

IsaGeoCoq: Partial porting of GeoCoq 2.4.0. Case studies: Tarski's postulate of parallels implies the 5th postulate of Euclid, the postulate of Playfair and the original postulate of Euclid.

Roland Coghetto

March 17, 2025

Abstract

The GeoCoq library contains a formalization of geometry using the Coq proof assistant. It contains both proofs about the foundations of geometry [20, 15, 6, 16] and high-level proofs in the same style as in high-school. [1](Code Repository <https://github.com/GeoCoq/GeoCoq>).

Some theorems also inspired by [20] are also formalized with others ITP(Metamath, Mizar) or ATP [24, 25, 3, 23, 4, 2, 17, 5, 11, 19, 8, 9, 10].

We port a part of the GeoCoq 2.4.0 library within the Isabelle/Hol proof assistant: more precisely, the files Chap02.v to Chap13_3.v, suma.v as well as the associated definitions and some useful files for the demonstration of certain parallel postulates.

While the demonstrations in Coq are written in procedural language [26], the transcript is done in declarative language Isar[18].

The synthetic approach of the demonstrations are directly inspired by those contained in GeoCoq. Some demonstrations are credited to G.E Martin(«lemma bet_le_lt» in Ch11_angles.thy, proved by Martin as Theorem 18.17 in [14]) or Gupta H.N (Krippen Lemma, proved by Gupta in its PhD in 1965 as Theorem 3.45). (See [12]).

In this work, the proofs are not constructive. The sledgehammer tool being used to find some demonstrations.

The names of the lemmas and theorems used are kept as far as possible as well as the definitions. A different translation has been proposed when the name was already used in Isabel/Hol ("Len" is translated as "TarskiLen") or that characters were not allowed in Isabel/Hol ("anga" in Ch13_angles.v is translated as "angaP"). For some definitions the highlighting of a variable has changed the order or the position of the variables (Midpoint, Out, Inter,...).

All the lemmas are valid in absolute/neutral space defined with Tarski's axioms.

It should be noted that T.J.M. Makarios [13] has begun some demonstrations of certain proposals mainly those corresponding to SST chapters 2 and 3. It uses a definition that does not quite coincide with the definition used in Geocoq and here. As an example, Makarios introduces the axiom A11 (Axiom of continuity) in the definition of the locale "Tarski_absolute_space".

Furthermore, the definition of the locale "TarskiAbsolute" [22, 21] is not identical to the one defined in the "Tarski_neutral_dimensionless" class of GeoCoq. Indeed this one does not contain the axiom "upper_dimension". In some cases particular, it is nevertheless to use the axiom "upper_dimension". The addition of the word "_2D" in the file indicates its presence.

In the last part, it is formalized that, in the neutral/absolute space, the axiom of the parallels of the system of Tarski implies the Playfair axiom, the 5th postulate of euclidean and the postulate original from Euclid. These proofs, which are not constructive, are directly inspired by [12, 7].

Contents

1 Tarski's axiom system for neutral geometry	3
1.1 Tarski's axiom system for neutral geometry: dimensionless	3
1.2 Tarski's axiom system for neutral geometry: 2D	3
2 Definitions	4
2.1 Tarski's axiom system for neutral geometry: dimensionless	4
2.1.1 Congruence	4
2.1.2 Betweenness	4
2.1.3 Collinearity	4
2.1.4 Congruence and Betweenness	5
2.1.5 Between transitivity LE	5

2.1.6	Out lines	5
2.1.7	Midpoint	5
2.1.8	Orthogonality	6
2.1.9	Coplanar	6
2.1.10	Plane	7
2.1.11	Line reflexivity 2D	7
2.1.12	Line reflexivity	8
2.1.13	Angles	8
2.1.14	Sum of angles	9
2.1.15	Parallelism	9
2.1.16	Perpendicularity	9
2.1.17	Lentgh	9
2.1.18	Equivalence Class of Angles	10
2.2	Parallel's definition Postulate	11
3	Propositions	12
3.1	Congruence properties	12
3.2	Betweenness properties	15
3.3	Collinearity	17
3.3.1	Collinearity and betweenness	17
3.3.2	Collinearity	18
3.4	Between transitivity le	20
3.5	Out lines	26
3.6	Midpoint	31
3.7	Orthogonality	38
3.8	Planes	48
3.8.1	Coplanar	48
3.8.2	Planes	53
3.9	Line reflexivity	65
3.9.1	Dimensionless	65
3.9.2	Upper dim 2	69
3.9.3	Line reflexivity: 2D	73
3.10	Angles	73
3.10.1	Some generalites	73
3.10.2	Sum of angles	102
3.11	Parallelism	113
3.12	Tarski: Chapter 13	122
3.12.1	Introduction	122
3.12.2	Part 1: 2D	126
3.12.3	Part 2: length	127
3.12.4	Part 3 : angles	129
4	Some postulates of the parallels	135

```
theory Tarski-Neutral
```

```
imports
  Main
```

```
begin
```

1 Tarski's axiom system for neutral geometry

1.1 Tarski's axiom system for neutral geometry: dimensionless

```
locale Tarski-neutral-dimensionless =
```

```
  fixes Bet :: ' $p \Rightarrow p \Rightarrow p \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
```

```
  fixes Cong :: ' $p \Rightarrow p \Rightarrow p \Rightarrow p \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
```

```
  assumes cong-pseudo-reflexivity:  $\forall a b.$ 
```

```
    Cong a b b a
```

```
  and cong-inner-transitivity:  $\forall a b p q r s.$ 
```

```
    Cong a b p q  $\wedge$ 
```

```
    Cong a b r s
```

```
     $\longrightarrow$ 
```

```
    Cong p q r s
```

```
  and cong-identity:  $\forall a b c.$ 
```

```
    Cong a b c c
```

```
     $\longrightarrow$ 
```

```
    a = b
```

```
  and segment-construction:  $\forall a b c q.$ 
```

```
     $\exists x. (\text{Bet } q a x \wedge \text{Cong } a x b c)$ 
```

```
  and five-segment:  $\forall a b c a' b' c'.$ 
```

```
    a  $\neq$  b  $\wedge$ 
```

```
    Bet a b c  $\wedge$ 
```

```
    Bet a' b' c'  $\wedge$ 
```

```
    Cong a b a' b'  $\wedge$ 
```

```
    Cong b c b' c'  $\wedge$ 
```

```
    Cong a d a' d'  $\wedge$ 
```

```
    Cong b d b' d'
```

```
     $\longrightarrow$ 
```

```
    Cong c d c' d'
```

```
  and between-identity:  $\forall a b.$ 
```

```
    Bet a b a
```

```
     $\longrightarrow$ 
```

```
    a = b
```

```
  and inner-pasch:  $\forall a b c p q.$ 
```

```
    Bet a p c  $\wedge$ 
```

```
    Bet b q c
```

```
     $\longrightarrow$ 
```

```
    ( $\exists x. \text{Bet } p x b \wedge \text{Bet } q x a$ )
```

```
  and lower-dim:  $\exists a b c. (\neg \text{Bet } a b c \wedge \neg \text{Bet } b c a \wedge \neg \text{Bet } c a b)$ 
```

1.2 Tarski's axiom system for neutral geometry: 2D

```
locale Tarski-2D = Tarski-neutral-dimensionless +
```

```
  assumes upper-dim:  $\forall a b c p q.$ 
```

```
    p  $\neq$  q  $\wedge$ 
```

```
    Cong a p a q  $\wedge$ 
```

```
    Cong b p b q  $\wedge$ 
```

```
    Cong c p c q
```

```
     $\longrightarrow$ 
```

```
    (Bet a b c  $\vee$  Bet b c a  $\vee$  Bet c a b)
```

2 Definitions

2.1 Tarski's axiom system for neutral geometry: dimensionless

context *Tarski-neutral-dimensionless*
begin

2.1.1 Congruence

definition *OFSC* ::
 $[p, p, p, p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\langle \dots \text{OFSC} \dots \rangle [99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$

where

$$A B C D \text{ OFSC } A' B' C' D' \equiv$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Bet } A B C \wedge \\ & \text{Bet } A' B' C' \wedge \\ & \text{Cong } A B A' B' \wedge \\ & \text{Cong } B C B' C' \wedge \\ & \text{Cong } A D A' D' \wedge \\ & \text{Cong } B D B' D' \end{aligned}$$

definition *Cong3* ::
 $[p, p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\langle \dots \text{Cong3} \dots \rangle [99, 99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$

where

$$A B C \text{ Cong3 } A' B' C' \equiv$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cong } A B A' B' \wedge \\ & \text{Cong } A C A' C' \wedge \\ & \text{Cong } B C B' C' \end{aligned}$$

2.1.2 Betweenness

definition *Col* ::
 $[p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\langle \text{Col} \dots \rangle [99, 99, 99] 50)$

where

$$\text{Col } A B C \equiv$$

$$\text{Bet } A B C \vee \text{Bet } B C A \vee \text{Bet } C A B$$

definition *Bet4* ::
 $[p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\langle \text{Bet4} \dots \rangle [99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$

where

$$\text{Bet4 } A1 A2 A3 A4 \equiv$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Bet } A1 A2 A3 \wedge \\ & \text{Bet } A2 A3 A4 \wedge \\ & \text{Bet } A1 A3 A4 \wedge \\ & \text{Bet } A1 A2 A4 \end{aligned}$$

definition *BetS* ::
 $[p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ $(\langle \text{BetS} \dots \rangle [99, 99, 99] 50)$

where

$$\text{BetS } A B C \equiv$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Bet } A B C \wedge \\ & A \neq B \wedge \\ & B \neq C \end{aligned}$$

2.1.3 Collinearity

definition *FSC* ::
 $[p, p, p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\langle \dots \text{FSC} \dots \rangle [99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$

where

$A B C D FSC A' B' C' D' \equiv$

$Col A B C \wedge$
 $A B C Cong3 A' B' C' \wedge$
 $Cong A D A' D' \wedge$
 $Cong B D B' D'$

2.1.4 Congruence and Betweenness

definition $IFSC ::$

$[p,p,p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $(\cdots IFSC \cdots [99,99,99,99,99,99] 50)$
where

$A B C D IFSC A' B' C' D' \equiv$

$Bet A B C \wedge$
 $Bet A' B' C' \wedge$
 $Cong A C A' C' \wedge$
 $Cong B C B' C' \wedge$
 $Cong A D A' D' \wedge$
 $Cong C D C' D'$

2.1.5 Between transitivity LE

definition $Le ::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\cdots Le \cdots [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $A B Le C D \equiv$

$\exists E. (Bet C E D \wedge Cong A B C E)$

definition $Lt ::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\cdots Lt \cdots [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $A B Lt C D \equiv$

$A B Le C D \wedge \neg Cong A B C D$

definition $Ge ::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\cdots Ge \cdots [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $A B Ge C D \equiv$

$C D Le A B$

definition $Gt ::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\cdots Gt \cdots [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $A B Gt C D \equiv$

$C D Lt A B$

2.1.6 Out lines

definition $Out ::$

$[p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\cdots Out \cdots [99,99,99] 50)$
where $P Out A B \equiv$

$A \neq P \wedge$
 $B \neq P \wedge$
 $(Bet P A B \vee Bet P B A)$

2.1.7 Midpoint

definition $Midpoint ::$

$[p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\cdots Midpoint \cdots [99,99,99] 50)$
where $M Midpoint A B \equiv$

$Bet A M B \wedge$

Cong A M M B

2.1.8 Orthogonality

definition *Per* ::

$[',',',','] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \text{Per} \dots \rangle [99,99,99] 50)$

where *Per A B C* \equiv

$\exists C'::'. (B \text{ Midpoint } C C' \wedge \text{Cong } A C A C')$

definition *PerpAt* ::

$[',',',',','] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \text{PerpAt} \dots \rangle [99,99,99,99,99] 50)$

where *X PerpAt A B C D* \equiv

$A \neq B \wedge$
 $C \neq D \wedge$
 $\text{Col } X A B \wedge$
 $\text{Col } X C D \wedge$
 $(\forall U V. ((\text{Col } U A B \wedge \text{Col } V C D) \longrightarrow \text{Per } U X V))$

definition *Perp* ::

$[',',',','] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \text{Perp} \dots \rangle [99,99,99,99] 50)$

where *A B Perp C D* \equiv

$\exists X::'. X \text{ PerpAt } A B C D$

2.1.9 Coplanar

definition *Coplanar* ::

$[',',',','] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \text{Coplanar} \dots \rangle [99,99,99,99] 50)$

where *Coplanar A B C D* \equiv

$\exists X. (\text{Col } A B X \wedge \text{Col } C D X) \vee$
 $(\text{Col } A C X \wedge \text{Col } B D X) \vee$
 $(\text{Col } A D X \wedge \text{Col } B C X)$

definition *TS* ::

$[',',',','] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \text{TS} \dots \rangle [99,99,99,99] 50)$

where *A B TS P Q* \equiv

$\neg \text{Col } P A B \wedge \neg \text{Col } Q A B \wedge (\exists T::'. \text{Col } T A B \wedge \text{Bet } P T Q)$

definition *ReflectL* ::

$[',',',','] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \text{ReflectL} \dots \rangle [99,99,99,99] 50)$

where *P' P ReflectL A B* \equiv

$(\exists X. X \text{ Midpoint } P P' \wedge \text{Col } A B X) \wedge (A B \text{ Perp } P P' \vee P = P')$

definition *Reflect* ::

$[',',',','] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \text{Reflect} \dots \rangle [99,99,99,99] 50)$

where *P' P Reflect A B* \equiv

$(A \neq B \wedge P' P \text{ ReflectL } A B) \vee (A = B \wedge A \text{ Midpoint } P P')$

definition *InAngle* ::

$[',',',','] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \text{InAngle} \dots \rangle [99,99,99,99] 50)$

where *P InAngle A B C* \equiv

$A \neq B \wedge C \neq B \wedge P \neq B \wedge$

$(\exists X. \text{Bet } A X C \wedge (X = B \vee B \text{ Out } X P))$

definition *ParStrict*::

$[',',',','] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \text{ParStrict} \dots \rangle [99,99,99,99] 50)$

where *A B ParStrict C D* \equiv *Coplanar A B C D* \wedge $\neg (\exists X. \text{Col } X A B \wedge \text{Col } X C D)$

definition *Par*::

$[',',',','] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \text{Par} \dots \rangle [99,99,99,99] 50)$

where *A B Par C D* \equiv

$A B \text{ ParStrict } C D \vee (A \neq B \wedge C \neq D \wedge \text{Col } A C D \wedge \text{Col } B C D)$

definition *Plg*::

$[',',',','] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \text{Plg} \dots \rangle [99,99,99,99] 50)$

where $\text{Plg } A B C D \equiv$
 $(A \neq C \vee B \neq D) \wedge (\exists M. M \text{ Midpoint } A C \wedge M \text{ Midpoint } B D)$

definition $\text{ParallelogramStrict}::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{ParallelogramStrict} \rangle - - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $\text{ParallelogramStrict } A B A' B' \equiv$
 $A A' TS B B' \wedge A B \text{ Par } A' B' \wedge \text{Cong } A B A' B'$

definition $\text{ParallelogramFlat}::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{ParallelogramFlat} \rangle - - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $\text{ParallelogramFlat } A B A' B' \equiv$
 $\text{Col } A B A' \wedge \text{Col } A B B' \wedge$
 $\text{Cong } A B A' B' \wedge \text{Cong } A B' A' B \wedge$
 $(A \neq A' \vee B \neq B')$

definition $\text{Parallelogram}::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{Parallelogram} \rangle - - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $\text{Parallelogram } A B A' B' \equiv$
 $\text{ParallelogramStrict } A B A' B' \vee \text{ParallelogramFlat } A B A' B'$

definition $\text{Rhombus}::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{Rhombus} \rangle - - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $\text{Rhombus } A B C D \equiv \text{Plg } A B C D \wedge \text{Cong } A B B C$

definition $\text{Rectangle}::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{Rectangle} \rangle - - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $\text{Rectangle } A B C D \equiv \text{Plg } A B C D \wedge \text{Cong } A C B D$

definition $\text{Square}::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{Square} \rangle - - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $\text{Square } A B C D \equiv \text{Rectangle } A B C D \wedge \text{Cong } A B B C$

definition $\text{Lambert}::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{Lambert} \rangle - - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $\text{Lambert } A B C D \equiv$
 $A \neq B \wedge B \neq C \wedge C \neq D \wedge$
 $A \neq D \wedge \text{Per } B A D \wedge \text{Per } A D C \wedge \text{Per } A B C \wedge \text{Coplanar } A B C D$

2.1.10 Plane

definition $\text{OS} ::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{OS} \rangle - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $A B \text{ OS } P Q \equiv$
 $\exists R :: p. A B TS P R \wedge A B TS Q R$

definition $\text{TSP} ::$

$[p,p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{TSP} \rangle - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $A B C \text{ TSP } P Q \equiv$
 $(\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C P) \wedge (\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C Q) \wedge$
 $(\exists T. \text{Coplanar } A B C T \wedge \text{Bet } P T Q)$

definition $\text{OSP} ::$

$[p,p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{OSP} \rangle - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $A B C \text{ OSP } P Q \equiv$
 $\exists R. ((A B C \text{ TSP } P R) \wedge (A B C \text{ TSP } Q R))$

definition $\text{Saccheri}::$

$[p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{Saccheri} \rangle - - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $\text{Saccheri } A B C D \equiv$
 $\text{Per } B A D \wedge \text{Per } A D C \wedge \text{Cong } A B C D \wedge A D \text{ OS } B C$

2.1.11 Line reflexivity 2D

definition $\text{ReflectLAT} ::$

$[p,p,p,p,p] \Rightarrow \text{bool } (\langle \text{ReflectLAT} \rangle - - - \rightarrow [99,99,99,99,99] 50)$
where $M \text{ ReflectLAT } P' P A B \equiv$
 $(M \text{ Midpoint } P P' \wedge \text{Col } A B M) \wedge (A B \text{ Perp } P P' \vee P = P')$

```

definition ReflectAt ::  

  [ $'p, 'p, 'p, 'p, 'p]$   $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle\text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \rangle [99, 99, 99, 99, 99]$  50)  

  where M ReflectAt P' P A B  $\equiv$   

  ( $A \neq B \wedge M \text{ ReflectLAt } P' P A B$ )  $\vee$  ( $A = B \wedge A = M \wedge M \text{ Midpoint } P P'$ )

```

2.1.12 Line reflexivity

```

definition upper-dim-axiom ::  

  bool ( $\langle\text{UpperDimAxiom}\rangle []$  50)  

where  

  upper-dim-axiom  $\equiv$   

  

 $\forall A B C P Q.$   

 $P \neq Q \wedge$   

 $\text{Cong } A P A Q \wedge$   

 $\text{Cong } B P B Q \wedge$   

 $\text{Cong } C P C Q$   

 $\longrightarrow$   

 $(\text{Bet } A B C \vee \text{Bet } B C A \vee \text{Bet } C A B)$ 

```

```

definition all-coplanar-axiom ::  

  bool ( $\langle\text{AllCoplanarAxiom}\rangle []$  50)  

where  

  AllCoplanarAxiom  $\equiv$ 

```

```

 $\forall A B C P Q.$   

 $P \neq Q \wedge$   

 $\text{Cong } A P A Q \wedge$   

 $\text{Cong } B P B Q \wedge$   

 $\text{Cong } C P C Q$   

 $\longrightarrow$   

 $(\text{Bet } A B C \vee \text{Bet } B C A \vee \text{Bet } C A B)$ 

```

2.1.13 Angles

```

definition CongA ::  

  [ $'p, 'p, 'p, 'p, 'p, 'p]$   $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle\text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \rangle [99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 99]$  50)  

  where A B C CongA D E F  $\equiv$   

   $A \neq B \wedge C \neq B \wedge D \neq E \wedge F \neq E \wedge$   

 $(\exists A' C' D' F'. \text{Bet } B A A' \wedge \text{Cong } A A' E D \wedge$   

 $\text{Bet } B C C' \wedge \text{Cong } C C' E F \wedge$   

 $\text{Bet } E D D' \wedge \text{Cong } D D' B A \wedge$   

 $\text{Bet } E F F' \wedge \text{Cong } F F' B C \wedge$   

 $\text{Cong } A' C' D' F')$ 

```

```

definition LeA ::  

  [ $'p, 'p, 'p, 'p, 'p, 'p]$   $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle\text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \rangle [99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 99]$  50)  

  where A B C LeA D E F  $\equiv$   

 $\exists P. (P \text{ InAngle } D E F \wedge A B C \text{ CongA } D E P)$ 

```

```

definition LtA ::  

  [ $'p, 'p, 'p, 'p, 'p, 'p]$   $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle\text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \rangle [99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 99]$  50)  

  where A B C LtA D E F  $\equiv$  A B C LeA D E F  $\wedge$   $\neg A B C \text{ CongA } D E F$ 

```

```

definition GtA ::  

  [ $'p, 'p, 'p, 'p, 'p, 'p]$   $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle\text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \text{-} \rangle [99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 99]$  50)  

  where A B C GtA D E F  $\equiv$  D E F LtA A B C

```

```

definition Acute ::  

  [ $'p, 'p, 'p]$   $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle\text{Acute} \text{ - } \text{-} \rangle [99, 99, 99]$  50)  

  where Acute A B C  $\equiv$   

 $\exists A' B' C'. (\text{Per } A' B' C' \wedge A B C \text{ LtA } A' B' C')$ 

```

```

definition Obtuse ::  

  [ $'p, 'p, 'p]$   $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle\text{Obtuse} \text{ - } \text{-} \rangle [99, 99, 99]$  50)  

  where Obtuse A B C  $\equiv$ 

```

$\exists A' B' C'. (\text{Per } A' B' C' \wedge A' B' C' \text{ LtA } A B C)$

definition *OrthAt* ::

$[p, p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\leftarrow \text{OrthAt} \dashrightarrow [99, 99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$
where $X \text{ OrthAt } A B C U V \equiv$
 $\neg \text{Col } A B C \wedge U \neq V \wedge \text{Coplanar } A B C X \wedge \text{Col } U V X \wedge$
 $(\forall P Q. (\text{Coplanar } A B C P \wedge \text{Col } U V Q) \longrightarrow \text{Per } P X Q)$

definition *Orth* ::

$[p, p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\leftarrow \text{Orth} \dashrightarrow [99, 99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$
where $A B C \text{ Orth } U V \equiv \exists X. X \text{ OrthAt } A B C U V$

definition *SuppA* ::

$[p, p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\leftarrow \text{SuppA} \dashrightarrow [99, 99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$
where
 $A B C \text{ SuppA } D E F \equiv$
 $A \neq B \wedge (\exists A'. \text{Bet } A B A' \wedge D E F \text{ CongA } C B A')$

2.1.14 Sum of angles

definition *SumA* ::

$[p, p, p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\leftarrow \text{SumA} \dashrightarrow [99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$
where
 $A B C D E F \text{ SumA } G H I \equiv$

$\exists J. (C B J \text{ CongA } D E F \wedge \neg B C \text{ OS } A J \wedge \text{Coplanar } A B C J \wedge A B J \text{ CongA } G H I)$

definition *TriSumA* ::

$[p, p, p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\leftarrow \text{TriSumA} \dashrightarrow [99, 99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$
where
 $A B C \text{ TriSumA } D E F \equiv$

$\exists G H I. (A B C B C A \text{ SumA } G H I \wedge G H I \text{ CA B SumA } D E F)$

definition *SAMS* ::

$[p, p, p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\leftarrow \text{SAMS} \dashrightarrow [99, 99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$
where
 $SAMS A B C D E F \equiv$

$(A \neq B \wedge$
 $(E \text{ Out } D F \vee \neg \text{Bet } A B C)) \wedge$
 $(\exists J. (C B J \text{ CongA } D E F \wedge \neg (B C \text{ OS } A J) \wedge \neg (A B \text{ TS } C J) \wedge \text{Coplanar } A B C J))$

2.1.15 Parallelism

definition *Inter* ::

$[p, p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\leftarrow \text{Inter} \dashrightarrow [99, 99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$
where $X \text{ Inter } A1 A2 B1 B2 \equiv$

$B1 \neq B2 \wedge$
 $(\exists P :: p. (\text{Col } P B1 B2 \wedge \neg \text{Col } P A1 A2)) \wedge$
 $\text{Col } A1 A2 X \wedge \text{Col } B1 B2 X$

2.1.16 Perpendicularity

definition *Perp2* ::

$[p, p, p, p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\leftarrow \text{Perp2} \dashrightarrow [99, 99, 99, 99, 99] 50)$
where
 $P \text{ Perp2 } A B C D \equiv$

$\exists X Y. (\text{Col } P X Y \wedge X Y \text{ Perp } A B \wedge X Y \text{ Perp } C D)$

2.1.17 Length

definition *QCong*::

$([p, p] \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\leftarrow \text{QCong} \dashrightarrow [99] 50)$

where
 $QCong\ l \equiv$

$$\exists A B. (\forall X Y. (Cong A B X Y \longleftrightarrow l X Y))$$

definition $TarskiLen::$
 $[p, p, ([p, p] \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool (\langle TarskiLen \dashrightarrow [99, 99, 99] \rangle 50)$
where
 $TarskiLen A B l \equiv$

$QCong\ l \wedge l A B$

definition $QCongNull::$
 $([p, p] \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool (\langle QCongNull \dashrightarrow [99] \rangle 50)$
where
 $QCongNull l \equiv$

$$QCong\ l \wedge (\exists A. l A A)$$

2.1.18 Equivalence Class of Angles

definition $QCongA::$
 $([p, p, p, ([p, p, p] \Rightarrow bool)] \Rightarrow bool (\langle QCongA \dashrightarrow [99] \rangle 50)$
where
 $QCongA a \equiv$

$\exists A B C. (A \neq B \wedge C \neq B \wedge (\forall X Y Z. A B C CongA X Y Z \longleftrightarrow a X Y Z))$

definition $Ang::$
 $[p, p, p, ([p, p, p] \Rightarrow bool)] \Rightarrow bool (\langle Ang \dashrightarrow [99, 99, 99, 99] \rangle 50)$
where
 $A B C Ang a \equiv$

$QCongA a \wedge$
 $a A B C$

definition $QCongAAcute::$
 $([p, p, p] \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool (\langle QCongAAcute \dashrightarrow [99] \rangle 50)$
where
 $QCongAAcute a \equiv$

$\exists A B C. (Acute A B C \wedge (\forall X Y Z. (A B C CongA X Y Z \longleftrightarrow a X Y Z)))$

definition $AngAcute::$
 $[p, p, p, ([p, p, p] \Rightarrow bool)] \Rightarrow bool (\langle AngAcute \dashrightarrow [99, 99, 99, 99] \rangle 50)$
where
 $A B C AngAcute a \equiv$

$((QCongAAcute a) \wedge (a A B C))$

definition $QCongANullAcute::$
 $([p, p, p] \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool (\langle QCongANullAcute \dashrightarrow [99] \rangle 50)$
where
 $QCongANullAcute a \equiv$

$QCongAAcute a \wedge$
 $(\forall A B C. (a A B C \longrightarrow B Out A C))$

definition $QCongAnNull::$
 $([p, p, p] \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool (\langle QCongAnNull \dashrightarrow [99] \rangle 50)$
where
 $QCongAnNull a \equiv$

$QCongA a \wedge$
 $(\forall A B C. (a A B C \longrightarrow \neg B Out A C))$

```

definition QCongAnFlat ::  

  ([p,'p,'p] ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool (⟨QCongAnFlat → [99] 50)  

where  

  QCongAnFlat a ≡  

    QCongA a ∧  

    ( ∀ A B C. (a A B C → ¬ Bet A B C))  

  

definition IsNullAngaP ::  

  ([p,'p,'p] ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool (⟨IsNullAngaP → [99] 50)  

where  

  IsNullAngaP a ≡  

    QCongAAcute a ∧  

    ( ∃ A B C. (a A B C ∧ B Out A C))  

  

definition QCongANull ::  

  ([p,'p,'p] ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool (⟨QCongANull → [99] 50)  

where  

  QCongANull a ≡  

    QCongA a ∧  

    ( ∀ A B C. (a A B C → B Out A C))  

  

definition AngFlat ::  

  ([p,'p,'p] ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool (⟨AngFlat → [99] 50)  

where  

  AngFlat a ≡  

    QCongA a ∧  

    ( ∀ A B C. (a A B C → Bet A B C))
  
```

2.2 Parallel's definition Postulate

```

definition tarski-s-parallel-postulate ::  

  bool  

  (⟨TarskiSParallelPostulate⟩)  

where  

  tarski-s-parallel-postulate ≡  

  ∀ A B C D T. (Bet A D T ∧ Bet B D C ∧ A ≠ D) →  

  ( ∃ X Y. Bet A B X ∧ Bet A C Y ∧ Bet X T Y)  

  

definition euclid-5 ::  

  bool (⟨Euclid5⟩)  

where  

  euclid-5 ≡  

    ∀ P Q R S T U.  

    (BetS P T Q ∧  

     BetS R T S ∧  

     BetS Q U R ∧  

     ¬ Col P Q S ∧  

     Cong P T Q T ∧  

     Cong R T S T)  

    →  

    ( ∃ I. BetS S Q I ∧ BetS P U I)
  
```

```

definition euclid-s-parallel-postulate ::  

  bool (⟨EuclidSParallelPostulate⟩)  

where  

  euclid-s-parallel-postulate ≡  

    ∀ A B C D P Q R.  

    (B C OS A D ∧  

     SAMS A B C B C D ∧  

     A B C B C D SumA P Q R ∧
  
```

```

 $\neg \text{Bet } P \ Q \ R)$ 
 $\longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists \ Y. \text{B Out } A \ Y \wedge \text{C Out } D \ Y)$ 

```

definition *playfair-s-postulate* ::

```

bool
⟨PlayfairSPostulate⟩
where
playfair-s-postulate ≡

