 obtain v3 where [simp]: stk = [v3] xcp = None
  by(auto dest: dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with exec pc show ?thesis apply(simp)
  by(erule exec-meth.cases)(fastforce intro!: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)+qed
next
case (bisim1CASThrow1 e1 n a xs stk loc pc e2 e3 D F)
note bisim1 = <P,e1,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ⇔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
note IH1 = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  ⇒ ?concl e1 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
note exec = <?exec - stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compe2 e1) and [simp]: xs = loc
  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compe2 e1 @ (compe2 e2 @ compe2 e3 @ [CAS F D]))
  (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @ shift (length (compe2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
(compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0) @ compxE2 e3 (length (compe2 e2)) (Suc (Suc 0))))) t
  h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp') by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
hence ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule pc)
from IH1[OF this] show ?case by(auto)
next
case (bisim1CASThrow2 e2 n a xs stk loc pc e1 e3 D F v1)
note bisim2 = <P,e2,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ⇔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
note IH2 = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  ⇒ ?concl e2 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
note exec = <?exec - (stk @ [v1]) STK loc (length (compe2 e1) + pc) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim2 have pc: pc < length (compe2 e2) and [simp]: xs = loc
  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compe2 e1 @ compe2 e2) @ compe2 e3 @ [CAS F D])
  ((stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @ shift (length (compe2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length
STK) (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0)))) @ (shift (length (compe2 e1 @ compe2 e2)) (compxE2 e3 0 (Suc
(Suc (length STK)))))) t
  h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, length (compe2 e1) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compe2 e1 @ compe2 e2)
  (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @ shift (length (compe2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length STK))

```

```

(compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0))) t
  h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcpr')
  by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)(simp add: pc)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 0 (Suc 0))) t
  h (stk @ v1 # STK, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcpr')
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
hence ?exec e2 stk (v1 # STK) loc pc [a] stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 e1)) xcpr'
  by(simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
from IH2[Of this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ v1 # STK and
  exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk'',
loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcpr') by blast
from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t
  h (stk @ [v1], loc, pc, [a])
  ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcpr')
  by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, [a])
  ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e1) + (pc' - length (compE2 e1)), xcpr')
  by(rule append-exec-meth-xt)(auto)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2 @ compE2 e3 @ [CAS F D]) ((compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) @ (shift (length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) (compxE2 e3 0 (Suc (Suc 0))))) t
  h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, [a])
  ta h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e1) + (pc' - length (compE2 e1)), xcpr')
  by(rule exec-meth-append-xt)
moreover from exec' have pc': pc' ≥ length (compE2 e1)
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
ultimately show ?case using stk' by(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
next
case (bisim1CASThrow3 e3 n a xs stk loc pc e1 e2 D F v2 v1)
note bisim3 = <P,e3,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
note IH3 = <A stk' loc' pc' xcpr' STK. ?exec e3 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcpr'
   $\implies$  ?concl e3 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcpr'
note exec = <?exec - (stk @ [v2, v1]) STK loc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc)
[a] stk' loc' pc' xcpr'
from bisim3 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e3) and [simp]: xs = loc
  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2 @ compE2 e3 @ [CAS F D]) ((stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ compxE2 e2 (length (compE2 e1)) (Suc 0))) @ shift (length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) (stack-xlift (length (v2 # v1 # STK)) (compxE2 e3 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ v2 # v1 # STK, loc, length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcpr')
  by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ compE2 e3)
  ((stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ compxE2 e2 (length (compE2 e1)) (Suc 0))) @ shift (length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) (stack-xlift (length (v2 # v1 # STK)) (compxE2 e3 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ v2 # v1 # STK, loc, length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcpr')
  by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: pc)
hence ?exec e3 stk (v2 # v1 # STK) loc pc [a] stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) xcpr'

```

```

by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
from IH3[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk' = stk'' @ v2 # v1 # STK and
  exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e3) (compxE2 e3 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk'',
loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2), xcp') by blast
from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e3) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v1]) (compxE2 e3
0 0)) t h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, pc, [a])
  ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2), xcp')
by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ compE2 e3) ((compxE2 e1 0 0 @
compxE2 e2 (length (compE2 e1)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)) (stack-xlift
(length [v2, v1]) (compxE2 e3 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + pc,
[a])
  ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + (pc' - length (compE2 e1 @
compE2 e2)), xcp')
by(rule append-exec-meth-xt)(auto)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (((compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) @ compE2 e3) @ [CAS F D])
((compxE2 e1 0 0 @ compxE2 e2 (length (compE2 e1)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compE2 e1 @
compE2 e2)) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v1]) (compxE2 e3 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v2, v1], loc, length (compE2
e1 @ compE2 e2) + pc, [a])
  ta h' (stk'' @ [v2, v1], loc', length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2) + (pc' - length (compE2 e1 @
compE2 e2)), xcp')
by(rule exec-meth-append)
moreover from exec' have pc': pc' ≥ length (compE2 e1 @ compE2 e2)
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
ultimately show ?case using stk' by(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2)
next
case bisim1CASFail thus ?case
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pcsD simp add: stack-xlift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
next
case (bisim1Call1 obj n obj' xs stk loc pc xcp ps M')
note bisimObj = <P,obj,h ⊢ (obj', xs) ↦ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note IHobj = <A stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec obj stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  ⇒ ?concl obj stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note IHparams = <A xs stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?execs ps [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  ⇒ ?concls ps [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note exec = <?exec (obj·M'(ps)) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisimObj have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 obj) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 obj))
  case True
    from exec have ?exec obj stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
      by(simp add: compxEs2-size-convs)(erule exec-meth-take-xt[OF - True])
    from IHobj[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
  with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 obj) by simp
  with exec have pc' ≥ length (compE2 obj)
    by(simp add: compxEs2-size-convs stack-xlift-compxE2)(auto elim!: exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)
  then obtain PC where PC: pc' = PC + length (compE2 obj)
    by -(rule-tac PC34=pc' - length (compE2 obj) in that, simp)
from pc bisimObj obtain v where [simp]: stk = [v] xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  show ?thesis
proof(cases ps)
  case Cons

```

```

with exec pc have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 obj @ compEs2 ps)
  (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 obj 0 0 @ compxEs2 ps (length (compE2 obj)) (Suc 0))) t
  h (stk @ STK, loc, length (compE2 obj) + 0, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by -(rule exec-meth-take, auto)
hence ?execs ps [] (v # STK) loc 0 None stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 obj)) xcp'
  apply -
  apply(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)
  apply(simp add: compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs)
  apply(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  done
from IHparams[OF this] PC obtain stk'' where stk': stk'' @ v # STK
  and exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compEs2 ps) (compxEs2 ps 0 0) t h ([] loc, 0, None) ta
  h' (stk'', loc', PC, xcp')
  by auto
from exec' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 obj @ compEs2 ps) @ [Invoke M' (length
  ps)]) (compxE2 obj 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 obj)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 ps 0 0))) t
  h ([] @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + 0, None) ta h' (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj) + PC,
  xcp')
  apply -
  apply(rule exec-meth-append)
  apply(rule append-exec-meth-xt)
  apply(erule exec-meth-stk-offer)
  by(auto)
thus ?thesis using stk' PC by(clar simp simp add: shift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxEs2 ac-simps)
next
  case Nil
  with exec pc show ?thesis
  apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm)
  apply(auto split: extCallRet.split-asm intro!: exec-meth.intros)
  apply(force intro!: exI)
  apply(force intro!: exI)
  apply(force intro!: exI)
  done
  qed
qed
next
  case (bisim1CallParams ps n ps' xs stk loc pc xcp obj M' v)
  note bisimParam = <P,ps,h ⊢ (ps',xs) [↔] (stk,loc,pc,xcp)>
  note IHparam = <Λstk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?execs ps stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concls ps stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note exec = <?exec (obj•M'(ps)) (stk @ [v]) STK loc (length (compE2 obj) + pc) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    xcp'>
  show ?case
  proof(cases ps)
    case Nil
    with bisimParam have pc = 0 xcp = None by(auto elim: bisims1.cases)
    with exec Nil show ?thesis
      apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros simp add: split-beta extRet2JVM-def
      split: if-split-asm)
      apply(auto split: extCallRet.split-asm simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
      apply(force intro!: exec-meth.intros)+
      done
next
  case Cons

```

```

from bisimParam have pc: pc ≤ length (compEs2 ps) by(rule bisims1-pc-length-compEs2)
show ?thesis
proof(cases pc < length (compEs2 ps))
  case True
    from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 obj @ compEs2 ps) @ [Invoke M' (length
ps)]) 
      (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 obj 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 obj)) (stack-xlift (length
(v # STK)) (compxEs2 ps 0 0))) t
      h (stk @ v # STK, loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      by(simp add: compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs)
      hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 obj @ compEs2 ps) (stack-xlift (length STK)
(compxE2 obj 0 0) @
      shift (length (compE2 obj)) (stack-xlift (length (v # STK)) (compxEs2 ps 0 0))) t
      h (stk @ v # STK, loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: True)
      hence ?execs ps stk (v # STK) loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 obj)) xcp'
        by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
        from IHparam[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ v # STK
          and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compEs2 ps) (compxEs2 ps 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta
          h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 obj), xcp') by blast
          from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compEs2 ps) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 ps 0
0)) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'' @ [v], loc', pc' - length (compE2 obj), xcp')
            by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
            hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 obj @ compEs2 ps) (compxE2 obj 0 0 @ shift (length
(length (compE2 obj)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 ps 0 0)))) t
            h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj) + (pc'
            - length (compE2 obj)), xcp')
            by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
            hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 obj @ compEs2 ps) @ [Invoke M' (length ps)])
              (compxE2 obj 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 obj)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 ps 0 0))) t
              h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj) + (pc'
              - length (compE2 obj)), xcp')
              by(rule exec-meth-append)
            moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 obj)
              by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
            ultimately show ?thesis using stk'
              by(auto simp add: shift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxEs2)
            next
            case False
              with pc have pc: pc = length (compEs2 ps) by simp
              with bisimParam obtain vs where stk = vs length vs = length ps xcp = None
                by(auto dest: bisims1-pc-length-compEs2D)
              with exec pc Cons show ?thesis
                apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros simp add: split-beta extRet2JVM-def
split: if-split-asm)
                apply(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv split: extCallRet.split-asm)
                apply(force intro!: exec-meth.intros)+
                done
              qed
            qed
          next
          case (bisim1CallThrowObj obj n a xs stk loc pc ps M')
          note bisim = ⟨P,obj,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])⟩
          note IH = ⟨stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec obj stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'

```

```

 $\implies ?concl\ obj\ stk\ STK\ loc\ pc\ [a]\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp'$ 
note exec = <?exec (obj·M'(ps)) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim have pc < length (compE2 obj) and [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
with exec have ?exec obj stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    by(simp add: compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs)(erule exec-meth-take-xt)
from IH[OF this] show ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1CallThrowParams ps n vs a ps' xs stk loc pc obj M' v)
  note bisim = <P,ps,h ⊢ (map Val vs @ Throw a # ps',xs) [↔] (stk,loc,pc,[a])>
  note IH = <＼stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?execs ps stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
     $\implies ?concls\ ps\ stk\ STK\ loc\ pc\ [a]\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp'$ 
  note exec = <?exec (obj·M'(ps)) (stk @ [v]) STK loc (length (compE2 obj) + pc) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compEs2 ps) loc = xs by(auto dest: bisims1-ThrowD)
from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 obj @ compEs2 ps) @ [Invoke M' (length ps)])
  (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 obj 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 obj)) (stack-xlift (length (v # STK)) (compxEs2 ps 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ v # STK, loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs)
hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 obj @ compEs2 ps) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 obj 0 0)) @
  shift (length (compE2 obj)) (stack-xlift (length (v # STK)) (compxEs2 ps 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ v # STK, loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: pc)
hence ?execs ps stk (v # STK) loc pc [a] stk' loc' (pc' - length (compE2 obj)) xcp'
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
from IH[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ v # STK
  and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compEs2 ps) (compxEs2 ps 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ta h'
  (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 obj), xcp') by auto
from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 obj @ compEs2 ps) @ [Invoke M' (length ps)])
  (compxE2 obj 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 obj)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 ps 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj) + (pc' - length (compE2 obj)), xcp')
    apply -
    apply(rule exec-meth-append)
    apply(rule append-exec-meth-xt)
    apply(erule exec-meth-stk-offer)
    apply auto
    done
moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 obj)
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
ultimately show ?case using stk' by(auto simp add: compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs)
next
  case bisim1CallThrow thus ?case
    by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pcsD simp add: stack-xlift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
next
  case bisim1BlockSome1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros)
next
  case bisim1BlockSome2 thus ?case
    by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros)
next
  case (bisim1BlockSome4 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp V T v)
  note IH = <＼stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
```

$\implies ?\text{concl } e \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}'$
note $\text{bisim} = \langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, xcp) \rangle$
note $\text{exec} = \langle ?\text{exec } \{ V : T = [v] ; e \} \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc)) \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$
hence $\text{exec}' : \text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) ([\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V] @ \text{compE2 } e)$
 $(\text{shift } (\text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V]) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } e \ 0 \ 0))) t h (\text{stk } @ \text{STK}, \text{loc},$
 $\text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V] + pc, xcp) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc', xcp')$
by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
hence $? \text{exec } e \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' (pc' - \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V]) \text{ xcp}'$
by(rule exec-meth-drop) auto
from $IH[O \text{f this}]$ **obtain** stk'' **where** $\text{stk}' : \text{stk}'' @ \text{STK}$
and $\text{exec}'' : \text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } e) (\text{compxE2 } e \ 0 \ 0) t h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, xcp) \text{ ta}$
 $h' (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}', pc' - \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V], xcp') \text{ by auto}$
from exec'' **have** $\text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) ([\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V] @ \text{compE2 } e)$
 $(\text{shift } (\text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V]) (\text{compxE2 } e \ 0 \ 0)) t h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V] + pc,$
 $xcp) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}', \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V] + (pc' - \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V]), xcp')$
by(rule append-exec-meth) auto
moreover from exec' **have** $pc' \geq \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V]$
by(rule exec-meth-drop-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
hence $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (pc' - \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0))) = pc'$ **by(simp)**
ultimately show $? \text{case using } \text{stk}' \text{ by}(auto simp add: compxE2-size-convs)$
next
case $(\text{bisim1BlockThrowSome } e n a xs \text{ stk } \text{loc } pc \ V \ T \ v)$
note $IH = \langle \bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \text{ STK}. \ ? \text{exec } e \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$
 $\implies ? \text{concl } e \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$
note $\text{exec} = \langle ? \text{exec } \{ V : T = [v] ; e \} \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc)) \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$
hence $\text{exec}' : \text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) ([\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V] @ \text{compE2 } e)$
 $(\text{shift } (\text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V]) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } e \ 0 \ 0))) t h (\text{stk } @ \text{STK}, \text{loc},$
 $\text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V] + pc, [a]) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', pc', xcp')$
by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
hence $? \text{exec } e \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \ [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' (pc' - \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V]) \text{ xcp}'$
by(rule exec-meth-drop) auto
from $IH[O \text{f this}]$ **obtain** stk'' **where** $\text{stk}' : \text{stk}'' @ \text{STK}$
and $\text{exec}'' : \text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } e) (\text{compxE2 } e \ 0 \ 0) t h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, [a]) \text{ ta}$
 $h' (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}', pc' - \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V], xcp') \text{ by auto}$
from exec'' **have** $\text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) ([\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V] @ \text{compE2 } e)$
 $(\text{shift } (\text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V]) (\text{compxE2 } e \ 0 \ 0)) t h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V] + pc,$
 $[a]) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}', \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V] + (pc' - \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V]), xcp')$
by(rule append-exec-meth) auto
moreover from exec' **have** $pc' \geq \text{length } [\text{Push } v, \text{Store } V]$
by(rule exec-meth-drop-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
hence $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (pc' - \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0))) = pc'$ **by(simp)**
ultimately show $? \text{case using } \text{stk}' \text{ by}(auto simp add: compxE2-size-convs)$
next
case bisim1BlockNone **thus** $? \text{case}$
by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros)
next
case $\text{bisim1BlockThrowNone}$ **thus** $? \text{case}$
by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros)
next
case $(\text{bisim1Sync1 } e1 n e1' xs \text{ stk } \text{loc } pc \ xcp \ e2 \ V)$
note $\text{bisim} = \langle P, e1, h \vdash (e1', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, xcp) \rangle$
note $IH = \langle \bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \text{ STK}. \ ? \text{exec } e1 \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \ xcp \ \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$
 $\implies ? \text{concl } e1 \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \ xcp \ \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$
note $\text{exec} = \langle ? \text{exec } (\text{sync}_V (e1) \ e2) \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \ xcp \ \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$

```

from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e1) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e1))
  case True
    from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P)
      (compE2 e1 @ (Dup # Store V # MEnter # compE2 e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V,
      MExit, ThrowExc])) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length
      STK) (compxE2 e2 3 0) @
        stack-xlift (length STK) [(3, 3 + length (compE2 e2), None, 6 + length (compE2 e2), 0)]) t
        h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
    hence ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
      by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule True)
    from IH[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ STK
      and exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1) (compxE2 e1 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h'
      (stk'', loc', pc', xcp')
      by blast
    from exec' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ (Dup # Store V # MEnter # compE2
      e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit, ThrowExc])) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (compxE2 e2 3 0 @ [(3, 3 + length (compE2 e2),
      None, 6 + length (compE2 e2), 0)])) t
      h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc', xcp')
      by(rule exec-meth-append-xt)
    thus ?thesis using stk' by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
  next
  case False
    with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e1) by simp
    with bisim obtain v where stk = [v] xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
    thus ?thesis using exec by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros)
qed
next
case bisim1Sync2 thus ?case
  by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros)
next
case bisim1Sync3 thus ?case
  by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)
next
case (bisim1Sync4 e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc xcp e1 V a)
  note IH = ‹⟨stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' ⟩
    ⟹ ?concl e2 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'›
  note bisim = ‹P,e2,h ⊢ (e2', xs) ⇔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)›
  note exec = ‹?exec (syncV (e1) e2) stk STK loc (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc)))) xcp
  stk' loc' pc' xcp'›
  from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e2) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e2))
    case True
      let ?pre = compE2 e1 @ [Dup, Store V, MEnter]
      from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4,
      Load V, MExit, ThrowExc])
        (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @ shift (length ?pre) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2
        e2 0 0) @
          [(0, length (compE2 e2), None, 3 + length (compE2 e2), length STK)])) t

```

```

 $h(\text{stk} @ \text{STK}, \text{loc}, \text{length } ?\text{pre} + \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \text{ ta } h'(\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
  by(simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2 eval-nat-numeral ac-simps)
  hence exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit,
  ThrowExc])
    (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 0 0) @ [(0, length (compE2 e2), None, 3 + length (compE2
  e2), length STK)]) t
     $h(\text{stk} @ \text{STK}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \text{ ta } h'(\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}' - \text{length } ?\text{pre}, \text{xcp}')$ 
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt[where n=1])(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  from exec' have pc': pc'  $\geq$  length ?pre
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc[where n'=1])(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  hence pc'': (Suc (Suc (Suc (pc' - Suc (Suc (Suc 0))))))) = pc' by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases xcp)
    case None
    from exec'' None True
    have ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length ?pre) xcp'
      apply -
      apply (erule exec-meth.cases)
      apply (cases compE2 e2 ! pc)
        apply (fastforce simp add: is-Ref-def intro: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm
cong del: image-cong-simp)+
      done
    from IH[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk: stk' = stk'' @ STK
      and exec''' exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
      ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp') by blast
      from exec''' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V,
  MExit, ThrowExc])
        (compxE2 e2 0 0 @ [(0, length (compE2 e2), None, 3 + length (compE2 e2), 0)]) t
         $h(\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \text{ ta } h'(\text{stk}'', \text{loc}', \text{pc}' - \text{length } ?\text{pre}, \text{xcp}')$ 
        by(rule exec-meth-append-xt)
      hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit,
  ThrowExc])
        (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e2 0 0 @ [(0, length (compE2 e2), None, 3 +
  length (compE2 e2), 0)])) t
         $h(\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{length } ?\text{pre} + \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \text{ ta } h'(\text{stk}'', \text{loc}', \text{length } ?\text{pre} + (\text{pc}' - \text{length } ?\text{pre}), \text{xcp}')$ 
        by(rule append-exec-meth-xt[where n=1]) auto
        thus ?thesis using stk pc' pc'' by(simp add: eval-nat-numeral shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
  next
    case (Some a)
    with exec'' have [simp]: h' = h xcp' = None loc' = loc ta = ε
      by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append
      split: if-split-asm dest!: match-ex-table-stack-xliftD)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) pc (compxE2 e2 0 0))
      case None
      with Some exec'' True have [simp]: stk' = Addr a # STK
        and pc': pc' = length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + 6
        by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append
        split: if-split-asm dest!: match-ex-table-stack-xliftD)
      with exec'' Some None
        have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit,
  ThrowExc])
          (compxE2 e2 0 0 @ [(0, length (compE2 e2), None, 3 + length (compE2 e2), 0)]) t
           $h(\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [\text{a}]) \varepsilon h(\text{Addr } a \# \text{drop}(\text{length } \text{stk} - 0), \text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}' - \text{length } ?\text{pre}, \text{None})$ 

```

```

by -(rule exec-catch, auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def
      split: if-split-asm dest!: match-ex-table-stack-xliftD)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit,
ThrowExc])
  (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e2 0 0 @ [(0, length (compE2 e2), None, 3 +
length (compE2 e2), 0)])) t
  h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, [a]) ε h (Addr a # drop (length stk - 0) stk, loc,
length ?pre + (pc' - length ?pre), None)
  by(rule append-exec-meth-xt[where n=1]) auto
  with pc' Some show ?thesis by(simp add: eval-nat-numeral shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
next
  case (Some pcd)
  with <xcp = [a]> exec'' True
  have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t
  h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ε h (Addr a # drop (length stk - snd pcd) stk, loc, pc' - length ?pre,
None)
  apply -
  apply(rule exec-catch)
  apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append split: if-split-asm
      dest!: match-ex-table-stack-xliftD)
  done
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxE2 e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit,
ThrowExc]) (compxE2 e2 0 0 @ [(0, length (compE2 e2), None, 3 + length (compE2 e2), 0)]) t
  h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ε h (Addr a # drop (length stk - snd pcd) stk, loc, pc' - length ?pre, None)
  by(rule exec-meth-append-xt)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit,
ThrowExc])
    (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e2 0 0 @ [(0, length (compE2 e2), None,
3 + length (compE2 e2), 0)])) t
    h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, [a]) ε h (Addr a # drop (length stk - snd pcd) stk, loc, length ?pre
+ (pc' - length ?pre), None)
    by(rule append-exec-meth-xt[where n=1])(auto)
    moreover from Some <xcp = [a]> exec'' True pc'
    have pc' = length (compE2 e1) + 3 + fst pcd stk' = Addr a # drop (length stk - snd pcd) stk
@ STK
    by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest!: match-ex-table-stack-xliftD simp: match-ex-table-append
      split: if-split-asm)
    ultimately show ?thesis using <xcp = [a]> by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral shift-compxE2
      ac-simps)
    qed
    qed
next
  case False
  with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e2) by simp
  with exec show ?thesis
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-p
eval-nat-numeral)(simp-all add: matches-ex-entry-def)
  qed
next
  case bisim1Sync5 thus ?case
  by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)
next
  case bisim1Sync6 thus ?case
  by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)

```

```

next
  case bisim1Sync7 thus ?case
    by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)
next
  case bisim1Sync8 thus ?case
    by(fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)
next
  case (bisim1Sync9 e1 n e2 V a xs)
    note exec = <?exec (syncV (e1) e2) [Addr a] STK xs (8 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    let ?pre = compE2 e1 @ Dup # Store V # MEnter # compE2 e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit]
    from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ [ThrowExc]) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 (syncV (e1) e2) 0 0) @ shift (length ?pre) []) t h (Addr a # STK, xs, length ?pre + 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      by(simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
    hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) [ThrowExc] [] t h (Addr a # STK, xs, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')
      by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
    moreover from exec' have pc' = 8 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) stk' = Addr a # STK
      by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases)
    ultimately show ?case by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros)
next
  case (bisim1Sync10 e1 n e2 V a xs)
    note exec = <?exec (syncV (e1) e2) [Addr a] STK xs (8 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    hence match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (8 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 (syncV (e1) e2) 0 0)) ≠ None
      by(rule exec-meth.cases) auto
    hence False by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs)(simp add: matches-ex-entry-def)
      thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1Sync11 e1 n e2 V xs)
    note exec = <?exec (syncV (e1) e2) [Null] STK xs (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))) | addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    hence match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h (addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer)) (2 + length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 (syncV (e1) e2) 0 0)) ≠ None
      by(rule exec-meth.cases)(auto split: if-split-asm)
    hence False by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs)(simp add: matches-ex-entry-def)
      thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1SyncThrow e1 n a xs stk loc pc e2 V)
    note exec = <?exec (syncV (e1) e2) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    note IH = <?exec e1 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
       $\implies$  ?concl e1 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    note bisim = <(P,e1,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a]))>
    from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e1)
      and [simp]: loc = xs by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
    from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ Dup # Store V # MEnter # compE2 e2 @ [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit, ThrowExc])
      (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 3 0) @ [(3, 3 + length (compE2 e2), None, 6 + length (compE2 e2), length STK)])) t

```

```

 $h(stk @ STK, loc, pc, [a]) \text{ ta } h'(stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
 $\text{by (simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)}$ 
 $\text{hence ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'}$ 
 $\text{by (rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule pc)}$ 
 $\text{from IH[OF this] show ?case by auto}$ 
next
 $\text{case (bisim1Seq1 e1 n e1' xs stk loc pc xcp e2)}$ 
 $\text{note bisim1} = \langle P, e1, h \vdash (e1', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
 $\text{note IH} = \langle \bigwedge stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\implies ?\text{concl } e1 \text{ stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'}$ 
 $\text{note exec} = \langle ?\text{exec } (e1;;e2) \text{ stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\text{from bisim1 have } pc \leq \text{length (compE2 e1)} \text{ by (rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)}$ 
 $\text{show ?case}$ 
 $\text{proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e1))}$ 
 $\text{case True}$ 
 $\text{from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ Pop \# compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @}$ 
 $\text{shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 (Suc 0) 0))) t}$ 
 $h(stk @ STK, loc, pc, xcp) \text{ ta } h'(stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
 $\text{by (simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)}$ 
 $\text{hence ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'}$ 
 $\text{by (rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule True)}$ 
 $\text{from IH[OF this] show ?thesis by auto}$ 
next
 $\text{case False}$ 
 $\text{with pc have [simp]: } pc = \text{length (compE2 e1)} \text{ by simp}$ 
 $\text{with bisim1 obtain v where } xcp = \text{None} \text{ stk} = [v] \text{ by (auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)}$ 
 $\text{with exec show ?thesis by (fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros)}$ 
qed
next
 $\text{case (bisim1SeqThrow1 e1 n a xs stk loc pc e2)}$ 
 $\text{note bisim1} = \langle P, e1, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \rangle$ 
 $\text{note IH} = \langle \bigwedge stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\implies ?\text{concl } e1 \text{ stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'}$ 
 $\text{note exec} = \langle ?\text{exec } (e1;;e2) \text{ stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\text{from bisim1 have } pc < \text{length (compE2 e1)} \text{ by (auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)}$ 
 $\text{from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ Pop \# compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @}$ 
 $\text{shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 (Suc 0) 0))) t}$ 
 $h(stk @ STK, loc, pc, [a]) \text{ ta } h'(stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
 $\text{by (simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)}$ 
 $\text{hence ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'}$ 
 $\text{by (rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule pc)}$ 
 $\text{from IH[OF this] show ?case by (fastforce elim: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros)}$ 
next
 $\text{case (bisim1Seq2 e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc xcp e1)}$ 
 $\text{note bisim2} = \langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
 $\text{note IH} = \langle \bigwedge stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\implies ?\text{concl } e2 \text{ stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'}$ 
 $\text{note exec} = \langle ?\text{exec } (e1;;e2) \text{ stk STK loc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc)) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\text{from bisim2 have } pc \leq \text{length (compE2 e2)} \text{ by (rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)}$ 
 $\text{show ?case}$ 
 $\text{proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e2))}$ 
 $\text{case False}$ 

```

```

with pc have [simp]:  $pc = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2)$  by simp
from bisim2 have  $xcp = \text{None}$  by(auto dest: bisim1- $pc$ -length-compE2D)
with exec have False by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases)
thus ?thesis ..

next
case True
from exec have exec':
exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ [Pop]) @ compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2
e1 0 0) @
shift (length (compE2 e1 @ [Pop])) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t
h (stk @ STK, loc, length ((compE2 e1) @ [Pop]) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
hence ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length ((compE2 e1) @ [Pop])) xcp'
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
from IH[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk':  $stk' = stk'' @ STK$ 
and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1 @ [Pop]), xcp') by auto
from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 e1 @ [Pop]) @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e1 0
0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1 @ [Pop])) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t
h (stk, loc, length ((compE2 e1) @ [Pop]) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', length ((compE2 e1) @
[Pop]) + (pc' - length ((compE2 e1) @ [Pop])), xcp')
by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
moreover from exec' have  $pc' \geq \text{length}((\text{compE2 } e1) @ [Pop])$ 
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
ultimately show ?thesis using stk' by(auto simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
qed
next
case (bisim1Cond1 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e1 e2)
note bisim =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
note IH =  $\langle \bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \text{ STK}. \ ?\text{exec } e \text{ stk } \text{ STK } \text{ loc } \text{ pc } \text{ xcp } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \Rightarrow ?\text{concl } e \text{ stk } \text{ STK } \text{ loc } \text{ pc } \text{ xcp } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
note exec =  $\langle ?\text{exec } (\text{if } (e) \ e1 \ \text{else} \ e2) \text{ stk } \text{ STK } \text{ loc } \text{ pc } \text{ xcp } \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
from bisim have  $pc : pc \leq \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$  by(rule bisim1- $pc$ -length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
case True
from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ IfFalse (2 + int (length (compE2 e1))) # compE2 e1 @ Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2))) # compE2 e2)
(stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
(compxE2 e1 (Suc 0) 0) @
stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))) 0))) t
h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
by(simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
hence ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule True)
from IH[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
with pc have [simp]:  $pc = \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$  by simp
from bisim obtain v where stk = [v] xcp = None
by(auto dest: bisim1- $pc$ -length-compE2D)
with exec show ?thesis by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros)
qed
next

```

```

case (bisim1CondThen e1 n e1' xs stk loc pc xcp e e2)
note bisim = <P,e1,h ⊢ (e1', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note IH = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' 
      ⇒ ?concl e1 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
note exec = <?exec (if (e) e1 else e2) stk STK loc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)) xcp stk' loc' pc' 
      xcp'>
from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e1) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e1))
  case True
    let ?pre = compE2 e @ [IfFalse (2 + int (length (compE2 e1)))]
    from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e1 @ Goto (1 + int (length 
      (compE2 e2))) # compE2 e2)
      (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0) @ shift (length ?pre) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 
      e1 0 0) @
        shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 (Suc 0 0)))) t
        h (stk @ STK, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        by(simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
      hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2))) # compE2 
      e2)
        (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e1 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (stack-xlift (length 
          STK) (compxE2 e2 (Suc 0 0)))) t
        h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')
        by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
      hence ?exec e1 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length ?pre) xcp'
        by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule True)
      from IH[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ STK
        and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1) (compxE2 e1 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
          ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp') by blast
      from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2))) 
      # compE2 e2)
        (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (compxE2 e2 (Suc 0 0)) t
        h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')
        by(rule exec-meth-append-xt)
      hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e1 @ Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2))) # 
      compE2 e2)
        (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (compxE2 
        e2 (Suc 0 0)))) t
        h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', length ?pre + (pc' - length ?pre), xcp')
        by(rule append-exec-meth-xt)(auto)
      moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length ?pre
        by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
      ultimately show ?thesis using stk'
        by(auto simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps)
    next
      case False
        with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e1) by simp
        from bisim obtain v where stk = [v] xcp = None
          by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
        with exec show ?thesis by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros)
    qed
  next
    case (bisim1CondElse e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc xcp e e1)
    note IH = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>

```

$\implies ?\text{concl } e2 \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}'$
note $\text{exec} = \langle ?\text{exec} (\text{if } (e) \text{ e1 else e2}) \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)) + \text{pc})) \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$
note $\text{bisim} = \langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$
from bisim **have** $\text{pc} \leq \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2)$ **by**(rule $\text{bisim1-}\text{pc-length-}\text{compE2}$)

let $?pre = \text{compE2 } e @ \text{IfFalse } (2 + \text{int } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1))) \# \text{compE2 } e1 @ [\text{Goto } (1 + \text{int } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2)))]$
from exec **have** $\text{exec}' : \text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (?pre @ \text{compE2 } e2)$
 $(\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } e 0 0 @ \text{compxE2 } e1 (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)) 0) @$
 $\text{shift } (\text{length } ?pre) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } e2 0 0))) t$
 $h (\text{stk } @ STK, \text{loc}, \text{length } ?pre + \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \text{ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$
by(simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
hence $?exec \text{ e2 } \text{stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ (pc}' - \text{length } ?pre \text{)} \text{xcp}'$
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
from $IH[$ [OF this] **obtain** stk'' **where** $\text{stk}' : \text{stk}'' @ STK$
and $\text{exec}'' : \text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } e2) (\text{compxE2 } e2 0 0) t h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$
 $\text{ta } h'' (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}', \text{pc}' - \text{length } ?pre, \text{xcp}')$ **by** blast
from exec'' **have** $\text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (?pre @ \text{compE2 } e2)$
 $((\text{compxE2 } e 0 0 @ \text{compxE2 } e1 (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)) 0) @ \text{shift } (\text{length } ?pre) (\text{compxE2 } e2$
 $0 0)) t$
 $h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{length } ?pre + \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \text{ta } h' (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}', \text{length } ?pre + (\text{pc}' - \text{length } ?pre), \text{xcp}')$
by(rule append-exec-meth-xt)(auto)
moreover from exec' **have** $\text{pc}' \geq \text{length } ?pre$
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
moreover hence $(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{pc}' - \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)))) = \text{pc}'$ **by** simp
ultimately show $?case$ **using** stk'
by(auto simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps eval-nat-numeral)

next

case $(\text{bisim1CondThrow } e n a xs \text{ stk } \text{loc } pc \text{ e1 e2})$
note $\text{bisim} = \langle P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a]) \rangle$
note $IH = \langle \bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \text{ STK}. ?\text{exec } e \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \text{ [a]} \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$
 $\implies ?\text{concl } e \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \text{ [a]} \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$
note $\text{exec} = \langle ?\text{exec} (\text{if } (e) \text{ e1 else e2}) \text{ stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \text{ [a]} \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$
from bisim **have** $\text{pc} : \text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)$ **by**(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from exec **have** $\text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } e @ \text{IfFalse } (2 + \text{int } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1))) \# \text{compE2 } e1 @ \text{Goto } (1 + \text{int } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2))) \# \text{compE2 } e2)$
 $(\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } e 0 0) @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK)$
 $(\text{compxE2 } e1 (\text{Suc } 0) 0) @$
 $\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } e2 (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)))) 0))) t$
 $h (\text{stk } @ STK, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a]) \text{ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$
by(simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2 shift-compxE2 ac-simps)
hence $?exec \text{ e } \text{stk } STK \text{ loc } pc \text{ [a]} \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$
by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule pc)
from $IH[$ [OF this] **show** $?case$ **by** auto

next

case $(\text{bisim1While1 } c n e xs)$
note $IH = \langle \bigwedge \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \text{ STK}. ?\text{exec } c [] STK xs 0 \text{ None } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}'$
 $\implies ?\text{concl } c [] STK xs 0 \text{ None } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$
note $\text{exec} = \langle ?\text{exec} (\text{while } (c) \text{ e}) [] STK xs 0 \text{ None } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$
hence $\text{exec-meth-d } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } c @ \text{IfFalse } (\text{int } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)) + 3) \# \text{compE2 } e @$
 $[\text{Pop}, \text{Goto } (-2 + (- \text{int } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)) - \text{int } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } c)))), \text{Push Unit}])$
 $(\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK) (\text{compxE2 } c 0 0) @ \text{shift } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } c)) (\text{stack-xlift } (\text{length } STK)$
 $(\text{compxE2 } e (\text{Suc } 0) 0))) t$

```

 $h ([] @ STK, xs, 0, None) \text{ta } h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
 $\text{by}(simp\ add:\ compxE2\size\conv)$ 
 $\text{hence } ?exec\ c [] STK\ xs\ 0\ None\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp'$ 
 $\text{by}(rule\ exec-meth-take-xt)\ simp$ 
 $\text{from } IH[OF\ this]\ \text{show } ?case\ \text{by } auto$ 
next
 $\text{case } (bisim1While3\ c\ n\ c'\ xs\ stk\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ e)$ 
 $\text{note } bisim = \langle P, c, h \vdash (c', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
 $\text{note } IH = \langle \bigwedge stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec\ c\ stk\ STK\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp'$ 
 $\implies ?concl\ c\ stk\ STK\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\text{note } exec = \langle ?exec\ (while\ (c)\ e)\ stk\ STK\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\text{from } bisim\ \text{have } pc : pc \leq length\ (compE2\ c)\ \text{by}(rule\ bisim1\pc\length\compE2)$ 
 $\text{show } ?case$ 
 $\text{proof}(cases\ pc < length\ (compE2\ c))$ 
 $\text{case } True$ 
 $\text{from } exec\ \text{have } exec\text{-meth-d}\ (compP2\ P)\ (compE2\ c @ IfFalse\ (int\ (length\ (compE2\ e)) + 3)\ #$ 
 $compE2\ e @ [Pop,\ Goto\ (-2 + (- int\ (length\ (compE2\ e)) - int\ (length\ (compE2\ c))))], Push\ Unit]$ 
 $(stack-xlift\ (length\ STK))\ (compxE2\ c\ 0\ 0) @ shift\ (length\ (compE2\ c))\ (stack-xlift\ (length\ STK))$ 
 $(compxE2\ e\ (Suc\ 0)\ 0)))\ t$ 
 $h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, xcp) \text{ta } h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
 $\text{by}(simp\ add:\ compxE2\size\conv)$ 
 $\text{hence } ?exec\ c\ stk\ STK\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp'$ 
 $\text{by}(rule\ exec-meth-take-xt)(rule\ True)$ 
 $\text{from } IH[OF\ this]\ \text{show } ?thesis\ \text{by } auto$ 
next
 $\text{case } False$ 
 $\text{with } pc\ \text{have } [simp]: pc = length\ (compE2\ c)\ \text{by } simp$ 
 $\text{from } bisim\ \text{obtain } v\ \text{where } stk = [v]\ xcp = None\ \text{by}(auto\ dest:\ bisim1\pc\length\compE2D)$ 
 $\text{with } exec\ \text{show } ?thesis\ \text{by}(auto\ elim!:\ exec\text{-meth.cases}\ intro!:\ exec\text{-meth.intros})$ 
qed
next
 $\text{case } (bisim1While4\ e\ n\ e'\ xs\ stk\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ c)$ 
 $\text{note } bisim = \langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
 $\text{note } IH = \langle \bigwedge stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec\ e\ stk\ STK\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp'$ 
 $\implies ?concl\ e\ stk\ STK\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\text{note } exec = \langle ?exec\ (while\ (c)\ e)\ stk\ STK\ loc\ (Suc\ (length\ (compE2\ c) + pc))\ xcp\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp' \rangle$ 
 $\text{from } bisim\ \text{have } pc : pc \leq length\ (compE2\ e)\ \text{by}(rule\ bisim1\pc\length\compE2)$ 
 $\text{show } ?case$ 
 $\text{proof}(cases\ pc < length\ (compE2\ e))$ 
 $\text{case } True$ 
 $\text{let } ?pre = compE2\ c @ [IfFalse\ (int\ (length\ (compE2\ e)) + 3)]$ 
 $\text{from } exec\ \text{have } exec\text{-meth-d}\ (compP2\ P)\ ((?pre @ compE2\ e) @ [Pop,\ Goto\ (-2 + (- int\ (length\ (compE2\ e)) - int\ (length\ (compE2\ c))))], Push\ Unit)$ 
 $(stack-xlift\ (length\ STK))\ (compxE2\ c\ 0\ 0) @ shift\ (length\ ?pre)\ (stack-xlift\ (length\ STK))\ (compxE2\ e\ 0\ 0)))\ t$ 
 $h (stk @ STK, loc, length\ ?pre + pc, xcp) \text{ta } h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
 $\text{by}(simp\ add:\ compxE2\size\conv)$ 
 $\text{hence } exec': exec\text{-meth-d}\ (compP2\ P)\ (?pre @ compE2\ e)\ (stack-xlift\ (length\ STK))\ (compxE2\ c\ 0\ 0) @ shift\ (length\ ?pre)\ (stack-xlift\ (length\ STK))\ (compxE2\ e\ 0\ 0)))\ t$ 
 $h (stk @ STK, loc, length\ ?pre + pc, xcp) \text{ta } h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
 $\text{by}(rule\ exec\text{-meth-take})(auto\ intro:\ True)$ 
 $\text{hence } ?exec\ e\ stk\ STK\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ stk'\ loc'\ (pc' - length\ ?pre)\ xcp'$ 
 $\text{by}(rule\ exec\text{-meth-drop-xt})(auto\ simp\ add:\ stack-xlift\compxE2)$ 
 $\text{from } IH[OF\ this]\ \text{obtain } stk''\ \text{where } stk' : stk'' @ STK$ 

```

```

and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (compxE2 e 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h'
(stk'', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp') by auto
  from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e) (compxE2 c 0 0 @ shift (length
?pre) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t
    h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', length ?pre + (pc' - length ?pre),
xcp')
    by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((?pre @ compE2 e) @ [Pop, Goto (-2 + (- int (length (compE2
e)) - int (length (compE2 c))))], Push Unit])
    (compxE2 c 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t
    h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', length ?pre + (pc' - length ?pre), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-append)
  moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length ?pre
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  moreover have -2 + (- int (length (compE2 e)) - int (length (compE2 c))) = - int (length
(compE2 c)) + (-2 - int (length (compE2 e))) by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using stk'
    by(auto simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 algebra-simps uminus-minus-left-commute)
next
  case False
  with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
  from bisim obtain v where stk = [v] xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with exec show ?thesis by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros)
qed
next
  case (bisim1While6 c n e xs)
  note exec = <?exec (while (c) e) [] STK xs (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e))))>
None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  thus ?case by(rule exec-meth.cases)(simp-all, auto intro!: exec-meth.intros)
next
  case (bisim1While7 c n e xs)
  note exec = <?exec (while (c) e) [] STK xs (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2
e))))) None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  thus ?case by(rule exec-meth.cases)(simp-all, auto intro!: exec-meth.intros)
next
  case (bisim1WhileThrow1 c n a xs stk loc pc e)
  note bisim = <P,c,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  note IH = <?stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec c stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl c stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note exec = <?exec (while (c) e) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 c) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 c @ IfFalse (int (length (compE2 e)) + 3) #
compE2 e @ [Pop, Goto (-2 + (- int (length (compE2 e)) - int (length (compE2 c)))), Push Unit])
  (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 c 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 c)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
(compxE2 e (Suc 0 0)))) t
    h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
  hence ?exec c stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)(rule pc)
  from IH[OF this] show ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1WhileThrow2 e n a xs stk loc pc c)
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  note IH = <?stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'

```

```

 $\implies ?concl e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'$ 
note exec = <?exec (while (c) e) stk STK loc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc)) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
let ?pre = compE2 c @ [IfFalse (int (length (compE2 e)) + 3)]
from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((?pre @ compE2 e) @ [Pop, Goto (-2 + (- int (length (compE2 e)) - int (length (compE2 c))))], Push Unit)
  (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 c 0 0) @ shift (length ?pre) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t
    h (stk @ STK, loc, length ?pre + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e)
  (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 c 0 0) @ shift (length ?pre) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t
    h (stk @ STK, loc, (length ?pre + pc), [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-take)(auto intro: pc)
hence ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' (pc' - length ?pre) xcp'
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
from IH[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ STK
  and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (compxE2 e 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp') by auto
from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e) (compxE2 c 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e 0 0))
  h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk'', loc', length ?pre + (pc' - length ?pre), xcp')
  by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((?pre @ compE2 e) @ [Pop, Goto (-2 + (- int (length (compE2 e)) - int (length (compE2 c))))], Push Unit))
  (compxE2 c 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t
  h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk'', loc', length ?pre + (pc' - length ?pre), xcp')
  by(rule exec-meth-append)
moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length ?pre
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
moreover have -2 + (- int (length (compE2 e)) - int (length (compE2 c))) = - int (length (compE2 c)) + (-2 - int (length (compE2 e))) by simp
ultimately show ?case using stk'
  by(auto simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 algebra-simps uminus-minus-left-commute)
next
case (bisim1Throw1 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp)
note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note IH = <A stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
   $\implies ?concl e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'$ 
note exec = <?exec (throw e) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
  case True
  with exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(auto elim: exec-meth-take)
  from IH[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
next
  case False
  with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
  from bisim obtain v where stk = [v] xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with exec show ?thesis by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)
qed
next

```

```

case bisim1Throw2 thus ?case
  apply(auto elim!:exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros dest!: match-ex-table-stack-xliftD)
  apply(auto intro: exec-meth.intros dest!: match-ex-table-stack-xliftD intro!: exI)
  apply(auto simp add: le-Suc-eq)
  done

next
case bisim1ThrowNull thus ?case
  apply(auto elim!:exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros dest!: match-ex-table-stack-xliftD)
  apply(auto intro: exec-meth.intros dest!: match-ex-table-stack-xliftD intro!: exI)
  apply(auto simp add: le-Suc-eq)
  done

next
case (bisim1ThrowThrow e n a xs stk loc pc)
note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
note IH = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note exec = <?exec (throw e) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
with exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  by(auto elim: exec-meth-take simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
from IH[OF this] show ?case by auto

next
case (bisim1Try e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 C' V)
note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note IH = <¬stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note exec = <?exec (try e catch(C' V) e2) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
  case True
    from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ Goto (int (length (compE2 e2)) +
2) # Store V # compE2 e2)
      (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length STK)
(compxE2 e2 (Suc (Suc 0)) 0)) @ [(0, length (compE2 e), [C'], Suc (length (compE2 e)), length
STK)] t
        h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases xcp)
      case None
        with exec' True have ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
          apply –
          apply (erule exec-meth.cases)
          apply (cases compE2 e ! pc)
            apply (fastforce simp add: is-Ref-def intro: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm cong del: im-
age-cong-simp)+
          done
        from IH[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case (Some a)
        with exec' have [simp]: h' = h loc' = loc xcp' = None ta = ε
          by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases)
        show ?thesis
  
```

```

proof(cases match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) pc (compxE2 e 0 0))
  case (Some pcd)
    from exec <xcp = [a]> Some pc
    have stk': stk' = Addr a # (drop (length stk - snd pcd) stk) @ STK
      by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append split: if-split-asm dest!:
match-ex-table-stack-xliftD)
    from exec' <xcp = [a]> Some pc have exec-meth-d (compP2 P)
      (compe2 e) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h (stk @ STK, loc, pc, [a]) ε h
      (Addr a # (drop (length (stk @ STK) - (snd pcd + length STK)) (stk @ STK)), loc, pc', None)
      apply -
      apply(rule exec-meth.intros)
      apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append split: if-split-asm dest!:
match-ex-table-shift-pcD match-ex-table-stack-xliftD)
      done
    from IH[unfolded <ta = ε> <xcp = [a]> <h' = h>, OF this]
    have stk: Addr a # drop (length stk - snd pcd) (stk @ STK) = Addr a # drop (length stk -
    snd pcd) stk @ STK
      and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compe2 e) (compxE2 e 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ε h
      (Addr a # drop (length stk - snd pcd) stk, loc, pc', None) by auto
      thus ?thesis using Some stk' <xcp = [a]> by(auto)
    next
      case None
      with Some exec pc have stk': stk' = Addr a # STK
        and pc': pc' = Suc (length (compe2 e))
        and subcls: compP2 P ⊢ cname-of h a ≤* C'
        by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases split: if-split-asm simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs)(simp
add: matches-ex-entry-def)
        moreover from Some True None pc' subcls
        have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compe2 (try e catch(C' V) e2)) (compxE2 (try e catch(C' V)
e2) 0 0) t h
          (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ε h (Addr a # drop (length stk - 0) stk, loc, pc', None)
          by -(rule exec-catch,auto simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs matches-ex-entry-def)
          ultimately show ?thesis using Some by auto
        qed
      qed
    next
      case False
      with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compe2 e) by simp
      from bisim obtain v where stk = [v] xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compe2D)
      with exec show ?thesis by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)
    qed
  next
    case bisim1TryCatch1 thus ?case
      by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro!: exec-meth.intros split: if-split-asm)
    next
      case (bisim1TryCatch2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e C' V)
      note bisim = <P,e2,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
      note IH = <⟩stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
        ⇒ ?concl e2 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
      note exec = <?exec (try e catch(C' V) e2) stk STK loc (Suc (Suc (length (compe2 e) + pc))) xcp
      stk' loc' pc' xcp'
      let ?pre = compe2 e @ [Goto (int (length (compe2 e2)) + 2), Store V]
      from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compe2 e2)
        (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0)) @ shift (length ?pre) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2

```

```

e2 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ STK, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
 $\text{proof (cases)}$ 
   $\text{case (exec-catch } xcp'' \text{ d)}$ 
  let ?stk = stk @ STK and ?PC = Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc))
  note s = <?stk' = Addr xcp'' # drop (length ?stk - d) ?stk>
    <ta = ε> <h' = h> <xcp' = None> <xcp = [xcp'']> <loc' = loc>
  from <match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h xcp'') ?PC (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 (try
  e catch(C' V) e2) 0 0)) = [(pc', d)]> <d ≤ length ?stk>
  show ?thesis unfolding s
    by -(rule exec-meth.exec-catch, simp-all add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2, simp add:
  match-ex-table-append add: matches-ex-entry-def)
  qed(auto intro: exec-meth.intros simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2)
  hence ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length ?pre) xcp'
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  from IH[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk' = stk'' @ STK
    and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h'
  (stk'', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp') by auto
    from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length
  ?pre) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', length ?pre + (pc' -
  length ?pre), xcp')
      by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
    hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2
  e2 0 0) @ [(0, length (compE2 e), [C'], Suc (length (compE2 e)), 0)]) t h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc,
  xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', length ?pre + (pc' - length ?pre), xcp')
      by(rule exec-meth.cases)(auto intro: exec-meth.intros simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs)
    moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length ?pre
      by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
    moreover hence (Suc (Suc (pc' - Suc (Suc 0)))) = pc' by simp
    ultimately show ?case using stk' by(auto simp add: shift-compxE2 eval-nat-numeral)
next
  case (bisim1TryFail e n a xs stk loc pc C' C'' e2 V)
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  note exec = <?exec (try e catch(C'' V) e2) stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  note a = <typeof-addr h a = [Class-type C']> ⊢ P ⊢ C' ⊢* C''>
  from bisim have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
    unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
  moreover from bisim have pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  ultimately have False using exec a
    apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: outside-pcs-compxE2-not-matches-entry outside-pcs-not-matches-entry
  split: if-split-asm)
    apply(auto simp add: compP2-def match-ex-entry match-ex-table-append-not-pcs cname-of-def split:
  if-split-asm)
    done
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1TryCatchThrow e2 n a xs stk loc pc e C' V)
  note bisim = <P,e2,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  note IH = <?stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
    ⇒ ?concl e2 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note exec = <?exec (try e catch(C' V) e2) stk STK loc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc))) [a]
  stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e2) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  let ?pre = compE2 e @ [Goto (int (length (compE2 e2)) + 2), Store V]

```

```

from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length STK)
(compxE2 e 0 0) @
shift (length ?pre) (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t
h (stk @ STK, loc, length ?pre + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
proof(cases)
case (exec-catch d)
let ?stk = stk @ STK and ?PC = Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc))
note s = <?stk' = Addr a # drop (length ?stk - d) ?stk, loc' = loc>
<ta = ε, h' = h, xcp' = None>
from <match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) ?PC (stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 (try e
catch(C' V) e2) 0 0)) = [(pc', d)]> <d ≤ length ?stk>
show ?thesis unfolding s
by -(rule exec-meth.exec-catch, simp-all add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2, simp add:
match-ex-table-append add: matches-ex-entry-def)
qed
hence ?exec e2 stk STK loc pc [a] stk' loc' (pc' - length ?pre) xcp'
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
from IH[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ STK
and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, [a]) ta h'
(stk'', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp') by auto
from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length
?pre) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, [a]) ta h' (stk'', loc', length ?pre + (pc' -
length ?pre), xcp')
by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2
e2 0 0) @ [(0, length (compE2 e), [C'], Suc (length (compE2 e)), 0)]) t h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc,
[a]) ta h' (stk'', loc', length ?pre + (pc' - length ?pre), xcp')
by(rule exec-meth.cases)(auto intro!: exec-meth.intros simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs)
moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length ?pre
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
moreover hence (Suc (Suc (pc' - Suc (Suc 0)))) = pc' by simp
ultimately show ?case using stk' by(auto simp add: shift-compxE2 eval-nat-numeral)
next
case bisims1Nil thus ?case by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases)
next
case (bisims1List1 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp es)
note bisim1 = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note IH1 = <∀stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
⇒ ?concl e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note IH2 = <∀xs stk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?execs es [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
⇒ ?concls es [] STK xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note exec = <?execs (e # es) stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
case True
with exec have ?exec e stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
by(simp add: compxEs2-size-convs)(erule exec-meth-take-xt)
from IH1[OF this] show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
with bisim1 obtain v where s: stk = [v] xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with exec pc have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compEs2 es)

```

```

(stack-xlift (length STK) (compxE2 e 0 0) @ shift (length (compxE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length (v # STK)) (compxEs2 es 0 0))) t
  h ([] @ v # STK, loc, length (compxE2 e) + 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs)
  hence ?execs es [] (v # STK) loc 0 None stk' loc' (pc' - length (compxE2 e)) xcp'
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  from IH2[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk' = stk'' @ v # STK
    and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxEs2 es) (compxEs2 es 0 0) t h ([] @ v, loc, 0, None) ta h'
      (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compxE2 e), xcp') by auto
    from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxEs2 es) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 es 0 0)) t h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'' @ [v], loc', pc' - length (compxE2 e), xcp')
      by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
    hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxE2 e @ compxEs2 es) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compxE2 e))) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 es 0 0))) t h ([] @ [v], loc, length (compxE2 e) + 0, None) ta h'
      (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compxE2 e) + (pc' - length (compxE2 e)), xcp')
      by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
    moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compxE2 e)
      by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
    ultimately show ?thesis using s pc stk' by(auto simp add: shift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxEs2)
qed
next
case (bisims1List2 es n es' xs stk loc pc xcp e v)
note bisim = <P,es,h ⊢ (es',xs) [↔] (stk,loc,pc,xcp)>
note IH = <Λstk' loc' pc' xcp' STK. ?execs es stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  ⇒ ?concls es stk STK loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note exec = <?execs (e # es) (stk @ [v]) STK loc (length (compxE2 e) + pc) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
from exec have exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxE2 e @ compxEs2 es)
  (stack-xlift (length STK)) (compxE2 e 0 0) @ shift (length (compxE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length (v # STK)) (compxEs2 es 0 0))) t
  h (stk @ v # STK, loc, length (compxE2 e) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by(simp add: compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs)
hence ?execs es stk (v # STK) loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length (compxE2 e)) xcp'
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
from IH[OF this] obtain stk'' where stk' = stk'' @ v # STK
  and exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxEs2 es) (compxEs2 es 0 0) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h'
    (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compxE2 e), xcp') by auto
  from exec'' have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxEs2 es) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 es 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'' @ [v], loc', pc' - length (compxE2 e), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-stk-offer)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compxE2 e @ compxEs2 es) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compxE2 e))) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 es 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compxE2 e) + pc, xcp) ta h'
    (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compxE2 e) + (pc' - length (compxE2 e)), xcp')
    by(rule append-exec-meth-xt) auto
  moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compxE2 e)
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc)(auto simp add: stack-xlift-compxE2)
  ultimately show ?case using stk' by(auto simp add: shift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxEs2)
next
case (bisim1Sync12 e1 n e2 V a xs v v')
  note exec = <?exec (syncV (e1) e2) [v, v'] STK xs (4 + length (compxE2 e1) + length (compxE2 e2)) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  thus ?case by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases split: if-split-asm simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs)(simp add: matches-ex-entry-def)
next
case (bisim1Sync14 e1 n e2 V a xs v a')

```

note $\text{exec} = \langle ?\text{exec} (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) [v, \text{Addr } a] \rangle \text{STK } xs (7 + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e2)) \lfloor a \rfloor \text{stk' loc' pc' xcp'}$
thus $?case$ **by**(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases split: if-split-asm simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs)(simp add: matches-ex-entry-def)
qed

lemma shows bisim1-callD:
 $\llbracket P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}); \text{call1 } e' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{compE2 } e ! \text{pc} = \text{Invoke } M' n0 \rrbracket \implies M = M'$

and bisims1-callD:
 $\llbracket P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}); \text{calls1 } es' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor; \text{compEs2 } es ! \text{pc} = \text{Invoke } M' n0 \rrbracket \implies M = M'$

proof(induct e n :: nat e' xs stk loc pc xcp and es n :: nat es' xs stk loc pc xcp rule: bisim1-bisims1-inducts-split)
case bisim1AAss1 **thus** $?case$
 apply(simp (no-asm-use) split: if-split-asm add: is-val-iff)
 apply(fastforce dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke)
 apply(fastforce dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke)
 apply(fastforce dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisim1-pc-length-compE2)+
 done
next
case bisim1Call1 **thus** $?case$
 apply(clarsimp split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv)
 apply(drule bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke, simp, fastforce)
 apply(drule bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke, simp, fastforce)
 apply(drule bisim1-pc-length-compE2, clarsimp simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
 apply(drule bisim1-pc-length-compE2, simp)
 apply(drule bisim1-pc-length-compE2, simp)
 apply(drule bisim1-pc-length-compE2, simp)
 apply(drule bisim1-call-pcD, simp, simp)
 apply(drule bisim1-call-pcD, simp, simp)
 done
next
case bisim1CallParams **thus** $?case$
 apply(clarsimp split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv)
 apply(drule bisims-Val-pc-not-Invoke, simp, fastforce)
 apply(drule bisims1-pc-length-compEs2, simp)
 apply(drule bisims1-calls-pcD, simp, simp)
 done
qed(fastforce split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 bisims1-pc-length-compEs2 bisim1-call-pcD bisims1-calls-pcD bisim1-call-xcpNone bisims1-calls-xcpNone bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisims-Val-pc-not-Invoke)+

lemma bisim1-xcpD: $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor) \implies \text{pc} < \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)$
and $\text{bisims1-xcpD: } P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor) \implies \text{pc} < \text{length}(\text{compEs2 } es)$
by(induct (e', xs) (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor a :: 'addr \rfloor) **and** (es', xs) (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor a :: 'addr \rfloor))
 arbitrary: $e' xs \text{stk loc pc}$ **and** $es' xs \text{stk loc pc}$ rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts
 simp-all

lemma bisim1-match-Some-stk-length:
 $\llbracket P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor); \text{match-ex-table } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{cname-of } h a) \text{pc } (\text{compxE2 } E \ 0 \ 0) = \lfloor (pc', d) \rfloor \rrbracket$

```

 $\implies d \leq \text{length } \text{stk}$ 

and bisims1-match-Some-stk-length:
 $\llbracket P, Es, h \vdash (es, xs) [\leftrightarrow] (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, [a]);$ 
 $\quad \text{match-ex-table} (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{cname-of } h \ a) pc (\text{compxEs2 } Es \ 0 \ 0) = \llbracket (pc', d) \rrbracket \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies d \leq \text{length } \text{stk}$ 
proof(induct (e, xs) (stk, loc, pc, [a :: 'addr]) and (es, xs) (stk, loc, pc, [a :: 'addr]))
  arbitrary:  $pc' \ d \ e \ xs \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ pc$  and  $pc' \ d \ es \ xs \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ pc$  rule: bisim1-bisims1.inducts
  case bisim1Call1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce dest: bisim1-xcpD simp add: match-ex-table-append match-ex-table-not-pcs-None)
next
  case bisim1CallThrowObj thus ?case
    by(fastforce dest: bisim1-xcpD simp add: match-ex-table-append match-ex-table-not-pcs-None)
next
  case bisim1Sync4 thus ?case
    apply(clarsimp simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def
split: if-split-asm)
    apply(fastforce simp add: match-ex-table-compxE2-shift-conv dest: bisim1-xcpD)
    done
next
  case bisim1Try thus ?case
    by(fastforce simp add: match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def match-ex-table-not-pcs-None
dest: bisim1-xcpD split: if-split-asm)
next
  case bisim1TryCatch2 thus ?case
    apply(clarsimp simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def
split: if-split-asm)
    apply(fastforce simp add: match-ex-table-compxE2-shift-conv dest: bisim1-xcpD)
    done
next
  case bisim1TryFail thus ?case
    by(fastforce simp add: match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def match-ex-table-not-pcs-None
dest: bisim1-xcpD split: if-split-asm)
next
  case bisim1TryCatchThrow thus ?case
    apply(clarsimp simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def
split: if-split-asm)
    apply(fastforce simp add: match-ex-table-compxE2-shift-conv dest: bisim1-xcpD)
    done
next
  case bisims1List1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce simp add: match-ex-table-append split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-xcpD match-ex-table-pcsD)
  qed(fastforce simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None match-ex-table-append match-ex-table-compxE2-shift-conv
match-ex-table-compxEs2-shift-conv match-ex-table-compxE2-stack-conv match-ex-table-compxEs2-stack-conv
matches-ex-entry-def dest: bisim1-xcpD)+

end

locale J1-JVM-heap-conf-base =
  J1-JVM-heap-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
+

```

```

J1-heap-conf-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  hconf P
+
JVM-heap-conf-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  hconf compP2 P
for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id'  

and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id'  $\Rightarrow$  'addr'  

and spurious-wakeups :: bool  

and empty-heap :: 'heap'  

and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set'  

and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype'  

and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool'  

and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool'  

and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool'  

and P :: 'addr J1-prog'  

begin

inductive bisim1-list1 ::  

  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1) list'  

   $\Rightarrow$  'addr option  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame list  $\Rightarrow$  bool'  

for t :: 'thread-id' and h :: 'heap'  

where  

  bl1-Normal:  

   $\llbracket \text{compTP } P \vdash t:(xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \vee;$   

   $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{body} \rfloor \text{ in } D;$   

   $P, \text{blocks1 } 0 \text{ (Class } D \# Ts \text{) body}, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } xs;$   

   $\text{list-all2 (bisim1-fr } P h \text{) exs frs} \rrbracket$   

 $\implies \text{bisim1-list1 } t \ h \ (e, xs) \ \text{exs } xcp \ ((stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs)$   

  

  | bl1-finalVal:  

   $\llbracket hconf \ h; \text{preallocated } h \rrbracket \implies \text{bisim1-list1 } t \ h \ (\text{Val } v, xs) \ \llbracket \text{None} \rrbracket$   

  

  | bl1-finalThrow:  

   $\llbracket hconf \ h; \text{preallocated } h \rrbracket \implies \text{bisim1-list1 } t \ h \ (\text{Throw } a, xs) \ \llbracket a \rrbracket \ \llbracket \text{None} \rrbracket$   

  

fun wbisim1 ::  

  'thread-id'  

 $\Rightarrow (((\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1}) \times (\text{'addr expr1} \times \text{'addr locals1}) \text{list}) \times \text{'heap},$   

 $(\text{'addr option} \times \text{'addr frame list}) \times \text{'heap}) \text{ bisim}$   

where wbisim1 t ((ex, exs), h) ((xcp, frs), h')  $\longleftrightarrow h = h' \wedge \text{bisim1-list1 } t \ h \ \text{ex } \text{exs } xcp \ frs$   

  

lemma new-thread-conf-compTP:  

assumes hconf: hconf h preallocated h  

and ha: typeof-addr h a = [Class-type C]  

and sub: typeof-addr h (thread-id2addr t) = [Class-type C'] P ⊢ C' ⊢* Thread  

and sees: P ⊢ C sees M: [] → T = [meth] in D  

shows compTP P ⊢ t:(None, h, [([], Addr a # replicate (max-vars meth) undefined-value, D, M, 0)])  $\vee$ 

```

proof –

```

from ha sees-method-decl-above[OF sees]
have  $P, h \vdash \text{Addr } a : \leq \text{Class } D$  by(simp add: conf-def)
moreover
hence compP2  $P, h \vdash \text{Addr } a : \leq \text{Class } D$  by(simp add: compP2-def)
hence compP2  $P, h \vdash \text{Addr } a \# \text{replicate } (\text{max-vars meth}) \text{ undefined-value} [:\leq_{\top}] \text{ map } (\lambda i. \text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } \text{OK } ([\text{Class } D] ! i) \text{ else } \text{Err}) [0..<\text{max-vars meth}] @ [\text{Err}]$ 
by -(rule list-all2-all-nthI, simp-all)
hence conf-f (compP2 P) h ([] , map (λi. if i = 0 then OK ([Class D] ! i) else Err) [0..<max-vars meth] @ [Err])
      (compE2 meth @ [Return]) ([] , Addr a # replicate (max-vars meth) undefined-value, D, M, 0)
unfolding conf-f-def2 by(simp add: compP2-def)
ultimately have conf-f (compP2 P) h ([] , TC0.tyi (Suc (max-vars meth)) [Class D] {0}) (compE2 meth @ [Return])
      ([] , Addr a # replicate (max-vars meth) undefined-value, D, M, 0)
by(simp add: TC0.tyi-def conf-f-def2 compP2-def)
with hconf ha sub sees-method-compP[OF sees, where f=λC M Ts T. compMb2] sees-method-idemp[OF sees]
show ?thesis
by(auto simp add: TC0.tyi'-def correct-state-def compTP-def tconf-def)(fastforce simp add: compP2-def
compMb2-def tconf-def intro: sees-method-idemp)+
```

qed

lemma ta-bisim12-extTA2J1-extTA2JVM:

```

assumes nt:  $\bigwedge n T C M a h. \llbracket n < \text{length } \{\text{ta}\}_t; \{\text{ta}\}_t ! n = \text{NewThread } T (C, M, a) h \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge (\exists C'. \text{typeof-addr } h (\text{thread-id2addr } T) = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C' \rfloor \wedge P \vdash C' \preceq^* \text{Thread}) \wedge$ 
 $(\exists T \text{ meth } D. P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: [] \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{meth} \rfloor \text{ in } D) \wedge \text{hconf } h \wedge \text{preallocated } h$ 
shows ta-bisim wbisim1 (extTA2J1 P ta) (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta)
```

proof –

```

{ fix n t C M a m
assume n < length {ta}_t and {ta}_t ! n = NewThread t (C, M, a) m
from nt[OF this] obtain T meth D C'
  where ma: typeof-addr m a = ⌊ Class-type C ⌋
  and sees: P ⊢ C sees M: [] → T = ⌊ meth ⌋ in D
  and sub: typeof-addr m (thread-id2addr t) = ⌊ Class-type C' ⌋ P ⊢ C' ⊲^* Thread
  and mconf: hconf m preallocated m by fastforce
from sees-method-compP[OF sees, where f=λC M Ts T. compMb2]
have sees': compP2 P ⊢ C sees M: [] → T = ⌊ (max-stack meth, max-vars meth, compE2 meth @
[Return], compxE2 meth 0 0) ⌋ in D
by(simp add: compMb2-def compP2-def)
have bisim1-list1 t m ({0:Class D=None; meth}, Addr a # replicate (max-vars meth) undefined-value) ([] None ([] , Addr a # replicate (max-vars meth) undefined-value, D, M, 0))
proof
  from mconf ma sub sees
  show compTP P ⊢ t:(None, m, ([] , Addr a # replicate (max-vars meth) undefined-value, D, M,
0)) √
    by(rule new-thread-conf-compTP)

from sees show P ⊢ D sees M: [] → T = ⌊ meth ⌋ in D by(rule sees-method-idemp)
show list-all2 (bisim1-fr P m) [] [] by simp
show P,blocks1 0 [Class D] meth,m ⊢ ({0:Class D=None; meth}, Addr a # replicate (max-vars
meth) undefined-value) ↔
```

```

([], Addr a # replicate (max-vars meth) undefined-value, 0, None)
  by simp(rule bisim1-refl)
qed simp
with sees sees' have bisim1-list1 t m ({0:Class (fst (method P C M))=None; the (snd (snd (method P C M))))}, Addr a # replicate (max-vars (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M))))))) undefined-value) [] None [([], Addr a # replicate (fst (snd (the (snd (snd (method (compP2 P C M))))))) undefined-value, fst (method (compP2 P) C M), M, 0)] by simp }
thus ?thesis
apply(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def intro!: list-all2-all-nthI)
apply(case-tac {ta}t ! n, auto simp add: extNTA2JVM-def)
done
qed
end

definition no-call2 :: 'addr expr1 ⇒ pc ⇒ bool
where no-call2 e pc ←→ (pc ≤ length (compE2 e)) ∧ (pc < length (compE2 e) → ( ∀ M n. compE2 e ! pc ≠ Invoke M n))

definition no-calls2 :: 'addr expr1 list ⇒ pc ⇒ bool
where no-calls2 es pc ←→ (pc ≤ length (compEs2 es)) ∧ (pc < length (compEs2 es) → ( ∀ M n. compEs2 es ! pc ≠ Invoke M n))

locale J1-JVM-conf-read =
J1-JVM-heap-conf-base
addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
hconf P
+
JVM-conf-read
addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
hconf compP2 P
for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr → htype
and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool
and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
and hconf :: 'heap ⇒ bool
and P :: 'addr J1-prog

locale J1-JVM-heap-conf =
J1-JVM-heap-conf-base
addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
hconf P
+
JVM-heap-conf

```

```

addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
hconf compP2 P
for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) => 'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id => 'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap => htype => ('heap × 'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap => 'addr → htype
and heap-read :: 'heap => 'addr => addr-loc => 'addr val => bool
and heap-write :: 'heap => 'addr => addr-loc => 'addr val => 'heap => bool
and hconf :: 'heap => bool
and P :: 'addr J1-prog
begin

lemma red-external-ta-bisim21:
  [| wf-prog wf-md P; P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ -ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩; hconf h'; preallocated h' |]
  ==> ta-bisim wbisim1 (extTA2J1 P ta) (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta)
apply(rule ta-bisim12-extTA2J1-extTA2JVM)
apply(frule (1) red-external-new-thread-sees)
  apply(fastforce simp add: in-set-conv-nth)
apply(frule red-ext-new-thread-heap)
  apply(fastforce simp add: in-set-conv-nth)
apply(frule red-external-new-thread-exists-thread-object[unfolded compP2-def, simplified])
  apply(fastforce simp add: in-set-conv-nth)
apply simp
done

lemma ta-bisim-red-extTA2J1-extTA2JVM:
assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
and red: uf,P,t' ⊢ 1 ⟨e, s⟩ -ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩
and hconf: hconf (hp s') preallocated (hp s')
shows ta-bisim wbisim1 (extTA2J1 P ta) (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta)
proof -
  { fix n t C M a H
    assume len: n < length {ta}t and tan: {ta}t ! n = NewThread t (C, M, a) H
    hence nt: NewThread t (C, M, a) H ∈ set {ta}t unfolding set-conv-nth by(auto intro!: exI)
    from red1-new-threadD[OF red nt] obtain ad M' vs va T C' Ts' Tr' D'
      where rede: P,t' ⊢ ⟨ad·M'(vs),hp s⟩ -ta→ext ⟨va,hp s'⟩
      and ad: typeof-addr (hp s) ad = ⌊T⌋ by blast
    from red-ext-new-thread-heap[OF rede nt] have [simp]: hp s' = H by simp
    from red-external-new-thread-sees[OF wf rede nt]
    obtain T body D where Ha: typeof-addr H a = ⌊Class-type C⌋
      and sees: P ⊢ C sees M:[]→T=⌊body⌋ in D by auto
    have sees': compP2 P ⊢ C sees M:[]→T=⌊(max-stack body, max-vars body, compE2 body @ [Return], compxE2 body 0 0)⌋ in D
      using sees unfolding compP2-def compMb2-def Let-def by(auto dest: sees-method-compP)
      from red-external-new-thread-exists-thread-object[unfolded compP2-def, simplified, OF rede nt]
    hconf Ha sees
      have compTP P ⊢ t:(None, H, [([], Addr a # replicate (max-vars body) undefined-value, D, M, 0)]) √
        by(auto intro: new-thread-conf-compTP)
      hence bisim1-list1 t H ({0:Class D=None; body}, Addr a # replicate (max-vars body) unde-

```

```

fined-value) [] None [([], Addr a # replicate (max-vars body) undefined-value, D, M, 0)]
  proof
    from sees show P ⊢ D sees M:[] → T=[body] in D by(rule sees-method-idemp)

    show P,blocks1 0 [Class D] body,H ⊢ ({0:Class D=None; body}, Addr a # replicate (max-vars
body) undefined-value) ↔
      ([] , Addr a # replicate (max-vars body) undefined-value, 0, None)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-refl)
    qed simp-all
    hence bisim1-list1 t H ({0:Class (fst (method P C M))=None; the (snd (snd (snd (method P C
M))))}),
      Addr a # replicate (max-vars (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))))))
      undefined-value)
      []
      None [([], Addr a # replicate (fst (snd (the (snd (snd (method (compP2
P) C M))))))) undefined-value,
      fst (method (compP2 P) C M), M, 0)]
      using sees sees' by simp }
    thus ?thesis
      apply(auto simp add: ta-bisim-def intro!: list-all2-all-nthI)
      apply(case-tac {ta} t ! n)
      apply(auto simp add: extNTA2JVM-def extNTA2J1-def)
      done
    qed
  end

  sublocale J1-JVM-conf-read < heap-conf?: J1-JVM-heap-conf
  by(unfold-locales)

  sublocale J1-JVM-conf-read < heap?: J1-heap
  apply(rule J1-heap.intro)
  apply(subst compP-heap[symmetric, where f=λ----- compMb2, folded compP2-def])
  apply(unfold-locales)
  done

  end

```

7.17 Correctness of Stage: From intermediate language to JVM

```

theory J1JVM imports J1JVMBisim begin

context J1-JVM-heap-base begin

declare τmove1.simps [simp del] τmoves1.simps [simp del]

lemma bisim1-insync-Throw-exec:
  assumes bisim2: P,e2,h ⊢ (Throw ad, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
  shows τExec-movet-a P t (syncV e1) e2 h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc))), xcp) ([Addr ad], loc, 6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)
  proof -
    from bisim2 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e2) and [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
    let ?pc = 6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)

```

```

let ?stk = Addr ad # drop (size stk - 0) stk
from bisim2 have xcp = [ad] ∨ xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
  assume [simp]: xcp = [ad]
  have τExec-movet-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc))), [ad]) (?stk, loc, ?pc, None)
    proof(rule τExec1step[unfolded exec-move-def, OF exec-catch])
      from bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught[OF bisim2[simplified], of λC M Ts T. compMb2 Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))) 0]
        have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h ad) (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc)))) (compxE2 e2 (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))))) 0) = None
          by(simp add: compP2-def)
        thus match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h ad) (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc)))) (compxE2 (syncV (e1) e2) 0 0) = [(6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), 0)]
            using pc
            by(auto simp add: compP2-def match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def eval-nat-numeral
              dest: match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)
        qed(insert pc, auto intro: τmove2xcp)
        thus ?thesis by simp
      next
        assume [simp]: xcp = None
        with bisim2 obtain pc'
          where τExec-movet-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr ad], loc, pc', [ad])
            and bisim': P, e2, h ⊢ (Throw ad, xs) ↔ ([Addr ad], loc, pc', [ad]) and [simp]: xs = loc
            by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-movet)
          hence τExec-movet-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc))), None) ([Addr ad], loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc'))), [ad])
            by-(rule Insync-τExecI)
          also let ?stk = Addr ad # drop (size [Addr ad] - 0) [Addr ad]
            from bisim' have pc': pc' < length (compE2 e2) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
            have τExec-movet-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Addr ad], loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc'))), [ad]) (?stk, loc, ?pc, None)
              proof(rule τExec1step[unfolded exec-move-def, OF exec-catch])
                from bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught[OF bisim', of λC M Ts T. compMb2 Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))) 0]
                  have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h ad) (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc')))) (compxE2 e2 (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))))) 0) = None
                    by(simp add: compP2-def)
                  thus match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h ad) (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc')))) (compxE2 (syncV (e1) e2) 0 0) = [(6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), 0)]
                      using pc'
                      by(auto simp add: compP2-def match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def eval-nat-numeral
                        dest: match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)
                  qed(insert pc', auto intro: τmove2xcp)
                  finally (tranclp-trans) show ?thesis by simp
                qed
              qed
            qed
          end
primrec sim12-size :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp ⇒ nat
  and sim12-sizes :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list ⇒ nat
where

```

```

sim12-size (new C) = 0
| sim12-size (newA T[e]) = Suc (sim12-size e)
| sim12-size (Cast T e) = Suc (sim12-size e)
| sim12-size (e instanceof T) = Suc (sim12-size e)
| sim12-size (e «bop» e') = Suc (sim12-size e + sim12-size e')
| sim12-size (Val v) = 0
| sim12-size (Var V) = 0
| sim12-size (V := e) = Suc (sim12-size e)
| sim12-size (a[i]) = Suc (sim12-size a + sim12-size i)
| sim12-size (a[i] := e) = Suc (sim12-size a + sim12-size i + sim12-size e)
| sim12-size (a.length) = Suc (sim12-size a)
| sim12-size (e.F{D}) = Suc (sim12-size e)
| sim12-size (e.F{D} := e') = Suc (sim12-size e + sim12-size e')
| sim12-size (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) = Suc (sim12-size e + sim12-size e' + sim12-size e'')
| sim12-size (e.M(es)) = Suc (sim12-size e + sim12-sizes es)
| sim12-size ({V:T=vo; e}) = Suc (sim12-size e)
| sim12-size (sync_V(e) e') = Suc (sim12-size e + sim12-size e')
| sim12-size (insync_V(a) e) = Suc (sim12-size e)
| sim12-size (e;; e') = Suc (sim12-size e + sim12-size e')
| sim12-size (if (e) e1 else e2) = Suc (sim12-size e)
| sim12-size (while(b) c) = Suc (Suc (sim12-size b))
| sim12-size (throw e) = Suc (sim12-size e)
| sim12-size (try e catch(C V) e') = Suc (sim12-size e)

| sim12-sizes [] = 0
| sim12-sizes (e # es) = sim12-size e + sim12-sizes es

lemma sim12-sizes-map-Val [simp]:
  sim12-sizes (map Val vs) = 0
by(induct vs) simp-all

lemma sim12-sizes-append [simp]:
  sim12-sizes (es @ es') = sim12-sizes es + sim12-sizes es'
by(induct es) simp-all

context JVM-heap-base begin

lemma τExec-mover-length-compE2-conv [simp]:
  assumes pc: pc ≥ length (compE2 e)
  shows τExec-mover ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) s ←→ s = (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
proof
  assume τExec-mover ci P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) s
  thus s = (stk, loc, pc, xcp) using pc
    by induct(auto simp add: τexec-move-def)
qed auto

lemma τExec-movesr-length-compE2-conv [simp]:
  assumes pc: pc ≥ length (compEs2 es)
  shows τExec-movesr ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) s ←→ s = (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
proof
  assume τExec-movesr ci P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) s
  thus s = (stk, loc, pc, xcp) using pc
    by induct(auto simp add: τexec-moves-def)
qed auto

```

end

context *J1-JVM-heap-base* **begin**

lemma assumes *wf*: *wf-J1-prog P*

defines [*simp*]: *sim-move* $\equiv \lambda e e'. \text{if } \text{sim12-size } e' < \text{sim12-size } e \text{ then } \tau \text{Exec-mover-a} \text{ else } \tau \text{Exec-movet-a}$
and [*simp*]: *sim-moves* $\equiv \lambda es es'. \text{if } \text{sim12-sizes } es' < \text{sim12-sizes } es \text{ then } \tau \text{Exec-movesr-a} \text{ else } \tau \text{Exec-movest-a}$

shows *exec-instr-simulates-red1*:

$\llbracket P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle; bsok E n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''. P, E, h' \vdash (e', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'') \wedge$
 $(\text{if } \tau move1 P h e$
 $\text{then } h = h' \wedge \text{sim-move } e e' P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$
 $\text{else } \exists pc' stk' loc' xcp'. \tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \wedge$
 $\text{exec-move-a } P t E h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (\text{extTA2JVM } (\text{compP2 } P) ta) h'$
 $(stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'') \wedge$
 $\neg \tau move2 (\text{compP2 } P) h stk' E pc' xcp' \wedge$
 $(\text{call1 } e = \text{None} \vee \text{no-call2 } E pc \vee pc' = pc \wedge stk' = stk \wedge loc' = loc \wedge$
 $xcp' = xcp))$
 $(\text{is } \llbracket \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \rrbracket)$
 $\implies \exists pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''. \cdot \wedge ?exec ta E e e' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'')$

and *exec-instr-simulates-reds1*:

$\llbracket P, Es, h \vdash (es, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp); \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-ta] \langle es', (h', xs') \rangle; bsoks Es n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''. P, Es, h' \vdash (es', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'') \wedge$
 $(\text{if } \tau moves1 P h es$
 $\text{then } h = h' \wedge \text{sim-moves } es es' P t Es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$
 $\text{else } \exists pc' stk' loc' xcp'. \tau \text{Exec-movesr-a } P t Es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \wedge$
 $\text{exec-moves-a } P t Es h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (\text{extTA2JVM } (\text{compP2 } P) ta)$
 $h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'') \wedge$
 $\neg \tau moves2 (\text{compP2 } P) h stk' Es pc' xcp' \wedge$
 $(\text{calls1 } es = \text{None} \vee \text{no-calls2 } Es pc \vee pc' = pc \wedge stk' = stk \wedge loc' = loc \wedge$
 $xcp' = xcp))$
 $(\text{is } \llbracket \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \rrbracket)$
 $\implies \exists pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''. \cdot \wedge ?execs ta Es es es' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'')$

proof(induction *E n e xs stk loc pc xcp* **and** *Es n es xs stk loc pc xcp*)

arbitrary: e' h' xs' Env T Env' T' and es' h' xs' Env Ts Env' Ts' rule: bisim1-bisims1-inducts-split)

case (*bisim1Call1 obj n obj' xs stk loc pc xcp ps M'*)

note *IHobj = bisim1Call1.IH(2)*

note *IHparam = bisim1Call1.IH(4)*

note *bisim1 = <P,obj,h ⊢ (obj', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>*

note *bisim2 = <Λxs. P,ps,h ⊢ (ps, xs) ↔ ([], xs, 0, None)>*

note *bsok = <bsok (obj·M'(ps)) n>*

note *red = <True, P, t ⊢ 1 (obj'·M'(ps), (h, xs)) -ta → (e', (h', xs'))>*

from *red* **show** *?case*

proof(cases)

case (*Call1Obj E'*)

note [*simp*] = *<e' = E'·M'(ps)>*

and *red = <True, P, t ⊢ 1 (obj', (h, xs)) -ta → (E', (h', xs'))>*

from *red* **have** τ : *τmove1 P h obj' = τmove1 P h (obj'·M'(ps))* **by** (*auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps*)

moreover from *red* **have** *call1 (obj'·M'(ps)) = call1 obj'* **by** *auto*

```

moreover from IHObj[OF red] bsok
  obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' where bisim:  $P, obj, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
    and redo: ?exec ta obj obj'  $E' h$  stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
  from bisim
  have  $P, obj \cdot M'(ps), h' \vdash (E' \cdot M'(ps), xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Call1)
  moreover {
    assume no-call2 obj pc
    hence no-call2 (obj \cdot M'(ps)) pc ∨ pc = length (compE2 obj) by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
  ultimately show ?thesis using redo
    by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
  Call-τExecrI1 Call-τExecI1 exec-move-CallI1)+

  next
    case (Call1Params es v)
    note [simp] = <obj' = Val v> <e' = Val v \cdot M'(es)>
      and red = <True, P, t ⊢ 1 <ps, (h, xs)> [-ta→] <es, (h', xs')>
      from red have τ: τmove1 P h (obj' \cdot M'(ps)) = τmoves1 P h ps by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
  τmoves1.simps)
      from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
        and execo: τExec-mover-a P t obj h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 obj), None)
          by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
      hence τExec-mover-a P t (obj \cdot M'(ps)) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 obj), None)
        by-(rule Call-τExecrI1)
      moreover from IHparam[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
        where bisim':  $P, ps, h' \vdash (es, xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
          and exec': ?execs ta ps ps es h [] xs 0 None h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
        have ?exec ta (obj \cdot M'(ps)) (obj' \cdot M'(ps)) (obj' \cdot M(es)) h [v] loc (length (compE2 obj)) None h'
          (length (compE2 obj) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v]) loc'' xcp''
        proof(cases τmove1 P h (obj' \cdot M'(ps)))
          case True
          with exec' τ have [simp]: h = h'
            and e: sim-moves ps es P t ps h ([] , xs, 0, None) (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'') by auto
            from e have sim-move (obj' \cdot M'(ps)) (obj' \cdot M'(es)) P t (obj \cdot M'(ps)) h ([] @ [v], xs, length (compE2
  obj) + 0, None) (stk'' @ [v], loc'', length (compE2 obj) + pc'', xcp'')
              by(fastforce dest: Call-τExecrI2 Call-τExecI2)
            with s True show ?thesis by auto
          next
          case False
          with exec' τ obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
            where e: τExec-mover-a P t ps h ([] , xs, 0, None) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
              and e': exec-moves-a P t ps h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
                and τ': τmoves2 (compP2 P) h stk' ps pc' xcp'
                and call: calls1 ps = None ∨ no-calls2 ps 0 ∨ pc' = 0 ∧ stk' = [] ∧ loc' = xs ∧ xcp' = None
            by auto
            from e have τExec-mover-a P t (obj \cdot M'(ps)) h ([] @ [v], xs, length (compE2 obj) + 0, None)
              (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj) + pc', xcp') by(rule Call-τExecrI2)
            moreover from e' have exec-move-a P t (obj \cdot M'(ps)) h (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj)
              + pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @ [v], loc'', length (compE2 obj) + pc'', xcp'')
              by(rule exec-move-CallI2)
            moreover from τ' e' have τmove2 (compP2 P) h (stk' @ [v]) (obj \cdot M'(ps)) (length (compE2
  obj) + pc') xcp' ==> False
              by(fastforce simp add: τmove2-iff τmoves2-iff τinstr-stk-drop-exec-moves split: if-split-asm)
            moreover from red have call1 (obj' \cdot M'(ps)) = calls1 ps by(auto simp add: is-vals-conv)

```

```

moreover have no-calls2 ps 0  $\implies$  no-call2 (obj·M'(ps)) (length (compE2 obj))  $\vee$  ps = [] calls1
[] = None
  by(auto simp add: no-calls2-def no-call2-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis using False s call
    by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps) blast
qed
moreover from bisim'
  have P,obj·M'(ps),h'  $\vdash$  (Val v·M'(es), xs')  $\leftrightarrow$  ((stk'' @ [v]), loc'', length (compE2 obj) + pc'', xcpx'')
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallParams)
moreover from bisim1 have pc  $\neq$  length (compE2 obj)  $\longrightarrow$  no-call2 (obj·M'(ps)) pc
    by(auto simp add: no-call2-def dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  execo
    apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
    apply(blast intro:  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-trans|fastforce elim!:  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-trans simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)+
  done
next
  case (Call1ThrowObj a)
  note [simp] = <obj' = Throw a> <ta =  $\varepsilon$ > <e' = Throw a> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
  have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ -move1 P h (Throw a·M'(ps)) by(rule  $\tau$ -move1CallThrowObj)
  from bisim1 have xcpx = [a]  $\vee$  xcpx = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  thus ?thesis
  proof
    assume [simp]: xcpx = [a]
    with bisim1 have P, obj·M'(ps), h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, [a])
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallThrowObj)
    thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
  next
    assume [simp]: xcpx = None
    with bisim1 obtain pc'
      where  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a P t obj h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
      and bisim': P, obj, h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a]) and [simp]: xs = loc
        by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau$ -Exec-mover)
      hence  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a P t (obj·M'(ps)) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
        by-(rule Call- $\tau$ -ExecrI1)
      moreover from bisim' have P, obj·M'(ps), h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
        by(rule bisim1CallThrowObj)
      ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
    qed
next
  case (Call1ThrowParams vs a es' v)
  note [simp] = <obj' = Val v> <ta =  $\varepsilon$ > <e' = Throw a> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
  and ps = <ps = map Val vs @ Throw a # es'>
  from bisim1 have [simp]: xcpx = None xs = loc
    and  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a P t obj h (stk, loc, pc, xcpx) ([v], loc, length (compE2 obj), None)
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a P t (obj·M'(ps)) h (stk, loc, pc, xcpx) ([v], loc, length (compE2 obj), None)
    by-(rule Call- $\tau$ -ExecrI1)
  also from bisims1-Throw- $\tau$ -Exec-movest[OF bisim2[of xs, unfolded ps]]
  obtain pc' where exec':  $\tau$ -Exec-movest-a P t (map Val vs @ Throw a # es') h ([][], xs, 0, None)
  (Addr a # rev vs, xs, pc', [a])
    and bisim': P, map Val vs @ Throw a # es', h  $\vdash$  (map Val vs @ Throw a # es', xs) [ $\leftrightarrow$ ] (Addr a # rev vs, xs, pc', [a])

```

```

    by auto
  from Call- $\tau$ ExecI2[ $OF\ exec',\ of\ obj\ M'\ v]$ ] ps
  have  $\tau$ Exec-movet-a  $P\ t\ (obj \cdot M'(ps))\ h\ ([v],\ loc,\ length\ (compE2\ obj),\ None)$  ( $Addr\ a\ \#\ rev\ vs\ @\ [v],\ xs,\ length\ (compE2\ obj)\ +\ pc',\ [a])$  by simp
  also from bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallThrowParams[ $OF\ bisim',\ of\ obj\ M'\ v]$ ] ps
  have bisim'':  $P, obj \cdot M'(ps), h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{Addr } a \# rev vs @ [v], xs, length (compE2 obj) + pc', [a])$  by simp
  moreover have  $\tau$ move1  $P\ h\ (obj \cdot M'(ps))$  using ps by(auto intro:  $\tau$ move1CallThrowParams)
  ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
next
  case (Red1CallExternal a Ta Ts Tr D vs va H')
  hence [simp]:  $obj' = \text{addr } a\ ps = \text{map } Val\ vs$ 
   $e' = \text{extRet2J } (\text{addr } a \cdot M'(\text{map } Val\ vs))\ va\ H' = h'\ xs' = xs$ 
  and  $Ta: \text{typeof-addr } h\ a = [Ta]$ 
  and  $iec: P \vdash \text{class-type-of } Ta \text{ sees } M': Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D$ 
  and  $redex: P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M'(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$  by auto
  from bisim1 have [simp]:  $xs = loc$  by(auto dest: bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None)
  have  $\tau: \tau$ move1  $P\ h\ (\text{addr } a \cdot M'(\text{map } Val\ vs)) \leftrightarrow \tau$ move2 ( $compP2\ P$ )  $h\ (\text{rev } vs @ [Addr\ a])$ 
  ( $obj \cdot M'(ps))\ (\text{length } (compE2\ obj)\ +\ \text{length } (compEs2\ ps))\ None$  using Ta iec
  by(auto simp add: map-eq-append-conv  $\tau$ move1.simps  $\tau$ moves1.simps  $\tau$ move2-iff compP2-def)
  from bisim1 have s:  $xcp = \text{None}\ lcl\ (h, xs) = loc$ 
  and  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P\ t\ obj\ h\ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$  ( $[Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2\ obj), None$ )
  by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P\ t\ (obj \cdot M'(ps))\ h\ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$  ( $[Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2\ obj), None$ )
  by-(rule Call- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
  also have  $\tau$ Exec-movesr-a  $P\ t\ ps\ h\ ([] , loc, 0, None)$  ( $rev\ vs, loc, length (compEs2\ ps), None$ )
  unfolding  $\langle ps = \text{map } Val\ vs \rangle$  by(rule  $\tau$ Exec-movesr-map-Val)
  from Call- $\tau$ ExecrI2[ $OF\ this, of\ obj\ M'\ Addr\ a]$ 
  have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P\ t\ (obj \cdot M'(ps))\ h\ ([Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2\ obj), None)$  ( $rev\ vs @ [Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2\ obj)\ +\ \text{length } (compEs2\ ps), None$ ) by simp
  also (rtranclp-trans) from bisim1 have  $pc \leq \text{length } (compE2\ obj)$  by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  hence no-call2 ( $obj \cdot M'(ps))\ pc \vee pc = \text{length } (compE2\ obj)\ +\ \text{length } (compEs2\ ps)$ 
  using bisim1 by(fastforce simp add: no-call2-def neq-Nil-conv dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke)
  moreover {
    assume  $pc = \text{length } (compE2\ obj)\ +\ \text{length } (compEs2\ ps)$ 
    with  $\langle \tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P\ t\ obj\ h\ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$  ( $[Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2\ obj), None$ )>
    have  $stk = \text{rev } vs @ [Addr\ a]\ xcp = \text{None}$  by auto
  }
  moreover
  let ?ret =  $\text{extRet2JVM } (\text{length } ps)\ h'$  ( $rev\ vs @ [Addr\ a]$ )  $loc\ undefined\ undefined\ (\text{length } (compE2\ obj)\ +\ \text{length } (compEs2\ ps))\ []\ va$ 
  let ?stk' =  $\text{fst } (\text{hd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } ?ret)))$ 
  let ?xcp' =  $\text{fst } ?ret$ 
  let ?pc' =  $\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{hd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } ?ret))))))$ 
  from redex have redex':  $(ta, va, h') \in \text{red-external-aggr } (compP2\ P)\ t\ a\ M'\ vs\ h$ 
  by -(rule red-external-imp-red-external-aggr, simp add: compP2-def)
  with Ta iec redex'
  have exec-move-a  $P\ t\ (obj \cdot M'(ps))\ h\ (\text{rev } vs @ [Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2\ obj)\ +\ \text{length } (compEs2\ ps), None)$  ( $\text{extTA2JVM } (compP2\ P)\ ta\ h'\ (?stk', loc, ?pc', ?xcp')$ 
  unfolding exec-move-def
  by-(rule exec-instr,cases va,(force simp add: compP2-def simp del: split-paired-Ex)+)
  moreover have  $P, obj \cdot M'(ps), h' \vdash (\text{extRet2J1 } (\text{addr } a \cdot M'(\text{map } Val\ vs))\ va, loc) \leftrightarrow (?stk', loc, ?pc', ?xcp')$ 
  proof(cases va)

```

```

case (RetVal v)
have P,obj·M'(ps),h' ⊢ (Val v, loc) ↔ ([v], loc, length (compE2 (obj·M'(ps))), None)
  by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
  thus ?thesis unfolding RetVal by simp
next
  case (RetExc ad) thus ?thesis by(auto intro: bisim1CallThrow)
next
  case RetStaySame
  from bisims1-map-Val-append[OF bisims1Nil, of map Val vs vs P h' loc]
  have P,map Val vs,h' ⊢ (map Val vs, loc) [↔] (rev vs, loc, length (compEs2 (map Val vs)), None)
by simp
  hence P,obj·M'(map Val vs),h' ⊢ (addr a·M'(map Val vs), loc) ↔ (rev vs @ [Addr a], loc, length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 (map Val vs)), None)
    by(rule bisim1CallParams)
  thus ?thesis using RetStaySame by simp
qed
moreover from redex Ta iec
have  $\tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (\text{addr } a \cdot M'(\text{map Val vs})) \implies ta = \varepsilon \wedge h' = h$ 
by(fastforce simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps map-eq-append-conv } \tau\text{external}'\text{-def } \tau\text{external-def dest: } \tau\text{external}'\text{-red-external-heap-unchanged } \tau\text{external}'\text{-red-external-TA-empty sees-method-fun}$ )
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$ 
  apply(cases  $\tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (\text{addr } a \cdot M'(\text{map Val vs})) :: \text{'addr expr1}')$ 
  apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex simp add: compP2-def)
  apply(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl rtranclp-into-tranclp1  $\tau\text{exec-move1}$ )+
  done
next
  case (Red1CallNull vs)
    note [simp] =  $\langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle obj' = \text{null} \rangle \langle ps = \text{map Val vs} \rangle \langle e' = \text{THROW NullPointer} \rangle$ 
  from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ obj \ h \ (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 obj)}, \text{None})$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ (obj \cdot M'(\text{map Val vs})) \ h \ (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 obj)}, \text{None})$ 
    by-(rule Call-τExecrI1)
  also have  $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-a } P \ t \ (\text{map Val vs}) \ h \ ([\text{Null}], \text{loc}, 0, \text{None}) \ (\text{rev vs}, \text{loc}, \text{length (compEs2 (map Val vs))}, \text{None})$ 
proof(cases vs)
  case Nil thus ?thesis by(auto)
next
  case Cons
  with bisims1-refl[of P h map Val vs loc, simplified] show ?thesis
    by -(drule bisims1-Val-τExec-moves, auto)
qed
from Call-τExecrI2[OF this, of obj M' Null]
have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ (obj \cdot M'(\text{map Val vs})) \ h \ ([\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 obj)}, \text{None}) \ (\text{rev vs} @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 obj)} + \text{length (compEs2 (map Val vs))}, \text{None})$  by simp
  also (rtranclp-trans) {
    have  $\tau\text{move2 (compP2 P) } h \ (\text{rev vs} @ [\text{Null}]) \ (obj \cdot M'(\text{map Val vs})) \ (\text{length (compE2 obj)} + \text{length (compEs2 (map Val vs))}) \ \text{None}$ 
      by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    moreover have  $\text{exec-move-a } P \ t \ (obj \cdot M'(\text{map Val vs})) \ h \ (\text{rev vs} @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 obj)} + \text{length (compEs2 (map Val vs))}, \text{None}) \ \varepsilon \ h \ (\text{rev vs} @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 obj)} + \text{length (compEs2 (map Val vs))}, \lfloor \text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer} \rfloor)$ 
  
```

```

unfolding exec-move-def by(cases vs)(auto intro: exec-instr)
ultimately have  $\tau_{\text{Exec-movet-a}} P t (\text{obj}\cdot M'(\text{map Val vs})) h$  ( $\text{rev vs} @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 obj}) + \text{length} (\text{compEs2} (\text{map Val vs})), \text{None}$ ) ( $\text{rev vs} @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 obj}) + \text{length} (\text{compEs2} (\text{map Val vs})), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}]$ )
by(auto intro:  $\tau_{\text{exec-moveI}}$  simp add: compP2-def) }
also have  $\tau_{\text{move1}} P h (\text{null}\cdot M'(\text{map Val vs}))$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau_{\text{move1.simps}} \tau_{\text{moves1.simps}}$  map-eq-append-conv)
moreover have  $P, \text{obj}\cdot M'(\text{map Val vs}), h \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev vs} @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 obj}) + \text{length} (\text{compEs2} (\text{map Val vs})), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$ 
by(rule bisim1CallThrow) simp
ultimately show ?thesis using s by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex)
qed
next
case bisim1Val2 thus ?case by fastforce
next
case (bisim1New C' n xs)
have  $\tau: \neg \tau_{\text{move1}} P h (\text{new } C')$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau_{\text{move1.simps}} \tau_{\text{moves1.simps}}$ )
from ⟨True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨new C', (h, xs)⟩ –ta→ ⟨e', (h', xs')⟩⟩ show ?case
proof cases
case (Red1New a)
hence exec-meth-a (compP2 P) [New C'] [] t h ([] , xs , 0 , None) {NewHeapElem a (Class-type C')}
 $h' ([\text{Addr } a], xs, \text{Suc } 0, \text{None})$ 
and [simp]:  $e' = \text{addr } a \text{ xs}' = xs$   $ta = \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Class-type } C')\}$ 
by (auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: compP2-def simp del: fun-upd-apply cong cong del: image-cong-simp)
moreover have  $P, \text{new } C', h' \vdash (\text{addr } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], xs, \text{length} (\text{compE2} (\text{new } C')), \text{None})$ 
by(rule bisim1Val2)(simp)
moreover have  $\neg \tau_{\text{move2}} (\text{compP2 } P) h [] (\text{new } C') 0 \text{None}$  by(simp add:  $\tau_{\text{move2-iff}}$ )
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$ 
by(fastforce simp add: exec-move-def ta-upd-simps)
next
case Red1NewFail
hence exec-meth-a (compP2 P) [New C'] [] t h ([] , xs , 0 , None)  $\in h' ([] , xs , 0 , [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory}])$ 
and [simp]:  $ta = \varepsilon$   $xs' = xs$   $e' = \text{THROW OutOfMemory}$ 
by(auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: compP2-def simp del: fun-upd-apply)
moreover have  $P, \text{new } C', h' \vdash (\text{THROW OutOfMemory}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs , 0 , [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory}])$ 
by(rule bisim1NewThrow)
moreover have  $\neg \tau_{\text{move2}} (\text{compP2 } P) h [] (\text{new } C') 0 \text{None}$  by(simp add:  $\tau_{\text{move2-iff}}$ )
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce simp add: exec-move-def)
qed
next
case bisim1NewThrow thus ?case by fastforce
next
case (bisim1NewArray E n e xs stk loc pc xcp U)
note IH = bisim1NewArray.IH(2)
note bisim = ⟨P, E, h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)⟩
note red = ⟨True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨newA U[e], (h, xs)⟩ –ta→ ⟨e', (h', xs')⟩⟩
note bsok = ⟨bsok (newA U[E]) n⟩
from red show ?case
proof cases
case (New1ArrayRed ee')
note [simp] = ⟨e' = newA U[ee']⟩

```

and $\text{red} = \langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle ee', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$
from red **have** $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{newA } U[e]) = \tau\text{move1 } P h e$ **by**(auto simp add: $\tau\text{move1.simps}$
 $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$)
moreover from red **have** $\text{call1 } (\text{newA } U[e]) = \text{call1 } e$ **by** auto
moreover from $IH[O\Gamma \text{ red}]$ **bsok**
obtain $pc'' \text{ stk'' loc'' } xc'' \text{ where bisim: } P, E, h' \vdash (ee', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xc'')$
and $\text{redo}: ?\text{exec } ta E e ee' h \text{ stk loc pc } xc' h' pc'' \text{ stk'' loc'' } xc'' \text{ by } auto$
from bisim
have $P, \text{newA } U[E], h' \vdash (\text{newA } U[ee'], xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xc'')$
by(rule $\text{bisim1-bisims1.bisim1NewArray}$)
moreover {
assume $\text{no-call2 } E pc$
hence $\text{no-call2 } (\text{newA } U[E]) pc$ **by**(auto simp add: no-call2-def) **}**
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** redo
by(auto simp del: $\text{call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split}$)(blast intro: $\text{NewArray-}\tau\text{ExecrI NewArray-}\tau\text{ExecI exec-move-newArrayI}$)
next
case ($\text{Red1NewArray } i a$)
note [simp] = $\langle e = \text{Val } (\text{Intg } i) \rangle \langle ta = \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Array-type } U \text{ (nat (sint } i))\} \rangle \langle e' = \text{addr } a \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$
and $\text{new} = \langle (h', a) \in \text{allocate } h \text{ (Array-type } U \text{ (nat (sint } i)) \rangle$
from bisim **have** $s: xc = \text{None}$ $xs = \text{loc}$ **by**(auto dest: $\text{bisim-Val-loc-eq-xc-None}$)
from bisim **have** $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (\text{stk, loc, pc, } xc) ([\text{Intg } i], \text{loc, length (compE2 } E), \text{None})$
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{newA } U[E]) h (\text{stk, loc, pc, } xc) ([\text{Intg } i], \text{loc, length (compE2 } E), \text{None})$
by(rule $\text{NewArray-}\tau\text{ExecrI}$)
moreover from $\text{new} \langle 0 \leq s i \rangle$
have $\text{exec-move-a } P t (\text{newA } U[E]) h ([\text{Intg } i], \text{loc, length (compE2 } E), \text{None}) \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Array-type } U \text{ (nat (sint } i))\} h' ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc, Suc (length (compE2 } E)), \text{None})$
by(auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: $\text{compP2-def exec-move-def cong del: image-cong-simp}$)
moreover have $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Intg } i] (\text{newA } U[E]) (\text{length (compE2 } E)) \text{ None} \Rightarrow \text{False}$ **by**(simp add: $\tau\text{move2-iff}$)
moreover have $\neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{newA } U[\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)])$ **by**(auto simp add: $\tau\text{move1.simps}$ $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$)
moreover have $P, \text{newA } U[E], h' \vdash (\text{addr } a, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc, length (compE2 } (\text{newA } U[E]))), \text{None}$
by(rule bisim1Val2) **simp**
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** s **by**(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case ($\text{Red1NewArrayNegative } i$)
note [simp] = $\langle e = \text{Val } (\text{Intg } i) \rangle \langle e' = \text{THROW NegativeArraySize} \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle$
have $\neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{newA } U[\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)])$ **by**(auto simp add: $\tau\text{move1.simps}$ $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$)
moreover from bisim **have** $s: xc = \text{None}$ $xs = \text{loc}$
and $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (\text{stk, loc, pc, } xc) ([\text{Intg } i], \text{loc, length (compE2 } E), \text{None})$
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
moreover from $\langle i < s 0 \rangle$
have $\text{exec-meth-a } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{compE2 } (\text{newA } U[E])) (\text{compxE2 } (\text{newA } U[E]) 0 0) t h ([\text{Intg } i], \text{loc, length (compE2 } E), \text{None}) \varepsilon h ([\text{Intg } i], \text{loc, length (compE2 } E), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NegativeArraySize}])$
by -(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def)
moreover have $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Intg } i] (\text{newA } U[E]) (\text{length (compE2 } E)) \text{ None} \Rightarrow \text{False}$ **by**(simp add: $\tau\text{move2-iff}$)
moreover
have $P, \text{newA } U[E], h \vdash (\text{THROW NegativeArraySize}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Intg } i], \text{loc, length (compE2 } E),$

```

[addr-of-sys-xcpt NegativeArraySize])
  by(auto intro!: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1NewArrayFail)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s
    by(auto simp add: exec-move-def)(blast intro: NewArray-τ ExecrI)
next
  case (Red1NewArrayFail i)
  note [simp] = ‹e = Val (Intg i)› ‹e' = THROW OutOfMemory› ‹xs' = xs› ‹ta = ε› ‹h' = h›
    and new = ‹allocate h (Array-type U (nat (sint i))) = {}›
  have ¬ τmove1 P h (newA U[Val (Intg i)]) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  moreover from bisim have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and τExec-mover-a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Intg i], loc, length (compE2 E), None)
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  moreover from ‹0 <= s i› new
  have exec-meth-a (compP2 P) (compE2 (newA U[E])) (compxE2 (newA U[E]) 0 0) t h ([Intg i],
    loc, length (compE2 E), None) ε h' ([Intg i], loc, length (compE2 E), [addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory])
    by -(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def)
  moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Intg i] (newA U[E]) (length (compE2 E)) None ==>
    False by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover
    have P,newA U[E],h' ⊢ (THROW OutOfMemory, loc) ↔ ([Intg i], loc, length (compE2 E),
    [addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory])
      by(auto intro!: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1NewArrayFail)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by (auto simp add: exec-move-def)(blast intro: NewArray-τ ExecrI)
next
  case (New1ArrayThrow a)
  note [simp] = ‹e = Throw a› ‹h' = h› ‹xs' = xs› ‹ta = ε› ‹e' = Throw a›
  have τ: τmove1 P h (newA U[e]) by(auto intro: τmove1NewArrayThrow)
  from bisim have xcp = [a] ∨ xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  thus ?thesis
  proof
    assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
    with bisim have P,newA U[E], h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1NewArrayThrow)
    thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)
  next
  assume [simp]: xcp = None
  with bisim obtain pc'
    where τExec-mover-a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
      and bisim': P, E, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a]) and [simp]: xs = loc
        by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τ Exec-mover)
    hence τExec-mover-a P t (newA U[E]) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
      by-(rule NewArray-τ ExecrI)
    moreover from bisim' have P, newA U[E], h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1NewArrayThrow)
    ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto
  qed
qed
next
  case bisim1NewArrayThrow thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1NewArrayFail thus ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1Cast E n e xs stk loc pc xcp U)
  note IH = bisim1Cast.IH(2)

```

```

note bisim =  $\langle P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
note red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Cast } U e, (h, xs) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok} (\text{Cast } U E) n \rangle$ 
from red show ?case
proof cases
  case ( $\text{Cast1Red } ee'$ )
  note [simp] =  $\langle e' = \text{Cast } U ee' \rangle$ 
    and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle ee', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
    from red have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{Cast } U e) = \tau\text{move1 } P h e$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps}$ 
 $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
    moreover from red have  $\text{call1 } (\text{Cast } U e) = \text{call1 } e$  by auto
    moreover from IH[ $\text{OF red}$ ] bsok
    obtain  $pc'' \text{ } stk'' \text{ } loc'' \text{ } xcp''$  where bisim:  $P, E, h' \vdash (ee', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      and redo: ?exec ta E e ee' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
    from bisim
    have  $P, \text{Cast } U E, h' \vdash (\text{Cast } U ee', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Cast)
    moreover {
      assume no-call2 E pc
      hence no-call2 ( $\text{Cast } U E$ ) pc by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
    ultimately show ?thesis using redo
      by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
 $\text{Cast-}\tau\text{ExecrI } \text{Cast-}\tau\text{ExecrI exec-move-CastI}$ )
  next
    case ( $\text{Red1Cast } c \text{ } U'$ )
    hence [simp]:  $e = \text{Val } c \text{ } ta = \varepsilon \text{ } e' = \text{Val } c \text{ } h' = h \text{ } xs' = xs$ 
      and type:  $\text{typeof}_h c = \lfloor U' \rfloor \text{ } P \vdash U' \leq U$  by auto
    from bisim have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$  by(auto dest: bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None)
    from bisim have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([c], loc, length (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{Cast } U E) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([c], loc, length (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
      by(rule Cast- $\tau\text{ExecrI}$ )
    moreover from type
    have exec-meth-a ( $\text{compP2 } P$ ) ( $\text{compE2 } (\text{Cast } U E)$ ) ( $\text{compxE2 } (\text{Cast } U E) \text{ } 0 \text{ } 0$ ) t h ([c], loc,
length ( $\text{compE2 } E$ ), None)  $\varepsilon h' ([c], loc, \text{Suc} (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E)), \text{None})$ 
      by(auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: compP2-def)
    moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [c] (\text{Cast } U E) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E)) \text{None}$  by(simp add:
 $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
    ultimately have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{Cast } U E) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([c], loc, \text{Suc} (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E)), \text{None})$ 
      by(fastforce elim: rtrancp.rtranci-into-rtranci intro:  $\tau\text{exec-moveI}$  simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def)
    moreover have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{Cast } U (\text{Val } c))$  by(rule  $\tau\text{move1CastRed}$ )
    moreover
    have  $P, \text{Cast } U E, h' \vdash (\text{Val } c, loc) \leftrightarrow ([c], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (\text{Cast } U E)), \text{None})$ 
      by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using s by(auto simp add: exec-move-def)
  next
    case ( $\text{Red1CastFail } v \text{ } U'$ )
    note [simp] =  $\langle e = \text{Val } v \rangle \langle e' = \text{THROW ClassCast} \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle$ 
    moreover from bisim have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
      and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{Cast } U E) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
      by(auto elim: Cast- $\tau\text{ExecrI}$ )

```

```

moreover from <typeofhp(h, xs) v = ⌊U'⌋> ⊢ P ⊢ U' ≤ U
  have exec-meth-a (compP2 P) (compE2 (Cast U E)) (compxE2 (Cast U E) 0 0) t h ([v], loc,
length (compE2 E), None) ∈ h ([v], loc, length (compE2 E), [addr-of-sys-xcpt ClassCast])
    by -(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def)
  moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (Cast U E) (length (compE2 E)) None by(simp add:
τmove2-iff)
    ultimately have τExec-movet-a P t (Cast U E) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 E),
[addr-of-sys-xcpt ClassCast])
      by(fastforce simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp1 τexec-moveI)
    moreover have τmove1 P h (Cast U (Val v)) by(rule τmove1CastRed)
    moreover
      have P, Cast U E, h ⊢ (THROW ClassCast, loc) ↔ ([v], loc, length (compE2 E), [addr-of-sys-xcpt
ClassCast])
        by(auto intro!: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CastFail)
      ultimately show ?thesis using s by(auto simp add: exec-move-def)
next
  case [simp]: (Cast1Throw a)
    have τ: τmove1 P h (Cast U e) by(auto intro: τmove1CastThrow)
    from bisim have xcp = ⌊a⌋ ∨ xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
    thus ?thesis
  proof
    assume [simp]: xcp = ⌊a⌋
    with bisim have P, Cast U E, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CastThrow)
    thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)
  next
    assume [simp]: xcp = None
    with bisim obtain pc'
      where τExec-mover-a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', ⌊a⌋)
      and bisim': P, E, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', ⌊a⌋) and [simp]: xs = loc
        by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
      hence τExec-mover-a P t (Cast U E) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', ⌊a⌋)
        by-(rule Cast-τExecrI)
      moreover from bisim' have P, Cast U E, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', ⌊a⌋)
        by-(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CastThrow, auto)
      ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto
  qed
qed
next
  case bisim1CastThrow thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1CastFail thus ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1InstanceOf E n e xs stk loc pc xcp U)
  note IH = bisim1InstanceOf(2)
  note bisim = <P,E,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note red = <True,P,t ⊢ 1 <e instanceof U,(h, xs)> -ta→ <e',(h', xs')>>
  note bsok = <bsok (E instanceof U) n>
  from red show ?case
  proof cases
    case (InstanceOf1Red ee')
      note [simp] = <e' = ee' instanceof U>
      and red = <True,P,t ⊢ 1 <e,(h, xs)> -ta→ <ee',(h', xs')>>
      from red have τmove1 P h (e instanceof U) = τmove1 P h e by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps

```

```

 $\tau moves1.simps$ 
moreover from red have call1 (e instanceof U) = call1 e by auto
moreover from IH[OF red] bsok
obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcpx'' where bisim: P,E,h' ⊢ (ee', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcpx'')
  and redo: ?exec ta E e ee' h stk loc pc xcpx h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcpx'' by auto
from bisim
have P,E instanceof U,h' ⊢ (ee' instanceof U, xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcpx'')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1InstanceOf)
moreover {
  assume no-call2 E pc
  hence no-call2 (E instanceof U) pc by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
ultimately show ?thesis using redo
  by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro: InstanceOf-τ ExecrI InstanceOf-τ ExecI exec-move-InstanceOfI)+

next
  case (Red1InstanceOf c U' b)
  hence [simp]: e = Val c ta = ε e' = Val (Bool (c ≠ Null ∧ P ⊢ U' ≤ U)) h' = h xs' = xs
    b = (c ≠ Null ∧ P ⊢ U' ≤ U)
    and type: typeof_h c = ⌊ U' ⌋ by auto
  from bisim have s: xcpx = None xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcpx-None)
  from bisim have τ Exec-mover-a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcpx) ([c], loc, length (compE2 E), None)
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence τ Exec-mover-a P t (E instanceof U) h (stk, loc, pc, xcpx) ([c], loc, length (compE2 E), None)
    by(rule InstanceOf-τ ExecrI)
  moreover from type
    have exec-meth-a (compP2 P) (compE2 (E instanceof U)) (compxE2 (E instanceof U) 0 0) t h
      ([c], loc, length (compE2 E), None) ε h' ([Bool b], loc, Suc (length (compE2 E)), None)
      by(auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: compP2-def)
    moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [c] (E instanceof U) (length (compE2 E)) None by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    ultimately have τ Exec-mover-a P t (E instanceof U) h (stk, loc, pc, xcpx) ([Bool b], loc, Suc (length (compE2 E)), None)
      by(fastforce elim: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl intro: τ exec-moveI simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def)
    moreover have τmove1 P h ((Val c) instanceof U) by(rule τmove1InstanceOfRed)
    moreover
      have P, E instanceof U, h' ⊢ (Val (Bool b), loc) ↔ ([Bool b], loc, length (compE2 (E instanceof U)), None)
        by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
      ultimately show ?thesis using s by(auto simp add: exec-move-def)

next
  case (InstanceOf1Throw a)
  note [simp] = ⟨e = Throw a⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩ ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨e' = Throw a⟩
  have τ: τmove1 P h (e instanceof U) by(auto intro: τmove1InstanceOfThrow)
  from bisim have xcpx = ⌊ a ⌋ ∨ xcpx = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  thus ?thesis

proof
  assume [simp]: xcpx = ⌊ a ⌋
  with bisim have P,E instanceof U, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcpx)
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1InstanceOfThrow)
  thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)

next
  assume [simp]: xcpx = None
  with bisim obtain pc'
    where τ Exec-mover-a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', ⌊ a ⌋)

```

```

and bisim':  $P, E, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', [a])$  and [simp]:  $xs = loc$ 
by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau$ -Exec-mover)
hence  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a  $P t (E \text{ instanceof } U) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', [a])$ 
by-(rule InstanceOf- $\tau$ -ExecI)
moreover from bisim' have  $P, E \text{ instanceof } U, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', [a])$ 
by-(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1InstanceOfThrow, auto)
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
qed
qed
next
case bisim1InstanceOfThrow thus ?case by auto
next
case bisim1Val thus ?case by fastforce
next
case (bisim1Var V n xs)
from <True,P,t  $\vdash 1 \langle \text{Var } V, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle$  show ?case
proof cases
case (Red1Var v)
hence exec-meth-a (compP2 P) [Load V] [] t h ([][], xs, 0, None)  $\in h ([v], xs, 1, None)$ 
and [simp]: ta = ε h' = h xs' = xs e' = Val v
by(auto intro: exec-instr)
moreover have  $\tau$ -move2 (compP2 P) h [] (Var V) 0 None by(simp add:  $\tau$ -move2-iff)
ultimately have  $\tau$ -Exec-movet-a  $P t (\text{Var } V) h ([][], xs, 0, None) ([v], xs, 1, None)$ 
by(auto intro:  $\tau$ -Exec1step simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def)
moreover have P, Var V, h  $\vdash (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (\text{Var } V)), None)$ 
by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
moreover have  $\tau$ -move1 P h (Var V) by(rule  $\tau$ -move1Var)
ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce)
qed
next
case (bisim1BinOp1 e1 n e1' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 bop)
note IH1 = bisim1BinOp1.IH(2)
note IH2 = bisim1BinOp1.IH(4)
note bisim1 = <P,e1,h  $\vdash (e1', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$ >
note bisim2 = < $\bigwedge$ xs. P,e2,h  $\vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs, 0, None)$ >
note bsok = <bsok (e1 «bop» e2) n>
from <True,P,t  $\vdash 1 \langle e1' «bop» e2, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle$  show ?case
proof cases
case (Bin1OpRed1 E')
note [simp] = <e' = E' «bop» e2>
and red = <True,P,t  $\vdash 1 \langle e1', (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle$ >
from red have  $\tau$ -move1 P h (e1' «bop» e2) =  $\tau$ -move1 P h e1' by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ -move1.simps
 $\tau$ -moves1.simps)
moreover from red have call1 (e1' «bop» e2) = call1 e1' by auto
moreover from IH1[OF red] bsok
obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' where bisim: P,e1,h'  $\vdash (E', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
and redo: ?exec ta e1 e1' E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
from bisim
have P,e1 «bop» e2,h'  $\vdash (E' «bop» e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp1)
moreover {
assume no-call2 e1 pc
hence no-call2 (e1 «bop» e2) pc ∨ pc = length (compE2 e1) by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
ultimately show ?thesis using redo

```

```

by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
BinOp- $\tau$ ExecrI1 BinOp- $\tau$ ExecI1 exec-move-BinOpI1) +
next
  case (Bin1OpRed2 E' v)
  note [simp] = ‹e1' = Val v› ‹e' = Val v «bop» E'›
  and red = ‹True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨e2, (h, xs)⟩ − ta → ⟨E', (h', xs')⟩›
  from red have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move1 P h (Val v «bop» e2) =  $\tau$ move1 P h e2 by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ move1.simps
 $\tau$ moves1.simps)
  from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and exec1:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], xs, length (compE2 e1), None)
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  from exec1 have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], xs, length (compE2
e1), None)
    by(rule BinOp- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
  moreover
  from IH2[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' 
    where bisim': P, e2, h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
    and exec': ?exec ta e2 e2 E' h [] xs 0 None h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
    have ?exec ta (e1 «bop» e2) (Val v «bop» e2) (Val v «bop» E') h ([] @ [v]) xs (length (compE2 e1) +
0) None h' (length (compE2 e1) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v]) loc'' xcp''
    proof(cases  $\tau$ move1 P h (Val v «bop» e2))
      case True
        with exec'  $\tau$  have [simp]: h = h' and e: sim-move e2 E' P t e2 h ([] @ [v], xs, 0, None) (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'') by auto
          from e have sim-move (Val v «bop» e2) (Val v «bop» E') P t (e1 «bop» e2) h ([] @ [v], xs, length
(compE2 e1) + 0, None) (stk'' @ [v], loc'', length (compE2 e1) + pc'', xcp'')
          by(fastforce dest: BinOp- $\tau$ ExecrI2 BinOp- $\tau$ ExecI2)
        with True show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case False
        with exec'  $\tau$  obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
          where e:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h ([] @ [v], xs, 0, None) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
          and e': exec-move-a P t e2 h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
          and  $\tau'$ :  $\neg$   $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk' e2 pc' xcp'
          and call: call1 e2 = None  $\vee$  no-call2 e2 0  $\vee$  pc' = 0  $\wedge$  stk' = []  $\wedge$  loc' = xs  $\wedge$  xcp' = None by
          auto
          from e have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h ([] @ [v], xs, length (compE2 e1) + 0, None)
          (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp') by(rule BinOp- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
          moreover from e' have exec-move-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) +
pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @ [v], loc'', length (compE2 e1) + pc'', xcp'')
          by(rule exec-move-BinOpI2)
          moreover from e' have pc' < length (compE2 e2) by auto
          with  $\tau'$  e' have  $\neg$   $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h (stk' @ [v]) (e1 «bop» e2) (length (compE2 e1) + pc')
          xcp'
            by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ instr-stk-drop-exec-move  $\tau$ move2-iff)
            moreover from red have call1 (e1 «bop» e2) = call1 e2 by(auto)
            moreover have no-call2 e2 0  $\implies$  no-call2 (e1 «bop» e2) (length (compE2 e1))
            by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
            ultimately show ?thesis using False call
            by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps) blast
      qed
      moreover from bisim'
      have P, e1 «bop» e2, h' ⊢ (Val v «bop» E', xs') ↔ ((stk'' @ [v]), loc'', length (compE2 e1) + pc'',

```

```

 $xcp'')$ 
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp2)
  moreover from bisim1 have  $pc \neq length (compE2 e1) \rightarrow no-call2 (e1 \ll bop \gg e2) pc$ 
    by(auto simp add: no-call2-def dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau exec1 s$ 
    apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
    apply(blast intro:  $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-trans}$ |fastforce elim!:  $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-trans}$  simp del: split-paired-Ex
call1.simps calls1.simps)+
  done
next
  case (Red1BinOp v1 v2 v)
  note [simp] =  $\langle e1' = Val v1 \rangle \langle e2 = Val v2 \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = Val v \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
    and binop =  $\langle binop bop v1 v2 = [Inl v] \rangle$ 
  have  $\tau: \tau move1 P h (Val v1 \ll bop \gg Val v2)$  by(rule  $\tau move1BinOp$ )
  from bisim1 have s:  $xcp = None$   $xs = loc$ 
    and  $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v1], loc, length (compE2 e1), None)$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence  $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t (e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v1], loc, length (compE2 e1),$ 
None)
      by-(rule BinOp- $\tau ExecrI1$ )
    also have  $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h [v1] (e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2) (length (compE2 e1) + 0) None$ 
      by(rule  $\tau move2BinOp2$ )(rule  $\tau move2Val$ )
    with binop have  $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t (e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2) h ([v1], loc, length (compE2 e1), None)$ 
([v2, v1], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), None)
      by-(rule  $\tau Execr1step$ , auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def)
    also ( $rtranclp\text{-trans}$ ) from binop
    have exec-meth-a ( $compP2 P$ ) ( $compE2 (e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2)$ ) ( $compxE2 (e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2) 0 0$ ) t
      h ([v2, v1], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), None)  $\varepsilon$ 
      h ([v], loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1))), None)
      by-(rule exec-instr, auto)
    moreover have  $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h [v2, v1] (e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2) (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))$ 
None by(simp add:  $\tau move2\text{-iff}$ )
    ultimately have  $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t (e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, Suc (Suc$ 
(length (compE2 e1))), None)
      by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl  $\tau exec\text{-move}I$  simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def)
    moreover
    have  $P, e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2, h \vdash (Val v, loc) \leftrightarrow ([v], loc, length (compE2 (e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2)), None)$ 
      by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by auto
next
  case (Red1BinOpFail v1 v2 a)
  note [simp] =  $\langle e1' = Val v1 \rangle \langle e2 = Val v2 \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = Throw a \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
    and binop =  $\langle binop bop v1 v2 = [Inr a] \rangle$ 
  have  $\tau: \tau move1 P h (Val v1 \ll bop \gg Val v2)$  by(rule  $\tau move1BinOp$ )
  from bisim1 have s:  $xcp = None$   $xs = loc$ 
    and  $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v1], loc, length (compE2 e1), None)$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence  $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t (e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v1], loc, length (compE2 e1),$ 
None)
      by-(rule BinOp- $\tau ExecrI1$ )
    also have  $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h [v1] (e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2) (length (compE2 e1) + 0) None$ 
      by(rule  $\tau move2BinOp2$ )(rule  $\tau move2Val$ )
    with binop have  $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t (e1 \ll bop \gg Val v2) h ([v1], loc, length (compE2 e1), None)$ 
([v2, v1], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), None)
  
```

```

by-(rule  $\tau Execr1step$ , auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def)
also (rtranclp-trans) from binop
have exec-meth-a (compP2 P) (compE2 (e1 « bop » Val v2)) (compxE2 (e1 « bop » Val v2) 0 0) t
    h ([v2, v1], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), None) ε
    h ([v2, v1], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), [a])
by-(rule exec-instr, auto)
moreover have  $\tau move2$  (compP2 P) h [v2, v1] (e1 « bop » Val v2) (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))
None by(simp add:  $\tau move2$ -iff)
ultimately have  $\tau Exec\text{-}movet\text{-}a$  P t (e1 « bop » Val v2) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v2, v1], loc, Suc
(length (compE2 e1)), [a])
by(fastforce intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp1  $\tau exec\text{-}moveI$  simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def)
moreover
have P, e1 « bop » Val v2, h ⊢ (Throw a, loc) ↔ ([v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length
(compE2 (Val v2)), [a])
by(rule bisim1BinOpThrow)
ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by auto
next
case (Bin1OpThrow1 a)
note [simp] =  $\langle e1' = Throw a \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = Throw a \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
have  $\tau: \tau move1$  P h (Throw a « bop » e2) by(rule  $\tau move1$ BinOpThrow1)
from bisim1 have xcp = [a] ∨ xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
with bisim1 have P, e1 « bop » e2, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
next
assume [simp]: xcp = None
with bisim1 obtain pc' where  $\tau Exec\text{-}mover\text{-}a$  P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc',
[a])
    and bisim': P, e1, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
    and [simp]: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau Exec\text{-}mover$ )
hence  $\tau Exec\text{-}mover\text{-}a$  P t (e1 « bop » e2) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
    by-(rule BinOp- $\tau ExecrI1$ )
moreover from bisim'
have P, e1 « bop » e2, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOpThrow1)
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
qed
next
case (Bin1OpThrow2 v a)
note [simp] =  $\langle e1' = Val v \rangle \langle e2 = Throw a \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = Throw a \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and  $\tau Exec\text{-}mover\text{-}a$  P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e1), None)
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau Exec\text{-}mover\text{-}a$  P t (e1 « bop » Throw a) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e1),
None)
by-(rule BinOp- $\tau ExecrI1$ )
also have  $\tau Exec\text{-}mover\text{-}a$  P t (e1 « bop » Throw a) h ([v], loc, length (compE2 e1), None) ([Addr a,
v], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), [a])
by(rule  $\tau Execr2step$ )(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr  $\tau move2$ -iff  $\tau move1$ .simps
 $\tau moves1$ .simps)

```

```

also (rtranclp-trans)
have P,e1 «bop» Throw a,h ⊢ (Throw a, loc) ↔ ([Addr a] @ [v], loc, (length (compE2 e1) + length
(compE2 (addr a))), [a])
  by(rule bisim1BinOpThrow2[OF bisim1Throw2])
moreover have τmove1 P h (e1' «bop» e2) by(auto intro: τmove1BinOpThrow2)
ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
qed
next
case (bisim1BinOp2 e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc xcp e1 bop v1)
note IH2 = bisim1BinOp2.IH(2)
note bisim1 = ⟨Axs. P,e1,h ⊢ (e1, xs) ↔ ([][], xs, 0, None)⟩
note bisim2 = ⟨P,e2,h ⊢ (e2', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)⟩
note red = ⟨True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨Val v1 «bop» e2',(h, xs)⟩ -ta→ ⟨e',(h', xs')⟩⟩
note bsok = ⟨bsok (e1 «bop» e2) n⟩
from red show ?case
proof cases
  case (Bin1OpRed2 E')
    note [simp] = ⟨e' = Val v1 «bop» E'⟩
    and red = ⟨True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e2',(h, xs)⟩ -ta→ ⟨E',(h', xs')⟩⟩
    from IH2[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
    where bisim': P,e2,h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
    and exec': ?exec ta e2 e2' E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
    from red have τ: τmove1 P h (Val v1 «bop» e2') = τmove1 P h e2' by(auto simp add:
    τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
    have no-call2 e2 pc ==> no-call2 (e1 «bop» e2) (length (compE2 e1) + pc) by(auto simp add:
    no-call2-def)
    hence ?exec ta (e1 «bop» e2) (Val v1 «bop» e2') (Val v1 «bop» E') h (stk @ [v1]) loc (length (compE2
    e1) + pc) xcp h' (length (compE2 e1) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v1]) loc'' xcp'' using exec' τ
    apply(cases τmove1 P h (Val v1 «bop» e2'))
    apply(auto)
    apply(blast intro: BinOp-τExecrI2 BinOp-τExecrI2 exec-move-BinOpI2)
    apply(blast intro: BinOp-τExecrI2 BinOp-τExecrI2 exec-move-BinOpI2)
    apply(rule exI conjI BinOp-τExecrI2 exec-move-BinOpI2|assumption)+
    apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)
    apply(rule exI conjI BinOp-τExecrI2 exec-move-BinOpI2|assumption)+
    apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)
    apply(rule exI conjI BinOp-τExecrI2 exec-move-BinOpI2 rtranclp.rtrancl-refl|assumption)+
    apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)+ done
    moreover from bisim'
    have P,e1 «bop» e2,h' ⊢ (Val v1 «bop» E', xs') ↔ (stk'' @ [v1], loc'', length (compE2 e1) + pc'', xcp'')
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp2)
    ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto blast+
next
case (Red1BinOp v2 v)
  note [simp] = ⟨e2' = Val v2⟩ ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨e' = Val v⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩
  and binop = ⟨binop bop v1 v2 = [Inl v]⟩
  have τ: τmove1 P h (Val v1 «bop» Val v2) by(rule τmove1BinOp)
  from bisim2 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
  and τExec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v2], loc, length (compE2 e2), None)
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ([v2] @
  [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)

```

```

by-(rule BinOp- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
moreover from binop
have exec-move-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h ([v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2),
None)  $\varepsilon$ 
          h ([v], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)), None)
unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto)
moreover have  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [v2, v1] (e1 «bop» e2) (length (compE2 e1) + length
(compE2 e2)) None
          by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
ultimately have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc,
xcp) ([v], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)), None)
          by(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl  $\tau$ exec-moveI simp add: compP2-def)
moreover
have P, e1 «bop» e2, h  $\vdash$  (Val v, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([v], loc, length (compE2 (e1 «bop» e2)), None)
          by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by(auto)
next
case (Red1BinOpFail v2 a)
note [simp] =  $\langle e2' = Val v2 \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = Throw a \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
and binop =  $\langle binop bop v1 v2 = [Inr a] \rangle$ 
have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move1 P h (Val v1 «bop» Val v2) by(rule  $\tau$ move1BinOp)
from bisim2 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
and  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v2], loc, length (compE2 e2), None)
          by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ([v2] @
[v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)
          by-(rule BinOp- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
moreover from binop
have exec-move-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h ([v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2),
None)  $\varepsilon$ 
          h ([v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), [a])
unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto)
moreover have  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [v2, v1] (e1 «bop» e2) (length (compE2 e1) + length
(compE2 e2)) None
          by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
ultimately have  $\tau$ Exec-movet-a P t (e1 «bop» e2) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc,
xcp) ([v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), [a])
          by(auto intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp1  $\tau$ exec-moveI simp add: compP2-def)
moreover
have P, e1 «bop» e2, h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2
e2), [a])
          by(rule bisim1BinOpThrow)
ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by(auto)
next
case (Bin1OpThrow2 a)
note [simp] =  $\langle e2' = Throw a \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle \langle e' = Throw a \rangle$ 
have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move1 P h (Val v1 «bop» Throw a) by(rule  $\tau$ move1BinOpThrow2)
from bisim2 have xcp = [a]  $\vee$  xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
with bisim2
have P, e1 «bop» e2, h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp)
          by(auto intro: bisim1BinOpThrow2)

```

```

thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)
next
assume [simp]:  $xcp = \text{None}$ 
with  $\text{bisim2}$  obtain  $pc'$ 
  where  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', [a])$ 
    and  $\text{bisim}' : P, e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', [a])$  and [simp]:  $xs = loc$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner e2) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a] @ [v1], loc, length (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc', [a])$ 
    by-(rule BinOp-τExecrI2)
  moreover from  $\text{bisim}'$ 
    have  $P, e1 \llcorner bop \lrcorner e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a] @ [v1], loc, length (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc', [a])$ 
      by-(rule bisim1BinOpThrow2, auto)
    ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto
qed
qed auto
next
case  $\text{bisim1BinOpThrow1}$  thus ?case by fastforce
next
case  $\text{bisim1BinOpThrow2}$  thus ?case by fastforce
next
case  $\text{bisim1BinOpThrow}$  thus ?case by fastforce
next
case ( $\text{bisim1LAss1 } E n e xs stk loc pc xcp V$ )
note  $IH = \text{bisim1LAss1}.IH(2)$ 
note  $\text{bisim} = \langle P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
note  $\text{red} = \langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle V := e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
note  $\text{bsok} = \langle \text{bsok } (V := E) n \rangle$ 
from  $\text{red}$  show ?case
proof cases
  case ( $LAss1Red ee'$ )
    note [simp] =  $\langle e' = V := ee' \rangle$ 
    and  $\text{red} = \langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle ee', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
  from  $\text{red}$  have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h (V := e) = \tau\text{move1 } P h e$  by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  moreover from  $\text{red}$  have  $\text{call1 } (V := e) = \text{call1 } e$  by auto
  moreover from  $IH[OF \text{red}] \text{bsok}$ 
  obtain  $pc'' \text{ stk}'' \text{ loc}'' \text{ xcp}''$  where  $\text{bisim}: P, E, h' \vdash (ee', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
    and  $\text{redo}: ?\text{exec ta } E e ee' h \text{ stk loc pc xcp } h' pc'' \text{ stk}'' \text{ loc}'' \text{ xcp}''$  by auto
  from  $\text{bisim}$ 
  have  $P, V := E, h' \vdash (V := ee', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1LAss1)
  moreover {
    assume  $\text{no-call2 } E pc$ 
    hence  $\text{no-call2 } (V := E) pc$  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
  ultimately show ?thesis using redo
    by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro: LAss-τExecrI LAss-τExecI exec-move-LAssI)+
next
case ( $Red1LAss v$ )
note [simp] =  $\langle e = \text{Val } v \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{unit} \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs[V := v] \rangle$ 
and  $V = \langle V < \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
from  $\text{bisim}$  have  $s: xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$  by(auto dest: bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None)
from  $\text{bisim}$  have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
  by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)

```

hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (V:=E) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$
by(rule LAss- τExecrI)
moreover have $\text{exec-move-a } P t (V:=E) h ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None}) \varepsilon h ([] , \text{loc}[V := v], \text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } E)), \text{None})$
using $V s$ **by**(auto intro: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def)
moreover have $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [v] (V := E) (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } E)) \text{None}$ **by**(simp add: $\tau\text{move2-iff}$)
ultimately have $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (V:=E) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([] , \text{loc}[V := v], \text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } E)), \text{None})$
by(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl $\tau\text{exec-moveI}$ simp add: compP2-def)
moreover have $\tau\text{move1 } P h (V := \text{Val } v)$ **by**(rule $\tau\text{move1LAssRed}$)
moreover have $P, V:=E, h \vdash (\text{unit}, \text{loc}[V := v]) \leftrightarrow ([] , \text{loc}[V := v], \text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } E)), \text{None})$
by(rule bisim1LAss2)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** s **by** auto
next
case (LAss1Throw a)
note [simp] = $\langle e = \text{Throw } a \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Throw } a \rangle$
have $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P h (V:=e)$ **by**(auto intro: $\tau\text{move1LAssThrow}$)
from bisim **have** $\text{xcp} = [a] \vee \text{xcp} = \text{None}$ **by**(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
assume [simp]: $\text{xcp} = [a]$
with bisim **have** $P, V:=E, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$ **by**(auto intro: bisim1LAssThrow)
thus ?thesis **using** τ **by**(fastforce)
next
assume [simp]: $\text{xcp} = \text{None}$
with bisim **obtain** pc'
where $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{pc}', [a])$
and bisim': $P, E, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{pc}', [a])$ **and** [simp]: $xs = \text{loc}$
by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau\text{Exec-mover}$)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (V:=E) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{pc}', [a])$
by-(rule LAss- τExecrI)
moreover from bisim' **have** $P, V:=E, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{pc}', [a])$
by-(rule bisim1LAssThrow, auto)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** τ **by** auto
qed
qed
next
case bisim1LAss2 **thus** ?case **by** fastforce
next
case bisim1LAssThrow **thus** ?case **by** fastforce
next
case (bisim1AAcc1 a n a' xs stk loc pc xcp i)
note IH1 = bisim1AAcc1.IH(2)
note IH2 = bisim1AAcc1.IH(4)
note bisim1 = $\langle P, a, h \vdash (a', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$
note bisim2 = $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, i, h \vdash (i, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$
note bsok = $\langle \text{bsok } (a[i]) n \rangle$
from $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a'[i], (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ **show** ?case
proof cases
case (AAcc1Red1 E')
note [simp] = $\langle e' = E'[i] \rangle$
and red = $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a', (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$

```

from red have  $\tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (a'[i]) = \tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ a' \ \text{by}(\text{auto simp add: } \tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps})$ 
  moreover from red have  $\text{call1 } (a'[i]) = \text{call1 } a' \ \text{by auto}$ 
  moreover from IH1[OF red] bsok
    obtain  $pc'' \ stk'' \ loc'' \ xcp'' \ \text{where} \ bisim: P, a, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      and  $\text{redo}: ?\text{exec } ta \ a \ a' \ E' \ h \ \text{stk loc pc } xcp \ h' \ pc'' \ stk'' \ loc'' \ xcp'' \ \text{by auto}$ 
    from bisim have  $P, a[i], h' \vdash (E'[i], xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc1)
  moreover {
    assume no-call2 a pc
    hence no-call2 (a[i]) pc  $\vee$  pc = length (compE2 a) by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
  ultimately show ?thesis using redo
    by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
  AAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI1 AAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI1 exec-move-AAccI1)+

next
  case (AAcc1Red2 E' v)
  note [simp] =  $\langle a' = \text{Val } v \rangle \langle e' = \text{Val } v[E'] \rangle$ 
  and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle i, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
  from red have  $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (\text{Val } v[i]) = \tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ i \ \text{by}(\text{auto simp add: } \tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps})$ 
  from bisim1 have s:  $xcp = \text{None } xs = \text{loc}$ 
  and exec1:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ a \ h \ (\text{stk, loc, pc, None}) ([v], xs, \text{length (compE2 a), None})$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  from exec1 have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ (a[i]) \ h \ (\text{stk, loc, pc, None}) ([v], xs, \text{length (compE2 a), None})$ 
    by(rule AAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
  moreover
  from IH2[OF red] bsok obtain  $pc'' \ stk'' \ loc'' \ xcp''$ 
    where bisim':  $P, i, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
    and exec':  $?exec \ ta \ i \ E' \ h \ [] \ xs \ 0 \ \text{None } h' \ pc'' \ stk'' \ loc'' \ xcp'' \ \text{by auto}$ 
    have  $?exec \ ta \ (a[i]) \ (\text{Val } v[i]) \ (\text{Val } v[E']) \ h \ ([] @ [v]) \ xs \ (\text{length (compE2 a)} + 0) \ \text{None } h' \ (\text{length (compE2 a)} + pc'') \ (stk'' @ [v]) \ loc'' \ xcp''$ 
    proof(cases  $\tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (\text{Val } v[i])$ )
      case True
      with exec'  $\tau$  have [simp]:  $h = h' \ \text{and} \ e: \text{sim-move } i \ E' \ P \ t \ i \ h \ ([] \ , xs, 0, \text{None}) \ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'') \ \text{by auto}$ 
        from e have sim-move (a[i]) (a[E']) P t (a[i]) h ([] @ [v], xs, length (compE2 a) + 0, None)
         $(stk'' @ [v], loc'', \text{length (compE2 a)} + pc'', xcp'')$ 
        by(fastforce dest: AAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI2 AAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
      with True show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
    with exec'  $\tau$  obtain  $pc' \ stk' \ loc' \ xcp'$ 
      where e:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ i \ h \ ([] \ , xs, 0, \text{None}) \ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
      and e': exec-move-a P t i h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp')
      and  $\tau': \neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) \ h \ stk' \ i \ pc' \ xcp'$ 
      and call:  $\text{call1 } i = \text{None} \vee \text{no-call2 } i \ 0 \vee pc' = 0 \wedge stk' = [] \wedge loc' = xs \wedge xcp' = \text{None} \ \text{by auto}$ 
      from e have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ (a[i]) \ h \ ([] @ [v], xs, \text{length (compE2 a)} + 0, \text{None}) \ (stk' @ [v], loc', \text{length (compE2 a)} + pc', xcp')$ 
        by(rule AAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
      moreover from e' have exec-move-a P t (a[i]) h (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 a) + pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @ [v], loc'', length (compE2 a) + pc'', xcp'')
        by(rule exec-move-AAccI2)
  
```

```

moreover from e' τ' have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h (stk' @ [v]) (a[i]) (length (compE2 a) +
pc') xcpx
  by(auto simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff)
moreover have call1 (a'[i]) = call1 i by simp
moreover have no-call2 i 0 ==> no-call2 (a[i]) (length (compE2 a))
  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using False call
  by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps) blast
qed
moreover from bisim'
have P,a[i],h' ⊢ (Val v[E'], xs') ↔ ((stk'' @ [v]), loc'', length (compE2 a) + pc'', xcpx')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc2)
moreover from bisim1 have pc ≠ length (compE2 a) —> no-call2 (a[i]) pc
  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
ultimately show ?thesis using τ exec1 s
  apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
  apply(blast intro: τExec-mover-trans|fastforce elim!: τExec-mover-trans simp del: split-paired-Ex
call1.simps calls1.simps)++
done
next
case (Red1AAcc A U len I v)
hence [simp]: a' = addr A e' = Val v i = Val (Intg I) h' = h xs' = xs
  ta = {ReadMem A (ACell (nat (sint I))) v}
  and hA: typeof-addr h A = [Array-type U len] and I: 0 <= I sint I < int len
  and read: heap-read h A (ACell (nat (sint I))) v by auto
have τ: ¬ τmove1 P h (addr A[Val (Intg I)]) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
from bisim1 have s: xcpx = None xs = loc
  and τExec-mover-a P t a h (stk, loc, pc, xcpx) ([Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a), None)
  by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[Val (Intg I)]) h (stk, loc, pc, xcpx) ([Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a),
None)
  by-(rule AAcc-τExecrI1)
also have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr A] (a[Val (Intg I)]) (length (compE2 a) + 0) None
  by(rule τmove2AAcc2)(rule τmove2Val)
hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[Val (Intg I)]) h ([Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a), None) ([Intg I,
Addr A], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a)), None)
  by-(rule τExecr1step, auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def)
also (rtranclp-trans) from hA I read
have exec-move-a P t (a[Val (Intg I)]) h ([Intg I, Addr A], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a)), None)
  {ReadMem A (ACell (nat (sint I))) v}
  h ([v], loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 a))), None)
unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Intg I, Addr A] (a[Val (Intg I)]) (Suc (length (compE2
a))) None ==> False
  by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover
have P, a[Val (Intg I)], h ⊢ (Val v, loc) ↔ ([v], loc, length (compE2 (a[Val (Intg I)])), None)
  by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
ultimately show ?thesis using s τ
  by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case (Red1AAccNull v)
note [simp] = ‹a' = null› ‹i = Val v› ‹ta = ε› ‹e' = THROW NullPointer› ‹h' = h› ‹xs' = xs›
from bisim1 have s: xcpx = None xs = loc

```

and $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t a h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a), \text{None})$
by(*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1 intro: AAcc- $\tau\text{ExecrI1}$*)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i]) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a), \text{None})$
by-(*rule AAcc- $\tau\text{ExecrI1}$*)
also from *bisim2[of loc]* **have** $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t i h (\[], \text{loc}, 0, \text{None}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$
by(*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1*)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i]) h (\[] @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + 0, \text{None}) ([v] @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$
by(*rule AAcc- $\tau\text{ExecrI2}$*)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i]) h ([\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a), \text{None}) ([v, \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$ **by** *simp*
also (*rtranclp-trans*) **have** $\text{exec-move-a } P t (a[i]) h ([v, \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None}) \varepsilon h ([v, \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$
unfolding *exec-move-def* **by**-(*rule exec-instr, auto*)
moreover have $\neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [v, \text{Null}] (a[i]) (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i)) \text{None}$
by(*simp add: $\tau\text{move2-iff}$*)
moreover have $\neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (a'[i])$ **by**(*auto simp add: $\tau\text{move1.simps}$ $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$*)
moreover
have $P, a[i], h \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v, \text{Null}], xs, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$
by(*rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAccFail*)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** *s* **by** *auto blast*
next
case (*Red1AAccBounds A U len I*)
hence [*simp*]: $a' = \text{addr } A \ e' = \text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException } i = \text{Val } (\text{Intg } I)$
 $ta = \varepsilon \ h' = h \ xs' = xs$
and $hA: \text{typeof-addr } h A = [\text{Array-type } U \ len]$ **and** $I: I <_s 0 \vee \text{int } len \leq \text{sint } I$ **by** *auto*
from *bisim1* **have** *s*: $\text{xcp} = \text{None}$ $xs = \text{loc}$
and $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t a h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a), \text{None})$
by(*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1*)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i]) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a), \text{None})$
by-(*rule AAcc- $\tau\text{ExecrI1}$*)
also from *bisim2[of loc]* **have** $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t i h (\[], \text{loc}, 0, \text{None}) ([\text{Intg } I], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$
by(*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1*)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i]) h (\[] @ [\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + 0, \text{None}) ([\text{Intg } I] @ [\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$
by(*rule AAcc- $\tau\text{ExecrI2}$*)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i]) h ([\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a), \text{None}) ([\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$ **by** *simp*
also (*rtranclp-trans*) **from** *I ha*
have $\text{exec-move-a } P t (a[i]) h ([\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None}) \varepsilon h ([\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}])$
unfolding *exec-move-def* **by**-(*rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def*)
moreover have $\neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A] (a[i]) (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i)) \text{None}$
by(*simp add: $\tau\text{move2-iff}$*)
moreover have $\neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (a'[i])$ **by**(*auto simp add: $\tau\text{move1.simps}$ $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$*)
moreover
have $P, a[i], h \vdash (\text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], xs, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$

```

a) + length (compE2 i), [addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBounds])
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAccFail)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto blast
next
  case (AAcc1Throw1 A)
  note [simp] = <a' = Throw A > <ta = ε > <e' = Throw A > <h' = h > <xs' = xs>
  have τ: τmove1 P h (Throw A[i]) by(rule τmove1AAccThrow1)
  from bisim1 have xp = [A] ∨ xp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  thus ?thesis
proof
  assume [simp]: xp = [A]
  with bisim1 have P, a[i], h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xp)
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
  thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)
next
  assume [simp]: xp = None
  with bisim1 obtain pc' where τExec-mover-a P t a h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr A], loc, pc', [A])
  and bisim': P, a, h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ ([Addr A], loc, pc', [A])
  and [simp]: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i]) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr A], loc, pc', [A])
    by-(rule AAcc-τExecrI1)
  moreover from bisim'
  have P, a[i], h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ ([Addr A], loc, pc', [A])
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAccThrow1)
  ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto
qed
next
  case (AAcc1Throw2 v ad)
  note [simp] = <a' = Val v > <i = Throw ad > <ta = ε > <e' = Throw ad > <h' = h > <xs' = xs>
  from bisim1 have s: xp = None xs = loc
    and τExec-mover-a P t a h (stk, loc, pc, xp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 a), None)
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[Throw ad]) h (stk, loc, pc, xp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 a), None)
    by-(rule AAcc-τExecrI1)
  also have τExec-mover-a P t (a[Throw ad]) h ([v], loc, length (compE2 a), None) ([Addr ad, v], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a)), [ad])
    by(rule τExecr2step)(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr τmove2-iff τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  also (rtranclp-trans)
    have P, a[Throw ad], h ⊢ (Throw ad, loc) ↔ ([Addr ad] @ [v], loc, (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 (addr ad))), [ad])
      by(rule bisim1AAccThrow2[OF bisim1Throw2])
  moreover have τmove1 P h (a'[Throw ad]) by(auto intro: τmove1AAccThrow2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
qed
next
  case (bisim1AAcc2 i n i' xs stk loc pc xp a v1)
  note IH2 = bisim1AAcc2.IH(2)
  note bisim1 = <Axs. P, a, h ⊢ (a, xs) ↔ ([][], xs, 0, None)>
  note bisim2 = <P, i, h ⊢ (i', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xp)>
  note red = <True, P, t ⊢ 1 <Val v1[i'], (h, xs)> -ta→ <e', (h', xs')>>
  note bsok = <bsok (a[i]) n>

```

```

from red show ?case
proof cases
  case (AAcc1Red2 E')
    note [simp] = `e' = Val v1[E']`
    and red = `True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨i', (h, xs)⟩ - ta → ⟨E', (h', xs')⟩`
    from IH2[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
      where bisim': P, i, h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
      and exec': ?exec ta i i' E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
      from red have τ: τmove1 P h (Val v1[i']) = τmove1 P h i' by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
τmoves1.simps)
        have no-call2 i pc ==> no-call2 (a[i]) (length (compE2 a) + pc) by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
        hence ?exec ta (a[i]) (Val v1[i']) (Val v1[E']) h (stk @ [v1]) loc (length (compE2 a) + pc) xcp
h' (length (compE2 a) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v1]) loc'' xcp''
          using exec' τ
          apply(cases τmove1 P h (Val v1[i']))
          apply(auto)
          apply(blast intro: AAcc-τExecrI2 AAcc-τExecI2 exec-move-AAccI2)
          apply(blast intro: AAcc-τExecrI2 AAcc-τExecI2 exec-move-AAccI2)
          apply(rule exI conjI AAcc-τExecrI2 exec-move-AAccI2|assumption)+
          apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)
          apply(rule exI conjI AAcc-τExecrI2 exec-move-AAccI2|assumption)+
          apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)
          apply(rule exI conjI AAcc-τExecrI2 exec-move-AAccI2 rtranclp.rtrancl-refl|assumption)+
          apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)+
          done
        moreover from bisim'
        have P, a[i], h' ⊢ (Val v1[E'], xs') ↔ (stk'' @ [v1], loc'', length (compE2 a) + pc'', xcp'')
          by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc2)
        ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto blast+
      next
        case (Red1AAcc A U len I v)
        hence [simp]: v1 = Addr A e' = Val v i' = Val (Intg I)
          ta = `ReadMem A (ACell (nat (sint I))) v` h' = h xs' = xs
          and hA: typeof-addr h A = [Array-type U len] and I: 0 <= s I sint I < int len
          and read: heap-read h A (ACell (nat (sint I))) v by auto
        have τ: - τmove1 P h (addr A [Val (Intg I)]) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
        from bisim2 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
          and τExec-mover-a P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Intg I], loc, length (compE2 i), None)
          by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
        hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i]) h (stk @ [Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp) ([Intg I] @
[Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), None)
          by-(rule AAcc-τExecrI2)
        moreover from hA I read
          have exec-move-a P t (a[i]) h ([Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i),
None)
            `ReadMem A (ACell (nat (sint I))) v` h ([v], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i)), None)
          unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
        moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Intg I, Addr A] (a[i]) (length (compE2 a) + length
(compE2 i)) None ==> False
          by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
        moreover
        have P, a[i], h ⊢ (Val v, loc) ↔ ([v], loc, length (compE2 (a[i])), None)
          by(rule bisim1Val2) simp

```

ultimately show ?thesis using s τ **by**(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast

next

case (Red1AAccNull v)

note [simp] = ⟨v1 = Null⟩ ⟨i' = Val v⟩ ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨e' = THROW NullPointer⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩

from bisim2 **have** [simp]: xcp = None xs = loc

and τExec-mover-a P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 i), None)

by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)

hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i]) h (stk @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp) ([v] @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), None)

by-(rule AAcc-τExecrI2)

moreover have exec-move-a P t (a[i]) h ([v, Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), None) ε h ([v, Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])

unfolding exec-move-def **by**-(rule exec-instr, auto)

moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v, Null] (a[i]) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i)) None

by(simp add: τmove2-iff)

moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (null[i]) **by**(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)

moreover

have P, a[i], h ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, xs) ↔ ([v, Null], xs, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])

by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAccFail)

ultimately show ?thesis **by** auto blast

next

case (Red1AAccBounds A U len I)

hence [simp]: v1 = Addr A e' = THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException i' = Val (Intg I)

ta = ε h' = h xs' = xs

and hA: typeof-addr h A = |Array-type U len| **and** I: I < s 0 ∨ int len ≤ sint I **by** auto

from bisim2 **have** s: xcp = None xs = loc

and τExec-mover-a P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Intg I], loc, length (compE2 i), None)

by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)

hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i]) h (stk @ [Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp) ([Intg I] @ [Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), None)

by-(rule AAcc-τExecrI2)

moreover from I hA

have exec-move-a P t (a[i]) h ([Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), None) ε h ([Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), [addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException])

unfolding exec-move-def **by**-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)

moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Intg I, Addr A] (a[i]) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i)) None

by(simp add: τmove2-iff)

moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (addr A[i]) **by**(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)

moreover

have P, a[i], h ⊢ (THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException, xs) ↔ ([Intg I, Addr A], xs, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), [addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException])

by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAccFail)

ultimately show ?thesis using s **by** auto blast

next

case (AAcc1Throw2 A)

note [simp] = ⟨i' = Throw A⟩ ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨e' = Throw A⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩

have τ: τmove1 P h (Val v1 | Throw A) **by**(rule τmove1AAccThrow2)

from bisim2 **have** xcp = [A] ∨ xcp = None **by**(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)

thus ?thesis

proof

```

assume [simp]:  $xcp = \lfloor A \rfloor$ 
with bisim2
have  $P, a[i], h \vdash (\text{Throw } A, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk } @ [v1], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, xcp)$ 
  by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
  thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(auto)
next
assume [simp]:  $xcp = \text{None}$ 
with bisim2 obtain  $pc'$  where  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-}a P t i h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, pc', \lfloor A \rfloor)$ 
  and bisim':  $P, i, h \vdash (\text{Throw } A, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, pc', \lfloor A \rfloor)$ 
  and [simp]:  $xs = \text{loc}$ 
  by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau$ Exec-mover)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-}a P t (a[i]) h (\text{stk } @ [v1], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } A] @ [v1], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc', \lfloor A \rfloor)$ 
    by-(rule AAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
  moreover from bisim'
  have  $P, a[i], h \vdash (\text{Throw } A, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } A] @ [v1], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc', \lfloor A \rfloor)$ 
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAccThrow2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
qed
qed auto
next
case bisim1AAccThrow1 thus ?case by auto
next
case bisim1AAccThrow2 thus ?case by auto
next
case bisim1AAccFail thus ?case by auto
next
case (bisim1AAss1 a n a' xs stk loc pc xcp i e)
note IH1 = bisim1AAss1.IH(2)
note IH2 = bisim1AAss1.IH(4)
note IH3 = bisim1AAss1.IH(6)
note bisim1 =  $\langle P, a, h \vdash (a', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
note bisim2 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, i, h \vdash (i, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{None}, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
note bisim3 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{None}, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } (a[i] := e) n \rangle$ 
from  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a'[i] := e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$  show ?case
proof cases
  case (AAss1Red1 E')
  note [simp] =  $\langle e' = E'[i] := e \rangle$ 
  and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle a', (h, xs) \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
  from red have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h (a'[i] := e) = \tau\text{move1 } P h a'$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps}$   $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
  moreover from red have call1  $(a'[i] := e) = \text{call1 } a'$  by auto
  moreover from IH1[OF red] bsok
  obtain  $pc'' \text{stk}'' \text{loc}'' \text{xcp}''$  where bisim:  $P, a, h \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}''', \text{loc}''', pc''', xcp''')$ 
    and redo: ?exec ta a a' E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc''' stk''' loc''' xcp''' by auto
  from bisim
  have  $P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (E'[i] := e, xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}''', \text{loc}''', pc''', xcp''')$ 
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss1)
  moreover {
    assume no-call2 a pc
    hence no-call2  $(a[i] := e) pc \vee pc = \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a)$  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
  ultimately show ?thesis using redo

```

```

by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI1 AAss- $\tau$ ExecI1 exec-move-AAssI1)+

next
  case (AAss1Red2 E' v)
  note [simp] =  $\langle a' = \text{Val } v \rangle \langle e' = \text{Val } v[E'] := e \rangle$ 
  and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash_1 \langle i, (h, xs) \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
  from red have  $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{Val } v[i] := e) = \tau\text{move1 } P h i$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps}$ 
 $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
  from bisim1 have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
    and exec1:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t a h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, \text{None}) ([v], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a), \text{None})$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  from exec1 have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, \text{None}) ([v], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a),$ 
 $\text{None})$ 
    by(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
  moreover
  from IH2[ $\text{OF red}$ ] bsok obtain  $pc'' \text{ stk}'' \text{ loc}'' \text{ xcp}''$ 
    where bisim':  $P, i, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
    and exec': ?exec ta i E' h [] xs 0 None h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
    have ?exec ta (a[i] := e) ( $\text{Val } v[i] := e$ ) ( $\text{Val } v[E'] := e$ ) h ([] @ [v]) xs ( $\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) +$ 
0) None h' ( $\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc'')$  ( $\text{stk}'' @ [v]$ ) loc'' xcp''
    proof(cases  $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{Val } v[i] := e)$ )
      case True
        with exec'  $\tau$  have [simp]:  $h = h'$  and e: sim-move i E' P t i h ([] @ [v], xs, 0, None) ( $\text{stk}''$ , loc'', pc'', xcp'') by auto
          from e have sim-move (a[i] := e) (a[E'] := e) P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [v], xs, length (compE2
a) + 0, None) ( $\text{stk}'' @ [v]$ , loc'', length (compE2 a) + pc'', xcp'')
          by(fastforce dest: AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2 AAss- $\tau$ ExecI2)
        with True show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case False
        with exec'  $\tau$  obtain  $pc' \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ xcp}'$ 
          where e:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t i h ([] @ [v], xs, 0, None) (\text{stk}', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
          and e': exec-move-a P t i h ( $\text{stk}', loc', pc', xcp'$ ) (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' ( $\text{stk}''$ , loc'', pc'', xcp'')
          and  $\tau': \neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ stk}' i pc' xcp'$ 
          and call: call1 i = None  $\vee$  no-call2 i 0  $\vee$  pc' = 0  $\wedge$  stk' = []  $\wedge$  loc' = xs  $\wedge$  xcp' = None by
            auto
          from e have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [v], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + 0, \text{None}) (\text{stk}'$ 
 $@ [v], loc', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc', xcp')$  by(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
          moreover from e' have exec-move-a P t (a[i] := e) h ( $\text{stk}' @ [v]$ , loc', length (compE2 a) +
pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' ( $\text{stk}'' @ [v]$ , loc'', length (compE2 a) + pc'', xcp'')
          by(rule exec-move-AAssI2)
          moreover from e' have  $pc' < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i)$  by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases)
          with  $\tau' e'$  have  $\neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h (\text{stk}' @ [v]) (a[i] := e) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc') xcp'$ 
            by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{instr-stk-drop-exec-move }$   $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
          moreover from red have call1 (a'[i] := e) = call1 i by auto
          moreover have no-call2 i 0  $\Longrightarrow$  no-call2 (a[i] := e) ( $\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a)$ )
            by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
          ultimately show ?thesis using False call
            by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps) blast
  qed
  moreover from bisim'
  have  $P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (\text{Val } v[E'] := e, xs') \leftrightarrow ((\text{stk}'' @ [v]), loc'', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc'', xcp'')$ 
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss2)

```

moreover from bisim1 **have** $pc \neq length (compE2 a) \rightarrow no-call2 (a[i] := e) pc$
by(auto simp add: no-call2-def dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** $\tau exec1 s$
apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
apply(blast intro: $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-trans}$ | $fastforce$ elim!: $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-trans}$ simp del: split-paired-Ex
call1.simps calls1.simps)+
done

next

case (AAss1Red3 E' v v')
note [simp] = $\langle i = Val v' \rangle \langle a' = Val v \rangle \langle e' = Val v \mid Val v' \rangle := E'$
and red = $\langle True, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$
from red **have** $\tau: \tau move1 P h (Val v \mid Val v' := e) = \tau move1 P h e$ **by**(auto simp add: $\tau move1$.simp
 $\tau moves1$.simp)
from bisim1 **have** $s: xcp = None$ $xs = loc$
and exec1: $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t a h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v] @ [v], xs, length (compE2 a) + 0, None)$
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
from exec1 **have** $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t (a[i] := e) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v] @ [v], xs, length (compE2
a) + 0, None)$
by(rule AAss- $\tau ExecrI1$)
also from bisim2[of xs]
have $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t i h ([v], xs, 0, None) ([v'], xs, length (compE2 i), None)$
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t (a[i] := e) h ([v] @ [v], xs, length (compE2 a) + 0, None) ([v'] @ [v],
xs, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), None)$
by(rule AAss- $\tau ExecrI2$)
also (rtranclp-trans) **from** IH3[*OF* red] bsok **obtain** pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
where bisim': $P, e, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$
and exec': ?exec ta e e E' h [] xs 0 None h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' **by** auto
have ?exec ta (a[i] := e) ($Val v \mid Val v' := e$) ($Val v \mid Val v' := E'$) h ([v'] @ [v', v]) xs (length
($compE2 a$) + length ($compE2 i$) + 0) None h' (length ($compE2 a$) + length ($compE2 i$) + pc'') (stk''
@ [v', v]) loc'' xcp''
proof(cases $\tau move1 P h (Val v \mid Val v' := e)$)
case True
with exec' τ **have** [simp]: $h = h'$ **and** $e: sim\text{-move} e E' P t e h ([v], xs, 0, None) (stk'', loc'', pc'',
xcp'')$ **by** auto
from e **have** sim-move ($Val v \mid Val v' := e$) ($Val v \mid Val v' := E'$) P t (a[i] := e) h ([v] @ [v',
v], xs, length ($compE2 a$) + length ($compE2 i$) + 0, None) (stk'' @ [v', v], loc'', length ($compE2 a$) +
length ($compE2 i$) + pc'', xcp'')
by(fastforce dest: AAss- $\tau ExecI3$ AAss- $\tau ExecrI3$ simp del: compE2.simps compEs2.simps)
with True **show** ?thesis **by** auto

next

case False
with exec' τ **obtain** pc' stk' loc' xcp'
where e: $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t e h ([v], xs, 0, None) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
and e': exec-move-a P t e h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'',
pc'', xcp'')
and $\tau': \neg \tau move2 (compP2 P) h stk' e pc' xcp'$
and call: call1 e = None \vee no-call2 e 0 \vee pc' = 0 \wedge stk' = [] \wedge loc' = xs \wedge xcp' = None **by**
auto
from e **have** $\tau Exec\text{-mover}\text{-a} P t (a[i] := e) h ([v] @ [v', v], xs, length (compE2 a) + length
(compE2 i) + 0, None) (stk' @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc', xcp')$
by(rule AAss- $\tau ExecrI3$)
moreover from e' **have** exec-move-a P t (a[i] := e) h (stk' @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 a) +
length (compE2 i) + pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @ [v', v], loc'', length (compE2

a) + $\text{length}(\text{compE2 } i) + pc'', \text{xcp}''$
by(rule exec-move-AAssI3)
moreover from $e' \tau'$
have $\neg \tau \text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h (\text{stk}' @ [v', v]) (a[i] := e) (\text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } i)) + pc' \text{ xcp}'$
by(auto simp add: τ instr-stk-drop-exec-move τ move2-iff)
moreover have $\text{call1 } (a'[i] := e) = \text{call1 } e$ **by** simp
moreover have $\text{no-call2 } e 0 \implies \text{no-call2 } (a[i] := e) (\text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } i))$
by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** False call
by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps) blast
qed
moreover from bisim'
have $P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (\text{Val } v @ \text{Val } v') := E', xs' \leftrightarrow ((\text{stk}'' @ [v', v]), loc'', \text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } i) + pc'', \text{xcp}'')$
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss3)
moreover from bisim1 **have** $pc \neq \text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } i) \longrightarrow \text{no-call2 } (a[i] := e)$
e) pc
by(auto simp add: no-call2-def dest: bisim-Val- pc -not-Invoke bisim1- pc -length-compE2)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** τ exec1 s
apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
apply(blast intro: τ Exec-mover-trans|fastforce elim!: τ Exec-mover-trans simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)+
done
next
case (Red1AAss A U len I v U')
hence [simp]: $a' = \text{addr } A \ e' = \text{unit } i = \text{Val } (\text{Intg } I)$
 $ta = \{\text{WriteMem } A (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } I))) v\} \ xs' = xs \ e = \text{Val } v$
and $hA: \text{typeof-addr } h A = [\text{Array-type } U \text{ len}]$ **and** $I: 0 <= s \text{ sint } I < \text{int } \text{len}$
and $v: \text{typeof } h v = [U'] \ P \vdash U' \leq U$
and $h': \text{heap-write } h A (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } I))) v \ h' \text{ by auto}$
have $\tau: \neg \tau \text{move1 } P h (\text{AAss } (\text{addr } A) (\text{Val } (\text{Intg } I)) (\text{Val } v))$ **by**(auto simp add: τ move1.simps τ moves1.simps)
from bisim1 **have** $s: \text{xcp} = \text{None } xs = \text{loc}$
and $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t a h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) ([] @ [\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + 0, \text{None})$
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) ([] @ [\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + 0, \text{None})$
+ $0, \text{None})$
by-(rule AAss- τ ExecrI1)
also from bisim2[of loc]
have $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t i h ([] @ [\text{loc}], \text{loc}, 0, \text{None}) ([\text{Intg } I], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \text{None})$
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + 0, \text{None}) ([\text{Intg } I] @ [\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + (\text{length}(\text{compE2 } i) + 0), \text{None})$
by(rule AAss- τ ExecrI2)
also (rtranclp-trans) **have** $[\text{Intg } I] @ [\text{Addr } A] = [] @ [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A]$ **by** simp
also note add.assoc[symmetric]
also from bisim3[of loc] **have** $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h ([] @ [v], \text{loc}, 0, \text{None}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \text{None}) ([v] @ [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length}(\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$
by(rule AAss- τ ExecrI3)

```

also (rtranclp-trans) from hA I v h'
have exec-move-a P t (a[i] := e) h ([v, Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), None)
          { WriteMem A (ACell (nat (sint I))) v }
          h' ([][], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)), None)
unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def is-Ref-def)
moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v, Intg I, Addr A] (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)) None ==> False
          by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover
have P, a[i] := e, h' ⊢ (unit, loc) ↔ ([][], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)), None)
          by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss4)
ultimately show ?thesis using s τ by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case (Red1AAssNull v v')
note [simp] = <a' = null> <e' = THROW NullPointer> <i = Val v> <xs' = xs> <ta = ε> <h' = h>
<e = Val v'>
have τ: ¬τmove1 P h (AAss null (Val v) (Val v')) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
          and τExec-mover-a P t a h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([] @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + 0, None)
          by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
          hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := e) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([] @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + 0, None)
          by-(rule AAss-τExecrI1)
          also from bisim2[of loc] have τExec-mover-a P t i h ([][], loc, 0, None) ([v], loc, length (compE2 i) + 0, None)
          by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
          hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + 0, None) ([v] @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + (length (compE2 i) + 0), None)
          by(rule AAss-τExecrI2)
          also (rtranclp-trans) have [v] @ [Null] = [] @ [v, Null] by simp
          also note add.assoc[symmetric]
          also from bisim3[of loc] have τExec-mover-a P t e h ([][], loc, 0, None) ([v'], loc, length (compE2 e), None)
          by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
          hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [v, Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + 0, None) ([v'] @ [v, Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), None)
          by(rule AAss-τExecrI3)
          also (rtranclp-trans)
          have exec-move-a P t (a[i] := e) h ([v', v, Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), None) ε
          h ([v', v, Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
          unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
          moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v', v, Null] (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)) None ==> False
          by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
          moreover
          have P, a[i] := e, h' ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, loc) ↔ ([v', v, Null], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
          by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssFail)

```

ultimately show ?thesis using $s \tau$ by auto blast

next

case (*Red1AAssBounds A U len I v*)

hence [*simp*]: $a' = \text{addr } A \ e' = \text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException } i = \text{Val } (\text{Intg } I) \ xs' = xs \ ta = \varepsilon \ h' = h \ e = \text{Val } v$

and $hA: \text{typeof-addr } h \ A = [\text{Array-type } U \ \text{len}] \ \text{and } I: I <_s 0 \vee \text{int len} \leq \text{sint } I$ **by auto**

have $\tau: \neg \tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (\text{AAss } (\text{addr } A) \ i \ e)$ **by** (*auto simp add: $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$*)

from *bisim1* **have** $s: \text{xcp} = \text{None } xs = loc$

and $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ a \ h \ (\text{stk}, \ loc, \ pc, \ xcp) ([\] @ [\text{Addr } A], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } a) + 0, \ None)$

by (*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1*)

hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ (a[i] := e) \ h \ (\text{stk}, \ loc, \ pc, \ xcp) ([\] @ [\text{Addr } A], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } a) + 0, \ None)$

+ $0, \ None)$

by –(*rule AAss- τ ExecrI1*)

also from *bisim2*[*of loc*]

have $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ i \ h \ ([\], \ loc, \ 0, \ None) ([\text{Intg } I], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \ None)$

by (*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1*)

hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ (a[i] := e) \ h \ ([\] @ [\text{Addr } A], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } a) + 0, \ None) ([\text{Intg } I] @ [\text{Addr } A], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } a) + (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + 0), \ None)$

by (*rule AAss- τ ExecrI2*)

also (*rtranclp-trans*) **have** $[\text{Intg } I] @ [\text{Addr } A] = [\] @ [\text{Intg } I, \ \text{Addr } A]$ **by** *simp*

also note *add.assoc[symmetric]*

also from *bisim3*[*of loc*]

have $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ e \ h \ ([\], \ loc, \ 0, \ None) ([v], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } e), \ None)$

by (*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1*)

hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ (a[i] := e) \ h \ ([\] @ [\text{Intg } I, \ \text{Addr } A], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \ None) ([v] @ [\text{Intg } I, \ \text{Addr } A], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \ None)$

by (*rule AAss- τ ExecrI3*)

also (*rtranclp-trans*) **from** *hA I*

have $\text{exec-move-a } P \ t \ (a[i] := e) \ h \ ([v, \ \text{Intg } I, \ \text{Addr } A], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \ None) \ \varepsilon$

$h \ ([v, \ \text{Intg } I, \ \text{Addr } A], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \ [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}])$

unfolding *exec-move-def* **by** –(*rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def*)

moreover have $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) \ h \ [v, \ \text{Intg } I, \ \text{Addr } A] \ (a[i] := e) \ (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)) \ \text{None} \implies \text{False}$

by (*simp add: $\tau\text{move2-iff}$*)

moreover

have $P, \ a[i] := e, \ h' \vdash (\text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}, \ loc) \leftrightarrow ([v, \ \text{Intg } I, \ \text{Addr } A], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \ [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}])$

by (*rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssFail*)

ultimately show ?thesis using $s \tau$ by auto blast

next

case (*Red1AAssStore A U len I v U'*)

hence [*simp*]: $a' = \text{addr } A \ e' = \text{THROW ArrayStore } i = \text{Val } (\text{Intg } I) \ xs' = xs \ ta = \varepsilon \ h' = h \ e = \text{Val } v$

and $hA: \text{typeof-addr } h \ A = [\text{Array-type } U \ \text{len}] \ \text{and } I: 0 \leq_s I \ \text{sint } I < \text{int len}$

and $U: \neg P \vdash U' \leq U \ \text{typeof } h \ v = [\ U']$ **by auto**

have $\tau: \neg \tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (\text{AAss } (\text{addr } A) \ i \ e)$ **by** (*auto simp add: $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$*)

from *bisim1* **have** $s: \text{xcp} = \text{None } xs = loc$

and $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ a \ h \ (\text{stk}, \ loc, \ pc, \ xcp) ([\] @ [\text{Addr } A], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } a) + 0, \ None)$

by (*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1*)

hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ (a[i] := e) \ h \ (\text{stk}, \ loc, \ pc, \ xcp) ([\] @ [\text{Addr } A], \ loc, \ length (\text{compE2 } a) + 0, \ None)$

```

by-(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
also from bisim2[of loc]
have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P t i h ([] \text{, } loc \text{, } 0 \text{, } None) ([Intg I] \text{, } loc \text{, } length (compE2 i) + 0 \text{, } None)$ 
  by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [Addr A] \text{, } loc \text{, } length (compE2 a) + 0 \text{, } None) ([Intg I] @ [Addr A] \text{, } loc \text{, } length (compE2 a) + (length (compE2 i) + 0), None)$ 
  by(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
also (rtranclp-trans) have [Intg I] @ [Addr A] = [] @ [Intg I, Addr A] by simp
also note add.assoc[symmetric]
also from bisim3[of loc]
have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P t e h ([] \text{, } loc \text{, } 0 \text{, } None) ([v] \text{, } loc \text{, } length (compE2 e), None)$ 
  by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [Intg I, Addr A] \text{, } loc \text{, } length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + 0 \text{, } None) ([v] @ [Intg I, Addr A] \text{, } loc \text{, } length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), None)$ 
  by(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI3)
also (rtranclp-trans) from hA I U
have exec-move-a  $P t (a[i] := e) h ([v, Intg I, Addr A] \text{, } loc \text{, } length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), None) \varepsilon$ 
  h ([v, Intg I, Addr A] \text{, } loc \text{, } length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), [addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayStore])
  unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def compP2-def)
moreover have  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [v, Intg I, Addr A] (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)) None  $\Longrightarrow$  False
  by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
moreover
have  $P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (\text{THROW ArrayStore, loc}) \leftrightarrow ([v, Intg I, Addr A] \text{, } loc \text{, } length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), [addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayStore])$ 
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssFail)
ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by auto blast
next
case (AAss1Throw1 A)
hence [simp]:  $a' = \text{Throw } A \text{ ta} = \varepsilon \text{ e}' = \text{Throw } A \text{ h}' = h \text{ xs}' = xs$  by auto
have  $\tau: \tau$ move1  $P h (\text{Throw } A[i] := e)$  by(rule  $\tau$ move1AAssThrow1)
from bisim1 have  $xcp = [A] \vee xcp = None$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
assume [simp]:  $xcp = [A]$ 
with bisim1 have  $P, a[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } A, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$ 
  by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
next
assume [simp]:  $xcp = None$ 
with bisim1 obtain  $pc'$  where  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P t a h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr A] \text{, } loc, pc', [A])$ 
  and bisim':  $P, a, h \vdash (\text{Throw } A, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Addr A] \text{, } loc, pc', [A])$ 
  and [simp]:  $xs = loc$ 
  by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau$ Exec-mover)
hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P t (a[i] := e) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr A] \text{, } loc, pc', [A])$ 
  by-(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
moreover from bisim'
have  $P, a[i] := e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } A, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Addr A] \text{, } loc, pc', [A])$ 
  by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssThrow1)
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto

```

```

qed
next
  case (AAss1Throw2 v ad)
  note [simp] = <a' = Val v> <i = Throw ad> <ta = ε> <e' = Throw ad> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
  from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and τExec-mover-a P t a h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 a), None)
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[Throw ad] := e) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 a),
    None)
      by-(rule AAss-τExecrI1)
    also have τExec-mover-a P t (a[Throw ad]:=e) h ([v], loc, length (compE2 a), None) ([Addr ad,
    v], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a)), [ad])
      by(rule τExecr2step)(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr τmove2-iff τmove1.simps
    τmoves1.simps)
    also (rtranclp-trans)
      have P,a[Throw ad]:=e,h ⊢ (Throw ad, loc) ↔ ([Addr ad] @ [v], loc, (length (compE2 a) + length
    (compE2 (addr ad))), [ad])
        by(rule bisim1AAssThrow2[OF bisim1Throw2])
    moreover have τmove1 P h (a'[Throw ad]:=e) by(auto intro: τmove1AAssThrow2)
    ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
  next
    case (AAss1Throw3 va vi ad)
    note [simp] = <a' = Val va> <i = Val vi> <e = Throw ad> <ta = ε> <e' = Throw ad> <h' = h> <xs'
    = xs>
    from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
      and τExec-mover-a P t a h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([va], loc, length (compE2 a), None)
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
      hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := Throw ad) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([va], loc, length (compE2 a),
    None)
      by-(rule AAss-τExecrI1)
    also from bisim2[of loc] have τExec-mover-a P t i h ([], loc, 0, None) ([vi], loc, length (compE2
    i), None)
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    from AAss-τExecrI2[OF this, of a e va]
    have τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := Throw ad) h ([va], loc, length (compE2 a), None) ([vi, va], loc,
    length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), None) by simp
    also (rtranclp-trans)
      have τExec-mover-a P t (a[i]:=Throw ad) h ([vi, va], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2
    i), None) ([Addr ad, vi, va], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i)), [ad])
        by(rule τExecr2step)(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr τmove2-iff τmove1.simps
    τmoves1.simps)
    also (rtranclp-trans)
      have P,a[i]:=Throw ad,h ⊢ (Throw ad, loc) ↔ ([Addr ad] @ [vi, va], loc, (length (compE2 a) +
    length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 (addr ad))), [ad])
        by(rule bisim1AAssThrow3[OF bisim1Throw2])
    moreover have τmove1 P h (AAss a' (Val vi) (Throw ad)) by(auto intro: τmove1AAssThrow3)
    ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
qed
next
  case (bisim1AAss2 i n i' xs stk loc pc xcp a e v1)
  note IH2 = bisim1AAss2.IH(2)
  note IH3 = bisim1AAss2.IH(6)
  note bisim2 = <P,i,h ⊢ (i', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note bisim1 = <Axs. P,a,h ⊢ (a, xs) ↔ ([], xs, 0, None)>

```

```

note bisim3 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
note bsok =  $\langle bsok (a[i] := e) n \rangle$ 
from  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle Val v1[i] := e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$  show ?case
proof cases
  case (AAss1Red2 E')
    note [simp] =  $\langle e' = Val v1[E] := e \rangle$ 
    and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle i', (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
    from red have  $\tau: \taumove1 P h (Val v1[i] := e) = \taumove1 P h i'$  by(auto simp add:  $\taumove1.simps$ 
 $\taumoves1.simps$ )
    from IH2[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
      where bisim':  $P, i, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      and exec': ?exec ta i i' E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
      have ?exec ta (a[i] := e) (Val v1[i] := e) (Val v1[E] := e) h (stk @ [v1]) loc (length (compE2
a) + pc) xcp h' (length (compE2 a) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v1]) loc'' xcp''
      proof(cases  $\taumove1 P h (Val v1[i] := e)$ )
        case True
        with exec'  $\tau$  have [simp]:  $h = h'$  and e: sim-move i' E' P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk'', loc'',
pc'', xcp'') by auto
        from e have sim-move (Val v1[i] := e) (Val v1[E] := e) P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [v1], loc,
length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp) (stk'' @ [v1], loc'', length (compE2 a) + pc'', xcp'')
        by(fastforce dest: AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2 AAss- $\tau$ ExecI2 simp del: compE2.simps compEs2.simps)
        with True show ?thesis by auto
    next
      case False
      with exec'  $\tau$  obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
        where e:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        and e': exec-move-a P t i h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'',
pc'', xcp'')
        and  $\tau'$ :  $\neg \taumove2 (\text{compP2 } P) h$  stk' i pc' xcp'
        and call: call1 i' = None  $\vee$  no-call2 i pc  $\vee$  pc' = pc  $\wedge$  stk' = stk  $\wedge$  loc' = loc  $\wedge$  xcp' = xcp by
        auto
        from e have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp)
(stk' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 a) + pc', xcp') by(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
        moreover from e' have exec-move-a P t (a[i] := e) h (stk' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 a) +
pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc'', length (compE2 a) + pc'', xcp'')
        by(rule exec-move-AAssI2)
        moreover from e' have pc' < length (compE2 i) by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases)
        with  $\tau'$  e' have  $\neg \taumove2 (\text{compP2 } P) h$  (stk' @ [v1]) (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + pc')
xcp'
        by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ instr-stk-drop-exec-move  $\tau$ move2-iff)
        moreover from red have call1 (Val v1[i] := e) = call1 i' by auto
        moreover have no-call2 i pc  $\Longrightarrow$  no-call2 (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + pc)
        by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
      ultimately show ?thesis using False call by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)

qed
moreover from bisim'
have  $P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (Val v1[E] := e, xs') \leftrightarrow ((stk'' @ [v1]), loc'', length (compE2 a) + pc'',
xcp'')$ 
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss2)
ultimately show ?thesis
  apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
  apply(blast intro:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-trans)+
  done

```

next

case (*AAss1Red3 E' v'*)
note [*simp*] = $\langle i' = \text{Val } v', e' = \text{Val } v1[\text{Val } v'] := E' \rangle$
and *red* = $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$
from *red* **have** $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{Val } v1[\text{Val } v'] := e) = \tau\text{move1 } P h e$
by(*auto simp add*: $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$)
from *bisim2* **have** *s*: $xcp = \text{None}$ $xs = loc$
and *exec1*: $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v'], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$
by(*auto dest*: *bisim1Val2D1*)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [v1], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, xcp) ([v'] @ [v1], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$
by-(rule *AAss-τExecrI2*)
moreover from *IH3[OF red]* *bsok obtain* $pc'' \ stk'' \ loc'' \ xcp''$
where *bisim'*: $P, e, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$
and *exec'*: $?exec ta e e E' h [] xs 0 \text{None} h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' \text{ by auto}$
have $?exec ta (a[i] := e) (\text{Val } v1[\text{Val } v'] := e) (\text{Val } v1[\text{Val } v'] := E') h ([] @ [v', v1]) xs (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + 0) \text{None} h' (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v', v1]) loc'' xcp''$
proof(*cases* $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{Val } v1[\text{Val } v'] := e)$)
case *True*
with *exec'* τ **have** [*simp*]: $h = h'$
and $e: \text{sim-move } e E' P t e h ([] @ [v', v1], xs, 0, \text{None}) (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'') \text{ by auto}$
from *e* **have** *sim-move* ($\text{Val } v1[\text{Val } v'] := e$) ($\text{Val } v1[\text{Val } v'] := E'$) $P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [v', v1], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \text{None}) (stk'' @ [v', v1], loc'', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + pc'', xcp'')$
by(*fastforce dest*: *AAss-τExecrI3 AAss-τExecrI3 simp del*: *compE2.simps compEs2.simps*)
with *True show* *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
with *exec'* τ **obtain** $pc' \ stk' \ loc' \ xcp'$
where $e: \tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h ([] @ [v', v1], xs, 0, \text{None}) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$
and $e': \text{exec-move-a } P t e h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (\text{extTA2JVM } (\text{compP2 } P) \text{ ta}) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$
and $\tau': \neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ stk' e pc' xcp'}$
and *call*: $call1 e = \text{None} \vee \text{no-call2 } e 0 \vee pc' = 0 \wedge stk' = [] \wedge loc' = xs \wedge xcp' = \text{None}$ **by**
auto
from *e* **have** $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [v', v1], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \text{None}) (stk' @ [v', v1], loc', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + pc', xcp')$
by(rule *AAss-τExecrI3*)
moreover from *e'* **have** *exec-move-a* $P t (a[i] := e) h (stk' @ [v', v1], loc', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + pc', xcp') (\text{extTA2JVM } (\text{compP2 } P) \text{ ta}) h' (stk'' @ [v', v1], loc'', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + pc'', xcp'')$
by(rule *exec-move-AAssI3*)
moreover from *e' τ'* **have** $\neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h (stk' @ [v', v1]) (a[i] := e) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + pc') xcp'$
by(*auto simp add*: $\tau\text{instr-stk-drop-exec-move } \tau\text{move2-iff}$)
moreover from *red* **have** *call1* ($\text{Val } v1[\text{Val } v'] := e$) = *call1 e* **by** *auto*
moreover have *no-call2 e 0* \implies *no-call2 (a[i] := e)* ($\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i)$)
by(*auto simp add*: *no-call2-def*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *False call* **by**(*auto simp del*: *split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps*)
blast
qed
moreover from *bisim'*
have $P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (\text{Val } v1[\text{Val } v'] := E', xs') \leftrightarrow ((stk'' @ [v', v1]), loc'', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a)$

```

+ length (compE2 i) + pc'', xcp'')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss3)
  moreover from bisim2 have pc ≠ length (compE2 i) → no-call2 (a[i] := e) (length (compE2
a) + pc)
    by(auto simp add: no-call2-def dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
    ultimately show ?thesis using τ exec1 s
      apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
      apply(blast intro: τExec-mover-trans|fastforce elim!: τExec-mover-trans simp del: split-paired-Ex
call1.simps calls1.simps)++
      done
next
  case (Red1AAss A U len I v U')
  hence [simp]: v1 = Addr A e' = unit i' = Val (Intg I)
    ta = {WriteMem A (ACell (nat (sint I))) v} xs' = xs e = Val v
    and hA: typeof-addr h A = [Array-type U len] and I: 0 <= I sint I < int len
    and v: typeof_h v = [U'] P ⊢ U' ≤ U
    and h': heap-write h A (ACell (nat (sint I))) v h' by auto
    have τ: ¬ τmove1 P h (AAss (addr A) (Val (Intg I)) (Val v)) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
τmoves1.simps)
    from bisim2 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
      and τExec-mover-a P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Intg I], loc, length (compE2 i), None)
        by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp) ([Intg
I] @ [Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), None)
      by-(rule AAss-τExecrI2)
    hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp) ([] @
[Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + 0, None) by simp
    also from bisim3[of loc] have τExec-mover-a P t e h ([][], loc, 0, None) ([v], loc, length (compE2
e), None)
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length
(compE2 i) + 0, None) ([v] @ [Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length
(compE2 e), None)
      by(rule AAss-τExecrI3)
    also (rtranclp-trans) from hA I v h'
    have exec-move-a P t (a[i] := e) h ([v, Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2
i) + length (compE2 e), None)
      {WriteMem A (ACell (nat (sint I))) v}
      h' ([][], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2
e)), None)
      unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def is-Ref-def)
    moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v, Intg I, Addr A] (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) +
length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)) None ==> False
      by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    moreover
      have P, a[i] := e, h' ⊢ (unit, loc) ↔ ([][], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) +
length (compE2 e)), None)
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss4)
      ultimately show ?thesis using s τ by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
next
  case (Red1AAssNull v v')
  note [simp] = <v1 = Null, e' = THROW NullPointer, i' = Val v, xs' = xs, ta = ε, h' = h>
<e = Val v'>
  have τ: ¬ τmove1 P h (AAss null (Val v) (Val v')) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)

```

```

from bisim2 have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
  and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [\text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, xcp) ([v] @ [\text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$ 
    by-(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [\text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, xcp) ([] @ [v, \text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \text{None})$  by simp
  also from bisim3[of loc] have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h ([] @ [loc, 0, \text{None}]) ([v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [v, \text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \text{None}) ([v] @ [v, \text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$ 
    by(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI3)
  also (rtranclp-trans)
  have exec-move-a  $P t (a[i] := e) h ([v', v, \text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None}) \varepsilon$ 
     $h ([v', v, \text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), |\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}|)$ 
    unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [v', v, \text{Null}] (a[i] := e) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)) \text{None} \implies False$ 
    by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
  moreover
  have  $P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, loc) \leftrightarrow ([v', v, \text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), |\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}|)$ 
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssFail)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by auto blast
next
case (Red1AAssBounds A U len I v)
hence [simp]:  $v1 = \text{Addr } A e' = \text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException } i' = \text{Val } (\text{Intg } I) xs' = xs$  ta
=  $\varepsilon$   $h' = h e = \text{Val } v$ 
  and  $hA: \text{typeof-addr } h A = |\text{Array-type } U \text{ len}|$  and  $I: I <_s 0 \vee \text{int len} \leq \text{sint } I$  by auto
  have  $\tau: \neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{addr } A[i'] := e)$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ move1.simps  $\tau$ moves1.simps)
  from bisim2 have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
  and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([\text{Intg } I], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [\text{Addr } A], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, xcp) ([\text{Intg } I] @ [\text{Addr } A], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$ 
    by-(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [\text{Addr } A], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, xcp) ([] @ [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \text{None})$  by simp
  also from bisim3[of loc] have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h ([] @ [loc, 0, \text{None}]) ([v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \text{None}) ([v] @ [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$ 
    by(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI3)
  also (rtranclp-trans) from hA I
  have exec-move-a  $P t (a[i] := e) h ([v, \text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None}) \varepsilon$ 
     $h ([v, \text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$ 

```

```

(compE2 e), [addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBounds])
  unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [v, \text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A] (a[i] := e) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)) \text{ None} \implies \text{False}$ 
    by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
  moreover
    have  $P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (\text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBounds}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([v, \text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBounds}])$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssFail)
    ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by auto blast
  next
    case (Red1AAssStore A U len I v U')
      hence [simp]:  $v = \text{Addr } A \ e' = \text{THROW ArrayStore } i' = \text{Val } (\text{Intg } I) \ xs' = xs \ ta = \varepsilon \ h' = h \ e = \text{Val } v$ 
        and  $hA: \text{typeof-addr } h A = [\text{Array-type } U \ \text{len}] \ \text{and } I: 0 <= s \ I \ \text{sint } I < \text{int } \text{len}$ 
        and  $U: \neg P \vdash U' \leq U \ \text{typeof}_h v = [U'] \ \text{by auto}$ 
        have  $\tau: \neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{addr } A[i'] := e) \ \text{by}(auto \ \text{simp} \ \text{add: } \tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps})$ 
        from bisim2 have s:  $xcp = \text{None} \ xs = \text{loc}$ 
          and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t i h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([\text{Intg } I], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$ 
            by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
          hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h (\text{stk } @ [\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, \text{xcp}) ([\text{Intg } I] @ [\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i), \text{None})$ 
            by-(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
          hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h (\text{stk } @ [\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + pc, \text{xcp}) ([] @ [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \text{None}) \ \text{by simp}$ 
            also from bisim3[of loc]
            have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h ([] \text{, loc, } 0, \text{None}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$ 
              by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
            hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h ([] @ [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + 0, \text{None}) ([v] @ [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \text{None})$ 
              by(rule AAss- $\tau$ ExecrI3)
            also (rtranclp-trans) from hA I U
            have exec-move-a P t (a[i] := e) h ([v, Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), None)  $\varepsilon$ 
              h ([v, Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), [addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayStore])
            unfolding exec-move-def by- (rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def compP2-def)
            moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [v, \text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A] (a[i] := e) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)) \text{ None} \implies \text{False}$ 
              by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
            moreover
              have  $P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (\text{THROW ArrayStore}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([v, \text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } a) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } i) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayStore}])$ 
                by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssFail)
              ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by auto fast
            next
              case (AAss1Throw2 A)
              note [simp] =  $\langle i' = \text{Throw } A \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Throw } A \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
              have  $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{Val } v1[\text{Throw } A] := e) \ \text{by}(rule \ \tau\text{move1AAssThrow2})$ 
              from bisim2 have  $xcp = [A] \vee xcp = \text{None} \ \text{by}(auto \ \text{dest: } \text{bisim1-ThrowD})$ 
              thus ?thesis
            proof
              assume [simp]:  $xcp = [A]$ 

```

```

with bisim2
have P, a[i] := e, h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp)
  by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
  thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)
next
  assume [simp]: xcp = None
  with bisim2 obtain pc' where τExec-mover-a P t i h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr A], loc, pc',
[A])
    and bisim': P, i, h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ ([Addr A], loc, pc', [A])
    and [simp]: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, None) ([Addr
A] @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc', [A])
    by-(rule AAss-τExecrI2)
  moreover from bisim'
  have P, a[i] := e, h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ ([Addr A] @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc', [A])
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssThrow2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto
qed
next
  case (AAss1Throw3 vi ad)
  note [simp] = ⟨i' = Val vi⟩ ⟨e = Throw ad⟩ ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨e' = Throw ad⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩
  from bisim2 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and τExec-mover-a P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([vi], loc, length (compE2 i), None)
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := Throw ad) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp)
  ([vi] @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i), None)
    by-(rule AAss-τExecrI2)
  also have τExec-mover-a P t (a[i]:=Throw ad) h ([vi] @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 a) + length
  (compE2 i), None) ([Addr ad, vi, v1], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i)), [ad])
    by(rule τExecr2step)(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr τmove2-iff τmove1.simps
  τmoves1.simps)
  also (rtranclp-trans)
  have P,a[i]:=Throw ad,h ⊢ (Throw ad, loc) ↔ ([Addr ad] @ [vi, v1], loc, (length (compE2 a) +
  length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 (addr ad))), [ad])
    by(rule bisim1AAssThrow3[OF bisim1Throw2])
  moreover have τmove1 P h (AAss (Val v1) (Val vi) (Throw ad)) by(auto intro: τmove1AAssThrow3)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
qed auto
next
  case (bisim1AAss3 e n ee xs stk loc pc xcp a i v v')
  note IH3 = bisim1AAss3.IH(2)
  note bisim3 = ⟨P,e,h ⊢ (ee, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)⟩
  note bsok = ⟨bsok (a[i] := e) n⟩
  from ⟨True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨Val v | Val v'⟩ := ee,(h, xs)⟩ -ta→ ⟨e',(h', xs')⟩ show ?case
  proof cases
    case (AAss1Red3 E')
    note [simp] = ⟨e' = Val v | Val v'⟩ := E'
    and red = ⟨True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨ee,(h, xs)⟩ -ta→ ⟨E',(h', xs')⟩⟩
    from red have τ: τmove1 P h (Val v | Val v'⟩ := ee) = τmove1 P h ee by(auto simp add:
  τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
    from IH3[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' where bisim': P,e,h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
      and exec': ?exec ta e ee E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto

```

```

have no-call2 e pc ==> no-call2 (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc)
  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
  hence ?exec ta (a[i] := e) (Val v | Val v' := ee) (Val v | Val v' := E') h (stk @ [v', v]) loc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc) xcp h' (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v', v]) loc'' xcp''
    using exec' τ
    apply(cases τmove1 P h (Val v | Val v' := ee))
    apply(auto)
    apply(blast intro: AAss-τExecrI3 AAss-τExecI3 exec-move-AAssI3)
    apply(blast intro: AAss-τExecrI3 AAss-τExecI3 exec-move-AAssI3)
    apply(rule exI conjI AAss-τExecrI3 exec-move-AAssI3|assumption)+
    apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)
    apply(rule exI conjI AAss-τExecrI3 exec-move-AAssI3|assumption)+
    apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)
    apply(rule exI conjI AAss-τExecrI3 exec-move-AAssI3 rtranclp.rtrancl-refl|assumption)+
    apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)+
    done
  moreover from bisim'
  have P,a[i] := e,h' ⊢ (Val v | Val v' := E', xs') ↔ (stk''@[v',v], loc'', length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc'', xcp'')
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss3)
  ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto blast+
next
case (Red1AAss A U len I V U')
  hence [simp]: v = Addr A e' = unit v' = Intg I xs' = xs ee = Val V
    ta = {WriteMem A (ACell (nat (sint I))) V}
    and hA: typeof-addr h A = [Array-type U len] and I: 0 <=s I sint I < int len
    and v: typeof_h V = [U'] P ⊢ U' ≤ U
    and h': heap-write h A (ACell (nat (sint I))) V h' by auto
  have τ: ¬ τmove1 P h (AAss (addr A) (Val (Intg I)) (Val V)) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  from bisim3 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and exec1: τExec-mover-a P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([V], loc, length (compE2 e), None)
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc, xcp) ([V] @ [Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), None)
    by-(rule AAss-τExecrI3)
  moreover from hA I v h'
  have exec-move-a P t (a[i] := e) h ([V, Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), None)
    {WriteMem A (ACell (nat (sint I))) V}
    h' ([] loc, Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)), None)
  unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def is-Ref-def)
  moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [V, Intg I, Addr A] (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)) None ==> False
    by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover
  have P, a[i] := e, h' ⊢ (unit, loc) ↔ ([] loc, Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)), None)
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss4)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s τ by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
next

```

case (*Red1AAssNull V'*)
note [*simp*] = $\langle v = \text{Null} \rangle \langle e' = \text{THROW NullPointer} \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle ee = \text{Val } V' \rangle$
have $\tau: \neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{AAss null} (\text{Val } v') (\text{Val } V'))$ **by**(*auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps*)
from *bisim3* **have** *s*: $xcp = \text{None}$ $xs = loc$
and $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, xcp) ([V'], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } e), \text{None})$
by(*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1*)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h (\text{stk} @ [v', \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + pc, xcp) ([V'] @ [v', \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + \text{length (compE2 } e), \text{None})$
by-(rule *AAss-τExecrI3*)
moreover
have $\text{exec-move-a } P t (a[i] := e) h ([V', v', \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + \text{length (compE2 } e), \text{None}) \varepsilon$
 $h ([V', v', \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + \text{length (compE2 } e), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$
unfolding *exec-move-def* **by**-(rule *exec-instr*, *auto simp add: is-Ref-def*)
moreover have $\tau\text{move2 (compP2 } P) h [V', v', \text{Null}] (a[i] := e)$ ($\text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + \text{length (compE2 } e)$) *None* $\Longrightarrow \text{False}$
by(*simp add: τmove2-iff*)
moreover
have $P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([V', v', \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + \text{length (compE2 } e), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$
by(rule *bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssFail*)
ultimately show ?*thesis* **using** *s* τ **by** *auto blast*
next
case (*Red1AAssBounds A U len I V*)
hence [*simp*]: $v = \text{Addr } A$ $e' = \text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}$ $v' = \text{Intg } I$ $xs' = xs$ $ta = \varepsilon$ $h' = h$ $ee = \text{Val } V$
and $hA: \text{typeof-addr } h A = [\text{Array-type } U \text{ len}]$ **and** $I: I < s \ 0 \vee \text{int len} \leq \text{sint } I$ **by** *auto*
have $\tau: \neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{addr } A @ [\text{Val (Intg } I)]) := ee$ **by**(*auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps*)
from *bisim3* **have** *s*: $xcp = \text{None}$ $xs = loc$
and $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, xcp) ([V], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } e), \text{None})$
by(*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1*)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (a[i] := e) h (\text{stk} @ [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + pc, xcp) ([V] @ [\text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + \text{length (compE2 } e), \text{None})$
by-(rule *AAss-τExecrI3*)
moreover from *hA I*
have $\text{exec-move-a } P t (a[i] := e) h ([V, \text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + \text{length (compE2 } e), \text{None}) \varepsilon$
 $h ([V, \text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + \text{length (compE2 } e), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}])$
unfolding *exec-move-def* **by**-(rule *exec-instr*, *auto simp add: is-Ref-def*)
moreover have $\tau\text{move2 (compP2 } P) h [V, \text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A] (a[i] := e)$ ($\text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + \text{length (compE2 } e)$) *None* $\Longrightarrow \text{False}$
by(*simp add: τmove2-iff*)
moreover
have $P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (\text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([V, \text{Intg } I, \text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 } a) + \text{length (compE2 } i) + \text{length (compE2 } e), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}])$
by(rule *bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssFail*)
ultimately show ?*thesis* **using** *s* τ **by** *auto blast*
next
case (*Red1AAssStore A U len I V U'*)
hence [*simp*]: $v = \text{Addr } A$ $e' = \text{THROW ArrayStore}$ $v' = \text{Intg } I$ $xs' = xs$ $ta = \varepsilon$ $h' = h$ $ee = \text{Val } V$

```

and hA: typeof-addr h A = [Array-type U len] and I: 0 <=s I sint I < int len
and U: ~ P ⊢ U' ≤ U typeof h V = [U'] by auto
have τ: ~ τmove1 P h (addr A[ Val (Intg I)] := ee) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
from bisim3 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
and τExec-mover-a P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([V], loc, length (compE2 e), None)
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc, xcp) ([V] @ [Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), None)
by-(rule AAss-τExecrI3)
moreover from hA I U
have exec-move-a P t (a[i] := e) h ([V, Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), None) ε
h ([V, Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), [addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayStore])
unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def compP2-def)
moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [V, Intg I, Addr A] (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)) None ==> False
by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover
have P, a[i] := e, h' ⊢ (THROW ArrayStore, loc) ↔ ([V, Intg I, Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e), [addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayStore])
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssFail)
ultimately show ?thesis using s τ by auto blast
next
case (AAss1Throw3 A)
note [simp] = <ee = Throw A> <ta = ε> <e' = Throw A> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
have τ: τmove1 P h (AAss (Val v) (Val v') (Throw A)) by(rule τmove1AAssThrow3)
from bisim3 have xcp = [A] ∨ xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
assume [simp]: xcp = [A]
with bisim3
have P, a[i] := e, h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ (stk @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc, xcp)
by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)
next
assume [simp]: xcp = None
with bisim3 obtain pc' where τExec-mover-a P t e h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr A], loc, pc', [A])
and bisim': P, e, h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ ([Addr A], loc, pc', [A])
and [simp]: xs = loc
by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
hence τExec-mover-a P t (a[i] := e) h (stk @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc, None) ([Addr A] @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc', [A])
by-(rule AAss-τExecrI3)
moreover from bisim'
have P, a[i] := e, h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ ([Addr A] @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc', [A])
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssThrow3)
ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto
qed
qed auto

```

```

next
  case bisim1AAssThrow1 thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1AAssThrow2 thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1AAssThrow3 thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1AAssFail thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1AAss4 thus ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1ALength a n a' xs stk loc pc xcp)
  note IH = bisim1ALength.IH(2)
  note bisim = ⟨P,a,h ⊢ (a', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)⟩
  note red = ⟨True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨a'.length,(h, xs)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', xs')⟩⟩
  note bsok = ⟨bsok (a.length) n⟩
  from red show ?case
  proof cases
    case (ALength1Red ee')
    note [simp] = ⟨e' = ee'.length⟩
    and red = ⟨True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨a',(h, xs)⟩ −ta→ ⟨ee',(h', xs')⟩⟩
    from red have τmove1 P h (a'.length) = τmove1 P h a' by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
τmoves1.simps)
  moreover have call1 (a'.length) = call1 a' by auto
  moreover from IH[OF red] bsok
  obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' where bisim: P,a,h' ⊢ (ee', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
  and redo: ?exec ta a a' ee' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
  from bisim have P,a.length,h' ⊢ (ee'.length, xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1ALength)
  moreover {
    assume no-call2 a pc
    hence no-call2 (a.length) pc by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
  ultimately show ?thesis using redo
  by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
ALength-τExecrI ALength-τExecI exec-move-ALengthI)+
next
  case (Red1ALength A U len)
  hence [simp]: a' = addr A ta = ε e' = Val (Intg (word-of-int (int len)))
  h' = h xs' = xs
  and hA: typeof-addr h A = [Array-type U len] by auto
  from bisim have s: xcp = None xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None)
  from bisim have τExec-mover-a P t a h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a),
None)
  by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t (a.length) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a), None)
  by(rule ALength-τExecrI)
  moreover from hA
  have exec-move-a P t (a.length) h ([Addr A], loc, length (compE2 a), None) ε h' ([Intg (word-of-int
(int len))], loc, Suc (length (compE2 a)), None)
  by(auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: is-Ref-def exec-move-def)
  moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr A] (a.length) (length (compE2 a)) None ==> False
  by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (addr A.length) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  moreover

```

```

have P, a·length, h' ⊢ (Val (Intg (word-of-int (int len))), loc) ↔ ([Intg (word-of-int (int len))], loc, length (compE2 (a·length)), None)
  by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by(auto) blast
next
  case Red1ALengthNull
  note [simp] = ⟨a' = null⟩ ⟨e' = THROW NullPointer⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩ ⟨ta = ε⟩
  have ¬ τmove1 P h (null·length) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  moreover from bisim have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and τExec-mover-a P t a h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Null], loc, length (compE2 a), None)
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t (a·length) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Null], loc, length (compE2 a), None)
    by-(rule ALength-τExecrI)
  moreover have exec-move-a P t (a·length) h ([Null], loc, length (compE2 a), None) ε h ([Null], loc, length (compE2 a), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
    unfolding exec-move-def by -(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Null] (a·length) (length (compE2 a)) None ==> False
  by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover
  have P,a·length,h ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, loc) ↔ ([Null], loc, length (compE2 a), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
    by(auto intro!: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1ALengthNull)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto blast
next
  case (ALength1Throw A)
  note [simp] = ⟨a' = Throw A⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩ ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨e' = Throw A⟩
  have τ: τmove1 P h (Throw A·length) by(auto intro: τmove1ALengthThrow)
  from bisim have xcp = [A] ∨ xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  thus ?thesis
  proof
    assume [simp]: xcp = [A]
    with bisim have P,a·length, h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1ALengthThrow)
    thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)
  next
    assume [simp]: xcp = None
    with bisim obtain pc'
      where τExec-mover-a P t a h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr A], loc, pc', [A])
      and bisim': P, a, h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ ([Addr A], loc, pc', [A]) and [simp]: xs = loc
        by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
    hence τExec-mover-a P t (a·length) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr A], loc, pc', [A])
      by-(rule ALength-τExecrI)
    moreover from bisim' have P, a·length, h ⊢ (Throw A, xs) ↔ ([Addr A], loc, pc', [A])
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1ALengthThrow)
    ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto
  qed
  qed
next
  case bisim1ALengthThrow thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1ALengthNull thus ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1FAcc E n e xs stk loc pc xcp F D)
  note IH = bisim1FAcc.IH(2)

```

```

note bisim =  $\langle P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
note red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e \cdot F\{D\}, (h, xs) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } (E \cdot F\{D\}) n \rangle$ 
from red show ?case
proof cases
  case (FAcc1Red ee')
    note [simp] =  $\langle e' = ee' \cdot F\{D\} \rangle$ 
    and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle ee', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
    from red have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h (e \cdot F\{D\}) = \tau\text{move1 } P h e$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps}$   $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
    moreover have  $\text{call1 } (e \cdot F\{D\}) = \text{call1 } e$  by auto
    moreover from IH[ $\text{OF red}$ ] bsok
    obtain  $pc'' \text{ } stk'' \text{ } loc'' \text{ } xcp''$  where bisim:  $P, E, h' \vdash (ee', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      and redo: ?exec ta E e ee' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
    from bisim
    have  $P, E \cdot F\{D\}, h' \vdash (ee' \cdot F\{D\}, xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAcc)
    moreover {
      assume no-call2 E pc
      hence no-call2 ( $E \cdot F\{D\}$ ) pc by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
    ultimately show ?thesis using redo
      by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
FAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI FAcc- $\tau$ ExecI exec-move-FAccI)+
next
  case (Red1FAcc a v)
    hence [simp]:  $e = \text{addr } a \text{ } ta = \{\text{ReadMem } a \text{ } (\text{CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v\}$   $e' = \text{Val } v \text{ } h' = h \text{ } xs' = xs$ 
      and read: heap-read h a ( $\text{CField } D \text{ } F$ ) v by auto
    from bisim have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$  by(auto dest: bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None)
    from bisim have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([\text{Addr } a], loc, length (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (E \cdot F\{D\}) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([\text{Addr } a], loc, length (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
      by(rule FAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI)
    moreover from read
    have exec-move-a P t ( $E \cdot F\{D\}$ ) h ([Addr a], loc, length (compE2 E), None)
       $\{\text{ReadMem } a \text{ } (\text{CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v\}$   $h' ([v], loc, \text{Suc} (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E)), \text{None})$ 
      unfolding exec-move-def by(auto intro!: exec-instr)
    moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Addr } a] (E \cdot F\{D\}) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E)) \text{None} \implies \text{False}$ 
    by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
    moreover have  $\neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{addr } a \cdot F\{D\})$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps}$   $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
    moreover
    have  $P, E \cdot F\{D\}, h' \vdash (\text{Val } v, loc) \leftrightarrow ([v], loc, length (\text{compE2 } (E \cdot F\{D\})), \text{None})$ 
      by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using s by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
next
  case Red1FAccNull
    note [simp] =  $\langle e = \text{null} \rangle \langle e' = \text{THROW NullPointer} \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle$ 
    have  $\neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{null} \cdot F\{D\})$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps}$   $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
    moreover from bisim have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
      and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([\text{Null}], loc, length (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (E \cdot F\{D\}) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([\text{Null}], loc, length (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
      by-(rule FAcc- $\tau$ ExecrI)
    moreover

```

```

have exec-move-a P t (E·F{D}) h ([Null], loc, length (compE2 E), None) ε h ([Null], loc, length
(compE2 E), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
  unfolding exec-move-def by -(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def dest: sees-field-idemp)
  moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Null] (E·F{D}) (length (compE2 E)) None ==> False
by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover
  have P,E·F{D},h ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, loc) ↔ ([Null], loc, length (compE2 E), [addr-of-sys-xcpt
NullPointer])
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAccNull)
    ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto blast
next
  case (FAcc1Throw a)
  note [simp] = ⟨e = Throw a⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩ ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨e' = Throw a⟩
  have τ: τmove1 P h (e·F{D}) by(auto intro: τmove1FAccThrow)
  from bisim have xcp = [a] ∨ xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  thus ?thesis
  proof
    assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
    with bisim have P,E·F{D}, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAccThrow)
    thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)
  next
    assume [simp]: xcp = None
    with bisim obtain pc'
      where τExec-mover-a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
      and bisim': P, E, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a]) and [simp]: xs = loc
        by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
      hence τExec-mover-a P t (E·F{D}) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
        by-(rule FAcc-τExecrI)
      moreover from bisim' have P, E·F{D}, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAccThrow)
      ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto
    qed
  qed
next
  case bisim1FAccThrow thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1FAccNull thus ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1FAss1 e1 n e1' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 F D)
  note IH1 = bisim1FAss1.IH(2)
  note IH2 = bisim1FAss1.IH(4)
  note bisim1 = ⟨P, e1, h ⊢ (e1', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)⟩
  note bisim2 = ⟨⟨xs. P, e2, h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([], xs, 0, None)⟩
  note bsok = ⟨bsok (e1·F{D} := e2) n⟩
  from ⟨True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨e1'·F{D} := e2, (h, xs)⟩ -ta→ ⟨e', (h', xs')⟩⟩ show ?case
  proof cases
    case (FAss1Red1 E')
    note [simp] = ⟨e' = E'·F{D} := e2⟩
      and red = ⟨True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨e1', (h, xs)⟩ -ta→ ⟨E', (h', xs')⟩⟩
    from red have τmove1 P h (e1'·F{D} := e2) = τmove1 P h e1' by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
τmoves1.simps)
    moreover from red have call1 (e1'·F{D} := e2) = call1 e1' by auto
    moreover from IH1[OF red] bsok

```

```

obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' where bisim: P,e1,h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
  and redo: ?exec ta e1 e1' E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
from bisim
have P,e1·F{D} := e2,h' ⊢ (E'·F{D} := e2, xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss1)
moreover {
  assume no-call2 e1 pc
  hence no-call2 (e1·F{D} := e2) pc ∨ pc = length (compE2 e1) by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
}
ultimately show ?thesis using redo
  by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
FAss-τ ExecrI1 FAss-τ ExecI1 exec-move-FAssI1)+

next
case (FAss1Red2 E' v)
note [simp] = ‹e1' = Val v› ‹e' = Val v·F{D} := E'›
  and red = ‹True, P, t ⊢ e2, (h, xs)› − ta → ‹E', (h', xs')›
  from red have τ: τmove1 P h (Val v·F{D} := e2) = τmove1 P h e2 by(auto simp add:
τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and exec1: τExec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], xs, length (compE2 e1), None)
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  from exec1 have τExec-mover-a P t (e1·F{D} := e2) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], xs, length
(compE2 e1), None)
    by(rule FAss-τ ExecrI1)
moreover
from IH2[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
  where bisim': P,e2,h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
  and exec': ?exec ta e2 e2 E' h [] xs 0 None h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
  have ?exec ta (e1·F{D} := e2) (Val v·F{D} := e2) (Val v·F{D} := E') h ([] @ [v]) xs (length
(compE2 e1) + 0) None h' (length (compE2 e1) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v]) loc'' xcp''
  proof(cases τmove1 P h (Val v·F{D} := e2))
    case True
      with exec' τ have [simp]: h = h' and e: sim-move e2 E' P t e2 h ([] @ [v], xs, 0, None) (stk'', loc'',
pc'', xcp'') by auto
      from e have sim-move (Val v·F{D} := e2) (Val v·F{D} := E') P t (e1·F{D} := e2) h ([] @
[v], xs, length (compE2 e1) + 0, None) (stk'' @ [v], loc'', length (compE2 e1) + pc'', xcp'')
        by(fastforce dest: FAss-τ ExecrI2 FAss-τ ExecI2 simp del: compE2.simps compEs2.simps)
      with True show ?thesis by auto
  next
  case False
    with exec' τ obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
      where e: τExec-mover-a P t e2 h ([] @ [v], xs, 0, None) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      and e': exec-move-a P t e2 h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'',
pc'', xcp'')
      and τ': ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk' e2 pc' xcp'
      and call: call1 e2 = None ∨ no-call2 e2 0 ∨ pc' = 0 ∧ stk' = [] ∧ loc' = xs ∧ xcp' = None by
auto
    from e have τExec-mover-a P t (e1·F{D} := e2) h ([] @ [v], xs, length (compE2 e1) + 0, None)
      (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp')
      by(rule FAss-τ ExecrI2)
    moreover from e' have exec-move-a P t (e1·F{D} := e2) h (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2
e1) + pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @ [v], loc'', length (compE2 e1) + pc'', xcp'')
      by(rule exec-move-FAssI2)
    moreover from e' have pc' < length (compE2 e2) by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases)

```

```

with  $\tau' e'$  have  $\neg \tau move2 (compP2 P) h (stk' @ [v]) (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) (length (compE2 e1) + pc')$   $xcp'$ 
  by(auto simp add:  $\tau move2$ -iff  $\tau$ instr-stk-drop-exec-move)
  moreover have  $call1 (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) = call1 e2$  by simp
  moreover have  $no-call2 e2 0 \implies no-call2 (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) (length (compE2 e1))$ 
    by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis using False call
    by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps) blast
  qed
  moreover from bisim'
  have  $P, e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h' \vdash (Val v \cdot F\{D\} := E', xs') \leftrightarrow ((stk'' @ [v]), loc'', length (compE2 e1) + pc'', xcp'')$ 
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss2)
  moreover from bisim1 have  $pc \neq length (compE2 e1) \longrightarrow no-call2 (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) pc$ 
    by(auto simp add: no-call2-def dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  exec1 s
    apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
    apply(blast intro:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-trans|fastforce elim!:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-trans simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)+
    done
  next
  case (Red1FAss a v)
  note [simp] =  $\langle e1' = addr a \rangle \langle e2 = Val v \rangle \langle ta = \{\text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v\} \rangle \langle e' = unit \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
  and write =  $\langle \text{heap-write } h a (\text{CField } D F) v h' \rangle$ 
  have  $\tau: \neg \tau move1 P h (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2)$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau move1$ .simps  $\tau moves1$ .simps)
  from bisim1 have s:  $xcp = None$   $xs = loc$ 
  and  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Addr a], loc, length (compE2 e1), None)$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P t (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Addr a], loc, length (compE2 e1), None)$ 
    by-(rule FAss- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
  also have  $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a] (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := Val v) (length (compE2 e1)) None$  by(simp add:  $\tau move2$ -iff)
  hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a  $P t (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) h ([Addr a], loc, length (compE2 e1), None) ([v, Addr a], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), None)$ 
    by-(rule  $\tau$ Execr1step, auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def)
  also (rtranclp-trans) from write
  have exec-move-a  $P t (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) h ([v, Addr a], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), None)$ 
     $\{\text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v\}$ 
     $h' ([] , loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1))), None)$ 
    unfolding exec-move-def by(auto intro!: exec-instr)
  moreover have  $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h [v, Addr a] (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) (Suc (length (compE2 e1))) None \implies False$ 
    by(simp add:  $\tau move2$ -iff)
  moreover
  have  $P, e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h' \vdash (unit, loc) \leftrightarrow ([] , loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2))), None$ 
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss3)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
  next
  case (Red1FAssNull v)
  note [simp] =  $\langle e1' = null \rangle \langle e2 = Val v \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{THROW NullPointer} \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle$ 
  have  $\tau: \neg \tau move1 P h (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2)$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau move1$ .simps  $\tau moves1$ .simps)

```

```

from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
  and  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Null], loc, length (compE2 e1), None)
  by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a P t (e1·F{D} := e2) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Null], loc, length (compE2 e1),
None)
  by-(rule FAss- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
  also have  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [Null] (e1·F{D} := Val v) (length (compE2 e1)) None by(simp
add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
  hence  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a P t (e1·F{D} := e2) h ([Null], loc, length (compE2 e1), None) ([v, Null],
loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), None)
  by-(rule  $\tau$ Execr1step, auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def)
  also (rtranclp-trans)
  have exec-move-a P t (e1·F{D} := e2) h ([v, Null], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), None) ε
    h' ([v, Null], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
  by(auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def)
  moreover have  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [v, Null] (e1·F{D} := e2) (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))
None  $\implies$  False
  by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
moreover
  have P, e1·F{D} := e2, h  $\vdash$  (THROW NullPointer, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([v, Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) +
length (compE2 e2), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAssNull)
ultimately show ?thesis using s τ by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply) blast
next
  case (FAss1Throw1 a)
  note [simp] = e1' = Throw a  $\langle$ ta = ε $\rangle$  e' = Throw a  $\langle$ h' = h $\rangle$  xs' = xs
  have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move1 P h (Throw a·F{D} := e2) by(rule  $\tau$ move1FAssThrow1)
  from bisim1 have xcp = [a]  $\vee$  xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  thus ?thesis
  proof
    assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
    with bisim1
    have P, e1·F{D} := e2, h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
    thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
  next
    assume [simp]: xcp = None
    with bisim1 obtain pc' where  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc',
[a])
      and bisim': P, e1, h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
      and [simp]: xs = loc
      by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau$ Exec-mover)
      hence  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a P t (e1·F{D} := e2) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
        by-(rule FAss- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
      moreover from bisim'
      have P, e1·F{D} := e2, h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAssThrow1)
      ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
  qed
next
  case (FAss1Throw2 v ad)
  note [simp] = e1' = Val v  $\vee$  e2 = Throw ad  $\langle$ e' = Throw ad $\rangle$  h' = h  $\langle$ xs' = xs
  from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
  and  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e1), None)

```

```

    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau\text{-Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := \text{Throw ad}) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$ 
    by-(rule FAss- $\tau\text{-ExecrI1}$ )
  also have  $\tau\text{-Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := \text{Throw ad}) h ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None}) ([\text{Addr ad}, v], \text{loc}, \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)), [\text{ad}])$ 
    by(rule  $\tau\text{-Execr2step}$ )(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr  $\tau\text{-move2-iff } \tau\text{-move1.simps}$   $\tau\text{-moves1.simps}$ )
  also (rtranclp-trans)
  have  $P, e1 \cdot F\{D\} := \text{Throw ad}, h \vdash (\text{Throw ad}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr ad}] @ [v], \text{loc}, (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (\text{addr ad}))), [\text{ad}])$ 
    by(rule bisim1FAssThrow2[OF bisim1Throw2])
  moreover have  $\tau\text{-move1 } P h (\text{FAss } e1' F D (\text{Throw ad}))$  by(auto intro:  $\tau\text{-move1FAssThrow2}$ )
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
qed
next
case (bisim1FAss2 e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc xcp e1 F D v1)
note IH2 = bisim1FAss2.IH(2)
note bisim1 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e1, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$ 
note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) n \rangle$ 
note red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Val } v1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2', (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
from red show ?case
proof cases
  case (FAss1Red2 E')
  note [simp] =  $\langle e' = \text{Val } v1 \cdot F\{D\} := E' \rangle$ 
  and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e2', (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
  from IH2[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' 
    where bisim':  $P, e2, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}'', \text{pc}'', \text{xcp}'')$ 
    and exec': ?exec ta e2 e2' E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
    from red have  $\tau: \tau\text{-move1 } P h (\text{Val } v1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2') = \tau\text{-move1 } P h e2'$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{-move1.simps } \tau\text{-moves1.simps}$ )
    have no-call2 e2 pc ==> no-call2 (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) (length (compE2 e1) + pc) by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
    hence ?exec ta (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) (Val v1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2') (Val v1 \cdot F\{D\} := E') h (stk @ [v1]) loc (length (compE2 e1) + pc) xcp h' (length (compE2 e1) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v1]) loc'' xcp'' 
      using exec'  $\tau$ 
      apply(cases  $\tau\text{-move1 } P h (\text{Val } v1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2')$ )
      apply(auto)
      apply(blast intro: FAss- $\tau\text{-ExecrI2 }$  FAss- $\tau\text{-ExecrI2 }$  exec-move-FAssI2)
      apply(blast intro: FAss- $\tau\text{-ExecrI2 }$  FAss- $\tau\text{-ExecrI2 }$  exec-move-FAssI2)
      apply(rule exI conjI FAss- $\tau\text{-ExecrI2 }$  exec-move-FAssI2|assumption)+
      apply(fastforce simp add:  $\tau\text{-instr-stk-drop-exec-move } \tau\text{-move2-iff split: if-split-asm}$ )
      apply(rule exI conjI FAss- $\tau\text{-ExecrI2 }$  exec-move-FAssI2|assumption)+
      apply(fastforce simp add:  $\tau\text{-instr-stk-drop-exec-move } \tau\text{-move2-iff split: if-split-asm}$ )
      apply(rule exI conjI FAss- $\tau\text{-ExecrI2 }$  exec-move-FAssI2 rtranclp.rtrancl-refl|assumption)+
      apply(fastforce simp add:  $\tau\text{-instr-stk-drop-exec-move } \tau\text{-move2-iff split: if-split-asm}$ )+
      done
    moreover from bisim'
    have  $P, e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h' \vdash (\text{Val } v1 \cdot F\{D\} := E', xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'' @ [v1], \text{loc}'', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc'', xcp'')$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss2)
    ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto blast+
next

```

```

case (Red1FAss a v)
note [simp] = ⟨v1 = Addr a⟩ ⟨e2' = Val v⟩ ⟨ta = {WriteMem a (CField D F) v}⟩ ⟨e' = unit⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩
and ha = ⟨heap-write h a (CField D F) v h'⟩
have  $\tau : \neg \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{addr } a \cdot F\{D\} := e2')$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
from bisim2 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } e2 \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{stk, loc, pc, xcp}) \text{ } ([v], \text{loc, length (compE2 e2), None})$ 
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp}) \text{ } ([v]$ 
@ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)
by-(rule FAss-τExecrI2)
moreover from ha
have exec-move-a P t (e1 · F{D} := e2) h ([v, Addr a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None) {WriteMem a (CField D F) v}
h' ([] loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)), None)
by(auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def)
moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 (compP2 P)} \text{ } h \text{ } [v, \text{Addr a}] \text{ } (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) \text{ } (\text{length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)}) \text{ } \text{None} \implies \text{False}$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
moreover
have P, e1 · F{D} := e2, h' ⊢ (unit, loc) ↔ ([] loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)), None)
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss3)
ultimately show ?thesis using s τ by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case (Red1FAssNull v)
note [simp] = ⟨v1 = Null⟩ ⟨e2' = Val v⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩ ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨e' = THROW NullPointer⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩
have  $\tau : \neg \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{null} \cdot F\{D\} := e2')$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
from bisim2 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } e2 \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{stk, loc, pc, xcp}) \text{ } ([v], \text{loc, length (compE2 e2), None})$ 
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{stk @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp}) \text{ } ([v] @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None})$ 
by-(rule FAss-τExecrI2)
moreover have exec-move-a P t (e1 · F{D} := e2) h ([v, Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None) ε
h' ([v, Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2),
[addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
by(auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def)
moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 (compP2 P)} \text{ } h \text{ } [v, \text{Null}] \text{ } (e1 \cdot F\{D\} := e2) \text{ } (\text{length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)}) \text{ } \text{None} \implies \text{False}$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
moreover
have P, e1 · F{D} := e2, h ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, loc) ↔ ([v, Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAssNull)
ultimately show ?thesis using s τ by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply) blast
next
case (FAss1Throw2 a)
note [simp] = ⟨e2' = Throw a⟩ ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩ ⟨e' = Throw a⟩
have  $\tau : \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{FAss (Val v1) F D (Throw a)})$  by(rule τmove1FAssThrow2)
from bisim2 have xcp = [a] ∨ xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof

```

```

assume [simp]:  $xcp = \lfloor a \rfloor$ 
with bisim2
have  $P, e1.F\{D\} := e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk } @ [v1], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, xcp)$ 
  by(auto intro: bisim1FAssThrow2)
  thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
next
  assume [simp]:  $xcp = \text{None}$ 
  with bisim2 obtain  $pc'$ 
    where  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-}a P t e2 h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$ 
    and bisim':  $P, e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$  and [simp]:  $xs = loc$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau$ Exec-mover)
    hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-}a P t (e1.F\{D\} := e2) h (\text{stk } @ [v1], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \text{None})$ 
     $([\text{Addr } a] @ [v1], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$ 
      by-(rule FAss- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
    moreover from bisim'
      have  $P, e1.F\{D\} := e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a] @ [v1], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$ 
        by-(rule bisim1FAssThrow2, auto)
      ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
    qed
  qed auto
next
  case bisim1FAssThrow1 thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case bisim1FAssThrow2 thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case bisim1FAssNull thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case bisim1FAss3 thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case (bisim1CAS1 e1 n e1' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 e3 D F)
  note IH1 = bisim1CAS1.IH(2)
  note IH2 = bisim1CAS1.IH(4)
  note IH3 = bisim1CAS1.IH(6)
  note bisim1 =  $\langle P, e1, h \vdash (e1', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
  note bisim2 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  note bisim3 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e3, h \vdash (e3, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok} - n \rangle$ 
  from  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \neg, (h, xs) \rangle \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$  show ?case
  proof cases
    case (CAS1Red1 E')
    note [simp] =  $\langle e' = E'.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3) \rangle$ 
    and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e1', (h, xs) \rangle \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
    from red have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h (e1'.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3)) = \tau\text{move1 } P h e1'$  by(auto simp add: rmove1.simps rmoves1.simps)
    moreover from red have call1 (e1'.compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3)) = call1 e1' by auto
    moreover from IH1[OF red] bsok
    obtain  $pc'' \text{ stk'' loc'' xcp''}$  where bisim:  $P, e1, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      and redo: ?exec ta e1 e1' E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
    from bisim
    have  $P, e1.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3), h' \vdash (E'.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, e2, e3), xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS1)
    moreover {

```

```

assume no-call2 e1 pc
hence no-call2 (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) pc ∨ pc = length (compE2 e1) by(auto simp
add: no-call2-def) }
ultimately show ?thesis using redo
by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI1 CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI1 exec-move-CASI1)+

next
case (CAS1Red2 E' v)
note [simp] = ‹e1' = Val v› ‹e' = Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, E', e3)›
and red = ‹True, P, t ⊢ e2, (h, xs) − ta → E', (h', xs')›
from red have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move1 P h (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) =  $\tau$ move1 P h e2 by(auto
simp add:  $\tau$ move1.simps  $\tau$ moves1.simps)
from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
and exec1:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([v], xs, length (compE2 e1), None)
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
from exec1 have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk, loc, pc, None)
([v], xs, length (compE2 e1), None)
by(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
moreover
from IH2[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
where bisim': P, e2, h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
and exec': ?exec ta e2 e2 E' h [] xs 0 None h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
have ?exec ta (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (Val
v·compareAndSwap(D·F, E', e3)) h ([] @ [v]) xs (length (compE2 e1) + 0) None h' (length (compE2
e1) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v]) loc'' xcp''
proof(cases  $\tau$ move1 P h (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)))
case True
with exec'  $\tau$  have [simp]: h = h' and e: sim-move e2 E' P t e2 h ([] @ [v], xs, 0, None) (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'') by auto
from e have sim-move (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, E', e3))
P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v], xs, length (compE2 e1) + 0, None) (stk'' @ [v],
loc'', length (compE2 e1) + pc'', xcp'')
by(fastforce dest: CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI2 CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
with True show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
with exec'  $\tau$  obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
where e:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h ([] @ [v], xs, 0, None) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
and e': exec-move-a P t e2 h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
and  $\tau$ '':  $\neg$   $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk' e2 pc' xcp'
and call: call1 e2 = None ∨ no-call2 e2 0 ∨ pc' = 0 ∧ stk' = [] ∧ loc' = xs ∧ xcp' = None by
auto
from e have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v], xs, length
(compE2 e1) + 0, None) (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp') by(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
moreover from e' have exec-move-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk' @ [v], loc',
length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @ [v], loc'', length (compE2
e1) + pc'', xcp'')
by(rule exec-move-CASI2)
moreover from e' have pc' < length (compE2 e2) by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases)
with  $\tau$ ' e' have  $\neg$   $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h (stk' @ [v]) (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (length
(compE2 e1) + pc') xcp'
by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ instr-stk-drop-exec-move  $\tau$ move2-iff)
moreover from red have call1 (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) = call1 e2 by auto

```

```

moreover have no-call2 e2 0  $\implies$  no-call2 (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (length (compE2 e1))
  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis using False call
    by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps) blast
  qed
  moreover from bisim'
    have P,e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3),h'  $\vdash$  (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, E', e3), xs')  $\leftrightarrow$ 
      ((stk'' @ [v]), loc'', length (compE2 e1) + pc'', xcp'')
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS2)
    moreover from bisim1 have pc  $\neq$  length (compE2 e1)  $\longrightarrow$  no-call2 (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) pc
      by(auto simp add: no-call2-def dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
      ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  exec1 s
        apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
        apply(blast intro:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-trans|fastforce elim!:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-trans simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)+
        done
  next
    case (CAS1Red3 E' v v')
    note [simp] = <e2 = Val v'> <e1' = Val v> <e' = Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', E')>
      and red = <True,P,t  $\vdash$  (e3,(h, xs))  $-ta\rightarrow$  (E',(h', xs'))>
    from red have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move1 P h (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3)) =  $\tau$ move1 P h e3 by(auto
      simp add:  $\tau$ move1.simps  $\tau$ moves1.simps)
    from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
      and exec1:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([] @ [v], xs, length (compE2 e1) + 0,
      None)
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    from exec1 have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk, loc, pc, None)
      ([] @ [v], xs, length (compE2 e1) + 0, None)
      by(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI1)
    also from bisim2[of xs]
      have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h ([] @ [v], xs, 0, None) ([v'], xs, length (compE2 e2), None)
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v], xs, length (compE2 e1)
      + 0, None) ([v'] @ [v], xs, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)
      by(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
    also (rtranclp-trans) from IH3[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
      where bisim': P,e3,h'  $\vdash$  (E', xs')  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
      and exec': ?exec ta e3 e3 E' h [] xs 0 None h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
      have ?exec ta (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3)) (Val
      v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', E')) h ([] @ [v', v]) xs (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
      + 0) None h' (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v', v]) loc'' xcp''
      proof(cases  $\tau$ move1 P h (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3)))
        case True
          with exec'  $\tau$  have [simp]: h = h' and e: sim-move e3 E' P t e3 h ([] @ [v], xs, 0, None) (stk'', loc'',
          pc'', xcp'') by auto
          from e have sim-move (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3)) (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F,
          Val v', E')) P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v', v], xs, length (compE2 e1) +
          length (compE2 e2) + 0, None) (stk'' @ [v', v], loc'', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc'', xcp'')
          by(fastforce dest: CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI3 CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI3 simp del: compE2.simps compEs2.simps)
          with True show ?thesis by auto
        next
          case False

```

```

with exec'  $\tau$  obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
  where e:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e3 h ([] $\langle$ xs, 0, None $\rangle$ ) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  and e': exec-move-a P t e3 h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
  and  $\tau'$ :  $\neg$   $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk' e3 pc' xcp'
  and call: call1 e3 = None  $\vee$  no-call2 e3 0  $\vee$  pc' = 0  $\wedge$  stk' = []  $\wedge$  loc' = xs  $\wedge$  xcp' = None by
  auto
    from e have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([] $\langle$ v', v $\rangle$ , xs, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + 0, None) (stk' @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc', xcp') by(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI3)
    moreover from e' have exec-move-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk' @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @ [v', v], loc'', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc'', xcp'')
      by(rule exec-move-CASI3)
    moreover from e'  $\tau'$ 
      have  $\neg$   $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h (stk' @ [v', v]) (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc') xcp'
        by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ instr-stk-drop-exec-move  $\tau$ move2-iff)
      moreover have call1 (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) = call1 e3 by simp
      moreover have no-call2 e3 0  $\Longrightarrow$  no-call2 (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2))
        by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
      ultimately show ?thesis using False call
        by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps) blast
  qed
  moreover from bisim'
    have P, e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3), h'  $\vdash$  (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', E'), xs')  $\leftrightarrow$ 
    ((stk'' @ [v', v]), loc'', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc'', xcp'')
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS3)
    moreover from bisim1 have pc  $\neq$  length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)  $\longrightarrow$  no-call2
    (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) pc
      by(auto simp add: no-call2-def dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
    ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  exec1 s
      apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
      apply(blast intro:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-trans|fastforce elim!:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-trans simp del: split-paired-Ex
    call1.simps calls1.simps)+
    done
  next
  case (CAS1Null v v')
    note [simp] =  $\langle$ e1' = null $\rangle$   $\langle$ e' = THROW NullPointer $\rangle$   $\langle$ e2 = Val v $\rangle$   $\langle$ xs' = xs $\rangle$   $\langle$ ta =  $\varepsilon$  $\rangle$   $\langle$ h' = h $\rangle$   $\langle$ e3 = Val v' $\rangle$ 
    have  $\tau$ :  $\neg$   $\tau$ move1 P h (AAss null (Val v) (Val v')) by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ move1.simps  $\tau$ moves1.simps)
    from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
      and  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([] @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + 0, None)
        by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
      hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([] @ [Null],
    loc, length (compE2 e1) + 0, None)
        by-(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrII)
      also from bisim2[of loc] have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h ([] $\langle$ loc, 0, None $\rangle$ ) ([v], loc, length (compE2
    e2) + 0, None)
        by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
      hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [Null], loc, length (compE2
    e1) + 0, None) ([v] @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + (length (compE2 e2) + 0), None)
        by(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
  
```

```

also (rtranclp-trans) have [v] @ [Null] = [] @ [v, Null] by simp
also note add.assoc[symmetric]
also from bisim3[of loc] have τExec-mover-a P t e3 h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ([v'], loc, length (compE2 e3), None)
  by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v, Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + 0, None) ([v'] @ [v, Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), None)
    by(rule CAS-τExecrI3)
  also (rtranclp-trans)
    have exec-move-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([v', v, Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), None) ε
      h ([v', v, Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
      unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
    moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v', v, Null] (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3)) None ==> False
      by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    moreover
      have P, e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3), h' ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, loc) ↔ ([v', v, Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CASFail)
    ultimately show ?thesis using s τ by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps) blast
next
  case (Red1CASSucceed a v v')
  hence [simp]: e1' = addr a e' = true e2 = Val v
    ta = {ReadMem a (CField D F) v, WriteMem a (CField D F) v'} xs' = xs e3 = Val v'
    and read: heap-read h a (CField D F) v
    and write: heap-write h a (CField D F) v' h' by auto
  have τ: ¬ τmove1 P h (CompareAndSwap (addr a) D F (Val v) (Val v')) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
    from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
      and τExec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([] @ [Addr a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + 0, None)
        by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence τExec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([] @ [Addr a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + 0, None)
      by-(rule CAS-τExecrI1)
    also from bisim2[of loc]
      have τExec-mover-a P t e2 h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e2) + 0, None)
        by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence τExec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e1) + 0, None) ([v] @ [Addr a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + (length (compE2 e2) + 0), None)
      by(rule CAS-τExecrI2)
    also (rtranclp-trans) have [v] @ [Addr a] = [] @ [v, Addr a] by simp
    also note add.assoc[symmetric]
    also from bisim3[of loc] have τExec-mover-a P t e3 h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ([v'], loc, length (compE2 e3), None)
      by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence τExec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v, Addr a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + 0, None) ([v'] @ [v, Addr a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), None)
      by(rule CAS-τExecrI3)
    also (rtranclp-trans) from read write
    have exec-move-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([v', v, Addr a], loc, length (compE2

```

```

e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), None)
    { ReadMem a (CField D F) v, WriteMem a (CField D F) v' }
    h' ([Bool True], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) +
length (compE2 e3)), None)
unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def is-Ref-def)
moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) \text{ } h \text{ } [v', v, \text{Addr } a] \text{ } (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \text{ } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e3)) \text{ } \text{None} \implies \text{False}$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
moreover
have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h' \vdash (\text{true}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Bool True}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3))), \text{None})$ 
by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case (Red1CASFail a v'' v v')
hence [simp]:  $e1' = \text{addr } a \text{ } e' = \text{false } e2 = \text{Val } v \text{ } h' = h$ 
     $ta = \{\text{ReadMem } a \text{ } (\text{CField } D \cdot F) \text{ } v''\} \text{ } xs' = xs \text{ } e3 = \text{Val } v'$ 
    and read: heap-read h a (CField D F) v'' v ≠ v'' by auto
have  $\tau: \neg \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{CompareAndSwap } (\text{addr } a) \text{ } D \cdot F \text{ } (\text{Val } v) \text{ } (\text{Val } v')) \text{ } \text{by}$ (auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
from bisim1 have s:  $xcp = \text{None } xs = \text{loc}$ 
and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } e1 \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \text{ } ([] @ [\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + 0, \text{None})$ 
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \text{ } ([] @ [\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + 0, \text{None})$ 
by-(rule CAS- $\tau\text{ExecrII}$ )
also from bisim2[of loc]
have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } e2 \text{ } h \text{ } ([] @ [\text{loc}], \text{loc}, 0, \text{None}) \text{ } ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + 0, \text{None})$ 
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \text{ } h \text{ } ([] @ [\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + 0, \text{None}) \text{ } ([v] @ [\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + 0), \text{None})$ 
by(rule CAS- $\tau\text{ExecrI2}$ )
also (rtranclp-trans) have  $[v] @ [\text{Addr } a] = [] @ [v, \text{Addr } a]$  by simp
also note add.assoc[symmetric]
also from bisim3[of loc] have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } e3 \text{ } h \text{ } ([] @ [\text{loc}], \text{loc}, 0, \text{None}) \text{ } ([v'], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e3), \text{None})$ 
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \text{ } h \text{ } ([] @ [v, \text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + 0, \text{None}) \text{ } ([v'] @ [v, \text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e3), \text{None})$ 
by(rule CAS- $\tau\text{ExecrI3}$ )
also (rtranclp-trans) from read
have exec-move-a P t (e1 · compareAndSwap(D · F, e2, e3)) h ([v', v, Addr a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), None)
    { ReadMem a (CField D F) v'' }
    h ([Bool False], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3)), None)
unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def is-Ref-def)
moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) \text{ } h \text{ } [v', v, \text{Addr } a] \text{ } (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \text{ } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e3)) \text{ } \text{None} \implies \text{False}$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
moreover
have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{false}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Bool False}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3))), \text{None})$ 

```

```

    by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using s τ by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps)blast
next
  case (CAS1Throw a)
  hence [simp]:  $e1' = \text{Throw } a \text{ } ta = \varepsilon \text{ } e' = \text{Throw } a \text{ } h' = h \text{ } xs' = xs$  by auto
  have  $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P \text{ } h \text{ (}\text{Throw } a \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)\text{)}$  by(rule τmove1CASThrow1)
  from bisim1 have  $xcp = [a] \vee xcp = \text{None}$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  thus ?thesis
proof
  assume [simp]:  $xcp = [a]$ 
  with bisim1 have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$ 
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
  thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)
next
  assume [simp]:  $xcp = \text{None}$ 
  with bisim1 obtain  $pc'$  where  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } e1 \text{ } h \text{ (} stk, loc, pc, \text{None) ([Addr } a\text{], loc, pc', [a])}$ 
    and bisim':  $P, e1, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])$ 
    and [simp]:  $xs = loc$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ (} e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)\text{)} \text{ } h \text{ (} stk, loc, pc, \text{None) ([Addr } a\text{], loc, pc', [a])}$ 
    by-(rule CAS-τExecrI1)
  moreover from bisim'
  have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])$ 
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CASThrow1)
  ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto
qed
next
  case (CAS1Throw2 v ad)
  note [simp] =  $\langle e1' = \text{Val } v \rangle \langle e2 = \text{Throw } ad \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Throw } ad \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
  from bisim1 have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
    and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } e1 \text{ } h \text{ (} stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, \text{length (compE2 } e1\text{)}, \text{None})$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ (} e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Throw } ad, e3)\text{)} \text{ } h \text{ (} stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, \text{length (compE2 } e1\text{)}, \text{None})$ 
    by-(rule CAS-τExecrI1)
  also have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ (} e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Throw } ad, e3)\text{)} \text{ } h \text{ ([v], loc, \text{length (compE2 } e1\text{)}, \text{None}) ([Addr } ad, v\text{], loc, Suc (\text{length (compE2 } e1\text{)}), [ad])}$ 
    by(rule τExecr2step)(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr τmove2-iff τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  also (rtranclp-trans)
  have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Throw } ad, e3), h \vdash (\text{Throw } ad, loc) \leftrightarrow ([Addr ad] @ [v], loc, (\text{length (compE2 } e1\text{)} + \text{length (compE2 (addr ad)))}, [ad])$ 
    by(rule bisim1CASThrow2[OF bisim1Throw2])
  moreover have  $\tau\text{move1 } P \text{ } h \text{ (} e1' \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Throw } ad, e3)\text{)}$  by(auto intro: τmove1CASThrow2)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
next
  case (CAS1Throw3 v v' ad)
  note [simp] =  $\langle e1' = \text{Val } v \rangle \langle e2 = \text{Val } v' \rangle \langle e3 = \text{Throw } ad \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Throw } ad \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
  from bisim1 have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
    and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } e1 \text{ } h \text{ (} stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, \text{length (compE2 } e1\text{)}, \text{None})$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)

```

hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, \text{Throw ad})) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$
by –(rule CAS- $\tau\text{ExecrI1}$)
also from $\text{bisim2}[\text{of loc}]$ **have** $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h ([] , \text{loc}, 0, \text{None}) ([v'] , \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$
by (auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
from CAS- $\tau\text{ExecrI2}[OF \text{this}, \text{of } e1 D F e3 v]$
have $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, \text{Throw ad})) h ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None}) ([v', v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$ **by** simp
also (rtranclp-trans)
have $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, \text{Throw ad})) h ([v', v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None}) ([\text{Addr ad}, v', v], \text{loc}, \text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2)), [\text{ad}])$
by(rule $\tau\text{Execr2step}$)(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr $\tau\text{move2-iff } \tau\text{move1.simps}$ $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$)
also (rtranclp-trans)
have $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, \text{Throw ad}), h \vdash (\text{Throw ad}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr ad}] @ [v', v], \text{loc}, (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } (\text{addr ad}))), [\text{ad}])$
by(rule $\text{bisim1CASThrow3}[OF \text{bisim1Throw2}]$)
moreover have $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{Val } v \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v', \text{Throw ad}))$ **by**(auto intro: $\tau\text{move1CASThrow3}$)
ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
qed

next

case ($\text{bisim1CAS2 } e2 \ n \ e2' \ xs \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ \text{pc} \ \text{xcp} \ e1 \ e3 \ D \ F \ v1$)
note $IH2 = \text{bisim1CAS2.IH}(2)$
note $IH3 = \text{bisim1CAS2.IH}(6)$
note $\text{bisim2} = \langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$
note $\text{bisim1} = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e1, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$
note $\text{bisim3} = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e3, h \vdash (e3, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$
note $bsok = \langle bsok (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) n \rangle$
from $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Val } v1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2', e3), (h, xs) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ **show** ?case
proof cases
case ($CAS1Red2 E'$)
note [simp] = $\langle e' = \text{Val } v1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, E', e3) \rangle$
and $red = \langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e2', (h, xs) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$
from red **have** $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{Val } v1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2', e3)) = \tau\text{move1 } P h e2'$ **by**(auto simp add: $\tau\text{move1.simps}$ $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$)
from $IH2[OF red]$ $bsok$ **obtain** $pc'' \ \text{stk}'' \ \text{loc}'' \ \text{xcp}''$
where $\text{bisim}' : P, e2, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}'', \text{pc}'', \text{xcp}'')$
and $\text{exec}' : ?\text{exec} ta e2 e2' E' h \ \text{stk} \ \text{loc} \ \text{pc} \ \text{xcp} \ h' \ \text{pc}'' \ \text{stk}'' \ \text{loc}'' \ \text{xcp}''$ **by** auto
have ?exec ta ($e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)$) ($\text{Val } v1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2', e3)$) ($\text{Val } v1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, E', e3)$) $h (\text{stk} @ [v1]) \ \text{loc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{pc}) \ \text{xcp} \ h' (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{pc}'') (\text{stk}'' @ [v1]) \ \text{loc}'' \ \text{xcp}''$
proof(cases $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{Val } v1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2', e3))$)
case True
with $\text{exec}' \ \tau$ **have** [simp]: $h = h'$ **and** $e: \text{sim-move } e2' E' P t e2 h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}'' , \text{loc}'' , \text{pc}'' , \text{xcp}'')$ **by** auto
from e **have** sim-move ($\text{Val } v1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2', e3)$) ($\text{Val } v1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, E', e3)$) $P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h (\text{stk} @ [v1], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}'' @ [v1], \text{loc}'' , \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{pc}'', \text{xcp}'')$
by(fastforce dest: CAS- $\tau\text{ExecrI2}$ CAS- $\tau\text{ExecrI2}$ simp del: compE2.simps compEs2.simps)
with True **show** ?thesis **by** auto

next

```

case False
with exec' τ obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
  where e: τExec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  and e': exec-move-a P t e2 h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
  and τ': ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk' e2 pc' xcp'
  and call: call1 e2' = None ∨ no-call2 e2 pc ∨ pc' = pc ∧ stk' = stk ∧ loc' = loc ∧ xcp' = xcp
by auto
  from e have τExec-mover-a P t (e1.compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) (stk' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp') by(rule CAS-τExecrI2)
  moreover from e' have exec-move-a P t (e1.compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2 e1) + pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @ [v1], loc'', length (compE2 e1) + pc'', xcp'')
  by(rule exec-move-CASI2)
  moreover from e' have pc' < length (compE2 e2) by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases)
  with τ' e' have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h (stk' @ [v1]) (e1.compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3))
  (length (compE2 e1) + pc') xcp'
  by(auto simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-if)
  moreover from red have call1 (Val v1.compareAndSwap(D·F, e2', e3)) = call1 e2' by auto
  moreover have no-call2 e2 pc ==> no-call2 (e1.compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (length (compE2 e1) + pc)
  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis using False call by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)

qed
moreover from bisim'
  have P,e1.compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3),h' ⊢ (Val v1.compareAndSwap(D·F, E', e3), xs') ↔
  ((stk'' @ [v1]), loc'', length (compE2 e1) + pc'', xcp'')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS2)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
    apply(blast intro: τExec-mover-trans)+
    done
next
case (CAS1Red3 E' v')
  note [simp] = <e2' = Val v'> <e' = Val v1.compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', E')>
  and red = <True,P,t ⊢ (e3,(h, xs)) − ta → (E',(h', xs'))>
  from red have τ: τmove1 P h (Val v1.compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3)) = τmove1 P h e3
  by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  from bisim2 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
  and exec1: τExec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v'], xs, length (compE2 e2), None)
  by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t (e1.compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ([v'] @ [v1], xs, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)
  by-(rule CAS-τExecrI2)
  moreover from IH3[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
    where bisim': P,e3,h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
    and exec': ?exec ta e3 e3 E' h [] xs 0 None h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
    have ?exec ta (e1.compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (Val v1.compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3)) (Val v1 ⊢ Val v' := E') h ([] @ [v', v1]) xs (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + 0) None h' (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v', v1]) loc'' xcp''
    proof(cases τmove1 P h (Val v1.compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3)))
      case True
      with exec' τ have [simp]: h = h'

```

```

and e: sim-move e3 E' P t e3 h ([]0, xs, 0, None) (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'') by auto
from e have sim-move (Val v1·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3)) (Val v1·compareAndSwap(D·F,
Val v', E')) P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v', v1], xs, length (compE2 e1) + length
(compE2 e2) + 0, None) (stk'' @ [v', v1], loc'', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc'', xcp'')
by(fastforce dest: CAS- $\tau$ ExecI3 CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI3 simp del: compE2.simps compEs2.simps)
with True show ?thesis by auto
next
case False
with exec'  $\tau$  obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
where e:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e3 h ([]0, xs, 0, None) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
and e': exec-move-a P t e3 h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
and  $\tau'$ :  $\neg$   $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk' e3 pc' xcp'
and call: call1 e3 = None  $\vee$  no-call2 e3 0  $\vee$  pc' = 0  $\wedge$  stk' = []  $\wedge$  loc' = xs  $\wedge$  xcp' = None by
auto
from e have  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v', v1], xs, length
(compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + 0, None) (stk' @ [v', v1], loc', length (compE2 e1) + length
(compE2 e2) + pc', xcp') by(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI3)
moreover from e' have exec-move-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk' @ [v', v1],
loc', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @
[v', v1], loc'', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc'', xcp'')
by(rule exec-move-CASI3)
moreover from e'  $\tau'$  have  $\neg$   $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h (stk' @ [v', v1]) (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F,
e2, e3)) (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc') xcp'
by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ instr-stk-drop-exec-move  $\tau$ move2-iff)
moreover from red have call1 (Val v1·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3)) = call1 e3 by auto
moreover have no-call2 e3 0  $\Longrightarrow$  no-call2 (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (length (compE2
e1) + length (compE2 e2))
by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using False call by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)
blast
qed
moreover from bisim'
have P,e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3),h'  $\vdash$  (Val v1·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', E'), xs')  $\leftrightarrow$ 
((stk'' @ [v', v1]), loc'', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc'', xcp'')
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS3)
moreover from bisim2 have pc  $\neq$  length (compE2 e2)  $\longrightarrow$  no-call2 (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F,
e2, e3)) (length (compE2 e1) + pc)
by(auto simp add: no-call2-def dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  exec1 s
apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
apply(blast intro:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-trans|fastforce elim!:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-trans simp del: split-paired-Ex
call1.simps calls1.simps)+
done
next
case (CAS1Null v v')
note [simp] = <v1 = Null, e' = THROW NullPointer, e2' = Val v, xs' = xs, ta =  $\varepsilon$ , h' =
h, e3 = Val v'
have  $\tau$ :  $\neg$   $\tau$ move1 P h (CompareAndSwap null D F (Val v) (Val v')) by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ move1.simps
 $\tau$ moves1.simps)
from bisim2 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
and  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e2), None)
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)

```

hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h (\text{stk} @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \text{xcp}) ([v] @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$
by-(rule CAS- τ ExecrI2)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h (\text{stk} @ [\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \text{xcp}) ([] @ [v, \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + 0, \text{None})$ **by** simp
also from bisim3[of loc] **have** $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e3 h ([] @ [v], \text{loc}, 0, \text{None}) ([v'], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e3), \text{None})$
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v, \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + 0, \text{None}) ([v'] @ [v, \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e3), \text{None})$
by(rule CAS- τ ExecrI3)
also (rtranclp-trans)
have exec-move-a $P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h ([v', v, \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e3), \text{None}) \varepsilon$
 $h ([v', v, \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e3), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$
unfolding exec-move-def **by**-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
moreover have $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [v', v, \text{Null}] (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e3)) \text{None} \implies \text{False}$
by(simp add: τ move2-iff)
moreover
have $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h' \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([v', v, \text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e3), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CASFail)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** s τ **by** auto blast

next
case (Red1CASSucceed a v v')
hence [simp]: $v1 = \text{Addr } a \text{ } e' = \text{true } e2' = \text{Val } v$
 $ta = \{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D \cdot F) \text{ } v, \text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D \cdot F) \text{ } v'\} \text{ } xs' = xs \text{ } e3 = \text{Val } v'$
and read: heap-read h a (CField D F) v
and write: heap-write h a (CField D F) v' h' **by** auto
have $\tau: \neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{CompareAndSwap} (\text{addr } a) D \cdot F (\text{Val } v) (\text{Val } v'))$ **by**(auto simp add: τ move1.simps τ moves1.simps)
from bisim2 **have** s: $\text{xcp} = \text{None}$ $xs = \text{loc}$
and $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h (\text{stk} @ [\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \text{xcp}) ([v] @ [\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$
by-(rule CAS- τ ExecrI2)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h (\text{stk} @ [\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \text{xcp}) ([] @ [v, \text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + 0, \text{None})$
by simp
also from bisim3[of loc] **have** $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e3 h ([] @ [v], \text{loc}, 0, \text{None}) ([v'], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e3), \text{None})$
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v, \text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + 0, \text{None}) ([v'] @ [v, \text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e3), \text{None})$
by(rule CAS- τ ExecrI3)
also (rtranclp-trans) **from** read write
have exec-move-a $P t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h ([v', v, \text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e3), \text{None})$
 $\{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D \cdot F) \text{ } v, \text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D \cdot F) \text{ } v'\}$

```

 $h' ([Bool\ True], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3)), None)$ 
unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def is-Ref-def)
moreover have  $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h [v', v, Addr\ a] (e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3)) None \Longrightarrow False$ 
by(simp add: \tau move2-iff)
moreover
have  $P, e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h' \vdash (true, loc) \leftrightarrow ([Bool\ True], loc, length (compE2 (e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3))), None)$ 
by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case (Red1CASFail a  $v'' v v'$ )
hence [simp]:  $v1 = Addr\ a\ e' = false\ e2' = Val\ v\ h' = h$ 
 $ta = \{ReadMem\ a\ (CField\ D\ F)\ v''\} xs' = xs\ e3 = Val\ v'$ 
and read: heap-read h a (CField D F)  $v'' v \neq v''$  by auto
have  $\tau: \neg \tau move1 P h (CompareAndSwap (addr\ a) D\ F (Val\ v) (Val\ v'))$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau move1.simps\ \tau moves1.simps$ )
from bisim2 have s:  $xcp = None\ xs = loc$ 
and  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 e2), None)$ 
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t (e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h (stk @ [Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ([v] @ [Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)$ 
by-(rule CAS-\tau ExecrI2)
hence  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t (e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h (stk @ [Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ([] @ [v, Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + 0, None)$ 
by simp
also from bisim3[of loc] have  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t e3 h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ([v'], loc, length (compE2 e3), None)$ 
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t (e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h ([] @ [v, Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + 0, None) ([v'] @ [v, Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), None)$ 
by(rule CAS-\tau ExecrI3)
also (rtranclp-trans) from read
have exec-move-a P t ( $e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3)$ ) h  $([v', v, Addr\ a], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), None)$ 
 $\{ ReadMem\ a\ (CField\ D\ F)\ v'' \}$ 
 $h' ([Bool\ False], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3)), None)$ 
unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def is-Ref-def)
moreover have  $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h [v', v, Addr\ a] (e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3)) None \Longrightarrow False$ 
by(simp add: \tau move2-iff)
moreover
have  $P, e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h' \vdash (false, loc) \leftrightarrow ([Bool\ False], loc, length (compE2 (e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3))), None)$ 
by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case (CAS1Throw2 ad)
note [simp] =  $\langle e2' = Throw\ ad \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = Throw\ ad \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
have  $\tau: \tau move1 P h (Val\ v1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, Throw\ ad, e3))$  by(rule  $\tau move1CASThrow2$ )
from bisim2 have  $xcp = [ad] \vee xcp = None$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)

```

```

thus ?thesis
proof
  assume [simp]:  $xcp = \lfloor ad \rfloor$ 
  with bisim2
  have  $P, e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp)$ 
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
  thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
  next
    assume [simp]:  $xcp = None$ 
    with bisim2 obtain  $pc'$  where  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr ad], loc, pc', \lfloor ad \rfloor)$ 
      and  $bisim': P, e2, h \vdash (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Addr ad], loc, pc', \lfloor ad \rfloor)$ 
      and [simp]:  $xs = loc$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau$ Exec-mover)
    hence  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t (e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc', \lfloor ad \rfloor)$ 
      by-(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
    moreover from bisim'
    have  $P, e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Addr ad] @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc', \lfloor ad \rfloor)$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CASThrow2)
    ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
  qed
  next
  case (CAS1Throw3  $v'$   $ad$ )
  note [simp] =  $\langle e2' = Val v' \rangle \langle e3 = Throw ad \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = Throw ad \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
  from bisim2 have s:  $xcp = None$   $xs = loc$ 
    and  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v'], loc, length (compE2 e2), None)$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t (e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, Throw ad)) h (stk @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, xcp) ([v'] @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)$ 
    by-(rule CAS- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
  also have  $\tau Exec-mover-a P t (e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, Throw ad)) h ([v'] @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None) ([Addr ad, v', v1], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)), \lfloor ad \rfloor)$ 
    by(rule  $\tau$ Execr2step)(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr  $\tau move2$ -iff  $\tau move1.simps$   $\tau moves1.simps$ )
  also (rtranclp-trans)
    have  $P, e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, Throw ad), h \vdash (Throw ad, loc) \leftrightarrow ([Addr ad] @ [v', v1], loc, (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 (addr ad))), \lfloor ad \rfloor)$ 
    by(rule bisim1CASThrow3[OF bisim1Throw2])
  moreover have  $\tau move1 P h (CompareAndSwap (Val v1) D F (Val v') (Throw ad))$  by(auto intro:  $\tau move1CASThrow3$ )
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
  qed auto
  next
  case (bisim1CAS3  $e3 n e3' xs stk loc pc xcp e1 e2 D F v v'$ )
  note IH3 = bisim1CAS3.IH(2)
  note bisim3 =  $\langle P, e3, h \vdash (e3', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
  note bsok =  $\langle bsok (e1 \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) n \rangle$ 
  from  $\langle True, P, t \vdash 1 \langle Val v \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, Val v', e3'), (h, xs) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$  show ?case
  proof cases

```

```

case (CAS1Red3 E')
note [simp] = ‹e' = Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', E')›
  and red = ‹True, P, t ⊢ 〈e3',(h, xs)〉 -ta→ 〈E',(h', xs')〉›
  from red have τ: τmove1 P h (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3')) = τmove1 P h e3'
by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
from IH3[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
  where bisim': P,e3,h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
  and exec': ?exec ta e3 e3' E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
  have no-call2 e3 pc ==> no-call2 (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (length (compE2 e1) +
  length (compE2 e2) + pc)
  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
  hence ?exec ta (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3'))
  (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', E')) h (stk @ [v', v]) loc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2
  e2) + pc) xcp h' (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v', v]) loc'' xcp''
  using exec' τ
  apply(cases τmove1 P h (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e3')))
  apply(auto)
  apply(blast intro: CAS-τExecrI3 CAS-τExecrI3 exec-move-CASI3)
  apply(blast intro: CAS-τExecrI3 CAS-τExecrI3 exec-move-CASI3)
  apply(rule exI conjI CAS-τExecrI3 exec-move-CASI3|assumption)+
  apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)
  apply(rule exI conjI CAS-τExecrI3 exec-move-CASI3|assumption)+
  apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)
  apply(rule exI conjI CAS-τExecrI3 exec-move-CASI3 rtranclp.rtranc1-refl|assumption)+
  apply(fastforce simp add: τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move τmove2-iff split: if-split-asm)+
  done
  moreover from bisim'
  have P,e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3),h' ⊢ (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', E'), xs') ↔
  (stk'' @ [v', v], loc'', length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc'', xcp'')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS3)
  ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto blast+
next
case (CAS1Null v'')
note [simp] = ‹v = Null› ‹e' = THROW NullPointer› ‹xs' = xs› ‹ta = ε› ‹h' = h› ‹e3' = Val v''›
  have τ: ¬ τmove1 P h (CompareAndSwap null D F (Val v') (Val v'')) by(auto simp add:
  τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  from bisim3 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and τExec-mover-a P t e3 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v''], loc, length (compE2 e3), None)
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence τExec-mover-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h (stk @ [v', Null], loc, length
    (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc, xcp) ([v''] @ [v', Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length
    (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), None)
    by-(rule CAS-τExecrI3)
  moreover
  have exec-move-a P t (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) h ([v'', v', Null], loc, length (compE2
  e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), None) ε
    h ([v'', v', Null], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length
    (compE2 e3), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
    unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v'', v', Null] (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) (length
  (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3)) None ==> False
  by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover
  have P, e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3), h' ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, loc) ↔ ([v'', v', Null], loc,

```

```

length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + length (compE2 e3), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CASFail)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s τ by auto blast
next
  case (Red1CASSucceed a v'')
  hence [simp]:  $v = \text{Addr } a \text{ } e' = \text{true}$   $e3' = \text{Val } v''$ 
     $ta = \{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v'\}, \text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v''\}$   $xs' = xs$ 
    and read: heap-read  $h \text{ } a (\text{CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v'$ 
    and write: heap-write  $h \text{ } a (\text{CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v'' \text{ } h'$  by auto
    have  $\tau: \neg \taumove1 P h (\text{CompareAndSwap} (\text{addr } a) \text{ } D \text{ } F (\text{Val } v') (\text{Val } v''))$  by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
      from bisim3 have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
        and exec1:  $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } e3 \text{ } h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([v''], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 e3)}, \text{None})$ 
          by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
        hence  $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \text{ } h (\text{stk} @ [v', \text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 e1)} + \text{length (compE2 e2)} + \text{length (compE2 e3)}, \text{None})$ 
          by-(rule CAS-τExecrI3)
        moreover from read write
        have exec-move-a  $P \text{ } t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \text{ } h ([v'', v', \text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 e1)} + \text{length (compE2 e2)} + \text{length (compE2 e3)}, \text{None})$ 
           $\{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v', \text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v''\}$ 
           $h' ([\text{Bool True}], \text{loc}, \text{Suc} (\text{length (compE2 e1)} + \text{length (compE2 e2)} + \text{length (compE2 e3)}), \text{None})$ 
          unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def is-Ref-def)
        moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P)  $h [v'', v', \text{Addr } a] (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) (\text{length (compE2 e1)} + \text{length (compE2 e2)} + \text{length (compE2 e3)}) \text{None} \implies False$ 
          by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
        moreover
        have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h' \vdash (\text{true}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Bool True}], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)))}, \text{None})$ 
          by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
        ultimately show ?thesis using s τ by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps ac-simps) blast
next
  case (Red1CASFail a v'' v'''')
  hence [simp]:  $v = \text{Addr } a \text{ } e' = \text{false}$   $e3' = \text{Val } v''' \text{ } h' = h$ 
     $ta = \{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v'\}$   $xs' = xs$ 
    and read: heap-read  $h \text{ } a (\text{CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v''' \text{ } v' \neq v'''$  by auto
    have  $\tau: \neg \taumove1 P h (\text{CompareAndSwap} (\text{addr } a) \text{ } D \text{ } F (\text{Val } v') (\text{Val } v'''))$  by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
      from bisim3 have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
        and exec1:  $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t \text{ } e3 \text{ } h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([v''], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 e3)}, \text{None})$ 
          by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
        hence  $\tau \text{Exec-mover-a } P \text{ } t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \text{ } h (\text{stk} @ [v', \text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 e1)} + \text{length (compE2 e2)} + \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([v'''] @ [v', \text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 e1)} + \text{length (compE2 e2)} + \text{length (compE2 e3)}, \text{None})$ 
          by-(rule CAS-τExecrI3)
        moreover from read
        have exec-move-a  $P \text{ } t (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \text{ } h ([v''', v', \text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length (compE2 e1)} + \text{length (compE2 e2)} + \text{length (compE2 e3)}, \text{None})$ 
           $\{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D \text{ } F) \text{ } v'''\}$ 
           $h' ([\text{Bool False}], \text{loc}, \text{Suc} (\text{length (compE2 e1)} + \text{length (compE2 e2)} + \text{length (compE2 e3)}), \text{None})$ 
          unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: compP2-def is-Ref-def)

```

```

moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) \ h \ [v'', v', \text{Addr } a] \ (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3))$   

 $(\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e3)) \ \text{None} \implies \text{False}$   

by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff})$   

moreover  

have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h' \vdash (\text{false}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Bool False}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)))$ , None  

by(rule bisim1Val2) simp  

ultimately show ?thesis using s  $\tau$  by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps ac-simps) blast  

next  

case ( $\text{CAS1Throw3 } A$ )  

note [simp] =  $\langle e3' = \text{Throw } A \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Throw } A \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$   

have  $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (\text{CompareAndSwap } (\text{Val } v) \ D \ F \ (\text{Val } v') \ (\text{Throw } A))$  by(rule  $\tau\text{move1CASThrow3})$   

from bisim3 have  $xcp = [A] \vee xcp = \text{None}$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)  

thus ?thesis  

proof  

assume [simp]:  $xcp = [A]$   

with bisim3  

have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Throw } A, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk } @ [v', v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + pc, xcp)$   

by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)  

thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)  

next  

assume [simp]:  $xcp = \text{None}$   

with bisim3 obtain  $pc'$  where  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ e3 \ h \ (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) \ ([\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, pc', [A])$   

and bisim':  $P, e3, h \vdash (\text{Throw } A, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } A], \text{loc}, pc', [A])$   

and [simp]:  $xs = \text{loc}$   

by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)  

hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ (e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \ h \ (\text{stk } @ [v', v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + pc, \text{None}) \ ([\text{Addr } A] @ [v', v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + pc', [A])$   

by-(rule CAS-τExecrI3)  

moreover from bisim'  

have  $P, e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3), h \vdash (\text{Throw } A, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } A] @ [v', v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) + pc', [A])$   

by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CASThrow3)  

ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto  

qed  

qed auto  

next  

case bisim1CASThrow1 thus ?case by auto  

next  

case bisim1CASThrow2 thus ?case by auto  

next  

case bisim1CASThrow3 thus ?case by auto  

next  

case bisim1CASFail thus ?case by auto  

next  

case (bisim1CallParams ps n ps' xs stk loc pc xcp obj M' v)  

note IHparam = bisim1CallParams.IH(2)  

note bisim1 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, obj, h \vdash (obj, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$   

note bisim2 =  $\langle P, ps, h \vdash (ps', xs) \leftrightarrow ([], stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$   

note red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash \langle \text{Val } v \cdot M'(ps'), (h, xs) \rangle \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$   

note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } (obj \cdot M'(ps)) \ n \rangle$ 

```

```

from bisim2 <ps ≠ []> have ps': ps' ≠ [] by(auto dest: bisims1-lengthD)
from red show ?case
proof cases
  case (Call1Params es')
    note [simp] = <e' = Val v·M'(es')>
    and red = <True, P, t ⊢ 1 <ps', (h, xs)> [-ta→] <es', (h', xs')>
    from red have τ: τmove1 P h (Val v·M'(ps')) = τmoves1 P h ps' by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
τmoves1.simps)
    from IHparam[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
      where bisim': P, ps, h' ⊢ (es', xs') [↔] (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
      and exec': ?execs ta ps ps' es' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
      have ?exec ta (obj·M'(ps)) (Val v·M'(ps')) (Val v·M'(es')) h (stk @ [v]) loc (length (compE2 obj)
+ pc) xcp h' (length (compE2 obj) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v]) loc'' xcp''
      proof(cases τmove1 P h (Val v·M'(ps')))
        case True
        with exec' τ show ?thesis by (auto intro: Call-τExecrI2 Call-τExecI2)
      next
      case False
      with exec' τ obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
        where e: τExec-movesr-a P t ps h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        and e': exec-moves-a P t ps h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
        and τ': ¬ τmoves2 (compP2 P) h stk' ps pc' xcp'
        and call: (calls1 ps' = None ∨ no-calls2 ps pc ∨ pc' = pc ∧ stk' = stk ∧ loc' = loc ∧ xcp' = xcp) by auto
        from e have τExec-mover-a P t (obj·M'(ps)) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, xcp)
(stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj) + pc', xcp') by(rule Call-τExecrI2)
        moreover from e' have exec-move-a P t (obj·M'(ps)) h (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj)
+ pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @ [v], loc'', length (compE2 obj) + pc'', xcp'')
        by(rule exec-move-CallI2)
        moreover from τ' e' have τmove2 (compP2 P) h (stk' @ [v]) (obj·M'(ps)) (length (compE2
obj) + pc') xcp' ==> False
        by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff τmoves2-iff τinstr-stk-drop-exec-moves split: if-split-asm)
        moreover from red have call1 (Val v·M'(ps')) = calls1 ps' by(auto simp add: is-vals-conv)
        moreover from red have no-calls2 ps pc ==> no-call2 (obj·M'(ps)) (length (compE2 obj) + pc)
        ∨ pc = length (compEs2 ps)
        by(auto simp add: no-call2-def no-calls2-def)
        ultimately show ?thesis using False call e
        by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps) blast+
      qed
      moreover from bisim'
      have P, obj·M'(ps), h' ⊢ (Val v·M'(es'), xs') ↔ ((stk'' @ [v]), loc'', length (compE2 obj) + pc'',
xcp'')
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallParams)
      ultimately show ?thesis using τ
        by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split) blast+
    next
    case (Red1CallNull vs)
      note [simp] = <h' = h> <xs' = xs> <ta = ε> <v = Null> <ps' = map Val vs> <e' = THROW
NullPointer>
      from bisim2 have length: length ps = length vs by(auto dest: bisims1-lengthD)
      have xs = loc ∧ xcp = None ∧ τExec-movesr-a P t ps h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (rev vs, loc, length
(compEs2 ps), None)
      proof(cases pc < length (compEs2 ps))

```

```

case True
with bisim2 show ?thesis by(auto dest: bisims1-Val- $\tau$ -Exec-moves)
next
case False
with bisim2 have pc = length (compEs2 ps)
by(auto dest: bisims1- $\tau$ -length-compEs2)
with bisim2 show ?thesis by(auto dest: bisims1-Val-length-compEs2D)
qed
hence s: xs = loc xcp = None
and  $\tau$ -Exec-movesr-a P t ps h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (rev vs, loc, length (compEs2 ps), None) by auto
hence  $\tau$ -Exec-mover-a P t (obj·M'(ps)) h (stk @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, xcp) (rev
vs @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps), None)
by -(rule Call- $\tau$ -ExecrI2)
also {
  from length have exec-move-a P t (obj·M'(ps)) h (rev vs @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 obj) +
length (compEs2 ps), None)  $\varepsilon$  h (rev vs @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps),
[addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
  unfolding exec-move-def by(cases ps)(auto intro: exec-instr)
  moreover have  $\tau$ move2 P h (rev vs @ [Null]) (obj·M'(ps)) (length (compE2 obj) + length
(compEs2 ps)) None
  using length by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
  ultimately have  $\tau$ -Exec-movet-a P t (obj·M'(ps)) h (rev vs @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 obj)
+ length (compEs2 ps), None) (rev vs @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps),
[addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
  by(auto intro:  $\tau$ -exec-moveI)
also have  $\tau$ move1 P h (null·M'(map Val vs))
  by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ move1.simps  $\tau$ moves1.simps map-eq-append-conv)
moreover
  from length have P,obj·M'(ps),h  $\vdash$  (THROW NullPointer, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  ((rev vs @ [Null]), loc, length
(compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
  by-(rule bisim1CallThrow, simp)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
next
case (Call1ThrowParams vs a es')
note [simp] = ‹ta = ε› ‹e' = Throw a› ‹ps' = map Val vs @ Throw a # es'› ‹h' = h› ‹xs' = xs›
have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move1 P h (Val v·M'(map Val vs @ Throw a # es')) by(rule  $\tau$ move1CallThrowParams)
from bisim2 have [simp]: xs = loc and xcp = [a]  $\vee$  xcp = None by(auto dest: bisims1-ThrowD)
from ‹xcp = [a]  $\vee$  xcp = None› show ?thesis
proof
  assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
  with bisim2
  have P,obj·M'(ps),h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, [a])
  by -(rule bisim1CallThrowParams, auto)
  thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
next
  assume [simp]: xcp = None
  with bisim2 obtain pc'
    where exec:  $\tau$ -Exec-movesr-a P t ps h (stk, loc, pc, None) (Addr a # rev vs, loc, pc', [a])
    and bisim': P, ps, h  $\vdash$  (map Val vs @ Throw a # es', loc) [ $\leftrightarrow$ ] (Addr a # rev vs, loc, pc', [a])
    by(auto dest: bisims1- $\tau$ -Exec-movesr)
  from bisim'
  have P,obj·M'(ps),h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  ((Addr a # rev vs) @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) +
pc', [a])
  by(rule bisim1CallThrowParams)

```

```

with Call- $\tau$ ExecrI2[OF exec, of obj M' v]  $\tau$ 
show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case (Red1CallExternal a Ta Ts Tr D vs va H')
hence [simp]: v = Addr a ps' = map Val vs e' = extRet2J (addr a·M'(map Val vs)) va H' = h'
xs' = xs
and Ta: typeof-addr h a = [Ta]
and iec: P ⊢ class-type-of Ta sees M': Ts → Tr = Native in D
and redex: P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M'(vs),h⟩ -ta→ext ⟨va,h⟩ by auto
from bisim2 have [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest: bisims-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None)
moreover from bisim2 have length ps = length ps'
by(rule bisims1-lengthD)
hence  $\tau$ :  $\tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (\text{addr } a \cdot M'(\text{map } Val \ vs) :: \text{'addr expr1}) = \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) \ h \ (\text{rev } vs @ [\text{Addr } a]) \ (\text{obj} \cdot M'(\text{ps})) \ (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } obj) + \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } ps)) \ None$ 
using Ta iec by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps map-eq-append-conv τmove2-iff compP2-def)
obtain s: xcp = None xs = loc
and  $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-a } P \ t \ ps \ h \ (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \ (\text{rev } vs, loc, \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } ps), None)$ 
proof(cases pc < length (compEs2 ps))
case True
with bisim2 show ?thesis by(auto dest: bisims1-Val-τExec-moves intro: that)
next
case False
with bisim2 have pc = length (compEs2 ps) by(auto dest: bisims1-pc-length-compEs2)
with bisim2 show ?thesis by -(rule that, auto dest!: bisims1-pc-length-compEs2D)
qed
from Call- $\tau$ ExecrI2[OF this(3), of obj M' v]
have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ (\text{obj} \cdot M'(\text{ps})) \ h \ (stk @ [\text{Addr } a], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } obj) + pc, xcp) \ (\text{rev } vs @ [\text{Addr } a], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } obj) + \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } ps), None)$  by simp
moreover from bisim2 have pc ≤ length (compEs2 ps) by(rule bisims1-pc-length-compEs2)
hence no-call2(obj·M'(ps)) (length (compE2 obj) + pc) ∨ pc = length (compEs2 ps)
using bisim2 by(auto simp add: no-call2-def neq-Nil-conv dest: bisims-Val-pc-not-Invoke)
moreover {
assume pc = length (compEs2 ps)
with < $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-a } P \ t \ ps \ h \ (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \ (\text{rev } vs, loc, \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } ps), None)$ >
have stk = rev vs xcp = None by auto }
moreover
let ?ret = extRet2JVM (length ps) h' (rev vs @ [Addr a]) loc undefined undefined (length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps)) [] va
let ?stk' = fst (hd (snd (snd ?ret)))
let ?xcp' = fst ?ret
let ?pc' = snd (snd (snd (hd (snd (snd ?ret)))))
from bisim2 have [simp]: length ps = length vs by(auto dest: bisims1-lengthD)
from redex have redex': (ta, va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr (compP2 P) t a M' vs h
by -(rule red-external-imp-red-external-aggr, simp add: compP2-def)
with Ta iec
have exec-move-a P t (obj·M'(ps)) h (rev vs @ [Addr a], loc, length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps), None) (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta h' (?stk', loc, ?pc', ?xcp')
unfolding exec-move-def
by -(rule exec-instr, cases va, (force simp add: compP2-def is-Ref-def simp del: split-paired-Ex intro: external-WT'.intros)+)
moreover have P, obj·M'(ps), h' ⊢ (extRet2J1 (addr a·M'(map Val vs)) va, loc) ↔ (?stk', loc, ?pc', ?xcp')

```

```

proof(cases va)
  case (RetVal v)
    have P,obj·M'(ps),h' ⊢ (Val v, loc) ↔ ([v], loc, length (compE2 (obj·M'(ps))), None)
      by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
    thus ?thesis unfolding RetVal by simp
  next
    case (RetExc ad) thus ?thesis by(auto intro: bisim1CallThrow)
  next
    case RetStaySame
      from bisims1-map-Val-append[OF bisims1Nil, of ps vs P h' loc]
      have P,ps,h' ⊢ (map Val vs, loc) [↔] (rev vs, loc, length (compEs2 ps), None) by simp
      hence P,obj·M'(ps),h' ⊢ (addr a·M'(map Val vs), loc) ↔ (rev vs @ [Addr a], loc, length (compE2
obj) + length (compEs2 ps), None)
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallParams)
      thus ?thesis using RetStaySame by simp
  qed
  moreover from redex Ta iec
  have τmove1 P h (addr a·M'(map Val vs) :: 'addr expr1) ==> ta = ε ∧ h' = h
  by(fastforce simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps map-eq-append-conv τexternal'-def τexternal-def
dest: τexternal'-red-external-TA-empty τexternal'-red-external-heap-unchanged sees-method-fun)
  ultimately show ?thesis using τ
    apply(cases τmove1 P h (addr a·M'(map Val vs) :: 'addr expr1))
    apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex simp add: compP2-def)
    apply(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl rtranclp-into-tranclp1 τexec-move1) +
    done
  qed(insert ps', auto)
next
  case bisim1CallThrowObj thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case bisim1CallThrowParams thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1CallThrow thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case (bisim1BlockSome1 e n V Ty v xs e')
    from ⟨True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟩⟨{V:Ty=[v]; e},(h, xs)⟩ -ta→ ⟨e',(h', xs')⟩ show ?case
  proof(cases)
    case Block1Some
      note [simp] = ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨e' = {V:Ty=None; e}⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs[V := v]⟩
      and len = ⟨V < length xs⟩
      from len have exec: τExec-movet-a P t {V:Ty=[v]; e} h ([][], xs, 0, None) ([][], xs[V := v], Suc
(Suc 0), None)
        by-(rule τExec2step, auto intro: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def τmove2-iff)
      moreover have P,{V:Ty=[v]; e},h ⊢ ({V:Ty=None; e}, xs[V := v]) ↔ ([][], xs[V := v], Suc (Suc
0), None)
        by(rule bisim1BlockSome4)(rule bisim1-refl)
      moreover have τmove1 P h {V:Ty=[v]; e} by(auto intro: τmove1BlockSome)
      ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
next
  case (bisim1BlockSome2 e n V Ty v xs)
    from ⟨True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟩⟨{V:Ty=[v]; e},(h, xs)⟩ -ta→ ⟨e',(h', xs')⟩ show ?case
  proof(cases)
    case Block1Some
      note [simp] = ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨e' = {V:Ty=None; e}⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs[V := v]⟩

```

```

and len =  $\langle V < \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
from len have exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t \{V:\text{Ty}=[v]; e\} h ([v], xs, \text{Suc } 0, \text{None}) ([][], xs[V := v],$ 
 $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0), \text{None})$ 
by-(rule  $\tau\text{Exec1step}$ , auto intro: exec-instr  $\tau\text{move2BlockSome2}$  simp: exec-move-def)
moreover have  $P, \{V:\text{Ty}=[v]; e\}, h \vdash (\{V:\text{Ty}=\text{None}; e\}, xs[V := v]) \leftrightarrow ([][], xs[V := v], \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0), \text{None})$ 
by(rule bisim1BlockSome4)(rule bisim1-refl)
moreover have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h \{V:\text{Ty}=[v]; e\}$  by(auto intro:  $\tau\text{move1BlockSome}$ )
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
case (bisim1BlockSome4 E n e xs stk loc pc xcp V Ty v)
note IH = bisim1BlockSome4.IH(2)
note bisim =  $\langle P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } \{V:\text{Ty}=[v]; E\} n \rangle$ 
hence [simp]:  $n = V$  by simp
from  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \{V:\text{Ty}=\text{None}; e\}, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$  show ?case
proof cases
case (Block1Red E')
note [simp] =  $\langle e' = \{V:\text{Ty}=\text{None}; E'\} \rangle$ 
and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
from red have  $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P h \{V:\text{Ty}=\text{None}; e\} = \tau\text{move1 } P h e$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps}$ 
 $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
from IH[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
where bisim':  $P, E, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
and exec': ?exec ta E e E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
have no-call2 E pc  $\implies$  no-call2 ( $\{V:\text{Ty}=[v]; E\}$ ) ( $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc)$ ) by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
hence ?exec ta  $\{V:\text{Ty}=[v]; E\} \{V:\text{Ty}=\text{None}; e\} \{V:\text{Ty}=\text{None}; E'\} h$  stk loc ( $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc)$ ) xcp
 $h' (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc''))$  stk'' loc'' xcp'' using exec'  $\tau$ 
by(cases  $\tau\text{move1 } P h \{V:\text{Ty}=\text{None}; e\}$ )(auto, (blast intro: exec-move-BlockSomeI Block- $\tau\text{ExecrI-Some}$ 
 $\tau\text{Block-}\tau\text{ExecrI-Some}$ )+)
with bisim'  $\tau$  show ?thesis by auto(blast intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockSome4)+
next
case (Red1Block u)
note [simp] =  $\langle e = \text{Val } u \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Val } u \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h \{V:\text{Ty}=\text{None}; \text{Val } u\}$  by(rule  $\tau\text{move1BlockRed}$ )
moreover from bisim have [simp]: xcp = None loc = xs
and exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h$  (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([u], loc, length (compE2 E), None) by(auto
dest: bisim1Val2D1)
moreover
have  $P, \{V:\text{Ty}=[v]; E\}, h \vdash (\text{Val } u, xs) \leftrightarrow ([u], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } \{V:\text{Ty}=[v]; E\}), \text{None})$ 
by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce elim!: Block- $\tau\text{ExecrI-Some}$ )
next
case (Block1Throw a)
note [simp] =  $\langle e = \text{Throw } a \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Throw } a \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
have  $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P h \{V:\text{Ty}=\text{None}; e\}$  by(auto intro:  $\tau\text{move1BlockThrow}$ )
from bisim have xcp = [a]  $\vee$  xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
with bisim have  $P, \{V:\text{Ty}=[v]; E\}, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } pc), \text{xcp})$ 
by(auto intro: bisim1BlockThrowSome)

```

```

thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
next
assume [simp]:  $xcp = None$ 
with bisim obtain  $pc'$ 
  where  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])$ 
    and  $bisim': P, E, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])$  and [simp]:  $xs = loc$ 
      by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau Exec\text{-mover}$ )
  hence  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t \{V:\text{Ty}=[v]; E\} h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc pc), None) ([Addr a], loc, Suc (Suc pc'), [a])$ 
    by(auto intro: Block- $\tau ExecI\text{-Some}$ )
  moreover from bisim' have  $P, \{V:\text{Ty}=[v]; E\}, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Addr a], loc, Suc (Suc pc'), [a])$ 
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockThrowSome)
  ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
qed
qed
next
case bisim1BlockThrowSome thus ?case by auto
next
case (bisim1BlockNone E n e xs stk loc pc xcp V Ty)
note IH = bisim1BlockNone.IH(2)
note bisim =  $\langle P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } \{V:\text{Ty}=None; E\} n \rangle$ 
hence [simp]:  $n = V$  by simp
from  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \{V:\text{Ty}=None; e\}, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$  show ?case
proof cases
  case (Block1Red E')
  note [simp] =  $\langle e' = \{V:\text{Ty}=None; E'\} \rangle$ 
  and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
  from red have  $\tau: \tau move1 P h \{V:\text{Ty}=None; e\} = \tau move1 P h e$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau move1.simps$ 
 $\tau moves1.simps$ )
  moreover have call1 ( $\{V:\text{Ty}=None; e\} = call1 e$  by auto
  moreover from IH[OF red] bsok
  obtain  $pc'' \text{ } stk'' \text{ } loc'' \text{ } xcp''$  where bisim:  $P, E, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
    and redo: ?exec ta E e E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
  from bisim
  have  $P, \{V:\text{Ty}=None; E\}, h' \vdash (\{V:\text{Ty}=None; E'\}, xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockNone)
  moreover {
    assume no-call2 E pc
    hence no-call2  $\{V:\text{Ty}=None; E\} pc$  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
  ultimately show ?thesis using redo
    by(auto simp add: exec-move-BlockNone simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split
del: if-split)(blast intro: Block- $\tau ExecI\text{-None}$  Block- $\tau ExecI\text{-None}$ )+
next
case (Red1Block u)
note [simp] =  $\langle e = Val u \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = Val u \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
have  $\tau move1 P h \{V:\text{Ty}=None; Val u\}$  by(rule  $\tau move1BlockRed$ )
moreover from bisim have [simp]:  $xcp = None$   $loc = xs$ 
  and exec:  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([u], loc, length (compE2 E), None)$  by(auto
dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  moreover
  have  $P, \{V:\text{Ty}=None; E\}, h \vdash (Val u, xs) \leftrightarrow ([u], xs, length (compE2 \{V:\text{Ty}=None; E\}), None)$ 
    by(rule bisim1Val2) simp

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: Block- $\tau$ ExecI-None)
next
  case (Block1Throw a)
    note [simp] = ‹e = Throw a› ‹ta = ε› ‹e' = Throw a› ‹h' = h› ‹xs' = xs›
    have τ: τmove1 P h {V:Ty=None; e} by(auto intro: τmove1BlockThrow)
    from bisim have xcp = [a] ∨ xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
    thus ?thesis
  proof
    assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
    with bisim have P, {V:Ty=None; E}, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
      by(auto intro: bisim1BlockThrowNone)
    thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)
  next
    assume [simp]: xcp = None
    with bisim obtain pc'
      where τExec-mover-a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
      and bisim': P, E, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a]) and [simp]: xs = loc
        by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
      hence τExec-mover-a P t {V:Ty=None; E} h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
        by(auto intro: Block- $\tau$ ExecI-None)
      moreover from bisim' have P, {V:Ty=None; E}, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
        by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockThrowNone)
      ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto
  qed
qed
next
  case bisim1BlockThrowNone thus ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1Sync1 e1 n e1' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 V)
    note IH = bisim1Sync1.IH(2)
    note bisim1 = ‹P,e1,h ⊢ (e1', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)›
    note bisim2 = ‹Axs. P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([][], xs, 0, None)›
    note red = ‹True,P,t ⊢ 1 sync_V (e1') e2, (h, xs) -ta→ (e', (h', xs'))›
    note bsok = ‹bsok (sync_V (e1) e2) n›
    hence [simp]: n = V by simp
    from red show ?case
  proof cases
    case (Synchronized1Red1 E1')
      note [simp] = ‹e' = sync_V (E1') e2›
      and red = ‹True,P,t ⊢ 1 (e1', (h, xs)) -ta→ (E1', (h', xs'))›
      from red have τ: τmove1 P h (sync_V (e1') e2) = τmove1 P h e1' by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
      τmoves1.simps)
      moreover have call1 (sync_V (e1') e2) = call1 e1' by auto
      moreover from IH[OF red] bsok
      obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' where bisim: P,e1,h' ⊢ (E1', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
        and redo: ?exec ta e1 e1' E1' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
      from bisim
      have P, sync_V (e1) e2, h' ⊢ (sync_V (E1') e2, xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync1)
      moreover {
        assume no-call2 e1 pc
        hence no-call2 (sync_V (e1) e2) pc by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
      ultimately show ?thesis using redo
        by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:

```

$\text{Sync-}\tau\text{ExecrI } \text{Sync-}\tau\text{ExecrI exec-move-SyncI1}) +$
next
case *Synchronized1Null*
note [*simp*] = $\langle e1' = \text{null} \rangle \langle e' = \text{THROW NullPointer} \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs[V := \text{Null}] \rangle$
and $V = \langle V < \text{length } xs \rangle$
from *bisim1* **have** [*simp*]: $xcp = \text{None}$ $xs = loc$
and $\text{exec: } \tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e1 h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, xcp) ([\text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$
by(*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1*)
from *Sync-τExecrI[OF exec]*
have $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, xcp) ([\text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$ **by** *simp*
also from *V*
have $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h ([\text{Null}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None}) ([\text{Null}], loc[V := \text{Null}], \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1))), \text{None})$
by -(*rule τExecr2step, auto intro: exec-instr τmove2-τmoves2.intros simp add: exec-move-def*)
also (*rtranclp-trans*)
have $\text{exec-move-a } P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h ([\text{Null}], loc[V := \text{Null}], \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1))), \text{None}) \varepsilon$
 $h ([\text{Null}], loc[V := \text{Null}], \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1))), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$
unfolding *exec-move-def* **by**(*rule exec-instr*) *auto*
moreover have $\neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Null}] (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)))) \text{None}$
by(*simp add: τmove2-iff*)
moreover
have $P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, loc[V := \text{Null}]) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], (loc[V := \text{Null}]), \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1))), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$
by(*rule bisim1Sync11*)
moreover have $\neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{sync}_V(\text{null}) e2)$ **by**(*auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps*)
ultimately show ?*thesis* **by** *auto blast*
next
case (*Lock1Synchronized a*)
note [*simp*] = $\langle e1' = \text{addr } a \rangle \langle ta = \{\text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{SyncLock } a\} \rangle \langle e' = \text{insync}_V(a) e2 \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs[V := \text{Addr } a] \rangle$
and $V = \langle V < \text{length } xs \rangle$
from *bisim1* **have** [*simp*]: $xcp = \text{None}$ $xs = loc$
and $\text{exec: } \tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e1 h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, xcp) ([\text{Addr } a], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$
by(*auto dest: bisim1Val2D1*)
from *Sync-τExecrI[OF exec]*
have $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, xcp) ([\text{Addr } a], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$ **by** *simp*
also from *V*
have $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h ([\text{Addr } a], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], loc[V := \text{Addr } a], \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1))), \text{None})$
by -(*rule τExecr2step, auto intro: exec-instr τmove2-τmoves2.intros simp add: exec-move-def*)
also (*rtranclp-trans*)
have $\text{exec-move-a } P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h ([\text{Addr } a], loc[V := \text{Addr } a], \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1))), \text{None})$
 $(\{\text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{SyncLock } a\})$
 $h ([\text{}], loc[V := \text{Addr } a], \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)))), \text{None})$
unfolding *exec-move-def* **by** -(*rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def*)
moreover have $\neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Addr } a] (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)))) \text{None}$
by(*simp add: τmove2-iff*)

```

moreover
from bisim1Sync4[OF bisim1-refl, of P h V e1 e2 a loc[V := Addr a]]
have P, sync_V(e1) e2, h ⊢ (insync_V(a) e2, loc[V := Addr a]) ↔ ([] loc[V := Addr a], Suc(Suc(Suc(length(compE2 e1)))), None) by simp
moreover have ¬τmove1 P h (sync_V(addr a) e2) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case (Synchronized1Throw1 a)
note [simp] = <e1' = Throw a> <ta = ε> <e' = Throw a> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
have τ: τmove1 P h (sync_V(Throw a) e2) by(rule τmove1SyncThrow)
from bisim1 have xcp = [a] ∨ xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
with bisim1
have P, sync_V(e1) e2, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
by(auto intro: bisim1SyncThrow)
thus ?thesis using τ by(fastforce)
next
assume [simp]: xcp = None
with bisim1 obtain pc'
where τExec-mover-a P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
and bisim': P, e1, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a]) and [simp]: xs = loc
by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
hence τExec-mover-a P t (sync_V(e1) e2) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
by-(rule Sync-τExecrI)
moreover from bisim'
have P, sync_V(e1) e2, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
by-(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1SyncThrow, auto)
ultimately show ?thesis using τ by fastforce
qed
qed
next
case (bisim1Sync2 e1 n e2 V v xs)
note bisim1 = <Axs. P, e1, h ⊢ (e1, xs) ↔ ([] xs, 0, None)>
note bisim2 = <Axs. P, e2, h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([] xs, 0, None)>
from <True, P, t ⊢ 1 <sync_V(Val v) e2, (h, xs)> -ta→ <e', (h', xs')> show ?case
proof cases
case (Lock1Synchronized a)
note [simp] = <v = Addr a> <ta = {Lock→a, SyncLock a}> <e' = insync_V(a) e2>
<h' = h> <xs' = xs[V := Addr a]>
and V = <V < length xs>
from V
have τExec-mover-a P t (sync_V(e1) e2) h ([Addr a], xs, Suc(length(compE2 e1)), None)
([Addr a], xs[V := Addr a], Suc(Suc(length(compE2 e1))), None)
by-(rule τExecr1step, auto intro: exec-instr simp add: τmove2-iff exec-move-def)
moreover
have exec-move-a P t (sync_V(e1) e2) h ([Addr a], xs[V := Addr a], Suc(Suc(length(compE2 e1))), None)
({Lock→a, SyncLock a})
h ([] xs[V := Addr a], Suc(Suc(length(compE2 e1))), None)
unfolding exec-move-def by-(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
moreover have ¬τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a] (sync_V(e1) e2) (Suc(Suc(length(compE2 e1)))) None

```

