Isabelle Marries Dirac: a Library for Quantum Computation and Quantum Information

Anthony Bordg, Hanna Lachnitt and Yijun He

March 17, 2025

Contents

1	Bas	ic Results	2
	1.1	Basic Set-Theoretic Results	3
	1.2	Basic Arithmetic Results	3
	1.3	Basic Results on Matrices	4
	1.4	Basic Results on Sums	5
	1.5	Basic Results Involving the Exponential Function.	5
	1.6	Basic Results with Trigonometric Functions	6
		1.6.1 Basic Inequalities	6
		1.6.2 Basic Equalities	6
2	Bin	ary Representation of Natural Numbers	6
3	Qu	oits and Quantum Gates	8
	3.1	Qubits	8
	3.2	The Hermitian Conjugation	9
	3.3	Unitary Matrices and Quantum Gates	10
	3.4	Relations Between Complex Conjugation, Hermitian Conju-	
		gation, Transposition and Unitarity	11
	3.5	The Inner Product	13
	3.6	Unitary Matrices and Length-Preservation	15
		3.6.1 Unitary Matrices are Length-Preserving	15
		3.6.2 Length-Preserving Matrices are Unitary	17
	3.7	A Few Well-known Quantum Gates	19
	3.8	The Bell States	22
	3.9	The Bitwise Inner Product	23
4	Cor	nplex Vectors	23
	4.1	The Vector Space of Complex Vectors of Dimension n	23

5	Tensor Products	25
	5.1 The Kronecker Product of Complex Vectors	25
	5.2 The Tensor Product of Complex Matrices	26
	5.2 The reason roduct of Complex Matrices	20
6	Further Results on Tensor Products	29
7	Measurement	32
•	7.1 Magurements with Boll States	26
	7.1 Measurements with Den States	30
8	Quantum Entanglement	38
	8.1 The Product States and Entangled States of a 2-qubits System	39
		00
9	Quantum Teleportation	40
	•	
10	The Deutsch Algorithm	45
11	The Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm	51
12	The No-Cloning Theorem	63
	12.1 The Cauchy Schwarz Inequality	64
	12.1 The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality	04 C4
	12.2 The No-Cloning Theorem	04
13	Quantum Prisoner's Dilemma	65
10	13.1 The Set Up	65
	12.0 The General Constant in the Constant in t	71
	13.2 The Separable Case	11
	13.3 The Maximally Entangled Case	72
	13.4 The Unfair Strategy Case	74
14	Advanta	75
14	Acknowledgements	19

Abstract

This work is an effort to formalise some quantum algorithms and results in quantum information theory. Formal methods being critical for the safety and security of algorithms and protocols, we foresee their widespread use for quantum computing in the future. We have developed a large library for quantum computing in Isabelle based on a matrix representation for quantum circuits, successfully formalising the no-cloning theorem, quantum teleportation, Deutsch's algorithm, the Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm and the quantum Prisoner's Dilemma.

1 Basic Results

theory Basics imports HOL.Set-Interval HOL.Semiring-Normalization HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces HOL.Power HOL.Complex Jordan-Normal-Form.Jordan-Normal-Form begin

1.1 Basic Set-Theoretic Results

lemma set-2-atLeast0 [simp]: $\{0..<2::nat\} = \{0,1\} \langle proof \rangle$

lemma set-2: $\{..<2::nat\} = \{0,1\} (proof)$

lemma set-4-atLeast0 [simp]: $\{0..<4::nat\} = \{0,1,2,3\} \ \langle proof \rangle$

lemma set-4: {..<4::nat} = {0,1,2,3} (proof)

lemma set-8-atLeast0 [simp]: $\{0..<8::nat\} = \{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ (proof)

lemma index-is-2 [simp]: $\forall i::nat. i \neq Suc \ 0 \longrightarrow i \neq 3 \longrightarrow 0 < i \longrightarrow i < 4 \longrightarrow i = 2 \langle proof \rangle$

lemma index-sl-four [simp]: $\forall i::nat. i < 4 \longrightarrow i = 0 \lor i = 1 \lor i = 2 \lor i = 3 \langle proof \rangle$

1.2 Basic Arithmetic Results

```
lemma index-div-eq [simp]:
  fixes i::nat
  shows i \in \{a * b .. < (a+1) * b\} \implies i \ div \ b = a
\langle proof \rangle
lemma index-mod-eq [simp]:
  fixes i::nat
  shows i \in \{a * b .. < (a+1) * b\} \Longrightarrow i \mod b = i - a * b
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma sqr-of-cmod-of-prod:
  shows (cmod (z1 * z2))^2 = (cmod z1)^2 * (cmod z2)^2
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma less-power-add-imp-div-less [simp]:
  fixes i m n:: nat
  assumes i < 2^{(m+n)}
  shows i \operatorname{div} 2\widehat{n} < 2\widehat{m}
  \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma *div-mult-mod-eq-minus*: fixes i j:: nat shows $(i \, div \, 2^n) * 2^n + i \mod 2^n - (j \, div \, 2^n) * 2^n - j \mod 2^n = i - j$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *neq-imp-neq-div-or-mod*: fixes i j:: nat assumes $i \neq j$ shows i div $2\hat{n} \neq j$ div $2\hat{n} \vee i \mod 2\hat{n} \neq j \mod 2\hat{n}$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *index-one-mat-div-mod*: assumes $i < 2^{(m+n)}$ and $j < 2^{(m+n)}$ shows $((1_m(2\hat{n}) \$\$ (i \ div \ 2\hat{n}, j \ div \ 2\hat{n}))::complex) * 1_m(2\hat{n}) \$\$ (i \ mod \ 2\hat{n}, j \ div \ 2\hat{n})$ $j \mod 2\hat{n} = 1_m(2\hat{(m+n)})$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** sqr-of-sqrt-2 [simp]: fixes z:: complex shows z * 2 / (complex-of-real (sqrt 2) * complex-of-real (sqrt 2)) = z $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** two-div-sqrt-two [simp]: shows 2 * complex-of-real (sqrt (1/2)) = complex-of-real (sqrt 2) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** two-div-sqr-of-cmd-sqrt-two [simp]: shows $2 * (cmod (1 / complex-of-real (sqrt 2)))^2 = 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** two-div-two [simp]: shows 2 div Suc (Suc 0) = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** two-mod-two [simp]: shows 2 mod Suc (Suc 0) = 0 (proof) **lemma** three-div-two [simp]: shows 3 div Suc (Suc 0) = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** three-mod-two [simp]: shows 3 mod Suc (Suc 0) = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.3 Basic Results on Matrices

lemma index-matrix-prod [simp]: **assumes** $i < \dim$ -row A and $j < \dim$ -col B and \dim -col $A = \dim$ -row B **shows** (A * B) $(i,j) = (\sum k < \dim$ -row B. (A (i,k)) * (B (k,j))) $\langle proof \rangle$

1.4 Basic Results on Sums

lemma sum-insert [simp]: **assumes** $x \notin F$ and finite F **shows** $(\sum y \in insert \ x \ F. \ P \ y) = (\sum y \in F. \ P \ y) + P \ x$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sum-of-index-diff [simp]: **fixes** f:: nat \Rightarrow 'a::comm-monoid-add **shows** ($\sum i \in \{a.. < a+b\}$. f(i-a)) = ($\sum i \in \{.. < b\}$. f(i)) $\langle proof \rangle$

1.5 Basic Results Involving the Exponential Function.

```
lemma exp-of-real-cnj:
 fixes x :: real
 shows cnj (exp (i * x)) = exp (-(i * x))
\langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-of-real-cnj2:
 fixes x ::: real
 shows cnj (exp (-(i * x))) = exp (i * x)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-of-half-pi:
 fixes x:: real
 assumes x = pi/2
 shows exp (i * complex-of-real x) = i
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-of-minus-half-pi:
 fixes x:: real
 assumes x = pi/2
 shows exp (-(i * complex-of-real x)) = -i
 \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-of-real:
 fixes x:: real
 shows exp (i * x) = cos x + i * (sin x)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma exp-of-real-inv:
 fixes x:: real
 shows exp(-(i * x)) = cos x - i * (sin x)
\langle proof \rangle
```

1.6 Basic Results with Trigonometric Functions.

1.6.1 Basic Inequalities

lemma sin-squared-le-one: fixes x:: real shows $(\sin x)^2 \le 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cos-squared-le-one: fixes x:: real shows $(\cos x)^2 \le 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

1.6.2 Basic Equalities

lemma sin-of-quarter-pi: fixes x:: real assumes x = pi/2shows sin (x/2) = (sqrt 2)/2 $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma cos-of-quarter-pi: fixes x:: real assumes x = pi/2shows cos (x/2) = (sqrt 2)/2

 \mathbf{end}

 $\langle proof \rangle$

2 Binary Representation of Natural Numbers

theory Binary-Nat imports HOL.Nat HOL.List Basics begin

primrec bin-rep-aux:: $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$ list **where** bin-rep-aux $0 \ m = [m]$ | bin-rep-aux (Suc n) m = m div $2^n \#$ bin-rep-aux n (m mod 2^n)

lemma length-of-bin-rep-aux: fixes n m:: nat assumes $m < 2 \hat{n}$ shows length (bin-rep-aux n m) = n+1 $\langle proof \rangle$

fixes n m:: nat shows bin-rep-aux $n \ m \neq []$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *last-of-bin-rep-aux*: fixes n m:: nat assumes $m < 2\hat{n}$ and $m \ge 0$ shows last $(bin-rep-aux \ n \ m) = 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *mod-mod-power-cancel*: fixes $m \ n \ p$:: nat assumes $m \leq n$ shows $p \mod 2^n \mod 2^m = p \mod 2^m$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *bin-rep-aux-index*: fixes n m i:: natassumes $n \ge 1$ and $m < 2^n$ and $m \ge 0$ and $i \le n$ shows bin-rep-aux $n m ! i = (m \mod 2\widehat{(n-i)}) \dim 2\widehat{(n-1-i)}$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma bin-rep-aux-coeff: fixes n m i:: nat assumes $m < 2^n$ and $i \leq n$ and $m \geq 0$ shows bin-rep-aux $n m ! i = 0 \lor bin$ -rep-aux n m ! i = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$ definition *bin-rep*:: $nat \Rightarrow nat$ *ist* where bin-rep n m = butlast (bin-rep-aux n m)**lemma** *length-of-bin-rep*: fixes n m:: natassumes $m < 2\hat{n}$ shows length (bin-rep n m) = n $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma bin-rep-coeff: fixes n m i:: nat assumes $m < 2^n$ and i < n and $m \ge 0$ shows bin-rep $n m ! i = 0 \lor bin$ -rep n m ! i = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *bin-rep-index*: fixes n m i:: natassumes $n \ge 1$ and $m < 2^n$ and i < n and $m \ge 0$ shows bin-rep $n m ! i = (m \mod 2 (n-i)) \dim 2 (n-1-i)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-rep-aux-neq-nil*:

lemma bin-rep-eq: fixes n m:: nat assumes $n \ge 1$ and $m \ge 0$ and $m < 2^n$ and $m \ge 0$ shows $m = (\sum i < n. \ bin-rep \ n \ m \ ! \ i < 2^n(n-1-i))$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma bin-rep-index-0: **fixes** n m:: nat **assumes** $m < 2^n$ and k > n **shows** (bin-rep k m) ! 0 = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma bin-rep-index-0-geq: fixes n m:: nat assumes $m \ge 2^n$ and $m < 2^n(n+1)$ shows bin-rep (n+1) m ! 0 = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

3 Qubits and Quantum Gates

```
theory Quantum
imports
Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix
HOL-Library.Nonpos-Ints
Basics
Binary-Nat
begin
```

3.1 Qubits

In this theory *cpx* stands for *complex*.

definition cpx-vec-length :: complex vec \Rightarrow real $(\langle \| - \| \rangle)$ where cpx-vec-length $v \equiv sqrt(\sum i < dim-vec \ v. \ (cmod \ (v \ \ i))^2)$

lemma norm-vec-index-unit-vec-is-0 [simp]: assumes j < n and $j \neq i$ shows cmod ((unit-vec n i) \$ j) = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma norm-vec-index-unit-vec-is-1 [simp]: assumes j < n and j = i

```
shows cmod ((unit-vec n i) (j) = 1
(proof)
lemma unit-cpx-vec-length [simp]:
assumes i < n
shows ||unit-vec n i|| = 1
(proof)
lemma smult-vec-length [simp]:
assumes x \ge 0
shows ||complex-of-real(x) \cdot_v v|| = x * ||v||
(proof)
locale state =
fixes n:: nat and v:: complex mat
assumes is-column [simp]: dim-col v = 1
```

assumes is-column [simp]: dim-col v = 1and dim-row [simp]: dim-row $v = 2^n$ and is-normal [simp]: $\|col v \ 0\| = 1$

Below the natural number n codes for the dimension of the complex vector space whose elements of norm 1 we call states.

```
lemma unit-vec-of-right-length-is-state [simp]:

assumes i < 2^n

shows unit-vec (2^n) i \in \{v \mid n v::complex vec. dim-vec <math>v = 2^n \land ||v|| = 1\}

\langle proof \rangle
```

definition state-qbit :: nat \Rightarrow complex vec set where state-qbit $n \equiv \{v \mid v:: complex vec. dim-vec v = 2^n \land ||v|| = 1\}$

```
lemma (in state) state-to-state-qbit [simp]:

shows col v \ 0 \in state-qbit n \ \langle proof \rangle
```

3.2 The Hermitian Conjugation

The Hermitian conjugate of a complex matrix is the complex conjugate of its transpose.

definition dagger :: complex mat \Rightarrow complex mat $(\langle \cdot^{\dagger} \rangle)$ where $M^{\dagger} \equiv mat \ (dim\text{-}col \ M) \ (dim\text{-}row \ M) \ (\lambda(i,j). \ cnj(M \ \$\$ \ (j,i)))$

We introduce the type of complex square matrices.

typedef cpx-sqr- $mat = \{M \mid M::complex mat. square-mat M\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition cpx-sqr-mat-to-cpx-mat :: cpx-sqr-mat => complex mat where cpx-sqr-mat-to-cpx-mat $M \equiv Rep$ -cpx-sqr-mat M

We introduce a coercion from the type of complex square matrices to the type of complex matrices.

declare [[coercion cpx-sqr-mat-to-cpx-mat]]

```
lemma dim-row-of-dagger [simp]:
  dim-row (M^{\dagger}) = dim-col M
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma dim-col-of-dagger [simp]:
  dim-col (M^{\dagger}) = dim-row M
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma col-of-dagger [simp]:
  assumes j < dim row M
  shows col (M^{\dagger}) j = vec (dim-col M) (\lambda i. cnj (M \$\$ (j,i)))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma row-of-dagger [simp]:
  assumes i < dim - col M
 shows row (M^{\dagger}) i = vec (dim row M) (\lambda j. cnj (M \$\$ (j,i)))
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma dagger-of-dagger-is-id:
  fixes M :: complex Matrix.mat
  shows (M^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = M
\langle proof \rangle
lemma dagger-of-sqr-is-sqr [simp]:
  square-mat ((M::cpx-sqr-mat)^{\dagger})
\langle proof \rangle
lemma dagger-of-id-is-id [simp]:
  (1_m \ n)^\dagger = 1_m \ n
  \langle proof \rangle
```

3.3 Unitary Matrices and Quantum Gates

definition unitary :: complex mat \Rightarrow bool where unitary $M \equiv (M^{\dagger}) * M = 1_m (dim\text{-col } M) \land M * (M^{\dagger}) = 1_m (dim\text{-row } M)$

```
lemma id-is-unitary [simp]:
    unitary (1<sub>m</sub> n)
    ⟨proof⟩
locale gate =
    fixes n:: nat and A:: complex mat
    assumes dim-row [simp]: dim-row A = 2<sup>n</sup>
    and square-mat [simp]: square-mat A
    and unitary [simp]: unitary A
```

We prove that a quantum gate is invertible and its inverse is given by its Hermitian conjugate.

```
lemma mat-unitary-mat [intro]:
assumes unitary M
shows inverts-mat M (M^{\dagger})
\langle proof \rangle
lemma unitary-mat-mat [intro]:
assumes unitary M
shows inverts-mat (M^{\dagger}) M
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in gate) gate-is-inv:
```

$invertible-mat \ A \\ \langle proof \rangle$

3.4 Relations Between Complex Conjugation, Hermitian Conjugation, Transposition and Unitarity

notation transpose-mat $(\langle (-^t) \rangle)$ **lemma** col-tranpose [simp]: assumes dim-row M = n and i < nshows col (M^t) i = row M i $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** row-transpose [simp]: assumes dim-col M = n and i < nshows row (M^t) i = col M i $\langle proof \rangle$ definition *cpx-mat-cnj* :: *complex mat* \Rightarrow *complex mat* ($\langle (-^{\star}) \rangle$) where cpx-mat- $cnj M \equiv mat (dim-row M) (dim-col M) (\lambda(i,j), cnj (M \$\$ (i,j)))$ lemma cpx-mat-cnj-id [simp]: $(1_m n)^{\star} = 1_m n$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** cpx-mat-cnj-cnj [simp]: $(M^{\star})^{\star} = M$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *dim-row-of-cjn-prod* [*simp*]: dim-row $((M^{\star}) * (N^{\star})) = dim$ -row M $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** dim-col-of-cjn-prod [simp]: dim-col $((M^{\star}) * (N^{\star})) = dim$ -col N $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *cpx-mat-cnj-prod*:

assumes dim-col M = dim-row Nshows $(M * N)^* = (M^*) * (N^*)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma transpose-of-prod: fixes M N::complex Matrix.mat assumes dim-col M = dim-row Nshows $(M * N)^t = N^t * (M^t)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma transpose-cnj-is-dagger [simp]: $(M^t)^* = (M^\dagger)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cnj-transpose-is-dagger [simp]: $(M^*)^t = (M^\dagger)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dagger-of-transpose-is-cnj [simp]: $(M^t)^{\dagger} = (M^{\star})$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dagger-of-prod: **fixes** M N::complex Matrix.mat **assumes** dim-col M = dim-row N **shows** $(M * N)^{\dagger} = N^{\dagger} * (M^{\dagger})$ $\langle proof \rangle$

The product of two quantum gates is a quantum gate.