```

```

 $\forall \ A1 \ A2 \ B1 \ B2 \ C1 \ C2 \ P.$ 
 $(A1 \ A2 \ \text{Par} \ B1 \ B2 \wedge$ 
 $\text{Col} \ P \ B1 \ B2 \wedge$ 
 $A1 \ A2 \ \text{Par} \ C1 \ C2 \wedge$ 
 $\text{Col} \ P \ C1 \ C2)$ 
 $\longrightarrow$ 
 $(\text{Col} \ C1 \ B1 \ B2 \wedge \text{Col} \ C2 \ B1 \ B2)$ 

```

3 Propositions

3.1 Congruence properties

lemma *cong-reflexivity*:

```

shows Cong A B A B
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cong-symmetry*:

```

assumes Cong A B C D
shows Cong C D A B
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cong-transitivity*:

```

assumes Cong A B C D and Cong C D E F
shows Cong A B E F
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cong-left-commutativity*:

```

assumes Cong A B C D
shows Cong B A C D
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cong-right-commutativity*:

```

assumes Cong A B C D
shows Cong A B D C
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cong-3421*:

```

assumes Cong A B C D
shows Cong C D B A
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cong-4312*:

```

assumes Cong A B C D
shows Cong D C A B
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cong-4321*:

```

assumes Cong A B C D
shows Cong D C B A
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cong-trivial-identity*:

```

shows Cong A A B B
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cong-reverse-identity*:

assumes Cong A A C D

shows C = D

{proof}

lemma cong-commutativity:

assumes Cong A B C D

shows Cong B A D C

{proof}

lemma not-cong-2134:

assumes \neg Cong A B C D

shows \neg Cong B A C D

{proof}

lemma not-cong-1243:

assumes \neg Cong A B C D

shows \neg Cong A B D C

{proof}

lemma not-cong-2143:

assumes \neg Cong A B C D

shows \neg Cong B A D C

{proof}

lemma not-cong-3412:

assumes \neg Cong A B C D

shows \neg Cong C D A B

{proof}

lemma not-cong-4312:

assumes \neg Cong A B C D

shows \neg Cong D C A B

{proof}

lemma not-cong-3421:

assumes \neg Cong A B C D

shows \neg Cong C D B A

{proof}

lemma not-cong-4321:

assumes \neg Cong A B C D

shows \neg Cong D C B A

{proof}

lemma five-segment-with-def:

assumes A B C D OFSC A' B' C' D' **and** A \neq B

shows Cong C D C' D'

{proof}

lemma cong-diff:

assumes A \neq B **and** Cong A B C D

shows C \neq D

{proof}

lemma cong-diff-2:

assumes B \neq A **and** Cong A B C D

shows C \neq D

{proof}

lemma cong-diff-3:

assumes C \neq D **and** Cong A B C D

shows A \neq B

{proof}

lemma cong-diff-4:

assumes D \neq C **and** Cong A B C D

shows $A \neq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-3-sym*:
assumes $A B C \text{ Cong3 } A' B' C'$
shows $A' B' C' \text{ Cong3 } A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-3-swap*:
assumes $A B C \text{ Cong3 } A' B' C'$
shows $B A C \text{ Cong3 } B' A' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-3-swap-2*:
assumes $A B C \text{ Cong3 } A' B' C'$
shows $A C B \text{ Cong3 } A' C' B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong3-transitivity*:
assumes $A_0 B_0 C_0 \text{ Cong3 } A_1 B_1 C_1 \text{ and }$
 $A_1 B_1 C_1 \text{ Cong3 } A_2 B_2 C_2$
shows $A_0 B_0 C_0 \text{ Cong3 } A_2 B_2 C_2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-dec-points*:
shows $A = B \vee \neg A = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct*:
assumes $P \neq Q$
shows $R \neq P \vee R \neq Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l2-11*:
assumes $Bet A B C \text{ and }$
 $Bet A' B' C' \text{ and }$
 $Cong A B A' B' \text{ and }$
 $Cong B C B' C'$
shows $Cong A C A' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet-cong3*:
assumes $Bet A B C \text{ and }$
 $Cong A B A' B'$
shows $\exists C'. A B C \text{ Cong3 } A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *construction-uniqueness*:
assumes $Q \neq A \text{ and }$
 $Bet Q A X \text{ and }$
 $Cong A X B C \text{ and }$
 $Bet Q A Y \text{ and }$
 $Cong A Y B C$
shows $X = Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Cong-cases*:
assumes $Cong A B C D \vee Cong A B D C \vee Cong B A C D \vee Cong B A D C \vee Cong C D A B \vee Cong C D B A$
 $\vee Cong D C A B \vee Cong D C B A$
shows $Cong A B C D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Cong-perm* :
assumes $Cong A B C D$
shows $Cong A B C D \wedge Cong A B D C \wedge Cong B A C D \wedge Cong B A D C \wedge Cong C D A B \wedge Cong C D B A \wedge$
 $Cong D C A B \wedge Cong D C B A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.2 Betweenness properties

lemma *bet-col*:
 assumes *Bet A B C*
 shows *Col A B C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *between-trivial*:
 shows *Bet A B B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *between-symmetry*:
 assumes *Bet A B C*
 shows *Bet C B A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Bet-cases*:
 assumes *Bet A B C \vee Bet C B A*
 shows *Bet A B C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Bet-perm*:
 assumes *Bet A B C*
 shows *Bet A B C \wedge Bet C B A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *between-trivial2*:
 shows *Bet A A B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *between-equality*:
 assumes *Bet A B C and Bet B A C*
 shows *A = B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *between-equality-2*:
 assumes *Bet A B C and
 Bet A C B*
 shows *B = C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *between-exchange3*:
 assumes *Bet A B C and
 Bet A C D*
 shows *Bet B C D*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet-neq12--neq*:
 assumes *Bet A B C and
 A \neq B*
 shows *A \neq C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet-neq21--neq*:
 assumes *Bet A B C and
 B \neq A*
 shows *A \neq C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet-neq23--neq*:
 assumes *Bet A B C and
 B \neq C*
 shows *A \neq C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma bet-neq32--neq:
  assumes Bet A B C and
    C ≠ B
  shows A ≠ C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma not-bet-distincts:
  assumes ¬ Bet A B C
  shows A ≠ B ∧ B ≠ C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma between-inner-transitivity:
  assumes Bet A B D and
    Bet B C D
  shows Bet A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outer-transitivity-between2:
  assumes Bet A B C and
    Bet B C D and
    B ≠ C
  shows Bet A C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma between-exchange2:
  assumes Bet A B D and
    Bet B C D
  shows Bet A C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma outer-transitivity-between:
  assumes Bet A B C and
    Bet B C D and
    B ≠ C
  shows Bet A B D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma between-exchange4:
  assumes Bet A B C and
    Bet A C D
  shows Bet A B D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma l3-9-4:
  assumes Bet4 A1 A2 A3 A4
  shows Bet4 A4 A3 A2 A1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma l3-17:
  assumes Bet A B C and
    Bet A' B' C and
    Bet A P A'
  shows (Ǝ Q. Bet P Q C ∧ Bet B Q B')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lower-dim-ex:
  Ǝ A B C. ¬ (Bet A B C ∨ Bet B C A ∨ Bet C A B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-distinct-points:
  Ǝ X::'p. Ǝ Y::'p. X ≠ Y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma point-construction-different:
  Ǝ C. Bet A B C ∧ B ≠ C

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma another-point:

$\exists B : 'p. A \neq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Cong-stability:

assumes $\neg \neg \text{Cong } A B C D$
shows $\text{Cong } A B C D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l2-11-b:

assumes $\text{Bet } A B C \text{ and}$
 $\text{Bet } A' B' C' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A B A' B' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } B C B' C'$
shows $\text{Cong } A C A' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cong-dec-eq-dec-b:

assumes $\neg A \neq B$
shows $A = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma BetSEq:

assumes $\text{BetS } A B C$
shows $\text{Bet } A B C \wedge A \neq B \wedge A \neq C \wedge B \neq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.3 Collinearity

3.3.1 Collinearity and betweenness

lemma l4-2:

assumes $A B C D \text{ IFSC } A' B' C' D'$
shows $\text{Cong } B D B' D'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l4-3:

assumes $\text{Bet } A B C \text{ and}$
 $\text{Bet } A' B' C' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A C A' C'$
and $\text{Cong } B C B' C'$
shows $\text{Cong } A B A' B'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l4-3-1:

assumes $\text{Bet } A B C \text{ and}$
 $\text{Bet } A' B' C' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A B A' B' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A C A' C'$
shows $\text{Cong } B C B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l4-5:

assumes $\text{Bet } A B C \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A C A' C'$
shows $\exists B'. (\text{Bet } A' B' C' \wedge A B C \text{ Cong3 } A' B' C')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l4-6:

assumes $\text{Bet } A B C \text{ and}$
 $A B C \text{ Cong3 } A' B' C'$
shows $\text{Bet } A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma cong3-bet-eq:
  assumes Bet A B C and
    A B C Cong3 A X C
  shows X = B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.3.2 Collinearity

```

lemma col-permutation-1:
  assumes Col A B C
  shows Col B C A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma col-permutation-2:
  assumes Col A B C
  shows Col C A B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma col-permutation-3:
  assumes Col A B C
  shows Col C B A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma col-permutation-4:
  assumes Col A B C
  shows Col B A C
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma col-permutation-5:
  assumes Col A B C
  shows Col A C B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma not-col-permutation-1:
  assumes  $\neg$  Col A B C
  shows  $\neg$  Col B C A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma not-col-permutation-2:
  assumes  $\sim$  Col A B C
  shows  $\sim$  Col C A B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma not-col-permutation-3:
  assumes  $\neg$  Col A B C
  shows  $\neg$  Col C B A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma not-col-permutation-4:
  assumes  $\neg$  Col A B C
  shows  $\neg$  Col B A C
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma not-col-permutation-5:
  assumes  $\neg$  Col A B C
  shows  $\neg$  Col A C B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Col-cases:
  assumes Col A B C  $\vee$  Col A C B  $\vee$  Col B A C  $\vee$  Col B C A  $\vee$  Col C A B  $\vee$  Col C B A
  shows Col A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Col-perm:
  assumes Col A B C
  shows Col A B C  $\wedge$  Col A C B  $\wedge$  Col B A C  $\wedge$  Col B C A  $\wedge$  Col C A B  $\wedge$  Col C B A

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-trivial-1*:

Col A A B
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-trivial-2*:

Col A B B
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-trivial-3*:

Col A B A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l4-13*:

assumes *Col A B C and*
A B C Cong3 A' B' C'
shows *Col A' B' C'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l4-14R1*:

assumes *Bet A B C and*
Cong A B A' B'
shows $\exists C'. A B C Cong3 A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l4-14R2*:

assumes *Bet B C A and*
Cong A B A' B'
shows $\exists C'. A B C Cong3 A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l4-14R3*:

assumes *Bet C A B and*
Cong A B A' B'
shows $\exists C'. A B C Cong3 A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l4-14*:

assumes *Col A B C and*
Cong A B A' B'
shows $\exists C'. A B C Cong3 A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l4-16R1*:

assumes *A B C D FSC A' B' C' D' and*
A \neq B and
Bet A B C
shows *Cong C D C' D'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l4-16R2*:

assumes *A B C D FSC A' B' C' D'*
and *Bet B C A*
shows *Cong C D C' D'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l4-16R3*:

assumes *A B C D FSC A' B' C' D' and A \neq B*
and *Bet C A B*
shows *Cong C D C' D'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l4-16*:

assumes *A B C D FSC A' B' C' D' and*
A \neq B

shows $Cong C D C' D'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l4-17:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $Col A B C$ and
 $Cong A P A Q$ and
 $Cong B P B Q$
shows $Cong C P C Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l4-18:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $Col A B C$ and
 $Cong A C A C'$ and
 $Cong B C B C'$
shows $C = C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l4-19:
assumes $Bet A C B$ and
 $Cong A C A C'$ and
 $Cong B C B C'$
shows $C = C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-col-distincts:
assumes $\neg Col A B C$
shows $\neg Col A B C \wedge A \neq B \wedge B \neq C \wedge A \neq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma NCol-cases:
assumes $\neg Col A B C \vee \neg Col A C B \vee \neg Col B A C \vee \neg Col B C A \vee \neg Col C A B \vee \neg Col C B A$
shows $\neg Col A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma NCol-perm:
assumes $\neg Col A B C$
shows $\neg Col A B C \wedge \sim Col A C B \wedge \sim Col B A C \wedge \sim Col B C A \wedge \sim Col C A B \wedge \sim Col C B A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma col-cong-3-cong-3-eq:
assumes $A \neq B$
and $Col A B C$
and $A B C Cong3 A' B' C1$
and $A B C Cong3 A' B' C2$
shows $C1 = C2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.4 Between transitivity le

lemma l5-1:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $Bet A B C$ and
 $Bet A B D$
shows $Bet A C D \vee Bet A D C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l5-2:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $Bet A B C$ and
 $Bet A B D$
shows $Bet B C D \vee Bet B D C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *segment-construction-2*:
assumes $A \neq Q$
shows $\exists X. ((Bet Q A X \vee Bet Q X A) \wedge Cong Q X B C)$
(proof)

lemma *l5-3*:
assumes *Bet A B D and*
Bet A C D
shows *Bet A B C* \vee *Bet A C B*
(proof)

lemma *bet3--bet*:
assumes *Bet A B E and*
Bet A D E and
Bet B C D
shows *Bet A C E*
(proof)

lemma *le-bet*:
assumes *C D Le A B*
shows $\exists X. (Bet A X B \wedge Cong A X C D)$
(proof)

lemma *l5-5-1*:
assumes *A B Le C D*
shows $\exists X. (Bet A B X \wedge Cong A X C D)$
(proof)

lemma *l5-5-2*:
assumes $\exists X. (Bet A B X \wedge Cong A X C D)$
shows *A B Le C D*
(proof)

lemma *l5-6*:
assumes *A B Le C D and*
Cong A B A' B' and
Cong C D C' D'
shows *A' B' Le C' D'*
(proof)

lemma *le-reflexivity*:
shows *A B Le A B*
(proof)

lemma *le-transitivity*:
assumes *A B Le C D and*
C D Le E F
shows *A B Le E F*
(proof)

lemma *between-cong*:
assumes *Bet A C B and*
Cong A C A B
shows *C = B*
(proof)

lemma *cong3-symmetry*:
assumes *A B C Cong3 A' B' C'*
shows *A' B' C' Cong3 A B C*
(proof)

lemma *between-cong-2*:
assumes *Bet A D B and*
Bet A E B
and *Cong A D A E*
shows *D = E* *(proof)*

```

lemma between-cong-3:
  assumes  $A \neq B$ 
    and  $\text{Bet } A B D$ 
    and  $\text{Bet } A B E$ 
    and  $\text{Cong } B D B E$ 
  shows  $D = E$ 
  {proof}

lemma le-anti-symmetry:
  assumes  $A B \text{Le } C D$  and
     $C D \text{Le } A B$ 
  shows  $\text{Cong } A B C D$ 
  {proof}

lemma cong-dec:
  shows  $\text{Cong } A B C D \vee \neg \text{Cong } A B C D$ 
  {proof}

lemma bet-dec:
  shows  $\text{Bet } A B C \vee \neg \text{Bet } A B C$ 
  {proof}

lemma col-dec:
  shows  $\text{Col } A B C \vee \neg \text{Col } A B C$ 
  {proof}

lemma le-trivial:
  shows  $A A \text{Le } C D$ 
  {proof}

lemma le-cases:
  shows  $A B \text{Le } C D \vee C D \text{Le } A B$ 
  {proof}

lemma le-zero:
  assumes  $A B \text{Le } C C$ 
  shows  $A = B$ 
  {proof}

lemma le-diff:
  assumes  $A \neq B$  and  $A B \text{Le } C D$ 
  shows  $C \neq D$ 
  {proof}

lemma lt-diff:
  assumes  $A B \text{Lt } C D$ 
  shows  $C \neq D$ 
  {proof}

lemma bet-cong-eq:
  assumes  $\text{Bet } A B C$  and
     $\text{Bet } A C D$  and
     $\text{Cong } B C A D$ 
  shows  $C = D \wedge A = B$ 
  {proof}

lemma cong--le:
  assumes  $\text{Cong } A B C D$ 
  shows  $A B \text{Le } C D$ 
  {proof}

lemma cong--le3412:
  assumes  $\text{Cong } A B C D$ 
  shows  $C D \text{Le } A B$ 
  {proof}

```

```
lemma le1221:  
  shows A B Le B A  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma le-left-comm:  
  assumes A B Le C D  
  shows B A Le C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma le-right-comm:  
  assumes A B Le C D  
  shows A B Le D C  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma le-comm:  
  assumes A B Le C D  
  shows B A Le D C  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ge-left-comm:  
  assumes A B Ge C D  
  shows B A Ge C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ge-right-comm:  
  assumes A B Ge C D  
  shows A B Ge D C  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ge-comm0:  
  assumes A B Ge C D  
  shows B A Ge D C  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lt-right-comm:  
  assumes A B Lt C D  
  shows A B Lt D C  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lt-left-comm:  
  assumes A B Lt C D  
  shows B A Lt C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lt-comm:  
  assumes A B Lt C D  
  shows B A Lt D C  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gt-left-comm0:  
  assumes A B Gt C D  
  shows B A Gt C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gt-right-comm:  
  assumes A B Gt C D  
  shows A B Gt D C  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gt-comm:  
  assumes A B Gt C D  
  shows B A Gt D C  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cong2-lt--lt:
```

assumes $A B Lt C D$ **and**

 Cong $A B A' B'$ **and**

 Cong $C D C' D'$

shows $A' B' Lt C' D'$

(proof)

lemma *fourth-point*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**

$B \neq C$ **and**

 Col $A B P$ **and**

 Bet $A B C$

shows $Bet P A B \vee Bet A P B \vee Bet B P C \vee Bet B C P$

(proof)

lemma *third-point*:

assumes Col $A B P$

shows $Bet P A B \vee Bet A P B \vee Bet A B P$

(proof)

lemma *l5-12-a*:

assumes Bet $A B C$

shows $A B Le A C \wedge B C Le A C$

(proof)

lemma *bet--le1213*:

assumes Bet $A B C$

shows $A B Le A C$

(proof)

lemma *bet--le2313*:

assumes Bet $A B C$

shows $B C Le A C$

(proof)

lemma *bet--lt1213*:

assumes $B \neq C$ **and**

 Bet $A B C$

shows $A B Lt A C$

(proof)

lemma *bet--lt2313*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**

 Bet $A B C$

shows $B C Lt A C$

(proof)

lemma *l5-12-b*:

assumes Col $A B C$ **and**

$A B Le A C$ **and**

$B C Le A C$

shows Bet $A B C$

(proof)

lemma *bet-le-eq*:

assumes Bet $A B C$

and $A C Le B C$

shows $A = B$

(proof)

lemma *or-lt-cong-gt*:

$A B Lt C D \vee A B Gt C D \vee Cong A B C D$

(proof)

lemma *lt--le*:

assumes $A B Lt C D$

shows $A B Le C D$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma le1234-lt--lt:
  assumes A B Le C D and
    C D Lt E F
  shows A B Lt E F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma le3456-lt--lt:
  assumes A B Lt C D and
    C D Le E F
  shows A B Lt E F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-transitivity:
  assumes A B Lt C D and
    C D Lt E F
  shows A B Lt E F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma not-and-lt:
   $\neg (A B \text{Lt} C D \wedge C D \text{Lt} A B)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nlt:
   $\neg A B \text{Lt} A B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma le--nlt:
  assumes A B Le C D
  shows  $\neg C D \text{Lt} A B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cong--nlt:
  assumes Cong A B C D
  shows  $\neg A B \text{Lt} C D$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nlt--le:
  assumes  $\neg A B \text{Lt} C D$ 
  shows C D Le A B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lt--nle:
  assumes A B Lt C D
  shows  $\neg C D \text{Le} A B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nle--lt:
  assumes  $\neg A B \text{Le} C D$ 
  shows C D Lt A B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lt1123:
  assumes B ≠ C
  shows A A Lt B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bet2-le2-le-R1:
  assumes Bet a P b and
    Bet A Q B and
    P a Le Q A and
    P b Le Q B and
    B = Q
  shows a b Le A B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bet2-le2-le-R2:
  assumes Bet a Po b and
    Bet A PO B and
    Po a Le PO A and
    Po b Le PO B and
    A ≠ PO and
    B ≠ PO
  shows a b Le A B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bet2-le2-le:
  assumes Bet a P b and
    Bet A Q B and
    P a Le Q A and
    P b Le Q B
  shows a b Le A B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Le-cases:
  assumes A B Le C D ∨ B A Le C D ∨ A B Le D C ∨ B A Le D C
  shows A B Le C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Lt-cases:
  assumes A B Lt C D ∨ B A Lt C D ∨ A B Lt D C ∨ B A Lt D C
  shows A B Lt C D
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.5 Out lines

```

lemma bet-out:
  assumes B ≠ A and
    Bet A B C
  shows A Out B C
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bet-out-1:
  assumes B ≠ A and
    Bet C B A
  shows A Out B C
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma out-dec:
  shows P Out A B ∨ ¬ P Out A B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma out-diff1:
  assumes A Out B C
  shows B ≠ A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma out-diff2:
  assumes A Out B C
  shows C ≠ A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma out-distinct:
  assumes A Out B C
  shows B ≠ A ∧ C ≠ A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma out-col:
  assumes A Out B C
  shows Col A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma l6-2:
assumes $A \neq P$ **and**
 $B \neq P$ **and**
 $C \neq P$ **and**
 $\text{Bet } A \ P \ C$
shows $\text{Bet } B \ P \ C \longleftrightarrow P \text{ Out } A \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma bet-out--bet:
assumes $\text{Bet } A \ P \ C$ **and**
 $P \text{ Out } A \ B$
shows $\text{Bet } B \ P \ C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l6-3-1:
assumes $P \text{ Out } A \ B$
shows $A \neq P \wedge B \neq P \wedge (\exists C. (C \neq P \wedge \text{Bet } A \ P \ C \wedge \text{Bet } B \ P \ C))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l6-3-2:
assumes $A \neq P$ **and**
 $B \neq P$ **and**
 $\exists C. (C \neq P \wedge \text{Bet } A \ P \ C \wedge \text{Bet } B \ P \ C)$
shows $P \text{ Out } A \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l6-4-1:
assumes $P \text{ Out } A \ B$ **and**
 $\text{Col } A \ P \ B$
shows $\neg \text{Bet } A \ P \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l6-4-2:
assumes $\text{Col } A \ P \ B$
and $\neg \text{Bet } A \ P \ B$
shows $P \text{ Out } A \ B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma out-trivial:
assumes $A \neq P$
shows $P \text{ Out } A \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l6-6:
assumes $P \text{ Out } A \ B$
shows $P \text{ Out } B \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l6-7:
assumes $P \text{ Out } A \ B$ **and**
 $P \text{ Out } B \ C$
shows $P \text{ Out } A \ C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma bet-out-out-bet:
assumes $\text{Bet } A \ B \ C$ **and**
 $B \text{ Out } A \ A'$ **and**
 $B \text{ Out } C \ C'$
shows $\text{Bet } A' \ B \ C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma out2-bet-out:
assumes $B \text{ Out } A \ C$ **and**
 $B \text{ Out } X \ P$ **and**
 $\text{Bet } A \ X \ C$

shows $B \text{ Out } A P \wedge B \text{ Out } C P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l6-11-uniqueness:

assumes $A \text{ Out } X R$ **and**
 $Cong A X B C$ **and**
 $A \text{ Out } Y R$ **and**
 $Cong A Y B C$
shows $X = Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l6-11-existence:

assumes $R \neq A$ **and**
 $B \neq C$
shows $\exists X. (A \text{ Out } X R \wedge Cong A X B C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma segment-construction-3:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $X \neq Y$
shows $\exists C. (A \text{ Out } B C \wedge Cong A C X Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l6-13-1:

assumes $P \text{ Out } A B$ **and**
 $P A Le P B$
shows $Bet P A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l6-13-2:

assumes $P \text{ Out } A B$ **and**
 $Bet P A B$
shows $P A Le P B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l6-16-1:

assumes $P \neq Q$ **and**
 $Col S P Q$ **and**
 $Col X P Q$
shows $Col X P S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma col-transitivity-1:

assumes $P \neq Q$ **and**
 $Col P Q A$ **and**
 $Col P Q B$
shows $Col P A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma col-transitivity-2:

assumes $P \neq Q$ **and**
 $Col P Q A$ **and**
 $Col P Q B$
shows $Col Q A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l6-21:

assumes $\neg Col A B C$ **and**
 $C \neq D$ **and**
 $Col A B P$ **and**
 $Col A B Q$ **and**
 $Col C D P$ **and**
 $Col C D Q$
shows $P = Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma col2--eq:
assumes Col A X Y and
    Col B X Y and
     $\neg$  Col A X B
shows X = Y
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma not-col-exists:
assumes A  $\neq$  B
shows  $\exists$  C.  $\neg$  Col A B C
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma col3:
assumes X  $\neq$  Y and
    Col X Y A and
    Col X Y B and
    Col X Y C
shows Col A B C
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma colx:
assumes A  $\neq$  B and
    Col X Y A and
    Col X Y B and
    Col A B C
shows Col X Y C
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma out2--bet:
assumes A Out B C and
    C Out A B
shows Bet A B C
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bet2-le2-le1346:
assumes Bet A B C and
    Bet A' B' C' and
    A B Le A' B' and
    B C Le B' C'
shows A C Le A' C'
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bet2-le2-le2356-R1:
assumes Bet A A C and
    Bet A' B' C' and
    A A Le A' B' and
    A' C' Le A C
shows B' C' Le A C
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bet2-le2-le2356-R2:
assumes A  $\neq$  B and
    Bet A B C and
    Bet A' B' C' and
    A B Le A' B' and
    A' C' Le A C
shows B' C' Le B C
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma bet2-le2-le2356:
assumes Bet A B C and
    Bet A' B' C' and
    A B Le A' B' and
    A' C' Le A C
shows B' C' Le B C
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet2-le2-le12/5*:

assumes *Bet A B C and*
Bet A' B' C' and
B C Le B' C' and
A' C' Le A C
shows *A' B' Le A B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-preserves-bet*:

assumes *Bet B A' A0 and*
Cong B A' E D' and
Cong B A0 E D0 and
E Out D' D0
shows *Bet E D' D0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *out-cong-cong*:

assumes *B Out A A0 and*
E Out D D0 and
Cong B A E D and
Cong B A0 E D0
shows *Cong A A0 D D0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-out-bet*:

assumes *Col A B C and*
 $\neg B \text{ Out } A C$
shows *Bet A B C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *or-bet-out*:

shows *Bet A B C \vee B Out A C \vee $\neg Col A B C$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-bet-out*:

assumes *Col A B C and*
 $\neg Bet A B C$
shows *B Out A C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-bet-and-out*:

shows $\neg (Bet A B C \wedge B \text{ Out } A C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *out-to-bet*:

assumes *Col A' B' C' and*
B Out A C \longleftrightarrow B' Out A' C'
Bet A B C
shows *Bet A' B' C'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-out2-col*:

assumes *Col A B C and*
B Out A AA and
B Out C CC
shows *Col AA B CC* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet2-out-out*:

assumes *B \neq A and*
B' \neq A and
A Out C C' and
Bet A B C and
Bet A B' C'
shows *A Out B B'*

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma bet2--out:
assumes  $A \neq B$  and
 $A \neq B'$  and
 $\text{Bet } A B C$ 
and  $\text{Bet } A B' C$ 
shows  $A \text{ Out } B B'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma out-bet-out-1:
assumes  $P \text{ Out } A C$  and
 $\text{Bet } A B C$ 
shows  $P \text{ Out } A B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma out-bet-out-2:
assumes  $P \text{ Out } A C$  and
 $\text{Bet } A B C$ 
shows  $P \text{ Out } B C$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma out-bet--out:
assumes  $\text{Bet } P Q A$  and
 $Q \text{ Out } A B$ 
shows  $P \text{ Out } A B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma segment-reverse:
assumes  $\text{Bet } A B C$ 
shows  $\exists B'. \text{Bet } A B' C \wedge \text{Cong } C B' A B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma diff-col-ex:
shows  $\exists C. A \neq C \wedge B \neq C \wedge \text{Col } A B C$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma diff-bet-ex3:
assumes  $\text{Bet } A B C$ 
shows  $\exists D. A \neq D \wedge B \neq D \wedge C \neq D \wedge \text{Col } A B D$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma diff-col-ex3:
assumes  $\text{Col } A B C$ 
shows  $\exists D. A \neq D \wedge B \neq D \wedge C \neq D \wedge \text{Col } A B D$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Out-cases:
assumes  $A \text{ Out } B C \vee A \text{ Out } C B$ 
shows  $A \text{ Out } B C$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.6 Midpoint

```

lemma midpoint-dec:
 $I \text{ Midpoint } A B \vee \neg I \text{ Midpoint } A B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-midpoint-id:
assumes  $A \text{ Midpoint } A B$ 
shows  $A = B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-midpoint-id-2:
assumes  $A \text{ Midpoint } B A$ 
shows  $A = B$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l7-2:

assumes M Midpoint A B
shows M Midpoint B A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l7-3:

assumes M Midpoint A A
shows $M = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l7-3-2:

A Midpoint A A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma symmetric-point-construction:

$\exists P'. A$ Midpoint P P'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma symmetric-point-uniqueness:

assumes P Midpoint A $P1$ **and**
 P Midpoint A $P2$
shows $P1 = P2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l7-9:

assumes A Midpoint P X **and**
 A Midpoint Q X
shows $P = Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l7-9-bis:

assumes A Midpoint P X **and**
 A Midpoint X Q
shows $P = Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l7-13-R1:

assumes $A \neq P$ **and**
 A Midpoint P' P **and**
 A Midpoint Q' Q
shows Cong P Q P' Q'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l7-13:

assumes A Midpoint P' P **and**
 A Midpoint Q' Q
shows Cong P Q P' Q'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l7-15:

assumes A Midpoint P P' **and**
 A Midpoint Q Q' **and**
 A Midpoint R R' **and**
 Bet P Q R
shows Bet P' Q' R'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l7-16:

assumes A Midpoint P P' **and**
 A Midpoint Q Q' **and**
 A Midpoint R R' **and**
 A Midpoint S S' **and**
 Cong P Q R S
shows Cong P' Q' R' S'