```

by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover
from bisim1Sync4[OF bisim1-refl, of P h V e1 e2 a xs[V := Addr a]]
have P, sync_V(e1) e2, h ⊢ (insync_V(a) e2, xs[V := Addr a]) ↔ ([][], xs[V := Addr a], Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))), None) by simp
moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (sync_V(addr a) e2) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case Synchronized1Null
note [simp] = ⟨v = Null, e' = THROW NullPointerException, ta = ε, h' = h, xs' = xs[V := Null]⟩
and V = ⟨V < length xs⟩
from V
have τExec-mover-a P t (sync_V(e1) e2) h ([Null, Null], xs, Suc (length (compE2 e1)), None)
([Null], xs[V := Null], Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))), None)
by -(rule τExecr1step, auto intro: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def τmove2-iff)
also have exec-move-a P t (sync_V(e1) e2) h ([Null], xs[V := Null], Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))), None) ε
h ([Null], xs[V := Null], Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1))), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointerException])
unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr) auto
moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Null] (sync_V(e1) e2) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))) None
by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover
have P, sync_V(e1) e2, h ⊢ (THROW NullPointerException, xs[V := Null]) ↔ ([][], (xs[V := Null]), Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointerException])
by(rule bisim1Sync11)
moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (sync_V(null) e2) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral) blast
qed auto
next
case (bisim1Sync3 e1 n e2 V v xs)
note bisim1 = ⟨⟨xs. P, e1, h ⊢ (e1, xs) ↔ ([][], xs, 0, None)⟩
note bisim2 = ⟨⟨xs. P, e2, h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([][], xs, 0, None)⟩
from ⟨True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨sync_V(Val v) e2, (h, xs)⟩ - ta → ⟨e', (h', xs')⟩⟩ show ?case
proof cases
case (Lock1Synchronized a)
note [simp] = ⟨v = Addr a, ta = {Lock → a, SyncLock a}, e' = insync_V(a) e2, h' = h, xs' = xs[V := Addr a]⟩
and V = ⟨V < length xs⟩
have exec-move-a P t (sync_V(e1) e2) h ([Addr a], xs[V := Addr a], Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))), None)
(⟨Lock → a, SyncLock a⟩)
h ([][], xs[V := Addr a], Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))), None))
unfolding exec-move-def by -(rule exec-instr, auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a] (sync_V(e1) e2) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))) None
by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover
from bisim1Sync4[OF bisim1-refl, of P h V e1 e2 a xs[V := Addr a]]
have P, sync_V(e1) e2, h ⊢ (insync_V(a) e2, xs[V := Addr a]) ↔ ([][], xs[V := Addr a], Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))), None) by simp
moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (sync_V(addr a) e2) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral ta-upd-simps) blast

```

```

next
  case Synchronized1Null
    note [simp] =  $\langle v = \text{Null} \rangle \langle e' = \text{THROW NullPointer} \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs[V := \text{Null}] \rangle$ 
      and  $V = \langle V < \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
    have exec-move-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Null], xs[V := Null], Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1))), None)  $\varepsilon$ 
       $h ([\text{Null}], xs[V := \text{Null}], \text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2} e1))), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$ 
      unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr) auto
      moreover have  $\neg \tau\text{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Null}] (\text{sync}_V (e1) e2) (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2} e1))))$  None
        by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
      moreover
        have P, syncV (e1) e2, h  $\vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs[V := \text{Null}]) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], (xs[V := \text{Null}]), \text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2} e1))), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$ 
          by(rule bisim1Sync11)
        moreover have  $\neg \tau\text{move1} P h (\text{sync}_V (\text{null}) e2)$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
          ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral) blast
      qed auto
next
  case (bisim1Sync4 e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc xcp e1 V a)
  note IH = bisim1Sync4.IH(2)
  note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
  note bisim1 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e1, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow (\emptyset, xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok} (\text{sync}_V (e1) e2) n \rangle$ 
  note red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{insync}_V (a) e2', (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
  from red show ?case
  proof cases
    case (Synchronized1Red2 E')
    note [simp] =  $\langle e' = \text{insync}_V (a) E' \rangle$ 
      and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e2', (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
    from red have  $\tau: \tau\text{move1} P h (\text{insync}_V (a) e2') = \tau\text{move1} P h e2'$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
    from IH[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' where bisim':  $P, e2, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      and exec': ?exec ta e2 e2' E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
    have no-call2 e2 pc  $\implies$  no-call2 (syncV(e1) e2) (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc))))
      by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
    hence ?exec ta (syncV (e1) e2) (insyncV (a) e2') (insyncV (a) E') h stk loc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc))) xcp h' (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc'))))) stk'' loc'' xcp'' using exec'  $\tau$ 
      by(cases  $\tau\text{move1} P h (\text{insync}_V (a) e2')$ ) (auto, (blast intro: exec-move-SyncI2 Insync- $\tau$ ExecrI Insync- $\tau$ ExecI)+)
    moreover from bisim'
      have P, syncV (e1) e2, h'  $\vdash (\text{insync}_V (a) E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2} e1) + pc')))), xcp'')$ 
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync4)
      ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto blast+
next
  case (Unlock1Synchronized a' v)
  note [simp] =  $\langle e2' = \text{Val } v \rangle \langle e' = \text{Val } v \rangle \langle ta = \{\text{Unlock} \rightarrow a', \text{SyncUnlock } a'\} \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
    and  $V = \langle V < \text{length } xs \rangle$  and xsV =  $\langle xs ! V = \text{Addr } a' \rangle$ 
  from bisim2 have [simp]: xcp = None xs = loc
    and exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a} P t e2 h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2} e2), \text{None})$ 

```

```

by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
let ?pc1 = (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2))))))
note Insync- $\tau$ ExecrI[ $\text{OF exec, of } V e1$ ]
also from  $V \times V$  have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h ([v], loc, ?pc1, None) ([\text{Addr } a', v],$ 
 $loc, Suc ?pc1, None)$ 
by -(rule  $\tau\text{Execr1step}$ , auto simp add: exec-move-def intro: exec-instr  $\tau\text{move2-}\tau\text{moves2.intros}$ )
also (rtranclp-trans)
have exec-move-a  $P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h ([\text{Addr } a', v], loc, Suc ?pc1, None) (\{\text{Unlock} \rightarrow a', \text{Syn-}$ 
 $c\text{Unlock } a'\}) h ([v], loc, Suc (Suc ?pc1), None)$ 
unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
moreover have  $\neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Addr } a', v] (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) (\text{Suc } ?pc1) \text{ None}$  by(simp
add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
moreover
from bisim1Sync6[of  $P h V e1 e2 v xs$ ]
have  $P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, Suc (Suc ?pc1), None)$ 
by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
moreover have  $\neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{insync}_V(a) e2')$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case (Unlock1SynchronizedNull v)
note [simp] =  $\langle e2' = \text{Val } v \rangle \langle e' = \text{THROW NullPointer} \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
and  $V = \langle V < \text{length } xs \rangle$  and  $xsV = \langle xs ! V = \text{Null} \rangle$ 
from bisim2 have [simp]:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
and exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$ 
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
let ?pc1 = (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2))))))
note Insync- $\tau$ ExecrI[ $\text{OF exec, of } V e1$ ]
also from  $V \times V$  have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h ([v], loc, ?pc1, None) ([\text{Null}, v], loc,$ 
 $Suc ?pc1, None)$ 
by -(rule  $\tau\text{Execr1step}$ , auto intro: exec-instr  $\tau\text{move2-}\tau\text{moves2.intros}$  simp add: exec-move-def)
also (rtranclp-trans)
have exec-move-a  $P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h ([\text{Null}, v], loc, Suc ?pc1, None) \varepsilon h ([\text{Null}, v], loc, Suc$ 
 $?pc1, [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$ 
unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
moreover have  $\neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Null}, v] (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) (\text{Suc } ?pc1) \text{ None}$  by(simp
add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
moreover
from bisim1Sync12[of  $P h V e1 e2 \text{ addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer } xs$ ]
have  $P, \text{sync}_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}, v], xs, Suc ?pc1, [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt }$ 
 $\text{NullPointer}])$ 
by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
moreover have  $\neg \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{insync}_V(a) e2')$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
ultimately show ?thesis by auto blast
next
case (Unlock1SynchronizedFail a' v)
note [simp] =  $\langle e2' = \text{Val } v \rangle \langle e' = \text{THROW IllegalMonitorState} \rangle \langle ta = \{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow a'\} \rangle \langle xs' =$ 
 $xs \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle$ 
and  $V = \langle V < \text{length } xs \rangle$  and  $xsV = \langle xs ! V = \text{Addr } a' \rangle$ 
from bisim2 have [simp]:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
and exec:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2), \text{None})$ 
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
let ?pc1 = (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2))))))
note Insync- $\tau$ ExecrI[ $\text{OF exec, of } V e1$ ]
also from  $V \times V$  have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{sync}_V(e1) e2) h ([v], loc, ?pc1, None) ([\text{Addr } a', v],$ 

```

```

loc, Suc ?pc1, None)
  by -(rule τExecr1step,auto intro: exec-instr τmove2-τmoves2.intros simp add: exec-move-def)
  also (rtranclp-trans)
    have exec-move-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h ([Addr a', v], loc, Suc ?pc1, None) {UnlockFail→a'} h
    ([Addr a', v], loc, Suc ?pc1, [addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState])
    unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
    moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a', v] (sync_V (e1) e2) (Suc ?pc1) None by(simp
    add: τmove2-iff)
    moreover
      from bisim1Sync12[of P h V e1 e2 addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState xs Addr a' v]
      have P, sync_V (e1) e2, h ⊢ (THROW IllegalMonitorState, xs) ↔ ([Addr a', v], xs, Suc ?pc1, [addr-of-sys-xcpt
      IllegalMonitorState])
      by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
    moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (insync_V (a) Val v) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
    ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: ta-upd-simps) blast
  next
    case (Synchronized1Throw2 a' ad)
    note [simp] = <e2' = Throw ad> <ta = {Unlock→a', SyncUnlock a'}> <e' = Throw ad>
    <h' = h> <xs' = xs> and xsV = <xs ! V = Addr a'> and V = <V < length xs>
    let ?pc = 6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
    let ?stk = Addr ad # drop (size stk - 0) stk
    from bisim2 have [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
    from bisim2
    have τExec-movet-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc))),,
    xcp) ([Addr ad], loc, ?pc, None)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-insync-Throw-exec)
    also from xsV V
      have τExec-movet-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h ([Addr ad], loc, ?pc, None) ([Addr a', Addr ad], loc,
      Suc ?pc, None)
        by -(rule τExec1step,auto intro: exec-instr τmove2Sync7 simp add: exec-move-def)
        also (tranclp-trans)
          have exec-move-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h ([Addr a', Addr ad], loc, Suc ?pc, None) ({Unlock→a',
          SyncUnlock a'}) h ([Addr ad], loc, Suc (Suc ?pc), None)
          unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
        moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a', Addr ad] (sync_V (e1) e2) (Suc ?pc) None
        by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
        moreover
          hence P, sync_V (e1) e2, h ⊢ (Throw ad, loc) ↔ ([Addr ad], loc, 8 + length (compE2 e1) + length
          (compE2 e2), None)
          by(auto intro: bisim1Sync9)
        moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (insync_V (a) Throw ad) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
        τmoves1.simps)
        ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: add.assoc ta-upd-simps)(blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)
      next
        case (Synchronized1Throw2Fail a' ad)
        note [simp] = <e2' = Throw ad> <ta = {UnlockFail→a'}> <e' = THROW IllegalMonitorState> <h'
        = h> <xs' = xs>
        and xsV = <xs ! V = Addr a'> and V = <V < length xs>
        let ?pc = 6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
        let ?stk = Addr ad # drop (size stk - 0) stk
        from bisim2 have [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
        from bisim2
        have τExec-movet-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc))),,
        xcp) ([Addr ad], loc, ?pc, None)

```

```

by(auto intro: bisim1-insync-Throw-exec)
also from xsV V
have τExec-movet-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h ([Addr ad], loc, ?pc, None) ([Addr a', Addr ad], loc,
Suc ?pc, None)
  by -(rule τExec1step,auto intro: exec-instr τmove2Sync7 simp add: exec-move-def)
  also (tranclp-trans)
have exec-move-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h ([Addr a', Addr ad], loc, Suc ?pc, None) {UnlockFail→a'}
h ([Addr a', Addr ad], loc, Suc ?pc, [addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState])
  unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a', Addr ad] (sync_V (e1) e2) (Suc ?pc) None
by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover
  hence P, sync_V (e1) e2, h ⊢ (THROW IllegalMonitorState, loc) ↔ ([Addr a', Addr ad], loc, 7 +
length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), [addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState])
    by(auto intro: bisim1Sync14)
  moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (insync_V (a) e2') by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: add.assoc ta-upd-simps)(blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)
next
case (Synchronized1Throw2Null ad)
note [simp] = <e2' = Throw ad> <ta = ε> <e' = THROW NullPointer> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
  and xsV = <xs ! V = Null> and V = <V < length xs>
let ?pc = 6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
let ?stk = Addr ad # drop (size stk - 0) stk
from bisim2 have [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim2
have τExec-movet-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc))), xcp) ([Addr ad], loc, ?pc, None)
  by(auto intro: bisim1-insync-Throw-exec)
also from xsV V
have τExec-movet-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h ([Addr ad], loc, ?pc, None) ([Null, Addr ad], loc, Suc
?pc, None)
  by -(rule τExec1step,auto intro: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def τmove2-iff)
  also (tranclp-trans)
have exec-move-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h ([Null, Addr ad], loc, Suc ?pc, None) ε h ([Null, Addr
ad], loc, Suc ?pc, [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
  unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Null, Addr ad] (sync_V (e1) e2) (Suc ?pc) None by(simp
add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover
  hence P, sync_V (e1) e2, h ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, loc) ↔ ([Null, Addr ad], loc, 7 +
length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
    by(auto intro: bisim1Sync14)
  moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (insync_V (a) e2') by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: add.assoc)(blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)
qed
next
case (bisim1Sync5 e1 n e2 V a v xs)
note bisim2 = <Λxs. P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>
note bisim1 = <Λxs. P,e1,h ⊢ (e1, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>
from <True,P,t ⊢ 1 <insync_V (a) Val v,(h, xs)> -ta→ <e',(h', xs')>> show ?case
proof cases
  case (Unlock1Synchronized a')
  note [simp] = <e' = Val v> <ta = {Unlock→a', SyncUnlock a'}> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
  and V = <V < length xs> and xsV = <xs ! V = Addr a'>
```

```

let ?pc1 = 4 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
have exec-move-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Addr a', v], xs, ?pc1, None) {Unlock→a', SyncUnlock
a'} h ([v], xs, Suc ?pc1, None)
  unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a', v] (syncV (e1) e2) ?pc1 None by(simp add:
τmove2-iff)
  moreover
  from bisim1Sync6[of P h V e1 e2 v xs]
  have P, syncV (e1) e2, h ⊢ (Val v, xs) ↔ ([v], xs, Suc ?pc1, None)
    by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (insyncV (a) Val v) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis using xsV by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral ta-upd-simps) blast
next
  case Unlock1SynchronizedNull
  note [simp] = <e' = THROW NullPointer> <ta = ε> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
    and V = <V < length xs> and xsV = <xs ! V = Null>
  let ?pc1 = 4 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
  have exec-move-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Null, v], xs, ?pc1, None) ε h ([Null, v], xs, ?pc1,
  [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
    unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
    moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Null, v] (syncV (e1) e2) ?pc1 None by(simp add:
τmove2-iff)
    moreover
    from bisim1Sync12[of P h V e1 e2 addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer xs Null v]
    have P, syncV (e1) e2, h ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, xs) ↔ ([Null, v], xs, ?pc1, [addr-of-sys-xcpt Null-
    Pointer])
      by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (insyncV (a) Val v) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis using xsV by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral) blast
next
  case (Unlock1SynchronizedFail a')
  note [simp] = <e' = THROW IllegalMonitorState> <ta = {UnlockFail→a'}> <xs' = xs> <h' = h>
    and V = <V < length xs> and xsV = <xs ! V = Addr a'>
  let ?pc1 = 4 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
  have exec-move-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Addr a', v], xs, ?pc1, None) {UnlockFail→a'} h ([Addr
  a', v], xs, ?pc1, [addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState])
    unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
    moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a', v] (syncV (e1) e2) ?pc1 None by(simp add:
τmove2-iff)
    moreover
    from bisim1Sync12[of P h V e1 e2 addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState xs Addr a' v]
    have P, syncV (e1) e2, h ⊢ (THROW IllegalMonitorState, xs) ↔ ([Addr a', v], xs, ?pc1, [addr-of-sys-xcpt
    IllegalMonitorState])
      by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral)
moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (insyncV (a) Val v) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis using xsV by(auto simp add: eval-nat-numeral ta-upd-simps) blast
qed auto
next
  case bisim1Sync6 thus ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1Sync7 e1 n e2 V a ad xs)
  note bisim2 = <∀xs. P, e2, h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>
  note bisim1 = <∀xs. P, e1, h ⊢ (e1, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>
  from <True, P, t ⊢ 1 <insyncV (a) Throw ad, (h, xs)> -ta→ <e', (h', xs')>> show ?case

```

```

proof cases
case (Synchronized1Throw2 a')
note [simp] = <ta = {Unlock → a', SyncUnlock a'}> <e' = Throw ad> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
  and xsV = <xs ! V = Addr a'> and V = <V < length xs>
let ?pc = 6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
from xsV V
have τExec-mover-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Addr ad], xs, ?pc, None) ([Addr a', Addr ad], xs, Suc ?pc, None)
  by -(rule τExecr1step,auto intro: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def τmove2-iff)
  moreover have exec-move-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Addr a', Addr ad], xs, Suc ?pc, None)
    {Unlock → a', SyncUnlock a'} h ([Addr ad], xs, Suc (Suc ?pc), None)
    unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a', Addr ad] (syncV (e1) e2) (Suc ?pc) None
by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover
    have P, syncV (e1) e2, h ⊢ (Throw ad, xs) ↔ ([Addr ad], xs, 8 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)
      by(auto intro: bisim1Sync9)
    moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (insyncV (a) Throw ad) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: add.assoc eval-nat-numeral ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case (Synchronized1Throw2Fail a')
note [simp] = <ta = {UnlockFail → a'}> <e' = THROW IllegalMonitorState> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
  and xsV = <xs ! V = Addr a'> and V = <V < length xs>
let ?pc = 6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
from xsV V
have τExec-mover-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Addr ad], xs, ?pc, None) ([Addr a', Addr ad], xs, Suc ?pc, None)
  by -(rule τExecr1step,auto intro: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def τmove2-iff)
  moreover have exec-move-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Addr a', Addr ad], xs, Suc ?pc, None)
    {UnlockFail → a'} h ([Addr a', Addr ad], xs, Suc ?pc, [addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState])
    unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a', Addr ad] (syncV (e1) e2) (Suc ?pc) None
by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover
    have P, syncV (e1) e2, h ⊢ (THROW IllegalMonitorState, xs) ↔ ([Addr a', Addr ad], xs, 7 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), [addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState])
      by(auto intro: bisim1Sync14)
    moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (insyncV (a) Throw ad) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
  ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: add.assoc ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case Synchronized1Throw2Null
note [simp] = <ta = ε> <e' = THROW NullPointer> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
  and xsV = <xs ! V = Null> and V = <V < length xs>
let ?pc = 6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
from xsV V
have τExec-mover-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Addr ad], xs, ?pc, None) ([Null, Addr ad], xs, Suc ?pc, None)
  by -(rule τExecr1step,auto intro: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def τmove2-iff)
  moreover have exec-move-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Null, Addr ad], xs, Suc ?pc, None) ε h
    ([Null, Addr ad], xs, Suc ?pc, [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
    unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)

```

```

moreover have  $\neg \tau move2 (compP2 P) h [Null, Addr ad] (sync_V (e1) e2) (Suc ?pc) None$  by(simp add:  $\tau move2\text{-}iff)$ 
moreover
have  $P, sync_V (e1) e2, h \vdash (THROW NullPointer, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Null, Addr ad], xs, 7 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])$ 
by(auto intro: bisim1Sync14)
moreover have  $\neg \tau move1 P h (insync_V (a) Throw ad)$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau move1.simps \tau moves1.simps)$ 
ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: add.assoc) blast
qed auto
next
case (bisim1Sync8 e1 n e2 V a ad xs)
note bisim2 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$ 
note bisim1 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e1, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$ 
from  $\langle True, P, t \vdash 1 \langle insync_V (a) Throw ad, (h, xs) \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$  show ?case
proof cases
case (Synchronized1Throw2 a')
note [simp] =  $\langle ta = \{Unlock \rightarrow a', SyncUnlock a'\}, e' = Throw ad, h' = h, xs' = xs \rangle$ 
and xsV =  $\langle xs ! V = Addr a' \rangle$  and V =  $\langle V < length xs \rangle$ 
let ?pc =  $7 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)$ 
have exec-move-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h ([Addr a', Addr ad], xs, ?pc, None)  $\{Unlock \rightarrow a', SyncUnlock a'\}$  h ([Addr ad], xs, Suc ?pc, None)
unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
moreover have  $\neg \tau move2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a', Addr ad] (sync_V (e1) e2) ?pc None$  by(simp add:  $\tau move2\text{-}iff)$ 
moreover
have  $P, sync_V (e1) e2, h \vdash (Throw ad, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Addr ad], xs, 8 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)$ 
by(auto intro: bisim1Sync9)
moreover have  $\neg \tau move1 P h (insync_V (a) Throw ad)$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau move1.simps \tau moves1.simps)$ 
ultimately show ?thesis using xsV by(auto simp add: add.assoc eval-nat-numeral ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case (Synchronized1Throw2Fail a')
note [simp] =  $\langle ta = \{UnlockFail \rightarrow a'\}, e' = THROW IllegalMonitorState, h' = h, xs' = xs \rangle$ 
and xsV =  $\langle xs ! V = Addr a' \rangle$  and V =  $\langle V < length xs \rangle$ 
let ?pc =  $7 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)$ 
have exec-move-a P t (sync_V (e1) e2) h ([Addr a', Addr ad], xs, ?pc, None)  $\{UnlockFail \rightarrow a'\}$  h ([Addr a', Addr ad], xs, ?pc, [addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState])
unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
moreover have  $\neg \tau move2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a', Addr ad] (sync_V (e1) e2) ?pc None$  by(simp add:  $\tau move2\text{-}iff)$ 
moreover
have  $P, sync_V (e1) e2, h \vdash (THROW IllegalMonitorState, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Addr a', Addr ad], xs, ?pc, [addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState])$ 
by(auto intro: bisim1Sync14)
moreover have  $\neg \tau move1 P h (insync_V (a) Throw ad)$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau move1.simps \tau moves1.simps)$ 
ultimately show ?thesis using xsV by(auto simp add: add.assoc ta-upd-simps) blast
next
case Synchronized1Throw2Null
note [simp] =  $\langle ta = \varepsilon, e' = THROW NullPointer, h' = h, xs' = xs \rangle$ 
and xsV =  $\langle xs ! V = Null \rangle$  and V =  $\langle V < length xs \rangle$ 

```

```

let ?pc = 7 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)
have exec-move-a P t (syncV (e1) e2) h ([Null, Addr ad], xs, ?pc, None) ε h ([Null, Addr ad], xs,
?pc, [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
  unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr)(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Null, Addr ad] (syncV (e1) e2) ?pc None by(simp
add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover
    have P, syncV (e1) e2, h ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, xs) ↔ ([Null, Addr ad], xs, ?pc, [addr-of-sys-xcpt
NullPointer])
      by(auto intro: bisim1Sync14)
      moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (insyncV (a) Throw ad) by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
τmoves1.simps)
      ultimately show ?thesis using xsV by(auto simp add: add.assoc) blast
qed auto
next
  case bisim1Sync9 thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1Sync10 thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1Sync11 thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1Sync12 thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1Sync14 thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1SyncThrow thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1InSync thus ?case by simp
next
  case (bisim1Seq1 e1 n e1' xs stk loc pc xcp e2)
  note IH = bisim1Seq1.IH(2)
  note bisim1 = <P,e1,h ⊢ (e1', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note bisim2 = <xs. P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>
  note red = <True,P,t ⊢ 1 <e1'; e2,(h, xs)> -ta→ <e',(h', xs')>
  note bsok = <bsok (e1;; e2) n>
  from red show ?case
  proof cases
    case (Seq1Red E')
    note [simp] = <e' = E';;e2>
      and red = <True,P,t ⊢ 1 <e1', (h, xs)> -ta→ <E', (h', xs')>
      from red have τ: τmove1 P h (e1';; e2) = τmove1 P h e1' by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
τmoves1.simps)
      moreover have call1 (e1';; e2) = call1 e1' by auto
      moreover from IH[OF red] bsok
      obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' where bisim: P,e1,h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
        and redo: ?exec ta e1 e1' E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
        from bisim have P,e1;; e2,h' ⊢ (E';; e2, xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
          by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq1)
      moreover {
        assume no-call2 e1 pc
        hence no-call2 (e1;; e2) pc by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
      ultimately show ?thesis using redo
        by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
Seq-τExecrI1 Seq-τExecI1 exec-move-SeqI1)+
```

```

next
  case (Red1Seq v)
  note [simp] =  $\langle e1' = \text{Val } v \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle \langle e' = e2 \rangle$ 
  from bisim1 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e1 h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1;; e2) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None})$ 
    by-(rule Seq-τExecrI1)
  moreover have exec-move-a  $P t (e1;; e2) h ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1), \text{None}) \varepsilon h ([] \text{, loc, Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)), \text{None})$ 
    unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr, auto)
  moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (e1;;e2) (length (compE2 e1)) None by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  ultimately have τExec-mover-a  $P t (e1;; e2) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([] \text{, loc, Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1)), \text{None})$ 
    by(auto intro: rtrancl.rtrancl-into-rtrancl τexec-moveI simp add: compP2-def)
  moreover from bisim1-refl
  have P, e1;; e2, h  $\vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] \text{, loc, Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e1) + 0), \text{None})$ 
    unfolding s by(rule bisim1Seq2)
  moreover have τmove1 P h (Val v;; e2) by(rule τmove1SeqRed)
  ultimately show ?thesis by(auto)
next
  case (Seq1Throw a)
  note [simp] =  $\langle e1' = \text{Throw } a \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Throw } a \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
  have  $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{Throw } a;; e2)$  by(rule τmove1SeqThrow)
  from bisim1 have xcp = [a]  $\vee$  xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  thus ?thesis
proof
  assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
  with bisim1 have P, e1;; e2, h  $\vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$ 
    by(auto intro: bisim1SeqThrow1)
  thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
next
  assume [simp]: xcp = None
  with bisim1 obtain pc'
    where  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e1 h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{pc}', [\text{a}])$ 
    and bisim': P, e1, h  $\vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{pc}', [\text{a}])$  and [simp]: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
  hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (e1;;e2) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{pc}', [\text{a}])$ 
    by-(rule Seq-τExecrI1)
  moreover from bisim'
  have P, e1;;e2, h  $\vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{pc}', [\text{a}])$ 
    by(rule bisim1SeqThrow1)
  ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
qed
qed
next
  case bisim1SeqThrow1 thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case (bisim1Seq2 e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc xcp e1)
  note IH = bisim1Seq2.IH(2)
  note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$ 
  note bisim1 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e1, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] \text{, xs, 0, None}) \rangle$ 
  note red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e2', (h, xs) \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 

```

```

note bsok = <bsok (e1;; e2) n>
from IH[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
  where bisim': P,e2,h' ⊢ (e', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
  and exec': ?exec ta e2 e2' e' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
have no-call2 e2 pc ==> no-call2 (e1;; e2) (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc))
  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
hence ?exec ta (e1;; e2) e2' e' h stk loc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc)) xcp h' (Suc (length
  (compE2 e1) + pc'')) stk'' loc'' xcp'')
  using exec' by(cases τmove1 P h e2')(auto, (blast intro: Seq-τExecrI2 Seq-τExecrI2 exec-move-SeqI2)+)
moreover from bisim'
have P,e1;;e2,h' ⊢ (e', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc''), xcp'')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq2)
ultimately show ?case by(auto split: if-split-asm) blast+
next
  case (bisim1Cond1 E n e xs stk loc pc xcp e1 e2)
  note IH = bisim1Cond1.IH(2)
  note bisim = <P,E,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note bisim1 = <Axs. P,e1,h ⊢ (e1, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>
  note bisim2 = <Axs. P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>
  note bsok = <bsok (if (E) e1 else e2) n>
  from <True,P,t ⊢ 1 <if (e) e1 else e2,(h, xs)> -ta→ <e',(h', xs')> show ?case
  proof cases
    case (Cond1Red b')
      note [simp] = <e' = if (b') e1 else e2>
      and red = <True,P,t ⊢ 1 <e,(h, xs)> -ta→ <b',(h', xs')>
      from red have τmove1 P h (if (e) e1 else e2) = τmove1 P h e by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
  τmoves1.simps)
      moreover have call1 (if (e) e1 else e2) = call1 e by auto
      moreover from IH[OF red] bsok
        obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' where bisim: P,E,h' ⊢ (b', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
        and redo: ?exec ta E e b' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
        from bisim
        have P,if (E) e1 else e2,h' ⊢ (if (b') e1 else e2, xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
          by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Cond1)
      moreover {
        assume no-call2 E pc
        hence no-call2 (if (E) e1 else e2) pc by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
      ultimately show ?thesis using redo
        by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
  Cond-τExecrI1 Cond-τExecrI1 exec-move-CondI1)+
    next
      case Red1CondT
      note [simp] = <e = true> <e' = e1> <ta = ε> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
      from bisim have s: xcp = None xs = loc
      and τExec-mover-a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Bool True], loc, length (compE2 E), None)
        by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
      hence τExec-mover-a P t (if (E) e1 else e2) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Bool True], loc, length (compE2
  E), None)
        by-(rule Cond-τExecrI1)
      moreover have exec-move-a P t (if (E) e1 else e2) h ([Bool True], loc, length (compE2 E), None)
      ε h ([] , loc, Suc (length (compE2 E)), None)
        unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-instr, auto)
      moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Bool True] (if (E) e1 else e2) (length (compE2 E)) None
      by(simp add: τmove2-iff)

```

ultimately have $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t (\text{if } (E) e1 \text{ else } e2) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([], \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E)), \text{None})$
by(auto intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp1 $\tau\text{exec-moveI}$ simp add: compP2-def)
moreover have $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{if } (\text{true}) e1 \text{ else } e2)$ **by**(rule $\tau\text{move1CondRed}$)
moreover
from bisim1-refl
have $P, \text{if } (E) e1 \text{ else } e2, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E) + 0), \text{None})$
unfolding s **by**(rule bisim1CondThen)
ultimately show ?thesis **by** (fastforce)
next
case Red1CondF
note [simp] = $\langle e = \text{false} \rangle \langle e' = e2 \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$
from bisim **have** s: $\text{xcp} = \text{None}$ $xs = \text{loc}$
and $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([\text{Bool False}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{if } (E) e1 \text{ else } e2) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([\text{Bool False}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$
by-(rule Cond- $\tau\text{ExecrI1}$)
moreover have exec-move-a $P t (\text{if } (E) e1 \text{ else } e2) h ([\text{Bool False}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$
 $\varepsilon h ([]), \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1)))$, None
unfolding exec-move-def **by**(rule exec-instr)(auto)
moreover have $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Bool False}] (\text{if } (E) e1 \text{ else } e2) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E)) \text{None}$
by(rule $\tau\text{move2CondRed}$)
ultimately have $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t (\text{if } (E) e1 \text{ else } e2) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) ([], \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1))), \text{None})$
by(auto intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp1 $\tau\text{exec-moveI}$ simp add: compP2-def)
moreover have $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{if } (\text{false}) e1 \text{ else } e2)$ **by**(rule $\tau\text{move1CondRed}$)
moreover
from bisim1-refl
have $P, \text{if } (E) e1 \text{ else } e2, h \vdash (e2, loc) \leftrightarrow ([], \text{loc}, (\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + 0))), \text{None})$
unfolding s **by**(rule bisim1CondElse)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** s **by** auto(blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)
next
case (Cond1Throw a)
note [simp] = $\langle e = \text{Throw } a \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Throw } a \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$
have $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{if } (\text{Throw } a) e1 \text{ else } e2)$ **by**(rule $\tau\text{move1CondThrow}$)
from bisim **have** $\text{xcp} = \lfloor a \rfloor \vee \text{xcp} = \text{None}$ **by**(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
assume [simp]: $\text{xcp} = \lfloor a \rfloor$
with bisim
have $P, \text{if } (E) e1 \text{ else } e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a \rfloor)$
by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondThrow)
thus ?thesis **using** τ **by**(fastforce)
next
assume [simp]: $\text{xcp} = \text{None}$
with bisim **obtain** pc'
where $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{pc}', \lfloor a \rfloor)$
and bisim': $P, E, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{pc}', \lfloor a \rfloor)$ **and** [simp]: $xs = \text{loc}$
by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau\text{Exec-mover}$)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{if } (E) e1 \text{ else } e2) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{pc}', \lfloor a \rfloor)$
by-(rule Cond- $\tau\text{ExecrI1}$)
moreover from bisim'

have P , if (E) $e1 \text{ else } e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', [a])$
by–(rule *bisim1CondThrow*, auto)
ultimately show ?*thesis* **using** τ **by** auto
qed
qed
next
case (*bisim1CondThen* $e1 n e1' xs stk loc pc xcp e e2$)
note $IH = bisim1CondThen.IH(2)$
note $bisim1 = \langle P, e1, h \vdash (e1', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$
note $bisim = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$
note $bisim2 = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$
note $bsok = \langle bsok (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) n \rangle$
from $IH[O \langle True, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e1', (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle]$ $bsok \text{ obtain } pc'' \text{ stk'' } loc'' \text{ xcp''}$
where $bisim': P, e1, h' \vdash (e', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$
and $exec': ?exec ta e1 e1' e' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' \text{ stk'' } loc'' \text{ xcp'' by auto}$
have $no-call2 e1 pc \implies no-call2 (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) (\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + pc))$
by(auto simp add: *no-call2-def*)
hence $?exec ta (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) e1' e' h stk loc (\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + pc)) xcp h' (\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + pc'')) \text{ stk'' } loc'' \text{ xcp''}$
using $exec' \text{ by } (\text{cases } \tau move1 P h e1')(\text{auto}, (\text{blast intro: Cond-}\tau\text{ExecrI2 Cond-}\tau\text{ExecrI2 exec-move-CondI2}))$ +
moreover from *bisim'*
have $P, \text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2, h' \vdash (e', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + pc''), xcp'')$
by(rule *bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondThen*)
ultimately show ?*case*
by(auto split: *if-split-asm*) blast+
next
case (*bisim1CondElse* $e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc xcp e e1$)
note $IH = bisim1CondElse.IH(2)$
note $bisim2 = \langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$
note $bisim = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$
note $bisim1 = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e1, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$
from $IH[O \langle True, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e2', (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle]$ $\langle bsok (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) n \rangle$
obtain $pc'' \text{ stk'' } loc'' \text{ xcp''}$
where $bisim': P, e2, h' \vdash (e', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$
and $exec': ?exec ta e2 e2' e' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' \text{ stk'' } loc'' \text{ xcp'' by auto}$
have $no-call2 e2 pc \implies no-call2 (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) (\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc)))$
by(auto simp add: *no-call2-def*)
hence $?exec ta (\text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2) e2' e' h stk loc (\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc))) xcp h' (\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc''))) \text{ stk'' } loc'' \text{ xcp''}$
using $exec' \text{ by } (\text{cases } \tau move1 P h e2')(\text{auto}, (\text{blast intro: Cond-}\tau\text{ExecrI3 Cond-}\tau\text{ExecrI3 exec-move-CondI3}))$ +
moreover from *bisim'*
have $P, \text{if } (e) e1 \text{ else } e2, h' \vdash (e', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } e1) + pc'')), xcp'')$
by(rule *bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondElse*)
ultimately show ?*case*
by(auto split: *if-split-asm*) blast+
next
case *bisim1CondThrow* **thus** ?*case* **by** auto
next
case (*bisim1While1* $c n e xs$)
note $bisim1 = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, c, h \vdash (c, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$
note $bisim2 = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$
from $\langle True, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{while } (c) e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ **show** ?*case*

```

proof cases
  case Red1While
    note [simp] =  $\langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{if } (c) (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit} \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
    have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{while } (c) e)$  by(rule  $\tau\text{move1WhileRed}$ )
    moreover
      have  $P, \text{while } (c) e, h \vdash (\text{if } (c) (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit}, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None})$ 
        by(rule  $\text{bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While3[OF bisim1-refl]}$ )
      moreover have  $\text{sim12-size } (\text{while } (c) e) > \text{sim12-size } e'$  by(simp)
      ultimately show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  next
    case ( $\text{bisim1While3 } c n c' xs \text{ stk loc pc } xcp e$ )
      note  $IH = \text{bisim1While3.IH}(2)$ 
      note  $\text{bisim1} = \langle P, c, h \vdash (c', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, xcp) \rangle$ 
      note  $\text{bisim2} = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\[], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
      note  $bsok = \langle bsok (\text{while } (c) e) n \rangle$ 
      from  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{if } (c') (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit}, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$  show ?case
    proof cases
      case ( $\text{Cond1Red } b'$ )
        note [simp] =  $\langle e' = \text{if } (b') (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit} \rangle$ 
        and  $red = \langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle c', (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle b', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
        from  $red$  have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{if } (c') (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit}) = \tau\text{move1 } P h c'$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
        moreover from  $red$  have  $\text{call1 } (\text{if } (c') (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit}) = \text{call1 } c'$  by auto
        moreover from  $IH[\text{OF red}] \text{ bsok}$ 
          obtain  $pc'' \text{ stk'' loc'' } xcp''$  where  $\text{bisim}: P, c, h' \vdash (b', xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}'', \text{pc}'', xcp'')$ 
            and  $redo: ?exec ta c c' b' h \text{ stk loc pc } xcp h' pc'' \text{ stk'' loc'' } xcp''$  by auto
        from  $\text{bisim}$ 
        have  $P, \text{while } (c) e, h' \vdash (\text{if } (b') (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit}, xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}'', \text{pc}'', xcp'')$ 
          by(rule  $\text{bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While3}$ )
        moreover {
          assume  $\text{no-call2 } c \text{ pc}$ 
          hence  $\text{no-call2 } (\text{while } (c) e) \text{ pc}$  by(auto simp add:  $\text{no-call2-def}$ )
        }
        ultimately show ?thesis using  $redo$ 
          by(auto simp del:  $\text{call1.simps } \text{calls1.simps } \text{split: if-split-asm } \text{split del: if-split}$ )(blast intro: While- $\tau\text{ExecrI1}$  While- $\tau\text{ExecI1}$  exec-move-WhileI1)+
      next
        case  $\text{Red1CondT}$ 
        note [simp] =  $\langle c' = \text{true} \rangle \langle e' = e; \text{while } (c) e \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
        from  $\text{bisim1}$  have  $s: xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = \text{loc}$ 
          and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t c h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, xcp) ([\text{Bool True}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } c), \text{None})$ 
          by(auto dest:  $\text{bisim1Val2D1}$ )
          hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{while } (c) e) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, xcp) ([\text{Bool True}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } c), \text{None})$ 
          by-(rule While- $\tau\text{ExecrI1}$ )
        moreover have  $\text{exec-move-a } P t (\text{while } (c) e) h ([\text{Bool True}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } c), \text{None}) \varepsilon h (\[], \text{loc}, \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } c)), \text{None})$ 
          unfolding  $\text{exec-move-def}$  by(rule  $\text{exec-instr}$ , auto)
        moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Bool True}] (\text{while } (c) e) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } c)) \text{ None}$  by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
        ultimately have  $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t (\text{while } (c) e) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, xcp) (\[], \text{loc}, \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } c)), \text{None})$ 
          by(auto intro:  $rtranclp\text{-into-tranclp1 } \tau\text{exec-moveI}$  simp add:  $\text{compP2-def}$ )
        moreover have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{if } (c') (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit})$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps}$ )

```

```

 $\tau moves1.simps)$ 
moreover from bisim1-refl
have P, while (c) e, h  $\vdash (e;; \text{while } (c) e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] \text{, loc, } \text{Suc}(\text{length } (\text{compE2 } c) + 0), \text{None})$ 
  unfolding s by(rule bisim1While4)
  ultimately show ?thesis by (fastforce)
next
case Red1CondF
note [simp] =  $\langle c' = \text{false} \rangle \langle e' = \text{unit} \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
from bisim1 have s:  $xcp = \text{None}$   $xs = \text{loc}$ 
  and  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t c h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Bool \text{ False}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } c), \text{None})$ 
  by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{while } (c) e) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([Bool \text{ False}], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } c), \text{None})$ 
  by-(rule While- $\tau ExecrI1$ )
  moreover have exec-move-a P t (while (c) e) h ([Bool False], loc, length (compE2 c), None)  $\varepsilon h$ 
  ([] , loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e)))) , None)
  by(auto intro!: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def)
  moreover have  $\tau move2 (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Bool False}] (\text{while } (c) e) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } c)) \text{ None}$ 
  by(simp add:  $\tau move2\text{-iff}$ )
  ultimately have  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{while } (c) e) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([] \text{, loc, } \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length } (\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)))) \text{, None}$ 
  by(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl  $\tau exec\text{-moveI}$  simp add: compP2-def)
  moreover have  $\tau move1 P h (\text{if } (\text{false}) (e;; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit})$  by(rule  $\tau move1CondRed$ )
  moreover have P, while (c) e, h  $\vdash (\text{unit}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] \text{, loc, } (\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length } (\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)))))) \text{, None}$ 
  unfolding s by(rule bisim1While7)
  ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
next
case (Cond1Throw a)
note [simp] =  $\langle c' = \text{Throw } a \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Throw } a \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
have  $\tau: \tau move1 P h (\text{if } (c') (e;; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit})$  by(auto intro:  $\tau move1CondThrow$ )
from bisim1 have  $xcp = \lfloor a \rfloor \vee xcp = \text{None}$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
  assume [simp]:  $xcp = \lfloor a \rfloor$ 
  with bisim1
  have P, while (c) e, h  $\vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor a \rfloor)$ 
  by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1WhileThrow1)
  thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
next
  assume [simp]:  $xcp = \text{None}$ 
  with bisim1 obtain pc'
    where  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t c h (stk, loc, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$ 
    and bisim': P, c, h  $\vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$  and [simp]:  $xs = \text{loc}$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau Exec\text{-mover}$ )
  hence  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{while } (c) e) h (stk, loc, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$ 
  by-(rule While- $\tau ExecrI1$ )
  moreover from bisim'
  have P, while (c) e, h  $\vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], loc, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$ 
  by-(rule bisim1WhileThrow1, auto)
  ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
qed
qed
next

```

```

case (bisim1While4 E n e xs stk loc pc xcp c)
note IH = bisim1While4.IH(2)
note bisim2 = ⟨P,E,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)⟩
note bisim1 = ⟨⊖xs. P,c,h ⊢ (c, xs) ↔ ([], xs, 0, None)⟩
note bsok = ⟨bsok (while (c) E) n⟩
from ⟨True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e;; while (c) E, (h, xs)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', xs')⟩⟩ show ?case
proof cases
  case (Seq1Red E')
    note [simp] = ⟨e' = E';; while (c) E⟩
    and red = ⟨True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e, (h, xs)⟩ −ta→ ⟨E', (h', xs')⟩⟩
    from red have τ: τmove1 P h (e;; while (c) E) = τmove1 P h e by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
τmoves1.simps)
    with IH[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
    where bisim: P,E,h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
    and exec': ?exec ta E e E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
    have ?exec ta (while (c) E) (e;; while (c) E) (E';; while (c) E) h stk loc (Suc (length (compE2 c) +
pc)) xcp h' (Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc'')) stk'' loc'' xcp''
    proof(cases τmove1 P h (e;; while (c) E))
      case True
      with exec' show ?thesis using τ by(fastforce intro: While-τExecrI2 While-τExecI2)
    next
      case False
      with exec' τ obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
      where e: τExec-mover-a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      and e': exec-move-a P t E h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'',
pc'', xcp'')
      and τ': ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk' E pc' xcp'
      and call: (call1 e = None ∨ no-call2 E pc ∨ pc' = pc ∧ stk' = stk ∧ loc' = loc ∧ xcp' = xcp)
by auto
      from e have τExec-mover-a P t (while (c) E) h (stk, loc, Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc), xcp)
(stk', loc', Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc'), xcp') by(rule While-τExecrI2)
      moreover
      from e' have exec-move-a P t (while (c) E) h (stk', loc', Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc'), xcp')
(extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc''), xcp'')
by(rule exec-move-WhileI2)
      moreover from τ' e' have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk' (while (c) E) (Suc (length (compE2 c) +
pc')) xcp'
      by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff)
      moreover have call1 (e;; while (c) E) = call1 e by simp
      moreover have no-call2 E pc ⇒ no-call2 (while (c) E) (Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc))
      by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
      ultimately show ?thesis using False call by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)
    qed
    with bisim τ show ?thesis by auto (blast intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While4) +
  next
    case (Red1Seq v)
    note [simp] = ⟨e = Val v⟩ ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨e' = while (c) E⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xs' = xs⟩
    from bisim2 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
    and τExec-mover-a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 E), None)
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence τExec-mover-a P t (while (c) E) h (stk, loc, Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc), xcp) ([v], loc,
Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 E)), None)
    by-(rule While-τExecrI2)
    moreover

```

have $\text{exec-move-a } P t (\text{while } (c) E) h ([v], \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } E)), \text{None}) \varepsilon h ([] , \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } E)), \text{None})$
unfolding exec-move-def **by**(rule exec-instr , auto)
moreover have $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [v] (\text{while } (c) E) (\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } E))) \text{None}$ **by**(simp add: $\tau\text{move2-iff}$)
ultimately have $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t (\text{while } (c) E) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + pc), \text{xcp} ([] , \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } E))), \text{None})$
by(auto intro: $r\text{tranclp-into-tranclp1}$ $\tau\text{exec-moveI}$ simp add: compP2-def)
moreover
have $P, \text{while } (c) E, h \vdash (\text{while } (c) E, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, (\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } E)))) \text{None})$
unfolding s **by**(rule bisim1While6)
moreover have $\tau\text{move1 } P h (e; \text{while } (c) E)$ **by**(auto intro: $\tau\text{move1SeqRed}$)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** s **by**(auto)(blast intro: $\text{tranclp-into-rtranclp}$)
next
case ($\text{Seq1Throw } a$)
note [simp] = $\langle e = \text{Throw } a \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Throw } a \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$
have $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P h (e; \text{while } (c) E)$ **by**(auto intro: $\tau\text{move1SeqThrow}$)
from bisim2 **have** $\text{xcp} = \lfloor a \rfloor \vee \text{xcp} = \text{None}$ **by**(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
assume [simp]: $\text{xcp} = \lfloor a \rfloor$
with bisim2
have $P, \text{while } (c) E, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + pc), \text{xcp})$
by(auto intro: bisim1WhileThrow2)
thus ?thesis **using** τ **by**(fastforce)
next
assume [simp]: $\text{xcp} = \text{None}$
with bisim2 **obtain** pc'
where $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t E h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$
and $\text{bisim}' : P, E, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$ **and** [simp]: $xs = \text{loc}$
by(auto dest: $\text{bisim1-Throw-}\tau\text{Exec-mover}$)
hence $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{while } (c) E) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + pc), \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + pc'), \lfloor a \rfloor)$
by-(rule $\text{While-}\tau\text{ExecrI2}$)
moreover from bisim'
have $P, \text{while } (c) E, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + pc'), \lfloor a \rfloor)$
by-(rule bisim1WhileThrow2 , auto)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** τ **by** auto
qed
qed
next
case ($\text{bisim1While6 } c n e xs$)
note $\text{bisim1} = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, c, h \vdash (c, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$
note $\text{bisim2} = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$
from $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{while } (c) e, (h, xs) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ **show** ?case
proof cases
case Red1While
note [simp] = $\langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{if } (c) (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit} \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$
have $\tau\text{move1 } P h (\text{while } (c) e)$ **by**(rule $\tau\text{move1WhileRed}$)
moreover
have $P, \text{while } (c) e, h \vdash (\text{if } (c) (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{ else unit}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, \text{None})$
by(rule $\text{bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While3[OF bisim1-refl]}$)
moreover have $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P t (\text{while } (c) e) h ([] , xs, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } c) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } E)))$

```

( $\text{compE2 } e$ )),  $\text{None}$ ) ( $[]$ ,  $xs$ ,  $0$ ,  $\text{None}$ )
  by(rule  $\tau\text{Exec1step}$ )(auto simp add: exec-move-def  $\tau\text{move2-iff intro: exec-instr}$ )
    ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce)
  qed
next
  case  $\text{bisim1While7}$  thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case  $\text{bisim1WhileThrow1}$  thus ?case by auto
next
  case  $\text{bisim1WhileThrow2}$  thus ?case by auto
next
  case ( $\text{bisim1Throw1 } E \ n \ e \ xs \ stk \ loc \ pc \ xp$ )
    note  $IH = \text{bisim1Throw1.IH}(2)$ 
    note  $\text{bisim} = \langle P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xp) \rangle$ 
    note  $\text{red} = \langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{throw } e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
    note  $\text{bsok} = \langle \text{bsok } (\text{throw } E) \ n \rangle$ 
    from red show ?case
  proof cases
    case ( $\text{Throw1Red } E'$ )
      note [simp] =  $\langle e' = \text{throw } E' \rangle$ 
      and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
      from red have  $\tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (\text{throw } e) = \tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ e$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps}$   $\tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
        moreover have  $\text{call1 } (\text{throw } e) = \text{call1 } e$  by auto
        moreover from  $IH[OF \text{red}]$  bsok
          obtain  $pc'' \ stk'' \ loc'' \ xp''$  where  $\text{bisim}: P, E, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xp'')$ 
            and  $\text{redo}: ?\text{exec ta } E \ e \ E' \ h \ stk \ loc \ pc \ xp \ h' \ pc'' \ stk'' \ loc'' \ xp''$  by auto
          from bisim
          have  $P, \text{throw } E, h' \vdash (\text{throw } E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xp'')$ 
            by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Throw1)
        moreover {
          assume  $\text{no-call2 } E \ pc$ 
          hence  $\text{no-call2 } (\text{throw } E) \ pc$  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
        ultimately show ?thesis using redo
          by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
 $\text{Throw-}\tau\text{ExecrI } \text{Throw-}\tau\text{ExecI exec-move-ThrowI}+$ )
      next
        case  $\text{Red1ThrowNull}$ 
        note [simp] =  $\langle e = \text{null} \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{THROW NullPointer} \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
        from bisim have s:  $xp = \text{None}$   $xs = loc$ 
          and  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ E \ h \ (stk, loc, pc, xp) ([\text{Null}], loc, length (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
            by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
        hence  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P \ t \ (\text{throw } E) \ h \ (stk, loc, pc, xp) ([\text{Null}], loc, length (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
          by-(rule Throw- $\tau\text{ExecrI}$ )
        also have  $\tau\text{Exec-movet-a } P \ t \ (\text{throw } E) \ h \ ([\text{Null}], loc, length (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None}) ([\text{Null}], loc,$ 
          length ( $\text{compE2 } E$ ), [ $\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}$ ])
          by(rule  $\tau\text{Exec1step}$ )(auto intro: exec-instr  $\tau\text{move2-}\tau\text{moves2.intros simp add: exec-move-def}$ )
        also have  $P, \text{throw } E, h \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], loc, length (\text{compE2 } E), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$ 
          unfolding s by(rule bisim1ThrowNull)
        moreover have  $\tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (\text{throw } e)$  by(auto intro:  $\tau\text{move1ThrowNull}$ )
        ultimately show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case ( $\text{Throw1Throw } a$ )

```

```

note [simp] = <e = Throw a> <ta = ε> <e' = Throw a> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
have τ: τmove1 P h (throw (Throw a)) by(rule τmove1ThrowThrow)
from bisim have xp = [a] ∨ xp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
  assume xp = [a]
  with bisim show ?thesis using τ by(fastforce intro: bisim1ThrowThrow)
next
  assume [simp]: xp = None
  from bisim obtain pc'
    where τExec-mover-a P t E h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
    and bisim: P, E, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a]) and s: xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover)
  hence τExec-mover-a P t (throw E) h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
    by -(rule Throw-τExecrI)
  moreover from bisim have P, throw E, h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
    by(rule bisim1ThrowThrow)
  ultimately show ?thesis using τ by auto
qed
qed
next
  case bisim1Throw2 thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1ThrowNull thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1ThrowThrow thus ?case by auto
next
  case (bisim1Try E n e xs stk loc pc xp e2 C' V)
  note IH = bisim1Try.IH(2)
  note bisim1 = <P,E,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xp)>
  note bisim2 = <⟩xs. P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([], xs, 0, None)>
  note red = <True,P,t ⊢ 1 <try e catch(C' V) e2,(h, xs)> -ta→ <e',(h', xs')>>
  note bsok = <bsok (try E catch(C' V) e2) n>
  from red show ?case
proof cases
  case (Try1Red E')
  note [simp] = <e' = try E' catch(C' V) e2>
  and red = <True,P,t ⊢ 1 <e, (h, xs)> -ta→ <E', (h', xs')>>
  from red have τmove1 P h (try e catch(C' V) e2) = τmove1 P h e by(auto simp add: τmove1.simps
τmoves1.simps)
  moreover have call1 (try e catch(C' V) e2) = call1 e by auto
  moreover from IH[OF red] bsok
  obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xp'' where bisim: P,E,h' ⊢ (E', xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xp'')
  and redo: ?exec ta E e E' h stk loc pc xp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xp'' by auto
  from bisim
  have P,try E catch(C' V) e2,h' ⊢ (try E' catch(C' V) e2, xs') ↔ (stk'', loc'', pc'', xp'')
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Try)
  moreover {
    assume no-call2 E pc
    hence no-call2 (try E catch(C' V) e2) pc by(auto simp add: no-call2-def) }
  ultimately show ?thesis using redo
    by(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)(blast intro:
Try-τExecrI1 Try-τExecrI1 exec-move-TryI1)+
next

```

```

case (Red1Try v)
note [simp] =  $\langle e = Val v \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = Val v \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs \rangle$ 
have  $\tau: \tau move1 P h (\text{try } Val v \text{ catch}(C' V) e2)$  by(rule  $\tau move1 TryRed$ )
from bisim1 have  $s: xcp = None$   $xs = loc$ 
    and  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t E h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 E), None)$ 
        by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
    hence  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 E), None)$ 
        by-(rule  $\text{Try-}\tau ExecrI1$ )
    also have  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) h ([v], loc, length (compE2 E), None) ([v], loc, length (compE2 (\text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2)), None)$ 
        by(rule  $\tau Execr1step$ )(auto intro: exec-instr simp add: exec-move-def  $\tau move2\text{-iff}$ )
    also (rtranclp-trans)
    have  $P, \text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, h \vdash (Val v, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, length (compE2 (\text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2)), None)$ 
        by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using  $s \tau$  by(auto)
next
    case (Red1TryCatch a D)
    hence [simp]:  $e = Throw a$   $ta = \varepsilon$   $e' = \{V:Class C'=\text{None}; e2\}$   $h' = h$   $xs' = xs[V := Addr a]$ 
        and  $ha: \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } D \rfloor$  and  $sub: P \vdash D \preceq^* C'$ 
        and  $V: V < \text{length } xs$  by auto
    from bisim1 have [simp]:  $xs = loc$  and  $xcp: xcp = \lfloor a \rfloor \vee xcp = None$ 
        by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
    from xcp have  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, xcp) ([Addr a], loc, Suc (length (compE2 E)), None)$ 
    proof
        assume [simp]:  $xcp = \lfloor a \rfloor$ 
        with bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) pc (compxE2 E 0 0) = None
            by(auto dest: bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught[where  $pc'=0$ ] simp add: compP2-def)
        moreover from bisim1 have  $pc < \text{length } (compE2 E)$ 
            by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
        ultimately show ?thesis using  $ha$  sub unfolding  $\langle xcp = \lfloor a \rfloor \rangle$ 
            by-(rule  $\tau Execr1step$ [unfolded exec-move-def, OF exec-catch[where  $d=0$ , simplified]], auto)
                simp add:  $\tau move2\text{-iff matches-ex-entry-def compP2-def match-ex-table-append-not-pcs cname-of-def}$ 
    next
        assume [simp]:  $xcp = None$ 
        with bisim1 obtain  $pc'$  where  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t E h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$ 
            and  $bisim': P, E, h \vdash (Throw a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([Addr a], loc, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$  and  $s: xs = loc$ 
            by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw-τ Exec-mover)
        hence  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) h (\text{stk}, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor)$ 
            by-(rule  $\text{Try-}\tau ExecrI1$ )
        also from bisim' have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) pc' (compxE2 E 0 0) = None
            by(auto dest: bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught[where  $pc'=0$ ] simp add: compP2-def)
            with  $ha$  sub bisim1-ThrowD[OF bisim']
            have  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) h ([Addr a], loc, pc', \lfloor a \rfloor) ([Addr a], loc, Suc (length (compE2 E)), None)$ 
                by-(rule  $\tau Execr1step$ [unfolded exec-move-def, OF exec-catch[where  $d=0$ , simplified]], auto)
                    simp add:  $\tau move2\text{-iff matches-ex-entry-def compP2-def match-ex-table-append-not-pcs cname-of-def}$ 
            finally (rtranclp-trans) show ?thesis by simp
qed
also let ? $pc' = Suc (length (compE2 E))$  from V

```

```

have exec:  $\tau_{\text{Exec-movet-a}} P t (\text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) h ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, ?pc', \text{None}) ([]), \text{loc}[V := \text{Addr } a], \text{Suc } ?pc', \text{None})$ 
  by-(rule  $\tau_{\text{Exec1step}}$ [unfolded exec-move-def, OF exec-instr], auto simp add: nth-append intro:  $\tau_{\text{move2}}\text{-}\tau_{\text{moves2}}.\text{intros}$ )
  also (rtranclp-tranclp-tranclp)
  have bisim':  $P, \text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, h \vdash (\{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e2\}, xs[V := \text{Addr } a]) \leftrightarrow ([]), \text{loc}[V := \text{Addr } a], \text{Suc } ?pc', \text{None})$ 
    unfolding  $\langle xs = \text{loc} \rangle$  by(rule bisim1TryCatch2[OF bisim1-refl, simplified])
    moreover have  $\tau_{\text{move1}} P h (\text{try Throw a catch}(C' V) e2)$  by(rule  $\tau_{\text{move1}}\text{TryThrow}$ )
    ultimately show ?thesis by(auto)(blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)
next
  case ( $\text{Red1TryFail } a D$ )
  hence [simp]:  $e = \text{Throw } a \text{ ta} = \varepsilon \text{ } e' = \text{Throw } a \text{ } h' = h \text{ } xs' = xs$ 
    and ha:  $\text{typeof-addr } h \text{ } a = [\text{Class-type } D]$  and sub:  $\neg P \vdash D \preceq^* C'$  by auto
  have  $\tau: \tau_{\text{move1}} P h (\text{try Throw a catch}(C' V) e2)$  by(rule  $\tau_{\text{move1}}\text{TryThrow}$ )
  from bisim1 have [simp]:  $xs = \text{loc}$  and  $xcp = [a] \vee xcp = \text{None}$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from bisim1 have pc:  $pc \leq \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E)$  by(rule bisim1- $\text{pc-length-compE2}$ )
  from  $\langle xcp = [a] \vee xcp = \text{None} \rangle$  show ?thesis
proof
  assume [simp]:  $xcp = [a]$ 
  with bisim1 ha sub
  have  $P, \text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, [a])$ 
    by(auto intro: bisim1TryFail)
  thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
next
  assume [simp]:  $xcp = \text{None}$ 
  with bisim1 obtain pc'
    where  $\tau_{\text{Exec-mover-a}} P t E h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a])$ 
    and bisim':  $P, E, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a])$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau_{\text{Exec-mover}}$ )
  hence  $\tau_{\text{Exec-mover-a}} P t (\text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a])$ 
    by-(rule Try- $\tau_{\text{ExecrI1}}$ )
  moreover from bisim' ha sub
  have  $P, \text{try } E \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, pc', [a])$ 
    by(auto intro: bisim1TryFail)
  ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
qed
qed
next
  case (bisim1TryCatch1 e n a xs stk loc pc D C' e2 V)
  note bisim1 =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, [a]) \rangle$ 
  note bisim2 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([]), xs, 0, \text{None} \rangle$ 
  note IH2 = bisim1TryCatch1.IH(6)
  note ha =  $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h \text{ } a = [\text{Class-type } D] \rangle$ 
  note sub =  $\langle P \vdash D \preceq^* C' \rangle$ 
  note red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash \{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e2\}, (h, xs[V := \text{Addr } a]) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle$ 
  note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) n \rangle$ 
  from bisim1 have [simp]:  $xs = \text{loc}$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from red show ?case
proof cases
  case ( $\text{Block1Red } E'$ )
  note [simp] =  $\langle e' = \{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; E'\} \rangle$ 
    and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash e2, (h, xs[V := \text{Addr } a]) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle$ 
  from red have  $\tau: \tau_{\text{move1}} P h \{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e2\} = \tau_{\text{move1}} P h e2$  by(auto simp add:

```

```

 $\tau move1.simps \tau moves1.simps$ 
have exec:  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) h ([\text{Addr } a], xs, Suc (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + 0), None) ([]), xs[V := \text{Addr } a], Suc (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + 0)), None)$ 
  by  $-(\text{rule } \tau Execr1step, \text{auto simp add: exec-move-def } \tau move2\text{-iff intro: exec-instr})$ 
moreover from IH2[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
  where bisim':  $P, e2, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
  and exec': ?exec ta e2 e2 E' h [] (xs[V := \text{Addr } a]) 0 None h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
have ?exec ta (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) {V:Class C'=\text{None}; e2} {V:Class C'=\text{None}; E'} h [] (xs[V := \text{Addr } a]) (Suc (Suc (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)))) None h' (Suc (Suc (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc''))) stk'' loc'' xcp''
  proof(cases  $\tau move1 P h \{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e2\}$ )
    case True with  $\tau exec'$  show ?thesis
      by(fastforce dest: Try- $\tau ExecrI2$  Try- $\tau ExecI2$  simp del: compE2.simps compEs2.simps)
  next
    case False
    with  $\tau exec'$  obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
      where e:  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t e2 h ([]), xs[V := \text{Addr } a], 0, None$  (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      and e': exec-move-a P t e2 h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
      and  $\tau'$ :  $\neg \tau move2 (\text{compP2 } P) h$  stk' e2 pc' xcp'
      and call: call1 e2 = None  $\vee$  no-call2 e2 0  $\vee$  pc' = 0  $\wedge$  stk' = []  $\wedge$  loc' = xs[V := \text{Addr } a]  $\wedge$  xcp' = None by auto
      from e have  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) h ([]), xs[V := \text{Addr } a], Suc (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + 0), None) (stk', loc', Suc (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc'))), xcp'$ 
        by(rule Try- $\tau ExecrI2$ )
      moreover from e'
        have exec-move-a P t (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) h (stk', loc', Suc (Suc (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc')), xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', Suc (Suc (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc'')), xcp'')
        by(rule exec-move-TryI2)
      moreover from  $\tau'$  have  $\tau move2 (\text{compP2 } P) h$  stk' (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) (Suc (Suc (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc'))) xcp'  $\Longrightarrow$  False
        by(simp add:  $\tau move2\text{-iff}$ )
      moreover have call1 {V:Class C'=\text{None}; e2} = call1 e2 by simp
      moreover have no-call2 e2 0  $\Longrightarrow$  no-call2 (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) (Suc (Suc (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)))) by(simp add: no-call2-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis using False call by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)
  blast
  qed
  moreover from bisim'
  have P, try e catch(C' V) e2, h'  $\vdash (\{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; E'\}, xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', Suc (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc'))), xcp''$ 
    by(rule bisim1TryCatch2)
  moreover have no-call2 (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) (Suc (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e))) by(simp add: no-call2-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$ 
    by auto(blast intro: rtranclp-trans rtranclp-tranclp-tranclp)+
  next
    case (Red1Block u)
    note [simp] =  $\langle e2 = Val u \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = Val u \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle xs' = xs[V := \text{Addr } a] \rangle$ 
    have  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) Val u) h ([\text{Addr } a], xs, Suc (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + 0), None) ([]), xs[V := \text{Addr } a], Suc (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + 0)), None)$ 
    by  $-(\text{rule } \tau Execr1step, \text{auto simp add: exec-move-def } \tau move2\text{-iff intro: exec-instr})$ 
    also have  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) Val u) h ([]), xs[V := \text{Addr } a], Suc (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + 0))$ 

```

```

( $\text{compE2 } e + 0$ ),  $\text{None}$ ) ( $[u]$ ,  $\text{xs}[V := \text{Addr } a]$ ,  $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + 1))$ ,  $\text{None}$ )
  by  $-(\text{rule Try-}\tau\text{ExecrI2}[\text{OF } \tau\text{Execr1step}[ \text{unfolded exec-move-def}, \text{OF exec-instr}]], \text{auto simp add: } \tau\text{move2-iff})$ 
  also ( $rtranclp\text{-trans}$ )
    have  $P$ ,  $\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V)$   $\text{Val } u$ ,  $h \vdash (\text{Val } u, \text{xs}[V := \text{Addr } a]) \leftrightarrow ([u], \text{xs}[V := \text{Addr } a], \text{length}(\text{compE2 } (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) \text{ Val } u)))$ ,  $\text{None}$ )
      by( $\text{rule bisim1Val2}$ ) simp
    moreover have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h \{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; \text{Val } u\}$  by( $\text{rule } \tau\text{move1BlockRed}$ )
    ultimately show ?thesis by(auto)
next
  case ( $\text{Block1Throw } a'$ )
    note [simp] =  $\langle e2 = \text{Throw } a' \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle \langle e' = \text{Throw } a' \rangle \langle xs' = \text{xs}[V := \text{Addr } a] \rangle$ 
    have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h \{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; \text{Throw } a'\}$  by( $\text{rule } \tau\text{move1BlockThrow}$ )
    moreover have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) \text{ e2}) h ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e)))$ ,  $\text{None}$ )
      ([ $\text{Addr } a'$ ],  $\text{xs}'$ ,  $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e))))$ , [ $a'$ ])
      by( $\text{rule } \tau\text{Execr3step}$ ) (auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
    moreover have  $P$ ,  $\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) \text{ Throw } a'$ ,  $h \vdash (\text{Throw } a', \text{xs}') \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a'], \text{xs}', \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length}(\text{compE2 } (\text{addr } a')))))$ ,  $[a']$ )
      by( $\text{rule bisim1TryCatchThrow}$ ) (rule bisim1Throw2)
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
next
  case ( $\text{bisim1TryCatch2 } e2 n e2' \text{ xs stk loc pc xcp e } C' V$ )
    note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2', \text{xs}) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$ 
    note bisim1 =  $\langle \bigwedge \text{xs}. P, e, h \vdash (e, \text{xs}) \leftrightarrow ([], \text{xs}, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
    note IH2 = bisim1TryCatch2.IH(2)
    note red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e2'\}, (h, \text{xs}) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', \text{xs}') \rangle \rangle$ 
    note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) \text{ e2}) n \rangle$ 
    from red show ?case
proof cases
  case ( $\text{Block1Red } E'$ )
    note [simp] =  $\langle e' = \{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; E'\} \rangle$ 
    and red =  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e2', (h, \text{xs}) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle E', (h', \text{xs}') \rangle \rangle$ 
    from red have  $\tau: \tau\text{move1 } P h \{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e2'\} = \tau\text{move1 } P h e2'$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps}$ )
    from IH2[ $\text{OF red}$ ] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' where bisim':  $P, e2, h \vdash (E', \text{xs}) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}'', \text{pc}'', \text{xcp}'')$ 
      and exec':  $?exec \text{ ta } e2 \text{ e2' } E' \text{ h } \text{stk } \text{loc } \text{pc } \text{xcp } h' \text{ pc'' } \text{stk'' } \text{loc'' } \text{xcp''}$  by auto
    have ?exec ta ( $\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) \text{ e2}$ )  $\{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e2'\} \{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; E'\} h \text{ stk } \text{loc } (\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}))) \text{ xcp } h' (\text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}')) \text{ stk'' } \text{loc'' } \text{xcp''}$ 
    proof (cases  $\tau\text{move1 } P h \{V:\text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e2'\}$ )
      case True with  $\tau \text{ exec'}$  show ?thesis by(auto intro: Try- $\tau$ ExecrI2 Try- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
    next
      case False
        with  $\tau \text{ exec'}$  obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp' where e:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t e2 h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
          and e':  $\text{exec-move-a } P t e2 h (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}') (\text{extTA2JVM } (\text{compP2 } P) \text{ ta}) h' (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}'', \text{pc}'', \text{xcp}'')$ 
          and  $\tau': \neg \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ stk' } e2 \text{ pc' } \text{xcp}'$ 
          and call:  $\text{call1 } e2' = \text{None} \vee \text{no-call2 } e2 \text{ pc} \vee \text{pc}' = \text{pc} \wedge \text{stk}' = \text{stk} \wedge \text{loc}' = \text{loc} \wedge \text{xcp}' = \text{xcp}$ 
        by auto
        from e have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a } P t (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) \text{ e2}) h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}))) \text{ xcp}$  ( $\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{length}(\text{compE2 } e) + \text{pc}')), \text{xcp}'$ )
    
```

```

by(rule Try- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
moreover from e'
have exec-move-a P t (try e catch(C' V) e2) h (stk', loc', Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc')), xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc'')), xcp'')
by(rule exec-move-TryI2)
moreover from  $\tau'$  have  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk' (try e catch(C' V) e2) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc')))) xcp'  $\Rightarrow$  False
by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
moreover have call1 {V:Class C'=None; e2'} = call1 e2' by simp
moreover have no-call2 e2 pc  $\Rightarrow$  no-call2 (try e catch(C' V) e2) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)))
by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using False call by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)
qed
moreover from bisim'
have P, try e catch(C' V) e2, h'  $\vdash$  ({V:Class C'=None; E'}, xs')  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk'', loc'', Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc'')), xcp'')
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1TryCatch2)
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto blast+
next
case (Red1Block u)
note [simp] = <e2' = Val u> <ta =  $\varepsilon$ > <e' = Val u> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
from bisim2 have s: xcp = None xs = loc
and  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([u], loc, length (compE2 e2), None)
by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (try e catch(C' V) e2) h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)), xcp) ([u], loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e2)))), None)
by -(rule Try- $\tau$ ExecrI2)
moreover
have P, try e catch(C' V) e2, h  $\vdash$  (Val u, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([u], xs, length (compE2 (try e catch(C' V) e2))), None)
by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
moreover have  $\tau$ move1 P h {V:Class C'=None; Val u} by(rule  $\tau$ move1BlockRed)
ultimately show ?thesis using s by auto
next
case (Block1Throw a)
note [simp] = <e2' = Throw a> <ta =  $\varepsilon$ > <e' = Throw a> <h' = h> <xs' = xs>
have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move1 P h {V:Class C'=None; e2'} by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ move1.simps  $\tau$ moves1.simps)
from bisim2 have xcp = [a]  $\vee$  xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
thus ?thesis
proof
assume [simp]: xcp = [a]
with bisim2
have P, try e catch(C' V) e2, h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)), xcp)
by(auto intro: bisim1TryCatchThrow)
thus ?thesis using  $\tau$  by(fastforce)
next
assume [simp]: xcp = None
with bisim2 obtain pc'
where  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a])
and bisim': P, e2, h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([Addr a], loc, pc', [a]) and [simp]: xs = loc
by(auto dest: bisim1-Throw- $\tau$ Exec-mover)
hence  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t (try e catch(C' V) e2) h (stk, loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) +

```

```

 $pc)), \text{None}) ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + pc')), [a])$ 
  by-(rule Try- $\tau$ -ExecrI2)
  moreover from bisim'
  have  $P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{Suc} (\text{Suc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + pc')), [a])$ 
  by(rule bisim1TryCatchThrow)
  ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto
  qed
qed
next
  case bisim1TryFail thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisim1TryCatchThrow thus ?case by auto
next
  case bisims1Nil thus ?case by(auto elim!: reds1.cases)
next
  case (bisims1List1 E n e xs stk loc pc xcp es)
  note IH1 = bisims1List1.IH(2)
  note IH2 = bisims1List1.IH(4)
  note bisim1 =  $\langle P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
  note bisim2 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, es, h \vdash (es, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  note bsok =  $\langle bsoks (E \# es) n \rangle$ 
  from  $\langle True, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e \# es, (h, xs) \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle es', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$  show ?case
  proof cases
    case (List1Red1 E')
    note [simp] =  $\langle es' = E' \# es \rangle$ 
    and red =  $\langle True, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
    from red have  $\tau: \tau moves1 P h (e \# es) = \tau move1 P h e$  by(auto simp add:  $\tau move1.simps$   $\tau moves1.simps$ )
    moreover from IH1[OF red] bsok
    obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' where bisim:  $P, E, h' \vdash (E', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      and redo: ?exec ta E e E' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
      from bisim
      have  $P, E \# es, h' \vdash (E' \# es, xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List1)
    moreover {
      assume no-call2 E pc
      hence no-calls2 (E \# es) pc \vee pc = length (compE2 E) by(auto simp add: no-call2-def no-calls2-def) }
      moreover from red have calls1 (e \# es) = call1 e by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis using redo
      apply(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-moves-def simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
      apply(blast intro:  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}\tau Exec\text{-movesr } \tau Exec\text{-movet-}\tau Exec\text{-movest intro!}: bisim1\text{-bisims1.bisims1List1 elim: } \tau moves2.cases$ )
      done
next
  case (List1Red2 ES' v)
  note [simp] =  $\langle es' = Val v \# ES' \rangle \langle e = Val v \rangle$ 
  and red =  $\langle True, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle ES', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
  from bisim1 have s:  $xs = loc \text{ xcp} = \text{None}$ 
  and exec1:  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-a } P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1Val2D1)
  hence  $\tau Exec\text{-movesr-a } P t (E \# es) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, \text{length} (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None})$ 

```

```

    by  $\neg(\text{rule } \tau\text{-Exec-mover-}\tau\text{-Exec-movesr})$ 
  moreover from  $IH2[OF \text{ red}] bsok \text{ obtain } pc'' \text{ stk}'' \text{ loc}'' \text{ xcp}''$ 
    where  $bisim': P, es, h' \vdash (ES', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
    and  $exec': ?execs ta es es ES' h [] xs 0 \text{ None } h' pc'' \text{ stk}'' \text{ loc}'' \text{ xcp}'' \text{ by auto}$ 
  have  $\tau: \tau\text{moves1 } P h (\text{Val } v \# es) = \tau\text{moves1 } P h es \text{ by (auto simp add: } \tau\text{move1.simps } \tau\text{moves1.simps)}$ 
  have  $?execs ta (E \# es) (\text{Val } v \# es) (\text{Val } v \# ES') h [v] xs (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E)) \text{ None } h' (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v]) \text{ loc}'' \text{ xcp}''$  by auto
  proof(cases  $\tau\text{moves1 } P h (\text{Val } v \# es)$ )
    case True with  $\tau \text{ exec}' \text{ show } ?thesis$ 
      using  $\text{append-}\tau\text{-Exec-movesr}[of [v] [E] - P t es h [] xs 0 \text{ None } stk'' \text{ loc}'' \text{ pc}'' \text{ xcp}'']$ 
       $\text{append-}\tau\text{-Exec-movest}[of [v] [E] - P t es h [] xs 0 \text{ None } stk'' \text{ loc}'' \text{ pc}'' \text{ xcp}'']$  by auto
  next
    case False with  $\tau \text{ exec}' \text{ obtain } pc' \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ xcp}'$ 
      where  $e: \tau\text{Exec-movesr-a } P t es h ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
      and  $e': \text{exec-moves-a } P t es h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (\text{extTA2JVM } (\text{compP2 } P) \text{ ta}) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
      and  $\tau': \neg \tau\text{moves2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ stk}' \text{ es } pc' \text{ xcp}'$ 
      and call:  $\text{calls1 } es = \text{None} \vee \text{no-calls2 } es 0 \vee pc' = 0 \wedge stk' = [] \wedge loc' = xs \wedge xcp' = \text{None}$ 
  by auto
  from  $\text{append-}\tau\text{-Exec-movesr}[OF - e, \text{where } vs=[v] \text{ and } es' = [E]]$ 
  have  $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-a } P t (E \# es) h ([v], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E), \text{None}) (stk' @ [v], loc', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E) + pc', xcp')$  by simp
  moreover from  $\text{append-exec-moves}[OF - e', of [v] [E]]$ 
  have  $\text{exec-moves-a } P t (E \# es) h (stk' @ [v], loc', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E) + pc', xcp') (\text{extTA2JVM } (\text{compP2 } P) \text{ ta}) h' (stk'' @ [v], loc'', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E) + pc'', xcp'')$ 
  by simp
  moreover from  $\tau' e'$ 
  have  $\tau\text{moves2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h (stk' @ [v]) (E \# es) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E) + pc') xcp' \implies \text{False}$ 
  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{moves2-iff } \tau\text{instr-stk-drop-exec-moves}$ )
  moreover have  $\text{calls1 } (\text{Val } v \# es) = \text{calls1 } es \text{ by simp}$ 
  moreover have  $\text{no-calls2 } es 0 \implies \text{no-calls2 } (E \# es) (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } E))$ 
  by(auto simp add: no-calls2-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis using False call by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)
blast
qed
moreover from  $bisim'$ 
have  $P, E \# es, h' \vdash (\text{Val } v \# ES', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'' @ [v], loc'', \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E) + pc'', xcp'')$ 
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List2)
moreover from  $bisim1$  have  $pc \neq \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E) \longrightarrow \text{no-calls2 } (E \# es) pc$ 
  by(auto simp add: no-calls2-def dest: bisim-Val-pc-not-Invoke bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau \text{ exec1 } s$ 
  apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
  apply(blast intro:  $\tau\text{Exec-movesr-trans|fastforce elim!}: \tau\text{Exec-movesr-trans simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps} +$ 
done
qed
next
case (bisims1List2  $ES n es xs \text{ stk loc pc xcp } e v$ )
note  $IH2 = \text{bisims1List2}.IH(2)$ 
note  $bisim1 = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
note  $bisim2 = \langle P, ES, h \vdash (es, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
note  $bsok = \langle bsoks (e \# ES) n \rangle$ 
from  $\langle \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Val } v \# es, (h, xs) \rangle [-ta\rightarrow] \langle es', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$  show ?case
proof cases

```

```

case (List1Red2 ES')
note [simp] =  $\langle es' = Val v \# ES' \rangle$ 
    and red =  $\langle True, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle ES', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$ 
from IH2[OF red] bsok obtain pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''
    where bisim':  $P, ES, h' \vdash (ES', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
    and exec': ?execs ta ES es ES' h stk loc pc xcp h' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp'' by auto
have  $\tau: \tau moves1 P h (Val v \# es) = \tau moves1 P h es$  by (auto simp add: τmove1.simps τmoves1.simps)
    have ?execs ta ( $e \# ES$ ) ( $Val v \# es$ ) ( $Val v \# ES'$ )  $h (stk @ [v]) loc (length (compE2 e) + pc)$ 
xcp h' (length (compE2 e) + pc'') (stk'' @ [v]) loc'' xcp''
proof(cases τmoves1 P h (Val v # es))
    case True with  $\tau exec'$  show ?thesis
        using append-τExec-movesr[of [v] [e] - P t ES h stk]
        append-τExec-movest[of [v] [e] - P t ES h stk] by auto
next
    case False with  $\tau exec'$  obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
        where e: τExec-movesr-a P t ES h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        and e': exec-moves-a P t ES h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')
        and  $\tau': \neg \tau moves2 (compP2 P) h stk' ES pc' xcp'$ 
        and call: calls1 es = None ∨ no-calls2 ES pc ∨ pc' = pc ∧ stk' = stk ∧ loc' = loc ∧ xcp' = xcp
by auto
    from append-τExec-movesr[OF - e, where vs=[v] and es' = [e]]
    have τExec-movesr-a P t (e # ES) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, xcp) (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e) + pc', xcp') by simp
    moreover from append-exec-moves[OF - e', of [v] [e]]
    have exec-moves-a P t (e # ES) h (stk' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e) + pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta) h' (stk'' @ [v], loc'', length (compE2 e) + pc'', xcp'') by simp
    moreover from  $\tau' e'$ 
    have  $\tau moves2 (compP2 P) h (stk' @ [v]) (e \# ES) (length (compE2 e) + pc') xcp' \implies False$ 
        by (auto simp add: τmoves2-iff τinstr-stk-drop-exec-moves)
    moreover have calls1 (Val v # es) = calls1 es by simp
    moreover have no-calls2 ES pc  $\implies no-calls2 (e \# ES) (length (compE2 e) + pc)$ 
        by (auto simp add: no-calls2-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis using False call by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps)

qed
moreover from bisim'
have  $P, e \# ES, h' \vdash (Val v \# ES', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'' @ [v], loc'', length (compE2 e) + pc'', xcp'')$ 
    by (rule bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List2)
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  by auto blast+
qed auto
qed

```

end

context *J1-JVM-conf-read begin*

```

lemma exec-1-simulates-Red1-τ:
assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
and Red1: True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨(e, xs)/exs, h⟩ -ta→ ⟨(e', xs')/exs', h⟩
and bisim: bisim1-list1 t h (e, xs) exs xcp frs
and τ: τMove1 P h ((e, xs), exs)
shows  $\exists xcp' frs'. (\text{if sim12-size } e' < \text{sim12-size } e \text{ then } \tau Exec-1-dr \text{ else } \tau Exec-1-dt) (compP2 P) t$ 

```

```

(xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h, frs') ∧ bisim1-list1 t h (e', xs') exs' xcp' frs'
proof –
  from wf have wt: wf-jvm-progcompTP P (compP2 P) by(rule wt-compTP-compP2)
  from Red1 show ?thesis
  proof(cases)
    case (red1Red TA)
    note [simp] = ⟨ta = extTA2J1 P TA⟩ ⟨exs' = exs⟩
      and red = ⟨True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨e, (h, xs)⟩ → TA → ⟨e', (h, xs')⟩⟩
    from τ red have τ': τmove1 P h e by(auto elim: red1-cases)
    from bisim show ?thesis
    proof(cases)
      case (bl1-Normal stk loc C M pc FRS Ts T body D)
      hence [simp]: frs = (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # FRS
      and conf: compTP P ⊢ t: (xcp, h, frs) √
      and sees: P ⊢ C sees M: Ts → T = [body] in D
      and bisim: P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
      and bisims: list-all2 (bisim1-fr P h) exs FRS
      and lenxs: max-vars e ≤ length xs
      by auto
      from sees wf have bsok (blocks1 0 (Class D # Ts) body) 0
        by(auto dest!: sees-wf-mdecl WT1-expr-locks simp add: wf-J1-mdecl-def wf-mdecl-def bsok-def)
      from exec-instr-simulates-red1[OF wf bisim red this] τ' obtain pc' stk' loc' xcp'
        where exec: (if sim12-size e' < sim12-size e then τExec-mover-a else τExec-movet-a) P t body
        h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        and b': P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h ⊢ (e', xs') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        by(auto split: if-split-asm simp del: blocks1.simps)
      from exec sees have (if sim12-size e' < sim12-size e then τExec-1r else τExec-1t) (compP2 P)
      t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc)) # FRS)
        by(auto intro: τExec-mover-τExec-1r τExec-movet-τExec-1t)
      from wt this conf have execd: (if sim12-size e' < sim12-size e then τExec-1-dr else τExec-1-dt)
      (compP2 P) t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc)) # FRS)
        by(auto intro: τExec-1r-τExec-1-dr τExec-1t-τExec-1dt)
      moreover from wt execd conf
      have compTP P ⊢ t: (xcp, h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc)) # FRS) √
      by(auto intro: τExec-1-dr-preserves-correct-state τExec-1-dt-preserves-correct-state split: if-split-asm)
      hence bisim1-list1 t h (e', xs') exs xcp' ((stk', loc', C, M, pc)) # FRS)
        using sees b'
    proof
      from red have max-vars e' ≤ max-vars e by(rule red1-max-vars)
      with red1-preserves-len[OF red] lenxs
      show max-vars e' ≤ length xs' by simp
      qed fact
      hence bisim1-list1 t h (e', xs') exs' xcp' ((stk', loc', C, M, pc)) # FRS) by simp
      ultimately show ?thesis by blast
    qed(insert red, auto elim: red1-cases)
next
  case (red1Call a' M' vs' U' Ts' T' body' D')
  hence [simp]: ta = ε
    and exs' [simp]: exs' = (e, xs) # exs
    and e': e' = blocks1 0 (Class D'#Ts') body'
    and xs': xs' = Addr a' # vs' @ replicate (max-vars body') undefined-value
    and ha': typeof-addr h a' = [U']
    and call: call1 e = [(a', M', vs')] by auto
  note sees' = ⟨P ⊢ class-type-of U' sees M': Ts' → T' = [body'] in D'⟩

```

```

note lenvs'Ts' = <length vs' = length Ts'>
from ha' sees-method-decl-above[OF sees]
have conf:  $P, h \vdash \text{Addr } a' : \leq \text{ty-of-htype } U'$  by(auto simp add: conf-def)
note wt = wt-compTP-compP2[OF wf]
from bisim show ?thesis
proof(cases)
  case (bl1-Normal stk loc C M pc FRS Ts T body D)
  hence [simp]: frs = (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # FRS
    and conf: compTP P  $\vdash t: (xcp, h, frs)$   $\checkmark$ 
    and sees:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{body} \rfloor$  in D
    and bisim:  $P, \text{blocks1 } 0 \text{ (Class } D \# Ts\text{) body}, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$ 
    and bisims: list-all2 (bisim1-fr P h) exs FRS
    and lenxs: max-vars e  $\leq$  length xs by auto
    from call bisim have [simp]: xcp = None by(cases xcp, auto dest: bisim1-call-xcpNone)
    from bisim have b:  $P, \text{blocks1 } 0 \text{ (Class } D \# Ts\text{) body}, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None)$  by simp
    from bisim have lenloc: length xs = length loc by(rule bisim1-length-xs)
    from sees have sees'': compP2 P  $\vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (\text{max-stack body}, \text{max-vars body}, \text{compE2 body}) @ [\text{Return}], \text{compxE2 body } 0 \ 0 \rfloor$  in D
      unfolding compP2-def compMb2-def Let-def by(auto dest: sees-method-compP)
      from sees wf have  $\neg \text{contains-insync}$  (blocks1 0 (Class D # Ts) body)
        by(auto dest!: sees-wf-mdecl WT1-expr-locks simp add: wf-J1-mdecl-def wf-mdecl-def contains-insync-conv)
        with bisim1-call- $\tau$ Exec-move[OF b call, of 0 t] lenxs obtain pc' loc' stk'
          where exec:  $\tau$ Exec-mover-a P t body h (stk, loc, pc, None) (rev vs' @ Addr a' # stk', loc', pc', None)
            and pc': pc' < length (compE2 body) and ins: compE2 body ! pc' = Invoke M' (length vs')
            and bisim':  $P, \text{blocks1 } 0 \text{ (Class } D \# Ts\text{) body}, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev vs'} @ \text{Addr } a' \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{None})$ 
            by(auto simp add: blocks1-max-vars simp del: blocks1.simps)
            let ?f = (rev vs' @ Addr a' # stk', loc', C, M, pc')
            from exec sees
            have exec1:  $\tau$ Exec-1r (compP2 P) t (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # FRS) (None, h, ?f # FRS)
              by(rule  $\tau$ Exec-mover- $\tau$ Exec-1r)
              with wt have  $\tau$ Exec-1-dr (compP2 P) t (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # FRS) (None, h, ?f # FRS) using conf
                by(simp)(rule  $\tau$ Exec-1r- $\tau$ Exec-1-dr)
                also with wt have conf': compTP P  $\vdash t: (None, h, ?f \# FRS)$   $\checkmark$  using conf
                  by simp (rule  $\tau$ Exec-1-dr-preserves-correct-state)
                  let ?f' = ([] , Addr a' # vs' @ (replicate (max-vars body') undefined-value), D', M', 0)
                  from pc' ins sees sees' ha'
                  have ( $\varepsilon$ , None, h, ?f' # ?f # FRS)  $\in$  exec-instr (instrs-of (compP2 P) C M ! pc') (compP2 P)
                    t h (rev vs' @ Addr a' # stk') loc' C M pc' FRS
                      by(auto simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def nth-append split-beta)
                      hence exec-1 (compP2 P) t (None, h, ?f # FRS)  $\varepsilon$  (None, h, ?f' # ?f # FRS)
                        using exec sees by(simp add: exec-1-iff)
                        with conf' have execd: compP2 P,t  $\vdash \text{Normal}$  (None, h, ?f # FRS)  $- \varepsilon - \text{jvmd} \rightarrow \text{Normal}$  (None, h, ?f' # ?f # FRS)
                          by(simp add: welltyped-commute[OF wt])
                          hence check: check (compP2 P) (None, h, ?f # FRS) by(rule jvmd-Normale)
                          have  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h (rev vs' @ Addr a' # stk') body pc' None using pc' ins ha' sees'
                            by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff compP2-def dest: sees-method-fun)
                            with sees pc' ins have  $\tau$ Move2 (compP2 P) (None, h, (rev vs' @ Addr a' # stk', loc', C, M, pc') # FRS)

```

```

unfolding  $\tau Move2\text{-}compP2[OF sees]$  by(auto simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def)
with  $\langle exec-1 (compP2 P) t (None, h, ?f \# FRS) \varepsilon (None, h, ?f' \# ?f \# FRS) \rangle$  check
have  $\tau Exec-1\text{-}dt (compP2 P) t (None, h, ?f \# FRS) (None, h, ?f' \# ?f \# FRS)$  by fastforce
also from execd sees'' sees' ins ha' pc' have  $compP2 P, h \vdash vs' [:\leq] Ts'$ 
by(auto simp add: check-def compP2-def split: if-split-asm elim!: jvmd-NormalE)
hence lenvs: length vs' = length Ts' by(rule list-all2-lengthD)
from wt execd conf' have  $compTP P \vdash t: (None, h, ?f' \# ?f \# FRS) \vee$ 
by(rule BV-correct-d-1)
hence  $bisim1\text{-}list1 t h (blocks1 0 (Class D'\#Ts') body', xs') ((e, xs) \# exs) None (?f' \# ?f \# FRS)$ 
proof
from sees' show P ⊢ D' sees M': Ts' → T' = [body'] in D' by(rule sees-method-idemp)
show P,blocks1 0 (Class D'\#Ts') body',h ⊢ (blocks1 0 (Class D'\#Ts') body', xs') ↔
 $([], Addr a' \# vs' @ replicate (max-vars body') undefined-value, 0, None)$ 
unfolding xs' by(rule bisim1-refl)
show max-vars (blocks1 0 (Class D' \# Ts') body') ≤ length xs'
unfolding xs' using lenvs by(simp add: blocks1-max-vars)
from lenxs have (max-vars e) ≤ length xs by simp
with sees bisim' call have bisim1-fr P h (e, xs) (rev vs' @ Addr a' # stk', loc', C, M, pc')
by(rule bisim1-fr.intros)
thus list-all2 (bisim1-fr P h) ((e, xs) \# exs)
 $((rev vs' @ Addr a' \# stk', loc', C, M, pc') \# FRS)$ 
using bisims by simp
qed
moreover have ta-bisim wbisim1 ta ε by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
unfolding  $\langle frs = (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# FRS \rangle$   $\langle xcp = None \rangle$   $e' exs'$ 
by auto(blast intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)
next
case bl1-finalVal
with call show ?thesis by simp
next
case bl1-finalThrow
with call show ?thesis by simp
qed
next
case (red1Return E)
note [simp] = <exs = (E, xs') \# exs', ta = ε, e' = inline-call e E>
note wt = wt-compTP-compP2[OF wf]
from bisim have bisim: bisim1-list1 t h (e, xs) ((E, xs') \# exs') xcp frs by simp
thus ?thesis
proof cases
case (bl1-Normal stk loc C M pc FRS Ts T body D)
hence [simp]: frs = (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# FRS
and conf: compTP P ⊢ t: (xcp, h, frs) ∨
and sees: P ⊢ C sees M: Ts → T = [body] in D
and bisim: P,blocks1 0 (Class D'\#Ts') body,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
and bisims: list-all2 (bisim1-fr P h) ((E, xs') \# exs') FRS
and lenxs: max-vars e ≤ length xs by auto
from bisims obtain f FRS' where [simp]: FRS = f \# FRS' by(fastforce simp add: list-all2-Cons1)
from bisims have bisim1-fr P h (E, xs') f by simp
then obtain C0 M0 Ts0 T0 body0 D0 stk0 loc0 pc0 a' M' vs'
where [simp]: f = (stk0, loc0, C0, M0, pc0)
and sees0: P ⊢ C0 sees M0: Ts0 → T0 = [body0] in D0

```

```

and bisim0:  $P, \text{blocks}1\ 0\ (\text{Class } D0 \# Ts0)\ body0, h \vdash (E, xs') \leftrightarrow (stk0, loc0, pc0, \text{None})$ 
and lenxs0:  $\text{max-}vars\ E \leq \text{length}\ xs'$ 
and call0:  $\text{call}1\ E = \lfloor(a', M', vs')\rfloor$ 
by cases auto

let ?ee = inline-call e E

from bisim0 call0 have pc0:  $pc0 < \text{length}(\text{comp}E2(\text{blocks}1\ 0\ (\text{Class } D0 \# Ts0)\ body0))$ 
  by(rule bisim1-call-pcD)
hence pc0:  $pc0 < \text{length}(\text{comp}E2\ body0)$  by simp
with sees-method-compP[ $OF\ sees0$ , where  $f = \lambda C\ M\ Ts\ T.\ \text{comp}Mb2$ ]
  sees-method-compP[ $OF\ sees$ , where  $f = \lambda C\ M\ Ts\ T.\ \text{comp}Mb2$ ] conf
obtain ST LT where  $\Phi: \text{comp}TP\ P\ C0\ M0\ !\ pc0 = \lfloor(ST, LT)\rfloor$ 
  and conff:  $\text{conf-}f(\text{comp}P(\lambda C\ M\ Ts\ T.\ \text{comp}Mb2)\ P)\ h\ (ST, LT)\ (\text{comp}E2\ body0 @ [\text{Return}])$ 
  ( $stk0, loc0, C0, M0, pc0$ )
  and ins:  $(\text{comp}E2\ body0 @ [\text{Return}]) !\ pc0 = \text{Invoke } M\ (\text{length } Ts)$ 
by(simp add: correct-state-def)(fastforce simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def dest: sees-method-fun)
from bisim1-callID[ $OF\ bisim0\ call0$ , of M length Ts] ins pc0
have [simp]:  $M' = M$  by simp

from ⟨final e⟩ show ?thesis
proof(cases)
  fix v
  assume [simp]:  $e = \text{Val } v$ 
  with bisim have [simp]:  $xcp = \text{None}$  by(auto dest: bisim-Val-loc-eq-xcp-None)

  from bisim1Val2D1[ $OF\ bisim[\text{unfolded}\ \langle xcp = \text{None}\rangle\ \langle e = \text{Val } v\rangle]$ ]
  have  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-a}\ P\ t\ body\ h\ (stk, loc, pc, \text{None})\ ([v], loc, \text{length}(\text{comp}E2\ body), \text{None})$ 
    and [simp]:  $xs = loc$  by(auto simp del: blocks1.simps)
  with sees have  $\tau\text{Exec-1r}\ (\text{comp}P2\ P)\ t\ (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# FRS)\ (\text{None}, h, ([v], loc, C, M, \text{length}(\text{comp}E2\ body)) \# FRS)$ 
    by-(rule τExec-mover-τExec-1r)
  with conf wt have  $\tau\text{Exec-1-dr}\ (\text{comp}P2\ P)\ t\ (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# FRS)\ (\text{None}, h, ([v], loc, C, M, \text{length}(\text{comp}E2\ body)) \# FRS)$ 
    by(simp)(rule τExec-1r-τExec-1-dr)
  moreover with conf wt have conf':  $\text{comp}TP\ P \vdash t: (\text{None}, h, ([v], loc, C, M, \text{length}(\text{comp}E2\ body))) \# FRS$  √
    by(simp)(rule τExec-1-dr-preserves-correct-state)
  from sees sees0
  have exec:  $\text{exec-1}\ (\text{comp}P2\ P)\ t\ (\text{None}, h, ([v], loc, C, M, \text{length}(\text{comp}E2\ body))) \# FRS$  ε
  ( $\text{None}, h, (v \# \text{drop}(\text{Suc}(\text{length } Ts))\ stk0, loc0, C0, M0, \text{Suc } pc0) \# FRS'$ )
    by(simp add: exec-1-iff compP2-def compMb2-def)
  moreover with conf' wt have compP2 P,t ⊢ Normal ( $\text{None}, h, ([v], loc, C, M, \text{length}(\text{comp}E2\ body)) \# FRS$ ) → Normal ( $\text{None}, h, (v \# \text{drop}(\text{Suc}(\text{length } Ts))\ stk0, loc0, C0, M0, \text{Suc } pc0) \# FRS'$ )
    by(simp add: welltyped-commute)
  hence check (compP2 P) ( $\text{None}, h, ([v], loc, C, M, \text{length}(\text{comp}E2\ body)) \# FRS$ )
    by(rule jvmd-NormalE)
  moreover have  $\tau\text{Move2}\ (\text{comp}P2\ P)\ (\text{None}, h, ([v], loc, C, M, \text{length}(\text{comp}E2\ body)) \# FRS)$ 
    unfolding  $\tau\text{Move2-comp}P2[OF\ sees]$  by(auto)
  ultimately have  $\tau\text{Exec-1-dt}\ (\text{comp}P2\ P)\ t\ (\text{None}, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# FRS)\ (\text{None}, h, (v \# \text{drop}(\text{Suc}(\text{length } Ts))\ stk0, loc0, C0, M0, \text{Suc } pc0) \# FRS')$ 
    by -(erule rtranclp-into-tranclp1,rule τexec-1-dI)
  moreover from wt conf' exec

```

```

have compTP P ⊢ t:(None, h, (v # drop (Suc (length Ts)) stk0, loc0, C0, M0, Suc pc0) # FRS') √
  by(rule BV-correct-1)
  hence bisim1-list1 t h (?ee, xs') exs' None ((v # drop (Suc (length Ts)) stk0, loc0, C0, M0,
  Suc pc0) # FRS')
    using sees0
  proof
    from bisim1-inline-call-Val[OF bisim0 call0, of length Ts v] ins pc0
    show P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts0) body0,h ⊢ (?ee, xs') ↔ (v # drop (Suc (length Ts)) stk0,
  loc0, Suc pc0, None)
      by simp
      from lenxs0 max-vars-inline-call[of e E]
      show max-vars (inline-call e E) ≤ length xs' by simp
      from bisims show list-all2 (bisim1-fr P h) exs' FRS' by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by -(rule exI conjI|assumption|simp)+
  next
    fix ad
    assume [simp]: e = Throw ad

    have ∃ stk' pc'. τExec-mover-a P t body h (stk, loc, pc, xp) (stk', loc, pc', [ad]) ∧
      P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h ⊢ (Throw ad, loc) ↔ (stk', loc, pc', [ad])
    proof(cases xp)
      case [simp]: None
      from bisim1-Throw-τExec-mover[OF bisim[unfolded None <e = Throw ad>]] obtain pc'
        where exec: τExec-mover-a P t body h (stk, loc, pc, None) ([Addr ad], loc, pc', [ad])
        and bisim': P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h ⊢ (Throw ad, xs) ↔ ([Addr ad], loc, pc', [ad])
        and [simp]: xs = loc by(auto simp del: blocks1.simps)
        thus ?thesis by fastforce
    next
      case (Some a')
      with bisim have a' = ad xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
      thus ?thesis using bisim Some by(auto)
    qed
    then obtain stk' pc' where exec: τExec-mover-a P t body h (stk, loc, pc, xp) (stk', loc, pc',
  [ad])
      and bisim': P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h ⊢ (Throw ad, loc) ↔ (stk', loc, pc', [ad]) by
  blast
      with sees have τExec-1r (compP2 P) t (xp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # FRS) ([ad], h, (stk',
  loc, C, M, pc') # FRS)
        by-(rule τExec-mover-τExec-1r)
      with conf wt have τExec-1-dr (compP2 P) t (xp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # FRS) ([ad], h,
  (stk', loc, C, M, pc') # FRS)
        by(simp)(rule τExec-1r-τExec-1-dr)
    moreover with conf wt have conf': compTP P ⊢ t: ([ad], h, (stk', loc, C, M, pc') # FRS) √
      by(simp)(rule τExec-1-dr-preserves-correct-state)
    from bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught[OF bisim', of λC M Ts T. compMb2 0 0] sees
    have match: match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h ad) pc' (ex-table-of (compP2 P) C M) =
  None
      by(simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def)
      hence exec: exec-1 (compP2 P) t ([ad], h, (stk', loc, C, M, pc') # FRS) ∈ ([ad], h, FRS)
    by(simp add: exec-1-iff)
    moreover

```

with $\text{conf}' \text{ wt have } \text{compP2 } P, t \vdash \text{Normal} ([\text{ad}], h, (\text{stk}', \text{loc}, C, M, pc') \# FRS) -\varepsilon-jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal} ([\text{ad}], h, FRS)$
by($\text{simp add: welltyped-commute}$)
hence $\text{check} (\text{compP2 } P) ([\text{ad}], h, (\text{stk}', \text{loc}, C, M, pc') \# FRS)$ **by**(rule jvmd-NormalE)
moreover from bisim' **have** $\tau\text{Move2} (\text{compP2 } P) ([\text{ad}], h, (\text{stk}', \text{loc}, C, M, pc') \# FRS)$
unfolding $\tau\text{Move2-compP2}[OF \text{ sees}]$ **by**($\text{auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2}$)
ultimately have $\tau\text{Exec-1-dt} (\text{compP2 } P) t (xcp, h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc) \# FRS) ([\text{ad}], h, FRS)$
by $-(\text{erule rtranclp-into-tranclp1, rule } \tau\text{exec-1-dI})$
moreover from $\text{wt conf}' \text{ exec}$
have $\text{compTP } P \vdash t: ([\text{ad}], h, (\text{stk}0, \text{loc}0, C0, M0, pc0) \# FRS')$ \checkmark
by($\text{simp})(\text{rule BV-correct-1})$
hence $\text{bisim1-list1 } t h (\text{?ee}, xs') \text{ exs}' [\text{ad}] ((\text{stk}0, \text{loc}0, C0, M0, pc0) \# FRS')$
using $\text{sees}0$
proof
from $\text{bisim1-inline-call-Throw}[OF \text{ bisim0 call0}] \text{ ins pc0}$
show $P, \text{blocks1 } 0 (\text{Class D0} \# \text{Ts0}) \text{ body0}, h \vdash (\text{?ee}, xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}0, \text{loc}0, pc0, [\text{ad}])$ **by** simp
from $\text{lenxs0 max-vars-inline-call}[of e E]$
show $\text{max-vars } \text{?ee} \leq \text{length } xs'$ **by** simp
from $\text{bisims Cons show list-all2 } (\text{bisim1-fr } P h) \text{ exs}' FRS'$ **by** simp
qed
moreover from $\text{call0 have sim12-size } (\text{inline-call } (\text{Throw ad}) E) > 0$ **by**(cases E) simp-all
ultimately show ?thesis
by $-(\text{rule exI conjI|assumption|simp})+$
qed
qed
qed
lemma $\text{exec-1-simulates-Red1-not-}\tau$:
assumes $\text{wf: wf-J1-prog } P$
and $\text{Red1: True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (e, xs)/exs, h \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle (e', xs')/exs', h' \rangle$
and $\text{bisim: bisim1-list1 } t h (e, xs) \text{ exs } xcp \text{ frs}$
and $\tau: \neg \tau\text{Move1 } P h ((e, xs), exs)$
shows $\exists xcp' \text{ frs}'. \tau\text{Exec-1-dr} (\text{compP2 } P) t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h, frs') \wedge$
 $(\exists ta' xcp'' \text{ frs}''. \text{exec-1-d} (\text{compP2 } P) t (\text{Normal} (xcp', h, frs')) ta' (\text{Normal} (xcp'', h', frs''))$
 \wedge
 $\neg \tau\text{Move2} (\text{compP2 } P) (xcp', h, frs') \wedge \text{ta-bisim wbisim1 ta ta'} \wedge$
 $\text{bisim1-list1 } t h' (e', xs') \text{ exs}' xcp'' \text{ frs}'' \wedge$
 $(\text{call1 } e = \text{None} \vee$
 $(\text{case frs of Nil} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc) \# FRS \Rightarrow \forall M' n. \text{instrs-of} (\text{compP2 } P) C$
 $M ! pc \neq \text{Invoke } M' n) \vee$
 $xcp' = xcp \wedge frs' = frs)$
using Red1
proof(cases)
case (red1Red TA)
hence [simp]: $ta = \text{extTA2J1 } P \text{ TA exs}' = exs$
and $\text{red: True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -TA \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle$ **by** simp-all
from $\text{red have hext: hext } h h'$ **by**($\text{auto dest: red1-hext-incr}$)
from τ **have** $\tau': \neg \tau\text{move1 } P h e$ **by**($\text{auto intro: } \tau\text{move1Block}$)
note $\text{wt} = \text{wt-compTP-compP2}[OF \text{ wf}]$
from $\text{bisim show } \text{?thesis}$
proof(cases)
case ($\text{bl1-Normal } \text{stk loc C M pc FRS Ts T body D}$)

```

hence [simp]:  $frs = (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# FRS$ 
  and  $conf: compTP P \vdash t: (xcp, h, frs) \vee$ 
  and  $sees: P \vdash C sees M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor body \rfloor \text{ in } D$ 
  and  $bisim: P, blocks1 0 (Class D \# Ts) body, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$ 
  and  $bisims: list-all2 (bisim1-fr P h) exs FRS$ 
  and  $lenxs: max-vars e \leq length xs \text{ by auto}$ 
from  $sees wf$  have  $bsok (blocks1 0 (Class D \# Ts) body) 0$ 
  by(auto dest!: sees-wf-mdecl WT1-expr-locks simp add: wf-J1-mdecl-def wf-mdecl-def bsok-def)

from exec-instr-simulates-red1[ $OF wf bisim red this$ ]  $\tau'$ 
obtain  $pc' stk' loc' xcp' pc'' stk'' loc'' xcp''$ 
  where  $exec1: \tau Exec\text{-mover-}a P t body h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
  and  $exec2: exec\text{-move-}a P t body h (stk', loc', pc', xcp') (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) TA) h' (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
  and  $\tau 2: \neg \tau move2 (compP2 P) h stk' body pc' xcp'$ 
  and  $b': P, blocks1 0 (Class D \# Ts) body, h' \vdash (e', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', xcp'')$ 
  and  $call: call1 e = None \vee no-call2 (blocks1 0 (Class D \# Ts) body) pc \vee pc' = pc \wedge stk' = stk$ 
   $\wedge loc' = loc \wedge xcp' = xcp$ 
  by(fastforce simp add: exec-move-def simp del: blocks1.simps)
from exec2 have  $pc' body: pc' < length (compE2 body) \text{ by(auto)}$ 
from exec1 sees have  $exec1': \tau Exec\text{-1r} (compP2 P) t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc')) \# FRS$ 
  by(auto intro:  $\tau Exec\text{-mover-}\tau Exec\text{-1r}$ )
with  $wt$  have  $execd: \tau Exec\text{-1-dr} (compP2 P) t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc')) \# FRS$ 
  using  $conf$  by(rule  $\tau Exec\text{-1r-}\tau Exec\text{-1-dr}$ )
moreover { fix a
  assume [simp]:  $xcp' = \lfloor a \rfloor$ 
  from exec2 sees-method-compP[ $OF sees, of \lambda C M Ts T. compMb2$ ]  $pc' body$ 
  have  $match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) pc' (ex-table-of (compP2 P) C M) \neq None$ 
  by(auto simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def compMb2-def elim!: exec-meth.cases) }
note  $xt = this$ 
with  $\tau 2$  sees  $pc' body$  have  $\tau 2': \neg \tau Move2 (compP2 P) (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc')) \# FRS$ 
  unfolding  $\tau Move2\text{-compP2}[OF sees]$  by(auto simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def  $\tau move2\text{-iff}$ )
moreover from exec2 sees
have  $exec2': exec\text{-1} (compP2 P) t (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc')) \# FRS$  ( $extTA2JVM (compP2 P) TA$ )  $(xcp'', h', (stk'', loc'', C, M, pc'')) \# FRS$ 
  by(rule exec-move-exec-1)
from  $wt$   $execd$   $conf$  have  $conf': compTP P \vdash t: (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc')) \# FRS \vee$ 
  by(rule  $\tau Exec\text{-1-dr-preserved-correct-state}$ )
with  $exec2' wt$ 
have  $exec\text{-1-d} (compP2 P) t (Normal (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc')) \# FRS) (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) TA) (Normal (xcp'', h', (stk'', loc'', C, M, pc'')) \# FRS)$ 
  by(simp add: welltyped-commute)
moreover
from  $\tau 2$  sees  $pc' body$   $xt$  have  $\tau 2': \neg \tau Move2 (compP2 P) (xcp', h, (stk', loc', C, M, pc')) \# FRS$ 
  unfolding  $\tau Move2\text{-compP2}[OF sees]$  by(auto simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def  $\tau move2\text{-iff}$ )
moreover from  $wt$   $conf' exec2'$ 
have  $conf'': compTP P \vdash t: (xcp'', h', (stk'', loc'', C, M, pc'')) \# FRS \vee$  by(rule BV-correct-1)
hence  $bisim1\text{-list1 } t h' (e', xs') exs xcp'' ((stk'', loc'', C, M, pc'')) \# FRS$  using sees  $b'$ 
proof
  from red1-preserves-len[ $OF red$ ] red1-max-vars[ $OF red$ ] lenxs
  show  $max-vars e' \leq length xs'$  by simp

from bisims show list-all2 (bisim1-fr P h') exs FRS

```

```

  by(rule List.list-all2-mono)(rule bisim1-fr-hext-mono[OF - hext])
qed
moreover from conf'' have hconf h' preallocated h' by(auto simp add: correct-state-def)
with wf red
have ta-bisim wbisim1 ta (extTA2JVM (compP2 P) TA)
  by(auto intro: ta-bisim-red-extTA2J1-extTA2JVM)
moreover from call sees-method-compP[OF sees, of  $\lambda C M Ts T. compMb2$ ]
have call1 e = None  $\vee$  (case frs of []  $\Rightarrow$  False | (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # FRS  $\Rightarrow$   $\forall M' n. instrs-of$ (compP2 P) C M ! pc  $\neq$  Invoke M' n)  $\vee$  xcp' = xcp  $\wedge$  (stk', loc', C, M, pc') # FRS = frs
  by(auto simp add: no-call2-def compP2-def compMb2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis by -(rule exI conjI|assumption|simp)+

next
  case bl1-finalVal
  with red show ?thesis by auto
next
  case bl1-finalThrow
  with red show ?thesis by(auto elim: red1-cases)
qed
next
  case red1Call
  with  $\tau$  have False
  by(auto simp add: synthesized-call-def dest!:  $\tau move1-not-call1$ [where P=P and h=h] dest: sees-method-fun)
  thus ?thesis ..
next
  case red1Return
  with  $\tau$  have False by auto
  thus ?thesis ..
qed

end

end

```

7.18 Correctness of Stage 2: From JVM to intermediate language

```

theory JVMJ1 imports
  J1JVMBisim
begin

declare split-paired-Ex[simp del]

lemma rec-option-is-case-option: rec-option = case-option
apply (rule ext)+
apply (rename-tac y)
apply (case-tac y)
apply auto
done

context J1-JVM-heap-base begin

lemma assumes ha: typeof-addr h a = [Class-type D]
  and subclsObj: P ⊢ D  $\preceq^*$  Throwable

```

```

shows bisim1-xcp- $\tau$ Red:
 $\llbracket P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]);$ 
 $\text{match-ex-table } (\text{compP } f P) (\text{cname-of } h a) pc (\text{compxE2 } e 0 0) = \text{None};$ 
 $\exists n. n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs \wedge \mathcal{B} e n \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (\text{Throw } a, loc) \wedge P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, loc) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$ 

and bisims1-xcp- $\tau$ Reds:
 $\llbracket P, es, h \vdash (es', xs) [\leftrightarrow] (stk, loc, pc, [a]);$ 
 $\text{match-ex-table } (\text{compP } f P) (\text{cname-of } h a) pc (\text{compxEs2 } es 0 0) = \text{None};$ 
 $\exists n. n + \text{max-varss } es' \leq \text{length } xs \wedge \mathcal{B}s es n \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists vs es''. \tau\text{reds1r } P t h (es', xs) (\text{map Val } vs @ \text{Throw } a \# es'', loc) \wedge$ 
 $P, es, h \vdash (\text{map Val } vs @ \text{Throw } a \# es'', loc) [\leftrightarrow] (stk, loc, pc, [a])$ 
proof(induct (e', xs) (stk, loc, pc, [a :: 'addr'])
  and (es', xs) (stk, loc, pc, [a :: 'addr'])
  arbitrary: e' xs stk loc pc and es' xs stk loc pc rule: bisim1-bisims1.introducts
case bisim1NewThrow thus ?case
  by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
case bisim1NewArray thus ?case
  by(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl New1ArrayThrow bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD
  elim!: NewArray- $\tau$ red1r-xt)
next
case bisim1NewArrayThrow thus ?case
  by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
case bisim1NewArrayFail thus ?case
  by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
case bisim1Cast thus ?case
  by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Cast1Throw bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD
  elim!: Cast- $\tau$ red1r-xt)
next
case bisim1CastThrow thus ?case
  by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
case bisim1CastFail thus ?case
  by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
case bisim1InstanceOf thus ?case
  by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl InstanceOf1Throw bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD
  elim!: InstanceOf- $\tau$ red1r-xt)
next
case bisim1InstanceOfThrow thus ?case
  by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
case bisim1BinOp1 thus ?case
  by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Bin1OpThrow1 bisim1-bisims1.intros simp add:
  match-ex-table-append dest: bisim1-ThrowD elim!: BinOp- $\tau$ red1r-xt1)
next
case bisim1BinOp2 thus ?case
  by(clarsimp simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs
  match-ex-table-shift-pc-None)
  (fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl red1-reds1.intros bisim1BinOpThrow2 elim!: BinOp- $\tau$ red1r-xt2)
next

```

```

case bisim1BinOpThrow1 thus ?case
  by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case (bisim1BinOpThrow2 e xs stk loc pc e1 bop)
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  hence xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  with bisim show ?case
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOpThrow2)
next
  case bisim1BinOpThrow thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1LAss1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl LAss1 Throw bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD
      elim!: LAss-τred1r)
next
  case bisim1LAssThrow thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1AAcc1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAcc1 Throw1 bisim1-bisims1.intros simp add: match-ex-table-append
      dest: bisim1-ThrowD elim!: AAcc-τred1r-xt1)
next
  case bisim1AAcc2 thus ?case
    by(clar simp simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs
      match-ex-table-shift-pc-None)
    (fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl red1-reds1.intros bisim1AAccThrow2 elim!: AAcc-τred1r-xt2)
next
  case bisim1AAccThrow1 thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1AAccThrow2 thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
next
  case bisim1AAccFail thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1AAss1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl AAss1 Throw1 bisim1-bisims1.intros simp add: match-ex-table-append
      dest: bisim1-ThrowD elim!: AAss-τred1r-xt1)
next
  case bisim1AAss2 thus ?case
    by(clar simp simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
    (fastforce simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl red1-reds1.intros
      bisim1AAssThrow2 elim!: AAss-τred1r-xt2)
next
  case bisim1AAss3 thus ?case
    by(clar simp simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
    (fastforce simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl red1-reds1.intros
      bisim1AAssThrow3 elim!: AAss-τred1r-xt3)
next
  case bisim1AAssThrow1 thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next

```

```

case (bisim1AAssThrow2 e xs stk loc pc i e2)
note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
hence xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
with bisim show ?case
  by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssThrow2)
next
  case (bisim1AAssThrow3 e xs stk loc pc A i)
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  hence xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  with bisim show ?case
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAssThrow3)
next
  case bisim1AAssFail thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1ALength thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl ALLength1Throw bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD
elim!: ALLength-τred1r-xt)
next
  case bisim1ALengthThrow thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1ALengthNull thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1FAcc thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl FAcc1Throw bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD
elim!: FAcc-τred1r-xt)
next
  case bisim1FAccThrow thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1FAccNull thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1FAss1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl FAss1Throw1 bisim1-bisims1.intros simp add: match-ex-table-app
dest: bisim1-ThrowD elim!: FAss-τred1r-xt1)
next
  case bisim1FAss2 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
      (fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl red1-reds1.intros bisim1FAssThrow2 elim!: FAss-τred1r-xt2)
next
  case bisim1FAssThrow1 thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case (bisim1FAssThrow2 e2 xs stk loc pc e)
  note bisim = <P,e2,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  hence xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  with bisim show ?case
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAssThrow2)
next
  case bisim1FAssNull thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)

```

```

next
  case bisim1CAS1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl CAS1Throw bisim1-bisims1.intros simp add: match-ex-table-append dest: bisim1-ThrowD elim!: CAS-τred1r-xt1)
next
  case bisim1CAS2 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
      (fastforce simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl red1-reds1.intros bisim1CASThrow2 elim!: CAS-τred1r-xt2)
next
  case bisim1CAS3 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs)
      (fastforce simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl red1-reds1.intros bisim1CASThrow3 elim!: CAS-τred1r-xt3)
next
  case bisim1CASThrow1 thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case (bisim1CASThrow2 e xs stk loc pc i e2)
  note bisim =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \rangle$ 
  hence xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  with bisim show ?case
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CASThrow2)
next
  case (bisim1CASThrow3 e xs stk loc pc A i)
  note bisim =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \rangle$ 
  hence xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  with bisim show ?case
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CASThrow3)
next
  case bisim1CASFail thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1Call1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Call1ThrowObj bisim1-bisims1.intros simp add: match-ex-table-append dest: bisim1-ThrowD elim!: Call-τred1r-obj)
next
  case bisim1CallParams thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs compxEs2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs match-ex-table-shift-pc-None)
      (fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Call1ThrowParams[OF refl] bisim1CallThrowParams elim!: Call-τred1r-param)
next
  case bisim1CallThrowObj thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case (bisim1CallThrowParams es vs es' xs stk loc pc obj M)
  note bisim =  $\langle P, es, h \vdash (\text{map Val } vs @ \text{ Throw } a \# es', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \rangle$ 
  hence xs = loc by(auto dest: bisims1-ThrowD)
  with bisim show ?case
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallThrowParams)
next
  case bisim1CallThrow thus ?case
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)

```

```

next
  case (bisim1BlockSome4 e e' xs stk loc pc V Ty v)
    from < $\exists n. n + \text{max-vars} \{V:Ty=\text{None}; e'\} \leq \text{length } xs \wedge \mathcal{B} \{V:Ty=[v]; e\} n$ >
    have V: V < length xs by simp
    from bisim1BlockSome4 have Red:  $\tau \text{red1r } P t h (e', xs)$  (Throw a, loc)
      and bisim: P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, [a])
      by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs compxEs2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs match-ex-table-shift-pc-None intro!: exI[where x=Suc V] elim: meta-impE)
      note len =  $\tau \text{red1r-preserves-len}[OF \text{Red}]$ 
      from Red have  $\tau \text{red1r } P t h (\{V:Ty=\text{None}; e'\}, xs)$  ( $\{V:Ty=\text{None}; \text{Throw } a\}, loc$ ) by(auto intro: Block-None- $\tau \text{red1r-xt}$ )
      thus ?case using V len bisim
      by(auto intro:  $\tau \text{move1BlockThrow Block1Throw bisim1BlockThrowSome}$  elim!: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
next
  case bisim1BlockThrowSome thus ?case
    by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockThrowSome)
next
  case (bisim1BlockNone e e' xs stk loc pc V Ty)
    hence Red:  $\tau \text{red1r } P t h (e', xs)$  (Throw a, loc)
      and bisim: P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, [a])
      by(auto elim: meta-impE intro!: exI[where x=Suc V])
    from Red have len: length loc = length xs by(rule  $\tau \text{red1r-preserves-len}$ )
    from < $\exists n. n + \text{max-vars} \{V:Ty=\text{None}; e'\} \leq \text{length } xs \wedge \mathcal{B} \{V:Ty=\text{None}; e\} n$ >
    have V: V < length xs by simp
    from Red have  $\tau \text{red1r } P t h (\{V:Ty=\text{None}; e'\}, xs)$  ( $\{V:Ty=\text{None}; \text{Throw } a\}, loc$ ) by(rule Block-None- $\tau \text{red1r-xt}$ )
    thus ?case using V len bisim
    by(auto intro:  $\tau \text{move1BlockThrow Block1Throw bisim1BlockThrowNone}$  elim!: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
next
  case bisim1BlockThrowNone thus ?case
    by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockThrowNone)
next
  case bisim1Sync1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Synchronized1Throw1 bisim1-bisims1.intros simp add: match-ex-table-append dest: bisim1-ThrowD elim!: Sync- $\tau \text{red1r-xt}$ )
next
  case (bisim1Sync4 e2 e' xs stk loc pc V e1 a')
    from <P,e2,h ⊢ (e', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
    have pc < length (compE2 e2) by(auto dest!: bisim1-xcp-pcD)
      with <match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc)))) (compxE2 (syncV e1) e2) 0 0) = None> subclsObj ha
      have False by(simp add: match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def split: if-split-asm)
      thus ?case ..
next
  case bisim1Sync10 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1Sync11 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1Sync12 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1Sync14 thus ?case

```

```

by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1SyncThrow thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1Seq1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Seq1Throw bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD
elim!: Seq-τred1r-xt simp add: match-ex-table-append)
next
  case bisim1SeqThrow1 thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1Seq2 thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs
match-ex-table-shift-pc-None intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq2)
next
  case bisim1Cond1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Cond1Throw bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD
elim!: Cond-τred1r-xt simp add: match-ex-table-append)
next
  case bisim1CondThen thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: match-ex-table-append)
      (auto simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs
match-ex-table-shift-pc-None intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondThen)
next
  case bisim1CondElse thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: match-ex-table-append)
      (auto simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs
match-ex-table-shift-pc-None intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondElse)
next
  case bisim1CondThrow thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1While3 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Cond1Throw bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD
elim!: Cond-τred1r-xt simp add: match-ex-table-append)
next
  case (bisim1While4 e e' xs stk loc pc c)
  hence  $\tau\text{red1r } P \ t \ h \ (e', xs) \ (\text{Throw } a, \text{loc}) \wedge P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$ 
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs compxEs2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs match-ex-table-shift-pc-None)
  hence  $\tau\text{red1r } P \ t \ h \ (e'; \text{while } (c) \ e, xs) \ (\text{Throw } a, \text{loc})$ 
     $P, \text{while } (c) \ e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{Suc}(\text{length } (\text{compE2 } c) + \text{pc}), [a])$ 
    by(auto intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Seq1Throw τmove1SeqThrow bisim1WhileThrow2 elim!:
Seq-τred1r-xt)
    thus ?case ..
next
  case bisim1WhileThrow1 thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1WhileThrow2 thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
next
  case bisim1Throw1 thus ?case

```

```

by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Throw1Throw bisim1-bisims1.intros dest: bisim1-ThrowD
elim!: Throw-τred1r-xt)
next
  case bisim1Throw2 thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1ThrowNull thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case bisim1ThrowThrow thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.intros)
next
  case (bisim1Try e e' xs stk loc pc C V e2)
  hence red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Throw a, loc)
    and bisim: P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, loc) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append)
    from red have Red: τred1r P t h (try e' catch(C V) e2, xs) (try Throw a catch(C V) e2, loc)
by(rule Try-τred1r-xt)
    from <match-ex-table (compP f P) (cname-of h a) pc (compxE2 (try e catch(C V) e2) 0 0) = None
    have ¬ matches-ex-entry (compP f P) (cname-of h a) pc (0, length (compeE2 e), [C], Suc (length (compeE2 e)), 0)
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append split: if-split-asm)
    moreover from <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
    have pc < length (compeE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-xcp-pcD)
    ultimately have subcls: ¬ P ⊢ D ⊢* C using ha by(simp add: matches-ex-entry-def cname-of-def)
    with ha have True,P,t ⊢ 1 <(try Throw a catch(C V) e2, (h, loc)) →ε< (Throw a, (h, loc))
      by -(rule Red1TryFail, auto)
    moreover from bisim ha subcls
    have P,try e catch(C V) e2,h ⊢ (Throw a, loc) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
      by(rule bisim1TryFail)
    ultimately show ?case using Red by(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl τmove1TryThrow)
next
  case (bisim1TryCatch2 e2 e' xs stk loc pc e1 C V)
  hence *: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Throw a, loc) ∧ P,e2,h ⊢ (Throw a, loc) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
    by(clar simp simp add: match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def split: if-split-asm)
    (auto simp add: match-ex-table-append compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs match-ex-table-shift-pc-N
  elim: meta-impE intro!: exI[where x=Suc V])
    moreover note τred1r-preserves-len[OF *[THEN conjunct1]]
    moreover from <exists n. n + max-vars {V:Class C=None; e'} ≤ length xs ∧ B (try e1 catch(C V) e2) n>
      have V < length xs by simp
    ultimately show ?case
      by(fastforce intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl Block1Throw τmove1BlockThrow bisim1TryCatchThrow
elim!: Block-None-τred1r-xt)
next
  case (bisim1TryFail e xs stk loc pc C'' C' V e2)
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
  hence xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  with bisim <typeof-addr h a = [Class-type C'']> <¬ P ⊢ C'' ⊢* C'>
  show ?case by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1TryFail)
next
  case (bisim1TryCatchThrow e2 xs stk loc pc e C' V)
  from <P,e2,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a]) have xs = loc
    by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)

```

```

with ⟨P,e2,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])⟩ show ?case
  by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1TryCatchThrow)
next
  case (bisims1List1 e e' xs stk loc pc es)
  hence τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Throw a, loc)
    and bisim: P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, loc) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a]) by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append)
  hence τreds1r P t h (e' # es, xs) (map Val [] @ Throw a # es, loc) by(auto intro: τred1r-inj-τreds1r)
  moreover from bisim
  have P,e#es,h ⊢ (Throw a # es, loc) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, [a])
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List1)
  ultimately show ?case by fastforce
next
  case (bisims1List2 es es' xs stk loc pc e v)
  hence ∃vs es''. τreds1r P t h (es', xs) (map Val vs @ Throw a # es'', loc) ∧ P,es,h ⊢ (map Val vs
  @ Throw a # es'', loc) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, [a])
    by(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs
  match-ex-table-shift-pc-None)
  then obtain vs es'' where red: τreds1r P t h (es', xs) (map Val vs @ Throw a # es'', loc)
    and bisim: P,es,h ⊢ (map Val vs @ Throw a # es'', loc) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, [a]) by blast
  from red have τreds1r P t h (Val v # es', xs) (map Val (v # vs) @ Throw a # es'', loc)
    by(auto intro: τreds1r-cons-τreds1r)
  moreover from bisim
  have P,e # es,h ⊢ (map Val (v # vs) @ Throw a # es'', loc) [↔] (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2
  e) + pc, [a])
    by(auto intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List2)
  ultimately show ?case by fastforce
qed

primrec conf-xcp' :: 'm prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ 'addr option ⇒ bool where
  conf-xcp' P h None = True
  | conf-xcp' P h [a] = (∃D. typeof-addr h a = [Class-type D] ∧ P ⊢ D ≤* Throwable)

lemma conf-xcp-conf-xcp':
  conf-xcp P h xcp i ==> conf-xcp' P h xcp
  by(cases xcp) auto

lemma conf-xcp'-compP [simp]: conf-xcp' (compP f P) = conf-xcp' P
  by(clarsimp simp add: fun-eq-iff conf-xcp'-def rec-option-is-case-option)

end

context J1-heap-base begin

lemmas τred1-Val-simps [simp] =
  τred1r-Val τred1t-Val τreds1r-map-Val τreds1t-map-Val

end

context J1-JVM-conf-read begin

lemma assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  and hconf: hconf h preallocated h
  and tconf: P,h ⊢ t √t
  shows red1-simulates-exec-instr:

```

$\llbracket P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp);$
 $\text{exec-move-d } P t E h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp');$
 $n + \text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } xs; \text{bsok } E n; P, h \vdash stk [:\leq] ST; \text{conf-xcp}' (\text{compP2 } P) h xcp \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists e'' xs''. P, E, h' \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \wedge$
 $(\text{if } \tau\text{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h stk E pc xcp$
 $\text{then } h' = h \wedge (\text{if } xcp' = \text{None} \rightarrow pc < pc' \text{ then } \tau\text{red1r} \text{ else } \tau\text{red1t}) P t h (e, xs) (e'', xs'')$
 $\text{else } \exists ta' e' xs'. \tau\text{red1r} P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \wedge \text{True}, P, t \vdash_1 \langle e', (h, xs') \rangle -ta' \rightarrow \langle e'', (h', xs'') \rangle$
 $\wedge \text{ta-bisim wbisim1 } (\text{extTA2J1 } P ta') ta \wedge \neg \tau\text{move1} P h e' \wedge (\text{call1 } e = \text{None} \vee \text{no-call2 } E pc \vee e' = e \wedge xs' = xs))$
 $(\text{is } \llbracket \text{--}; ?\text{exec } E stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'; \text{--}; \text{--}; \text{--} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists e'' xs''. P, E, h' \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \wedge ?\text{red } e xs e'' xs'' E stk pc pc' xcp$
 $xcp')$

and reds1-simulates-exec-instr:

$\llbracket P, Es, h \vdash (es, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp);$
 $\text{exec-moves-d } P t Es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp');$
 $n + \text{max-varss } es \leq \text{length } xs; \text{bsoks } Es n; P, h \vdash stk [:\leq] ST; \text{conf-xcp}' (\text{compP2 } P) h xcp \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists es'' xs''. P, Es, h' \vdash (es'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \wedge$
 $(\text{if } \tau\text{moves2} (\text{compP2 } P) h stk Es pc xcp$
 $\text{then } h' = h \wedge (\text{if } xcp' = \text{None} \rightarrow pc < pc' \text{ then } \tau\text{reds1r} \text{ else } \tau\text{reds1t}) P t h (es, xs) (es'', xs'')$
 $\text{else } \exists ta' es' xs'. \tau\text{reds1r} P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \wedge \text{True}, P, t \vdash_1 \langle es', (h, xs') \rangle [-ta' \rightarrow] \langle es'', (h', xs'') \rangle \wedge \text{ta-bisim wbisim1 } (\text{extTA2J1 } P ta') ta \wedge \neg \tau\text{moves1} P h es' \wedge (\text{calls1 } es = \text{None} \vee \text{no-calls2 } Es pc \vee es' = es \wedge xs' = xs))$
 $(\text{is } \llbracket \text{--}; ?\text{execs } Es stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'; \text{--}; \text{--}; \text{--} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists es'' xs''. P, Es, h' \vdash (es'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \wedge ?\text{reds } es xs es'' xs'' Es stk pc$
 $pc' xcp xcp')$

proof(induction E n e xs stk loc pc xcp and Es n es xs stk loc pc xcp)

arbitrary: $stk' loc' pc' xcp' ST$ and $stk' loc' pc' xcp' ST$ rule: *bisim1-bisims1-inducts-split*

case (bisim1Val2 e n v xs)

from $\langle ?\text{exec } e [v] xs (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)) \text{ None } stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$

have False by(auto dest: exec-meth-length-compE2D simp add: exec-move-def)

thus ?case ..

next

case (bisim1New C' n xs)

note exec = $\langle \text{exec-move-d } P t (\text{new } C') h ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \rangle$

have $\tau: \neg \tau\text{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h [] (\text{new } C') 0 \text{ None} \neg \tau\text{move1} P h (\text{new } C')$ by(auto simp add: *tauMove2-iff*)

show ?case

proof(cases allocate h (Class-type C') = {})

case True

have $P, \text{new } C', h' \vdash (\text{THROW OutOfMemory}, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory}])$

by(rule bisim1NewThrow)

with exec τ True show ?thesis

by(fastforce intro: Red1NewFail elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)

next

case False

have $\bigwedge a h'. P, \text{new } C', h' \vdash (\text{addr } a, xs) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (\text{new } C')), \text{None})$

by(rule bisim1Val2) auto

thus ?thesis using exec False τ

apply(simp add: exec-move-def)

apply(erule exec-meth.cases)

apply simp-all

apply clarsimp

apply(auto intro!: Red1New exI simp add: ta-bisim-def)

```

done
qed
next
case (bisim1NewThrow C' n xs)
from ‹?exec (new C') [] xs 0 [addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory] stk' loc' pc' xcp'›
have False by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1NewArray e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp U)
note IH = bisim1NewArray.IH(2)
note exec = ‹?exec (newA U[e]) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'›
note bisim = ‹P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)›
note len = ‹n + max-vars (newA U[e']) ≤ length xs›
note bsok = ‹bsok (newA U[e]) n›
from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
  case True
  with exec have exec': ?exec e stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    by(auto simp add: exec-move-newArray)
  from True have τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (newA U[e]) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk e
  pc xcp by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover have no-call2 e pc ⟹ no-call2 (newA U[e]) pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis using IH[OF exec' - - ‹P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST› ‹conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h
  xcp›] len bsok
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1NewArray New1ArrayRed elim!: NewArray-τred1r-xt
  NewArray-τred1t-xt)
next
case False
with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
with bisim obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None
  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with bisim pc len bsok have red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)
  by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
hence τred1r P t h (newA U[e'], xs) (newA U[Val v], loc) by(rule NewArray-τred1r-xt)
moreover have τ: ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (newA U[e]) pc None by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover have τmove1 P h (newA U[Val v]) by auto
moreover from exec stk xcp obtain I
  where [simp]: v = Intg I by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
  have ∃ ta' e''. P,newA U[e],h' ⊢ (e'',loc) ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp') ∧ True,P,t ⊢ 1 (newA U[Val
  v],(h, loc)) − ta' → ⟨e'',(h', loc)⟩ ∧ ta-bisim wbisim1 (extTA2J1 P ta') ta
  proof(cases I <s 0)
    case True with exec stk xcp show ?thesis
      by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: bisim1NewArrayFail Red1NewArrayNegative simp add:
    exec-move-def)
  next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases allocate h (Array-type U (nat (sint I))) = {})
    case True
    with False exec stk xcp show ?thesis
      by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: bisim1NewArrayFail Red1NewArrayFail simp add:
    exec-move-def)
  next

```

```

case False
have  $\bigwedge a h'. P, newA \ U[e], h' \vdash (addr a, loc) \leftrightarrow ([Addr a], loc, length (compE2 (newA \ U[e]))),$ 
None)
  by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
  with False  $\leftarrow I <_s 0 \wedge exec \ stk \ xcp$  show ?thesis
    apply(simp add: exec-move-def)
    apply(erule exec-meth.cases)
    apply simp-all
    apply clarsimp
    apply(auto intro!: Red1NewArray exI simp add: ta-bisim-def)
    done
  qed
qed
moreover have no-call2 (newA \ U[e]) pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xcp by fastforce
qed
next
case (bisim1NewArrayThrow e n a xs stk loc pc U)
note exec = ‹?exec (newA \ U[e]) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp›
note bisim = ‹P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])›
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False by (auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1NewArrayFail e n U a xs v)
note exec = ‹?exec (newA \ U[e]) [v] xs (length (compE2 e)) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp›
hence False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2 simp add: exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1Cast e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp U)
note IH = bisim1Cast.IH(2)
note exec = ‹?exec (Cast U e) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp›
note bisim = ‹P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)›
note len = ‹n + max-vars (Cast U e') ≤ length xs›
note ST = ‹P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST›
note bsok = ‹bsok (Cast U e) n›
from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
  case True
  with exec have exec': ?exec e stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(auto simp add: exec-move-Cast)
    from True have τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (Cast U e) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk e pc
    xcp by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    moreover have no-call2 e pc  $\implies$  no-call2 (Cast U e) pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis using IH[OF exec' - - ST ‹conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp›] len bsok
      by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Cast Cast1Red elim!: Cast-τred1r-xt Cast-τred1t-xt)
next
case False
with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
with bisim obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None
  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with bisim pc len bsok have red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)

```

```

by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau$ red1r simp add: bsok-def)
hence  $\tau$ red1r P t h (Cast U e', xs) (Cast U (Val v), loc) by(rule Cast- $\tau$ red1r-xt)
also from exec have [simp]:  $h' = h$  ta =  $\varepsilon$  by(auto simp add: exec-move-def elim!: exec-meth.cases
split: if-split-asm)
have  $\exists e''. P, \text{Cast } U e, h \vdash (e'', \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}') \wedge \text{True}, P, t \vdash_1 \langle \text{Cast } U (\text{Val } v), (h, \text{loc}) \rangle$ 
 $\neg\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e'', (h, \text{loc}) \rangle$ 
proof(cases P  $\vdash$  the (typeofh v)  $\leq$  U)
case False with exec stk xcp bsok ST show ?thesis
by(fastforce simp add: compP2-def exec-move-def exec-meth-instr list-all2-Cons1 conf-def intro:
bisim1CastFail Red1CastFail)
next
case True
have P, Cast U e, h  $\vdash (\text{Val } v, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length} (\text{compE2} (\text{Cast } U e)), \text{None})$ 
by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
with exec stk xcp ST True show ?thesis
by(fastforce simp add: compP2-def exec-move-def exec-meth-instr list-all2-Cons1 conf-def intro:
Red1Cast)
qed
then obtain e'' where bisim': P, Cast U e, h  $\vdash (e'', \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
and red:  $\text{True}, P, t \vdash_1 \langle \text{Cast } U (\text{Val } v), (h, \text{loc}) \rangle \neg\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle e'', (h, \text{loc}) \rangle$  by blast
have  $\tau$ move1 P h (Cast U (Val v)) by(rule  $\tau$ move1CastRed)
with red have  $\tau$ red1t P t h (Cast U (Val v), loc) (e'', loc) by(auto intro:  $\tau$ red1t-1step)
also have  $\tau: \tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [v] (Cast U e) pc None by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
moreover have no-call2 (Cast U e) pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xcp bisim' by fastforce
qed
next
case (bisim1CastThrow e n a xs stk loc pc U)
note exec = <?exec (Cast U e) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
note bisim = <P, e, h  $\vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])\rangle$ 
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1CastFail e n U xs v)
note exec = <?exec (Cast U e) [v] xs (length (compE2 e)) [addr-of-sys-xcpt ClassCast] stk' loc' pc'
xcp'>
hence False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2 simp add: exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1InstanceOf e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp U)
note IH = bisim1InstanceOf.IH(2)
note exec = <?exec (e instanceof U) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
note bisim = <P, e, h  $\vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})\rangle$ 
note len = <n + max-vars (e' instanceof U)  $\leq$  length xs>
note ST = <P, h  $\vdash \text{stk} [\leq] ST\>$ 
note bsok = <bsok (e instanceof U) n>
from bisim have pc: pc  $\leq$  length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
case True
with exec have exec': ?exec e stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(auto simp add: exec-move-InstanceOf)

```

```

from True have  $\tau\text{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ stk } (e \text{ instanceof } U) \text{ pc } xcp = \tau\text{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ stk}$ 
 $e \text{ pc } xcp$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
moreover have  $\text{no-call2 } e \text{ pc} \implies \text{no-call2 } (e \text{ instanceof } U) \text{ pc}$  by(simp add:  $\text{no-call2-def}$ )
ultimately show ?thesis using IH[ $\text{OF exec}' \dots ST \langle \text{conf-xcp}' (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ xcp} \rangle \text{ len } bsok$ 
by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1InstanceOf InstanceOf1Red elim!: InstanceOf- $\tau\text{red1r-xt}$ 
InstanceOf- $\tau\text{red1t-xt}$ )
next
case False
with pc have [simp]:  $\text{pc} = \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)$  by simp
with bisim obtain v where stk:  $\text{stk} = [v]$  and xcp:  $\text{xcp} = \text{None}$ 
by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with bisim pc len bsok have red:  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (\text{Val } v, \text{loc})$ 
by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau\text{red1r}$  simp add: bsok-def)
hence  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (e' \text{ instanceof } U, xs) ((\text{Val } v) \text{ instanceof } U, \text{loc})$  by(rule InstanceOf- $\tau\text{red1r-xt}$ )
also let ?v = Bool ( $v \neq \text{Null} \wedge P \vdash \text{the } (\text{typeof}_h v) \leq U$ )
from exec ST stk xcp have [simp]:  $h' = h \text{ ta} = \varepsilon \text{ xcp}' = \text{None} \text{ loc}' = \text{loc} \text{ stk}' = [?v] \text{ pc}' = \text{Suc pc}$ 
by(auto simp add: exec-move-def list-all2-Cons1 conf-def compP2-def elim!: exec-meth.cases split:
if-split-asm)
have bisim':  $P, e \text{ instanceof } U, h \vdash (\text{Val } ?v, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([?v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (e \text{ instanceof } U)), \text{None})$ 
by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
from exec stk xcp ST
have red:  $\text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (\text{Val } v) \text{ instanceof } U, (h, \text{loc}) \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle \text{Val } ?v, (h, \text{loc}) \rangle$ 
by(auto simp add: compP2-def exec-move-def exec-meth-instr list-all2-Cons1 conf-def intro:
Red1InstanceOf)
have  $\tau\text{move1 } P h ((\text{Val } v) \text{ instanceof } U)$  by(rule  $\tau\text{move1InstanceOfRed}$ )
with red have  $\tau\text{red1t } P t h ((\text{Val } v) \text{ instanceof } U, \text{loc}) (\text{Val } ?v, \text{loc})$  by(auto intro:  $\tau\text{red1t-1step}$ )
also have  $\tau: \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [v] (e \text{ instanceof } U) \text{ pc } \text{None}$  by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
moreover have  $\text{no-call2 } (e \text{ instanceof } U) \text{ pc}$  by(simp add:  $\text{no-call2-def}$ )
ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xcp bisim' by(fastforce)
qed
next
case (bisim1InstanceOfThrow e n a xs stk loc pc U)
note exec =  $\langle ?\text{exec } (e \text{ instanceof } U) \text{ stk } \text{loc } \text{pc} [a] \text{ stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
note bisim =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a]) \rangle$ 
from bisim have pc:  $\text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False by (auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1Val v n xs)
from  $\langle ?\text{exec } (\text{Val } v) [] xs 0 \text{ None } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
have stk' = [v] loc' = xs h' = h pc' = length (compE2 (Val v)) xcp' = None
by(auto elim: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
moreover have P, Val v, h  $\vdash (\text{Val } v, xs) \leftrightarrow ([v], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (\text{Val } v)), \text{None})$ 
by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [] (\text{Val } v) 0 \text{ None}$  by(rule  $\tau\text{move2Val}$ )
ultimately show ?case by(auto)
next
case (bisim1Var V n xs)
note exec =  $\langle ?\text{exec } (\text{Var } V) [] xs 0 \text{ None } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{ xcp}' \rangle$ 
moreover note len =  $\langle n + \text{max-vars } (\text{Var } V) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 

```

```

moreover have  $\tau\text{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h [] (\text{Var } V) \ 0 \ \text{None} \ \tau\text{move1} P h (\text{Var } V)$ 
  by(auto intro:  $\tau\text{move1Var simp add: }\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
moreover have  $P, \text{Var } V, h \vdash (\text{Val } (xs ! V), xs) \leftrightarrow ([xs ! V], xs, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (\text{Var } V)), \text{None})$ 
  by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
ultimately show ?case by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: Red1Var r-into-rtranclp simp add: exec-move-def)
next
  case (bisim1BinOp1 e1 n e1' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 bop)
  note IH1 = bisim1BinOp1.IH(2)
  note IH2 = bisim1BinOp1.IH(4)
  note exec = <?exec (e1 «bop» e2) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  note bisim1 = <P,e1,h ⊢ (e1', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note bisim2 = <P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, loc) ↔ ([] loc, 0, None)>
  note len = <n + max-vars (e1' «bop» e2) ≤ length xs>
  note ST = <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST>
  note bsok = <bsok (e1 «bop» e2) n>
from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e1) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e1))
  case True
  with exec have exec': ?exec e1 stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(auto simp add: exec-move-BinOp1)
    from True have  $\tau: \tau\text{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{stk } (e1 «bop» e2) \text{pc xcp} = \tau\text{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{stk }$ 
    e1 pc xcp
      by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
      with IH1[OF exec' - - ST <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] bisim2 len bsok obtain e'' xs''"
        where bisim': P,e1,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        and red: ?red e1' xs e'' xs'' e1 stk pc pc' xcp xcp' by auto
        from bisim' have P,e1 «bop» e2,h' ⊢ (e'' «bop» e2, xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
          by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp1)
moreover from True have no-call2 (e1 «bop» e2) pc = no-call2 e1 pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using red  $\tau$ 
  by(fastforce intro: Bin1OpRed1 elim!: BinOp- $\tau$ red1r-xt1 BinOp- $\tau$ red1t-xt1)
next
  case False
  with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 e1) by auto
  with bisim1 obtain v where e1': is-val e1' → e1' = Val v
    and stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None and call: call1 e1' = None
    by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with bisim1 pc len bsok have rede1':  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (e1', xs) (\text{Val } v, \text{loc})$ 
    by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau$ red1r simp add: bsok-def)
  hence rede1'':  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (e1' «bop» e2, xs) (\text{Val } v «bop» e2, \text{loc})$  by(rule BinOp- $\tau$ red1r-xt1)
  moreover from pc exec stk xcp
    have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 (e1 «bop» e2)) (compxE2 (e1 «bop» e2) 0 0) t h ([] @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e1) + 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs exec-move-def)
    hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length [v])) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t h
      ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcp')
      and pc': pc' ≥ length (compE2 e1) by(safe dest!: BinOp-exec2D)simp-all
    then obtain PC' where PC': pc' = length (compE2 e1) + PC'
      by -(rule that[where PC'35=pc' - length (compE2 e1)], simp)
    from exec' bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v]
      and exec'': exec-move-d P t e2 h ([] loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1),
      xcp')
      by(unfold exec-move-def)(drule (1) exec-meth-stk-split, auto)

```

```

with pc xcp have  $\tau: \tau move2 (compP2 P) h [v] (e1 \ll bop \gg e2) (length (compE2 e1)) None =$ 
 $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h [] e2 0 None$ 
using  $\tau instr-stk-drop-exec-move$  [where  $stk = []$  and  $vs = [v]$ ]
by(simp add:  $\tau move2$ -iff  $\tau instr-stk-drop-exec-move$ )
from bisim1 have  $length xs = length loc$  by(rule bisim1-length-xs)
with IH2[OF exec'', of []] len bsok obtain  $e'' xs''$ 
where  $bisim': P, e2, h' \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcp')$ 
and red: ?red  $e2 loc e'' xs'' e2 [] 0 (pc' - length (compE2 e1)) None xcp'$  by auto
from bisim'
have  $P, e1 \ll bop \gg e2, h' \vdash (Val v \ll bop \gg e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e1) + (pc' -$ 
 $length (compE2 e1)), xcp')$ 
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp2)
moreover from red  $\tau$ 
have ?red  $(Val v \ll bop \gg e2) loc (Val v \ll bop \gg e'') xs'' (e1 \ll bop \gg e2) [v] (length (compE2 e1)) pc'$ 
 $None xcp'$ 
by(fastforce intro: Bin1OpRed2 elim!: BinOp- $\tau$ red1r-xt2 BinOp- $\tau$ red1t-xt2 simp add: no-call2-def)
moreover have no-call2  $(e1 \ll bop \gg e2) (length (compE2 e1))$  by(simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$   $stk' pc xcp pc' PC' bisim1 bisim2 e1' stk call$  by(fastforce elim!:
rtranclp-trans)
qed
next
case (bisim1BinOp2 e2 n e2' xs stk loc pc xcp e1 bop v1)
note IH2 = bisim1BinOp2.IH(2)
note exec = ?exec  $(e1 \ll bop \gg e2) (stk @ [v1]) loc (length (compE2 e1) + pc) xcp$ 
note stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim1 =  $\langle P, e1, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, None) \rangle$ 
note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
note len =  $\langle n + max-vars (Val v1 \ll bop \gg e2') \leq length xs \rangle$ 
note bsok =  $\langle bsok (e1 \ll bop \gg e2) n \rangle$ 
note ST =  $\langle P, h \vdash stk @ [v1] [: \leq] ST \rangle$ 
then obtain ST2 T where  $ST = ST2 @ [T] P, h \vdash stk [: \leq] ST2 P, h \vdash v1 : \leq T$ 
by(auto simp add: list-all2-append1 length-Suc-conv)
from bisim2 have pc:  $pc \leq length (compE2 e2)$  by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e2))
case True
with exec have exec': exec-meth-d  $(compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length [v1]) (compxE2$ 
 $e2 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v1], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcp')$ 
and pc':  $pc' \geq length (compE2 e1)$ 
by(unfold exec-move-def)(safe dest!: BinOp-exec2D)
from exec' bisim2 obtain stk'' where  $stk' = stk'' @ [v1]$ 
and exec'': exec-move-d  $P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1),$ 
 $xcp')$ 
by -(drule (1) exec-meth-stk-split, auto simp add: exec-move-def)
with True have  $\tau: \tau move2 (compP2 P) h (stk @ [v1]) (e1 \ll bop \gg e2) (length (compE2 e1) + pc)$ 
 $xcp = \tau move2 (compP2 P) h stk e2 pc xcp$ 
by(auto simp add:  $\tau move2$ -iff  $\tau instr-stk-drop-exec-move$ )
from IH2[OF exec'' - - <P, h \vdash stk [: \leq] ST2> <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] len bsok obtain  $e''$ 
 $xs''$ 
where  $bisim': P, e2, h' \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e1), xcp')$ 
and red: ?red  $e2' xs e'' xs'' e2 stk pc (pc' - length (compE2 e1)) xcp$  by auto
from bisim' have  $P, e1 \ll bop \gg e2, h' \vdash (Val v1 \ll bop \gg e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk'' @ [v1], loc', length (compE2$ 
 $e1) + (pc' - length (compE2 e1)), xcp')$ 
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp2)
with red  $\tau$   $stk' pc' True$  show ?thesis

```

```

by(fastforce intro: Bin1OpRed2 elim!: BinOp- $\tau$ red1r-xt2 BinOp- $\tau$ red1t-xt2 split: if-split-asm simp
add: no-call2-def)
next
  case False
    with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e2) by simp
    with bisim2 obtain v2 where e2': is-val e2'  $\longrightarrow$  e2' = Val v2
      and stk: stk = [v2] and xcp: xcp = None and call: call1 e2' = None
        by(auto dest: bisim1- $\tau$ c-length-compE2D)
    with bisim2 pc len bsok have red:  $\tau$ red1r P t h (e2', xs) (Val v2, loc)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau$ red1r simp add: bsok-def)
  hence red1:  $\tau$ red1r P t h (Val v1 «bop» e2', xs) (Val v1 «bop» Val v2, loc) by(rule BinOp- $\tau$ red1r-xt2)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases the (binop bop v1 v2))
    case (Inl v)
    note red1
    also from exec xcp ST stk Inl
    have  $\tau$ red1r P t h (Val v1 «bop» Val v2, loc) (Val v, loc)
      by(force simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr list-all2-Cons1 conf-def compP2-def dest:
binop-progress intro: r-into-rtranclp Red1BinOp  $\tau$ move1BinOp)
    also have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [v2, v1] (e1 «bop» e2) (length (compE2 e1) + length
(compE2 e2)) None
      by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
    moreover have P,e1 «bop» e2,h  $\vdash$  (Val v, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([v], loc, length (compE2 (e1 «bop» e2)),
None)
      by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using exec xcp stk call Inl by(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr)
next
  case (Inr a)
  note red1
  also from exec xcp ST stk Inr
  have  $\tau$ red1r P t h (Val v1 «bop» Val v2, loc) (Throw a, loc)
    by(force simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr list-all2-Cons1 conf-def compP2-def dest:
binop-progress intro: r-into-rtranclp Red1BinOpFail  $\tau$ move1BinOp)
    also have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [v2, v1] (e1 «bop» e2) (length (compE2 e1) + length
(compE2 e2)) None
      by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
    moreover
    have P,e1 «bop» e2,h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2
e2), [a])
      by(rule bisim1BinOpThrow)
  ultimately show ?thesis using exec xcp stk call Inr by(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr)
  qed
  qed
next
  case (bisim1BinOpThrow1 e1 n a xs stk loc pc e2 bop)
  note exec = <?exec (e1 «bop» e2) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  note bisim1 = <P,e1,h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
  from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e1) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e1 0 0) = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
  with exec pc have False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None
exec-move-def)
  thus ?case ..
next

```

```

case (bisim1BinOpThrow2 e2 n a xs stk loc pc e1 bop v1)
note exec = <?exec (e1 «bop» e2) (stk @ [v1]) loc (length (compE2 e1) + pc) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp' 
note bisim2 = <P,e2,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
hence match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (length (compE2 e1) + pc) (compxE2 e2 (length (compE2 e1))) 0) = None
unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec have False
  apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs exec-move-def)
  apply(auto simp only: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs match-ex-table-stack-xlift-eq-Some-conv)
    done
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1BinOpThrow e1 n e2 bop a xs v1 v2)
  note <?exec (e1 «bop» e2) [v1, v2] xs (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
hence False
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs exec-move-def
      dest!: match-ex-table-shift-pcD match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1LAss1 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp V)
  note IH = bisim1LAss1.IH(2)
  note exec = <?exec (V := e) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
  note len = <n + max-vars (V := e') ≤ length xs>
  note bsok = <bsok (V:=e) n>
  from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
    case True
      with exec have exec': ?exec e stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(auto simp add: exec-move-LAss)
      from True have τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (V := e) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk e pc xcp
      by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
      with IH[OF exec' - - <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST> <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] len bsok show ?thesis
        by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1LAss1 LAss1Red elim!: LAss-τred1r LAss-τred1t simp
          add: no-call2-def)
    next
      case False
      with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
      with bisim obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None
        by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
      with bisim pc len bsok have red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)
        by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
      hence τred1r P t h (V := e', xs) (V := Val v, loc) by(rule LAss-τred1r)
      also have τmove1 P h (V := Val v) by(rule τmove1LAssRed)
      with exec stk xcp have τred1r P t h (V := Val v, loc) (unit, loc[V := v])
        by(auto intro!: r-into-rtranclp Red1LAss simp add: exec-move-def elim!: exec-meth.cases)
      also have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (V := e) pc None by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
      moreover have P,(V := e),h ⊢ (unit, loc[V := v]) ↔ ([] , loc[V := v]), Suc (length (compE2 e)), None)
        by(rule bisim1LAss2)
      ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xcp
        by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
qed

```

```

next
  case (bisim1LAss2 e n V xs)
  note bisim = ⟨P,e,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)⟩
  note exec = ⟨?exec (V := e) [] xs (Suc (length (compE2 e))) None stk' loc' pc' xcp'⟩
  hence stk' = [Unit] loc' = xs pc' = length (compE2 (V := e)) xcp' = None h' = h
    by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
  moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [] (V := e) (Suc (length (compE2 e))) None by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover have P,V:=e,h' ⊢ (unit, xs) ↔ ([Unit], xs, length (compE2 (V := e)), None)
    by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
  ultimately show ?case by(auto)
next
  case (bisim1LAssThrow e n a xs stk loc pc V)
  note exec = ⟨?exec (V := e) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'⟩
  note bisim = ⟨P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])⟩
  from bisim have pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from bisim have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
    unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
  with exec pc have False by (auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1AAcc1 a n a' xs stk loc pc xcp i)
  note IH1 = bisim1AAcc1.IH(2)
  note IH2 = bisim1AAcc1.IH(4)
  note exec = ⟨?exec (a[i]) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'⟩
  note bisim1 = ⟨P,a,h ⊢ (a', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)⟩
  note bisim2 = ⟨P,i,h ⊢ (i, loc) ↔ ([] , loc, 0, None)⟩
  note len = ⟨n + max-vars (a'[i]) ≤ length xs⟩
  note bsok = ⟨bsok (a[i]) n⟩
  from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 a) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 a))
    case True
      with exec have exec': ?exec a stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(auto simp add: exec-move-AAcc1)
        from True have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (a[i]) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk a pc xcp
      by(auto intro: τmove2AAcc1)
        with IH1[OF exec' - - ⟨P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST⟩ ⟨conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp⟩] len bsok obtain e'' xs'' 
          where bisim': P,a,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
          and red: ?red a' xs e'' xs'' a stk pc pc' xcp xcp' by auto
        from bisim' have P,a[i],h' ⊢ (e''[i], xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp') by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc1)
        moreover from True have no-call2 (a[i]) pc = no-call2 a pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
        ultimately show ?thesis using red τ by(fastforce intro: AAcc1Red1 elim!: AAcc-τred1r-xt1
AAcc-τred1t-xt1)
next
  case False
  with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 a) by auto
  with bisim1 obtain v where a': is-val a' → a' = Val v
    and stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None and call: call1 a' = None
      by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with bisim1 pc len bsok have rede1': τred1r P t h (a', xs) (Val v, loc)
    by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
  hence τred1r P t h (a'[i], xs) (Val v[i], loc) by(rule AAcc-τred1r-xt1)
  moreover from pc exec stk xcp
  have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 a @ compE2 i @ [ALoad]) (compxE2 a 0 0 @ shift

```

```

(length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0))) t h ([] @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) +
0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs exec-move-def)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i @ [ALoad]) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0)) t
h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0)) t h ([] @ [v],
loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-take) simp
  with bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v]
    and exec'': exec-move-d P t i h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
    unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)
  with pc xcp have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h ([] @ [v]) (a[i]) (length (compE2 a)) None = τmove2
  (compP2 P) h [] i 0 None
    using τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move[where stk=[] and vs=[v]]
    by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move)
  from bisim1 have length xs = length loc by(rule bisim1-length-xs)
  with IH2[OF exec''] len bsok obtain e'' xs''
    where bisim': P,i,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
    and red: ?red i loc e'' xs'' i [] 0 (pc' - length (compE2 a)) None xcp' by(fastforce)
    from bisim'
    have P,a[i],h' ⊢ (Val v[e''], xs'') ↔ (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 a) + (pc' - length (compE2
a)), xcp')
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc2)
    moreover from red τ have ?red (Val v[i]) loc (Val v[e'']) xs'' (a[i]) [v] (length (compE2 a)) pc'
    None xcp'
      by(fastforce intro: AAcc1Red2 elim!: AAcc-τred1r-xt2 AAcc-τred1t-xt2 split: if-split-asm simp add:
    no-call2-def)
    moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 a)
      by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
    moreover have no-call2 (a[i]) pc using pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis using τ stk' pc xcp stk call
      by(fastforce elim!: rtranclp-trans)+qed
next
case (bisim1AAcc2 i n i' xs stk loc pc xcp a v)
note IH2 = bisim1AAcc2.IH(2)
note exec = ?exec (a[i]) (stk @ [v]) loc (length (compE2 a) + pc) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim2 = P,i,h ⊢ (i', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
note len = n + max-vars (Val v[i]) ≤ length xs
note bsok = bsok (a[i]) n
from bisim2 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 i) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 i))
  case True
  from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 a @ compE2 i @ [ALoad]) (compxE2 a
0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length
(compE2 a) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i @ [ALoad]) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0)) t
h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0)) t h (stk @
[v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')

```

```

using True by(rule exec-meth-take)
with bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk' = stk'' @ [v]
  and exec'': exec-move-d P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
    unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)
  with True have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h (stk @ [v]) (a[i]) (length (compE2 a) + pc) xcp =
τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk i pc xcp
  by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move)
moreover from ⟨P,h ⊢ stk @ [v] [:≤] ST⟩ obtain ST2
  where P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST2 by(auto simp add: list-all2-append1)
from IH2[OF exec'' - - this ⟨conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp⟩ len bsok obtain e'' xs''
  where bisim': P,i,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
    and red: ?red i' xs e'' xs'' i stk pc (pc' - length (compE2 a)) xcp xcp' by auto
from bisim' have P,a[i],h' ⊢ (Val v[e''], xs'') ↔ (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 a) + (pc' - length (compE2 a)), xcp')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc2)
moreover have pc' ≥ length (compE2 a)
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
moreover have no-call2 i pc ==> no-call2 (a[i]) (length (compE2 a) + pc)
  by(simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using red τ stk' True
  by(fastforce intro: AAcc1Red2 elim!: AAcc-τred1r-xt2 AAcc-τred1t-xt2 split: if-split-asm)
next
case False
with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 i) by simp
with bisim2 obtain v2 where i': is-val i' → i' = Val v2
  and stk: stk = [v2] and xcp: xcp = None and call: call1 i' = None
  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with bisim2 pc len bsok have red: τred1r P t h (i', xs) (Val v2, loc)
  by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
hence τred1r P t h (Val v[i], xs) (Val v[Val v2], loc) by(rule AAcc-τred1r-xt2)
moreover have τ: ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v2, v] (a[i]) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2
i)) None
  by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover
have ∃ ta' e''. P,a[i],h' ⊢ (e'',loc) ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp') ∧ True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨Val v[Val v2], (h, loc)⟩
  − ta' → ⟨e'',(h', loc)⟩ ∧ ta-bisim wbisim1 (extTA2J1 P ta') ta
proof(cases v = Null)
  case True with exec stk xcp show ?thesis
  by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def intro: bisim1AAccFail Red1AAccNull)
next
case False
with exec xcp stk obtain U el A len I where [simp]: v = Addr A and hA: typeof-addr h A =
[Array-type U len]
  and [simp]: v2 = Intg I by(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr is-Ref-def conf-def
split: if-split-asm)
show ?thesis
proof(cases 0 <= s I ∧ sint I < int len)
  case True
  hence ¬ I < s 0 by auto
  moreover
  with exec xcp stk True hA obtain v3 where stk' = [v3] heap-read h A (ACell (nat (sint I)))
v3
  by(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr is-Ref-def)
moreover

```

```

have  $P, a[i], h' \vdash (\text{Val } v3, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([v3], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (a[i])), \text{None})$ 
  by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xcp True hA
    by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: Red1AAcc simp add: exec-move-def ta-upd-simps
ta-bisim-def split: if-split-asm)
  next
  case False
  with exec stk xcp hA show ?thesis
    by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: is-Ref-def exec-move-def intro: bisim1AAccFail
Red1AAccBounds split: if-split-asm)
  qed
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using exec xcp stk call by fastforce
  qed
next
  case (bisim1AAccThrow1 A n a xs stk loc pc i)
  note exec = <?exec (A[i]) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note bisim1 = <P,A,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
  from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 A) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 A 0 0) = None
    unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
  with exec pc have False
    by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None exec-move-def)
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1AAccThrow2 i n a xs stk loc pc A v)
  note exec = <?exec (A[i]) (stk @ [v]) loc (length (compE2 A) + pc) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note bisim2 = <P,i,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
  hence match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (length (compE2 A) + pc) (compxE2 i (length
  (compE2 A)) 0) = None
    unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
  with exec have False
    apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs exec-move-def)
    apply(auto simp only: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs match-ex-table-stack-xlift-eq-Some-conv)
    done
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1AAccFail a n i ad xs v v')
  note <?exec (a[i]) [v, v'] xs (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i)) [ad] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  hence False
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs exec-move-def
      dest!: match-ex-table-shift-pcD match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1AAss1 a n a' xs stk loc pc xcp i e)
  note IH1 = bisim1AAss1.IH(2)
  note IH2 = bisim1AAss1.IH(4)
  note exec = <?exec (a[i] := e) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note bisim1 = <P,a,h ⊢ (a', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
  note bisim2 = <P,i,h ⊢ (i, loc) ↔ ([] loc, 0, None)
  note len = <n + max-vars (a'[i] := e) ≤ length xs
  note bsok = <bsok (a[i] := e) n
  from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 a) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  show ?case

```

```

proof(cases pc < length (compE2 a))
  case True
    with exec have exec': ?exec a stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(simp add: exec-move-AAss1)
    from True have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (a[i] := e) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk a
    pc xcp by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    with IH1[OF exec' - - <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST> ⟨conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp⟩ len bsok obtain e'' xs''
      where bisim': P,a,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      and red: ?red a' xs e'' xs'' a stk pc pc' xcp xcp' by auto
    from bisim' have P,a[i] := e,h' ⊢ (e'[i] := e, xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss1)
    moreover from True have no-call2 (a[i] := e) pc = no-call2 a pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis using red τ by(fastforce intro: AAss1Red1 elim!: AAss-τred1r-xt1
AAss-τred1t-xt1)
  next
  case False
    with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 a) by auto
    with bisim1 obtain v where a': is-val a' → a' = Val v
      and stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None and call: call1 a' = None
      by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
    with bisim1 pc len bsok have rede1': τred1r P t h (a', xs) (Val v, loc)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
    hence τred1r P t h (a'[i] := e, xs) (Val v[i] := e, loc) by(rule AAss-τred1r-xt1)
    moreover from pc exec stk xcp
      have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 a @ compE2 i @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit])
      (compxE2 a 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0) @ shift (length
      (compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h ([] @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) + 0, None) ta h'
      (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs exec-move-def)
      hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit]) (stack-xlift (length
      [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h ([] @ [v], loc, 0,
      None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
      by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
      hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0)) t h ([] @ [v],
      loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
      by(rule exec-meth-take-xt) simp
    with bisim2 obtain stk' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v]
      and exec'': exec-move-d P t i h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
      unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)
    with pc xcp have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a)) None = τmove2
    (compP2 P) h [] i 0 None
      using τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move[where stk=[] and vs=[v]]
      by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff)
    from bisim1 have length xs = length loc by(rule bisim1-length-xs)
    with IH2[OF exec''] len bsok obtain e'' xs''
      where bisim': P,i,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
      and red: ?red i loc e'' xs'' i [] 0 (pc' - length (compE2 a)) None xcp' by fastforce
    from bisim'
      have P,a[i] := e,h' ⊢ (Val v[e''] := e, xs'') ↔ (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 a) + (pc' - length
      (compE2 a)), xcp')
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss2)
    moreover from red τ have ?red (Val v[i] := e) loc (Val v[e''] := e) xs'' (a[i] := e) [v] (length
      (compE2 a)) pc' None xcp'
      by(fastforce intro: AAss1Red2 elim!: AAss-τred1r-xt2 AAss-τred1t-xt2 split: if-split-asm simp add:
      no-call2-def)
  
```

```

moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 a)
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
moreover have no-call2 (a[i] := e) pc using pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using τ stk' pc xcp stk by(fastforce elim!: rtranclp-trans)
qed
next
case (bisim1AAss2 i n i' xs stk loc pc xcp a e v)
note IH2 = bisim1AAss2.IH(2)
note IH3 = bisim1AAss2.IH(6)
note exec = ‹?exec (a[i] := e) (stk @ [v]) loc (length (compE2 a) + pc) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'›
note bisim2 = ‹P,i,h ⊢ (i', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)›
note bisim3 = ‹P,e,h ⊢ (e, loc) ↔ ([], loc, 0, None)›
note len = ‹n + max-vars (Val v[i] := e) ≤ length xs›
note bsok = ‹bsok (a[i] := e) n›
from bisim2 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 i) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 i))
  case True
    from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 a @ compE2 i @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit]) (compxE2 a 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length [v])) (compxE2 i 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps exec-move-def)
      hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit]) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
        by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
      hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
        using True by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)
      with bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v]
        and exec'': exec-move-d P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp)
        unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)
      with True have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h (stk @ [v]) (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + pc) xcp
      = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk i pc xcp
        by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move)
      moreover from ‹P,h ⊢ stk @ [v] [:≤] ST› obtain ST2 where P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST2 by(auto simp add: list-all2-append1)
        from IH2[OF exec'' - - this ‹conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp›] len bsok obtain e'' xs''
          where bisim': P,i,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp)
            and red: ?red i' xs e'' xs'' i stk pc (pc' - length (compE2 a)) xcp xcp' by fastforce
          from bisim'
          have P,a[i] := e,h' ⊢ (Val v[e''] := e, xs'') ↔ (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 a) + (pc' - length (compE2 a)), xcp)
            by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss2)
          moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 a)
            by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
          moreover have no-call2 i pc ==> no-call2 (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + pc) by(simp add: no-call2-def)
          ultimately show ?thesis using red τ stk' True
            by(fastforce intro: AAss1Red2 elim!: AAss-τred1r-xt2 AAss-τred1t-xt2 split: if-split-asm)
next
case False
with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 i) by simp

```

```

with bisim2 obtain v2 where i': is-val i'  $\longrightarrow$  i' = Val v2
  and stk: stk = [v2] and xcp: xcp = None and call: call1 i' = None
  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with bisim2 pc len bsok have red:  $\tau$ red1r P t h (i', xs) (Val v2, loc)
  by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau$ red1r simp add: bsok-def)
hence  $\tau$ red1r P t h (Val v[i'] := e, xs) (Val v[Val v2] := e, loc) by(rule AAss- $\tau$ red1r-xt2)
moreover from pc exec stk xcp
have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 a @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit])
((compxE2 a 0 0 @ compxE2 i (length (compE2 a)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v]) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t h ([] @ [v2, v], loc, length (compE2 a @ compE2 i) + 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs exec-move-def)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit]) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v]) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h ([] @ [v2, v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2 i), xcp')
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v]) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h ([] @ [v2, v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2 i), xcp')
  by(rule exec-meth-take) simp
with bisim3 obtain stk'' where stk' = stk'' @ [v2, v]
  and exec'': exec-move-d P t e h ([] @ [v2, v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2 i), xcp')
  unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)
with pc xcp have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [v2, v] (a[i]:= e) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i)) None =  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [] e 0 None
  using  $\tau$ instr-stk-drop-exec-move[where stk=[] and vs=[v2, v]] by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
  from bisim2 have length xs = length loc by(rule bisim1-length-xs)
with IH3[OF exec'', of []] len bsok obtain e'' xs''
  where bisim': P,e,h'  $\vdash$  (e'', xs'')  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a) - length (compE2 i), xcp')
    and red: ?red e loc e'' xs'' e [] 0 (pc' - length (compE2 a) - length (compE2 i)) None xcp'
    by auto (fastforce simp only: length-append diff-diff-left)
    from bisim'
    have P,a[i]:= e,h'  $\vdash$  (Val v[Val v2] := e'', xs'')  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk'' @ [v2, v], loc', length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + (pc' - length (compE2 a) - length (compE2 i)), xcp')
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss3)
moreover from red  $\tau$ 
have ?red (Val v[Val v2] := e) loc (Val v[Val v2] := e'') xs'' (a[i]:= e) [v2, v] (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i)) pc' None xcp'
  by(fastforce intro: AAss1Red3 elim!: AAss- $\tau$ red1r-xt3 AAss- $\tau$ red1t-xt3 split: if-split-asm simp add: no-call2-def)
moreover from exec' have pc'  $\geq$  length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
moreover have no-call2 (a[i]:= e) (length (compE2 a) + pc) by(simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  stk' pc xcp stk by(fastforce elim!: rtranclp-trans)
qed
next
case (bisim1AAss3 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp a i v v')
note IH3 = bisim1AAss3.IH(2)
note exec = ?exec (a[i]:= e) (stk @ [v', v]) loc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim3 = <P,e,h'  $\vdash$  (e', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note len = <n + max-vars (Val v[Val v'] := e')  $\leq$  length xs>
note bsok = <bsok (a[i]:= e) n>

```

```

from <P,h ⊢ stk @ [v', v] [:≤] ST> obtain T T' ST'
  where [simp]: ST = ST' @ [T', T]
    and wtv: P,h ⊢ v :≤ T and wtv': P,h ⊢ v' :≤ T' and ST': P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST'
      by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1 list-all2-append1)
from bisim3 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
  case True
    from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 a @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit]) ((compxE2 a 0 0 @ compxE2 i (length (compE2 a)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)) (stack-xlift (length [v', v]) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 a @ compE2 i) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
      hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ [AStore, Push Unit]) (stack-xlift (length [v', v]) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v', v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2 i), xcp')
        using True by(rule exec-meth-take)
      with bisim3 obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v', v]
        and exec'': exec-move-d P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2 i), xcp')
          unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)
          with True have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h (stk @ [v', v]) (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc) xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk e pc xcp
            by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move)
          moreover from IH3[OF exec'' - - ST' <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp] len bsok obtain e'' xs''
            where bisim': P,e,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a) - length (compE2 i), xcp')
              and red: ?red e' xs e'' xs'' e stk pc (pc' - length (compE2 a) - length (compE2 i)) xcp xcp'
                by auto(fastforce simp only: length-append diff-diff-left)
              from bisim'
                have P,a[i] := e,h' ⊢ (Val v[Val v'] := e'', xs'') ↔ (stk'' @ [v', v], loc', length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + (pc' - length (compE2 a) - length (compE2 i)), xcp')
                  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss3)
                moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)
                  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
                moreover have no-call2 e pc ==> no-call2 (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc)
                  by(simp add: no-call2-def)
                ultimately show ?thesis using red τ stk' True
                  by(fastforce intro: AAss1Red3 elim!: AAss-τred1r-xt3 AAss-τred1t-xt3 split: if-split-asm)
next
  case False
  with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
  with bisim3 obtain v2 where stk: stk = [v2] and xcp: xcp = None
    by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with bisim3 pc len bsok have red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v2, loc)
    by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
  hence τred1r P t h (Val v[Val v'] := e', xs) (Val v[Val v'] := Val v2, loc) by(rule AAss-τred1r-xt3)
  moreover have τ: ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v2, v', v] (a[i] := e) (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)) None
    by(simp add: τmove2-iff)

```

```

moreover
have  $\exists ta' e''. P, a[i] := e, h' \vdash (e'', loc) \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \wedge True, P, t \vdash \langle Val v | Val v' \rangle := Val v2, (h, loc) \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle e'', (h', loc) \rangle \wedge ta\text{-bisim } wbisim1 (extTA2J1 P ta') ta$ 
proof(cases v = Null)
  case True with exec stk xcp show ?thesis
  by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def intro: bisim1AAssFail Red1AAssNull)
next
  case False
    with exec stk xcp obtain U A len I where [simp]: v = Addr A v' = Intg I
      and hA: typeof-addr h A = [Array-type U len]
      by(fastforce simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr is-Ref-def)
    from ST' stk obtain T3 where wt3': typeof_h v2 = [T3] by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1 conf-def)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases 0 <= s I \wedge sint I < int len)
      case True
        note I = True
        show ?thesis
        proof(cases P \vdash T3 \leq U)
          case True
            with exec stk xcp True hA I wt3' show ?thesis
            by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: compP2-def exec-move-def ta-bisim-def ta-upd-simps intro: Red1AAss bisim1AAss4 split: if-split-asm)
          next
          case False
            with exec stk xcp True hA I wt3' show ?thesis
            by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: compP2-def exec-move-def intro: Red1AAssStore bisim1AAssFail split: if-split-asm)
          qed
        next
        case False
          with exec stk xcp hA show ?thesis
          by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: bisim1AAssFail Red1AAssBounds simp add: exec-move-def split: if-split-asm)
        qed
      ultimately show ?thesis using exec xcp stk by(fastforce simp add: no-call2-def)
    qed
  next
  case (bisim1AAssThrow1 A n a xs stk loc pc i e)
    note exec = <?exec (A[i] := e) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    note bisim1 = <P,A,h \vdash (Throw a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])
    from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 A) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
    from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 A 0 0) = None
      unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
    with exec pc have False
      by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None exec-move-def)
    thus ?case ..
  next
  case (bisim1AAssThrow2 i n a xs stk loc pc A e v)
    note exec = <?exec (A[i] := e) (stk @ [v]) loc (length (compE2 A) + pc) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    note bisim2 = <P,i,h \vdash (Throw a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])
    from bisim2 have pc: pc < length (compE2 i) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
    from bisim2 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (length (compE2 A) + pc) (compxE2

```

```

i (length (compE2 A)) 0) = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
  with exec pc have False
    apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs compxE2-size-convs exec-move-def)
    apply(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs)
    done
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1AAssThrow3 e n a xs stk loc pc A i v' v)
    note exec = <?exec (A[i] := e) (stk @ [v', v]) loc (length (compE2 A) + length (compE2 i) + pc)
    [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    note bisim2 = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])
    from bisim2 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (length (compE2 A) + length (compE2 i) + pc) (compxE2 e (length (compE2 A) + length (compE2 i)) 0) = None
      unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
      with exec have False
        apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs compxE2-size-convs exec-move-def)
        apply(auto dest!: match-ex-table-stack-xliftD match-ex-table-shift-pcD dest: match-ex-table-pcsD
          simp add: match-ex-table-append match-ex-table-shift-pc-None)
        done
      thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1AAssFail a n i e ad xs v' v v'')
    note exec = <?exec (a[i] := e) [v', v, v''] xs (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)) [ad] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    hence False
      by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append exec-move-def
        dest!: match-ex-table-shift-pcD match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)
    thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1AAss4 a n i e xs)
    have P,a[i] := e,h ⊢ (unit, xs) ↔ ([Unit], xs, length (compE2 (a[i] := e)), None) by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
    moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [] (a[i] := e) (Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e))) None
      by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    moreover note <?exec (a[i] := e) [] xs (Suc (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e))) None stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    ultimately show ?case
      by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: ac-simps exec-move-def)
next
  case (bisim1ALength a n a' xs stk loc pc xcp)
  note IH = bisim1ALength.IH(2)
  note exec = <?exec (a.length) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
  note bisim = <P,a,h ⊢ (a', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp))
  note len = <n + max-vars (a'.length) ≤ length xs
  note bsok = <bsok (a.length) n>
  from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 a) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 a))
    case True
      with exec have exec': ?exec a stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(auto simp add: exec-move-ALength)
      from True have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (a.length) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk a pc xcp by(simp add: τmove2-iff)

```

```

with IH[ $OF exec' - \cdot \langle P, h \vdash stk \leq ST \rangle \langle conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp \rangle len bsok obtain e'' xs''$ 
      where  $bisim': P, a, h \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
            and  $red: ?red a' xs e'' xs'' a' stk pc pc' xcp xcp' by auto$ 
      from  $bisim' have P, a.length, h \vdash (e''.length, xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
            by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1ALength)
with  $red \tau$  show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: ALength1Red elim!: ALength- $\tau$ red1r-xt ALength- $\tau$ red1t-xt
simp add: no-call2-def)
next
  case False
  with pc have pc:  $pc = length (compE2 a)$  by auto
  with bisim obtain v where  $stk: stk = [v]$  and  $xcp: xcp = None$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with bisim pc len bsok have  $\tau$ red1r  $P t h (a', xs) (Val v, loc)$ 
    by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau$ red1r simp add: bsok-def)
  hence  $\tau$ red1r  $P t h (a'.length, xs) (Val v.length, loc)$  by(rule ALength- $\tau$ red1r-xt)
  moreover
    moreover have  $\tau: \neg \tau move2 (compP2 P) h [v] (a.length) (length (compE2 a)) None$  by(simp
add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
    moreover have  $\exists ta' e''. P, a.length, h \vdash (e'', loc) \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', pc', xcp') \wedge True, P, t \vdash 1 \langle Val v.length, (h, loc) \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle e'', (h, loc) \rangle \wedge ta-bisim wbisim1 (extTA2J1 P ta') ta$ 
    proof(cases v = Null)
      case True with exec stk xcp pc show ?thesis
        by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def intro: bisim1ALengthNull Red1ALength-
Null)
    next
      case False
      with exec stk xcp pc  $\langle P, h \vdash stk \leq ST \rangle$ 
      obtain U A len where [simp]:  $v = Addr A$ 
        and  $hA: typeof-addr h A = [Array-type U len]$ 
        by(fastforce simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr is-Ref-def list-all2-Cons1)
      have  $P, a.length, h \vdash (Val (Intg (word-of-int (int len))), loc) \leftrightarrow ([Intg (word-of-int (int len))], loc,$ 
length (compE2 (a.length)), None)
        by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
      thus ?thesis using exec stk xcp hA pc
        by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: Red1ALength simp add: exec-move-def)
    qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau pc xcp stk$  by(fastforce elim!: rtranclp-trans simp add: no-call2-def)
qed
next
  case (bisim1ALengthThrow A n a xs stk loc pc)
  note exec =  $\langle ?exec (A.length) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
  note bisim1 =  $\langle P, A, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a]) \rangle$ 
  from bisim1 have pc:  $pc < length (compE2 A)$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 A 0 0) = None
    unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
  with exec pc have False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1ALengthNull a n xs)
  note exec =  $\langle ?exec (a.length) [Null] xs (length (compE2 a)) [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer] stk' loc'$ 
 $pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
  hence False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest!: match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2 simp add: exec-move-def)
  thus ?case ..
next

```

```

case (bisim1FAcc e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp F D)
note IH = bisim1FAcc.IH(2)
note exec = <?exec (e·F{D}) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note len = <n + max-vars (e·F{D}) ≤ length xs>
note bsok = <bsok (e·F{D}) n>
from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
  case True
    with exec have exec': ?exec e stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(simp add: exec-move-FAcc)
    from True have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (e·F{D}) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk e pc
    xcp by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    with IH[OF exec' - - <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST> <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] len bsok obtain e'' xs'' 
      where bisim': P,e,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      and red: ?red e' xs e'' xs'' e stk pc pc' xcp xcp' by auto
      from bisim' have P,e·F{D},h' ⊢ (e''·F{D}, xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAcc)
      with red τ show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: FAcc1Red elim!: FAcc-τred1r-xt FAcc-τred1t-xt simp
      add: no-call2-def)
  next
    case False
      with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 e) by auto
      with bisim obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None
        by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
      with bisim pc len bsok have τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)
        by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
      hence τred1r P t h (e'·F{D}, xs) (Val v·F{D}, loc) by(rule FAcc-τred1r-xt)
      moreover have τ: ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (e·F{D}) (length (compE2 e)) None by(simp add:
      τmove2-iff)
      moreover have ∃ ta' e''. P,e·F{D},h' ⊢ (e'',loc) ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp') ∧ True,P,t ⊢ 1 <(Val
      v·F{D}, (h, loc)) − ta' → (e'',(h', loc))> ∧ ta-bisim wbisim1 (extTA2J1 P ta') ta
        proof(cases v = Null)
          case True with exec stk xcp pc show ?thesis
            by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def intro: bisim1FAccNull Red1FAccNull)
        next
          case False
            with exec stk xcp pc <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST>
            obtain A where [simp]: v = Addr A
              by(fastforce simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr is-Ref-def compP2-def)
            from exec False pc stk xcp obtain v' where v': heap-read h A (CField D F) v' stk' = [v']
              by(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr)
            have P,e·F{D},h' ⊢ (Val v',loc) ↔ ([v'], loc, length (compE2 (e·F{D})), None)
              by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
            thus ?thesis using exec stk xcp pc v'
              by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: Red1FAcc simp add: exec-move-def ta-upd-simps
              ta-bisim-def)
            qed
            ultimately show ?thesis using τ pc xcp stk by(fastforce elim!: rtranclp-trans simp add: no-call2-def)
            qed
  next
    case (bisim1FAccThrow e n a xs stk loc pc F D)
    note exec = <?exec (e·F{D}) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
    note bisim1 = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>

```

```

from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..

next
  case (bisim1FAccNull e n F D xs)
    note exec = ‹?exec (e.F{D}) [Null] xs (length (compE2 e)) [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer] stk' loc'
    pc' xcp›
    hence False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest!: match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2 simp add: exec-move-def)
    thus ?case ..

next
  case (bisim1FAss1 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 F D)
    note IH1 = bisim1FAss1.IH(2)
    note IH2 = bisim1FAss1.IH(4)
    note exec = ‹?exec (e.F{D} := e2) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp›
    note bisim1 = ‹P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)›
    note bisim2 = ‹P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, loc) ↔ ([][], loc, 0, None)›
    note len = ‹n + max-vars (e.F{D} := e2) ≤ length xs›
    note bsok = ‹bsok (e.F{D} := e2) n›
    from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
    show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
    case True
      with exec have exec': ?exec e stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(simp add: exec-move-FAss1)
      from True have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (e.F{D} := e2) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h
      stk e pc xcp
        by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
      with IH1[OF exec' - - ‹P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST› ‹conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp› len bsok obtain e'' xs'']
        where bisim': P,e,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        and red: ?red e' xs e'' xs'' e stk pc' xcp xcp' by auto
      from bisim' have P,e.F{D} := e2,h' ⊢ (e''.F{D} := e2, xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss1)
      with red τ show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: FAss1Red1 elim!: FAss-τred1r-xt1 FAss-τred1t-xt1 simp
      add: no-call2-def)
    next
      case False
      with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 e) by auto
      with bisim1 obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None
        by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
      with bisim1 pc len bsok have rede1': τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)
        by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
      hence τred1r P t h (e'.F{D} := e2, xs) (Val v.F{D} := e2, loc) by(rule FAss-τred1r-xt1)
      moreover from pc exec stk xcp
        have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compE2 e2 @ [Putfield F D, Push Unit])
        (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 e2 0 0))) t h ([] @ [v],
        loc, length (compE2 e) + 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
          by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs exec-move-def)
        hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2 @ [Putfield F D, Push Unit]) (stack-xlift (length [v])
        (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
          by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
        hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t h ([] @
        [v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
          by(rule exec-meth-take) simp

```

```

with bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v]
  and exec'': exec-move-d P t e2 h ([] , loc , 0 , None) ta h' (stk'' , loc' , pc' - length (compE2 e) ,
  xcp')
    unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)
    with pc xcp have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [v] ( $e \cdot F\{D\} := e2$ ) (length (compE2 e)) None =
 $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [] e2 0 None
      using  $\tau$ instr-stk-drop-exec-move[where stk=[] and vs = [v]] by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-if)
      from bisim1 have length xs = length loc by(rule bisim1-length-xs)
      with IH2[OF exec'', of []] len bsok obtain e'' xs''
        where bisim':  $P, e2, h \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')$ 
        and red: ?red e2 loc e'' xs'' e2 [] 0 (pc' - length (compE2 e)) None xcp' by auto
        from bisim'
        have  $P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e) + (pc' - length (compE2 e)), xcp')$ 
          by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss2)
        moreover from red  $\tau$ 
        have ?red (Val v.F{D} := e2) loc (Val v.F{D} := e'') xs'' (e.F{D} := e2) [v] (length (compE2 e)) pc' None xcp'
          by(fastforce intro: FAss1Red2 elim!: FAss- $\tau$ red1r-xt2 FAss- $\tau$ red1t-xt2 split: if-split-asm simp add: no-call2-def)
        moreover from exec' have pc'  $\geq$  length (compE2 e)
          by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
        moreover have no-call2 (e.F{D} := e2) pc using pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
        ultimately show ?thesis using  $\tau$  stk' pc xcp stk by(fastforce elim!: rtranclp-trans)
      qed
    next
      case (bisim1FAss2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e F D v)
      note IH2 = bisim1FAss2.IH(2)
      note exec = ?exec (e.F{D} := e2) (stk @ [v]) loc (length (compE2 e) + pc) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
      note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
      note len =  $\langle n + \text{max-vars} (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e') \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
      note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) n \rangle$ 
      note ST =  $\langle P, h \vdash \text{stk} @ [v] [: \leq] ST \rangle$ 
      then obtain T ST' where ST': P, h  $\vdash \text{stk} [: \leq] ST'$  and T: typeofh v = | T |
        by(auto simp add: list-all2-append1 list-all2-Cons1 conf-def)

      from bisim2 have pc: pc  $\leq$  length (compE2 e2) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
      show ?case
      proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e2))
        case True
          from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compE2 e2 @ [Putfield F D, Push Unit]) (compxxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxxE2 e2 0 0))) t h
            (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
            by(simp add: shift-compxxE2 stack-xlift-compxxE2 exec-move-def)
            hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2 @ [Putfield F D, Push Unit]) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxxE2 e2 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
              by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
            hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxxE2 e2 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
              using True by(rule exec-meth-take)
            with bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v]
              and exec'': exec-move-d P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
                unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)

```

```

with True have  $\tau: \tau\text{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h (\text{stk} @ [v]) (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + pc)$ 
 $xcp = \tau\text{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{stk} e2 pc xcp$ 
  by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$   $\tau\text{instr-stk-drop-exec-move}$ )
moreover from IH2[ $\text{OF exec''} \dashv \text{ST}' \langle \text{conf-xcp}' (\text{compP2 } P) h xcp \rangle \text{ len bsok obtain } e'' xs''$ 
  where bisim':  $P, e2, h' \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'', loc', pc' - \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e), xcp')$ 
  and red:  $?red e' xs e'' xs'' e2 \text{stk} pc (pc' - \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e)) xcp xcp'$  by auto
from bisim' have  $P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h' \vdash (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'' @ [v], loc', \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + (pc' - \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e)), xcp')$ 
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss2)
moreover from exec' have  $pc' \geq \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e)$ 
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
ultimately show ?thesis using red  $\tau$   $\text{stk}'$  True
  by(fastforce intro: FAss1Red2 elim!: FAss- $\tau\text{red1r-xt2}$  FAss- $\tau\text{red1t-xt2}$  split: if-split-asm simp add: no-call2-def)
next
case False
with pc have [simp]:  $pc = \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2)$  by simp
with bisim2 obtain v2 where  $\text{stk}: \text{stk} = [v2]$  and  $xcp: xcp = \text{None}$ 
  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with bisim2 pc len bsok have red:  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (\text{Val } v2, loc)$ 
  by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau\text{red1r}$  simp add: bsok-def)
hence  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := e', xs) (\text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := \text{Val } v2, loc)$  by(rule FAss- $\tau\text{red1r-xt2}$ )
moreover have  $\tau: \neg \tau\text{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h [v2, v] (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e2)) \text{ None}$  by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
moreover
have  $\exists ta' e''. P, e \cdot F\{D\} := e2, h' \vdash (e'', loc) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}', loc', pc', xcp') \wedge \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle \text{Val } v \cdot F\{D\} := \text{Val } v2, (h, loc) \rangle \dashv ta' \rightarrow \langle e'', (h', loc) \rangle \wedge ta\text{-bisim wbisim1 } (\text{extTA2J1 } P ta') ta$ 
proof(cases v = Null)
  case True with exec stk xcp show ?thesis
  by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def intro: bisim1FAssNull Red1FAssNull)
next
case False with exec stk xcp T show ?thesis
  by(fastforce simp add: exec-move-def compP2-def exec-meth-instr is-Ref-def ta-upd-simps
ta-bisim-def intro: bisim1FAss3 Red1FAss)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using exec xcp stk by(fastforce simp add: no-call2-def)
qed
next
case (bisim1FAssThrow1 e n a xs stk loc pc e2 F D)
note exec =  $\langle ?exec (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) \text{stk} \text{loc} \text{pc} [a] \text{stk}' \text{loc}' \text{pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
note bisim1 =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, loc, pc, [a]) \rangle$ 
from bisim1 have pc:  $pc < \text{length} (\text{compE2 } e)$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def match-ex-table-not-pcs-None)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1FAssThrow2 e2 n a xs stk loc pc e F D v)
note exec =  $\langle ?exec (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) (\text{stk} @ [v]) \text{loc} (\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + pc) [a] \text{stk}' \text{loc}' \text{pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, loc, pc, [a]) \rangle$ 
hence match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) ( $\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e) + pc$ ) (compxE2 e2 ( $\text{length} (\text{compE2 } e)$ )) 0 = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)

```

```

with exec have False
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs exec-move-def)(auto dest!:
match-ex-table-stack-xliftD simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs)
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1FAssNull e n e2 F D xs v)
    note exec = <?exec (e·F{D} := e2) [v, Null] xs (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e2))
    [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
    hence False
    by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs compxE2-size-convs exec-move-def
       dest!: match-ex-table-shift-pcD match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)
    thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisim1FAss3 e n e2 F D xs)
  have P,e·F{D} := e2,h ⊢ (unit, xs) ↔ ([Unit], xs, length (compE2 (e·F{D} := e2)), None) by(rule
bisim1Val2) simp
    moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [] (e·F{D} := e2) (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2
e2))) None by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    moreover note <?exec (e·F{D} := e2) [] xs (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e2))) None
    stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
    ultimately show ?case
      by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: ac-simps exec-move-def)
next
  case (bisim1CAS1 a n a' xs stk loc pc xcp i e D F)
  note IH1 = bisim1CAS1.IH(2)
  note IH2 = bisim1CAS1.IH(4)
  note exec = <?exec (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  note bisim1 = <P,a,h ⊢ (a', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note bisim2 = <P,i,h ⊢ (i, loc) ↔ ([][], loc, 0, None)>
  note len = <n + max-vars - ≤ length xs>
  note bsok = <bsok (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) n>
  from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 a) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 a))
    case True
    with exec have exec': ?exec a stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(simp add: exec-move-CAS1)
    from True have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) pc xcp = τmove2
    (compP2 P) h stk a pc xcp by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    with IH1[OF exec' - - <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST> <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] len bsok obtain e'' xs''
      where bisim': P,a,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      and red: ?red a' xs e'' xs'' a stk pc pc' xcp xcp' by auto
      from bisim' have P,a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e),h' ⊢ (e''·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e), xs'') ↔
      (stk', loc', pc', xcp') by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS1)
      moreover from True have no-call2 (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) pc = no-call2 a pc by(simp
      add: no-call2-def)
      ultimately show ?thesis using red τ by(fastforce intro: CAS1Red1 elim!: CAS-τred1r-xt1 CAS-τred1t-xt1)
next
  case False
  with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 a) by auto
  with bisim1 obtain v where a': is-val a' → a' = Val v
  and stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None and call: call1 a' = None
  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with bisim1 pc len bsok have rede1': τred1r P t h (a', xs) (Val v, loc)

```

```

by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
hence τred1r P t h (a'·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e), xs) (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e), loc)
by(rule CAS-τred1r-xt1)
moreover from pc exec stk xcp
have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 a @ compE2 i @ compE2 e @ [CAS F D]) (compxE2
a 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length [v])) (compxE2 i 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2
i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h ([] @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) + 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc',
pc', xcp')
by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs exec-move-def)
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i @ compE2 e @ [CAS F D]) (stack-xlift (length [v])
(compxE2 i 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None)
ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0)) t h ([] @ [v],
loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
by(rule exec-meth-take-xt) simp
with bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk' = stk'' @ [v]
and exec'': exec-move-d P t i h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)
with pc xcp have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) (length (compE2
a)) None = τmove2 (compP2 P) h [] i 0 None
using τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move[where stk=[] and vs=[v]]
by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff)
from bisim1 have length xs = length loc by(rule bisim1-length-xs)
with IH2[OF exec''] len bsok obtain e'' xs''
where bisim': P,i,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
and red: ?red i loc e'' xs'' i [] 0 (pc' - length (compE2 a)) None xcp' by fastforce
from bisim'
have P,a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e),h' ⊢ (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, e'', e), xs'') ↔ (stk'' @
[v], loc', length (compE2 a) + (pc' - length (compE2 a)), xcp')
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS2)
moreover from red τ have ?red (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) loc (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F,
e'', e)) xs'' (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) [v] (length (compE2 a)) pc' None xcp'
by(fastforce intro: CAS1Red2 elim!: CAS-τred1r-xt2 CAS-τred1t-xt2 split: if-split-asm simp add:
no-call2-def)
moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 a)
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
moreover have no-call2 (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) pc using pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using τ stk' pc xcp stk by(fastforce elim!: rtranclp-trans)
qed
next
case (bisim1CAS2 i n i' xs stk loc pc xcp a e D F v)
note IH2 = bisim1CAS2.IH(2)
note IH3 = bisim1CAS2.IH(6)
note exec = ?exec (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) (stk @ [v]) loc (length (compE2 a) + pc) xcp
stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim2 = <P,i,h ⊢ (i', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note bisim3 = <P,e,h ⊢ (e, loc) ↔ ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None)>
note len = <n + max-vars (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, i', e)) ≤ length xs>
note bsok = <bsok (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) n>
from bisim2 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 i) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 i))
  case True

```

```

from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 a @ compE2 i @ compE2 e @ [CAS F D]) (compxE2 a 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 a)) (stack-xlift (length [v])) (compxE2 i 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 a) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 ac-simps exec-move-def)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i @ compE2 e @ [CAS F D]) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0) @ shift (length (compE2 i)) (compxE2 e 0 (Suc (Suc 0)))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
    hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 i) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxE2 i 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
      using True by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)
      with bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk' = stk'' @ [v]
      and exec'': exec-move-d P t i h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
      unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)
      with True have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h (stk @ [v]) (a.compareAndSwap(D.F, i, e)) (length (compE2 a) + pc) xcp =  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk i pc xcp
        by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff  $\tau$ instr-stk-drop-exec-move)
      moreover from <P,h ⊢ stk @ [v] [:≤] ST> obtain ST2 where P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST2 by(auto simp add: list-all2-append1)
        from IH2[OF exec' - - this <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] len bsok obtain e'' xs''
          where bisim': P,i,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'')  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a), xcp')
          and red: ?red i' xs e'' xs'' i stk pc (pc' - length (compE2 a)) xcp xcp' by fastforce
          from bisim'
          have P,a.compareAndSwap(D.F, i, e),h' ⊢ (Val v.compareAndSwap(D.F, e'', e), xs'')  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 a) + (pc' - length (compE2 a)), xcp')
            by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS2)
          moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 a)
            by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
          moreover have no-call2 i pc  $\Longrightarrow$  no-call2 (a.compareAndSwap(D.F, i, e)) (length (compE2 a) + pc) by(simp add: no-call2-def)
            ultimately show ?thesis using red  $\tau$  stk' True
            by(fastforce intro: CAS1Red2 elim!: CAS- $\tau$ red1r-xt2 CAS- $\tau$ red1t-xt2 split: if-split-asm)
        next
        case False
        with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 i) by simp
        with bisim2 obtain v2 where i': is-val i'  $\longrightarrow$  i' = Val v2
          and stk: stk = [v2] and xcp: xcp = None and call: call1 i' = None
          by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
        with bisim2 pc len bsok have red:  $\tau$ red1r P t h (i', xs) (Val v2, loc)
          by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau$ red1r simp add: bsok-def)
        hence  $\tau$ red1r P t h (Val v.compareAndSwap(D.F, i', e), xs) (Val v.compareAndSwap(D.F, Val v2, e), loc) by(rule CAS- $\tau$ red1r-xt2)
        moreover from pc exec stk xcp
          have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 a @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e @ [CAS F D]) ((compxE2 a 0 0 @ compxE2 i (length (compE2 a)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v])) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t h ([] @ [v2, v], loc, length (compE2 a @ compE2 i) + 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
            by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs exec-move-def)
          hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ [CAS F D]) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v]) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h ([] @ [v2, v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2 i), xcp')
            by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
          hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (stack-xlift (length [v2, v]) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h ([] @ [v2, v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2 i), xcp')

```

```

  by(rule exec-meth-take) simp
  with bisim3 obtain stk'': stk' = stk'' @ [v2, v]
    and exec'': exec-move-d P t e h ([] , loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @
  compE2 i), xcp')
      unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)
      with pc xcp have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v2, v] (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) (length (compE2
  a) + length (compE2 i)) None = τmove2 (compP2 P) h [] e 0 None
        using τinstr-stk-drop-exec-move[where stk=[] and vs=[v2, v]] by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
        from bisim2 have length xs = length loc by(rule bisim1-length-xs)
        with IH3[OF exec'', of []] len bsok obtain e'' xs''
          where bisim': P,e,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a) - length (compE2 i),
  xcp')
            and red: ?red e loc e'' xs'' e [] 0 (pc' - length (compE2 a) - length (compE2 i)) None xcp'
              by auto (fastforce simp only: length-append diff-diff-left)
            from bisim'
              have P,a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e),h' ⊢ (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v2, e''), xs'') ↔
  (stk'' @ [v2, v], loc', length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + (pc' - length (compE2 a) - length
  (compE2 i)), xcp')
                by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS3)
              moreover from red τ
                have ?red (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v2, e)) loc (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v2, e''))
  xs'' (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) [v2, v] (length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i)) pc' None xcp'
                  by(fastforce intro: CAS1Red3 elim!: CAS-τred1r-xt3 CAS-τred1t-xt3 split: if-split-asm simp add:
  no-call2-def)
              moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)
                by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
              moreover have no-call2 (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) (length (compE2 a) + pc) by(simp add:
  no-call2-def)
                ultimately show ?thesis using τ stk' pc xcp stk by(fastforce elim!: rtranclp-trans)
            qed
        next
        case (bisim1CAS3 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp a i D F v v')
        note IH3 = bisim1CAS3.IH(2)
        note exec = ?exec (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) (stk @ [v', v]) loc (length (compE2 a) + length
  (compE2 i) + pc) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
        note bisim3 = ⟨P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)⟩
        note len = ⟨n + max-vars - ≤ length xs⟩
        note bsok = ⟨bsok (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) n⟩
        from ⟨P,h ⊢ stk @ [v', v] [:≤] ST⟩ obtain T T' ST'
          where [simp]: ST = ST' @ [T', T]
          and wtv: P,h ⊢ v :≤ T and wtv': P,h ⊢ v' :≤ T' and ST': P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST'
            by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1 list-all2-append1)
        from bisim3 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
        show ?case
        proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
          case True
            from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((compE2 a @ compE2 i) @ compE2 e @ [CAS F
  D]) ((compxE2 a 0 0 @ compxE2 i (length (compE2 a)) (Suc 0)) @ shift (length (compE2 a @ compE2
  i)) (stack-xlift (length [v', v]) (compxE2 e 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v', v], loc, length (compE2 a @ compE2
  i) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
              by(simp add: shift-compxE2 stack-xlift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
            hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ [CAS F D]) (stack-xlift (length [v', v]) (compxE2 e
  0 0)) t h (stk @ [v', v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2 i), xcp')
              by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e) (stack-xlift (length [v', v]) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h (stk
@ [v', v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @ compE2 i), xcp')
  using True by(rule exec-meth-take)
  with bisim3 obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v', v]
    and exec'': exec-move-d P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a @
compE2 i), xcp')
      unfolding exec-move-def by(blast dest: exec-meth-stk-split)
      with True have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h (stk @ [v', v]) (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) (length
(compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + pc) xcp =  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk e pc xcp
        by(auto simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff  $\tau$ instr-stk-drop-exec-move)
      moreover from IH3[ $\langle$ OF exec'' - - ST'  $\rangle$  conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp] len bsok obtain e'' xs''
        where bisim': P,e,h'  $\vdash$  (e'', xs'')  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 a) - length (compE2 i),
xcp')
          and red: ?red e' xs e'' xs'' e stk pc (pc' - length (compE2 a) - length (compE2 i)) xcp xcp'
            by auto(fastforce simp only: length-append diff-diff-left)
          from bisim'
            have P,a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e),h'  $\vdash$  (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e''), xs'')  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk'' @ [v', v], loc',
length (compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + (pc' - length (compE2 a) - length (compE2 i)), xcp')
              by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS3)
            moreover from exec' have pc'  $\geq$  length (compE2 a @ compE2 i)
              by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
            moreover have no-call2 e pc  $\implies$  no-call2 (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) (length (compE2 a) +
length (compE2 i) + pc)
              by(simp add: no-call2-def)
            ultimately show ?thesis using red  $\tau$  stk' True
              by(fastforce intro: CAS1Red3 elim!: CAS- $\tau$ red1r-xt3 CAS- $\tau$ red1t-xt3 split: if-split-asm)
next
  case False
  with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
  with bisim3 obtain v2 where stk: stk = [v2] and xcp: xcp = None
    by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with bisim3 pc len bsok have red:  $\tau$ red1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v2, loc)
    by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau$ red1r simp add: bsok-def)
    hence  $\tau$ red1r P t h (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', e'), xs) (Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F,
Val v', Val v2), loc) by(rule CAS- $\tau$ red1r-xt3)
    moreover have  $\tau$ :  $\neg$   $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [v2, v', v] (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) (length
(compE2 a) + length (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)) None
      by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
    moreover
      have  $\exists$  ta' e''. P,a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e),h'  $\vdash$  (e'',loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk', loc', pc', xcp')  $\wedge$  True,P,t
 $\vdash_1$   $\langle$ Val v·compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v', Val v2), (h, loc) $\rangle$   $-ta' \rightarrow$   $\langle$ e'',(h', loc) $\rangle$   $\wedge$  ta-bisim wbisim1
(extTA2J1 P ta') ta
      proof(cases v = Null)
        case True with exec stk xcp show ?thesis
          by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def intro: bisim1CASFail CAS1Null)
next
  case False
  have P,a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e),h'  $\vdash$  (Val (Bool b), loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([Bool b], loc, length (compE2
(a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e))), None) for b
    by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
  with False exec stk xcp show ?thesis
    by (auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def is-Ref-def intro: Red1CASSucceed
Red1CASFail)

```

```

(fastforce intro!: Red1CASSucceed Red1CASFail simp add: ta-bisim-def ac-simps) +
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using exec xcp stk by(fastforce simp add: no-call2-def)
qed
next
case (bisim1CASThrow1 A n a xs stk loc pc i e D F)
note exec = <?exec (A·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
note bisim1 = <P,A,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 A) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 A 0 0) = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1CASThrow2 i n a xs stk loc pc A e D F v)
note exec = <?exec (A·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) (stk @ [v]) loc (length (compE2 A) + pc) [a]
  stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim2 = <P,i,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
from bisim2 have pc: pc < length (compE2 i) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim2 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (length (compE2 A) + pc) (compxE2
i (length (compE2 A)) 0) = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False
  apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs compxE2-size-convs exec-move-def)
    apply(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs)
    done
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1CASThrow3 e n a xs stk loc pc A i D F v' v)
note exec = <?exec (A·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) (stk @ [v', v]) loc (length (compE2 A) + length
  (compE2 i) + pc) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim2 = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
from bisim2 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (length (compE2 A) + length (compE2
i) + pc) (compxE2 e (length (compE2 A) + length (compE2 i)) 0) = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec have False
  apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: compxE2-stack-xlift-convs compxE2-size-convs exec-move-def)
    apply(auto dest!: match-ex-table-stack-xliftD match-ex-table-shift-pcD dest: match-ex-table-pcsD
      simp add: match-ex-table-append match-ex-table-shift-pc-None)
    done
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1CASFail a n i e D F ad xs v' v v'')
note exec = <?exec (a·compareAndSwap(D·F, i, e)) [v', v, v''] xs (length (compE2 a) + length
  (compE2 i) + length (compE2 e)) [ad] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
hence False
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append exec-move-def
    dest!: match-ex-table-shift-pcD match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1Call1 obj n obj' xs stk loc pc xcp ps M')
note IH1 = bisim1Call1.IH(2)
note IH2 = bisim1Call1.IH(4)

```

```

note exec = <?exec (obj·M'(ps)) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
note bisim1 = <P,obj,h ⊢ (obj', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note bisim2 = <P,ps,h ⊢ (ps, loc) [↔] ([][], loc, 0, None)>
note len = <n + max-vars (obj'·M'(ps)) ≤ length xs>
note bsok = <bsok (obj·M'(ps)) n>
from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 obj) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
from bisim1 have lenxs: length xs = length loc by(rule bisim1-length-xs)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 obj))
  case True
    with exec have exec': ?exec obj stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(simp add: exec-move-Call1)
      from True have τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (obj·M'(ps)) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk obj
      pc xcp by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
      moreover from True have no-call2 (obj·M'(ps)) pc = no-call2 obj pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using IH1[OF exec' - - <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST> <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] bisim2 len bsok
        by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Call1 Call1Obj elim!: Call-τred1r-obj Call-τred1t-obj)
next
  case False
    with pc have pc: pc = length (compE2 obj) by auto
    with bisim1 obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None and call: call1 obj' = None
      and v: is-val obj' ⇒ obj' = Val v ∧ xs = loc
      by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
    with bisim1 pc len bsok have τred1r P t h (obj', xs) (Val v, loc)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
    hence red: τred1r P t h (obj'·M'(ps), xs) (Val v·M'(ps), loc) by(rule Call-τred1r-obj)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases ps)
      case (Cons p ps')
        from pc exec stk xcp
        have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 (obj·M'(ps))) (compxE2 (obj·M'(ps)) 0 0) t h ([] @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
          by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs compxE2-stack-xlift-convs exec-move-def)
        hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compEs2 ps) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 ps 0 0))
          t h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 obj), xcp')
          and pc': pc' ≥ length (compE2 obj) using Cons
          by(safe dest!: Call-execParamD) simp-all
        from exec' bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v]
        and exec'': exec-moves-d P t ps h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 obj), xcp')
          unfolding exec-moves-def by -(drule (1) exec-meth-stk-splits, auto)
        with pc xcp have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (obj·M'(ps)) (length (compE2 obj)) None = τmoves2 (compP2 P) h [] ps 0 None
          using τinstr-stk-drop-exec-moves[where stk=[] and vs=[v]]
          by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff τmoves2-iff)
        from IH2[OF exec'', of []] len lenxs bsok obtain es'' xs''
          where bisim': P,ps,h' ⊢ (es'', xs'') [↔] (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 obj), xcp')
          and red': ?reds ps loc es'' xs'' ps [] 0 (pc' - length (compE2 obj)) None xcp' by auto
          from bisim' have P,obj·M'(ps),h' ⊢ (Val v·M'(es''), xs'') ↔ (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj) + (pc' - length (compE2 obj)), xcp')
            by(rule bisim1CallParams)
          moreover from pc Cons have no-call2 (obj·M'(ps)) pc by(simp add: no-call2-def)
          ultimately show ?thesis using red red' τ stk' pc xcp pc' stk call
            by(fastforce elim!: rtranclp-trans Call-τred1r-param Call-τred1t-param intro: Call1Params)

```

```

rtranclp-tranclp-tranclp split: if-split-asm)
next
  case [simp]: Nil
    from exec pc stk xcp
    have v = Null ∨ (is-Addr v ∧ (∃ T C' Ts' Tr' D'. typeofh v = [T] ∧ class-type-of' T = [C'] ∧ P ⊢ C' sees M': Ts' → Tr' = Native in D')) (is - ∨ ?rest)
      by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: is-Ref-def exec-move-def compP2-def has-method-def
split: if-split-asm)
      thus ?thesis
    proof
      assume [simp]: v = Null
      have P,obj·M'[],h ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, loc) ↔ ([] @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 [] :: 'addr expr1 list)), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
        by(safe intro!: bisim1CallThrow) simp-all
      moreover have True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨null·M'(map Val []), (h, loc)⟩ −ε→ ⟨THROW NullPointer, (h, loc)⟩
        by(rule Red1CallNull)
      moreover have τmove1 P h (Val v·M'[]) τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Null] (obj·M'(ps)) (length (compE2 obj)) None
        by(simp-all add: τmove2-iff)
      ultimately show ?thesis using exec pc stk xcp red
        by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: rtranclp-trans simp add: exec-move-def)
    next
    assume ?rest
    then obtain a Ta Ts' Tr' D' where [simp]: v = Addr a
      and Ta: typeof-addr h a = [Ta]
      and iec: P ⊢ class-type-of Ta sees M': Ts' → Tr' = Native in D' by auto
      with exec pc stk xcp
      obtain ta' va h'' U where redex: (ta', va, h'') ∈ red-external-aggr (compP2 P) t a M' [] h
        and ret: (xcp', h', [(stk', loc', undefined, undefined, pc')]) = extRet2JVM 0 h'' [Addr a] loc
        undefined undefined (length (compE2 obj)) [] va
        and wtext': P,h ⊢ a·M'[] : U
        and ta': ta = extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta'
        by(fastforce simp add: is-Ref-def exec-move-def compP2-def external-WT'-iff exec-meth-instr)
      from Ta iec have τ: τmove1 P h (Val v·M'[]) ↔ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a] (obj·M'(ps)) (length (compE2 obj)) None
        by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff compP2-def)
      from ret have [simp]: h'' = h' by simp
      from wtext' have wtext'': compP2 P,h ⊢ a·M'[] : U by(simp add: external-WT'-iff compP2-def)
        from wf have wf-jvm-prog (compP2 P) by(rule wt-compP2)
        then obtain wf-md where wf': wf-prog wf-md (compP2 P)
          unfolding wf-jvm-prog-def by(blast dest: wf-jvm-progD)
        from tconf have tconf': compP2 P,h ⊢ t √t by(simp add: compP2-def tconf-def)
        from redex have redex'': compP2 P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M'[], h⟩ −ta'→ext ⟨va, h'⟩
          by(simp add: WT-red-external-list-conv[OF wf' wtext'' tconf', symmetric])
        hence redex': P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M'[], h⟩ −ta'→ext ⟨va, h'⟩ by(simp add: compP2-def)
          with Ta iec have True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨addr a·M'(map Val []), (h, loc)⟩ −ta'→⟨extRet2J (addr a·M'(map Val [])) va, (h', loc)⟩
            by -(rule Red1CallExternal, auto)
          moreover have P,obj·M'(ps),h' ⊢ (extRet2J (addr a·M'(map Val [])) va, loc) ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
            proof(cases va)
              case (RetVal v)
                have P,obj·M'[],h' ⊢ (Val v, loc) ↔ ([v], loc, length (compE2 (obj·M'[]))), None)

```

```

    by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
  with ret RetVal show ?thesis by simp
next
  case (RetExc ad)
    have P,obj·M'[],h' ⊢ (Throw ad, loc) ↔ ([] @ [v], loc, length (compE2 (obj)) + length
(compEs2 ([] :: 'addr expr1 list)), [ad])
      by(rule bisim1CallThrow) simp
    with ret RetExc show ?thesis by simp
next
  case RetStaySame
    have P,obj·M'[],h' ⊢ (addr a·M'[], loc) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, length (compE2 obj), None)
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Call1)(rule bisim1Val2, simp)
    thus ?thesis using ret RetStaySame by simp
qed
  moreover from <preallocated h> red-external-hext[OF redex] have preallocated h' by(rule
preallocated-hext)
  from redex'' wtext'' <hconf h> have hconf h' by(rule external-call-hconf)
  with ta' redex' <preallocated h'>
  have ta-bisim wbisim1 (extTA2J1 P ta') ta by(auto intro: red-external-ta-bisim21[OF wf])
  moreover have τmove1 P h (Val v·M'[]) ==> ta' = ε ∧ h' = h using redex' Ta iec
    by(fastforce dest: τexternal'-red-external-heap-unchanged τexternal'-red-external-TA-empty
sees-method-fun simp add: τexternal'-def τexternal-def)
  moreover from v call
  have call1 (obj·M'(ps)) ≠ None ==> Val v·M'(ps) = obj'·M'(ps) ∧ loc = xs
    by(auto split: if-split-asm)
  ultimately show ?thesis using red τ pc xcp stk Ta call iec
    apply(auto simp del: call1.simps calls1.simps)
    apply(rule exI conjI|assumption|erule rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl rtranclp-into-tranclp1|drule
(1) sees-method-fun|clarsimp)+
    done
  qed
  qed
qed
next
  case (bisim1CallParams ps n ps' xs stk loc pc xcp obj M' v)
  note bisim2 = <P,ps,h ⊢ (ps', xs) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note bisim1 = <P,obj,h ⊢ (obj, xs) ↔ ([][], xs, 0, None)>
  note IH2 = bisim1CallParams.IH(2)
  note exec = <exec-move-d P t (obj·M'(ps)) h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, xcp) ta h'>
  (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  note len = <n + max-vars (Val v·M'(ps')) ≤ length xs>
  note bsok = <bsok (obj·M'(ps)) n>
  from <P,h ⊢ stk @ [v] [:≤] ST> obtain T ST' where ST': P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST' and T: typeof_h v =
  |T|
    by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1 list-all2-append1 conf-def)
  from bisim2 have pc: pc ≤ length (compEs2 ps) by(rule bisims1-pc-length-compEs2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compEs2 ps))
    case True
      from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 (obj·M'(ps))) (compxE2 (obj·M'(ps)) 0 0) t h
      (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2 obj) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        by(simp add: exec-move-def)
      with True have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compEs2 ps) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2
      ps 0 0)) t h (stk @ [v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 obj), xcp')

```

```

and pc': pc' ≥ length (compE2 obj) by(safe dest!: Call-execParamD)
from exec' bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v]
and exec'': exec-moves-d P t ps h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 obj),
xcp') by(unfold exec-moves-def)(drule (1) exec-meth-stk-splits, auto)
with True have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h (stk @ [v]) (obj·M'(ps)) (length (compE2 obj) + pc)
xcp = τmoves2 (compP2 P) h stk ps pc xcp
by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff τmoves2-iff τinstr-stk-drop-exec-moves)
from IH2[OF exec'' - ST' <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp] len bsok obtain es'' xs''
where bisim': P,ps,h' ⊢ (es'', xs'') [↔] (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 obj), xcp')
and red': ?reds ps' xs es'' xs'' ps stk pc (pc' - length (compE2 obj)) xcp xcp' by auto
from bisim' have P,obj·M'(ps),h' ⊢ (Val v·M'(es''), xs'') ↔ (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 obj)
+ (pc' - length (compE2 obj)), xcp')
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallParams)
moreover from True have no-call2 (obj·M'(ps)) (length (compE2 obj) + pc) = no-calls2 ps pc
by(simp add: no-calls2-def no-call2-def)
moreover have calls1 ps' = None  $\implies$  call1 (Val v·M'(ps')) = None  $\vee$  is-vals ps' by simp
ultimately show ?thesis using red' τ stk' pc pc'
by(fastforce intro: Call1Params elim!: Call-τred1r-param Call-τred1t-param split: if-split-asm
simp add: is-vals-conv)
next
case False
with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compEs2 ps) by simp
with bisim2 obtain vs where [simp]: stk = rev vs xcp = None
and lenvs: length vs = length ps and vs: is-vals ps'  $\longrightarrow$  ps' = map Val vs  $\wedge$  xs = loc
and call: calls1 ps' = None
by(auto dest: bisims1-pc-length-compEs2D)
with bisim2 len bsok have reds: τreds1r P t h (ps', xs) (map Val vs, loc)
by(auto intro: bisims1-Val-τReds1r simp add: bsok-def)
from exec T lenvs
have v = Null  $\vee$  (is-Addr v  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  T C' Ts' Tr' D'. typeof_h v = |T|  $\wedge$  class-type-of' T = |C'|  $\wedge$ 
P ⊢ C' sees M':Ts'→Tr' = Native in D')) (is -  $\vee$  ?rest)
by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: is-Ref-def exec-move-def compP2-def has-method-def
split: if-split-asm)
thus ?thesis
proof
assume [simp]: v = Null
hence τ: τmove1 P h (Val v·M'(map Val vs))
τmove2 (compP2 P) h (stk @ [v]) (obj·M'(ps)) (length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps))
None
using lenvs by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff)
from lenvs
have P,obj·M'(ps),h ⊢ (THROW NullPointer, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  (rev vs @ [Null], loc, length (compE2 obj)
+ length (compEs2 ps), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
by(safe intro!: bisim1CallThrow) simp
moreover have True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨null·M'(map Val vs),(h, loc)⟩  $\neg\epsilon\rightarrow$  ⟨THROW NullPointer,(h,
loc)⟩
by(rule Red1CallNull)
ultimately show ?thesis using exec pc τ lenvs reds
apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
apply(rule exI conjI|assumption)+
apply(rule rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
apply(erule Call-τred1r-param)
by auto

```

```

next
  assume ?rest
  then obtain a Ta C' Ts' Tr' D' where [simp]: v = Addr a
    and Ta: typeof-addr h a = [Ta]
    and iec: P ⊢ class-type-of Ta sees M': Ts' → Tr' = Native in D' by auto
    with exec pc lenvs
    obtain ta' va h'' U Ts Ts' where redex: (ta', va, h'') ∈ red-external-aggr (compP2 P) t a M' vs
    h
      and ret: (xcp', h', [(stk', loc', undefined, undefined, pc')]) = extRet2JVM (length vs) h'' (rev vs
      @ [Addr a]) loc undefined undefined (length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps)) [] va
      and wtext': P,h ⊢ a·M'(vs) : U
      and Ts: map typeof_h vs = map Some Ts
      and ta': ta = extTA2JVM (compP2 P) ta'
        by(fastforce simp add: is-Ref-def exec-move-def compP2-def external-WT'-iff exec-meth-instr
        confs-conv-map)
      have τ: τmove1 P h (Val v·M'(map Val vs)) ↔ τmove2 (compP2 P) h (stk @ [v]) (obj·M'(ps))
      (length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps)) None
        using Ta iec lenvs
        by(auto simp add: τmove2-iff map-eq-append-conv compP2-def)
      from ret have [simp]: h'' = h' by simp
      from wtext' have wtext'': compP2 P,h ⊢ a·M'(vs) : U by(simp add: compP2-def external-WT'-iff)
      from wf have wf-jvm-prog (compP2 P) by(rule wt-compP2)
      then obtain wf-md where wf': wf-prog wf-md (compP2 P)
        unfolding wf-jvm-prog-def by(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)
      from tconf have tconf': compP2 P,h ⊢ t √t by(simp add: compP2-def tconf-def)
      from redex have redex'': compP2 P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M'(vs), h⟩ -ta'→ext ⟨va, h'⟩
        by(simp add: WT-red-external-list-conv[OF wf' wtext'' tconf', symmetric])
      hence redex': P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M'(vs), h⟩ -ta'→ext ⟨va, h'⟩ by(simp add: compP2-def)
      with Ta iec have True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨addr a·M'(map Val vs), (h, loc)⟩ -ta'→ ⟨extRet2J (addr a·M'(map
      Val vs)) va, (h', loc)⟩
        by -(rule Red1CallExternal, auto)
      moreover have P,obj·M'(ps),h' ⊢ (extRet2J (addr a·M'(map Val vs)) va, loc) ↔ (stk', loc', pc',
      xcp')
        proof(cases va)
          case (RetVal v)
            have P,obj·M'(ps),h' ⊢ (Val v, loc) ↔ ([v], loc, length (compE2 (obj·M'(ps))), None)
              by(rule bisim1Val2)(simp)
            with ret RetVal show ?thesis by simp
          next
            case (RetExc ad)
              from lenvs have length ps = length (rev vs) by simp
              hence P,obj·M'(ps),h' ⊢ (Throw ad, loc) ↔ (rev vs @ [v], loc, length (compE2 (obj)) + length
              (compEs2 ps), [ad])
                by(rule bisim1CallThrow)
              with ret RetExc show ?thesis by simp
            next
              case RetStaySame
              from lenvs have length ps = length vs by simp
              from bisims1-map-Val-append[OF bisims1Nil this, of P h' loc]
              have P,ps,h' ⊢ (map Val vs, loc) [↔] (rev vs, loc, length (compEs2 ps), None) by simp
              hence P,obj·M'(ps),h' ⊢ (addr a·M'(map Val vs), loc) ↔ (rev vs @ [Addr a], loc, length (compE2
              obj) + length (compEs2 ps), None)
                by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallParams)
              thus ?thesis using ret RetStaySame by simp

```

```

qed
moreover from <preallocated h> red-external-hext[OF redex'] have preallocated h' by(rule preallocated-hext)
from redex'' wtext'' hconf h have hconf h' by(rule external-call-hconf)
with ta' redex' preallocated h'
have ta-bisim wbisim1 (extTA2J1 P ta') ta by(auto intro: red-external-ta-bisim21[OF wf])
moreover have  $\tau\text{move1 } P \ h \ (\text{Val } v \cdot M'(\text{map Val } vs)) \implies ta' = \varepsilon \wedge h' = h$ 
using Ta iec redex'
by(fastforce dest:  $\tau\text{external}'\text{-red-external-heap-unchanged } \tau\text{external}'\text{-red-external-TA-empty}$ 
sees-method-fun simp add:  $\tau\text{external}'\text{-def } \tau\text{external-def map-eq-append-conv}$ )
moreover from vs call have call1 (Val v · M'(ps')) ≠ None  $\implies ps' = \text{map Val } vs \wedge loc = xs$ 
by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv)
ultimately show ?thesis using reds τ pc Ta call
apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps split: if-split-asm simp add:
map-eq-append-conv)
apply(auto 4 4 simp del: split-paired-Ex call1.simps calls1.simps intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl[OF
Call-τred1r-param] rtranclp-into-tranclp1[OF Call-τred1r-param])[3]
apply((assumption|rule exI conjI|erule Call-τred1r-param|simp add: map-eq-append-conv)+)
done
qed
qed
next
case (bisim1CallThrowObj obj n a xs stk loc pc ps M')
note exec = <?exec (obj · M'(ps)) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim1 = <P,obj,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 obj) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 obj 0 0) = None
unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False
by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def match-ex-table-not-pcs-None)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1CallThrowParams ps n vs a ps' xs stk loc pc obj M' v)
note exec = <?exec (obj · M'(ps)) (stk @ [v]) loc (length (compE2 obj) + pc) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim2 = <P,ps,h ⊢ (map Val vs @ Throw a # ps', xs) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
from bisim2 have pc: pc < length (compEs2 ps) by(auto dest: bisims1-ThrowD)
from bisim2 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxEs2 ps 0 0) = None
unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisims1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False
apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: compxEs2-size-convs compxEs2-stack-xlift-convs exec-move-def)
apply(auto simp add: match-ex-table-append dest!: match-ex-table-shift-pcD match-ex-table-stack-xliftD
match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)
done
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1CallThrow ps vs obj n M' a xs v)
note lenvs = <length ps = length vs>
note exec = <?exec (obj · M'(ps)) (vs @ [v]) xs (length (compE2 obj) + length (compEs2 ps)) [a] stk'
loc' pc' xcp'
with lenvs have False
by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs exec-move-def dest!: match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1BlockSome1 e n V Ty v xs)

```

```

have  $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h [] \{V:Ty=[v]; e\} 0 None$  by(simp add:  $\tau move2\text{-iff}$ )
with  $\langle ?exec \{V:Ty=[v]; e\} [] xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle \langle P,e,h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, None) \rangle$ 
show ?case by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: bisim1BlockSome2 simp add: exec-move-def)
next
  case (bisim1BlockSome2 e n V Ty v xs)
  have  $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h [v] \{V:Ty=[v]; e\} (Suc 0) None$  by(simp add:  $\tau move2\text{-iff}$ )
  with  $\langle ?exec \{V:Ty=[v]; e\} [v] xs (Suc 0) None stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle \langle P,e,h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, None) \rangle$ 
  show ?case by(fastforce intro: r-into-rtranclp Block1Some bisim1BlockSome4[OF bisim1-refl] simp
add: exec-move-def
elim!: exec-meth.cases)
next
  case (bisim1BlockSome4 e n xs stk loc pc xcp V Ty v)
  note IH = bisim1BlockSome4.IH(2)
  note exec =  $\langle ?exec \{V:Ty=[v]; e\} stk loc (Suc (Suc pc)) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
  note bisim =  $\langle P,e,h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$ 
  note bsok =  $\langle bsok \{V:Ty=[v]; e\} n \rangle$ 
  with  $\langle n + max\text{-vars} \{V:Ty=None; e'\} \leq length xs \rangle$ 
  have  $V: V < length xs$  and  $len: Suc n + max\text{-vars} e' \leq length xs$  and [simp]:  $n = V$  by simp-all
  let ?pre = [Push v, Store V]
  from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e) (shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e
0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by(simp add: compxE2-size-convs exec-move-def)
  hence pc':  $pc' \geq length ?pre$ 
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-pc) auto
  hence pc'':  $Suc (Suc (pc' - Suc (Suc 0))) = pc'$  by simp
  moreover from exec' have exec-move-d P t e h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre,
xcp')
  unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-meth-drop) auto
  from IH[ $OF this len - \langle P,h \vdash stk : \leq ST \rangle \langle conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp \rangle$ ] bsok obtain e'' xs''
  where bisim':  $P,e,h' \vdash (e'', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')$ 
  and red':  $?red e' xs e'' xs' e' stk pc (pc' - length ?pre) xcp xcp'$  by auto
  from bisim' have P, {V:Ty=[v]; e}, h'  $\vdash (\{V:Ty=None; e'\}, xs') \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', Suc (Suc (pc' - length ?pre)), xcp')$ 
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockSome4)
  moreover from pc' pc'' have  $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h stk \{V:Ty=[v]; e\} (Suc (Suc pc)) xcp =$ 
 $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h stk e pc xcp$  by(simp add:  $\tau move2\text{-iff}$ )
  moreover from red' have length xs'' = length xs
  by(auto split: if-split-asm dest!:  $\tau red1r\text{-preserves-len } \tau red1t\text{-preserves-len } red1\text{-preserves-len}$ )
  ultimately show ?case using red' pc'' V
  by(fastforce elim!: Block-None- $\tau red1r\text{-xt }$  Block-None- $\tau red1t\text{-xt }$  intro: Block1Red split: if-split-asm
simp add: no-call2-def)
next
  case (bisim1BlockThrowSome e n a xs stk loc pc V Ty v)
  note exec =  $\langle ?exec \{V:Ty=[v]; e\} stk loc (Suc (Suc pc)) \lfloor a \rfloor stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
  note bisim =  $\langle P,e,h \vdash (Throw a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, \lfloor a \rfloor) \rangle$ 
  from bisim have pc:  $pc < length (compE2 e)$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from bisim have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
  with exec pc have False
  apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None exec-move-def)
  apply(auto simp only: compxE2-size-convs dest!: match-ex-table-shift-pcD)
  apply simp
  done

```

```

thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1BlockNone e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp V Ty)
note IH = bisim1BlockNone.IH(2)
note exec = <?exec {V:Ty=None; e} stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
note bsok = <bsok {V:Ty=None; e} n>
with <n + max-vars {V:Ty=None; e'} ≤ length xs>
have V: V < length xs and len: Suc n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs by simp-all
have τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk {V:Ty=None; e} pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk e pc xcp
by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover
from exec have ?exec e stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(simp add: exec-move-BlockNone)
from IH[OF this len - <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST> <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] bsok obtain e'' xs'' 
  where bisim': P,e,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  and red': ?red e' xs e'' xs'' e stk pc pc' xcp xcp' by auto
from bisim' have P,{V:Ty=None; e},h' ⊢ ({V:Ty=None; e''}, xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockNone)
ultimately show ?case using V red'
  by(fastforce elim!: Block1Red Block-None-τred1r-xt Block-None-τred1t-xt simp add: no-call2-def)
next
case (bisim1BlockThrowNone e n a xs stk loc pc V Ty)
note exec = <?exec {V:Ty=None; e} stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1Sync1 e1 n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 V)
note IH = bisim1Sync1.IH(2)
note exec = <?exec (syncV (e1) e2) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim = <P,e1,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
note bisim2 = <P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>
note len = <n + max-vars (syncV (e') e2) ≤ length xs>
note bsok = <bsok (syncV (e1) e2) n>
from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e1) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e1))
  case True
    with exec have exec': ?exec e1 stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(simp add: exec-move-Sync1)
    from True have τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (syncV (e1) e2) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk
      e1 pc xcp by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    with IH[OF exec' - - <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST> <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] len bisim2 bsok show
      ?thesis
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync1 Synchronized1Red1 elim!: Sync-τred1r-xt Sync-τred1t-xt
      simp add: no-call2-def)
next
case False
with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e1) by simp
with bisim obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None
  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with bisim pc len bsok have red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)

```

```

by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau$ red1r simp add: bsok-def)
hence  $\tau$ red1r  $P$   $t$   $h$  ( $sync_V(e')$   $e2$ ,  $xs$ ) ( $sync_V(Val v)$   $e2$ ,  $loc$ ) by(rule Sync- $\tau$ red1r-xt)
moreover have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move2 ( $compP2 P$ )  $h$  [ $v$ ] ( $sync_V(e1)$   $e2$ )  $pc$  None by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
moreover
have  $P, (sync_V(e1) e2), h \vdash ((sync_V(Val v) e2), loc) \leftrightarrow ([v, v], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1)),$ 
None)
by(rule bisim1Sync2)
ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xcp
by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
qed
next
case (bisim1Sync2  $e1 n e2 V v xs$ )
note exec =  $\langle ?exec (sync_V(e1) e2) [v, v] xs (Suc (length (compE2 e1))) None stk' loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
note bisim =  $\langle P, e1, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$ 
note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$ 
have  $\tau$ move2 ( $compP2 P$ )  $h$  [ $v, v$ ] ( $sync_V(e1) e2$ ) ( $Suc (length (compE2 e1))$ ) None by(rule
 $\tau$ move2Sync3)
thus ?case using exec
by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: bisim1Sync3 simp add: exec-move-def)
next
case (bisim1Sync3  $e1 n e2 V v xs$ )
note exec =  $\langle ?exec (sync_V(e1) e2) [v] (xs[V := v]) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))) None stk'$ 
 $loc' pc' xcp' \rangle$ 
note bisim =  $\langle P, e1, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$ 
note bisim2 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$ 
note len =  $\langle n + max-vars (sync_V(Val v) e2) \leq length xs \rangle$ 
note bsok =  $\langle bsok (sync_V(e1) e2) n \rangle$ 
with len have  $V: V < length xs$  by simp
have  $\tau: \neg \tau$ move2 ( $compP2 P$ )  $h$  [ $v$ ] ( $sync_V(e1) e2$ ) ( $Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))$ ) None
by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
from exec have  $(\exists a. v = Addr a \wedge stk' = [] \wedge loc' = xs[V := v] \wedge ta = \{Lock \rightarrow a, SyncLock a\} \wedge$ 
 $xcp' = None \wedge pc' = Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1))))) \vee (v = Null \wedge stk' = [v] \wedge loc' = xs[V := v] \wedge ta = \varepsilon \wedge xcp' = [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer] \wedge pc' = Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1))))$  (is
?c1  $\vee$  ?c2)
by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: is-Ref-def expand-funfun-eq fun-eq-iff finfun-upd-apply
exec-move-def)
thus ?case
proof
assume ?c1
then obtain a where [simp]:  $v = Addr a$   $stk' = []$   $loc' = xs[V := v]$   $ta = \{Lock \rightarrow a, SyncLock a\}$ 
 $xcp' = None$   $pc' = Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1))))$  by blast
have True,  $P, t \vdash 1$   $\langle sync_V(addr a) e2, (h, xs) \rangle - \{Lock \rightarrow a, SyncLock a\} \rightarrow \langle insync_V(a) e2, (h,$ 
 $xs[V := Addr a]) \rangle$ 
using V by(rule Lock1Synchronized)
moreover from bisim2 have  $P, sync_V(e1) e2, h \vdash (insync_V(a) e2, xs[V := Addr a]) \leftrightarrow ([], xs[V := Addr a], Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))), None)$ 
by(auto intro: bisim1Sync4[where pc = 0, simplified])
ultimately show ?case using exec  $\tau$ 
by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases split: if-split-asm simp add: is-Ref-def exec-move-def ta-bisim-def
ta-upd-simps)
next
assume ?c2
hence [simp]:  $v = Null$   $stk' = [v]$   $loc' = xs[V := v]$   $ta = \varepsilon$   $xcp' = [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer]$ 
 $pc' = Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))$  by simp-all

```

```

from V have True,P,t  $\vdash_1 \langle sync_V (null) e2, (h, xs) \rangle \xrightarrow{-\varepsilon} \langle THROW NullPointer, (h, xs[V := Null]) \rangle$ 
  by(rule Synchronized1Null)
moreover
  have P, sync_V (e1) e2,h  $\vdash (THROW NullPointer, xs[V := Null]) \leftrightarrow ([Null], xs[V := Null], Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1))))$ , [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
    by(rule bisim1Sync11)
  ultimately show ?case using exec  $\tau$ 
    by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases split: if-split-asm simp add: is-Ref-def exec-move-def)
qed
next
case (bisim1Sync4 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e1 V a)
note IH = bisim1Sync4.IH(2)
note exec = <?exec (sync_V (e1) e2) stk loc (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc)))) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim1 = <P,e1,h  $\vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, None)$ >
note bisim2 = <P,e2,h  $\vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$ >
note len = <n + max-vars (insync_V (a) e')  $\leq$  length xs>
note bsok = <bsok (sync_V (e1) e2) n>
with len have V: V < length xs and len': Suc n + max-vars e'  $\leq$  length xs by simp-all
from bisim2 have pc: pc  $\leq$  length (compE2 e2) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
let ?pre = compE2 e1 @ [Dup, Store V, MEnter]
let ?post = [Load V, MExit, Goto 4, Load V, MExit, ThrowExc]
from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2 @ ?post)
  (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e2 0 0 @ [(0, length (compE2 e2), None, 3 + length (compE2 e2), 0)])) t
  h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by(simp add: ac-simps eval-nat-numeral shift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
hence pc': pc'  $\geq$  length ?pre
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc[where n'=1]) auto
from exec' have exec'': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2 @ ?post)
  (compxE2 e2 0 0 @ [(0, length (compE2 e2), None, 3 + length (compE2 e2), 0)]) t
  h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt[where n=1]) auto
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e2))
  case True
    note pc = True
    hence  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk (sync_V (e1) e2) (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc))))
     $xcp = \tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk e2 pc xcp
      by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases xcp = None  $\vee$  ( $\exists a'. xcp = \lfloor a' \rfloor \wedge$  match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a') pc (compxE2 e2 0 0)  $\neq$  None))
      case False
        then obtain a' where Some: xcp =  $\lfloor a' \rfloor$ 
        and True: match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a') pc (compxE2 e2 0 0) = None by(auto simp del: not-None-eq)
        from Some <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp> obtain D
          where ha': typeof-addr h a' =  $\lfloor$  Class-type D  $\rfloor$  and subcls: P  $\vdash D \preceq^* \text{Throwable}$  by(auto simp add: compP2-def)
        from ha' subcls bisim2 True bsok have  $\tau$ red1r P t h (e', xs) (Throw a', loc)
          using len' unfolding Some compP2-def by(auto dest!: bisim1-xcp- $\tau$ Red simp add: bsok-def)
        moreover from pc have  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk (sync_V (e1) e2) (Suc (Suc (pc +

```

```

length (compE2 e1)))))) [a']
  by(auto intro: τmove2xcp)
  moreover
    have P, syncV (e1) e2, h ⊢ (insyncV (a) Throw a', loc) ↔ ([Addr a'], loc, 6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)
      by(rule bisim1Sync7)
    ultimately show ?thesis using exec True pc Some ha' subcls
    apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: ac-simps eval-nat-numeral match-ex-table-append
matches-ex-entry-def compP2-def exec-move-def)

  apply(simp-all only: compxE2-size-convs, auto dest: match-ex-table-shift-pcD match-ex-table-pc-length-compE2)
    apply(fastforce elim!: InSync-τred1r-xt)
    done
  next
    case True
    with exec'' pc have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e2 @ ?post) (compxE2 e2 0 0) t
      h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')
    by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros simp add: match-ex-table-append exec-move-def)
    hence exec-move-d P t e2 h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')
      using pc unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-meth-take)
    from IH[OF this len' - <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST> <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] bsok obtain e'' xs''
      where bisim': P,e2,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')
        and red': ?red e' xs e'' xs'' e2 stk pc (pc' - length ?pre) xcp xcp' by auto
      from bisim'
      have P, syncV (e1) e2, h' ⊢ (insyncV (a) e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + (pc' - length ?pre))))), xcp')
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync4)
      moreover from pc' have Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + (pc' - Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))))))) = pc'
        by simp-all
      ultimately show ?thesis using red' τ
        by(fastforce intro: Synchronized1Red2 simp add: eval-nat-numeral no-call2-def elim!: InSync-τred1r-xt InSync-τred1t-xt split: if-split-asm)
    qed
  next
    case False
    with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e2) by simp
    with bisim2 obtain v where [simp]: stk = [v] xcp = None by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
      have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (syncV (e1) e2) (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)))))) None by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
      moreover from bisim2 pc len bsok have red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)
        by(auto intro!: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
      hence τred1r P t h (insyncV (a) e', xs) (insyncV (a) (Val v), loc) by(rule InSync-τred1r-xt)
      moreover
        have P, syncV (e1) e2, h ⊢ (insyncV (a) (Val v), loc) ↔ ([loc ! V, v], loc, 4 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)
          by(rule bisim1Sync5)
        ultimately show ?thesis using exec
          by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: eval-nat-numeral exec-move-def) blast
    qed
  next
    case (bisim1Sync5 e1 n e2 V a v xs)
    note bisim1 = <P,e1,h ⊢ (e1, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>

```

```

note bisim2 = <P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>
note exec = <?exec (syncV (e1) e2) [xs ! V, v] xs (4 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) None
  stk' loc' pc' xcp'
from <n + max-vars (insyncV (a) Val v) ≤ length xs> <bsok (syncV (e1) e2) n> have V: V < length xs by simp
have τ: ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [xs ! V, v] (syncV (e1) e2) (4 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) None
  by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
have τ': ¬ τmove1 P h (insyncV (a) Val v) by auto
from exec have (Ǝ a'. xs ! V = Addr a') ∨ xs ! V = Null (is ?c1 ∨ ?c2)
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: split-beta is-Ref-def exec-move-def split: if-split-asm)
thus ?case
proof
  assume ?c1
  then obtain a' where xsV [simp]: xs ! V = Addr a' ..
  have P, syncV (e1) e2,h ⊢ (Val v, xs) ↔ ([v], xs, 5 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)
  P, syncV (e1) e2,h ⊢ (THROW IllegalMonitorState,xs) ↔ ([Addr a', v], xs, 4 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), [addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState])
  by(rule bisim1Sync6, rule bisim1Sync12)
  moreover from xsV V have True, P, t ⊢ 1 <insyncV (a) Val v, (h, xs)> -{Unlock→a', SyncUnlock a'}→ <Val v, (h, xs)>
    by(rule Unlock1Synchronized)
  moreover from xsV V have True, P, t ⊢ 1 <insyncV (a) Val v, (h, xs)> -{UnlockFail→a'}→ <THROW IllegalMonitorState, (h, xs)>
    by(rule Unlock1SynchronizedFail[OF TrueI])
  ultimately show ?case using τ τ' exec
    by (fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: is-Ref-def ta-bisim-def exec-move-def ac-simps
      ta-upd-simps
        simp del: conj.left-commute)
  next
    assume xsV: xs ! V = Null
    have P, syncV (e1) e2,h ⊢ (THROW NullPointer,xs) ↔ ([Null, v], xs, 4 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer])
    by(rule bisim1Sync12)
    thus ?case using τ τ' exec xsV V
    by (fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: Unlock1SynchronizedNull simp add: is-Ref-def ta-bisim-def
      ac-simps exec-move-def
        simp del: conj.left-commute)
  qed
next
case (bisim1Sync6 e1 n e2 V v x)
note bisim1 = <P,e1,h ⊢ (e1, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>
note bisim2 = <P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)>
note exec = <?exec (syncV (e1) e2) [v] x (5 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) None
  stk' loc' pc' xcp'
have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (syncV (e1) e2) (5 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) None
  by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover
have P, syncV (e1) e2,h ⊢ (Val v, x) ↔ ([v], x, length (compE2 (syncV (e1) e2)), None)
  by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
moreover have nat (9 + (int (length (compE2 e1)) + int (length (compE2 e2)))) = 9 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) by arith
ultimately show ?case using exec

```

```

by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: eval-nat-numeral exec-move-def)
next
  case (bisim1Sync7 e1 n e2 V a a' xs)
    note <?exec (syncV (e1) e2) [Addr a'] xs (6 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) None
    stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    moreover
    have P, syncV (e1) e2,h' ⊢ (insyncV (a) Throw a', xs) ↔
      ([xs ! V, Addr a'], xs, 7 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2), None)
    by(rule bisim1Sync8)
    moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a'] (syncV (e1) e2) (6 + length (compE2 e1) +
    length (compE2 e2)) None
    by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  ultimately show ?case by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: eval-nat-numeral exec-move-def)
next
  case (bisim1Sync8 e1 n e2 V a a' xs)
    from <n + max-vars (insyncV (a) Throw a') ≤ length xs> <bsok (syncV (e1) e2) n> have V: V <
    length xs by simp
    note <?exec (syncV (e1) e2) [xs ! V, Addr a'] xs (7 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) None
    stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    moreover have ¬ τmove2 (compP2 P) h [xs ! V, Addr a'] (syncV (e1) e2) (7 + length (compE2
    e1) + length (compE2 e2)) None
    by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    moreover
    have P, syncV (e1) e2,h ⊢ (Throw a', xs) ↔ ([Addr a'], xs, 8 + length (compE2 e1) + length
    (compE2 e2), None)
    P, syncV (e1) e2,h ⊢ (THROW IllegalMonitorState,xs) ↔ ([xs ! V, Addr a'], xs, 7 + length (compE2
    e1) + length (compE2 e2), |addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState|)
    P, syncV (e1) e2,h ⊢ (THROW NullPointer,xs) ↔ ([Null, Addr a'], xs, 7 + length (compE2 e1) +
    length (compE2 e2), |addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer|)
    by(rule bisim1Sync9 bisim1Sync14)+
  moreover {
    fix A
    assume xs ! V = Addr A
    hence True,P,t ⊢ 1 <insyncV (a) Throw a',(h, xs)> → {Unlock→A, SyncUnlock A} → <Throw a',
    (h, xs)>
    True,P,t ⊢ 1 <insyncV (a) Throw a',(h, xs)> → {UnlockFail→A} → <THROW IllegalMonitorState,
    (h, xs)>
    using V by(rule Synchronized1Throw2 Synchronized1Throw2Fail[OF TrueI])+ }
  moreover {
    assume xs ! V = Null
    hence True,P,t ⊢ 1 <insyncV (a) Throw a',(h, xs)> → <THROW NullPointer, (h, xs)>
    using V by(rule Synchronized1Throw2Null) }
  moreover have ¬ τmove1 P h (insyncV (a) Throw a') by fastforce
  ultimately show ?case
    by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: eval-nat-numeral is-Ref-def ta-bisim-def ta-upd-simps
    exec-move-def split: if-split-asm)
next
  case (bisim1Sync9 e1 n e2 V a xs)
    note <?exec (syncV (e1) e2) [Addr a] xs (8 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) None
    stk' loc' pc' xcp'
    moreover
    have P, syncV (e1) e2,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ ([Addr a], xs, 8 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2
    e2), |a| )
    by(rule bisim1Sync10)

```

```

moreover have  $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h [Addr a] (sync_V (e1) e2) (8 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) None$ 
by(rule  $\tau move2Sync8$ )
ultimately show ?case
by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: eval-nat-numeral exec-move-def split: if-split-asm)
next
case (bisim1Sync10 e1 n e2 V a xs)
from <?exec (sync_V (e1) e2) [Addr a] xs (8 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
have False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: matches-ex-entry-def match-ex-table-append-not-pcs exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1Sync11 e1 n e2 V xs)
from <?exec (sync_V (e1) e2) [Null] xs (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1)))) [addr-of-sys-xcpt Null-Pointer] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
have False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: matches-ex-entry-def match-ex-table-append-not-pcs exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1Sync12 e1 n e2 V a xs v v')
from <?exec (sync_V (e1) e2) [v, v'] xs (4 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
have False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: matches-ex-entry-def match-ex-table-append-not-pcs exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1Sync14 e1 n e2 V a xs v a')
from <?exec (sync_V (e1) e2) [v, Addr a] xs (7 + length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2)) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
have False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: matches-ex-entry-def match-ex-table-append-not-pcs exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case bisim1InSync thus ?case by simp
next
case (bisim1SyncThrow e1 n a xs stk loc pc e2 V)
note exec = <?exec (sync_V (e1) e2) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim1 = < $P, e1, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, [a])$ >
from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e1) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e1 0 0) = None
unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False
apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append-not-pcs exec-move-def)
apply(auto dest!: match-ex-table-shift-pcD simp add: matches-ex-entry-def)
done
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1Seq1 e1 n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e2)
note IH = bisim1Seq1.IH(2)
note exec = <?exec (e1;; e2) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim = < $P, e1, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$ >
note len = < $n + \max\text{-vars}(e'; e2) \leq \text{length } xs$ >
note bsok = <bsok (e1;; e2) n>

```

```

from bisim have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e1) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e1))
  case True
  let ?post = Pop # compE2 e2
  from exec have exec': ?exec e1 stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' using True by(simp add: exec-move-Seq1)
    from True have τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (e1;;e2) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk e1 pc xcp by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
      with IH[OF exec' - - ‹P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST› ‹conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp› len bsok show ?thesis
        by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq1 Seq1Red elim!: Seq-τred1r-xt Seq-τred1t-xt simp add: no-call2-def)
    next
    case False
    with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e1) by simp
    with bisim obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None
      by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
    with bisim pc len bsok have red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
    hence τred1r P t h (e'; e2, xs) (Val v;;e2, loc) by(rule Seq-τred1r-xt)
    also have τmove1 P h (Val v;;e2) by(rule τmove1SeqRed)
    hence τred1r P t h (Val v;;e2, loc) (e2, loc) by(auto intro: Red1Seq r-into-rtranclp)
    also have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (e1;;e2) pc None by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
    moreover from ‹P,e2,h ⊢ (e2, loc) ↔ ([] , loc, 0, None)›
    have P,e1;;e2,h ⊢ (e2, loc) ↔ ([] , loc, Suc (length (compE2 e1) + 0), None)
      by(rule bisim1Seq2)
    ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xcp
      by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
qed
next
case (bisim1SeqThrow1 e1 n a xs stk loc pc e2)
note exec = ‹?exec (e1;;e2) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp›
note bisim1 = ‹P,e1,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])›
from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 e1) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e1 0 0) = None
  unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1Seq2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e1)
note IH = bisim1Seq2.IH(2)
note bisim1 = ‹Axs. P,e1,h ⊢ (e1, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)›
note bisim2 = ‹P,e2,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)›
note len = ‹n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs›
note exec = ‹?exec (e1;; e2) stk loc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc)) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'›
note bsok = ‹bsok (e1;; e2) n›
let ?pre = compE2 e1 @ [Pop]
from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
  by(simp add: shift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
hence ?exec e2 stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length ?pre) xcp'
  unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt, auto)
from IH[OF this len - ‹P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST› ‹conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp›] bsok obtain e'' xs''
```

where $bisim': P, e2, h' \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')$
and $red: ?red\ e' xs\ e''\ xs''\ e2\ stk\ pc\ (pc' - length ?pre)\ xcp\ xcp'$ **by** auto
from $bisim'$
have $P, e1;; e2, h' \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', Suc (length (compE2 e1) + (pc' - length ?pre)), xcp')$
by(rule $bisim1\text{-}bisims1.bisim1Seq2$)
moreover have $\tau: \tau move2 (compP2 P) h\ stk\ (e1;; e2) (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc))\ xcp = \tau move2 (compP2 P) h\ stk\ e2\ pc\ xcp$
by(simp add: $\tau move2\text{-}iff$)
moreover from $exec'$ **have** $pc' \geq length ?pre$
by(rule $exec\text{-meth}\text{-}drop\text{-}xt\text{-}pc$) auto
ultimately show $?case$ **using** red **by**(fastforce split: if-split-asm simp add: no-call2-def)
next
case $(bisim1Cond1\ e\ n\ e' xs\ stk\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ e1\ e2)$
note $IH = bisim1Cond1.IH(2)$
note $bisim = \langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \rangle$
note $bisim1 = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e1, h \vdash (e1, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$
note $bisim2 = \langle \bigwedge xs. P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, None) \rangle$
from $\langle n + max\text{-}vars (if (e') e1 else e2) \leq length xs \rangle$
have $len: n + max\text{-}vars e' \leq length xs$ **by** simp
note $exec = \langle ?exec (if (e) e1 else e2) stk\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp' \rangle$
note $bsok = \langle bsok (if (e) e1 else e2) n \rangle$
from $bisim$ **have** $pc: pc \leq length (compE2 e)$ **by**(rule $bisim1\text{-}pc\text{-}length\text{-}compE2$)
show $?case$
proof(cases $pc < length (compE2 e)$)
case True
let $?post = IfFalse (2 + int (length (compE2 e1))) \# compE2 e1 @ Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2))) \# compE2 e2$
from $exec$ **have** $exec': ?exec e\ stk\ loc\ pc\ xcp\ stk'\ loc'\ pc'\ xcp'$ **using** True
by(simp add: exec-move-Cond1)
from True **have** $\tau move2 (compP2 P) h\ stk\ (if (e) e1 else e2)\ pc\ xcp = \tau move2 (compP2 P) h\ stk\ e\ pc\ xcp$
by(simp add: $\tau move2\text{-}iff$)
with $IH[OF exec' - - \langle P, h \vdash stk [:\leq] ST \rangle \langle conf\text{-}xcp' (compP2 P) h\ xcp \rangle] len\ bsok$ **show** $?thesis$
by(fastforce intro: $bisim1\text{-}bisims1.bisim1Cond1 Cond1Red$ elim!: Cond- $\tau red1r\text{-}xt$ Cond- $\tau red1t\text{-}xt$
simp add: no-call2-def)
next
case False
with pc **have** [simp]: $pc = length (compE2 e)$ **by** simp
with $bisim$ **obtain** v **where** $stk: stk = [v]$ **and** $xcp: xcp = None$
by(auto dest: $bisim1\text{-}pc\text{-}length\text{-}compE2D$)
with $bisim\ pc\ len\ bsok$ **have** $red: \tau red1r\ P\ t\ h\ (e', xs) (Val\ v, loc)$
by(auto intro: $bisim1\text{-}Val\text{-}\tau red1r$ simp add: bsok-def)
hence $\tau red1r\ P\ t\ h\ (if (e') e1 else e2, xs) (if (Val\ v) e1 else e2, loc)$ **by**(rule Cond- $\tau red1r\text{-}xt$)
moreover have $\tau move1\ P\ h\ (if (Val\ v) e1 else e2)$ **by**(rule $\tau move1CondRed$)
moreover have $\tau: \tau move2 (compP2 P) h\ [v] (if (e) e1 else e2)\ pc\ None$ **by**(simp add: $\tau move2\text{-}iff$)
moreover from $bisim1[of\ loc]$
have $P, if (e) e1 else e2, h \vdash (e1, loc) \leftrightarrow ([], loc, Suc (length (compE2 e) + 0), None)$
by(rule $bisim1CondThen$)
moreover from $bisim2[of\ loc]$
have $P, if (e) e1 else e2, h \vdash (e2, loc) \leftrightarrow ([], loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1) + 0)), None)$
by(rule $bisim1CondElse$)
moreover have $nat (int (length (compE2 e)) + (2 + int (length (compE2 e1)))) = Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1) + 0))$ **by** simp

```

moreover from exec xp stk have typeofh v = [Boolean] by(auto simp add: exec-move-def
exec-meth-instr)
ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xp
by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: Red1CondT Red1CondF elim!: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl
simp add: eval-nat-numeral exec-move-def)
qed
next
case (bisim1CondThen e1 n e' xs stk loc pc xp e e2)
note IH = bisim1CondThen.IH(2)
note bisim1 = ⟨P, e1, h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xp)⟩
note bisim = ⟨⟨xs. P, e, h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ ([]), xs, 0, None⟩⟩
note bisim2 = ⟨⟨xs. P, e2, h ⊢ (e2, xs) ↔ ([]), xs, 0, None⟩⟩
note len = ⟨n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs⟩
note exec = ⟨?exec (if (e) e1 else e2) stk loc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc)) xp stk' loc' pc' xp'⟩
note bsok = ⟨bsok (if (e) e1 else e2) n⟩
from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e1) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e1))
  case True
  let ?pre = compE2 e @ [IfFalse (2 + int (length (compE2 e1)))]
  let ?post = Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2))) # compE2 e2
  from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e1 @ ?post)
    (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e1)) (compxE2
e2 (Suc 0) 0))) t
    h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xp')
    by(simp add: shift-compxE2 ac-simps exec-move-def)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e1 @ ?post) (compxE2 e1 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2
e1)) (compxE2 e2 (Suc 0) 0)) t
    h (stk, loc, pc, xp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xp')
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
  hence exec-move-d P t e1 h (stk, loc, pc, xp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xp')
    using True unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)
  from IH[OF this len - ⟨P, h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST⟩ ⟨conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xp⟩] bsok obtain e'' xs''
    where bisim': P, e1, h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xp')
    and red: ?red e' xs e'' xs'' e1 stk pc (pc' - length ?pre) xp xp' by auto
  from bisim'
  have P, if (e) e1 else e2, h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', Suc (length (compE2 e) + (pc' - length
?pre)), xp')
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondThen)
  moreover from True have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (if (e) e1 else e2) (Suc (length (compE2
e) + pc)) xp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk e1 pc xp
    by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
  moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length ?pre
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using red by(fastforce split: if-split-asm simp add: no-call2-def)
next
case False
with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e1) by simp
with bisim1 obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xp: xp = None
  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with bisim1 pc len bsok have red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)
  by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
moreover have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (if (e) e1 else e2) (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length
(compE2 e1))) None

```

```

by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover
have P,if (e) e1 else e2,h ⊢ (Val v, loc) ↔ ([v], loc, length (compE2 (if (e) e1 else e2)), None)
  by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
moreover have nat (2 + (int (length (compE2 e)) + (int (length (compE2 e1)) + int (length (compE2 e2))))) = length (compE2 (if (e) e1 else e2)) by simp
ultimately show ?thesis using exec xcp stk by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
qed
next
case (bisim1CondElse e2 n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e e1)
note IH = bisim1CondElse.IH(2)
note bisim2 = ⟨P,e2,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)⟩
note bisim = ⟨⟨xs. P,e,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)⟩
note bisim1 = ⟨⟨xs. P,e1,h ⊢ (e1, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)⟩
note len = ⟨n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs⟩
note exec = ⟨?exec (if (e) e1 else e2) stk loc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1) + pc))) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'⟩
note bsok = ⟨bsok (if (e) e1 else e2) n⟩
let ?pre = compE2 e @ IfFalse (2 + int (length (compE2 e1))) # compE2 e1 @ [Goto (1 + int (length (compE2 e2)))]
from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2)
((compxE2 e 0 0 @ compxE2 e1 (Suc (length (compE2 e))) 0) @ shift (length ?pre) (compxE2 e2 0 0)) t
h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
by(simp add: shift-compxE2 ac-simps exec-move-def)
hence ?exec e2 stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length ?pre) xcp'
unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
from IH[OF this len - ⟨P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST⟩ ⟨conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp⟩] bsok obtain e'' xs'' 
  where bisim': P,e2,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')
  and red: ?red e' xs e'' xs'' e2 stk pc (pc' - length ?pre) xcp xcp' by auto
from bisim'
have P,if (e) e1 else e2,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1) + (pc' - length ?pre))), xcp')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondElse)
moreover have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (if (e) e1 else e2) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + length (compE2 e1) + pc))) xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk e2 pc xcp
  by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length ?pre
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
moreover hence Suc (Suc (pc' - Suc (Suc 0))) = pc' by simp
ultimately show ?case using red by(fastforce simp add: eval-nat-numeral no-call2-def split: if-split-asm)
next
case (bisim1CondThrow e n a xs stk loc pc e1 e2)
note exec = ⟨?exec (if (e) e1 else e2) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'⟩
note bisim = ⟨P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])⟩
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False
  by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1While1 c n e xs)
note IH = bisim1While1.IH(2)

```

```

note bisim =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, c, h \vdash (c, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
note bisim1 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
from  $\langle n + \text{max-vars} (\text{while } (c) e) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
have len:  $n + \text{max-vars } c \leq \text{length } xs$  by simp
note exec =  $\langle ?\text{exec } (\text{while } (c) e) [] xs 0 \text{None} \text{stk}' \text{loc}' \text{pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } (\text{while } (c) e) n \rangle$ 
let ?post = IfFalse (int (length (compE2 e)) + 3) # compE2 e @ [Pop, Goto (-2 + (- int (length (compE2 e)) - int (length (compE2 c)))), Push Unit]
from exec have ?exec c [] xs 0 None stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(simp add: exec-move-While1)
from IH[OF this len] bsok obtain e'' xs"
  where bisim':  $P, c, h' \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
  and red: ?red c xs e'' xs'' c [] 0 pc' None xcp' by fastforce
from bisim'
have P,while (c) e,h'  $\vdash (\text{if } (e'') (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{else unit}, xs'') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
  by(rule bisim1While3)
moreover have True, P, t  $\vdash 1 \langle \text{while } (c) e, (h, xs) \rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{if } (c) (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{else unit}, (h, xs) \rangle$ 
  by(rule Red1While)
hence  $\tau\text{red1r } P \ t \ h \ (\text{while } (c) e, xs) \ (\text{if } (c) (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{else unit}, xs)$ 
  by(auto intro: r-into-rtranclp  $\tau\text{move1WhileRed}$ )
moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [] (\text{while } (c) e) 0 \text{None} = \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [] c 0 \text{None}$ 
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
ultimately show ?case using red
  by(fastforce elim!: rtranclp-trans rtranclp-tranclp-tranclp intro: Cond1Red elim!: Cond- $\tau\text{red1r-xt}$  Cond- $\tau\text{red1t-xt}$  simp add: no-call2-def)
next
case (bisim1While3 c n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e)
note IH = bisim1While3.IH(2)
note bisim =  $\langle P, c, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$ 
note bisim1 =  $\langle \bigwedge xs. P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([] , xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
from  $\langle n + \text{max-vars} (\text{if } (e') (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{else unit}) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
have len:  $n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs$  by simp
note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } (\text{while } (c) e) n \rangle$ 
note exec =  $\langle ?\text{exec } (\text{while } (c) e) \text{stk } \text{loc } \text{pc } \text{xcp } \text{stk}' \text{loc}' \text{pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
from bisim have pc:  $\text{pc} \leq \text{length } (\text{compE2 } c)$  by(rule bisim1- $\text{pc-length-compE2}$ )
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 c))
  case True
    let ?post = IfFalse (int (length (compE2 e)) + 3) # compE2 e @ [Pop, Goto (-2 + (- int (length (compE2 e)) - int (length (compE2 c)))), Push Unit]
    from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 c @ ?post) (compxE2 c 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 c)) (compxE2 e (Suc 0) 0)) t h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: shift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
    hence ?exec c stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
      using True unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)
    from IH[OF this len -  $\langle P, h \vdash \text{stk} [: \leq] ST \rangle \langle \text{conf-xcp}' (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{xcp} \rangle$ ] bsok obtain e'' xs"
      where bisim':  $P, c, h' \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
      and red: ?red e' xs e'' xs'' c stk pc pc' xcp xcp' by auto
    from bisim'
    have P,while (c) e,h'  $\vdash (\text{if } (e'') (e; \text{while } (c) e) \text{else unit}, xs'') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While3)
    moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{stk } (\text{while } (c) e) \text{pc } \text{xcp} = \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{stk } c \text{pc }$ 
    xcp using True
      by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
    ultimately show ?thesis using red
  
```

```

by(fastforce elim!: rtranclp-trans intro: Cond1Red elim!: Cond-τred1r-xt Cond-τred1t-xt simp add:
no-call2-def)
next
  case False
    with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 c) by simp
    with bisim obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None
      by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
    with bisim pc len bsok have red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)
      by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
    hence τred1r P t h (if (e') (e; while (c) e) else unit, xs) (if (Val v) (e; while (c) e) else unit, loc)
      by(rule Cond-τred1r-xt)
    moreover have τ: τmove2 (compP2 P) h [v] (while (c) e) (length (compE2 c)) None by(simp
add: τmove2-iff)
    moreover have τmove1 P h (if (Val v) (e;;while (c) e) else unit) by(rule τmove1CondRed)
    moreover from bisim1[of loc]
    have P,while (c) e,h ⊢ (e;;while(c) e, loc) ↔ ([] loc, Suc (length (compE2 c) + 0), None)
      by(rule bisim1While4)
    moreover
      have P,while (c) e,h ⊢ (unit, loc) ↔ ([] loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2
e)))), None)
        by(rule bisim1While7)
    moreover from exec stk xcp have typeofh v = [Boolean]
      by(auto simp add: exec-meth-instr exec-move-def)
    moreover have nat (int (length (compE2 c)) + (3 + int (length (compE2 e)))) = Suc (Suc (Suc
(length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e)))) by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xcp
      by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases rtranclp-trans intro: Red1CondT Red1CondF simp add:
eval-nat-numeral exec-move-def)
  qed
next
  case (bisim1While4 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp c)
  note IH = bisim1While4.IH(2)
  note bisim = ⟨⟨xs. P,c,h ⊢ (c, xs) ↔ ([] xs, 0, None)⟩
  note bisim1 = ⟨P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)⟩
  from ⟨n + max-vars (e'; while (c) e) ≤ length xs⟩
  have len: n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs by simp
  note exec = ⟨?exec (while (c) e) stk loc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc)) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'⟩
  note bsok = ⟨bsok (while (c) e) n⟩
  from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
    case True
      let ?pre = compE2 c @ [IfFalse (int (length (compE2 e)) + 3)]
      let ?post = [Pop, Goto (-2 + (- int (length (compE2 e)) - int (length (compE2 c)))), Push Unit]
      from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) ((?pre @ compE2 e) @ ?post) (compxE2 c 0 0 @ shift
(length ?pre) (compxE2 e 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        by(simp add: shift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
      hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e) (compxE2 c 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre)
(compxE2 e 0 0)) t h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
        by(rule exec-meth-take)(simp add: True)
      hence ?exec e stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length ?pre) xcp'
        unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
      from IH[OF this len - ⟨P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST⟩ ⟨conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp⟩] bsok obtain e'' xs''
        where bisim': P,e,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')

```

```

and red: ?red e' xs e'' xs'' e stk pc (pc' - length ?pre) xcp xcp' by auto
from red have ?red (e';while (c) e) xs (e'';while (c) e) xs'' e stk pc (pc' - length ?pre) xcp xcp'
  by(fastforce intro: Seq1Red elim!: Seq- $\tau$ red1r-xt Seq- $\tau$ red1t-xt split: if-split-asm)
moreover from bisim'
have P,while (c) e,h'  $\vdash$  (e'';while(c) e, xs'')  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk', loc', Suc (length (compE2 c) + (pc' - length
?pre)), xcp')
  by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While4)
moreover have  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk (while (c) e) (Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc)) xcp =
 $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h stk e pc xcp
  using True by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
moreover from exec' have pc'  $\geq$  length ?pre
  by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
moreover have no-call2 e pc  $\Longrightarrow$  no-call2 (while (c) e) (Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc))
  by(simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?thesis
  apply(auto split: if-split-asm)
  apply(fastforce+)[6]
  apply(rule exI conjI|assumption|rule rtranclp.rtrancl-refl|simp)+
  done
next
case False
with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
with bisim1 obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None
  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
with bisim1 pc len bsok have red:  $\tau$ red1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)
  by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau$ red1r simp add: bsok-def)
hence  $\tau$ red1r P t h (e'; while (c) e, xs) (Val v;; while (c) e, loc) by(rule Seq- $\tau$ red1r-xt)
moreover have  $\tau$ :  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [v] (while (c) e) (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length
(compE2 e))) None
  by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
moreover have  $\tau$ move1 P h (Val v;;while (c) e) by(rule  $\tau$ move1SeqRed)
moreover
have P,while (c) e,h  $\vdash$  (while(c) e, loc)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([] loc, Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2
e))), None)
  by(rule bisim1While6)
ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xcp
  by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases rtranclp-trans intro: Red1Seq simp add: eval-nat-numeral
exec-move-def)
qed
next
case (bisim1While6 c n e xs)
note exec =  $\langle$ ?exec (while (c) e) [] xs (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e)))) None
stk' loc' pc' xcp' $\rangle$ 
moreover have  $\tau$ move2 (compP2 P) h [] (while (c) e) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length
(compE2 e)))) None
  by(simp add:  $\tau$ move2-iff)
moreover
have P,while (c) e,h'  $\vdash$  (if (c) (e;; while (c) e) else unit, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([] xs, 0, None)
  by(rule bisim1While3[OF bisim1-refl])
moreover have  $\tau$ red1t P t h (while (c) e, xs) (if (c) (e;; while (c) e) else unit, xs)
  by(rule tranclp.r-into-trancl)(auto intro: Red1While)
ultimately show ?case
  by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
next

```

```

case (bisim1While7 c n e xs)
note <?exec (while (c) e) [] xs (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e))))) None
st' loc' pc' xcp'
moreover have  $\tau\text{move2}$  (compP2 P) h [] (while (c) e) (Suc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + length (compE2 e))))) None
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
moreover have P,while (c) e,h'  $\vdash$  (unit, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  ([Unit], xs, length (compE2 (while (c) e)), None)
by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
ultimately show ?case by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
next
case (bisim1WhileThrow1 c n a xs stk loc pc e)
note exec = <?exec (while (c) e) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim1 = <P,c,h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, [a])
from bisim1 have pc: pc < length (compE2 c) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 c 0 0) = None
unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False
by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None exec-move-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1WhileThrow2 e n a xs stk loc pc c)
note exec = <?exec (while (c) e) stk loc (Suc (length (compE2 c) + pc)) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim = <P,e,h  $\vdash$  (Throw a, xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, [a])
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with exec pc have False
apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-not-pcs-None exec-move-def)
apply(auto dest!: match-ex-table-shift-pcD simp only: compxE2-size-convs)
apply simp
done
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1Throw1 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp)
note IH = bisim1Throw1.IH(2)
note exec = <?exec (throw e) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
note bisim = <P,e,h  $\vdash$  (e', xs)  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
note len = <n + max-vars (throw e')  $\leq$  length xs
note bsok = <bsok (throw e) n>
from bisim have pc: pc  $\leq$  length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
show ?case
proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
case True
with exec have exec': ?exec e stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp' by(simp add: exec-move-Throw)
from True have  $\tau\text{move2}$  (compP2 P) h stk (throw e) pc xcp =  $\tau\text{move2}$  (compP2 P) h stk e pc xcp
by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
with IH[OF exec' - - <P,h  $\vdash$  stk [:≤] ST> <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] len bsok show ?thesis
by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Throw1 Throw1Red elim!: Throw- $\tau$ red1r-xt Throw- $\tau$ red1t-xt
simp add: no-call2-def)
next
case False
with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
with bisim obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None
by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)

```

```

with bisim pc len bsok have red:  $\tau_{red1r} P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)$ 
  by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau_{red1r}$  simp add: bsok-def)
  hence  $\tau_{red1r} P t h (\text{throw } e', xs) (\text{throw } (\text{Val } v), \text{loc})$  by(rule Throw- $\tau_{red1r}$ -xt)
  moreover have  $\tau: \tau_{move2} (\text{compP2 } P) h [v] (\text{throw } e) \text{ pc None}$  by(simp add:  $\tau_{move2}$ -iff)
  moreover
  have  $\bigwedge a. P, \text{throw } e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), [a])$ 
    by(rule bisim1Throw2)
  moreover
  have  $P, \text{throw } e, h \vdash (\text{THROW NullPointer}, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Null}], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), [\text{addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}])$ 
    by(rule bisim1ThrowNull)
  moreover from exec stk xcp  $\langle P, h \vdash \text{stk} [: \leq] ST \rangle$  obtain  $T'$  where  $T': \text{typeof}_h v = [T'] P \vdash T' \leq \text{Class Throwable}$ 
    by(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-instr list-all2-Cons1 conf-def compP2-def)
  moreover with  $T'$  have  $v \neq \text{Null} \implies \exists C. T' = \text{Class } C$  by(cases  $T'$ )(auto dest: Array-widen)
  moreover have  $\tau_{red1r} P t h (\text{throw null}, \text{loc}) (\text{THROW NullPointer}, \text{loc})$ 
    by(auto intro: r-into-rtranclp Red1ThrowNull  $\tau_{move1}$  ThrowNull)
  ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xcp  $T'$  unfolding exec-move-def
    by(cases v)(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: rtranclp-trans)+
qed
next
case (bisim1Throw2 e n a xs)
  note exec = <?exec (throw e) [Addr a] xs (length (compE2 e)) [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  hence False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table- $\text{pc-length-compE2}$  simp add: exec-move-def)
  thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1ThrowNull e n xs)
  note exec = <?exec (throw e) [Null] xs (length (compE2 e)) [addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  hence False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases dest: match-ex-table- $\text{pc-length-compE2}$  simp add: exec-move-def)
  thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1ThrowThrow e n a xs stk loc pc)
  note exec = <?exec (throw e) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  note bisim1 = < $P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a])$ >
  from bisim1 have pc:  $\text{pc} < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)$  by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from bisim1 have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
    unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
  with exec pc have False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
  thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1Try e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e2 C' V)
  note IH = bisim1Try.IH(2)
  note bisim = < $P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp})$ >
  note bisim1 = < $\bigwedge xs. P, e2, h \vdash (e2, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None})$ >
  note exec = <?exec (try e catch(C' V) e2) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  note bsok = <bsok (try e catch(C' V) e2) n>
  with < $n + \text{max-vars } (\text{try } e' \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) \leq \text{length } xs$ >
  have len:  $n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs$  and V:  $V < \text{length } xs$  by simp-all
  from bisim have pc:  $\text{pc} \leq \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)$  by(rule bisim1- $\text{pc-length-compE2}$ )
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
    case True
    note pc = True

```

```

show ?thesis
  proof(cases  $xcp = \text{None} \vee (\exists a'. \text{xcp} = \lfloor a' \rfloor \wedge \text{match-ex-table } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{cname-of } h a') \text{ pc}$   

 $(\text{compxE2 } e 0 0) \neq \text{None})$ )
    case False
      then obtain  $a'$  where  $\text{Some: } xcp = \lfloor a' \rfloor$ 
        and True:  $\text{match-ex-table } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{cname-of } h a') \text{ pc } (\text{compxE2 } e 0 0) = \text{None}$  by(auto
        simp del: not-None-eq)
        from  $\text{Some bisim } \langle \text{conf-xcp}' (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ xcp} \rangle$  have  $\exists C. \text{typeof}_h (\text{Addr } a') = \lfloor \text{Class } C \rfloor \wedge P$ 
         $\vdash C \preceq^* \text{Throwable}$ 
        by(auto simp add: compP2-def)
        then obtain  $C''$  where  $ha': \text{typeof-addr } h a' = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C'' \rfloor$ 
          and subclsThrow:  $P \vdash C'' \preceq^* \text{Throwable}$  by(auto)
          with exec True Some pc have subcls:  $P \vdash C'' \preceq^* C'$ 
          apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: match-ex-table-append compP2-def matches-ex-entry-def
          exec-move-def cname-of-def split: if-split-asm)
          apply(simp only: compxE2-size-convs, simp)
          done
        moreover from  $ha' \text{ subclsThrow bsok have red: } \tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs)$  ( $\text{Throw } a', \text{ loc}$ )
          and bisim':  $P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a', \text{ loc}) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \lfloor a' \rfloor)$  using bisim True len
          unfolding  $\text{Some compP2-def}$  by(auto dest!: bisim1-xcp- $\tau\text{Red}$  simp add: bsok-def)
        from red have  $\text{lenloc: length loc} = \text{length xs}$  by(rule  $\tau\text{red1r-preserves-len}$ )
        from red have  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (\text{try } e' \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, xs)$  ( $\text{try } (\text{Throw } a') \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, \text{ loc}$ )
          by(rule Try- $\tau\text{red1r-xt}$ )
        hence  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (\text{try } e' \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, xs)$  ( $\{V: \text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e2\}, \text{ loc}[V := \text{Addr } a']$ )
          using  $ha' \text{ subcls } V$  unfolding  $\text{lenloc}[\text{symmetric}]$ 
          by(auto intro: rtrancp.rtrancp-into-rtrancp Red1TryCatch  $\tau\text{move1TryThrow}$ )
        moreover from  $pc$  have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ stk } (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) \text{ pc } \lfloor a' \rfloor$  by(simp
        add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
        moreover from  $bisim' ha' \text{ subcls}$ 
        have  $P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, h \vdash (\{V: \text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e2\}, \text{ loc}[V := \text{Addr } a']) \leftrightarrow ([\text{Addr } a'],$ 
         $\text{loc}, \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compxE2 } e)), \text{ None})$ 
          by(rule bisim1TryCatch1)
        ultimately show ?thesis using exec True pc Some ha' subclsThrow
        apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: ac-simps eval-nat-numeral match-ex-table-append
        matches-ex-entry-def compP2-def exec-move-def cname-of-def)
        apply fastforce
        apply(simp-all only: compxE2-size-convs, auto dest: match-ex-table-shift-pcD)
        done
    next
      case True
      let ?post =  $\text{Goto } (\text{int } (\text{length } (\text{compxE2 } e2)) + 2)$  # Store V # compE2 e2
      from exec True have exec-meth-d ( $\text{compP2 } P$ ) ( $\text{compE2 } e @ ?post$ ) ( $\text{compxE2 } e 0 0 @ \text{shift}$   

 $(\text{length } (\text{compxE2 } e)) (\text{compxE2 } e2 (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)) 0)) t h (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \text{ ta } h' (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
        by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros simp add: match-ex-table-append shift-compxE2
        exec-move-def)
        hence ?exec e stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
          using pc unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-meth-take-xt)
        from IH[ $\text{OF this } \text{len} - \langle P, h \vdash \text{stk } [: \leq] ST \rangle \langle \text{conf-xcp}' (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ xcp} \rangle$ ] bsok obtain  $e'' xs''$ 
          where bisim':  $P, e, h' \vdash (e'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
          and red': ?red'  $e' xs'' e'' e \text{ stk pc pc' xcp' xcp'}$  by auto
        from bisim'
        have  $P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, h' \vdash (\text{try } e'' \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, xs'') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}', \text{loc}', \text{pc}', \text{xcp}')$ 
          by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Try)
        moreover from  $pc$  have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ stk } (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) \text{ pc xcp} = \tau\text{move2}$ 

```

```

 $(compP2 P) h \text{stk } e \text{ pc } \text{xcp}$ 
  by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
  ultimately show ?thesis using red' by(fastforce intro: Try1Red elim!: Try- $\tau$ red1r-xt Try- $\tau$ red1t-xt
  simp add: no-call2-def)
  qed
next
  case False
  with pc have [simp]:  $pc = \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)$  by simp
  with bisim obtain v where stk:  $\text{stk} = [v]$  and xcp:  $\text{xcp} = \text{None}$ 
    by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with bisim pc len bsok have red:  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (\text{Val } v, \text{loc})$ 
    by(auto intro: bisim1-Val- $\tau$ red1r simp add: bsok-def)
  hence  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (\text{try } e' \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, xs) (\text{try } (\text{Val } v) \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, \text{loc})$  by(rule
  Try- $\tau$ red1r-xt)
  hence  $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (\text{try } e' \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, xs) (\text{Val } v, \text{loc})$ 
    by(auto intro: rtrancp.rtranc1-into-rtranc1 Red1Try  $\tau$ move1TryRed)
  moreover have  $\tau: \tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [v] (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) \text{ pc } \text{None}$  by(simp add:
   $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
  moreover
  have  $P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, h \vdash (\text{Val } v, \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow ([v], \text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2)))$ ,
   $\text{None}$ 
    by(rule bisim1Val2) simp
  moreover have nat ( $\text{int } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)) + (\text{int } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2)) + 2)$ ) =  $\text{length } (\text{compE2 } (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2))$  by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using exec stk xcp
    by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
  qed
next
  case (bisim1TryCatch1 e n a xs stk loc pc C'' C' e2 V)
  note exec =  $\langle ?\text{exec } (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) [\text{Addr } a] \text{ loc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e))) \text{ None } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
  note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e2, \text{loc}[V := \text{Addr } a]) \leftrightarrow ([], \text{loc}[V := \text{Addr } a], 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  note bisim1 =  $\langle P, e, h \vdash (\text{Throw } a, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a]) \rangle$ 
  hence [simp]: xs = loc by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
  from bisim2
  have  $P, \text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2, h \vdash (\{V: \text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e2\}, \text{loc}[V := \text{Addr } a]) \leftrightarrow ([], \text{loc}[V := \text{Addr } a], \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + 0)), \text{None})$ 
    by(rule bisim1TryCatch2)
  moreover have  $\tau\text{move2 } (\text{compP2 } P) h [\text{Addr } a] (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)))$ 
   $\text{None}$  by(simp add:  $\tau\text{move2-iff}$ )
  ultimately show ?case using exec by(fastforce elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-move-def)
next
  case (bisim1TryCatch2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc xcp e C' V)
  note IH = bisim1TryCatch2.IH(2)
  note bisim2 =  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, \text{xcp}) \rangle$ 
  note bisim =  $\langle \bigwedge \text{xs}. P, e, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow ([], xs, 0, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
  note exec =  $\langle ?\text{exec } (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) \text{ stk loc } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc))) \text{ xcp } \text{stk}' \text{ loc}' \text{ pc}' \text{xcp}' \rangle$ 
  note bsok =  $\langle \text{bsok } (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C' V) e2) n \rangle$ 
  with  $\langle n + \text{max-vars } \{V: \text{Class } C'=\text{None}; e'\} \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
  have len:  $\text{Suc } n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs$  and  $V: V < \text{length } xs$  by simp-all
  let ?pre = compE2 e @ [Goto (int (length (compE2 e2)) + 2), Store V]
  from exec have exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2)
   $(\text{compxE2 } e \ 0 \ 0 @ \text{shift } (\text{length } ?\text{pre}) (\text{compxE2 } e2 \ 0 \ 0) @ [(0, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e), \lfloor C' \rfloor), \text{Suc } (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) + pc)])$ 

```

```

 $(compE2 e)), 0)]) t$ 
 $h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
by(simp add: shift-compxE2 exec-move-def)
hence exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (?pre @ compE2 e2) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length ?pre)
 $(compxE2 e2 0 0)) t$ 
 $h (stk, loc, length ?pre + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases intro: exec-meth.intros simp add: match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def)
hence ?exec e2 stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' (pc' - length ?pre) xcp'
unfolding exec-move-def by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
from IH[OF this len - <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST> ⟨conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp⟩] bsok obtain e'' xs''
where bisim': P,e2,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc' - length ?pre, xcp')
and red: ?red e' xs e'' xs'' e2 stk pc (pc' - length ?pre) xcp xcp' by auto
from red have length xs'' = length xs
by(auto dest!: τred1r-preserves-len τred1t-preserves-len red1-preserves-len split: if-split-asm)
with red V have ?red {V:Class C'=None; e'} xs {V:Class C'=None; e''} xs'' e2 stk pc (pc' -
length ?pre) xcp xcp'
by(fastforce elim!: Block-None-τred1r-xt Block-None-τred1t-xt intro: Block1Red split: if-split-asm)
moreover
from bisim'
have P,try e catch(C' V) e2,h' ⊢ ({V:Class C'=None; e''}, xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', Suc (Suc (length
 $(compE2 e) + (pc' - length ?pre))), xcp')$ 
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1TryCatch2)
moreover have τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk (try e catch(C' V) e2) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e)
 $+ pc))) xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk e2 pc xcp
by(simp add: τmove2-iff)
moreover from exec' have pc' ≥ length ?pre
by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
moreover hence Suc (Suc (pc' - Suc (Suc 0))) = pc' by simp
moreover have no-call2 e2 pc ⇒ no-call2 (try e catch(C' V) e2) (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e)
 $+ pc)))$ 
by(simp add: no-call2-def)
ultimately show ?case using red V by(fastforce simp add: eval-nat-numeral split: if-split-asm)
next
case (bisim1TryFail e n a xs stk loc pc C'' C' e2 V)
note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e 0 0) = None
unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with <?exec (try e catch(C' V) e2) stk loc pc [a] stk' loc' pc' xcp' pc <typeof-addr h a = [Class-type
 $C'']> ⊢ P ⊢ C'' ≤* C'$ 
have False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: matches-ex-entry-def compP2-def match-ex-table-append-not-pcs
exec-move-def cname-of-def)
thus ?case ..
next
case (bisim1TryCatchThrow e2 n a xs stk loc pc e C' V)
note bisim = <P,e2,h ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, [a])>
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e2) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
from bisim have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a) (0 + pc) (compxE2 e2 0 0) = None
unfolding compP2-def by(rule bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught)
with <?exec (try e catch(C' V) e2) stk loc (Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e) + pc))) [a] stk' loc' pc'
 $xcp' pc$ 
have False apply(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: compxE2-size-convs match-ex-table-append-not-pcs
exec-move-def)
apply(auto dest!: match-ex-table-shift-pcD simp add: match-ex-table-append matches-ex-entry-def$ 
```

```

compP2-def)
  done
  thus ?case ..
next
  case bisims1Nil
  hence False by(auto elim!: exec-meth.cases simp add: exec-moves-def)
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (bisims1List1 e n e' xs stk loc pc xcp es)
  note IH1 = bisims1List1.IH(2)
  note IH2 = bisims1List1.IH(4)
  note exec = <?execs (e # es) stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'>
  note bisim1 = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)>
  note bisim2 = <P,es,h ⊢ (es, loc) [↔] ([] loc, 0, None)>
  note len = <n + max-varss (e' # es) ≤ length xs>
  note bsok = <bsoks (e # es) n>
  from bisim1 have pc: pc ≤ length (compE2 e) by(rule bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  from bisim1 have lenxs: length xs = length loc by(rule bisim1-length-xs)
  show ?case
  proof(cases pc < length (compE2 e))
    case True
    with exec have exec': ?exec e stk loc pc xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'
      by(auto simp add: compxEs2-size-convs exec-moves-def exec-move-def intro: exec-meth-take-xt)
    from True have τmoves2 (compP2 P) h stk (e # es) pc xcp = τmove2 (compP2 P) h stk e pc xcp
      by(simp add: τmove2-iff τmoves2-iff)
    moreover from True have no-calls2 (e # es) pc = no-call2 e pc
      by(simp add: no-call2-def no-calls2-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using IH1[OF exec' - - <P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST> <conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp>] bsok len
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List1 elim!: τred1r-inj-τreds1r τred1t-inj-τreds1t List1Red1)
next
  case False
  with pc have pc [simp]: pc = length (compE2 e) by simp
  with bisim1 obtain v where stk: stk = [v] and xcp: xcp = None
    and v: is-val e' ==> e' = Val v ∧ xs = loc and call: call1 e' = None
    by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with bisim1 pc len bsok have red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (Val v, loc)
    by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
  hence τreds1r P t h (e' # es, xs) (Val v # es, loc) by(rule τred1r-inj-τreds1r)
  moreover from exec stk xcp
    have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compEs2 es) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift (length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 es 0 0))) t h ([] @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e) + 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
      by(simp add: shift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxEs2 exec-moves-def)
    hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compEs2 es) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 es 0 0)) t h ([] @ [v], loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
      by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
    with bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v]
      and exec'': exec-moves-d P t es h ([] loc, 0, None) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
      by(unfold exec-moves-def)(drule (1) exec-meth-stk-splits, auto)
    from IH2[OF exec''] len lenxs bsok obtain es'' xs''
      where bisim': P,es,h' ⊢ (es'', xs'') [↔] (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
      and red': ?reds es loc es'' xs'' es [] 0 (pc' - length (compE2 e)) None xcp' by fastforce

```

```

from bisim' have P,e # es,h' ⊢ (Val v # es'', xs'') [↔] (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e) + (pc' - length (compE2 e)), xcp')
  by(rule bisims1List2)
  moreover from exec"
    have τmoves2 (compP2 P) h [v] (e # es) (length (compE2 e)) None = τmoves2 (compP2 P) h []
  es 0 None
    using τinstr-stk-drop-exec-moves[where stk=[] and vs=[v]] by(simp add: τmoves2-iff)
    moreover have τ: ∏es'. τmoves1 P h (Val v # es') ⇒ τmoves1 P h es' by simp
    from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 e)
      by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
    moreover have no-calls2 es 0 ⇒ no-calls2 (e # es) (length (compE2 e))
      by(simp add: no-calls2-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis using red' xcp stk stk' call v
      apply(auto simp add: split-paired-Ex)
        apply(blast 25 intro: rtranclp-trans rtranclp-tranclp-tranclp τreds1r-cons-τreds1r List1Red2
τreds1t-cons-τreds1t dest: τ)+
      done
    qed
next
  case (bisims1List2 es n es' xs stk loc pc xcp e v)
  note IH = bisims1List2.IH(2)
  note exec = ‹?execs (e # es) (stk @ [v]) loc (length (compE2 e) + pc) xcp stk' loc' pc' xcp'›
  note bisim1 = ‹P,e,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ ([] , xs, 0, None)›
  note bisim2 = ‹P,es,h ⊢ (es', xs) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, xcp)›
  note len = ‹n + max-varss (Val v # es') ≤ length xs›
  note bsok = ‹bsoks (e # es) n›
  from ‹P,h ⊢ stk @ [v] [:≤] ST› obtain ST' where ST': P,h ⊢ stk [:≤] ST'
    by(auto simp add: list-all2-append1)
  from exec have exec': exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compE2 e @ compEs2 es) (compxE2 e 0 0 @ shift
(length (compE2 e)) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 es 0 0))) t h (stk @ [v], loc, length (compE2
e) + pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    by(simp add: shift-compxEs2 stack-xlift-compxEs2 exec-moves-def)
  hence exec-meth-d (compP2 P) (compEs2 es) (stack-xlift (length [v]) (compxEs2 es 0 0)) t h (stk @
[v], loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt) auto
  with bisim2 obtain stk'' where stk': stk' = stk'' @ [v]
    and exec'': exec-moves-d P t es h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
      by(unfold exec-moves-def)(drule (1) exec-meth-stk-splits, auto)
  from IH[OF exec'' - - ST' ‹conf-xcp' (compP2 P) h xcp› len bsok obtain es'' xs''"
    where bisim': P,es,h' ⊢ (es'', xs'') [↔] (stk'', loc', pc' - length (compE2 e), xcp')
    and red': ?reds es' xs es'' xs'' es stk pc (pc' - length (compE2 e)) xcp xcp' by auto
  from bisim' have P,e # es,h' ⊢ (Val v # es'', xs'') [↔] (stk'' @ [v], loc', length (compE2 e) + (pc'
- length (compE2 e)), xcp')
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List2)
  moreover from exec'' have τmoves2 (compP2 P) h (stk @ [v]) (e # es) (length (compE2 e) + pc)
  xcp = τmoves2 (compP2 P) h stk es pc xcp
    by(auto simp add: τmoves2-iff τinstr-stk-drop-exec-moves)
  moreover have τ: ∏es'. τmoves1 P h (Val v # es') ⇒ τmoves1 P h es' by simp
  from exec' have pc' ≥ length (compE2 e)
    by(rule exec-meth-drop-xt-pc) auto
  moreover have no-calls2 es pc ⇒ no-calls2 (e # es) (length (compE2 e) + pc)
    by(simp add: no-calls2-def)
  ultimately show ?case using red' stk'
    by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: split-paired-Ex)(blast intro: rtranclp-trans rtranclp-tranclp-tranclp

```

```

 $\tau_{reds1r-cons} \cdot \tau_{reds1r} \text{List1Red2} \quad \tau_{reds1t-cons} \cdot \tau_{reds1t} \text{dest: } \tau) +$ 
qed

end

inductive sim21-size-aux :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (pc  $\times$  'addr option)  $\Rightarrow$  (pc  $\times$  'addr option)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
for len :: nat
where
 $\llbracket pc1 \leq len; pc2 \leq len; xcp1 \neq None \wedge xcp2 = None \wedge pc1 = pc2 \vee xcp1 = None \wedge pc1 > pc2 \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sim21-size-aux } len \ (pc1, xcp1) \ (pc2, xcp2)$ 

definition sim21-size :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-thread-state  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-thread-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  sim21-size P xcpfrs xcpfrs'  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    (xcpfrs, xcpfrs')  $\in$ 
      inv-image (less-than <*lex*> same-fst ( $\lambda n.$  True) ( $\lambda n.$  {(pcxcp, pcxcp')} . sim21-size-aux n pcxcp pcxcp')))
    ( $\lambda (xcp, frs).$  (length frs, case frs of []  $\Rightarrow$  undefined
      | (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs  $\Rightarrow$  (length (fst (snd (snd (the (snd (snd (method P C M))))))), pc, xcp)))
      | (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs  $\Rightarrow$  (length (fst (snd (the (snd (snd (method P C M))))))), pc, xcp)))

lemma wfP-sim21-size-aux: wfP (sim21-size-aux n)
proof -
  let ?f =  $\lambda (pc, xcp).$  case xcp of None  $\Rightarrow$  Suc (2 * (n - pc)) | Some -  $\Rightarrow$  2 * (n - pc)
  have wf {(m, m') . (m :: nat) < m'} by(rule wf-less)
  hence wf (inv-image {(m, m') . m < m'} ?f) by(rule wf-inv-image)
  moreover have {(pcxcp1, pcxcp2) . sim21-size-aux n pcxcp1 pcxcp2}  $\subseteq$  inv-image {(m, m') . m < m'} ?f
    by(auto elim!: sim21-size-aux.cases)
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding wfP-def by(rule wf-subset)
qed

lemma Collect-split-mem: {(x, y) . (x, y)  $\in$  Q} = Q by simp

lemma wfP-sim21-size: wfP (sim21-size P)
unfolding wfP-def Collect-split-mem sim21-size-def [abs-def]
apply(rule wf-inv-image)
apply(rule wf-less-than)
apply(rule wf-same-fst)
apply(rule wfP-sim21-size-aux[unfolded wfP-def])
done

declare split-beta[simp]

context J1-JVM-heap-base begin

lemma bisim1-Invoke- $\tau$ Red:
   $\llbracket P, E, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc, pc, None); pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } E);$ 
   $\text{compE2 } E ! pc = \text{Invoke } M \ (\text{length } vs); n + \text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } xs; \text{bsok } E n \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists e' xs'. \tau_{red1r} P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') \wedge P, E, h \vdash (e', xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', loc, pc, None) \wedge \text{call1 } e' = [(a, M, vs)]$ 
  (is  $\llbracket \_ ; \_ ; \_ ; \_ ; \_ \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concl } e \ xs \ E \ n \ pc \ stk' \ loc$ )

```

and bisims1-Invoke- τ Reds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P, Es, h \vdash (es, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}); pc < \text{length } (\text{compEs2 } Es); \\ & \quad \text{compEs2 } Es ! pc = \text{Invoke } M (\text{length } vs); n + \text{max-varss } es \leq \text{length } xs; \text{bsoks } Es n \rrbracket \\ \implies & \exists es' xs'. \tau\text{reds1r } P t h (es, xs) (es', xs') \wedge P, Es, h \vdash (es', xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}, \\ & pc, \text{None}) \wedge \text{calls1 } es' = [(a, M, vs)] \\ (\text{is } & \llbracket -; -; -; -; - \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concls } es \ xs \ Es \ n \ pc \ \text{stk}' \ \text{loc}) \end{aligned}$$

proof (induct E n e xs stk≡rev vs @ Addr a # stk' loc pc xp≡None::addr option
and Es n es xs stk≡rev vs @ Addr a # stk' loc pc xp≡None::addr option
arbitrary: stk' **and** stk' rule: bisim1-bisims1-inducts-split)
case bisim1Val2 **thus** ?case by simp

next
case bisim1New **thus** ?case by simp

next
case bisim1NewArray **thus** ?case
by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1NewArray dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 elim!: NewArray- τ red1r-xt)
next
case bisim1Cast **thus** ?case
by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Cast dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 elim!: Cast- τ red1r-xt)
next
case bisim1InstanceOf **thus** ?case
by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1InstanceOf dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 elim!: InstanceOf- τ red1r-xt)
next
case bisim1Val **thus** ?case by simp

next
case bisim1Var **thus** ?case by simp

next
case bisim1BinOp1 **thus** ?case
apply(auto split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp1 dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 elim: BinOp- τ red1r-xt1)
apply(fastforce elim!: BinOp- τ red1r-xt1 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp1)
done

next
case (bisim1BinOp2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc e1 bop v1)
note IH = $\langle \wedge \text{stk}' . \llbracket \text{stk} = \text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}' ; pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) ; \text{compE2 } e2 ! pc = \text{Invoke } M (\text{length } vs) ; n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs ; \text{bsok } e2 n \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concl } e' \ xs \ e2 \ n \ pc \ \text{stk}' \ \text{loc} \rangle$
note inv = $\langle \text{compE2 } (e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \llcorner e2) ! (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc) = \text{Invoke } M (\text{length } vs) \rangle$
with $\langle \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \llcorner e2)) \rangle$ **have** pc: pc < length (compE2 e2)
by(auto split: bop.splits if-split-asm)
moreover with inv **have** compE2 e2 ! pc = Invoke M (length vs) **by** simp
moreover with $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) \rangle$ pc
obtain vs'' v'' stk'' **where** stk = vs'' @ v'' # stk'' **and** length vs'' = length vs
by(auto dest!: bisim1-Invoke-stkD)
with $\langle \text{stk} @ [v1] = \text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}' \rangle$ **obtain** stk'''
where stk''' : stk = rev vs @ Addr a # stk''' **and** stk: stk' = stk''' @ [v1]
by(cases stk' rule: rev-cases) auto
from $\langle n + \text{max-vars } (\text{Val } v1 \llcorner \text{bop} \llcorner e') \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ **have** n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs **by** simp
moreover from $\langle \text{bsok } (e1 \llcorner \text{bop} \llcorner e2) n \rangle$ **have** bsok e2 n **by** simp
ultimately have ?concl e' xs e2 n pc stk''' loc **using** stk''' **by**-(rule IH)
then obtain e'' xs' **where** IH': $\tau\text{red1r } P t h (e', xs) (e'', xs') \text{ call1 } e'' = [(a, M, vs)]$

