

Interval Arithmetic on 32-bit Words

Rose Bohrer

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This article implements conservative interval arithmetic computations, then uses this interval arithmetic to implement a simple programming language where all terms have 32-bit signed word values, with explicit infinities for terms outside the representable bounds. Our target use case is interpreters for languages that must have a well-understood low-level behavior.

We include a formalization of bounded-length strings which are used for the identifiers of our language. Bounded-length identifiers are useful in some applications, for example the `Differential_Dynamic_Logic` [1] article, where a Euclidean space indexed by identifiers demands that identifiers are finitely many.

Contents

1	Interval arithmetic definitions	7
1.1	Syntax	7
2	Preliminary lemmas	9
2.1	Case analysis lemmas	9
2.2	Trivial arithmetic lemmas	13
3	Arithmetic operations	14
3.1	Addition upper bound	14
3.2	Addition lower bound	28
3.3	Max function	44
3.4	Multiplication upper bound	48
3.5	Exact multiplication	50
3.6	Multiplication upper bound	64
3.7	Minimum function	72
3.8	Multiplication lower bound	76
3.9	Negation	90
3.10	Comparison	91
3.11	Absolute value	92
4	Finite Strings	93
5	Syntax	105
6	Semantics	106
7	Soundness proofs	109

```

theory Interval-Word32
imports
  Complex-Main
  Word-Lib. Word-Lib-Sumo
begin

abbreviation signed-real-of-word :: ‹'a::len word  $\Rightarrow$  real›
  where ‹signed-real-of-word  $\equiv$  signed›

lemma (in linordered-idom) signed-less-numeral-iff:
  ‹signed w < numeral n  $\longleftrightarrow$  sint w < numeral n› (is ‹?P  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?Q›)
proof –
  have ‹?Q  $\longleftrightarrow$  of-int (sint w) < of-int (numeral n)›
    by (simp only: of-int-less-iff)
  also have ‹...  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?P›
    by (transfer fixing: less less-eq n) simp
  finally show ?thesis ..
qed

lemma (in linordered-idom) signed-less-neg-numeral-iff:
  ‹signed w < - numeral n  $\longleftrightarrow$  sint w < - numeral n› (is ‹?P  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?Q›)
proof –
  have ‹?Q  $\longleftrightarrow$  of-int (sint w) < of-int (- numeral n)›
    by (simp only: of-int-less-iff)
  also have ‹...  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?P›
    by (transfer fixing: less less-eq uminus n) simp
  finally show ?thesis ..
qed

lemma (in linordered-idom) numeral-less-signed-iff:
  ‹numeral n < signed w  $\longleftrightarrow$  numeral n < sint w› (is ‹?P  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?Q›)
proof –
  have ‹?Q  $\longleftrightarrow$  of-int (numeral n) < of-int (sint w)›
    by (simp only: of-int-less-iff)
  also have ‹...  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?P›
    by (transfer fixing: less less-eq n) simp
  finally show ?thesis ..
qed

lemma (in linordered-idom) neg-numeral-less-signed-iff:
  ‹- numeral n < signed w  $\longleftrightarrow$  - numeral n < sint w› (is ‹?P  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?Q›)
proof –
  have ‹?Q  $\longleftrightarrow$  of-int (- numeral n) < of-int (sint w)›
    by (simp only: of-int-less-iff)
  also have ‹...  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?P›
    by (transfer fixing: less less-eq uminus n) simp
  finally show ?thesis ..
qed

```

lemma (in *linordered-idom*) *signed-nonnegative-iff*:

$\langle 0 \leq \text{signed } w \longleftrightarrow 0 \leq \text{sint } w \rangle$ (is $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)

proof –

have $\langle ?Q \longleftrightarrow \text{of-int } 0 \leq \text{of-int } (\text{sint } w) \rangle$

by (*simp only: of-int-le-iff*)

also have $\langle \dots \longleftrightarrow ?P \rangle$

by (*transfer fixing: less-eq*) *simp*

finally show *?thesis ..*

qed

lemma *signed-real-of-word-plus-numeral-eq-signed-real-of-word-iff*:

$\langle \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{numeral } n = \text{signed-real-of-word } w$

$\longleftrightarrow \text{sint } v + \text{numeral } n = \text{sint } w \rangle$ (is $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)

proof –

have $\langle ?Q \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } v + \text{numeral } n) = \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w) \rangle$

by (*simp only: of-int-eq-iff*)

also have $\langle \dots \longleftrightarrow ?P \rangle$

by *simp*

finally show *?thesis ..*

qed

lemma *signed-real-of-word-sum-less-eq-numeral-iff*:

$\langle \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w \leq \text{numeral } n$

$\longleftrightarrow \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \leq \text{numeral } n \rangle$ (is $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)

proof –

have $\langle ?Q \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } v + \text{sint } w) \leq \text{real-of-int } (\text{numeral } n) \rangle$

by (*simp only: of-int-le-iff*)

also have $\langle \dots \longleftrightarrow ?P \rangle$

by *simp*

finally show *?thesis ..*

qed

lemma *signed-real-of-word-sum-less-eq-neg-numeral-iff*:

$\langle \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w \leq - \text{numeral } n$

$\longleftrightarrow \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \leq - \text{numeral } n \rangle$ (is $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)

proof –

have $\langle ?Q \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } v + \text{sint } w) \leq \text{real-of-int } (- \text{numeral } n) \rangle$

by (*simp only: of-int-le-iff*)

also have $\langle \dots \longleftrightarrow ?P \rangle$

by *simp*

finally show *?thesis ..*

qed

lemma *signed-real-of-word-sum-less-numeral-iff*:

$\langle \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w < \text{numeral } n$

$\longleftrightarrow \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w < \text{numeral } n \rangle$ (is $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)

proof –

have $\langle ?Q \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } v + \text{sint } w) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{numeral } n) \rangle$

by (*simp only: of-int-less-iff*)
 also have $\langle \dots \longleftrightarrow ?P \rangle$
 by *simp*
 finally show *?thesis ..*
 qed

lemma *signed-real-of-word-sum-less-neg-numeral-iff*:
 $\langle \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w < - \text{numeral } n$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w < - \text{numeral } n \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
proof –
 have $\langle ?Q \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } v + \text{sint } w) < \text{real-of-int } (- \text{numeral } n) \rangle$
 by (*simp only: of-int-less-iff*)
 also have $\langle \dots \longleftrightarrow ?P \rangle$
 by *simp*
 finally show *?thesis ..*
 qed

lemma *numeral-less-eq-signed-real-of-word-sum*:
 $\langle \text{numeral } n \leq \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{numeral } n \leq \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
proof –
 have $\langle ?Q \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-int } (\text{numeral } n) \leq \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } v + \text{sint } w) \rangle$
 by (*simp only: of-int-le-iff*)
 also have $\langle \dots \longleftrightarrow ?P \rangle$
 by *simp*
 finally show *?thesis ..*
 qed

lemma *neg-numeral-less-eq-signed-real-of-word-sum*:
 $\langle - \text{numeral } n \leq \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w$
 $\longleftrightarrow - \text{numeral } n \leq \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
proof –
 have $\langle ?Q \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-int } (- \text{numeral } n) \leq \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } v + \text{sint } w) \rangle$
 by (*simp only: of-int-le-iff*)
 also have $\langle \dots \longleftrightarrow ?P \rangle$
 by *simp*
 finally show *?thesis ..*
 qed

lemma *numeral-less-signed-real-of-word-sum*:
 $\langle \text{numeral } n < \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{numeral } n < \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
proof –
 have $\langle ?Q \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-int } (\text{numeral } n) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } v + \text{sint } w) \rangle$
 by (*simp only: of-int-less-iff*)
 also have $\langle \dots \longleftrightarrow ?P \rangle$
 by *simp*
 finally show *?thesis ..*
 qed