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *symmetry-preserves-midpoint*:

assumes *Z Midpoint A D and*
Z Midpoint B E and
Z Midpoint C F and
B Midpoint A C
shows *E Midpoint D F*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mid-cases*:

assumes *A Midpoint B C \vee A Midpoint C B*
shows *A Midpoint B C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Mid-perm*:

assumes *A Midpoint B C*
shows *A Midpoint B C \wedge A Midpoint C B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-17*:

assumes *A Midpoint P P' and*
B Midpoint P' P
shows *A = B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-17-bis*:

assumes *A Midpoint P P' and*
B Midpoint P' P
shows *A = B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-20*:

assumes *Col A M B and*
Cong M A M B
shows *A = B \vee M Midpoint A B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-20-bis*:

assumes *A \neq B and*
Col A M B and
Cong M A M B
shows *M Midpoint A B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-col-mid*:

assumes *A \neq C and*
Col A B C and
Cong A B B C
shows *B Midpoint A C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-21-R1*:

assumes \neg *Col A B C and*
B \neq D and
Cong A B C D and
Cong B C D A and
Col A P C and
Col B P D
shows *P Midpoint A C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-21*:

assumes \neg *Col A B C and*
B \neq D and
Cong A B C D and

Cong $B C D A$ **and**
Col $A P C$ **and**
Col $B P D$
shows $P \text{ Midpoint } A C \wedge P \text{ Midpoint } B D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-22-aux-R1*:
assumes $Bet A1 C C$ **and**
Bet $B1 C B2$ **and**
Cong $C A1 C B1$ **and**
Cong $C C C B2$ **and**
M1 Midpoint $A1 B1$ **and**
M2 Midpoint $A2 B2$ **and**
C A1 Le C C
shows $Bet M1 C M2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-22-aux-R2*:
assumes $A2 \neq C$ **and**
Bet $A1 C A2$ **and**
Bet $B1 C B2$ **and**
Cong $C A1 C B1$ **and**
Cong $C A2 C B2$ **and**
M1 Midpoint $A1 B1$ **and**
M2 Midpoint $A2 B2$ **and**
C A1 Le C A2
shows $Bet M1 C M2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-22-aux*:
assumes $Bet A1 C A2$ **and**
Bet $B1 C B2$ **and**
Cong $C A1 C B1$ **and**
Cong $C A2 C B2$ **and**
M1 Midpoint $A1 B1$ **and**
M2 Midpoint $A2 B2$ **and**
C A1 Le C A2
shows $Bet M1 C M2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-22*:
assumes $Bet A1 C A2$ **and**
Bet $B1 C B2$ **and**
Cong $C A1 C B1$ **and**
Cong $C A2 C B2$ **and**
M1 Midpoint $A1 B1$ **and**
M2 Midpoint $A2 B2$
shows $Bet M1 C M2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet-col1*:
assumes $Bet A B D$ **and**
Bet $A C D$
shows $Col A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-25-R1*:
assumes $Cong C A C B$ **and**
Col $A B C$
shows $\exists X. X \text{ Midpoint } A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l7-25-R2*:
assumes $Cong C A C B$ **and**
 $\neg Col A B C$
shows $\exists X. X \text{ Midpoint } A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l7-25:

assumes Cong C A C B
shows $\exists X. X \text{ Midpoint } A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma midpoint-distinct-1:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
I Midpoint A B
shows $I \neq A \wedge I \neq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma midpoint-distinct-2:

assumes $I \neq A$ **and**
I Midpoint A B
shows $A \neq B \wedge I \neq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma midpoint-distinct-3:

assumes $I \neq B$ **and**
I Midpoint A B
shows $A \neq B \wedge I \neq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma midpoint-def:

assumes Bet A B C **and**
Cong A B B C
shows B Midpoint A C
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma midpoint-bet:

assumes B Midpoint A C
shows Bet A B C
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma midpoint-col:

assumes M Midpoint A B
shows Col M A B
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma midpoint-cong:

assumes B Midpoint A C
shows Cong A B B C
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma midpoint-out:

assumes $A \neq C$ **and**
B Midpoint A C
shows A Out B C
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma midpoint-out-1:

assumes $A \neq C$ **and**
B Midpoint A C
shows C Out A B
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma midpoint-not-midpoint:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
I Midpoint A B
shows $\neg B \text{ Midpoint } A I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma swap-diff:

assumes $A \neq B$

```

shows  $B \neq A$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cong-cong-half-1:
assumes  $M$  Midpoint  $A$   $B$  and
 $M'$  Midpoint  $A'$   $B'$  and
Cong  $A$   $B$   $A'$   $B'$ 
shows Cong  $A$   $M$   $A'$   $M'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cong-cong-half-2:
assumes  $M$  Midpoint  $A$   $B$  and
 $M'$  Midpoint  $A'$   $B'$  and
Cong  $A$   $B$   $A'$   $B'$ 
shows Cong  $B$   $M$   $B'$   $M'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cong-mid2--cong:
assumes  $M$  Midpoint  $A$   $B$  and
 $M'$  Midpoint  $A'$   $B'$  and
Cong  $A$   $M$   $A'$   $M'$ 
shows Cong  $A$   $B$   $A'$   $B'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mid--lt:
assumes  $A \neq B$  and
 $M$  Midpoint  $A$   $B$ 
shows  $A$   $M$  Lt  $A$   $B$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma le-mid2--le13:
assumes  $M$  Midpoint  $A$   $B$  and
 $M'$  Midpoint  $A'$   $B'$  and
 $A$   $M$  Le  $A'$   $M'$ 
shows  $A$   $B$  Le  $A'$   $B'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma le-mid2--le12:
assumes  $M$  Midpoint  $A$   $B$  and
 $M'$  Midpoint  $A'$   $B'$  and
 $A$   $B$  Le  $A'$   $B'$ 
shows  $A$   $M$  Le  $A'$   $M'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lt-mid2--lt13:
assumes  $M$  Midpoint  $A$   $B$  and
 $M'$  Midpoint  $A'$   $B'$  and
 $A$   $M$  Lt  $A'$   $M'$ 
shows  $A$   $B$  Lt  $A'$   $B'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lt-mid2--lt12:
assumes  $M$  Midpoint  $A$   $B$  and
 $M'$  Midpoint  $A'$   $B'$  and
 $A$   $B$  Lt  $A'$   $B'$ 
shows  $A$   $M$  Lt  $A'$   $M'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma midpoint-preserves-out:
assumes  $A$  Out  $B$   $C$  and
 $M$  Midpoint  $A$   $A'$  and
 $M$  Midpoint  $B$   $B'$  and
 $M$  Midpoint  $C$   $C'$ 
shows  $A'$  Out  $B'$   $C'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma col-cong-bet:
  assumes Col A B D and
    Cong A B C D and
    Bet A C B
  shows Bet C A D  $\vee$  Bet C B D
  {proof}

```

```

lemma col-cong2-bet1:
  assumes Col A B D and
    Bet A C B and
    Cong A B C D and
    Cong A C B D
  shows Bet C B D
  {proof}

```

```

lemma col-cong2-bet2:
  assumes Col A B D and
    Bet A C B and
    Cong A B C D and
    Cong A D B C
  shows Bet C A D
  {proof}

```

```

lemma col-cong2-bet3:
  assumes Col A B D and
    Bet A B C and
    Cong A B C D and
    Cong A C B D
  shows Bet B C D
  {proof}

```

```

lemma col-cong2-bet4:
  assumes Col A B C and
    Bet A B D and
    Cong A B C D and
    Cong A D B C
  shows Bet B D C
  {proof}

```

```

lemma col-bet2-cong1:
  assumes Col A B D and
    Bet A C B and
    Cong A B C D and
    Bet C B D
  shows Cong A C D B
  {proof}

```

```

lemma col-bet2-cong2:
  assumes Col A B D and
    Bet A C B and
    Cong A B C D and
    Bet C A D
  shows Cong D A B C
  {proof}

```

```

lemma bet2-lt2--lt:
  assumes Bet a Po b and
    Bet A PO B and
    Po a Lt PO A and
    Po b Lt PO B
  shows a b Lt A B
  {proof}

```

```

lemma bet2-lt-le--lt:
  assumes Bet a Po b and
    Bet A PO B and

```

Cong Po a PO A and
Po b Lt PO B
shows a b Lt A B
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.7 Orthogonality

lemma per-dec:
 $Per A B C \vee \neg Per A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l8-2:
assumes $Per A B C$
shows $Per C B A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Per-cases:
assumes $Per A B C \vee Per C B A$
shows $Per A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Per-perm :
assumes $Per A B C$
shows $Per A B C \wedge Per C B A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l8-3 :
assumes $Per A B C$ **and**
 $A \neq B$ **and**
 $Col B A A'$
shows $Per A' B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l8-4:
assumes $Per A B C$ **and**
 $B \text{ Midpoint } C C'$
shows $Per A B C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l8-5:
 $Per A B B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l8-6:
assumes $Per A B C$ **and**
 $Per A' B C$ **and**
 $Bet A C A'$
shows $B = C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l8-7:
assumes $Per A B C$ **and**
 $Per A C B$
shows $B = C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l8-8:
assumes $Per A B A$
shows $A = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma per-distinct:
assumes $Per A B C$ **and**
 $A \neq B$
shows $A \neq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-distinct-1*:
assumes *Per A B C* **and**
 $B \neq C$
shows $A \neq C$
(proof)

lemma *l8-9*:
assumes *Per A B C* **and**
 $Col A B C$
shows $A = B \vee C = B$
(proof)

lemma *l8-10*:
assumes *Per A B C* **and**
 $A B C Cong3 A' B' C'$
shows *Per A' B' C'*
(proof)

lemma *col-col-per-per*:
assumes $A \neq X$ **and**
 $C \neq X$ **and**
 $Col U A X$ **and**
 $Col V C X$ **and**
 $Per A X C$
shows *Per U X V*
(proof)

lemma *perp-in-dec*:
 $X PerpAt A B C D \vee \neg X PerpAt A B C D$
(proof)

lemma *perp-distinct*:
assumes $A B Perp C D$
shows $A \neq B \wedge C \neq D$
(proof)

lemma *l8-12*:
assumes $X PerpAt A B C D$
shows $X PerpAt C D A B$
(proof)

lemma *per-col*:
assumes $B \neq C$ **and**
 $Per A B C$ **and**
 $Col B C D$
shows *Per A B D*
(proof)

lemma *l8-13-2*:
assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $C \neq D$ **and**
 $Col X A B$ **and**
 $Col X C D$ **and**
 $\exists U. \exists V. Col U A B \wedge Col V C D \wedge U \neq X \wedge V \neq X \wedge Per U X V$
shows *X PerpAt A B C D*
(proof)

lemma *l8-14-1*:
 $\neg A B Perp A B$
(proof)

lemma *l8-14-2-1a*:
assumes *X PerpAt A B C D*
shows *A B Perp C D*
(proof)

lemma *perp-in-distinct*:
 assumes $X \text{ PerpAt } A B C D$
 shows $A \neq B \wedge C \neq D$
 (proof)

lemma *l8-14-2-1b*:
 assumes $X \text{ PerpAt } A B C D$ **and**
 $\text{Col } Y A B$ **and**
 $\text{Col } Y C D$
 shows $X = Y$
 (proof)

lemma *l8-14-2-1b-bis*:
 assumes $A B \text{ Perp } C D$ **and**
 $\text{Col } X A B$ **and**
 $\text{Col } X C D$
 shows $X \text{ PerpAt } A B C D$
 (proof)

lemma *l8-14-2-2*:
 assumes $A B \text{ Perp } C D$ **and**
 $\forall Y. (\text{Col } Y A B \wedge \text{Col } Y C D) \longrightarrow X = Y$
 shows $X \text{ PerpAt } A B C D$
 (proof)

lemma *l8-14-3*:
 assumes $X \text{ PerpAt } A B C D$ **and**
 $Y \text{ PerpAt } A B C D$
 shows $X = Y$
 (proof)

lemma *l8-15-1*:
 assumes $\text{Col } A B X$ **and**
 $A B \text{ Perp } C X$
 shows $X \text{ PerpAt } A B C X$
 (proof)

lemma *l8-15-2*:
 assumes $\text{Col } A B X$ **and**
 $X \text{ PerpAt } A B C X$
 shows $A B \text{ Perp } C X$
 (proof)

lemma *perp-in-per*:
 assumes $B \text{ PerpAt } A B B C$
 shows $\text{Per } A B C$
 (proof)

lemma *perp-sym*:
 assumes $A B \text{ Perp } A B$
 shows $C D \text{ Perp } C D$
 (proof)

lemma *perp-col0*:
 assumes $A B \text{ Perp } C D$ **and**
 $X \neq Y$ **and**
 $\text{Col } A B X$ **and**
 $\text{Col } A B Y$
 shows $C D \text{ Perp } X Y$
 (proof)

lemma *per-perp-in*:
 assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $B \neq C$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A B C$

```

shows B PerpAt A B B C
⟨proof⟩

lemma per-perp:
assumes A ≠ B and
B ≠ C and
Per A B C
shows A B Perp B C
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-left-comm:
assumes A B Perp C D
shows B A Perp C D
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-right-comm:
assumes A B Perp C D
shows A B Perp D C
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-comm:
assumes A B Perp C D
shows B A Perp D C
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-in-sym:
assumes X PerpAt A B C D
shows X PerpAt C D A B
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-in-left-comm:
assumes X PerpAt A B C D
shows X PerpAt B A C D
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-in-right-comm:
assumes X PerpAt A B C D
shows X PerpAt A B D C
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-in-comm:
assumes X PerpAt A B C D
shows X PerpAt B A D C
⟨proof⟩

lemma Perp-cases:
assumes A B Perp C D ∨ B A Perp C D ∨ A B Perp D C ∨ B A Perp D C ∨ C D Perp A B ∨ C D Perp B A ∨
D C Perp A B ∨ D C Perp B A
shows A B Perp C D
⟨proof⟩

lemma Perp-perm :
assumes A B Perp C D
shows A B Perp C D ∧ B A Perp C D ∧ A B Perp D C ∧ B A Perp D C ∧ C D Perp A B ∧ C D Perp B A ∧ D C
Perp A B ∧ D C Perp B A
⟨proof⟩

lemma Perp-in-cases:
assumes X PerpAt A B C D ∨ X PerpAt B A C D ∨ X PerpAt A B D C ∨ X PerpAt B A D C ∨ X PerpAt C D A
B ∨ X PerpAt C D B A ∨ X PerpAt D C A B ∨ X PerpAt D C B A
shows X PerpAt A B C D
⟨proof⟩

lemma Perp-in-perm:
assumes X PerpAt A B C D
shows X PerpAt A B C D ∧ X PerpAt B A C D ∧ X PerpAt A B D C ∧ X PerpAt B A D C ∧ X PerpAt C D A B

```

```
 $\wedge X \text{PerpAt } C D B A \wedge X \text{PerpAt } D C A B \wedge X \text{PerpAt } D C B A$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma perp-in-col:
assumes  $X \text{PerpAt } A B C D$ 
shows  $\text{Col } A B X \wedge \text{Col } C D X$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma perp-perp-in:
assumes  $A B \text{Perp } C A$ 
shows  $A \text{PerpAt } A B C A$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma perp-per-1:
assumes  $A B \text{Perp } C A$ 
shows  $\text{Per } B A C$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma perp-per-2:
assumes  $A B \text{Perp } A C$ 
shows  $\text{Per } B A C$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma perp-col:
assumes  $A \neq E \text{ and}$ 
 $A B \text{Perp } C D \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{Col } A B E$ 
shows  $A E \text{Perp } C D$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma perp-col2:
assumes  $A B \text{Perp } X Y \text{ and}$ 
 $C \neq D \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{Col } A B C \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{Col } A B D$ 
shows  $C D \text{Perp } X Y$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma perp-col4:
assumes  $P \neq Q \text{ and}$ 
 $R \neq S \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{Col } A B P \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{Col } A B Q \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{Col } C D R \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{Col } C D S \text{ and}$ 
 $A B \text{Perp } C D$ 
shows  $P Q \text{Perp } R S$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma perp-not-eq-1:
assumes  $A B \text{Perp } C D$ 
shows  $A \neq B$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma perp-not-eq-2:
assumes  $A B \text{Perp } C D$ 
shows  $C \neq D$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma diff-per-diff:
assumes  $A \neq B \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{Cong } A P B R \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{Per } B A P$ 
 $\text{and } \text{Per } A B R$ 
shows  $P \neq R$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma per-not-colp:
  assumes A ≠ B and
    A ≠ P and
    B ≠ R and
    Per B A P
    and Per A B R
  shows ¬ Col P A R
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma per-not-col:
  assumes A ≠ B and
    B ≠ C and
    Per A B C
  shows ¬ Col A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-not-col2:
  assumes A B Perp C D
  shows ¬ Col A B C ∨ ¬ Col A B D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-not-col:
  assumes A B Perp P A
  shows ¬ Col A B P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-in-col-perp-in:
  assumes C ≠ E and
    Col C D E and
    P PerpAt A B C D
  shows P PerpAt A B C E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-col2-bis:
  assumes A B Perp C D and
    Col C D P and
    Col C D Q and
    P ≠ Q
  shows A B Perp P Q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-in-perp-bis-R1:
  assumes X ≠ A and
    X PerpAt A B C D
  shows X B Perp C D ∨ A X Perp C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-in-perp-bis:
  assumes X PerpAt A B C D
  shows X B Perp C D ∨ A X Perp C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma col-per-perp:
  assumes A ≠ B and
    B ≠ C and
    D ≠ C and
    Col B C D and
    Per A B C
  shows C D Perp A B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma per-cong-mid-R1:
  assumes B = H and

```

```

Bet A B C and
Cong A H C H and
Per H B C
shows B Midpoint A C
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma per-cong-mid-R2:
assumes
  B ≠ C and
  Bet A B C and
  Cong A H C H and
  Per H B C
shows B Midpoint A C
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma per-cong-mid:
assumes B ≠ C and
  Bet A B C and
  Cong A H C H and
  Per H B C
shows B Midpoint A C
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma per-double-cong:
assumes Per A B C and
  B Midpoint C C'
shows Cong A C A C'
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cong-perp-or-mid-R1:
assumes Col A B X and
  A ≠ B and
  M Midpoint A B and
  Cong A X B X
shows X = M ∨ ¬ Col A B X ∧ M PerpAt X M A B
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cong-perp-or-mid-R2:
assumes ¬ Col A B X and
  A ≠ B and
  M Midpoint A B and
  Cong A X B X
shows X = M ∨ ¬ Col A B X ∧ M PerpAt X M A B
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cong-perp-or-mid:
assumes A ≠ B and
  M Midpoint A B and
  Cong A X B X
shows X = M ∨ ¬ Col A B X ∧ M PerpAt X M A B
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma col-per2-cases:
assumes B ≠ C and
  B' ≠ C and
  C ≠ D and
  Col B C D and
  Per A B C and
  Per A B' C
shows B = B' ∨ ¬ Col B' C D
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma l8-16-1:
assumes Col A B X and
  Col A B U and
  A B Perp C X

```

shows $\neg \text{Col } A B C \wedge \text{Per } C X U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l8-16-2:
assumes $\text{Col } A B X$ and
 $\text{Col } A B U$
and $U \neq X$ and
 $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ and
 $\text{Per } C X U$
shows $A B \text{ Perp } C X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l8-18-uniqueness:
assumes
 $\text{Col } A B X$ and
 $A B \text{ Perp } C X$ and
 $\text{Col } A B Y$ and
 $A B \text{ Perp } C Y$
shows $X = Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma midpoint-distinct:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ and
 $\text{Col } A B X$ and
 $X \text{ Midpoint } C C'$
shows $C \neq C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l8-20-1-R1:
assumes $A = B$
shows $\text{Per } B A P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l8-20-1-R2:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $\text{Per } A B C$ and
 $P \text{ Midpoint } C' D$ and
 $A \text{ Midpoint } C' C$ and
 $B \text{ Midpoint } D C$
shows $\text{Per } B A P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l8-20-1:
assumes $\text{Per } A B C$ and
 $P \text{ Midpoint } C' D$ and
 $A \text{ Midpoint } C' C$ and
 $B \text{ Midpoint } D C$
shows $\text{Per } B A P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l8-20-2:
assumes $P \text{ Midpoint } C' D$ and
 $A \text{ Midpoint } C' C$ and
 $B \text{ Midpoint } D C$ and
 $B \neq C$
shows $A \neq P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma perp-col1:
assumes $C \neq X$ and
 $A B \text{ Perp } C D$ and
 $\text{Col } C D X$
shows $A B \text{ Perp } C X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l8-18-existence:

assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$
shows $\exists X. \text{Col } A B X \wedge A B \text{Perp } C X$
(proof)

lemma l8-21-aux:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$
shows $\exists P. \exists T. (A B \text{Perp } P A \wedge \text{Col } A B T \wedge \text{Bet } C T P)$
(proof)

lemma l8-21:
assumes $A \neq B$
shows $\exists P T. A B \text{Perp } P A \wedge \text{Col } A B T \wedge \text{Bet } C T P$
(proof)

lemma per-cong:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $A \neq P$ and
 $\text{Per } B A P$ and
 $\text{Per } A B R$ and
 $\text{Cong } A P B R$ and
 $\text{Col } A B X$ and
 $\text{Bet } P X R$
shows $\text{Cong } A R P B$
(proof)

lemma perp-cong:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $A \neq P$ and
 $A B \text{Perp } P A$ and
 $A B \text{Perp } R B$ and
 $\text{Cong } A P B R$ and
 $\text{Col } A B X$ and
 $\text{Bet } P X R$
shows $\text{Cong } A R P B$
(proof)

lemma perp-exists:
assumes $A \neq B$
shows $\exists X. \text{PO } X \text{Perp } A B$
(proof)

lemma perp-vector:
assumes $A \neq B$
shows $\exists X Y. A B \text{Perp } X Y$
(proof)

lemma midpoint-existence-aux:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $A B \text{Perp } Q B$ and
 $A B \text{Perp } P A$ and
 $\text{Col } A B T$ and
 $\text{Bet } Q T P$ and
 $A P \text{Le } B Q$
shows $\exists X. X \text{Midpoint } A B$
(proof)

lemma midpoint-existence:
 $\exists X. X \text{Midpoint } A B$
(proof)

lemma perp-in-id:
assumes $X \text{PerpAt } A B C A$
shows $X = A$
(proof)

lemma l8-22:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $A \neq P$ **and**
 $Per B A P$ **and**
 $Per A B R$ **and**
 $Cong A P B R$ **and**
 $Col A B X$ **and**
 $Bet P X R$ **and**
 $Cong A R P B$
shows $X \text{ Midpoint } A B \wedge X \text{ Midpoint } P R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l8-22-bis*:
assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $A \neq P$ **and**
 $A B Perp P A$ **and**
 $A B Perp R B$ **and**
 $Cong A P B R$ **and**
 $Col A B X$ **and**
 $Bet P X R$
shows $Cong A R P B \wedge X \text{ Midpoint } A B \wedge X \text{ Midpoint } P R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *perp-in-perp*:
assumes $X \text{ PerpAt } A B C D$
shows $A B Perp C D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *perp-proj*:
assumes $A B Perp C D$ **and**
 $\neg Col A C D$
shows $\exists X. Col A B X \wedge A X Perp C D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l8-24* :
assumes $P A Perp A B$ **and**
 $Q B Perp A B$ **and**
 $Col A B T$ **and**
 $Bet P T Q$ **and**
 $Bet B R Q$ **and**
 $Cong A P B R$
shows $\exists X. X \text{ Midpoint } A B \wedge X \text{ Midpoint } P R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-per2-per*:
assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $Col A B C$ **and**
 $Per A X P$ **and**
 $Per B X P$
shows $Per C X P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *perp-in-per-1*:
assumes $X \text{ PerpAt } A B C D$
shows $Per A X C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *perp-in-per-2*:
assumes $X \text{ PerpAt } A B C D$
shows $Per A X D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *perp-in-per-3*:
assumes $X \text{ PerpAt } A B C D$
shows $Per B X C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma perp-in-per-4:
  assumes X PerpAt A B C D
  shows Per B X D
  (proof)

```

3.8 Planes

3.8.1 Coplanar

```

lemma coplanar-perm-1:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar A B D C
  (proof)

```

```

lemma coplanar-perm-2:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar A C B D
  (proof)

```

```

lemma coplanar-perm-3:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar A C D B
  (proof)

```

```

lemma coplanar-perm-4:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar A D B C
  (proof)

```

```

lemma coplanar-perm-5:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar A D C B
  (proof)

```

```

lemma coplanar-perm-6:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar B A C D
  (proof)

```

```

lemma coplanar-perm-7:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar B A D C
  (proof)

```

```

lemma coplanar-perm-8:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar B C A D
  (proof)

```

```

lemma coplanar-perm-9:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar B C D A
  (proof)

```

```

lemma coplanar-perm-10:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar B D A C
  (proof)

```

```

lemma coplanar-perm-11:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar B D C A
  (proof)

```

```

lemma coplanar-perm-12:
  assumes Coplanar A B C D
  shows Coplanar C A B D
  (proof)

```

(proof)

lemma *coplanar-perm-13*:

assumes *Coplanar A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar C A D B*
(proof)

lemma *coplanar-perm-14*:

assumes *Coplanar A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar C B A D*
(proof)

lemma *coplanar-perm-15*:

assumes *Coplanar A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar C B D A*
(proof)

lemma *coplanar-perm-16*:

assumes *Coplanar A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar C D A B*
(proof)

lemma *coplanar-perm-17*:

assumes *Coplanar A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar C D B A*
(proof)

lemma *coplanar-perm-18*:

assumes *Coplanar A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar D A B C*
(proof)

lemma *coplanar-perm-19*:

assumes *Coplanar A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar D A C B*
(proof)

lemma *coplanar-perm-20*:

assumes *Coplanar A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar D B A C*
(proof)

lemma *coplanar-perm-21*:

assumes *Coplanar A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar D B C A*
(proof)

lemma *coplanar-perm-22*:

assumes *Coplanar A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar D C A B*
(proof)

lemma *coplanar-perm-23*:

assumes *Coplanar A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar D C B A*
(proof)

lemma *ncoplanar-perm-1*:

assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B D C$
(proof)

lemma *ncoplanar-perm-2*:

assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A C B D$
(proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-3:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A C D B$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-4:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A D B C$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-5:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A D C B$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-6:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } B A C D$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-7:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } B A D C$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-8:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } B C A D$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-9:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } B C D A$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-10:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } B D A C$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-11:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } B D C A$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-12:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } C A B D$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-13:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } C A D B$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-14:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } C B A D$
 (proof)

lemma ncoplanar-perm-15:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } C B D A$
 (proof)

```
lemma ncoplanar-perm-16:  
  assumes  $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  shows  $\neg \text{Coplanar } C D A B$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma ncoplanar-perm-17:  
  assumes  $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  shows  $\neg \text{Coplanar } C D B A$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma ncoplanar-perm-18:  
  assumes  $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  shows  $\neg \text{Coplanar } D A B C$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma ncoplanar-perm-19:  
  assumes  $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  shows  $\neg \text{Coplanar } D A C B$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma ncoplanar-perm-20:  
  assumes  $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  shows  $\neg \text{Coplanar } D B A C$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma ncoplanar-perm-21:  
  assumes  $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  shows  $\neg \text{Coplanar } D B C A$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma ncoplanar-perm-22:  
  assumes  $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  shows  $\neg \text{Coplanar } D C A B$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma ncoplanar-perm-23:  
  assumes  $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  shows  $\neg \text{Coplanar } D C B A$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma coplanar-trivial:  
  shows  $\text{Coplanar } A A B C$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma col--coplanar:  
  assumes  $\text{Col } A B C$   
  shows  $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma ncop--ncol:  
  assumes  $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  shows  $\neg \text{Col } A B C$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma ncop--ncols:  
  assumes  $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  shows  $\neg \text{Col } A B C \wedge \neg \text{Col } A B D \wedge \neg \text{Col } A C D \wedge \neg \text{Col } B C D$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma bet--coplanar:  
  assumes  $\text{Bet } A B C$   
  shows  $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$   
  {proof}
```