```
lemma prod-of-gate-is-gate:

assumes gate n G1 and gate n G2

shows gate n (G1 * G2)

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma left-inv-of-unitary-transpose [simp]: assumes unitary U shows $(U^t)^{\dagger} * (U^t) = 1_m (dim\text{-row } U)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma right-inv-of-unitary-transpose [simp]:
assumes unitary U
shows U^t * ((U^t)^{\dagger}) = 1_m (dim\text{-}col \ U)
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma transpose-of-unitary-is-unitary [simp]:
assumes unitary U
shows unitary (U^t)
\langle proof \rangle
```

3.5 The Inner Product

We introduce a coercion between complex vectors and (column) complex matrices.

definition ket-vec :: complex vec \Rightarrow complex mat ($\langle |-\rangle \rangle$) where $|v\rangle \equiv mat$ (dim-vec v) 1 ($\lambda(i,j)$. v \$ i)

lemma ket-vec-index [simp]: assumes i < dim-vec vshows $|v\rangle$ \$\$ (i,0) = v \$ i $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** ket-vec-col [simp]: $col |v\rangle |0| = v$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *smult-ket-vec* [*simp*]: $|x \cdot_v v\rangle = x \cdot_m |v\rangle$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *smult-vec-length-bis* [*simp*]: assumes x > 0shows $\|col(complex-of-real(x) \cdot_m |v\rangle) \ 0\| = x * \|v\|$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **declare** [[coercion ket-vec]] definition row-vec :: complex vec \Rightarrow complex mat where row-vec $v \equiv mat \ 1 \ (dim-vec \ v) \ (\lambda(i,j), \ v \ \$ \ j)$ definition bra-vec :: complex vec \Rightarrow complex mat where bra-vec $v \equiv (row-vec \ v)^*$ **lemma** row-bra-vec [simp]: row (bra-vec v) $\theta = vec (dim-vec v) (\lambda i. cnj(v \ i))$

We introduce a definition called *bra* to see a vector as a column matrix.

definition bra :: complex mat \Rightarrow complex mat ($\langle \langle -| \rangle$) where $\langle v| \equiv mat \ 1 \ (dim-row \ v) \ (\lambda(i,j). \ cnj(v \ \$ \ (j,i)))$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

The relation between bra, bra-vec and ket-vec is given as follows.

lemma bra-bra-vec [simp]: bra (ket-vec v) = bra-vec v $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** row-bra [simp]: fixes v::complex vec shows row $\langle v | 0 = vec (dim-vec v) (\lambda i. cnj (v $ i)) \langle proof \rangle$ We introduce the inner product of two complex vectors in \mathbb{C}^n .

definition inner-prod :: complex vec \Rightarrow complex vec \Rightarrow complex ($\langle\langle -|-\rangle\rangle$) where inner-prod $u \ v \equiv \sum i \in \{0..< dim-vec \ v\}$. $cnj(u \ \ i) * (v \ \ i)$

```
lemma inner-prod-with-row-bra-vec [simp]:
  assumes dim-vec u = dim-vec v
  shows \langle u|v\rangle = row (bra-vec \ u) \ 0 \ \cdot v
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inner-prod-with-row-bra-vec-col-ket-vec [simp]:
  assumes dim-vec u = dim-vec v
  shows \langle u|v\rangle = (row \langle u| \ \theta) \cdot (col \ |v\rangle \ \theta)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inner-prod-with-times-mat [simp]:
  assumes dim - vec \ u = dim - vec \ v
  shows \langle u|v\rangle = (\langle u| * |v\rangle) $$ (0,0)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma orthogonal-unit-vec [simp]:
  assumes i < n and j < n and i \neq j
  shows (unit-vec n i | unit-vec n j \rangle = 0
\langle proof \rangle
We prove that our inner product is linear in its second argument.
lemma vec-index-is-linear [simp]:
  assumes dim-vec u = dim-vec v and j < dim-vec u
  shows (k \cdot_v u + l \cdot_v v) \$ j = k * (u \$ j) + l * (v \$ j)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inner-prod-is-linear [simp]:
  fixes u::complex vec and v::nat \Rightarrow complex vec and l::nat \Rightarrow complex
  assumes \forall i \in \{0, 1\}. dim-vec u = dim-vec (v i)
  shows \langle u|l \ 0 \ \cdot_v \ v \ 0 + l \ 1 \ \cdot_v \ v \ 1 \rangle = (\sum i \le 1. \ l \ i \ast \langle u|v \ i \rangle)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma inner-prod-cnj:
  assumes dim\text{-}vec \ u = dim\text{-}vec \ v
  shows \langle v|u\rangle = cnj (\langle u|v\rangle)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inner-prod-with-itself-Im [simp]:
  Im(\langle u|u\rangle)=0
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inner-prod-with-itself-real [simp]:
  \langle u | u \rangle \in \mathbb{R}
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma inner-prod-with-itself-eq0 [simp]:
  assumes u = \theta_v (dim - vec \ u)
  shows \langle u | u \rangle = 0
  \langle proof \rangle
{\bf lemma} \ inner-prod-with-itself-Re:
  Re(\langle u|u\rangle) \geq 0
\langle proof \rangle
lemma inner-prod-with-itself-nonneg-reals:
  fixes u::complex vec
  shows \langle u|u\rangle \in nonneg-Reals
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma inner-prod-with-itself-Re-non0:
  assumes u \neq \theta_v (dim-vec u)
  shows Re(\langle u|u\rangle) > 0
\langle proof \rangle
lemma inner-prod-with-itself-nonneg-reals-non0:
  assumes u \neq \theta_v (dim-vec u)
  shows \langle u|u\rangle \neq 0
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma cpx-vec-length-inner-prod [simp]:
```

```
 \|v\|^2 = \langle v|v \rangle \\ \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma inner-prod-csqrt [simp]: $csqrt \langle v|v \rangle = ||v||$ $\langle proof \rangle$

3.6 Unitary Matrices and Length-Preservation

3.6.1 Unitary Matrices are Length-Preserving

The bra-vector $\langle A * v |$ is given by $\langle v | * A^{\dagger}$

```
lemma dagger-of-ket-is-bra:

fixes v:: complex vec

shows (|v\rangle)^{\dagger} = \langle v|

\langle proof \rangle

lemma bra-mat-on-vec:

fixes v:: complex vec and A:: complex mat

assumes dim-col A = dim-vec v

shows \langle A * v| = \langle v| * (A^{\dagger})

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma *mat-on-ket*:

fixes v:: complex vec and A:: complex mat assumes dim-col A = dim-vec v shows $A * |v\rangle = |col (A * v) 0\rangle$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma dagger-of-mat-on-ket:

fixes v:: complex vec and A :: complex mat

assumes dim-col A = dim-vec v

shows (A * |v\rangle)^{\dagger} = \langle v| * (A^{\dagger})

\langle proof \rangle
```

definition col-fst :: 'a mat \Rightarrow 'a vec where col-fst A = vec (dim-row A) (λ i. A \$\$ (i,0))

lemma col-fst-is-col [simp]: col-fst M = col M 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

We need to declare *col-fst* as a coercion from matrices to vectors in order to see a column matrix as a vector.

declare

[[coercion-delete ket-vec]] [[coercion col-fst]]

lemma unit-vec-to-col: assumes dim-col A = n and i < nshows col A $i = A * |unit-vec n i\rangle$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma mult-ket-vec-is-ket-vec-of-mult:

fixes A::complex mat and v::complex vec

assumes dim-col A = dim-vec v

shows |A * |v\rangle \rangle = A * |v\rangle

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma unitary-is-sq-length-preserving [simp]:
assumes unitary U and dim-vec v = dim-col U
shows ||U * |v\rangle||^2 = ||v||^2
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma col-ket-vec [simp]:

assumes dim-col M = 1

shows |col \ M \ 0\rangle = M

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma state-col-ket-vec:
assumes state 1 v
shows state 1 |col v 0\rangle
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma col-ket-vec-index [simp]: assumes i < dim-row vshows $|col \ v \ 0\rangle$ \$\$ (i,0) = v \$\$ (i,0) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma col-index-of-mat-col [simp]: assumes dim-col v = 1 and i < dim-row vshows col $v \ 0 \ \ i = v \ \ (i,0)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma unitary-is-sq-length-preserving-bis [simp]: **assumes** unitary U and dim-row v = dim-col U and dim-col v = 1 **shows** $\|col (U * v) 0\|^2 = \|col v 0\|^2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

A unitary matrix is length-preserving, i.e. it acts on a vector to produce another vector of the same length.

lemma unitary-is-length-preserving-bis [simp]: **fixes** U::complex mat **and** v::complex mat **assumes** unitary U **and** dim-row v = dim-col U **and** dim-col v = 1 **shows** $\|col (U * v) 0\| = \|col v 0\|$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma unitary-is-length-preserving [simp]: **fixes** U:: complex mat and v:: complex vec **assumes** unitary U and dim-vec v = dim-col U **shows** $||U * |v\rangle|| = ||v||$ $\langle proof \rangle$

3.6.2 Length-Preserving Matrices are Unitary

lemma inverts-mat-sym:
fixes A B:: complex mat
assumes inverts-mat A B and dim-row B = dim-col A and square-mat B
shows inverts-mat B A
(proof)

lemma sum-of-unit-vec-length: **fixes** i j n:: nat and c:: complex **assumes** i < n and j < n and $i \neq j$ **shows** $||unit-vec n i + c \cdot_v unit-vec n j||^2 = 1 + cnj(c) * c$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-of-unit-vec-to-col*:

assumes dim-col A = n and i < n and j < nshows col A $i + c \cdot_v$ col A $j = A * |unit-vec n i + c \cdot_v unit-vec n j\rangle$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** inner-prod-is-sesquilinear:

fixes u1 u2 v1 v2:: complex vec and c1 c2 c3 c4:: complex and n:: nat assumes dim-vec u1 = n and dim-vec u2 = n and dim-vec v1 = n and dim-vec v2 = n shows $\langle c1 \cdot_v u1 + c2 \cdot_v u2 | c3 \cdot_v v1 + c4 \cdot_v v2 \rangle = cnj (c1) * c3 * \langle u1 | v1 \rangle + cnj (c2) * c3 * \langle u2 | v1 \rangle + cnj (c1) * c4 * \langle u1 | v2 \rangle + cnj (c2) * c4 * \langle u2 | v2 \rangle \langle proof \rangle$

A length-preserving matrix is unitary. So, unitary matrices are exactly the length-preserving matrices.

lemma length-preserving-is-unitary: **fixes** U:: complex mat **assumes** square-mat U and \forall v::complex vec. dim-vec v = dim-col U $\longrightarrow ||U * ||v\rangle|| = ||v||$

```
shows unitary U \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma inner-prod-with-unitary-mat [simp]:

assumes unitary U and dim-vec u = dim-col U and dim-vec v = dim-col U

shows \langle U * |u\rangle | U * |v\rangle = \langle u | v \rangle

\langle proof \rangle
```

As a consequence we prove that columns and rows of a unitary matrix are orthonormal vectors.

```
lemma unitary-unit-col [simp]:
 assumes unitary U and dim-col U = n and i < n
 shows \|col \ U \ i\| = 1
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma unitary-unit-row [simp]:
 assumes unitary U and dim-row U = n and i < n
 shows ||row U i|| = 1
\langle proof \rangle
lemma orthogonal-col-of-unitary [simp]:
 assumes unitary U and dim-col U = n and i < n and j < n and i \neq j
 shows \langle col \ U \ i | col \ U \ j \rangle = 0
\langle proof \rangle
lemma orthogonal-row-of-unitary [simp]:
  fixes U::complex mat
 assumes unitary U and dim-row U = n and i < n and j < n and i \neq j
 shows \langle row \ U \ i | row \ U \ j \rangle = 0
```

As a consequence, we prove that a quantum gate acting on a state of a system of n qubits give another state of that same system.

lemma gate-on-state-is-state [intro, simp]: assumes a1:gate $n \ A$ and a2:state $n \ v$ shows state $n \ (A * v)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

3.7 A Few Well-known Quantum Gates

Any unitary operation on n qubits can be implemented exactly by composing single qubits and CNOT-gates (controlled-NOT gates). However, no straightforward method is known to implement these gates in a fashion which is resistant to errors. But, the Hadamard gate, the phase gate, the CNOT-gate and the $\pi/8$ gate are also universal for quantum computations, i.e. any quantum circuit on n qubits can be approximated to an arbitrary accuracy by using only these gates, and these gates can be implemented in a fault-tolerant way.

We introduce a coercion from real matrices to complex matrices.

definition real-to-cpx-mat:: real mat \Rightarrow complex mat where real-to-cpx-mat $A \equiv$ mat (dim-row A) (dim-col A) ($\lambda(i,j)$. A \$\$ (i,j))

Our first quantum gate: the identity matrix! Arguably, not a very interesting one though!

definition $Id :: nat \Rightarrow complex mat$ where $Id \ n \equiv 1_m \ (2^n)$

```
lemma id-is-gate [simp]:
gate n (Id n)
\langle proof \rangle
```

More interesting: the Pauli matrices.

definition X :: complex mat where X \equiv mat 2 2 ($\lambda(i,j)$). if i=j then 0 else 1)

Be aware that gate n A means that the matrix A has dimension $2^n * 2^n$. For instance, with this convention a 2 X 2 matrix A which is unitary satisfies gate 1 A but not gate 2 A as one might have been expected.

```
lemma dagger-of-X [simp]:

X^{\dagger} = X

\langle proof \rangle

lemma X-inv [simp]:

X * X = 1_m 2

\langle proof \rangle

lemma X-is-gate [simp]:

gate 1 X

\langle proof \rangle
```

definition Y :: complex mat where $Y \equiv mat \ 2 \ 2 \ (\lambda(i,j). \ if \ i=j \ then \ 0 \ else \ (if \ i=0 \ then \ -i \ else \ i))$

lemma dagger-of-Y [simp]: $Y^{\dagger} = Y$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Y-inv [simp]: $Y * Y = 1_m 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Y-is-gate [simp]: gate 1 Y $\langle proof \rangle$

definition Z :: complex mat where $Z \equiv mat \ 2 \ 2 \ (\lambda(i,j)). \ if \ i \neq j \ then \ 0 \ else \ (if \ i=0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ -1))$

lemma dagger-of-Z [simp]: $Z^{\dagger} = Z$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Z-inv [simp]: $Z * Z = 1_m 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

The Hadamard gate

definition H ::complex mat where $H \equiv 1/sqrt(2) \cdot_m (mat \ 2 \ 2 \ (\lambda(i,j). \ if \ i \neq j \ then \ 1 \ else \ (if \ i=0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ -1)))$

lemma *H*-without-scalar-prod:

lemma dagger-of-H [simp]: $H^{\dagger} = H$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *H*-inv [simp]: $H * H = 1_m 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *H-is-gate* [*simp*]:

 $\begin{array}{c} gate \ 1 \ H \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

lemma *H*-values: fixes i j:: nat assumes i < dim-row H and j < dim-col H and $i \neq 1 \lor j \neq 1$ shows H \$\$ (i,j) = 1/sqrt 2 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *H*-values-right-bottom: **fixes** i j:: nat **assumes** $i = 1 \land j = 1$ **shows** H \$\$ (i,j) = -1/sqrt 2 $\langle proof \rangle$

The controlled-NOT gate

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition } CNOT :: complex \ mat \ \textbf{where} \\ CNOT \equiv mat \ 4 \ 4 \\ (\lambda(i,j). \ if \ i=0 \ \land \ j=0 \ then \ 1 \ else \\ (if \ i=1 \ \land \ j=1 \ then \ 1 \ else \\ (if \ i=2 \ \land \ j=3 \ then \ 1 \ else \\ (if \ i=3 \ \land \ j=2 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0)))) \end{array}$

lemma dagger-of-CNOT [simp]: $CNOT^{\dagger} = CNOT$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma CNOT-inv [simp]: CNOT * CNOT = $1_m 4$ $\langle proof \rangle$

The phase gate, also known as the S-gate

definition S :: complex mat where $S \equiv mat \ 2 \ 2 \ (\lambda(i,j)). \ if \ i=0 \ \land \ j=0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ (if \ i=1 \ \land \ j=1 \ then \ i \ else \ 0))$

The $\pi/8$ gate, also known as the T-gate

definition T :: complex mat where $T \equiv mat \ 2 \ 2 \ (\lambda(i,j))$. if $i=0 \ \land j=0$ then 1 else (if $i=1 \ \land j=1$ then exp(i*(pi/4))else 0))

A few relations between the Hadamard gate and the Pauli matrices

lemma HXH-is-Z [simp]: H * X * H = Z $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma HYH-is-minus Y [simp]: H * Y * H = - Y $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma HZH-is-X [simp]: shows H * Z * H = X $\langle proof \rangle$

3.8 The Bell States

We introduce below the so-called Bell states, also known as EPR pairs (EPR stands for Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen).

definition bell00 :: complex mat $(\langle |\beta_{00} \rangle)$ where bell00 $\equiv 1/sqrt(2) \cdot_m |vec \not 4 (\lambda i. if i=0 \lor i=3 then 1 else 0) \rangle$

definition bell01 ::complex mat $(\langle |\beta_{01} \rangle)$ where bell01 $\equiv 1/sqrt(2) \cdot_m |vec \not 4 (\lambda i. if i=1 \lor i=2 then \ 1 else \ 0) \rangle$

definition bell10 ::complex mat $(\langle \beta_{10} \rangle)$ where bell10 $\equiv 1/sqrt(2) \cdot_m |vec 4 (\lambda i. if i=0 then 1 else if i=3 then -1 else 0) \rangle$

definition bell11 ::complex mat $(\langle \beta_{11} \rangle)$ where bell11 $\equiv 1/sqrt(2) \cdot_m |vec 4 (\lambda i. if i=1 then 1 else if i=2 then -1 else 0) \rangle$

lemma

shows bell00-is-state [simp]:state 2 $|\beta_{00}\rangle$ and bell01-is-state [simp]:state 2 $|\beta_{01}\rangle$ and bell10-is-state [simp]:state 2 $|\beta_{10}\rangle$ and bell11-is-state [simp]:state 2 $|\beta_{11}\rangle$

bell10-is-state [simp]:state 2 $|\beta_{10}\rangle$ and bell11-is-state [simp]:state 2 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma bell00-index [simp]:

shows $|\beta_{00}\rangle$ \$\$ $(0,0) = 1/sqrt \ 2$ and $|\beta_{00}\rangle$ \$\$ (1,0) = 0 and $|\beta_{00}\rangle$ \$\$ (2,0) = 0 and $|\beta_{00}\rangle$ \$\$ $(3,0) = 1/sqrt \ 2$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma bell01-index [simp]: **shows** $|\beta_{01}\rangle$ \$\$ (0,0) = 0 and $|\beta_{01}\rangle$ \$\$ (1,0) = 1/sqrt 2 and $|\beta_{01}\rangle$ \$\$ (2,0) = 1/sqrt 2 and $|\beta_{01}\rangle$ \$\$ (3,0) = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma bell10-index [simp]: shows $|\beta_{10}\rangle$ \$\$ $(0,0) = 1/sqrt \ 2$ and $|\beta_{10}\rangle$ \$\$ (1,0) = 0 and $|\beta_{10}\rangle$ \$\$ (2,0) = 0 and $|\beta_{10}\rangle$ \$\$ $(3,0) = -1/sqrt \ 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

22

lemma bell-11-index [simp]: **shows** $|\beta_{11}\rangle$ \$\$ (0,0) = 0 and $|\beta_{11}\rangle$ \$\$ (1,0) = 1/sqrt 2 and $|\beta_{11}\rangle$ \$\$ (2,0) = - 1/sqrt 2 and $|\beta_{11}\rangle$ \$\$ (3,0) = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.9 The Bitwise Inner Product

definition bitwise-inner-prod:: $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$ where bitwise-inner-prod $n \ i \ j = (\sum k \in \{0..< n\}, (bin-rep \ n \ i) \ ! \ k \ast (bin-rep \ n \ j) \ ! \ k)$

abbreviation $bip:: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat ((-, -))$ where $bip \ i \ n \ j \equiv bitwise-inner-prod \ n \ i \ j$

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma bitwise-inner-prod-fst-el-0:}\\ \textbf{assumes } i < 2^n \lor j < 2^n\\ \textbf{shows } (i \cdot_{Suc \ n} \ j) = (i \ mod \ 2^n) \cdot_n \ (j \ mod \ 2^n)\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma bitwise-inner-prod-fst-el-is-1:} \\ \textbf{fixes } n \ i \ j:: \ nat \\ \textbf{assumes } i \geq 2 \ n \ \land j \geq 2 \ n \ \textbf{and} \ i < 2 \ (n+1) \ \land j < 2 \ (n+1) \\ \textbf{shows } (i \ (n+1) \ j) = 1 + ((i \ mod \ 2 \ n) \ \cdot n \ (j \ mod \ 2 \ n)) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

```
lemma bitwise-inner-prod-with-zero:

assumes m < 2^n

shows (0 \cdot_n m) = 0

\langle proof \rangle
```