lemma *neg-numeral-less-signed-real-of-word-sum*:
 $\langle - \text{numeral } n < \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w$
 $\longleftrightarrow - \text{numeral } n < \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
proof –
have $\langle ?Q \longleftrightarrow \text{real-of-int } (- \text{numeral } n) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } v + \text{sint } w) \rangle$
by (*simp only: of-int-less-iff*)
also have $\langle \dots \longleftrightarrow ?P \rangle$
by *simp*
finally show *?thesis ..*
qed

lemmas *real-of-word-simps* [*simp*] = *signed-less-numeral-iff* [**where** *?'a = real*]
numeral-less-signed-iff [**where** *?'a = real*]
signed-less-neg-numeral-iff [**where** *?'a = real*]
neg-numeral-less-signed-iff [**where** *?'a = real*]
signed-nonnegative-iff [**where** *?'a = real*]

lemmas *more-real-of-word-simps* =
signed-real-of-word-plus-numeral-eq-signed-real-of-word-iff
signed-real-of-word-sum-less-eq-numeral-iff
signed-real-of-word-sum-less-eq-neg-numeral-iff
signed-real-of-word-sum-less-numeral-iff
signed-real-of-word-sum-less-neg-numeral-iff
numeral-less-eq-signed-real-of-word-sum
neg-numeral-less-eq-signed-real-of-word-sum
numeral-less-signed-real-of-word-sum
neg-numeral-less-signed-real-of-word-sum

declare *more-real-of-word-simps* [*simp*]

Interval-Word32.thy implements conservative interval arithmetic operators on 32-bit word values, with explicit infinities for values outside the representable bounds. It is suitable for use in interpreters for languages which must have a well-understood low-level behavior (see Interpreter.thy). This work was originally part of the paper by Bohrer *et al.* [2].

It is worth noting that this is not the first formalization of interval arithmetic in Isabelle/HOL. This article is presented regardless because it has unique goals in mind which have led to unique design decisions. Our goal is generate code which can be used to perform conservative arithmetic in implementations extracted from a proof.

The Isabelle standard library now features interval arithmetic, for example in Approximation.thy. Ours differs in two ways: 1) We use intervals with explicit positive and negative infinities, and with overflow checking. Such checking is often relevant in implementation-level code with unknown inputs. To promote memory-efficient implementations, we moreover use sentinel values for infinities, rather than datatype constructors. This is espe-

cially important in real-time settings where the garbage collection required for datatypes can be a concern. 2) Our goal is not to use interval arithmetic to discharge Isabelle goals, but to generate useful proven-correct implementation code, see `Interpreter.thy`. On the other hand, we are not concerned with producing interval-based automation for arithmetic goals in HOL.

In practice, much of the work in this theory comes down to sheer case-analysis. Bounds-checking requires many edge cases in arithmetic functions, which come with many cases in proofs. Where possible, we attempt to offload interesting facts about word representations of numbers into reusable lemmas, but even then main results require many subcases, each with a certain amount of arithmetic grunt work.

1 Interval arithmetic definitions

1.1 Syntax

Words are 32-bit

type-synonym `word = 32 Word.word`

Sentinel values for infinities. Note that we leave the maximum value (2^{31}) completed unused, so that negation of $(2^{31}) - 1$ is not an edge case

definition `NEG-INF::word`

where `NEG-INF-def[simp]:NEG-INF = -((2 ^ 31) - 1)`

definition `NegInf::real`

where `NegInf[simp]:NegInf = real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)`

definition `POS-INF::word`

where `POS-INF-def[simp]:POS-INF = (2^31) - 1`

definition `PosInf::real`

where `PosInf[simp]:PosInf = real-of-int (sint POS-INF)`

Subtype of words who represent a finite value.

typedef `bword = {n::word. sint n ≥ sint NEG-INF ∧ sint n ≤ sint POS-INF}`

apply(`rule exI[where x=NEG-INF]`)

by (`auto`)