```
lemma out--coplanar:  
  assumes  $A \text{ Out } B C$ 
```

```
shows Coplanar A B C D  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma midpoint--coplanar:  
  assumes A Midpoint B C  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma perp--coplanar:  
  assumes A B Perp C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ts--coplanar:  
  assumes A B TS C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma reflectl--coplanar:  
  assumes A B ReflectL C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma reflect--coplanar:  
  assumes A B Reflect C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma inangle--coplanar:  
  assumes A InAngle B C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma pars--coplanar:  
  assumes A B ParStrict C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma par--coplanar:  
  assumes A B Par C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma plg--coplanar:  
  assumes Plg A B C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma plgs--coplanar:  
  assumes ParallelogramStrict A B C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma plgf--coplanar:  
  assumes ParallelogramFlat A B C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma parallelogram--coplanar:  
  assumes Parallelogram A B C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma rhombus--coplanar:  
  assumes Rhombus A B C D  
  shows Coplanar A B C D
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rectangle--coplanar*:
 assumes *Rectangle A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar A B C D*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *square--coplanar*:
 assumes *Square A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar A B C D*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lambert--coplanar*:
 assumes *Lambert A B C D*
 shows *Coplanar A B C D*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.8.2 Planes

lemma *ts-distincts*:
 assumes *A B TS P Q*
 shows *A ≠ B ∧ A ≠ P ∧ A ≠ Q ∧ B ≠ P ∧ B ≠ Q ∧ P ≠ Q*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l9-2*:
 assumes *A B TS P Q*
 shows *A B TS Q P*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *invert-two-sides*:
 assumes *A B TS P Q*
 shows *B A TS P Q*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l9-3*:
 assumes *P Q TS A C and
Col M P Q and
M Midpoint A C and
Col R P Q and
R Out A B*
 shows *P Q TS B C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mid-preserves-col*:
 assumes *Col A B C and
M Midpoint A A' and
M Midpoint B B' and
M Midpoint C C'*
 shows *Col A' B' C'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *per-mid-per*:
 assumes
 *Per X A B and
M Midpoint A B and
M Midpoint X Y*
 shows *Cong A X B Y ∧ Per Y B A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sym-preserve-diff*:
 assumes *A ≠ B and
M Midpoint A A' and
M Midpoint B B'*
 shows *A' ≠ B'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-4-1-aux-R1:
assumes $R = S$ **and**
 $S C \text{Le} R A$ **and**
 $P Q \text{TS} A C$ **and**
 $\text{Col} R P Q$ **and**
 $P Q \text{Perp} A R$ **and**
 $\text{Col} S P Q$ **and**
 $P Q \text{Perp} C S$ **and**
 $M \text{Midpoint} R S$
shows $\forall U C'. M \text{Midpoint} U C' \longrightarrow (R \text{Out} U A \longleftrightarrow S \text{Out} C C')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-4-1-aux-R21:
assumes $R \neq S$ **and**
 $S C \text{Le} R A$ **and**
 $P Q \text{TS} A C$ **and**
 $\text{Col} R P Q$ **and**
 $P Q \text{Perp} A R$ **and**
 $\text{Col} S P Q$ **and**
 $P Q \text{Perp} C S$ **and**
 $M \text{Midpoint} R S$
shows $\forall U C'. M \text{Midpoint} U C' \longrightarrow (R \text{Out} U A \longleftrightarrow S \text{Out} C C')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-4-1-aux:
assumes $S C \text{Le} R A$ **and**
 $P Q \text{TS} A C$ **and**
 $\text{Col} R P Q$ **and**
 $P Q \text{Perp} A R$ **and**
 $\text{Col} S P Q$ **and**
 $P Q \text{Perp} C S$ **and**
 $M \text{Midpoint} R S$
shows $\forall U C'. (M \text{Midpoint} U C' \longrightarrow (R \text{Out} U A \longleftrightarrow S \text{Out} C C'))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma per-col-eq:
assumes $\text{Per} A B C$ **and**
 $\text{Col} A B C$ **and**
 $B \neq C$
shows $A = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-4-1:
assumes $P Q \text{TS} A C$ **and**
 $\text{Col} R P Q$ **and**
 $P Q \text{Perp} A R$ **and**
 $\text{Col} S P Q$ **and**
 $P Q \text{Perp} C S$ **and**
 $M \text{Midpoint} R S$
shows $\forall U C'. M \text{Midpoint} U C' \longrightarrow (R \text{Out} U A \longleftrightarrow S \text{Out} C C')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mid-two-sides:
assumes $M \text{Midpoint} A B$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col} A B X$ **and**
 $M \text{Midpoint} X Y$
shows $A B \text{TS} X Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma col-preserves-two-sides:
assumes $C \neq D$ **and**
 $\text{Col} A B C$ **and**
 $\text{Col} A B D$ **and**
 $A B \text{TS} X Y$
shows $C D \text{TS} X Y$

(proof)

lemma *out-out-two-sides*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $A B TS X Y$ **and**
 $Col I A B$ **and**
 $Col I X Y$ **and**
 $I Out X U$ **and**
 $I Out Y V$

shows $A B TS U V$

(proof)

lemma *l9-4-2-aux-R1*:

assumes $R = S$ **and**
 $S C Le R A$ **and**
 $P Q TS A C$ **and**
 $Col R P Q$ **and**
 $P Q Perp A R$ **and**
 $Col S P Q$ **and**
 $P Q Perp C S$ **and**
 $R Out U A$ **and**
 $S Out V C$

shows $P Q TS U V$

(proof)

lemma *l9-4-2-aux-R2*:

assumes $R \neq S$ **and**
 $S C Le R A$ **and**
 $P Q TS A C$ **and**
 $Col R P Q$ **and**
 $P Q Perp A R$ **and**
 $Col S P Q$ **and**
 $P Q Perp C S$ **and**
 $R Out U A$ **and**
 $S Out V C$

shows $P Q TS U V$

(proof)

lemma *l9-4-2-aux*:

assumes $S C Le R A$ **and**
 $P Q TS A C$ **and**
 $Col R P Q$ **and**
 $P Q Perp A R$ **and**
 $Col S P Q$ **and**
 $P Q Perp C S$ **and**
 $R Out U A$ **and**
 $S Out V C$

shows $P Q TS U V$

(proof)

lemma *l9-4-2*:

assumes $P Q TS A C$ **and**
 $Col R P Q$ **and**
 $P Q Perp A R$ **and**
 $Col S P Q$ **and**
 $P Q Perp C S$ **and**
 $R Out U A$ **and**
 $S Out V C$

shows $P Q TS U V$

(proof)

lemma *l9-5*:

assumes $P Q TS A C$ **and**
 $Col R P Q$ **and**
 $R Out A B$
shows $P Q TS B C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma outer-pasch-R1:

assumes $Col P Q C$ and
 $Bet A C P$ and
 $Bet B Q C$
shows $\exists X. Bet A X B \wedge Bet P Q X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma outer-pasch-R2:

assumes $\neg Col P Q C$ and
 $Bet A C P$ and
 $Bet B Q C$
shows $\exists X. Bet A X B \wedge Bet P Q X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma outer-pasch:

assumes $Bet A C P$ and
 $Bet B Q C$
shows $\exists X. Bet A X B \wedge Bet P Q X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma os-distincts:

assumes $A B OS X Y$
shows $A \neq B \wedge A \neq X \wedge A \neq Y \wedge B \neq X \wedge B \neq Y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma invert-one-side:

assumes $A B OS P Q$
shows $B A OS P Q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-8-1:

assumes $P Q TS A C$ and
 $P Q TS B C$
shows $P Q OS A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-two-sides-id:

shows $\neg P Q TS A A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-8-2:

assumes $P Q TS A C$ and
 $P Q OS A B$
shows $P Q TS B C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-9:

assumes $P Q TS A B$
shows $\neg P Q OS A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-9-bis:

assumes $P Q OS A B$
shows $\neg P Q TS A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma one-side-chara:

assumes $P Q OS A B$
shows $\forall X. Col X P Q \longrightarrow \neg Bet A X B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-10:

assumes $\neg Col A P Q$
shows $\exists C. P Q TS A C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma one-side-reflexivity:

assumes $\neg Col A P Q$
shows $P Q OS A A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma one-side-symmetry:

assumes $P Q OS A B$
shows $P Q OS B A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma one-side-transitivity:

assumes $P Q OS A B$ and
 $P Q OS B C$
shows $P Q OS A C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-17:

assumes $P Q OS A C$ and
 $Bet A B C$
shows $P Q OS A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-18-R1:

assumes $Col X Y P$ and
 $Col A B P$
 and $X Y TS A B$
shows $Bet A P B \wedge \neg Col X Y A \wedge \neg Col X Y B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-18-R2:

assumes $Col X Y P$ and
 $Col A B P$ and
 $Bet A P B$ and
 $\neg Col X Y A$ and
 $\neg Col X Y B$
shows $X Y TS A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-18:

assumes $Col X Y P$ and
 $Col A B P$
shows $X Y TS A B \longleftrightarrow (Bet A P B \wedge \neg Col X Y A \wedge \neg Col X Y B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-19-R1:

assumes $Col X Y P$ and
 $Col A B P$ and
 $X Y OS A B$
shows $P Out A B \wedge \neg Col X Y A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-19-R2:

assumes $Col X Y P$ and
 $P Out A B$ and
 $\neg Col X Y A$
shows $X Y OS A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l9-19:

assumes $Col X Y P$ and
 $Col A B P$
shows $X Y OS A B \longleftrightarrow (P Out A B \wedge \neg Col X Y A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma one-side-not-col123:  
  assumes A B OS X Y  
  shows  $\neg \text{Col } A B X$   
  (proof)
```

```
lemma one-side-not-col124:  
  assumes A B OS X Y  
  shows  $\neg \text{Col } A B Y$   
  (proof)
```

```
lemma col-two-sides:  
  assumes Col A B C and  
    A  $\neq$  C and  
    A B TS P Q  
  shows A C TS P Q  
  (proof)
```

```
lemma col-one-side:  
  assumes Col A B C and  
    A  $\neq$  C and  
    A B OS P Q  
  shows A C OS P Q  
  (proof)
```

```
lemma out-out-one-side:  
  assumes A B OS X Y and  
    A Out Y Z  
  shows A B OS X Z  
  (proof)
```

```
lemma out-one-side:  
  assumes  $\neg \text{Col } A B X \vee \neg \text{Col } A B Y$  and  
    A Out X Y  
  shows A B OS X Y  
  (proof)
```

```
lemma bet-ts:  
  assumes A  $\neq$  Y and  
     $\neg \text{Col } A B X$  and  
    Bet X A Y  
  shows A B TS X Y  
  (proof)
```

```
lemma bet-ts--ts:  
  assumes A B TS X Y and  
    Bet X Y Z  
  shows A B TS X Z  
  (proof)
```

```
lemma bet-ts--os:  
  assumes A B TS X Y and  
    Bet X Y Z  
  shows A B OS Y Z  
  (proof)
```

```
lemma l9-31 :  
  assumes A X OS Y Z and  
    A Z OS Y X  
  shows A Y TS X Z  
  (proof)
```

```
lemma col123--nos:  
  assumes Col P Q A  
  shows  $\neg P Q OS A B$ 
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col124--nos*:
 assumes *Col P Q B*
 shows $\neg P Q OS A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col2-os--os*:
 assumes $C \neq D$ **and**
 Col A B C and
 Col A B D and
 A B OS X Y
 shows *C D OS X Y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *os-out-os*:
 assumes *Col A B P and*
 A B OS C D and
 P Out C C'
 shows *A B OS C' D*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ts-ts-os*:
 assumes *A B TS C D and*
 C D TS A B
 shows *A C OS B D*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-one-side-out*:
 assumes *Col A X Y and*
 A B OS X Y
 shows *A Out X Y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-two-sides-bet*:
 assumes *Col A X Y and*
 A B TS X Y
 shows *Bet X A Y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *os-ts1324--os*:
 assumes *A X OS Y Z and*
 A Y TS X Z
 shows *A Z OS X Y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ts2--ex-bet2*:
 assumes *A C TS B D and*
 B D TS A C
 shows $\exists X. \text{Bet } A X C \wedge \text{Bet } B X D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *out-one-side-1*:
 assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ **and**
 Col A B X and
 X Out C D
 shows *A B OS C D*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *out-two-sides-two-sides*:
 assumes
 Col A B PX and
 PX Out X P and
 A B TS P Y
 shows *A B TS X Y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l8-21-bis:

assumes $X \neq Y$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } C A B$
 shows $\exists P. \text{Cong } A P X Y \wedge A B \text{ Perp } P A \wedge A B \text{ TS } C P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ts--ncol:

assumes $A B \text{ TS } X Y$
 shows $\neg \text{Col } A X Y \vee \neg \text{Col } B X Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma one-or-two-sides-aux:

assumes $\neg \text{Col } C A B$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } D A B$ **and**
 $\text{Col } A C X$
 and $\text{Col } B D X$
 shows $A B \text{ TS } C D \vee A B \text{ OS } C D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cop--one-or-two-sides:

assumes $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } C A B$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } D A B$
 shows $A B \text{ TS } C D \vee A B \text{ OS } C D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma os--coplanar:

assumes $A B \text{ OS } C D$
 shows $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma coplanar-trans-1:

assumes $\neg \text{Col } P Q R$ **and**
 $\text{Coplanar } P Q R A$ **and**
 $\text{Coplanar } P Q R B$
 shows $\text{Coplanar } Q R A B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma col-cop--cop:

assumes $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$ **and**
 $C \neq D$ **and**
 $\text{Col } C D E$
 shows $\text{Coplanar } A B C E$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma bet-cop--cop:

assumes $\text{Coplanar } A B C E$ **and**
 $\text{Bet } C D E$
 shows $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma col2-cop--cop:

assumes $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$ **and**
 $C \neq D$ **and**
 $\text{Col } C D E$ **and**
 $\text{Col } C D F$
 shows $\text{Coplanar } A B E F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma col-cop2--cop:

assumes $U \neq V$ **and**
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C U$ **and**
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C V$ **and**
 $\text{Col } U V P$
 shows $\text{Coplanar } A B C P$

(proof)

lemma *bet-cop2--cop*:
 assumes *Coplanar A B C U* **and**
 Coplanar A B C W **and**
 Bet U V W
 shows *Coplanar A B C V*
(proof)

lemma *coplanar-pseudo-trans*:
 assumes $\neg \text{Col } P Q R$ **and**
 Coplanar P Q R A **and**
 Coplanar P Q R B **and**
 Coplanar P Q R C **and**
 Coplanar P Q R D
 shows *Coplanar A B C D*
(proof)

lemma *l9-30*:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C P$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } D E F$ **and**
 Coplanar D E F P **and**
 Coplanar A B C X **and**
 Coplanar A B C Y **and**
 Coplanar A B C Z **and**
 Coplanar D E F X **and**
 Coplanar D E F Y **and**
 Coplanar D E F Z
 shows *Col X Y Z*
(proof)

lemma *cop-per2--col*:
 assumes *Coplanar A X Y Z* **and**
 A \neq Z **and**
 Per X Z A **and**
 Per Y Z A
 shows *Col X Y Z*
(proof)

lemma *cop-perp2--col*:
 assumes *Coplanar A B Y Z* **and**
 X Y Perp A B **and**
 X Z Perp A B
 shows *Col X Y Z*
(proof)

lemma *two-sides-dec*:
 shows *A B TS C D* $\vee \neg A B TS C D$
(proof)

lemma *cop-nts--os*:
 assumes *Coplanar A B C D* **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } C A B$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } D A B$ **and**
 $\neg A B TS C D$
 shows *A B OS C D*
(proof)

lemma *cop-nos--ts*:
 assumes *Coplanar A B C D* **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } C A B$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } D A B$ **and**
 $\neg A B OS C D$
 shows *A B TS C D*
(proof)

lemma *one-side-dec*:

$A B OS C D \vee \neg A B OS C D$
(proof)

lemma *cop-dec*:

$Coplanar A B C D \vee \neg Coplanar A B C D$
(proof)

lemma *ex-diff-cop*:

$\exists E. Coplanar A B C E \wedge D \neq E$
(proof)

lemma *ex-ncol-cop*:

assumes $D \neq E$
shows $\exists F. Coplanar A B C F \wedge \neg Col D E F$
(proof)

lemma *ex-ncol-cop2*:

$\exists E F. (Coplanar A B C E \wedge Coplanar A B C F \wedge \neg Col D E F)$
(proof)

lemma *col2-cop2-eq*:

assumes $\neg Coplanar A B C U$ **and**
 $U \neq V$ **and**
 $Coplanar A B C P$ **and**
 $Coplanar A B C Q$ **and**
 $Col U V P$ **and**
 $Col U V Q$
shows $P = Q$
(proof)

lemma *cong3-cop2-col*:

assumes $Coplanar A B C P$ **and**
 $Coplanar A B C Q$ **and**
 $P \neq Q$ **and**
 $Cong A P A Q$ **and**
 $Cong B P B Q$ **and**
 $Cong C P C Q$
shows $Col A B C$
(proof)

lemma *l9-38*:

assumes $A B C TSP P Q$
shows $A B C TSP Q P$
(proof)

lemma *l9-39*:

assumes $A B C TSP P R$ **and**
 $Coplanar A B C D$ **and**
 $D Out P Q$
shows $A B C TSP Q R$
(proof)

lemma *l9-41-1*:

assumes $A B C TSP P R$ **and**
 $A B C TSP Q R$
shows $A B C OSP P Q$
(proof)

lemma *l9-41-2*:

assumes $A B C TSP P R$ **and**
 $A B C OSP P Q$
shows $A B C TSP Q R$
(proof)

lemma *tsp-exists*:

assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C P$
shows $\exists Q. A B C \text{TSP } P Q$
(proof)

lemma *osp-reflexivity*:
assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C P$
shows $A B C \text{OSP } P P$
(proof)

lemma *osp-symmetry*:
assumes $A B C \text{OSP } P Q$
shows $A B C \text{OSP } Q P$
(proof)

lemma *osp-transitivity*:
assumes $A B C \text{OSP } P Q$ and
 $A B C \text{OSP } Q R$
shows $A B C \text{OSP } P R$
(proof)

lemma *cop3-tsp--tsp*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } D E F$ and
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$ and
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C E$ and
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C F$ and
 $A B C \text{TSP } P Q$
shows $D E F \text{TSP } P Q$
(proof)

lemma *cop3-osp--osp*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } D E F$ and
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$ and
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C E$ and
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C F$ and
 $A B C \text{OSP } P Q$
shows $D E F \text{OSP } P Q$
(proof)

lemma *ncop-distincts*:
assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C D$
shows $A \neq B \wedge A \neq C \wedge A \neq D \wedge B \neq C \wedge B \neq D \wedge C \neq D$
(proof)

lemma *tsp-distincts*:
assumes $A B C \text{TSP } P Q$
shows $A \neq B \wedge A \neq C \wedge B \neq C \wedge A \neq P \wedge B \neq P \wedge C \neq P \wedge A \neq Q \wedge B \neq Q \wedge C \neq Q \wedge P \neq Q$
(proof)

lemma *osp-distincts*:
assumes $A B C \text{OSP } P Q$
shows $A \neq B \wedge A \neq C \wedge B \neq C \wedge A \neq P \wedge B \neq P \wedge C \neq P \wedge A \neq Q \wedge B \neq Q \wedge C \neq Q$
(proof)

lemma *tsp--ncop1*:
assumes $A B C \text{TSP } P Q$
shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C P$
(proof)

lemma *tsp--ncop2*:
assumes $A B C \text{TSP } P Q$
shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C Q$
(proof)

lemma *osp--ncop1*:
assumes $A B C \text{OSP } P Q$

shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C P$
(proof)

lemma *osp--ncop2*:
 assumes $A B C \text{OSP } P Q$
 shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C Q$
(proof)

lemma *tsp--nosp*:
 assumes $A B C \text{TSP } P Q$
 shows $\neg A B C \text{OSP } P Q$
(proof)

lemma *osp--ntsp*:
 assumes $A B C \text{OSP } P Q$
 shows $\neg A B C \text{TSP } P Q$
(proof)

lemma *osp-bet--osp*:
 assumes $A B C \text{OSP } P R$ **and**
 Bet $P Q R$
 shows $A B C \text{OSP } P Q$
(proof)

lemma *l9-18-3*:
 assumes *Coplanar* $A B C P$ **and**
 Col $X Y P$
 shows $A B C \text{TSP } X Y \longleftrightarrow (\text{Bet } X P Y \wedge \neg \text{Coplanar } A B C X \wedge \neg \text{Coplanar } A B C Y)$
(proof)

lemma *bet-cop--tsp*:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C X$ **and**
 $P \neq Y$ **and**
 Coplanar $A B C P$ **and**
 Bet $X P Y$
 shows $A B C \text{TSP } X Y$
(proof)

lemma *cop-out--osp*:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C X$ **and**
 Coplanar $A B C P$ **and**
 P Out $X Y$
 shows $A B C \text{OSP } X Y$
(proof)

lemma *l9-19-3*:
 assumes *Coplanar* $A B C P$ **and**
 Col $X Y P$
 shows $A B C \text{OSP } X Y \longleftrightarrow (P \text{Out } X Y \wedge \neg \text{Coplanar } A B C X)$
(proof)

lemma *cop2-ts--tsp*:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C X$ **and** *Coplanar* $A B C D$ **and**
 Coplanar $A B C E$ **and** $D E \text{TS } X Y$
 shows $A B C \text{TSP } X Y$
(proof)

lemma *cop2-os--osp*:
 assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C X$ **and**
 Coplanar $A B C D$ **and**
 Coplanar $A B C E$ **and**
 D E OS $X Y$
 shows $A B C \text{OSP } X Y$
(proof)

lemma *cop3-tsp--ts*:

```

assumes  $D \neq E$  and
  Coplanar  $A B C D$  and
  Coplanar  $A B C E$  and
  Coplanar  $D E X Y$  and
   $A B C TSP X Y$ 
shows  $D E TS X Y$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cop3-osp--os:
assumes  $D \neq E$  and
  Coplanar  $A B C D$  and
  Coplanar  $A B C E$  and
  Coplanar  $D E X Y$  and
   $A B C OSP X Y$ 
shows  $D E OS X Y$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cop-tsp--ex-cop2:
assumes
   $A B C TSP D E$ 
shows  $\exists Q. (Coplanar A B C Q \wedge Coplanar D E P Q \wedge P \neq Q)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cop-osp--ex-cop2:
assumes Coplanar  $A B C P$  and
   $A B C OSP D E$ 
shows  $\exists Q. (Coplanar A B C Q \wedge Coplanar D E P Q \wedge P \neq Q)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sac--coplanar:
assumes Saccheri  $A B C D$ 
shows Coplanar  $A B C D$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.9 Line reflexivity

3.9.1 Dimensionless

```

lemma Ch10-Goal1:
assumes  $\neg Coplanar D C B A$ 
shows  $\neg Coplanar A B C D$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ex-sym:
 $\exists Y. (A B Perp X Y \vee X = Y) \wedge (\exists M. Col A B M \wedge M Midpoint X Y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma is-image-is-image-spec:
assumes  $A \neq B$ 
shows  $P' P Reflect A B \longleftrightarrow P' P ReflectL A B$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ex-sym1:
assumes  $A \neq B$ 
shows  $\exists Y. (A B Perp X Y \vee X = Y) \wedge (\exists M. Col A B M \wedge M Midpoint X Y \wedge X Y Reflect A B)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma l10-2-uniqueness:
assumes  $P1 P Reflect A B$  and
   $P2 P Reflect A B$ 
shows  $P1 = P2$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma l10-2-uniqueness-spec:
assumes  $P1 P ReflectL A B$  and
   $P2 P ReflectL A B$ 
shows  $P1 = P2$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l10-2-existence-spec*:

$\exists P'. P' P \text{ ReflectL } A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l10-2-existence*:

$\exists P'. P' P \text{ Reflect } A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l10-4-spec*:

assumes $P P' \text{ ReflectL } A B$

shows $P' P \text{ ReflectL } A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l10-4*:

assumes $P P' \text{ Reflect } A B$

shows $P' P \text{ Reflect } A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l10-5*:

assumes $P' P \text{ Reflect } A B \text{ and }$

$P'' P' \text{ Reflect } A B$

shows $P = P''$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l10-6-uniqueness*:

assumes $P P1 \text{ Reflect } A B \text{ and }$

$P P2 \text{ Reflect } A B$

shows $P1 = P2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l10-6-uniqueness-spec*:

assumes $P P1 \text{ ReflectL } A B \text{ and }$

$P P2 \text{ ReflectL } A B$

shows $P1 = P2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l10-6-existence-spec*:

assumes $A \neq B$

shows $\exists P. P' P \text{ ReflectL } A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l10-6-existence*:

$\exists P. P' P \text{ Reflect } A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l10-7*:

assumes $P' P \text{ Reflect } A B \text{ and }$

$Q' Q \text{ Reflect } A B \text{ and }$

$P' = Q'$

shows $P = Q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l10-8*:

assumes $P P \text{ Reflect } A B$

shows $\text{Col } P A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-refl*:

assumes $\text{Col } P A B$

shows $P P \text{ ReflectL } A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-image-col-cong*:

assumes $A \neq B \text{ and }$

```

P P' Reflect A B and
Col A B X
shows Cong P X P' X
(proof)

```

```

lemma is-image-spec-col-cong:
assumes P P' ReflectL A B and
Col A B X
shows Cong P X P' X
(proof)

```

```

lemma image-id:
assumes A ≠ B and
Col A B T and
T T' Reflect A B
shows T = T'
(proof)

```

```

lemma osym-not-col:
assumes P P' Reflect A B and
¬ Col A B P
shows ¬ Col A B P'
(proof)

```

```

lemma midpoint-preserves-image:
assumes A ≠ B and
Col A B M and
P P' Reflect A B and
M Midpoint P Q and
M Midpoint P' Q'
shows Q Q' Reflect A B
(proof)

```

```

lemma image-in-is-image-spec:
assumes M ReflectLAt P P' A B
shows P P' ReflectL A B
(proof)

```

```

lemma image-in-gen-is-image:
assumes M ReflectAt P P' A B
shows P P' Reflect A B
(proof)

```

```

lemma image-image-in:
assumes P ≠ P' and
P P' ReflectL A B and
Col A B M and
Col P M P'
shows M ReflectLAt P P' A B
(proof)

```

```

lemma image-in-col:
assumes Y ReflectLAt P P' A B
shows Col P P' Y
(proof)

```

```

lemma is-image-spec-rev:
assumes P P' ReflectL A B
shows P P' ReflectL B A
(proof)

```

```

lemma is-image-rev:
assumes P P' Reflect A B
shows P P' Reflect B A
(proof)

```

lemma *midpoint-preserves-per*:

assumes *Per A B C and*
M Midpoint A A1 and
M Midpoint B B1 and
M Midpoint C C1
shows *Per A1 B1 C1*
{proof}

lemma *col--image-spec*:

assumes *Col A B X*
shows *X X ReflectL A B*
{proof}

lemma *image-triv*:

A A Reflect A B
{proof}

lemma *cong-midpoint--image*:

assumes *Cong A X A Y and*
B Midpoint X Y
shows *Y X Reflect A B*
{proof}

lemma *col-image-spec--eq*:

assumes *Col A B P and*
P P' ReflectL A B
shows *P = P'*
{proof}

lemma *image-spec-triv*:

A A ReflectL B B
{proof}

lemma *image-spec--eq*:

assumes *P P' ReflectL A A*
shows *P = P'*
{proof}

lemma *image--midpoint*:

assumes *P P' Reflect A A*
shows *A Midpoint P' P*
{proof}

lemma *is-image-spec-dec*:

A B ReflectL C D $\vee \neg A B ReflectL C D$
{proof}

lemma *l10-14*:

assumes *P \neq P' and*
A \neq B and
P P' Reflect A B
shows *A B TS P P'*
{proof}

lemma *l10-15*:

assumes *Col A B C and*
 $\neg Col A B P$
shows *$\exists Q. A B Perp Q C \wedge A B OS P Q$*
{proof}

lemma *ex-per-cong*:

assumes *A \neq B and*
X \neq Y and
Col A B C and
 $\neg Col A B D$

shows $\exists P. \text{Per } P C A \wedge \text{Cong } P C X Y \wedge A B OS P D$
(proof)

lemma *exists-cong-per*:
 $\exists C. \text{Per } A B C \wedge \text{Cong } B C X Y$
(proof)

3.9.2 Upper dim 2

lemma *upper-dim-implies-per2-col*:
assumes *upper-dim-axiom*
shows $\forall A B C X. (\text{Per } A X C \wedge X \neq C \wedge \text{Per } B X C) \longrightarrow \text{Col } A B X$
(proof)

lemma *upper-dim-implies-col-perp2-col*:
assumes *upper-dim-axiom*
shows $\forall A B X Y P. (\text{Col } A B P \wedge A B \text{ Perp } X P \wedge P A \text{ Perp } Y P) \longrightarrow \text{Col } Y X P$
(proof)

lemma *upper-dim-implies-perp2-col*:
assumes *upper-dim-axiom*
shows $\forall X Y Z A B. (X Y \text{ Perp } A B \wedge X Z \text{ Perp } A B) \longrightarrow \text{Col } X Y Z$
(proof)

lemma *upper-dim-implies-not-two-sides-one-side-aux*:
assumes *upper-dim-axiom*
shows $\forall A B X Y P X. (A \neq B \wedge P X \neq A \wedge A B \text{ Perp } X P X \wedge \text{Col } A B P X \wedge \neg \text{Col } X A B \wedge \neg \text{Col } Y A B \wedge \neg A B T S X Y) \longrightarrow A B O S X Y$
(proof)

lemma *upper-dim-implies-not-two-sides-one-side*:
assumes *upper-dim-axiom*
shows $\forall A B X Y. (\neg \text{Col } X A B \wedge \neg \text{Col } Y A B \wedge \neg A B T S X Y) \longrightarrow A B O S X Y$
(proof)

lemma *upper-dim-implies-not-one-side-two-sides*:
assumes *upper-dim-axiom*
shows $\forall A B X Y. (\neg \text{Col } X A B \wedge \neg \text{Col } Y A B \wedge \neg A B O S X Y) \longrightarrow A B T S X Y$
(proof)

lemma *upper-dim-implies-one-or-two-sides*:
assumes *upper-dim-axiom*
shows $\forall A B X Y. (\neg \text{Col } X A B \wedge \neg \text{Col } Y A B) \longrightarrow (A B T S X Y \vee A B O S X Y)$
(proof)

lemma *upper-dim-implies-all-coplanar*:
assumes *upper-dim-axiom*
shows *all-coplanar-axiom*
(proof)

lemma *all-coplanar-implies-upper-dim*:
assumes *all-coplanar-axiom*
shows *upper-dim-axiom*
(proof)

lemma *all-coplanar-upper-dim*:
shows *all-coplanar-axiom* \longleftrightarrow *upper-dim-axiom*
(proof)

lemma *upper-dim-stab*:
shows $\neg \neg \text{upper-dim-axiom} \longrightarrow \text{upper-dim-axiom}$ **(proof)**

lemma *cop-cong-on-bissect*:
assumes *Coplanar A B X P and*
M Midpoint A B and
M PerpAt A B P M and