```

and bisim:  $P, e2, h \vdash (e'', xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}''', loc, pc, \text{None})$  by blast
from bisim have  $P, e1 \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e2, h \vdash (\text{Val } v1 \llbracket \text{bop} \rrbracket e'', xs') \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}''') @ [v1],$ 
 $\text{loc}, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \text{None})$ 
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BinOp2)
with IH' stk show ?case by(fastforce elim!: BinOp-τred1r-xt2)
next
case bisim1LAss1 thus ?case
by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1LAss1 dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2
elim!: LAss-τred1r)
next
case bisim1LAss2 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1AAcc1 thus ?case
apply(auto split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc1 dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2
elim!: AAcc-τred1r-xt1)
apply(fastforce elim!: AAcc-τred1r-xt1 intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc1)
done
next
case (bisim1AAcc2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc e1 v1)
note  $IH = \langle \lambda \text{stk}' . \llbracket \text{stk} = \text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}' ; pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2) ; \text{compE2 } e2 ! pc = \text{Invoke } M (\text{length } vs) ; n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs ; \text{bsok } e2 n \rangle$ 
 $\llbracket \Rightarrow ?\text{concl } e' xs e2 n pc \text{stk}' \text{loc}$ 
note  $inv = \langle \text{compE2 } (e1 \llbracket e2]) ! (\text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc) = \text{Invoke } M (\text{length } vs) \rangle$ 
with  $\langle \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (e1 \llbracket e2])) \rangle$  have  $pc: pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e2)$ 
by(auto split: if-split-asm)
moreover with  $inv$  have  $\text{compE2 } e2 ! pc = \text{Invoke } M (\text{length } vs)$  by simp
moreover with  $\langle P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) \rangle$   $pc$ 
obtain  $vs'' v'' \text{stk}''$  where  $\text{stk} = vs'' @ v'' \# \text{stk}''$  and  $\text{length } vs'' = \text{length } vs$ 
by(auto dest!: bisim1-Invoke-stkD)
with  $\langle \text{stk} @ [v1] = \text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}' \rangle$  obtain  $\text{stk}'''$ 
where  $\text{stk}''' : \text{stk} = \text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}'''$  and  $\text{stk} : \text{stk}' = \text{stk}''' @ [v1]$ 
by(cases  $\text{stk}'$  rule: rev-cases) auto
from  $\langle n + \text{max-vars } (\text{Val } v1 \llbracket e']) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$  have  $n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs$  by simp
moreover from  $\langle \text{bsok } (e1 \llbracket e2]) n \rangle$  have  $\text{bsok } e2 n$  by simp
ultimately have  $? \text{concl } e' xs e2 n pc \text{stk}''' \text{loc}$  using  $\text{stk}'''$  by-(rule IH)
then obtain  $e'' xs'$  where  $IH': \taured1r P t h (e', xs) (e'', xs') \text{call1 } e'' = \llbracket (a, M, vs) \rrbracket$ 
and bisim:  $P, e2, h \vdash (e'', xs') \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}''' , loc, pc, \text{None})$  by blast
from bisim have  $P, e1 \llbracket e2], h \vdash (\text{Val } v1 \llbracket e'] , xs') \leftrightarrow ((\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}''' ) @ [v1], loc, \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e1) + pc, \text{None})$ 
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAcc2)
with IH' stk show ?case by(fastforce elim!: AAcc-τred1r-xt2)
next
case (bisim1AAcc1 e n e' xs loc pc e2 e3)
note  $IH = \langle \llbracket pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e) ; \text{compE2 } e ! pc = \text{Invoke } M (\text{length } vs) ; n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs ; \text{bsok } e n \rangle$ 
 $\llbracket \Rightarrow ?\text{concl } e' xs e n pc \text{stk}' \text{loc}$ 
note  $bisim = \langle P, e, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# \text{stk}', \text{loc}, pc, \text{None}) \rangle$ 
note  $len = \langle n + \text{max-vars } (e' \llbracket e2] := e3) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
hence  $len' : n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs$  by simp
note  $inv = \langle \text{compE2 } (e \llbracket e2] := e3) ! pc = \text{Invoke } M (\text{length } vs) \rangle$ 
with  $\langle pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } (e \llbracket e2] := e3)) \rangle$  bisim have  $pc: pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 } e)$ 
by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
moreover with  $inv$  have  $\text{compE2 } e ! pc = \text{Invoke } M (\text{length } vs)$  by simp
moreover from  $\langle \text{bsok } (e \llbracket e2] := e3) n \rangle$  have  $\text{bsok } e n$  by simp

```

ultimately have $?concl e' xs e n pc stk' loc$ **using** len' **by**–(rule IH)
thus $?case$
by(*fastforce intro*: *bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss1 elim!*: *AAss-τred1r-xt1*)

next

case (*bisim1AAss2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc e1 e3 v1*)
note $IH = \langle \wedge_{stk'} \llbracket stk = rev vs @ Addr a \# stk'; pc < length (compE2 e2); compE2 e2 ! pc = Invoke M (length vs); n + max-vars e' \leq length xs; bsok e2 n \rangle \implies ?concl e' xs e2 n pc stk' loc$
note $inv = \langle compE2 (e1[e2] := e3) ! (length (compE2 e1) + pc) = Invoke M (length vs) \rangle$
note $bisim = \langle P, e2, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None) \rangle$
with $inv \langle length (compE2 e1) + pc < length (compE2 (e1[e2] := e3)) \rangle$ **have** $pc: pc < length (compE2 e2)$
by(*auto split*: *if-split-asm dest*: *bisim1-pc-length-compE2*)
moreover with inv **have** $compE2 e2 ! pc = Invoke M (length vs)$ **by** *simp*
moreover with $bisim$ pc
obtain $vs'' v'' stk''$ **where** $stk = vs'' @ v'' \# stk''$ **and** $length vs'' = length vs$
by(*auto dest*: *bisim1-Invoke-stkD*)
with $\langle stk @ [v1] = rev vs @ Addr a \# stk' \rangle$ **obtain** stk'''
where stk''' : $stk = rev vs @ Addr a \# stk'''$ **and** $stk: stk' = stk''' @ [v1]$
by(*cases* stk' *rule*: *rev-cases*) *auto*
from $\langle n + max-vars (Val v1[e'] := e3) \leq length xs \rangle$ **have** $n + max-vars e' \leq length xs$ **by** *simp*
moreover from $\langle bsok (e1[e2] := e3) n \rangle$ **have** $bsok e2 n$ **by** *simp*
ultimately have $?concl e' xs e2 n pc stk''' loc$ **using** stk''' **by**–(rule IH)
then obtain $e'' xs'$ **where** $IH': \taured1r P t h (e', xs) (e'', xs') call1 e'' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor$
and bisim: $P, e2, h \vdash (e'', xs') \leftrightarrow (rev vs @ Addr a \# stk''', loc, pc, None)$ **by** *blast*
from bisim
have $P, e1[e2] := e3, h \vdash (Val v1[e'] := e3, xs') \leftrightarrow ((rev vs @ Addr a \# stk''') @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, None)$
by(*rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss2*)
with IH' stk **show** $?case$ **by**(*fastforce elim*: *AAss-τred1r-xt2*)

next

case (*bisim1AAss3 e3 n e' xs stk loc pc e1 e2 v1 v2*)
note $IH = \langle \wedge_{stk'} \llbracket stk = rev vs @ Addr a \# stk'; pc < length (compE2 e3); compE2 e3 ! pc = Invoke M (length vs); n + max-vars e' \leq length xs; bsok e3 n \rangle \implies ?concl e' xs e3 n pc stk' loc$
note $inv = \langle compE2 (e1[e2] := e3) ! (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc) = Invoke M (length vs) \rangle$
with $\langle length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc < length (compE2 (e1[e2] := e3)) \rangle$
have $pc: pc < length (compE2 e3)$ **by**(*simp add*: *nth-Cons split*: *nat.split-asm if-split-asm*)
moreover with inv **have** $compE2 e3 ! pc = Invoke M (length vs)$ **by** *simp*
moreover with $\langle P, e3, h \vdash (e', xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None) \rangle$ pc
obtain $vs'' v'' stk''$ **where** $stk = vs'' @ v'' \# stk''$ **and** $length vs'' = length vs$
by(*auto dest*: *bisim1-Invoke-stkD*)
with $\langle stk @ [v2, v1] = rev vs @ Addr a \# stk' \rangle$ **obtain** stk'''
where stk''' : $stk = rev vs @ Addr a \# stk'''$ **and** $stk: stk' = stk''' @ [v2, v1]$
by(*cases* stk' *rule*: *rev-cases*) *auto*
from $\langle n + max-vars (Val v1[Val v2] := e') \leq length xs \rangle$ **have** $n + max-vars e' \leq length xs$ **by** *simp*
moreover from $\langle bsok (e1[e2] := e3) n \rangle$ **have** $bsok e3 n$ **by** *simp*
ultimately have $?concl e' xs e3 n pc stk''' loc$ **using** stk''' **by**–(rule IH)
then obtain $e'' xs'$ **where** $IH': \taured1r P t h (e', xs) (e'', xs') call1 e'' = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor$
and bisim: $P, e3, h \vdash (e'', xs') \leftrightarrow (rev vs @ Addr a \# stk''', loc, pc, None)$ **by** *blast*
from bisim
have $P, e1[e2] := e3, h \vdash (Val v1[Val v2] := e'', xs') \leftrightarrow ((rev vs @ Addr a \# stk''') @ [v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc, None)$

```

    by -(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1AAss3, auto)
  with IH' stk show ?case by(fastforce elim!: AAss-τred1r-xt3)
next
  case bisim1AAss4 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1ALength thus ?case
    by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1ALength dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2
      elim!: ALLength-τred1r-xt)
next
  case bisim1FAcc thus ?case
    by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAcc dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 elim!:
      FAcc-τred1r-xt)
next
  case bisim1FAss1 thus ?case
    apply(auto split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss1 dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2
      elim!: FAAss-τred1r-xt1)
    by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss1 elim!: FAAss-τred1r-xt1)
next
  case (bisim1FAss2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc e1 F D v1)
  note IH = ⟨stk'. [stk = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'; pc < length (compE2 e2); compE2 e2 ! pc =
    Invoke M (length vs); n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs; bsok e2 n
    ] ⟩ ⟶ ?concl e' xs e2 n pc stk' loc
  note inv = ⟨compE2 (e1·F{D} := e2) ! (length (compE2 e1) + pc) = Invoke M (length vs)⟩
  with ⟨length (compE2 e1) + pc < length (compE2 (e1·F{D} := e2))⟩ have pc: pc < length (compE2
    e2)
    by(simp split: if-split-asm nat.split-asm add: nth-Cons)
    moreover with inv have compE2 e2 ! pc = Invoke M (length vs) by simp
    moreover with ⟨P, e2, h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)⟩ pc
    obtain vs'' v'' stk'' where stk = vs'' @ v'' # stk'' and length vs'' = length vs
      by(auto dest!: bisim1-Invoke-stkD)
    with ⟨stk @ [v1] = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'⟩ obtain stk''''
      where stk'''': stk = rev vs @ Addr a # stk''' and stk: stk' = stk''' @ [v1]
        by(cases stk' rule: rev-cases) auto
    from ⟨n + max-vars (Val v1·F{D} := e') ≤ length xs⟩ have n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs by simp
    moreover from ⟨bsok (e1·F{D} := e2) n⟩ have bsok e2 n by simp
    ultimately have ?concl e' xs e2 n pc stk''' loc using stk''' by-(rule IH)
    then obtain e'' xs' where IH': τred1r P t h (e', xs) (e'', xs') call1 e'' = ⟦(a, M, vs)⟧
      and bisim: P, e2, h ⊢ (e'', xs') ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk''', loc, pc, None) by blast
    from bisim have P, e1·F{D} := e2, h ⊢ (Val v1·F{D} := e'', xs') ↔ ((rev vs @ Addr a # stk''') @
      [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, None)
      by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1FAss2)
    with IH' stk show ?case by(fastforce elim!: FAAss-τred1r-xt2)
next
  case bisim1FAss3 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (bisim1CAS1 e n e' xs loc pc e2 e3 D F)
  note IH = ⟨[ pc < length (compE2 e); compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M (length vs); n + max-vars e' ≤
    length xs; bsok e n
    ] ⟩ ⟶ ?concl e' xs e n pc stk' loc
  note bisim = ⟨P, e, h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc, pc, None)⟩
  note len = ⟨n + max-vars - ≤ length xs⟩
  hence len': n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs by simp
  note inv = ⟨compE2 - ! pc = Invoke M (length vs)⟩
  with ⟨pc < length -> bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e)

```

```

by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
moreover with inv have compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M (length vs) by simp
moreover from <bsok - n> have bsok e n by simp
ultimately have ?concl e' xs e n pc stk' loc using len' by-(rule IH)
thus ?case
  by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS1 elim!: CAS-τred1r-xt1)
next
  case (bisim1CAS2 e2 n e' xs stk loc pc e1 e3 D F v1)
    note IH = <ʃstk'. [stk = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'; pc < length (compE2 e2); compE2 e2 ! pc =
    Invoke M (length vs); n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs; bsok e2 n
    ] ==> ?concl e' xs e2 n pc stk' loc>
    note inv = <compE2 - ! (length (compE2 e1) + pc) = Invoke M (length vs)>
    note bisim = <P,e2,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)>
    with inv <length (compE2 e1) + pc < length (compE2 -)> have pc: pc < length (compE2 e2)
      by(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
    moreover with inv have compE2 e2 ! pc = Invoke M (length vs) by simp
    moreover with bisim pc
    obtain vs'' v'' stk'' where stk = vs'' @ v'' # stk'' and length vs'' = length vs
      by(auto dest!: bisim1-Invoke-stkD)
    with <stk @ [v1] = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'> obtain stk'''
      where stk'''': stk = rev vs @ Addr a # stk''' and stk: stk' = stk''' @ [v1]
        by(cases stk' rule: rev-cases) auto
      from <n + max-vars - ≤ length xs> have n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs by simp
      moreover from <bsok - n> have bsok e2 n by simp
      ultimately have ?concl e' xs e2 n pc stk''' loc using stk''' by-(rule IH)
      then obtain e'' xs' where IH': τred1r P t h (e', xs) (e'', xs') call1 e'' = ⌊(a, M, vs)⌋
        and bisim: P,e2,h ⊢ (e'', xs') ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk''', loc, pc, None) by blast
      from bisim
      have P,e1.compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3),h ⊢ (Val v1.compareAndSwap(D·F, e'', e3), xs') ↔ ((rev
      vs @ Addr a # stk''') @ [v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + pc, None)
        by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS2)
      with IH' stk show ?case by(fastforce elim!: CAS-τred1r-xt2)
next
  case (bisim1CAS3 e3 n e' xs stk loc pc e1 e2 D F v1 v2)
    note IH = <ʃstk'. [stk = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'; pc < length (compE2 e3); compE2 e3 ! pc =
    Invoke M (length vs); n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs; bsok e3 n
    ] ==> ?concl e' xs e3 n pc stk' loc>
    note inv = <compE2 - ! (length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc) = Invoke M (length vs)>
    with <length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc < length (compE2 -)>
    have pc: pc < length (compE2 e3) by(simp add: nth-Cons split: nat.split-asm if-split-asm)
    moreover with inv have compE2 e3 ! pc = Invoke M (length vs) by simp
    moreover with <P,e3,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, None)> pc
    obtain vs'' v'' stk'' where stk = vs'' @ v'' # stk'' and length vs'' = length vs
      by(auto dest!: bisim1-Invoke-stkD)
    with <stk @ [v2, v1] = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'> obtain stk'''
      where stk'''': stk = rev vs @ Addr a # stk''' and stk: stk' = stk''' @ [v2, v1]
        by(cases stk' rule: rev-cases) auto
      from <n + max-vars - ≤ length xs> have n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs by simp
      moreover from <bsok - n> have bsok e3 n by simp
      ultimately have ?concl e' xs e3 n pc stk''' loc using stk''' by-(rule IH)
      then obtain e'' xs' where IH': τred1r P t h (e', xs) (e'', xs') call1 e'' = ⌊(a, M, vs)⌋
        and bisim: P,e3,h ⊢ (e'', xs') ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk''', loc, pc, None) by blast
      from bisim
      have P,e1.compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3), h ⊢ (Val v1.compareAndSwap(D·F, Val v2, e''), xs') ↔

```

```

((rev vs @ Addr a # stk'') @ [v2, v1], loc, length (compE2 e1) + length (compE2 e2) + pc, None)
  by -(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CAS3, auto)
  with IH' stk show ?case by(fastforce elim!: CAS-τred1r-xt3)
next
  case (bisim1Call1 obj n obj' xs loc pc ps M')
  note IH = <[pc < length (compE2 obj); compE2 obj ! pc = Invoke M (length vs); n + max-vars obj'
  ≤ length xs; bsok obj n
    ]> ==?concl obj' xs obj n pc stk' loc
  note bisim = <P,obj,h ⊢ (obj', xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc, pc, None)>
  note len = <n + max-vars (obj'·M'(ps)) ≤ length xs>
  hence len': n + max-vars obj' ≤ length xs by simp
  from <bsok (obj·M'(ps)) n> have bsok: bsok obj n by simp
  note inv = <compE2 (obj·M'(ps)) ! pc = Invoke M (length vs)>
  with <pc < length (compE2 (obj·M'(ps)))> bisim
  have pc: pc < length (compE2 obj) ∨ ps = [] ∧ pc = length (compE2 obj)
    by(cases ps)(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  thus ?case
proof
  assume pc < length (compE2 obj)
  moreover with inv have compE2 obj ! pc = Invoke M (length vs) by simp
  ultimately have ?concl obj' xs obj n pc stk' loc using len' bsok by(rule IH)
  thus ?thesis by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Call1 elim!: Call-τred1r-obj)
next
  assume [simp]: ps = [] ∧ pc = length (compE2 obj)
  with inv have [simp]: vs = [] M' = M by simp-all
  with bisim have [simp]: vs = [] stk' = [] by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with bisim len' bsok have τred1r P t h (obj', xs) (addr a, loc)
    by(auto intro: bisim1-Val-τred1r simp add: bsok-def)
  moreover
  have P,obj·M([]),h ⊢ (addr a·M([]), loc) ↔ ([Addr a], loc, length (compE2 obj), None)
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Call1[OF bisim1Val2]) simp-all
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto(fastforce elim!: Call-τred1r-obj)
qed
next
  case (bisim1CallParams ps n ps' xs stk loc pc obj M' v)
  note IH = <∧stk!. [stk = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'; pc < length (compEs2 ps); compEs2 ps ! pc =
  Invoke M (length vs); n + max-varss ps' ≤ length xs; bsoks ps n
    ]> ==?concls ps' xs ps n pc stk' loc
  note bisim = <P,ps,h ⊢ (ps', xs) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, None)>
  note len = <n + max-vars (Val v·M'(ps')) ≤ length xs>
  hence len': n + max-varss ps' ≤ length xs by simp
  note stk = <stk @ [v] = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'>
  note inv = <compE2 (obj·M'(ps)) ! (length (compE2 obj) + pc) = Invoke M (length vs)>
  from <bsok (obj·M'(ps)) n> have bsok: bsoks ps n by simp
  from <length (compE2 obj) + pc < length (compE2 (obj·M'(ps)))>
  have pc < length (compEs2 ps) ∨ pc = length (compEs2 ps) by(auto)
  thus ?case
proof
  assume pc: pc < length (compEs2 ps)
  moreover with inv have compEs2 ps ! pc = Invoke M (length vs) by simp
  moreover with bisim pc
  obtain vs'' v'' stk'' where stk = vs'' @ v'' # stk'' and length vs'' = length vs
    by(auto dest!: bisims1-Invoke-stkD)
  with <stk @ [v] = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'> obtain stk'''
```

```

where  $stk'''$ :  $stk = rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk'''$  and  $stk: stk' = stk''' @ [v]$ 
by(cases  $stk'$  rule: rev-cases) auto
note  $len'\ stk'''$ 
ultimately have ?concls  $ps' xs\ ps\ n\ pc\ stk''' loc$  using bsok by-(rule IH)
then obtain  $es''\ xs'$  where  $IH': \tau reds1r\ P\ t\ h\ (ps',\ xs)\ (es'',\ xs')$  calls1  $es'' = \lfloor(a,\ M,\ vs)\rfloor$ 
and  $bisim: P,ps,h \vdash (es'',\ xs') \leftrightarrow (rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk''',\ loc,\ pc,\ None)$  by blast
from bisim have  $P,obj\cdot M'(ps),h \vdash (Val\ v\cdot M'(es''),\ xs') \leftrightarrow ((rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk''') @ [v],\ loc,$ 
length (compE2 obj) + pc, None)
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallParams)
with  $IH'\ stk$  show ?case
by(fastforce elim!: Call- $\tau$ red1r-param simp add: is-vals-conv)
next
assume [simp]:  $pc = length\ (compEs2\ ps)$ 
from bisim obtain  $vs'$  where [simp]:  $stk = rev\ vs'$ 
and  $psvs': length\ ps = length\ vs'$  by(auto dest: bisims1-pc-length-compEs2D)
from inv have [simp]:  $M' = M$  and  $vsps: length\ vs = length\ ps$  by simp-all
with  $stk\ psvs'$  have [simp]:  $v = Addr\ a\ stk' = []\ vs' = vs$  by simp-all
from bisim len' bsok have  $\tau reds1r\ P\ t\ h\ (ps',\ xs)$  (map Val vs, loc)
by(auto intro: bisims1-Val- $\tau$ Reds1r simp add: bsoks-def)
moreover from bisims1-map-Val-append[OF bisims1Nil vsps[symmetric], simplified, of  $P\ h\ loc$ ]
have  $P,obj\cdot M(ps),h \vdash (addr\ a\cdot M(\text{map}\ Val\ vs),\ loc) \leftrightarrow (rev\ vs @ [Addr\ a],\ loc,\ length\ (compE2\ obj)$ 
+ length (compEs2 ps), None)
by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CallParams)
ultimately show ?thesis by(fastforce elim!: Call- $\tau$ red1r-param)
qed
next
case bisim1BlockSome1 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1BlockSome2 thus ?case by simp
next
case (bisim1BlockSome4 e n e' xs loc pc V T v)
note  $IH = \langle pc < length\ (compE2\ e);\ compE2\ e ! pc = Invoke\ M\ (length\ vs);\ Suc\ n + max-vars\ e' \leq length\ xs;\ bsok\ e\ (Suc\ n)$ 
 $\rangle \implies ?concl\ e'\ xs\ e\ (Suc\ V)\ pc\ stk'\ loc$ 
from  $\langle Suc\ (Suc\ pc) < length\ (compE2\ \{V:T=[v]\}; e)\rangle$  have  $pc < length\ (compE2\ e)$  by simp
moreover from  $\langle compE2\ \{V:T=[v]\}; e\rangle ! Suc\ (Suc\ pc) = Invoke\ M\ (length\ vs)$ 
have  $compE2\ e ! pc = Invoke\ M\ (length\ vs)$  by simp
moreover note  $len = \langle n + max-vars\ \{V:T=None;\ e\} \leq length\ xs\rangle$ 
hence  $Suc\ n + max-vars\ e' \leq length\ xs$  by simp
moreover from  $\langle bsok\ \{V:T=[v]\}; e\rangle n$  have  $bsok\ e\ (Suc\ n)$  by simp
ultimately have ?concl  $e'\ xs\ e\ (Suc\ V)\ pc\ stk'\ loc$  by(rule IH)
then obtain  $e''\ xs'$  where red:  $\tau red1r\ P\ t\ h\ (e',\ xs)\ (e'',\ xs')$ 
and  $bisim': P,e,h \vdash (e'',\ xs') \leftrightarrow (rev\ vs @ Addr\ a \# stk',\ loc,\ pc,\ None)$ 
and call:  $call1\ e'' = \lfloor(a,\ M,\ vs)\rfloor$  by blast
from red have  $\tau red1r\ P\ t\ h\ (\{V:T=None;\ e'\},\ xs)\ (\{V:T=None;\ e''\},\ xs')$  by(rule Block-None- $\tau$ red1r-xt)
with bisim' call show ?case by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockSome4)
next
case (bisim1BlockNone e n e' xs loc pc V T)
note  $IH = \langle pc < length\ (compE2\ e);\ compE2\ e ! pc = Invoke\ M\ (length\ vs);\ Suc\ n + max-vars\ e' \leq length\ xs;\ bsok\ e\ (Suc\ n)$ 
 $\rangle \implies ?concl\ e'\ xs\ e\ (Suc\ V)\ pc\ stk'\ loc$ 
from  $\langle pc < length\ (compE2\ \{V:T=None;\ e\})\rangle$  have  $pc < length\ (compE2\ e)$  by simp
moreover from  $\langle compE2\ \{V:T=None;\ e\} ! pc = Invoke\ M\ (length\ vs)\rangle$ 
have  $compE2\ e ! pc = Invoke\ M\ (length\ vs)$  by simp

```

```

moreover note  $\text{len} = \langle n + \text{max-vars } \{ V:T=\text{None}; e' \} \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ 
hence  $\text{Suc } n + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs$  by simp
moreover from  $\langle \text{bsok } \{ V:T=\text{None}; e \} \text{ } n \rangle$  have  $\text{bsok } e (\text{Suc } n)$  by simp
ultimately have  $? \text{concl } e' \text{ } xs \text{ } e (\text{Suc } V) \text{ } pc \text{ } stk' \text{ } loc$  by(rule IH)
then obtain  $e'' \text{ } xs'$  where red:  $\tau \text{red1r } P \text{ } t \text{ } h (e', \text{xs}) (e'', \text{xs}')$ 
and  $\text{bisim}' : P, e, h \vdash (e'', \text{xs}') \leftrightarrow (\text{rev } vs @ \text{Addr } a \# stk', \text{loc}, pc, \text{None})$ 
and call:  $\text{call1 } e'' = [(a, M, vs)]$  by blast
from red have  $\tau \text{red1r } P \text{ } t \text{ } h (\{ V:T=\text{None}; e' \}, \text{xs}) (\{ V:T=\text{None}; e'' \}, \text{xs}')$  by(rule Block-None- $\tau \text{red1r-xt}$ )
with bisim' call show ?case by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1BlockNone)
next
case bisim1Sync1 thus ?case
apply(auto split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync1 dest: bisim1- $\text{pc-length-compE2}$ 
elim!: Sync- $\tau \text{red1r-xt}$ )
by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync1 elim!: Sync- $\tau \text{red1r-xt}$ )
next
case bisim1Sync2 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync3 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync4 thus ?case
apply(auto split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync4 dest: bisim1- $\text{pc-length-compE2}$ 
elim!: InSync- $\tau \text{red1r-xt}$ )
by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Sync4 elim!: InSync- $\tau \text{red1r-xt}$ )
next
case bisim1Sync5 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync6 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync7 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync8 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Sync9 thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1InSync thus ?case by simp
next
case bisim1Seq1 thus ?case
apply(auto split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq1 dest: bisim1- $\text{pc-length-compE2}$  elim!:
Seq- $\tau \text{red1r-xt}$ )
by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq1 elim!: Seq- $\tau \text{red1r-xt}$ )
next
case bisim1Seq2 thus ?case
by(auto split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Seq2 dest: bisim1- $\text{pc-length-compE2}$ )
next
case bisim1Cond1 thus ?case
apply(clarsimp split: if-split-asm)
apply(fastforce intro!: exI intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Cond1 elim!: Cond- $\tau \text{red1r-xt}$ )
by(fastforce dest: bisim1- $\text{pc-length-compE2}$ )
next
case bisim1CondThen thus ?case
apply(clarsimp split: if-split-asm)
apply(fastforce intro!: exI intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondThen)
by(fastforce dest: bisim1- $\text{pc-length-compE2}$ )
next

```

```

case bisim1CondElse thus ?case
  by(clar simp split: if-split-asm)(fastforce intro!: exI intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1CondElse)
next
  case bisim1While1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1While3 thus ?case
    apply(auto split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While3 dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2
elim!: Cond-τred1r-xt)
    by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While3 elim!: Cond-τred1r-xt)
next
  case bisim1While4 thus ?case
    apply(auto split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While4 dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2
elim!: Seq-τred1r-xt)
    by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1While4 elim!: Seq-τred1r-xt)
next
  case bisim1While6 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1While7 thus ?case by simp
next
  case bisim1Throw1 thus ?case
    by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Throw1 dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2
elim!: Throw-τred1r-xt)
next
  case bisim1Try thus ?case
    apply(auto split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Try dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2 elim!:
Try-τred1r-xt)
    by(fastforce split: if-split-asm intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1Try elim!: Try-τred1r-xt)
next
  case bisim1TryCatch1 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (bisim1TryCatch2 e n e' xs loc pc e1 C V)
  note IH = <[pc < length (compE2 e); compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M (length vs); Suc n + max-vars e'
≤ length xs; bsok e (Suc n)
  ]> ==> ?concl e' xs e (Suc V) pc stk' loc>
  from <Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc)) < length (compE2 (try e1 catch(C V) e))>
  have pc < length (compE2 e) by simp
  moreover from <compE2 (try e1 catch(C V) e) ! Suc (Suc (length (compE2 e1) + pc)) = Invoke
M (length vs)>
  have compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M (length vs) by simp
  moreover note len = <n + max-vars {V:Class C=None; e'} ≤ length xs>
  hence Suc n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs by simp
  moreover from <bsok (try e1 catch(C V) e) n> have bsok e (Suc n) by simp
  ultimately have ?concl e' xs e (Suc V) pc stk' loc by(rule IH)
  then obtain e'' xs' where red: τred1r P t h (e', xs) (e'', xs')
    and bisim': P,e,h ⊢ (e'', xs') ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc, pc, None)
    and call: call1 e'' = [(a, M, vs)] by blast
  from red have τred1r P t h ({V:Class C=None; e'}, xs) ({V:Class C=None; e''}, xs')
    by(rule Block-None-τred1r-xt)
  with bisim' call show ?case by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisim1TryCatch2)
next
  case bisims1Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (bisims1List1 e n e' xs loc pc es)
  note IH = <[pc < length (compE2 e); compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M (length vs); n + max-vars e' ≤

```

```

length xs; bsok e n
    ] => ?concl e' xs e n pc stk' loc
  note bisim = <P,e,h ⊢ (e', xs) ↔ (rev vs @ Addr a # stk', loc, pc, None)>
  note len = <n + max-varss (e' # es) ≤ length xs>
  hence len': n + max-vars e' ≤ length xs by simp
  from <bsoks (e # es) n> have bsok: bsok e n by simp
  note inv = <compEs2 (e # es) ! pc = Invoke M (length vs)>
  with <pc < length (compEs2 (e # es))> bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 e)
    by(cases es)(auto split: if-split-asm dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  moreover with inv have compE2 e ! pc = Invoke M (length vs) by simp
  ultimately have ?concl e' xs e n pc stk' loc using len' bsok by(rule IH)
  thus ?case by(fastforce intro: bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List1 elim!: τred1r-inj-τreds1r)
next
  case (bisims1List2 es n es' xs stk loc pc e v)
    note IH = <∧stk'. [stk = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'; pc < length (compEs2 es); compEs2 es ! pc =
    Invoke M (length vs); n + max-varss es' ≤ length xs; bsoks es n
      ] => ?concls es' xs es n pc stk' loc>
    note bisim = <P,es,h ⊢ (es', xs) [↔] (stk, loc, pc, None)>
    note len = <n + max-varss (Val v # es') ≤ length xs>
    hence len': n + max-varss es' ≤ length xs by simp
    from <bsoks (e # es) n> have bsok: bsoks es n by simp
    note stk = <stk @ [v] = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'>
    note inv = <compEs2 (e # es) ! (length (compE2 e) + pc) = Invoke M (length vs)>
    from <length (compE2 e) + pc < length (compEs2 (e # es))> have pc: pc < length (compEs2 es)
  by auto
    moreover with inv have compEs2 es ! pc = Invoke M (length vs) by simp
    moreover with bisim pc
    obtain vs'' v'' stk'' where stk = vs'' @ v'' # stk'' and length vs'' = length vs
      by(auto dest!: bisims1-Invoke-stkD)
    with <stk @ [v] = rev vs @ Addr a # stk'> obtain stk'''
      where stk'''': stk = rev vs @ Addr a # stk''' and stk: stk' = stk''' @ [v]
        by(cases stk' rule: rev-cases) auto
    note len' stk'''
    ultimately have ?concls es' xs es n pc stk''' loc using bsok by-(rule IH)
    then obtain es'' xs' where red: τreds1r P t h (es', xs) (es'', xs')
      and call: calls1 es'' = [(a, M, vs)]
      and bisim: P,es,h ⊢ (es'', xs') [↔] (rev vs @ Addr a # stk''', loc, pc, None) by blast
    from bisim have P,e#es,h ⊢ (Val v # es'', xs') [↔]
      ((rev vs @ Addr a # stk''') @ [v], loc, length (compE2 e) + pc, None)
    by(rule bisim1-bisims1.bisims1List2)
  moreover from red have τreds1r P t h (Val v # es', xs) (Val v # es'', xs') by(rule τreds1r-cons-τreds1r)
  ultimately show ?case using stk call by fastforce
qed

end

declare split-beta [simp del]

context J1-JVM-conf-read begin

lemma τRed1-simulates-exec-1-τ:
  assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  and exec: exec-1-d (compP2 P) t (Normal (xcp, h, frs)) ta (Normal (xcp', h', frs'))
  and bisim: bisim1-list1 t h (e, xs) exs xcp frs

```

```

and  $\tau: \tauMove2 (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{xcp}, h, \text{frs})$ 
shows  $h = h' \wedge (\exists e' xs' exs'. (\text{if sim21-size } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{xcp}', \text{frs}') (\text{xcp}, \text{frs}) \text{ then } \tauRed1r \text{ else } \tauRed1t) P t h ((e, xs), exs) ((e', xs'), exs') \wedge \text{bisim1-list1 } t h (e', xs') exs' \text{ xcp}' \text{ frs}')$ 
using bisim
proof(cases)
  case (bl1-Normal stk loc C M pc FRS Ts T body D)
  hence [simp]:  $\text{frs} = (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc) \# \text{FRS}$ 
    and  $\text{conf}: \text{compTP } P \vdash t: (\text{xcp}, h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc) \# \text{FRS}) \vee$ 
    and  $\text{sees}: P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: \text{Ts} \rightarrow \text{T} = \lfloor \text{body} \rfloor \text{ in } D$ 
    and  $\text{bisim}: P, \text{blocks1 } 0 (\text{Class } D \# \text{Ts}) \text{ body}, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, pc, \text{xcp})$ 
    and  $\text{lenxs}: \text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } xs$ 
    and  $\text{bisims}: \text{list-all2 } (\text{bisim1-fr } P h) exs \text{ FRS by auto}$ 
  from  $\text{sees-method-compP}[OF \text{ sees, where } f = \lambda C M Ts T. \text{compMb2}]$ 
  have  $\text{sees}': \text{compP2 } P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: \text{Ts} \rightarrow \text{T} = \lfloor (\text{max-stack body}, \text{max-vars body}, \text{compE2 body} @ [\text{Return}], \text{compxE2 body } 0 \ 0) \rfloor \text{ in } D$ 
    by(simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def)
  from  $\text{bisim}$  have  $pc: pc \leq \text{length } (\text{compE2 body})$  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
  from  $\text{conf}$  have  $\text{hconf}: \text{hconf } h \text{ preallocated } h$  by(simp-all add: correct-state-def)

  from  $\text{sees wf}$  have  $\text{bsok}: \text{bsok } (\text{blocks1 } 0 (\text{Class } D \# \text{Ts}) \text{ body}) \ 0$ 
    by(auto dest!: sees-wf-mdecl simp add: bsok-def wf-mdecl-def WT1-expr-locks)

  from  $\text{exec obtain check: check } (\text{compP2 } P) (\text{xcp}, h, \text{frs})$ 
    and  $\text{exec: compP2 } P, t \vdash (\text{xcp}, h, \text{frs}) \xrightarrow{\text{-ta-jvm}} (\text{xcp}', h', \text{frs}')$ 
    by(rule jvmd-NormalE)(auto simp add: exec-1-iff)

  from  $\text{wt-compTP-compP2}[OF \text{ wf}] \text{ exec conf}$ 
  have  $\text{conf}': \text{compTP } P \vdash t: (\text{xcp}', h', \text{frs}') \vee$  by(auto intro: BV-correct-1)

  from  $\text{conf}$  have  $\text{tconf}: P, h \vdash t \sqrt{t}$  unfolding correct-state-def
    by(simp add: compP2-def tconf-def)

  show ?thesis
  proof(cases xcp)
    case [simp]: None
      from  $\text{exec have execi: } (ta, \text{xcp}', h', \text{frs}') \in \text{exec-instr } (\text{instrs-of } (\text{compP2 } P) C M ! pc) (\text{compP2 } P) t h \text{ stk loc C M pc FRS}$ 
        by(simp add: exec-1-iff)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases  $pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 body})$ )
      case True
        with  $\text{execi sees' have execi: } (ta, \text{xcp}', h', \text{frs}') \in \text{exec-instr } (\text{compE2 body} ! pc) (\text{compP2 } P) t h \text{ stk loc C M pc FRS}$ 
          by(simp)
        from  $\tau \text{ sees' True have } \tau i: \tauMove2 (\text{compP2 } P) h \text{ stk body pc None}$  by(simp add: tauMove2-iff)

      show ?thesis
      proof(cases  $\text{length } \text{frs}' = \text{Suc } (\text{length } \text{FRS})$ )
        case False
          with  $\text{execi sees True compE2-not-Return}[of body]$ 
            have  $(\exists M n. \text{compE2 body} ! pc = \text{Invoke } M n)$ 
              apply(cases compE2 body ! pc)
              apply(auto split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm simp add: split-beta compP2-def compMb2-def)
              apply(metis in-set-conv-nth)+
```

```

done
then obtain MM n where ins: compE2 body ! pc = Invoke MM n by blast
with bisim1-Invoke-stkD[OF bisim[unfolded None], of MM n] True obtain vs' v' stk'
  where [simp]: stk = vs' @ v' # stk' n = length vs' by auto
from check sees True ins have is-Ref v'
  by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta compP2-def compMb2-def check-def)
  moreover from execi sees True ins False sees' have v' ≠ Null by auto
  ultimately obtain a' where [simp]: v' = Addr a' by(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  from bisim have Bisim': P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ (rev (rev vs') @ Addr
a' # stk', loc, pc, None)
  by simp
from bisim1-Invoke-τRed[OF this - - - bsok, of MM t] True ins lenxs
obtain e' xs' where red: τred1r P t h (e, xs) (e', xs')
  and bisim': P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h ⊢ (e', xs') ↔ (rev (rev vs') @ Addr a' # stk',
loc, pc, None)
  and call': call1 e' = ⌊(a', MM, rev vs')⌋ by auto
from red have Red: τRed1r P t h ((e, xs), exs) ((e', xs'), exs)
  by(rule τred1r-into-τRed1r)

from False execi True check ins sees' obtain U' Ts' T' meth D'
  where ha': typeof-addr h a' = ⌊U'⌋
  and Sees': compP2 P ⊢ class-type-of U' sees MM:Ts' → T' = ⌊meth⌋ in D'
  by(auto simp add: check-def has-method-def split: if-split-asm)(auto split: extCallRet.split-asm)
from sees-method-compPD[OF Sees'[unfolded compP2-def]] obtain body'
  where Sees: P ⊢ class-type-of U' sees MM:Ts' → T' = ⌊body'⌋ in D'
  and [simp]: meth = (max-stack body', max-vars body', compE2 body' @ [Return], compxE2
body' 0 0)
  by(auto simp add: compMb2-def)

let ?e = blocks1 0 (Class D'#Ts') body'
let ?xs = Addr a' # rev vs' @ replicate (max-vars body') undefined-value
let ?e'xs' = (e', xs')
let ?f = (stk, loc, C, M, pc)
let ?f' = ([], Addr a' # rev vs' @ replicate (max-vars body') undefined-value, D', MM, 0)

from execi pc ins False ha' Sees' sees'
have [simp]: xcp' = None ta = ε frs' = ?f' # ?f # FRS h' = h
  by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta)

from bisim' have bisim'': P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h ⊢ (e', xs') ↔ (rev (rev vs') @ Addr
a' # stk', loc, pc, None)
  by simp
have n = length vs' by simp
from conf' Sees' ins sees' True have n = length Ts'
  apply(auto simp add: correct-state-def)
  apply(drule (1) sees-method-fun)+
  apply(auto dest: sees-method-idemp sees-method-fun)
done
with ⟨n = length vs'⟩ have vs'Ts': length (rev vs') = length Ts' by simp

with call' ha' Sees
have True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨(e', xs')/exs, h⟩ −ε→ ⟨(?e, ?xs)/ (e', xs') # exs, h⟩ by(rule red1Call)
hence True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨(e', xs')/exs, h⟩ −ε→ ⟨(?e, ?xs)/ ?e'xs' # exs, h⟩ by(simp)
moreover from call' Sees ha' have τMove1 P h ((e', xs'), exs)

```

```

by(auto simp add: synthesized-call-def dest!:  $\tau move1$ -not-call1[where  $P=P$  and  $h=h$ ] dest:
sees-method-fun)
ultimately have  $\tau Red1t P t h ((e', xs'), exs) ((?e, ?xs), ?e'xs' \# exs)$  by auto
moreover have bisim1-list1 t h (?e, ?xs) (?e'xs' \# exs) None (?f' \# ?f \# FRS)
proof
from conf' show compTP P  $\vdash t:(None, h, ?f' \# ?f \# FRS) \vee$  by simp
from Sees show  $P \vdash D'$  sees MM:  $Ts' \rightarrow T' = [body']$  in  $D'$  by(rule sees-method-idemp)
show  $P, blocks1 0 (Class D'\# Ts')$  body', h  $\vdash (blocks1 0 (Class D'\# Ts') body', ?xs) \leftrightarrow ([]$ , Addr
 $a' \# rev vs' @ replicate (max-vars body') undefined-value, 0, None)$ 
by(rule bisim1-refl)
show max-vars (blocks1 0 (Class D'\# Ts') body')  $\leq$  length ?xs using vs'Ts' by(simp add:
blocks1-max-vars)
from sees have bisim1-fr P h ?e'xs' ?f
proof
show  $P, blocks1 0 (Class D'\# Ts)$  body, h  $\vdash (e', xs') \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, None)$ 
using bisim'' by simp
from call' show call1 e' =  $[(a', MM, rev vs')]$  .
from red have xs'xs: length xs' = length xs by(rule  $\tau red1r$ -preserves-len)
with red lenxs show max-vars e'  $\leq$  length xs' by(auto dest:  $\tau red1r$ -max-vars)
qed
with bisims show list-all2 (bisim1-fr P h) (?e'xs' \# exs) (?f \# FRS) by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using Red
by auto(blast intro: rtrancip-trans rtrancip-trancip-trancip trancip-into-rtrancip)+

next
case True
note pc =  $\langle pc < length (compE2 body) \rangle$ 
with execi True have  $\exists stk' loc' pc'. frs' = (stk', loc', C, M, pc') \# FRS$ 
by(cases (compE2 body @ [Return]) ! pc)(auto split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm simp: split-beta,
auto split: extCallRet.splits)
then obtain stk' loc' pc' where [simp]: frs' = (stk', loc', C, M, pc') \# FRS by blast
from conf obtain ST where compP2 P,h  $\vdash stk \leq ST$  by(auto simp add: correct-state-def
conf-f-def2)
hence ST: P,h  $\vdash stk \leq ST$  by(rule List.list-all2-mono)(simp add: compP2-def)
from execi sees pc check
have exec': exec-move-d P t (blocks1 0 (Class D'\# Ts) body) h (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ta h' (stk', loc',
pc', xcp')
apply(auto simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def exec-move-def check-def exec-meth-instr split:
if-split-asm sum.split-asm)
apply(cases compE2 body ! pc)
apply(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv split-beta split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm)
apply(force split: extCallRet.split-asm)
apply(cases compE2 body ! pc, auto simp add: split-beta neq-Nil-conv split: if-split-asm
sum.split-asm)
done
from red1-simulates-exec-instr[OF wf hconf tconf bisim this - bsok ST] lenxs  $\tau i$  obtain e'' xs''
where bisim':  $P, blocks1 0 (Class D'\# Ts)$  body, h'  $\vdash (e'', xs'')$   $\leftrightarrow$  (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
and red: (if xcp' = None  $\longrightarrow$  pc < pc' then  $\tau red1r$  else  $\tau Red1t$ ) P t h (e, xs) (e'', xs'') and
[simp]: h' = h
by(auto simp del: blocks1.simps)
have Red: (if sim21-size (compP2 P) (xcp', frs') (xcp, frs) then  $\tau Red1r$  else  $\tau Red1t$ ) P t h ((e,
xs), exs) ((e'', xs''), exs)
proof(cases xcp' = None  $\longrightarrow$  pc < pc')
case True

```

```

from bisim bisim' have pc ≤ Suc (length (compE2 body)) pc' ≤ Suc (length (compE2 body))
  by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
moreover {
  fix a assume xcp' = `a]
  with exec' have pc = pc' by(auto dest: exec-move-raise-xcp-pcD) }
ultimately have sim21-size (compP2 P) (xcp', frs') (xcp, frs) using sees True
  by(auto simp add: sim21-size-def)(auto simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def intro!: sim21-size-aux.intros)
  with red True show ?thesis by simp(rule τred1r-into-τRed1r)
next
  case False
  thus ?thesis using red by(auto intro: τred1t-into-τRed1t τred1r-into-τRed1r)
qed
moreover from red lenxs
have max-vars e'' ≤ length xs"
  apply(auto dest: τred1r-max-vars τred1r-preserves-len τred1t-max-vars τred1t-preserves-len
split: if-split-asm)
  apply(frule τred1r-max-vars τred1t-max-vars, drule τred1r-preserves-len τred1t-preserves-len,
simp)+
  done
with conf' sees bisim'
have bisim1-list1 t h (e'', xs'') exs xcp' ((stk', loc', C, M, pc') # FRS)
  unfolding <frs' = (stk', loc', C, M, pc') # FRS> `h' = h
  using bisims by(rule bisim1-list1.bl1-Normal)
ultimately show ?thesis by(auto split del: if-split)
qed
next
  case False
  with pc have [simp]: pc = length (compE2 body) by simp
  with execi sees have [simp]: xcp' = None
    by(cases compE2 body ! pc)(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def split-beta)
  from bisim have Bisim: P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, length (compE2
(blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body)), None) by simp
  then obtain v where [simp]: stk = [v] by(blast dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2D)
  with Bisim lenxs bsok have red: τred1r P t h (e, xs) (Val v, loc)
    by clarify (erule bisim1-Val-τred1r[where n=0], simp-all add: bsok-def)
  hence Red: τRed1r P t h ((e, xs), exs) ((Val v, loc), exs) by(rule τred1r-into-τRed1r)
  show ?thesis
proof(cases FRS)
  case [simp]: Nil
  with bisims have [simp]: exs = [] by simp
  with exec sees' have [simp]: ta = ε xcp' = None h' = h frs' = []
    by(auto simp add: exec-1-iff)
  from hconf have bisim1-list1 t h (Val v, loc) [] None [] by(rule bl1-finalVal)
  then show ?thesis using Red
    by(auto intro: rtranclp.rtranclp-into-rtrancl rtranclp-into-tranclp1 simp del: τRed1-conv simp
add: sim21-size-def)
next
  case (Cons f' FRS')
  then obtain stk'' loc'' C'' M'' pc''
    where [simp]: FRS = (stk'', loc'', C'', M'', pc'') # FRS' by(cases f') fastforce
  from bisims obtain e'' xs'' EXS' where [simp]: exs = (e'', xs'') # EXS'
    by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons2)
  with bisims have bisim1-fr P h (e'', xs'') (stk'', loc'', C'', M'', pc'') by simp

```

```

then obtain E'' Ts'' T'' body'' D'' a'' M''' vs''
  where [simp]: e'' = E''
  and sees'': P  $\vdash$  C'' sees M'': Ts''  $\rightarrow$  T'' =  $\lfloor \text{body}'' \rfloor$  in D''
  and bisim'': P,blocks1 0 (Class D''#Ts'') body'', h  $\vdash$  (E'', xs'')  $\leftrightarrow$  (stk'', loc'', pc'', None)
  and call'': call1 E'' =  $\lfloor (a'', M''', vs'') \rfloor$ 
  and lenxs'': max-vars E''  $\leq$  length xs''
  by(cases) fastforce
let ?ee' = inline-call (Val v) E''
let ?e' = ?ee'
let ?xs' = xs''

from bisim'' call'' have pc'': pc'' < length (compE2 (blocks1 0 (Class D''#Ts'') body''))
  by(rule bisim1-call-pcD)
hence pc'': pc'' < length (compE2 body'') by simp
with sees-method-compP[OF sees'', where f= $\lambda C\ M\ Ts\ T.\ compMb2$ ]
  sees-method-compP[OF sees, where f= $\lambda C\ M\ Ts\ T.\ compMb2$ ] conf
obtain ST LT where  $\Phi$ : compTP P C'' M'' ! pc'' =  $\lfloor (ST, LT) \rfloor$ 
  and conf-f: conf-f (compP ( $\lambda C\ M\ Ts\ T.\ compMb2$ ) P) h (ST, LT) (compE2 body'' @ [Return])
(stk'', loc'', C'', M'', pc'')
  and ins: (compE2 body'' @ [Return]) ! pc'' = Invoke M (length Ts)
unfolding correct-state-def by(fastforce simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def dest: sees-method-fun)
from bisim1-callD[OF bisim'' call'', of M length Ts] ins pc''
have [simp]: M''' = M by simp

from call'' have call1 E'' =  $\lfloor (a'', M''', vs'') \rfloor$  by simp
have True, P, t  $\vdash$  1 ((Val v, loc)/(E'', xs'') # EXS', h)  $\dashv\vDash$   $\langle (\text{inline-call (Val v) } E'', xs'') / EXS', h \rangle$ 
  by(rule red1Return) simp
hence True, P, t  $\vdash$  1 ((Val v, loc)/(E'', xs'') # EXS', h)  $\dashv\vDash$   $\langle (?e', ?xs') / EXS', h \rangle$ 
  by simp
moreover have  $\tau$ Move1 P h ((Val v, loc), (E'', xs'') # EXS') by auto
ultimately have  $\tau$ Red1 P t h ((Val v, loc), (E'', xs'') # EXS') ((?e', ?xs'), EXS') by auto
moreover from exec sees have [simp]: ta =  $\varepsilon$  h' = h
  and [simp]: frs' = (v # drop (length Ts + 1) stk'', loc'', C'', M'', pc'' + 1) # FRS'
  by(auto simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def exec-1-iff)

have bisim1-list1 t h (?e', ?xs') EXS' None ((v # drop (length Ts + 1) stk'', loc'', C'', M'', pc'' + 1) # FRS')
proof
  from conf' show compTP P  $\vdash$  t: (None, h, (v # drop (length Ts + 1) stk'', loc'', C'', M'', pc'' + 1) # FRS')  $\checkmark$  by simp
  from sees'' show P  $\vdash$  C'' sees M'': Ts''  $\rightarrow$  T'' =  $\lfloor \text{body}'' \rfloor$  in D''.
  from bisim1-inline-call-Val[OF bisim'' call'', of length Ts v] ins pc''
    show P,blocks1 0 (Class D''#Ts'') body'', h  $\vdash$  (inline-call (Val v) E'', xs'')  $\leftrightarrow$  (v # drop (length Ts + 1) stk'', loc'', pc'' + 1, None) by simp
    from lenxs'' max-vars-inline-call[of Val v E'']
      show max-vars (inline-call (Val v) E'')  $\leq$  length xs'' by simp
      from bisims show list-all2 (bisim1-fr P h) EXS' FRS' by simp
    qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using Red
    by(auto simp del:  $\tau$ Red1-conv intro: rtranclp-into-tranclp1 rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
qed
qed
next

```

```

case [simp]: (Some a')
from exec have execs: (xcp', h', frs') = exception-step (compP2 P) a' h (stk, loc, C, M, pc) FRS
  and [simp]: ta =  $\varepsilon$  by(auto simp add: exec-1-iff)
from conf have confxcp': conf-xcp' P h xcp
  unfolding correct-state-def by(auto simp add: compP2-def)
then obtain D' where ha': typeof-addr h a' = [Class-type D'] and subclsD': P  $\vdash D' \preceq^* \text{Throwable}$ 
by auto
from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 body) by(auto dest: bisim1-xcp-pcD)
show ?thesis
proof(cases match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a') pc (ex-table-of (compP2 P) C M))
  case None
    from bisim have pc: pc < length (compE2 body) by(auto dest: bisim1-xcp-pcD)
    with sees' None have match: match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a') pc (compxE2 body 0)
  ) = None
    by(auto)
    with execs sees' have [simp]: ta =  $\varepsilon$  xcp' = [a'] h' = h frs' = FRS using match sees' by auto
      from conf obtain CCC where ha: typeof-addr h a' = [Class-type CCC] and subcls: P  $\vdash CCC \preceq^* \text{Throwable}$ 
      unfolding correct-state-def by(auto simp add: conf-f-def2 compP2-def)
      from bisim1-xcp-τRed[OF ha subcls bisim[unfolded Some], of λC M Ts T. compMb2] match lenxs
      bsok
        have red: τred1r P t h (e, xs) (Throw a', loc)
        and b': P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h  $\vdash (\text{Throw } a', \text{loc}) \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, \text{pc}, [a'])$ 
        by(auto simp add: compP2-def bsok-def)
        from red have Red: τRed1r P t h ((e, xs), exs) ((Throw a', loc), exs)
        by(rule τred1r-into-τRed1r)
        show ?thesis
        proof(cases FRS)
          case (Cons f' FRS')
            then obtain stk'' loc'' C'' M'' pc''
              where [simp]: FRS = (stk'', loc'', C'', M'', pc'') # FRS' by(cases f') fastforce
            from bisims obtain e'' xs'' EXS' where [simp]: exs = (e'', xs'') # EXS'
              by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons2)
            with bisims have bisim1-fr P h (e'', xs'') (stk'', loc'', C'', M'', pc'') by simp
            then obtain E'' Ts'' T'' body'' D'' a'' M''' vs''
              where [simp]: e'' = E''
              and sees'': P  $\vdash C'' \text{ sees } M'' : Ts'' \rightarrow T'' = [\text{body}'']$  in D''
              and bisim'': P,blocks1 0 (Class D''#Ts'') body'',h  $\vdash (E'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (\text{stk}'', \text{loc}'', \text{pc}'', \text{None})$ 
              and call'': call1 E'' = [(a'', M''', vs'')]
              and lenxs'': max-vars E''  $\leq \text{length } xs''$ 
              by(cases) fastforce
              let ?ee' = inline-call (Throw a') E''
              let ?e' = ?ee'
              let ?xs' = xs''

              from bisim'' call'' have pc'': pc'' < length (compE2 (blocks1 0 (Class D''#Ts'') body''))
              by(rule bisim1-call-pcD)
              hence pc'': pc'' < length (compE2 body'') by simp
              with sees-method-compP[OF sees'', where f=λC M Ts T. compMb2]
                sees-method-compP[OF sees, where f=λC M Ts T. compMb2] conf
                obtain ST LT where Φ: compTP P C'' M'' ! pc'' = [(ST, LT)]
                and conff: conf-f (compP (λC M Ts T. compMb2) P) h (ST, LT) (compE2 body'' @ [Return])
                (stk'', loc'', C'', M'', pc'')
                and ins: (compE2 body'' @ [Return]) ! pc'' = Invoke M (length Ts)

```

```

unfolding correct-state-def
by(fastforce simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def dest: sees-method-fun)
from bisim1-callD[OF bisim'' call'', of M length Ts] ins pc''
have [simp]:  $M''' = M$  by simp

have True, P, t  $\vdash 1 \langle (Throw a', loc) / (E'', xs'') \# EXS', h \rangle -\varepsilon \rightarrow \langle (inline-call (Throw a') E'', xs'') / EXS', h \rangle$ 
by(rule red1Return) simp
moreover have  $\tau Move1 P h ((Throw a', loc), (E'', xs'') \# EXS')$  by fastforce
ultimately have  $\tau Red1 P t h ((Throw a', loc), (E'', xs'') \# EXS') ((?e', ?xs'), EXS')$  by simp
moreover
have bisim1-list1 t h (?e', ?xs') EXS' [ $a'$ ] ((stk'', loc'', C'', M'', pc'') # FRS')
proof
from conf' show compTP P  $\vdash t: ([a'], h, (stk'', loc'', C'', M'', pc'') \# FRS') \vee$  by simp
from sees'' show P  $\vdash C''$  sees  $M'': Ts'' \rightarrow T'' = [\text{body}']$  in D''.
from bisim1-inline-call-Throw[OF bisim'' call'', of length Ts a'] ins pc''
show P, blocks1 0 (Class D''# Ts'') body'', h  $\vdash (inline-call (Throw a') E'', xs'') \leftrightarrow (stk'', loc'', pc'', [a'])$ 
by simp
from lenxs'' max-vars-inline-call[of Throw a' E'']
show max-vars (inline-call (Throw a') E'')  $\leq$  length xs'' by simp
from bisims show list-all2 (bisim1-fr P h) EXS' FRS' by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using Red
by(auto simp del:  $\tau Red1$ -conv intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl rtranclp-into-tranclp1)
next
case [simp]: Nil
with bisims have [simp]: exs = [] by simp
from hconf have bisim1-list1 t h (Throw a', loc) [] [ $a'$ ] [] by(rule bl1-finalThrow)
thus ?thesis using Red
by(auto simp del:  $\tau Red1$ -conv intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl rtranclp-into-tranclp1 simp
add: sim21-size-def)
qed
next
case (Some pcd)
then obtain pch d where match: match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of h a') pc (ex-table-of
(compP2 P) C M) = [(pch, d)]
by(cases pcd) auto
with  $\tau$  sees' pc have  $\tau': \tau move2 (compP2 P) h stk body pc [a']$  by(simp add: compP2-def
compMb2-def  $\tau move2$ -iff)
from match execs have [simp]:  $h' = h$   $xcp' = None$ 
frs' = (Addr a' # drop (length stk - d) stk, loc, C, M, pch) # FRS by simp-all
from bisim match sees'
have d  $\leq$  length stk by(auto intro: bisim1-match-Some-stk-length simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def)
with match sees'
have execm: exec-move-d P t (blocks1 0 (Class D# Ts) body) h (stk, loc, pc, [a']) ta h' (Addr a'
# drop (length stk - d) stk, loc, pch, None)
by(auto simp add: exec-move-def exec-meth-xcpt)
from conf obtain ST where compP2 P, h  $\vdash$  stk  $[\leq]$  ST by(auto simp add: correct-state-def
conf-f-def2)
hence ST: P, h  $\vdash$  stk  $[\leq]$  ST by(rule List.list-all2-mono)(simp add: compP2-def)
from red1-simulates-exec-instr[OF wf hconf tconf bisim[unfolded  $xcp = [a']$ ] execm - bsok ST]
lenxs ha' subclsD'  $\tau'$ 
obtain e'' xs''
```

```

where b': P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (Addr a' # drop (length stk - d)
stk, loc, pch, None)
and red: (if pc < pch then τred1r else τred1t) P t h (e, xs) (e'', xs'') and [simp]: h' = h
by(auto split: if-split-asm intro: τmove2xcp simp add: compP2-def simp del: blocks1.simps)
have Red: (if sim21-size (compP2 P) (xcp', frs') (xcp, frs) then τRed1r else τRed1t) P t h ((e,
xs), exs) ((e'', xs''), exs)
proof(cases pc < pch)
case True
from bisim b' have pc ≤ Suc (length (compE2 body)) pch ≤ Suc (length (compE2 body))
by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)
with sees True have sim21-size (compP2 P) (xcp', frs') (xcp, frs)
by(auto simp add: sim21-size-def)(auto simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def intro: sim21-size-aux.intros)
with red True show ?thesis by simp(rule τred1r-into-τRed1r)
next
case False
thus ?thesis using red by(auto intro: τred1t-into-τRed1t τred1r-into-τRed1r)
qed
moreover from red lenxs
have max-vars e'' ≤ length xs''
apply(auto dest: τred1r-max-vars τred1r-preserves-len τred1t-max-vars τred1t-preserves-len
split: if-split-asm)
apply(frule τred1r-max-vars τred1t-max-vars, drule τred1r-preserves-len τred1t-preserves-len,
simp)+
done
with conf' sees b'
have bisim1-list1 t h (e'', xs'') exs None ((Addr a' # drop (length stk - d) stk, loc, C, M, pch)
# FRS)
using bisims unfolding ⟨h' = h⟩ ⟨xcp' = None⟩
⟨frs' = (Addr a' # drop (length stk - d) stk, loc, C, M, pch) # FRS⟩
by rule
ultimately show ?thesis by(auto split del: if-split)
qed
qed
qed(insert exec, auto simp add: exec-1-iff elim!: jvmd-NormalE)

lemma τRed1-simulates-exec-1-not-τ:
assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
and exec: exec-1-d (compP2 P) t (Normal (xcp, h, frs)) ta (Normal (xcp', h', frs'))
and bisim: bisim1-list1 t h (e, xs) exs xcp frs
and τ: ¬ τMove2 (compP2 P) (xcp, h, frs)
shows ∃ e' xs' exs' ta' e'' xs'' exs''. τRed1r P t h ((e, xs), exs) ((e', xs'), exs') ∧
True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨(e', xs')/exs', h⟩ − ta' → ⟨(e'', xs'')/exs'', h'⟩ ∧
¬ τMove1 P h ((e', xs'), exs') ∧ ta-bisim wbisim1 ta' ta ∧
bisim1-list1 t h' (e'', xs'') exs'' xcp' frs' ∧
(call1 e = None ∨
(case frs of Nil ⇒ False | (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # FRS ⇒ ∀ M' n.
instrs-of (compP2 P) C M ! pc ≠ Invoke M' n) ∨
e' = e ∧ xs' = xs ∧ exs' = exs))

using bisim
proof cases
case (bl1-Normal stk loc C M pc FRS Ts T body D)
hence [simp]: frs = (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # FRS
and conf: compTP P ⊢ t: (xcp, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # FRS) √

```

```

and sees:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{body} \rfloor \text{ in } D$ 
and bisim:  $P, \text{blocks1 } 0 \ (\text{Class } D \# Ts) \ \text{body}, h \vdash (e, xs) \leftrightarrow (stk, loc, pc, xcp)$ 
and lenxs:  $\text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } xs$ 
and bisims:  $\text{list-all2 } (\text{bisim1-fr } P h) \ exs \ FRS \text{ by auto}$ 

from sees-method-compP[OF sees, where  $f = \lambda C \ M \ Ts \ T. \ compMb2]$ 
have sees':  $\text{compP2 } P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (\text{max-stack body}, \text{max-vars body}, \text{compE2 body}) @ [Return], \text{compxE2 body } 0 \ 0 \rfloor \text{ in } D$ 
by(simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def)
from bisim have pc:  $pc \leq \text{length } (\text{compE2 body}) \text{ by(auto dest: bisim1-pc-length-compE2)}$ 
from conf have hconf:  $hconf \ h \text{ preallocated } h \text{ and tconf: } P, h \vdash t \ \sqrt{t}$ 
unfolding correct-state-def by(simp-all add: compP2-def tconf-def)

from sees wf have bsok:  $bsok \ (\text{blocks1 } 0 \ (\text{Class } D \ # \ Ts) \ \text{body}) \ 0$ 
by(auto dest!: sees-wf-mdecl simp add: bsok-def wf-mdecl-def WT1-expr-locks)

from exec obtain check:  $\text{check } (\text{compP2 } P) \ (xcp, h, frs)$ 
and exec:  $\text{compP2 } P, t \vdash (xcp, h, frs) -ta-jvm\rightarrow (xcp', h', frs')$ 
by(rule jvmd-NormalE)(auto simp add: exec-1-iff)

from wt-compTP-compP2[OF wf] exec conf
have conf':  $\text{compTP } P \vdash t: (xcp', h', frs') \ \sqrt{t} \text{ by(auto intro: BV-correct-1)}$ 

show ?thesis
proof(cases xcp)
case [simp]: None
from exec have execi:  $(ta, xcp', h', frs') \in \text{exec-instr } (\text{instrs-of } (\text{compP2 } P) \ C \ M ! \ pc) \ (\text{compP2 } P) \ t \ h \ stk \ loc \ C \ M \ pc \ FRS$ 
by(simp add: exec-1-iff)
show ?thesis
proof(cases length frs' = Suc (length FRS))
case True
with pc execi sees' have pc:  $pc < \text{length } (\text{compE2 body})$ 
by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta)
with execi sees' have execi:  $(ta, xcp', h', frs') \in \text{exec-instr } (\text{compE2 body} ! \ pc) \ (\text{compP2 } P) \ t \ h \ stk \ loc \ C \ M \ pc \ FRS$ 
by(simp)
from τ sees' True pc have τi:  $\neg \tau move2 \ (\text{compP2 } P) \ h \ stk \ body \ pc \ None \text{ by(simp add: } \tau move2\text{-iff)}$ 
from execi True sees' pc have  $\exists \ stk' \ loc' \ pc'. \ frs' = (stk', loc', C, M, pc') \ # \ FRS$ 
by(cases (compE2 body @ [Return]) ! pc)(auto split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm simp add: split-beta, auto split: extCallRet.splits)
then obtain stk' loc' pc' where [simp]:  $frs' = (stk', loc', C, M, pc') \ # \ FRS \text{ by blast}$ 
from conf obtain ST where  $\text{compP2 } P, h \vdash \text{stk} \ [:\leq] \ ST \text{ by(auto simp add: correct-state-def conf-f-def2)}$ 
hence ST:  $P, h \vdash \text{stk} \ [:\leq] \ ST \text{ by(rule List.list-all2-mono)(simp add: compP2-def)}$ 
from execi sees True check pc
have exec':  $\text{exec-move-d } P \ t \ (\text{blocks1 } 0 \ (\text{Class } D \# Ts) \ \text{body}) \ h \ (stk, loc, pc, xcp) \ ta \ h' \ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')$ 
apply(auto simp add: compP2-def compMb2-def exec-move-def check-def exec-meth-instr split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm)
apply(cases compE2 body ! pc)
apply(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv split-beta split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm)
apply(force split: extCallRet.split-asm)
apply(cases compE2 body ! pc, auto simp add: split-beta neq-Nil-conv split: if-split-asm

```

```

sum.split-asm)
  done
  from red1-simulates-exec-instr[OF wf hconf tconf bisim this - bsok ST] lenxs τi obtain e'' xs'' ta' e' xs'
    where bisim': P,blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body,h' ⊢ (e'', xs'') ↔ (stk', loc', pc', xcp')
    and red1: τred1r P t h (e, xs) (e', xs') and red2: True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e',(h, xs')⟩ − ta' → ⟨e'',(h', xs'')⟩
    and τ1: ¬ τmove1 P h e' and tabisim: ta-bisim wbisim1 (extTA2J1 P ta') ta
    and call: call1 e = None ∨ no-call2 (blocks1 0 (Class D # Ts) body) pc ∨ e' = e ∧ xs' = xs
      by(fastforce simp del: blocks1.simps)
    from red1 have Red1: τRed1r P t h ((e, xs), exs) ((e', xs'), exs)
      by(rule τred1r-into-τRed1r)
    moreover from red2 have True,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨(e', xs')/exs, h⟩ − extTA2J1 P ta' → ⟨(e'', xs'')/exs, h'⟩
      by(rule red1Red)
    moreover from τ1 red2 have ¬ τMove1 P h ((e', xs'), exs) by auto
    moreover from τred1r-max-vars[OF red1] lenxs τred1r-preserves-len[OF red1]
    have max-vars e' ≤ length xs' by simp
    with red1-preserves-len[OF red2] red1-max-vars[OF red2]
    have max-vars e'' ≤ length xs'' by simp
    with conf' sees bisim'
    have bisim1-list1 t h' (e'', xs'') exs xcp' ((stk', loc', C, M, pc') # FRS)
      unfolding ⟨frs' = (stk', loc', C, M, pc') # FRS⟩
    proof
      from red2 have hext h h' by(auto dest: red1-hext-incr)
      from bisims show list-all2 (bisim1-fr P h') exs FRS
        by(rule List.list-all2-mono)(erule bisim1-fr-hext-mono[OF - ⟨hext h h'⟩])
    qed
    moreover from call sees'
    have call1 e = None ∨ (∀ M' n. instrs-of (compP2 P) C M ! pc ≠ Invoke M' n) ∨ e' = e ∧ xs' = xs
      by(auto simp add: no-call2-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis using tabisim
      by(fastforce simp del: split-paired-Ex)
  next
    case False
    with execi sees pc compE2-not-Return[of body]
    have (pc = length (compE2 body) ∨ (∃ M n. compE2 body ! pc = Invoke M n)) ∧ xcp' = None
      apply(cases compE2 body ! pc)
      apply(auto split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm simp add: split-beta compP2-def compMb2-def)
      apply(auto split: extCallRet.splits)
      apply(metis in-set-conv-nth)+
      done
    hence [simp]: xcp' = None
      and pc = length (compE2 body) ∨ (∃ M n. compE2 body ! pc = Invoke M n) by simp-all
    moreover
    { assume [simp]: pc = length (compE2 body)
      with sees-method-compP[OF sees, where f=λC M Ts T. compMb2] τ have False by(auto simp add: compMb2-def compP2-def)
      hence ?thesis .. }
    moreover {
      assume ∃ M n. compE2 body ! pc = Invoke M n
        and pc ≠ length (compE2 body)
      with pc obtain MM n where ins: compE2 body ! pc = Invoke MM n
        and pc: pc < length (compE2 body) by auto
      with bisim1-Invoke-stkD[OF bisim[unfolded None], of MM n] obtain vs' v' stk'
    }
  
```

```

  where [simp]:  $stk = vs' @ v' \# stk' n = length vs'$  by auto
  with  $\tau$  sees' execi pc ins have  $\text{False}$  by auto ( $\text{auto split: extCallRet.split-asm}$ ) }
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
  case [simp]: (Some ad)
  from bisim have pc:  $pc < length (\text{compE2 body})$  by( $\text{auto dest: bisim1-xcp-pcD}$ )
  with  $\tau$  sees' have  $\text{False}$  by auto
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
qed(insert exec, auto simp add: exec-1-iff elim!: jvmd-NormalE)

end

end

```

7.19 Correctness of Stage 2: The multithreaded setting

```

theory Correctness2
imports
  J1JVM
  JVMJ1
  ..../BV/BVProgressThreaded
begin

declare Listn.lesub-list-impl-same-size[simp del]

context J1-JVM-heap-conf-base begin

lemma bisim1-list1-has-methodD:  $\text{bisim1-list1 } t \text{ h ex exs xcp } ((stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \implies P \vdash C$ 
  has M
  by(fastforce elim!: bisim1-list1.cases intro: has-methodI)

end

declare compP-has-method [simp]

sublocale J1-JVM-heap-conf-base < Red1-exec:
  delay-bisimulation-base mred1 P t mexec (compP2 P) t wbisim1 t ta-bisim wbisim1  $\tau\text{MOVE1 } P$ 
   $\tau\text{MOVE2 } (\text{compP2 } P)$ 
  for t
  .

sublocale J1-JVM-heap-conf-base < Red1-execd: delay-bisimulation-base
  mred1 P t
  mexecd (compP2 P) t
  wbisim1 t
  ta-bisim wbisim1
   $\tau\text{MOVE1 } P$ 
   $\tau\text{MOVE2 } (\text{compP2 } P)$ 
  for t
  .

```

```

context JVM-heap-base begin

lemma  $\tau_{exec-1-d-silent-move}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{exec-1-d} P t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h', frs') \\ & \implies \tau_{trsys.silent-move} (\text{mexecd } P t) (\tau_{MOVE2} P) ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h') \end{aligned}$$

apply(rule  $\tau_{trsys.silent-move.intros}$ )
apply auto
apply(rule  $exec-1-d-NormalI$ )
apply(auto simp add: exec-1-iff exec-d-def)
done

lemma  $\text{silent-move-}\tau_{exec-1-d}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{trsys.silent-move} (\text{mexecd } P t) (\tau_{MOVE2} P) ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h') \\ & \implies \tau_{exec-1-d} P t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h', frs') \end{aligned}$$

apply(erule  $\tau_{trsys.silent-move.cases}$ )
apply clarsimp
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(auto simp add: exec-1-iff)
done

lemma  $\tau_{Exec-1-dr-rtranclpD}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{Exec-1-dr} P t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h', frs') \\ & \implies \tau_{trsys.silent-moves} (\text{mexecd } P t) (\tau_{MOVE2} P) ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h') \end{aligned}$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-rtrancl-into-rtrancl  $\tau_{exec-1-d-silent-move}$ )+

lemma  $\tau_{Exec-1-dt-tranclpD}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{Exec-1-dt} P t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h', frs') \\ & \implies \tau_{trsys.silent-movet} (\text{mexecd } P t) (\tau_{MOVE2} P) ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h') \end{aligned}$$

by(induct rule: tranclp-induct3)(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl  $\tau_{exec-1-d-silent-move}$ )+

lemma  $rtranclp\text{-}\tau_{Exec-1-dr}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{trsys.silent-moves} (\text{mexecd } P t) (\tau_{MOVE2} P) ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h') \\ & \implies \tau_{Exec-1-dr} P t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h', frs') \end{aligned}$$

by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct[of - ((ax, ay), az) ((bx, by), bz), split-rule, consumes 1])(blast intro: rtranclp.rtrancl-into-rtrancl silent-move- $\tau_{exec-1-d}$ )+

lemma  $tranclp\text{-}\tau_{Exec-1-dt}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{trsys.silent-movet} (\text{mexecd } P t) (\tau_{MOVE2} P) ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h') \\ & \implies \tau_{Exec-1-dt} P t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h', frs') \end{aligned}$$

by(induct rule: tranclp-induct[of - ((ax, ay), az) ((bx, by), bz), split-rule, consumes 1])(blast intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl silent-move- $\tau_{exec-1-d}$ )+

lemma  $\tau_{Exec-1-dr-conv-rtranclp}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{Exec-1-dr} P t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h', frs') = \\ & \tau_{trsys.silent-moves} (\text{mexecd } P t) (\tau_{MOVE2} P) ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h') \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro:  $\tau_{Exec-1-dr-rtranclpD}$  rtranclp- $\tau_{Exec-1-dr}$ )

lemma  $\tau_{Exec-1-dt-conv-tranclp}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \tau_{Exec-1-dt} P t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h', frs') = \\ & \tau_{trsys.silent-movet} (\text{mexecd } P t) (\tau_{MOVE2} P) ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h') \end{aligned}$$

by(blast intro:  $\tau_{Exec-1-dt-tranclpD}$  tranclp- $\tau_{Exec-1-dt}$ )

end

```

context J1-JVM-conf-read **begin**

lemma Red1-execd-weak-bisim:

assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P

shows delay-bisimulation-measure (mred1 P t) (mexecd (compP2 P) t) (wbisim1 t) (ta-bisim wbisim1) (τ MOVE1 P) (τ MOVE2 (compP2 P)) ($\lambda(((e, xs), exs), h) (((e', xs'), exs'), h')$). sim12-size e < sim12-size e' ($\lambda(xcpfrs, h) (xcpfrs', h)$). sim21-size (compP2 P) xcpfrs xcpfrs'

proof

fix s1 s2 s1'

assume wbisim1 t s1 s2 **and** τ trsys.silent-move (mred1 P t) (τ MOVE1 P) s1 s1'

moreover obtain e xs exs h **where** s1: s1 = (((e, xs), exs), h) **by**(cases s1) auto

moreover obtain e' xs' exs' h1' **where** s1': s1' = (((e', xs'), exs'), h1') **by**(cases s1') auto

moreover obtain xcp frs h2 **where** s2: s2 = ((xcp, frs), h2) **by**(cases s2) auto

ultimately have [simp]: h2 = h **and** red: True, P, t $\vdash 1 \langle (e, xs)/exs, h \rangle \rightarrow \langle (e', xs')/exs', h1' \rangle$

and $\tau: \tau$ Move1 P h ((e, xs), exs) **and** bisim: bisim1-list1 t h (e, xs) exs xcp frs **by**(auto)

from red τ bisim **have** h1' [simp]: h1' = h **by**(auto dest: τ move1-heap-unchanged elim!: Red1.cases bisim1-list1.cases)

from exec-1-simulates-Red1- τ [OF wf red[unfolded h1']] bisim τ **obtain** xcp' frs'

where exec: (if sim12-size e' < sim12-size e then τ Exec-1-dr else τ Exec-1-dt) (compP2 P) t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h, frs')

and bisim': bisim1-list1 t h (e', xs') exs' xcp' frs' **by** blast

from exec **have** (if ($\lambda(((e, xs), exs), h) (((e', xs'), exs'), h')$). sim12-size e < sim12-size e') (((e', xs'), exs'), h) (((e, xs), exs), h) then τ trsys.silent-moves (mexecd (compP2 P) t) (τ MOVE2 (compP2 P)) else τ trsys.silent-movet (mexecd (compP2 P) t) (τ MOVE2 (compP2 P)) ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h)

by(auto simp add: τ Exec-1-dr-conv-rtranclp τ Exec-1-dt-conv-tranclp)

thus wbisim1 t s1' s2 \wedge ($\lambda(((e, xs), exs), h) (((e', xs'), exs'), h')$. sim12-size e < sim12-size e')++ s1' s1 \vee

($\exists s2'. (\tau$ trsys.silent-movet (mexecd (compP2 P) t) (τ MOVE2 (compP2 P))) s2 s2' \wedge wbisim1 t s1' s2')

using bisim' s1 s1' s2

by -(rule delay-bisimulation-base.simulation-silent1I', auto split del: if-split)

next

fix s1 s2 s2'

assume wbisim1 t s1 s2 **and** τ trsys.silent-move (mexecd (compP2 P) t) (τ MOVE2 (compP2 P)) s2 s2'

moreover obtain e xs exs h1 **where** s1: s1 = (((e, xs), exs), h1) **by**(cases s1) auto

moreover obtain xcp frs h **where** s2: s2 = ((xcp, frs), h) **by**(cases s2) auto

moreover obtain xcp' frs' h2' **where** s2': s2' = ((xcp', frs'), h2') **by**(cases s2') auto

ultimately have [simp]: h1 = h **and** exec: exec-1-d (compP2 P) t (Normal (xcp, h, frs)) ε (Normal (xcp', h2', frs'))

and $\tau: \tau$ Move2 (compP2 P) (xcp, h, frs) **and** bisim: bisim1-list1 t h (e, xs) exs xcp frs **by**(auto)

from τ Red1-simulates-exec-1- τ [OF wf exec bisim τ]

obtain e' xs' exs' **where** [simp]: h2' = h

and red: (if sim21-size (compP2 P) (xcp', frs') (xcp, frs) then τ Red1r else τ Red1t) P t h ((e, xs), exs) ((e', xs'), exs')

and bisim': bisim1-list1 t h (e', xs') exs' xcp' frs' **by** blast

from red **have** (if ($\lambda(xcpfrs, h) (xcpfrs', h)$. sim21-size (compP2 P) xcpfrs xcpfrs') ((xcp', frs'), h2') ((xcp, frs), h)) then τ trsys.silent-moves (mred1 P t) (τ MOVE1 P) else τ trsys.silent-movet (mred1 P t) (τ MOVE1 P) (((e, xs), exs), h) (((e', xs'), exs'), h)

by(auto dest: τ Red1r-rtranclpD τ Red1t-tranclpD)

thus wbisim1 t s1 s2' \wedge ($\lambda(xcpfrs, h) (xcpfrs', h)$. sim21-size (compP2 P) xcpfrs xcpfrs')++ s2' s2

\vee

($\exists s1'. \tau$ trsys.silent-movet (mred1 P t) (τ MOVE1 P) s1 s1' \wedge wbisim1 t s1' s2')

```

using bisim' s1 s2 s2'
by -(rule delay-bisimulation-base.simulation-silent2I', auto split del: if-split)
next
fix s1 s2 tl1 s1'
assume wbisim1 t s1 s2 and mred1 P t s1 tl1 s1' and  $\neg \tau MOVE1 P s1 tl1 s1'$ 
moreover obtain e xs exs h where s1: s1 = (((e, xs), exs), h) by(cases s1) auto
moreover obtain e' xs' exs' h1' where s1': s1' = (((e', xs'), exs'), h1') by(cases s1') auto
moreover obtain xcp frs h2 where s2: s2 = ((xcp, frs), h2) by(cases s2) auto
ultimately have [simp]: h2 = h and red: True, P, t  $\vdash 1 \langle (e, xs)/exs, h \rangle - tl1 \rightarrow \langle (e', xs')/exs', h1' \rangle$ 
and  $\tau: \neg \tau Move1 P h ((e, xs), exs)$  and bisim: bisim1-list1 t h (e, xs) exs xcp frs
by(fastforce elim!: Red1.cases dest: red1- $\tau$ -taD)+
from exec-1-simulates-Red1-not- $\tau$ [OF wf red bisim  $\tau$ ] obtain ta' xcp' frs' xcp'' frs'''
where exec1:  $\tau Exec-1-dr (compP2 P) t (xcp, h, frs) (xcp', h, frs')$ 
and exec2: exec-1-d (compP2 P) t (Normal (xcp', h, frs')) ta' (Normal (xcp'', h1', frs''))
and  $\tau': \neg \tau Move2 (compP2 P) (xcp', h, frs')$ 
and bisim': bisim1-list1 t h1' (e', xs') exs' xcp'' frs''
and ta': ta-bisim wbisim1 tl1 ta' by blast
from exec1 have  $\tau trsyst.silent-moves (mexecd (compP2 P) t) (\tau MOVE2 (compP2 P)) ((xcp, frs), h) ((xcp', frs'), h)$ 
by(rule  $\tau Exec-1-dr-rtrancldP$ )
thus  $\exists s2' s2'' tl2. \tau trsyst.silent-moves (mexecd (compP2 P) t) (\tau MOVE2 (compP2 P)) s2 s2' \wedge$ 
mexecd (compP2 P) t s2' tl2 s2''  $\wedge \neg \tau MOVE2 (compP2 P) s2' tl2 s2'' \wedge$ 
wbisim1 t s1' s2''  $\wedge$  ta-bisim wbisim1 tl1 tl2
using bisim' exec2  $\tau' s1 s1' s2 ta'$  unfolding  $\langle h2 = h \rangle$ 
apply(subst (1 2) split-paired-Ex)
apply(subst (1 2) split-paired-Ex)
by clarify ((rule exI conjI|assumption)+, auto)
next
fix s1 s2 tl2 s2'
assume wbisim1 t s1 s2 and mexecd (compP2 P) t s2 tl2 s2' and  $\neg \tau MOVE2 (compP2 P) s2 tl2 s2'$ 
moreover obtain e xs exs h1 where s1: s1 = (((e, xs), exs), h1) by(cases s1) auto
moreover obtain xcp frs h where s2: s2 = ((xcp, frs), h) by(cases s2) auto
moreover obtain xcp' frs' h2' where s2': s2' = ((xcp', frs'), h2') by(cases s2') auto
ultimately have [simp]: h1 = h and exec: exec-1-d (compP2 P) t (Normal (xcp, h, frs)) tl2
(Normal (xcp', h2', frs'))
and  $\tau: \neg \tau Move2 (compP2 P) (xcp, h, frs)$  and bisim: bisim1-list1 t h (e, xs) exs xcp frs
apply auto
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases xcp)
apply auto
apply(rename-tac stk loc C M pc frs)
apply(case-tac instrs-of (compP2 P) C M ! pc)
apply(simp-all split: if-split-asm)
apply(auto dest!:  $\tau external-red-external-aggr-TA-empty simp add: check-def has-method-def \tau external-def \tau external'-def$ )
done
from  $\tau Red1$ -simulates-exec-1-not- $\tau$ [OF wf exec bisim  $\tau$ ] obtain e' xs' exs' ta' e'' xs'' exs'''
where red1:  $\tau Red1r P t h ((e, xs), exs) ((e', xs'), exs')$ 
and red2: True, P, t  $\vdash 1 \langle (e', xs')/exs', h \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle (e'', xs'')/exs'', h2' \rangle$ 
and  $\tau': \neg \tau Move1 P h ((e', xs'), exs')$  and ta': ta-bisim wbisim1 ta' tl2
and bisim': bisim1-list1 t h2' (e'', xs'') exs'' xcp' frs' by blast
from red1 have  $\tau trsyst.silent-moves (mred1 P t) (\tau MOVE1 P) (((e, xs), exs), h) (((e', xs'), exs'), h)$ 

```

```

by(rule  $\tau Red1r-rtranclpD$ )
thus  $\exists s1' s1'' tl1. \tau trsys.silent-moves (mred1 P t) (\tau MOVE1 P) s1 s1' \wedge mred1 P t s1' tl1 s1'' \wedge$ 
      $\neg \tau MOVE1 P s1' tl1 s1'' \wedge wbisim1 t s1'' s2' \wedge ta-bisim wbisim1 tl1 tl2$ 
using bisim' red2  $\tau' s1 s2 s2' \langle h = h' \rangle ta'$ 
apply -
apply(rule exI[where  $x=((e', xs'), exs'), h]$ ])
apply(rule exI[where  $x=((e'', xs''), exs''), h2'$ ])
apply(rule exI[where  $x=ta'$ ])
apply auto
done

next
have wf (inv-image  $\{(x, y). x < y\} (\lambda(((e, xs), exs), h). sim12\text{-size } e)$ )
  by(rule wf-inv-image)(rule wf-less)
also have inv-image  $\{(x, y). x < y\} (\lambda(((e, xs), exs), h). sim12\text{-size } e) =$ 
   $\{(x, y). (\lambda(((e, xs), exs), h) (((e', xs'), exs'), h')). sim12\text{-size } e < sim12\text{-size } e') x y\}$  by auto
finally show wfP  $(\lambda(((e, xs), exs), h) (((e', xs'), exs'), h')). sim12\text{-size } e < sim12\text{-size } e')$ 
  unfolding wfP-def .

next
from wfP-sim21-size
have wf  $\{(xcpfrs, xcpfrs'). sim21\text{-size } (compP2 P) xcpfrs xcpfrs'\}$  by(unfold wfP-def)
hence wf (inv-image  $\{(xcpfrs, xcpfrs'). sim21\text{-size } (compP2 P) xcpfrs xcpfrs'\} fst$ ) by(rule wf-inv-image)
also have inv-image  $\{(xcpfrs, xcpfrs'). sim21\text{-size } (compP2 P) xcpfrs xcpfrs'\} fst =$ 
   $\{((xcpfrs, h), (xcpfrs', h)). sim21\text{-size } (compP2 P) xcpfrs xcpfrs'\}$  by auto
also have ... =  $\{(x, y). (\lambda(xcpfrs, h) (xcpfrs', h). sim21\text{-size } (compP2 P) xcpfrs xcpfrs') x y\}$ 
by(auto)
finally show wfP  $(\lambda(xcpfrs, h) (xcpfrs', h). sim21\text{-size } (compP2 P) xcpfrs xcpfrs')$ 
  unfolding wfP-def .
qed

lemma Red1-execd-delay-bisim:
assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
shows delay-bisimulation-diverge (mred1 P t) (mexecd (compP2 P) t) (wbisim1 t) (ta-bisim wbisim1)
( $\tau MOVE1 P$ ) ( $\tau MOVE2 (compP2 P)$ )
proof -
interpret delay-bisimulation-measure
  mred1 P t mexecd (compP2 P) t wbisim1 t ta-bisim wbisim1  $\tau MOVE1 P \tau MOVE2 (compP2 P)$ 
   $\lambda(((e, xs), exs), h) (((e', xs'), exs'), h')). sim12\text{-size } e < sim12\text{-size } e'$ 
   $\lambda(xcpfrs, h) (xcpfrs', h). sim21\text{-size } (compP2 P) xcpfrs xcpfrs'$ 
  using wf by(rule Red1-execd-weak-bisim)
show ?thesis by(unfold-locales)
qed

end

definition bisim-wait1JVM :: 
  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1)  $\times$  ('addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1) list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
jvm-thread-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  bisim-wait1JVM P  $\equiv$ 
   $\lambda((e1, xs1), exs1) (xcp, frs). call1 e1 \neq None \wedge$ 
    (case frs of Nil  $\Rightarrow$  False | (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs'  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists M' n. instrs-of P C M ! pc = Invoke$ 
    M' n)
sublocale J1-JVM-heap-conf-base < Red1-execd:
```

```

FWbisimulation-base
final-expr1
mred1 P
JVM-final
mexecd (compP2 P)
convert-RA
wbisim1
bisim-wait1JVM (compP2 P)
.

sublocale JVM-heap-base < execd-mthr:
τmultithreaded
JVM-final
mexecd P
convert-RA
τMOVE2 P
for P
by(unfold-locales)

sublocale J1-JVM-heap-conf-base < Red1-execd:
FWdelay-bisimulation-base
final-expr1
mred1 P
JVM-final
mexecd (compP2 P)
convert-RA
wbisim1
bisim-wait1JVM (compP2 P)
τMOVE1 P
τMOVE2 (compP2 P)
by(unfold-locales)

context J1-JVM-conf-read begin

theorem Red1-exec1-FWwbisim:
assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
shows FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge final-expr1 (mred1 P) JVM-final (mexecd (compP2 P)) wbisim1
(bisim-wait1JVM (compP2 P)) (τMOVE1 P) (τMOVE2 (compP2 P))
proof -
let ?exec = mexecd (compP2 P)
let ?τexec = λt. τtrsys.silent-moves (mexecd (compP2 P) t) (τMOVE2 (compP2 P))
let ?τred = λt. τtrsys.silent-moves (mred1 P t) (τMOVE1 P)
interpret delay-bisimulation-diverge
mred1 P t ?exec t wbisim1 t ta-bisim wbisim1 τMOVE1 P τMOVE2 (compP2 P)
for t
using wf by(rule Red1-execd-delay-bisim)
show ?thesis
proof
fix t s1 s2
assume wbisim1 t s1 s2 (λ(x1, m). final-expr1 x1) s1
moreover obtain e xs exs m1 where [simp]: s1 = (((e, xs), exs), m1) by(cases s1) auto
moreover obtain xcp frs m2 where [simp]: s2 = ((xcp, frs), m2) by(cases s2) auto
ultimately have [simp]: m2 = m1 exs = []
and bisim1-list1 t m1 (e, xs) [] xcp frs

```

```

and final e by auto
from ⟨bisim1-list1 t m1 (e, xs) [] xcp frs⟩ ⟨final e⟩
show ∃ s2'. ?τexec t s2 s2' ∧ wbisim1 t s1 s2' ∧ (λ(x2, m). JVM-final x2) s2'
proof cases
  case (bl1-Normal stk loc C M pc frs' Ts T body D)
  hence [simp]: frs = [(stk, loc, C, M, pc)]
  and conf: compTP P ⊢ t:(xcp, m1, frs) √
  and sees: P ⊢ C sees M: Ts → T = [body] in D
  and bisim: P,blocks1 0 (Class D # Ts) body,m1 ⊢ (e, xs) ↔ (stk, loc, pc, xcp)
  and var: max-vars e ≤ length xs by auto
  from ⟨final e⟩ show ?thesis
proof cases
  fix v
  assume [simp]: e = Val v
  with bisim have [simp]: xcp = None xs = loc
  and exec: τExec-mover-a P t (blocks1 0 (Class D # Ts) body) m1 (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc,
length (compE2 body), None)
  by(auto dest!: bisim1Val2D1)
  from exec have τExec-mover-a P t body m1 (stk, loc, pc, xcp) ([v], loc, length (compE2 body),
None)
  unfolding τExec-mover-blocks1 .
  with sees have τExec-1r (compP2 P) t (xcp, m1, frs) (None, m1, [[v], loc, C, M, length
(compE2 body)])]
  by(auto intro: τExec-mover-τExec-1r)
  with wt-compTP-compP2[OF wf]
  have execd: τExec-1-dr (compP2 P) t (xcp, m1, frs) (None, m1, [[v], loc, C, M, length (compE2
body)])]
  using conf by(rule τExec-1r-τExec-1-dr)
  also from sees-method-compP[OF sees, of λC M Ts T. compMb2] sees max-stack1[of body]
  have τexec-1-d (compP2 P) t (None, m1, [[v], loc, C, M, length (compE2 body)]) (None, m1,
[])
  by(auto simp add: τexec-1-d-def compP2-def compMb2-def check-def has-methodI intro: exec-1I)
  finally have ?τexec t s2 ((None, []), m1)
  unfolding τExec-1-dr-conv-rtranclp by simp
  moreover have JVM-final (None, []) by simp
  moreover from conf have hconf m1 preallocated m1 unfolding correct-state-def by(simp-all)
  hence wbisim1 t s1 ((None, []), m1) by(auto intro: bisim1-list1.intros)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
  fix a
  assume [simp]: e = throw (addr a)
  hence ∃ stk' loc' pc'. τExec-mover-a P t body m1 (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', [a]) ∧
P,blocks1 0 (Class D # Ts) body,m1 ⊢ (Throw a, xs) ↔ (stk', loc', pc', [a])
  proof(cases xcp)
    case None
    with bisim show ?thesis
    by(fastforce dest!: bisim1-Throw-τExec-movet simp del: blocks1.simps intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)
  next
    case (Some a')
    with bisim have a = a' by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
    with Some bisim show ?thesis by(auto)
  qed
  then obtain stk' loc' pc'
  where exec: τExec-mover-a P t body m1 (stk, loc, pc, xcp) (stk', loc', pc', [a])

```

```

and bisim':  $P, \text{blocks}_1 0 (\text{Class } D \# Ts) \text{ body}, m1 \vdash (\text{throw} (\text{addr } a), xs) \leftrightarrow (stk', loc', pc', [a])$  by blast
  with sees have  $\tau\text{Exec-1r} (\text{compP2 } P) t (xcp, m1, frs) ([a], m1, [(stk', loc', C, M, pc')])$ 
    by(auto intro:  $\tau\text{Exec-mover-}\tau\text{Exec-1r}$ )
  with wt-compTP-compP2[OF wf]
  have execd:  $\tau\text{Exec-1-dr} (\text{compP2 } P) t (xcp, m1, frs) ([a], m1, [(stk', loc', C, M, pc')])$ 
    using conf by(rule  $\tau\text{Exec-1r-}\tau\text{Exec-1-dr}$ )
  also {
    from bisim1-xcp-Some-not-caught[OF bisim', of  $\lambda C M Ts T. \text{compMb2 } 0 \ 0$ ]
    have match-ex-table (compP2 P) (cname-of m1 a) pc' (compxE2 body 0 0) = None by(simp add: compP2-def)
    moreover from bisim' have pc' < length (compxE2 body) by(auto dest: bisim1-ThrowD)
    ultimately have  $\tau\text{exec-1} (\text{compP2 } P) t ([a], m1, [(stk', loc', C, M, pc')]) ([a], m1, [])$ 
      using sees-method-compP[OF sees, of  $\lambda C M Ts T. \text{compMb2}$ ] sees
      by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{exec-1-def compP2-def compMb2-def has-methodI intro: exec-1I}$ )
    moreover from wt-compTP-compP2[OF wf] execd conf
    have compTP P  $\vdash t: ([a], m1, [(stk', loc', C, M, pc')]) \vee$  by(rule  $\tau\text{Exec-1-dr-preserves-correct-state}$ )
      ultimately have  $\tau\text{exec-1-d} (\text{compP2 } P) t ([a], m1, [(stk', loc', C, M, pc')]) ([a], m1, [])$ 
        using wt-compTP-compP2[OF wf]
    by(auto simp add:  $\tau\text{exec-1-def } \tau\text{exec-1-d-def welltyped-commute[symmetric] elim: jvmd-NormalE}$ )
  }
  finally have ? $\tau\text{exec } t \ s2 \ (([a], []), m1)$ 
  unfolding  $\tau\text{Exec-1-dr-conv-rtranclp}$  by simp
  moreover have JVM-final ([a], []) by simp
  moreover from conf have hconf m1 preallocated m1 by(simp-all add: correct-state-def)
  hence wbisim1 t s1 (([a], []), m1) by(auto intro: bisim1-list1.intros)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed(auto intro!: exI bisim1-list1.intros)
next
  fix t s1 s2
  assume wbisim1 t s1 s2 ( $\lambda(x2, m). \text{JVM-final } x2$ ) s2
  moreover obtain e xs exs m1 where [simp]:  $s1 = (((e, xs), exs), m1)$  by(cases s1) auto
  moreover obtain xcp frs m2 where [simp]:  $s2 = ((xcp, frs), m2)$  by(cases s2) auto
  ultimately have [simp]:  $m2 = m1 \ exs = [] \ frs = []$ 
    and bisim: bisim1-list1 t m1 (e, xs) [] xcp [] by(auto elim: bisim1-list1.cases)
  hence final e by(auto elim: bisim1-list1.cases)
  thus  $\exists s1'. \ ?\tau\text{red } t \ s1 \ s1' \wedge wbisim1 t \ s1' \ s2 \wedge (\lambda(x1, m). \text{final-expr1 } x1) \ s1'$  using bisim by auto
next
  fix t' x m1 xx m2 t x1 x2 x1' ta1 x1'' m1' x2' ta2 x2'' m2'
  assume b: wbisim1 t' (x, m1) (xx, m2) and b': wbisim1 t (x1, m1) (x2, m2)
  and  $\tau\text{red}: ?\tau\text{red } t (x1, m1) (x1', m1)$ 
  and red: mred1 P t (x1', m1) ta1 (x1'', m1')
  and  $\neg \tau\text{MOVE1 } P (x1', m1) \ ta1 (x1'', m1')$ 
  and  $\tau\text{exec}: ?\tau\text{exec } t (x2, m2) (x2', m2)$ 
  and exec: ?exec t (x2', m2) ta2 (x2'', m2')
  and  $\neg \tau\text{MOVE2 } (\text{compP2 } P) (x2', m2) \ ta2 (x2'', m2')$ 
  and b2: wbisim1 t (x1'', m1') (x2'', m2')
  from red have hext m1 m1' by(auto simp add: split-beta intro: Red1-hext-incr)
  moreover from b2 have m1' = m2' by(cases x1'', cases x2'') simp
  moreover from b2 have hconf m2'
    by(cases x1'', cases x2'')(auto elim!: bisim1-list1.cases simp add: correct-state-def)
  moreover from b' exec have preallocated m2
    by(cases x1, cases x2)(auto elim!: bisim1-list1.cases simp add: correct-state-def)

```

```

moreover from  $b' \tau\text{red red have } t\text{conf: } \text{compP2 } P, m2 \vdash t \sqrt{t}$ 
  by(cases  $x1$ , cases  $x2$ )(auto elim!: bisim1-list1.cases Red1.cases simp add: correct-state-def
 $\tau\text{mreds1-Val-Nil } \tau\text{mreds1-Throw-Nil}$ )
from  $\tau\text{exec have } \tau\text{exec': } \tau\text{Exec-1-dr (compP2 } P) t (\text{fst } x2, m2, \text{snd } x2) (\text{fst } x2', m2, \text{snd } x2')$ 
  unfolding  $\tau\text{Exec-1-dr-conv-rtranclp}$  by simp
with  $b' \text{tconf have } \text{compTP } P \vdash t: (\text{fst } x2', m2, \text{snd } x2') \sqrt{t}$ 
  using ⟨preallocated  $m2$ ⟩
apply(cases  $x1$ , cases  $x2$ )
apply(erule  $\tau\text{Exec-1-dr-preserves-correct-state[OF wt-compTP-compP2[OF wf]]}$ )
apply(auto elim!: bisim1-list1.cases simp add: correct-state-def)
done
ultimately show  $w\text{bisim1 } t' (x, m1') (xx, m2') \text{ using } b \text{ exec}$ 
  apply(cases  $x$ , cases  $xx$ )
  apply(auto elim!: bisim1-list1.cases intro!: bisim1-list1.intros simp add: split-beta intro: preallocated-hext)
    apply(erule (2) correct-state-heap-change[OF wt-compTP-compP2[OF wf]])
    apply(erule (1) bisim1-hext-mono)
    apply(erule List.list-all2-mono)
    apply(erule (1) bisim1-fr-hext-mono)
    done
next
fix  $t x1 m1 x2 m2 x1' ta1 x1'' m1' x2' ta2 x2'' m2' w$ 
assume  $b: w\text{bisim1 } t (x1, m1) (x2, m2)$ 
and  $\tau\text{red: } ?\tau\text{red } t (x1, m1) (x1', m1)$ 
and  $red: m\text{red1 } P t (x1', m1) ta1 (x1'', m1')$ 
and  $\neg \tau\text{MOVE1 } P (x1', m1) ta1 (x1'', m1')$ 
and  $\tau\text{exec: } ?\tau\text{exec } t (x2, m2) (x2', m2)$ 
and  $exec: ?exec t (x2', m2) ta2 (x2'', m2')$ 
and  $\neg \tau\text{MOVE2 (compP2 } P) (x2', m2) ta2 (x2'', m2')$ 
and  $b': w\text{bisim1 } t (x1'', m1') (x2'', m2')$ 
and  $ta\text{-bisim } w\text{bisim1 } ta1 ta2$ 
and  $Suspend: Suspend w \in \text{set } \{ta1\}_w \text{ Suspend } w \in \text{set } \{ta2\}_w$ 
from  $red \text{ Suspend}$ 
have  $call1 (\text{fst } (\text{fst } x1'')) \neq \text{None}$ 
  by(cases  $x1' (cases x1'')$ , auto dest: Red1-Suspend-is-call)
moreover from  $m\text{execd-Suspend-Invoke[OF exec Suspend(2)]}$ 
obtain  $xcp \text{stk loc } C M pc frs' M' n \text{ where } x2'' = (xcp, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc) \# frs')$ 
  instrs-of (compP2  $P$ )  $C M ! pc = \text{Invoke } M' n$  by blast
ultimately show  $\text{bisim-wait1JVM (compP2 } P) x1'' x2''$ 
  by(simp add: bisim-wait1JVM-def split-beta)
next
fix  $t x1 m1 x2 m2 ta1 x1' m1'$ 
assume  $w\text{bisim1 } t (x1, m1) (x2, m2)$ 
and  $\text{bisim-wait1JVM (compP2 } P) x1 x2$ 
and  $m\text{red1 } P t (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1')$ 
and  $wakeup: \text{Notified} \in \text{set } \{ta1\}_w \vee \text{WokenUp} \in \text{set } \{ta1\}_w$ 
moreover obtain  $e1 xs1 exs1 \text{ where [simp]: } x1 = ((e1, xs1), exs1)$  by(cases  $x1$ ) auto
moreover obtain  $xcp frs \text{ where [simp]: } x2 = (xcp, frs)$  by(cases  $x2$ )
moreover obtain  $e1' xs1' exs1' \text{ where [simp]: } x1' = ((e1', xs1'), exs1')$  by(cases  $x1'$ ) auto
ultimately have [simp]:  $m1 = m2$ 
  and  $\text{bisim: } \text{bisim1-list1 } t m2 (e1, xs1) exs1 xcp frs$ 
  and  $red: \text{True}, P, t \vdash 1 \langle (e1, xs1)/exs1, m2 \rangle - ta1 \rightarrow \langle (e1', xs1')/exs1', m1' \rangle$ 
  and  $call: call1 e1 \neq \text{None}$ 
    case  $frs$  of []  $\Rightarrow \text{False} | (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc) \# frs' \Rightarrow \exists M' n. \text{instrs-of (compP2 } P) C M$ 

```

```

! pc = Invoke M' n
  by(auto simp add: bisim-wait1JVM-def split-def)
  from red wakeup have  $\neg \tau Move1 P m2 ((e1, xs1), exs1)$ 
    by(auto elim!: Red1.cases dest: red1- $\tau$ -taD simp add: split-beta ta-upd-simps)
    from exec-1-simulates-Red1-not- $\tau$ [OF wf red bisim this] call
    show  $\exists ta2 x2' m2'. mexecd (compP2 P) t (x2, m2) ta2 (x2', m2') \wedge wbisim1 t (x1', m1') (x2', m2') \wedge ta-bisim wbisim1 ta1 ta2$ 
      by(auto simp del: not-None-eq simp add: split-paired-Ex ta-bisim-def ta-upd-simps split: list.split-asm)
  next
    fix t x1 m1 x2 m2 ta2 x2' m2'
    assume wbisim1 t (x1, m1) (x2, m2)
      and bisim-wait1JVM (compP2 P) x1 x2
      and mexecd (compP2 P) t (x2, m2) ta2 (x2', m2')
      and wakeup: Notified  $\in$  set {ta2} w  $\vee$  WokenUp  $\in$  set {ta2} w
    moreover obtain e1 xs1 exs1 where [simp]: x1 = (e1, xs1), exs1 by(cases x1) auto
    moreover obtain xcp frs where [simp]: x2 = (xcp, frs) by(cases x2)
    moreover obtain xcp' frs' where [simp]: x2' = (xcp', frs') by(cases x2')
    ultimately have [simp]: m1 = m2
      and bisim: bisim1-list1 t m2 (e1, xs1) exs1 xcp frs
      and exec: compP2 P, t  $\vdash$  Normal (xcp, m2, frs) -ta2-jvmd $\rightarrow$  Normal (xcp', m2', frs')
      and call: call1 e1  $\neq$  None
        case frs of []  $\Rightarrow$  False | (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs'  $\Rightarrow$   $\exists M' n. \text{instrs-of } (\text{compP2 } P) C M$ 
  ! pc = Invoke M' n
    by(auto simp add: bisim-wait1JVM-def split-def)
    from exec wakeup have  $\neg \tau Move2 (compP2 P) (xcp, m2, frs)$ 
      by(auto dest:  $\tau$  exec-1-taD simp add: split-beta ta-upd-simps)
      from  $\tau$  Red1-simulates-exec-1-not- $\tau$ [OF wf exec bisim this] call
      show  $\exists ta1 x1' m1'. mred1 P t (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1') \wedge wbisim1 t (x1', m1') (x2', m2') \wedge ta-bisim wbisim1 ta1 ta2$ 
        by(auto simp del: not-None-eq simp add: split-paired-Ex ta-bisim-def ta-upd-simps split: list.split-asm)
    next
      show ( $\exists x. \text{final-expr1 } x \longleftrightarrow \exists x. \text{JVM-final } x$ )
        by(auto simp add: split-paired-Ex final-iff)
    qed
  qed
end

sublocale J1-JVM-heap-conf-base < Red1-mexecd:
  FWbisimulation-base
  final-expr1
  mred1 P
  JVM-final
  mexecd (compP2 P)
  convert-RA
  wbisim1
  bisim-wait1JVM (compP2 P)
  .

context J1-JVM-heap-conf begin

lemma bisim-J1-JVM-start:
  assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs

```

```

shows Red1-execd.mbisim (J1-start-state P C M vs) (JVM-start-state (compP2 P) C M vs)
proof -
from wf-start obtain Ts T body D where start: start-heap-ok
and sees: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T=[body] in D and conf: P,start-heap ⊢ vs [:≤] Ts by cases
let ?e = blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body
let ?xs = Null # vs @ replicate (max-vars body) undefined-value

from sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf sees] obtain T'
where B: B body (Suc (length Ts))
and wt: P,Class D # Ts ⊢ 1 body :: T'
and da: D body [..length Ts]
and sv: syncvars body
by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)

have P,?e,start-heap ⊢ (?e, ?xs) ↔ ([] , ?xs, 0, None) by(rule bisim1-refl)
moreover
from wf have wf': wf-jvm-prog compTP P (compP2 P) by(rule wt-compTP-compP2)
from sees-method-compP[OF sees, of λC M Ts T. compMb2]
have sees': compP2 P ⊢ C sees M: Ts→T = [compMb2 body] in D by(simp add: compP2-def)
from conf have compP2 P,start-heap ⊢ vs [:≤] Ts by(simp add: compP2-def heap-base.compP-confs)
from BV-correct-initial[OF wf' start sees' this] sees'
have compTP P ⊢ start-tid:(None, start-heap, ([] , ?xs, D, M, 0)) √
    by(simp add: JVM-start-state'-def compP2-def compMb2-def)
hence bisim1-list1 start-tid start-heap (?e, ?xs) [] None ([] , ?xs, D, M, 0)]
    using sees-method-idemp[OF sees]
proof
show P,?e,start-heap ⊢ (?e, ?xs) ↔ ([] , ?xs, 0, None)
    by(rule bisim1-refl)
show max-vars ?e ≤ length ?xs using conf
    by(auto simp add: blocks1-max-vars dest: list-all2-lengthD)
qed simp
thus ?thesis
    using sees sees' unfolding start-state-def
    by -(rule Red1-execd.mbisimI, auto split: if-split-asm intro: wset-thread-okI simp add: compP2-def
compMb2-def)
qed

lemmas τred1-Val-simps = τred1r-Val τred1t-Val τreds1r-map-Val τreds1t-map-Val
end
end

```

7.20 Indexing variables in variable lists

```
theory ListIndex imports Main begin
```

In order to support local variables and arbitrarily nested blocks, the local variables are arranged as an indexed list. The outermost local variable (“this”) is the first element in the list, the most recently created local variable the last element. When descending into a block structure, a corresponding list Vs of variable names is maintained. To find the index of some variable V , we have to find the index of the *last* occurrence of V in Vs . This is what *index*

does:

```
primrec index :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat
where
  index [] y = 0
  | index (x#xs) y =
    (if x=y then if x  $\in$  set xs then index xs y + 1 else 0 else index xs y + 1)

definition hidden :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where hidden xs i  $\equiv$  i < size xs  $\wedge$  xs!i  $\in$  set(drop (i+1) xs)
```

7.20.1 index

```
lemma [simp]: index (xs @ [x]) x = size xs
lemma [simp]: (index (xs @ [x]) y = size xs) = (x = y)
lemma [simp]: x  $\in$  set xs  $\Longrightarrow$  xs ! index xs x = x
lemma [simp]: x  $\notin$  set xs  $\Longrightarrow$  index xs x = size xs
lemma index-size-conv[simp]: (index xs x = size xs) = (x  $\notin$  set xs)
lemma size-index-conv[simp]: (size xs = index xs x) = (x  $\notin$  set xs)
lemma (index xs x < size xs) = (x  $\in$  set xs)
lemma [simp]:  $\llbracket$  y  $\in$  set xs; x  $\neq$  y  $\rrbracket$   $\Longrightarrow$  index (xs @ [x]) y = index xs y
lemma index-less-size[simp]: x  $\in$  set xs  $\Longrightarrow$  index xs x < size xs
lemma index-less-aux:  $\llbracket$  x  $\in$  set xs; size xs  $\leq$  n  $\rrbracket$   $\Longrightarrow$  index xs x < n
lemma [simp]: x  $\in$  set xs  $\vee$  y  $\in$  set xs  $\Longrightarrow$  (index xs x = index xs y) = (x = y)
lemma inj-on-index: inj-on (index xs) (set xs)
lemma index-drop:  $\bigwedge$ x i.  $\llbracket$  x  $\in$  set xs; index xs x < i  $\rrbracket$   $\Longrightarrow$  x  $\notin$  set(drop i xs)
```

7.20.2 hidden

```
lemma hidden-index: x  $\in$  set xs  $\Longrightarrow$  hidden (xs @ [x]) (index xs x)
lemma hidden-inacc: hidden xs i  $\Longrightarrow$  index xs x  $\neq$  i
lemma [simp]: hidden xs i  $\Longrightarrow$  hidden (xs@[x]) i
lemma fun-upds-apply:  $\bigwedge$ m ys.
  ((m(xs[ $\mapsto$ ]ys)) x =
  (let xs' = take (size ys) xs
   in if x  $\in$  set xs' then Some(ys ! index xs' x) else m x))

lemma map-upds-apply-eq-Some:
  ((m(xs[ $\mapsto$ ]ys)) x = Some y) =
  (let xs' = take (size ys) xs
   in if x  $\in$  set xs' then ys ! index xs' x = y else m x = Some y)
```

```

lemma map-upds-upd-conv-index:
   $\llbracket x \in set xs; size xs \leq size ys \rrbracket \implies m(xs[\rightarrow] ys, x \mapsto y) = m(xs[\rightarrow] ys[\text{index } xs\ x := y])$ 
lemma image-index:
   $A \subseteq set(xs@[x]) \implies \text{index}(xs @ [x]) ` A =$ 
   $(\text{if } x \in A \text{ then insert}(\text{size } xs)(\text{index } xs ` (A - \{x\})) \text{ else index } xs ` A)$ 
lemma index-le-lengthD:  $\text{index } xs\ x < \text{length } xs \implies x \in set xs$ 
by(erule contrapos-pp)(simp)

lemma not-hidden-index-nth:  $\llbracket i < \text{length } Vs; \neg \text{hidden } Vs\ i \rrbracket \implies \text{index } Vs\ (Vs ! i) = i$ 
by(induct Vs arbitrary: i)(auto split: if-split-asm nat.split-asm simp add: nth-Cons hidden-def)

lemma hidden-snoc-nth:
  assumes len:  $i < \text{length } Vs$ 
  shows  $\text{hidden}(Vs @ [Vs ! i])\ i$ 
proof(cases hidden Vs i)
  case True thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case False
  with len have  $\text{index } Vs\ (Vs ! i) = i$  by(rule not-hidden-index-nth)
  moreover from len have  $\text{hidden}(Vs @ [Vs ! i])\ (\text{index } Vs\ (Vs ! i))$ 
    by(auto intro: hidden-index)
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma map-upds-Some-eq-nth-index:
  assumes  $\langle [Vs \rightarrow] vs \rangle V = \text{Some } v \text{ length } Vs \leq \text{length } vs$ 
  shows  $vs ! \text{index } Vs\ V = v$ 
proof -
  from  $\langle [Vs \rightarrow] vs \rangle V = \text{Some } v$  have  $V \in set Vs$ 
    by -(rule classical, auto)
  with  $\langle [Vs \rightarrow] vs \rangle V = \text{Some } v \wedge \langle \text{length } Vs \leq \text{length } vs \rangle$  show ?thesis
proof(induct Vs arbitrary: vs)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons x xs ys)
  note IH =  $\langle \bigwedge vs. \llbracket [xs \rightarrow] vs \rrbracket V = \text{Some } v; \text{length } xs \leq \text{length } vs; V \in set xs \rrbracket \implies vs ! \text{index } xs\ V = v \rangle$ 
  from  $\langle [x \# xs \rightarrow] ys \rangle V = \text{Some } v$  obtain y Ys where  $ys = y \# Ys$  by(cases ys, auto)
  with  $\langle \text{length } (x \# xs) \leq \text{length } ys \rangle$  have  $\text{length } xs \leq \text{length } Ys$  by simp
  show ?case
proof(cases V ∈ set xs)
  case True
  with  $\langle [x \# xs \rightarrow] ys \rangle V = \text{Some } v \wedge \langle \text{length } xs \leq \text{length } Ys \rangle \wedge \langle ys = y \# Ys \rangle$ 
  have  $[xs \rightarrow] Ys \ V = \text{Some } v$ 
    apply(auto simp add: map-upds-def map-of-eq-None-iff set-zip image-Collect split: if-split-asm)
    apply(clarsimp simp add: in-set-conv-decomp)
    apply(hypsubst-thin)
    apply(erule-tac x=length ys in allE)
    by(simp)
  with IH[ $\text{OF this } \langle \text{length } xs \leq \text{length } Ys \rangle \text{ True} \wedge \langle ys = y \# Ys \rangle \text{ True}$ ]
  show ?thesis by(simp)
next

```

```

case False with < $V \in set (x \# xs)$ > have  $x = V$  by auto
with False < $[x \# xs \mapsto ys]$   $V = Some v$ > < $ys = y \# Ys$ > have  $y = v$ 
by(auto)
with False < $x = V$ > < $ys = y \# Ys$ >
show ?thesis by(simp)
qed
qed
qed

end

```

7.21 Compilation Stage 1

```

theory Compiler1 imports
  PCompiler
  J1State
  ListIndex
begin

definition fresh-var :: vname list  $\Rightarrow$  vname
  where fresh-var Vs = sum-list (STR "V" # Vs)

lemma fresh-var-fresh: fresh-var Vs  $\notin$  set Vs
proof -
  have  $V \in set Vs \implies length (String.explode V) < length (String.explode (fresh-var Vs))$  for V
  by (induction Vs) (auto simp add: fresh-var-def Literal.rep-eq)
  then show ?thesis
  by auto
qed

```

Replacing variable names by indices.

```

function compE1 :: vname list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1
  and compEs1 :: vname list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1 list
where
  compE1 Vs (new C) = new C
  | compE1 Vs (newA T[e]) = newA T[compE1 Vs e]
  | compE1 Vs (Cast T e) = Cast T (compE1 Vs e)
  | compE1 Vs (e instanceof T) = (compE1 Vs e) instanceof T
  | compE1 Vs (Val v) = Val v
  | compE1 Vs (Var V) = Var(index Vs V)
  | compE1 Vs (e«bop»e') = (compE1 Vs e)«bop»(compE1 Vs e')
  | compE1 Vs (V:=e) = (index Vs V):=(compE1 Vs e)
  | compE1 Vs (a[i]) = (compE1 Vs a)[compE1 Vs i]
  | compE1 Vs (a[i]:=e) = (compE1 Vs a)[compE1 Vs i]:=compE1 Vs e
  | compE1 Vs (a.length) = compE1 Vs a.length
  | compE1 Vs (e.F{D}) = compE1 Vs e.F{D}
  | compE1 Vs (e.F{D}:=e') = compE1 Vs e.F{D}:=compE1 Vs e'
  | compE1 Vs (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) = compE1 Vs e.compareAndSwap(D.F, compE1 Vs e', compE1 Vs e'')
  | compE1 Vs (e.M(es)) = (compE1 Vs e).M(compEs1 Vs es)
  | compE1 Vs {V:T=vo; e} = {(size Vs):T=vo; compE1 (Vs@[V]) e}
  | compE1 Vs (sync_U (o') e) = synclength Vs (compE1 Vs o') (compE1 (Vs@[fresh-var Vs]) e)
  | compE1 Vs (insync_U (a) e) = insynclength Vs (a) (compE1 (Vs@[fresh-var Vs]) e)

```

```

| compE1 Vs (e1;;e2) = (compE1 Vs e1);;(compE1 Vs e2)
| compE1 Vs (if (b) e1 else e2) = (if (compE1 Vs b) (compE1 Vs e1) else (compE1 Vs e2))
| compE1 Vs (while (b) e) = (while (compE1 Vs b) (compE1 Vs e))
| compE1 Vs (throw e) = throw (compE1 Vs e)
| compE1 Vs (try e1 catch(C V) e2) = try(compE1 Vs e1) catch(C (size Vs)) (compE1 (Vs@[V]) e2)

| compEs1 Vs [] = []
| compEs1 Vs (e#es) = compE1 Vs e # compEs1 Vs es
by pat-completeness auto
termination
apply(relation case-sum (λp. size (snd p)) (λp. size-list size (snd p)) <*mlex*> {})
apply(rule wf-mlex[OF wf-empty])
apply(rule mlex-less, simp)+
done

lemmas compE1-compEs1-induct =
  compE1-compEs1.induct[case-names New NewArray Cast InstanceOf Val Var BinOp LAss AAcc
  AAss ALen FAcc FAss CAS Call Block Synchronized InSynchronized Seq Cond While throw TryCatch
  Nil Cons]

lemma compEs1-conv-map [simp]: compEs1 Vs es = map (compE1 Vs) es
by(induct es) simp-all

lemmas compEs1-map-Val = compEs1-conv-map

lemma compE1-eq-Val [simp]: compE1 Vs e = Val v  $\longleftrightarrow$  e = Val v
apply(cases e, auto)
done

lemma Val-eq-compE1 [simp]: Val v = compE1 Vs e  $\longleftrightarrow$  e = Val v
apply(cases e, auto)
done

lemma compEs1-eq-map-Val [simp]: compEs1 Vs es = map Val vs  $\longleftrightarrow$  es = map Val vs
apply(induct es arbitrary: vs)
apply(auto, blast)
done

lemma compE1-eq-Var [simp]: compE1 Vs e = Var V  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists V'. e = Var V' \wedge V = index Vs V'$ )
by(cases e, auto)

lemma compE1-eq-Call [simp]:
  compE1 Vs e = obj·M(params)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists obj' params'. e = obj'·M(params') \wedge compE1 Vs obj' = obj$ 
   $\wedge compEs1 Vs params' = params$ )
by(cases e, auto)

lemma length-compEs2 [simp]:
  length (compEs1 Vs es) = length es
by(simp add: compEs1-conv-map)

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr and es :: 'addr expr list
  shows expr-locks-compE1 [simp]: expr-locks (compE1 Vs e) = expr-locks e
  and expr-lockss-compEs1 [simp]: expr-lockss (compEs1 Vs es) = expr-lockss es
by(induct Vs e and Vs es rule: compE1-compEs1.induct)(auto intro: ext)

```

```

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr and es :: 'addr expr list
  shows contains-insync-compE1 [simp]: contains-insync (compE1 Vs e) = contains-insync e
  and contains-insyncs-compEs1 [simp]: contains-insyncs (compEs1 Vs es) = contains-insyncs es
  by(induct Vs e and Vs es rule: compE1-compEs1.induct)simp-all

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr and es :: 'addr expr list
  shows max-vars-compE1: max-vars (compE1 Vs e) = max-vars e
  and max-varss-compEs1: max-varss (compEs1 Vs es) = max-varss es
  apply(induct Vs e and Vs es rule: compE1-compEs1.induct)
  apply(auto)
  done

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr and es :: 'addr expr list
  shows B: size Vs = n ==> B (compE1 Vs e) n
  and Bs: size Vs = n ==> Bs (compEs1 Vs es) n
  apply(induct Vs e and Vs es arbitrary: n and n rule: compE1-compEs1.induct)
  apply auto
  done

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr and es :: 'addr expr list
  shows fv-compE1: fv e ⊆ set Vs ==> fv (compE1 Vs e) = (index Vs) ` (fv e)
  and fvs-compEs1: fvs es ⊆ set Vs ==> fvs (compEs1 Vs es) = (index Vs) ` (fvs es)
  proof(induct Vs e and Vs es rule: compE1-compEs1-induct)
    case (Block Vs V ty vo exp)
    have IH: fv exp ⊆ set (Vs @ [V]) ==> fv (compE1 (Vs @ [V]) exp) = index (Vs @ [V]) ` fv exp by
    fact
      from ⟨fv {V:ty=vo; exp} ⊆ set Vs⟩ have fv': fv exp ⊆ set (Vs @ [V]) by auto
      from IH[OF this] have IH': fv (compE1 (Vs @ [V]) exp) = index (Vs @ [V]) ` fv exp .
      have fv (compE1 (Vs @ [V]) exp) - {length Vs} = index Vs ` (fv exp - {V})
      proof(rule equalityI[OF subsetI subsetI])
        fix x
        assume x: x ∈ fv (compE1 (Vs @ [V]) exp) - {length Vs}
        hence x ≠ length Vs by simp
        from x IH' have x ∈ index (Vs @ [V]) ` fv exp by simp
        thus x ∈ index Vs ` (fv exp - {V})
        proof(rule imageE)
          fix y
          assume [simp]: x = index (Vs @ [V]) y
          and y: y ∈ fv exp
          have y ≠ V
          proof
            assume [simp]: y = V
            hence x = length Vs by simp
            with ⟨x ≠ length Vs⟩ show False by contradiction
          qed
          moreover with fv' y have y ∈ set Vs by auto
          ultimately have index (Vs @ [V]) y = index Vs y by(simp)
          thus ?thesis using y ⟨y ≠ V⟩ by auto
        qed
      next
        fix x
        assume x: x ∈ index Vs ` (fv exp - {V})
        thus x ∈ fv (compE1 (Vs @ [V]) exp) - {length Vs}
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

proof(rule imageE)
  fix y
  assume [simp]:  $x = \text{index } Vs \ y$ 
  and  $y \in \text{fv } exp - \{V\}$ 
  with  $\text{fv}'$  have  $y \in \text{set } Vs \ y \neq V$  by auto
  hence  $\text{index } Vs \ y = \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) \ y$  by simp
  with  $y$  have  $x \in \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) \ ' \text{fv } exp$  by auto
  thus ?thesis using IH' < $y \in \text{set } Vs$ > by simp
qed
qed
thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Synchronized Vs V exp1 exp2)
  have IH1:  $\text{fv } exp1 \subseteq \text{set } Vs \implies \text{fv } (\text{compE1 } Vs \ exp1) = \text{index } Vs \ ' \text{fv } exp1$ 
  and IH2:  $\text{fv } exp2 \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \implies \text{fv } (\text{compE1 } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \ exp2) = \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \ ' \text{fv } exp2$ 
  by fact+
  from < $\text{fv } (\text{sync}_V (exp1) \ exp2) \subseteq \text{set } Vs$ > have fv1:  $\text{fv } exp1 \subseteq \text{set } Vs$ 
  and fv2:  $\text{fv } exp2 \subseteq \text{set } Vs$  by auto
  from fv2 have fv2':  $\text{fv } exp2 \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs])$  by auto
  have  $\text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \ ' \text{fv } exp2 = \text{index } Vs \ ' \text{fv } exp2$ 
  proof(rule equalityI[OF subsetI subsetI])
    fix x
    assume  $x: x \in \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \ ' \text{fv } exp2$ 
    thus  $x \in \text{index } Vs \ ' \text{fv } exp2$ 
  proof(rule imageE)
    fix y
    assume [simp]:  $x = \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \ y$ 
    and  $y \in \text{fv } exp2$ 
    from y fv2 have  $y \in \text{set } Vs$  by auto
    moreover hence  $y \neq (\text{fresh-var } Vs)$  by(auto simp add: fresh-var-fresh)
    ultimately show ?thesis using y by(auto)
  qed
  qed
next
  fix x
  assume  $x: x \in \text{index } Vs \ ' \text{fv } exp2$ 
  thus  $x \in \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \ ' \text{fv } exp2$ 
  proof(rule imageE)
    fix y
    assume [simp]:  $x = \text{index } Vs \ y$ 
    and  $y \in \text{fv } exp2$ 
    from y fv2 have  $y \in \text{set } Vs$  by auto
    moreover hence  $y \neq (\text{fresh-var } Vs)$  by(auto simp add: fresh-var-fresh)
    ultimately have  $\text{index } Vs \ y = \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \ y$  by simp
    thus ?thesis using y by(auto)
  qed
  qed
with IH1[OF fv1] IH2[OF fv2'] show ?case by(auto)
next
  case (InSynchronized Vs V a exp)
  have IH:  $\text{fv } exp \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \implies \text{fv } (\text{compE1 } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \ exp) = \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \ ' \text{fv } exp$ 
  by fact
  from < $\text{fv } (\text{insync}_V (a) \ exp) \subseteq \text{set } Vs$ > have fv:  $\text{fv } exp \subseteq \text{set } Vs$  by simp

```

```

hence  $\text{fv}' : \text{fv exp} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}])$  by auto
have  $\text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}]) ` \text{fv exp} = \text{index Vs} ` \text{fv exp}$ 
proof(rule equalityI[OF subsetI subsetI])
  fix x
  assume  $x \in \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}]) ` \text{fv exp}$ 
  thus  $x \in \text{index Vs} ` \text{fv exp}$ 
  proof(rule imageE)
    fix y
    assume [simp]:  $x = \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}]) y$ 
    and  $y \in \text{fv exp}$ 
    from y fv have  $y \in \text{set Vs}$  by auto
    moreover hence  $y \neq (\text{fresh-var Vs})$  by(auto simp add: fresh-var-fresh)
    ultimately have  $\text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}]) y = \text{index Vs} y$  by simp
    thus ?thesis using y by simp
  qed
next
fix x
assume  $x \in \text{index Vs} ` \text{fv exp}$ 
thus  $x \in \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}]) ` \text{fv exp}$ 
proof(rule imageE)
  fix y
  assume [simp]:  $x = \text{index Vs} y$ 
  and  $y \in \text{fv exp}$ 
  from y fv have  $y \in \text{set Vs}$  by auto
  moreover hence  $y \neq (\text{fresh-var Vs})$  by(auto simp add: fresh-var-fresh)
  ultimately have  $\text{index Vs} y = \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}]) y$  by simp
  thus ?thesis using y by auto
qed
with IH[ $\text{fv}'$ ] show ?case by simp
next
case (TryCatch Vs exp1 C V exp2)
have IH1:  $\text{fv exp1} \subseteq \text{set Vs} \implies \text{fv } (\text{compE1 Vs exp1}) = \text{index Vs} ` \text{fv exp1}$ 
and IH2:  $\text{fv exp2} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) \implies \text{fv } (\text{compE1 } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) \text{ exp2}) = \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) ` \text{fv exp2}$ 
by fact+
from <fv (try exp1 catch(C V) exp2) ⊆ set Vs> have fv1:  $\text{fv exp1} \subseteq \text{set Vs}$ 
and fv2:  $\text{fv exp2} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [V])$  by auto
have  $\text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) ` \text{fv exp2} - \{\text{length Vs}\} = \text{index Vs} ` (\text{fv exp2} - \{V\})$ 
proof(rule equalityI[OF subsetI subsetI])
  fix x
  assume  $x: x \in \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) ` \text{fv exp2} - \{\text{length Vs}\}$ 
  hence  $x \neq \text{length Vs}$  by simp
  from x have  $x \in \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) ` \text{fv exp2}$  by auto
  thus  $x \in \text{index Vs} ` (\text{fv exp2} - \{V\})$ 
  proof(rule imageE)
    fix y
    assume [simp]:  $x = \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) y$ 
    and  $y \in \text{fv exp2}$ 
    have  $y \neq V$ 
    proof
      assume [simp]:  $y = V$ 
      hence  $x = \text{length Vs}$  by simp
      with <x ≠ length Vs> show False by contradiction
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

qed
moreover with  $\text{fv2 } y \text{ have } y \in \text{set } Vs \text{ by auto}$ 
ultimately have  $\text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) y = \text{index } Vs y$  by(simp)
thus ?thesis using  $\langle y \neq V \rangle$  by auto
qed
next
fix x
assume  $x: x \in \text{index } Vs \setminus (\text{fv } \text{exp2} - \{V\})$ 
thus  $x \in \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) \setminus \text{fv } \text{exp2} - \{\text{length } Vs\}$ 
proof(rule imageE)
fix y
assume [simp]:  $x = \text{index } Vs y$ 
and  $y: y \in \text{fv } \text{exp2} - \{V\}$ 
with  $\text{fv2 have } y \in \text{set } Vs y \neq V$  by auto
hence  $\text{index } Vs y = \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) y$  by simp
with  $y \text{ have } x \in \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) \setminus \text{fv } \text{exp2}$  by auto
thus ?thesis using  $\langle y \in \text{set } Vs \rangle$  by simp
qed
qed
with  $IH1[\text{OF } \text{fv1}] \ IH2[\text{OF } \text{fv2}]$  show ?case by auto
qed(auto)

lemma fixes  $e :: \text{'addr expr}$  and  $es :: \text{'addr expr list}$ 
shows syncvars-compE1:  $\text{fv } e \subseteq \text{set } Vs \implies \text{syncvars } (\text{compE1 } Vs e)$ 
and syncvarss-compEs1:  $\text{fvs } es \subseteq \text{set } Vs \implies \text{syncvarss } (\text{compEs1 } Vs es)$ 
proof(induct Vs e and Vs es rule: compE1-compEs1-induct)
case (Block Vs V ty vo exp)
from  $\langle \text{fv } \{V:ty=vo; exp\} \subseteq \text{set } Vs \rangle$  have  $\text{fv } \text{exp} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [V])$  by auto
from  $\langle \text{fv } \text{exp} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) \rangle \implies \text{syncvars } (\text{compE1 } (\text{Vs} @ [V]) \text{ exp})$  [OF this] show ?case
by(simp)
next
case (Synchronized Vs V exp1 exp2)
note IH1 =  $\langle \text{fv } \text{exp1} \subseteq \text{set } Vs \implies \text{syncvars } (\text{compE1 } Vs \text{ exp1}) \rangle$ 
note IH2 =  $\langle \text{fv } \text{exp2} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \implies \text{syncvars } (\text{compE1 } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \text{ exp2}) \rangle$ 
from  $\langle \text{fv } (\text{sync}_V (\text{exp1}) \text{ exp2}) \subseteq \text{set } Vs \rangle$  have  $\text{fv1: } \text{fv } \text{exp1} \subseteq \text{set } Vs$ 
and  $\text{fv2: } \text{fv } \text{exp2} \subseteq \text{set } Vs$  and  $\text{fv2': } \text{fv } \text{exp2} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs])$  by auto
have  $\text{length } Vs \notin \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \setminus \text{fv } \text{exp2}$ 
proof
assume  $\text{length } Vs \in \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \setminus \text{fv } \text{exp2}$ 
thus False
proof(rule imageE)
fix x
assume  $x: \text{length } Vs = \text{index } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) x$ 
and  $x': x \in \text{fv } \text{exp2}$ 
from  $\langle x' \text{ fv2 have } x \in \text{set } Vs x \neq (\text{fresh-var } Vs) \rangle$  by(auto simp add: fresh-var-fresh)
with x show ?thesis by(simp)
qed
qed
with  $IH1[\text{OF } \text{fv1}] \ IH2[\text{OF } \text{fv2}'] \text{ fv2'}$  show ?case by(simp add: fv-compE1)
next
case (InSynchronized Vs V a exp)
note IH =  $\langle \text{fv } \text{exp} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \implies \text{syncvars } (\text{compE1 } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) \text{ exp}) \rangle$ 
from  $\langle \text{fv } (\text{insync}_V (a) \text{ exp}) \subseteq \text{set } Vs \rangle$  have  $\text{fv: } \text{fv } \text{exp} \subseteq \text{set } Vs$ 
and  $\text{fv': } \text{fv } \text{exp} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs])$  by auto

```

```

have length Vs  $\notin$  index (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) ` fv exp
proof
  assume length Vs  $\in$  index (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) ` fv exp
  thus False
  proof(rule imageE)
    fix x
    assume x: length Vs = index (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) x
    and x': x  $\in$  fv exp
    from x' fv have x  $\in$  set Vs x  $\neq$  (fresh-var Vs) by(auto simp add: fresh-var-fresh)
    with x show ?thesis by(simp)
  qed
qed
with IH[OF fv'] fv' show ?case by(simp add: fv-compE1)
next
  case (TryCatch Vs exp1 C V exp2)
  note IH1 = <fv exp1  $\subseteq$  set Vs  $\implies$  syncvars (compE1 Vs exp1)>
  note IH2 = <fv exp2  $\subseteq$  set (Vs @ [V])  $\implies$  syncvars (compE1 (Vs @ [V]) exp2)>
  from <fv (try exp1 catch(C V) exp2)  $\subseteq$  set Vs> have fv1: fv exp1  $\subseteq$  set Vs
    and fv2: fv exp2  $\subseteq$  set (Vs @ [V]) by auto
  from IH1[OF fv1] IH2[OF fv2] show ?case by auto
qed auto

lemma (in heap-base) synthesized-call-compP [simp]:
  synthesized-call (compP f P) h aMvs = synthesized-call P h aMvs
by(simp add: synthesized-call-def)

```

```

primrec fin1 :: 'addr expr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1
where
  fin1 (Val v) = Val v
  | fin1 (throw e) = throw (fin1 e)

lemma comp-final: final e  $\implies$  compE1 Vs e = fin1 e
by(erule finalE, simp-all)

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr and es :: 'addr expr list
  shows [simp]: max-vars (compE1 Vs e) = max-vars e
  and max-varss (compEs1 Vs es) = max-varss es
by(induct Vs e and Vs es rule: compE1-compEs1-induct)(simp-all)

```

Compiling programs:

```

definition compP1 :: 'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr J1-prog
where
  compP1  $\equiv$  compP ( $\lambda C M Ts T (pns, body)$ . compE1 (this#pns) body)

declare compP1-def[simp]

```

end

7.22 The bisimulation relation between source and intermediate language

theory J0J1Bisim imports

```

J1
J1WellForm
Compiler1
..//J/JWellForm
J0
begin

```

7.22.1 Correctness of program compilation

```

primrec unmod :: 'addr expr1 ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
  and unmods :: 'addr expr1 list ⇒ nat ⇒ bool
where
  unmod (new C) i = True
  | unmod (newA T[e]) i = unmod e i
  | unmod (Cast C e) i = unmod e i
  | unmod (e instanceof T) i = unmod e i
  | unmod (Val v) i = True
  | unmod (e1 «bop» e2) i = (unmod e1 i ∧ unmod e2 i)
  | unmod (Var i) j = True
  | unmod (i:=e) j = (i ≠ j ∧ unmod e j)
  | unmod (a[i]) j = (unmod a j ∧ unmod i j)
  | unmod (a[i]:=e) j = (unmod a j ∧ unmod i j ∧ unmod e j)
  | unmod (a·length) j = unmod a j
  | unmod (e·F{D}) i = unmod e i
  | unmod (e1·F{D}:=e2) i = (unmod e1 i ∧ unmod e2 i)
  | unmod (e1·compareAndSwap(D·F, e2, e3)) i = (unmod e1 i ∧ unmod e2 i ∧ unmod e3 i)
  | unmod (e·M(es)) i = (unmod e i ∧ unmods es i)
  | unmod {j:T=vo; e} i = ((i = j → vo = None) ∧ unmod e i)
  | unmod (sync_V(o') e) i = (unmod o' i ∧ unmod e i ∧ i ≠ V)
  | unmod (insync_V(a) e) i = unmod e i
  | unmod (e1;;e2) i = (unmod e1 i ∧ unmod e2 i)
  | unmod (if (e) e1 else e2) i = (unmod e i ∧ unmod e1 i ∧ unmod e2 i)
  | unmod (while (e) c) i = (unmod e i ∧ unmod c i)
  | unmod (throw e) i = unmod e i
  | unmod (try e1 catch(C i) e2) j = (unmod e1 j ∧ (if i=j then False else unmod e2 j))

  | unmods [] i = True
  | unmods (e#es) i = (unmod e i ∧ unmods es i)

```

```

lemma unmods-map-Val [simp]: unmods (map Val vs) V
by(induct vs) simp-all

```

```

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr and es :: 'addr expr list
  shows hidden-unmod: hidden Vs i ⇒ unmod (compE1 Vs e) i
  and hidden-unmods: hidden Vs i ⇒ unmods (compEs1 Vs es) i
apply(induct Vs e and Vs es rule: compE1-compEs1-induct)
apply (simp-all add:hidden-inacc)
apply(auto simp add:hidden-def)
done

```

```

lemma unmod-extRet2J [simp]: unmod e i ⇒ unmod (extRet2J e va) i
by(cases va) simp-all

```

```

lemma max-dest: (n :: nat) + max a b ≤ c ⇒ n + a ≤ c ∧ n + b ≤ c

```

```

apply(auto simp add: max-def split: if-split-asm)
done

declare max-dest [dest!]

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr and es :: 'addr expr list
  shows fv-unmod-compE1:  $\llbracket i < \text{length } Vs; Vs ! i \notin \text{fv } e \rrbracket \implies \text{unmod} (\text{compE1 } Vs e) i$ 
  and fvs-unmods-compEs1:  $\llbracket i < \text{length } Vs; Vs ! i \notin \text{fvs } es \rrbracket \implies \text{unmods} (\text{compEs1 } Vs es) i$ 
proof(induct Vs e and Vs es rule: compE1-compEs1-induct)
  case (Block Vs V ty vo exp)
    note IH =  $\langle \llbracket i < \text{length } (Vs @ [V]); (Vs @ [V]) ! i \notin \text{fv } exp \rrbracket \implies \text{unmod} (\text{compE1 } (Vs @ [V]) exp)$ 
  i
    note len =  $\langle i < \text{length } Vs \rangle$ 
    hence i:  $i < \text{length } (Vs @ [V])$  by simp
    show ?case
      proof(cases Vs ! i = V)
        case True
          from len have hidden (Vs @ [Vs ! i]) i by(rule hidden-snoc-nth)
          with len True show ?thesis by(auto intro: hidden-unmod)
      next
        case False
          with Vs ! i  $\notin \text{fv} \{V:ty=vo; exp\}$  len have (Vs @ [V]) ! i  $\notin \text{fv } exp$ 
            by(auto simp add: nth-append)
          from IH[OF i this] len show ?thesis by(auto)
      qed
    next
    case (TryCatch Vs e1 C V e2)
      note IH1 =  $\langle \llbracket i < \text{length } Vs; Vs ! i \notin \text{fv } e1 \rrbracket \implies \text{unmod} (\text{compE1 } Vs e1) i \rangle$ 
      note IH2 =  $\langle \llbracket i < \text{length } (Vs @ [V]); (Vs @ [V]) ! i \notin \text{fv } e2 \rrbracket \implies \text{unmod} (\text{compE1 } (Vs @ [V]) e2)$ 
  i
      note len =  $\langle i < \text{length } Vs \rangle$ 
      hence i:  $i < \text{length } (Vs @ [V])$  by simp
      have unmod (compE1 (Vs @ [V]) e2) i
      proof(cases Vs ! i = V)
        case True
          from len have hidden (Vs @ [Vs ! i]) i by(rule hidden-snoc-nth)
          with len True show ?thesis by(auto intro: hidden-unmod)
      next
        case False
          with Vs ! i  $\notin \text{fv} (\text{try } e1 \text{ catch}(C V) e2)$  len have (Vs @ [V]) ! i  $\notin \text{fv } e2$ 
            by(auto simp add: nth-append)
          from IH2[OF i this] len show ?thesis by(auto)
      qed
      with IH1[OF len] Vs ! i  $\notin \text{fv} (\text{try } e1 \text{ catch}(C V) e2)$  len show ?case by(auto)
    qed(auto dest: index-le-lengthD simp add: nth-append)

lemma hidden-lengthD: hidden Vs i  $\implies i < \text{length } Vs$ 
by(simp add: hidden-def)

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr1 and es :: 'addr expr1 list
  shows fv-B-unmod:  $\llbracket V \notin \text{fv } e; \mathcal{B} e n; V < n \rrbracket \implies \text{unmod } e V$ 
  and fvs-Bs-unmods:  $\llbracket V \notin \text{fvs } es; \mathcal{B}s es n; V < n \rrbracket \implies \text{unmods } es V$ 
by(induct e and es arbitrary: n and n rule: unmod.induct unmods.induct) auto

```

```

lemma assumes fin: final e'
  shows unmod-inline-call: unmod (inline-call e' e) V  $\longleftrightarrow$  unmod e V
  and unmods-inline-calls: unmods (inline-calls e' es) V  $\longleftrightarrow$  unmods es V
apply(induct e and es rule: unmod.induct unmods.induct)
apply(insert fin)
apply(auto simp add: is-vals-conv)
done

```

7.22.2 The delay bisimulation relation

Delay bisimulation for expressions

```

inductive bisim :: vname list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and bisims :: vname list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1 list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  bisimNew: bisim Vs (new C) (new C) xs
  | bisimNewArray: bisim Vs e e' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (newA T[e]) (newA T[e']) xs
  | bisimCast: bisim Vs e e' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (Cast T e) (Cast T e') xs
  | bisimInstanceOf: bisim Vs e e' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (e instanceof T) (e' instanceof T) xs
  | bisimVal: bisim Vs (Val v) (Val v) xs
  | bisimBinOp1:
     $\llbracket$  bisim Vs e e' xs;  $\neg$  is-val e;  $\neg$  contains-insync e''  $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (e «bop» e'') (e' «bop» compE1
  Vs e'') xs
  | bisimBinOp2: bisim Vs e e' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (Val v «bop» e) (Val v «bop» e') xs
  | bisimVar: bisim Vs (Var V) (Var (index Vs V)) xs
  | bisimLAss: bisim Vs e e' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (V:=e) (index Vs V:=e') xs
  | bisimAAcc1:  $\llbracket$  bisim Vs a a' xs;  $\neg$  is-val a;  $\neg$  contains-insync i  $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (a[i]) (a'[compE1 Vs
  i]) xs
  | bisimAAcc2: bisim Vs i i' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (Val v[i]) (Val v[i']) xs
  | bisimAAss1:
     $\llbracket$  bisim Vs a a' xs;  $\neg$  is-val a;  $\neg$  contains-insync i;  $\neg$  contains-insync e  $\rrbracket$ 
     $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (a[i]:=e) (a'[compE1 Vs i]:=compE1 Vs e) xs
  | bisimAAss2:  $\llbracket$  bisim Vs i i' xs;  $\neg$  is-val i;  $\neg$  contains-insync e  $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (Val v[i]:=e) (Val
  v[i]:=compE1 Vs e) xs
  | bisimAAss3: bisim Vs e e' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (Val v[Val i]:=e) (Val v[Val i]:=e') xs
  | bisimALength: bisim Vs a a' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (a.length) (a'.length) xs
  | bisimFAcc: bisim Vs e e' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (e.F{D}) (e'.F{D}) xs
  | bisimFAss1:  $\llbracket$  bisim Vs e e' xs;  $\neg$  is-val e;  $\neg$  contains-insync e''  $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (e.F{D}:=e'')
  (e'.F{D}:=compE1 Vs e'') xs
  | bisimFAss2: bisim Vs e e' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (Val v.F{D} := e) (Val v.F{D} := e') xs
  | bisimCAS1:  $\llbracket$  bisim Vs e e' xs;  $\neg$  is-val e;  $\neg$  contains-insync e2;  $\neg$  contains-insync e3  $\rrbracket$ 
     $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e2, e3)) (e'.compareAndSwap(D.F, compE1 Vs e2, compE1
  Vs e3)) xs
  | bisimCAS2:  $\llbracket$  bisim Vs e e' xs;  $\neg$  is-val e;  $\neg$  contains-insync e3  $\rrbracket$ 
     $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (Val v.compareAndSwap(D.F, e, e3)) (Val v.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', compE1 Vs
  e3)) xs
  | bisimCAS3: bisim Vs e e' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (Val v.compareAndSwap(D.F, Val v', e)) (Val v.compareAndSwap(D.F,
  Val v', e')) xs
  | bisimCallObj:  $\llbracket$  bisim Vs e e' xs;  $\neg$  is-val e;  $\neg$  contains-insyncs es  $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (e.M(es))
  (e'.M(compEs1 Vs es)) xs
  | bisimCallParams: bisims Vs es es' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs (Val v.M(es)) (Val v.M(es')) xs
  | bisimBlockNone: bisim (Vs@[V]) e e' xs  $\Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs {V:T=None; e} {(length Vs):T=None; e'} xs
  | bisimBlockSome:  $\llbracket$  bisim (Vs@[V]) e e' (xs[length Vs := v])  $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs {V:T=[v]; e} {(length
  Vs):T=[v]; e'} xs
  | bisimBlockSomeNone:  $\llbracket$  bisim (Vs@[V]) e e' xs; xs ! (length Vs) = v  $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$  bisim Vs {V:T=[v]; e}

```

```

 $\{(length Vs):T=None; e'\} \ xs$ 
|  $bisimSynchronized:$ 
   $\llbracket bisim\ Vs\ o'\ o''\ xs; \neg\ contains-insync\ e \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies bisim\ Vs\ (sync(o')\ e)\ (sync_{length}\ Vs(o''))\ (compE1\ (Vs@[fresh-var\ Vs])\ e))\ xs$ 
|  $bisimInSynchronized:$ 
   $\llbracket bisim\ (Vs@[fresh-var\ Vs])\ e\ e'\ xs; xs ! length\ Vs = Addr\ a \rrbracket \implies bisim\ Vs\ (insync(a)\ e)\ (insync_{length}\ Vs(a)\ e')\ xs$ 
|  $bisimSeq:$   $\llbracket bisim\ Vs\ e\ e'\ xs; \neg\ contains-insync\ e'' \rrbracket \implies bisim\ Vs\ (e;;e'')\ (e';;compE1\ Vs\ e'')\ xs$ 
|  $bisimCond:$ 
   $\llbracket bisim\ Vs\ e\ e'\ xs; \neg\ contains-insync\ e1; \neg\ contains-insync\ e2 \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies bisim\ Vs\ (if\ (e)\ e1\ else\ e2)\ (if\ (e')\ (compE1\ Vs\ e1)\ else\ (compE1\ Vs\ e2))\ xs$ 
|  $bisimWhile:$ 
   $\llbracket \neg\ contains-insync\ b; \neg\ contains-insync\ e \rrbracket \implies bisim\ Vs\ (while\ (b)\ e)\ (while\ (compE1\ Vs\ b)\ (compE1\ Vs\ e))\ xs$ 
|  $bisimThrow:$   $bisim\ Vs\ e\ e'\ xs \implies bisim\ Vs\ (throw\ e)\ (throw\ e')\ xs$ 
|  $bisimTryCatch:$ 
   $\llbracket bisim\ Vs\ e\ e'\ xs; \neg\ contains-insync\ e'' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies bisim\ Vs\ (try\ e\ catch(C\ V)\ e'')\ (try\ e'\ catch(C\ (length\ Vs))\ compE1\ (Vs@[V])\ e'')\ xs$ 

|  $bisimsNil:$   $bisims\ Vs\ []\ []\ xs$ 
|  $bisimsCons1:$   $\llbracket bisim\ Vs\ e\ e'\ xs; \neg\ is-val\ e; \neg\ contains-insyncs\ es \rrbracket \implies bisims\ Vs\ (e\ #\ es)\ (e'\ #\ compEs1\ Vs\ es)\ xs$ 
|  $bisimsCons2:$   $bisims\ Vs\ es\ es'\ xs \implies bisims\ Vs\ (Val\ v\ #\ es)\ (Val\ v\ #\ es')\ xs$ 

declare  $bisimNew$  [iff]
declare  $bisimVal$  [iff]
declare  $bisimVar$  [iff]
declare  $bisimWhile$  [iff]
declare  $bisimsNil$  [iff]

declare  $bisim\text{-}bisims.intros$  [intro!]
declare  $bisimsCons1$  [rule del, intro]  $bisimsCons2$  [rule del, intro]
   $bisimBinOp1$  [rule del, intro]  $bisimAAcc1$  [rule del, intro]
   $bisimAAcc1$  [rule del, intro]  $bisimAAcc2$  [rule del, intro]
   $bisimFAss1$  [rule del, intro]
   $bisimCAS1$  [rule del, intro]  $bisimCAS2$  [rule del, intro]
   $bisimCallObj$  [rule del, intro]

inductive-cases  $bisim\text{-}safe\text{-}cases$  [elim!]:
   $bisim\ Vs\ (new\ C)\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (newA\ T[e])\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (Cast\ T\ e)\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (e\ instanceof\ T)\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (Val\ v)\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (Var\ V)\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (V:=e)\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (Val\ v[i])\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (Val\ v[\lceil Val\ v\rceil :=\ e])\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (Val\ v[\lceil Val\ v\rceil :=\ e])\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (a.length)\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (e.F\{D\})\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (sync(o')\ e)\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (insync(a)\ e)\ e'\ xs$ 
   $bisim\ Vs\ (e;;e')\ e''\ xs$ 

```

```

bisim Vs (if (b) e1 else e2) e' xs
bisim Vs (while (b) e) e' xs
bisim Vs (throw e) e' xs
bisim Vs (try e catch(C V) e') e'' xs
bisim Vs e' (new C) xs
bisim Vs e' (newA T[e]) xs
bisim Vs e' (Cast T e) xs
bisim Vs e' (e instanceof T) xs
bisim Vs e' (Val v) xs
bisim Vs e' (Var V) xs
bisim Vs e' (V:=e) xs
bisim Vs e' (Val v[i]) xs
bisim Vs e' (Val v[Val v'] := e) xs
bisim Vs e' (Val v•compareAndSwap(D•F, Val v', e)) xs
bisim Vs e' (a.length) xs
bisim Vs e' (e•F{D}) xs
bisim Vs e' (sync_V(o') e) xs
bisim Vs e' (insync_V(a) e) xs
bisim Vs e'' (e;e') xs
bisim Vs e' (if (b) e1 else e2) xs
bisim Vs e' (while (b) e) xs
bisim Vs e' (throw e) xs
bisim Vs e'' (try e catch(C V) e') xs

```

inductive-cases bisim-cases [elim]:

```

bisim Vs (e1 «bop» e2) e' xs
bisim Vs (a[i]) e' xs
bisim Vs (a[i]:=e) e' xs
bisim Vs (e•F{D}:=e') e'' xs
bisim Vs (e•compareAndSwap(D•F, e', e'')) e''' xs
bisim Vs (e•M(es)) e' xs
bisim Vs {V:T=vo; e} e' xs
bisim Vs e' (e1 «bop» e2) xs
bisim Vs e' (a[i]) xs
bisim Vs e' (a[i]:=e) xs
bisim Vs e'' (e•F{D}:=e') xs
bisim Vs e''' (e•compareAndSwap(D•F, e', e'')) xs
bisim Vs e' (e•M(es)) xs
bisim Vs e' {V:T=vo; e} xs

```

inductive-cases bisims-safe-cases [elim!]:

```

bisims Vs [] es xs
bisims Vs es [] xs

```

inductive-cases bisims-cases [elim]:

```

bisims Vs (e # es) es' xs
bisims Vs es' (e # es) xs

```

Delay bisimulation for call stacks

inductive bisim01 :: 'addr expr \Rightarrow 'addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1 \Rightarrow bool
where

```

[] bisim [] e e' xs; fv e = {}; D e [{}]; max-vars e'  $\leq$  length xs; call e = [aMvs]; call1 e' = [aMvs] []
 $\implies$  bisim01 e (e', xs)

```

```

inductive bisim-list :: 'addr expr list  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1) list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  bisim-listNil: bisim-list [] []
  | bisim-listCons:
    [] bisim-list es exs'; bisim [] e e' xs;
    fv e = {}; D e [|{}|];
    max-vars e'  $\leq$  length xs;
    call e = [aMvs]; call1 e' = [aMvs] []
     $\implies$  bisim-list (e # es) ((e', xs) # exs')

inductive-cases bisim-list-cases [elim!]:
  bisim-list [] exs'
  bisim-list (ex # exs) exs'
  bisim-list exs (ex' # exs')

fun bisim-list1 :: 
  'addr expr  $\times$  'addr expr list  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1)  $\times$  ('addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1) list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  bisim-list1 (e, es) ((e1, xs1), exs1)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  bisim-list es exs1  $\wedge$  bisim [] e e1 xs1  $\wedge$  fv e = {}  $\wedge$  D e [|{}|]  $\wedge$  max-vars e1  $\leq$  length xs1

definition bisim-red0-Red1 :: 
  (('addr expr  $\times$  'addr expr list)  $\times$  'heap)
   $\Rightarrow$  (((addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1)  $\times$  ('addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1) list)  $\times$  'heap)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where bisim-red0-Red1  $\equiv$   $\lambda(es, h) (exs, h'). bisim-list1 es exs \wedge h = h'$ 

abbreviation ta-bisim01 :: 
  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J1-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  ta-bisim01  $\equiv$  ta-bisim ( $\lambda t. bisim-red0-Red1$ )

definition bisim-wait01 :: 
  ('addr expr  $\times$  'addr expr list)  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1)  $\times$  ('addr expr1  $\times$  'addr locals1) list
   $\Rightarrow$  bool
where bisim-wait01  $\equiv$   $\lambda(e0, es0) ((e1, xs1), exs1). call e0 \neq None \wedge call1 e1 \neq None$ 

lemma bisim-list1I[intro?]:
  [] bisim-list es exs1; bisim [] e e1 xs1; fv e = {};
  D e [|{}|]; max-vars e1  $\leq$  length xs1 []
   $\implies$  bisim-list1 (e, es) ((e1, xs1), exs1)
by simp

lemma bisim-list1E[elim?]:
assumes bisim-list1 (e, es) ((e1, xs1), exs1)
obtains bisim-list es exs1 bisim [] e e1 xs1 fv e = {} D e [|{}|] max-vars e1  $\leq$  length xs1
using assms by auto

lemma bisim-list1-elim:
assumes bisim-list1 es' exs
obtains e es e1 xs1 exs1
where es' = (e, es) exs = ((e1, xs1), exs1)
and bisim-list es exs1 bisim [] e e1 xs1 fv e = {} D e [|{}|] max-vars e1  $\leq$  length xs1
using assms by(cases es')(cases exs, fastforce)

```

```

declare bisim-list1.simps [simp del]

lemma bisims-map-Val-conv [simp]: bisims Vs (map Val vs) es xs = (es = map Val vs)
apply(induct vs arbitrary: es)
  apply(fastforce)
  apply(simp)
  apply(rule iffI)
  apply(erule bisims-cases, auto)
done

declare compEs1-conv-map [simp del]

lemma bisim-contains-insync: bisim Vs e e' xs ==> contains-insync e = contains-insync e'
  and bisims-contains-insyncs: bisims Vs es es' xs ==> contains-insyncs es = contains-insyncs es'
by(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)(auto)

lemma bisims-map-Val-Throw:
  bisims Vs (map Val vs @ Throw a # es) es' xs <=> es' = map Val vs @ Throw a # compEs1 Vs es
  & ~ contains-insyncs es
apply(induct vs arbitrary: es')
  apply(simp)
  apply(fastforce simp add: compEs1-conv-map)
  apply(fastforce elim!: bisims-cases intro: bisimsCons2)
done

lemma compE1-bisim [intro]: [ fv e ⊆ set Vs; ~ contains-insync e ] ==> bisim Vs e (compE1 Vs e) xs
  and compEs1-bisims [intro]: [ fvs es ⊆ set Vs; ~ contains-insyncs es ] ==> bisims Vs es (compEs1 Vs es) xs
proof(induct Vs e and Vs es arbitrary: xs and xs rule: compE1-compEs1-induct)
  case (BinOp Vs exp1 bop exp2 x)
  thus ?case by(cases is-val exp1)(auto)
next
  case (AAcc Vs exp1 exp2 x)
  thus ?case by(cases is-val exp1)(auto)
next
  case (AAss Vs exp1 exp2 exp3 x)
  thus ?case by(cases is-val exp1, cases is-val exp2, fastforce+)
next
  case (FAss Vs exp1 F D exp2 x)
  thus ?case by(cases is-val exp1, auto)
next
  case (CAS Vs e1 D F e2 e3 x)
  thus ?case by(cases is-val e1, cases is-val e2, fastforce+)
next
  case (Call Vs obj M params x)
  thus ?case by(cases is-val obj)(auto)
next
  case (Block Vs V T vo exp xs)
  from <fv {V:T=vo; exp} ⊆ set Vs> have fv exp ⊆ set (Vs@[V]) by(auto)
  with Block show ?case by(cases vo)(auto)
next
  case (Cons Vs exp list x)

```

```

thus ?case by(cases is-val exp)(auto intro!: bisimsCons2)
qed(auto)

lemma bisim-hidden-unmod: [bisim Vs e e' xs; hidden Vs i] ==> unmod e' i
  and bisims-hidden-unmods: [bisims Vs es es' xs; hidden Vs i] ==> unmods es' i
by(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)(auto intro: hidden-unmod hidden-unmods dest: hidden-inacc hidden-lengthD)

lemma bisim-fv-unmod: [bisim Vs e e' xs; i < length Vs; Vs ! inotin fv e] ==> unmod e' i
  and bisims-fvs-unmods: [bisims Vs es es' xs; i < length Vs; Vs ! inotin fvs es] ==> unmods es' i
proof(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)
  case (bisimBlockNone Vs V e e' xs T)
  note len = <i < length Vs>
  have unmod e' i
  proof(cases Vs ! i = V)
    case True
    from len have hidden (Vs @ [Vs ! i]) i by(rule hidden-snoc-nth)
    with len True <bisim (Vs @ [V]) e e' xs> show ?thesis by(auto intro: bisim-hidden-unmod)
  next
    case False
    with bisimBlockNone show ?thesis by(auto simp add: nth-append)
  qed
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (bisimBlockSome Vs V e e' xs v T)
  note len = <i < length Vs>
  show ?case
  proof(cases Vs ! i = V)
    case True
    from len have hidden (Vs @ [Vs ! i]) i by(rule hidden-snoc-nth)
    with len True <bisim (Vs @ [V]) e e' (xs[length Vs := v])>
    show ?thesis by(auto intro: bisim-hidden-unmod)
  next
    case False
    with bisimBlockSome show ?thesis by(auto simp add: nth-append)
  qed
next
  case (bisimBlockSomeNone Vs V e e' xs v T)
  note len = <i < length Vs>
  show ?case
  proof(cases Vs ! i = V)
    case True
    from len have hidden (Vs @ [Vs ! i]) i by(rule hidden-snoc-nth)
    with len True <bisim (Vs @ [V]) e e' xs>
    show ?thesis by(auto intro: bisim-hidden-unmod)
  next
    case False
    with bisimBlockSomeNone show ?thesis by(auto simp add: nth-append)
  qed
qed(fastforce dest: fv-unmod-compE1 fvs-unmods-compEs1 index-le-lengthD simp add: nth-append)+

lemma bisim-extRet2J [intro!]: bisim Vs e e' xs ==> bisim Vs (extRet2J e va) (extRet2J1 e' va) xs
by(cases va) auto

```

```

lemma bisims-map-Val-conv2 [simp]: bisims Vs es (map Val vs) xs = (es = map Val vs)
apply(induct vs arbitrary: es)
apply(fastforce elim!: bisims-cases) +
done

lemma bisims-map-Val-Throw2:
  bisims Vs es' (map Val vs @ Throw a # es) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\exists$  es''. es' = map Val vs @ Throw a # es''  $\wedge$  es = compEs1 Vs es''  $\wedge$   $\neg$  contains-insyncs es'')
apply(induct vs arbitrary: es')
apply(simp)
apply(fastforce simp add: compEs1-conv-map)
apply(fastforce elim!: bisims-cases)
done

lemma hidden-bisim-unmod: [ bisim Vs e e' xs; hidden Vs i ]  $\Longrightarrow$  unmod e' i
  and hidden-bisims-unmods: [ bisims Vs es es' xs; hidden Vs i ]  $\Longrightarrow$  unmods es' i
apply(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)
apply(auto simp add:hidden-inacc intro: hidden-unmod hidden-unmods)
apply(auto simp add: hidden-def)
done

lemma bisim-list-list-all2-conv:
  bisim-list es exs'  $\longleftrightarrow$  list-all2 bisim01 es exs'
proof
  assume bisim-list es exs'
  thus list-all2 bisim01 es exs'
    by induct(auto intro!: bisim01.intros)
next
  assume list-all2 bisim01 es exs'
  thus bisim-list es exs'
    by(induct es arbitrary: exs')(auto intro!: bisim-listCons bisim-listNil elim!: bisim01.cases simp add: list-all2-Cons1)
qed

lemma bisim-list-extTA2J0-extTA2J1:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and sees:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M:[] \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{meth} \rfloor$  in D
  shows bisim-list1 (extNTA2J0 P (C, M, a)) (extNTA2J1 (compP1 P) (C, M, a))
proof -
  obtain pns body where meth = (pns, body) by(cases meth)
  with sees have sees:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M:[] \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor$  in D by simp
  moreover let ?xs = Addr a # replicate (max-vars body) undefined-value
  let ?e' = {0:Class D=None; compE1 (this # pns) body}
  have bisim-list1 ({this:Class D=[Addr a]; body}, []) ((?e', ?xs), [])
proof
  show bisim-list [] [] ..
  from sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf] sees] have fv body  $\subseteq$  set [this] pns = []
    by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
  thus fv ({this:Class D=[Addr a]; body}) = {} by simp
  from sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf sees] obtain T' where P,[this  $\mapsto$  Class D]  $\vdash$  body :: T' this  $\notin$  set pns
    and D body  $\lfloor \text{dom } [\text{this} \mapsto \text{Addr a}] \rfloor$  by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
    hence  $\neg$  contains-insync body by(auto simp add: contains-insync-conv dest: WT-expr-locks)
    with <fv body  $\subseteq$  set [this]>
    have bisim ([] @ [this]) body (compE1 (this # pns) body) ?xs

```

```

unfolding append.simps {pns = []} by(rule compE1-bisim)
  hence bisim [] {this:Class D=[Addr a]; body} {length ([] :: String.literal list):Class D=None;
compE1 (this # pns) body} ?xs
    by(rule bisimBlockSomeNone)(simp)
    thus bisim [] ({this:Class D=[Addr a]; body}) ?e' ?xs by simp
      from <D body [dom [this ↪ Addr a]]> show D ({this:Class D=[Addr a]; body}) [] by simp
      show max-vars ?e' ≤ length ?xs by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by(simp)
qed

lemma bisim-max-vars: bisim Vs e e' xs ==> max-vars e = max-vars e'
  and bisims-max-varss: bisims Vs es es' xs ==> max-varss es = max-varss es'
  apply(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)
  apply(auto simp add: max-vars-compE1 max-varss-compEs1)
done

lemma bisim-call: bisim Vs e e' xs ==> call e = call e'
  and bisims-calls: bisims Vs es es' xs ==> calls es = calls es'
  apply(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)
  apply(auto simp add: is-vals-conv)
done

lemma bisim-call-None-call1: [ bisim Vs e e' xs; call e = None ] ==> call1 e' = None
  and bisims-calls-None-calls1: [ bisims Vs es es' xs; calls es = None ] ==> calls1 es' = None
  by(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)(auto simp add: is-vals-conv split: if-split-asm)

lemma bisim-call1-Some-call:
  [ bisim Vs e e' xs; call1 e' = [aMvs] ] ==> call e = [aMvs]

  and bisims-calls1-Some-calls:
  [ bisims Vs es es' xs; calls1 es' = [aMvs] ] ==> calls es = [aMvs]
  by(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)(auto simp add: is-vals-conv split: if-split-asm)

lemma blocks-bisim:
  assumes bisim: bisim (Vs @ pns) e e' xs
  and length: length vs = length pns length Ts = length pns
  and xs: ∀ i. i < length vs → xs ! (i + length Vs) = vs ! i
  shows bisim Vs (blocks pns Ts vs e) (blocks1 (length Vs) Ts e') xs
using bisim length xs
proof(induct pns Ts vs e arbitrary: e' Vs rule: blocks.induct)
  case (1 V Vs T Ts v vs e' VS)
  note IH = <∀e' Vsa. [bisim (Vsa @ Vs) e e' xs;
    length vs = length Vs; length Ts = length Vs; ∀i < length vs. xs ! (i + length Vsa) =
    vs ! i]>
    ==> bisim Vsa (blocks Vs Ts vs e) (blocks1 (length Vsa) Ts e') xs>
  note xs = <∀i < length (v # vs). xs ! (i + length VS) = (v # vs) ! i>
  hence xs': ∀i < length vs. xs ! (i + length (VS @ [V])) = vs ! i and v: xs ! length VS = v by(auto)
  from <bisim (VS @ V # Vs) e e' xs> have bisim ((VS @ [V]) @ Vs) e e' xs by simp
  from IH[OF this - - xs'] <length (v # vs) = length (V # Vs)> <length (T # Ts) = length (V # Vs)>
  have bisim (VS @ [V]) (blocks Vs Ts vs e) (blocks1 (length (VS @ [V])) Ts e') xs
  by auto
  hence bisim VS ({V:T=[v]}; blocks Vs Ts vs e) {length VS:T=None; blocks1 (length (VS @ [V]))}

```

```

Ts e'} xs
  using v by(rule bisimBlockSomeNone)
  thus ?case by simp
qed(auto)

lemma fixes e :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp and es :: ('a,'b,'addr) exp list
  shows inline-call-max-vars: call e = [aMvs] ==> max-vars (inline-call e' e) ≤ max-vars e + max-vars e'
  and inline-calls-max-varss: calls es = [aMvs] ==> max-varss (inline-calls e' es) ≤ max-varss es + max-vars e'
  by(induct e and es rule: call.induct calls.induct)(auto)

lemma assumes final E bisim VS E E' xs
  shows inline-call-compE1: call e = [aMvs] ==> inline-call E' (compE1 Vs e) = compE1 Vs (inline-call E e)
  and inline-calls-compEs1: calls es = [aMvs] ==> inline-calls E' (compEs1 Vs es) = compEs1 Vs (inline-calls E es)
proof(induct Vs e and Vs es rule: compE1-compEs1-induct)
  case (Call Vs obj M params)
    note IHobj = <call obj = [aMvs] ==> inline-call E' (compE1 Vs obj) = compE1 Vs (inline-call E obj)>
    note IHparams = <calls params = [aMvs] ==> inline-calls E' (compEs1 Vs params) = compEs1 Vs (inline-calls E params)>
    obtain a M' vs where [simp]: aMvs = (a, M', vs) by (cases aMvs, auto)
    with <call (obj·M(params)) = [aMvs]> have call (obj·M(params)) = [(a, M', vs)] by simp
    thus ?case
  proof(induct rule: call-callE)
    case CallObj
      with IHobj have inline-call E' (compE1 Vs obj) = compE1 Vs (inline-call E obj) by auto
      with CallObj show ?case by auto
  next
    case (CallParams v)
      with IHparams have inline-calls E' (compEs1 Vs params) = compEs1 Vs (inline-calls E params) by auto
  qed
  qed(auto split: if-split-asm)

lemma assumes bisim: bisim VS E E' XS
  and final: final E
  shows bisim-inline-call:
    [| bisim Vs e e' xs; call e = [aMvs]; fv e ⊆ set Vs |]
    ==> bisim Vs (inline-call E e) (inline-call E' e') xs

  and bisims-inline-calls:
    [| bisims Vs es es' xs; calls es = [aMvs]; fvs es ⊆ set Vs |]
    ==> bisims Vs (inline-calls E es) (inline-calls E' es') xs
proof(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)
  case (bisimBinOp1 Vs e e' xs bop e'')
    thus ?case by(cases is-val (inline-call E e))(fastforce) +
  next

```

```

case (bisimAAcc1 Vs a a' xs i)
  thus ?case by(cases is-val (inline-call E a))(fastforce)+
next
  case (bisimAAss1 Vs a a' xs i e)
    thus ?case by(cases is-val (inline-call E a), cases is-val i)(fastforce)+
next
  case (bisimAAss2 Vs i i' xs a e)
    thus ?case by(cases is-val (inline-call E i))(fastforce)+
next
  case (bisimFAss1 Vs e e' xs F D e'')
    thus ?case by(cases is-val (inline-call E e))(fastforce)+
next
  case (bisimCAS1 Vs e e' xs e2 e3 D F)
    thus ?case
      apply(cases is-val (inline-call E e))
      apply(cases is-val e2)
      apply(fastforce)
      apply clarsimp
      apply(safe; clarsimp?)
      apply auto
      done
next
  case (bisimCAS2 Vs e e' xs e3 v D F)
    thus ?case by(cases is-val (inline-call E e); safe?; clarsimp; fastforce)
next
  case (bisimCallObj Vs e e' xs es M)
    obtain a M' vs where aMvs = (a, M', vs) by(cases aMvs, auto)
    with <call (e·M(es)) = [aMvs]> have call (e·M(es)) = [(a, M', vs)] by simp
    thus ?case
      proof(induct rule: call-callE)
        case CallObj
        with <fv (e·M(es)) ⊆ set Vs> <aMvs = (a, M', vs)>
          <[call e = [aMvs]; fv e ⊆ set Vs]> implies bisim Vs (inline-call E e) (inline-call E' e') xs
          have IH': bisim Vs (inline-call E e) (inline-call E' e') xs by (auto)
          with <bisim Vs e e' xs> <fv (e·M(es)) ⊆ set Vs> CallObj ⊢ contains-insyncs es show ?thesis
            by(cases is-val (inline-call E e))(fastforce)+
next
  case (CallParams v)
    hence inline-calls E' (compEs1 Vs es) = compEs1 Vs (inline-calls E es)
      by -(rule inline-calls-compEs1[OF final bisim])
    moreover from <fv (e·M(es)) ⊆ set Vs> final fvs-inline-calls[of E es]
    have fvs (inline-calls E es) ⊆ set Vs by (auto elim!: final.cases)
    moreover note CallParams ⊢ bisim Vs e e' xs <fv (e·M(es)) ⊆ set Vs> contains-insyncs es
final
  ultimately show ?case by(auto simp add: is-vals-conv final-iff)
next
  case Call
  with final bisim ⊢ bisim Vs e e' xs show ?case by(auto simp add: is-vals-conv)
qed
next
  case (bisimCallParams Vs es es' xs v M)
    obtain a M' vs where [simp]: aMvs = (a, M', vs) by(cases aMvs, auto)
    with <call (Val v·M(es)) = [aMvs]> have call (Val v·M(es)) = [(a, M', vs)] by simp
    thus ?case

```

```

proof(induct rule: call-callE)
  case CallObj thus ?case by simp
next
  case (CallParams v')
  with <[calls es = [aMvs]; fvs es ⊆ set Vs]⇒ bisims Vs (inline-calls E es) (inline-calls E' es') xs > fv (Val v·M(es)) ⊆ set Vs
  have bisims Vs (inline-calls E es) (inline-calls E' es') xs by(auto)
  with final bisim <bisims Vs es es' xs> show ?case by(auto simp add: is-vals-conv)
next
  case Call
  with final bisim <bisims Vs es es' xs> show ?case by(auto)
qed
next
  case (bisimsCons1 Vs e e' xs es)
  thus ?case by(cases is-val (inline-call E e))(fastforce)+
qed(fastforce)+

declare hyperUn-ac [simp del]

lemma sqInt-lem3: [ A ⊑ A'; B ⊑ B' ] ⇒ A ∩ B ⊑ A' ∩ B'
by(auto simp add: hyperset-defs)

lemma sqUn-lem3: [ A ⊑ A'; B ⊑ B' ] ⇒ A ∪ B ⊑ A' ∪ B'
by(auto simp add: hyperset-defs)

lemma A-inline-call: call e = [aMvs] ⇒ A e ⊑ A (inline-call e' e)
  and As-inline-calls: calls es = [aMvs] ⇒ As es ⊑ As (inline-calls e' es)
proof(induct e and es rule: call.induct calls.induct)
  case (Call obj M params)
  obtain a M' vs where [simp]: aMvs = (a, M', vs) by(cases aMvs, auto)
  with <call (obj·M(params)) = [aMvs]> have call (obj·M(params)) = [(a, M', vs)] by simp
  thus ?case
proof(induct rule: call-callE)
  case CallObj
  with <call obj = [aMvs] ⇒ A obj ⊑ A (inline-call e' obj)>
  show ?case by(auto intro: sqUn-lem)
next
  case CallParams
  with <calls params = [aMvs] ⇒ As params ⊑ As (inline-calls e' params)>
  show ?case by(auto intro: sqUn-lem)
next
  case Call
  thus ?case by(auto simp add: hyperset-defs)
qed
next
  case Block thus ?case by(fastforce intro: diff-lem)
next
  case throw thus ?case by(simp add: hyperset-defs)
next
  case TryCatch thus ?case by(auto intro: sqInt-lem)
qed(fastforce intro: sqUn-lem sqUn-lem2)+

lemma assumes final e'
shows defass-inline-call: [ call e = [aMvs]; D e A ] ⇒ D (inline-call e' e) A

```

```

and defasss-inline-calls:  $\llbracket \text{calls } es = [aMvs]; \mathcal{D}s \ es \ A \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{D}s \ (\text{inline-calls } e' \ es) \ A$ 
proof(induct e and es arbitrary: A and A rule: call.induct calls.induct)
  case (Call obj M params A)
    obtain a M' vs where [simp]: aMvs = (a, M', vs) by (cases aMvs, auto)
    with  $\langle \text{call } (\text{obj} \cdot M(\text{params})) = [aMvs] \rangle$  have call (obj.M(params)) =  $[(a, M', vs)]$  by simp
    thus ?case
    proof(cases rule: call-calle)
      case CallObj
        with  $\langle \mathcal{D} (\text{obj} \cdot M(\text{params})) \ A \rangle \ \langle \llbracket \text{call } obj = [aMvs]; \mathcal{D} \ obj \ A \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{D} (\text{inline-call } e' \ obj) \ A \rangle$ 
        have  $\mathcal{D} (\text{inline-call } e' \ obj) \ A$  by simp
        moreover from A-inline-call[Of CallObj, of e']
        have A ⊑ (A obj) ⊑ A ⊑ (A (inline-call e' obj)) by (rule sqUn-lem2)
        with  $\langle \mathcal{D} (\text{obj} \cdot M(\text{params})) \ A \rangle$  have  $\mathcal{D}s \ \text{params} (A \sqcup A (\text{inline-call } e' \ obj))$  by (auto elim: Ds-mono')
        ultimately show ?thesis using CallObj by auto
      next
        case (CallParams v)
          with  $\langle \mathcal{D} (\text{obj} \cdot M(\text{params})) \ A \rangle \ \langle \llbracket \text{calls } \text{params} = [aMvs]; \mathcal{D}s \ \text{params} \ A \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{D}s \ (\text{inline-calls } e' \ \text{params}) \ A \rangle$ 
          have  $\mathcal{D}s \ (\text{inline-calls } e' \ \text{params}) \ A$  by (simp)
          with CallParams show ?thesis by (auto)
        next
        case Call
        with  $\langle \text{final } e' \rangle$  show ?thesis by (auto elim!: D-mono' simp add: hyperset-defs)
      qed
    next
    case (Cons-exp exp exps A)
    show ?case
    proof(cases is-val exp)
      case True
        with  $\langle \mathcal{D}s \ (\text{exp} \ # \ \text{exps}) \ A \rangle \ \langle \llbracket \text{calls } \text{exps} = [aMvs]; \mathcal{D}s \ \text{exps} \ A \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{D}s \ (\text{inline-calls } e' \ \text{exps}) \ A \rangle$ 
         $\langle \text{calls } (\text{exp} \ # \ \text{exps}) = [aMvs] \rangle$ 
        have  $\mathcal{D}s \ (\text{inline-calls } e' \ \text{exps}) \ A$  by (auto)
        with True show ?thesis by (auto)
      next
      case False
      with  $\langle \llbracket \text{call } \text{exp} = [aMvs]; \mathcal{D} \ \text{exp} \ A \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{D} (\text{inline-call } e' \ \text{exp}) \ A \rangle \ \langle \text{calls } (\text{exp} \ # \ \text{exps}) = [aMvs] \rangle$ 
       $\langle \mathcal{D}s \ (\text{exp} \ # \ \text{exps}) \ A \rangle$ 
      have  $\mathcal{D} (\text{inline-call } e' \ \text{exp}) \ A$  by auto
      moreover from False  $\langle \text{calls } (\text{exp} \ # \ \text{exps}) = [aMvs] \rangle$  have  $\mathcal{A} \ \text{exp} \sqsubseteq \mathcal{A} (\text{inline-call } e' \ \text{exp})$ 
      by (auto intro: A-inline-call)
      hence A ⊑ A exp ⊑ A ⊑ A (inline-call e' exp) by (rule sqUn-lem2)
      with  $\langle \mathcal{D}s \ (\text{exp} \ # \ \text{exps}) \ A \rangle$  have  $\mathcal{D}s \ \text{exps} (A \sqcup \mathcal{A} (\text{inline-call } e' \ \text{exp}))$ 
      by (auto intro: Ds-mono')
      ultimately show ?thesis using False by (auto)
    qed
  qed (fastforce split: if-split-asm elim: D-mono' intro: sqUn-lem2 sqUn-lem A-inline-call)+
lemma bisim-B: bisim Vs e E xs  $\implies \mathcal{B} \ E \ (\text{length } Vs)$ 
  and bisims-Bs: bisims Vs es Es xs  $\implies \mathcal{B}s \ Es \ (\text{length } Vs)$ 
apply(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)
apply(auto intro: B Bs)
done

lemma bisim-expr-locks-eq: bisim Vs e e' xs  $\implies \text{expr-locks } e = \text{expr-locks } e'$ 

```

and bisims-expr-lockss-eq: bisims Vs es es' xs \implies expr-lockss es = expr-lockss es'
by(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)(auto intro!: ext)

lemma bisim-list-expr-lockss-eq: bisim-list es exs' \implies expr-lockss es = expr-lockss (map fst exs')
apply(induct rule: bisim-list.induct)
apply(auto dest: bisim-expr-locks-eq)
done

context J1-heap-base **begin**

lemma [simp]:
 fixes e :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp **and** es :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list
shows $\tau\text{move1-compP}$: $\tau\text{move1} (\text{compP } f P) h e = \tau\text{move1} P h e$
and $\tau\text{moves1-compP}$: $\tau\text{moves1} (\text{compP } f P) h es = \tau\text{moves1} P h es$
by(induct e **and** es rule: $\tau\text{move1.induct}$ $\tau\text{moves1.induct}$) auto

lemma $\tau\text{Move1-compP}$ [simp]: $\tau\text{Move1} (\text{compP } f P) = \tau\text{Move1} P$
by(intro ext) auto

lemma red1-preserves-unmod:
 $\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{unmod } e i \rrbracket \implies (lcl s') ! i = (lcl s) ! i$

and reds1-preserves-unmod:
 $\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{unmods } es i \rrbracket \implies (lcl s') ! i = (lcl s) ! i$
apply(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)
apply(auto split: if-split-asm)
done

lemma red1-unmod-preserved:
 $\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{unmod } e i \rrbracket \implies \text{unmod } e' i$
and reds1-unmods-preserved:
 $\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{unmods } es i \rrbracket \implies \text{unmods } es' i$
by(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)(auto split: if-split-asm)

lemma $\tau\text{red1t-unmod-preserved}$:
 $\llbracket \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs'); \text{unmod } e i \rrbracket \implies \text{unmod } e' i$
by(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)(auto intro: red1-unmod-preserved)

lemma $\tau\text{red1r-unmod-preserved}$:
 $\llbracket \tau\text{red1gr uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs'); \text{unmod } e i \rrbracket \implies \text{unmod } e' i$
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto intro: red1-unmod-preserved)

lemma $\tau\text{red1t-preserves-unmod}$:
 $\llbracket \tau\text{red1gt uf } P t h (e, xs) (e', xs'); \text{unmod } e i; i < \text{length } xs \rrbracket$
 $\implies xs' ! i = xs ! i$
apply(induct rule: tranclp-induct2)
apply(auto dest: red1-preserves-unmod)
apply(drule red1-preserves-unmod)
apply(erule (1) $\tau\text{red1t-unmod-preserved}$)
apply(drule $\tau\text{red1t-preserves-len}$)
apply auto
done

lemma $\tau\text{red1'r-preserves-unmod}$:

```

 $\llbracket \tau \text{red1gr } uf P t h (e, xs) (e', xs'); \text{unmod } e i; i < \text{length } xs \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies xs' ! i = xs ! i$ 
apply(induct rule: converse-rtranclp-induct2)
apply(auto dest: red1-preserves-unmod red1-unmod-preserved red1-preserves-len)
apply(frule (1) red1-unmod-preserved)
apply(frule red1-preserves-len)
apply(frule (1) red1-preserves-unmod)
apply auto
done

end

context J-heap-base begin

lemma [simp]:
  fixes e :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp and es :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list
  shows  $\tau \text{move0-compP} : \tau \text{move0} (\text{compP } f P) h e = \tau \text{move0} P h e$ 
  and  $\tau \text{moves0-compP} : \tau \text{moves0} (\text{compP } f P) h es = \tau \text{moves0} P h es$ 
  by(induct e and es rule: τmove0.induct τmoves0.induct) auto

lemma  $\tau \text{Move0-compP}$  [simp]:  $\tau \text{Move0} (\text{compP } f P) = \tau \text{Move0} P$ 
  by(intro ext) auto

end

end

```

7.23 Unlocking a sync block never fails

```

theory Correctness1Threaded imports
  J0J1Bisim
  ..../Framework/FWInitFinLift
begin

definition lock-oks1 :: 
  ('addr,'thread-id) locks
   $\Rightarrow$  ('addr,'thread-id,((('a,'b,'addr) exp  $\times$  'c)  $\times$  ((('a,'b,'addr) exp  $\times$  'c) list)) thread-info  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
   $\bigwedge ln.$  lock-oks1 ls ts  $\equiv$   $\forall t.$  (case (ts t) of None  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\forall l.$  has-locks (ls $ l) t = 0)
     $|$   $\lfloor (ex, exs), ln \rfloor \Rightarrow (\forall l.$  has-locks (ls $ l) t + ln $ l = expr-lockss (map fst (ex # exs)) l))
   $\bigwedge ln.$  lock-oks1 ls ts  $\equiv$   $\forall t.$  (case (ts t) of None  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\forall l.$  has-locks (ls $ l) t = 0)
     $|$   $\lfloor (ex, exs), ln \rfloor \Rightarrow (\forall l.$  has-locks (ls $ l) t + ln $ l = expr-lockss (map fst (ex # exs)) l))

primrec el-loc-ok :: 'addr expr1  $\Rightarrow$  'addr locals1  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and els-loc-ok :: 'addr expr1 list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr locals1  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  el-loc-ok (new C) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | el-loc-ok (newA T[e]) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs
  | el-loc-ok (Cast T e) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs
  | el-loc-ok (e instanceof T) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs
  | el-loc-ok (e «bop» e') xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok e' xs
  | el-loc-ok (Var V) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | el-loc-ok (Val v) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
  | el-loc-ok (V := e) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs

```

```

| el-loc-ok (a[i]) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok a xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok i xs
| el-loc-ok (a[i] := e) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok a xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok i xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok e xs
| el-loc-ok (a.length) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok a xs
| el-loc-ok (e.F{D}) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs
| el-loc-ok (e.F{D} := e') xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok e' xs
| el-loc-ok (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok e' xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok e'' xs
| el-loc-ok (e.M(ps)) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs  $\wedge$  els-loc-ok ps xs
| el-loc-ok {V:T=vo; e} xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  (case vo of None  $\Rightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs | [v]  $\Rightarrow$  el-loc-ok e (xs[V := v]))
| el-loc-ok (sync_V(e) e') xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok e' xs  $\wedge$  unmod e' V
| el-loc-ok (insync_V(a) e) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  xs ! V = Addr a  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok e xs  $\wedge$  unmod e V
| el-loc-ok (e;;e') xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok e' xs
| el-loc-ok (if (b) e else e') xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok b xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok e xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok e' xs
| el-loc-ok (while (b) c) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok b xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok c xs
| el-loc-ok (throw e) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs
| el-loc-ok (try e catch(C V) e') xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs  $\wedge$  el-loc-ok e' xs

| els-loc-ok [] xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  True
| els-loc-ok (e # es) xs  $\longleftrightarrow$  el-loc-ok e xs  $\wedge$  els-loc-ok es xs

```

lemma el-loc-oki: $\llbracket \neg \text{contains-insync } e; \text{syncvars } e; \mathcal{B} e n \rrbracket \implies \text{el-loc-ok } e \text{ xs}$
and els-loc-oki: $\llbracket \neg \text{contains-insyncs } es; \text{syncvarss } es; \mathcal{B}s es n \rrbracket \implies \text{els-loc-ok } es \text{ xs}$
by(induct e **and** es arbitrary: xs n **and** xs n rule: el-loc-ok.induct els-loc-ok.induct)(auto intro: fv-B-unmod)

lemma el-loc-ok-compE1: $\llbracket \neg \text{contains-insync } e; fv e \subseteq \text{set } Vs \rrbracket \implies \text{el-loc-ok } (\text{compE1 } Vs e) \text{ xs}$
and els-loc-ok-compEs1: $\llbracket \neg \text{contains-insyncs } es; fvs es \subseteq \text{set } Vs \rrbracket \implies \text{els-loc-ok } (\text{compEs1 } Vs es)$
 xs
by(auto intro: el-loc-oki els-loc-oki syncvars-compE1 syncvarss-compEs1 $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{B}s$ simp del: compEs1-conv-map)

lemma shows el-loc-ok-not-contains-insync-local-change:
 $\llbracket \neg \text{contains-insync } e; \text{el-loc-ok } e \text{ xs} \rrbracket \implies \text{el-loc-ok } e \text{ xs}'$
and els-loc-ok-not-contains-insyncs-local-change:
 $\llbracket \neg \text{contains-insyncs } es; \text{els-loc-ok } es \text{ xs} \rrbracket \implies \text{els-loc-ok } es \text{ xs}'$
by(induct e **and** es arbitrary: xs xs' **and** xs xs' rule: el-loc-ok.induct els-loc-ok.induct)(fastforce)+

lemma el-loc-ok-update: $\llbracket \mathcal{B} e n; V < n \rrbracket \implies \text{el-loc-ok } e (xs[V := v]) = \text{el-loc-ok } e \text{ xs}$
and els-loc-ok-update: $\llbracket \mathcal{B}s es n; V < n \rrbracket \implies \text{els-loc-ok } es (xs[V := v]) = \text{els-loc-ok } es \text{ xs}$
apply(induct e **and** es arbitrary: n xs **and** n xs rule: el-loc-ok.induct els-loc-ok.induct)
apply(auto simp add: list-update-swap)
done

lemma els-loc-ok-map-Val [simp]:
 els-loc-ok (map Val vs) xs
by(induct vs) auto

lemma els-loc-ok-map-Val-append [simp]:
 els-loc-ok (map Val vs @ es) xs = els-loc-ok es xs
by(induct vs) auto

lemma el-loc-ok-extRet2J [simp]:
 el-loc-ok e xs \implies el-loc-ok (extRet2J e va) xs
by(cases va) auto

definition el-loc-ok1 :: ((nat, nat, 'addr) exp \times 'addr locals1) \times ((nat, nat, 'addr) exp \times 'addr locals1)
 list \Rightarrow bool

where $\text{el-loc-ok1} = (\lambda((e, xs), exs). \text{el-loc-ok } e \text{ xs} \wedge \text{sync-ok } e \wedge (\forall (e, xs) \in \text{set exs}. \text{el-loc-ok } e \text{ xs} \wedge \text{sync-ok } e))$

lemma el-loc-ok1-simps :

$\text{el-loc-ok1 } ((e, xs), exs) = (\text{el-loc-ok } e \text{ xs} \wedge \text{sync-ok } e \wedge (\forall (e, xs) \in \text{set exs}. \text{el-loc-ok } e \text{ xs} \wedge \text{sync-ok } e))$
by(*simp add*: el-loc-ok1-def)

lemma el-loc-ok-blocks1 [*simp*]:

$\text{el-loc-ok } (\text{blocks1 } n \text{ Ts body}) \text{ xs} = \text{el-loc-ok } \text{body xs}$
by(*induct n Ts body rule*: blocks1.induct) *auto*

lemma sync-oks-blocks1 [*simp*]: $\text{sync-ok } (\text{blocks1 } n \text{ Ts e}) = \text{sync-ok } e$

by(*induct n Ts e rule*: blocks1.induct) *auto*

lemma assumes fin: final e'

shows $\text{el-loc-ok-inline-call: el-loc-ok } e \text{ xs} \implies \text{el-loc-ok } (\text{inline-call } e' e) \text{ xs}$
and $\text{els-loc-ok-inline-calls: els-loc-ok } es \text{ xs} \implies \text{els-loc-ok } (\text{inline-calls } e' es) \text{ xs}$
apply(*induct e and es arbitrary*: xs **and** xs *rule*: $\text{el-loc-ok.induct els-loc-ok.induct}$)
apply(*insert fin*)
apply(*auto simp add*: unmod-inline-call)
done

lemma assumes sync-ok e'

shows $\text{sync-ok-inline-call: sync-ok } e \implies \text{sync-ok } (\text{inline-call } e' e)$
and $\text{sync-oks-inline-calls: sync-oks } es \implies \text{sync-oks } (\text{inline-calls } e' es)$
apply(*induct e and es rule*: $\text{sync-ok.induct sync-oks.induct}$)
apply(*insert <sync-ok e'>*)
apply *auto*
done

lemma bisim-sync-ok :

$\text{bisim } Vs \text{ e e' xs} \implies \text{sync-ok } e$
 $\text{bisim } Vs \text{ e e' xs} \implies \text{sync-ok } e'$

and bisims-sync-oks :

$\text{bisims } Vs \text{ es es' xs} \implies \text{sync-oks } es$
 $\text{bisims } Vs \text{ es es' xs} \implies \text{sync-oks } es'$
apply(*induct rule*: $\text{bisim-bisims.inducts}$)
apply(*auto intro*: $\text{not-contains-insync-sync-ok}$ $\text{not-contains-insyncs-sync-oks}$ *simp del*: compEs1-conv-map)
done

lemma assumes final e'

shows $\text{expr-locks-inline-call-final:}$
 $\text{expr-locks } (\text{inline-call } e' e) = \text{expr-locks } e$
and $\text{expr-lockss-inline-calls-final:}$
 $\text{expr-lockss } (\text{inline-calls } e' es) = \text{expr-lockss } es$
apply(*induct e and es rule*: $\text{expr-locks.induct expr-lockss.induct}$)
apply(*insert <final e'>*)
apply(*auto simp add*: $\text{is-vals-conv intro}$: ext)
done

lemma lock-oks1I :

$\llbracket \bigwedge t l. ts t = \text{None} \implies \text{has-locks } (ls \$ l) t = 0;$
 $\bigwedge t e x exs ln l. ts t = \lfloor (((e, x), exs), ln) \rfloor \implies \text{has-locks } (ls \$ l) t + ln \$ l = \text{expr-locks } e l +$

```

expr-lockss (map fst exs) l []
  ==> lock-oks1 ls ts
apply(fastforce simp add: lock-oks1-def)
done

lemma lock-oks1E:
  [] lock-oks1 ls ts;
    <math>\forall t. ts t = None \longrightarrow (\forall l. has-locks (ls \$ l) t = 0) \Longrightarrow Q;
    <math>\forall t e x exs ln. ts t = [((e, x), exs), ln] \longrightarrow (\forall l. has-locks (ls \$ l) t + ln \$ l = expr-locks e l + expr-lockss (map fst exs) l) \Longrightarrow Q []
  ==> Q
by(fastforce simp add: lock-oks1-def)

lemma lock-oks1D1:
  [] lock-oks1 ls ts; ts t = None ] \Longrightarrow \forall l. has-locks (ls \$ l) t = 0
apply(simp add: lock-oks1-def)
apply(erule-tac x=t in allE)
apply(auto)
done

lemma lock-oks1D2:
  & ln. [] lock-oks1 ls ts; ts t = [((e, x), exs), ln] []
  ==> \forall l. has-locks (ls \$ l) t + ln \$ l = expr-locks e l + expr-lockss (map fst exs) l
apply(fastforce simp add: lock-oks1-def)
done

lemma lock-oks1-thr-updI:
  & ln. [] lock-oks1 ls ts; ts t = [((e, xs), exs), ln];
    & \forall l. expr-locks e l + expr-lockss (map fst exs) l = expr-locks e' l + expr-lockss (map fst exs') l []
  ==> lock-oks1 ls (ts(t \mapsto ((e', xs'), exs'), ln)))
by(rule lock-oks1I)(auto split: if-split-asm dest: lock-oks1D2 lock-oks1D1)

definition mbisim-Red1'-Red1 :: 
  ('addr,'thread-id,('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) list,'heap,'addr)
state,
  ('addr,'thread-id,('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) \times ('addr expr1 \times 'addr locals1) list,'heap,'addr)
state) bisim
where
  mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1 s2 =
  (s1 = s2 \wedge lock-oks1 (locks s1) (thr s1) \wedge ts-ok (\lambda t exexs h. el-loc-ok1 exexs) (thr s1) (shr s1))

lemma sync-ok-blocks:
  [] length vs = length pns; length Ts = length pns]
  ==> sync-ok (blocks pns Ts vs body) = sync-ok body
by(induct pns Ts vs body rule: blocks.induct) auto

context J1-heap-base begin

lemma red1-True-into-red1-False:
  [] True,P,t \vdash 1 <(e, s)> -ta\rightarrow <(e', s')>; el-loc-ok e (lcl s) []
  ==> False,P,t \vdash 1 <(e, s)> -ta\rightarrow <(e', s')> \vee (\exists l. ta = \{UnlockFail\rightarrow l\} \wedge expr-locks e l > 0)
and reds1-True-into-reds1-False:
  [] True,P,t \vdash 1 <(es, s)> [-ta\rightarrow] <(es', s')>; els-loc-ok es (lcl s) []

```

```

 $\Rightarrow False, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle \vee (\exists l. ta = \{UnlockFail \rightarrow l\} \wedge expr-lockss es l > 0)$ 
apply(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)
apply(auto intro: red1-reds1.intros split: if-split-asm)
done

lemma Red1-True-into-Red1-False:
assumes True, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨ex/exs, shr s⟩ -ta→ ⟨ex'/exs', m'⟩
and el-loc-ok1(ex, exs)
shows False, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨ex/exs, shr s⟩ -ta→ ⟨ex'/exs', m'⟩ ∨
(∃l. ta = {UnlockFail → l} ∧ expr-lockss (fst ex # map fst exs) l > 0)
using assms
by(cases)(auto dest: Red1.intros red1-True-into-red1-False simp add: el-loc-ok1-def ta-upd-simps)

lemma shows red1-preserves-el-loc-ok:
[ uf, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨e, s⟩ -ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; sync-ok e; el-loc-ok e (lcl s) ] ⇒ el-loc-ok e' (lcl s')

and reds1-preserves-els-loc-ok:
[ uf, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨es, s⟩ [-ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; sync-oks es; els-loc-ok es (lcl s) ] ⇒ els-loc-ok es' (lcl s')
proof(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)
case (Synchronized1Red2 e s ta e' s' V a)
from ⟨el-loc-ok (insync V (a) e) (lcl s)⟩
have el-loc-ok e (lcl s) unmod e V lcl s ! V = Addr a by auto
from ⟨sync-ok (insync V (a) e)⟩ have sync-ok e by simp
hence el-loc-ok e' (lcl s')
using ⟨el-loc-ok e (lcl s)⟩
by(rule Synchronized1Red2)
moreover from ⟨uf, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨e, s⟩ -ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩⟩ ⟨unmod e V⟩ have unmod e' V
by(rule red1-unmod-preserved)
moreover from red1-preserves-unmod[OF ⟨uf, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨e, s⟩ -ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩⟩ ⟨unmod e V⟩] ⟨lcl s ! V = Addr a⟩
have lcl s' ! V = Addr a by simp
ultimately show ?case by auto
qed(auto elim: el-loc-ok-not-contains-insync-local-change els-loc-ok-not-contains-insyncs-local-change)

lemma red1-preserves-sync-ok: [ uf, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨e, s⟩ -ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; sync-ok e ] ⇒ sync-ok e'
and reds1-preserves-sync-oks: [ uf, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨es, s⟩ [-ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; sync-oks es ] ⇒ sync-oks es'
by(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)(auto elim: not-contains-insync-sync-ok)

lemma Red1-preserves-el-loc-ok1:
assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
shows [ uf, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨ex/exs, m⟩ -ta→ ⟨ex'/exs', m'⟩; el-loc-ok1(ex, exs) ] ⇒ el-loc-ok1(ex', exs')
apply(erule Red1.cases)
apply(auto simp add: el-loc-ok1-def dest: red1-preserves-el-loc-ok red1-preserves-sync-ok intro: el-loc-ok-inline-call sync-ok-inline-call)
apply(fastforce dest!: sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf] simp add: wf-mdecl-def intro!: el-loc-okI dest: WT1-not-contains-insync intro: not-contains-insync-sync-ok) +
done

lemma assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
shows red1-el-loc-ok1-new-thread:
[ uf, P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨e, s⟩ -ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; NewThread t' (C, M, a) h ∈ set {ta} t ]
⇒ el-loc-ok1 (((0:Class (fst (method P C M))=None; the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M))))), xs), []))

```

```

and reds1-el-loc-ok1-new-thread:
 $\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; NewThread t' (C, M, a) h \in set \{ta\}_t \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies el-loc-ok1 ((\{0:Class (fst (method P C M))=None; the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M))))\},$ 
 $xs), [])$ 
proof(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)
  case Red1CallExternal thus ?case
    apply(auto dest!: red-external-new-thread-sees[OF wf] simp add: el-loc-ok1-simps)
    apply(auto dest!: sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf] WT1-not-contains-insync simp add: wf-mdecl-def intro!:
    el-loc-ok1 not-contains-insync-sync-ok)
    done
  qed auto

lemma Red1-el-loc-ok1-new-thread:
assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
shows  $\llbracket uf, P, t \vdash 1 \langle ex/exs, m \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle ex'/exs', m' \rangle; NewThread t' exes m' \in set \{ta\}_t \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies el-loc-ok1 exes$ 
by(erule Red1.cases)(fastforce elim: red1-el-loc-ok1-new-thread[OF wf] simp add: ta-upd-simps)+

lemma Red1-el-loc-ok:
assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
shows lifting-wf final-expr1 (mred1g uf P) ( $\lambda t$  exes h. el-loc-ok1 exes)
by(unfold-locales)(auto elim: Red1-preserves-el-loc-ok1[OF wf] Red1-el-loc-ok1-new-thread[OF wf])

lemma mred1-eq-mred1':
assumes lok: lock-oks1 (locks s) (thr s)
and elo: ts-ok ( $\lambda t$  exes h. el-loc-ok1 exes) (thr s) (shr s)
and tst: thr s t =  $\lfloor (exes, no-wait-locks) \rfloor$ 
and aoe: Red1-mthr.actions-ok s t ta
shows mred1 P t (exes, shr s) ta = mred1' P t (exes, shr s) ta
proof(intro ext iffI)
  fix xm'
  assume mred1 P t (exes, shr s) ta xm'
  moreover obtain ex exs where exes [simp]: exes = (ex, exs) by(cases exes)
  moreover obtain ex' exs' m' where xm' [simp]: xm' = ((ex', exs'), m') by(cases xm') auto
  ultimately have red: True, P, t  $\vdash 1 \langle ex/exs, shr s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle ex'/exs', m' \rangle$  by simp
  from elo tst have el-loc-ok1 (ex, exs) by(auto dest: ts-okD)
  from Red1-True-into-Red1-False[OF red this]
  have False, P, t  $\vdash 1 \langle ex/exs, shr s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle ex'/exs', m' \rangle$ 
  proof
    assume  $\exists l. ta = \{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow l\} \wedge 0 < \text{expr-lockss} (\text{fst } ex \# \text{map } \text{fst } exs) l$ 
    then obtain l where ta: ta =  $\{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow l\}$ 
      and el: expr-lockss (fst ex # map fst exs) l > 0 by blast
      from aoe have lock-actions-ok (locks s $ l) t ( $\{ta\}_l \# l$ )
        by(auto simp add: lock-ok-las-def)
      with ta have has-locks (locks s $ l) t = 0 by simp
      with lok tst have expr-lockss (map fst (ex # exs)) l = 0
        by(cases ex)(auto 4 6 simp add: lock-oks1-def)
      with el have False by simp
      thus ?thesis ..
  qed
  thus mred1' P t (exes, shr s) ta xm' by simp
next
  fix xm'
  assume mred1' P t (exes, shr s) ta xm'

```

```

thus mred1 P t (exexs, shr s) ta xm'
  by(cases xm')(auto simp add: split-beta intro: Red1-False-into-Red1-True)
qed

lemma Red1-mthr-eq-Red1-mthr':
  assumes lok: lock-oks1 (locks s) (thr s)
  and elo: ts-ok (λt exexs h. el-loc-ok1 exexs) (thr s) (shr s)
  shows Red1-mthr.redT True P s = Red1-mthr.redT False P s
proof(intro ext)
  fix tta s'
  show Red1-mthr.redT True P s tta s' = Red1-mthr.redT False P s tta s' (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof
    assume ?lhs thus ?rhs
    proof cases
      case (redT-normal t x ta x' m')
        from ⟨mred1 P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')⟩ have mred1' P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
        unfolding mred1-eq-mred1'[OF lok elo ⟨thr s t = |(x, no-wait-locks)|⟩ ⟨Red1-mthr.actions-ok s t ta⟩].
        thus ?thesis using redT-normal(3-) unfolding ⟨tta = (t, ta)⟩ ..
      next
      case (redT-acquire t x ln n)
        from this(2-) show ?thesis unfolding redT-acquire(1) ..
    qed
  next
  assume ?rhs thus ?lhs
  proof(cases)
    case (redT-normal t x ta x' m')
      from ⟨mred1' P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')⟩ have mred1 P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
      unfolding mred1-eq-mred1'[OF lok elo ⟨thr s t = |(x, no-wait-locks)|⟩ ⟨Red1-mthr.actions-ok s t ta⟩].
      thus ?thesis using redT-normal(3-) unfolding ⟨tta = (t, ta)⟩ ..
    next
    case (redT-acquire t x ln n)
      from this(2-) show ?thesis unfolding redT-acquire(1) ..
  qed
qed

lemma assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  shows expr-locks-new-thread1:
    ⟦ uf,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e,s⟩ − TA → ⟨e',s'⟩; NewThread t' (ex, exs) h ∈ set (map (convert-new-thread-action (extNTA2J1 P)) {TA} t) ⟧
    ==> expr-lockss (map fst (ex # exs)) = (λad. 0)
  and expr-lockss-new-thread1:
    ⟦ uf,P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨es,s⟩ [− TA →] ⟨es',s'⟩; NewThread t' (ex, exs) h ∈ set (map (convert-new-thread-action (extNTA2J1 P)) {TA} t) ⟧
    ==> expr-lockss (map fst (ex # exs)) = (λad. 0)
proof(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)
  case (Red1CallExternal s a T M vs ta va h' e' s')
  then obtain C fs ad where subThread: P ⊢ C ⊢* Thread and ext: extNTA2J1 P (C, run, ad) = (ex, exs)
    by(fastforce dest: red-external-new-thread-sub-thread)
    from sub-Thread-sees-run[OF wf subThread] obtain D body
    where sees: P ⊢ C sees run: [] → Void = |body| in D by auto

```

```

from sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf this] obtain T where P,[Class D] ⊢1 body :: T
  by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
  hence ¬ contains-insync body by(rule WT1-not-contains-insync)
  hence expr-locks body = (λad. 0) by(auto simp add: contains-insync-conv fun-eq-iff)
  with sees ext show ?case by(auto)
qed auto

lemma assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  shows red1-update-expr-locks:
    [[ False,P,t ⊢1 ⟨e, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; sync-ok e; el-loc-ok e (lcl s) ]]
    ==> upd-expr-locks (int o expr-locks e) {ta}l = int o expr-locks e'

  and reds1-update-expr-lockss:
    [[ False,P,t ⊢1 ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; sync-oks es; els-loc-ok es (lcl s) ]]
    ==> upd-expr-locks (int o expr-lockss es) {ta}l = int o expr-lockss es'

proof -
  have [[ False,P,t ⊢1 ⟨e, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; sync-ok e; el-loc-ok e (lcl s) ]]
    ==> upd-expr-locks (λad. 0) {ta}l = (λad. (int o expr-locks e') ad − (int o expr-locks e) ad)
  and [[ False,P,t ⊢1 ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; sync-oks es; els-loc-ok es (lcl s) ]]
    ==> upd-expr-locks (λad. 0) {ta}l = (λad. (int o expr-lockss es') ad − (int o expr-lockss es) ad)
  proof(induct rule: red1-reds1.inducts)
    case Red1CallExternal thus ?case
      by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff contains-insync-conv contains-insyncs-conv finfun-upd-apply elim!: red-external.cases)
    qed(fastforce simp add: fun-eq-iff contains-insync-conv contains-insyncs-conv finfun-upd-apply)+
    hence [[ False,P,t ⊢1 ⟨e, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; sync-ok e; el-loc-ok e (lcl s) ]]
      ==> upd-expr-locks (λad. 0 + (int o expr-locks e) ad) {ta}l = int o expr-locks e'
    and [[ False,P,t ⊢1 ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; sync-oks es; els-loc-ok es (lcl s) ]]
      ==> upd-expr-locks (λad. 0 + (int o expr-lockss es) ad) {ta}l = int o expr-lockss es'
    by(fastforce simp only: upd-expr-locks-add)+
    thus [[ False,P,t ⊢1 ⟨e, s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e', s'⟩; sync-ok e; el-loc-ok e (lcl s) ]]
      ==> upd-expr-locks (int o expr-locks e) {ta}l = int o expr-locks e'
    and [[ False,P,t ⊢1 ⟨es, s⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', s'⟩; sync-oks es; els-loc-ok es (lcl s) ]]
      ==> upd-expr-locks (int o expr-lockss es) {ta}l = int o expr-lockss es'
    by(auto simp add: o-def)
qed

lemma Red1'-preserves-lock-oks:
  assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  and Red: Red1-mthr.redT False P s1 ta1 s1'
  and lockoks1: lock-oks1 (locks s1) (thr s1)
  and sync: ts-ok (λt exes h. el-loc-ok1 exes) (thr s1) (shr s1)
  shows lockoks1 (locks s1') (thr s1')
using Red
proof(cases rule: Red1-mthr.redT.cases)
  case (redT-normal t x ta x' m'*/
  note [simp] = ⟨ta1 = (t, ta)⟩
  obtain ex exs where x: x = (ex, exs) by(cases x)
  obtain ex' exs' where x': x' = (ex', exs') by(cases x')
  note thrst = ⟨thr s1 t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋⟩
  note aoe = ⟨Red1-mthr.actions-ok s1 t ta⟩
  from ⟨mred1' P t (x, shr s1) ta (x', m')⟩
  have red: False,P,t ⊢1 ⟨ex/exs,shr s1⟩ −ta→ ⟨ex'/exs',m'⟩
  unfolding x x' by simp-all

```

```

note  $s1' = \langle redT-upd s1 t ta x' m' s1' \rangle$ 
moreover from red
have lock-oks $_1$  ( $\text{locks } s1'$ ) ( $\text{thr } s1'$ )
proof cases
  case ( $\text{red1Red } e x \text{ TA } e' x'$ )
    note [simp] =  $\langle ex = (e, x) \rangle \langle ta = \text{extTA2J1 } P \text{ TA} \rangle \langle ex' = (e', x') \rangle \langle exs' = exs \rangle$ 
      and red =  $\langle \text{False}, P, t \vdash_1 \langle e, (\text{shr } s1, x) \rangle - \text{TA} \rightarrow \langle e', (m', x') \rangle \rangle$ 
    { fix  $t'$ 
      assume None:  $((\text{redT-updTs } (\text{thr } s1) (\text{map } (\text{convert-new-thread-action } (\text{extNTA2J1 } P)) \{ \{ \text{TA} \}_t \})) (t \mapsto (((e', x'), exs), \text{redT-updLns } (\text{locks } s1) t (\text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{thr } s1 t))) \{ \{ \text{TA} \}_l \})) t' = \text{None}$ 
      { fix  $l$ 
        from aoe have lock-actions-ok ( $\text{locks } s1 \$ l$ )  $t (\{ \{ \text{ta} \}_l \$ l)$  by (auto simp add: lock-ok-las-def)
        with None have has-locks ( $(\text{redT-updLs } (\text{locks } s1) t \{ \{ \text{ta} \}_l) \$ l)$ )  $t' = \text{has-locks } (\text{locks } s1 \$ l) t'$ 
          by (auto split: if-split-asm)
        also from loks None have has-locks ( $\text{locks } s1 \$ l$ )  $t' = 0$  unfolding lock-oks $_1$ -def
          by (force split: if-split-asm dest!: redT-updTs-None)
        finally have has-locks ( $\text{upd-locks } (\text{locks } s1 \$ l) t (\{ \{ \text{TA} \}_l \$ l)$ )  $t' = 0$  by simp }
        hence  $\forall l. \text{has-locks } (\text{upd-locks } (\text{locks } s1 \$ l) t (\{ \{ \text{TA} \}_l \$ l)) t' = 0 \dots$  }
      moreover {
        fix  $t' eX eXS LN$ 
        assume Some:  $((\text{redT-updTs } (\text{thr } s1) (\text{map } (\text{convert-new-thread-action } (\text{extNTA2J1 } P)) \{ \{ \text{TA} \}_t \})) (t \mapsto (((e', x'), exs), \text{redT-updLns } (\text{locks } s1) t (\text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{thr } s1 t))) \{ \{ \text{TA} \}_l \})) t' = \lfloor ((eX, eXS), LN) \rfloor$ 
        { fix  $l$ 
          from aoe have lao: lock-actions-ok ( $\text{locks } s1 \$ l$ )  $t (\{ \{ \text{ta} \}_l \$ l)$  by (auto simp add: lock-ok-las-def)
            have has-locks ( $(\text{redT-updLs } (\text{locks } s1) t \{ \{ \text{ta} \}_l) \$ l)$ )  $t' + LN \$ l = \text{expr-lockss } (\text{map fst } (eX \# eXS)) l$ 
            proof(cases  $t = t'$ )
              case True
              from loks thrst  $x$ 
              have has-locks ( $\text{locks } s1 \$ l$ )  $t = \text{expr-locks } e l + \text{expr-lockss } (\text{map fst } exs) l$ 
                by (force simp add: lock-oks $_1$ -def)
              hence lock-expr-locks-ok ( $\text{locks } s1 \$ l$ )  $t 0 (\text{int } (\text{expr-locks } e l + \text{expr-lockss } (\text{map fst } exs) l))$ 
                by (simp add: lock-expr-locks-ok-def)
              with lao have lock-expr-locks-ok ( $\text{upd-locks } (\text{locks } s1 \$ l) t (\{ \{ \text{ta} \}_l \$ l)$ )  $t (\text{upd-threadRs } 0 (\text{locks } s1 \$ l) t (\{ \{ \text{ta} \}_l \$ l))$ 
                 $(\text{upd-expr-lock-actions } (\text{int } (\text{expr-locks } e l + \text{expr-lockss } (\text{map fst } exs) l)) (\{ \{ \text{ta} \}_l \$ l))$ 
                by (rule upd-locks-upd-expr-lock-preserve-lock-expr-locks-ok)
              moreover from sync thrst  $x$  have sync-ok  $e el\text{-loc}\text{-ok } e x$ 
                unfolding el-loc-ok $_1$ -def by (auto dest: ts-okD)
              with red1-update-expr-locks[OF wf red]
              have upd-expr-locks ( $\text{int } \circ \text{expr-locks } e$ )  $\{ \{ \text{TA} \}_l = \text{int } \circ \text{expr-locks } e'$  by (simp)
              hence upd-expr-lock-actions ( $\text{int } (\text{expr-locks } e l)$ )  $(\{ \{ \text{TA} \}_l \$ l) = \text{int } (\text{expr-locks } e' l)$ 
                by (simp add: upd-expr-locks-def fun-eq-iff)
              ultimately show ?thesis using lao Some thrst  $x$  True
                by (auto simp add: lock-expr-locks-ok-def upd-expr-locks-def)
            next
              case False
              from aoe have tok: thread-oks ( $\text{thr } s1$ )  $\{ \{ \text{ta} \}_t$  by auto
              show ?thesis
              proof(cases  $\text{thr } s1 t' = \text{None}$ )
                case True
                with Some tok False obtain  $m$ 
                  where nt: NewThread  $t' (eX, eXS)$   $m \in \text{set } (\text{map } (\text{convert-new-thread-action } (\text{extNTA2J1 } P)) \{ \{ \text{TA} \}_t)$ 

```

```

and [simp]:  $LN = \text{no-wait-locks}$  by(auto dest: redT-updTs-new-thread)
note expr-locks-new-thread1[ $OF wf red nt$ ]
moreover from loks True have has-locks (locks s1 $ l) t' = 0
  by(force simp add: lock-oks1-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using lao False by simp
next
case False
with Some  $t \neq t'$  tok
have thr s1 t' =  $\lfloor((eX, eXS), LN)\rfloor$  by(fastforce dest: redT-updTs-Some[ $OF - tok$ ])
  with loks tok lao  $t \neq t'$  show ?thesis by(cases eX)(auto simp add: lock-oks1-def)
qed
qed }

hence  $\forall l. \text{has-locks}((\text{redT-updLs}(\text{locks } s1) t \{ta\}_l) \$ l) t' + LN \$ l = \text{expr-lockss}(\text{map fst } (eX \# eXS)) l ..$ 
ultimately show ?thesis using s1' unfolding lock-oks1-def x' by(clarsimp simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
case (red1Call e a M vs U Ts T body D x)
from wf < $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{body} \rfloor$  in D>
obtain T' where P,Class D # Ts ⊢ 1 body :: T'
  by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def dest!: sees-wf-mdecl)
hence expr-locks (blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body) =  $(\lambda l. 0)$ 
by(auto simp add: expr-locks-blocks1 contains-insync-conv fun-eq-iff dest!: WT1-not-contains-insync)
thus ?thesis using red1Call thirst loks s1'
  unfolding lock-oks1-def x' x
  by auto force+
next
case (red1Return e' x' e x)
thus ?thesis using thirst loks s1'
  unfolding lock-oks1-def x' x
  apply(auto simp add: redT-updWs-def elim!: rtranc13p-cases)
  apply(erule-tac x=t in allE)
  apply(erule conjE)
  apply(erule disjE)
  apply(force simp add: expr-locks-inline-call-final ac-simps)
  apply(fastforce simp add: expr-locks-inline-call-final)
  apply hypsubst-thin
  apply(erule-tac x=ta in allE)
  apply fastforce
  done
qed
moreover from sync < $mred1' P t (x, shr s1) ta (x', m')$ > thirst aoe s1'
have ts-ok ( $\lambda t. exes h. el-loc-ok1 exes h$ ) (thr s1') (shr s1')
  by(auto intro: lifting-wf.redT-updTs-preserves[ $OF \text{Red1-el-loc-ok}[OF wf]$ ])
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
next
case (redT-acquire t x n ln)
thus ?thesis using loks unfolding lock-oks1-def
  apply auto
  apply force
  apply(case-tac ln $ l::nat)
  apply simp
  apply(erule allE)
  apply(erule conjE)
  apply(erule allE)+
```

```

apply(erule (1) impE)
apply(erule-tac x=l in allE)
apply fastforce
apply(erule may-acquire-allE)
apply(erule allE)
apply(erule-tac x=l in allE)
apply(erule impE)
apply simp
apply(simp only: has-locks-acquire-locks-conv)
apply(erule conjE)
apply(erule allE)+
apply(erule (1) impE)
apply(erule-tac x=l in allE)
apply simp
done
qed

lemma Red1'-Red1-bisimulation:
assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
shows bisimulation (Red1-mthr.redT False P) (Red1-mthr.redT True P) mbisim-Red1'-Red1 (=)
proof
fix s1 s2 tl1 s1'
assume mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1 s2 and Red1-mthr.redT False P s1 tl1 s1'
thus ∃ s2' tl2. Red1-mthr.redT True P s2 tl2 s2' ∧ mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1' s2' ∧ tl1 = tl2
by(cases tl1)(auto simp add: mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def Red1-mthr-eq-Red1-mthr' simp del: split-paired-Ex
elim: Red1'-preserves-lock-oks[OF wf] lifting-wf.redT-preserves[OF Red1-el-loc-ok, OF wf])
next
fix s1 s2 tl2 s2'
assume mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1 s2 Red1-mthr.redT True P s2 tl2 s2'
thus ∃ s1' tl1. Red1-mthr.redT False P s1 tl1 s1' ∧ mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1' s2' ∧ tl1 = tl2
by(cases tl2)(auto simp add: mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def Red1-mthr-eq-Red1-mthr' simp del: split-paired-Ex
elim: Red1'-preserves-lock-oks[OF wf] lifting-wf.redT-preserves[OF Red1-el-loc-ok, OF wf])
qed

lemma Red1'-Red1-bisimulation-final:
wf-J1-prog P
==> bisimulation-final (Red1-mthr.redT False P) (Red1-mthr.redT True P)
mbisim-Red1'-Red1 (=) Red1-mthr.mfinal Red1-mthr.mfinal
apply(intro-locales)
apply(erule Red1'-Red1-bisimulation)
apply(unfold-locales)
apply(auto simp add: mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def)
done

lemma bisim-J1-J1-start:
assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
shows mbisim-Red1'-Red1 (J1-start-state P C M vs) (J1-start-state P C M vs)
proof -
from wf-start obtain Ts T body D
where sees: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T=body in D
and conf: P,start-heap ⊢ vs [:≤] Ts
by cases
let ?e = blocks1 0 (Class C#Ts) body

```

```

let ?xs = Null # vs @ replicate (max-vars body) undefined-value

from sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf sees] obtain T'
  where B:  $\mathcal{B}$  body ( $Suc (length Ts)$ )
    and wt:  $P, Class D \# Ts \vdash_1 body :: T'$ 
    and da:  $\mathcal{D}$  body [ $\{\dots.length Ts\}$ ]
    and sv: syncvars body
  by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)

from wt have expr-locks ?e =  $(\lambda \_. 0)$  by(auto intro: WT1-expr-locks)
thus ?thesis using da sees sv B
  unfolding start-state-def
  by(fastforce simp add: mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def lock-oks1-def el-loc-ok1-def contains-insync-conv
intro!: ts-okI expr-locks-sync-ok split: if-split-asm intro: el-loc-okI)
qed

lemma Red1'-Red1-bisim-into-weak:
  assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  shows bisimulation-into-delay (Red1-mthr.redT False P) (Red1-mthr.redT True P) mbisim-Red1'-Red1
(=) (Red1-mthr.mτmove P) (Red1-mthr.mτmove P)
proof -
  interpret b: bisimulation Red1-mthr.redT False P Red1-mthr.redT True P mbisim-Red1'-Red1 (=)
  by(rule Red1'-Red1-bisimulation[OF wf])
  show ?thesis by(unfold-locales)(simp add: mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def)
qed

end

sublocale J1-heap-base < Red1-mthr:
  if-τ multithreaded-wf
  final-expr1
  mred1g uf P
  convert-RA
  τMOVE1 P
  for uf P
by(unfold-locales)

context J1-heap-base begin

abbreviation if-lock-oks1 :: 
  ('addr,'thread-id) locks
  ⇒ ('addr,'thread-id,(status × (('a,'b,'addr) exp × 'c) × (('a,'b,'addr) exp × 'c) list)) thread-info
  ⇒ bool
where
  if-lock-oks1 ls ts ≡ lock-oks1 ls (init-fin-descend-thr ts)

definition if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1 :: 
  (('addr,'thread-id,status × (('addr expr1 × 'addr locals1) × ('addr expr1 × 'addr locals1) list),'heap,'addr)
state,
  ('addr,'thread-id,status × (('addr expr1 × 'addr locals1) × ('addr expr1 × 'addr locals1) list),'heap,'addr)
state) bisim
where
  if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1 s2 ↔
  s1 = s2 ∧ if-lock-oks1 (locks s1) (thr s1) ∧ ts-ok (init-fin-lift (λt exeds h. el-loc-ok1 exeds)) (thr s1)

```

(*shr s1*)

```

lemma if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1-imp-mbisim-Red1'-Red1':
  if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1 s2  $\implies$  mbisim-Red1'-Red1 (init-fin-descend-state s1) (init-fin-descend-state s2)
  by(auto simp add: mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def ts-ok-init-fin-descend-state)

lemma if-Red1-mthr-imp-if-Red1-mthr':
  assumes lok: if-lock-oks1 (locks s) (thr s)
  and elo: ts-ok (init-fin-lift ( $\lambda t$  exexs h. el-loc-ok1 exexs)) (thr s) (shr s)
  and Red: Red1-mthr.if.redT uf P s tta s'
  shows Red1-mthr.if.redT ( $\neg$  uf) P s tta s'
  using Red
  proof(cases)
    case (redT-acquire t x ln n)
    from this(2-) show ?thesis unfolding redT-acquire(1) ..
  next
    case (redT-normal t x ta x' m')
    note aok = <Red1-mthr.if.actions-ok s t ta>
    and tst = <thr s t =  $\lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$ >
    from <Red1-mthr.init-fin uf P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')>
    have Red1-mthr.init-fin ( $\neg$  uf) P t (x, shr s) ta (x', m')
    proof(cases)
      case InitialThreadAction show ?thesis unfolding InitialThreadAction ..
  next
    case (ThreadFinishAction exexs)
    from <final-expr1 exexs> show ?thesis unfolding ThreadFinishAction ..
  next
    case (NormalAction exexs ta' exexs')
    let ?s = init-fin-descend-state s
    from lok have lock-oks1 (locks ?s) (thr ?s) by(simp)
    moreover from elo have elo: ts-ok ( $\lambda t$  exexs h. el-loc-ok1 exexs) (thr ?s) (shr ?s)
      by(simp add: ts-ok-init-fin-descend-state)
    moreover from tst <x = (Running, exexs)>
    have thr ?s t =  $\lfloor(exexs, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor$  by simp
    moreover from aok have Red1-mthr.actions-ok ?s t ta'
      using <ta = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta')> by auto
    ultimately have mred1 P t (exexs, shr ?s) ta' = mred1' P t (exexs, shr ?s) ta'
      by(rule mred1-eq-mred1')
    with <mred1g uf P t (exexs, shr s) ta' (exexs', m')>
    have mred1g ( $\neg$  uf) P t (exexs, shr s) ta' (exexs', m')
      by(cases uf) simp-all
    thus ?thesis unfolding NormalAction(1-3) by(rule Red1-mthr.init-fin.NormalAction)
  qed
  thus ?thesis using tst aok <redT-upd s t ta x' m' s'> unfolding <tta = (t, ta)> ..
  qed

lemma if-Red1-mthr-eq-if-Red1-mthr':
  assumes lok: if-lock-oks1 (locks s) (thr s)
  and elo: ts-ok (init-fin-lift ( $\lambda t$  exexs h. el-loc-ok1 exexs)) (thr s) (shr s)
  shows Red1-mthr.if.redT True P s = Red1-mthr.if.redT False P s
  using if-Red1-mthr-imp-if-Red1-mthr'[OF assms, of True P, simplified]
  if-Red1-mthr-imp-if-Red1-mthr'[OF assms, of False P, simplified]
```

```

by(blast del: equalityI)

lemma if-Red1-el-loc-ok:
  assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  shows lifting-wf Red1-mthr.init-fin-final (Red1-mthr.init-fin wf P) (init-fin-lift (λt exexs h. el-loc-ok1 exexs))
  by(rule lifting-wf.lifting-wf-init-fin-lift)(rule Red1-el-loc-ok[OF wf])

lemma if-Red1'-preserves-if-lock-oks:
  assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  and Red: Red1-mthr.if.redT False P s1 ta1 s1'
  and loks: if-lock-oks1 (locks s1) (thr s1)
  and sync: ts-ok (init-fin-lift (λt exexs h. el-loc-ok1 exexs)) (thr s1) (shr s1)
  shows if-lock-oks1 (locks s1') (thr s1')
proof –
  let ?s1 = init-fin-descend-state s1
  let ?s1' = init-fin-descend-state s1'
  from loks have loks': lock-oks1 (locks ?s1) (thr ?s1) by simp
  from sync have sync': ts-ok (λt exexs h. el-loc-ok1 exexs) (thr ?s1) (shr ?s1)
    by(simp add: ts-ok-init-fin-descend-state)
  from Red show ?thesis
proof(cases)
  case (redT-acquire t x n ln)
  hence Red1-mthr.redT False P ?s1 (t, K$[],[],[],[], convert-RA ln) ?s1'
    by(cases x)(auto intro!: Red1-mthr.redT.redT-acquire simp add: init-fin-descend-thr-def)
  with wf have lock-oks1 (locks ?s1') (thr ?s1') using loks' sync' by(rule Red1'-preserves-lock-oks)
  thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case (redT-normal t sx ta sx' m')
  note tst = <thr s1 t = ⌊(sx, no-wait-locks)⌋>
  from <Red1-mthr.init-fin False P t (sx, shr s1) ta (sx', m')>
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases)
    case (InitialThreadAction x) thus ?thesis using redT-normal lok
    by(cases x)(auto 4 3 simp add: init-fin-descend-thr-def redT-updLns-def expand-finfun-eq fun-eq-iff
  intro: lock-oks1-thr-updI)
  next
    case (ThreadFinishAction x) thus ?thesis using redT-normal lok
    by(cases x)(auto 4 3 simp add: init-fin-descend-thr-def redT-updLns-def expand-finfun-eq fun-eq-iff
  intro: lock-oks1-thr-updI)
  next
    case (NormalAction x ta' x')
    note ta = <ta = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta')>
    from <mred1' P t (x, shr s1) ta' (x', m')>
    have mred1' P t (x, shr ?s1) ta' (x', m') by simp
    moreover have tst': thr ?s1 t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋
      using tst <sx = (Running, x)> by simp
    moreover have Red1-mthr.actions-ok ?s1 t ta'
      using ta <Red1-mthr.if.actions-ok s1 t ta> by simp
    moreover from <redT-upd s1 t ta sx' m' s1'> tst tst' ta <sx' = (Running, x')>
    have redT-upd ?s1 t ta' x' m' ?s1' by auto
    ultimately have Red1-mthr.redT False P ?s1 (t, ta') ?s1'..
    with wf have lock-oks1 (locks ?s1') (thr ?s1') using loks' sync' by(rule Red1'-preserves-lock-oks)
    thus ?thesis by simp

```

```

qed
qed
qed

lemma Red1'-Red1-if-bisimulation:
  assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  shows bisimulation (Red1-mthr.if.redT False P) (Red1-mthr.if.redT True P) if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1
(=)
proof
  fix s1 s2 tl1 s1'
  assume if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1 s2 and Red1-mthr.if.redT False P s1 tl1 s1'
  thus ∃ s2' tl2. Red1-mthr.if.redT True P s2 tl2 s2' ∧ if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1' s2' ∧ tl1 = tl2
    by(cases tl1)(auto simp add: if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def if-Red1-mthr-eq-if-Red1-mthr' simp del:
split-paired-Ex elim: if-Red1'-preserves-if-lock-oks[OF wf] lifting-wf.redT-preserves[OF if-Red1-el-loc-ok,
OF wf])
next
  fix s1 s2 tl2 s2'
  assume if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1 s2 Red1-mthr.if.redT True P s2 tl2 s2'
  thus ∃ s1' tl1. Red1-mthr.if.redT False P s1 tl1 s1' ∧ if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1' s2' ∧ tl1 = tl2
    by(cases tl2)(auto simp add: if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def if-Red1-mthr-eq-if-Red1-mthr' simp del:
split-paired-Ex elim: if-Red1'-preserves-if-lock-oks[OF wf] lifting-wf.redT-preserves[OF if-Red1-el-loc-ok,
OF wf])
qed

lemma if-bisim-J1-J1-start:
  assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
  shows if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1 (init-fin-lift-state status (J1-start-state P C M vs)) (init-fin-lift-state
status (J1-start-state P C M vs))
proof -
  from assms have mbisim-Red1'-Red1 (J1-start-state P C M vs) (J1-start-state P C M vs) by(rule
bisim-J1-J1-start)
  thus ?thesis
    by(simp add: if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def)(simp add: init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps
init-fin-descend-thr-def thr-init-fin-list-state' o-def map-option.compositionality map-option.identity split-beta)
qed

lemma if-Red1'-Red1-bisim-into-weak:
  assumes wf: wf-J1-prog P
  shows bisimulation-into-delay (Red1-mthr.if.redT False P) (Red1-mthr.if.redT True P) if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1
(=) (Red1-mthr.if.mτmove P) (Red1-mthr.if.mτmove P)
proof -
  interpret b: bisimulation Red1-mthr.if.redT False P Red1-mthr.if.redT True P if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1
(=)
    by(rule Red1'-Red1-if-bisimulation[OF wf])
  show ?thesis by(unfold-locales)(simp add: if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def)
qed

lemma if-Red1'-Red1-bisimulation-final:
  wf-J1-prog P
  ==> bisimulation-final (Red1-mthr.if.redT False P) (Red1-mthr.if.redT True P)
    if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1 (=) Red1-mthr.if.mfinal Red1-mthr.if.mfinal
apply(intro-locales)
apply(erule Red1'-Red1-if-bisimulation)

```

```

apply(unfold-locales)
apply(auto simp add: if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def)
done

end

end

```

7.24 Semantic Correctness of Stage 1

```

theory Correctness1 imports
  J0J1Bisim
  ..../J/DefAssPreservation
begin

lemma finals-map-Val [simp]: finals (map Val vs)
by(simp add: finals-iff)

context J-heap-base begin

lemma τred0r-preserves-defass:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  shows [[ τred0r extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs'); D e [dom xs] ] ==> D e' [dom xs'] ]
by(induct rule: rtranclp-induct2)(auto dest: red-preserves-defass[OF wf])

lemma τred0t-preserves-defass:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  shows [[ τred0t extTA P t h (e, xs) (e', xs'); D e [dom xs] ] ==> D e' [dom xs'] ]
by(rule τred0r-preserves-defass[OF wf])(rule tranclp-into-rtranclp)

end

lemma LAss-lem:
  [[x ∈ set xs; size xs ≤ size ys ]]
  ==> m1 ⊆m m2(xs[→]ys) ==> m1(x→y) ⊆m m2(xs[→]ys[index xs x := y])
apply(simp add:map-le-def)
apply(simp add:fun-upds-apply index-less-aux eq-sym-conv)
done

lemma Block-lem:
fixes l :: 'a → 'b
assumes 0: l ⊆m [Vs [→] ls]
  and 1: l' ⊆m [Vs [→] ls', V→v]
  and hidden: V ∈ set Vs ==> ls ! index Vs V = ls' ! index Vs V
  and size: size ls = size ls' size Vs < size ls'
shows l'(V := l V) ⊆m [Vs [→] ls']
proof -
  have l'(V := l V) ⊆m [Vs [→] ls', V→v](V := l V)
    using 1 by(rule map-le-upd)
  also have ... = [Vs [→] ls'](V := l V) by simp
  also have ... ⊆m [Vs [→] ls']
  proof (cases l V)
    case None thus ?thesis by simp
  
```

```

next
  case (Some w)
    hence [Vs [ $\mapsto$ ] ls] V = Some w
      using l by(force simp add: map-le-def split:if-splits)
      hence VinVs: V  $\in$  set Vs and w: w = ls ! index Vs V
        using size by(auto simp add:fun-upds-apply split:if-splits)
        hence w = ls ! index Vs V using hidden[OF VinVs] by simp
        hence [Vs [ $\mapsto$ ] ls'](V := l V) = [Vs [ $\mapsto$ ] ls']
          using Some size VinVs by(simp add:index-less-aux map-upds-upd-conv-index)
          thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

7.24.1 Correctness proof

```

locale J0-J1-heap-base =
  J?_J-heap-base +
  J1_J-heap-base +
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id'
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

lemma ta-bisim01-extTA2J0-extTA2J1:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and nt:  $\bigwedge n T C M a h$ .  $\llbracket n < \text{length } \{\text{ta}\}_t; \{\text{ta}\}_t ! n = \text{NewThread } T (C, M, a) h \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \wedge (\exists T \text{ meth } D. P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : \square \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{meth} \rfloor \text{ in } D)$ 
  shows ta-bisim01 (extTA2J0 P ta) (extTA2J1 (compP1 P) ta)
  apply(simp add: ta-bisim-def ta-upd-simps)
  apply(auto intro!: list-all2-all-nthI)
  apply(case-tac  $\{\text{ta}\}_t ! n$ )
    apply(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def)
  apply(drule (1) nt)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(erule bisim-list-extTA2J0-extTA2J1[OF wf, simplified])
  done

lemma red-external-ta-bisim01:
   $\llbracket \text{wf-J-prog } P; P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle \dashv \text{ta} \rightarrow \text{ext } \langle va, h' \rangle \rrbracket \implies \text{ta-bisim01 } (\text{extTA2J0 } P \text{ ta}) (\text{extTA2J1 } (\text{compP1 } P) \text{ ta})$ 
  apply(rule ta-bisim01-extTA2J0-extTA2J1, assumption)
  apply(drule (1) red-external-new-thread-sees, auto simp add: in-set-conv-nth)
  apply(drule red-ext-new-thread-heap, auto simp add: in-set-conv-nth)
  done

lemmas τred1t-expr =
  NewArray-τred1t-xt Cast-τred1t-xt InstanceOf-τred1t-xt BinOp-τred1t-xt1 BinOp-τred1t-xt2 LAss-τred1t
  AAcc-τred1t-xt1 AAcc-τred1t-xt2 AAss-τred1t-xt1 AAss-τred1t-xt2 AAss-τred1t-xt3
  ALength-τred1t-xt FAcc-τred1t-xt FAss-τred1t-xt1 FAss-τred1t-xt2

```

*CAS- τ red1t-xt1 CAS- τ red1t-xt2 CAS- τ red1t-xt3 Call- τ red1t-obj
 Call- τ red1t-param Block-None- τ red1t-xt Block- τ red1t-Some Sync- τ red1t-xt InSync- τ red1t-xt
 Seq- τ red1t-xt Cond- τ red1t-xt Throw- τ red1t-xt Try- τ red1t-xt*

lemmas τ red1r-expr =
*NewArray- τ red1r-xt Cast- τ red1r-xt InstanceOf- τ red1r-xt BinOp- τ red1r-xt1 BinOp- τ red1r-xt2 LAss- τ red1r
 AAcc- τ red1r-xt1 AAcc- τ red1r-xt2 AAss- τ red1r-xt1 AAss- τ red1r-xt2 AAss- τ red1r-xt3
 ALengt τ red1r-xt FAcc- τ red1r-xt FAss- τ red1r-xt1 FAss- τ red1r-xt2
 CAS- τ red1r-xt1 CAS- τ red1r-xt2 CAS- τ red1r-xt3 Call- τ red1r-obj
 Call- τ red1r-param Block-None- τ red1r-xt Block- τ red1r-Some Sync- τ red1r-xt InSync- τ red1r-xt
 Seq- τ red1r-xt Cond- τ red1r-xt Throw- τ red1r-xt Try- τ red1r-xt*

definition sim-move01 ::

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-thread-action \Rightarrow 'addr expr \Rightarrow 'addr expr1
 \Rightarrow 'heap
 \Rightarrow 'addr locals1 \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) external-thread-action \Rightarrow 'addr expr1 \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow 'addr
 locals1 \Rightarrow bool

where

sim-move01 P t ta0 e0 e h xs ta e' h' xs' \longleftrightarrow \neg final e0 \wedge
 $(if \taumove0 P h e0 then h' = h \wedge ta0 = \varepsilon \wedge ta = \varepsilon \wedge \taured1't P t h (e, xs) (e', xs'))$
 $else ta\text{-bisim01} ta0 (extTA2J1 P ta) \wedge$
 $(if call e0 = None \vee call1 e = None$
 $then (\exists e'' xs''. \taured1'r P t h (e, xs) (e'', xs'') \wedge False, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle)$
 \wedge
 $\neg \taumove1 P h e'')$
 $else False, P, t \vdash 1 \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle \wedge \neg \taumove1 P h e))$

definition sim-moves01 ::

'addr J1-prog \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-thread-action \Rightarrow 'addr expr list \Rightarrow 'addr
 expr1 list \Rightarrow 'heap
 \Rightarrow 'addr locals1 \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) external-thread-action \Rightarrow 'addr expr1 list \Rightarrow 'heap \Rightarrow
 'addr locals1 \Rightarrow bool

where

sim-moves01 P t ta0 es0 es h xs ta es' h' xs' \longleftrightarrow \neg finals es0 \wedge
 $(if \taumoves0 P h es0 then h' = h \wedge ta0 = \varepsilon \wedge ta = \varepsilon \wedge \taureds1't P t h (es, xs) (es', xs'))$
 $else ta\text{-bisim01} ta0 (extTA2J1 P ta) \wedge$
 $(if calls es0 = None \vee calls1 es = None$
 $then (\exists es'' xs''. \taureds1'r P t h (es, xs) (es'', xs'') \wedge False, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es'', (h, xs'') \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', (h', xs') \rangle)$
 \wedge
 $\neg \taumoves1 P h es'')$
 $else False, P, t \vdash 1 \langle es, (h, xs) \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', (h', xs') \rangle \wedge \neg \taumoves1 P h es))$

declare τ red1t-expr [elim!] τ red1r-expr[elim!]

lemma sim-move01-expr:

assumes sim-move01 P t ta0 e0 e h xs ta e' h' xs'

shows

sim-move01 P t ta0 (newA T[e0]) (newA T[e]) h xs ta (newA T[e']) h' xs'
 sim-move01 P t ta0 (Cast T e0) (Cast T e) h xs ta (Cast T e') h' xs'
 sim-move01 P t ta0 (e0 instanceof T) (e instanceof T) h xs ta (e' instanceof T) h' xs'
 sim-move01 P t ta0 (e0 «bop» e2) (e «bop» e2') h xs ta (e' «bop» e2') h' xs'
 sim-move01 P t ta0 (Val v «bop» e0) (Val v «bop» e) h xs ta (Val v «bop» e') h' xs'
 sim-move01 P t ta0 (V := e0) (V' := e) h xs ta (V' := e') h' xs'
 sim-move01 P t ta0 (e0[e2]) (e[e2']) h xs ta (e'[e2']) h' xs'

```

sim-move01 P t ta0 (Val v[e0]) (Val v[e]) h xs ta (Val v[e']) h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (e0[e2] := e3) (e[e2'] := e3') h xs ta (e'[e2'] := e3') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (Val v[e0] := e3) (Val v[e] := e3') h xs ta (Val v[e'] := e3') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (AAss (Val v) (Val v') e0) (AAss (Val v) (Val v') e) h xs ta (AAss (Val v) (Val v') e') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (e0.length) (e.length) h xs ta (e'.length) h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (e0.F{D}) (e.F'{D'}) h xs ta (e'.F'{D'}) h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (FAss e0 F D e2) (FAss e F' D' e2') h xs ta (FAss e' F' D' e2') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (FAss (Val v) F D e0) (FAss (Val v) F' D' e) h xs ta (FAss (Val v) F' D' e') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (CompareAndSwap e0 D F e2 e3) (CompareAndSwap e D F e2' e3') h xs ta
(CompareAndSwap e' D F e2' e3') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (CompareAndSwap (Val v) D F e0 e3) (CompareAndSwap (Val v) D F e e3') h
xs ta (CompareAndSwap (Val v) D F e' e3') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (CompareAndSwap (Val v) D F (Val v') e0) (CompareAndSwap (Val v) D F
(Val v') e) h xs ta (CompareAndSwap (Val v) D F (Val v') e') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (e0.M(es)) (e.M(es')) h xs ta (e'.M(es')) h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 ({V:T=vo; e0}) ({V':T=None; e}) h xs ta ({V':T=None; e'}) h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (sync(e0) e2) (sync_V'(e) e2') h xs ta (sync_V'(e') e2') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (insync(a) e0) (insync_V'(a') e) h xs ta (insync_V'(a') e') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (e0;;e2) (e;;e2') h xs ta (e';;e2') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (if (e0) e2 else e3) (if (e) e2' else e3') h xs ta (if (e') e2' else e3') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (throw e0) (throw e) h xs ta (throw e') h' xs'
sim-move01 P t ta0 (try e0 catch(C V) e2) (try e catch(C' V') e2') h xs ta (try e' catch(C' V')
e2') h' xs'
using assms
apply(simp-all add: sim-move01-def final-iff τred1r-Val τred1t-Val split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
apply(fastforce simp add: final-iff τred1r-Val τred1t-Val split!: if-splits intro: red1-reds1.intros)+
done

```

lemma sim-moves01-expr:

```

sim-move01 P t ta0 e0 e h xs ta e' h' xs' ==> sim-moves01 P t ta0 (e0 # es2) (e # es2') h xs ta
(e' # es2') h' xs'
sim-moves01 P t ta0 es0 es h xs ta es' h' xs' ==> sim-moves01 P t ta0 (Val v # es0) (Val v # es)
h xs ta (Val v # es') h' xs'
apply(simp-all add: sim-move01-def sim-moves01-def final-iff finals-iff Cons-eq-append-conv τred1t-Val
τred1r-Val split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
apply(auto simp add: Cons-eq-append-conv τred1t-Val τred1r-Val split!: if-splits intro: List1Red1 List1Red2
τred1t-inj-τreds1t τred1r-inj-τreds1r τreds1t-cons-τreds1t τreds1r-cons-τreds1r)
apply(force elim!: τred1r-inj-τreds1r List1Red1)
apply(force elim!: τred1r-inj-τreds1r List1Red1)
apply(force elim!: τred1r-inj-τreds1r List1Red1)
apply(force elim!: τred1r-inj-τreds1r List1Red1)
apply(force elim!: τreds1r-cons-τreds1r intro!: List1Red2)
apply(force elim!: τreds1r-cons-τreds1r intro!: List1Red2)
done

```

lemma sim-move01-CallParams:

```

sim-moves01 P t ta0 es0 es h xs ta es' h' xs'
==> sim-move01 P t ta0 (Val v.M(es0)) (Val v.M(es)) h xs ta (Val v.M(es')) h' xs'
apply(clarsimp simp add: sim-move01-def sim-moves01-def τreds1r-map-Val τreds1t-map-Val is-vals-conv
split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
apply(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def sim-moves01-def τreds1r-map-Val τreds1t-map-Val intro:
Call-τred1r-param Call1Params)

```

```

apply(rule conjI, fastforce)
apply(split if-split)
apply(rule conjI)
apply(clarsimp simp add: finals-iff)
apply(clarify)
apply(split if-split)
apply(rule conjI)
apply(simp del: call.simps calls.simps call1.simps calls1.simps)
apply(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def sim-moves01-def τred1r-Val τred1t-Val τreds1r-map-Val-Throw
intro: Call-τred1r-param Call1Params split: if-split-asm)
apply(fastforce split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv τreds1r-map-Val τreds1r-map-Val-Throw)
apply(rule conjI, fastforce)
apply(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def sim-moves01-def τred1r-Val τred1t-Val τreds1t-map-Val
τreds1r-map-Val is-vals-conv intro: Call-τred1r-param Call1Params split: if-split-asm)
done

```

lemma sim-move01-reds:

```

 $\llbracket (h', a) \in \text{allocate } h \text{ (Class-type } C); ta0 = \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Class-type } C)\}; ta = \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Class-type } C)\} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t ta0 \text{ (new } C) \text{ (new } C) h xs ta \text{ (addr } a) h' xs$ 
 $\text{allocate } h \text{ (Class-type } C) = \{\} \implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (new } C) \text{ (new } C) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW OutOfMemory) } h xs$ 
 $\llbracket (h', a) \in \text{allocate } h \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i))) ; 0 <= s i;$ 
 $ta0 = \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i))\}; ta = \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i))\} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t ta0 \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]) \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]) h xs ta \text{ (addr } a) h' xs$ 
 $i < s 0 \implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]) \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW NegativeArraySize) } h xs$ 
 $\llbracket \text{allocate } h \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i))) = \{\}; 0 <= s i \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]) \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW OutOfMemory) } h xs$ 
 $\llbracket \text{typeof}_h v = \lfloor U \rfloor; P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (Cast } T \text{ (Val } v) \text{) (Cast } T \text{ (Val } v) \text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (Val } v) h xs$ 
 $\llbracket \text{typeof}_h v = \lfloor U \rfloor; \neg P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (Cast } T \text{ (Val } v) \text{) (Cast } T \text{ (Val } v) \text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW ClassCast) } h xs$ 
 $\llbracket \text{typeof}_h v = \lfloor U \rfloor; b \longleftrightarrow v \neq \text{Null} \wedge P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ ((Val } v) \text{ instanceof } T) \text{ ((Val } v) \text{ instanceof } T) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (Val (Bool } b)) h xs$ 
 $\text{binop bop } v1 v2 = \text{Some (Inl } v) \implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ ((Val } v1) \text{ «bop» (Val } v2)) \text{ (Val } v1 \text{ «bop» Val } v2) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (Val } v) h xs$ 
 $\text{binop bop } v1 v2 = \text{Some (Inr } a) \implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ ((Val } v1) \text{ «bop» (Val } v2)) \text{ (Val } v1 \text{ «bop» Val } v2) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (Throw } a) h xs$ 
 $\llbracket xs! V = v; V < size xs \rrbracket \implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (Var } V') \text{ (Var } V) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (Val } v) h xs$ 
 $V < length xs \implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (V' := Val } v) \text{ (V := Val } v) h xs \varepsilon \text{ unit } h \text{ (xs[V := v])}$ 
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (null[Val } v]) \text{ (null[Val } v]) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW NullPointer) } h xs$ 
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor; i < s 0 \vee \text{sint } i \geq \text{int } n \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (addr } a[\text{Val (Intg } i)]) \text{ ((addr } a)[\text{Val (Intg } i)]) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW ArrayIndex-OutOfBounds) } h xs$ 
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor; 0 <= s i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n;$ 
 $\text{heap-read } h a \text{ (ACell (nat (sint } i))) v;$ 
 $ta0 = \{\text{ReadMem } a \text{ (ACell (nat (sint } i))) v\};$ 
 $ta = \{\text{ReadMem } a \text{ (ACell (nat (sint } i))) v\} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t ta0 \text{ (addr } a[\text{Val (Intg } i)]) \text{ ((addr } a)[\text{Val (Intg } i)]) h xs ta \text{ (Val } v) h xs$ 
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (null[Val } v] := Val } v') \text{ (null[Val } v] := Val } v') h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW NullPointer) } h xs$ 
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor; i < s 0 \vee \text{sint } i \geq \text{int } n \rrbracket$ 

```

$\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \in (A\text{Ass}(\text{addr } a) (\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)) (\text{Val } v)) (A\text{Ass}(\text{addr } a) (\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)) (\text{Val } v)) h xs \in (\text{THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBounds}) h xs$
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = [\text{Array-type } T n]; 0 <= s i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n; \text{typeof}_h v = [U]; \neg(P \vdash U \leq T) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \in (A\text{Ass}(\text{addr } a) (\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)) (\text{Val } v)) (A\text{Ass}(\text{addr } a) (\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)) (\text{Val } v)) h xs \in (\text{THROW ArrayStore}) h xs$
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = [\text{Array-type } T n]; 0 <= s i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n; \text{typeof}_h v = \text{Some } U; P \vdash U \leq T;$
 $\quad \text{heap-write } h a (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i))) v h';$
 $\quad ta0 = \{\text{WriteMem } a (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i))) v\}; ta = \{\text{WriteMem } a (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i))) v\}$
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t ta0 (A\text{Ass}(\text{addr } a) (\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)) (\text{Val } v)) (A\text{Ass}(\text{addr } a) (\text{Val } (\text{Intg } i)) (\text{Val } v)) h xs ta \text{ unit } h' xs$
 $\text{typeof-addr } h a = [\text{Array-type } T n] \implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{addr } a.\text{length}) (\text{addr } a.\text{length}) h xs \in (\text{Val } (\text{Intg } (\text{word-of-int } (\text{int } n)))) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{null.length}) (\text{null.length}) h xs \in (\text{THROW NullPointer}) h xs$

 $\llbracket \text{heap-read } h a (\text{CField } D F) v; ta0 = \{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v\}; ta = \{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t ta0 (\text{addr } a.F\{D\}) (\text{addr } a.F\{D\}) h xs ta (\text{Val } v) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{null.F}\{D\}) (\text{null.F}\{D\}) h xs \in (\text{THROW NullPointer}) h xs$
 $\llbracket \text{heap-write } h a (\text{CField } D F) v h'; ta0 = \{\text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v\}; ta = \{\text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t ta0 (\text{addr } a.F\{D\} := \text{Val } v) (\text{addr } a.F\{D\} := \text{Val } v) h xs ta \text{ unit } h' xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{null.compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) (\text{null.compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) h xs \in (\text{THROW NullPointer}) h xs$
 $\llbracket \text{heap-read } h a (\text{CField } D F) v''; \text{heap-write } h a (\text{CField } D F) v' h'; v'' = v;$
 $\quad ta0 = \{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v''\}, \text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v'\}; ta = \{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v'', \text{WriteMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v'\}$
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t ta0 (\text{addr } a.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) (\text{addr } a.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) h xs ta \text{ true } h' xs$
 $\llbracket \text{heap-read } h a (\text{CField } D F) v''; v'' \neq v;$
 $\quad ta0 = \{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v''\}; ta = \{\text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v''\}$
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t ta0 (\text{addr } a.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) (\text{addr } a.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) h xs ta \text{ false } h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{null.F}\{D\} := \text{Val } v) (\text{null.F}\{D\} := \text{Val } v) h xs \in (\text{THROW NullPointer}) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\{V':T=vo; \text{Val } u\}) (\{V:T=None; \text{Val } u\}) h xs \in (\text{Val } u) h xs$
 $V < \text{length } xs \implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{sync(null)} e0) (\text{sync}_V(\text{null}) e1) h xs \in (\text{THROW NullPointer}) h (xs[V := \text{Null}])$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{Val } v;; e0) (\text{Val } v;; e1) h xs \in e1 h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{if (true)} e0 \text{ else } e0') (\text{if (true)} e1 \text{ else } e1') h xs \in e1 h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{if (false)} e0 \text{ else } e0') (\text{if (false)} e1 \text{ else } e1') h xs \in e1' h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{throw null}) (\text{throw null}) h xs \in (\text{THROW NullPointer}) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{try (Val } v) \text{ catch(C V')} e0) (\text{try (Val } v) \text{ catch(C V')} e1) h xs \in (\text{Val } v) h xs$
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = [\text{Class-type } D]; P \vdash D \preceq^* C; V < \text{length } xs \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{try (Throw } a) \text{ catch(C V')} e0) (\text{try (Throw } a) \text{ catch(C V')} e1) h xs \in (\{V:\text{Class } C=\text{None}; e1\}) h (xs[V := \text{Addr } a])$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{newA } T[\text{Throw } a]) (\text{newA } T[\text{Throw } a]) h xs \in (\text{Throw } a) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{Cast } T (\text{Throw } a)) (\text{Cast } T (\text{Throw } a)) h xs \in (\text{Throw } a) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in ((\text{Throw } a) \text{ instanceof } T) ((\text{Throw } a) \text{ instanceof } T) h xs \in (\text{Throw } a) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in ((\text{Throw } a) \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e0) ((\text{Throw } a) \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner e1) h xs \in (\text{Throw } a) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{Val } v \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner (\text{Throw } a)) (\text{Val } v \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner (\text{Throw } a)) h xs \in (\text{Throw } a) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (V' := \text{Throw } a) (V := \text{Throw } a) h xs \in (\text{Throw } a) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{Throw } a[e0]) (\text{Throw } a[e1]) h xs \in (\text{Throw } a) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{Val } v[\text{Throw } a]) (\text{Val } v[\text{Throw } a]) h xs \in (\text{Throw } a) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{Throw } a[e0] := e0') (\text{Throw } a[e1] := e1') h xs \in (\text{Throw } a) h xs$
 $\text{sim-move01 } P t \in (\text{Val } v[\text{Throw } a] := e0) (\text{Val } v[\text{Throw } a] := e1) h xs \in (\text{Throw } a) h xs$

```

sim-move01 P t ε (Val v | Val v') := Throw a) (Val v | Val v') := Throw a) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (Throw a · length) (Throw a · length) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (Throw a · F{D}) (Throw a · F{D}) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (Throw a · F{D} := e0) (Throw a · F{D} := e1) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (Val v · F{D} := Throw a) (Val v · F{D} := Throw a) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (Throw a · compareAndSwap(D · F, e2, e3)) (Throw a · compareAndSwap(D · F, e2', e3')) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (Val v · compareAndSwap(D · F, Throw a, e3)) (Val v · compareAndSwap(D · F, Throw a, e3')) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (Val v · compareAndSwap(D · F, Val v', Throw a)) (Val v · compareAndSwap(D · F, Val v', Throw a)) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (Throw a · M(es0)) (Throw a · M(es1)) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε ({V':T=vo; Throw a}) ({V:T=None; Throw a}) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (sync(Throw a) e0) (syncV(Throw a) e1) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (Throw a;;e0) (Throw a;;e1) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (if (Throw a) e0 else e0') (if (Throw a) e1 else e1') h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move01 P t ε (throw (Throw a)) (throw (Throw a)) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
apply(simp-all add: sim-move01-def ta-bisim-def split: if-split-asm split del: if-split)
apply(fastforce intro: red1-reds1.intros)+
done

```

lemma sim-move01-ThrowParams:

```

sim-move01 P t ε (Val v · M(map Val vs @ Throw a # es0)) (Val v · M(map Val vs @ Throw a # es1)) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
apply(simp add: sim-move01-def split del: if-split)
apply(rule conjI, fastforce)
apply(split if-split)
apply(rule conjI)
apply(fastforce intro: red1-reds1.intros)
apply(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def intro: red1-reds1.intros)
done

```

lemma sim-move01-CallNull:

```

sim-move01 P t ε (null · M(map Val vs)) (null · M(map Val vs)) h xs ε (THROW NullPointer) h xs
by(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def map-eq-append-conv intro: red1-reds1.intros)

```

lemma sim-move01-SyncLocks:

```

[ V < length xs; ta0 = {Lock → a, SyncLock a}; ta = {Lock → a, SyncLock a} ]
  ==> sim-move01 P t ta0 (sync(addr a) e0) (syncV(addr a) e1) h xs ta (insyncV(a) e1) h (xs[V := Addr a])
[ xs ! V = Addr a'; V < length xs; ta0 = {Unlock → a', SyncUnlock a'}; ta = {Unlock → a', SyncUnlock a'} ]
  ==> sim-move01 P t ta0 (insync(a') (Val v)) (insyncV(a) (Val v)) h xs ta (Val v) h xs
[ xs ! V = Addr a'; V < length xs; ta0 = {Unlock → a', SyncUnlock a'}; ta = {Unlock → a', SyncUnlock a'} ]
  ==> sim-move01 P t ta0 (insync(a') (Throw a'')) (insyncV(a) (Throw a'')) h xs ta (Throw a'') h xs
by(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def ta-bisim-def expand-finfun-eq fun-eq-iff finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps
intro: red1-reds1.intros[simplified] split: if-split-asm]+

```

lemma sim-move01-TryFail:

```

[ typeof-addr h a = [Class-type D]; ⊢ P ⊢ D ⊑* C ]
  ==> sim-move01 P t ε (try (Throw a) catch(C V') e0) (try (Throw a) catch(C V) e1) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
by(auto simp add: sim-move01-def intro!: Red1TryFail)

```

lemma *sim-move01-BlockSome*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{sim-move01 } P t \text{ ta0 e0 e h } (xs[V := v]) \text{ ta e' h' xs'}; V < \text{length } xs \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \text{ ta0 } (\{V':T=[v]; e0\}) (\{V:T=[v]; e\}) h \text{ xs ta } (\{V:T=None; e'\}) h' \text{ xs}' \\ & V < \text{length } xs \implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon (\{V':T=[v]; \text{Val } u\}) (\{V:T=[v]; \text{Val } u\}) h \text{ xs } \varepsilon (\text{Val } u) h \\ & (xs[V := v]) \\ & V < \text{length } xs \implies \text{sim-move01 } P t \varepsilon (\{V':T=[v]; \text{Throw } a\}) (\{V:T=[v]; \text{Throw } a\}) h \text{ xs } \varepsilon (\text{Throw } a) h \\ & (xs[V := v]) \end{aligned}$$

apply(auto simp add: sim-move01-def)

apply(split if-split-asm)

apply(fastforce intro: intro: converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp Block1Some Block1Red Block-τred1r-Some)

apply(fastforce intro: intro: converse-rtranclp-into-rtranclp Block1Some Block1Red Block-τred1r-Some)

apply(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def intro!: τred1t-2step[OF Block1Some] τred1r-1step[OF Block1Some] Red1Block Block1Throw)+

done

lemmas *sim-move01-intros* =

sim-move01-expr sim-move01-reds sim-move01-ThrowParams sim-move01-CallNull sim-move01-TryFail sim-move01-BlockSome sim-move01-CallParams

declare *sim-move01-intros[intro]*

lemma *sim-move01-preserves-len*: *sim-move01 P t ta0 e0 e h xs ta e' h' xs'* \implies *length xs' = length xs*

by(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def split: if-split-asm dest: τred1r-preserves-len τred1t-preserves-len red1-preserves-len)

lemma *sim-move01-preserves-unmod*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{sim-move01 } P t \text{ ta0 e0 e h xs ta e' h' xs'}; \text{unmod } e i; i < \text{length } xs \rrbracket \implies xs' ! i = xs ! i \\ & \text{apply}(auto simp add: sim-move01-def split: if-split-asm dest: τred1t-preserves-unmod) \\ & \text{apply}(frule (2) τred1'r-preserves-unmod) \\ & \text{apply}(frule (1) τred1r-unmod-preserved) \\ & \text{apply}(frule τred1r-preserves-len) \\ & \text{apply}(auto dest: red1-preserves-unmod) \\ & \text{apply}(frule (2) τred1'r-preserves-unmod) \\ & \text{apply}(frule (1) τred1r-unmod-preserved) \\ & \text{apply}(frule τred1r-preserves-len) \\ & \text{apply}(auto dest: red1-preserves-unmod) \end{aligned}$$

done

lemma assumes *wf: wf-J-prog P*

shows *red1-simulates-red-aux*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{extTA2J0 } P, P, t \vdash \langle e1, S \rangle - \text{TA} \rightarrow \langle e1', S' \rangle; \text{bisim } vs \ e1 \ e2 \ XS; \text{fv } e1 \subseteq \text{set } vs; \\ & \quad lcl \ S \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto XS]; \text{length } vs + \text{max-vars } e1 \leq \text{length } XS; \\ & \quad \forall aMvs. \text{call } e1 = [aMvs] \rightarrow \text{synthesized-call } P (hp \ S) aMvs \rrbracket \\ & \implies \exists ta \ e2' \ XS'. \text{sim-move01 } (\text{compP1 } P) t \text{ TA } e1 \ e2 (hp \ S) \ XS \ ta \ e2' (hp \ S') \ XS' \wedge \text{bisim } vs \ e1' \\ & e2' \ XS' \wedge lcl \ S' \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto XS'] \\ & (\text{is } \llbracket _ ; _ ; _ ; _ ; ?\text{synth } e1 \ S \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concl } e1 \ e2 \ S \ XS \ e1' \ S' \ TA \ vs) \end{aligned}$$

and *reds1-simulates-reds-aux*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{extTA2J0 } P, P, t \vdash \langle es1, S \rangle - \text{TA} \rightarrow \langle es1', S' \rangle; \text{bisims } vs \ es1 \ es2 \ XS; \text{fvs } es1 \subseteq \text{set } vs; \\ & \quad lcl \ S \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto XS]; \text{length } vs + \text{max-varss } es1 \leq \text{length } XS; \\ & \quad \forall aMvs. \text{calls } es1 = [aMvs] \rightarrow \text{synthesized-call } P (hp \ S) aMvs \rrbracket \\ & \implies \exists ta \ es2' \ xs'. \text{sim-moves01 } (\text{compP1 } P) t \text{ TA } es1 \ es2 (hp \ S) \ XS \ ta \ es2' (hp \ S') \ xs' \wedge \text{bisims } vs \\ & es1' \ es2' \ xs' \wedge lcl \ S' \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto xs'] \end{aligned}$$

(**is** $\llbracket \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; ?synths es1 S \rrbracket \implies ?concls es1 es2 S XS es1' S' TA vs$)
proof (*induct arbitrary*: $vs e2 XS$ **and** $vs es2 XS$ *rule*: *red-reds.inducts*)
case (*BinOpRed1* $e s ta e' s' bop e2 Vs E2 xs$)
note $IH = \langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. \llbracket bisim vs e e2 XS; fv e \subseteq set vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS \rrbracket; length vs + max-vars \leq length XS; ?synth e s \rrbracket \implies ?concl e e2 s XS e' s' ta vs \rangle$
from $\langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$ **have** $\neg is-val e$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle bisim Vs (e \llbracket bop \rrbracket e2) E2 xs \rangle$ **obtain** E
where $E2 = E \llbracket bop \rrbracket compE1 Vs e2$ **and** $bisim Vs e E xs$ **and** $\neg contains-insync e2$ **by** *auto*
with $IH[\text{of } Vs E xs]$ $\langle fv (e \llbracket bop \rrbracket e2) \subseteq set Vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle$ $\langle \neg is-val e \rangle$
 $\langle length Vs + max-vars (e \llbracket bop \rrbracket e2) \leq length xs \rangle$ $\langle ?synth (e \llbracket bop \rrbracket e2) s \rangle$ $\langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$
show $?case$ **by** (*cases is-val e'*) (*fastforce elim!*: *sim-move01-expr*)
next
case (*BinOpRed2* $e s ta e' s' v bop Vs E2 xs$)
note $IH = \langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. \llbracket bisim vs e e2 XS; fv e \subseteq set vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS \rrbracket; length vs + max-vars \leq length XS; ?synth e s \rrbracket \implies ?concl e e2 s XS e' s' ta vs \rangle$
from $\langle bisim Vs (Val v \llbracket bop \rrbracket e) E2 xs \rangle$ **obtain** E
where $E2 = Val v \llbracket bop \rrbracket E$ **and** $bisim Vs e E xs$ **by** *auto*
with $IH[\text{of } Vs E xs]$ $\langle fv (Val v \llbracket bop \rrbracket e) \subseteq set Vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle$
 $\langle length Vs + max-vars (Val v \llbracket bop \rrbracket e) \leq length xs \rangle$ $\langle ?synth (Val v \llbracket bop \rrbracket e) s \rangle$ $\langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$
show $?case$ **by** (*fastforce elim!*: *sim-move01-expr*)
next
case *RedVar* **thus** $?case$
by (*fastforce simp add*: *index-less-aux map-le-def fun-upds-apply intro!*: *exI dest*: *bspec*)
next
case *RedLAss* **thus** $?case$
by (*fastforce intro*: *index-less-aux LAss-lem intro!*: *exI simp del*: *fun-upd-apply*)
next
case (*AAccRed1* $a s ta a' s' i Vs E2 xs$)
note $IH = \langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. \llbracket bisim vs a e2 XS; fv a \subseteq set vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS \rrbracket; length vs + max-vars \leq length XS; ?synth a s \rrbracket \implies ?concl a e2 s XS a' s' ta vs \rangle$
from $\langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle a, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle a', s' \rangle \rangle$ **have** $\neg is-val a$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle bisim Vs (a[i]) E2 xs \rangle$ **obtain** E
where $E2 = E \llbracket compE1 Vs i \rrbracket$ **and** $bisim Vs a E xs$ **and** $\neg contains-insync i$ **by** *auto*
with $IH[\text{of } Vs E xs]$ $\langle fv (a[i]) \subseteq set Vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle$ $\langle \neg is-val a \rangle$
 $\langle length Vs + max-vars (a[i]) \leq length xs \rangle$ $\langle ?synth (a[i]) s \rangle$ $\langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle a, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle a', s' \rangle \rangle$
show $?case$ **by** (*cases is-val a'*) (*fastforce elim!*: *sim-move01-expr*)
next
case (*AAccRed2* $i s ta i' s' a Vs E2 xs$)
note $IH = \langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. \llbracket bisim vs i e2 XS; fv i \subseteq set vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS \rrbracket; length vs + max-vars \leq length XS; ?synth i s \rrbracket \implies ?concl i e2 s XS i' s' ta vs \rangle$
from $\langle bisim Vs (Val a[i]) E2 xs \rangle$ **obtain** E
where $E2 = Val a[E]$ **and** $bisim Vs i E xs$ **by** *auto*
with $IH[\text{of } Vs E xs]$ $\langle fv (Val a[i]) \subseteq set Vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle$
 $\langle length Vs + max-vars (Val a[i]) \leq length xs \rangle$ $\langle ?synth (Val a[i]) s \rangle$ $\langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle i, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle i', s' \rangle \rangle$
show $?case$ **by** (*fastforce elim!*: *sim-move01-expr*)
next
case *RedAAcc* **thus** $?case$ **by** (*auto simp del*: *split-paired-Ex*)

```

next
  case (AAssRed1 a s ta a' s' i e Vs E2 xs)
    note IH =  $\langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. [bisim vs a e2 XS; fv a \subseteq set vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS]; length vs + max-vars a \leq length XS;$ 
           $?synth a s \rangle \implies ?concl a e2 s XS a' s' ta vs$ 
    from  $\langle extTA2J0 P,P,t \vdash \langle a,s \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle a',s' \rangle \rangle$  have  $\neg is-val a$  by auto
    with  $\langle bisim Vs (a[i]:=e) E2 xs \rangle$  obtain E
      where  $E2 = E[compE1 Vs i]:=compE1 Vs e$  and  $bisim Vs a E xs$ 
      and  $sync: \neg contains-insync i \neg contains-insync e$  by auto
      with  $IH[of Vs E xs] \langle fv (a[i]:=e) \subseteq set Vs \rangle \langle lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle \leftarrow is-val a \langle extTA2J0 P,P,t \vdash \langle a,s \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle a',s' \rangle \rangle$ 
             $\langle length Vs + max-vars (a[i]:=e) \leq length xs \rangle \langle ?synth (a[i]:=e) s \rangle$ 
      obtain  $ta' e2' xs'$ 
      where  $IH': sim-move01 (compP1 P) t ta a E (hp s) xs ta' e2' (hp s') xs'$ 
             $bisim Vs a' e2' xs' lcl s' \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs'$ 
      by auto
      show  $?case$ 
      proof(cases is-val a')
        case True
        from  $\langle fv (a[i]:=e) \subseteq set Vs \rangle sync$ 
        have  $bisim Vs i (compE1 Vs i) xs' bisim Vs e (compE1 Vs e) xs'$  by auto
        with  $IH' E2 True sync \leftarrow is-val a \langle extTA2J0 P,P,t \vdash \langle a,s \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle a',s' \rangle \rangle$  show  $?thesis$ 
          by(cases is-val i)(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-expr)+
    next
      case False with  $IH' E2 sync \leftarrow is-val a \langle extTA2J0 P,P,t \vdash \langle a,s \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle a',s' \rangle \rangle$ 
      show  $?thesis$  by(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-expr)
    qed
  next
  case (AAssRed2 i s ta i' s' a e Vs E2 xs)
    note IH =  $\langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. [bisim vs i e2 XS; fv i \subseteq set vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS]; length vs + max-vars i \leq length XS;$ 
           $?synth i s \rangle \implies ?concl i e2 s XS i' s' ta vs$ 
    from  $\langle extTA2J0 P,P,t \vdash \langle i,s \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle i',s' \rangle \rangle$  have  $\neg is-val i$  by auto
    with  $\langle bisim Vs (Val a[i]:=e) E2 xs \rangle$  obtain E
      where  $E2 = Val a[E]:=compE1 Vs e$  and  $bisim Vs i E xs$  and  $\neg contains-insync e$  by auto
      with  $IH[of Vs E xs] \langle fv (Val a[i]:=e) \subseteq set Vs \rangle \langle lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle \leftarrow is-val i \langle extTA2J0 P,P,t \vdash \langle i,s \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle i',s' \rangle \rangle$ 
             $\langle length Vs + max-vars (Val a[i]:=e) \leq length xs \rangle \langle ?synth (Val a[i]:=e) s \rangle$ 
      show  $?case$  by(cases is-val i)(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-expr)+
    next
      case (AAssRed3 e s ta e' s' a i Vs E2 xs)
      note IH =  $\langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. [bisim vs e e2 XS; fv e \subseteq set vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS]; length vs + max-vars e \leq length XS;$ 
           $?synth e s \rangle \implies ?concl e e2 s XS e' s' ta vs$ 
      from  $\langle bisim Vs (Val a[Val i]:=e) E2 xs \rangle$  obtain E
        where  $E2 = Val a[Val i]:=E$  and  $bisim Vs e E xs$  by auto
        with  $IH[of Vs E xs] \langle fv (Val a[Val i]:=e) \subseteq set Vs \rangle \langle lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle \langle extTA2J0 P,P,t \vdash \langle e,s \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle e',s' \rangle \rangle$ 
             $\langle length Vs + max-vars (Val a[Val i]:=e) \leq length xs \rangle \langle ?synth (Val a[Val i]:=e) s \rangle$ 
        show  $?case$  by(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-expr)
    next
      case RedAAssStore thus  $?case$  by(auto intro!: exI)
    next
      case (FAssRed1 e s ta e' s' F D e2 Vs E2 xs)

```

note $IH = \langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. \llbracket bisim vs e e2 XS; fv e \subseteq set vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS \rrbracket; length vs + max-vars e \leq length XS;$

$?synth e s \implies ?concl e e2 s XS e' s' ta vs$

from $\langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$ **have** $\neg is-val e$ **by auto**

with $\langle bisim Vs (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) E2 xs \rangle$ **obtain** E

where $E2 = E \cdot F\{D\} := compE1 Vs e2$ **and** $bisim Vs e E xs$ **and** $\neg contains-insync e2$ **by auto**

with $IH[of Vs E xs] \langle fv (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) \subseteq set Vs \rangle \langle lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle \leftarrow is-val e \langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$

$\langle length Vs + max-vars (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) \leq length xs \rangle \langle ?synth (e \cdot F\{D\} := e2) s \rangle$

show $?case$ **by**(cases is-val e')(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-expr)+

next

case ($FAssRed2 e s ta e' s' v F D Vs E2 xs$)

note $IH = \langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. \llbracket bisim vs e e2 XS; fv e \subseteq set vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS \rrbracket; length vs + max-vars e \leq length XS;$

$?synth e s \implies ?concl e e2 s XS e' s' ta vs$

from $\langle bisim Vs (Val v \cdot F\{D\} := e) E2 xs \rangle$ **obtain** E

where $E2 = Val v \cdot F\{D\} := E$ **and** $bisim Vs e E xs$ **by auto**

with $IH[of Vs E xs] \langle fv (Val v \cdot F\{D\} := e) \subseteq set Vs \rangle \langle lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle \langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$

$\langle length Vs + max-vars (Val v \cdot F\{D\} := e) \leq length xs \rangle \langle ?synth (Val v \cdot F\{D\} := e) s \rangle$

show $?case$ **by**(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-expr)

next

case ($CASRed1 e s ta e' s' D F e2 e3 Vs E2 xs$)

note $IH = \langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. \llbracket bisim vs e e2 XS; fv e \subseteq set vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS \rrbracket; length vs + max-vars e \leq length XS;$

$?synth e s \implies ?concl e e2 s XS e' s' ta vs$

from $\langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$ **have** $\neg is-val e$ **by auto**

with $\langle bisim Vs - E2 xs \rangle$ **obtain** E

where $E2: E2 = E \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, compE1 Vs e2, compE1 Vs e3)$ **and** $bisim Vs e E xs$ **and sync:** $\neg contains-insync e2 \neg contains-insync e3$ **by**(auto)

with $IH[of Vs E xs] \langle fv - \subseteq set Vs \rangle \langle lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle \leftarrow is-val e \langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$

$\langle length Vs + max-vars - \leq length xs \rangle \langle ?synth - s \rangle$

obtain $ta' e2' xs'$

where $IH': sim-move01 (compP1 P) t ta e E (hp s) xs ta' e2' (hp s') xs'$

$bisim Vs e' e2' xs' lcl s' \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs'$

by auto

show $?case$

proof(cases is-val e')

case True

from $\langle fv - \subseteq set Vs \rangle$ sync

have $bisim Vs e2 (compE1 Vs e2) xs' bisim Vs e3 (compE1 Vs e3) xs'$ **by auto**

with $IH' E2 True sync \leftarrow is-val e \langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$ **show** ?thesis

by(cases is-val e2)(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-expr)+

next

case False **with** $IH' E2 sync \leftarrow is-val e \langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$

show ?thesis **by**(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-expr)

qed

next

case ($CASRed2 e s ta e' s' v D F e3 Vs E2 xs$)

note $IH = \langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. \llbracket bisim vs e e2 XS; fv e \subseteq set vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS \rrbracket; length vs + max-vars e \leq length XS;$

$?synth e s \implies ?concl e e2 s XS e' s' ta vs$

from $\langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$ **have** $\neg is-val e$ **by auto**

```

with ⟨bisim Vs - E2 xs⟩ obtain E
  where E2 = Val vCOMPAREANDSWAP(D·F, E, compE1 Vs e3) and bisim Vs e E xs and ¬
  contains-insync e3 by(auto)
  with IH[⟨of Vs E xs⟩ ⟨fv - ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨lcl s ⊆m [Vs [→] xs]⟩ ⟨¬ is-val e⟩ ⟨extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,s⟩
  -ta→ ⟨e',s'⟩⟩
    ⟨length Vs + max-vars - ≤ length xs⟩ ⟨?synth - s⟩
  show ?case by(cases is-val e')(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-expr)+

next
  case (CASRed3 e s ta e' s' v D F v' Vs E2 xs)
  note IH = ⟨⟨vs e2 XS. [bisim vs e e2 XS; fv e ⊆ set vs; lcl s ⊆m [vs [→] XS]; length vs + max-vars
  e ≤ length XS;
    ?synth e s]⟩⟩ ==> ?concl e e2 s XS e' s' ta vs⟩
  from ⟨bisim Vs - E2 xs⟩ obtain E
    where E2 = Val vCOMPAREANDSWAP(D·F, Val v', E) and bisim Vs e E xs by auto
    with IH[⟨of Vs E xs⟩ ⟨fv - ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨lcl s ⊆m [Vs [→] xs]⟩ ⟨extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,s⟩ -ta→ ⟨e',s'⟩⟩
      ⟨length Vs + max-vars - ≤ length xs⟩ ⟨?synth - s⟩
    show ?case by(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-expr)

next
  case (CallObj e s ta e' s' M es Vs E2 xs)
  note IH = ⟨⟨vs e2 XS. [bisim vs e e2 XS; fv e ⊆ set vs; lcl s ⊆m [vs [→] XS]; length vs + max-vars
  e ≤ length XS;
    ?synth e s]⟩⟩ ==> ?concl e e2 s XS e' s' ta vs⟩
  from ⟨extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e,s⟩ -ta→ ⟨e',s'⟩⟩ have ¬ is-val e by auto
  with ⟨bisim Vs (e·M(es)) E2 xs⟩ obtain E
    where E2 = E·M(compEs1 Vs es) and bisim Vs e E xs and ¬ contains-insyncs es
    by(auto simp add: compEs1-conv-map)
  with IH[⟨of Vs E xs⟩ ⟨fv (e·M(es)) ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨lcl s ⊆m [Vs [→] xs]⟩
    ⟨length Vs + max-vars (e·M(es)) ≤ length xs⟩ ⟨?synth (e·M(es)) s⟩
  show ?case by(cases is-val e')(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-expr split: if-split-asm)+

next
  case (CallParams es s ta es' s' v M Vs E2 xs)
  note IH = ⟨⟨vs es2 XS. [bisims vs es es2 XS; fvs es ⊆ set vs; lcl s ⊆m [vs [→] XS]; length vs + max-varss es ≤ length XS;
    ?synths es s]⟩⟩ ==> ?concls es es2 s XS es' s' ta vs⟩
  from ⟨bisim Vs (Val v·M(es)) E2 xs⟩ obtain Es
    where E2 = Val v·M(Es) and bisims Vs es Es xs by auto
    moreover from ⟨extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨es,s⟩ [-ta→] ⟨es',s'⟩⟩ have ¬ is-vals es by auto
    with ⟨?synth (Val v·M(es)) s⟩ have ?synths es s by(auto)
    moreover note IH[⟨of Vs Es xs⟩ ⟨fv (Val v·M(es)) ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨lcl s ⊆m [Vs [→] xs]⟩
      ⟨length Vs + max-vars (Val v·M(es)) ≤ length xs⟩
    ultimately show ?case by(fastforce elim!: sim-move01-CallParams)

next
  case (RedCall s a U M Ts T pns body D vs Vs E2 xs)
  from ⟨typeof-addr (hp s) a = ⌊U⌋⟩
  have call (addr a·M(map Val vs)) = ⌊(a, M, vs)⌋ by auto
  with ⟨?synth (addr a·M(map Val vs)) s⟩ have synthesized-call P (hp s) (a, M, vs) by auto
  with ⟨typeof-addr (hp s) a = ⌊U⌋⟩ ⟨P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M: Ts→T = ⌊(pns, body)⌋ in D⟩
  have False by(auto simp add: synthesized-call-conv dest: sees-method-fun)
  thus ?case ..

next
  case (RedCallExternal s a T M Ts Tr D vs ta va h' ta' e' s' Vs E2 xs)
  from ⟨bisim Vs (addr a·M(map Val vs)) E2 xs⟩ have E2 = addr a·M(map Val vs) by auto
  moreover note ⟨P ⊢ class-type-of T sees M: Ts→Tr = Native in D⟩ ⟨typeof-addr (hp s) a = ⌊T⌋⟩
  ⟨ta' = extTA2J0 P ta⟩

```

$\langle e' = extRet2J (addr a \cdot M(map Val vs)) va \rangle \langle s' = (h', lcl s) \rangle \langle P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), hp s \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle \rangle$
 $\langle lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle$
moreover from wf $\langle P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), hp s \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle \rangle$
have ta-bisim01 ($extTA2J0 P ta$) ($extTA2J1 (compP1 P) ta$) **by**(rule red-external-ta-bisim01)
moreover from $\langle P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), hp s \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle \rangle \langle \text{typeof-addr} (hp s) a = \lfloor T \rfloor \rangle$
 $\langle P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow Tr = \text{Native in } D \rangle$
have $\tau_{\text{external-defs}} D M \implies h' = hp s \wedge ta = \varepsilon$
by(fastforce dest: $\tau_{\text{external}}\text{-red-external-heap-unchanged}$ $\tau_{\text{external}}\text{-red-external-TA-empty simp}$
add: $\tau_{\text{external}}\text{-def } \tau_{\text{external}}\text{-def}$)
ultimately show ?case
by(cases va)(fastforce intro!: exI[where $x=ta$] intro: Red1CallExternal simp add: map-eq-append-conv
sim-move01-def dest: sees-method-fun simp del: split-paired-Ex)+
next
case (BlockRed $e h x V vo ta e' h' x' T Vs E2 xs$)
note $IH = \langle \bigwedge vs e2 XS. \llbracket \text{bisim } vs e e2 XS; fv e \subseteq \text{set } vs; lcl (h, x(V := vo)) \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] XS; \text{length } vs + \text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } XS; \text{?synth } e (h, (x(V := vo))) \rrbracket \implies \text{?concl } e e2 (h, x(V := vo)) XS e' (h', x') ta vs \rangle$
note $red = \langle extTA2J0 P, P, t \vdash \langle e, (h, x(V := vo)) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', x') \rangle \rangle$
note $len = \langle \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars } \{V:T=vo; e\} \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$
from $\langle fv \{V:T=vo; e\} \subseteq \text{set } Vs \rangle$ **have** $fv e \subseteq \text{set } (Vs @ [V])$ **by** auto
from $\langle \text{bisim } Vs \{V:T=vo; e\} E2 xs \rangle$ **show** ?case
proof(cases rule: bisim-cases(7)[consumes 1, case-names BlockNone BlockSome BlockSomeNone])
case (BlockNone E')
with red $IH[\text{of } Vs @ [V] E' xs]$ $fv \langle lcl (h, x) \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle$
 $\langle \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars } \{V:T=vo; e\} \leq \text{length } xs \rangle \langle \text{?synth } \{V:T=vo; e\} (h, x) \rangle$
obtain $TA' e2' xs' \text{ where } red': \text{sim-move01 } (\text{compP1 } P) t ta e E' h xs TA' e2' h' xs'$
and $\text{bisim}' : \text{bisim } (Vs @ [V]) e' e2' xs' x' \subseteq_m [Vs @ [V] \mapsto] xs'$ **by** auto
from $red' \langle \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars } \{V:T=vo; e\} \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$
have $\text{length } (Vs @ [V]) + \text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } xs'$
by(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def dest: red1-preserves-len $\tau_{\text{red1t-preserves-len}}$ $\tau_{\text{red1r-preserves-len}}$
split: if-split-asm)
with $\langle x' \subseteq_m [Vs @ [V] \mapsto] xs' \rangle$ **have** $x' \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs', V \mapsto xs' ! \text{length } Vs$ **by**(simp)
moreover
{ **assume** $V \in \text{set } Vs$
hence hidden $(Vs @ [V]) (\text{index } Vs V)$ **by**(rule hidden-index)
with $\langle \text{bisim } (Vs @ [V]) e E' xs \rangle$ **have** unmod $E' (\text{index } Vs V)$
by -(rule hidden-bisim-unmod)
moreover from $\langle \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars } \{V:T=vo; e\} \leq \text{length } xs \rangle \langle V \in \text{set } Vs \rangle$
have index $Vs V < \text{length } xs$ **by**(auto intro: index-less-aux)
ultimately have $xs ! \text{index } Vs V = xs' ! \text{index } Vs V$
using sim-move01-preserves-unmod[$OF red'$] **by**(simp) }
moreover from red' **have** $\text{length } xs = \text{length } xs'$ **by**(rule sim-move01-preserves-len[symmetric])
ultimately have $rel: x'(V := x V) \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs'$
using $\langle lcl (h, x) \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto] xs \rangle \langle \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars } \{V:T=vo; e\} \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$
by(auto intro: Block-lem)
show ?thesis
proof(cases $x' V$)
case None
with $red' \text{ bisim}' \text{ BlockNone len}$
show ?thesis **by**(fastforce simp del: split-paired-Ex fun-upd-apply intro: rel)
next
case (Some v)
moreover

```

with ⟨ $x' \subseteq_m [Vs @ [V] \mapsto xs']$ ⟩ have  $[Vs @ [V] \mapsto xs'] V = [v]$ 
  by(auto simp add: map-le-def dest: bspec)
moreover
  from ⟨length Vs + max-vars { $V:T=vo; e\}$  ≤ length xs⟩ have length Vs < length xs by auto
  ultimately have  $xs' ! length Vs = v$  using ⟨length xs = length xs'⟩ by(simp)
  with red' bisim' BlockNone Some len
  show ?thesis by(fastforce simp del: fun-upd-apply intro: rel)
qed
next
  case (BlockSome E' v)
  with red IH[ $of Vs @ [V] E' xs [length Vs := v]$ ] fv ⟨lcl (h, x)  $\subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs']$ ⟩
    ⟨length Vs + max-vars { $V:T=vo; e\}$  ≤ length xs⟩ ⟨?synth { $V:T=vo; e\}$  (h, x)⟩
  obtain TA' e2' xs' where red': sim-move01 (compP1 P) t ta e E' h (xs[length Vs := v]) TA' e2'
    h' xs'
    and bisim': bisim (Vs @ [V]) e' e2' xs' x'  $\subseteq_m [Vs @ [V] \mapsto xs']$  by auto
    from red' ⟨length Vs + max-vars { $V:T=vo; e\}$  ≤ length xs⟩
    have length (Vs @ [V]) + max-vars e ≤ length xs' by(auto dest: sim-move01-preserves-len)
    with ⟨ $x' \subseteq_m [Vs @ [V] \mapsto xs']$ ⟩ have  $x' \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs', V \mapsto xs' ! length Vs]$  by(simp)
    moreover
    { assume  $V \in set Vs$ 
      hence hidden (Vs @ [V]) (index Vs V) by(rule hidden-index)
      with ⟨bisim (Vs @ [V]) e E' (xs[length Vs := v])⟩ have unmod E' (index Vs V)
        by -(rule hidden-bisim-unmod)
      moreover from ⟨length Vs + max-vars { $V:T=vo; e\}$  ≤ length xs⟩ ⟨ $V \in set Vs$ ⟩
      have index Vs V < length xs by(auto intro: index-less-aux)
      moreover from ⟨length Vs + max-vars { $V:T=vo; e\}$  ≤ length xs⟩ ⟨ $V \in set Vs$ ⟩
      have (xs[length Vs := v]) ! index Vs V = xs ! index Vs V by(simp)
      ultimately have xs ! index Vs V = xs' ! index Vs V
        using sim-move01-preserves-unmod[OF red', of index Vs V] by(simp) }
    moreover from red' have length xs = length xs' by(auto dest: sim-move01-preserves-len)
    ultimately have rel:  $x'(V := x V) \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs']$ 
      using ⟨lcl (h, x)  $\subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs']$ ⟩ ⟨length Vs + max-vars { $V:T=vo; e\}$  ≤ length xs⟩
        by(auto intro: Block-lem)
    from BlockSome red obtain v' where Some:  $x' V = [v']$  by(auto dest!: red-lcl-incr)
    with ⟨ $x' \subseteq_m [Vs @ [V] \mapsto xs']$ ⟩ have  $[Vs @ [V] \mapsto xs'] V = [v']$ 
      by(auto simp add: map-le-def dest: bspec)
    moreover
    from ⟨length Vs + max-vars { $V:T=vo; e\}$  ≤ length xs⟩ have length Vs < length xs by auto
    ultimately have  $xs' ! length Vs = v'$  using ⟨length xs = length xs'⟩ by(simp)
    with red' bisim' BlockSome Some ⟨length Vs < length xs⟩
    show ?thesis by(fastforce simp del: fun-upd-apply intro: rel)
next
  case (BlockSomeNone E')
  with red IH[ $of Vs @ [V] E' xs$ ] fv ⟨lcl (h, x)  $\subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs']$ ⟩
    ⟨length Vs + max-vars { $V:T=vo; e\}$  ≤ length xs⟩ ⟨?synth { $V:T=vo; e\}$  (h, x)⟩
  obtain TA' e2' xs' where red': sim-move01 (compP1 P) t ta e E' h xs TA' e2' h' xs'
    and IH': bisim (Vs @ [V]) e' e2' xs' x'  $\subseteq_m [Vs @ [V] \mapsto xs']$  by auto
    from red' ⟨length Vs + max-vars { $V:T=vo; e\}$  ≤ length xs⟩
    have length (Vs @ [V]) + max-vars e ≤ length xs' by(auto dest: sim-move01-preserves-len)
    with ⟨ $x' \subseteq_m [Vs @ [V] \mapsto xs']$ ⟩ have  $x' \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs', V \mapsto xs' ! length Vs]$  by(simp)
    moreover
    { assume  $V \in set Vs$ 
      hence hidden (Vs @ [V]) (index Vs V) by(rule hidden-index)
      with ⟨bisim (Vs @ [V]) e E' xs⟩ have unmod E' (index Vs V)
    }
  
```

```

    by -(rule hidden-bisim-unmod)
moreover from <length Vs + max-vars {V:T=vo; e} ≤ length xs> <V ∈ set Vs>
have index Vs V < length xs by(auto intro: index-less-aux)
moreover from <length Vs + max-vars {V:T=vo; e} ≤ length xs> <V ∈ set Vs>
have (xs[length Vs := v]) ! index Vs V = xs ! index Vs V by(simp)
ultimately have xs ! index Vs V = xs' ! index Vs V
    using sim-move01-preserves-unmod[OF red', of index Vs V] by(simp) }
moreover from red' have length xs = length xs' by(auto dest: sim-move01-preserves-len)
ultimately have rel: x'(V := x V) ⊆m [Vs [→] xs']
    using <lcl (h, x) ⊆m [Vs [→] xs]> <length Vs + max-vars {V:T=vo; e} ≤ length xs>
    by(auto intro: Block-lem)
from BlockSomeNone red obtain v' where Some: x' V = [v'] by(auto dest!: red-lcl-incr)
with <x' ⊆m [Vs @ [V] [→] xs']> have [Vs @ [V] [→] xs'] V = [v']
    by(auto simp add: map-le-def dest: bspec)
moreover
from <length Vs + max-vars {V:T=vo; e} ≤ length xs> have length Vs < length xs by auto
ultimately have xs' ! length Vs = v' using <length xs = length xs'> by(simp)
with red' IH' BlockSomeNone Some <length Vs < length xs>
show ?thesis by(fastforce simp del: fun-upd-apply intro: rel)
qed
next
case (RedBlock V T vo u s Vs E2 xs)
from <bisim Vs {V:T=vo; Val u} E2 xs> obtain vo'
    where [simp]: E2 = {length Vs: T=vo'; Val u} by auto
from RedBlock show ?case
proof(cases vo)
    case (Some v)
        with <bisim Vs {V:T=vo; Val u} E2 xs>
        have vo': case vo' of None ⇒ xs ! length Vs = v | Some v' ⇒ v = v' by auto
        have sim-move01 (compP1 P) t ε {V:T=vo; Val u} E2 (hp s) xs ε (Val u) (hp s) (xs[length Vs
:= v])
            proof(cases vo')
                case None with vo'
                    have xs[length Vs := v] = xs by auto
                    thus ?thesis using Some None by auto
            qed
            thus ?thesis using RedBlock by fastforce
qed fastforce
next
case SynchronizedNull thus ?case by fastforce
next
case (LockSynchronized a e s Vs E2 xs)
from <bisim Vs (sync(addr a) e) E2 xs>
have E2: E2 = syncLength Vs (addr a) (compE1 (Vs@[fresh-var Vs]) e)
    and sync: ¬ contains-insync e by auto
moreover have fresh-var Vs ∉ set Vs by(rule fresh-var-fresh)
with <fv (sync(addr a) e) ⊆ set Vs> have fresh-var Vs ∉ fv e by auto
from E2 <fv (sync(addr a) e) ⊆ set Vs> sync
have bisim (Vs@[fresh-var Vs]) e (compE1 (Vs@[fresh-var Vs]) e) (xs[length Vs := Addr a])
    by(auto intro!: compE1-bisim)