Numeric literals

type-synonym `lit = bword`

setup-lifting `type-definition-bword`

lift-definition `bword-zero::bword is 0::32 Word.word`

by `auto`

lift-definition *bword-one::bword is 1::32 Word.word*
by(*auto simp add: sint-uint*)

lift-definition *bword-neg-one::bword is -1::32 Word.word*
by(*auto*)

definition *word::word \Rightarrow bool*
where *word-def[simp]:word w \equiv w \in {NEG-INF..POS-INF}*

named-theorems *rep-simps Simplifications for representation functions*

Definitions of interval containment and word representation *repe w r* iff word *w* encodes real number *r*

inductive *repe ::word \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool (infix $\langle \equiv_E \rangle$ 10)*
where
repPOS-INF:r \geq real-of-int (sint POS-INF) \Longrightarrow repe POS-INF r
| repNEG-INF:r \leq real-of-int (sint NEG-INF) \Longrightarrow repe NEG-INF r
| repINT:(sint w) < real-of-int(sint POS-INF) \Longrightarrow (sint w) > real-of-int(sint NEG-INF)
 \Longrightarrow *repe w (sint w)*

inductive-simps
repePos-simps[rep-simps]:repe POS-INF r
and *repeNeg-simps[rep-simps]:repe NEG-INF r*
and *repeInt-simps[rep-simps]:repe w (sint w)*

repU w r if *w* represents an upper bound of *r*

definition *repU ::word \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool (infix $\langle \equiv_U \rangle$ 10)*
where *repU w r \equiv \exists r'. r' \geq r \wedge repe w r'*

lemma *repU-leq:repU w r \Longrightarrow r' \leq r \Longrightarrow repU w r'*
unfolding *repU-def*
using *order-trans by auto*

repL w r if *w* represents a lower bound of *r*

definition *repL ::word \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool (infix $\langle \equiv_L \rangle$ 10)*
where *repL w r \equiv \exists r'. r' \leq r \wedge repe w r'*

lemma *repL-geq:repL w r \Longrightarrow r' \geq r \Longrightarrow repL w r'*
unfolding *repL-def*
using *order-trans by auto*

repP (l,u) r iff *l* and *u* encode lower and upper bounds of *r*

definition *repP ::word * word \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool (infix $\langle \equiv_P \rangle$ 10)*
where *repP w r \equiv let (w1, w2) = w in repL w1 r \wedge repU w2 r*

lemma *int-not-posinf*:
assumes $b1: \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } POS-INF)$
assumes $b2: \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } NEG-INF) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra)$
shows $ra \neq POS-INF$
using $b1\ b2$ **by** *auto*

lemma *int-not-neginf*:
assumes $b1: \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } POS-INF)$
assumes $b2: \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } NEG-INF) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra)$
shows $ra \neq NEG-INF$
using $b1\ b2$ **by** *auto*

lemma *int-not-undef*:
assumes $b1: \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } POS-INF)$
assumes $b2: \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } NEG-INF) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra)$
shows $ra \neq NEG-INF-1$
using $b1\ b2$ **by** *auto*

lemma *sint-range*:
assumes $b1: \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } POS-INF)$
assumes $b2: \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } NEG-INF) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra)$
shows $\text{sint } ra \in \{i. i > -((2^31)-1) \wedge i < (2^31)-1\}$
using $b1\ b2$ **by** *auto*

lemma *word-size-neg*:
fixes $w :: 32 \text{ Word.word}$
shows $\text{size } (-w) = \text{size } w$
using $\text{Word.word-size}[of\ w]\ \text{Word.word-size}[of\ -w]$ **by** *auto*

lemma *uint-distinct*:
fixes $w1\ w2$
shows $w1 \neq w2 \implies \text{uint } w1 \neq \text{uint } w2$
by *auto*

2 Preliminary lemmas

2.1 Case analysis lemmas

Case analysis principle for pairs of intervals, used in proofs of arithmetic operations

lemma *ivl-zero-case*:
fixes $l1\ u1\ l2\ u2 :: \text{real}$
assumes $\text{ivl1}: l1 \leq u1$
assumes $\text{ivl2}: l2 \leq u2$
shows
 $(l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2)$

```

 $\forall(l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge 0 \leq l2)$ 
 $\forall(l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge u2 \leq 0)$ 
 $\forall(0 \leq l1 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2)$ 
 $\forall(u1 \leq 0 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2)$ 
 $\forall(u1 \leq 0 \wedge u2 \leq 0)$ 
 $\forall(u1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq l2)$ 
 $\forall(0 \leq l1 \wedge u2 \leq 0)$ 
 $\forall(0 \leq l1 \wedge 0 \leq l2)$ 
using ivl1 ivl2
by (metis le-cases)