$\text{Cong } X A X B$
shows $\text{Col } M P X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cong-cop-mid-perp-col*:
assumes $\text{Coplanar } A B X P$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } A X B X$ **and**
 $M \text{ Midpoint } A B$ **and**
 $A B \text{ Perp } P M$
shows $\text{Col } M P X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cop-image-in2-col*:
assumes $\text{Coplanar } A B P Q$ **and**
 $M \text{ ReflectLAt } P P' A B$ **and**
 $M \text{ ReflectLAt } Q Q' A B$
shows $\text{Col } M P Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l10-10-spec*:
assumes $P' P \text{ ReflectL } A B$ **and**
 $Q' Q \text{ ReflectL } A B$
shows $\text{Cong } P Q P' Q'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l10-10*:
assumes $P' P \text{ Reflect } A B$ **and**
 $Q' Q \text{ Reflect } A B$
shows $\text{Cong } P Q P' Q'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-preserves-bet*:
assumes $A A' \text{ ReflectL } X Y$ **and**
 $B B' \text{ ReflectL } X Y$ **and**
 $C C' \text{ ReflectL } X Y$ **and**
 $\text{Bet } A B C$
shows $\text{Bet } A' B' C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-gen-preserves-bet*:
assumes $A A' \text{ Reflect } X Y$ **and**
 $B B' \text{ Reflect } X Y$ **and**
 $C C' \text{ Reflect } X Y$ **and**
 $\text{Bet } A B C$
shows $\text{Bet } A' B' C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-preserves-col*:
assumes $A A' \text{ ReflectL } X Y$ **and**
 $B B' \text{ ReflectL } X Y$ **and**
 $C C' \text{ ReflectL } X Y$ **and**
 $\text{Col } A B C$
shows $\text{Col } A' B' C'$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-gen-preserves-col*:
assumes $A A' \text{ Reflect } X Y$ **and**
 $B B' \text{ Reflect } X Y$ **and**
 $C C' \text{ Reflect } X Y$ **and**
 $\text{Col } A B C$
shows $\text{Col } A' B' C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-gen-preserves-ncol*:
assumes $A A' \text{ Reflect } X Y$ **and**
 $B B' \text{ Reflect } X Y$ **and**
 $C C' \text{ Reflect } X Y$ **and**

$\neg \text{Col } A B C$
shows $\neg \text{Col } A' B' C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-gen-preserves-inter*:
assumes $A A' \text{Reflect } X Y \text{ and}$
 $B B' \text{Reflect } X Y \text{ and}$
 $C C' \text{Reflect } X Y \text{ and}$
 $D D' \text{Reflect } X Y \text{ and}$
 $\neg \text{Col } A B C \text{ and}$
 $C \neq D \text{ and}$
 $\text{Col } A B I \text{ and}$
 $\text{Col } C D I \text{ and}$
 $\text{Col } A' B' I' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Col } C' D' I'$
shows $I I' \text{Reflect } X Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intersection-with-image-gen*:
assumes $A A' \text{Reflect } X Y \text{ and}$
 $B B' \text{Reflect } X Y \text{ and}$
 $\neg \text{Col } A B A' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Col } A B C \text{ and}$
 $\text{Col } A' B' C$
shows $\text{Col } C X Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-preserves-midpoint* :
assumes $A A' \text{ReflectL } X Y \text{ and}$
 $B B' \text{ReflectL } X Y \text{ and}$
 $C C' \text{ReflectL } X Y \text{ and}$
 $A \text{Midpoint } B C$
shows $A' \text{Midpoint } B' C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-spec-preserves-per*:
assumes $A A' \text{ReflectL } X Y \text{ and}$
 $B B' \text{ReflectL } X Y \text{ and}$
 $C C' \text{ReflectL } X Y \text{ and}$
 $\text{Per } A B C$
shows $\text{Per } A' B' C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-preserves-per*:
assumes $A A' \text{Reflect } X Y \text{ and}$
 $B B' \text{Reflect } X Y \text{ and}$
 $C C' \text{Reflect } X Y \text{ and}$
 $\text{Per } A B C$
shows $\text{Per } A' B' C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l10-12*:
assumes $\text{Per } A B C \text{ and}$
 $\text{Per } A' B' C' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A B A' B' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } B C B' C'$
shows $\text{Cong } A C A' C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l10-16*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C \text{ and}$
 $\neg \text{Col } A' B' P \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A B A' B'$
shows $\exists C'. A B C \text{Cong3 } A' B' C' \wedge A' B' OS P C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cong-cop-image--col*:

assumes $P \neq P'$ **and**
 $P P' \text{ Reflect } A B$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } P X P' X$ **and**
 $\text{Coplanar } A B P X$
shows $\text{Col } A B X$

(proof)

lemma *cong-cop-per2-1*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A B X$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A B Y$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } B X B Y$ **and**
 $\text{Coplanar } A B X Y$
shows $X = Y \vee B \text{ Midpoint } X Y$

(proof)

lemma *cong-cop-per2*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A B X$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A B Y$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } B X B Y$ **and**
 $\text{Coplanar } A B X Y$
shows $X = Y \vee X Y \text{ ReflectL } A B$

(proof)

lemma *cong-cop-per2-gen*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A B X$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A B Y$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } B X B Y$ **and**
 $\text{Coplanar } A B X Y$
shows $X = Y \vee X Y \text{ Reflect } A B$

(proof)

lemma *ex-perp-cop*:

assumes $A \neq B$
shows $\exists Q. A B \text{ Perp } Q C \wedge \text{Coplanar } A B P Q$

(proof)

lemma *hilbert-s-version-of-pasch-aux*:

assumes $\text{Coplanar } A B C P$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } A I P$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } B C P$ **and**
 $\text{Bet } B I C$ **and**
 $B \neq I$ **and**
 $I \neq C$ **and**
 $B \neq C$
shows $\exists X. \text{Col } I P X \wedge ((\text{Bet } A X B \wedge A \neq X \wedge X \neq B \wedge A \neq B) \vee (\text{Bet } A X C \wedge A \neq X \wedge X \neq C \wedge A \neq C))$

(proof)

lemma *hilbert-s-version-of-pasch*:

assumes $\text{Coplanar } A B C P$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } C Q P$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } A B P$ **and**
 $\text{BetS } A Q B$
shows $\exists X. \text{Col } P Q X \wedge (\text{BetS } A X C \vee \text{BetS } B X C)$

(proof)

lemma *two-sides-cases*:

assumes $\neg \text{Col } PO A B$ **and**
 $PO P OS A B$
shows $PO A TS P B \vee PO B TS P A$

(proof)

lemma *not-par-two-sides*:

```

assumes  $C \neq D$  and
   $\text{Col } A B I$  and
   $\text{Col } C D I$  and
   $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ 
shows  $\exists X Y. \text{Col } C D X \wedge \text{Col } C D Y \wedge A B TS X Y$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cop-not-par-other-side*:

```

assumes  $C \neq D$  and
   $\text{Col } A B I$  and
   $\text{Col } C D I$  and
   $\neg \text{Col } A B C$  and
   $\neg \text{Col } A B P$  and
   $\text{Coplanar } A B C P$ 
shows  $\exists Q. \text{Col } C D Q \wedge A B TS P Q$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *cop-not-par-same-side*:

```

assumes  $C \neq D$  and
   $\text{Col } A B I$  and
   $\text{Col } C D I$  and
   $\neg \text{Col } A B C$  and
   $\neg \text{Col } A B P$  and
   $\text{Coplanar } A B C P$ 
shows  $\exists Q. \text{Col } C D Q \wedge A B OS P Q$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

end

3.9.3 Line reflexivity: 2D

context *Tarski-2D*

begin

lemma *all-coplanar*:

 $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *per2--col*:

```

assumes  $\text{Per } A X C$  and
   $X \neq C$  and
   $\text{Per } B X C$ 
shows  $\text{Col } A B X$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *perp2--col*:

```

assumes  $X Y \text{Perp } A B$  and
   $X Z \text{Perp } A B$ 
shows  $\text{Col } X Y Z$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

end

3.10 Angles

3.10.1 Some generalites

context *Tarski-neutral-dimensionless*

begin

lemma *l11-3*:

```

assumes  $A B C \text{Cong} A D E F$ 
shows  $\exists A' C' D' F'. B \text{Out } A' A \wedge B \text{Out } C' C \wedge E \text{Out } D' D \wedge E \text{Out } F' F \wedge A' B C' \text{Cong} 3 D' E F'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma l11-aux:
assumes $B \text{ Out } A \ A' \text{ and}$
 $E \text{ Out } D \ D' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } B \ A' \ E \ D' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Bet } B \ A \ A0 \text{ and}$
 $\text{Bet } E \ D \ D0 \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A \ A0 \ E \ D \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } D \ D0 \ B \ A$
shows $\text{Cong } B \ A0 \ E \ D0 \wedge \text{Cong } A' \ A0 \ D' \ D0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l11-3-bis:
assumes $\exists \ A' \ C' \ D' \ F'. (B \text{ Out } A' \ A \wedge B \text{ Out } C' \ C \wedge E \text{ Out } D' \ D \wedge E \text{ Out } F' \ F \wedge A' \ B \ C' \ \text{Cong3 } D' \ E \ F')$
shows $A \ B \ C \ \text{CongA } D \ E \ F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l11-4-1:
assumes $A \ B \ C \ \text{CongA } D \ E \ F \text{ and}$

$B \text{ Out } A' \ A \text{ and}$
 $B \text{ Out } C' \ C \text{ and}$
 $E \text{ Out } D' \ D \text{ and}$
 $E \text{ Out } F' \ F \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } B \ A' \ E \ D' \text{ and } \text{Cong } B \ C' \ E \ F'$
shows $\text{Cong } A' \ C' \ D' \ F'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l11-4-2:
assumes $A \neq B \text{ and}$
 $C \neq B \text{ and}$
 $D \neq E \text{ and}$
 $F \neq E \text{ and}$
 $\forall \ A' \ C' \ D' \ F'. (B \text{ Out } A' \ A \wedge B \text{ Out } C' \ C \wedge E \text{ Out } D' \ D \wedge E \text{ Out } F' \ F \wedge \text{Cong } B \ A' \ E \ D' \wedge \text{Cong } B \ C' \ E \ F' \longrightarrow$
 $\text{Cong } A' \ C' \ D' \ F')$
shows $A \ B \ C \ \text{CongA } D \ E \ F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma conga-refl:
assumes $A \neq B \text{ and}$
 $C \neq B$
shows $A \ B \ C \ \text{CongA } A \ B \ C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma conga-sym:
assumes $A \ B \ C \ \text{CongA } A' \ B' \ C'$
shows $A' \ B' \ C' \ \text{CongA } A \ B \ C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l11-10:
assumes $A \ B \ C \ \text{CongA } D \ E \ F \text{ and}$
 $B \text{ Out } A' \ A \text{ and}$
 $B \text{ Out } C' \ C \text{ and}$
 $E \text{ Out } D' \ D \text{ and}$
 $E \text{ Out } F' \ F$
shows $A' \ B \ C' \ \text{CongA } D' \ E \ F'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma out2--conga:
assumes $B \text{ Out } A' \ A \text{ and}$
 $B \text{ Out } C' \ C$
shows $A \ B \ C \ \text{CongA } A' \ B \ C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cong3-diff:
assumes $A \neq B \text{ and}$
 $A \ B \ C \ \text{Cong3 } A' \ B' \ C'$

shows $A' \neq B'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong3-diff2*:

assumes $B \neq C$ **and**

$A B C \text{Cong3 } A' B' C'$

shows $B' \neq C'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong3-conga*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**

$C \neq B$ **and**

$A B C \text{Cong3 } A' B' C'$

shows $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong3-conga2*:

assumes $A B C \text{Cong3 } A' B' C'$ **and**

$A B C \text{CongA } A'' B'' C''$

shows $A' B' C' \text{CongA } A'' B'' C''$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-diff1*:

assumes $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C'$

shows $A \neq B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-diff2*:

assumes $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C'$

shows $C \neq B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-diff45*:

assumes $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C'$

shows $A' \neq B'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-diff56*:

assumes $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C'$

shows $C' \neq B'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-trans*:

assumes $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C'$ **and**

$A' B' C' \text{CongA } A'' B'' C''$

shows $A B C \text{CongA } A'' B'' C''$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-pseudo-refl*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**

$C \neq B$

shows $A B C \text{CongA } C B A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-trivial-1*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**

$C \neq D$

shows $A B A \text{CongA } C D C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l11-13*:

assumes $A B C \text{CongA } D E F$ **and**

Bet A B A' **and**

$A' \neq B$ **and**

Bet D E D' **and**

$D' \neq E$

shows $A' B C \text{ CongA } D' E F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-right-comm*:
assumes $A B C \text{ CongA } D E F$
shows $A B C \text{ CongA } F E D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-left-comm*:
assumes $A B C \text{ CongA } D E F$
shows $C B A \text{ CongA } D E F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-comm*:
assumes $A B C \text{ CongA } D E F$
shows $C B A \text{ CongA } F E D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-line*:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $B \neq C$ and
 $A' \neq B'$ and
 $B' \neq C'$
and $\text{Bet } A B C$ and
 $\text{Bet } A' B' C'$
shows $A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l11-14*:
assumes $\text{Bet } A B A'$ and
 $A \neq B$ and
 $A' \neq B$ and
 $\text{Bet } C B C'$ and
 $B \neq C$ and
 $B \neq C'$
shows $A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l11-16*:
assumes $\text{Per } A B C$ and
 $A \neq B$ and
 $C \neq B$ and
 $\text{Per } A' B' C'$ and
 $A' \neq B'$ and
 $C' \neq B'$
shows $A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l11-17*:
assumes $\text{Per } A B C$ and
 $A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C'$
shows $\text{Per } A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l11-18-1*:
assumes $\text{Bet } C B D$ and
 $B \neq C$ and
 $B \neq D$ and
 $A \neq B$ and
 $\text{Per } A B C$
shows $A B C \text{ CongA } A B D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l11-18-2*:
assumes $\text{Bet } C B D$ and
 $A B C \text{ CongA } A B D$

shows *Per A B C*

(proof)

lemma *cong3-preserves-out:*

assumes *A Out B C and*

A B C Cong3 A' B' C'

shows *A' Out B' C'*

(proof)

lemma *l11-21-a:*

assumes *B Out A C and*

A B C CongA A' B' C'

shows *B' Out A' C'*

(proof)

lemma *l11-21-b:*

assumes *B Out A C and*

B' Out A' C'

shows *A B C CongA A' B' C'*

(proof)

lemma *conga-cop-or-out-ts:*

assumes *Coplanar A B C C' and*

A B C CongA A B C'

shows *B Out C C' ∨ A B TS C C'*

(proof)

lemma *conga-os--out:*

assumes *A B C CongA A B C' and*

A B OS C C'

shows *B Out C C'*

(proof)

lemma *cong2-conga-cong:*

assumes *A B C CongA A' B' C' and*

Cong A B A' B' and

Cong B C B' C'

shows *Cong A C A' C'*

(proof)

lemma *angle-construction-1:*

assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ **and**

$\neg \text{Col } A' B' P$

shows $\exists C'. (A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C' \wedge A' B' OS C' P)$

(proof)

lemma *angle-construction-2:*

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**

$B \neq C$ **and**

$\neg \text{Col } A' B' P$

shows $\exists C'. (A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C' \wedge (A' B' OS C' P \vee \text{Col } A' B' C'))$

(proof)

lemma *ex-conga-ts:*

assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ **and**

$\neg \text{Col } A' B' P$

shows $\exists C'. A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C' \wedge A' B' TS C' P$

(proof)

lemma *l11-15:*

assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ **and**

$\neg \text{Col } D E P$

shows

$\exists F. (A B C \text{ CongA } D E F \wedge E D OS F P) \wedge$

$(\forall F1 F2. ((A B C \text{ CongA } D E F1 \wedge E D OS F1 P) \wedge$

$(A B C \text{ CongA } D E F2 \wedge E D OS F2 P))$

$\longrightarrow E \text{ Out } F1 \text{ F2}$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l11-19:
assumes $Per A B P1$ and
 $Per A B P2$ and
 $A B OS P1 P2$
shows $B Out P1 P2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l11-22-bet:
assumes $Bet A B C$ and
 $P' B' TS A' C'$ and
 $A B P CongA A' B' P'$ and
 $P B C CongA P' B' C'$
shows $Bet A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l11-22a:
assumes $B P TS A C$ and
 $B' P' TS A' C'$ and
 $A B P CongA A' B' P'$ and
 $P B C CongA P' B' C'$
shows $A B C CongA A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l11-22b:
assumes $B P OS A C$ and
 $B' P' OS A' C'$ and
 $A B P CongA A' B' P'$ and
 $P B C CongA P' B' C'$
shows $A B C CongA A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l11-22:
assumes $((B P TS A C \wedge B' P' TS A' C') \vee (B P OS A C \wedge B' P' OS A' C'))$ and
 $A B P CongA A' B' P'$ and
 $P B C CongA P' B' C'$
shows $A B C CongA A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l11-24:
assumes $P InAngle A B C$
shows $P InAngle C B A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma col-in-angle:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $C \neq B$ and
 $P \neq B$ and
 $B Out A P \vee B Out C P$
shows $P InAngle A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma out321--inangle:
assumes $C \neq B$ and
 $B Out A P$
shows $P InAngle A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma inangle1123:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $C \neq B$
shows $A InAngle A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma out341--inangle:
  assumes  $A \neq B$  and
     $B \text{ Out } C P$ 
  shows  $P \text{ InAngle } A B C$ 
  (proof)

lemma inangle3123:
  assumes  $A \neq B$  and
     $C \neq B$ 
  shows  $C \text{ InAngle } A B C$ 
  (proof)

lemma in-angle-two-sides:
  assumes  $\neg \text{Col } B A P$  and
     $\neg \text{Col } B C P$  and
     $P \text{ InAngle } A B C$ 
  shows  $P B \text{ TS } A C$ 
  (proof)

lemma in-angle-out:
  assumes  $B \text{ Out } A C$  and
     $P \text{ InAngle } A B C$ 
  shows  $B \text{ Out } A P$ 
  (proof)

lemma col-in-angle-out:
  assumes  $\text{Col } B A P$  and
     $\neg \text{Bet } A B C$  and
     $P \text{ InAngle } A B C$ 
  shows  $B \text{ Out } A P$ 
  (proof)

lemma l11-25-aux:
  assumes  $P \text{ InAngle } A B C$  and
     $\neg \text{Bet } A B C$  and
     $B \text{ Out } A' A$ 
  shows  $P \text{ InAngle } A' B C$ 
  (proof)

lemma l11-25:
  assumes  $P \text{ InAngle } A B C$  and
     $B \text{ Out } A' A$  and
     $B \text{ Out } C' C$  and
     $B \text{ Out } P' P$ 
  shows  $P' \text{ InAngle } A' B C'$ 
  (proof)

lemma inangle-distincts:
  assumes  $P \text{ InAngle } A B C$ 
  shows  $A \neq B \wedge C \neq B \wedge P \neq B$ 
  (proof)

lemma segment-construction-0:
  shows  $\exists B'. \text{Cong } A' B' A B$ 
  (proof)

lemma angle-construction-3:
  assumes  $A \neq B$  and
     $C \neq B$  and
     $A' \neq B'$ 
  shows  $\exists C'. A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C'$ 
  (proof)

lemma l11-28:
  assumes  $A B C \text{ Cong3 } A' B' C'$  and
     $\text{Col } A C D$ 

```

shows $\exists D'. (Cong A D A' D' \wedge Cong B D B' D' \wedge Cong C D C' D')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet-conga--bet*:
assumes *Bet A B C and*
 $A B C CongA A' B' C'$
shows *Bet A' B' C'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-angle-one-side*:
assumes $\neg Col A B C$ **and**
 $\neg Col B A P$ **and**
 $P InAngle A B C$
shows *A B OS P C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inangle-one-side*:
assumes $\neg Col A B C$ **and**
 $\neg Col A B P$ **and**
 $\neg Col A B Q$ **and**
 $P InAngle A B C$ **and**
 $Q InAngle A B C$
shows *A B OS P Q*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inangle-one-side2*:
assumes $\neg Col A B C$ **and**
 $\neg Col A B P$ **and**
 $\neg Col A B Q$ **and**
 $\neg Col C B P$ **and**
 $\neg Col C B Q$ **and**
 $P InAngle A B C$ **and**
 $Q InAngle A B C$
shows *A B OS P Q \wedge C B OS P Q*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-conga-col*:
assumes *Col A B C and*
 $A B C CongA D E F$
shows *Col D E F*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ncol-conga-ncol*:
assumes $\neg Col A B C$ **and**
 $A B C CongA D E F$
shows $\neg Col D E F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *angle-construction-4*:
assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $C \neq B$ **and**
 $A' \neq B'$
shows $\exists C'. (A B C CongA A' B' C' \wedge Coplanar A' B' C' P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lea-distincts*:
assumes *A B C LeA D E F*
shows $A \neq B \wedge C \neq B \wedge D \neq E \wedge F \neq E$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l11-29-a*:
assumes *A B C LeA D E F*
shows $\exists Q. (C InAngle A B Q \wedge A B Q CongA D E F)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-angle-line*:

assumes $P \neq B$ **and**

$A \neq B$ **and**

$C \neq B$ **and**

Bet A B C

shows $P \text{ InAngle } A B C$

{proof}

lemma *l11-29-b*:

assumes $\exists Q. (C \text{ InAngle } A B Q \wedge A B Q \text{ CongA } D E F)$

shows $A B C \text{ LeA } D E F$

{proof}

lemma *bet-in-angle-bet*:

assumes *Bet A B P and*

$P \text{ InAngle } A B C$

shows *Bet A B C*

{proof}

lemma *lea-line*:

assumes *Bet A B P and*

$A B P \text{ LeA } A B C$

shows *Bet A B C*

{proof}

lemma *eq-conga-out*:

assumes $A B A \text{ CongA } D E F$

shows $E \text{ Out } D F$

{proof}

lemma *out-conga-out*:

assumes $B \text{ Out } A C \text{ and}$

$A B C \text{ CongA } D E F$

shows $E \text{ Out } D F$

{proof}

lemma *conga-ex-cong3*:

assumes $A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C'$

shows $\exists AA CC. ((B \text{ Out } A AA \wedge B \text{ Out } C CC) \longrightarrow AA B CC \text{ Cong3 } A' B' C')$

{proof}

lemma *conga-preserves-in-angle*:

assumes $A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C' \text{ and}$

$A B I \text{ CongA } A' B' I' \text{ and}$

$I \text{ InAngle } A B C \text{ and } A' B' OS I' C'$

shows $I' \text{ InAngle } A' B' C'$

{proof}

lemma *l11-30*:

assumes $A B C \text{ LeA } D E F \text{ and}$

$A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C' \text{ and}$

$D E F \text{ CongA } D' E' F'$

shows $A' B' C' \text{ LeA } D' E' F'$

{proof}

lemma *l11-31-1*:

assumes $B \text{ Out } A C \text{ and}$

$D \neq E \text{ and}$

$F \neq E$

shows $A B C \text{ LeA } D E F$

{proof}

lemma *l11-31-2*:

assumes $A \neq B \text{ and}$

$C \neq B \text{ and}$

$D \neq E \text{ and}$

$F \neq E \text{ and}$

```

Bet D E F
shows A B C LeA D E F
⟨proof⟩

lemma lea-refl:
assumes A ≠ B and
C ≠ B
shows A B C LeA A B C
⟨proof⟩

lemma conga--lea:
assumes A B C CongA D E F
shows A B C LeA D E F
⟨proof⟩

lemma conga--lea456123:
assumes A B C CongA D E F
shows D E F LeA A B C
⟨proof⟩

lemma lea-left-comm:
assumes A B C LeA D E F
shows C B A LeA D E F
⟨proof⟩

lemma lea-right-comm:
assumes A B C LeA D E F
shows A B C LeA F E D
⟨proof⟩

lemma lea-comm:
assumes A B C LeA D E F
shows C B A LeA F E D
⟨proof⟩

lemma lta-left-comm:
assumes A B C LtA D E F
shows C B A LtA D E F
⟨proof⟩

lemma lta-right-comm:
assumes A B C LtA D E F
shows A B C LtA F E D
⟨proof⟩

lemma lta-comm:
assumes A B C LtA D E F
shows C B A LtA F E D
⟨proof⟩

lemma lea-out4--lea:
assumes A B C LeA D E F and
B Out A A' and
B Out C C' and
E Out D D' and
E Out F F'
shows A' B C' LeA D' E F'
⟨proof⟩

lemma lea121345:
assumes A ≠ B and
C ≠ D and
D ≠ E
shows A B A LeA C D E
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma inangle--lea:
  assumes P InAngle A B C
  shows A B P LeA A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inangle--lea-1:
  assumes P InAngle A B C
  shows P B C LeA A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inangle--lta:
  assumes ¬ Col P B C and
    P InAngle A B C
  shows A B P LtA A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-angle-trans:
  assumes C InAngle A B D and
    D InAngle A B E
  shows C InAngle A B E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lea-trans:
  assumes A B C LeA A1 B1 C1 and
    A1 B1 C1 LeA A2 B2 C2
  shows A B C LeA A2 B2 C2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-angle-asym:
  assumes D InAngle A B C and
    C InAngle A B D
  shows A B C CongA A B D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lea-asym:
  assumes A B C LeA D E F and
    D E F LeA A B C
  shows A B C CongA D E F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma col-lta--bet:
  assumes Col X Y Z and
    A B C LtA X Y Z
  shows Bet X Y Z
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma col-lta--out:
  assumes Col A B C and
    A B C LtA X Y Z
  shows B Out A C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lta-distincts:
  assumes A B C LtA D E F
  shows A ≠ B ∧ C ≠ B ∧ D ≠ E ∧ F ≠ E ∧ D ≠ F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma gta-distincts:
  assumes A B C GtA D E F
  shows A ≠ B ∧ C ≠ B ∧ D ≠ E ∧ F ≠ E ∧ A ≠ C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma acute-distincts:
  assumes Acute A B C
  shows A ≠ B ∧ C ≠ B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

(proof)

lemma obtuse-distincts:

assumes Obtuse A B C
shows A ≠ B ∧ C ≠ B ∧ A ≠ C
(proof)

lemma two-sides-in-angle:

assumes B ≠ P' and
B P TS A C and
Bet P B P'
shows P InAngle A B C ∨ P' InAngle A B C
(proof)

lemma in-angle-reverse:

assumes A' ≠ B and
Bet A B A' and
C InAngle A B D
shows D InAngle A' B C
(proof)

lemma in-angle-trans2:

assumes C InAngle A B D and
D InAngle A B E
shows D InAngle C B E
(proof)

lemma l11-36-aux1:

assumes A ≠ B and
A' ≠ B and
D ≠ E and
D' ≠ E and
Bet A B A' and
Bet D E D' and
A B C LeA D E F
shows D' E F LeA A' B C
(proof)

lemma l11-36-aux2:

assumes A ≠ B and
A' ≠ B and
D ≠ E and
D' ≠ E and
Bet A B A' and
Bet D E D' and
D' E F LeA A' B C
shows A B C LeA D E F
(proof)

lemma l11-36:

assumes A ≠ B and
A' ≠ B and
D ≠ E and
D' ≠ E and
Bet A B A' and
Bet D E D'
shows A B C LeA D E F ↔ D' E F LeA A' B C
(proof)

lemma l11-41-aux:

assumes ¬ Col A B C and
Bet B A D and
A ≠ D
shows A C B LtA C A D
(proof)

lemma *l11-41*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ **and**
 Bet $B A D$ **and**
 $A \neq D$
shows $A C B \text{LtA } C A D \wedge A B C \text{LtA } C A D$
(proof)

lemma *not-conga*:
assumes $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C'$ **and**
 $\neg A B C \text{CongA } D E F$
shows $\neg A' B' C' \text{CongA } D E F$
(proof)

lemma *not-conga-sym*:
assumes $\neg A B C \text{CongA } D E F$
shows $\neg D E F \text{CongA } A B C$
(proof)

lemma *not-and-lta*:
shows $\neg (A B C \text{LtA } D E F \wedge D E F \text{LtA } A B C)$
(proof)

lemma *conga-preserves-lta*:
assumes $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C'$ **and**
 $D E F \text{CongA } D' E' F'$ **and**
 $A B C \text{LtA } D E F$
shows $A' B' C' \text{LtA } D' E' F'$
(proof)

lemma *lta-trans*:
assumes $A B C \text{LtA } A1 B1 C1$ **and**
 $A1 B1 C1 \text{LtA } A2 B2 C2$
shows $A B C \text{LtA } A2 B2 C2$
(proof)

lemma *obtuse-sym*:
assumes *Obtuse* $A B C$
shows *Obtuse* $C B A$
(proof)

lemma *acute-sym*:
assumes *Acute* $A B C$
shows *Acute* $C B A$
(proof)

lemma *acute-col--out*:
assumes *Col* $A B C$ **and**
 Acute $A B C$
shows *B Out* $A C$
(proof)

lemma *col-obtuse--bet*:
assumes *Col* $A B C$ **and**
 Obtuse $A B C$
shows *Bet* $A B C$
(proof)

lemma *out--acute*:
assumes *B Out* $A C$
shows *Acute* $A B C$
(proof)

lemma *bet--obtuse*:
assumes *Bet* $A B C$ **and**
 $A \neq B$ **and** $B \neq C$
shows *Obtuse* $A B C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l11-43-aux:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $A \neq C$ **and**
 $Per B A C \vee Obtuse B A C$
shows $Acute A B C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l11-43:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $A \neq C$ **and**
 $Per B A C \vee Obtuse B A C$
shows $Acute A B C \wedge Acute A C B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma acute-lea-acute:

assumes $Acute D E F$ **and**
 $A B C LeA D E F$
shows $Acute A B C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma lea-obtuse-obtuse:

assumes $Obtuse D E F$ **and**
 $D E F LeA A B C$
shows $Obtuse A B C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l11-44-1-a:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $A \neq C$ **and**
 $Cong B A B C$
shows $B A C CongA B C A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l11-44-2-a:

assumes $\neg Col A B C$ **and**
 $B A Lt B C$
shows $B C A LtA B A C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-lta-and-conga:

$\neg (A B C LtA D E F \wedge A B C CongA D E F)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma conga-sym-equiv:

$A B C CongA A' B' C' \longleftrightarrow A' B' C' CongA A B C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma conga-dec:

$A B C CongA D E F \vee \neg A B C CongA D E F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma lta-not-conga:

assumes $A B C LtA D E F$
shows $\neg A B C CongA D E F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma lta--lea:

assumes $A B C LtA D E F$
shows $A B C LeA D E F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma nlta:

$\neg A B C LtA A B C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lea--nlta*:
assumes $A B C \text{LeA } D E F$
shows $\neg D E F \text{LtA } A B C$
(proof)

lemma *lta--nlea*:
assumes $A B C \text{LtA } D E F$
shows $\neg D E F \text{LeA } A B C$
(proof)

lemma *l11-44-1-b*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C \text{ and}$
 $B A C \text{CongA } B C A$
shows $\text{Cong } B A B C$
(proof)

lemma *l11-44-2-b*:
assumes $B A C \text{LtA } B C A$
shows $B C \text{Lt } B A$
(proof)

lemma *l11-44-1*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$
shows $B A C \text{CongA } B C A \longleftrightarrow \text{Cong } B A B C$
(proof)

lemma *l11-44-2*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$
shows $B A C \text{LtA } B C A \longleftrightarrow B C \text{Lt } B A$
(proof)

lemma *l11-44-2bis*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$
shows $B A C \text{LeA } B C A \longleftrightarrow B C \text{Le } B A$
(proof)

lemma *l11-46*:
assumes $A \neq B \text{ and}$
 $B \neq C \text{ and}$
 $\text{Per } A B C \vee \text{Obtuse } A B C$
shows $B A \text{Lt } A C \wedge B C \text{Lt } A C$
(proof)

lemma *l11-47*:
assumes $\text{Per } A C B \text{ and}$
 $H \text{PerpAt } C H A B$
shows $\text{Bet } A H B \wedge A \neq H \wedge B \neq H$
(proof)

lemma *l11-49*:
assumes $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } B A B' A' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } B C B' C'$
shows $\text{Cong } A C A' C' \wedge (A \neq C \longrightarrow (B A C \text{CongA } B' A' C' \wedge B C A \text{CongA } B' C' A'))$
(proof)

lemma *l11-50-1*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C \text{ and}$
 $B A C \text{CongA } B' A' C' \text{ and}$
 $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A B A' B'$
shows $\text{Cong } A C A' C' \wedge \text{Cong } B C B' C' \wedge A C B \text{CongA } A' C' B'$
(proof)

lemma *l11-50-2*:

assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ **and**
 $B C A \text{ CongA } B' C' A'$ **and**
 $A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C'$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } A B A' B'$
shows $\text{Cong } A C A' C' \wedge \text{Cong } B C B' C' \wedge C A B \text{ CongA } C' A' B'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l11-51:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $A \neq C$ **and**
 $B \neq C$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } A B A' B'$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } A C A' C'$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } B C B' C'$
shows
 $B A C \text{ CongA } B' A' C' \wedge A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C' \wedge B C A \text{ CongA } B' C' A'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma conga-distinct:

assumes $A B C \text{ CongA } D E F$
shows $A \neq B \wedge C \neq B \wedge D \neq E \wedge F \neq E$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l11-52:

assumes $A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C'$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } A C A' C'$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } B C B' C'$ **and**
 $B C \text{ Le } A C$
shows $\text{Cong } B A B' A' \wedge B A C \text{ CongA } B' A' C' \wedge B C A \text{ CongA } B' C' A'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l11-53:

assumes $\text{Per } D C B$ **and**
 $C \neq D$ **and**
 $A \neq B$ **and**
 $B \neq C$ **and**
 $\text{Bet } A B C$
shows $C A D \text{ LtA } C B D \wedge B D \text{ Lt } A D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cong2-conga-obtuse--cong-conga2:

assumes $\text{Obtuse } A B C$ **and**
 $A B C \text{ CongA } A' B' C'$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } A C A' C'$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } B C B' C'$
shows $\text{Cong } B A B' A' \wedge B A C \text{ CongA } B' A' C' \wedge$
 $B C A \text{ CongA } B' C' A'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cong2-per2--cong-conga2:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $B \neq C$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A B C$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A' B' C'$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } A C A' C'$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } B C B' C'$
shows $\text{Cong } B A B' A' \wedge B A C \text{ CongA } B' A' C' \wedge$
 $B C A \text{ CongA } B' C' A'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cong2-per2--cong:

assumes $\text{Per } A B C$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A' B' C'$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } A C A' C'$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } B C B' C'$
shows $\text{Cong } B A B' A'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong2-per2--cong-3*:

assumes *Per A B C*
Per A' B' C' and
Cong A C A' C' and
Cong B C B' C'
shows *A B C Cong3 A' B' C'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-lt-per2--lt*:

assumes *Per A B C and*
Per A' B' C' and
Cong A B A' B' and
B C Lt B' C'
shows *A C Lt A' C'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-le-per2--le*:

assumes *Per A B C and*
Per A' B' C' and
Cong A B A' B' and
B C Le B' C'
shows *A C Le A' C'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt2-per2--lt*:

assumes *Per A B C and*
Per A' B' C' and
A B Lt A' B' and
B C Lt B' C'
shows *A C Lt A' C'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-lt-per2--lt*:

assumes *Per A B C and*
Per A' B' C' and
A B Le A' B' and
B C Lt B' C'
shows *A C Lt A' C'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le2-per2--le*:

assumes *Per A B C and*
Per A' B' C' and
A B Le A' B' and
B C Le B' C'
shows *A C Le A' C'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cong-lt-per2--lt-1*:

assumes *Per A B C and*
Per A' B' C' and
A B Lt A' B' and
Cong A C A' C'
shows *B' C' Lt B C*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *symmetry-preserves-conga*:

assumes *A ≠ B and C ≠ B and*
M Midpoint A A' and
M Midpoint B B' and
M Midpoint C C'
shows *A B C CongA A' B' C'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l11-57:
assumes $A A' OS B B'$ **and**
 $Per B A A'$ **and**
 $Per B' A' A$ **and**
 $A A' OS C C'$ **and**
 $Per C A A'$ **and**
 $Per C' A' A$
shows $B A C CongA B' A' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cop3-orth-at--orth-at:
assumes $\neg Col D E F$ **and**
 $Coplanar A B C D$ **and**
 $Coplanar A B C E$ **and**
 $Coplanar A B C F$ **and**
 $X OrthAt A B C U V$
shows $X OrthAt D E F U V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma col2-orth-at--orth-at:
assumes $U \neq V$ **and**
 $Col P Q U$ **and**
 $Col P Q V$ **and**
 $X OrthAt A B C P Q$
shows $X OrthAt A B C U V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma col-orth-at--orth-at:
assumes $U \neq W$ **and**
 $Col U V W$ **and**
 $X OrthAt A B C U V$
shows $X OrthAt A B C U W$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma orth-at-symmetry:
assumes $X OrthAt A B C U V$
shows $X OrthAt A B C V U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma orth-at-distincts:
assumes $X OrthAt A B C U V$
shows $A \neq B \wedge B \neq C \wedge A \neq C \wedge U \neq V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma orth-at-chara:
 $X OrthAt A B C X P \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\neg Col A B C \wedge X \neq P \wedge Coplanar A B C X \wedge (\forall D.(Coplanar A B C D \longrightarrow Per D X P)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cop3-orth--orth:
assumes $\neg Col D E F$ **and**
 $Coplanar A B C D$ **and**
 $Coplanar A B C E$ **and**
 $Coplanar A B C F$ **and**
 $A B C Orth U V$
shows $D E F Orth U V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma col2-orth--orth:
assumes $U \neq V$ **and**
 $Col P Q U$ **and**
 $Col P Q V$ **and**
 $A B C Orth P Q$
shows $A B C Orth U V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-orth-orth*:

assumes $U \neq W$ **and**

Col U V W and

A B C Orth U V

shows *A B C Orth U W*

{proof}

lemma *orth-symmetry*:

assumes *A B C Orth U V*

shows *A B C Orth V U*

{proof}

lemma *orth-distincts*:

assumes *A B C Orth U V*

shows $A \neq B \wedge B \neq C \wedge A \neq C \wedge U \neq V$

{proof}

lemma *col-cop-orth--orth-at*:

assumes *A B C Orth U V and*

Coplanar A B C X and

Col U V X

shows *X OrthAt A B C U V*

{proof}

lemma *l11-60-aux*:

assumes $\neg Col A B C$ **and**

Cong A P A Q and

Cong B P B Q and

Cong C P C Q and

Coplanar A B C D

shows *Cong D P D Q*

{proof}

lemma *l11-60*:

assumes $\neg Col A B C$ **and**

Per A D P and

Per B D P and

Per C D P and

Coplanar A B C E

shows *Per E D P*

{proof}

lemma *l11-60-bis*:

assumes $\neg Col A B C$ **and**

$D \neq P$ **and**

Coplanar A B C D and

Per A D P and

Per B D P and

Per C D P

shows *D OrthAt A B C D P*

{proof}

lemma *l11-61*:

assumes $A \neq A'$ **and**

$A \neq B$ **and**

$A \neq C$ **and**

Coplanar A A' B B' and

Per B A A' and

Per B' A' A and

Coplanar A A' C C' and

Per C A A' and

Per B A C

shows *Per B' A' C'*

{proof}

lemma *l11-61-bis*:

assumes $D \text{ OrthAt } A B C D P$ **and**

$D E \text{ Perp } E Q$ **and**

$\text{Coplanar } A B C E$ **and**

$\text{Coplanar } D E P Q$

shows $E \text{ OrthAt } A B C E Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l11-62-unicity*:

assumes $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$ **and**

$\text{Coplanar } A B C D'$ **and**

$\forall E. \text{Coplanar } A B C E \longrightarrow \text{Per } E D P$ **and**

$\forall E. \text{Coplanar } A B C E \longrightarrow \text{Per } E D' P$

shows $D = D'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l11-62-unicity-bis*:

assumes $X \text{ OrthAt } A B C X U$ **and**

$Y \text{ OrthAt } A B C Y U$

shows $X = Y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *orth-at2--eq*:

assumes $X \text{ OrthAt } A B C U V$ **and**

$Y \text{ OrthAt } A B C U V$

shows $X = Y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *col-cop-orth-at--eq*:

assumes $X \text{ OrthAt } A B C U V$ **and**

$\text{Coplanar } A B C Y$ **and**

$\text{Col } U V Y$

shows $X = Y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *orth-at--ncop1*:

assumes $U \neq X$ **and**

$X \text{ OrthAt } A B C U V$

shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C U$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *orth-at--ncop2*:

assumes $V \neq X$ **and**

$X \text{ OrthAt } A B C U V$

shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C V$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *orth-at--ncop*:

assumes $X \text{ OrthAt } A B C X P$

shows $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l11-62-existence*:

$\exists D. (\text{Coplanar } A B C D \wedge (\forall E. (\text{Coplanar } A B C E \longrightarrow \text{Per } E D P)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l11-62-existence-bis*:

assumes $\neg \text{Coplanar } A B C P$

shows $\exists X. X \text{ OrthAt } A B C X P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l11-63-aux*:

assumes $\text{Coplanar } A B C D$ **and**

$D \neq E$ **and**

$E \text{ OrthAt } A B C E P$

shows $\exists Q. (D E \text{ OS } P Q \wedge A B C \text{ Orth } D Q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l11-63-existence:
assumes Coplanar A B C D **and**
 \neg Coplanar A B C P
shows \exists Q. A B C Orth D Q
(proof)

lemma l8-21-3:
assumes Coplanar A B C D **and**
 \neg Coplanar A B C X
shows
 \exists P T. (A B C Orth D P \wedge Coplanar A B C T \wedge Bet X T P)
(proof)

lemma mid2-orth-at2--cong:
assumes X OrthAt A B C X P **and**
Y OrthAt A B C Y Q **and**
X Midpoint P P' **and**
Y Midpoint Q Q'
shows Cong P Q P' Q'
(proof)

lemma orth-at2-tsp--ts:
assumes P \neq Q **and**
P OrthAt A B C P X **and**
Q OrthAt A B C Q Y **and**
A B C TSP X Y
shows P Q TS X Y
(proof)

lemma orth-dec:
shows A B C Orth U V \vee \neg A B C Orth U V *(proof)*

lemma orth-at-dec:
shows X OrthAt A B C U V \vee \neg X OrthAt A B C U V *(proof)*

lemma tsp-dec:
shows A B C TSP X Y \vee \neg A B C TSP X Y *(proof)*

lemma osp-dec:
shows A B C OSP X Y \vee \neg A B C OSP X Y *(proof)*

lemma ts2--inangle:
assumes A C TS B P **and**
B P TS A C
shows P InAngle A B C
(proof)

lemma os-ts--inangle:
assumes B P TS A C **and**
B A OS C P
shows P InAngle A B C
(proof)

lemma os2--inangle:
assumes B A OS C P **and**
B C OS A P
shows P InAngle A B C
(proof)

lemma acute-conga--acute:
assumes Acute A B C **and**
A B C CongA D E F
shows Acute D E F
(proof)

```

lemma acute-out2--acute:
assumes B Out A' A and
    B Out C' C and
        Acute A B C
shows Acute A' B C'
⟨proof⟩

lemma conga-obtuse--obtuse:
assumes Obtuse A B C and
    A B C CongA D E F
shows Obtuse D E F
⟨proof⟩

lemma obtuse-out2--obtuse:
assumes B Out A' A and
    B Out C' C and
        Obtuse A B C
shows Obtuse A' B C'
⟨proof⟩

lemma bet-lea--bet:
assumes Bet A B C and
    A B C LeA D E F
shows Bet D E F
⟨proof⟩

lemma out-lea--out:
assumes E Out D F and
    A B C LeA D E F
shows B Out A C
⟨proof⟩

lemma bet2-lta--lta:
assumes A B C LtA D E F and
    Bet A B A' and
        A' ≠ B and
    Bet D E D' and
        D' ≠ E
shows D' E F LtA A' B C
⟨proof⟩

lemma lea123456-lta--lta:
assumes A B C LeA D E F and
    D E F LtA G H I
shows A B C LtA G H I
⟨proof⟩

lemma lea456789-lta--lta:
assumes A B C LtA D E F and
    D E F LeA G H I
shows A B C LtA G H I
⟨proof⟩

lemma acute-per--lta:
assumes Acute A B C and
    D ≠ E and
    E ≠ F and
    Per D E F
shows A B C LtA D E F
⟨proof⟩

lemma obtuse-per--lta:
assumes Obtuse A B C and
    D ≠ E and
    E ≠ F and
    Per D E F

```

shows $D E F LtA A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *acute-obtuse--lta*:
 assumes $Acute A B C$ **and**
 $Obtuse D E F$
 shows $A B C LtA D E F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lea-in-angle*:
 assumes $A B P LeA A B C$ **and**
 $A B OS C P$
 shows $P InAngle A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *acute-bet--obtuse*:
 assumes $Bet A B A'$ **and**
 $A' \neq B$ **and**
 $Acute A B C$
 shows $Obtuse A' B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet-obtuse--acute*:
 assumes $Bet A B A'$ **and**
 $A' \neq B$ **and**
 $Obtuse A B C$
 shows $Acute A' B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inangle-dec*:
 $P InAngle A B C \vee \neg P InAngle A B C$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lea-dec*:
 $A B C LeA D E F \vee \neg A B C LeA D E F$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lta-dec*:
 $A B C LtA D E F \vee \neg A B C LtA D E F$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lea-total*:
 assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $B \neq C$ **and**
 $D \neq E$ **and**
 $E \neq F$
 shows $A B C LeA D E F \vee D E F LeA A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *or-lta2-conga*:
 assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $C \neq B$ **and**
 $D \neq E$ **and**
 $F \neq E$
 shows $A B C LtA D E F \vee D E F LtA A B C \vee A B C CongA D E F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *angle-partition*:
 assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $B \neq C$
 shows $Acute A B C \vee Per A B C \vee Obtuse A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *acute-chara-1*:
 assumes $Bet A B A'$ **and**
 $B \neq A'$ **and**
 $Acute A B C$
 shows $A B C LtA A' B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma acute-chara-2:
  assumes Bet A B A' and
    A B C LtA A' B C
  shows Acute A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma acute-chara:
  assumes Bet A B A' and
    B ≠ A'
  shows Acute A B C ←→ A B C LtA A' B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma obtuse-chara:
  assumes Bet A B A' and
    B ≠ A'
  shows Obtuse A B C ←→ A' B C LtA A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma conga--acute:
  assumes A B C CongA A C B
  shows Acute A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cong--acute:
  assumes A ≠ B and
    B ≠ C and
    Cong A B A C
  shows Acute A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nlta--lea:
  assumes ¬ A B C LtA D E F and
    A ≠ B and
    B ≠ C and
    D ≠ E and
    E ≠ F
  shows D E F LeA A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nlea--lta:
  assumes ¬ A B C LeA D E F and
    A ≠ B and
    B ≠ C and
    D ≠ E and
    E ≠ F
  shows D E F LtA A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma triangle-strict-inequality:
  assumes Bet A B D and
    Cong B C B D and
    ¬ Bet A B C
  shows A C Lt A D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma triangle-inequality:
  assumes Bet A B D and
    Cong B C B D
  shows A C Le A D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma triangle-strict-inequality-2:
  assumes Bet A' B' C' and
    Cong A B A' B' and
    Cong B C B' C' and

```

$\neg \text{Bet } A B C$
shows $A C \text{Lt } A' C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *triangle-inequality-2*:
assumes $\text{Bet } A' B' C' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A B A' B' \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } B C B' C'$
shows $A C \text{Le } A' C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *triangle-strict-reverse-inequality*:
assumes $A \text{Out } B D \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A C A D \text{ and}$
 $\neg A \text{Out } B C$
shows $B D \text{Lt } B C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *triangle-reverse-inequality*:
assumes $A \text{Out } B D \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A C A D$
shows $B D \text{Le } B C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *os3--lta*:
assumes $A B \text{OS } C D \text{ and}$
 $B C \text{OS } A D \text{ and}$
 $A C \text{OS } B D$
shows $B A C \text{LtA } B D C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bet-le--lt*:
assumes $\text{Bet } A D B \text{ and}$
 $A \neq D \text{ and}$
 $D \neq B \text{ and}$
 $A C \text{Le } B C$
shows $D C \text{Lt } B C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cong2--ncol*:
assumes $A \neq B \text{ and}$
 $B \neq C \text{ and}$
 $A \neq C \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A P B P \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A P C P$
shows $\neg \text{Col } A B C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cong4-cop2--eq*:
assumes $A \neq B \text{ and}$
 $B \neq C \text{ and}$
 $A \neq C \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A P B P \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A P C P \text{ and}$
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C P \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A Q B Q \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A Q C Q \text{ and}$
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C Q$
shows $P = Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *t18-18-aux*:
assumes $\text{Cong } A B D E \text{ and}$
 $\text{Cong } A C D F \text{ and}$
 $F D E \text{LtA } C A B \text{ and}$
 $\neg \text{Col } A B C \text{ and}$

$\neg \text{Col } D E F$ and
 $D F \text{ Le } D E$
shows $E F \text{ Lt } B C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *t18-18*:
assumes $\text{Cong } A B D E$ and
 $\text{Cong } A C D F$ and
 $F D E \text{ LtA } C A B$
shows $E F \text{ Lt } B C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *t18-19*:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $A \neq C$ and
 $\text{Cong } A B D E$ and
 $\text{Cong } A C D F$ and
 $E F \text{ Lt } B C$
shows $F D E \text{ LtA } C A B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *acute-trivial*:
assumes $A \neq B$
shows $\text{Acute } A B A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *acute-not-per*:
assumes $\text{Acute } A B C$
shows $\neg \text{Per } A B C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *angle-bisector*:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $C \neq B$
shows $\exists P. (P \text{ InAngle } A B C \wedge P B A \text{ CongA } P B C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reflectl-conga*:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $B \neq P$ and
 $P P' \text{ ReflectL } A B$
shows $A B P \text{ CongA } A B P'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conga-cop-out-reflectl--out*:
assumes $\neg B \text{ Out } A C$ and
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C P$ and
 $P B A \text{ CongA } P B C$ and
 $B \text{ Out } A T$ and
 $T T' \text{ ReflectL } B P$
shows $B \text{ Out } C T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *col-conga-cop-reflectl-col*:
assumes $\neg B \text{ Out } A C$ and
 $\text{Coplanar } A B C P$ and
 $P B A \text{ CongA } P B C$ and
 $\text{Col } B A T$ and
 $T T' \text{ ReflectL } B P$
shows $\text{Col } B C T'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *conga2-cop2--col*:
assumes $\neg B \text{ Out } A C$ and
 $P B A \text{ CongA } P B C$ and
 $P' B A \text{ CongA } P' B C$ and

Coplanar $A B P P'$ **and**
Coplanar $B C P P'$
shows $Col B P P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga2-cop2-col-1*:
assumes $\neg Col A B C$ **and**
 $P B A CongA P B C$ **and**
 $P' B A CongA P' B C$ **and**
Coplanar $A B C P$ **and**
Coplanar $A B C P'$
shows $Col B P P'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-conga-conga*:
assumes $P B A CongA P B C$ **and**
 $Col B P P'$ **and**
 $B \neq P'$
shows $P' B A CongA P' B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cop-inangle-ex-col-inangle*:
assumes $\neg B Out A C$ **and**
 $P InAngle A B C$ **and**
Coplanar $A B C Q$
shows $\exists R. (R InAngle A B C \wedge P \neq R \wedge Col P Q R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-inangle2-out*:
assumes $\neg Bet A B C$ **and**
 $P InAngle A B C$ **and**
 $Q InAngle A B C$ **and**
 $Col B P Q$
shows $B Out P Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inangle2-lea*:
assumes $P InAngle A B C$ **and**
 $Q InAngle A B C$
shows $P B Q LeA A B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-inangle-per-acute*:
assumes $Per A B C$ **and**
 $P InAngle A B C$ **and**
 $P B A CongA P B C$
shows $Acute A B P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-inangle2-per-acute*:
assumes $Per A B C$ **and**
 $P InAngle A B C$ **and**
 $P B A CongA P B C$ **and**
 $Q InAngle A B C$
shows $Acute P B Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lta-os-ts*:
assumes
 $A O1 P LtA A O1 B$ **and**
 $O1 A OS B P$
shows $O1 P TS A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet-suppa*:
assumes $A \neq B$ **and**

B \neq **C** and
B \neq **A'** and
 Bet **A** **B** **A'**
shows **A** **B** **C** SuppA **C** **B** **A'**
(proof)

lemma ex-suppa:
assumes **A** \neq **B** and
 $B \neq C$
shows $\exists D E F. A B C \text{Supp}A D E F$
(proof)

lemma suppa-distincts:
assumes **A** **B** **C** SuppA **D** **E** **F**
shows $A \neq B \wedge B \neq C \wedge D \neq E \wedge E \neq F$
(proof)

lemma suppa-right-comm:
assumes **A** **B** **C** SuppA **D** **E** **F**
shows **A** **B** **C** SuppA **F** **E** **D**
(proof)

lemma suppa-left-comm:
assumes **A** **B** **C** SuppA **D** **E** **F**
shows **C** **B** **A** SuppA **D** **E** **F**
(proof)

lemma suppa-comm:
assumes **A** **B** **C** SuppA **D** **E** **F**
shows **C** **B** **A** SuppA **F** **E** **D**
(proof)

lemma suppa-sym:
assumes **A** **B** **C** SuppA **D** **E** **F**
shows **D** **E** **F** SuppA **A** **B** **C**
(proof)

lemma conga2-suppa--suppa:
assumes **A** **B** **C** CongA **A'** **B'** **C'** and
 $D E F \text{Cong}A D' E' F'$ and
 $A B C \text{Supp}A D E F$
shows $A' B' C' \text{Supp}A D' E' F'$
(proof)

lemma suppa2--conga456:
assumes **A** **B** **C** SuppA **D** **E** **F** and
 $A B C \text{Supp}A D' E' F'$
shows $D E F \text{Cong}A D' E' F'$
(proof)

lemma suppa2--conga123:
assumes **A** **B** **C** SuppA **D** **E** **F** and
 $A' B' C' \text{Supp}A D E F$
shows $A B C \text{Cong}A A' B' C'$
(proof)

lemma bet-out--suppa:
assumes **A** \neq **B** and
 $B \neq C$ and
 Bet **A** **B** **C** and
 $E \text{Out} D F$
shows **A** **B** **C** SuppA **D** **E** **F**
(proof)

lemma bet-suppa--out:
assumes Bet **A** **B** **C** and

$A B C \text{Supp} A D E F$
shows $E \text{Out} D F$
(proof)

lemma *out-suppa--bet*:
assumes $B \text{Out} A C$ **and**
 $A B C \text{Supp} A D E F$
shows $\text{Bet} D E F$
(proof)

lemma *per-suppa--per*:
assumes $\text{Per} A B C$ **and**
 $A B C \text{Supp} A D E F$
shows $\text{Per} D E F$
(proof)

lemma *per2--suppa*:
assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $B \neq C$ **and**
 $D \neq E$ **and**
 $E \neq F$ **and**
 $\text{Per} A B C$ **and**
 $\text{Per} D E F$
shows $A B C \text{Supp} A D E F$
(proof)

lemma *suppa--per*:
assumes $A B C \text{Supp} A A B C$
shows $\text{Per} A B C$
(proof)

lemma *acute-suppa--obtuse*:
assumes $\text{Acute} A B C$ **and**
 $A B C \text{Supp} A D E F$
shows $\text{Obtuse} D E F$
(proof)

lemma *obtuse-suppa--acute*:
assumes $\text{Obtuse} A B C$ **and**
 $A B C \text{Supp} A D E F$
shows $\text{Acute} D E F$
(proof)

lemma *lea-suppa2--lea*:
assumes $A B C \text{Supp} A A' B' C'$ **and**
 $D E F \text{Supp} A D' E' F'$
 $A B C \text{LeA} D E F$
shows $D' E' F' \text{LeA} A' B' C'$
(proof)

lemma *lta-suppa2--lta*:
assumes $A B C \text{Supp} A A' B' C'$
and $D E F \text{Supp} A D' E' F'$
and $A B C \text{LtA} D E F$
shows $D' E' F' \text{LtA} A' B' C'$
(proof)

lemma *suppa-dec*:
 $A B C \text{Supp} A D E F \vee \neg A B C \text{Supp} A D E F$
(proof)

lemma *acute-one-side-aux*:
assumes $C A \text{OS} P B$ **and**
 $\text{Acute} A C P$ **and**
 $C A \text{Perp} B C$
shows $C B \text{OS} A P$

(proof)

lemma acute-one-side-aux0:

assumes Col A C P **and**
Acute A C P **and**
C A Perp B C
shows C B OS A P

(proof)

lemma acute-cop-perp--one-side:

assumes Acute A C P **and**
C A Perp B C **and**
Coplanar A B C P
shows C B OS A P

(proof)

lemma acute--not-obtuse:

assumes Acute A B C
shows \neg Obtuse A B C

(proof)

3.10.2 Sum of angles

lemma suma-distincts:

assumes A B C D E F SumA G H I
shows A \neq B \wedge B \neq C \wedge D \neq E \wedge E \neq F \wedge G \neq H \wedge H \neq I
(proof)

lemma trisuma-distincts:

assumes A B C TriSumA D E F
shows A \neq B \wedge B \neq C \wedge A \neq C \wedge D \neq E \wedge E \neq F
(proof)

lemma ex-suma:

assumes A \neq B **and**
B \neq C **and**
D \neq E **and**
E \neq F
shows \exists G H I. A B C D E F SumA G H I
(proof)

lemma suma2-conga:

assumes A B C D E F SumA G H I **and**
A B C D E F SumA G' H' I'
shows G H I CongA G' H' I'
(proof)

lemma suma-sym:

assumes A B C D E F SumA G H I
shows D E F A B C SumA G H I
(proof)

lemma conga3-suma-suma:

assumes A B C D E F SumA G H I **and**
A B C CongA A' B' C' **and**
D E F CongA D' E' F' **and**
G H I CongA G' H' I'
shows A' B' C' D' E' F' SumA G' H' I'
(proof)

lemma out6-suma--suma:

assumes A B C D E F SumA G H I **and**
B Out A A' **and**
B Out C C' **and**
E Out D D' **and**
E Out F F' **and**

$H \text{ Out } G G'$ and
 $H \text{ Out } I I'$
shows $A' B C' D' E F' \text{SumA } G' H I'$
(proof)

lemma *out546-suma-conga*:
assumes $A B C D E F \text{SumA } G H I$ and
 $E \text{ Out } D F$
shows $A B C \text{CongA } G H I$
(proof)

lemma *out546--suma*:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $B \neq C$ and
 $E \text{ Out } D F$
shows $A B C D E F \text{SumA } A B C$
(proof)

lemma *out213-suma-conga*:
assumes $A B C D E F \text{SumA } G H I$ and
 $B \text{ Out } A C$
shows $D E F \text{CongA } G H I$
(proof)

lemma *out213--suma*:
assumes $D \neq E$ and
 $E \neq F$ and
 $B \text{ Out } A C$
shows $A B C D E F \text{SumA } D E F$
(proof)

lemma *suma-left-comm*:
assumes $A B C D E F \text{SumA } G H I$
shows $C B A D E F \text{SumA } G H I$
(proof)

lemma *suma-middle-comm*:
assumes $A B C D E F \text{SumA } G H I$
shows $A B C F E D \text{SumA } G H I$
(proof)

lemma *suma-right-comm*:
assumes $A B C D E F \text{SumA } G H I$
shows $A B C D E F \text{SumA } I H G$
(proof)

lemma *suma-comm*:
assumes $A B C D E F \text{SumA } G H I$
shows $C B A F E D \text{SumA } I H G$
(proof)

lemma *ts--suma*:
assumes $A B TS C D$
shows $C B A A B D \text{SumA } C B D$
(proof)

lemma *ts--suma-1*:
assumes $A B TS C D$
shows $C A B B A D \text{SumA } C A D$
(proof)

lemma *inangle--suma*:
assumes $P \text{InAngle } A B C$
shows $A B P P B C \text{SumA } A B C$
(proof)