```

hence $\text{bisim } Vs (\text{insync}(a) e) (\text{insync}_\text{length } Vs (a) (\text{compE1} (\text{Vs}@[\text{fresh-var } Vs]) e)) (xs[\text{length } Vs := \text{Addr } a])$
 using $\langle \text{fresh-var } Vs \notin \text{fv } e \rangle \langle \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars} (\text{sync}(\text{addr } a) e) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ by auto
 moreover from $\langle \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars} (\text{sync}(\text{addr } a) e) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$
 have $\text{False}, \text{compP1 } P, t \vdash \langle \text{sync}_\text{length } Vs (\text{addr } a) (\text{compE1} (\text{Vs}@[\text{fresh-var } Vs]) e), (\text{hp } s, xs) \rangle$
 $- \{\text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{SyncLock } a\} \rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{insync}_\text{length } Vs (a) (\text{compE1} (\text{Vs}@[\text{fresh-var } Vs]) e), (\text{hp } s, xs[\text{length } Vs := \text{Addr } a]) \rangle$
 by $-(\text{rule Lock1Synchronized}, \text{auto})$
 hence $\text{sim-move01} (\text{compP1 } P) t \{\text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{SyncLock } a\} (\text{sync}(\text{addr } a) e) E2 (\text{hp } s) xs \{\text{Lock} \rightarrow a, \text{SyncLock } a\} (\text{insync}_\text{length } Vs (a) (\text{compE1} (\text{Vs}@[\text{fresh-var } Vs]) e)) (\text{hp } s) (xs[\text{length } Vs := \text{Addr } a])$
 using $E2$ by(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def ta-bisim-def)
 moreover have $\text{zip } Vs (xs[\text{length } Vs := \text{Addr } a]) = (\text{zip } Vs xs)[\text{length } Vs := (\text{arbitrary}, \text{Addr } a)]$
 by(rule sym)(simp add: update-zip)
 hence $\text{zip } Vs (xs[\text{length } Vs := \text{Addr } a]) = \text{zip } Vs xs$ by simp
 with $\langle lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs] \rangle$ have $lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs[\text{length } Vs := \text{Addr } a]]$
 by(auto simp add: map-le-def map-upds-def)
 ultimately show ?case using $\langle lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs] \rangle$ by fastforce
next
 case ($\text{SynchronizedRed2 } e s \text{ ta } e' s' a \text{ Vs } E2 \text{ xs}$)
 note $IH = \langle \forall vs e2 XS. [\text{bisim } vs e e2 XS; \text{fv } e \subseteq \text{set } vs; lcl s \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto XS]; \text{length } vs + \text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } XS;$
 $\langle \text{synth } e s \rangle \implies \langle \text{concl } e e2 s XS e' s' \text{ ta } vs \rangle$
 from $\langle \text{bisim } Vs (\text{insync}(a) e) E2 xs \rangle$ obtain E
 where $E2: E2 = \text{insync}_\text{length } Vs (a) E$ and $\text{bisim}: \text{bisim } (\text{Vs}@[\text{fresh-var } Vs]) e E xs$
 and $xsa: xs ! \text{length } Vs = \text{Addr } a$ by auto
 from $\langle \text{fv } (\text{insync}(a) e) \subseteq \text{set } Vs \rangle$ fresh-var-fresh[of Vs] have $\text{fv}: \text{fresh-var } Vs \notin \text{fv } e$ by auto
 from $\langle \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars} (\text{insync}(a) e) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle$ have $\text{length } Vs < \text{length } xs$ by simp
 { assume $lcl s (\text{fresh-var } Vs) \neq \text{None}$
 then obtain v where $lcl s (\text{fresh-var } Vs) = \{v\}$ by auto
 with $\langle lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs] \rangle$ have $[Vs \mapsto xs] (\text{fresh-var } Vs) = \{v\}$
 by(auto simp add: map-le-def dest: bspec)
 hence $\text{fresh-var } Vs \in \text{set } Vs$
 by(auto simp add: map-upds-def set-zip dest!: map-of-SomeD)
 moreover have $\text{fresh-var } Vs \notin \text{set } Vs$ by(rule fresh-var-fresh)
 ultimately have False by contradiction }
 hence $lcl s (\text{fresh-var } Vs) = \text{None}$ by(cases $lcl s (\text{fresh-var } Vs)$, auto)
 hence $(lcl s)(\text{fresh-var } Vs := \text{None}) = lcl s$ by(auto intro: ext)
 moreover from $\langle lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs] \rangle$
 have $(lcl s)(\text{fresh-var } Vs := \text{None}) \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs, \text{fresh-var } Vs \mapsto xs ! \text{length } Vs]$ by(simp)
 ultimately have $lcl s \subseteq_m [Vs @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs] \mapsto xs]$
 using $\langle \text{length } Vs < \text{length } xs \rangle$ by(auto)
 with $IH[\text{of } Vs@\text{[fresh-var } Vs] E xs \langle \text{fv } (\text{insync}(a) e) \subseteq \text{set } Vs \rangle \text{ bisim }$
 $\langle \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars} (\text{insync}(a) e) \leq \text{length } xs \rangle \langle \text{synth } (\text{insync}(a) e) s \rangle$
 obtain $TA' e' xs'$ where $IH': \text{sim-move01} (\text{compP1 } P) t \text{ta } e E (\text{hp } s) xs TA' e2' (\text{hp } s') xs'$
 $bisim (Vs @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs]) e' e2' xs' lcl s' \subseteq_m [Vs @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs] \mapsto xs']$ by auto
 from $\langle \text{extTA2J0 } P, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - \text{ta} \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$ have $\text{dom } (lcl s') \subseteq \text{dom } (lcl s) \cup \text{fv } e$ by(auto dest: red-dom-lcl)
 with $\text{fv } \langle lcl s (\text{fresh-var } Vs) = \text{None} \rangle$ have $(\text{fresh-var } Vs) \notin \text{dom } (lcl s')$ by auto
 hence $lcl s' (\text{fresh-var } Vs) = \text{None}$ by auto
 moreover from IH' have $\text{length } xs = \text{length } xs'$ by(auto dest: sim-move01-preserves-len)
 moreover note $\langle lcl s' \subseteq_m [Vs @ [\text{fresh-var } Vs] \mapsto xs'] \rangle \langle \text{length } Vs < \text{length } xs \rangle$
 ultimately have $lcl s' \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto xs']$ by(auto simp add: map-le-def dest: bspec)
 moreover from $\text{bisim } \text{fv}$ have $\text{unmod } E (\text{length } Vs)$ by(auto intro: bisim-fv-unmod)
 with $IH' \langle \text{length } Vs < \text{length } xs \rangle$ have $xs ! \text{length } Vs = xs' ! \text{length } Vs$

```

by(auto dest!: sim-move01-preserves-unmod)
with xs a have xs' ! length Vs = Addr a by simp
ultimately show ?case using IH' E2 by(fastforce)
next
  case (UnlockSynchronized a v s Vs E2 xs)
  from <bisim Vs (insync(a) Val v) E2 xs> have E2: E2 = insync length Vs (a) Val v
  and xs a: xs ! length Vs = Addr a by auto
  moreover from <length Vs + max-vars (insync(a) Val v) ≤ length xs> xs a
  have False,compP1 P,t ⊢ <insync length Vs (a) (Val v), (hp s, xs)> -{Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a}→
  <Val v, (hp s, xs)>
  by-(rule Unlock1Synchronized, auto)
  hence sim-move01 (compP1 P) t {Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a} (insync(a) Val v) (insync length Vs (a)
  Val v) (hp s) xs {Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a} (Val v) (hp s) xs
  by(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def ta-bisim-def)
  ultimately show ?case using <lcl s ⊆_m [Vs [→] xs]> by fastforce
next
  case (RedWhile b c s Vs E2 xs)
  from <bisim Vs (while (b) c) E2 xs> have E2 = while (compE1 Vs b) (compE1 Vs c)
  and sync: ¬ contains-insync b ¬ contains-insync c by auto
  moreover have False,compP1 P,t ⊢ <while(compE1 Vs b) (compE1 Vs c), (hp s, xs)>
  -ε→ <if (compE1 Vs b) (compE1 Vs c); while(compE1 Vs b) (compE1 Vs c)) else unit,
  (hp s, xs)>
  by(rule Red1While)
  hence sim-move01 (compP1 P) t ε (while (b) c) (while (compE1 Vs b) (compE1 Vs c)) (hp s) xs ε
  (if (compE1 Vs b) (compE1 Vs c); while(compE1 Vs b) (compE1 Vs c)) else unit) (hp s) xs
  by(auto simp add: sim-move01-def)
  moreover from <fv (while (b) c) ⊆ set Vs> sync
  have bisim Vs (if (b) (c; while (b) c) else unit)
  (if (compE1 Vs b) (compE1 Vs (c; while(b) c)) else (compE1 Vs unit)) xs
  by -(rule bisimCond, auto)
  ultimately show ?case using <lcl s ⊆_m [Vs [→] xs]>
  by(simp)((rule exI)+, erule conjI, auto)
next
  case (RedTryCatch s a D C V e2 Vs E2 xs)
  thus ?case by(auto intro!: exI)(auto intro!: compE1-bisim)
next
  case RedTryFail thus ?case by(auto intro!: exI)
next
  case (ListRed1 e s ta e' s' es Vs ES2 xs)
  note IH = <¬vs e2 XS. [bisim vs e e2 XS; fv e ⊆ set vs; lcl s ⊆_m [vs [→] XS]; length vs + max-vars
  e ≤ length XS;
  ?synth e s] ⊨> ?concl e e2 s XS e' s' ta vs>
  from <extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ <e,s> -ta→ <e',s'>> have ¬ is-val e by auto
  with <bisims Vs (e # es) ES2 xs> obtain E'
  where bisim Vs e E' xs and ES2: ES2 = E' # compEs1 Vs es
  and sync: ¬ contains-insyncs es by(auto simp add: compEs1-conv-map)
  with IH[of Vs E' xs] <fv e # es ⊆ set Vs> <lcl s ⊆_m [Vs [→] xs]> <extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ <e,s> -ta→
  <e',s'>>
  <length Vs + max-varss (e # es) ≤ length xs> <?synths (e # es) s> ⊨ is-val e
  show ?case by(cases is-val e')(fastforce elim!: sim-moves01-expr split: if-split-asm)+
next
  case (ListRed2 es s ta es' s' v Vs ES2 xs)
  thus ?case by(fastforce elim!: bisims-cases elim!: sim-moves01-expr)
next

```

```

case CallThrowParams thus ?case
  by(fastforce elim!:bisim-cases simp add: bisims-map-Val-Throw)
next
  case (BlockThrow V T vo a s Vs e2 xs) thus ?case
    by(cases vo)(fastforce elim!: bisim-cases)+
next
  case (SynchronizedThrow2 a ad s Vs E2 xs)
    from <bisim Vs (insync(a) Throw ad) E2 xs> have xs ! length Vs = Addr a by auto
    with <length Vs + max-vars (insync(a) Throw ad) ≤ length xs>
    have False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 <insync length Vs (a) Throw ad, (hp s, xs)> −{Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a} → <Throw ad, (hp s, xs)>
      by−(rule Synchronized1Throw2, auto)
    hence sim-move01 (compP1 P) t {Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a} (insync(a) Throw ad) (insync length Vs (a) Throw ad) (hp s) xs {Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a} (Throw ad) (hp s) xs
      by(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def ta-bisim-def fun-eq-iff expand-finfun-eq finfun-upd-apply ta-upd-simps split: if-split-asm)
    moreover note <lcl s ⊆m [Vs [→] xs]> <bisim Vs (insync(a) Throw ad) E2 xs>
    ultimately show ?case by(fastforce)
next
  case InstanceOfRed thus ?case by(fastforce)
next
  case RedInstanceOf thus ?case by(auto intro!: exI)
next
  case InstanceOfThrow thus ?case by fastforce
qed(fastforce simp del: fun-upd-apply split: if-split-asm)+

end

declare max-dest [iff del]

declare split-paired-Ex [simp del]

primrec countInitBlock :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp ⇒ nat
  and countInitBlocks :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list ⇒ nat
where
  countInitBlock (new C) = 0
  | countInitBlock (newA T[e]) = countInitBlock e
  | countInitBlock (Cast T e) = countInitBlock e
  | countInitBlock (e instanceof T) = countInitBlock e
  | countInitBlock (Val v) = 0
  | countInitBlock (Var V) = 0
  | countInitBlock (V := e) = countInitBlock e
  | countInitBlock (e «bop» e') = countInitBlock e + countInitBlock e'
  | countInitBlock (a[i]) = countInitBlock a + countInitBlock i
  | countInitBlock (AAss a i e) = countInitBlock a + countInitBlock i + countInitBlock e
  | countInitBlock (a·length) = countInitBlock a
  | countInitBlock (e·F{D}) = countInitBlock e
  | countInitBlock (FAss e F D e') = countInitBlock e + countInitBlock e'
  | countInitBlock (e·compareAndSwap(D·F, e', e'')) =
    countInitBlock e + countInitBlock e' + countInitBlock e''
  | countInitBlock (e·M(es)) = countInitBlock e + countInitBlocks es
  | countInitBlock ({V:T=vo; e}) = (case vo of None ⇒ 0 | Some v ⇒ 1) + countInitBlock e
  | countInitBlock (syncV' (e) e') = countInitBlock e + countInitBlock e'
  | countInitBlock (insyncV' (ad) e) = countInitBlock e

```

```

| countInitBlock (e;;e') = countInitBlock e + countInitBlock e'
| countInitBlock (if (e) e1 else e2) = countInitBlock e + countInitBlock e1 + countInitBlock e2
| countInitBlock (while(b) e) = countInitBlock b + countInitBlock e
| countInitBlock (throw e) = countInitBlock e
| countInitBlock (try e catch(C V) e') = countInitBlock e + countInitBlock e'

| countInitBlocks [] = 0
| countInitBlocks (e # es) = countInitBlock e + countInitBlocks es

context J0-J1-heap-base begin

lemmas  $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-expr}$  =
  newArray- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$  Cast- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$  InstanceOf- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$  BinOp- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt1}$  BinOp- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt2}$  LAss- $\tau\text{red}0r$ 
  AAcc- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt1}$  AAcc- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt2}$  AAss- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt1}$  AAss- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt2}$  AAss- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt3}$ 
  ALengt- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$  FAcc- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$  FAAss- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt1}$  FAAss- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt2}$ 
  CAS- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt1}$  CAS- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt2}$  CAS- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt3}$  Call- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-obj}$ 
  Call- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-param}$  Block- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$  Sync- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$  InSync- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$ 
  Seq- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$  Cond- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$  Throw- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$  Try- $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-xt}$ 

lemmas  $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-expr}$  =
  newArray- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$  Cast- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$  InstanceOf- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$  BinOp- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt1}$  BinOp- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt2}$  LAss- $\tau\text{red}0t$ 
  AAcc- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt1}$  AAcc- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt2}$  AAss- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt1}$  AAss- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt2}$  AAss- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt3}$ 
  ALengt- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$  FAcc- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$  FAAss- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt1}$  FAAss- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt2}$ 
  CAS- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt1}$  CAS- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt2}$  CAS- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt3}$  Call- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-obj}$ 
  Call- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-param}$  Block- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$  Sync- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$  InSync- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$ 
  Seq- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$  Cond- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$  Throw- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$  Try- $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-xt}$ 

declare  $\tau\text{red}0r\text{-expr}$  [elim!]
declare  $\tau\text{red}0t\text{-expr}$  [elim!]

definition sim-move10 :: 
  'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) external-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  expr1  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr
   $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr locals  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  locals  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  sim-move10 P t ta1 e1 e1' e h xs ta e' h' xs'  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  final e1  $\wedge$ 
  (if  $\tau\text{move1}$  P h e1 then ( $\tau\text{red}0t$  (extTA2J0 P) P t h (e, xs) (e', xs')  $\vee$  countInitBlock e1' < coun-
  tInitBlock e1  $\wedge$  e' = e  $\wedge$  xs' = xs)  $\wedge$  h' = h  $\wedge$  ta1 =  $\varepsilon$   $\wedge$  ta =  $\varepsilon$ 
  else ta-bisim01 ta (extTA2J1 (compP1 P) ta1)  $\wedge$ 
  (if call e = None  $\vee$  call1 e1 = None
   then ( $\exists$  e'' xs''.  $\tau\text{red}0r$  (extTA2J0 P) P t h (e, xs) (e'', xs'')  $\wedge$  extTA2J0 P,P,t  $\vdash$  (e'', (h, xs''))
   -ta  $\rightarrow$  (e', (h', xs'))  $\wedge$  no-call P h e''  $\wedge$   $\neg$   $\tau\text{move0}$  P h e'')
   else extTA2J0 P,P,t  $\vdash$  (e, (h, xs)) -ta  $\rightarrow$  (e', (h', xs'))  $\wedge$  no-call P h e  $\wedge$   $\neg$   $\tau\text{move0}$  P h e))

definition sim-moves10 :: 
  'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) external-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr1 list  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'addr expr1 list
   $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr list  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr locals  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J0-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'addr expr
  list  $\Rightarrow$  'heap
   $\Rightarrow$  'addr locals  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  sim-moves10 P t ta1 es1 es1' es h xs ta es' h' xs'  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  finals es1  $\wedge$ 
  (if  $\tau\text{moves1}$  P h es1 then ( $\tau\text{reds0t}$  (extTA2J0 P) P t h (es, xs) (es', xs')  $\vee$  countInitBlocks es1' <

```

```

countInitBlocks es1 ∧ es' = es ∧ xs' = xs) ∧ h' = h ∧ ta1 = ε ∧ ta = ε
else ta-bisim01 ta (extTA2J1 (compP1 P) ta1) ∧
(if calls es = None ∨ calls1 es1 = None
then (exists es'' xs''. τreds0r (extTA2J0 P) P t h (es, xs) (es'', xs'') ∧ extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨es'', (h, xs'')⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', (h', xs')⟩ ∧ no-calls P h es'' ∧ ¬ τmoves0 P h es'')
else extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨es, (h, xs)⟩ [−ta→] ⟨es', (h', xs')⟩ ∧ no-calls P h es ∧ ¬ τmoves0 P h es))

```

lemma sim-move10-expr:

assumes sim-move10 P t ta1 e1 e1' e h xs ta e' h' xs'

shows

```

sim-move10 P t ta1 (newA T[e1]) (newA T[e1']) (newA T[e]) h xs ta (newA T[e']) h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (Cast T e1) (Cast T e1') (Cast T e) h xs ta (Cast T e') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (e1 instanceof T) (e1' instanceof T) (e instanceof T) h xs ta (e' instanceof T) h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (e1 «bop» e2) (e1' «bop» e2) (e «bop» e2') h xs ta (e' «bop» e2') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (Val v «bop» e1) (Val v «bop» e1') (Val v «bop» e) h xs ta (Val v «bop» e') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (V := e1) (V' := e) h xs ta (V' := e') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (e1[e2]) (e1'[e2]) (e[e2]) h xs ta (e'[e2]) h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (Val v[e1]) (Val v[e1']) (Val v[e]) h xs ta (Val v[e']) h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (e1[e2] := e3) (e1'[e2] := e3) (e[e2] := e3') h xs ta (e'[e2] := e3') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (Val v[e1] := e3) (Val v[e1'] := e3) (Val v[e] := e3') h xs ta (Val v[e'] := e3') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (AAss (Val v) (Val v') e1) (AAss (Val v) (Val v') e1') (AAss (Val v) (Val v') e) h xs ta (AAss (Val v) (Val v') e') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (e1.length) (e1'.length) (e.length) h xs ta (e'.length) h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (e1.F{D}) (e1'.F{D}) (e.F'{D'}) h xs ta (e'.F'{D'}) h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (FAss e1 F D e2) (FAss e1' F D e2) (FAss e F' D' e2') h xs ta (FAss e' F' D' e2') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (FAss (Val v) F D e1) (FAss (Val v) F D e1') (FAss (Val v) F' D' e) h xs ta (FAss (Val v) F' D' e') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (CompareAndSwap e1 F D e2 e3) (CompareAndSwap e1' F D e2 e3) (CompareAndSwap e F' D' e2' e3') h xs ta (CompareAndSwap e' F' D' e2' e3') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (CompareAndSwap (Val v) F D e1 e3) (CompareAndSwap (Val v) F D e1' e3) (CompareAndSwap (Val v) F' D' e e3') h xs ta (CompareAndSwap (Val v) F' D' e' e3') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (CompareAndSwap (Val v) F D (Val v') e1) (CompareAndSwap (Val v) F D (Val v') e1') (CompareAndSwap (Val v) F' D' (Val v') e) h xs ta (CompareAndSwap (Val v) F' D' (Val v') e') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (e1.M(es)) (e1'.M(es)) (e.M(es)) h xs ta (e'.M(es')) h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (syncV(e1) e2) (syncV(e1') e2) (sync(e) e2') h xs ta (sync(e') e2') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (insyncV(a) e1) (insyncV(a) e1') (insync(a) e) h xs ta (insync(a') e') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (e1;;e2) (e1';e2) (e;;e2') h xs ta (e';e2') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (if (e1) e2 else e3) (if (e1') e2 else e3) (if (e) e2' else e3') h xs ta (if (e') e2' else e3') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (throw e1) (throw e1') (throw e) h xs ta (throw e') h' xs'
sim-move10 P t ta1 (try e1 catch(C V) e2) (try e1' catch(C V) e2) (try e catch(C' V') e2') h xs ta (try e' catch(C' V') e2') h' xs'
using assms
apply(simp-all add: sim-move10-def final-iff split del: if-split split: if-split-asm)
apply(fastforce simp: τred0t-Val τred0r-Val intro: red-reds.intros split!: if-splits)+
done

```

lemma sim-moves10-expr:

$\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ ta1 \ e1 \ e1' \ e \ h \ xs \ ta \ e' \ h' \ xs' \implies \text{sim-moves10 } P \ t \ ta1 \ (e1 \ # \ es2) \ (e1' \ # \ es2) \ (e \ # \ es2') \ h \ xs \ ta \ (e' \ # \ es2') \ h' \ xs'$
 $\text{sim-moves10 } P \ t \ ta1 \ es1 \ es1' \ es \ h \ xs \ ta \ es' \ h' \ xs' \implies \text{sim-moves10 } P \ t \ ta1 \ (\text{Val } v \ # \ es1) \ (\text{Val } v \ # \ es1') \ (\text{Val } v \ # \ es) \ h \ xs \ ta \ (\text{Val } v \ # \ es') \ h' \ xs'$
unfolding $\text{sim-moves10-def sim-move10-def final-iff finals-iff}$
apply(simp-all add: Cons-eq-append-conv split del: if-split split: if-split-asm)
apply(safe intro!: if-split)
apply(fastforce simp add: is-vals-conv $\tau\text{reds0t-map-Val}$ $\tau\text{reds0r-map-Val}$ $\tau\text{red0t-Val}$ $\tau\text{red0r-Val}$ intro!: $\tau\text{red0r-inj-}\tau\text{reds0r}$ $\tau\text{reds0r-cons-}\tau\text{reds0r}$ $\tau\text{red0t-inj-}\tau\text{reds0t}$ $\tau\text{reds0t-cons-}\tau\text{reds0t}$ ListRed1 ListRed2 split: if-split-asm)+
done

lemma $\text{sim-move10-CallParams}:$
 $\text{sim-moves10 } P \ t \ ta1 \ es1 \ es1' \ es \ h \ xs \ ta \ es' \ h' \ xs'$
 $\implies \text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ ta1 \ (\text{Val } v \cdot M(es1)) \ (\text{Val } v \cdot M(es1')) \ (\text{Val } v \cdot M(es)) \ h \ xs \ ta \ (\text{Val } v \cdot M(es')) \ h' \ xs'$
unfolding $\text{sim-move10-def sim-moves10-def}$
apply(simp split: if-split-asm split del: if-split add: is-vals-conv)
apply(fastforce simp add: $\tau\text{red0t-Val}$ $\tau\text{red0r-Val}$ $\tau\text{reds0t-map-Val}$ $\tau\text{reds0r-map-Val}$ intro: Call- $\tau\text{red0r-param}$ Call- $\tau\text{red0t-param}$ CallParams split: if-split-asm split del: if-split intro!: if-split)
apply(rule conjI)
apply fastforce
apply(rule if-intro)
apply fastforce
apply(clarsimp split del: if-split)
apply(rule if-intro)
apply(clarsimp split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv)
apply(rule exI conjI)+
apply(erule Call- $\tau\text{red0r-param})$
apply(fastforce intro: CallParams)
apply(rule exI conjI)+
apply(erule Call- $\tau\text{red0r-param})$
apply(fastforce intro!: CallParams)
apply(clarsimp split del: if-split split: if-split-asm simp add: is-vals-conv $\tau\text{reds0r-map-Val})$
apply fastforce
apply(rule conjI)
apply fastforce
apply(rule if-intro)
apply fastforce
apply(rule conjI)
apply fastforce
apply(rule if-intro)
apply(clarsimp split: if-split-asm)
apply(clarsimp split: if-split-asm split del: if-split simp add: is-vals-conv)
apply(fastforce intro: CallParams)
done

lemma $\text{sim-move10-Block}:$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ ta1 \ e1 \ e1' \ e \ h \ (xs(V' := vo)) \ ta \ e' \ h' \ xs'$
 $\implies \text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ ta1 \ (\{V:T=None; e1\}) \ (\{V:T=None; e1'\}) \ (\{V':T=vo; e\}) \ h \ xs \ ta \ (\{V':T=xs' \\ V'; e'\}) \ h' \ (xs'(V' := xs \ V'))$
proof –
assume $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ ta1 \ e1 \ e1' \ e \ h \ (xs(V' := vo)) \ ta \ e' \ h' \ xs'$
moreover {
fix $e'' \ xs''$

```

assume extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e'',(h, xs'')⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', xs')⟩
hence extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨e'',(h, xs''(V' := xs V', V' := xs'' V'))⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', xs')⟩ by simp
from BlockRed[OF this, of T]
have extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ ⟨{V':T=xs'' V'; e''},(h, xs''(V' := xs V'))⟩ −ta→ ⟨{V':T=xs' V'; e'},(h',
xs'(V' := xs V'))⟩
by simp }
ultimately show ?thesis
by(fastforce simp add: sim-move10-def final-iff split: if-split-asm)
qed

lemma sim-move10-reds:

$$\llbracket (h', a) \in \text{allocate } h \text{ (Class-type } C); ta1 = \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Class-type } C)\}; ta = \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Class-type } C)\} \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{sim-move10 } P t ta1 \text{ (new } C) e1' \text{ (new } C) h xs ta \text{ (addr } a) h' xs$$


$$\text{allocate } h \text{ (Class-type } C) = \{\} \implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (new } C) e1' \text{ (new } C) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW OutOfMemory) } h xs$$


$$\llbracket (h', a) \in \text{allocate } h \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i))\text{); } 0 <= s i;$$


$$ta1 = \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i))\}\}; ta = \{\text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i))\} \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{sim-move10 } P t ta1 \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]\text{) } e1' \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]\text{) } h xs ta \text{ (addr } a) h' xs$$


$$i < s 0 \implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]\text{) } e1' \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]\text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW NegativeArraySize) } h xs$$


$$\llbracket \text{allocate } h \text{ (Array-type } T \text{ (nat (sint } i)) = \{\}; } 0 <= s i \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]\text{) } e1' \text{ (newA } T[\text{Val (Intg } i)]\text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW OutOfMemory) } h xs$$


$$\llbracket \text{typeof}_h v = \lfloor U \rfloor; P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (Cast } T \text{ (Val } v)\text{) } e1' \text{ (Cast } T \text{ (Val } v)\text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (Val } v) h xs$$


$$\llbracket \text{typeof}_h v = \lfloor U \rfloor; \neg P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (Cast } T \text{ (Val } v)\text{) } e1' \text{ (Cast } T \text{ (Val } v)\text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW ClassCast) } h xs$$


$$\llbracket \text{typeof}_h v = \lfloor U \rfloor; b \longleftrightarrow v \neq \text{Null} \wedge P \vdash U \leq T \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ ((Val } v) \text{ instanceof } T) e1' \text{ ((Val } v) \text{ instanceof } T) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (Val (Bool } b)) h xs$$


$$\text{binop bop } v1 v2 = \text{Some (Inl } v) \implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ ((Val } v1) \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner \text{ (Val } v2)) e1' \text{ (Val } v1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner \text{ Val } v2) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (Val } v) h xs$$


$$\text{binop bop } v1 v2 = \text{Some (Inr } a) \implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ ((Val } v1) \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner \text{ (Val } v2)) e1' \text{ (Val } v1 \llcorner \text{bop} \lrcorner \text{ Val } v2) h xs \varepsilon \text{ (Throw } a) h xs$$


$$xs V = \lfloor v \rfloor \implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (Var } V)\text{) } e1' \text{ (Var } V)\text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (Val } v) h xs$$


$$\text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (V' := Val } v)\text{) } e1' \text{ (V := Val } v)\text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ unit } h \text{ (xs(V } \mapsto v))$$


$$\text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (null } \lfloor \text{Val } v \rfloor\text{) } e1' \text{ (null } \lfloor \text{Val } v \rfloor\text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW NullPointer) } h xs$$


$$\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor; i < s 0 \vee \text{sint } i \geq \text{int } n \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (addr } a[\text{Val (Intg } i)]\text{) } e1' \text{ ((addr } a)[\text{Val (Intg } i)]\text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBounds) } h xs$$


$$\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor; 0 <= s i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n;$$


$$\text{heap-read } h a \text{ (ACell (nat (sint } i)) } v;$$


$$ta1 = \{\text{ReadMem } a \text{ (ACell (nat (sint } i)) } v\}; ta = \{\text{ReadMem } a \text{ (ACell (nat (sint } i)) } v\} \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{sim-move10 } P t ta1 \text{ (addr } a[\text{Val (Intg } i)]\text{) } e1' \text{ ((addr } a)[\text{Val (Intg } i)]\text{) } h xs ta \text{ (Val } v) h xs$$


$$\text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (null } \lfloor \text{Val } v \rfloor := \text{Val } v'\text{) } e1' \text{ (null } \lfloor \text{Val } v \rfloor := \text{Val } v'\text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW NullPointer) } h xs$$


$$\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor; i < s 0 \vee \text{sint } i \geq \text{int } n \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (AAss (addr } a) \text{ (Val (Intg } i)) \text{ (Val } v)\text{) } e1' \text{ (AAss (addr } a) \text{ (Val (Intg } i)) \text{ (Val } v)\text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW ArrayIndexOutOfBounds) } h xs$$


$$\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor; 0 <= s i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n; \text{typeof}_h v = \lfloor U \rfloor; \neg (P \vdash U \leq T) \rrbracket$$


$$\implies \text{sim-move10 } P t \varepsilon \text{ (AAss (addr } a) \text{ (Val (Intg } i)) \text{ (Val } v)\text{) } e1' \text{ (AAss (addr } a) \text{ (Val (Intg } i)) \text{ (Val } v)\text{) } h xs \varepsilon \text{ (THROW ArrayStore) } h xs$$


$$\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor; 0 <= s i; \text{sint } i < \text{int } n; \text{typeof}_h v = \text{Some } U; P \vdash U \leq T;$$


```

$\text{heap-write } h \ a \ (\text{ACell} \ (\text{nat} \ (\text{sint } i))) \ v \ h';$
 $\text{ta1} = \{\text{WriteMem } a \ (\text{ACell} \ (\text{nat} \ (\text{sint } i))) \ v\}; \ ta = \{\text{WriteMem } a \ (\text{ACell} \ (\text{nat} \ (\text{sint } i))) \ v\}$
 $\implies \text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \text{ta1} \ (\text{AAss} \ (\text{addr } a) \ (\text{Val} \ (\text{Intg } i)) \ (\text{Val } v)) \ e1' \ (\text{AAss} \ (\text{addr } a) \ (\text{Val} \ (\text{Intg } i))$
 $(\text{Val } v)) \ h \ xs \ \text{ta} \ \text{unit} \ h' \ xs$
 $\text{typeof-addr } h \ a = [\text{Array-type } T \ n] \implies \text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{addr } a.\text{length}) \ e1' \ (\text{addr } a.\text{length}) \ h \ xs$
 $\varepsilon \ (\text{Val} \ (\text{Intg } (\text{word-of-nat } n))) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{null.length}) \ e1' \ (\text{null.length}) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{THROW NullPointer}) \ h \ xs$
 $\llbracket \text{heap-read } h \ a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v; \ \text{ta1} = \{\text{ReadMem } a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v\}; \ \text{ta} = \{\text{ReadMem } a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \text{ta1} \ (\text{addr } a.F\{D\}) \ e1' \ (\text{addr } a.F\{D\}) \ h \ xs \ \text{ta} \ (\text{Val } v) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{null.F}\{D\}) \ e1' \ (\text{null.F}\{D\}) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{THROW NullPointer}) \ h \ xs$
 $\llbracket \text{heap-write } h \ a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v \ h'; \ \text{ta1} = \{\text{WriteMem } a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v\}; \ \text{ta} = \{\text{WriteMem } a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \text{ta1} \ (\text{addr } a.F\{D\}) := \text{Val } v) \ e1' \ (\text{addr } a.F\{D\}) := \text{Val } v) \ h \ xs \ \text{ta} \ \text{unit} \ h' \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{null.compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) \ e1' \ (\text{null.compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{THROW NullPointer}) \ h \ xs$
 $\llbracket \text{heap-read } h \ a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v''; \ \text{heap-write } h \ a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v' \ h'; \ v'' = v;$
 $\text{ta1} = \{\text{ReadMem } a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v'', \ \text{WriteMem } a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v'\}; \ \text{ta} = \{\text{ReadMem } a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v'', \ \text{WriteMem } a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v'\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \text{ta1} \ (\text{addr } a.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) \ e1' \ (\text{addr } a.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) \ h \ xs \ \text{ta} \ \text{true} \ h' \ xs$
 $\llbracket \text{heap-read } h \ a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v''; \ v'' \neq v;$
 $\text{ta1} = \{\text{ReadMem } a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v''\}; \ \text{ta} = \{\text{ReadMem } a \ (\text{CField } D \ F) \ v''\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \text{ta1} \ (\text{addr } a.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) \ e1' \ (\text{addr } a.\text{compareAndSwap}(D.F, \text{Val } v, \text{Val } v')) \ h \ xs \ \text{ta} \ \text{false} \ h \ xs$

 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{null.F}\{D\}) := \text{Val } v) \ e1' \ (\text{null.F}\{D\}) := \text{Val } v) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{THROW NullPointer}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\{V':T=\text{None}; \text{Val } u\}) \ e1' \ (\{V:T=vo; \text{Val } u\}) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Val } u) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\{V':T=[v]; e\}) \ (\{V':T=\text{None}; e\}) \ (\{V:T=vo; e'\}) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\{V:T=vo; e'\}) \ h \ xs$

 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{sync}_{V'}(\text{null}) \ e0) \ e1' \ (\text{sync}(\text{null}) \ e1) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{THROW NullPointer}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Val } v;; e0) \ e1' \ (\text{Val } v;; e1) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ e1 \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{if (true) } e0 \ \text{else } e0') \ e1' \ (\text{if (true) } e1 \ \text{else } e2) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ e1 \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{if (false) } e0 \ \text{else } e0') \ e1' \ (\text{if (false) } e1 \ \text{else } e2) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ e2 \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{throw null}) \ e1' \ (\text{throw null}) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{THROW NullPointer}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{try (Val } v) \ \text{catch}(C \ V') \ e0) \ e1' \ (\text{try (Val } v) \ \text{catch}(C \ V) \ e1) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Val } v) \ h \ xs$
 $\llbracket \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = [\text{Class-type } D]; \ P \vdash D \preceq^* C \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{try (Throw a) catch}(C \ V') \ e0) \ e1' \ (\text{try (Throw a) catch}(C \ V) \ e1) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\{V:\text{Class } C=[\text{Addr } a]\}; e1) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{newA } T[\text{Throw a}]) \ e1' \ (\text{newA } T[\text{Throw a}]) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Cast } T \ (\text{Throw a})) \ e1' \ (\text{Cast } T \ (\text{Throw a})) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ ((\text{Throw a}) \ \text{instanceof } T) \ e1' \ ((\text{Throw a}) \ \text{instanceof } T) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ ((\text{Throw a}) \ \text{«bop» } e0) \ e1' \ ((\text{Throw a}) \ \text{«bop» } e1) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Val } v \ \text{«bop» } (\text{Throw a})) \ e1' \ (\text{Val } v \ \text{«bop» } (\text{Throw a})) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (V' := \text{Throw a}) \ e1' \ (V := \text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}[e0]) \ e1' \ (\text{Throw a}[e1]) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Val } v[\text{Throw a}]) \ e1' \ (\text{Val } v[\text{Throw a}]) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}[e0] := e0') \ e1' \ (\text{Throw a}[e1] := e2) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Val } v[\text{Throw a}] := e0) \ e1' \ (\text{Val } v[\text{Throw a}] := e1) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Val } v[\text{Val } v'] := \text{Throw a}) \ e1' \ (\text{Val } v[\text{Val } v'] := \text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a.length}) \ e1' \ (\text{Throw a.length}) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a.F}\{D\}) \ e1' \ (\text{Throw a.F}\{D\}) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$
 $\text{sim-move10 } P \ t \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a.F}\{D\} := e0) \ e1' \ (\text{Throw a.F}\{D\} := e1) \ h \ xs \ \varepsilon \ (\text{Throw a}) \ h \ xs$

```

sim-move10 P t ε (Val v•F{D} := Throw a) e1' (Val v•F{D} := Throw a) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move10 P t ε (CompareAndSwap (Throw a) D F e0 e0') e1' (Throw a•compareAndSwap(D•F,
e1'', e1'')) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move10 P t ε (CompareAndSwap (Val v) D F (Throw a) e0') e1' (Val v•compareAndSwap(D•F,
Throw a, e1'')) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move10 P t ε (CompareAndSwap (Val v) D F (Val v') (Throw a)) e1' (Val v•compareAndSwap(D•F,
Val v', Throw a)) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move10 P t ε (Throw a•M(es0)) e1' (Throw a•M(es1)) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move10 P t ε (Val v•M(map Val vs @ Throw a # es0)) e1' (Val v•M(map Val vs @ Throw a #
es1)) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move10 P t ε ({V':T=None; Throw a}) e1' ({V:T=vo; Throw a}) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move10 P t ε (syncV'(Throw a) e0) e1' (sync(Throw a) e1) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move10 P t ε (Throw a;;e0) e1' (Throw a;;e1) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move10 P t ε (if (Throw a) e0 else e0') e1' (if (Throw a) e1 else e2) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
sim-move10 P t ε (throw (Throw a)) e1' (throw (Throw a)) h xs ε (Throw a) h xs
apply(fastforce simp add: sim-move10-def no-calls-def no-call-def ta-bisim-def intro: red-reds.intros)+ done

```

lemma sim-move10-CallNull:

```

sim-move10 P t ε (null•M(map Val vs)) e1' (null•M(map Val vs)) h xs ε (THROW NullPointer) h
xs
by(fastforce simp add: sim-move10-def map-eq-append-conv intro: RedCallNull)

```

lemma sim-move10-SyncLocks:

```

[| ta1 = {Lock→a, SyncLock a}; ta = {Lock→a, SyncLock a} |]
  ==> sim-move10 P t ta1 (syncV(addr a) e0) e1' (sync(addr a) e1) h xs ta (insync(a) e1) h xs
[| ta1 = {Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a}; ta = {Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a} |]
  ==> sim-move10 P t ta1 (insyncV(a') (Val v)) e1' (insync(a) (Val v)) h xs ta (Val v) h xs
[| ta1 = {Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a}; ta = {Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a} |]
  ==> sim-move10 P t ta1 (insyncV(a') (Throw a'')) e1' (insync(a) (Throw a'')) h xs ta (Throw a'')
h xs
by(fastforce simp add: sim-move10-def ta-bisim-def ta-upd-simps intro: red-reds.intros[simplified])+

```

lemma sim-move10-TryFail:

```

[| typeof-addr h a = [Class-type D]; ¬ P ⊢ D ⊑* C |]
  ==> sim-move10 P t ε (try (Throw a) catch(C V') e0) e1' (try (Throw a) catch(C V) e1) h xs ε
(Throw a) h xs
by(auto simp add: sim-move10-def intro!: RedTryFail)

```

lemmas sim-move10-intros =

```

sim-move10-expr sim-move10-reds sim-move10-CallNull sim-move10-TryFail sim-move10-Block sim-move10-Call

```

lemma sim-move10-preserves-defass:

```

assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
shows [| sim-move10 P t ta1 e1 e1' e h xs ta e' h' xs'; D e |dom xs|] ==> D e' |dom xs'|
by(auto simp add: sim-move10-def split: if-split-asm dest!: τred0r-preserves-defass[OF wf] τred0t-preserves-defass[
wf] red-preserves-defass[OF wf])

```

declare sim-move10-intros[intro]

lemma assumes wf: wf-J-prog P

shows red-simulates-red1-aux:

```

[| False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 <e1, S> -TA→ <e1', S'>; bisim vs e2 e1 (lcl S); fv e2 ⊆ set vs;
x ⊆m [vs ↦ lcl S]; length vs + max-vars e1 ≤ length (lcl S);

```

$\mathcal{D} e2 \lfloor \text{dom } x \rfloor \rfloor$
 $\implies \exists ta e2' x'. \text{sim-move10 } P t TA e1 e1' e2 (hp S) x ta e2' (hp S') x' \wedge \text{bisim } vs e2' e1' (lcl S')$
 $\wedge x' \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto lcl S']$
 $(\mathbf{is} \llbracket \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concl } e1 e1' e2 S x TA S' e1' vs)$

and reds-simulates-reds1-aux:

$\llbracket \text{False,compP1 } P, t \vdash 1 \langle es1, S \rangle \negarrow \langle es1', S' \rangle; \text{bisims } vs es2 es1 (lcl S); fvs es2 \subseteq \text{set } vs;$
 $x \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto lcl S]; \text{length } vs + \text{max-varss } es1 \leq \text{length } (lcl S);$
 $\mathcal{D}s es2 \lfloor \text{dom } x \rfloor \rfloor$
 $\implies \exists ta es2' x'. \text{sim-moves10 } P t TA es1 es1' es2 (hp S) x ta es2' (hp S') x' \wedge \text{bisims } vs es2' es1'$
 $(lcl S') \wedge x' \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto lcl S']$
 $(\mathbf{is} \llbracket \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot; \cdot \rrbracket \implies ?\text{concls } es1 es1' es2 S x TA S' es1' vs)$

proof(induct arbitrary: vs e2 x and vs es2 x rule: red1-reds1.inducts)

case (Bin1OpRed1 e s ta e' s' bop e2 Vs E2 X)

note IH = $\langle \bigwedge vs e2 x. \llbracket \text{bisim } vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2 \subseteq \text{set } vs;$

$x \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto lcl s]; \text{length } vs + \text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } (lcl s); \mathcal{D} e2 \lfloor \text{dom } x \rfloor \rfloor$
 $\implies ?\text{concl } e e' e2 s x ta s' e' vs \rangle$

from ⟨False,compP1 P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨e,s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',s'⟩⟩ have ¬ is-val e by auto

with ⟨bisim Vs E2 (e «bop» e2) (lcl s)⟩ obtain E E2'

where E2: E2 = E «bop» E2' e2 = compE1 Vs E2' and bisim Vs E e (lcl s)

and sync: ¬ contains-insync E2'

by(auto elim!: bisim-cases)

moreover note IH[of Vs E X] ⟨fv E2 ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨X ⊆_m [Vs ↪ lcl s]⟩
 $\langle \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars } (e «bop» e2) \leq \text{length } (lcl s) \rangle \langle \mathcal{D} E2 \lfloor \text{dom } X \rfloor \rangle$

ultimately obtain TA' e2' x' where sim-move10 P t ta e e' E (hp s) X TA' e2' (hp s') x'
 $\text{bisim } Vs e2' e' (lcl s') x' \subseteq_m [Vs \mapsto lcl s']$ by(auto)

with E2 ⟨fv E2 ⊆ set Vs⟩ sync show ?case by(cases is-val e2')(auto intro: BinOpRed1)

next

case (Bin1OpRed2 e s ta e' s' v bop Vs E2 X)

note IH = $\langle \bigwedge vs e2 x. \llbracket \text{bisim } vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2 \subseteq \text{set } vs;$

$x \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto lcl s]; \text{length } vs + \text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } (lcl s); \mathcal{D} e2 \lfloor \text{dom } x \rfloor \rfloor$
 $\implies ?\text{concl } e e' e2 s x ta s' e' vs \rangle$

from ⟨bisim Vs E2 (Val v «bop» e) (lcl s)⟩ obtain E

where E2: E2 = Val v «bop» E and bisim Vs E e (lcl s) by(auto)

moreover note IH[of Vs E X] ⟨fv E2 ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨X ⊆_m [Vs ↪ lcl s]⟩

$\langle \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars } (\text{Val } v «bop» e) \leq \text{length } (lcl s) \rangle \langle \mathcal{D} E2 \lfloor \text{dom } X \rfloor \rangle E2$

ultimately show ?case by(auto intro: BinOpRed2)

next

case (Red1Var s V v Vs E2 X)

from ⟨bisim Vs E2 (Var V) (lcl s)⟩ ⟨fv E2 ⊆ set Vs⟩

obtain V' where E2 = Var V' V' = Vs ! V V = index Vs V' by(clarify, simp)

from ⟨E2 = Var V'⟩ ⟨D E2 ⌊ dom X ⌋ ⟩

obtain v' where X V' = [v'] by(auto simp add: hyperset-defs)

with ⟨X ⊆_m [Vs ↪ lcl s]⟩ have [Vs ↪ lcl s] V' = [v'] by(rule map-le-SomeD)

with ⟨length Vs + max-vars (Var V) ≤ length (lcl s)⟩

have lcl s ! (index Vs V') = v' by(auto intro: map-upds-Some-eq-nth-index)

with ⟨V = index Vs V'⟩ ⟨lcl s ! V = v⟩ have v = v' by simp

with ⟨X V' = [v']⟩ ⟨E2 = Var V'⟩ ⟨X ⊆_m [Vs ↪ lcl s]⟩

show ?case by(fastforce intro: RedVar)

next

case (LAss1Red e s ta e' s' V Vs E2 X)

note IH = $\langle \bigwedge vs e2 x. \llbracket \text{bisim } vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2 \subseteq \text{set } vs;$

$x \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto lcl s]; \text{length } vs + \text{max-vars } e \leq \text{length } (lcl s); \mathcal{D} e2 \lfloor \text{dom } x \rfloor \rfloor$
 $\implies ?\text{concl } e e' e2 s x ta s' e' vs \rangle$

```

from <bisim Vs E2 (V:=e) (lcl s)> obtain E V'
  where E2: E2 = (V':=E) V = index Vs V' and bisim Vs E e (lcl s) by auto
  with IH[of Vs E X] <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl s]>
    <length Vs + max-vars (V:=e) ≤ length (lcl s)> <D E2 [dom X]>
    E2 show ?case by(auto intro: LAssRed)
next
  case (Red1LAss V l v h Vs E2 X)
    from <bisim Vs E2 (V:=Val v) (lcl (h, l))> obtain V' where E2 = (V' := Val v) V = index Vs V' by(auto)
    moreover with <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl (h, l)]> <length Vs + max-vars (V:=Val v) ≤ length (lcl (h, l))>
      have X(V' → v) ⊆_m [Vs [→] l[index Vs V' := v]] by(auto intro: LAss-lem)
      ultimately show ?case by(auto intro: RedLAss simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case (AAcc1Red1 a s ta a' s' i Vs E2 X)
    note IH = <¬vs e2 x. [ bisim vs e2 a (lcl s); fv e2 ⊆ set vs;
      x ⊆_m [vs [→] lcl s]; length vs + max-vars a ≤ length (lcl s); D e2 [dom x] ]>
      => ?concl a a' e2 s x ta s' a' vs>
    from <False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 <a,s> -ta→ <a',s'>> have ¬ is-val a by auto
    with <bisim Vs E2 (a[i]) (lcl s)> obtain E E2'
      where E2: E2 = E[E2'] i = compE1 Vs E2' and bisim Vs E a (lcl s)
        and sync: ¬ contains-insync E2' by(fastforce)
      moreover note IH[of Vs E X] <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl s]>
        <length Vs + max-vars (a[i]) ≤ length (lcl s)> <D E2 [dom X]>
        ultimately obtain TA' e2' x' where sim-move10 P t ta a a' E (hp s) X TA' e2' (hp s') x'
          bisim Vs e2' a' (lcl s') x' ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl s'] by(auto)
      with E2 <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> sync show ?case
        by(cases is-val e2')(auto intro: AAccRed1)
    next
      case (AAcc1Red2 i s ta i' s' a Vs E2 X)
        note IH = <¬vs e2 x. [ bisim vs e2 i (lcl s); fv e2 ⊆ set vs;
          x ⊆_m [vs [→] lcl s]; length vs + max-vars i ≤ length (lcl s); D e2 [dom x] ]>
          => ?concl i i' e2 s x ta s' i' vs>
        from <bisim Vs E2 (Val a[i]) (lcl s)> obtain E
          where E2: E2 = Val a[E] and bisim Vs E i (lcl s) by(auto)
        moreover note IH[of Vs E X] <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl s]> E2
          <length Vs + max-vars (Val a[i]) ≤ length (lcl s)> <D E2 [dom X]>
          ultimately show ?case by(auto intro: AAccRed2)
    next
      case Red1AAcc thus ?case by(fastforce intro: RedAAcc simp del: fun-upd-apply)
    next
      case (AAss1Red1 a s ta a' s' i e Vs E2 X)
        note IH = <¬vs e2 x. [ bisim vs e2 a (lcl s); fv e2 ⊆ set vs;
          x ⊆_m [vs [→] lcl s]; length vs + max-vars a ≤ length (lcl s); D e2 [dom x] ]>
          => ?concl a a' e2 s x ta s' a' vs>
        from <False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 <a,s> -ta→ <a',s'>> have ¬ is-val a by auto
        with <bisim Vs E2 (a[i]:=e) (lcl s)> obtain E E2' E2''
          where E2: E2 = E[E2]:=E2'' i = compE1 Vs E2' e = compE1 Vs E2'' and bisim Vs E a (lcl s)
            and sync: ¬ contains-insync E2' ¬ contains-insync E2'' by(fastforce)
          moreover note IH[of Vs E X] <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl s]>
            <length Vs + max-vars (a[i]:=e) ≤ length (lcl s)> <D E2 [dom X]>
            ultimately obtain TA' e2' x' where IH': sim-move10 P t ta a a' E (hp s) X TA' e2' (hp s') x'
              bisim Vs e2' a' (lcl s') x' ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl s'] by(auto)
        show ?case

```

```

proof(cases is-val e2')
  case True
    from E2 <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> sync have bisim Vs E2' i (lcl s') bisim Vs E2'' e (lcl s') by auto
    with IH' E2 True sync show ?thesis
      by(cases is-val E2')(fastforce intro: AAssRed1) +
next
  case False with IH' E2 sync show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: AAssRed1)
qed
next
  case (AAss1Red2 i s ta i' s' a e Vs E2 X)
  note IH = <∀vs e2 x. [ bisim vs e2 i (lcl s); fv e2 ⊆ set vs;
    x ⊆_m [vs ↳ lcl s]; length vs + max-vars i ≤ length (lcl s); D e2 | dom x]>
    => ?concl i i' e2 s x ta s' i' vs>
  from <False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨i,s⟩ −ta→ ⟨i',s'⟩> have ¬ is-val i by auto
  with <bisim Vs E2 (Val a[i]:=e) (lcl s)> obtain E E2'
    where E2: E2 = Val a[E]:=E2' e = compE1 Vs E2' and bisim Vs E i (lcl s)
      and sync: ¬ contains-insync E2' by(fastforce)
  moreover note IH[of Vs E X] <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <X ⊆_m [Vs ↳ lcl s]>
    <length Vs + max-vars (Val a[i]:=e) ≤ length (lcl s)> <D E2 | dom X>
  ultimately obtain TA' e2' x' where sim-move10 P t ta i i' E (hp s) X TA' e2' (hp s') x'
    bisim Vs e2' i' (lcl s') x' ⊆_m [Vs ↳ lcl s'] by(auto)
  with E2 <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> sync show ?case
    by(cases is-val e2')(fastforce intro: AAssRed2) +
next
  case (AAss1Red3 e s ta e' s' a i Vs E2 X)
  note IH = <∀vs e2 x. [ bisim vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2 ⊆ set vs;
    x ⊆_m [vs ↳ lcl s]; length vs + max-vars e ≤ length (lcl s); D e2 | dom x]>
    => ?concl e e' e2 s x ta s' e' vs>
  from <bisim Vs E2 (Val a[Val i]:=e) (lcl s)> obtain E
    where E2: E2 = Val a[Val i]:=E and bisim Vs E e (lcl s) by(fastforce)
  moreover note IH[of Vs E X] <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <X ⊆_m [Vs ↳ lcl s]> E2
    <length Vs + max-vars (Val a[Val i]:=e) ≤ length (lcl s)> <D E2 | dom X>
  ultimately show ?case by(fastforce intro: AAssRed3)
next
  case Red1AAssStore thus ?case by(auto)((rule exI conjI) +, auto)
next
  case Red1AAss thus ?case
    by(fastforce simp del: fun-upd-apply)
next
  case (FAss1Red1 e s ta e' s' F D e2 Vs E2 X)
  note IH = <∀vs e2 x. [ bisim vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2 ⊆ set vs;
    x ⊆_m [vs ↳ lcl s]; length vs + max-vars e ≤ length (lcl s); D e2 | dom x]>
    => ?concl e e' e2 s x ta s' e' vs>
  from <False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e,s⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',s'⟩> have ¬ is-val e by auto
  with <bisim Vs E2 (e·F{D}:=e2) (lcl s)> obtain E E2'
    where E2: E2 = E·F{D}:=E2' e2 = compE1 Vs E2' and bisim Vs E e (lcl s)
      and sync: ¬ contains-insync E2' by(fastforce)
  with IH[of Vs E X] <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <X ⊆_m [Vs ↳ lcl s]>
    <length Vs + max-vars (e·F{D}:=e2) ≤ length (lcl s)> <D E2 | dom X>
  obtain TA' e2' x' where sim-move10 P t ta e e' E (hp s) X TA' e2' (hp s') x'
    bisim Vs e2' e' (lcl s') x' ⊆_m [Vs ↳ lcl s'] by(fastforce)
  with E2 <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> sync show ?case by(cases is-val e2')(auto intro: FAssRed1)
next
  case (FAss1Red2 e s ta e' s' v F D Vs E2 X)

```

note $IH = \langle \wedge vs e2 x. [bisim vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2 \subseteq set vs; x \subseteq_m [vs \rightarrow] lcl s]; length vs + max-vars e \leq length (lcl s); \mathcal{D} e2 [dom x]] \Rightarrow ?concl e e' e2 s x ta s' e' vs \rangle$

from $\langle bisim Vs E2 (Val v.F\{D\}:=e) (lcl s) \rangle$ **obtain** E
where $E2: E2 = (Val v.F\{D\}:=E)$ **and** $bisim Vs E e (lcl s)$ **by**(*fastforce*)
with $IH[\text{of } Vs E X] \langle fv E2 \subseteq set Vs, \langle X \subseteq_m [Vs \rightarrow] lcl s \rangle \langle length Vs + max-vars (Val v.F\{D\}:=e) \leq length (lcl s) \rangle \langle \mathcal{D} E2 [dom X] \rangle$
 $E2$ **show** $?case$ **by**(*fastforce intro*: *FAssRed2*)

next

case $(CAS1Red1 e s ta e' s' D F e2 e3 Vs E2 X)$
note $IH = \langle \wedge vs e2 x. [bisim vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2 \subseteq set vs; x \subseteq_m [vs \rightarrow] lcl s]; length vs + max-vars e \leq length (lcl s); \mathcal{D} e2 [dom x]] \Rightarrow ?concl e e' e2 s x ta s' e' vs \rangle$

from $\langle False, compP1 P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$ **have** $\neg is-val e$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle bisim Vs E2 - (lcl s) \rangle$ **obtain** $E E2' E2''$
where $E2: E2 = E \cdot compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, E2', E2'')$ $e2 = compE1 Vs E2' e3 = compE1 Vs E2''$ **and** $bisim Vs E e (lcl s)$
and $sync: \neg contains-insync E2' \neg contains-insync E2''$ **by**(*fastforce*)
moreover note $IH[\text{of } Vs E X] \langle fv E2 \subseteq set Vs, \langle X \subseteq_m [Vs \rightarrow] lcl s \rangle \langle length Vs + max-vars - \leq length (lcl s) \rangle \langle \mathcal{D} E2 [dom X] \rangle$
ultimately obtain $TA' e2' x'$ **where** $IH': sim-move10 P t ta e e' E (hp s) X TA' e2' (hp s') x'$
 $bisim Vs e2' e' (lcl s') x' \subseteq_m [Vs \rightarrow] lcl s']$ **by**(*auto*)
show $?case$
proof(*cases is-val e2'*)
case $True$
from $E2 \langle fv E2 \subseteq set Vs \rangle sync$ **have** $bisim Vs E2' e2 (lcl s') bisim Vs E2'' e3 (lcl s')$ **by** *auto*
with $IH' E2 True sync$ **show** $?thesis$ **by**(*cases is-val E2'*)**(fastforce)**+

next

case $False$ **with** $IH' E2 sync$ **show** $?thesis$ **by**(*fastforce*)
qed

next

case $(CAS1Red2 e s ta e' s' v D F e3 Vs E2 X)$
note $IH = \langle \wedge vs e2 x. [bisim vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2 \subseteq set vs; x \subseteq_m [vs \rightarrow] lcl s]; length vs + max-vars e \leq length (lcl s); \mathcal{D} e2 [dom x]] \Rightarrow ?concl e e' e2 s x ta s' e' vs \rangle$

from $\langle False, compP1 P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta\rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \rangle$ **have** $\neg is-val e$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle bisim Vs E2 - (lcl s) \rangle$ **obtain** $E E2'$
where $E2: E2 = (Val v.compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, E, E2'))$ $e3 = compE1 Vs E2'$ **and** $bisim Vs E e (lcl s)$
and $sync: \neg contains-insync E2'$ **by**(*auto*)
moreover note $IH[\text{of } Vs E X] \langle fv E2 \subseteq set Vs, \langle X \subseteq_m [Vs \rightarrow] lcl s \rangle \langle length Vs + max-vars - \leq length (lcl s) \rangle \langle \mathcal{D} E2 [dom X] \rangle$
ultimately obtain $TA' e2' x'$ **where** $sim-move10 P t ta e e' E (hp s) X TA' e2' (hp s') x'$
 $bisim Vs e2' e' (lcl s') x' \subseteq_m [Vs \rightarrow] lcl s']$ **by**(*auto*)
with $E2 \langle fv E2 \subseteq set Vs \rangle sync$ **show** $?case$
by(*cases is-val e2'*)**(fastforce)**+

next

case $(CAS1Red3 e s ta e' s' v D F v' Vs E2 X)$
note $IH = \langle \wedge vs e2 x. [bisim vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2 \subseteq set vs; x \subseteq_m [vs \rightarrow] lcl s]; length vs + max-vars e \leq length (lcl s); \mathcal{D} e2 [dom x]] \Rightarrow ?concl e e' e2 s x ta s' e' vs \rangle$

from $\langle bisim Vs E2 - (lcl s) \rangle$ **obtain** E
where $E2: E2 = (Val v.compareAndSwap(D \cdot F, Val v', E))$ **and** $bisim Vs E e (lcl s)$ **by**(*fastforce*)
moreover note $IH[\text{of } Vs E X] \langle fv E2 \subseteq set Vs, \langle X \subseteq_m [Vs \rightarrow] lcl s \rangle E2$

```

<length Vs + max-vars - ≤ length (lcl s)> <D E2 [dom X]>
ultimately show ?case by(fastforce)
next
case (Call1Obj e s ta e' s' M es Vs E2 X)
note IH = <A vs e2 x. [ bisim vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2 ⊆ set vs;
x ⊆m [vs [→] lcl s]; length vs + max-vars e ≤ length (lcl s);
D e2 [dom x] ]> ==> ?concl e e' e2 s ta s' e' vs>
from <False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 <e,s> -ta→ <e',s'>> <bisim Vs E2 (e·M(es)) (lcl s)>
obtain E es' where E2: E2 = E·M(es') es = compEs1 Vs es' and bisim Vs E e (lcl s)
and sync: ¬ contains-insyncs es' by(auto elim!: bisim-cases simp add: compEs1-conv-map)
with IH[of Vs E X] <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <X ⊆m [Vs [→] lcl s]>
<length Vs + max-vars (e·M(es)) ≤ length (lcl s)> <D E2 [dom X]>
obtain TA' e2' x' where IH': sim-move10 P t ta e e' E (hp s) X TA' e2' (hp s') x'
bisim Vs e2' e' (lcl s') x' ⊆m [Vs [→] lcl s'] by(fastforce)
with E2 <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <E2 = E·M(es')> sync show ?case
by(cases is-val e2')(auto intro: CallObj)
next
case (Call1Params es s ta es' s' v M Vs E2 X)
note IH = <A vs es2 x. [ bisims vs es2 es (lcl s); fvs es2 ⊆ set vs;
x ⊆m [vs [→] lcl s]; length vs + max-varss es ≤ length (lcl s); Ds es2 [dom x]]>
==> ?concls es es' es2 s ta s' es' vs>
from <bisim Vs E2 (Val v·M(es)) (lcl s)> obtain Es
where E2 = Val v ·M(Es) bisims Vs Es es (lcl s) by(auto)
with IH[of Vs Es X] <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <X ⊆m [Vs [→] lcl s]>
<length Vs + max-vars (Val v·M(es)) ≤ length (lcl s)> <D E2 [dom X]>
<E2 = Val v ·M(Es)> show ?case by(fastforce intro: CallParams)
next
case (Red1CallExternal s a T M Ts Tr D vs ta va h' e' s' Vs E2 X)
from <bisim Vs E2 (addr a·M(map Val vs)) (lcl s)> have E2: E2 = addr a·M(map Val vs) by auto
moreover from <compP1 P ⊢ class-type-of T sees M: Ts→Tr = Native in D>
have P ⊢ class-type-of T sees M: Ts→Tr = Native in D by simp
moreover from <compP1 P,t ⊢ <a·M(vs),hp s> -ta→ext <va,h'>>
have P,t ⊢ <a·M(vs),hp s> -ta→ext <va,h'> by simp
moreover from wf <P,t ⊢ <a·M(vs),hp s> -ta→ext <va,h'>>
have ta-bisim01 (extTA2J0 P ta) (extTA2J1 (compP1 P) ta)
by(rule red-external-ta-bisim01)
moreover note <typeof-addr (hp s) a = [T]> <e' = extRet2J1 (addr a·M(map Val vs)) va> <s' = (h', lcl s)>
moreover from <typeof-addr (hp s) a = [T]> <P,t ⊢ <a·M(vs),hp s> -ta→ext <va,h'>>
<P ⊢ class-type-of T sees M: Ts→Tr = Native in D>
have τexternal-defs D M ==> ta = ε ∧ h' = hp s
by(fastforce dest: τexternal'-red-external-heap-unchanged τexternal'-red-external-TA-empty simp
add: τexternal'-def τexternal-def)
ultimately show ?case using <X ⊆m [Vs [→] lcl s]>
by(fastforce intro!: exI[where x=extTA2J0 P ta] intro: RedCallExternal simp add: map-eq-append-conv
sim-move10-def synthesized-call-def dest: sees-method-fun del: disjCI intro: disjI1 disjI2)
next
case (Block1Red e h x ta e' h' x' V T Vs E2 X)
note IH = <A vs e2 xa. [ bisim vs e2 e (lcl (h, x)); fv e2 ⊆ set vs; xa ⊆m [vs [→] lcl (h, x)];
length vs + max-vars e ≤ length (lcl (h, x)); D e2 [dom xa]]>
==> ?concl e e' e2 (h, x) xa ta (h', x') e' vs>
from <False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 <e,(h, x)> -ta→ <e',(h', x')>>
have length x = length x' by(auto dest: red1-preserves-len)
with <length Vs + max-vars {V:T=None; e} ≤ length (lcl (h, x))>

```

```

have length Vs < length x' by simp
from ⟨bisim Vs E2 {V:T=None; e} (lcl (h, x))⟩
show ?case
proof(cases rule: bisim-cases(14)[consumes 1, case-names BlockNone BlockSome BlockSomeNone])
  case (BlockNone V' E)
    with ⟨fv E2 ⊆ set Vs⟩ have fv E ⊆ set (Vs@[V']) by auto
    with IH[of Vs@[V'] E X(V' := None)] BlockNone ⟨fv E2 ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl (h, x)]⟩
      ⟨length Vs + max-vars {V:T=None; e} ≤ length (lcl (h, x))⟩ ⟨D E2 [dom X]⟩
    obtain TA' e2' X' where IH': sim-move10 P t ta e e' E h (X(V' := None)) TA' e2' h' X'
      bisim (Vs @ [V']) e2' e' x' X' ⊆_m [Vs @ [V'] [→] x']
      by(fastforce simp del: fun-upd-apply)
    { assume V' ∈ set Vs
      hence hidden (Vs @ [V']) (index Vs V') by(rule hidden-index)
      with ⟨bisim (Vs @ [V']) E e (lcl (h, x))⟩ have unmod e (index Vs V')
        by(auto intro: hidden-bisim-unmod)
      moreover from ⟨length Vs + max-vars {V:T=None; e} ≤ length (lcl (h, x))⟩ ⟨V' ∈ set Vs⟩
        have index Vs V' < length x by(auto intro: index-less-aux)
      ultimately have x ! index Vs V' = x' ! index Vs V'
        using red1-preserves-unmod[OF ⟨False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e,(h, x)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', x')⟩⟩]
        by(simp) }
      with ⟨length Vs + max-vars {V:T=None; e} ≤ length (lcl (h, x))⟩
        ⟨X' ⊆_m [Vs @ [V'] [→] x']⟩ ⟨length x = length x'⟩ ⟨X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl (h, x)]⟩
      have rel: X'(V' := X V') ⊆_m [Vs [→] x'] by(auto intro: Block-lem)

      show ?thesis
      proof(cases X' V')
        case None
        with BlockNone IH' rel show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: BlockRed)
      next
        case (Some v)
        with ⟨X' ⊆_m [Vs @ [V'] [→] x']⟩ ⟨length Vs < length x'⟩
          have x' ! length Vs = v by(auto dest: map-le-SomeD)
          with BlockNone IH' rel Some show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: BlockRed)
      qed
    next
      case BlockSome thus ?thesis by simp
    next
      case (BlockSomeNone V' E)
      with ⟨fv E2 ⊆ set Vs⟩ have fv E ⊆ set (Vs@[V']) by auto
      with IH[of Vs@[V'] E X(V' [→] x ! length Vs)] BlockSomeNone ⟨fv E2 ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl (h, x)]⟩
        ⟨length Vs + max-vars {V:T=None; e} ≤ length (lcl (h, x))⟩ ⟨D E2 [dom X]⟩
      obtain TA' e2' X' where IH': sim-move10 P t ta e e' E h (X(V' [→] x ! length Vs)) TA' e2' h' X'
        bisim (Vs @ [V']) e2' e' x' X' ⊆_m [Vs @ [V'] [→] x']
        by(fastforce simp del: fun-upd-apply)
    { assume V' ∈ set Vs
      hence hidden (Vs @ [V']) (index Vs V') by(rule hidden-index)
      with ⟨bisim (Vs @ [V']) E e (lcl (h, x))⟩ have unmod e (index Vs V')
        by(auto intro: hidden-bisim-unmod)
      moreover from ⟨length Vs + max-vars {V:T=None; e} ≤ length (lcl (h, x))⟩ ⟨V' ∈ set Vs⟩
        have index Vs V' < length x by(auto intro: index-less-aux)
      ultimately have x ! index Vs V' = x' ! index Vs V'
        using red1-preserves-unmod[OF ⟨False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨e,(h, x)⟩ −ta→ ⟨e',(h', x')⟩⟩]
        by(simp) }
    
```

```

with <length Vs + max-vars {V:T=None; e} ≤ length (lcl (h, x))>
  <X' ⊆_m [Vs @ [V'] [→] x']> <length x = length x'> <X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl (h, x)]>
have rel: X'(V' := X V') ⊆_m [Vs [→] x'] by(auto intro: Block-lem)
from <sim-move10 P t ta e e' E h (X(V' → x ! length Vs)) TA' e2' h' X'>
obtain v' where X' V' = [v']
  by(auto simp: sim-move10-def split: if-split-asm dest!: τred0t-lcl-incr τred0r-lcl-incr red-lcl-incr
subsetD)
  with <X' ⊆_m [Vs @ [V'] [→] x']> <length Vs < length x'>
  have x' ! length Vs = v' by(auto dest: map-le-SomeD)
  with BlockSomeNone IH' rel <X' V' = [v']>
  show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: BlockRed)
qed
next
case(Block1Some V xs T v e h)
from <bisim vs e2 {V:T=[v]; e} (lcl (h, xs))> obtain e' V' where e2 = {V':T=[v]; e'}
  and V = length vs bisim (vs @ [V']) e' e (xs[length vs := v]) by(fastforce)
moreover have sim-move10 P t ε {length vs:T=[v]; e} {length vs:T=None; e} {V':T=[v]; e'} h
x ε {V':T=[v]; e'} h x
  by(auto)
moreover from <bisim (vs @ [V']) e' e (xs[length vs := v])>
  <length vs + max-vars {V:T=[v]; e} ≤ length (lcl (h, xs))>
have bisim vs {V':T=[v]; e'} {length vs:T=None; e} (xs[length vs := v]) by auto
moreover from <x ⊆_m [vs [→] lcl (h, xs)]> <length vs + max-vars {V:T=[v]; e} ≤ length (lcl (h,
xs))>
have x ⊆_m [vs [→] xs[length vs := v]] by auto
ultimately show ?case by auto
next
case (Lock1Synchronized V xs a e h Vs E2 X)
note len = <length Vs + max-vars (sync_V (addr a) e) ≤ length (lcl (h, xs))>
from <bisim Vs E2 (sync_V (addr a) e) (lcl (h, xs))> obtain E
  where E2: E2 = sync(addr a) E e = compE1 (Vs@[fresh-var Vs]) E
    and sync: ¬ contains-insync E and [simp]: V = length Vs by auto
moreover
have extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ <sync(addr a) E, (h, X)> -{Lock→a, SyncLock a}→ <insync(a) E, (h, X)>
  by(rule LockSynchronized)
moreover from <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> fresh-var-fresh[of Vs] sync len
have bisim Vs (insync(a) E) (insync length Vs (a) e) (xs[length Vs := Addr a])
  unfolding <e = compE1 (Vs@[fresh-var Vs]) E> <E2 = sync(addr a) E>
  by -(rule bisimInSynchronized,rule compE1-bisim, auto)
moreover have zip Vs (xs[length Vs := Addr a]) = (zip Vs xs)[length Vs := (arbitrary, Addr a)]
  by(rule sym)(simp add: update-zip)
hence zip Vs (xs[length Vs := Addr a]) = zip Vs xs by simp
with <X ⊆_m [Vs [→] (lcl (h, xs))]> have X ⊆_m [Vs [→] xs[length Vs := Addr a]]
  by(auto simp add: map-le-def map-upds-def)
ultimately show ?case by(fastforce intro: sim-move10-SyncLocks)
next
case (Synchronized1Red2 e s ta e' s' V a Vs E2 X)
note IH = <¬ ∃ vs e2 x. [ bisim vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2 ⊆ set vs;
  x ⊆_m [vs [→] lcl s]; length vs + max-vars e ≤ length (lcl s);
  D e2 [dom x] ] ⟹ ?concl e e' e2 s x ta s' e' vs>
from <bisim Vs E2 (insync_V (a) e) (lcl s)> obtain E
  where E2: E2 = insync(a) E and bisim: bisim (Vs@[fresh-var Vs]) E e (lcl s)
    and xs: lcl s ! length Vs = Addr a and [simp]: V = length Vs by auto
  with <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> fresh-var-fresh[of Vs] have fv: (fresh-var Vs) ⊈ fv E by auto

```

```

from <length Vs + max-vars (insync_V (a) e) ≤ length (lcl s)> have length Vs < length (lcl s) by
simp
{ assume X (fresh-var Vs) ≠ None
  then obtain v where X (fresh-var Vs) = [v] by auto
  with <X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl s]> have [Vs [→] lcl s] (fresh-var Vs) = [v]
    by(auto simp add: map-le-def dest: bspec)
  hence (fresh-var Vs) ∈ set Vs
    by(auto simp add: map-upds-def set-zip dest!: map-of-SomeD )
  moreover have (fresh-var Vs) ∉ set Vs by(rule fresh-var-fresh)
    ultimately have False by contradiction }
hence X (fresh-var Vs) = None by(cases X (fresh-var Vs), auto)
hence X(fresh-var Vs := None) = X by(auto intro: ext)
moreover from <X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl s]>
have X(fresh-var Vs := None) ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl s], (fresh-var Vs) ↦ (lcl s) ! length Vs by(simp)
ultimately have X ⊆_m [Vs @ [fresh-var Vs] [→] lcl s]
  using <length Vs < length (lcl s)> by(auto)
moreover note IH[of Vs@[fresh-var Vs] E X] bisim E2 <fv E2 ⊆ set Vs> <X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl s]>
<length Vs + max-vars (insync_V (a) e) ≤ length (lcl s)> <D E2 [dom X]>
ultimately obtain TA' e2' x' where IH': sim-move10 P t ta e e' E (hp s) X TA' e2' (hp s') x'
  bisim (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) e2' e' (lcl s') x' ⊆_m [Vs @ [fresh-var Vs] [→] lcl s'] by auto
hence dom x' ⊆ dom X ∪ fv E
  by(fastforce iff del: domIff simp add: sim-move10-def dest: red-dom-lcl τred0r-dom-lcl[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF
wf]] τred0t-dom-lcl[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf]] τred0r-fv-subset[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf]] split:
if-split-asm)
with fv <X (fresh-var Vs) = None> have (fresh-var Vs) ∉ dom x' by auto
hence x' (fresh-var Vs) = None by auto
moreover from <False,compP1 P,t ⊢-ta <e,s> -ta→ <e',s'>>
have length (lcl s) = length (lcl s') by(auto dest: red1-preserves-len)
moreover note <x' ⊆_m [Vs @ [fresh-var Vs] [→] lcl s']> <length Vs < length (lcl s)>
ultimately have x' ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl s'] by(auto simp add: map-le-def dest: bspec)
moreover from bisim fv have unmod e (length Vs) by(auto intro: bisim-fv-unmod)
with <False,compP1 P,t ⊢-ta <e,s> -ta→ <e',s'>> <length Vs < length (lcl s)>
have lcl s ! length Vs = lcl s' ! length Vs
  by(auto dest!: red1-preserves-unmod)
with xsa have lcl s' ! length Vs = Addr a by simp
ultimately show ?case using IH' E2 by(auto intro: SynchronizedRed2)
next
case (Unlock1Synchronized xs V a' a v h Vs E2 X)
from <bisim Vs E2 (insync_V (a) Val v) (lcl (h, xs))>
have E2: E2 = insync(a) Val v V = length Vs xs ! length Vs = Addr a by auto
moreover with <xs ! V = Addr a'> have [simp]: a' = a by simp
have extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ <insync(a) (Val v), (h, X)> -{Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a}→ <Val v, (h, X)>
  by(rule UnlockSynchronized)
ultimately show ?case using <X ⊆_m [Vs [→] lcl (h, xs)]> by(fastforce intro: sim-move10-SyncLocks)
next
case (Unlock1SynchronizedNull xs V a v h Vs E2 X)
from <bisim Vs E2 (insync_V (a) Val v) (lcl (h, xs))>
have V = length Vs xs ! length Vs = Addr a by(auto)
with <xs ! V = Null> have False by simp
thus ?case ..
next
case (Unlock1SynchronizedFail xs V A' a' v h Vs E2 X)
from <False> show ?case ..
next

```

```

case (Red1While b c s Vs E2 X)
from <bisim Vs E2 (while (b) c) (lcl s)> obtain B C
  where E2: E2 = while (B) C b = compE1 Vs B c = compE1 Vs C
  and sync:  $\neg$  contains-insync B  $\neg$  contains-insync C by auto
moreover have extTA2J0 P,P,t  $\vdash$  <(while (B) C, (hp s, X)>  $\rightarrow$  <if (B) (C;;while (B) C) else unit, (hp s, X)>
  by (rule RedWhile)
  hence sim-move10 P t  $\varepsilon$  (while (compE1 Vs B) (compE1 Vs C)) (if (compE1 Vs B) (compE1 Vs C;;while (compE1 Vs B) (compE1 Vs C)) else unit) (while (B) C) (hp s) X  $\varepsilon$  (if (B) (C;;while (B) C) else unit) (hp s) X
  by (auto simp add: sim-move10-def)
moreover from <fv E2  $\subseteq$  set Vs> E2 sync
have bisim Vs (if (B) (C;; while (B) C) else unit)
  (if (compE1 Vs B) (compE1 Vs (C;; while(B) C)) else (compE1 Vs unit)) (lcl s)
  by -(rule bisimCond, auto)
ultimately show ?case using <X  $\subseteq_m$  [Vs  $\mapsto$  lcl s]>
  by (simp)(rule exI, rule exI, erule conjI, auto)
next
case (Red1TryCatch h a D C V x e2 Vs E2 X)
from <bisim Vs E2 (try Throw a catch(C V) e2) (lcl (h, x))>
obtain E2' V' where E2 = try Throw a catch(C V') E2' V = length Vs e2 = compE1 (Vs @ [V']) E2'
  and sync:  $\neg$  contains-insync E2' by (auto)
  with <fv E2  $\subseteq$  set Vs> have fv E2'  $\subseteq$  set (Vs @ [V']) by auto
  with <e2 = compE1 (Vs @ [V']) E2'> sync have bisim (Vs @ [V']) E2' e2 (x[V := Addr a])
  by (auto intro!: compE1-bisim)
  with <V = length Vs> <length Vs + max-vars (try Throw a catch(C V) e2)  $\leq$  length (lcl (h, x))>
  have bisim Vs {V':Class C=[Addr a]; E2'} {length Vs:Class C=None; e2} (x[V := Addr a])
  by (auto intro: bisimBlockSomeNone)
moreover from <length Vs + max-vars (try Throw a catch(C V) e2)  $\leq$  length (lcl (h, x))>
  have [Vs  $\mapsto$  x[length Vs := Addr a]] = [Vs  $\mapsto$  x] by simp
  with <X  $\subseteq_m$  [Vs  $\mapsto$  lcl (h, x)]> have X  $\subseteq_m$  [Vs  $\mapsto$  x[length Vs := Addr a]] by simp
moreover note <e2 = compE1 (Vs @ [V']) E2'> <E2 = try Throw a catch(C V') E2'>
  <typeof-addr h a = [Class-type D]> <compP1 P  $\vdash$  D  $\preceq^*$  C> <V = length Vs>
ultimately show ?case by (auto intro!: exI)
next
case Red1TryFail thus ?case by (auto intro!: exI sim-move10-TryFail)
next
case (List1Red1 e s ta e' s' es Vs ES2 X)
note IH = < $\bigwedge$  vs e2 x. [ bisim vs e2 e (lcl s); fv e2  $\subseteq$  set vs;
  x  $\subseteq_m$  [vs  $\mapsto$  lcl s]; length vs + max-vars e  $\leq$  length (lcl s); D e2 [dom x]]>
   $\implies$   $\exists$  TA' e2' x'. sim-move10 P t ta e e' e2 (hp s) x TA' e2' (hp s') x'  $\wedge$ 
  bisim vs e2' e' (lcl s')  $\wedge$  x'  $\subseteq_m$  [vs  $\mapsto$  lcl s']>
from <bisims Vs ES2 (e # es) (lcl s)> <False,compP1 P,t  $\vdash$  1 <(e,s)>  $\rightarrow$  <(e',s')>
obtain E ES where ES2 = E # ES  $\neg$  is-val E es = compEs1 Vs ES bisim Vs E e (lcl s)
  and sync:  $\neg$  contains-insyncs ES by (auto elim!: bisims-cases simp add: compEs1-conv-map)
with IH[of Vs E X] <fv Es2  $\subseteq$  set Vs> <X  $\subseteq_m$  [Vs  $\mapsto$  lcl s]>
  <length Vs + max-varss (e # es)  $\leq$  length (lcl s)> <D's ES2 [dom X]>
obtain TA' e2' x' where IH': sim-move10 P t ta e e' E (hp s) X TA' e2' (hp s') x'
  bisim Vs e2' e' (lcl s') x'  $\subseteq_m$  [Vs  $\mapsto$  lcl s'] by fastforce
show ?case
proof(cases is-val e2')
  case False
with IH' <ES2 = E # ES> <es = compEs1 Vs ES> sync show ?thesis by (auto intro: sim-moves10-expr)

```

```

next
  case True
    from ⟨fvs ES2 ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨ES2 = E # ES⟩ ⟨es = compEs1 Vs ES⟩ sync
    have bisims Vs ES es (lcl s') by(auto intro: compEs1-bisims)
    with IH' True ⟨ES2 = E # ES⟩ ⟨es = compEs1 Vs ES⟩ show ?thesis by(auto intro: sim-moves10-expr)
  qed
next
  case (List1Red2 es s ta es' s' v Vs ES2 X)
  note IH = ⟨ $\bigwedge vs\ es2\ x.\ [\![\text{bisims}\ vs\ es2\ es\ (lcl\ s);\ fvs\ es2\ \subseteq\ set\ vs;\ x\ \subseteq_m\ [vs\ \mapsto]\ lcl\ s];\ length\ vs + max-varss\ es\ \leq\ length\ (lcl\ s);\ Ds\ es2\ \mid dom\ x]\!]$   

     $\implies \exists TA'\ es2'\ x'. \text{sim-moves10 } P\ t\ ta\ es\ es'\ es2\ (hp\ s)\ x\ TA'\ es2'\ (hp\ s')\ x' \wedge \text{bisims}\ vs\ es2'$   

es' (lcl s')  $\wedge x' \subseteq_m [vs \mapsto] lcl s']$ 
    from ⟨bisims Vs ES2 (Val v # es) (lcl s)⟩ obtain ES where ES2 = Val v # ES bisims Vs ES es (lcl s)  

    by(auto elim!: bisims-cases)
    with IH[of Vs ES X] ⟨fvs ES2 ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨X ⊆m [Vs ↠ lcl s]⟩  

      ⟨length Vs + max-varss (Val v # es) ≤ length (lcl s)⟩ ⟨Ds ES2 ⊆ dom X⟩  

      ⟨ES2 = Val v # ES⟩ show ?case by(fastforce intro: sim-moves10-expr)
next
  case Call1ThrowParams
  thus ?case by(fastforce intro: CallThrowParams elim!: bisim-cases simp add: bisims-map-Val-Throw2)
next
  case (Synchronized1Throw2 xs V a' a ad h Vs E2 X)
  from ⟨bisim Vs E2 (insync_V (a) Throw ad) (lcl (h, xs))⟩
  have xs ! length Vs = Addr a and V = length Vs by auto
  with ⟨xs ! V = Addr a'⟩ have [simp]: a' = a by simp
  have extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ (insync(a) Throw ad, (h, X)) -{Unlock→a, SyncUnlock a}→ (Throw ad, (h, X))  

    by(rule SynchronizedThrow2)
  with ⟨X ⊆m [Vs ↠ lcl (h, xs)]⟩ ⟨bisim Vs E2 (insync_V (a) Throw ad) (lcl (h, xs))⟩  

  show ?case by(auto intro: sim-move10-SyncLocks intro!: exI)
next
  case (Synchronized1Throw2Null xs V a a' h Vs E2 X)
  from ⟨bisim Vs E2 (insync_V (a) Throw a') (lcl (h, xs))⟩
  have V = length Vs xs ! length Vs = Addr a by(auto)
  with ⟨xs ! V = Null⟩ have False by simp
  thus ?case ..
next
  case (Synchronized1Throw2Fail xs V A' a' a h Vs E2 X)
  from ⟨False⟩ show ?case ..
next
  case InstanceOf1Red thus ?case by auto(blast)
next
  case Red1InstanceOf thus ?case by hyps subst-thin auto
next
  case InstanceOf1Throw thus ?case by auto
next
  case CAS1Throw thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case CAS1Throw2 thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case CAS1Throw3 thus ?case by fastforce
qed(simp-all del: fun-upd-apply, (fastforce intro: red-reds.intros simp del: fun-upd-apply simp add: finfun-upd-apply)+)

```

lemma bisim-call-Some-call1:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{bisim } Vs \ e \ e' \ xs; \text{call } e = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor; \text{length } Vs + \text{max-vars } e' \leq \text{length } xs \rrbracket \\ \implies & \exists e'' \ xs'. \taured1'r P t h (e', xs) (e'', xs') \wedge \text{call1 } e'' = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \wedge \\ & \text{bisim } Vs \ e \ e'' \ xs' \wedge \text{take} (\text{length } Vs) \ xs = \text{take} (\text{length } Vs) \ xs' \end{aligned}$$

and bisims-calls-Some-calls1:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{bisims } Vs \ es \ es' \ xs; \text{calls } es = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor; \text{length } Vs + \text{max-varss } es' \leq \text{length } xs \rrbracket \\ \implies & \exists es'' \ xs'. \taured1'r P t h (es', xs) (es'', xs') \wedge \text{calls1 } es'' = \lfloor aMvs \rfloor \wedge \\ & \text{bisims } Vs \ es \ es'' \ xs' \wedge \text{take} (\text{length } Vs) \ xs = \text{take} (\text{length } Vs) \ xs' \end{aligned}$$

proof(induct rule: bisim-bisims.inducts)

case bisimCallParams thus ?case

by(fastforce simp add: is-vals-conv split: if-split-asm)

next

case bisimBlockNone thus ?case by(fastforce intro: take-eq-take-le-eq)

next

case (bisimBlockSome Vs V e e' xs v T)

from <length Vs + max-vars {length Vs: T= [v]; e'} ≤ length xs>

have τred1'r P t h ({length Vs: T= [v]; e'}, xs) ({length Vs: T= None; e'}, xs[length Vs := v])

by(auto intro!: τred1r-1step Block1Some)

also from bisimBlockSome obtain e'' xs'

where τred1'r P t h (e', xs[length Vs := v]) (e'', xs')

and call1 e'' = $\lfloor aMvs \rfloor$ bisim (Vs @ [V]) e e'' xs'

and take (length (Vs @ [V])) (xs[length Vs := v]) = take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs' by auto

hence τred1'r P t h ({length Vs: T= None; e'}, xs[length Vs := v]) ({length Vs: T= None; e''}, xs')

by auto

also from <call1 e'' = $\lfloor aMvs \rfloor$ > have call1 {length Vs: T= None; e''} = $\lfloor aMvs \rfloor$ by simp

moreover from <take (length (Vs @ [V])) (xs[length Vs := v]) = take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs'>

have take (length Vs) xs = take (length Vs) xs'

by(auto dest: take-eq-take-le-eq[where m=length Vs] simp add: take-update-cancel)

moreover {

have xs' ! length Vs = take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs' ! length Vs by simp

also note <take (length (Vs @ [V])) (xs[length Vs := v]) = take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs'>[symmetric]

also have take (length (Vs @ [V])) (xs[length Vs := v]) ! length Vs = v

using <length Vs + max-vars {length Vs: T= [v]; e'} ≤ length xs> by simp

finally have bisim Vs {V: T= [v]; e} {length Vs: T= None; e''} xs'

using <bisim (Vs @ [V]) e e'' xs'> by auto }

ultimately show ?case by blast

next

case (bisimBlockSomeNone Vs V e e' xs v T)

then obtain e'' xs' where τred1'r P t h (e', xs) (e'', xs') call1 e'' = $\lfloor aMvs \rfloor$ bisim (Vs @ [V]) e e'' xs'

and take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs = take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs' by auto

hence τred1'r P t h ({length Vs: T= None; e'}, xs) ({length Vs: T= None; e''}, xs') by auto

moreover from <call1 e'' = $\lfloor aMvs \rfloor$ > have call1 ({length Vs: T= None; e''}) = $\lfloor aMvs \rfloor$ by simp

moreover from <take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs = take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs'>

have take (length Vs) xs = take (length Vs) xs' by(auto intro: take-eq-take-le-eq)

moreover {

have xs' ! length Vs = take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs' ! length Vs by simp

also note <take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs = take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs'>[symmetric]

also have take (length (Vs @ [V])) xs ! length Vs = v using <xs ! length Vs = v> by simp

finally have bisim Vs {V: T= [v]; e} {length Vs: T= None; e''} xs'

using <bisim (Vs @ [V]) e e'' xs'> by auto }

ultimately show ?case by blast

```

next
  case (bisimInSynchronized Vs e e' xs a)
    then obtain e'' xs' where τred1'r P t h (e', xs) (e'', xs') call1 e'' = [aMvs] bisim (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) e e'' xs'
      and take (Suc (length Vs)) xs = take (Suc (length Vs)) xs' by auto
      hence τred1'r P t h (insyncLength Vs (a) e', xs) (insyncLength Vs (a) e'', xs') by auto
      moreover from <call1 e'' = [aMvs]> have call1 (insyncLength Vs (a) e'') = [aMvs] by simp
      moreover from <take (Suc (length Vs)) xs = take (Suc (length Vs)) xs'>
      have take (length Vs) xs = take (length Vs) xs' by(auto intro: take-eq-take-le-eq)
      moreover {
        have xs' ! length Vs = take (Suc (length Vs)) xs' ! length Vs by simp
        also note <take (Suc (length Vs)) xs = take (Suc (length Vs)) xs'>[symmetric]
        also have take (Suc (length Vs)) xs ! length Vs = Addr a
        using <xs ! length Vs = Addr a> by simp
        finally have bisim Vs (insync(a) e) (insyncLength Vs (a) e'') xs'
          using <bisim (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) e e'' xs'> by auto }
      ultimately show ?case by blast
next
  case bisimsCons1 thus ?case by(fastforce intro!: τred1r-inj-τreds1r)
next
  case bisimsCons2 thus ?case by(fastforce intro!: τreds1r-cons-τreds1r)
qed fastforce+

lemma sim-move01-into-Red1:
  sim-move01 P t ta e E' h xs ta' e2' h' xs'
   $\implies$  if τMove0 P h (e, es1)
    then τRed1't P t h ((E', xs), exs2) ((e2', xs'), exs2)  $\wedge$  ta = ε  $\wedge$  h = h'
    else  $\exists$  ex2' exs2' ta'. τRed1'r P t h ((E', xs), exs2) (ex2', exs2')  $\wedge$ 
      (call e = None  $\vee$  call1 E' = None  $\vee$  ex2' = (E', xs)  $\wedge$  exs2' = exs2)  $\wedge$ 
      False, P, t ⊢ 1 <ex2'/exs2', h> -ta' → <(e2', xs')/exs2, h'>  $\wedge$ 
       $\neg$  τMove1 P h (ex2', exs2')  $\wedge$  ta-bisim01 ta ta'
  apply(auto simp add: sim-move01-def intro: τred1t-into-τRed1t τred1r-into-τRed1r red1Red split: if-split-asm)
  apply(fastforce intro: red1Red intro!: τred1r-into-τRed1r)+
  done

lemma sim-move01-max-vars-decr:
  sim-move01 P t ta e0 e h xs ta' e' h' xs'  $\implies$  max-vars e'  $\leq$  max-vars e
  by(fastforce simp add: sim-move01-def split: if-split-asm dest: τred1t-max-vars red1-max-vars-decr τred1r-max-vars)

lemma Red1-simulates-red0:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and red: P, t ⊢ 0 <e1/es1, h> -ta → <e1'/es1', h'>
  and bisiml: bisim-list1 (e1, es1) (ex2, exs2)
  shows  $\exists$  ex2'' exs2''. bisim-list1 (e1', es1') (ex2'', exs2'')  $\wedge$ 
    (if τMove0 P h (e1, es1)
      then τRed1't (compP1 P) t h (ex2, exs2) (ex2'', exs2'')  $\wedge$  ta = ε  $\wedge$  h = h'
      else  $\exists$  ex2' exs2' ta'. τRed1'r (compP1 P) t h (ex2, exs2) (ex2', exs2')  $\wedge$ 
        (call e1 = None  $\vee$  call1 (fst ex2) = None  $\vee$  ex2' = ex2  $\wedge$  exs2' = exs2)  $\wedge$ 
        False, compP1 P, t ⊢ 1 <ex2'/exs2', h> -ta' → <ex2''/exs2'', h'>  $\wedge$ 
         $\neg$  τMove1 P h (ex2', exs2')  $\wedge$  ta-bisim01 ta ta')
    (is  $\exists$  ex2'' exs2''. -  $\wedge$  ?red ex2'' exs2'')
  using red

```

```

proof(cases)
  case (red0Red XS')
    note [simp] = <es1' = es1>
    and red = <extTA2J0 P,P,t ⊢ <e1,(h, Map.empty)> −ta→ <e1',(h', XS')>>
    and notsynth = <∀ aMvs. call e1 = [aMvs] → synthesized-call P h aMvs>
  from bisiml obtain E xs where ex2: ex2 = (E, xs)
    and bisim: bisim [] e1 E xs and fv: fv e1 = {}
    and length: max-vars E ≤ length xs and bsl: bisim-list es1 exs2
    and D: D e1 [|{}|] by(auto elim!: bisim-list1-elim)
  from bisim-max-vars[OF bisim] length red1-simulates-red-aux[OF wf red bisim] fv notsynth
  obtain ta' e2' xs' where sim: sim-move01 (compP1 P) t ta e1 E h xs ta' e2' h' xs'
    and bisim': bisim [] e1' e2' xs' and XS': XS' ⊆m Map.empty by auto
  from sim-move01-into-Red1[OF sim, of es1 exs2]
  have ?red (e2', xs') exs2 unfolding ex2 by auto
  moreover {
    note bsl bisim' moreover
    from fv red-fv-subset[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf] red]
    have fv e1' = {} by simp
    moreover from red D have D e1' [|dom XS'|]
      by(auto dest: red-preserves-defass[OF wf] split: if-split-asm)
    with red-dom-lcl[OF red] <fv e1 = {}> have D e1' [|{}|]
      by(auto elim!: D-mono' simp add: hyperset-defs)
    moreover from sim have length xs = length xs' max-vars e2' ≤ max-vars E
      by(auto dest: sim-move01-preserves-len sim-move01-max-vars-decr)
    with length have length': max-vars e2' ≤ length xs' by(auto)
    ultimately have bisim-list1 (e1', es1) ((e2', xs'), exs2) by(rule bisim-list1I) }
  ultimately show ?thesis using ex2 by(simp split del: if-split)(rule exI conjI|assumption)+
next
  case (red0Call a M vs U Ts T pns body D)
    note [simp] = <ta = ε <h' = h>
    and es1' = <es1' = e1 # es1>
    and e1' = <e1' = blocks (this # pns) (Class D # Ts) (Addr a # vs) body>
    and call = <call e1 = [(a, M, vs)]>
    and ha = <typeof-addr h a = [U]>
    and sees = <P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M: Ts→T = [(pns, body)] in D>
    and len = <length vs = length pns > <length Ts = length pns>
  from bisiml obtain E xs where ex2: ex2 = (E, xs)
    and bisim: bisim [] e1 E xs and fv: fv e1 = {}
    and length: max-vars E ≤ length xs and bsl: bisim-list es1 exs2
    and D: D e1 [|{}|] by(auto elim!: bisim-list1-elim)

  from bisim-call-Some-call1[OF bisim call, of compP1 P t h] length
  obtain e' xs' where red: τred1'r (compP1 P) t h (E, xs) (e', xs')
    and call1 e' = [(a, M, vs)] bisim [] e1 e' xs'
    and take 0 xs = take 0 xs' by auto

  let ?e1' = blocks (this # pns) (Class D # Ts) (Addr a # vs) body
  let ?e2' = blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) (compE1 (this # pns) body)
  let ?xs2' = Addr a # vs @ replicate (max-vars (compE1 (this # pns) body)) undefined-value
  let ?exs2' = (e', xs') # exs2

  have τRed1'r (compP1 P) t h ((E, xs), exs2) ((e', xs'), exs2)
    using red by(rule τred1r-into-τRed1r)
  moreover {

```

```

note <call1 e' = |(a, M, vs)|>
moreover note ha
moreover have compP1 P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M:Ts → T = map-option (λ(pns, body). compE1
(this # pns) body) |(pns, body)| in D
using sees unfolding compP1-def by(rule sees-method-compP)
hence sees': compP1 P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M:Ts → T = |compE1 (this # pns) body| in D by
simp
moreover from len have length vs = length Ts by simp
ultimately have False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 <(e', xs')/exs2,h> −ε→ <(?e2', ?xs2')/?exs2', h> by(rule
red1Call)
moreover have τMove1 P h ((e', xs'), exs2) using <call1 e' = |(a, M, vs)|> ha sees
by(auto simp add: synthesized-call-def τexternal'-def dest: sees-method-fun dest!: τmove1-not-call1[where
P=P and h=h])
ultimately have τRed1' (compP1 P) t h ((e', xs'), exs2) ((?e2', ?xs2'), ?exs2') by auto }
ultimately have τRed1't (compP1 P) t h ((E, xs), exs2) ((?e2', ?xs2'), ?exs2') by(rule rtran-
clp-into-tranclp1)
moreover
{ from red have length xs' = length xs by(rule τred1r-preserves-len)
moreover from red have max-vars e' ≤ max-vars E by(rule τred1r-max-vars)
ultimately have max-vars e' ≤ length xs' using length by simp }
with bsl <bisim [] e1 e' xs'> fv D have bisim-list (e1 # es1) ?exs2'
using <call e1 = |(a, M, vs)|> <call1 e' = |(a, M, vs)|> by(rule bisim-listCons)
hence bisim-list1 (e1', es1') ((?e2', ?xs2'), ?exs2')
unfolding e1' es1'
proof(rule bisim-list1I)
from wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf] sees
have wf-mdecl wwf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, |(pns, body)|) by(rule sees-wf-mdecl)
hence fv': fv body ⊆ set pns ∪ {this} by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
moreover from <P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M: Ts → T = |(pns, body)| in D> have ¬ contains-insync
body
by(auto dest!: sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf] WT-expr-locks simp add: wf-mdecl-def contains-insync-conv)
ultimately have bisim ([this] @ pns) body (compE1 ([this] @ pns) body) ?xs2'
by -(rule compE1-bisim, auto)
with <length vs = length pns> <length Ts = length pns>
have bisim ([] @ [this]) (blocks pns Ts vs body) (blocks1 (Suc 0) Ts (compE1 (this # pns) body))
?xs2'
by -(rule blocks-bisim, auto simp add: nth-append)
from bisimBlockSomeNone[OF this, of Addr a Class D]
show bisim [] ?e1' ?e2' ?xs2' by simp
from fv' len show fv ?e1' = {} by auto

from wf sees
have wf-mdecl wf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, |(pns, body)|) by(rule sees-wf-mdecl)
hence D body |set pns ∪ {this}| by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
with <length vs = length pns> <length Ts = length pns>
have D (blocks pns Ts vs body) |dom [this ↫ Addr a]| by(auto)
thus D ?e1' |{}| by auto

from len show max-vars ?e2' ≤ length ?xs2' by(auto simp add: blocks1-max-vars)
qed
moreover have τMove0 P h (e1, es1) using call ha sees
by(fastforce simp add: synthesized-call-def τexternal'-def dest: sees-method-fun τmove0-callD[where
P=P and h=h])
ultimately show ?thesis using ex2 <call e1 = |(a, M, vs)|>

```

```

by(simp del: τMove1.simps)(rule exI conjI|assumption)+

next
case (red0Return e)
note es1 = ⟨es1 = e # es1'⟩ and e1' = ⟨e1' = inline-call e1 e⟩
and [simp] = ⟨ta = ε⟩ ⟨h' = h⟩
and fin = ⟨final e1⟩
from bisiml es1 obtain E' xs' E xs exs' aMvs where ex2: ex2 = (E', xs') and exs2: exs2 = (E,
xs) # exs'
and bisim': bisim [] e1 E' xs'
and bisim: bisim [] e E xs
and fv: fv e = {}
and length: max-vars E ≤ length xs
and bisiml: bisim-list es1' exs'
and D: D e []
and call: call e = [aMvs]
and call1: call1 E = [aMvs]
by(fastforce elim: bisim-list1-elim)
let ?e2' = inline-call E' E

from ⟨final e1⟩ bisim' have final E' by(auto)
hence red': False, compP1 P,t ⊢⟨ex2/exs2, h⟩ →⟨(?e2', xs)/exs', h⟩
  unfolding ex2 exs2 by(rule red1Return)
moreover have τMove0 P h (e1, es1) = τMove1 P h ((E', xs'), exs2)
  using ⟨final e1⟩ ⟨final E'⟩ by auto
moreover {
  note bisiml
  moreover
  from bisim' fin bisim
  have bisim [] (inline-call e1 e) (inline-call E' E) xs
    using call by(rule bisim-inline-call)(simp add: fv)
  moreover from fv-inline-call[of e1 e] fv fin
  have fv (inline-call e1 e) = {} by auto
  moreover from fin have D (inline-call e1 e) []
    using call D by(rule defass-inline-call)
  moreover have max-vars ?e2' ≤ max-vars E + max-vars E' by(rule inline-call-max-vars1)
  with ⟨final E'⟩ length have max-vars ?e2' ≤ length xs by(auto elim!: final.cases)
  ultimately have bisim-list1 (inline-call e1 e, es1') ((?e2', xs), exs')
    by(rule bisim-list1I) }
ultimately show ?thesis using e1' ⟨final e1⟩ ⟨final E'⟩ ex2
  apply(simp del: τMove0.simps τMove1.simps)
  apply(rule exI conjI impI|assumption)+
  apply(rule tranclp.r-into-trancl, simp)
  apply blast
done
qed

lemma sim-move10-into-red0:
assumes wwf: wwf-J-prog P
and sim: sim-move10 P t ta e2 e2' e h Map.empty ta' e' h' x'
and fv: fv e = {}
shows if τmove1 P h e2
  then (τRed0t P t h (e, es) (e', es) ∨ countInitBlock e2' < countInitBlock e2 ∧ e' = e ∧ x' =
Map.empty) ∧ ta = ε ∧ h = h'
  else ∃ e'' ta'. τRed0r P t h (e, es) (e'', es) ∧

```

```


$$(call1 e2 = None \vee call e = None \vee e'' = e) \wedge$$


$$P, t \vdash_0 \langle e''/es, h \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle e'/es, h' \rangle \wedge$$


$$\neg \tau Move0 P h (e'', es) \wedge ta\text{-bisim01} ta' (\text{extTA2J1} (\text{compP1} P) ta)$$


proof(cases  $\tau move1 P h e2$ )
  case True
    with sim have  $\neg final e2$ 
      and red:  $\tau red0t (\text{extTA2J0} P) P t h (e, \text{Map.empty}) (e', x') \vee$ 
         $countInitBlock e2' < countInitBlock e2 \wedge e' = e \wedge x' = \text{Map.empty}$ 
      and [simp]:  $h' = h$   $ta = \varepsilon$   $ta' = \varepsilon$  by(simp-all add: sim-move10-def)
      from red have  $\tau Red0t P t h (e, es) (e', es) \vee$ 
         $countInitBlock e2' < countInitBlock e2 \wedge e' = e \wedge x' = \text{Map.empty}$ 
    proof
      assume red:  $\tau red0t (\text{extTA2J0} P) P t h (e, \text{Map.empty}) (e', x')$ 
      from  $\tau red0t\text{-fv-subset}[OF wwf red]$   $\tau red0t\text{-dom-lcl}[OF wwf red]$  fv
      have dom  $x' \subseteq \{\}$  by(auto split: if-split-asm)
      hence  $x' = \text{Map.empty}$  by auto
      with red have  $\tau red0t (\text{extTA2J0} P) P t h (e, \text{Map.empty}) (e', \text{Map.empty})$  by simp
      with wwf have  $\tau Red0t P t h (e, es) (e', es)$ 
        using fv by(rule  $\tau red0t\text{-into-}\tau Red0t$ )
      thus ?thesis ..
    qed simp
    with True show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    with sim obtain  $e'' xs''$  where  $\neg final e2$ 
      and  $\tau red: \tau red0r (\text{extTA2J0} P) P t h (e, \text{Map.empty}) (e'', xs'')$ 
      and red:  $\text{extTA2J0} P, P, t \vdash \langle e'', (h, xs'') \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle e', (h', x') \rangle$ 
      and call:  $call1 e2 = \text{None} \vee call e = \text{None} \vee e'' = e$ 
      and  $\neg \tau move0 P h e''$   $ta\text{-bisim01} ta' (\text{extTA2J1} (\text{compP1} P) ta)$  no-call  $P h e''$ 
        by(auto simp add: sim-move10-def split: if-split-asm)
      from  $\tau red0r\text{-fv-subset}[OF wwf \tau red]$   $\tau red0r\text{-dom-lcl}[OF wwf \tau red]$  fv
      have dom  $xs'' \subseteq \{\}$  by(auto)
      hence  $xs'' = \text{Map.empty}$  by(auto)
      with  $\tau red$  have  $\tau red0r (\text{extTA2J0} P) P t h (e, \text{Map.empty}) (e'', \text{Map.empty})$  by simp
      with wwf have  $\tau Red0r P t h (e, es) (e'', es)$ 
        using fv by(rule  $\tau red0r\text{-into-}\tau Red0r$ )
      moreover from red  $\langle xs'' = \text{Map.empty} \rangle$ 
      have  $\text{extTA2J0} P, P, t \vdash \langle e'', (h, \text{Map.empty}) \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle e', (h', x') \rangle$  by simp
      from red0Red[ $OF this$ ]  $\langle \text{no-call } P h e'' \rangle$ 
      have  $P, t \vdash_0 \langle e''/es, h \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle e'/es, h' \rangle$  by(simp add: no-call-def)
      moreover from  $\langle \neg \tau move0 P h e'' \rangle$  red
      have  $\neg \tau Move0 P h (e'', es)$  by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis using False  $\langle ta\text{-bisim01} ta' (\text{extTA2J1} (\text{compP1} P) ta) \rangle$  call
        by(simp del:  $\tau Move0\text{.simps}$ ) blast
    qed

lemma red0-simulates-Red1:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and red:  $\text{False}, \text{compP1} P, t \vdash_1 \langle ex2/exs2, h \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle ex2'/exs2', h' \rangle$ 
  and bisiml: bisim-list1 (e, es) (ex2, exs2)
  shows  $\exists e' es'. \text{bisim-list1} (e', es') (ex2', exs2') \wedge$ 
    (if  $\tau Move1 P h (ex2, exs2)$ 
      then  $(\tau Red0t P t h (e, es) (e', es') \vee countInitBlock (\text{fst } ex2') < countInitBlock (\text{fst } ex2)) \wedge exs2' = exs2 \wedge e' = e \wedge es' = es)$  and

```

$ta = \varepsilon \wedge h = h'$
 $\text{else } \exists e'' es'' ta'. \tau\text{Red0r } P t h (e, es) (e'', es'') \wedge$
 $(call1 (fst ex2) = \text{None} \vee call e = \text{None} \vee e'' = e \wedge es'' = es) \wedge$
 $P, t \vdash 0 \langle e''/es'', h \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle e'/es', h' \rangle \wedge$
 $\neg \tau\text{Move0 } P h (e'', es'') \wedge \text{ta-bisim01 } ta' ta)$
(is $\exists e' es' . - \wedge ?\text{red } e' es')$
using red
proof(cases)
case ($\text{red1Red } E xs TA E' xs'$)
note $red = \langle \text{False}, \text{compP1 } P, t \vdash 1 \langle E, (h, xs) \rangle - TA \rightarrow \langle E', (h', xs') \rangle \rangle$
and $ex2 = \langle ex2 = (E, xs) \rangle$
and $ex2' = \langle ex2' = (E', xs') \rangle$
and [simp] = $\langle ta = extTA2J1 (\text{compP1 } P) TA \rangle \langle exs2' = exs2 \rangle$
from bisiml ex2 **have** bisim: bisim [] e E xs **and** fv: fv e = {}
and length: max-vars E \leq length xs **and** bsl: bisim-list es exs2
and D: D e [|{}|] **by**(auto elim: bisim-list1-elim)
from red-simulates-red1-aux[$OF wf$ red, simplified, OF bisim, of Map.empty] fv length D
obtain $TA' e2' x'$ **where** $red': \text{sim-move10 } P t TA E E' e h \text{Map.empty } TA' e2' h' x'$
and bisim'': bisim [] $e2' E' xs'$ **and** lcl': $x' \subseteq_m \text{Map.empty}$ **by** auto
from red **have** $\neg \text{final } E$ **by** auto
with sim-move10-into-red0[$OF wf$ -prog-wwf-prog[$OF wf$] red', of es] fv ex2 ex2'
have $red'': ?\text{red } e2' es$ **by** fastforce
moreover {
note bsl bisim''
moreover **from** red' fv **have** fv e2' = {}
by(fastforce simp add: sim-move10-def split: if-split-asm dest: $\tau\text{red0r-fv-subset}[OF wf\text{-prog-wwf-prog}[OF wf]] \tau\text{red0t-fv-subset}[OF wf\text{-prog-wwf-prog}[OF wf]] \tau\text{red0t-fv-subset}[OF wf\text{-prog-wwf-prog}[OF wf]] red\text{-fv-subset}[OF wf\text{-prog-wwf-prog}[OF wf]]$)
moreover **from** red' **have** dom $x' \subseteq \text{dom } (\text{Map.empty}) \cup \text{fv } e$
unfolding sim-move10-def
apply(auto split: if-split-asm del: subsetI dest: $\tau\text{red0r-dom-lcl}[OF wf\text{-prog-wwf-prog}[OF wf]] \tau\text{red0t-dom-lcl}[OF wf\text{-prog-wwf-prog}[OF wf]]$)
apply(frule-tac [1-2] $\tau\text{red0r-fv-subset}[OF wf\text{-prog-wwf-prog}[OF wf]]$)
apply(auto dest!: $\tau\text{red0r-dom-lcl}[OF wf\text{-prog-wwf-prog}[OF wf]] red\text{-dom-lcl}$ del: subsetI, blast+)
done
with fv **have** dom $x' \subseteq \{\}$ **by**(auto)
hence $x' = \text{Map.empty}$ **by**(auto)
with D red' **have** D e2' [|{}|]
by(auto dest!: sim-move10-preserves-defass[$OF wf$] split: if-split-asm)
moreover **from** red **have** length xs' = length xs **by**(auto dest: red1-preserves-len)
with red1-max-vars[$OF red$] length
have max-vars E' \leq length xs' **by** simp
ultimately have bisim-list1 (e2', es) ((E', xs'), exs2)
by(rule bisim-list1I)
ultimately show ?thesis **using** ex2'
by(clar simp split: if-split-asm)(rule exI conjI|assumption|simp)+
next
case ($\text{red1Call } E a M vs U Ts T body D xs$)
note [simp] = $\langle ex2 = (E, xs) \rangle \langle h' = h \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle$
and $ex2' = \langle ex2' = (\text{blocks1 } 0 (\text{Class } D \# Ts) \text{ body}, \text{Addr } a \# vs @ \text{replicate } (\text{max-vars body}) \text{ undefined-value}) \rangle$
and $exs' = \langle exs2' = (E, xs) \# exs2 \rangle$
and $call = \langle call1 E = \lfloor (a, M, vs) \rfloor \rangle$ **and** ha = $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor U \rfloor \rangle$
and sees = $\langle \text{compP1 } P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{body} \rfloor \text{ in } D \rangle$
and len = $\langle \text{length } vs = \text{length } Ts \rangle$

```

let ?e2' = blocks1 0 (Class D#Ts) body
let ?xs2' = Addr a # vs @ replicate (max-vars body) undefined-value
from bisiml have bisim: bisim [] e E xs and fv: fv e = {}
and length: max-vars E ≤ length xs and bsl: bisim-list es exs2
and D: D e [] by(auto elim: bisim-list1-elim)

from bisim <call1 E = [(a, M, vs)]>
have call e = [(a, M, vs)] by(rule bisim-call1-Some-call)
moreover note ha
moreover from <compP1 P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M: Ts→T = [body] in D>
obtain pns Jbody where sees': P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M: Ts→T = [(pns, Jbody)] in D
and body: body = compE1 (this # pns) Jbody
by(auto dest: sees-method-compPD)
let ?e' = blocks (this # pns) (Class D # Ts) (Addr a # vs) Jbody
note sees' moreover from wf sees' have length Ts = length pns
by(auto dest!: sees-wf-mdecl simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
with len have length vs = length pns length Ts = length pns by simp-all
ultimately have red': P,t ⊢ 0 <e/es, h> → <?e'/e#es, h> by(rule red0Call)
moreover from <call e = [(a, M, vs)]> ha sees'
have τMove0 P h (e, es)
by(fastforce simp add: synthesized-call-def dest: τmove0-callD[where P=P and h=h] sees-method-fun)
ultimately have τRed0t P t h (e, es) (?e', e#es) by auto
moreover
from bsl bisim fv D length <call e = [(a, M, vs)]> <call1 E = [(a, M, vs)]>
have bisim-list (e # es) ((E, xs) # exs2) by(rule bisim-list.intros)
hence bisim-list1 (?e', e # es) (ex2', (E, xs) # exs2) unfolding ex2'
proof(rule bisim-list1I)
from wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf] sees'
have wf-mdecl wwf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, [(pns, Jbody)]) by(rule sees-wf-mdecl)
hence fv Jbody ⊆ set pns ∪ {this} by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
moreover from sees' have ¬ contains-insync Jbody
by(auto dest!: sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf] WT-expr-locks simp add: wf-mdecl-def contains-insync-conv)
ultimately have bisim ([] @ this # pns) Jbody (compE1 ([] @ this # pns) Jbody) ?xs2'
by -(rule compE1-bisim, auto)
with <length vs = length Ts> <length Ts = length pns> body
have bisim [] ?e' (blocks1 (length ([] :: vname list)) (Class D # Ts) body) ?xs2'
by -(rule blocks-bisim, auto simp add: nth-append nth-Cons')
thus bisim [] ?e' ?e2' ?xs2' by simp
from <length vs = length Ts> <length Ts = length pns> <fv Jbody ⊆ set pns ∪ {this}>
show fv ?e' = {} by auto
from wf sees'
have wf-mdecl wf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, [(pns, Jbody)]) by(rule sees-wf-mdecl)
hence D Jbody ⊆ set pns ∪ {this} by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
with <length vs = length Ts> <length Ts = length pns>
have D (blocks pns Ts vs Jbody) ⊆ dom [this ↦ Addr a] by(auto)
thus D ?e' [] by simp
from len show max-vars ?e2' ≤ length ?xs2' by(simp add: blocks1-max-vars)
qed
moreover have τMove1 P h (ex2, exs2) using ha <call1 E = [(a, M, vs)]> sees'
by(auto simp add: synthesized-call-def τexternal'-def dest!: τmove1-not-call1[where P=P and h=h] dest: sees-method-fun)
ultimately show ?thesis using exs'
by(simp del: τMove1.simps τMove0.simps)(rule exI conjI rtranclp.rtrancl-refl|assumption)+
next

```

```

case (red1Return E' x' E x)
note [simp] =  $\langle h' = h \rangle \langle ta = \varepsilon \rangle$ 
  and ex2 =  $\langle ex2 = (E', x') \rangle$  and exs2 =  $\langle exs2 = (E, x) \# exs2' \rangle$ 
  and ex2' =  $\langle ex2' = (\text{inline-call } E' E, x) \rangle$ 
  and fin =  $\langle \text{final } E' \rangle$ 
from bisiml ex2 exs2 obtain e' es' aMvs where es: es = e' # es'
  and bsl: bisim-list es' exs2'
  and bisim: bisim [] e E' x'
  and bisim': bisim [] e' E x
  and fv: fv e' = {}
  and length: max-vars E ≤ length x
  and D: D e' [| {} |]
  and call: call e' = [| aMvs |]
  and call1: call1 E = [| aMvs |]
  by(fastforce elim!: bisim-list1-elim)

from ⟨final E'⟩ bisim have fin': final e by(auto)
hence P, t ⊢ 0 ⟨e/e' # es', h⟩ → ⟨inline-call e e'/es', h⟩ by(rule red0Return)
moreover from bisim fin' bisim' call
have bisim [] (inline-call e e') (inline-call E' E) x
  by(rule bisim-inline-call)(simp add: fv)
with bsl have bisim-list1 (inline-call e e', es') (ex2', exs2') unfolding ex2'
proof(rule bisim-list1I)
  from fin' fv-inline-call[of e e'] fv show fv (inline-call e e') = {} by auto
  from fin' show D (inline-call e e') [| {} |] using call D by(rule defass-inline-call)

from call1-imp-call[OF call1]
have max-vars (inline-call E' E) ≤ max-vars E + max-vars E'
  by(rule inline-call-max-vars)
with fin length show max-vars (inline-call E' E) ≤ length x by(auto elim!: final.cases)
qed
moreover have τMove1 P h (ex2, exs2) = τMove0 P h (e, es) using ex2 call1 call fin fin' by(auto)
ultimately show ?thesis using es
  by(simp del: τMove1.simps τMove0.simps) blast
qed

end

sublocale J0-J1-heap-base < red0-Red1': FWdelay-bisimulation-base
  final-expr0
  mred0 P
  final-expr1
  mred1' (compP1 P)
  convert-RA
  λt. bisim-red0-Red1
  bisim-wait01
  τMOVE0 P
  τMOVE1 (compP1 P)
  for P
  .

context J0-J1-heap-base begin

lemma delay-bisimulation-red0-Red1:

```

```

assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
shows delay-bisimulation-measure (mred0 P t) (mred1' (compP1 P) t) bisim-red0-Red1 (ta-bisim
(λt. bisim-red0-Red1)) (τMOVE0 P) (τMOVE1 (compP1 P)) (λes es'. False) (λ(((e', xs'), es'), h')(
((e, xs), es), h). countInitBlock e' < countInitBlock e)
(is delay-bisimulation-measure - - - - ?μ1 ?μ2)
proof(unfold-locales)
fix s1 s2 s1'
assume bisim-red0-Red1 s1 s2 red0-mthr.silent-move P t s1 s1'
moreover obtain ex1 exs1 h1 where s1: s1 = ((ex1, exs1), h1) by(cases s1, auto)
moreover obtain ex1' exs1' h1' where s1': s1' = ((ex1', exs1'), h1') by(cases s1', auto)
moreover obtain ex2 exs2 h2 where s2: s2 = ((ex2, exs2), h2) by(cases s2, auto)
ultimately have bisim: bisim-list1 (ex1, exs1) (ex2, exs2)
and heap: h1 = h2
and red: P, t ⊢ 0 ⟨ex1/exs1, h1⟩ −ε→ ⟨ex1'/exs1', h1'⟩
and τ: τMove0 P h1 (ex1, exs1)
by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def red0-mthr.silent-move-iff)
from Red1-simulates-red0[OF wf red bisim] τ
obtain ex2'' exs2'' where bisim': bisim-list1 (ex1', exs1') (ex2'', exs2'')
and red': τRed1't (compP1 P) t h1 (ex2, exs2) (ex2'', exs2'')
and [simp]: h1' = h1 by auto
from τRed1't-into-Red1'-τmthr-silent-movet[OF red'] bisim' heap
have ∃s2'. Red1-mthr.silent-movet False (compP1 P) t s2 s2' ∧ bisim-red0-Red1 s1' s2'
unfolding s2 s1' by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def)
thus bisim-red0-Red1 s1' s2 ∧ ?μ1 ++ s1' s1 ∨ (∃s2'. Red1-mthr.silent-movet False (compP1 P)
t s2 s2' ∧ bisim-red0-Red1 s1' s2') ..
next
fix s1 s2 s2'
assume bisim-red0-Red1 s1 s2 Red1-mthr.silent-move False (compP1 P) t s2 s2'
moreover obtain ex1 exs1 h1 where s1: s1 = ((ex1, exs1), h1) by(cases s1, auto)
moreover obtain ex2 exs2 h2 where s2: s2 = ((ex2, exs2), h2) by(cases s2, auto)
moreover obtain ex2' exs2' h2' where s2': s2' = ((ex2', exs2'), h2') by(cases s2', auto)
ultimately have bisim: bisim-list1 (ex1, exs1) (ex2, exs2)
and heap: h1 = h2
and red: False, compP1 P, t ⊢ 1 ⟨ex2/exs2, h2⟩ −ε→ ⟨ex2'/exs2', h2'⟩
and τ: τMove1 P h2 (ex2, exs2)
by(fastforce simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def Red1-mthr.silent-move-iff) +
from red0-simulates-Red1[OF wf red bisim] τ
obtain e' es' where bisim': bisim-list1 (e', es') (ex2', exs2')
and red': τRed0t P t h2 (ex1, exs1) (e', es') ∨
countInitBlock (fst ex2') < countInitBlock (fst ex2) ∧ exs2' = exs2 ∧ e' = ex1 ∧ es' =
exs1
and [simp]: h2' = h2 by auto
from red'
show bisim-red0-Red1 s1 s2' ∧ ?μ2 ++ s2' s2 ∨ (∃s1'. red0-mthr.silent-movet P t s1 s1' ∧
bisim-red0-Red1 s1' s2')
(is ?refl ∨ ?step)
proof
assume τRed0t P t h2 (ex1, exs1) (e', es')
from τRed0t-into-red0-τmthr-silent-movet[OF this] bisim' heap
have ?step unfolding s1 s2' by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def)
thus ?thesis ..
next
assume countInitBlock (fst ex2') < countInitBlock (fst ex2) ∧ exs2' = exs2 ∧ e' = ex1 ∧ es' =
exs1

```

```

hence ?refl using bisim' heap unfolding s1 s2' s2
  by (auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def split-beta)
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
next
fix s1 s2 ta1 s1'
assume bisim-red0-Red1 s1 s2 and mred0 P t s1 ta1 s1' and τ: ¬τMOVE0 P s1 ta1 s1'
moreover obtain ex1 exs1 h1 where s1: s1 = ((ex1, exs1), h1) by(cases s1, auto)
moreover obtain ex1' exs1' h1' where s1': s1' = ((ex1', exs1'), h1') by(cases s1', auto)
moreover obtain ex2 exs2 h2 where s2: s2 = ((ex2, exs2), h2) by(cases s2, auto)
ultimately have heap: h2 = h1
  and bisim: bisim-list1 (ex1, exs1) (ex2, exs2)
  and red: P,t ⊢ 0 ⟨ex1/exs1,h1⟩ − ta1 → ⟨ex1'/exs1',h1'⟩
    by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def)
from τ have ¬τMove0 P h1 (ex1, exs1) unfolding s1
  using red by(auto elim!: red0.cases dest: red-τ-taD[where extTA=extTA2J0 P, OF extTA2J0-ε])
with Red1-simulates-red0[OF wf red bisim]
obtain ex2'' exs2'' ex2' exs2' ta'
  where bisim': bisim-list1 (ex1', exs1') (ex2'', exs2'')
  and red': τRed1'r (compP1 P) t h1 (ex2, exs2) (ex2', exs2')
  and red'': False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨ex2'/exs2',h1⟩ − ta' → ⟨ex2''/exs2'',h1'⟩
  and τ': ¬τMove1 P h1 (ex2', exs2')
  and ta: ta-bisim01 ta1 ta' by fastforce
from red'' have mred1' (compP1 P) t ((ex2', exs2'), h1) ta' ((ex2'', exs2''), h1') by auto
moreover from τ' have ¬τMOVE1 (compP1 P) ((ex2', exs2'), h1) ta' ((ex2'', exs2''), h1') by
simp
moreover from red' have Red1-mthr.silent-moves False (compP1 P) t s2 ((ex2', exs2'), h1)
  unfolding s2 heap by(rule τRed1'r-into-Red1'-τmthr-silent-moves)
moreover from bisim' have bisim-red0-Red1 ((ex1', exs1'), h1') ((ex2'', exs2''), h1')
  by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def)
ultimately
show ∃ s2' s2'' ta2. Red1-mthr.silent-moves False (compP1 P) t s2 s2' ∧ mred1' (compP1 P) t s2'
ta2 s2'' ∧
  ¬τMOVE1 (compP1 P) s2' ta2 s2'' ∧ bisim-red0-Red1 s1' s2'' ∧ ta-bisim01 ta1 ta2
  using ta unfolding s1' by blast
next
fix s1 s2 ta2 s2'
assume bisim-red0-Red1 s1 s2 and mred1' (compP1 P) t s2 ta2 s2' and τ: ¬τMOVE1 (compP1 P) s2 ta2 s2'
moreover obtain ex1 exs1 h1 where s1: s1 = ((ex1, exs1), h1) by(cases s1, auto)
moreover obtain ex2 exs2 h2 where s2: s2 = ((ex2, exs2), h2) by(cases s2, auto)
moreover obtain ex2' exs2' h2' where s2': s2' = ((ex2', exs2'), h2') by(cases s2', auto)
ultimately have heap: h2 = h1
  and bisim: bisim-list1 (ex1, exs1) (ex2, exs2)
  and red: False,compP1 P,t ⊢ 1 ⟨ex2/exs2,h1⟩ − ta2 → ⟨ex2'/exs2',h2'⟩
    by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def)
from τ heap have ¬τMove1 P h2 (ex2, exs2) unfolding s2
  using red by(fastforce elim!: Red1.cases dest: red1-τ-taD)
with red0-simulates-Red1[OF wf red bisim]
obtain e' es' e'' es'' ta'
  where bisim': bisim-list1 (e', es') (ex2', exs2')
  and red': τRedOr P t h1 (ex1, exs1) (e'', es'')
  and red'': P,t ⊢ 0 ⟨e''/es'',h1⟩ − ta' → ⟨e'/es',h2'⟩
  and τ': ¬τMove0 P h1 (e'', es'')

```

```

and ta: ta-bisim01 ta' ta2 using heap by fastforce
from red'' have mred0 P t ((e'', es''), h1) ta' ((e', es'), h2') by auto
moreover from red' have red0-mthr.silent-moves P t ((ex1, exs1), h1) ((e'', es''), h1)
  by(rule τRed0r-into-red0-τmthr-silent-moves)
moreover from τ' have ¬ τMOVE0 P ((e'', es''), h1) ta' ((e', es'), h2') by simp
moreover from bisim' have bisim-red0-Red1 ((e', es'), h2') ((ex2', exs2'), h2')
  by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def)
ultimately
show ∃ s1' s1'' ta1. red0-mthr.silent-moves P t s1 s1' ∧
  mred0 P t s1' ta1 s1'' ∧ ¬ τMOVE0 P s1' ta1 s1'' ∧
  bisim-red0-Red1 s1'' s2' ∧ ta-bisim01 ta1 ta2
  using ta unfolding s1 s2' by blast
next
  show wfP ?μ1 by auto
next
  have wf (measure countInitBlock) ..
  hence wf (inv-image (measure countInitBlock) (λ(((e', xs'), exs'), h'). e')) ..
  also have inv-image (measure countInitBlock) (λ(((e', xs'), exs'), h'). e') = {(s2', s2). ?μ2 s2' s2}
    by(simp add: inv-image-def split-beta)
  finally show wfP ?μ2 by(simp add: wfP-def)
qed

lemma delay-bisimulation-diverge-red0-Red1:
  assumes wf-J-prog P
  shows delay-bisimulation-diverge (mred0 P t) (mred1' (compP1 P) t) bisim-red0-Red1 (ta-bisim (λt.
  bisim-red0-Red1)) (τMOVE0 P) (τMOVE1 (compP1 P))
proof -
  interpret delay-bisimulation-measure
    mred0 P t mred1' (compP1 P) t bisim-red0-Red1 ta-bisim (λt. bisim-red0-Red1) τMOVE0 P
    τMOVE1 (compP1 P)
    λes es'. False λ(((e', xs'), exs'), h') (((e, xs), exs), h). countInitBlock e' < countInitBlock e
    using assms by(rule delay-bisimulation-red0-Red1)
  show ?thesis by unfold-locales
qed

lemma red0-Red1'-FWweak-bisim:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  shows FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge final-expr0 (mred0 P) final-expr1 (mred1' (compP1 P))
    (λt. bisim-red0-Red1) bisim-wait01 (τMOVE0 P) (τMOVE1 (compP1 P))
proof -
  interpret delay-bisimulation-diverge
    mred0 P t
    mred1' (compP1 P) t
    bisim-red0-Red1
    ta-bisim (λt. bisim-red0-Red1) τMOVE0 P τMOVE1 (compP1 P)
    for t
    using wf by(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-red0-Red1)
  show ?thesis
proof
  fix t and s1 and s2 :: ('addr expr1 × 'addr locals1) × ('addr expr1 × 'addr locals1) list × 'heap
  assume bisim-red0-Red1 s1 s2 (λ(x1, m). final-expr0 x1) s1
  moreover hence (λ(x2, m). final-expr1 x2) s2
    by(cases s1)(cases s2,auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def final-iff elim!: bisim-list1-elim elim:
    bisim-list.cases)

```

ultimately show $\exists s2'. Red1\text{-mthr.silent-moves } False (compP1 P) t s2 s2' \wedge bisim\text{-red0-Red1 } s1 s2' \wedge (\lambda(x2, m). final\text{-expr1 } x2) s2'$
by blast
next
fix $t s1$ **and** $s2 :: ((addr expr1 \times addr locals1) \times (addr expr1 \times addr locals1) list) \times heap$
assume $bisim\text{-red0-Red1 } s1 s2 (\lambda(x2, m). final\text{-expr1 } x2) s2$
moreover hence $(\lambda(x1, m). final\text{-expr0 } x1) s1$
by (cases $s1$) (cases $s2$, auto simp add: $bisim\text{-red0-Red1-def final-iff elim!}: bisim\text{-list1-elim elim: bisim-list.cases}$)
ultimately show $\exists s1'. red0\text{-mthr.silent-moves } P t s1 s1' \wedge bisim\text{-red0-Red1 } s1' s2 \wedge (\lambda(x1, m). final\text{-expr0 } x1) s1'$
by blast
next
fix $t' x m1 x' m2 t x1 x2 x1' ta1 x1'' m1' x2' ta2 x2'' m2'$
assume $b: bisim\text{-red0-Red1 } (x, m1) (x', m2)$
and $bo: bisim\text{-red0-Red1 } (x1, m1) (x2, m2)$
and $\tau red1: red0\text{-mthr.silent-moves } P t (x1, m1) (x1', m1)$
and $red1: mred0 P t (x1', m1) ta1 (x1'', m1')$
and $\tau 1: \neg \tau MOVE0 P (x1', m1) ta1 (x1'', m1')$
and $\tau red2: Red1\text{-mthr.silent-moves } False (compP1 P) t (x2, m2) (x2', m2)$
and $red2: mred1' (compP1 P) t (x2', m2) ta2 (x2'', m2')$
and $bo': bisim\text{-red0-Red1 } (x1'', m1') (x2'', m2')$
and $tb: ta\text{-bisim } (\lambda t. bisim\text{-red0-Red1}) ta1 ta2$
from b **have** $m1 = m2$ **by** (auto simp add: $bisim\text{-red0-Red1-def split-beta}$)
moreover from bo' **have** $m1' = m2'$ **by** (auto simp add: $bisim\text{-red0-Red1-def split-beta}$)
ultimately show $bisim\text{-red0-Red1 } (x, m1') (x', m2')$ **using** b
by (auto simp add: $bisim\text{-red0-Red1-def split-beta}$)
next
fix $t x1 m1 x2 m2 x1' ta1 x1'' m1' x2' ta2 x2'' m2' w$
assume $bisim\text{-red0-Red1 } (x1, m1) (x2, m2)$
and $red0\text{-mthr.silent-moves } P t (x1, m1) (x1', m1)$
and $red0: mred0 P t (x1', m1) ta1 (x1'', m1')$
and $\neg \tau MOVE0 P (x1', m1) ta1 (x1'', m1')$
and $Red1\text{-mthr.silent-moves } False (compP1 P) t (x2, m2) (x2', m2)$
and $red1: mred1' (compP1 P) t (x2', m2) ta2 (x2'', m2')$
and $\neg \tau MOVE1 (compP1 P) (x2', m2) ta2 (x2'', m2')$
and $bisim\text{-red0-Red1 } (x1'', m1') (x2'', m2')$
and $ta\text{-bisim01 } ta1 ta2$
and $Suspend: Suspend w \in set \{ta1\}_w Suspend w \in set \{ta2\}_w$
from $red0 red1 Suspend$ **show** $bisim\text{-wait01 } x1'' x2''$
by (cases $x2'$) (cases $x2''$, auto dest: Red-Suspend-is-call Red1-Suspend-is-call simp add: split-beta
 $bisim\text{-wait01-def is-call-def})$
next
fix $t x1 m1 x2 m2 ta1 x1' m1'$
assume $bisim\text{-red0-Red1 } (x1, m1) (x2, m2)$
and $bisim\text{-wait01 } x1 x2$
and $mred0 P t (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1')$
and $wakeup: Notified \in set \{ta1\}_w \vee WokenUp \in set \{ta1\}_w$
moreover obtain $e0 es0$ **where** [simp]: $x1 = (e0, es0)$ **by** (cases $x1$)
moreover obtain $e0' es0'$ **where** [simp]: $x1' = (e0', es0')$ **by** (cases $x1'$)
moreover obtain $e1 xs1 exs1$ **where** [simp]: $x2 = ((e1, xs1), exs1)$ **by** (cases $x2$) auto
ultimately have $bisim: bisim\text{-list1 } (e0, es0) ((e1, xs1), exs1)$
and $m1: m2 = m1$
and $call: call e0 \neq None call1 e1 \neq None$

```

and red:  $P, t \vdash 0 \langle e0/es0, m1 \rangle - ta1 \rightarrow \langle e0'/es0', m1' \rangle$ 
  by(auto simp add: bisim-wait01-def bisim-red0-Red1-def)
from red wakeup have  $\neg \tau Move0 P m1 (e0, es0)$ 
  by(auto elim!: red0.cases dest: red- $\tau$ -taD[where extTA=extTA2J0 P, simplified])
with Red1-simulates-red0[OF wf red bisim] call m1
show  $\exists ta2 x2' m2'. mred1' (compP1 P) t (x2, m2) ta2 (x2', m2') \wedge bisim-red0-Red1 (x1', m1')$ 
   $(x2', m2') \wedge ta\text{-}bisim01 ta1 ta2$ 
  by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def)
next
  fix t x1 m1 x2 m2 ta2 x2' m2'
  assume bisim-red0-Red1 (x1, m1) (x2, m2)
    and bisim-wait01 x1 x2
    and mred1' (compP1 P) t (x2, m2) ta2 (x2', m2')
    and wakeup: Notified  $\in$  set {ta2}w  $\vee$  WokenUp  $\in$  set {ta2}w
    moreover obtain e0 es0 where [simp]:  $x1 = (e0, es0)$  by(cases x1)
    moreover obtain e1 xs1 exs1 where [simp]:  $x2 = ((e1, xs1), exs1)$  by(cases x2) auto
    moreover obtain e1' xs1' exs1' where [simp]:  $x2' = ((e1', xs1'), exs1')$  by(cases x2') auto
    ultimately have bisim: bisim-list1 (e0, es0) ((e1, xs1), exs1)
      and m1:  $m2 = m1$ 
      and call: call e0  $\neq$  None call1 e1  $\neq$  None
      and red: False, compP1 P, t  $\vdash 1 \langle (e1, xs1)/exs1, m1 \rangle - ta2 \rightarrow \langle (e1', xs1')/exs1', m2' \rangle$ 
      by(auto simp add: bisim-wait01-def bisim-red0-Red1-def)
from red wakeup have  $\neg \tau Move1 P m1 ((e1, xs1), exs1)$ 
  by(auto elim!: Red1.cases dest: red1- $\tau$ -taD)
with red0-simulates-Red1[OF wf red bisim] m1 call
show  $\exists ta1 x1' m1'. mred0 P t (x1, m1) ta1 (x1', m1') \wedge bisim-red0-Red1 (x1', m1') (x2', m2')$ 
   $\wedge ta\text{-}bisim01 ta1 ta2$ 
  by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def)
next
  show  $(\exists x. final\text{-}expr0 x) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. final\text{-}expr1 x)$ 
  by(auto simp add: split-paired-Ex final-iff)
qed
qed

lemma bisim-J0-J1-start:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
and start: wf-start-state P C M vs
shows red0-Red1'.mbisim (J0-start-state P C M vs) (J1-start-state (compP1 P) C M vs)
proof -
  from start obtain Ts T pns body D
    where sees:  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor$  in D
    and conf:  $P, \text{start-heap} \vdash vs \sqsubseteq Ts$ 
    by cases auto
  from conf have vs: length vs = length Ts by(rule list-all2-lengthD)
  from sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf] sees]
  have fvbody: fv body  $\subseteq \{this\} \cup$  set pns and len: length pns = length Ts
    by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
  with vs have fv: fv (blocks pns Ts vs body)  $\subseteq \{this\}$  by auto
  have wfCM: wf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, pns, body)
    using sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf sees] by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
  then obtain T' where wtbody:  $P, [this \# pns \mapsto] \text{Class } D \# Ts \vdash body :: T'$  by auto
  hence elbody: expr-locks body =  $(\lambda l. 0)$  by(rule WT-expr-locks)
  hence cisbody:  $\neg \text{contains-insync body}$  by(auto simp add: contains-insync-conv)
  from wfCM len vs have dabody: D (blocks pns Ts vs body) [ $\{this\}$ ] by auto

```

```

from sees have sees1: compP1 P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T = [compE1 (this # pns) body] in D
  by(auto dest: sees-method-compP[where f=(λC M Ts T (pns, body). compE1 (this # pns) body)])
```

```

let ?e = blocks1 0 (Class C#Ts) (compE1 (this # pns) body)
let ?xs = Null # vs @ replicate (max-vars body) undefined-value
from fvbody cisbody len vs
have bisim [] (blocks (this # pns) (Class D # Ts) (Null # vs) body) (blocks1 (length ([] :: vname
list)) (Class D # Ts) (compE1 (this # pns) body)) ?xs
  by-(rule blocks-bisim,(fastforce simp add: nth-Cons' nth-append)+)
with fv dabody len vs elbody sees sees1
show ?thesis unfolding start-state-def
  by(auto intro!: red0-Red1'.mbisIMI split: if-split-asm)(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def blocks1-max-vars
intro!: bisim-list.intros bisim-list1I wset-thread-okI)
qed
```

```

end
```

```

end
```

7.25 Preservation of well-formedness from source code to intermediate language

theory JJ1WellForm **imports**

.. / J / JWellForm

J1WellForm

Compiler1

begin

The compiler preserves well-formedness. Is less trivial than it may appear. We start with two simple properties: preservation of well-typedness

lemma assumes wf: wf-prog wfmd P

shows compE1-pres-wt: $\llbracket P, [Vs \mapsto] Ts \vdash e :: U; \text{size } Ts = \text{size } Vs \rrbracket \implies \text{compP } f P, Ts \vdash_1 \text{compE1 } Vs e :: U$

and compEs1-pres-wt: $\llbracket P, [Vs \mapsto] Ts \vdash es :: Us; \text{size } Ts = \text{size } Vs \rrbracket \implies \text{compP } f P, Ts \vdash_1 \text{compEs1 } Vs es :: Us$

proof(induct Vs e **and** Vs es arbitrary: Ts U **and** Ts Us rule: compE1-compEs1-induct)

case Var **thus** ?case **by**(fastforce simp:map-upds-apply-eq-Some split:if-split-asm)

next

case LAss **thus** ?case **by**(fastforce simp:map-upds-apply-eq-Some split:if-split-asm)

next

case Call **thus** ?case

by(fastforce dest: sees-method-compP[where f = f])

next

case Block **thus** ?case **by**(fastforce simp:nth-append)

next

case (Synchronized Vs V exp1 exp2 Ts U)

note IH1 = $\langle \bigwedge Ts U. \llbracket P, [Vs \mapsto] Ts \vdash exp1 :: U; \text{length } Ts = \text{length } Vs \rrbracket \implies \text{compP } f P, Ts \vdash_1 \text{compE1 } Vs exp1 :: U \rangle$

$\text{length } Ts = \text{length } Vs \rangle \implies \text{compP } f P, Ts \vdash_1 \text{compE1 } Vs exp1 :: U$

note IH2 = $\langle \bigwedge Ts U. \llbracket P, [(Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) \mapsto] Ts \vdash exp2 :: U; \text{length } Ts = \text{length } (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) \rrbracket \implies \text{compP } f P, Ts \vdash_1 \text{compE1 } (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) exp2 :: U \rangle$

$\text{length } Ts = \text{length } Vs \rangle \implies \text{compP } f P, Ts \vdash_1 \text{compE1 } (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) exp2 :: U$

note length = $\langle \text{length } Ts = \text{length } Vs \rangle$

from $\langle P, [Vs \mapsto] Ts \vdash sync_V (exp1) exp2 :: U \rangle$

```

obtain U1 where wt1:  $P, [Vs \mapsto Ts] \vdash exp1 :: U1$ 
  and wt2:  $P, [Vs \mapsto Ts] \vdash exp2 :: U$ 
  and U1: is-refT U1 U1 ≠ NT
  by(auto)
from IH1[of Ts U1] wt1 length
have wt1': compP f P, Ts ⊢ compE1 Vs exp1 :: U1 by simp
from length fresh-var-fresh[of Vs] have [Vs  $\mapsto$  Ts] ⊆m [Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]  $\mapsto$  Ts @ [Class Object]]
  by(auto simp add: map-le-def fun-upd-def)
with wt2 have P, [Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]  $\mapsto$  Ts @ [Class Object]] ⊢ exp2 :: U
  by(rule wt-env-mono)
with length IH2[of Ts @ [Class Object] U]
have compP f P, Ts @ [Class Object] ⊢ compE1 (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) exp2 :: U by simp
with wt1' U1 show ?case by(auto)
next
  case (TryCatch Vs exp1 C V exp2)
    note IH1 = ⟨⟨Ts U. [P, [Vs  $\mapsto$  Ts] ⊢ exp1 :: U; length Ts = length Vs] ⟩ ⟩ ⟹ compP f P, Ts ⊢ compE1 Vs exp1 :: U
    note IH2 = ⟨⟨Ts U. [P, [(Vs @ [V])  $\mapsto$  Ts] ⊢ exp2 :: U; length Ts = length (Vs @ [V])] ⟩ ⟩ ⟹ compP f P, Ts ⊢ compE1 (Vs @ [V]) exp2 :: U
    note length = ⟨length Ts = length Vs⟩
    with ⟨P, [Vs  $\mapsto$  Ts] ⊢ try exp1 catch(C V) exp2 :: U⟩
    have wt1: P, [Vs  $\mapsto$  Ts] ⊢ exp1 :: U and wt2: P, [(Vs @ [V])  $\mapsto$  (Ts @ [Class C])] ⊢ exp2 :: U
      and C: P ⊢ C ≤* Throwable by(auto simp add: nth-append)
      from wf C have is-class P C by(rule is-class-sub-Throwable)
      with IH1[OF wt1 length] IH2[OF wt2] length show ?case by(auto)
qed(fastforce)+
```

and the correct block numbering:

The main complication is preservation of definite assignment \mathcal{D} .

```

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr and es :: 'addr expr list
  shows A-compE1-None[simp]: A e = None ⟹ A (compE1 Vs e) = None
  and As-compEs1-None: As es = None ⟹ As (compEs1 Vs es) = None
  apply(induct Vs e and Vs es rule: compE1-compEs1-induct)
  apply(auto simp:hyperset-defs)
done

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr and es :: 'addr expr list
  shows A-compE1: [A e = |A]; fv e ⊆ set Vs] ⟹ A (compE1 Vs e) = [|index Vs ` A]
  and As-compEs1: [As es = |A]; fvs es ⊆ set Vs] ⟹ As (compEs1 Vs es) = [|index Vs ` A]
proof(induct Vs e and Vs es arbitrary: A and A rule: compE1-compEs1-induct)
  case (Block Vs V' T vo e)
  hence fv e ⊆ set (Vs @ [V']) by fastforce
  moreover obtain B where A e = |B|
    using Block.preds by(simp add: hyperset-defs)
  moreover from calculation have B ⊆ set (Vs @ [V']) by(auto dest!:A-fv)
  ultimately show ?case using Block
    by(auto simp add: hyperset-defs image-index)
next
  case (Synchronized Vs V exp1 exp2 A)
  have IH1:  $\bigwedge A. [A \exp1 = |A]; fv \exp1 \subseteq set Vs] \implies A (\text{compE1 } Vs \exp1) = [|index Vs ` A]$  by fact
  have IH2:  $\bigwedge A. [A \exp2 = |A]; fv \exp2 \subseteq set (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs])] \implies A (\text{compE1 } (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) \exp2) = [|index (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) ` A]$  by fact
  from ⟨fv (syncV (exp1) exp2) ⊆ set Vs⟩
```

```

have fv1: fv exp1 ⊆ set Vs
  and fv2: fv exp2 ⊆ set Vs by auto
from ⟨A (syncV (exp1) exp2) = [A]⟩ have A: A exp1 ∪ A exp2 = [A] by(simp)
then obtain A1 A2 where A1: A exp1 = [A1] and A2: A exp2 = [A2] by(auto simp add:
hyperset-defs)
from A2 fv2 have A2 ⊆ set Vs by(auto dest: A-fv del: subsetI)
with fresh-var-fresh[of Vs] have (fresh-var Vs) ∉ A2 by(auto)
from fv2 have fv exp2 ⊆ set (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) by auto
with IH2[OF A2] have A (compE1 (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) exp2) = [index (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) ` A2] by(auto)
with IH1[OF A1 fv1] A[symmetric] ⟨A2 ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨(fresh-var Vs) ∉ A2⟩ A1 A2 show ?case
  by(auto simp add: image-index)
next
case (InSynchronized Vs V a exp A)
have IH: ∀A. [|A exp = [A]; fv exp ⊆ set (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs])|] ⇒ A (compE1 (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) exp) = [index (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) ` A] by fact
from ⟨A (insyncV (a) exp) = [A]⟩ have A: A exp = [A] by simp
from ⟨fv (insyncV (a) exp) ⊆ set Vs⟩ have fv: fv exp ⊆ set Vs by simp
from A fv have A ⊆ set Vs by(auto dest: A-fv del: subsetI)
with fresh-var-fresh[of Vs] have (fresh-var Vs) ∉ A by(auto)
from fv IH[OF A] have A (compE1 (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) exp) = [index (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) ` A] by simp
with ⟨A ⊆ set Vs⟩ ⟨(fresh-var Vs) ∉ A⟩ show ?case by(simp add: image-index)
next
case (TryCatch Vs e1 C V' e2)
hence fve2: fv e2 ⊆ set (Vs@[V']) by auto
show ?case
proof (cases A e1)
  assume A1: A e1 = None
  then obtain A2 where A2: A e2 = [A2] using TryCatch
    by(simp add:hyperset-defs)
  hence A2 ⊆ set (Vs@[V']) using TryCatch.preds A-fv[OF A2] by simp blast
  thus ?thesis using TryCatch fve2 A1 A2
    by(auto simp add:hyperset-defs image-index)
next
fix A1 assume A1: A e1 = [A1]
show ?thesis
proof (cases A e2)
  assume A2: A e2 = None
  then show ?case using TryCatch A1 by(simp add:hyperset-defs)
next
fix A2 assume A2: A e2 = [A2]
have A1 ⊆ set Vs using TryCatch.preds A-fv[OF A1] by simp blast
moreover
have A2 ⊆ set (Vs@[V']) using TryCatch.preds A-fv[OF A2] by simp blast
ultimately show ?thesis using TryCatch A1 A2
  by(fastforce simp add: hyperset-defs image-index
    Diff-subset-conv inj-on-image-Int[OF inj-on-index])
qed
qed
next
case (Cond Vs e e1 e2)
{ assume A e = None ∨ A e1 = None ∨ A e2 = None
  hence ?case using Cond by(auto simp add:hyperset-defs image-Un)
}

```

```

}

moreover
{ fix A A1 A2
  assume A e = [A] and A1: A e1 = [A1] and A2: A e2 = [A2]
  moreover
  have A1 ⊆ set Vs using Cond.prem A-fv[OF A1] by simp blast
  moreover
  have A2 ⊆ set Vs using Cond.prem A-fv[OF A2] by simp blast
  ultimately have ?case using Cond
    by(auto simp add:hyperset-defs image-Un
      inj-on-image-Int[OF inj-on-index])
}
ultimately show ?case by fastforce
qed (auto simp add:hyperset-defs)

lemma fixes e :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp and es :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list
  shows D-None [iff]: D e None
  and Ds-None [iff]: Ds es None
  by(induct e and es rule: D.induct Ds.induct)(simp-all)

declare Un-ac [simp]

lemma fixes e :: 'addr expr and es :: 'addr expr list
  shows D-index-compE1: [ A ⊆ set Vs; fv e ⊆ set Vs ] ==> D e [A] ==> D (compE1 Vs e) [index
  Vs ` A]
  and Ds-index-compEs1: [ A ⊆ set Vs; fvs es ⊆ set Vs ] ==> Ds es [A] ==> Ds (compEs1 Vs es)
  [index Vs ` A]
proof(induct e and es arbitrary: A Vs and A Vs rule: D.induct Ds.induct)
  case (BinOp e1 bop e2)
  hence IH1: D (compE1 Vs e1) [index Vs ` A] by simp
  show ?case
  proof(cases A e1)
    case None thus ?thesis using BinOp by simp
  next
    case (Some A1)
    have indexA1: A (compE1 Vs e1) = [index Vs ` A1]
      using A-compE1[OF Some] BinOp.prem by auto
    have A ∪ A1 ⊆ set Vs using BinOp.prem A-fv[OF Some] by auto
    hence D (compE1 Vs e2) [index Vs `(A1 ∪ A)] using BinOp Some by(auto)
    hence D (compE1 Vs e2) [index Vs ` A1 ∪ index Vs ` A]
      by(simp add: image-Un)
    thus ?thesis using IH1 indexA1 by auto
  qed
  next
  case (AAcc a i A Vs)
  have IH1: ∀A Vs. [A ⊆ set Vs; fv a ⊆ set Vs; D a [A]] ==> D (compE1 Vs a) [index Vs ` A] by
  fact
  have IH2: ∀A Vs. [A ⊆ set Vs; fv i ⊆ set Vs; D i [A]] ==> D (compE1 Vs i) [index Vs ` A] by
  fact
  from <D (a[i]) [A]> have D1: D a [A] and D2: D i ([A] ⊔ A a) by auto
  from <fv (a[i]) ⊆ set Vs> have fv1: fv a ⊆ set Vs and fv2: fv i ⊆ set Vs by auto
  show ?case
  proof(cases A a)
    case None thus ?thesis using AAcc by simp
  
```

```

next
  case (Some A1)
    with fv1 have A (compE1 Vs a) = [index Vs ' A1] by-(rule A-compE1)
    moreover from A-fv[OF Some] fv1 <A ⊆ set Vs> have A1 ∪ A ⊆ set Vs by auto
    from IH2[OF this fv2] Some D2 have D (compE1 Vs i) [index Vs ' A1 ∪ index Vs ' A]
      by(simp add: image-Un)
    ultimately show ?thesis using IH1[OF <A ⊆ set Vs> fv1 D1] by(simp)
qed
next
  case (AAss a i e A Vs)
    have IH1: ∀A Vs. [|A ⊆ set Vs; fv a ⊆ set Vs; D a |A|] ⇒ D (compE1 Vs a) [index Vs ' A] by
      fact
    have IH2: ∀A Vs. [|A ⊆ set Vs; fv i ⊆ set Vs; D i |A|] ⇒ D (compE1 Vs i) [index Vs ' A] by
      fact
    have IH3: ∀A Vs. [|A ⊆ set Vs; fv e ⊆ set Vs; D e |A|] ⇒ D (compE1 Vs e) [index Vs ' A] by
      fact
    from <D (a[i]:=e) |A> have D1: D a |A| and D2: D i (|A| ∘ A a)
      and D3: D e (|A| ∘ A a ∘ A i) by auto
    from <fv (a[i]:=e)> ⊆ set Vs
    have fv1: fv a ⊆ set Vs and fv2: fv i ⊆ set Vs and fv3: fv e ⊆ set Vs by auto
    show ?case
  proof(cases A a)
    case None thus ?thesis using AAss by simp
  next
    case (Some A1)
      with fv1 have A1: A (compE1 Vs a) = [index Vs ' A1] by-(rule A-compE1)
      from A-fv[OF Some] fv1 <A ⊆ set Vs> have A1 ∪ A ⊆ set Vs by auto
      from IH2[OF this fv2] Some D2 have D2': D (compE1 Vs i) [index Vs ' A1 ∪ index Vs ' A]
        by(simp add: image-Un)
      show ?thesis
    proof(cases A i)
      case None thus ?thesis using AAss D2' Some A1 by simp
    next
      case (Some A2)
        with fv2 have A2: A (compE1 Vs i) = [index Vs ' A2] by-(rule A-compE1)
        moreover from A-fv[OF Some] fv2 <A1 ∪ A ⊆ set Vs> have A1 ∪ A ∪ A2 ⊆ set Vs by auto
        from IH3[OF this fv3] Some <A a = |A1|> D3
        have D (compE1 Vs e) [index Vs ' A1 ∪ index Vs ' A ∪ index Vs ' A2]
          by(simp add: image-Un Un-commute Un-assoc)
        ultimately show ?thesis using IH1[OF <A ⊆ set Vs> fv1 D1] D2' A1 A2 by(simp)
    qed
  qed
next
  case (FAss e1 F D e2)
  hence IH1: D (compE1 Vs e1) [index Vs ' A] by simp
  show ?case
  proof(cases A e1)
    case None thus ?thesis using FAss by simp
  next
    case (Some A1)
      have indexA1: A (compE1 Vs e1) = [index Vs ' A1]
        using A-compE1[OF Some] FAss.prem by auto
      have A ∪ A1 ⊆ set Vs using FAss.prem A-fv[OF Some] by auto
      hence D (compE1 Vs e2) [index Vs ' (A ∪ A1)] using FAss Some by auto
  
```

```

hence  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e2) \ [index \ Vs \ 'A \cup index \ Vs \ 'A1]$ 
      by(simp add: image-Un)
      thus ?thesis using IH1 indexA1 by auto
qed
next
case (CompareAndSwap e1 D F e2 e3 A Vs)
have IH1:  $\bigwedge A \ Vs. \llbracket A \subseteq set \ Vs; fv \ e1 \subseteq set \ Vs; \mathcal{D} \ e1 \ [A] \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e1) \ [index \ Vs \ 'A]$ 
by fact
have IH2:  $\bigwedge A \ Vs. \llbracket A \subseteq set \ Vs; fv \ e2 \subseteq set \ Vs; \mathcal{D} \ e2 \ [A] \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e2) \ [index \ Vs \ 'A]$ 
by fact
have IH3:  $\bigwedge A \ Vs. \llbracket A \subseteq set \ Vs; fv \ e3 \subseteq set \ Vs; \mathcal{D} \ e3 \ [A] \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e3) \ [index \ Vs \ 'A]$ 
by fact
from < $\mathcal{D}(e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \ [A]$ > have D1:  $\mathcal{D} \ e1 \ [A]$  and D2:  $\mathcal{D} \ e2 \ ([A] \sqcup A \ e1)$ 
and D3:  $\mathcal{D} \ e3 \ ([A] \sqcup A \ e1 \sqcup A \ e2)$  by auto
from < $fv(e1 \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, e2, e3)) \subseteq set \ Vs$ >
have fv1:  $fv \ e1 \subseteq set \ Vs$  and fv2:  $fv \ e2 \subseteq set \ Vs$  and fv3:  $fv \ e3 \subseteq set \ Vs$  by auto
show ?case
proof(cases A e1)
  case None thus ?thesis using CompareAndSwap by simp
next
case (Some A1)
with fv1 have A1:  $\mathcal{A}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e1) = [index \ Vs \ 'A1]$  by-(rule A-compE1)
from A-fv[OF Some] fv1 < $A \subseteq set \ Vs$ > have A1 ∪ A ⊆ set Vs by auto
from IH2[OF this fv2] Some D2 have D2':  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e2) \ [index \ Vs \ 'A1 \cup index \ Vs \ 'A]$ 
by(simp add: image-Un)
show ?thesis
proof(cases A e2)
  case None thus ?thesis using CompareAndSwap D2' Some A1 by simp
next
case (Some A2)
with fv2 have A2:  $\mathcal{A}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e2) = [index \ Vs \ 'A2]$  by-(rule A-compE1)
moreover from A-fv[OF Some] fv2 < $A1 \cup A \subseteq set \ Vs$ > have A1 ∪ A ∪ A2 ⊆ set Vs by auto
from IH3[OF this fv3] Some < $\mathcal{A} \ e1 = [A1]$ > D3
have D:  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e3) \ [index \ Vs \ 'A1 \cup index \ Vs \ 'A \cup index \ Vs \ 'A2]$ 
by(simp add: image-Un Un-commute Un-assoc)
ultimately show ?thesis using IH1[OF < $A \subseteq set \ Vs$ > fv1 D1] D2' A1 A2 by(simp)
qed
qed
next
case (Call e1 M es)
hence IH1:  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e1) \ [index \ Vs \ 'A]$  by simp
show ?case
proof(cases A e1)
  case None thus ?thesis using Call by simp
next
case (Some A1)
have indexA1:  $\mathcal{A}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e1) = [index \ Vs \ 'A1]$ 
using A-compE1[OF Some] Call.preds by auto
have A ∪ A1 ⊆ set Vs using Call.preds A-fv[OF Some] by auto
hence Ds (compEs1 Vs es)  $[index \ Vs \ '(A \cup A1)]$  using Call Some by auto
hence Ds (compEs1 Vs es)  $[index \ Vs \ 'A \cup index \ Vs \ 'A1]$ 
by(simp add: image-Un)
thus ?thesis using IH1 indexA1 by auto

```

```

qed
next
case (Synchronized V exp1 exp2 A Vs)
have IH1:  $\bigwedge A \text{ Vs. } [A \subseteq \text{set Vs}; fv \exp1 \subseteq \text{set Vs}; \mathcal{D} \exp1 [A]] \implies \mathcal{D} (\text{compE1 Vs exp1}) [\text{index Vs} ' A]$  by fact
have IH2:  $\bigwedge A \text{ Vs. } [A \subseteq \text{set Vs}; fv \exp2 \subseteq \text{set Vs}; \mathcal{D} \exp2 [A]] \implies \mathcal{D} (\text{compE1 Vs exp2}) [\text{index Vs} ' A]$  by fact
from < $\mathcal{D} (\text{sync}_V (\exp1) \exp2)$ > have D1:  $\mathcal{D} \exp1 [A]$  and D2:  $\mathcal{D} \exp2 ([A] \sqcup A \exp1)$  by auto
from < $fv (\text{sync}_V (\exp1) \exp2)$ >  $\subseteq \text{set Vs}$  have fv1:  $fv \exp1 \subseteq \text{set Vs}$  and fv2:  $fv \exp2 \subseteq \text{set Vs}$  by auto
show ?case
proof(cases A exp1)
  case None thus ?thesis using Synchronized by(simp)
next
case (Some A1)
with fv1 have A1:  $A (\text{compE1 Vs exp1}) = [\text{index Vs} ' A1]$  by-(rule A-compE1)
from A-fv[ $\text{OF Some}$ ] fv1 < $A \subseteq \text{set Vs}$ > have A ∪ A1 ⊆ set Vs by auto
hence A ∪ A1 ⊆ set (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) by simp
from IH2[ $\text{OF this}$ ] fv2 Some D2
have D2':  $\mathcal{D} (\text{compE1} (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}]) \exp2) [\text{index} (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}]) ' (A \cup A1)]$  by(simp)
moreover from fresh-var-fresh[ $\text{of Vs}$ ] < $A \cup A1 \subseteq \text{set Vs}$ >
have (fresh-var Vs) ∉ A ∪ A1 by auto
with < $A \cup A1 \subseteq \text{set Vs}$ >
have index (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) ' (A ∪ A1) = index Vs ' A ∪ index Vs ' A1
  by(simp add: image-index image-Un)
ultimately show ?thesis using IH1[ $\text{OF } \langle A \subseteq \text{set Vs} \rangle \text{ fv1 D1}$ ] D2' A1 by(simp)
qed
next
case (InSynchronized V a e A Vs)
have IH:  $\bigwedge A \text{ Vs. } [A \subseteq \text{set Vs}; fv e \subseteq \text{set Vs}; \mathcal{D} e [A]] \implies \mathcal{D} (\text{compE1 Vs e}) [\text{index Vs} ' A]$  by fact
from IH[ $\text{of A Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}]$ ] < $A \subseteq \text{set Vs}$ > < $fv (\text{insync}_V (a) e) \subseteq \text{set Vs}$ > < $\mathcal{D} (\text{insync}_V (a) e)$ > [A]
have  $\mathcal{D} (\text{compE1} (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}]) e) [\text{index} (\text{Vs} @ [\text{fresh-var Vs}]) ' A]$  by(auto)
moreover from fresh-var-fresh[ $\text{of Vs}$ ] < $A \subseteq \text{set Vs}$ > have (fresh-var Vs) ∉ A by auto
with < $A \subseteq \text{set Vs}$ > have index (Vs @ [fresh-var Vs]) ' A = index Vs ' A by(simp add: image-index)
ultimately show ?case by(simp)
next
case (TryCatch e1 C V e2)
have  $\llbracket A \cup \{V\} \subseteq \text{set}(Vs@[V]); fv e2 \subseteq \text{set}(Vs@[V]); \mathcal{D} e2 [A \cup \{V\}]\rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\mathcal{D} (\text{compE1} (\text{Vs}@[V]) e2) [\text{index} (\text{Vs}@[V]) ' (A \cup \{V\})]$  by fact
hence  $\mathcal{D} (\text{compE1} (\text{Vs}@[V]) e2) [\text{index} (\text{Vs}@[V]) ' (A \cup \{V\})]$ 
  using TryCatch.preds by(simp add:Diff-subset-conv)
moreover have index (Vs@[V]) ' A ⊆ index Vs ' A ∪ {size Vs}
  using TryCatch.preds by(auto simp add: image-index split;if-split-asm)
ultimately show ?case using TryCatch by(auto simp:hyperset-defs elim!:D-mono')
next
case (Seq e1 e2)
hence IH1:  $\mathcal{D} (\text{compE1 Vs e1}) [\text{index Vs} ' A]$  by simp
show ?case
proof(cases A e1)
  case None thus ?thesis using Seq by simp

```

```

next
  case (Some A1)
    have indexA1:  $\mathcal{A}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e1) = [\text{index } Vs \ 'A1]$ 
      using A-compE1[OF Some] Seq.prems by auto
    have  $A \cup A1 \subseteq \text{set } Vs$  using Seq.prems A-fv[OF Some] by auto
    hence  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e2) [\text{index } Vs \ '(A \cup A1)]$  using Seq Some by auto
    hence  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e2) [\text{index } Vs \ 'A \cup \text{index } Vs \ 'A1]$ 
      by(simp add: image-Un)
    thus ?thesis using IH1 indexA1 by auto
  qed
next
  case (Cond e e1 e2)
    hence IH1:  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e) [\text{index } Vs \ 'A]$  by simp
    show ?case
    proof (cases A e)
      case None thus ?thesis using Cond by simp
next
  case (Some B)
    have indexB:  $\mathcal{A}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e) = [\text{index } Vs \ 'B]$ 
      using A-compE1[OF Some] Cond.prems by auto
    have  $A \cup B \subseteq \text{set } Vs$  using Cond.prems A-fv[OF Some] by auto
    hence  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e1) [\text{index } Vs \ '(A \cup B)]$ 
      and  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e2) [\text{index } Vs \ '(A \cup B)]$ 
      using Cond Some by auto
    hence  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e1) [\text{index } Vs \ 'A \cup \text{index } Vs \ 'B]$ 
      and  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e2) [\text{index } Vs \ 'A \cup \text{index } Vs \ 'B]$ 
      by(simp add: image-Un)
    thus ?thesis using IH1 indexB by auto
  qed
next
  case (While e1 e2)
    hence IH1:  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e1) [\text{index } Vs \ 'A]$  by simp
    show ?case
    proof (cases A e1)
      case None thus ?thesis using While by simp
next
  case (Some A1)
    have indexA1:  $\mathcal{A}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e1) = [\text{index } Vs \ 'A1]$ 
      using A-compE1[OF Some] While.prems by auto
    have  $A \cup A1 \subseteq \text{set } Vs$  using While.prems A-fv[OF Some] by auto
    hence  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e2) [\text{index } Vs \ '(A \cup A1)]$  using While Some by auto
    hence  $\mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e2) [\text{index } Vs \ 'A \cup \text{index } Vs \ 'A1]$ 
      by(simp add: image-Un)
    thus ?thesis using IH1 indexA1 by auto
  qed
next
  case (Block V T vo e A Vs)
    have IH:  $\bigwedge A \ Vs. \ [A \subseteq \text{set } Vs; fv \ e \subseteq \text{set } Vs; \mathcal{D} \ e \ [A]] \implies \mathcal{D}(\text{compE1 } Vs \ e) [\text{index } Vs \ 'A]$  by fact
    from  $\langle fv \{V:T=vo; e\} \subseteq \text{set } Vs \rangle$  have fv:  $fv \ e \subseteq \text{set } (Vs @ [V])$  by auto
    show ?case
    proof (cases vo)
      case None
      with  $\langle \mathcal{D} \{V:T=vo; e\} [A] \rangle$  have D:  $\mathcal{D} \ e \ [A - \{V\}]$  by(auto)

```

```

from <A ⊆ set Vs> have A - {V} ⊆ set (Vs @ [V]) by auto
from IH[OF this fv D] have D (compE1 (Vs @ [V]) e) [index (Vs @ [V]) ` (A - {V})] .
moreover from <A ⊆ set Vs> have size: size Vs ≠ index Vs ` A by(auto simp add: image-def)
hence [index Vs ` (A - {V})] ⊆ [index Vs ` A] by(auto simp add: hyperset-defs)
ultimately have D (compE1 (Vs @ [V]) e) [index Vs ` A] using <A - {V} ⊆ set (Vs @ [V])>
  by(simp add: image-index)(erule D-mono', auto)
thus ?thesis using None size by(simp)

next
  case (Some v)
  with <D {V:T=vo; e} [A]> have D: D e [insert V A] by(auto)
  from <A ⊆ set Vs> have insert V A ⊆ set (Vs @ [V]) by auto
  from IH[OF this fv D] have D (compE1 (Vs @ [V]) e) [index (Vs @ [V]) ` insert V A] by simp
  moreover from <A ⊆ set Vs> have index (Vs @ [V]) ` insert V A ⊆ insert (length Vs) (index Vs
    ` A)
    by(auto simp add: image-index)
  ultimately show ?thesis using Some by(auto elim!: D-mono' simp add: hyperset-defs)
qed

next
  case (Cons-exp e1 es)
  hence IH1: D (compE1 Vs e1) [index Vs ` A] by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases A e1)
    case None thus ?thesis using Cons-exp by simp
  next
    case (Some A1)
    have indexA1: A (compE1 Vs e1) = [index Vs ` A1]
      using A-compE1[OF Some] Cons-exp.preds by auto
    have A ∪ A1 ⊆ set Vs using Cons-exp.preds A-fv[OF Some] by auto
    hence Ds (compEs1 Vs es) [index Vs ` (A ∪ A1)] using Cons-exp Some by auto
    hence Ds (compEs1 Vs es) [index Vs ` A ∪ index Vs ` A1]
      by(simp add: image-Un)
    thus ?thesis using IH1 indexA1 by auto
  qed
qed (simp-all add:hyperset-defs)

declare Un-ac [simp del]

lemma index-image-set: distinct xs ==> index xs ` set xs = {..<size xs}
by(induct xs rule:rev-induct) (auto simp add: image-index)

lemma D-compE1:
  [| D e [set Vs]; fv e ⊆ set Vs; distinct Vs |] ==> D (compE1 Vs e) [|{..<length Vs}|]
by(fastforce dest!: D-index-compE1[OF subset-refl] simp add:index-image-set)

lemma D-compE1':
  assumes D e [set(V#Vs)] and fv e ⊆ set(V#Vs) and distinct(V#Vs)
  shows D (compE1 (V#Vs) e) [|{..length Vs}|]
proof -
  have {..size Vs} = {..<size(V#Vs)} by auto
  thus ?thesis using assms by (simp only:)(rule D-compE1)
qed

lemma compP1-pres-wf: wf-J-prog P ==> wf-J1-prog (compP1 P)
apply simp

```

```

apply(rule wf-prog-compPI)
  prefer 2 apply assumption
  apply(case-tac m)
  apply(simp add:wf-mdecl-def)
  apply(clarify)
  apply(frule WT-fv)
  apply(fastforce intro!: compE1-pres-wt D-compE1' B syncvars-compE1)
done

end
theory Compiler imports Compiler1 Compiler2 begin

definition J2JVM :: 'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-prog
where [code del]: J2JVM  $\equiv$  compP2  $\circ$  compP1

lemma J2JVM-code [code]:
  J2JVM = compP (λC M Ts T (pns, body). compMb2 (compE1 (this#pns) body))
by(simp add: J2JVM-def compP2-def o-def compP-compP split-def)

end

```

7.26 Correctness of both stages

```

theory Correctness
imports
  J0Bisim
  J1Deadlock
  ..../Framework/FWBisimDeadlock
  Correctness2
  Correctness1Threaded
  Correctness1
  JJ1WellForm
  Compiler
begin

locale J-JVM-heap-conf-base =
  J0-J1-heap-base
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  +
  J1-JVM-heap-conf-base
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
    hconf compP1 P
  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr J-prog
begin

definition bisimJ2JVM :: 
  (('addr,'thread-id,'addr expr  $\times$  'addr locals,'heap,'addr) state,
   ('addr,'thread-id,'addr option  $\times$  'addr frame list,'heap,'addr) state) bisim
where bisimJ2JVM = red-red0.mbisim  $\circ_B$  red0-Red1'.mbisim  $\circ_B$  mbisim-Red1'-Red1  $\circ_B$  Red1-execd.mbisim

definition tlsimJ2JVM :: 
  ('thread-id  $\times$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) J-thread-action,
   'thread-id  $\times$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action) bisim
where tlsimJ2JVM = red-red0.mta-bisim  $\circ_B$  red0-Red1'.mta-bisim  $\circ_B$  (=)  $\circ_B$  Red1-execd.mta-bisim

end

lemma compP2-has-method [simp]: compP2 P  $\vdash$  C has M  $\longleftrightarrow$  P  $\vdash$  C has M
by(auto simp add: compP2-def compP-has-method)

locale J-JVM-conf-read =
  J1-JVM-conf-read
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  hconf compP1 P
  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and P :: 'addr J-prog
begin

sublocale J-JVM-heap-conf-base by(unfold-locales)

theorem bisimJ2JVM-weak-bisim:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  shows delay-bisimulation-diverge-final (mredT P) (execd-mthr.redT (J2JVM P)) bisimJ2JVM tl-simJ2JVM
    (red-mthr.mtau-move P) (execd-mthr.mtau-move (J2JVM P)) red-mthr.mfinal exec-mthr.mfinal
  unfolding bisimJ2JVM-def tlsimJ2JVM-def J2JVM-def o-apply
  apply(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-final-compose)
  apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mthr-delay-bisimulation-diverge-final)
  apply(rule red-red0-FWbisim[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf]])
  apply(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-final-compose)
  apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mthr-delay-bisimulation-diverge-final)
  apply(rule red0-Red1'-FWweak-bisim[OF wf])
  apply(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-final-compose)
  apply(rule delay-bisimulation-diverge-final.intro)

```

```

apply(rule bisimulation-into-delay.delay-bisimulation)
apply(rule Red1'-Red1-bisim-into-weak[OF compP1-pres-wf[OF wf]])
apply(rule bisimulation-final.delay-bisimulation-final-base)
apply(rule Red1'-Red1-bisimulation-final[OF compP1-pres-wf[OF wf]])
apply(rule FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mthr-delay-bisimulation-diverge-final)
apply(rule Red1-exec1-FWubisim[OF compP1-pres-wf[OF wf]])
done

lemma bisimJ2JVM-start:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and start: wf-start-state P C M vs
  shows bisimJ2JVM (J-start-state P C M vs) (JVM-start-state (J2JVM P) C M vs)
using assms
unfolding bisimJ2JVM-def J2JVM-def o-def
apply(intro bisim-composeI)
  apply(erule (1) bisim-J-J0-start[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog])
  apply(erule (1) bisim-J0-J1-start)
  apply(erule bisim-J1-J1-start[OF compP1-pres-wf])
  apply simp
  apply(erule bisim-J1-JVM-start[OF compP1-pres-wf])
  apply simp
done

end

fun exception :: 'addr expr × 'addr locals ⇒ 'addr option × 'addr frame list
where exception (Throw a, xs) = ([a], [])
| exception - = (None, [])

definition mexception :: ('addr,'thread-id,'addr expr×'addr locals,'heap,'addr) state ⇒
  ('addr,'thread-id,'addr option×'addr frame list,'heap,'addr) state
where
  Λln. mexception s ≡
    (locks s, (λt. case thr s t of [(e, ln)] ⇒ [(exception e, ln)] | None ⇒ None, shr s), wset s, interrupts s)

declare compP1-def [simp del]

context J-JVM-heap-conf-base begin

lemma bisimJ2JVM-mfinal-mexception:
  assumes bisim: bisimJ2JVM s s'
  and fin: exec-mthr.mfinal s'
  and fin': red-mthr.mfinal s
  and tsNotEmpty: thr s t ≠ None
  shows s' = mexception s
proof -
  obtain ls ts m ws is where s: s = (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) by(cases s) fastforce
  from bisim obtain s0 s1 where bisimJ0: red-red0.mbisim s s0
    and bisim01: red0-Red1'.mbisim s0 s1
    and bisim1JVM: Red1-execd.mbisim s1 s'
    unfolding bisimJ2JVM-def by(fastforce simp add: mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def)

```

```

from bisimJ0 s have [simp]: locks s0 = ls wset s0 = ws interrupts s0 = is
  and tbisimJ0:  $\bigwedge t. \text{red-red0}.tbisim(ws t = \text{None}) t (ts t) m (\text{thr } s0 t) (\text{shr } s0)$ 
    by(auto simp add: red-red0.mbisim-def)
from bisim01 have [simp]: locks s1 = ls wset s1 = ws interrupts s1 = is
  and tbisim01:  $\bigwedge t. \text{red0-Red1}'.tbisim(ws t = \text{None}) t (thr s0 t) (\text{shr } s0) (thr s1 t) (\text{shr } s1)$ 
    by(auto simp add: red0-Red1'.mbisim-def)
from bisim1JVM have locks s' = ls wset s' = ws interrupts s' = is
  and tbisim1JVM:  $\bigwedge t. \text{Red1-execd}.tbisim(ws t = \text{None}) t (\text{thr } s1 t) (\text{shr } s1) (\text{thr } s' t) (\text{shr } s')$ 
    by(auto simp add: Red1-execd.mbisim-def)
then obtain ts' m' where s': s' = (ls, (ts', m'), ws, is) by(cases s') fastforce
{ fix t e x ln
  assume tst: ts t =  $\lfloor((e, x), ln)\rfloor$ 
  from tbisimJ0[of t] tst obtain e' exs' where ts0t: thr s0 t =  $\lfloor((e', exs'), ln)\rfloor$ 
    and bisimtJ0: bisim-red-red0 ((e, x), m) ((e', exs'), shr s0)
      by(auto simp add: red-red0.tbisim-def)
  from tbisim01[of t] ts0t obtain e'' xs'' exs''
    where ts1t: thr s1 t =  $\lfloor(((e'', xs''), exs''), ln)\rfloor$ 
      and bisimt01: bisim-red0-Red1 ((e', exs'), shr s0) (((e'', xs''), exs''), shr s1)
        by(auto simp add: red0-Red1'.tbisim-def)
  from tbisim1JVM[of t] ts1t s' obtain xcp frs
    where ts't: ts' t =  $\lfloor((xcp, frs), ln)\rfloor$  and [simp]: m' = shr s1
      and bisimt1JVM: bisim1-list1 t m' (e'', xs'') exs'' xcp frs
        by(fastforce simp add: Red1-execd.tbisim-def)

  from fin ts't s s' have [simp]: frs = [] by(auto dest: exec-mthr.mfinalD)
  from bisimt1JVM have [simp]: exs'' = [] by(auto elim: bisim1-list1.cases)
  from bisimt01 have [simp]: exs' = []
    by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def elim!: bisim-list1E elim: bisim-list.cases)
  from tst fin' s have fine: final e by(auto dest: red-mthr.mfinalD)
  hence exception (e, x) = (xcp, frs)
  proof(cases)
    fix v
    assume [simp]: e = Val v
    from bisimtJ0 have e' = Val v by(auto elim!: bisim-red-red0.cases)
    with bisimt01 have e'' = Val v by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def elim: bisim-list1E)
    with bisimt1JVM have xcp = None by(auto elim: bisim1-list1.cases)
    thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    fix a
    assume [simp]: e = Throw a
    from bisimtJ0 have e' = Throw a by(auto elim!: bisim-red-red0.cases)
    with bisimt01 have e'' = Throw a by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def elim: bisim-list1E)
    with bisimt1JVM have xcp =  $\lfloor a \rfloor$  by(auto elim: bisim1-list1.cases)
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  moreover from bisimtJ0 have shr s0 = m by(auto elim: bisim-red-red0.cases)
  moreover from bisimt01 have shr s1 = shr s0 by(auto simp add: bisim-red0-Red1-def)
  ultimately have ts't =  $\lfloor(\text{exception } (e, x), ln)\rfloor$  m' = m using ts't by simp-all }
moreover {
  fix t
  assume ts t = None
  with red-red0.mbisim-thrNone-eq[OF bisimJ0, of t] s have thr s0 t = None by simp
  with bisim01 have thr s1 t = None by(auto simp add: red0-Red1'.mbisim-thrNone-eq)
  with bisim1JVM s' have ts't = None by(simp add: Red1-execd.mbisim-thrNone-eq) }

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis using s s' tsNotEmpty by(auto simp add: mexception-def fun-eq-iff)
qed

end

context J-JVM-conf-read begin

theorem J2JVM-correct1:
  fixes C M vs
  defines s: s ≡ J-start-state P C M vs
  and comps: cs ≡ JVM-start-state (J2JVM P) C M vs
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
  and red: red-mthr.mthr.τRuns P s ξ
  obtains ξ'
  where execd-mthr.mthr.τRuns (J2JVM P) cs ξ' tllist-all2 tlsimJ2JVM (rel-option bisimJ2JVM) ξ
  ξ'
  and ∧s'. [ tfinite ξ; terminal ξ = |s'|; red-mthr.mfinal s' ]
    ==> tfinite ξ' ∧ terminal ξ' = |mexception s'|
  and ∧s'. [ tfinite ξ; terminal ξ = |s'|; red-mthr.deadlock P s' ]
    ==> ∃ cs'. tfinite ξ' ∧ terminal ξ' = |cs'| ∧ execd-mthr.deadlock (J2JVM P) cs' ∧ bisimJ2JVM
  s' cs'
  and [ tfinite ξ; terminal ξ = None ] ==> tfinite ξ' ∧ terminal ξ' = None
  and ¬ tfinite ξ ==> ¬ tfinite ξ'
proof -
  from wf wf-start have bisim: bisimJ2JVM s cs unfolding s comps by(rule bisimJ2JVM-start)

  note divfin = delay-bisimulation-diverge-final.delay-bisimulation-diverge[OF bisimJ2JVM-weak-bisim[OF
  wf]]
  note divfin2 = delay-bisimulation-diverge-final.delay-bisimulation-final-base[OF bisimJ2JVM-weak-bisim[OF
  wf]]

  from delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation-τRuns1[OF divfin, OF bisim red] obtain ξ'
  where exec: execd-mthr.mthr.τRuns (J2JVM P) cs ξ'
  and tlsim: tllist-all2 tlsimJ2JVM (rel-option bisimJ2JVM) ξ ξ' by blast
  moreover {
    fix s'
    assume fin: tfinite ξ and s': terminal ξ = |s'| and final: red-mthr.mfinal s'
    from delay-bisimulation-final-base.τRuns-terminate-final1[OF divfin2, OF red exec tlsim fin s' final]
    obtain cs' where fin': tfinite ξ' and cs': terminal ξ' = |cs'|
      and final': exec-mthr.mfinal cs' by blast
    from tlsim fin s' cs' have bisim': bisimJ2JVM s' cs' by(auto dest: tllist-all2-tfinite1-terminalD)
    from red-mthr.mthr.τRuns-into-τrtrancl3p[OF red fin s']
    have thr s' start-tid ≠ None unfolding s
      by(rule red-mthr.τrtrancl3p-redT-thread-not-disappear)(simp add: start-state-def)
    with bisim' final final' have [simp]: cs' = mexception s'
      by(intro bisimJ2JVM-mfinal-mexception disjI1)
    with fin' cs' have tfinite ξ' ∧ terminal ξ' = |mexception s'| by simp }
  moreover {
    fix s'
    assume fin: tfinite ξ and s': terminal ξ = |s'| and dead: red-mthr.deadlock P s'
    from tlsim fin s'
    obtain cs' where tfinite ξ' and cs': terminal ξ' = |cs'|
      and bisim': bisimJ2JVM s' cs'

```

```

by(cases terminal  $\xi'$ )(fastforce dest: tllist-all2-tfinite1-terminalD tllist-all2-tfiniteD)+
from bisim' obtain s0' s1' S1' where bisim0: red-red0.mbisim s' s0'
and bisim01: red0-Red1'.mbisim s0' s1'
and bisim11: mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1' S1'
and bisim12: Red1-execd.mbisim S1' cs'
unfolding bisimJ2JVM-def by auto

note b0 = red-red0-FWbisim[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf]]
note b01 = red0-Red1'-FWweak-bisim[OF wf]
note b01mthr = FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mbisim-delay-bisimulation[OF b01]
note b11 = Red1'-Red1-bisim-into-weak[OF compP1-pres-wf[OF wf]]
note b11delay = bisimulation-into-delay.delay-bisimulation[OF b11]
note b12 = Red1-exec1-FWwbisim[OF compP1-pres-wf[OF wf]]
note b12mthr = FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mbisim-delay-bisimulation[OF b12]

from FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.deadlock1-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlock2[OF b0, OF bisim0 dead, of convert-RA]
obtain s0'' where red0-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s0' s0''
and bisim0': red-red0.mbisim s' s0''
and dead0: red0-mthr.deadlock P s0'' by auto

from delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation-silents1[OF b01mthr, OF bisim01 <red0-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s0' s0''>]
obtain s1'' where Red1-mthr.mthr.silent-moves False (compP1 P) s1' s1''
and red0-Red1'.mbisim s0'' s1'' by auto
from FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.deadlock1-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlock2[OF b01, OF <red0-Red1'.mbisim s0'' s1''>, dead0, of convert-RA]
obtain s1''' where Red1-mthr.mthr.silent-moves False (compP1 P) s1'' s1'''
and dead1: Red1-mthr.deadlock False (compP1 P) s1'''
and bisim01': red0-Red1'.mbisim s0'' s1''' by auto
from <Red1-mthr.mthr.silent-moves False (compP1 P) s1' s1''> <Red1-mthr.mthr.silent-moves False (compP1 P) s1'' s1'''>
have Red1-mthr.mthr.silent-moves False (compP1 P) s1' s1''' by(rule rtranclp-trans)

from delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation-silents1[OF b11delay, OF bisim11 this]
obtain S1'' where Red1-mthr.mthr.silent-moves True (compP1 P) S1' S1''
and bisim11': mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1''' S1'' by auto
from bisim11' have s1''' = S1'' by(simp add: mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def)
with dead1 have dead1': Red1-mthr.deadlock True (compP1 P) S1''
by(simp add: Red1-Red1'-deadlock-inv)

from delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation-silents1[OF b12mthr, OF bisim12 <Red1-mthr.mthr.silent-moves True (compP1 P) S1' S1''>]
obtain cs'' where execd-mthr.mthr.silent-moves (compP2 (compP1 P)) cs' cs''
and Red1-execd.mbisim S1'' cs'' by auto
from FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.deadlock1-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlock2[OF b12 <Red1-execd.mbisim S1'' cs''>, dead1', of convert-RA]
obtain cs''' where execd-mthr.mthr.silent-moves (compP2 (compP1 P)) cs'' cs'''
and bisim12': Red1-execd.mbisim S1'' cs''' by auto
from <execd-mthr.mthr.silent-moves (compP2 (compP1 P)) cs' cs''> <execd-mthr.mthr.silent-moves (compP2 (compP1 P)) cs'' cs'''>
have execd-mthr.mthr.silent-moves (compP2 (compP1 P)) cs' cs''' by(rule rtranclp-trans)
hence cs''' = cs' using execd-mthr.mthr. $\tau$ Runs-terminal-stuck[OF exec <tfinite  $\xi'$ > <terminal  $\xi'$  =
```

```

 $[cs'])]$ 
  by(cases rule: converse-rtranclpE)(fastforce simp add: J2JVM-def) +
  with dead' have execd-mthr.deadlock (J2JVM P) cs' by(simp add: J2JVM-def)
  hence  $\exists cs'. tfinite \xi' \wedge terminal \xi' = [cs'] \wedge execd-mthr.deadlock (J2JVM P) cs' \wedge bisim_{J2JVM} s' cs'$ 
  using ⟨tfinite ξ'⟩ ⟨terminal ξ' = [cs']⟩ bisim' by blast }
  moreover {
    assume tfinite ξ and terminal ξ = None
    hence tfinite ξ' ∧ terminal ξ' = None using tlsm tllist-all2-tfiniteD[OF tlsm]
      by(cases terminal ξ')(auto dest: tllist-all2-tfinite1-terminalD) }
  moreover {
    assume ¬ tfinite ξ
    hence ¬ tfinite ξ' using tlsm by(blast dest: tllist-all2-tfiniteD) }
  ultimately show thesis by(rule that)
qed

```

theorem J2JVM-correct2:

```

fixes C M vs
defines s:  $s \equiv J\text{-start-state } P \ C \ M \ vs$ 
and comps:  $cs \equiv JVM\text{-start-state } (J2JVM \ P) \ C \ M \ vs$ 
assumes wf:  $wf\text{-}J\text{-prog } P$ 
and wf-start:  $wf\text{-start-state } P \ C \ M \ vs$ 
and exec:  $execd\text{-mthr.mthr.}\tau\text{Runs } (J2JVM \ P) \ cs \ \xi'$ 
obtains  $\xi$ 
where  $red\text{-mthr.mthr.}\tau\text{Runs } P \ s \ \xi \ tllist\text{-all2 tlsm}_{J2JVM} (\text{rel-option bisim}_{J2JVM}) \ \xi \ \xi'$ 
and  $\bigwedge cs'. \llbracket tfinite \xi'; terminal \xi' = [cs'] \wedge execd\text{-mthr.mfinal } cs' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists s'. tfinite \xi \wedge terminal \xi = [s'] \wedge cs' = mexception s' \wedge bisim_{J2JVM} s' cs'$ 
and  $\bigwedge cs'. \llbracket tfinite \xi'; terminal \xi' = [cs'] \wedge execd\text{-mthr.deadlock } (J2JVM \ P) \ cs' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists s'. tfinite \xi \wedge terminal \xi = [s'] \wedge red\text{-mthr.deadlock } P \ s' \wedge bisim_{J2JVM} s' cs'$ 
and  $\llbracket tfinite \xi'; terminal \xi' = None \rrbracket \implies tfinite \xi \wedge terminal \xi = None$ 
and  $\neg tfinite \xi' \implies \neg tfinite \xi$ 
proof –
  from wf wf-start have bisim:  $bisim_{J2JVM} s \ cs$  unfolding s comps by(rule bisimJ2JVM-start)

```

```

note divfin = delay-bisimulation-diverge-final.delay-bisimulation-diverge[OF bisimJ2JVM-weak-bisim[OF wf]]
note divfin2 = delay-bisimulation-diverge-final.delay-bisimulation-final-base[OF bisimJ2JVM-weak-bisim[OF wf]]

```

```

from delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation-τRuns2[OF divfin, OF bisim exec] obtain  $\xi$ 
  where red:  $red\text{-mthr.mthr.}\tau\text{Runs } P \ s \ \xi$ 
  and tlsm:  $tllist\text{-all2 tlsm}_{J2JVM} (\text{rel-option bisim}_{J2JVM}) \ \xi \ \xi'$  by blast
  moreover {
    fix cs'
    assume fin:  $tfinite \xi' \wedge cs': terminal \xi' = [cs'] \wedge final: execd\text{-mthr.mfinal } cs'$ 
    from delay-bisimulation-final-base.τRuns-terminate-final2[OF divfin2, OF red exec tlsm fin cs' final]
    obtain s' where fin':  $tfinite \xi \wedge s': terminal \xi = [s']$ 
      and final':  $red\text{-mthr.mfinal } s' \text{ by blast}$ 
    from tlsm fin s' cs' have bisim':  $bisim_{J2JVM} s' cs'$  by(auto dest: tllist-all2-tfinite2-terminalD)
    from red-mthr.mthr.τRuns-into-τrtrancl3p[OF red fin' s']
    have thr s' start-tid ≠ None unfolding s
      by(rule red-mthr.τrtrancl3p-redT-thread-not-disappear)(simp add: start-state-def)
    with bisim' final' have [simp]:  $cs' = mexception s'$ 
  
```

```

by(intro bisimJ2JVM-mfinal-mexception)
with fin' s' bisim' have  $\exists s'. \text{tfinite } \xi \wedge \text{terminal } \xi = [s'] \wedge cs' = mexception s' \wedge \text{bisimJ2JVM}$ 
s' cs' by simp }
moreover {
fix cs'
assume fin: tfinite  $\xi'$  and cs': terminal  $\xi' = [cs']$  and dead': execd-mthr.deadlock (J2JVM P) cs'
from tlsm fin cs'
obtain s' where tfinite  $\xi$  and s': terminal  $\xi = [s']$ 
and bisim': bisimJ2JVM s' cs'
by(cases terminal  $\xi$ )(fastforce dest: tllist-all2-tfinite2-terminalD tllist-all2-tfiniteD)+
from bisim' obtain s0' s1' S1' where bisim0: red-red0.mbisim s' s0'
and bisim01: red0-Red1'.mbisim s0' s1'
and bisim11: mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1' S1'
and bisim12: Red1-execd.mbisim S1' cs'
unfolding bisimJ2JVM-def by auto

note b0 = red-red0-FWbisim[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf]]
note b0mthr = FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mbisim-delay-bisimulation[OF b0]
note b01 = red0-Red1'-FWweak-bisim[OF wf]
note b01mthr = FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mbisim-delay-bisimulation[OF b01]
note b11 = Red1'-Red1-bisim-into-weak[OF compP1-pres-wf[OF wf]]
note b11delay = bisimulation-into-delay.delay-bisimulation[OF b11]
note b12 = Red1-exec1-FWwbisim[OF compP1-pres-wf[OF wf]]

from FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.deadlock2-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlock1[OF b12 bisim12, of convert-RA]
dead'
obtain S1'' where Red1-mthr.mthr.silent-moves True (compP1 P) S1' S1''
and bisim12': Red1-execd.mbisim S1'' cs'
and dead': Red1-mthr.deadlock True (compP1 P) S1'' by(auto simp add: J2JVM-def)
from delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation-silents2[OF b11delay, OF bisim11 <Red1-mthr.mthr.silent-moves
True (compP1 P) S1' S1'>]
obtain s1'' where Red1-mthr.mthr.silent-moves False (compP1 P) s1' s1''
and bisim11': mbisim-Red1'-Red1 s1'' S1'' by blast
from bisim11' have s1'' = S1'' by(simp add: mbisim-Red1'-Red1-def)
with dead' have dead1: Red1-mthr.deadlock False (compP1 P) s1''
by(simp add: Red1-Red1'-deadlock-inv)
from delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation-silents2[OF b01mthr, OF bisim01 <Red1-mthr.mthr.silent-moves
False (compP1 P) s1' s1'>]
obtain s0'' where red0-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s0' s0''
and bisim01': red0-Red1'.mbisim s0'' s1'' by auto
from FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.deadlock2-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlock1[OF b01 bisim01' dead1, of convert-RA]
obtain s0''' where red0-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s0'' s0'''
and bisim01'': red0-Red1'.mbisim s0''' s1''
and dead0: red0-mthr.deadlock P s0''' by auto
from <red0-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s0' s0''> <red0-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s0'' s0'''>
have red0-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s0' s0''' by(rule rtranclp-trans)
from delay-bisimulation-diverge.simulation-silents2[OF b0mthr, OF bisim0 this]
obtain s'' where red-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s' s''
and red-red0.mbisim s'' s0''' by blast
from FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.deadlock2-imp- $\tau$ s-deadlock1[OF b0 <red-red0.mbisim s'' s0'''>
dead0, of convert-RA]
obtain s''' where red-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s'' s'''
and red-red0.mbisim s''' s0'''
```

```

and dead: red-mthr.deadlock P s''' by blast
from ⟨red-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s' s''⟩ ⟨red-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s'' s'''⟩
have red-mthr.mthr.silent-moves P s' s''' by(rule rtranclp-trans)
hence s''' = s' using red-mthr.mthr.τRuns-terminal-stuck[OF red ⟨tfinite ξ⟩ ⟨terminal ξ = [s']⟩]
by(cases rule: converse-rtranclpE) fastforce+
with dead have red-mthr.deadlock P s' by(simp)
hence ∃ s'. tfinite ξ ∧ terminal ξ = [s'] ∧ red-mthr.deadlock P s' ∧ bisimJ2JVM s' cs'
using ⟨tfinite ξ⟩ ⟨terminal ξ = [s']⟩ bisim' by blast }
moreover {
assume tfinite ξ' and terminal ξ' = None
hence tfinite ξ ∧ terminal ξ = None using tlsim tllist-all2-tfiniteD[OF tlsim]
by(cases terminal ξ)(auto dest: tllist-all2-tfinite2-terminalD) }
moreover {
assume ¬ tfinite ξ'
hence ¬ tfinite ξ using tlsim by(blast dest: tllist-all2-tfiniteD) }
ultimately show thesis by(rule that)
qed

end

declare compP1-def [simp]

theorem wt-J2JVM: wf-J-prog P  $\implies$  wf-jvm-prog (J2JVM P)
unfolding J2JVM-def o-def
by(rule wt-compP2)(rule compP1-pres-wf)

end
theory Preprocessor
imports
  PCompiler
  ..../J/Annotate
  ..../J/JWellForm
begin

primrec annotate-Mb :: 
  'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  ty list  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  (vname list  $\times$  'addr expr)  $\Rightarrow$  (vname list  $\times$  'addr expr)
  where annotate-Mb P C M Ts T (pns, e) = (pns, annotate P [this # pns [ $\mapsto$ ] Class C # Ts] e)
  declare annotate-Mb.simps [simp del]

primrec annotate-Mb-code :: 
  'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  ty list  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  (vname list  $\times$  'addr expr)  $\Rightarrow$  (vname list  $\times$  'addr expr)
  where annotate-Mb-code P C M Ts T (pns, e) = (pns, annotate-code P [this # pns [ $\mapsto$ ] Class C # Ts] e)
  declare annotate-Mb-code.simps [simp del]

definition annotate-prog :: 'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr J-prog
where annotate-prog P = compP (annotate-Mb P) P

definition annotate-prog-code :: 'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr J-prog
where annotate-prog-code P = compP (annotate-Mb-code P) P

lemma fixes is-lub

```

```

shows WT-compP: is-lub,P,E ⊢ e :: T ==> is-lub,compP f P,E ⊢ e :: T
and WTs-compP: is-lub,P,E ⊢ es [::] Ts ==> is-lub,compP f P,E ⊢ es [::] Ts
proof(induct rule: WT-WTs.inducts)
  case (WTCall E e U C M Ts T meth D es Ts')
  from ⟨P ⊢ C sees M: Ts → T = meth in D⟩
  have compP f P ⊢ C sees M: Ts → T = map-option (f D M Ts T) meth in D
    by(auto dest: sees-method-compP[where f=f])
  with WTCall show ?case by(auto)
qed(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)

lemma fixes is-lub
  shows Anno-compP: is-lub,P,E ⊢ e ~ e' ==> is-lub,compP f P,E ⊢ e ~ e'
  and Annos-compP: is-lub,P,E ⊢ es [~] es' ==> is-lub,compP f P,E ⊢ es [~] es'
apply(induct rule: Anno-Annos.inducts)
apply(auto intro: Anno-Annos.intros simp del: fun-upd-apply dest: WT-compP simp add: compC-def)
done

lemma annotate-prog-code-eq-annotate-prog:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog (annotate-prog-code P)
  shows annotate-prog-code P = annotate-prog P
proof -
  let ?wf-md = λ- - (-,-,-,-,body). set (block-types body) ⊆ types P
  from wf have wf-prog ?wf-md (annotate-prog-code P)
    unfolding annotate-prog-code-def
    by(rule wf-prog-lift)(auto dest!: WT-block-types-is-type[OF wf[unfolded annotate-prog-code-def]])
  simp add: wf-J-mdecl-def
  hence wf': wf-prog ?wf-md P
    unfolding annotate-prog-code-def [abs-def]
  proof(rule wf-prog-compPD)
    fix C M Ts T m
    assume compP (annotate-Mb-code P) P ⊢ C sees M: Ts → T = [annotate-Mb-code P C M Ts T m] in C
      and wf-mdecl ?wf-md (compP (annotate-Mb-code P) P) C (M, Ts, T, [annotate-Mb-code P C M Ts T m])
      moreover obtain pns body where m = (pns, body) by(cases m)
      ultimately show wf-mdecl ?wf-md P C (M, Ts, T, [m])
        by(fastforce simp add: annotate-Mb-code-def annotate-code-def wf-mdecl-def THE-default-def the-equality Anno-code-def split: if-split-asm dest: Anno-block-types)
  qed

{ fix C D fs ms M Ts T pns body
  assume (C, D, fs, ms) ∈ set (classes P)
    and (M, Ts, T, [(pns, body)]) ∈ set ms
  from ⟨(C, D, fs, ms) ∈ set (classes P)⟩ have class P C = [(D, fs, ms)] using wf'
    by(cases P)(auto simp add: wf-prog-def dest: map-of-SomeI)
  with wf' have sees: P ⊢ C sees M: Ts → T = [(pns, body)] in C
    using ⟨(M, Ts, T, [(pns, body)]) ∈ set ms⟩ by(rule mdecl-visible)

  from sees-method-compP[OF this, where f=annotate-Mb-code P]
  have sees': annotate-prog-code P ⊢ C sees M: Ts → T = [(pns, annotate-code P [this ↪ Class C, pns [→] Ts] body)] in C
    unfolding annotate-prog-code-def annotate-Mb-code-def by(auto)
  with wf
  have wf-mdecl wf-J-mdecl (annotate-prog-code P) C (M, Ts, T, [(pns, annotate-code P [this ↪
```

```

Class C, pns [→] Ts] body)])
  by(rule sees-wf-mdecl)
  hence set Ts ⊆ types P by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def annotate-prog-code-def)
  moreover from sees have is-class P C by(rule sees-method-is-class)
  moreover from wf' sees have wf-mdecl ?wf-md P C (M, Ts, T, [(pns, body)]) by(rule sees-wf-mdecl)
  hence set (block-types body) ⊆ types P by(simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
  ultimately have ran [this ↪ Class C, pns [→] Ts] ∪ set (block-types body) ⊆ types P
    by(auto simp add: ran-def wf-mdecl-def map-upds-def split: if-split-asm dest!: map-of-SomeD
      set-zip-rightD)
    hence annotate-code P [this ↪ Class C, pns [→] Ts] body = annotate P [this ↪ Class C, pns [→]
      Ts] body
      unfolding annotate-code-def annotate-def
      by -(rule arg-cong[where f=THE-default body], auto intro!: ext intro: Anno-code-into-Anno[OF
        wf'] Anno-into-Anno-code[OF wf']) }
      thus ?thesis unfolding annotate-prog-code-def annotate-prog-def
        by(cases P)(auto simp add: compC-def compM-def annotate-Mb-def annotate-Mb-code-def map-option-case)
qed

end
theory Compiler-Main
imports
  J0
  Correctness
  Preprocessor
begin

end

```

Chapter 8

Memory Models

```
theory MM
imports
  ..../Common/Heap
begin

type-synonym addr = nat
type-synonym thread-id = addr

abbreviation (input)
  addr2thread-id :: addr ⇒ thread-id
where addr2thread-id ≡ λx. x

abbreviation (input)
  thread-id2addr :: thread-id ⇒ addr
where thread-id2addr ≡ λx. x

instantiation nat :: addr begin
definition hash-addr ≡ int
definition monitor-finfun-to-list ≡ (finfun-to-list :: nat ⇒f nat ⇒ nat list)
instance
by(intro-classes)(simp add: monitor-finfun-to-list-nat-def)
end

definition new-Addr :: (addr → 'b) ⇒ addr option
where new-Addr h ≡ if ∃ a. h a = None then Some(LEAST a. h a = None) else None

lemma new-Addr-SomeD:
  new-Addr h = Some a ⇒ h a = None
by(auto simp add:new-Addr-def split;if-splits intro: LeastI)

lemma new-Addr-SomeI:
  finite (dom h) ⇒ ∃ a. new-Addr h = Some a
by(simp add: new-Addr-def) (metis finite-map-freshness infinite-UNIV-nat)
```

8.0.1 Code generation

```
definition gen-new-Addr :: (addr → 'b) ⇒ addr ⇒ addr option
where gen-new-Addr h n ≡ if ∃ a. a ≥ n ∧ h a = None then Some(LEAST a. a ≥ n ∧ h a = None)
else None
```

```

lemma new-Addr-code-code [code]:
  new-Addr h = gen-new-Addr h 0
by(simp add: new-Addr-def gen-new-Addr-def)

lemma gen-new-Addr-code [code]:
  gen-new-Addr h n = (if h n = None then Some n else gen-new-Addr h (Suc n))
apply(simp add: gen-new-Addr-def)
apply(rule impI)
apply(rule conjI)
apply safe[1]
  apply(auto intro: Least-equality)[2]
  apply(rule arg-cong[where f=Least])
  apply(rule ext)
  apply auto[1]
  apply(case-tac n = ab)
    apply simp
    apply simp
  apply clarify
  apply(subgoal-tac a = n)
    apply simp
    apply(rule Least-equality)
    apply auto[2]
    apply(rule ccontr)
    apply(erule-tac x=a in allE)
  apply simp
done

end

```

8.1 Sequential consistency

```

theory SC
imports
  ..../Common/Conform
  MM
begin

```

8.1.1 Objects and Arrays

type-synonym

fields = *vname* × *cname* → *addr val* — field name, defining class, value

type-synonym

cells = *addr val list*

datatype heapobj

= *Obj cname fields*

— class instance with class name and fields

| *Arr ty fields cells*

— element type, fields (from object), and list of each cell's content

lemma rec-heapobj [simp]: rec-heapobj = case-heapobj

```

by(auto intro!: ext split: heapobj.split)

primrec obj-ty :: heapobj  $\Rightarrow$  htype
where
  obj-ty (Obj C f) = Class-type C
  | obj-ty (Arr T fs cs) = Array-type T (length cs)

fun is-Arr :: heapobj  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-Arr (Obj C fs) = False
  | is-Arr (Arr T f el) = True

lemma is-Arr-conv:
  is-Arr arrobj = ( $\exists T f el.$  arrobj = Arr T f el)
by(cases arrobj, auto)

lemma is-ArrE:
  [ $\llbracket$  is-Arr arrobj;  $\wedge T f el.$  arrobj = Arr T f el  $\implies$  thesis  $\rrbracket \implies$  thesis
  [ $\neg$  is-Arr arrobj;  $\wedge C fs.$  arrobj = Obj C fs  $\implies$  thesis  $\rrbracket \implies$  thesis]
by(cases arrobj, auto)+

definition init-fields :: ('field-name  $\times$  (ty  $\times$  fmod)) list  $\Rightarrow$  'field-name  $\rightarrow$  addr val
where init-fields  $\equiv$  map-of  $\circ$  map ( $\lambda(FD, (T, fm)).$  (FD, default-val T))

primrec
  — a new, blank object with default values in all fields:
  blank :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  heapobj
where
  blank P (Class-type C) = Obj C (init-fields (fields P C))
  | blank P (Array-type T n) = Arr T (init-fields (fields P Object)) (replicate n (default-val T))

lemma obj-ty-blank [iff]:
  obj-ty (blank P hT) = hT
by(cases hT)(simp-all)

```

8.1.2 Heap

type-synonym heap = addr \rightarrow heapobj

translations

(type) heap <= (type) nat \Rightarrow heapobj option

abbreviation sc-empty :: heap
where sc-empty \equiv Map.empty

fun the-obj :: heapobj \Rightarrow cname \times fields **where**
 the-obj (*Obj C fs*) = (*C*, *fs*)

fun the-arr :: heapobj \Rightarrow ty \times fields \times cells **where**
 the-arr (*Arr T f el*) = (*T*, *f*, *el*)

abbreviation

cname-of :: heap \Rightarrow addr \Rightarrow cname **where**
 cname-of *hp a* == fst (the-obj (the (*hp a*)))

```

definition sc-allocate :: 'm prog ⇒ heap ⇒ htype ⇒ (heap × addr) set
where
  sc-allocate P h hT =
    (case new-Addr h of None ⇒ {}
     | Some a ⇒ {(h(a ↦ blank P hT), a)})

definition sc-typeof-addr :: heap ⇒ addr ⇒ htype option
where sc-typeof-addr h a = map-option obj-ty (h a)

inductive sc-heap-read :: heap ⇒ addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ addr val ⇒ bool
for h :: heap and a :: addr
where
  Obj: [[ h a = [Obj C fs]; fs (F, D) = [v] ]] ⇒ sc-heap-read h a (CField D F) v
  | Arr: [[ h a = [Arr T f el]; n < length el ]] ⇒ sc-heap-read h a (ACell n) (el ! n)
  | ArrObj: [[ h a = [Arr T f el]; f (F, Object) = [v] ]] ⇒ sc-heap-read h a (CField Object F) v

hide-fact (open) Obj Arr ArrObj

inductive-cases sc-heap-read-cases [elim!]:
  sc-heap-read h a (CField C F) v
  sc-heap-read h a (ACell n) v

inductive sc-heap-write :: heap ⇒ addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ addr val ⇒ heap ⇒ bool
for h :: heap and a :: addr
where
  Obj: [[ h a = [Obj C fs]; h' = h(a ↦ Obj C (fs((F, D) ↦ v))) ]] ⇒ sc-heap-write h a (CField D F) v h'
  | Arr: [[ h a = [Arr T f el]; h' = h(a ↦ Arr T f (el[n := v])) ]] ⇒ sc-heap-write h a (ACell n) v h'
  | ArrObj: [[ h a = [Arr T f el]; h' = h(a ↦ Arr T (f((F, Object) ↦ v)) el) ]] ⇒ sc-heap-write h a (CField Object F) v h'

hide-fact (open) Obj Arr ArrObj

inductive-cases sc-heap-write-cases [elim!]:
  sc-heap-write h a (CField C F) v h'
  sc-heap-write h a (ACell n) v h'

consts sc-spurious-wakeups :: bool

interpretation sc:
  heap-base
  addr2thread-id
  thread-id2addr
  sc-spurious-wakeups
  sc-empty
  sc-allocate P
  sc-typeof-addr
  sc-heap-read
  sc-heap-write
for P .

```

Translate notation from *heap-base*

```

abbreviation sc-preallocated :: 'm prog ⇒ heap ⇒ bool
where sc-preallocated == sc.preallocated TYPE('m)

```

abbreviation $sc\text{-start-tid} :: 'md \text{ prog} \Rightarrow \text{thread-id}$
where $sc\text{-start-tid} \equiv sc.\text{start-tid} \text{ TYPE}('md)$

abbreviation $sc\text{-start-heap-ok} :: 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $sc\text{-start-heap-ok} \equiv sc.\text{start-heap-ok} \text{ TYPE}('m)$

abbreviation $sc\text{-start-heap} :: 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow \text{heap}$
where $sc\text{-start-heap} \equiv sc.\text{start-heap} \text{ TYPE}('m)$

abbreviation $sc\text{-start-state} ::$
 $(cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow ty \text{ list} \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow \text{addr val list} \Rightarrow 'x)$
 $\Rightarrow 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow \text{addr val list} \Rightarrow (\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}, 'x, \text{heap}, \text{addr}) \text{ state}$
where
 $sc\text{-start-state } f P \equiv sc.\text{start-state} \text{ TYPE}('m) \ P \ f \ P$

abbreviation $sc\text{-wf-start-state} :: 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow \text{addr val list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $sc\text{-wf-start-state } P \equiv sc.\text{wf-start-state} \text{ TYPE}('m) \ P \ P$

notation $sc.\text{conf} (-, - \vdash sc - : \leq - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$
notation $sc.\text{confs} (-, - \vdash sc - [: \leq] - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$
notation $sc.\text{hext} (- \trianglelefteq sc - [51, 51] 50)$

lemma $sc\text{-start-heap-ok}: sc\text{-start-heap-ok} P$
apply(simp add: $sc.\text{start-heap-ok-def}$ $sc.\text{start-heap-data-def}$ $initialization\text{-list-def}$ $sc.\text{create-initial-object-simps}$
 $sc.\text{allocate-def}$ $sys\text{-xcpts-list-def}$ $case\text{-option-conv-if}$ $new\text{-Addr-SomeI}$ $del: blank.\text{simps}$ $split$ $del: option.\text{split}$
 $if\text{-split}$)
done

lemma $sc\text{-wf-start-state-iff}:$
 $sc\text{-wf-start-state } P \ C \ M \ vs \longleftrightarrow (\exists Ts \ T \ meth \ D. P \vdash C \ sees \ M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor meth \rfloor \ in \ D \wedge P, sc\text{-start-heap}$
 $P \vdash sc \ vs \ [: \leq] \ Ts)$
by(simp add: $sc.\text{wf-start-state.simps}$ $sc\text{-start-heap-ok})$

lemma $sc\text{-heap}:$
 $heap \ addr2thread-id \ thread-id2addr \ (sc\text{-allocate } P) \ sc\text{-typeof-addr} \ sc\text{-heap-write} \ P$
proof
 $\text{fix } h' \ a \ h \ hT$
 $\text{assume } (h', a) \in sc\text{-allocate } P \ h \ hT$
 $\text{thus } sc\text{-typeof-addr } h' \ a = \lfloor hT \rfloor$
by(auto simp add: $sc\text{-allocate-def}$ $sc\text{-typeof-addr-def}$ dest: $new\text{-Addr-SomeD}$ split: $if\text{-split-asm})$
next
 $\text{fix } h' \ h \ hT \ a$
 $\text{assume } (h', a) \in sc\text{-allocate } P \ h \ hT$
 $\text{from this[symmetric]} \ \text{show } h \trianglelefteq sc \ h'$
by(fastforce simp add: $sc\text{-allocate-def}$ $sc\text{-typeof-addr-def}$ $sc.\text{hext-def}$ dest: $new\text{-Addr-SomeD}$ intro!: map-leI)
next
 $\text{fix } h \ a \ al \ v \ h'$
 $\text{assume } sc\text{-heap-write } h \ a \ al \ v \ h'$
 $\text{thus } h \trianglelefteq sc \ h'$
by(cases al)(auto intro!: $sc.\text{hextI}$ simp add: $sc\text{-typeof-addr-def})$
qed simp

interpretation sc :

- heap*
- addr2thread-id*
- thread-id2addr*
- sc-spurious-wakeups*
- sc-empty*
- sc-allocate P*
- sc-typeof-addr*
- sc-heap-read*
- sc-heap-write*
- for** P **by**(rule *sc-heap*)

lemma *sc-hext-new*:

$h\ a = \text{None} \implies h \trianglelefteq_{sc} h(a \mapsto \text{arrobj})$
by(rule *sc.hextI*)(*auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def dest!: new-Addr-SomeD*)

lemma *sc-hext-upd-obj*: $h\ a = \text{Some } (\text{Obj } C\ fs) \implies h \trianglelefteq_{sc} h(a \mapsto (\text{Obj } C\ fs'))$
by(rule *sc.hextI*)(*auto simp:fun-upd-apply sc-typeof-addr-def*)

lemma *sc-hext-upd-arr*: $\llbracket h\ a = \text{Some } (\text{Arr } T\ f\ e); \text{length } e = \text{length } e' \rrbracket \implies h \trianglelefteq_{sc} h(a \mapsto (\text{Arr } T\ f'\ e'))$
by(rule *sc.hextI*)(*auto simp:fun-upd-apply sc-typeof-addr-def*)

8.1.3 Conformance

definition *sc-fconf* :: ' m prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow fields \Rightarrow bool $(-, -, - \vdash sc - \checkmark [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$
where $P, C, h \vdash sc\ fs \checkmark = (\forall F D T fm. P \vdash C \text{ has } F:T (fm) \text{ in } D \longrightarrow (\exists v. fs(F, D) = \text{Some } v \wedge P, h \vdash sc\ v : \leq T))$

primrec *sc-oconf* :: ' m prog \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow heapobj \Rightarrow bool $(-, - \vdash sc - \checkmark [51, 51, 51] 50)$
where
 $P, h \vdash sc\ Obj\ C\ fs \checkmark \longleftrightarrow \text{is-class } P\ C \wedge P, C, h \vdash sc\ fs \checkmark$
 $| P, h \vdash sc\ Arr\ T\ fs\ el \checkmark \longleftrightarrow \text{is-type } P\ (T[\]) \wedge P, \text{Object}, h \vdash sc\ fs \checkmark \wedge (\forall v \in \text{set } el. P, h \vdash sc\ v : \leq T)$

definition *sc-hconf* :: ' m prog \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow bool $(- \vdash sc - \checkmark [51, 51] 50)$
where $P \vdash sc\ h \checkmark \longleftrightarrow (\forall a\ obj. h\ a = \text{Some } obj \longrightarrow P, h \vdash sc\ obj \checkmark)$

interpretation sc : *heap-conf-base*

- addr2thread-id*
- thread-id2addr*
- sc-spurious-wakeups*
- sc-empty*
- sc-allocate P*
- sc-typeof-addr*
- sc-heap-read*
- sc-heap-write*
- sc-hconf P*
- P
- for** P .

declare *sc.typeof-addr-thread-id2-addr-addr2thread-id* [*simp del*]

lemma *sc-conf-upd-obj*: $h\ a = \text{Some } (\text{Obj } C\ fs) \implies (P, h(a \mapsto (\text{Obj } C\ fs'))) \vdash sc\ x : \leq T = (P, h \vdash sc\ x : \leq T)$

```

apply (unfold sc.conf-def)
apply (rule val.induct)
apply (auto simp:fun-upd-apply)
apply (auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def split: if-split-asm)
done

lemma sc-conf-upd-arr:  $h \ a = \text{Some}(\text{Arr } T f el) \implies (P, h(a \mapsto (\text{Arr } T f' el')) \vdash_{sc} x : \leq T') = (P, h \vdash_{sc} x : \leq T')$ 
apply(unfold sc.conf-def)
apply (rule val.induct)
apply (auto simp:fun-upd-apply)
apply(auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def split: if-split-asm)
done

lemma sc-oconf-hext:  $P, h \vdash_{sc} obj \checkmark \implies h \trianglelefteq_{sc} h' \implies P, h' \vdash_{sc} obj \checkmark$ 
by(cases obj)(fastforce elim: sc.conf-hext simp add: sc-fconf-def)+

lemma sc-oconf-init-fields:
assumes  $P \vdash C \text{ has-fields FDTs}$ 
shows  $P, h \vdash_{sc} (\text{Obj } C \ (\text{init-fields FDTs})) \checkmark$ 
using assms has-fields-is-class[OF assms]
by(auto simp add: has-field-def init-fields-def sc-fconf-def split-def o-def map-of-map[simplified split-def,
where  $f = \lambda p. \text{default-val} (\text{fst } p)$ ] dest: has-fields-fun)

lemma sc-oconf-init:
is-htype  $P \ hT \implies P, h \vdash_{sc} \text{blank } P \ hT \checkmark$ 
by(cases hT)(auto simp add: sc-fconf-def has-field-def init-fields-def split-def o-def map-of-map[simplified split-def,
where  $f = \lambda p. \text{default-val} (\text{fst } p)$ ] dest: has-fields-fun)

lemma sc-oconf-fupd [intro?]:
 $\llbracket P \vdash C \text{ has } F; T \ (\text{fm}) \text{ in } D; P, h \vdash_{sc} v : \leq T; P, h \vdash_{sc} (\text{Obj } C \ fs) \checkmark \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P, h \vdash_{sc} (\text{Obj } C \ (fs((F, D) \mapsto v))) \checkmark$ 
unfolding has-field-def
by(auto simp add: sc-fconf-def has-field-def dest: has-fields-fun)

lemma sc-oconf-fupd-arr [intro?]:
 $\llbracket P, h \vdash_{sc} v : \leq T; P, h \vdash_{sc} (\text{Arr } T f el) \checkmark \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P, h \vdash_{sc} (\text{Arr } T f \ (el[i := v])) \checkmark$ 
by(auto dest: subsetD[OF set-update-subset-insert])

lemma sc-oconf-fupd-arr-fields:
 $\llbracket P \vdash \text{Object has } F; T \ (\text{fm}) \text{ in } \text{Object}; P, h \vdash_{sc} v : \leq T; P, h \vdash_{sc} (\text{Arr } T' f el) \checkmark \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P, h \vdash_{sc} (\text{Arr } T' f \ ((F, \text{Object}) \mapsto v)) \ el \checkmark$ 
by(auto dest: has-fields-fun simp add: sc-fconf-def has-field-def)

lemma sc-oconf-new:  $\llbracket P, h \vdash_{sc} obj \checkmark; h \ a = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies P, h(a \mapsto \text{arrobj}) \vdash_{sc} obj \checkmark$ 
by(erule sc-oconf-hext)(rule sc-hext-new)

lemmas sc-oconf-upd-obj = sc-oconf-hext [OF - sc-hext-upd-obj]

lemma sc-oconf-upd-arr:
assumes  $P, h \vdash_{sc} obj \checkmark$ 
and  $ha: h \ a = [\text{Arr } T f el]$ 
shows  $P, h(a \mapsto \text{Arr } T f' el') \vdash_{sc} obj \checkmark$ 

```

```

using assms
by(cases obj)(auto simp add: sc-conf-upd-arr[where h=h, OF ha] sc-fconf-def)

lemma sc-hconfD:  $\llbracket P \vdash_{sc} h \vee; h a = \text{Some } obj \rrbracket \implies P, h \vdash_{sc} obj \vee$ 
unfolding sc-hconf-def by blast

lemmas sc-preallocated-new = sc.preallocated-hext[OF - sc-hext-new]
lemmas sc-preallocated-upd-obj = sc.preallocated-hext [OF - sc-hext-upd-obj]
lemmas sc-preallocated-upd-arr = sc.preallocated-hext [OF - sc-hext-upd-arr]

lemma sc-hconf-new:  $\llbracket P \vdash_{sc} h \vee; h a = \text{None}; P, h \vdash_{sc} obj \vee \rrbracket \implies P \vdash_{sc} h(a \mapsto obj) \vee$ 
unfolding sc-hconf-def
by(auto intro: sc-oconf-new)

lemma sc-hconf-upd-obj:  $\llbracket P \vdash_{sc} h \vee; h a = \text{Some } (\text{Obj } C fs); P, h \vdash_{sc} (\text{Obj } C fs') \vee \rrbracket \implies P \vdash_{sc} h(a \mapsto (\text{Obj } C fs')) \vee$ 
unfolding sc-hconf-def
by(auto intro: sc-oconf-upd-obj simp del: sc-oconf.simps)

lemma sc-hconf-upd-arr:  $\llbracket P \vdash_{sc} h \vee; h a = \text{Some}(\text{Arr } T f el); P, h \vdash_{sc} (\text{Arr } T f' el') \vee \rrbracket \implies P \vdash_{sc} h(a \mapsto (\text{Arr } T f' el')) \vee$ 
unfolding sc-hconf-def
by(auto intro: sc-oconf-upd-arr simp del: sc-oconf.simps)

lemma sc-heap-conf:
  heap-conf addr2thread-id thread-id2addr sc-empty (sc-allocate P) sc-typeof-addr sc-heap-write (sc-hconf P) P
proof
  show P  $\vdash_{sc}$  sc-empty  $\vee$  by(simp add: sc-hconf-def)
next
  fix h a hT
  assume sc-typeof-addr h a =  $\lfloor hT \rfloor$  P  $\vdash_{sc} h \vee$ 
  thus is-htype P hT
    by(auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def sc-oconf-def dest!: sc-hconfD split: heapobj.split-asm)
next
  fix h h' hT a
  assume P  $\vdash_{sc} h \vee (h', a) \in \text{sc-allocate } P \ h \ hT$  is-htype P hT
  thus P  $\vdash_{sc} h' \vee$ 
    by(auto simp add: sc-allocate-def dest!: new-Addr-SomeD intro: sc-hconf-new sc-oconf-init split: if-split-asm)
next
  fix h a al T v h'
  assume P  $\vdash_{sc} h \vee$ 
    and sc.addr-loc-type P h a al T
    and P, h  $\vdash_{sc} v : \leq T$ 
    and sc-heap-write h a al v h'
  thus P  $\vdash_{sc} h' \vee$ 
    by(cases al)(fastforce elim!: sc.addr-loc-type.cases simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def intro: sc-hconf-upd-obj sc-oconf-fupd sc-hconfD sc-hconf-upd-arr sc-oconf-fupd-arr sc-oconf-fupd-arr-fields) +
qed

interpretation sc: heap-conf
  addr2thread-id
  thread-id2addr

```

$sc\text{-}spurious\text{-}wakeups$
 $sc\text{-}empty$
 $sc\text{-}allocate P$
 $sc\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr$
 $sc\text{-}heap\text{-}read$
 $sc\text{-}heap\text{-}write$
 $sc\text{-}hconf P$
 P
for P
by(rule $sc\text{-}heap\text{-}conf$)

lemma $sc\text{-}heap\text{-}progress$:
 $heap\text{-}progress \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr } sc\text{-}empty (sc\text{-}allocate P) sc\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr sc\text{-}heap\text{-}read$
 $sc\text{-}heap\text{-}write (sc\text{-}hconf P) P$

proof
 fix $h a al T$
 assume $hconf: P \vdash sc h \vee$
 and $alt: sc\text{-}addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type P h a al T$
 from alt obtain $arrobj$ where $arrobj: h a = \lfloor arrobj \rfloor$
 by(auto elim!: $sc\text{-}addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type$.cases simp add: $sc\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr\text{-}def$)
 from alt show $\exists v. sc\text{-}heap\text{-}read h a al v \wedge P, h \vdash sc v : \leq T$
 proof(cases)
 case ($addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type\text{-}field U F fm D$)
 note [simp] = $\langle al = CField D F \rangle$
 show ?thesis
 proof(cases $arrobj$)
 case ($Obj C' fs$)
 with $\langle sc\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr h a = \lfloor U \rfloor \rangle arrobj$
 have [simp]: $C' = class\text{-}type\text{-}of U$ by(auto simp add: $sc\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr\text{-}def$)
 from $hconf arrobj Obj$ have $P, h \vdash sc Obj (class\text{-}type\text{-}of U) fs \vee$ by(auto dest: $sc\text{-}hconf D$)
 with $\langle P \vdash class\text{-}type\text{-}of U has F:T (fm) in D \rangle$ obtain v
 where $fs (F, D) = \lfloor v \rfloor P, h \vdash sc v : \leq T$ by(fastforce simp add: $sc\text{-}fconf\text{-}def$)
 thus ?thesis using $Obj arrobj$ by(auto intro: $sc\text{-}heap\text{-}read.intros$)

next
 case ($Arr T' f el$)
 with $\langle sc\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr h a = \lfloor U \rfloor \rangle arrobj$
 have [simp]: $U = Array\text{-}type T'$ (length el) by(auto simp add: $sc\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr\text{-}def$)
 from $hconf arrobj Arr$ have $P, h \vdash sc Arr T' f el \vee$ by(auto dest: $sc\text{-}hconf D$)
 from $\langle P \vdash class\text{-}type\text{-}of U has F:T (fm) in D \rangle$ have [simp]: $D = Object$
 by(auto dest: has-field-decl-above)
 with $\langle P, h \vdash sc Arr T' f el \vee \langle P \vdash class\text{-}type\text{-}of U has F:T (fm) in D \rangle$
 obtain v where $f (F, Object) = \lfloor v \rfloor P, h \vdash sc v : \leq T$
 by(fastforce simp add: $sc\text{-}fconf\text{-}def$)
 thus ?thesis using $Arr arrobj$ by(auto intro: $sc\text{-}heap\text{-}read.intros$)

qed
 next
 case ($addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type\text{-}cell n' n$)
 with $arrobj$ obtain $f el$
 where [simp]: $arrobj = Arr T f el$
 by(cases $arrobj$)(auto simp add: $sc\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr\text{-}def$)
 from $addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type\text{-}cell arrobj$
 have [simp]: $al = ACell n n < length el$ by(auto simp add: $sc\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr\text{-}def$)
 from $hconf arrobj$ have $P, h \vdash sc Arr T f el \vee$ by(auto dest: $sc\text{-}hconf D$)
 hence $P, h \vdash sc el ! n : \leq T$ by(fastforce)

```

thus ?thesis using arrobj by(fastforce intro: sc-heap-read.intros)
qed
next
fix h a al T v
assume alt: sc.addr-loc-type P h a al T
from alt obtain arrobj where arrobj: h a = [arrobj]
  by(auto elim!: sc.addr-loc-type.cases simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def)
thus ∃ h'. sc-heap-write h a al v h' using alt
  by(cases arrobj)(fastforce intro: sc-heap-write.intros elim!: sc.addr-loc-type.cases simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def dest: has-field-decl-above) +
qed

interpretation sc: heap-progress
  addr2thread-id
  thread-id2addr
  sc-spurious-wakeups
  sc-empty
  sc-allocate P
  sc-typeof-addr
  sc-heap-read
  sc-heap-write
  sc-hconf P
  P
for P
by(rule sc-heap-progress)

lemma sc-heap-conf-read:
  heap-conf-read addr2thread-id thread-id2addr sc-empty (sc-allocate P) sc-typeof-addr sc-heap-read
  sc-heap-write (sc-hconf P) P
proof
fix h a al v T
assume read: sc-heap-read h a al v
  and alt: sc.addr-loc-type P h a al T
  and hconf: P ⊢ sc h √
thus P, h ⊢ sc v :≤ T
  by(auto elim!: sc-heap-read.cases sc.addr-loc-type.cases simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def)(fastforce dest!:
  sc-hconfD simp add: sc-fconf-def) +
qed

interpretation sc: heap-conf-read
  addr2thread-id
  thread-id2addr
  sc-spurious-wakeups
  sc-empty
  sc-allocate P
  sc-typeof-addr
  sc-heap-read
  sc-heap-write
  sc-hconf P
  P
for P
by(rule sc-heap-conf-read)

abbreviation sc-deterministic-heap-ops :: 'm prog ⇒ bool

```

where $sc\text{-deterministic}\text{-heap-ops} \equiv sc.\text{deterministic}\text{-heap-ops} \text{ TYPE}('m)$

lemma $sc\text{-deterministic}\text{-heap-ops}: \neg sc\text{-spurious}\text{-wakeups} \implies sc\text{-deterministic}\text{-heap-ops} P$
by(rule $sc.\text{deterministic}\text{-heap-ops}I$)(auto elim: $sc\text{-heap-read.cases}$ $sc\text{-heap-write.cases}$ simp add: $sc\text{-allocate-def}$)

8.1.4 Code generation

code-pred

(modes: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$, $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$)
 $sc\text{-heap-read} .$

code-pred

(modes: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$, $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow \text{bool}$)
 $sc\text{-heap-write} .$

lemma $eval\text{-}sc\text{-heap}\text{-read}\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o:$

Predicate.eval ($sc\text{-heap-read}\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o h ad al$) = $sc\text{-heap-read} h ad al$
by(auto elim: $sc\text{-heap-read}\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}oE$ intro: $sc\text{-heap-read}\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}oI$ intro!: ext)

lemma $eval\text{-}sc\text{-heap}\text{-write}\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o:$

Predicate.eval ($sc\text{-heap-write}\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o h ad al v$) = $sc\text{-heap-write} h ad al v$
by(auto elim: $sc\text{-heap-write}\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}oE$ intro: $sc\text{-heap-write}\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}oI$ intro!: ext)

end

theory $SC\text{-Interp}$

imports

SC
 $\dots / Compiler / Correctness$
 $\dots / J / Deadlocked$
 $\dots / BV / JVMDeadlocked$

begin

Do not interpret these locales, it just takes too long to generate all definitions and theorems.

lemma $sc\text{-}J\text{-typesafe}:$

$J\text{-typesafe} addr2thread-id thread-id2addr sc\text{-empty} (sc\text{-allocate} P) sc\text{-typeof}\text{-}addr sc\text{-heap-read} sc\text{-heap-write}$
 $(sc\text{-hconf} P) P$
by unfold-locales

lemma $sc\text{-}JVM\text{-typesafe}:$

$JVM\text{-typesafe} addr2thread-id thread-id2addr sc\text{-empty} (sc\text{-allocate} P) sc\text{-typeof}\text{-}addr sc\text{-heap-read}$
 $sc\text{-heap-write} (sc\text{-hconf} P) P$
by unfold-locales

lemma $compP2\text{-}compP1\text{-}conv:$

$is\text{-type} (compP2 (compP1 P)) = is\text{-type} P$
 $is\text{-class} (compP2 (compP1 P)) = is\text{-class} P$
 $sc\text{-addr-loc-type} (compP2 (compP1 P)) = sc\text{-addr-loc-type} P$
 $sc\text{-conf} (compP2 (compP1 P)) = sc\text{-conf} P$

by(simp-all add: $compP2\text{-def}$ $heap\text{-base.compP-conf}$ $heap\text{-base.compP-addr-loc-type}$ fun-eq-iff split: $addr\text{-loc.splits}$)

lemma $sc\text{-}J\text{-}JVM\text{-}conf\text{-}read:$

$J\text{-}JVM\text{-}conf\text{-}read addr2thread-id thread-id2addr sc\text{-empty} (sc\text{-allocate} P) sc\text{-typeof}\text{-}addr sc\text{-heap-read}$

```

sc-heap-write (sc-hconf P) P
apply(rule J-JVM-conf-read.intro)
apply(rule J1-JVM-conf-read.intro)
apply(rule JVM-conf-read.intro)
prefer 2
apply(rule JVM-heap-conf.intro)
apply(rule JVM-heap-conf-base'.intro)
apply(unfold compP2-def compP1-def compP-heap compP-heap-conf compP-heap-conf-read)
apply unfold-locales
done

end

```

8.2 Sequential consistency with efficient data structures

```

theory SC-Collections
imports
  .. / Common / Conform

  .. / Basic / JT-ICF
  MM
begin

hide-const (open) new-Addr
hide-fact (open) new-Addr-SomeD new-Addr-SomeI

```

8.2.1 Objects and Arrays

```

type-synonym fields = (char, (cname, addr val) lm) tm
type-synonym array-cells = (nat, addr val) rbt
type-synonym array-fields = (vname, addr val) lm

datatype heapobj
  = Obj cname fields           — class instance with class name and fields
  | Arr ty nat array-fields array-cells   — element type, size, fields and cell contents

lemma rec-heapobj [simp]: rec-heapobj = case-heapobj
by(auto intro!: ext split: heapobj.split)

primrec obj-ty :: heapobj ⇒ htype
where
  obj-ty (Obj c f)  = Class-type c
  | obj-ty (Arr t si f e) = Array-type t si

fun is-Arr :: heapobj ⇒ bool where
  is-Arr (Obj C fs)      = False
  | is-Arr (Arr T si f el) = True

lemma is-Arr-conv:
  is-Arr arrobj = (exists T si f el. arrobj = Arr T si f el)
by(cases arrobj, auto)

lemma is-ArrE:
  [| is-Arr arrobj; ∀ T si f el. arrobj = Arr T si f el ==> thesis |] ==> thesis

```

$\llbracket \neg \text{is-Arr } arrobj; \bigwedge C fs. arrobj = Obj\ C\ fs \implies thesis \rrbracket \implies thesis$

by(cases *arrobj*, auto)+

definition *init-fields* :: $((vname \times cname) \times ty) list \Rightarrow fields$

where

init-fields *FDTs* \equiv
foldr ($\lambda((F, D), T)$) *fields*.
 \quad let $F' = \text{String.explode } F$
 \quad in *tm-update* F' (*lm-update* D (*default-val* T))
 \quad (*case tm-lookup* F' *fields* of *None* \Rightarrow *lm-empty* () | *Some lm* \Rightarrow lm))
fields)
FDTs (*tm-empty* ())

definition *init-fields-array* :: $(vname \times ty) list \Rightarrow array-fields$

where

init-fields-array \equiv *lm.to-map* \circ *map* ($\lambda(F, T)$). (F , *default-val* T))

definition *init-cells* :: $ty \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow array-cells$

where *init-cells* $T n = \text{foldl} (\lambda \text{cells } i. \text{rm-update } i (\text{default-val } T) \text{ cells}) (\text{rm-empty} ()) [0..<n]$

primrec — a new, blank object with default values in all fields:

blank :: $'m \text{ prog} \Rightarrow htype \Rightarrow heapobj$

where

$\text{blank } P (\text{Class-type } C) = Obj\ C (\text{init-fields} (\text{map} (\lambda(FD, (T, fm)). (FD, T)) (\text{TypeRel.fields } P\ C)))$
 $\mid \text{blank } P (\text{Array-type } T\ n) =$
 $\quad Arr\ T\ n (\text{init-fields-array} (\text{map} (\lambda((F, D), (T, fm)). (F, T)) (\text{TypeRel.fields } P\ Object))) (\text{init-cells } T\ n)$

lemma *obj-ty-blank* [iff]: *obj-ty* (*blank P hT*) $= hT$

by(cases *hT*) *simp-all*

8.2.2 Heap

type-synonym *heap* $= (addr, heapobj) rbt$

translations

(*type*) *heap* $<=$ (*type*) (*nat*, *heapobj*) *rbt*

abbreviation *sc-empty* :: *heap*

where *sc-empty* \equiv *rm-empty* ()

fun *the-obj* :: *heapobj* $\Rightarrow cname \times fields$ **where**
the-obj (*Obj C fs*) $= (C, fs)$

fun *the-arr* :: *heapobj* $\Rightarrow ty \times nat \times array-fields \times array-cells$ **where**
the-arr (*Arr T si f el*) $= (T, si, f, el)$

abbreviation

cname-of :: *heap* $\Rightarrow addr \Rightarrow cname$ **where**
cname-of *hp a* \equiv *fst* (*the-obj* (*the* (*rm-lookup* *a hp*)))

definition *new-Addr* :: *heap* $\Rightarrow addr$ *option*

where *new-Addr h* $= \text{Some} (\text{case rm-max } h (\lambda \cdot. \text{True}) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow 0 \mid \text{Some } (a, \cdot) \Rightarrow a + 1)$

```

definition sc-allocate :: 'm prog ⇒ heap ⇒ htype ⇒ (heap × addr) set
where
  sc-allocate P h hT =
    (case new-Addr h of None ⇒ {}
     | Some a ⇒ {(rm-update a (blank P hT) h, a)})

definition sc-typeof-addr :: heap ⇒ addr ⇒ htype option
where sc-typeof-addr h a = map-option obj-ty (rm-lookup a h)

inductive sc-heap-read :: heap ⇒ addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ addr val ⇒ bool
for h :: heap and a :: addr
where
  Obj: [[ rm-lookup a h = [Obj C fs]; tm-lookup (String.explode F) fs = [fs'] ; lm-lookup D fs' = [v] ]]
    ⇒ sc-heap-read h a (CField D F) v
  | Arr: [[ rm-lookup a h = [Arr T si f el]; n < si ]] ⇒ sc-heap-read h a (ACell n) (the (rm-lookup n el))
  | ArrObj: [[ rm-lookup a h = [Arr T si f el]; lm-lookup F f = [v] ]] ⇒ sc-heap-read h a (CField Object F) v

hide-fact (open) Obj Arr ArrObj

inductive-cases sc-heap-read-cases [elim!]:
  sc-heap-read h a (CField C F) v
  sc-heap-read h a (ACell n) v

inductive sc-heap-write :: heap ⇒ addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ addr val ⇒ heap ⇒ bool
for h :: heap and a :: addr
where
  Obj:
    [[ rm-lookup a h = [Obj C fs]; F' = String.explode F;
      h' = rm-update a (Obj C (tm-update F' (lm-update D v (case tm-lookup (String.explode F) fs of
        None ⇒ lm-empty () | Some fs' ⇒ fs')) fs)) h ]]
    ⇒ sc-heap-write h a (CField D F) v h'
  | Arr:
    [[ rm-lookup a h = [Arr T si f el]; h' = rm-update a (Arr T si f (rm-update n v el)) h ]]
    ⇒ sc-heap-write h a (ACell n) v h'
  | ArrObj:
    [[ rm-lookup a h = [Arr T si f el]; h' = rm-update a (Arr T si (lm-update F v f) el) h ]]
    ⇒ sc-heap-write h a (CField Object F) v h'

hide-fact (open) Obj Arr ArrObj

inductive-cases sc-heap-write-cases [elim!]:
  sc-heap-write h a (CField C F) v h'
  sc-heap-write h a (ACell n) v h'

consts sc-spurious-wakeups :: bool

lemma new-Addr-SomeD: new-Addr h = [a] ⇒ rm-lookup a h = None
apply(simp add: new-Addr-def)
apply(drule rm.max-None[OF rm.invar])
apply(simp add: rm.lookup-correct rel-of-def)

```

```

apply(clarsimp simp add: rm.lookup-correct)
apply(frule rm.max-Some[OF rm.invar])
apply(clarsimp simp add: rel-of-def)
apply(hypsubst-thin)
apply(rule ccontr)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(drule-tac k'=Suc a in rm.max-Some(2)[OF rm.invar])
apply(auto simp add: rel-of-def)
done

```

interpretation *sc*:

heap-base
addr2thread-id
thread-id2addr
sc-spurious-wakeups
sc-empty
sc-allocate P
sc-typeof-addr
sc-heap-read
sc-heap-write
for *P* .

Translate notation from *heap-base*

abbreviation *sc-preallocated* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow *heap* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *sc-preallocated* == *sc.preallocated* *TYPE('m)*

abbreviation *sc-start-tid* :: '*md prog* \Rightarrow *thread-id*
where *sc-start-tid* \equiv *sc.start-tid* *TYPE('md)*

abbreviation *sc-start-heap-ok* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow *heap* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *sc-start-heap-ok* \equiv *sc.start-heap-ok* *TYPE('m)*

abbreviation *sc-start-heap* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow *heap*
where *sc-start-heap* \equiv *sc.start-heap* *TYPE('m)*

abbreviation *sc-start-state* ::
 $(cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow ty\ list \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow addr\ val\ list \Rightarrow 'x)$
 $\Rightarrow 'm\ prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow addr\ val\ list \Rightarrow (addr,\ thread-id,\ 'x,\ heap,\ addr)\ state$
where
sc-start-state f P \equiv *sc.start-state* *TYPE('m)* *P f P*

abbreviation *sc-wf-start-state* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow *cname* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow *addr val list* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *sc-wf-start-state P* \equiv *sc.wf-start-state* *TYPE('m)* *P P*

notation *sc.conf* ($-,- \vdash sc - : \leq - [51,51,51,51] 50$)
notation *sc.confs* ($-,- \vdash sc - [: \leq] - [51,51,51,51] 50$)
notation *sc.hext* ($- \trianglelefteq sc - [51,51] 50$)

lemma *new-Addr-SomeI*: $\exists a.$ *new-Addr h = Some a*
by(*simp add:* *new-Addr-def*)

lemma *sc-start-heap-ok*: *sc-start-heap-ok P*
by(*simp add:* *sc.start-heap-ok-def sc.start-heap-data-def initialization-list-def sc.create-initial-object-simps*
sc-allocate-def case-option-conv-if new-Addr-SomeI sys-xcpts-list-def del: blank.simps split del: option.split

if-split)

```

lemma sc-wf-start-state-iff:
  sc-wf-start-state P C M vs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists Ts T meth D. P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor meth \rfloor \text{ in } D \wedge P, sc\text{-start-heap}$ 
   $P \vdash sc \text{ vs } [: \leq] Ts$ )
  by(simp add: sc.wf-start-state.simps sc-start-heap-ok)

lemma sc-heap:
  heap addr2thread-id thread-id2addr (sc-allocate P) sc-typeof-addr sc-heap-write P
proof
  fix h' a h hT
  assume (h', a) ∈ sc-allocate P h hT
  thus sc-typeof-addr h' a =  $\lfloor hT \rfloor$ 
    by(auto simp add: sc-allocate-def sc-typeof-addr-def rm.lookup-correct rm.update-correct dest:
  new-Addr-SomeD split: if-split-asm)
next
  fix h h' hT a
  assume (h', a) ∈ sc-allocate P h hT
  from this[symmetric] show h ≤sc h'
    by(fastforce simp add: sc-allocate-def sc-typeof-addr-def sc.hext-def rm.lookup-correct rm.update-correct
  intro!: map-leI dest: new-Addr-SomeD)
next
  fix h a al v h'
  assume sc-heap-write h a al v h'
  thus h ≤sc h'
    by(cases al)(auto intro!: sc.hextI simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def rm.lookup-correct rm.update-correct)
qed simp

interpretation sc:
  heap
  addr2thread-id
  thread-id2addr
  sc-spurious-wakeups
  sc-empty
  sc-allocate P
  sc-typeof-addr
  sc-heap-read
  sc-heap-write
  P
  for P by(rule sc-heap)

declare sc.typeof-addr-thread-id2-addr-addr2thread-id [simp del]

lemma sc-hext-new:
  rm-lookup a h = None  $\implies$  h ≤sc rm-update a arrobj h
  by(rule sc.hextI)(auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def rm.lookup-correct rm.update-correct dest!: new-Addr-SomeD)

lemma sc-hext-upd-obj: rm-lookup a h = Some (Obj C fs)  $\implies$  h ≤sc rm-update a (Obj C fs') h
  by(rule sc.hextI)(auto simp:fun-upd-apply sc-typeof-addr-def rm.lookup-correct rm.update-correct)

lemma sc-hext-upd-arr: [| rm-lookup a h = Some (Arr T si f e) |]  $\implies$  h ≤sc rm-update a (Arr T si f' e') h
  by(rule sc.hextI)(auto simp:fun-upd-apply sc-typeof-addr-def rm.lookup-correct rm.update-correct)

```

8.2.3 Conformance

definition $sc\text{-}oconf :: 'm prog \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow heapobj \Rightarrow bool \quad (-, - \vdash sc - \vee [51, 51, 51] 50)$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P, h \vdash sc \ obj \vee &\equiv \\ (\text{case } obj \text{ of} & \\ Obj \ C \ fs \Rightarrow & \\ \text{is-class } P \ C \wedge & \\ (\forall F \ D \ T \ fm. \ P \vdash C \text{ has } F:T \ (fm) \text{ in } D \longrightarrow & \\ (\exists fs' \ v. \ tm\text{-}\alpha \ fs \ (String.\text{explode } F) = Some \ fs' \wedge lm\text{-}\alpha \ fs' \ D = Some \ v \wedge P, h \vdash sc \ v : \leq T)) \wedge & \\ | \ Arr \ T \ si \ f \ el \Rightarrow & \\ \text{is-type } P \ (T[]) \wedge (\forall n. \ n < si \longrightarrow (\exists v. \ rm\text{-}\alpha \ el \ n = Some \ v \wedge P, h \vdash sc \ v : \leq T)) \wedge & \\ (\forall F \ T \ fm. \ P \vdash Object \ has \ F:T \ (fm) \text{ in } Object \longrightarrow (\exists v. \ lm\text{-}\text{lookup } F \ f = Some \ v \wedge P, h \vdash sc \ v : \leq & \\ T))) \end{aligned}$$

definition $sc\text{-}hconf :: 'm prog \Rightarrow heap \Rightarrow bool \quad (- \vdash sc - \vee [51, 51] 50)$

where $P \vdash sc \ h \vee \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \ obj. \ rm\text{-}\alpha \ h \ a = Some \ obj \longrightarrow P, h \vdash sc \ obj \vee)$

interpretation sc :

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{heap-conf-base} \\ &\text{addr2thread-id} \\ &\text{thread-id2addr} \\ &\text{sc-spurious-wakeups} \\ &\text{sc-empty} \\ &\text{sc-allocate } P \\ &\text{sc-typeof-addr} \\ &\text{sc-heap-read} \\ &\text{sc-heap-write} \\ &\text{sc-hconf } P \\ &P \\ &\text{for } P \\ &\cdot \end{aligned}$$

lemma $sc\text{-}conf\text{-}upd\text{-}obj: rm\text{-}\text{lookup } a \ h = Some(Obj \ C \ fs) \implies (P, rm\text{-}\text{update } a \ (Obj \ C \ fs') \ h \vdash sc \ x : \leq T) = (P, h \vdash sc \ x : \leq T)$

apply (unfold sc.conf-def)

apply (rule val.induct)

apply (auto simp:fun-upd-apply)

apply (auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def rm.lookup-correct rm.update-correct split: if-split-asm)

done

lemma $sc\text{-}conf\text{-}upd\text{-}arr:$

$rm\text{-}\text{lookup } a \ h = Some(Arr \ T \ si \ f \ el) \implies (P, rm\text{-}\text{update } a \ (Arr \ T \ si \ f' \ el') \ h \vdash sc \ x : \leq T') = (P, h \vdash sc \ x : \leq T')$

apply (unfold sc.conf-def)

apply (rule val.induct)

apply (auto simp:fun-upd-apply)

apply (auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def rm.lookup-correct rm.update-correct split: if-split-asm)

done

lemma $sc\text{-}oconf\text{-}hext: P, h \vdash sc \ obj \vee \implies h \trianglelefteq sc \ h' \implies P, h' \vdash sc \ obj \vee$

unfolding sc.oconf-def

by(fastforce split: heapobj.split elim: sc.conf-hext)

```

lemma map-of-fields-init-fields:
  assumes map-of FDTs (F, D) = |(T, fm)|
  shows ∃fs' v. tm-α (init-fields (map (λ(FD, (T, fm)). (FD, T)) FDTs)) (String.explode F) = |fs'|
    ∧ lm-α fs' D = |v| ∧ sc.conf P h v T
  using assms
  by(induct FDTs)(auto simp add: tm.lookup-correct tm.update-correct lm.update-correct init-fields-def
  String.explode-inject)

lemma sc-oconf-init-fields:
  assumes P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs
  shows P,h ⊢sc (Obj C (init-fields (map (λ(FD, (T, fm)). (FD, T)) FDTs))) √
  using assms has-fields-is-class[OF assms] map-of-fields-init-fields[of FDTs]
  by(fastforce simp add: has-field-def sc-oconf-def dest: has-fields-fun)

lemma sc-oconf-init-arr:
  assumes type: is-type P (T[])
  shows P,h ⊢sc Arr T n (init-fields-array (map (λ((F, D), (T, fm)). (F, T)) (TypeRel.fields P
  Object))) (init-cells T n) √
  proof -
    { fix n'
      assume n' < n
      { fix rm and k :: nat
        assume ∀ i < k. ∃ v. rm-α rm i = |v| ∧ sc.conf P h v T
        with <n' < n> have ∃ v. rm-α (foldl (λcells i. rm-update i (default-val T) cells) rm [|..<n|]) n' =
        |v| ∧ sc.conf P h v T
        by(induct m≡n-k arbitrary: n k rm)(auto simp add: rm.update-correct upt-conv-Cons type)
      }
      from this[of 0 rm-empty ()]
      have ∃ v. rm-α (foldl (λcells i. rm-update i (default-val T) cells) (rm-empty ()) [|..<n|]) n' = |v|
      ∧ sc.conf P h v T by simp
    }
    moreover
    { fix F T fm
      assume P ⊢ Object has F:T (fm) in Object
      then obtain FDTs where has: P ⊢ Object has-fields FDTs
        and FDTs: map-of FDTs (F, Object) = |(T, fm)|
        by(auto simp add: has-field-def)
      from has have snd ‘fst ‘set FDTs ⊆ {Object} by(rule Object-has-fields-Object)
      with FDTs have map-of (map ((λ(F, T). (F, default-val T)) ∘ (λ((F, D), T, fm). (F, T))) FDTs)
      F = |default-val T|
      by(induct FDTs) auto
      with has FDTs
      have ∃ v. lm-lookup F (init-fields-array (map (λ((F, D), T, fm). (F, T)) (TypeRel.fields P Object)))
      = |v| ∧
        sc.conf P h v T
        by(auto simp add: init-fields-array-def lm-correct has-field-def)
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis using type by(auto simp add: sc-oconf-def init-cells-def)
  qed

lemma sc-oconf-fupd [intro?]:
  [ P ⊢ C has F:T (fm) in D; P,h ⊢sc v :≤ T; P,h ⊢sc (Obj C fs) √;
    fs' = (case tm-lookup (String.explode F) fs of None ⇒ lm-empty () | Some fs' ⇒ fs') ]
  ==> P,h ⊢sc (Obj C (tm-update (String.explode F) (lm-update D v fs') fs)) √

```

```

unfolding sc-oconf-def has-field-def
apply(auto dest: has-fields-fun simp add: lm.update-correct tm.update-correct tm.lookup-correct String.explode-inject)
apply(drule (1) has-fields-fun, fastforce)
apply(drule (1) has-fields-fun, fastforce)
done

lemma sc-oconf-fupd-arr [intro?]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P, h \vdash sc v : \leq T; P, h \vdash sc (\text{Arr } T \text{ si } f \text{ el}) \vee \rrbracket \\ & \implies P, h \vdash sc (\text{Arr } T \text{ si } f (\text{rm-update } i v \text{ el})) \vee \end{aligned}$$

unfolding sc-oconf-def
by(auto simp add: rm.update-correct)

lemma sc-oconf-fupd-arr-fields:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P \vdash \text{Object has } F:T \text{ (fm) in Object}; P, h \vdash sc v : \leq T; P, h \vdash sc (\text{Arr } T' \text{ si } f \text{ el}) \vee \rrbracket \\ & \implies P, h \vdash sc (\text{Arr } T' \text{ si } (\text{lm-update } F v f) \text{ el}) \vee \end{aligned}$$

unfolding sc-oconf-def by(auto dest: has-field-fun simp add: lm-correct)

lemma sc-oconf-new:  $\llbracket P, h \vdash sc obj \vee; \text{rm-lookup } a h = \text{None} \rrbracket \implies P, \text{rm-update } a \text{ arrobj } h \vdash sc obj$ 
 $\vee$ 
by(erule sc-oconf-hext)(rule sc-hext-new)

lemmas sc-oconf-upd-obj = sc-oconf-hext [OF - sc-hext-upd-obj]

lemma sc-oconf-upd-arr:
assumes  $P, h \vdash sc obj \vee$ 
and ha:  $\text{rm-lookup } a h = [\text{Arr } T \text{ si } f \text{ el}]$ 
shows  $P, \text{rm-update } a (\text{Arr } T \text{ si } f' \text{ el}') h \vdash sc obj \vee$ 
using assms
by(fastforce simp add: sc-oconf-def sc-conf-upd-arr[OF ha] split: heapobj.split)

lemma sc-oconf-blank: is-htype P hT  $\implies P, h \vdash sc \text{ blank } P hT \vee$ 
apply(cases hT)
apply(fastforce dest: map-of-fields-init-fields simp add: has-field-def sc-oconf-def)
by(auto intro: sc-oconf-init-arr)

lemma sc-hconfD:  $\llbracket P \vdash sc h \vee; \text{rm-lookup } a h = \text{Some } obj \rrbracket \implies P, h \vdash sc obj \vee$ 
unfolding sc-hconf-def by(auto simp add: rm.lookup-correct)

lemmas sc-preallocated-new = sc.preallocated-hext[OF - sc-hext-new]
lemmas sc-preallocated-upd-obj = sc.preallocated-hext [OF - sc-hext-upd-obj]
lemmas sc-preallocated-upd-arr = sc.preallocated-hext [OF - sc-hext-upd-arr]

lemma sc-hconf-new:  $\llbracket P \vdash sc h \vee; \text{rm-lookup } a h = \text{None}; P, h \vdash sc obj \vee \rrbracket \implies P \vdash sc \text{ rm-update } a obj h \vee$ 
unfolding sc-hconf-def
by(auto intro: sc-oconf-new simp add: rm.lookup-correct rm.update-correct)

lemma sc-hconf-upd-obj:  $\llbracket P \vdash sc h \vee; \text{rm-lookup } a h = \text{Some } (\text{Obj } C fs); P, h \vdash sc (\text{Obj } C fs') \vee \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies P \vdash sc \text{ rm-update } a (\text{Obj } C fs') h \vee$ 
unfolding sc-hconf-def
by(auto intro: sc-oconf-upd-obj simp add: rm.lookup-correct rm.update-correct)

lemma sc-hconf-upd-arr:  $\llbracket P \vdash sc h \vee; \text{rm-lookup } a h = \text{Some}(\text{Arr } T \text{ si } f \text{ el}); P, h \vdash sc (\text{Arr } T \text{ si } f' el') \vee \rrbracket \implies P \vdash sc \text{ rm-update } a (\text{Arr } T \text{ si } f' el') h \vee$ 

```

```

unfolding sc-hconf-def
by(auto intro: sc-oconf-upd-arr simp add: rm.lookup-correct rm.update-correct)

lemma sc-heap-conf:
  heap-conf addr2thread-id thread-id2addr sc-empty (sc-allocate P) sc-typeof-addr sc-heap-write (sc-hconf P) P
proof
  show P ⊢ sc sc-empty √ by(simp add: sc-hconf-def rm.empty-correct)
next
  fix h a hT
  assume sc-typeof-addr h a = ⌊ hT ⌋ P ⊢ sc h √
  thus is-htype P hT
    by(auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def sc-oconf-def dest!: sc-hconfD split: heapobj.split-asm)
next
  fix h' hT h a
  assume P ⊢ sc h √ (h', a) ∈ sc-allocate P h hT is-htype P hT
  thus P ⊢ sc h' √
    by(auto simp add: sc-allocate-def dest!: new-Addr-SomeD intro: sc-hconf-new sc-oconf-blank split: if-split-asm)
next
  fix h a al T v h'
  assume P ⊢ sc h √
    and sc.addr-loc-type P h a al T
    and P,h ⊢ sc v :≤ T
    and sc-heap-write h a al v h'
  thus P ⊢ sc h' √
    by(cases al)(fastforce elim!: sc.addr-loc-type.cases simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def intro: sc-hconf-upd-obj sc-oconf-fupd sc-hconfD sc-hconf-upd-arr sc-oconf-fupd-arr sc-oconf-fupd-arr-fields) +
qed

interpretation sc:
  heap-conf
  addr2thread-id
  thread-id2addr
  sc-spurious-wakeups
  sc-empty
  sc-allocate P
  sc-typeof-addr
  sc-heap-read
  sc-heap-write
  sc-hconf P
  P
  for P
by(rule sc-heap-conf)

lemma sc-heap-progress:
  heap-progress addr2thread-id thread-id2addr sc-empty (sc-allocate P) sc-typeof-addr sc-heap-read
  sc-heap-write (sc-hconf P) P
proof
  fix h a al T
  assume hconf: P ⊢ sc h √
    and alt: sc.addr-loc-type P h a al T
  from alt obtain arrobj where arrobj: rm-lookup a h = ⌊ arrobj ⌋
    by(auto elim!: sc.addr-loc-type.cases simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def)

```

```

from alt show ∃ v. sc-heap-read h a al v ∧ P,h ⊢sc v :≤ T
proof(cases)
  case (addr-loc-type-field U F fm D)
  note [simp] = ⟨al = CField D F⟩
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases arrobj)
    case (Obj C' fs)
    with ⟨sc-typeof-addr h a = [U]⟩ arrobj
    have [simp]: C' = class-type-of U by(auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def)
    from hconf arrobj Obj have P,h ⊢sc Obj (class-type-of U) fs √ by(auto dest: sc-hconfD)
    with ⟨P ⊢ class-type-of U has F:T (fm) in D⟩ obtain fs' v
    where tm-lookup (String.explode F) fs = [fs'] lm-lookup D fs' = [v] P,h ⊢sc v :≤ T
    by(fastforce simp add: sc-oconf-def tm.lookup-correct lm.lookup-correct)
    thus ?thesis using Obj arrobj by(auto intro: sc-heap-read.intros)
  next
    case (Arr T' si f el)
    with ⟨sc-typeof-addr h a = [U]⟩ arrobj
    have [simp]: U = Array-type T' si by(auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def)
    from hconf arrobj Arr have P,h ⊢sc Arr T' si f el √ by(auto dest: sc-hconfD)
    from ⟨P ⊢ class-type-of U has F:T (fm) in D⟩ have [simp]: D = Object
      by(auto dest: has-field-decl-above)
    with ⟨P,h ⊢sc Arr T' si f el √ P ⊢ class-type-of U has F:T (fm) in D⟩
    obtain v where lm-lookup F f = [v] P,h ⊢sc v :≤ T
      by(fastforce simp add: sc-oconf-def)
    thus ?thesis using Arr arrobj by(auto intro: sc-heap-read.intros)
  qed
next
case (addr-loc-type-cell n' n)
with arrobj obtain si f el
  where [simp]: arrobj = Arr T si f el
    by(cases arrobj)(auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def)
from addr-loc-type-cell arrobj
have [simp]: al = ACell n and n: n < si by(auto simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def)
from hconf arrobj have P,h ⊢sc Arr T si f el √ by(auto dest: sc-hconfD)
with n obtain v where rm-lookup n el = [v] P,h ⊢sc v :≤ T
  by(fastforce simp add: sc-oconf-def rm.lookup-correct)
thus ?thesis using arrobj n by(fastforce intro: sc-heap-read.intros)
qed
next
fix h a al T v
assume alt: sc.addr-loc-type P h a al T
from alt obtain arrobj where arrobj: rm-lookup a h = [arrobj]
  by(auto elim!: sc.addr-loc-type.cases simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def)
thus ∃ h'. sc-heap-write h a al v h' using alt
  by(cases arrobj)(fastforce intro: sc-heap-write.intros elim!: sc.addr-loc-type.cases simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def
dest: has-field-decl-above) +
qed

```

interpretation sc:

- heap-progress
- addr2thread-id
- thread-id2addr
- sc-spurious-wakeups
- sc-empty

```

 $sc\text{-allocate } P$ 
 $sc\text{-typeof-addr}$ 
 $sc\text{-heap-read}$ 
 $sc\text{-heap-write}$ 
 $sc\text{-hconf } P$ 
 $P$ 
for  $P$ 
by(rule  $sc\text{-heap-progress}$ )

lemma  $sc\text{-heap-conf-read}:$ 
 $heap\text{-conf-read } addr2thread-id \ thread-id2addr \ sc\text{-empty } (sc\text{-allocate } P) \ sc\text{-typeof-addr } sc\text{-heap-read}$ 
 $sc\text{-heap-write } (sc\text{-hconf } P) \ P$ 
proof
  fix  $h \ a \ al \ v \ T$ 
  assume  $read: sc\text{-heap-read } h \ a \ al \ v$ 
  and  $alt: sc\text{.addr-loc-type } P \ h \ a \ al \ T$ 
  and  $hconf: P \vdash sc \ h \ \checkmark$ 
  thus  $P, h \vdash sc \ v : \leq T$ 
    apply(auto elim!: sc-heap-read.cases sc.addr-loc-type.cases simp add: sc-typeof-addr-def)
    apply(fastforce dest!: sc-hconfD simp add: sc-oconf-def tm.lookup-correct lm.lookup-correct rm.lookup-correct)+ done
  qed

interpretation  $sc:$ 
 $heap\text{-conf-read}$ 
 $addr2thread-id$ 
 $thread-id2addr$ 
 $sc\text{-spurious-wakeups}$ 
 $sc\text{-empty}$ 
 $sc\text{-allocate } P$ 
 $sc\text{-typeof-addr}$ 
 $sc\text{-heap-read}$ 
 $sc\text{-heap-write}$ 
 $sc\text{-hconf } P$ 
 $P$ 
for  $P$ 
by(rule  $sc\text{-heap-conf-read}$ )

abbreviation  $sc\text{-deterministic-heap-ops} :: 'm \ prog \Rightarrow bool$ 
where  $sc\text{-deterministic-heap-ops} \equiv sc\text{.deterministic-heap-ops } TYPE('m)$ 

```

```

lemma  $sc\text{-deterministic-heap-ops}: \neg sc\text{-spurious-wakeups} \implies sc\text{-deterministic-heap-ops } P$ 
by(rule  $sc\text{.deterministic-heap-opsI})(auto \ elim: sc\text{-heap-read.cases } sc\text{-heap-write.cases } simp \ add: sc\text{-allocate-def})$ 

```

8.2.4 Code generation

```

code-pred
  ( $modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow bool, i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$ )
   $sc\text{-heap-read} .$ 

code-pred
  ( $modes: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow bool, i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$ )
   $sc\text{-heap-write} .$ 

```

```

lemma eval-sc-heap-read-i-i-i-o:
  Predicate.eval (sc-heap-read-i-i-i-o h ad al) = sc-heap-read h ad al
by(auto elim: sc-heap-read-i-i-i-oE intro: sc-heap-read-i-i-i-oI intro!: ext)

lemma eval-sc-heap-write-i-i-i-i-o:
  Predicate.eval (sc-heap-write-i-i-i-i-o h ad al v) = sc-heap-write h ad al v
by(auto elim: sc-heap-write-i-i-i-i-oE intro: sc-heap-write-i-i-i-i-oI intro!: ext)

end

```

8.3 Orders as predicates

```

theory Orders
imports
  Main
begin

```

8.3.1 Preliminaries

transfer refl-on et al. from HOL.Relation to predicates

```

abbreviation refl-onP :: "'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool"
where refl-onP A r ≡ refl-on A {(x, y). r x y}

```

```

abbreviation reflP :: "('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool"
where reflP == refl-onP UNIV

```

```

abbreviation symP :: "('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool"
where symP r ≡ sym {(x, y). r x y}

```

```

abbreviation total-onP :: "'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool"
where total-onP A r ≡ total-on A {(x, y). r x y}

```

```

abbreviation irreflP :: "('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool"
where irreflP r == irrefl {(x, y). r x y}

```

```

definition irreflcp :: "('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (¬≠ [1000] 1000)
where r≠ a b = (r a b ∧ a ≠ b)

```

```

definition porder-on :: "'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool"
where porder-on A r ↔ refl-onP A r ∧ transp r ∧ antisymp r

```

```

definition torder-on :: "'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool"
where torder-on A r ↔ porder-on A r ∧ total-onP A r

```

```

definition order-consistent :: "('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool"
where order-consistent r s ↔ (∀ a a'. r a a' ∧ s a' a → a = a')

```

```

definition restrictP :: "('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infixl |` 110)
where (r |` A) a b ↔ r a b ∧ a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A

```

```

definition inv-imageP :: "('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
where [iff]: inv-imageP r f a b ↔ r (f a) (f b)

```

```

lemma refl-onPI: ( $\bigwedge a a'. r a a' \implies a \in A \wedge a' \in A$ )  $\implies (\bigwedge a. a : A \implies r a a) \implies \text{refl-onP } A r$ 
by(rule refl-onI)(auto)

lemma refl-onPD: refl-onP A r ==> a : A ==> r a a
by(drule (1) refl-onD)(simp)

lemma refl-onPD1: refl-onP A r ==> r a b ==> a : A
by(erule refl-onD1)(simp)

lemma refl-onPD2: refl-onP A r ==> r a b ==> b : A
by(erule refl-onD2)(simp)

lemma refl-onP-Int: refl-onP A r ==> refl-onP B s ==> refl-onP (A ∩ B) ( $\lambda a a'. r a a' \wedge s a a'$ )
by(drule (1) refl-on-Int)(simp add: split-def inf-fun-def inf-set-def)

lemma refl-onP-Un: refl-onP A r ==> refl-onP B s ==> refl-onP (A ∪ B) ( $\lambda a a'. r a a' \vee s a a'$ )
by(drule (1) refl-on-Un)(simp add: split-def sup-fun-def sup-set-def)

lemma refl-onP-empty[simp]: refl-onP {} ( $\lambda a a'. \text{False}$ )
unfolding split-def by simp

lemma refl-onP-tranclp:
  assumes refl-onP A r
  shows refl-onP A r++
proof(rule refl-onPI)
  fix a a'
  assume r++ a a'
  thus a ∈ A ∧ a' ∈ A
    by(induct)(blast intro: refl-onPD1[OF assms] refl-onPD2[OF assms])+
next
  fix a
  assume a ∈ A
  from refl-onPD[OF assms this] show r++ a a ..
qed

lemma irreflPI: ( $\bigwedge a. \neg r a a$ )  $\implies \text{irreflP } r$ 
unfolding irrefl-def by blast

lemma irreflPE:
  assumes irreflP r
  obtains  $\forall a. \neg r a a$ 
  using assms unfolding irrefl-def by blast

lemma irreflPD: [ irreflP r; r a a ]  $\implies \text{False}$ 
unfolding irrefl-def by blast

lemma irreflclpD:
   $r \neq a b \implies r a b \wedge a \neq b$ 
by(simp add: irreflclp-def)

lemma irreflclpI [intro!]:
  [ r a b; a ≠ b ]  $\implies r \neq a b$ 
by(simp add: irreflclp-def)

```

```

lemma irreflclpE [elim!]:
  assumes r ≠ a b
  obtains r a b a ≠ b
using assms by(simp add: irreflclp-def)

lemma transPI: (Λx y z. [r x y; r y z] ⇒ r x z) ⇒ transp r
  by (fact transpI)

lemma transPD: [transp r; r x y; r y z] ⇒ r x z
  by (fact transpD)

lemma transP-tranclp: transp r ^+ +
  by (fact trans-trancl [to-pred])

lemma antisymPI: (Λx y. [r x y; r y x] ⇒ x = y) ⇒ antisymp r
  by (fact antisympI)

lemma antisymPD: [antisymp r; r a b; r b a] ⇒ a = b
  by (fact antisympD)

lemma antisym-subset:
  [antisymp r; Λa a'. s a a' ⇒ r a a'] ⇒ antisymp s
  by (blast intro: antisymp-less-eq [of s r])

lemma symPI: (Λx y. r x y ⇒ r y x) ⇒ symP r
by(rule symI)(blast)

lemma symPD: [symP r; r x y] ⇒ r y x
by(blast dest: symD)

```

8.3.2 Easy properties

```

lemma porder-onI:
  [refl-onP A r; antisymp r; transp r] ⇒ porder-on A r
unfolding porder-on-def by blast

lemma porder-onE:
  assumes porder-on A r
  obtains refl-onP A r antisymp r transp r
using assms unfolding porder-on-def by blast

lemma torder-onI:
  [porder-on A r; total-onP A r] ⇒ torder-on A r
unfolding torder-on-def by blast

lemma torder-onE:
  assumes torder-on A r
  obtains porder-on A r total-onP A r
using assms unfolding torder-on-def by blast

lemma total-onI:
  (Λx y. [x ∈ A; y ∈ A] ⇒ (x, y) ∈ r ∨ x = y ∨ (y, x) ∈ r) ⇒ total-on A r
unfolding total-on-def by blast

```

```

lemma total-onPI:
  ( $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies r x y \vee x = y \vee r y x$ )  $\implies$  total-onP A r
by(rule total-onI) simp

lemma total-onD:
   $\llbracket \text{total-on } A r; x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies (x, y) \in r \vee x = y \vee (y, x) \in r$ 
unfolding total-on-def by blast

lemma total-onPD:
   $\llbracket \text{total-onP } A r; x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies r x y \vee x = y \vee r y x$ 
by(drule (2) total-onD) blast

```

8.3.3 Order consistency

```

lemma order-consistentI:
  ( $\bigwedge a a'. \llbracket r a a'; s a' a \rrbracket \implies a = a'$ )  $\implies$  order-consistent r s
unfolding order-consistent-def by blast

lemma order-consistentD:
   $\llbracket \text{order-consistent } r s; r a a'; s a' a \rrbracket \implies a = a'$ 
unfolding order-consistent-def by blast

lemma order-consistent-subset:
   $\llbracket \text{order-consistent } r s; \bigwedge a a'. r' a a' \implies r a a'; \bigwedge a a'. s' a a' \implies s a a' \rrbracket \implies \text{order-consistent } r' s'$ 
by(blast intro: order-consistentI order-consistentD)

lemma order-consistent-sym:
  order-consistent r s  $\implies$  order-consistent s r
by(blast intro: order-consistentI dest: order-consistentD)

lemma antisym-order-consistent-self:
  antisymp r  $\implies$  order-consistent r r
by(rule order-consistentI)(erule antisympD, simp-all)

lemma total-on-refl-on-consistent-into:
  assumes r: total-onP A r refl-onP A r
  and consist: order-consistent r s
  and x: x:  $x \in A$  and y:  $y \in A$  and s: s x y
  shows r x y
proof(cases x = y)
  case True
  with r x y show ?thesis by(blast intro: refl-onPD)
next
  case False
  with r x y have r x y  $\vee$  r y x by(blast dest: total-onD)
  thus ?thesis
  proof
    assume r y x
    with s consist have x = y by(blast dest: order-consistentD)
    with False show ?thesis by(contradiction)
  qed
qed

lemma porder-torder-tranclpE [consumes 5, case-names base step]:

```

```

assumes r: porder-on A r
and s: torder-on B s
and consist: order-consistent r s
and B-subset-A: B ⊆ A
and trancl: ( $\lambda a b. r a b \vee s a b$ ) $\wedge\wedge x y$ 
obtains r x y
  | u v where r x u s u v r v y
proof(atomize-elim)
  from r have refl-onP A r transp r by(blast elim: porder-onE)+
  from s have refl-onP B s total-onP B s transp s
    by(blast elim: torder-onE porder-onE)+

  from trancl show r x y  $\vee (\exists u v. r x u \wedge s u v \wedge r v y)$ 
proof(induct)
  case (base y)
  thus ?case
  proof
    assume s x y
    with s have x ∈ B y ∈ B
      by(blast elim: torder-onE porder-onE dest: refl-onPD1 refl-onPD2)+
    with B-subset-A have x ∈ A y ∈ A by blast+
    with refl-onPD[OF refl-onP A r, of x] refl-onPD[OF refl-onP A r, of y] ⟨s x y⟩
    show ?thesis by(iprover)
  next
    assume r x y
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
next
  case (step y z)
  note IH = ⟨r x y  $\vee (\exists u v. r x u \wedge s u v \wedge r v y)$ ⟩
  from ⟨r y z  $\vee$  s y z⟩ show ?case
  proof
    assume s y z
    with ⟨refl-onP B s⟩ have y ∈ B z ∈ B
      by(blast dest: refl-onPD2 refl-onPD1)+
    from IH show ?thesis
    proof
      assume r x y
      moreover from ⟨z ∈ B⟩ B-subset-A r have r z z
        by(blast elim: porder-onE dest: refl-onPD)
      ultimately show ?thesis using ⟨s y z⟩ by blast
    next
      assume  $\exists u v. r x u \wedge s u v \wedge r v y$ 
      then obtain u v where r x u s u v r v y by blast
      from ⟨refl-onP B s⟩ ⟨s u v⟩ have v ∈ B by(rule refl-onPD2)
      with ⟨total-onP B s⟩ ⟨refl-onP B s⟩ order-consistent-sym[OF consist]
      have s v y using ⟨y ∈ B⟩ ⟨r v y⟩
        by(rule total-on-refl-on-consistent-into)
      with ⟨transp s⟩ have s v z using ⟨s y z⟩ by(rule transPD)
      with ⟨transp s⟩ ⟨s u v⟩ have s u z by(rule transPD)
      moreover from ⟨z ∈ B⟩ B-subset-A have z ∈ A ..
      with ⟨refl-onP A r⟩ have r z z by(rule refl-onPD)
      ultimately show ?thesis using ⟨r x u⟩ by blast
    qed

```

```

next
  assume r y z
  with IH show ?thesis
    by(blast intro: transPD[OF ‹transp r›])
qed
qed
qed

lemma torder-on-porder-on-consistent-tranclp-antisym:
assumes r: porder-on A r
and s: torder-on B s
and consist: order-consistent r s
and B-subset-A: B ⊆ A
shows antisymp (λx y. r x y ∨ s x y) ^ ++
proof(rule antisymPI)
  fix x y
  let ?rs = λx y. r x y ∨ s x y
  assume ?rs ^ ++ x y ?rs ^ ++ y x
  from r have antisymp r transp r by(blast elim: porder-onE) +
  from s have total-onP B s refl-onP B s transp s antisymp s
    by(blast elim: torder-onE porder-onE) +
  from r s consist B-subset-A ‹?rs ^ ++ x y›
  show x = y
  proof(cases rule: porder-torder-tranclpE)
    case base
    from r s consist B-subset-A ‹?rs ^ ++ y x›
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases rule: porder-torder-tranclpE)
      case base
      with ‹antisymp r› ‹r x y› show ?thesis by(rule antisymPD)
    next
      case (step u v)
      from ‹r v x› ‹r x y› ‹r y u› have r v u by(blast intro: transPD[OF ‹transp r›])
      with consist have v = u using ‹s u v› by(rule order-consistentD)
      with ‹r y u› ‹r v x› have r y x by(blast intro: transPD[OF ‹transp r›])
      with ‹r x y› show ?thesis by(rule antisymPD[OF ‹antisymp r›])
    qed
  next
    case (step u v)
    from r s consist B-subset-A ‹?rs ^ ++ y x›
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases rule: porder-torder-tranclpE)
      case base
      from ‹r v y› ‹r y x› ‹r x u› have r v u by(blast intro: transPD[OF ‹transp r›])
      with order-consistent-sym[OF consist] ‹s u v›
      have u = v by(rule order-consistentD)
      with ‹r v y› ‹r x u› have r x y by(blast intro: transPD[OF ‹transp r›])
      thus ?thesis using ‹r y x› by(rule antisymPD[OF ‹antisymp r›])
    next
      case (step u' v')
      note r-into-s = total-on-refl-on-consistent-into[OF ‹total-onP B s› ‹refl-onP B s› order-consistent-sym[OF consist]]
      from ‹refl-onP B s› ‹s u v› ‹s u' v'›

```

```

have  $u \in B$   $v \in B$   $u' \in B$   $v' \in B$  by(blast dest: refl-onPD1 refl-onPD2)+  

from  $\langle r v' x \rangle \langle r x u \rangle$  have  $r v' u$  by(rule transPD[OF ⟨transp r⟩])  

with  $\langle v' \in B \rangle \langle u \in B \rangle$  have  $s v' u$  by(rule r-into-s)  

also note  $\langle s u v \rangle$   

also (transPD[OF ⟨transp s⟩])  

from  $\langle r v y \rangle \langle r y u' \rangle$  have  $r v u'$  by(rule transPD[OF ⟨transp r⟩])  

with  $\langle v \in B \rangle \langle u' \in B \rangle$  have  $s v u'$  by(rule r-into-s)  

finally (transPD[OF ⟨transp s⟩])  

have  $v' = u'$  using  $\langle s u' v' \rangle$  by(rule antisymPD[OF ⟨antisymp s⟩])  

moreover with  $\langle s v u' \rangle \langle s v' u \rangle$  have  $s v u$  by(blast intro: transPD[OF ⟨transp s⟩])  

with  $\langle s u v \rangle$  have  $u = v$  by(rule antisymPD[OF ⟨antisymp s⟩])  

ultimately have  $r x y r y x$  using  $\langle r x u \rangle \langle r v y \rangle \langle r y u' \rangle \langle r v' x \rangle$   

by(blast intro: transPD[OF ⟨transp r⟩])+  

thus ?thesis by(rule antisymPD[OF ⟨antisymp r⟩])  

qed  

qed  

qed

```

```

lemma porder-on-torder-on-tranclp-porder-onI:  

assumes r: porder-on A r  

and s: torder-on B s  

and consist: order-consistent r s  

and subset:  $B \subseteq A$   

shows porder-on A  $(\lambda a b. r a b \vee s a b) \wedge \wedge$   

proof(rule porder-onI)  

let ?rs =  $\lambda a b. r a b \vee s a b$   

from r have refl-onP A r by(rule porder-onE)  

moreover from s have refl-onP B s by(blast elim: torder-onE porder-onE)  

ultimately have refl-onP (A  $\cup$  B) ?rs by(rule refl-onP-Un)  

also from subset have A  $\cup$  B = A by blast  

finally show refl-onP A ?rs  $\wedge \wedge$  by(rule refl-onP-tranclp)  

show transp ?rs  $\wedge \wedge$  by(rule transP-tranclp)  

from r s consist subset show antisymp ?rs  $\wedge \wedge$   

by (rule torder-on-porder-on-consistent-tranclp-antisym)  

qed

```

```

lemma porder-on-sub-torder-on-tranclp-porder-onI:  

assumes r: porder-on A r  

and s: torder-on B s  

and consist: order-consistent r s  

and t:  $\lambda x y. t x y \implies s x y$   

and subset:  $B \subseteq A$   

shows porder-on A  $(\lambda x y. r x y \vee t x y) \wedge \wedge$   

proof(rule porder-onI)  

let ?rt =  $\lambda x y. r x y \vee t x y$   

let ?rs =  $\lambda x y. r x y \vee s x y$   

from r s consist subset have antisymp ?rs  $\wedge \wedge$   

by(rule torder-on-porder-on-consistent-tranclp-antisym)  

thus antisymp ?rt  $\wedge \wedge$   

proof(rule antisym-subset)  

fix x y  

assume ?rt  $\wedge \wedge$  x y thus ?rs  $\wedge \wedge$  x y

```

```

by(induct)(blast intro: tranclp.r-into-trancl t tranclp.trancl-into-trancl t)+  

qed

from s have refl-onP B s by(blast elim: porder-onE torder-onE)
{ fix x y
  assume t x y
  hence s x y by(rule t)
  hence x ∈ B y ∈ B
    by(blast dest: refl-onPD1[OF refl-onP B s] refl-onPD2[OF refl-onP B s])+
    with subset have x ∈ A y ∈ A by blast+
  note t-reflD = this

from r have refl-onP A r by(rule porder-onE)
show refl-onP A ?rt^+++
proof(rule refl-onPI)
  fix a a'
  assume ?rt^++ a a'
  thus a ∈ A ∧ a' ∈ A
    by(induct)(auto dest: refl-onPD1[OF refl-onP A r] refl-onPD2[OF refl-onP A r] t-reflD)
next
fix a
assume a ∈ A
with refl-onP A r have r a a by(rule refl-onPD)
thus ?rt^++ a a by(blast intro: tranclp.r-into-trancl)
qed

show transp ?rt^++ by(rule transP-tranclp)
qed

```

8.3.4 Order restrictions

```

lemma restrictPI [intro!, simp]:
  ⟦ r a b; a ∈ A; b ∈ A ⟧ ⟹ (r |‘ A) a b
unfolding restrictP-def by simp

lemma restrictPE [elim!]:
  assumes (r |‘ A) a b
  obtains r a b a ∈ A b ∈ A
using assms unfolding restrictP-def by simp

lemma restrictP-empty [simp]: R |‘ {} = (λ- -. False)
by(simp add: restrictP-def[abs-def])

lemma refl-on-restrictPI:
  refl-onP A r ⟹ refl-onP (A ∩ B) (r |‘ B)
by(rule refl-onPI)(blast dest: refl-onPD1 refl-onPD2 refl-onPD)+

lemma refl-on-restrictPI':
  ⟦ refl-onP A r; B = A ∩ C ⟧ ⟹ refl-onP B (r |‘ C)
by(simp add: refl-on-restrictPI)

lemma antisym-restrictPI:
  antisymp r ⟹ antisymp (r |‘ A)
by(rule antisymPI)(blast dest: antisymPD)

```

```

lemma trans-restrictPI:
  transp r  $\Rightarrow$  transp (r |‘ A)
by(rule transPI)(blast dest: transPD)

lemma porder-on-restrictPI:
  porder-on A r  $\Rightarrow$  porder-on (A  $\cap$  B) (r |‘ B)
by(blast elim: porder-onE intro: refl-on-restrictPI antisym-restrictPI trans-restrictPI porder-onI)

lemma porder-on-restrictPI':
  [ porder-on A r; B = A  $\cap$  C ]  $\Rightarrow$  porder-on B (r |‘ C)
by(simp add: porder-on-restrictPI)

lemma total-on-restrictPI:
  total-onP A r  $\Rightarrow$  total-onP (A  $\cap$  B) (r |‘ B)
by(blast intro: total-onPI dest: total-onPD)

lemma total-on-restrictPI':
  [ total-onP A r; B = A  $\cap$  C ]  $\Rightarrow$  total-onP B (r |‘ C)
by(simp add: total-on-restrictPI)

lemma torder-on-restrictPI:
  torder-on A r  $\Rightarrow$  torder-on (A  $\cap$  B) (r |‘ B)
by(blast elim: torder-onE intro: torder-onI porder-on-restrictPI total-on-restrictPI)

lemma torder-on-restrictPI':
  [ torder-on A r; B = A  $\cap$  C ]  $\Rightarrow$  torder-on B (r |‘ C)
by(simp add: torder-on-restrictPI)

lemma restrictP-commute:
  fixes r :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  shows r |‘ A |‘ B = r |‘ B |‘ A
by(blast intro!: ext)

lemma restrictP-subsume1:
  fixes r :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  assumes A  $\subseteq$  B
  shows r |‘ A |‘ B = r |‘ A
using assms by(blast intro!: ext)

lemma restrictP-subsume2:
  fixes r :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  assumes B  $\subseteq$  A
  shows r |‘ A |‘ B = r |‘ B
using assms by(blast intro!: ext)

lemma restrictP-idem [simp]:
  fixes r :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  shows r |‘ A |‘ A = r |‘ A
by(simp add: restrictP-subsume1)

```

8.3.5 Maximal elements w.r.t. a total order

definition max-torder :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a

where $\text{max-torder } r \ a \ b = (\text{if Domainp } r \ a \wedge \text{Domainp } r \ b \text{ then if } r \ a \ b \text{ then } b \text{ else } a \text{ else if } a = b \text{ then } a \text{ else SOME } a. \neg \text{Domainp } r \ a)$

```

lemma refl-on-DomainD: refl-on A r  $\implies A = \text{Domain } r$ 
by(auto simp add: Domain-unfold dest: refl-onD refl-onD1)

lemma refl-onP-DomainPD: refl-onP A r  $\implies A = \{a. \text{Domainp } r \ a\}$ 
by(drule refl-on-DomainD) auto

lemma semilattice-max-torder:
  assumes tot: torder-on A r
  shows semilattice (max-torder r)
proof -
  from tot have as: antisymp r
  and to: total-onP A r
  and trans: transp r
  and refl: refl-onP A r
  by(auto elim: torder-onE porder-onE)
  from refl have {a. Domainp r a} = A by (rule refl-onP-DomainPD[symmetric])
  from this [symmetric] have domain:  $\bigwedge a. \text{Domainp } r \ a \longleftrightarrow a \in A$  by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix x y z
    show max-torder r (max-torder r x y) z = max-torder r x (max-torder r y z)
    proof (cases x ≠ y ∧ x ≠ z ∧ y ≠ z)
      case True
      have *:  $\bigwedge a \ b. a \neq b \implies \text{max-torder } r \ a \ b = (\text{if Domainp } r \ a \wedge \text{Domainp } r \ b \text{ then if } r \ a \ b \text{ then } b \text{ else } a \text{ else SOME } a. \neg \text{Domainp } r \ a)$ 
      by (auto simp add: max-torder-def)
      with True show ?thesis
        apply (simp only: max-torder-def domain)
        apply (auto split!: if-splits)
        apply (blast dest: total-onPD [OF to] transPD [OF trans] antisymPD [OF as] refl-onPD1 [OF refl] refl-onPD2 [OF refl] someI [where P=λa. a ∈ A])+
        done
    next
      have max-torder-idem:  $\bigwedge a. \text{max-torder } r \ a \ a = a$  by (simp add: max-torder-def)
      case False then show ?thesis
        apply (auto simp add: max-torder-idem)
        apply (auto simp add: max-torder-def domain dest: someI [where P=λa. a ∈ A])
        done
    qed
  next
    fix x y
    show max-torder r x y = max-torder r y x
    by (auto simp add: max-torder-def domain dest: total-onPD [OF to] antisymPD [OF as])
  next
    fix x
    show max-torder r x x = x
    by (simp add: max-torder-def)
  qed
qed

lemma max-torder-ge-conv-disj:
```

```

assumes tot: torder-on A r and x: x ∈ A and y: y ∈ A
shows r z (max-torder r x y) ←→ r z x ∨ r z y
proof -
  from tot have as: antisymp r
  and to: total-onP A r
  and trans: transp r
  and refl: refl-onP A r
  by(auto elim: torder-onE porder-onE)
from refl have {a. Domainp r a} = A by (rule refl-onP-DomainPD[symmetric])
from this [symmetric] have domain: ∏a. Domainp r a ←→ a ∈ A by simp
show ?thesis using x y
  by(simp add: max-torder-def domain)(blast dest: total-onPD[OF to] transPD[OF trans])
qed

definition Max-torder :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a
where
  Max-torder r = semilattice-set.F (max-torder r)

context
  fixes A r
  assumes tot: torder-on A r
begin

lemma semilattice-set:
  semilattice-set (max-torder r)
  by (rule semilattice-set.intro, rule semilattice-max-torder) (fact tot)

lemma domain:
  Domainp r a ←→ a ∈ A
proof -
  from tot have {a. Domainp r a} = A
  by (auto elim: torder-onE porder-onE dest: refl-onP-DomainPD [symmetric])
  from this [symmetric] show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma Max-torder-in-Domain:
  assumes B: finite B B ≠ {} B ⊆ A
  shows Max-torder r B ∈ A
proof -
  interpret Max-torder: semilattice-set max-torder r
  rewrites
    semilattice-set.F (max-torder r) = Max-torder r
    by (fact semilattice-set Max-torder-def [symmetric])+
  show ?thesis using B
  by (induct rule: finite-ne-induct) (simp-all add: max-torder-def domain)
qed

lemma Max-torder-in-set:
  assumes B: finite B B ≠ {} B ⊆ A
  shows Max-torder r B ∈ B
proof -
  interpret Max-torder: semilattice-set max-torder r
  rewrites
    semilattice-set.F (max-torder r) = Max-torder r

```

```

by (fact semilattice-set Max-torder-def [symmetric])+  

show ?thesis using B  

  by (induct rule: finite-ne-induct) (auto simp add: max-torder-def domain)  

qed

lemma Max-torder-above-iff:  

  assumes B: finite B B ≠ {} B ⊆ A  

  shows r x (Max-torder r B) ↔ (∃ a ∈ B. r x a)  

proof –  

  interpret Max-torder: semilattice-set max-torder r  

  rewrites  

    semilattice-set.F (max-torder r) = Max-torder r  

    by (fact semilattice-set Max-torder-def [symmetric])+  

  from B show ?thesis  

    by (induct rule: finite-ne-induct) (simp-all add: max-torder-ge-conv-disj [OF tot] Max-torder-in-Domain)  

qed

end

lemma Max-torder-above:  

  assumes tot: torder-on A r  

  and finite B a ∈ B B ⊆ A  

  shows r a (Max-torder r B)  

proof –  

  from tot have refl: refl-onP A r by(auto elim: torder-onE porder-onE)  

  with ⟨a ∈ B⟩ ⟨B ⊆ A⟩ have r a a by(blast dest: refl-onPD[OF refl])  

  from ⟨a ∈ B⟩ have B ≠ {} by auto  

  from Max-torder-above-iff [OF tot ⟨finite B⟩ this ⟨B ⊆ A⟩, of a] ⟨r a a⟩ ⟨a ∈ B⟩  

  show ?thesis by blast  

qed

lemma inv-imageP-id [simp]: inv-imageP R id = R  

by(simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma inv-into-id [simp]: a ∈ A ⇒ inv-into A id a = a  

by (metis f-inv-into-f id-apply image-id)

end

```

8.4 Axiomatic specification of the JMM

```

theory JMM-Spec
imports
  Orders
  .. / Common / Observable-Events
  Coinductive. Coinductive-List
begin

```

8.4.1 Definitions

```

type-synonym JMM-action = nat
type-synonym ('addr, 'thread-id) execution = ('thread-id × ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) llist

definition actions :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution ⇒ JMM-action set

```

where $actions\ E = \{n. enat\ n < llength\ E\}$

definition $action\text{-}tid :: ('addr, 'thread\text{-}id) execution \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}action \Rightarrow 'thread\text{-}id$
where $action\text{-}tid\ E\ a = fst\ (lnth\ E\ a)$

definition $action\text{-}obs :: ('addr, 'thread\text{-}id) execution \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}action \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread\text{-}id) obs\text{-}event action$
where $action\text{-}obs\ E\ a = snd\ (lnth\ E\ a)$

definition $tactions :: ('addr, 'thread\text{-}id) execution \Rightarrow 'thread\text{-}id \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}action\ set$
where $tactions\ E\ t = \{a. a \in actions\ E \wedge action\text{-}tid\ E\ a = t\}$

inductive $is\text{-}new\text{-}action :: ('addr, 'thread\text{-}id) obs\text{-}event action \Rightarrow bool$
where

$NewHeapElem: is\text{-}new\text{-}action (NormalAction (NewHeapElem\ a\ hT))$

inductive $is\text{-}write\text{-}action :: ('addr, 'thread\text{-}id) obs\text{-}event action \Rightarrow bool$
where

$NewHeapElem: is\text{-}write\text{-}action (NormalAction (NewHeapElem\ ad\ hT))$
 $| WriteMem: is\text{-}write\text{-}action (NormalAction (WriteMem\ ad\ al\ v))$

Initialisation actions are synchronisation actions iff they initialize volatile fields – cf. JMM mailing list, message no. 62 (5 Nov. 2006). However, intuitively correct programs might not be correctly synchronized:

```
x = 0
-----
r1 = x | r2 = x
```

Here, if x is not volatile, the initial write can belong to at most one thread. Hence, it is happens-before to either $r1 = x$ or $r2 = x$, but not both. In any sequentially consistent execution, both reads must read from the initialisation action $x = 0$, but it is not happens-before ordered to one of them.

Moreover, if only volatile initialisations synchronize-with all thread-start actions, this breaks the proof of the DRF guarantee since it assumes that the happens-before relation $\leq hb$ a for an action a in a topologically sorted action sequence depends only on the actions before it. Counter example: (y is volatile)

$[(t1, start), (t1, init x), (t2, start), (t1, init y), ...]$

Here, $(t1, init x) \leq hb (t2, start)$ via: $(t1, init x) \leq po (t1, init y) \leq sw (t2, start)$, but in $[(t1, start), (t1, init x), (t2, start)]$, not $(t1, init x) \leq hb (t2, start)$.

Sevcik speculated that one might add an initialisation thread which performs all initialisation actions. All normal threads' start action would then synchronize on the final action of the initialisation thread. However, this contradicts the memory chain condition in the final field extension to the JMM (threads must read addresses of objects that they have not created themselves before they can access the fields of the object at that address) – not modelled here.