 \mathbf{end}

4 Complex Vectors

theory Complex-Vectors imports Quantum VectorSpace.VectorSpace begin

4.1 The Vector Space of Complex Vectors of Dimension n

definition module-cpx-vec:: $nat \Rightarrow (complex, complex vec)$ module where module-cpx-vec $n \equiv$ module-vec TYPE(complex) n

definition cpx-rng:: complex ring where cpx-rng $\equiv (carrier = UNIV, mult = (*), one = 1, zero = 0, add = (+))$ **lemma** cpx-cring-is-field [simp]: field cpx-rng $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *cpx-abelian-monoid* [*simp*]: abelian-monoid cpx-rng $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** vecspace-cpx-vec [simp]: vectorspace cpx-rng (module-cpx-vec n) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *module-cpx-vec* [*simp*]: Module.module cpx-rng (module-cpx-vec n) $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** *state-basis::* $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow complex vec$ where state-basis $n \ i \equiv unit-vec \ (2^n) \ i$ definition unit-vectors:: $nat \Rightarrow (complex vec) set$ where unit-vectors $n \equiv \{ unit-vec \ n \ i \mid i::nat. \ 0 \le i \land i < n \}$ **lemma** *unit-vectors-carrier-vec* [*simp*]: unit-vectors $n \subseteq carrier$ -vec n $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module.module) finsum-over-singleton [simp]: **assumes** $f x \in carrier M$ **shows** finsum $M f \{x\} = f x$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma lincomb-over-singleton [simp]: **assumes** $x \in carrier$ -vec n and $f \in \{x\} \rightarrow UNIV$ **shows** module.lincomb (module-cpx-vec n) $f \{x\} = f x \cdot_v x$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dim-vec-lincomb [simp]: assumes finite F and f: $F \rightarrow UNIV$ and $F \subseteq carrier$ -vec n shows dim-vec (module.lincomb (module-cpx-vec n) f F) = n $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma lincomb-vec-index [simp]: assumes finite F and a2:i < n and $F \subseteq carrier-vec \ n$ and $f: F \rightarrow UNIV$ shows module.lincomb (module-cpx-vec n) $f F \ i = (\sum v \in F. \ f \ v \ i))$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma unit-vectors-is-lin-indpt [simp]: module.lin-indpt cpx-rng (module-cpx-vec n) (unit-vectors n) ⟨proof⟩

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma unit-vectors-is-genset [simp]:}\\ module.gen-set cpx-rng (module-cpx-vec n) (unit-vectors n)\\ \langle proof \rangle\\ \\ \textbf{lemma unit-vectors-is-basis [simp]:}\\ vectorspace.basis cpx-rng (module-cpx-vec n) (unit-vectors n)\\ \langle proof \rangle\\ \\ \textbf{lemma state-qbit-is-lincomb [simp]:}\\ state-qbit n =\\ \{module.lincomb (module-cpx-vec (2^n)) \ a \ A | a \ A.\\ finite \ A \ \land A \subseteq (unit-vectors (2^n)) \ \land a \in A \ \rightarrow \ UNIV \ \land \| module.lincomb \\ (module-cpx-vec (2^n)) \ a \ A \| = 1 \}\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

 \mathbf{end}

5 Tensor Products

```
theory Tensor
imports
Complex-Vectors
Matrix-Tensor.Matrix-Tensor
Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix
```

begin

There is already a formalization of tensor products in the Archive of Formal Proofs, namely Matrix_Tensor.thy in Tensor Product of Matrices[1] by T.V.H. Prathamesh, but it does not build on top of the formalization of vectors and matrices given in Matrices, Jordan Normal Forms, and Spectral Radius Theory[2] by René Thiemann and Akihisa Yamada. In the present theory our purpose consists in giving such a formalization. Of course, we will reuse Prathamesh's code as much as possible, and in order to achieve that we formalize some lemmas that translate back and forth between vectors (resp. matrices) seen as lists (resp. lists of lists) and vectors (resp. matrices) as formalized in [2].

5.1 The Kronecker Product of Complex Vectors

definition tensor-vec:: complex Matrix.vec \Rightarrow complex Matrix.vec \Rightarrow complex Matrix.vec (infixl $\langle \otimes \rangle$ 63)

where tensor-vec $u v \equiv vec$ -of-list (mult.vec-vec-Tensor (*) (list-of-vec u) (list-of-vec v))

5.2 The Tensor Product of Complex Matrices

To see a matrix in the sense of [2] as a matrix in the sense of [1], we convert it into its list of column vectors.

definition mat-to-cols-list:: complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow complex list list where mat-to-cols-list A = [[A \$\$ (i,j) . i < - [0..< dim-row A]] . j < - [0..< dim-col A]]

lemma length-mat-to-cols-list [simp]: length (mat-to-cols-list A) = dim-col A $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma length-cols-mat-to-cols-list [simp]: **assumes** $j < \dim$ -col A **shows** length [A \$\$ (i,j) . i < - [0..< dim-row A]] = dim-row A $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma length-row-mat-to-cols-list [simp]: **assumes** i < dim-row A **shows** length (row (mat-to-cols-list A) i) = dim-col A $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma length-col-mat-to-cols-list [simp]:

assumes j < dim\text{-col } A

shows length (col (mat-to-cols-list A) j) = dim-row A

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma mat-to-cols-list-is-not-Nil [simp]:
assumes dim-col A > 0
shows mat-to-cols-list A \neq []
\langle proof \rangle
```

Link between Matrix_Tensor.row_length and Matrix.dim_row

lemma row-length-mat-to-cols-list [simp]: **assumes** dim-col A > 0 **shows** mult.row-length (mat-to-cols-list A) = dim-row A $\langle proof \rangle$

mat-to-cols-list is a matrix in the sense of Matrix. Matrix-Legacy.

lemma mat-to-cols-list-is-mat [simp]: **assumes** dim-col A > 0 **shows** mat (mult.row-length (mat-to-cols-list A)) (length (mat-to-cols-list A)) (mat-to-cols-list A) (proof)

definition mat-of-cols-list:: $nat \Rightarrow complex \ list \ list \Rightarrow complex \ Matrix.mat \ where mat-of-cols-list \ nr \ cs = Matrix.mat \ nr \ (length \ cs) \ (\lambda \ (i,j). \ cs \ j \ i)$

lemma index-mat-of-cols-list [simp]:

assumes i < nr and j < length csshows mat-of-cols-list $nr \ cs \$ $(i,j) = cs \ j \ i$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *mat-to-cols-list-to-mat* [*simp*]: mat-of-cols-list (dim-row A) (mat-to-cols-list A) = A $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *plus-mult-cpx* [*simp*]: plus-mult 1 (*) θ (+) (a-inv cpx-rng) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *list-to-mat-to-cols-list* [*simp*]: fixes *l*::*complex list list* assumes mat nr nc l **shows** mat-to-cols-list (mat-of-cols-list nr l) = l $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** col-mat-of-cols-list [simp]: assumes j < length l**shows** Matrix.col (mat-of-cols-list (length $(l \mid j))$) j = vec-of-list $(l \mid j)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** tensor-mat:: [complex Matrix.mat, complex Matrix.mat] \Rightarrow complex *Matrix.mat* (infixl $\langle \bigotimes \rangle$ 63) where tensor-mat $A B \equiv$ mat-of-cols-list (dim-row A * dim-row B) (mult. Tensor (*) (mat-to-cols-list A) (mat-to-cols-list B)) **lemma** dim-row-tensor-mat [simp]: dim-row $(A \bigotimes B) = dim$ -row A * dim-row B $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** dim-col-tensor-mat [simp]: dim-col $(A \bigotimes B) = dim$ -col A * dim-col B $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *mat-to-cols-list-nth-nth-eq-index-mat*: $(mat-to-cols-list A \mid j \mid i = A$ (i, j) if (i < dim-row A) < j < dim-col A) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** index-tensor-mat [simp]: assumes a1:dim-row A = rA and a2:dim-col A = cA and a3:dim-row B = rBand $a_4:dim-col B = cB$ and a5:i < rA * rB and a6:j < cA * cB and a7:cA > 0 and a8:cB > 0shows $(A \bigotimes B)$ \$\$ (i,j) = A \$\$ (i div rB, j div cB) * B \$\$ $(i \text{ mod } rB, j \text{$ cB $\langle proof \rangle$

To go from Matrix.row to Matrix-Legacy.row

To go from Matrix-Legacy.row to Matrix.row

lemma Legacy-row-is-Matrix-row:
 assumes i < mult.row-length A
 shows row A i = list-of-vec (Matrix.row (mat-of-cols-list (mult.row-length A) A)
 i)
 (proof)</pre>

To go from Matrix.col to Matrix-Legacy.col

lemma Matrix-col-is-Legacy-col: **assumes** j < dim-col A **shows** Matrix.col A j = vec-of-list (col (mat-to-cols-list A) j) $\langle proof \rangle$

```
To go from Matrix-Legacy.col to Matrix.col
```

lemma Legacy-col-is-Matrix-col:
 assumes a1:j < length A and a2:length (A ! j) = mult.row-length A
 shows col A j = list-of-vec (Matrix.col (mat-of-cols-list (mult.row-length A) A)
 j)
 (proof)</pre>

Link between *plus-mult.scalar-product* and (\cdot)

lemma scalar-prod-is-Matrix-scalar-prod [simp]: **fixes** u::complex list **and** v::complex list **assumes** length u = length v **shows** plus-mult.scalar-product (*) 0 (+) $u v = (\text{vec-of-list } u) \cdot (\text{vec-of-list } v)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Link between (*) and $\lambda f zer g M1$. mat-multI zer g f (mult.row-length M1) M1

lemma *matrix-mult-to-times-mat*:

assumes dim-col A > 0 and dim-col B > 0 and dim-col (A::complex Matrix.mat) = dim-row B

shows A * B = mat-of-cols-list (dim-row A) (plus-mult.matrix-mult (*) 0 (+) (mat-to-cols-list A) (mat-to-cols-list B)) (proof)

lemma mat-to-cols-list-times-mat [simp]:

assumes dim-col A = dim-row B and dim-col A > 0shows mat-to-cols-list (A * B) = plus-mult.matrix-mult (*) 0 (+) (mat-to-cols-list A) (mat-to-cols-list B) (proof)

Finally, we prove that the tensor product of complex matrices is distributive over the multiplication of complex matrices.

lemma mult-distr-tensor: **assumes** $a1:dim-col \ A = dim-row \ B$ and $a2:dim-col \ C = dim-row \ D$ and $a3:dim-col \ A > 0$ and $a4:dim-col \ B > 0$ and $a5:dim-col \ C > 0$ and $a6:dim-col \ D > 0$ **shows** $(A * B) \bigotimes (C * D) = (A \bigotimes C) * (B \bigotimes D)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma tensor-mat-is-assoc: **fixes** $A \ B \ C$:: complex Matrix.mat **shows** $A \otimes (B \otimes C) = (A \otimes B) \otimes C$ $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

 $\langle proof \rangle$

6 Further Results on Tensor Products

theory More-Tensor imports Quantum Tensor Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix Basics begin

```
lemma tensor-prod-2 [simp]:
mult.vec-vec-Tensor (*) [x1::complex,x2] [x3, x4] = [x1 * x3, x1 * x4, x2 * x3, x4]
x^2 * x_4]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma list-vec [simp]:
 assumes v \in state-qbit 1
 shows list-of-vec v = [v \$ 0, v \$ 1]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma vec-tensor-prod-2 [simp]:
 assumes v \in state-qbit \ 1 and w \in state-qbit \ 1
 shows v \otimes w = vec-of-list [v \$ 0 * w \$ 0, v \$ 0 * w \$ 1, v \$ 1 * w \$ 0, v \$ 1
* w $ 1]
\langle proof \rangle
lemma vec-dim-of-vec-of-list [simp]:
 assumes length l = n
 shows dim-vec (vec-of-list l) = n
```

```
lemma vec-tensor-prod-2-bis [simp]:

assumes v \in state-qbit \ 1 and w \in state-qbit \ 1

shows v \otimes w = Matrix.vec \ 4 (\lambda i. if \ i = 0 then v \ 0 \ * w \ 0 else
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textit{if $i = 3$ then $v $$ 1 * $w $$ 1 else} \\ \textit{if $i = 1$ then $v $$ 0 * $w $$ 1 else $v $$ 1 * $w $$ 0)} \end{array}
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma index-col-mat-of-cols-list [simp]: **assumes** i < n and j < length l **shows** Matrix.col (mat-of-cols-list n l) $j \$ $i = l \ j \ i$ $\langle proof \rangle$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma multTensor2 [simp]:}\\ \textbf{assumes } a1:A = Matrix.mat \ 2 \ 1 \ (\lambda(i,j). \ if \ i = 0 \ then \ a0 \ else \ a1) \ \textbf{and}\\ a2:B = Matrix.mat \ 2 \ 1 \ (\lambda(i,j). \ if \ i = 0 \ then \ b0 \ else \ b1) \\ \textbf{shows mult.Tensor (*) (mat-to-cols-list \ A) (mat-to-cols-list \ B) = [[a0*b0, \ a0*b1, \ a1*b0, \ a1*b1]]}\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

lemma *multTensor2-bis* [*simp*]:

assumes $a1:dim\text{-}row \ A = 2$ and $a2:dim\text{-}col \ A = 1$ and $a3:dim\text{-}row \ B = 2$ and $a4:dim\text{-}col \ B = 1$ shows mult. Tensor (*) (mat-to-cols-list A) (mat-to-cols-list B) = [[A \$\$ (0,0) * B \$\$ (0,0), A \$\$ (0,0) * B \$\$ (1,0), A \$\$ (1,0) * B \$\$ (0,0), A \$\$ (0,0), A \$\$ (1,0), A \$\$ (0,0), A \$\$ (0,0), A \$\$ (1,0), A \$\$ (0,0), A \$\$ (1,0), A \$\$ (1,0

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma mat-tensor-prod-2-prelim [simp]:} \\ \textbf{assumes state 1 } v \textbf{ and state 1 } w \\ \textbf{shows } v \bigotimes w = mat-of-cols-list 4 \\ [[v \$\$ (0,0) * w \$\$ (0,0), v \$\$ (0,0) * w \$\$ (1,0), v \$\$ (1,0) * w \$\$ (0,0), v \$\$ (1,0), v \$\$ (1,0), v \$\$ (1,0)] \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

lemma mat-tensor-prod-2-col [simp]: **assumes** state 1 v and state 1 w **shows** Matrix.col (v \bigotimes w) θ = Matrix.col v $\theta \otimes$ Matrix.col w θ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mat-tensor-prod-2 [simp]: assumes state 1 v and state 1 w shows $v \bigotimes w = Matrix.mat \ 4 \ 1 \ (\lambda(i,j). if \ i = 0 \ then \ v \ \$ \ (0,0) \ * \ w \ \$ \ (0,0)$ else if $i = 3 \ then \ v \ \$ \ (1,0) \ * \ w \ \$ \ (1,0) \ else$

$$if i = 1 then v \$\$ (0,0) * w \$\$ (1,0) else v \$\$ (1,0) * w \$\$ (0,0))$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma mat-tensor-prod-2-bis:
```

assumes state 1 v and state 1 w shows $v \bigotimes w = |Matrix.vec \ 4 \ (\lambda i. if \ i = 0 \ then \ v \ \$ \ (0,0) * w \ \$ \ (0,0) \ else$

```
if i = 3 then v  (1,0) * w  (1,0) else
                                                                                                       if i = 1 then v  (0,0) * w  (1,0) else
                                                                                                           v  $$ (1,0) * w  $$ (0,0))
    \langle proof \rangle
lemma eq-ket-vec:
    fixes u v:: complex Matrix.vec
    assumes u = v
    shows |u\rangle = |v\rangle
    \langle proof \rangle
lemma mat-tensor-ket-vec:
    assumes state 1 v and state 1 w
    shows v \bigotimes w = |(Matrix.col \ v \ \theta) \otimes (Matrix.col \ w \ \theta)\rangle
\langle proof \rangle
The property of being a state (resp. a gate) is preserved by tensor product.
lemma tensor-state2 [simp]:
    assumes state 1 u and state 1 v
    shows state 2 (u \bigotimes v)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma sum-prod:
    fixes f::nat \Rightarrow complex and g::nat \Rightarrow complex
    shows (\sum i < a * b. f(i \ div \ b) * g(i \ mod \ b)) = (\sum i < a. f(i)) * (\sum j < b. g(j))
\langle proof \rangle
lemma tensor-state [simp]:
    assumes state m u and state n v
    shows state (m + n) (u \bigotimes v)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma dim-row-of-tensor-gate:
    assumes gate m G1 and gate n G2
    shows dim-row (G1 \bigotimes G2) = 2 (m+n)
    \langle proof \rangle
lemma tensor-gate-sqr-mat:
    assumes gate m G1 and gate n G2
    shows square-mat (G1 \otimes G2)
     \langle proof \rangle
lemma dim-row-of-one-mat-less-pow:
   assumes gate m G1 and gate n G2 and i < dim row (1_m (dim col G1 * dim col G1 + d
 G2))
    shows i < 2^{(m+n)}
    \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma *dim-col-of-one-mat-less-pow*:

assumes gate m G1 and gate n G2 and $j < dim-col (1_m(dim-col G1 * dim-col G2))$ shows $j < 2^(m+n) \langle proof \rangle$

lemma index-tensor-gate-unitary1:

assumes gate m G1 and gate n G2 and $i < \dim\text{-}row (1_m(\dim\text{-}col G1 * \dim\text{-}col G2))$ $j < \dim\text{-}col (1_m(\dim\text{-}col G1 * \dim\text{-}col G2))$ shows $((G1 \bigotimes G2)^{\dagger} * (G1 \bigotimes G2))$ \$\$ $(i, j) = 1_m(\dim\text{-}col G1 * \dim\text{-}col G2)$ \$\$ (i, j) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma tensor-gate-unitary1 [simp]: **assumes** gate m G1 and gate n G2 **shows** $(G1 \bigotimes G2)^{\dagger} * (G1 \bigotimes G2) = 1_m (dim-col G1 * dim-col G2)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma index-tensor-gate-unitary2 [simp]: **assumes** gate m G1 and gate n G2 and i < dim-row $(1_m (dim-col G1 * dim-col G2))$ $j < dim-col (1_m (dim-col G1 * dim-col G2))$ **shows** $((G1 \bigotimes G2) * ((G1 \bigotimes G2)^{\dagger}))$ \$\$ $(i, j) = 1_m (dim-col G1 * dim-col G2)$ $(j = 1_m (dim-col G1 * dim-col G2))$ $(j = 1_m (dim-col G1 * dim-col G2)$

lemma tensor-gate-unitary2 [simp]: **assumes** gate m G1 **and** gate n G2 **shows** (G1 \bigotimes G2) * ((G1 \bigotimes G2)[†]) = 1_m(dim-col G1 * dim-col G2) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma tensor-gate [simp]: **assumes** gate m G1 **and** gate n G2 **shows** gate (m + n) (G1 \bigotimes G2) $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7 Measurement

theory Measurement imports Quantum begin

Given an element v such that state n v, its components v \$ i (when v is seen as a vector, v being a matrix column) for $0 \le i < n$ have to be understood as the coefficients of the representation of v in the basis given by the unit vectors of dimension 2^n , unless stated otherwise. Such a vector v is a state for a quantum system of n qubits. In the literature on quantum computing, for n = 1, i.e. for a quantum system of 1 qubit, the elements of the so-called computational basis are denoted $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$, and these last elements might be understood for instance as (1,0), (0,1), i.e. as the zeroth and the first elements of a given basis; for n = 2, i.e. for a quantum system of 2 qubits, the elements of the computational basis are denoted $|00\rangle$, $|01\rangle$, $|10\rangle$, $|11\rangle$, and they might be understood for instance as (1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1); and so on for higher values of n. The idea behind these standard notations is that the labels on the vectors of the computational basis are the binary expressions of the natural numbers indexing the elements in a given ordered basis interpreting the computational basis in a specific context, another point of view is that the order of the basis corresponds to the lexicographic order for the labels. Those labels also represent the possible outcomes of a measurement of the n qubits of the system, while the squared modules of the corresponding coefficients represent the probabilities for those outcomes. The fact that the vector v has to be normalized expresses precisely the fact that the squared modules of the coefficients represent some probabilities and hence their sum should be 1. Note that in the case of a system with multiple qubits, i.e. $n \ge 2$, one can model the simultaneous measurement of multiple qubits by sequential measurements of single qubits. Indeed, this last process leads to the same probabilities for the various possible outcomes. Given a system with n-qubits and i the index of one qubit among the n qubits of the system, where $0 \le i \le n-1$ (i.e. we start the indexing from 0), we want to find the indices of the states of the computational basis whose labels have a 1 at the ith spot (counting from 0). For instance, if n = 3 and i = 2 then 1,3,5,7 are the indices of the elements of the computational basis with a 1 at the 2nd spot, namely $|001\rangle, |011\rangle, |101\rangle, |111\rangle$. To achieve that we define the predicate select-index below.