```

lemma bet--suma:
  assumes A ≠ B and
    B ≠ C and
    P ≠ B and Bet A B C
  shows A B P P B C SumA A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-chara:
  assumes A ≠ B and
    A' ≠ B and
    Bet A B A'
  shows SAMS A B C D E F ↔ D E F LeA C B A'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-distincts:
  assumes SAMS A B C D E F
  shows A ≠ B ∧ B ≠ C ∧ D ≠ E ∧ E ≠ F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-sym:
  assumes SAMS A B C D E F
  shows SAMS D E F A B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-right-comm:
  assumes SAMS A B C D E F
  shows SAMS A B C F E D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-left-comm:
  assumes SAMS A B C D E F
  shows SAMS C B A D E F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-comm:
  assumes SAMS A B C D E F
  shows SAMS C B A F E D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma conga2-sams--sams:
  assumes A B C CongA A' B' C' and
    D E F CongA D' E' F' and
    SAMS A B C D E F
  shows SAMS A' B' C' D' E' F'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma out546--sams:
  assumes A ≠ B and
    B ≠ C and
    E Out D F
  shows SAMS A B C D E F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma out213--sams:
  assumes D ≠ E and
    E ≠ F and
    B Out A C
  shows SAMS A B C D E F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bet-suma--sams:
  assumes A B C D E F SumA G H I and
    Bet G H I
  shows SAMS A B C D E F
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma bet--sams:
  assumes A ≠ B and
    B ≠ C and
    P ≠ B and
    Bet A B C
  shows SAMS A B P P B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma suppa--sams:
  assumes A B C SuppA D E F
  shows SAMS A B C D E F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma os-ts--sams:
  assumes B P TS A C and
    A B OS P C
  shows SAMS A B P P B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma os2--sams:
  assumes A B OS P C and
    C B OS P A
  shows SAMS A B P P B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inangle--sams:
  assumes P InAngle A B C
  shows SAMS A B P P B C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-suma--lea123789:
  assumes A B C D E F SumA G H I and
    SAMS A B C D E F
  shows A B C LeA G H I
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-suma--lea456789:
  assumes A B C D E F SumA G H I and
    SAMS A B C D E F
  shows D E F LeA G H I
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-lea2--sams:
  assumes SAMS A' B' C' D' E' F' and
    A B C LeA A' B' C' and
    D E F LeA D' E' F'
  shows SAMS A B C D E F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-lea456-suma2--lea:
  assumes D E F LeA D' E' F' and
    SAMS A B C D' E' F' and
    A B C D E F SumA G H I and
    A B C D' E' F' SumA G' H' I'
  shows G H I LeA G' H' I'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-lea123-suma2--lea:
  assumes A B C LeA A' B' C' and
    SAMS A' B' C' D E F and
    A B C D E F SumA G H I and
    A' B' C' D E F SumA G' H' I'
  shows G H I LeA G' H' I'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sams-lea2-suma2--lea:

```

assumes $A B C \text{Le} A A' B' C'$ **and**
D E F $\text{Le} A D' E' F'$ **and**
SAMS $A' B' C' D' E' F'$ **and**
 $A B C D E F \text{Sum} A G H I$ **and**
 $A' B' C' D' E' F' \text{Sum} A G' H' I'$
shows $G H I \text{Le} A G' H' I'$

(proof)

lemma *sams2-suma2-conga456*:
assumes **SAMS** $A B C D E F$ **and**
SAMS $A B C D' E' F'$ **and**
 $A B C D E F \text{Sum} A G H I$ **and**
 $A B C D' E' F' \text{Sum} A G H I$
shows $D E F \text{Cong} A D' E' F'$

(proof)

lemma *sams2-suma2-conga123*:
assumes **SAMS** $A B C D E F$ **and**
SAMS $A' B' C' D E F$ **and**
 $A B C D E F \text{Sum} A G H I$ **and**
 $A' B' C' D E F \text{Sum} A G H I$
shows $A B C \text{Cong} A A' B' C'$

(proof)

lemma *suma-assoc-1*:
assumes **SAMS** $A B C D E F$ **and**
SAMS $D E F G H I$ **and**
 $A B C D E F \text{Sum} A A' B' C'$ **and**
 $D E F G H I \text{Sum} A D' E' F'$ **and**
 $A' B' C' G H I \text{Sum} A K L M$
shows $A B C D' E' F' \text{Sum} A K L M$

(proof)

lemma *suma-assoc-2*:
assumes **SAMS** $A B C D E F$ **and**
SAMS $D E F G H I$ **and**
 $A B C D E F \text{Sum} A A' B' C'$ **and**
 $D E F G H I \text{Sum} A D' E' F'$ **and**
 $A B C D' E' F' \text{Sum} A K L M$
shows $A' B' C' G H I \text{Sum} A K L M$

(proof)

lemma *suma-assoc*:
assumes **SAMS** $A B C D E F$ **and**
SAMS $D E F G H I$ **and**
 $A B C D E F \text{Sum} A A' B' C'$ **and**
 $D E F G H I \text{Sum} A D' E' F'$
shows
 $A' B' C' G H I \text{Sum} A K L M \longleftrightarrow A B C D' E' F' \text{Sum} A K L M$

(proof)

lemma *sams-assoc-1*:
assumes **SAMS** $A B C D E F$ **and**
SAMS $D E F G H I$ **and**
 $A B C D E F \text{Sum} A A' B' C'$ **and**
 $D E F G H I \text{Sum} A D' E' F'$ **and**
SAMS $A' B' C' G H I$
shows $SAMS A B C D' E' F'$

(proof)

lemma *sams-assoc-2*:
assumes **SAMS** $A B C D E F$ **and**
SAMS $D E F G H I$ **and**
 $A B C D E F \text{Sum} A A' B' C'$ **and**
 $D E F G H I \text{Sum} A D' E' F'$ **and**
SAMS $A B C D' E' F'$

shows *SAMS A' B' C' G H I*

(proof)

lemma *sams-assoc*:

assumes *SAMS A B C D E F and*

SAMS D E F G H I and

A B C D E F SumA A' B' C' and

D E F G H I SumA D' E' F'

shows *(SAMS A' B' C' G H I) \longleftrightarrow (SAMS A B C D' E' F')*

(proof)

lemma *sams-nos--nts*:

assumes *SAMS A B C C B J and*

\neg B C OS A J

shows *\neg A B TS C J*

(proof)

lemma *conga-sams-nos--nts*:

assumes *SAMS A B C D E F and*

C B J CongA D E F and

\neg B C OS A J

shows *\neg A B TS C J*

(proof)

lemma *sams-lea2-suma2--conga123*:

assumes *A B C LeA A' B' C' and*

D E F LeA D' E' F' and

SAMS A' B' C' D' E' F' and

A B C D E F SumA G H I and

A' B' C' D' E' F' SumA G H I

shows *A B C CongA A' B' C'*

(proof)

lemma *sams-lea2-suma2--conga456*:

assumes *A B C LeA A' B' C' and*

D E F LeA D' E' F' and

SAMS A' B' C' D' E' F' and

A B C D E F SumA G H I and

A' B' C' D' E' F' SumA G H I

shows *D E F CongA D' E' F'*

(proof)

lemma *sams-suma--out213*:

assumes *A B C D E F SumA D E F and*

SAMS A B C D E F

shows *B Out A C*

(proof)

lemma *sams-suma--out546*:

assumes *A B C D E F SumA A B C and*

SAMS A B C D E F

shows *E Out D F*

(proof)

lemma *sams-lea-lta123-suma2--lta*:

assumes *A B C LtA A' B' C' and*

D E F LeA D' E' F' and

SAMS A' B' C' D' E' F' and

A B C D E F SumA G H I and

A' B' C' D' E' F' SumA G' H' I'

shows *G H I LtA G' H' I'*

(proof)

lemma *sams-lea-lta456-suma2--lta*:

assumes *A B C LeA A' B' C' and*

D E F LtA D' E' F' and

SAMS $A' B' C' D' E' F'$ **and**
A B C D E F SumA G H I and
 $A' B' C' D' E' F' \text{SumA } G' H' I'$
shows $G H I LtA G' H' I'$
(proof)

lemma *sams-lta2-suma2-lta*:
assumes $A B C LtA A' B' C'$ **and**
 $D E F LtA D' E' F'$ **and**
SAMS $A' B' C' D' E' F'$ **and**
A B C D E F SumA G H I and
 $A' B' C' D' E' F' \text{SumA } G' H' I'$
shows $G H I LtA G' H' I'$
(proof)

lemma *sams-lea2-suma2--lea123*:
assumes $D' E' F' LeA D E F$ **and**
 $G H I LeA G' H' I'$ **and**
SAMS $A B C D E F$ **and**
A B C D E F SumA G H I and
 $A' B' C' D' E' F' \text{SumA } G' H' I'$
shows $A B C LeA A' B' C'$
(proof)

lemma *sams-lea2-suma2--lea456*:
assumes $A' B' C' LeA A B C$ **and**
 $G H I LeA G' H' I'$ **and**
SAMS $A B C D E F$ **and**
A B C D E F SumA G H I and
 $A' B' C' D' E' F' \text{SumA } G' H' I'$
shows $D E F LeA D' E' F'$
(proof)

lemma *sams-lea-lta456-suma2--lta123*:
assumes $D' E' F' LtA D E F$ **and**
 $G H I LeA G' H' I'$ **and**
SAMS $A B C D E F$ **and**
A B C D E F SumA G H I and
 $A' B' C' D' E' F' \text{SumA } G' H' I'$
shows $A B C LtA A' B' C'$
(proof)

lemma *sams-lea-lta123-suma2--lta456*:
assumes $A' B' C' LtA A B C$ **and**
 $G H I LeA G' H' I'$ **and**
SAMS $A B C D E F$ **and**
A B C D E F SumA G H I and
 $A' B' C' D' E' F' \text{SumA } G' H' I'$
shows $D E F LtA D' E' F'$
(proof)

lemma *sams-lea-lta789-suma2--lta123*:
assumes $D' E' F' LeA D E F$ **and**
 $G H I LtA G' H' I'$ **and**
SAMS $A B C D E F$ **and**
A B C D E F SumA G H I and
 $A' B' C' D' E' F' \text{SumA } G' H' I'$
shows $A B C LtA A' B' C'$
(proof)

lemma *sams-lea-lta789-suma2--lta456*:
assumes $A' B' C' LeA A B C$ **and**
 $G H I LtA G' H' I'$ **and**
SAMS $A B C D E F$ **and**
A B C D E F SumA G H I and
 $A' B' C' D' E' F' \text{SumA } G' H' I'$

shows $D E F LtA D' E' F'$

(proof)

lemma *sams-lta2-suma2--lta123*:

assumes $D' E' F' LtA D E F$ **and**
 $G H I LtA G' H' I'$ **and**
SAMS A B C D E F **and**
 $A B C D E F SumA G H I$ **and**
 $A' B' C' D' E' F' SumA G' H' I'$
shows $A B C LtA A' B' C'$

(proof)

lemma *sams-lta2-suma2--lta456*:

assumes $A' B' C' LtA A B C$ **and**
 $G H I LtA G' H' I'$ **and**
SAMS A B C D E F **and**
 $A B C D E F SumA G H I$ **and**
 $A' B' C' D' E' F' SumA G' H' I'$
shows $D E F LtA D' E' F'$

(proof)

lemma *sams123231*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $A \neq C$ **and**
 $B \neq C$
shows *SAMS A B C B C A*

(proof)

lemma *col-suma--col*:

assumes *Col D E F* **and**
 $A B C B C A SumA D E F$
shows *Col A B C*

(proof)

lemma *ncol-suma--ncol*:

assumes $\neg Col A B C$ **and**
 $A B C B C A SumA D E F$
shows $\neg Col D E F$

(proof)

lemma *per2-suma--bet*:

assumes *Per A B C* **and**
Per D E F **and**
 $A B C D E F SumA G H I$
shows *Bet G H I*

(proof)

lemma *bet-per2-suma*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $B \neq C$ **and**
 $D \neq E$ **and**
 $E \neq F$ **and**
 $G \neq H$ **and**
 $H \neq I$ **and**
Per A B C **and**
Per D E F **and**
Bet G H I
shows $A B C D E F SumA G H I$

(proof)

lemma *per2--sams*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $B \neq C$ **and**
 $D \neq E$ **and**
 $E \neq F$ **and**
Per A B C **and**

Per D E F
shows *SAMS A B C D E F*
(proof)

lemma *bet-per-suma--per456*:
assumes *Per A B C and*
Bet G H I and
A B C D E F SumA G H I
shows *Per D E F*
(proof)

lemma *bet-per-suma--per123*:
assumes *Per D E F and*
Bet G H I and
A B C D E F SumA G H I
shows *Per A B C*
(proof)

lemma *bet-suma--per*:
assumes *Bet D E F and*
A B C A B C SumA D E F
shows *Per A B C*
(proof)

lemma *acute--sams*:
assumes *Acute A B C*
shows *SAMS A B C A B C*
(proof)

lemma *acute-suma--nbet*:
assumes *Acute A B C and*
A B C A B C SumA D E F
shows *¬ Bet D E F*
(proof)

lemma *acute2--sams*:
assumes *Acute A B C and*
Acute D E F
shows *SAMS A B C D E F*
(proof)

lemma *acute2-suma--nbet-a*:
assumes *Acute A B C and*
D E F LeA A B C and
A B C D E F SumA G H I
shows *¬ Bet G H I*
(proof)

lemma *acute2-suma--nbet*:
assumes *Acute A B C and*
Acute D E F and
A B C D E F SumA G H I
shows *¬ Bet G H I*
(proof)

lemma *acute-per--sams*:
assumes *A ≠ B and*
B ≠ C and
Per A B C and
Acute D E F
shows *SAMS A B C D E F*
(proof)

lemma *acute-per-suma--nbet*:
assumes *A ≠ B and*
B ≠ C and

Per A B C and
Acute D E F and
A B C D E F SumA G H I
shows $\neg \text{Bet } G H I$
(proof)

lemma obtuse--nsams:
assumes Obtuse A B C
shows $\neg \text{SAMS } A B C A B C$
(proof)

lemma nbet-sams-suma--acute:
assumes $\neg \text{Bet } D E F$ and
SAMS A B C A B C and
A B C A B C SumA D E F
shows Acute A B C
(proof)

lemma nsams--obtuse:
assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $B \neq C$ and
 $\neg \text{SAMS } A B C A B C$
shows Obtuse A B C
(proof)

lemma sams2-suma2--conga:
assumes SAMS A B C A B C and
A B C A B C SumA D E F and
SAMS A' B' C' A' B' C' and
A' B' C' A' B' C' SumA D E F
shows A B C CongA A' B' C'
(proof)

lemma acute2-suma2--conga:
assumes Acute A B C and
A B C A B C SumA D E F and
Acute A' B' C' and
A' B' C' A' B' C' SumA D E F
shows A B C CongA A' B' C'
(proof)

lemma bet2-suma--out:
assumes Bet A B C and
Bet D E F and
A B C D E F SumA G H I
shows H Out G I
(proof)

lemma col2-suma--col:
assumes Col A B C and
Col D E F and
A B C D E F SumA G H I
shows Col G H I
(proof)

lemma suma-suppa--bet:
assumes A B C SuppA D E F and
A B C D E F SumA G H I
shows Bet G H I
(proof)

lemma bet-suppa--suma:
assumes $G \neq H$ and
 $H \neq I$ and
A B C SuppA D E F and
Bet G H I

shows $A B C D E F \text{SumA } G H I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet-suma--suppa*:
 assumes $A B C D E F \text{SumA } G H I$ **and**
 Bet $G H I$
 shows $A B C \text{SuppA } D E F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet2-suma--suma*:
 assumes $A' \neq B$ **and**
 $D' \neq E$ **and**
 Bet $A B A'$ **and**
 Bet $D E D'$ **and**
 $A B C D E F \text{SumA } G H I$
 shows $A' B C D' E F \text{SumA } G H I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *suma-suppa2--suma*:
 assumes $A B C \text{SuppA } A' B' C'$ **and**
 $D E F \text{SuppA } D' E' F'$ **and**
 $A B C D E F \text{SumA } G H I$
 shows $A' B' C' D' E' F' \text{SumA } G H I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *suma2-obtuse2--conga*:
 assumes *Obtuse* $A B C$ **and**
 $A B C A B C \text{SumA } D E F$ **and**
 Obtuse $A' B' C'$ **and**
 $A' B' C' A' B' C' \text{SumA } D E F$
 shows $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bet-suma2--or-conga*:
 assumes $A0 \neq B$ **and**
 Bet $A B A0$ **and**
 $A B C A B C \text{SumA } D E F$ **and**
 $A' B' C' A' B' C' \text{SumA } D E F$
 shows $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C' \vee A0 B C \text{CongA } A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *suma2--or-conga-suppa*:
 assumes $A B C A B C \text{SumA } D E F$ **and**
 $A' B' C' A' B' C' \text{SumA } D E F$
 shows $A B C \text{CongA } A' B' C' \vee A B C \text{SuppA } A' B' C'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ex-trisuma*:
 assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $B \neq C$ **and**
 $A \neq C$
 shows $\exists D E F. A B C \text{TriSumA } D E F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trisuma-perm-231*:
 assumes $A B C \text{TriSumA } D E F$
 shows $B C A \text{TriSumA } D E F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trisuma-perm-312*:
 assumes $A B C \text{TriSumA } D E F$
 shows $C A B \text{TriSumA } D E F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trisuma-perm-321*:
 assumes $A B C \text{TriSumA } D E F$

shows $C B A \text{TriSum} A D E F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trisuma-perm-213*:

assumes $A B C \text{TriSum} A D E F$

shows $B A C \text{TriSum} A D E F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trisuma-perm-132*:

assumes $A B C \text{TriSum} A D E F$

shows $A C B \text{TriSum} A D E F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga-trisuma--trisuma*:

assumes $A B C \text{TriSum} A D E F$ **and**

$D E F \text{Cong} A D' E' F'$

shows $A B C \text{TriSum} A D' E' F'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trisuma2--conga*:

assumes $A B C \text{TriSum} A D E F$ **and**

$A B C \text{TriSum} A D' E' F'$

shows $D E F \text{Cong} A D' E' F'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conga3-trisuma--trisuma*:

assumes $A B C \text{TriSum} A D E F$ **and**

$A B C \text{Cong} A A' B' C' \text{ and}$

$B C A \text{Cong} A B' C' A' \text{ and}$

$C A B \text{Cong} A C' A' B'$

shows $A' B' C' \text{TriSum} A D E F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *col-trisuma--bet*:

assumes $Col A B C$ **and**

$A B C \text{TriSum} A P Q R$

shows $Bet P Q R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *suma-dec*:

$A B C D E F \text{Sum} A G H I \vee \neg A B C D E F \text{Sum} A G H I$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sams-dec*:

$SAMS A B C D E F \vee \neg SAMS A B C D E F$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trisuma-dec*:

$A B C \text{TriSum} A P Q R \vee \neg A B C \text{TriSum} A P Q R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.11 Parallelism

lemma *par-reflexivity*:

assumes $A \neq B$

shows $A B \text{Par} A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *par-strict-irreflexivity*:

$\neg A B \text{ParStrict} A B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-par-strict-id*:

$\neg A B \text{ParStrict} A C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *par-id*:

assumes $A B \text{Par} A C$

```
shows Col A B C  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-strict-not-col-1:  
  assumes A B ParStrict C D  
  shows  $\neg$  Col A B C  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-strict-not-col-2:  
  assumes A B ParStrict C D  
  shows  $\neg$  Col B C D  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-strict-not-col-3:  
  assumes A B ParStrict C D  
  shows  $\neg$  Col C D A  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-strict-not-col-4:  
  assumes A B ParStrict C D  
  shows  $\neg$  Col A B D  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-id-1:  
  assumes A B Par A C  
  shows Col B A C  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-id-2:  
  assumes A B Par A C  
  shows Col B C A  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-id-3:  
  assumes A B Par A C  
  shows Col A C B  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-id-4:  
  assumes A B Par A C  
  shows Col C B A  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-id-5:  
  assumes A B Par A C  
  shows Col C A B  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-strict-symmetry:  
  assumes A B ParStrict C D  
  shows C D ParStrict A B  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-symmetry:  
  assumes A B Par C D  
  shows C D Par A B  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-left-comm:  
  assumes A B Par C D  
  shows B A Par C D  
{proof}
```

```
lemma par-right-comm:  
  assumes A B Par C D  
  shows A B Par D C
```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma par-comm:
  assumes A B Par C D
  shows B A Par D C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma par-strict-left-comm:
  assumes A B ParStrict C D
  shows B A ParStrict C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma par-strict-right-comm:
  assumes A B ParStrict C D
  shows A B ParStrict D C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma par-strict-comm:
  assumes A B ParStrict C D
  shows B A ParStrict D C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma par-strict-neq1:
  assumes A B ParStrict C D
  shows A ≠ B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma par-strict-neq2:
  assumes A B ParStrict C D
  shows C ≠ D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma par-neq1:
  assumes A B Par C D
  shows A ≠ B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma par-neq2:
  assumes A B Par C D
  shows C ≠ D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Par-cases:
  assumes A B Par C D ∨ B A Par C D ∨ A B Par D C ∨ B A Par D C ∨ C D Par A B ∨ C D Par B A ∨ D C
  Par A B ∨ D C Par B A
  shows A B Par C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Par-perm:
  assumes A B Par C D
  shows A B Par C D ∧ B A Par C D ∧ A B Par D C ∧ B A Par D C ∧ C D Par A B ∧ C D Par B A ∧ D C Par
  A B ∧ D C Par B A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Par-strict-cases:
  assumes A B ParStrict C D ∨ B A ParStrict C D ∨ A B ParStrict D C ∨ B A ParStrict D C ∨ C D ParStrict A B
  ∨ C D ParStrict B A ∨ D C ParStrict A B ∨ D C ParStrict B A
  shows A B ParStrict C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Par-strict-perm:
  assumes A B ParStrict C D
  shows A B ParStrict C D ∧ B A ParStrict C D ∧ A B ParStrict D C ∧ B A ParStrict D C ∧ C D ParStrict A B ∧
  C D ParStrict B A ∧ D C ParStrict A B ∧ D C ParStrict B A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma l12-6:
  assumes A B ParStrict C D
  shows A B OS C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pars--os3412:
  assumes A B ParStrict C D
  shows C D OS A B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-dec:
  A B Perp C D ∨ ¬ A B Perp C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma col-cop2-perp2-col:
  assumes X1 X2 Perp A B and
    Y1 Y2 Perp A B and
    Col X1 Y1 Y2 and
    Coplanar A B X2 Y1 and
    Coplanar A B X2 Y2
  shows Col X2 Y1 Y2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma col-perp2-ncol-col:
  assumes X1 X2 Perp A B and
    Y1 Y2 Perp A B and
    Col X1 Y1 Y2 and
    ¬ Col X1 A B
  shows Col X2 Y1 Y2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma l12-9:
  assumes
    Coplanar C1 C2 A1 B1 and
    Coplanar C1 C2 A1 B2 and
    Coplanar C1 C2 A2 B1 and
    Coplanar C1 C2 A2 B2 and
    A1 A2 Perp C1 C2 and
    B1 B2 Perp C1 C2
  shows A1 A2 Par B1 B2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma parallel-existence:
  assumes A ≠ B
  shows ∃ C D. C ≠ D ∧ A B Par C D ∧ Col P C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma par-col-par:
  assumes C ≠ D' and
    A B Par C D and
    Col C D D'
  shows A B Par C D'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma parallel-existence1:
  assumes A ≠ B
  shows ∃ Q. A B Par P Q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma par-not-col:
  assumes A B ParStrict C D and
    Col X A B
  shows ¬ Col X C D
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma not-strict-par1:

```

assumes $A \parallel B \parallel C \parallel D$ **and**

$\text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel X$ **and**

$\text{Col } C \parallel D \parallel X$

shows $\text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel C$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-strict-par2*:

assumes $A \parallel B \parallel C \parallel D$ **and**

$\text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel X$ **and**

$\text{Col } C \parallel D \parallel X$

shows $\text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-strict-par*:

assumes $A \parallel B \parallel C \parallel D$ **and**

$\text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel X$ **and**

$\text{Col } C \parallel D \parallel X$

shows $\text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel C \wedge \text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-par-not-col*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**

$A \neq C$ **and**

$\neg A \parallel B \parallel A \parallel C$

shows $\neg \text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel C$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-par-inter-uniqueness*:

assumes $A \neq B$ **and**

$C \neq D$ **and**

$\neg A \parallel B \parallel C \parallel D$ **and**

$\text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel X$ **and**

$\text{Col } C \parallel D \parallel X$ **and**

$\text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel Y$ **and**

$\text{Col } C \parallel D \parallel Y$

shows $X = Y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inter-uniqueness-not-par*:

assumes $\neg \text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel C$ **and**

$\text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel P$ **and**

$\text{Col } C \parallel D \parallel P$

shows $\neg A \parallel B \parallel C \parallel D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *col-not-col-not-par*:

assumes $\exists P. \text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel P \wedge \text{Col } C \parallel D \parallel P$ **and**

$\exists Q. \text{Col } C \parallel D \parallel Q \wedge \neg \text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel Q$

shows $\neg A \parallel B \parallel C \parallel D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *par-distincts*:

assumes $A \parallel B \parallel C \parallel D$

shows $A \parallel B \parallel C \parallel D \wedge A \neq B \wedge C \neq D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *par-not-col-strict*:

assumes $A \parallel B \parallel C \parallel D$ **and**

$\text{Col } C \parallel D \parallel P$ **and**

$\neg \text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel P$

shows $A \parallel B \parallel \text{ParStrict } C \parallel D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *col-cop-perp2-pars*:

assumes $\neg \text{Col } A \parallel B \parallel P$ **and**

$\text{Col } C \parallel D \parallel P$ **and**

Coplanar A B C D and
A B Perp P Q and
C D Perp P Q
shows A B ParStrict C D
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma all-one-side-par-strict:
assumes C ≠ D and
 $\forall P. Col C D P \longrightarrow A B OS C P$
shows A B ParStrict C D
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma par-col-par-2:
assumes A ≠ P and
 $Col A B P$ **and**
 $A B Par C D$
shows A P Par C D
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma par-col2-par:
assumes E ≠ F and
 $A B Par C D$ **and**
 $Col C D E$ **and**
 $Col C D F$
shows A B Par E F
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma par-col2-par-bis:
assumes E ≠ F and
 $A B Par C D$ **and**
 $Col E F C$ **and**
 $Col E F D$
shows A B Par E F
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma par-strict-col-par-strict:
assumes C ≠ E and
 $A B ParStrict C D$ **and**
 $Col C D E$
shows A B ParStrict C E
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma par-strict-col2-par-strict:
assumes E ≠ F and
 $A B ParStrict C D$ **and**
 $Col C D E$ **and**
 $Col C D F$
shows A B ParStrict E F
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma line-dec:
 $(Col C1 B1 B2 \wedge Col C2 B1 B2) \vee \neg (Col C1 B1 B2 \wedge Col C2 B1 B2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma par-distinct:
assumes A B Par C D
shows A ≠ B ∧ C ≠ D
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma par-col4-par:
assumes E ≠ F and
 $G \neq H$ **and**
 $A B Par C D$ **and**
 $Col A B E$ **and**
 $Col A B F$ **and**
 $Col C D G$ **and**

$\text{Col } C D H$
shows $E F \text{ Par } G H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *par-strict-col4--par-strict*:
assumes $E \neq F$ **and**
 $G \neq H$ **and**
 $A B \text{ ParStrict } C D$ **and**
 $\text{Col } A B E$ **and**
 $\text{Col } A B F$ **and**
 $\text{Col } C D G$ **and**
 $\text{Col } C D H$
shows $E F \text{ ParStrict } G H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *par-strict-one-side*:
assumes $A B \text{ ParStrict } C D$ **and**
 $\text{Col } C D P$
shows $A B \text{ OS } C P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *par-strict-all-one-side*:
assumes $A B \text{ ParStrict } C D$
shows $\forall P. \text{Col } C D P \longrightarrow A B \text{ OS } C P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inter-trivial*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B X$
shows $X \text{ Inter } A X B X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inter-sym*:
assumes $X \text{ Inter } A B C D$
shows $X \text{ Inter } C D A B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inter-left-comm*:
assumes $X \text{ Inter } A B C D$
shows $X \text{ Inter } B A C D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inter-right-comm*:
assumes $X \text{ Inter } A B C D$
shows $X \text{ Inter } A B D C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inter-comm*:
assumes $X \text{ Inter } A B C D$
shows $X \text{ Inter } B A D C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l12-17*:
assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $P \text{ Midpoint } A C$ **and**
 $P \text{ Midpoint } B D$
shows $A B \text{ Par } C D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l12-18-a*:
assumes $\text{Cong } A B C D$ **and**
 $\text{Cong } B C D A$ **and**
 $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ **and**
 $B \neq D$ **and**
 $\text{Col } A P C$ **and**
 $\text{Col } B P D$
shows $A B \text{ Par } C D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l12-18-b:

assumes Cong A B C D and
Cong B C D A and
 \neg Col A B C and
 $B \neq D$ and
Col A P C and
Col B P D
shows B C Par D A

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l12-18-c:

assumes Cong A B C D and
Cong B C D A and
 \neg Col A B C and
 $B \neq D$ and
Col A P C and
Col B P D
shows B D TS A C

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l12-18-d:

assumes Cong A B C D and
Cong B C D A and
 \neg Col A B C and
 $B \neq D$ and
Col A P C and
Col B P D
shows A C TS B D

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l12-18:

assumes Cong A B C D and
Cong B C D A and
 \neg Col A B C and
 $B \neq D$ and
Col A P C and
Col B P D
shows A B Par C D \wedge B C Par D A \wedge B D TS A C \wedge A C TS B D