Instead, we leave every initialisation action in the thread it belongs to, but order it explicitly before the thread's start action and add synchronizes-with edges from *all* initialisation actions to *all* thread start actions.

inductive $saction :: 'm\ prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread\text{-}id) obs\text{-}event action \Rightarrow bool$
for $P :: 'm\ prog$
where

$NewHeapElem: saction\ P\ (NormalAction\ (NewHeapElem\ a\ hT))$

```

| Read: is-volatile P al  $\implies$  saction P (NormalAction (ReadMem a al v))
| Write: is-volatile P al  $\implies$  saction P (NormalAction (WriteMem a al v))
| ThreadStart: saction P (NormalAction (ThreadStart t))
| ThreadJoin: saction P (NormalAction (ThreadJoin t))
| SyncLock: saction P (NormalAction (SyncLock a))
| SyncUnlock: saction P (NormalAction (SyncUnlock a))
| ObsInterrupt: saction P (NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t))
| ObsInterrupted: saction P (NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t))
| InitialThreadAction: saction P InitialThreadAction
| ThreadFinishAction: saction P ThreadFinishAction

```

definition *sactions* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow JMM-action set
where *sactions P E* = {*a*. *a* \in *actions E* \wedge *saction P (action-obs E a)*}

inductive-set *write-actions* :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow JMM-action set
for *E* :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution
where

write-actionsI: $\llbracket a \in \text{actions } E; \text{is-write-action} (\text{action-obs } E a) \rrbracket \implies a \in \text{write-actions } E$

NewObj and *NewArr* actions only initialize those fields and array cells that are in fact in the object or array. Hence, they are not a write for - reads from addresses for which no object/array is created during the whole execution - reads from fields/cells that are not part of the object/array at the specified address.

primrec *addr-locs* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow htype \Rightarrow addr-loc set
where

addr-locs P (Class-type C) = {*CField D F|D F. $\exists fm T. P \vdash C \text{ has } F:T (fm) \text{ in } D$* }
| *addr-locs P (Array-type T n)* = {*ACell n|n'. n' < n*} \cup {*CField Object F|F. $\exists fm T. P \vdash Object \text{ has } F:T (fm) \text{ in } Object$* }

action-loc-aux would naturally be an inductive set, but *inductive_set* does not allow to pattern match on parameters. Hence, specify it using function and derive the setup manually.

fun *action-loc-aux* :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action \Rightarrow ('addr \times addr-loc) set

where

```

action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad (Class-type C))) =
  {(ad, CField D F|D F fm. P ⊢ C has F:T (fm) in D)}
| action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad (Array-type T n'))) =
  {(ad, ACell n|n. n < n')  $\cup$  {(ad, CField D F|D F fm. P ⊢ Object has F:T (fm) in D)}}
| action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v)) = {(ad, al)}
| action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)) = {(ad, al)}
| action-loc-aux - - = {}

```

lemma *action-loc-aux-intros* [intro?]:

P ⊢ class-type-of hT has F:T (fm) in D \implies (ad, *CField D F*) \in *action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad hT))*

n < n' \implies (ad, *ACell n*) \in *action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad (Array-type T n')))*

(ad, al) \in *action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v))*

(ad, al) \in *action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v))*

by (cases *hT*) auto

lemma *action-loc-aux-cases* [elim?, cases set: *action-loc-aux*]:

assumes *adal* \in *action-loc-aux P obs*

obtains (*NewHeapElem*) *hT F T fm D ad* **where** *obs* = *NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad hT)* *adal* = (ad, *CField D F*) *P ⊢ class-type-of hT has F:T (fm) in D*

```

| (NewArr) n n' ad T where obs = NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad (Array-type T n')) adal = (ad, ACell n) n < n'
| (WriteMem) ad al v where obs = NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v) adal = (ad, al)
| (ReadMem) ad al v where obs = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) adal = (ad, al)
using assms by(cases (P, obs) rule: action-loc-aux.cases) fastforce+

```

lemma action-loc-aux-simps [simp]:

```

(ad', al') ∈ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad hT))  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
(∃ D F T fm. ad = ad' ∧ al' = CField D F ∧ P ⊢ class-type-of hT has F:T (fm) in D) ∨
(∃ n T n'. ad = ad' ∧ al' = ACell n ∧ hT = Array-type T n' ∧ n < n')
(ad', al') ∈ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v))  $\longleftrightarrow$  ad = ad' ∧ al = al'
(ad', al') ∈ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v))  $\longleftrightarrow$  ad = ad' ∧ al = al'
(ad', al') ∉ action-loc-aux P InitialThreadAction
(ad', al') ∉ action-loc-aux P ThreadFinishAction
(ad', al') ∉ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (ExternalCall a m vs v))
(ad', al') ∉ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (ThreadStart t))
(ad', al') ∉ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (ThreadJoin t))
(ad', al') ∉ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (SyncLock a))
(ad', al') ∉ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (SyncUnlock a))
(ad', al') ∉ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t))
(ad', al') ∉ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t))

```

by(cases hT) auto

declare action-loc-aux.simps [simp del]

abbreviation action-loc :: 'm prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow ('addr × addr-loc) set
where action-loc P E a \equiv action-loc-aux P (action-obs E a)

inductive-set read-actions :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow JMM-action set

for E :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution

where

ReadMem: $\llbracket a \in \text{actions } E; \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem ad al v}) \rrbracket \implies a \in \text{read-actions } E$

fun addr-loc-default :: 'm prog \Rightarrow htype \Rightarrow addr-loc \Rightarrow 'addr val

where

```

addr-loc-default P (Class-type C) (CField D F) = default-val (fst (the (map-of (fields P C) (F, D))))
| addr-loc-default P (Array-type T n) (ACell n') = default-val T
| addr-loc-default-Array-CField:
    addr-loc-default P (Array-type T n) (CField D F) = default-val (fst (the (map-of (fields P Object) (F, Object))))
| addr-loc-default P - - = undefined

```

definition new-actions-for :: 'm prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow ('addr × addr-loc) \Rightarrow JMM-action set

where

```

new-actions-for P E adal =
{a. a ∈ actions E ∧ adal ∈ action-loc P E a ∧ is-new-action (action-obs E a)}

```

inductive-set external-actions :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow JMM-action set

for E :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution

where

$\llbracket a \in \text{actions } E; \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ExternalCall ad M vs v}) \rrbracket$

$\implies a \in \text{external-actions } E$

```

fun value-written-aux :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val
where
  value-written-aux P (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad' hT)) al = addr-loc-default P hT al
  | value-written-aux-WriteMem':
    value-written-aux P (NormalAction (WriteMem ad al' v)) al = (if al = al' then v else undefined)
  | value-written-aux-undefined:
    value-written-aux P - al = undefined

primrec value-written :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id) execution  $\Rightarrow$  JMM-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr  $\times$  addr-loc)
 $\Rightarrow$  'addr val
where value-written P E a (ad, al) = value-written-aux P (action-obs E a) al

definition value-read :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution  $\Rightarrow$  JMM-action  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val
where
  value-read E a =
  (case action-obs E a of
    NormalAction obs  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (case obs of
        ReadMem ad al v  $\Rightarrow$  v
        | -  $\Rightarrow$  undefined)
    | -  $\Rightarrow$  undefined)

definition action-order :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution  $\Rightarrow$  JMM-action  $\Rightarrow$  JMM-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\vdash$  -
 $\leq_a$  - [51,0,50] 50)
where
  E  $\vdash$  a  $\leq_a$  a'  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  a  $\in$  actions E  $\wedge$  a'  $\in$  actions E  $\wedge$ 
  (if is-new-action (action-obs E a)
    then is-new-action (action-obs E a')  $\longrightarrow$  a  $\leq$  a'
    else  $\neg$  is-new-action (action-obs E a')  $\wedge$  a  $\leq$  a'

definition program-order :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution  $\Rightarrow$  JMM-action  $\Rightarrow$  JMM-action  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\vdash$  -
 $\leq_{po}$  - [51,0,50] 50)
where
  E  $\vdash$  a  $\leq_{po}$  a'  $\longleftrightarrow$  E  $\vdash$  a  $\leq_a$  a'  $\wedge$  action-tid E a = action-tid E a'

inductive synchronizes-with :: 
  'm prog
   $\Rightarrow$  ('thread-id  $\times$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action)  $\Rightarrow$  ('thread-id  $\times$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (-  $\vdash$  -  $\sim_{sw}$  - [51, 51, 51] 50)
  for P :: 'm prog
where
  ThreadStart: P  $\vdash$  (t, NormalAction (ThreadStart t'))  $\sim_{sw}$  (t', InitialThreadAction)
  | ThreadFinish: P  $\vdash$  (t, ThreadFinishAction)  $\sim_{sw}$  (t', NormalAction (ThreadJoin t))
  | UnlockLock: P  $\vdash$  (t, NormalAction (SyncUnlock a))  $\sim_{sw}$  (t', NormalAction (SyncLock a))
  | — Only volatile writes synchronize with volatile reads. We could check volatility of al here, but this is checked by sactions in sync-with anyway.
  Volatile: P  $\vdash$  (t, NormalAction (WriteMem a al v))  $\sim_{sw}$  (t', NormalAction (ReadMem a al v'))
  | VolatileNew:
    al  $\in$  addr-locs P hT
     $\implies P \vdash$  (t, NormalAction (NewHeapElem a hT))  $\sim_{sw}$  (t', NormalAction (ReadMem a al v))

```

| *NewHeapElem*: $P \vdash (t, \text{NormalAction} (\text{NewHeapElem } a \ hT)) \rightsquigarrow_{sw} (t', \text{InitialThreadAction})$
| *Interrupt*: $P \vdash (t, \text{NormalAction} (\text{ObsInterrupt } t')) \rightsquigarrow_{sw} (t'', \text{NormalAction} (\text{ObsInterrupted } t'))$

definition sync-order ::

' m prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow bool
 $(_, _ \vdash - \leq_{so} - [51, 0, 0, 50] 50)$

where

$P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} a' \longleftrightarrow a \in \text{sactions } P \ E \wedge a' \in \text{sactions } P \ E \wedge E \vdash a \leq a \ a'$

definition sync-with ::

' m prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow bool
 $(_, _ \vdash - \leq_{sw} - [51, 0, 0, 50] 50)$

where

$P, E \vdash a \leq_{sw} a' \longleftrightarrow$

$P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} a' \wedge P \vdash (\text{action-tid } E \ a, \ \text{action-obs } E \ a) \rightsquigarrow_{sw} (\text{action-tid } E \ a', \ \text{action-obs } E \ a')$

definition po-sw :: ' m prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow bool
where po-sw $P \ E \ a \ a' \longleftrightarrow E \vdash a \leq_{po} a' \vee P, E \vdash a \leq_{sw} a'$

abbreviation happens-before ::

' m prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow bool
 $(_, _ \vdash - \leq_{hb} - [51, 0, 0, 50] 50)$

where happens-before $P \ E \equiv (\text{po-sw } P \ E)^{\wedge \wedge \wedge}$

type-synonym write-seen = JMM-action \Rightarrow JMM-action

definition is-write-seen :: ' m prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow write-seen \Rightarrow bool **where**

is-write-seen $P \ E \ ws \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall a \in \text{read-actions } E. \ \forall ad \ al \ v. \ \text{action-obs } E \ a = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem } ad \ al \ v) \longrightarrow$
 $ws \ a \in \text{write-actions } E \wedge (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P \ E \ (ws \ a) \wedge$
 $\text{value-written } P \ E \ (ws \ a) \ (ad, al) = v \wedge \neg P, E \vdash a \leq_{hb} ws \ a \wedge$
 $(\text{is-volatile } P \ al \longrightarrow \neg P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} ws \ a) \wedge$
 $(\forall w' \in \text{write-actions } E. \ (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P \ E \ w' \longrightarrow$
 $(P, E \vdash ws \ a \leq_{hb} w' \wedge P, E \vdash w' \leq_{hb} a \vee \text{is-volatile } P \ al \wedge P, E \vdash ws \ a \leq_{so} w' \wedge P, E \vdash w'$
 $\leq_{so} a) \longrightarrow$
 $w' = ws \ a))$

definition thread-start-actions-ok :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow bool

where

thread-start-actions-ok $E \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall a \in \text{actions } E. \ \neg \text{is-new-action} (\text{action-obs } E \ a) \longrightarrow$

$(\exists i. \ i \leq a \wedge \text{action-obs } E \ i = \text{InitialThreadAction} \wedge \text{action-tid } E \ i = \text{action-tid } E \ a))$

primrec wf-exec :: ' m prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \times write-seen \Rightarrow bool $(\vdash - \checkmark [51, 50] 51)$
where $P \vdash (E, ws) \checkmark \longleftrightarrow \text{is-write-seen } P \ E \ ws \wedge \text{thread-start-actions-ok } E$

inductive most-recent-write-for :: ' m prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow bool

$(_, _ \vdash - \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} - [50, 0, 51] 51)$

for $P :: 'm$ prog **and** $E :: ('addr, 'thread-id)$ execution **and** $ra :: JMM\text{-action}$ **and** $wa :: JMM\text{-action}$
where

$\llbracket ra \in \text{read-actions } E; \ adal \in \text{action-loc } P \ E \ ra; \ E \vdash wa \leq a \ ra;$

$wa \in \text{write-actions } E; \ adal \in \text{action-loc } P \ E \ wa;$

$\wedge wa'. \llbracket wa' \in \text{write-actions } E; \ adal \in \text{action-loc } P \ E \ wa' \rrbracket$

$$\begin{aligned} &\implies E \vdash wa' \leq_a wa \vee E \vdash ra \leq_a wa' \\ &\implies P, E \vdash ra \sim_{mrw} wa \end{aligned}$$

```
primrec sequentially-consistent :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  (('addr, 'thread-id) execution  $\times$  write-seen)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  sequentially-consistent P (E, ws)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall r \in$  read-actions E. P, E  $\vdash r \sim_{mrw} ws\ r$ )
```

8.4.2 Actions

inductive-cases is-new-action-cases [elim!]:

- is-new-action (NormalAction (ExternalCall a M vs v))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (ReadMem a al v))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (WriteMem a al v))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (NewHeapElem a hT))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (ThreadStart t))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (ThreadJoin t))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (SyncLock a))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (SyncUnlock a))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t))
- is-new-action InitialThreadAction
- is-new-action ThreadFinishAction

inductive-simps is-new-action-simps [simp]:

- is-new-action (NormalAction (NewHeapElem a hT))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (ExternalCall a M vs v))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (ReadMem a al v))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (WriteMem a al v))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (ThreadStart t))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (ThreadJoin t))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (SyncLock a))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (SyncUnlock a))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t))
- is-new-action (NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t))
- is-new-action InitialThreadAction
- is-new-action ThreadFinishAction

lemmas is-new-action-iff = is-new-action.simps

inductive-simps is-write-action-simps [simp]:

- is-write-action InitialThreadAction
- is-write-action ThreadFinishAction
- is-write-action (NormalAction (ExternalCall a m vs v))
- is-write-action (NormalAction (ReadMem a al v))
- is-write-action (NormalAction (WriteMem a al v))
- is-write-action (NormalAction (NewHeapElem a hT))
- is-write-action (NormalAction (ThreadStart t))
- is-write-action (NormalAction (ThreadJoin t))
- is-write-action (NormalAction (SyncLock a))
- is-write-action (NormalAction (SyncUnlock a))
- is-write-action (NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t))
- is-write-action (NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t))

declare saction.intros [intro!]

```

inductive-cases saction-cases [elim!]:
  saction P (NormalAction (ExternalCall a M vs v))
  saction P (NormalAction (ReadMem a al v))
  saction P (NormalAction (WriteMem a al v))
  saction P (NormalAction (NewHeapElem a hT))
  saction P (NormalAction (ThreadStart t))
  saction P (NormalAction (ThreadJoin t))
  saction P (NormalAction (SyncLock a))
  saction P (NormalAction (SyncUnlock a))
  saction P (NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t))
  saction P (NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t))
  saction P InitialThreadAction
  saction P ThreadFinishAction

inductive-simps saction-simps [simp]:
  saction P (NormalAction (ExternalCall a M vs v))
  saction P (NormalAction (ReadMem a al v))
  saction P (NormalAction (WriteMem a al v))
  saction P (NormalAction (NewHeapElem a hT))
  saction P (NormalAction (ThreadStart t))
  saction P (NormalAction (ThreadJoin t))
  saction P (NormalAction (SyncLock a))
  saction P (NormalAction (SyncUnlock a))
  saction P (NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t))
  saction P (NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t))
  saction P InitialThreadAction
  saction P ThreadFinishAction

lemma new-action-saction [simp, intro]: is-new-action a  $\implies$  saction P a
by (blast elim: is-new-action.cases)

lemmas saction-iff = saction.simps

lemma actionsD: a ∈ actions E  $\implies$  enat a < llength E
unfolding actions-def by blast

lemma actionsE:
  assumes a ∈ actions E
  obtains enat a < llength E
using assms unfolding actions-def by blast

lemma actions-lappend:
  llength xs = enat n  $\implies$  actions (lappend xs ys) = actions xs ∪ ((+) n) ` actions ys
unfolding actions-def
apply safe
  apply(erule contrapos-np)
  apply(rule-tac x=x - n in image-eqI)
  apply simp-all
  apply(case-tac [|] llength ys)
  apply simp-all
done

lemma tactionsE:

```

```

assumes  $a \in \text{tactions } E t$ 
obtains  $\text{obs}$  where  $a \in \text{actions } E \text{ action-tid } E a = t \text{ action-obs } E a = \text{obs}$ 
using assms
by(cases lnth E a)(auto simp add: tactions-def action-tid-def action-obs-def)

lemma sactionsI:
   $\llbracket a \in \text{actions } E; \text{saction } P (\text{action-obs } E a) \rrbracket \implies a \in \text{sactions } P E$ 
unfolding sactions-def by blast

lemma sactionsE:
  assumes  $a \in \text{sactions } P E$ 
  obtains  $a \in \text{actions } E \text{ saction } P (\text{action-obs } E a)$ 
using assms unfolding sactions-def by blast

lemma sactions-actions [simp]:
   $a \in \text{sactions } P E \implies a \in \text{actions } E$ 
by(rule sactionsE)

lemma value-written-aux-WriteMem [simp]:
   $\text{value-written-aux } P (\text{NormalAction } (\text{WriteMem } ad al v)) al = v$ 
by simp

declare value-written-aux-undefined [simp del]
declare value-written-aux-WriteMem' [simp del]

inductive-simps is-write-action-iff:
  is-write-action a

inductive-simps write-actions-iff:
   $a \in \text{write-actions } E$ 

lemma write-actions-actions [simp]:
   $a \in \text{write-actions } E \implies a \in \text{actions } E$ 
by(rule write-actions.induct)

inductive-simps read-actions-iff:
   $a \in \text{read-actions } E$ 

lemma read-actions-actions [simp]:
   $a \in \text{read-actions } E \implies a \in \text{actions } E$ 
by(rule read-actions.induct)

lemma read-action-action-locE:
  assumes  $r \in \text{read-actions } E$ 
  obtains  $ad al$  where  $(ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E r$ 
using assms by cases auto

lemma read-actions-not-write-actions:
   $\llbracket a \in \text{read-actions } E; a \in \text{write-actions } E \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$ 
by(auto elim!: read-actions.cases write-actions.cases)

lemma read-actions-Int-write-actions [simp]:
   $\text{read-actions } E \cap \text{write-actions } E = \{\}$ 
   $\text{write-actions } E \cap \text{read-actions } E = \{\}$ 

```

```

by(blast dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)+

lemma action-loc-addr-fun:
   $\llbracket (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E a; (ad', al') \in \text{action-loc } P E a \rrbracket \implies ad = ad'$ 
by(auto elim!: action-loc-aux-cases)

lemma value-written-cong [cong]:
   $\llbracket P = P'; a = a'; \text{action-obs } E a' = \text{action-obs } E' a' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{value-written } P E a = \text{value-written } P' E' a'$ 
by(rule ext)(auto split: action.splits)

declare value-written.simps [simp del]

lemma new-actionsI:
   $\llbracket a \in \text{actions } E; adal \in \text{action-loc } P E a; \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E a) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies a \in \text{new-actions-for } P E adal$ 
unfolding new-actions-for-def by blast

lemma new-actionsE:
  assumes a ∈ new-actions-for P E adal
  obtains a ∈ actions E adal ∈ action-loc P E a is-new-action (action-obs E a)
  using assms unfolding new-actions-for-def by blast

lemma action-loc-read-action-singleton:
   $\llbracket r \in \text{read-actions } E; adal \in \text{action-loc } P E r; adal' \in \text{action-loc } P E r \rrbracket \implies adal = adal'$ 
by(cases adal, cases adal')(fastforce elim: read-actions.cases action-loc-aux-cases)

lemma addr-locsI:
   $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } hT \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D \implies \text{CField } D F \in \text{addr-locs } P hT$ 
   $\llbracket hT = \text{Array-type } T n; n' < n \rrbracket \implies \text{ACell } n' \in \text{addr-locs } P hT$ 
by(cases hT)(auto dest: has-field-decl-above)

8.4.3 Orders

8.4.4 Action order

lemma action-orderI:
  assumes a ∈ actions E a' ∈ actions E
  and  $\llbracket \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E a); \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E a') \rrbracket \implies a \leq a'$ 
  and  $\neg \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E a) \implies \neg \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E a') \wedge a \leq a'$ 
  shows E ⊢ a ≤ a'
  using assms unfolding action-order-def by simp

lemma action-orderE:
  assumes E ⊢ a ≤ a a'
  obtains a ∈ actions E a' ∈ actions E
    is-new-action (action-obs E a) is-new-action (action-obs E a') → a ≤ a'
    | a ∈ actions E a' ∈ actions E
       $\neg \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E a) \neg \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E a') a \leq a'$ 
  using assms unfolding action-order-def by(simp split: if-split-asm)

lemma refl-action-order:
  refl-onP (actions E) (action-order E)
by(rule refl-onPI)(auto elim: action-orderE intro: action-orderI)

```

```

lemma antisym-action-order:
  antisymp (action-order E)
by(rule antisympI)(auto elim!: action-orderE)

lemma trans-action-order:
  transp (action-order E)
by(rule transpI)(auto elim!: action-orderE intro: action-orderI)

lemma porder-action-order:
  porder-on (actions E) (action-order E)
by(blast intro: porder-onI refl-action-order antisym-action-order trans-action-order)

lemma total-action-order:
  total-onP (actions E) (action-order E)
by(rule total-onPI)(auto simp add: action-order-def)

lemma torder-action-order:
  torder-on (actions E) (action-order E)
by(blast intro: torder-onI total-action-order porder-action-order)

lemma wf-action-order: wfP (action-order E)≠≠
unfolding wfP-eq-minimal
proof(intro strip)
  fix Q and x :: JMM-action
  assume x ∈ Q
  show ∃ z ∈ Q. ∀ y. (action-order E)≠≠ y z → y ∉ Q
  proof(cases ∃ a ∈ Q. a ∈ actions E ∧ is-new-action (action-obs E a))
    case True
    then obtain a where a: a ∈ actions E ∧ is-new-action (action-obs E a) ∧ a ∈ Q by blast
    define a' where a' = (LEAST a'. a' ∈ actions E ∧ is-new-action (action-obs E a') ∧ a' ∈ Q)
    from a have a': a' ∈ actions E ∧ is-new-action (action-obs E a') ∧ a' ∈ Q
      unfolding a'-def by(rule LeastI)
    { fix y
      assume y-le-a': (action-order E)≠≠ y a'
      have y ∉ Q
      proof
        assume y ∈ Q
        with y-le-a' a' have y: y ∈ actions E ∧ is-new-action (action-obs E y) ∧ y ∈ Q
          by(auto elim: action-orderE)
        hence a' ≤ y unfolding a'-def by(rule Least-le)
          with y-le-a' a' show False by(auto elim: action-orderE)
        qed }
      with a' show ?thesis by blast
    next
      case False
      hence not-new: ∧ a. [ a ∈ Q; a ∈ actions E ] ⇒ ¬ is-new-action (action-obs E a) by blast
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases Q ∩ actions E = {})
        case True
        with ⟨x ∈ Q⟩ show ?thesis by(auto elim: action-orderE)
      next
        case False
        define a' where a' = (LEAST a'. a' ∈ Q ∧ a' ∈ actions E ∧ ¬ is-new-action (action-obs E a'))

```

```

from False obtain a where a ∈ Q a ∈ actions E by blast
with not-new[OF this] have a ∈ Q ∧ a ∈ actions E ∧ ¬ is-new-action (action-obs E a) by blast
hence a': a' ∈ Q ∧ a' ∈ actions E ∧ ¬ is-new-action (action-obs E a')
unfolding a'-def by(rule LeastI)
{ fix y
  assume y-le-a': (action-order E) ≠ y a'
  hence y ∈ actions E by(auto elim: action-orderE)
  have y ∉ Q
  proof
    assume y ∈ Q
    hence y-not-new: ¬ is-new-action (action-obs E y)
    using ⟨y ∈ actions E⟩ by(rule not-new)
    with ⟨y ∈ Q⟩ ⟨y ∈ actions E⟩ have a' ≤ y
    unfolding a'-def by -(rule Least-le, blast)
    with y-le-a' y-not-new show False by(auto elim: action-orderE)
    qed
  with a' show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma action-order-is-new-actionD:
 $\llbracket E \vdash a \leq a' ; \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E a') \rrbracket \implies \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E a)$
by(auto elim: action-orderE)

8.4.5 Program order

lemma program-orderI:
assumes E ⊢ a ≤ a' **and** action-tid E a = action-tid E a'
shows E ⊢ a ≤_{po} a'
using assms **unfolding** program-order-def **by** auto

lemma program-orderE:
assumes E ⊢ a ≤_{po} a'
obtains t obs obs'
where E ⊢ a ≤ a'
and action-tid E a = t action-obs E a = obs
and action-tid E a' = t action-obs E a' = obs'
using assms **unfolding** program-order-def
by(cases lnth E a)(cases lnth E a', auto simp add: action-obs-def action-tid-def)

lemma refl-on-program-order:
 refl-onP (actions E) (program-order E)
by(rule refl-onPI)(auto elim: action-orderE program-orderE intro: program-orderI refl-onPD[*OF refl-action-order*])

lemma antisym-program-order:
 antisymp (program-order E)
using antisympD[*OF antisym-action-order*]
by(auto intro: antisympI elim!: program-orderE)

lemma trans-program-order:
 transp (program-order E)
by(rule transpI)(auto elim!: program-orderE intro: program-orderI dest: transPD[*OF trans-action-order*])

```

lemma porder-program-order:
  porder-on (actions E) (program-order E)
by(blast intro: porder-onI refl-on-program-order antisym-program-order trans-program-order)

lemma total-program-order-on-tactions:
  total-onP (tactions E t) (program-order E)
by(rule total-onPI)(auto elim: tactionsE simp add: program-order-def dest: total-onD[OF total-action-order])

```

8.4.6 Synchronization order

```

lemma sync-orderI:
   $\llbracket E \vdash a \leq a'; a \in \text{sactions } P E; a' \in \text{sactions } P E \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} a'$ 
unfolding sync-order-def by blast

lemma sync-orderE:
  assumes  $P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} a'$ 
  obtains  $a \in \text{sactions } P E \ a' \in \text{sactions } P E \ E \vdash a \leq a'$ 
using assms unfolding sync-order-def by blast

lemma refl-on-sync-order:
  refl-onP (sactions P E) (sync-order P E)
by(rule refl-onPI)(fastforce elim: sync-orderE intro: sync-orderI refl-onPD[OF refl-action-order])+

lemma antisym-sync-order:
  antisymp (sync-order P E)
using antisympD[OF antisym-action-order]
by(rule antisympI)(auto elim!: sync-orderE)

lemma trans-sync-order:
  transp (sync-order P E)
by(rule transpI)(auto elim!: sync-orderE intro: sync-orderI dest: transPD[OF trans-action-order])

lemma porder-sync-order:
  porder-on (sactions P E) (sync-order P E)
by(blast intro: porder-onI refl-on-sync-order antisym-sync-order trans-sync-order)

lemma total-sync-order:
  total-onP (sactions P E) (sync-order P E)
apply(rule total-onPI)
apply(simp add: sync-order-def)
apply(rule total-onPD[OF total-action-order])
apply simp-all
done

lemma torder-sync-order:
  torder-on (sactions P E) (sync-order P E)
by(blast intro: torder-onI porder-sync-order total-sync-order)

```

8.4.7 Synchronizes with

```

lemma sync-withI:
   $\llbracket P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} a'; P \vdash (\text{action-tid } E a, \text{action-obs } E a) \sim_{sw} (\text{action-tid } E a', \text{action-obs } E a') \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash a \leq_{sw} a'$ 
unfolding sync-with-def by blast

```

```

lemma sync-withE:
  assumes P,E ⊢ a ≤sw a'
  obtains P,E ⊢ a ≤so a' P ⊢ (action-tid E a, action-obs E a) ~sw (action-tid E a', action-obs E a')
  using assms unfolding sync-with-def by blast

lemma irrefl-synchronizes-with:
  irreflP (synchronizes-with P)
  by(rule irreflPI)(auto elim: synchronizes-with.cases)

lemma irrefl-sync-with:
  irreflP (sync-with P E)
  by(rule irreflPI)(auto elim: sync-withE intro: irreflPD[OF irrefl-synchronizes-with])

lemma antisym-sync-with:
  antisymp (sync-with P E)
  using antisymPD[OF antisym-sync-order, of P E]
  by -(rule antisymPI, auto elim: sync-withE)

lemma consistent-program-order-sync-order:
  order-consistent (program-order E) (sync-order P E)
  apply(rule order-consistent-subset)
  apply(rule antisym-order-consistent-self[OF antisym-action-order[of E]])
  apply(blast elim: program-orderE sync-orderE)+
  done

lemma consistent-program-order-sync-with:
  order-consistent (program-order E) (sync-with P E)
  by(rule order-consistent-subset[OF consistent-program-order-sync-order])(blast elim: sync-withE)+
```

8.4.8 Happens before

```

lemma porder-happens-before:
  porder-on (actions E) (happens-before P E)
  unfolding po-sw-def [abs-def]
  by(rule porder-on-sub-torder-on-tranclp-porder-onI[OF porder-program-order torder-sync-order consistent-program-order-sync-order])(auto elim: sync-withE)

lemma porder-tranclp-po-so:
  porder-on (actions E) (λa a'. program-order E a a' ∨ sync-order P E a a') ^ ++
  by(rule porder-on-torder-on-tranclp-porder-onI[OF porder-program-order torder-sync-order consistent-program-order-sync-order])
  auto

lemma happens-before-refl:
  assumes a ∈ actions E
  shows P,E ⊢ a ≤hb a
  using porder-happens-before[of E P]
  by(rule porder-onE)(erule refl-onPD[OF - assms])

lemma happens-before-into-po-so-tranclp:
  assumes P,E ⊢ a ≤hb a'
  shows (λa a'. E ⊢ a ≤po a' ∨ P,E ⊢ a ≤so a') ^ ++ a a'
  using assms unfolding po-sw-def [abs-def]
  by(induct)(blast elim: sync-withE intro: tranclp.trancl-into-trancl)+
```

```

lemma po-sw-into-action-order:
  po-sw P E a a'  $\implies$  E  $\vdash a \leq_a a'$ 
by(auto elim: program-orderE sync-withE sync-orderE simp add: po-sw-def)

lemma happens-before-into-action-order:
  assumes P,E  $\vdash a \leq_{hb} a'$ 
  shows E  $\vdash a \leq_a a'$ 
using assms
by induct(blast intro: po-sw-into-action-order transPD[OF trans-action-order])+

lemma action-order-consistent-with-happens-before:
  order-consistent (action-order E) (happens-before P E)
by(blast intro: order-consistent-subset antisym-order-consistent-self antisym-action-order happens-before-into-action)

lemma happens-before-new-actionD:
  assumes hb: P,E  $\vdash a \leq_{hb} a'$ 
  and new: is-new-action (action-obs E a')
  shows is-new-action (action-obs E a) action-tid E a = action-tid E a' a  $\leq a'$ 
using hb
proof(induct rule: converse-tranclp-induct)
  case (base a)

  case 1 from new base show ?case
    by(auto dest: po-sw-into-action-order elim: action-orderE)
  case 2 from new base show ?case
    by(auto simp add: po-sw-def elim!: sync-withE elim: program-orderE synchronizes-with.cases)
  case 3 from new base show ?case
    by(auto dest: po-sw-into-action-order elim: action-orderE)
next
  case (step a a'')
  note po-sw = <po-sw P E a a''>
  and new = <is-new-action (action-obs E a'')>
  and tid = <action-tid E a'' = action-tid E a'>

  case 1 from new po-sw show ?case
    by(auto dest: po-sw-into-action-order elim: action-orderE)
  case 2 from new po-sw tid show ?case
    by(auto simp add: po-sw-def elim!: sync-withE elim: program-orderE synchronizes-with.cases)
  case 3 from new po-sw <a''  $\leq a'\rangle$  show ?case
    by(auto dest!: po-sw-into-action-order elim!: action-orderE)
qed

lemma external-actions-not-new:
   $\llbracket a \in \text{external-actions } E; \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E a) \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$ 
by(erule external-actions.cases)(simp)

```

8.4.9 Most recent writes and sequential consistency

```

lemma most-recent-write-for-fun:
   $\llbracket P,E \vdash ra \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} wa; P,E \vdash ra \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} wa' \rrbracket \implies wa = wa'$ 
apply(erule most-recent-write-for.cases)+
apply clarsimp

```

```

apply(erule meta-allE)+  

apply(erule meta-impE)  

apply(rotate-tac 3)  

apply assumption  

apply(erule (1) meta-impE)  

apply(frule (1) action-loc-read-action-singleton)  

apply(rotate-tac 1)  

apply assumption  

apply(fastforce dest: antisymPD[OF antisym-action-order] elim: write-actions.cases read-actions.cases)
done

```

lemma THE-most-recent-writeI: $P, E \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} w \implies (\text{THE } w. P, E \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} w) = w$
by(blast dest: most-recent-write-for-fun)+

lemma most-recent-write-for-write-actionsD:
assumes $P, E \vdash ra \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} wa$
shows $wa \in \text{write-actions } E$
using assms **by** cases

lemma most-recent-write-recent:
 $\llbracket P, E \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} w; adal \in \text{action-loc } P E r; w' \in \text{write-actions } E; adal \in \text{action-loc } P E w' \rrbracket$
 $\implies E \vdash w' \leq_a w \vee E \vdash r \leq_a w'$
apply(erule most-recent-write-for.cases)
apply(drule (1) action-loc-read-action-singleton)
apply(rotate-tac 1)
apply assumption
apply clarsimp
done

lemma is-write-seenI:
 $\llbracket \bigwedge a ad al v. \llbracket a \in \text{read-actions } E; \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ws a \in \text{write-actions } E;$
 $\bigwedge a ad al v. \llbracket a \in \text{read-actions } E; \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E (ws a);$
 $\bigwedge a ad al v. \llbracket a \in \text{read-actions } E; \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{value-written } P E (ws a) (ad, al) = v;$
 $\bigwedge a ad al v. \llbracket a \in \text{read-actions } E; \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \neg P, E \vdash a \leq_{hb} ws a;$
 $\bigwedge a ad al v. \llbracket a \in \text{read-actions } E; \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v); \text{is-volatile}$
 $P al \rrbracket$
 $\implies \neg P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} ws a;$
 $\bigwedge a ad al v a'. \llbracket a \in \text{read-actions } E; \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v);$
 $a' \in \text{write-actions } E; (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E a'; P, E \vdash ws a \leq_{hb} a';$
 $P, E \vdash a' \leq_{hb} a \rrbracket \implies a' = ws a;$
 $\bigwedge a ad al v a'. \llbracket a \in \text{read-actions } E; \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v);$
 $a' \in \text{write-actions } E; (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E a'; \text{is-volatile } P al; P, E \vdash ws a \leq_{so} a';$
 $P, E \vdash a' \leq_{so} a \rrbracket \implies a' = ws a \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{is-write-seen } P E ws$
unfolding is-write-seen-def
by(blast 30)

lemma is-write-seenD:
 $\llbracket \text{is-write-seen } P E ws; a \in \text{read-actions } E; \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ws a \in \text{write-actions } E \wedge (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E (ws a) \wedge \text{value-written } P E (ws a) (ad, al)$

```

= v ∧ ¬ P,E ⊢ a ≤hb ws a ∧ (is-volatile P al → ¬ P,E ⊢ a ≤so ws a) ∧
  ( ∀ a' ∈ write-actions E. (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E a' ∧ (P,E ⊢ ws a ≤hb a' ∧ P,E ⊢ a' ≤hb a) → a' = ws a)
unfolding is-write-seen-def by blast

lemma thread-start-actions-okI:
  ( ∧ a. [ a ∈ actions E; ¬ is-new-action (action-obs E a) ] )
  → ∃ i. i ≤ a ∧ action-obs E i = InitialThreadAction ∧ action-tid E i = action-tid E a
  → thread-start-actions-ok E
unfolding thread-start-actions-ok-def by blast

lemma thread-start-actions-okD:
  [ thread-start-actions-ok E; a ∈ actions E; ¬ is-new-action (action-obs E a) ]
  → ∃ i. i ≤ a ∧ action-obs E i = InitialThreadAction ∧ action-tid E i = action-tid E a
unfolding thread-start-actions-ok-def by blast

lemma thread-start-actions-ok-prefix:
  [ thread-start-actions-ok E'; lprefix E E' ] → thread-start-actions-ok E
  apply(clar simp simp add: lprefix-conv-lappend)
  apply(rule thread-start-actions-okI)
  apply(drule-tac a=a in thread-start-actions-okD)
  apply(simp add: actions-def)
  apply(auto simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend1 actions-def action-tid-def le-less-trans[where
y=enat a for a])
  apply (metis add.right-neutral add-strict-mono not-gr-zero)
  done

lemma wf-execI [intro?]:
  [ is-write-seen P E ws;
    thread-start-actions-ok E ]
  → P ⊢ (E, ws) √
by simp

lemma wf-exec-is-write-seenD:
  P ⊢ (E, ws) √ → is-write-seen P E ws
by simp

lemma wf-exec-thread-start-actions-okD:
  P ⊢ (E, ws) √ → thread-start-actions-ok E
by simp

lemma sequentially-consistentI:
  ( ∧ r. r ∈ read-actions E → P,E ⊢ r ~mrw ws r)
  → sequentially-consistent P (E, ws)
by simp

lemma sequentially-consistentE:
  assumes sequentially-consistent P (E, ws) a ∈ read-actions E
  obtains P,E ⊢ a ~mrw ws a
  using assms by simp

declare sequentially-consistent.simps [simp del]

```

8.4.10 Similar actions

Similar actions differ only in the values written/read.

inductive sim-action ::

$('addr, 'thread-id) \text{ obs-event action} \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) \text{ obs-event action} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(- \approx - [50, 50] 51)$

where

$\text{InitialThreadAction: InitialThreadAction} \approx \text{InitialThreadAction}$
 $\text{ThreadFinishAction: ThreadFinishAction} \approx \text{ThreadFinishAction}$
 $\text{NewHeapElem: NormalAction (NewHeapElem } a hT) \approx \text{NormalAction (NewHeapElem } a hT)$
 $\text{ReadMem: NormalAction (ReadMem } ad al v) \approx \text{NormalAction (ReadMem } ad al v')$
 $\text{WriteMem: NormalAction (WriteMem } ad al v) \approx \text{NormalAction (WriteMem } ad al v')$
 $\text{ThreadStart: NormalAction (ThreadStart } t) \approx \text{NormalAction (ThreadStart } t)$
 $\text{ThreadJoin: NormalAction (ThreadJoin } t) \approx \text{NormalAction (ThreadJoin } t)$
 $\text{SyncLock: NormalAction (SyncLock } a) \approx \text{NormalAction (SyncLock } a)$
 $\text{SyncUnlock: NormalAction (SyncUnlock } a) \approx \text{NormalAction (SyncUnlock } a)$
 $\text{ExternalCall: NormalAction (ExternalCall } a M vs v) \approx \text{NormalAction (ExternalCall } a M vs v)$
 $\text{ObsInterrupt: NormalAction (ObsInterrupt } t) \approx \text{NormalAction (ObsInterrupt } t)$
 $\text{ObsInterrupted: NormalAction (ObsInterrupted } t) \approx \text{NormalAction (ObsInterrupted } t)$

definition sim-actions :: $('addr, 'thread-id) \text{ execution} \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) \text{ execution} \Rightarrow \text{bool} (- \approx - [51, 50] 51)$

where $\text{sim-actions} = \text{llist-all2 } (\lambda(t, a) (t', a'). t = t' \wedge a \approx a')$

lemma sim-action-refl [intro!, simp]:

$obs \approx obs$

apply(cases obs)

apply(rename-tac obs')

apply(case-tac obs')

apply(auto intro: sim-action.intros)

done

inductive-cases sim-action-cases [elim!]:

$\text{InitialThreadAction} \approx obs$

$\text{ThreadFinishAction} \approx obs$

$\text{NormalAction (NewHeapElem } a hT) \approx obs$

$\text{NormalAction (ReadMem } ad al v) \approx obs$

$\text{NormalAction (WriteMem } ad al v) \approx obs$

$\text{NormalAction (ThreadStart } t) \approx obs$

$\text{NormalAction (ThreadJoin } t) \approx obs$

$\text{NormalAction (SyncLock } a) \approx obs$

$\text{NormalAction (SyncUnlock } a) \approx obs$

$\text{NormalAction (ObsInterrupt } t) \approx obs$

$\text{NormalAction (ObsInterrupted } t) \approx obs$

$\text{NormalAction (ExternalCall } a M vs v) \approx obs$

$obs \approx \text{InitialThreadAction}$

$obs \approx \text{ThreadFinishAction}$

$obs \approx \text{NormalAction (NewHeapElem } a hT)$

$obs \approx \text{NormalAction (ReadMem } ad al v')$

$obs \approx \text{NormalAction (WriteMem } ad al v')$

$obs \approx \text{NormalAction (ThreadStart } t)$

$obs \approx \text{NormalAction (ThreadJoin } t)$

$obs \approx \text{NormalAction (SyncLock } a)$

```

 $obs \approx NormalAction (SyncUnlock a)$ 
 $obs \approx NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t)$ 
 $obs \approx NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t)$ 
 $obs \approx NormalAction (ExternalCall a M vs v)$ 

```

inductive-simps *sim-action-simps* [*simp*]:

```

InitialThreadAction ≈ obs
ThreadFinishAction ≈ obs
NormalAction (NewHeapElem a hT) ≈ obs
NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ≈ obs
NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v) ≈ obs
NormalAction (ThreadStart t) ≈ obs
NormalAction (ThreadJoin t) ≈ obs
NormalAction (SyncLock a) ≈ obs
NormalAction (SyncUnlock a) ≈ obs
NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t) ≈ obs
NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t) ≈ obs
NormalAction (ExternalCall a M vs v) ≈ obs

```

```

obs ≈ InitialThreadAction
obs ≈ ThreadFinishAction
obs ≈ NormalAction (NewHeapElem a hT)
obs ≈ NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v')
obs ≈ NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v')
obs ≈ NormalAction (ThreadStart t)
obs ≈ NormalAction (ThreadJoin t)
obs ≈ NormalAction (SyncLock a)
obs ≈ NormalAction (SyncUnlock a)
obs ≈ NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t)
obs ≈ NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t)
obs ≈ NormalAction (ExternalCall a M vs v)

```

lemma *sim-action-trans* [*trans*]:

```

[ [ obs ≈ obs'; obs' ≈ obs'' ] ] ⇒ obs ≈ obs''
by(erule sim-action.cases) auto

```

lemma *sim-action-sym* [*sym*]:

```

assumes obs ≈ obs'
shows obs' ≈ obs
using assms by cases simp-all

```

lemma *sim-actions-sym* [*sym*]:

```

E [≈] E' ⇒ E' [≈] E
unfolding sim-actions-def
by(auto simp add: llist-all2-conv-all-lnth split-beta intro: sim-action-sym)

```

lemma *sim-actions-action-obsD*:

```

E [≈] E' ⇒ action-obs E a ≈ action-obs E' a
unfolding sim-actions-def action-obs-def
by(cases enat a < llength E)(auto dest: llist-all2-lnthD llist-all2-llengthD simp add: split-beta lnth-beyond
split: enat.split)

```

lemma *sim-actions-action-tidD*:

```

E [≈] E' ⇒ action-tid E a = action-tid E' a

```

```

unfolding sim-actions-def action-tid-def
by(cases enat a < lleng E)(auto dest: llist-all2-lnthD llist-all2-llengthD simp add: lnth-beyond split:
enat.split)

lemma action-loc-aux-sim-action:
  a ≈ a'  $\implies$  action-loc-aux P a = action-loc-aux P a'
by(auto elim!: action-loc-aux-cases intro: action-loc-aux-intros)

lemma eq-into-sim-actions:
  assumes E = E'
  shows E [≈] E'
unfolding sim-actions-def assms
by(rule llist-all2-reflI)(auto)

```

8.4.11 Well-formedness conditions for execution sets

```

locale executions-base =
  fixes E :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution set
  and P :: 'm prog

locale drf =
  executions-base E P
  for E :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution set
  and P :: 'm prog +
  assumes E-new-actions-for-fun:
    [E ∈ E; a ∈ new-actions-for P E adal; a' ∈ new-actions-for P E adal]  $\implies$  a = a'
  and E-sequential-completion:
    [E ∈ E; P ⊢ (E, ws) ✓;  $\bigwedge$ a. [a < r; a ∈ read-actions E]  $\implies$  P,E ⊢ a ~mrw ws a]
     $\implies$   $\exists$ E' ∈ E.  $\exists$ ws'. P ⊢ (E', ws') ✓  $\wedge$  ltake (enat r) E = ltake (enat r) E'  $\wedge$  sequentially-consistent
P (E', ws')  $\wedge$ 
    action-tid E r = action-tid E' r  $\wedge$  action-obs E r ≈ action-obs E' r  $\wedge$ 
    (r ∈ actions E  $\longrightarrow$  r ∈ actions E')

locale executions-aux =
  executions-base E P
  for E :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution set
  and P :: 'm prog +
  assumes init-before-read:
    [E ∈ E; P ⊢ (E, ws) ✓; r ∈ read-actions E; adal ∈ action-loc P E r;
      $\bigwedge$ a. [a < r; a ∈ read-actions E]  $\implies$  P,E ⊢ a ~mrw ws a]
     $\implies$   $\exists$ i < r. i ∈ new-actions-for P E adal
  and E-new-actions-for-fun:
    [E ∈ E; a ∈ new-actions-for P E adal; a' ∈ new-actions-for P E adal]  $\implies$  a = a'

locale sc-legal =
  executions-aux E P
  for E :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution set
  and P :: 'm prog +
  assumes E-hb-completion:
    [E ∈ E; P ⊢ (E, ws) ✓;  $\bigwedge$ a. [a < r; a ∈ read-actions E]  $\implies$  P,E ⊢ a ~mrw ws a]
     $\implies$   $\exists$ E' ∈ E.  $\exists$ ws'. P ⊢ (E', ws') ✓  $\wedge$  ltake (enat r) E = ltake (enat r) E'  $\wedge$ 
      ( $\forall$ a ∈ read-actions E'. if a < r then ws' a = ws a else P,E' ⊢ ws' a ≤hb a)  $\wedge$ 
      action-tid E' r = action-tid E r  $\wedge$ 
      (if r ∈ read-actions E then sim-action else (=)) (action-obs E' r) (action-obs E r)  $\wedge$ 

```

$$(r \in \text{actions } E \longrightarrow r \in \text{actions } E')$$

```
locale jmm-consistent =
  drf?: drf  $\mathcal{E}$  P +
  sc-legal  $\mathcal{E}$  P
  for  $\mathcal{E} :: (\text{addr}, \text{'thread-id})$  execution set
  and P :: 'm prog
```

8.4.12 Legal executions

```
record ('addr, 'thread-id) pre-justifying-execution =
  committed :: JMM-action set
  justifying-exec :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution
  justifying-ws :: write-seen
```

```
record ('addr, 'thread-id) justifying-execution =
  ('addr, 'thread-id) pre-justifying-execution +
  action-translation :: JMM-action  $\Rightarrow$  JMM-action
```

```
type-synonym ('addr, 'thread-id) justification = nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id) justifying-execution
```

```
definition wf-action-translation-on :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id) execution  $\Rightarrow$  JMM-action set  $\Rightarrow$  (JMM-action  $\Rightarrow$  JMM-action)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  wf-action-translation-on E E' A f  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    inj-on f (actions E)  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall a \in A$ . action-tid E a = action-tid E' (f a)  $\wedge$  action-obs E a  $\approx$  action-obs E' (f a))
```

```
abbreviation wf-action-translation :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id) justifying-execution
 $\Rightarrow$  bool
```

```
where
  wf-action-translation E J  $\equiv$ 
    wf-action-translation-on (justifying-exec J) E (committed J) (action-translation J)
```

context

```
fixes P :: 'm prog
and E :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution
and ws :: write-seen
and J :: ('addr, 'thread-id) justification
```

```
begin
```

This context defines the causality constraints for the JMM. The weak versions are for the fixed JMM as presented by Sevcik and Aspinall at ECOOP 2008.

Committed actions are an ascending chain with all actions of E as a limit

```
definition is-commit-sequence :: bool where
  is-commit-sequence  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    committed (J 0) = {}  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall n$ . action-translation (J n) ‘ committed (J n)  $\subseteq$  action-translation (J (Suc n)) ‘ committed (J (Suc n)))  $\wedge$ 
    actions E = ( $\bigcup n$ . action-translation (J n) ‘ committed (J n))
```

```
definition justification-well-formed :: bool where
```

```
justification-well-formed  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall n$ . P  $\vdash$  (justifying-exec (J n), justifying-ws (J n))  $\checkmark$ )
```

definition *committed-subset-actions* :: *bool* **where** — JMM constraint 1
 $\text{committed-subset-actions} \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \text{committed} (J n) \subseteq \text{actions} (\text{justifying-exec} (J n)))$

definition *happens-before-committed* :: *bool* **where** — JMM constraint 2
 $\text{happens-before-committed} \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \text{happens-before } P (\text{justifying-exec} (J n)) \mid' \text{committed} (J n) = \text{inv-image}_P (\text{happens-before } P E) (\text{action-translation} (J n)) \mid' \text{committed} (J n))$

definition *happens-before-committed-weak* :: *bool* **where** — relaxed JMM constraint
 $\text{happens-before-committed-weak} \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \forall r \in \text{read-actions} (\text{justifying-exec} (J n)) \cap \text{committed} (J n). \text{let } r' = \text{action-translation} (J n) r; w' = ws r'; w = \text{inv-into} (\text{actions} (\text{justifying-exec} (J n))) (\text{action-translation} (J n)) w' \text{ in } (P, E \vdash w' \leq_{hb} r' \longleftrightarrow P, \text{justifying-exec} (J n) \vdash w \leq_{hb} r) \wedge \neg P, \text{justifying-exec} (J n) \vdash r \leq_{hb} w)$

definition *sync-order-committed* :: *bool* **where** — JMM constraint 3
 $\text{sync-order-committed} \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \text{sync-order } P (\text{justifying-exec} (J n)) \mid' \text{committed} (J n) = \text{inv-image}_P (\text{sync-order } P E) (\text{action-translation} (J n)) \mid' \text{committed} (J n))$

definition *value-written-committed* :: *bool* **where** — JMM constraint 4
 $\text{value-written-committed} \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \forall w \in \text{write-actions} (\text{justifying-exec} (J n)) \cap \text{committed} (J n). \text{let } w' = \text{action-translation} (J n) w \text{ in } (\forall adal \in \text{action-loc } P E w'. \text{value-written } P (\text{justifying-exec} (J n)) w adal = \text{value-written } P E w' adal))$

definition *write-seen-committed* :: *bool* **where** — JMM constraint 5
 $\text{write-seen-committed} \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \forall r' \in \text{read-actions} (\text{justifying-exec} (J n)) \cap \text{committed} (J n). \text{let } r = \text{action-translation} (J n) r'; r'' = \text{inv-into} (\text{actions} (\text{justifying-exec} (J (Suc n)))) (\text{action-translation} (J (Suc n))) r \text{ in } \text{action-translation} (J (Suc n)) (\text{justifying-ws} (J (Suc n)) r'') = ws r)$

uncommitted reads see writes that happen before them — JMM constraint 6

definition *uncommitted-reads-see-hb* :: *bool* **where**
 $\text{uncommitted-reads-see-hb} \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \forall r' \in \text{read-actions} (\text{justifying-exec} (J (Suc n))). \text{action-translation} (J (Suc n)) r' \in \text{action-translation} (J n) \mid' \text{committed} (J n) \vee P, \text{justifying-exec} (J (Suc n)) \vdash \text{justifying-ws} (J (Suc n)) r' \leq_{hb} r')$

newly committed reads see already committed writes and write-seen relationship must not change any more — JMM constraint 7

definition *committed-reads-see-committed-writes* :: *bool* **where**
 $\text{committed-reads-see-committed-writes} \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \forall r' \in \text{read-actions} (\text{justifying-exec} (J (Suc n))) \cap \text{committed} (J (Suc n)). \text{let } r = \text{action-translation} (J (Suc n)) r'; \text{committed-n} = \text{action-translation} (J n) \mid' \text{committed} (J n) \text{ in } r \in \text{committed-n} \vee (\text{action-translation} (J (Suc n)) (\text{justifying-ws} (J (Suc n)) r') \in \text{committed-n} \wedge ws r \in \text{committed-n}))$

```
definition committed-reads-see-committed-writes-weak :: bool where
  committed-reads-see-committed-writes-weak  $\leftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall n. \forall r' \in \text{read-actions}(\text{justifying-exec}(J(\text{Suc } n))) \cap \text{committed}(J(\text{Suc } n)).$ 
   let  $r = \text{action-translation}(J(\text{Suc } n)) r'$ ;
    $\text{committed-}n = \text{action-translation}(J n) \cdot \text{committed}(J n)$ 
   in  $r \in \text{committed-}n \vee ws\ r \in \text{committed-}n$ )
```

external actions must be committed as soon as hb-subsequent actions are committed – JMM constraint 9

```
definition external-actions-committed :: bool where
  external-actions-committed  $\leftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall n. \forall a \in \text{external-actions}(\text{justifying-exec}(J n)). \forall a' \in \text{committed}(J n).$ 
    $P, \text{justifying-exec}(J n) \vdash a \leq_{hb} a' \rightarrow a \in \text{committed}(J n)$ )
```

well-formedness conditions for action translations

```
definition wf-action-translations :: bool where
  wf-action-translations  $\leftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall n. \text{wf-action-translation-on}(\text{justifying-exec}(J n)) E (\text{committed}(J n)) (\text{action-translation}(J n))$ )
end
```

Rule 8 of the justification for the JMM is incorrect because there might be no transitive reduction of the happens-before relation for an infinite execution, if infinitely many initialisation actions have to be ordered before the start action of every thread. Hence, *is-justified-by* omits this constraint.

```
primrec is-justified-by :: 
  ' $m \text{ prog} \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) \text{ execution} \times \text{write-seen} \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) \text{ justification} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  (-  $\vdash$  - justified'-by - [51, 50, 50] 50)
where
   $P \vdash (E, ws) \text{ justified-by } J \leftrightarrow$ 
   $\text{is-commit-sequence } E J \wedge$ 
   $\text{justification-well-formed } P J \wedge$ 
   $\text{committed-subset-actions } J \wedge$ 
   $\text{happens-before-committed } P E J \wedge$ 
   $\text{sync-order-committed } P E J \wedge$ 
   $\text{value-written-committed } P E J \wedge$ 
   $\text{write-seen-committed } ws J \wedge$ 
   $\text{uncommitted-reads-see-hb } P J \wedge$ 
   $\text{committed-reads-see-committed-writes } ws J \wedge$ 
   $\text{external-actions-committed } P J \wedge$ 
   $\text{wf-action-translations } E J$ 
```

Sevcik requires in the fixed JMM that external actions may only be committed when everything that happens before has already been committed. On the level of legality, this constraint is vacuous because it is always possible to delay committing external actions, so we omit it here.

```
primrec is-weakly-justified-by :: 
  ' $m \text{ prog} \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) \text{ execution} \times \text{write-seen} \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) \text{ justification} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  (-  $\vdash$  - weakly'-justified'-by - [51, 50, 50] 50)
where
   $P \vdash (E, ws) \text{ weakly-justified-by } J \leftrightarrow$ 
   $\text{is-commit-sequence } E J \wedge$ 
   $\text{justification-well-formed } P J \wedge$ 
```

```

committed-subset-actions J ∧
happens-before-committed-weak P E ws J ∧
— no sync-order constraint
value-written-committed P E J ∧
write-seen-committed ws J ∧
uncommitted-reads-see-hb P J ∧
committed-reads-see-committed-writes-weak ws J ∧
wf-action-translations E J

```

Notion of conflict is strengthened to explicitly exclude volatile locations. Otherwise, the following program is not correctly synchronised:

```

volatile x = 0;
-----
r = x; | x = 1;

```

because in the SC execution [Init x 0, (t1, Read x 0), (t2, Write x 1)], the read and write are unrelated in hb, because synchronises-with is asymmetric for volatiles.

The JLS considers conflicting volatiles for data races, but this is only a remark on the DRF guarantee. See JMM mailing list posts #2477 to 2488.

definition *non-volatile-conflict* ::

'm prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow JMM-action \Rightarrow bool
 $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash / (\cdot) \dagger (\cdot) [51, 50, 50, 50] 51)$

where

$P, E \vdash a \dagger a' \longleftrightarrow$
 $(a \in \text{read-actions } E \wedge a' \in \text{write-actions } E) \vee$
 $a \in \text{write-actions } E \wedge a' \in \text{read-actions } E \vee$
 $a \in \text{write-actions } E \wedge a' \in \text{write-actions } E) \wedge$
 $(\exists ad al. (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E a \cap \text{action-loc } P E a' \wedge \neg \text{is-volatile } P al)$

definition *correctly-synchronized* :: 'm prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution set \Rightarrow bool

where

$\text{correctly-synchronized } P \mathcal{E} \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall E \in \mathcal{E}. \forall ws. P \vdash (E, ws) \checkmark \longrightarrow \text{sequentially-consistent } P (E, ws))$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{actions } E. \forall a' \in \text{actions } E. P, E \vdash a \dagger a'$
 $\longrightarrow P, E \vdash a \leq_{hb} a' \vee P, E \vdash a' \leq_{hb} a))$

primrec *gen-legal-execution* ::

('m prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \times write-seen \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) justification \Rightarrow bool)
 \Rightarrow 'm prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution set \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \times write-seen \Rightarrow bool

where

$\text{gen-legal-execution is-justification } P \mathcal{E} (E, ws) \longleftrightarrow$
 $E \in \mathcal{E} \wedge P \vdash (E, ws) \checkmark \wedge$
 $(\exists J. \text{is-justification } P (E, ws) J \wedge \text{range } (\text{justifying-exec} \circ J) \subseteq \mathcal{E})$

abbreviation *legal-execution* ::

'm prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution set \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \times write-seen \Rightarrow bool

where

$\text{legal-execution} \equiv \text{gen-legal-execution is-justified-by}$

abbreviation *weakly-legal-execution* ::

'm prog \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution set \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id) execution \times write-seen \Rightarrow bool

where

```

weakly-legal-execution ≡ gen-legal-execution is-weakly-justified-by

declare gen-legal-execution.simps [simp del]

lemma sym-non-volatile-conflict:
  symP (non-volatile-conflict P E)
unfolding non-volatile-conflict-def
by(rule symPI) blast

lemma legal-executionI:
  [| E ∈ ℰ; P ⊢ (E, ws) √; is-justification P (E, ws) J; range (justifying-exec o J) ⊆ ℰ |]
  ==> gen-legal-execution is-justification P ℰ (E, ws)
unfolding gen-legal-execution.simps by blast

lemma legal-executionE:
  assumes gen-legal-execution is-justification P ℰ (E, ws)
  obtains J where E ∈ ℰ P ⊢ (E, ws) √ is-justification P (E, ws) J range (justifying-exec o J) ⊆ ℰ
  using assms unfolding gen-legal-execution.simps by blast

lemma legal-ℰD: gen-legal-execution is-justification P ℰ (E, ws) ==> E ∈ ℰ
by(erule legal-executionE)

lemma legal-wf-execD:
  gen-legal-execution is-justification P ℰ Ews ==> P ⊢ Ews √
by(cases Ews)(auto elim: legal-executionE)

lemma correctly-synchronizedD:
  [| correctly-synchronized P ℰ; E ∈ ℰ; P ⊢ (E, ws) √; sequentially-consistent P (E, ws) |]
  ==> ∀ a a'. a ∈ actions E —> a' ∈ actions E —> P,E ⊢ a † a' —> P,E ⊢ a ≤hb a' ∨ P,E ⊢ a' ≤hb a
unfolding correctly-synchronized-def by blast

lemma wf-action-translation-on-actionD:
  [| wf-action-translation-on E E' A f; a ∈ A |]
  ==> action-tid E a = action-tid E' (f a) ∧ action-obs E a ≈ action-obs E' (f a)
unfolding wf-action-translation-on-def by blast

lemma wf-action-translation-on-inj-onD:
  wf-action-translation-on E E' A f ==> inj-on f (actions E)
unfolding wf-action-translation-on-def by simp

lemma wf-action-translation-on-action-locD:
  [| wf-action-translation-on E E' A f; a ∈ A |]
  ==> action-loc P E a = action-loc P E' (f a)
apply(drule (1) wf-action-translation-on-actionD)
apply(cases (P, action-obs E a) rule: action-loc-aux.cases)
apply auto
done

lemma weakly-justified-write-seen-hb-read-committed:
  assumes J: P ⊢ (E, ws) weakly-justified-by J
  and r: r ∈ read-actions (justifying-exec (J n)) r ∈ committed (J n)
  shows ws (action-translation (J n) r) ∈ action-translation (J n) ` committed (J n)
  using r

```

```

proof(induct n arbitrary: r)
  case 0
  from J have [simp]: committed (J 0) = {}
    by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)
  with 0 show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc n)
  let ?E = λn. justifying-exec (J n)
    and ?ws = λn. justifying-ws (J n)
    and ?C = λn. committed (J n)
    and ?φ = λn. action-translation (J n)

  note r = <r ∈ read-actions (?E (Suc n))>
  hence r ∈ actions (?E (Suc n)) by simp

  from J have wfan: wf-action-translation-on (?E n) E (?C n) (?φ n)
    and wfaSn: wf-action-translation-on (?E (Suc n)) E (?C (Suc n)) (?φ (Suc n))
    by(simp-all add: wf-action-translations-def)

  from wfaSn have injSn: inj-on (?φ (Suc n)) (actions (?E (Suc n)))
    by(rule wf-action-translation-on-inj-onD)
  from J have C-sub-A: ?C (Suc n) ⊆ actions (?E (Suc n))
    by(simp add: committed-subset-actions-def)
  from J have CnCSn: ?φ n ` ?C n ⊆ ?φ (Suc n) ` ?C (Suc n)
    by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)

  from J have wsSn: is-write-seen P (?E (Suc n)) (?ws (Suc n))
    by(simp add: justification-well-formed-def)
  from r obtain ad al v where action-obs (?E (Suc n)) r = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) by
  cases
  from is-write-seenD[OF wsSn r this]
  have wsSn: ?ws (Suc n) r ∈ actions (?E (Suc n)) by simp

  show ?case
proof(cases ?φ (Suc n) r ∈ ?φ n ` ?C n)
  case True
  then obtain r' where r': r' ∈ ?C n
    and r-r': ?φ (Suc n) r = ?φ n r' by(auto)
  from r' wfan have action-tid (?E n) r' = action-tid E (?φ n r')
    and action-obs (?E n) r' ≈ action-obs E (?φ n r')
    by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD)+
  moreover from r' CnCSn have ?φ (Suc n) r ∈ ?φ (Suc n) ` ?C (Suc n)
    unfolding r-r' by auto
  hence r ∈ ?C (Suc n)
    unfolding inj-on-image-mem-iff[OF injSn <r ∈ actions (?E (Suc n))> C-sub-A] .
  with wfaSn have action-tid (?E (Suc n)) r = action-tid E (?φ (Suc n) r)
    and action-obs (?E (Suc n)) r ≈ action-obs E (?φ (Suc n) r)
    by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD)+
  ultimately have tid: action-tid (?E n) r' = action-tid (?E (Suc n)) r
    and obs: action-obs (?E n) r' ≈ action-obs (?E (Suc n)) r
    unfolding r-r' by(auto intro: sim-action-trans sim-action-sym)

  from J have ?C n ⊆ actions (?E n) by(simp add: committed-subset-actions-def)
  with r' have r' ∈ actions (?E n) by blast

```

```

with r obs have r' ∈ read-actions (?E n)
  by cases(auto intro: read-actions.intros)
hence ws: ws (?φ n r') ∈ ?φ n ‘ ?C n using r' by(rule Suc)

have r-conv-inv: r = inv-into (actions (?E (Suc n))) (?φ (Suc n)) (?φ n r')
  using ⟨r ∈ actions (?E (Suc n))⟩ unfolding r-r'[symmetric]
  by(simp add: inv-into-f-f[OF injSn])
with ⟨r' ∈ ?C n⟩ r J ⟨r' ∈ read-actions (?E n)⟩
have ws-eq: ?φ (Suc n) (?ws (Suc n) r) = ws (?φ n r')
  by(simp add: Let-def write-seen-committed-def)
with ws CnCSn have ?φ (Suc n) (?ws (Suc n) r) ∈ ?φ (Suc n) ‘ ?C (Suc n) by auto
hence ?ws (Suc n) r ∈ ?C (Suc n)
  by(subst (asm) inj-on-image-mem-iff[OF injSn wsSn C-sub-A])
moreover from ws CnCSn have ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ∈ ?φ (Suc n) ‘ ?C (Suc n)
  unfolding r-r' by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
next
  case False
  with r ⟨r ∈ ?C (Suc n)⟩ J
  have ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ∈ ?φ n ‘ ?C n
    unfolding is-weakly-justified-by.simps Let-def committed-reads-see-committed-writes-weak-def
    by blast
  hence ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ∈ ?φ (Suc n) ‘ ?C (Suc n)
    using CnCSn by blast+
  thus ?thesis by(simp add: inj-on-image-mem-iff[OF injSn wsSn C-sub-A])
qed
qed

lemma justified-write-seen-hb-read-committed:
assumes J: P ⊢ (E, ws) justified-by J
and r: r ∈ read-actions (justifying-exec (J n)) r ∈ committed (J n)
shows justifying-ws (J n) r ∈ committed (J n) (is ?thesis1)
and ws (action-translation (J n) r) ∈ action-translation (J n) ‘ committed (J n) (is ?thesis2)
proof –
  have ?thesis1 ∧ ?thesis2 using r
  proof(induct n arbitrary: r)
    case 0
    from J have [simp]: committed (J 0) = {}
      by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)
    with 0 show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Suc n)
    let ?E = λn. justifying-exec (J n)
    and ?ws = λn. justifying-ws (J n)
    and ?C = λn. committed (J n)
    and ?φ = λn. action-translation (J n)

    note r = ⟨r ∈ read-actions (?E (Suc n))⟩
    hence r ∈ actions (?E (Suc n)) by simp

    from J have wfan: wf-action-translation-on (?E n) E (?C n) (?φ n)
    and wfaSn: wf-action-translation-on (?E (Suc n)) E (?C (Suc n)) (?φ (Suc n))
    by(simp-all add: wf-action-translations-def)
  
```

```

from wfaSn have injSn: inj-on (?φ (Suc n)) (actions (?E (Suc n)))
  by(rule wf-action-translation-on-inj-onD)
from J have C-sub-A: ?C (Suc n) ⊆ actions (?E (Suc n))
  by(simp add: committed-subset-actions-def)
from J have CnCSn: ?φ n ` ?C n ⊆ ?φ (Suc n) ` ?C (Suc n)
  by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)

from J have wsSn: is-write-seen P (?E (Suc n)) (?ws (Suc n))
  by(simp add: justification-well-formed-def)
from r obtain ad al v where action-obs (?E (Suc n)) r = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) by
cases
from is-write-seenD[OF wsSn r this]
have wsSn: ?ws (Suc n) r ∈ actions (?E (Suc n)) by simp

show ?case
proof(cases ?φ (Suc n) r ∈ ?φ n ` ?C n)
  case True
    then obtain r' where r': r' ∈ ?C n
      and r-r': ?φ (Suc n) r = ?φ n r' by(auto)
    from r' wfan have action-tid (?E n) r' = action-tid E (?φ n r')
      and action-obs (?E n) r' ≈ action-obs E (?φ n r')
        by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD)++
    moreover from r' CnCSn have ?φ (Suc n) r ∈ ?φ (Suc n) ` ?C (Suc n)
      unfolding r-r' by auto
    hence r ∈ ?C (Suc n)
      unfolding inj-on-image-mem-iff[OF injSn ` r ∈ actions (?E (Suc n))` C-sub-A] .
    with wfaSn have action-tid (?E (Suc n)) r = action-tid E (?φ (Suc n) r)
      and action-obs (?E (Suc n)) r ≈ action-obs E (?φ (Suc n) r)
        by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD)++
    ultimately have tid: action-tid (?E n) r' = action-tid (?E (Suc n)) r
      and obs: action-obs (?E n) r' ≈ action-obs (?E (Suc n)) r
        unfolding r-r' by(auto intro: sim-action-trans sim-action-sym)

from J have ?C n ⊆ actions (?E n) by(simp add: committed-subset-actions-def)
with r' have r' ∈ actions (?E n) by blast
with r obs have r' ∈ read-actions (?E n)
  by cases(auto intro: read-actions.intros)
hence ?ws n r' ∈ ?C n ∧ ws (?φ n r') ∈ ?φ n ` ?C n using r' by(rule Suc)
then obtain ws: ws (?φ n r') ∈ ?φ n ` ?C n ..

have r-conv-inv: r = inv-into (actions (?E (Suc n))) (?φ (Suc n)) (?φ n r')
  using `r ∈ actions (?E (Suc n))` unfolding r-r'[symmetric]
  by(simp add: inv-into-f-f[OF injSn])
with `r' ∈ ?C n` r J `r' ∈ read-actions (?E n)`
have ws-eq: ?φ (Suc n) (?ws (Suc n) r) = ws (?φ n r')
  by(simp add: Let-def write-seen-committed-def)
with ws CnCSn have ?φ (Suc n) (?ws (Suc n) r) ∈ ?φ (Suc n) ` ?C (Suc n) by auto
hence ?ws (Suc n) r ∈ ?C (Suc n)
  by(subst (asm) inj-on-image-mem-iff[OF injSn wsSn C-sub-A])
moreover from ws CnCSn have ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ∈ ?φ (Suc n) ` ?C (Suc n)
  unfolding r-r' by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by simp

next
  case False

```

```

with  $r \langle r \in ?C (\text{Suc } n) \rangle J$ 
have  $?φ (\text{Suc } n) (?ws (\text{Suc } n) r) \in ?φ n ' ?C n$ 
and  $ws (?φ (\text{Suc } n) r) \in ?φ n ' ?C n$ 
unfolding is-justified-by.simps Let-def committed-reads-see-committed-writes-def
by blast+
hence  $?φ (\text{Suc } n) (?ws (\text{Suc } n) r) \in ?φ (\text{Suc } n) ' ?C (\text{Suc } n)$ 
and  $ws (?φ (\text{Suc } n) r) \in ?φ (\text{Suc } n) ' ?C (\text{Suc } n)$ 
using CnCSn by blast+
thus ?thesis by(simp add: inj-on-image-mem-iff[OF injSn wsSn C-sub-A])
qed
qed
thus ?thesis1 ?thesis2 by simp-all
qed

lemma is-justified-by-imp-is-weakly-justified-by:
assumes justified:  $P \vdash (E, ws) \text{ justified-by } J$ 
and wf:  $P \vdash (E, ws) \vee$ 
shows  $P \vdash (E, ws) \text{ weakly-justified-by } J$ 
unfolding is-weakly-justified-by.simps
proof(intro conjI)
let  $?E = λn. \text{justifying-exec } (J n)$ 
and  $?ws = λn. \text{justifying-ws } (J n)$ 
and  $?C = λn. \text{committed } (J n)$ 
and  $?φ = λn. \text{action-translation } (J n)$ 

from justified
show is-commit-sequence E J justification-well-formed P J committed-subset-actions J
value-written-committed P E J write-seen-committed ws J uncommitted-reads-see-hb P J
wf-action-translations E J by(simp-all)

show happens-before-committed-weak P E ws J
unfolding happens-before-committed-weak-def Let-def
proof(intro strip conjI)
fix  $n r$ 
assume  $r \in \text{read-actions } (?E n) \cap ?C n$ 
hence  $\text{read}: r \in \text{read-actions } (?E n)$  and  $\text{committed}: r \in ?C n$  by simp-all
with justified have committed-ws:  $?ws n r \in ?C n$ 
and  $\text{committed-ws}': ws (?φ n r) \in ?φ n ' ?C n$ 
by(rule justified-write-seen-hb-read-committed)+
from committed-ws' obtain w where  $w: ws (?φ n r) = ?φ n w$ 
and committed-w:  $w \in ?C n$  by blast

from committed-w justified have w ∈ actions (?E n) by(auto simp add: committed-subset-actions-def)
moreover from justified have inj-on (?φ n) (actions (?E n))
by(auto simp add: wf-action-translations-def dest: wf-action-translation-on-inj-onD)
ultimately have w-def:  $w = \text{inv-into } (\text{actions } (?E n)) (?φ n) (ws (?φ n r))$ 
by(simp-all add: w)

from committed committed-w
have  $P, ?E n \vdash w \leq hb r \longleftrightarrow (\text{happens-before } P (?E n) | ' ?C n) w r$  by auto
also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow (\text{inv-imageP } (\text{happens-before } P E) (?φ n) | ' ?C n) w r$ 
using justified by(simp add: happens-before-committed-def)
also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow P, E \vdash ?φ n w \leq hb ?φ n r$  using committed committed-w by auto
finally show  $P, E \vdash ws (?φ n r) \leq hb ?φ n r \longleftrightarrow P, ?E n \vdash \text{inv-into } (\text{actions } (?E n)) (?φ n) (ws (?φ n r))$ 

```

```

( $\varphi n r) \leq_{hb} r$ 
  unfolding  $w$ [symmetric] unfolding  $w\text{-def}$  ..

have  $P, ?E n \vdash r \leq_{hb} w \longleftrightarrow (\text{happens-before } P (?E n) |' ?C n) r w$ 
  using committed committed- $w$  by auto
also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow (\text{inv-image}_P (\text{happens-before } P E) (\varphi n) |' ?C n) r w$ 
  using justified by(simp add: happens-before-committed-def)
also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow P, E \vdash \varphi n r \leq_{hb} ws (\varphi n r)$  using  $w$  committed committed- $w$  by auto
also {
  from read obtain ad al v where action-obs ( $?E n$ )  $r = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem} ad al v)$  by
cases auto
  with justified committed obtain v' where obs': action-obs  $E (\varphi n r) = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem}$ 
 $ad al v')$ 
    by(fastforce simp add: wf-action-translations-def dest!: wf-action-translation-on-actionD)
  moreover from committed justified have  $\varphi n r \in \text{actions } E$ 
    by(auto simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)
  ultimately have read':  $\varphi n r \in \text{read-actions } E$  by(auto intro: read-actions.intros)
  from wf have is-write-seen  $P E ws$  by(rule wf-exec-is-write-seenD)
  from is-write-seenD[OF this read' obs']
  have  $\neg P, E \vdash \varphi n r \leq_{hb} ws (\varphi n r)$  by simp }
  ultimately show  $\neg P, ?E n \vdash r \leq_{hb} \text{inv-into} (\text{actions } (?E n)) (\varphi n) (ws (\varphi n r))$ 
  unfolding  $w\text{-def}$  by simp
qed

from justified have committed-reads-see-committed-writes ws J by simp
thus committed-reads-see-committed-writes-weak ws J
  by(auto simp add: committed-reads-see-committed-writes-def committed-reads-see-committed-writes-weak-def)
qed

corollary legal-imp-weakly-legal-execution:
  legal-execution  $P \mathcal{E} Ews \implies \text{weakly-legal-execution } P \mathcal{E} Ews$ 
by(cases Ews)(auto 4 4 simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps simp del: is-justified-by.simps is-weakly-justified-by.simps
intro: is-justified-by-imp-is-weakly-justified-by)

lemma drop-0th-justifying-exec:
  assumes  $P \vdash (E, ws) \text{ justified-by } J$ 
  and wf:  $P \vdash (E', ws') \vee$ 
  shows  $P \vdash (E, ws) \text{ justified-by } (J(0 := (\text{committed} = \{\}), \text{justifying-exec} = E', \text{justifying-ws} = ws',$ 
 $\text{action-translation} = id))$ 
  (is  $\vdash - \text{ justified-by } ?J$ )
  using assms
  unfolding is-justified-by.simps is-commit-sequence-def
  justification-well-formed-def committed-subset-actions-def happens-before-committed-def
  sync-order-committed-def value-written-committed-def write-seen-committed-def uncommitted-reads-see-hb-def
  committed-reads-see-committed-writes-def external-actions-committed-def wf-action-translations-def
proof(intro conjI strip)
  let  $?E = \lambda n. \text{justifying-exec } (?J n)$ 
  and  $?varphi = \lambda n. \text{action-translation } (?J n)$ 
  and  $?C = \lambda n. \text{committed } (?J n)$ 
  and  $?ws = \lambda n. \text{justifying-ws } (?J n)$ 

  show  $?C 0 = \{\}$  by simp
  from assms have C-0: committed  $(J 0) = \{\}$  by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)

```

hence $(\bigcup n. ?\varphi n \cdot ?C n) = (\bigcup n. \text{action-translation} (J n) \cdot \text{committed} (J n))$
by $-(\text{rule SUP-cong}, \text{simp-all})$
also have $\dots = \text{actions } E \text{ using assms by}(\text{simp add: is-commit-sequence-def})$
finally show $\text{actions } E = (\bigcup n. ?\varphi n \cdot ?C n) \dots$

```

fix n
{ fix r'
  assume r'  $\in \text{read-actions} (?E (\text{Suc } n))$ 
  thus  $? \varphi (\text{Suc } n) r' \in ? \varphi n \cdot ?C n \vee P, ?E (\text{Suc } n) \vdash ?ws (\text{Suc } n) r' \leq_{hb} r'$ 
    using assms by(auto dest!: bspec simp add: uncommitted-reads-see-hb-def is-commit-sequence-def)
}
{ fix r'
  assume r':  $r' \in \text{read-actions} (?E (\text{Suc } n)) \cap ?C (\text{Suc } n)$ 

  have  $n \neq 0$ 
  proof
    assume  $n = 0$ 
    hence  $r' \in \text{read-actions} (\text{justifying-exec} (J 1)) \cap \text{committed} (J 1)$  using r' by simp
    hence  $\text{action-translation} (J 1) r' \in \text{action-translation} (J 0) \cdot \text{committed} (J 0) \vee$ 
      ws ( $\text{action-translation} (J 1) r'$ )  $\in \text{action-translation} (J 0) \cdot \text{committed} (J 0)$  using assms
      unfolding One-nat-def is-justified-by.simps Let-def committed-reads-see-committed-writes-def
      by(metis (lifting))
    thus False unfolding C-0 by simp
  qed
  thus let  $r = ? \varphi (\text{Suc } n) r'$ ; committed-n =  $? \varphi n \cdot ?C n$ 
    in  $r \in \text{committed-n} \vee$ 
     $(? \varphi (\text{Suc } n) (?ws (\text{Suc } n) r') \in \text{committed-n} \wedge ws r \in \text{committed-n})$ 
    using assms r' by(simp add: committed-reads-see-committed-writes-def) }
{ fix a a'
  assume a  $\in \text{external-actions} (?E n)$ 
  and a'  $\in ?C n P, ?E n \vdash a \leq_{hb} a'$ 
  moreover hence  $n > 0$  by(simp split: if-split-asm)
  ultimately show a  $\in ?C n$  using assms
    by(simp add: external-actions-committed-def) blast }

```

from assms have wf-action-translation E (?J 0)
by(*simp add: wf-action-translations-def wf-action-translation-on-def*)
thus wf-action-translation E (?J n) **using assms by**(*simp add: wf-action-translations-def*)
qed auto

lemma drop-0th-weakly-justifying-exec:
assumes P $\vdash (E, ws) \text{ weakly-justified-by } J$
and wf: P $\vdash (E', ws') \checkmark$
shows P $\vdash (E, ws) \text{ weakly-justified-by } (J(0 := (\text{committed} = \{\}), \text{justifying-exec} = E', \text{justifying-ws} = ws', \text{action-translation} = id)))$
 (**is** - \vdash - weakly-justified-by ?J)
using assms
unfolding is-weakly-justified-by.simps is-commit-sequence-def
 justification-well-formed-def committed-subset-actions-def happens-before-committed-weak-def
 value-written-committed-def write-seen-committed-def uncommitted-reads-see-hb-def
 committed-reads-see-committed-writes-weak-def external-actions-committed-def wf-action-translations-def
proof(*intro conjI strip*)
let ?E = $\lambda n. \text{justifying-exec} (?J n)$
and ? φ = $\lambda n. \text{action-translation} (?J n)$

```
and ?C = λn. committed (?J n)
and ?ws = λn. justifying-ws (?J n)
```

```
show ?C 0 = {} by simp
```

```
from assms have C-0: committed (J 0) = {} by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)
hence (UN n. ?φ n ∙ ?C n) = (UN n. action-translation (J n) ∙ committed (J n))
  by -(rule SUP-cong, simp-all)
also have ... = actions E using assms by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)
finally show actions E = (UN n. ?φ n ∙ ?C n) ..
```

```
fix n
{ fix r'
  assume r' ∈ read-actions (?E (Suc n))
  thus ?φ (Suc n) r' ∈ ?φ n ∙ ?C n ∨ P,?E (Suc n) ⊢ ?ws (Suc n) r' ≤hb r'
    using assms by(auto dest!: bspec simp add: uncommitted-reads-see-hb-def is-commit-sequence-def)
}
{ fix r'
  assume r': r' ∈ read-actions (?E (Suc n)) ∩ ?C (Suc n)

  have n ≠ 0
  proof
    assume n = 0
    hence r' ∈ read-actions (justifying-exec (J 1)) ∩ committed (J 1) using r' by simp
    hence action-translation (J 1) r' ∈ action-translation (J 0) ∙ committed (J 0) ∨
      ws (action-translation (J 1) r') ∈ action-translation (J 0) ∙ committed (J 0) using assms
    unfolding One-nat-def is-weakly-justified-by.simps Let-def committed-reads-see-committed-writes-weak-def
      by(metis (lifting))
    thus False unfolding C-0 by simp
  qed
  thus let r = ?φ (Suc n) r'; committed-n = ?φ n ∙ ?C n
    in r ∈ committed-n ∨ ws r ∈ committed-n
    using assms r' by(simp add: committed-reads-see-committed-writes-weak-def) }

from assms have wf-action-translation E (?J 0)
  by(simp add: wf-action-translations-def wf-action-translation-on-def)
thus wf-action-translation E (?J n) using assms by(simp add: wf-action-translations-def)
qed auto
```

8.4.13 Executions with common prefix

```
lemma actions-change-prefix:
  assumes read: a ∈ actions E
  and prefix: ltake n E [≈] ltake n E'
  and rn: enat a < n
  shows a ∈ actions E'
using llist-all2-llengthD[OF prefix[unfolded sim-actions-def]] read rn
by(simp add: actions-def min-def split: if-split-asm)

lemma action-obs-change-prefix:
  assumes prefix: ltake n E [≈] ltake n E'
  and rn: enat a < n
  shows action-obs E a ≈ action-obs E' a
proof –
```

```

from rn have action-obs E a = action-obs (ltake n E) a
  by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-ltake)
also from prefix have ... ≈ action-obs (ltake n E') a
  by(rule sim-actions-action-obsD)
also have ... = action-obs E' a using rn
  by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-ltake)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma action-obs-change-prefix-eq:
  assumes prefix: ltake n E = ltake n E'
  and rn: enat a < n
  shows action-obs E a = action-obs E' a
proof -
  from rn have action-obs E a = action-obs (ltake n E) a
    by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-ltake)
  also from prefix have ... = action-obs (ltake n E') a
    by(simp add: action-obs-def)
  also have ... = action-obs E' a using rn
    by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-ltake)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma read-actions-change-prefix:
  assumes read: r ∈ read-actions E
  and prefix: ltake n E [≈] ltake n E' enat r < n
  shows r ∈ read-actions E'
using read action-obs-change-prefix[OF prefix] actions-change-prefix[OF - prefix]
by(cases)(auto intro: read-actions.intros)

lemma sim-action-is-write-action-eq:
  assumes obs ≈ obs'
  shows is-write-action obs ↔ is-write-action obs'
using assms by cases simp-all

lemma write-actions-change-prefix:
  assumes write: w ∈ write-actions E
  and prefix: ltake n E [≈] ltake n E' enat w < n
  shows w ∈ write-actions E'
using write action-obs-change-prefix[OF prefix] actions-change-prefix[OF - prefix]
by(cases)(auto intro: write-actions.intros dest: sim-action-is-write-action-eq)

lemma action-loc-change-prefix:
  assumes ltake n E [≈] ltake n E' enat a < n
  shows action-loc P E a = action-loc P E' a
using action-obs-change-prefix[OF assms]
by(fastforce elim!: action-loc-aux-cases intro: action-loc-aux-intros)

lemma sim-action-is-new-action-eq:
  assumes obs ≈ obs'
  shows is-new-action obs = is-new-action obs'
using assms by cases auto

lemma action-order-change-prefix:

```

```

assumes ao:  $E \vdash a \leq_a a'$ 
and prefix:  $\text{ltake } n E \approx \text{ltake } n E'$ 
and an:  $\text{enat } a < n$ 
and a'n:  $\text{enat } a' < n$ 
shows  $E' \vdash a \leq_a a'$ 
using ao actions-change-prefix[ $OF - \text{prefix } an$ ] actions-change-prefix[ $OF - \text{prefix } a'n$ ] action-obs-change-prefix[ $OF - \text{prefix } an$ ] action-obs-change-prefix[ $OF \text{ prefix } a'n$ ]
by(auto simp add: action-order-def split: if-split-asm dest: sim-action-is-new-action-eq)

```

```

lemma value-written-change-prefix:
assumes eq:  $\text{ltake } n E = \text{ltake } n E'$ 
and an:  $\text{enat } a < n$ 
shows value-written  $P E a = \text{value-written } P E' a$ 
using action-obs-change-prefix-eq[ $OF \text{ eq } an$ ]
by(simp add: value-written-def fun-eq-iff)

```

```

lemma action-tid-change-prefix:
assumes prefix:  $\text{ltake } n E \approx \text{ltake } n E'$ 
and an:  $\text{enat } a < n$ 
shows action-tid  $E a = \text{action-tid } E' a$ 
proof -
  from an have action-tid  $E a = \text{action-tid } (\text{ltake } n E) a$ 
    by(simp add: action-tid-def lnth-ltake)
  also from prefix have ... = action-tid  $(\text{ltake } n E') a$ 
    by(rule sim-actions-action-tidD)
  also from an have ... = action-tid  $E' a$ 
    by(simp add: action-tid-def lnth-ltake)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma program-order-change-prefix:
assumes po:  $E \vdash a \leq_{po} a'$ 
and prefix:  $\text{ltake } n E \approx \text{ltake } n E'$ 
and an:  $\text{enat } a < n$ 
and a'n:  $\text{enat } a' < n$ 
shows  $E' \vdash a \leq_{po} a'$ 
using po action-order-change-prefix[ $OF - \text{prefix } an \ a'n$ ]
  action-tid-change-prefix[ $OF \text{ prefix } an$ ] action-tid-change-prefix[ $OF \text{ prefix } a'n$ ]
by(auto elim!: program-orderE intro: program-orderI)

```

```

lemma sim-action-sactionD:
assumes obs  $\approx \text{obs}'$ 
shows saction  $P \text{ obs} \longleftrightarrow \text{saction } P \text{ obs}'$ 
using assms by cases simp-all

```

```

lemma sactions-change-prefix:
assumes sync:  $a \in \text{sactions } P E$ 
and prefix:  $\text{ltake } n E \approx \text{ltake } n E'$ 
and rn:  $\text{enat } a < n$ 
shows  $a \in \text{sactions } P E'$ 
using sync action-obs-change-prefix[ $OF \text{ prefix } rn$ ] actions-change-prefix[ $OF - \text{prefix } rn$ ]
unfolding sactions-def by(simp add: sim-action-sactionD)

```

```

lemma sync-order-change-prefix:
  assumes so:  $P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} a'$ 
  and prefix:  $\text{ltake } n \text{ } E \approx \text{ltake } n \text{ } E'$ 
  and an:  $\text{enat } a < n$ 
  and a'n:  $\text{enat } a' < n$ 
  shows  $P, E' \vdash a \leq_{so} a'$ 
using so action-order-change-prefix[ $OF - \text{prefix } an \text{ } a'n$ ] actions-change-prefix[ $OF - \text{prefix } an, \text{ of } P$ ]
actions-change-prefix[ $OF - \text{prefix } a'n, \text{ of } P$ ]
by(simp add: sync-order-def)

lemma sim-action-synchronizes-withD:
  assumes obs ≈ obs' obs'' ≈ obs'''
  shows  $P \vdash (t, obs) \sim_{sw} (t', obs'') \longleftrightarrow P \vdash (t, obs') \sim_{sw} (t', obs''')$ 
using assms
by(auto elim!: sim-action.cases synchronizes-with.cases intro: synchronizes-with.intros)

lemma sync-with-change-prefix:
  assumes sw:  $P, E \vdash a \leq_{sw} a'$ 
  and prefix:  $\text{ltake } n \text{ } E \approx \text{ltake } n \text{ } E'$ 
  and an:  $\text{enat } a < n$ 
  and a'n:  $\text{enat } a' < n$ 
  shows  $P, E' \vdash a \leq_{sw} a'$ 
using sw sync-order-change-prefix[ $OF - \text{prefix } an \text{ } a'n, \text{ of } P$ ]
action-tid-change-prefix[ $OF \text{ prefix } an$ ] action-tid-change-prefix[ $OF \text{ prefix } a'n$ ]
action-obs-change-prefix[ $OF \text{ prefix } an$ ] action-obs-change-prefix[ $OF \text{ prefix } a'n$ ]
by(auto simp add: sync-with-def dest: sim-action-synchronizes-withD)

lemma po-sw-change-prefix:
  assumes posw:  $\text{po-sw } P \text{ } E \text{ } a \text{ } a'$ 
  and prefix:  $\text{ltake } n \text{ } E \approx \text{ltake } n \text{ } E'$ 
  and an:  $\text{enat } a < n$ 
  and a'n:  $\text{enat } a' < n$ 
  shows  $\text{po-sw } P \text{ } E' \text{ } a \text{ } a'$ 
using posw sync-with-change-prefix[ $OF - \text{prefix } an \text{ } a'n, \text{ of } P$ ] program-order-change-prefix[ $OF - \text{prefix } an \text{ } a'n$ ]
by(auto simp add: po-sw-def)

lemma happens-before-new-not-new:
  assumes tsa-ok: thread-start-actions-ok E
  and a:  $a \in \text{actions } E$ 
  and a':  $a' \in \text{actions } E$ 
  and new-a: is-new-action (action-obs E a)
  and new-a':  $\neg \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E \text{ } a')$ 
  shows  $P, E \vdash a \leq_{hb} a'$ 
proof -
  from thread-start-actions-okD[ $OF \text{ tsa-ok } a' \text{ new-a'}$ ]
  obtain i where  $i \leq a'$ 
    and obs-i: action-obs E i = InitialThreadAction
    and action-tid E i = action-tid E a' by auto
  from  $\langle i \leq a' \rangle \text{ a' have } i \in \text{actions } E$ 
    by(auto simp add: actions-def le-less-trans[where y=enat a'])
  with  $\langle i \leq a' \rangle \text{ obs-i } a' \text{ new-a' have } E \vdash i \leq a \text{ a'}$  by(simp add: action-order-def)

```

hence $E \vdash i \leq_{po} a'$ using $\langle action-tid E i = action-tid E a' \rangle$
by(rule program-orderI)

moreover {
 from $\langle i \in actions E \rangle obs\text{-}i$
 have $i \in sactions P E$ **by**(auto intro: sactionsI)
 from $a \langle i \in actions E \rangle new\text{-}a obs\text{-}i$ have $E \vdash a \leq_a i$ **by**(simp add: action-order-def)
 moreover from $a new\text{-}a$ have $a \in sactions P E$ **by**(auto intro: sactionsI)
 ultimately have $P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} i$ using $\langle i \in sactions P E \rangle$ **by**(rule sync-orderI)
 moreover from $new\text{-}a obs\text{-}i$ have $P \vdash (action-tid E a, action-obs E a) \sim_{sw} (action-tid E i, action-obs E i)$
by cases(auto intro: synchronizes-with.intros)
 ultimately have $P, E \vdash a \leq_{sw} i$ **by**(rule sync-withI) }
 ultimately show ?thesis unfolding po-sw-def [abs-def] **by**(blast intro: tranclp.r-into-trancl tranclp-trans)
qed

lemma happens-before-change-prefix:
assumes hb: $P, E \vdash a \leq_{hb} a'$
and tsa-ok: thread-start-actions-ok E'
and prefix: $ltake n E \approx ltake n E'$
and an: $enat a < n$
and a'n: $enat a' < n$
shows $P, E' \vdash a \leq_{hb} a'$
using hb an a'n
proof induct
 case (base a')
 thus ?case **by**(rule tranclp.r-into-trancl[where r=po-sw $P E'$, OF po-sw-change-prefix[OF - prefix]])
next
 case (step a' a'')
 show ?case
 proof(cases is-new-action (action-obs $E a'$) $\wedge \neg$ is-new-action (action-obs $E a''$))
 case False
 from $\langle po-sw P E a' a'' \rangle$ have $E \vdash a' \leq_a a''$ **by**(rule po-sw-into-action-order)
 with $\langle enat a'' < n \rangle$ False have $enat a' < n$
 by(safe elim!: action-orderE)(metis Suc-leI Suc-n-not-le-n enat-ord-simps(2) le-trans nat-neq-iff
 xtrans(10))+
 with $\langle enat a < n \rangle$ have $P, E' \vdash a \leq_{hb} a'$ **by**(rule step)
 moreover from $\langle po-sw P E a' a'' \rangle$ prefix $\langle enat a' < n \rangle \langle enat a'' < n \rangle$
 have po-sw $P E' a' a''$ **by**(rule po-sw-change-prefix)
 ultimately show ?thesis ..
next
 case True
 then obtain new-a': is-new-action (action-obs $E a'$)
 and \neg is-new-action (action-obs $E a''$) ..
 from $\langle P, E \vdash a \leq_{hb} a' \rangle$ new-a'
 have new-a: is-new-action (action-obs $E a$)
 and tid: $action-tid E a = action-tid E a'$
 and $a \leq a'$ **by**(rule happens-before-new-actionD)+
note tsa-ok **moreover**
 from porder-happens-before[of $E P$] have $a \in actions E$
 by(rule porder-onE)(erule refl-onPD1, rule $\langle P, E \vdash a \leq_{hb} a' \rangle$)
 hence $a \in actions E'$ using an **by**(rule actions-change-prefix[OF - prefix])

```

moreover
from ⟨po-sw P E a' a''⟩ refl-on-program-order[of E] refl-on-sync-order[of P E]
have a'' ∈ actions E
  unfolding po-sw-def by(auto dest: refl-onPD2 elim!: sync-withE)
  hence a'' ∈ actions E' using ⟨enat a'' < n⟩ by(rule actions-change-prefix[OF - prefix])
moreover
from new-a action-obs-change-prefix[OF prefix an]
have is-new-action (action-obs E' a) by(cases) auto
moreover
from ⟨¬ is-new-action (action-obs E a'')⟩ action-obs-change-prefix[OF prefix ⟨enat a'' < n⟩]
have ¬ is-new-action (action-obs E' a'') by(auto elim: is-new-action.cases)
  ultimately show P,E' ⊢ a ≤ hb a'' by(rule happens-before-new-not-new)
qed
qed

lemma thread-start-actions-ok-change:
  assumes tsa: thread-start-actions-ok E
  and sim: E [≈] E'
  shows thread-start-actions-ok E'
proof(rule thread-start-actions-oki)
  fix a
  assume a ∈ actions E' ¬ is-new-action (action-obs E' a)
  from sim have len-eq: llength E = llength E' by(simp add: sim-actions-def)(rule llist-all2-llengthD)
  with sim have sim': ltake (llength E) E [≈] ltake (llength E) E' by(simp add: ltake-all)

  from ⟨a ∈ actions E'⟩ len-eq have enat a < llength E by(simp add: actions-def)
  with ⟨a ∈ actions E'⟩ sim'[symmetric] have a ∈ actions E by(rule actions-change-prefix)
  moreover have ¬ is-new-action (action-obs E a)
    using action-obs-change-prefix[OF sim' ⟨enat a < llength E⟩] ⟨¬ is-new-action (action-obs E' a)⟩
    by(auto elim: is-new-action.cases)
  ultimately obtain i where i ≤ a action-obs E i = InitialThreadAction action-tid E i = action-tid
  E a
    by(blast dest: thread-start-actions-okD[OF tsa])
  thus ∃ i ≤ a. action-obs E' i = InitialThreadAction ∧ action-tid E' i = action-tid E' a
    using action-tid-change-prefix[OF sim', of i] action-tid-change-prefix[OF sim', of a] ⟨enat a <
  llength E⟩
      action-obs-change-prefix[OF sim', of i]
    by(cases llength E)(auto intro!: exI[where x=i])
qed

context executions-aux begin

lemma E-new-same-addr-singleton:
  assumes E: E ∈ E
  shows ∃ a. new-actions-for P E adal ⊆ {a}
  by(blast dest: E-new-actions-for-fun[OF E])

lemma new-action-before-read:
  assumes E: E ∈ E
  and wf: P ⊢ (E, ws) √
  and ra: ra ∈ read-actions E
  and adal: adal ∈ action-loc P E ra
  and new: wa ∈ new-actions-for P E adal
  and sc: ⋀ a. [ a < ra; a ∈ read-actions E ] ==> P,E ⊢ a ~mrw ws a

```

```

shows  $wa < ra$ 
using  $\mathcal{E}$ -new-same-addr-singleton[ $OF E$ , of adal] init-before-read[ $OF E wf ra adal sc$ ] new
by auto

lemma mrw-before:
assumes  $E: E \in \mathcal{E}$ 
and  $wf: P \vdash (E, ws) \checkmark$ 
and  $mrw: P, E \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} w$ 
and  $sc: \bigwedge a. \llbracket a < r; a \in \text{read-actions } E \rrbracket \implies P, E \vdash a \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} ws a$ 
shows  $w < r$ 
using mrw read-actions-not-write-actions[of  $r E$ ]
apply cases
apply(erule action-orderE)
apply(erule (1) new-action-before-read[ $OF E wf$ ])
apply(simp add: new-actions-for-def)
apply(erule (1) sc)
apply(cases  $w = r$ )
apply auto
done

lemma mrw-change-prefix:
assumes  $E': E' \in \mathcal{E}$ 
and  $mrw: P, E \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} w$ 
and tsa-ok: thread-start-actions-ok  $E'$ 
and prefix: ltake  $n E \approx ltake n E'$ 
and an: enat  $r < n$ 
and a'n: enat  $w < n$ 
shows  $P, E' \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} w$ 
using mrw
proof cases
fix adal
assume  $r: r \in \text{read-actions } E$ 
and adal-r: adal  $\in \text{action-loc } P E r$ 
and war:  $E \vdash w \leq_a r$ 
and w:  $w \in \text{write-actions } E$ 
and adal-w: adal  $\in \text{action-loc } P E w$ 
and mrw:  $\bigwedge wa'. \llbracket wa' \in \text{write-actions } E; adal \in \text{action-loc } P E wa' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies E \vdash wa' \leq_a w \vee E \vdash r \leq_a wa'$ 
show ?thesis
proof(rule most-recent-write-for.intros)
from r prefix an show r':  $r \in \text{read-actions } E'$ 
by(rule read-actions-change-prefix)
from adal-r show adal  $\in \text{action-loc } P E' r$ 
by(simp add: action-loc-change-prefix[ $OF \text{prefix[symmetric]} an$ ])
from war prefix a'n an show  $E' \vdash w \leq_a r$  by(rule action-order-change-prefix)
from w prefix a'n show w':  $w \in \text{write-actions } E'$  by(rule write-actions-change-prefix)
from adal-w show adal-w': adal  $\in \text{action-loc } P E' w$  by(simp add: action-loc-change-prefix[ $OF \text{prefix[symmetric]} a'n$ ])

fix wa'
assume wa':  $wa' \in \text{write-actions } E'$ 
and adal-wa': adal  $\in \text{action-loc } P E' wa'$ 
show  $E' \vdash wa' \leq_a w \vee E' \vdash r \leq_a wa'$ 
proof(cases enat  $wa' < n$ )

```

```

case True
note wa'n = this
with wa' prefix[symmetric] have wa' ∈ write-actions E by(rule write-actions-change-prefix)
moreover from adal-wa' have adal ∈ action-loc P E wa'
by(simp add: action-loc-change-prefix[OF prefix wa'n])
ultimately have E ⊢ wa' ≤a w ∨ E ⊢ r ≤a wa' by(rule mrw)
thus ?thesis
proof
  assume E ⊢ wa' ≤a w
  hence E' ⊢ wa' ≤a w using prefix wa'n a'n by(rule action-order-change-prefix)
  thus ?thesis ..
next
  assume E ⊢ r ≤a wa'
  hence E' ⊢ r ≤a wa' using prefix an wa'n by(rule action-order-change-prefix)
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
next
  case False note wa'n = this
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases is-new-action (action-obs E' wa'))
    case False
    hence E' ⊢ r ≤a wa' using wa'n r' wa' an
    by(auto intro!: action-orderI) (metis enat-ord-code(1) linorder-le-cases order-le-less-trans)
    thus ?thesis ..
next
  case True
  with wa' adal-wa' have new: wa' ∈ new-actions-for P E' adal by(simp add: new-actions-for-def)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases is-new-action (action-obs E' w))
    case True
    with adal-w' a'n w' have w ∈ new-actions-for P E' adal by(simp add: new-actions-for-def)
    with E' new have wa' = w by(rule E-new-actions-for-fun)
    thus ?thesis using w' by(auto intro: refl-onPD[OF refl-action-order])
next
  case False
  with True wa' w' show ?thesis by(auto intro!: action-orderI)
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma action-order-read-before-write:
assumes E: E ∈ E P ⊢ (E, ws) √
and ao: E ⊢ w ≤a r
and r: r ∈ read-actions E
and w: w ∈ write-actions E
and adal: adal ∈ action-loc P E r adal ∈ action-loc P E w
and sc: ∏a. [ a < r; a ∈ read-actions E ] ⇒ P,E ⊢ a ~> mrw ws a
shows w < r
using ao
proof(cases rule: action-orderE)
  case 1
  from init-before-read[OF E r adal(1) sc]

```

```

obtain i where  $i < r \ i \in \text{new-actions-for } P \ E \ \text{adal by blast}$ 
moreover from  $\langle \text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E \ w) \rangle \ \text{adal}(2) \ \langle w \in \text{actions } E \rangle$ 
have  $w \in \text{new-actions-for } P \ E \ \text{adal by}(\text{simp add: new-actions-for-def})$ 
ultimately show  $w < r \ \text{using } E \ \text{by}(\text{auto dest: } \mathcal{E}\text{-new-actions-for-fun})$ 

```

next

```

case 2
with r w show ?thesis
by(cases w = r)(auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)
qed

```

end

end

8.5 The data race free guarantee of the JMM

theory *JMM-DRF*

imports

JMM-Spec

begin

context *drf* begin

lemma *drf-lemma*:

```

assumes wf:  $P \vdash (E, ws) \ \checkmark$ 
and  $E: E \in \mathcal{E}$ 
and sync: correctly-synchronized  $P \ \mathcal{E}$ 
and read-before:  $\bigwedge r. \ r \in \text{read-actions } E \implies P, E \vdash ws \ r \leq hb \ r$ 
shows sequentially-consistent  $P \ (E, ws)$ 

```

proof(rule ccontr)

```

let ?Q = { $r. \ r \in \text{read-actions } E \wedge \neg P, E \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{\text{mrw}} ws \ r$ }

```

assume $\neg ?\text{thesis}$

```

then obtain r where  $r \in \text{read-actions } E \wedge \neg P, E \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{\text{mrw}} ws \ r$ 
by(auto simp add: sequentially-consistent-def)

```

hence $r \in ?Q$ by simp

with wf-action-order[of E] obtain r'

where $r' \in ?Q$

```

and (action-order E)  $\neq \neq \hat{\wedge} \star \star r' \ r$ 
and r'-min:  $\bigwedge a. \ (\text{action-order } E) \neq \neq a \ r' \implies a \notin ?Q$ 
by(rule wfP-minimalE) blast

```

from $\langle r' \in ?Q \rangle$ have r': $r' \in \text{read-actions } E$

and not-mrw: $\neg P, E \vdash r' \rightsquigarrow_{\text{mrw}} ws \ r'$ by blast+

from r' obtain ad al v where obs-r': action-obs $E \ r' = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem ad al v})$

by(cases) auto

from wf have ws: is-write-seen $P \ E \ ws$

and tsa-ok: thread-start-actions-ok E

by(rule wf-exec-is-write-seenD wf-exec-thread-start-actions-okD)+

from is-write-seenD[$OF \ ws \ r' \ obs \ r'$]

have ws-r: $ws \ r' \in \text{write-actions } E$

and adal: $(ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P \ E \ (ws \ r')$

and v: $v = \text{value-written } P \ E \ (ws \ r') \ (ad, al)$

and *not-hb*: $\neg P, E \vdash r' \leq_{hb} ws r'$ **by** *auto*
from r' **have** $P, E \vdash ws r' \leq_{hb} r'$ **by** (*rule read-before*)
hence $E \vdash ws r' \leq_a r'$ **by** (*rule happens-before-into-action-order*)
from *not-mrw*
have $\exists w'. w' \in write\text{-actions } E \wedge (ad, al) \in action\text{-loc } P E w' \wedge$
 $\neg P, E \vdash w' \leq_{hb} ws r' \wedge \neg P, E \vdash w' \leq_{so} ws r' \wedge$
 $\neg P, E \vdash r' \leq_{hb} w' \wedge \neg P, E \vdash r' \leq_{so} w' \wedge E \vdash w' \leq_a r'$
proof (*rule contrapos-np*)
assume *inbetween*: $\neg ?thesis$
note r'
moreover from *obs-r'* **have** $(ad, al) \in action\text{-loc } P E r'$ **by** *simp*
moreover note $\langle E \vdash ws r' \leq_a r' \rangle ws\text{-r adal}$
moreover
{ **fix** w'
assume $w' \in write\text{-actions } E$ $(ad, al) \in action\text{-loc } P E w'$
with *inbetween* **have** $P, E \vdash w' \leq_{hb} ws r' \vee P, E \vdash w' \leq_{so} ws r' \vee P, E \vdash r' \leq_{hb} w' \vee P, E \vdash r' \leq_{so} w' \vee \neg E \vdash w' \leq_a r'$ **by** *simp*
moreover from *total-onPD*[*OF total-action-order, of w' E r'*] $\langle w' \in write\text{-actions } E \rangle r'$
have $E \vdash w' \leq_a r' \vee E \vdash r' \leq_a w'$ **by** (*auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions*)
ultimately have $E \vdash w' \leq_a ws r' \vee E \vdash r' \leq_a w'$ **unfolding** *sync-order-def*
by (*blast intro: happens-before-into-action-order*) }
ultimately show $P, E \vdash r' \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} ws r'$ **by** (*rule most-recent-write-for.intros*)
qed
then obtain w' **where** $w': w' \in write\text{-actions } E$
and *adal-w'*: $(ad, al) \in action\text{-loc } P E w'$
and $\neg P, E \vdash w' \leq_{hb} ws r' \neg P, E \vdash r' \leq_{hb} w' E \vdash w' \leq_a r'$
and *so*: $\neg P, E \vdash w' \leq_{so} ws r' \neg P, E \vdash r' \leq_{so} w'$ **by** *blast*

have $ws r' \neq w'$ **using** $\neg P, E \vdash w' \leq_{hb} ws r'$ *ws-r*
by (*auto intro: happens-before-refl*)

have *vol*: $\neg is\text{-volatile } P al$
proof
assume *vol-al*: *is-volatile P al*
with $r' obs\text{-}r'$ **have** $r' \in sactions P E$ **by** *cases* (*rule sactionsI, simp-all*)
moreover from $w' vol\text{-}al adal\text{-}w'$ **have** $w' \in sactions P E$
by (*cases*) (*auto intro: sactionsI elim!: is-write-action.cases*)
ultimately have $P, E \vdash w' \leq_{so} r' \vee w' = r' \vee P, E \vdash r' \leq_{so} w'$
using *total-sync-order*[*of P E*] **by** (*blast dest: total-onPD*)
moreover have $w' \neq r'$ **using** $w' r'$ **by** (*auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions*)
ultimately have $P, E \vdash w' \leq_{so} r'$ **using** $\neg P, E \vdash r' \leq_{so} w'$ **by** *simp*
moreover from *ws-r vol-al adal* **have** $ws r' \in sactions P E$
by (*cases*) (*auto intro: sactionsI elim!: is-write-action.cases*)
with *total-sync-order*[*of P E*] $\langle w' \in sactions P E \rangle \neg P, E \vdash w' \leq_{so} ws r'$ $\langle ws r' \neq w' \rangle$
have $P, E \vdash ws r' \leq_{so} w'$ **by** (*blast dest: total-onPD*)
ultimately show *False*
using *is-write-seenD*[*OF ws r' obs-r'*] $w' adal\text{-}w' vol\text{-}al \langle ws r' \neq w' \rangle$ **by** *auto*
qed
{ **fix** a
assume $a < r'$ **and** $a \in read\text{-actions } E$
hence $(action\text{-order } E) \neq a r'$ **using** $r' obs\text{-}r'$ **by** (*auto intro: action-orderI*)
from *r'-min*[*OF this*] $\langle a \in read\text{-actions } E \rangle$
have $P, E \vdash a \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} ws a$ **by** *simp* }

```

from  $\mathcal{E}$ -sequential-completion[ $OF E wf\ this, of r' \ r'$ ]  $r'$ 
obtain  $E' ws'$  where  $E' \in \mathcal{E} \ P \vdash (E', ws') \ \vee$ 
  and  $eq: ltake(enat r') E = ltake(enat r') E'$ 
  and  $sc: sequentially-consistent P (E', ws')$ 
  and  $r'': action-tid E r' = action-tid E' r' \ action-obs E r' \approx action-obs E' r'$ 
  and  $r' \in actions E'$ 
  by auto

from  $\langle P \vdash (E', ws') \ \vee \rangle$  have  $tsa-ok: thread-start-actions-ok E'$ 
  by(rule wf-exec-thread-start-actions-okD)

from  $\langle r' \in read-actions E \rangle$  have  $enat r' < llength E$  by(auto elim: read-actions.cases actionsE)
moreover from  $\langle r' \in actions E' \rangle$  have  $enat r' < llength E'$  by(auto elim: actionsE)
ultimately have  $eq: ltake(enat (Suc r')) E \ [ \approx ] ltake(enat (Suc r')) E'$ 
  using eq[THEN eq-into-sim-actions]  $r''$ 
  by(auto simp add: ltake-Suc-conv-snoc-lnth sim-actions-def split-beta action-tid-def action-obs-def
intro!: llist-all2-lappendI)
from  $r'$  have  $r'': r' \in read-actions E'$ 
  by(rule read-actions-change-prefix[ $OF -eq$ ]) simp
from  $obs-r'$  have  $(ad, al) \in action-loc P E r'$  by simp
hence  $adal-r'': (ad, al) \in action-loc P E' r'$ 
  by(subst (asm) action-loc-change-prefix[ $OF eq$ ]) simp

from  $\neg P, E \vdash w' \leq hb ws r'$ 
have  $\neg is-new-action (action-obs E w')$ 
proof(rule contrapos-nn)
  assume  $new-w': is-new-action (action-obs E w')$ 
  show  $P, E \vdash w' \leq hb ws r'$ 
  proof(cases is-new-action (action-obs E (ws r')))
    case True
      with adal new-w' adal-w' w' ws-r
      have  $ws r' \in new-actions-for P E (ad, al) \ w' \in new-actions-for P E (ad, al)$ 
        by(auto simp add: new-actions-for-def)
      with  $\langle E \in \mathcal{E} \rangle$  have  $ws r' = w'$  by(rule  $\mathcal{E}$ -new-actions-for-fun)
      thus ?thesis using w' by(auto intro: happens-before-refl)
    next
      case False
        with tsa-ok w' ws-r new-w'
        show ?thesis by(auto intro: happens-before-new-not-new)
    qed
  qed
  with  $\langle E \vdash w' \leq_a r' \rangle$  have  $w' \leq r'$  by(auto elim!: action-orderE)
moreover from  $w' r'$  have  $w' \neq r'$  by(auto intro: read-actions-not-write-actions)
ultimately have  $w' < r'$  by simp
with  $w'$  have  $w' \in write-actions E'$ 
  by(auto intro: write-actions-change-prefix[ $OF -eq$ ])
hence  $w' \in actions E'$  by simp

from  $adal-w' \langle w' < r' \rangle$ 
have  $(ad, al) \in action-loc P E' w'$ 
  by(subst action-loc-change-prefix[symmetric,  $OF eq$ ]) simp-all

from vol  $\langle r' \in read-actions E' \rangle \ \langle w' \in write-actions E' \rangle \ \langle (ad, al) \in action-loc P E' w' \rangle$  adal-r''
```

```

have  $P, E' \vdash r' \dagger w'$  unfolding non-volatile-conflict-def by auto
with sync  $\langle E' \in \mathcal{E} \rangle \langle P \vdash (E', ws') \vee sc' \langle r' \in actions E' \rangle \langle w' \in actions E' \rangle$ 
have  $hb' \cdot r' \cdot w': P, E' \vdash r' \leq hb w' \vee P, E' \vdash w' \leq hb r'$ 
by(rule correctly-synchronizedD[rule-format])
hence  $P, E \vdash r' \leq hb w' \vee P, E \vdash w' \leq hb r'$  using  $\langle w' < r' \rangle$ 
by(auto intro: happens-before-change-prefix[OF - tsa-ok eq'[symmetric]])
with  $\neg P, E \vdash r' \leq hb w'$  have  $P, E \vdash w' \leq hb r'$  by simp

have  $P, E \vdash ws r' \leq hb w'$ 
proof(cases is-new-action (action-obs E (ws r')))
case False
with  $\langle E \vdash ws r' \leq a r' \rangle$  have  $ws r' \leq r'$  by(auto elim!: action-orderE)
moreover from ws-r  $r'$  have  $ws r' \neq r'$  by(auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)
ultimately have  $ws r' < r'$  by simp
with ws-r have  $ws r' \in write-actions E'$ 
by(auto intro: write-actions-change-prefix[OF - eq'])
hence  $ws r' \in actions E'$  by simp

from adal  $\langle ws r' < r' \rangle$ 
have (ad, al)  $\in action-loc P E' (ws r')$ 
by(subst action-loc-change-prefix[symmetric, OF eq']) simp-all
hence  $P, E' \vdash ws r' \dagger w'$ 
using  $\langle ws r' \in write-actions E' \rangle \langle w' \in write-actions E' \rangle \langle (ad, al) \in action-loc P E' w' \rangle$  vol
unfolding non-volatile-conflict-def by auto
with sync  $\langle E' \in \mathcal{E} \rangle \langle P \vdash (E', ws') \vee sc' \langle ws r' \in actions E' \rangle \langle w' \in actions E' \rangle$ 
have  $P, E' \vdash ws r' \leq hb w' \vee P, E' \vdash w' \leq hb ws r'$ 
by(rule correctly-synchronizedD[rule-format])
thus  $P, E \vdash ws r' \leq hb w'$  using  $\langle w' < r' \rangle \langle ws r' < r' \rangle \neg P, E \vdash w' \leq hb ws r'$ 
by(auto dest: happens-before-change-prefix[OF - tsa-ok eq'[symmetric]])

next
case True
with tsa-ok ws-r  $w' \neg is-new-action (action-obs E w')$ 
show  $P, E \vdash ws r' \leq hb w'$  by(auto intro: happens-before-new-not-new)

qed
moreover
from wf have is-write-seen  $P E ws$  by(rule wf-exec-is-write-seenD)
ultimately have  $w' = ws r'$ 
using is-write-seenD[OF  $\langle is-write-seen P E ws \rangle \langle r' \in read-actions E \rangle \langle obs-r \rangle$ 
 $\langle w' \in write-actions E \rangle \langle (ad, al) \in action-loc P E w' \rangle \langle P, E \vdash w' \leq hb r' \rangle$ 
by auto
with porder-happens-before[of E P]  $\neg P, E \vdash w' \leq hb ws r'$  ws-r show False
by(auto dest: refl-onPD[where a=ws r'] elim!: porder-onE)

qed

lemma justified-action-committedD:
assumes justified:  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  weakly-justified-by J
and a:  $a \in actions E$ 
obtains n a' where a = action-translation (J n) a' a'  $\in committed (J n)$ 
proof(atomize-elim)
from justified have actions E =  $(\bigcup n. action-translation (J n)) \cdot committed (J n)$ 
by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)
with a show  $\exists n a'. a = action-translation (J n) a' \wedge a' \in committed (J n)$  by auto
qed

```

theorem *drf-weak*:

assumes *sync*: correctly-synchronized $P \in \mathcal{E}$
and *legal*: weakly-legal-execution $P \in \mathcal{E} (E, ws)$
shows sequentially-consistent $P (E, ws)$
using *legal-wf-execD*[*OF legal*] *legal-ED*[*OF legal*] *sync*

proof(rule *drf-lemma*)

fix r

assume $r \in \text{read-actions } E$

from *legal* **obtain** J **where** $E: E \in \mathcal{E}$

and *wf-exec*: $P \vdash (E, ws) \vee$
and $J: P \vdash (E, ws)$ weakly-justified-by J
and *range-J*: range (justifying-exec $\circ J$) $\subseteq \mathcal{E}$
by(rule *legal-executionE*)

let $?E = \lambda n. \text{justifying-exec } (J n)$

and $?ws = \lambda n. \text{justifying-ws } (J n)$
and $?C = \lambda n. \text{committed } (J n)$
and $?\varphi = \lambda n. \text{action-translation } (J n)$

from $\langle r \in \text{read-actions } E \rangle$ **have** $r \in \text{actions } E$ **by** *simp*

with J **obtain** $n r'$ **where** $r: r = \text{action-translation } (J n)$ r'
and $r': r' \in ?C n$ **by**(rule *justified-action-committedD*)

note $\langle r \in \text{read-actions } E \rangle$

moreover from J **have** *wfan*: wf-action-translation-on $(?E n) E (?C n) (?\varphi n)$

by(*simp add*: *wf-action-translations-def*)

hence *action-obs* $(?E n) r' \approx \text{action-obs } E r$ **using** r' **unfolding** r

by(*blast dest*: *wf-action-translation-on-actionD*)

moreover from $J r'$ **have** $r' \in \text{actions } (?E n)$

by(*auto simp add*: *committed-subset-actions-def*)

ultimately have $r' \in \text{read-actions } (?E n)$ **unfolding** r

by(*cases auto intro*: *read-actions.intros*)

hence $P, E \vdash ws (?\varphi n r') \leq hb ?\varphi n r'$ **using** $\langle r' \in ?C n \rangle$

proof(*induct n arbitrary*: $r')$

case 0

from J **have** $?C 0 = \{\}$ **by**(*simp add*: *is-commit-sequence-def*)

with 0 **have** *False* **by** *simp*

thus $?case ..$

next

case $(Suc n r)$

note $r = \langle r \in \text{read-actions } (?E (Suc n)) \rangle$

from J **have** *wfan*: wf-action-translation-on $(?E n) E (?C n) (?\varphi n)$

and *wfaSn*: wf-action-translation-on $(?E (Suc n)) E (?C (Suc n)) (?\varphi (Suc n))$

by(*simp-all add*: *wf-action-translations-def*)

from *wfaSn* **have** *injSn*: inj-on $(?\varphi (Suc n)) (\text{actions } (?E (Suc n)))$

by(rule *wf-action-translation-on-inj-onD*)

from J **have** *C-sub-A*: $?C (Suc n) \subseteq \text{actions } (?E (Suc n))$

by(*simp add*: *committed-subset-actions-def*)

from J **have** *wf*: $P \vdash (?E (Suc n), ?ws (Suc n)) \vee$ **by**(*simp add*: *justification-well-formed-def*)

moreover from *range-J* **have** $?E (Suc n) \in \mathcal{E}$ **by** *auto*

ultimately have *sc*: sequentially-consistent $P (?E (Suc n), ?ws (Suc n))$ **using** *sync*

```

proof(rule drf-lemma)
  fix r'
  assume r': r' ∈ read-actions (?E (Suc n))
  hence r' ∈ actions (?E (Suc n)) by simp

  show P,?E (Suc n) ⊢ ?ws (Suc n) r' ≤hb r'
  proof(cases ?φ (Suc n) r' ∈ ?φ n ‘ ?C n)
    case True
    then obtain r'': r'' ∈ ?C n
      and r'-r'': ?φ (Suc n) r' = ?φ n r'' by(auto)
      from r'' wfan have action-tid (?E n) r'' = action-tid E (?φ n r'')
        and action-obs (?E n) r'' ≈ action-obs E (?φ n r'')
        by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD)+
        moreover from J have ?φ n ‘ ?C n ⊆ ?φ (Suc n) ‘ ?C (Suc n)
          by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)
        with r'' have ?φ (Suc n) r' ∈ ?φ (Suc n) ‘ ?C (Suc n)
          unfolding r'-r'' by auto
        hence r' ∈ ?C (Suc n)
          unfolding inj-on-image-mem-iff[OF injSn ⟨r' ∈ actions (?E (Suc n))⟩ C-sub-A] .
        with wfaSn have action-tid (?E (Suc n)) r' = action-tid E (?φ (Suc n) r')
          and action-obs (?E (Suc n)) r' ≈ action-obs E (?φ (Suc n) r')
          by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD)+
        ultimately have tid: action-tid (?E n) r'' = action-tid (?E (Suc n)) r'
          and obs: action-obs (?E n) r'' ≈ action-obs (?E (Suc n)) r'
          unfolding r'-r'' by(auto intro: sim-action-trans sim-action-sym)

        from J have ?C n ⊆ actions (?E n) by(simp add: committed-subset-actions-def)
        with r'' have r'' ∈ actions (?E n) by blast
        with r' obs have r'' ∈ read-actions (?E n)
          by cases(auto intro: read-actions.intros)
        hence hb'': P,E ⊢ ws (?φ n r'') ≤hb ?φ n r''
          using ⟨r'' ∈ ?C n⟩ by(rule Suc)

        have r-conv-inv: r' = inv-into (actions (?E (Suc n))) (?φ (Suc n)) (?φ n r'')
          using ⟨r' ∈ actions (?E (Suc n))⟩ unfolding r'-r''[symmetric]
          by(simp add: inv-into-f-f[OF injSn])
          with ⟨r'' ∈ ?C n⟩ r' J ⟨r'' ∈ read-actions (?E n)⟩
        have ws-eq[symmetric]: ?φ (Suc n) (?ws (Suc n) r') = ws (?φ n r'')
          by(simp add: write-seen-committed-def)
          with r'-r''[symmetric] hb'' have P,E ⊢ ?φ (Suc n) (?ws (Suc n) r') ≤hb ?φ (Suc n) r' by
            simp

        moreover

        from J r' ⟨r' ∈ committed (J (Suc n))⟩
        have ws (?φ (Suc n) r') ∈ ?φ (Suc n) ‘ ?C (Suc n)
          by(rule weakly-justified-write-seen-hb-read-committed)
        then obtain w' where w': ws (?φ (Suc n) r') = ?φ (Suc n) w'
          and committed-w': w' ∈ ?C (Suc n) by blast
        with C-sub-A have w'-action: w' ∈ actions (?E (Suc n)) by auto

        hence w'-def: w' = inv-into (actions (?E (Suc n))) (?φ (Suc n)) (ws (?φ (Suc n) r'))
          using injSn unfolding w' by simp

```

```

from J r' <r' ∈ committed (J (Suc n))>
have hb-eq: P,E ⊢ ws (?φ (Suc n) r') ≤hb ?φ (Suc n) r' ↔ P,?E (Suc n) ⊢ w' ≤hb r'
  unfolding w'-def by(simp add: happens-before-committed-weak-def)

from r' obtain ad al v where action-obs (?E (Suc n)) r' = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al
v) by(cases)
  from is-write-seenD[OF wf-exec-is-write-seenD[OF wf] r' this]
  have ?ws (Suc n) r' ∈ actions (?E (Suc n)) by(auto)
  with injSn have w' = ?ws (Suc n) r'
    unfolding w'-def ws-eq[folded r'-r''] by(rule inv-into-f-f)
    thus ?thesis using hb'' hb-eq w'-action r'-r''[symmetric] w' injSn by simp
  next
    case False
      with J r' show ?thesis by(auto simp add: uncommitted-reads-see-hb-def)
    qed
  qed

from r have r ∈ actions (?E (Suc n)) by simp
let ?w = inv-into (actions (?E (Suc n))) (?φ (Suc n)) (ws (?φ (Suc n) r))
from J r <r ∈ ?C (Suc n)> have ws-rE-comm: ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ∈ ?φ (Suc n) ‘ ?C (Suc n)
  by(rule weakly-justified-write-seen-hb-read-committed)
hence ?w ∈ ?C (Suc n) using C-sub-A by(auto simp add: inv-into-f-f[OF injSn])
with C-sub-A have w: ?w ∈ actions (?E (Suc n)) by blast

from ws-rE-comm C-sub-A have w-eq: ?φ (Suc n) ?w = ws (?φ (Suc n) r)
  by(auto simp: f-inv-into-f[where f=?φ (Suc n)])
from r obtain ad al v
  where obsr: action-obs (?E (Suc n)) r = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) by cases
  hence adal-r: (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P (?E (Suc n)) r by simp
from J wfaSn <r ∈ ?C (Suc n)>
have obs-sim: action-obs (?E (Suc n)) r ≈ action-obs E (?φ (Suc n) r) ?φ (Suc n) r ∈ actions E
  by(auto dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD simp add: committed-subset-actions-def is-commit-sequence-def)
with obsr have rE: ?φ (Suc n) r ∈ read-actions E by(fastforce intro: read-actions.intros)
from obs-sim obsr obtain v'
  where obsrE: action-obs E (?φ (Suc n) r) = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v') by auto
from wf-exec have is-write-seen P E ws by(rule wf-exec-is-write-seenD)
from is-write-seenD[OF this rE obsrE]
have ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ∈ write-actions E
  and (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E (ws (?φ (Suc n) r))
  and nhb: ¬ P,E ⊢ ?φ (Suc n) r ≤hb ws (?φ (Suc n) r)
  and vol: is-volatile P al ==> ¬ P,E ⊢ ?φ (Suc n) r ≤so ws (?φ (Suc n) r) by simp-all

show ?case
proof(cases is-volatile P al)
  case False

  from wf-action-translation-on-actionD[OF wfaSn <?w ∈ ?C (Suc n)>]
  have action-obs (?E (Suc n)) ?w ≈ action-obs E (?φ (Suc n) ?w) by simp
  with w-eq have obs-sim-w: action-obs (?E (Suc n)) ?w ≈ action-obs E (ws (?φ (Suc n) r)) by
    simp
  with <ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ∈ write-actions E> <?w ∈ actions (?E (Suc n))>
  have ?w ∈ write-actions (?E (Suc n))
    by cases(fastforce intro: write-actions.intros is-write-action.intros elim!: is-write-action.cases)
  from <(ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E (ws (?φ (Suc n) r))> obs-sim-w

```

```

have (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P (?E (Suc n)) ?w by cases(auto intro: action-loc-aux-intros)
with r adal-r ↳ ?w ∈ write-actions (?E (Suc n)) False
have P,?E (Suc n) ⊢ r † ?w by(auto simp add: non-volatile-conflict-def)
with sc <r ∈ actions (?E (Suc n))> w
have P,?E (Suc n) ⊢ r ≤hb ?w ∨ P,?E (Suc n) ⊢ ?w ≤hb r
by(rule correctly-synchronizedD[rule-format, OF sync <?E (Suc n) ∈ E> wf])
moreover from J r <r ∈ ?C (Suc n)>
have P,?E (Suc n) ⊢ ?w ≤hb r ↔ P,E ⊢ ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ≤hb ?φ (Suc n) r
and ¬ P,?E (Suc n) ⊢ r ≤hb ?w
by(simp-all add: happens-before-committed-weak-def)
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
next
case True
with rE obsrE have ?φ (Suc n) r ∈ sactions P E by cases (auto intro: sactionsI)
moreover from <ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ∈ write-actions E> <(ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E (ws (?φ (Suc n) r))> True
have ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ∈ sactions P E by cases(auto intro!: sactionsI elim: is-write-action.cases)
moreover have ?φ (Suc n) r ≠ ws (?φ (Suc n) r)
using <ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ∈ write-actions E> rE by(auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)
ultimately have P,E ⊢ ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ≤so ?φ (Suc n) r
using total-sync-order[of P E] vol[OF True] by(auto dest: total-onPD)
moreover from <ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ∈ write-actions E> <(ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E (ws (?φ (Suc n) r))> True
have P ⊢ (action-tid E (ws (?φ (Suc n) r)), action-obs E (ws (?φ (Suc n) r))) ~sw
(action-tid E (?φ (Suc n) r), action-obs E (?φ (Suc n) r))
by cases(fastforce elim!: is-write-action.cases intro: synchronizes-with.intros addr-locsI simp
add: obsrE)
ultimately have P,E ⊢ ws (?φ (Suc n) r) ≤sw ?φ (Suc n) r by(rule sync-withI)
thus ?thesis unfolding po-sw-def by blast
qed
qed
thus P,E ⊢ ws r ≤hb r unfolding r .
qed

corollary drf:
[ [ correctly-synchronized P E; legal-execution P E (E, ws) ] ]
⇒ sequentially-consistent P (E, ws)
by(erule drf-weak)(rule legal-imp-weakly-legal-execution)

end
end

```

8.6 Sequentially consistent executions are legal

```

theory SC-Legal imports
  JMM-Spec
begin

context executions-base begin

primrec commit-for-sc :: 'm prog ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id) execution × write-seen ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id)
justification

```

where

```

commit-for-sc P (E, ws) n =
(if enat n ≤ llength E then
  let (E', ws') = SOME (E', ws'). E' ∈ E ∧ P ⊢ (E', ws') √ ∧ enat n ≤ llength E' ∧
    ltake (enat (n - 1)) E = ltake (enat (n - 1)) E' ∧
    (n > 0 → action-tid E' (n - 1) = action-tid E (n - 1) ∧
      (if n - 1 ∈ read-actions E then sim-action else (=)) ∧
      (action-obs E' (n - 1)) (action-obs E (n - 1)) ∧
      (∀ i < n - 1. i ∈ read-actions E → ws' i = ws i)) ∧
      (∀ r ∈ read-actions E'. n - 1 ≤ r → P, E' ⊢ ws' r ≤ hb r)
  in (committed = {..<n}, justifying-exec = E', justifying-ws = ws', action-translation = id)
else (committed = actions E, justifying-exec = E, justifying-ws = ws, action-translation = id))

```

end

context sc-legal **begin**

lemma commit-for-sc-correct:

assumes E: E ∈ E

and wf: P ⊢ (E, ws) √

and sc: sequentially-consistent P (E, ws)

shows wf-action-translation-commit-for-sc:

$\bigwedge n. \text{wf-action-translation } E (\text{commit-for-sc } P (E, ws) n) \text{ (is } \bigwedge n. \text{?thesis1 } n\text{)}$

and commit-for-sc-in-E:

$\bigwedge n. \text{justifying-exec} (\text{commit-for-sc } P (E, ws) n) \in E \text{ (is } \bigwedge n. \text{?thesis2 } n\text{)}$

and commit-for-sc-wf:

$\bigwedge n. P \vdash (\text{justifying-exec} (\text{commit-for-sc } P (E, ws) n), \text{justifying-ws} (\text{commit-for-sc } P (E, ws) n))$

√

 (is $\bigwedge n. \text{?thesis3 } n$)

and commit-for-sc-justification:

 P ⊢ (E, ws) justified-by commit-for-sc P (E, ws) (is ?thesis4)

proof –

let ?φ = commit-for-sc P (E, ws)

note [simp] = split-beta

from wf **have** tsok: thread-start-actions-ok E **by** simp

```

let ?P = λn (E', ws'). E' ∈ E ∧ P ⊢ (E', ws') √ ∧ (enat n ≤ llength E → enat n ≤ llength E') ∧
  ltake (enat (n - 1)) E = ltake (enat (n - 1)) E' ∧
  (n > 0 → action-tid E' (n - 1) = action-tid E (n - 1) ∧
    (if n - 1 ∈ read-actions E then sim-action else (=)) ∧
    (action-obs E' (n - 1)) (action-obs E (n - 1)) ∧
    (∀ i < n - 1. i ∈ read-actions E → ws' i = ws i)) ∧
    (∀ r ∈ read-actions E'. n - 1 ≤ r → P, E' ⊢ ws' r ≤ hb r)

```

define E' ws' **where** E' n = fst (Eps (?P n)) **and** ws' n = snd (Eps (?P n)) **for** n

hence [simp]:

$\bigwedge n. \text{commit-for-sc } P (E, ws) n =$

 (if enat n ≤ llength E

 then (committed = {..<n}, justifying-exec = E' n, justifying-ws = ws' n, action-translation = id)
 else (committed = actions E, justifying-exec = E, justifying-ws = ws, action-translation = id))

by simp

note [simp del] = commit-for-sc.simps

have ($\forall n. \text{?thesis1 } n$) \wedge ($\forall n. \text{?thesis2 } n$) \wedge ($\forall n. \text{?thesis3 } n$) \wedge ?thesis4

unfolding *is-justified-by.simps is-commit-sequence-def justification-well-formed-def committed-subset-actions-def happens-before-committed-def sync-order-committed-def value-written-committed-def uncommitted-reads-see-hb-def committed-reads-see-committed-writes-def external-actions-committed-def wf-action-translations-def write-seen-committed-def*

proof(intro conjI strip LetI)

```

show committed (?φ 0) = {}
  by(auto simp add: actions-def zero-enat-def[symmetric])
show actions-E: actions E = (⋃ n. action-translation (?φ n) ` committed (?φ n))
  by(auto simp add: actions-def less-le-trans[where y=enat n for n] split: if-split-asm)
  hence committed-subset-E: ⋀ n. action-translation (?φ n) ` committed (?φ n) ⊆ actions E by fastforce

{ fix n
  have ?P n (Eps (?P n))
  proof(cases n)
    case 0
      from E-hb-completion[OF E wf, of 0] have ∃ Ews. ?P 0 Ews
      by(fastforce simp add: zero-enat-def[symmetric])
      thus ?thesis unfolding 0 by(rule someI-ex)
    next
      case (Suc n')
      moreover
        from sc have sc': ⋀ a. [ a < n'; a ∈ read-actions E ] ⟹ P,E ⊢ a ~mrw ws a
        by(simp add: sequentially-consistent-def)
        from E-hb-completion[OF E wf this, of n']
        obtain E' ws' where E' ∈ E and P ⊢ (E', ws') √
          and eq: ltake(enat n') E = ltake(enat n') E'
          and hb: ∀ a ∈ read-actions E'. if a < n' then ws' a = ws a else P,E' ⊢ ws' a ≤ hb a
          and n-sim: action-tid E' n' = action-tid E n'
            (if n' ∈ read-actions E then sim-action else (=)) (action-obs E' n') (action-obs E n')
          and n: n' ∈ actions E ⟹ n' ∈ actions E' by blast
        moreover {
          assume enat n ≤ llength E
          with n Suc have enat n ≤ llength E'
          by(simp add: actions-def Suc-ile-eq) }
        moreover {
          fix i
          assume i ∈ read-actions E
          moreover from eq have ltake(enat n') E ≈ ltake(enat n') E'
            by(rule eq-into-sim-actions)
          moreover assume i < n'
          hence enat i < enat n' by simp
          ultimately have i ∈ read-actions E' by(rule read-actions-change-prefix)
          with hb[rule-format, OF this] ⟨i < n'⟩
          have ws' i = ws i by simp }
          ultimately have ?P n (E', ws') by simp
          thus ?thesis by(rule someI)
        qed }
        hence P [simplified]: ⋀ n. ?P n (E' n, ws' n) by(simp add: E'-def ws'-def)
        { fix n
          assume n-E: enat n ≤ llength E
          have ltake(enat n) (E' n) ≈ ltake(enat n) E unfolding sim-actions-def

```

```

proof(rule llist-all2-all-lnthI)
  show llength (ltake (enat n) (E' n)) = llength (ltake (enat n) E)
    using n-E P[of n] by(clar simp simp add: min-def)
next
  fix n'
  assume n': enat n' < llength (ltake (enat n) (E' n))
  show (λ(t, a) (t', a'). t = t' ∧ a ≈ a') (lnth (ltake (enat n) (E' n)) n') (lnth (ltake (enat n)
E) n')
  proof(cases n = Suc n')
    case True
    with P[of n] show ?thesis
      by(simp add: action-tid-def action-obs-def lnth-ltake split: if-split-asm)
    next
    case False
    with n' have n' < n - 1 by auto
    moreover from P[of n] have lnth (ltake (enat (n - 1)) (E' n)) n' = lnth (ltake (enat (n -
1)) E) n' by simp
      ultimately show ?thesis by(simp add: lnth-ltake)
    qed
  qed }
note sim = this
note len-eq = llist-all2-llengthD[OF this[unfolded sim-actions-def]]

{ fix n
  show wf-action-translation E (?φ n)
  proof(cases enat n ≤ llength E)
    case False thus ?thesis by(simp add: wf-action-translation-on-def)
  next
    case True
    hence {.. < n} ⊆ actions E
      by(auto simp add: actions-def min-def less-le-trans[where y=enat n] split: if-split-asm)
    moreover
    from True len-eq[OF True] have {.. < n} ⊆ actions (E' n)
      by(auto simp add: actions-def min-def less-le-trans[where y=enat n] split: if-split-asm)
    moreover {
      fix a assume a < n
      moreover from sim[OF True]
      have action-tid (ltake (enat n) (E' n)) a = action-tid (ltake (enat n) E) a
        action-obs (ltake (enat n) (E' n)) a ≈ action-obs (ltake (enat n) E) a
        by(rule sim-actions-action-tidD sim-actions-action-obsD)+
      ultimately have action-tid (E' n) a = action-tid E a action-obs (E' n) a ≈ action-obs E a
        by(simp-all add: action-tid-def action-obs-def lnth-ltake) }
      ultimately show ?thesis by(auto simp add: wf-action-translation-on-def del: subsetI)
    qed
    thus wf-action-translation E (?φ n) . }
note wfa = this

{ fix n from P E show justifying-exec (?φ n) ∈ ε
  by(cases enat n ≤ llength E) simp-all }
note En = this

{ fix n from P wf show P ⊢ (justifying-exec (?φ n), justifying-ws (?φ n)) √
  by(cases enat n ≤ llength E) simp-all
  thus P ⊢ (justifying-exec (?φ n), justifying-ws (?φ n)) √ . }

```

```

note wfn = this

{ fix n show action-translation (?φ n) ‘ committed (?φ n) ⊆ action-translation (?φ (Suc n)) ‘
committed (?φ (Suc n))
    by(auto simp add: actions-def less-le-trans[where y=enat n]) (metis Suc-ile-eq order-less-imp-le)
}

note committed-subset = this

{ fix n
  from len-eq[of n] have enat n ≤ llength E ==> {..} ⊆ actions (E' n)
    by(auto simp add: E'-def actions-def min-def less-le-trans[where y=enat n] split: if-split-asm)
  thus committed (?φ n) ⊆ actions (justifying-exec (?φ n))
    by(simp add: actions-def E'-def) }
note committed-actions = this

fix n
show happens-before P (justifying-exec (?φ n)) |‘ committed (?φ n) =
  inv-imageP (happens-before P E) (action-translation (?φ n)) |‘ committed (?φ n)
proof(cases enat n ≤ llength E)
  case False thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case True thus ?thesis
    proof(safe intro!: ext)
      fix a b
      assume hb: P,justifying-exec (?φ n) ⊢ a ≤hb b
      and a: a ∈ committed (?φ n)
      and b: b ∈ committed (?φ n)
      from hb True have P,E' n ⊢ a ≤hb b by(simp add: E'-def)
      moreover note tsok sim[OF True]
      moreover from a b True
      have enat a < enat n enat b < enat n by simp-all
      ultimately have P,E ⊢ a ≤hb b by(rule happens-before-change-prefix)
      thus P,E ⊢ action-translation (?φ n) a ≤hb action-translation (?φ n) b by simp
next
  fix a b
  assume a: a ∈ committed (?φ n)
  and b: b ∈ committed (?φ n)
  and hb: P,E ⊢ action-translation (?φ n) a ≤hb action-translation (?φ n) b
  from hb True have P,E ⊢ a ≤hb b by simp
  moreover from wfn[of n] True have thread-start-actions-ok (E' n) by(simp)
  moreover from sim[OF True] have ltake (enat n) E [≈] ltake (enat n) (E' n)
    by(rule sim-actions-sym)
  moreover from a b True have enat a < enat n enat b < enat n by simp-all
  ultimately have P,E' n ⊢ a ≤hb b by(rule happens-before-change-prefix)
  thus P,justifying-exec (?φ n) ⊢ a ≤hb b using True by(simp add: E'-def)
qed
qed

show sync-order P (justifying-exec (?φ n)) |‘ committed (?φ n) =
  inv-imageP (sync-order P E) (action-translation (?φ n)) |‘ committed (?φ n)
proof(cases enat n ≤ llength E)
  case False thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case True thus ?thesis

```

```

proof(safe intro!: ext)
  fix a b
  assume hb:  $P, \text{justifying-exec } (?\varphi n) \vdash a \leq_{so} b$ 
  and a:  $a \in \text{committed } (?\varphi n)$ 
  and b:  $b \in \text{committed } (?\varphi n)$ 
  from hb True have  $P, E' n \vdash a \leq_{so} b$  by(simp add: E'-def)
  moreover note sim[OF True]
  moreover from a b True
  have enat a < enat n enat b < enat n by simp-all
  ultimately have  $P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} b$  by(rule sync-order-change-prefix)
  thus  $P, E \vdash \text{action-translation } (?\varphi n) a \leq_{so} \text{action-translation } (?\varphi n) b$  by simp
next
  fix a b
  assume a:  $a \in \text{committed } (?\varphi n)$ 
  and b:  $b \in \text{committed } (?\varphi n)$ 
  and hb:  $P, E \vdash \text{action-translation } (?\varphi n) a \leq_{so} \text{action-translation } (?\varphi n) b$ 
  from hb True have  $P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} b$  by simp
  moreover from sim[OF True] have ltake(enat n) E [≈] ltake(enat n) (E' n)
    by(rule sim-actions-sym)
  moreover from a b True have enat a < enat n enat b < enat n by simp-all
  ultimately have  $P, E' n \vdash a \leq_{so} b$  by(rule sync-order-change-prefix)
  thus  $P, \text{justifying-exec } (?\varphi n) \vdash a \leq_{so} b$  using True by(simp add: E'-def)
qed
qed

{ fix w w' adal
  assume w:  $w \in \text{write-actions } (\text{justifying-exec } (?\varphi n)) \cap \text{committed } (?\varphi n)$ 
  and w':  $w' = \text{action-translation } (?\varphi n) w$ 
  and adal:  $adal \in \text{action-loc } P E w'$ 
  show value-written  $P (\text{justifying-exec } (?\varphi n)) w adal = \text{value-written } P E w' adal$ 
  proof(cases enat n ≤ llength E)
    case False thus ?thesis using w' by simp
  next
    case True
    note n-E = this
    have action-obs E w = action-obs (E' n) w
    proof(cases w < n - 1)
      case True
      with P[of n] w' n-E show ?thesis
        by(clarsimp simp add: action-obs-change-prefix-eq)
    next
      case False
      with w True have w = n - 1 n > 0 by auto
      moreover
      with True have w ∈ actions E
        by(simp add: actions-def)(metis Suc-ile-eq Suc-pred)
      with True w wf-action-translation-on-actionD[OF wfa, of w n] w'
      have w' ∈ write-actions E
        by(auto intro!: write-actions.intros elim!: write-actions.cases is-write-action.cases)
      hence w' ∉ read-actions E by(blast dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)
      ultimately show ?thesis using P[of n] w' True byclarsimp
    qed
    with True w' show ?thesis by(cases adal)(simp add: value-written.simps)
  qed }

```

```

{ fix r' r r'' 
  assume r': r' ∈ read-actions (justifying-exec (commit-for-sc P (E, ws) n)) ∩ committed (?φ n)
    and r: r = action-translation (?φ n) r'
    and r'': r'' = inv-into (actions (justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n)))) (action-translation (?φ (Suc n)))
r
  from r' r committed-subset[of n] have r ∈ actions E
  by(auto split: if-split-asm elim!: read-actions.cases simp add: actions-def Suc-ile-eq less-trans[where
y=enat n])
  with r' r have r-actions: r ∈ read-actions E
  by(fastforce dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD[OF wfa] split: if-split-asm elim!: read-actions.cases
intro: read-actions.intros)
  moreover from r' committed-subset[of n] committed-actions[of Suc n]
  have r' ∈ actions (justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n))) by(auto split: if-split-asm elim: read-actions.cases)
  ultimately have r'' = r' using r' r r'' by(cases enat (Suc n) ≤ llength E) simp-all
  moreover from r' have r' < n
  by(simp add: actions-def split: if-split-asm)(metis enat-ord-code(2) linorder-linear order-less-le-trans)
  ultimately show action-translation (?φ (Suc n)) (justifying-ws (?φ (Suc n)) r'') = ws r
  using P[of Suc n] r' r r-actions by(clarsimp split: if-split-asm) }

{ fix r'
  assume r': r' ∈ read-actions (justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n)))
  show action-translation (?φ (Suc n)) r' ∈ action-translation (?φ n) ` committed (?φ n) ∨
    P.justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n)) ⊢ justifying-ws (?φ (Suc n)) r' ≤hb r' (is ?committed ∨ ?hb)
  proof(cases r' < n)
    case True
    hence ?committed using r'
    by(auto elim!: actionsE split: if-split-asm dest!: read-actions-actions)(metis Suc-ile-eq linorder-not-le
not-less-iff-gr-or-eq)
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case False
    hence r' ≥ n by simp
    hence enat (Suc n) ≤ llength E using False r'
    by(auto split: if-split-asm dest!: read-actions-actions elim!: actionsE) (metis Suc-ile-eq
enat-ord-code(2) not-le-imp-less order-less-le-trans)
    hence ?hb using P[of Suc n] r' <r' ≥ n> by simp
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed }

{ fix r' r C-n
  assume r': r' ∈ read-actions (justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n))) ∩ committed (?φ (Suc n))
    and r: r = action-translation (?φ (Suc n)) r'
    and C-n: C-n = action-translation (?φ n) ` committed (?φ n)
  show r ∈ C-n ∨ action-translation (?φ (Suc n)) (justifying-ws (?φ (Suc n)) r') ∈ C-n ∧ ws r ∈
C-n
    (is - ∨ (?C-ws-n ∧ ?C-ws))
  proof(cases r ∈ C-n)
    case True thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case False
    with r' r C-n have [simp]: r' = n
    apply(auto split: if-split-asm dest!: read-actions-actions elim!: actionsE)
    apply(metis enat-ord-code(1) less-SucI less-eq-Suc-le not-less-eq-eq order-trans)

```

```

by (metis Suc-ile-eq enat-ord-code(1) leD leI linorder-cases)
from r' have len-E: enat (Suc n) ≤ llength E
  by(clarsimp simp add: actions-def Suc-ile-eq split: if-split-asm)
  with r' P[of Suc n] have P.justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n)) ⊢ ws' (Suc n) r' ≤ hb r' by(simp)
  hence justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n)) ⊢ ws' (Suc n) r' ≤ a r' by(rule happens-before-into-action-order)
  moreover from r' have r' ∈ read-actions (justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n))) by simp
  moreover then obtain ad al v where action-obs (justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n))) r' = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
    by cases auto
  with wfn[of Suc n] ⟨r' ∈ read-actions → len-E obtain adal
    where ws' (Suc n) r' ∈ write-actions (justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n)))
    and adal ∈ action-loc P (justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n))) r'
    and adal ∈ action-loc P (justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n))) (ws' (Suc n) r')
    by(clarsimp)(auto dest: is-write-seenD)
  moreover {
    from En[of Suc n] len-E have E' (Suc n) ∈ E by simp
    moreover
      fix a assume a ∈ read-actions (justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n))) and a < r'
      hence a ∈ read-actions (E' (Suc n)) enat a < enat (Suc n) using len-E by simp-all
      with sim[OF len-E] have a: a ∈ read-actions E by -(rule read-actions-change-prefix)
    with ⟨a < r'⟩ have mrw: P,E ⊢ a ~mrw ws a using sc by(simp add: sequentially-consistent-def)
      from P[of Suc n] ⟨a < r'⟩ a len-E have ws a = ws' (Suc n) a by simp
      with mrw have mrw': P,E ⊢ a ~mrw ws' (Suc n) a by simp
      moreover from wfn[of Suc n] wf len-E have thread-start-actions-ok (E' (Suc n)) by(simp)
      moreover note sim[OF len-E, symmetric]
      moreover from E wf mrw' have ws' (Suc n) a < a
        by(rule mrw-before)(erule sequentially-consistentE[OF sc])
      with ⟨a < r'⟩ have ws' (Suc n) a < r' by simp
      ultimately have P,E' (Suc n) ⊢ a ~mrw ws' (Suc n) a using ⟨a < r'⟩
        by -(rule mrw-change-prefix, simp+)
      hence P.justifying-exec (?φ (Suc n)) ⊢ a ~mrw justifying-ws (?φ (Suc n)) a using len-E by
    simp
  }
  ultimately have ws' (Suc n) r' < r' by(rule action-order-read-before-write[OF En wfn])
  with len-E C-n have ?C-ws-n byclarsimp (metis Suc-ile-eq linorder-le-cases order-less-irrefl
order-trans)
  moreover
  from r' have r' ∈ committed (?φ (Suc n)) by blast
  with r' r len-E wf-action-translation-on-actionD[OF wfa this] committed-subset-E[of Suc n]
    have r ∈ read-actions E by(fastforce elim!: read-actions.cases intro: read-actions.intros split:
if-split-asm)
  with sc obtain P,E ⊢ r ~mrw ws r by(rule sequentially-consistentE)
  with E wf have ws r < r by(rule mrw-before)(rule sequentially-consistentE[OF sc])
  with C-n len-E r have ?C-ws by(auto simp add: Suc-ile-eq)
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed }

{ fix a a'
assume a: a ∈ external-actions (justifying-exec (?φ n))
  and a': a' ∈ committed (?φ n)
  and hb: P.justifying-exec (?φ n) ⊢ a ≤ hb a'
from hb have justifying-exec (?φ n) ⊢ a ≤ a a'
  by(rule happens-before-into-action-order)
with a have a ≤ a' by(auto elim!: action-orderE dest: external-actions-not-new)

```

```

with a' a show a ∈ committed (?φ n) by(auto elim: external-actions.cases) }
qed
thus ∨n. ?thesis1 n ∨n. ?thesis2 n ∨n. ?thesis3 n ?thesis4
  by blast+
qed

theorem SC-is-legal:
assumes E: E ∈ ℰ
and wf: P ⊢ (E, ws) √
and sc: sequentially-consistent P (E, ws)
shows legal-execution P ℰ (E, ws)
using E wf
apply(rule legal-executionI)
apply(rule commit-for-sc-correct[OF assms])
apply clarify
apply(unfold o-apply)
apply(rule commit-for-sc-in-ℰ[OF assms])
done

end

context jmm-consistent begin

theorem consistent:
assumes E ∈ ℰ P ⊢ (E, ws) √
shows ∃ E ∈ ℰ. ∃ ws. legal-execution P ℰ (E, ws)
proof -
  from ℰ-sequential-completion[OF assms, of 0]
  obtain E' ws' where E' ∈ ℰ P ⊢ (E', ws') √ sequentially-consistent P (E', ws') by auto
  moreover hence legal-execution P ℰ (E', ws') by(rule SC-is-legal)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

end

end

```

8.7 Non-speculative prefixes of executions

theory Non-Speculative imports

JMM-Spec

..../Framework/FWLTS

begin

declare addr-locsI [simp]

8.7.1 Previously written values

fun w-value ::

'm prog ⇒ (('addr × addr-loc) ⇒ 'addr val set) ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action
 ⇒ (('addr × addr-loc) ⇒ 'addr val set)

where

w-value P vs (NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v)) = vs((ad, al) := insert v (vs (ad, al)))
 | w-value P vs (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad hT)) =

```
(λ(ad', al). if ad = ad' ∧ al ∈ addr-locs P hT
    then insert (addr-loc-default P hT al) (vs (ad, al))
    else vs (ad', al))
| w-value P vs - = vs
```

lemma *w-value-cases*:

```
obtains ad al v where x = NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v)
| ad hT where x = NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad hT)
| ad M vs v where x = NormalAction (ExternalCall ad M vs v)
| ad al v where x = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
| t where x = NormalAction (ThreadStart t)
| t where x = NormalAction (ThreadJoin t)
| ad where x = NormalAction (SyncLock ad)
| ad where x = NormalAction (SyncUnlock ad)
| t where x = NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t)
| t where x = NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t)
| x = InitialThreadAction
| x = ThreadFinishAction
```

by pat-completeness

abbreviation *w-values* ::

```
'm prog ⇒ ((addr × addr-loc) ⇒ 'addr val set) ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action list
⇒ ((addr × addr-loc) ⇒ 'addr val set)
where w-values P ≡ foldl (w-value P)
```

lemma *in-w-valuesD*:

```
assumes w: v ∈ w-values P vs0 obs (ad, al)
and v: v ∉ vs0 (ad, al)
shows ∃ obs' wa obs''. obs = obs' @ wa # obs'' ∧ is-write-action wa ∧ (ad, al) ∈ action-loc-aux P
wa ∧
    value-written-aux P wa al = v
(is ?concl obs)
using w
proof(induction obs rule: rev-induct)
case Nil thus ?case using v by simp
next
case (snoc ob obs)
from snoc.IH show ?case
proof(cases v ∈ w-values P vs0 obs (ad, al))
case False thus ?thesis using ⟨v ∈ w-values P vs0 (obs @ [ob]) (ad, al)⟩
    by(cases ob rule: w-value-cases)(auto 4 4 intro: action-loc-aux-intros split: if-split-asm simp add:
addr-locs-def split: htype.split-asm)
qed fastforce
qed
```

lemma *w-values-WriteMemD*:

```
assumes NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v) ∈ set obs
shows v ∈ w-values P vs0 obs (ad, al)
using assms
apply(induct obs rule: rev-induct)
apply simp
apply clar simp
apply(erule disjE)
apply clar simp
```

```

apply clarsimp
apply(case-tac  $x$  rule: w-value-cases)
apply auto
done

lemma w-values-new-actionD:
  assumes NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad hT) ∈ set obs (ad, al) ∈ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad hT))
    shows addr-loc-default P hT al ∈ w-values P vs0 obs (ad, al)
using assms
apply(induct obs rule: rev-induct)
apply simp
apply clarsimp
apply(rename-tac w' obs)
apply(case-tac w' rule: w-value-cases)
apply(auto simp add: split-beta)
done

lemma w-value-mono: vs0 adal ⊆ w-value P vs0 ob adal
by(cases ob rule: w-value-cases)(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta)

lemma w-values-mono: vs0 adal ⊆ w-values P vs0 obs adal
by(induct obs rule: rev-induct)(auto del: subsetI intro: w-value-mono subset-trans)

lemma w-value-greater: vs0 ≤ w-value P vs0 ob
by(rule le-funI)(rule w-value-mono)

lemma w-values-greater: vs0 ≤ w-values P vs0 obs
by(rule le-funI)(rule w-values-mono)

lemma w-values-eq-emptyD:
  assumes w-values P vs0 obs adal = {}
  and w ∈ set obs and is-write-action w and adal ∈ action-loc-aux P w
  shows False
using assms(4) assms(1–3)
apply(cases rule: action-loc-aux-cases)
apply(auto dest!: w-values-new-actionD[where ?vs0.0=vs0 and P=P] w-values-WriteMemD[where ?vs0.0=vs0 and P=P])
apply blast
done

```

8.7.2 Coinductive version of non-speculative prefixes

```

coinductive non-speculative :: 
  'm prog ⇒ ('addr × addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val set) ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action llist ⇒ bool
for P :: 'm prog
where
  LNil: non-speculative P vs LNil
  | LCons:
    [ case ob of NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ⇒ v ∈ vs (ad, al) | - ⇒ True;
      non-speculative P (w-value P vs ob) obs ]
    ⇒ non-speculative P vs (LCons ob obs)

```

inductive-simps *non-speculative-simps* [*simp*]:

non-speculative P vs LNil
 non-speculative P vs (LCons ob obs)

lemma *non-speculative-lappend*:

assumes *lfinite obs*
 shows *non-speculative P vs (lappend obs obs') \longleftrightarrow non-speculative P vs obs \wedge non-speculative P (w-values P vs (list-of obs)) obs'*
 (**is** ?*concl* *vs obs*)

using *assms*

proof(*induct arbitrary*: *vs*)
 case *lfinite-LNil* **thus** ?*case* **by** *simp*

next

case (*lfinite-LConsI obs ob*)
 have ?*concl* (*w-value P vs ob*) *obs* **by** *fact*
 thus ?*case* **using** <*lfinite obs*> **by** *simp*

qed

lemma

assumes *non-speculative P vs obs*
 shows *non-speculative-ltake: non-speculative P vs (ltake n obs) (**is** ?thesis1)*
 and *non-speculative-ldrop: non-speculative P (w-values P vs (list-of (ltake n obs))) (ldrop n obs) (**is** ?thesis2)*

proof –

note *assms*
 also have *obs = lappend (ltake n obs) (ldrop n obs)* **by**(*simp add: lappend-ltake-ldropn*)
 finally have ?*thesis1* \wedge ?*thesis2*
 by(*cases n*)(*simp-all add: non-speculative-lappend del: lappend-ltake-enat-ldropn*)
 thus ?*thesis1* ?*thesis2* **by** *blast+*

qed

lemma *non-speculative-coinduct-append* [*consumes 1, case-names non-speculative, case-conclusion non-speculative LNil lappend*]:

assumes *major: X vs obs*

and *step: \bigwedge vs obs. X vs obs*

$\Rightarrow obs = LNil \vee (\exists obs' obs''. obs = lappend obs' obs'' \wedge obs' \neq LNil \wedge \text{non-speculative } P \text{ vs } obs' \wedge (lfinite obs' \longrightarrow (X (w-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs'' \vee \text{non-speculative } P (w-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs'')))$
 (**is** \bigwedge vs *obs. - \Rightarrow - \vee step vs obs*)

shows *non-speculative P vs obs*

proof –

from *major*

have $\exists obs' obs''. obs = lappend (llist-of obs') obs'' \wedge \text{non-speculative } P \text{ vs } (llist-of obs') \wedge X (w-values P vs obs') obs''$

by(*auto intro: exI[where x=[]])*

thus ?*thesis*

proof(*coinduct*)

case (*non-speculative vs obs*)
 then obtain *obs' obs''*
 where *obs: obs = lappend (llist-of obs') obs''*
 and *sc-obs': non-speculative P vs (llist-of obs')*
 and *X: X (w-values P vs obs') obs'' by blast*

```

show ?case
proof(cases obs')
  case Nil
    with X have X vs obs'' by simp
    from step[OF this] show ?thesis
    proof
      assume obs'' = LNil
      with Nil obs show ?thesis by simp
    next
      assume ?step vs obs''
      then obtain obs''' obs'''''
        where obs'': obs'' = lappend obs''' obs''''' and obs''''' ≠ LNil
        and sc-obs'': non-speculative P vs obs'''
        and fin: lfinite obs''' ⟹ X (w-values P vs (list-of obs''')) obs''''' ∨
          non-speculative P (w-values P vs (list-of obs''')) obs''''''
        by blast
      from ‹obs''' ≠ LNil› obtain ob obs'''' where obs'''': obs''' = LCons ob obs''''''
        unfolding neq-LNil-conv by blast
      with Nil obs'' obs have concl1: obs = LCons ob (lappend obs''''' obs''''') by simp
      have concl2: case ob of NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ⇒ v ∈ vs (ad, al) | - ⇒ True
        using sc-obs''' obs''' by simp

      show ?thesis
      proof(cases lfinite obs''')
        case False
          hence lappend obs''''' obs''''' = obs''''' using obs''' by(simp add: lappend-inf)
          hence non-speculative P (w-value P vs ob) (lappend obs''''' obs''''')
            using sc-obs''' obs''' by simp
          with concl1 concl2 have ?LCons by blast
          thus ?thesis by simp
        next
          case True
          with obs''' obtain obs'''''' where obs''''': obs'''''' = llist-of obs'''''''
            by simp(auto simp add: lfinite-eq-range-llist-of)
          from fin[OF True] have ?LCons
          proof
            assume X: X (w-values P vs (list-of obs''')) obs''''''
            hence X (w-values P (w-value P vs ob) obs''''') obs''''''
              using obs''''' obs''' by simp
            moreover from obs'''''''
              have lappend obs''''' obs''''' = lappend (llist-of obs''''') obs''''' by simp
              moreover have non-speculative P (w-value P vs ob) (llist-of obs''''')
                using sc-obs''' obs''' obs''''' by simp
              ultimately show ?thesis using concl1 concl2 by blast
            next
              assume non-speculative P (w-values P vs (list-of obs''')) obs''''''
              with sc-obs''' obs''''' obs''''
                have non-speculative P (w-value P vs ob) (lappend obs''''' obs''''')
                  by(simp add: non-speculative-lappend)
                with concl1 concl2 show ?thesis by blast
              qed
              thus ?thesis by simp
            qed
          qed
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

next
  case (Cons ob obs'')
  hence obs = LCons ob (lappend (llist-of obs''') obs'')
    using obs by simp
  moreover from sc-obs' Cons
  have case ob of NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) => v ∈ vs (ad, al) | - => True
    and non-speculative P (w-value P vs ob) (llist-of obs'''') by simp-all
  moreover from X Cons have X (w-values P (w-value P vs ob) obs'''') obs'' by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
qed

lemma non-speculative-coinduct-append-wf
  [consumes 2, case-names non-speculative, case-conclusion non-speculative LNil lappend]:
  assumes major: X vs obs a
  and wf: wf R
  and step:  $\bigwedge \text{vs obs } a. X \text{ vs obs } a$ 
   $\implies \text{obs} = \text{LNil} \vee$ 
     $(\exists \text{obs}' \text{ obs}'' \text{ a'. obs} = \text{lappend obs'} \text{ obs}'' \wedge \text{non-speculative P vs obs'} \wedge (\text{obs}' = \text{LNil} \implies (\text{a'}, \text{a}) \in \text{R}) \wedge$ 
       $(\text{lfinite obs}' \implies X (\text{w-values P vs (list-of obs')}) \text{ obs}'' \text{ a'} \vee$ 
         $\text{non-speculative P (w-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs''})$ 
      (is  $\bigwedge \text{vs obs } a. - \implies - \vee \text{?step vs obs } a$ )
  shows non-speculative P vs obs

proof –
  { fix vs obs a
    assume X vs obs a
    with wf
    have obs = LNil  $\vee$   $(\exists \text{obs}' \text{ obs}''.$  obs = lappend obs' obs''  $\wedge \text{obs}' \neq \text{LNil} \wedge \text{non-speculative P vs obs}' \wedge$ 
obs'  $\wedge$ 
       $(\text{lfinite obs}' \implies (\exists \text{a}. X (\text{w-values P vs (list-of obs')}) \text{ obs}'' \text{ a}) \vee$ 
         $\text{non-speculative P (w-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs''})$ 
      (is  $- \vee \text{?step-concl vs obs}$ )
  proof(induct a arbitrary: vs obs rule: wf-induct[consumes 1, case-names wf])
    case (wf a)
    note IH = wf.hyps[rule-format]
    from step[OF <X vs obs a>]
    show ?case
    proof
      assume obs = LNil thus ?thesis ..
    next
      assume ?step vs obs a
      then obtain obs' obs'' a'
        where obs: obs = lappend obs' obs''
        and sc-obs': non-speculative P vs obs'
        and decr: obs' = LNil  $\implies (\text{a'}, \text{a}) \in \text{R}$ 
        and fin: lfinite obs'  $\implies$ 
           $X (\text{w-values P vs (list-of obs')}) \text{ obs}'' \text{ a'} \vee$ 
           $\text{non-speculative P (w-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs''}$ 
        by blast
      show ?case
    proof(cases obs' = LNil)
      case True

```

```

hence lfinite obs' by simp
from fin[OF this] show ?thesis
proof
  assume X: X (w-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs'' a'
  from True have (a', a) ∈ R by(rule descr)
  from IH[OF this X] show ?thesis
proof
  assume obs'' = LNil
  with True obs have obs = LNil by simp
  thus ?thesis ..
next
  assume ?step-concl (w-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs''
  hence ?step-concl vs obs using True obs by simp
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
next
  assume non-speculative P (w-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs''
  thus ?thesis using obs True
  by cases(auto cong: action.case-cong obs-event.case-cong intro: exI[where x=LCons x LNil
for x])
qed
next
  case False
  with obs sc-obs' fin show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
qed }
note step' = this

from major show ?thesis
proof(coinduction arbitrary: vs obs a rule: non-speculative-coinduct-append)
  case (non-speculative vs obs)
  thus ?case by simp(rule step')
qed
qed

lemma non-speculative-nthI:

$$(\bigwedge i ad al v. [\text{enat } i < \text{llength } obs; \text{lnth } obs i = \text{NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)}; \\ \text{non-speculative } P \text{ vs } (\text{ltake}(\text{enat } i) \text{ obs})] \implies v \in \text{w-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{list-of } (\text{ltake}(\text{enat } i) \text{ obs})) \text{ (ad, al)}) \\ \implies \text{non-speculative } P \text{ vs } obs$$

proof(coinduction arbitrary: vs obs rule: non-speculative.coinduct)
  case (non-speculative vs obs)
  hence nth:
    
$$\bigwedge i ad al v. [\text{enat } i < \text{llength } obs; \text{lnth } obs i = \text{NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)}; \\ \text{non-speculative } P \text{ vs } (\text{ltake}(\text{enat } i) \text{ obs})] \implies v \in \text{w-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{list-of } (\text{ltake}(\text{enat } i) \text{ obs})) \text{ (ad, al)}$$

    show ?case
  proof(cases obs)
    case LNil thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (LCons ob obs')
    { fix ad al v

```

```

assume ob = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
with nth[of 0 ad al v] LCons
have v ∈ vs (ad, al) by(simp add: zero-enat-def[symmetric]) }
note base = this
moreover {
  fix i ad al v
  assume enat i < llength obs' lnth obs' i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
    and non-speculative P (w-value P vs ob) (ltake (enat i) obs')
  with LCons nth[of Suc i ad al v] base
  have v ∈ w-values P (w-value P vs ob) (list-of (ltake (enat i) obs')) (ad, al)
    by(clar simp simp add: eSuc-enat[symmetric] split: obs-event.split action.split) }
  ultimately have ?LCons using LCons by(simp split: action.split obs-event.split)
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
qed

locale executions-sc-hb =
  executions-base  $\mathcal{E}$  P
  for  $\mathcal{E}$  :: ('addr, 'thread-id) execution set
  and P :: 'm prog +
  assumes  $\mathcal{E}$ -new-actions-for-fun:
   $\llbracket E \in \mathcal{E}; a \in \text{new-actions-for } P E \text{ adal}; a' \in \text{new-actions-for } P E \text{ adal} \rrbracket \implies a = a'$ 
  and  $\mathcal{E}$ -ex-new-action:
   $\llbracket E \in \mathcal{E}; ra \in \text{read-actions } E; adal \in \text{action-loc } P E ra; \text{non-speculative } P (\lambda\_. \{\}) (\text{ltake} (\text{enat } ra) (\text{lmap } \text{snd } E)) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists wa. wa \in \text{new-actions-for } P E \text{ adal} \wedge wa < ra$ 
begin

lemma  $\mathcal{E}$ -new-same-addr-singleton:
  assumes E:  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ 
  shows  $\exists a. \text{new-actions-for } P E \text{ adal} \subseteq \{a\}$ 
  by(blast dest:  $\mathcal{E}$ -new-actions-for-fun[OF E])

lemma new-action-before-read:
  assumes E:  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ 
  and ra:  $ra \in \text{read-actions } E$ 
  and adal:  $adal \in \text{action-loc } P E ra$ 
  and new:  $wa \in \text{new-actions-for } P E \text{ adal}$ 
  and sc:  $\text{non-speculative } P (\lambda\_. \{\}) (\text{ltake} (\text{enat } ra) (\text{lmap } \text{snd } E))$ 
  shows  $wa < ra$ 
  using  $\mathcal{E}$ -new-same-addr-singleton[OF E, of adal]  $\mathcal{E}$ -ex-new-action[OF E ra adal sc] new
  by auto

lemma most-recent-write-exists:
  assumes E:  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ 
  and ra:  $ra \in \text{read-actions } E$ 
  and sc:  $\text{non-speculative } P (\lambda\_. \{\}) (\text{ltake} (\text{enat } ra) (\text{lmap } \text{snd } E))$ 
  shows  $\exists wa. P, E \vdash ra \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} wa$ 
proof -
  from ra obtain ad al where
    adal:  $(ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E ra$ 
    by(rule read-action-action-locE)

define Q where  $Q = \{a. a \in \text{write-actions } E \wedge (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E a \wedge E \vdash a \leq_a ra\}$ 

```

```

let ?A = new-actions-for P E (ad, al)
let ?B = {a. a ∈ actions E ∧ (∃ v'. action-obs E a = NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v')) ∧ a ≤
ra}

have Q ⊆ ?A ∪ ?B unfolding Q-def
  by(auto elim!: write-actions.cases action-loc-aux-cases simp add: new-actions-for-def elim: ac-
tion-orderE)
  moreover from E-new-same-addr-singleton[OF E, of (ad, al)]
  have finite ?A by(blast intro: finite-subset)
  moreover have finite ?B by auto
  ultimately have finQ: finite Q
    by(blast intro: finite-subset)

from E-ex-new-action[OF E ra adal sc] ra obtain wa
  where wa: wa ∈ Q unfolding Q-def
    by(fastforce elim!: new-actionsE is-new-action.cases read-actions.cases intro: write-actionsI ac-
tion-orderI)

define wa' where wa' = Max-torder (action-order E) Q

from wa have Q ≠ {} Q ⊆ actions E by(auto simp add: Q-def)
with finQ have wa' ∈ Q unfolding wa'-def
  by(rule Max-torder-in-set[OF torder-action-order])
hence E ⊢ wa' ≤ a ra wa' ∈ write-actions E
  and (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E wa' by(simp-all add: Q-def)
with ra adal have P,E ⊢ ra ~> mrw wa'
proof
  fix wa"
  assume wa"': wa"'' ∈ write-actions E (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E wa"'''
  from ⟨wa"'' ∈ write-actions E⟩ ra
  have ra ≠ wa"'' by(auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)
  show E ⊢ wa"'' ≤ a wa' ∨ E ⊢ ra ≤ a wa"'''
  proof(rule disjCI)
    assume ¬ E ⊢ ra ≤ a wa"'''
    with total-onPD[OF total-action-order, of ra E wa'']
      ⟨ra ≠ wa"''⟩ ⟨ra ∈ read-actions E⟩ ⟨wa"'' ∈ write-actions E⟩
    have E ⊢ wa"'' ≤ a ra by simp
    with wa"'' have wa"'' ∈ Q by(simp add: Q-def)
    with finQ show E ⊢ wa"'' ≤ a wa'
      using ⟨Q ⊆ actions E⟩ unfolding wa'-def
      by(rule Max-torder-above[OF torder-action-order])
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis ..
qed

lemma mrw-before:
  assumes E: E ∈ E
  and mrw: P,E ⊢ r ~> mrw w
  and sc: non-speculative P (λ-. {}) (ltake (enat r) (lmap snd E))
  shows w < r
using mrw read-actions-not-write-actions[of r E]
apply cases
apply(erule action-orderE)

```

```

apply(erule (1) new-action-before-read[OF E])
apply(simp add: new-actions-for-def)
apply(rule sc)
apply(cases w = r)
apply auto
done

lemma sequentially-consistent-most-recent-write-for:
assumes E:  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ 
and sc: non-speculative  $P (\lambda \cdot. \{\}) (lmap\ snd\ E)$ 
shows sequentially-consistent  $P (E, \lambda r. \text{THE } w. P, E \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} w)$ 
proof(rule sequentially-consistentI)
fix r
assume r:  $r \in \text{read-actions } E$ 
from sc have sc': non-speculative  $P (\lambda \cdot. \{\}) (ltake\ (enat\ r)\ (lmap\ snd\ E))$ 
by(rule non-speculative-ltake)
from most-recent-write-exists[OF E r this]
obtain w where  $P, E \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} w ..$ 
thus  $P, E \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} \text{THE } w. P, E \vdash r \rightsquigarrow_{mrw} w$ 
by(simp add: THE-most-recent-writeI)
qed

```

end

```

locale jmm-multithreaded = multithreaded-base +
constrains final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) semantics
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action list
fixes P :: 'md prog

```

end

8.8 Sequentially consistent completion of executions in the JMM

```

theory SC-Completion
imports
  Non-Speculative
begin

```

8.8.1 Most recently written values

```

fun mrw-value :: 
'm prog ⇒ (('addr × addr-loc) → ('addr val × bool)) ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action
⇒ (('addr × addr-loc) → ('addr val × bool))
where
  mrw-value P vs (NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v)) = vs((ad, al) ↦ (v, True))
| mrw-value P vs (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad hT)) =
     $(\lambda(ad', al). \text{if } ad = ad' \wedge al \in \text{addr-locs } P hT \wedge (\text{case } vs(ad, al) \text{ of None } \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } (v, b) \Rightarrow \neg b)$ 
    then Some (addr-loc-default P hT al, False)
    else vs(ad', al))
| mrw-value P vs - = vs

```

```

lemma mrw-value-cases:
  obtains ad al v where x = NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v)
  | ad hT where x = NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad hT)
  | ad M vs v where x = NormalAction (ExternalCall ad M vs v)
  | ad al v where x = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
  | t where x = NormalAction (ThreadStart t)
  | t where x = NormalAction (ThreadJoin t)
  | ad where x = NormalAction (SyncLock ad)
  | ad where x = NormalAction (SyncUnlock ad)
  | t where x = NormalAction (ObsInterrupt t)
  | t where x = NormalAction (ObsInterrupted t)
  | x = InitialThreadAction
  | x = ThreadFinishAction
by pat-completeness

abbreviation mrw-values :: 
  'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  ((addr  $\times$  addr-loc)  $\rightarrow$  (addr val  $\times$  bool))  $\Rightarrow$  (addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action list
   $\Rightarrow$  ((addr  $\times$  addr-loc)  $\rightarrow$  (addr val  $\times$  bool))
where mrw-values P  $\equiv$  foldl (mrw-value P)

lemma mrw-values-eq-SomeD:
  assumes mrw: mrw-values P vs0 obs (ad, al) =  $\lfloor(v, b)\rfloor$ 
  and vs0 (ad, al) =  $\lfloor(v, b)\rfloor \implies \exists wa. wa \in \text{set } obs \wedge \text{is-write-action } wa \wedge (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc-aux}$ 
  P wa  $\wedge$  (b  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  is-new-action wa)
  shows  $\exists obs' wa obs''. obs = obs' @ wa \# obs'' \wedge \text{is-write-action } wa \wedge (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc-aux}$ 
  P wa  $\wedge$ 
    value-written-aux P wa al = v  $\wedge$  (is-new-action wa  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  b)  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall ob \in \text{set } obs''. \text{is-write-action } ob \longrightarrow (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc-aux}$  P ob  $\longrightarrow$  is-new-action ob  $\wedge$ 
    b)
    (is ?concl obs)
  using assms
  proof(induct obs rule: rev-induct)
    case Nil thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (snoc ob obs)
      note mrw = <mrw-values P vs0 (obs @ [ob]) (ad, al) =  $\lfloor(v, b)\rfloor$ >
      show ?case
      proof(cases is-write-action ob  $\wedge$  (ad, al)  $\in$  action-loc-aux P ob  $\wedge$  (is-new-action ob  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  b))
        case True thus ?thesis using mrw
          by(fastforce elim!: is-write-action.cases intro: action-loc-aux-intros split: if-split-asm)
      next
        case False
        with mrw have mrw-values P vs0 obs (ad, al) =  $\lfloor(v, b)\rfloor$ 
        by(cases ob rule: mrw-value-cases)(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: addr-locs-def split: htype.split-asm)
        moreover
          { assume vs0 (ad, al) =  $\lfloor(v, b)\rfloor$ 
            hence  $\exists wa. wa \in \text{set } (obs @ [ob]) \wedge \text{is-write-action } wa \wedge (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc-aux}$ 
            P wa  $\wedge$  (b  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  is-new-action wa)
            by(rule snoc)
            with False have  $\exists wa. wa \in \text{set } obs \wedge \text{is-write-action } wa \wedge (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc-aux}$ 
            P wa  $\wedge$  (b  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  is-new-action wa)
            by auto }
        ultimately have ?concl obs by(rule snoc)

```

```

thus ?thesis using False mrw by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma mrw-values-WriteMemD:
assumes NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v') ∈ set obs
shows ∃ v. mrw-values P vs0 obs (ad, al) = Some (v, True)
using assms
apply(induct obs rule: rev-induct)
apply simp
apply clar simp
apply(erule disjE)
apply clar simp
apply clar simp
apply(case-tac x rule: mrw-value-cases)
apply simp-all
done

lemma mrw-values-new-actionD:
assumes w ∈ set obs is-new-action w adal ∈ action-loc-aux P w
shows ∃ v b. mrw-values P vs0 obs adal = Some (v, b)
using assms
apply(induct obs rule: rev-induct)
apply simp
apply clar simp
apply(erule disjE)
apply(fastforce simp add: split-beta elim!: action-loc-aux-cases is-new-action.cases)
apply clar simp
apply(rename-tac w' obs' v b)
apply(case-tac w' rule: mrw-value-cases)
apply(auto simp add: split-beta)
done

lemma mrw-value-dom-mono:
dom vs ⊆ dom (mrw-value P vs ob)
by(cases ob rule: mrw-value-cases) auto

lemma mrw-values-dom-mono:
dom vs ⊆ dom (mrw-values P vs obs)
by(induct obs arbitrary: vs)(auto intro: subset-trans[OF mrw-value-dom-mono] del: subsetI)

lemma mrw-values-eq-NoneD:
assumes mrw-values P vs0 obs adal = None
and w ∈ set obs and is-write-action w and adal ∈ action-loc-aux P w
shows False
using assms
apply -
apply(erule is-write-action.cases)
apply(fastforce dest: mrw-values-WriteMemD[where ?vs0.0=vs0 and P=P] mrw-values-new-actionD[where ?vs0.0=vs0] elim: action-loc-aux-cases)+
done

lemma mrw-values-mrw:
assumes mrw: mrw-values P vs0 (map snd obs) (ad, al) = [(v, b)]

```

and initial: $vs0(ad, al) = \lfloor(v, b)\rfloor \implies \exists wa. wa \in set(\text{map snd obs}) \wedge \text{is-write-action wa} \wedge (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc-aux } P \text{ wa} \wedge (b \longrightarrow \neg \text{is-new-action wa})$
shows $\exists i. i < \text{length obs} \wedge P, llist-of(obs @ [(t, \text{NormalAction}(\text{ReadMem } ad \text{ al } v))]) \vdash \text{length obs} \sim_{mrw} i \wedge \text{value-written } P(llist-of obs) i (ad, al) = v$

proof -

```

from mrw-values-eq-SomeD[OF mrw initial]
obtain obs' wa obs'' where obs: map snd obs = obs' @ wa # obs''
  and wa: is-write-action wa
  and adal: (ad, al) ∈ action-loc-aux P wa
  and written: value-written-aux P wa al = v
  and new: is-new-action wa  $\longleftrightarrow \neg b$ 
  and last:  $\bigwedge ob. [ob \in set obs''; \text{is-write-action } ob; (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc-aux } P \text{ ob}] \implies \text{is-new-action } ob \wedge b$ 
  by blast
  let ?i = length obs'
  let ?E = llist-of(obs @ [(t, NormalAction(ReadMem ad al v))])

from obs have len: length(map snd obs) = Suc(length obs') + length obs'' by simp
hence ?i < length obs by simp
moreover
hence obs-i: action-obs ?E ?i = wa using len obs
  by(auto simp add: action-obs-def map-eq-append-conv)

have P, ?E  $\vdash \text{length obs} \sim_{mrw} ?i$ 
proof(rule most-recent-write-for.intros)
  show length obs ∈ read-actions ?E
    by(auto intro: read-actions.intros simp add: actions-def action-obs-def)
  show (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P ?E (length obs)
    by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-llist-of)
  show ?E  $\vdash \text{length obs}' \leq_a \text{length obs}$  using len
    by-(rule action-orderI, auto simp add: actions-def action-obs-def nth-append)
  show ?i ∈ write-actions ?E using len obs wa
    by-(rule write-actions.intros, auto simp add: actions-def action-obs-def nth-append map-eq-append-conv)
  show (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P ?E ?i using obs-i adal by simp

  fix wa'
  assume wa': wa' ∈ write-actions ?E
    and adal': (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P ?E wa'
  from wa' < ?i ∈ write-actions ?E
  have wa' ∈ actions ?E ?i ∈ actions ?E by simp-all
  hence ?E  $\vdash wa' \leq_a ?i$ 
  proof(rule action-orderI)
    assume new-wa': is-new-action (action-obs ?E wa')
      and new-i: is-new-action (action-obs ?E ?i)
    from new-i obs-i new have b:  $\neg b$  by simp

    show wa' ≤ ?i
    proof(rule ccontr)
      assume  $\neg \text{thesis}$ 
      hence ?i < wa' by simp
      hence snd(obs ! wa') ∈ set obs'' using obs wa' unfolding in-set-conv-nth
        by -(rule exI[where x=wa' - Suc(length obs')], auto elim!: write-actions.cases actionsE simp add: action-obs-def lnth-llist-of actions-def nth-append map-eq-append-conv nth-Cons' split: if-split-asm)

```

```

moreover from wa' have is-write-action (snd (obs ! wa'))
  by cases(auto simp add: action-obs-def nth-append actions-def split: if-split-asm)
moreover from adal' wa' have (ad, al) ∈ action-loc-aux P (snd (obs ! wa'))
  by(auto simp add: action-obs-def nth-append nth-Cons' actions-def split: if-split-asm elim!:
write-actions.cases)
ultimately show False using last[of snd (obs ! wa')] b by simp
qed
next
assume new-wa': ¬ is-new-action (action-obs ?E wa')
with wa' adal' obtain v' where NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v') ∈ set (map snd obs)
  unfolding in-set-conv-nth
  by (fastforce elim!: write-actions.cases is-write-action.cases simp add: action-obs-def actions-def
nth-append split: if-split-asm intro!: exI[where x=wa'])
from mrw-values-WriteMemD[OF this, of P vs0] mrw have b by simp
with new obs-i have ¬ is-new-action (action-obs ?E ?i) by simp
moreover
have wa' ≤ ?i
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ ?thesis
  hence ?i < wa' by simp
  hence snd (obs ! wa') ∈ set obs'' using obs wa' unfolding in-set-conv-nth
    by -(rule exI[where x=wa' - Suc (length obs')], auto elim!: write-actions.cases ac-
tionsE simp add: action-obs-def lnth-llist-of actions-def nth-append map-eq-append-conv nth-Cons'
split: if-split-asm)
  moreover from wa' have is-write-action (snd (obs ! wa'))
    by cases(auto simp add: action-obs-def nth-append actions-def split: if-split-asm)
  moreover from adal' wa' have (ad, al) ∈ action-loc-aux P (snd (obs ! wa'))
    by(auto simp add: action-obs-def nth-append nth-Cons' actions-def split: if-split-asm elim!:
write-actions.cases)
  ultimately have is-new-action (snd (obs ! wa')) using last[of snd (obs ! wa')] by simp
  moreover from new-wa' wa' have ¬ is-new-action (snd (obs ! wa'))
    by(auto elim!: write-actions.cases simp add: action-obs-def nth-append actions-def split:
if-split-asm)
  ultimately show False by contradiction
qed
ultimately
show ¬ is-new-action (action-obs ?E ?i) ∧ wa' ≤ ?i by blast
qed
thus ?E ⊢ wa' ≤ a ?i ∨ ?E ⊢ length obs ≤ a wa' ..
qed
moreover from written ⟨?i < length obs⟩ obs-i
have value-written P (llist-of obs) ?i (ad, al) = v
  by(simp add: value-written-def action-obs-def nth-append)
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma mrw-values-no-write-unchanged:
assumes no-write: ∀w. [ w ∈ set obs; is-write-action w; adal ∈ action-loc-aux P w ]
  ⇒ case vs adal of None ⇒ False | Some (v, b) ⇒ b ∧ is-new-action w
shows mrw-values P vs obs adal = vs adal
using assms
proof(induct obs arbitrary: vs)
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next

```

```

case (Cons ob obs)
from Cons.prems[of ob]
have mrw-value P vs ob adal = vs adal
  apply(cases adal)
  apply(cases ob rule: mrw-value-cases, fastforce+)
  apply(auto simp add: addr-locs-def split: htype.split-asm)
  apply blast+
  done
moreover
have mrw-values P (mrw-value P vs ob) obs adal = mrw-value P vs ob adal
proof(rule Cons.hyps)
  fix w
  assume w ∈ set obs is-write-action w adal ∈ action-loc-aux P w
  with Cons.prems[of w] <mrw-value P vs ob adal = vs adal>
  show case mrw-value P vs ob adal of None ⇒ False | [(v, b)] ⇒ b ∧ is-new-action w by simp
qed
ultimately show ?case by simp
qed

```

8.8.2 Coinductive version of sequentially consistent prefixes

```

coinductive ta-seq-consist :: 
  'm prog ⇒ ('addr × addr-loc → 'addr val × bool) ⇒ ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action llist ⇒ bool
for P :: 'm prog
where
  LNil: ta-seq-consist P vs LNil
  | LCons:
    [ case ob of NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ⇒ ∃ b. vs (ad, al) = [(v, b)] | - ⇒ True;
      ta-seq-consist P (mrw-value P vs ob) obs ]
    ⇒ ta-seq-consist P vs (LCons ob obs)

inductive-simps ta-seq-consist-simps [simp]:
  ta-seq-consist P vs LNil
  ta-seq-consist P vs (LCons ob obs)

lemma ta-seq-consist-lappend:
  assumes lfinite obs
  shows ta-seq-consist P vs (lappend obs obs') ←→
    ta-seq-consist P vs obs ∧ ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P vs (list-of obs)) obs'
    (is ?concl vs obs)
  using assms
  proof(induct arbitrary: vs)
    case lfinite-LNil thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (lfinite-LConsI obs ob)
    have ?concl (mrw-value P vs ob) obs by fact
    thus ?case using <lfinite obs> by(simp split: action.split add: list-of-LCons)
  qed

lemma
  assumes ta-seq-consist P vs obs
  shows ta-seq-consist-ltake: ta-seq-consist P vs (ltake n obs) (is ?thesis1)
  and ta-seq-consist-ldrop: ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P vs (list-of (ltake n obs))) (ldrop n obs) (is ?thesis2)

```

proof –

note assms
also have $obs = lappend(ltake n obs) (ldrop n obs)$ by (simp add: lappend-ltake-ldrop)
finally have ?thesis1 \wedge ?thesis2
by (cases n)(simp-all add: ta-seq-consist-lappend del: lappend-ltake-enat-ldropn)
thus ?thesis1 ?thesis2 by blast+
qed

lemma ta-seq-consist-coinduct-append [consumes 1, case-names ta-seq-consist, case-conclusion ta-seq-consist LNil lappend]:

assumes major: $X \text{ vs } obs$
and step: $\bigwedge \text{vs } obs. X \text{ vs } obs$
 $\implies obs = LNil \vee$
 $(\exists obs' obs''. obs = lappend obs' obs'' \wedge obs' \neq LNil \wedge \text{ta-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } obs' \wedge$
 $(lfinite obs' \longrightarrow (X (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{list-of } obs')) obs'' \vee$
 $\text{ta-seq-consist } P (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{list-of } obs')) obs'')))$
(is $\bigwedge \text{vs } obs. - \implies - \vee ?step \text{ vs } obs$)
shows ta-seq-consist $P \text{ vs } obs$

proof –

from major
have $\exists obs' obs''. obs = lappend(\text{llist-of } obs') obs'' \wedge \text{ta-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (\text{llist-of } obs') \wedge$
 $X (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } obs') obs''$
by (auto intro: exI[where $x= []$])
thus ?thesis

proof(coinduct)

case (ta-seq-consist vs obs)
then obtain obs' obs''
where $obs: obs = lappend(\text{llist-of } obs') obs''$
and sc-obs': ta-seq-consist $P \text{ vs } (\text{llist-of } obs')$
and $X: X (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } obs') obs''$ by blast

show ?case
proof(cases obs')
case Nil
with X have $X \text{ vs } obs''$ by simp
from step[OF this] show ?thesis
proof
assume $obs'' = LNil$
with Nil obs show ?thesis by simp
next
assume ?step vs obs''
then obtain obs''' obs''''
where $obs'': obs'' = lappend obs''' obs'''' \text{ and } obs''' \neq LNil$
and sc-obs'''': ta-seq-consist $P \text{ vs } obs''''$
and fin: $lfinite obs''' \implies X (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{list-of } obs''')) obs'''' \vee$
 $\text{ta-seq-consist } P (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{list-of } obs''')) obs''''$
by blast
from $\langle obs''' \neq LNil \rangle$ obtain ob obs''''' where $obs'''': obs''' = LCons ob obs'''''$
unfolding neq-LNil-conv by blast
with Nil obs'' obs have concl1: $obs = LCons ob (lappend obs''''' obs''''')$ by simp
have concl2: case ob of NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) $\Rightarrow \exists b. \text{vs } (ad, al) = \lfloor (v, b) \rfloor \mid - \Rightarrow$
True
using sc-obs''' obs''''' by simp

```

show ?thesis
proof(cases lfinite obs")
  case False
    hence lappend obs"""" obs"""" = obs"""" using obs"" by(simp add: lappend-inf)
    hence ta-seq-consist P (mrw-value P vs ob) (lappend obs"""" obs""")
      using sc-obs"" obs"" by simp
    with concl1 concl2 have ?LCons by blast
    thus ?thesis by simp
  next
  case True
    with obs"" obtain obs"""" where obs""": obs"""" = llist-of obs"""
      by simp(auto simp add: lfinite-eq-range-llist-of)
    from fin[OF True] have ?LCons
    proof
      assume X: X (mrw-values P vs (list-of obs"))
      hence X (mrw-values P (mrw-value P vs ob) obs""") obs"""
        using obs"" obs"" by simp
      moreover from obs"""
        have lappend obs"""" obs"""" = lappend (llist-of obs"""") obs"" by simp
      moreover have ta-seq-consist P (mrw-value P vs ob) (llist-of obs""")
        using sc-obs"" obs"" obs"""" by simp
      ultimately show ?thesis using concl1 concl2 by blast
    next
    assume ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P vs (list-of obs"))
    with sc-obs"" obs"" obs"""
      have ta-seq-consist P (mrw-value P vs ob) (lappend obs"""" obs""")
        by(simp add: ta-seq-consist-lappend)
      with concl1 concl2 show ?thesis by blast
    qed
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  qed
next
  case (Cons ob obs")
    hence obs = LCons ob (lappend (llist-of obs")) obs"
      using obs by simp
    moreover from sc-obs' Cons
      have case ob of NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) => ∃ b. vs (ad, al) = ⌊(v, b)⌋ | - => True
        and ta-seq-consist P (mrw-value P vs ob) (llist-of obs") by simp-all
      moreover from X Cons have X (mrw-values P (mrw-value P vs ob) obs") obs" by simp
      ultimately show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  qed
qed

```

lemma ta-seq-consist-coinduct-append-wf
 [consumes 2, case-names ta-seq-consist, case-conclusion ta-seq-consist LNil lappend]:
assumes major: $X \text{ vs } obs \ a$
and wf: $wf \ R$
and step: $\bigwedge vs \ obs \ a. \ X \text{ vs } obs \ a$
 $\implies obs = LNil \vee$
 $(\exists obs' obs'' a'. obs = lappend obs' obs'' \wedge \text{ta-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } obs' \wedge (obs' = LNil \longrightarrow (a', a) \in R) \wedge$
 $(lfinite obs' \longrightarrow X (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{list-of } obs')) \ obs'' \ a' \vee$

ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs'')

(**is** $\bigwedge vs obs a$. - \implies - \vee ?step vs obs a)

shows *ta-seq-consist P vs obs*

proof –

{ **fix** vs obs a

assume X vs obs a

with wf

have obs = LNil \vee ($\exists obs' obs''$. obs = lappend obs' obs'' \wedge obs' \neq LNil \wedge ta-seq-consist P vs obs')

\wedge

 (*lfinite obs'* \longrightarrow ($\exists a$. X (mrw-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs'' a) \vee
ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs'')

 (**is** - \vee ?step-concl vs obs)

proof(induct a arbitrary: vs obs rule: wf-induct[consumes 1, case-names wf])

case (wf a)

note IH = wf.hyps[rule-format]

from step[OF ‘X vs obs a’]

show ?case

proof

assume obs = LNil **thus** ?thesis ..

next

assume ?step vs obs a

then obtain obs' obs'' a'

where obs: obs = lappend obs' obs''

and sc-obs': ta-seq-consist P vs obs'

and decr: obs' = LNil \implies (a', a) \in R

and fin: lfinite obs' \implies
*X (mrw-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs'' a' \vee
*ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs''**

by blast

show ?case

proof(cases obs' = LNil)

case True

hence lfinite obs' **by** simp

from fin[OF this] **show** ?thesis

proof

assume X: X (mrw-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs'' a'

from True **have** (a', a) \in R **by**(rule decr)

from IH[OF this X] **show** ?thesis

proof

assume obs'' = LNil

with True obs **have** obs = LNil **by** simp

thus ?thesis ..

next

assume ?step-concl (mrw-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs''

hence ?step-concl vs obs **using** True obs **by** simp

thus ?thesis ..

qed

next

assume ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P vs (list-of obs')) obs''

thus ?thesis **using** obs True

by cases(auto cong: action.case-cong obs-event.case-cong intro: exI[**where** x=LCons x LNil for x])

qed

next

```

case False
  with obs sc-obs' fin show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  qed
qed

note step' = this

from major show ?thesis
proof(coinduction arbitrary: vs obs a rule: ta-seq-consist-coinduct-append)
  case (ta-seq-consist vs obs a)
    thus ?case by simp(rule step')
  qed
qed

lemma ta-seq-consist-nthI:
( $\bigwedge i ad al v. [\text{enat } i < \text{llength obs}; \text{lnth obs } i = \text{NormalAction}(\text{ReadMem } ad al v);$ 
 $\text{ta-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (\text{ltake}(\text{enat } i) \text{ obs})]$ 
 $\implies \exists b. \text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{list-of } (\text{ltake}(\text{enat } i) \text{ obs})) (ad, al) = \lfloor(v, b)\rfloor)$ 
 $\implies \text{ta-seq-consist } P \text{ vs obs}$ 
proof(coinduction arbitrary: vs obs)
  case (ta-seq-consist vs obs)
  hence nth:
     $\bigwedge i ad al v. [\text{enat } i < \text{llength obs}; \text{lnth obs } i = \text{NormalAction}(\text{ReadMem } ad al v);$ 
     $\text{ta-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (\text{ltake}(\text{enat } i) \text{ obs})]$ 
     $\implies \exists b. \text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{list-of } (\text{ltake}(\text{enat } i) \text{ obs})) (ad, al) = \lfloor(v, b)\rfloor$  by blast
  show ?case
  proof(cases obs)
    case LNil thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case (LCons ob obs')
    { fix ad al v
      assume ob = NormalAction(ReadMem ad al v)
      with nth[of 0 ad al v] LCons
      have  $\exists b. vs(ad, al) = \lfloor(v, b)\rfloor$ 
        by(simp add: zero-enat-def[symmetric])
    }
    note base = this
    moreover {
      fix i ad al v
      assume enat i < llength obs' lnth obs' i = NormalAction(ReadMem ad al v)
      and ta-seq-consist P (mrw-value P vs ob) (ltake(enat i) obs')
      with LCons nth[of Suc i ad al v] base
      have  $\exists b. \text{mrw-values } P \text{ (mrw-value } P \text{ vs } ob) \text{ (list-of } (\text{ltake}(\text{enat } i) \text{ obs'})) (ad, al) = \lfloor(v, b)\rfloor$ 
        by(clar simp simp add: eSuc-enat[symmetric] split: obs-event.split action.split)
      ultimately have ?LCons using LCons by(simp split: action.split obs-event.split)
      thus ?thesis ..
    }
    qed
  qed

lemma ta-seq-consist-into-non-speculative:
 $[\text{ta-seq-consist } P \text{ vs obs}; \forall adal. \text{set-option}(vs adal) \subseteq vs' adal \times \text{UNIV}]$ 
 $\implies \text{non-speculative } P \text{ vs' obs}$ 
proof(coinduction arbitrary: vs' obs vs)
  case (non-speculative vs' obs vs)
  thus ?case

```

```

apply cases
apply(auto split: action.split-asm obs-event.split-asm)
apply(rule exI, erule conjI, auto) +
done
qed

lemma llist-of-list-of-append:
lfinite xs ==> llist-of (list-of xs @ ys) = lappend xs (llist-of ys)
unfolding lfinite-eq-range.llist-of by(clarsimp simp add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)

lemma ta-seq-consist-most-recent-write-for:
assumes sc: ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (lmap snd E)
and read: r ∈ read-actions E
and new-actions-for-fun: ⋀ adal a a'. [ a ∈ new-actions-for P E adal; a' ∈ new-actions-for P E adal ]
==> a = a'
shows ∃ i. P,E ⊢ r ~mrw i ∧ i < r
proof -
from read obtain t v ad al
where nth-r: lnth E r = (t, NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v))
and r: enat r < llength E
by(cases)(cases lnth E r, auto simp add: action-obs-def actions-def)
from nth-r r
have E-unfold: E = lappend (ltake (enat r) E) (LCons (t, NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)) (ldropn (Suc r) E))
by (metis lappend-ltake-enat-ldropn ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn)
from sc obtain b where sc': ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (ltake (enat r) (lmap snd E))
and mrw': mrw-values P Map.empty (map snd (list-of (ltake (enat r) E))) (ad, al) = [(v, b)]
by(subst (asm) (3) E-unfold)(auto simp add: ta-seq-consist-lappend lmap-lappend-distrib)

from mrw-values-mrw[OF mrw', of t] r
obtain E' w'
where E': E' = llist-of (list-of (ltake (enat r) E) @ [(t, NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v))])
and v: v = value-written P (ltake (enat r) E) w' (ad, al)
and mrw'': P,E' ⊢ r ~mrw w'
and w': w' < r by(fastforce simp add: length-list-of-conv-the-enat min-def split: if-split-asm)

from E' r have sim: ltake (enat (Suc r)) E' ≈ ltake (enat (Suc r)) E
by(subst E-unfold)(simp add: ltake-lappend llist-of-list-of-append min-def, auto simp add: eSuc-enat[symmetric]
zero-enat-def[symmetric] eq-into-sim-actions)
from nth-r have adal-r: (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E r by(simp add: action-obs-def)
from E' r have nth-r': lnth E' r = (t, NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v))
by(auto simp add: nth-append length-list-of-conv-the-enat min-def)
with mrw'' w' r adal-r obtain E ⊢ w' ≤ a r w' ∈ write-actions E (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E w'
by cases(fastforce simp add: action-obs-def action-loc-change-prefix[OF sim[symmetric], simplified
action-obs-def] intro: action-order-change-prefix[OF - sim] write-actions-change-prefix[OF - sim])

with read adal-r have P,E ⊢ r ~mrw w'
proof(rule most-recent-write-for.intros)
fix wa'
assume write': wa' ∈ write-actions E
and adal-wa': (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E wa'
show E ⊢ wa' ≤ a w' ∨ E ⊢ r ≤ a wa'
proof(cases r ≤ wa')
assume r ≤ wa'

```

```

show ?thesis
proof(cases is-new-action (action-obs E wa'))
  case False
    with ⟨r ≤ wa'⟩ have E ⊢ r ≤ a wa' using read write'
      by(auto simp add: action-order-def elim!: read-actions.cases)
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case True
    with write' adal-wa' have wa' ∈ new-actions-for P E (ad, al)
      by(simp add: new-actions-for-def)
    hence w' ∉ new-actions-for P E (ad, al) using r w' ⟨r ≤ wa'⟩
      by(auto dest: new-actions-for-fun)
    with ⟨w' ∈ write-actions E⟩ ⟨(ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E w'⟩
      have ¬ is-new-action (action-obs E w') by(simp add: new-actions-for-def)
    with write' True ⟨w' ∈ write-actions E⟩ have E ⊢ wa' ≤ a w' by(simp add: action-order-def)
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
next
  assume ¬ r ≤ wa'
  hence wa' < r by simp
  with write' adal-wa'
    have wa' ∈ write-actions E' (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E' wa'
    by(auto intro: write-actions-change-prefix[OF - sim[symmetric]] simp add: action-loc-change-prefix[OF sim])
    from most-recent-write-recent[OF mrw'' - this] nth-r'
    have E' ⊢ wa' ≤ a w' ∨ E' ⊢ r ≤ a wa' by(simp add: action-obs-def)
    thus ?thesis using ⟨wa' < r⟩ w'
      by(auto 4 3 del: disjCI intro: disjI1 disjI2 action-order-change-prefix[OF - sim])
  qed
qed
with w' show ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma ta-seq-consist-mrw-before:
  assumes sc: ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (lmap snd E)
  and new-actions-for-fun: ⋀ adal a a'. [ a ∈ new-actions-for P E adal; a' ∈ new-actions-for P E adal ]
  ] ==> a = a'
  and mrw: P,E ⊢ r ~> mrw w
  shows w < r
proof -
  from mrw have r ∈ read-actions E by cases
  with sc new-actions-for-fun obtain w' where P,E ⊢ r ~> mrw w' w' < r
    by(auto dest: ta-seq-consist-most-recent-write-for)
  with mrw show ?thesis by(auto dest: most-recent-write-for-fun)
qed

lemma ta-seq-consist-imp-sequentially-consistent:
  assumes tsa-ok: thread-start-actions-ok E
  and new-actions-for-fun: ⋀ adal a a'. [ a ∈ new-actions-for P E adal; a' ∈ new-actions-for P E adal ]
  ] ==> a = a'
  and seq: ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (lmap snd E)
  shows ∃ ws. sequentially-consistent P (E, ws) ∧ P ⊢ (E, ws) √
proof(intro exI conjI)
  define ws where ws i = (THE w. P,E ⊢ i ~> mrw w) for i

```

```

from seq have ns: non-speculative P ( $\lambda\_. \{\}$ ) (lmap snd E)
  by(rule ta-seq-consist-into-non-speculative) simp
show sequentially-consistent P (E, ws) unfolding ws-def
proof(rule sequentially-consistentI)
fix r
assume r ∈ read-actions E
with seq new-actions-for-fun
obtain w where P,E ⊢ r ~mrw w by(auto dest: ta-seq-consist-most-recent-write-for)
thus P,E ⊢ r ~mrw THE w. P,E ⊢ r ~mrw w by(simp add: THE-most-recent-writeI)
qed

show P ⊢ (E, ws) √
proof(rule wf-execI)
  show is-write-seen P E ws
  proof(rule is-write-seenI)
    fix a ad al v
    assume a: a ∈ read-actions E
    and adal: action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
    from ns have seq': non-speculative P ( $\lambda\_. \{\}$ ) (ltake (enat a) (lmap snd E)) by(rule non-speculative-ltake)
    from seq a seq new-actions-for-fun
    obtain w where mrw: P,E ⊢ a ~mrw w
    and w < a by(auto dest: ta-seq-consist-most-recent-write-for)
    hence w: ws a = w by(simp add: ws-def THE-most-recent-writeI)
    with mrw adal

    show ws a ∈ write-actions E
    and (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E (ws a)
    and ¬ P,E ⊢ a ≤hb ws a
      by(fastforce elim!: most-recent-write-for.cases dest: happens-before-into-action-order antisymPD[OF antisym-action-order] read-actions-not-write-actions)+

let ?between = ltake (enat (a - Suc w)) (ldropn (Suc w) E)
let ?prefix = ltake (enat w) E
let ?vs-prefix = mrw-values P Map.empty (map snd (list-of ?prefix))

{ fix v'
  assume new: is-new-action (action-obs E w)
  and vs': ?vs-prefix (ad, al) = [(v', True)]
  from mrw-values-eq-SomeD[OF vs']
  obtain obs' wa obs'' where split: map snd (list-of ?prefix) = obs' @ wa # obs''
  and wa: is-write-action wa
  and adal': (ad, al) ∈ action-loc-aux P wa
  and new-wa: ¬ is-new-action wa by blast
  from split have length (map snd (list-of ?prefix)) = Suc (length obs' + length obs'') by simp
  hence len-prefix: llength ?prefix = enat ... by(simp add: length-list-of-conv-the-enat min-enat1-conv-enat)
  with split have nth (map snd (list-of ?prefix)) (length obs') = wa
  and enat (length obs') < llength ?prefix by simp-all
  hence snd (lnth ?prefix (length obs')) = wa by(simp add: list-of-lmap[symmetric] del: list-of-lmap)
  hence wa': action-obs E (length obs') = wa and enat (length obs') < llength E
  using enat (length obs') < llength ?prefix by(auto simp add: action-obs-def lnth-ltake)
  with wa have length obs' ∈ write-actions E by(auto intro: write-actions.intros simp add: actions-def)
  from most-recent-write-recent[OF mrw - this, of (ad, al)] adal adal' wa'
  have E ⊢ length obs' ≤a w ∨ E ⊢ a ≤a length obs' by simp

```

```

hence False using new-wa new wa' adal len-prefix <w < a>
  by(auto elim!: action-orderE simp add: min-enat1-conv-enat split: enat.split-asm)
}
hence mrw-value-w: mrw-value P ?vs-prefix (snd (lnth E w)) (ad, al) =
  [(value-written P E w (ad, al),  $\neg$  is-new-action (action-obs E w))]
using <ws a  $\in$  write-actions E> <(ad, al)  $\in$  action-loc P E (ws a)> w
  by(cases snd (lnth E w) rule: mrw-value-cases)(fastforce elim: write-actions.cases simp add:
value-written-def action-obs-def)+

have mrw-values P (mrw-value P ?vs-prefix (snd (lnth E w))) (list-of (lmap snd ?between)) (ad,
al) = [(value-written P E w (ad, al),  $\neg$  is-new-action (action-obs E w))]
proof(subst mrw-values-no-write-unchanged)
fix wa
assume wa  $\in$  set (list-of (lmap snd ?between))
and write-wa: is-write-action wa
and adal-wa: (ad, al)  $\in$  action-loc-aux P wa
hence wa: wa  $\in$  lset (lmap snd ?between) by simp
from wa obtain i-wa where wa = lnth (lmap snd ?between) i-wa
  and i-wa: enat i-wa < llength (lmap snd ?between)
  unfolding lset-conv-lnth by blast
moreover hence i-wa-len: enat (Suc (w + i-wa)) < llength E by(cases llength E) auto
ultimately have wa': wa = action-obs E (Suc (w + i-wa))
  by(simp-all add: lnth-ltake action-obs-def ac-simps)
with write-wa i-wa-len have Suc (w + i-wa)  $\in$  write-actions E
  by(auto intro: write-actions.intros simp add: actions-def)
from most-recent-write-recent[OF mrw - this, of (ad, al)] adal adal-wa wa'
have E  $\vdash$  Suc (w + i-wa)  $\leq_a$  w  $\vee$  E  $\vdash$  a  $\leq_a$  Suc (w + i-wa) by(simp)
hence is-new-action wa  $\wedge$   $\neg$  is-new-action (action-obs E w)
  using adal i-wa wa' by(auto elim: action-orderE)
thus case (mrw-value P ?vs-prefix (snd (lnth E w)) (ad, al)) of None  $\Rightarrow$  False | Some (v, b)  $\Rightarrow$ 
b  $\wedge$  is-new-action wa
  unfolding mrw-value-w by simp
qed(simp add: mrw-value-w)

moreover

from a have a  $\in$  actions E by simp
hence enat a < llength E by(rule actionsE)
with <w < a> have enat (a - Suc w) < llength E - enat (Suc w)
  by(cases llength E) simp-all
hence E = lappend (lappend ?prefix (LCons (lnth E w) ?between)) (LCons (lnth (ldropn (Suc
w) E) (a - Suc w)) (ldropn (Suc (a - Suc w)) (ldropn (Suc w) E)))
  using <w < a> <enat a < llength E> unfolding lappend-assoc lappend-code
  apply(subst ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn, simp)
  apply(subst lappend-ltake-enat-ldropn)
  apply(subst ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn, simp add: less-trans[where y=enat a])
  by simp
hence E': E = lappend (lappend ?prefix (LCons (lnth E w) ?between)) (LCons (lnth E a) (ldropn
(Suc a) E))
  using <w < a> <enat a < llength E> by simp

from seq have ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P Map.empty (list-of (lappend (lmap snd ?prefix)
(LCons (snd (lnth E w)) (lmap snd ?between)))) (lmap snd (LCons (lnth E a) (ldropn (Suc a) E))))
  by(subst (asm) E')(simp add: lmap-lappend-distrib ta-seq-consist-lappend)
ultimately show value-written P E (ws a) (ad, al) = v using adal w

```

```

by(clar simp simp add: action-obs-def list-of-lappend list-of-LCons)

show  $\neg P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} ws a$  using  $\langle w < a \rangle w$  adal by(auto elim!: action-orderE sync-orderE)

fix  $a'$ 
assume  $a' : a' \in write\text{-}actions E$  ( $ad, al$ )  $\in action\text{-}loc P E a'$ 

{
  presume  $E \vdash ws a \leq_a a' E \vdash a' \leq_a a$ 
  with mrw adal  $a' \text{ have } a' = ws a$  unfolding  $w$ 
  by cases(fastforce dest: antisymPD[OF antisym-action-order] read-actions-not-write-actions
elim!: meta-allE[where  $x=a'$ ])
  thus  $a' = ws a$   $a' = ws a$  by -
  next
    assume  $P, E \vdash ws a \leq_{hb} a' P, E \vdash a' \leq_{hb} a$ 
    thus  $E \vdash ws a \leq_a a' E \vdash a' \leq_a a$  using  $a'$  by(blast intro: happens-before-into-action-order) +
  next
    assume is-volatile  $P$  al  $P, E \vdash ws a \leq_{so} a' P, E \vdash a' \leq_{so} a$ 
    thus  $E \vdash ws a \leq_a a' E \vdash a' \leq_a a$  by(auto elim: sync-orderE)
  }
  qed
  qed(rule tsa-ok)
}
qed

```

8.8.3 Cut-and-update and sequentially consistent completion

```

inductive foldl-list-all2 ::

  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('b  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'b list  $\Rightarrow$  'c list  $\Rightarrow$  'a
 $\Rightarrow$  bool

for  $f$  and  $P$  and  $Q$ 
where

  foldl-list-all2  $f P Q [] [] s$ 
  |  $\| Q x y s; P x y s \implies foldl-list-all2 f P Q xs ys (f x y s) \| \implies foldl-list-all2 f P Q (x \# xs) (y \# ys)$ 
  |
   $s$ 

```

inductive-simps foldl-list-all2-simps [simp]:

```

foldl-list-all2  $f P Q [] ys s$ 
foldl-list-all2  $f P Q xs [] s$ 
foldl-list-all2  $f P Q (x \# xs) (y \# ys) s$ 

```

inductive-simps foldl-list-all2-Cons1:

```

foldl-list-all2  $f P Q (x \# xs) ys s$ 

```

inductive-simps foldl-list-all2-Cons2:

```

foldl-list-all2  $f P Q xs (y \# ys) s$ 

```

definition eq-up-to-seq-inconsist ::

```

'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action list  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action list
 $\Rightarrow$  ('addr  $\times$  addr-loc  $\rightarrow$  'addr val  $\times$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

where

```

eq-up-to-seq-inconsist  $P =$ 
foldl-list-all2  $(\lambda ob\ ob' \text{ vs. } mrw\text{-}value P \text{ vs. } ob)$ 
 $(\lambda ob\ ob' \text{ vs. } case ob \text{ of } NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) \Rightarrow \exists b. \text{ vs. } (ad, al) = Some$ 

```

```

(v, b) | - ⇒ True)
      (λob ob' vs. if (case ob of NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ⇒ ∃ b. vs (ad, al) = Some
(v, b) | - ⇒ True) then ob = ob' else ob ≈ ob')

lemma eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps:
  eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P [] obs' vs ←→ obs' = []
  eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs [] vs ←→ obs = []
  eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P (ob # obs) (ob' # obs') vs ←→
    (case ob of NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ⇒
      if (∃ b. vs (ad, al) = [(v, b)]) then ob = ob' ∧ eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs obs' (mrw-value P vs ob)
      else ob ≈ ob')
    | - ⇒ ob = ob' ∧ eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs obs' (mrw-value P vs ob))
by(auto simp add: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-def split: action.split obs-event.split)

lemma eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-Cons1:
  eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P (ob # obs) obs' vs ←→
  (∃ ob' obs''. obs' = ob' # obs'' ∧
    (case ob of NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ⇒
      if (∃ b. vs (ad, al) = [(v, b)]) then ob' = ob ∧ eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs obs'' (mrw-value P vs ob)
      else ob ≈ ob')
    | - ⇒ ob' = ob ∧ eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs obs'' (mrw-value P vs ob)))
unfolding eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-def
by(auto split: obs-event.split action.split simp add: foldl-list-all2-Cons1)

lemma eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-appendD:
  assumes eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P (obs @ obs') obs'' vs
  and ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of obs)
  shows length obs ≤ length obs'' (is ?thesis1)
  and take (length obs) obs'' = obs (is ?thesis2)
  and eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs' (drop (length obs) obs'') (mrw-values P vs obs) (is ?thesis3)
  using assms
by(induct obs arbitrary: obs'' vs)(auto split: action.split-asm obs-event.split-asm simp add: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-Co

lemma ta-seq-consist-imp-eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-refl:
  ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of obs) ⇒ eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs obs vs
apply(induct obs arbitrary: vs)
apply(auto simp add: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps split: action.split obs-event.split)
done

context notes split-paired-Ex [simp del] eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps [simp] begin

lemma eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-appendI:
  [] eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs OBS vs;
  [] ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of obs) ⇒ eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs' OBS' (mrw-values P vs OBS)
]
  ⇒ eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P (obs @ obs') (OBS @ OBS') vs
apply(induct obs arbitrary: vs OBS)
apply simp
apply(auto simp add: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-Cons1)
apply(simp split: action.split obs-event.split)
apply auto
done

```

```

lemma eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-trans:
  [ eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs obs' vs; eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs' obs'' vs ]
  ==> eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs obs'' vs
apply(induction obs arbitrary: obs' obs'' vs)
apply(clarsimp simp add: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-Cons1)+
apply(auto split!: action.split obs-event.split if-split-asm)
done

lemma eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-append2:
  [ eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs obs' vs; ~ ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of obs) ]
  ==> eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P obs (obs' @ obs'') vs
apply(induction obs arbitrary: obs' vs)
apply(clarsimp simp add: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-Cons1)+
apply(auto split!: action.split obs-event.split if-split-asm)
done

end

context executions-sc-hb begin

lemma ta-seq-consist-mrwI:
  assumes E: E ∈ ℰ
  and wf: P ⊢ (E, ws) √
  and mrw: ⋀a. [ enat a < r; a ∈ read-actions E ] ==> P,E ⊢ a ~mrw ws a
  shows ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (lmap snd (ltake r E))
proof(rule ta-seq-consist-nthI)
  fix i ad al v
  assume i-len: enat i < llength (lmap snd (ltake r E))
  and E-i: lnth (lmap snd (ltake r E)) i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
  and sc: ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (ltake (enat i) (lmap snd (ltake r E)))
  from i-len have enat i < r by simp
  with sc have ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (ltake (enat i) (lmap snd E))
    by(simp add: min-def split: if-split-asm)
  hence ns: non-speculative P (λ-. {}) (ltake (enat i) (lmap snd E))
    by(rule ta-seq-consist-into-non-speculative) simp
  from i-len have i ∈ actions E by(simp add: actions-def)
  moreover from E-i i-len have obs-i: action-obs E i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
    by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-ltake)
  ultimately have read: i ∈ read-actions E ..
  with i-len have mrw-i: P,E ⊢ i ~mrw ws i by(auto intro: mrw)
  with E have ws i < i using ns by(rule mrw-before)

  from mrw-i obs-i obtain adal-w: (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E (ws i)
    and adal-r: (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E i
    and write: ws i ∈ write-actions E by cases auto

  from wf have is-write-seen P E ws by(rule wf-exec-is-write-seenD)
  from is-write-seenD[OF this read obs-i]
  have vw-v: value-written P E (ws i) (ad, al) = v by simp

  let ?vs = mrw-values P Map.empty (map snd (list-of (ltake (enat (ws i)) E)))

```

```

from <ws i < i> i-len have enat (ws i) < llength (ltake (enat i) E)
  by(simp add: less-trans[where y=enat i])
  hence ltake (enat i) E = lappend (ltake (enat (ws i)) (ltake (enat i) E)) (LCons (lnth (ltake (enat i) E) (ws i)) (ldropn (Suc (ws i)) (ltake (enat i) E)))
    by(simp only: ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn lappend-ltake-enat-ldropn)
  also have ... = lappend (ltake (enat (ws i)) E) (LCons (lnth E (ws i)) (ldropn (Suc (ws i)) (ltake (enat i) E)))
    using <ws i < i> i-len <enat (ws i) < llength (ltake (enat i) E)>
    by (simp add: lnth-ltake)
  finally have r-E: ltake (enat i) E = ... .

have mrw-values P Map.empty (list-of (ltake (enat i) (lmap snd (ltake r E)))) (ad, al)
  = mrw-values P Map.empty (map snd (list-of (ltake (enat i) E))) (ad, al)
  using <enat i < r> by(auto simp add: min-def)
also have ... = mrw-values P (mrw-value P ?vs (snd (lnth E (ws i)))) (map snd (list-of (ldropn (Suc (ws i)) (ltake (enat i) E))))
  by(subst r-E)(simp add: list-of-lappend)
also have ... = mrw-value P ?vs (snd (lnth E (ws i))) (ad, al)
proof(rule mrw-values-no-write-unchanged)
  fix wa
  assume wa: wa ∈ set (map snd (list-of (ldropn (Suc (ws i)) (ltake (enat i) E))))
  and is-write-action wa (ad, al) ∈ action-loc-aux P wa

from wa obtain w where w < length (map snd (list-of (ldropn (Suc (ws i)) (ltake (enat i) E))))
  and map snd (list-of (ldropn (Suc (ws i)) (ltake (enat i) E))) ! w = wa
  unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast
moreover hence Suc (ws i + w) < i (is ?w < -) using i-len
  by(cases llength E)(simp-all add: length-list-of-conv-the-enat)
ultimately have obs-w': action-obs E ?w = wa using i-len
  by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-ltake less-trans[where y=enat i] ac-simps)
from <?w < i> i-len have ?w ∈ actions E
  by(simp add: actions-def less-trans[where y=enat i])
with <is-write-action wa> obs-w' <(ad, al)> ∈ action-loc-aux P wa>
have write': ?w ∈ write-actions E
  and adal': (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E ?w
  by(auto intro: write-actions.intros)

from <?w < i> <i ∈ read-actions E> <?w ∈ actions E>
have E ⊢ ?w ≤a i by(auto simp add: action-order-def elim: read-actions.cases)

from mrw-i adal-r write' adal'
have E ⊢ ?w ≤a ws i ∨ E ⊢ i ≤a ?w by(rule most-recent-write-recent)
hence E ⊢ ?w ≤a ws i
proof
  assume E ⊢ i ≤a ?w
  with <E ⊢ ?w ≤a i> have ?w = i by(rule antisymPD[OF antisym-action-order])
  with write' read have False by(auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)
  thus ?thesis ..
qed

from adal-w write have mrw-value P ?vs (snd (lnth E (ws i))) (ad, al) ≠ None
  by(cases snd (lnth E (ws i)) rule: mrw-value-cases)
  (auto simp add: action-obs-def split: if-split-asm elim: write-actions.cases)
then obtain b v where vb: mrw-value P ?vs (snd (lnth E (ws i))) (ad, al) = Some (v, b) by auto

```

```

moreover
from ⟨E ⊢ ?w ≤a ws i⟩ obs-w'
have is-new-action wa ⊢ is-new-action (action-obs E (ws i)) by(auto elim!: action-orderE)
from ⟨¬ is-new-action (action-obs E (ws i))⟩ write adal-w
obtain v' where action-obs E (ws i) = NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v')
  by(auto elim!: write-actions.cases is-write-action.cases)
with vb have b by(simp add: action-obs-def)
with ⟨is-new-action wa⟩ vb
  show case mrw-value P ?vs (snd (lnth E (ws i))) (ad, al) of None ⇒ False | ⟦(v, b)⟧ ⇒ b ∧
is-new-action wa by simp
qed
also {
fix v
assume ?vs (ad, al) = Some (v, True)
  and is-new-action (action-obs E (ws i))

from mrw-values-eq-SomeD[OF this(1)]
obtain wa where wa ∈ set (map snd (list-of (ltake (enat (ws i)) E)))
  and is-write-action wa
  and (ad, al) ∈ action-loc-aux P wa
  and ¬ is-new-action wa by(fastforce simp del: set-map)
moreover then obtain w where w: w < ws i and wa: wa = snd (lnth E w)
unfolding in-set-conv-nth by(cases llength E)(auto simp add: lnth-ltake length-list-of-conv-the-enat)
ultimately have w ∈ write-actions E action-obs E w = wa (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E w
  using ⟨ws i ∈ write-actions E⟩
    by(auto intro!: write-actions.intros simp add: actions-def less-trans[where y=enat (ws i)] ac-
tion-obs-def elim!: write-actions.cases)
  with mrw-i adal-r have E ⊢ w ≤a ws i ∨ E ⊢ i ≤a w by -(rule most-recent-write-recent)
  hence False
proof
  assume E ⊢ w ≤a ws i
  moreover from ⟨¬ is-new-action wa⟩ ⟨is-new-action (action-obs E (ws i))⟩ write w wa ⟨w ∈
write-actions E⟩
    have E ⊢ ws i ≤a w by(auto simp add: action-order-def action-obs-def)
    ultimately have w = ws i by(rule antisymPD[OF antisym-action-order])
    with ⟨w < ws i⟩ show False by simp
next
  assume E ⊢ i ≤a w
  moreover from ⟨w ∈ write-actions E⟩ ⟨w < ws i⟩ ⟨ws i < i⟩ read
    have E ⊢ w ≤a i by(auto simp add: action-order-def elim: read-actions.cases)
    ultimately have i = w by(rule antisymPD[OF antisym-action-order])
    with ⟨w < ws i⟩ ⟨ws i < i⟩ show False by simp
qed }

then obtain b where ... = Some (v, b) using vw-v write adal-w
apply(atomize-elim)
apply(auto simp add: action-obs-def value-written-def write-actions-iff)
apply(erule is-write-action.cases)
apply auto
done
finally show ∃ b. mrw-values P Map.empty (list-of (ltake (enat i) (lmap snd (ltake r E)))) (ad, al)
= ⟦(v, b)⟧
  by blast
qed

```

end

context *jmm-multithreaded begin*

definition *complete-sc* :: ('l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w) state \Rightarrow ('addr \times addr-loc \rightarrow 'addr val \times bool) \Rightarrow ('thread-id \times ('l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) thread-action) llist
where

complete-sc *s* *vs* = *unfold-llist*
 $(\lambda(s, vs). \forall t ta s'. \neg s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s')$
 $(\lambda(s, vs). fst (SOME ((t, ta), s')). s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s' \wedge ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (llist\text{-of } \{ta\}_o))$
 $(\lambda(s, vs). let ((t, ta), s') = SOME ((t, ta), s'). s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s' \wedge ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (llist\text{-of } \{ta\}_o)$
 $\quad \text{in } (s', \text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } \{ta\}_o))$
 (s, vs)

definition *sc-completion* :: ('l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w) state \Rightarrow ('addr \times addr-loc \rightarrow 'addr val \times bool) \Rightarrow bool

where

sc-completion *s* *vs* \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall ttas s' t x ta x' m'.$
 $s -\triangleright ttas \rightarrow * s' \longrightarrow ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (llist\text{-of } (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) \longrightarrow$
 $thr s' t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait\text{-}locks}) \rfloor \longrightarrow t \vdash (x, shr s') -ta \rightarrow (x', m') \longrightarrow actions\text{-ok } s' t ta \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, shr s') -ta' \rightarrow (x'', m'') \wedge actions\text{-ok } s' t ta' \wedge$
 $ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ (mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) (llist\text{-of } \{ta\}_o))$

lemma *sc-completionD*:

$\llbracket sc\text{-completion } s \text{ vs}; s -\triangleright ttas \rightarrow * s'; ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (llist\text{-of } (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas)))$;
 $\quad thr s' t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait\text{-}locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash (x, shr s') -ta \rightarrow (x', m'); actions\text{-ok } s' t ta \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, shr s') -ta' \rightarrow (x'', m'') \wedge actions\text{-ok } s' t ta' \wedge$
 $\quad ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ (mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) (llist\text{-of } \{ta\}_o)$

unfolding *sc-completion-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *sc-completionI*:

$(\bigwedge ttas s' t x ta x' m'.$
 $\llbracket s -\triangleright ttas \rightarrow * s'; ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (llist\text{-of } (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas)))$;
 $\quad thr s' t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait\text{-}locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash (x, shr s') -ta \rightarrow (x', m'); actions\text{-ok } s' t ta \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, shr s') -ta' \rightarrow (x'', m'') \wedge actions\text{-ok } s' t ta' \wedge$
 $\quad ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ (mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) (llist\text{-of } \{ta\}_o))$
 $\implies sc\text{-completion } s \text{ vs}$

unfolding *sc-completion-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *sc-completion-shift*:

assumes *sc-c*: *sc-completion* *s* *vs*
and *τRed*: *s* $-\triangleright ttas \rightarrow * s'$
and *sc*: *ta-seq-consist* *P* $\text{vs } (lconcat (lmap (\lambda(t, ta). llist\text{-of } \{ta\}_o) (llist\text{-of } ttas)))$
shows *sc-completion* *s'* (*mrw-values* *P* $\text{vs } (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas)))$)
proof(rule *sc-completionI*)
fix *ttas' s'' t x ta x' m'*
assume *τRed'*: *s' -\triangleright ttas' \rightarrow * s''*
and *sc'*: *ta-seq-consist* *P* (*mrw-values* *P* $\text{vs } (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) (llist\text{-of } (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas')))$)
and *red*: *thr s'' t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋ t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s''⟩ -ta → ⟨x', m'⟩ actions-ok s'' t ta*
from *τRed τRed'* **have** *s -\triangleright ttas @ ttas' \rightarrow * s''* **unfolding** *RedT-def* **by**(rule *rtrancl3p-trans*)

```

moreover from sc sc' have ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of (concat (map ( $\lambda(t, ta)$ ). {ta}o) (ttas @ ttas'))))

apply(simp add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] ta-seq-consist-lappend del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)
apply(simp add: lconcat-llist-of[symmetric] lmap-llist-of[symmetric] llist.map-comp o-def split-def
del: lmap-llist-of)
done
ultimately
show  $\exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash \langle x, shr s'' \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle x'', m'' \rangle \wedge actions\text{-ok } s'' t ta' \wedge$ 
ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P (mrw-values P vs (concat (map ( $\lambda(t, ta)$ ). {ta}o) ttas))) (concat
(map ( $\lambda(t, ta)$ ). {ta}o) ttas')))) (llist-of {ta'}o)
using red unfolding foldl-append[symmetric] concat-append[symmetric] map-append[symmetric]
by(rule sc-completionD[OF sc-c])
qed

```

```

lemma complete-sc-in-Runs:
assumes cau: sc-completion s vs
and ta-seq-consist-convert-RA:  $\bigwedge vs ln. ta\text{-seq-consist } P vs (llist\text{-of } (convert\text{-RA } ln))$ 
shows mthr.Runs s (complete-sc s vs)
proof -
let ?ttas' =  $\lambda ttas'. ('thread-id \times ('l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs\text{-event action}) thread\text{-action}) list.$ 
concat (map ( $\lambda(t, ta)$ ). {ta}o) ttas')
let ?vs ttas' = mrw-values P vs (?ttas' ttas')

define s' vs'
and ttas :: ('thread-id  $\times ('l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs\text{-event action}) thread\text{-action}) list$ 
where s' = s and vs' = vs and ttas = []
hence s  $\rightarrow$  ttas  $\rightarrow^* s'$  ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of (?ttas' ttas)) by auto
hence mthr.Runs s' (complete-sc s' (?vs ttas))
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s' ttas rule: mthr.Runs.coinduct)
case (Runs s' ttas')
note Red =  $\langle s \rightarrow ttas' \rightarrow^* s' \rangle$ 
and sc =  $\langle ta\text{-seq-consist } P vs (llist\text{-of } (?ttas' ttas')) \rangle$ 
show ?case
proof(cases  $\exists t' ta' s''. s' - t' \triangleright ta' \rightarrow s''$ )
case False
hence ?Stuck by(simp add: complete-sc-def)
thus ?thesis ..
next
case True
let ?proceed =  $\lambda((t', ta'), s''). s' - t' \triangleright ta' \rightarrow s'' \wedge ta\text{-seq-consist } P (?vs ttas') (llist\text{-of } \{ta'\}o)$ 
from True obtain t' ta' s'' where red: s'  $- t' \triangleright ta' \rightarrow s''$  by(auto)
then obtain ta'' s''' where s'  $- t' \triangleright ta'' \rightarrow s'''$ 
and ta-seq-consist P (?vs ttas') (llist-of {ta''}o)
proof(cases)
case (redT-normal x' m')
note red =  $\langle t' \vdash \langle x, shr s' \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \rangle$ 
and ts''t' =  $\langle thr s' t' = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle$ 
and aok =  $\langle actions\text{-ok } s' t' ta' \rangle$ 
and s'' =  $\langle redT\text{-upd } s' t' ta' x' m' s'' \rangle$ 
from sc-completionD[OF cau Red sc ts''t' red aok]
obtain ta'' x'' m'' where red': t'  $\vdash \langle x, shr s' \rangle - ta'' \rightarrow \langle x'', m'' \rangle$ 
and aok': actions-ok s' t' ta''
```

```

and sc': ta-seq-consist P (?vs ttas') (llist-of {ta''}o) by blast
from redT-updWs-total obtain ws' where redT-updWs t' (wset s') {ta''}w ws' ..
then obtain s''' where redT-upd s' t' ta'' x'' m'' s''' by fastforce
with red' ts''t' aok' have s' -t'>ta'' -> s''' ..
thus thesis using sc' by(rule that)
next
case redT-acquire
thus thesis by(simp add: that[OF red] ta-seq-consist-convert-RA)
qed
hence ?proceed ((t', ta''), s''') using Red by(auto)
hence *: ?proceed (Eps ?proceed) by(rule someI)
moreover from Red * have s ->ttas' @ [fst (Eps ?proceed)] ->* snd (Eps ?proceed)
by(auto simp add: split-beta RedT-def intro: rtrancl3p-step)
moreover from True
have complete-sc s' (?vs ttas') = LCons (fst (Eps ?proceed)) (complete-sc (snd (Eps ?proceed)))
(?vs (ttas' @ [fst (Eps ?proceed)])))
unfolding complete-sc-def by(simp add: split-def)
moreover from sc <?proceed (Eps ?proceed)>
have ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of (?ttas' (ttas' @ [fst (Eps ?proceed)])))
unfolding map-append concat-append lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric]
by(subst ta-seq-consist-lappend)(auto simp add: split-def)
ultimately have ?Step
by(fastforce intro: exI[where x=ttas' @ [fst (Eps ?proceed)]] simp del: split-paired-Ex)
thus thesis by simp
qed
qed
thus thesis by(simp add: s'-def ttas-def)
qed

lemma complete-sc-ta-seq-consist:
assumes cau: sc-completion s vs
and ta-seq-consist-convert-RA:  $\bigwedge$  vs ln. ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of (convert-RA ln))
shows ta-seq-consist P vs (lconcat (lmap ( $\lambda$ (t, ta). llist-of {ta}o) (complete-sc s vs)))
proof -
define vs' where vs' = vs
let ?obs =  $\lambda$ ttas. lconcat (lmap ( $\lambda$ (t, ta). llist-of {ta}o) ttas)
define obs where obs = ?obs (complete-sc s vs)
define a where a = complete-sc s vs'
let ?ttas' =  $\lambda$ ttas' :: ('thread-id  $\times$  ('l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) thread-action)
list.
concat (map ( $\lambda$ (t, ta). {ta}o) ttas')
let ?vs =  $\lambda$ ttas'. mrw-values P vs (?ttas' ttas')
from vs'-def obs-def
have s ->[] ->* s ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of (?ttas' [])) vs' = ?vs [] by(auto)
hence  $\exists$  s' ttas'. obs = ?obs (complete-sc s' vs')  $\wedge$  s ->ttas' ->* s'  $\wedge$ 
ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of (?ttas' ttas'))  $\wedge$  vs' = ?vs ttas'  $\wedge$ 
a = complete-sc s' vs'
unfolding obs-def vs'-def a-def by metis
moreover have wf (inv-image {(m, n). m < n} (llength o ltakeWhile ( $\lambda$ (t, ta). {ta}o = [])))
(is wf ?R) by(rule wf-inv-image)(rule wellorderer-class.wf)
ultimately show ta-seq-consist P vs' obs
proof(coinduct vs' obs a rule: ta-seq-consist-coinduct-append-wf)
case (ta-seq-consist vs' obs a)
then obtain s' ttas' where obs = ?obs (complete-sc s' (?vs ttas'))

```

```

and Red:  $s \rightarrow ttas' \rightarrow^* s'$ 
and sc: ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of (?ttas' ttas'))
and vs'-def:  $vs' = ?vs ttas'$ 
and a-def:  $a = complete-sc s' vs' \text{ by } blast$ 

show ?case
proof(cases  $\exists t' ta' s''. s' - t' \triangleright ta' \rightarrow s''$ )
  case False
    hence obs = LNil unfolding obs-def complete-sc-def by simp
    hence ?LNil unfolding obs-def by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
next
  case True
    let ?proceed =  $\lambda((t', ta'), s''). s' - t' \triangleright ta' \rightarrow s'' \wedge ta\text{-seq-consist } P (?vs ttas') (\text{llist-of }\{ta'\}_o)$ 
    let ?tta = fst (Eps ?proceed)
    let ?s' = snd (Eps ?proceed)

    from True obtain t' ta' s'' where red:  $s' - t' \triangleright ta' \rightarrow s'' \text{ by blast}$ 
    then obtain ta'' s''' where  $s' - t' \triangleright ta'' \rightarrow s'''$ 
      and ta-seq-consist P (?vs ttas') (llist-of {ta''}_o)
    proof(cases)
      case (redT-normal x x' m')
        note red =  $\langle t' \vdash \langle x, shr s' \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \rangle$ 
        and ts''t' =  $\langle thr s' t' = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rangle$ 
        and aok =  $\langle actions\text{-ok } s' t' ta' \rangle$ 
        and s''' =  $\langle redT\text{-upd } s' t' ta' x' m' s'' \rangle$ 
        from sc-completionD[OF cau Red sc ts''t' red aok]
        obtain ta'' x'' m'' where red':  $t' \vdash \langle x, shr s' \rangle - ta'' \rightarrow \langle x'', m'' \rangle$ 
          and aok': actions-ok s' t' ta''
          and sc': ta-seq-consist P (?vs ttas') (llist-of {ta''}_o) by blast
        from redT-updWs-total obtain ws' where redT-updWs t' (wset s') {ta''}_w ws' ..
        then obtain s''' where redT-upd s' t' ta'' x'' m'' s''' by fastforce
        with red' ts''t' aok' have  $s' - t' \triangleright ta'' \rightarrow s''' ..$ 
        thus thesis using sc' by(rule that)
    next
      case redT-acquire
        thus thesis by(simp add: that[OF red] ta-seq-consist-convert-RA)
    qed
    hence ?proceed ((t', ta''), s''') by auto
    hence ?proceed (Eps ?proceed) by(rule someI)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases obs = LNil)
      case True thus ?thesis ..
    next
      case False
        from True
        have csc-unfold:  $complete-sc s' (?vs ttas') = LCons ?tta (complete-sc ?s' (?vs (ttas' @ [?tta])))$ 
          unfolding complete-sc-def by(simp add: split-def)
        hence obs = lappend (llist-of {snd ?tta}_o) (?obs (complete-sc ?s' (?vs (ttas' @ [?tta]))))
          using obs-def by(simp add: split-beta)
        moreover have ta-seq-consist P vs' (llist-of {snd ?tta}_o)
          using (?proceed (Eps ?proceed)) vs'-def by(clarsimp simp add: split-beta)
        moreover {
          assume llist-of {snd ?tta}_o = LNil
        }
    
```

```

moreover from obs-def ‹obs ≠ LNil›
have lfinite (ltakeWhile (λ(t, ta). {ta}o = []) (complete-sc s' (?vs ttas')))
  unfolding lfinite-ltakeWhile by(fastforce simp add: split-def lconcat-eq-LNil)
ultimately have (complete-sc ?s' (?vs (ttas' @ [?tta])), a) ∈ ?R
  unfolding a-def vs'-def csc-unfold
  by(clar simp simp add: split-def llist-of-eq-LNil-conv)(auto simp add: lfinite-eq-range-llist-of)
}

moreover have ?obs (complete-sc ?s' (?vs (ttas' @ [?tta]))) = ?obs (complete-sc ?s' (mrw-values P vs' (list-of (llist-of {snd ?tta}o))))
  unfolding vs'-def by(simp add: split-def)
moreover from ‹?proceed (Eps ?proceed)› Red
have s → ttas' @ [?tta] →* ?s' by(auto simp add: RedT-def split-def intro: rtranc13p-step)
moreover from sc ‹?proceed (Eps ?proceed)›
have ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of (?ttas' (ttas' @ [?tta])))
  by(clar simp simp add: split-def ta-seq-consist-lappend lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] simp del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)
moreover have mrw-values P vs' (list-of (llist-of {snd ?tta}o)) = ?vs (ttas' @ [?tta])
  unfolding vs'-def by(simp add: split-def)
moreover have complete-sc ?s' (?vs (ttas' @ [?tta])) = complete-sc ?s' (mrw-values P vs' (list-of (llist-of {snd ?tta}o)))
  unfolding vs'-def by(simp add: split-def)
ultimately have ?lappend by blast
thus ?thesis ..
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma sequential-completion-Runs:

```

assumes sc-completion s vs
and ⋀ vs ln. ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of (convert-RA ln))
shows ∃ ttas. mthr.Runs s ttas ∧ ta-seq-consist P vs (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta}o) ttas))
using complete-sc-ta-seq-consist[OF assms] complete-sc-in-Runs[OF assms]
by blast

```

definition cut-and-update :: ('l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w) state ⇒ ('addr × addr-loc → 'addr val × bool) ⇒ bool

where

```

cut-and-update s vs ←→
(∀ ttas s' t x ta x' m'.
  s → ttas →* s' → ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas))) →
  thr s' t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋ → t ⊢ (x, shr s') − ta → (x', m') → actions-ok s' t ta →
  (∃ ta' x'' m''. t ⊢ (x, shr s') − ta' → (x'', m'') ∧ actions-ok s' t ta' ∧
    ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas))) (llist-of {ta}'o)
  ∧
    eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P {ta}o {ta}'o (mrw-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas))))))

```

lemma cut-and-updateI[intro?]:

```

(⋀ ttas s' t x ta x' m'.
  [ s → ttas →* s'; ta-seq-consist P vs (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)));;
  thr s' t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋; t ⊢ (x, shr s') − ta → (x', m'); actions-ok s' t ta ]]
  ==> ∃ ta' x'' m''. t ⊢ (x, shr s') − ta' → (x'', m'') ∧ actions-ok s' t ta' ∧

```

$\{ta'\}_o \wedge$
 $ta\text{-seq-consist } P (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) \text{ ttas}))) (\text{llist-of } \{ta'\}_o)$
 $eq\text{-upto-seq-inconsistent } P \{ta\}_o \{ta'\}_o (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) \text{ ttas})))$
 $\implies cut\text{-and-update } s \text{ vs}$

unfolding *cut-and-update-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *cut-and-updateD*:

$\llbracket cut\text{-and-update } s \text{ vs}; s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow^* s'; ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (\text{llist-of } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) \text{ ttas}))) \text{ ttas}) \rrbracket;$

$thr s' t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait}\text{-locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash (x, shr s') - ta \rightarrow (x', m'); actions\text{-ok } s' t ta \llbracket$

$\implies \exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, shr s') - ta' \rightarrow (x'', m'') \wedge actions\text{-ok } s' t ta' \wedge$

$ta\text{-seq-consist } P (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) \text{ ttas}))) (\text{llist-of } \{ta'\}_o)$

\wedge

$eq\text{-upto-seq-inconsistent } P \{ta\}_o \{ta'\}_o (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) \text{ ttas}))) \text{ ttas})$

unfolding *cut-and-update-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *cut-and-update-imp-sc-completion*:

cut-and-update s $vs \implies sc\text{-completion}$ s vs

apply(rule *sc-completionI*)

apply(drule (5) *cut-and-updateD*)

apply *blast*

done

lemma *sequential-completion*:

assumes *cut-and-update*: *cut-and-update* s vs

and *ta-seq-consist-convert-RA*: $\bigwedge vs ln. ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (\text{llist-of } (\text{convert-RA } ln))$

and *Red*: $s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow^* s'$

and *sc*: *ta-seq-consist* P vs ($\text{llist-of } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) \text{ ttas})))$

and *red*: $s' \rightarrow ta \rightarrow s''$

shows

$\exists ta' ttas'. mthr.Runs s' (LCons (t, ta') ttas') \wedge$

$ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (\text{lconcat } (\text{lmap } (\lambda(t, ta). \text{llist-of } \{ta\}_o) (\text{lappend } (\text{llist-of } ttas) (LCons (t, ta') ttas')))) \wedge$

$eq\text{-upto-seq-inconsistent } P \{ta\}_o \{ta'\}_o (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) \text{ ttas})))$

proof –

from *red* **obtain** $ta' s'''$

where $red': redT s' (t, ta') s'''$

and $sc': ta\text{-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (\text{lconcat } (\text{lmap } (\lambda(t, ta). \text{llist-of } \{ta\}_o) (\text{lappend } (\text{llist-of } ttas) (LCons (t, ta') LNil))))$

and $eq: eq\text{-upto-seq-inconsistent } P \{ta\}_o \{ta'\}_o (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) \text{ ttas})))$

proof cases

case (*redT-normal* $x x' m'$)

note $ts't = \langle thr s' t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait}\text{-locks}) \rfloor \rangle$

and $red = \langle t \vdash (x, shr s') - ta \rightarrow (x', m') \rangle$

and $aok = \langle actions\text{-ok } s' t ta \rangle$

and $s'' = \langle redT\text{-upd } s' t ta x' m' s'' \rangle$

from *cut-and-updateD*[*OF cut-and-update, OF Red sc ts't red aok*]

obtain $ta' x'' m''$ **where** $red: t \vdash (x, shr s') - ta' \rightarrow (x'', m'')$

and $sc': ta\text{-seq-consist } P (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, y). \{y\}_o) \text{ ttas}))) (\text{llist-of } \{ta'\}_o)$

and $eq: eq\text{-upto-seq-inconsistent } P \{ta\}_o \{ta'\}_o (\text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) \text{ ttas})))$

ttas))

```

and aok: actions-ok s' t ta' by blast
obtain ws''' where redT-updWs t (wset s') {ta'}_w ws'''
  using redT-updWs-total ..
then obtain s''' where s'''': redT-upd s' t ta' x'' m'' s''' by fastforce
  with red <thr s' t = [(x, no-wait-locks)]> aok have s' -t>ta' → s''' by(rule redT.redT-normal)
  moreover from sc sc'
    have ta-seq-consist P vs (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta}o) (lappend (llist-of ttas) (LCons (t, ta') LNil)))))
      by(auto simp add: lmap-lappend-distrib ta-seq-consist-lappend split-def lconcat-llist-of[symmetric]
        o-def list-of-lconcat)
    ultimately show thesis using eq by(rule that)
  next
    case (redT-acquire x ln n)
    hence ta-seq-consist P vs (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta}o) (lappend (llist-of ttas) (LCons (t, ta') LNil))))
      and eq-upto-seq-inconsist P {ta}o {ta}o (mrw-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
        using sc
        by(simp-all add: lmap-lappend-distrib ta-seq-consist-lappend split-def lconcat-llist-of[symmetric]
          o-def list-of-lconcat ta-seq-consist-convert-RA ta-seq-consist-imp-eq-upto-seq-inconsist-refl)
      with red show thesis by(rule that)
  qed

```

Now, find a sequentially consistent completion from s''' onwards.

```

from Red red' have Red': s -t>ttas @ [(t, ta')] →* s'''
  unfolding RedT-def by(auto intro: rtranc13p-step)

from sc sc'
have ta-seq-consist P vs (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta}o) (llist-of (ttas @ [(t, ta')]))) )
  by(simp add: o-def split-def lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric])
with cut-and-update-imp-sc-completion[OF cut-and-update] Red'
have sc-completion s''' (mrw-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (ttas @ [(t, ta')]))) )
  by(rule sc-completion-shift)
from sequential-completion-Runs[OF this ta-seq-consist-convert-RA]
obtain ttas' where τRuns: mthr.Runs s''' ttas'
  and sc'': ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (ttas @ [(t, ta')]))) )
    (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta}o) ttas'))
  by blast
from red' τRuns have mthr.Runs s' (LCons (t, ta') ttas') ..
moreover from sc sc' sc''
have ta-seq-consist P vs (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta}o) (lappend (llist-of ttas) (LCons (t, ta') ttas'))))
  unfolding lmap-lappend-distrib lconcat-lappend by(simp add: o-def ta-seq-consist-lappend split-def
    list-of-lconcat)
  ultimately show ?thesis using eq by blast
qed

end

end

```

8.9 Happens-before consistent completion of executions in the JMM

theory HB-Completion **imports**

 Non-Speculative

begin

coinductive ta-hb-consistent :: '*m prog* \Rightarrow ('thread-id \times ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) list \Rightarrow ('thread-id \times ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) llist \Rightarrow bool

for *P* :: '*m prog*

where

 LNil: ta-hb-consistent *P* obs LNil

 | LCons:

 [ta-hb-consistent *P* (obs @ [ob]) obs' ;

 case ob of (t, NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v))

\Rightarrow ($\exists w. w \in \text{write-actions} (\text{llist-of} (\text{obs} @ [\text{ob}])) \wedge (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P (\text{llist-of} (\text{obs} @ [\text{ob}]))$)

$w \wedge$

 value-written *P* (llist-of (obs @ [ob])) $w (ad, al) = v \wedge$

P,llist-of (obs @ [ob]) $\vdash w \leq_{hb} \text{length obs} \wedge$

 ($\forall w' \in \text{write-actions} (\text{llist-of} (\text{obs} @ [\text{ob}])). (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P (\text{llist-of} (\text{obs} @ [\text{ob}])) w'$)

\longrightarrow

 (*P*,llist-of (obs @ [ob]) $\vdash w \leq_{hb} w' \wedge P, \text{llist-of} (\text{obs} @ [\text{ob}]) \vdash w' \leq_{hb} \text{length obs} \vee$

 is-volatile *P* al $\wedge P, \text{llist-of} (\text{obs} @ [\text{ob}]) \vdash w \leq_{so} w' \wedge P, \text{llist-of} (\text{obs} @ [\text{ob}]) \vdash w' \leq_{so}$

 length obs) \longrightarrow

$w' = w)$

 | - \Rightarrow True]

\implies ta-hb-consistent *P* obs (LCons ob obs')

inductive-simps ta-hb-consistent-LNil [*simp*]:

ta-hb-consistent *P* obs LNil

inductive-simps ta-hb-consistent-LCons:

ta-hb-consistent *P* obs (LCons ob obs')

lemma ta-hb-consistent-into-non-speculative:

ta-hb-consistent *P* obs0 obs

\implies non-speculative *P* (w-values *P* ($\lambda_. \{\}$) (map snd obs0)) (lmap snd obs)

proof(coinduction arbitrary: obs0 obs)

case (non-speculative obs0 obs)

let ?vs = w-values *P* ($\lambda_. \{\}$) (map snd obs0)

let ?CH = $\lambda vs\ obs'. \exists obs0\ obs. vs = w\text{-values } P (\lambda_. \{\}) (\text{map snd obs0}) \wedge obs' = lmap\ snd\ obs \wedge$

ta-hb-consistent *P* obs0 obs

from non-speculative show ?case

proof(cases)

case LNil hence ?LNil by simp

thus ?thesis ..

next

case (LCons tob obs'')

note obs = $\langle obs = LCons\ tob\ obs'' \rangle$

obtain t ob where tob: tob = (t, ob) by(cases tob)

from \langle ta-hb-consistent *P* (obs0 @ [tob]) obs'' \rangle tob obs

have ?CH (w-value *P* ?vs ob) (lmap snd obs'') by(auto intro!: exI)

moreover {

fix ad al v

```

assume ob:  $ob = \text{NormalAction}(\text{ReadMem } ad \ al \ v)$ 
with  $LCons \ tob$  obtain w where  $w: w \in \text{write-actions}(\text{llist-of}(obs0 @ [tob]))$ 
and  $adal: (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P(\text{llist-of}(obs0 @ [tob])) \ w$ 
and  $v: \text{value-written } P(\text{llist-of}(obs0 @ [tob])) \ w \ (ad, al) = v$  by auto
from w obtain is-write-action  $(\text{action-obs}(\text{llist-of}(obs0 @ [tob])) \ w)$ 
and w-actions:  $w \in \text{actions}(\text{llist-of}(obs0 @ [tob]))$  by cases
hence  $v \in ?vs(ad, al)$ 
proof(cases)
  case ( $\text{WriteMem } ad' \ al' \ v'$ )
  hence  $\text{NormalAction}(\text{WriteMem } ad \ al \ v) \in \text{set}(\text{map snd } obs0)$ 
    using  $adal \ ob \ tob \ v \ w\text{-actions}$  unfolding in-set-conv-nth
    by(auto simp add: action-obs-def nth-append value-written.simps actions-def cong: conj-cong
split: if-split-asm)
    thus ?thesis by(rule w-values-WriteMemD)
  next
    case ( $\text{NewHeapElem } ad' \ hT$ )
    hence  $\text{NormalAction}(\text{NewHeapElem } ad \ hT) \in \text{set}(\text{map snd } obs0)$ 
      using  $adal \ ob \ tob \ v \ w\text{-actions}$  unfolding in-set-conv-nth
      by(auto simp add: action-obs-def nth-append value-written.simps actions-def cong: conj-cong
split: if-split-asm)
      thus ?thesis using NewHeapElem adal unfolding v[symmetric]
        by(fastforce simp add: value-written.simps intro!: w-values-new-actionD intro: rev-image-eqI)
    qed }
  hence case ob of  $\text{NormalAction}(\text{ReadMem } ad \ al \ v) \Rightarrow v \in ?vs(ad, al) \ | \ - \Rightarrow \text{True}$ 
    by(simp split: action.split obs-event.split)
  ultimately have ? $LCons$  using obs tob by simp
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
qed

lemma ta-hb-consistent-lappendI:
assumes hb1: ta-hb-consistent P E E'
and hb2: ta-hb-consistent P (E @ list-of E') E''
and fin: lfinite E'
shows ta-hb-consistent P E (lappend E' E'')
using fin hb1 hb2
proof(induction arbitrary: E)
  case lfinite-LNil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (lfinite-LConsI E' tob)
  from <ta-hb-consistent P E (LCons tob E')>
  have ta-hb-consistent P (E @ [tob]) E' by cases
  moreover from <ta-hb-consistent P (E @ list-of (LCons tob E')) E''> <lfinite E'>
  have ta-hb-consistent P ((E @ [tob]) @ list-of E') E'' by simp
  ultimately have ta-hb-consistent P (E @ [tob]) (lappend E' E'') by(rule lfinite-LConsI.IH)
  thus ?case unfolding lappend-code apply(rule ta-hb-consistent.LCons)
    using <ta-hb-consistent P E (LCons tob E')>
    by cases (simp split: prod.split-asm action.split-asm obs-event.split-asm)
qed

lemma ta-hb-consistent-coinduct-append
  [consumes 1, case-names ta-hb-consistent, case-conclusion ta-hb-consistent LNil lappend]:
assumes major: X E tobs
and step:  $\bigwedge E \text{ tobs. } X \ E \ \text{tobs}$ 

```

```

 $\implies \text{tobs} = LNil \vee$ 
 $(\exists \text{tobs}' \text{tobs}'' . \text{tobs} = lappend \text{tobs}' \text{tobs}'' \wedge \text{tobs}' \neq LNil \wedge \text{ta-hb-consistent } P E \text{tobs}' \wedge$ 
 $(lfinite \text{tobs}' \longrightarrow (X (E @ list-of \text{tobs}') \text{tobs}'' \vee$ 
 $\text{ta-hb-consistent } P (E @ list-of \text{tobs}') \text{tobs}'')))$ 
 $(\text{is } \wedge_E \text{tobs}. - \implies - \vee ?step E \text{tobs})$ 
shows  $\text{ta-hb-consistent } P E \text{tobs}$ 
proof -
  from major
  have  $\exists \text{tobs}' \text{tobs}'' . \text{tobs} = lappend (llist-of \text{tobs}') \text{tobs}'' \wedge \text{ta-hb-consistent } P E (llist-of \text{tobs}') \wedge$ 
     $X (E @ \text{tobs}') \text{tobs}''$ 
  by(auto intro: exI[where x=()])
  thus ?thesis
  proof(coinduct)
    case ( $\text{ta-hb-consistent } E \text{tobs}$ )
    then obtain  $\text{tobs}' \text{tobs}''$ 
      where  $\text{tobs}: \text{tobs} = lappend (llist-of \text{tobs}') \text{tobs}''$ 
      and  $\text{hb-tobs}': \text{ta-hb-consistent } P E (llist-of \text{tobs}')$ 
      and  $X: X (E @ \text{tobs}') \text{tobs}''$  by blast

    show ?case
    proof(cases  $\text{tobs}'$ )
      case Nil
        with  $X$  have  $X E \text{tobs}''$  by simp
        from step[OF this] show ?thesis
      proof
        assume  $\text{tobs}'' = LNil$ 
        with Nil  $\text{tobs}$  show ?thesis by simp
      next
        assume ?step E tobs''
        then obtain  $\text{tobs}''' \text{tobs}''''$ 
          where  $\text{tobs}'': \text{tobs}'' = lappend \text{tobs}''' \text{tobs}''''$  and  $\text{tobs}''' \neq LNil$ 
          and  $\text{sc-obs}''': \text{ta-hb-consistent } P E \text{tobs}'''$ 
          and  $\text{fin}: lfinite \text{tobs}''' \implies X (E @ list-of \text{tobs}''') \text{tobs}'''' \vee$ 
             $\text{ta-hb-consistent } P (E @ list-of \text{tobs}''') \text{tobs}''''$ 
          by blast
        from  $\langle \text{tobs}''' \neq LNil \rangle$  obtain  $t \text{ ob } \text{tobs}'''''$  where  $\text{tobs}'''': \text{tobs}''' = LCons (t, \text{ob}) \text{tobs}'''''$ 
          unfolding neq-LNil-conv by auto
        with Nil  $\text{tobs}'' \text{tobs}$  have  $\text{concl1}: \text{tobs} = LCons (t, \text{ob}) (lappend \text{tobs}''''' \text{tobs}''''')$  by simp

        have ?LCons
        proof(cases  $lfinite \text{tobs}'''$ )
          case False
            hence  $lappend \text{tobs}''''' \text{tobs}''''' = \text{tobs}'''''$  using  $\text{tobs}'''$  by(simp add: lappend-inf)
            hence  $\text{ta-hb-consistent } P (E @ [(t, \text{ob})]) (lappend \text{tobs}''''' \text{tobs}''''')$ 
              using  $\text{sc-obs}''' \text{tobs}'''$  by(simp add: ta-hb-consistent-LCons)
            with  $\text{concl1}$  show ?LCons apply(simp)
              using  $\text{sc-obs}'''[\text{unfolded } \text{tobs}''']$  by cases simp
          next
            case True
            with  $\text{tobs}'''$  obtain  $\text{tobs}''''''$  where  $\text{tobs}''''': \text{tobs}'''''' = llist-of \text{tobs}''''''$ 
              by simp(auto simp add: lfinite-eq-range-llist-of)
            from fin[OF True]
            have  $\text{ta-hb-consistent } P (E @ [(t, \text{ob})]) (llist-of \text{tobs}''''')$   $\wedge X (E @ (t, \text{ob}) \# \text{tobs}''''') \text{tobs}'''''$ 

```

∨

ta-hb-consistent P (E @ [(t, ob)]) (lappend (llist-of tobs'')) tobs'''')

proof

assume $X: X (E @ list-of tobs'') tobs''''$

hence $X (E @ (t, ob) \# tobs'') tobs'''$ **using** $tobs'''' tobs'''$ **by** *simp*

moreover have *ta-hb-consistent P (E @ [(t, ob)]) (llist-of tobs''))*

using $sc-obs''' tobs''' tobs''''$ **by** (*simp add: ta-hb-consistent-LCons*)

ultimately show ?*thesis* **by** *simp*

next

assume *ta-hb-consistent P (E @ list-of tobs'') tobs'''')*

with $sc-obs''' tobs'''' tobs'''$

have *ta-hb-consistent P (E @ [(t, ob)]) (lappend (llist-of tobs'')) tobs'''')*

by (*simp add: ta-hb-consistent-LCons ta-hb-consistent-lappendI*)

thus ?*thesis* ..

qed

hence $((\exists tobs' tobs''. lappend (llist-of tobs'')) tobs''' = lappend (llist-of tobs') tobs'' \wedge$

$ta-hb-consistent P (E @ [(t, ob)]) (llist-of tobs') \wedge X (E @ (t, ob) \# tobs')$

$tobs'') \vee$

ta-hb-consistent P (E @ [(t, ob)]) (lappend (llist-of tobs'')) tobs'''')

by *auto*

thus ?*LCons* **using** *concl1 tobs''' apply(simp)*

using $sc-obs'''[unfolded tobs'']$ **by** *cases simp*

qed

thus ?*thesis* ..

qed

next

case (*Cons tob tobs'''*)

with $X tobs hb-tobs'$ **show** ?*thesis* **by** (*auto simp add: ta-hb-consistent-LCons*)

qed

qed

qed

lemma *ta-hb-consistent-coinduct-append-wf*

[consumes 2, case-names *ta-hb-consistent*, case-conclusion *ta-hb-consistent LNil lappend*]:

assumes *major: X E obs a*

and *wf: wf R*

and *step: $\bigwedge E obs a. X E obs a$*

$\implies obs = LNil \vee$

$(\exists obs' obs'' a'. obs = lappend obs' obs'' \wedge ta-hb-consistent P E obs' \wedge (obs' = LNil \longrightarrow (a', a) \in R) \wedge$

(lfinite obs' $\longrightarrow X (E @ list-of obs') obs'' a' \vee$

ta-hb-consistent P (E @ list-of obs') obs'')

(is $\bigwedge E obs a. - \implies - \vee$?*step E obs a*)

shows *ta-hb-consistent P E obs*

proof –

{ fix *E obs a*

assume *X E obs a*

with *wf*

have *obs = LNil $\vee (\exists obs' obs''. obs = lappend obs' obs'' \wedge obs' \neq LNil \wedge ta-hb-consistent P E obs' \wedge$*

(lfinite obs' $\longrightarrow (\exists a. X (E @ list-of obs') obs'' a) \vee$

ta-hb-consistent P (E @ list-of obs') obs'')

(is $- \vee$?*step-concl E obs*)

proof(induction a arbitrary: E obs rule: wf-induct[consumes 1, case-names wf])

case (*wf a*)

```

note IH = wf.IH[rule-format]
from step[OF <X E obs a]
show ?case
proof
  assume obs = LNil thus ?thesis ..
next
  assume ?step E obs a
  then obtain obs' obs'' a'
    where obs: obs = lappend obs' obs''
    and sc-obs': ta-hb-consistent P E obs'
    and decr: obs' = LNil  $\implies$  (a', a)  $\in R$ 
    and fin: lfinite obs'  $\implies$ 
      X (E @ list-of obs') obs'' a'  $\vee$ 
      ta-hb-consistent P (E @ list-of obs') obs''
    by blast
  show ?case
  proof(cases obs' = LNil)
    case True
    hence lfinite obs' by simp
    from fin[OF this] show ?thesis
    proof
      assume X: X (E @ list-of obs') obs'' a'
      from True have (a', a)  $\in R$  by(rule decr)
      from IH[OF this X] show ?thesis
    proof
      assume obs'' = LNil
      with True obs have obs = LNil by simp
      thus ?thesis ..
    next
      assume ?step-concl (E @ list-of obs') obs''
      hence ?step-concl E obs using True obs by simp
      thus ?thesis ..
    qed
  next
    assume ta-hb-consistent P (E @ list-of obs') obs''
    thus ?thesis using obs True
    by cases (auto 4 3 cong: action.case-cong obs-event.case-cong intro: exI[where x=LCons
x LNil for x] simp add: ta-hb-consistent-LCons)
    qed
  next
    case False
    with obs sc-obs' fin show ?thesis by auto
    qed
  qed
qed }
note step' = this

from major show ?thesis
proof(coinduction arbitrary: E obs a rule: ta-hb-consistent-coinduct-append)
  case (ta-hb-consistent E obs)
  thus ?case by simp(rule step')
qed
qed

```

```

lemma ta-hb-consistent-lappendD2:
  assumes hb: ta-hb-consistent P E (lappend E' E'')
  and fin: lfinite E'
  shows ta-hb-consistent P (E @ list-of E') E''
using fin hb
by(induct arbitrary: E)(fastforce simp add: ta-hb-consistent-LCons)+

lemma ta-hb-consistent-Read-hb:
  fixes E E' defines E'' ≡ lappend (llist-of E') E
  assumes hb: ta-hb-consistent P E' E
  and tsa: thread-start-actions-ok E''
  and E'': is-write-seen P (llist-of E') ws'
  and new-actions-for-fun:
     $\wedge w' \text{ adal. } [\![ w \in \text{new-actions-for } P E'' \text{ adal; } w' \in \text{new-actions-for } P E'' \text{ adal} ]\!] \implies w = w'$ 
  shows  $\exists ws. P \vdash (E'', ws) \vee \wedge (\forall n. n \in \text{read-actions } E'' \longrightarrow \text{length } E' \leq n \longrightarrow P, E'' \vdash ws \ n \leq hb \ n) \wedge$ 
         $(\forall n. n < \text{length } E' \longrightarrow ws \ n = ws' \ n)$ 
proof(intro exI conjI strip)
let ?P =
   $\lambda n w. \text{case lnth } E'' \ n \text{ of}$ 
   $(t, \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad \ al \ v)) \Rightarrow$ 
   $(w \in \text{write-actions } E'' \wedge (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E'' \ w \wedge \text{value-written } P E'' \ w \ (ad, al) = v \wedge$ 
   $P, E'' \vdash w \leq hb \ n \wedge$ 
   $(\forall w' \in \text{write-actions } E''. (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E'' \ w' \longrightarrow$ 
     $(P, E'' \vdash w \leq hb \ w' \wedge P, E'' \vdash w' \leq hb \ n \vee$ 
       $\text{is-volatile } P \ al \wedge P, E'' \vdash w \leq so \ w' \wedge P, E'' \vdash w' \leq so \ n) \longrightarrow$ 
       $w' = w))$ 
let ?ws =  $\lambda n. \text{if } n < \text{length } E' \text{ then } ws' \ n \text{ else } Eps \ (?P \ n)$ 

have  $\wedge n. n < \text{length } E' \implies ?ws \ n = ws' \ n$  by simp
moreover
have P ⊢ (E'', ?ws) ∨ ∧
   $(\forall n ad al v. n \in \text{read-actions } E'' \longrightarrow \text{length } E' \leq n \longrightarrow \text{action-obs } E'' \ n = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad \ al \ v) \longrightarrow P, E'' \vdash ?ws \ n \leq hb \ n)$ 
proof(intro conjI wf-execI strip is-write-seenI)
  fix a' ad al v
  assume read: a' ∈ read-actions E''
  and aobs: action-obs E'' a' = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
  then obtain t where a': enat a' < llength E''
  and lnth'': lnth E'' a' = (t, NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v))
  by(cases)(cases lnth E'' a', clar simp simp add: actions-def action-obs-def)

have ?ws a' ∈ write-actions E'' ∧
  (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' (?ws a') ∧
  value-written P E'' (?ws a') (ad, al) = v ∧
  (length E' ≤ a' → P, E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤ hb a') ∧
   $\neg P, E'' \vdash a' \leq hb \ ?ws \ a' \wedge$ 
  (is-volatile P al →  $\neg P, E'' \vdash a' \leq so \ ?ws \ a' \wedge$ 
   $(\forall a''. a'' \in \text{write-actions } E'' \longrightarrow (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E'' \ a'' \longrightarrow$ 
     $(P, E'' \vdash ?ws \ a' \leq hb \ a'' \wedge P, E'' \vdash a'' \leq hb \ a' \vee \text{is-volatile } P \ al \wedge P, E'' \vdash ?ws \ a' \leq so \ a'' \wedge$ 
     $P, E'' \vdash a'' \leq so \ a') \longrightarrow a'' = ?ws \ a')$ 
  proof(cases a' < length E', safe del: notI disjE conjE)

```

```

assume a'-E': a' < length E'
with read aobs have a': a' ∈ read-actions (llist-of E')
    and aobs': action-obs (llist-of E') a' = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
    by(auto simp add: E''-def action-obs-def lnth-lappend1 actions-def elim: read-actions.cases intro:
read-actions.intros)
have sim: ltake (enat (length E')) (llist-of E') [≈] ltake (enat (length E')) (lappend (llist-of E')
E)
    by(rule eq-into-sim-actions)(simp add: ltake-all ltake-lappend1)
from tsa have tsa': thread-start-actions-ok (llist-of E')
    by(rule thread-start-actions-ok-prefix)(simp add: E''-def lprefix-lappend)

from is-write-seenD[OF E'' a' aobs'] a'-E'
show ?ws a' ∈ write-actions E''
    and (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' (?ws a')
    and value-written P E'' (?ws a') (ad, al) = v
    and ¬ P,E'' ⊢ a' ≤hb ?ws a'
    and is-volatile P al ⇒ ¬ P,E'' ⊢ a' ≤so ?ws a'
    by(auto elim!: write-actions.cases intro!: write-actions.intros simp add: E''-def lnth-lappend1
actions-def action-obs-def value-written-def enat-less-enat-plusI dest: happens-before-change-prefix[OF
- tsa' sim[symmetric]] sync-order-change-prefix[OF - sim[symmetric]])
```

{ **assume** length E' ≤ a'
thus P,E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤hb a' **using** a'-E' **by** simp }

{ **fix** w
assume w: w ∈ write-actions E'' (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' w
and hbso: P,E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤hb w ∧ P,E'' ⊢ w ≤hb a' ∨ is-volatile P al ∧ P,E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤so
w ∧ P,E'' ⊢ w ≤so a'
show w = ?ws a'
proof(cases w < length E')
case True
with is-write-seenD[OF E'' a' aobs'] a'-E' w hbso **show** ?thesis
by(auto 4 3 elim!: write-actions.cases intro!: write-actions.intros simp add: E''-def
lnth-lappend1 actions-def action-obs-def value-written-def enat-less-enat-plusI dest: happens-before-change-prefix[OF
- tsa'[unfolded E''-def] sim] happens-before-change-prefix[OF - tsa' sim[symmetric]] sync-order-change-prefix[OF
- sim, simplified] sync-order-change-prefix[OF - sim[symmetric], simplified] bspec[**where** x=w])
next
case False
from hbso **have** E'' ⊢ w ≤a a' **by**(auto intro: happens-before-into-action-order elim:
sync-orderE)
moreover from w(1) read **have** w ≠ a' **by**(auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)
ultimately have new-w: is-new-action (action-obs E'' w) **using** False aobs a'-E'
by(cases rule: action-orderE) auto
moreover from hbso a'-E' **have** E'' ⊢ ws' a' ≤a w
by(auto intro: happens-before-into-action-order elim: sync-orderE)
hence new-a': is-new-action (action-obs E'' (?ws a')) **using** new-w a'-E'
by(cases rule: action-orderE) auto
ultimately have w ∈ new-actions-for P E'' (ad, al) ?ws a' ∈ new-actions-for P E'' (ad, al)
using w is-write-seenD[OF E'' a' aobs'] a'-E'
by(auto simp add: new-actions-for-def actions-def action-obs-def lnth-lappend1 E''-def
enat-less-enat-plusI elim!: write-actions.cases)
thus ?thesis **by**(rule new-actions-for-fun)
qed }
next

```

assume  $\neg a' < \text{length } E'$ 
hence  $a' \cdot E' : \text{length } E' \leq a'$  by simp
define  $a$  where  $a = a' - \text{length } E'$ 
with  $a' a' \cdot E'$  have  $a : \text{enat } a < \text{length } E$ 
by(simp add: E''-def) (metis enat-add-mono le-add-diff-inverse plus-enat-simps(1))

from  $a\text{-def } a\text{obs } \text{lnth}'' a'\text{-}E'$ 
have  $a\text{obs}: \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v)$ 
    and  $\text{l nth}: \text{l nth } E a = (t, \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v))$ 
by(simp-all add: E''-def l nth-lappend2 action-obs-def)

define  $E'''$  where  $E''' = \text{lappend } (\text{l list-of } E') (\text{l take } (\text{enat } a) E)$ 
let  $?E'' = \text{lappend } E''' (\text{LCons } (t, \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v)) \text{ LNil})$ 

note  $hb$  also
have  $E\text{-unfold1}: E = \text{lappend } (\text{l take } (\text{enat } a) E) (\text{l dropn } a E)$  by simp
also have  $E\text{-unfold2}: \text{l dropn } a E = \text{LCons } (t, \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v)) (\text{l dropn } (\text{Suc } a) E)$ 
using  $a \text{ l nth}$  by (metis l dropn-Suc-conv-l dropn)
finally
have  $\text{ta-hb-consistent } P (E' @ \text{l list-of } (\text{l take } (\text{enat } a) E))$ 
     $(\text{LCons } (t, \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad al v)) (\text{l dropn } (\text{Suc } a) E))$ 
by(rule ta-hb-consistent-lappendD2) simp
with  $a a'\text{-}E' a\text{-def}$  obtain  $w$  where  $w: w \in \text{write-actions } ?E''$ 
and  $\text{ad } a\text{-w}: (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P ?E'' w$ 
and  $\text{written}: \text{value-written } P ?E'' w (ad, al) = v$ 
and  $hb: P, ?E'' \vdash w \leq hb a'$ 
and  $\text{in-between-so}:$ 
 $\bigwedge w'. \llbracket w' \in \text{write-actions } ?E''; (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P ?E'' w';$ 
 $\quad \text{is-volatile } P al; P, ?E'' \vdash w \leq so w'; P, ?E'' \vdash w' \leq so a' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies w' = w$ 
and  $\text{in-between-hb}:$ 
 $\bigwedge w'. \llbracket w' \in \text{write-actions } ?E''; (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P ?E'' w';$ 
 $\quad P, ?E'' \vdash w \leq hb w'; P, ?E'' \vdash w' \leq hb a' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies w' = w$ 
by(auto simp add: ta-hb-consistent-LCons length-list-of-conv-the-enat min-def l nth-ltake lappend-l list-of-l list-of[symmetric] E''-def lappend-assoc simp del: lappend-l list-of-l list-of nth-list-of split-if-splits)

from  $a' a'\text{-}E' a$ 
have  $\text{eq}: \text{l take } (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } a')) ?E'' = \text{l take } (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } a')) E''$  (is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )
unfolding  $E''\text{-def } E'''\text{-def lappend-assoc}$ 
apply(subst (2) E-unfold1)
apply(subst E-unfold2)
apply(subst (1 2) ltake-lappend2)
apply(simp)
apply(rule arg-cong) back
apply(subst (1 2) ltake-lappend2)
apply(simp add: min-def)
apply (metis Suc-diff-le a-def le-Suc-eq order-le-less)
apply(rule arg-cong) back
apply(auto simp add: min-def a-def)
apply(auto simp add: eSuc-enat[symmetric] zero-enat-def[symmetric])
done

```

```

hence sim: ?lhs [≈] ?rhs by(rule eq-into-sim-actions)
from tsa have tsa': thread-start-actions-ok ?E'' unfolding E''-def E'''-def lappend-assoc
  by(rule thread-start-actions-ok-prefix)(subst (2) E-unfold1, simp add: E-unfold2)

from w a a' a-def a'-E' have w-a': w < Suc a'
  by cases(simp add: actions-def E'''-def min-def zero-enat-def eSuc-enat split: if-split-asm)

from w sim have w ∈ write-actions E'' by(rule write-actions-change-prefix)(simp add: w-a')
moreover
from adal-w action-loc-change-prefix[OF sim, of w P] w-a'
have (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' w by simp
moreover
from written value-written-change-prefix[OF eq, of w P] w-a'
have value-written P E'' w (ad, al) = v by simp
moreover
from hb tsa sim have P,E'' ⊢ w ≤hb a' by(rule happens-before-change-prefix)(simp-all add:
w-a')
moreover {
  fix w'
  assume w': w' ∈ write-actions E''
  and adal: (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' w'
  and hbso: P,E'' ⊢ w ≤hb w' ∧ P,E'' ⊢ w' ≤hb a' ∨ is-volatile P al ∧ P,E'' ⊢ w ≤so w' ∧
P,E'' ⊢ w' ≤so a'
  (is ?hbso E'')
  from hbso have ao: E'' ⊢ w ≤a w' E'' ⊢ w' ≤a a'
    by(auto dest: happens-before-into-action-order elim: sync-orderE)
  have w' = w
  proof(cases is-new-action (action-obs E'' w'))
    case True
    hence w' ∈ new-actions-for P E'' (ad, al) using w' adal by(simp add: new-actions-for-def)
    moreover from ao True have is-new-action (action-obs E'' w) by(cases rule: action-orderE)
  simp-all
    with ⟨w ∈ write-actions E''⟩ ⟨(ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' w⟩
    have w ∈ new-actions-for P E'' (ad, al) by(simp add: new-actions-for-def)
    ultimately show w' = w by(rule new-actions-for-fun)
  next
    case False
    with ao have w' ≤ a' by(auto elim: action-orderE)
    hence w'-a: enat w' < enat (Suc a') by simp
    with hbso w-a' have ?hbso ?E''
      by(auto 4 3 elim: happens-before-change-prefix[OF - tsa' sim[symmetric]] sync-order-change-prefix[OF -
sim[symmetric]] del: disjCI intro: disjI1 disjI2)
    moreover from w' < w' ≤ a' a' a lnth a'-E' have w' ∈ write-actions ?E''
      by(cases)(cases w' < a', auto intro!: write-actions.intros simp add: E'''-def actions-def
action-obs-def lnth-lappend min-def zero-enat-def eSuc-enat lnth-ltake a-def E''-def not-le not-less)
    moreover from adal ⟨w' ≤ a'⟩ a' a lnth w' a'-E' have (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P ?E'' w'
      by(cases w' < a')(cases w' < length E', auto simp add: E'''-def action-obs-def lnth-lappend
lappend-assoc[symmetric] min-def lnth-ltake less-trans[where y=enat a] a-def E''-def lnth-ltake elim:
write-actions.cases)
      ultimately show w' = w by(blast dest: in-between-so in-between-hb)
    qed }
  ultimately have ?P a' w using a'-E' lnth unfolding E''-def a-def by(simp add: lnth-lappend)
  hence P: ?P a' (Eps (?P a')) by(rule someI[where P=?P a'])

```

```

from P lnth'' a'-E'
show ?ws a' ∈ write-actions E''
and (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' (?ws a')
and value-written P E'' (?ws a') (ad, al) = v
and P,E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤hb a' by simp-all

show ¬ P,E'' ⊢ a' ≤hb ?ws a'
proof
  assume P,E'' ⊢ a' ≤hb ?ws a'
  with ⟨P,E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤hb a'⟩ have a' = ?ws a'
    by(blast dest: antisymPD[OF antisym-action-order] happens-before-into-action-order)
  with read ⟨?ws a' ∈ write-actions E''⟩ show False
    by(auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)
  qed

show ¬ P,E'' ⊢ a' ≤so ?ws a'
proof
  assume P,E'' ⊢ a' ≤so ?ws a'
  hence E'' ⊢ a' ≤a ?ws a' by(blast elim: sync-orderE)
  with ⟨P,E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤hb a'⟩ have a' = ?ws a'
    by(blast dest: antisymPD[OF antisym-action-order] happens-before-into-action-order)
  with read ⟨?ws a' ∈ write-actions E''⟩ show False
    by(auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)
  qed

fix a''
assume a'' ∈ write-actions E'' (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' a''
and P,E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤hb a'' ∧ P,E'' ⊢ a'' ≤hb a' ∨
  is-volatile P al ∧ P,E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤so a'' ∧ P,E'' ⊢ a'' ≤so a'
thus a'' = ?ws a' using lnth'' P a'-E' by -(erule disjE, clarsimp+)
qed

thus ?ws a' ∈ write-actions E''
  and (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' (?ws a')
  and value-written P E'' (?ws a') (ad, al) = v
  and length E' ≤ a' ⇒ P,E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤hb a'
  and ¬ P,E'' ⊢ a' ≤hb ?ws a'
  and is-volatile P al ⇒ ¬ P,E'' ⊢ a' ≤so ?ws a'
  and ⋀ a''. [ a'' ∈ write-actions E''; (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' a''; P,E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤hb a''; P,E'' ⊢ a'' ≤hb a' ] ⇒ a'' = ?ws a'
    and ⋀ a''. [ a'' ∈ write-actions E''; (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' a''; is-volatile P al; P,E'' ⊢ ?ws a' ≤so a''; P,E'' ⊢ a'' ≤so a' ] ⇒ a'' = ?ws a'
      by blast+
qed(assumption|rule tsa)+
thus P ⊢ (E'', ?ws) √
  and ⋀ n. [ n ∈ read-actions E''; length E' ≤ n ] ⇒ P,E'' ⊢ ?ws n ≤hb n
  by(blast elim: read-actions.cases intro: read-actions.intros)+

fix n
assume n < length E'
thus ?ws n = ws' n by simp
qed

lemma ta-hb-consistent-not-ReadI:
  (⋀ t ad al v. (t, NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)) ∉ lset E) ⇒ ta-hb-consistent P E' E

```

```

proof(coinduction arbitrary:  $E' E$ )
  case (ta-hb-consistent  $E' E$ )
    thus ?case by(cases  $E$ )(auto split obs-event.split, blast)
  qed

context jmm-multithreaded begin

definition complete-hb :: ('l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  ('thread-id  $\times$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) list
 $\Rightarrow$  ('thread-id  $\times$  ('l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) thread-action) llist
where
  complete-hb  $s E =$  unfold-llist
   $(\lambda(s, E). \forall t ta s'. \neg s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s')$ 
   $(\lambda(s, E). fst (SOME ((t, ta), s')). s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s' \wedge ta\text{-hb-consistent } P E (llist-of (map (Pair t) \{ta\}_o)))$ 
   $(\lambda(s, E). let ((t, ta), s') = SOME ((t, ta), s'). s -t\triangleright ta \rightarrow s' \wedge ta\text{-hb-consistent } P E (llist-of (map (Pair t) \{ta\}_o))$ 
   $in (s', E @ map (Pair t) \{ta\}_o))$ 
   $(s, E)$ 

definition hb-completion :: 
  ('l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  ('thread-id  $\times$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  hb-completion  $s E \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(\forall ttas s' t x ta x' m' i.$ 
   $s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow * s' \rightarrow$ 
  non-speculative  $P (w\text{-values } P (\lambda\text{-} . \{\}) (map snd E)) (llist-of (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) \rightarrow$ 
   $thr s' t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait-locks}) \rfloor \rightarrow t \vdash (x, shr s') -ta \rightarrow (x', m') \rightarrow actions\text{-ok } s' t ta \rightarrow$ 
  non-speculative  $P (w\text{-values } P (w\text{-values } P (\lambda\text{-} . \{\}) (map snd E)) (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) (llist-of (take i \{ta\}_o)) \rightarrow$ 
   $(\exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, shr s') -ta' \rightarrow (x'', m'') \wedge actions\text{-ok } s' t ta' \wedge$ 
   $take i \{ta\}_o = take i \{ta\}'_o \wedge$ 
  ta-hb-consistent  $P$ 
   $(E @ concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). map (Pair t) \{ta\}_o) ttas) @ map (Pair t) (take i \{ta\}_o))$ 
   $(llist-of (map (Pair t) (drop i \{ta\}'_o))) \wedge$ 
   $(i < length \{ta\}_o \rightarrow i < length \{ta\}'_o) \wedge$ 
   $(if \exists ad al v. \{ta\}_o ! i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) then sim\text{-action} else$ 
   $(=)) (\{ta\}_o ! i) (\{ta\}'_o ! i)))$ 

lemma hb-completionD:
   $\llbracket hb\text{-completion } s E; s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow * s';$ 
  non-speculative  $P (w\text{-values } P (\lambda\text{-} . \{\}) (map snd E)) (llist-of (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas)))$ ;
   $thr s' t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-wait-locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash (x, shr s') -ta \rightarrow (x', m'); actions\text{-ok } s' t ta;$ 
  non-speculative  $P (w\text{-values } P (w\text{-values } P (\lambda\text{-} . \{\}) (map snd E)) (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) (llist-of (take i \{ta\}_o)) \llbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, shr s') -ta' \rightarrow (x'', m'') \wedge actions\text{-ok } s' t ta' \wedge$ 
   $take i \{ta\}'_o = take i \{ta\}_o \wedge$ 
  ta-hb-consistent  $P (E @ concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). map (Pair t) \{ta\}_o) ttas) @ map (Pair t) (take i \{ta\}_o))$ 
   $(llist-of (map (Pair t) (drop i \{ta\}'_o))) \wedge$ 
   $(i < length \{ta\}_o \rightarrow i < length \{ta\}'_o) \wedge$ 

```

(if $\exists ad al v. \{ta\}_o ! i = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem} ad al v)$ then sim-action else (=))
 $(\{ta\}_o ! i) (\{ta'\}_o ! i)$
unfolding hb-completion-def by blast

lemma hb-completionI [intro?]:

($\bigwedge ttas s' t x ta x' m' i$:
 $\llbracket s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow * s'; \text{non-speculative } P (\text{w-values } P (\lambda \cdot \{\}) (\text{map } \text{snd } E)) (\text{llist-of } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas)))$;
 $\text{thr } s' t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash (x, \text{shr } s') \rightarrow (x', m');$ actions-ok $s' t ta$;
 $\text{non-speculative } P (\text{w-values } P (\text{w-values } P (\lambda \cdot \{\}) (\text{map } \text{snd } E)) (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) (\text{llist-of } (\text{take } i \{ta\}_o)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, \text{shr } s') \rightarrow (x'', m'') \wedge \text{actions-ok } s' t ta' \wedge \text{take } i \{ta'\}_o = \text{take } i \{ta\}_o \wedge$
 $\text{ta-hb-consistent } P (E @ \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \text{map } (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o) ttas) @ \text{map } (\text{Pair } t) (\text{take } i \{ta\}_o)) (\text{llist-of } (\text{map } (\text{Pair } t) (\text{drop } i \{ta'\}_o))) \wedge$
 $(i < \text{length } \{ta\}_o \longrightarrow i < \text{length } \{ta'\}_o) \wedge$
 $(\text{if } \exists ad al v. \{ta\}_o ! i = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem} ad al v) \text{ then sim-action else (=)})$
 $(\{ta\}_o ! i) (\{ta'\}_o ! i)$
 $\implies \text{hb-completion } s E$
unfolding hb-completion-def by blast

lemma hb-completion-shift:

assumes hb-c: hb-completion s E
and τRed : $s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow * s'$
and sc: non-speculative $P (\text{w-values } P (\lambda \cdot \{\}) (\text{map } \text{snd } E)) (\text{llist-of } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas)))$
 $(\text{is non-speculative } - ?vs -)$
shows hb-completion $s' (E @ (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \text{map } (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o) ttas)))$
 $(\text{is hb-completion } - ?E)$
proof(rule hb-completionI)
fix $ttas' s'' t x ta x' m' i$
assume $\tau\text{Red}'$: $s' \rightarrow ttas' \rightarrow * s''$
and sc': non-speculative $P (\text{w-values } P (\lambda \cdot \{\}) (\text{map } \text{snd } ?E)) (\text{llist-of } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas')))$
and red: $\text{thr } s'' t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor t \vdash (x, \text{shr } s'') \rightarrow (x', m')$ actions-ok $s'' t ta$
and ns: non-speculative $P (\text{w-values } P (\text{w-values } P (\lambda \cdot \{\}) (\text{map } \text{snd } ?E)) (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas'))) (\text{llist-of } (\text{take } i \{ta\}_o))$
from $\tau\text{Red} \tau\text{Red}'$ **have** $s \rightarrow ttas @ ttas' \rightarrow * s''$ **unfolding RedT-def by**(rule rtrancl3p-trans)
moreover from sc sc' **have** non-speculative $P ?vs (\text{llist-of } (\text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) (ttas @ ttas'))))$
unfolding map-append concat-append lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] map-concat
by(simp add: non-speculative-lappend o-def split-def del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)
ultimately
show $\exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, \text{shr } s'') \rightarrow (x'', m'') \wedge \text{actions-ok } s'' t ta' \wedge \text{take } i \{ta'\}_o = \text{take } i \{ta\}_o \wedge$
 $\text{ta-hb-consistent } P (?E @ \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \text{map } (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o) ttas') @ \text{map } (\text{Pair } t) (\text{take } i \{ta\}_o))$
 $(\text{llist-of } (\text{map } (\text{Pair } t) (\text{drop } i \{ta'\}_o))) \wedge$
 $(i < \text{length } \{ta\}_o \longrightarrow i < \text{length } \{ta'\}_o) \wedge$
 $(\text{if } \exists ad al v. \{ta\}_o ! i = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem} ad al v) \text{ then sim-action else (=)}) (\{ta\}_o ! i) (\{ta'\}_o ! i)$
using red ns **unfolding** append-assoc
apply(subst (2) append-assoc[symmetric])
unfolding concat-append[symmetric] map-append[symmetric] foldr-append[symmetric]

```

by(rule hb-completionD[OF hb-c])(simp-all add: map-concat o-def split-def)
qed

lemma hb-completion-shift1:
assumes hb-c: hb-completion s E
and Red: s -t>ta→ s'
and sc: non-speculative P (w-values P (λ-. {})) (map snd E) (llist-of {ta}o)
shows hb-completion s' (E @ map (Pair t) {ta}o)
using hb-completion-shift[OF hb-c, of [(t, ta)] s'] Red sc
by(simp add: RedT-def rtrancl3p-Cons rtrancl3p-Nil del: split-paired-Ex)

lemma complete-hb-in-Runs:
assumes hb-c: hb-completion s E
and ta-hb-consistent-convert-RA: ⋀t E ln. ta-hb-consistent P E (llist-of (map (Pair t) (convert-RA ln)))
shows mthr.Runs s (complete-hb s E)
using hb-c
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s E)
case (Runs s E)
let ?P = λ((t, ta), s'). s -t>ta→ s' ∧ ta-hb-consistent P E (llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o))
show ?case
proof(cases ∃t ta s'. s -t>ta→ s')
case False
then have ?Stuck by(simp add: complete-hb-def)
thus ?thesis ..
next
case True
let ?t = fst (fst (Eps ?P)) and ?ta = snd (fst (Eps ?P)) and ?s' = snd (Eps ?P)
from True obtain t ta s' where red: s -t>ta→ s' by blast
hence ∃x. ?P x
proof(cases)
case (redT-normal x' m')
from hb-completionD[OF Runs -- <thr s t = |(x, no-wait-locks)|> <t ⊢ <x, shr s> -ta→ <x', m'>>
actions-ok s t ta], of [] 0]
obtain ta' x'' m'' where t ⊢ <x, shr s> -ta'→ <x'', m''>
and actions-ok s t ta' ta-hb-consistent P E (llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta'}o))
by fastforce
moreover obtain ws' where redT-updWs t (wset s) {ta'}w ws' by (metis redT-updWs-total)
ultimately show ?thesis using <thr s t = |(x, no-wait-locks)|>
by(cases ta')(auto intro!: exI redT.redT-normal)
next
case (redT-acquire x n ln)
thus ?thesis using ta-hb-consistent-convert-RA[of E t ln]
by(auto intro!: exI redT.redT-acquire)
qed
hence ?P (Eps ?P) by(rule someI-ex)
hence red: s -?t>?ta→ ?s'
and hb: ta-hb-consistent P E (llist-of (map (Pair ?t) {?ta}o))
by(simp-all add: split-beta)
moreover
from ta-hb-consistent-into-non-speculative[OF hb]
have non-speculative P (w-values P (λ-. {})) (map snd E) (llist-of {?ta}o) by(simp add: o-def)
with Runs red have hb-completion ?s' (E @ map (Pair ?t) {?ta}o) by(rule hb-completion-shift1)
ultimately have ?Step using True

```

```

unfolding complete-hb-def by(fastforce simp del: split-paired-Ex simp add: split-def)
thus ?thesis ..
qed
qed

lemma complete-hb-ta-hb-consistent:
assumes hb-completion s E
and ta-hb-consistent-convert-RA: ⋀E t ln. ta-hb-consistent P E (llist-of (map (Pair t) (convert-RA
ln)))
shows ta-hb-consistent P E (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (complete-hb s
E)))
(is ta-hb-consistent - - (?obs (complete-hb s E)))
proof -
define obs a where obs = ?obs (complete-hb s E) and a = complete-hb s E
with ⟨hb-completion s E⟩ have ∃s. hb-completion s E ∧ obs = ?obs (complete-hb s E) ∧ a =
complete-hb s E by blast
moreover have wf (inv-image {(m, n). m < n} (llength o ltakeWhile (λ(t, ta). {ta}o = [])))
(is wf ?R) by(rule wf-inv-image)(rule wellorder-class.wf)
ultimately show ta-hb-consistent P E obs
proof(coinduct E obs a rule: ta-hb-consistent-coinduct-append-wf)
case (ta-hb-consistent E obs a)
then obtain s where hb-c: hb-completion s E
and obs: obs = lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (complete-hb s E))
and a: a = complete-hb s E
by blast
let ?P = λ((t, ta), s'). s → ta → s' ∧ ta-hb-consistent P E (llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o))
show ?case
proof(cases ∃t ta s'. s → ta → s')
case False
with obs have ?LNil by(simp add: complete-hb-def)
thus ?thesis ..
next
case True
let ?t = fst (fst (Eps ?P)) and ?ta = snd (fst (fst (Eps ?P))) and ?s' = snd (Eps ?P)
from True obtain t ta s' where red: s → ta → s' by blast
hence ∃x. ?P x
proof(cases)
case (redT-normal x x' m')
from hb-completionD[OF hb-c - - ⟨thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋, t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ → ta → ⟨x', m'⟩⟩
actions-ok s t ta], of []
obtain ta' x'' m'' where t ⊢ ⟨x, shr s⟩ → ta' → ⟨x'', m''⟩
and actions-ok s t ta' ta-hb-consistent P E (llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta'}o))
by fastforce
moreover obtain ws' where redT-updWs t (wset s) {ta'}o ws' by (metis redT-updWs-total)
ultimately show ?thesis using ⟨thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋,
by(cases ta')(auto intro!: exI redT.redT-normal)
next
case (redT-acquire x n ln)
thus ?thesis using ta-hb-consistent-convert-RA[of E t ln]
by(auto intro!: exI redT.redT-acquire)
qed
hence ?P (Eps ?P) by(rule someI-ex)
hence red': s → ta → ?s'
and hb: ta-hb-consistent P E (llist-of (map (Pair ?t) {?ta}o))

```

```

by(simp-all add: split-beta)
moreover
from ta-hb-consistent-into-non-speculative[OF hb]
have non-speculative P (w-values P (λ_. {}) (map snd E)) (llist-of {?ta}o) by(simp add: o-def)
with hb-c red' have hb-c': hb-completion ?s' (E @ map (Pair ?t) {?ta}o)
  by(rule hb-completion-shift1)
show ?thesis
proof(cases lnull obs)
  case True thus ?thesis unfolding lnull-def by simp
next
  case False
  have eq: (∀ t ta s'. ⊢ s -t⇒ ta → s') = False using True by auto
  { assume {?ta}o = []
    moreover from obs False
    have lfinite (ltakeWhile (λ(t, ta). {ta}o = [])) (complete-hb s E)
      unfolding lfinite-ltakeWhile by(fastforce simp add: split-def lconcat-eq-LNil)
    ultimately have (complete-hb ?s' (E @ map (Pair ?t) {?ta}o), a) ∈ ?R
      using red unfolding a complete-hb-def
      apply(subst (2) unfold-llist.code)
      apply(subst (asm) unfold-llist.code)
      apply(auto simp add: split-beta simp del: split-paired-Ex split-paired-All split: if-split-asm)
      apply(auto simp add: lfinite-eq-range-llist-of)
      done }
    hence ?lappend using red hb hb-c' unfolding obs complete-hb-def
      apply(subst unfold-llist.code)
      apply(simp add: split-beta eq del: split-paired-Ex split-paired-All split del: if-split)
      apply(intro exI conjI impI refl disjI1 | rule refl | assumption | simp-all add: llist-of-eq-LNil-conv) +
      done
    thus ?thesis ..
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma hb-completion-Runs:
assumes hb-completion s E
and ∀E t ln. ta-hb-consistent P E (llist-of (map (Pair t) (convert-RA ln)))
shows ∃ ttas. mthr.Runs s ttas ∧ ta-hb-consistent P E (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o))) ttas))
using complete-hb-in-Runs[OF assms] complete-hb-ta-hb-consistent[OF assms]
by blast

end
end

```

8.10 Locales for heap operations with set of allocated addresses

```

theory JMM-Heap
imports
  ./Common/WellForm
  SC-Completion
  HB-Completion

```

```

begin

definition w-addrs :: ('addr × addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val set) ⇒ 'addr set
where w-addrs vs = {a. ∃ adal. Addr a ∈ vs adal}

lemma w-addrs-empty [simp]: w-addrs (λ-. {}) = {}
by(simp add: w-addrs-def)

locale allocated-heap-base = heap-base +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr → htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
  fixes allocated :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr set

locale allocated-heap =
  allocated-heap-base +
  heap +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr → htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
  and allocated :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr set
  and P :: 'm prog

assumes allocated-empty: allocated empty-heap = {}
and allocate-allocatedD:
  (h', a) ∈ allocate h hT ⇒ allocated h' = insert a (allocated h) ∧ a ∉ allocated h
and heap-write-allocated-same:
  heap-write h a al v h' ⇒ allocated h' = allocated h
begin

lemma allocate-allocated-mono: (h', a) ∈ allocate h C ⇒ allocated h ⊆ allocated h'
by(simp-all add: allocate-allocatedD)

lemma
  shows start-addrs-allocated: allocated start-heap = set start-addrs
  and distinct-start-addrs': distinct start-addrs
proof -
  { fix h ads b and xs :: cname list
    let ?start-addrs h ads b xs = fst (snd (foldl create-initial-object (h, ads, b) xs))
    let ?start-heap h ads b xs = fst (foldl create-initial-object (h, ads, b) xs)
    assume allocated h = set ads
    hence allocated (?start-heap h ads b xs) = set (?start-addrs h ads b xs) ∧
      (distinct ads → distinct (?start-addrs h ads b xs))
    (is ?concl xs h ads b)
  }

```