definition select-index :: $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$ where select-index $n \ i \ j \equiv (i \le n-1) \land (j \le 2^n - 1) \land (j \ mod \ 2^n (n-i) \ge 2^n (n-1-i))$

lemma *select-index-union*:

{k| k::nat. select-index n i k} \cup {k| k::nat. (k<2^n) $\land \neg$ select-index n i k} = {0..<2^n::nat}

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma select-index-inter:

{k| k::nat. select-index n i k} \cap {k| k::nat. (k<2^n) $\wedge \neg$ select-index n i k} = {} (proof)

lemma outcomes-sum [simp]:

fixes $f :: nat \Rightarrow real$ shows $(\sum j \in \{k | k::nat. select-index n i k\}. (f j)) +$

$$\begin{array}{l} (\sum j \in \{k | \ k::nat. \ (k < 2 \ n) \land \neg \ select\text{-}index \ n \ i \ k\}. \ (f \ j)) = \\ (\sum j \in \{0..<2 \ n::nat\}. \ (f \ j)) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$$

Given a state v of a n-qbit system, we compute the probability that a measure of qubit i has the outcome 1.

definition prob1 :::nat \Rightarrow complex mat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow real where prob1 n v i $\equiv \sum j \in \{k | k::nat. select-index n i k\}. (cmod(v \$\$ (j, 0)))^2$

definition prob0 :::nat \Rightarrow complex mat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow real where prob0 n v i $\equiv \sum j \in \{k | k ::: nat. (k < 2^n) \land \neg$ select-index n i k}. $(cmod(v \$\$ (j, 0)))^2$

lemma

shows prob1-geq-zero:prob1 n v $i \ge 0$ and prob0-geq-zero:prob0 n v $i \ge 0$ (proof)

lemma prob-sum-is-one [simp]: assumes state n vshows prob1 n v i + prob0 n v i = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

assumes state n vshows prob1-leq-one:prob1 $n v i \leq 1$ and prob0-leq-one:prob0 $n v i \leq 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma prob0-is-prob: assumes state n vshows prob0 $n v i \ge 0 \land prob0 n v i \le 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma prob1-is-prob: assumes state n v shows prob1 n v $i \ge 0 \land prob1$ n v $i \le 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

Below we give the new state of a n-qubits system after a measurement of the ith qubit gave 0.

definition post-meas0 ::nat \Rightarrow complex mat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow complex mat where post-meas0 n v i \equiv of-real(1/sqrt(prob0 n v i)) \cdot_m |vec (2^n) (λj . if \neg select-index n i j then v \$\$ (j,0) else 0)>

Note that a division by 0 never occurs. Indeed, if $sqrt(prob0 \ n \ v \ i)$ would be 0 then $prob0 \ n \ v \ i$ would be 0 and it would mean that the measurement of the ith qubit gave 1.

lemma post-meas0-is-state [simp]: assumes state n v and prob0 $n v i \neq 0$ **shows** state n (post-meas0 n v i) $\langle proof \rangle$

Below we give the new state of a n-qubits system after a measurement of the ith qubit gave 1.

definition post-meas1 ::nat \Rightarrow complex mat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow complex mat where post-meas1 n v i \equiv of-real(1/sqrt(prob1 n v i)) \cdot_m |vec (2^n) (λ j. if select-index n i j then v \$\$ (j,0) else 0)>

Note that a division by 0 never occurs. Indeed, if $sqrt(prob1 \ n \ v \ i)$ would be 0 then $prob1 \ n \ v \ i$ would be 0 and it would mean that the measurement of the ith qubit gave 0.

lemma post-meas-1-is-state [simp]: **assumes** state $n \ v$ and prob1 $n \ v \ i \neq 0$ **shows** state n (post-meas1 $n \ v \ i$) $\langle proof \rangle$

The measurement operator below takes a number of qubits n, a state v of a n-qubits system, a number i corresponding to the index (starting from 0) of one qubit among the n-qubits, and it computes a list whose first (resp. second) element is the pair made of the probability that the outcome of the measurement of the ith qubit is 0 (resp. 1) and the corresponding postmeasurement state of the system. Of course, note that i should be strictly less than n and v should be a state of dimension n, i.e. state n v should hold".

definition meas :: nat \Rightarrow complex mat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow -list where meas $n \ v \ i \equiv [(prob0 \ n \ v \ i, \ post-meas0 \ n \ v \ i), \ (prob1 \ n \ v \ i, \ post-meas1 \ n \ v \ i)]$

We want to determine the probability that the first n qubits of an n+1 qubit system are 0. For this we need to find the indices of the states of the computational basis whose labels do not have a 1 at spot i = 0, ..., n.

definition prob0-fst-qubits:: nat \Rightarrow complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow real where prob0-fst-qubits $n v \equiv$ $\sum j \in \{k \mid k::nat. (k < 2^{(n+1)}) \land (\forall i \in \{0... < n\}, \neg select-index (n+1) i k)\}. (cmod(v$ $(s (j, 0)))^2$

lemma select-index-div-2: **fixes** $n \ i \ j::nat$ **assumes** $i < 2^{(n+1)}$ **and** j < n **shows** select-index $n \ j \ (i \ div \ 2) =$ select-index $(n+1) \ j \ i$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma select-index-suc-even: fixes $n \ k$ i:: nat assumes $k < 2^n$ and select-index $n \ i \ k$ shows select-index (Suc n) $i \ (2*k)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma select-index-suc-odd:
  fixes n \ k \ i:: nat
 assumes k < 2\hat{n} - 1 and select-index n i k
  shows select-index (Suc n) i (2*k+1)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma aux-range:
  fixes k:: nat
 assumes k < 2 (Suc \ n+1) and k \geq 2
 shows k = 2 \lor k = 3 \lor (\exists l. l \ge 2 \land l \le 2 \land (n+1) - 1 \land (k = 2 * l \lor k = 2 * l + 1))
\langle proof \rangle
lemma select-index-with-1:
  fixes n:: nat
  assumes n > 1
 shows \forall k. k < 2^{(n+1)} \longrightarrow k \geq 2 \longrightarrow (\exists i < n. select-index (n+1) i k)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma prob0-fst-qubits-index:
  fixes n:: nat and v:: complex Matrix.mat
  shows \{k \mid k:: nat. (k < 2^{(n+1)}) \land (\forall i \in \{0.. < n\}, \neg select-index (n+1) i k)\} =
\{0,1\}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma prob0-fst-qubits-eq:
  fixes n:: nat
  shows prob0-fst-qubits n v = (cmod(v \$\$ (0,0)))^2 + (cmod(v \$\$ (1,0)))^2
\langle proof \rangle
```

primrec *iter-post-meas0*:: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *complex Matrix.mat* \Rightarrow *complex Matrix.mat* **where** *iter-post-meas0 n* 0 *v* = *v*

| iter-post-meas0 n (Suc m) v = post-meas0 n (iter-post-meas0 n m v) m

definition *iter-prob0*:: *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *complex Matrix.mat* \Rightarrow *real* **where** *iter-prob0* $n \ m \ v = (\prod i < m. \ prob0 \ n \ (iter-post-meas0 \ n \ i \ v) \ i)$

7.1 Measurements with Bell States

A Bell state is a remarkable state. Indeed, if one makes one measure, either of the first or the second qubit, then one gets either 0 with probability 1/2 or 1 with probability 1/2. Moreover, in the case of two successive measurements of the first and second qubit, the outcomes are correlated. Indeed, in the case of $|\beta_{00}\rangle$ or $|\beta_{10}\rangle$ (resp. $|\beta_{01}\rangle$ or $|\beta_{11}\rangle$) if one measures the second qubit after
a measurement of the first qubit (or the other way around) then one gets the same outcomes (resp. opposite outcomes), i.e. for instance the probability of measuring 0 for the second qubit after a measure with outcome 0 for the first qubit is 1 (resp. 0).

```
lemma prob0-bell-fst [simp]:
  assumes v = |\beta_{00}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{01}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{10}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{11}\rangle
  shows prob0 2 v 0 = 1/2
\langle proof \rangle
lemma prob-1-bell-fst [simp]:
  assumes v = |\beta_{00}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{01}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{10}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{11}\rangle
  shows prob1 2 v 0 = 1/2
\langle proof \rangle
lemma prob0-bell-snd [simp]:
  assumes v = |\beta_{00}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{01}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{10}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{11}\rangle
  shows prob0 2 v 1 = 1/2
\langle proof \rangle
lemma prob-1-bell-snd [simp]:
  assumes v = |\beta_{00}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{01}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{10}\rangle \lor v = |\beta_{11}\rangle
  shows prob1 2 v 1 = 1/2
\langle proof \rangle
lemma post-meas0-bell00-fst [simp]:
  post-measo 2 |\beta_{00}\rangle 0 = |unit-vec 4 0\rangle
\langle proof \rangle
lemma post-meas0-bell00-snd [simp]:
  post-measo 2 |\beta_{00}\rangle 1 = |unit-vec 4 0\rangle
\langle proof \rangle
lemma post-meas0-bell01-fst [simp]:
  post-measo 2 |\beta_{01}\rangle 0 = |unit-vec 4 1 \rangle
\langle proof \rangle
lemma post-meas0-bell01-snd [simp]:
  post-measo 2 |\beta_{01}\rangle 1 = |unit-vec 4 2)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma post-meas0-bell10-fst [simp]:
  post-measo 2 |\beta_{10}\rangle 0 = |unit-vec 4 0)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma post-meas0-bell10-snd [simp]:
  post-meas 0 \ 2 \ |\beta_{10}\rangle \ 1 = |unit-vec \ 4 \ 0\rangle
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma post-meas0-bell11-fst [simp]:

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{post-meas0 2} \ |\beta_{11}\rangle \ 0 = |\textit{unit-vec 4 1}\rangle \\ \langle \textit{proof} \rangle \end{array}$

lemma post-meas0-bell11-snd [simp]: post-meas0 2 $|\beta_{11}\rangle$ 1 = - |unit-vec 4 2 \rangle $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma post-meas1-bell00-fst [simp]: post-meas1 2 $|\beta_{00}\rangle$ 0 = |unit-vec 4 3 \rangle $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma post-meas1-bell00-snd [simp]: post-meas1 2 $|\beta_{00}\rangle$ 1 = |unit-vec 4 3 \rangle $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma post-meas1-bell01-fst [simp]: post-meas1 2 $|\beta_{01}\rangle$ 0 = |unit-vec 4 2 \rangle $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma post-meas1-bell01-snd [simp]: post-meas1 2 $|\beta_{01}\rangle$ 1 = |unit-vec 4 1 \rangle $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma post-meas1-bell10-fst [simp]: post-meas1 2 $|\beta_{10}\rangle$ 0 = - |unit-vec 4 3 \rangle $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma post-meas1-bell10-snd [simp]: post-meas1 2 $|\beta_{10}\rangle$ 1 = - |unit-vec 4 3 \rangle $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma post-meas1-bell11-fst [simp]: post-meas1 2 $|\beta_{11}\rangle$ 0 = - |unit-vec 4 2 \rangle $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma post-meas1-bell11-snd [simp]: post-meas1 2 $|\beta_{11}\rangle$ 1 = |unit-vec 4 1 \rangle $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

8 Quantum Entanglement

theory Entanglement imports Quantum More-Tensor begin

8.1 The Product States and Entangled States of a 2-qubits System

Below we add the condition that v and w are two-dimensional states, otherwise u can always be represented by the tensor product of the 1-dimensional vector 1 and u itself.

definition prod-state2:: complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow bool where prod-state2 $u \equiv if$ state 2 u then $\exists v w$. state 1 $v \land$ state 1 $w \land u = v \bigotimes w$ else undefined

definition *entangled2*:: *complex Matrix.mat* \Rightarrow *bool* **where** *entangled2* $u \equiv \neg$ *prod-state2* u

The Bell states are entangled states.

```
lemma bell00-is-entangled2 [simp]:
entangled2 |\beta_{00}\rangle
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma bell01-is-entangled2 [simp]:
entangled2 |\beta_{01}\rangle
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma bell10-is-entangled2 [simp]: entangled2 $|\beta_{10}\rangle$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma bell11-is-entangled2 [simp]: entangled2 $|\beta_{11}\rangle$ $\langle proof \rangle$

An entangled state is a state that cannot be broken down as the tensor product of smaller states.

definition prod-state:: nat \Rightarrow complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow bool where prod-state $m \ u \equiv if$ state $m \ u$ then $\exists n \ p::nat. \exists v \ w.$ state $n \ v \land$ state $p \ w \land$ $n < m \land p < m \land u = v \bigotimes w$ else undefined

definition entangled:: $nat \Rightarrow complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow bool$ where entangled $n \ v \equiv \neg$ (prod-state $n \ v$)

lemma sanity-check:

 \neg (entangled 2 (mat-of-cols-list 2 [[1/sqrt(2), 1/sqrt(2)]] \otimes mat-of-cols-list 2 [[1/sqrt(2), 1/sqrt(2)]])) (proof)

 \mathbf{end}

9 Quantum Teleportation

theory Quantum-Teleportation imports More-Tensor Basics Measurement begin

definition alice:: complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow complex Matrix.mat where alice $\varphi \equiv (H \bigotimes Id \ 2) * ((CNOT \bigotimes Id \ 1) * (\varphi \bigotimes |\beta_{00}\rangle))$

abbreviation M1:: complex Matrix.mat where $M1 \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 8 [[1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0]]

lemma tensor-prod-of-cnot-id-1: **shows** (CNOT \bigotimes Id 1) = M1 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation M2:: complex Matrix.mat where

$$\begin{split} M2 &\equiv \textit{mat-of-cols-list 8} \; \begin{bmatrix} 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0, \, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 0, \, 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 0, \, 0, \, 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, 1/sqrt(2), \, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, 1/sqrt(2) \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, -1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 0, \, 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, -1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 0, \, 0, \, 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, -1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 0, \, 0, \, 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, -1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 0, \, 0, \, 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, -1/sqrt(2), \, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix} 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, 1/sqrt(2), \, 0, \, 0, \, 0, \, -1/sqrt(2), \, 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

lemma tensor-prod-of-h-id-2: shows $(H \bigotimes Id \ 2) = M2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma alice-step-1-state [simp]: **assumes** state 1 φ **shows** state 3 ($\varphi \otimes |\beta_{00}\rangle$) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma alice-step-2-state: **assumes** state 1 φ **shows** state 3 ((CNOT \otimes Id 1) * ($\varphi \otimes |\beta_{00}\rangle$)) $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma alice-state [simp]:
assumes state 1 \varphi
shows state 3 (alice \varphi)
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma alice-step-1: **assumes** state 1 φ and $\alpha = \varphi$ \$\$ (0,0) and $\beta = \varphi$ \$\$ (1,0) **shows** ($\varphi \bigotimes |\beta_{00}\rangle$) = mat-of-cols-list 8 [[$\alpha/sqrt(2), 0, 0, \alpha/sqrt(2), \beta/sqrt(2), 0, 0, \beta/sqrt(2)$]] $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma alice-step-2:
```

assumes state 1 φ and $\alpha = \varphi$ \$\$ (0,0) and $\beta = \varphi$ \$\$ (1,0) shows (CNOT \bigotimes Id 1) * ($\varphi \bigotimes |\beta_{00}\rangle$) = mat-of-cols-list 8 [[α /sqrt(2),0,0, α /sqrt(2),0, β /sqrt(2), β /s

lemma *alice-result*:

assumes state 1 φ and $\alpha = \varphi$ \$\$ (0,0) and $\beta = \varphi$ \$\$ (1,0) shows alice $\varphi = mat$ -of-cols-list 8 [[$\alpha/2, \beta/2, \beta/2, \alpha/2, \alpha/2, -\beta/2, -\beta/2, \alpha/2$] $\langle proof \rangle$

An application of function *alice* to a state φ of a 1-qubit system results in the following cases.

definition alice-meas:: complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow -list where alice-meas $\varphi = [$ $((prob0\ 3\ (alice\ \varphi)\ 0)\ *\ (prob0\ 3\ (post-meas0\ 3\ (alice\ \varphi)\ 0)\ 1),\ post-meas0\ 3\ (post-meas0\ 3\ (alice\ \varphi)\ 0)\ 1),\ post-meas1\ 3\ (post-meas0\ 3\ (alice\ \varphi)\ 0)\ 1),\ post-meas0\ 3\ (post-meas1\ 3\ (alice\ \varphi)\ 0)\ 1),\ post-meas1\ 3\ (post-meas1\ 3\ (alice\ \varphi)\ 0)\ 1)$

definition alice-pos:: complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow bool where alice-pos $\varphi \ q \equiv q = mat$ -of-cols-list 8 [[φ \$\$ (0,0), φ \$\$ (1,0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]] \lor

 $\begin{array}{l} q = mat \text{-}of\text{-}cols\text{-}list \ 8 \ [[0, \ 0, \ \varphi \ \$ \ (1, 0), \ \varphi \ \$ \ (0, 0), \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0]] \lor \\ q = mat\text{-}of\text{-}cols\text{-}list \ 8 \ [[0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ \varphi \ \$ \ (0, 0), \ -\varphi \ \$ \ (1, 0), \ 0, \ 0]] \end{array}$

$$q = mat \text{-}of \text{-}cols \text{-}list \ 8 \ [[0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ -\varphi \ \$\$ \ (1, 0), \ \varphi \ \$\$ \ (0, 0)]$$

lemma *phi-vec-length*:

V

assumes state 1 φ shows $cmod(\varphi \$\$ (0,0)) 2 + cmod(\varphi \$\$ (Suc 0,0)) 2 = 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** select-index-3-subsets [simp]: **shows** $\{j::nat. select-index \ 3 \ 0 \ j\} = \{4,5,6,7\} \land$ $\{j:: nat. \ j < 8 \land \neg \ select-index \ 3 \ 0 \ j\} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \land$ ${j::nat. select-index 3 1 j} = {2,3,6,7} \land$ ${j::nat. j < 8 \land \neg select-index 3 1 j} = {0,1,4,5}$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** prob-index-0-alice: assumes state 1 φ shows prob0 3 (alice φ) $0 = 1/2 \wedge \text{prob1} 3$ (alice φ) 0 = 1/2 $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *post-meas0-index-0-alice*: assumes state 1 φ and $\alpha = \varphi$ \$\$ (0,0) and $\beta = \varphi$ \$\$ (1,0) shows post-meas 0 3 (alice φ) 0 = mat-of-cols-list 8 $[[\alpha/sqrt(2), \beta/sqrt(2), \beta/sqrt(2), \alpha/sqrt(2), 0, 0, 0, 0]]$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *post-meas1-index-0-alice*: assumes state 1 φ and $\alpha = \varphi$ \$\$ (0,0) and $\beta = \varphi$ \$\$ (1,0) shows post-meas 13 (alice φ) θ = mat-of-cols-list 8 [[$0, 0, 0, 0, \alpha/sqrt(2), -\beta/sqrt(2), -\beta/sqrt(2), \alpha/sqrt(2)$]] $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *post-meas0-index-0-alice-state* [*simp*]: assumes state 1 φ **shows** state 3 (post-measo 3 (alice φ) 0) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *post-meas1-index-0-alice-state* [*simp*]: assumes state 1 φ shows state 3 (post-meas 1 3 (alice φ) 0) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** Alice-case [simp]: assumes state 1 φ and state 3 q and List.member (alice-meas φ) (p, q) **shows** alice-pos φ q $\langle proof \rangle$ datatype $bit = zero \mid one$

definition alice-out:: complex Matrix.mat => complex Matrix.mat => bit × bit **where** alice-out $\varphi \ q \equiv$ if q = mat-of-cols-list 8 [[φ \$\$ (0,0), φ \$\$ (1,0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]] then (zero, zero) else if q = mat-of-cols-list 8 [[0, 0, φ \$\$ (1,0), φ \$\$ (0,0), 0, 0, 0, 0]] then (zero, one) else if q = mat-of-cols-list 8 $[[0, 0, 0, 0, \varphi \$ (0,0), -\varphi \$ (1,0), 0, 0]]$ then (one, zero) else

if q = mat-of-cols-list 8 [[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, - φ \$\$ (1,0), φ \$\$ (0,0)]] then (one, one) else

undefined

definition bob:: complex Matrix.mat => bit × bit \Rightarrow complex Matrix.mat where bob q b \equiv

if $(fst \ b, \ snd \ b) = (zero, \ zero)$ then q else

if (fst b, snd b) = (zero, one) then (Id 2 $\bigotimes X$) * q else if (fst b, snd b) = (one, zero) then (Id 2 $\bigotimes Z$) * q else if (fst b, snd b) = (one, one) then (Id 2 $\bigotimes Z * X$) * q else undefined

lemma alice-out-unique [simp]:

assumes state 1 φ

shows Matrix.mat 8 (Suc 0) ($\lambda(i,j)$. [[0, 0, φ \$\$ (Suc 0, 0), φ \$\$ (0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]]!j!i) \neq

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Matrix.mat 8 (Suc 0) } (\lambda(i,j). \ [[\varphi \$\$ (0, 0), \varphi \$\$ (Suc 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]]! j! i) \land \end{array}$

Matrix.mat 8 (Suc 0) ($\lambda(i,j)$. [[0, 0, 0, 0, φ \$\$ (0, 0), $-\varphi$ \$\$ (Suc 0, 0), 0, 0]]!j!i) \neq

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Matrix.mat 8 (Suc 0) } (\lambda(i,j). \ [[\varphi \$\$ (0, 0), \varphi \$\$ (Suc 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]]! j! i) \land \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Matrix.mat 8 (Suc 0) } (\lambda(i,j). \ [[0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ -\varphi \ \$ \ (Suc \ 0, \ 0), \ \varphi \ \$ \ (0, \ 0)]]! j! i) \neq \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Matrix.mat 8 (Suc 0) } (\lambda(i,j). \ [[\varphi \$\$ (0, 0), \varphi \$\$ (Suc 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]]! j! i) \land \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} Matrix.mat \ 8 \ (Suc \ 0) \ (\lambda(i,j). \ [[0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ \varphi \ \$\$ \ (0, \ 0), \ -\varphi \ \$\$ \ (Suc \ 0, \ 0), \\ 0, \ 0]]! j! i) \ \neq \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \textit{Matrix.mat 8 (Suc 0) } (\lambda(i,j). \ [[0, \ 0, \ \varphi \ \$\$ (Suc \ 0, \ 0), \ \varphi \ \$\$ (0, \ 0), \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0]]! j! i) \land \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} Matrix.mat \ 8 \ (Suc \ 0) \ (\lambda(i,j). \ [[0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ -\varphi \ \$ \ (Suc \ 0, \ 0), \ \varphi \ \$ \\ (0, \ 0)]]! j! i) \neq \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Matrix.mat 8 (Suc 0) } (\lambda(i,j). ~ [[0, ~0, ~\varphi ~\$\$ (Suc ~0, ~0), ~\varphi ~\$\$ (0, ~0), ~0, ~0, ~0, ~0]]! j! i) ~ \land \end{array}$

Matrix.mat 8 (Suc 0) ($\lambda(i,j)$. [[0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, - φ \$\$ (Suc 0, 0), φ \$\$ (0, 0)]]!j!i) \neq

 $\begin{array}{c} Matrix.mat \ 8 \ (Suc \ 0) \ (\lambda(i,j). \ [[0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ \varphi \ \$\$ \ (0, \ 0), \ -\varphi \ \$\$ \ (Suc \ 0, \ 0), \\ 0, \ 0]]!j!i) \end{array}$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation M3:: complex Matrix.mat where

 $M3 \equiv mat-of-cols-list \ 8 \ [[0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]],$

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0 \end{bmatrix},$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 0, \ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

lemma tensor-prod-of-id-2-x: shows (Id 2 \bigotimes X) = M3 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation M4:: complex Matrix.mat where $M4 \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 8 [[0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0]]

abbreviation ZX:: complex Matrix.mat where $ZX \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 2 [[0, -1], [1, 0]]

lemma *l-inv-of-ZX*: shows $ZX^{\dagger} * ZX = 1_m 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *r-inv-of-ZX*: shows $ZX * (ZX^{\dagger}) = 1_m 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ZX-is-gate [simp]: shows gate 1 ZX $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma prod-of-ZX: **shows** Z * X = ZX $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma tensor-prod-of-id-2-y: shows (Id 2 \bigotimes Z * X) = M4 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation M5:: complex Matrix.mat where $M5 \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 8 [[1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0], [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1]] lemma tensor-prod-of-id-2-z: shows (Id 2 \bigotimes Z) = M5 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma teleportation: **assumes** state 1 φ and state 3 q and List.member (alice-meas φ) (p, q) **shows** $\exists r$. state 2 $r \land bob$ q (alice-out φ q) = $r \bigotimes \varphi$ $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

10 The Deutsch Algorithm

theory Deutsch imports More-Tensor Measurement begin

Given a function $f: 0, 1 \mapsto 0, 1$, Deutsch's algorithm decides if this function is constant or balanced with a single f(x) circuit to evaluate the function for multiple values of x simultaneously. The algorithm makes use of quantum parallelism and quantum interference.

A constant function with values in 0,1 returns either always 0 or always 1. A balanced function is 0 for half of the inputs and 1 for the other half.

```
locale deutsch =

fixes f:: nat \Rightarrow nat

assumes dom: f \in (\{0,1\} \rightarrow_E \{0,1\})

context deutsch

begin

definition is-swap:: bool where

is-swap = (\forall x \in \{0,1\}, f x = 1 - x)

lemma is-swap-values:

assumes is-swap

shows f \ 0 = 1 and f \ 1 = 0

\langle proof \rangle

lemma is-swap-sum-mod-2:

assumes is-swap

shows (f \ 0 + f \ 1) \mod 2 = 1

\langle proof \rangle
```

definition const:: $nat \Rightarrow bool$ where const $n = (\forall x \in \{0,1\}.(f x = n))$

definition *is-const*:: *bool* **where** *is-const* \equiv *const* $0 \lor$ *const* 1

definition *is-balanced*:: *bool* where is-balanced $\equiv (\forall x \in \{0,1\}, (f x = x)) \lor is$ -swap **lemma** *f*-values: $(f \ 0 = 0 \lor f \ 0 = 1) \land (f \ 1 = 0 \lor f \ 1 = 1)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma *f*-cases: **shows** *is-const* \lor *is-balanced* $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *const-0-sum-mod-2*: assumes const θ **shows** $(f \ 0 + f \ 1) \mod 2 = 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** const-1-sum-mod-2: assumes const 1 **shows** $(f \ 0 + f \ 1) \mod 2 = 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *is-const-sum-mod-2*: assumes *is-const* **shows** $(f \ 0 + f \ 1) \mod 2 = 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *id-sum-mod-2*: assumes f = id**shows** $(f \ 0 + f \ 1) \mod 2 = 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *is-balanced-sum-mod-2*: assumes *is-balanced* **shows** $(f \ 0 + f \ 1) \mod 2 = 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** f-ge-0: $\forall x. (f x \ge 0) \langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

The Deutsch's Transform U_f .

definition (in deutsch) deutsch-transform:: complex Matrix.mat ($\langle U_f \rangle$) where $U_f \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 4 [[1 - f(0), f(0), 0, 0],

[f(l	9),	1	—	f(l)),	$\theta,$	$\theta],$
[0,	$\theta,$	1	_	f(z)	1),	f(1)],
[0,	$\theta,$	f(1)	, 1	_	f(1)]]

lemma (in deutsch) deutsch-transform-dim [simp]: **shows** dim-row $U_f = 4$ and dim-col $U_f = 4$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in deutsch) deutsch-transform-coeff-is-zero [simp]: **shows** U_f \$\$ (0,2) = 0 and U_f \$\$ (0,3) = 0 and U_f \$\$ (1,2) = 0 and U_f \$\$ (1,3) = 0 and U_f \$\$ (2,0) = 0 and U_f \$\$ (2,1) = 0 and U_f \$\$ (3,0) = 0 and U_f \$\$ (3,1) = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma (in deutsch) deutsch-transform-coeff [simp]:} \\ \textbf{shows } U_f \$\$ (0,1) = f(0) \textbf{ and } U_f \$\$ (1,0) = f(0) \\ \textbf{and } U_f \$\$ (2,3) = f(1) \textbf{ and } U_f \$\$ (3,2) = f(1) \\ \textbf{and } U_f \$\$ (0,0) = 1 - f(0) \textbf{ and } U_f \$\$ (1,1) = 1 - f(0) \\ \textbf{and } U_f \$\$ (2,2) = 1 - f(1) \textbf{ and } U_f \$\$ (3,3) = 1 - f(1) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

abbreviation (in deutsch) V_f :: complex Matrix.mat where $V_f \equiv Matrix.mat \ 4 \ 4 \ (\lambda(i,j)).$ $if \ i=0 \ \land j=0 \ then \ 1 \ - f(0) \ else$ $(if \ i=0 \ \land j=1 \ then \ f(0) \ else$ $(if \ i=1 \ \land j=0 \ then \ f(0) \ else$ $(if \ i=1 \ \land j=2 \ then \ 1 \ - f(1) \ else$ $(if \ i=2 \ \land j=2 \ then \ f(1) \ else$ $(if \ i=3 \ \land j=3 \ then \ 1 \ - f(1) \ else \ 0))))))))))$

lemma (in deutsch) deutsch-transform-alt-rep-coeff-is-zero [simp]: shows V_f \$\$ (0,2) = 0 and V_f \$\$ (0,3) = 0and V_f \$\$ (1,2) = 0 and V_f \$\$(1,3) = 0and V_f \$\$ (2,0) = 0 and V_f \$\$(2,1) = 0and V_f \$\$ (3,0) = 0 and V_f \$\$(3,1) = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in deutsch) deutsch-transform-alt-rep-coeff [simp]: shows V_f \$\$ (0,1) = f(0) and V_f \$\$ (1,0) = f(0)and V_f \$\$(2,3) = f(1) and V_f \$\$ (3,2) = f(1)and V_f \$\$ (0,0) = 1 - f(0) and V_f \$\$(1,1) = 1 - f(0)and V_f \$\$ (2,2) = 1 - f(1) and V_f \$\$(3,3) = 1 - f(1) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in deutsch) deutsch-transform-alt-rep: shows $U_f = V_f$ $\langle proof \rangle$

 U_f is a gate.

lemma (in deutsch) transpose-of-deutsch-transform: **shows** $(U_f)^t = U_f$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in deutsch) adjoint-of-deutsch-transform: shows $(U_f)^{\dagger} = U_f$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in deutsch) deutsch-transform-is-gate: shows gate 2 U_f $\langle proof \rangle$

Two qubits are prepared. The first one in the state $|0\rangle$, the second one in the state $|1\rangle$.

abbreviation zero where zero \equiv unit-vec 2 0 abbreviation one where one \equiv unit-vec 2 1

```
lemma ket-zero-is-state:

shows state 1 |zero\rangle

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma ket-one-is-state:

shows state 1 |one\rangle

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma ket-zero-to-mat-of-cols-list [simp]: $|zero\rangle = mat-of-cols-list 2$ [[1, 0]] $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ket-one-to-mat-of-cols-list [simp]: $|one\rangle = mat-of-cols-list 2$ [[0, 1]] $\langle proof \rangle$

Applying the Hadamard gate to the state $|0\rangle$ results in the new state $\psi_{00} = (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$

 $\sqrt{2}$

abbreviation ψ_{00} :: complex Matrix.mat where $\psi_{00} \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 2 [[1/sqrt(2), 1/sqrt(2)]]

lemma *H*-on-ket-zero: shows $(H * |zero\rangle) = \psi_{00}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *H*-on-ket-zero-is-state: shows state 1 ($H * |zero\rangle$) $\langle proof \rangle$

Applying the Hadamard gate to the state $|0\rangle$ results in the new state $\psi_{01} =$

 $\frac{(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}.$

abbreviation ψ_{01} :: complex Matrix.mat where $\psi_{01} \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 2 [[1/sqrt(2), -1/sqrt(2)]]

lemma *H-on-ket-one*: shows $(H * |one\rangle) = \psi_{01}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *H*-on-ket-one-is-state: shows state 1 ($H * |one\rangle$) $\langle proof \rangle$

Then, the state $\psi_1 = \frac{(|00\rangle - |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle)}{2}$ is obtained by taking the tensor product of the states $\psi_{00} = \frac{(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $\psi_{01} = \frac{(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}$.

abbreviation ψ_1 :: complex Matrix.mat where $\psi_1 \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 4 [[1/2, -1/2, 1/2, -1/2]]

lemma ψ_0 -to- ψ_1 : shows $(\psi_{00} \bigotimes \psi_{01}) = \psi_1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ψ_1 -is-state: shows state 2 ψ_1 $\langle proof \rangle$

Next, the gate U_f is applied to the state $\psi_1 = \frac{(|00\rangle - |01\rangle + |10\rangle - |11\rangle)}{2}$ and $\psi_2 = \frac{(|0f(0) \oplus 0\rangle - |0f(0) \oplus 1\rangle + |1f(1) \oplus 0\rangle - |1f(1) \oplus 1\rangle)}{2}$ is obtained. This simplifies to $\psi_2 = \frac{(|0f(0)\rangle - |0\overline{f(0)}\rangle + |1f(1)\rangle - |1\overline{f(1)}\rangle)}{2}$ **abbreviation (in** deutsch) ψ_2 :: complex Matrix.mat where $\psi_2 \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 4 [[(1 - f(0))/2 - f(0)/2, f(0)/2, (1 - f(1))/2 - f(1)/2, f(1)/2 - (1 - f(1))/2]]lemma (in deutsch) ψ_1 -to- ψ_2 : shows $U_f * \psi_1 = \psi_2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in deutsch) ψ_2 -is-state: shows state 2 ψ_2 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *H*-tensor-Id-1:

defines $d:v \equiv mat-of-cols-list \notin [[1/sqrt(2), 0, 1/sqrt(2), 0], [0, 1/sqrt(2), 0, 1/sqrt(2)], [1/sqrt(2), 0, -1/sqrt(2)], 0], [0, 1/sqrt(2), 0, -1/sqrt(2)]]$ shows $(H \bigotimes Id 1) = v$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *H*-tensor-Id-1-is-gate: shows gate 2 ($H \bigotimes Id$ 1)

 $\langle proof \rangle$

Applying the Hadamard gate to the first qubit of ψ_2 results in $\psi_3 = \pm |f(0) \oplus f(1)\rangle \left[\frac{(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$

abbreviation (in deutsch) ψ_3 :: complex Matrix.mat where $\psi_3 \equiv mat-of-cols-list 4$ [[(1-f(0))/(2*sqrt(2)) - f(0)/(2*sqrt(2)) + (1-f(1))/(2*sqrt(2)) - f(1)/(2*sqrt(2)), f(0)/(2*sqrt(2)) - (1-f(0))/(2*sqrt(2)) + (f(1)/(2*sqrt(2)) - (1-f(1))/(2*sqrt(2))), (1-f(0))/(2*sqrt(2)) - f(0)/(2*sqrt(2)) - (1-f(1))/(2*sqrt(2)) + f(1)/(2*sqrt(2)),f(0)/(2*sqrt(2)) - (1-f(0))/(2*sqrt(2)) - f(1)/(2*sqrt(2)) + (1-f(1))/(2*sqrt(2))]]

lemma (in deutsch) ψ_2 -to- ψ_3 : shows ($H \bigotimes Id 1$) * $\psi_2 = \psi_3$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in deutsch) ψ_3 -is-state: shows state 2 ψ_3 $\langle proof \rangle$

Finally, all steps are put together. The result depends on the function f. If f is constant the first qubit of $\pm |f(0) \oplus f(1)\rangle \left[\frac{(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$ is 0, if it is is_balanced it is 1. The algorithm only uses one evaluation of f(x) and will always succeed.

definition (in deutsch) deutsch-algo:: complex Matrix.mat where deutsch-algo \equiv ($H \otimes Id 1$) * ($U_f * ((H * |zero\rangle) \otimes (H * |one\rangle)))$

lemma (in deutsch) deutsch-algo-result [simp]: **shows** deutsch-algo = ψ_3 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in deutsch) deutsch-algo-result-is-state: shows state 2 deutsch-algo ⟨proof⟩

If the function is constant then the measurement of the first qubit should result in the state $|0\rangle$ with probability 1.

lemma (in *deutsch*) prob0-deutsch-algo-const:

assumes is-const shows prob0 2 deutsch-algo 0 = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma (in deutsch) prob1-deutsch-algo-const:
assumes is-const
shows prob1 2 deutsch-algo 0 = 0
\langle proof \rangle
```

If the function is balanced the measurement of the first qubit should result in the state $|1\rangle$ with probability 1.

lemma (in deutsch) prob0-deutsch-algo-balanced: assumes is-balanced shows prob0 2 deutsch-algo 0 = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma (in deutsch) prob1-deutsch-algo-balanced:
assumes is-balanced
shows prob1 2 deutsch-algo 0 = 1
\langle proof \rangle
```

Eventually, the measurement of the first qubit results in $f(0) \oplus f(1)$.

definition (in *deutsch*) *deutsch-algo-eval*:: *real* where *deutsch-algo-eval* \equiv *prob1* 2 *deutsch-algo* 0

lemma (in deutsch) sum-mod-2-cases: **shows** $(f \ 0 + f \ 1) \mod 2 = 0 \longrightarrow is\text{-const}$ **and** $(f \ 0 + f \ 1) \mod 2 = 1 \longrightarrow is\text{-balanced}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in deutsch) deutsch-algo-eval-is-sum-mod-2: **shows** deutsch-algo-eval = $(f \ 0 + f \ 1) \mod 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

If the algorithm returns 0 then one concludes that the input function is constant and if it returns 1 then the function is balanced.

theorem (in *deutsch*) *deutsch-algo-is-correct*: **shows** *deutsch-algo-eval* = $0 \rightarrow is$ -const **and** *deutsch-algo-eval* = $1 \rightarrow is$ -balanced $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

11 The Deutsch-Jozsa Algorithm

theory Deutsch-Jozsa imports Deutsch More-Tensor

Binary-Nat **begin**

Given a function $f: 0, 1^n \mapsto 0, 1$, the Deutsch-Jozsa algorithm decides if this function is constant or balanced with a single f(x) circuit to evaluate the function for multiple values of x simultaneously. The algorithm makes use of quantum parallelism and quantum interference.

A constant function with values in 0,1 returns either always 0 or always 1. A balanced function is 0 for half of the inputs and 1 for the other half.