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma par-two-sides-two-sides:

assumes A B Par C D and
B D TS A C
shows A C TS B D

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma par-one-or-two-sides:

assumes A B ParStrict C D
shows (A C TS B D \wedge B D TS A C) \vee (A C OS B D \wedge B D OS A C)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l12-21-b:

assumes A C TS B D and
B A C CongA D C A
shows A B Par C D

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l12-22-aux:

assumes P \neq A and
 $A \neq C$ and
Bet P A C and
P A OS B D and
B A P CongA D C P
shows A B Par C D

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma l12-22-b:

assumes $P \text{ Out } A C$ and
 $P A OS B D$ and
 $B A P CongA D C P$
shows $A B Par C D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma par-strict-par:

assumes $A B ParStrict C D$
shows $A B Par C D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma par-strict-distinct:

assumes $A B ParStrict C D$
shows $A \neq B \wedge C \neq D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma col-par:

assumes $A \neq B$ and
 $B \neq C$ and
 $Col A B C$
shows $A B Par B C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma acute-col-perp--out:

assumes $Acute A B C$ and
 $Col B C A'$ and
 $B C Perp A A'$
shows $B Out A' C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma acute-col-perp--out-1:

assumes $Acute A B C$ and
 $Col B C A'$ and
 $B A Perp A A'$
shows $B Out A' C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma conga-inangle-per2--inangle:

assumes $Per A B C$ and
 $T InAngle A B C$ and
 $P B A CongA P B C$ and
 $Per B P T$ and
 $Coplanar A B C P$
shows $P InAngle A B C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma perp-not-par:

assumes $A B Perp X Y$
shows $\neg A B Par X Y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cong-conga-perp:

assumes $B P TS A C$ and
 $Cong A B C B$ and
 $A B P CongA C B P$
shows $A C Perp B P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma perp-inter-exists:

assumes $A B Perp C D$
shows $\exists P. Col A B P \wedge Col C D P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma perp-inter-perp-in:
  assumes A B Perp C D
  shows  $\exists P. \text{Col } A B P \wedge \text{Col } C D P \wedge P \text{PerpAt } A B C D$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

context Tarski-2D

begin

```

lemma l12-9-2D:
  assumes A1 A2 Perp C1 C2 and
    B1 B2 Perp C1 C2
  shows A1 A2 Par B1 B2
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

context Tarski-neutral-dimensionless

begin

3.12 Tarski: Chapter 13

3.12.1 Introduction

```

lemma per2-col-eq:
  assumes A  $\neq$  P and
    A  $\neq$  P' and
    Per A P B and
    Per A P' B and
    Col P A P'
  shows P  $=$  P'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma per2-preserves-diff:
  assumes PO  $\neq$  A' and
    PO  $\neq$  B' and
    Col PO A' B' and
    Per PO A' A and
    Per PO B' B and
    A'  $\neq$  B'
  shows A  $\neq$  B
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma per23-preserves-bet:
  assumes Bet A B C and
    A  $\neq$  B' and A  $\neq$  C' and
    Col A B' C' and
    Per A B' B and
    Per A C' C
  shows Bet A B' C'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma per23-preserves-bet-inv:
  assumes Bet A B' C' and
    A  $\neq$  B' and
    Col A B C and
    Per A B' B and
    Per A C' C
  shows Bet A B C
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma per13-preserves-bet:
  assumes Bet A B C and
    B  $\neq$  A' and

```

$B \neq C'$ and
 $Col A' B C'$ and
 $Per B A' A$ and
 $Per B C' C$
shows $Bet A' B C'$
(proof)

lemma *per13-preserves-bet-inv*:
assumes $Bet A' B C'$ and
 $B \neq A'$ and
 $B \neq C'$ and
 $Col A B C$ and
 $Per B A' A$ and
 $Per B C' C$
shows $Bet A B C$
(proof)

lemma *per3-preserves-bet1*:
assumes $Col PO A B$ and
 $Bet A B C$ and
 $PO \neq A'$ and
 $PO \neq B'$ and
 $PO \neq C'$ and
 $Per PO A' A$ and
 $Per PO B' B$ and
 $Per PO C' C$ and
 $Col A' B' C'$ and
 $Col PO A' B'$
shows $Bet A' B' C'$
(proof)

lemma *per3-preserves-bet2-aux*:
assumes $Col PO A C$ and
 $A \neq C'$ and
 $Bet A B' C'$ and
 $PO \neq A$ and
 $PO \neq B'$ and
 $PO \neq C'$ and
 $Per PO B' B$ and
 $Per PO C' C$ and
 $Col A B C$ and
 $Col PO A C'$
shows $Bet A B C$
(proof)

lemma *per3-preserves-bet2*:
assumes $Col PO A C$ and
 $A' \neq C'$ and
 $Bet A' B' C'$ and
 $PO \neq A'$ and
 $PO \neq B'$ and
 $PO \neq C'$ and
 $Per PO A' A$ and
 $Per PO B' B$ and
 $Per PO C' C$ and
 $Col A B C$ and
 $Col PO A' C'$
shows $Bet A B C$
(proof)

lemma *symmetry-preserves-per*:
assumes $Per B P A$ and
 $B Midpoint A A'$ and
 $B Midpoint P P'$
shows $Per B P' A'$
(proof)

lemma *l13-1-aux*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ **and**
 $P \text{ Midpoint } B C$ **and**
 $Q \text{ Midpoint } A C$ **and**
 $R \text{ Midpoint } A B$
shows
 $\exists X Y. (R \text{ PerpAt } X Y A B \wedge X Y \text{ Perp } P Q \wedge \text{Coplanar } A B C X \wedge \text{Coplanar } A B C Y)$
(proof)

lemma *l13-1*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ **and**
 $P \text{ Midpoint } B C$ **and**
 $Q \text{ Midpoint } A C$ **and**
 $R \text{ Midpoint } A B$
shows
 $\exists X Y. (R \text{ PerpAt } X Y A B \wedge X Y \text{ Perp } P Q)$
(proof)

lemma *per-lt*:
assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $C \neq B$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A B C$
shows $A B \text{ Lt } A C \wedge C B \text{ Lt } A C$
(proof)

lemma *cong-perp-conga*:
assumes $\text{Cong } A B C B$ **and**
 $A C \text{ Perp } B P$
shows $A B P \text{ CongA } C B P \wedge B P \text{ TS } A C$
(proof)

lemma *perp-per-bet*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ **and**
 $\text{Per } A B C$ **and**
 $P \text{ PerpAt } P B A C$
shows $\text{Bet } A P C$
(proof)

lemma *ts-per-per-ts*:
assumes $A B \text{ TS } C D$ **and**
 $\text{Per } B C A$ **and**
 $\text{Per } B D A$
shows $C D \text{ TS } A B$
(proof)

lemma *l13-2-1*:
assumes $A B \text{ TS } C D$ **and**
 $\text{Per } B C A$ **and**
 $\text{Per } B D A$ **and**
 $\text{Col } C D E$ **and**
 $A E \text{ Perp } C D$ **and**
 $C A B \text{ CongA } D A B$
shows $B A C \text{ CongA } D A E \wedge B A D \text{ CongA } C A E \wedge \text{Bet } C E D$
(proof)

lemma *triangle-mid-par*:
assumes $\neg \text{Col } A B C$ **and**
 $P \text{ Midpoint } B C$ **and**
 $Q \text{ Midpoint } A C$
shows $A B \text{ ParStrict } Q P$
(proof)

lemma *cop4-perp-in2--col*:
assumes $\text{Coplanar } X Y A A'$ **and**

Coplanar $X Y A B'$ **and**
Coplanar $X Y B A'$ **and**
Coplanar $X Y B B'$ **and**
P PerpAt $A B X Y$ **and**
P PerpAt $A' B' X Y$
shows $Col A B A'$
(proof)

lemma *l13-2*:
assumes $A B TS C D$ **and**
Per $B C A$ **and**
Per $B D A$ **and**
Col $C D E$ **and**
A E Perp C D
shows $B A C CongA D A E \wedge B A D CongA C A E \wedge Bet C E D$
(proof)

lemma *perp2-refl*:
assumes $A \neq B$
shows $P Perp2 A B A B$
(proof)

lemma *perp2-sym*:
assumes $P Perp2 A B C D$
shows $P Perp2 C D A B$
(proof)

lemma *perp2-left-comm*:
assumes $P Perp2 A B C D$
shows $P Perp2 B A C D$
(proof)

lemma *perp2-right-comm*:
assumes $P Perp2 A B C D$
shows $P Perp2 A B D C$
(proof)

lemma *perp2-comm*:
assumes $P Perp2 A B C D$
shows $P Perp2 B A D C$
(proof)

lemma *perp2-pseudo-trans*:
assumes $P Perp2 A B C D$ **and**
 $P Perp2 C D E F$ **and**
 $\neg Col C D P$
shows $P Perp2 A B E F$
(proof)

lemma *col-cop-perp2-pars-bis*:
assumes $\neg Col A B P$ **and**
 $Col C D P$ **and**
Coplanar $A B C D$ **and**
 $P Perp2 A B C D$
shows $A B ParStrict C D$
(proof)

lemma *perp2-preserves-bet23*:
assumes $Bet PO A B$ **and**
 $Col PO A' B'$ **and**
 $\neg Col PO A A'$ **and**
 $PO Perp2 A A' B B'$
shows $Bet PO A' B'$
(proof)

lemma *perp2-preserves-bet13*:

```

assumes Bet B PO C and
  Col PO B' C' and
  ¬ Col PO B B' and
  PO Perp2 B C' C B'
shows Bet B' PO C'
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-image-perp-in:
assumes A ≠ A' and
  X ≠ Y and
  A A' Reflect X Y
shows ∃ P. P PerpAt A A' X Y
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-inter-perp-in-n:
assumes A B Perp C D
shows ∃ P. Col A B P ∧ Col C D P ∧ P PerpAt A B C D
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp2-perp-in:
assumes PO Perp2 A B C D and
  ¬ Col PO A B and
  ¬ Col PO C D
shows ∃ P Q. Col A B P ∧ Col C D Q ∧ Col PO P Q ∧ P PerpAt PO P A B ∧ Q PerpAt PO Q C D
⟨proof⟩

lemma l13-8:
assumes U ≠ PO and
  V ≠ PO and
  Col PO P Q and
  Col PO U V and
  Per P U PO and
  Per Q V PO
shows PO Out P Q ↔ PO Out U V
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-in-rewrite:
assumes P PerpAt A B C D
shows P PerpAt A P P C ∨ P PerpAt A P P D ∨ P PerpAt B P P C ∨ P PerpAt B P P D
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-out-acute:
assumes B Out A C' and
  A B Perp C C'
shows Acute A B C
⟨proof⟩

lemma perp-bet-obtuse:
assumes B ≠ C' and
  A B Perp C C' and
  Bet A B C'
shows Obtuse A B C
⟨proof⟩

end

```

3.12.2 Part 1: 2D

```

context Tarski-2D
begin

```

```

lemma perp-in2--col:
assumes P PerpAt A B X Y and
  P PerpAt A' B' X Y
shows Col A B A'
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma perp2-trans:
  assumes P Perp2 A B C D and
    P Perp2 C D E F
  shows P Perp2 A B E F
  {proof}

```

```

lemma perp2-par:
  assumes PO Perp2 A B C D
  shows A B Par C D
  {proof}

```

end

3.12.3 Part 2: length

context *Tarski-neutral-dimensionless*

begin

```

lemma lg-exists:
   $\exists l. (QCong l \wedge l A B)$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma lg-cong:
  assumes QCong l and
    l A B and
    l C D
  shows Cong A B C D
  {proof}

```

```

lemma lg-cong-lg:
  assumes QCong l and
    l A B and
    Cong A B C D
  shows l C D
  {proof}

```

```

lemma lg-sym:
  assumes QCong l
  and l A B
  shows l B A
  {proof}

```

```

lemma ex-points-lg:
  assumes QCong l
  shows  $\exists A B. l A B$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma is-len-cong:
  assumes TarskiLen A B l and
    TarskiLen C D l
  shows Cong A B C D
  {proof}

```

```

lemma is-len-cong-is-len:
  assumes TarskiLen A B l and
    Cong A B C D
  shows TarskiLen C D l
  {proof}

```

```

lemma not-cong-is-len:
  assumes  $\neg Cong A B C D$  and
    TarskiLen A B l
  shows  $\neg l C D$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma not-cong-is-len1:
  assumes  $\neg \text{Cong } A B C D$ 
  and  $\text{TarskiLen } A B l$ 
  shows  $\neg \text{TarskiLen } C D l$ 
  (proof)

lemma lg-null-instance:
  assumes  $QCongNull l$ 
  shows  $l A A$ 
  (proof)

lemma lg-null-trivial:
  assumes  $QCong l$ 
  and  $l A A$ 
  shows  $QCongNull l$ 
  (proof)

lemma lg-null-dec:
  shows  $QCongNull l \vee \neg QCongNull l$ 
  (proof)

lemma ex-point-lg:
  assumes  $QCong l$ 
  shows  $\exists B. l A B$ 
  (proof)

lemma ex-point-lg-out:
  assumes  $A \neq P$  and
     $QCong l$  and
     $\neg QCongNull l$ 
  shows  $\exists B. (l A B \wedge A \text{ Out } B P)$ 
  (proof)

lemma ex-point-lg-bet:
  assumes  $QCong l$ 
  shows  $\exists B. (l M B \wedge \text{Bet } A M B)$ 
  (proof)

lemma ex-points-lg-not-col:
  assumes  $QCong l$ 
  and  $\neg QCongNull l$ 
  shows  $\exists A B. (l A B \wedge \neg \text{Col } A B P)$ 
  (proof)

lemma ex-eql:
  assumes  $\exists A B. (\text{TarskiLen } A B l1 \wedge \text{TarskiLen } A B l2)$ 
  shows  $l1 = l2$ 
  (proof)

lemma all-eql:
  assumes  $\text{TarskiLen } A B l1$  and
     $\text{TarskiLen } A B l2$ 
  shows  $l1 = l2$ 
  (proof)

lemma null-len:
  assumes  $\text{TarskiLen } A A la$  and
     $\text{TarskiLen } B B lb$ 
  shows  $la = lb$ 
  (proof)

lemma eqL-equivalence:
  assumes  $QCong la$  and
     $QCong lb$  and

```

QCong lc
shows $la = la \wedge (la = lb \rightarrow lb = la) \wedge (la = lb \wedge lb = lc \rightarrow la = lc)$
(proof)

lemma *ex-lg*:
assumes *QCong l* **and**
 $\exists l. (QCong l \wedge l A B)$
(proof)

lemma *lg-eql-lg*:
assumes *QCong l1* **and**
QCong l2 **and**
 $\exists A B. (l1 A B \wedge l2 A B)$
shows *QCong l2*
(proof)

lemma *ex-eqL*:
assumes *QCong l1* **and**
QCong l2 **and**
 $\exists A B. (l1 A B \wedge l2 A B)$
shows *l1 = l2*
(proof)

3.12.4 Part 3 : angles

lemma *ang-exists*:
assumes $A \neq B$ **and**
 $C \neq B$
shows $\exists a. (QCongA a \wedge a A B C)$
(proof)

lemma *ex-points-eng*:
assumes *QCongA a*
shows $\exists A B C. (a A B C)$
(proof)

lemma *ang-conga*:
assumes *QCongA a* **and**
 $a A B C$ **and**
 $a A' B' C'$
shows $A B C CongA A' B' C'$
(proof)

lemma *is-ang-conga*:
assumes $A B C Ang a$ **and**
 $A' B' C' Ang a$
shows $A B C CongA A' B' C'$
(proof)

lemma *is-ang-conga-is-ang*:
assumes $A B C Ang a$ **and**
 $A B C CongA A' B' C'$
shows $A' B' C' Ang a$
(proof)

lemma *not-conga-not-ang*:
assumes *QCongA a* **and**
 $\neg A B C CongA A' B' C'$ **and**
 $a A B C$
shows $\neg a A' B' C'$
(proof)

lemma *not-conga-is-ang*:
assumes $\neg A B C CongA A' B' C'$ **and**
 $A B C Ang a$
shows $\neg a A' B' C'$
(proof)

```

lemma not-cong-is-ang1:
  assumes  $\neg A B C \text{Cong} A A' B' C'$  and
     $A B C \text{Ang } a$ 
  shows  $\neg A' B' C' \text{Ang } a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ex-eqa:
  assumes  $\exists A B C. (A B C \text{Ang } a1 \wedge A B C \text{Ang } a2)$ 
  shows  $a1 = a2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma all-eqa:
  assumes  $A B C \text{Ang } a1$  and
     $A B C \text{Ang } a2$ 
  shows  $a1 = a2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is-ang-distinct:
  assumes  $A B C \text{Ang } a$ 
  shows  $A \neq B \wedge C \neq B$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma null-ang:
  assumes  $A B A \text{Ang } a1$  and
     $C D C \text{Ang } a2$ 
  shows  $a1 = a2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma flat-ang:
  assumes  $Bet A B C$  and
     $Bet A' B' C'$  and
     $A B C \text{Ang } a1$  and
     $A' B' C' \text{Ang } a2$ 
  shows  $a1 = a2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ang-distinct:
  assumes  $QCong A a$  and
     $a A B C$ 
  shows  $A \neq B \wedge C \neq B$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ex-ang:
  assumes  $B \neq A$  and
     $B \neq C$ 
  shows  $\exists a. (QCong A a \wedge a A B C)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma anga-exists:
  assumes  $A \neq B$  and
     $C \neq B$  and
     $Acute A B C$ 
  shows  $\exists a. (QCong A Acute a \wedge a A B C)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma anga-is-ang:
  assumes  $QCong A Acute a$ 
  shows  $QCong A a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ex-points-anga:
  assumes  $QCong A Acute a$ 
  shows  $\exists A B C. a A B C$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma anga-conga:

```

```

assumes QCongAAcute a and
  a A B C and
  a A' B' C'
shows A B C CongA A' B' C'
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-anga-to-is-ang:
assumes A B C AngAcute a
shows A B C Ang a
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-anga-conga:
assumes A B C AngAcute a and
  A' B' C' AngAcute a
shows A B C CongA A' B' C'
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-anga-conga-is-anga:
assumes A B C AngAcute a and
  A B C CongA A' B' C'
shows A' B' C' AngAcute a
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-conga-is-anga:
assumes ¬ A B C CongA A' B' C' and
  A B C AngAcute a
shows ¬ a A' B' C'
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-cong-is-anga1:
assumes ¬ A B C CongA A' B' C' and
  A B C AngAcute a
shows ¬ A' B' C' AngAcute a
⟨proof⟩

lemma ex-eqaa:
assumes ∃ A B C. (A B C AngAcute a1 ∧ A B C AngAcute a2)
shows a1 = a2
⟨proof⟩

lemma all-eqaa:
assumes A B C AngAcute a1 and
  A B C AngAcute a2
shows a1 = a2
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-anga-distinct:
assumes A B C AngAcute a
shows A ≠ B ∧ C ≠ B
⟨proof⟩

lemma null-anga:
assumes A B A AngAcute a1 and
  C D C AngAcute a2
shows a1 = a2
⟨proof⟩

lemma anga-distinct:
assumes QCongAAcute a and
  a A B C
shows A ≠ B ∧ C ≠ B
⟨proof⟩

lemma out-is-len-eq:
assumes A Out B C and
  TarskiLen A B l and

```

```

TarskiLen A C l
shows B = C
⟨proof⟩

lemma out-len-eq:
assumes QCong l and
A Out B C and
l A B and
l A C
shows B = C ⟨proof⟩

lemma ex-anga:
assumes Acute A B C
shows ∃ a. (QCongAAcute a ∧ a A B C)
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-null-ang-ang:
assumes QCongAnNull a
shows QCongA a
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-null-ang-def-equiv:
QCongAnNull a ↔ (QCongA a ∧ (∃ A B C. (a A B C ∧ ¬ B Out A C)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-flat-ang-def-equiv:
QCongAnFlat a ↔ (QCongA a ∧ (∃ A B C. (a A B C ∧ ¬ Bet A B C)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ang-const:
assumes QCongA a and
A ≠ B
shows ∃ C. a A B C
⟨proof⟩

lemma ang-sym:
assumes QCongA a and
a A B C
shows a C B A
⟨proof⟩

lemma ang-not-null-lg:
assumes QCongA a and
QCong l and
a A B C and
l A B
shows ¬ QCongNull l
⟨proof⟩

lemma ang-distincts:
assumes QCongA a and
a A B C
shows A ≠ B ∧ C ≠ B
⟨proof⟩

lemma anga-sym:
assumes QCongAAcute a and
a A B C
shows a C B A
⟨proof⟩

lemma anga-not-null-lg:
assumes QCongAAcute a and
QCong l and
a A B C and
l A B

```

shows $\neg QCongNull l$
(proof)

lemma *anga-distincts*:
 assumes $QCongAAcute a$ **and**
 $a A B C$
 shows $A \neq B \wedge C \neq B$
(proof)

lemma *ang-const-o*:
 assumes $\neg Col A B P$ **and**
 $QCongA a$ **and**
 $QCongAnNull a$ **and**
 $QCongAnFlat a$
 shows $\exists C. a A B C \wedge A B OS C P$
(proof)

lemma *anga-const*:
 assumes $QCongAAcute a$ **and**
 $A \neq B$
 shows $\exists C. a A B C$
(proof)

lemma *null-anga-null-angaP*:
 $QCongANullAcute a \longleftrightarrow IsNullAngaP a$
(proof)

lemma *is-null-anga-out*:
 assumes
 $a A B C$ **and**
 $QCongANullAcute a$
 shows $B Out A C$
(proof)

lemma *acute-not-bet*:
 assumes $Acute A B C$
 shows $\neg Bet A B C$
(proof)

lemma *anga-acute*:
 assumes $QCongAAcute a$ **and**
 $a A B C$
 shows $Acute A B C$
(proof)

lemma *not-null-not-col*:
 assumes $QCongAAcute a$ **and**
 $\neg QCongANullAcute a$ **and**
 $a A B C$
 shows $\neg Col A B C$
(proof)

lemma *ang-cong-ang*:
 assumes $QCongA a$ **and**
 $a A B C$ **and**
 $A B C CongA A' B' C'$
 shows $a A' B' C'$
(proof)

lemma *is-null-ang-out*:
 assumes
 $a A B C$ **and**
 $QCongANull a$
 shows $B Out A C$
(proof)

```

lemma out-null-ang:
  assumes QCongA a and
    a A B C and
    B Out A C
  shows QCongANull a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bet-flat-ang:
  assumes QCongA a and
    a A B C and
    Bet A B C
  shows AngFlat a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma out-null-anga:
  assumes QCongAAcute a and
    a A B C and
    B Out A C
  shows QCongANullAcute a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma anga-not-flat:
  assumes QCongAAcute a
  shows QCongAnFlat a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma anga-const-o:
  assumes ¬ Col A B P and
    ¬ QCongANullAcute a and
    QCongAAcute a
  shows ∃ C. (a A B C ∧ A B OS C P)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma anga-conga-anga:
  assumes QCongAAcute a and
    a A B C and
    A B C CongA A' B' C'
  shows a A' B' C'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma anga-out-anga:
  assumes QCongAAcute a and
    a A B C and
    B Out A A' and
    B Out C C'
  shows a A' B C'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma out-out-anga:
  assumes QCongAAcute a and
    B Out A C and
    B' Out A' C' and
    a A B C
  shows a A' B' C'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-null-all:
  assumes A ≠ B and
    QCongANullAcute a
  shows a A B A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma anga-col-out:
  assumes QCongAAcute a and
    a A B C and
    Col A B C

```

shows $B \text{ Out } A \ C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ang-not-lg-null*:

assumes $QCong \ la \ \text{and}$

$QCong \ lc \ \text{and}$

$QCongA \ a \ \text{and}$

$la \ A \ B \ \text{and}$

$lc \ C \ B \ \text{and}$

$a \ A \ B \ C$

shows $\neg QCongNull \ la \wedge \neg QCongNull \ lc$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *anga-not-lg-null*:

assumes

$QCongAAcute \ a \ \text{and}$

$la \ A \ B \ \text{and}$

$lc \ C \ B \ \text{and}$

$a \ A \ B \ C$

shows $\neg QCongNull \ la \wedge \neg QCongNull \ lc$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *anga-col-null*:

assumes $QCongAAcute \ a \ \text{and}$

$a \ A \ B \ C \ \text{and}$

$Col \ A \ B \ C$

shows $B \text{ Out } A \ C \wedge QCongANullAcute \ a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eqA-preserves-ang*:

assumes $QCongA \ a \ \text{and}$

$a = b$

shows $QCongA \ b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eqA-preserves-anga*:

assumes $QCongAAcute \ a \ \text{and}$

$a = b$

shows $QCongAAcute \ b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4 Some postulates of the parallels

lemma *euclid-5--original-euclid*:

assumes *Euclid5*

shows *EuclidSParallelPostulate*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tarski-s-euclid-implies-euclid-5*:

assumes *TarskiSParallelPostulate*

shows *Euclid5*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tarski-s-implies-euclid-s-parallel-postulate*:

assumes *TarskiSParallelPostulate*

shows *EuclidSParallelPostulate*

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *tarski-s-euclid-implies-playfair-s-postulate*:

assumes *TarskiSParallelPostulate*

shows *PlayfairSPostulate*

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

References

- [1] M. Beeson, P. Boutry, G. Braun, C. Gries, and J. Narboux. GeoCoq, June 2018.
- [2] M. Beeson, J. Narboux, and F. Wiedijk. Proof-checking euclid. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, 85(2):213–257, 2019.
- [3] M. Beeson and L. Wos. Otter proofs in tarskian geometry. In *International Joint Conference on Automated Reasoning*, pages 495–510. Springer, 2014.
- [4] M. Beeson and L. Wos. Finding proofs in tarskian geometry. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 58(1):181–207, 2017.
- [5] P. Boutry. *On the formalization of foundations of geometry*. PhD thesis, Université de Strasbourg, 2018.
- [6] P. Boutry, G. Braun, and J. Narboux. Formalization of the Arithmetization of Euclidean Plane Geometry and Applications. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 90:149–168, 2019.
- [7] P. Boutry, C. Gries, J. Narboux, and P. Schreck. Parallel postulates and continuity axioms: a mechanized study in intuitionistic logic using Coq. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, page 68, 2017. online first.
- [8] R. Coghetto and A. Grabowski. Tarski geometry axioms - part II. *Formalized Mathematics*, 24(2):157–166, 2016.
- [9] R. Coghetto and A. Grabowski. Tarski geometry axioms. part III. *Formalized Mathematics*, 25(4):289–313, 2017.
- [10] R. Coghetto and A. Grabowski. Tarski geometry axioms. part IV - right angle. *Formalized Mathematics*, 27(1):75–85, 2019.
- [11] M. Doré and K. Broda. Intuitive reasoning in formalized mathematics with elfe. In B. M. McLaren, R. Reilly, S. Zvacek, and J. Uhomoibhi, editors, *Computer Supported Education - 10th International Conference, CSEDU 2018, Funchal, Madeira, Portugal, March 15-17, 2018, Revised Selected Papers*, volume 1022 of *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, pages 549–571. Springer, 2018.
- [12] C. Gries, P. Boutry, and J. Narboux. Somme des angles d'un triangle et unicité de la parallèle : une preuve d'équivalence formalisée en Coq. In *Les vingt-septièmes Journées Francophones des Langages Applicatifs (JFLA 2016)*, Actes des Vingt-septièmes Journées Francophones des Langages Applicatifs (JFLA 2016), page 15, Saint Malo, France, Jan. 2016. Jade Algave and Julien Signoles.
- [13] T. J. M. Makarios. The independence of Tarski's Euclidean axiom. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Oct. 2012. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Tarskis_Geometry.html, Formal proof development.
- [14] G. E. Martin. *The foundations of geometry and the non-Euclidean plane*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [15] J. Narboux. Mechanical Theorem Proving in Tarski's geometry. In F. B. Eugenio Roanes Lozano, editor, *Automated Deduction in Geometry 2006*, volume 4869 of *LNCS*, pages 139–156, Pontevedra, Spain, Aug. 2006. Francisco Botana, Springer.
- [16] J. Narboux, P. Janicic, and J. Fleuriot. Computer-assisted Theorem Proving in Synthetic Geometry. In M. Sitharam, A. S. John, and J. Sidman, editors, *Handbook of Geometric Constraint Systems Principles*, Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications. Chapman and Hall/CRC , 2018.
- [17] J. Narboux, P. Janicic, and J. Fleuriot. Computer-assisted theorem proving in synthetic geometry. *Handbook of Geometric Constraint Systems Principles*, pages 25–73, 2018.
- [18] T. Nipkow. Structured proofs in isar/hol. In *International Workshop on Types for Proofs and Programs*, pages 259–278. Springer, 2002.
- [19] W. Richter, A. Grabowski, and J. Alama. Tarski geometry axioms. *Formalized Mathematics*, 22(2):167–176, 2014.
- [20] W. Schwabhäuser, W. Szmielew, and A. Tarski. *Metamathematische Methoden in der Geometrie*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [21] D. Simić, F. Marić, and P. Boutry. Poincaré disc model. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Dec. 2019. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Poincare_Disc.html, Formal proof development.

- [22] D. Simic, F. Maric, and P. Boutry. Formalization of the poincaré disc model of hyperbolic geometry. *J. Autom. Reasoning*, 64(4), 2020.
- [23] S. Stojanović, V. Pavlović, and P. Janičić. A coherent logic based geometry prover capable of producing formal and readable proofs. In *International Workshop on Automated Deduction in Geometry*, pages 201–220. Springer, 2010.
- [24] G. Sutcliffe and C. Suttner. The tptp problem library. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 21(2):177–203, 1998.
- [25] S. S. Đurđević, J. Narboux, and P. Janičić. Automated generation of machine verifiable and readable proofs: a case study of tarski’s geometry. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, 74(3-4):249–269, 2015.
- [26] F. Wiedijk. A synthesis of the procedural and declarative styles of interactive theorem proving. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, 8, 2012.