```

proof(induct xs arbitrary: h ads b)
  case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons x xs)
  note ads = <allocated h = set ads>
  show ?case
  proof(cases b ∧ allocate h (Class-type x) ≠ {})
    case False thus ?thesis using ads
      by(simp add: create-initial-object-simps zip-append1)
  next
    case [simp]: True
    then obtain h' a'
      where h'a': (SOME ha. ha ∈ allocate h (Class-type x)) = (h', a')
      and new-obj: (h', a') ∈ allocate h (Class-type x)
      by(cases (SOME ha. ha ∈ allocate h (Class-type x)))(auto simp del: True dest: allocate-Eps)

      from new-obj have allocated h' = insert a' (allocated h) a' ∉ allocated h
        by(auto dest: allocate-allocatedD)
      with ads have allocated h' = set (ads @ [a']) by auto
      hence ?concl xs h' (ads @ [a']) True by(rule Cons)
      moreover have a' ∉ set ads using <a' ∉ allocated h> ads by blast
      ultimately show ?thesis by(simp add: create-initial-object-simps new-obj h'a')
    qed
  qed }
from this[of empty-heap [] True initialization-list]
show allocated start-heap = set start-addrs
  and distinct-start-addrs: distinct start-addrs
  unfolding start-heap-def start-addrs-def start-heap-data-def
  by(auto simp add: allocated-empty)
qed

lemma w-addrs-start-heap-obs: w-addrs (w-values P vs (map NormalAction start-heap-obs)) ⊆ w-addrs
vs
proof -
  { fix xs
    let ?NewObj = λa C. NewHeapElem a (Class-type C) :: ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event
    let ?start-heap-obs xs = map (λ(C, a). ?NewObj a C) xs
    have w-addrs (w-values P vs (map NormalAction (?start-heap-obs xs))) ⊆ w-addrs vs
      (is ?concl xs)
    proof(induct xs arbitrary: vs)
      case Nil thus ?case by simp
    next
      case (Cons x xs)
      have w-addrs (w-values P vs (map NormalAction (map (λ(C, a). ?NewObj a C) (x # xs)))) =
        w-addrs (w-values P (w-value P vs (NormalAction (?NewObj (snd x) (fst x)))) (map NormalAction (map (λ(C, a). ?NewObj a C) xs)))
        by(simp add: split-beta)
      also have ... ⊆ w-addrs (w-value P vs (NormalAction (?NewObj (snd x) (fst x)))) by(rule Cons)
      also have ... ⊆ w-addrs vs
        by(auto simp add: w-addrs-def default-val-not-Addr Addr-not-default-val)
      finally show ?case .
    qed }
  thus ?thesis by(simp add: start-heap-obs-def)
qed

```

```

end

context heap-base begin

lemma addr-loc-default-conf:
   $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } CTn \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } C$ 
   $\implies P, h \vdash \text{addr-loc-default } P \text{ } CTn \text{ (CField } C \text{ } F) : \leq T$ 
apply(cases CTn)
  apply simp
apply(frule has-field-decl-above)
apply simp
done

definition vs-conf :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr  $\times$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val set)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where vs-conf P h vs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall ad al v. v \in vs (ad, al) \longrightarrow (\exists T. P, h \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P, h \vdash v : \leq T)$ )

lemma vs-confI:
   $(\bigwedge ad al v. v \in vs (ad, al) \implies \exists T. P, h \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P, h \vdash v : \leq T) \implies vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } h \text{ } vs$ 
unfolding vs-conf-def by blast

lemma vs-confD:
   $\llbracket vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } h \text{ } vs; v \in vs (ad, al) \rrbracket \implies \exists T. P, h \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P, h \vdash v : \leq T$ 
unfolding vs-conf-def by blast

lemma vs-conf-insert-iff:
   $vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } h \text{ } (vs((ad, al) := insert v (vs (ad, al))))$ 
   $\longleftrightarrow vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } h \text{ } vs \wedge (\exists T. P, h \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P, h \vdash v : \leq T)$ 
by(auto 4 3 elim: vs-confD intro: vs-confI split: if-split-asm)

end

context heap begin

lemma vs-conf-hext:  $\llbracket vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } h \text{ } vs; h \trianglelefteq h' \rrbracket \implies vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } h' \text{ } vs$ 
by(blast intro!: vs-confI intro: conf-hext addr-loc-type-hext-mono dest: vs-confD)

lemma vs-conf-allocate:
   $\llbracket vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } h \text{ } vs; (h', a) \in allocate h \text{ } hT; is\text{-htype } P \text{ } hT \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } h' \text{ } (w\text{-value } P \text{ } vs \text{ (NormalAction (NewHeapElem } a \text{ } hT)))$ 
apply(drule vs-conf-hext)
  apply(erule hext-allocate)
apply(auto intro!: vs-confI simp add: addr-locs-def split: if-split-asm htype.split-asm)
apply(auto 3 3 intro: addr-loc-type.intros defval-conf dest: allocate-SomeD elim: has-field-is-class vs-confD)
apply(rule exI conjI addr-loc-type.intros|drule allocate-SomeD|erule has-field-is-class|simp)+
done

end

  heap-read-typeable must not be defined in heap-conf-base (where it should be) because this would lead to duplicate definitions of heap-read-typeable in contexts where heap-conf-base is imported twice with different parameters, e.g., P and J2JVM P in J-JVM-heap-conf-read.

context heap-base begin

```

```

definition heap-read-typeable :: ('heap ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'm prog ⇒ bool
where heap-read-typeable hconf P ←→ (forall h ad al v T. hconf h → P,h ⊢ ad@al : T → P,h ⊢ v :≤ T → heap-read h ad al v)

lemma heap-read-typeableI:
  (forall h ad al v T. [[ P,h ⊢ ad@al : T; P,h ⊢ v :≤ T; hconf h ]] → heap-read h ad al v) →
  heap-read-typeable hconf P
unfolding heap-read-typeable-def by blast

lemma heap-read-typeableD:
  [[ heap-read-typeable hconf P; P,h ⊢ ad@al : T; P,h ⊢ v :≤ T; hconf h ]] → heap-read h ad al v
unfolding heap-read-typeable-def by blast

end

context heap-base begin

definition heap-read-typed :: 'm prog ⇒ 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool
where heap-read-typed P h ad al v ←→ heap-read h ad al v ∧ (forall T. P,h ⊢ ad@al : T → P,h ⊢ v :≤ T)

lemma heap-read-typedI:
  [[ heap-read h ad al v; ∀ T. P,h ⊢ ad@al : T → P,h ⊢ v :≤ T ]] → heap-read-typed P h ad al v
unfolding heap-read-typed-def by blast

lemma heap-read-typed-into-heap-read:
  heap-read-typed P h ad al v → heap-read h ad al v
unfolding heap-read-typed-def by blast

lemma heap-read-typed-typed:
  [[ heap-read-typed P h ad al v; P,h ⊢ ad@al : T ]] → P,h ⊢ v :≤ T
unfolding heap-read-typed-def by blast

end

context heap-conf begin

lemma heap-conf-read-heap-read-typed:
  heap-conf-read addr2thread-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr (heap-read-typed P)
  heap-write hconf P
proof
  fix h a al v T
  assume heap-read-typed P h a al v P,h ⊢ a@al : T
  thus P,h ⊢ v :≤ T by(rule heap-read-typed-typed)
qed

end

context heap begin

lemma start-addrs-dom-w-values:
  assumes wf: wf-syscls P
  and a: a ∈ set start-addrs
  and adal: P,start-heap ⊢ a@al : T

```

```

shows w-values P ( $\lambda\_. \{\}$ ) (map NormalAction start-heap-obs) (a, al)  $\neq \{\}$ 
proof -
  from a obtain CTn where CTn: NewHeapElem a CTn  $\in$  set start-heap-obs
    unfolding in-set-start-addrs-conv-NewHeapElem ..
  then obtain obs obs' where obs: start-heap-obs = obs @ NewHeapElem a CTn # obs' by(auto dest:
  split-list)
    have w-value P (w-values P ( $\lambda\_. \{\}$ ) (map NormalAction obs)) (NormalAction (NewHeapElem a
  CTn)) (a, al)  $\neq \{\}$ 
    proof(cases CTn)
      case [simp]: (Class-type C)
        with wf CTn have typeof-addr start-heap a = [Class-type C]
          by(auto intro: NewHeapElem-start-heap-obsD)
        with adal show ?thesis by cases auto
    next
      case [simp]: (Array-type T n)
        with wf CTn have typeof-addr start-heap a = [Array-type T n]
          by(auto dest: NewHeapElem-start-heap-obsD)
        with adal show ?thesis by cases(auto dest: has-field-decl-above)
    qed
    moreover have w-value P (w-values P ( $\lambda\_. \{\}$ ) (map NormalAction obs)) (NormalAction (NewHeapElem
  a CTn :: ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event))
      (a, al)  $\subseteq$  w-values P ( $\lambda\_. \{\}$ ) (map NormalAction start-heap-obs) (a, al)
      by(simp add: obs del: w-value.simps)(rule w-values-mono)
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
end
end

```

8.11 Combination of locales for heap operations and interleaving

```

theory JMM-Framework
imports
  JMM-Heap
  ..../Framework/FWInitFinLift
  ..../Common/WellForm
begin

lemma enat-plus-eq-enat-conv: — Move to Extended_Nat
  enat m + n = enat k  $\longleftrightarrow$  k  $\geq$  m  $\wedge$  n = enat (k - m)
  by(cases n) auto

declare convert-new-thread-action-id [simp]

context heap begin

lemma init-fin-lift-state-start-state:
  init-fin-lift-state s (start-state f P C M vs) = start-state ( $\lambda C M Ts T meth$  vs. (s, f C M Ts T meth
  vs)) P C M vs
  by(simp add: start-state-def init-fin-lift-state-def split-beta fun-eq-iff)

```

```

lemma non-speculative-start-heap-obs:
  non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)))
apply(rule non-speculative-nthI)
using start-heap-obs-not-Read
by(clar simp simp add: lift-start-obs-def lnth-LCons o-def eSuc-enat[symmetric] in-set-conv-nth split:
  nat.split-asm)

lemma ta-seq-consist-start-heap-obs:
  ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (llist-of (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)))
using start-heap-obs-not-Read
by(auto intro: ta-seq-consist-nthI simp add: lift-start-obs-def o-def lnth-LCons in-set-conv-nth split:
  nat.split-asm)

end

context allocated-heap begin

lemma w-addrs-lift-start-heap-obs:
  w-addrs (w-values P vs (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs))) ⊆ w-addrs vs
by(simp add: lift-start-obs-def o-def w-addrs-start-heap-obs)

end

context heap begin

lemma w-values-start-heap-obs-typeable:
  assumes wf: wf-syscls P
  and mrws: v ∈ w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)) (ad, al)
  shows ∃ T. P,start-heap ⊢ ad@al : T ∧ P,start-heap ⊢ v :≤ T
proof -
  from in-w-valuesD[OF mrws]
  obtain obs' wa obs"
    where eq: map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs) = obs' @ wa # obs"
    and is-write-action wa
    and adal: (ad, al) ∈ action-loc-aux P wa
    and vwa: value-written-aux P wa al = v
    by blast
  from ⟨is-write-action wa⟩ show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case (WriteMem ad' al' v')
    with vwa adal eq have WriteMem ad al v ∈ set start-heap-obs
      by(auto simp add: map-eq-append-conv Cons-eq-append-conv lift-start-obs-def)
      thus ?thesis by(rule start-heap-write-typeable)
  next
    case (NewHeapElem ad' hT)
    with vwa adal eq have NewHeapElem ad hT ∈ set start-heap-obs
      by(auto simp add: map-eq-append-conv Cons-eq-append-conv lift-start-obs-def)
      hence typeof-addr start-heap ad = [hT]
        by(rule NewHeapElem-start-heap-obsD[OF wf])
      thus ?thesis using adal vwa NewHeapElem
        apply(cases hT)
        apply(auto intro!: addr-loc-type.intros dest: has-field-decl-above)
        apply(frule has-field-decl-above)
        apply(auto intro!: addr-loc-type.intros dest: has-field-decl-above)

```

```

done
qed
qed

lemma start-state-vs-conf:
  wf-syscls P ==> vs-conf P start-heap (w-values P (λ-, { })) (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs))
  by(rule vs-confI)(rule w-values-start-heap-obs-typeable)

end

```

8.11.1 JMM traces for Ninja semantics

context multithreaded-base begin

```

inductive-set E :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state => ('t × 'o) llist set
  for σ :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state
  where
    mthr.Runs σ E'
    ==> lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E') ∈ E σ

```

lemma actions- \mathcal{E} E-aux:

```

fixes σ E'
defines E == lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E')
assumes mthr: mthr.Runs σ E'
and a: enat a < llength E
obtains m n t ta
where lnth E a = (t, {ta}o ! n)
and n < length {ta}o and enat m < llength E'
and a = (∑ i < m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o) + n
and lnth E' m = (t, ta)
proof -
  from lnth-lconcat-conv[OF a[unfolded E-def], folded E-def]
  obtain m n
    where lnth E a = lnth (lnth (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E') m) n
    and enat n < llength (lnth (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E') m)
    and enat m < llength (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E')
    and enat a = (∑ i < m. llength (lnth (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E') i)) + enat n
    by blast
  moreover
  obtain t ta where lnth E' m = (t, ta) by(cases lnth E' m)
  ultimately have E-a: lnth E a = (t, {ta}o ! n)
    and n: n < length {ta}o
    and m: enat m < llength E'
    and a: enat a = (∑ i < m. llength (lnth (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E') i)) + enat n
    by(simp-all)
  note a
  also have (∑ i < m. llength (lnth (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E') i)) =
    sum (enat o (λi. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o)) {.. < m}
    using m by(simp add: less-trans[where y=enat m] split-beta)
  also have ... = enat (∑ i < m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o)
    by(subst sum-comp-morphism)(simp-all add: zero-enat-def)
  finally have a: a = (∑ i < m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o) + n by simp

```

with E - a n m show thesis using $\langle \text{lnth } E' m = (t, ta) \rangle$ by(rule that)
qed

lemma $\text{actions-}\mathcal{E}E$:

assumes $E: E \in \mathcal{E} \sigma$
and $a: \text{enat } a < \text{llength } E$
obtains $E' m n t ta$
where $E = \text{lconcat} (\text{lmap} (\lambda(t, ta). \text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o)) E')$
and $\text{mthr.Runs } \sigma E'$
and $\text{lnth } E a = (t, \{ta\}_o ! n)$
and $n < \text{length } \{ta\}_o$ **and** $\text{enat } m < \text{llength } E'$
and $a = (\sum_{i < m} \text{length } \{ \text{snd} (\text{lnth } E' i) \}_o) + n$
and $\text{lnth } E' m = (t, ta)$

proof –

from E obtain $E' ws$
where $E: E = \text{lconcat} (\text{lmap} (\lambda(t, ta). \text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o)) E')$
and $\text{mthr.Runs } \sigma E'$ by(rule $\mathcal{E}.\text{cases}$) blast
from $\langle \text{mthr.Runs } \sigma E' \rangle a[\text{unfolded } E]$
show ?thesis
by(rule $\text{actions-}\mathcal{E}E\text{-aux}$)(fold E , rule that[$\text{OF } E \langle \text{mthr.Runs } \sigma E' \rangle$])

qed

end

context $\tau\text{multithreaded-wf}$ **begin**

Alternative characterisation for \mathcal{E}

lemma $\mathcal{E}\text{-conv-Runs}$:

$\mathcal{E} \sigma = \text{lconcat} \cdot \text{lmap} (\lambda(t, ta). \text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o)) \cdot \text{llist-of-tllist} \cdot \{E. \text{mthr.}\tau\text{Runs } \sigma E\}$
(**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)

proof(intro equalityI subsetI)

fix E

assume $E \in ?rhs$

then obtain E' where $E: E = \text{lconcat} (\text{lmap} (\lambda(t, ta). \text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o)) (\text{llist-of-tllist } E'))$

and $\tau\text{Runs}: \text{mthr.}\tau\text{Runs } \sigma E'$ by(blast)

obtain E'' where $E': E' = \text{tmap} (\lambda(tls, s', tl, s''). tl) (\text{case-sum} (\lambda(tls, s'). \lfloor s' \rfloor) \text{Map.empty}) E''$

and $\tau\text{Runs}': \text{mthr.}\tau\text{Runs-table2 } \sigma E''$

using τRuns by(rule $\text{mthr.}\tau\text{Runs-into-}\tau\text{Runs-table2}$)

have $\text{mthr.Runs } \sigma (\text{lconcat} (\text{lappend} (\text{lmap} (\lambda(tls, s, tl, s'). tl) (\text{lfilter} (\lambda(tls, s, tl, s'). \text{lfilter} (\lambda(xs. \neg \text{lnull } xs) (\text{lmap} (\lambda(t, ta). \text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o)) (\text{llist-of-tllist } E'))))) (\text{lfilter} (\lambda(tls, s, tl, s'). \text{lfilter} (\lambda(xs. \neg \text{lnull } xs) (\text{lmap} (\lambda(t, ta). \text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o)) (\text{llist-of-tllist } E')))))))))$

$LNil))$

(**is** $\text{mthr.Runs} - ?E''$)

using $\tau\text{Runs}'$ by(rule $\text{mthr.}\tau\text{Runs-table2-into-Runs}$)

moreover

let $?tail = \lambda E''. \text{case terminal } E'' \text{ of Inl } (tls, s') \Rightarrow \text{llist-of } tls \mid \text{Inr } tls \Rightarrow tls$

{

have $E = \text{lconcat} (\text{lfilter} (\lambda xs. \neg \text{lnull } xs) (\text{lmap} (\lambda(t, ta). \text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o)) (\text{llist-of-tllist } E'))))$

unfolding E by(simp add: $\text{lconcat-lfilter-neq-LNil}$)

also have ... = $\text{lconcat} (\text{lmap} (\lambda(t, ta). \text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o)) (\text{lmap} (\lambda(tls, s', tta, s''). tta) (\text{lfilter} (\lambda(tls, s', (t, ta), s''). \{ta\}_o \neq [])) (\text{llist-of-tllist } E'))))$

by(simp add: $E' \text{lfilter-lmap llist.map-comp o-def split-def}$)

also

```

from <mthr.τRuns-table2 σ E''>
have lmap (λ(tls, s', tta, s''). tta) (lfilter (λ(tls, s', (t, ta), s''). {ta}o ≠ [])) (llist-of-tllist E'') =
    lfilter (λ(t, ta). {ta}o ≠ []) (lconcat (lappend (lmap (λ(tls, s, tl, s'). llist-of (tls @ [tl])) (llist-of-tllist E'')) (LCons (?tail E'' LNil)))))
    (is ?lhs σ E'' = ?rhs σ E'')
proof(coinduction arbitrary: σ E'' rule: llist.coinduct-strong)
case (Eq-llist σ E'')
have ?lnull
by(cases lfinite (llist-of-tllist E''))(fastforce split: sum.split-asm simp add: split-beta lset-lconcat-lfinite
lappend-inf mthr.silent-move2-def dest: mthr.τRuns-table2-silentsD[OF Eq-llist] mthr.τRuns-table2-terminal-silent
Eq-llist] mthr.τRuns-table2-terminal-inf-stepD[OF Eq-llist] mτmove-silentD inf-step-silentD silent-moves2-silentD
split: sum.split-asm)++
moreover
have ?LCons
proof(intro impI conjI)
assume lhs': ¬ lnull (lmap (λ(tls, s', tta, s''). tta) (lfilter (λ(tls, s', (t, ta), s''). {ta}o ≠ [])) (llist-of-tllist E'')))
    (is ¬ lnull ?lhs')
and ¬ lnull (lfilter (λ(t, ta). {ta}o ≠ [])) (lconcat (lappend (lmap (λ(tls, s, tl, s'). llist-of (tls @ [tl])) (llist-of-tllist E'')) (LCons (case terminal E'' of Inl (tls, s') ⇒ llist-of tls | Inr tls ⇒ tls) LNil)))))
    (is ¬ lnull ?rhs')

note τRuns' = <mthr.τRuns-table2 σ E''>
from lhs' obtain tl tls' where ?lhs σ E'' = LCons tl tls'
by(auto simp only: not-lnull-conv)
then obtain tls s' s'' tlsstlss'
where tls': tls' = lmap (λ(tls, s', tta, s''). tta) tlsstlss'
and filter: lfilter (λ(tls, s', (t, ta), s''). obs-a ta ≠ [])) (llist-of-tllist E'') = LCons (tls, s', tl,
s'') tlsstlss'
using lhs' by(fastforce simp add: lmap-eq-LCons-conv)
from lfilter-eq-LConsD[OF filter]
obtain us vs where eq: llist-of-tllist E'' = lappend us (LCons (tls, s', tl, s'') vs)
and fin: lfinite us
and empty: ∀(tls, s', (t, ta), s'') ∈ lset us. obs-a ta = []
and neq-empty: obs-a (snd tl) ≠ []
and tlsstlss': tlsstlss' = lfilter (λ(tls, s', (t, ta), s''). obs-a ta ≠ [])) vs
by(auto simp add: split-beta)
from eq obtain E''' where E'': E'' = lappendt us E'''
and eq': llist-of-tllist E''' = LCons (tls, s', tl, s'') vs
and terminal: terminal E''' = terminal E''
unfolding llist-of-tllist-eq-lappend-conv by auto
from τRuns' fin E'' obtain σ' where τRuns'': mthr.τRuns-table2 σ' E'''
by(auto dest: mthr.τRuns-table2-lappendtD)
then obtain σ'' E'''' where mthr.τRuns-table2 σ'' E'''' E''' = TCons (tls, s', tl, s'') E'''''
using eq' by cases auto
moreover from τRuns' E'' fin
have ∀(tls, s, tl, s') ∈ lset us. ∀(t, ta) ∈ set tls. ta = ε
by(fastforce dest: mthr.τRuns-table2-silentsD mτmove-silentD simp add: mthr.silent-move2-def)
hence lfilter (λ(t, ta). obs-a ta ≠ [])) (lconcat (lmap (λ(tls, s, tl, s'). llist-of (tls @ [tl])) us)) =
LNil
using empty by(auto simp add: lfilter-empty-conv lset-lconcat-lfinite split-beta)
moreover from τRuns'' eq' have snd ` set tls ⊆ {ε}
by(cases)(fastforce dest: silent-moves2-silentD)+
hence [(t, ta) ← tls . obs-a ta ≠ []] = []

```

```

by(auto simp add: filter-empty-conv split-beta)
ultimately
show lhd ?lhs' = lhd ?rhs'
and ( $\exists \sigma E''. \text{ltl } ?\text{lhs}' = \text{lmap } (\lambda(\text{tls}, s', \text{tta}, s''). \text{tta}) (\text{lfilter } (\lambda(\text{tls}, s', (t, \text{ta}), s''). \{\text{ta}\}_o \neq []))$ 
( $\text{llist-of-tllist } E''$ )  $\wedge$ 
 $\text{ltl } ?\text{rhs}' = \text{lfilter } (\lambda(t, \text{ta}). \{\text{ta}\}_o \neq []) (\text{lconcat } (\text{lappend } (\text{lmap } (\lambda(\text{tls}, s, \text{tl}, s')). \text{llist-of } (\text{tls @} [\text{tl}])) (\text{llist-of-tllist } E'')) (\text{LCons } (\text{case terminal } E'' \text{ of Inl } (\text{tls}, s') \Rightarrow \text{llist-of } \text{tls} \mid \text{Inr } \text{tls} \Rightarrow \text{tls}) \text{ LNil}))$ 
 $\wedge$ 
 $\tau \text{trsys}. \tau \text{Runs-table2 redT m}\tau \text{move } \sigma E'') \vee$ 
 $\text{ltl } ?\text{lhs}' = \text{ltl } ?\text{rhs}'$ 
using lhs' E'' fin tls' tlsstlss' filter eq' neq-empty
by(auto simp add: lmap-lappend-distrib lappend-assoc split-beta filter-empty-conv simp del:
split-paired-Ex)
qed
ultimately show ?case ..
qed
also have lmap ( $\lambda(t, \text{ta}). \text{llist-of } (\text{map } (\text{Pair } t) (\text{obs-a } \text{ta})) \dots = \text{lfilter } (\lambda \text{obs. } \neg \text{lnull obs}) (\text{lmap } (\lambda(t, \text{ta}). \text{llist-of } (\text{map } (\text{Pair } t) (\text{obs-a } \text{ta}))) (\text{lconcat } (\text{lappend } (\text{lmap } (\lambda(\text{tls}, s, \text{tl}, s')). \text{llist-of } (\text{tls @} [\text{tl}])) (\text{llist-of-tllist } E'')) (\text{LCons } (?\text{tail } E'') \text{ LNil})))$ )
unfolding lfilter-lmap by(simp add: o-def split-def llist-of-eq-LNil-conv)
finally have E = lconcat (lmap ( $\lambda(t, \text{ta}). \text{llist-of } (\text{map } (\text{Pair } t) \{\text{ta}\}_o)$ ) ?E'')
by(simp add: lconcat-lfilter-neq-LNil)
ultimately show E ∈ ?lhs by(blast intro: E.intros)
next
fix E
assume E ∈ ?lhs
then obtain E' where E: E = lconcat (lmap ( $\lambda(t, \text{ta}). \text{llist-of } (\text{map } (\text{Pair } t) (\text{obs-a } \text{ta})))$ ) E'
and Runs: mthr.Runs σ E' by(blast elim: E.cases)
from Runs obtain E'' where E': E' = lmap ( $\lambda(s, \text{tl}, s'). \text{tl}$ ) E''
and Runs': mthr.Runs-table σ E'' by(rule mthr.Runs-into-Runs-table)
have mthr.τRuns σ (tmap ( $\lambda(s, \text{tl}, s'). \text{tl}$ ) id (tfilter None ( $\lambda(s, \text{tl}, s'). \neg \text{m}\tau \text{move } s \text{ tl } s'$ )) (tllist-of-llist (Some (llast (LCons σ (lmap ( $\lambda(s, \text{tl}, s'). s'$ ) E'')))) E''))
(is mthr.τRuns - ?E'')
using Runs' by(rule mthr.Runs-table-into-τRuns)
moreover
have ( $\lambda(s, (t, \text{ta}), s'). \text{obs-a } \text{ta} \neq [] = (\lambda(s, (t, \text{ta}), s'). \text{obs-a } \text{ta} \neq [] \wedge \neg \text{m}\tau \text{move } s (t, \text{ta}) s')$ 
by(rule ext)(auto dest: mτmove-silentD)
hence E = lconcat (lmap ( $\lambda(t, \text{ta}). \text{llist-of } (\text{map } (\text{Pair } t) (\text{obs-a } \text{ta}))$ ) (llist-of-tllist ?E''))
unfolding E E'
by(subst (1 2) lconcat-lfilter-neq-LNil[symmetric])(simp add: lfilter-lmap lfilter-lfilter o-def split-def)
ultimately show E ∈ ?rhs by(blast)
qed
end

```

Running threads have been started before

definition Status-no-wait-locks :: ('l,'t,status × 'x) thread-info ⇒ bool

where

Status-no-wait-locks ts ↔
 $(\forall t \text{ status } x \text{ ln. } ts \text{ t} = \lfloor((\text{status}, x), \text{ln})\rfloor \longrightarrow \text{status} \neq \text{Running} \longrightarrow \text{ln} = \text{no-wait-locks})$

lemma Status-no-wait-locks-PreStartD:

$\bigwedge \text{ln. } [\text{Status-no-wait-locks ts; ts t} = \lfloor((\text{PreStart}, x), \text{ln})\rfloor] \implies \text{ln} = \text{no-wait-locks}$

unfolding Status-no-wait-locks-def by blast

```

lemma Status-no-wait-locks-FinishedD:
   $\bigwedge ln. \llbracket Status\text{-no}\text{-wait}\text{-locks} ts; ts t = \lfloor ((Finished, x), ln) \rfloor \rrbracket \implies ln = no\text{-wait}\text{-locks}$ 
  unfolding Status-no-wait-locks-def by blast

lemma Status-no-wait-locksI:
   $(\bigwedge t status x ln. \llbracket ts t = \lfloor ((status, x), ln) \rfloor; status = PreStart \vee status = Finished \rrbracket \implies ln = no\text{-wait}\text{-locks})$ 
   $\implies Status\text{-no}\text{-wait}\text{-locks} ts$ 
  unfolding Status-no-wait-locks-def
  apply clarify
  apply(case-tac status)
  apply auto
  done

context heap-base begin

lemma Status-no-wait-locks-start-state:
  Status-no-wait-locks (thr (init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs)))
  by(clarify simp add: Status-no-wait-locks-def init-fin-lift-state-def start-state-def split-beta)

end

context multithreaded-base begin

lemma init-fin-preserve-Status-no-wait-locks:
  assumes ok: Status-no-wait-locks (thr s)
  and redT: multithreaded-base.redT init-fin-final init-fin (map NormalAction o convert-RA) s tta s'
  shows Status-no-wait-locks (thr s')
  using redT
  proof(cases rule: multithreaded-base.redT.cases[consumes 1, case-names redT-normal redT-acquire])
    case redT-acquire
    with ok show ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: Status-no-wait-locksI dest: Status-no-wait-locks-PreStartD Status-no-wait-locks-FinishedD
      split: if-split-asm)
  next
    case redT-normal
    show ?thesis
    proof(rule Status-no-wait-locksI)
      fix t' status' x' ln'
      assume tst': thr s' t' =  $\lfloor ((status', x'), ln') \rfloor$ 
      and status: status' = PreStart  $\vee$  status' = Finished
      show ln' = no-wait-locks
      proof(cases thr s t')
        case None
        with redT-normal tst' show ?thesis
        by(fastforce elim!: init-fin.cases dest: redT-updTs-new-thread simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff
          split: if-split-asm)
      next
        case (Some sxln)
        obtain status'' x'' ln'' where [simp]: sxln = ((status'', x''), ln'') by(cases sxln) auto
        show ?thesis
        proof(cases fst tta = t')

```

```

case True
  with redT-normal tst' status show ?thesis by(auto simp add: expand-finfun-eq fun-eq-iff)
next
  case False
  with tst' redT-normal Some status have status'' = status' ln'' = ln'
    by(force dest: redT-updTs-Some simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff)+
  with ok Some status show ?thesis
    by(auto dest: Status-no-wait-locks-PreStartD Status-no-wait-locks-FinishedD)
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma init-fin-Running-InitialThreadAction:
  assumes redT: multithreaded-base.redT init-fin-final init-fin (map NormalAction o convert-RA) s tta
  s'
  and not-running: A x ln. thr s t neq |((Running, x), ln)|
  and running: thr s' t = |((Running, x'), ln')|
  shows tta = (t, {InitialThreadAction})
using redT
proof(cases rule: multithreaded-base.redT.cases[consumes 1, case-names redT-normal redT-acquire])
  case redT-acquire
  with running not-running show ?thesis by(auto split: if-split-asm)
next
  case redT-normal
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases thr s t)
    case None
    with redT-normal running not-running show ?thesis
      by(fastforce simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff elim: init-fin.cases dest: redT-updTs-new-thread
      split: if-split-asm)
    next
      case (Some a)
      with redT-normal running not-running show ?thesis
        apply(cases a)
        apply(auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff split: if-split-asm elim: init-fin.cases)
        apply((drule (1) redT-updTs-Some)?, fastforce)+
        done
    qed
  qed

end

context if-multithreaded begin

lemma init-fin-Trsys-preserve-Status-no-wait-locks:
  assumes ok: Status-no-wait-locks (thr s)
  and Trsys: if.mthr.Trsys s ttas s'
  shows Status-no-wait-locks (thr s')
using Trsys ok
by(induct)(blast dest: init-fin-preserve-Status-no-wait-locks)+

lemma init-fin-Trsys-Running-InitialThreadAction:
  assumes redT: if.mthr.Trsys s ttas s'

```

```

and not-running:  $\bigwedge x \ln. \text{thr } s \ t \neq \lfloor ((\text{Running}, x), \ln) \rfloor$ 
and running:  $\text{thr } s' \ t = \lfloor ((\text{Running}, x'), \ln') \rfloor$ 
shows  $(t, \{\text{InitialThreadAction}\}) \in \text{set ttas}$ 
using redT not-running running
proof (induct arbitrary:  $x' \ln'$ )
  case rtrancl3p-refl thus ?case by (fastforce)
next
  case (rtrancl3p-step  $s \ ttas \ s' \ tta \ s''$ ) thus ?case
    by (cases  $\exists x \ln. \text{thr } s' \ t = \lfloor ((\text{Running}, x), \ln) \rfloor$ ) (fastforce dest: init-fin-Running-InitialThreadAction) +
qed

end

locale heap-multithreaded-base =
  heap-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
+
mthr: multithreaded-base final r convert-RA
for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id'
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event) semantics (-  $\vdash$  - - - - -)
and convert-RA :: 'addr released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event list

sublocale heap-multithreaded-base < mthr: if-multithreaded-base final r convert-RA
.

context heap-multithreaded-base begin

abbreviation E-start :: 
  (cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  ty list  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  'md  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  'x)
   $\Rightarrow$  'md prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  status
   $\Rightarrow$  ('thread-id  $\times$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) llist set
where
  E-start f P C M vs status  $\equiv$ 
  lappend (llist-of (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)) ‘
  mthr.if.E (init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs))

end

locale heap-multithreaded =
  heap-multithreaded-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write

```

```

final r convert-RA
+
heap
addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
P
+
mthr: multithreaded final r convert-RA

for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event) semantics (-  $\vdash$  - - - - [50,0,0,50] 80)
and convert-RA :: 'addr released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event list
and P :: 'md prog

sublocale heap-multithreaded < mthr: if-multithreaded final r convert-RA
by(unfold-locales)

sublocale heap-multithreaded < if: jmm-multithreaded
mthr.init-fin-final mthr.init-fin map NormalAction  $\circ$  convert-RA P
.

context heap-multithreaded begin

lemma thread-start-actions-ok-init-fin-RedT:
assumes Red: mthr.if.RedT (init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs)) ttas s'
(is mthr.if.RedT ?start-state - -)
shows thread-start-actions-ok (llist-of (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs @ concat (map (λ(t, ta).
map (Pair t) {ta} o) ttas)))
(is thread-start-actions-ok (llist-of (?obs-prefix @ ?E)))
proof(rule thread-start-actions-okI)
let ?E = llist-of (?obs-prefix @ ?E')
fix a
assume a: a  $\in$  actions ?E
and new:  $\neg$  is-new-action (action-obs ?E a)
show  $\exists i \leq a$ . action-obs ?E i = InitialThreadAction  $\wedge$  action-tid ?E i = action-tid ?E a
proof(cases action-tid ?E a = start-tid)
case True thus ?thesis
by(auto simp add: lift-start-obs-def action-tid-def action-obs-def)
next
case False
let ?a = a - length ?obs-prefix

from False have a-len: a  $\geq$  length ?obs-prefix
by(rule contrapos-np)(auto simp add: lift-start-obs-def action-tid-def lnth-LCons nth-append split:

```

```

nat.split)
  hence [simp]: action-tid ?E a = action-tid (llist-of ?E') ?a action-obs ?E a = action-obs (llist-of
?E') ?a
    by(simp-all add: action-tid-def nth-append action-obs-def)

  from False have not-running: ∀x ln. thr ?start-state (action-tid (llist-of ?E') ?a) ≠ |((Running,
x), ln)|
    by(auto simp add: start-state-def split-beta init-fin-lift-state-def split: if-split-asm)

  from a a-len have ?a < length ?E' by(simp add: actions-def)
  from nth-concat-conv[OF this]
  obtain m n where E'-a: ?E' ! ?a = (λ(t, ta). (t, {ta}o ! n)) (ttas ! m)
    and n: n < length {snd (ttas ! m)}o
    and m: m < length ttas
    and a-conv: ?a = (∑ i < m. length (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta}o) ttas ! i)) + n
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-def)

  from Red obtain s'' s''' where Red1: mthr.if.RedT ?start-state (take m ttas) s''
    and red: mthr.if.redT s'' (ttas ! m) s'''
    and Red2: mthr.if.RedT s''' (drop (Suc m) ttas) s'
    unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def
    by(subst (asm) (4) id-take-nth-drop[OF m])(blast elim: rtranc13p-appendE rtranc13p-converseE)

  from E'-a m n have [simp]: action-tid (llist-of ?E') ?a = fst (ttas ! m)
    by(simp add: action-tid-def split-def)

  from red obtain status x ln where tst: thr s'' (fst (ttas ! m)) = |((status, x), ln)| by cases auto
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases status = PreStart ∨ status = Finished)
    case True
    from Red1 have Status-no-wait-locks (thr s'')
      unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def
      by(rule mthr.init-fin-Trsys-preserve-Status-no-wait-locks[OF Status-no-wait-locks-start-state])
    with True tst have ln = no-wait-locks
      by(auto dest: Status-no-wait-locks-PreStartD Status-no-wait-locks-FinishedD)
    with red tst True have {snd (ttas ! m)}o = [InitialThreadAction] by(cases) auto
    hence action-obs ?E a = InitialThreadAction using a-conv n a-len E'-a
      by(simp add: action-obs-def nth-append split-beta)
    thus ?thesis by(auto)
  next
    case False
    hence status = Running by(cases status) auto
    with tst mthr.init-fin-Trsys-Running-InitialThreadAction[OF Red1[unfolded mthr.if.RedT-def]
not-running]
    have (fst (ttas ! m), {InitialThreadAction}) ∈ set (take m ttas)
      using E'-a by(auto simp add: action-tid-def split-beta)
    then obtain i where i: i < m
      and nth-i: ttas ! i = (fst (ttas ! m), {InitialThreadAction})
      unfolding in-set-conv-nth by auto

    let ?i' = length (concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta}o) (take i ttas)))
    let ?i = length ?obs-prefix + ?i'

    from i m nth-i

```

```

have ?i' < length (concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta} o) (take m ttas)))
  apply(simp add: length-concat o-def split-beta)
  apply(subst (6) id-take-nth-drop[where i=i])
  apply(simp-all add: take-map[symmetric] min-def)
  done
also from m have ... ≤ ?a unfolding a-conv
  by(simp add: length-concat sum-list-sum-nth min-def split-def atLeast0LessThan)
finally have ?i < a using a-len by simp
moreover
from i m nth-i have ?i' < length ?E'
  apply(simp add: length-concat o-def split-def)
  apply(subst (7) id-take-nth-drop[where i=i])
  apply(simp-all add: take-map[symmetric])
  done
from nth-i i E'-a a-conv m
have lnth ?E ?i = (fst (ttas ! m), InitialThreadAction)
  by(simp add: lift-start-obs-def nth-append length-concat o-def split-def)(rule nth-concat-eqI[where
k=0 and i=i], simp-all add: take-map o-def split-def)
ultimately show ?thesis using E'-a
  by(cases ttas ! m)(auto simp add: action-obs-def action-tid-def nth-append intro!: exI[where
x=?i])
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma thread-start-actions-ok-init-fin:
assumes E: E ∈ mthr.if.E (init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs))
shows thread-start-actions-ok (lappend (llist-of (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)) E)
(is thread-start-actions-ok ?E)
proof(rule thread-start-actions-okI)
let ?start-heap-obs = lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs
let ?start-state = init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs)
fix a
assume a: a ∈ actions ?E
  and a-new: ¬ is-new-action (action-obs ?E a)
show ∃ i. i ≤ a ∧ action-obs ?E i = InitialThreadAction ∧ action-tid ?E i = action-tid ?E a
proof(cases action-tid ?E a = start-tid)
  case True thus ?thesis
    by(auto simp add: lift-start-obs-def action-tid-def action-obs-def)
next
  case False
    let ?a = a - length ?start-heap-obs
    from False have a ≥ length ?start-heap-obs
      by(rule contrapos-np)(auto simp add: lift-start-obs-def action-tid-def lnth-LCons lnth-lappend1
split: nat.split)
      hence [simp]: action-tid ?E a = action-tid E ?a action-obs ?E a = action-obs E ?a
        by(simp-all add: action-tid-def lnth-lappend2 action-obs-def)
    from False have not-running: ∀x ln. thr ?start-state (action-tid E ?a) ≠ ⌊((Running, x), ln)⌋
      by(auto simp add: start-state-def split-beta init-fin-lift-state-def split: if-split-asm)

```

```

from E obtain E' where E': E = lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E')
  and τRuns: mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state E' by(rule mthr.if.ε.cases)
from a E' <a ≥ length ?start-heap-obs>
have enat-a: enat ?a < llength (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E'))
  by(cases llength (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E')))(auto simp add:
actions-def)
with τRuns obtain m n t ta
  where a-obs: lnth (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E')) (a - length
?start-heap-obs) = (t, {ta}o ! n)
  and n: n < length {ta}o
  and m: enat m < llength E'
  and a-conv: ?a = (∑ i<m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o) + n
  and E'-m: lnth E' m = (t, ta)
  by(rule mthr.if.actions-εE-aux)
from a-obs have [simp]: action-tid E ?a = t action-obs E ?a = {ta}o ! n
  by(simp-all add: E' action-tid-def action-obs-def)

let ?E' = ldropn (Suc m) E'
let ?m-E' = ltake (enat m) E'
have E'-unfold: E' = lappend (ltake (enat m) E') (LCons (lnth E' m) ?E')
  unfolding ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn[OF m] by simp
hence mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state (lappend ?m-E' (LCons (lnth E' m) ?E'))
  using τRuns by simp
then obtain σ' where σ-σ': mthr.if.mthr.Trsys ?start-state (list-of ?m-E') σ'
  and τRuns': mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ' (LCons (lnth E' m) ?E')
  by(rule mthr.if.mthr.Runs-lappendE) simp
from τRuns' obtain σ''' where red-a: mthr.if.redT σ' (t, ta) σ'''
  and τRuns'': mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ''' ?E'
  unfolding E'-m by cases
from red-a obtain status x ln where tst: thr σ' t = ⌊((status, x), ln)⌋ by cases auto
show ?thesis
proof(cases status = PreStart ∨ status = Finished)
  case True
  have Status-no-wait-locks (thr σ')
    by(rule mthr.init-fin-Trsys-preserve-Status-no-wait-locks[OF - σ-σ'])(rule Status-no-wait-locks-start-state)
  with True tst have ln = no-wait-locks
    by(auto dest: Status-no-wait-locks-PreStartD Status-no-wait-locks-FinishedD)
  with red-a tst True have {ta}o = [InitialThreadAction] by(cases) auto
  hence action-obs E ?a = InitialThreadAction using a-obs n unfolding E'
    by(simp add: action-obs-def)
  thus ?thesis by(auto)
next
  case False
  hence status = Running by(cases status) auto
  with tst mthr.init-fin-Trsys-Running-InitialThreadAction[OF σ-σ' not-running]
  have (action-tid E ?a, {InitialThreadAction}) ∈ set (list-of (ltake (enat m) E'))
    using a-obs E' by(auto simp add: action-tid-def)
  then obtain i where i < m enat i < llength E'
    and nth-i: lnth E' i = (action-tid E ?a, {InitialThreadAction})
    unfolding in-set-conv-nth
    by(cases llength E')(auto simp add: length-list-of-conv-the-enat lnth-ltake)

let ?i' = ∑ i<i. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o

```

```

let ?i = length ?start-heap-obs + ?i'

from ⟨i < m⟩ have (∑ i < m. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) = ?i' + (∑ i=i..<m. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o)
  unfolding atLeast0LessThan[symmetric] by(subst sum.atLeastLessThan-concat) simp-all
  hence ?i' ≤ ?a unfolding a-conv by simp
  hence ?i ≤ a using ⟨a ≥ length ?start-heap-obs⟩ by arith

from ⟨?i' ≤ ?a⟩ have enat ?i' < llenth E using enat-a E'
  by(simp add: le-less-trans[where y=enat ?a])
from lnth-lconcat-conv[OF this[unfolded E], folded E]
obtain k l
  where nth-i': lnth E ?i' = lnth (lnth (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta} o)) E') k) l
    and l: l < length {snd (lnth E' k)} o
    and k: enat k < llenth E'
    and i-conv: enat ?i' = (∑ i < k. llenth (lnth (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta} o)) E')) i) + enat l
      by(fastforce simp add: split-beta)

have (∑ i < k. llenth (lnth (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta} o)) E') i)) =
  (∑ i < k. (enat o (λi. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o)) i)
  by(rule sum.cong)(simp-all add: less-trans[where y=enat k] split-beta k)
also have ... = enat (∑ i < k. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o)
  by(rule sum-comp-morphism)(simp-all add: zero-enat-def)
finally have i-conv: ?i' = (∑ i < k. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) + l using i-conv by simp

have [simp]: i = k
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume i ≠ k
  thus False unfolding neq-iff
  proof
    assume i < k
    hence (∑ i < k. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) =
      (∑ i < i. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) + (∑ i=i..<k. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o)
    unfolding atLeast0LessThan[symmetric] by(subst sum.atLeastLessThan-concat) simp-all
    with i-conv have (∑ i=i..<k. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) = l l = 0 by simp-all
    moreover have (∑ i=i..<k. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) ≥ length {snd (lnth E' i)} o
      by(subst sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan[OF ⟨i < k⟩]) simp
    ultimately show False using nth-i by simp
  next
    assume k < i
    hence ?i' = (∑ i < k. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) + (∑ i=k..<i. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o)
    unfolding atLeast0LessThan[symmetric] by(subst sum.atLeastLessThan-concat) simp-all
    with i-conv have (∑ i=k..<i. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) = l by simp
    moreover have (∑ i=k..<i. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) ≥ length {snd (lnth E' k)} o
      by(subst sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan[OF ⟨k < i⟩]) simp
    ultimately show False using l by simp
  qed
qed
with l nth-i have [simp]: l = 0 by simp

hence lnth E ?i' = (action-tid E ?a, InitialThreadAction)
  using nth-i nth-i' k by simp

```

```

with ‹?i ≤ a› show ?thesis
  by(auto simp add: action-tid-def action-obs-def lnth-lappend2)
  qed
  qed
qed

```

end

In the subsequent locales, *convert-RA* refers to *convert-RA* and is no longer a parameter!

```

lemma convert-RA-not-write:
   $\bigwedge \ln. \text{ob} \in \text{set}(\text{convert-RA } \ln) \implies \neg \text{is-write-action}(\text{NormalAction } \text{ob})$ 
  by(auto simp add: convert-RA-def)

lemma ta-seq-consist-convert-RA:
  fixes  $\ln$  shows
     $\text{ta-seq-consist } P \text{ vs } (\text{llist-of } ((\text{map NormalAction} \circ \text{convert-RA}) \ln))$ 
  proof(rule ta-seq-consist-nthI)
    fix  $i ad al v$ 
    assume  $\text{enat } i < \text{llength } (\text{llist-of } ((\text{map NormalAction} \circ \text{convert-RA}) \ln :: ('b, 'c) \text{ obs-event action list}))$ 
    and  $\text{lnth } (\text{llist-of } ((\text{map NormalAction} \circ \text{convert-RA}) \ln :: ('b, 'c) \text{ obs-event action list})) i = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad \text{ al } v)$ 
    hence  $\text{ReadMem } ad \text{ al } v \in \text{set}(\text{convert-RA } \ln :: ('b, 'c) \text{ obs-event list})$ 
      by(auto simp add: in-set-conv-nth)
    hence  $\text{False}$  by(auto simp add: convert-RA-def)
    thus  $\exists b. \text{mrw-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{list-of } (\text{ltake } (\text{enat } i) (\text{llist-of } ((\text{map NormalAction} \circ \text{convert-RA}) \ln))) (ad, al) = \lfloor(v, b)\rfloor ..$ 
  qed

lemma ta-hb-consistent-convert-RA:
   $\bigwedge \ln. \text{ta-hb-consistent } P E (\text{llist-of } (\text{map } (\text{Pair } t) ((\text{map NormalAction} \circ \text{convert-RA}) \ln)))$ 
  by(rule ta-hb-consistent-not-ReadI)(auto simp add: convert-RA-def)

locale allocated-multithreaded =
  allocated-heap
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  allocated
  P
  +
  mthr: multithreaded final r convert-RA

  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and allocated :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr set

```

```

and final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event) semantics (- ⊢ - --> -
[50,0,0,50] 80)
and P :: 'md prog
+
assumes red-allocated-mono:  $t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m') \Rightarrow \text{allocated } m \subseteq \text{allocated } m'$ 
and red-New-allocatedD:
 $\llbracket t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m'); \text{NewHeapElem } ad \in CTn \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$ 
 $\Rightarrow ad \in \text{allocated } m' \wedge ad \notin \text{allocated } m$ 
and red-allocated-NewD:
 $\llbracket t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m'); ad \in \text{allocated } m'; ad \notin \text{allocated } m \rrbracket$ 
 $\Rightarrow \exists CTn. \text{NewHeapElem } ad \in CTn \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o$ 
and red-New-same-addr-same:
 $\llbracket t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m');$ 
 $\{ta\}_o ! i = \text{NewHeapElem } a \in CTn; i < \text{length } \{ta\}_o;$ 
 $\{ta\}_o ! j = \text{NewHeapElem } a \in CTn'; j < \text{length } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$ 
 $\Rightarrow i = j$ 

sublocale allocated-multithreaded < heap-multithreaded
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  final r convert-RA P
by(unfold-locales)

context allocated-multithreaded begin

lemma redT-allocated-mono:
  assumes mthr.redT σ (t, ta) σ'
  shows allocated (shr σ) ⊆ allocated (shr σ')
using assms
by cases(auto dest: red-allocated-mono del: subsetI)

lemma RedT-allocated-mono:
  assumes mthr.RedT σ ttas σ'
  shows allocated (shr σ) ⊆ allocated (shr σ')
using assms unfolding mthr.RedT-def
by induct(auto dest!: redT-allocated-mono intro: subset-trans del: subsetI)

lemma init-fin-allocated-mono:
   $t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m') \Rightarrow \text{allocated } m \subseteq \text{allocated } m'$ 
by(cases rule: mthr.init-fin.cases)(auto dest: red-allocated-mono)

lemma init-fin-redT-allocated-mono:
  assumes mthr.if.redT σ (t, ta) σ'
  shows allocated (shr σ) ⊆ allocated (shr σ')
using assms
by cases(auto dest: init-fin-allocated-mono del: subsetI)

lemma init-fin-RedT-allocated-mono:
  assumes mthr.if.RedT σ ttas σ'
  shows allocated (shr σ) ⊆ allocated (shr σ')
using assms unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def

```

```

by induct(auto dest!: init-fin-redT-allocated-mono intro: subset-trans del: subsetI)

lemma init-fin-red-New-allocatedD:
  assumes  $t \vdash (x, m) -ta \rightarrow i (x', m')$  NormalAction ( $\text{NewHeapElem ad } CTn$ )  $\in \text{set } \{\{ta\}_o$ 
  shows  $ad \in \text{allocated } m' \wedge ad \notin \text{allocated } m$ 
using assms
by cases(auto dest: red-New-allocatedD)

lemma init-fin-red-allocated-NewD:
  assumes  $t \vdash (x, m) -ta \rightarrow i (x', m')$   $ad \in \text{allocated } m'$   $ad \notin \text{allocated } m$ 
  shows  $\exists CTn.$  NormalAction ( $\text{NewHeapElem ad } CTn$ )  $\in \text{set } \{\{ta\}_o$ 
using assms
by (cases)(auto dest!: red-allocated-NewD)

lemma init-fin-red-New-same-addr-same:
  assumes  $t \vdash (x, m) -ta \rightarrow i (x', m')$ 
  and  $\{\{ta\}_o ! i = \text{NormalAction } (\text{NewHeapElem a } CTn) i < \text{length } \{\{ta\}_o$ 
  and  $\{\{ta\}_o ! j = \text{NormalAction } (\text{NewHeapElem a } CTn') j < \text{length } \{\{ta\}_o$ 
  shows  $i = j$ 
using assms
by cases(auto dest: red-New-same-addr-same)

lemma init-fin-redT-allocated-NewHeapElemD:
  assumes  $mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'$ 
  and  $ad \in \text{allocated } (shr s')$ 
  and  $ad \notin \text{allocated } (shr s)$ 
  shows  $\exists CTn.$  NormalAction ( $\text{NewHeapElem ad } CTn$ )  $\in \text{set } \{\{ta\}_o$ 
using assms
by (cases)(auto dest: init-fin-red-allocated-NewD)

lemma init-fin-RedT-allocated-NewHeapElemD:
  assumes  $mthr.if.RedT s ttas s'$ 
  and  $ad \in \text{allocated } (shr s')$ 
  and  $ad \notin \text{allocated } (shr s)$ 
  shows  $\exists t ta CTn.$   $(t, ta) \in \text{set ttas} \wedge \text{NormalAction } (\text{NewHeapElem ad } CTn) \in \text{set } \{\{ta\}_o$ 
using assms
proof(induct rule: mthr.if.RedT-induct')
  case refl thus ?case by simp
next
  case (step ttas s' t ta s'') thus ?case
    by(cases ad ∈ allocated (shr s''))(fastforce simp del: split-paired-Ex dest: init-fin-redT-allocated-NewHeapElemD)+qed

lemma  $\mathcal{E}$ -new-actions-for-unique:
  assumes  $E: E \in \mathcal{E}\text{-start } f P C M vs status$ 
  and  $a: a \in \text{new-actions-for } P E adal$ 
  and  $a': a' \in \text{new-actions-for } P E adal$ 
  shows  $a = a'$ 
using a a'
proof(induct a a' rule: wlog-linorder-le)
  case symmetry thus ?case by simp
next
  case (le a a')
  note a = ⟨a ∈ new-actions-for P E adal⟩

```

```

and  $a' = \langle a' \in \text{new-actions-for } P E \text{ adal} \rangle$ 
and  $a-a' = \langle a \leq a' \rangle$ 
obtain ad al where adal: adal = (ad, al) by(cases adal)

let ?init-obs = lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs
let ?start-state = init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs)

have distinct: distinct (filter ( $\lambda obs. \exists a CTn. obs = \text{NormalAction} (\text{NewHeapElem } a CTn)$ ) (map snd ?init-obs))
  unfolding start-heap-obs-def
  by(fastforce intro: inj-onI intro!: distinct-filter simp add: distinct-map distinct-zipI1 distinct-initialization-list)

from start-addrs-allocated
have dom-start-state: {a.  $\exists CTn. \text{NormalAction} (\text{NewHeapElem } a CTn) \in \text{snd} \setminus \text{set } ?init-obs\} \subseteq$ 
allocated (shr ?start-state)
  by(fastforce simp add: init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps shr-start-state dest: NewHeapElem-start-heap-obs-start-addrsD
subsetD)

show ?case
proof(cases a' < length ?init-obs)
  case True
  with a' adal E obtain t-a' CTn-a'
    where CTn-a': ?init-obs ! a' = (t-a', NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn-a'))
      by(cases ?init-obs ! a')(fastforce elim!: is-new-action.cases action-loc-aux-cases simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend1 new-actions-for-def )+
    from True a-a' have len-a: a < length ?init-obs by simp
    with a adal E obtain t-a CTn-a
      where CTn-a: ?init-obs ! a = (t-a, NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn-a))
        by(cases ?init-obs ! a)(fastforce elim!: is-new-action.cases action-loc-aux-cases simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend1 new-actions-for-def )+
      from CTn-a CTn-a' True len-a
      have NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn-a')  $\in \text{snd} \setminus \text{set } ?init-obs$ 
        and NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn-a)  $\in \text{snd} \setminus \text{set } ?init-obs$  unfolding set-conv-nth
        by(fastforce intro: rev-image-eqI)+
      hence [simp]: CTn-a' = CTn-a using distinct-start-addrs'
        by(auto simp add: in-set-conv-nth distinct-conv-nth start-heap-obs-def start-addrs-def) blast
      from distinct-filterD[OF distinct, of a' a NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn-a)] len-a True
CTn-a CTn-a'
      show a = a' by simp
    next
    case False
    obtain n where n: length ?init-obs = n by blast
    with False have n ≤ a' by simp

    from E obtain E'' where E: E = lappend (llist-of ?init-obs) E''
      and E'': E'' ∈ mthr.if.Ε ?start-state by auto
    from E'' obtain E' where E': E'' = lconcat (lmap ( $\lambda(t, ta). llist-of (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o))$ ) E')
      and τRuns: mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state E' by(rule mthr.if.Ε.cases)

    from E E'' a' n < a' adal have a': a' - n ∈ new-actions-for P E'' adal
    by(auto simp add: new-actions-for-def lnth-lappend2 action-obs-def actions-lappend elim: actionsE)

    from a' have a' - n ∈ actions E'' by(auto elim: new-actionsE)
    hence enat (a' - n) < llengh E'' by(rule actionsE)
  
```

```

with  $\tau\text{Runs}$  obtain  $a'\text{-}m\ a'\text{-}n\ t\text{-}a'\ ta\text{-}a'$ 
  where  $E\text{-}a': \text{lnth } E''(a' - n) = (t\text{-}a', \{\text{ta-}a'\}_o ! a'\text{-}n)$ 
  and  $a'\text{-}n: a'\text{-}n < \text{length } \{\text{ta-}a'\}_o$  and  $a'\text{-}m: \text{enat } a'\text{-}m < \text{llength } E'$ 
  and  $a'\text{-conv}: a' - n = (\sum i < a'\text{-}m. \text{length } \{\text{snd } (\text{lnth } E' i)\}_o) + a'\text{-}n$ 
  and  $E'\text{-}a'\text{-}m: \text{lnth } E' a'\text{-}m = (t\text{-}a', \text{ta-}a')$ 
  unfolding  $E'$  by(rule  $mthr.\text{if}.actions\text{-}\mathcal{E}E\text{-aux}$ )

from  $a'$  have  $\text{is-new-action } (\text{action-obs } E''(a' - n))$ 
  and  $(ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P E''(a' - n)$ 
  unfolding  $adal$  by(auto elim: new-actionsE)
then obtain  $CTn'$ 
  where  $\text{action-obs } E''(a' - n) = \text{NormalAction } (\text{NewHeapElem } ad\ CTn')$ 
  by cases(fastforce) +
hence  $\text{New-ta-}a': \{\text{ta-}a'\}_o ! a'\text{-}n = \text{NormalAction } (\text{NewHeapElem } ad\ CTn')$ 
  using  $E\text{-}a'\ a'\text{-}n$  unfolding action-obs-def by simp

show ?thesis
proof(cases  $a < n$ )
  case True
  with  $a$   $adal$   $E$   $n$  obtain  $t\text{-}a\ CTn\text{-}a$  where ?init-obs !  $a = (t\text{-}a, \text{NormalAction } (\text{NewHeapElem } ad\ CTn\text{-}a))$ 
  by(cases ?init-obs !  $a$ )(fastforce elim!: is-new-action.cases simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend1 new-actions-for-def) +

with  $\text{subsetD}[OF\ \text{dom-start-state},\ of\ ad]\ n\ \text{True}$ 
have  $a\text{-shr-}\sigma: ad \in \text{allocated } (\text{shr } ?\text{start-state})$ 
  by(fastforce simp add: set-conv-nth intro: rev-image-eqI)

have  $E'\text{-unfold}': E' = \text{lappend } (\text{ltake } (\text{enat } a'\text{-}m) E') (LCons (\text{lnth } E' a'\text{-}m) (\text{ldropn } (\text{Suc } a'\text{-}m) E'))$ 
  unfolding ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn[ $OF\ a'\text{-}m$ ] by simp
  hence  $mthr.\text{if}.mthr.\text{Runs } ?\text{start-state } (\text{lappend } (\text{ltake } (\text{enat } a'\text{-}m) E') (LCons (\text{lnth } E' a'\text{-}m) (\text{ldropn } (\text{Suc } a'\text{-}m) E')))$ 
  using  $\tau\text{Runs}$  by simp

then obtain  $\sigma'$ 
  where  $\sigma\text{-}\sigma': mthr.\text{if}.mthr.\text{Trsys } ?\text{start-state } (\text{list-of } (\text{ltake } (\text{enat } a'\text{-}m) E')) \sigma'$ 
  and  $\tau\text{Runs}': mthr.\text{if}.mthr.\text{Runs } \sigma' (LCons (\text{lnth } E' a'\text{-}m) (\text{ldropn } (\text{Suc } a'\text{-}m) E'))$ 
  by(rule  $mthr.\text{if}.mthr.\text{Runs-lappendE}$ ) simp
from  $\tau\text{Runs}'$  obtain  $\sigma''$ 
  where  $red\text{-}a': mthr.\text{if}.redT \sigma' (t\text{-}a', \text{ta-}a') \sigma''$ 
  and  $\tau\text{Runs}''': mthr.\text{if}.mthr.\text{Runs } \sigma'' (\text{ldropn } (\text{Suc } a'\text{-}m) E')$ 
  unfolding  $E'\text{-}a'\text{-}m$  by cases
from  $\text{New-ta-}a'\ a'\text{-}n$  have  $\text{NormalAction } (\text{NewHeapElem } ad\ CTn') \in \text{set } \{\text{ta-}a'\}_o$ 
  unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast
with  $red\text{-}a'$  obtain  $x\text{-}a'\ x'\text{-}a'\ m'\text{-}a'$ 
  where  $red'\text{-}a': mthr.\text{init-fin } t\text{-}a' (x\text{-}a', \text{shr } \sigma') \text{ta-}a' (x'\text{-}a', m'\text{-}a')$ 
  and  $\sigma''': redT\text{-upd } \sigma' t\text{-}a' \text{ta-}a' x'\text{-}a' m'\text{-}a' \sigma''$ 
  and  $ts\text{-}t\text{-}a': \text{thr } \sigma' t\text{-}a' = [(x\text{-}a', \text{no-wait-locks})]$ 
  by cases auto
from  $red'\text{-}a'$  NormalAction  $(\text{NewHeapElem } ad\ CTn') \in \text{set } \{\text{ta-}a'\}_o$ 
obtain  $ta\text{-}a'\ X\text{-}a'\ X'\text{-}a'$ 
  where  $x\text{-}a': x\text{-}a' = (\text{Running}, X\text{-}a')$ 
  and  $x'\text{-}a': x'\text{-}a' = (\text{Running}, X'\text{-}a')$ 

```

```

and ta-a': ta-a' = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta'-a')
and red''-a': t-a' ⊢ ⟨X-a', shr σ⟩ - ta'-a' → ⟨X'-a', m'-a'⟩
by cases fastforce+
from ta-a' New-ta-a' a'-n have New-ta'-a': {ta'-a'}_o ! a'-n = NewHeapElem ad CTn'
  and a'-n': a'-n < length {ta'-a'}_o by auto
hence NewHeapElem ad CTn' ∈ set {ta'-a'}_o unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast
with red''-a' have allocated-ad': ad ∉ allocated (shr σ')
  by(auto dest: red-New-allocatedD)

have allocated (shr ?start-state) ⊆ allocated (shr σ')
  using σ-σ' unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def[symmetric] by(rule init-fin-RedT-allocated-mono)
hence False using allocated-ad' a-shr-σ by blast
thus ?thesis ..

next
case False
hence n ≤ a by simp

from E E'' a n < n ≤ a adal have a: a - n ∈ new-actions-for P E'' adal
  by(auto simp add: new-actions-for-def lnth-lappend2 action-obs-def actions-lappend elim: actionsE)

from a have a - n ∈ actions E'' by(auto elim: new-actionsE)
hence enat (a - n) < llength E'' by(rule actionsE)

with τRuns obtain a-m a-n t-a ta-a
  where E-a: lnth E'' (a - n) = (t-a, {ta-a}_o ! a-n)
  and a-n: a-n < length {ta-a}_o and a-m: enat a-m < llength E'
  and a-conv: a - n = (∑ i < a-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}_o) + a-n
  and E'-a-m: lnth E' a-m = (t-a, ta-a)
  unfolding E' by(rule mthr.if.actions-ΕE-aux)

from a have is-new-action (action-obs E'' (a - n))
  and (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E'' (a - n)
  unfolding adal by(auto elim: new-actionsE)
then obtain CTn where action-obs E'' (a - n) = NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn)
  by cases(fastforce)+
hence New-ta-a: {ta-a}_o ! a-n = NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn)
  using E-a a-n unfolding action-obs-def by simp

let ?E' = ldropn (Suc a-m) E'

have E'-unfold: E' = lappend (ltake (enat a-m) E') (LCons (lnth E' a-m) ?E')
  unfolding ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn[OF a-m] by simp
hence mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state (lappend (ltake (enat a-m) E') (LCons (lnth E' a-m) ?E')) σ'
  using τRuns by simp
then obtain σ' where σ-σ': mthr.if.mthr.Trsys ?start-state (list-of (ltake (enat a-m) E')) σ'
  and τRuns': mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ' (LCons (lnth E' a-m) ?E')
  by(rule mthr.if.mthr.Runs-lappendE) simp
from τRuns' obtain σ"
  where red-a: mthr.if.redT σ' (t-a, ta-a) σ"
  and τRuns": mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ" ?E'
  unfolding E'-a-m by cases
from New-ta-a a-n have NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) ∈ set {ta-a}_o

```

```

unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast
with red-a obtain x-a x'-a m'-a
  where red'-a: mthr.init-fin t-a (x-a, shr σ') ta-a (x'-a, m'-a)
  and σ'''': redT-upd σ' t-a ta-a x'-a m'-a σ''
  and ts-t-a: thr σ' t-a = [(x-a, no-wait-locks)]
  by cases auto
from red'-a <NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) ∈ set {ta-a}o>
obtain ta'-a X-a X'-a
  where x-a: x-a = (Running, X-a)
  and x': x'-a = (Running, X'-a)
  and ta-a: ta-a = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta'-a)
  and red''-a: t-a ⊢ (X-a, shr σ') - ta'-a → (X'-a, m'-a)
  by cases fastforce+
from ta-a New-ta-a a-n have New-ta'-a: {ta'-a}o ! a-n = NewHeapElem ad CTn
  and a-n': a-n < length {ta'-a}o by auto
hence NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set {ta'-a}o unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast
with red''-a have allocated-m'-a-ad: ad ∈ allocated m'-a
  by(auto dest: red-New-allocatedD)

have a-m ≤ a'-m
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ ?thesis
  hence a'-m < a-m by simp
  hence (∑ i < a-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o) = (∑ i < a'-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o) + (∑ i
= a'-m.. < a-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o)
  by(simp add: sum-uppto-add-nat)
  hence a' - n < a - n using ⟨a'-m < a-m⟩ a'-n E'-a'-m unfolding a-conv a'-conv
    by(subst (asm) sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan) simp-all
  with a-a' show False by simp
qed

have a'-less: a' - n < (a - n) - a-n + length {ta-a}o
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ ?thesis
  hence a'-greater: (a - n) - a-n + length {ta-a}o ≤ a' - n by simp

  have a-m < a'-m
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume ¬ ?thesis
    with ⟨a-m ≤ a'-m⟩ have a-m = a'-m by simp
    with a'-greater a-n a'-n E'-a'-m E'-a-m show False
      unfolding a-conv a'-conv by simp
  qed
  hence a'-m-a-m: enat (a'-m - Suc a-m) < llength ?E' using a'-m
    by(cases llength E') simp-all
  from ⟨a-m < a'-m⟩ a'-m E'-a'-m
  have E'-a'-m': lnth ?E' (a'-m - Suc a-m) = (t-a', ta-a') by simp

  have E'-unfold': ?E' = lappend (ltake (enat (a'-m - Suc a-m)) ?E') (LCons (lnth ?E' (a'-m
- Suc a-m)) (ldropn (Suc (a'-m - Suc a-m)) ?E'))
  unfolding ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn[OF a'-m-a-m] lappend-ltake-enat-ldropn ..
  hence mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ'' (lappend (ltake (enat (a'-m - Suc a-m)) ?E') (LCons (lnth ?E'
(a'-m - Suc a-m)) (ldropn (Suc (a'-m - Suc a-m)) ?E'))))
  using τRuns'' by simp

```

```

then obtain  $\sigma'''$ 
  where  $\sigma''-\sigma'''$ : mthr.if.mthr.Trsys  $\sigma''$  (list-of (ltake (enat ( $a'-m - Suc a-m$ )) ? $E'$ ))  $\sigma'''$ 
    and  $\tau Runs'''$ : mthr.if.mthr.Runs  $\sigma'''$  (LCons (lnth ? $E'$  ( $a'-m - Suc a-m$ )) (ldropn (Suc ( $a'-m - Suc a-m$ )) ? $E'$ ))
  by(rule mthr.if.mthr.Runs-lappendE) simp
from  $\tau Runs'''$  obtain  $\sigma''''$ 
  where  $red-a'$ : mthr.if.redT  $\sigma'''$  ( $t-a'$ ,  $ta-a'$ )  $\sigma''''$ 
    and  $\tau Runs''''$ : mthr.if.mthr.Runs  $\sigma''''$  (ldropn (Suc ( $a'-m - Suc a-m$ )) ? $E'$ )
    unfolding  $E'-a'-m'$  by cases
  from New-ta-a' a'-n have NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn')  $\in$  set  $\{ta-a'\}_o$ 
    unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast
  with  $red-a'$  obtain  $x-a' x'-a' m'-a'$ 
    where  $red'-a'$ : mthr.init-fin t-a' ( $x-a'$ , shr  $\sigma'''$ )  $ta-a'$  ( $x'-a'$ ,  $m'-a'$ )
      and  $\sigma'''''$ : redT-upd  $\sigma'''$   $t-a' ta-a' x'-a' m'-a'$   $\sigma'''''$ 
      and  $ts-t-a'$ : thr  $\sigma'''$   $t-a' = [(x-a', no-wait-locks)]$ 
      by cases auto
    from red'-a' <NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn')  $\in$  set  $\{ta-a'\}_o$ 
    obtain  $ta-a' X-a' X'-a'$ 
      where  $x-a'$ :  $x-a' = (\text{Running}, X-a')$ 
        and  $x'-a'$ :  $x'-a' = (\text{Running}, X'-a')$ 
        and  $ta-a'$ :  $ta-a' = \text{convert-TA-initial} (\text{convert-obs-initial } ta'-a')$ 
        and  $red''-a'$ :  $t-a' \vdash (X-a', \text{shr } \sigma''') - ta'-a' \rightarrow (X'-a', m'-a')$ 
        by cases fastforce+
    from ta-a' New-ta-a' a'-n have New-ta'-a': {ta'-a'}_o ! a'-n = NewHeapElem ad CTn'
      and  $a'-n'$ :  $a'-n < \text{length } \{ta'-a'\}_o$  by auto
    hence NewHeapElem ad CTn'  $\in$  set  $\{ta'-a'\}_o$  unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast
  with  $red''-a'$  have allocated-ad': ad  $\notin$  allocated (shr  $\sigma'''$ )
    by(auto dest: red-New-allocatedD)
  have allocated m'-a = allocated (shr  $\sigma''$ ) using  $\sigma'''$  by auto
  also have  $\dots \subseteq \text{allocated}$  (shr  $\sigma'''$ )
    using  $\sigma''-\sigma'''$  unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def[symmetric] by(rule init-fin-RedT-allocated-mono)
  finally have ad  $\in$  allocated (shr  $\sigma'''$ ) using allocated-m'-a-ad by blast
  with allocated-ad' show False by contradiction
qed

from a-m ≤ a'-m have [simp]:  $a-m = a'-m$ 
proof(rule le-antisym)
  show  $a'-m \leq a-m$ 
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  $\neg \text{?thesis}$ 
    hence  $a-m < a'-m$  by simp
    hence  $(\sum i < a'-m. \text{length } \{\text{snd } (\text{lnth } E' i)\}_o) = (\sum i < a-m. \text{length } \{\text{snd } (\text{lnth } E' i)\}_o) + (\sum i = a-m.. < a'-m. \text{length } \{\text{snd } (\text{lnth } E' i)\}_o)$ 
      by(simp add: sum-upto-add-nat)
    with a'-less a-m < a'-m  $E'-a-m a-n a'-n$  show False
      unfolding a'-conv a-conv by(subst (asm) sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan) simp-all
  qed
qed

with  $E'-a-m E'-a'-m$  have [simp]:  $t-a' = t-a$   $ta-a' = ta-a$  by simp-all
from New-ta-a' a'-n ta-a have  $a'-n'$ :  $a'-n < \text{length } \{ta'-a\}_o$ 
  and New-ta'-a': {ta'-a}_o ! a'-n = NewHeapElem ad CTn' by auto
  with  $red''-a$  New-ta'-a a-n' have  $a'-n = a-n$ 
    by(auto dest: red-New-same-addr-same)

```

```

with ⟨ $a-m = a'-m$ ⟩ have  $a - n = a' - n$  unfolding  $a\text{-}conv$   $a'\text{-}conv$  by simp
  thus ?thesis using ⟨ $n \leq a$ ⟩ ⟨ $n \leq a'$ ⟩ by simp
    qed
  qed
qed

end

```

Knowledge of addresses of a multithreaded state

```

fun  $ka\text{-}Val :: 'addr val \Rightarrow 'addr set$ 
where
   $ka\text{-}Val (Addr a) = \{a\}$ 
  |  $ka\text{-}Val - = \{\}$ 

fun  $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr :: ('addr, 'thread-id) obs\text{-}event \Rightarrow 'addr set$ 
where
   $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr (ReadMem ad al (Addr ad')) = \{ad'\}$ 
  |  $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr (NewHeapElem ad hT) = \{ad\}$ 
  |  $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr - = \{\}$ 

lemma  $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr\text{-}cases [consumes 1, case-names ReadMem NewHeapElem, cases set]:$ 
  assumes  $ad \in new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr ob$ 
  obtains  $ad' al$  where  $ob = ReadMem ad' al (Addr ad)$ 
    |  $CTn$  where  $ob = NewHeapElem ad CTn$ 
  using assms
  by(cases ob rule: new-obs-addr.cases) auto

definition  $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addrs :: ('addr, 'thread-id) obs\text{-}event list \Rightarrow 'addr set$ 
where
   $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addrs obs = \bigcup (new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr ` set obs)$ 

fun  $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr\text{-}if :: ('addr, 'thread-id) obs\text{-}event action \Rightarrow 'addr set$ 
where
   $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr\text{-}if (NormalAction a) = new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr a$ 
  |  $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr\text{-}if - = \{\}$ 

definition  $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addrs\text{-}if :: ('addr, 'thread-id) obs\text{-}event action list \Rightarrow 'addr set$ 
where
   $new\text{-}obs\text{-}addrs\text{-}if obs = \bigcup (new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr\text{-}if ` set obs)$ 

lemma  $ka\text{-}Val\text{-}subset\text{-}new\text{-}obs\text{-}Addr\text{-}ReadMem:$ 
   $ka\text{-}Val v \subseteq new\text{-}obs\text{-}addr (ReadMem ad al v)$ 
by(cases v) simp-all

lemma  $typeof\text{-}ka: typeof v \neq None \implies ka\text{-}Val v = \{\}$ 
by(cases v) simp-all

lemma  $ka\text{-}Val\text{-}undefined\text{-}value [simp]:$ 
   $ka\text{-}Val undefined\text{-}value = \{\}$ 
apply(cases undefined-value :: 'a val)
apply(bestsimp simp add: undefined-value-not-Addr dest: subst)+
done

locale  $known\text{-}addrs\text{-}base =$ 

```

```

fixes known-addrs :: 't ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'addr set
begin

definition known-addrs-thr :: ('l, 't, 'x) thread-info ⇒ 'addr set
where known-addrs-thr ts = (⋃ t ∈ dom ts. known-addrs t (fst (the (ts t)))))

definition known-addrs-state :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) state ⇒ 'addr set
where known-addrs-state s = known-addrs-thr (thr s)

lemma known-addrs-state-simps [simp]:
  known-addrs-state (ls, (ts, m), ws) = known-addrs-thr ts
by(simp add: known-addrs-state-def)

lemma known-addrs-thr-cases[consumes 1, case-names known-addrs, cases set: known-addrs-thr]:
  assumes ad ∈ known-addrs-thr ts
  and ⋀ t x ln. [| ts t = ⌊(x, ln)⌋; ad ∈ known-addrs t x |] ⇒ thesis
  shows thesis
using assms
by(auto simp add: known-addrs-thr-def ran-def)

lemma known-addrs-stateI:
  ⋀ ln. [| ad ∈ known-addrs t x; thr s t = ⌊(x, ln)⌋ |] ⇒ ad ∈ known-addrs-state s
by(fastforce simp add: known-addrs-state-def known-addrs-thr-def intro: rev-bexI)

fun known-addrs-if :: 't ⇒ status × 'x ⇒ 'addr set
where known-addrs-if t (s, x) = known-addrs t x

end

locale if-known-addrs-base =
  known-addrs-base known-addrs
  +
  multithreaded-base final r convert-RA
  for known-addrs :: 't ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'addr set
  and final :: 'x ⇒ bool
  and r :: ('addr, 't, 'x, 'heap, 'addr, 'obs) semantics (- ⊢ - --> - [50,0,0,50] 80)
  and convert-RA :: 'addr released-locks ⇒ 'obs list

sublocale if-known-addrs-base < if: known-addrs-base known-addrs-if .

locale known-addrs =
  allocated-multithreaded
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  allocated
  final r
  P
  +
  if-known-addrs-base known-addrs final r convert-RA

  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool

```

```

and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and allocated :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr set
and known-addrs :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\Rightarrow$  'addr set
and final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event) semantics (-  $\vdash$  - --> -
[50,0,0,50] 80)
and P :: 'md prog
+
assumes red-known-addrs-new:
t  $\vdash$   $\langle x, m \rangle$  -ta $\rightarrow$   $\langle x', m' \rangle$ 
 $\Rightarrow$  known-addrs t x'  $\subseteq$  known-addrs t x  $\cup$  new-obs-addrs {ta}_o
and red-known-addrs-new-thread:
 $\llbracket t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle$  -ta $\rightarrow$   $\langle x', m' \rangle$ ; NewThread t' x'' m''  $\in$  set {ta}_t  $\rrbracket$ 
 $\Rightarrow$  known-addrs t' x''  $\subseteq$  known-addrs t x
and red-read-knows-addr:
 $\llbracket t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle$  -ta $\rightarrow$   $\langle x', m' \rangle$ ; ReadMem ad al v  $\in$  set {ta}_o  $\rrbracket$ 
 $\Rightarrow$  ad  $\in$  known-addrs t x
and red-write-knows-addr:
 $\llbracket t \vdash \langle x, m \rangle$  -ta $\rightarrow$   $\langle x', m' \rangle$ ; {ta}_o ! n = WriteMem ad al (Addr ad'); n < length {ta}_o  $\rrbracket$ 
 $\Rightarrow$  ad'  $\in$  known-addrs t x  $\vee$  ad'  $\in$  new-obs-addrs (take n {ta}_o)
— second possibility necessary for heap-clone
begin

notation mthr.redT-syntax1 (- --> - [50,0,0,50] 80)

lemma if-red-known-addrs-new:
assumes t  $\vdash$   $\langle x, m \rangle$  -ta $\rightarrow$ i  $\langle x', m' \rangle$ 
shows known-addrs-if t x'  $\subseteq$  known-addrs-if t x  $\cup$  new-obs-addrs-if {ta}_o
using assms
by cases(auto dest!: red-known-addrs-new simp add: new-obs-addrs-if-def new-obs-addrs-def)

lemma if-red-known-addrs-new-thread:
assumes t  $\vdash$   $\langle x, m \rangle$  -ta $\rightarrow$ i  $\langle x', m' \rangle$  NewThread t' x'' m''  $\in$  set {ta}_t
shows known-addrs-if t' x''  $\subseteq$  known-addrs-if t x
using assms
by cases(fastforce dest: red-known-addrs-new-thread)+

lemma if-red-read-knows-addr:
assumes t  $\vdash$   $\langle x, m \rangle$  -ta $\rightarrow$ i  $\langle x', m' \rangle$  NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)  $\in$  set {ta}_o
shows ad  $\in$  known-addrs-if t x
using assms
by cases(fastforce dest: red-read-knows-addr)+

lemma if-red-write-knows-addr:
assumes t  $\vdash$   $\langle x, m \rangle$  -ta $\rightarrow$ i  $\langle x', m' \rangle$ 
and {ta}_o ! n = NormalAction (WriteMem ad al (Addr ad')) n < length {ta}_o
shows ad'  $\in$  known-addrs-if t x  $\vee$  ad'  $\in$  new-obs-addrs-if (take n {ta}_o)
using assms
by cases(auto dest: red-write-knows-addr simp add: new-obs-addrs-if-def new-obs-addrs-def take-map)

```

```

lemma if-redT-known-addrs-new:
  assumes redT: mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'
  shows if.known-addrs-state s' ⊆ if.known-addrs-state s ∪ new-obs-addrs-if {ta}o
using redT
proof(cases)
  case redT-acquire thus ?thesis
    by(cases s)(fastforce simp add: if.known-addrs-thr-def split: if-split-asm intro: rev-bexI)
next
  case (redT-normal x x' m)
  note red = <t ⊢ (x, shr s) -ta→i (x', m)>
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix ad
    assume ad ∈ if.known-addrs-state s'
    hence ad ∈ if.known-addrs-thr (thr s') by(simp add: if.known-addrs-state-def)
    then obtain t' x'' ln'' where ts't': thr s' t' = |(x'', ln'')|
      and ad: ad ∈ known-addrs-if t' x''
      by(rule if.known-addrs-thr-cases)
    show ad ∈ if.known-addrs-state s ∪ new-obs-addrs-if {ta}o
    proof(cases thr s t')
      case None
      with redT-normal <thr s' t' = |(x'', ln'')>
      obtain m'' where NewThread t' x'' m'' ∈ set {ta}t
        by(fastforce dest: redT-updTs-new-thread split: if-split-asm)
      with red have known-addrs-if t' x'' ⊆ known-addrs-if t x by(rule if-red-known-addrs-new-thread)
        also have ... ⊆ known-addrs-if t x ∪ new-obs-addrs-if {ta}o by simp
        finally have ad ∈ known-addrs-if t x ∪ new-obs-addrs-if {ta}o using ad by blast
        thus ?thesis using <thr s t = |(x, no-wait-locks)> by(blast intro: if.known-addrs-stateI)
    next
      case (Some xln)
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases t = t')
        case True
        with redT-normal ts't' if-red-known-addrs-new[OF red] ad
        have ad ∈ known-addrs-if t x ∪ new-obs-addrs-if {ta}o by auto
        thus ?thesis using <thr s t = |(x, no-wait-locks)> by(blast intro: if.known-addrs-stateI)
      next
        case False
        with ts't' redT-normal ad Some show ?thesis
          by(fastforce dest: redT-updTs-Some[where ts=thr s and t=t'] intro: if.known-addrs-stateI)
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma if-redT-read-knows-addr:
  assumes redT: mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'
  and read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ set {ta}o
  shows ad ∈ if.known-addrs-state s
using redT
proof(cases)
  case redT-acquire thus ?thesis using read by auto
next
  case (redT-normal x x' m')

```

```

with if-red-read-knows-addr[ $OF \langle t \vdash (x, shr s) - ta \rightarrow_i (x', m') \rangle \text{ read}$ ]
show ?thesis
  by(auto simp add: if.known-addrs-state-def if.known-addrs-thr-def intro: bexI[where x=t])
qed

lemma init-fin-redT-known-addrs-subset:
  assumes mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'
  shows if.known-addrs-state s'  $\subseteq$  if.known-addrs-state s  $\cup$  known-addrs-if t (fst (the (thr s' t)))
using assms
apply(cases)
  apply(rule subsetI)
  apply(clarsimp simp add: if.known-addrs-thr-def split: if-split-asm)
  apply(rename-tac status x status' x' m' a ws' t'' status'' x'' ln'')
  apply(case-tac thr s t'')
    apply(drule (2) redT-updTs-new-thread)
    apply clarsimp
    apply(drule (1) if-red-known-addrs-new-thread)
    apply simp
    apply(drule (1) subsetD)
    apply(rule-tac x=(status, x) in if.known-addrs-stateI)
    apply(simp)
    apply simp
  apply(frule-tac t=t'' in redT-updTs-Some, assumption)
  applyclarsimp
  apply(rule-tac x=(status'', x'') in if.known-addrs-stateI)
    apply simp
  apply(simp)
  apply(auto simp add: if.known-addrs-state-def if.known-addrs-thr-def split: if-split-asm)
done

lemma w-values-no-write-unchanged:
  assumes no-write:  $\bigwedge w. [\![ w \in set obs; is-write-action w; adal \in action-loc-aux P w ]\!] \Rightarrow False$ 
  shows w-values P vs obs adal = vs adal
using assms
proof(induct obs arbitrary: vs)
  case Nil show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons ob obs)
    from Cons.premis[of ob]
    have w-value P vs ob adal = vs adal
      by(cases adal)(cases ob rule: w-value-cases, auto simp add: addr-locs-def split: htype.split-asm,
blast+)
    moreover
    have w-values P (w-value P vs ob) obs adal = w-value P vs ob adal
    proof(rule Cons.hyps)
      fix w
      assume w ∈ set obs is-write-action w adal ∈ action-loc-aux P w
      with Cons.premis[of w] ⟨w-value P vs ob adal = vs adal⟩
      show False by simp
    qed
    ultimately show ?case by simp
  qed
lemma redT-non-speculative-known-addrs-allocated:

```

```

assumes red: mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'
and tasc: non-speculative P vs (llist-of {ta}o)
and ka: if.known-addrs-state s ⊆ allocated (shr s)
and vs: w-addrs vs ⊆ allocated (shr s)
shows if.known-addrs-state s' ⊆ allocated (shr s') (is ?thesis1)
and w-addrs (w-values P vs {ta}o) ⊆ allocated (shr s') (is ?thesis2)
proof -
  have ?thesis1 ∧ ?thesis2 using red
  proof(cases)
    case (redT-acquire x ln n)
    hence if.known-addrs-state s' = if.known-addrs-state s
    by(auto 4 4 simp add: if.known-addrs-state-def if.known-addrs-thr-def split: if-split-asm dest: bspec)
    also note ka
    also from redT-acquire have shr s = shr s' by simp
    finally have if.known-addrs-state s' ⊆ allocated (shr s') .
    moreover have w-values P vs {ta}o = vs using redT-acquire
    by(fastforce intro!: w-values-no-write-unchanged del: equalityI dest: convert-RA-not-write)
    ultimately show ?thesis using vs by(simp add: ⟨shr s = shr s'⟩)
  next
    case (redT-normal x x' m')
    note red = ⟨t ⊢ (x, shr s) -ta→i (x', m')⟩
    and tst = ⟨thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋⟩
    have allocated-subset: allocated (shr s) ⊆ allocated (shr s')
    using ⟨mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'⟩ by(rule init-fin-redT-allocated-mono)
    with vs have vs': w-addrs vs ⊆ allocated (shr s') by blast
    { fix obs obs'
      assume {ta}o = obs @ obs'
      moreover with tasc have non-speculative P vs (llist-of obs)
      by(simp add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] non-speculative-lappend del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)
      ultimately have w-addrs (w-values P vs obs) ∪ new-obs-addrs-if obs ⊆ allocated (shr s')
      (is ?concl obs)
      proof(induct obs arbitrary: obs' rule: rev-induct)
        case Nil thus ?case using vs' by(simp add: new-obs-addrs-if-def)
      next
        case (snoc ob obs)
        note ta = ⟨{ta}o = (obs @ [ob]) @ obs'⟩
        note tasc = ⟨non-speculative P vs (llist-of (obs @ [ob]))⟩
        from snoc have IH: ?concl obs
        by(simp add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] non-speculative-lappend del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)
        hence ?concl (obs @ [ob])
        proof(cases ob rule: mrw-value-cases)
          case (1 ad' al v)
          note ob = ⟨ob = NormalAction (WriteMem ad' al v)⟩
          with ta have Write: {ta}o ! length obs = NormalAction (WriteMem ad' al v) by simp
          show ?thesis
        proof
          fix ad'''
          assume ad''' ∈ w-addrs (w-values P vs (obs @ [ob])) ∪ new-obs-addrs-if (obs @ [ob])
          hence ad''' ∈ w-addrs (w-values P vs obs) ∪ new-obs-addrs-if obs ∨ v = Addr ad'''
          by(auto simp add: ob w-addrs-def ran-def new-obs-addrs-if-def split: if-split-asm)
          thus ad''' ∈ allocated (shr s')
        proof
          assume ad''' ∈ w-addrs (w-values P vs obs) ∪ new-obs-addrs-if obs

```

```

also note IH finally show ?thesis .
next
  assume v: v = Addr ad"
    with Write have {ta}o ! length obs = NormalAction (WriteMem ad' al (Addr ad")) by
simp
  with red have ad" ∈ known-addrs-if t x ∨ ad" ∈ new-obs-addrs-if (take (length obs)
{ta}o)
    by(rule if-red-write-knows-addr)(simp add: ta)
thus ?thesis
proof
  assume ad" ∈ known-addrs-if t x
  hence ad" ∈ if.known-addrs-state s using tst by(rule if.known-addrs-stateI)
  with ka allocated-subset show ?thesis by blast
next
  assume ad" ∈ new-obs-addrs-if (take (length obs) {ta}o)
  with ta have ad" ∈ new-obs-addrs-if obs by simp
  with IH show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
next
case (? ad hT)

hence ob: ob = NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad hT) by simp
hence w-addrs (w-values P vs (obs @ [ob])) ⊆ w-addrs (w-values P vs obs)
  by(cases hT)(auto simp add: w-addrs-def default-val-not-Addr Addr-not-default-val)
moreover from ob ta have NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad hT) ∈ set {ta}o by simp
from init-fin-red-New-allocatedD[OF red this] have ad ∈ allocated m' ..
with redT-normal have ad ∈ allocated (shr s') by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using IH ob by(auto simp add: new-obs-addrs-if-def)
next
case (? ad al v)
note ob = <ob = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)>
{ fix ad'
  assume v: v = Addr ad'
  with tasc ob have mrw: Addr ad' ∈ w-values P vs obs (ad, al)
    by(auto simp add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] non-speculative-lappend simp del:
lappend-llist-of-llist-of)
  hence ad' ∈ w-addrs (w-values P vs obs)
    by(auto simp add: w-addrs-def)
  with IH have ad' ∈ allocated (shr s') by blast }
  with ob IH show ?thesis by(cases v)(simp-all add: new-obs-addrs-if-def)
qed(simp-all add: new-obs-addrs-if-def)
thus ?case by simp
qed }
note this[of {ta}o []]
moreover have if.known-addrs-state s' ⊆ if.known-addrs-state s ∪ new-obs-addrs-if {ta}o
  using <mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'> by(rule if-redT-known-addrs-new)
ultimately show ?thesis using ka allocated-subset by blast
qed
thus ?thesis1 ?thesis2 by simp-all
qed

```

lemma *RedT-non-speculative-known-addrs-allocated*:

assumes *red: mthr.if.RedT s ttas s'*
and *tasc: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (concat (map ($\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o$) ttas)))*
and *ka: if.known-addrs-state s \subseteq allocated (shr s)*
and *vs: w-addrs vs \subseteq allocated (shr s)*
shows *if.known-addrs-state s' \subseteq allocated (shr s') (is ?thesis1 s')*
and *w-addrs (w-values P vs (concat (map ($\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o$) ttas))) \subseteq allocated (shr s') (is ?thesis2 s' ttas)*

proof –

from *red tasc* have ?thesis1 s' \wedge ?thesis2 s' ttas

proof(*induct rule: mthr.if.RedT-induct'*)

case *refl* thus ?case using *ka vs* by *simp*

next

case (step ttas s' t ta s'')

hence *non-speculative P (w-values P vs (concat (map ($\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o$) ttas))) (llist-of {ta}_o)*
and ?thesis1 s' ?thesis2 s' ttas
by(*simp-all add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] non-speculative-lappend del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of*)
from *redT-non-speculative-known-addrs-allocated[OF <mthr.if.redT s' (t, ta) s''> this]*
show ?case by *simp*

qed

thus ?thesis1 s' ?thesis2 s' ttas by *simp-all*

qed

lemma *read-ex-NewHeapElem [consumes 5, case-names start Red]*:

assumes *RedT: mthr.if.RedT (init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs)) ttas s*
and *red: mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'*
and *read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) \in set {ta}_o*
and *sc: non-speculative P ($\lambda_. \{ \}$) (llist-of (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs) @ concat (map ($\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o$) ttas)))*
and *known: known-addrs start-tid (f (fst (method P C M)) M (fst (snd (method P C M))) (fst (snd (snd (method P C M)))) (the (snd (snd (method P C M)))))) vs \subseteq allocated start-heap*
obtains (*start*) *CTn where NewHeapElem ad CTn \in set start-heap-obs*
| (*Red*) *ttas' s'' t' ta' s''' ttas'' CTn*
where *mthr.if.RedT (init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs)) ttas' s''*
and *mthr.if.redT s'' (t', ta') s'''*
and *mthr.if.RedT s''' ttas'' s*
and *ttas = ttas' @ (t', ta') # ttas''*
and *NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) \in set {ta'}_o*

proof –

let ?start-state = *init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs)*
let ?obs-prefix = *lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs*
let ?vs-start = *w-values P ($\lambda_. \{ \}$) (map snd ?obs-prefix)*

from *sc* have *non-speculative P (w-values P ($\lambda_. \{ \}$) (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs))) (llist-of (concat (map ($\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o$) ttas)))*
by(*simp add: non-speculative-lappend lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of*)
with *RedT* have *if.known-addrs-state s \subseteq allocated (shr s)*
proof(*rule RedT-non-speculative-known-addrs-allocated*)
show *if.known-addrs-state ?start-state \subseteq allocated (shr ?start-state)*
using *known*
by(*auto simp add: if.known-addrs-state-def if.known-addrs-thr-def start-state-def init-fin-lift-state-def split-beta split: if-split-asm*)

have *w-addrs ?vs-start \subseteq w-addrs ($\lambda_. \{ \}$)* by(*rule w-addrs-lift-start-heap-obs*)

```

thus w-addrs ?vs-start ⊆ allocated (shr ?start-state) by simp
qed
also from red read obtain x-ra x'-ra m'-ra
  where red'-ra: t ⊢ (x-ra, shr s) -ta→i (x'-ra, m'-ra)
  and s': redT-upd s t ta x'-ra m'-ra s'
  and ts-t: thr s t = ⌊(x-ra, no-wait-locks)⌋
  by cases auto
from red'-ra read
have ad ∈ known-addrs-if t x-ra by(rule if-red-read-knows-addr)
hence ad ∈ if.known-addrs-state s using ts-t by(rule if.known-addrs-stateI)
finally have ad ∈ allocated (shr s) .

show ?thesis
proof(cases ad ∈ allocated start-heap)
  case True
    then obtain CTn where NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set start-heap-obs
      unfolding start-addrs-allocated by(blast dest: start-addrs-NewHeapElem-start-heap-obsD)
      thus ?thesis by(rule start)
  next
    case False
    hence ad ∉ allocated (shr ?start-state) by(simp add: start-state-def split-beta shr-init-fin-lift-state)
    with RedT ⟨ad ∈ allocated (shr s)⟩ obtain t' ta' CTn
      where tta: (t', ta') ∈ set ttas
      and new: NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) ∈ set {ta'} o
      by(blast dest: init-fin-RedT-allocated-NewHeapElemD)
    from tta obtain ttas' ttas'' where ttas: ttas = ttas' @ (t', ta') # ttas'' by(auto dest: split-list)
    with RedT obtain s'' s'''"
      where mthr.if.RedT ?start-state ttas' s"""
      and mthr.if.redT s'' (t', ta') s'''"
      and mthr.if.RedT s''' ttas'' s
      unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def by(auto elim!: rtrancl3p-appendE dest!: converse-rtrancl3p-step)
      thus thesis using ttas new by(rule Red)
  qed
qed

end

locale known-addrs-typing =
  known-addrs
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  allocated known-addrs
  final r P
for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr → htype
and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool
and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
and allocated :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr set
and known-addrs :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'addr set

```

```

and final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event) semantics (- ⊢ - --> -
[50,0,0,50] 80)
and wfx :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
and P :: 'md prog
+
assumes wfs-non-speculative-invar:

$$\llbracket t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m'); wfx t x m; \begin{array}{l} vs\text{-conf } P m vs; non\text{-speculative } P vs (llist\text{-of } (map NormalAction \{ta\}_o)) \\ \implies wfx t x' m' \end{array} \rrbracket$$

and wfs-non-speculative-spawn:

$$\llbracket t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m'); wfx t x m; \begin{array}{l} vs\text{-conf } P m vs; non\text{-speculative } P vs (llist\text{-of } (map NormalAction \{ta\}_o)); \\ NewThread t'' x'' m'' \in set \{ta\}_t \\ \implies wfx t'' x'' m'' \end{array} \rrbracket$$

and wfs-non-speculative-other:

$$\llbracket t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m'); wfx t x m; \begin{array}{l} vs\text{-conf } P m vs; non\text{-speculative } P vs (llist\text{-of } (map NormalAction \{ta\}_o)); \\ wfx t'' x'' m \\ \implies wfx t'' x'' m' \end{array} \rrbracket$$

and wfs-non-speculative-vs-conf:

$$\llbracket t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m'); wfx t x m; \begin{array}{l} vs\text{-conf } P m vs; non\text{-speculative } P vs (llist\text{-of } (take n (map NormalAction \{ta\}_o))) \\ \implies vs\text{-conf } P m' (w\text{-values } P vs (take n (map NormalAction \{ta\}_o))) \end{array} \rrbracket$$

and red-read-typeable:

$$\llbracket t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m'); wfx t x m; ReadMem ad al v \in set \{ta\}_o \rrbracket \implies \exists T. P, m \vdash ad @ al : T$$

and red-NewHeapElemD:

$$\llbracket t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m'); wfx t x m; NewHeapElem ad hT \in set \{ta\}_o \rrbracket \implies typeof-addr m' ad = [hT]$$

and red-hext-incr:

$$\llbracket t \vdash (x, m) \rightarrow (x', m'); wfx t x m; \begin{array}{l} vs\text{-conf } P m vs; non\text{-speculative } P vs (llist\text{-of } (map NormalAction \{ta\}_o)) \\ \implies m \trianglelefteq m' \end{array} \rrbracket$$

begin

lemma redT-wfs-non-speculative-invar:
assumes redT: mthr.redT s (t, ta) s'
and wfx: ts-ok wfx (thr s) (shr s)
and vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs
and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction \{ta\}_o))
shows ts-ok wfx (thr s') (shr s')
using redT
proof(cases)
case (redT-normal x x' m')
with vs wfx ns show ?thesis
  apply(clar simp intro!: ts-okI split: if-split-asm)
  apply(erule wfs-non-speculative-invar, auto dest: ts-okD)
  apply(rename-tac t' x' ln ws')
  apply(case-tac thr s t')
  apply(frule (2) redT-updTs-new-thread, clarify)
  apply(frule (1) mthr.new-thread-memory)
  apply(auto intro: wfs-non-speculative-other wfs-non-speculative-spawn dest: ts-okD simp add: redT-updTs-Some)
  done

```

```

next
  case (redT-acquire x ln n)
    thus ?thesis using wfx by(auto intro!: ts-okI dest: ts-okD split: if-split-asm)
  qed

lemma redT-wfs-non-speculative-vs-conf:
  assumes redT: mthr.redT s (t, ta) s'
  and wfx: ts-ok wfx (thr s) (shr s)
  and conf: vs-conf P (shr s) vs
  and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (take n (map NormalAction {ta}o)))
  shows vs-conf P (shr s') (w-values P vs (take n (map NormalAction {ta}o)))
using redT
proof(cases)
  case (redT-normal x x' m')
    thus ?thesis using ns conf wfx by(auto dest: wfs-non-speculative-vs-conf ts-okD)
next
  case (redT-acquire x l ln)
    have w-values P vs (take n (map NormalAction (convert-RA ln :: ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event list))) = vs
      by(fastforce dest: in-set-takeD simp add: convert-RA-not-write intro!: w-values-no-write-unchanged del: equalityI)
    thus ?thesis using conf redT-acquire by(auto)
  qed

lemma if-redT-non-speculative-invar:
  assumes red: mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'
  and ts-ok: ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s) (shr s)
  and sc: non-speculative P vs (llist-of {ta}o)
  and vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs
  shows ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s') (shr s')
proof -
  let ?s = λs. (locks s, (λt. map-option (λ((status, x), ln). (x, ln)) (thr s t), shr s), wset s, interrupts s)

  from ts-ok have ts-ok': ts-ok wfx (thr (?s s)) (shr (?s s)) by(auto intro!: ts-okI dest: ts-okD)
  from vs have vs': vs-conf P (shr (?s s)) vs simp

  from red show ?thesis
  proof(cases)
    case (redT-normal x x' m)
    note tst = ⟨thr s t = |(x, no-wait-locks)|⟩
    from ⟨t ⊢ (x, shr s) -ta→i (x', m)⟩
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases)
      case (NormalAction X TA X')
      from ⟨ta = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial TA)⟩ ⟨mthr.if.actions-ok s t ta⟩
      have mthr.actions-ok (?s s) t TA
        by(auto elim: rev-iffD1[OF - thread-oks-ts-change] cond-action-oks-final-change)

      with tst NormalAction ⟨redT-upd s t ta x' m s'⟩ have mthr.redT (?s s) (t, TA) (?s s')
        using map-redT-updT[of snd thr s {ta}t]
        by(auto intro!: mthr.redT.intros simp add: split-def map-prod-def o-def fun-eq-iff)
      moreover note ts-ok' vs'
      moreover from ⟨ta = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial TA)⟩ have {ta}o = map NormalAc-

```

```

tion  $\{TA\}_o$  by(auto)
  with sc have non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction  $\{TA\}_o$ )) by simp
  ultimately have ts-ok wfx (thr (?s s')) (shr (?s s'))
    by(auto dest: redT-wfs-non-speculative-invar)
  thus ?thesis using  $\langle \{ta\}_o = map\ NormalAction\ \{TA\}_o \rangle$  by(auto intro!: ts-okI dest: ts-okD)
next
  case InitialThreadAction
  with redT-normal ts-ok' vs show ?thesis
    by(auto 4 3 intro!: ts-okI dest: ts-okD split: if-split-asm)
next
  case ThreadFinishAction
  with redT-normal ts-ok' vs show ?thesis
    by(auto 4 3 intro!: ts-okI dest: ts-okD split: if-split-asm)
qed
next
  case (redT-acquire x ln l)
  thus ?thesis using vs ts-ok by(auto 4 3 intro!: ts-okI dest: ts-okD split: if-split-asm)
qed
qed

lemma if-redT-non-speculative-vs-conf:
  assumes red: mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'
  and ts-ok: ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s) (shr s)
  and sc: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (take n  $\{ta\}_o$ ))
  and vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs
  shows vs-conf P (shr s') (w-values P vs (take n  $\{ta\}_o$ ))

proof -
  let ?s =  $\lambda s. (locks\ s, (\lambda t. map\text{-}option\ (\lambda((status, x), ln). (x, ln))\ (thr\ s\ t), shr\ s), wset\ s, interrupts\ s)$ 

  from ts-ok have ts-ok': ts-ok wfx (thr (?s s)) (shr (?s s)) by(auto intro!: ts-okI dest: ts-okD)
  from vs have vs': vs-conf P (shr (?s s)) vs by simp

  from red show ?thesis
  proof(cases)
    case (redT-normal x x' m)
    note tst =  $\langle thr\ s\ t = \lfloor (x, no\text{-}wait\text{-}locks) \rfloor \rangle$ 
    from  $\langle t \vdash (x, shr\ s) - ta \rightarrow i(x', m) \rangle$ 
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases)
      case (NormalAction X TA X')
      from  $\langle ta = convert\text{-}TA\text{-}initial (convert\text{-}obs\text{-}initial TA) \rangle$   $\langle mthr.\text{if}.actions\text{-}ok\ s\ t\ ta \rangle$ 
      have mthr.actions-ok (?s s) t TA
        by(auto elim: rev-iffD1[OF - thread-oks-ts-change] cond-action-oks-final-change)

      with tst NormalAction  $\langle redT\text{-}upd\ s\ t\ ta\ x'\ m\ s' \rangle$  have mthr.redT (?s s) (t, TA) (?s s')
        using map-redT-updTs[of snd thr s  $\{ta\}_t$ ]
        by(auto intro!: mthr.redT.intros simp add: split-def map-prod-def o-def fun-eq-iff)
        moreover note ts-ok' vs'
      moreover from  $\langle ta = convert\text{-}TA\text{-}initial (convert\text{-}obs\text{-}initial TA) \rangle$  have  $\{ta\}_o = map\ NormalAction\ \{TA\}_o$  by(auto)
        with sc have non-speculative P vs (llist-of (take n (map NormalAction  $\{TA\}_o$ ))) by simp
        ultimately have vs-conf P (shr (?s s')) (w-values P vs (take n (map NormalAction  $\{TA\}_o$ )))
          by(auto dest: redT-wfs-non-speculative-vs-conf)

```

```

thus ?thesis using ‹{ta}o = map NormalAction {TA}o› by(auto)
next
  case InitialThreadAction
  with redT-normal vs show ?thesis by(auto simp add: take-Cons')
next
  case ThreadFinishAction
  with redT-normal vs show ?thesis by(auto simp add: take-Cons')
qed
next
  case (redT-acquire x l ln)
  have w-values P vs (take n (map NormalAction (convert-RA ln :: ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event
list))) = vs
    by(fastforce simp add: convert-RA-not-write take-Cons' dest: in-set-takeD intro!: w-values-no-write-unchanged
del: equalityI)
  thus ?thesis using vs redT-acquire by auto
qed
qed

lemma if-RedT-non-speculative-invar:
assumes red: mthr.if.RedT s ttas s'
and tsok: ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s) (shr s)
and sc: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
and vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs
shows ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s') (shr s') (is ?thesis1)
  and vs-conf P (shr s') (w-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas))) (is ?thesis2)
using red tsok sc vs unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def
proof(induct arbitrary: vs rule: rtrancl3p-converse-induct')
  case refl
  case 1 thus ?case by -
  case 2 thus ?case by simp
next
  case (step s tta s' ttas)
  obtain t ta where tta: tta = (t, ta) by(cases tta)

  case 1
  hence sc1: non-speculative P vs (llist-of {ta}o)
    and sc2: non-speculative P (w-values P vs {ta}o) (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
      unfolding lconcat-llist-of[symmetric] lmap-llist-of[symmetric] llist.map-comp o-def llist-of.simps
      llist.map(2) lconcat-LCons tta
      by(simp-all add: non-speculative-lappend list-of-lconcat o-def)
      from if-redT-non-speculative-invar[OF step(2)[unfolded tta] - sc1] if-redT-non-speculative-vs-conf[OF
      step(2)[unfolded tta], where vs = vs and n=length {ta}o 1 step.hyps(3)[of w-values P vs {ta}o] sc2
      sc1
      show ?case by simp

  case 2
  hence sc1: non-speculative P vs (llist-of {ta}o)
    and sc2: non-speculative P (w-values P vs {ta}o) (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
      unfolding lconcat-llist-of[symmetric] lmap-llist-of[symmetric] llist.map-comp o-def llist-of.simps
      llist.map(2) lconcat-LCons tta
      by(simp-all add: non-speculative-lappend list-of-lconcat o-def)
      from if-redT-non-speculative-invar[OF step(2)[unfolded tta] - sc1] if-redT-non-speculative-vs-conf[OF
      step(2)[unfolded tta], where vs = vs and n=length {ta}o 2 step.hyps(4)[of w-values P vs {ta}o] sc2
      sc1

```

```

show ?case by(simp add: tta o-def)
qed

lemma init-fin-hext-incr:
assumes t ⊢ (x, m) -ta→i (x', m')
and init-fin-lift wfx t x m
and non-speculative P vs (llist-of {ta}o)
and vs-conf P m vs
shows m ⊑ m'
using assms
by(cases)(auto intro: red-hext-incr)

lemma init-fin-redT-hext-incr:
assumes mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'
and ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s) (shr s)
and non-speculative P vs (llist-of {ta}o)
and vs-conf P (shr s) vs
shows shr s ⊑ shr s'
using assms
by(cases)(auto dest: init-fin-hext-incr ts-okD)

lemma init-fin-RedT-hext-incr:
assumes mthr.if.RedT s ttas s'
and ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s) (shr s)
and sc: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
and vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs
shows shr s ⊑ shr s'
using assms
proof(induction rule: mthr.if.RedT-induct')
  case refl thus ?case by simp
next
  case (step ttas s' t ta s'')
    note ts-ok = <ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s) (shr s)>
    from <non-speculative P vs (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (ttas @ [(t, ta)]))))>
    have ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
      and ns': non-speculative P (w-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas))) (llist-of {ta}o)
        by(simp-all add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] non-speculative-lappend del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)
    from ts-ok ns have shr s ⊑ shr s'
      using <vs-conf P (shr s) vs> by(rule step.IH)
    also have ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s') (shr s')
      using <mthr.if.RedT s ttas s'> ts-ok ns <vs-conf P (shr s) vs>
      by(rule if-RedT-non-speculative-invar)
    with <mthr.if.redT s' (t, ta) s''>
    have ... ⊑ shr s'' using ns'
    proof(rule init-fin-redT-hext-incr)
      from <mthr.if.RedT s ttas s'> ts-ok ns <vs-conf P (shr s) vs>
      show vs-conf P (shr s') (w-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
        by(rule if-RedT-non-speculative-invar)
    qed
    finally show ?case .
qed

lemma init-fin-red-read-typeable:
assumes t ⊢ (x, m) -ta→i (x', m')

```

and *init-fin-lift wfx t x m NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ set {ta}o*
shows $\exists T. P, m \vdash ad @ al : T$
using assms
by cases(auto dest: red-read-typeable)

lemma *Ex-new-action-for:*
assumes *wf: wf-syscls P*
and *wfx-start: ts-ok wfx (thr (start-state f P C M vs)) start-heap*
and *ka: known-addrs start-tid (f (fst (method P C M)) M (fst (snd (method P C M))) (fst (snd (method P C M)))) (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))) vs) ⊆ allocated start-heap*
and *E: E ∈ E-start f P C M vs status*
and *read: ra ∈ read-actions E*
and *aloc: adal ∈ action-loc P E ra*
and *sc: non-speculative P (λ-. {}) (ltake (enat ra) (lmap snd E))*
shows $\exists wa. wa \in new-actions-for P E adal \wedge wa < ra$
proof –
let *?obs-prefix = lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs*
let *?start-state = init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs)*

from *start-state-vs-conf[OF wf]*
have *vs-conf-start: vs-conf P start-heap (w-values P (λ-. {}) (map NormalAction start-heap-obs))*
by(simp add: lift-start-obs-def o-def)

obtain *ad al where adal: adal = (ad, al)* **by(cases adal)**
with *read aloc obtain v where ra: action-obs E ra = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)*
and *ra-len: enat ra < llength E*
by(cases lnth E ra)(auto elim!: read-actions.cases actionsE)

from E obtain E'' where E: E = lappend (llist-of ?obs-prefix) E''
and E'': E'' ∈ mthr.if.Ε ?start-state **by(auto)**
from E'' obtain E' where E': E'' = lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E')
and τRuns: mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state E' by(rule mthr.if.Ε.cases)

have *ra-len': length ?obs-prefix ≤ ra*
proof(rule ccontr)
assume $\neg ?thesis$
hence *ra < length ?obs-prefix* **by simp**
moreover with *ra ra-len E obtain ra' ad al v*
where *start-heap-obs ! ra' = ReadMem ad al v* $ra' < length start-heap-obs$
by(cases ra)(auto simp add: lnth-LCons lnth-lappend1 action-obs-def lift-start-obs-def)
ultimately have *ReadMem ad al v ∈ set start-heap-obs* **unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast**
thus False by(simp add: start-heap-obs-not-Read)
qed
let *?n = length ?obs-prefix*
from *ra ra-len ra-len' E have enat (ra - ?n) < llength E''*
and *ra-obs: action-obs E'' (ra - ?n) = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)*
by(cases llength E'', auto simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend2)

from *τRuns <enat (ra - ?n) < llength E''> obtain ra-m ra-n t-ra ta-ra*
where *E-ra: lnth E'' (ra - ?n) = (t-ra, {ta-ra}o ! ra-n)*
and *ra-n: ra-n < length {ta-ra}o* **and** *ra-m: enat ra-m < llength E'*
and *ra-conv: ra - ?n = (∑ i < ra-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o) + ra-n*
and *E'-ra-m: lnth E' ra-m = (t-ra, ta-ra)*
unfolding E' by(rule mthr.if.actions-Ε E-aux)

```

let ?E' = ldropn (Suc ra-m) E'

have E'-unfold: E' = lappend (ltake (enat ra-m) E') (LCons (lnth E' ra-m) ?E')
  unfolding ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn[OF ra-m] by simp
hence mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state (lappend (ltake (enat ra-m) E') (LCons (lnth E' ra-m) ?E')) using τRuns by simp
then obtain σ' where σ-σ': mthr.if.mthr.Trsys ?start-state (list-of (ltake (enat ra-m) E')) σ'
  and τRuns': mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ' (LCons (lnth E' ra-m) ?E')
  by(rule mthr.if.mthr.Runs-lappendE) simp
from τRuns' obtain σ'' where red-ra: mthr.if.redT σ' (t-ra, ta-ra) σ''
  and τRuns'': mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ'' ?E'
  unfolding E'-ra-m by cases

from E-ra ra-n ra-obs have NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ set {ta-ra}o
  by(auto simp add: action-obs-def in-set-conv-nth)
with red-ra obtain x-ra x'-ra m'-ra
  where red'-ra: mthr.init-fin t-ra (x-ra, shr σ') ta-ra (x'-ra, m'-ra)
  and σ'': redT-upd σ' t-ra ta-ra x'-ra m'-ra σ''
  and ts-t-a: thr σ' t-ra = |(x-ra, no-wait-locks)|
  by cases auto
from red'-ra ⟨NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ set {ta-ra}o⟩
obtain ta'-ra X-ra X'-ra
  where x-ra: x-ra = (Running, X-ra)
  and x'-ra: x'-ra = (Running, X'-ra)
  and ta-ra: ta-ra = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta'-ra)
  and red''-ra: t-ra ⊢ (X-ra, shr σ') - ta'-ra → (X'-ra, m'-ra)
  by cases fastforce+

from ⟨NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ set {ta-ra}o⟩ ta-ra
have ReadMem ad al v ∈ set {ta'-ra}o by auto

from wfx-start have wfx-start: ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr ?start-state) (shr ?start-state)
  by(simp add: start-state-def split-beta)

from sc ra-len'
have non-speculative P (w-values P (λ-. {})) (map snd ?obs-prefix))
  (lmap snd (ltake (enat (ra - ?n))) (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E'))))
  unfolding E E' by(simp add: ltake-lappend2 lmap-lappend-distrib non-speculative-lappend)
also note ra-conv also note plus-enat-simps(1)[symmetric]
also have enat (∑ i<ra-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o) = (∑ i<ra-m. enat (length {snd (lnth E' i)}o))
  by(subst sum-comp-morphism[symmetric])(simp-all add: zero-enat-def)
also have ... = (∑ i<ra-m. llength (lnth (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E') i))
  using ra-m by-(rule sum.cong[OF refl], simp add: le-less-trans[where y=enat ra-m] split-beta)
also note ltake-plus-conv-lappend also note lconcat-ltake[symmetric]
also note lmap-lappend-distrib
also note non-speculative-lappend
finally have non-speculative P (w-values P (λ-. {})) (map snd ?obs-prefix)) (lmap snd (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (llist-of (list-of (ltake (enat ra-m) E'))))))
  by(simp add: split-def)
hence sc': non-speculative P (w-values P (λ-. {})) (map snd ?obs-prefix)) (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (list-of (ltake (enat ra-m) E')))))
  unfolding lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp o-def lconcat-llist-of[symmetric] lmap-llist-of[symmetric]

```

```

by(simp add: split-beta o-def)

from vs-conf-start have vs-conf-start: vs-conf P (shr ?start-state) (w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd
?obs-prefix))
  by(simp add:init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps start-state-def split-beta lift-start-obs-def o-def)
  with σ-σ' wfx-start sc' have ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr σ') (shr σ')
    unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def[symmetric] by(rule if-RedT-non-speculative-invar)
  with ts-t-a have wfx t-ra X-ra (shr σ') unfolding x-ra by(auto dest: ts-okD)

with red''-ra <ReadMem ad al v ∈ set {ta'-ra}o>
obtain T' where type-adal: P,shr σ' ⊢ ad@al : T' by(auto dest: red-read-typeable)

from sc ra-len' have non-speculative P (λ-. {}) (llist-of (map snd ?obs-prefix))
  unfolding E by(simp add: ltake-lappend2 lmap-lappend-distrib non-speculative-lappend)
  with sc' have sc'': non-speculative P (λ-. {}) (llist-of (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)
@ concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (list-of (ltake (enat ra-m) E')))))
  by(simp add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] non-speculative-lappend del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)

from σ-σ' red-ra <NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ set {ta-ra}o> sc'' ka
show ∃ wa. wa ∈ new-actions-for P E adal ∧ wa < ra
  unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def[symmetric]
proof(cases rule: read-ex-NewHeapElem)
  case (start CTn)
  then obtain n where n: start-heap-obs ! n = NewHeapElem ad CTn
    and len: n < length start-heap-obs
    unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast
  from len have Suc n ∈ actions E unfolding E by(simp add: actions-def enat-less-enat-plusI)
  moreover
  from σ-σ' have hext: start-heap ≤ shr σ' unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def[symmetric]
    using wfx-start sc' vs-conf-start
  by(auto dest!: init-fin-RedT-hext-incr simp add: start-state-def split-beta init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps)

from start have typeof-addr start-heap ad = [CTn]
  by(auto dest: NewHeapElem-start-heap-obsD[OF wf])
  with hext have typeof-addr (shr σ') ad = [CTn] by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)
  with type-adal have adal ∈ action-loc P E (Suc n) using n len unfolding E adal
    by cases(auto simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend1 lift-start-obs-def)
  moreover have is-new-action (action-obs E (Suc n)) using n len unfolding E
    by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend1 lift-start-obs-def)
  ultimately have Suc n ∈ new-actions-for P E adal by(rule new-actionsI)
  moreover have Suc n < ra using ra-len' len by(simp)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
next
  case (Red ttas' s'' t' ta' s''' ttas'' CTn)

from <NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) ∈ set {ta'}o>
obtain obs obs' where obs: {ta'}o = obs @ NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) # obs'
  by(auto dest: split-list)

let ?wa = ?n + length (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas')) + length obs
have enat (length (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas')) + length obs) < enat (length (concat (map
(λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (ttas' @ [(t', ta')]))) )
  using obs by simp
also have ... = llength (lconcat (lmap llist-of (lmap (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (llist-of (ttas' @ [(t', ta')]))) )) )

```

```

by(simp del: map-map map-append add: lconcat-llist-of)
also have ... ≤ llength (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta} o) (llist-of (ttas' @ (t', ta') # ttas''))))
  by(auto simp add: o-def split-def intro: lprefix-llist-ofI intro!: lprefix-lconcatI lprefix-llength-le)
also note len-less = calculation
have ... ≤ (∑ i<ra-m. llength (lnth (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta} o) E') i))
  unfolding <list-of (ltake (enat ra-m) E') = ttas' @ (t', ta') # ttas'''[symmetric]
  by(simp add: ltake-lmap[symmetric] lconcat-ltake del: ltake-lmap)
also have ... = enat (∑ i<ra-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) using ra-m
  by(subst sum-comp-morphism[symmetric, where h=enat])(auto intro: sum.cong simp add:
zero-enat-def less-trans[where y=enat ra-m] split-beta)
also have ... ≤ enat (ra - ?n) unfolding ra-conv by simp
finally have enat-length: enat (length (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta} o) ttas')) + length obs) < enat
(ra - length (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)) .
then have wa-ra: ?wa < ra by simp
with ra-len have ?wa ∈ actions E by(cases llength E)(simp-all add: actions-def)
moreover
from <mthr.if.redT s'' (t', ta') s'''> <NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) ∈ set {ta'} o>
obtain x-wa x-wa' where ts''t': thr s'' t' = |(x-wa, no-wait-locks)|
  and red-wa: mthr.init-fin t' (x-wa, shr s'') ta' (x-wa', shr s'''')
  by(cases) fastforce+
from sc'
have ns: non-speculative P (w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd ?obs-prefix)) (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t,
ta). {ta} o) ttas'))))
  and ns': non-speculative P (w-values P (w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd ?obs-prefix)) (concat (map
(λ(t, ta). {ta} o) ttas')))) (llist-of {ta} o)
  and ns'': non-speculative P (w-values P (w-values P (w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd ?obs-prefix))
(concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta} o) ttas')))) {ta} o) (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta} o) ttas'')))
  unfolding <list-of (ltake (enat ra-m) E') = ttas' @ (t', ta') # ttas'''
  by(simp-all add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] lmap-lappend-distrib non-speculative-lappend
del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)
from <mthr.if.RedT ?start-state ttas' s''> wfx-start ns
have ts-ok'': ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s'') (shr s'')
  using vs-conf-start by(rule if-RedT-non-speculative-invar)
with ts''t' have wfxt': wfx t' (snd x-wa) (shr s'') by(cases x-wa)(auto dest: ts-okD)

{
  have action-obs E ?wa =
    snd (lnth (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta} o)) E')) (length (concat (map (λ(t,
y). {y} o) ttas')) + length obs)))
    unfolding E E' by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend2)
  also from enat-length <enat (ra - ?n) < llength E''>
  have ... = lnth (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta} o) E')) (length (concat (map (λ(t, y). {y} o)
ttas')) + length obs))
    unfolding E'
    by(subst lnth-lmap[symmetric, where f=snd])(erule (1) less-trans, simp add: lmap-lconcat
llist.map-comp split-def o-def)
  also from len-less
  have enat (length (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta} o) ttas')) + length obs) < llength (lconcat (ltake
(enat ra-m) (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta} o) E'))))
    unfolding <list-of (ltake (enat ra-m) E') = ttas' @ (t', ta') # ttas'''[symmetric]
    by(simp add: ltake-lmap[symmetric] del: ltake-lmap)
  note lnth-lconcat-ltake[OF this, symmetric]
  also note ltake-lmap
}

```

```

also have ltake (enat ra-m) E' = llist-of (list-of (ltake (enat ra-m) E')) by(simp)
also note <list-of (ltake (enat ra-m) E') = ttas' @ (t', ta') # ttas'',
also note lmap-llist-of also have ( $\lambda(t, ta). llist-of \{ta\}_o$ ) = llist-of  $\circ (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o)$ 
by(simp add: o-def split-def)
also note map-map[symmetric] also note lconcat-llist-of
also note lnth-llist-of
also have concat (map ( $\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o$ ) (ttas' @ (t', ta') # ttas'')) ! (length (concat (map ( $\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o$ ) ttas'))) + length obs) = NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn)
by(simp add: nth-append obs)
finally have action-obs E ?wa = NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) .
}

note wa-obs = this

from <mthr.if.RedT ?start-state ttas' s''> wfx-start ns vs-conf-start
have vs'': vs-conf P (shr s'') (w-values P (w-values P ( $\lambda\cdot \{\}$ ) (map snd ?obs-prefix)) (concat (map ( $\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o$ ) ttas'))))
by(rule if-RedT-non-speculative-invar)
from if-redT-non-speculative-vs-conf[OF <mthr.if.redT s'' (t', ta') s'''> ts-ok'' - vs'', of length
{ta'}_o ns']
have vs'': vs-conf P (shr s'') (w-values P (w-values P (w-values P ( $\lambda\cdot \{\}$ ) (map snd ?obs-prefix)) (concat (map ( $\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o$ ) ttas')))) {ta'}_o
by simp

from <mthr.if.redT s'' (t', ta') s'''> ts-ok'' ns' vs''
have ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s'') (shr s'')
by(rule if-redT-non-speculative-invar)
with <mthr.if.RedT s''' ttas''  $\sigma'\trianglelefteq$  shr  $\sigma'$  using ns'' vs'''
by(rule init-fin-RedT-hext-incr)

from red-wa have typeof-addr (shr s'') ad =  $\lfloor CTn \rfloor$ 
using wfxt' <NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn)  $\in$  set {ta'}_o> by cases(auto dest: red-NewHeapElemD)
with hext have typeof-addr (shr  $\sigma'$ ) ad =  $\lfloor CTn \rfloor$  by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)
with type-adal have adal  $\in$  action-loc P E ?wa using wa-obs unfolding E adal
by cases(auto simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend1 lift-start-obs-def)
moreover have is-new-action (action-obs E ?wa) using wa-obs by simp
ultimately have ?wa  $\in$  new-actions-for P E adal by(rule new-actionsI)
thus ?thesis using wa-ra by blast
qed
qed

lemma executions-sc-hb:
assumes wf-syscls P
and ts-ok wfx (thr (start-state f P C M vs)) start-heap
and known-addrs start-tid (f (fst (method P C M)) M (fst (snd (method P C M))) (fst (snd (snd (method P C M)))) (the (snd (snd (method P C M))))) vs)  $\subseteq$  allocated start-heap
shows
executions-sc-hb (E-start f P C M vs status) P
(is executions-sc-hb ?E P)

proof
fix E a adal a'
assume E  $\in$  ?E a  $\in$  new-actions-for P E adal a'  $\in$  new-actions-for P E adal
thus a = a' by(rule E-new-actions-for-unique)
next

```

```

fix E ra adal
assume E ∈ ?E ra ∈ read-actions E adal ∈ action-loc P E ra
  and non-speculative P (λ-. {}) (ltake (enat ra) (lmap snd E))
with assms show ∃ wa. wa ∈ new-actions-for P E adal ∧ wa < ra
  by(rule Ex-new-action-for)
qed

lemma executions-aux:
assumes wf: wf-syscls P
and wfx-start: ts-ok wfx (thr (start-state f P C M vs)) start-heap (is ts-ok wfx (thr ?start-state) -)
  and ka: known-addrs start-tid (f (fst (method P C M)) M (fst (snd (method P C M))) (fst (snd (snd (method P C M)))) (the (snd (snd (method P C M)))) vs) ⊆ allocated start-heap
  shows executions-aux (E-start f P C M vs status) P
  (is executions-aux ?E P)
proof
  fix E a adal a'
  assume E ∈ ?E a ∈ new-actions-for P E adal a' ∈ new-actions-for P E adal
  thus a = a' by(rule E-new-actions-for-unique)
next
  fix E ws r adal
  assume E: E ∈ ?E
  and wf-exec: P ⊢ (E, ws) √
  and read: r ∈ read-actions E adal ∈ action-loc P E r
  and sc: ∏ a. [a < r; a ∈ read-actions E] ⇒ P, E ⊢ a ~mrw ws a

  interpret jmm: executions-sc-hb ?E P
  using wf wfx-start ka by(rule executions-sc-hb)

  from E wf-exec sc
  have ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (ltake (enat r) (lmap snd E))
    unfolding ltake-lmap by(rule jmm.ta-seq-consist-mrwI) simp
  hence non-speculative P (λ-. {}) (ltake (enat r) (lmap snd E))
    by(rule ta-seq-consist-into-non-speculative) simp
  with wf wfx-start ka E read
  have ∃ i. i ∈ new-actions-for P E adal ∧ i < r
    by(rule Ex-new-action-for)
  thus ∃ i < r. i ∈ new-actions-for P E adal by blast
qed

lemma drf:
assumes cut-and-update:
  if.cut-and-update
    (init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs))
    (mrw-values P Map.empty (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)))
    (is if.cut-and-update ?start-state (mrw-values - - (map - ?start-heap-obs)))
  and wf: wf-syscls P
  and wfx-start: ts-ok wfx (thr (start-state f P C M vs)) start-heap
  and ka: known-addrs start-tid (f (fst (method P C M)) M (fst (snd (method P C M))) (fst (snd (snd (method P C M)))) (the (snd (snd (method P C M)))) vs) ⊆ allocated start-heap
  shows drf (E-start f P C M vs status) P (is drf ?E -)
proof –
  interpret jmm: executions-sc-hb ?E P
  using wf wfx-start ka by(rule executions-sc-hb)

```

```

let ?n = length ?start-heap-obs
let ?E' = lappend (llist-of ?start-heap-obs) ` mthr.if.ε ?start-state

show ?thesis
proof
  fix E ws r
  assume E: E ∈ ?E'
    and wf: P ⊢ (E, ws) √
    and mrw: ⋀ a. [ a < r; a ∈ read-actions E ] ⇒ P, E ⊢ a ↠ mrw ws a
  show ∃ E' ∈ ?E'. ∃ ws'. P ⊢ (E', ws') √ ∧ ltake (enat r) E = ltake (enat r) E' ∧
    sequentially-consistent P (E', ws') ∧
    action-tid E r = action-tid E' r ∧ action-obs E r ≈ action-obs E' r ∧
    (r ∈ actions E → r ∈ actions E')
  proof(cases ∃ r'. r' ∈ read-actions E ∧ r ≤ r')
    case False
      have sequentially-consistent P (E, ws)
      proof(rule sequentially-consistentI)
        fix a
        assume a ∈ read-actions E
        with False have a < r by auto
        thus P, E ⊢ a ↠ mrw ws a using ⟨a ∈ read-actions E⟩ by(rule mrw)
      qed
      moreover have action-obs E r ≈ action-obs E r by(rule sim-action-refl)
      ultimately show ?thesis using wf E by blast
    next
      case True
      let ?P = λr'. r' ∈ read-actions E ∧ r ≤ r'
      let ?r = Least ?P
      from True obtain r' where r': ?P r' by blast
      hence r: ?P ?r by(rule LeastI)
      {
        fix a
        assume a < ?r a ∈ read-actions E
        have P, E ⊢ a ↠ mrw ws a
        proof(cases a < r)
          case True
          thus ?thesis using ⟨a ∈ read-actions E⟩ by(rule mrw)
        next
          case False
          with ⟨a ∈ read-actions E⟩ have ?P a by simp
          hence ?r ≤ a by(rule Least-le)
          with ⟨a < ?r⟩ have False by simp
          thus ?thesis ..
        qed }
      note mrw' = this

      from E obtain E'' where E: E = lappend (llist-of ?start-heap-obs) E''
        and E'': E'' ∈ mthr.if.ε ?start-state by auto

      from E'' obtain E' where E': E'' = lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta} o)) E')
        and τRuns: mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state E'
        by(rule mthr.if.ε.cases)

      have r-len: length ?start-heap-obs ≤ ?r
    
```

```

proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg ?thesis$ 
  hence  $?r < length ?start-heap-obs$  by simp
  moreover with  $r E$  obtain  $t ad al v$  where  $?start-heap-obs ! ?r = (t, NormalAction (ReadMem$ 
 $ad al v))$ 
    by(cases  $?start-heap-obs ! ?r$ )(fastforce elim!: read-actions.cases simp add: actions-def ac-
    tion-obs-def lnth-lappend1)
    ultimately have  $(t, NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)) \in set ?start-heap-obs$  unfolding
    in-set-conv-nth by blast
    thus False by(auto simp add: start-heap-obs-not-Read)
  qed
  let  $?n = length ?start-heap-obs$ 
  from  $r r\text{-}len E$  have  $r: ?r - ?n \in read\text{-}actions E''$ 
    by(fastforce elim!: read-actions.cases simp add: actions-lappend action-obs-def lnth-lappend2
    elim: actionsE intro: read-actions.intros)

  from  $r$  have  $?r - ?n \in actions E''$  by(auto)
  hence  $enat (?r - ?n) < llength E''$  by(rule actionsE)
  with  $\tau Runs$  obtain  $r\text{-}m r\text{-}n t\text{-}r ta\text{-}r$ 
    where  $E\text{-}r: lnth E'' (?r - ?n) = (t\text{-}r, \{ta\text{-}r\}_o ! r\text{-}n)$ 
    and  $r\text{-}n: r\text{-}n < length \{ta\text{-}r\}_o$  and  $r\text{-}m: enat r\text{-}m < llength E'$ 
    and  $r\text{-}conv: ?r - ?n = (\sum i < r\text{-}m. length \{snd (lnth E' i)\}_o) + r\text{-}n$ 
    and  $E'\text{-}r\text{-}m: lnth E' r\text{-}m = (t\text{-}r, ta\text{-}r)$ 
    unfolding  $E'$  by(rule mthr.if.actions-E-aux)

  let  $?E' = ldropn (Suc r\text{-}m) E'$ 
  let  $?r\text{-}m\text{-}E' = ltake (enat r\text{-}m) E'$ 
  have  $E'\text{-}unfold: E' = lappend (ltake (enat r\text{-}m) E') (LCons (lnth E' r\text{-}m) ?E')$ 
    unfolding ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn[OF r\text{-}m] by simp
  hence  $mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state (lappend ?r\text{-}m\text{-}E' (LCons (lnth E' r\text{-}m) ?E'))$ 
    using  $\tau Runs$  by simp
  then obtain  $\sigma'$  where  $\sigma\text{-}\sigma': mthr.if.mthr.Trsys ?start-state (list-of ?r\text{-}m\text{-}E') \sigma'$ 
    and  $\tau Runs': mthr.if.mthr.Runs \sigma' (LCons (lnth E' r\text{-}m) ?E')$ 
    by(rule mthr.if.mthr.Runs-lappendE) simp
  from  $\tau Runs'$  obtain  $\sigma'''$  where  $red\text{-}ra: mthr.if.redT \sigma' (t\text{-}r, ta\text{-}r) \sigma'''$ 
    and  $\tau Runs'': mthr.if.mthr.Runs \sigma''' ?E'$ 
    unfolding  $E'\text{-}r\text{-}m$  by cases

  let  $?vs = mrw-values P Map.empty (map snd ?start-heap-obs)$ 
  { fix  $a$ 
    assume  $enat a < enat ?r$ 
    and  $a \in read\text{-}actions E$ 
    have  $a < r$ 
    proof(rule ccontr)
      assume  $\neg a < r$ 
      with  $\langle a \in read\text{-}actions E \rangle$  have  $?P a$  by simp
      hence  $?r \leq a$  by(rule Least-le)
      with  $\langle enat a < enat ?r \rangle$  show False by simp
    qed
    hence  $P, E \vdash a \sim_{mrw} ws a$  using  $\langle a \in read\text{-}actions E \rangle$  by(rule mrw) }
    with  $\langle E \in ?\mathcal{E}' \rangle$  wf have  $ta\text{-}seq\text{-}consist P Map.empty (lmap snd (ltake (enat ?r) E))$ 
      by(rule jmm.ta-seq-consist-mrwI)

  hence  $start\text{-}sc: ta\text{-}seq\text{-}consist P Map.empty (llist-of (map snd ?start-heap-obs))$ 

```

and *ta-seq-consist P ?vs (lmap snd (ltake (enat (?r - ?n)) E''))*
using $\langle ?n \leq ?r \rangle$ **unfolding** *E ltake-lappend lmap-lappend-distrib*
by(simp-all add: *ta-seq-consist-lappend o-def*)

note *this(2)* **also from** *r-m*
have *r-m-sum-len-eq: ($\sum i < r-m. llengh (lnth (lmap (\lambda(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E')) = enat (\sum i < r-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o)$)*
by(subst sum-comp-morphism[symmetric, where h=enat])(auto simp add: zero-enat-def split-def less-trans[where y=enat r-m] intro: sum.cong)

hence *ltake (enat (?r - ?n)) E'' =*
lappend (lconcat (lmap (\lambda(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ?r-m-E'))
(ltake (enat r-n) (ldrop (enat (\sum i < r-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o)) E''))
unfolding *ltake-lmap[symmetric]* *lconcat-ltake r-conv plus-enat-simps(1)[symmetric]* *ltake-plus-conv-lappend*
unfolding *E'* **by** *simp*

finally have *ta-seq-consist P ?vs (lmap snd (lconcat (lmap (\lambda(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ?r-m-E')))*
and *sc-ta-r: ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P ?vs (map snd (list-of (lconcat (lmap (\lambda(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ?r-m-E'))))) (lmap snd (ltake (enat r-n) (ldropn (\sum i < r-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o)) E'')))*
unfolding *lmap-lappend-distrib* **by**(simp-all add: *ta-seq-consist-lappend split-def ldrop-enat*)

note *this(1)* **also**
have *lmap snd (lconcat (lmap (\lambda(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (ltake (enat r-m) E'))) = llist-of (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). {ta}o) (list-of ?r-m-E'))))*
unfolding *lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp o-def split-def lconcat-llist-of[symmetric]* *map-map*
lmap-llist-of[symmetric]
by *simp*

finally have *ta-seq-consist P ?vs (llist-of (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). {ta}o) (list-of ?r-m-E')))) .*
from *if.sequential-completion[OF cut-and-update ta-seq-consist-convert-RA σ-σ'[folded mthr.if.RedT-def]*
this red-ra]
obtain *ta' ttas'*
where *mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ' (LCons (t-r, ta') ttas')*
and *sc: ta-seq-consist P (mrw-values P Map.empty (map snd ?start-heap-obs))*
(lconcat (lmap (\lambda(t, ta). llist-of {ta}o) (lappend (llist-of (list-of ?r-m-E')) (LCons (t-r, ta') ttas'))))
and *eq-ta: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P {ta-r}o {ta'}o (mrw-values P ?vs (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). {ta}o) (list-of ?r-m-E')))))*
by *blast*

let *?E-sc' = lappend (llist-of (list-of ?r-m-E')) (LCons (t-r, ta') ttas')*
let *?E-sc'' = lconcat (lmap (\lambda(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ?E-sc')*
let *?E-sc = lappend (llist-of ?start-heap-obs) ?E-sc''*

from *σ-σ' ⟨mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ' (LCons (t-r, ta') ttas')⟩*
have *mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state ?E-sc'* **by**(rule *mthr.if.mthr.Trsys-into-Runs*)
hence *?E-sc'' ∈ mthr.if.ε ?start-state* **by**(rule *mthr.if.ε.intros*)
hence *?E-sc ∈ ?ε* **by**(rule *imageI*)
moreover from *⟨?E-sc'' ∈ mthr.if.ε ?start-state⟩*
have *tsa-ok: thread-start-actions-ok ?E-sc* **by**(rule *thread-start-actions-ok-init-fin*)

from *sc have* *ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (lmap snd ?E-sc)*
by(simp add: *lmap-lappend-distrib o-def lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp split-def ta-seq-consist-lappend start-sc*)

from *ta-seq-consist-imp-sequentially-consistent[OF tsa-ok jmm.ε-new-actions-for-fun[OF ⟨?E-sc ∈ ?ε⟩] this]*

```

obtain ws-sc where sequentially-consistent P (?E-sc, ws-sc)
  and P ⊢ (?E-sc, ws-sc) ∨ unfolding start-heap-obs-def[symmetric] by iprover
  moreover {
    have enat-sum-r-m-eq: enat (∑ i < r-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) = llength (lconcat (lmap
      (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta} o)) ?r-m-E'))
      by(auto intro: sum.cong simp add: less-trans[OF - r-m] lnth-ltake llength-lconcat-lfinite-conv-sum
      sum-comp-morphism[symmetric, where h=enat] zero-enat-def[symmetric] split-beta)
    also have ... ≤ llength E'' unfolding E'
      by(blast intro: lprefix-llength-le lprefix-lconcatI lmap-lprefix)
    finally have r-m-E: ltake (enat (?n + (∑ i < r-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o))) E = ltake (enat
      (?n + (∑ i < r-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o))) ?E-sc
      by(simp add: ltake-lappend lappend-eq-lappend-conv lmap-lappend-distrib r-m-sum-len-eq
      ltake-lmap[symmetric] min-def zero-enat-def[symmetric] E E' lconcat-ltake ltake-all del: ltake-lmap)

    have drop-r-m-E: ldropn (?n + (∑ i < r-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o)) E = lappend (llist-of
      (map (Pair t-r) {ta-r} o)) (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta} o)) (ldropn (Suc r-m)
      E'))))
      (is - = ?drop-r-m-E) using E'-r-m unfolding E E'
      by(subst (2) E'-unfold)(simp add: ldropn-lappend2 lmap-lappend-distrib enat-sum-r-m-eq[symmetric])

    have drop-r-m-E-sc: ldropn (?n + (∑ i < r-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o)) ?E-sc =
      lappend (llist-of (map (Pair t-r) {ta'} o)) (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t)
      {ta} o)) ttas'))
      by(simp add: ldropn-lappend2 lmap-lappend-distrib enat-sum-r-m-eq[symmetric])

  let ?vs-r-m = mrw-values P ?vs (map snd (list-of (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair
  t) {ta} o)) ?r-m-E')))))
  note sc-ta-r also
  from drop-r-m-E have ldropn (∑ i < r-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o) E'' = ?drop-r-m-E
  unfolding E by(simp add: ldropn-lappend2)
  also have lmap snd (ltake (enat r-n ...) = llist-of (take r-n {ta-r} o) using r-n
    by(simp add: ltake-lappend lmap-lappend-distrib ltake-lmap[symmetric] take-map o-def
    zero-enat-def[symmetric] del: ltake-lmap)
  finally have sc-ta-r: ta-seq-consist P ?vs-r-m (llist-of (take r-n {ta-r} o)) .
  note eq-ta
  also have {ta-r} o = take r-n {ta-r} o @ drop r-n {ta-r} o by simp
  finally have eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P (take r-n {ta-r} o @ drop r-n {ta-r} o) {ta'} o ?vs-r-m
    by(simp add: list-of-lconcat split-def o-def map-concat)
  from eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-appendD[OF this sc-ta-r]
  have r-n': r-n ≤ length {ta'} o
    and take-r-n-eq: take r-n {ta'} o = take r-n {ta-r} o
    and eq-r-n: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P (drop r-n {ta-r} o) (drop r-n {ta'} o) (mrw-values P ?vs-r-m
    (take r-n {ta-r} o))
    using r-n by(simp-all add: min-def)
  from r-conv ‹?n ≤ ?r› have r-conv': ?r = (?n + (∑ i < r-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)} o)) + r-n
  by simp
  from r-n' r-n take-r-n-eq r-m-E drop-r-m-E drop-r-m-E-sc
  have take-r'-eq: ltake (enat ?r) E = ltake (enat ?r) ?E-sc unfolding r-conv'
    apply(subst (1 2) plus-enat-simps(1)[symmetric])
    apply(subst (1 2) ltake-plus-conv-lappend)
    apply(simp add: lappend-eq-lappend-conv ltake-lappend1 ldrop-enat take-map)
    done
  hence take-r-eq: ltake (enat r) E = ltake (enat r) ?E-sc
    by(rule ltake-eq-ltake-antimono)(simp add: ‹?P ?r›)

```

```

from eq-r-n Cons-nth-drop-Suc[OF r-n, symmetric]
have drop r-n {ta'}_o ≠ [] by(auto simp add: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps)
hence r-n': r-n < length {ta'}_o by simp
hence eq-r-n: {ta-r}_o ! r-n ≈ {ta'}_o ! r-n
  using eq-r-n Cons-nth-drop-Suc[OF r-n, symmetric] Cons-nth-drop-Suc[OF r-n', symmetric]
  by(simp add: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps split: action.split-asm obs-event.split-asm if-split-asm)
  obtain tid-eq: action-tid E r = action-tid ?E-sc r
    and obs-eq: action-obs E r ≈ action-obs ?E-sc r
  proof(cases r < ?r)
    case True
      { from True have action-tid E r = action-tid (ltake (enat ?r) E) r
        by(simp add: action-tid-def lnth-ltake)
        also note take-r'-eq
        also have action-tid (ltake (enat ?r) ?E-sc) r = action-tid ?E-sc r
          using True by(simp add: action-tid-def lnth-ltake)
        finally have action-tid E r = action-tid ?E-sc r . }
    moreover
      { from True have action-obs E r = action-obs (ltake (enat ?r) E) r
        by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-ltake)
        also note take-r'-eq
        also have action-obs (ltake (enat ?r) ?E-sc) r = action-obs ?E-sc r
          using True by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-ltake)
        finally have action-obs E r ≈ action-obs ?E-sc r by simp }
      ultimately show thesis by(rule that)
    next
      case False
        with ‹?P ?r› have r-eq: r = ?r by simp
        hence lnth E r = (t-r, {ta-r}_o ! r-n) using E-r r-conv' E by(simp add: lnth-lappend2)
        moreover have lnth ?E-sc r = (t-r, {ta'}_o ! r-n) using ‹?n ≤ ?r› r-n'
        by(subst r-eq)(simp add: r-conv lnth-lappend2 lmap-lappend-distrib enat-sum-r-m-eq[symmetric]
        lnth-lappend1 del: length-lift-start-obs)
        ultimately have action-tid E r = action-tid ?E-sc r action-obs E r ≈ action-obs ?E-sc r
          using eq-r-n by(simp-all add: action-tid-def action-obs-def)
        thus thesis by(rule that)
      qed

      have enat r < enat ?n + llength (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}_o)) (lappend
      ?r-m-E' (LCons (t-r, ta') LNil))) )
        using ‹?P ?r› r-n' unfolding lmap-lappend-distrib
        by(simp add: enat-sum-r-m-eq[symmetric] r-conv')
        also have llength (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}_o)) (lappend ?r-m-E'
        (LCons (t-r, ta') LNil))) ) ≤ llength ?E-sc"
          by(rule lprefix-llength-le[OF lprefix-lconcatI])(simp add: lmap-lprefix)
        finally have r ∈ actions ?E-sc by(simp add: actions-def add-left-mono)
        note this tid-eq obs-eq take-r-eq }
        ultimately show ?thesis by blast
      qed
      qed(rule E-new-actions-for-unique)
    qed

lemma sc-legal:
  assumes hb-completion:
    if.hb-completion (init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M vs)) (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)

```

```

(is if.hb-completion ?start-state ?start-heap-obs)
and wf: wf-syscls P
and wfx-start: ts-ok wfx (thr (start-state f P C M vs)) start-heap
and ka: known-addrs start-tid (f (fst (method P C M)) M (fst (snd (method P C M))) (fst (snd (snd (method P C M)))) (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))))) vs) ⊆ allocated start-heap
shows sc-legal (?E-start f P C M vs status) P
(is sc-legal ?E P)

proof -
interpret jmm: executions-sc-hb ?E P
using wf wfx-start ka by(rule executions-sc-hb)

interpret jmm: executions-aux ?E P
using wf wfx-start ka by(rule executions-aux)

show ?thesis
proof
fix E ws r
assume E: E ∈ ?E and wf-exec: P ⊢ (E, ws) √
and mrw: ∀a. [a < r; a ∈ read-actions E] ⇒ P, E ⊢ a ~mrw ws a

from E obtain E'' where E: E = lappend (llist-of ?start-heap-obs) E''
and E'': E'' ∈ mthr.if.E ?start-state by auto

from E'' obtain E' where E'': E'' = lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E')
and τRuns: mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state E'
by(rule mthr.if.E.cases)

show ∃ E' ∈ ?E. ∃ ws'. P ⊢ (E', ws') √ ∧ ltake (enat r) E = ltake (enat r) E' ∧
(∀ a ∈ read-actions E'. if a < r then ws' a = ws a else P, E' ⊢ ws' a ≤hb a) ∧
action-tid E' r = action-tid E r ∧
(if r ∈ read-actions E then sim-action else (=)) (action-obs E' r) (action-obs E
r) ∧
(r ∈ actions E → r ∈ actions E')
(is ∃ E' ∈ ?E. ∃ ws'. - ∧ ?same E' ∧ ?read E' ws' ∧ ?tid E' ∧ ?obs E' ∧ ?actions E')
proof(cases r < length ?start-heap-obs)
case True

from if.hb-completion-Runs[OF hb-completion ta-hb-consistent-convert-RA]
obtain ttas where Runs: mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state ttas
and hb: ta-hb-consistent P ?start-heap-obs (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o))
ttas))
by blast

from Runs have E: lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ttas) ∈ mthr.if.E
?start-state
by(rule mthr.if.E.intros)

let ?E = lappend (llist-of ?start-heap-obs) (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o))
ttas))
from E have E': ?E ∈ ?E by blast

from E have tsa: thread-start-actions-ok ?E by(rule thread-start-actions-ok-init-fin)

```

```

from start-heap-obs-not-Read
have ws: is-write-seen P (llist-of (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)) ws
  by(unfold in-set-conv-nth)(rule is-write-seenI, auto simp add: action-obs-def actions-def lift-start-obs-def
lnth-LCons elim!: read-actions.cases split: nat.split-asm)

with hb tsa
have  $\exists ws'. P \vdash (?E, ws') \vee \wedge$ 
   $(\forall n. n \in \text{read-actions} ?E \longrightarrow \text{length} ?start-heap-obs \leq n \longrightarrow P, ?E \vdash ws' n \leq hb n) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall n < \text{length} ?start-heap-obs. ws' n = ws n)$ 
  by(rule ta-hb-consistent-Read-hb)(rule jmm.E-new-actions-for-fun[OF E'])
then obtain ws' where wf-exec':  $P \vdash (?E, ws') \vee$ 
and read-hb:  $\bigwedge n. [\ n \in \text{read-actions} ?E; \text{length} ?start-heap-obs \leq n \ ] \implies P, ?E \vdash ws' n \leq hb n$ 
and same:  $\bigwedge n. n < \text{length} ?start-heap-obs \implies ws' n = ws n$  by blast

from True have ?same ?E unfolding E by(simp add: ltake-lappend1)
moreover {
  fix a
  assume a:  $a \in \text{read-actions} ?E$ 
  have if  $a < r$  then  $ws' a = ws a$  else  $P, ?E \vdash ws' a \leq hb a$ 
  proof(cases a < length ?start-heap-obs)
    case True
    with a have False using start-heap-obs-not-Read
    by cases(auto simp add: action-obs-def actions-def lnth-lappend1 lift-start-obs-def lnth-LCons
in-set-conv-nth split: nat.split-asm)
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case False
    with read-hb[of a] True a show ?thesis by auto
    qed }
  hence ?read ?E ws' by blast
  moreover from True E have ?tid ?E by(simp add: action-tid-def lnth-lappend1)
  moreover from True E have ?obs ?E by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend1)
  moreover from True have ?actions ?E by(simp add: actions-def enat-less-enat-plusI)
  ultimately show ?thesis using E' wf-exec' by blast
  next
    case False
    hence r:  $\text{length} ?start-heap-obs \leq r$  by simp
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases enat r < llength E)
      case False
      then obtain ?same E ?read E ws ?tid E ?obs E ?actions E
      by(cases llength E)(fastforce elim!: read-actions.cases simp add: actions-def split: if-split-asm)+
      with wf-exec <math>\langle E \in ?E \rangle</math> show ?thesis by blast
    next
      case True
      note r' = this

    let ?r = r - length ?start-heap-obs
    from E r r' have enat ?r < llength E'' by(cases llength E'')(auto)
    with  $\tau$ Runs obtain r-m r-n t-r ta-r
      where E-r: lnth E'' ?r = (t-r, {ta-r}_o ! r-n)
      and r-n: r-n < length {ta-r}_o and r-m: enat r-m < llength E'
      and r-conv: ?r = ( $\sum i < r-m. \text{length} \{ \text{snd} (\text{lnth } E' i) \}_o \right) + r-n$ 
```

and $E' \cdot r \cdot m : \text{lnth } E' \ r \cdot m = (t \cdot r, \ ta \cdot r)$
unfolding E' **by**(rule $\text{mthr.if.actions-}\mathcal{E}E\text{-aux}$)

```

let ?E' = ldropn (Suc r-m) E'
let ?r-m-E' = ltake (enat r-m) E'
have E'-unfold:  $E' = \text{lappend} (\text{ltake} (\text{enat r-m}) E') (\text{LCons} (\text{lnth } E' \ r \cdot m) ?E')$ 
  unfolding ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn[ $\text{OF } r \cdot m$ ] by simp
hence mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state ( $\text{lappend} ?r \cdot m \cdot E' (\text{LCons} (\text{lnth } E' \ r \cdot m) ?E')$ )
  using  $\tau\text{Runs}$  by simp
then obtain  $\sigma'$  where  $\sigma \cdot \sigma' : \text{mthr.if.mthr.Trsys } ?\text{start-state} (\text{list-of } ?r \cdot m \cdot E')$   $\sigma'$ 
  and  $\tau\text{Runs}' : \text{mthr.if.mthr.Runs } \sigma' (\text{LCons} (\text{lnth } E' \ r \cdot m) ?E')$ 
  by(rule mthr.if.mthr.Runs-lappendE) simp
from  $\tau\text{Runs}'$  obtain  $\sigma'''$  where red-ra:  $\text{mthr.if.redT } \sigma' (t \cdot r, \ ta \cdot r) \ \sigma'''$ 
  and  $\tau\text{Runs}'' : \text{mthr.if.mthr.Runs } \sigma''' ?E'$ 
  unfolding  $E' \cdot r \cdot m$  by cases

let ?vs = mrw-values P Map.empty (map snd ?start-heap-obs)
from < $E \in \mathcal{E}$ > wf-exec have ta-seq-consist P Map.empty ( $\text{lmap} \ \text{snd} (\text{ltake} (\text{enat } r) E)$ )
  by(rule jmm.ta-seq-consist-mrwI)(simp add: mrw)
hence ns: non-speculative P ( $\lambda \cdot \{\}$ ) ( $\text{lmap} \ \text{snd} (\text{ltake} (\text{enat } r) E)$ )
  by(rule ta-seq-consist-into-non-speculative) simp
also note E also note ltake-lappend2 also note E'
also note E'-unfold also note lmap-lappend-distrib also note lmap-lappend-distrib
also note lconcat-lappend also note llist.map(2) also note  $E' \cdot r \cdot m$  also note prod.simps(2)
also note ltake-lappend2 also note lconcat-LCons also note ltake-lappend1
also note non-speculative-lappend also note lmap-lappend-distrib also note non-speculative-lappend
also have lconcat ( $\text{lmap} (\lambda(t, \ ta). \ \text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \ \{ta\}_o)) (\text{ltake} (\text{enat } r \cdot m) E')$ ) =
  llist-of (concat (map ( $\lambda(t, \ ta). \ \text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \ \{ta\}_o$ ) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E'))))
  by(simp add: lconcat-llist-of[symmetric] lmap-llist-of[symmetric] llist.map-comp o-def split-def
del: lmap-llist-of)
ultimately
have non-speculative P ( $\lambda \cdot \{\}$ ) ( $\text{lmap} \ \text{snd} (\text{llist-of } ?\text{start-heap-obs})$ )
  and non-speculative P (w-values P ( $\lambda \cdot \{\}$ ) (map snd ?start-heap-obs))
    (lmap snd (lconcat (lmap ( $\lambda(t, \ ta). \ \text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \ \{ta\}_o)$ ) (ltake (enat r-m) E'))))
  and ns': non-speculative P (w-values P (w-values P ( $\lambda \cdot \{\}$ ) (map snd ?start-heap-obs)) (map
  snd (concat (map ( $\lambda(t, \ ta). \ \text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \ \{ta\}_o$ ) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E')))))
    (lmap snd (ltake (enat r-n) (llist-of (map (Pair t-r) \{ta-r\}_o)))))

using r r-conv r-m r-n
by(simp-all add: length-concat o-def split-def sum-list-sum-nth length-list-of-conv-the-enat
less-min-eq1 atLeast0LessThan lnth-ltake split: if-split-asm cong: sum.cong-simp)
hence ns: non-speculative P (w-values P ( $\lambda \cdot \{\}$ ) (map snd ?start-heap-obs))
  (llist-of (concat (map ( $\lambda(t, \ ta). \ \{ta\}_o$ ) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E')))))
  unfolding lconcat-llist-of[symmetric] lmap-lconcat lmap-llist-of[symmetric] llist.map-comp
o-def split-def
  by(simp)

from ns'
have ns': non-speculative P (w-values P (w-values P ( $\lambda \cdot \{\}$ ) (map snd ?start-heap-obs)) (concat
  (map ( $\lambda(t, \ ta). \ \{ta\}_o$ ) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E')))) (llist-of (take r-n \{ta-r\}_o)))
  unfolding map-concat map-map by(simp add: take-map[symmetric] o-def split-def)

let ?hb =  $\lambda ta' \cdot r :: ('addr, 'thread-id, status \times 'x, 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id) \text{ obs-event}$ 
action) thread-action.
ta-hb-consistent P (?start-heap-obs @ concat (map ( $\lambda(t, \ ta). \ \text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \ \{ta\}_o$ ) (list-of (ltake

```

```

(enat r-m) E'))) @ map (Pair t-r) (take r-n {ta-r}o)) (llist-of (map (Pair t-r) (drop r-n {ta'-r}o)))
    let ?sim = λta'-r. (if ∃ ad al v. {ta-r}o ! r-n = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) then
        sim-action else (=)) ({ta-r}o ! r-n) ({ta'-r}o ! r-n)

from red-ra obtain ta'-r σ'''''
    where red-ra': mthr.if.redT σ' (t-r, ta'-r) σ''''''
    and eq: take r-n {ta'-r}o = take r-n {ta-r}o
    and hb: ?hb ta'-r
    and r-n': r-n < length {ta'-r}o
    and sim: ?sim ta'-r
proof(cases)
    case (redT-normal x x' m')
    note tst = ⟨thr σ' t-r = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋⟩
    and red = ⟨t-r ⊢ (x, shr σ') -ta-r→i (x', m')⟩
    and aok = ⟨mthr.if.actions-ok σ' t-r ta-r⟩
    and σ'''' = ⟨redT-upd σ' t-r ta-r x' m' σ'''''⟩
    from if.hb-completionD[OF hb-completion σ-σ'[folded mthr.if.RedT-def] ns tst red aok ns']

r-n
obtain ta'-r x'' m''
    where red': t-r ⊢ (x, shr σ') -ta'-r→i (x'', m'')
    and aok': mthr.if.actions-ok σ' t-r ta'-r
    and eq': take r-n {ta'-r}o = take r-n {ta-r}o
    and hb: ?hb ta'-r
    and r-n': r-n < length {ta'-r}o
    and sim: ?sim ta'-r by blast
    from redT-updWs-total[of t-r wset σ' {ta'-r}w]
    obtain σ'''' where redT-upd σ' t-r ta'-r x'' m'' σ''''' by fastforce
    with red' tst aok' have mthr.if.redT σ' (t-r, ta'-r) σ''''' ..
    thus thesis using eq' hb r-n' sim by(rule that)

next
case (redT-acquire x n ln)
hence ?hb ta-r using set-convert-RA-not-Read[where ln=ln]
    by -(rule ta-hb-consistent-not-ReadI, fastforce simp del: set-convert-RA-not-Read dest!: in-set-dropD)
    with red-ra r-n show ?thesis by(auto intro: that)
qed
from hb
have non-speculative P (w-values P (λ-. {})) (map snd (?start-heap-obs @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta}o) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E')))) @ map (Pair t-r) (take r-n {ta-r}o))) (lmap snd (llist-of (map (Pair t-r) (drop r-n {ta'-r}o))))
    by(rule ta-hb-consistent-into-non-speculative)
with ns' eq[symmetric] have non-speculative P (w-values P (λ-. {})) (map snd (?start-heap-obs @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta}o) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E'))))) (llist-of (map snd (map (Pair t-r) {ta'-r}o))))
    by(subst append-take-drop-id[where xs={ta'-r}o and n=r-n, symmetric])(simp add: o-def map-concat split-def lappend-llist-of[llist-of[symmetric] non-speculative-lappend del: append-take-drop-id lappend-llist-of[llist-of]])
    with ns have ns'': non-speculative P (w-values P (λ-. {})) (map snd ?start-heap-obs)) (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E') @ [(t-r, ta'-r)])))) unfolding lconcat-llist-of[symmetric] map-append lappend-llist-of[llist-of[symmetric]] lmap-llist-of[symmetric] llist.map-comp
    by(simp add: o-def split-def non-speculative-lappend list-of-lconcat map-concat)
from σ-σ' red-ra' have mthr.if.RedT ?start-state (list-of ?r-m-E' @ [(t-r, ta'-r)]) σ''''' unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def ..

```

```

with hb-completion
have hb-completion': if.hb-completion σ''' (?start-heap-obs @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta}o) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E') @ [(t-r, ta'-r)])))
  using ns'' by(rule if.hb-completion-shift)
from if.hb-completion-Runs[OF hb-completion' ta-hb-consistent-convert-RA]
obtain ttas' where Runs': mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ''' ttas'
  and hb': ta-hb-consistent P (?start-heap-obs @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta}o) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E') @ [(t-r, ta'-r)]))) (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ttas')))
  by blast

let ?E = lappend (llist-of ?start-heap-obs) (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (lappend (ltake (enat r-m) E') (LCons (t-r, ta'-r) ttas'))))

have E: lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (lappend (ltake (enat r-m) E') (LCons (t-r, ta'-r) ttas'))) ∈ mthr.if.E ?start-state
  by(subst (4) llist-of-list-of[symmetric])(simp, blast intro: mthr.if.E.intros mthr.if.mthr.Trsys-into-Runs σ-σ' mthr.if.mthr.Runs.Step red-ra' Runs')
  hence E': ?E ∈ ?E by blast

from E have tsa: thread-start-actions-ok ?E by(rule thread-start-actions-ok-init-fin)
also let ?E' = lappend (llist-of (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta}o) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E')))) @ map (Pair t-r) (take r-n {ta-r}o))) (lappend (llist-of (map (Pair t-r) (drop r-n {ta'-r}o))) (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ttas'))))
have ?E = ?E'
  using eq[symmetric]
  by(simp add: lmap-lappend-distrib lappend-assoc lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] lconcat-llist-of[symmetric] lmap-llist-of[symmetric] llist.map-comp o-def split-def del: lmap-llist-of)(simp add: lappend-assoc[symmetric] lmap-lappend-distrib[symmetric] map-append[symmetric] lappend-llist-of-llist-of del: map-append)
  finally have tsa': thread-start-actions-ok ?E' .

from hb hb' eq[symmetric]
have HB: ta-hb-consistent P (?start-heap-obs @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta}o) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E')))) @ map (Pair t-r) (take r-n {ta-r}o)) (lappend (llist-of (map (Pair t-r) (drop r-n {ta'-r}o))) (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ttas'))))
  by -(rule ta-hb-consistent-lappendI, simp-all add: take-map[symmetric] drop-map[symmetric])

define EE where EE = llist-of (?start-heap-obs @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta}o) (list-of (ltake (enat r-m) E')))) @ map (Pair t-r) (take r-n {ta-r}o))

from r r-conv have r-conv': r = (∑ i < r-m. length {snd (lnth E' i)}o) + r-n + length ?start-heap-obs by auto
  hence len-EE: llengh EE = enat r using r-m r-n
    by(auto simp add: EE-def length-concat sum-list-sum-nth atLeast0LessThan lnth-ltake less-min-eq1 split-def min-def length-list-of-conv-the-enat cong: sum.cong-simp)

from r-conv r-m
have r-conv3: llengh (lconcat (lmap (λx. llist-of (map (Pair (fst x)) {snd x}o)) (ltake (enat r-m) E'))) = enat (r - Suc (length start-heap-obs) - r-n)
  apply(simp add: llengh-lconcat-lfinite-conv-sum lnth-ltake cong: sum.cong-simp conj-cong)
  apply(auto simp add: sum-comp-morphism[where h=enat, symmetric] zero-enat-def less-trans[where y=enat r-m] intro: sum.cong)

```

```

done

have is-ws: is-write-seen P EE ws
proof(rule is-write-seenI)
  fix a ad al v
  assume a: a ∈ read-actions EE
    and a-obs: action-obs EE a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
  from a have a-r: a < r by cases(simp add: len-EE actions-def)

  from r E'-r-m r-m r-n r-conv3
  have eq: ltake (enat r) EE = ltake (enat r) E
    unfolding E E' EE-def
    apply(subst (2) E'-unfold)
    apply(simp add: ltake-lappend2 lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] lappend-eq-lappend-conv
lmap-lappend-distrib lconcat-llist-of[symmetric] o-def split-def lmap-llist-of[symmetric] del: lappend-llist-of-lmap-llist-of)
    apply(subst ltake-lappend1)
    defer
    apply(simp add: ltake-lmap[symmetric] take-map[symmetric] ltake-llist-of[symmetric] del:
ltake-lmap ltake-llist-of)
    apply(auto simp add: min-def)
    done
  hence sim: ltake (enat r) EE [≈] ltake (enat r) E by(rule eq-into-sim-actions)

  from a sim have a': a ∈ read-actions E
    by(rule read-actions-change-prefix)(simp add: a-r)
  from action-obs-change-prefix-eq[OF eq, of a] a-r a-obs
  have a-obs': action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) by simp

  have a-mrw: P,E ⊢ a ~> mrw ws a using a-r a' by(rule mrw)
  with E ∈ ?E wf-exec have ws-a-a: ws a < a
    by(rule jmm.mrw-before)(auto intro: a-r less-trans mrw)
  hence [simp]: ws a < r using a-r by simp

  from wf-exec have ws: is-write-seen P E ws by(rule wf-exec-is-write-seenD)
  from is-write-seenD[OF this a' a-obs']
  have ws a ∈ write-actions E
    and (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E (ws a)
    and value-written P E (ws a) (ad, al) = v
    and ¬ P,E ⊢ a ≤ hb ws a
    and is-volatile P al ⇒ ¬ P,E ⊢ a ≤ so ws a
    and between: ⋀ a'. [ a' ∈ write-actions E; (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E a' ]
      P,E ⊢ ws a ≤ hb a' ∧ P,E ⊢ a' ≤ hb a ∨ is-volatile P al ∧ P,E ⊢ ws a ≤ so a' ∧
      P,E ⊢ a' ≤ so a ]
       $\implies a' = ws a$  by simp-all

  from ⟨ ws a ∈ write-actions E ⟩ sim[symmetric]
  show ws a ∈ write-actions EE by(rule write-actions-change-prefix) simp

  from action-loc-change-prefix[OF sim, of ws a P] ⟨(ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E (ws a)⟩
  show (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P EE (ws a) by(simp)

  from value-written-change-prefix[OF eq, of ws a P] ⟨value-written P E (ws a) (ad, al) = v⟩
  show value-written P EE (ws a) (ad, al) = v by simp

```

```

from wf-exec have tsa-E: thread-start-actions-ok E
  by(rule wf-exec-thread-start-actions-okD)

from  $\neg P, E \vdash a \leq_{hb} ws a$  show  $\neg P, EE \vdash a \leq_{hb} ws a$ 
proof(rule contrapos-nn)
  assume  $P, EE \vdash a \leq_{hb} ws a$ 
  thus  $P, E \vdash a \leq_{hb} ws a$  using tsa-E sim
    by(rule happens-before-change-prefix)(simp-all add: a-r)
qed

{ assume is-volatile P al
  hence  $\neg P, E \vdash a \leq_{so} ws a$  by fact
  thus  $\neg P, EE \vdash a \leq_{so} ws a$ 
    by(rule contrapos-nn)(rule sync-order-change-prefix[OF - sim], simp-all add: a-r) }

fix a'
assume  $a' \in \text{write-actions } EE$  (ad, al)  $\in \text{action-loc } P$   $EE \ a'$ 
moreover
hence [simp]:  $a' < r$  by cases(simp add: actions-def len-EE)
ultimately have a':  $a' \in \text{write-actions } E$  (ad, al)  $\in \text{action-loc } P$   $E \ a'$ 
  using sim action-loc-change-prefix[OF sim, of a' P]
  by(auto intro: write-actions-change-prefix)
{ assume  $P, EE \vdash ws \ a \leq_{hb} a' \ P, EE \vdash a' \leq_{hb} a$ 
  hence  $P, E \vdash ws \ a \leq_{hb} a' \ P, E \vdash a' \leq_{hb} a$ 
    using tsa-E sim a-r by(auto elim!: happens-before-change-prefix)
    with between[OF a'] show  $a' = ws \ a$  by simp }
{ assume is-volatile P al  $P, EE \vdash ws \ a \leq_{so} a' \ P, EE \vdash a' \leq_{so} a$ 
  with sim a-r between[OF a'] show  $a' = ws \ a$ 
    by(fastforce elim: sync-order-change-prefix intro!: disjI2 del: disjCI) }
qed

with HB tsa'
have  $\exists ws'. P \vdash (?E', ws') \vee \wedge$ 
   $(\forall n. n \in \text{read-actions } ?E' \longrightarrow \text{length } (?start-heap-obs @ \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \text{map } (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o) (\text{list-of } (\text{ltake } (\text{enat } r-m) E')) @ \text{map } (\text{Pair } t-r) (\text{take } r-n \{ta-r\}_o)) \leq n \longrightarrow P, ?E' \vdash ws' \ n \leq_{hb} n) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall n < \text{length } (?start-heap-obs start-tid start-heap-obs @ \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \text{map } (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o) (\text{list-of } (\text{ltake } (\text{enat } r-m) E')) @ \text{map } (\text{Pair } t-r) (\text{take } r-n \{ta-r\}_o)). ws' \ n = ws \ n)$ 
unfolding EE-def
  by(rule ta-hb-consistent-Read-hb)(rule jmm.E-new-actions-for-fun[OF E'[unfolded  $\langle ?E' \rangle$ ]])
also have r-conv'':  $\text{length } (?start-heap-obs @ \text{concat } (\text{map } (\lambda(t, ta). \text{map } (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o) (\text{list-of } (\text{ltake } (\text{enat } r-m) E')) @ \text{map } (\text{Pair } t-r) (\text{take } r-n \{ta-r\}_o)) = r$ 
  using r-n r-m unfolding r-conv'
  by(auto simp add: length-concat sum-list-sum-nth atLeast0LessThan lnth-ltake split-def o-def less-min-eq1 min-def length-list-of-conv-the-enat cong: sum.cong-simp)
finally obtain ws' where wf-exec':  $P \vdash (?E', ws') \vee$ 
  and read-hb:  $\bigwedge n. [\ n \in \text{read-actions } ?E'; r \leq n \ ] \implies P, ?E' \vdash ws' \ n \leq_{hb} n$ 
  and read-same:  $\bigwedge n. n < r \implies ws' \ n = ws \ n$  by blast

have ?same ?E'
  apply(subst ltake-lappend1, simp add: r-conv''[symmetric] length-list-of-conv-the-enat)
  unfolding E E' lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric]

```

```

apply(subst (1 2) ltake-lappend2, simp add: r[simplified])
apply(subst lappend-eq-lappend-conv, simp)
apply safe
apply(subst E'-unfold)
unfolding lmap-lappend-distrib
apply(subst lconcat-lappend, simp)
apply(subst lconcat-llist-of[symmetric])
apply(subst (3) lmap-llist-of[symmetric])
apply(subst (3) lmap-llist-of[symmetric])
apply(subst llist.map-comp)
apply(simp only: split-def o-def)
apply(subst llist-of-list-of, simp)
apply(subst (1 2) ltake-lappend2, simp add: r-conv3)
apply(subst lappend-eq-lappend-conv, simp)
apply safe
unfolding llist.map(2) lconcat-LCons E'-r-m snd-conv fst-conv take-map
apply(subst ltake-lappend1)
defer
apply(subst append-take-drop-id[where xs={ta-r}o and n=r-n, symmetric])
unfolding map-append lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric]
apply(subst ltake-lappend1)
using r-n
apply(simp add: min-def r-conv3)
apply(rule refl)
apply(simp add: r-conv3)
using r-n by arith

moreover {
fix a
assume a ∈ read-actions ?E'
with read-hb[of a] read-same[of a]
have if a < r then ws' a = ws a else P, ?E' ⊢ ws' a ≤ hb a by simp }
hence ?read ?E' ws' by blast
moreover from r-m r-n r-n'
have E'-r: lnth ?E' r = (t-r, {ta'-r}o ! r-n) unfolding r-conv'
by(auto simp add: lnth-lappend nth-append length-concat sum-list-sum-nth atLeast0LessThan
split-beta lnth-ltake less-min-eq1 length-list-of-conv-the-enat cong: sum.cong-simp)
from E-r r have E-r: lnth E r = (t-r, {ta-r}o ! r-n)
unfolding E by(simp add: lnth-lappend)
have r ∈ read-actions E ↔ (exists ad al v. {ta-r}o ! r-n = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v))
using True
by(auto elim!: read-actions.cases simp add: action-obs-def E-r actions-def intro!: read-actions.intros)
with sim E'-r E-r have ?tid ?E' ?obs ?E'
by(auto simp add: action-tid-def action-obs-def)
moreover have ?actions ?E' using r-n r-m r-n' unfolding r-conv'
by(cases llength ?E')(auto simp add: actions-def less-min-eq2 length-concat sum-list-sum-nth
atLeast0LessThan split-beta lnth-ltake less-min-eq1 length-list-of-conv-the-enat enat-plus-eq-enat-conv
cong: sum.cong-simp)
ultimately show ?thesis using wf-exec' ε'
unfolding ↦?E = ?E' by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

end

lemma *w-value-mrw-value-conf*:

assumes *set-option* (*vs' adal*) \subseteq *vs adal* \times *UNIV*
shows *set-option* (*mrw-value P vs' ob adal*) \subseteq *w-value P vs ob adal* \times *UNIV*
using assms by(*cases adal*)(*cases ob rule: w-value-cases, auto*)

lemma *w-values-mrw-values-conf*:

assumes *set-option* (*vs' adal*) \subseteq *vs adal* \times *UNIV*
shows *set-option* (*mrw-values P vs' obs adal*) \subseteq *w-values P vs obs adal* \times *UNIV*
using assms
by(*induct obs arbitrary: vs' vs*)(*auto del: subsetI intro: w-value-mrw-value-conf*)

lemma *w-value-mrw-value-dom-eq-preserve*:

assumes *dom vs' = {adal. vs adal} ≠ {}*
shows *dom (mrw-value P vs' ob) = {adal. w-value P vs ob adal} ≠ {}*
using assms
apply(*cases ob rule: w-value-cases*)
apply(*simp-all add: dom-def split-beta del: not-None-eq*)
apply(*blast elim: equalityE dest: subsetD*)
done

lemma *w-values-mrw-values-dom-eq-preserve*:

assumes *dom vs' = {adal. vs adal} ≠ {}*
shows *dom (mrw-values P vs' obs) = {adal. w-values P vs obs adal} ≠ {}*
using assms
by(*induct obs arbitrary: vs vs'*)(*auto del: equalityI intro: w-value-mrw-value-dom-eq-preserve*)

context *jmm-multithreaded begin*

definition *non-speculative-read* ::

nat \Rightarrow (*'l, 'thread-id, 'x, 'm, 'w*) *state* \Rightarrow (*'addr × addr-loc* \Rightarrow *'addr val set*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where

non-speculative-read n s vs \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall ttas s' t x ta x' m' i ad al v v'.$
 $s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow* s' \rightarrow non-speculative P vs (llist-of (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) \rightarrow$
 $thr s' t = \lfloor(x, no-wait-locks) \rfloor \rightarrow t \vdash (x, shr s') - ta \rightarrow (x', m') \rightarrow actions-ok s' t ta \rightarrow$
 $i < length \{ta\}_o \rightarrow$
 $non-speculative P (w-values P vs (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) (llist-of (take i \{ta\}_o))$
 \rightarrow
 $\{ta\}_o ! i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) \rightarrow$
 $v' \in w-values P vs (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas)) @ take i \{ta\}_o (ad, al) \rightarrow$
 $(\exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, shr s') - ta \rightarrow (x'', m'') \wedge actions-ok s' t ta' \wedge$
 $i < length \{ta\}_o \wedge take i \{ta\}_o = take i \{ta\}_o \wedge \{ta\}_o ! i = NormalAction$
 $(ReadMem ad al v') \wedge$
 $length \{ta\}_o \leq max n (length \{ta\}_o))$

lemma *non-speculative-readI [intro?]*:

$(\bigwedge ttas s' t x ta x' m' i ad al v v'.$
 $\| s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow* s'; non-speculative P vs (llist-of (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas)));$
 $thr s' t = \lfloor(x, no-wait-locks) \rfloor; t \vdash (x, shr s') - ta \rightarrow (x', m'); actions-ok s' t ta;$
 $i < length \{ta\}_o; non-speculative P (w-values P vs (concat (map (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) (llist-of$
 $(take i \{ta\}_o));$

```

 $\{ta\}_o ! i = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem} ad al v);$ 
 $v' \in w\text{-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat} (\text{map} (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas) @ \text{take } i \{ta\}_o) (ad, al) \]$ 
 $\implies \exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, \text{shr } s') -ta' \rightarrow (x'', m'') \wedge \text{actions-ok } s' t ta' \wedge$ 
 $i < \text{length } \{ta'\}_o \wedge \text{take } i \{ta'\}_o = \text{take } i \{ta\}_o \wedge \{ta'\}_o ! i = \text{NormalAction}$ 
 $(\text{ReadMem} ad al v') \wedge$ 
 $\text{length } \{ta'\}_o \leq \max n (\text{length } \{ta\}_o))$ 
 $\implies \text{non-speculative-read } n s \text{ vs}$ 
unfolding non-speculative-read-def by blast

lemma non-speculative-readD:
 $\llbracket \text{non-speculative-read } n s \text{ vs}; s \rightarrow ttas \rightarrow^* s'; \text{non-speculative } P \text{ vs } (\text{llist-of} (\text{concat} (\text{map} (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) ;$ 
 $\text{thr } s' t = \lfloor (x, \text{no-wait-locks}) \rfloor; t \vdash (x, \text{shr } s') -ta \rightarrow (x', m'); \text{actions-ok } s' t ta;$ 
 $i < \text{length } \{ta\}_o; \text{non-speculative } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat} (\text{map} (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas))) (\text{llist-of}$ 
 $(\text{take } i \{ta\}_o));$ 
 $\{ta\}_o ! i = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem} ad al v);$ 
 $v' \in w\text{-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat} (\text{map} (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas) @ \text{take } i \{ta\}_o) (ad, al) \]$ 
 $\implies \exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, \text{shr } s') -ta' \rightarrow (x'', m'') \wedge \text{actions-ok } s' t ta' \wedge$ 
 $i < \text{length } \{ta'\}_o \wedge \text{take } i \{ta'\}_o = \text{take } i \{ta\}_o \wedge \{ta'\}_o ! i = \text{NormalAction}$ 
 $(\text{ReadMem} ad al v') \wedge$ 
 $\text{length } \{ta'\}_o \leq \max n (\text{length } \{ta\}_o)$ 
unfolding non-speculative-read-def by blast

end

```

8.11.2 non-speculative generalises cut-and-update and ta-hb-consistent context known-addrs-typing begin

```

lemma read-non-speculative-new-actions-for:
fixes status f C M params E
defines E  $\equiv$  lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs
and vs  $\equiv$  w-values P ( $\lambda\_. \{\}$ ) (map snd E)
and s  $\equiv$  init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M params)
assumes wf: wf-syscls P
and RedT: mthr.if.RedT s ttas s'
and redT: mthr.if.redT s' (t, ta') s''
and read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)  $\in$  set  $\{ta'\}_o$ 
and ns: non-speculative P ( $\lambda\_. \{\}$ ) (llist-of (map snd E @ concat (map ( $\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o$ ) ttas)))
and ka: known-addrs start-tid (f (fst (method P C M)) M (fst (snd (method P C M))) (fst (snd (snd (method P C M))))) (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))))) params  $\subseteq$  allocated start-heap
and wt: ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s) (shr s)
and type-adal: P,shr s'  $\vdash$  ad@al : T
shows  $\exists w. w \in \text{new-actions-for } P (\text{llist-of} (E @ \text{concat} (\text{map} (\lambda(t, ta). \text{map} (\text{Pair } t) \{ta\}_o) ttas)))$ 
 $(ad, al)$ 
 $(\text{is } \exists w. ?\text{new-}w w)$ 
using RedT redT read ns[unfolded E-def] ka unfolding s-def
proof(cases rule: read-ex-NewHeapElem)
case (start CTn)
then obtain n where n: start-heap-obs ! n = NewHeapElem ad CTn
and len: n < length start-heap-obs
unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast
from ns have non-speculative P (w-values P ( $\lambda\_. \{\}$ ) (map snd E)) (llist-of (concat (map ( $\lambda(t, ta).$   $\{ta\}_o$ ) ttas)))

```

```

unfolding lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric]
by(simp add: non-speculative-lappend del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)
with RedT wt have hext: start-heap  $\leq$  shr s'
  unfolding s-def E-def using start-state-vs-conf[OF wf]
  by(auto dest!: init-fin-RedT-hext-incr simp add: start-state-def split-beta init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps)

from start have typeof-addr start-heap ad =  $\lfloor CTn \rfloor$ 
  by(auto dest: NewHeapElem-start-heap-obsD[OF wf])
with hext have typeof-addr (shr s') ad =  $\lfloor CTn \rfloor$  by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)
with type-adal have (ad, al)  $\in$  action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn)) using n
len
  by cases (auto simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend1 lift-start-obs-def)
with n len have ?new-w (Suc n)
  by(simp add: new-actions-for-def actions-def E-def action-obs-def lift-start-obs-def nth-append)
  thus ?thesis ..

next
case (Red ttas' s'' t' ta' s''' ttas'' CTn)
note ttas = ttas = ttas' @ (t', ta') # ttas''

from <NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn)  $\in$  set {ta'}_o
obtain obs obs' where obs: {ta'}_o = obs @ NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) # obs'
  by(auto dest: split-list)

let ?n = length (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)
let ?wa = ?n + length (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta'}_o) ttas')) + length obs

have ?wa = ?n + length (concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta'}_o) ttas')) + length obs
  by(simp add: length-concat o-def split-def)
also have ... < length (E @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta'}_o) ttas))
  using obs ttas by(simp add: E-def)
also
from ttas obs
have (E @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta'}_o) ttas)) ! ?wa = (t', NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn))
  by(auto simp add: E-def lift-start-obs-def nth-append o-def split-def length-concat)
moreover
from <mthr.if.redT s'' (t', ta') s'''> <NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn)  $\in$  set {ta'}_o>
obtain x-wa x-wa' where ts''t': thr s'' t' =  $\lfloor (x-wa, no\text{-}wait\text{-}locks) \rfloor$ 
  and red-wa: mthr.init-fin t' (x-wa, shr s'') ta' (x-wa', shr s'')
  by(cases) fastforce+

from start-state-vs-conf[OF wf]
have vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs unfolding vs-def E-def s-def
  by(simp add: init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps start-state-def split-def)

from ns
have ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta'}_o) ttas'))))
  and ns': non-speculative P (w-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta'}_o) ttas')))) (llist-of {ta'}_o)
  and ns'': non-speculative P (w-values P (w-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta'}_o) ttas')))))
{ta'}_o) (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta'}_o) ttas'')))
  unfolding ttas vs-def
  by(simp-all add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] non-speculative-lappend del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)
from <mthr.if.RedT (init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M params)) ttas' s''> wt ns
have ts-ok'': ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s'') (shr s'') using vs unfolding vs-def s-def

```

```

by(rule if-RedT-non-speculative-invar)
with ts''t' have wfxt': wfx t' (snd x-wa) (shr s'') by(cases x-wa)(auto dest: ts-okD)

from <mthr.if.RedT (init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M params)) ttas' s''> wt ns
have vs'': vs-conf P (shr s'') (w-values P (w-values P (λ-. {})) (map snd E)) (concat (map (λ(t, ta).
{ta}o) ttas''))
  unfolding s-def E-def vs-def
  by(rule if-RedT-non-speculative-invar)(simp add: start-state-def split-beta init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps
start-state-vs-conf[OF wf])
  from if-redT-non-speculative-vs-conf[OF <mthr.if.redT s'' (t', ta') s'''> ts-ok'' - vs'', of length {ta}o]
ns'
  have vs'': vs-conf P (shr s'') (w-values P (w-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas'))))
{ta}o)
    by(simp add: vs-def)

from <mthr.if.redT s'' (t', ta') s'''> ts-ok'' ns' vs''
have ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s''') (shr s''')
  unfolding vs-def by(rule if-redT-non-speculative-invar)
with <mthr.if.RedT s''' ttas'' s'>
have hext: shr s''' ⊑ shr s' using ns'' vs'''
  by(rule init-fin-RedT-hext-incr)

from red-wa have typeof-addr (shr s'') ad = ⌊ CTn ⌋
  using wfxt' <NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) ∈ set {ta}o by cases(auto dest: red-NewHeapElemD)
with hext have typeof-addr (shr s') ad = ⌊ CTn ⌋ by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)
with type-adal have (ad, al) ∈ action-loc-aux P (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn)) by cases
auto
ultimately have ?new-w ?wa
  by(simp add: new-actions-for-def actions-def action-obs-def)
thus ?thesis ..
qed

lemma non-speculative-read-into-cut-and-update:
fixes status f C M params E
defines E ≡ lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs
and vs ≡ w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd E)
and s ≡ init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M params)
and vs' ≡ mrv-values P Map.empty (map snd E)
assumes wf: wf-syscls P
and nsr: if.non-speculative-read n s vs
and wt: ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s) (shr s)
and ka: known-addrs start-tid (f (fst (method P C M)) M (fst (snd (method P C M))) (fst (snd (method P C M)))) (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))))) params ⊆ allocated start-heap
shows if.cut-and-update s vs'
proof(rule if.cut-and-updateI)
fix ttas s' t x x' m'
assume Red: mthr.if.RedT s ttas s'
  and sc: ta-seq-consist P vs' (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
  and tst: thr s' t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋
  and red: t ⊢ (x, shr s') -ta→i (x', m')
  and aok: mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta
let ?vs = w-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas))
let ?vs' = mrv-values P vs' (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas))

```

```

from start-state-vs-conf[OF wf]
have vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs unfolding vs-def E-def s-def
  by(simp add: init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps start-state-def split-def)

from sc have ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
  by(rule ta-seq-consist-into-non-speculative)(auto simp add: vs'-def vs-def del: subsetI intro: w-values-mrw-values-conf)

from ns have ns': non-speculative P (λ-. {}) (llist-of (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs) @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
  unfolding lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] vs-def
  by(simp add: non-speculative-lappend E-def non-speculative-start-heap-obs del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)

have vs-vs'':  $\bigwedge \text{adal. set-option } (\text{?vs' adal}) \subseteq \text{?vs adal} \times \text{UNIV}$ 
  by(rule w-values-mrw-values-conf)(auto simp add: vs'-def vs-def del: subsetI intro: w-values-mrw-values-conf)
from Red wt ns vs
have wt': ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s') (shr s')
  by(rule if-RedT-non-speculative-invar)
hence wtt: init-fin-lift wfx t x (shr s') using tst by(rule ts-okD)

{ fix i
  have  $\exists \text{ta'} \text{x''} \text{m''}. \text{t} \vdash (\text{x}, \text{shr s'}) - \text{ta}' \rightarrow_i (\text{x''}, \text{m''}) \wedge \text{mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta'} \wedge$ 
     $\text{length } \{\text{ta}\}o \leq \max n (\text{length } \{\text{ta}\}o) \wedge$ 
     $\text{ta-seq-consist P ?vs' (llist-of (take i \{\text{ta}\}o))} \wedge$ 
     $\text{eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P (take i \{\text{ta}\}o) (take i \{\text{ta}'\}o) ?vs'} \wedge$ 
     $(\text{ta-seq-consist P ?vs' (llist-of (take i \{\text{ta}\}o))} \longrightarrow \text{ta}' = \text{ta})$ 
  proof(induct i)
    case 0
    show ?case using red aok
      by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex simp add: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps)
    next
    case (Suc i)
    then obtain ta' x'' m''
      where red: t  $\vdash (\text{x}, \text{shr s'}) - \text{ta}' \rightarrow_i (\text{x''}, \text{m''})
      and aok: mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta'
      and len: length \{\text{ta}'\}o \leq max n (length \{\text{ta}\}o)
      and sc: ta-seq-consist P ?vs' (llist-of (take i \{\text{ta}'\}o))
      and eusi: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P (take i \{\text{ta}\}o) (take i \{\text{ta}'\}o) ?vs'
      and ta'-ta: ta-seq-consist P ?vs' (llist-of (take i \{\text{ta}\}o)) \Longrightarrow ta' = ta
      by blast
    let ?vs'' = mrw-values P ?vs' (take i \{\text{ta}'\}o)
    show ?case
      proof(cases i < length \{\text{ta}\}o ∧  $\neg \text{ta-seq-consist P ?vs' (llist-of (take (Suc i) \{\text{ta}\}o))} \wedge \neg$ 
        ta-seq-consist P ?vs' (llist-of (take (Suc i) \{\text{ta}\}o)))
        case True
        hence i < length \{\text{ta}'\}o and  $\neg \text{ta-seq-consist P ?vs'' (LCons (\{\text{ta}'\}o ! i) LNil)}$  using sc'
        by(auto simp add: take-Suc-conv-app-nth lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] ta-seq-consist-lappend
          simp del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)
        then obtain ad al v where ta'-i: \{\text{ta}'\}o ! i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
          by(auto split: action.split-asm obs-event.split-asm)
        from ta'-i True have read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ set \{\text{ta}'\}o by(auto simp add:
          in-set-conv-nth)
        with red' have ad ∈ known-addrs-if t x by(rule if-red-read-knows-addr)
        hence ad ∈ if.known-addrs-state s' using tst by(rule if.known-addrs-stateI)
        moreover from init-fin-red-read-typeable[OF red' wtt read]$ 
```

```

obtain T where type-adal: P,shr s' ⊢ ad@al : T ..
from redT-updWs-total[of t wset s' {ta'}_w] red' tst aok'
obtain s'' where redT': mthr.if.redT s' (t, ta') s'' by(auto dest!: mthr.if.redT.redT-normal)
with wf Red
have ∃ w. w ∈ new-actions-for P (llist-of (E @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta}_o) ttas))) (ad, al)
  (is ∃ w. ?new-w w)
using read ns' ka wt type-adal unfolding s-def E-def by(rule read-non-speculative-new-actions-for)
then obtain w where w: ?new-w w ..
have (ad, al) ∈ dom ?vs'
proof(cases w < length E)
  case True
  with w have (ad, al) ∈ dom vs' unfolding vs'-def new-actions-for-def
    by(clarsimp)(erule mrw-values-new-actionD[rotated 1], auto simp del: split-paired-Ex simp
add: set-conv-nth action-obs-def nth-append intro!: exI[where x=w])
    also have dom vs' ⊆ dom ?vs' by(rule mrw-values-dom-mono)
    finally show ?thesis .
next
  case False
  with w show ?thesis unfolding new-actions-for-def
    apply(clarsimp)
    apply(erule mrw-values-new-actionD[rotated 1])
    apply(simp-all add: set-conv-nth action-obs-def nth-append actions-def)
    apply(rule exI[where x=w - length E])
    apply(subst nth-map[where f=snd, symmetric])
    apply(simp-all add: length-concat o-def split-def map-concat)
    done
qed
hence (ad, al) ∈ dom (mrw-values P ?vs' (take i {ta'}_o))
  by(rule subsetD[OF mrw-values-dom-mono])
then obtain v' b where v': mrw-values P ?vs' (take i {ta'}_o) (ad, al) = [(v', b)] by auto
moreover from vs-vs''[of (ad, al)]
have set-option (mrw-values P ?vs' (take i {ta'}_o) (ad, al)) ⊆ w-values P ?vs (take i {ta'}_o)
(ad, al) × UNIV
  by(rule w-values-mrw-values-conf)
ultimately have v' ∈ w-values P ?vs (take i {ta'}_o) (ad, al) by simp
moreover from sc'
have non-speculative P (w-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}_o) ttas))) (llist-of (take i {ta'}_o))
  by(blast intro: ta-seq-consist-into-non-speculative vs-vs'' del: subsetI)
ultimately obtain ta'' x'' m''
  where red'': t ⊢ (x, shr s') -ta''→i (x'', m'')
  and aok'': mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta''
  and i': i < length {ta'}_o
  and eq: take i {ta'}_o = take i {ta'}_o
  and ta''-i: {ta''}_o ! i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v')
  and len': length {ta''}_o ≤ max n (length {ta'}_o)
  using if.non-speculative-readD[OF nsr Red ns tst red' aok' i - ta'-i, of v'] by auto
from len' len have length {ta''}_o ≤ max n (length {ta'}_o) by simp
moreover have ta-seq-consist P ?vs' (llist-of (take (Suc i) {ta''}_o))
  using eq sc' i' ta''-i v'
  by(simp add: take-Suc-conv-app-nth lappend-llist-of-symmetric ta-seq-consist-lappend
del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)

```

```

moreover
have  $eusi' : \text{eq-up-to-seq-inconsist } P (\text{take} (\text{Suc } i) \{\{ta\}_o\}) (\text{take} (\text{Suc } i) \{\{ta''\}_o\}) ?vs'$ 
proof(cases  $i < \text{length } \{\{ta\}_o\}$ )
  case True
    with  $i' i \text{ len eq } eusi \text{ ta}'-i \text{ ta}''-i v'$  show ?thesis
    by(auto simp add: take-Suc-conv-app-nth ta'-ta eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps intro: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-appendI)
  next
    case False
      with  $i \text{ ta}'-ta$  have  $\neg \text{ta-seq-consist } P ?vs' (\text{llist-of} (\text{take } i \{\{ta\}_o\}))$  by auto
      then show ?thesis using False i' eq eusi
        by(simp add: take-Suc-conv-app-nth eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-append2)
  qed
moreover {
  assume  $\text{ta-seq-consist } P ?vs' (\text{llist-of} (\text{take} (\text{Suc } i) \{\{ta\}_o\}))$ 
  with True have  $\text{ta}'' = \text{ta}$  by simp }
ultimately show ?thesis using red'' aok'' True by blast
next
  case False
  hence  $\text{ta-seq-consist } P ?vs' (\text{llist-of} (\text{take} (\text{Suc } i) \{\{ta\}_o\})) \vee$ 
     $\text{length } \{\{ta\}_o\} \leq i \vee$ 
     $\text{ta-seq-consist } P ?vs' (\text{llist-of} (\text{take} (\text{Suc } i) \{\{ta'\}_o\}))$ 
  (is ?case1  $\vee$  ?case2  $\vee$  ?case3) by auto
thus ?thesis
proof(elim disjCE)
  assume ?case1
  moreover
  hence  $\text{eq-up-to-seq-inconsist } P (\text{take} (\text{Suc } i) \{\{ta\}_o\}) (\text{take} (\text{Suc } i) \{\{ta\}_o\}) ?vs'$ 
    by(rule ta-seq-consist-imp-eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-refl)
  ultimately show ?thesis using red aok by fastforce
next
  assume ?case2 and  $\neg ?case1$ 
  have  $\text{eq-up-to-seq-inconsist } P (\text{take} (\text{Suc } i) \{\{ta\}_o\}) (\text{take} (\text{Suc } i) \{\{ta'\}_o\}) ?vs'$ 
  proof(cases  $i < \text{length } \{\{ta\}_o\}$ )
    case True
      from <?case2> < $\neg$ ?case1> have  $\neg \text{ta-seq-consist } P ?vs' (\text{llist-of} (\text{take } i \{\{ta\}_o\}))$  by(auto
simp add: ta'-ta)
      hence  $\text{eq-up-to-seq-inconsist } P (\text{take } i \{\{ta\}_o @ [\{\{ta\}_o ! i]\}) (\text{take } i \{\{ta'\}_o @ []\}) ?vs'$ 
        by(blast intro: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-appendI[OF eusil])
      thus ?thesis using True <?case2> by(simp add: take-Suc-conv-app-nth)
    next
      case False with eusi <?case2> show ?thesis by simp
    qed
    with red' aok' len sc' eusi <?case2> < $\neg$ ?case1> show ?thesis
      by(fastforce simp add: take-all simp del: split-paired-Ex)
  next
    assume ?case3 and  $\neg ?case1 \text{ and } \neg ?case2$ 
    with len eusi ta'-ta
    have  $\text{eq-up-to-seq-inconsist } P (\text{take} (\text{Suc } i) \{\{ta\}_o\}) (\text{take} (\text{Suc } i) \{\{ta'\}_o\}) ?vs'$ 
    by(cases  $i < \text{length } \{\{ta\}_o\}$ ) (auto simp add: take-Suc-conv-app-nth lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric]
ta-seq-consist-lappend intro: eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-appendI eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-append2 cong: action.case-cong
obs-event.case-cong)
    with red' aok' <?case3> len < $\neg$ ?case1> show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed

```

```

qed }

from this[of max n (length {ta}o)]
show ∃ ta' x'' m''. t ⊢ (x, shr s') -ta'→i (x'', m'') ∧ mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta' ∧ ta-seq-consist P
?vs' (llist-of {ta'}o) ∧ eq-up-to-seq-inconsist P {ta}o {ta'}o ?vs'
  by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex cong: conj-cong)
qed

lemma non-speculative-read-into-hb-completion:
fixes status f C M params E
defines E ≡ lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs
and vs ≡ w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd E)
and s ≡ init-fin-lift-state status (start-state f P C M params)
assumes wf: wf-syscls P
and nsr: if.non-speculative-read n s vs
and wt: ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s) (shr s)
and ka: known-addrs start-tid (f (fst (method P C M)) M (fst (snd (method P C M))) (fst (snd (snd (method P C M)))) (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))))) params) ⊆ allocated start-heap
shows if.hb-completion s E
proof
fix ttas s' t x ta x' m' i
assume Red: mthr.if.RedT s ttas s'
and ns: non-speculative P (w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd E)) (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta).
{ta}o) ttas)))
and tst: thr s' t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋
and red: t ⊢ (x, shr s') -ta→i (x', m')
and aok: mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta
and nsi: non-speculative P (w-values P (w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd E)) (concat (map (λ(t, ta).
{ta}o) ttas))) (llist-of (take i {ta}o)))
let ?E = E @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta}o) ttas) @ map (Pair t) (take i {ta}o)
let ?vs = w-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas))

from ns have ns': non-speculative P (λ-. {}) (llist-of (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)
@ concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
  unfolding lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric]
  by(simp add: non-speculative-lappend E-def non-speculative-start-heap-obs del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)

from start-state-vs-conf[OF wf]
have vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs unfolding vs-def E-def s-def
  by(simp add: init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps start-state-def split-def)

from Red wt ns vs
have wt': ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr s') (shr s')
  unfolding vs-def by(rule if-RedT-non-speculative-invar)
hence wtt: init-fin-lift wfx t x (shr s') using tst by(rule ts-okD)

{ fix j
  have ∃ ta' x'' m''. t ⊢ (x, shr s') -ta'→i (x'', m'') ∧ mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta' ∧ length {ta'}o ≤
max n (length {ta}o) ∧
    take i {ta'}o = take i {ta}o ∧
    ta-hb-consistent P ?E (llist-of (map (Pair t) (take j (drop i {ta'}o)))) ∧
    (i < length {ta}o → i < length {ta'}o) ∧
    (if ∃ ad al v. {ta}o ! i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) then sim-action else
(=)) ({ta}o ! i) ({ta'}o ! i)
}

```

```

proof(induct j)
  case 0 from red aok show ?case by(fastforce simp del: split-paired-Ex)
next
  case (Suc j)
  then obtain ta' x'' m''
    where red': t ⊢ (x, shr s') -ta'→i (x'', m'')
    and aok': mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta'
    and len: length {ta'}_o ≤ max n (length {ta'}_o)
    and eq: take i {ta'}_o = take i {ta'}_o
    and hb: ta-hb-consistent P ?E (llist-of (map (Pair t) (take j (drop i {ta'}_o))))
    and len-i: i < length {ta'}_o → i < length {ta'}_o
    and sim-i: (if ∃ ad al v. {ta'}_o ! i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) then sim-action else
(=)) ({ta'}_o ! i) ({ta'}_o ! i)
      by blast
    show ?case
    proof(cases i + j < length {ta'}_o)
      case False
        with red' aok' len eq hb len-i sim-i show ?thesis by(fastforce simp del: split-paired-Ex)
    next
      case True
      note j = this
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases ∃ ad al v. {ta'}_o ! (i + j) = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v))
        case True
          then obtain ad al v where ta'-j: {ta'}_o ! (i + j) = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) by
blast
  hence read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ set {ta'}_o using j by(auto simp add:
in-set-conv-nth)
  with red' have ad ∈ known-addrs-if t x by(rule if-red-read-knows-addr)
  hence ad ∈ if.known-addrs-state s' using tst by(rule if.known-addrs-stateI)
  from init-fin-red-read-typeable[OF red' wtt read] obtain T
    where type-adal: P,shr s' ⊢ ad@al : T ..
  from redT-updWs-total[of t wset s' {ta'}_w] red' tst aok'
  obtain s'' where redT': mthr.if.redT s' (t, ta') s'' by(auto dest!: mthr.if.redT.redT-normal)
  with wf Red
  have ∃ w. w ∈ new-actions-for P (llist-of (E @ concat (map (λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta'}_o)
ttas))) (ad, al)
    (is ∃ w. ?new-w w)
    using read ns' ka wt type-adal unfolding s-def E-def
    by(rule read-non-speculative-new-actions-for)
  then obtain w where w: ?new-w w ..
  define E'' where E'' = ?E @ map (Pair t) (take (Suc j) (drop i {ta'}_o))
  from Red redT' have mthr.if.RedT s (ttas @ [(t, ta')]) s'' unfolding mthr.if.RedT-def ..
  hence tsa: thread-start-actions-ok (llist-of (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs @ concat (map
(λ(t, ta). map (Pair t) {ta'}_o) (ttas @ [(t, ta')]))) )
  unfolding s-def by(rule thread-start-actions-ok-init-fin-RedT)
  hence thread-start-actions-ok (llist-of E'') unfolding E-def[symmetric] E''-def
  by(rule thread-start-actions-ok-prefix)(rule lprefix-llist-ofI, simp, metis append-take-drop-id
eq map-append)
  moreover from w have w ∈ actions (llist-of E'')
  unfolding E''-def by(auto simp add: new-actions-for-def actions-def)

```

```

moreover have length ?E + j ∈ actions (llist-of E'') using j by(auto simp add: E''-def
actions-def)
moreover from w have is-new-action (action-obs (llist-of E'') w)
by(auto simp add: new-actions-for-def action-obs-def actions-def nth-append E''-def)
moreover have ¬ is-new-action (action-obs (llist-of E'') (length ?E + j))
using j ta'-j by(auto simp add: action-obs-def nth-append min-def E''-def)(subst (asm)
nth-map, simp-all)
ultimately have hb-w: P, llist-of E'' ⊢ w ≤hb length ?E + j
by(rule happens-before-new-not-new)

define writes where
writes = {w. P, llist-of E'' ⊢ w ≤hb length ?E + j ∧ w ∈ write-actions (llist-of E'') ∧
(ad, al) ∈ action-loc P (llist-of E'') w}

define w' where w' = Max-torder (action-order (llist-of E'')) writes

have writes-actions: writes ⊆ actions (llist-of E'') unfolding writes-def actions-def
by(auto dest!: happens-before-into-action-order elim!: action-orderE simp add: actions-def)
also have finite ... by(simp add: actions-def)
finally (finite-subset) have finite writes .
moreover from hb-w w have w-writes: w ∈ writes
by(auto 4 3 simp add: writes-def new-actions-for-def action-obs-def actions-def nth-append
E''-def intro!: write-actions.intros elim!: is-new-action.cases)
hence writes ≠ {} by auto

with torder-action-order {finite writes}
have w'-writes: w' ∈ writes using writes-actions unfolding w'-def by(rule Max-torder-in-set)
moreover
{ fix w"
assume w" ∈ writes
with torder-action-order {finite writes}
have llist-of E'' ⊢ w" ≤a w' using writes-actions unfolding w'-def by(rule Max-torder-above)
}
note w'-maximal = this

define v' where v' = value-written P (llist-of E'') w' (ad, al)

from nsi ta-hb-consistent-into-non-speculative[OF hb]
have nsi': non-speculative P (w-values P vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta} o) ttas))) (llist-of
(take (i + j) {ta} o))
unfolding take-add lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] non-speculative-lappend vs-def eq
by(simp add: non-speculative-lappend o-def map-concat split-def del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)

from w'-writes have adal-w': (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P (llist-of E'') w' by(simp add: writes-def)
from w'-writes have w' ∈ write-actions (llist-of E'')
unfolding writes-def by blast
then obtain is-write-action (action-obs (llist-of E'') w')
and w'-actions: w' ∈ actions (llist-of E'') by cases
hence v' ∈ w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd E'') (ad, al)
proof cases
case (NewHeapElem ad' CTn)
hence NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad' CTn) ∈ set (map snd E'')
using w'-actions unfolding in-set-conv-nth
by(auto simp add: actions-def action-obs-def cong: conj-cong)

```

```

moreover have  $ad' = ad$ 
  and  $(ad, al) \in \text{action-loc-aux } P (\text{NormalAction} (\text{NewHeapElem } ad \text{ } CTn))$ 
  using  $\text{adal-w}' \text{ NewHeapElem by auto}$ 
ultimately show ?thesis using  $\text{NewHeapElem unfolding } v'\text{-def}$ 
  by(simp add: value-written.simps w-values-new-actionD)
next
  case (WriteMem ad' al' v')
    hence  $\text{NormalAction} (\text{WriteMem } ad' al' v') \in \text{set} (\text{map snd } E'')$ 
      using  $w'\text{-actions unfolding in-set-conv-nth}$ 
      by(auto simp add: actions-def action-obs-def cong: conj-cong)
    moreover have  $ad' = ad \text{ } al' = al$  using  $\text{adal-w}' \text{ WriteMem by auto}$ 
    ultimately show ?thesis using  $\text{WriteMem unfolding } v'\text{-def}$ 
      by(simp add: value-written.simps w-values-WriteMemD)
qed
hence  $v' \in w\text{-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{concat} (\text{map} (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas) @ \text{take} (i + j) \{ta'\}_o) (ad, al)$ 
  using  $j \text{ ta}'\text{-j eq unfolding } E''\text{-def vs-def}$ 
  by(simp add: o-def split-def map-concat take-add take-Suc-conv-app-nth)
from if.non-speculative-readD[ $OF nsr Red ns[\text{folded vs-def}] \text{ tst red}' aok' j nsi' ta'\text{-j this}$ ]
obtain  $ta'' \text{ } x'' \text{ } m''$ 
  where  $red'': t \vdash (x, shr s') - ta'' \rightarrow i (x'', m'')$ 
  and  $aok'': mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta''$ 
  and  $j'': i + j < \text{length } \{ta'\}_o$ 
  and  $eq'': \text{take} (i + j) \{ta'\}_o = \text{take} (i + j) \{ta'\}_o$ 
  and  $ta''\text{-j}: \{ta'\}_o ! (i + j) = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem } ad \text{ } al \text{ } v')$ 
  and  $len'': \text{length } \{ta'\}_o \leq \max n (\text{length } \{ta'\}_o)$  by blast

define  $EE$  where  $EE = ?E @ \text{map} (\text{Pair } t) (\text{take } j (\text{drop } i \{ta'\}_o))$ 
define  $E'$  where  $E' = ?E @ \text{map} (\text{Pair } t) (\text{take } j (\text{drop } i \{ta'\}_o)) @ [(t, \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem } ad \text{ } al \text{ } v'))]$ 

from len' len have  $\text{length } \{ta'\}_o \leq \max n (\text{length } \{ta\}_o)$  by simp
moreover from eq' eq j j' have  $\text{take } i \{ta'\}_o = \text{take } i \{ta\}_o$ 
  by(auto simp add: take-add min-def)
moreover {
  note hb
  also have eq'':  $\text{take } j (\text{drop } i \{ta\}_o) = \text{take } j (\text{drop } i \{ta'\}_o)$ 
    using eq' j j' by(simp add: take-add min-def)
  also have ta-hb-consistent  $P$  ( $?E @ \text{list-of} (\text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair } t) (\text{take } j (\text{drop } i \{ta'\}_o))))$ )
    ( $\text{llist-of} [(t, \{ta'\}_o ! (i + j))]$ )
    unfolding llist-of.simps ta-hb-consistent-LCons ta-hb-consistent-LNil ta''-j prod.simps
    action.simps obs-event.simps list-of-llist-of append-assoc  $E'\text{-def}[symmetric, unfolded append-assoc]$ 
    unfolding EE-def[symmetric, unfolded append-assoc]
    proof(intro conjI TrueI exI[where x=w'] strip)
      have llist-of  $E''$  [ $\approx$ ] llist-of  $E'$  using j len eq'' ta'-j unfolding  $E''\text{-def } E'\text{-def}$ 
      by(auto simp add: sim-actions-def list-all2-append List.list-all2-refl split-beta take-Suc-conv-app-nth
        take-map[symmetric])
      moreover have  $\text{length } E'' = \text{length } E'$  using j j' by(simp add: E''-def E'-def)
      ultimately have sim:  $\text{ltake} (\text{enat} (\text{length } E')) (\text{llist-of } E'') \approx \text{ltake} (\text{enat} (\text{length } E'))$ 
        ( $\text{llist-of } E'$ ) by simp
      from w'-actions <length  $E'' = \text{length } E'w' < \text{length } E'$  by(simp add: actions-def)

      from < $w' \in \text{write-actions} (\text{llist-of } E'')$ > sim
    
```

```

show  $w' \in \text{write-actions}(\text{llist-of } E')$  by(rule write-actions-change-prefix)(simp add:  $w'\text{-len}$ )
  from adal- $w'$  action-loc-change-prefix[OF sim, of w' P]
    show  $(ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P (\text{llist-of } E') w'$  by(simp add:  $w'\text{-len}$ )

  from ta'- $j j$  have length ? $E + j \in \text{read-actions}(\text{llist-of } E'')$ 
    by(auto intro!: read-actions.intros simp add: action-obs-def actions-def  $E''\text{-def min-def nth-append}$ )(auto)
      hence  $w' \neq \text{length } ?E + j$  using < $w' \in \text{write-actions}(\text{llist-of } E'')$ >
        by(auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)
      with  $w'\text{-len}$  have  $w' < \text{length } ?E + j$  by(simp add:  $E'\text{-def}$ )
        from  $j j' len' eq''$ 
        have ltake (enat (length ? $E + j$ )) ( $\text{llist-of } E''$ ) = ltake (enat (length ? $E + j$ )) ( $\text{llist-of } E'$ )
          by(auto simp add:  $E''\text{-def } E'\text{-def min-def take-Suc-conv-app-nth}$ )
        from value-written-change-prefix[OF this, of w' P] < $w' < \text{length } ?E + j$ >
        show value-written  $P (\text{llist-of } E') w'$   $(ad, al) = v'$  unfolding  $v'\text{-def}$  by simp

  from <thread-start-actions-ok ( $\text{llist-of } E''$ )> < $\text{llist-of } E'' \approx \text{llist-of } E'$ >
  have tsa'': thread-start-actions-ok ( $\text{llist-of } E'$ )
    by(rule thread-start-actions-ok-change)

  from  $w'\text{-writes } j j' len len' \text{ have } P, \text{llist-of } E'' \vdash w' \leq_{hb} \text{length } EE$ 
    by(auto simp add:  $EE\text{-def writes-def min-def ac-simps}$ )
  thus  $P, \text{llist-of } E' \vdash w' \leq_{hb} \text{length } EE$  using tsa'' sim
    by(rule happens-before-change-prefix)(simp add:  $w'\text{-len}, \text{simp add: } EE\text{-def } E'\text{-def}$ )

  fix  $w''$ 
  assume  $w'': w'' \in \text{write-actions}(\text{llist-of } E')$ 
    and adal- $w'': (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P (\text{llist-of } E') w''$ 

  from  $w'' \text{ have } w''\text{-len}: w'' < \text{length } E'$  by(cases)(simp add: actions-def)

  from  $w'' \text{ sim[symmetric]}$  have  $w'': w'' \in \text{write-actions}(\text{llist-of } E'')$ 
    by(rule write-actions-change-prefix)(simp add:  $w''\text{-len}$ )
  from adal- $w''$  action-loc-change-prefix[OF sim[symmetric], of w'' P]
  have adal- $w'': (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P (\text{llist-of } E'') w'' by simp
  {
    presume  $w'\text{-w}'': \text{llist-of } E' \vdash w' \leq_a w''$ 
    and  $w''\text{-hb}: P, \text{llist-of } E' \vdash w'' \leq_{hb} \text{length } EE$ 
    from  $w''\text{-hb} \langle \text{thread-start-actions-ok} (\text{llist-of } E'') \rangle \text{ sim[symmetric]}$ 
    have  $P, \text{llist-of } E'' \vdash w'' \leq_{hb} \text{length } EE$ 
      by(rule happens-before-change-prefix)(simp add:  $w''\text{-len}, \text{simp add: } E'\text{-def } EE\text{-def}$ )
    with  $w'' \text{ adal-} w'' j j' len len' \text{ have } w'' \in \text{writes}$ 
      by(auto simp add: writes-def  $EE\text{-def min-def ac-simps split: if-split-asm}$ )
    hence  $\text{llist-of } E'' \vdash w'' \leq_a w'$  by(rule  $w'\text{-maximal}$ )
    hence  $\text{llist-of } E' \vdash w'' \leq_a w'$  using sim
      by(rule action-order-change-prefix)(simp-all add:  $w'\text{-len } w''\text{-len}$ )
    thus  $w'' = w' w'' = w'$  using  $w'\text{-w}''$  by(rule antisymPD[OF antisym-action-order])+
  }

  { assume  $P, \text{llist-of } E' \vdash w' \leq_{hb} w'' \wedge P, \text{llist-of } E' \vdash w'' \leq_{hb} \text{length } EE$ 
    thus  $\text{llist-of } E' \vdash w' \leq_a w'' P, \text{llist-of } E' \vdash w'' \leq_{hb} \text{length } EE$ 
      by(blast dest: happens-before-into-action-order)+ }
  { assume is-volatile  $P$  al  $\wedge P, \text{llist-of } E' \vdash w' \leq_{so} w'' \wedge P, \text{llist-of } E' \vdash w'' \leq_{so} \text{length } EE$ 
    then obtain vol: is-volatile  $P$  al
  }$ 
```

```

and so:  $P, llist\text{-}of E' \vdash w' \leq_{so} w''$ 
and so':  $P, llist\text{-}of E' \vdash w'' \leq_{so} \text{length } EE$  by blast
from so show  $llist\text{-}of E' \vdash w' \leq_a w''$  by(blast elim: sync-orderE)

show  $P, llist\text{-}of E' \vdash w'' \leq_{hb} \text{length } EE$ 
proof(cases is-new-action (action-obs (llist-of E') w''))
  case True
    with  $\langle w'' \in \text{write-actions } (llist\text{-}of E') \rangle$   $ta''\text{-}j$  show ?thesis
      by cases(rule happens-before-new-not-new[OF tsa'], auto simp add: actions-def EE-def
E'-def action-obs-def min-def nth-append)
  next
    case False
      with  $\langle w'' \in \text{write-actions } (llist\text{-}of E') \rangle$   $\langle (ad, al) \in \text{action-loc } P \ (llist\text{-}of E') \ w'' \rangle$ 
      obtain  $v''$  where action-obs (llist-of E')  $w'' = \text{NormalAction } (\text{WriteMem } ad \ al \ v'')$ 
        by cases(auto elim: is-write-action.cases)
      with  $ta''\text{-}j \ w'' \ j \ j' \ \text{len} \ \text{len}'$ 
        have  $P \vdash (\text{action-tid } (llist\text{-}of E') \ w'', \ \text{action-obs } (llist\text{-}of E') \ w'') \rightsquigarrow_{sw} (\text{action-tid } (llist\text{-}of E') \ (\text{length } EE), \ \text{action-obs } (llist\text{-}of E') \ (\text{length } EE))$ 
          by(auto simp add: E'-def EE-def action-obs-def min-def nth-append Volatile)
        with so' have  $P, llist\text{-}of E' \vdash w'' \leq_{sw} \text{length } EE$  by(rule sync-withI)
        thus ?thesis unfolding po-sw-def [abs-def] by(blast intro: tranclp.r-into-trancl)
      qed }
  qed
  ultimately have ta-hb-consistent P ?E (lappend (llist-of (map (Pair t) (take j (drop i
{ta'}_o)))) (llist-of [(t, {ta'}_o ! (i + j))]))
    by(rule ta-hb-consistent-lappendI) simp
  hence ta-hb-consistent P ?E (llist-of (map (Pair t) (take (Suc j) (drop i {ta'}_o))))
    using j' unfolding lappend-llist-of-llist-of by(simp add: take-Suc-conv-app-nth) }
  moreover from len-i have i < length {ta}_o  $\longrightarrow$  i < length {ta'}_o using eq' j' by auto
  moreover from sim-i eq' ta''-j ta'-j
    have (if  $\exists ad \ al \ v. \ {ta}_o ! i = \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem } ad \ al \ v)$  then sim-action else (=))
    ({ta}_o ! i) ({ta'}_o ! i)
      by(cases j = 0)(auto split: if-split-asm, (metis add-strict-left-mono add-0-right nth-take)+)
    ultimately show ?thesis using red'' aok'' by blast
  next
    case False
      hence ta-hb-consistent P (?E @ list-of (llist-of (map (Pair t) (take j (drop i {ta'}_o))))) (llist-of [(t, {ta'}_o ! (i + j))])
        by(simp add: ta-hb-consistent-LCons split: action.split obs-event.split)
      with hb
        have ta-hb-consistent P ?E (lappend (llist-of (map (Pair t) (take j (drop i {ta'}_o)))) (llist-of [(t, {ta'}_o ! (i + j))]))
          by(rule ta-hb-consistent-lappendI) simp
        hence ta-hb-consistent P ?E (llist-of (map (Pair t) (take (Suc j) (drop i {ta'}_o))))
          using j unfolding lappend-llist-of-llist-of by(simp add: take-Suc-conv-app-nth)
          with red' aok' len eq len-i sim-i show ?thesis by blast
        qed
      qed
    qed
  from this[of max n (length {ta}_o)]
  show  $\exists ta' x'' m''. t \vdash (x, shr s') \dashv_{ta'} i (x'', m'') \wedge mthr.\text{if}.actions\text{-}ok s' t ta' \wedge$ 
     $take i \ {ta'}_o = take i \ {ta}_o \wedge$ 
     $ta\text{-hb}\text{-consistent } P ?E (llist\text{-}of (map (Pair t) (drop i \ {ta'}_o))) \wedge$ 
     $(i < \text{length } \ {ta}_o \longrightarrow i < \text{length } \ {ta'}_o) \wedge$ 

```

```

        (if  $\exists ad\ al\ v.\ \{ta\}_o ! i = NormalAction\ (ReadMem\ ad\ al\ v)$  then sim-action else
(=)) ( $\{ta\}_o ! i$ ) ( $\{ta'\}_o ! i$ )
      by(simp del: split-paired-Ex cong: conj-cong split del: if-split) blast
qed

end

end

```

8.12 Type-safety proof for the Java memory model

```

theory JMM-Typesafe
imports
  JMM-Framework
begin

```

Create a dynamic list *heap-independent* of theorems for replacing heap-dependent constants by heap-independent ones.

```

ML ‹
structure Heap-Independent-Rules = Named-Thms
(
  val name = @{binding heap-independent}
  val description = "Simplification rules for heap-independent constants"
)
›
setup ‹Heap-Independent-Rules.setup›

locale heap-base' =
  h: heap-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate λ-. typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'addr → htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
begin

definition typeof-h :: 'addr val ⇒ ty option
where typeof-h = h.typeof-h undefined
lemma typeof-h-conv-typeof-h [heap-independent, iff]: h.typeof-h h = typeof-h
  by(rule ext)(case-tac x, simp-all add: typeof-h-def)
lemmas typeof-h-simps [simp] = h.typeof-h.simps [unfolded heap-independent]

definition cname-of :: 'addr ⇒ cname
where cname-of = h.cname-of undefined
lemma cname-of-conv-cname-of [heap-independent, iff]: h.cname-of h = cname-of
  by(simp add: cname-of-def h.cname-of-def[abs-def])

```

```

definition addr-loc-type :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where addr-loc-type P = h.addr-loc-type P undefined
notation addr-loc-type (-  $\vdash$  -@- : - [50, 50, 50, 50] 51)
lemma addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type [heap-independent, iff]:
  h.addr-loc-type P h = addr-loc-type P
by(simp add: addr-loc-type-def h.addr-loc-type-def)
lemmas addr-loc-type-cases [cases pred: addr-loc-type] =
  h.addr-loc-type.cases[unfolded heap-independent]
lemmas addr-loc-type-intros = h.addr-loc-type.intros[unfolded heap-independent]

definition typeof-addr-loc :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  ty
where typeof-addr-loc P = h.typeof-addr-loc P undefined
lemma typeof-addr-loc-conv-typeof-addr-loc [heap-independent, iff]:
  h.typeof-addr-loc P h = typeof-addr-loc P
by(simp add: typeof-addr-loc-def h.typeof-addr-loc-def[abs-def])

definition conf :: 'a prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where conf P  $\equiv$  h.conf P undefined
notation conf (-  $\vdash$  - : $\leq$  - [51,51,51] 50)
lemma conf-conv-conf [heap-independent, iff]: h.conf P h = conf P
by(simp add: conf-def heap-base.conf-def[abs-def])
lemmas defval-conf [simp] = h.defval-conf[unfolded heap-independent]

definition lconf :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  (vname  $\rightarrow$  'addr val)  $\Rightarrow$  (vname  $\rightarrow$  ty)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where lconf P = h.lconf P undefined
notation lconf (-  $\vdash$  - (: $\leq$ ) - [51,51,51] 50)
lemma lconf-conv-lconf [heap-independent, iff]: h.lconf P h = lconf P
by(simp add: lconf-def h.lconf-def[abs-def])

definition confs :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  ty list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where confs P = h.confs P undefined
notation confs (-  $\vdash$  - [: $\leq$ ] - [51,51,51] 50)
lemma confs-conv-confs [heap-independent, iff]: h.confs P h = confs P
by(simp add: confs-def)

definition tconf :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where tconf P = h.tconf P undefined
notation tconf (-  $\vdash$  -  $\sqrt{t}$  [51,51] 50)
lemma tconf-conv-tconf [heap-independent, iff]: h.tconf P h = tconf P
by(simp add: tconf-def h.tconf-def[abs-def])

definition vs-conf :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr  $\times$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val set)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where vs-conf P = h.vs-conf P undefined
lemma vs-conf-conv-vs-conf [heap-independent, iff]: h.vs-conf P h = vs-conf P
by(simp add: vs-conf-def h.vs-conf-def[abs-def])

lemmas vs-confI = h.vs-confI[unfolded heap-independent]
lemmas vs-confD = h.vs-confD[unfolded heap-independent]

use non-speculativity to express that only type-correct values are read

primrec vs-type-all :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\times$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val set
where vs-type-all P (ad, al) = {v.  $\exists T$ . P  $\vdash ad @ al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$ }

lemma vs-conf-vs-type-all [simp]: vs-conf P (vs-type-all P)

```

```

by(rule h.vs-confI[unfolded heap-independent])(simp)
lemma w-addrs-vs-type-all: w-addrs (vs-type-all P) ⊆ dom typeof-addr
by(auto simp add: w-addrs-def h.conf-def[unfolded heap-independent])

lemma w-addrs-vs-type-all-in-vs-type-all:
   $(\bigcup ad \in w\text{-addrs} (vs\text{-type}\text{-all } P). \{(ad, al)|al. \exists T. P \vdash ad@al : T\}) \subseteq \{adal. vs\text{-type}\text{-all } P adal \neq \{\}\}$ 
by(auto simp add: w-addrs-def vs-type-all-def intro: defval-conf)

declare vs-type-all.simps [simp del]

lemmas vs-conf-insert-iff = h.vs-conf-insert-iff[unfolded heap-independent]

end

locale heap' =
  h: heap
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate λ-. typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  P
  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'addr → htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
  and P :: 'm prog

sublocale heap' < heap-base' .

context heap' begin

lemma vs-conf-w-value-WriteMemD:
   $\llbracket vs\text{-conf } P (w\text{-value } P vs ob); ob = NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists T. P \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$ 
by(auto elim: vs-confD)

lemma vs-conf-w-values-WriteMemD:
   $\llbracket vs\text{-conf } P (w\text{-values } P vs obs); NormalAction (WriteMem ad al v) \in set obs \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists T. P \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$ 
apply(induct obs arbitrary: vs)
apply(auto 4 3 elim: vs-confD intro: w-values-mono[THEN subsetD])
done

lemma w-values-vs-type-all-start-heap-obs:
  assumes wf: wf-syscls P
  shows w-values P (vs-type-all P) (map snd (lift-start-obs h.start-tid h.start-heap-obs)) = vs-type-all P
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

```

```

proof(rule antisym, rule le-funI, rule subsetI)
  fix adal v
  assume v: v ∈ ?lhs adal
  obtain ad al where adal: adal = (ad, al) by(cases adal)
  show v ∈ ?rhs adal
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume v': ¬ ?thesis
    from in-w-valuesD[OF v[unfolded adal] this[unfolded adal]]
    obtain obs' wa obs"
      where eq: map snd (lift-start-obs h.start-tid h.start-heap-obs) = obs' @ wa # obs"
      and write: is-write-action wa
      and loc: (ad, al) ∈ action-loc-aux P wa
      and vwa: value-written-aux P wa al = v
      by blast+
    from write show False
    proof cases
      case (WriteMem ad' al' v')
        with vwa loc eq have WriteMem ad al v ∈ set h.start-heap-obs
          by(auto simp add: map-eq-append-conv Cons-eq-append-conv lift-start-obs-def)
        from h.start-heap-write-typeable[OF this] v' adal
        show ?thesis by(auto simp add: vs-type-all-def)
    next
      case (NewHeapElem ad' hT)
        with vwa loc eq have NewHeapElem ad hT ∈ set h.start-heap-obs
          by(auto simp add: map-eq-append-conv Cons-eq-append-conv lift-start-obs-def)
        hence typeof-addr ad = [hT]
          by(rule h.NewHeapElem-start-heap-obsD[OF wf])
        with v' adal loc vwa NewHeapElem show ?thesis
          by(auto simp add: vs-type-all-def intro: addr-loc-type-intros h.addr-loc-default-conf[unfolded
            heap-independent])
      qed
    qed
  qed(rule w-values-greater)

end

```

```

lemma lprefix-lappend2I: lprefix xs ys ==> lprefix xs (lappend ys zs)
by(auto simp add: lappend-assoc lprefix-conv-lappend)

```

```

locale known-addrs-typing' =
  h: known-addrs-typing
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate λ-. typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  allocated known-addrs
  final r wfx
  P
  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) => 'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id => 'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap => htype => ('heap × 'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'addr → htype

```

```

and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool
and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
and allocated :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr set
and known-addrs :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'addr set
and final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event) semantics (- ⊢ - --→ -
[50,0,0,50] 80)
and wfx :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'x ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
and P :: 'md prog
+
assumes NewHeapElem-typed: — Should this be moved to known_addrs_typing?
[ t ⊢ (x, h) -ta→ (x', h'); NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set {ta}o; typeof-addr ad ≠ None ]
⇒ typeof-addr ad = [CTn]

sublocale known-addrs-typing' < heap by unfold-locales

context known-addrs-typing' begin

lemma known-addrs-typeable-in-vs-type-all:
  h.if.known-addrs-state s ⊆ dom typeof-addr
  ⇒ (⋃ a ∈ h.if.known-addrs-state s. {(a, al)|al. ∃ T. P ⊢ a@al : T}) ⊆ {adal. vs-type-all P adal ≠ {}}
  by(auto 4 4 dest: subsetD simp add: vs-type-all.simps intro: defval-conf)

lemma if-NewHeapElem-typed:
  [ t ⊢ xh -ta→i x'h'; NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) ∈ set {ta}o; typeof-addr ad ≠ None ]
  ⇒ typeof-addr ad = [CTn]
  by(cases rule: h.mthr.init-fin.cases)(auto dest: NewHeapElem-typed)

lemma if-redT-NewHeapElem-typed:
  [ h.mthr.if.redT s (t, ta) s'; NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) ∈ set {ta}o; typeof-addr ad ≠ None ]
  ⇒ typeof-addr ad = [CTn]
  by(cases rule: h.mthr.if.redT.cases)(auto dest: if-NewHeapElem-typed)

lemma non-speculative-written-value-typeable:
  assumes wfx-start: ts-ok wfx (thr (h.start-state f P C M vs)) h.start-heap
  and wfP: wf-syscls P
  and E: E ∈ h.E-start f P C M vs status
  and write: w ∈ write-actions E
  and adal: (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E w
  and ns: non-speculative P (vs-type-all P) (lmap snd (ltake (enat w) E))
  shows ∃ T. P ⊢ ad@al : T ∧ P ⊢ value-written P E w (ad, al) :≤ T
proof -
  let ?start-state = init-fin-lift-state status (h.start-state f P C M vs)
  and ?start-obs = lift-start-obs h.start-tid h.start-heap-obs
  and ?v = value-written P E w (ad, al)

  from write have iwa: is-write-action (action-obs E w) by cases

  from E obtain E' where E': E = lappend (llist-of ?start-obs) E'
    and E: E' ∈ h.mthr.if.E ?start-state by blast
  from E obtain E'' where E'': E' = lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E'')
    and Runs: h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state E''

```

```

by-(rule h.mthr.if.E.cases[OF E])

have wfx': ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr ?start-state) (shr ?start-state)
  using wfx-start by(simp add: h.shr-start-state)

from ns E'
have ns: non-speculative P (vs-type-all P) (lmap snd (ldropn (length (lift-start-obs h.start-tid h.start-heap-obs)) (ltake (enat w) E)))
  by(subst (asm) lappend-ltake-ldrop[where n=enat (length (lift-start-obs h.start-tid h.start-heap-obs)), symmetric])(simp add: non-speculative-lappend min-def ltake-lappend1 w-values-vs-type-all-start-heap-obs[OF wfP] ldrop-enat split: if-split-asm)

show ?thesis
proof(cases w < length ?start-obs)
  case True
    hence in-start: action-obs E w ∈ set (map snd ?start-obs)
      unfolding in-set-conv-nth E' by(simp add: lnth-lappend action-obs-def map-nth exI[where x=w])

from iwa show ?thesis
proof(cases)
  case (WriteMem ad' al' v')
    with adal have ad' = ad al' = al ?v = v' by(simp-all add: value-written.simps)
    with WriteMem in-start have WriteMem ad al ?v ∈ set h.start-heap-obs by auto
    thus ?thesis by(rule h.start-heap-write-typeable[unfolded heap-independent])
next
  case (NewHeapElem ad' CTn)
    with adal have [simp]: ad' = ad by auto
    with NewHeapElem in-start have NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set h.start-heap-obs by auto
    with wfP have typeof-addr ad = |CTn| by(rule h.NewHeapElem-start-heap-obsD)
    with adal NewHeapElem show ?thesis
      by(cases al)(auto simp add: value-written.simps intro: addr-loc-type-intros h.addr-loc-default-conf[unfolded heap-independent])
qed
next
  case False
  define w' where w' = w - length ?start-obs
  with write False have w'-len: enat w' < llength E'
    by(cases llength E')(auto simp add: actions-def E' elim: write-actions.cases)
  with Runs obtain m-w n-w t-w ta-w
    where E'-w: lnth E' w' = (t-w, {ta-w}o ! n-w)
    and n-w: n-w < length {ta-w}o
    and m-w: enat m-w < llength E''
    and w-sum: w' = (∑ i<m-w. length {snd (lnth E'' i)}o) + n-w
    and E''-m-w: lnth E'' m-w = (t-w, ta-w)
    unfolding E'' by(rule h.mthr.if.actions-E-aux)

from E'-w have obs-w: action-obs E w = {ta-w}o ! n-w
  using False E' w'-def by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend)

let ?E'' = ldropn (Suc m-w) E''
let ?m-E'' = ltake (enat m-w) E''
have E'-unfold: E'' = lappend ?m-E'' (LCons (lnth E'' m-w) ?E'')
  unfolding ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn[OF m-w] by simp
hence h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state (lappend ?m-E'' (LCons (lnth E'' m-w) ?E''))

```

```

using Runs by simp
then obtain σ' where σ-σ': h.mthr.if.mthr.Trsys ?start-state (list-of ?m-E'') σ'
  and Runs': h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ' (LCons (lnth E'' m-w) ?E'')
    by(rule h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs-lappendE) simp
from Runs' obtain σ''' where red-w: h.mthr.if.redT σ' (t-w, ta-w) σ'''
  and Runs'': h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ''' ?E''
  unfolding E''-m-w by cases

let ?EE'' = lmap snd (lappend (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ?m-E''))
  (llist-of (map (Pair t-w) (take (n-w + 1) {ta-w}o))))
have len-EE'': llengh ?EE'' = enat (w' + 1) using n-w m-w
  apply(simp add: w-sum)
  apply(subst llengh-lconcat-lfinite-conv-sum)
  apply(simp-all add: split-beta plus-enat-simps(1)[symmetric] add-Suc-right[symmetric] del: plus-enat-simps(1)
add-Suc-right)
  apply(subst sum-comp-morphism[symmetric, where h=enat])
  apply(simp-all add: zero-enat-def min-def le-Suc-eq)
  apply(rule sum.cong)
  apply(auto simp add: lnth-ltake less-trans[where y=enat m-w])
done
have prefix: lprefix ?EE'' (lmap snd E') unfolding E''
  by(subst (2) E'-unfold)(rule lmap-lprefix, clarsimp simp add: lmap-lappend-distrib E''-m-w
lprefix-lappend2I[OF lprefix-llist-ofI[OF exI[where x=map (Pair t-w) (drop (n-w + 1) {ta-w}o)]]
map-append[symmetric]])

from iwa False have iwa': is-write-action (action-obs E' w') by(simp add: E' action-obs-def
lnth-lappend w'-def)
from ns False
have non-speculative P (vs-type-all P) (lmap snd (ltake (enat w') E'))
  by(simp add: E' ltake-lappend lmap-lappend-distrib non-speculative-lappend ldropn-lappend2
w'-def)
with iwa'
have non-speculative P (vs-type-all P) (lappend (lmap snd (ltake (enat w') E')) (LCons (action-obs
E' w') LNil))
  by(cases(simp-all add: non-speculative-lappend))
also have lappend (lmap snd (ltake (enat w') E')) (LCons (action-obs E' w') LNil) = lmap snd
(ltake (enat (w' + 1)) E')
  using w'-len by(simp add: ltake-Suc-conv-snoc-lnth lmap-lappend-distrib action-obs-def)
also {
  have lprefix (lmap snd (ltake (enat (w' + 1)) E')) (lmap snd E') by(rule lmap-lprefix) simp
  with prefix have lprefix ?EE'' (lmap snd (ltake (enat (w' + 1)) E')) ∨
    lprefix (lmap snd (ltake (enat (w' + 1)) E')) ?EE''
    by(rule lprefix-down-linear)
  moreover have llengh (lmap snd (ltake (enat (w' + 1)) E')) = enat (w' + 1)
    using w'-len by(cases llengh E') simp-all
  ultimately have lmap snd (ltake (enat (w' + 1)) E') = ?EE''
    using len-EE'' by(auto dest: lprefix-llength-eq-imp-eq) }
finally
have ns1: non-speculative P (vs-type-all P) (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (list-of ?m-E''))))
  and ns2: non-speculative P (w-values P (vs-type-all P) (map snd (list-of (lconcat (lmap (λ(t,
ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ?m-E''))))) (llist-of (take (Suc n-w) {ta-w}o)))
    by(simp-all add: lmap-lappend-distrib non-speculative-lappend split-beta lconcat-llist-of[symmetric]
lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp o-def split-def list-of-lmap[symmetric] del: list-of-lmap)

```

```

have vs-conf P (vs-type-all P) by simp
with σ-σ' wfx' ns1
have wfx': ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr σ') (shr σ')
and vs-conf: vs-conf P (w-values P (vs-type-all P)) (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (list-of ?m-E''))))
by(rule h.if-RedT-non-speculative-invar[unfolded h.mthr.if.RedT-def heap-independent])+
have concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (list-of ?m-E'')) = map snd (list-of (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta).
llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ?m-E'')))
by(simp add: split-def lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp o-def list-of-lconcat map-concat)
with vs-conf have vs-conf P (w-values P (vs-type-all P) ...) by simp
with red-w wfx' ns2
have vs-conf': vs-conf P (w-values P (w-values P (vs-type-all P)) (map snd (list-of (lconcat (lmap
(λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ?m-E'')))) (take (Suc n-w) {ta-w}o))
(is vs-conf - ?vs')
by(rule h.if-redT-non-speculative-vs-conf[unfolded heap-independent])

from len-EE'' have enat w' < llengh ?EE'' by simp
from w'-len have lnth ?EE'' w' = action-obs E' w'
using lprefix-lnthD[OF prefix <enat w' < llengh ?EE'>] by(simp add: action-obs-def)
hence ... ∈ lset ?EE'' using <enat w' < llengh ?EE'> unfolding lset-conv-lnth by(auto intro!: exI)
also have ... ⊆ set (map snd (list-of (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o))
?m-E''))) @ take (Suc n-w) {ta-w}o)
by(auto 4 4 intro: rev-image-eqI rev-bexI simp add: split-beta lset-lconcat-lfinite dest: lset-lappend[THEN subsetD])
also have action-obs E' w' = action-obs E w
using False by(simp add: E' w'-def lnth-lappend action-obs-def)
also note obs-w-in-set = calculation and calculation = nothing

from iwa have ?v ∈ w-values P (vs-type-all P) (map snd (list-of (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of
(map (Pair t) {ta}o)) ?m-E''))) @ take (Suc n-w) {ta-w}o) (ad, al)
proof(cases)
case (WriteMem ad' al' v')
with adal have ad' = ad al' = al ?v = v' by(simp-all add: value-written.simps)
with obs-w-in-set WriteMem show ?thesis
by -(rule w-values-WriteMemD, simp)
next
case (NewHeapElem ad' CTn)
with adal have [simp]: ad' = ad and v: ?v = addr-loc-default P CTn al
by(auto simp add: value-written.simps)
with obs-w-in-set NewHeapElem adal show ?thesis
by(unfold v)(rule w-values-new-actionD, simp-all)
qed
hence ?v ∈ ?vs' (ad, al) by simp
with vs-conf' show ∃ T. P ⊢ ad@al : T ∧ P ⊢ ?v :≤ T
by(rule h.vs-confD[unfolded heap-independent])
qed
qed

lemma hb-read-value-typeable:
assumes wfx-start: ts-ok wfx (thr (h.start-state f P C M vs)) h.start-heap
(is ts-ok wfx (thr ?start-state) -)
and wfP: wf-syscls P
and E: E ∈ h.E-start f P C M vs status

```

```

and wf:  $P \vdash (E, ws) \vee$ 
and races:  $\bigwedge a ad al v. [\![ enat a < llength E; action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v); \neg P, E \vdash ws a \leq hb a ]\!] \Rightarrow \exists T. P \vdash ad @ al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$ 
and r:  $enat a < llength E$ 
and read:  $action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)$ 
shows  $\exists T. P \vdash ad @ al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$ 
using r read
proof(induction a arbitrary: ad al v rule: less-induct)
case (less a)
note r = <enat a < llength E>
and read = <action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)>
show ?case
proof(cases P, E ⊢ ws a ≤ hb a)
case False with r read show ?thesis by(rule races)
next
case True
note hb = this
hence ao:  $E \vdash ws a \leq a$  a by(rule happens-before-into-action-order)

from wf have ws: is-write-seen P E ws by(rule wf-exec-is-write-seenD)
from r have a ∈ actions E by(simp add: actions-def)
hence a ∈ read-actions E using read ..
from is-write-seenD[OF ws this read]
have write: ws a ∈ write-actions E
and adal-w: (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E (ws a)
and written: value-written P E (ws a) (ad, al) = v by simp-all
from write have iwa: is-write-action (action-obs E (ws a)) by cases

let ?start-state = init-fin-lift-state status (h.start-state f P C M vs)
and ?start-obs = lift-start-obs h.start-tid h.start-heap-obs

show ?thesis
proof(cases ws a < a)
case True
let ?EE'' = lmap snd (ltake (enat (ws a)) E)

have non-speculative P (vs-type-all P) ?EE''
proof(rule non-speculative-nthI)
fix i ad' al' v'
assume i: enat i < llength ?EE''
and nth-i: lnth ?EE'' i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad' al' v')

from i have i < ws a by simp
hence i': i < a using True by(simp)
moreover
with r have enat i < llength E by(metis enat-ord-code(2) order-less-trans)
moreover
with nth-i i < ws a
have action-obs E i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad' al' v')
by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-ltake ac-simps)
ultimately have ∃ T. P ⊢ ad' @ al' : T ∧ P ⊢ v' : ≤ T by(rule less.IH)
hence v' ∈ vs-type-all P (ad', al') by(simp add: vs-type-all.simps)
thus v' ∈ w-values P (vs-type-all P) (list-of (ltake (enat i) ?EE'')) (ad', al')

```

```

by(rule w-values-mono[THEN subsetD])
qed
with wfx-start wfP E write adal-w
show ?thesis unfolding written[symmetric] by(rule non-speculative-written-value-typeable)
next
case False

from E obtain E' where E': E = lappend (llist-of ?start-obs) E'
  and E: E' ∈ h.mthr.if.Є ?start-state by blast
from E obtain E'' where E'': E' = lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E'')
  and Runs: h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state E''
    by-(rule h.mthr.if.Є.cases[OF E])

have wfx': ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr ?start-state) (shr ?start-state)
  using wfx-start by(simp add: h.shr-start-state)

have a-start: ¬ a < length ?start-obs
proof
  assume a < length ?start-obs
  with read have NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ snd ` set ?start-obs
    unfolding set-map[symmetric] in-set-conv-nth
    by(auto simp add: E' lnth-lappend action-obs-def)
  hence ReadMem ad al v ∈ set h.start-heap-obs by auto
  thus False by(simp add: h.start-heap-obs-not-Read)
qed
hence ws-a-not-le: ¬ ws a < length ?start-obs using False by simp

define w where w = ws a - length ?start-obs
from write ws-a-not-le w-def
have enat w < llengt (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E''))
  by(cases llengt (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E')))(auto simp add:
actions-def E' E'' elim: write-actions.cases)
  with Runs obtain m-w n-w t-w ta-w
    where E'-w: lnth E' w = (t-w, {ta-w}o ! n-w)
    and n-w: n-w < length {ta-w}o
    and m-w: enat m-w < llengt E''
    and w-sum: w = (∑ i < m-w. length {snd (lnth E'' i)}o) + n-w
    and E''-m-w: lnth E'' m-w = (t-w, ta-w)
    unfolding E'' by(rule h.mthr.if.actions-ЄE-aux)

from E'-w have obs-w: action-obs E (ws a) = {ta-w}o ! n-w
  using ws-a-not-le E' w-def by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend)

let ?E'' = ldropn (Suc m-w) E''
let ?m-E'' = ltake (enat m-w) E''
have E'-unfold: E'' = lappend ?m-E'' (LCons (lnth E'' m-w) ?E'')
  unfolding ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn[OF m-w] by simp
hence h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state (lappend ?m-E'' (LCons (lnth E'' m-w) ?E''))
  using Runs by simp
then obtain σ' where σ-σ': h.mthr.if.mthr.Trsys ?start-state (list-of ?m-E'') σ'
  and Runs': h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ' (LCons (lnth E'' m-w) ?E'')
    by(rule h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs-lappendE) simp
from Runs' obtain σ''' where red-w: h.mthr.if.redT σ' (t-w, ta-w) σ'''
  and Runs'': h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ''' ?E''
    by(rule h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs-lappendE) simp

```

unfolding E'' - $m\text{-}w$ by cases

```

from write  $\langle a \in \text{read-actions } E \rangle$  have  $ws a \neq a$  by(auto dest: read-actions-not-write-actions)
with False have  $ws a > a$  by simp
with ao have new: is-new-action (action-obs  $E$  (ws a))
by(simp add: action-order-def split: if-split-asm)
then obtain CTn where obs-w': action-obs  $E$  (ws a) = NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn)
using adal-w by cases auto

define a' where a' = a - length ?start-obs
with False w-def
have enat a' < llength (lconcat (lmap ( $\lambda(t, ta)$ ). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E'')
by(simp add: le-less-trans[OF - <enat w < llength (lconcat (lmap ( $\lambda(t, ta)$ ). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) E''))])
with Runs obtain m-a n-a t-a ta-a
where E'-a: lnth  $E'$  a' = (t-a, {ta-a}o ! n-a)
and n-a: n-a < length {ta-a}o
and m-a: enat m-a < llength  $E''$ 
and a-sum: a' = ( $\sum i < m-a$ . length {snd (lnth  $E''$  i)}o) + n-a
and E''-m-a: lnth  $E''$  m-a = (t-a, ta-a)
unfolding  $E''$  by(rule h.mthr.if.actions- $\mathcal{E}$ E-aux)

from a-start  $E'$ -a read have obs-a: {ta-a}o ! n-a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
using E' w-def by(simp add: action-obs-def lnth-lappend a'-def)

let ?E'' = ldropn (Suc m-a)  $E''$ 
let ?m-E'' = ltake (enat m-a)  $E''$ 
have E'-unfold:  $E'' = lappend ?m-E'' (LCons (lnth  $E''$  m-a) ?E'')$ 
unfolding ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn[OF m-a] by simp
hence h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs ?start-state (lappend ?m-E'' (LCons (lnth  $E''$  m-a) ?E''))
using Runs by simp
then obtain σ'' where σ-σ'': h.mthr.if.mthr.Trsys ?start-state (list-of ?m-E'') σ''
and Runs'': h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ'' (LCons (lnth  $E''$  m-a) ?E'')
by(rule h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs-lappendE) simp
from Runs'' obtain σ''' where red-a: h.mthr.if.redT σ'' (t-a, ta-a) σ'''
and Runs'': h.mthr.if.mthr.Runs σ''' ?E''
unfolding  $E''$ -m-a by cases

let ?EE'' = llist-of (concat (map ( $\lambda(t, ta)$ . {ta}o) (list-of ?m-E'')))
from m-a have enat m-a ≤ llength  $E''$  by simp
hence len-EE'': llength ?EE'' = enat (a' - n-a)
by(simp add: a-sum length-concat sum-list-sum-nth atLeast0LessThan length-list-of-conv-the-enat
min-def split-beta lnth-ltake)
have prefix: lprefix ?EE'' (lmap snd E') unfolding  $E''$ 
by(subst (2) E'-unfold)(simp add: lmap-lappend-distrib lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp o-def
split-def lconcat-list-of[symmetric] lmap-list-of[symmetric] lprefix-lappend2I del: lmap-list-of)

have ns: non-speculative P (vs-type-all P) ?EE''
proof(rule non-speculative-nthI)
fix i ad' al' v'
assume i: enat i < llength ?EE''
and lnth-i: lnth ?EE'' i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad' al' v')
and non-speculative P (vs-type-all P) (ltake (enat i) ?EE'')

```

```

let ?i = i + length ?start-obs

from i len-EE'' have i < a' by simp
hence i': ?i < a by(simp add: a'-def)
moreover
hence enat ?i < llength E using <enat a < llength E> by(simp add: less-trans[where y=enat
a])
moreover have enat i < llength E' using i
  by -(rule less-le-trans[OF - lprefix-llength-le[OF prefix], simplified], simp)
from lprefix-lnthD[OF prefix i] nth-i
have nth (lmap snd E') i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad' al' v') by simp
hence action-obs E ?i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad' al' v') using <enat i < llength E'
  by(simp add: E' action-obs-def nth-lappend E'')
ultimately have ∃ T. P ⊢ ad'@al' : T ∧ P ⊢ v' ≤ T by(rule less.IH)
hence v' ∈ vs-type-all P (ad', al') by(simp add: vs-type-all.simps)
thus v' ∈ w-values P (vs-type-all P) (list-of (ltake (enat i) ?EE'')) (ad', al')
  by(rule w-values-mono[THEN subsetD])
qed

have vs-conf P (vs-type-all P) by simp
with σ-σ'' wfx' ns
have wfx'': ts-ok (init-fin-lift wfx) (thr σ'') (shr σ'')
and vs'': vs-conf P (w-values P (vs-type-all P) (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) (list-of ?m-E''))))
  by(rule h.if-RedT-non-speculative-invar[unfolded heap-independent h.mthr.if.RedT-def])+

note red-w moreover
from n-w obs-w obs-w' have NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad CTn) ∈ set {ta-w}o
  unfolding in-set-conv-nth by auto
moreover
have ta-a-read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ set {ta-a}o
  using n-a obs-a unfolding in-set-conv-nth by blast
from red-a have ∃ T. P ⊢ ad@al : T
proof(cases)
  case (redT-normal x x' h')
    from wfx'' <thrust σ'' t-a = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋>
    have init-fin-lift wfx t-a x (shr σ'') by(rule ts-okD)
    with <t-a ⊢ (x, shr σ'') -ta-a→i (x', h')>
    show ?thesis using ta-a-read
      by(rule h.init-fin-red-read-typeable[unfolded heap-independent])
next
  case redT-acquire thus ?thesis using n-a obs-a ta-a-read by auto
qed
hence typeof-addr ad ≠ None by(auto elim: addr-loc-type-cases)
ultimately have typeof-addr ad = ⌊CTn⌋ by(rule if-redT-NewHeapElem-typed)
with written adal-w obs-w' show ?thesis
  by(cases al)(auto simp add: value-written.simps intro: addr-loc-type-intros h.addr-loc-default-conf[unfolded
heap-independent])
qed
qed
qed

theorem
assumes wfx-start: ts-ok wfx (thr (h.start-state f P C M vs)) h.start-heap
and wfP: wf-syscls P

```

and justified: $P \vdash (E, ws)$ weakly-justified-by J
and J : range (justifying-exec $\circ J$) $\subseteq h.\mathcal{E}$ -start $f P C M$ vs status
shows read-value-typeable-justifying:
 $\llbracket 0 < n; enat a < llength (justifying-exec (J n));$
 $action\text{-}obs (justifying-exec (J n)) a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists T. P \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$
and read-value-typeable-justified:
 $\llbracket E \in h.\mathcal{E}$ -start $f P C M$ vs status; $P \vdash (E, ws) \vee;$
 $enat a < llength E; action\text{-}obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists T. P \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$

proof –

```

let ?E =  $\lambda n. justifying-exec (J n)$ 
and ? $\varphi$  =  $\lambda n. action\text{-}translation (J n)$ 
and ?C =  $\lambda n. committed (J n)$ 
and ?ws =  $\lambda n. justifying-ws (J n)$ 
let ? $\mathcal{E}$  =  $h.\mathcal{E}$ -start  $f P C M$  vs status
and ?start-obs = lift-start-obs h.start-tid h.start-heap-obs
{ fix a n
  assume enat a < llength (justifying-exec (J n))
  and action-obs (justifying-exec (J n)) a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
  and n > 0
  thus  $\exists T. P \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$ 
  proof(induction n arbitrary: a ad al v)
    case 0 thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (Suc n')
    define n where n = Suc n'
    with Suc have n:  $0 < n$  and a: enat a < llength (?E n)
    and a-obs: action-obs (?E n) a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
    by simp-all
    have wf-n:  $P \vdash (?E n, ?ws n) \vee$ 
      using justified by(simp add: justification-well-formed-def)
    from J have E: ?E n ∈ ? $\mathcal{E}$ 
      and E': ?E n' ∈ ? $\mathcal{E}$  by auto
    from a a-obs wfx-start wfP E wf-n show ?case
    proof(rule hb-read-value-typeable[rotated -2])
      fix a' ad' al' v'
      assume a': enat a' < llength (?E n)
      and a'-obs: action-obs (?E n) a' = NormalAction (ReadMem ad' al' v')
      and nhb:  $\neg P, ?E n \vdash ?ws n a' \leq hb a'$ 
      from a' have a' ∈ actions (?E n) by(simp add: actions-def)
      hence read-a': a' ∈ read-actions (?E n) using a'-obs ..
      with justified nhb have committed': ? $\varphi$  n a' ∈ ? $\varphi$  n' · ?C n'
        unfolding is-weakly-justified-by.simps n-def uncommitted-reads-see-hb-def by blast
      from justified have wfa-n: wf-action-translation E (J n)
        and wfa-n': wf-action-translation E (J n') by(simp-all add: wf-action-translations-def)
        hence inj-n: inj-on (? $\varphi$  n) (actions (?E n))
          and inj-n': inj-on (? $\varphi$  n') (actions (?E n'))
          by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-inj-onD)+
        from justified have C-n: ?C n ⊆ actions (?E n)
          and C-n': ?C n' ⊆ actions (?E n')
          and wf-n': P ⊢ (?E n', ?ws n') ∨
          by(simp-all add: committed-subset-actions-def justification-well-formed-def)
    
```

```

from justified have ?φ n' ⊑ ?C n' ⊆ ?φ n ⊑ ?C n
  unfolding n-def by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)
with n-def committed' have ?φ n a' ∈ ?φ n ⊑ ?C n by auto
with inj-n C-n have committed: a' ∈ ?C n
  using ⟨a' ∈ actions (?E n)⟩ by(auto dest: inj-onD)
with justified read-a' have ws-committed: ws (?φ n a') ∈ ?φ n ⊑ ?C n
  by(rule weakly-justified-write-seen-hb-read-committed)

from wf-n have ws-n: is-write-seen P (?E n) (?ws n) by(rule wf-exec-is-write-seenD)
from is-write-seenD[OF this read-a' a'-obs]
have ws-write: ?ws n a' ∈ write-actions (?E n)
  and adal: (ad', al') ∈ action-loc P (?E n) (?ws n a')
  and written: value-written P (?E n) (?ws n a') (ad', al') = v' by simp-all

define a'' where a'' = inv-into (actions (?E n')) (?φ n') (?φ n a')
from C-n' n committed' have ?φ n a' ∈ ?φ n' ⊑ actions (?E n') by auto
hence a'': ?φ n' a'' = ?φ n a'
  and a''-action: a'' ∈ actions (?E n') using inj-n' committed' n
  by(simp-all add: a''-def f-inv-into-f inv-into-into)
hence committed'': a'' ∈ ?C n' using committed' n inj-n' C-n' by(fastforce dest: inj-onD)

from committed committed'' wfa-n wfa-n' a'' have action-obs (?E n') a'' ≈ action-obs (?E n)
a'
  by(auto dest!: wf-action-translation-on-actionD intro: sim-action-trans sim-action-sym)
with a'-obs committed'' C-n' have read-a'': a'' ∈ read-actions (?E n')
  by(auto intro: read-actions.intros)

then obtain ad'' al'' v''
  where a''-obs: action-obs (?E n') a'' = NormalAction (ReadMem ad'' al'' v'') by cases

from committed'' have n' > 0 using justified
  by(cases n')(simp-all add: is-commit-sequence-def)
then obtain n'' where n'': n' = Suc n'' by(cases n') simp-all

from justified have wfa-n'': wf-action-translation E (J n'') by(simp add: wf-action-translations-def)
hence inj-n'': inj-on (?φ n'') (actions (?E n'')) by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-inj-onD)+
from justified have C-n'': ?C n'' ⊆ actions (?E n'') by(simp add: committed-subset-actions-def)

from justified committed' committed'' n-def read-a' read-a'' n
have ?φ n (?ws n (inv-into (actions (?E n)) (?φ n) (?φ n' a''))) = ws (?φ n' a'')
  by(simp add: write-seen-committed-def)
hence ?φ n (?ws n a') = ws (?φ n a') using inj-n ⟨a' ∈ actions (?E n)⟩ by(simp add: a'')
  by(simp add: write-seen-committed-def)

from ws-committed obtain w where w: ws (?φ n a') = ?φ n w
  and committed-w: w ∈ ?C n by blast
from committed-w C-n have w ∈ actions (?E n) by blast
hence w-def: w = ?ws n a' using ⟨?φ n (?ws n a') = ws (?φ n a')⟩ inj-n ws-write
  unfolding w by(auto dest: inj-onD)
have committed-ws: ?ws n a' ∈ ?C n using committed-w by(simp add: w-def)

with wfa-n have sim-ws: action-obs (?E n) (?ws n a') ≈ action-obs E (?φ n (?ws n a'))
  by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD)

```

```

from wfa-n committed-ws have sim-ws: action-obs (?E n) (?ws n a') ≈ action-obs E (?φ n
(?ws n a'))
    by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD)
with adal have adal-E: (ad', al') ∈ action-loc P E (?φ n (?ws n a'))
    by(simp add: action-loc-aux-sim-action)

have ∃ w ∈ write-actions (?E n'). (ad', al') ∈ action-loc P (?E n') w ∧ value-written P (?E
n') w (ad', al') = v'
proof(cases ?φ n' a'' ∈ ?φ n'' ‘ ?C n'')
    case True
        then obtain a''' where a''' : ?φ n'' a''' = ?φ n' a''
            and committed''': a''' ∈ ?C n'' by auto
        from committed''' C-n'' have a'''-action: a''' ∈ actions (?E n'') by auto

from committed'' committed''' wfa-n' wfa-n'' a''' have action-obs (?E n'') a''' ≈ action-obs
(?E n') a''
    by(auto dest!: wf-action-translation-on-actionD intro: sim-action-trans sim-action-sym)
with read-a'' committed''' C-n'' have read-a''': a''' ∈ read-actions (?E n'')
    by cases(auto intro: read-actions.intros)

hence ?φ n' (?ws n' (inv-into (actions (?E n')) (?φ n') (?φ n'' a'''))) = ws (?φ n'' a''')
    using justified committed'''
    unfolding is-weakly-justified-by.simps n'' Let-def write-seen-committed-def by blast
    also have inv-into (actions (?E n')) (?φ n') (?φ n'' a''') = a''
        using a''' inj-n' a''-action by(simp)
    also note a''' also note a''
    finally have φ-n': ?φ n' (?ws n' a'') = ws (?φ n a') .
    then have ws (?φ n a') = ?φ n' (?ws n' a'') ..
    with <?φ n (?ws n a') = ws (?φ n a')>[symmetric]
    have eq-ws: ?φ n' (?ws n' a'') = ?φ n (?ws n a') by simp

from wf-n'[THEN wf-exec-is-write-seenD, THEN is-write-seenD, OF read-a'' a''-obs]
have ws-write': ?ws n' a'' ∈ write-actions (?E n') by simp

from justified read-a'' committed''
have ws (?φ n' a'') ∈ ?φ n' ‘ ?C n' by(rule weakly-justified-write-seen-hb-read-committed)
then obtain w' where w': ws (?φ n' a'') = ?φ n' w'
    and committed-w': w' ∈ ?C n' by blast
from committed-w' C-n' have w' ∈ actions (?E n') by blast
hence w'-def: w' = ?ws n' a'' using φ-n' inj-n' ws-write'
    unfolding w' a''[symmetric] by(auto dest: inj-onD)
with committed-w' have committed-ws': ?ws n' a'' ∈ committed (J n') by simp
with committed-ws wfa-n wfa-n' eq-ws
have action-obs (?E n') (?ws n' a'') ≈ action-obs (?E n) (?ws n a')
    by(auto dest!: wf-action-translation-on-actionD intro: sim-action-trans sim-action-sym)
hence adal-eq: action-loc P (?E n') (?ws n' a'') = action-loc P (?E n) (?ws n a')
    by(simp add: action-loc-aux-sim-action)
    with adal have adal': (ad', al') ∈ action-loc P (?E n') (?ws n' a'') by(simp add: ac-
tion-loc-aux-sim-action)

from committed-ws'' have ?ws n' a'' ∈ actions (?E n') using C-n' by blast
with ws-write <action-obs (?E n') (?ws n' a'') ≈ action-obs (?E n) (?ws n a')>
have ws-write'': ?ws n' a'' ∈ write-actions (?E n')
    by(cases)(auto intro: write-actions.intros simp add: sim-action-is-write-action-eq)

```

```

from wfa-n' committed-ws"
have sim-ws': action-obs (?E n') (?ws n' a'') ≈ action-obs E (?φ n' (?ws n' a''))
  by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD)
with adal' have adal'-E: (ad', al') ∈ action-loc P E (?φ n' (?ws n' a''))
  by(simp add: action-loc-aux-sim-action)

from justified committed-ws ws-write adal-E
have value-written P (?E n) (?ws n a') (ad', al') = value-written P E (?φ n (?ws n a')) (ad',
al')
  al'
    unfolding is-weakly-justified-by.simps Let-def value-written-committed-def by blast
    also note eq-ws[symmetric]
    also from justified committed-ws" ws-write" adal'-E
    have value-written P E (?φ n' (?ws n' a'')) (ad', al') = value-written P (?E n') (?ws n' a'')
(ad', al')
      unfolding is-weakly-justified-by.simps Let-def value-written-committed-def by(blast dest:
sym)
    finally show ?thesis using written ws-write" adal' by auto
  next
    case False
    with justified read-a" committed"
    have ws (?φ n' a'') ∈ ?φ n'' ' ?C n''
    unfolding is-weakly-justified-by.simps Let-def n'' committed-reads-see-committed-writes-weak-def
by blast
    with a'' obtain w where w: ?φ n'' w = ws (?φ n a')
      and committed-w: w ∈ ?C n'' by auto
    from justified have ?φ n'' ' ?C n'' ⊆ ?φ n' ' ?C n' by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def n'')
      with committed-w w[symmetric] have ws (?φ n a') ∈ ?φ n' ' ?C n' by(auto)
      then obtain w' where w': ws (?φ n a') = ?φ n' w' and committed-w': w' ∈ ?C n' by blast
      from wfa-n' committed-w' have action-obs (?E n') w' ≈ action-obs E (?φ n' w')
        by(blast dest: wf-action-translation-on-actionD)
      from this[folded w', folded <?φ n (?ws n a') = ws (?φ n a')>] sim-ws[symmetric]
      have sim-w': action-obs (?E n') w' ≈ action-obs (?E n) (?ws n a') by(rule sim-action-trans)
      with ws-write committed-w' C-n' have write-w': w' ∈ write-actions (?E n')
        by(cases)(auto intro!: write-actions.intros simp add: sim-action-is-write-action-eq)
      hence value-written P (?E n') w' (ad', al') = value-written P E (?φ n' w') (ad', al')
        using adal-E committed-w' justified
        unfolding <?φ n (?ws n a') = ws (?φ n a')> w' is-weakly-justified-by.simps Let-def
value-written-committed-def by blast
        also note w'[symmetric]
        also note <?φ n (?ws n a') = ws (?φ n a')>[symmetric]
        also have value-written P E (?φ n (?ws n a'')) (ad', al') = value-written P (?E n) (?ws n a'')
(ad', al')
        using justified committed-ws ws-write adal-E
        unfolding is-weakly-justified-by.simps Let-def value-written-committed-def by(blast dest:
sym)
        also have (ad', al') ∈ action-loc P (?E n') w' using sim-w' adal by(simp add: ac-
tion-loc-aux-sim-action)
        ultimately show ?thesis using written write-w' by auto
      qed
      then obtain w where w: w ∈ write-actions (?E n')
        and adal: (ad', al') ∈ action-loc P (?E n') w
        and written: value-written P (?E n') w (ad', al') = v' by blast
      from w have w-len: enat w < llength (?E n')
        by(cases)(simp add: actions-def)

```

```

let ?EE'' = lmap snd (ltake (enat w) (?E n'))
have non-speculative P (vs-type-all P) ?EE''
proof(rule non-speculative-nthI)
  fix i ad al v
  assume i: enat i < llength ?EE''
    and i-nth: lnth ?EE'' i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
    and ns: non-speculative P (vs-type-all P) (ltake (enat i) ?EE'')
      from i w-len have i < w by(simp add: min-def not-le split: if-split-asm)
      with w-len have enat i < llength (?E n') by(simp add: less-trans[where y=enat w])
      moreover
        from i-nth i <i < w> w-len
        have action-obs (?E n') i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
          by(simp add: action-obs-def ac-simps less-trans[where y=enat w] lnth-ltake)
        moreover from n'' have 0 < n' by simp
        ultimately have  $\exists T. P \vdash ad @ al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$  by(rule Suc.IH)
        hence  $v \in vs\text{-type-all } P (ad, al)$  by(simp add: vs-type-all.simps)
        thus  $v \in w\text{-values } P (vs\text{-type-all } P) (\text{list-of} (\text{ltake} (\text{enat } i) ?EE'')) (ad, al)$ 
          by(rule w-values-mono[THEN subsetD])
      qed
      with wfx-start wfP E' w adal
      show  $\exists T. P \vdash ad' @ al' : T \wedge P \vdash v' : \leq T$ 
        unfolding written[symmetric] by(rule non-speculative-written-value-typeable)
      qed
    qed
  }
  note justifying = this

assume a: enat a < llength E
  and read: action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
  and E: E  $\in h.\mathcal{E}\text{-start } f P C M$  vs status
  and wf: P  $\vdash (E, ws) \checkmark$ 
from a have action: a  $\in actions E$  by(auto simp add: actions-def action-obs-def)
with justified obtain n a' where a': a = ? $\varphi$  n a'
  and committed': a'  $\in ?C n$  by(auto simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)
from justified have C-n: ?C n  $\subseteq actions (?E n)$ 
  and C-Sn: ?C (Suc n)  $\subseteq actions (?E (Suc n))$ 
  and wf-tr: wf-action-translation E (J n)
  and wf-tr': wf-action-translation E (J (Suc n))
  by(auto simp add: committed-subset-actions-def wf-action-translations-def)
from C-n committed' have action': a'  $\in actions (?E n)$  by blast
from wf-tr committed' a'
have action-tid E a = action-tid (?E n) a' action-obs E a  $\approx$  action-obs (?E n) a'
  by(auto simp add: wf-action-translation-on-def intro: sim-action-sym)
with read obtain v'
  where action-obs (?E n) a' = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v')
  by(clarsimp simp add: action-obs-def)
with action' have read': a'  $\in read\text{-actions } (?E n) ..$ 

from justified have ? $\varphi$  n ' $?C n \subseteq ?\varphi (Suc n) \subseteq ?C (Suc n)$ 
  by(simp add: is-commit-sequence-def)
with committed' a' have a  $\in ...$  by auto
then obtain a'' where a'': a = ? $\varphi (Suc n) a''$ 

```

```

and committed'':  $a'' \in ?C(Suc n)$  by auto
from committed'' C-Sn have action'':  $a'' \in actions(?E(Suc n))$  by blast

with wf-tr' have a'' = inv-into (actions (?E (Suc n))) (?φ (Suc n)) a
  by(simp add: a'' wf-action-translation-on-def)
with justified read' committed' a' have ws-a: ws a = ?φ (Suc n) (?ws (Suc n) a'')
  by(simp add: write-seen-committed-def)

from wf-tr' committed'' a''
have action-tid E a = action-tid (?E (Suc n)) a''
  and action-obs E a ≈ action-obs (?E (Suc n)) a''
    by(auto simp add: wf-action-translation-on-def intro: sim-action-sym)
with read obtain v''
  where a-obs'':  $action-obs (?E (Suc n)) a'' = NormalAction(ReadMem ad al v'')$ 
    by(clarsimp simp add: action-obs-def)
with action'' have read'':  $a'' \in read-actions (?E (Suc n))$ 
  by(auto intro: read-actions.intros simp add: action-obs-def)

have a ∈ read-actions E action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
  using action read by(auto intro: read-actions.intros simp add: action-obs-def read)
from is-write-seenD[OF wf-exec-is-write-seenD[OF wf] this]
have v-eq: v = value-written P E (ws a) (ad, al)
  and adal: (ad, al) ∈ action-loc P E (ws a) by simp-all

from justified have P ⊢ (?E (Suc n), ?ws (Suc n)) √ by(simp add: justification-well-formed-def)
from is-write-seenD[OF wf-exec-is-write-seenD[OF this] read'' a-obs'']
have write'':  $?ws (Suc n) a'' \in write-actions (?E (Suc n))$ 
  and written'':  $value-written P (?E (Suc n)) (?ws (Suc n) a'') (ad, al) = v''$ 
    by simp-all

from justified read'' committed''
have ws (?φ (Suc n) a'') ∈ ?φ (Suc n) · ?C (Suc n)
  by(rule weakly-justified-write-seen-hb-read-committed)
then obtain w where w: ws (?φ (Suc n) a'') = ?φ (Suc n) w
  and committed-w: w ∈ ?C (Suc n) by blast
with C-Sn have w ∈ actions (?E (Suc n)) by blast
moreover have ws (?φ (Suc n) a'') = ?φ (Suc n) (?ws (Suc n) a'')
  using ws-a a'' by simp
ultimately have w-def: w = ?ws (Suc n) a''
  using wf-action-translation-on-inj-onD[OF wf-tr'] write''
  unfolding w by(auto dest: inj-onD)
with committed-w have ?ws (Suc n) a'' ∈ ?C (Suc n) by simp
hence value-written P E (ws a) (ad, al) = value-written P (?E (Suc n)) (?ws (Suc n) a'') (ad, al)
  using adal justified write'' by(simp add: value-written-committed-def ws-a)
with v-eq written'' have v = v'' by simp

from read'' have enat a'' < llength (?E (Suc n)) by(cases)(simp add: actions-def)
thus ∃ T. P ⊢ ad@al : T ∧ P ⊢ v :≤ T
  by(rule justifying)(simp-all add: a-obs'' ⟨v = v''⟩)
qed

corollary weakly-legal-read-value-typeable:
assumes wfx-start: ts-ok wfx (thr (h.start-state f P C M vs)) h.start-heap
and wfP: wf-syscls P

```

```

and legal: weakly-legal-execution P (h.E-start f P C M vs status) (E, ws)
and a: enat a < llength E
and read: action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
shows  $\exists T. P \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$ 
proof –
  from legal obtain J
  where P  $\vdash (E, ws)$  weakly-justified-by J
  and range (justifying-exec  $\circ J$ )  $\subseteq h.E\text{-start } f P C M \text{ vs status}$ 
  and E  $\in h.E\text{-start } f P C M \text{ vs status}$ 
  and P  $\vdash (E, ws) \vee \text{by(rule legal-execution} E)$ 
  with wfx-start wfP show ?thesis using a read by(rule read-value-typeable-justified)
qed

corollary legal-read-value-typeable:
   $\llbracket ts\text{-ok wfx } (thr (h.start-state } f P C M \text{ vs)) h.start\text{-heap; wf-syscls } P;$ 
   $\text{legal-execution } P (h.E\text{-start } f P C M \text{ vs status}) (E, ws);$ 
   $\text{enat } a < llength E; \text{action-obs } E a = \text{NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists T. P \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$ 
by(erule (1) weakly-legal-read-value-typeable)(rule legal-imp-weakly-legal-execution)

end
end

```

8.13 JMM Instantiation with Ninja – common parts

```

theory JMM-Common
imports
  JMM-Framework
  JMM-Typesafe
  ..../Common/BinOp
  ..../Common/ExternalCallWF
begin

context heap begin

lemma heap-copy-loc-not-New: assumes heap-copy-loc a a' al h ob h'
  shows NewHeapElem a'' x ∈ set ob  $\implies False$ 
using assms
by(auto elim: heap-copy-loc.cases)

lemma heap-copies-not-New:
  assumes heap-copies a a' als h obs h'
  and NewHeapElem a'' x ∈ set obs
  shows False
using assms
by induct(auto dest: heap-copy-loc-not-New)

lemma heap-clone-New-same-addr-same:
  assumes heap-clone P h a h' [(obs, a')]
  and obs ! i = NewHeapElem a'' x i < length obs
  and obs ! j = NewHeapElem a'' x' j < length obs
  shows i = j

```

```

using assms
apply cases
apply(fastforce simp add: nth-Cons' gr0-conv-Suc in-set-conv-nth split: if-split-asm dest: heap-copies-not-New)+ done

lemma red-external-New-same-addr-same:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; \\ & \{ta\}_o ! i = NewHeapElem a' x; i < length \{ta\}_o; \\ & \{ta\}_o ! j = NewHeapElem a' x'; j < length \{ta\}_o \\ & \implies i = j \end{aligned}$$

by(auto elim!: red-external.cases simp add: nth-Cons' split: if-split-asm dest: heap-clone-New-same-addr-same)

lemma red-external-aggr-New-same-addr-same:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket (ta, va, h') \in red-external-aggr P t a M vs h; \\ & \{ta\}_o ! i = NewHeapElem a' x; i < length \{ta\}_o; \\ & \{ta\}_o ! j = NewHeapElem a' x'; j < length \{ta\}_o \\ & \implies i = j \end{aligned}$$

by(auto simp add: external-WT-defs.simps red-external-aggr-def nth-Cons' split: if-split-asm if-split-asm dest: heap-clone-New-same-addr-same)

lemma heap-copy-loc-read-typeable:
assumes heap-copy-loc a a' al h obs h'
and ReadMem ad al' v ∈ set obs
and P,h ⊢ a@al : T
shows ad = a ∧ al' = al
using assms by cases auto

lemma heap-copies-read-typeable:
assumes heap-copies a a' als h obs h'
and ReadMem ad al' v ∈ set obs
and list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a@al : T) als Ts
shows ad = a ∧ al' ∈ set als
using assms
proof(induct arbitrary: Ts)
case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
case (Cons al h ob h' als obs h'')
from ⟨list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a@al : T) (al # als) Ts⟩
obtain T Ts' where Ts [simp]: Ts = T # Ts'
and P,h ⊢ a@al : T
and list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a@al : T) als Ts'
by(auto simp add: list-all2-Const1)
from ⟨ReadMem ad al' v ∈ set (ob @ obs)⟩
show ?case unfolding set-append Un-iff
proof
assume ReadMem ad al' v ∈ set ob
with ⟨heap-copy-loc a a' al h ob h'⟩
have ad = a ∧ al' = al using ⟨P,h ⊢ a@al : T⟩
by(rule heap-copy-loc-read-typeable)
thus ?thesis by simp
next
assume ReadMem ad al' v ∈ set obs
moreover from ⟨heap-copy-loc a a' al h ob h'⟩
have h ⊑ h' by(rule hext-heap-copy-loc)

```

```

from <list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, h \vdash a@al : T$ ) als Ts'>
have list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, h' \vdash a@al : T$ ) als Ts'
  by(rule List.list-all2-mono)(rule addr-loc-type-hext-mono[ $OF - \langle h \leq h' \rangle$ ])
ultimately have ad = a  $\wedge$  al'  $\in$  set als by(rule Cons)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

lemma heap-clone-read-typeable:
assumes clone: heap-clone P h a h' [(obs, a')]
and read: ReadMem ad al v  $\in$  set obs
shows ad = a  $\wedge$  ( $\exists T'. P, h \vdash ad@al : T'$ )
using clone
proof cases
  case (ObjClone C H' FDTs obs')
  let ?als = map ( $\lambda((F, D), Tm). CField D F$ ) FDTs
  let ?Ts = map ( $\lambda(FD, T). fst (the (map-of FDTs FD))$ ) FDTs
  note <heap-copies a a' ?als H' obs' h'>
  moreover
  from <obs = NewHeapElem a' (Class-type C) # obs'> read
  have ReadMem ad al v  $\in$  set obs' by simp
  moreover
  from <(H', a')  $\in$  allocate h (Class-type C)> have h  $\leq$  H' by(rule hext-allocate)
  hence typeof-addr H' a = [Class-type C] using <typeof-addr h a = [Class-type C]>
    by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)
  hence type: list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, H' \vdash a@al : T$ ) ?als ?Ts
    using <P  $\vdash$  C has-fields FDTs>
    unfolding list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same
    by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
  ultimately have ad = a  $\wedge$  al  $\in$  set ?als by(rule heap-copies-read-typeable)
  hence [simp]: ad = a and al  $\in$  set ?als by simp-all
  then obtain F D T where [simp]: al = CField D F and ((F, D), T)  $\in$  set FDTs by auto
  with type <h  $\leq$  H'> <typeof-addr h a = [Class-type C]> show ?thesis
    unfolding list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same
    by(fastforce elim!: ballE[where x=((F, D), T)] addr-loc-type.cases dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono
      intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
  next
  case (ArrClone T n H' FDTs obs')
  let ?als = map ( $\lambda((F, D), Tfm). CField D F$ ) FDTs @ map ACell [0.. $< n$ ]
  let ?Ts = map ( $\lambda(FD, T). fst (the (map-of FDTs FD))$ ) FDTs @ replicate n T
  note FDTs = <P  $\vdash$  Object has-fields FDTs>
  note <heap-copies a a' ?als H' obs' h'>
  moreover from <obs = NewHeapElem a' (Array-type T n) # obs'> read
  have ReadMem ad al v  $\in$  set obs' by simp
  moreover from <(H', a')  $\in$  allocate h (Array-type T n)>
  have h  $\leq$  H' by(rule hext-allocate)
  with <typeof-addr h a = [Array-type T n]>
  have type': typeof-addr H' a = [Array-type T n]
    by(auto dest: typeof-addr-hext-mono hext-arrD)
  hence type: list-all2 ( $\lambda al\ T. P, H' \vdash a@al : T$ ) ?als ?Ts using FDTs
    by(fastforce intro: list-all2-all-nthI addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def list-all2-append
      list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
  ultimately have ad = a  $\wedge$  al  $\in$  set ?als by(rule heap-copies-read-typeable)
  hence [simp]: ad = a and al  $\in$  set ?als by simp-all

```

hence $al \in set (map (\lambda((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs) \vee al \in set (map ACell [0..<n])$ **by simp**
thus ?thesis

proof

assume $al \in set (map (\lambda((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs)$
then obtain $F D Tfm$ **where** [simp]: $al = CField D F$ **and** $((F, D), Tfm) \in set FDTs$ **by auto**
with type $type' \langle h \trianglelefteq H' \rangle \langle typeof-addr h a = [Array-type T n] \rangle$ **show** ?thesis
by(fastforce elim!: ballE[**where** $x=((F, D), Tfm)$] addr-loc-type.cases intro: addr-loc-type.intros
simp add: list-all2-append list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same)
next
assume $al \in set (map ACell [0..<n])$
then obtain n' **where** [simp]: $al = ACell n'$ **and** $n' < n$ **by auto**
with type $type' \langle h \trianglelefteq H' \rangle \langle typeof-addr h a = [Array-type T n] \rangle$
show ?thesis **by**(fastforce dest: list-all2-nthD[**where** $p=n'$] elim: addr-loc-type.cases intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
qed
qed

lemma red-external-read-mem-typeable:
assumes $red: P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle \dashv_{ta} \rightarrow_{ext} \langle va, h' \rangle$
and $read: ReadMem ad al v \in set \{ta\}_o$
shows $\exists T'. P, h \vdash ad @ al : T'$
using red read
by cases(fastforce dest: heap-clone-read-typeable intro: addr-loc-type.intros)+

end

context heap-conf **begin**

lemma heap-clone-typeof-addrD:
assumes $heap-clone P h a h' \llbracket (obs, a') \rrbracket$
and $hconf h$
shows $NewHeapElem a'' x \in set obs \implies a'' = a' \wedge typeof-addr h' a' = Some x$
using assms
by(fastforce elim!: heap-clone.cases dest: allocate-SomeD hext-heap-copies heap-copies-not-New typeof-addr-is-type
elim: hext-objD hext-arrD)

lemma red-external-New-typeof-addrD:
 $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle \dashv_{ta} \rightarrow_{ext} \langle va, h' \rangle; NewHeapElem a' x \in set \{ta\}_o; hconf h \rrbracket$
 $\implies typeof-addr h' a' = Some x$
by(erule red-external.cases)(auto dest: heap-clone-typeof-addrD)

lemma red-external-aggr-New-typeof-addrD:
 $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in red-external-aggr P t a M vs h; NewHeapElem a' x \in set \{ta\}_o;$
 $is-native P (the (typeof-addr h a)) M; hconf h \rrbracket$
 $\implies typeof-addr h' a' = Some x$
apply(auto simp add: is-native.simps external-WT-defs.simps red-external-aggr-def split: if-split-asm)
apply(blast dest: heap-clone-typeof-addrD)+
done

end

context heap-conf **begin**

lemma heap-copy-loc-non-speculative-typeable:
assumes $copy: heap-copy-loc ad ad' al h obs h'$

```

and sc: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction obs))
and vs: vs-conf P h vs
and hconf: hconf h
and wt: P,h ⊢ ad@al : T P,h ⊢ ad'@al : T
shows heap-base.heap-copy-loc (heap-read-typed P) heap-write ad ad' al h obs h'
proof -
  from copy obtain v where obs: obs = [ReadMem ad al v, WriteMem ad' al v]
    and read: heap-read h ad al v and write: heap-write h ad' al v h' by cases
  from obs sc have v ∈ vs (ad, al) by auto
  with vs wt have v: P,h ⊢ v :≤ T by(blast dest: vs-confD addr-loc-type-fun)+
  with read wt have heap-read-typed P h ad al v
    by(auto intro: heap-read-typedI dest: addr-loc-type-fun)
  thus ?thesis using write unfolding obs by(rule heap-base.heap-copy-loc.intros)
qed

lemma heap-copy-loc-non-speculative-vs-conf:
  assumes copy: heap-copy-loc ad ad' al h obs h'
  and sc: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (take n (map NormalAction obs)))
  and vs: vs-conf P h vs
  and hconf: hconf h
  and wt: P,h ⊢ ad@al : T P,h ⊢ ad'@al : T
  shows vs-conf P h' (w-values P vs (take n (map NormalAction obs)))
proof -
  from copy obtain v where obs: obs = [ReadMem ad al v, WriteMem ad' al v]
    and read: heap-read h ad al v and write: heap-write h ad' al v h' by cases
  from write have hext: h ⊑ h' by(rule hext-heap-write)
  with vs have vs': vs-conf P h' vs by(rule vs-conf-hext)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases n > 0)
    case True
    with obs sc have v ∈ vs (ad, al) by(auto simp add: take-Cons')
    with vs wt have v: P,h ⊢ v :≤ T by(blast dest: vs-confD addr-loc-type-fun)+
    with hext wt have P,h' ⊢ ad'@al : T P,h' ⊢ v :≤ T
      by(blast intro: addr-loc-type-hext-mono conf-hext)+
    thus ?thesis using vs' obs
      by(auto simp add: take-Cons' intro!: vs-confI split: if-split-asm dest: vs-confD)
  next
    case False thus ?thesis using vs' by simp
  qed
qed

lemma heap-copies-non-speculative-typeable:
  assumes heap-copies ad ad' als h obs h'
  and non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction obs))
  and vs-conf P h vs
  and hconf h
  and list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad@al : T) als Ts list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad'@al : T) als Ts
  shows heap-base.heap-copies (heap-read-typed P) heap-write ad ad' als h obs h'
using assms
proof(induct arbitrary: Ts vs)
  case Nil show ?case by(auto intro: heap-base.heap-copies.intros)
next
  case (Cons al h ob h' als obs h'')
  note sc = ⟨non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction (ob @ obs)))⟩

```

```

and vs = <vs-conf P h vs>
and hconf = <hconf h>
and wt = <list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad@al : T) (al ≠ als) Ts> <list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad'@al : T)
(al ≠ als) Ts>

have sc1: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction ob))
  and sc2: non-speculative P (w-values P vs (map NormalAction ob)) (llist-of (map NormalAction
obs))
    using sc by(simp-all add: non-speculative-lappend lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] del: lap-
pend-llist-of-llist-of)
  from wt obtain T Ts' where Ts: Ts = T # Ts'
    and wt1: P,h ⊢ ad@al : T P,h ⊢ ad'@al : T
    and wt2: list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad@al : T) als Ts' list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad'@al : T) als Ts'
      by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1)
  from <heap-copy-loc ad ad' al h ob h'> sc1 vs hconf wt1
  have copy: heap-base.heap-copy-loc (heap-read-typed P) heap-write ad ad' al h ob h'
    by(rule heap-copy-loc-non-speculative-typeable)+
  from heap-copy-loc-non-speculative-vs-conf[OF <heap-copy-loc ad ad' al h ob h'> - vs hconf wt1, of
length ob] sc1
  have vs': vs-conf P h' (w-values P vs (map NormalAction ob)) by simp

  from <heap-copy-loc ad ad' al h ob h'>
  have h ⊑ h' by(rule hext-heap-copy-loc)
  with wt2 have wt2': list-all2 (λal T. P,h' ⊢ ad@al : T) als Ts' list-all2 (λal T. P,h' ⊢ ad'@al :
T) als Ts'
    by -(erule List.list-all2-mono[OF - addr-loc-type-hext-mono], assumption+)+

  from copy hconf wt1 have hconf': hconf h'
    by(rule heap-conf-read.hconf-heap-copy-loc-mono[OF heap-conf-read-heap-read-typed])

  from sc2 vs' hconf' wt2' have heap-base.heap-copies (heap-read-typed P) heap-write ad ad' als h'
obs h'' by(rule Cons)
  with copy show ?case by(rule heap-base.heap-copies.Cons)
qed

lemma heap-copies-non-speculative-vs-conf:
  assumes heap-copies ad ad' als h obs h'
  and non-speculative P vs (llist-of (take n (map NormalAction obs)))
  and vs-conf P h vs
  and hconf h
  and list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad@al : T) als Ts list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad'@al : T) als Ts
  shows vs-conf P h' (w-values P vs (take n (map NormalAction obs)))
using assms
proof(induction arbitrary: Ts vs n)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons al h ob h' als obs h'')
    note sc = <non-speculative P vs (llist-of (take n (map NormalAction (ob @ obs))))>
    and hcl = <heap-copy-loc ad ad' al h ob h'>
    and vs = <vs-conf P h vs>
    and hconf = <hconf h>
    and wt = <list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad@al : T) (al ≠ als) Ts> <list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad'@al : T)
(al ≠ als) Ts>
    let ?vs' = w-values P vs (take n (map NormalAction ob))

```

```

from sc have sc1: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (take n (map NormalAction ob)))
  and sc2: non-speculative P ?vs' (llist-of (take (n - length ob) (map NormalAction obs)))
  by(simp-all add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] non-speculative-lappend del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)

from wt obtain T Ts' where Ts: Ts = T # Ts'
  and wt1: P,h ⊢ ad@al : T P,h ⊢ ad'@al : T
  and wt2: list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad@al : T) als Ts' list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ ad'@al : T) als Ts'
  by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1)

from hcl sc1 vs hconf wt1 have vs': vs-conf P h' ?vs' by(rule heap-copy-loc-non-speculative-vs-conf)

show ?case
proof(cases n < length ob)
  case True
    from ⟨heap-copies ad ad' als h' obs h''⟩ have h' ⊑ h'' by(rule hext-heap-copies)
    with vs' have vs-conf P h'' ?vs' by(rule vs-conf-hext)
    thus ?thesis using True by simp
  next
    case False
    note sc2 vs'
    moreover from False sc1 have sc1': non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction ob)) by
      simp
      with hcl have heap-base.heap-copy-loc (heap-read-typed P) heap-write ad ad' al h ob h'
        using vs hconf wt1 by(rule heap-copy-loc-non-speculative-typeable)
      hence hconf h' using hconf wt1
        by(rule heap-conf-read.hconf-heap-copy-loc-mono[OF heap-conf-read-heap-read-typed])
      moreover
        from hcl have h ⊑ h' by(rule hext-heap-copy-loc)
        with wt2 have wt2': list-all2 (λal T. P,h' ⊢ ad@al : T) als Ts' list-all2 (λal T. P,h' ⊢ ad'@al : T) als Ts'
          by -(erule List.list-all2-mono[OF - addr-loc-type-hext-mono], assumption+) +
          ultimately have vs-conf P h'' (w-values P ?vs' (take (n - length ob) (map NormalAction obs)))
            by(rule Cons.IH)
          with False show ?thesis by simp
    qed
  qed

lemma heap-clone-non-speculative-typeable-Some:
  assumes clone: heap-clone P h ad h' [(obs, ad')]
  and sc: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction obs))
  and vs: vs-conf P h vs
  and hconf: hconf h
  shows heap-base.heap-clone allocate typeof-addr (heap-read-typed P) heap-write P h ad h' [(obs, ad')]
  using clone
proof(cases)
  case (ObjClone C h'' FDTs obs')
  note FDTs = ⟨P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs
    and obs = ⟨obs = NewHeapElem ad' (Class-type C) # obs'⟩
    let ?als = map (λ((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs
    let ?Ts = map (λ(FD, T). fst (the (map-of FDTs FD))) FDTs
    let ?vs = w-value P vs (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad' (Class-type C) :: ('addr, 'thread-id)
      obs-event))
    from ⟨(h'', ad') ∈ allocate h (Class-type C)⟩ have hext: h ⊑ h'' by(rule hext-heap-ops)

```

```

hence type: typeof-addr  $h'' ad = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor$  using  $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h ad = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \rangle$   

by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)

note  $\langle \text{heap-copies } ad ad' ?als h'' obs' h' \rangle$ 
moreover from sc have non-speculative  $P ?vs (\text{llist-of} (\text{map NormalAction } obs'))$   

by(simp add: obs)
moreover from  $\langle P \vdash C \text{ has-fields FDTs} \rangle$ 
have is-class  $P C$  by(rule has-fields-is-class)
hence is-htype  $P (\text{Class-type } C)$  by simp
with vs  $\langle (h'', ad') \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Class-type } C) \rangle$ 
have vs-conf  $P h'' ?vs$  by(rule vs-conf-allocate)
moreover from  $\langle (h'', ad') \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Class-type } C) \rangle$  hconf  $\langle \text{is-htype } P (\text{Class-type } C) \rangle$ 
have hconf  $h''$  by(rule hconf-allocate-mono)
moreover from type FDTs have list-all2  $(\lambda \text{al } T. P, h'' \vdash ad @ \text{al} : T)$  ?als ?Ts
unfolding list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same
by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
moreover from  $\langle (h'', ad') \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Class-type } C) \rangle$   $\langle \text{is-htype } P (\text{Class-type } C) \rangle$ 
have typeof-addr  $h'' ad' = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor$  by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD)
with FDTs have list-all2  $(\lambda \text{al } T. P, h'' \vdash ad' @ \text{al} : T)$  ?als ?Ts
unfolding list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same
by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
ultimately
have copy: heap-base.heap-copies (heap-read-typed  $P$ ) heap-write  $ad ad'$  (map  $(\lambda((F, D), Tfm).$ 
CField  $D F)$  FDTs)  $h'' obs' h'$ 
by(rule heap-copies-non-speculative-typeable)+
from  $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h ad = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \rangle$   $\langle (h'', ad') \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Class-type } C) \rangle$  FDTs copy
show ?thesis unfolding obs by(rule heap-base.heap-clone.intros)

next
case (ArrClone  $T n h'' FDTs obs')$ 
note obs =  $\langle obs = \text{NewHeapElem } ad' (\text{Array-type } T n) \# obs' \rangle$ 
and new =  $\langle (h'', ad') \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Array-type } T n) \rangle$ 
and FDTs =  $\langle P \vdash \text{Object has-fields FDTs} \rangle$ 
let ?als = map  $(\lambda((F, D), Tfm). \text{CField } D F)$  FDTs @ map ACell [0..<n]
let ?Ts = map  $(\lambda(FD, T). \text{fst} (\text{the} (\text{map-of FDTs FD})))$  FDTs @ replicate n T
let ?vs = w-value  $P$  vs (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad' (Array-type T n) :: ('addr, 'thread-id)
obs-event))
from new have hext:  $h \trianglelefteq h''$  by(rule hext-heap-ops)
hence type: typeof-addr  $h'' ad = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor$  using  $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h ad = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor \rangle$   

by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)

note  $\langle \text{heap-copies } ad ad' ?als h'' obs' h' \rangle$ 
moreover from sc have non-speculative  $P ?vs (\text{llist-of} (\text{map NormalAction } obs'))$  by(simp add:
obs)
moreover from  $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h ad = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor \rangle$   $\langle \text{hconf } h \rangle$  have is-htype  $P (\text{Array-type } T n)$   

by(auto dest: typeof-addr-is-type)
with vs new have vs-conf  $P h'' ?vs$  by(rule vs-conf-allocate)
moreover from new hconf  $\langle \text{is-htype } P (\text{Array-type } T n) \rangle$  have hconf  $h''$  by(rule hconf-allocate-mono)
moreover
from type FDTs have list-all2  $(\lambda \text{al } T. P, h'' \vdash ad @ \text{al} : T)$  ?als ?Ts
by(fastforce intro: list-all2-all-nthI addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def list-all2-append
list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
moreover from new  $\langle \text{is-htype } P (\text{Array-type } T n) \rangle$ 
have typeof-addr  $h'' ad' = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor$ 
by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD)