```
locale bob-fun =
  fixes f:: nat \Rightarrow nat and n:: nat
  assumes dom: f \in (\{(i::nat): i < 2^n\} \to_E \{0,1\})
  assumes dim: n > 1
context bob-fun
begin
definition const:: nat \Rightarrow bool where
const c = (\forall x \in \{i::nat. i < 2 \cap n\}. f x = c)
definition is-const:: bool where
is\text{-}const \equiv const \ 0 \ \lor \ const \ 1
definition is-balanced:: bool where
is-balanced \equiv \exists A \ B :: nat set. \ A \subseteq \{i:: nat. \ i < 2\ n\} \land B \subseteq \{i:: nat. \ i < 2\ n\}
                   \wedge card A = 2(n-1) \wedge card B = 2(n-1)
                   \land (\forall x \in A. f x = 0) \land (\forall x \in B. f x = 1)
lemma is-balanced-inter:
  fixes A B:: nat set
  assumes \forall x \in A. f x = 0 and \forall x \in B. f x = 1
  shows A \cap B = \{\}
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma is-balanced-union:
  fixes A B:: nat set
  assumes A \subseteq \{i::nat. \ i < 2\ n\} and B \subseteq \{i::nat. \ i < 2\ n\}
      and card A = 2(n-1) and card B = 2(n-1)
     and A \cap B = \{\}
 shows A \cup B = \{i:: nat. i < 2 n\}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma f-ge-0: \forall x. f x \ge 0 \ \langle proof \rangle
lemma f-dom-not-zero:
```

shows $f \in (\{i::nat. \ n \ge 1 \land i < 2\ n\} \to_E \{0,1\})$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma f-values: $\forall x \in \{(i::nat). i < 2^n\}$. $f x = 0 \lor f x = 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

end

The input function has to be constant or balanced.

locale jozsa = bob-fun +**assumes** const-or-balanced: is-const \lor is-balanced

Introduce two customised rules: disjunctions with four disjuncts and induction starting from one instead of zero.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma disj-four-cases:}\\ \textbf{assumes } A \lor B \lor C \lor D \textbf{ and } A \Longrightarrow P \textbf{ and } B \Longrightarrow P \textbf{ and } C \Longrightarrow P \textbf{ and } D\\ \Longrightarrow P\\ \textbf{shows } P\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

The unitary transform U_f .

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition (in jozsa) jozsa-transform:: complex Matrix.mat} (\langle U_f \rangle) \textbf{ where} \\ U_f \equiv Matrix.mat (2 (n+1)) (2 (n+1)) (\lambda(i,j). \\ if i = j \ then \ (1 - f(i \ div \ 2)) \ else \\ if \ i = j + 1 \ \land \ odd \ i \ then \ f(i \ div \ 2) \ else \\ if \ i = j - 1 \ \land \ even \ i \ \land j \geq 1 \ then \ f(i \ div \ 2) \ else \ 0) \end{array}$

lemma (in *jozsa*) *jozsa-transform-dim* [*simp*]: **shows** *dim-row* $U_f = 2^{(n+1)}$ and *dim-col* $U_f = 2^{(n+1)}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in jozsa) jozsa-transform-coeff-is-zero [simp]: assumes $i < \dim$ -row $U_f \land j < \dim$ -col U_f shows $(i \neq j \land \neg(i = j + 1 \land odd i) \land \neg (i = j - 1 \land even i \land j \ge 1)) \longrightarrow U_f$ \$\$ (i,j) = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma (in jozsa) jozsa-transform-coeff [simp]:}\\ \textbf{assumes } i < dim-row \ U_f \land j < dim-col \ U_f\\ \textbf{shows } i = j \longrightarrow U_f \ \$\ (i,j) = 1 - f\ (i\ div\ 2)\\ \textbf{and } i = j + 1 \land odd\ i \longrightarrow U_f \ \$\ (i,j) = f\ (i\ div\ 2)\\ \textbf{and } j \ge 1 \land i = j - 1 \land even\ i \longrightarrow U_f \ \$\ (i,j) = f\ (i\ div\ 2)\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

lemma (in jozsa) U_f -mult-without-empty-summands-sum-even: fixes i j Aassumes $i < \dim$ -row U_f and $j < \dim$ -col A and even i and dim-col $U_f = \dim$ -row Ashows ($\sum k \in \{0..<\dim$ -row $A\}$). U_f \$\$ (i,k) * A \$\$ (k,j)) =($\sum k \in \{i,i+1\}$). U_f \$\$ (i,k) * A \$\$ (k,j)) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *jozsa*) U_f-mult-without-empty-summands-even: fixes i j Aassumes $i < dim\text{-row } U_f$ and j < dim-col A and even i and $dim\text{-col } U_f =$ dim-row A shows $(U_f * A)$ \$\$ $(i,j) = (\sum k \in \{i,i+1\}, U_f$ \$\$ (i,k) * A \$\$ (k,j)) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *jozsa*) U_f-mult-without-empty-summands-sum-odd: fixes i j Aassumes $i < dim\text{-}row \ U_f$ and $j < dim\text{-}col \ A$ and $odd \ i$ and $dim\text{-}col \ U_f$ = dim-row A shows $(\sum k \in \{0.. < dim \text{-row } A\}$. U_f (i,k) * A $(k,j) = (\sum k \in \{i-1,i\}$. U_f (*i*,*k*) * *A* (*k*,*j*)) $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in *jozsa*) U_f-mult-without-empty-summands-odd: fixes i j Aassumes i < dim-row U_f and j < dim-col A and odd i and dim-col U_f = dim-row A shows $(U_f * A)$ \$\$ $(i,j) = (\sum k \in \{i-1,i\}, U_f$ \$\$ (i,k) * A \$\$ (k,j)) $\langle proof \rangle$ U_f is a gate. **lemma** (in *jozsa*) transpose-of-jozsa-transform: shows $(U_f)^t = U_f$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *jozsa*) adjoint-of-jozsa-transform: shows $(U_f)^{\dagger} = U_f$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *jozsa*) *jozsa-transform-is-unitary-index-even*: fixes *i j*:: *nat* assumes $i < dim\text{-row } U_f$ and $j < dim\text{-col } U_f$ and even i shows $(U_f * U_f)$ (*i*,*j*) = $1_m (dim - col U_f)$ (*i*,*j*) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *jozsa*) *jozsa-transform-is-unitary-index-odd*: fixes *i j*:: *nat* assumes $i < dim\text{-row } U_f$ and $j < dim\text{-col } U_f$ and odd i shows $(U_f * U_f)$ \$\$ $(i,j) = 1_m (dim - col U_f)$ \$\$ (i,j) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *jozsa*) *jozsa-transform-is-gate*: shows gate (n+1) U_f

 $\langle proof \rangle$

N-fold application of the tensor product

fun iter-tensor:: complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow complex Matrix.mat ($\langle - \otimes \overline{} \rangle$ 75)

where $A \otimes^{(Suc \ 0)} = A$ $|A \otimes^{(Suc \ k)} = A \bigotimes (A \otimes^k)$ **lemma** one-tensor-is-id [simp]: fixes Ashows $A \otimes^1 = A$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma iter-tensor-suc: fixes nassumes $n \ge 1$ shows $A \otimes^{\overline{(Suc n)}} = A \bigotimes (A \otimes^n)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** dim-row-of-iter-tensor [simp]: fixes A nassumes $n \ge 1$ shows $dim \operatorname{row}(A \otimes^n) = (dim \operatorname{row} A) \widehat{n}$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** dim-col-of-iter-tensor [simp]: fixes A nassumes $n \ge 1$ shows $dim - col(A \otimes^n) = (dim - col A) \hat{n}$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *iter-tensor-values*: fixes $A \ n \ i \ j$ assumes $n \ge 1$ and $i < dim\text{-row} (A \bigotimes (A \otimes^n))$ and $j < dim\text{-col} (A \bigotimes (A \otimes^n))$ \otimes^n)) shows $(A \otimes^{(Suc \ n)})$ \$\$ $(i,j) = (A \otimes (A \otimes^n))$ \$\$ (i,j) $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma iter-tensor-mult-distr: assumes $n \ge 1$ and dim-col A = dim-row B and dim-col A > 0 and dim-col B > 0shows $(A \otimes^{(Suc \ n)}) * (B \otimes^{(Suc \ n)}) = (A * B) \bigotimes ((A \otimes^n) * (B \otimes^n))$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *index-tensor-mat-with-vec2-row-cond*: fixes A B:: complex Matrix.mat and i:: nat assumes i < 2 * (dim-row B) and $i \ge dim\text{-row } B$ and dim-col B > 0and dim-row A = 2 and dim-col A = 1shows $(A \bigotimes B)$ \$\$ (i,0) = (A \$\$ (1,0)) * (B \$\$ (i-dim - row B, 0))

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma iter-tensor-of-gate-is-gate:
fixes A:: complex Matrix.mat and n m:: nat

```
assumes gate m A and n \ge 1
shows gate (m*n) (A \otimes^n)
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma iter-tensor-of-state-is-state:

fixes A:: complex Matrix.mat and n m:: nat

assumes state m A and n \ge 1

shows state (m*n) (A \otimes^n)

\langle proof \rangle
```

We prepare n+1 qubits. The first n qubits in the state $|0\rangle$, the last one in the state $|1\rangle$.

abbreviation ψ_{10} :: $nat \Rightarrow complex Matrix.mat$ where $\psi_{10} \ n \equiv Matrix.mat (2^n) \ 1 \ (\lambda(i,j). \ 1/(sqrt \ 2)^n)$

```
lemma \psi_{10}-values:
  fixes i j n
  assumes i < dim\text{-}row \ (\psi_{10} \ n) and j < dim\text{-}col \ (\psi_{10} \ n)
  shows (\psi_{10} \ n) $$ (i,j) = 1/(sqrt \ 2) \ n
  \langle proof \rangle
H^{\otimes n} is applied to |0\rangle^{\otimes n}.
lemma H-on-ket-zero:
  shows (H * |zero\rangle) = \psi_{10} 1
\langle proof \rangle
lemma \psi_{10}-tensor:
  assumes n > 1
  shows (\psi_{10} \ 1) \bigotimes (\psi_{10} \ n) = (\psi_{10} \ (Suc \ n))
\langle proof \rangle
lemma \psi_{10}-tensor-is-state:
  assumes n \ge 1
  shows state n \ ( |zero\rangle \otimes^n)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma iter-tensor-of-H-is-gate:
  assumes n \ge 1
  shows gate n (H \otimes^n)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma iter-tensor-of-H-on-zero-tensor:
  assumes n \ge 1
  shows (H \otimes^n) * (|zero\rangle \otimes^n) = \psi_{10} n
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma \psi_{10}-is-state:
  assumes n \ge 1
  shows state n (\psi_{10} \ n)
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation ψ_{11} :: complex Matrix.mat where $\psi_{11} \equiv Matrix.mat \ 2 \ 1 \ (\lambda(i,j). if i=0 \ then \ 1/sqrt(2) \ else \ -1/sqrt(2))$ lemma *H-on-ket-one-is-* ψ_{11} : shows $(H * |one\rangle) = \psi_{11}$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **abbreviation** ψ_1 :: *nat* \Rightarrow *complex Matrix.mat* **where** $\psi_1 \ n \equiv Matrix.mat \ (2^{(n+1)}) \ 1 \ (\lambda(i,j). if even i then \ 1/(sqrt \ 2)^{(n+1)} else$ -1/(sqrt 2) (n+1)lemma ψ_1 -values-even[simp]: fixes i j nassumes $i < dim\text{-row}(\psi_1 \ n)$ and $j < dim\text{-col}(\psi_1 \ n)$ and even ishows $(\psi_1 \ n)$ \$\$ $(i,j) = 1/(sqrt \ 2) (n+1)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma ψ_1 -values-odd [simp]: fixes i j nassumes $i < dim\text{-}row (\psi_1 \ n)$ and $j < dim\text{-}col (\psi_1 \ n)$ and $odd \ i$ shows $(\psi_1 \ n)$ \$\$ $(i,j) = -1/(sqrt \ 2) \widehat{(n+1)}$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma ψ_{10} -tensor- ψ_{11} -is- ψ_1 : assumes $n \ge 1$ shows $(\psi_{10} \ n) \bigotimes \ \psi_{11} = \psi_1 \ n$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma ψ_1 -is-state: assumes n > 1shows state (n+1) $(\psi_1 \ n)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation (in *jozsa*) ψ_2 :: complex Matrix.mat where $\psi_2 \equiv Matrix.mat (2^{(n+1)}) 1 (\lambda(i,j))$. if even i then $(-1)^{f(i \text{ div } 2)/(sqrt 2)^{(n+1)}}$

else $(-1) (f(i \ div \ 2)+1)/(sqrt \ 2) (n+1))$

lemma (in jozsa) ψ_2 -values-even [simp]: fixes i jassumes $i < \dim$ -row ψ_2 and $j < \dim$ -col ψ_2 and even ishows ψ_2 \$\$ $(i,j) = (-1)^{f}(i \text{ div } 2)/(sqrt 2)^{(n+1)}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *jozsa*) ψ_2 -values-odd [simp]: fixes i jassumes i < dim-row ψ_2 and j < dim-col ψ_2 and odd i shows ψ_2 \$\$ $(i,j) = (-1) \hat{(}f(i \ div \ 2)+1)/(sqrt \ 2) \hat{(}n+1)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in jozsa) ψ_2 -values-odd-hidden [simp]: assumes $2*k+1 < \dim$ -row ψ_2 and $j < \dim$ -col ψ_2 shows ψ_2 \$\$ $(2*k+1,j) = ((-1) \widehat{(f((2*k+1) \ div \ 2)+1))}/(sqrt \ 2) \widehat{(n+1)}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma (in jozsa) snd-rep-of-}\psi_2: \\ \textbf{assumes } i < dim-row \ \psi_2 \\ \textbf{shows } ((1-f(i \ div \ 2)) \ + \ -f(i \ div \ 2)) \ * \ 1/(sqrt \ 2) \ (n+1) = (-1) \ f(i \ div \ 2)/(sqrt \ 2) \ (n+1) \\ \textbf{and } (-(1-f(i \ div \ 2)) + (f(i \ div \ 2))) \ * \ 1/(sqrt \ 2) \ (n+1) = (-1) \ (f(i \ div \ 2) + 1)/(sqrt \ 2) \ (n+1) \\ (proof) \\ \end{array}$

lemma (in jozsa) jozsa-transform-times- ψ_1 -is- ψ_2 : shows $U_f * (\psi_1 \ n) = \psi_2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *jozsa*) ψ_2 -*is-state*: shows state (n+1) ψ_2 $\langle proof \rangle$

 H_{\otimes}^{n} n is the result of taking the nth tensor product of H

abbreviation *iter-tensor-of-H-rep::* $nat \Rightarrow complex Matrix.mat (\langle H_{\otimes}^{-} \rangle)$ where *iter-tensor-of-H-rep* $n \equiv Matrix.mat (2^n) (2^n) (\lambda(i,j).(-1)^{(i \cdot_n j)}/(sqrt 2)^n)$

lemma tensor-of-H-values [simp]: **fixes** $n \ i \ j:: nat$ **assumes** i < dim-row $(H_{\otimes}^{\sim} n)$ and j < dim-col $(H_{\otimes}^{\sim} n)$ **shows** $(H_{\otimes}^{\sim} n)$ \$\$ $(i,j) = (-1) \widehat{(i \cdot n \ j)}/(sqrt \ 2) \widehat{n}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma dim-row-of-iter-tensor-of-H [simp]:
assumes n \ge 1
shows 1 < dim-row (H_{\otimes}^{n} n)
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma iter-tensor-of-H-fst-pos:
fixes n i j:: nat

assumes $i < 2^n \lor j < 2^n$ and $i < 2^n(n+1) \land j < 2^n(n+1)$ shows $(H_{\otimes}^{\circ}(Suc\ n))$ \$\$ $(i,j) = 1/sqrt(2) * ((H_{\otimes}^{\circ}n)$ \$\$ $(i \mod 2^n, j \mod 2^n))$ (proof)

lemma *iter-tensor-of-H-fst-neg*: fixes $n \ i \ j:: nat$ assumes $i \ge 2^n \land j \ge 2^n$ and $i < 2^n(n+1) \land j < 2^n(n+1)$ shows $(H_{\otimes} (Suc n))$ \$\$ $(i,j) = -1/sqrt(2) * (H_{\otimes} n)$ \$\$ $(i \mod 2^n, j \mod 2^n)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *H*-tensor-iter-tensor-of-*H*: fixes *n*:: *nat* shows $(H \bigotimes H^{\sim}_{\otimes} n) = H^{\sim}_{\otimes} (Suc n)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

We prove that Matrix.mat $2^n 2^n (\lambda x. complex-of-real (case x of (i, j) \Rightarrow (-1)^{i \cdot n j} / (sqrt 2)^n))$ is indeed the matrix representation of $H \otimes^n$, the iterated tensor product of the Hadamard gate H.

lemma one-tensor-of-H-is-H: shows $(H_{\otimes}^{\uparrow} 1) = H$ $\langle proof \rangle$

 ${\bf lemma} \ iter-tensor-of-H-rep-is-correct:$

fixes n:: natassumes $n \ge 1$ shows $(H \otimes^n) = H_{\otimes}^n n$ $\langle proof \rangle$

 $HId\,\widehat{}_{\otimes}\,\,1\,$ is the result of taking the tensor product of the nth tensor of H and Id 1

abbreviation tensor-of-H-tensor-Id:: nat \Rightarrow complex Matrix.mat ($\langle HId_{\otimes} \rightarrow \rangle$) where tensor-of-H-tensor-Id $n \equiv$ Matrix.mat $(2^{(n+1)}) (2^{(n+1)}) (\lambda(i,j))$. if $(i \mod 2 = j \mod 2)$ then $(-1)^{((i \dim 2))} \cdot_n (j \dim 2) / (\operatorname{sqrt} 2)^n$ else 0)

lemma *mod-2-is-both-even-or-odd*:

 $\begin{array}{l} ((\textit{even } i \land \textit{even } j) \lor (\textit{odd } i \land \textit{odd } j)) \longleftrightarrow (i \textit{ mod } 2 = j \textit{ mod } 2) \\ \langle \textit{proof} \rangle \end{array}$

lemma *HId-values* [*simp*]:

assumes $n \ge 1$ and $i < \dim$ -row $(HId_{\otimes} n)$ and $j < \dim$ -col $(HId_{\otimes} n)$ shows even $i \land even j \longrightarrow (HId_{\otimes} n)$ \$\$ $(i,j) = (-1)^{(i \ div \ 2)} \cdot_n (j \ div \ 2))/(sqrt \ 2)^n$ and odd $i \land odd j \longrightarrow (HId_{\otimes} n)$ \$\$ $(i,j) = (-1)^{(i \ div \ 2)} \cdot_n (j \ div \ 2))/(sqrt \ 2)^n$ and $(i \ mod \ 2 = j \ mod \ 2) \longrightarrow (HId_{\otimes} n)$ \$\$ $(i,j) = (-1)^{(i \ div \ 2)} \cdot_n (j \ div \ 2))/(sqrt \ 2)^n$ and $\neg (i \ mod \ 2 = j \ mod \ 2) \longrightarrow (HId_{\otimes} n)$ \$\$ $(i,j) = (-1)^{(i \ div \ 2)} \cdot_n (j \ div \ 2))/(sqrt \ 2)^n$ and $\neg (i \ mod \ 2 = j \ mod \ 2) \longrightarrow (HId_{\otimes} n)$ \$\$ (i,j) = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma iter-tensor-of-H-tensor-Id-is-HId: shows $(H_{\otimes} n) \otimes Id \ 1 = HId_{\otimes} n$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma HId-is-gate: assumes $n \ge 1$ **shows** gate (n+1) (HId $\hat{}_{\otimes} n$) $\langle proof \rangle$

State ψ_3 is obtained by the multiplication of *Matrix.mat* 2^{n+1} 2^{n+1} $(\lambda x. complex-of-real (case x of <math>(i, j) \Rightarrow if i \mod 2 = j \mod 2$ then $(-1)^{i \dim 2 \cdot n} j \dim 2 / (sqrt 2)^n$ else 0)) and ψ_2

abbreviation (in jozsa) ψ_3 :: complex Matrix.mat where $\psi_3 \equiv Matrix.mat (2^{(n+1)}) 1 (\lambda(i,j).$ if even i then $(\sum k < 2^n . (-1)^{(f(k) + ((i \ div \ 2) \cdot n \ k))/((sqrt \ 2)^n * (sqrt \ 2)^{(n+1)}))$ else $(\sum k < 2^n . (-1)^{(f(k) + 1 + ((i \ div \ 2) \cdot n \ k))} /((sqrt \ 2)^n * (sqrt \ 2)^n * (sqrt \ 2)^{(n+1)}))$

lemma (in jozsa) ψ_3 -values: assumes $i < \dim$ -row ψ_3 shows odd $i \longrightarrow \psi_3$ \$\$ $(i,0) = (\sum k < 2^n. (-1)^{(f(k) + 1)} + ((i \ div \ 2) \cdot_n k))/((sqrt \ 2)^n * (sqrt \ 2)^{(n+1)}))$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *jozsa*) ψ_3 -dim [simp]: shows 1 < dim-row ψ_3 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sum-every-odd-summand-is-zero: **fixes** n:: nat **assumes** $n \ge 1$ **shows** $\forall f::(nat \Rightarrow complex).(\forall i. i < 2^n(n+1) \land odd i \longrightarrow f i = 0) \longrightarrow$ $(\sum k \in \{0..<2^n(n+1)\}. f k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^n\}. f (2*k))$ $\langle proof \rangle$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma sum-every-even-summand-is-zero:} \\ \textbf{fixes } n:: nat \\ \textbf{assumes } n \geq 1 \\ \textbf{shows } \forall f::(nat \Rightarrow complex).(\forall i. i < 2^{(n+1)} \land even \ i \longrightarrow f \ i = 0) \longrightarrow \\ (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}\}. \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{(n+1)}]. \ k) = (\sum k \in \{0..<2^{($

lemma (in *jozsa*) *iter-tensor-of-H-times-* ψ_2 *-is-* ψ_3 : shows (($H_{\otimes}^{\sim} n$) \bigotimes Id 1) * $\psi_2 = \psi_3$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in jozsa) ψ_3 -is-state: shows state (n+1) ψ_3 $\langle proof \rangle$

Finally, all steps are put together. The result depends on the function f. If f is constant the first n qubits are 0, if f is balanced there is at least one qubit in state 1 among the first n qubits. The algorithm only uses one evaluation of f(x) and will always succeed.

definition (in *jozsa*) *jozsa-algo*:: complex Matrix.mat where *jozsa-algo* \equiv (($H \otimes^n$) \bigotimes Id 1) * ($U_f * (((H \otimes^n) * (|zero\rangle \otimes^n)) \bigotimes (H * |one\rangle)))$

```
lemma (in jozsa) jozsa-algo-result [simp]:
shows jozsa-algo = \psi_3
\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma (in *jozsa*) *jozsa-algo-result-is-state*: **shows** *state* (n+1) *jozsa-algo* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *jozsa*) *prob0-fst-qubits-of-jozsa-algo*: **shows** (*prob0-fst-qubits n jozsa-algo*) = $(\sum j \in \{0,1\}, (cmod(jozsa-algo \$\$ (j,0)))^2)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

General lemmata required to compute probabilities.

lemma aux-comp-with-sqrt2: **shows** $(sqrt 2)^n * (sqrt 2)^n = 2^n$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma aux-comp-with-sqrt2-bis [simp]: shows $2^n/(sqrt(2)^n * sqrt(2)^{(n+1)}) = 1/sqrt 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma aux-ineq-with-card:

fixes g:: nat \Rightarrow nat and A:: nat set

assumes finite A

shows (\sum k \in A. (-1) \cap (g \ k)) \leq card A and (\sum k \in A. (-1) \cap (g \ k)) \geq -card A

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma aux-comp-with-cmod: **fixes** g:: nat \Rightarrow nat **assumes** $(\forall x < 2 \hat{n}. g x = 0) \lor (\forall x < 2 \hat{n}. g x = 1)$ **shows** $(cmod (\sum k < 2 \hat{n}. (-1) \hat{g} k)))^2 = 2\hat{g}(2*n)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cmod-square-real [simp]:

fixes n:: real shows $(cmod \ n)^2 = n^2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma aux-comp-sum-divide-cmod:

fixes n:: nat and g:: nat \Rightarrow int and a:: real

shows (cmod(complex-of-real(\sum k < n. g k / a)))^2 = (cmod (\sum k < n. g k) / a)^2

\langle proof \rangle
```

The function is constant if and only if the first n qubits are 0. So, if the function is constant, then the probability of measuring 0 for the first n qubits is 1.

```
lemma (in jozsa) prob0-jozsa-algo-of-const-0:
  assumes const 0
  shows prob0-fst-qubits n jozsa-algo = 1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in jozsa) prob0-jozsa-algo-of-const-1:
  assumes const 1
  shows prob0-fst-qubits n jozsa-algo = 1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

If the probability of measuring 0 for the first n qubits is 1, then the function is constant.

lemma (in jozsa) max-value-of-not-const-less: assumes \neg const 0 and \neg const 1 shows (cmod ($\sum k::nat < 2 \hat{n}$. (-(1::nat)) $\hat{(f k)}$))² < (2::nat) $\hat{(2*n)}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in jozsa) max-value-of-not-const-less-bis: assumes \neg const 0 and \neg const 1 shows (cmod ($\sum k::nat < 2^n$. (-(1::nat))^(f k + 1)))² < (2::nat)^(2*n) (proof)

lemma (in jozsa) f-const-has-max-value: assumes const $0 \lor const 1$ shows $(cmod (\sum k < (2::nat) \hat{n}. (-1) \hat{(}fk)))^2 = (2::nat) \hat{(}2*n)$ and $(cmod (\sum k < (2::nat) \hat{n}. (-1) \hat{(}fk + 1)))^2 = (2::nat) \hat{(}2*n)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma (in jozsa) prob0-fst-qubits-leq:} \\ \textbf{shows } (cmod \ (\sum k < (2::nat) \ \hat{n}. \ (-1) \ \hat{(f k)}))^2 \leq (2::nat) \ \hat{(2*n)} \\ \textbf{and } (cmod \ (\sum k < (2::nat) \ \hat{n}. \ (-1) \ \hat{(f k + 1)}))^2 \leq (2::nat) \ \hat{(2*n)} \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

lemma (in jozsa) prob0-jozsa-algo-1-is-const: assumes prob0-fst-qubits n jozsa-algo = 1 shows const $0 \lor const 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$ The function is balanced if and only if at least one qubit among the first n qubits is not zero. So, if the function is balanced then the probability of measuring 0 for the first n qubits is 0.

lemma sum-union-disjoint-finite-set: **fixes** C::nat set **and** g::nat \Rightarrow int **assumes** finite C **shows** $\forall A \ B. \ A \cap B = \{\} \land A \cup B = C \longrightarrow (\sum k \in C. \ g \ k) = (\sum k \in A. \ g \ k) + (\sum k \in B. \ g \ k)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in jozsa) balanced-pos-and-neg-terms-cancel-out1: assumes is-balanced shows ($\sum k < (2::nat) \hat{n}$. ($-(1::nat)) \hat{(}fk)$) = 0 (proof)

lemma (in jozsa) balanced-pos-and-neg-terms-cancel-out2: assumes is-balanced shows ($\sum k < (2::nat) \hat{n}$. (-(1::nat)) $\hat{}(f k + 1)$) = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma (in jozsa) prob0-jozsa-algo-of-balanced:
assumes is-balanced
shows prob0-fst-qubits n jozsa-algo = 0
(proof)
```

If the probability that the first n qubits are 0 is 0, then the function is balanced.

lemma (in jozsa) balanced-prob0-jozsa-algo: assumes prob0-fst-qubits n jozsa-algo = 0 shows is-balanced $\langle proof \rangle$

We prove the correctness of the algorithm.

definition (in *jozsa*) *jozsa-algo-eval*:: real where *jozsa-algo-eval* \equiv *prob0-fst-qubits* n *jozsa-algo*

theorem (in *jozsa*) *jozsa-algo-is-correct*: **shows** *jozsa-algo-eval* = 1 \leftrightarrow *is-const* **and** *jozsa-algo-eval* = 0 \leftrightarrow *is-balanced* $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

12 The No-Cloning Theorem

theory No-Cloning imports Quantum

Tensor begin

12.1 The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

lemma *inner-prod-expand*: assumes dim-vec a = dim-vec b and dim-vec a = dim-vec c and dim-vec a =dim-vec dshows $\langle a + b | c + d \rangle = \langle a | c \rangle + \langle a | d \rangle + \langle b | c \rangle + \langle b | d \rangle$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** inner-prod-distrib-left: assumes $dim\text{-}vec \ a = dim\text{-}vec \ b$ shows $\langle c \cdot_v a | b \rangle = cnj(c) * \langle a | b \rangle$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *inner-prod-distrib-right*: assumes dim-vec a = dim-vec bshows $\langle a | c \cdot_v b \rangle = c * \langle a | b \rangle$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** cauchy-schwarz-ineq: assumes $dim\text{-}vec \ v = dim\text{-}vec \ w$ shows $(cmod(\langle v|w\rangle))^2 \leq Re (\langle v|v\rangle * \langle w|w\rangle)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** cauchy-schwarz-eq [simp]: assumes $v = (l \cdot_v w)$ shows $(cmod(\langle v|w\rangle))^2 = Re(\langle v|v\rangle * \langle w|w\rangle)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** cauchy-schwarz-col [simp]: assumes dim-vec v = dim-vec w and $(cmod(\langle v|w \rangle))^2 = Re(\langle v|v \rangle * \langle w|w \rangle)$ shows $\exists l. v = (l \cdot_v w) \lor w = (l \cdot_v v)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

12.2 The No-Cloning Theorem

lemma eq-from-inner-prod [simp]: **assumes** dim-vec v = dim-vec w and $\langle v|w \rangle = 1$ and $\langle w|v \rangle = 1$ and $\langle w|w \rangle = 1$ **shows** v = w $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** hermite-cnj-of-tensor:

shows $(A \bigotimes B)^{\dagger} = (A^{\dagger}) \bigotimes (B^{\dagger})$ $\langle proof \rangle$

locale quantum-machine = fixes n:: nat and s:: complex Matrix.vec and U:: complex Matrix.mat assumes dim-vec [simp]: dim-vec $s = 2^n$ and dim-col [simp]: dim-col $U = 2^n * 2^n$ and square [simp]: square-mat U and unitary [simp]: unitary U

```
lemma inner-prod-of-unit-vec:
fixes n i:: nat
```

```
assumes i < n
shows \langle unit\text{-}vec \ n \ i | \ unit\text{-}vec \ n \ i \rangle = 1
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
theorem (in quantum-machine) no-cloning:

assumes [simp]: dim-vec v = 2^n and [simp]: dim-vec w = 2^n and

cloning1: \land s. \ U * (|v\rangle \bigotimes |s\rangle) = |v\rangle \bigotimes |v\rangle and

cloning2: \land s. \ U * (|w\rangle \bigotimes |s\rangle) = |w\rangle \bigotimes |w\rangle and

\langle v|v\rangle = 1 and \langle w|w\rangle = 1

shows v = w \lor \langle v|w\rangle = 0

\langle proof \rangle
```

 \mathbf{end}

13 Quantum Prisoner's Dilemma

theory Quantum-Prisoners-Dilemma imports More-Tensor Measurement Basics begin

In the 2-parameter strategic space of Eisert, Wilkens and Lewenstein [EWL], Prisoner's Dilemma ceases to pose a dilemma if quantum strategies are allowed for. Indeed, Alice and Bob both choosing to defect is no longer a Nash equilibrium. However, a new Nash equilibrium appears which is at the same time Pareto optimal. Moreover, there exists a quantum strategy which always gives reward if played against any classical strategy. Below the parameter γ can be seen as a measure of the game's entanglement. The game behaves classically if $\gamma = 0$, and for the maximally entangled case ($\gamma = 2^*\pi$) the dilemma disappears as pointed out above.

13.1 The Set-Up

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{locale } prisoner = \\ \text{fixes } \gamma :: \ real \\ \text{assumes } \gamma \leq pi/2 \ \text{and } \gamma \geq 0 \\ \\ \text{abbreviation (in } prisoner) \ J :: \ complex \ Matrix.mat \ \textbf{where} \\ J \equiv mat-of-cols-list \ 4 \ \ [[cos(\gamma/2), \ 0, \ 0, \ i * sin(\gamma/2)], \\ [0, \ cos(\gamma/2), \ -i * sin(\gamma/2), \ 0], \\ [0, \ -i * sin(\gamma/2), \ cos(\gamma/2), \ 0], \end{array}$

abbreviation (in prisoner) ψ_1 :: complex Matrix.mat where $\psi_1 \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 4 [[$cos(\gamma/2), 0, 0, i*sin(\gamma/2)$]]

lemma (in prisoner) psi-one: **shows** $J * |unit-vec 4 0\rangle = \psi_1$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **locale** strategic-space-2p = prisoner + **fixes** ϑ_A :: real and φ_A :: real and φ_B :: real and φ_B :: real and φ_B :: real and $\theta \in \Im_A \land \vartheta_A \leq pi$ and $0 \leq \varphi_A \land \varphi_A \leq pi/2$ and $0 \leq \varphi_B \land \vartheta_B \leq pi$ and $0 \leq \varphi_B \land \varphi_B \leq pi/2$

abbreviation (in strategic-space-2p) U_A :: complex Matrix.mat where $U_A \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 2 [[$exp(i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2), -sin(\vartheta_A/2)$], $[sin(\vartheta_A/2), exp(-i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)$]]

abbreviation (in strategic-space-2p) U_B :: complex Matrix.mat where $U_B \equiv mat\text{-of-cols-list } 2 \ [[exp(i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2), -sin(\vartheta_B/2)], \\ [sin(\vartheta_B/2), \ exp(-i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2)]]$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{abbreviation (in strategic-space-2p) } \psi_2 :: complex Matrix.mat \textbf{where} \\ \psi_2 \equiv \\ mat-of-cols-list \ensuremath{ 4 } [[exp(i \ast \varphi_A) \ast cos(\vartheta_A/2) \ast exp(i \ast \varphi_B) \ast cos(\vartheta_B/2) \ast cos(\gamma/2) + sin(\vartheta_A/2) \\ \ast sin(\vartheta_B/2) \ast i \ast sin(\gamma/2), \\ exp(i \ast \varphi_A) \ast cos(\vartheta_A/2) \ast -sin(\vartheta_B/2) \ast cos(\gamma/2) + sin(\vartheta_A/2) \ast \\ exp(-i \ast \varphi_B) \ast cos(\vartheta_B/2) \ast i \ast sin(\gamma/2), \\ -sin(\vartheta_A/2) \ast exp(i \ast \varphi_B) \ast cos(\vartheta_B/2) \ast cos(\gamma/2) + exp(-i \ast \varphi_A) \ast cos(\vartheta_A/2) \\ \ast sin(\vartheta_B/2) \ast i \ast sin(\gamma/2), \\ sin(\vartheta_A/2) \ast sin(\vartheta_B/2) \ast cos(\gamma/2) + exp(-i \ast \varphi_A) \ast cos(\vartheta_A/2) \\ \ast exp(-i \ast \varphi_B) \ast cos(\vartheta_B/2) \ast sin(\vartheta_B/2) \ast cos(\gamma/2) + exp(-i \ast \varphi_A) \ast cos(\vartheta_A/2) \\ \ast exp(-i \ast \varphi_B) \ast cos(\vartheta_B/2) \ast i \ast sin(\gamma/2), \\ exp(-i \ast \varphi_B) \ast cos(\vartheta_B/2) \ast i \ast sin(\gamma/2) \\ \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{abbreviation (in strategic-space-2p) } U_{AB} :: complex Matrix.mat \ \textbf{where} \\ U_{AB} \equiv \\ mat-of-cols-list \ 4 \ [[exp(i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)*exp(i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2), exp(i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2) \\ * -sin(\vartheta_B/2), \\ & -sin(\vartheta_B/2)], \\ [exp(i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)*sin(\vartheta_B/2), exp(i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)*exp(-i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2), \\ & -sin(\vartheta_A/2)*sin(\vartheta_B/2), exp(i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2), exp(-i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2)], \\ [sin(\vartheta_A/2)*exp(i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2), -sin(\vartheta_A/2)*exp(-i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2)], \\ [sin(\vartheta_A/2)*exp(i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)*exp(i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2), \\ & exp(-i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)*exp(i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2), \\ \end{array}$

 $exp(-i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)*-sin(\vartheta_B/2)],$ $[\sin(\vartheta_A/2) * \sin(\vartheta_B/2), \sin(\vartheta_A/2) * \exp(-i*\varphi_B)*\cos(\vartheta_B/2),$ $exp(-i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)*sin(\vartheta_B/2), exp(-i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)$ $* exp(-i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2)]]$ lemma (in strategic-space-2p) U_A -tensor- U_B : shows $(U_A \bigotimes U_B) = U_{AB}$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *strategic-space-2p*) *psi-two*: shows $(U_A \bigotimes U_B) * \psi_1 = \psi_2$ $\langle proof \rangle$ abbreviation (in prisoner) J-cnj :: complex Matrix.mat where J-cnj \equiv mat-of-cols-list 4 [[$cos(\gamma/2), 0, 0, -i*sin(\gamma/2)$], $[0, \cos(\gamma/2), i*\sin(\gamma/2), 0],$ $[0, i*sin(\gamma/2), cos(\gamma/2), 0],$ $\left[-i*sin(\gamma/2), 0, 0, cos(\gamma/2)\right]$ **lemma** (in prisoner) hermite-cnj-of-J [simp]: shows $J^{\dagger} = J$ -cnj $\langle proof \rangle$ **abbreviation** (in strategic-space-2p) ψ_f :: complex Matrix.mat where $\psi_f \equiv mat-of-cols-list \not 4$ [[$\cos(\gamma/2) * (exp(i*\varphi_A)*\cos(\vartheta_A/2) * exp(i*\varphi_B)*\cos(\vartheta_B/2) * \cos(\gamma/2) + \sin(\vartheta_A/2)$ $* \sin(\vartheta_B/2) * i * \sin(\gamma/2))$ + $(-i*sin(\gamma/2)) * (sin(\vartheta_A/2) * sin(\vartheta_B/2) * cos(\gamma/2) + exp(-i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)$ * $exp(-i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2)$ * $i*sin(\gamma/2))$, $\cos(\gamma/2) * (\exp(i*\varphi_A) * \cos(\vartheta_A/2) * -\sin(\vartheta_B/2) * \cos(\gamma/2) + \sin(\vartheta_A/2) * \exp(-i*\varphi_B) * \cos(\vartheta_B/2)$ $*i*sin(\gamma/2)$ + $(i*sin(\gamma/2))*(-sin(\vartheta_A/2)*exp(i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2)*cos(\gamma/2)+exp(-i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)$ * $sin(\vartheta_B/2)$ * i* $sin(\gamma/2)$), $(i*sin(\gamma/2)) * (exp(i*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2) * -sin(\vartheta_B/2) * cos(\gamma/2) + sin(\vartheta_A/2) *$ $exp(-i*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2)*i*sin(\gamma/2))$ $+\cos(\gamma/2)*(-\sin(\vartheta_A/2)*\exp(i*\varphi_B)*\cos(\vartheta_B/2)*\cos(\gamma/2)+\exp(-i*\varphi_A)*\cos(\vartheta_A/2)$ * $sin(\vartheta_B/2)$ * i* $sin(\gamma/2)$),

```
 \begin{array}{l} (-\mathrm{i}*sin(\gamma/2))*(exp(\mathrm{i}*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)*exp(\mathrm{i}*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2)*cos(\gamma/2)+sin(\vartheta_A/2)\\*sin(\vartheta_B/2)*\mathrm{i}*sin(\gamma/2))\\ +cos(\gamma/2)*(sin(\vartheta_A/2)*sin(\vartheta_B/2)*cos(\gamma/2)+exp(-\mathrm{i}*\varphi_A)*cos(\vartheta_A/2)*exp(-\mathrm{i}*\varphi_B)*cos(\vartheta_B/2)*\mathrm{i}*sin(\gamma/2))\\ \end{array}
```

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) psi-f: shows $(J^{\dagger}) * \psi_2 = \psi_f$ $\langle proof \rangle$

shows state 2 |unit-vec 4 0 > $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** cos-sin-squared-add-cpx: complex-of-real (cos $(\gamma/2)$) * complex-of-real (cos $(\gamma/2)$) – $i*complex-of-real (sin (\gamma/2)) * (i*complex-of-real (sin (\gamma/2))) = 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *sin-cos-squared-add-cpx*: $i*complex-of-real (sin (\gamma/2)) * (i*complex-of-real (sin (\gamma/2)))$ complex-of-real (cos $(\gamma/2)$) * complex-of-real (cos $(\gamma/2)$) = -1 $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in prisoner) J-cnj-times-J: shows $J^{\dagger} * J = 1_m 4$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in *prisoner*) J-times-J-cnj: shows $J * (J^{\dagger}) = 1_m 4$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in prisoner) J-is-gate: shows gate 2 J $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *strategic-space-2p*) *psi-one-is-state*: shows state 2 ψ_1 $\langle proof \rangle$ abbreviation (in strategic-space-2p) U_A -cnj :: complex Matrix.mat where U_A -cnj \equiv mat-of-cols-list 2 [[(exp(-i*\varphi_A))*cos(\vartheta_A/2), sin(\vartheta_A/2)], $[-\sin(\vartheta_A/2), (\exp(i*\varphi_A))*\cos(\vartheta_A/2)]]$ **abbreviation** (in *strategic-space-2p*) U_B -*cnj* :: *complex Matrix.mat* where U_B -cnj \equiv mat-of-cols-list 2 [[(exp(-i*\varphi_B))*cos(\vartheta_B/2), sin(\vartheta_B/2)], $[-\sin(\vartheta_B/2), (\exp(i*\varphi_B))*\cos(\vartheta_B/2)]]$ **lemma** (in strategic-space-2p) hermite-cnj-of- U_A : shows $U_A^{\dagger} = U_A$ -cnj $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in strategic-space-2p) hermite-cnj-of- U_B : shows $U_B^{\dagger} = U_B \text{-} cnj$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *exp-sin-cos-squared-add*:

lemma (in prisoner) unit-vec-4-0-ket-is-state:

fixes x y :: real

shows exp(-(i * x)) * cos(y) * (exp(i * x) * cos(y)) + sin(y) * sin(y) = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in strategic-space-2p) U_A -cnj-times- U_A : shows $U_A^{\dagger} * U_A = 1_m 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in strategic-space-2p) U_A -times- U_A -cnj: shows $U_A * (U_A^{\dagger}) = 1_m 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in strategic-space-2p) U_B -cnj-times- U_B : shows $U_B^{\dagger} * U_B = 1_m 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in strategic-space-2p) U_B -times- U_B -cnj: shows $U_B * (U_B^{\dagger}) = 1_m 2$ $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in *strategic-space-2p*) U_{A-} is-gate: shows gate 1 U_A $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in strategic-space-2p) U_B -is-gate: shows gate 1 U_B $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in strategic-space-2p) U_{AB} -is-gate: shows gate 2 ($U_A \bigotimes U_B$) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *strategic-space-2p*) *psi-two-is-state*: shows state 2 ψ_2 $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma (in *strategic-space-2p*) J-cnj-is-gate: shows gate 2 (J^{\dagger}) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *strategic-space-2p*) *psi-f-is-state*: shows state 2 ψ_f $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *strategic-space-2p*) *equation-one*: shows $(J^{\dagger}) * ((U_A \bigotimes U_B) * (J * |unit-vec \not = 0))) = \psi_f$ $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation (in *strategic-space-2p*) *prob00* :: *complex Matrix.mat* \Rightarrow *real* where

prob00 $v \equiv if$ state 2 v then $(cmod (v \$\$ (0,0)))^2$ else undefined

abbreviation (in strategic-space-2p) prob01 :: complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow real where prob01 $v \equiv if$ state 2 v then (cmod (v \$\$ (1,0)))² else undefined

abbreviation (in strategic-space-2p) prob10 :: complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow real where prob10 $v \equiv if$ state 2 v then (cmod (v \$\$ (2,0)))² else undefined

abbreviation (in strategic-space-2p) prob11 :: complex Matrix.mat \Rightarrow real where prob11 $v \equiv if$ state 2 v then (cmod (v \$\$ (3,0)))² else undefined

definition (in strategic-space-2p) alice-payoff :: real where alice-payoff $\equiv 3 * (prob00 \ \psi_f) + 1 * (prob11 \ \psi_f) + 0 * (prob01 \ \psi_f) + 5 * (prob10 \ \psi_f)$

definition (in *strategic-space-2p*) *bob-payoff* :: *real* where *bob-payoff* $\equiv 3 * (prob00 \ \psi_f) + 1 * (prob11 \ \psi_f) + 5 * (prob01 \ \psi_f) + 0 * (prob10 \ \psi_f)$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{definition (in strategic-space-2p) is-nash-eq :: bool where} \\ is-nash-eq \equiv \\ (\forall tA \ pA. \ strategic-space-2p \ \gamma \ tA \ pA \ \vartheta_B \ \varphi_B \longrightarrow \\ alice-payoff \ \geq \ strategic-space-2p. alice-payoff \ \gamma \ tA \ pA \ \vartheta_B \ \varphi_B) \\ \land \\ (\forall tB \ pB. \ strategic-space-2p \ \gamma \ \vartheta_A \ \varphi_A \ tB \ pB \longrightarrow \\ bob-payoff \ \geq \ strategic-space-2p. bob-payoff \ \gamma \ \vartheta_A \ \varphi_A \ tB \ pB) \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition (in strategic-space-2p) is-pareto-opt :: bool where} \\ is-pareto-opt \equiv \forall tA \ pA \ tB \ pB. \ strategic-space-2p \ \gamma \ tA \ pA \ tB \ pB \longrightarrow \\ ((strategic-space-2p.alice-payoff \ \gamma \ tA \ pA \ tB \ pB > alice-payoff \longrightarrow \\ strategic-space-2p.bob-payoff \ \gamma \ tA \ pA \ tB \ pB > bob-payoff) \land \\ (strategic-space-2p.bob-payoff \ \gamma \ tA \ pA \ tB \ pB > bob-payoff \longrightarrow \\ strategic-space-2p.alice-payoff \ \gamma \ tA \ pA \ tB \ pB > bob-payoff \longrightarrow \\ strategic-space-2p.alice-payoff \ \gamma \ tA \ pA \ tB \ pB > bob-payoff \longrightarrow \\ strategic-space-2p.alice-payoff \ \gamma \ tA \ pA \ tB \ pB > alice-payoff \longrightarrow \\ strategic-space-2p.alice-payoff \ \gamma \ tA \ pA \ tB \ pB > alice-payoff \longrightarrow \\ strategic-space-2p.alice-payoff \ \gamma \ tA \ pA \ tB \ pB < alice-payoff)) \end{array}$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) sum-of-prob: fixes v :: complex Matrix.matassumes state 2 vshows (prob00 v) + (prob11 v) + (prob01 v) + (prob10 v) = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma (in strategic-space-2p) sum-payoff-le-6:
fixes tA \ pA \ tB \ pB :: real
shows alice-payoff + bob-payoff \leq 6
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (in strategic-space-2p) coop-is-pareto-opt:

assumes alice-payoff = 3 \land bob-payoff = 3

shows is-pareto-opt

\langle proof \rangle
```

13.2 The Separable Case

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) separable-case-CC: **assumes** $\gamma = 0$ **shows** $\varphi_A = 0 \land \vartheta_A = 0 \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = 0 \longrightarrow alice-payoff = 3 \land bob-payoff$ = 3 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) separable-case-DD: **assumes** $\gamma = 0$ **shows** $\varphi_A = 0 \land \vartheta_A = pi \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = pi \longrightarrow alice-payoff = 1 \land bob-payoff$ = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) separable-case-DC: **assumes** $\gamma = 0$ **shows** $\varphi_A = 0 \land \vartheta_A = pi \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = 0 \longrightarrow alice-payoff = 5 \land bob-payoff$ = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) separable-alice-payoff- D_B :

assumes $\gamma = 0$ and $\varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = pi$ shows alice-payoff ≤ 1 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *strategic-space-2p*) *separable-bob-payoff-D*_A:

assumes $\gamma = 0$ and $\varphi_A = 0 \land \vartheta_A = pi$ shows bob-payoff ≤ 1 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) separable-case-DD-alice-opt: **assumes** $\gamma = 0$ and $\varphi_A = 0 \land \vartheta_A = pi \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = pi$ **shows** $\bigwedge tA \ pA$. strategic-space-2p $\gamma \ tA \ pA \ \vartheta_B \ \varphi_B \longrightarrow$ strategic-space-2p.alice-payoff $\gamma \ tA \ pA \ \vartheta_B \ \varphi_B \leq alice-payoff$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) separable-case-DD-bob-opt: **assumes** $\gamma = 0$ and $\varphi_A = 0 \land \vartheta_A = pi \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = pi$ **shows** $\land tB \ pB$. strategic-space-2p $\gamma \ \vartheta_A \ \varphi_A \ tB \ pB \longrightarrow$ strategic-space-2p.bob-payoff $\gamma \ \vartheta_A \ \varphi_A \ tB \ pB \le bob-payoff$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) separable-case-DD-is-nash-eq: **assumes** $\gamma = 0$ **shows** $\varphi_A = 0 \land \vartheta_A = pi \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = pi \longrightarrow is-nash-eq$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *strategic-space-2p*) *separable-case-CC-is-not-nash-eq*:

assumes $\gamma = 0$ shows $\varphi_A = 0 \land \vartheta_A = 0 \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = 0 \longrightarrow \neg \text{ is-nash-eq}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) separable-case-CC-is-pareto-optimal: assumes $\gamma = 0$ shows $\varphi_A = 0 \land \vartheta_A = 0 \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = 0 \longrightarrow$ is-pareto-opt $\langle proof \rangle$

13.3 The Maximally Entangled Case

lemma exp-to-sin: fixes x:: real shows exp (i * x) - exp (-(i * x)) = 2 * i * (sin x) $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma exp-to-cos: fixes x:: real shows exp (i * x) + exp (-(i * x)) = 2 * (cos x) $\langle proof \rangle$ lemma cmod-real-prod-squared: fixes x y:: real

shows (cmod (complex-of-real x * complex-of-real y))² = $x^2 * y^2 \langle proof \rangle$

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma quantum-payoff-simp:} \\ \textbf{fixes } x \ y:: \ real \\ \textbf{shows } 3 \ \ast \ (cmod \ (complex-of-real \ (sin \ x) \ \ast \ complex-of-real \ (cos \ y)))^2 \ + \\ (cmod \ (complex-of-real \ (cos \ x) \ \ast \ complex-of-real \ (cos \ y)))^2 \ = \\ 2 \ \ast \ (sin \ x)^2 \ \ast \ (cos \ y)^2 \ + \ (cos \ y)^2 \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

lemma quantum-payoff-le-3: fixes x y:: real shows $2 * (\sin x)^2 * (\cos y)^2 + (\cos y)^2 \le 3$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma sqrt-two-squared-cpx: complex-of-real (sqrt 2) * complex-of-real (sqrt 2) = 2

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hidden-sqrt-two-squared-cpx: complex-of-real (sqrt 2) * (complex-of-real (sqrt 2) * x) / 4 = x/2(proof)

lemma (in *strategic-space-2p*) *max-entangled-DD*:

assumes $\gamma = pi/2$
shows $\varphi_A = 0 \land \vartheta_A = pi \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = pi \longrightarrow alice-payoff = 1 \land bob-payoff$ = 1 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *strategic-space-2p*) max-entangled-QQ:

assumes $\gamma = pi/2$ shows $\varphi_A = pi/2 \land \vartheta_A = 0 \land \varphi_B = pi/2 \land \vartheta_B = 0 \longrightarrow alice-payoff = 3 \land bob-payoff = 3$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *strategic-space-2p*) max-entangled-QD:

assumes $\gamma = pi/2$ shows $\varphi_A = pi/2 \land \vartheta_A = 0 \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = pi \longrightarrow alice-payoff = 5 \land bob-payoff = 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) max-entangled-alice-payoff- Q_B :

assumes $\gamma = pi/2$ shows $\varphi_B = pi/2 \land \vartheta_B = 0 \longrightarrow alice-payoff \leq 3$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *strategic-space-2p*) max-entangled-bob-payoff- Q_A :

assumes $\gamma = pi/2$ shows $\varphi_A = pi/2 \land \vartheta_A = 0 \longrightarrow bob-payoff \leq 3$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) max-entangled-DD-is-not-nash-eq: assumes $\gamma = pi/2$ shows $\varphi_A = 0 \land \vartheta_A = pi \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = pi \longrightarrow \neg is\text{-nash-eq} \langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) max-entangled-alice-opt: **assumes** $\gamma = pi/2$ and $\varphi_A = pi/2 \land \vartheta_A = 0 \land \varphi_B = pi/2 \land \vartheta_B = 0$ **shows** \bigwedge tA pA. strategic-space-2p γ tA pA $\vartheta_B \varphi_B \longrightarrow$ strategic-space-2p.alice-payoff γ tA pA $\vartheta_B \varphi_B \leq$ alice-payoff $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) max-entangled-bob-opt: **assumes** $\gamma = pi/2$ and $\varphi_A = pi/2 \land \vartheta_A = 0 \land \varphi_B = pi/2 \land \vartheta_B = 0$ **shows** $\land tB \ pB$. strategic-space-2p $\gamma \ \vartheta_A \ \varphi_A \ tB \ pB \longrightarrow$ strategic-space-2p.bob-payoff $\gamma \ \vartheta_A \ \varphi_A \ tB \ pB \le bob-payoff$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) max-entangled-QQ-is-nash-eq: assumes $\gamma = pi/2$ shows $\varphi_A = pi/2 \wedge \vartheta_A = 0 \wedge \varphi_B = pi/2 \wedge \vartheta_B = 0 \longrightarrow is-nash-eq$ (proof)

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) max-entangled-QQ-is-pareto-optimal: assumes $\gamma = pi/2$ shows $\varphi_A = pi/2 \land \vartheta_A = 0 \land \varphi_B = pi/2 \land \vartheta_B = 0 \longrightarrow is-pareto-opt$ $\langle proof \rangle$

13.4 The Unfair Strategy Case

lemma half-sqrt-two-squared: $2 * (sqrt 2 / 2)^2 = 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *strategic-space-2p*) *max-entangled-MD*:

assumes $\gamma = pi/2$ shows $\varphi_A = pi/2 \land \vartheta_A = pi/2 \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = pi \longrightarrow alice-payoff = 3 \land bob-payoff = 1/2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *strategic-space-2p*) *max-entangled-MC*:

assumes $\gamma = pi/2$ shows $\varphi_A = pi/2 \land \vartheta_A = pi/2 \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = 0 \longrightarrow alice-payoff = 3 \land bob-payoff = 1/2$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *strategic-space-2p*) max-entangled-MH:

assumes $\gamma = pi/2$ shows $\varphi_A = pi/2 \land \vartheta_A = pi/2 \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_B = pi/2 \longrightarrow alice-payoff = 1$ $\land bob-payoff = 1$ $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation M :: complex Matrix.mat where $M \equiv mat$ -of-cols-list 2 [[i * sqrt(2)/2, -1 * sqrt(2)/2], [1 * sqrt(2)/2, -i * sqrt(2)/2]]

lemma (in strategic-space-2p) M-correct: assumes $\varphi_A = pi/2 \land \vartheta_A = pi/2$ shows $U_A = M$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hidden-sqrt-two-squared-cpx2: **fixes** x y :: complex **shows** (sqrt 2) * ((sqrt 2) * (x * y)) / 2 = x * y $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** (in *strategic-space-2p*) unfair-strategy-no-benefit:

assumes $\gamma = pi/2$ shows $\varphi_A = pi/2 \land \varphi_B = 0 \land \vartheta_A = \vartheta_B \longrightarrow alice-payoff = 1 \land bob-payoff = 1 \langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

14 Acknowledgements

The work has been jointly supported by the Cambridge Mathematics Placements (CMP) Programme and the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project GA 742178).

References

- J. Boender, F. Kammüller, and R. Nagarajan. Formalization of quantum protocols using coq. In QPL, 2015.
- [2] J. Eisert, M. Wilkens, and M. Lewenstein. Quantum Games and Quantum Strategies. *Physical Review Letters*, 83:3077–3080, Oct. 1999.
- [3] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang. Quantum Computation and Quantum Information. Cambridge University Press, 2010.