```

hence *list-all2* ($\lambda al\ T.\ P,h'' \vdash ad'@al : T$) ?als ?Ts **using** *FDTs*
by(*fastforce intro*: *list-all2-all-nthI* *addr-loc-type.intros simp add*: *has-field-def* *list-all2-append*
list-all2-map1 *list-all2-map2* *list-all2-same* *dest*: *weak-map-of-SomeI*)
ultimately have *copy*: *heap-base.heap-copies* (*heap-read-typed P*) *heap-write ad ad'* (*map* ($\lambda((F, D), Tfm)$, *CField D F*) *FDTs* @ *map ACell [0..<n]*) $h'' obs' h'$
by(*rule heap-copies-non-speculative-typeable*) +
from $\langle typeof\text{-}addr h ad = \lfloor Array\text{-}type T n \rfloor \rangle$ *new FDTs copy show* ?thesis
unfolding *obs* **by**(*rule heap-base.heap-clone.ArrClone*)
qed

lemma *heap-clone-non-speculative-vs-conf-Some*:
assumes *clone*: *heap-clone P h ad h' [obs, ad']*
and *sc*: *non-speculative P vs (llist-of (take n (map NormalAction obs)))*
and *vs*: *vs-conf P h vs*
and *hconf*: *hconf h*
shows *vs-conf P h' (w-values P vs (take n (map NormalAction obs)))*
using *clone*
proof(cases)
case (*ObjClone C h'' FDTs obs'*)
note *FDTs* = $\langle P \vdash C \text{ has-fields } FDTs \rangle
and *obs* = $\langle obs = NewHeapElem ad' (Class\text{-}type C) \# obs' \rangle
let ?als = *map* ($\lambda((F, D), Tfm)$, *CField D F*) *FDTs*
let ?Ts = *map* ($\lambda(FD, T)$, *fst (the (map-of FDTs FD))*) *FDTs*
let ?vs = *w-value P vs (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad' (Class\text{-}type C) :: ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event))*
from $\langle (h'', ad') \in allocate h (Class\text{-}type C) \rangle$ **have** *hext*: $h \trianglelefteq h''$ **by**(*rule hext-heap-ops*)
hence *type*: *typeof\text{-}addr h'' ad = [Class\text{-}type C]* **using** $\langle typeof\text{-}addr h ad = [Class\text{-}type C] \rangle$
by(*rule typeof\text{-}addr-hext-mono*)$$

note $\langle heap\text{-}copies ad ad' ?als h'' obs' h' \rangle$
moreover from *sc* **have** *non-speculative P ?vs (llist-of (take (n - 1) (map NormalAction obs')))*
by(*simp add*: *obs take-Cons' split*: *if-split-asm*)
moreover from $\langle P \vdash C \text{ has-fields } FDTs \rangle$
have *is-class P C* **by**(*rule has-fields-is-class*)
hence *is-htype P (Class\text{-}type C)* **by** *simp*
with *vs* $\langle (h'', ad') \in allocate h (Class\text{-}type C) \rangle$
have *vs-conf P h'' ?vs* **by**(*rule vs-conf-allocate*)
moreover from $\langle (h'', ad') \in allocate h (Class\text{-}type C) \rangle$ **hconf** *is-htype P (Class\text{-}type C)*,
have *hconf h''* **by**(*rule hconf-allocate-mono*)
moreover from *type FDTs* **have** *list-all2* ($\lambda al\ T.\ P,h'' \vdash ad@al : T$) ?als ?Ts
unfolding *list-all2-map1* *list-all2-map2* *list-all2-same*
by(*fastforce intro*: *addr-loc-type.intros simp add*: *has-field-def dest*: *weak-map-of-SomeI*)
moreover from $\langle (h'', ad') \in allocate h (Class\text{-}type C) \rangle$ $\langle is-htype P (Class\text{-}type C) \rangle$
have *typeof\text{-}addr h'' ad' = [Class\text{-}type C]* **by**(*auto dest*: *allocate-SomeD*)
with *FDTs* **have** *list-all2* ($\lambda al\ T.\ P,h'' \vdash ad@al : T$) ?als ?Ts
unfolding *list-all2-map1* *list-all2-map2* *list-all2-same*
by(*fastforce intro*: *addr-loc-type.intros simp add*: *has-field-def dest*: *weak-map-of-SomeI*)
ultimately
have *vs': vs-conf P h' (w-values P ?vs (take (n - 1) (map NormalAction obs')))*
by(*rule heap-copies-non-speculative-vs-conf*)
show ?thesis
proof(cases n > 0)
case *True*
with *obs vs' show* ?thesis **by**(*simp add*: *take-Cons'*)

```

next
  case False
    from ⟨heap-copies ad ad' ?als h'' obs' h'⟩ have h'' ⊑ h' by(rule hext-heap-copies)
    with ⟨h ⊑ h''⟩ have h ⊑ h' by(rule hext-trans)
    with vs have vs-conf P h' vs by(rule vs-conf-hext)
    thus ?thesis using False by simp
qed
next
  case (ArrClone T N h'' FDTs obs')
    note obs = ⟨obs = NewHeapElem ad' (Array-type T N) # obs'⟩
    and new = ⟨(h'', ad') ∈ allocate h (Array-type T N)⟩
    and FDTs = ⟨P ⊢ Object has-fields FDTs⟩
    let ?als = map (λ((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs @ map ACell [0..<N]
    let ?Ts = map (λ(FD, T). fst (the (map-of FDTs FD))) FDTs @ replicate N T
    let ?vs = w-value P vs (NormalAction (NewHeapElem ad' (Array-type T N) :: ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event))
      from new have hext: h ⊑ h'' by(rule hext-heap-ops)
      hence type: typeof-addr h'' ad = [Array-type T N] using ⟨typeof-addr h ad = [Array-type T N]⟩ by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)

      note ⟨heap-copies ad ad' ?als h'' obs' h'⟩
      moreover from sc have non-speculative P ?vs (llist-of (take (n - 1) (map NormalAction obs'))) by(simp add: obs take-Cons' split: if-split-asm)
      moreover from ⟨typeof-addr h ad = [Array-type T N]⟩ ⟨hconf h⟩ have is-htype P (Array-type T N) by(auto dest: typeof-addr-is-type)
      with vs new have vs-conf P h'' ?vs by(rule vs-conf-allocate)
      moreover from new hconf ⟨is-htype P (Array-type T N)⟩ have hconf h'' by(rule hconf-allocate-mono)
      moreover
        from type FDTs have list-all2 (λal T. P,h'' ⊢ ad@al : T) ?als ?Ts by(fastforce intro: list-all2-all-nthI addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def list-all2-append list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
        moreover from new ⟨is-htype P (Array-type T N)⟩ have typeof-addr h'' ad' = [Array-type T N] by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD)
        hence list-all2 (λal T. P,h'' ⊢ ad'@al : T) ?als ?Ts using FDTs by(fastforce intro: list-all2-all-nthI addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def list-all2-append list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
        ultimately have vs': vs-conf P h' (w-values P ?vs (take (n - 1) (map NormalAction obs'))) by(rule heap-copies-non-speculative-vs-conf)
        show ?thesis
      proof(cases n > 0)
        case True
          with obs vs' show ?thesis by(simp add: take-Cons')
      next
        case False
          from ⟨heap-copies ad ad' ?als h'' obs' h'⟩ have h'' ⊑ h' by(rule hext-heap-copies)
          with ⟨h ⊑ h''⟩ have h ⊑ h' by(rule hext-trans)
          with vs have vs-conf P h' vs by(rule vs-conf-hext)
          thus ?thesis using False by simp
      qed
  qed

```

lemma heap-clone-non-speculative-typeable-None:

```

assumes heap-clone P h ad h' None
shows heap-base.heap-clone allocate typeof-addr (heap-read-typed P) heap-write P h ad h' None
using assms
by(cases)(blast intro: heap-base.heap-clone.intros)+

lemma red-external-non-speculative-typeable:
assumes red: P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ − ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩
and sc: non-speculative P Vs (llist-of (map NormalAction {ta}o))
and vs: vs-conf P h Vs
and hconf: hconf h
shows heap-base.red-external addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate
typeof-addr (heap-read-typed P) heap-write P t h a M vs ta va h'
using assms
by(cases)(auto intro: heap-base.red-external.intros heap-clone-non-speculative-typeable-None heap-clone-non-specula
dest: hext-heap-clone elim: vs-conf-hext)

lemma red-external-non-speculative-vs-conf:
assumes red: P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ − ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩
and sc: non-speculative P Vs (llist-of (take n (map NormalAction {ta}o)))
and vs: vs-conf P h Vs
and hconf: hconf h
shows vs-conf P h' (w-values P Vs (take n (map NormalAction {ta}o)))
using assms
by(cases)(auto intro: heap-base.red-external.intros heap-clone-non-speculative-vs-conf-Some dest: hext-heap-clone
elim: vs-conf-hext simp add: take-Cons')

lemma red-external-aggr-non-speculative-typeable:
assumes red: (ta, va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M vs h
and sc: non-speculative P Vs (llist-of (map NormalAction {ta}o))
and vs: vs-conf P h Vs
and hconf: hconf h
and native: is-native P (the (typeof-addr h a)) M
shows (ta, va, h') ∈ heap-base.red-external-aggr addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr (heap-read-typed P) heap-write P t a M vs h
using assms
by(cases the (typeof-addr h a))(auto 4 3 simp add: is-native.simps external-WT-defs.simps red-external-aggr-def
heap-base.red-external-aggr-def split: if-split-asm split del: if-split del: disjCI intro: heap-clone-non-speculative-typeable
heap-clone-non-speculative-typeable-Some dest: sees-method-decl-above)

lemma red-external-aggr-non-speculative-vs-conf:
assumes red: (ta, va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M vs h
and sc: non-speculative P Vs (llist-of (take n (map NormalAction {ta}o)))
and vs: vs-conf P h Vs
and hconf: hconf h
and native: is-native P (the (typeof-addr h a)) M
shows vs-conf P h' (w-values P Vs (take n (map NormalAction {ta}o)))
using assms
by(cases the (typeof-addr h a))(auto 4 3 simp add: is-native.simps external-WT-defs.simps red-external-aggr-def
heap-base.red-external-aggr-def take-Cons' split: if-split-asm split del: if-split del: disjCI intro: heap-clone-non-specula
dest: hext-heap-clone elim: vs-conf-hext dest: sees-method-decl-above)

end

declare split-paired-Ex [simp del]

```

```

declare eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps [simp]

context heap-progress begin

lemma heap-copy-loc-non-speculative-read:
  assumes hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
  and vs: vs-conf P h vs
  and type: P,h ⊢ a@al : T P,h ⊢ a'@al : T
  and hconf: hconf h
  and copy: heap-copy-loc a a' al h obs h'
  and i: i < length obs
  and read: obs ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v
  and v: v' ∈ w-values P vs (map NormalAction (take i obs)) (a'', al'')
  shows ∃ obs' h''. heap-copy-loc a a' al h obs' h'' ∧ i < length obs' ∧ take i obs' = take i obs ∧
    obs' ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v' ∧ length obs' ≤ length obs ∧
    non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction obs'))
using copy
proof cases
  case (1 v'')
  with read i have [simp]: i = 0 v'' = v a'' = a al'' = al
    by(simp-all add: nth-Cons split: nat.split-asm)
  from v have v' ∈ vs (a, al) by simp
  with vs type have conf: P,h ⊢ v' :≤ T by(auto dest: addr-loc-type-fun vs-confD)
  let ?obs'' = [ReadMem a al v', WriteMem a' al v]
  from hrt type(1) conf hconf have heap-read h a al v' by(rule heap-read-typeableD)
  moreover from heap-write-total[OF hconf type(2) conf]
  obtain h'' where heap-write h a' al v' h'' ..
  ultimately have heap-copy-loc a a' al h ?obs'' h'' ..
  thus ?thesis using 1 ⟨v' ∈ vs (a, al)⟩ by(auto)
qed

lemma heap-copies-non-speculative-read:
  assumes hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
  and copies: heap-copies a a' als h obs h'
  and vs: vs-conf P h vs
  and type1: list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a@al : T) als Ts
  and type2: list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a'@al : T) als Ts
  and hconf: hconf h
  and i: i < length obs
  and read: obs ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v
  and v: v' ∈ w-values P vs (map NormalAction (take i obs)) (a'', al'')
  and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction (take i obs)))
  shows ∃ obs' h''. heap-copies a a' als h obs' h'' ∧ i < length obs' ∧ take i obs' = take i obs ∧
    obs' ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v' ∧ length obs' ≤ length obs
    (is ?concl als h obs vs i)
using copies vs type1 type2 hconf i read v ns
proof(induction arbitrary: Ts vs i)
  case Nil thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons al h ob h' als obs h'' Ts vs)
  note copy = ⟨heap-copy-loc a a' al h ob h'⟩
  note vs = ⟨vs-conf P h vs⟩
  note type1 = ⟨list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a@al : T) (al # als) Ts⟩
  and type2 = ⟨list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a'@al : T) (al # als) Ts⟩

```

```

note hconf = ⟨hconf h⟩
note i = ⟨i < length (ob @ obs)⟩
note read = ⟨(ob @ obs) ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v⟩
note v = ⟨v' ∈ w-values P vs (map NormalAction (take i (ob @ obs))) (a'', al'')⟩
note ns = ⟨non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction (take i (ob @ obs))))⟩

from type1 obtain T Ts' where Ts: Ts = T # Ts'
  and type1': P,h ⊢ a@al : T
  and type1'': list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a@al : T) als Ts'
    by(auto simp add: list-all2-Cons1)
from type2 Ts have type2': P,h ⊢ a'@al : T
  and type2'': list-all2 (λal T. P,h ⊢ a'@al : T) als Ts'
    by simp-all
show ?case
proof(cases i < length ob)
  case True
  with read v
  have ob ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v
    and v' ∈ w-values P vs (map NormalAction (take i ob)) (a'', al'') by(simp-all add: nth-append)
from heap-copy-loc-non-speculative-read[OF hrt vs type1' type2' hconf copy True this]
obtain ob' H'' where copy': heap-copy-loc a' al h ob' H''
  and i': i < length ob' and take i ob' = take i ob
  and ob' ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v'
  and length ob' ≤ length ob
  and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction ob')) by blast
moreover {
  from copy' have hext: h ⊢ H'' by(rule hext-heap-copy-loc)
  have hconf H''
  by(rule heap-conf-read.hconf-heap-copy-loc-mono[OF heap-conf-read-heap-read-typed])(rule heap-copy-loc-non-copy' ns vs hconf type1' type2'], fact+)
moreover
from type1'' have list-all2 (λal T. P,H'' ⊢ a@al : T) als Ts'
  by(rule List.list-all2-mono)(rule addr-loc-type-hext-mono[OF - hext])
moreover from type2'' have list-all2 (λal T. P,H'' ⊢ a'@al : T) als Ts'
  by(rule List.list-all2-mono)(rule addr-loc-type-hext-mono[OF - hext])
moreover note calculation }
from heap-copies-progress[OF this]
obtain obs' h''' where *: heap-copies a a' als H'' obs' h''' by blast
moreover note heap-copies-length[OF *]
moreover note heap-copy-loc-length[OF copy']
moreover note heap-copies-length[OF ⟨heap-copies a a' als h' obs h''⟩]
ultimately show ?thesis using True by(auto intro!: heap-copies.Cons exI simp add: nth-append)
next
  case False
  let ?vs' = w-values P vs (map NormalAction ob)
  let ?i' = i - length ob

  from ns False obtain ns': non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction ob))
    and ns'': non-speculative P ?vs' (llist-of (map NormalAction (take ?i' obs)))
    by(simp add: lappend-llist-of-llist-of[symmetric] non-speculative-lappend del: lappend-llist-of-llist-of)

  from heap-copy-loc-non-speculative-vs-conf[OF copy - vs hconf type1' type2', where n=length ob]
  have vs-conf P h' ?vs' by simp

```

```

moreover
from copy have hext:  $h \trianglelefteq h'$  by(rule hext-heap-copy-loc)
from type1'' have list-all2 (λal T. P, h' ⊢ a@al : T) als Ts'
  by(rule List.list-all2-mono)(rule addr-loc-type-hext-mono[OF - hext])
moreover from type2'' have list-all2 (λal T. P, h' ⊢ a'@al : T) als Ts'
  by(rule List.list-all2-mono)(rule addr-loc-type-hext-mono[OF - hext])
moreover have hconf h'
  by(rule heap-conf-read.hconf-heap-copy-loc-mono[OF heap-conf-read-heap-read-typed])(rule heap-copy-loc-non-speculative-t
copy ns' vs hconf type1' type2'], fact+)
moreover from i False have ?i' < length obs by simp
moreover from read False have obs ! ?i' = ReadMem a'' al'' v by(simp add: nth-append)
moreover from v False have v' ∈ w-values P ?vs' (map NormalAction (take ?i' obs)) (a'', al'')
by(simp)
  ultimately have ?concl als h' obs ?vs' ?i' using ns'' by(rule Cons.IH)
  thus ?thesis using False copy by safe(auto intro!: heap-copies.Cons exI simp add: nth-append)
qed
qed

lemma heap-clone-non-speculative-read:
assumes hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and clone: heap-clone P h a h' [(obs, a')]
and vs: vs-conf P h vs
and hconf: hconf h
and i: i < length obs
and read: obs ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v
and v: v' ∈ w-values P vs (map NormalAction (take i obs)) (a'', al'')
and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction (take i obs)))
shows ∃ obs' h''. heap-clone P h a h'' [(obs', a')] ∧ i < length obs' ∧ take i obs' = take i obs ∧
  obs' ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v' ∧ length obs' ≤ length obs
using clone
proof cases
  case (ObjClone C h'' FDTs obs')
    note obs = ⟨obs = NewHeapElem a' (Class-type C) # obs'⟩
    note FDTs = ⟨P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs⟩
    let ?als = map (λ((F, D), Tm). CField D F) FDTs
    let ?Ts = map (λ(FD, T). fst (the (map-of FDTs FD))) FDTs
    let ?vs = w-value P vs (NormalAction (NewHeapElem a' (Class-type C)) :: ('addr, 'thread-id)
  obs-event action)
    let ?i = i - 1
    from i read obs have i-0: i > 0 by(simp add: nth-Cons' split: if-split-asm)

    from ⟨P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs⟩ have is-class P C by(rule has-fields-is-class)
    with ⟨(h'', a') ∈ allocate h (Class-type C)⟩
    have type-a': typeof-addr h'' a' = [Class-type C] and hext: h ⊲ h''
      by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD hext-allocate)

    note ⟨heap-copies a a' ?als h'' obs' h'⟩
    moreover from ⟨typeof-addr h a = [Class-type C]⟩ hconf have is-htype P (Class-type C)
      by(rule typeof-addr-is-type)
    with vs ⟨(h'', a') ∈ allocate h (Class-type C)⟩
    have vs-conf P h'' ?vs by(rule vs-conf-allocate)
    moreover
    from hext ⟨typeof-addr h a = [Class-type C]⟩

```

```

have typeof-addr  $h'' a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor$  by(rule typeof-addr-hext-mono)
hence list-all2 ( $\lambda al T. P, h'' \vdash a @ al : T$ ) ?als ?Ts using FDTs
  unfolding list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same
  by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
moreover from FDTs type-a'
have list-all2 ( $\lambda al T. P, h'' \vdash a' @ al : T$ ) ?als ?Ts
  unfolding list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same
  by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
moreover from  $\langle (h'', a') \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Class-type } C) \rangle$  hconf  $\langle \text{is-htype } P (\text{Class-type } C) \rangle$ 
have hconf  $h''$  by(rule hconf-allocate-mono)
moreover from  $i \text{ read } i\text{-}0 \text{ obs}$  have  $?i < \text{length } \text{obs}' \text{ obs}' !$  ?i = ReadMem  $a'' al'' v$  by simp-all
moreover from  $v \text{ i-}0 \text{ obs}$ 
have  $v' \in w\text{-values } P$  ?vs (map NormalAction (take ?i obs')) ( $a'', al''$ ) by(simp add: take-Cons')
moreover from ns  $i\text{-}0 \text{ obs}$ 
have non-speculative  $P$  ?vs (llist-of (map NormalAction (take ?i obs'))) by(simp add: take-Cons')
ultimately have  $\exists \text{obs}'' h'''$ . heap-copies  $a a' ?als h'' \text{ obs}'' h''' \wedge$ 
 $?i < \text{length } \text{obs}'' \wedge \text{take } ?i \text{ obs}'' = \text{take } ?i \text{ obs}' \wedge \text{obs}'' !$  ?i = ReadMem  $a'' al''$ 
 $v' \wedge$ 
 $\text{length } \text{obs}'' \leq \text{length } \text{obs}'$ 
  by(rule heap-copies-non-speculative-read[OF hrt])
thus ?thesis using  $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Class-type } C \rfloor \rangle$   $\langle (h'', a') \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Class-type } C) \rangle$  FDTs
 $\text{obs } i\text{-}0$ 
  by(auto 4 4 intro: heap-clone.ObjClone simp add: take-Cons')
next
  case (ArrClone T n h'' FDTs obs')
    note obs =  $\langle \text{obs} = \text{NewHeapElem } a' (\text{Array-type } T n) \# \text{obs}' \rangle$ 
    note FDTs =  $\langle P \vdash \text{Object has-fields FDTs} \rangle$ 
    let ?als = map ( $\lambda((F, D), Tfm). CField D F$ ) FDTs @ map ACell [0..<n]
    let ?Ts = map ( $\lambda(FD, T). fst (\text{the} (\text{map-of FDTs FD}))$ ) FDTs @ replicate n T
    let ?vs = w-value P vs (NormalAction (NewHeapElem a' (Array-type T n)) :: ('addr, 'thread-id)
 $\text{obs}\text{-event action}')$ 
    let ?i = i - 1
    from i read obs have i-0:  $i > 0$  by(simp add: nth-Cons' split: if-split-asm)

    from  $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor \rangle$  hconf
    have is-htype  $P (\text{Array-type } T n)$  by(rule typeof-addr-is-type)
    with  $\langle (h'', a') \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Array-type } T n) \rangle$ 
    have type-a': typeof-addr  $h'' a' = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor$ 
      and hext:  $h \trianglelefteq h''$ 
      by(auto dest: allocate-SomeD hext-allocate)

    note  $\langle \text{heap-copies } a a' ?als h'' \text{ obs}' h' \rangle$ 
    moreover from vs  $\langle (h'', a') \in \text{allocate } h (\text{Array-type } T n) \rangle$   $\langle \text{is-htype } P (\text{Array-type } T n) \rangle$ 
    have vs-conf  $P h'' ?vs$  by(rule vs-conf-allocate)
    moreover from hext  $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor \rangle$ 
    have type'a: typeof-addr  $h'' a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } T n \rfloor$ 
      by(auto intro: hext-arrD)
    from type'a FDTs have list-all2 ( $\lambda al T. P, h'' \vdash a @ al : T$ ) ?als ?Ts
      by(fastforce intro: list-all2-all-nthI addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def list-all2-append
list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
    moreover from type-a' FDTs
    have list-all2 ( $\lambda al T. P, h'' \vdash a' @ al : T$ ) ?als ?Ts
      by(fastforce intro: list-all2-all-nthI addr-loc-type.intros simp add: has-field-def list-all2-append

```

```

list-all2-map1 list-all2-map2 list-all2-same dest: weak-map-of-SomeI)
  moreover from ⟨(h'', a') ∈ allocate h (Array-type T n), hconf ⟨is-htype P (Array-type T n)⟩
  have hconf h'' by(rule hconf-allocate-mono)
  moreover from i read i-0 obs have ?i < length obs' obs' ! ?i = ReadMem a'' al'' v by simp-all
  moreover from v i-0 obs
  have v' ∈ w-values P ?vs (map NormalAction (take ?i obs')) (a'', al'') by(simp add: take-Cons')
  moreover from ns i-0 obs
  have non-speculative P ?vs (llist-of (map NormalAction (take ?i obs'))) by(simp add: take-Cons')
  ultimately have ∃ obs'' h''. heap-copies a' ?als h'' obs'' h'' ∧
    ?i < length obs'' ∧ take ?i obs'' = take ?i obs' ∧ obs'' ! ?i = ReadMem a'' al''
v' ∧
  length obs'' ≤ length obs'
  by(rule heap-copies-non-speculative-read[OF hrt])
  thus ?thesis using ⟨typeof-addr h a = [Array-type T n], ⟨(h'', a') ∈ allocate h (Array-type T n)⟩
FDTs obs i-0
  by(auto 4 4 intro: heap-clone.ArrClone simp add: take-Cons')
qed

lemma red-external-non-speculative-read:
  assumes hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
  and vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs
  and red: P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs'), shr s⟩ −ta→ext ⟨va,h'⟩
  and aok: final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta
  and hconf: hconf (shr s)
  and i: i < length {ta}o
  and read: {ta}o ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v
  and v: v' ∈ w-values P vs (map NormalAction (take i {ta}o)) (a'', al'')
  and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction (take i {ta}o)))
  shows ∃ ta'' va'' h''. P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs'), shr s⟩ −ta''→ext ⟨va'', h''⟩ ∧ final-thread.actions-ok final s t
ta'' ∧
  i < length {ta''}o ∧ take i {ta''}o = take i {ta}o ∧
  {ta''}o ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v' ∧ length {ta''}o ≤ length {ta}o
using red i read
proof cases
  case [simp]: (RedClone obs a')
    from heap-clone-non-speculative-read[OF hrt ⟨heap-clone P (shr s) a h' |(obs, a')⟩] vs hconf, of i
    a'' al'' v v' i read v ns
    show ?thesis using aok
      by(fastforce intro: red-external.RedClone simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff)
qed(auto simp add: nth-Cons)

lemma red-external-aggr-non-speculative-read:
  assumes hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
  and vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs
  and red: (ta, va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M vs' (shr s)
  and native: is-native P (the (typeof-addr (shr s) a)) M
  and aok: final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta
  and hconf: hconf (shr s)
  and i: i < length {ta}o
  and read: {ta}o ! i = ReadMem a'' al'' v
  and v: v' ∈ w-values P vs (map NormalAction (take i {ta}o)) (a'', al'')
  and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction (take i {ta}o)))
  shows ∃ ta'' va'' h''. (ta'', va'', h'') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M vs' (shr s) ∧ final-thread.actions-ok
final s t ta'' ∧

```

```

 $i < \text{length } \{ta''\}_o \wedge \text{take } i \{ta''\}_o = \text{take } i \{ta\}_o \wedge$ 
 $\{ta''\}_o ! i = \text{ReadMem } a'' al'' v' \wedge \text{length } \{ta''\}_o \leq \text{length } \{ta\}_o$ 
using red native aok hconf i read v ns
apply(simp add: red-external-aggr-def final-thread.actions-ok-iff ex-disj-distrib conj-disj-distribR split nth-Cons' del: if-split split: if-split-asm disj-split-asm)
apply(drule heap-clone-non-speculative-read[OF hrt - vs hconf, of - - - i a'' al'' v v'])
apply simp-all
apply(fastforce)
done

end

declare split-paired-Ex [simp]
declare eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps [simp del]

context allocated-heap begin

lemma heap-copy-loc-allocated-same:
assumes heap-copy-loc a a' al h obs h'
shows allocated h' = allocated h
using assms
by cases(auto del: subsetI simp: heap-write-allocated-same)

lemma heap-copy-loc-allocated-mono:
assumes heap-copy-loc a a' al h obs h'  $\implies$  allocated h  $\subseteq$  allocated h'
by(simp add: heap-copy-loc-allocated-same)

lemma heap-copies-allocated-same:
assumes heap-copies a a' al h obs h'
shows allocated h' = allocated h
using assms
by(induct)(auto simp add: heap-copy-loc-allocated-same)

lemma heap-copies-allocated-mono:
assumes heap-copies a a' al h obs h'  $\implies$  allocated h  $\subseteq$  allocated h'
by(simp add: heap-copies-allocated-same)

lemma heap-clone-allocated-mono:
assumes heap-clone P h a h' aobs
shows allocated h  $\subseteq$  allocated h'
using assms
by cases(blast del: subsetI intro: heap-copies-allocated-mono allocate-allocated-mono intro: subset-trans) +

lemma red-external-allocated-mono:
assumes P,t  $\vdash \langle a.M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle$ 
shows allocated h  $\subseteq$  allocated h'
using assms
by(cases)(blast del: subsetI intro: heap-clone-allocated-mono heap-write-allocated-same) +

lemma red-external-aggr-allocated-mono:
 $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in \text{red-external-aggr } P t a M vs h; \text{is-native } P (\text{the } (\text{typeof-addr } h a)) M \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies$  allocated h  $\subseteq$  allocated h'
by(cases the (typeof-addr h a))(auto simp add: is-native.simps external-WT-defs.simps red-external-aggr-def

```

```

split: if-split-asm dest: heap-clone-allocated-mono sees-method-decl-above)

lemma heap-clone-allocatedD:
  assumes heap-clone P h a h' [(obs, a')]
  and NewHeapElem a'' x ∈ set obs
  shows a'' ∈ allocated h' ∧ a'' ∉ allocated h
  using assms
  by cases(auto dest: allocate-allocatedD heap-copies-allocated-mono heap-copies-not-New)

lemma red-external-allocatedD:
  [ P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ −ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩; NewHeapElem a' x ∈ set {ta}o ]
  ⇒ a' ∈ allocated h' ∧ a' ∉ allocated h
  by(erule red-external.cases)(auto dest: heap-clone-allocatedD)

lemma red-external-aggr-allocatedD:
  [ (ta, va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M vs h; NewHeapElem a' x ∈ set {ta}o;
    is-native P (the (typeof-addr h a)) M ]
  ⇒ a' ∈ allocated h' ∧ a' ∉ allocated h
  by(auto simp add: is-native.simps external-WT-defs.simps red-external-aggr-def split: if-split-asm dest:
  heap-clone-allocatedD sees-method-decl-above)

lemma heap-clone-NewHeapElemD:
  assumes heap-clone P h a h' [(obs, a')]
  and ad ∈ allocated h'
  and ad ∉ allocated h
  shows ∃ CTn. NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set obs
  using assms
  by cases(auto dest!: allocate-allocatedD heap-copies-allocated-same)

lemma heap-clone-fail-allocated-same:
  assumes heap-clone P h a h' None
  shows allocated h' = allocated h
  using assms
  by(cases)(auto)

lemma red-external-NewHeapElemD:
  [ P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ −ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩; a' ∈ allocated h'; a' ∉ allocated h ]
  ⇒ ∃ CTn. NewHeapElem a' CTn ∈ set {ta}o
  by(erule red-external.cases)(auto dest: heap-clone-NewHeapElemD heap-clone-fail-allocated-same)

lemma red-external-aggr-NewHeapElemD:
  [ (ta, va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M vs h; a' ∈ allocated h'; a' ∉ allocated h;
    is-native P (the (typeof-addr h a)) M ]
  ⇒ ∃ CTn. NewHeapElem a' CTn ∈ set {ta}o
  by(cases the (typeof-addr h a))(auto simp add: is-native.simps external-WT-defs.simps red-external-aggr-def
  split: if-split-asm dest: heap-clone-fail-allocated-same heap-clone-NewHeapElemD sees-method-decl-above)

end

context heap-base begin

lemma binop-known-addrs:
  assumes ok: start-heap-ok
  shows binop bop v1 v2 = [Inl v] ⇒ ka-Val v ⊆ ka-Val v1 ∪ ka-Val v2 ∪ set start-addrs

```

```

and binop bop v1 v2 = [Inr a] ==> a ∈ ka-Val v1 ∪ ka-Val v2 ∪ set start-addrs
apply(cases bop, auto split: if-split-asm)[1]
apply(cases bop, auto split: if-split-asm simp add: addr-of-sys-xcpt-start-addr[OF ok])
done

lemma heap-copy-loc-known-addrs-ReadMem:
assumes heap-copy-loc a a' al h ob h'
and ReadMem ad al' v ∈ set ob
shows ad = a
using assms by cases simp

lemma heap-copies-known-addrs-ReadMem:
assumes heap-copies a a' als h obs h'
and ReadMem ad al v ∈ set obs
shows ad = a
using assms
by(induct)(auto dest: heap-copy-loc-known-addrs-ReadMem)

lemma heap-clone-known-addrs-ReadMem:
assumes heap-clone P h a h' [(obs, a')]
and ReadMem ad al v ∈ set obs
shows ad = a
using assms
by cases(auto dest: heap-copies-known-addrs-ReadMem)

lemma red-external-known-addrs-ReadMem:
[] P, t ⊢ ⟨a·M(vs), h⟩ -ta→ext ⟨va, h'⟩; ReadMem ad al v ∈ set {ta}o []
==> ad ∈ {thread-id2addr t, a} ∪ (⋃(ka-Val ' set vs)) ∪ set start-addrs
by(erule red-external.cases)(simp-all add: heap-clone-known-addrs-ReadMem)

lemma red-external-aggr-known-addrs-ReadMem:
[] (ta, va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M vs h; ReadMem ad al v ∈ set {ta}o []
==> ad ∈ {thread-id2addr t, a} ∪ (⋃(ka-Val ' set vs)) ∪ set start-addrs
apply(auto simp add: red-external-aggr-def split: if-split-asm dest: heap-clone-known-addrs-ReadMem)
done

lemma heap-copy-loc-known-addrs-WriteMem:
assumes heap-copy-loc a a' al h ob h'
and ob ! n = WriteMem ad al' (Addr a'') n < length ob
shows a'' ∈ new-obs-addrs (take n ob)
using assms
by cases(auto simp add: nth-Cons new-obs-addrs-def split: nat.split-asm)

lemma heap-copies-known-addrs-WriteMem:
assumes heap-copies a a' als h obs h'
and obs ! n = WriteMem ad al (Addr a'') n < length obs
shows a'' ∈ new-obs-addrs (take n obs)
using assms
by(induct arbitrary: n)(auto simp add: nth-append new-obs-addrs-def dest: heap-copy-loc-known-addrs-WriteMem
split: if-split-asm)

lemma heap-clone-known-addrs-WriteMem:
assumes heap-clone P h a h' [(obs, a')]
and obs ! n = WriteMem ad al (Addr a'') n < length obs

```

shows $a'' \in \text{new-obs-addrs} (\text{take } n \text{ obs})$
using *assms*
by *cases(auto simp add: nth-Cons new-obs-addrs-def split: nat.split-asm dest: heap-copies-known-addrs-WriteMem)*

lemma *red-external-known-addrs-WriteMem*:
 $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; \{ta\}_o ! n = \text{WriteMem ad al (Addr } a') ; n < \text{length } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$
 $\implies a' \in \{\text{thread-id2addr } t, a\} \cup (\bigcup(\text{ka-Val} ' \text{ set } vs)) \cup \text{set start-addrs} \cup \text{new-obs-addrs} (\text{take } n \{ta\}_o)$
by(erule *red-external.cases*)*(auto dest: heap-clone-known-addrs-WriteMem)*

lemma *red-external-aggr-known-addrs-WriteMem*:
 $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in \text{red-external-aggr } P t a M vs h;$
 $\{ta\}_o ! n = \text{WriteMem ad al (Addr } a') ; n < \text{length } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$
 $\implies a' \in \{\text{thread-id2addr } t, a\} \cup (\bigcup(\text{ka-Val} ' \text{ set } vs)) \cup \text{set start-addrs} \cup \text{new-obs-addrs} (\text{take } n \{ta\}_o)$
apply(auto simp add: *red-external-aggr-def split: if-split-asm dest: heap-clone-known-addrs-WriteMem*)
done

lemma *red-external-known-addrs-mono*:
assumes *ok: start-heap-ok*
and *red: P, t ⊢ ⟨a · M(vs), h⟩ - ta → ext ⟨va, h’⟩*
shows *(case va of RetVal v ⇒ ka-Val v | RetExc a ⇒ {a} | RetStaySame ⇒ {}) ⊆ {thread-id2addr t, a} ∪ (bigcup(ka-Val ‘ set vs)) ∪ set start-addrs ∪ new-obs-addrs {ta}o*
using *red*
by *cases(auto simp add: addr-of-sys-xcpt-start-addr[OF ok] new-obs-addrs-def heap-clone.simps)*

lemma *red-external-aggr-known-addrs-mono*:
assumes *ok: start-heap-ok*
and *red: (ta, va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M vs h is-native P (the (typeof-addr h a)) M*
shows *(case va of RetVal v ⇒ ka-Val v | RetExc a ⇒ {a} | RetStaySame ⇒ {}) ⊆ {thread-id2addr t, a} ∪ (bigcup(ka-Val ‘ set vs)) ∪ set start-addrs ∪ new-obs-addrs {ta}o*
using *red*
apply(cases the (typeof-addr h a))
apply(auto simp add: *red-external-aggr-def addr-of-sys-xcpt-start-addr[OF ok] new-obs-addrs-def heap-clone.simps split: if-split-asm*)
apply(auto simp add: *is-native.simps elim!: external-WT-defs.cases dest: sees-method-decl-above*)
done

lemma *red-external-NewThread-idD*:
 $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle - ta \rightarrow ext \langle va, h' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t' (C, M', a') h'' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t \rrbracket$
 $\implies t' = \text{addr2thread-id } a \wedge a' = a$
by(erule *red-external.cases*) *simp-all*

lemma *red-external-aggr-NewThread-idD*:
 $\llbracket (ta, va, h') \in \text{red-external-aggr } P t a M vs h;$
 $\text{NewThread } t' (C, M', a') h'' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t \rrbracket$
 $\implies t' = \text{addr2thread-id } a \wedge a' = a$
apply(auto simp add: *red-external-aggr-def split: if-split-asm*)
done

end

locale *heap'' = heap'*

```

addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
P
for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) => 'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id => 'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap => htype => ('heap × 'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'addr → htype
and heap-read :: 'heap => 'addr => addr-loc => 'addr val => bool
and heap-write :: 'heap => 'addr => addr-loc => 'addr val => 'heap => bool
and P :: 'm prog
+
assumes allocate-typeof-addr-SomeD: [(h', a) ∈ allocate h hT; typeof-addr a ≠ None] ==> typeof-addr
a = ⌊hT⌋
begin

lemma heap-copy-loc-New-type-match:
  [(h.heap-copy-loc a a' al h obs h'; NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set obs; typeof-addr ad ≠ None)]
  ==> typeof-addr ad = ⌊CTn⌋
by(erule h.heap-copy-loc.cases) simp

lemma heap-copies-New-type-match:
  [(h.heap-copies a a' als h obs h'; NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set obs; typeof-addr ad ≠ None)]
  ==> typeof-addr ad = ⌊CTn⌋
by(induct rule: h.heap-copies.induct)(auto dest: heap-copy-loc-New-type-match)

lemma heap-clone-New-type-match:
  [(h.heap-clone P h a h' ⌊(obs, a')]; NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set obs; typeof-addr ad ≠ None)]
  ==> typeof-addr ad = ⌊CTn⌋
by(erule h.heap-clone.cases)(auto dest: allocate-typeof-addr-SomeD heap-copies-New-type-match)

lemma red-external-New-type-match:
  [(h.red-external P t a M vs h ta va h'; NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set {ta}o; typeof-addr ad ≠ None)]
  ==> typeof-addr ad = ⌊CTn⌋
by(erule h.red-external.cases)(auto dest: heap-clone-New-type-match)

lemma red-external-aggr-New-type-match:
  [(ta, va, h') ∈ h.red-external-aggr P t a M vs h; NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set {ta}o; typeof-addr ad
  ≠ None]
  ==> typeof-addr ad = ⌊CTn⌋
by(auto simp add: h.red-external-aggr-def split: if-split-asm dest: heap-clone-New-type-match)

end

end
theory JMM-J
imports
JMM-Framework
.. / J / Threaded
begin

sublocale J-heap-base < red-mthr:

```

```

heap-multithreaded-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  final-expr mred P convert-RA
for P
.

context J-heap-base begin

abbreviation J-E ::

  'addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  status
   $\Rightarrow$  ('thread-id  $\times$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) llist set

where
  J-E P  $\equiv$  red-mthr.E-start P J-local-start P

end

end

```

8.14 JMM Instantiation for J

```

theory DRF-J
imports
  JMM-Common
  JMM-J
  ../J/ProgressThreaded
  SC-Legal
begin

primrec ka :: 'addr expr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr set
  and kas :: 'addr expr list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr set
where
  ka (new C) = {}
  | ka (newA T[e]) = ka e
  | ka (Cast T e) = ka e
  | ka (e instanceof T) = ka e
  | ka (Val v) = ka-Val v
  | ka (Var V) = {}
  | ka (e1 «bop» e2) = ka e1  $\cup$  ka e2
  | ka (V := e) = ka e
  | ka (a[e]) = ka a  $\cup$  ka e
  | ka (a[e] := e') = ka a  $\cup$  ka e  $\cup$  ka e'
  | ka (a.length) = ka a
  | ka (e.F{D}) = ka e
  | ka (e.F{D} := e') = ka e  $\cup$  ka e'
  | ka (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) = ka e  $\cup$  ka e'  $\cup$  ka e''
  | ka (e.M(es)) = ka e  $\cup$  kas es
  | ka {V:T=vo; e} = ka e  $\cup$  (case vo of None  $\Rightarrow$  {}) | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  ka-Val v
  | ka (Synchronized x e e') = ka e  $\cup$  ka e'
  | ka (InSynchronized x a e) = insert a (ka e)
  | ka (e;; e') = ka e  $\cup$  ka e'
  | ka (if (e) e1 else e2) = ka e  $\cup$  ka e1  $\cup$  ka e2

```

```

|  $ka (\text{while } (b) e) = ka b \cup ka e$ 
|  $ka (\text{throw } e) = ka e$ 
|  $ka (\text{try } e \text{ catch}(C V) e') = ka e \cup ka e'$ 

```

```

|  $kas [] = \{\}$ 
|  $kas (e \# es) = ka e \cup kas es$ 

```

definition $ka\text{-locals} :: 'addr \text{ locals} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ set}$
where $ka\text{-locals } xs = \{a. Addr a \in ran xs\}$

lemma $ka\text{-Val-subset-ka-locals}:$

$xs V = [v] \implies ka\text{-Val } v \subseteq ka\text{-locals } xs$

by(cases v)(auto simp add: $ka\text{-locals-def ran-def}$)

lemma $ka\text{-locals-update-subset}:$

$ka\text{-locals } (xs(V := \text{None})) \subseteq ka\text{-locals } xs$

$ka\text{-locals } (xs(V \mapsto v)) \subseteq ka\text{-Val } v \cup ka\text{-locals } xs$

by(auto simp add: $ka\text{-locals-def ran-def}$)

lemma $ka\text{-locals-empty} [\text{simp}]: ka\text{-locals Map.empty} = \{\}$

by(simp add: $ka\text{-locals-def}$)

lemma $kas\text{-append} [\text{simp}]: kas (es @ es') = kas es \cup kas es'$

by(induct es) auto

lemma $kas\text{-map-Val} [\text{simp}]: kas (\text{map Val vs}) = \bigcup (ka\text{-Val} ` set vs)$

by(induct vs) auto

lemma $ka\text{-blocks}:$

$\llbracket length pns = length Ts; length vs = length Ts \rrbracket$

$\implies ka (\text{blocks } pns Ts vs \text{ body}) = \bigcup (ka\text{-Val} ` set vs) \cup ka \text{ body}$

by(induct pns Ts vs body rule: blocks.induct)(auto)

lemma $WT\text{-ka}: P, E \vdash e :: T \implies ka e = \{\}$

and $WTs\text{-kas}: P, E \vdash es :: Ts \implies kas es = \{\}$

by(induct rule: $WT\text{-}WTs\text{.inducts}$)(auto simp add: typeof-ka)

context $J\text{-heap-base}$ **begin**

primrec $J\text{-known-addrs} :: 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ expr} \times 'addr \text{ locals} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ set}$

where $J\text{-known-addrs } t (e, xs) = insert (\text{thread-id2addr } t) (ka e \cup ka\text{-locals } xs \cup set start-addrs)$

lemma assumes $wf: wf\text{-}J\text{-prog } P$

and $ok: start\text{-heap}\text{-ok}$

shows $red\text{-known-addrs-mono}:$

$P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies J\text{-known-addrs } t (e', lcl s') \subseteq J\text{-known-addrs } t (e, lcl s) \cup new\text{-obs-addrs} \{ta\}_o$

and $reds\text{-known-addrs-mono}:$

$P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \dashv \rightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle \implies kas es' \cup ka\text{-locals} (lcl s') \subseteq insert (\text{thread-id2addr } t) (kas es \cup ka\text{-locals} (lcl s)) \cup new\text{-obs-addrs} \{ta\}_o \cup set start\text{-addrs}$

proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)

case $RedVar$ **thus** ?case **by**(auto dest: $ka\text{-Val-subset-ka-locals}$)

next

case $RedLAss$ **thus** ?case **by**(auto simp add: $ka\text{-locals-def ran-def}$)

```

next
  case RedBinOp thus ?case by(auto dest: binop-known-addrs[OF ok])
next
  case RedBinOpFail thus ?case by(auto dest: binop-known-addrs[OF ok])
next
  case RedCall thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: ka-blocks new-obs-addrs-def wf-mdecl-def dest!: sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf] WT-ka)
next
  case (RedCallExternal s a T M Ts T D vs ta va h') thus ?case
    by(cases va)(auto dest!: red-external-known-addrs-mono[OF ok])
next
  case (BlockRed e h l V vo ta e' h' l')
  thus ?case using ka-locals-update-subset[where xs = l and V=V] ka-locals-update-subset[where
  xs = l' and V=V]
    apply(cases l V)
    apply(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply del: subsetI)
    apply(blast dest: ka-Val-subset-ka-locals) +
    done
qed(simp-all add: new-obs-addrs-def addr-of-sys-xcpt-start-addr[OF ok] subset-Un1 subset-Un2 sub-
set-insert ka-Val-subset-new-obs-Addr-ReadMem ka-blocks del: fun-upd-apply, blast+)

lemma red-known-addrs-ReadMem:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; ReadMem ad al v \in set \{ta\}_o \rrbracket \implies ad \in J\text{-known-addrs } t (e, lcl s)$ 
  and reds-known-addrss-ReadMem:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle; ReadMem ad al v \in set \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies ad \in insert (\text{thread-id2addr } t) (\text{kas } es \cup \text{ka-locals } (lcl s)) \cup \text{set start-addrs}$ 
proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
  case RedCallExternal thus ?case by simp (blast dest: red-external-known-addrs-ReadMem)
next
  case (BlockRed e h l V vo ta e' h' l')
  thus ?case using ka-locals-update-subset[where xs = l and V=V] ka-locals-update-subset[where
  xs = l' and V=V]
    by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)
qed(simp-all, blast+)

lemma red-known-addrs-WriteMem:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \{ta\}_o ! n = WriteMem ad al (\text{Addr } a); n < length \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies a \in J\text{-known-addrs } t (e, lcl s) \vee a \in \text{new-obs-addrs } (\text{take } n \{ta\}_o)$ 
  and reds-known-addrss-WriteMem:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle \dashrightarrow \langle es', s' \rangle; \{ta\}_o ! n = WriteMem ad al (\text{Addr } a); n < length \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies a \in insert (\text{thread-id2addr } t) (\text{kas } es \cup \text{ka-locals } (lcl s)) \cup \text{set start-addrs} \cup \text{new-obs-addrs } (\text{take } n \{ta\}_o)$ 
proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
  case RedCASSucceed thus ?case by(auto simp add: nth-Cons split: nat.split-asm)
next
  case RedCallExternal thus ?case by simp (blast dest: red-external-known-addrs-WriteMem)
next
  case (BlockRed e h l V vo ta e' h' l')
  thus ?case using ka-locals-update-subset[where xs = l and V=V] ka-locals-update-subset[where
  xs = l' and V=V]
    by(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)
qed(simp-all, blast+)

end

```

```

context J-heap begin

lemma
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and ok: start-heap-ok
  shows red-known-addrs-new-thread:
     $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t' x' h' \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies J\text{-known-addrs } t' x' \subseteq J\text{-known-addrs } t (e, \text{lcl } s)$ 
  and reds-known-addrs-new-thread:
     $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{NewThread } t' x' h' \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies J\text{-known-addrs } t' x' \subseteq \text{insert} (\text{thread-id2addr } t) (\text{kas } es \cup \text{ka-locals } (\text{lcl } s) \cup \text{set start-addrs})$ 
proof(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
  case RedCallExternal thus ?case
    apply clar simp
    apply(frule (1) red-external-new-thread-sub-thread)
    apply(frule (1) red-external-NewThread-idD)
    apply clar simp

    apply(drule (1) addr2thread-id-inverse)
    apply simp
    apply(drule sub-Thread-sees-run[OF wf])
    apply clar simp
    apply(auto 4 4 dest: sees-wf-mdecl[OF wf] WT-ka simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
    done
  next
    case (BlockRed e h l V vo ta e' h' l')
      thus ?case using ka-locals-update-subset[where xs = l and V = V] ka-locals-update-subset[where xs = l' and V = V]
        by(cases l V)(auto simp del: fun-upd-apply)
      qed(simp-all, blast+)

  lemma red-New-same-addr-same:
     $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle;$ 
     $\{\text{ta}\}_o ! i = \text{NewHeapElem } a x; i < \text{length } \{\text{ta}\}_o;$ 
     $\{\text{ta}\}_o ! j = \text{NewHeapElem } a x'; j < \text{length } \{\text{ta}\}_o \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies i = j$ 
  and reds-New-same-addr-same:
     $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle;$ 
     $\{\text{ta}\}_o ! i = \text{NewHeapElem } a x; i < \text{length } \{\text{ta}\}_o;$ 
     $\{\text{ta}\}_o ! j = \text{NewHeapElem } a x'; j < \text{length } \{\text{ta}\}_o \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies i = j$ 
  apply(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
  apply(auto dest: red-external-New-same-addr-same simp add: nth-Cons split: nat.split-asm)
  done

end

locale J-allocated-heap = allocated-heap +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set

```

```

and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and P :: 'addr J-prog

sublocale J-allocated-heap < J-heap
by(unfold-locales)

context J-allocated-heap begin

lemma red-allocated-mono:  $P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle \implies \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s) \subseteq \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s')$ 
  and reds-allocated-mono:  $P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle \implies \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s) \subseteq \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s')$ 
by(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)(auto dest: allocate-allocatedD heap-write-allocated-same red-external-allocated-mono del: subsetI)

lemma red-allocatedD:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{NewHeapElem } ad \text{ CTn} \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket \implies ad \in \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s') \wedge ad \notin \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s)$ 
  and reds-allocatedD:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{NewHeapElem } ad \text{ CTn} \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket \implies ad \in \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s') \wedge ad \notin \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s)$ 
by(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)(auto dest: allocate-allocatedD heap-write-allocated-same red-external-allocatedD)

lemma red-allocated-NewHeapElemD:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; ad \in \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s'); ad \notin \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s) \rrbracket \implies \exists \text{CTn}. \text{NewHeapElem } ad \text{ CTn} \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o$ 
  and reds-allocated-NewHeapElemD:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; ad \in \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s'); ad \notin \text{allocated } (\text{hp } s) \rrbracket \implies \exists \text{CTn}. \text{NewHeapElem } ad \text{ CTn} \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o$ 
by(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)(auto dest: allocate-allocatedD heap-write-allocated-same red-external-NewHeapElemD)

lemma mred-allocated-multithreaded:
  allocated-multithreaded addr2thread-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-write allocated final-expr (mred P) P
proof
  fix t x m ta x' m'
  assume mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  thus allocated m  $\subseteq$  allocated m'
    by(auto dest: red-allocated-mono del: subsetI simp add: split-beta)
next
  fix x t m ta x' m' ad CTn
  assume mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
    and NewHeapElem ad CTn  $\in$  set {ta}_o
  thus ad  $\in$  allocated m'  $\wedge$  ad  $\notin$  allocated m
    by(auto dest: red-allocatedD simp add: split-beta)
next
  fix t x m ta x' m' ad
  assume mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
    and ad  $\in$  allocated m' ad  $\notin$  allocated m
  thus  $\exists \text{CTn}. \text{NewHeapElem } ad \text{ CTn} \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o$ 
    by(auto dest: red-allocated-NewHeapElemD simp add: split-beta)
next
  fix t x m ta x' m' i a CTn j CTn'
  assume mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')

```

```

and  $\{ta\}_o ! i = \text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ } CTn \text{ } i < \text{length } \{ta\}_o$ 
and  $\{ta\}_o ! j = \text{NewHeapElem } a \text{ } CTn' \text{ } j < \text{length } \{ta\}_o$ 
thus  $i = j$  by(auto dest: red-New-same-addr-same simp add: split-beta)
qed

end

sublocale  $J\text{-allocated-heap} < \text{red-mthr}: \text{allocated-multithreaded}$ 
   $\text{addr2thread-id } \text{thread-id2addr}$ 
   $\text{spurious-wakeups}$ 
   $\text{empty-heap } \text{allocate } \text{typeof-addr } \text{heap-read } \text{heap-write } \text{allocated}$ 
   $\text{final-expr } mred \text{ } P$ 
   $P$ 
by(rule mred-allocated-multithreaded)

context  $J\text{-allocated-heap}$  begin

lemma mred-known-addrs:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog  $P$ 
  and ok: start-heap-ok
  shows known-addrs addr2thread-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-write allocated  $J\text{-known-addrs}$  final-expr (mred  $P$ )  $P$ 
proof
  fix  $t \text{ } x \text{ } m \text{ } ta \text{ } x' \text{ } m'$ 
  assume mred  $P \text{ } t \text{ } (x, m) \text{ } ta \text{ } (x', m')$ 
  thus  $J\text{-known-addrs } t \text{ } x' \subseteq J\text{-known-addrs } t \text{ } x \cup \text{new-obs-addrs } \{ta\}_o$ 
    by(auto del: subsetI simp add: split-beta dest: red-known-addrs-mono[OF wf ok])
next
  fix  $t \text{ } x \text{ } m \text{ } ta \text{ } x' \text{ } m' \text{ } t' \text{ } x'' \text{ } m''$ 
  assume mred  $P \text{ } t \text{ } (x, m) \text{ } ta \text{ } (x', m')$ 
  and NewThread  $t' \text{ } x'' \text{ } m'' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t$ 
  thus  $J\text{-known-addrs } t' \text{ } x'' \subseteq J\text{-known-addrs } t \text{ } x$ 
    by(auto del: subsetI simp add: split-beta dest: red-known-addrs-new-thread[OF wf ok])
next
  fix  $t \text{ } x \text{ } m \text{ } ta \text{ } x' \text{ } m' \text{ } ad \text{ } al \text{ } v$ 
  assume mred  $P \text{ } t \text{ } (x, m) \text{ } ta \text{ } (x', m')$ 
  and ReadMem  $ad \text{ } al \text{ } v \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o$ 
  thus  $ad \in J\text{-known-addrs } t \text{ } x$ 
    by(auto simp add: split-beta dest: red-known-addrs-ReadMem)
next
  fix  $t \text{ } x \text{ } m \text{ } ta \text{ } x' \text{ } m' \text{ } n \text{ } ad \text{ } al \text{ } ad'$ 
  assume mred  $P \text{ } t \text{ } (x, m) \text{ } ta \text{ } (x', m')$ 
  and  $\{ta\}_o ! n = \text{WriteMem } ad \text{ } al \text{ } (\text{Addr } ad') \text{ } n < \text{length } \{ta\}_o$ 
  thus  $ad' \in J\text{-known-addrs } t \text{ } x \vee ad' \in \text{new-obs-addrs } (\text{take } n \{ta\}_o)$ 
    by(auto simp add: split-beta dest: red-known-addrs-WriteMem)
qed

end

context  $J\text{-heap}$  begin

lemma red-read-typeable:
   $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA } \text{extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; P, E, hp \text{ } s \vdash e : T; \text{ReadMem } ad \text{ } al \text{ } v \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$ 

```

```

 $\implies \exists T'. P, hp s \vdash ad @ al : T'$ 
and reds-read-typeable:
  [ convert-extTA extTA, P, t  $\vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle$ ; P, E, hp s  $\vdash es [:] Ts$ ; ReadMem ad al v  $\in$  set  $\{ta\}_o$  ]
 $\implies \exists T'. P, hp s \vdash ad @ al : T'$ 
proof(induct arbitrary: E T and E Ts rule: red-reds.inducts)
  case RedAAcc thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: nat-less-iff word-sle-eq)
next
  case RedFAcc thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
next
  case RedCASSucceed thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
next
  case RedCASFail thus ?case
    by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
qed auto

end

primrec new-types :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp  $\Rightarrow$  ty set
  and new-typess :: ('a, 'b, 'addr) exp list  $\Rightarrow$  ty set
where
  new-types (new C) = {Class C}
  | new-types (newA T[e]) = insert (T[]) (new-types e)
  | new-types (Cast T e) = new-types e
  | new-types (e instanceof T) = new-types e
  | new-types (Val v) = {}
  | new-types (Var V) = {}
  | new-types (e1 «bop» e2) = new-types e1  $\cup$  new-types e2
  | new-types (V := e) = new-types e
  | new-types (a[e]) = new-types a  $\cup$  new-types e
  | new-types (a[e] := e') = new-types a  $\cup$  new-types e  $\cup$  new-types e'
  | new-types (a.length) = new-types a
  | new-types (e.F{D}) = new-types e
  | new-types (e.F{D} := e') = new-types e  $\cup$  new-types e'
  | new-types (e.compareAndSwap(D.F, e', e'')) = new-types e  $\cup$  new-types e'  $\cup$  new-types e''
  | new-types (e.M(es)) = new-types e  $\cup$  new-typess es
  | new-types {V:T=vo; e} = new-types e
  | new-types (Synchronized x e e') = new-types e  $\cup$  new-types e'
  | new-types (InSynchronized x a e) = new-types e
  | new-types (e;; e') = new-types e  $\cup$  new-types e'
  | new-types (if (e) e1 else e2) = new-types e  $\cup$  new-types e1  $\cup$  new-types e2
  | new-types (while (b) e) = new-types b  $\cup$  new-types e
  | new-types (throw e) = new-types e
  | new-types (try e catch(C V) e') = new-types e  $\cup$  new-types e'
  |
  | new-typess [] = {}
  | new-typess (e # es) = new-types e  $\cup$  new-typess es

```

```

lemma new-types-blocks:
   $\llbracket \text{length } pns = \text{length } Ts; \text{length } vs = \text{length } Ts \rrbracket \implies \text{new-types}(\text{blocks } pns \text{ } vs \text{ } Ts \text{ } e) = \text{new-types } e$ 
  apply(induct rule: blocks.induct)
  apply(simp-all)
  done

context J-heap-base begin

lemma WTrt-new-types-types: P,E,h ⊢ e : T  $\implies$  new-types e  $\subseteq$  types P
  and WTrts-new-typess-types: P,E,h ⊢ es [:] Ts  $\implies$  new-typess es  $\subseteq$  types P
  by(induct rule: WTrt-WTrts.inducts) simp-all

end

lemma WT-new-types-types: P,E ⊢ e :: T  $\implies$  new-types e  $\subseteq$  types P
  and WTs-new-typess-types: P,E ⊢ es [:] Ts  $\implies$  new-typess es  $\subseteq$  types P
  by(induct rule: WT-WTs.inducts) simp-all

context J-heap-conf begin

lemma red-New-typeof-addrD:
   $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extTA,P,t} \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; \text{new-types } e \subseteq \text{types } P; hconf(hp s); \text{NewHeapElem } a \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{typeof-addr}(hp s') a = \text{Some } x$ 
  and reds-New-typeof-addrD:
   $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extTA,P,t} \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; \text{new-typess } es \subseteq \text{types } P; hconf(hp s); \text{NewHeapElem } a \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{typeof-addr}(hp s') a = \text{Some } x$ 
  apply(induct rule: red-reds.inducts)
  apply(auto dest: allocate-SomeD red-external-New-typeof-addrD)
  done

lemma J-conf-read-heap-read-typed:
  J-conf-read addr2thread-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr (heap-read-typed P) heap-write hconf P
  proof -
    interpret conf: heap-conf-read
      addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
      spurious-wakeups
      empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read-typed P heap-write hconf
      P
      by(rule heap-conf-read-heap-read-typed)
      show ?thesis by(unfold-locales)
    qed

lemma red-non-speculative-vs-conf:
   $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extTA,P,t} \vdash \langle e, s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; P,E,hp s \vdash e : T;$ 
   $\text{non-speculative } P \text{ } vs \text{ } (\text{llist-of } (\text{take } n \text{ } (\text{map NormalAction } \{ta\}_o))); vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } (hp s) \text{ } vs; hconf(hp s) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } (hp s') \text{ } (w\text{-values } P \text{ } vs \text{ } (\text{take } n \text{ } (\text{map NormalAction } \{ta\}_o)))$ 
  and reds-non-speculative-vs-conf:
   $\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extTA,P,t} \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; P,E,hp s \vdash es [:] Ts;$ 
   $\text{non-speculative } P \text{ } vs \text{ } (\text{llist-of } (\text{take } n \text{ } (\text{map NormalAction } \{ta\}_o))); vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } (hp s) \text{ } vs; hconf(hp s) \rrbracket$ 

```

$\implies vs\text{-conf } P \ (hp \ s') \ (w\text{-values } P \ vs \ (take \ n \ (map \ NormalAction \ \{ta\}_o)))$

proof(*induct arbitrary: E T and E Ts rule: red-reds.inducts*)

case (*RedAAss h a U n i w V h' xs*)

from $\langle \text{sint } i < \text{int } n \rangle \ \langle 0 <= s \rangle \ \text{have } \text{nat} \ (\text{sint } i) < n$

by (*simp add: word-sle-eq nat-less-iff*)

with $\langle \text{typeof-addr } h \ a = [\text{Array-type } U \ n] \rangle \ \text{have } P, h \vdash a @ ACell \ (\text{nat} \ (\text{sint } i)) : U$

by(*auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros*)

moreover from $\langle \text{heap-write } h \ a \ (ACell \ (\text{nat} \ (\text{sint } i))) \ w \ h' \rangle \ \text{have } h \trianglelefteq h' \ \text{by}(\text{rule hext-heap-write})$

ultimately have $P, h' \vdash a @ ACell \ (\text{nat} \ (\text{sint } i)) : U \ \text{by}(\text{rule addr-loc-type-hext-mono})$

moreover from $\langle \text{typeof } h \ w = [V] \rangle \ \langle P \vdash V \leq U \rangle \ \text{have } P, h \vdash w : \leq U \ \text{by}(\text{simp add: conf-def})$

with $\langle h \trianglelefteq h' \rangle \ \text{have } P, h' \vdash w : \leq U \ \text{by}(\text{rule conf-hext})$

ultimately have $\exists T. P, h' \vdash a @ ACell \ (\text{nat} \ (\text{sint } i)) : T \wedge P, h' \vdash w : \leq T \ \text{by} \ blast$

thus ?case **using** RedAAss

by(*auto intro!: vs-confI split: if-split-asm dest: vs-confD simp add: take-Cons')(blast dest: vs-confD hext-heap-write intro: addr-loc-type-hext-mono conf-hext)+*

next

case (*RedFAss h e D F v h' xs*)

hence $\exists T. P, h' \vdash e @ CField \ D \ F : T \wedge P, h' \vdash v : \leq T$

by(*force dest!: hext-heap-write intro!: addr-loc-type.intros intro: typeof-addr-hext-mono type-of-hext-type-of simp add: conf-def*)

thus ?case **using** RedFAss

by(*auto intro!: vs-confI simp add: take-Cons' split: if-split-asm dest: vs-confD)(blast dest: vs-confD hext-heap-write intro: addr-loc-type-hext-mono conf-hext)+*

next

case (*RedCASSucceed h a D F v v' h' l*)

hence $\exists T. P, h' \vdash a @ CField \ D \ F : T \wedge P, h' \vdash v' : \leq T$

by(*force dest!: hext-heap-write intro!: addr-loc-type.intros intro: typeof-addr-hext-mono type-of-hext-type-of simp add: conf-def take-Cons'*)

thus ?case **using** RedCASSucceed

by(*auto simp add: take-Cons' split: if-split-asm dest: vs-confD intro!: vs-confI)*
(blast dest: vs-confD hext-heap-write intro: addr-loc-type-hext-mono conf-hext)+

next

case *RedCallExternal* **thus** ?case **by**(*auto intro: red-external-non-speculative-vs-conf*)

qed(*auto dest: vs-conf-allocate hext-allocate intro: vs-conf-hext simp add: take-Cons'*)

lemma *red-non-speculative-typeable*:

$\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle e, s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', s' \rangle; P, E, hp \ s \vdash e : T; \text{non-speculative } P \ vs \ (\text{llist-of } (\text{map NormalAction } \{ta\}_o)); vs\text{-conf } P \ (hp \ s) \ vs; hconf \ (hp \ s) \rrbracket \implies J\text{-heap-base.red addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate typeof-addr} (heap\text{-read-typed } P) \ \text{heap-write} \ (\text{convert-extTA extTA}) \ P \ t \ e \ s \ ta \ e' \ s'$

and *reds-non-speculative-typeable*:

$\llbracket \text{convert-extTA extTA}, P, t \vdash \langle es, s \rangle [-ta \rightarrow] \langle es', s' \rangle; P, E, hp \ s \vdash es [:] Ts; \text{non-speculative } P \ vs \ (\text{llist-of } (\text{map NormalAction } \{ta\}_o)); vs\text{-conf } P \ (hp \ s) \ vs; hconf \ (hp \ s) \rrbracket \implies J\text{-heap-base.reds addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate typeof-addr} (heap\text{-read-typed } P) \ \text{heap-write} \ (\text{convert-extTA extTA}) \ P \ t \ es \ s \ ta \ es' \ s'$

proof(*induct arbitrary: E T and E Ts rule: red-reds.inducts*)

case *RedCall* **thus** ?case **by**(*blast intro: J-heap-base.red-reds.RedCall*)

next

case *RedCallExternal* **thus** ?case

by(*auto intro: J-heap-base.red-reds.RedCallExternal red-external-non-speculative-typeable*)

qed(*auto intro: J-heap-base.red-reds.intros intro!: heap-read-typedI dest: vs-confD addr-loc-type-fun*)

end

```

sublocale J-heap-base < red-mthr:
  if-multithreaded
  final-expr
  mred P
  convert-RA
  for P
  by(unfold-locales)
locale J-allocated-heap-conf =
  J-heap-conf
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write hconf
  P
  +
  J-allocated-heap
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  allocated
  P
  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr → htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
  and hconf :: 'heap ⇒ bool
  and allocated :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr set
  and P :: 'addr J-prog
begin

lemma mred-known-addrs-typing:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and ok: start-heap-ok
  shows known-addrs-typing addr2thread-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-write
  allocated J-known-addrs final-expr (mred P) (λt x h. ∃ ET. sconf-type-ok ET t x h) P
proof -
  interpret known-addrs
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
    allocated J-known-addrs
    final-expr mred P P
    using wf ok by(rule mred-known-addrs)

  show ?thesis
proof
  fix t x m ta x' m'
  assume mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  thus m ⊑ m' by(auto dest: red-hext-incr simp add: split-beta)

```

```

next
  fix t x m ta x' m' vs
  assume red: mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
    and ts-ok:  $\exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t x m$ 
    and vs: vs-conf P m vs
    and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction {ta}_o))
  let ?mred = J-heap-base.mred addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate
    typeof-addr (heap-read-typed P) heap-write P

  have lift: lifting-inv final-expr ?mred sconf-type-ok
    by(intro J-conf-read.lifting-inv-sconf-subject-ok J-conf-read-heap-read-typed wf)
  moreover
    from ts-ok obtain ET where type: sconf-type-ok ET t x m ..
    with red vs ns have red': ?mred t (x, m) ta (x', m')
    by(auto simp add: split-beta sconf-type-ok-def sconf-def type-ok-def dest: red-non-speculative-typeable)
    ultimately have sconf-type-ok ET t x' m' using type
      by(rule lifting-inv.invariant-red[where r=?mred])
    thus  $\exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t x' m'$  ..
    { fix t'' x'' m''
      assume New: NewThread t'' x'' m''  $\in$  set {ta}_t
      with red have m'' = snd (x', m') by(rule red-mthr.new-thread-memory)
      with lift red' type New
      show  $\exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t'' x'' m''$ 
        by-(rule lifting-inv.invariant-NewThread[where r=?mred], simp-all) }
    { fix t'' x''
      assume  $\exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t'' x'' m$ 
      with lifting-inv.invariant-other[where r=?mred, OF lift red' type]
      show  $\exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t'' x'' m'$  by blast }

next
  fix t x m ta x' m' vs n
  assume red: mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
    and ts-ok:  $\exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t x m$ 
    and vs: vs-conf P m vs
    and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (take n (map NormalAction {ta}_o)))
  thus vs-conf P m' (w-values P vs (take n (map NormalAction {ta}_o)))
  by(cases x)(auto dest: red-non-speculative-vs-conf simp add: sconf-type-ok-def type-ok-def sconf-def)
next
  fix t x m ta x' m' ad al v
  assume mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
    and  $\exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t x m$ 
    and ReadMem ad al v  $\in$  set {ta}_o
  thus  $\exists T. P, m \vdash ad @ al : T$ 
    by(fastforce simp add: sconf-type-ok-def type-ok-def sconf-def split-beta dest: red-read-typeable)
next
  fix t x m ta x' m' ad hT
  assume mred P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
    and  $\exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t x m$ 
    and NewHeapElem ad hT  $\in$  set {ta}_o
  thus typeof-addr m' ad = [hT]
  by(auto dest: red-New-typeof-addrD[where x=hT] dest!: WTrt-new-types-types simp add: split-beta
    sconf-type-ok-def sconf-def type-ok-def)
  qed
qed

```

```

end

context J-allocated-heap-conf begin

lemma executions-sc:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
  and vs2:  $\bigcup (\text{ka-Val} \setminus \text{set } vs) \subseteq \text{set start-addrs}$ 
  shows executions-sc-hb (J-E P C M vs status) P
  (is executions-sc-hb ?E P)
proof -
  from wf-start obtain Ts T pns body D where ok: start-heap-ok
  and sees: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts → T = [(pns, body)] in D
  and vs1: P,start-heap ⊢ vs [≤] Ts by cases auto

  interpret known-addrs-typing
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
    allocated J-known-addrs
    final-expr mred P λt x h. ∃ ET. sconf-type-ok ET t x h P
    using wf ok by(rule mred-known-addrs-typing)

  from wf-prog-wf-syscls[OF wf] J-start-state-sconf-type-ok[OF wf wf-start]
  show ?thesis
  proof(rule executions-sc-hb)
    from wf sees have wf-mdecl wf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, [(pns, body)]) by(rule sees-wf-mdecl)
    then obtain T' where len1: length pns = length Ts and wt: P,[this→Class D,pns [→] Ts] ⊢ body :: T'
    by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
    from vs1 have len2: length vs = length Ts by(rule list-all2-lengthD)
    show J-known-addrs start-tid ((λ(pns, body) vs. (blocks (this # pns) (Class (fst (method P C M)) # fst (snd (method P C M)))) (Null # vs) body, Map.empty)) (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))) vs) ⊆ allocated start-heap
    using sees vs2 len1 len2 WT-ka[OF wt]
    by(auto simp add: split-beta start-addrs-allocated ka-blocks intro: start-tid-start-addrs[OF wf-prog-wf-syscls[OF wf] ok])
    qed
  qed

end

declare split-paired-Ex [simp del]

context J-progress begin

lemma ex-WTrt-simps:
  P,E,h ⊢ e : T ⟹ ∃ E T. P,E,h ⊢ e : T
by blast

abbreviation (input) J-non-speculative-read-bound :: nat
  where J-non-speculative-read-bound ≡ 2

```

lemma assumes $hrt: \text{heap-read-typeable } hconf P$
and $vs: vs\text{-conf } P (\text{shr } s) vs$
and $hconf: hconf (\text{shr } s)$
shows red-non-speculative-read:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\![P, t \vdash \langle e, (\text{shr } s, xs) \rangle \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle; \exists E T. P, E, \text{shr } s \vdash e : T; \\ & \quad \text{red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok } s t ta; \\ & \quad I < \text{length } \{\{ta\}_o\}; \{\{ta\}_o\} ! I = \text{ReadMem } a'' al'' v; v' \in w\text{-values } P vs (\text{map NormalAction (take } I \\ & \quad \{\{ta\}_o\})) (a'', al''); \\ & \quad \text{non-speculative } P vs (\text{llist-of } (\text{map NormalAction (take } I \{\{ta\}_o\})))] \\ & \implies \exists ta' e'' xs'' h''. P, t \vdash \langle e, (\text{shr } s, xs) \rangle \rightarrow \langle e'', (h'', xs'') \rangle \wedge \\ & \quad \text{red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok } s t ta' \wedge \\ & \quad I < \text{length } \{\{ta'\}_o\} \wedge \text{take } I \{\{ta'\}_o\} = \text{take } I \{\{ta\}_o\} \wedge \\ & \quad \{\{ta'\}_o\} ! I = \text{ReadMem } a'' al'' v' \wedge \text{length } \{\{ta'\}_o\} \leq \max J\text{-non-speculative-read-bound } (\text{length } \\ & \quad \{\{ta\}_o\}) \\ & \quad \text{and reds-non-speculative-read:} \\ & \quad [\![P, t \vdash \langle es, (\text{shr } s, xs) \rangle \rightarrow \langle es', (h', xs') \rangle; \exists E Ts. P, E, \text{shr } s \vdash es [:] Ts; \\ & \quad \text{red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok } s t ta; \\ & \quad I < \text{length } \{\{ta\}_o\}; \{\{ta\}_o\} ! I = \text{ReadMem } a'' al'' v; v' \in w\text{-values } P vs (\text{map NormalAction (take } I \\ & \quad \{\{ta\}_o\})) (a'', al''); \\ & \quad \text{non-speculative } P vs (\text{llist-of } (\text{map NormalAction (take } I \{\{ta\}_o\})))] \\ & \implies \exists ta' es'' xs'' h''. P, t \vdash \langle es, (\text{shr } s, xs) \rangle \rightarrow \langle es'', (h'', xs'') \rangle \wedge \\ & \quad \text{red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok } s t ta' \wedge \\ & \quad I < \text{length } \{\{ta'\}_o\} \wedge \text{take } I \{\{ta'\}_o\} = \text{take } I \{\{ta\}_o\} \wedge \\ & \quad \{\{ta'\}_o\} ! I = \text{ReadMem } a'' al'' v' \wedge \text{length } \{\{ta'\}_o\} \leq \max J\text{-non-speculative-read-bound } (\text{length } \\ & \quad \{\{ta\}_o\}) \end{aligned}$$

proof (induct e $\text{hxs} \equiv (\text{shr } s, xs) \text{ ta } e' \text{ hxs}' \equiv (h', xs')$
and $es \text{ hxs} \equiv (\text{shr } s, xs) \text{ ta } es' \text{ hxs}' \equiv (h', xs')$
arbitrary: $xs \text{ xs}'$ **and** $xs \text{ xs}'$ **rule:** red-reds.inducts)

case ($\text{RedAcc } a U n i v e$)
hence [simp]: $I = 0 al'' = \text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i)) a'' = a$
and $v': v' \in vs (a, \text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i)))$ **by** simp-all
from RedAcc **have** $adal: P, \text{shr } s \vdash a @ \text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i)) : U$
by (auto intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: nat-less-iff word-sle-eq)
from $v' \text{ vs adal}$ **have** $P, \text{shr } s \vdash v' : \leq U$ **by** (auto dest!: vs-confD dest: addr-loc-type-fun)
with $hrt \text{ adal}$ **have** $\text{heap-read } (\text{shr } s) a (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i))) v'$ **using** $hconf$ **by** (rule heap-read-typeableD)
with $\langle \text{typeof-addr } (\text{shr } s) a = \lfloor \text{Array-type } U n \rfloor, \langle 0 \leq s i \leq \text{sint } i < \text{int } n \rangle$
 $\langle \text{red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok } s t \{ \text{ReadMem } a (\text{ACell } (\text{nat } (\text{sint } i))) v' \} \rangle$
show ?case **by** (fastforce intro: red-reds.RedAcc)

next

case ($\text{RedFAcc } a D F v$)
hence [simp]: $I = 0 al'' = \text{CField } D F a'' = a$
and $v': v' \in vs (a, \text{CField } D F)$ **by** simp-all
from RedFAcc **obtain** $E T$ **where** $P, E, \text{shr } s \vdash \text{addr } a \cdot F \{ D \} : T$ **by** blast
with RedFAcc **have** $adal: P, \text{shr } s \vdash a @ \text{CField } D F : T$ **by** (auto 4 4 intro: addr-loc-type.intros)
from $v' \text{ vs adal}$ **have** $P, \text{shr } s \vdash v' : \leq T$ **by** (auto dest!: vs-confD dest: addr-loc-type-fun)
with $hrt \text{ adal}$ **have** $\text{heap-read } (\text{shr } s) a (\text{CField } D F) v'$ **using** $hconf$ **by** (rule heap-read-typeableD)
with $\langle \text{red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok } s t \{ \text{ReadMem } a (\text{CField } D F) v' \} \rangle$
show ?case **by** (fastforce intro: red-reds.RedFAcc)

next

case ($\text{RedCASSucceed } a D F v'' v'''$)
hence [simp]: $I = 0 al'' = \text{CField } D F a'' = a v'' = v$
and $v': v' \in vs (a, \text{CField } D F)$ **by** (auto simp add: take-Cons' split: if-split-asm)
from $\text{RedCASSucceed.preds}(1)$ **obtain** $E T$ **where**
 $P, E, \text{shr } s \vdash \text{addr } a \cdot \text{compareAndSwap}(D \cdot F, \text{Val } v'', \text{Val } v''') : T$ **by** clarify

```

then obtain T where adal: P,shr s ⊢ a@CFIELD D F : T
  and v'': P,shr s ⊢ v'' :≤ T and v'''': P,shr s ⊢ v''' :≤ T
    by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: conf-def)
from v' vs adal have P,shr s ⊢ v' :≤ T by(auto dest!: vs-confD dest: addr-loc-type-fun)
from hrt adal this hconf have read: heap-read (shr s) a (CFIELD D F) v' by(rule heap-read-typeableD)
show ?case
proof(cases v' = v'')
  case True
  then show ?thesis using RedCASSucceed
    by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedCASSucceed)
next
  case False
  then show ?thesis using read RedCASSucceed
    by(fastforce intro: RedCASFail)
qed
next
  case (RedCASFail a D F v'' v''' v''')
  hence [simp]: I = 0 al'' = CFIELD D F a'' = a v'' = v
    and v': v' ∈ vs (a, CFIELD D F) by(auto simp add: take-Cons' split: if-split-asm)
from RedCASFail.prems(1) obtain E T where
  P,E,shr s ⊢ addr a.compareAndSwap(D,F, Val v'', Val v''') : T by(iprover)
then obtain T where adal: P,shr s ⊢ a@CFIELD D F : T
  and v''': P,shr s ⊢ v''' :≤ T and v''''': P,shr s ⊢ v'''' :≤ T
    by(fastforce intro: addr-loc-type.intros simp add: conf-def)
from v' vs adal have P,shr s ⊢ v' :≤ T by(auto dest!: vs-confD dest: addr-loc-type-fun)
from hrt adal this hconf have read: heap-read (shr s) a (CFIELD D F) v' by(rule heap-read-typeableD)
show ?case
proof(cases v' = v'''')
  case True
  from heap-write-total[OF hconf adal v'''] obtain h' where
    heap-write (shr s) a (CFIELD D F) v'''' h' ..
  with read RedCASFail True show ?thesis
    by(fastforce intro: RedCASSucceed)
next
  case False
  with read RedCASFail show ?thesis by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedCASFail)
qed
next
  case (RedCallExternal a U M Ts Tr D ps ta' va h' ta e')
  from ⟨P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(ps),hp (shr s, xs)⟩ − ta' → ext ⟨va,h'⟩⟩
  have red: P,t ⊢ ⟨a·M(ps),shr s⟩ − ta' → ext ⟨va,h'⟩ by simp
  from RedCallExternal have aok: red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s t ta' by simp
  from RedCallExternal have I < length {ta'}_o
    and {ta'}_o ! I = ReadMem a'' al'' v
    and v' ∈ w-values P vs (map NormalAction (take I {ta'}_o)) (a'', al'')
    and non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction (take I {ta'}_o))) by simp-all
from red-external-non-speculative-read[OF hrt vs red aok hconf this]
  ⟨typeof-addr (hp (shr s, xs)) a = ⌊U⌋⟩
  ⟨P ⊢ class-type-of U sees M: Ts → Tr = Native in D⟩ ⟨ta = extTA2J P ta'⟩
  ⟨I < length {ta'}_o⟩
show ?case by(fastforce intro: red-reds.RedCallExternal)
next
  case NewArrayRed thus ?case by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro:
  red-reds.NewArrayRed)

```

```

next
  case CastRed thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.CastRed)
next
  case InstanceOfRed thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.InstanceOfRed)
next
  case BinOpRed1 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.BinOpRed1)
next
  case BinOpRed2 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.BinOpRed2)
next
  case LAssRed thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.LAssRed)
next
  case AAccRed1 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.AAccRed1)
next
  case AAccRed2 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.AAccRed2)
next
  case AAssRed1 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.AAssRed1)
next
  case AAssRed2 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.AAssRed2)
next
  case AAssRed3 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.AAssRed3)+
next
  case ALengthRed thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds. ALengtRed)
next
  case FAccRed thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.FAccRed)
next
  case FAssRed1 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.FAssRed1)
next
  case FAssRed2 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.FAssRed2)
next
  case CASRed1 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.CASRed1)
next
  case CASRed2 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.CASRed2)
next
  case CASRed3 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.CASRed3)
next
  case CallObj thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.CallObj)

```

```

next
  case CallParams thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.CallParams)
next
  case BlockRed thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(fastforce intro: red-reds.BlockRed)+
next
  case SynchronizedRed1 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.SynchronizedRed1)
next
  case SynchronizedRed2 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.SynchronizedRed2)
next
  case SeqRed thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.SeqRed)
next
  case CondRed thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.CondRed)
next
  case ThrowRed thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.ThrowRed)
next
  case TryRed thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.TryRed)
next
  case ListRed1 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.ListRed1)
next
  case ListRed2 thus ?case
    by(clarsimp simp add: split-paired-Ex ex-WTrt-simps)(blast intro: red-reds.ListRed2)
qed(simp-all)

end

sublocale J-allocated-heap-conf < if-known-addrs-base
  J-known-addrs
  final-expr mred P convert-RA
  .
  .

declare split-paired-Ex [simp]
declare eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps [simp del]

locale J-allocated-progress =
  J-progress
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write hconf
  P
  +
  J-allocated-heap-conf
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups

```

```

empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write hconf
allocated
P
for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) => 'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id => 'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap => htype => ('heap × 'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap => 'addr → htype
and heap-read :: 'heap => 'addr => addr-loc => 'addr val => bool
and heap-write :: 'heap => 'addr => addr-loc => 'addr val => 'heap => bool
and hconf :: 'heap => bool
and allocated :: 'heap => 'addr set
and P :: 'addr J-prog
begin

lemma non-speculative-read:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and ka: ∪(ka-Val ' set vs) ⊆ set start-addrs
shows red-mthr.if.non-speculative-read J-non-speculative-read-bound
  (init-fin-lift-state status (J-start-state P C M vs))
  (w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)))
  (is red-mthr.if.non-speculative-read - ?start-state ?start-vs)
proof(rule red-mthr.if.non-speculative-readI)
fix ttas s' t x ta x' m' i ad al v v'
assume τRed: red-mthr.mthr.if.RedT P ?start-state ttas s'
  and sc: non-speculative P ?start-vs (llist-of (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)))
  and ts't: thr s' t = [(x, no-wait-locks)]
  and red: red-mthr.init-fin P t (x, shr s') ta (x', m')
  and aok: red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta
  and i: i < length {ta}o
  and ns': non-speculative P (w-values P ?start-vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas))) (llist-of
  (take i {ta}o))
  and read: {ta}o ! i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
  and v': v' ∈ w-values P ?start-vs (concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas) @ take i {ta}o) (ad, al)

from wf-start obtain Ts T pns body D where ok: start-heap-ok
  and sees: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T = [(pns, body)] in D
  and conf: P,start-heap ⊢ vs [:≤] Ts by cases auto

let ?conv = λttas. concat (map (λ(t, ta). {ta}o) ttas)
let ?vs' = w-values P ?start-vs (?conv ttas)
let ?wt-ok = init-fin-lift-inv sconf-type-ok
let ?ET-start = J-sconf-type-ET-start P C M
let ?start-obs = map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)
let ?start-state = init-fin-lift-state status (J-start-state P C M vs)

interpret known-addrs-typing
addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
allocated J-known-addrs

```

```

final-expr mred P  $\lambda t x h. \exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t x h P$ 
using wf ok by(rule mred-known-addrs-typing)

from wf sees have wf-mdecl wf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T,  $\lfloor(pns, body)\rfloor$ ) by(rule sees-wf-mdecl)
then obtain T' where len1: length pns = length Ts and wt:  $P, [this \mapsto \text{Class } D, pns \mapsto] Ts \vdash body :: T'$ 
by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
from conf have len2: length vs = length Ts by(rule list-all2-lengthD)

from wf wf-start have ts-ok-start: ts-ok (init-fin-lift ( $\lambda t x h. \exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t x h$ )) (thr ?start-state) (shr ?start-state)
unfolding ts-ok-init-fin-lift-init-fin-lift-state shr-start-state by(rule J-start-state-sconf-type-ok)
have sc': non-speculative P ?start-vs (lmap snd (lconcat (lmap ( $\lambda(t, ta). llist\text{-}of (map (Pair t) \{ta\}_o))$  (llist-of ttas)))) by(simp add: lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp o-def split-def lconcat-llist-of[symmetric])
using sc by(simp add: lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp o-def split-def lconcat-llist-of[symmetric])

from start-state-vs-conf[OF wf-prog-wf-syscls[OF wf]]
have vs-conf-start: vs-conf P (shr ?start-state) ?start-vs
by(simp add: init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps start-state-def split-beta)
with  $\tau$ Red ts-ok-start sc
have wt': ts-ok (init-fin-lift ( $\lambda t x h. \exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t x h$ )) (thr s') (shr s')
and vs': vs-conf P (shr s') ?vs' by(rule if-RedT-non-speculative-invar)+

from red i read obtain e xs e' xs' ta'
where x:  $x = (\text{Running}, e, xs)$  and x':  $x' = (\text{Running}, e', xs')$ 
and ta:  $ta = \text{convert-TA-initial}(\text{convert-obs-initial} ta')$ 
and red':  $P, t \vdash \langle e, (\text{shr } s', xs) \rangle - ta' \rightarrow \langle e', (m', xs') \rangle$ 
by cases fastforce+

from ts't wt' x obtain E T where wte:  $P, E, \text{shr } s' \vdash e : T$ 
and hconf: hconf (shr s')
by(auto dest!: ts-okD simp add: sconf-type-ok-def sconf-def type-ok-def)

have aok': red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta' using aok unfolding ta by simp

from i read v' ta ns' have i < length \{ta'\}_o and \{ta'\}_o ! i = ReadMem ad al v
and v' \in w-values P ?vs' (map NormalAction (take i \{ta'\}_o)) (ad, al)
and non-speculative P ?vs' (llist-of (map NormalAction (take i \{ta'\}_o)))
by(simp-all add: take-map)

from red-non-speculative-read[OF hrt vs' hconf red' - aok' this] wte
obtain ta'' e'' xs'' h'' where red'':  $P, t \vdash \langle e, (\text{shr } s', xs) \rangle - ta'' \rightarrow \langle e'', (h'', xs'') \rangle$ 
and aok'': red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta''
and i'':  $i < \text{length } \{ta'\}_o$ 
and eq'':  $\text{take } i \{ta'\}_o = \text{take } i \{ta'\}_o$ 
and read'':  $\{ta'\}_o ! i = \text{ReadMem ad al } v'$ 
and len'':  $\text{length } \{ta'\}_o \leq \max J\text{-non-speculative-read-bound}(\text{length } \{ta'\}_o)$  by blast

let ?x' =  $(\text{Running}, e'', xs'')$ 
let ?ta' = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta'')
from red'' have red-mthr.init-fin P t (x, shr s') ?ta' (?x', h'')
unfolding x by -(rule red-mthr.init-fin.NormalAction, simp)
moreover from aok'' have red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ?ta' by simp

```

moreover from i'' **have** $i < \text{length } \{\cdot\}_{ta'}$ **by** *simp*
moreover from eq'' **have** $\text{take } i \{\cdot\}_{ta'} = \text{take } i \{\cdot\}_o$ **unfolding** ta **by**(*simp add: take-map*)
moreover from read'' i'' **have** $\{\cdot\}_{ta'} ! i = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem ad al } v')$ **by**(*simp add: nth-map*)
moreover from len'' **have** $\text{length } \{\cdot\}_{ta'} \leq \max J\text{-non-speculative-read-bound} (\text{length } \{\cdot\}_o)$
unfolding ta **by** *simp*
ultimately
show $\exists ta' x'' m''. \text{red-mthr.init-fin } P t (x, \text{shr } s') ta' (x'', m'') \wedge$
 $\text{red-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok } s' t ta' \wedge$
 $i < \text{length } \{\cdot\}_{ta'} \wedge \text{take } i \{\cdot\}_{ta'} = \text{take } i \{\cdot\}_o \wedge$
 $\{\cdot\}_{ta'} ! i = \text{NormalAction} (\text{ReadMem ad al } v') \wedge$
 $\text{length } \{\cdot\}_{ta'} \leq \max J\text{-non-speculative-read-bound} (\text{length } \{\cdot\}_o)$
by *blast*
qed

lemma $J\text{-cut-and-update}:$

assumes $wf: wf\text{-}J\text{-prog } P$
and $hrt: \text{heap-read-typeable hconf } P$
and $wf\text{-start}: wf\text{-start-state } P C M vs$
and $ka: \bigcup (ka\text{-Val} \setminus \{vs\}) \subseteq \text{set start-addrs}$
shows $\text{red-mthr.if.cut-and-update} (\text{init-fin-lift-state status } (J\text{-start-state } P C M vs))$
 $(\text{mrw-values } P \text{ Map.empty} (\text{map snd} (\text{lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs})))$

proof –

from $wf\text{-start obtain}$ $Ts T pns body D$ **where** $ok: \text{start-heap-ok}$
and $\text{sees}: P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor(pns, body)\rfloor \text{ in } D$
and $\text{conf}: P, \text{start-heap} \vdash vs \text{ [:≤]} Ts$ **by** *cases auto*

interpret $\text{known-addrs-typing}$
 $\text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr}$
 spurious-wakeups
 $\text{empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write}$
 $\text{allocated } J\text{-known-addrs}$
 $\text{final-expr mred } P \lambda t x h. \exists ET. \text{sconf-type-ok } ET t x h P$
using $wf ok$ **by**(*rule mred-known-addrs-typing*)

let $?start-vs = w\text{-values } P (\lambda _. \{\}) (\text{map snd} (\text{lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs}))$
let $?wt-ok = \text{init-fin-lift-inv sconf-type-ok}$
let $?ET-start = J\text{-sconf-type-ET-start } P C M$
let $?start-obs = \text{map snd} (\text{lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs})$
let $?start-state = \text{init-fin-lift-state status } (J\text{-start-state } P C M vs)$

from $wf \text{ sees have}$ $wf\text{-mdecl wf\text{-}J\text{-mdecl } P D (M, Ts, T, \lfloor(pns, body)\rfloor)}$ **by**(*rule sees-wf-mdecl*)
then obtain T' **where** $\text{len1: length } pns = \text{length } Ts$ **and** $\text{wt: } P, [this \rightarrow \text{Class } D, pns \mapsto Ts] \vdash \text{body} :: T'$
by(*auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def*)
from conf have $\text{len2: length } vs = \text{length } Ts$ **by**(*rule list-all2-lengthD*)

note $wf\text{-prog-wf-syscls}[OF wf]$ $\text{non-speculative-read}[OF wf hrt wf\text{-start ka}]$

moreover

from $wf wf\text{-start have}$ $\text{ts-ok-start: ts-ok } (\text{init-fin-lift } (\lambda t x h. \exists ET. \text{sconf-type-ok } ET t x h))$ ($thr ?start-state$) ($\text{shr } ?start-state$)
unfolding $\text{ts-ok-init-fin-lift-init-fin-lift-state shr-start-state}$ **by**(*rule J-start-state-sconf-type-ok*)
moreover
have $ka: J\text{-known-addrs start-tid } ((\lambda(pns, body) \text{ vs. } (\text{blocks } (this \# pns) (\text{Class } (\text{fst } (\text{method } P C$

$M)) \# fst (snd (method P C M))) (Null \# vs) body, Map.empty)) (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))) vs) \subseteq allocated start-heap$
using sees ka len1 len2 WT-ka[$OF wt$]
by(auto simp add: split-beta start-addrs-allocated ka-blocks intro: start-tid-start-addrs[$OF wf\text{-}prog\text{-}wf\text{-}syscls[OF wf]$] ok)
ultimately show ?thesis **by**(rule non-speculative-read-into-cut-and-update)
qed

lemma J-drf:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and ka: $\bigcup (ka\text{-}Val \setminus \{vs\}) \subseteq set start-addrs$
shows drf (J-E P C M vs status) P
proof –
from wf-start **obtain** Ts T pns body D **where** ok: start-heap-ok
and sees: $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor \text{ in } D$
and conf: $P, start-heap \vdash vs \leq Ts$ **by** cases auto
from J-cut-and-update[$OF assms$] wf-prog-wf-syscls[$OF wf$] J-start-state-sconf-type-ok[$OF wf wf\text{-}start$]
show ?thesis
proof(rule known-addrs-typing.drf[$OF mred\text{-}known\text{-}addrs\text{-}typing[OF wf ok]$])
from wf sees **have** wf-mdecl wf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, $\lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor$) **by**(rule sees-wf-mdecl)
then obtain T' **where** len1: length pns = length Ts **and** wt: $P, [this \mapsto Class D, pns \mapsto] Ts \vdash body :: T'$
by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
from conf **have** len2: length vs = length Ts **by**(rule list-all2-lengthD)
show J-known-addrs start-tid (($\lambda(pns, body)$ vs. (blocks (this # pns) (Class (fst (method P C M)) # fst (snd (method P C M)))) (Null # vs) body, Map.empty)) (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))) vs) $\subseteq allocated start-heap$
using sees ka len1 len2 WT-ka[$OF wt$]
by(auto simp add: split-beta start-addrs-allocated ka-blocks intro: start-tid-start-addrs[$OF wf\text{-}prog\text{-}wf\text{-}syscls[OF wf]$] ok)
qed
qed

lemma J-sc-legal:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and ka: $\bigcup (ka\text{-}Val \setminus \{vs\}) \subseteq set start-addrs$
shows sc-legal (J-E P C M vs status) P
proof –
from wf-start **obtain** Ts T pns body D **where** ok: start-heap-ok
and sees: $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M: Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor (pns, body) \rfloor \text{ in } D$
and conf: $P, start-heap \vdash vs \leq Ts$ **by** cases auto
interpret known-addrs-typing
addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
allocated J-known-addrs
final-expr mred P $\lambda t x h. \exists ET. sconf\text{-}type\text{-}ok ET t x h P$
using wf ok **by**(rule mred-known-addrs-typing)

```

let ?start-vs = w-values P ( $\lambda\_. \{\}$ ) (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs))
let ?wt-ok = init-fin-lift-inv sconf-type-ok
let ?ET-start = J-sconf-type-ET-start P C M
let ?start-obs = map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)
let ?start-state = init-fin-lift-state status (J-start-state P C M vs)

from wf sees have wf-mdecl wf-J-mdecl P D (M, Ts, T, [(pns, body)]) by(rule sees-wf-mdecl)
then obtain T' where len1: length pns = length Ts and wt: P,[this $\rightarrow$ Class D,pns [ $\mapsto$ ] Ts]  $\vdash$  body
:: T'
  by(auto simp add: wf-mdecl-def)
from conf have len2: length vs = length Ts by(rule list-all2-lengthD)

note wf-prog-wf-syscls[OF wf] non-speculative-read[OF wf hrt wf-start ka]
moreover
  from wf wf-start have ts-ok-start: ts-ok (init-fin-lift ( $\lambda t x h. \exists ET. sconf-type-ok ET t x h$ )) (thr ?start-state) (shr ?start-state)
    unfolding ts-ok-init-fin-lift-init-fin-lift-state shr-start-state by(rule J-start-state-sconf-type-ok)
    moreover have ka-allocated: J-known-addrs start-tid (( $\lambda(pns, body)$  vs. (blocks (this # pns) (Class (fst (method P C M)) # fst (snd (method P C M))) (Null # vs) body, Map.empty)) (the (snd (snd (method P C M)))) vs)  $\subseteq$  allocated start-heap
      using sees ka len1 len2 WT-ka[OF wt]
      by(auto simp add: split-beta start-addrs-allocated ka-blocks intro: start-tid-start-addrs[OF wf-prog-wf-syscls[OF wf] ok])
    ultimately have red-mthr.if.hb-completion ?start-state (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)
      by(rule non-speculative-read-into-hb-completion)

thus ?thesis using wf-prog-wf-syscls[OF wf] J-start-state-sconf-type-ok[OF wf wf-start]
  by(rule sc-legal)(rule ka-allocated)
qed

lemma J-jmm-consistent:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
  and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
  and ka:  $\bigcup$ (ka-Val ‘ set vs)  $\subseteq$  set start-addrs
  shows jmm-consistent (J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) P
  (is jmm-consistent ? $\mathcal{E}$  P)
proof -
  interpret drf ? $\mathcal{E}$  P using assms by(rule J-drf)
  interpret sc-legal ? $\mathcal{E}$  P using assms by(rule J-sc-legal)
  show ?thesis by unfold-locales
qed

lemma J-ex-sc-exec:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
  and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
  and ka:  $\bigcup$ (ka-Val ‘ set vs)  $\subseteq$  set start-addrs
  shows  $\exists E ws. E \in J-\mathcal{E} P C M vs$  status  $\wedge$  P  $\vdash$  (E, ws)  $\vee \wedge$  sequentially-consistent P (E, ws)
  (is  $\exists E ws. - \in ?\mathcal{E} \wedge -$ )
proof -
  interpret jmm: executions-sc-hb ? $\mathcal{E}$  P using assms by -(rule executions-sc)
  let ?start-state = init-fin-lift-state status (J-start-state P C M vs)

```

```

let ?start-mrw = mrw-values P Map.empty (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs))

from red-mthr.if.sequential-completion-Runs[OF red-mthr.if.cut-and-update-imp-sc-completion[OF
J-cut-and-update[OF assms]] ta-seq-consist-convert-RA]
obtain ttas where Red: red-mthr.mthr.if.mthr.Runs P ?start-state ttas
and sc: ta-seq-consist P ?start-mrw (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta} o) ttas)) by blast
let ?E = lappend (llist-of (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)) (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of
(map (Pair t) {ta} o)) ttas))
from Red have ?E ∈ ?E by(blast intro: red-mthr.mthr.if.E.intros)
moreover from Red have tsa: thread-start-actions-ok ?E
by(blast intro: red-mthr.thread-start-actions-ok-init-fin red-mthr.mthr.if.E.intros)
from sc have ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (lmap snd ?E)
unfolding lmap-lappend-distrib lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp split-def o-def lmap-llist-of map-map
snd-conv
by(simp add: ta-seq-consist-lappend ta-seq-consist-start-heap-obs)
from ta-seq-consist-imp-sequentially-consistent[OF tsa jmm.E-new-actions-for-fun[OF ‹?E ∈ ?E›]
this]
obtain ws where sequentially-consistent P (?E, ws) P ⊢ (?E, ws) √ by iprover
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

theorem J-consistent:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and ka: ∪(ka-Val ' set vs) ⊆ set start-addrs
shows ∃ E ws. legal-execution P (J-E P C M vs status) (E, ws)
proof -
let ?E = J-E P C M vs status
interpret sc-legal ?E P using assms by(rule J-sc-legal)
from J-ex-sc-exec[OF assms]
obtain E ws where E ∈ ?E P ⊢ (E, ws) √ sequentially-consistent P (E, ws) by blast
hence legal-execution P ?E (E, ws) by(rule SC-is-legal)
thus ?thesis by blast
qed

end

end
theory JMM-JVM
imports
JMM-Framework
.. / JVM / JVMThreaded
begin

sublocale JVM-heap-base < execd-mthr:
heap-multithreaded-base
addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
JVM-final mexecd P convert-RA
for P
.
.
```

```

context JVM-heap-base begin

abbreviation JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  :: 
  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  status
   $\Rightarrow$  ('thread-id  $\times$  ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) llist set
where JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P  $\equiv$  execd-mthr. $\mathcal{E}$ -start P JVM-local-start P

end

end

```

8.15 JMM Instantiation for bytecode

theory DRF-JVM

imports

JMM-Common

JMM-JVM

..../BV/BVProgressThreaded

SC-Legal

begin

8.15.1 DRF guarantee for the JVM

abbreviation (input) ka-xcp :: 'addr option \Rightarrow 'addr set
where ka-xcp \equiv set-option

primrec jvm-ka :: 'addr jvm-thread-state \Rightarrow 'addr set

where

$$\begin{aligned} jvm\text{-}ka(xcp, frs) = \\ ka\text{-}xcp\ xcp \cup (\bigcup(stk, loc, C, M, pc) \in set\ frs. (\bigcup(v \in set\ stk. ka\text{-}Val\ v) \cup (\bigcup(v \in set\ loc. ka\text{-}Val\ v))) \end{aligned}$$

context heap **begin**

lemma red-external-aggr-read-mem-typeable:

$$\begin{aligned} & [(ta, va, h') \in \text{red-external-aggr } P t a M vs h; \text{ReadMem } ad @ al v \in set \{ta\}_o] \\ & \implies \exists T'. P, h \vdash ad @ al : T' \end{aligned}$$

by(auto simp add: red-external-aggr-def split-beta split: if-split-asm dest: heap-clone-read-typeable)

end

context JVM-heap-base **begin**

definition jvm-known-addrs :: 'thread-id \Rightarrow 'addr jvm-thread-state \Rightarrow 'addr set

where jvm-known-addrs t xcpfrs = {thread-id2addr t} \cup jvm-ka xcpfrs \cup set start-addrs

end

context JVM-heap **begin**

lemma exec-instr-known-addrs:

assumes ok: start-heap-ok

and exec: (ta, xcp', h', frs') \in exec-instr i P t h stk loc C M pc frs

and check: check-instr i P h stk loc C M pc frs

shows $jvm-known-addrs t (xcp', frs') \subseteq jvm-known-addrs t (None, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs) \cup new-obs-addrs \{ta\}_o$

proof –

note [simp] = $jvm-known-addrs-def new-obs-addrs-def addr-of-sys-xcpt-start-addr[OK ok] subset-Un1 subset-Un2 subset-insert ka-Val-subset-new-obs-Addr-ReadMem SUP-subset-mono split-beta neq-Nil-conv tl-conv-drop set-drop-subset is-Ref-def$

```

from exec check show ?thesis
proof(cases i)
  case Load with exec check show ?thesis by auto
next
  case (Store V) with exec check show ?thesis
    using set-update-subset-insert[of loc V]
    by(clar simp simp del: set-update-subsetI) blast
next
  case (Push v)
    with check have ka-Val v = {} by(cases v) simp-all
    with Push exec check show ?thesis by(simp)
next
  case (CAS F D)
    then show ?thesis using exec check
    by(clar simp split: if-split-asm)(fastforce dest!: in-set-dropD) +
next
  case (Invoke M' n)
    show ?thesis
    proof(cases stk ! n = Null)
      case True with exec check Invoke show ?thesis by(simp)
    next
      case [simp]: False
        with check Invoke obtain a where stkn: stk ! n = Addr a n < length stk by auto
        hence a: a ∈ (Union v ∈ set stk. ka-Val v) by(fastforce dest: nth-mem)
        show ?thesis
        proof(cases snd (snd (snd (method P (class-type-of (the (typeof-addr h (the-Addr (stk ! n)))))) M')) = Native)
          case True
            with exec check Invoke a stkn show ?thesis
              apply clar simp
              apply(drule red-external-aggr-known-addrs-mono[OK ok], simp)
              apply(auto dest!: in-set-takeD dest: bspec subsetD split: extCallRet.split-asm simp add: has-method-def is-native.simps)
              done
            next
            case False
              with exec check Invoke a stkn show ?thesis
              by(auto simp add: set-replicate-conv-if dest!: in-set-takeD)
            qed
          qed
        next
        case Swap with exec check show ?thesis
          by(cases stk)(simp, case-tac list, auto)
        next
        case (BinOpInstr bop) with exec check show ?thesis
          using binop-known-addrs[OK ok, of bop hd (drop (Suc 0) stk) hd stk]

```

```

apply(cases stk)
apply(simp, case-tac list, simp)
apply clarsimp
apply(drule (2) binop-progress)
apply(auto 6 2 split: sum.split-asm)
done

next
  case MExit with exec check show ?thesis by(auto split: if-split-asm)
qedclarsimp split: if-split-asm)+

lemma exec-d-known-addrs-mono:
  assumes ok: start-heap-ok
  and exec: mexecd P t (xcpfrs, h) ta (xcpfrs', h')
  shows jvm-known-addrs t xcpfrs' ⊆ jvm-known-addrs t xcpfrs ∪ new-obs-addrs {ta}o
using exec
apply(cases xcpfrs)
apply(cases xcpfrs')
apply(simp add: split-beta)
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases fst xcpfrs)
  apply(fastforce simp add: check-def split-beta del: subsetI dest!: exec-instr-known-addrs[OF ok])
apply(fastforce simp add: jvm-known-addrs-def split-beta dest!: in-set-dropD)
done

lemma exec-instr-known-addrs-ReadMem:
  assumes exec: (ta, xcp', h', frs') ∈ exec-instr i P t h stk loc C M pc frs
  and check: check-instr i P h stk loc C M pc frs
  and read: ReadMem ad al v ∈ set {ta}o
  shows ad ∈ jvm-known-addrs t (None, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs)
using assms
proof(cases i)
  case ALoad thus ?thesis using assms
    by(cases stk)(case-tac [2] list, auto simp add: split-beta is-Ref-def jvm-known-addrs-def split:
if-split-asm)
next
  case (Invoke M n)
  with check have stk ! n ≠ Null → the-Addr (stk ! n) ∈ ka-Val (stk ! n) stk ! n ∈ set stk
    by(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
  with assms Invoke show ?thesis
    by(auto simp add: split-beta is-Ref-def simp del: ka-Val.simps nth-mem split: if-split-asm dest!:
red-external-aggr-known-addrs-ReadMem in-set-takeD del: is-AddrE)(auto simp add: jvm-known-addrs-def
simp del: ka-Val.simps nth-mem del: is-AddrE)
next
  case Getfield thus ?thesis using assms
    by(auto simp add: jvm-known-addrs-def neq-Nil-conv is-Ref-def split: if-split-asm)
next
  case CAS thus ?thesis using assms
    apply(cases stk; simp)
    subgoal for v stk
      apply(cases stk; simp)
      subgoal for v stk
        by(cases stk)(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: jvm-known-addrs-def is-Ref-def)
    done

```

```

done
qed(auto simp add: split-beta is-Ref-def neq-Nil-conv split: if-split-asm)

lemma mexecd-known-addrs-ReadMem:
   $\llbracket \text{mexecd } P t (\text{xcpfrs}, h) \text{ ta } (\text{xcpfrs}', h'); \text{ReadMem ad al } v \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_o \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{ad} \in \text{jvm-known-addrs } t \text{ xcpfrs}$ 
apply(cases xcpfrs)
apply(cases xcpfrs')
apply simp
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases fst xcpfrs)
apply(auto simp add: check-def dest: exec-instr-known-addrs-ReadMem)
done

lemma exec-instr-known-addrs-WriteMem:
assumes exec:  $(\text{ta}, \text{xp}', \text{h}', \text{frs}') \in \text{exec-instr } i \text{ P t h stk loc C M pc frs}$ 
and check: check-instr i P h stk loc C M pc frs
and write:  $\{\text{ta}\}_o ! n = \text{WriteMem ad al } (\text{Addr a}) \text{ n} < \text{length } \{\text{ta}\}_o$ 
shows a  $\in \text{jvm-known-addrs } t \text{ (None, (stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs)} \vee \text{a} \in \text{new-obs-addrs } (\text{take } n \{\text{ta}\}_o)$ 
using assms
proof(cases i)
  case (Invoke M n)
    with check have stk ! n ≠ Null  $\longrightarrow$  the-Addr (stk ! n) ∈ ka-Val (stk ! n) stk ! n ∈ set stk
      by(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
    thus ?thesis using assms Invoke
      by(auto simp add: is-Ref-def split-beta split: if-split-asm simp del: ka-Val.simps nth-mem dest!: red-external-aggr-known-addrs-WriteMem in-set-takeD del: is-AddrE)(auto simp add: jvm-known-addrs-def del: is-AddrE)
  next
    case AStore with assms show ?thesis
      by(cases stk)(auto simp add: jvm-known-addrs-def split: if-split-asm)
  next
    case Putfield with assms show ?thesis
      by(cases stk)(auto simp add: jvm-known-addrs-def split: if-split-asm)
  next
    case CAS with assms show ?thesis
      apply(cases stk; simp)
      subgoal for v stk
        apply(cases stk; simp)
        subgoal for v stk
          by(cases stk)(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: take-Cons' jvm-known-addrs-def)
        done
      done
    done
qed(auto simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm)

lemma mexecd-known-addrs-WriteMem:
   $\llbracket \text{mexecd } P t (\text{xcpfrs}, h) \text{ ta } (\text{xcpfrs}', h'); \{\text{ta}\}_o ! n = \text{WriteMem ad al } (\text{Addr a}); \text{n} < \text{length } \{\text{ta}\}_o \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{a} \in \text{jvm-known-addrs } t \text{ xcpfrs} \vee \text{a} \in \text{new-obs-addrs } (\text{take } n \{\text{ta}\}_o)$ 
apply(cases xcpfrs)
apply(cases xcpfrs')
apply simp
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases fst xcpfrs)
apply(auto simp add: check-def dest: exec-instr-known-addrs-WriteMem)

```

done

lemma exec-instr-known-addrs-new-thread:

assumes exec: $(ta, xcp', h', frs') \in \text{exec-instr } i P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs$
and check: $\text{check-instr } i P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs$
and new: $\text{NewThread } t' x' h'' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t$
shows jvm-known-addrs $t' x' \subseteq \text{jvm-known-addrs } t (\text{None}, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C, M, pc) \# frs)$
using assms
proof(cases i)
case (Invoke M n)
with assms have $\text{stk} ! n \neq \text{Null} \longrightarrow \text{the-Addr } (\text{stk} ! n) \in \text{ka-Val } (\text{stk} ! n) \wedge \text{thread-id2addr}$
 $(\text{addr2thread-id } (\text{the-Addr } (\text{stk} ! n))) = \text{the-Addr } (\text{stk} ! n) \text{ stk} ! n \in \text{set } \text{stk}$
apply(auto simp add: is-Ref-def split: if-split-asm)
apply(frule red-external-aggr-NewThread-idD, simp, simp)
apply(drule red-external-aggr-new-thread-sub-thread)
apply(auto intro: addr2thread-id-inverse)
done
with assms Invoke show ?thesis
apply(auto simp add: is-Ref-def split-beta split: if-split-asm simp del: nth-mem del: is-AddrE)
apply(drule red-external-aggr-NewThread-idD)
apply(auto simp add: extNTA2JVM-def jvm-known-addrs-def split-beta simp del: nth-mem del:
is-AddrE)
done
qed(auto simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm)

lemma mexecd-known-addrs-new-thread:

$\llbracket \text{mexecd } P t (xcpfrs, h) ta (xcpfrs', h'); \text{NewThread } t' x' h'' \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{jvm-known-addrs } t' x' \subseteq \text{jvm-known-addrs } t xcpfrs$
apply(cases xcpfrs)
apply(cases xcpfrs')
apply simp
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases fst xcpfrs)
apply(auto 4 3 simp add: check-def dest: exec-instr-known-addrs-new-thread)
done

lemma exec-instr-New-same-addr-same:

$\llbracket (ta, xcp', h', frs') \in \text{exec-instr ins } P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs;$
 $\{ta\}_o ! i = \text{NewHeapElem } a x; i < \text{length } \{ta\}_o;$
 $\{ta\}_o ! j = \text{NewHeapElem } a x'; j < \text{length } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$
 $\implies i = j$
apply(cases ins)
apply(auto simp add: nth-Cons' split: prod.split-asm if-split-asm)
apply(auto split: extCallRet.split-asm dest: red-external-aggr-New-same-addr-same)
done

lemma exec-New-same-addr-same:

$\llbracket (ta, xcp', h', frs') \in \text{exec } P t (xcp, h, frs);$
 $\{ta\}_o ! i = \text{NewHeapElem } a x; i < \text{length } \{ta\}_o;$
 $\{ta\}_o ! j = \text{NewHeapElem } a x'; j < \text{length } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$
 $\implies i = j$
apply(cases (P, t, xcp, h, frs) rule: exec.cases)
apply(auto dest: exec-instr-New-same-addr-same)
done

```

lemma exec-1-d-New-same-addr-same:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \text{Normal} (xcp, h, frs) - ta-jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal} (xcp', h', frs') ; \{ta\}_o ! i = \text{NewHeapElem} a x; i < \text{length } \{ta\}_o ; \{ta\}_o ! j = \text{NewHeapElem} a x'; j < \text{length } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket \implies i = j$ 
  by(erule jvmd-NormalE)(rule exec-New-same-addr-same)

end

locale JVM-allocated-heap = allocated-heap +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and allocated :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr set
  and P :: 'addr jvm-prog

sublocale JVM-allocated-heap < JVM-heap
  by(unfold-locales)

context JVM-allocated-heap begin

lemma exec-instr-allocated-mono:
   $\llbracket (ta, xcp', h', frs') \in \text{exec-instr } i P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs; \text{check-instr } i P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs \rrbracket \implies \text{allocated } h \subseteq \text{allocated } h'$ 
  apply(cases i)
  apply(auto 4 4 simp add: split-beta has-method-def is-native.simps split: if-split-asm sum.split-asm intro: allocate-allocated-mono dest: heap-write-allocated-same dest!: red-external-aggr-allocated-mono del: subsetI)
  done

lemma mexecd-allocated-mono:
   $mexecd P t (xcpfrs, h) ta (xcpfrs', h') \implies \text{allocated } h \subseteq \text{allocated } h'$ 
  apply(cases xcpfrs)
  apply(cases xcpfrs')
  apply(simp)
  apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
  apply(cases fst xcpfrs)
  apply(auto del: subsetI simp add: check-def dest: exec-instr-allocated-mono)
  done

lemma exec-instr-allocatedD:
   $\llbracket (ta, xcp', h', frs') \in \text{exec-instr } i P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs; \text{check-instr } i P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs; \text{NewHeapElem } ad \in CTn \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket \implies ad \in \text{allocated } h' \wedge ad \notin \text{allocated } h$ 
  apply(cases i)
  apply(auto 4 4 split: if-split-asm prod.split-asm dest: allocate-allocatedD dest!: red-external-aggr-allocatedD)

```

```

simp add: has-method-def is-native.simps)
done

lemma mexecd-allocatedD:
   $\llbracket \text{mexecd } P t (\text{xcpfrs}, h) \text{ ta } (\text{xcpfrs}', h'); \text{NewHeapElem ad } CTn \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_o \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{ad} \in \text{allocated } h' \wedge \text{ad} \notin \text{allocated } h$ 
apply(cases xcpfrs)
apply(cases xcpfrs')
apply(simp)
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases fst xcpfrs)
apply(auto del: subsetI dest: exec-instr-allocatedD simp add: check-def)
done

lemma exec-instr-NewHeapElemD:
   $\llbracket (ta, xcp', h', frs') \in \text{exec-instr } i P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs; \text{check-instr } i P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs;$ 
   $\text{ad} \in \text{allocated } h'; \text{ad} \notin \text{allocated } h \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists CTn. \text{NewHeapElem ad } CTn \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_o$ 
apply(cases i)
apply(auto 4 3 split: if-split-asm prod.split-asm sum.split-asm dest: allocate-allocatedD heap-write-allocated-same
dest!: red-external-aggr-NewHeapElemD simp add: is-native.simps has-method-def)
done

lemma mexecd-NewHeapElemD:
   $\llbracket \text{mexecd } P t (\text{xcpfrs}, h) \text{ ta } (\text{xcpfrs}', h'); \text{ad} \in \text{allocated } h'; \text{ad} \notin \text{allocated } h \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists CTn. \text{NewHeapElem ad } CTn \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_o$ 
apply(cases xcpfrs)
apply(cases xcpfrs')
apply(simp)
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases fst xcpfrs)
apply(auto dest: exec-instr-NewHeapElemD simp add: check-def)
done

lemma mexecd-allocated-multithreaded:
  allocated-multithreaded addr2thread-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-write al-
located JVM-final (mexecd P) P
proof
  fix t x m ta x' m'
  assume mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  thus allocated m ⊆ allocated m' by(rule mexecd-allocated-mono)
next
  fix x t m ta x' m' ad CTn
  assume mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  and NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set {ta}_o
  thus ad ∈ allocated m' ∧ ad ∉ allocated m by(rule mexecd-allocatedD)
next
  fix t x m ta x' m' ad
  assume mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  and ad ∈ allocated m' ad ∉ allocated m
  thus ∃ CTn. NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set {ta}_o by(rule mexecd-NewHeapElemD)
next
  fix t x m ta x' m' i a CTn j CTn'
  assume mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')

```

```

and {ta}o ! i = NewHeapElem a CTn i < length {ta}o
and {ta}o ! j = NewHeapElem a CTn' j < length {ta}o
thus i = j by(auto dest: exec-1-d-New-same-addr-same simp add: split-beta)
qed

end

sublocale JVM-allocated-heap < execd-mthr: allocated-multithreaded
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write allocated
  JVM-final mexecd P
  P
  by(rule mexecd-allocated-multithreaded)

context JVM-allocated-heap begin

lemma mexecd-known-addrs:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wfmd P
  and ok: start-heap-ok
  shows known-addrs addr2thread-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-write allocated
  jvm-known-addrs JVM-final (mexecd P) P
proof
  fix t x m ta x' m'
  assume mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  thus jvm-known-addrs t x' ⊆ jvm-known-addrs t x ∪ new-obs-addrs {ta}o
    by(rule exec-d-known-addrs-mono[OF ok])
next
  fix t x m ta x' m' t' x'' m''
  assume mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  and NewThread t' x'' m'' ∈ set {ta}t
  thus jvm-known-addrs t' x'' ⊆ jvm-known-addrs t x by(rule mexecd-known-addrs-new-thread)
next
  fix t x m ta x' m' ad al v
  assume mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  and ReadMem ad al v ∈ set {ta}o
  thus ad ∈ jvm-known-addrs t x by(rule mexecd-known-addrs-ReadMem)
next
  fix t x m ta x' m' n ad al ad'
  assume mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  and WriteMem ad al (Addr ad') n < length {ta}o
  thus ad' ∈ jvm-known-addrs t x ∨ ad' ∈ new-obs-addrs (take n {ta}o)
    by(rule mexecd-known-addrs-WriteMem)
qed

end

context JVM-heap begin

lemma exec-instr-read-typeable:
  assumes exec: (ta, xcp', h', frs') ∈ exec-instr i P t h stk loc C M pc frs
  and check: check-instr i P h stk loc C M pc frs
  and read: ReadMem ad al v ∈ set {ta}o
  shows ∃ T'. P, h ⊢ ad@al : T'

```

```

using exec check read
proof(cases i)
  case ALoad
    with assms show ?thesis
      by(fastforce simp add: split-beta is-Ref-def nat-less-iff word-sless-alt intro: addr-loc-type.intros split: if-split-asm)
  next
    case (Getfield F D)
    with assms show ?thesis
      by(clar simp simp add: split-beta is-Ref-def split: if-split-asm)(blast intro: addr-loc-type.intros dest: has-visible-field has-field-mono)
  next
    case (Invoke M n)
    with exec check read obtain a vs ta' va T
      where (ta', va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M vs h
      and ReadMem ad al v ∈ set {ta'}_o
      by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: is-Ref-def)
      thus ?thesis by(rule red-external-aggr-read-mem-typeable)
  next
    case (CAS F D)
    with assms show ?thesis
      by(clar simp simp add: split-beta is-Ref-def conf-def split: if-split-asm)
        (force intro: addr-loc-type.intros dest: has-visible-field[THEN has-field-mono])
  qed(auto simp add: split-beta is-Ref-def split: if-split-asm)

lemma exec-1-d-read-typeable:
   $\llbracket P, t \vdash \text{Normal} (xcp, h, frs) - ta - jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal} (xcp', h', frs');$ 
   $\text{ReadMem ad al v} \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists T'. P, h \vdash ad @ al : T'$ 
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases (P, t, xcp, h, frs) rule: exec.cases)
apply(auto intro: exec-instr-read-typeable simp add: check-def)
done

end

sublocale JVM-heap-base < execd-mthr:
  if-multithreaded
  JVM-final
  mexecd P
  convert-RA
  for P
  by(unfold-locales)

context JVM-heap-conf begin

lemma JVM-conf-read-heap-read-typed:
  JVM-conf-read addr2thread-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr (heap-read-typed P)
  heap-write hconf P
proof -
  interpret conf: heap-conf-read
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read-typed P heap-write hconf

```

```

 $P$ 
by(rule heap-conf-read-heap-read-typed)
show ?thesis by(unfold-locales)
qed

lemma exec-instr-New-typeof-addrD:
 $\llbracket (ta, xcp', h', frs') \in exec\text{-}instr i P t h stk loc C M pc frs;$ 
 $\quad check\text{-}instr i P h stk loc C M pc frs; hconf h;$ 
 $\quad NewHeapElem a x \in set \{ta\}_o \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{typeof-addr } h' a = Some x$ 
apply(cases i)
apply(auto dest: allocate-SomeD split: prod.split-asm if-split-asm)
apply(auto 4 4 split: extCallRet.split-asm dest!: red-external-aggr-New-typeof-addrD simp add: has-method-def is-native.simps)
done

lemma exec-1-d-New-typeof-addrD:
 $\llbracket P, t \vdash Normal (xcp, h, frs) - ta-jvmd \rightarrow Normal (xcp', h', frs'); NewHeapElem a x \in set \{ta\}_o;$ 
 $\quad hconf h \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{typeof-addr } h' a = Some x$ 
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases xcp)
apply(auto dest: exec-instr-New-typeof-addrD simp add: check-def)
done

lemma exec-instr-non-speculative-typeable:
assumes exec:  $(ta, xcp', h', frs') \in exec\text{-}instr i P t h stk loc C M pc frs$ 
and check:  $check\text{-}instr i P h stk loc C M pc frs$ 
and sc: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction \{ta\}_o))
and vs-conf: vs-conf P h vs
and hconf: hconf h
shows  $(ta, xcp', h', frs') \in JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.exec\text{-}instr addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups$ 
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr (heap-read-typed P) heap-write i P t h stk loc C M pc frs
proof -
note [simp] = JVM-heap-base.exec-instr.simps
and [split] = if-split-asm prod.split-asm sum.split-asm
and [split del] = if-split
from assms show ?thesis
proof(cases i)
case ALoad with assms show ?thesis
by(auto 4 3 intro!: heap-read-typedI dest: vs-confD addr-loc-type-fun)
next
case Getfield with assms show ?thesis
by(auto 4 3 intro!: heap-read-typedI dest: vs-confD addr-loc-type-fun)
next
case CAS with assms show ?thesis
by(auto 4 3 intro!: heap-read-typedI dest: vs-confD addr-loc-type-fun)
next
case Invoke with assms show ?thesis
by(fastforce dest: red-external-aggr-non-speculative-typeable simp add: has-method-def is-native.simps)
qed(auto)
qed

lemma exec-instr-non-speculative-vs-conf:

```

```

assumes exec:  $(ta, \text{xcp}', h', \text{frs}') \in \text{exec-instr } i P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs}$ 
and check:  $\text{check-instr } i P h \text{ stk loc } C M pc \text{ frs}$ 
and sc:  $\text{non-speculative } P vs (\text{llist-of} (\text{take } n (\text{map NormalAction } \{ta\}_o)))$ 
and vs-conf:  $\text{vs-conf } P h vs$ 
and hconf:  $\text{hconf } h$ 
shows vs-conf  $P h' (w\text{-values } P vs (\text{take } n (\text{map NormalAction } \{ta\}_o)))$ 
proof -
  note [simp] = JVM-heap-base.exec-instr.simps take-Cons'
  and [split] = if-split-asm prod.split-asm sum.split-asm
  and [split del] = if-split
  from assms show ?thesis
  proof(cases i)
    case New with assms show ?thesis
      by(auto 4 4 dest: hext-allocate vs-conf-allocate intro: vs-conf-hext)
  next
    case NewArray with assms show ?thesis
      by(auto 4 4 dest: hext-allocate vs-conf-allocate intro: vs-conf-hext cong: if-cong)
  next
    case Invoke with assms show ?thesis
      by(fastforce dest: red-external-aggr-non-speculative-vs-conf simp add: has-method-def is-native.simps)
  next
    case AStore
    {
      assume hd (tl (tl stk)) ≠ Null
      and ¬ the-Intg (hd (tl stk)) < s 0
      and ¬ int (alen-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h (the-Addr (hd (tl (tl stk))))))) ≤ sint (the-Intg (hd (tl stk)))
      and P ⊢ the (typeof h (hd stk)) ≤ the-Array (ty-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h (the-Addr (hd (tl (tl stk)))))))
      moreover hence nat (sint (the-Intg (hd (tl stk)))) < alen-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h (the-Addr (hd (tl (tl stk))))))
        by(auto simp add: not-le nat-less-iff word-sle-eq word-sless-eq not-less)
      with assms AStore have nat (sint (the-Intg (hd (tl stk)))) < alen-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h' (the-Addr (hd (tl (tl stk))))))
        by(auto dest!: hext-arrD hext-heap-write)
      ultimately have ∃ T. P, h' ⊢ the-Addr (hd (tl (tl stk)))@ACell (nat (sint (the-Intg (hd (tl stk)))))
    : T ∧ P, h' ⊢ hd stk :≤ T
    using assms AStore
    by(auto 4 4 simp add: is-Ref-def conf-def dest!: hext-heap-write dest: hext-arrD intro!: addr-loc-type.intros
    intro: typeof-addr-hext-mono type-of-hext-type-of) }
    thus ?thesis using assms AStore
    by(auto intro!: vs-confI)(blast intro: addr-loc-type-hext-mono conf-hext dest: hext-heap-write
    vs-confD)+
  next
    case Putfield
    show ?thesis using assms Putfield
    by(auto intro!: vs-confI dest!: hext-heap-write)(blast intro: addr-loc-type.intros addr-loc-type-hext-mono
    typeof-addr-hext-mono has-field-mono[OF has-visible-field] conf-hext dest: vs-confD)+
  next
    case CAS
    show ?thesis using assms CAS
    by(auto intro!: vs-confI dest!: hext-heap-write)(blast intro: addr-loc-type.intros addr-loc-type-hext-mono
    typeof-addr-hext-mono has-field-mono[OF has-visible-field] conf-hext dest: vs-confD)+
    qed(auto)

```

qed

lemma *mexecd-non-speculative-typeable*:

$\llbracket P, t \vdash \text{Normal} (xcp, h, stk) - ta - jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal} (xcp', h', frs'); \text{non-speculative } P \text{ vs } (\text{llist-of } (\text{map NormalAction } \{ta\}_o));$
 $\quad vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } h \text{ vs; } h\text{conf } h \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{JVM-heap-base.exec-1-d addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate }$
 $\quad \text{typeof-addr } (\text{heap-read-typed } P) \text{ heap-write } P \text{ } t \text{ } (\text{Normal} (xcp, h, stk)) \text{ } ta \text{ } (\text{Normal} (xcp', h', frs'))$
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases xcp)
apply(auto intro!: JVM-heap-base.exec-1-d.intros simp add: JVM-heap-base.exec-d-def check-def JVM-heap-base.intro: exec-instr-non-speculative-typeable)
done

lemma *mexecd-non-speculative-vs-conf*:

$\llbracket P, t \vdash \text{Normal} (xcp, h, stk) - ta - jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal} (xcp', h', frs');$
 $\quad \text{non-speculative } P \text{ vs } (\text{llist-of } (\text{take } n \text{ } (\text{map NormalAction } \{ta\}_o)));$
 $\quad vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } h \text{ vs; } h\text{conf } h \rrbracket$
 $\implies vs\text{-conf } P \text{ } h' \text{ } (w\text{-values } P \text{ vs } (\text{take } n \text{ } (\text{map NormalAction } \{ta\}_o)))$
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases xcp)
apply(auto intro!: JVM-heap-base.exec-1-d.intros simp add: JVM-heap-base.exec-d-def check-def JVM-heap-base.intro: exec-instr-non-speculative-vs-conf)
done

end

locale *JVM-allocated-heap-conf* =

JVM-heap-conf
addr2thread-id *thread-id2addr*
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap *allocate* *typeof-addr* *heap-read* *heap-write* *hconf*
P
+
JVM-allocated-heap
addr2thread-id *thread-id2addr*
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap *allocate* *typeof-addr* *heap-read* *heap-write*
allocated
P
for *addr2thread-id* :: ('*addr* :: *addr*) \Rightarrow '*thread-id*'
and *thread-id2addr* :: '*thread-id*' \Rightarrow '*addr*'
and *spurious-wakeups* :: *bool*
and *empty-heap* :: '*heap*'
and *allocate* :: '*heap* \Rightarrow *htype* \Rightarrow ('*heap* \times '*addr*) *set*'
and *typeof-addr* :: '*heap* \Rightarrow '*addr* \rightarrow *htype*'
and *heap-read* :: '*heap* \Rightarrow '*addr* \Rightarrow *addr-loc* \Rightarrow '*addr val* \Rightarrow *bool*'
and *heap-write* :: '*heap* \Rightarrow '*addr* \Rightarrow *addr-loc* \Rightarrow '*addr val* \Rightarrow '*heap* \Rightarrow *bool*'
and *hconf* :: '*heap* \Rightarrow *bool*'
and *allocated* :: '*heap* \Rightarrow '*addr set*'
and *P* :: '*addr jvm-prog*'
begin

lemma *mexecd-known-addrs-typing*:

```

assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
and ok: start-heap-ok
shows known-addrs-typing addr2thread-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-write
allocated jvm-known-addrs JVM-final (mexecd P) ( $\lambda t (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frstls) \checkmark$ ) P
proof -
  from wf obtain wf-md where wf-prog wf-md P by(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)
  then
    interpret known-addrs
      addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
      spurious-wakeups
      empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
      allocated jvm-known-addrs
      JVM-final mexecd P P
    using ok by(rule mexecd-known-addrs)

show ?thesis
proof
  fix t x m ta x' m'
  assume mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  thus m  $\trianglelefteq$  m' by(auto simp add: split-beta intro: exec-1-d-hext)
next
  fix t x m ta x' m' vs
  assume exec: mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  and ts-ok: ( $\lambda (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t:(xcp, h, frstls) \checkmark$ ) x m
  and vs: vs-conf P m vs
  and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction {ta}o))
  let ?mexecd = JVM-heap-base.mexecd addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap
allocate typeof-addr (heap-read-typed P) heap-write P
  have lift: lifting-wf JVM-final ?mexecd ( $\lambda t (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frstls) \checkmark$ )
    by(intro JVM-conf-read.lifting-wf-correct-state-d JVM-conf-read-heap-read-typed wf)

  from exec ns vs ts-ok have exec': ?mexecd t (x, m) ta (x', m')
    by(auto simp add: split-beta correct-state-def dest: mexecd-non-speculative-typeable)
  thus ( $\lambda (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t:(xcp, h, frstls) \checkmark$ ) x' m' using ts-ok
    by(rule lifting-wf.preserves-red[OF lift])
  {
    fix t'' x'' m''
    assume New: NewThread t'' x'' m''  $\in$  set {ta}t
    with exec have m'' = snd (x', m') by(rule execd-mthr.new-thread-memory)
    thus ( $\lambda (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t'':(xcp, h, frstls) \checkmark$ ) x'' m'' using lifting-wf.preserves-NewThread[where ?r=?mexecd, OF lift exec' ts-ok] New
      by auto
  }
  { fix t'' x'' assume ( $\lambda (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t'':(xcp, h, frstls) \checkmark$ ) x'' m
  with lift exec' ts-ok show ( $\lambda (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t'':(xcp, h, frstls) \checkmark$ ) x'' m' by(rule lifting-wf.preserves-other) }

next
  fix t x m ta x' m' vs n
  assume exec: mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
  and ts-ok: ( $\lambda (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t:(xcp, h, frstls) \checkmark$ ) x m
  and vs: vs-conf P m vs
  and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (take n (map NormalAction {ta}o)))
  thus vs-conf P m' (w-values P vs (take n (map NormalAction {ta}o)))

```

```

by(auto simp add: correct-state-def dest: mexecd-non-speculative-vs-conf)
next
fix t x m ta x' m' ad al v
assume mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
and ( $\lambda(xcp, frstls)$  h.  $\Phi \vdash t:(xcp, h, frstls) \vee$ ) x m
and ReadMem ad al v  $\in$  set {ta}o
thus  $\exists T. P, m \vdash ad @ al : T$ 
by(auto simp add: correct-state-def split-beta dest: exec-1-d-read-typeable)
next
fix t x m ta x' m' ad hT
assume mexecd P t (x, m) ta (x', m')
and ( $\lambda(xcp, frstls)$  h.  $\Phi \vdash t:(xcp, h, frstls) \vee$ ) x m
and NewHeapElem ad hT  $\in$  set {ta}o
thus typeof-addr m' ad = [hT]
by(auto dest: exec-1-d-New-typeof-addrD[where x=hT] simp add: split-beta correct-state-def)
qed
qed

lemma executions-sc:
assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $_{\Phi}$  P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and vs2:  $\bigcup(ka\text{-Val} \setminus \{vs\}) \subseteq$  set start-addrs
shows executions-sc-hb (JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) P
(is executions-sc-hb ?E P)
proof -
from wf-start obtain Ts T meth D where ok: start-heap-ok
and sees: P  $\vdash C$  sees M:Ts  $\rightarrow$  T = [meth] in D
and vs1: P, start-heap  $\vdash vs \leq Ts$  by cases

interpret known-addrs-typing
addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
allocated jvm-known-addrs
JVM-final mexecd P  $\lambda t (xcp, frstls)$  h.  $\Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frstls) \vee P$ 
using wf ok by(rule mexecd-known-addrs-typing)

from wf obtain wf-md where wf': wf-prog wf-md P by(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)
hence wf-syscls P by(rule wf-prog-wf-syscls)
thus ?thesis
proof(rule executions-sc-hb)
from correct-jvm-state-initial[OF wf wf-start]
show correct-state-ts  $\Phi$  (thr (JVM-start-state P C M vs)) start-heap
by(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def start-state-def split-beta)
next
show jvm-known-addrs start-tid (( $\lambda(mxs, mxl0, b)$  vs. (None, [([], Null # vs @ replicate mxl0 undefined-value, fst (method P C M), M, 0)])) (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))))) vs  $\subseteq$  allocated start-heap
using vs2
by(auto simp add: split-beta start-addrs-allocated jvm-known-addrs-def intro: start-tid-start-addrs[OF wf-syscls P] ok)
qed
qed

```

```

end

declare split-paired-Ex [simp del]
declare eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps [simp]

context JVM-progress begin

abbreviation (input) jvm-non-speculative-read-bound :: nat where
  jvm-non-speculative-read-bound ≡ 2

lemma exec-instr-non-speculative-read:
  assumes hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
  and vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs
  and hconf: hconf (shr s)
  and exec-i: (ta, xcp', h', frs') ∈ exec-instr i P t (shr s) stk loc C M pc frs
  and check: check-instr i P (shr s) stk loc C M pc frs
  and aok: execd-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s t ta
  and i: I < length {ta}o
  and read: {ta}o ! I = ReadMem a'' al'' v
  and v': v' ∈ w-values P vs (map NormalAction (take I {ta}o)) (a'', al'')
  and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction (take I {ta}o)))
  shows ∃ ta' xcp'' h'' frs''. (ta', xcp'', h'', frs'') ∈ exec-instr i P t (shr s) stk loc C M pc frs ∧
    execd-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s t ta' ∧
    I < length {ta}'o ∧ take I {ta}'o = take I {ta}o ∧
    {ta}'o ! I = ReadMem a'' al'' v' ∧
    length {ta}'o ≤ max jvm-non-speculative-read-bound (length {ta}o)
using exec-i i read
proof(cases i)
  case [simp]: ALoad
  let ?a = the-Addr (hd (tl stk))
  let ?i = the-Intg (hd stk)
  from exec-i i read have Null: hd (tl stk) ≠ Null
    and bounds: 0 <= s ?i sint ?i < int (alen-of-htype (the (typeof-addr (shr s) ?a)))
    and [simp]: I = 0 a'' = ?a al'' = ACell (nat (sint ?i))
    by(auto split: if-split-asm)

  from Null check obtain a T n
    where a: length stk > 1 hd (tl stk) = Addr a
    and type: typeof-addr (shr s) ?a = [Array-type T n] by(fastforce simp add: is-Ref-def)
  from bounds type have nat (sint ?i) < n
    by (simp add: word-sle-eq nat-less-iff)
  with type have adal: P,shr s ⊢ ?a@ACell (nat (sint ?i)) : T
    by(rule addr-loc-type.intros)
  from v' vs adal have P,shr s ⊢ v' :≤ T by(auto dest!: vs-confD dest: addr-loc-type-fun)
  with hrt adal have heap-read (shr s) ?a (ACell (nat (sint ?i))) v' using hconf by(rule heap-read-typeableD)
  with type Null aok exec-i show ?thesis apply auto using bounds by fastforce+
next
  case [simp]: (Getfield F D)
  let ?a = the-Addr (hd stk)

  from exec-i i read have Null: hd stk ≠ Null
    and [simp]: I = 0 a'' = ?a al'' = CField D F
    by(auto split: if-split-asm)
  with check obtain U T fm C' a

```

```

where sees:  $P \vdash D \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D$ 
and type:  $\text{typeof-addr}(\text{shr } s) ?a = \lfloor U \rfloor$ 
and sub:  $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \preceq^* D$ 
and a:  $hd \text{ stk} = \text{Addr } a$   $\text{length } \text{stk} > 0$  by(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
from has-visible-field[ $OF$  sees] sub
have  $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D$  by(rule has-field-mono)
with type have adal:  $P, \text{shr } s \vdash ?a @ CField D F : T$ 
by(rule addr-loc-type.intros)
from  $v' \text{ vs adal have } P, \text{shr } s \vdash v' : \leq T$  by(auto dest!: vs-confD dest: addr-loc-type-fun)
with hrt adal have heap-read( $\text{shr } s$ ) ?a ( $CField D F$ )  $v'$  using hconf by(rule heap-read-typeableD)
with type Null aok exec-i show ?thesis by(fastforce)
next
case [simp]: ( $CAS F D$ )
let ?a = the-Addr( $hd(tl(tl \text{ stk}))$ )
from exec-i i read have Null:  $hd(tl(tl \text{ stk})) \neq \text{Null}$ 
and [simp]:  $I = 0$   $a'' = ?a$   $al'' = CField D F$ 
by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: nth-Cons')
with check obtain U T fm C' a
where sees:  $P \vdash D \text{ sees } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D$ 
and type:  $\text{typeof-addr}(\text{shr } s) ?a = \lfloor U \rfloor$ 
and sub:  $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \preceq^* D$ 
and a:  $hd(tl(tl \text{ stk})) = \text{Addr } a$   $\text{length } \text{stk} > 2$ 
and v:  $P, \text{shr } s \vdash hd \text{ stk} : \leq T$ 
by(auto simp add: is-Ref-def)
from has-visible-field[ $OF$  sees] sub
have  $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } U \text{ has } F:T \text{ (fm) in } D$  by(rule has-field-mono)
with type have adal:  $P, \text{shr } s \vdash ?a @ CField D F : T$ 
by(rule addr-loc-type.intros)
from  $v' \text{ vs adal have } P, \text{shr } s \vdash v' : \leq T$  by(auto dest!: vs-confD dest: addr-loc-type-fun)
with hrt adal have read( $\text{shr } s$ ) ?a ( $CField D F$ )  $v'$  using hconf by(rule heap-read-typeableD)
show ?thesis
proof(cases  $v' = hd(tl \text{ stk})$ )
case True
from heap-write-total[ $OF$  hconf adal v] a obtain h'
where heap-write( $\text{shr } s$ ) a ( $CField D F$ ) ( $hd \text{ stk}$ )  $h'$  by auto
then show ?thesis using read a True aok exec-i by fastforce
next
case False
then show ?thesis using read a aok exec-i
by(fastforce intro!: disjI2)
qed
next
case [simp]: ( $Invoke M n$ )
let ?a = the-Addr( $stk ! n$ )
let ?vs = rev(take n stk)
from exec-i i read have Null:  $stk ! n \neq \text{Null}$ 
and iec:  $snd(snd(method P(class-type-of(the(typeof-addr(shr s) ?a)))M)) = Native$ 
by(auto split: if-split-asm)
with check obtain a T Ts Tr D
where a:  $stk ! n = \text{Addr } a$   $n < \text{length } \text{stk}$ 
and type:  $\text{typeof-addr}(\text{shr } s) ?a = \lfloor T \rfloor$ 
and extwt:  $P \vdash \text{class-type-of } T \text{ sees } M:Ts \rightarrow Tr = Native \text{ in } D$   $D \cdot M(Ts) :: Tr$ 
by(auto simp add: is-Ref-def has-method-def)

```

```

from extwt have native: is-native P T M by(auto simp add: is-native.simps)
from Null iec type exec-i obtain ta' va
  where red: (ta', va, h') ∈ red-external-aggr P t ?a M ?vs (shr s)
    and ta: ta = extTA2JVM P ta' by(fastforce)
from aok ta have aok': execd-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s t ta' by simp
from red-external-aggr-non-speculative-read[OF hrt vs red[unfolded a the-Addr.simps] - aok' hconf,
of I a'' al'' v v']
  native type i read v' ns a ta
obtain ta'' va'' h'' 
  where (ta'', va'', h'') ∈ red-external-aggr P t a M (rev (take n stk)) (shr s)
    and execd-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s t ta''
    and I < length {ta''}o take I {ta''}o = take I {ta'}o
    and {ta''}o ! I = ReadMem a'' al'' v' length {ta''}o ≤ length {ta'}o by auto
thus ?thesis using Null iec ta extwt a type
  by(cases va'') force+
qed(auto simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm)

lemma exec-1-d-non-speculative-read:
assumes hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and vs: vs-conf P (shr s) vs
and exec: P,t ⊢ Normal (xcp, shr s, frs) −ta−jvmd→ Normal (xcp', h', frs')
and aok: execd-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s t ta
and hconf: hconf (shr s)
and i: I < length {ta}o
and read: {ta}o ! I = ReadMem a'' al'' v
and v': v' ∈ w-values P vs (map NormalAction (take I {ta}o)) (a'', al'')
and ns: non-speculative P vs (llist-of (map NormalAction (take I {ta}o)))
shows ∃ ta' xcp'' h'' frs''. P,t ⊢ Normal (xcp, shr s, frs) −ta'−jvmd→ Normal (xcp'', h'', frs'') ∧
  execd-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s t ta' ∧
  I < length {ta}o ∧ take I {ta'}o = take I {ta}o ∧
  {ta'}o ! I = ReadMem a'' al'' v' ∧
  length {ta'}o ≤ max jvm-non-speculative-read-bound (length {ta}o)
using assms
apply -
apply(erule jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases (P, t, xcp, shr s, frs) rule: exec.cases)
  apply simp
  defer
  apply simp
  apply clarsimp
  apply(drule (3) exec-instr-non-speculative-read)
    apply(clarsimp simp add: check-def has-method-def)
    apply simp
    apply(rule i)
    apply(rule read)
    apply(rule v')
    apply(rule ns)
  apply(clarsimp simp add: exec-1-d.simps exec-d-def)
done

end

declare split-paired-Ex [simp]
declare eq-up-to-seq-inconsist-simps [simp del]

```

```

locale JVM-allocated-progress =
  JVM-progress
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write hconf
    P
  +
  JVM-allocated-heap-conf
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write hconf
    allocated
    P
  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\rightarrow$  htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and hconf :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and allocated :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr set
  and P :: 'addr jvm-prog
begin

lemma non-speculative-read:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
  and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
  and ka:  $\bigcup$ (ka-Val ' set vs)  $\subseteq$  set start-addrs
  shows execd-mthr.if.non-speculative-read jvm-non-speculative-read-bound
    (init-fin-lift-state status (JVM-start-state P C M vs))
    (w-values P ( $\lambda$ -. {})) (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)))
  (is execd-mthr.if.non-speculative-read - ?start-state ?start-vs)
proof(rule execd-mthr.if.non-speculative-readI)
  fix ttas s' t x ta x' m' i ad al v v'
  assume  $\tau$  Red: execd-mthr.mthr.if.RedT P ?start-state ttas s'
  and sc: non-speculative P ?start-vs (llist-of (concat (map ( $\lambda$ (t, ta). {ta}_o) ttas)))
  and ts't: thr s' t = [(x, no-wait-locks)]
  and red: execd-mthr.init-fin P t (x, shr s') ta (x', m')
  and aok: execd-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta
  and i: i < length {ta}_o
  and ns': non-speculative P (w-values P ?start-vs (concat (map ( $\lambda$ (t, ta). {ta}_o) ttas))) (llist-of
  (take i {ta}_o))
  and read: {ta}_o ! i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
  and v': v'  $\in$  w-values P ?start-vs (concat (map ( $\lambda$ (t, ta). {ta}_o) ttas) @ take i {ta}_o) (ad, al)

from wf-start obtain Ts T meth D where ok: start-heap-ok
  and sees: P  $\vdash$  C sees M:Ts  $\rightarrow$  T = [meth] in D
  and conf: P,start-heap  $\vdash$  vs [:≤] Ts by cases

```

```

let ?conv =  $\lambda ttas. \text{concat} (\text{map} (\lambda(t, ta). \{ta\}_o) ttas)$ 
let ?vs' =  $w\text{-values } P \ ?start-vs \ (?conv ttas)$ 
let ?wt-ok =  $\text{init-fin-lift} (\lambda t (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frstls) \checkmark)$ 
let ?start-obs =  $\text{map} \text{ snd} (\text{lift-start-obs} \text{ start-tid} \text{ start-heap-obs})$ 

from wf obtain wf-md where wf': wf-prog wf-md P by(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)

interpret known-addrs-typing
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
  allocated jvm-known-addrs
  JVM-final mexecd P  $\lambda t (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frstls) \checkmark$ 
  using wf ok by(rule mexecd-known-addrs-typing)

from conf have len2: length vs = length Ts by(rule list-all2-lengthD)

from correct-jvm-state-initial[OF wf wf-start]
have correct-state-ts  $\Phi$  (thr (JVM-start-state P C M vs)) start-heap
  by(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def start-state-def split-beta)
hence ts-ok-start: ts-ok ?wt-ok (thr ?start-state) (shr ?start-state)
  unfolding ts-ok-init-fin-lift-init-fin-lift-state by(simp add: start-state-def split-beta)

have sc': non-speculative P ?start-vs (lmap snd (lconcat (lmap ( $\lambda(t, ta). \text{llist-of} (\text{map} (\text{Pair} t) \{ta\}_o))$ ) (llist-of ttas)))
  using sc by(simp add: lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp o-def split-def lconcat-llist-of[symmetric])
from start-state-vs-conf[OF wf-prog-wf-syscls[OF wf]]
have vs-conf-start: vs-conf P (shr ?start-state) ?start-vs
  by(simp add:init-fin-lift-state-conv-simps start-state-def split-beta)
with  $\tau$ Red ts-ok-start sc
have wt': ts-ok ?wt-ok (thr s') (shr s')
  and vs': vs-conf P (shr s') ?vs' by(rule if-RedT-non-speculative-invar)+

from red i read obtain xcp frs xcp' frs' ta'
  where x:  $x = (\text{Running}, xcp, frs)$  and x':  $x' = (\text{Running}, xcp', frs')$ 
  and ta:  $ta = \text{convert-TA-initial} (\text{convert-obs-initial} ta')$ 
  and red':  $P, t \vdash \text{Normal} (xcp, shr s', frs) - ta' - jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal} (xcp', m', frs')$ 
  by cases fastforce+

from ts't wt' x have hconf: hconf (shr s') by(auto dest!: ts-okD simp add: correct-state-def)

have aok': execd-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta' using aok unfolding ta by simp

from i read v' ns' ta have i < length \{ta'\}_o
  and \{ta'\}_o ! i = ReadMem ad al v
  and v' \in w-values P ?vs' (map NormalAction (take i \{ta'\}_o)) (ad, al)
  and non-speculative P ?vs' (llist-of (map NormalAction (take i \{ta'\}_o)))
  by(simp-all add: take-map)

from exec-1-d-non-speculative-read[OF hrt vs' red' aok' hconf this]
obtain ta'' xcp'' frs'' h'' 
  where red'':  $P, t \vdash \text{Normal} (xcp, shr s', frs) - ta'' - jvmd \rightarrow \text{Normal} (xcp'', h'', frs'')$ 
  and aok'': execd-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta''
  and i'':  $i < \text{length} \{ta''\}_o$ 

```

```

and eq'': take i {ta''}o = take i {ta'}o
and read'': {ta''}o ! i = ReadMem ad al v'
and len'': length {ta''}o ≤ max jvm-non-speculative-read-bound (length {ta'}o) by blast

let ?x' = (Running, xcp'', frs'')
let ?ta' = convert-TA-initial (convert-obs-initial ta'')
from red'' have execd-mthr.init-fin P t (x, shr s') ?ta' (?x', h'')
  unfolding x by -(rule execd-mthr.init-fin.NormalAction, simp)
moreover from aok'' have execd-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ?ta' by simp
moreover from i'' have i < length {?ta'}o by simp
moreover from eq'' have take i {?ta'}o = take i {ta}o unfolding ta by(simp add: take-map)
  moreover from read'' i'' have {?ta'}o ! i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v') by(simp add: nth-map)
moreover from len'' have length {?ta'}o ≤ max jvm-non-speculative-read-bound (length {ta}o)
  unfolding ta by simp
ultimately
show ∃ ta' x'' m''. execd-mthr.init-fin P t (x, shr s') ta' (x'', m'') ∧
  execd-mthr.mthr.if.actions-ok s' t ta' ∧
  i < length {ta}o ∧ take i {ta'}o = take i {ta}o ∧
  {ta'}o ! i = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v') ∧
  length {ta'}o ≤ max jvm-non-speculative-read-bound (length {ta}o)
by blast
qed

lemma JVM-cut-and-update:
assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and ka: ∪(ka-Val ‘ set vs) ⊆ set start-addrs
shows execd-mthr.if.cut-and-update (init-fin-lift-state status (JVM-start-state P C M vs))
  (mrw-values P Map.empty (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)))
proof -
  from wf-start obtain Ts T meth D where ok: start-heap-ok
    and sees: P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T = [meth] in D
    and conf: P,start-heap ⊢ vs [:≤] Ts by cases

  interpret known-addrs-typing
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
    allocated jvm-known-addrs
    JVM-final mexecd P λt (xcp, frstls) h. Φ ⊢ t: (xcp, h, frstls) √
    using wf ok by(rule mexecd-known-addrs-typing)

let ?start-vs = w-values P (λ-. {}) (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs))
let ?wt-ok = init-fin-lift (λt (xcp, frstls) h. Φ ⊢ t: (xcp, h, frstls) √)
let ?start-obs = map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)
let ?start-state = init-fin-lift-state status (JVM-start-state P C M vs)

from wf obtain wf-md where wf': wf-prog wf-md P by(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)
hence wf-syscls P by(rule wf-prog-wf-syscls)
moreover
note non-speculative-read[OF wf hrt wf-start ka]
moreover have ts-ok ?wt-ok (thr ?start-state) (shr ?start-state)

```

```

using correct-jvm-state-initial[OF wf wf-start]
by(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def start-state-def split-beta)
moreover have ka: jvm-known-addrs start-tid  $((\lambda(mxs, mxl0, b) \text{ vs. } (\text{None}, []), \text{Null} \# vs @ \text{replicate } mxl0 \text{ undefined-value}, \text{fst } (\text{method } P C M), M, 0)))$  (the  $(\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{method } P C M))))$ ) vs)  $\subseteq$  allocated start-heap
using ka by(auto simp add: split-beta start-addrs-allocated jvm-known-addrs-def intro: start-tid-start-addrs[OF wf-syscls P] ok)
ultimately show ?thesis by(rule non-speculative-read-into-cut-and-update)
qed

```

lemma *JVM-drf*:

```

assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and ka:  $\bigcup(\text{ka-Val} \setminus \text{set } vs) \subseteq \text{set start-addrs}$ 
shows drf (JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) P

```

proof –

```

from wf-start obtain Ts T meth D where ok: start-heap-ok
and sees: P  $\vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{meth} \rfloor$  in D
and conf: P, start-heap  $\vdash vs \leq Ts$  by cases

```

from *wf* **obtain** *wf-md* **where** *wf'*: wf-prog *wf-md* *P* **by**(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)

hence *wf-syscls P* **by**(rule wf-prog-wf-syscls)

with JVM-cut-and-update[*OF assms*]

show ?thesis

proof(rule known-addrs-typing.drf[*OF mexecd-known-addrs-typing[*OF wf ok*]]])*

```

from correct-jvm-state-initial[OF wf wf-start]
show correct-state-ts  $\Phi$  (thr (JVM-start-state P C M vs)) start-heap
by(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def start-state-def split-beta)

```

next

```

show jvm-known-addrs start-tid  $((\lambda(mxs, mxl0, b) \text{ vs. } (\text{None}, []), \text{Null} \# vs @ \text{replicate } mxl0 \text{ undefined-value}, \text{fst } (\text{method } P C M), M, 0)))$  (the  $(\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{method } P C M))))$ ) vs)  $\subseteq$  allocated start-heap

```

```

using ka by(auto simp add: split-beta start-addrs-allocated jvm-known-addrs-def intro: start-tid-start-addrs[OF wf-syscls P] ok)

```

qed

qed

lemma *JVM-sc-legal*:

```

assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and ka:  $\bigcup(\text{ka-Val} \setminus \text{set } vs) \subseteq \text{set start-addrs}$ 
shows sc-legal (JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) P

```

proof –

```

from wf-start obtain Ts T meth D where ok: start-heap-ok
and sees: P  $\vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = \lfloor \text{meth} \rfloor$  in D
and conf: P, start-heap  $\vdash vs \leq Ts$  by cases

```

interpret known-addrs-typing

addr2thread-id thread-id2addr

spurious-wakeups

empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write

allocated jvm-known-addrs

JVM-final mexecd P $\lambda t (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frstls) \vee$
using wf ok by(rule mexecd-known-addrs-typing)

**from wf obtain wf-md where wf': wf-prog wf-md P by(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)
hence wf-syscls P by(rule wf-prog-wf-syscls)**

**let ?start-vs = w-values P ($\lambda \cdot \{ \}$) (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs))
let ?wt-ok = init-fin-lift ($\lambda t (xcp, frstls) h. \Phi \vdash t: (xcp, h, frstls) \vee$)
let ?start-obs = map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)
let ?start-state = init-fin-lift-state status (JVM-start-state P C M vs)**

**note <wf-syscls P> non-speculative-read[OF wf hrt wf-start ka]
moreover have ts-ok ?wt-ok (thr ?start-state) (shr ?start-state)
using correct-jvm-state-initial[OF wf wf-start]
by(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def start-state-def split-beta)
moreover
have ka-allocated: jvm-known-addrs start-tid (($\lambda(mxs, mxl0, b) vs. (None, [], Null \# vs @ replicate mxl0 undefined-value, fst (method P C M), M, 0))$) (the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M)))))) vs) \subseteq allocated start-heap
using ka by(auto simp add: split-beta start-addrs-allocated jvm-known-addrs-def intro: start-tid-start-addrs[OF <wf-syscls P> ok])
ultimately have execd-mthr.if.hb-completion ?start-state (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)
by(rule non-speculative-read-into-hb-completion)**

**thus ?thesis using <wf-syscls P>
proof(rule sc-legal)
from correct-jvm-state-initial[OF wf wf-start]
show correct-state-ts Φ (thr (JVM-start-state P C M vs)) start-heap
by(simp add: correct-jvm-state-def start-state-def split-beta)
qed(rule ka-allocated)
qed**

**lemma JVM-jmm-consistent:
assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $_{\Phi}$ P
and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and ka: $\bigcup (ka\text{-Val} \setminus set vs) \subseteq set start-addrs$
shows jmm-consistent (JVMd- \mathcal{E} P C M vs status) P
(is jmm-consistent ? \mathcal{E} P)
proof –
interpret drf ? \mathcal{E} P using assms by(rule JVM-drf)
interpret sc-legal ? \mathcal{E} P using assms by(rule JVM-sc-legal)
show ?thesis by unfold-locales
qed**

**lemma JVM-ex-sc-exec:
assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $_{\Phi}$ P
and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and ka: $\bigcup (ka\text{-Val} \setminus set vs) \subseteq set start-addrs$
shows $\exists E ws. E \in JVMd-{\mathcal{E}} P C M vs status \wedge P \vdash (E, ws) \vee \wedge sequentially-consistent P (E, ws)$
(is $\exists E ws. - \in ?\mathcal{E} \wedge -$)
proof –
interpret jmm: executions-sc-hb ? \mathcal{E} P using assms by –(rule executions-sc)**

```

let ?start-state = init-fin-lift-state status (JVM-start-state P C M vs)
let ?start-mrw = mrw-values P Map.empty (map snd (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs))

from execd-mthr.if.sequential-completion-Runs[OF execd-mthr.if.cut-and-update-imp-sc-completion[OF
JVM-cut-and-update[OF assms]] ta-seq-consist-convert-RA]
obtain ttas where Red: execd-mthr.mthr.Runs P ?start-state ttas
  and sc: ta-seq-consist P ?start-mrw (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of {ta} o) ttas)) by blast
  let ?E = lappend (llist-of (lift-start-obs start-tid start-heap-obs)) (lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of
  (map (Pair t) {ta} o)) ttas))
  from Red have ?E ∈ ?E by(blast intro: execd-mthr.mthr.if.Ε.intros)
  moreover from Red have tsa: thread-start-actions-ok ?E
    by(blast intro: execd-mthr.thread-start-actions-ok-init-fin execd-mthr.mthr.if.Ε.intros)
  from sc have ta-seq-consist P Map.empty (lmap snd ?E)
  unfolding lmap-lappend-distrib lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp split-def o-def lmap-llist-of map-map
  snd-conv
    by(simp add: ta-seq-consist-lappend ta-seq-consist-start-heap-obs)
  from ta-seq-consist-imp-sequentially-consistent[OF tsa jmm.Ε-new-actions-for-fun[OF <?E ∈ ?E>]
this]
  obtain ws where sequentially-consistent P (?E, ws) P ⊢ (?E, ws) √ by iprover
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

theorem JVM-consistent:

```

assumes wf: wf-jvm-progΦ P
and hrt: heap-read-typeable hconf P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
and ka: ∪(ka-Val ‘ set vs) ⊆ set start-addrs
shows ∃ E ws. legal-execution P (JVMd-Ε P C M vs status) (E, ws)

```

proof –

```

let ?Ε = JVMd-Ε P C M vs status
interpret sc-legal ?Ε P using assms by(rule JVM-sc-legal)
from JVM-ex-sc-exec[OF assms]
obtain E ws where E ∈ ?Ε P ⊢ (E, ws) √ sequentially-consistent P (E, ws) by blast
hence legal-execution P ?Ε (E, ws) by(rule SC-is-legal)
thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

end

One could now also prove that the aggressive JVM satisfies *drf*. The key would be that *welltyped-commute* also holds for *non-speculative* prefixes from start.

end

8.16 JMM heap implementation 1

```

theory JMM-Type
imports
  ./Common/ExternalCallWF
  ./Common/ConformThreaded
  JMM-Heap
begin

```

8.16.1 Definitions

The JMM heap only stores type information.

type-synonym $'addr \text{ JMM-heap} = 'addr \rightarrow htype$

translations $(type) \ 'addr \text{ JMM-heap} <= (type) \ 'addr \Rightarrow htype \text{ option}$

abbreviation $jmm\text{-empty} :: 'addr \text{ JMM-heap} \text{ where } jmm\text{-empty} == Map.empty$

definition $jmm\text{-allocate} :: 'addr \text{ JMM-heap} \Rightarrow htype \Rightarrow ('addr \text{ JMM-heap} \times 'addr) \text{ set}$
where $jmm\text{-allocate} h hT = (\lambda a. (h(a \mapsto hT), a))` \{a. h a = None\}$

definition $jmm\text{-typeof-addr} :: 'addr \text{ JMM-heap} \Rightarrow 'addr \rightarrow htype$
where $jmm\text{-typeof-addr} h = h$

definition $jmm\text{-heap-read} :: 'addr \text{ JMM-heap} \Rightarrow 'addr \Rightarrow addr\text{-loc} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ val} \Rightarrow bool$
where $jmm\text{-heap-read} h a ad v = True$

context

notes [[inductive-internals]]

begin

inductive $jmm\text{-heap-write} :: 'addr \text{ JMM-heap} \Rightarrow 'addr \Rightarrow addr\text{-loc} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ val} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ JMM-heap}$
 $\Rightarrow bool$
where $jmm\text{-heap-write} h a ad v h$

end

definition $jmm\text{-hconf} :: 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ JMM-heap} \Rightarrow bool (-\vdash jmm - \checkmark [51,51] 50)$
where $P \vdash jmm h \checkmark \longleftrightarrow ty\text{-of-}htype` ran h \subseteq \{T. is\text{-}type P T\}$

definition $jmm\text{-allocated} :: 'addr \text{ JMM-heap} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ set}$
where $jmm\text{-allocated} h = dom (jmm\text{-typeof-addr} h)$

definition $jmm\text{-spurious-wakeups} :: bool$
where $jmm\text{-spurious-wakeups} = True$

lemmas $jmm\text{-heap-ops-defs} =$
 $jmm\text{-allocate-def} \ jmm\text{-typeof-addr-def}$
 $jmm\text{-heap-read-def} \ jmm\text{-heap-write-def}$
 $jmm\text{-allocated-def} \ jmm\text{-spurious-wakeups-def}$

type-synonym $'addr \text{ thread-id} = 'addr$

abbreviation (input) $addr2thread-id :: 'addr \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ thread-id}$
where $addr2thread-id \equiv \lambda x. x$

abbreviation (input) $thread-id2addr :: 'addr \text{ thread-id} \Rightarrow 'addr$
where $thread-id2addr \equiv \lambda x. x$

interpretation $jmm: heap\text{-base}$
 $addr2thread-id \ thread-id2addr$
 $jmm\text{-spurious-wakeups}$
 $jmm\text{-empty} \ jmm\text{-allocate} \ jmm\text{-typeof-addr} \ jmm\text{-heap-read} \ jmm\text{-heap-write}$

```

.
notation jmm.hext (-  $\trianglelefteq_{jmm}$  - [51,51] 50)
notation jmm.conf (-,-  $\vdash_{jmm}$  - : $\leq$  - [51,51,51,51] 50)
notation jmm.addr-loc-type (-,-  $\vdash_{jmm}$  -@- : - [50, 50, 50, 50, 50] 51)
notation jmm.confs (-,-  $\vdash_{jmm}$  - [: $\leq$ ] - [51,51,51,51] 50)
notation jmm.tconf (-,-  $\vdash_{jmm}$  -  $\sqrt{t}$  [51,51,51] 50)

```

Now a variation of the JMM with a different read operation that permits to read only type-conformant values

```

interpretation jmm': heap-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm.heap-read-typed P jmm-heap-write
  for P .

```

```

notation jmm'.hext (-  $\trianglelefteq_{jmm''}$  - [51,51] 50)
notation jmm'.conf (-,-  $\vdash_{jmm''}$  - : $\leq$  - [51,51,51,51] 50)
notation jmm'.addr-loc-type (-,-  $\vdash_{jmm''}$  -@- : - [50, 50, 50, 50, 50] 51)
notation jmm'.confs (-,-  $\vdash_{jmm''}$  - [: $\leq$ ] - [51,51,51,51] 50)
notation jmm'.tconf (-,-  $\vdash_{jmm''}$  -  $\sqrt{t}$  [51,51,51] 50)

```

8.16.2 Heap locale interpretations

8.16.3 Locale *heap*

```

lemma jmm-heap: heap addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm-heap-write
P
proof
  fix h' a h hT
  assume (h', a)  $\in$  jmm-allocate h hT
  thus jmm-typeof-addr h' a =  $\lfloor hT \rfloor$ 
    by(auto simp add: jmm-heap-ops-defs)
next
  fix h' :: ('addr :: addr) JMM-heap and h hT a
  assume (h', a)  $\in$  jmm-allocate h hT
  thus h  $\trianglelefteq_{jmm}$  h'
    by(fastforce simp add: jmm-heap-ops-defs intro: jmm.hextI)
next
  fix h a al v and h' :: ('addr :: addr) JMM-heap
  assume jmm-heap-write h a al v h'
  thus h  $\trianglelefteq_{jmm}$  h' by cases auto
qed simp

```

```

interpretation jmm: heap
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write
  P
  for P
  by(rule jmm-heap)

```

```
declare jmm.typeof-addr-thread-id2-addr-addr2thread-id [simp del]
```

```

lemmas jmm'-heap = jmm-heap

interpretation jmm': heap
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm.heap-read-typed P jmm.heap-write
  P
  for P
  by(rule jmm'-heap)

declare jmm'.typeof-addr-thread-id2-addr-addr2thread-id [simp del]

```

8.16.4 Locale *heap-conf*

```

interpretation jmm: heap-conf-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm.heap-read jmm.heap-write jmm-hconf P
  P
  for P .

abbreviation (input) jmm'-hconf :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr JMM-heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\vdash jmm''$  -  $\checkmark$  [51,51] 50)
where jmm'-hconf == jmm-hconf

interpretation jmm': heap-conf-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm.heap-read-typed P jmm.heap-write jmm'-hconf P
  P
  for P .

abbreviation jmm-heap-read-typeable :: ('addr :: addr) itself  $\Rightarrow$  'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where jmm-heap-read-typeable tytok P  $\equiv$  jmm.heap-read-typeable (jmm-hconf P :: 'addr JMM-heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool) P

abbreviation jmm'-heap-read-typeable :: ('addr :: addr) itself  $\Rightarrow$  'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where jmm'-heap-read-typeable tytok P  $\equiv$  jmm'.heap-read-typeable TYPE('m) P (jmm-hconf P :: 'addr JMM-heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool) P

lemma jmm-heap-read-typeable: jmm-heap-read-typeable tytok P
by(rule jmm.heap-read-typeableI)(simp add: jmm-heap-read-def)

lemma jmm'-heap-read-typeable: jmm'-heap-read-typeable tytok P
by(rule jmm'.heap-read-typeableI)(auto simp add: jmm.heap-read-typed-def jmm-heap-read-def dest: jmm'.addr-loc-type-fun)

lemma jmm-heap-conf:
  heap-conf addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm.heap-write
  (jmm-hconf P) P
proof
  show P  $\vdash jmm$  jmm-empty  $\checkmark$ 
    by(simp add: jmm-hconf-def)
next
  fix h a hT

```

```

assume jmm-typeof-addr h a =  $\lfloor hT \rfloor$  P ⊢ jmm h √
thus is-htype P hT by(auto simp add: jmm-hconf-def jmm-heap-ops-defs intro: ranI)
next
  fix h' h hT a
  assume (h', a) ∈ jmm-allocate h hT P ⊢ jmm h √ is-htype P hT
  thus P ⊢ jmm h' √
    by(fastforce simp add: jmm-hconf-def jmm-heap-ops-defs ran-def split: if-split-asm)
next
  fix h a al v h' T
  assume jmm-heap-write h a al v h' P ⊢ jmm h √
    and jmm.addr-loc-type P h a al T and P,h ⊢ jmm v :≤ T
  thus P ⊢ jmm h' √ by(cases) simp
qed

interpretation jmm: heap-conf
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf P
  P
  for P
by(rule jmm-heap-conf)

lemmas jmm'-heap-conf = jmm-heap-conf

interpretation jmm': heap-conf
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm.heap-read-typed P jmm-heap-write jmm'-hconf P
  P
  for P
by(rule jmm'-heap-conf)

```

8.16.5 Locale heap-progress

```

lemma jmm-heap-progress:
  heap-progress addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm-heap-read
  jmm-heap-write (jmm-hconf P) P
proof
  fix h a al T
  assume P ⊢ jmm h √
    and al: jmm.addr-loc-type P h a al T
  show ∃ v. jmm-heap-read h a al v ∧ P,h ⊢ jmm v :≤ T
    using jmm.defval-conf[of P h T] unfolding jmm-heap-ops-defs by blast
next
  fix h a al T v
  assume jmm.addr-loc-type P h a al T
  show ∃ h'. jmm-heap-write h a al v h'
    by(auto intro: jmm-heap-write.intros)
qed

interpretation jmm: heap-progress
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf P

```

```

 $P$ 
for  $P$ 
by(rule  $jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}progress$ )

lemma  $jmm'\text{-}heap\text{-}progress$ :
   $\text{heap}\text{-}\text{progress } \text{addr2thread-id } \text{thread-id2addr } jmm\text{-}\text{empty } jmm\text{-}\text{allocate } jmm\text{-}\text{typeof}\text{-}addr (jmm.\text{heap}\text{-}\text{read}\text{-}\text{typed } P) jmm\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{write } (jmm'\text{-}\text{hconf } P) P$ 
proof
  fix  $h a al T$ 
  assume  $P \vdash jmm' h \vee$ 
    and  $al: jmm'.addr\text{-}\text{loc}\text{-}\text{type } P h a al T$ 
  thus  $\exists v. jmm.\text{heap}\text{-}\text{read}\text{-}\text{typed } P h a al v \wedge P, h \vdash jmm' v : \leq T$ 
    unfolding  $jmm.\text{heap}\text{-}\text{read}\text{-}\text{typed}\text{-}\text{def } jmm\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{read}\text{-}\text{def}$ 
    by(auto dest:  $jmm'.addr\text{-}\text{loc}\text{-}\text{type}\text{-}\text{fun }$  intro:  $jmm'.defval\text{-}\text{conf}$ )
next
  fix  $h a al T v$ 
  assume  $jmm'.addr\text{-}\text{loc}\text{-}\text{type } P h a al T$ 
    and  $P, h \vdash jmm' v : \leq T$ 
  thus  $\exists h'. jmm\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{write } h a al v h'$ 
    by(auto intro:  $jmm\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{write}.\text{intros}$ )
qed

interpretation  $jmm': \text{heap}\text{-}\text{progress}$ 
   $\text{addr2thread-id } \text{thread-id2addr}$ 
   $jmm\text{-}\text{spurious}\text{-}\text{wakeups}$ 
   $jmm\text{-}\text{empty } jmm\text{-}\text{allocate } jmm\text{-}\text{typeof}\text{-}addr jmm.\text{heap}\text{-}\text{read}\text{-}\text{typed } P jmm\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{write } jmm'\text{-}\text{hconf } P$ 
   $P$ 
  for  $P$ 
by(rule  $jmm'\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{progress}$ )

```

8.16.6 Locale $heap\text{-}\text{conf}\text{-}\text{read}$

```

lemma  $jmm'\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{conf}\text{-}\text{read}$ :
   $\text{heap}\text{-}\text{conf}\text{-}\text{read } \text{addr2thread-id } \text{thread-id2addr } jmm\text{-}\text{empty } jmm\text{-}\text{allocate } jmm\text{-}\text{typeof}\text{-}addr (jmm.\text{heap}\text{-}\text{read}\text{-}\text{typed } P) jmm\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{write } (jmm'\text{-}\text{hconf } P) P$ 
by(rule  $jmm.\text{heap}\text{-}\text{conf}\text{-}\text{read}\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{read}\text{-}\text{typed}$ )

interpretation  $jmm': \text{heap}\text{-}\text{conf}\text{-}\text{read}$ 
   $\text{addr2thread-id } \text{thread-id2addr}$ 
   $jmm\text{-}\text{spurious}\text{-}\text{wakeups}$ 
   $jmm\text{-}\text{empty } jmm\text{-}\text{allocate } jmm\text{-}\text{typeof}\text{-}addr jmm.\text{heap}\text{-}\text{read}\text{-}\text{typed } P jmm\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{write } jmm'\text{-}\text{hconf } P$ 
   $P$ 
  for  $P$ 
by(rule  $jmm'\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{conf}\text{-}\text{read}$ )

interpretation  $jmm': \text{heap}\text{-}\text{typesafe}$ 
   $\text{addr2thread-id } \text{thread-id2addr}$ 
   $jmm\text{-}\text{spurious}\text{-}\text{wakeups}$ 
   $jmm\text{-}\text{empty } jmm\text{-}\text{allocate } jmm\text{-}\text{typeof}\text{-}addr jmm.\text{heap}\text{-}\text{read}\text{-}\text{typed } P jmm\text{-}\text{heap}\text{-}\text{write } jmm'\text{-}\text{hconf } P$ 
   $P$ 
  for  $P$ 
..

```

8.16.7 Locale *allocated-heap*

```

lemma jmm-allocated-heap:
  allocated-heap addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm-heap-write
  jmm-allocated P
proof
  show jmm-allocated jmm-empty = {} by(auto simp add: jmm-heap-ops-defs)
next
  fix h' a h hT
  assume (h', a) ∈ jmm-allocate h hT
  thus jmm-allocated h' = insert a (jmm-allocated h) ∧ a ∉ jmm-allocated h
    by(auto simp add: jmm-heap-ops-defs split: if-split-asm)
next
  fix h a al v h'
  assume jmm-heap-write h a al v h'
  thus jmm-allocated h' = jmm-allocated h by cases simp
qed

interpretation jmm: allocated-heap
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write
  jmm-allocated
  P
  for P
by(rule jmm-allocated-heap)

lemmas jmm'-allocated-heap = jmm-allocated-heap

```

```

interpretation jmm': allocated-heap
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr jmm.heap-read-typed P jmm-heap-write
  jmm-allocated
  P
  for P
by(rule jmm'-allocated-heap)

```

8.16.8 Syntax translations

notation jmm'.external-WT' (,-, - ⊢ jmm'' (---'(-')) : - [50,0,0,0,50] 60)

abbreviation jmm'-red-external ::
 $'m \text{ prog} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ thread-id} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ JMM-heap} \Rightarrow 'addr \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ val list}$
 $\Rightarrow ('addr :: addr, 'addr \text{ thread-id}, 'addr \text{ JMM-heap}) \text{ external-thread-action}$
 $\Rightarrow 'addr \text{ extCallRet} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ JMM-heap} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where jmm'-red-external P ≡ jmm'.red-external (TYPE('m)) P P

abbreviation jmm'-red-external-syntax ::
 $'m \text{ prog} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ thread-id} \Rightarrow 'addr \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ val list} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ JMM-heap}$
 $\Rightarrow ('addr :: addr, 'addr \text{ thread-id}, 'addr \text{ JMM-heap}) \text{ external-thread-action}$
 $\Rightarrow 'addr \text{ extCallRet} \Rightarrow 'addr \text{ JMM-heap} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(,-, - ⊢ jmm'' (\langle\langle ---'(-'), /-\rangle\rangle) \dashrightarrow \text{ext} (\langle\langle (-), /(-)\rangle\rangle) [50, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 51)$

where
 $P, t \vdash jmm' \langle a \cdot M(vs), h \rangle \dashrightarrow \text{ext} \langle va, h' \rangle \equiv \text{jmm'-red-external } P \ t \ h \ a \ M \ vs \ ta \ va \ h'$

```

abbreviation jmm'-red-external-aggr ::  

  'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr JMM-heap  

   $\Rightarrow$  (('addr :: addr, 'addr thread-id, 'addr JMM-heap) external-thread-action  $\times$  'addr extCallRet  $\times$   

  'addr JMM-heap) set  

where jmm'-red-external-aggr P  $\equiv$  jmm'.red-external-aggr TYPE('m) P P

abbreviation jmm'-heap-copy-loc ::  

  'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr JMM-heap  

   $\Rightarrow$  ('addr :: addr, 'addr thread-id) obs-event list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr JMM-heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool  

where jmm'-heap-copy-loc  $\equiv$  jmm'.heap-copy-loc TYPE('m)

abbreviation jmm'-heap-copies ::  

  'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr JMM-heap  

   $\Rightarrow$  ('addr :: addr, 'addr thread-id) obs-event list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr JMM-heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool  

where jmm'-heap-copies  $\equiv$  jmm'.heap-copies TYPE('m)

abbreviation jmm'-heap-clone ::  

  'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr JMM-heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr JMM-heap  

   $\Rightarrow$  (('addr :: addr, 'addr thread-id) obs-event list  $\times$  'addr) option  $\Rightarrow$  bool  

where jmm'-heap-clone P  $\equiv$  jmm'.heap-clone TYPE('m) P P

end

```

8.17 Compiler correctness for the JMM

```

theory JMM-Compiler imports  

  JMM-J  

  JMM-JVM  

  .. / Compiler / Correctness  

  .. / Framework / FWBisimLift  

begin

lemma action-loc-aux-compP [simp]: action-loc-aux (compP f P) = action-loc-aux P  

by(auto 4 4 elim!: action-loc-aux-cases)

lemma action-loc-compP: action-loc (compP f P) = action-loc P  

by simp

lemma is-volatile-compP [simp]: is-volatile (compP f P) = is-volatile P  

proof(rule ext)
  fix hT
  show is-volatile (compP f P) hT = is-volatile P hT
    by(cases hT) simp-all
qed

lemma saction-compP [simp]: saction (compP f P) = saction P  

by(simp add: saction.simps fun-eq-iff)

lemma sactions-compP [simp]: sactions (compP f P) = sactions P  

by(rule ext)(simp only: sactions-def, simp)

lemma addr-locs-compP [simp]: addr-locs (compP f P) = addr-locs P

```

```

by(rule ext)(case-tac x, simp-all)

lemma syncronizes-with-compP [simp]: synchronizes-with (compP f P) = synchronizes-with P
by(simp add: synchronizes-with.simps fun-eq-iff)

lemma sync-order-compP [simp]: sync-order (compP f P) = sync-order P
by(simp add: sync-order-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma sync-with-compP [simp]: sync-with (compP f P) = sync-with P
by(simp add: sync-with-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma po-sw-compP [simp]: po-sw (compP f P) = po-sw P
by(simp add: po-sw-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma happens-before-compP: happens-before (compP f P) = happens-before P
by simp

lemma addr-loc-default-compP [simp]: addr-loc-default (compP f P) = addr-loc-default P
proof(intro ext)
  fix hT al
  show addr-loc-default (compP f P) hT al = addr-loc-default P hT al
    by(cases (P, hT, al) rule: addr-loc-default.cases) simp-all
qed

lemma value-written-aux-compP [simp]: value-written-aux (compP f P) = value-written-aux P
proof(intro ext)
  fix a al
  show value-written-aux (compP f P) a al = value-written-aux P a al
    by(cases (P, a, al) rule: value-written-aux.cases)(simp-all add: value-written-aux.simps)
qed

lemma value-written-compP [simp]: value-written (compP f P) = value-written P
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff value-written.simps)

lemma is-write-seen-compP [simp]: is-write-seen (compP f P) = is-write-seen P
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff is-write-seen-def)

lemma justification-well-formed-compP [simp]:
  justification-well-formed (compP f P) = justification-well-formed P
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff justification-well-formed-def)

lemma happens-before-committed-compP [simp]:
  happens-before-committed (compP f P) = happens-before-committed P
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff happens-before-committed-def)

lemma happens-before-committed-weak-compP [simp]:
  happens-before-committed-weak (compP f P) = happens-before-committed-weak P
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff happens-before-committed-weak-def)

lemma sync-order-committed-compP [simp]:
  sync-order-committed (compP f P) = sync-order-committed P
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff sync-order-committed-def)

lemma value-written-committed-compP [simp]:

```

$\text{value-written-committed } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{value-written-committed } P$
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff value-written-committed-def)

lemma *uncommitted-reads-see-hb-compP* [simp]:
 $\text{uncommitted-reads-see-hb } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{uncommitted-reads-see-hb } P$
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff uncommitted-reads-see-hb-def)

lemma *external-actions-committed-compP* [simp]:
 $\text{external-actions-committed } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{external-actions-committed } P$
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff external-actions-committed-def)

lemma *is-justified-by-compP* [simp]: $\text{is-justified-by } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{is-justified-by } P$
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff is-justified-by.simps)

lemma *is-weakly-justified-by-compP* [simp]: $\text{is-weakly-justified-by } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{is-weakly-justified-by } P$
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff is-weakly-justified-by.simps)

lemma *legal-execution-compP*: $\text{legal-execution } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{legal-execution } P$
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff gen-legal-execution.simps)

lemma *weakly-legal-execution-compP*: $\text{weakly-legal-execution } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{weakly-legal-execution } P$
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff gen-legal-execution.simps)

lemma *most-recent-write-for-compP* [simp]:
 $\text{most-recent-write-for } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{most-recent-write-for } P$
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff most-recent-write-for.simps)

lemma *sequentially-consistent-compP* [simp]:
 $\text{sequentially-consistent } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{sequentially-consistent } P$
by(simp add: sequentially-consistent-def split-beta)

lemma *conflict-compP* [simp]: $\text{non-volatile-conflict } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{non-volatile-conflict } P$
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff non-volatile-conflict-def)

lemma *correctly-synchronized-compP* [simp]:
 $\text{correctly-synchronized } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{correctly-synchronized } P$
by(simp add: fun-eq-iff correctly-synchronized-def)

lemma (in heap-base) *heap-read-typed-compP* [simp]:
 $\text{heap-read-typed } (\text{compP } f P) = \text{heap-read-typed } P$
by(intro ext)(simp add: heap-read-typed-def)

context J-JVM-heap-conf-base **begin**

definition *if-bisimJ2JVM* ::
 $((\text{'addr}, \text{'thread-id}, \text{status} \times \text{'addr expr} \times \text{'addr locals}, \text{'heap}, \text{'addr}) \text{ state},$
 $(\text{'addr}, \text{'thread-id}, \text{status} \times \text{'addr option} \times \text{'addr frame list}, \text{'heap}, \text{'addr}) \text{ state}) \text{ bisim}$

where
if-bisimJ2JVM =
 $F\text{Wbisimulation-base.mbisim red-red0.init-fin-bisim red-red0.init-fin-bisim-wait } \circ_B$
 $F\text{Wbisimulation-base.mbisim red0-Red1'.init-fin-bisim red0-Red1'.init-fin-bisim-wait } \circ_B$
 $\text{if-mbisim-Red1'-Red1 } \circ_B$
 $F\text{Wbisimulation-base.mbisim Red1-execd.init-fin-bisim Red1-execd.init-fin-bisim-wait}$

definition *if-tlsimJ2JVM* ::
 $('thread-id \times ('addr, 'thread-id, status \times 'addr expr \times 'addr locals,
 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) thread-action,$
 $'thread-id \times ('addr, 'thread-id, status \times 'addr jvm-thread-state,
 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) thread-action) bisim$

where
if-tlsimJ2JVM =
 $FWbisimulation-base.mta-bisim red-red0.init-fin-bisim \circ_B$
 $FWbisimulation-base.mta-bisim red0-Red1'.init-fin-bisim \circ_B (=) \circ_B$
 $FWbisimulation-base.mta-bisim Red1-execd.init-fin-bisim$

end

sublocale *J-JVM-conf-read* < *red-mthr*: *if-τ multithreaded-wf final Expr mred P convert-RA τMOVE P*
by(unfold-locales)

sublocale *J-JVM-conf-read* < *execd-mthr*:
if-τ multithreaded-wf JVM-final mexecd (compP2 (compP1 P)) convert-RA τMOVE2 (compP2 (compP1 P))
by(unfold-locales)

context *J-JVM-conf-read* **begin**

theorem *if-bisimJ2JVM-weak-bisim*:
assumes *wf*: *wf-J-prog P*
shows *delay-bisimulation-diverge-final*
 $(red-mthr.mthr.if.redT P) (execd-mthr.mthr.if.redT (J2JVM P)) if-bisimJ2JVM if-tlsimJ2JVM$
 $red-mthr.if.mτmove execd-mthr.if.mτmove red-mthr.mthr.if.mfinal execd-mthr.mthr.if.mfinal$

apply (*simp only*: *if-bisimJ2JVM-def if-tlsimJ2JVM-def J2JVM-def o-apply*)
apply(rule *delay-bisimulation-diverge-final-compose*)
apply(rule *FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mthr-delay-bisimulation-diverge-final*)
apply(rule *FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.init-fin-FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge*)
apply(rule *red-red0-FWbisim[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog[OF wf]]*)
apply(rule *delay-bisimulation-diverge-final-compose*)
apply(rule *FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mthr-delay-bisimulation-diverge-final*)
apply(rule *FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.init-fin-FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge*)
apply(rule *red0-Red1'-FWweak-bisim[OF wf]*)
apply(rule *delay-bisimulation-diverge-final-compose*)
apply(rule *delay-bisimulation-diverge-final.intro*)
apply(rule *bisimulation-into-delay.delay-bisimulation*)
apply(rule *if-Red1'-Red1-bisim-into-weak[OF compP1-pres-wf[OF wf]]*)
apply(rule *bisimulation-final.delay-bisimulation-final-base*)
apply(rule *if-Red1'-Red1-bisimulation-final[OF compP1-pres-wf[OF wf]]*)
apply(rule *FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.mthr-delay-bisimulation-diverge-final*)
apply(rule *FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge.init-fin-FWdelay-bisimulation-diverge*)
apply(rule *Red1-exec1-FWwbisim[OF compP1-pres-wf[OF wf]]*)
done

lemma *if-bisimJ2JVM-start*:

```

assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
shows if-bisimJ2JVM (init-fin-lift-state Running (J-start-state P C M vs))
          (init-fin-lift-state Running (JVM-start-state (J2JVM P) C M vs))
using assms
unfolding if-bisimJ2JVM-def J2JVM-def o-apply
apply(intro bisim-composeI)
  apply(rule FWbisimulation-base.init-fin-lift-state-mbisimI)
  apply(erule (1) bisim-J-J0-start[OF wf-prog-wwf-prog])
  apply(rule FWbisimulation-base.init-fin-lift-state-mbisimI)
  apply(erule (1) bisim-J0-J1-start)
  apply(erule if-bisim-J1-J1-start[OF compP1-pres-wf])
  apply simp
  apply(rule FWbisimulation-base.init-fin-lift-state-mbisimI)
  apply(erule bisim-J1-JVM-start[OF compP1-pres-wf])
  apply simp
done

lemma red-Runs-eq-mexecd-Runs:
  fixes C M vs
  defines s: s ≡ init-fin-lift-state Running (J-start-state P C M vs)
  and comps: cs ≡ init-fin-lift-state Running (JVM-start-state (J2JVM P) C M vs)
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
  shows red-mthr.mthr.if.E P s = execd-mthr.mthr.if.E (J2JVM P) cs
proof –
  from wf wf-start have bisim: if-bisimJ2JVM s cs
    unfolding s comps by(rule if-bisimJ2JVM-start)

  interpret divfin: delay-bisimulation-diverge-final
    red-mthr.mthr.if.redT P
    execd-mthr.mthr.if.redT (J2JVM P)
    if-bisimJ2JVM
    if-tlsimJ2JVM
    red-mthr.if.mτmove
    execd-mthr.if.mτmove
    red-mthr.mthr.if.mfinal
    execd-mthr.mthr.if.mfinal
    using wf by(rule if-bisimJ2JVM-weak-bisim)

  show ?thesis (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof(intro equalityI subsetI)
    fix E
    assume E ∈ ?lhs
    then obtain E' where E: E = lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (llist-of-tllist E'))
      and E': red-mthr.if.mthr.τRuns s E'
      unfolding red-mthr.if.E-conv-Runs by blast
      from divfin.simulation-τRuns1[OF bisim E']
      obtain E'' where E'': execd-mthr.if.mthr.τRuns cs E''
        and tlsim: tllist-all2 if-tlsimJ2JVM (option.rel-option if-bisimJ2JVM) E' E''
        unfolding J2JVM-def o-apply by blast
      let ?E = lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (llist-of-tllist E''))
      from tlsim have llist-all2 if-tlsimJ2JVM (llist-of-tllist E') (llist-of-tllist E'')

```

```

by(rule tllist-all2D-llist-all2-llist-of-tllist)
hence llist-all2 (=) (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (llist-of-tllist E'))
              (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (llist-of-tllist E''))
unfolding llist-all2-lmap1 llist-all2-lmap2
by(rule llist-all2-mono)(auto simp add: if-tlsimJ2JVM-def FWbisimulation-base.mta-bisim-def
ta-bisim-def)
hence ?E = E unfolding llist.rel-eq E by simp
also from E'' have ?E ∈ ?rhs unfolding J2JVM-def o-apply execd-mthr.if.ℳ-conv-Runs by blast
finally (subst) show E ∈ ?rhs .
next
fix E
assume E ∈ ?rhs
then obtain E' where E: E = lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (llist-of-tllist
E')) and E': execd-mthr.if.mthr.τRuns cs E'
unfolding execd-mthr.if.ℳ-conv-Runs J2JVM-def o-apply by blast
from divfin.simulation-τRuns2[OF bisim, simplified J2JVM-def o-apply, OF E']
obtain E'' where E'': red-mthr.if.mthr.τRuns s E''
and tlsim: tllist-all2 if-tlsimJ2JVM (option.rel-option if-bisimJ2JVM) E'' E' by blast
let ?E = lconcat (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (llist-of-tllist E''))
from tlsim have llist-all2 if-tlsimJ2JVM (llist-of-tllist E'') (llist-of-tllist E')
by(rule tllist-all2D-llist-all2-llist-of-tllist)
hence llist-all2 (=) (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (llist-of-tllist E''))
              (lmap (λ(t, ta). llist-of (map (Pair t) {ta}o)) (llist-of-tllist E''))
unfolding llist-all2-lmap1 llist-all2-lmap2
by(rule llist-all2-mono)(auto simp add: if-tlsimJ2JVM-def FWbisimulation-base.mta-bisim-def
ta-bisim-def)
hence ?E = E unfolding llist.rel-eq E by simp
also from E'' have ?E ∈ ?lhs unfolding red-mthr.if.ℳ-conv-Runs by blast
finally (subst) show E ∈ ?lhs .
qed
qed

lemma red-ℳ-eq-mexecd-ℳ:
[] wf-J-prog P; wf-start-state P C M vs []
==> J-ℳ P C M vs Running = JVMd-ℳ (J2JVM P) C M vs Running
by(simp only: red-Runs-eq-mexecd-Runs)

theorem J2JVM-jmm-correct:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
shows legal-execution P (J-ℳ P C M vs Running) (E, ws) ←→
      legal-execution (J2JVM P) (JVMd-ℳ (J2JVM P) C M vs Running) (E, ws)
by(simp only: red-ℳ-eq-mexecd-ℳ[OF assms] J2JVM-def o-apply compP1-def compP2-def legal-execution-compP)

theorem J2JVM-jmm-correct-weak:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
shows weakly-legal-execution P (J-ℳ P C M vs Running) (E, ws) ←→
      weakly-legal-execution (J2JVM P) (JVMd-ℳ (J2JVM P) C M vs Running) (E, ws)
by(simp only: red-ℳ-eq-mexecd-ℳ[OF assms] J2JVM-def o-apply compP1-def compP2-def weakly-legal-execution-compP)

theorem J2JVM-jmm-correctly-synchronized:
assumes wf: wf-J-prog P

```

```

and wf-start: wf-start-state P C M vs
shows correctly-synchronized (J2JVM P) (JVMD-E (J2JVM P) C M vs Running)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    correctly-synchronized P (J-E P C M vs Running)
by(simp only: red-E-eq-mexecd-E[OF assms] J2JVM-def o-apply compP1-def compP2-def correctly-synchronized-com
end
end

```

8.18 JMM heap implementation 2

```

theory JMM-Type2
imports
  .. / Common / ExternalCallWF
  .. / Common / ConformThreaded
  JMM-Heap
begin

```

8.18.1 Definitions

datatype addr = Address htype nat — heap type and sequence number

```

lemma rec-addr-conv-case-addr [simp]: rec-addr = case-addr
by(auto intro!: ext split: addr.split)

```

```

instantiation addr :: addr begin
definition hash-addr (a :: addr) = (case a of Address ht n  $\Rightarrow$  int n)
definition monitor-fun-to-list (ls :: addr  $\Rightarrow$  f nat) = (SOME xs. set xs = {x. fun-dom ls $ x})
instance
proof
  fix ls :: addr  $\Rightarrow$  f nat
  show set (monitor-fun-to-list ls) = Collect ((\$) (fun-dom ls))
    unfolding monitor-fun-to-list-addr-def
    using finite-list[OF finite-fun-dom, where ?f.1 = ls]
    by(rule someI-ex)
qed
end

```

```

primrec the-Address :: addr  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\times$  nat
where the-Address (Address hT n) = (hT, n)

```

The JMM heap only stores which sequence numbers of a given *htype* have already been allocated.

type-synonym JMM-heap = htype \Rightarrow nat set

translations (type) JMM-heap <= (type) htype \Rightarrow nat set

```

definition jmm-allocate :: JMM-heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  (JMM-heap  $\times$  addr) set
where jmm-allocate h hT = (let hhT = h hT in (λn. (h(hT := insert n hhT), Address hT n)) ` (- hhT))

```

abbreviation jmm-empty :: JMM-heap **where** jmm-empty == (λ-. {})

definition jmm-typeof-addr :: 'm prog \Rightarrow JMM-heap \Rightarrow addr \rightarrow htype

where $jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr } P \ h = (\lambda hT. \text{ if } is\text{-}hType \ P \ hT \text{ then } Some \ hT \text{ else } None) \circ fst \circ the\text{-Address}$

definition $jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}' :: 'm \ prog \Rightarrow addr \multimap hType$
where $jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}' \ P = (\lambda hT. \text{ if } is\text{-}hType \ P \ hT \text{ then } Some \ hT \text{ else } None) \circ fst \circ the\text{-Address}$

lemma $jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}'\text{-conv}\text{-}jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}: jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}' \ P = jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr} \ P \ h$
by(*simp add:* $jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}\text{-def } jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}'\text{-def}$)

lemma $jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}'\text{-conv}\text{-}jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}: (\lambda _. \ jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}' \ P) = jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr} \ P$
by(*simp add:* $jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}\text{-def } jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}'\text{-def fun-eq-iff}$)

lemma $jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}\text{-conv}\text{-}jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}': jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr} = (\lambda P \ _. \ jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}' \ P)$
by(*simp add:* $jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}'\text{-conv}\text{-}jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}$)

definition $jmm\text{-heap-read} :: JMM\text{-heap} \Rightarrow addr \Rightarrow addr\text{-loc} \Rightarrow addr \ val \Rightarrow bool$
where $jmm\text{-heap-read} \ h \ a \ ad \ v = True$

context
notes [[*inductive-internals*]]
begin

inductive $jmm\text{-heap-write} :: JMM\text{-heap} \Rightarrow addr \Rightarrow addr\text{-loc} \Rightarrow addr \ val \Rightarrow JMM\text{-heap} \Rightarrow bool$
where $jmm\text{-heap-write} \ h \ a \ ad \ v \ h$

end

definition $jmm\text{-hconf} :: JMM\text{-heap} \Rightarrow bool$
where $jmm\text{-hconf} \ h \longleftrightarrow True$

definition $jmm\text{-allocated} :: JMM\text{-heap} \Rightarrow addr \ set$
where $jmm\text{-allocated} \ h = \{Address \ CTn \ n | CTn \ n. \ n \in h \ CTn\}$

definition $jmm\text{-spurious-wakeups} :: bool$
where $jmm\text{-spurious-wakeups} = True$

lemmas $jmm\text{-heap-ops-defs} =$
 $jmm\text{-allocate}\text{-def } jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr}\text{-def}$
 $jmm\text{-heap-read}\text{-def } jmm\text{-heap-write}\text{-def}$
 $jmm\text{-allocated}\text{-def } jmm\text{-spurious-wakeups}\text{-def}$

type-synonym $thread\text{-id} = addr$

abbreviation (*input*) $addr2thread\text{-id} :: addr \Rightarrow thread\text{-id}$
where $addr2thread\text{-id} \equiv \lambda x. \ x$

abbreviation (*input*) $thread\text{-id2addr} :: thread\text{-id} \Rightarrow addr$
where $thread\text{-id2addr} \equiv \lambda x. \ x$

interpretation $jmm: heap\text{-base}$
 $addr2thread\text{-id } thread\text{-id2addr}$
 $jmm\text{-spurious-wakeups}$
 $jmm\text{-empty } jmm\text{-allocate } jmm\text{-typeof}\text{-addr } P \ jmm\text{-heap-read } jmm\text{-heap-write}$
for P

.

abbreviation $jmm\text{-}hext :: 'm \text{prog} \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ $(\cdot \vdash \cdot \trianglelefteq jmm - [51, 51, 51] 50)$
where $jmm\text{-}hext \equiv jmm.hext \text{TYPE}('m)$

abbreviation $jmm\text{-}conf :: 'm \text{prog} \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow \text{addr val} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash jmm - : \leq - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$
where $jmm\text{-}conf P \equiv jmm.conf \text{TYPE}('m) P P$

abbreviation $jmm\text{-}addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type :: 'm \text{prog} \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow \text{addr} \Rightarrow \text{addr-loc} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash jmm - @ - [50, 50, 50, 50, 50] 51)$
where $jmm\text{-}addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type P \equiv jmm.addr-loc-type \text{TYPE}('m) P P$

abbreviation $jmm\text{-}confs :: 'm \text{prog} \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow \text{addr val list} \Rightarrow \text{ty list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash jmm - [: \leq] - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$
where $jmm\text{-}confs P \equiv jmm.confs \text{TYPE}('m) P P$

abbreviation $jmm\text{-}tconf :: 'm \text{prog} \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow \text{addr} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash jmm - \sqrt{t} [51, 51, 51] 50)$
where $jmm\text{-}tconf P \equiv jmm.tconf \text{TYPE}('m) P P$

interpretation jmm : allocated-heap-base

addr2thread-id thread-id2addr

$jmm\text{-}spurious\text{-}wakeups$

$jmm\text{-}empty$ $jmm\text{-}allocate$ $jmm\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr P$ $jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read$ $jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write$

$jmm\text{-}allocated$

for P

Now a variation of the JMM with a different read operation that permits to read only type-conformant values

abbreviation $jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed :: 'm \text{prog} \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow \text{addr} \Rightarrow \text{addr-loc} \Rightarrow \text{addr val} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where $jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed P \equiv jmm.heap-read-typed \text{TYPE}('m) P P$

interpretation jmm' : heap-base

addr2thread-id thread-id2addr

$jmm\text{-}spurious\text{-}wakeups$

$jmm\text{-}empty$ $jmm\text{-}allocate$ $jmm\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr P$ $jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed P$ $jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write$

for P .

abbreviation $jmm'\text{-}hext :: 'm \text{prog} \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ $(\cdot \vdash \cdot \trianglelefteq jmm'' - [51, 51, 51] 50)$
where $jmm'\text{-}hext \equiv jmm'.hext \text{TYPE}('m)$

abbreviation $jmm'\text{-}conf :: 'm \text{prog} \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow \text{addr val} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash jmm'' - : \leq - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$
where $jmm'\text{-}conf P \equiv jmm'.conf \text{TYPE}('m) P P$

abbreviation $jmm'\text{-}addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type :: 'm \text{prog} \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow \text{addr} \Rightarrow \text{addr-loc} \Rightarrow \text{ty} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash jmm'' - @ - [50, 50, 50, 50, 50] 51)$
where $jmm'\text{-}addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type P \equiv jmm'.addr-loc-type \text{TYPE}('m) P P$

abbreviation $jmm'\text{-}confs :: 'm \text{prog} \Rightarrow JMM\text{-}heap \Rightarrow \text{addr val list} \Rightarrow \text{ty list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash jmm'' - [: \leq] - [51, 51, 51, 51] 50)$
where $jmm'\text{-}confs P \equiv jmm'.confs \text{TYPE}('m) P P$

abbreviation $jmm'\text{-tconf} :: 'm \text{ prog} \Rightarrow JMM\text{-heap} \Rightarrow \text{addr} \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\text{-}, \text{-} \vdash jmm'' - \sqrt{t} [51, 51, 51] 50)$
where $jmm'\text{-tconf } P \equiv jmm'.\text{tconf } \text{TYPE}'(m) P P$

8.18.2 Heap locale interpretations

8.18.3 Locale $heap$

lemma $jmm\text{-heap}: \text{heap} \text{ addr2thread-id} \text{ thread-id2addr} \text{ jmm-allocate} (jmm\text{-typeof-addr } P) \text{ jmm-heap-write } P$

proof

fix $h' a h hT$
assume $(h', a) \in jmm\text{-allocate } h hT$ is-htype $P hT$
thus $jmm\text{-typeof-addr } P h' a = \lfloor hT \rfloor$
by(auto simp add: jmm-heap-ops-defs)

next

fix $h hT h' a$
assume $(h', a) \in jmm\text{-allocate } h hT$
thus $P \vdash h \trianglelefteq jmm h'$ **by**(auto simp add: jmm-heap-ops-defs intro: jmm.hextI)

next

fix $h a al v h'$
assume $jmm\text{-heap-write } h a al v h'$
thus $P \vdash h \trianglelefteq jmm h'$ **by** cases auto

qed simp

interpretation $jmm: \text{heap}$
 $\text{addr2thread-id} \text{ thread-id2addr}$
 $jmm\text{-spurious-wakeups}$
 $jmm\text{-empty} \text{ jmm-allocate} \text{ jmm-typeof-addr } P \text{ jmm-heap-read} \text{ jmm-heap-write }$
 P
for P
by(rule jmm-heap)

declare $jmm.\text{typeof-addr-thread-id2-addr-addr2thread-id} [\text{simp del}]$

lemmas $jmm'\text{-heap} = jmm\text{-heap}$

interpretation $jmm': \text{heap}$
 $\text{addr2thread-id} \text{ thread-id2addr}$
 $jmm\text{-spurious-wakeups}$
 $jmm\text{-empty} \text{ jmm-allocate} \text{ jmm-typeof-addr } P \text{ jmm-heap-read-typed } P \text{ jmm-heap-write }$
 P
for P
by(rule jmm'-heap)

declare $jmm'.\text{typeof-addr-thread-id2-addr-addr2thread-id} [\text{simp del}]$

lemma $jmm\text{-heap-read-typed-default-val}:$
 $\text{heap-base.heap-read-typed } \text{typeof-addr } jmm\text{-heap-read } P h a al$
 $(\text{default-val } (\text{THE } T. \text{ heap-base.addr-loc-type } \text{typeof-addr } P h a al T))$
by(rule heap-base.heap-read-typedI)(simp-all add: heap-base.THE-addr-loc-type jmm-heap-read-def heap-base.defval-conf)

lemma $jmm\text{-allocate-Eps}:$
 $(\text{SOME } ha. ha \in jmm\text{-allocate } h hT) = (h', a')$
 $\implies jmm\text{-allocate } h hT \neq \{\} \longrightarrow (h', a') \in jmm\text{-allocate } h hT$

```

by(auto dest: jmm.allocate-Eps)

lemma jmm-allocate-eq-empty: jmm-allocate h hT = {}  $\longleftrightarrow$  h hT = UNIV
by(auto simp add: jmm-allocate-def)

lemma jmm-allocate-otherD:
   $(h', a) \in \text{jmm-allocate } h \text{ } hT \implies \forall hT'. hT' \neq hT \longrightarrow h' \text{ } hT' = h \text{ } hT'$ 
by(auto simp add: jmm-allocate-def)

lemma jmm-start-heap-ok: jmm.start-heap-ok
apply(simp add: jmm.start-heap-ok-def jmm.start-heap-data-def initialization-list-def sys-xcpts-list-def
jmm.create-initial-object-simps)
apply(split prod.split, clarify, clarsimp simp add: jmm.create-initial-object-simps jmm-allocate-eq-empty
Thread-neq-sys-xcpts sys-xcpts-neqs dest!: jmm-allocate-Eps jmm-allocate-otherD)+  

done

```

8.18.4 Locale heap-conf

```

interpretation jmm: heap-conf-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr P jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf
  P
  for P .

```

```

abbreviation (input) jmm'-hconf :: JMM-heap  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where jmm'-hconf == jmm-hconf

```

```

interpretation jmm': heap-conf-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr P jmm-heap-read-typed P jmm-heap-write jmm'-hconf
  P
  for P .

```

```

abbreviation jmm-heap-read-typeable :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where jmm-heap-read-typeable P  $\equiv$  jmm.heap-read-typeable TYPE('m) P jmm-hconf P

```

```

abbreviation jmm'-heap-read-typeable :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where jmm'-heap-read-typeable P  $\equiv$  jmm'.heap-read-typeable TYPE('m) P jmm-hconf P

```

```

lemma jmm-heap-read-typeable: jmm-heap-read-typeable P
by(rule jmm.heap-read-typeableI)(simp add: jmm-heap-read-def)

```

```

lemma jmm'-heap-read-typeable: jmm'-heap-read-typeable P
by(rule jmm'.heap-read-typeableI)(auto simp add: jmm-heap-read-def jmm.heap-read-typed-def dest:
jmm'.addr-loc-type-fun)

```

```

lemma jmm-heap-conf:
  heap-conf addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P) jmm-heap-write
  jmm-hconf P
by(unfold-locales)(simp-all add: jmm-hconf-def jmm-heap-ops-defs split: if-split-asm)

```

```

interpretation jmm: heap-conf

```

```

addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
jmm-spurious-wakeups
jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr P jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf
P
for P
by(rule jmm-heap-conf)

lemmas jmm'-heap-conf = jmm-heap-conf

interpretation jmm': heap-conf
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr P jmm-heap-read-typed P jmm-heap-write jmm'-hconf
  P
  for P
by(rule jmm'-heap-conf)

```

8.18.5 Locale *heap-progress*

```

lemma jmm-heap-progress:
  heap-progress addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P) jmm-heap-read
  jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf P
proof
  fix h a al T
  assume jmm-hconf h
  and al: P,h ⊢ jmm a@al : T
  show ∃ v. jmm-heap-read h a al v ∧ P,h ⊢ jmm v :≤ T
    using jmm.defval-conf[of P P h T] unfolding jmm-heap-ops-defs by blast
next
  fix h a al T v
  assume P,h ⊢ jmm a@al : T
  show ∃ h'. jmm-heap-write h a al v h'
    by(auto intro: jmm-heap-write.intros)
qed

```

```

interpretation jmm: heap-progress
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr P jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf
  P
  for P
by(rule jmm-heap-progress)

```

```

lemma jmm'-heap-progress:
  heap-progress addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P) (jmm-heap-read-typed
  P) jmm-heap-write jmm'-hconf P
proof
  fix h a al T
  assume jmm'-hconf h
  and al: P,h ⊢ jmm' a@al : T
  thus ∃ v. jmm-heap-read-typed P h a al v ∧ P,h ⊢ jmm' v :≤ T
    unfolding jmm-heap-read-def jmm.heap-read-typed-def
    by(blast dest: jmm'.addr-loc-type-fun intro: jmm'.defval-conf)+
next

```

```

fix  $h\ a\ al\ T\ v$ 
assume  $P,h \vdash jmm'\ a@al : T$ 
    and  $P,h \vdash jmm'\ v : \leq T$ 
thus  $\exists h'. jmm\text{-heap-write } h\ a\ al\ v\ h'$ 
    by(auto intro: jmm-heap-write.intros)
qed

interpretation  $jmm'$ : heap-progress
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr P jmm-heap-read-typed P jmm-heap-write jmm'-hconf
  P
  for P
  by(rule jmm'-heap-progress)

```

8.18.6 Locale heap-conf-read

```

lemma jmm'-heap-conf-read:
  heap-conf-read addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P) (jmm-heap-read-typed P) jmm-heap-write jmm'-hconf P
  by(rule jmm.heap-conf-read-heap-read-typed)

interpretation  $jmm'$ : heap-conf-read
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr P jmm-heap-read-typed P jmm-heap-write jmm'-hconf
  P
  for P
  by(rule jmm'-heap-conf-read)

```

```

interpretation  $jmm'$ : heap-typesafe
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr P jmm-heap-read-typed P jmm-heap-write jmm'-hconf
  P
  for P
  ..

```

8.18.7 Locale allocated-heap

```

lemma jmm-allocated-heap:
  allocated-heap addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P) jmm-heap-write
  jmm-allocated P
proof
  show jmm-allocated jmm-empty = {} by(simp add: jmm-allocated-def)
next
  fix  $h'\ a\ h\ hT$ 
  assume  $(h', a) \in jmm\text{-allocate } h\ hT$ 
  thus  $jmm\text{-allocated } h' = insert\ a\ (jmm\text{-allocated } h) \wedge a \notin jmm\text{-allocated } h$ 
    by(auto simp add: jmm-heap-ops-defs split: if-split-asm)
next
  fix  $h\ a\ al\ v\ h'$ 
  assume jmm-heap-write  $h\ a\ al\ v\ h'$ 
  thus  $jmm\text{-allocated } h' = jmm\text{-allocated } h$  by cases simp

```

qed

interpretation *jmm*: *allocated-heap*
addr2thread-id *thread-id2addr*
jmm-spurious-wakeups
jmm-empty *jmm-allocate* *jmm-typeof-addr* *P* *jmm-heap-read* *jmm-heap-write*
jmm-allocated
P
for *P*
by(rule *jmm-allocated-heap*)

lemmas *jmm'-allocated-heap* = *jmm-allocated-heap*

interpretation *jmm'*: *allocated-heap*
addr2thread-id *thread-id2addr*
jmm-spurious-wakeups
jmm-empty *jmm-allocate* *jmm-typeof-addr* *P* *jmm-heap-read-typed* *P* *jmm-heap-write*
jmm-allocated
P
for *P*
by(rule *jmm'-allocated-heap*)

8.18.8 Syntax translations

notation *jmm'.external-WT'* $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash jmm' (\cdots'(\cdot)) : - [50, 0, 0, 0, 50] 60)$

abbreviation *jmm'-red-external* ::
'm prog \Rightarrow *thread-id* \Rightarrow *JMM-heap* \Rightarrow *addr* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow *addr val list*
 \Rightarrow *(addr, thread-id, JMM-heap)* *external-thread-action*
 \Rightarrow *addr extCallRet* \Rightarrow *JMM-heap* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *jmm'-red-external P* \equiv *jmm'.red-external (TYPE('m)) P P*

abbreviation *jmm'-red-external-syntax* ::
'm prog \Rightarrow *thread-id* \Rightarrow *addr* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow *addr val list* \Rightarrow *JMM-heap*
 \Rightarrow *(addr, thread-id, JMM-heap)* *external-thread-action*
 \Rightarrow *addr extCallRet* \Rightarrow *JMM-heap* \Rightarrow *bool*
 $(\cdot, \cdot \vdash jmm' ((\cdots'(\cdot)), /-) \dashrightarrow \text{ext } ((\cdot), /(\cdot))) [50, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0] 51)$
where

P, t $\vdash jmm' \langle a.M(vs), h \rangle \dashrightarrow \text{ext } \langle va, h' \rangle \equiv jmm'-red-external P t h a M vs ta va h'$

abbreviation *jmm'-red-external-aggr* ::
'm prog \Rightarrow *thread-id* \Rightarrow *addr* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow *addr val list* \Rightarrow *JMM-heap*
 \Rightarrow *((addr, thread-id, JMM-heap) external-thread-action × addr extCallRet × JMM-heap)* *set*
where *jmm'-red-external-aggr P* \equiv *jmm'.red-external-aggr TYPE('m) P P*

abbreviation *jmm'-heap-copy-loc* ::
'm prog \Rightarrow *addr* \Rightarrow *addr* \Rightarrow *addr-loc* \Rightarrow *JMM-heap*
 \Rightarrow *(addr, thread-id) obs-event list* \Rightarrow *JMM-heap* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *jmm'-heap-copy-loc* \equiv *jmm'.heap-copy-loc TYPE('m)*

abbreviation *jmm'-heap-copies* ::
'm prog \Rightarrow *addr* \Rightarrow *addr* \Rightarrow *addr-loc list* \Rightarrow *JMM-heap*
 \Rightarrow *(addr, thread-id) obs-event list* \Rightarrow *JMM-heap* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *jmm'-heap-copies* \equiv *jmm'.heap-copies TYPE('m)*

```

abbreviation jmm'-heap-clone :: 
  'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  JMM-heap  $\Rightarrow$  addr  $\Rightarrow$  JMM-heap
   $\Rightarrow$  ((addr, thread-id) obs-event list  $\times$  addr) option  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where jmm'-heap-clone P  $\equiv$  jmm'.heap-clone TYPE('m) P P

end

theory JMM-Interp imports
  JMM-Compiler
  ..J/Deadlocked
  ..BV/JVMDeadlocked
  JMM-Type2
  DRF-J
  DRF-JVM
begin

lemma jmm'-J-typesafe:
  J-typesafe addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P) (jmm-heap-read-typed P)
  jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf P
by unfold-locales

lemma jmm'-JVM-typesafe:
  JVM-typesafe addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P) (jmm-heap-read-typed P)
  jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf P
by unfold-locales

lemma jmm-typeof-addr-compP [simp]:
  jmm-typeof-addr (compP f P) = jmm-typeof-addr P
by (simp add: jmm-typeof-addr-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma compP2-compP1-convs:
  is-type (compP2 (compP1 P)) = is-type P
  is-class (compP2 (compP1 P)) = is-class P
  jmm'-addr-loc-type (compP2 (compP1 P)) = jmm'-addr-loc-type P
  jmm'-conf (compP2 (compP1 P)) = jmm'-conf P
by (simp-all add: compP2-def heap-base.compP-conf heap-base.compP-addr-loc-type fun-eq-iff split: addr-loc.splits)

lemma jmm'-J-JVM-conf-read:
  J-JVM-conf-read addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P) (jmm-heap-read-typed P)
  jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf P
apply(rule J-JVM-conf-read.intro)
apply(rule J1-JVM-conf-read.intro)
apply(rule JVM-conf-read.intro)
prefer 2
apply(rule JVM-heap-conf.intro)
apply(rule JVM-heap-conf-base'.intro)
apply(unfold compP2-def compP1-def compP-heap compP-heap-conf compP-heap-conf-read jmm-typeof-addr-compP)
apply unfold-locales
done

lemma jmm-J-allocated-progress:
  J-allocated-progress addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P)

```

jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf jmm-allocated P
by *unfold-locales*

lemma *jmm'-J-allocated-progress:*

J-allocated-progress addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P)
(jmm-heap-read-typed P) jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf jmm-allocated P
by *(unfold-locales)*

lemma *jmm-JVM-allocated-progress:*

JVM-allocated-progress addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P)
jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf jmm-allocated P
by *unfold-locales*

lemma *jmm'-JVM-allocated-progress:*

JVM-allocated-progress addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr P)
(jmm-heap-read-typed P) jmm-heap-write jmm-hconf jmm-allocated P
by *(unfold-locales)*

end

8.19 Specialize type safety for JMM heap implementation 2

theory *JMM-Typesafe2*

imports

JMM-Type2

JMM-Common

begin

interpretation *jmm: heap'*
addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
jmm-spurious-wakeups
jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr' P jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write
for *P*
by *(rule heap'.intro)(unfold jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-typeof-addr, unfold-locales)*

abbreviation *jmm-addr-loc-type' :: 'm prog ⇒ addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ ty ⇒ bool (- ⊢ jmm -@- : - [50, 50, 50, 50] 51)*

where *jmm-addr-loc-type' P ≡ jmm.addr-loc-type TYPE('m) P P*

lemma *jmm-addr-loc-type-conv-jmm-addr-loc-type' [simp, heap-independent]:*

jmm-addr-loc-type P h = jmm-addr-loc-type' P

by *(metis jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-typeof-addr heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type)*

abbreviation *jmm-conf' :: 'm prog ⇒ addr val ⇒ ty ⇒ bool (- ⊢ jmm - :≤ - [51,51,51] 50)*

where *jmm-conf' P ≡ jmm.conf TYPE('m) P P*

lemma *jmm-conf-conv-jmm-conf' [simp, heap-independent]:*

jmm-conf P h = jmm-conf' P

by *(metis jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-typeof-addr heap-base'.conf-conv-conf)*

lemma *jmm-heap'': heap'' addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr' P) jmm-heap-write P*

by *(unfold-locales)(auto simp add: jmm-typeof-addr'-def jmm-allocate-def split: if-split-asm)*

```

interpretation jmm: heap"
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr' P jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write
  for P
  by(rule jmm-heap'')
```



```

interpretation jmm': heap"
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  jmm-spurious-wakeups
  jmm-empty jmm-allocate jmm-typeof-addr' P jmm-heap-read-typed P jmm-heap-write
  for P
  by(rule jmm-heap'')
```



```

abbreviation jmm-wf-start-state :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where jmm-wf-start-state P  $\equiv$  jmm.wf-start-state TYPE('m) P P
```



```

abbreviation if-heap-read-typed :: 
  ('x  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 't, 'x, 'heap, 'w, ('addr :: addr, 'thread-id) obs-event) semantics
   $\Rightarrow$  ('addr  $\Rightarrow$  htype option)
   $\Rightarrow$  'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 't, status  $\times$  'x, 'heap, 'w, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event action) semantics
where
   $\wedge_{\text{final}}$ . if-heap-read-typed final r typeof-addr P t xh ta x'h'  $\equiv$ 
    multithreaded-base.init-fin final r t xh ta x'h'  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall$  ad al v T. NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)  $\in$  set {ta}_o  $\longrightarrow$  heap-base'.addr-loc-type TYPE('heap)
    typeof-addr P ad al T  $\longrightarrow$  heap-base'.conf TYPE('heap) typeof-addr P v T)
```



```

lemma if-mthr-Runs-heap-read-typedI:
  fixes final and r :: ('addr, 't, 'x, 'heap, 'w, ('addr :: addr, 'thread-id) obs-event) semantics
  assumes trsys.Runs (multithreaded-base.redT (final-thread.init-fin-final final) (multithreaded-base.init-fin
  final r) (map NormalAction o convert-RA)) s  $\xi$ 
  (is trsys.Runs ?redT - -)
  and  $\wedge_{\text{ad al v T}}$ . [ NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)  $\in$  lset (lconcat (lmap (llist-of o obs-a o snd)
   $\xi$ )); heap-base'.addr-loc-type TYPE('heap) typeof-addr P ad al T ]  $\Longrightarrow$  heap-base'.conf TYPE('heap)
  typeof-addr P v T
  (is  $\wedge_{\text{ad al v T}}$ . [ ?obs  $\xi$  ad al v; ?adal ad al T ]  $\Longrightarrow$  ?conf v T)
  shows trsys.Runs (multithreaded-base.redT (final-thread.init-fin-final final) (if-heap-read-typed final
  r typeof-addr P) (map NormalAction o convert-RA)) s  $\xi$ 
  (is trsys.Runs ?redT' - -)
using assms
proof(coinduction arbitrary: s  $\xi$  rule: trsys.Runs.coinduct[consumes 1, case-names Runs, case-conclusion
  Runs Stuck Step])
  case (Runs s  $\xi$ )
  let ?read =  $\lambda\xi$ . ( $\forall$  ad al v T. ?obs  $\xi$  ad al v  $\longrightarrow$  ?adal ad al T  $\longrightarrow$  ?conf v T)
  note read = Runs(2)
  from Runs(1) show ?case
  proof(cases rule: trsys.Runs.cases[consumes 1, case-names Stuck Step])
    case (Stuck S)
    { fix tta s'
      from  $\neg$  ?redT S tta s' have  $\neg$  ?redT' S tta s'
      by(rule contrapos-nn)(fastforce simp add: multithreaded-base.redT.simps) }
      hence ?Stuck using  $\langle\xi = LNil\rangle$  unfolding  $\langle s = S \rangle$  by blast
    
```

```

thus ?thesis ..
next
  case (Step S s' ttas tta)
  from <ξ = LCons tta ttas> read
  have read1: ∃ad al v T. [ NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ set {snd tta}₀; ?adal ad al T ]
  ==> ?conf v T
    and read2: ?read ttas by(auto simp add: o-def)
    from <?redT S tta s'> read1
    have ?redT' S tta s' by(fastforce simp add: multithreaded-base.redT.simps)
    hence ?Step using Step read2 <s = S> by blast
    thus ?thesis ..
qed
qed

lemma if-mthr-Runs-heap-read-typedD:
  fixes final and r :: ('addr, 't, 'x, 'heap, 'w, ('addr :: addr, 'thread-id) obs-event) semantics
  assumes Runs': trsys.Runs (multithreaded-base.redT (final-thread.init-fin-final final) (if-heap-read-typed
final r typeof-addr P) (map NormalAction o convert-RA)) s ξ
  (is ?Runs' s ξ)
  and stuck: ∃ttas s' tta s''. [
    multithreaded-base.RedT (final-thread.init-fin-final final) (if-heap-read-typed final r typeof-addr P)
  (map NormalAction o convert-RA) s ttas s'];
    multithreaded-base.redT (final-thread.init-fin-final final) (multithreaded-base.init-fin final r) (map
NormalAction o convert-RA) s' tta s'']
  ==> ∃tta s''. multithreaded-base.redT (final-thread.init-fin-final final) (if-heap-read-typed final r typeof-addr
P) (map NormalAction o convert-RA) s' tta s''"
  (is ∃ttas s' tta s''. [ ?RedT' s ttas s'; ?redT s' tta s''] ==> ∃tta s''. ?redT' s' tta s'')
  shows trsys.Runs (multithreaded-base.redT (final-thread.init-fin-final final) (multithreaded-base.init-fin
final r) (map NormalAction o convert-RA)) s ξ
  (is ?Runs s ξ)

proof -
  define s' where s' = s
  with Runs' have ∃ttas. ?RedT' s ttas s' ∧ ?Runs' s' ξ
    by(auto simp add: multithreaded-base.RedT-def o-def)
  thus ?Runs s' ξ
  proof(coinduct rule: trsys.Runs.coinduct[consumes 1, case-names Runs, case-conclusion Runs Stuck
Step])
    case (Runs s' ξ)
    then obtain ttas where RedT': ?RedT' s ttas s'
      and Runs': ?Runs' s' ξ by blast
    from Runs' show ?case
    proof(cases rule: trsys.Runs.cases[consumes 1, case-names Stuck Step])
      case (Stuck S)
      have ∃tta s''. ∃?redT s' tta s''
      proof
        fix tta s''
        assume ?redT s' tta s''
        from stuck[OF RedT' this]
        obtain tta s'' where ?redT' s' tta s'' by blast
        with Stuck(3)[of tta s''] show False
        unfolding <s' = S> by contradiction
      qed
      with Stuck(1-2) have ?Stuck by simp
      thus ?thesis by(rule disjI1)
    qed
  qed

```

```

next
  case (Step S s''  $\xi'$  tta)
  note Step = Step(2-)[folded  $\langle s' = S \rangle$ ]
  from  $\langle ?\text{red}T' s' \text{tta } s'' \rangle$  have ?redT' s' tta s''"
    by(fastforce simp add: multithreaded-base.redT.simps)
  moreover from RedT'  $\langle ?\text{red}T' s' \text{tta } s'' \rangle$ 
  have ?RedT' s' (ttas @ [tta]) s''"
    unfolding multithreaded-base.RedT-def by(rule rtrancl3p-step)
  ultimately have ?Step using  $\langle \xi = L\text{Cons tta } \xi' \rangle$   $\langle ?\text{Runs}' s'' \xi' \rangle$  by blast
    thus ?thesis by(rule disjI2)
  qed
qed
qed

lemma heap-copy-loc-heap-read-typed:
  heap-base.heap-copy-loc (heap-base.heap-read-typed ( $\lambda - :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}$ ) heap-read P) heap-write
  a a' al h obs h'  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    heap-base.heap-copy-loc heap-read heap-write a a' al h obs h'  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall ad al v T. \text{ReadMem } ad al v \in \text{set obs} \longrightarrow \text{heap-base'.addr-loc-type } \text{TYPE}(\text{'heap}) \text{ typeof-addr } P ad$ 
    al T  $\longrightarrow \text{heap-base'.conf } \text{TYPE}(\text{'heap}) \text{ typeof-addr } P v T)$ 
  by(auto elim!: heap-base.heap-copy-loc.cases intro!: heap-base.heap-copy-loc.intros dest: heap-base.heap-read-typed-in
  heap-base.heap-read-typed-typed intro: heap-base.heap-read-typedI simp add: heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-t
  heap-base'.conf-conv-conf)

lemma heap-copies-heap-read-typed:
  heap-base.heap-copies (heap-base.heap-read-typed ( $\lambda - :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}$ ) heap-read P) heap-write a
  a' als h obs h'  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    heap-base.heap-copies heap-read heap-write a a' als h obs h'  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall ad al v T. \text{ReadMem } ad al v \in \text{set obs} \longrightarrow \text{heap-base'.addr-loc-type } \text{TYPE}(\text{'heap}) \text{ typeof-addr } P ad$ 
    al T  $\longrightarrow \text{heap-base'.conf } \text{TYPE}(\text{'heap}) \text{ typeof-addr } P v T)$ 
    (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)
proof
  assume ?lhs thus ?rhs
    by(induct rule: heap-base.heap-copies.induct[consumes 1])(auto intro!: heap-base.heap-copies.intros
    simp add: heap-copy-loc-heap-read-typed)
next
  assume ?rhs thus ?lhs
    by(rule conjE)(induct rule: heap-base.heap-copies.induct[consumes 1], auto intro!: heap-base.heap-copies.intros
    simp add: heap-copy-loc-heap-read-typed)
qed

lemma heap-clone-heap-read-typed:
  heap-base.heap-clone allocate ( $\lambda - :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}$ ) (heap-base.heap-read-typed ( $\lambda - :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}$ )
  heap-read P) heap-write P a h h' obs  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    heap-base.heap-clone allocate ( $\lambda - :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}$ ) heap-read heap-write P a h h' obs  $\wedge$ 
    ( $\forall ad al v T obs' a'. obs = \lfloor (obs', a') \rfloor \longrightarrow \text{ReadMem } ad al v \in \text{set obs'} \longrightarrow \text{heap-base'.addr-loc-type }$ 
     $\text{TYPE}(\text{'heap}) \text{ typeof-addr } P ad al T \longrightarrow \text{heap-base'.conf } \text{TYPE}(\text{'heap}) \text{ typeof-addr } P v T)$ 
  by(auto elim!: heap-base.heap-clone.cases intro: heap-base.heap-clone.intros simp add: heap-copies-heap-read-typed)

lemma red-external-heap-read-typed:
  heap-base.red-external addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate ( $\lambda - :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}$ )
  (heap-base.heap-read-typed ( $\lambda - :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}$ ) heap-read P) heap-write P t
  h a M vs ta va h'  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    heap-base.red-external addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate ( $\lambda - ::$ 
```

```
'heap. typeof-addr) heap-read heap-write P t h a M vs ta va h' ∧
  (∀ ad al v T obs' a'. ReadMem ad al v ∈ set {ta}o → heap-base'.addr-loc-type TYPE('heap)
  typeof-addr P ad al T → heap-base'.conf TYPE('heap) typeof-addr P v T)
  by(auto elim!: heap-base.red-external.cases intro: heap-base.red-external.intros simp add: heap-clone-heap-read-typed)
```

lemma red-external-aggr-heap-read-typed:

$$(ta, va, h') \in \text{heap-base.red-external-aggr addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap}$$

$$\text{allocate } (\lambda- :: 'heap. typeof-addr) (\text{heap-base.heap-read-typed } (\lambda- :: 'heap. typeof-addr) \text{ heap-read } P)$$

$$\text{heap-write } P t h a M vs \longleftrightarrow$$

$$(ta, va, h') \in \text{heap-base.red-external-aggr addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap}$$

$$\text{allocate } (\lambda- :: 'heap. typeof-addr) \text{ heap-read heap-write } P t h a M vs \wedge$$

$$(\forall ad al v T obs' a'. ReadMem ad al v \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o \rightarrow \text{heap-base'.addr-loc-type } \text{TYPE('heap)}$$

$$\text{typeof-addr } P \text{ ad al } T \rightarrow \text{heap-base'.conf } \text{TYPE('heap) typeof-addr } P v T)$$

$$\text{by}(auto simp add: heap-base.red-external-aggr-def heap-clone-heap-read-typed split del: if-split split: if-split-asm)$$

lemma jmm'-heap-copy-locI:

$$\exists obs h'. \text{heap-base.heap-copy-loc } (\text{heap-base.heap-read-typed } \text{typeof-addr } \text{jmm-heap-read } P) \text{ jmm-heap-write }$$

$$a' \text{ al } h \text{ obs } h'$$

$$\text{by}(auto intro!: heap-base.heap-copy-loc.intros jmm-heap-read-typed-default-val intro: jmm-heap-write.intros)$$

lemma jmm'-heap-copiesI:

$$\exists obs :: (\text{addr}, \text{'thread-id}) \text{ obs-event list.}$$

$$\exists h'. \text{heap-base.heap-copies } (\text{heap-base.heap-read-typed } \text{typeof-addr } \text{jmm-heap-read } P) \text{ jmm-heap-write }$$

$$a' \text{ als } h \text{ obs } h'$$

proof(induction als arbitrary: h)

case Nil

thus ?case by(blast intro: heap-base.heap-copies.intros)

next

case (Cons al als)

from jmm'-heap-copy-locI[of typeof-addr P a a' al h]

obtain ob :: (\text{addr}, \text{'thread-id}) \text{ obs-event list and } h'

where heap-base.heap-copy-loc (heap-base.heap-read-typed typeof-addr jmm-heap-read P) jmm-heap-write

$$a' \text{ al } h \text{ ob } h'$$

by blast

with Cons.IH[of h'] show ?case

by(auto 4 4 intro: heap-base.heap-copies.intros)

qed

lemma jmm'-heap-cloneI:

fixes obsa :: ((\text{addr}, \text{'thread-id}) \text{ obs-event list} \times \text{addr}) \text{ option}

assumes heap-base.heap-clone allocate typeof-addr jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write P h a h' obsa

shows $\exists h'. \exists obsa :: ((\text{addr}, \text{'thread-id}) \text{ obs-event list} \times \text{addr}) \text{ option.}$

heap-base.heap-clone allocate typeof-addr (heap-base.heap-read-typed typeof-addr jmm-heap-read P) jmm-heap-write P h a h' obsa

using assms

proof(cases rule: heap-base.heap-clone.cases[consumes 1, case-names Fail Obj Arr])

case Fail

thus ?thesis by(blast intro: heap-base.heap-clone.intros)

next

case (Obj C h' a' FDTs obs h'')

with jmm'-heap-copiesI[of typeof-addr P a a' map (\lambda((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs h']

```

show ?thesis by(blast intro: heap-base.heap-clone.intros)
next
  case (Arr T n h' a' FDTs obs h'')
    with jmm'-heap-copiesI[of typeof-addr P a a' map (λ((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs @ map
    ACell [0..<n]]
      show ?thesis by(blast intro: heap-base.heap-clone.intros)
qed

lemma jmm'-red-externalI:
  ∧final.
  [| heap-base.red-external addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate typeof-addr
  jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write P t h a M vs ta va h';
  final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta |]
  ⇒ ∃ ta va h'. heap-base.red-external addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap
  allocate typeof-addr (heap-base.heap-read-typed typeof-addr jmm-heap-read P) jmm-heap-write P t h a
  M vs ta va h' ∧ final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta
proof(erule heap-base.red-external.cases, goal-cases)
  case 19
  thus ?case apply -
    apply(drule jmm'-heap-cloneI, clarify)
    apply(rename-tac obsa', case-tac obsa')
    by(auto 4 4 intro: heap-base.red-external.intros simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff simp del:
    split-paired-Ex)
  next
  case 20
  thus ?case apply -
    apply(drule jmm'-heap-cloneI, clarify)
    apply(rename-tac obsa', case-tac obsa')
    by(auto 4 4 intro: heap-base.red-external.intros simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff simp del:
    split-paired-Ex)
  qed(blast intro: heap-base.red-external.intros)+

lemma red-external-aggr-heap-read-typedI:
  ∧final.
  [| (ta, vah') ∈ heap-base.red-external-aggr addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap
  allocate typeof-addr jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write P t h a M vs;
  final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta |]
  ⇒ ∃ ta vah'. (ta, vah') ∈ heap-base.red-external-aggr addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr (heap-base.heap-read-typed typeof-addr jmm-heap-read P) jmm-heap-write
  P t h a M vs ∧ final-thread.actions-ok final s t ta
apply(simp add: heap-base.red-external-aggr-def split-beta split del: if-split split: if-split-asm del: split-paired-Ex)
apply(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex)
apply(drule jmm'-heap-cloneI)
apply(clarify)
apply(rename-tac obsa, case-tac obsa)
apply(force simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff del: disjCI intro: disjI1 disjI2 simp del: split-paired-Ex)
apply(force simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff del: disjCI intro: disjI1 disjI2 simp del: split-paired-Ex)
apply(drule jmm'-heap-cloneI)
apply clarify
apply(rename-tac obsa, case-tac obsa)
apply(force simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff del: disjCI intro: disjI1 disjI2 simp del: split-paired-Ex)
apply(force simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff del: disjCI intro: disjI1 disjI2 simp del: split-paired-Ex)
done

```

end

8.20 JMM type safety for source code

```

theory JMM-J-Typesafe imports
  JMM-Typesafe2
  DRF-J
begin

locale J-allocated-heap-conf' =
  h: J-heap-conf
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate λ-. typeof-addr heap-read heap-write hconf
    P
  +
  h: J-allocated-heap
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate λ-. typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
    allocated
    P
  +
  heap"
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
    P
  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'addr → htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
  and hconf :: 'heap ⇒ bool
  and allocated :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr set
  and P :: 'addr J-prog

sublocale J-allocated-heap-conf' < h: J-allocated-heap-conf
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate λ-. typeof-addr heap-read heap-write hconf allocated
  P
by(unfold-locales)

context J-allocated-heap-conf' begin

lemma red-New-type-match:
  [ h.red' P t e s ta e' s'; NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set {ta}o; typeof-addr ad ≠ None ]
  ==> typeof-addr ad = ⌊ CTn ⌋

```

```

and reds-New-type-match:
   $\llbracket h.\text{reds}' P t es s ta es' s'; \text{NewHeapElem } ad \in CTn \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o; \text{typeof-addr } ad \neq \text{None} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{typeof-addr } ad = \lfloor CTn \rfloor$ 
by(induct rule: h.red-reds.inducts)(auto dest: allocate-typeof-addr-SomeD red-external-New-type-match)

lemma mred-known-addrs-typing':
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and ok: h.start-heap-ok
  shows known-addrs-typing' addr2thread-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-write
  allocated h.J-known-addrs final-expr (h.mred P) ( $\lambda t x h. \exists ET. h.sconf-type-ok ET t x h$ ) P
proof -
  interpret known-addrs-typing
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate  $\lambda -. \text{typeof-addr heap-read heap-write}$ 
    allocated h.J-known-addrs
    final-expr h.mred P  $\lambda t x h. \exists ET. h.sconf-type-ok ET t x h$ 
    P
  using assms by(rule h.mred-known-addrs-typing)

  show ?thesis by unfold-locales(auto dest: red-New-type-match)
qed

lemma J-legal-read-value-typeable:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and wf-start: h.wf-start-state P C M vs
  and legal: weakly-legal-execution P (h.J-E P C M vs status) (E, ws)
  and a: enat a < llength E
  and read: action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
  shows  $\exists T. P \vdash ad@al : T \wedge P \vdash v : \leq T$ 
proof -
  note wf
  moreover from wf-start have h.start-heap-ok by cases
  moreover from wf wf-start
  have ts-ok ( $\lambda t x h. \exists ET. h.sconf-type-ok ET t x h$ ) (thr (h.J-start-state P C M vs)) h.start-heap
  by(rule h.J-start-state-sconf-type-ok)
  moreover from wf have wf-syscls P by(rule wf-prog-wf-syscls)
  ultimately show ?thesis using legal a read
  by(rule known-addrs-typing'.weakly-legal-read-value-typeable[OF mred-known-addrs-typing'])
qed

end

```

8.20.1 Specific part for JMM implementation 2

```

abbreviation jmm-J-E
  :: addr J-prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  status  $\Rightarrow$  (addr  $\times$  (addr, addr) obs-event
  action) llist set
where
  jmm-J-E P  $\equiv$ 
  J-heap-base.J-E addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm-spurious-wakeups jmm-empty jmm-allocate (jmm-typeof-addr
  P) jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write P

abbreviation jmm'-J-E

```

:: addr J-prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow addr val list \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow (addr \times (addr, addr) obs-event action) llist set

where

$jmm'^{-J}\mathcal{E} P \equiv$

$J\text{-heap-base}.J\mathcal{E} \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr } jmm\text{-spurious-wakeups } jmm\text{-empty } jmm\text{-allocate } (jmm\text{-typeof-addr } P) (jmm\text{-heap-read-typed } P) jmm\text{-heap-write } P$

lemma $jmm\text{-J-heap-conf}:$

$J\text{-heap-conf} \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr } jmm\text{-empty } jmm\text{-allocate } (jmm\text{-typeof-addr } P) jmm\text{-heap-write } jmm\text{-hconf } P$

by(unfold-locales)

lemma $jmm\text{-J-allocated-heap-conf}: J\text{-allocated-heap-conf} \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr } jmm\text{-empty } jmm\text{-allocate } (jmm\text{-typeof-addr } P) jmm\text{-heap-write } jmm\text{-hconf } jmm\text{-allocated } P$

by(unfold-locales)

lemma $jmm\text{-J-allocated-heap-conf}':$

$J\text{-allocated-heap-conf}' \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr } jmm\text{-empty } jmm\text{-allocate } (jmm\text{-typeof-addr' } P) jmm\text{-heap-write } jmm\text{-hconf } jmm\text{-allocated } P$

apply(rule $J\text{-allocated-heap-conf}'\text{.intro}$)

apply(unfold $jmm\text{-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm\text{-typeof-addr}}$)

apply(unfold-locales)

done

lemma $red\text{-heap-read-typedD}:$

$J\text{-heap-base.red'} \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate } (\lambda- :: 'heap.\text{typeof-addr}) (heap\text{-base.heap-read-typed } (\lambda- :: 'heap.\text{typeof-addr}) \text{heap-read } P \text{ t e s ta e' s' } \longleftrightarrow$

$J\text{-heap-base.red'} \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate } (\lambda- :: 'heap.\text{typeof-addr}) \text{heap-read heap-write } P \text{ t e s ta e' s' } \wedge$

$(\forall ad al v T. \text{ReadMem } ad al v \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o \longrightarrow \text{heap-base'.addr-loc-type } \text{TYPE('heap)} \text{ typeof-addr } P ad al T \longrightarrow \text{heap-base'.conf } \text{TYPE('heap)} \text{ typeof-addr } P v T)$

(is ?lhs1 \longleftrightarrow ?rhs1a \wedge ?rhs1b)

and $reds\text{-heap-read-typedD}:$

$J\text{-heap-base.reds'} \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate } (\lambda- :: 'heap.\text{typeof-addr}) (heap\text{-base.heap-read-typed } (\lambda- :: 'heap.\text{typeof-addr}) \text{heap-read } P) \text{heap-write } P \text{ t es s ta es' s' } \longleftrightarrow$

$J\text{-heap-base.reds'} \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate } (\lambda- :: 'heap.\text{typeof-addr}) \text{heap-read heap-write } P \text{ t es s ta es' s' } \wedge$

$(\forall ad al v T. \text{ReadMem } ad al v \in \text{set } \{ta\}_o \longrightarrow \text{heap-base'.addr-loc-type } \text{TYPE('heap)} \text{ typeof-addr } P ad al T \longrightarrow \text{heap-base'.conf } \text{TYPE('heap)} \text{ typeof-addr } P v T)$

(is ?lhs2 \longleftrightarrow ?rhs2a \wedge ?rhs2b)

proof –

have (?lhs1 \longrightarrow ?rhs1a \wedge ?rhs1b) \wedge (?lhs2 \longrightarrow ?rhs2a \wedge ?rhs2b)

apply(induct rule: $J\text{-heap-base.red-reds.induct}$)

prefer 50

apply(subst (asm) red-external-heap-read-typed)

apply(fastforce intro!: $J\text{-heap-base.red-reds.RedCallExternal simp add: convert-extTA-def}$)

prefer 49

apply(fastforce dest: $J\text{-heap-base.red-reds.RedCall}$)

```

apply(auto intro: J-heap-base.red-reds.intros dest: heap-base.heap-read-typed-into-heap-read heap-base.heap-read-dest: heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD2] heap-base'.conf-conv-conf[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD1]])
  done
moreover have (?rhs1a → ?rhs1b → ?lhs1) ∧ (?rhs2a → ?rhs2b → ?lhs2)
  apply(induct rule: J-heap-base.red-reds.induct)
  prefer 50
  apply simp
  apply(intro strip)
  apply(erule (1) J-heap-base.red-reds.RedCallExternal)
  apply(subst red-external-heap-read-typed, erule conjI)
  apply(blast+)[4]

  prefer 49
  apply(fastforce dest: J-heap-base.red-reds.RedCall)

apply(auto intro: J-heap-base.red-reds.intros intro!: heap-base.heap-read-typedI dest: heap-base'.addr-loc-type-confun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD1] intro: heap-base'.conf-conv-conf[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD2])
  done
ultimately show ?lhs1 ↔ ?rhs1a ∧ ?rhs1b ?lhs2 ↔ ?rhs2a ∧ ?rhs2b by blast+
qed

lemma if-mred-heap-read-typedD:
  multithreaded-base.init-fin final-expr (J-heap-base.mred addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate (λ- :: 'heap. typeof-addr) (heap-base.heap-read-typed (λ- :: 'heap. typeof-addr) heap-read P) heap-write P t xh ta x'h' ↔ if-heap-read-typed final-expr (J-heap-base.mred addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate (λ- :: 'heap. typeof-addr) heap-read heap-write P) typeof-addr P t xh ta x'h')
  unfold multithreaded-base.init-fin.simps
  by(subst red-heap-read-typedD) fastforce

lemma J- $\mathcal{E}$ -heap-read-typedI:
   $\llbracket E \in J\text{-}\mathit{heap}\text{-}base.J\text{-}\mathcal{E} \text{ addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate } (\lambda- :: 'heap. \text{typeof-addr}) \text{ heap-read heap-write } P C M \text{ vs status};$ 
   $\wedge \text{ad al v T. } \llbracket \text{NormalAction } (\text{ReadMem ad al v}) \in \text{snd } (\text{lset } E; \text{heap-base'.addr-loc-type } \text{TYPE('heap) typeof-addr P ad al T}) \rrbracket \implies \text{heap-base'.conf } \text{TYPE('heap) typeof-addr P v T} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies E \in J\text{-}\mathit{heap}\text{-}base.J\text{-}\mathcal{E} \text{ addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate } (\lambda- :: 'heap. \text{typeof-addr}) \text{ (heap-base.heap-read-typed } (\lambda- :: 'heap. \text{typeof-addr}) \text{ heap-read P) heap-write P C M \text{ vs status}$ 
  apply(erule imageE, hypsubst)
  apply(rule imageI)
  apply(erule multithreaded-base.E.cases, hypsubst)
  apply(rule multithreaded-base.E.intros)
  apply(subst if-mred-heap-read-typedD[abs-def])
  apply(erule if-mthr-Runs-heap-read-typedI)
  apply(auto simp add: image-Un lset-lmap[symmetric] lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp o-def split-def simp del: lset-lmap)
  done

lemma jmm'-redI:
   $\llbracket J\text{-}\mathit{heap}\text{-}base.red' \text{ addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate } \text{typeof-addr jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write } P t e s \text{ ta } e' s';$ 

```

$\text{final-thread.actions-ok} (\text{final-thread.init-fin-final final-expr}) S t ta \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow \exists ta e' s'. J\text{-heap-base.red'} \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate}$
 $\text{typeof-addr} (\text{heap-base.heap-read-typed typeof-addr jmm-heap-read } P) \text{jmm-heap-write } P t e s ta e' s'$
 $\wedge \text{final-thread.actions-ok} (\text{final-thread.init-fin-final final-expr}) S t ta$
 $(\text{is } [\] ?red'; ?aok] \Rightarrow ?concl)$
 and jmm'-redsI:
 $[\] J\text{-heap-base.reds'} \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate typeof-addr}$
 $\text{jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write } P t es s ta es' s';$
 $\text{final-thread.actions-ok} (\text{final-thread.init-fin-final final-expr}) S t ta \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow \exists ta es' s'. J\text{-heap-base.reds'} \text{addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate}$
 $\text{typeof-addr} (\text{heap-base.heap-read-typed typeof-addr jmm-heap-read } P) \text{jmm-heap-write } P t es s ta es' s'$
 \wedge
 $\text{final-thread.actions-ok} (\text{final-thread.init-fin-final final-expr}) S t ta$
 $(\text{is } [\] ?reds'; ?aoks] \Rightarrow ?concls)$

proof –

note [*simp del*] = *split-paired-Ex*
and [*simp add*] = *final-thread.actions-ok-iff heap-base.THE-addr-loc-type heap-base.defval-conf*
and [*intro*] = *jmm-heap-read-typed-default-val*

let $?v = \lambda h a al. \text{default-val} (\text{THE } T. \text{heap-base.addr-loc-type typeof-addr } P h a al T)$

have $(?red' \rightarrow ?aok \rightarrow ?concl) \wedge (?reds' \rightarrow ?aoks \rightarrow ?concls)$

proof(induct rule: J-heap-base.red-reds.induct)

case $(23 h a T n i v l)$

thus $?case$ **by**(auto 4 6 intro: *J-heap-base.red-reds.RedAAcc[where v=?v h a (ACell (nat (sint i)))]*)

next

case $(35 h a D F v l)$

thus $?case$ **by**(auto 4 5 intro: *J-heap-base.red-reds.RedFAcc[where v=?v h a (CField D F)]*)

next

case *RedCASSucceed*: $(45 h a D F v v' h')$

thus $?case$

proof(cases v = ?v h a (CField D F))

case *True*

with *RedCASSucceed* **show** *?thesis*

by(fastforce intro: *J-heap-base.red-reds.RedCASSucceed[where v=?v h a (CField D F)]*)

next

case *False*

with *RedCASSucceed* **show** *?thesis*

by(fastforce intro: *J-heap-base.red-reds.RedCASFail[where v''=?v h a (CField D F)]*)

qed

next

case *RedCASFail*: $(46 h a D F v'' v v' l)$

thus $?case$

proof(cases v = ?v h a (CField D F))

case *True*

with *RedCASFail* **show** *?thesis*

by(fastforce intro: *J-heap-base.red-reds.RedCASSucceed[where v=?v h a (CField D F)] jmm-heap-write.intros*)

next

case *False*

with *RedCASFail* **show** *?thesis*

by(fastforce intro: *J-heap-base.red-reds.RedCASFail[where v''=?v h a (CField D F)]*)

qed

next

```

case (50 s a hU M Ts T D vs ta va h' ta' e' s')
thus ?case
  apply clarify
  apply(drule jmm'-red-externalI, simp)
  apply(auto 4 4 intro: J-heap-base.red-reds.RedCallExternal)
  done
next
  case (52 e h l V vo ta e' h' l' T)
  thus ?case
    by(clarify)(iprover intro: J-heap-base.red-reds.BlockRed)
  qed(blast intro: J-heap-base.red-reds.intros)+
  thus [?red'; ?aok] ==> ?concl and [?reds'; ?aoks] ==> ?concls by blast+
qed

lemma if-mred-heap-read-not-stuck:
  [ multithreaded-base.init-fin final Expr (J-heap-base.mred addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups
empty-heap allocate typeof-addr jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write P) t xh ta x'h';
final-thread.actions-ok (final-thread.init-fin-final final Expr) s t ta ]
  ==>
   $\exists t a x'h'. \text{multithreaded-base.init-fin final Expr} (J\text{-heap-base.mred addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate typeof-addr (heap-base.heap-read-typed typeof-addr jmm-heap-read P) jmm-heap-write P}) t xh ta x'h' \wedge \text{final-thread.actions-ok} (\text{final-thread.init-fin-final final Expr}) s t ta$ 
apply(erule multithreaded-base.init-fin.cases)
apply hypsubst
apply clarify
apply(drule jmm'-redI)
apply(simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff)
apply clarify
apply(subst (2) split-paired-Ex)
apply(subst (2) split-paired-Ex)
apply(subst (2) split-paired-Ex)
apply(rule exI conjI)+
apply(rule multithreaded-base.init-fin.intros)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff)
apply(blast intro: multithreaded-base.init-fin.intros)
apply(blast intro: multithreaded-base.init-fin.intros)
done

lemma if-mredT-heap-read-not-stuck:
  multithreaded-base.redT (final-thread.init-fin-final final Expr) (multithreaded-base.init-fin final Expr
(J-heap-base.mred addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate typeof-addr jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write P)) convert-RA' s tta s'
  ==>  $\exists tta s'. \text{multithreaded-base.redT} (\text{final-thread.init-fin-final final Expr}) (\text{multithreaded-base.init-fin final Expr} (J\text{-heap-base.mred addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate typeof-addr (heap-base.heap-read-typed typeof-addr jmm-heap-read P) jmm-heap-write P}) \text{convert-RA'} s tta s')$ 
apply(erule multithreaded-base.redT.cases)
apply hypsubst
apply(drule (1) if-mred-heap-read-not-stuck)
apply(erule exE)+
apply(rename-tac ta' x'h')
apply(insert redT-updWs-total)
apply(erule-tac x=t in meta-allE)
apply(erule-tac x=wset s in meta-allE)

```

```

apply(erule-tac x=``ta'`w in meta-allE)
apply clarsimp
apply(rule exI)+
apply(auto intro!: multithreaded-base.redT.intros)[1]
apply hypsubst
apply(rule exI conjI)+
apply(rule multithreaded-base.redT.redT-acquire)
apply assumption+
done

lemma J-heap-read-typedD:
   $E \in J\text{-heap-base}.J\text{-}\mathcal{E} \text{ addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate } (\lambda\_. \text{typeof-addr})$ 
  ( $\text{heap-base}.\text{heap-read-typed } (\lambda\_. \text{typeof-addr}) \text{ jmm-heap-read } P \text{ jmm-heap-write } P C M \text{ vs status}$ 
    $\implies E \in J\text{-heap-base}.J\text{-}\mathcal{E} \text{ addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate } (\lambda\_. \text{typeof-addr})$ 
    $\text{typeof-addr) jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write } P C M \text{ vs status}$ 
apply(erule imageE, hypsubst)
apply(rule imageI)
apply(erule multithreaded-base.\ $\mathcal{E}$ .cases, hypsubst)
apply(rule multithreaded-base.\ $\mathcal{E}$ .intros)
apply(subst (asm) if-mred-heap-read-typedD[abs-def])
apply(erule if-mthr-Runs-heap-read-typedD)
apply(erule if-mredT-heap-read-not-stuck[where typeof-addr= $\lambda\_. \text{typeof-addr}$ , unfolded if-mred-heap-read-typedD[abs-def]])
done

lemma J- $\mathcal{E}$ -typesafe-subset: jmm'-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status  $\subseteq$  jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status
unfolding jmm-typeof-addr-def[abs-def]
by(rule subsetI)(erule J-heap-read-typedD)

lemma J-legal-typesafe1:
assumes wfP: wf-J-prog P
and ok: jmm-wf-start-state P C M vs
and legal: legal-execution P (jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) (E, ws)
shows legal-execution P (jmm'-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) (E, ws)
proof -
let ? $\mathcal{E}$  = jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status
let ? $\mathcal{E}'$  = jmm'-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status
from legal obtain J
  where justified:  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  justified-by J
  and range: range (justifying-exec o J)  $\subseteq$  ? $\mathcal{E}$ 
  and E:  $E \in ?\mathcal{E}$  and wf:  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  √ by(auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
let ?J = J(0 := (committed = {}, justifying-exec = justifying-exec (J 1), justifying-ws = justifying-ws (J 1), action-translation = id))
from wfP have wf-sys: wf-syscls P by(rule wf-prog-wf-syscls)

from justified have  $P \vdash (\text{justifying-exec } (J 1), \text{justifying-ws } (J 1))$  √
  by(simp add: justification-well-formed-def)
with justified have  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  justified-by ?J by(rule drop-0th-justifying-exec)
moreover have range (justifying-exec o ?J)  $\subseteq$  ? $\mathcal{E}'$ 
proof
fix  $\xi$ 
assume  $\xi \in \text{range } (\text{justifying-exec } o ?J)$ 
then obtain n where  $\xi = \text{justifying-exec } (?J n)$  by auto
then obtain n where  $\xi: \xi = \text{justifying-exec } (J n)$  and  $n: n > 0$  by(auto split: if-split-asm)

```

```

from range  $\xi$  have  $\xi \in ?\mathcal{E}$  by auto
thus  $\xi \in ?\mathcal{E}'$  unfolding  $jmm\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr'\text{-}conv\text{-}jmm\text{-}type\text{-}addr[symmetric, abs-def]$ 
proof(rule J- $\mathcal{E}$ -heap-read-typedI)
fix ad al v T
assume read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ snd ` lset  $\xi$ 
and adal:  $P \vdash jmm ad @ al : T$ 
from read obtain a where a: enat a < llength  $\xi$  action-obs  $\xi$  a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
unfolding lset-conv-lnth by(auto simp add: action-obs-def)
with J-allocated-heap-conf'.mred-known-addrs-typing'[OF jmm-J-allocated-heap-conf' wfP jmm-start-heap-ok]
J-heap-conf.J-start-state-sconf-type-ok[OF jmm-J-heap-conf wfP ok]
wf-sys is-justified-by-imp-is-weakly-justified-by[OF justified wf] range n
have  $\exists T. P \vdash jmm ad @ al : T \wedge P \vdash jmm v : \leq T$ 
unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def]  $\xi$ 
by(rule known-addrs-typing'.read-value-typeable-justifying)
thus  $P \vdash jmm v : \leq T$  using adal
by(auto dest: jmm.addr-loc-type-fun[unfolded jmm-typeof-addr-conv-jmm-typeof-addr', unfolded
heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type])
qed
qed
moreover from E have E ∈ ? $\mathcal{E}$ ' 
unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def]
proof(rule J- $\mathcal{E}$ -heap-read-typedI)
fix ad al v T
assume read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ snd ` lset E
and adal:  $P \vdash jmm ad @ al : T$ 
from read obtain a where a: enat a < llength E action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
unfolding lset-conv-lnth by(auto simp add: action-obs-def)
with jmm-J-allocated-heap-conf' wfP ok legal-imp-weakly-legal-execution[OF legal]
have  $\exists T. P \vdash jmm ad @ al : T \wedge P \vdash jmm v : \leq T$ 
unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def]
by(rule J-allocated-heap-conf'.J-legal-read-value-typeable)
thus  $P \vdash jmm v : \leq T$  using adal
by(auto dest: jmm.addr-loc-type-fun[unfolded jmm-typeof-addr-conv-jmm-typeof-addr', unfolded
heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type])
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using wf unfolding gen-legal-execution.simps by blast
qed

lemma J-weakly-legal-typesafe1:
assumes wfP: wf-J-prog P
and ok: jmm-wf-start-state P C M vs
and legal: weakly-legal-execution P (jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) (E, ws)
shows weakly-legal-execution P (jmm'-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) (E, ws)
proof -
let ? $\mathcal{E}$  = jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status
let ? $\mathcal{E}'$  = jmm'-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status
from legal obtain J
where justified:  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  weakly-justified-by J
and range: range (justifying-exec o J) ⊆ ? $\mathcal{E}$ 
and E:  $E \in ?\mathcal{E}$  and wf:  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  √ by(auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
let ?J = J(0 := () committed = {}, justifying-exec = justifying-exec (J 1), justifying-ws = justifying-ws (J 1), action-translation = id())

```

```

from wfP have wf-sys: wf-syscls P by(rule wf-prog-wf-syscls)

from justified have P ⊢ (justifying-exec (J 1), justifying-ws (J 1)) √
  by(simp add: justification-well-formed-def)
with justified have P ⊢ (E, ws) weakly-justified-by ?J by(rule drop-0th-weakly-justifying-exec)
moreover have range (justifying-exec o ?J) ⊆ ?E'
proof
  fix ξ
  assume ξ ∈ range (justifying-exec o ?J)
  then obtain n where ξ = justifying-exec (?J n) by auto
  then obtain n where ξ: ξ = justifying-exec (J n) and n: n > 0 by(auto split: if-split-asm)
  from range ξ have ξ ∈ ?E by auto
  thus ξ ∈ ?E unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def]
  proof(rule J-E-heap-read-typedI)
    fix ad al v T
    assume read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ snd ` lset ξ
    and adal: P ⊢ jmm ad@al : T
    from read obtain a where a: enat a < llength ξ action-obs ξ a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad
al v)
      unfolding lset-conv-lnth by(auto simp add: action-obs-def)
    with J-allocated-heap-conf'.mred-known-addrs-typing'[OF jmm-J-allocated-heap-conf' wfP jmm-start-heap-ok]
      J-heap-conf.J-start-state-sconf-type-ok[OF jmm-J-heap-conf wfP ok]
      wf-sys justified range n
    have ∃ T. P ⊢ jmm ad@al : T ∧ P ⊢ jmm v :≤ T
      unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def] ξ
      by(rule known-addrs-typing'.read-value-typeable-justifying)
    thus P ⊢ jmm v :≤ T using adal
      by(auto dest: jmm.addr-loc-type-fun[unfolded jmm-typeof-addr-conv-jmm-typeof-addr', unfolded
heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type])
    qed
    qed
  moreover from E have E ∈ ?E'
    unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def]
  proof(rule J-E-heap-read-typedI)
    fix ad al v T
    assume read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ snd ` lset E
    and adal: P ⊢ jmm ad@al : T
    from read obtain a where a: enat a < llength E action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad
al v)
      unfolding lset-conv-lnth by(auto simp add: action-obs-def)
    with jmm-J-allocated-heap-conf' wfP ok legal
    have ∃ T. P ⊢ jmm ad@al : T ∧ P ⊢ jmm v :≤ T
      unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def]
      by(rule J-allocated-heap-conf'.J-legal-read-value-typeable)
    thus P ⊢ jmm v :≤ T using adal
      by(auto dest: jmm.addr-loc-type-fun[unfolded jmm-typeof-addr-conv-jmm-typeof-addr', unfolded
heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type])
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis using wf unfolding gen-legal-execution.simps by blast
  qed

lemma J-legal-typesafe2:
  assumes legal: legal-execution P (jmm'-J-E P C M vs status) (E, ws)

```

shows legal-execution P ($jmm\text{-}J\text{-}\mathcal{E}$ $P C M$ vs $status$) (E, ws)
proof –

```

let ?E = jmm\text{-}J\text{-}\mathcal{E} P C M vs status
let ?E' = jmm'\text{-}J\text{-}\mathcal{E} P C M vs status
from legal obtain J
  where justified:  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  justified-by  $J$ 
  and range: range (justifying-exec  $\circ J$ )  $\subseteq$  ?E'
  and  $E: E \in ?E'$  and wf:  $P \vdash (E, ws) \vee$  by(auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
from range  $E$  have range (justifying-exec  $\circ J$ )  $\subseteq$  ?E  $E \in ?E$ 
  using J\text{-}\mathcal{E}\text{-typesafe-subset}[of  $P$  status  $C M$  vs] by blast+
with justified wf
show ?thesis by(auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
qed
```

lemma $J\text{-weakly-legal-typesafe2}$:

```

assumes legal: weakly-legal-execution  $P$  ( $jmm'\text{-}J\text{-}\mathcal{E}$   $P C M$  vs  $status$ ) ( $E, ws$ )
shows weakly-legal-execution  $P$  ( $jmm\text{-}J\text{-}\mathcal{E}$   $P C M$  vs  $status$ ) ( $E, ws$ )
proof –
```

```

let ?E = jmm\text{-}J\text{-}\mathcal{E} P C M vs status
let ?E' = jmm'\text{-}J\text{-}\mathcal{E} P C M vs status
from legal obtain J
  where justified:  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  weakly-justified-by  $J$ 
  and range: range (justifying-exec  $\circ J$ )  $\subseteq$  ?E'
  and  $E: E \in ?E'$  and wf:  $P \vdash (E, ws) \vee$  by(auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
from range  $E$  have range (justifying-exec  $\circ J$ )  $\subseteq$  ?E  $E \in ?E$ 
  using J\text{-}\mathcal{E}\text{-typesafe-subset}[of  $P$  status  $C M$  vs] by blast+
with justified wf
show ?thesis by(auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
qed
```

theorem $J\text{-weakly-legal-typesafe}$:

```

assumes wf-J-prog  $P$ 
and jmm-wf-start-state  $P C M$  vs
shows weakly-legal-execution  $P$  ( $jmm\text{-}J\text{-}\mathcal{E}$   $P C M$  vs  $status$ ) = weakly-legal-execution  $P$  ( $jmm'\text{-}J\text{-}\mathcal{E}$   $P C M$  vs  $status$ )
apply(rule ext iffI)+
apply(clarify, erule J\text{-weakly-legal-typesafe1}[OF assms])
apply(clarify, erule J\text{-weakly-legal-typesafe2})
done
```

theorem $J\text{-legal-typesafe}$:

```

assumes wf-J-prog  $P$ 
and jmm-wf-start-state  $P C M$  vs
shows legal-execution  $P$  ( $jmm\text{-}J\text{-}\mathcal{E}$   $P C M$  vs  $status$ ) = legal-execution  $P$  ( $jmm'\text{-}J\text{-}\mathcal{E}$   $P C M$  vs  $status$ )
apply(rule ext iffI)+
apply(clarify, erule J\text{-legal-typesafe1}[OF assms])
apply(clarify, erule J\text{-legal-typesafe2})
done
```

end

8.21 JMM type safety for bytecode

```

theory JMM-JVM-Typesafe
imports
  JMM-Typesafe2
  DRF-JVM
begin

locale JVM-allocated-heap-conf' =
  h: JVM-heap-conf
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate λ-. typeof-addr heap-read heap-write hconf
    P
  +
  h: JVM-allocated-heap
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate λ-. typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
    allocated
    P
  +
  heap"
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
    P
  for addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'addr → htype
  and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ bool
  and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap ⇒ bool
  and hconf :: 'heap ⇒ bool
  and allocated :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr set
  and P :: 'addr jvm-prog

sublocale JVM-allocated-heap-conf' < h: JVM-allocated-heap-conf
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate λ-. typeof-addr heap-read heap-write hconf allocated
  P
by(unfold-locales)

context JVM-allocated-heap-conf' begin

lemma exec-instr-New-type-match:
  ⟦ (ta, s') ∈ h.exec-instr i P t h stk loc C M pc frs; NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set {ta}o; typeof-addr
  ad ≠ None ⟧
  ⇒ typeof-addr ad = ⌊ CTn ⌋
by(cases i)(auto split: if-split-asm prod.split-asm dest: allocate-typeof-addr-SomeD red-external-aggr-New-type-match)

```

```

lemma mexecd-New-type-match:
  [ h.mexecd P t (xcpfrs, h) ta (xcpfrs', h'); NewHeapElem ad CTn ∈ set {ta}o; typeof-addr ad ≠ None ]
    ==> typeof-addr ad = ⌊ CTn ⌋
apply(cases xcpfrs)
apply(cases xcpfrs')
apply(simp add: split-beta)
apply(erule h.jvmd-NormalE)
apply(cases fst xcpfrs)
apply(auto 4 3 dest: exec-instr-New-type-match)
done

lemma mexecd-known-addrs-typing':
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  and ok: h.start-heap-ok
  shows known-addrs-typing' addr2thread-id thread-id2addr empty-heap allocate typeof-addr heap-write
  allocated h.jvm-known-addrs JVM-final (h.mexecd P) (λt (xcp, frs) h. h.correct-state  $\Phi$  t (xcp, h, frs))
  P
proof -
  interpret known-addrs-typing
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    spurious-wakeups
    empty-heap allocate λ-. typeof-addr heap-read heap-write
    allocated h.jvm-known-addrs
    JVM-final h.mexecd P λt (xcp, frs) h. h.correct-state  $\Phi$  t (xcp, h, frs)
    P
  using assms by(rule h.mexecd-known-addrs-typing)

  show ?thesis by(unfold-locales)(erule mexecd-New-type-match)
qed

lemma JVM-weakly-legal-read-value-typeable:
  assumes wf: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  and wf-start: h.wf-start-state P C M vs
  and legal: weakly-legal-execution P (h.JVMD- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) (E, ws)
  and a: enat a < llength E
  and read: action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)
  shows ∃ T. P ⊢ ad@al : T ∧ P ⊢ v :≤ T
proof -
  note wf
  moreover from wf-start have h.start-heap-ok by cases
  moreover from wf wf-start
  have ts-ok (λt (xcp, frs) h. h.correct-state  $\Phi$  t (xcp, h, frs)) (thr (h.JVM-start-state P C M vs))
  h.start-heap
    using h.correct-jvm-state-initial[OF wf wf-start]
    by(simp add: h.correct-jvm-state-def h.start-state-def split-beta)
  moreover from wf obtain wf-md where wf': wf-prog wf-md P by(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)
  hence wf-syscls P by(rule wf-prog-wf-syscls)
  ultimately show ?thesis using legal a read
    by(rule known-addrs-typing'.weakly-legal-read-value-typeable[OF mexecd-known-addrs-typing'])
qed

end

```

abbreviation $jmm\text{-}JVMd\text{-}\mathcal{E}$

:: $addr jvm\text{-}prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow addr val list \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow (addr \times (addr, addr) obs\text{-}event action) llist set$

where

$jmm\text{-}JVMd\text{-}\mathcal{E} P \equiv$

$JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.JVMd\text{-}\mathcal{E} addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm\text{-}spurious\text{-}wakeups jmm\text{-}empty jmm\text{-}allocate (jmm\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr P) jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write P$

abbreviation $jmm'\text{-}JVMd\text{-}\mathcal{E}$

:: $addr jvm\text{-}prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow addr val list \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow (addr \times (addr, addr) obs\text{-}event action) llist set$

where

$jmm'\text{-}JVMd\text{-}\mathcal{E} P \equiv$

$JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.JVMd\text{-}\mathcal{E} addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm\text{-}spurious\text{-}wakeups jmm\text{-}empty jmm\text{-}allocate (jmm\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr P) (jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed P) jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write P$

abbreviation $jmm\text{-}JVM\text{-}start\text{-}state$

:: $addr jvm\text{-}prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow addr val list \Rightarrow (addr, thread-id, addr jvm\text{-}thread\text{-}state, JMM\text{-}heap, addr) state$

where $jmm\text{-}JVM\text{-}start\text{-}state \equiv JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.JVM\text{-}start\text{-}state addr2thread-id jmm\text{-}empty jmm\text{-}allocate$

lemma $jmm\text{-}JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}conf:$

$JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}conf addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm\text{-}empty jmm\text{-}allocate (jmm\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr P) jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write jmm\text{-}hconf P$

by(unfold-locales)

lemma $jmm\text{-}JVMd\text{-}allocated\text{-}heap\text{-}conf':$

$JVM\text{-}allocated\text{-}heap\text{-}conf' addr2thread-id thread-id2addr jmm\text{-}empty jmm\text{-}allocate (jmm\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr' P) jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write jmm\text{-}hconf jmm\text{-}allocated P$

apply(rule $JVM\text{-}allocated\text{-}heap\text{-}conf'\text{.intro})$

apply(unfold $jmm\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr'\text{-}conv\text{-}jmm\text{-}typeof\text{-}addr$)

apply(unfold-locales)

done

lemma $exec\text{-}instr\text{-}heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed}:$

$(ta, xcphfrs') \in JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.exec\text{-}instr addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious\text{-}wakeups empty\text{-}heap allocate (\lambda \cdot :: 'heap. typeof\text{-}addr) (heap\text{-}base.heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed} (\lambda \cdot :: 'heap. typeof\text{-}addr) heap\text{-}read P) heap\text{-}write i P t h stk loc C M pc frs \longleftrightarrow$

$(ta, xcphfrs') \in JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.exec\text{-}instr addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious\text{-}wakeups empty\text{-}heap allocate (\lambda \cdot :: 'heap. typeof\text{-}addr) heap\text{-}read heap\text{-}write i P t h stk loc C M pc frs \wedge$

$(\forall ad al v T. ReadMem ad al v \in set \{ta\}_o \longrightarrow heap\text{-}base'.addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type TYPE('heap) typeof\text{-}addr P ad al T \longrightarrow heap\text{-}base'.conf TYPE('heap) typeof\text{-}addr P v T)$

apply(cases i)

apply(simp-all add: $JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.exec\text{-}instr.simps split\text{-}beta cong: conj\text{-}cong)$

apply(auto dest: $heap\text{-}base.heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed}\text{-}into\text{-}heap\text{-}read del: disjCI)[5]$

apply(blast dest: $heap\text{-}base.heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed}\text{-}typed heap\text{-}base'.addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type\text{-}conv\text{-}addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type [THEN fun\text{-}cong, THEN fun\text{-}cong, THEN fun\text{-}cong, THEN iffD2] heap\text{-}base'.conf\text{-}conv\text{-}conf [THEN fun\text{-}cong, THEN fun\text{-}cong, THEN iffD1])$

apply(auto dest: $heap\text{-}base'.addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type\text{-}conv\text{-}addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type [THEN fun\text{-}cong, THEN fun\text{-}cong, THEN fun\text{-}cong, THEN iffD1] intro: heap\text{-}base'.conf\text{-}conv\text{-}conf [THEN fun\text{-}cong, THEN fun\text{-}cong, THEN iffD2] heap\text{-}base.heap\text{-}read\text{-}typedI)[1]$

```

apply(blast dest: heap-base.heap-read-typed-typed heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD2] heap-base'.conf-conv-conf[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD1])
apply(auto dest: heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD1] intro: heap-base'.conf-conv-conf[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD2] heap-base.heap-read-typedI)[1]
apply(blast dest: heap-base.heap-read-typed-typed heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD2] heap-base'.conf-conv-conf[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD1])
subgoal by(auto dest: heap-base.heap-read-typed-into-heap-read)
apply(blast dest: heap-base.heap-read-typed-typed heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD2] heap-base'.conf-conv-conf[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD1])
subgoal by(auto dest: heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD1] intro: heap-base'.conf-conv-conf[THEN fun-cong, THEN fun-cong, THEN iffD2] heap-base.heap-read-typedI)[1]
subgoal by(auto 4 3 dest: heap-base.heap-read-typed-into-heap-read intro: heap-base.heap-read-typedI simp add: heap-base'.conf-conv-conf heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type)
apply(subst red-external-aggr-heap-read-typed)
apply(fastforce)
apply auto
done

lemma exec-heap-read-typed:
  ( $ta, xcphfrs' \in JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.\text{exec}\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ (\lambda\text{-} :: 'heap.\ typeof\text{-}addr)\ (heap\text{-}base.\text{heap}\text{-}read\text{-}typed\ (\lambda\text{-}.\ typeof\text{-}addr)\ heap\text{-}read\ P)\ heap\text{-}write\ P\ t\ xcphfrs \longleftrightarrow$ 
  ( $ta, xcphfrs' \in JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.\text{exec}\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ (\lambda\text{-} :: 'heap.\ typeof\text{-}addr)\ heap\text{-}read\ heap\text{-}write\ P\ t\ xcphfrs \wedge$ 
   $(\forall ad\ al\ v\ T.\ ReadMem\ ad\ al\ v \in set\ \{ta\}_o \longrightarrow heap\text{-}base'.addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type\ TYPE('heap)\ typeof\text{-}addr\ P\ ad\ al\ T \longrightarrow heap\text{-}base'.conf\ TYPE('heap)\ typeof\text{-}addr\ P\ v\ T)$ )
apply(cases xcphfrs)
apply(cases fst xcphfrs)
apply(case-tac [|] snd (snd xcphfrs))
apply(auto simp add: JVM-heap-base.exec.simps exec-instr-heap-read-typed)
done

lemma exec-1-d-heap-read-typed:
   $JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.\text{exec}\text{-}1\text{-}d\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ (\lambda\text{-} :: 'heap.\ typeof\text{-}addr)\ (heap\text{-}base.\text{heap}\text{-}read\text{-}typed\ (\lambda\text{-}.\ typeof\text{-}addr)\ heap\text{-}read\ P)\ heap\text{-}write\ P\ t\ (Normal\ xcphfrs)\ ta\ (Normal\ xcphfrs') \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.\text{exec}\text{-}1\text{-}d\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ (\lambda\text{-} :: 'heap.\ typeof\text{-}addr)\ heap\text{-}read\ heap\text{-}write\ P\ t\ (Normal\ xcphfrs)\ ta\ (Normal\ xcphfrs') \wedge$ 
   $(\forall ad\ al\ v\ T.\ ReadMem\ ad\ al\ v \in set\ \{ta\}_o \longrightarrow heap\text{-}base'.addr\text{-}loc\text{-}type\ TYPE('heap)\ typeof\text{-}addr\ P\ ad\ al\ T \longrightarrow heap\text{-}base'.conf\ TYPE('heap)\ typeof\text{-}addr\ P\ v\ T)$ )
by(auto elim!: JVM-heap-base.jvmd-NormalE intro: JVM-heap-base.exec-1-d-NormalI simp add: exec-heap-read-typ JVM-heap-base.exec-d-def)

lemma mexecd-heap-read-typed:
   $JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.\text{mexecd}\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ (\lambda\text{-} :: 'heap.\ typeof\text{-}addr)\ (heap\text{-}base.\text{heap}\text{-}read\text{-}typed\ (\lambda\text{-} :: 'heap.\ typeof\text{-}addr)\ heap\text{-}read\ P)\ heap\text{-}write\ P\ t\ xcprsh\ ta\ xcprsh' \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.\text{mexecd}\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ (\lambda\text{-} ::$ 

```

'heap. typeof-addr) heap-read heap-write $P t$ $xcpfrsh$ ta $xcpfrsh'$ \wedge
 $(\forall ad al v T. ReadMem ad al v \in set \{ta\}_o \rightarrow \text{heap-base'.addr-loc-type } \text{TYPE('heap)} \text{ typeof-addr}$
 $P ad al T \rightarrow \text{heap-base'.conf } \text{TYPE('heap)} \text{ typeof-addr } P v T)$
by(simp add: split-beta exec-1-d-heap-read-typed)

lemma if-mexecd-heap-read-typed:

multithreaded-base.init-fin JVM-final (JVM-heap-base.mexecd addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate ($\lambda- :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}$) (heap-base.heap-read-typed ($\lambda- :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}$) heap-read P) heap-write P) t xh ta $x'h'$ \longleftrightarrow
if-heap-read-typed JVM-final (JVM-heap-base.mexecd addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate ($\lambda- :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}$) heap-read heap-write P) $\text{typeof-addr } P t$ xh ta $x'h'$
unfolding multithreaded-base.init-fin.simps
by(subst mexecd-heap-read-typed) fastforce

lemma $JVMd\text{-}\mathcal{E}$ -heap-read-typedI:

$\llbracket E \in \text{JVM-heap-base.JVMd-}\mathcal{E} \text{ addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate}$
 $(\lambda- :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}) \text{ heap-read heap-write } P C M \text{ vs status};$
 $\wedge ad al v T. \llbracket \text{NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) } \in \text{snd } lset E; \text{heap-base'.addr-loc-type } \text{TYPE('heap)}$
 $\text{typeof-addr } P ad al T \rrbracket \implies \text{heap-base'.conf } \text{TYPE('heap)} \text{ typeof-addr } P v T \rrbracket$
 $\implies E \in \text{JVM-heap-base.JVMd-}\mathcal{E} \text{ addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate}$
 $(\lambda- :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}) (\text{heap-base.heap-read-typed } (\lambda- :: \text{heap. typeof-addr}) \text{ heap-read } P) \text{ heap-write } P C M \text{ vs status}$
apply(erule imageE, hypsubst)
apply(rule imageI)
apply(erule multithreaded-base.E.cases, hypsubst)
apply(rule multithreaded-base.E.intros)
apply(subst if-mexecd-heap-read-typed[abs-def])
apply(erule if-mthr-Runs-heap-read-typedI)
apply(auto simp add: image-Un lset-lmap[symmetric] lmap-lconcat llist.map-comp o-def split-def simp
del: lset-lmap)
done

lemma $jmm'\text{-exec-instrI}$:

$\llbracket (ta, xcphfrs) \in \text{JVM-heap-base.exec-instr addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap}$
allocate $\text{typeof-addr } jmm\text{-heap-read } jmm\text{-heap-write } i P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs;$
 $\text{final-thread.actions-ok } (\text{final-thread.init-fin-final JVM-final}) s t ta \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists ta xcphfrs. (ta, xcphfrs) \in \text{JVM-heap-base.exec-instr addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate }$
 $\text{typeof-addr } (\text{heap-base.heap-read-typed } \text{typeof-addr } jmm\text{-heap-read } P) jmm\text{-heap-write } i P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs \wedge \text{final-thread.actions-ok } (\text{final-thread.init-fin-final JVM-final})$
 $s t ta$
apply(cases i)
apply(auto simp add: JVM-heap-base.exec-instr.simps split-beta final-thread.actions-ok-iff intro!: jmm-heap-read-typed-default-
rev-image-eqI simp del: split-paired-Ex split: if-split-asm)
subgoal for F D
apply(cases hd (tl stk) = (default-val (THE T. heap-base.addr-loc-type typeof-addr P h (the-Addr
(hd (tl (tl stk)))) (CField D F) T)))
subgoal by(auto simp add: JVM-heap-base.exec-instr.simps split-beta final-thread.actions-ok-iff intro!: jmm-heap-read-typed-default-val rev-image-eqI simp del: split-paired-Ex split: if-split-asm del: disjCI intro!: disjI1 exI)
subgoal by(auto simp add: JVM-heap-base.exec-instr.simps split-beta final-thread.actions-ok-iff intro!: jmm-heap-read-typed-default-val rev-image-eqI simp del: split-paired-Ex split: if-split-asm del: disjCI intro!: disjI2 exI)
done
subgoal for F D

```

apply(cases hd (tl stk) = (default-val (THE T. heap-base.addr-loc-type typeof-addr P h (the-Addr
(hd (tl (tl stk)))) (CField D F) T)))
  subgoal by(auto simp add: JVM-heap-base.exec-instr.simps split-beta final-thread.actions-ok-iff intro!: jmm-heap-read-typed-default-val rev-image-eqI simp del: split-paired-Ex split: if-split-asm del: disjCI intro!: disjI1 exI jmm-heap-write.intros)
  subgoal by(rule exI conjI disjI2 refl jmm-heap-read-typed-default-val|assumption)+ auto
  done
subgoal by(drule red-external-aggr-heap-read-typedI)(fastforce simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff simp
del: split-paired-Ex)+
done

lemma jmm'-execI:
   $\llbracket (ta, xcphfrs') \in JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.exec\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ typeof\text{-}addr\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write\ P\ t\ xcphfrs;\ final\text{-}thread.actions\text{-}ok\ (final\text{-}thread.init\text{-}fin\text{-}final\ JVM\text{-}final)\ s\ t\ ta \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists ta\ xcphfrs'. (ta, xcphfrs') \in JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.exec\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ typeof\text{-}addr\ (heap\text{-}base.heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed\ typeof\text{-}addr\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\ P)\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write\ P\ t\ xcphfrs \wedge final\text{-}thread.actions\text{-}ok\ (final\text{-}thread.init\text{-}fin\text{-}final\ JVM\text{-}final)\ s\ t\ ta$ 
apply(cases xcphfrs)
apply(cases snd (snd xcphfrs))
apply(simp add: JVM-heap-base.exec.simps)
apply(cases fst xcphfrs)
apply(fastforce simp add: JVM-heap-base.exec.simps dest!: jmm'-exec-instrI)+
done

lemma jmm'-execdI:
   $\llbracket JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.exec\text{-}1\text{-}d\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ typeof\text{-}addr\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write\ P\ t\ (Normal\ xcphfrs)\ ta\ (Normal\ xcphfrs'); final\text{-}thread.actions\text{-}ok\ (final\text{-}thread.init\text{-}fin\text{-}final\ JVM\text{-}final)\ s\ t\ ta \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists ta\ xcphfrs'. JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.exec\text{-}1\text{-}d\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ typeof\text{-}addr\ (heap\text{-}base.heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed\ typeof\text{-}addr\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\ P)\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write\ P\ t\ (Normal\ xcphfrs)\ ta\ (Normal\ xcphfrs') \wedge final\text{-}thread.actions\text{-}ok\ (final\text{-}thread.init\text{-}fin\text{-}final\ JVM\text{-}final)\ s\ t\ ta$ 
apply(erule JVM-heap-base.jvmd-Normale)
apply(drule (1) jmm'-execI)
apply(force intro: JVM-heap-base.exec-1-d-NormalI simp add: JVM-heap-base.exec-d-def)
done

lemma jmm'-mexecdI:
   $\llbracket JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.mexecd\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ typeof\text{-}addr\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write\ P\ t\ xcpfrsh\ ta\ xcpfrsh'; final\text{-}thread.actions\text{-}ok\ (final\text{-}thread.init\text{-}fin\text{-}final\ JVM\text{-}final)\ s\ t\ ta \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists ta\ xcpfrsh'. JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.mexecd\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ typeof\text{-}addr\ (heap\text{-}base.heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed\ typeof\text{-}addr\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\ P)\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write\ P\ t\ xcpfrsh\ ta\ xcpfrsh' \wedge final\text{-}thread.actions\text{-}ok\ (final\text{-}thread.init\text{-}fin\text{-}final\ JVM\text{-}final)\ s\ t\ ta$ 
by(simp add: split-beta)(drule (1) jmm'-execdI, auto 4 10)

lemma if-mexecd-heap-read-not-stuck:
   $\llbracket multithreaded\text{-}base.init\text{-}fin\ JVM\text{-}final\ (JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.mexecd\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ typeof\text{-}addr\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write\ P)\ t\ xh\ ta\ x'h'; final\text{-}thread.actions\text{-}ok\ (final\text{-}thread.init\text{-}fin\text{-}final\ JVM\text{-}final)\ s\ t\ ta \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists ta\ x'h'. multithreaded\text{-}base.init\text{-}fin\ JVM\text{-}final\ (JVM\text{-}heap\text{-}base.mexecd\ addr2thread\text{-}id\ thread\text{-}id2addr\ spurious\text{-}wakeups\ empty\text{-}heap\ allocate\ typeof\text{-}addr\ (heap\text{-}base.heap\text{-}read\text{-}typed\ typeof\text{-}addr\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}read\ P)\ jmm\text{-}heap\text{-}write\ P)\ t\ xh\ ta\ x'h' \wedge final\text{-}thread.actions\text{-}ok\ (final\text{-}thread.init\text{-}fin\text{-}final\ JVM\text{-}final)\ s\ t\ ta$ 

```

```

apply(erule multithreaded-base.init-fin.cases)
  apply hypsubst
  apply(drule jmm'-mexecdI)
    apply(simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff)
  apply clarify
  apply(subst (2) split-paired-Ex)
  apply(subst (2) split-paired-Ex)
  apply(subst (2) split-paired-Ex)
  apply(rule exI conjI)+
    apply(rule multithreaded-base.init-fin.intros)
    apply simp
  apply(simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff)
apply(blast intro: multithreaded-base.init-fin.intros)
apply(blast intro: multithreaded-base.init-fin.intros)
done

lemma if-mExecd-heap-read-not-stuck:
  multithreaded-base.redT (final-thread.init-fin-final JVM-final) (multithreaded-base.init-fin JVM-final
  (JVM-heap-base.mexecd addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate typeof-addr
  jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write P)) convert-RA' s tta s'
   $\implies \exists tta s'. \text{multithreaded-base.redT (final-thread.init-fin-final JVM-final) (multithreaded-base.init-fin
  JVM-final (JVM-heap-base.mexecd addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate
  typeof-addr (heap-base.heap-read-typed typeof-addr jmm-heap-read P) jmm-heap-write P)) convert-RA' s tta s'}$ 
apply(erule multithreaded-base.redT.cases)
  apply hypsubst
  thm if-mexecd-heap-read-not-stuck
  apply(drule (1) if-mexecd-heap-read-not-stuck)
  apply(erule exE)+
  apply(rename-tac ta' x'h')
  apply(insert redT-updWs-total)
  apply(erule-tac x=t in meta-allE)
  apply(erule-tac x=wset s in meta-allE)
  apply(erule-tac x={ta'}w in meta-allE)
  apply clar simp
  apply(rule exI)+
  apply(auto intro!: multithreaded-base.redT.intros)[1]
apply hypsubst
apply(rule exI conjI)+
apply(rule multithreaded-base.redT.redT-acquire)
apply assumption+
done

lemma JVM-legal-typesafe1:
  assumes wfP: wf-jvm-prog P
  and ok: jmm-wf-start-state P C M vs
  and legal: legal-execution P (jmm-JVMD-E P C M vs status) (E, ws)
  shows legal-execution P (jmm'-JVMD-E P C M vs status) (E, ws)
proof -
  let ?E = jmm-JVMD-E P C M vs status
  let ?E' = jmm'-JVMD-E P C M vs status
  from legal obtain J
    where justified: P ⊢ (E, ws) justified-by J
      and range: range (justifying-exec o J) ⊆ ?E

```

```

and E:  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  and wf:  $P \vdash (E, ws) \vee \text{by}(\text{auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps})$ 
let ?J =  $J(0 := (\text{committed} = \{\}), \text{justifying-exec} = \text{justifying-exec}(J 1), \text{justifying-ws} = \text{justifying-ws}(J 1), \text{action-translation} = \text{id})$ 

from wfP obtain  $\Phi$  where  $\Phi: wf\text{-jvm-prog}_\Phi P$  by(auto simp add: wf-jvm-prog-def)
hence wf-sys: wf-syscls P by(auto dest: wt-jvm-progD intro: wf-prog-wf-syscls)

from justified have  $P \vdash (\text{justifying-exec}(J 1), \text{justifying-ws}(J 1)) \vee \text{by}(\text{simp add: justification-well-formed-def})$ 
with justified have  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  justified-by ?J by(rule drop-0th-justifying-exec)
moreover have range (justifying-exec  $\circ$  ?J)  $\subseteq \mathcal{E}'$ 
proof
  fix  $\xi$ 
  assume  $\xi \in \text{range } (\text{justifying-exec} \circ ?J)$ 
  then obtain n where  $\xi = \text{justifying-exec}(\mathcal{J} n)$  by auto
  then obtain n where  $\xi: \xi = \text{justifying-exec}(J n)$  and n:  $n > 0$  by(auto split: if-split-asm)
  from range  $\xi$  have  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  by auto
  thus  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}'$  unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def]
  proof(rule JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$ -heap-read-typedI)
    fix ad al v T
    assume read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)  $\in \text{snd} \setminus \text{lset } \xi$ 
    and adal:  $P \vdash jmm ad @ al : T$ 
    from read obtain a where a: enat a  $< \text{llength } \xi$  action-obs  $\xi a = \text{NormalAction}(\text{ReadMem ad al v})$ 
    unfolding lset-conv-lnth by(auto simp add: action-obs-def)
    have ts-ok ( $\lambda t (xcp, frs) h. \text{JVM-heap-conf-base.correct-state addr2thread-id jmm-empty jmm-allocate } (\lambda . jmm\text{-typeof-addr}' P) jmm\text{-hconf } P \Phi t (xcp, h, frs))$  (thr (jmm-JVM-start-state P C M vs)) jmm.start-heap
    using JVM-heap-conf.correct-jvm-state-initial[OF jmm-JVM-heap-conf  $\Phi$  ok]
    by(simp add: JVM-heap-conf-base.correct-jvm-state-def jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-typeof-addr heap-base.start-state-def split-beta)
    with JVM-allocated-heap-conf'.mexecd-known-addrs-typing[OF jmm-JVMd-allocated-heap-conf'  $\Phi$  jmm-start-heap-ok]
    have  $\exists T. P \vdash jmm ad @ al : T \wedge P \vdash jmm v : \leq T$ 
    using wf-sys is-justified-by-imp-is-weakly-justified-by[OF justified wf] range n a
    unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def]  $\xi$ 
    by(rule known-addrs-typing'.read-value-typeable-justifying)
    thus  $P \vdash jmm v : \leq T$  using adal
    by(auto dest: jmm.addr-loc-type-fun[unfolded jmm-typeof-addr-conv-jmm-typeof-addr', unfolded heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type])
    qed
  qed
  moreover from E have  $E \in \mathcal{E}'$ 
  unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def]
  proof(rule JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$ -heap-read-typedI)
    fix ad al v T
    assume read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)  $\in \text{snd} \setminus \text{lset } E$ 
    and adal:  $P \vdash jmm ad @ al : T$ 
    from read obtain a where a: enat a  $< \text{llength } E$  action-obs  $E a = \text{NormalAction}(\text{ReadMem ad al v})$ 
    unfolding lset-conv-lnth by(auto simp add: action-obs-def)
    with jmm-JVMd-allocated-heap-conf'  $\Phi$  ok legal-imp-weakly-legal-execution[OF legal]

```

```

have  $\exists T. P \vdash jmm ad@al : T \wedge P \vdash jmm v : \leq T$ 
  unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-typeof-addr[symmetric, abs-def]
  by(rule JVM-allocated-heap-conf'.JVM-weakly-legal-read-value-typeable)
thus  $P \vdash jmm v : \leq T$  using adal
  by(auto dest: jmm.addr-loc-type-fun[unfolded jmm-typeof-addr-conv-jmm-typeof-addr', unfolded
  heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type])
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using wf unfolding gen-legal-execution.simps by blast
qed

lemma JVM-weakly-legal-typesafe1:
assumes wfP: wf-jvm-prog P
and ok: jmm-wf-start-state P C M vs
and legal: weakly-legal-execution P (jmm-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) (E, ws)
shows weakly-legal-execution P (jmm'-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) (E, ws)
proof -
let ? $\mathcal{E}$  = jmm-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status
let ? $\mathcal{E}'$  = jmm'-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status
from legal obtain J
  where justified:  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  weakly-justified-by J
  and range: range (justifying-exec o J)  $\subseteq$  ? $\mathcal{E}$ 
  and E:  $E \in ?\mathcal{E}$  and wf:  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  ∨ by(auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
let ?J = J(0 := (committed = {}, justifying-exec = justifying-exec (J 1), justifying-ws = justifying-ws
(J 1), action-translation = id))
from wfP obtain  $\Phi$  where  $\Phi$ : wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P by(auto simp add: wf-jvm-prog-def)
hence wf-sys: wf-syscls P by(auto dest: wt-jvm-progD intro: wf-prog-wf-syscls)

from justified have  $P \vdash (\text{justifying-exec } (J 1), \text{justifying-ws } (J 1))$  ∨ by(simp add: justification-well-formed-def)
with justified have  $P \vdash (E, ws)$  weakly-justified-by ?J by(rule drop-0th-weakly-justifying-exec)
moreover have range (justifying-exec o ?J)  $\subseteq$  ? $\mathcal{E}'$ 
proof
fix  $\xi$ 
assume  $\xi \in \text{range } (\text{justifying-exec } o ?J)$ 
then obtain n where  $\xi = \text{justifying-exec } (?J n)$  by auto
then obtain n where  $\xi: \xi = \text{justifying-exec } (J n)$  and  $n: n > 0$  by(auto split: if-split-asm)
from range  $\xi$  have  $\xi \in ?\mathcal{E}$  by auto
thus  $\xi \in ?\mathcal{E}'$  unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-of-addr[symmetric, abs-def]
proof(rule JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$ -heap-read-typedI)
fix ad al v T
assume read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v) ∈ snd ` lset  $\xi$ 
and adal:  $P \vdash jmm ad@al : T$ 
from read obtain a where a: enat a < llength  $\xi$  action-obs  $\xi$  a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad
al v)
  unfolding lset-conv-lnth by(auto simp add: action-obs-def)

have ts-ok ( $\lambda t (xcp, frs) h. \text{JVM-heap-conf-base.correct-state addr2thread-id jmm-empty jmm-allocate}$ 
 $(\lambda-. jmm-typeof-addr' P) jmm-hconf P \Phi t (xcp, h, frs))$  (thr (jmm-JVM-start-state P C M vs))
jmm.start-heap

using JVM-heap-conf.correct-jvm-state-initial[OF jmm-JVM-heap-conf \Phi ok]
by(simp add: JVM-heap-conf-base.correct-jvm-state-def jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-of-addr
heap-base.start-state-def split-beta)

```

```

with JVM-allocated-heap-conf'.mexecd-known-addrs-typing'[OF jmm-JVMd-allocated-heap-conf'
 $\Phi$  jmm-start-heap-ok]
have  $\exists T. P \vdash_{jmm} ad@al : T \wedge P \vdash_{jmm} v : \leq T$ 
using wf-sys justified range n a
unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def]  $\xi$ 
by(rule known-addrs-typing'.read-value-typeable-justifying)
thus  $P \vdash_{jmm} v : \leq T$  using adal
by(auto dest: jmm.addr-loc-type-fun[unfolded jmm-typeof-addr-conv-jmm-typeof-addr', unfolded
heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type])
qed
qed
moreover from E have  $E \in ?\mathcal{E}'$ 
unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-type-addr[symmetric, abs-def]
proof(rule JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$ -heap-read-typedI)
fix ad al v T
assume read: NormalAction (ReadMem ad al v)  $\in$  snd ` lset E
and adal:  $P \vdash_{jmm} ad@al : T$ 
from read obtain a where a: enat a  $<$  llength E action-obs E a = NormalAction (ReadMem ad
al v)
unfolding lset-conv-lnth by(auto simp add: action-obs-def)
with jmm-JVMd-allocated-heap-conf'  $\Phi$  ok legal
have  $\exists T. P \vdash_{jmm} ad@al : T \wedge P \vdash_{jmm} v : \leq T$ 
unfolding jmm-typeof-addr'-conv-jmm-typeof-addr[symmetric, abs-def]
by(rule JVM-allocated-heap-conf'.JVM-weakly-legal-read-value-typeable)
thus  $P \vdash_{jmm} v : \leq T$  using adal
by(auto dest: jmm.addr-loc-type-fun[unfolded jmm-typeof-addr-conv-jmm-typeof-addr', unfolded
heap-base'.addr-loc-type-conv-addr-loc-type])
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using wf unfolding gen-legal-execution.simps by blast
qed

lemma JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$ -heap-read-typedD:
 $E \in \text{JVM-heap-base.JVMd-}\mathcal{E} \text{ addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate}$ 
 $(\lambda\text{-}. \text{typeof-addr}) (\text{heap-base.heap-read-typed } (\lambda\text{-}. \text{typeof-addr}) \text{jmm-heap-read } P) \text{jmm-heap-write } P C M \text{ vs status}$ 
 $\implies E \in \text{JVM-heap-base.JVMd-}\mathcal{E} \text{ addr2thread-id thread-id2addr spurious-wakeups empty-heap allocate}$ 
 $(\lambda\text{-}. \text{typeof-addr}) \text{jmm-heap-read jmm-heap-write } P C M \text{ vs status}$ 
apply(erule imageE, hypsubst)
apply(rule imageI)
apply(erule multithreaded-base. $\mathcal{E}$ .cases, hypsubst)
apply(rule multithreaded-base. $\mathcal{E}$ .intros)
apply(subst (asm) if-mexecd-heap-read-typed[abs-def])
apply(erule if-mthr-Runs-heap-read-typedD)
apply(erule if-mExecd-heap-read-not-stuck[where typeof-addr= $\lambda\text{-}. \text{typeof-addr}$ , unfolded if-mexecd-heap-read-typed
done]

lemma JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$ -typesafe-subset: jmm'-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status  $\subseteq$  jmm-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status
unfolding jmm-typeof-addr-def[abs-def]
by(rule subsetI)(erule JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$ -heap-read-typedD)

lemma JVMd-legal-typesafe2:
assumes legal: legal-execution P (jmm'-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) (E, ws)
shows legal-execution P (jmm-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs status) (E, ws)
proof -

```

```

let ?E = jmm-JVMd-?E P C M vs status
let ?E' = jmm'-JVMd-?E P C M vs status
from legal obtain J
  where justified: P ⊢ (E, ws) justified-by J
  and range: range (justifying-exec o J) ⊆ ?E'
  and E: E ∈ ?E' and wf: P ⊢ (E, ws) ∨ by(auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
from range E have range (justifying-exec o J) ⊆ ?E E ∈ ?E
  using JVMd-?E-typesafe-subset[of P status C M vs] by blast+
with justified wf
show ?thesis by(auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
qed

```

theorem JVMd-weakly-legal-typesafe2:

assumes legal: weakly-legal-execution P (jmm'-JVMd-?E P C M vs status) (E, ws)

shows weakly-legal-execution P (jmm-JVMd-?E P C M vs status) (E, ws)

proof –

```

let ?E = jmm-JVMd-?E P C M vs status
let ?E' = jmm'-JVMd-?E P C M vs status
from legal obtain J
  where justified: P ⊢ (E, ws) weakly-justified-by J
  and range: range (justifying-exec o J) ⊆ ?E'
  and E: E ∈ ?E' and wf: P ⊢ (E, ws) ∨ by(auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
from range E have range (justifying-exec o J) ⊆ ?E E ∈ ?E
  using JVMd-?E-typesafe-subset[of P status C M vs] by blast+
with justified wf
show ?thesis by(auto simp add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
qed

```

theorem JVMd-weakly-legal-typesafe:

assumes wf-jvm-prog P

and jmm-wf-start-state P C M vs

shows weakly-legal-execution P (jmm-JVMd-?E P C M vs status) = weakly-legal-execution P (jmm'-JVMd-?E P C M vs status)

apply(rule ext iffI)+

apply(clarify, erule JVM-weakly-legal-typesafe1[OF assms])

apply(clarify, erule JVMd-weakly-legal-typesafe2)

done

theorem JVMd-legal-typesafe:

assumes wf-jvm-prog P

and jmm-wf-start-state P C M vs

shows legal-execution P (jmm-JVMd-?E P C M vs status) = legal-execution P (jmm'-JVMd-?E P C M vs status)

apply(rule ext iffI)+

apply(clarify, erule JVM-legal-typesafe1[OF assms])

apply(clarify, erule JVMd-legal-typesafe2)

done

end

8.22 Compiler correctness for JMM heap implementation 2

theory JMM-Compiler-Type2

```

imports
  JMM-Compiler
  JMM-J-Typesafe
  JMM-JVM-Typesafe
  JMM-Interp
begin

theorem J2JVM-jmm-correct:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and wf-start: jmm-wf-start-state P C M vs
  shows legal-execution P (jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs Running) (E, ws)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    legal-execution (J2JVM P) (jmm-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  (J2JVM P) C M vs Running) (E, ws)
  using JVMd-legal-typesafe[OF wf-J2JVM[OF wf], of C M vs Running, symmetric] wf-start
  by(simp only: J-legal-typesafe[OF assms] J-JVM-conf-read.red- $\mathcal{E}$ -eq-mexecd- $\mathcal{E}$ [OF jmm'-J-JVM-conf-read
  assms] J2JVM-def o-apply compP1-def compP2-def legal-execution-compP heap-base.wf-start-state-compP
  jmm-typeof-addr-compP heap-base.heap-read-typed-compP)

theorem J2JVM-jmm-correct-weak:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and wf-start: jmm-wf-start-state P C M vs
  shows weakly-legal-execution P (jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs Running) (E, ws)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    weakly-legal-execution (J2JVM P) (jmm-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  (J2JVM P) C M vs Running) (E, ws)
  using JVMd-weakly-legal-typesafe[OF wf-J2JVM[OF wf], of C M vs Running, symmetric] wf-start
  by(simp only: J-weakly-legal-typesafe[OF assms] J-JVM-conf-read.red- $\mathcal{E}$ -eq-mexecd- $\mathcal{E}$ [OF jmm'-J-JVM-conf-read
  assms] J2JVM-def o-apply compP1-def compP2-def weakly-legal-execution-compP heap-base.wf-start-state-compP
  jmm-typeof-addr-compP heap-base.heap-read-typed-compP)

theorem J2JVM-jmm-correctly-synchronized:
  assumes wf: wf-J-prog P
  and wf-start: jmm-wf-start-state P C M vs
  and ka:  $\bigcup (ka\text{-Val} \setminus \text{set } jmm.\text{start-addrs}) \subseteq \text{set } jmm.\text{start-addrs}$ 
  shows correctly-synchronized (J2JVM P) (jmm-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  (J2JVM P) C M vs Running)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    correctly-synchronized P (jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs Running)
  (is ?lhs  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?rhs)

proof
  assume ?lhs
  show ?rhs unfolding correctly-synchronized-def
  proof(intro strip)
    fix E ws a a'
    assume E: E  $\in$  jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs Running
    and wf-exec: P  $\vdash$  (E, ws)  $\checkmark$ 
    and sc: sequentially-consistent P (E, ws)
    and actions: a  $\in$  actions E a'  $\in$  actions E
    and conflict: P, E  $\vdash$  a  $\dagger$  a'

    from E wf-exec sc
    have legal-execution P (jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs Running) (E, ws)
    by(rule sc-legal.SC-is-legal[OF J-allocated-progress.J-sc-legal[OF jmm-J-allocated-progress wf
    jmm-heap-read-typeable wf-start ka]])
    hence legal-execution (J2JVM P) (jmm-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  (J2JVM P) C M vs Running) (E, ws)
    by(simp only: J2JVM-jmm-correct[OF wf wf-start])
    hence E  $\in$  jmm-JVMd- $\mathcal{E}$  (J2JVM P) C M vs Running J2JVM P  $\vdash$  (E, ws)  $\checkmark$ 
    by(simp-all add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
    moreover from sc have sequentially-consistent (J2JVM P) (E, ws)
  
```

```

by(simp add: J2JVM-def compP2-def)
moreover from conflict have J2JVM P,E ⊢ a † a'
  by(simp add: J2JVM-def compP2-def)
ultimately have J2JVM P,E ⊢ a ≤hb a' ∨ J2JVM P,E ⊢ a' ≤hb a
  using ‹?lhs› actions by(auto simp add: correctly-synchronized-def)
thus P,E ⊢ a ≤hb a' ∨ P,E ⊢ a' ≤hb a
  by(simp add: J2JVM-def compP2-def)
qed
next
assume ?rhs
show ?lhs unfolding correctly-synchronized-def
proof(intro strip)
  fix E ws a a'
  assume E: E ∈ jmm-JVMD- $\mathcal{E}$  (J2JVM P) C M vs Running
  and wf-exec: J2JVM P ⊢ (E, ws) √
  and sc: sequentially-consistent (J2JVM P) (E, ws)
  and actions: a ∈ actions E a' ∈ actions E
  and conflict: J2JVM P,E ⊢ a † a'

from wf have wf-jvm-prog (J2JVM P) by(rule wt-J2JVM)
then obtain Φ where wf': wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  (J2JVM P)
  by(auto simp add: wf-jvm-prog-def)
from wf-start have wf-start': jmm-wf-start-state (J2JVM P) C M vs
  by(simp add: J2JVM-def compP2-def heap-base.wf-start-state-compP)
from E wf-exec sc
have legal-execution (J2JVM P) (jmm-JVMD- $\mathcal{E}$  (J2JVM P) C M vs Running) (E, ws)
  by(rule sc-legal.SC-is-legal[OF JVM-allocated-progress.JVM-sc-legal[OF jmm-JVM-allocated-progress
wf' jmm-heap-read-typeable wf-start' ka]])
hence legal-execution P (jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs Running) (E, ws)
  by(simp only: J2JVM-jmm-correct[OF wf wf-start])
hence E ∈ jmm-J- $\mathcal{E}$  P C M vs Running P ⊢ (E, ws) √
  by(simp-all add: gen-legal-execution.simps)
moreover from sc have sequentially-consistent P (E, ws)
  by(simp add: J2JVM-def compP2-def)
moreover from conflict have P,E ⊢ a † a'
  by(simp add: J2JVM-def compP2-def)
ultimately have P,E ⊢ a ≤hb a' ∨ P,E ⊢ a' ≤hb a
  using ‹?rhs› actions by(auto simp add: correctly-synchronized-def)
thus J2JVM P,E ⊢ a ≤hb a' ∨ J2JVM P,E ⊢ a' ≤hb a
  by(simp add: J2JVM-def compP2-def)
qed
qed

end

theory JMM
imports
  JMM-DRF
  SC-Legal
  DRF-J
  DRF-JVM
  JMM-Type
  JMM-Interp

```

```
JMM-Typesafe
JMM-J-Typesafe
JMM-JVM-Typesafe
JMM-Compiler-Type2
begin

end
theory MM-Main
imports
    SC
    SC-Interp
    SC-Collections
    JMM
begin

end
```

Chapter 9

Schedulers

9.1 Refinement for multithreaded states

```
theory State-Refinement
imports
  ..../Framework/FWSemantics
  ..../Common/StartConfig
begin

type-synonym
  ('l,'t,'m,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i) state-refine = ('l,'t) locks × ('m-t × 'm) × 'm-w × 's-i

locale state-refine-base =
  fixes final :: 'x ⇒ bool
  and r :: 't ⇒ ('x × 'm) ⇒ (('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action × 'x × 'm) Predicate.pred
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
  and thr-α :: 'm-t ⇒ ('l,'t,'x) thread-info
  and thr-invar :: 'm-t ⇒ bool
  and ws-α :: 'm-w ⇒ ('w,'t) wait-sets
  and ws-invar :: 'm-w ⇒ bool
  and is-α :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupts
  and is-invar :: 's-i ⇒ bool
begin

fun state-α :: ('l,'t,'m,'m-t,'m-w, 's-i) state-refine ⇒ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state
where state-α (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) = (ls, (thr-α ts, m), ws-α ws, is-α is)

lemma state-α-conv [simp]:
  locks (state-α s) = locks s
  thr (state-α s) = thr-α (thr s)
  shr (state-α s) = shr s
  wset (state-α s) = ws-α (wset s)
  interrupts (state-α s) = is-α (interrupts s)
by(case-tac [|] s) auto

inductive state-invar :: ('l,'t,'m,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i) state-refine ⇒ bool
where [] [thr-invar ts; ws-invar ws; is-invar is] ==> state-invar (ls, (ts, m), ws, is)

inductive-simps state-invar-simps [simp]:
  state-invar (ls, (ts, m), ws, is)
```

```

lemma state-invarD [simp]:
  assumes state-invar s
  shows thr-invar (thr s) ws-invar (wset s) is-invar (interrupts s)
  using assms by(case-tac [|] s) auto

end

sublocale state-refine-base <  $\alpha$ : final-thread final .
sublocale state-refine-base <  $\alpha$ :
  multithreaded-base
  final
   $\lambda t xm ta x'm'. \text{Predicate.eval } (r t xm) (ta, x'm')$ 
  .

definition (in heap-base) start-state-refine :: 
  ' $m\text{-}t \Rightarrow ('thread-id \Rightarrow ('x \times 'addr released-locks) \Rightarrow 'm\text{-}t \Rightarrow 'm\text{-}t) \Rightarrow 'm\text{-}w \Rightarrow 's\text{-}i$ 
   $\Rightarrow (cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow ty list \Rightarrow ty \Rightarrow 'md \Rightarrow 'addr val list \Rightarrow 'x) \Rightarrow 'md prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname$ 
   $\Rightarrow 'addr val list$ 
   $\Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap, 'm\text{-}t, 'm\text{-}w, 's\text{-}i)$  state-refine
where
   $\wedge is-empty.$ 
  start-state-refine thr-empty thr-update ws-empty is-empty f P C M vs =
  (let (D, Ts, T, m) = method P C M
   in (K$ None, (thr-update start-tid (f D M Ts T (the m) vs, no-wait-locks) thr-empty, start-heap),
   ws-empty, is-empty))

definition Ninja-output :: 
  ' $s \Rightarrow 'thread-id \Rightarrow ('addr, 'thread-id, 'x, 'heap, 'addr, ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event) thread-action$ 
   $\Rightarrow ('thread-id \times ('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event list) option$ 
where Ninja-output  $\sigma t ta = (\text{if } \{ta\}_o = [] \text{ then None else Some } (t, \{ta\}_o))$ 

lemmas [code] =
  heap-base.start-state-refine-def

end

```

9.2 Abstract scheduler

```

theory Scheduler
imports
  State-Refinement
  ..../Framework/FWProgressAux
  ..../Framework/FWLTS
  ..../Basic/JT-ICF

```

begin

Define an unfold operation that puts everything into one function to avoid duplicate evaluation.

```

definition unfold-tllist' :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\times$  'a + 'c)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('b, 'c) tllist
where [code del]:

```

```
unfold-tllist' f =
unfold-tllist (λa. ∃ c. f a = Inr c) (projr ∘ f) (fst ∘ projl ∘ f) (snd ∘ projl ∘ f)
```

lemma *unfold-tllist' [code]:*

```
unfold-tllist' f a =
(case f a of Inr c ⇒ TNil c | Inl (b, a') ⇒ TCons b (unfold-tllist' f a'))
by(rule tllist.expand)(auto simp add: unfold-tllist'-def split: sum.split-asm)
```

type-synonym

```
('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i,'s) scheduler =
's ⇒ ('l,'t,'m,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i) state-refine ⇒ ('t × (('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action × 'x × 'm) option
× 's) option
```

locale *scheduler-spec-base =*

```
state-refine-base
final r convert-RA
thr-α thr-invar
ws-α ws-invar
is-α is-invar
for final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: 't ⇒ ('x × 'm) ⇒ (('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action × 'x × 'm) Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and schedule :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i,'s) scheduler
and σ-invar :: 's ⇒ 't set ⇒ bool
and thr-α :: 'm-t ⇒ ('l,'t,'x) thread-info
and thr-invar :: 'm-t ⇒ bool
and ws-α :: 'm-w ⇒ ('w,'t) wait-sets
and ws-invar :: 'm-w ⇒ bool
and is-α :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i ⇒ bool
```

locale *scheduler-spec =*

```
scheduler-spec-base
final r convert-RA
schedule σ-invar
thr-α thr-invar
ws-α ws-invar
is-α is-invar
for final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: 't ⇒ ('x × 'm) ⇒ (('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action × 'x × 'm) Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and schedule :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i,'s) scheduler
and σ-invar :: 's ⇒ 't set ⇒ bool
and thr-α :: 'm-t ⇒ ('l,'t,'x) thread-info
and thr-invar :: 'm-t ⇒ bool
and ws-α :: 'm-w ⇒ ('w,'t) wait-sets
and ws-invar :: 'm-w ⇒ bool
and is-α :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i ⇒ bool
+
fixes invariant :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state set
assumes schedule-NoneD:
[| schedule σ s = None; state-invar s; σ-invar σ (dom (thr-α (thr s))); state-α s ∈ invariant |]
```

```

 $\implies \alpha.\text{active-threads}(\text{state-}\alpha s) = \{\}$ 
and schedule-Some-NoneD:
 $\llbracket \text{schedule } \sigma s = \lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor; \text{state-invar } s; \sigma\text{-invar } \sigma (\text{dom } (\text{thr-}\alpha (\text{thr } s))); \text{state-}\alpha s \in \text{invariant} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists x \ln n. \text{thr-}\alpha (\text{thr } s) t = \lfloor(x, \ln)\rfloor \wedge \ln \$ n > 0 \wedge \neg \text{waiting } (\text{ws-}\alpha (\text{wset } s) t) \wedge \text{may-acquire-all } (\text{locks } s) t \ln$ 
and schedule-Some-SomeD:
 $\llbracket \text{schedule } \sigma s = \lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor; \text{state-invar } s; \sigma\text{-invar } \sigma (\text{dom } (\text{thr-}\alpha (\text{thr } s))); \text{state-}\alpha s \in \text{invariant} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \exists x. \text{thr-}\alpha (\text{thr } s) t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \wedge \text{Predicate.eval } (r t (x, \text{shr } s)) (ta, x', m') \wedge$ 
 $\alpha.\text{actions-ok } (\text{state-}\alpha s) t ta$ 
and schedule-invar-None:
 $\llbracket \text{schedule } \sigma s = \lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor; \text{state-invar } s; \sigma\text{-invar } \sigma (\text{dom } (\text{thr-}\alpha (\text{thr } s))); \text{state-}\alpha s \in \text{invariant} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \sigma\text{-invar } \sigma' (\text{dom } (\text{thr-}\alpha (\text{thr } s)))$ 
and schedule-invar-Some:
 $\llbracket \text{schedule } \sigma s = \lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor; \text{state-invar } s; \sigma\text{-invar } \sigma (\text{dom } (\text{thr-}\alpha (\text{thr } s))); \text{state-}\alpha s \in \text{invariant} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \sigma\text{-invar } \sigma' (\text{dom } (\text{thr-}\alpha (\text{thr } s))) \cup \{t. \exists x m. \text{NewThread } t x m \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t\}$ 

locale pick-wakeup-spec-base =
  state-refine-base
    final r convert-RA
    thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar
    ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar
    is- $\alpha$  is-invar
  for final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and r :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'm)  $\Rightarrow$  ((l, t, x, m, w, o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) Predicate.pred
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
  and pick-wakeup :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'w  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  't option
  and  $\sigma$ -invar :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  't set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and thr- $\alpha$  :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  (l, t, x) thread-info
  and thr-invar :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and ws- $\alpha$  :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  (w, t) wait-sets
  and ws-invar :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and is- $\alpha$  :: 's-i  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupts
  and is-invar :: 's-i  $\Rightarrow$  bool

locale pick-wakeup-spec =
  pick-wakeup-spec-base
    final r convert-RA
    pick-wakeup  $\sigma$ -invar
    thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar
    ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar
    is- $\alpha$  is-invar
  for final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and r :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'm)  $\Rightarrow$  ((l, t, x, m, w, o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) Predicate.pred
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
  and pick-wakeup :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'w  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  't option
  and  $\sigma$ -invar :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  't set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and thr- $\alpha$  :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  (l, t, x) thread-info
  and thr-invar :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and ws- $\alpha$  :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  (w, t) wait-sets
  and ws-invar :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

and is-α :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i ⇒ bool
+
assumes pick-wakeup-NoneD:
[pick-wakeup σ t w ws = None; ws-invar ws; σ-invar σ T; dom (ws-α ws) ⊆ T; t ∈ T]
⇒ InWS w ∉ ran (ws-α ws)
and pick-wakeup-SomeD:
[pick-wakeup σ t w ws = [t']; ws-invar ws; σ-invar σ T; dom (ws-α ws) ⊆ T; t ∈ T]
⇒ ws-α ws t' = [InWS w]

locale scheduler-base-aux =
  state-refine-base
  final r convert-RA
  thr-α thr-invar
  ws-α ws-invar
  is-α is-invar
for final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: 't ⇒ ('x × 'm) ⇒ (('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action × 'x × 'm) Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and thr-α :: 'm-t ⇒ ('l, 't, 'x) thread-info
and thr-invar :: 'm-t ⇒ bool
and thr-lookup :: 't ⇒ 'm-t → ('x × 'l released-locks)
and thr-update :: 't ⇒ 'x × 'l released-locks ⇒ 'm-t ⇒ 'm-t
and ws-α :: 'm-w ⇒ ('w, 't) wait-sets
and ws-invar :: 'm-w ⇒ bool
and ws-lookup :: 't ⇒ 'm-w → 'w wait-set-status
and is-α :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i ⇒ bool
and is-memb :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ bool
and is-ins :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
and is-delete :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
begin

definition free-thread-id :: 'm-t ⇒ 't ⇒ bool
where free-thread-id ts t ←→ thr-lookup t ts = None

fun redT-updT :: 'm-t ⇒ ('t, 'x, 'm) new-thread-action ⇒ 'm-t
where
  redT-updT ts (NewThread t' x m) = thr-update t' (x, no-wait-locks) ts
  | redT-updT ts - = ts

definition redT-updTs :: 'm-t ⇒ ('t, 'x, 'm) new-thread-action list ⇒ 'm-t
where redT-updTs = foldl redT-updT

primrec thread-ok :: 'm-t ⇒ ('t, 'x, 'm) new-thread-action ⇒ bool
where
  thread-ok ts (NewThread t x m) = free-thread-id ts t
  | thread-ok ts (ThreadExists t b) = (b ≠ free-thread-id ts t)

```

We use *local.redT-updT* in *thread-ok* instead of *redT-updT* like in theory *JinjaThreads.FWThread*. This fixes '*x*' in the ('*t*, '*x*, '*m*) *new-thread-action list* type, but avoids *undefined*, which raises an exception during execution in the generated code.

```

primrec thread-oks :: 'm-t ⇒ ('t, 'x, 'm) new-thread-action list ⇒ bool
where

```

```

thread-oks ts [] = True
| thread-oks ts (ta#tas) = (thread-ok ts ta ∧ thread-oks (redT-updT ts ta) tas)

definition wset-actions-ok :: 'm-w ⇒ 't ⇒ ('t,'w) wait-set-action list ⇒ bool
where
  wset-actions-ok ws t was ↔
    ws-lookup t ws =
      (if Notified ∈ set was then [PostWS WSNotified]
       else if WokenUp ∈ set was then [PostWS WSWokenUp]
       else None)

primrec cond-action-ok :: ('l,'t,'m,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i) state-refine ⇒ 't ⇒ 't conditional-action ⇒ bool
where
  ∧ln. cond-action-ok s t (Join T) =
    (case thr-lookup T (thr s)
     of None ⇒ True
     | [(x, ln)] ⇒ t ≠ T ∧ final x ∧ ln = no-wait-locks ∧ ws-lookup T (wset s) = None)
  | cond-action-ok s t Yield = True

definition cond-action-oks :: ('l,'t,'m,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i) state-refine ⇒ 't ⇒ 't conditional-action list ⇒ bool
where
  cond-action-oks s t cts = list-all (cond-action-ok s t) cts

primrec redT-updI :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupt-action ⇒ 's-i
where
  redT-updI is (Interrupt t) = is-ins t is
  | redT-updI is (ClearInterrupt t) = is-delete t is
  | redT-updI is (IsInterrupted t b) = is

primrec redT-updIs :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupt-action list ⇒ 's-i
where
  redT-updIs is [] = is
  | redT-updIs is (ia # ias) = redT-updIs (redT-updI is ia) ias

primrec interrupt-action-ok :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupt-action ⇒ bool
where
  interrupt-action-ok is (Interrupt t) = True
  | interrupt-action-ok is (ClearInterrupt t) = True
  | interrupt-action-ok is (IsInterrupted t b) = (b = (is-memb t is))

primrec interrupt-actions-ok :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupt-action list ⇒ bool
where
  interrupt-actions-ok is [] = True
  | interrupt-actions-ok is (ia # ias) ↔ interrupt-action-ok is ia ∧ interrupt-actions-ok (redT-updI is ia) ias

definition actions-ok :: ('l,'t,'m,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i) state-refine ⇒ 't ⇒ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o') thread-action ⇒ bool
where
  actions-ok s t ta ↔
    lock-ok-las (locks s) t {ta}l ∧
    thread-oks (thr s) {ta}t ∧
    cond-action-oks s t {ta}c ∧

```

```

wset-actions-ok (wset s) t {ta}w ∧
interrupt-actions-ok (interrupts s) {ta}i

end

locale scheduler-base =
scheduler-base-aux
final r convert-RA
thr-α thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
ws-α ws-invar ws-lookup
is-α is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
+
scheduler-spec-base
final r convert-RA
schedule σ-invar
thr-α thr-invar
ws-α ws-invar
is-α is-invar
+
pick-wakeup-spec-base
final r convert-RA
pick-wakeup σ-invar
thr-α thr-invar
ws-α ws-invar
is-α is-invar
for final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: 't ⇒ ('x × 'm) ⇒ (('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action × 'x × 'm) Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and schedule :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i,'s) scheduler
and output :: 's ⇒ 't ⇒ ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action ⇒ 'q option
and pick-wakeup :: 's ⇒ 't ⇒ 'w ⇒ 'm-w ⇒ 't option
and σ-invar :: 's ⇒ 't set ⇒ bool
and thr-α :: 'm-t ⇒ ('l,'t,'x) thread-info
and thr-invar :: 'm-t ⇒ bool
and thr-lookup :: 't ⇒ 'm-t → ('x × 'l released-locks)
and thr-update :: 't ⇒ 'x × 'l released-locks ⇒ 'm-t ⇒ 'm-t
and ws-α :: 'm-w ⇒ ('w,'t) wait-sets
and ws-invar :: 'm-w ⇒ bool
and ws-lookup :: 't ⇒ 'm-w → 'w wait-set-status
and ws-update :: 't ⇒ 'w wait-set-status ⇒ 'm-w ⇒ 'm-w
and ws-delete :: 't ⇒ 'm-w ⇒ 'm-w
and ws-iterate :: 'm-w ⇒ ('t × 'w wait-set-status, 'm-w) set-iterator
and is-α :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i ⇒ bool
and is-memb :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ bool
and is-ins :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
and is-delete :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
begin

primrec exec-updW :: 's ⇒ 't ⇒ 'm-w ⇒ ('t,'w) wait-set-action ⇒ 'm-w
where
exec-updW σ t ws (Notify w) =
(case pick-wakeup σ t w ws
of None ⇒ ws

```

```

| Some  $t \Rightarrow ws\text{-update } t \text{ (PostWS WSNotified) } ws$ 
| exec-updW  $\sigma t ws \text{ (NotifyAll } w) =$ 
   $ws\text{-iterate } ws \text{ (}\lambda\text{-}. \text{True}) (\lambda(t, w') ws'. \text{if } w' = InWS w \text{ then } ws\text{-update } t \text{ (PostWS WSNotified) } ws' \text{ else } ws')$ 
   $ws$ 
| exec-updW  $\sigma t ws \text{ (Suspend } w) = ws\text{-update } t \text{ (InWS } w) ws$ 
| exec-updW  $\sigma t ws \text{ (WakeUp } t') =$ 
   $(\text{case } ws\text{-lookup } t' ws \text{ of } [InWS w] \Rightarrow ws\text{-update } t' \text{ (PostWS WSWokenUp) } ws | - \Rightarrow ws)$ 
| exec-updW  $\sigma t ws \text{ Notified} = ws\text{-delete } t ws$ 
| exec-updW  $\sigma t ws \text{ WokenUp} = ws\text{-delete } t ws$ 

definition exec-updWs :: ' $s \Rightarrow t \Rightarrow 'm-w \Rightarrow ('t, 'w)$  wait-set-action list  $\Rightarrow 'm-w$ 
where exec-updWs  $\sigma t = foldl$  (exec-updW  $\sigma t$ )

definition exec-upd :: 
  ' $s \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i)$  state-refine  $\Rightarrow t \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o)$  thread-action  $\Rightarrow 'x \Rightarrow 'm$ 
   $\Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i)$  state-refine
where [simp]:
  exec-upd  $\sigma s t ta x' m' =$ 
  (redT-updLs (locks s) t {ta}_l,
   (thr-update t (x', redT-updLns (locks s) t (snd (the (thr-lookup t (thr s)))) {ta}_l) (redT-updTs (thr s) {ta}_t), m'),
   exec-updWs  $\sigma t$  (wset s) {ta}_w, redT-updIs (interrupts s) {ta}_i)

definition execT :: 
  ' $s \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i)$  state-refine
   $\Rightarrow ('s \times 't \times ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o))$  thread-action  $\times ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i)$  state-refine) option
where
  execT  $\sigma s =$ 
  (do {
    (t, tax'm',  $\sigma'$ )  $\leftarrow$  schedule  $\sigma s$ ;
    case tax'm' of
      None  $\Rightarrow$ 
        (let (x, ln) = the (thr-lookup t (thr s));
         ta = (K$ [], [], [], [], convert-RA ln);
         s' = (acquire-all (locks s) t ln, (thr-update t (x, no-wait-locks) (thr s), shr s), wset s, interrupts s)
         in  $[(\sigma', t, ta, s')]$ 
         |  $[(ta, x', m')] \Rightarrow [(\sigma', t, ta, exec-upd \sigma s t ta x' m')]$ 
        )
  })

primrec exec-step :: 
  ' $s \times ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i)$  state-refine  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (' $s \times 't \times ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o)$  thread-action)  $\times 's \times ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i)$  state-refine + (' $l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i$ )
  state-refine
where
  exec-step ( $\sigma, s$ ) =
  (case execT  $\sigma s$  of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  Inr s
    | Some ( $\sigma', t, ta, s'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  Inl (( $\sigma, t, ta$ ),  $\sigma', s'$ ))

declare exec-step.simps [simp del]

definition exec-aux ::
```

$'s \times ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i) \text{ state-refine}$
 $\Rightarrow ('s \times 't \times ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) \text{ thread-action}, ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i) \text{ state-refine}) \text{ tllist}$
where
 $\text{exec-aux } \sigma s = \text{unfold-tllist}' \text{ exec-step } \sigma s$

definition $\text{exec} :: 's \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i) \text{ state-refine} \Rightarrow ('q, ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i) \text{ state-refine}) \text{ tllist}$
where
 $\text{exec } \sigma s = \text{tmap the id (tfilter undefined } (\lambda q. q \neq \text{None}) \text{ (tmap } (\lambda(\sigma, t, ta). \text{output } \sigma t ta) \text{ id } (\text{exec-aux } (\sigma, s)))\text{)}$

end

Implement *pick-wakeup* by *map-sel'*

definition $\text{pick-wakeup-via-sel} ::$
 $('m-w \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow 'w \text{ wait-set-status} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \multimap 't \times 'w \text{ wait-set-status})$
 $\Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'w \Rightarrow 'm-w \Rightarrow 't \text{ option}$
where $\text{pick-wakeup-via-sel ws-sel } \sigma t w ws = \text{map-option fst } (\text{ws-sel ws } (\lambda t w'. w' = \text{InWS } w))$

lemma $\text{pick-wakeup-spec-via-sel}:$
assumes $\text{sel: map-sel}' \text{ ws-}\alpha \text{ ws-invar ws-sel}$
shows $\text{pick-wakeup-spec} (\text{pick-wakeup-via-sel } (\lambda s P. \text{ws-sel s } (\lambda(k, v). P k v))) \sigma\text{-invar ws-}\alpha \text{ ws-invar}$
proof -
interpret $\text{ws: map-sel}' \text{ ws-}\alpha \text{ ws-invar ws-sel by(rule sel)}$
show $?thesis$
 $\text{by(unfold-locales)}(\text{auto simp add: pick-wakeup-via-sel-def ran-def dest: ws.sel'-noneD ws.sel'-SomeD})$
qed

locale $\text{scheduler-ext-base} =$
 $\text{scheduler-base-aux}$
 $\text{final r convert-RA}$
 $\text{thr-}\alpha \text{ thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update}$
 $\text{ws-}\alpha \text{ ws-invar ws-lookup}$
 $\text{is-}\alpha \text{ is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete}$
for $\text{final} :: 'x \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $r :: 't \Rightarrow ('x \times 'm) \Rightarrow (('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) \text{ thread-action} \times 'x \times 'm) \text{ Predicate.pred}$
and $\text{convert-RA} :: 'l \text{ released-locks} \Rightarrow 'o \text{ list}$
and $\text{thr-}\alpha :: 'm-t \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x) \text{ thread-info}$
and $\text{thr-invar} :: 'm-t \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{thr-lookup} :: 't \Rightarrow 'm-t \multimap ('x \times 'l \text{ released-locks})$
and $\text{thr-update} :: 't \Rightarrow 'x \times 'l \text{ released-locks} \Rightarrow 'm-t \Rightarrow 'm-t$
and $\text{thr-iterate} :: 'm-t \Rightarrow ('t \times ('x \times 'l \text{ released-locks}), 's-t) \text{ set-iterator}$
and $\text{ws-}\alpha :: 'm-w \Rightarrow ('w, 't) \text{ wait-sets}$
and $\text{ws-invar} :: 'm-w \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{ws-lookup} :: 't \Rightarrow 'm-w \multimap 'w \text{ wait-set-status}$
and $\text{ws-update} :: 't \Rightarrow 'w \text{ wait-set-status} \Rightarrow 'm-w \Rightarrow 'm-w$
and $\text{ws-sel} :: 'm-w \Rightarrow ('t \times 'w \text{ wait-set-status} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \multimap ('t \times 'w \text{ wait-set-status})$
and $\text{is-}\alpha :: 's-i \Rightarrow 't \text{ interrupts}$
and $\text{is-invar} :: 's-i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{is-memb} :: 't \Rightarrow 's-i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
and $\text{is-ins} :: 't \Rightarrow 's-i \Rightarrow 's-i$
and $\text{is-delete} :: 't \Rightarrow 's-i \Rightarrow 's-i$
 $+$
fixes $\text{thr-}\alpha :: 's-t \Rightarrow 't \text{ set}$

```

and thr'-invar :: 's-t  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and thr'-empty :: unit  $\Rightarrow$  's-t
and thr'-ins-dj :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-t  $\Rightarrow$  's-t
begin

abbreviation pick-wakeup :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'w  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  't option
where pick-wakeup  $\equiv$  pick-wakeup-via-sel ( $\lambda s P.$  ws-sel s ( $\lambda (k,v).$  P k v))

fun active-threads :: ('l', 't', 'm', 'm-t', 'm-w', 's-i) state-refine  $\Rightarrow$  's-t
where
  active-threads (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) =
    thr-iterate ts ( $\lambda \cdot.$  True)
    ( $\lambda (t, (x, ln))$  ts'. if ln = no-wait-locks
     then if Predicate.holds
       (do {
         (ta,  $\_$ )  $\leftarrow$  r t (x, m);
         Predicate.if-pred (actions-ok (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) t ta)
       })
     then thr'-ins-dj t ts'
     else ts'
   else if  $\neg$  waiting (ws-lookup t ws)  $\wedge$  may-acquire-all ls t ln then thr'-ins-dj t ts' else
   ts')
  (thr'-empty ())
end

locale scheduler-aux =
  scheduler-base-aux
  final r convert-RA
  thr-α thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
  ws-α ws-invar ws-lookup
  is-α is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
+
thr: finite-map thr-α thr-invar +
thr: map-lookup thr-α thr-invar thr-lookup +
thr: map-update thr-α thr-invar thr-update +
ws: map ws-α ws-invar +
ws: map-lookup ws-α ws-invar ws-lookup +
is: set is-α is-invar +
is: set-memb is-α is-invar is-memb +
is: set-ins is-α is-invar is-ins +
is: set-delete is-α is-invar is-delete
for final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'm)  $\Rightarrow$  (('l', 't', 'x', 'm', 'w', 'o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
and thr-α :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  ('l', 't', 'x) thread-info
and thr-invar :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and thr-lookup :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t  $\rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'l released-locks)
and thr-update :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\times$  'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t
and ws-α :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  ('w', 't') wait-sets
and ws-invar :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and ws-lookup :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\rightarrow$  'w wait-set-status
and is-α :: 's-i  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

and is-memb :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ bool
and is-ins :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
and is-delete :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
begin

lemma free-thread-id-correct [simp]:
  thr-invar ts ⇒ free-thread-id ts = FWThread.free-thread-id (thr-α ts)
by(auto simp add: free-thread-id-def fun-eq-iff thr.lookup-correct intro: free-thread-id.intros)

lemma redT-updT-correct [simp]:
  assumes thr-invar ts
  shows thr-α (redT-updT ts nta) = FWThread.redT-updT (thr-α ts) nta
  and thr-invar (redT-updT ts nta)
by(case-tac [|] nta)(simp-all add: thr.update-correct assms)

lemma redT-updTs-correct [simp]:
  assumes thr-invar ts
  shows thr-α (redT-updTs ts ntas) = FWThread.redT-updTs (thr-α ts) ntas
  and thr-invar (redT-updTs ts ntas)
using assms
by(induct ntas arbitrary: ts)(simp-all add: redT-updTs-def)

lemma thread-ok-correct [simp]:
  thr-invar ts ⇒ thread-ok ts nta ↔ FWThread.thread-ok (thr-α ts) nta
by(cases nta) simp-all

lemma thread-oks-correct [simp]:
  thr-invar ts ⇒ thread-oks ts ntas ↔ FWThread.thread-oks (thr-α ts) ntas
by(induct ntas arbitrary: ts) simp-all

lemma wset-actions-ok-correct [simp]:
  ws-invar ws ⇒ wset-actions-ok ws t was ↔ FWWait.wset-actions-ok (ws-α ws) t was
by(simp add: wset-actions-ok-def FWWait.wset-actions-ok-def ws.lookup-correct)

lemma cond-action-ok-correct [simp]:
  state-invar s ⇒ cond-action-ok s t cta ↔ α.cond-action-ok (state-α s) t cta
by(cases s,cases cta)(auto simp add: thr.lookup-correct ws.lookup-correct)

lemma cond-action-oks-correct [simp]:
  assumes state-invar s
  shows cond-action-oks s t ctas ↔ α.cond-action-oks (state-α s) t ctas
by(induct ctas)(simp-all add: cond-action-oks-def assms)

lemma redT-updI-correct [simp]:
  assumes is-invar is
  shows is-α (redT-updI is ia) = FWInterrupt.redT-updI (is-α is) ia
  and is-invar (redT-updI is ia)
using assms
by(case-tac [|] ia)(auto simp add: is.ins-correct is.delete-correct)

lemma redT-updIs-correct [simp]:
  assumes is-invar is
  shows is-α (redT-updIs is ias) = FWInterrupt.redT-updIs (is-α is) ias
  and is-invar (redT-updIs is ias)

```

```

using assms
by(induct ias arbitrary: is)(auto)

lemma interrupt-action-ok-correct [simp]:
  is-invar is  $\implies$  interrupt-action-ok is ia  $\longleftrightarrow$  FWInterrupt.interrupt-action-ok (is- $\alpha$  is) ia
by(cases ia)(auto simp add: is.memb-correct)

lemma interrupt-actions-ok-correct [simp]:
  is-invar is  $\implies$  interrupt-actions-ok is ias  $\longleftrightarrow$  FWInterrupt.interrupt-actions-ok (is- $\alpha$  is) ias
by(induct ias arbitrary:is) simp-all

lemma actions-ok-correct [simp]:
  state-invar s  $\implies$  actions-ok s t ta  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\alpha$ .actions-ok (state- $\alpha$  s) t ta
by(auto simp add: actions-ok-def)

end

locale scheduler =
  scheduler-base
    final r convert-RA
    schedule output pick-wakeup  $\sigma$ -invar
    thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
    ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-delete ws-iterate
    is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
  +
  scheduler-aux
    final r convert-RA
    thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
    ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup
    is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
  +
  scheduler-spec
    final r convert-RA
    schedule  $\sigma$ -invar
    thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar
    ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar
    is- $\alpha$  is-invar
    invariant
  +
  pick-wakeup-spec
    final r convert-RA
    pick-wakeup  $\sigma$ -invar
    thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar
    ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar
    is- $\alpha$  is-invar
  +
  ws: map-update ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-update +
  ws: map-delete ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-delete +
  ws: map-iteratei ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-iterate
for final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'm)  $\Rightarrow$  (('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
and schedule :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i,'s) scheduler
and output :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'q option

```

```

and pick-wakeup :: 's ⇒ 't ⇒ 'w ⇒ 'm-w ⇒ 't option
and σ-invar :: 's ⇒ 't set ⇒ bool
and thr-α :: 'm-t ⇒ ('l,'t,'x) thread-info
and thr-invar :: 'm-t ⇒ bool
and thr-lookup :: 't ⇒ 'm-t → ('x × 'l released-locks)
and thr-update :: 't ⇒ 'x × 'l released-locks ⇒ 'm-t ⇒ 'm-t
and ws-α :: 'm-w ⇒ ('w,'t) wait-sets
and ws-invar :: 'm-w ⇒ bool
and ws-lookup :: 't ⇒ 'm-w → 'w wait-set-status
and ws-update :: 't ⇒ 'w wait-set-status ⇒ 'm-w ⇒ 'm-w
and ws-delete :: 't ⇒ 'm-w ⇒ 'm-w
and ws-iterate :: 'm-w ⇒ ('t × 'w wait-set-status, 'm-w) set-iterator
and is-α :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i ⇒ bool
and is-memb :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ bool
and is-ins :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
and is-delete :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
and invariant :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state set
+
assumes invariant: invariant3p α.redT invariant
begin

lemma exec-updW-correct:
assumes invar: ws-invar ws σ-invar σ T dom (ws-α ws) ⊆ T t ∈ T
shows redT-updW t (ws-α ws) wa (ws-α (exec-updW σ t ws wa)) (is ?thesis1)
and ws-invar (exec-updW σ t ws wa) (is ?thesis2)
proof -
from invar have ?thesis1 ∧ ?thesis2
proof(cases wa)
case [simp]: (Notify w)
show ?thesis
proof(cases pick-wakeup σ t w ws)
case (Some t')
hence ws-α ws t' = [InWS w] using invar by(rule pick-wakeup-SomeD)
with Some show ?thesis using invar by(auto simp add: ws.update-correct)
next
case None
hence InWS w ∉ ran (ws-α ws) using invar by(rule pick-wakeup-NoneD)
with None show ?thesis using invar by(auto simp add: ran-def)
qed
next
case [simp]: (NotifyAll w)
let ?f = λ(t, w') ws'. if w' = InWS w then ws-update t (PostWS WSNotified) ws' else ws'
let ?I = λT ws'. (∀k. if k ∉ T ∧ ws-α ws k = [InWS w] then ws-α ws' k = [PostWS WSNotified]
else ws-α ws' k = ws-α ws k) ∧ ws-invar ws'
from invar have ?I (dom (ws-α ws)) ws by(auto simp add: ws.lookup-correct)
with ⟨ws-invar ws⟩ have ?I {} (ws-iterate ws (λ-. True) ?f ws)
proof(rule ws.iterate-rule-P[where I=?I])
fix t w' T ws'
assume t: t ∈ T and w': ws-α ws t = [w']
and T: T ⊆ dom (ws-α ws) and I: ?I T ws'
{ fix t'
assume t' ∉ T - {t} ws-α ws t' = [InWS w]
with t I w' invar have ws-α (?f (t, w') ws') t' = [PostWS WSNotified]

```

```

    by(auto)(simp-all add: ws.update-correct) }
  moreover {
    fix t'
    assume t' ∈ T - {t} ∨ ws-α ws t' ≠ [InWS w]
    with t I w' invar have ws-α (?f (t,w') ws') t' = ws-α ws t'
      by(auto simp add: ws.update-correct) }
  moreover
    have ws-invar (?f (t, w') ws') using I by(simp add: ws.update-correct)
    ultimately show ?I (T - {t}) (?f (t, w') ws') by safe simp
  qed
  hence ws-α (ws-iterate ws (λ-. True) ?f ws) = (λt. if ws-α ws t = [InWS w] then [PostWS
  WSNotified] else ws-α ws t)
    and ws-invar (ws-iterate ws (λ-. True) ?f ws) by(simp-all add: fun-eq-iff)
  thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case WakeUp thus ?thesis using assms
    by(auto simp add: ws.lookup-correct ws.update-correct split: wait-set-status.split)
  qed(simp-all add: ws.update-correct ws.delete-correct map-upd-eq-restrict)
  thus ?thesis1 ?thesis2 by simp-all
qed

lemma exec-updWs-correct:
  assumes ws-invar ws σ-invar σ T dom (ws-α ws) ⊆ T t ∈ T
  shows redT-updWs t (ws-α ws) was (ws-α (exec-updWs σ t ws was)) (is ?thesis1)
  and ws-invar (exec-updWs σ t ws was) (is ?thesis2)
proof -
  from ⟨ws-invar ws⟩ ⟨dom (ws-α ws) ⊆ T⟩
  have ?thesis1 ∧ ?thesis2
  proof(induct was arbitrary: ws)
    case Nil thus ?case by(auto simp add: exec-updWs-def redT-updWs-def)
  next
    case (Cons wa was)
      let ?ws' = exec-updW σ t ws wa
      from ⟨ws-invar ws⟩ ⟨σ-invar σ T⟩ ⟨dom (ws-α ws) ⊆ T⟩ ⟨t ∈ T⟩
      have invar': ws-invar ?ws' and red: redT-updW t (ws-α ws) wa (ws-α ?ws')
        by(rule exec-updW-correct)+
      have dom (ws-α ?ws') ⊆ T
      proof
        fix t' assume t' ∈ dom (ws-α ?ws')
        with red have t' ∈ dom (ws-α ws) ∨ t = t'
          by(auto dest!: redT-updW-Some-otherD split: wait-set-status.split-asm)
        with ⟨dom (ws-α ws) ⊆ T⟩ ⟨t ∈ T⟩ show t' ∈ T by auto
      qed
      with invar' have redT-updWs t (ws-α ?ws') was (ws-α (exec-updWs σ t ?ws' was)) ∧ ws-invar
      (exec-updWs σ t ?ws' was)
        by(rule Cons.hyps)
      thus ?case using red
        by(auto simp add: exec-updWs-def redT-updWs-def intro: rtranc13p-step-converse)
    qed
    thus ?thesis1 ?thesis2 by simp-all
  qed

lemma exec-upd-correct:
  assumes state-invar s σ-invar σ (dom (thr-α (thr s))) t ∈ (dom (thr-α (thr s)))

```

```

and wset-thread-ok (ws- $\alpha$  (wset s)) (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))
shows redT-upd (state- $\alpha$  s) t ta x' m' (state- $\alpha$  (exec-upd  $\sigma$  s t ta x' m'))
and state-invar (exec-upd  $\sigma$  s t ta x' m')
using assms unfolding wset-thread-ok-conv-dom
by(auto simp add: thr.update-correct thr.lookup-correct intro: exec-updWs-correct)

lemma execT-None:
assumes invar: state-invar s  $\sigma$ -invar  $\sigma$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))) state- $\alpha$  s  $\in$  invariant
and exec: execT  $\sigma$  s = None
shows  $\alpha$ .active-threads (state- $\alpha$  s) = {}
using assms
by(cases schedule  $\sigma$  s)(fastforce simp add: execT-def thr.lookup-correct dest: schedule-Some-NoneD
schedule-NoneD)+

lemma execT-Some:
assumes invar: state-invar s  $\sigma$ -invar  $\sigma$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))) state- $\alpha$  s  $\in$  invariant
and wstok: wset-thread-ok (ws- $\alpha$  (wset s)) (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))
and exec: execT  $\sigma$  s =  $\lfloor (\sigma', t, ta, s') \rfloor$ 
shows  $\alpha$ .redT (state- $\alpha$  s) (t, ta) (state- $\alpha$  s') (is ?thesis1)
and state-invar s' (is ?thesis2)
and  $\sigma$ -invar  $\sigma'$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s'))) (is ?thesis3)
proof -
note [simp del] = redT-upd-simps exec-upd-def

have ?thesis1  $\wedge$  ?thesis2  $\wedge$  ?thesis3
proof(cases fst (snd (the (schedule  $\sigma$  s))))
case None
with exec invar have schedule: schedule  $\sigma$  s =  $\lfloor (t, None, \sigma') \rfloor$ 
and ta: ta = (K$[], [], [], [], convert-RA (snd (the (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s) t))))
and s': s' = (acquire-all (locks s) t (snd (the (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s) t))), (thr-update t (fst (the (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s) t)), no-wait-locks) (thr s), shr s), wset s, interrupts s)
by(auto simp add: execT-def bind-eq-Some-conv thr.lookup-correct split-beta split del: option.split-asm)
from schedule-Some-NoneD[OF schedule invar]

obtain x ln n where t: thr- $\alpha$  (thr s) t =  $\lfloor (x, ln) \rfloor$ 
and 0 < ln $ n  $\neg$  waiting (ws- $\alpha$  (wset s) t) may-acquire-all (locks s) t ln by blast
hence ?thesis1 using ta s' invar by(auto intro:  $\alpha$ .redT.redT-acquire simp add: thr.update-correct)
moreover from invar s' have ?thesis2 by(simp add: thr.update-correct)
moreover from t s' invar have dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s')) = dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s)) by(auto simp add: thr.update-correct)
hence ?thesis3 using invar schedule by(auto intro: schedule-invar-None)
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
next
case (Some taxm)
with exec invar obtain x' m'
where schedule: schedule  $\sigma$  s =  $\lfloor (t, \lfloor (ta, x', m') \rfloor, \sigma') \rfloor$ 
and s': s' = exec-upd  $\sigma$  s t ta x' m'
by(cases taxm)(fastforce simp add: execT-def bind-eq-Some-conv split del: option.split-asm)
from schedule-Some-SomeD[OF schedule invar]
obtain x where t: thr- $\alpha$  (thr s) t =  $\lfloor (x, no-wait-locks) \rfloor$ 
and Predicate.eval (r t (x, shr s)) (ta, x', m')
and aok:  $\alpha$ .actions-ok (state- $\alpha$  s) t ta by blast
with s' have ?thesis1 using invar wstok
by(fastforce intro:  $\alpha$ .redT.intros exec-upd-correct)

```

```

moreover from invar s' t wstok have ?thesis2 by(auto intro: exec-upd-correct)
moreover {
  from schedule invar
  have σ-invar σ' (dom (thr-α (thr s)) ∪ {t. ∃ x m. NewThread t x m ∈ set {ta}t})
    by(rule schedule-invar-Some)
  also have dom (thr-α (thr s)) ∪ {t. ∃ x m. NewThread t x m ∈ set {ta}t} = dom (thr-α (thr s'))
    using invar s' aok t
    by(auto simp add: exec-upd-def thr.lookup-correct thr.update-correct simp del: split-paired-Ex)(fastforce
dest: redT-updTs-new-thread intro: redT-updTs-Some1 redT-updTs-new-thread-ts simp del: split-paired-Ex)+
      finally have σ-invar σ' (dom (thr-α (thr s'))) . }
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
thus ?thesis1 ?thesis2 ?thesis3 by simp-all
qed

lemma exec-step-into-redT:
assumes invar: state-invar s σ-invar σ (dom (thr-α (thr s))) state-α s ∈ invariant
and wstok: wset-thread-ok (ws-α (wset s)) (thr-α (thr s))
and exec: exec-step (σ, s) = Inl ((σ'', t, ta), σ', s')
shows α.redT (state-α s) (t, ta) (state-α s') σ'' = σ
and state-invar s' σ-invar σ' (dom (thr-α (thr s'))) state-α s' ∈ invariant
proof -
  from exec have execT: execT σ s = |(σ', t, ta, s')|
    and q: σ'' = σ by(auto simp add: exec-step.simps split-beta)
  from invar wstok execT show red: α.redT (state-α s) (t, ta) (state-α s')
    and invar': state-invar s' σ-invar σ' (dom (thr-α (thr s'))) σ'' = σ
    by(rule execT-Some)+(rule q)
  from invariant red <state-α s ∈ invariant>
  show state-α s' ∈ invariant by(rule invariant3pD)
qed

lemma exec-step-InrD:
assumes state-invar s σ-invar σ (dom (thr-α (thr s))) state-α s ∈ invariant
and exec-step (σ, s) = Inr s'
shows α.active-threads (state-α s) = {}
and s' = s
using assms
by(auto simp add: exec-step-def dest: execT-None)

lemma (in multithreaded-base) red-in-active-threads:
assumes s -t>ta→ s'
shows t ∈ active-threads s
using assms
by cases(auto intro: active-threads.intros)

lemma exec-aux-into-Runs:
assumes state-invar s σ-invar σ (dom (thr-α (thr s))) state-α s ∈ invariant
and wset-thread-ok (ws-α (wset s)) (thr-α (thr s))
shows α.mthr.Runs (state-α s) (lmap snd (llist-of-tllist (exec-aux (σ, s)))) (is ?thesis1)
and tfinite (exec-aux (σ, s)) ==> state-invar (terminal (exec-aux (σ, s))) (is - ==> ?thesis2)
proof -
  from assms show ?thesis1
  proof(coinduction arbitrary: σ s)
    case (Runs σ s)

```

```

note invar = ⟨state-invar s⟩ ⟨ $\sigma$ -invar  $\sigma$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s)))⟩ ⟨state- $\alpha$  s ∈ invariant⟩
  and wstok = ⟨wset-thread-ok (ws- $\alpha$  (wset s)) (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))⟩
show ?case
proof(cases exec-aux ( $\sigma$ , s))
  case (TNil s')
    hence  $\alpha$ .active-threads (state- $\alpha$  s) = {}  $s' = s$ 
    by(auto simp add: exec-aux-def unfold-tllist' split: sum.split-asm dest: exec-step-InrD[OF invar])
    hence ?Stuck using TNil by(auto dest:  $\alpha$ .red-in-active-threads)
    thus ?thesis ..
next
  case (TCons  $\sigma$ tta ttls')
    then obtain t ta  $\sigma'$  s'  $\sigma''$ 
      where [simp]:  $\sigma$ tta = ( $\sigma''$ , t, ta)
      and [simp]: ttls' = exec-aux ( $\sigma'$ , s')
      and step: exec-step ( $\sigma$ , s) = Inl (( $\sigma''$ , t, ta),  $\sigma'$ , s')
      unfolding exec-aux-def by(subst (asm) (2) unfold-tllist')(fastforce split: sum.split-asm)
      from invar wstok step
      have redT:  $\alpha$ .redT (state- $\alpha$  s) (t, ta) (state- $\alpha$  s')
        and [simp]:  $\sigma'' = \sigma$ 
        and invar': state-invar s'  $\sigma$ -invar  $\sigma'$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s'))) state- $\alpha$  s' ∈ invariant
        by(rule exec-step-into-redT)+
      from wstok  $\alpha$ .redT-preserves-wset-thread-ok[OF redT]
      have wset-thread-ok (ws- $\alpha$  (wset s')) (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s')) by simp
      with invar' redT TCons have ?Step by(auto simp del: split-paired-Ex)
      thus ?thesis ..
qed
qed
next
  assume tfinite (exec-aux ( $\sigma$ , s))
  thus ?thesis2 using assms
proof(induct exec-aux ( $\sigma$ , s) arbitrary:  $\sigma$  s rule: tfinite-induct)
  case TNil thus ?case
    by(auto simp add: exec-aux-def unfold-tllist' split-beta split: sum.split-asm dest: exec-step-InrD)
next
  case (TCons  $\sigma$ tta ttls)
    from ⟨TCons  $\sigma$ tta ttls = exec-aux ( $\sigma$ , s)⟩
    obtain  $\sigma''$  t ta  $\sigma'$  s'
      where [simp]:  $\sigma$ tta = ( $\sigma''$ , t, ta)
      and ttls: ttls = exec-aux ( $\sigma'$ , s')
      and step: exec-step ( $\sigma$ , s) = Inl (( $\sigma''$ , t, ta),  $\sigma'$ , s')
      unfolding exec-aux-def by(subst (asm) (2) unfold-tllist')(fastforce split: sum.split-asm)
    note ttls moreover
    from ⟨state-invar s⟩ ⟨ $\sigma$ -invar  $\sigma$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s)))⟩ ⟨state- $\alpha$  s ∈ invariant⟩ ⟨wset-thread-ok (ws- $\alpha$  (wset s)) (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))⟩ step
    have [simp]:  $\sigma'' = \sigma$ 
      and invar': state-invar s'  $\sigma$ -invar  $\sigma'$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s'))) state- $\alpha$  s' ∈ invariant
      and redT:  $\alpha$ .redT (state- $\alpha$  s) (t, ta) (state- $\alpha$  s')
      by(rule exec-step-into-redT)+
    note invar' moreover
    from  $\alpha$ .redT-preserves-wset-thread-ok[OF redT] ⟨wset-thread-ok (ws- $\alpha$  (wset s)) (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))⟩
    have wset-thread-ok (ws- $\alpha$  (wset s')) (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s')) by simp
    ultimately have state-invar (terminal (exec-aux ( $\sigma'$ , s'))) by(rule TCons)
    with ⟨TCons  $\sigma$ tta ttls = exec-aux ( $\sigma$ , s)⟩[symmetric]
    show ?case unfolding ttls by simp

```

```

qed
qed

end

locale scheduler-ext-aux =
  scheduler-ext-base
    final r convert-RA
      thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update thr-iterate
      ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-sel
      is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
      thr'- $\alpha$  thr'-invar thr'-empty thr'-ins-dj
+
scheduler-aux
  final r convert-RA
    thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
    ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup
    is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
+
thr: map-iteratei thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-iterate +
ws: map-update ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-update +
ws: map-sel' ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-sel +
thr': finite-set thr'- $\alpha$  thr'-invar +
thr': set-empty thr'- $\alpha$  thr'-invar thr'-empty +
thr': set-ins-dj thr'- $\alpha$  thr'-invar thr'-ins-dj
for final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'm)  $\Rightarrow$  ((l, t, x, m, w, o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
and thr- $\alpha$  :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  (l, t, x) thread-info
and thr-invar :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and thr-lookup :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t  $\rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'l released-locks)
and thr-update :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\times$  'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t
and thr-iterate :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  ('x  $\times$  'l released-locks), 's-t) set-iterator
and ws- $\alpha$  :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  (w, t) wait-sets
and ws-invar :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and ws-lookup :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\rightarrow$  'w wait-set-status
and ws-update :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'w wait-set-status  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w
and ws-sel :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  ((t  $\times$  w wait-set-status)  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  'w wait-set-status)
and is- $\alpha$  :: 's-i  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and is-memb :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-i  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and is-ins :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-i  $\Rightarrow$  's-i
and is-delete :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-i  $\Rightarrow$  's-i
and thr'- $\alpha$  :: 's-t  $\Rightarrow$  't set
and thr'-invar :: 's-t  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and thr'-empty :: unit  $\Rightarrow$  's-t
and thr'-ins-dj :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-t  $\Rightarrow$  's-t
begin

lemma active-threads-correct [simp]:
  assumes state-invar s
  shows thr'- $\alpha$  (active-threads s) =  $\alpha$ .active-threads (state- $\alpha$  s) (is ?thesis1)
  and thr'-invar (active-threads s) (is ?thesis2)
proof -

```

```

obtain ls ts m ws is where s: s = (ls, (ts, m), ws, is) by(cases s) fastforce
let ?f = λ(t, (x, ln)) TS. if ln = no-wait-locks
  then if Predicate.holds (do { (ta, -) ← r t (x, m); Predicate.if-pred (actions-ok (ls, (ts, m),
ws, is) t ta) })
    then thr'-ins-dj t TS else TS
  else if ¬ waiting (ws-lookup t ws) ∧ may-acquire-all ls t ln then thr'-ins-dj t TS else TS
let ?I = λT TS. thr'-invar TS ∧ thr'-α TS ⊆ dom (thr-α ts) − T ∧ (forall t. t ∉ T → t ∈ thr'-α TS
↔ t ∈ α.active-threads (state-α s))

from assms s have thr-invar ts by simp
moreover have ?I (dom (thr-α ts)) (thr'-empty ())
  by(auto simp add: thr'.empty-correct s elim: α.active-threads.cases)
ultimately have ?I {} (thr-iterate ts (λ-. True) ?f (thr'-empty ()))
proof(rule thr.iterate-rule-P[where I=?I])
fix t xln T TS
assume tT: t ∈ T
and tst: thr-α ts t = [xln]
and Tdom: T ⊆ dom (thr-α ts)
and I: ?I T TS
obtain x ln where xln: xln = (x, ln) by(cases xln)
from tT I have t: t ∉ thr'-α TS by blast

from I have invar: thr'-invar TS ..
hence thr'-invar (?f (t, xln) TS) using t
  unfolding xln by(auto simp add: thr'.ins-dj-correct)
moreover from I have thr'-α TS ⊆ dom (thr-α ts) − T by blast
hence thr'-α (?f (t, xln) TS) ⊆ dom (thr-α ts) − (T − {t})
  using invar tst t by(auto simp add: xln thr'.ins-dj-correct)
moreover
{
fix t'
assume t': t' ∉ T − {t}
have t' ∈ thr'-α (?f (t, xln) TS) ↔ t' ∈ α.active-threads (state-α s) (is ?lhs ↔ ?rhs)
proof(cases t' = t)
  case True
  show ?thesis
  proof
    assume ?lhs
    with True xln invar tst <state-invar s> t show ?rhs
      by(fastforce simp add: holds-eq thr'.ins-dj-correct s split-beta ws.lookup-correct split: if-split-asn
elim!: bindE if-predE intro: α.active-threads.intros)
  next
    assume ?rhs
    with True xln invar tst <state-invar s> t show ?lhs
      by(fastforce elim!: α.active-threads.cases simp add: holds-eq s thr'.ins-dj-correct ws.lookup-correct
elim!: bindE if-predE intro: bindI if-predI)
    qed
  next
  case False
  with t' have t' ∉ T by simp
  with I have t' ∈ thr'-α TS ↔ t' ∈ α.active-threads (state-α s) by blast
  thus ?thesis using xln False invar t by(auto simp add: thr'.ins-dj-correct)
qed
}

```

```

ultimately show ?I (T - {t}) (?f (t, xln) TS) by blast
qed
thus ?thesis1 ?thesis2 by(auto simp add: s)
qed

end

locale scheduler-ext =
  scheduler-ext-aux
  final r convert-RA
  thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update thr-iterate
  ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-sel
  is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
  thr'- $\alpha$  thr'-invar thr'-empty thr'-ins-dj
+
scheduler-spec
  final r convert-RA
  schedule  $\sigma$ -invar
  thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar
  ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar
  is- $\alpha$  is-invar
  invariant
+
ws: map-delete ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-delete +
ws: map-iteratei ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-iterate
for final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'm)  $\Rightarrow$  (('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
and schedule :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o,'m-t,'m-w,'s-i,'s) scheduler
and output :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'q option
and  $\sigma$ -invar :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  't set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and thr- $\alpha$  :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x) thread-info
and thr-invar :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and thr-lookup :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t  $\rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'l released-locks)
and thr-update :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\times$  'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t
and thr-iterate :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  ('x  $\times$  'l released-locks), 's-t) set-iterator
and ws- $\alpha$  :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  ('w,'t) wait-sets
and ws-invar :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and ws-empty :: unit  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w
and ws-lookup :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\rightarrow$  'w wait-set-status
and ws-update :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'w wait-set-status  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w
and ws-delete :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w
and ws-iterate :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  'w wait-set-status, 'm-w) set-iterator
and ws-sel :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  'w wait-set-status  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  'w wait-set-status)
and is- $\alpha$  :: 's-i  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and is-memb :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-i  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and is-ins :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-i  $\Rightarrow$  's-i
and is-delete :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-i  $\Rightarrow$  's-i
and thr'- $\alpha$  :: 's-t  $\Rightarrow$  't set
and thr'-invar :: 's-t  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and thr'-empty :: unit  $\Rightarrow$  's-t
and thr'-ins-dj :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-t  $\Rightarrow$  's-t
and invariant :: ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state set

```

```

+
assumes invariant: invariant3p α.redT invariant

sublocale scheduler-ext <
  pick-wakeup-spec
    final r convert-RA
    pick-wakeup σ-invar
    thr-α thr-invar
    ws-α ws-invar
by(rule pick-wakeup-spec-via-sel)(unfold-locales)

sublocale scheduler-ext <
  scheduler
    final r convert-RA
    schedule output pick-wakeup σ-invar
    thr-α thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
    ws-α ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-delete ws-iterate
    is-α is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
    invariant
by(unfold-locales)(rule invariant)

```

9.2.1 Schedulers for deterministic small-step semantics

The default code equations for *Predicate.the* impose the type class constraint *eq* on the predicate elements. For the semantics, which contains the heap, there might be no such instance, so we use new constants for which other code equations can be used. These do not add the type class constraint, but may fail more often with non-uniqueness exception.

```

definition singleton2 where [simp]: singleton2 = Predicate.singleton
definition the-only2 where [simp]: the-only2 = Predicate.the-only
definition the2 where [simp]: the2 = Predicate.the

context multithreaded-base begin

definition step-thread :: 
  ((l, t, x, m, w, o) thread-action ⇒ 's) ⇒ (l, t, x, m, w) state ⇒ 't
  ⇒ ('t × ((l, t, x, m, w, o) thread-action × 'x × 'm) option × 's) option
where
  ⋀ ln. step-thread update-state s t =
    (case thr s t of
      [(x, ln)] ⇒
        if ln = no-wait-locks then
          if ∃ ta x' m'. t ⊢ (x, shr s) -ta→ (x', m') ∧ actions-ok s t ta then
            let
              (ta, x', m') = THE (ta, x', m'). t ⊢ (x, shr s) -ta→ (x', m') ∧ actions-ok s t ta
            in
              [(t, [(ta, x', m')], update-state ta)]
          else
            None
        else if may-acquire-all (locks s) t ln ∧ ¬ waiting (wset s t) then
          [(t, None, update-state (K$ [], [], [], [], convert-RA ln))]
        else
          None
    | None ⇒ None)

```

```

lemma step-thread-NoneD:
  step-thread update-state s t = None  $\Rightarrow$  t  $\notin$  active-threads s
unfolding step-thread-def
by(fastforce simp add: split-beta elim!: active-threads.cases split: if-split-asm)

lemma inactive-step-thread-eq-NoneI:
  t  $\notin$  active-threads s  $\Rightarrow$  step-thread update-state s t = None
unfolding step-thread-def
by(fastforce simp add: split-beta split: if-split-asm intro: active-threads.intros)

lemma step-thread-eq-None-conv:
  step-thread update-state s t = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  t  $\notin$  active-threads s
by(blast dest: step-thread-NoneD intro: inactive-step-thread-eq-NoneI)

lemma step-thread-eq-Some-activeD:
  step-thread update-state s t =  $\lfloor(t', \text{taxm}\sigma')\rfloor$ 
   $\implies t' = t \wedge t \in \text{active-threads } s$ 
unfolding step-thread-def
by(fastforce split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta intro: active-threads.intros)

declare actions-ok-iff [simp del]
declare actions-ok.cases [rule del]

lemma step-thread-Some-NoneD:
  step-thread update-state s t' =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
   $\implies \exists x \ln n. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \ln)\rfloor \wedge \ln \$ n > 0 \wedge \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s t) \wedge \text{may-acquire-all } (\text{locks } s) t \ln$ 
   $\wedge \sigma' = \text{update-state } (K\$[], [], [], [], [], \text{convert-RA } \ln)$ 
unfolding step-thread-def
by(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta elim!: neq-no-wait-locksE)

lemma step-thread-Some-SomeD:
   $\llbracket \text{deterministic } I; \text{step-thread update-state } s t' = \lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor; s \in I \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists x. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \wedge t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \wedge \text{actions-ok } s t ta \wedge \sigma' =$ 
   $\text{update-state } ta$ 
unfolding step-thread-def
by(auto simp add: split-beta deterministic-THE split: if-split-asm)

end

context scheduler-base-aux begin

definition step-thread :: 
  ((l,t,x,m,w,o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  's)  $\Rightarrow$  ((l,t,m,m-t,m-w,s-i) state-refine  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('t  $\times$  ((l,t,x,m,w,o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) option  $\times$  's) option
where
   $\wedge \ln. \text{step-thread update-state } s t =$ 
  (case thr-lookup t (thr s) of
     $\lfloor(x, \ln)\rfloor \Rightarrow$ 
    if ln = no-wait-locks then
      let
        reds = do {
          (ta, x', m')  $\leftarrow$  r t (x, shr s);
          if actions-ok s t ta then Predicate.single (ta, x', m') else bot
        }
      in
        (ta, x', m')  $\leftarrow$  r t (x, shr s);
        if actions-ok s t ta then Predicate.single (ta, x', m') else bot
    else
      let
        reds = do {
          (ta, x', m')  $\leftarrow$  r t (x, shr s);
          if actions-ok s t ta then Predicate.single (ta, x', m') else bot
        }
      in
        (ta, x', m')  $\leftarrow$  r t (x, shr s);
        if actions-ok s t ta then Predicate.single (ta, x', m') else bot
  )

```

```

        }
      in
      if Predicate.holds (reds >= (λ-. Predicate.single ())) then
        let
          (ta, x', m') = the2 reds
        in
          [(t, [(ta, x', m')], update-state ta)]
      else
        None
      else if may-acquire-all (locks s) t ln ∧ ¬ waiting (ws-lookup t (wset s)) then
        [(t, None, update-state (K$ [], [], [], [], [], convert-RA ln))]
      else
        None
      | None ⇒ None)

end

context scheduler-aux begin

lemma deterministic-THE2:
  assumes α.deterministic I
  and tst: thr-α (thr s) t = [(x, no-wait-locks)]
  and red: Predicate.eval (r t (x, shr s)) (ta, x', m')
  and aok: α.actions-ok (state-α s) t ta
  and I: state-α s ∈ I
  shows Predicate.the (r t (x, shr s) >= (λ(ta, x', m'). if α.actions-ok (state-α s) t ta then Predicate.single (ta, x', m') else bot)) = (ta, x', m')
proof -
  show ?thesis unfolding the-def
    apply(rule the-equality)
    apply(rule bindI[OF red])
    apply(simp add: singleI aok)
    apply(erule bindE)
    apply(clarsimp split: if-split-asm)
    apply(drule (1) α.deterministicD[OF ⟨α.deterministic I⟩, where s=state-α s, simplified, OF red - tst aok])
    apply(rule I)
    apply simp
    done
qed

lemma step-thread-correct:
  assumes det: α.deterministic I
  and invar: σ-invar σ (dom (thr-α (thr s))) state-invar s state-α s ∈ I
  shows map-option (apsnd (apsnd σ-α)) (step-thread update-state s t) = α.step-thread (σ-α ∘ update-state) (state-α s) t (is ?thesis1)
  and (¬¬ta. FWThread.thread-oks (thr-α (thr s)) {ta}t ⇒ σ-invar (update-state ta) (dom (thr-α (thr s)) ∪ {t. ∃x m. NewThread t x m ∈ set {ta}t})) ⇒ case-option True (λ(t, taxm, σ). σ-invar σ (case taxm of None ⇒ dom (thr-α (thr s)) | Some (ta, x', m') ⇒ dom (thr-α (thr s)) ∪ {t. ∃x m. NewThread t x m ∈ set {ta}t})) (step-thread update-state s t)
  (is (¬¬ta. ?tso ta ⇒ ?inv ta) ⇒ ?thesis2)
proof -
  have ?thesis1 ∧ ((¬¬ta. ?tso ta → ?inv ta) → ?thesis2)

```

```

proof(cases step-thread update-state s t)
  case None
  with invar show ?thesis
    apply (auto simp add: thr.lookup-correct α.step-thread-def step-thread-def ws.lookup-correct
           split-beta holds-eq split: if-split-asm cong del: image-cong-simp)
    apply metis
    apply metis
    done
  next
  case (Some a)
  then obtain t' taxm σ'
    where rrs: step-thread update-state s t =  $\lfloor(t', \text{taxm}, \sigma')\rfloor$  by(cases a) auto
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases taxm)
    case None
    with rrs invar have ?thesis1
    by(auto simp add: thr.lookup-correct ws.lookup-correct α.step-thread-def step-thread-def split-beta
         split: if-split-asm)
    moreover {
      let ?ta = (K[], [], [], [], convert-RA (snd (the (thr-lookup t (thr s)))))
      assume ?tso ?ta → ?inv ?ta
      hence ?thesis2 using None rrs
      by(auto simp add: thr.lookup-correct ws.lookup-correct α.step-thread-def step-thread-def split-beta
           split: if-split-asm)
      ultimately show ?thesis by blast
    }
    next
    case (Some a)
    with rrs obtain ta x' m'
      where rrs: step-thread update-state s t =  $\lfloor(t', \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
      by(cases a) fastforce
    with invar have ?thesis1
    by (auto simp add: thr.lookup-correct ws.lookup-correct α.step-thread-def step-thread-def
          split-beta α.deterministic-THE [OF det, where s=state-α s, simplified]
          deterministic-THE2[OF det] holds-eq split: if-split-asm
          cong del: image-cong-simp) blast+
    moreover {
      assume ?tso ta → ?inv ta
      hence ?thesis2 using rrs invar
      by(auto simp add: thr.lookup-correct ws.lookup-correct α.step-thread-def step-thread-def split-beta
           α.deterministic-THE[OF det, where s=state-α s, simplified] deterministic-THE2[OF det] holds-eq
           split: if-split-asm)(auto simp add: α.actions-ok-iff)
    }
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis1 ( $\bigwedge ta. \ ?tso ta \implies ?inv ta$ )  $\implies$  ?thesis2 by blast+
  qed

lemma step-thread-eq-None-conv:
  assumes det: α.deterministic I
  and invar: state-invar s state-α s ∈ I
  shows step-thread update-state s t = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  t ∉ α.active-threads (state-α s)
  using assms step-thread-correct(1)[OF det - invar(1), of λ- -. True, of id update-state t]
  by(simp add: map-option.id α.step-thread-eq-None-conv)

```

```

lemma step-thread-Some-NoneD:
  assumes det:  $\alpha.\text{deterministic } I$ 
  and step:  $\text{step-thread update-state } s t' = \lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
  and invar:  $\text{state-invar } s \text{ state-}\alpha \text{ } s \in I$ 
  shows  $\exists x \ln n. \text{thr-}\alpha \text{ (thr } s) \text{ } t = \lfloor(x, \ln)\rfloor \wedge \ln \$ n > 0 \wedge \neg \text{waiting } (\text{ws-}\alpha \text{ (wset } s) \text{ } t) \wedge \text{may-acquire-all}$   

 $(\text{locks } s) \text{ } t \ln \wedge \sigma' = \text{update-state } (K\$[], [], [], [], [], \text{convert-RA } \ln)$ 
  using assms step-thread-correct(1)[OF det - invar(1), of  $\lambda \cdot \cdot$ . True, of id update-state t]
  by(fastforce simp add: map-option.id dest:  $\alpha.\text{step-thread-Some-NoneD[OF sym]}$ )

```

```

lemma step-thread-Some-SomeD:
  assumes det:  $\alpha.\text{deterministic } I$ 
  and step:  $\text{step-thread update-state } s t' = \lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
  and invar:  $\text{state-invar } s \text{ state-}\alpha \text{ } s \in I$ 
  shows  $\exists x. \text{thr-}\alpha \text{ (thr } s) \text{ } t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \wedge \text{Predicate.eval } (r t (x, \text{shr } s)) \text{ (ta, x', m')} \wedge$   

 $\text{actions-ok } s t \text{ ta} \wedge \sigma' = \text{update-state } ta$ 
  using assms step-thread-correct(1)[OF det - invar(1), of  $\lambda \cdot \cdot$ . True, of id update-state t]
  by(auto simp add: map-option.id dest:  $\alpha.\text{step-thread-Some-SomeD[OF det sym]}$ )

```

end

9.2.2 Code Generator setup

```

lemmas [code] =
  scheduler-base-aux.free-thread-id-def
  scheduler-base-aux.redT-updT.simps
  scheduler-base-aux.redT-updTs-def
  scheduler-base-aux.thread-ok.simps
  scheduler-base-aux.thread-oks.simps
  scheduler-base-aux.wset-actions-ok-def
  scheduler-base-aux.cond-action-ok.simps
  scheduler-base-aux.cond-action-oks-def
  scheduler-base-aux.redT-updI.simps
  scheduler-base-aux.redT-updIs.simps
  scheduler-base-aux.interrupt-action-ok.simps
  scheduler-base-aux.interrupt-actions-ok.simps
  scheduler-base-aux.actions-ok-def
  scheduler-base-aux.step-thread-def

```

```

lemmas [code] =
  scheduler-base.exec-updW.simps
  scheduler-base.exec-updWs-def
  scheduler-base.exec-upd-def
  scheduler-base.execT-def
  scheduler-base.exec-step.simps
  scheduler-base.exec-aux-def
  scheduler-base.exec-def

```

```

lemmas [code] =
  scheduler-ext-base.active-threads.simps

```

```

lemma singleton2-code [code]:
  singleton2 dfault (Predicate.Seq f) =
  (case f () of

```

```

  Predicate.Empty ⇒ dfault ()
| Predicate.Insert x P ⇒
  if Predicate.is-empty P then x else Code.abort (STR "singleton2 not unique") (λ-. singleton2 dfault
(Predicate.Seq f))
| Predicate.Join P xq ⇒
  if Predicate.is-empty P then
    the-only2 dfault xq
  else if Predicate.null xq then singleton2 dfault P else Code.abort (STR "singleton2 not unique")
(λ-. singleton2 dfault (Predicate.Seq f)))
unfolding singleton2-def the-only2-def
by(auto simp only: singleton-code Code.abort-def split: seq.split if-split)

lemma the-only2-code [code]:
the-only2 dfault Predicate.Empty = Code.abort (STR "the-only2 empty") dfault
the-only2 dfault (Predicate.Insert x P) =
(if Predicate.is-empty P then x else Code.abort (STR "the-only2 not unique") (λ-. the-only2 dfault
(Predicate.Insert x P)))
the-only2 dfault (Predicate.Join P xq) =
(if Predicate.is-empty P then
  the-only2 dfault xq
else if Predicate.null xq then
  singleton2 dfault P
else
  Code.abort (STR "the-only2 not unique") (λ-. the-only2 dfault (Predicate.Join P xq)))
unfolding singleton2-def the-only2-def by simp-all

lemma the2-eq [code]:
the2 A = singleton2 (λx. Code.abort (STR "not-unique") (λ-. the2 A)) A
unfolding the2-def singleton2-def by(rule the-eq)

end

```

9.3 Random scheduler

```

theory Random-Scheduler
imports
  Scheduler
begin

type-synonym random-scheduler = Random.seed

abbreviation (input)
  random-scheduler-invar :: random-scheduler ⇒ 't set ⇒ bool
where random-scheduler-invar ≡ λ- -. True

locale random-scheduler-base =
  scheduler-ext-base
  final r convert-RA
  thr-α thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update thr-iterate
  ws-α ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-sel
  is-α is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
  thr'-α thr'-invar thr'-empty thr'-ins-dj
for final :: 'x ⇒ bool

```

```

and  $r :: 't \Rightarrow ('x \times 'm) \Rightarrow (('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) \text{ thread-action} \times 'x \times 'm)$  Predicate.pred
and  $\text{convert-RA} :: 'l \text{ released-locks} \Rightarrow 'o \text{ list}$ 
and  $\text{output} :: \text{random-scheduler} \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) \text{ thread-action} \Rightarrow 'q \text{ option}$ 
and  $\text{thr-}\alpha :: 'm\text{-t} \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x) \text{ thread-info}$ 
and  $\text{thr-invar} :: 'm\text{-t} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
and  $\text{thr-lookup} :: 't \Rightarrow 'm\text{-t} \rightarrow ('x \times 'l \text{ released-locks})$ 
and  $\text{thr-update} :: 't \Rightarrow 'x \times 'l \text{ released-locks} \Rightarrow 'm\text{-t} \Rightarrow 'm\text{-t}$ 
and  $\text{thr-iterate} :: 'm\text{-t} \Rightarrow ('t \times ('x \times 'l \text{ released-locks}), 's\text{-t}) \text{ set-iterator}$ 
and  $\text{ws-}\alpha :: 'm\text{-w} \Rightarrow ('w, 't) \text{ wait-sets}$ 
and  $\text{ws-invar} :: 'm\text{-w} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
and  $\text{ws-lookup} :: 't \Rightarrow 'm\text{-w} \rightarrow 'w \text{ wait-set-status}$ 
and  $\text{ws-update} :: 't \Rightarrow 'w \text{ wait-set-status} \Rightarrow 'm\text{-w} \Rightarrow 'm\text{-w}$ 
and  $\text{ws-delete} :: 't \Rightarrow 'm\text{-w} \Rightarrow 'm\text{-w}$ 
and  $\text{ws-iterate} :: 'm\text{-w} \Rightarrow ('t \times 'w \text{ wait-set-status}, 'm\text{-w}) \text{ set-iterator}$ 
and  $\text{ws-sel} :: 'm\text{-w} \Rightarrow ('t \times 'w \text{ wait-set-status} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow ('t \times 'w \text{ wait-set-status})$ 
and  $\text{is-}\alpha :: 's\text{-i} \Rightarrow 't \text{ interrupts}$ 
and  $\text{is-invar} :: 's\text{-i} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
and  $\text{is-memb} :: 't \Rightarrow 's\text{-i} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
and  $\text{is-ins} :: 't \Rightarrow 's\text{-i} \Rightarrow 's\text{-i}$ 
and  $\text{is-delete} :: 't \Rightarrow 's\text{-i} \Rightarrow 's\text{-i}$ 
and  $\text{thr'-}\alpha :: 's\text{-t} \Rightarrow 't \text{ set}$ 
and  $\text{thr'-invar} :: 's\text{-t} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
and  $\text{thr'-empty} :: \text{unit} \Rightarrow 's\text{-t}$ 
and  $\text{thr'-ins-dj} :: 't \Rightarrow 's\text{-t} \Rightarrow 's\text{-t}$ 
+
fixes  $\text{thr'-to-list} :: 's\text{-t} \Rightarrow 't \text{ list}$ 
begin

definition  $\text{next-thread} :: \text{random-scheduler} \Rightarrow 's\text{-t} \Rightarrow ('t \times \text{random-scheduler}) \text{ option}$ 
where
 $\text{next-thread seed active} =$ 
 $(\text{let } ts = \text{thr'-to-list active}$ 
 $\text{in if } ts = [] \text{ then None else Some } (\text{Random.select (thr'-to-list active)} \text{ seed}))$ 

definition  $\text{random-scheduler} :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o, 'm\text{-t}, 'm\text{-w}, 's\text{-i}, \text{random-scheduler}) \text{ scheduler}$ 
where
 $\text{random-scheduler seed s} =$ 
 $(\text{do } \{$ 
 $\quad (t, seed') \leftarrow \text{next-thread seed (active-threads s)};$ 
 $\quad \text{step-thread } (\lambda \text{ta. seed'}) \text{ s t}$ 
 $\})$ 

end

locale  $\text{random-scheduler} =$ 
 $\text{random-scheduler-base}$ 
 $\text{final r convert-RA output}$ 
 $\text{thr-}\alpha \text{ thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update thr-iterate}$ 
 $\text{ws-}\alpha \text{ ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-delete ws-iterate ws-sel}$ 
 $\text{is-}\alpha \text{ is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete}$ 
 $\text{thr'-}\alpha \text{ thr'-invar thr'-empty thr'-ins-dj thr'-to-list}$ 
+
scheduler-ext-aux
 $\text{final r convert-RA}$ 

```

```

thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update thr-iterate
ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-sel
is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
thr'- $\alpha$  thr'-invar thr'-empty thr'-ins-dj
+
ws: map-delete ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-delete +
ws: map-iteratei ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-iterate +
thr': set-to-list thr'- $\alpha$  thr'-invar thr'-to-list
for final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'm)  $\Rightarrow$  ((l, t, x, m, w, o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
and output :: random-scheduler  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  (l, t, x, m, w, o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'q option
and thr- $\alpha$  :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  (l, t, x) thread-info
and thr-invar :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and thr-lookup :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t  $\rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'l released-locks)
and thr-update :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\times$  'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t
and thr-iterate :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  ('x  $\times$  'l released-locks), 's-t) set-iterator
and ws- $\alpha$  :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  (w, t) wait-sets
and ws-invar :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and ws-lookup :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\rightarrow$  'w wait-set-status
and ws-update :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'w wait-set-status  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w
and ws-delete :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w
and ws-iterate :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  'w wait-set-status, 'm-w) set-iterator
and ws-sel :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  'w wait-set-status  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  'w wait-set-status)
and is- $\alpha$  :: 's-i  $\Rightarrow$  't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and is-memb :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-i  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and is-ins :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-i  $\Rightarrow$  's-i
and is-delete :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-i  $\Rightarrow$  's-i
and thr'- $\alpha$  :: 's-t  $\Rightarrow$  't set
and thr'-invar :: 's-t  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and thr'-empty :: unit  $\Rightarrow$  's-t
and thr'-ins-dj :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  's-t  $\Rightarrow$  's-t
and thr'-to-list :: 's-t  $\Rightarrow$  't list
begin

lemma next-thread-eq-None-iff:
  assumes thr'-invar active random-scheduler-invar seed T
  shows next-thread seed active = None  $\longleftrightarrow$  thr'- $\alpha$  active = {}
using thr'.to-list-correct[OF assms(1)]
by(auto simp add: next-thread-def neq-Nil-conv)

lemma next-thread-eq-SomeD:
  assumes next-thread seed active = Some (t, seed')
  and thr'-invar active random-scheduler-invar seed T
  shows t  $\in$  thr'- $\alpha$  active
using assms
by(auto simp add: next-thread-def thr'.to-list-correct split: if-split-asm dest: select[of - seed])

lemma random-scheduler-spec:
  assumes det:  $\alpha$ .deterministic I
  shows scheduler-spec final r random-scheduler random-scheduler-invar thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar
is- $\alpha$  is-invar I
proof

```

```

fix  $\sigma$   $s$ 
assume  $rr$ : random-scheduler  $\sigma$   $s = \text{None}$ 
  and  $invar$ : random-scheduler-invar  $\sigma$  ( $\text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s))$ ) state-invar  $s$  state- $\alpha$   $s \in I$ 
from  $invar(2)$  have  $\text{thr}'\text{-invar}$  ( $\text{active-threads } s$ ) by(rule active-threads-correct)
thus  $\alpha.\text{active-threads}(\text{state-}\alpha s) = \{\}$  using  $rr$   $invar$ 
  by(auto simp add: random-scheduler-def Option-bind-eq-None-conv next-thread-eq-None-iff step-thread-eq-None-conv[ $OF$  det] dest: next-thread-eq-SomeD)
next
  fix  $\sigma$   $s$   $t$   $\sigma'$ 
  assume  $rr$ : random-scheduler  $\sigma$   $s = \lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
  and  $invar$ : random-scheduler-invar  $\sigma$  ( $\text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s))$ ) state-invar  $s$  state- $\alpha$   $s \in I$ 
  thus  $\exists x \ln n. \text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s) t = \lfloor(x, \ln)\rfloor \wedge 0 < \ln \$ n \wedge \neg \text{waiting}(\text{ws-}\alpha(\text{wset } s) t) \wedge \text{may-acquire-all}$  ( $locks$   $s$ )  $t \ln$ 
    by(fastforce simp add: random-scheduler-def bind-eq-Some-conv dest: step-thread-Some-NoneD[ $OF$  det])
next
  fix  $\sigma$   $s$   $t$   $ta$   $x'$   $m'$   $\sigma'$ 
  assume  $rr$ : random-scheduler  $\sigma$   $s = \lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x'), m'\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
  and  $invar$ : random-scheduler-invar  $\sigma$  ( $\text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s))$ ) state-invar  $s$  state- $\alpha$   $s \in I$ 
  thus  $\exists x. \text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s) t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \wedge \text{Predicate.eval}(r t (x, \text{shr } s)) (ta, x', m') \wedge \alpha.\text{actions-ok}(\text{state-}\alpha s) t ta$ 
    by(auto simp add: random-scheduler-def bind-eq-Some-conv dest: step-thread-Some-SomeD[ $OF$  det])
qed simp-all

end

sublocale random-scheduler-base <
  scheduler-base
  final  $r$  convert-RA
  random-scheduler output pick-wakeup-via-sel ( $\lambda s P.$  ws-sel  $s (\lambda(k,v). P k v)$ ) random-scheduler-invar
  thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
  ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-delete ws-iterate
  is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
for no .

sublocale random-scheduler <
  pick-wakeup-spec
  final  $r$  convert-RA
  pick-wakeup-via-sel ( $\lambda s P.$  ws-sel  $s (\lambda(k,v). P k v)$ ) random-scheduler-invar
  thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar
  ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar
  is- $\alpha$  is-invar
by(rule pick-wakeup-spec-via-sel)(unfold-locales)

context random-scheduler begin

lemma random-scheduler-scheduler:
  assumes  $det: \alpha.\text{deterministic } I$ 
  shows
    scheduler
    final  $r$  convert-RA
    random-scheduler (pick-wakeup-via-sel ( $\lambda s P.$  ws-sel  $s (\lambda(k,v). P k v)$ )) random-scheduler-invar
    thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
    ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-delete ws-iterate

```

```

is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
I
proof -
  interpret scheduler-spec
    final r convert-RA
    random-scheduler random-scheduler-invar
    thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar
    ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar
    is- $\alpha$  is-invar
    I
  using det by(rule random-scheduler-spec)

show ?thesis by(unfold-locales)(rule  $\alpha$ .deterministic-invariant3p[OF det])
qed

end

```

9.3.1 Code generator setup

```

lemmas [code] =
  random-scheduler-base.next-thread-def
  random-scheduler-base.random-scheduler-def

end

```

9.4 Round robin scheduler

```

theory Round-Robin
imports
  Scheduler
begin

```

A concrete scheduler must pick one possible reduction step from the small-step semantics for individual threads. Currently, this is only possible if there is only one such by using *Predicate.the*.

9.4.1 Concrete schedulers

9.4.2 Round-robin schedulers

type-synonym 'queue round-robin = 'queue \times nat

— Waiting queue of threads and remaining number of steps of the first thread until it has to return resources

primrec enqueue-new-thread :: 't list \Rightarrow ('t,'x,'m) new-thread-action \Rightarrow 't list
where

enqueue-new-thread queue (NewThread t x m) = queue @ [t]
 | enqueue-new-thread queue (ThreadExists t b) = queue

definition enqueue-new-threads :: 't list \Rightarrow ('t,'x,'m) new-thread-action list \Rightarrow 't list
where

enqueue-new-threads = foldl enqueue-new-thread

```

primrec round-robin-update-state :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  't list round-robin  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action
 $\Rightarrow$  't list round-robin
where
  round-robin-update-state n0 (queue, n) t ta =
    (let queue' = enqueue-new-threads queue {ta}t
     in if n = 0  $\vee$  Yield  $\in$  set {ta}c then (rotate1 queue', n0) else (queue', n - 1))

context multithreaded-base begin

abbreviation round-robin-step :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  't list round-robin  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$ 
((l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) option  $\times$  't list round-robin) option
where
  round-robin-step n0  $\sigma$  s t  $\equiv$  step-thread (round-robin-update-state n0  $\sigma$  t) s t

partial-function (option) round-robin-reschedule :: 't  $\Rightarrow$ 
  't list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  ((l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) option  $\times$ 
  't list round-robin) option
where
  round-robin-reschedule t0 queue n0 s =
    (let
      t = hd queue;
      queue' = tl queue
      in
      if t = t0 then
        None
      else
        case round-robin-step n0 (t # queue', n0) s t of
          None  $\Rightarrow$  round-robin-reschedule t0 (queue' @ [t]) n0 s
          | [ttaxmσ]  $\Rightarrow$  [ttaxmσ])

fun round-robin :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  't list round-robin  $\Rightarrow$  ('l,'t,'x,'m,'w) state  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  ((l,'t,'x,'m,'w,'o) thread-action
 $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) option  $\times$  't list round-robin) option
where
  round-robin n0 ([] , n) s = None
  | round-robin n0 (t # queue, n) s =
    (case round-robin-step n0 (t # queue, n) s t of
      [ttaxmσ]  $\Rightarrow$  [ttaxmσ]
      | None  $\Rightarrow$  round-robin-reschedule t (queue @ [t]) n0 s)

end

primrec round-robin-invar :: 't list round-robin  $\Rightarrow$  't set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where round-robin-invar (queue, n) T  $\longleftrightarrow$  set queue = T  $\wedge$  distinct queue

lemma set-enqueue-new-thread:
  set (enqueue-new-thread queue nta) = set queue  $\cup$  {t.  $\exists$  x m. nta = NewThread t x m}
by(cases nta) auto

lemma set-enqueue-new-threads:
  set (enqueue-new-threads queue ntas) = set queue  $\cup$  {t.  $\exists$  x m. NewThread t x m  $\in$  set ntas}
apply(induct ntas arbitrary: queue)
apply(auto simp add: enqueue-new-threads-def set-enqueue-new-thread)
done

```

```

lemma enqueue-new-thread-eq-Nil [simp]:
  enqueue-new-thread queue nta = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  queue = []  $\wedge$  ( $\exists t b. nta = ThreadExists t b$ )
by(cases nta) simp-all

lemma enqueue-new-threads-eq-Nil [simp]:
  enqueue-new-threads queue ntas = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  queue = []  $\wedge$  set ntas  $\subseteq \{ThreadExists t b | t b. True\}$ 
apply(induct ntas arbitrary: queue)
apply(auto simp add: enqueue-new-threads-def)
done

lemma distinct-enqueue-new-threads:
  fixes ts :: ('l,'t,'x) thread-info
  and ntas :: ('t,'x,'m) new-thread-action list
  assumes thread-oks ts ntas set queue = dom ts distinct queue
  shows distinct (enqueue-new-threads queue ntas)
using assms
proof(induct ntas arbitrary: ts queue)
  case Nil thus ?case by(simp add: enqueue-new-threads-def)
next
  case (Cons nt ntas)
  from <thread-oks ts (nt # ntas)>
  have thread-ok ts nt and thread-oks (redT-updT ts nt) ntas by simp-all
  from <thread-ok ts nt> <set queue = dom ts> <distinct queue>
  have set (enqueue-new-thread queue nt) = dom (redT-updT ts nt)  $\wedge$  distinct (enqueue-new-thread queue nt)
    by(cases nt)(auto)
  with <thread-oks (redT-updT ts nt) ntas>
  have distinct (enqueue-new-threads (enqueue-new-thread queue nt) ntas)
    by(blast intro: Cons.hyps)
  thus ?case by(simp add: enqueue-new-threads-def)
qed

lemma round-robin-reschedule-induct [consumes 1, case-names head rotate]:
  assumes major: t0  $\in$  set queue
  and head:  $\bigwedge$ queue. P (t0 # queue)
  and rotate:  $\bigwedge$ queue t. [ t  $\neq$  t0; t0  $\in$  set queue; P (queue @ [t]) ]  $\implies$  P (t # queue)
  shows P queue
using major
proof(induct n $\equiv$ length (takeWhile ( $\lambda x. x \neq t0$ ) queue) arbitrary: queue)
  case 0
  then obtain queue' where queue = t0 # queue'
    by(cases queue)(auto split: if-split-asm)
  thus ?case by(simp add: head)
next
  case (Suc n)
  then obtain t queue' where [simp]: queue = t # queue'
    and t: t  $\neq$  t0 and n: n = length (takeWhile ( $\lambda x. x \neq t0$ ) queue')
    and t0: t0  $\in$  set queue'
    by(cases queue)(auto split: if-split-asm)
  from n t0 have n = length (takeWhile ( $\lambda x. x \neq t0$ ) (queue' @ [t])) by(simp)
  moreover from t0 have t0  $\in$  set (queue' @ [t]) by simp
  ultimately have P (queue' @ [t]) by(rule Suc.hyps)
  with t t0 show ?case by(simp add: rotate)
qed

```

```

context multithreaded-base begin

declare actions-ok-iff [simp del]
declare actions-ok.cases [rule del]

lemma round-robin-step-invar-None:
   $\llbracket \text{round-robin-step } n0 \sigma s t' = \lfloor (t, \text{None}, \sigma') \rfloor; \text{round-robin-invar } \sigma (\text{dom} (\text{thr } s)) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{round-robin-invar } \sigma' (\text{dom} (\text{thr } s))$ 
by(cases  $\sigma$ )(auto dest: step-thread-Some-NoneD simp add: set-enqueue-new-threads distinct-enqueue-new-threads)

lemma round-robin-step-invar-Some:
   $\llbracket \text{deterministic } I; \text{round-robin-step } n0 \sigma s t' = \lfloor (t, \lfloor (ta, x', m') \rfloor, \sigma') \rfloor; \text{round-robin-invar } \sigma (\text{dom} (\text{thr } s)); s \in I \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{round-robin-invar } \sigma' (\text{dom} (\text{thr } s) \cup \{t. \exists x m. \text{NewThread } t x m \in \text{set } \{ta\}_t\})$ 
apply(cases  $\sigma$ )
apply clar simp
apply(frule (1) step-thread-Some-SomeD)
apply(auto split: if-split-asm simp add: split-beta set-enqueue-new-threads deterministic-THE)
apply(auto simp add: actions-ok-iff distinct-enqueue-new-threads)
done

lemma round-robin-reschedule-Cons:
  round-robin-reschedule  $t0$  ( $t0 \# \text{queue}$ )  $n0 s = \text{None}$ 
   $t \neq t0 \implies \text{round-robin-reschedule } t0 (\text{queue} @ [t]) n0 s =$ 
  (case round-robin-step  $n0$  ( $t \# \text{queue}$ ,  $n0$ )  $s t$  of
    None  $\Rightarrow \text{round-robin-reschedule } t0 (\text{queue} @ [t]) n0 s$ 
    | Some  $tta x m \sigma \Rightarrow \text{Some } tta x m \sigma$ )
by(simp-all add: round-robin-reschedule.simps)

lemma round-robin-reschedule-NoneD:
  assumes rrr: round-robin-reschedule  $t0$  queue  $n0 s = \text{None}$ 
  and  $t0: t0 \in \text{set queue}$ 
  shows set (takeWhile ( $\lambda t'. t' \neq t0$ ) queue)  $\cap \text{active-threads } s = \{\}$ 
using  $t0$  rrr
proof(induct queue rule: round-robin-reschedule-induct)
  case (head queue)
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (rotate queue  $t$ )
  from <round-robin-reschedule  $t0$  ( $t \# \text{queue}$ )  $n0 s = \text{None}$ >  $\langle t \neq t0 \rangle$ 
  have round-robin-step  $n0$  ( $t \# \text{queue}$ ,  $n0$ )  $s t = \text{None}$ 
  and round-robin-reschedule  $t0$  ( $\text{queue} @ [t]$ )  $n0 s = \text{None}$ 
  by(simp-all add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
  from this(1) have  $t \notin \text{active-threads } s$  by(rule step-thread-NoneD)
  moreover from <round-robin-reschedule  $t0$  ( $\text{queue} @ [t]$ )  $n0 s = \text{None}$ >
  have set (takeWhile ( $\lambda t'. t' \neq t0$ ) ( $\text{queue} @ [t]$ ))  $\cap \text{active-threads } s = \{\}$ 
  by(rule rotate.hyps)
  moreover have takeWhile ( $\lambda t'. t' \neq t0$ ) ( $\text{queue} @ [t]$ ) = takeWhile ( $\lambda t'. t' \neq t0$ ) queue
  using < $t0 \in \text{set queue}$ > by simp
  ultimately show ?case using < $t \neq t0$ > by simp
qed

lemma round-robin-reschedule-Some-NoneD:

```

```

assumes rrr: round-robin-reschedule t0 queue n0 s =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
and t0: t0 ∈ set queue
shows  $\exists x \ln n. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \ln)\rfloor \wedge \ln \$ n > 0 \wedge \neg \text{waiting } (\text{wset } s t) \wedge \text{may-acquire-all } (\text{locks } s)$ 
t ln
using t0 rrr
proof(induct queue rule: round-robin-reschedule-induct)
  case head thus ?case by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
next
  case (rotate queue t')
  show ?case
  proof(cases round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue, n0) s t')
    case None
    with ⟨round-robin-reschedule t0 (t' # queue) n0 s =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ ⟩ ⟨t' ≠ t0⟩
    have round-robin-reschedule t0 (queue @ [t']) n0 s =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
      by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
    thus ?thesis by(rule rotate.hyps)
next
  case (Some a)
  with ⟨round-robin-reschedule t0 (t' # queue) n0 s =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ ⟩ ⟨t' ≠ t0⟩
  have round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue, n0) s t' =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
    by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
  thus ?thesis by(blast dest: step-thread-Some-NoneD)
qed
qed

lemma round-robin-reschedule-Some-SomeD:
assumes deterministic I
and rrr: round-robin-reschedule t0 queue n0 s =  $\lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
and t0: t0 ∈ set queue
and I: s ∈ I
shows  $\exists x. \text{thr } s t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \wedge t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \wedge \text{actions-ok } s t ta$ 
using t0 rrr
proof(induct queue rule: round-robin-reschedule-induct)
  case head thus ?case by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
next
  case (rotate queue t')
  show ?case
  proof(cases round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue, n0) s t')
    case None
    with ⟨round-robin-reschedule t0 (t' # queue) n0 s =  $\lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ ⟩ ⟨t' ≠ t0⟩
    have round-robin-reschedule t0 (queue @ [t']) n0 s =  $\lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
      by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
    thus ?thesis by(rule rotate.hyps)
next
  case (Some a)
  with ⟨round-robin-reschedule t0 (t' # queue) n0 s =  $\lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ ⟩ ⟨t' ≠ t0⟩
  have round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue, n0) s t' =  $\lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
    by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
  thus ?thesis using I by(blast dest: step-thread-Some-SomeD[OF ⟨deterministic I⟩])
qed
qed

lemma round-robin-reschedule-invar-None:
assumes rrr: round-robin-reschedule t0 queue n0 s =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ 

```

```

and invar: round-robin-invar (queue, n0) (dom (thr s))
and t0: t0 ∈ set queue
shows round-robin-invar σ' (dom (thr s))
using t0 rrr invar
proof(induct queue rule: round-robin-reschedule-induct)
  case head thus ?case by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
next
  case (rotate queue t')
  show ?case
  proof(cases round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue, n0) s t')
    case None
    with <round-robin-reschedule t0 (t' # queue) n0 s = [(t, None, σ')]> <t' ≠ t0>
    have round-robin-reschedule t0 (queue @ [t']) n0 s = [(t, None, σ')]
      by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
    moreover from <round-robin-invar (t' # queue, n0) (dom (thr s))>
    have round-robin-invar (queue @ [t'], n0) (dom (thr s)) by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis by(rule rotate.hyps)
  next
    case (Some a)
    with <round-robin-reschedule t0 (t' # queue) n0 s = [(t, None, σ')]> <t' ≠ t0>
    have round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue, n0) s t' = [(t, None, σ')]
      by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
    thus ?thesis using <round-robin-invar (t' # queue, n0) (dom (thr s))>
      by(rule round-robin-step-invar-None)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma round-robin-reschedule-invar-Some:
  assumes deterministic I
  and rrr: round-robin-reschedule t0 queue n0 s = [(t, [(ta, x', m')], σ')]
  and invar: round-robin-invar (queue, n0) (dom (thr s))
  and t0: t0 ∈ set queue
  and s ∈ I
  shows round-robin-invar σ' (dom (thr s) ∪ {t. ∃ x m. NewThread t x m ∈ set {ta}t})
using t0 rrr invar
proof(induct queue rule: round-robin-reschedule-induct)
  case head thus ?case by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
next
  case (rotate queue t')
  show ?case
  proof(cases round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue, n0) s t')
    case None
    with <round-robin-reschedule t0 (t' # queue) n0 s = [(t, [(ta, x', m')], σ')]> <t' ≠ t0>
    have round-robin-reschedule t0 (queue @ [t']) n0 s = [(t, [(ta, x', m')], σ')]
      by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
    moreover from <round-robin-invar (t' # queue, n0) (dom (thr s))>
    have round-robin-invar (queue @ [t'], n0) (dom (thr s)) by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis by(rule rotate.hyps)
  next
    case (Some a)
    with <round-robin-reschedule t0 (t' # queue) n0 s = [(t, [(ta, x', m')], σ')]> <t' ≠ t0>
    have round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue, n0) s t' = [(t, [(ta, x', m')], σ')]
      by(simp add: round-robin-reschedule-Cons)
    thus ?thesis using <round-robin-invar (t' # queue, n0) (dom (thr s))> <s ∈ I>

```

```

by(rule round-robin-step-invar-Some[OF `deterministic I`])
qed
qed

lemma round-robin-NoneD:
assumes rr: round-robin n0 σ s = None
and invar: round-robin-invar σ (dom (thr s))
shows active-threads s = {}
proof -
obtain queue n where σ: σ = (queue, n) by(cases σ)
show ?thesis
proof(cases queue)
case Nil
thus ?thesis using invar σ by(fastforce elim: active-threads.cases)
next
case (Cons t queue')
with rr σ have round-robin-step n0 (t # queue', n) s t = None
and round-robin-reschedule t (queue' @ [t]) n0 s = None by simp-all
from <round-robin-step n0 (t # queue', n) s t = None>
have t ∉ active-threads s by(rule step-thread-NoneD)
moreover from <round-robin-reschedule t (queue' @ [t]) n0 s = None>
have set (takeWhile (λx. x ≠ t) (queue' @ [t])) ∩ active-threads s = {}
by(rule round-robin-reschedule-NoneD) simp
moreover from invar σ Cons
have takeWhile (λx. x ≠ t) (queue' @ [t]) = queue'
by(subst takeWhile-append2) auto
moreover from invar have active-threads s ⊆ set queue
using σ by(auto elim: active-threads.cases)
ultimately show ?thesis using Cons by auto
qed
qed

lemma round-robin-Some-NoneD:
assumes rr: round-robin n0 σ s = [(t, None, σ')]
shows ∃x ln n. thr s t = [(x, ln)] ∧ ln $ n > 0 ∧ ¬ waiting (wset s t) ∧ may-acquire-all (locks s)
t ln
proof -
obtain queue n where σ: σ = (queue, n) by(cases σ)
with rr have queue ≠ [] by clarsimp
then obtain t' queue' where queue: queue = t' # queue'
by(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
show ?thesis
proof(cases round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue', n) s t')
case (Some a)
with rr queue σ have round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue', n) s t' = [(t, None, σ')] by simp
thus ?thesis by(blast dest: step-thread-Some-NoneD)
next
case None
with rr queue σ have round-robin-reschedule t' (queue' @ [t']) n0 s = [(t, None, σ')] by simp
thus ?thesis by(rule round-robin-reschedule-Some-NoneD) simp
qed
qed

lemma round-robin-Some-SomeD:

```

```

assumes deterministic I
and rr: round-robin n0 σ s =  $\lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
and s ∈ I
shows ∃x. thr s t =  $\lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \wedge t \vdash \langle x, \text{shr } s \rangle -ta \rightarrow \langle x', m' \rangle \wedge \text{actions-ok } s t ta$ 
proof –
  obtain queue n where σ: σ = (queue, n) by(cases σ)
  with rr have queue ≠ [] by clarsimp
  then obtain t' queue' where queue: queue = t' # queue'
    by(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
  show ?thesis
proof(cases round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue', n) s t')
  case (Some a)
  with rr queue σ have round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue', n) s t' =  $\lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$  by simp
  thus ?thesis using ⟨s ∈ I⟩ by(blast dest: step-thread-Some-SomeD[OF ⟨deterministic I⟩])
next
  case None
  with rr queue σ have round-robin-reschedule t' (queue' @ [t']) n0 s =  $\lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$  by
  simp
  thus ?thesis by(rule round-robin-reschedule-Some-SomeD[OF ⟨deterministic I⟩])(simp-all add: ⟨s ∈ I⟩)
qed
qed

```

lemma round-robin-invar-None:

```

assumes rr: round-robin n0 σ s =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
and invar: round-robin-invar σ (dom (thr s))
shows round-robin-invar σ' (dom (thr s))
proof –
  obtain queue n where σ: σ = (queue, n) by(cases σ)
  with rr have queue ≠ [] by clarsimp
  then obtain t' queue' where queue: queue = t' # queue'
    by(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
  show ?thesis
proof(cases round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue', n) s t')
  case (Some a)
  with rr queue σ have round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue', n) s t' =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$  by simp
  thus ?thesis using invar unfolding σ queue by(rule round-robin-step-invar-None)
next
  case None
  with rr queue σ have round-robin-reschedule t' (queue' @ [t']) n0 s =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$  by simp
  moreover from invar queue σ have round-robin-invar (queue' @ [t'], n0) (dom (thr s)) by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by(rule round-robin-reschedule-invar-None) simp
qed
qed

```

lemma round-robin-invar-Some:

```

assumes deterministic I
and rr: round-robin n0 σ s =  $\lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
and invar: round-robin-invar σ (dom (thr s)) s ∈ I
shows round-robin-invar σ' (dom (thr s) ∪ {t. ∃x m. NewThread t x m ∈ set {ta}t})
proof –
  obtain queue n where σ: σ = (queue, n) by(cases σ)
  with rr have queue ≠ [] by clarsimp
  then obtain t' queue' where queue: queue = t' # queue'

```

```

    by(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue', n) s t')
    case (Some a)
    with rr queue σ have round-robin-step n0 (t' # queue', n) s t' = |(t, |(ta, x', m')], σ')| by simp
    thus ?thesis using invar unfolding σ queue by(rule round-robin-step-invar-Some[OF ‹deterministic I›])
  next
    case None
    with rr queue σ have round-robin-reschedule t' (queue' @ [t']) n0 s = |(t, |(ta, x', m')], σ')| by
simp
    moreover from invar queue σ
    have round-robin-invar (queue' @ [t'], n0) (dom (thr s)) by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis by(rule round-robin-reschedule-invar-Some[OF ‹deterministic I›])(simp-all
add: ‹s ∈ I›)
  qed
qed

end

locale round-robin-base =
  scheduler-base-aux
  final r convert-RA
  thr-α thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
  ws-α ws-invar ws-lookup
  is-α is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
for final :: 'x ⇒ bool
and r :: 't ⇒ ('x × 'm) ⇒ ((l, t, x, m, w, o) thread-action × 'x × 'm) Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks ⇒ 'o list
and output :: 'queue round-robin ⇒ 't ⇒ ('l, t, x, m, w, o) thread-action ⇒ 'q option
and thr-α :: 'm-t ⇒ ('l, t, x) thread-info
and thr-invar :: 'm-t ⇒ bool
and thr-lookup :: 't ⇒ 'm-t → ('x × 'l released-locks)
and thr-update :: 't ⇒ 'x × 'l released-locks ⇒ 'm-t ⇒ 'm-t
and ws-α :: 'm-w ⇒ ('w, t) wait-sets
and ws-invar :: 'm-w ⇒ bool
and ws-lookup :: 't ⇒ 'm-w → 'w wait-set-status
and ws-update :: 't ⇒ 'w wait-set-status ⇒ 'm-w ⇒ 'm-w
and ws-delete :: 't ⇒ 'm-w ⇒ 'm-w
and ws-iterate :: 'm-w ⇒ ('t × 'w wait-set-status, 'm-w) set-iterator
and ws-sel :: 'm-w ⇒ ('t × 'w wait-set-status ⇒ bool) → ('t × 'w wait-set-status)
and is-α :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i ⇒ bool
and is-memb :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ bool
and is-ins :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
and is-delete :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
+
fixes queue-α :: 'queue ⇒ 't list
and queue-invar :: 'queue ⇒ bool
and queue-empty :: unit ⇒ 'queue
and queue-isEmpty :: 'queue ⇒ bool
and queue-enqueue :: 't ⇒ 'queue ⇒ 'queue
and queue-dequeue :: 'queue ⇒ 't × 'queue
and queue-push :: 't ⇒ 'queue ⇒ 'queue

```

begin

definition *queue-rotate1* :: $'queue \Rightarrow 'queue$

where *queue-rotate1* = *case-prod queue-enqueue* \circ *queue-dequeue*

primrec *enqueue-new-thread* :: $'queue \Rightarrow ('t, 'x, 'm)$ *new-thread-action* $\Rightarrow 'queue$

where

enqueue-new-thread *ts* (*NewThread t x m*) = *queue-enqueue t ts*

 | *enqueue-new-thread* *ts* (*ThreadExists t b*) = *ts*

definition *enqueue-new-threads* :: $'queue \Rightarrow ('t, 'x, 'm)$ *new-thread-action list* $\Rightarrow 'queue$

where

enqueue-new-threads = *foldl enqueue-new-thread*

primrec *round-robin-update-state* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow 'queue$ *round-robin* $\Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o)$ *thread-action* $\Rightarrow 'queue$ *round-robin*

where

round-robin-update-state n0 (queue, n) t ta =

 (*let queue' = enqueue-new-threads queue {ta} t*

in if n = 0 \vee Yield \in set {ta}c then (queue-rotate1 queue', n0) else (queue', n - 1))

abbreviation *round-robin-step* ::

nat $\Rightarrow 'queue$ *round-robin* $\Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i)$ *state-refine* $\Rightarrow 't$

$\Rightarrow ('t \times (('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) \text{ thread-action} \times 'x \times 'm) \text{ option} \times 'queue \text{ round-robin}) \text{ option}$

where

round-robin-step n0 σ s t \equiv *step-thread (round-robin-update-state n0 σ t) s t*

partial-function (*option*) *round-robin-reschedule* ::

t $\Rightarrow 'queue \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'm, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i)$ *state-refine*

$\Rightarrow ('t \times (('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) \text{ thread-action} \times 'x \times 'm) \text{ option} \times 'queue \text{ round-robin}) \text{ option}$

where

round-robin-reschedule t0 queue n0 s =

 (*let*

(t, queue') = queue-dequeue queue

in

if t = t0 then

None

else

case round-robin-step t0 (queue-push t queue', n0) s t of

None \Rightarrow *round-robin-reschedule t0 (queue-enqueue t queue') n0 s*

 |i | *[ttaxmσ] ⇒ [ttaxmσ]*

primrec *round-robin* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i, 'queue \text{ round-robin})$ *scheduler*

where

round-robin n0 (queue, n) s =

 (*if queue-isEmpty queue then None*

else

let

(t, queue') = queue-dequeue queue

in

 (*case round-robin-step n0 (queue-push t queue', n) s t of*

 |i | *[ttaxmσ] ⇒ [ttaxmσ]*

 |i | *None* \Rightarrow *round-robin-reschedule t (queue-enqueue t queue') n0 s*)

```

primrec round-robin-invar :: 'queue round-robin  $\Rightarrow$  't set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where round-robin-invar (queue, n) T  $\longleftrightarrow$  queue-invar queue  $\wedge$  Round-Robin.round-robin-invar (queue- $\alpha$  queue, n) T

definition round-robin- $\alpha$  :: 'queue round-robin  $\Rightarrow$  't list round-robin
where round-robin- $\alpha$  = apfst queue- $\alpha$ 

definition round-robin-start :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'queue round-robin
where round-robin-start n0 t = (queue-enqueue t (queue-empty ()), n0)

lemma round-robin-invar-correct:
  round-robin-invar  $\sigma$  T  $\Longrightarrow$  Round-Robin.round-robin-invar (round-robin- $\alpha$   $\sigma$ ) T
by(cases  $\sigma$ )(simp add: round-robin- $\alpha$ -def)

end

locale round-robin =
  round-robin-base
    final r convert-RA output
    thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
    ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-delete ws-iterate ws-sel
    is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
    queue- $\alpha$  queue-invar queue-empty queue-isEmpty queue-enqueue queue-dequeue queue-push
  +
  scheduler-aux
    final r convert-RA
    thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
    ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup
    is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
  +
  ws: map-update ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-update +
  ws: map-delete ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-delete +
  ws: map-iteratei ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-iterate +
  ws: map-sel' ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-sel +
  queue: list queue- $\alpha$  queue-invar +
  queue: list-empty queue- $\alpha$  queue-invar queue-empty +
  queue: list-isEmpty queue- $\alpha$  queue-invar queue-isEmpty +
  queue: list-enqueue queue- $\alpha$  queue-invar queue-enqueue +
  queue: list-dequeue queue- $\alpha$  queue-invar queue-dequeue +
  queue: list-push queue- $\alpha$  queue-invar queue-push
  for final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and r :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'm)  $\Rightarrow$  ((l, t, x, m, w, o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) Predicate.pred
  and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
  and output :: 'queue round-robin  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  (l, t, x, m, w, o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'q option
  and thr- $\alpha$  :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  (l, t, x) thread-info
  and thr-invar :: 'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and thr-lookup :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t  $\rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'l released-locks)
  and thr-update :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'x  $\times$  'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t
  and ws- $\alpha$  :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  (w, t) wait-sets
  and ws-invar :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and ws-lookup :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\rightarrow$  'w wait-set-status
  and ws-update :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'w wait-set-status  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w
  and ws-delete :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w
  and ws-iterate :: 'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\times$  'w wait-set-status, 'm-w) set-iterator

```

```

and ws-sel :: 'm-w ⇒ ('t × 'w wait-set-status ⇒ bool) → ('t × 'w wait-set-status)
and is-α :: 's-i ⇒ 't interrupts
and is-invar :: 's-i ⇒ bool
and is-memb :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ bool
and is-ins :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
and is-delete :: 't ⇒ 's-i ⇒ 's-i
and queue-α :: 'queue ⇒ 't list
and queue-invar :: 'queue ⇒ bool
and queue-empty :: unit ⇒ 'queue
and queue-isEmpty :: 'queue ⇒ bool
and queue-enqueue :: 't ⇒ 'queue ⇒ 'queue
and queue-dequeue :: 'queue ⇒ 't × 'queue
and queue-push :: 't ⇒ 'queue ⇒ 'queue
begin

lemma queue-rotate1-correct:
  assumes queue-invar queue queue-α queue ≠ []
  shows queue-α (queue-rotate1 queue) = rotate1 (queue-α queue)
  and queue-invar (queue-rotate1 queue)
using assms
apply(auto simp add: queue-rotate1-def split-beta queue.dequeue-correct queue.enqueue-correct)
by(cases queue-α queue) simp-all

lemma enqueue-thread-correct:
  assumes queue-invar queue
  shows queue-α (enqueue-new-thread queue nta) = Round-Robin.enqueue-new-thread (queue-α queue)
nta
  and queue-invar (enqueue-new-thread queue nta)
using assms
by(case-tac [|] nta)(simp-all add: queue.enqueue-correct)

lemma enqueue-threads-correct:
  assumes queue-invar queue
  shows queue-α (enqueue-new-threads queue ntas) = Round-Robin.enqueue-new-threads (queue-α queue) ntas
  and queue-invar (enqueue-new-threads queue ntas)
using assms
apply(induct ntas arbitrary: queue)
apply(simp-all add: enqueue-new-threads-def Round-Robin.enqueue-new-threads-def enqueue-thread-correct)
done

lemma round-robin-update-thread-correct:
  assumes round-robin-invar σ T t' ∈ T
  shows round-robin-α (round-robin-update-state n0 σ t ta) = Round-Robin.round-robin-update-state
n0 (round-robin-α σ) t ta
using assms
apply(cases σ)
apply(auto simp add: round-robin-α-def queue-rotate1-correct enqueue-threads-correct del: conjI)
apply(subst (1 2) queue-rotate1-correct)
apply(auto simp add: enqueue-threads-correct)
done

lemma round-robin-step-correct:
  assumes det: α.deterministic I

```

and *invar*: round-robin-invar σ ($\text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s))$) state-invar s state- α $s \in I$
shows

$\text{map-option}(\text{apsnd}(\text{apsnd round-robin-}\alpha))(\text{round-robin-step } n0 \sigma s t) =$
 $\alpha.\text{round-robin-step } n0 (\text{round-robin-}\alpha \sigma)(\text{state-}\alpha s) t$ (**is** ?thesis1)

and *case-option True* ($\lambda(t, \text{taxm}, \sigma).$ $\text{round-robin-invar } \sigma$ (*case taxm of None* \Rightarrow $\text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s))$ | Some (ta, x', m') \Rightarrow $\text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s)) \cup \{t. \exists x m. \text{NewThread } t x m \in \text{set}\{\{ta\}_t\}\}$) ($\text{round-robin-step } n0 \sigma s t$)
(is ?thesis2)

proof –

have ?thesis1 \wedge ?thesis2

proof(cases $\text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s)) = \{\}$)

case *True*

thus ?thesis **using** *invar*

apply(cases σ)

apply(auto dest: step-thread-Some-NoneD[*OF det*] step-thread-Some-SomeD[*OF det*])

apply(fastforce simp add: $\alpha.\text{step-thread-eq-None-conv elim: } \alpha.\text{active-threads.cases intro: sym}$)

done

next

case *False*

then obtain t' **where** $t' : t' \in \text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s))$ **by** *blast*

hence ?thesis1

using step-thread-correct(1)[of I round-robin-invar σ s round-robin- α round-robin-update-state $n0 \sigma t t$, *OF det invar*]

unfolding *o-def* **using** *invar*

by(subst (asm) round-robin-update-thread-correct) *auto*

moreover

{ fix $ta :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o)$ thread-action
assume FWThread.thread-oks ($\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s)$) $\{\{ta\}_t$
moreover from t' *invar* **have** queue- α ($\text{fst } \sigma$) $\neq []$ **by**(cases σ) *auto*
ultimately have round-robin-invar (round-robin-update-state $n0 \sigma t ta$) ($\text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s)) \cup \{t. \exists x m. \text{NewThread } t x m \in \text{set}\{\{ta\}_t\}\}$)
using *invar* t' **by**(cases σ)(auto simp add: queue-rotate1-correct enqueue-threads-correct set-enqueue-new-threads iff del: domIff intro: distinct-enqueue-new-threads) }

from step-thread-correct(2)[*OF det*, of round-robin-invar σ s round-robin-update-state $n0 \sigma t t$, *OF invar this*]

have ?thesis2 **using** t' *invar* **by** *simp*

ultimately show ?thesis **by** *blast*

qed

thus ?thesis1 ?thesis2 **by** *blast+*

qed

lemma round-robin-reschedule-correct:

assumes *det*: $\alpha.\text{deterministic } I$

and *invar*: round-robin-invar (queue, n) ($\text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s))$) state-invar s state- α $s \in I$

and $t0 : t0 \in \text{set}(\text{queue-}\alpha \text{ queue})$

shows $\text{map-option}(\text{apsnd}(\text{apsnd round-robin-}\alpha))(\text{round-robin-reschedule } t0 \text{ queue } n0 s) =$
 $\alpha.\text{round-robin-reschedule } t0 (\text{queue-}\alpha \text{ queue}) n0 (\text{state-}\alpha s)$

and *case-option True* ($\lambda(t, \text{taxm}, \sigma).$ $\text{round-robin-invar } \sigma$ (*case taxm of None* \Rightarrow $\text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s))$ | Some (ta, x', m') \Rightarrow $\text{dom}(\text{thr-}\alpha(\text{thr } s)) \cup \{t. \exists x m. \text{NewThread } t x m \in \text{set}\{\{ta\}_t\}\}$) ($\text{round-robin-reschedule } t0 \text{ queue } n0 s$)

using $t0$ *invar*

proof(induct queue- α queue arbitrary: queue n rule: round-robin-reschedule-induct)

case *head*

{ **case** 1 **thus** ?case **using** *head*[symmetric]

```

by(subst round-robin-reschedule.simps)(subst α.round-robin-reschedule.simps, clar simp simp add:
split-beta queue.dequeue-correct)
next
  case 2 thus ?case using head[symmetric]
    by(subst round-robin-reschedule.simps)(clar simp simp add: split-beta queue.dequeue-correct) }
next
  case (rotate αqueue' t)
  obtain t' queue' where queue': queue-dequeue queue = (t', queue') by(cases queue-dequeue queue)
  note [simp] = t # αqueue' = queue-α queue}[symmetric]
  { case 1
    with queue' have [simp]: t' = t αqueue' = queue-α queue' queue-invar queue' by(auto elim:
queue.removeE)
    from 1 queue' have invar': round-robin-invar (queue-push t queue', n0) (dom (thr-α (thr s)))
    by(auto simp add: queue.push-correct)
    show ?case
    proof(cases round-robin-step n0 (queue-push t queue', n0) s t)
      case Some thus ?thesis
        using queue' <t ≠ t0> round-robin-step-correct[OF det invar' <state-invar s>, of n0 t] invar'
<state-α s ∈ I>
        by(subst round-robin-reschedule.simps)(subst α.round-robin-reschedule.simps, auto simp add:
round-robin-α-def queue.push-correct)
      next
        case None
        hence αNone: α.round-robin-step n0 (queue-α (queue-push t queue'), n0) (state-α s) t = None
          using round-robin-step-correct[OF det invar' <state-invar s>, of n0 t] invar' <state-α s ∈ I>
          by(auto simp add: queue.push-correct round-robin-α-def)
        have αqueue' @ [t] = queue-α (queue-enqueue t queue') by(simp add: queue.enqueue-correct)
        moreover from invar'
        have round-robin-invar (queue-enqueue t queue', n0) (dom (thr-α (thr s)))
        by(auto simp add: queue.enqueue-correct queue.push-correct)
        ultimately
        have map-option (apsnd (apsnd round-robin-α)) (round-robin-reschedule t0 (queue-enqueue t
queue') n0 s) =
          α.round-robin-reschedule t0 (queue-α (queue-enqueue t queue')) n0 (state-α s)
          using <state-invar s> <state-α s ∈ I> by(rule rotate.hyps)
        thus ?thesis using None αNone <t ≠ t0> invar' queue'
          by(subst round-robin-reschedule.simps)(subst α.round-robin-reschedule.simps, auto simp add:
queue.enqueue-correct queue.push-correct)
      qed
    next
      case 2
      with queue' have [simp]: t' = t αqueue' = queue-α queue' queue-invar queue' by(auto elim:
queue.removeE)
      from 2 queue' have invar': round-robin-invar (queue-push t queue', n0) (dom (thr-α (thr s)))
      by(auto simp add: queue.push-correct)
      show ?case
      proof(cases round-robin-step n0 (queue-push t queue', n0) s t)
        case Some thus ?thesis
          using queue' <t ≠ t0> round-robin-step-correct[OF det invar' <state-invar s>, of n0 t] invar'
<state-α s ∈ I>
          by(subst round-robin-reschedule.simps)(auto simp add: round-robin-α-def queue.push-correct)
      next
        case None
        have αqueue' @ [t] = queue-α (queue-enqueue t queue') by(simp add: queue.enqueue-correct)

```

```

moreover from invar'
have round-robin-invar (queue-enqueue t queue', n0) (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s)))
  by(auto simp add: queue.enqueue-correct queue.push-correct)
ultimately
have case-option True ( $\lambda(t, taxm, \sigma)$ . round-robin-invar  $\sigma$  (case-option (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))) ( $\lambda(ta,$ 
 $x', m'). dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s)) \cup \{t. \exists x m. NewThread t x m \in set \{ta\}_t\} taxm))) (round-robin-reschedule
t0 (queue-enqueue t queue') n0 s)
  using <state-invar s> <state- $\alpha$  s \in I by(rule rotate.hyps)
  thus ?thesis using None <t \neq t0> invar' queue'
    by(subst round-robin-reschedule.simps)(auto simp add: queue.enqueue-correct queue.push-correct)
qed
}

qed

lemma round-robin-correct:
assumes det:  $\alpha$ .deterministic I
and invar: round-robin-invar  $\sigma$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))) state-invar s state- $\alpha$  s \in I
shows map-option (apsnd (apsnd round-robin- $\alpha$ )) (round-robin n0  $\sigma$  s) =
 $\alpha$ .round-robin n0 (round-robin- $\alpha$   $\sigma$ ) (state- $\alpha$  s)
(is ?thesis1)
and case-option True ( $\lambda(t, taxm, \sigma)$ . round-robin-invar  $\sigma$  (case taxm of None \Rightarrow dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))
| Some (ta, x', m') \Rightarrow dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s)) \cup \{t. \exists x m. NewThread t x m \in set \{ta\}_t\}) (round-robin
n0  $\sigma$  s)
(is ?thesis2)
proof -
obtain queue n where  $\sigma$ :  $\sigma = (queue, n)$  by(cases  $\sigma$ )
have ?thesis1 \wedge ?thesis2
proof(cases queue- $\alpha$  queue)
  case Nil thus ?thesis using invar  $\sigma$ 
    by(auto simp add: split-beta queue.isEmpty-correct round-robin- $\alpha$ -def)
next
  case (Cons t  $\alpha$  queue')
    with invar  $\sigma$  obtain queue'
      where [simp]: queue-dequeue queue = (t, queue')  $\alpha$  queue' = queue- $\alpha$  queue' queue-invar queue'
        by(auto elim: queue.removeE)
      from invar  $\sigma$  Cons have invar': round-robin-invar (queue-push t queue', n) (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s)))
        by(auto simp add: queue.push-correct)
      from invar  $\sigma$  Cons have invar'': round-robin-invar (queue-enqueue t queue', n0) (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr
s)))
        by(auto simp add: queue.enqueue-correct)
      show ?thesis
    proof(cases round-robin-step n0 (queue-push t queue', n) s t)
      case Some
        with  $\sigma$  Cons invar show ?thesis
          using round-robin-step-correct[OF det invar' <state-invar s>, of n0 t]
          by(auto simp add: queue.isEmpty-correct queue.push-correct round-robin- $\alpha$ -def)
    next
      case None
      from invar  $\sigma$  Cons have t \in set (queue- $\alpha$  (queue-enqueue t queue'))
        by(auto simp add: queue.enqueue-correct)
      from round-robin-reschedule-correct[OF det invar'' <state-invar s>, OF <state- $\alpha$  s \in I> this, of
n0] None  $\sigma$  Cons invar
        round-robin-step-correct[OF det invar' <state-invar s>, of n0 t]
      show ?thesis by(auto simp add: queue.isEmpty-correct queue.push-correct round-robin- $\alpha$ -def)
    qed
  qed
qed$ 
```

```

queue.enqueue-correct)
qed
qed
thus ?thesis1 ?thesis2 by simp-all
qed

lemma round-robin-scheduler-spec:
assumes det:  $\alpha.\text{deterministic } I$ 
shows scheduler-spec final r (round-robin n0) round-robin-invar  $\text{thr-}\alpha$   $\text{thr-invar}$   $\text{ws-}\alpha$   $\text{ws-invar}$   $\text{is-}\alpha$   $\text{is-invar } I$ 
proof
fix  $\sigma$  s
assume rr: round-robin n0  $\sigma$  s = None
and invar: round-robin-invar  $\sigma$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))) state-invar s state- $\alpha$  s  $\in I$ 
from round-robin-correct[OF det, OF invar, of n0] rr
have  $\alpha.\text{round-robin } n0 \ (\text{round-robin-}\alpha \ \sigma) \ (\text{state-}\alpha \ s) = \text{None}$  by simp
moreover from invar have Round-Robin.round-robin-invar (round-robin- $\alpha$   $\sigma$ ) (dom (thr (state- $\alpha$  s)))
by(simp add: round-robin-invar-correct)
ultimately show  $\alpha.\text{active-threads } (\text{state-}\alpha \ s) = \{\}$  by(rule  $\alpha.\text{round-robin-NoneD}$ )
next
fix  $\sigma$  s t  $\sigma'$ 
assume rr: round-robin n0  $\sigma$  s =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
and invar: round-robin-invar  $\sigma$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))) state-invar s state- $\alpha$  s  $\in I$ 
from round-robin-correct[OF det, OF invar, of n0] rr
have rr':  $\alpha.\text{round-robin } n0 \ (\text{round-robin-}\alpha \ \sigma) \ (\text{state-}\alpha \ s) = \lfloor(t, \text{None}, \text{round-robin-}\alpha \ \sigma')\rfloor$  by simp
then show  $\exists x \ln n. \ \text{thr-}\alpha \ (\text{thr } s) \ t = \lfloor(x, \ln)\rfloor \wedge 0 < \ln \$ n \wedge \neg \text{waiting } (\text{ws-}\alpha \ (\text{wset } s) \ t) \wedge$ 
may-acquire-all (locks s) t ln
by(rule  $\alpha.\text{round-robin-Some-NoneD}$ [where s=state- $\alpha$  s, unfolded state- $\alpha$ -conv])
next
fix  $\sigma$  s t ta x' m'  $\sigma'$ 
assume rr: round-robin n0  $\sigma$  s =  $\lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
and invar: round-robin-invar  $\sigma$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))) state-invar s state- $\alpha$  s  $\in I$ 
from round-robin-correct[OF det, OF invar, of n0] rr
have rr':  $\alpha.\text{round-robin } n0 \ (\text{round-robin-}\alpha \ \sigma) \ (\text{state-}\alpha \ s) = \lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \text{round-robin-}\alpha \ \sigma')\rfloor$  by simp
by simp
thus  $\exists x. \ \text{thr-}\alpha \ (\text{thr } s) \ t = \lfloor(x, \text{no-wait-locks})\rfloor \wedge \text{Predicate.eval } (r \ t \ (x, \text{shr } s)) \ (ta, x', m') \wedge$ 
 $\alpha.\text{actions-ok } (\text{state-}\alpha \ s) \ t \ ta$ 
using <state- $\alpha$  s  $\in I\alpha.\text{round-robin-Some-SomeD}$ [OF det, where s=state- $\alpha$  s, unfolded state- $\alpha$ -conv])
next
fix  $\sigma$  s t  $\sigma'$ 
assume rr: round-robin n0  $\sigma$  s =  $\lfloor(t, \text{None}, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
and invar: round-robin-invar  $\sigma$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))) state-invar s state- $\alpha$  s  $\in I$ 
from round-robin-correct[OF det, OF invar, of n0] rr
show round-robin-invar  $\sigma'$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))) by simp
next
fix  $\sigma$  s t ta x' m'  $\sigma'$ 
assume rr: round-robin n0  $\sigma$  s =  $\lfloor(t, \lfloor(ta, x', m')\rfloor, \sigma')\rfloor$ 
and invar: round-robin-invar  $\sigma$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s))) state-invar s state- $\alpha$  s  $\in I$ 
from round-robin-correct[OF det, OF invar, of n0] rr
show round-robin-invar  $\sigma'$  (dom (thr- $\alpha$  (thr s)))  $\cup \{t. \ \exists x \ m. \ \text{NewThread } t \ x \ m \in \text{set } \{\text{ta}\}_t\}$  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma round-robin-start-invar:
  round-robin-invar (round-robin-start n0 t0) {t0}
  by(simp add: round-robin-start-def queue.empty-correct queue.enqueue-correct)

end

sublocale round-robin-base <
  scheduler-base
  final r convert-RA
  round-robin n0 output pick-wakeup-via-sel ( $\lambda s P.$  ws-sel  $s (\lambda (k,v). P k v)$ ) round-robin-invar
  thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
  ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-delete ws-iterate
  is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
  for n0 .

sublocale round-robin <
  pick-wakeup-spec
  final r convert-RA
  pick-wakeup-via-sel ( $\lambda s P.$  ws-sel  $s (\lambda (k,v). P k v)$ ) round-robin-invar
  thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar
  ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar
  is- $\alpha$  is-invar
  by(rule pick-wakeup-spec-via-sel)(unfold-locales)

context round-robin begin

lemma round-robin-scheduler:
  assumes det:  $\alpha$ .deterministic I
  shows
  scheduler
  final r convert-RA
  (round-robin n0) (pick-wakeup-via-sel ( $\lambda s P.$  ws-sel  $s (\lambda (k,v). P k v)$ )) round-robin-invar
  thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar thr-lookup thr-update
  ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar ws-lookup ws-update ws-delete ws-iterate
  is- $\alpha$  is-invar is-memb is-ins is-delete
  I
proof -
  interpret scheduler-spec
  final r convert-RA
  round-robin n0 round-robin-invar
  thr- $\alpha$  thr-invar
  ws- $\alpha$  ws-invar
  is- $\alpha$  is-invar
  I
  using det by(rule round-robin-scheduler-spec)

  show ?thesis by(unfold-locales)(rule  $\alpha$ .deterministic-invariant3p[OF det])
qed

end

lemmas [code] =
  round-robin-base.queue-rotate1-def

```

```

round-robin-base.enqueue-new-thread.simps
round-robin-base.enqueue-new-threads-def
round-robin-base.round-robin-update-state.simps
round-robin-base.round-robin-reschedule.simps
round-robin-base.round-robin.simps
round-robin-base.round-robin-start-def

end
theory SC-Schedulers
imports
  Random-Scheduler
  Round-Robin
  ..//MM//SC-Collections
  ..//Basic//JT-ICF

begin

abbreviation sc-start-state-refine :: 
  'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  (thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  addr released-locks)  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t  $\Rightarrow$  'm-t)  $\Rightarrow$  'm-w  $\Rightarrow$  's-i
   $\Rightarrow$  (cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  ty list  $\Rightarrow$  ty  $\Rightarrow$  'md  $\Rightarrow$  addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  'x)  $\Rightarrow$  'md prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname
   $\Rightarrow$  addr val list
   $\Rightarrow$  (addr, thread-id, heap, 'm-t, 'm-w, 's-i) state-refine
where
   $\wedge$  is-empty.
  sc-start-state-refine thr-empty thr-update ws-empty is-empty f P  $\equiv$ 
  heap-base.start-state-refine addr2thread-id sc-empty (sc-allocate P) thr-empty thr-update ws-empty
  is-empty f P

abbreviation sc-state- $\alpha$  :: 
  ('l, 't :: linorder, 'm, ('t, 'x  $\times$  'l  $\Rightarrow$  f nat) rm, ('t, 'w wait-set-status) rm, 't rs) state-refine
   $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w) state
where sc-state- $\alpha$   $\equiv$  state-refine-base.state- $\alpha$  rm- $\alpha$  rm- $\alpha$  rs- $\alpha$ 

lemma sc-state- $\alpha$ -sc-start-state-refine [simp]:
  sc-state- $\alpha$  (sc-start-state-refine (rm-empty ()) rm-update (rm-empty ()) (rs-empty ()) f P C M vs) =
  sc-start-state f P C M vs
by(simp add: heap-base.start-state-refine-def state-refine-base.state- $\alpha$ .simps split-beta sc.start-state-def
rm-correct rs-correct)

locale sc-scheduler =
  scheduler
  final r convert-RA
  schedule output pick-wakeup  $\sigma$ -invar
  rm- $\alpha$  rm-invar rm-lookup rm-update
  rm- $\alpha$  rm-invar rm-lookup rm-update rm-delete rm-iteratei
  rs- $\alpha$  rs-invar rs-memb rs-ins rs-delete
  invariant
for final :: 'x  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  ('x  $\times$  'm)  $\Rightarrow$  (('l, 't :: linorder, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action  $\times$  'x  $\times$  'm) Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: 'l released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
and schedule :: ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o, ('t, 'x  $\times$  'l  $\Rightarrow$  f nat) rm, ('t, 'w wait-set-status) rm, 't rs, 's) scheduler
and output :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  'q option
and pick-wakeup :: 's  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  'w  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'w wait-set-status) RBT.rbt  $\Rightarrow$  't option

```

```

and  $\sigma$ -invar :: ' $s \Rightarrow 't$  set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and invariant :: (' $l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w$ ) state set

locale sc-round-robin-base =
  round-robin-base
    final r convert-RA output
    rm- $\alpha$  rm-invar rm-lookup rm-update
    rm- $\alpha$  rm-invar rm-lookup rm-update rm-delete rm-iteratei rm-sel
    rs- $\alpha$  rs-invar rs-memb rs-ins rs-delete
    fifo- $\alpha$  fifo-invar fifo-empty fifo-isEmpty fifo-enqueue fifo-dequeue fifo-push
  for final :: ' $x \Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: ' $t \Rightarrow ('x \times 'm) \Rightarrow (('l, 't :: linorder, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action \times 'x \times 'm)$  Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: ' $l$  released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
and output :: ' $t$  fifo round-robin  $\Rightarrow$  ' $t \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action \Rightarrow 'q option$ 

locale sc-round-robin =
  round-robin
    final r convert-RA output
    rm- $\alpha$  rm-invar rm-lookup rm-update
    rm- $\alpha$  rm-invar rm-lookup rm-update rm-delete rm-iteratei rm-sel
    rs- $\alpha$  rs-invar rs-memb rs-ins rs-delete
    fifo- $\alpha$  fifo-invar fifo-empty fifo-isEmpty fifo-enqueue fifo-dequeue fifo-push
  for final :: ' $x \Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: ' $t \Rightarrow ('x \times 'm) \Rightarrow (('l, 't :: linorder, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action \times 'x \times 'm)$  Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: ' $l$  released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
and output :: ' $t$  fifo round-robin  $\Rightarrow$  ' $t \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action \Rightarrow 'q option$ 

sublocale sc-round-robin < sc-round-robin-base .

locale sc-random-scheduler-base =
  random-scheduler-base
    final r convert-RA output
    rm- $\alpha$  rm-invar rm-lookup rm-update rm-iteratei
    rm- $\alpha$  rm-invar rm-lookup rm-update rm-delete rm-iteratei rm-sel
    rs- $\alpha$  rs-invar rs-memb rs-ins rs-delete
    lsi- $\alpha$  lsi-invar lsi-empty lsi-ins-dj lsi-to-list
  for final :: ' $x \Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: ' $t \Rightarrow ('x \times 'm) \Rightarrow (('l, 't :: linorder, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action \times 'x \times 'm)$  Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: ' $l$  released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
and output :: random-scheduler  $\Rightarrow$  ' $t \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action \Rightarrow 'q option$ 

locale sc-random-scheduler =
  random-scheduler
    final r convert-RA output
    rm- $\alpha$  rm-invar rm-lookup rm-update rm-iteratei
    rm- $\alpha$  rm-invar rm-lookup rm-update rm-delete rm-iteratei rm-sel
    rs- $\alpha$  rs-invar rs-memb rs-ins rs-delete
    lsi- $\alpha$  lsi-invar lsi-empty lsi-ins-dj lsi-to-list
  for final :: ' $x \Rightarrow$  bool
and r :: ' $t \Rightarrow ('x \times 'm) \Rightarrow (('l, 't :: linorder, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action \times 'x \times 'm)$  Predicate.pred
and convert-RA :: ' $l$  released-locks  $\Rightarrow$  'o list
and output :: random-scheduler  $\Rightarrow$  ' $t \Rightarrow ('l, 't, 'x, 'm, 'w, 'o) thread-action \Rightarrow 'q option$ 

sublocale sc-random-scheduler < sc-random-scheduler-base .

```

No spurious wake-ups in generated code

```
overloading sc-spurious-wakeups ≡ sc-spurious-wakeups
begin
  definition sc-spurious-wakeups [code]: sc-spurious-wakeups ≡ False
end

end
```

9.5 Tabulation for lookup functions

```
theory TypeRelRefine
imports
  .. / Common / TypeRel
  HOL-Library.AList-Mapping
begin
```

9.5.1 Auxiliary lemmata

```
lemma rtranclp-tranclpE:
```

```
  assumes  $r^{\wedge\wedge} x y$ 
  obtains (refl)  $x = y$ 
    | (trancl)  $r^{\wedge\wedge} x y$ 
```

```
using assms
```

```
by(cases)(blast dest: rtranclp-into-tranclp1)+
```

```
lemma map-of-map2: map-of (map ( $\lambda(k, v). (k, f k v)$ ) xs) k = map-option (f k) (map-of xs k)
by(induct xs) auto
```

```
lemma map-of-map-K: map-of (map ( $\lambda k. (k, c)$ ) xs) k = (if  $k \in \text{set xs}$  then Some c else None)
by(induct xs) auto
```

```
lift-definition map-values :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) mapping  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'c) mapping
is  $\lambda f m k. \text{map-option } (f k) (m k)$ .
```

```
lemma map-values-Mapping [simp]:
```

```
  map-values f (Mapping.Mapping m) = Mapping.Mapping ( $\lambda k. \text{map-option } (f k) (m k)$ )
by(rule map-values.abs-eq)
```

```
lemma map-Mapping: Mapping.map f g (Mapping.Mapping m) = Mapping.Mapping (map-option g  $\circ$  m  $\circ$  f)
by(rule map.abs-eq)
```

```
abbreviation subclst :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where subclst P ≡ (subcls1 P)  $^{\wedge\wedge}$ 
```

9.5.2 Representation type for tabulated lookup functions

```
type-synonym
```

```
'm prog-impl' =
'm cdecl list' ×
(cname, 'm class) mapping ×
(cname, cname set) mapping ×
(cname, (vname, cname × ty × fmod) mapping) mapping ×
```

```

(cname, (mname, cname × ty list × ty × 'm option) mapping) mapping

lift-definition tabulate-class :: 'm cdecl list ⇒ (cname, 'm class) mapping
is class o Program .

lift-definition tabulate-subcls :: 'm cdecl list ⇒ (cname, cname set) mapping
is λP C. if is-class (Program P) C then Some {D. Program P ⊢ C ⊑* D} else None .

lift-definition tabulate-sees-field :: 'm cdecl list ⇒ (cname, (vname, cname × ty × fmod) mapping)
mapping
is λP C. if is-class (Program P) C then
    Some (λF. if ∃T fm D. Program P ⊢ C sees F:T (fm) in D then Some (field (Program P) C F) else None)
    else None .

lift-definition tabulate-Method :: 'm cdecl list ⇒ (cname, (mname, cname × ty list × ty × 'm option) mapping)
mapping
is λP C. if is-class (Program P) C then
    Some (λM. if ∃Ts T mthd D. Program P ⊢ C sees M:Ts→T=mthd in D then Some (method (Program P) C M) else None)
    else None .

fun wf-prog-impl' :: 'm prog-impl' ⇒ bool
where
  wf-prog-impl' (P, c, s, f, m) ←→
    c = tabulate-class P ∧
    s = tabulate-subcls P ∧
    f = tabulate-sees-field P ∧
    m = tabulate-Method P

```

9.5.3 Implementation type for tabulated lookup functions

```

typedef 'm prog-impl = {P :: 'm prog-impl'. wf-prog-impl' P}
  morphisms impl-of ProgRefine
proof
  show ([] , Mapping.empty , Mapping.empty , Mapping.empty , Mapping.empty) ∈ ?prog-impl
    apply clar simp
    by transfer (simp-all add: fun-eq-iff is-class-def rel-funI)
qed

lemma impl-of-ProgImpl [simp]:
  wf-prog-impl' Pfsm ⇒ impl-of (ProgRefine Pfsm) = Pfsm
by(simp add: ProgRefine-inverse)

definition program :: 'm prog-impl ⇒ 'm prog
where program = Program o fst o impl-of

code-datatype program

lemma prog-impl-eq-iff:
  Pi = Pi' ←→ program Pi = program Pi' for Pi Pi'
apply(cases Pi)
apply(cases Pi')
apply(auto simp add: ProgRefine-inverse program-def ProgRefine-inject)

```

done

```

lemma wf-prog-impl'-impl-of [simp, intro!]:
  wf-prog-impl' (impl-of Pi) for Pi
using impl-of[of Pi] by simp

lemma ProgImpl-impl-of [simp, code abstype]:
  ProgRefine (impl-of Pi) = Pi for Pi
by(rule impl-of-inverse)

lemma program-ProgRefine [simp]: wf-prog-impl' Psfm  $\implies$  program (ProgRefine Psfm) = Program (fst Psfm)
by(simp add: program-def)

lemma classes-program [code]: classes (program P) = fst (impl-of P)
by(simp add: program-def)

lemma class-program [code]: class (program Pi) = Mapping.lookup (fst (snd (impl-of Pi))) for Pi
by(cases Pi)(clar simp simp add: tabulate-class-def lookup.rep-eq Mapping-inverse)

```

9.5.4 Refining sub class and lookup functions to use precomputed mappings

declare subcls'.equation [code del]

```

lemma subcls'-program [code]:
  subcls' (program Pi) C D  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  C = D  $\vee$ 
  (case Mapping.lookup (fst (snd (snd (impl-of Pi)))) C of None  $\Rightarrow$  False
   | Some m  $\Rightarrow$  D  $\in$  m) for Pi
apply(cases Pi)
apply(clar simp simp add: subcls'-def tabulate-subcls-def lookup.rep-eq Mapping-inverse)
apply(auto elim!: rtranclp-tranclpE dest: subcls-is-class intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)
done

lemma subcls'-i-i-i-program [code]:
  subcls'-i-i-i P C D = (if subcls' P C D then Predicate.single () else bot)
by(rule pred-eqI)(auto elim: subcls'-i-i-iE intro: subcls'-i-i-iI)

lemma subcls'-i-i-o-program [code]:
  subcls'-i-i-o (program Pi) C =
  sup (Predicate.single C) (case Mapping.lookup (fst (snd (snd (impl-of Pi)))) C of None  $\Rightarrow$  bot | Some m  $\Rightarrow$  pred-of-set m) for Pi
by(cases Pi)(fastforce simp add: subcls'-i-i-o-def subcls'-def tabulate-subcls-def lookup.rep-eq Mapping-inverse
intro!: pred-eqI split: if-split-asm elim: rtranclp-tranclpE dest: subcls-is-class intro: tranclp-into-rtranclp)

```

```

lemma rtranclp-FioB-i-i-subcls1-i-i-o-code [code-unfold]:
  rtranclp-FioB-i-i (subcls1-i-i-o P) = subcls'-i-i-i P
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff subcls1-i-i-o-def subcls'-def rtranclp-FioB-i-i-def subcls'-i-i-i-def)

```

```

declare Method.equation[code del]
lemma Method-program [code]:
  program Pi  $\vdash$  C sees M:Ts $\rightarrow$ T=meth in D  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  (case Mapping.lookup (snd (snd (snd (snd (impl-of Pi))))) C of
   None  $\Rightarrow$  False

```

```

| Some m =>
  (case Mapping.lookup m M of
    None => False
    | Some (D', Ts', T', meth') => Ts = Ts' ∧ T = T' ∧ meth = meth' ∧ D = D') for Pi
by(cases Pi)(auto split: if-split-asm dest: sees-method-is-class simp add: tabulate-Method-def lookup.rep-eq
Mapping-inverse)

lemma Method-i-i-i-o-o-o-program [code]:
  Method-i-i-i-o-o-o-o (program Pi) C M =
  (case Mapping.lookup (snd (snd (snd (snd (impl-of Pi))))) C of
    None => bot
  | Some m =>
    (case Mapping.lookup m M of
      None => bot
      | Some (D, Ts, T, meth) => Predicate.single (Ts, T, meth, D)) for Pi
by(auto simp add: Method-i-i-i-o-o-o-def Method-program intro!: pred-eqI)

lemma Method-i-i-i-o-o-o-i-program [code]:
  Method-i-i-i-o-o-o-i (program Pi) C M D =
  (case Mapping.lookup (snd (snd (snd (snd (impl-of Pi))))) C of
    None => bot
  | Some m =>
    (case Mapping.lookup m M of
      None => bot
      | Some (D', Ts, T, meth) => if D = D' then Predicate.single (Ts, T, meth) else bot)) for Pi
by(auto simp add: Method-i-i-i-o-o-o-i-def Method-program intro!: pred-eqI)

declare sees-field.equation[code del]

lemma sees-field-program [code]:
  program Pi ⊢ C sees F:T (fd) in D ↔
  (case Mapping.lookup (fst (snd (snd (snd (impl-of Pi))))) C of
    None => False
  | Some m =>
    (case Mapping.lookup m F of
      None => False
      | Some (D', T', fd') => T = T' ∧ fd = fd' ∧ D = D') for Pi
by(cases Pi)(auto split: if-split-asm dest: has-visible-field[THEN has-field-is-class] simp add: tabulate-sees-field-def lookup.rep-eq Mapping-inverse)

lemma sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-program [code]:
  sees-field-i-i-i-o-o (program Pi) C F =
  (case Mapping.lookup (fst (snd (snd (snd (impl-of Pi))))) C of
    None => bot
  | Some m =>
    (case Mapping.lookup m F of
      None => bot
      | Some (D, T, fd) => Predicate.single(T, fd, D)) for Pi
by(auto simp add: sees-field-program sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-def intro: pred-eqI)

lemma sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-i-program [code]:
  sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-i (program Pi) C F D =
  (case Mapping.lookup (fst (snd (snd (snd (impl-of Pi))))) C of
    None => bot

```

```

| Some m =>
  (case Mapping.lookup m F of
    None => bot
  | Some (D', T, fd) => if D = D' then Predicate.single(T, fd) else bot)) for Pi
by(auto simp add: sees-field-program sees-field-i-i-i-o-o-i-def intro: pred-eqI)

lemma field-program [code]:
  field (program Pi) C F =
  (case Mapping.lookup (fst (snd (snd (snd (impl-of Pi))))) C of
    None => Code.abort (STR "not-unique") (λ_. Predicate.the bot)
  | Some m =>
    (case Mapping.lookup m F of
      None => Code.abort (STR "not-unique") (λ_. Predicate.the bot)
    | Some (D', T, fd) => (D', T, fd))) for Pi
unfolding field-def
by(cases Pi)(fastforce simp add: Predicate.the-def tabulate-sees-field-def lookup.rep-eq Mapping-inverse
split: if-split-asm intro: arg-cong[where f=The] dest: has-visible-field[THEN has-field-is-class] sees-field-fun)

```

9.5.5 Implementation for precomputing mappings

```

definition tabulate-program :: 'm cdeel list => 'm prog-impl
where tabulate-program P = ProgRefine (P, tabulate-class P, tabulate-subcls P, tabulate-sees-field P,
tabulate-Method P)

```

```

lemma impl-of-tabulate-program [code abstract]:
  impl-of (tabulate-program P) = (P, tabulate-class P, tabulate-subcls P, tabulate-sees-field P, tabulate-Method P)
by(simp add: tabulate-program-def)

```

```

lemma Program-code [code]:
  Program = program o tabulate-program
by(simp add: program-def fun-eq-iff tabulate-program-def)

```

class

```

lemma tabulate-class-code [code]:
  tabulate-class = Mapping.of-alist
  by transfer (simp add: fun-eq-iff)

```

subcls

```

inductive subcls1' :: 'm cdeel list => cname => cname => bool
where
  find: C ≠ Object ==> subcls1' ((C, D, rest) # P) C D
  | step: [ C ≠ Object; C ≠ C'; subcls1' P C D ] ==> subcls1' ((C', D', rest) # P) C D

```

code-pred

```

(modes: i => i => o => bool)
subcls1'.

```

```

lemma subcls1-into-subcls1':
  assumes subcls1 (Program P) C D
  shows subcls1' P C D
proof -
  from assms obtain rest where map-of P C = [(D, rest)] C ≠ Object by cases simp

```

```

thus ?thesis by(induct P)(auto split: if-split-asm intro: subcls1'.intros)
qed

lemma subcls1'-into-subcls1:
  assumes subcls1' P C D
  shows subcls1 (Program P) C D
using assms
proof(induct)
  case find thus ?case by(auto intro: subcls1.intros)
next
  case step thus ?case by(auto elim!: subcls1.cases intro: subcls1.intros)
qed

lemma subcls1-eq-subcls1':
  subcls1 (Program P) = subcls1' P
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff intro: subcls1-into-subcls1' subcls1'-into-subcls1)

definition subcls'' :: 'm cdecl list ⇒ cname ⇒ cname ⇒ bool
where subcls'' P = (subcls1' P) ^**

code-pred
  (modes: i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ bool)
  [inductify]
  subcls''.

lemma subcls''-eq-subcls: subcls'' P = subcls (Program P)
by(simp add: subcls''-def subcls1-eq-subcls1')

lemma subclst-snd-classD:
  assumes subclst (Program P) C D
  shows D ∈ fst ` snd ` set P
using assms
by(induct)(fastforce elim!: subcls1.cases dest!: map-of-SomeD intro: rev-image-eqI)+

definition check-acyclicity :: (cname, cname set) mapping ⇒ 'm cdecl list ⇒ unit
where check-acyclicity - - = ()

definition cyclic-class-hierarchy :: unit
where [code del]: cyclic-class-hierarchy = ()

declare [[code abort: cyclic-class-hierarchy]]

lemma check-acyclicity-code:
  check-acyclicity mapping P =
  (let - =
    map (λ(C, D, -).
      if C = Object then ()
      else
        (case Mapping.lookup mapping D of
          None ⇒ ()
          | Some Cs ⇒ if C ∈ Cs then cyclic-class-hierarchy else ()))
    P
    in ())
  by simp

```

```

lemma tablulate-subcls-code [code]:
  tabulate-subcls P =
    (let cnames = map fst P;
     cnames' = map (fst ∘ snd) P;
     mapping = Mapping.tabulate cnames (λC. set (C # [D ← cnames'. subcls'' P C D]));
     - = check-acyclicity mapping P
     in mapping
   )
apply(auto simp add: tabulate-subcls-def Mapping.tabulate-def fun-eq-iff is-class-def o-def map-of-map2[simplified split-def] Mapping-inject)
apply(subst map-of-map2[simplified split-def])
apply(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff subcls''-eq-subcls map-of-map-K dest: subclst-snd-classD elim: rtran-clp-tranclpE)[1]
apply(subst map-of-map2[simplified split-def])
apply(rule sym)
apply simp
apply(case-tac map-of P x)
apply auto
done

```

Fields

Problem: Does not terminate for cyclic class hierarchies! This problem already occurs in Jinja's well-formedness checker: *wf-cdecl* calls *wf-mdecl* before checking for acyclicity, but *wf-J-mdecl* involves the type judgements, which in turn requires *Fields* (via *sees-field*). Checking acyclicity before executing *Fields'* for tabulation is difficult because we would have to intertwine tabulation and well-formedness checking. Possible (local) solution: additional termination parameter (like memoisation for *rtranclp*) and list option as error return parameter.

inductive

```

Fields' :: 'm cdecl list ⇒ cname ⇒ ((vname × cname) × (ty × fmod)) list ⇒ bool
for P :: 'm cdecl list
where
  rec:
    [] map-of P C = Some(D,fs,ms); C ≠ Object; Fields' P D FDTs;
      FDTs' = map (λ(F,Tm). ((F,C),Tm)) fs @ FDTs []
    ⇒ Fields' P C FDTs'
  | Object:
    [] map-of P Object = Some(D,fs,ms); FDTs = map (λ(F,T). ((F, Object), T)) fs []
    ⇒ Fields' P Object FDTs

```

```

lemma Fields'-into-Fields:
  assumes Fields' P C FDTs
  shows Program P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs
  using assms
  by induct(auto intro: Fields.intros)

```

```

lemma Fields-into-Fields':
  assumes Program P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs
  shows Fields' P C FDTs
  using assms
  by induct(auto intro: Fields'.intros)

```

```

lemma Fields'-eq-Fields:
  Fields' P = Fields (Program P)
by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff intro: Fields'-into-Fields Fields-into-Fields')

code-pred
  (modes: i ⇒ i ⇒ o ⇒ bool)
  Fields' .

definition fields' :: 'm cdecl list ⇒ cname ⇒ ((vname × cname) × (ty × fmod)) list
where fields' P C = (if ∃ FDTs. Fields' P C FDTs then THE FDTs. Fields' P C FDTs else [])

lemma eval-Fields'-conv:
  Predicate.eval (Fields'-i-i-o P C) = Fields' P C
by(auto intro: Fields'-i-i-oI elim: Fields'-i-i-oE intro!: ext)

lemma fields'-code [code]:
  fields' P C =
    (let FDTs = Fields'-i-i-o P C in if Predicate.holds (FDTs ≈ (λ-. Predicate.single ())) then Predicate.the FDTs else [])
by(auto simp add: fields'-def holds-eq Fields'-i-i-o-def intro: Fields'-i-i-oI Predicate.the-eqI[THEN sym])

lemma The-Fields [simp]:
  P ⊢ C has-fields FDTs ⟹ The (Fields P C) = FDTs
by(auto dest: has-fields-fun)

lemma tabulate-sees-field-code [code]:
  tabulate-sees-field P =
    Mapping.tabulate (map fst P) (λC. Mapping.of-alist (map (λ((F, D), Tfm). (F, (D, Tfm))) (fields' P C)))
apply(simp add: tabulate-sees-field-def tabulate-def is-class-def fields'-def Fields'-eq-Fields Mapping-inject)
apply(rule ext)
apply clar simp
apply(rule conjI)
apply(clar simp simp add: o-def)
apply(subst map-of-map2[unfolded split-def])
apply simp
apply transfer
apply(rule conjI)
apply clar simp
apply(rule ext)
apply clar simp
apply(rule conjI)
apply(clar simp simp add: sees-field-def Fields'-eq-Fields)
apply(drule (1) has-fields-fun, clar simp)
apply clarify
apply(rule sym)
apply(rule ccontr)
apply(clar simp simp add: sees-field-def Fields'-eq-Fields)
apply clar simp
apply(rule ext)
apply(clar simp simp add: sees-field-def)
apply(clar simp simp add: o-def)
apply(subst map-of-map2[simplified split-def])

```

```

apply(rule sym)
apply(clarsimp)
apply(rule ccontr)
apply simp
done

```

Methods

Same termination problem as for *Fields'*

```

inductive Methods' :: ' $m$  cdecl list  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  ( $mname \times (ty list \times ty \times 'm option) \times cname$ ) list
 $\Rightarrow$  bool
  for  $P$  :: ' $m$  cdecl list
  where
    | [] map-of  $P$  Object = Some( $D, fs, ms$ );  $Mm = map (\lambda(M, rest). (M, (rest, Object))) ms$  []
       $\implies$  Methods'  $P$  Object  $Mm$ 
    | [] map-of  $P$  C = Some( $D, fs, ms$ );  $C \neq Object$ ; Methods'  $P$  D  $Mm$ ;
       $Mm' = map (\lambda(M, rest). (M, (rest, C))) ms @ Mm$  []
       $\implies$  Methods'  $P$  C  $Mm'$ 

```

```

lemma Methods'-into-Methods:
  assumes Methods'  $P$  C  $Mm$ 
  shows Program  $P \vdash C$  sees-methods (map-of  $Mm$ )
  using assms
  apply induct
  apply(clarsimp simp add: o-def split-def)
  apply(rule sees-methods-Object)
  apply fastforce
  apply(rule ext)
  apply(subst map-of-map2[unfolded split-def])
  apply(simp add: o-def)

  apply(rule sees-methods-rec)
  apply fastforce
  apply simp
  apply assumption
  apply(clarsimp simp add: map-add-def map-of-map2)
done

```

```

lemma Methods-into-Methods':
  assumes Program  $P \vdash C$  sees-methods  $Mm$ 
  shows  $\exists Mm'. Methods' P C Mm' \wedge Mm = map-of Mm'$ 
  using assms
  by induct(auto intro: Methods'.intros simp add: map-of-map2 map-add-def)

```

```

code-pred
  (modes:  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o \Rightarrow bool$ )
  Methods'
  .

```

```

definition methods' :: ' $m$  cdecl list  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  ( $mname \times (ty list \times ty \times 'm option) \times cname$ ) list
where methods'  $P$  C = (if  $\exists Mm.$  Methods'  $P$  C  $Mm$  then THE  $Mm.$  Methods'  $P$  C  $Mm$  else [])

```

```

lemma methods'-code [code]:
  methods'  $P$  C =

```

```

(let Mm = Methods'-i-i-o P C
  in if Predicate.holds (Mm ≈= (λ-. Predicate.single ())) then Predicate.the Mm else [])
unfolding methods'-def
by(auto simp add: holds-eq Methods'-i-i-o-def Predicate.the-def)

lemma Methods'-fun:
  assumes Methods' P C Mm
  shows Methods' P C Mm' ≈> Mm = Mm'
using assms
apply(induct arbitrary: Mm')
  apply(fastforce elim: Methods'.cases)
  apply(rotate-tac -1)
  apply(erule Methods'.cases)
  apply(fastforce)
  apply clarify
  apply(simp)
done

lemma The-Methods' [simp]: Methods' P C Mm ≈> The (Methods' P C) = Mm
by(auto dest: Methods'-fun)

lemma methods-def2 [simp]: Methods' P C Mm ≈> methods' P C = Mm
by(auto simp add: methods'-def)

lemma tabulate-Method-code [code]:
  tabulate-Method P =
    Mapping.tabulate (map fst P) (λC. Mapping.of-alist (map (λ(M, (rest, D)). (M, D, rest)) (methods'
P C)))
  apply(simp add: tabulate-Method-def tabulate-def o-def lookup.rep-eq Mapping-inject)
  apply(rule ext)
  apply clarsimp
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply clarify
  apply(rule sym)
  apply(subst map-of-map2[unfolded split-def])
  apply(simp add: is-class-def)
  apply transfer
  apply(rule ext)
  apply(simp add: map-of-map2)
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply(clarsimp simp add: map-of-map2 Method-def)
  apply(drule Methods-into-Methods')
  applyclarsimp
  apply(simp add: split-def)
  apply(subst map-of-map2[unfolded split-def])
  apply simp
  apply clarify
  apply(clarsimp simp add: methods'-def)
  apply(frule Methods'-into-Methods)
  apply(clarsimp simp add: Method-def)
  apply(simp add: split-def)
  apply(subst map-of-map2[unfolded split-def])
  apply(fastforce intro: ccontr)
  apply clarify

```

```

apply(rule sym)
apply(simp add: map-of-eq-None-iff is-class-def)
apply(simp only: set-map[symmetric] map-map o-def fst-conv)
apply simp
done

```

Merge modules TypeRel, Decl and TypeRelRefine to avoid cyclic modules

```

code-identifier
code-module TypeRel →
  (SML) TypeRel and (Haskell) TypeRel and (OCaml) TypeRel
| code-module TypeRelRefine →
  (SML) TypeRel and (Haskell) TypeRel and (OCaml) TypeRel
| code-module Decl →
  (SML) TypeRel and (Haskell) TypeRel and (OCaml) TypeRel

```

ML-val <@{code Program}>

end

```

theory PCompilerRefine
imports
  TypeRelRefine
  ..../Compiler/PCompiler
begin

```

9.5.6 compP

Applying the compiler to a tabulated program either compiles every method twice (once for the program itself and once for method lookup) or recomputes the class and method lookup tabulation from scratch. We follow the second approach.

```

fun compP-code' :: (cname ⇒ mname ⇒ ty list ⇒ ty ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a prog-impl' ⇒ 'b prog-impl'
where
  compP-code' f (P, Cs, s, F, m) =
  (let P' = map (compC f) P
   in (P', tabulate-class P', s, F, tabulate-Method P'))

```

```

definition compP-code :: (cname ⇒ mname ⇒ ty list ⇒ ty ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a prog-impl ⇒ 'b prog-impl
where compP-code f P = ProgRefine (compP-code' f (impl-of P))

```

declare compP.simps [simp del] compP.simps[symmetric, simp]

```

lemma compP-code-code [code abstract]:
  impl-of (compP-code f P) = compP-code' f (impl-of P)
apply(cases P)
apply(simp add: compP-code-def)
apply(subst ProgRefine-inverse)
apply(auto simp add: tabulate-subcls-def tabulate-sees-field-def Mapping-inject intro!: ext)
done

```

declare compP.simps [simp] compP.simps[symmetric, simp del]

lemma compP-program [code]:

$\text{compP } f \ (\text{program } P) = \text{program } (\text{compP-code } f P)$
by(cases P)($\text{clarsimp simp add: program-def compP-code-code}$)

Merge module names to avoid cycles in module dependency

```

code-identifier
  code-module  $P\text{Compiler} \rightarrow$ 
    (SML)  $P\text{Compiler}$  and (OCaml)  $P\text{Compiler}$  and (Haskell)  $P\text{Compiler}$ 
  | code-module  $P\text{CompilerRefine} \rightarrow$ 
    (SML)  $P\text{Compiler}$  and (OCaml)  $P\text{Compiler}$  and (Haskell)  $P\text{Compiler}$ 

ML-val <@{code compP}>

end
```

9.6 Executable semantics for J

```

theory J-Execute
imports
  SC-Schedulers
  ..//J//Threaded
begin

interpretation  $sc$ :
   $J\text{-heap-base}$ 
   $addr2thread-id$ 
   $thread-id2addr$ 
   $sc\text{-spurious-wakeups}$ 
   $sc\text{-empty}$ 
   $sc\text{-allocate } P$ 
   $sc\text{-typeof-addr}$ 
   $sc\text{-heap-read}$ 
   $sc\text{-heap-write}$ 
  for  $P$  .
```

abbreviation $sc\text{-red} ::$

$$((addr, thread-id, heap) \text{ external-thread-action} \Rightarrow (addr, thread-id, 'o, heap) \text{ Jinja-thread-action})$$

$$\Rightarrow addr \text{ J-prog} \Rightarrow thread-id \Rightarrow addr \text{ expr} \Rightarrow heap \times addr \text{ locals}$$

$$\Rightarrow (addr, thread-id, 'o, heap) \text{ Jinja-thread-action} \Rightarrow addr \text{ expr} \Rightarrow heap \times addr \text{ locals} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$$

$$(-,-,- \vdash sc ((1\langle -,/\rangle) \dashrightarrow / (1\langle -,/\rangle)) [51,51,0,0,0,0,0,0] 81)$$

where

$$sc\text{-red extTA } P \equiv sc\text{.red } (\text{TYPE}(addr \text{ J-}mb)) \ P \text{ extTA } P$$

fun $sc\text{-red-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o\text{-}o\text{-}o$

where

$$sc\text{-red-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o\text{-}o\text{-}o \ P \ t \ ((e, xs), h) =$$

$$red\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o\text{-}o\text{-}o$$

$$addr2thread-id \text{ thread-id2addr } sc\text{-spurious-wakeups}$$

$$sc\text{-empty } (sc\text{-allocate } P) \ sc\text{-typeof-addr } sc\text{-heap-read-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o \ sc\text{-heap-write-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o$$

$$(extTA2J \ P) \ P \ t \ e \ (h, xs)$$

$$\ggg (\lambda(ta, e, h, xs). \text{ Predicate.single } (ta, (e, xs), h))$$

abbreviation $sc\text{-J-start-state-refine} ::$

$$addr \text{ J-prog} \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow addr \text{ val list} \Rightarrow$$

$(addr, thread-id, heap, (thread-id, (addr expr \times addr locals) \times addr released-locks) rm, (thread-id, addr wait-set-status) rm, thread-id rs) state-refine$

where

$sc\text{-}J\text{-}start\text{-}state\text{-}refine \equiv$
 $sc\text{-}start\text{-}state\text{-}refine$
 $(rm\text{-}empty ()) rm\text{-}update (rm\text{-}empty ()) (rs\text{-}empty ())$
 $(\lambda C M Ts T (pns, body) vs. (blocks (this \# pns) (Class C \# Ts) (Null \# vs) body, Map.empty))$

lemma eval-sc-red-i-i-i-i-i-Fii-i-oB-Fii-i-oB-i-i-i-i-o-o-o:

$(\lambda t xm ta x'm'. Predicate.eval (sc\text{-}red\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o\text{-}o\text{-}o P t xm) (ta, x'm'))$

=

$(\lambda t ((e, xs), h) ta ((e', xs'), h'). ext{TA2J} P, P, t \vdash sc \langle e, (h, xs) \rangle - ta \rightarrow \langle e', (h', xs') \rangle)$

by (auto elim!: red-i-i-i-i-i-Fii-i-oB-Fii-i-oB-i-i-i-i-o-o-oE intro!: red-i-i-i-i-i-Fii-i-oB-Fii-i-oB-i-i-i-i-o-o-oI ext SUP1-I simp add: eval-sc-heap-write-i-i-i-i-o eval-sc-heap-read-i-i-i-o)

lemma sc-J-start-state-invar: ($\lambda_. True$) ($sc\text{-}state\text{-}\alpha (sc\text{-}J\text{-}start\text{-}state\text{-}refine P C M vs)$)

by simp

9.6.1 Round-robin scheduler

interpretation J-rr:

$sc\text{-}round\text{-}robin\text{-}base$
 $final\text{-}expr sc\text{-}red\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o\text{-}o\text{-}o P convert\text{-}RA Ninja\text{-}output$
for P

.

definition sc-rr-J-start-state :: nat \Rightarrow 'm prog \Rightarrow thread-id fifo round-robin

where $sc\text{-}rr\text{-}J\text{-}start\text{-}state n0 P = J\text{-}rr.\text{round-robin-start} n0 (sc\text{-}start\text{-}tid P)$

definition exec-J-rr ::

$nat \Rightarrow addr J\text{-}prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow addr val list \Rightarrow$
 $(thread-id \times (addr, thread-id) obs\text{-}event list,$
 $(addr, thread-id) locks \times ((thread-id, (addr expr \times addr locals) \times addr released-locks) rm \times heap)$
 \times
 $(thread-id, addr wait-set-status) rm \times thread-id rs) tllist$

where

$exec\text{-}J\text{-}rr n0 P C M vs = J\text{-}rr.\text{exec} P n0 (sc\text{-}rr\text{-}J\text{-}start\text{-}state n0 P) (sc\text{-}J\text{-}start\text{-}state\text{-}refine P C M vs)$

interpretation J-rr:

$sc\text{-}round\text{-}robin$
 $final\text{-}expr sc\text{-}red\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o\text{-}o\text{-}o P convert\text{-}RA Ninja\text{-}output$
for P
by (unfold-locales)

interpretation J-rr:

$sc\text{-}scheduler$
 $final\text{-}expr sc\text{-}red\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o\text{-}o\text{-}o P convert\text{-}RA$
 $J\text{-}rr.\text{round-robin} P n0 Ninja\text{-}output pick\text{-}wakeup\text{-}via\text{-}sel (\lambda s P. rm\text{-}sel s (\lambda(k, v). P k v)) J\text{-}rr.\text{round-robin\text{-}invar}$
 $UNIV$
for $P n0$
unfolding sc-scheduler-def
apply(rule J-rr.round-robin-scheduler)
apply(unfold eval-sc-red-i-i-i-i-i-Fii-i-oB-Fii-i-oB-i-i-i-i-o-o-o)
apply(rule sc.red-mthr-deterministic[OF sc-deterministic-heap-ops])

```
apply(simp add: sc-spurious-wakeups)
done
```

9.6.2 Random scheduler

interpretation $J\text{-}rnd$:

sc-random-scheduler-base

final-expr $sc\text{-}red\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o\text{-}o\text{-}o$ P *convert-RA* *Jinja-output*
for P

.

definition $sc\text{-}rnd}\text{-}J\text{-}start\text{-}state :: Random.seed \Rightarrow random\text{-}scheduler$

where $sc\text{-}rnd}\text{-}J\text{-}start\text{-}state seed = seed$

definition $exec\text{-}J\text{-}rnd ::$

$Random.seed \Rightarrow addr J\text{-}prog \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow addr val list \Rightarrow$
 $(thread-id \times (addr, thread-id)) obs\text{-}event list,$
 $(addr, thread-id) locks \times ((thread-id, (addr expr \times addr locals) \times addr released\text{-}locks) rm \times heap)$
 \times
 $(thread-id, addr wait\text{-}set\text{-}status) rm \times thread-id rs) tllist$
where
 $exec\text{-}J\text{-}rnd seed P C M vs = J\text{-}rnd.exec P (sc\text{-}rnd}\text{-}J\text{-}start\text{-}state seed) (sc\text{-}J\text{-}start\text{-}state\text{-}refine P C M$
 $vs)$

interpretation $J\text{-}rnd$:

sc-random-scheduler

final-expr $sc\text{-}red\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o\text{-}o\text{-}o$ P *convert-RA* *Jinja-output*

for P

by(*unfold-locals*)

interpretation $J\text{-}rnd$:

sc-scheduler

final-expr $sc\text{-}red\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}Fii\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}oB\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}i\text{-}o\text{-}o\text{-}o$ P *convert-RA*

$J\text{-}rnd.random\text{-}scheduler P$ *Jinja-output* *pick-wakeup-via-sel* $(\lambda s P. rm\text{-}sel s (\lambda(k,v). P k v)) \lambda\text{-} -.$
True

UNIV

for P

unfolding *sc-scheduler-def*

apply(*rule* $J\text{-}rnd.random\text{-}scheduler\text{-}scheduler$)

apply(*unfold eval-sc-red-i-i-i-i-i-Fii-i-oB-Fii-i-oB-i-i-i-i-o-o-o*)

apply(*rule* $sc\text{.}red\text{-}mthr\text{-}deterministic[OF sc\text{-}deterministic\text{-}heap\text{-}ops]$)

apply(simp add: sc-spurious-wakeups)

done

ML-val <@{code exec-J-rr}>

ML-val <@{code exec-J-rnd}>

end

9.7 Executable semantics for the JVM

theory *ExternalCall-Execute*
imports

```
.. / Common / ExternalCall
.. / Basic / Set-without-equal
begin
```

9.7.1 Translated versions of external calls for the JVM

```
locale heap-execute = addr-base +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
  fixes spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ htype option
  and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val set
  and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap set

sublocale heap-execute < execute: heap-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr
  λh a ad v. v ∈ heap-read h a ad λh a ad v h'. h' ∈ heap-write h a ad v
  .

context heap-execute begin

definition heap-copy-loc :: 'addr ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'heap ⇒ (('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event list × 'heap) set
where [simp]:
  heap-copy-loc a a' al h = {(obs, h'). execute.heap-copy-loc a a' al h obs h'}
```

lemma heap-copy-loc-code:

```
  heap-copy-loc a a' al h =
  (do {
    v ← heap-read h a al;
    h' ← heap-write h a' al v;
    {[ReadMem a al v, WriteMem a' al v], h'})}
```

by(auto simp add: execute.heap-copy-loc.simps)

definition heap-copies :: 'addr ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc list ⇒ 'heap ⇒ (('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event list × 'heap) set

where [simp]: heap-copies a a' al h = {(obs, h'). execute.heap-copies a a' al h obs h'}

lemma heap-copies-code:

shows heap-copies-Nil:

```
  heap-copies a a' [] h = {[[], h]}
```

and heap-copies-Cons:

```
  heap-copies a a' (al # als) h =
  (do {
    (ob, h') ← heap-copy-loc a a' al h;
    (obs, h'') ← heap-copies a a' als h';
    {[ob @ obs, h'']})}
```

by(fastforce elim!: execute.heap-copies-cases intro: execute.heap-copies.intros)+

```

definition heap-clone :: 'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  (('addr, 'thread-id) obs-event list  $\times$  'addr) option) set
where [simp]: heap-clone P h a = {(h', obsa). execute.heap-clone P h a h' obsa}

lemma heap-clone-code:
heap-clone P h a =
(case typeof-addr h a of
  [Class-type C]  $\Rightarrow$ 
    let HA = allocate h (Class-type C)
    in if HA = {} then {(h, None)} else do {
      (h', a')  $\leftarrow$  HA;
      FDTs  $\leftarrow$  set-of-pred (Fields-i-i-o P C);
      (obs, h'')  $\leftarrow$  heap-copies a a' (map ( $\lambda$ ((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs) h';
      {(h'', [(NewHeapElem a' (Class-type C) # obs, a')]})
    }
  | [Array-type T n]  $\Rightarrow$ 
    let HA = allocate h (Array-type T n)
    in if HA = {} then {(h, None)} else do {
      (h', a')  $\leftarrow$  HA;
      FDTs  $\leftarrow$  set-of-pred (Fields-i-i-o P Object);
      (obs, h'')  $\leftarrow$  heap-copies a a' (map ( $\lambda$ ((F, D), Tfm). CField D F) FDTs @ map ACell [0..<n])
    h';
      {(h'', [(NewHeapElem a' (Array-type T n) # obs, a')]})
    }
  | -  $\Rightarrow$  {}
by (auto 4 3 elim!: execute.heap-clone.cases split: ty.splits
prod.split-asm htype.splits intro: execute.heap-clone.intros
simp add: eval-Fields-conv split-beta prod-eq-iff)
(auto simp add: eval-Fields-conv Bex-def)

definition red-external-aggr :: 
'm prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$ 
((('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) external-thread-action  $\times$  'addr extCallRet  $\times$  'heap) set
where [simp]:
red-external-aggr P t a M vs h = execute.red-external-aggr P t a M vs h

lemma red-external-aggr-code:
red-external-aggr P t a M vs h =
(if M = wait then
  let ad-t = thread-id2addr t
  in {({Unlock  $\rightarrow$  a, Lock  $\rightarrow$  a, IsInterrupted t True, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}, execute.RetEXC InterruptedException, h),
       ({Suspend a, Unlock  $\rightarrow$  a, Lock  $\rightarrow$  a, ReleaseAcquire  $\rightarrow$  a, IsInterrupted t False, SyncUnlock a}, RetStaySame, h),
       ({UnlockFail  $\rightarrow$  a}, execute.RetEXC IllegalMonitorState, h),
       ({Notified}, RetVal Unit, h),
       ({WokenUp, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}, execute.RetEXC InterruptedException, h)}  $\cup$ 
       (if spurious-wakeups then {({Unlock  $\rightarrow$  a, Lock  $\rightarrow$  a, ReleaseAcquire  $\rightarrow$  a, IsInterrupted t False, SyncUnlock a}, RetVal Unit, h)} else {}))
  else if M = notify then
    {({Notify a, Unlock  $\rightarrow$  a, Lock  $\rightarrow$  a}, RetVal Unit, h),
     ({UnlockFail  $\rightarrow$  a}, execute.RetEXC IllegalMonitorState, h)}
  else if M = notifyAll then
    {}

```

```

{({NotifyAll a, Unlock→a, Lock→a }, RetVal Unit, h),
 ({UnlockFail→a}, execute.RetEXC IllegalMonitorState, h)}
else if M = clone then
do {
  (h', obsa) ← heap-clone P h a;
  {case obsa of None ⇒ (ε, execute.RetEXC OutOfMemory, h')
   | Some (obs, a') ⇒ ((K$ [], [], [], [], [], obs), RetVal (Addr a'), h')}
}
else if M = hashCode then {({}, RetVal (Intg (word-of-int (hash-addr a))), h)}
else if M = print then {({ExternalCall a M vs Unit}, RetVal Unit, h)}
else if M = currentThread then {({}, RetVal (Addr (thread-id2addr t)), h)}
else if M = interrupted then
  {({IsInterrupted t True, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}, RetVal (Bool True), h),
   ({IsInterrupted t False}, RetVal (Bool False), h)}
else if M = yield then {({Yield}, RetVal Unit, h)}
else
  let T = ty-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h a))
  in if P ⊢ T ≤ Class Thread then
    let t-a = addr2thread-id a
    in if M = start then
      {({NewThread t-a (the-Class T, run, a) h, ThreadStart t-a}, RetVal Unit, h),
       ({ThreadExists t-a True}, execute.RetEXC IllegalThreadState, h)}
    else if M = join then
      {({Join t-a, IsInterrupted t False, ThreadJoin t-a}, RetVal Unit, h),
       ({IsInterrupted t True, ClearInterrupt t, ObsInterrupted t}, execute.RetEXC InterruptedException, h)}
    else if M = interrupt then
      {({ThreadExists t-a True, WakeUp t-a, Interrupt t-a, ObsInterrupt t-a}, RetVal Unit, h),
       ({ThreadExists t-a False}, RetVal Unit, h)}
    else if M = isInterrupted then
      {({IsInterrupted t-a False}, RetVal (Bool False), h),
       ({IsInterrupted t-a True, ObsInterrupted t-a}, RetVal (Bool True), h)}
    else {({}, undefined)}
  else {({}, undefined)}
by (auto simp add: execute.red-external-aggr-def
    split del: option.splits) auto
end

lemmas [code] =
heap-execute.heap-copy-loc-code
heap-execute.heap-copies-code
heap-execute.heap-clone-code
heap-execute.red-external-aggr-code

```

end

9.8 An optimized JVM

```

theory JVMExec-Execute2
imports
  ./BV/BVNoTypeError
  ExternalCall-Execute

```

begin

This JVM must lookup the method declaration of the top call frame at every step to find the next instruction. It is more efficient to refine it such that the instruction list and the exception table are cached in the call frame. Even further, this theory adds keeps track of *drop pc ins*, whose head is the next instruction to execute.

```

locale JVM-heap-execute = heap-execute +
  constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr)  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id
  and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
  and spurious-wakeups :: bool
  and empty-heap :: 'heap
  and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
  and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  htype option
  and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val set
  and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap set

sublocale JVM-heap-execute < execute: JVM-heap-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr
   $\lambda h\ a\ ad\ v.\ v \in \text{heap-read } h\ a\ ad\ \lambda h\ a\ ad\ v\ h'.$   $h' \in \text{heap-write } h\ a\ ad\ v$ 
  .
  type-synonym
    'addr frame' = ('addr instr list  $\times$  'addr instr list  $\times$  ex-table)  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  'addr val list  $\times$  cname  $\times$  mname  $\times$  pc

  type-synonym
    ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state' = 'addr option  $\times$  'heap  $\times$  'addr frame' list

  type-synonym
    'addr jvm-thread-state' = 'addr option  $\times$  'addr frame' list

  type-synonym
    ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action' = ('addr, 'thread-id, 'addr jvm-thread-state', 'heap) Ninja-thread-action

  type-synonym
    ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-ta-state' = ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action'  $\times$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state'

  fun frame'-of-frame :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame'
  where
    frame'-of-frame P (stk, loc, C, M, pc) =
      ((drop pc (instrs-of P C M), instrs-of P C M, ex-table-of P C M), stk, loc, C, M, pc)

  fun jvm-state'-of-jvm-state :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state'
  where jvm-state'-of-jvm-state P (xcp, h, frs) = (xcp, h, map (frame'-of-frame P) frs)

  fun jvm-thread-state'-of-jvm-thread-state :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-thread-state  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-thread-state'
  where
    jvm-thread-state'-of-jvm-thread-state P (xcp, frs) = (xcp, map (frame'-of-frame P) frs)

  definition jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action :: 
    'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action'
  
```

where

jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action P = convert-extTA (jvm-thread-state'-of-jvm-thread-state P)

fun *jvm-ta-state'-of-jvm-ta-state* ::

'addr jvm-prog \Rightarrow ('*addr, thread-id, heap*) *jvm-ta-state* \Rightarrow ('*addr, thread-id, heap*) *jvm-ta-state'*

where

jvm-ta-state'-of-jvm-ta-state P (ta, s) = (jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action P ta, jvm-state'-of-jvm-state P s)

abbreviation (*input*) *frame-of-frame'* :: '*addr frame*' \Rightarrow '*addr frame*'

where *frame-of-frame'* \equiv *snd*

definition *jvm-state-of-jvm-state'* :: ('*addr, heap*) *jvm-state'* \Rightarrow ('*addr, heap*) *jvm-state*

where [*simp*]:

jvm-state-of-jvm-state' = map-prod id (map-prod id (map frame-of-frame'))

definition *jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state'* :: '*addr jvm-thread-state*' \Rightarrow '*addr jvm-thread-state*'

where [*simp*]:

jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state' = map-prod id (map frame-of-frame')

definition *jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action'* ::

*('addr, thread-id, heap) jvm-thread-action' \Rightarrow ('*addr, thread-id, heap*) *jvm-thread-action*)*

where [*simp*]:

jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action' = convert-extTA jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state'

definition *jvm-ta-state-of-jvm-ta-state'* ::

*('addr, thread-id, heap) jvm-ta-state' \Rightarrow ('*addr, thread-id, heap*) *jvm-ta-state*)*

where [*simp*]:

jvm-ta-state-of-jvm-ta-state' = map-prod jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action' jvm-state-of-jvm-state'

fun *frame'-ok* :: '*addr jvm-prog* \Rightarrow '*addr frame*' \Rightarrow *bool*

where

frame'-ok P ((ins', insxt), stk, loc, C, M, pc) \longleftrightarrow

ins' = drop pc (instrs-of P C M) \wedge insxt = snd (snd (the (snd (snd (method P C M))))))

lemma *frame'-ok-frame'-of-frame* [*iff*]:

frame'-ok P (frame'-of-frame P f)

by(*cases f*)(*simp*)

lemma *frames'-ok-inverse* [*simp*]:

$\forall x \in \text{set frs}. \text{frame}'\text{-ok } P x \implies \text{map} (\text{frame}'\text{-of-frame } P \circ \text{frame-of-frame}') \text{ frs} = \text{frs}$

by(*rule map-idI*) *auto*

fun *jvm-state'-ok* :: '*addr jvm-prog* \Rightarrow ('*addr, heap*) *jvm-state'* \Rightarrow *bool*

where *jvm-state'-ok P (xcp, h, frs) = ($\forall f \in \text{set frs}. \text{frame}'\text{-ok } P f$)*

lemma *jvm-state'-ok-jvm-state'-of-jvm-state* [*iff*]:

jvm-state'-ok P (jvm-state'-of-jvm-state P s)

by(*cases s*) *simp*

fun *jvm-thread-state'-ok* :: '*addr jvm-prog* \Rightarrow '*addr jvm-thread-state*' \Rightarrow *bool*

where *jvm-thread-state'-ok P (xcp, frs) \longleftrightarrow ($\forall f \in \text{set frs}. \text{frame}'\text{-ok } P f$)*

lemma *jvm-thread-state'-ok-jvm-thread-state'-of-jvm-thread-state* [*iff*]:

```

jvm-thread-state'-ok P (jvm-thread-state'-of-jvm-thread-state P s)
by(cases s) simp

definition jvm-thread-action'-ok :: 'addr jvm-prog => ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action' => bool
where jvm-thread-action'-ok P ta <=> (∀ nt ∈ set {ta}_t. ∀ t x h. nt = NewThread t x h → jvm-thread-state'-ok P x)

lemma jvm-thread-action'-ok-jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action [iff]:
  jvm-thread-action'-ok P (jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action P ta)
by(cases ta)(fastforce dest: sym simp add: jvm-thread-action'-ok-def jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action-def)

lemma jvm-thread-action'-ok-ε [simp]: jvm-thread-action'-ok P ε
by(simp add: jvm-thread-action'-ok-def)

fun jvm-ta-state'-ok :: 'addr jvm-prog => ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-ta-state' => bool
where jvm-ta-state'-ok P (ta, s) <=> jvm-thread-action'-ok P ta ∧ jvm-state'-ok P s

lemma jvm-ta-state'-ok-jvm-ta-state'-of-jvm-ta-state [iff]:
  jvm-ta-state'-ok P (jvm-ta-state'-of-jvm-ta-state P tas)
by(cases tas)(simp)

lemma frame-of-frame'-inverse [simp]: frame-of-frame' ∘ frame'-of-frame P = id
by(clarsimp simp add: fun-eq-iff)

lemma convert-new-thread-action-frame-of-frame'-inverse [simp]:
  convert-new-thread-action (map-prod id (map frame-of-frame')) ∘ convert-new-thread-action (jvm-thread-state'-of- P) = id
by(auto intro!: convert-new-thread-action-eqI simp add: fun-eq-iff List.map.id)

primrec extRet2JVM' :: 
  'addr instr list => 'addr instr list => ex-table
  => nat => 'heap => 'addr val list => 'addr val list => cname => mname => pc => 'addr frame' list
  => 'addr extCallRet => ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state'
where
  extRet2JVM' ins' ins xt n h stk loc C M pc frs (RetVal v) = (None, h, ((tl ins', ins, xt), v # drop (Suc n) stk, loc, C, M, pc + 1) # frs)
  | extRet2JVM' ins' ins xt n h stk loc C M pc frs (RetExc a) = ([a], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs)
  | extRet2JVM' ins' ins xt n h stk loc C M pc frs RetStaySame = (None, h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs)

definition extNTA2JVM' :: 'addr jvm-prog => (cname × mname × 'addr) => 'addr jvm-thread-state'
where extNTA2JVM' P ≡ (λ(C, M, a). let (D, Ts, T, meth) = method P C M; (mxs, mxl0, ins, xt) = the meth
  in (None, [(ins, ins, xt), [], Addr a # replicate mxl0 undefined-value, D, M, 0])))

abbreviation extTA2JVM' :: 
  'addr jvm-prog => ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) external-thread-action => ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action'
where extTA2JVM' P ≡ convert-extTA (extNTA2JVM' P)

lemma jvm-state'-ok-extRet2JVM' [simp]:
  assumes [simp]: ins = instrs-of P C M xt = ex-table-of P C M ∀ f ∈ set frs. frame'-ok P f

```

```

shows jvm-state'-ok P (extRet2JVM' (drop pc ins) ins xt n h stk loc C M pc frs va)
by(cases va)(simp-all add: drop-tl drop-Suc)

lemma jvm-state'-of-jvm-state-extRet2JVM [simp]:
assumes [simp]: ins = instrs-of P C M xt = ex-table-of P C M  $\forall f \in \text{set frs}. \text{frame}'\text{-ok } P f$ 
shows
jvm-state'-of-jvm-state P (extRet2JVM n h' stk loc C M pc (map frame-of-frame' frs) va) =
extRet2JVM' (drop pc (instrs-of P C M)) ins xt n h' stk loc C M pc frs va
by(cases va)(simp-all add: drop-tl drop-Suc)

lemma extRet2JVM'-extRet2JVM [simp]:
jvm-state-of-jvm-state' (extRet2JVM' ins' ins xt n h' stk loc C M pc frs va) =
extRet2JVM n h' stk loc C M pc (map frame-of-frame' frs) va
by(cases va) simp-all

lemma jvm-ta-state'-ok-inverse:
assumes jvm-ta-state'-ok P tas
shows jvm-ta-state-of-jvm-ta-state' tas  $\in A \longleftrightarrow tas \in \text{jvm-ta-state}'\text{-of-jvm-ta-state } P ` A$ 
using assms
apply(cases tas)
apply(fastforce simp add: o-def jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action-def jvm-thread-action'-ok-def
intro!: map-idI[symmetric] map-idI convert-new-thread-action-eqI dest: bspec intro!: rev-image-eqI elim!:
rev-iffD1[OF - arg-cong[where  $f=\lambda x. x : A$ ]])
done

context JVM-heap-execute begin

primrec exec-instr :: 
'addr instr list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr instr list  $\Rightarrow$  ex-table
 $\Rightarrow$  'addr instr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list
 $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  pc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame' list
 $\Rightarrow$  (('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-ta-state') set
where
exec-instr ins' ins xt (Load n) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
{( $\varepsilon$ , (None, h, ((tl ins', ins, xt), (loc ! n) # stk, loc, C0, M0, pc+1) # frs))} \\
| exec-instr ins' ins xt (Store n) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
{( $\varepsilon$ , (None, h, ((tl ins', ins, xt), tl stk, loc[n:=hd stk], C0, M0, pc+1) # frs))} \\
| exec-instr ins' ins xt (Push v) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
{( $\varepsilon$ , (None, h, ((tl ins', ins, xt), v # stk, loc, C0, M0, pc+1) # frs))} \\
| exec-instr ins' ins xt (New C) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(let HA = allocate h (Class-type C)
in if HA = {} then {( $\varepsilon$ , [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
else do { (h', a)  $\leftarrow$  HA;
{({NewHeapElem a (Class-type C)}}, None, h', ((tl ins', ins, xt), Addr a # stk, loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs)})} \\
| exec-instr ins' ins xt (NewArray T) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(let si = the-Intg (hd stk);
i = nat (sint si)
in if si < s 0
then {( $\varepsilon$ , [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NegativeArraySize], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}}

```

```

else let HA = allocate h (Array-type T i) in
  if HA = {} then {(\varepsilon, [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C0,
M0, pc) # frs)}
    else do { (h', a) ← HA;
      {({}NewHeapElem a (Array-type T i) }, None, h', ((tl ins', ins, xt), Addr a # tl stk, loc,
C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs)})}
| exec-instr ins' ins xt ALoad P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  (let va = hd (tl stk)
   in (if va = Null then {(\varepsilon, [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C0,
M0, pc) # frs)}
     else
       let i = the-Intg (hd stk);
       a = the-Addr va;
       len = alen-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h a))
       in if i < s 0 ∨ int len ≤ sint i then
         {(\varepsilon, [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBounds], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C0,
M0, pc) # frs)}
         else do {
           v ← heap-read h a (ACell (nat (sint i)));
           {({}ReadMem a (ACell (nat (sint i))) v}, None, h, ((tl ins', ins, xt), v # tl (tl stk), loc,
C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs)}
         })
     | exec-instr ins' ins xt AStore P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
       (let ve = hd stk;
        vi = hd (tl stk);
        va = hd (tl (tl stk))
        in (if va = Null then {(\varepsilon, [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C0,
M0, pc) # frs)}
          else (let i = the-Intg vi;
            idx = nat (sint i);
            a = the-Addr va;
            hT = the (typeof-addr h a);
            T = ty-of-htype hT;
            len = alen-of-htype hT;
            U = the (execute.typeof-h h ve)
            in (if i < s 0 ∨ int len ≤ sint i then
              {(\varepsilon, [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBounds], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc,
C0, M0, pc) # frs})
              else if P ⊢ U ≤ the-Array T then
                do {
                  h' ← heap-write h a (ACell idx) ve;
                  {({}WriteMem a (ACell idx) ve}, None, h', ((tl ins', ins, xt), tl (tl (tl stk)), loc,
C0, M0, pc+1) # frs)
                }
              else {(\varepsilon, ([execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayStore], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C0, M0, pc
# frs))))}
            )
          )
        )
      )
    )
  )
| exec-instr ins' ins xt ALength P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  {(\varepsilon, (let va = hd stk
    in if va = Null
      then ([execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)
      else (None, h, ((tl ins', ins, xt), Intg (word-of-int (int (alen-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h
(the-Addr va)))))) # tl stk, loc, C0, M0, pc+1) # frs)))
| exec-instr ins' ins xt (Getfield F C) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
  (let v = hd stk

```

```

in if  $v = \text{Null}$  then  $\{(\varepsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\}$ 
else let  $a = \text{the-Addr } v$ 
in do {
 $v' \leftarrow \text{heap-read } h \ a \ (\text{CField } C \ F);$ 
 $\{(\{\text{ReadMem } a \ (\text{CField } C \ F) \ v'\}, \text{None}, h, ((tl \ ins', ins, xt), v' \# (tl \ stk), loc, C_0, M_0, pc + 1) \# frs)\}$ 
}
| exec-instr  $ins' \ ins \ xt \ (\text{Putfield } F \ C) \ P \ t \ h \ stk \ loc \ C_0 \ M_0 \ pc \ frs =$ 
(let  $v = \text{hd } stk;$ 
 $r = \text{hd } (tl \ stk)$ 
in if  $r = \text{Null}$  then  $\{(\varepsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\}$ 
else let  $a = \text{the-Addr } r$ 
in do {
 $h' \leftarrow \text{heap-write } h \ a \ (\text{CField } C \ F) \ v;$ 
 $\{(\{\text{WriteMem } a \ (\text{CField } C \ F) \ v\}, \text{None}, h', ((tl \ ins', ins, xt), tl \ (tl \ stk), loc, C_0, M_0, pc + 1) \# frs)\}$ 
})
| exec-instr  $ins' \ ins \ xt \ (\text{CAS } F \ C) \ P \ t \ h \ stk \ loc \ C_0 \ M_0 \ pc \ frs =$ 
(let  $v'' = \text{hd } stk; v' = \text{hd } (tl \ stk); v = \text{hd } (tl \ (tl \ stk))$ 
in if  $v = \text{Null}$  then  $\{(\varepsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\}$ 
else let  $a = \text{the-Addr } v$ 
in do {
 $v''' \leftarrow \text{heap-read } h \ a \ (\text{CField } C \ F);$ 
if  $v''' = v'$  then do {
 $h' \leftarrow \text{heap-write } h \ a \ (\text{CField } C \ F) \ v'';$ 
 $\{(\{\text{ReadMem } a \ (\text{CField } C \ F) \ v'\}, \text{WriteMem } a \ (\text{CField } C \ F) \ v''\}, \text{None}, h', ((tl \ ins', ins, xt), \text{Bool True} \# tl \ (tl \ (tl \ stk)), loc, C_0, M_0, pc + 1) \# frs\}$ 
} else  $\{(\{\text{ReadMem } a \ (\text{CField } C \ F) \ v''\}, \text{None}, h, ((tl \ ins', ins, xt), \text{Bool False} \# tl \ (tl \ (tl \ stk)), loc, C_0, M_0, pc + 1) \# frs\}$ 
})
| exec-instr  $ins' \ ins \ xt \ (\text{Checkcast } T) \ P \ t \ h \ stk \ loc \ C_0 \ M_0 \ pc \ frs =$ 
 $\{(\varepsilon, \text{let } U = \text{the } (\text{typeof } h \ (\text{hd } stk))$ 
in if  $P \vdash U \leq T$  then  $(\text{None}, h, ((tl \ ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc + 1) \# frs)$ 
else  $([\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt ClassCast}], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs))\}$ 
| exec-instr  $ins' \ ins \ xt \ (\text{Instanceof } T) \ P \ t \ h \ stk \ loc \ C_0 \ M_0 \ pc \ frs =$ 
 $\{(\varepsilon, \text{None}, h, ((tl \ ins', ins, xt), \text{Bool } (\text{hd } stk \neq \text{Null} \wedge P \vdash \text{the } (\text{typeof } h \ (\text{hd } stk)) \leq T) \# tl \ stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc + 1) \# frs\}\}$ 
| exec-instr  $ins' \ ins \ xt \ (\text{Invoke } M \ n) \ P \ t \ h \ stk \ loc \ C_0 \ M_0 \ pc \ frs =$ 
(let  $r = \text{stk ! } n$ 
in (if  $r = \text{Null}$  then  $\{(\varepsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\}$ 
else (let  $ps = \text{rev } (\text{take } n \ stk);$ 
 $a = \text{the-Addr } r;$ 
 $T = \text{the } (\text{typeof-addr } h \ a);$ 
 $(D, Ts, T, \text{meth}) = \text{method } P \ (\text{class-type-of } T) \ M$ 
in case  $\text{meth}$  of
Native  $\Rightarrow$ 
do {
 $(ta, va, h') \leftarrow \text{red-external-aggr } P \ t \ a \ M \ ps \ h;$ 
 $\{(\text{extTA2JVM}' \ P \ ta, \text{extRet2JVM}' \ ins' \ ins \ xt \ n \ h' \ stk \ loc \ C_0 \ M_0 \ pc \ frs \ va)\}$ 
})

```

```

|  $\lfloor(mxs, m xl_0, ins'', xt'')\rfloor \Rightarrow$ 
  let  $f' = ((ins'', ins'', xt''), [], [r]@ps@(replicate m xl_0 undefined-value), D, M, 0)$ 
  in  $\{(\varepsilon, \text{None}, h, f' \# ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\})$ )
| exec-instr  $ins' ins xt$  Return  $P t h stk_0 loc_0 C_0 M_0 pc frs =$ 
   $\{(\varepsilon, (\text{if } frs = [] \text{ then } (\text{None}, h, []))$ 
  else
    let  $v = hd stk_0;$ 
     $((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C, m, pc) = hd frs;$ 
     $n = length(fst(snd(method P C_0 M_0)))$ 
    in  $(\text{None}, h, ((tl } ins', ins, xt), v \# (drop(n+1) stk), loc, C, m, pc+1) \# tl frs))\}$ 
| exec-instr  $ins' ins xt$  Pop  $P t h stk loc C_0 M_0 pc frs =$ 
   $\{(\varepsilon, (\text{None}, h, ((tl } ins', ins, xt), tl stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc+1) \# frs))\}$ 
| exec-instr  $ins' ins xt$  Dup  $P t h stk loc C_0 M_0 pc frs =$ 
   $\{(\varepsilon, (\text{None}, h, ((tl } ins', ins, xt), hd stk \# stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc+1) \# frs))\}$ 
| exec-instr  $ins' ins xt$  Swap  $P t h stk loc C_0 M_0 pc frs =$ 
   $\{(\varepsilon, (\text{None}, h, ((tl } ins', ins, xt), hd(tl stk) \# hd stk \# tl(tl stk), loc, C_0, M_0, pc+1) \# frs))\}$ 
| exec-instr  $ins' ins xt$  (BinOpInstr bop)  $P t h stk loc C_0 M_0 pc frs =$ 
   $\{(\varepsilon,$ 
    case the (execute.binop bop (hd(tl stk)) (hd stk)) of
      Inl  $v \Rightarrow (\text{None}, h, ((tl } ins', ins, xt), v \# tl(tl stk), loc, C_0, M_0, pc+1) \# frs)$ 
      Inr  $a \Rightarrow (\text{Some } a, h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs))\}$ 
| exec-instr  $ins' ins xt$  (IfFalse i)  $P t h stk loc C_0 M_0 pc frs =$ 
   $\{(\varepsilon, (\text{let } pc' = \text{if } hd stk = \text{Bool False} \text{ then } \text{nat(int } pc+i) \text{ else } pc+1$ 
    in  $(\text{None}, h, ((drop pc' } ins, ins, xt), tl stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc') \# frs))\}$ 
| exec-instr  $ins' ins xt$  (Goto i)  $P t h stk loc C_0 M_0 pc frs =$ 
   $\{\text{let } pc' = \text{nat(int } pc+i)$ 
  in  $(\varepsilon, (\text{None}, h, ((drop pc' } ins, ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc') \# frs))\}$ 
| exec-instr  $ins' ins xt$  ThrowExc  $P t h stk loc C_0 M_0 pc frs =$ 
   $\{(\varepsilon, (\text{let } xp' = \text{if } hd stk = \text{Null} \text{ then } [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}] \text{ else } [\text{the-Addr}(hd stk)]$ 
  in  $(xp', h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs))\}$ 
| exec-instr  $ins' ins xt$  MEnter  $P t h stk loc C_0 M_0 pc frs =$ 
   $\{\text{let } v = hd stk$ 
  in if  $v = \text{Null}$ 
    then  $(\varepsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)$ 
    else  $(\{\text{Lock} \rightarrow \text{the-Addr } v, \text{SyncLock } (\text{the-Addr } v)\}, \text{None}, h, ((tl } ins', ins, xt), tl stk, loc, C_0, M_0,$ 
 $pc+1) \# frs))\}$ 
| exec-instr  $ins' ins xt$  MExit  $P t h stk loc C_0 M_0 pc frs =$ 
   $\{\text{let } v = hd stk$ 
  in if  $v = \text{Null}$ 
    then  $\{(\varepsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}], h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\}$ 
    else  $\{(\{\text{Unlock} \rightarrow \text{the-Addr } v, \text{SyncUnlock } (\text{the-Addr } v)\}, \text{None}, h, ((tl } ins', ins, xt), tl stk, loc, C_0,$ 
 $M_0, pc+1) \# frs),$ 
     $(\{\text{UnlockFail} \rightarrow \text{the-Addr } v\}, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState}], h, ((ins', ins, xt),$ 
 $stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\}\}$ 
fun exception-step :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame'  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame' list  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state'
where
exception-step  $P a h ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C, M, pc) frs =$ 
  (case match-ex-table  $P$  (execute.cname-of  $h$   $a$ )  $pc$   $xt$  of
    None  $\Rightarrow ([a], h, frs)$ 
    | Some  $(pc', d) \Rightarrow (\text{None}, h, ((drop pc' } ins, ins, xt), \text{Addr } a \# drop(\text{size } stk - d) \text{ stk, loc, } C,$ 
 $M, pc') \# frs)$ 

```

```

fun exec :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state'  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-ta-state' set
where
  exec P t (xcp, h, []) = {}
  | exec P t (None, h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs) =
    exec-instr ins' ins xt (hd ins') P t h stk loc C M pc frs
  | exec P t ([a], h, fr # frs) = {(\varepsilon, exception-step P a h fr frs)}

definition exec-1 :: 
  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state'
   $\Rightarrow$  (('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action'  $\times$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state') Predicate.pred
where exec-1 P t σ = pred-of-set (exec P t σ)

lemma check-exec-instr-ok:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and execute.check-instr i P h stk loc C M pc (map frame-of-frame' frs)
  and P  $\vdash$  C sees M:Ts $\rightarrow$ T = [m] in D
  and jvm-state'-ok P (None, h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C, M, pc) # frs)
  and tas  $\in$  exec-instr ins' ins xt i P t h stk loc C M pc frs
  shows jvm-ta-state'-ok P tas

proof -
  note [simp] = drop-Suc drop-tl split-beta jvm-thread-action'-ok-def has-method-def
  note [split] = if-split-asm sum.split
  from assms show ?thesis
  proof(cases i)
    case Return
    thus ?thesis using assms by(cases frs) auto
  next
    case Invoke
    thus ?thesis using assms
      apply(cases m)
      apply(auto simp add: extNTA2JVM'-def dest: sees-method-idemp execute.red-external-aggr-new-thread-sub-thread
sub-Thread-sees-run[OF wf])
      apply(drule execute.red-external-aggr-new-thread-sub-thread, clar simp, clar simp, assumption,
clar simp)
      apply(drule sub-Thread-sees-run[OF wf], clar simp)
      apply(fastforce dest: sees-method-idemp)
      apply(drule execute.red-external-aggr-new-thread-sub-thread, clar simp, clar simp, assumption,
clar simp)
      apply(drule sub-Thread-sees-run[OF wf], clar simp)
      apply(fastforce dest: sees-method-idemp)
      done
    next
      case Goto thus ?thesis using assms
        by(cases m) simp
    next
      case IfFalse thus ?thesis using assms
        by(cases m) simp
      qed(auto)
  qed

lemma check-exec-instr-complete:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and execute.check-instr i P h stk loc C M pc (map frame-of-frame' frs)

```

```

and  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = [m] \text{ in } D$ 
and  $jvm\text{-state}'\text{-ok } P (\text{None}, h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs)$ 
and  $tas \in \text{execute.exec-instr } i P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc (\text{map frame-of-frame}' frs)$ 
shows  $jvm\text{-ta-state}'\text{-of-jvm\text{-ta-state}} P tas \in \text{exec-instr } ins' ins xt i P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs$ 
proof -
  note [simp] =
    drop-Suc drop-tl split-beta jvm-thread-action'-ok-def jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action-def
has-method-def
  ta-upd-simps map-tl
  note [split] = if-split-asm sum.split
  from assms show ?thesis
  proof(cases i)
    case Return thus ?thesis using assms by(cases frs) auto
  next
    case Goto thus ?thesis using assms
      by(cases m) simp
  next
    case IfFalse thus ?thesis using assms
      by(cases m) simp
  next
    case Invoke thus ?thesis using assms
      apply(cases m)
      apply(auto intro!: rev-bexI convert-new-thread-action-eqI simp add: extNTA2JVM'-def extNTA2JVM-def
dest: execute.red-external-aggr-new-thread-sub-thread sub-Thread-sees-run[OF wf] sees-method-idemp)
        apply(drule execute.red-external-aggr-new-thread-sub-thread, clarsimp, clarsimp, assumption,
clarsimp)
        apply(drule sub-Thread-sees-run[OF wf], clarsimp)
        apply(fastforce dest: sees-method-idemp)
        apply(drule execute.red-external-aggr-new-thread-sub-thread, clarsimp, clarsimp, assumption,
clarsimp)
        apply(drule sub-Thread-sees-run[OF wf], clarsimp)
        apply(fastforce dest: sees-method-idemp)
        done
      qed(auto 4 4)
  qed

lemma check-exec-instr-refine:
  assumes wf: wf-prog wf-md P
  and execute.check-instr i P h stk loc C M pc (map frame-of-frame' frs)
  and  $P \vdash C \text{ sees } M : Ts \rightarrow T = [m] \text{ in } D$ 
  and  $jvm\text{-state}'\text{-ok } P (\text{None}, h, ((ins', ins, xt), stk, loc, C, M, pc) \# frs)$ 
  and  $tas \in \text{exec-instr } ins' ins xt i P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc frs$ 
  shows  $tas \in jvm\text{-ta-state}'\text{-of-jvm\text{-ta-state}} P \cdot \text{execute.exec-instr } i P t h \text{ stk loc } C M pc (\text{map frame-of-frame}' frs)$ 
proof -
  note [simp] =
    drop-Suc drop-tl split-beta jvm-thread-action'-ok-def jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action-def
has-method-def
  ta-upd-simps map-tl o-def
  note [split] = if-split-asm sum.split
  from assms have jvm-ta-state-of-jvm-ta-state' tas ∈ execute.exec-instr i P t h stk loc C M pc (map frame-of-frame' frs)
  proof (cases i)
    case Invoke thus ?thesis using assms

```

```

by(fastforce simp add: extNTA2JVM'-def extNTA2JVM-def split-def extRet2JVM'-extRet2JVM[simplified])
next
  case Return thus ?thesis using assms by(auto simp add: neq-Nil-conv)
  qed (auto cong del: image-cong-simp)
  also from assms have ok': jvm-ta-state'-ok P tas by(rule check-exec-instr-ok)
  hence jvm-ta-state-of-jvm-ta-state' tas ∈ execute.exec-instr i P t h stk loc C M pc (map frame-of-frame'
frs) ←→
    tas ∈ jvm-ta-state'-of-jvm-ta-state P ‘ execute.exec-instr i P t h stk loc C M pc (map frame-of-frame'
frs)
    by(rule jvm-ta-state'-ok-inverse)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed

lemma exception-step-ok:
  assumes frame'-ok P fr ∀f∈set frs. frame'-ok P f
  shows jvm-state'-ok P (exception-step P a h fr frs)
  and exception-step P a h fr frs = jvm-state'-of-jvm-state P (execute.exception-step P a h (snd fr) (map frame-of-frame' frs))
  using assms
  by(cases fr, case-tac the (snd (snd (snd (method P d e)))), clarsimp)+

lemma exec-step-conv:
  assumes wf-prog wf-md P
  and jvm-state'-ok P s
  and execute.check P (jvm-state-of-jvm-state' s)
  shows exec P t s = jvm-ta-state'-of-jvm-ta-state P ‘ execute.exec P t (jvm-state-of-jvm-state' s)
  using assms
  apply(cases s)
  apply(rename-tac xcp h frs)
  apply(case-tac frs)
  apply(simp)
  apply(case-tac xcp)
  prefer 2
  apply(simp add: jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action-def exception-step-ok)
  apply(clarsimp simp add: execute.check-def)
  apply(rule equalityI)
  apply(clarsimp simp add: has-method-def)
  apply(erule (2) check-exec-instr-refine)
    apply fastforce
  apply(simp add: hd-drop-conv-nth)
  apply(clarsimp simp add: has-method-def)
  apply(drule (2) check-exec-instr-complete)
    apply fastforce
  apply(assumption)
  apply(simp add: hd-drop-conv-nth)
  done

lemma exec-step-ok:
  assumes wf-prog wf-md P
  and jvm-state'-ok P s
  and execute.check P (jvm-state-of-jvm-state' s)
  and tas ∈ exec P t s
  shows jvm-ta-state'-ok P tas
  using assms

```

```

apply(cases s)
apply(rename-tac xcp h frs)
apply(case-tac frs)
apply simp
apply(rename-tac fr frs')
apply(case-tac xcp)
apply(clarsimp simp add: execute.check-def has-method-def)
apply(erule (2) check-exec-instr-ok)
apply fastforce
apply(simp add: hd-drop-conv-nth)
apply(case-tac fr)
apply(rename-tac cache stk loc C M pc)
apply(case-tac the (snd (snd (snd (method P C M))))))
apply auto
done

end

locale JVM-heap-execute-conf-read = JVM-heap-execute +
  execute: JVM-conf-read
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr
  λh a ad v. v ∈ heap-read h a ad λh a ad v h'. h' ∈ heap-write h a ad v
+
constrains addr2thread-id :: ('addr :: addr) ⇒ 'thread-id
and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id ⇒ 'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap ⇒ htype ⇒ ('heap × 'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ htype option
and heap-read :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val set
and heap-write :: 'heap ⇒ 'addr ⇒ addr-loc ⇒ 'addr val ⇒ 'heap set
and hconf :: 'heap ⇒ bool
and P :: 'addr jvm-prog
begin

lemma exec-correct-state:
  assumes wt: wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  and correct: execute.correct-state  $\Phi$  t (jvm-state-of-jvm-state' s)
  and ok: jvm-state'-ok P s
  shows exec P t s = jvm-ta-state'-of-jvm-ta-state P ` execute.exec P t (jvm-state-of-jvm-state' s)
  (is ?thesis1)
  and (ta, s') ∈ exec P t s ⟹ execute.correct-state  $\Phi$  t (jvm-state-of-jvm-state' s') (is - ⟹ ?thesis2)
  and tas ∈ exec P t s ⟹ jvm-ta-state'-ok P tas
proof -
  from wt obtain wf-md where wf: wf-prog wf-md P by(blast dest: wt-jvm-progD)
  from execute.no-type-error[OF wt correct]
  have check: execute.check P (jvm-state-of-jvm-state' s)
    by(simp add: execute.exec-d-def split: if-split-asm)
  with wf ok show eq: ?thesis1 by(rule exec-step-conv)

{ fix tas

```

```

assume  $tas \in exec P t s$ 
with  $wf ok check show jvm-ta-state'-ok P tas$ 
      by(rule exec-step-ok) }
note  $this[of (ta, s')]$ 
moreover
assume  $(ta, s') \in exec P t s$ 
moreover
hence  $(ta, s') \in jvm-ta-state'-of-jvm-ta-state P \text{ ``execute.exec } P t (jvm-state-of-jvm-state' s)$ 
      unfolding eq by simp
ultimately have  $jvm-ta-state-of-jvm-ta-state' (ta, s') \in execute.exec P t (jvm-state-of-jvm-state' s)$ 
      using jvm-ta-state'-ok-inverse[of  $P (ta, s')$ ] by blast
hence  $execute.exec-1 P t (jvm-state-of-jvm-state' s) (jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action' ta)$ 
 $(jvm-state-of-jvm-state' s')$ 
      by(simp add: execute.exec-1-iff)
with wt correct show ?thesis2 by(rule execute.BV-correct-1)
qed

```

end

```

lemmas [code] =
  JVM-heap-execute.exec-instr.simps
  JVM-heap-execute.exception-step.simps
  JVM-heap-execute.exec.simps
  JVM-heap-execute.exec-1-def

```

end

theory JVM-Execute2

imports

```

  SC-Schedulers
  JVMSexec-Execute2
  ..../BV/BVProgressThreaded

```

begin

```

abbreviation sc-heap-read-cset :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  addr val set
where sc-heap-read-cset h ad al  $\equiv$  set-of-pred (sc-heap-read-i-i-i-o h ad al)

```

```

abbreviation sc-heap-write-cset :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  addr val  $\Rightarrow$  heap set
where sc-heap-write-cset h ad al v  $\equiv$  set-of-pred (sc-heap-write-i-i-i-o h ad al v)

```

interpretation sc:

```

  JVM-heap-execute
  addr2thread-id
  thread-id2addr
  sc-spurious-wakeups
  sc-empty
  sc-allocate P
  sc-typeof-addr
  sc-heap-read-cset
  sc-heap-write-cset
rewrites  $\bigwedge h ad al v. v \in sc-heap-read-cset h ad al \equiv sc-heap-read h ad al v$ 
and  $\bigwedge h ad al v h'. h' \in sc-heap-write-cset h ad al v \equiv sc-heap-write h ad al v h'$ 
for P

```

```

apply(simp-all add: eval-sc-heap-read-i-i-i-o eval-sc-heap-write-i-i-i-o)
done

interpretation sc:
  JVM-heap-execute-conf-read
  addr2thread-id
  thread-id2addr
  sc-spurious-wakeups
  sc-empty
  sc-allocate P
  sc-typeof-addr
  sc-heap-read-cset
  sc-heap-write-cset
  sc-hconf P
  P

rewrites  $\bigwedge h ad al v. v \in sc\text{-}heap\text{-}read\text{-}cset h ad al \equiv sc\text{-}heap\text{-}read h ad al v$ 
and  $\bigwedge h ad al v h'. h' \in sc\text{-}heap\text{-}write\text{-}cset h ad al v \equiv sc\text{-}heap\text{-}write h ad al v h'$ 
for P
proof -
  show unfolds:  $\bigwedge h ad al v. v \in sc\text{-}heap\text{-}read\text{-}cset h ad al \equiv sc\text{-}heap\text{-}read h ad al v$ 
   $\bigwedge h ad al v h'. h' \in sc\text{-}heap\text{-}write\text{-}cset h ad al v \equiv sc\text{-}heap\text{-}write h ad al v h'$ 
  by(simp-all add: eval-sc-heap-read-i-i-i-o eval-sc-heap-write-i-i-i-o)
  show JVM-heap-execute-conf-read
    addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
    sc-empty (sc-allocate P)
    sc-typeof-addr sc-heap-read-cset sc-heap-write-cset
    (sc-hconf P) P
    apply(rule JVM-heap-execute-conf-read.intro)
    apply(unfold unfolds)
    apply(unfold-locales)
    done
  qed

abbreviation sc-JVM-start-state :: addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  (addr, thread-id, addr jvm-thread-state, heap, addr) state
where sc-JVM-start-state P  $\equiv$  sc.execute.JVM-start-state TYPE(addr jvm-method) P P

abbreviation sc-exec :: addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  (addr, heap) jvm-state'  $\Rightarrow$  (addr, thread-id, heap) jvm-ta-state' set
where sc-exec P  $\equiv$  sc.execute TYPE(addr jvm-method) P P

abbreviation sc-execute-mexec :: addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  (addr jvm-thread-state  $\times$  heap)
 $\Rightarrow$  (addr, thread-id, heap) jvm-thread-action  $\Rightarrow$  (addr jvm-thread-state  $\times$  heap)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where sc-execute-mexec P  $\equiv$  sc.execute.mexec TYPE(addr jvm-method) P P

fun sc-mexec :: 
  addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  (addr jvm-thread-state'  $\times$  heap)
   $\Rightarrow$  ((addr, thread-id, heap) jvm-thread-action'  $\times$  addr jvm-thread-state'  $\times$  heap) Predicate.pred
where
  sc-mexec P t ((xcp, frs), h) =
  sc.exec-1 (TYPE(addr jvm-method)) P P t (xcp, h, frs)  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda(ta, xcp, h, frs). Predicate.single(ta, (xcp, frs), h)$ )
abbreviation sc-jvm-start-state-refine :: 

```

$\text{addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{cname} \Rightarrow \text{mname} \Rightarrow \text{addr val list} \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}, \text{heap}, (\text{thread-id}, (\text{addr jvm-thread-state}') \times \text{addr released-locks}) \text{ rbt}, (\text{thread-id}, \text{addr wait-set-status}) \text{ rbt}, \text{thread-id rs}) \text{ state-refine}$

where

$\text{sc-jvm-start-state-refine} \equiv$

$\text{sc-start-state-refine } (\text{rm-empty} ()) \text{ rm-update } (\text{rm-empty} ()) \text{ (rs-empty} ()) \text{ } (\lambda C M Ts T (mxs, mxl0, ins, xt) \text{ vs. } (\text{None}, [((ins, ins, xt), []], \text{Null} \# \text{vs} @ \text{replicate mxl0 undefined-value}, C, M, 0])))$

fun $jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate' ::$

$(\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}, \text{addr jvm-thread-state}', \text{heap}, \text{addr}) \text{ state} \Rightarrow (\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}, \text{addr jvm-thread-state}, \text{heap}, \text{addr})$
 state

where

$jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate' (ls, (ts, m), ws) = (ls, (\lambda t. \text{map-option} (\text{map-prod} \text{ jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state}' \text{id}) (ts t), m), ws)$

definition $sc-jvm-state-invar :: \text{addr jvm-prog} \Rightarrow \text{ty}_P \Rightarrow (\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}, \text{addr jvm-thread-state}', \text{heap}, \text{addr})$

state set

where

$\text{sc-jvm-state-invar } P \Phi \equiv$

$\{s. \text{jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate}' s \in \text{sc.execute.correct-jvm-state } P \Phi\} \cap$
 $\{s. \text{ts-ok} (\lambda t (xcp, frs) h. \text{jvm-state}'-ok } P (xcp, h, frs)) (\text{thr } s) (\text{shr } s)\}$

fun $JVM-final' :: \text{'addr jvm-thread-state}' \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where $JVM-final' (xcp, frs) \longleftrightarrow frs = []$

lemma $\text{shr-jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate}' [\text{simp}]: \text{shr} (\text{jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate}' s) = \text{shr } s$

by(cases s) clarsimp

lemma $jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate'-sc-start-state [\text{simp}]:$

$\text{jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate}'$

$(\text{sc-start-state } (\lambda C M Ts T (mxs, mxl0, ins, xt) \text{ vs. } (\text{None}, [((ins, ins, xt), []], \text{Null} \# \text{vs} @ \text{replicate mxl0 undefined-value}, C, M, 0)))) P C M vs) = \text{sc-JVM-start-state } P C M vs$

by(simp add: sc.start-state-def split-beta fun-eq-iff)

lemma $sc-jvm-start-state-invar:$

assumes $wf-jvm-prog_\Phi P$

and $sc-wf-start-state P C M vs$

shows $\text{sc-state-}\alpha (\text{sc-jvm-start-state-refine } P C M vs) \in \text{sc-jvm-state-invar } P \Phi$

unfolding $\text{sc-jvm-state-invar-def Int-iff mem-Collect-eq}$

apply(rule conjI)

apply(simp add: sc.execute.correct-jvm-state-initial[OF assms])

apply(rule ts-okiI)

using sc-wf-start-state P C M vs

apply(auto simp add: sc.start-state-def split-beta sc-wf-start-state-iff split: if-split-asm dest: sees-method-idemp)
done

lemma $invariant3p-sc-jvm-state-invar:$

assumes $wf-jvm-prog_\Phi P$

shows $\text{invariant3p (multithreaded-base.redT JVM-final' } (\lambda t xm ta x'm'. \text{Predicate.eval (sc-mexec } P t xm) (ta, x'm')) \text{ convert-RA) (sc-jvm-state-invar } P \Phi)$

proof(rule invariant3pI)

fix $s tl s'$

assume $\text{red: multithreaded-base.redT JVM-final' } (\lambda t xm ta x'm'. \text{Predicate.eval (sc-mexec } P t xm) (ta, x'm')) \text{ convert-RA } s tl s'$

```

and invar:  $s \in sc\text{-jvm-state-invar } P \Phi$ 
obtain t ta where  $tl = (t, ta)$  by(cases tl)
from red have  $red': multithreaded-base.redT JVM\text{-final } (sc\text{-execute-mexec } P) convert\text{-RA } (jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s)$   $(t, jvm\text{-thread-action-of-jvm\text{-thread-action}}' ta) (jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s')$ 
proof(cases rule:  $multithreaded-base.redT.cases[consumes 1, case\text{-names normal acquire}]$ )
  case (acquire  $s t x ln n s'$ )
    thus ?thesis using tl by(cases s)(auto intro!:  $multithreaded-base.redT.redT\text{-acquire}$ )
  next
    case (normal  $t x s ta x' m' s'$ )
      obtain xcp frs where  $x: x = (xcp, frs)$  by(cases x)
      with invar normal tl
      have correct:  $sc\text{-execute.correct-state } P \Phi t (jvm\text{-state-of-jvm\text{-state}}' (xcp, shr s, frs))$ 
        and ok:  $jvm\text{-state}'\text{-ok } P (xcp, shr s, frs)$ 
        apply –
        apply(case-tac [!] s)
        apply(fastforce simp add:  $sc\text{-jvm-state-invar-def } sc\text{-execute.correct-jvm-state-def dest: ts-okD} + done$ 
        note eq =  $sc\text{-exec-correct-state}(1)[OF assms this]$ 
        with normal x tl
        have  $sc\text{-execute-mexec } P t (jvm\text{-thread-state-of-jvm\text{-thread-state}}' x, shr (jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s)) (jvm\text{-thread-action-of-jvm\text{-thread-action}}' ta) (jvm\text{-thread-state-of-jvm\text{-thread-state}}' x', m')$ 
          by(auto simp add:  $sc\text{-exec-1-def eq jvm\text{-thread-action}'-of-jvm\text{-thread-action-def } sc\text{-execute.exec-1-iff}}$ 
          with normal tl show ?thesis
          by(cases s)(fastforce intro!:  $multithreaded-base.redT.redT\text{-normal simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff fun-eq-iff map-redT-updTs elim: rev-iffD1[OF - thread-oks-ts-change] cond-action-oks-final-change}$ )
        qed
        moreover from invar
        have  $sc\text{-execute.correct-state-ts } P \Phi (thr (jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s)) (shr (jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s))$ 
          and lock-thread-ok (locks  $(jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s)$ ) (thr  $(jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s)$ )
          by(simp-all add:  $sc\text{-jvm-state-invar-def } sc\text{-execute.correct-jvm-state-def}$ )
        ultimately have  $sc\text{-execute.correct-state-ts } P \Phi (thr (jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s')) (shr (jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s'))$ 
          and lock-thread-ok (locks  $(jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s')$ ) (thr  $(jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s')$ )
          by(blast intro: lifting-wf.redT-preserves[OF sc.execute.lifting-wf-correct-state, OF assms] sc.execute.exec-mthr.re
          hence  $jvm\text{-mstate-of-jvm\text{-mstate}}' s' \in sc\text{-execute.correct-jvm-state } P \Phi$ 
          by(simp add:  $sc\text{-execute.correct-jvm-state-def}$ )
        moreover from red have ts-ok ( $\lambda t (xcp, frs) h. \forall f \in set frs. frame'\text{-ok } P f$ ) (thr s') (shr s') unfolding
        tl
        proof(cases rule:  $multithreaded-base.redT.cases[consumes 1, case\text{-names normal acquire}]$ )
          case acquire thus ?thesis using invar
          by(fastforce simp add:  $sc\text{-jvm-state-invar-def intro!} ts\text{-okI dest: ts\text{-okD bspec split: if-split-asm}}$ )
        next
          case (normal  $t x s ta x' m' s'$ )
            obtain xcp frs where  $x: x = (xcp, frs)$  by(cases x)
            with invar normal tl
            have correct:  $sc\text{-execute.correct-state } P \Phi t (jvm\text{-state-of-jvm\text{-state}}' (xcp, shr s, frs))$ 
              and ok:  $jvm\text{-state}'\text{-ok } P (xcp, shr s, frs)$ 
              apply –
              apply(case-tac [!] s)
              apply(fastforce simp add:  $sc\text{-jvm-state-invar-def } sc\text{-execute.correct-jvm-state-def dest: ts\text{-okD}} + done$ 
            from normal x invar show ?thesis
            apply(auto simp add:  $sc\text{-exec-1-def final-thread.actions-ok-iff jvm\text{-thread-action}'-ok-def } sc\text{-jvm-state-invar-def}$ )

```

```

apply hypsubst-thin
apply(drule sc.exec-correct-state(3)[OF assms correct ok])
apply(rule ts-okI)
apply(clarsimp split: if-split-asm simp add: jvm-thread-action'-ok-def)
apply(drule (1) bspec)
apply simp
apply(case-tac thr s t)
apply(drule (2) redT-updTs-new-thread)
applyclarsimp
apply(drule (1) bspec)
apply simp
apply(drule (1) bspec)
apply simp
apply(erule thin-rl)
apply(frule (1) redT-updTs-Some)
apply(fastforce dest: ts-okD)
done
qed
ultimately show s' ∈ sc-jvm-state-invar P Φ by(simp add: sc-jvm-state-invar-def)
qed

lemma sc-exec-deterministic:
assumes wf-jvm-progΦ P
shows multithreaded-base.deterministic JVM-final' (λt xm ta x'm'. Predicate.eval (sc-mexec P t xm)
(ta, x'm')) convert-RA
(sc-jvm-state-invar P Φ)
proof -
from assms sc-deterministic-heap-ops
have det: multithreaded-base.deterministic JVM-final (sc-execute-mexec P) convert-RA {s. sc.execute.correct-state-ts
P Φ (thr s) (shr s)}
by(rule sc.execute.mexec-deterministic)(simp add: sc-spurious-wakeups)
show ?thesis
proof(rule multithreaded-base.deterministicI)
fix s t x ta' x' m' ta'' x'' m''
assume inv: s ∈ sc-jvm-state-invar P Φ
and tst: thr s t = ⌊(x, no-wait-locks)⌋
and exec1: Predicate.eval (sc-mexec P t (x, shr s)) (ta', x', m')
and exec2: Predicate.eval (sc-mexec P t (x, shr s)) (ta'', x'', m'')
and aok1: final-thread.actions-ok JVM-final' s t ta'
and aok2: final-thread.actions-ok JVM-final' s t ta''
obtain xcp frs where x: x = (xcp, frs) by(cases x)
from inv tst x have correct: sc.execute.correct-state P Φ t (jvm-state-of-jvm-state' (xcp, shr s, frs))
and ok: jvm-state'-ok P (xcp, shr s, frs)
by(cases s, fastforce simp add: sc-jvm-state-invar-def sc.execute.correct-jvm-state-def dest: ts-okD) +
note eq = sc.exec-correct-state(1)[OF assms this]

from exec1 exec2 x
have sc-execute-mexec P t (jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state' x, shr (jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate'
s)) (jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action' ta') (jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state' x', m')
and sc-execute-mexec P t (jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state' x, shr (jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate'
s)) (jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action' ta'') (jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state' x'', m'')
by(auto simp add: sc.exec-1-def eq jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action-def sc.execute.exec-1-iff)
moreover have thr (jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate' s) t = ⌊(jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state' x,
no-wait-locks)⌋

```

```

using tst by(cases s) clarsimp
moreover have final-thread.actions-ok JVM-final (jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate' s) t (jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action' ta')
and final-thread.actions-ok JVM-final (jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate' s) t (jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action' ta'')
using aok1 aok2
by -(case-tac [!] s,auto simp add: final-thread.actions-ok-iff elim: rev-iffD1[OF - thread-oks-ts-change]
cond-action-oks-final-change)
moreover have sc.execute.correct-state-ts P Φ (thr (jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate' s)) (shr (jvm-mstate-of-jvm-mstate' s))
using inv
by(cases s)(auto intro!: ts-okI simp add: sc-jvm-state-invar-def sc.execute.correct-jvm-state-def dest: ts-okD)
ultimately
have jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action' ta' = jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action' ta'' ∧
jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state' x' = jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state' x'' ∧
m' = m''
by -(drule (4) multithreaded-base.deterministicD[OF det], simp-all)
moreover from exec1 exec2 x
have (ta', (fst x', m', snd x')) ∈ sc-exec P t (xcp, shr s, frs)
and (ta'', (fst x'', m'', snd x'')) ∈ sc-exec P t (xcp, shr s, frs)
by(auto simp add: sc.exec-1-def)
hence jvm-ta-state'-ok P (ta', (fst x', m', snd x'))
and jvm-ta-state'-ok P (ta'', (fst x'', m'', snd x''))
by(blast intro: sc.exec-correct-state[OF assms correct ok])+
hence ta' = jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action P (jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action' ta')
and ta'' = jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action P (jvm-thread-action-of-jvm-thread-action' ta'')
and x' = jvm-thread-state'-of-jvm-thread-state P (jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state' x')
and x'' = jvm-thread-state'-of-jvm-thread-state P (jvm-thread-state-of-jvm-thread-state' x'')
apply -
apply(case-tac [!] ta')
apply(case-tac [!] ta'')
apply(case-tac [!] x')
apply(case-tac [!] x'')
apply(fastforce simp add: jvm-thread-action'-of-jvm-thread-action-def jvm-thread-action'-ok-def intro!: map-idI[symmetric] convert-new-thread-action-eqI dest: bspec)+
done
ultimately
show ta' = ta'' ∧ x' = x'' ∧ m' = m'' by simp
qed(rule invariant3p-sc-jvm-state-invar[OF assms])
qed

```

9.8.1 Round-robin scheduler

interpretation *JVM-rr*:

 sc-round-robin-base

 JVM-final' sc-mexec P convert-RA Jinja-output

 for *P*

 .

definition *sc-rr-JVM-start-state :: nat ⇒ 'm prog ⇒ thread-id fifo round-robin*

 where *sc-rr-JVM-start-state n0 P = JVM-rr.round-robin-start n0 (sc-start-tid P)*

definition *exec-JVM-rr* ::

nat \Rightarrow *addr jvm-prog* \Rightarrow *cname* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow *addr val list* \Rightarrow
 $(\text{thread-id} \times (\text{addr}, \text{thread-id})) \text{ obs-event list}$,
 $(\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}) \text{ locks} \times ((\text{thread-id}, \text{addr jvm-thread-state}') \times \text{addr released-locks}) \text{ RBT.rbt} \times \text{heap}$
 \times
 $(\text{thread-id}, \text{addr wait-set-status}) \text{ RBT.rbt} \times \text{thread-id rs} \text{ tllist}$

where

exec-JVM-rr n0 P C M vs = *JVM-rr.exec P n0 (sc-rr-JVM-start-state n0 P) (sc-jvm-start-state-refine P C M vs)*

interpretation *JVM-rr*:

sc-round-robin
JVM-final' sc-mexec P convert-RA Ninja-output
for *P*
by(*unfold-locales*)

lemma *JVM-rr*:

assumes *wf-jvm-prog Φ P*
shows
sc-scheduler
JVM-final' (sc-mexec P) convert-RA
 $(\text{JVM-rr.round-robin P n0}) (\text{pick-wakeup-via-sel} (\lambda s P. \text{rm-sel } s (\lambda (k, v). P k v))) \text{ JVM-rr.round-robin-invar}$
 $(\text{sc-jvm-state-invar P } \Phi)$
unfolding *sc-scheduler-def*
apply(rule *JVM-rr.round-robin-scheduler*)
apply(rule *sc-exec-deterministic[OF assms]*)
done

9.8.2 Random scheduler

interpretation *JVM-rnd*:

sc-random-scheduler-base
JVM-final' sc-mexec P convert-RA Ninja-output
for *P*
.

definition *sc-rnd-JVM-start-state* :: *Random.seed* \Rightarrow *random-scheduler*
where *sc-rnd-JVM-start-state seed* = *seed*

definition *exec-JVM-rnd* ::

Random.seed \Rightarrow *addr jvm-prog* \Rightarrow *cname* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow *addr val list* \Rightarrow
 $(\text{thread-id} \times (\text{addr}, \text{thread-id})) \text{ obs-event list}$,
 $(\text{addr}, \text{thread-id}) \text{ locks} \times ((\text{thread-id}, \text{addr jvm-thread-state}') \times \text{addr released-locks}) \text{ RBT.rbt} \times \text{heap}$
 \times
 $(\text{thread-id}, \text{addr wait-set-status}) \text{ RBT.rbt} \times \text{thread-id rs} \text{ tllist}$

where *exec-JVM-rnd seed P C M vs* = *JVM-rnd.exec P (sc-rnd-JVM-start-state seed) (sc-jvm-start-state-refine P C M vs)*

interpretation *JVM-rnd*:

sc-random-scheduler
JVM-final' sc-mexec P convert-RA Ninja-output
for *P*
by(*unfold-locales*)

```

lemma JVM-rnd:
  assumes wf-jvm-progΦ P
  shows
    sc-scheduler
      JVM-final' (sc-mexec P) convert-RA
      (JVM-rnd.random-scheduler P) (pick-wakeup-via-sel ( $\lambda s. rm\text{-sel } s (\lambda (k,v). P k v))$ ) ( $\lambda \_. \_. True$ )
      (sc-jvm-state-invar P  $\Phi$ )
  unfolding sc-scheduler-def
  apply(rule JVM-rnd.random-scheduler-scheduler)
  apply(rule sc-exec-deterministic[OF assms])
  done

ML-val <@{code exec-JVM-rr}>

ML-val <@{code exec-JVM-rnd}>

end

```

9.9 Code generator setup

```

theory Code-Generation
imports
  J-Execute
  JVM-Execute2
  ..../Compiler/Preprocessor
  ..../BV/BCVExec
  ..../Compiler/Compiler
  Coinductive.Lazy-TLLList
  HOL-Library.Code-Cardinality
  HOL-Library.Code-Target-Int
  HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numerical
begin

  Avoid module dependency cycles.

  code-identifier
  | code-module More-Set → (SML) Set
  | code-module Set → (SML) Set
  | code-module Complete-Lattices → (SML) Set
  | code-module Complete-Partial-Order → (SML) Set

  new code equation for insort-insert-key to avoid module dependency cycle with set.

  lemma insort-insert-key-code [code]:
    insort-insert-key f x xs =
    (if List.member (map f xs) (f x) then xs else insort-key f x xs)
  by(simp add: insort-insert-key-def List.member-def split del: if-split)

  equations on predicate operations for code inlining

  lemma eq-i-o-conv-single: eq-i-o = Predicate.single
  by(rule ext)(simp add: Predicate.single-bind eq.equation)

  lemma eq-o-i-conv-single: eq-o-i = Predicate.single
  by(rule ext)(simp add: Predicate.single-bind eq.equation)

```

```

lemma sup-case-exp-case-exp-same:
  sup-class.sup
    (case-exp cNew cNewArray cCast cInstanceOf cVal cBinOp cVar cLAss cAAcc cAAss cALen cFAcc
  cFAss cCAS cCall cBlock cSync cInSync cSeq cCond cWhile cThrow cTry e)
    (case-exp cNew' cNewArray' cCast' cInstanceOf' cVal' cBinOp' cVar' cLAss' cAAcc' cAAss'
  cALen' cFAcc' cFAss' cCAS' cCall' cBlock' cSync' cInSync' cSeq' cCond' cWhile' cThrow' cTry' e)
  =
  (case e of
    new C ⇒ sup-class.sup (cNew C) (cNew' C)
  | newArray T e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cNewArray T e) (cNewArray' T e)
  | Cast T e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cCast T e) (cCast' T e)
  | InstanceOf e T ⇒ sup-class.sup (cInstanceOf e T) (cInstanceOf' e T)
  | Val v ⇒ sup-class.sup (cVal v) (cVal' v)
  | BinOp e bop e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cBinOp e bop e') (cBinOp' e bop e')
  | Var V ⇒ sup-class.sup (cVar V) (cVar' V)
  | LAss V e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cLAss V e) (cLAss' V e)
  | AAcc a e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cAAcc a e) (cAAcc' a e)
  | AAss a i e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cAAss a i e) (cAAss' a i e)
  | ALen a ⇒ sup-class.sup (cALen a) (cALen' a)
  | FAcc e F D ⇒ sup-class.sup (cFAcc e F D) (cFAcc' e F D)
  | FAff e F D e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cFAff e F D e') (cFAff' e F D e')
  | CompareAndSwap e D F e' e'' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cCAS e D F e' e'') (cCAS' e D F e' e'')
  | Call e M es ⇒ sup-class.sup (cCall e M es) (cCall' e M es)
  | Block V T vo e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cBlock V T vo e) (cBlock' V T vo e)
  | Synchronized v e e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cSync v e e') (cSync' v e e')
  | InSynchronized v a e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cInSync v a e) (cInSync' v a e)
  | Seq e e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cSeq e e') (cSeq' e e')
  | Cond b e e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cCond b e e') (cCond' b e e')
  | While b e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cWhile b e) (cWhile' b e)
  | throw e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cThrow e) (cThrow' e)
  | TryCatch e C V e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cTry e C V e') (cTry' e C V e'))
  apply(cases e)
  apply(simp-all)
  done

```

```

lemma sup-case-exp-case-exp-other:
  fixes p :: 'a :: semilattice-sup shows
  sup-class.sup
    (case-exp cNew cNewArray cCast cInstanceOf cVal cBinOp cVar cLAss cAAcc cAAss cALen cFAcc
  cFAss cCAS cCall cBlock cSync cInSync cSeq cCond cWhile cThrow cTry e)
    (sup-class.sup (case-exp cNew' cNewArray' cCast' cInstanceOf' cVal' cBinOp' cVar' cLAss' cAAcc'
  cAAss' cALen' cFAcc' cFAss' cCAS' cCall' cBlock' cSync' cInSync' cSeq' cCond' cWhile' cThrow'
  cTry' e) p) =
    sup-class.sup (case e of
      new C ⇒ sup-class.sup (cNew C) (cNew' C)
    | newArray T e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cNewArray T e) (cNewArray' T e)
    | Cast T e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cCast T e) (cCast' T e)
    | InstanceOf e T ⇒ sup-class.sup (cInstanceOf e T) (cInstanceOf' e T)
    | Val v ⇒ sup-class.sup (cVal v) (cVal' v)
    | BinOp e bop e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cBinOp e bop e') (cBinOp' e bop e')
    | Var V ⇒ sup-class.sup (cVar V) (cVar' V)
    | LAss V e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cLAss V e) (cLAss' V e)
    | AAcc a e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cAAcc a e) (cAAcc' a e)
    | AAss a i e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cAAss a i e) (cAAss' a i e)

```

```

| ALen a ⇒ sup-class.sup (cALen a) (cALen' a)
| FAcc e F D ⇒ sup-class.sup (cFAcc e F D) (cFAcc' e F D)
| FAAss e F D e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cFAss e F D e') (cFAss' e F D e')
| CompareAndSwap e D F e' e'' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cCAS e D F e' e'') (cCAS' e D F e' e'')
| Call e M es ⇒ sup-class.sup (cCall e M es) (cCall' e M es)
| Block V T vo e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cBlock V T vo e) (cBlock' V T vo e)
| Synchronized v e e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cSync v e e') (cSync' v e e')
| InSynchronized v a e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cInSync v a e) (cInSync' v a e)
| Seq e e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cSeq e e') (cSeq' e e')
| Cond b e e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cCond b e e') (cCond' b e e')
| While b e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cWhile b e) (cWhile' b e)
| throw e ⇒ sup-class.sup (cThrow e) (cThrow' e)
| TryCatch e C V e' ⇒ sup-class.sup (cTry e C V e') (cTry' e C V e')) p
apply(cases e)
apply(simp-all add: inf-sup-aci sup.assoc)
done

lemma sup-bot1: sup-class.sup bot a = (a :: 'a :: {semilattice-sup, order-bot})
by(rule sup-absorb2)auto

lemma sup-bot2: sup-class.sup a bot = (a :: 'a :: {semilattice-sup, order-bot})
by(rule sup-absorb1) auto

lemma sup-case-val-case-val-same:
  sup-class.sup (case-val cUnit cNull cBool cIntg cAddr v) (case-val cUnit' cNull' cBool' cIntg' cAddr'
v) =
  (case v of
    Unit ⇒ sup-class.sup cUnit cUnit'
  | Null ⇒ sup-class.sup cNull cNull'
  | Bool b ⇒ sup-class.sup (cBool b) (cBool' b)
  | Intg i ⇒ sup-class.sup (cIntg i) (cIntg' i)
  | Addr a ⇒ sup-class.sup (cAddr a) (cAddr' a))
apply(cases v)
apply simp-all
done

lemma sup-case-bool-case-bool-same:
  sup-class.sup (case-bool t f b) (case-bool t' f' b) =
  (if b then sup-class.sup t t' else sup-class.sup f f')
by simp

lemmas predicate-code-inline [code-unfold] =
  Predicate.single-bind Predicate.bind-single split
  eq-i-o-conv-single eq-o-i-conv-single
  sup-case-exp-case-exp-same sup-case-exp-case-exp-other unit.case
  sup-bot1 sup-bot2 sup-case-val-case-val-same sup-case-bool-case-bool-same

lemma op-case-ty-case-ty-same:
  f (case-ty cVoid cBoolean cInteger cNT cClass cArray e)
  (case-ty cVoid' cBoolean' cInteger' cNT' cClass' cArray' e) =
  (case e of
    Void ⇒ f cVoid cVoid'
  | Boolean ⇒ f cBoolean cBoolean'
  | Integer ⇒ f cInteger cInteger'

```

```

|  $NT \Rightarrow f cNT cNT'$ 
|  $Class\ C \Rightarrow f (cClass\ C) (cClass'\ C)$ 
|  $Array\ T \Rightarrow f (cArray\ T) (cArray'\ T)$ 

```

by(*simp split: ty.split*)

declare *op-case-ty-case-ty-same*[**where** $f = sup\text{-}class.sup$, *code-unfold*]

lemma *op-case-bop-case-bop-same*:

```

 $f (case\text{-}bop\ cEq\ cNotEq\ cLessThan\ cLessOrEqual\ cGreaterThan\ cGreaterOrEqual\ cAdd\ cSubtract\ cMult\ cDiv\ cMod\ cBinAnd\ cBinOr\ cBinXor\ cShiftLeft\ cShiftRightZeros\ cShiftRightSigned\ bop)$ 
 $(case\text{-}bop\ cEq'\ cNotEq'\ cLessThan'\ cLessOrEqual'\ cGreaterThan'\ cGreaterOrEqual'\ cAdd'\ cSubtract'\ cMult'\ cDiv'\ cMod'\ cBinAnd'\ cBinOr'\ cBinXor'\ cShiftLeft'\ cShiftRightZeros'\ cShiftRightSigned'\ bop)$ 
 $= case\text{-}bop\ (f\ cEq\ cEq')\ (f\ cNotEq\ cNotEq')\ (f\ cLessThan\ cLessThan')\ (f\ cLessOrEqual\ cLessOrEqual')\ (f\ cGreaterThan\ cGreaterThan')\ (f\ cGreaterOrEqual\ cGreaterOrEqual')\ (f\ cAdd\ cAdd')\ (f\ cSubtract\ cSubtract')\ (f\ cMult\ cMult')\ (f\ cDiv\ cDiv')\ (f\ cMod\ cMod')\ (f\ cBinAnd\ cBinAnd')\ (f\ cBinOr\ cBinOr')\ (f\ cBinXor\ cBinXor')\ (f\ cShiftLeft\ cShiftLeft')\ (f\ cShiftRightZeros\ cShiftRightZeros')\ (f\ cShiftRightSigned\ cShiftRightSigned')\ bop$ 

```

by(*simp split: bop.split*)

lemma *sup-case-bop-case-bop-other* [*code-unfold*]:

fixes *p* :: '*a* :: semilattice-sup **shows**

```

 $sup\text{-}class.sup\ (case\text{-}bop\ cEq\ cNotEq\ cLessThan\ cLessOrEqual\ cGreaterThan\ cGreaterOrEqual\ cAdd\ cSubtract\ cMult\ cDiv\ cMod\ cBinAnd\ cBinOr\ cBinXor\ cShiftLeft\ cShiftRightZeros\ cShiftRightSigned\ bop)$ 
 $(sup\text{-}class.sup\ (case\text{-}bop\ cEq'\ cNotEq'\ cLessThan'\ cLessOrEqual'\ cGreaterThan'\ cGreaterOrEqual'\ cAdd'\ cSubtract'\ cMult'\ cDiv'\ cMod'\ cBinAnd'\ cBinOr'\ cBinXor'\ cShiftLeft'\ cShiftRightZeros'\ cShiftRightSigned'\ bop)\ p)$ 

```

```

 $= sup\text{-}class.sup\ (case\text{-}bop\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cEq\ cEq')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cNotEq\ cNotEq')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cLessThan\ cLessThan')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cLessOrEqual\ cLessOrEqual')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cGreaterThan\ cGreaterThan')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cGreaterOrEqual\ cGreaterOrEqual')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cAdd\ cAdd')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cSubtract\ cSubtract')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cMult\ cMult')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cDiv\ cDiv')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cMod\ cMod')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cBinAnd\ cBinAnd')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cBinOr\ cBinOr')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cBinXor\ cBinXor')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cShiftLeft\ cShiftLeft')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cShiftRightZeros\ cShiftRightZeros')\ (sup\text{-}class.sup\ cShiftRightSigned\ cShiftRightSigned')\ bop)\ p$ 

```

apply(*cases bop*)

apply(*simp-all add: inf-sup-aci sup.assoc*)

done

declare *op-case-bop-case-bop-same*[**where** $f = sup\text{-}class.sup$, *code-unfold*]

end

theory JVMExec-Execute

imports

..*JVM/JVMExec*

ExternalCall-Execute

begin

9.9.1 Manual translation of the JVM to use sets instead of predicates

locale *JVM-heap-execute* = *heap-execute* +
constrains *addr2thread-id* :: ('*addr* :: *addr*) \Rightarrow '*thread-id*

```

and thread-id2addr :: 'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'addr
and spurious-wakeups :: bool
and empty-heap :: 'heap
and allocate :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  htype  $\Rightarrow$  ('heap  $\times$  'addr) set
and typeof-addr :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  htype option
and heap-read :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val set
and heap-write :: 'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr  $\Rightarrow$  addr-loc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val  $\Rightarrow$  'heap set

sublocale JVM-heap-execute < execute: JVM-heap-base
  addr2thread-id thread-id2addr
  spurious-wakeups
  empty-heap allocate typeof-addr
   $\lambda h\ a\ ad\ v.\ v \in \text{heap-read } h\ a\ ad\ \lambda h\ a\ ad\ v\ h'.\ h' \in \text{heap-write } h\ a\ ad\ v$ 
  .
  context JVM-heap-execute begin

  definition exec-instr :: 
    'addr instr  $\Rightarrow$  'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  'heap  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list  $\Rightarrow$  'addr val list
     $\Rightarrow$  cname  $\Rightarrow$  mname  $\Rightarrow$  pc  $\Rightarrow$  'addr frame list
     $\Rightarrow$  (('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action  $\times$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state) set
  where [simp]: exec-instr = execute.exec-instr

  lemma exec-instr-code [code]:
    exec-instr (Load n) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
     $\{(\varepsilon, (\text{None}, h, ((\text{loc} ! n) \# \text{stk}, \text{loc}, C_0, M_0, pc+1)\#frs))\}$ 
    exec-instr (Store n) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
     $\{(\varepsilon, (\text{None}, h, (\text{tl stk}, \text{loc}[n:=hd stk], C_0, M_0, pc+1)\#frs))\}$ 
    exec-instr (Push v) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
     $\{(\varepsilon, (\text{None}, h, (v \# \text{stk}, \text{loc}, C_0, M_0, pc+1)\#frs))\}$ 
    exec-instr (New C) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
    (let HA = allocate h (Class-type C) in
      if HA = {} then  $\{(\varepsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory}], h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\}$ 
      else do { (h', a)  $\leftarrow$  HA;  $\{(\{\text{NewHeapElem a (Class-type C)}\}, \text{None}, h', (\text{Addr a} \# \text{stk}, \text{loc}, C_0, M_0, pc + 1)\#frs)\} \}$ 
    )
    exec-instr (NewArray T) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
    (let si = the-Intg (hd stk);
     i = nat (sint si)
     in if si <s 0
       then  $\{(\varepsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NegativeArraySize}], h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\}$ 
       else let HA = allocate h (Array-type T i) in
         if HA = {} then  $\{(\varepsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt OutOfMemory}], h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\}$ 
         else do { (h', a)  $\leftarrow$  HA;  $\{(\{\text{NewHeapElem a (Array-type T i)}\}, \text{None}, h', (\text{Addr a} \# \text{tl stk}, \text{loc}, C_0, M_0, pc + 1) \# frs)\} \}$ 
       )
    exec-instr ALoad P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
    (let va = hd (tl stk)
     in if va = Null then  $\{(\varepsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}], h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\}$ 
     else
       let i = the-Intg (hd stk);
       a = the-Addr va;
       len = alen-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h a))
     in if i <s 0 \vee int len \leq sint i then
        $\{(\varepsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException}], h, (\text{stk}, \text{loc}, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs)\}$ 
  
```

```

else do {
     $v \leftarrow \text{heap-read } h \ a \ (\text{ACell} \ (\text{nat} \ (\text{sint} \ i)))$ ;
     $\{\{\text{ReadMem } a \ (\text{ACell} \ (\text{nat} \ (\text{sint} \ i))) \ v\}, \text{None}, \ h, \ (v \ # \ \text{tl} \ (\text{tl} \ \text{stk}), \ \text{loc}, \ C0, \ M0, \ pc + 1) \ # \ frs\}$ 
}
exec-instr AStore P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(let ve = hd stk;
 vi = hd (tl stk);
 va = hd (tl (tl stk))
in (if va = Null then  $\{(\epsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) \ # frs)\}$ 
 else (let i = the-Intg vi;
 idx = nat (sint i);
 a = the-Addr va;
 hT = the (typeof-addr h a);
 T = ty-of-htype hT;
 len = alen-of-htype hT;
 U = the (execute.typeof-h h ve)
 in (if i < s 0  $\vee$  int len  $\leq$  sint i then
      $\{(\epsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayIndexOutOfBounds}], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) \ # frs)\}$ 
frs)
     else if  $P \vdash U \leq \text{the-Array } T$  then
         do {
              $h' \leftarrow \text{heap-write } h \ a \ (\text{ACell} \ idx) \ ve$ ;
              $\{\{\text{WriteMem } a \ (\text{ACell} \ idx) \ ve\}, \text{None}, h', \ (\text{tl} \ (\text{tl} \ \text{stk}), \ \text{loc}, \ C0, \ M0, \ pc + 1) \ # frs\}$ 
}
         else  $\{(\epsilon, ([\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt ArrayStore}], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) \ # frs))\}\})$ 
)
exec-instr ALength P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
 $\{(\epsilon, (\text{let } va = \text{hd } \text{stk}$ 
    in if va = Null
        then ( $[\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) \ # frs$ )
        else (None, h, (Intg (word-of-int (int (alen-of-htype (the (typeof-addr h (the-Addr va)))))))  $\# tl \ \text{stk}, \ \text{loc}, \ C0, \ M0, \ pc + 1) \ # frs\}))\}$ 
exec-instr (Getfield F C) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(let v = hd stk
in if v = Null then  $\{(\epsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) \ # frs)\}$ 
else let a = the-Addr v
    in do {
         $v' \leftarrow \text{heap-read } h \ a \ (\text{CField} \ C \ F)$ ;
         $\{\{\text{ReadMem } a \ (\text{CField} \ C \ F) \ v'\}, \text{None}, h, \ (v' \ # \ (\text{tl} \ \text{stk}), \ \text{loc}, \ C0, \ M0, \ pc + 1) \ # frs\}$ 
}
exec-instr (Putfield F C) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(let v = hd stk;
 r = hd (tl stk)
in if r = Null then  $\{(\epsilon, [\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer}], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) \ # frs)\}$ 
else let a = the-Addr r
    in do {
         $h' \leftarrow \text{heap-write } h \ a \ (\text{CField} \ C \ F) \ v$ ;
         $\{\{\text{WriteMem } a \ (\text{CField} \ C \ F) \ v\}, \text{None}, h', \ (\text{tl} \ (\text{tl} \ \text{stk}), \ \text{loc}, \ C0, \ M0, \ pc + 1) \ # frs\}$ 
}
exec-instr (Checkcast T) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
 $\{(\epsilon, \text{let } U = \text{the} (\text{typeof}_h (\text{hd } \text{stk}))$ 
    in if  $P \vdash U \leq T$  then (None, h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc + 1)  $\# frs$ )
    else ( $[\text{execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt ClassCast}], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) \ # frs\))\}$ 

```

```

exec-instr (Instanceof T) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
{( $\varepsilon$ , None, h, (Bool (hd stk  $\neq$  Null  $\wedge$  P  $\vdash$  the (typeofh (hd stk))  $\leq$  T) # tl stk, loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs) }

exec-instr (Invoke M n) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(let r = stk ! n
in (if r = Null then {( $\varepsilon$ , [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)
else (let ps = rev (take n stk);
      a = the-Addr r;
      T = the (typeof-addr h a);
      (D,M',Ts,meth)= method P (class-type-of T) M
      in case meth of
          Native  $\Rightarrow$ 
          do {
              (ta, va, h')  $\leftarrow$  red-external-aggr P t a M ps h;
              {(extTA2JVM P ta, extRet2JVM n h' stk loc C0 M0 pc frs va)}
          }
          | [(mxs,mxl,ins,xt)]  $\Rightarrow$ 
            let f' = ([],[r]@ps@(replicate mxl undefined-value),D,M,0)
            in {( $\varepsilon$ , None, h, f' # (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)})})

exec-instr Return P t h stk0 loc0 C0 M0 pc frs =
{( $\varepsilon$ , (if frs= [] then (None, h, [])
else
  let v = hd stk0;
  (stk,loc,C,m,pc) = hd frs;
  n = length (fst (snd (method P C0 M0)))
  in (None, h, (v#(drop (n+1) stk),loc,C,m,pc+1) # tl frs)))}

exec-instr Pop P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs = {( $\varepsilon$ , (None, h, (tl stk, loc, C0, M0, pc+1) # frs))}

exec-instr Dup P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs = {( $\varepsilon$ , (None, h, (hd stk # stk, loc, C0, M0, pc+1) # frs))}

exec-instr Swap P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs = {( $\varepsilon$ , (None, h, (hd (tl stk) # hd stk # tl (tl stk), loc, C0, M0, pc+1) # frs))}

exec-instr (BinOpInstr bop) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
{( $\varepsilon$ ,
  case the (execute.binop bop (hd (tl stk)) (hd stk)) of
    Inl v  $\Rightarrow$  (None, h, (v # tl (tl stk), loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs)
    | Inr a  $\Rightarrow$  (Some a, h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs))}

exec-instr (IfFalse i) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
{( $\varepsilon$ , (let pc' = if hd stk = Bool False then nat(int pc+i) else pc+1
  in (None, h, (tl stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)))}

exec-instr (Goto i) P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs = {( $\varepsilon$ , (None, h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, nat(int pc+i)) # frs))}

exec-instr ThrowExc P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
{( $\varepsilon$ , (let xp' = if hd stk = Null then [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer] else [the-Addr(hd stk)]
  in (xp', h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)))}

exec-instr MEnter P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
{(let v = hd stk
  in if v = Null
    then ( $\varepsilon$ , [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)
    else ({Lock  $\rightarrow$  the-Addr v, SyncLock (the-Addr v)}, None, h, (tl stk, loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs))}

exec-instr MExit P t h stk loc C0 M0 pc frs =
(let v = hd stk
  in if v = Null
    then {( $\varepsilon$ , [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt NullPointer], h, (stk, loc, C0, M0, pc) # frs)}
    else {({Unlock  $\rightarrow$  the-Addr v, SyncUnlock (the-Addr v)}, None, h, (tl stk, loc, C0, M0, pc + 1) # frs)},
```

```

 $\{\{UnlockFail \rightarrow the-Addr v\}, [execute.addr-of-sys-xcpt IllegalMonitorState], h, (stk, loc, C_0, M_0, pc) \# frs\})$ 
by(auto 4 4 intro: rev-bexI)

definition exec :: 'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-ta-state set
where exec = execute.exec

lemma exec-code:
exec P t (xcp, h, []) = {}
exec P t (None, h, (stk, loc, C, M, pc)  $\#$  frs) = exec-instr (instrs-of P C M ! pc) P t h stk loc C M pc frs
exec P t ([a], h, fr  $\#$  frs) = {(\varepsilon, execute.exception-step P a h fr frs)}
by(simp-all add: exec-def)

definition exec-1 :: 
'addr jvm-prog  $\Rightarrow$  'thread-id  $\Rightarrow$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state
 $\Rightarrow$  (('addr, 'thread-id, 'heap) jvm-thread-action  $\times$  ('addr, 'heap) jvm-state) Predicate.pred
where exec-1 P t σ = pred-of-set (exec P t σ)

lemma exec-1I: execute.exec-1 P t σ ta σ'  $\Longrightarrow$  Predicate.eval (exec-1 P t σ) (ta, σ')
by(erule execute.exec-1.cases)(simp add: exec-1-def exec-def)

lemma exec-1E:
assumes Predicate.eval (exec-1 P t σ) (ta, σ')
obtains execute.exec-1 P t σ ta σ'
using assms
by(auto simp add: exec-1-def exec-def intro: execute.exec-1.intros)

lemma exec-1-eq [simp]:
Predicate.eval (exec-1 P t σ) (ta, σ')  $\longleftrightarrow$  execute.exec-1 P t σ ta σ'
by(auto intro: exec-1I elim: exec-1E)

lemma exec-1-eq':
Predicate.eval (exec-1 P t σ) = ( $\lambda$ (ta, σ'). execute.exec-1 P t σ ta σ')
by(rule ext)(simp split: prod.split)

end

lemmas [code] =
JVM-heap-execute.exec-instr-code
JVM-heap-base.exception-step.simps
JVM-heap-execute.exec-code
JVM-heap-execute.exec-1-def

end

theory JVM-Execute
imports
SC-Schedulers
JVMExec-Execute
../BV/BVProgressThreaded
begin

```

abbreviation *sc-heap-read-cset* :: *heap* \Rightarrow *addr* \Rightarrow *addr-loc* \Rightarrow *addr val set*
where *sc-heap-read-cset h ad al* \equiv *set-of-pred (sc-heap-read-i-i-i-o h ad al)*

abbreviation *sc-heap-write-cset* :: *heap* \Rightarrow *addr* \Rightarrow *addr-loc* \Rightarrow *addr val* \Rightarrow *heap set*
where *sc-heap-write-cset h ad al v* \equiv *set-of-pred (sc-heap-write-i-i-i-i-o h ad al v)*

interpretation *sc*:

JVM-heap-execute
addr2thread-id
thread-id2addr
sc-spurious-wakeups
sc-empty
sc-allocate P
sc-typeof-addr
sc-heap-read-cset
sc-heap-write-cset
rewrites $\bigwedge h ad al v. v \in sc\text{-}heap\text{-}read\text{-}cset h ad al \equiv sc\text{-}heap\text{-}read h ad al v$
and $\bigwedge h ad al v h'. h' \in sc\text{-}heap\text{-}write\text{-}cset h ad al v \equiv sc\text{-}heap\text{-}write h ad al v h'$
for *P*
apply(*simp-all add: eval-sc-heap-read-i-i-i-o eval-sc-heap-write-i-i-i-i-o*)
done

interpretation *sc-execute*:

JVM-conf-read
addr2thread-id
thread-id2addr
sc-spurious-wakeups
sc-empty
sc-allocate P
sc-typeof-addr
sc-heap-read
sc-heap-write
sc-hconf P
for *P*
by(*unfold-locales*)

fun *sc-mexec* ::

addr jvm-prog \Rightarrow *thread-id* \Rightarrow (*addr jvm-thread-state* \times *heap*)
 \Rightarrow ((*addr, thread-id, heap*) *jvm-thread-action* \times *addr jvm-thread-state* \times *heap*) *Predicate.pred*
where
sc-mexec P t ((xcp, frs), h) =
sc.exec-1 (TYPE(addr jvm-method)) P P t (xcp, h, frs) \geqslant (\lambda(ta, xcp, h, frs). Predicate.single (ta, (xcp, frs), h))

abbreviation *sc-jvm-start-state-refine* ::

addr jvm-prog \Rightarrow *cname* \Rightarrow *mname* \Rightarrow *addr val list* \Rightarrow
(*addr, thread-id, heap, (thread-id, (addr jvm-thread-state) \times *addr released-locks*) rm, (thread-id, addr wait-set-status) rm, thread-id rs*) *state-refine*
where
sc-jvm-start-state-refine \equiv
sc-start-state-refine (rm-empty ()) rm-update (rm-empty ()) (rs-empty ()) (\lambda C M Ts T (mxs, mxl0, b) vs. (None, [([], Null \# vs @ replicate mxl0 undefined-value, C, M, 0)]))

abbreviation $sc\text{-jvm-state-invar} :: addr jvm\text{-prog} \Rightarrow typ_P \Rightarrow (addr, thread-id, addr jvm\text{-thread-state}, heap, addr)$
state set
where $sc\text{-jvm-state-invar } P \Phi \equiv \{s. sc\text{-execute.correct-state-ts } P \Phi (thr s) (shr s)\}$

lemma $eval\text{-sc-mexec}:$
 $(\lambda t xm ta x'm'. Predicate.eval (sc\text{-mexec } P t xm) (ta, x'm')) =$
 $(\lambda t ((xcp, frs), h) ta ((xcp', frs'), h'). sc\text{-execute.exec-1} (TYPE(addr jvm\text{-method})) P P t (xcp, h,$
 $frs) ta (xcp', h', frs'))$
by(rule ext)+(fastforce intro!: SUP1-I simp add: sc.execute-1-eq')

lemma $sc\text{-jvm-start-state-invar}:$
assumes $wf\text{-jvm-prog}_\Phi P$
and $sc\text{-wf-start-state } P C M vs$
shows $sc\text{-state-}\alpha (sc\text{-jvm-start-state-refine } P C M vs) \in sc\text{-jvm-state-invar } P \Phi$
using $sc\text{-execute.correct-jvm-state-initial}[OF assms]$
by(simp add: sc.execute.correct-jvm-state-def)

9.9.2 Round-robin scheduler

interpretation $JVM\text{-rr}:$
sc-round-robin-base
 $JVM\text{-final } sc\text{-mexec } P \text{ convert-RA } \text{Jinja-output}$
for P
.

definition $sc\text{-rr-JVM-start-state} :: nat \Rightarrow 'm prog \Rightarrow thread-id fifo round-robin$
where $sc\text{-rr-JVM-start-state } n0 P = JVM\text{-rr.round-robin-start } n0 (sc\text{-start-tid } P)$

definition $exec\text{-JVM-rr} ::$
 $nat \Rightarrow addr jvm\text{-prog} \Rightarrow cname \Rightarrow mname \Rightarrow addr val list \Rightarrow$
 $(thread-id \times (addr, thread-id) obs\text{-event list},$
 $(addr, thread-id) locks \times ((thread-id, addr jvm\text{-thread-state} \times addr released-locks) rm \times heap) \times$
 $(thread-id, addr wait-set-status) rm \times thread-id rs) tlist$
where
 $exec\text{-JVM-rr } n0 P C M vs = JVM\text{-rr.exec } P n0 (sc\text{-rr-JVM-start-state } n0 P) (sc\text{-jvm-start-state-refine } P C M vs)$

interpretation $JVM\text{-rr}:$
sc-round-robin
 $JVM\text{-final } sc\text{-mexec } P \text{ convert-RA } \text{Jinja-output}$
for P
by(unfold-locales)

lemma $JVM\text{-rr}:$
assumes $wf\text{-jvm-prog}_\Phi P$
shows
sc-scheduler
 $JVM\text{-final } (sc\text{-mexec } P) \text{ convert-RA}$
 $(JVM\text{-rr.round-robin } P n0) (\text{pick-wakeup-via-sel } (\lambda s P. rm\text{-sel } s (\lambda(k, v). P k v))) JVM\text{-rr.round-robin-invar}$
 $(sc\text{-jvm-state-invar } P \Phi)$
unfolding *sc-scheduler-def*
apply(rule *JVM-rr.round-robin-scheduler*)
apply(unfold *eval-sc-mexec*)
apply(rule *sc-execute.mexec-deterministic*[OF assms *sc-deterministic-heap-ops*])

```
apply(simp add: sc-spurious-wakeups)
done
```

9.9.3 Random scheduler

```
interpretation JVM-rnd:
  sc-random-scheduler-base
  JVM-final sc-mexec P convert-RA Ninja-output
  for P
  .

definition sc-rnd-JVM-start-state :: Random.seed ⇒ random-scheduler
where sc-rnd-JVM-start-state seed = seed

definition exec-JVM-rnd :: 
  Random.seed ⇒ addr jvm-prog ⇒ cname ⇒ mname ⇒ addr val list ⇒
  (thread-id × (addr, thread-id) obs-event list,
   (addr, thread-id) locks × ((thread-id, addr jvm-thread-state × addr released-locks) rm × heap) ×
   (thread-id, addr wait-set-status) rm × thread-id rs) tlist
where exec-JVM-rnd seed P C M vs = JVM-rnd.exec P (sc-rnd-JVM-start-state seed) (sc-jvm-start-state-refine
P C M vs)

interpretation JVM-rnd:
  sc-random-scheduler
  JVM-final sc-mexec P convert-RA Ninja-output
  for P
  by(unfold-locales)

lemma JVM-rnd:
  assumes wf-jvm-prog $\Phi$  P
  shows
  sc-scheduler
    JVM-final (sc-mexec P) convert-RA
    (JVM-rnd.random-scheduler P) (pick-wakeup-via-sel (λs P. rm-sel s (λ(k,v). P k v))) (λ- -. True)
    (sc-jvm-state-invar P  $\Phi$ )
  unfolding sc-scheduler-def
  apply(rule JVM-rnd.random-scheduler-scheduler)
  apply(unfold eval-sc-mexec)
  apply(rule sc-execute.mexec-deterministic[OF assms sc-deterministic-heap-ops])
  apply(simp add: sc-spurious-wakeups)
done

ML-val <@{code exec-JVM-rr}>

ML-val <@{code exec-JVM-rnd}>

end
```

9.10 String representation of types

```
theory ToString imports
  .. / J / Expr
  .. / JVM / JVMInstructions
```

```

.. / Basic / JT-ICF
begin

class toString =
  fixes toString :: 'a ⇒ String.literal

instantiation bool :: toString begin
definition [code]: toString b = (case b of True ⇒ STR "True" | False ⇒ STR "False")
instance proof qed
end

instantiation char :: toString begin
definition [code]: toString (c :: char) = String.implode [c]
instance proof qed
end

instantiation String.literal :: toString begin
definition [code]: toString (s :: String.literal) = s
instance proof qed
end

fun list-toString :: String.literal ⇒ 'a :: toString list ⇒ String.literal list
where
  list-toString sep [] = []
  | list-toString sep [x] = [toString x]
  | list-toString sep (x#xs) = toString x # sep # list-toString sep xs

instantiation list :: (toString) toString begin
definition [code]:
  toString (xs :: 'a list) = sum-list (STR "[" # list-toString (STR ",")) xs @ [STR "]")]
instance proof qed
end

definition digit-toString :: int ⇒ String.literal
where
  digit-toString k = (if k = 0 then STR "0"
    else if k = 1 then STR "1"
    else if k = 2 then STR "2"
    else if k = 3 then STR "3"
    else if k = 4 then STR "4"
    else if k = 5 then STR "5"
    else if k = 6 then STR "6"
    else if k = 7 then STR "7"
    else if k = 8 then STR "8"
    else if k = 9 then STR "9"
    else undefined)

function int-toString :: int ⇒ String.literal list
where
  int-toString n =
  (if n < 0 then STR "-" # int-toString (- n)
   else if n < 10 then [digit-toString n]
   else int-toString (n div 10) @ [digit-toString (n mod 10)])
by pat-completeness simp

```

termination by size-change

```

instantiation int :: toString begin
definition [code]: toString i = sum-list (int-toString i)
instance proof qed
end

instantiation nat :: toString begin
definition [code]: toString n = toString (int n)
instance proof qed
end

instantiation option :: (toString) toString begin
primrec toString-option :: 'a option ⇒ String.literal where
  toString None = STR "None"
  | toString (Some a) = sum-list [STR "Some (", toString a, STR ")"]
instance proof qed
end

instantiation finfun :: ({toString, card-UNIV, equal, linorder}, toString) toString begin
definition [code]:
  toString (f :: 'a ⇒ 'b) =
    sum-list
      (STR "("
        # toString (finfun-default f)
        # concat (map (λx. [STR ", ", toString x, STR "|-> ", toString (f $ x)]) (finfun-to-list f))
        @ [STR ")"])
instance proof qed
end

instantiation word :: (len) toString begin
definition [code]: toString (w :: 'a word) = toString (sint w)
instance proof qed
end

instantiation fun :: (type, type) toString begin
definition [code]: toString (f :: 'a ⇒ 'b) = STR "fn"
instance proof qed
end

instantiation val :: (toString) toString begin
fun toString-val :: ('a :: toString) val ⇒ String.literal
where
  toString Unit = STR "Unit"
  | toString Null = STR "Null"
  | toString (Bool b) = sum-list [STR "Bool ", toString b]
  | toString (Intg i) = sum-list [STR "Intg ", toString i]
  | toString (Addr a) = sum-list [STR "Addr ", toString a]
instance proof qed
end

instantiation ty :: toString begin
primrec toString-ty :: ty ⇒ String.literal
where

```

```

  toString Void = STR "Void"
| toString Boolean = STR "Boolean"
| toString Integer = STR "Integer"
| toString NT = STR "NT"
| toString (Class C) = sum-list [STR "Class ", toString C]
| toString (T[]) = sum-list [toString T, STR "[]"]
instance proof qed
end

instantiation bop :: toString begin
primrec toString-bop :: bop ⇒ String.literal where
  toString Eq = STR "==""
| toString NotEq = STR "!="
| toString LessThan = STR "<"
| toString LessOrEqual = STR "<="
| toString GreaterThan = STR ">"
| toString GreaterOrEqual = STR ">="
| toString Add = STR "+"
| toString Subtract = STR "-"
| toString Mult = STR "*"
| toString Div = STR "/"
| toString Mod = STR "%"
| toString BinAnd = STR "&"
| toString BinOr = STR "|"
| toString BinXor = STR "^"
| toString ShiftLeft = STR "<<"
| toString ShiftRightZeros = STR ">>"'
| toString ShiftRightSigned = STR ">>>"'
instance proof qed
end

instantiation addr-loc :: toString begin
primrec toString-addr-loc :: addr-loc ⇒ String.literal where
  toString (CField C F) = sum-list [STR "CField ", F, STR "{", C, STR "}"]'
| toString (ACell n) = sum-list [STR "ACell ", toString n]
instance proof qed
end

instantiation htype :: toString begin
fun toString-htype :: htype ⇒ String.literal where
  toString (Class-type C) = C
| toString (Array-type T n) = sum-list [toString T, STR "[", toString n, STR "]"]'
instance proof qed
end

instantiation obs-event :: (toString, toString) toString begin
primrec toString-obs-event :: ('a :: toString, 'b :: toString) obs-event ⇒ String.literal
where
  toString (ExternalCall ad M vs v) =
    sum-list [STR "ExternalCall ", M, STR "(" , toString vs, STR ") = ", toString v]
| toString (ReadMem ad al v) =
    sum-list [STR "ReadMem ", toString ad, STR "@", toString al, STR "= ", toString v]
| toString (WriteMem ad al v) =
    sum-list [STR "WriteMem ", toString ad, STR "@", toString al, STR "= ", toString v]

```

```

| toString (NewHeapElem ad hT) = sum-list [STR "Allocate ", toString ad, STR ":" , toString hT]
| toString (ThreadStart t) = sum-list [STR "ThreadStart ", toString t]
| toString (ThreadJoin t) = sum-list [STR "ThreadJoin ", toString t]
| toString (SyncLock ad) = sum-list [STR "SyncLock ", toString ad]
| toString (SyncUnlock ad) = sum-list [STR "SyncUnlock ", toString ad]
| toString (ObsInterrupt t) = sum-list [STR "Interrupt ", toString t]
| toString (ObsInterrupted t) = sum-list [STR "Interrupted ", toString t]
instance proof qed
end

instantiation prod :: (toString, toString) toString begin
definition toString = ( $\lambda(a, b).$  sum-list [STR "(", toString a, STR ", ", toString b, STR ")"])
instance proof qed
end

instantiation fmod-ext :: (toString) toString begin
definition toString fd = sum-list [STR "{|volatile=", toString (volatile fd), STR ", ", toString (fmod.more fd), STR "|}"]
instance proof qed
end

instantiation unit :: toString begin
definition toString (u :: unit) = STR "()"
instance proof qed
end

instantiation exp :: (toString, toString, toString) toString begin
fun toString-exp :: ('a :: toString, 'b :: toString, 'c :: toString) exp  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal
where
  toString (new C) = sum-list [STR "new ", C]
  | toString (newArray T e) = sum-list [STR "new ", toString T, STR "[", toString e, STR "]"]
  | toString (Cast T e) = sum-list [STR "(", toString T, STR ") (" , toString e, STR ")"]
  | toString (InstanceOf e T) = sum-list [STR "(", toString e, STR ") instanceof ", toString T]
  | toString (Val v) = sum-list [STR "Val (" , toString v, STR ")"]
  | toString (e1 «bop» e2) = sum-list [STR "(", toString e1, STR ") ", toString bop, STR "(", toString e2, STR ")"]
  | toString (Var V) = sum-list [STR "Var ", toString V]
  | toString (V := e) = sum-list [toString V, STR "":= (" , toString e, STR ")"]
  | toString (AAcc a i) = sum-list [STR "(", toString a, STR ")[", toString i, STR "]"]
  | toString (AAss a i e) = sum-list [STR "(", toString a, STR ")[", toString i, STR "] := (" , toString e, STR ")"]
  | toString (ALen a) = sum-list [STR "(", toString a, STR ").length"]
  | toString (FAcc e F D) = sum-list [STR "(", toString e, STR ").", F, STR "{", D, STR "}"]
  | toString (FAss e F D e') = sum-list [STR "(", toString e, STR ").", F, STR "{", D, STR "} := (" , toString e', STR ")"]
  | toString (Call e M es) = sum-list ([STR "(", toString e, STR ").", M, STR "("] @ map toString es @ [STR ")"])
  | toString (Block V T vo e) = sum-list ([STR "{", toString V, STR ":" , toString T] @ (case vo of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  [] | Some v  $\Rightarrow$  [STR "=", toString v]) @ [STR "; ", toString e, STR "}"])
  | toString (Synchronized V e e') = sum-list [STR "synchronized-", toString V, STR "-(", toString e, STR ") {", toString e', STR "}"]
  | toString (InSynchronized V ad e) = sum-list [STR "insynchronized-", toString V, STR "-(", toString ad, STR ") {", toString e, STR "}"]
  | toString (e;;e') = sum-list [toString e, STR "; ", toString e']

```

```

| toString (if (e) e' else e'') = sum-list [STR "if ("', toString e, STR ") { ", toString e', STR " } else { ", toString e'', STR "}]"
| toString (while (e) e') = sum-list [STR "while ("', toString e, STR ") { ", toString e', STR " }"]
| toString (throw e) = sum-list [STR "throw ("', toString e, STR "")]
| toString (try e catch(C V) e') = sum-list [STR "try { ", toString e, STR " } catch ("', C, STR "
", toString V, STR ") { ", toString e', STR " }"]
instance proof qed
end

instantiation instr :: (toString) toString begin
primrec toString-instr :: 'a instr  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal where
  toString (Load i) = sum-list [STR "Load ("', toString i, STR "")]
| toString (Store i) = sum-list [STR "Store ("', toString i, STR "")]
| toString (Push v) = sum-list [STR "Push ("', toString v, STR "")]
| toString (New C) = sum-list [STR "New ", toString C]
| toString (NewArray T) = sum-list [STR "NewArray ", toString T]
| toString ALoad = STR "ALoad"
| toString ASTore = STR "ASTore"
| toString ALength = STR "ALength"
| toString Getfield F D = sum-list [STR "Getfield ", toString F, STR " ", toString D]
| toString Putfield F D = sum-list [STR "Putfield ", toString F, STR " ", toString D]
| toString Checkcast T = sum-list [STR "Checkcast ", toString T]
| toString Instanceof T = sum-list [STR "Instanceof ", toString T]
| toString Invoke M n = sum-list [STR "Invoke ", toString M, STR " ", toString n]
| toString Return = STR "Return"
| toString Pop = STR "Pop"
| toString Dup = STR "Dup"
| toString Swap = STR "Swap"
| toString BinOpInstr bop = sum-list [STR "BinOpInstr ", toString bop]
| toString Goto i = sum-list [STR "Goto ", toString i]
| toString IfFalse i = sum-list [STR "IfFalse ", toString i]
| toString ThrowExc = STR "ThrowExc"
| toString MEnter = STR "monitoreenter"
| toString MExit = STR "monitorexit"
instance proof qed
end

instantiation trie :: (toString, toString) toString begin
definition [code]: toString (t :: ('a, 'b) trie) = toString (tm-to-list t)
instance proof qed
end

instantiation rbt :: ({toString, linorder}, toString) toString begin
definition [code]:
  toString (t :: ('a, 'b) rbt) =
    sum-list (list-toString (STR "[ $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ ]"') (rm-to-list t))
instance proof qed
end

instantiation assoc-list :: (toString, toString) toString begin
definition [code]: toString = toString  $\circ$  Assoc-List.impl-of
instance proof qed
end

```

```

code-printing
class-instance String.literal :: toString  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) -
end

```

9.11 Setup for converter Java2Jinja

```

theory Java2Jinja
imports
  Code-Generation
  ToString
begin

code-identifier
  code-module Java2Jinja  $\rightarrow$  (SML) Code-Generation

definition j-Program :: addr J-mb cdecl list  $\Rightarrow$  addr J-prog
where j-Program = Program

export-code wf-J-prog' j-Program in SML file <JWellForm.ML>
  Functions for extracting calls to the native print method

definition purge where
   $\lambda$ run.
  purge run =
  lmap ( $\lambda$ obs. case obs of ExternalCall - - (Cons (Intg i) -) v  $\Rightarrow$  i)
  (lfilter
    ( $\lambda$ obs. case obs of ExternalCall - M (Cons (Intg i) Nil) -  $\Rightarrow$  M = print | -  $\Rightarrow$  False)
    (lconcat (lmap (llist-of o snd) (llist-of-tllist run))))
  )

  Various other functions

instantiation heapobj :: toString begin
primrec toString-heapobj :: heapobj  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal where
  toString (Obj C fs) = sum-list [STR "(Obj '", toString C, STR "', '", toString fs, STR "')"]
  | toString (Arr T si fs el) =
    sum-list [STR "([", toString si, STR "]", toString T, STR ", ", toString fs, STR ", ", toString
    (map snd (rm-to-list el)), STR "')"]
instance proof qed
end

definition case-llist' where case-llist' = case-llist
definition case-tllist' where case-tllist' = case-tllist
definition terminal' where terminal' = terminal
definition llist-of-tllist' where llist-of-tllist' = llist-of-tllist
definition thr' where thr' = thr
definition shr' where shr' = shr

definition heap-toString :: heap  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal
where heap-toString = toString

definition thread-toString :: (thread-id, (addr expr  $\times$  addr locals)  $\times$  (addr  $\Rightarrow$  f nat)) rbt  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal
where thread-toString = toString

definition thread-toString' :: (thread-id, addr jvm-thread-state'  $\times$  (addr  $\Rightarrow$  f nat)) rbt  $\Rightarrow$  String.literal

```

```

where thread-toString' = toString

definition trace-toString :: thread-id × (addr, thread-id) obs-event list ⇒ String.literal
where trace-toString = toString

code-identifier
code-module Cardinality → (SML) Set
| code-module Code-Cardinality → (SML) Set
| code-module Conditionally-Complete-Lattices → (SML) Set
| code-module List → (SML) Set
| code-module Predicate → (SML) Set
| code-module Parity → (SML) Bit-Operations
| type-class semiring-parity → (SML) Bit-Operations.semiring-parity
| class-instance int :: semiring-parity → (SML) Bit-Operations.semiring-parity-int
| class-instance int :: ring-parity → (SML) Bit-Operations.semiring-parity-int
| constant member-i-i → (SML) Set.member-i-i

export-code
wf-J-prog' exec-J-rr exec-J-rnd
j-Program
purge case-llist' case-tllist' terminal' llist-of-tllist'
thr' shr' heap-toString thread-toString trace-toString
in SML
file <J-Execute.ML>

definition j2jvm :: addr J-prog ⇒ addr jvm-prog where j2jvm = J2JVM

export-code
wf-jvm-prog' exec-JVM-rr exec-JVM-rnd j2jvm
j-Program
purge case-llist' case-tllist' terminal' llist-of-tllist'
thr' shr' heap-toString thread-toString' trace-toString
in SML
file <JVM-Execute2.ML>

end
theory Execute-Main
imports
SC-Schedulers
PCompilerRefine
Code-Generation
JVM-Execute
Java2Jinja
begin

end

```


Chapter 10

Examples

10.1 Apprentice challenge

```
theory ApprenticeChallenge
```

```
imports
```

```
..../Execute/Code-Generation
```

```
begin
```

This theory implements the apprentice challenge by Porter and Moore [5].

```
definition ThreadC :: addr J-mb cdecl
```

```
where
```

```
ThreadC =
```

```
(Thread, Object, [],  
 [(run, [], Void, [([], unit)]),  
 (start, [], Void, Native),  
 (join, [], Void, Native),  
 (interrupt, [], Void, Native),  
 (isInterrupted, [], Boolean, Native)])
```

```
definition Container :: cname
```

```
where Container = STR "Container"
```

```
definition ContainerC :: addr J-mb cdecl
```

```
where ContainerC = (Container, Object, [(STR "counter", Integer, (volatile=False))], [])
```

```
definition String :: cname
```

```
where String = STR "String"
```

```
definition StringC :: addr J-mb cdecl
```

```
where
```

```
StringC = (String, Object, [], [])
```

```
definition Job :: cname
```

```
where Job = STR "Job"
```

```
definition JobC :: addr J-mb cdecl
```

```
where
```

```
JobC =
```

```
(Job, Thread, [(STR "objref", Class Container, (volatile=False))],  
 [(STR "incr", [], Class Job, [([],
```

```

sync(Var (STR "objref"))
  ((Var (STR "objref"))·STR "counter" {STR ""} := ((Var (STR "objref"))·STR "counter" {STR
"} «Add» Val (Intg 1));;
  Var this]),,
(STR "setref", [Class Container], Void, |([STR "o"],  

  LAss (STR "objref") (Var (STR "o"))]),
  (run, [], Void, |([],  

    while (true) (Var this·STR "incr" ([])))])
[])

definition Apprentice :: cname
where Apprentice = STR "Apprentice"

definition ApprenticeC :: addr J-mb cdecl
where
  ApprenticeC =
  (Apprentice, Object, [],
   [(STR "main", [Class String[]], Void, |([STR "args"],
     {STR "container": Class Container= None;
      (STR "container" := new Container);;
      (while (true)
        {STR "job": Class Job= None;
         (STR "job" := new Job);;
         (Var (STR "job")·STR "setref" ([Var (STR "container")]));
          (Var (STR "job")·Type.start([]))
        }
      )
    }
  )])]

definition ApprenticeChallenge
where
  ApprenticeChallenge = Program (SystemClasses @ [StringC, ThreadC, ContainerC, JobC, ApprenticeC])

definition ApprenticeChallenge-annotated
where ApprenticeChallenge-annotated = annotate-prog-code ApprenticeChallenge

lemma wf-J-prog ApprenticeChallenge-annotated
by eval

lemmas [code-unfold] =
  Container-def Job-def String-def Apprentice-def

definition main :: String.literal where main = STR "main"

ML-val ‹
  val _ = tracing started;
  val program = @{code ApprenticeChallenge-annotated};
  val _ = tracing prg;
  val compiled = @{code J2JVM} program;
  val _ = tracing compiled;

  @{code exec-J-rr}
  @{code 1 :: nat}
›

```

```

program
@{code Apprentice}
@{code main}
[ @{code Null}];

val _ = tracing J-rr;
@{code exec-JVM-rr}
@{code 1 :: nat}
compiled
@{code Apprentice}
@{code main}
[ @{code Null}];
val _ = tracing JVM-rr;
>

end

```

10.2 Buffer example

```

theory BufferExample imports
  ..../Execute/Code-Generation
begin

definition ThreadC :: addr J-mb cdecl
where
  ThreadC =
  (Thread, Object, [],
   [(run, [], Void, [([], unit)]),
    (start, [], Void, Native),
    (join, [], Void, Native),
    (interrupt, [], Void, Native),
    (isInterrupted, [], Boolean, Native)])]

definition IntegerC :: addr J-mb cdecl
where IntegerC = (STR "Integer", Object, [(STR "value", Integer, (volatile=False))], [])

definition Buffer :: cname
where Buffer = STR "Buffer"

definition BufferC :: addr J-mb cdecl
where
  BufferC =
  (Buffer, Object,
   [(STR "buffer", Class Object[], (volatile=False)),
    (STR "front", Integer, (volatile=False)),
    (STR "back", Integer, (volatile=False)),
    (STR "size", Integer, (volatile=False)),
    [(STR "constructor", [Integer], Void, [(STR "size"),
      (STR "buffer" := newA (Class Object)[ Var (STR "size")])];
      (STR "front" := Val (Intg 0));
      (STR "back" := Val (Intg (- 1)));
      (Var this.(STR "size"){STR ""} := Val (Intg 0))]);
     (STR "empty", [], Boolean, [([], sync(Var this) (Var (STR "size") «Eq» Val (Intg 0)))])])

```

```

(STR "full", [], Boolean, |(),
sync(Var this) (Var (STR "size") «Eq» ((Var (STR "buffer"))·length)))|,
(STR "get", [], Class Object, |(|,
sync(Var this) (
  (while (Var this·(STR "empty")([]))
    (try (Var this·wait([])) catch(InterruptedException (STR "e") unit));
    (STR "size" := (Var (STR "size") «Subtract» Val (Intg 1)));
    {(STR "result"):Class Object=None;
      ((STR "result") := ((Var (STR "buffer"))| Var (STR "front")));
      (STR "front" := (Var (STR "front") «Add» Val (Intg 1)));
      (if ((Var (STR "front")) «Eq» ((Var (STR "buffer"))·length))
        (STR "front" := Val (Intg 0))
        else unit);
      (Var this·notifyAll([]));
      Var (STR "result")
    }
  ))),
(STR "put", [Class Object], Void, |([STR "o"],
sync(Var this) (
  (while (Var this·STR "full"([])
    (try (Var this·wait([])) catch(InterruptedException STR "e") unit));
    (STR "back" := (Var (STR "back") «Add» Val (Intg 1)));
    (if (Var (STR "back")) «Eq» ((Var (STR "buffer"))·length))
      (STR "back" := Val (Intg 0))
      else unit);
    (AAss (Var (STR "buffer")) (Var (STR "back")) (Var (STR "o")));
    (STR "size" := ((Var (STR "size")) «Add» Val (Intg 1)));
    (Var this·notifyAll([]))
  ))|
)
])

```

definition *Producer* :: *cname*
where *Producer* = *STR* "Producer"

definition *ProducerC* :: *int* \Rightarrow *addr J-mb cdecl*
where

```

ProducerC n =
(Producer, Thread, [(STR "buffer", Class Buffer, (volatile=False))],
 [(run, [], Void, [([],
 {STR "i":Integer=[Intg 0];
 while (Var (STR "i") «NotEq» Val (Intg (word-of-int n))) (
 (Var (STR "buffer"))·STR "put"([ {STR "temp":Class (STR "Integer")=None; (STR "temp"
 := new (STR "Integer")); ((FAss (Var (STR "temp")) (STR "value") (STR ""))
 (Var (STR "i"))); (Var (STR "temp"))} ]));
 STR "i" := (Var (STR "i") «Add» (Val (Intg 1)))
 })])])

```

definition *Consumer* :: *cname*
where *Consumer* = *STR* "Consumer"

definition *ConsumerC* :: $\text{int} \Rightarrow \text{addr J-mb cdecl}$
where

(*Consumer*, *Thread*, [(*STR "buffer*", *Class Buffer*, (*volatile=False*))],

```

[(run, [], Void, [()],
  {STR "i":Integer=[Intg 0];
   while (Var (STR "i") «NotEq» Val (Intg (word-of-int n))) (
     {STR "o":Class Object=None;
      Seq (STR "o" := ((Var (STR "buffer"))·STR "get"([])))
      (STR "i" := (Var (STR "i") «Add» Val (Intg 1))))}
    }))])
}

definition String :: cname
where String = STR "String"

definition StringC :: addr J-mb cdecl
where
  StringC = (String, Object, [], [])

definition Test :: cname
where Test = STR "Test"

definition TestC :: addr J-mb cdecl
where
  TestC =
  (Test, Object, [],
   [(STR "main", [Class String[]], Void, [([STR "args"],
     {STR "b":Class Buffer=None; (STR "b" := new Buffer);;
      (Var (STR "b")·STR "constructor"([Val (Intg 10)]));;
      {STR "p":Class Producer=None; STR "p" := new Producer;;
       {STR "c":Class Consumer=None;
        (STR "c" := new Consumer);;
        (Var (STR "c")·STR "buffer"{{STR ""} := Var (STR "b")};;
        (Var (STR "p")·STR "buffer"{{STR ""} := Var (STR "b")};;
        (Var (STR "c")·Type.start([]);(Var (STR "p")·Type.start([]))
       }
      })
    })
  ]))
}

definition BufferExample
where
  BufferExample n = Program (SystemClasses @ [ThreadC, StringC, IntegerC, BufferC, ProducerC
n, ConsumerC n, TestC])

definition BufferExample-annotated
where
  BufferExample-annotated n = annotate-prog-code (BufferExample n)

lemmas [code-unfold] =
  IntegerC-def Buffer-def Producer-def Consumer-def Test-def
  String-def

lemma wf-J-prog (BufferExample-annotated 10)
by eval

definition main where main = STR "main"
definition five :: int where five = 5

```

```

ML-val ‹
  val program = @{code BufferExample-annotated} @{code five};
  val compiled = @{code J2JVM} program;

  val run =
    @{code exec-J-rr}
    @{code 1 :: nat}
    program
    @{code Test}
    @{code main}
    [ @{code Null}];
  val _ = @{code terminal} run;

  val jvm-run =
    @{code exec-JVM-rr}
    @{code 1 :: nat}
    compiled
    @{code Test}
    @{code main}
    [ @{code Null}];
  val _ = @{code terminal} run;
›

end
theory Examples-Main
imports
  ApprenticeChallenge
  BufferExample
begin
end
theory NinjaThreads
imports
  Basic/Basic-Main
  Common/Common-Main
  J/J-Main
  JVM/JVM-Main
  BV/BV-Main
  Compiler/Compiler-Main
  MM/MM-Main
  Execute/Execute-Main
  Examples/Examples-Main
begin

end

```

Bibliography

- [1] Andreas Lochbihler. Type safe nondeterminism - a formal semantics of Java threads. In *Proceedings of the 2008 International Workshop on Foundations of Object-Oriented Languages*, 2008.
- [2] Andreas Lochbihler. Verifying a compiler for Java threads. In Andrew D. Gordon, editor, *Programming Languages and Systems (ESOP 2010)*, volume 6012 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 427–447. Springer, 2010.
- [3] Andreas Lochbihler. Java and the Java memory model – a unified, machine-checked formalisation. In Helmut Seidl, editor, *Programming Languages and Systems*, volume 7211 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 493–513. Springer, 2012.
- [4] Andreas Lochbihler and Lukas Bulwahn. Animating the formalised semantics of a Java-like language. In Marko van Eekelen, Herman Geuvers, Julien Schmalz, and Freek Wiedijk, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP 2011)*, volume 6898 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 216–232. Springer, 2011.
- [5] J Strother Moore and George Porter. The apprentice challenge. *ACM Trans. Program. Lang. Syst.*, 24(3):193–216, May 2002.