```

lemma *case-ivl-zero*

[*consumes 2, case-names ZeroZero ZeroPos ZeroNeg PosZero NegZero NegNeg NegPos PosNeg PosPos*]:

```

fixes l1 u1 l2 u2 :: real
shows
   $l1 \leq u1 \implies$ 
   $l2 \leq u2 \implies$ 
   $((l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2) \implies P) \implies$ 
   $((l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge 0 \leq l2) \implies P) \implies$ 
   $((l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge u2 \leq 0) \implies P) \implies$ 
   $((0 \leq l1 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2) \implies P) \implies$ 
   $((u1 \leq 0 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2) \implies P) \implies$ 
   $((u1 \leq 0 \wedge u2 \leq 0) \implies P) \implies$ 
   $((u1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq l2) \implies P) \implies$ 
   $((0 \leq l1 \wedge u2 \leq 0) \implies P) \implies$ 
   $((0 \leq l1 \wedge 0 \leq l2) \implies P) \implies P$ 
using ivl-zero-case[of l1 u1 l2 u2]
by auto

```

lemma *case-inf2[case-names PosPos PosNeg PosNum NegPos NegNeg NegNum NumPos NumNeg NumNum]*:

```

shows
   $\bigwedge w1 w2 P.$ 
   $(w1 = POS-INF \implies w2 = POS-INF \implies P w1 w2)$ 
   $\implies (w1 = POS-INF \implies w2 = NEG-INF \implies P w1 w2)$ 
   $\implies (w1 = POS-INF \implies w2 \neq POS-INF \implies w2 \neq NEG-INF \implies P w1 w2)$ 
   $\implies (w1 = NEG-INF \implies w2 = POS-INF \implies P w1 w2)$ 
   $\implies (w1 = NEG-INF \implies w2 = NEG-INF \implies P w1 w2)$ 
   $\implies (w1 = NEG-INF \implies w2 \neq POS-INF \implies w2 \neq NEG-INF \implies P w1 w2)$ 
   $\implies (w1 \neq POS-INF \implies w1 \neq NEG-INF \implies w2 = POS-INF \implies P w1 w2)$ 
   $\implies (w1 \neq POS-INF \implies w1 \neq NEG-INF \implies w2 = NEG-INF \implies P w1 w2)$ 
   $\implies (w1 \neq POS-INF \implies w1 \neq NEG-INF \implies w2 \neq POS-INF \implies w2 \neq$ 
   $NEG-INF \implies P w1 w2)$ 
   $\implies P w1 w2$ 
by(auto)

```

lemma *case-pu-inf[case-names PosAny AnyPos NegNeg NegNum NumNeg NumNum]*:

shows

$\bigwedge w1\ w2\ P.$

$(w1 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 = NEG-INF \implies w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 = NEG-INF \implies w2 \neq POS-INF \implies w2 \neq NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 \neq POS-INF \implies w1 \neq NEG-INF \implies w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 \neq POS-INF \implies w1 \neq NEG-INF \implies w2 \neq POS-INF \implies w2 \neq NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies P\ w1\ w2$

by(*auto*)

lemma *case-pl-inf*[*case-names NegAny AnyNeg PosPos PosNum NumPos Num-Num*]:

shows

$\bigwedge w1\ w2\ P.$

$(w1 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 = POS-INF \implies w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 = POS-INF \implies w2 \neq POS-INF \implies w2 \neq NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 \neq POS-INF \implies w1 \neq NEG-INF \implies w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 \neq POS-INF \implies w1 \neq NEG-INF \implies w2 \neq POS-INF \implies w2 \neq NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies P\ w1\ w2$

by(*auto*)

lemma *word-trichotomy*[*case-names Less Equal Greater*]:

fixes $w1\ w2 :: word$

shows

$(w1 <_s w2 \implies P\ w1\ w2) \implies$

$(w1 = w2 \implies P\ w1\ w2) \implies$

$(w2 <_s w1 \implies P\ w1\ w2) \implies P\ w1\ w2$

using *signed.linorder-cases* **by** *auto*

lemma *case-times-inf*

[*case-names*

PosPos NegPos PosNeg NegNeg

PosLo PosHi PosZero NegLo NegHi NegZero

LoPos HiPos ZeroPos LoNeg HiNeg ZeroNeg

AllFinite]:

fixes $w1\ w2\ P$

assumes $pp:(w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

and $np:(w1 = NEG-INF \wedge w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

and $pn:(w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

and $nn:(w1 = NEG-INF \wedge w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

and $pl:(w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 \neq NEG-INF \wedge w2 <_s 0 \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

and $ph:(w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 \neq POS-INF \wedge 0 <_s w2 \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

and $pz:(w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 = 0 \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

and $nl:(w1 = NEG-INF \wedge w2 \neq NEG-INF \wedge w2 <_s 0 \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

```

and nh:( $w1 = \text{NEG-INF} \wedge w2 \neq \text{POS-INF} \wedge 0 <_s w2 \implies P w1 w2$ )
and nz:( $w1 = \text{NEG-INF} \wedge 0 = w2 \implies P w1 w2$ )
and lp:( $w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \wedge w1 <_s 0 \wedge w2 = \text{POS-INF} \implies P w1 w2$ )
and hp:( $w1 \neq \text{POS-INF} \wedge 0 <_s w1 \wedge w2 = \text{POS-INF} \implies P w1 w2$ )
and zp:( $0 = w1 \wedge w2 = \text{POS-INF} \implies P w1 w2$ )
and ln:( $w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \wedge w1 <_s 0 \wedge w2 = \text{NEG-INF} \implies P w1 w2$ )
and hn:( $w1 \neq \text{POS-INF} \wedge 0 <_s w1 \wedge w2 = \text{NEG-INF} \implies P w1 w2$ )
and zn:( $0 = w1 \wedge w2 = \text{NEG-INF} \implies P w1 w2$ )
and allFinite: $w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \wedge w1 \neq \text{POS-INF} \wedge w2 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \wedge w2 \neq$ 
POS-INF  $\implies P w1 w2$ 
shows  $P w1 w2$ 
proof (cases rule: word-trichotomy[of  $w1\ 0$ ])
  case Less then have w1l: $w1 <_s 0$  by auto
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases rule: word-trichotomy[of  $w2\ 0$ ])
    case Less
    then show ?thesis
    using nn nl ln nz zn allFinite w1l lp pl by blast
  next
    case Equal
    then show ?thesis
    using nn nl ln nz zn w1l allFinite lp pz
    by (auto)
  next
    case Greater
    then show ?thesis
    using nh np zp lp w1l allFinite ln ph
    by (auto)
  qed
next
  case Equal then have w1z: $w1 = 0$  by auto
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases rule: word-trichotomy[of  $w2\ 0$ ])
    case Less
    then show ?thesis
    using zn allFinite w1z nl pl zp by auto
  next
    case Equal
    then show ?thesis
    using w1z allFinite
    by (auto)
  next
    case Greater
    then show ?thesis
    using allFinite zp w1z nh ph zn by auto
  qed
next
  case Greater then have w1h: $0 <_s w1$  by auto
  then show ?thesis

```

```

proof (cases rule: word-trichotomy[of w2 0])
  case Less
  then show ?thesis
    using pn pl hn w1h allFinite hp nl by blast
  next
  case Equal
  then show ?thesis
    using pz pz allFinite w1h hn hp nz by blast
  next
  case Greater
  then show ?thesis
    using pp ph hp w1h allFinite hn nh by blast
qed
qed

```

2.2 Trivial arithmetic lemmas

lemma *max-diff-pos*: $0 \leq 9223372034707292161 + ((-(2^{31}))::\text{real})$ **by** *auto*

lemma *max-less*: $2^{31} < (9223372039002259455::\text{int})$ **by** *auto*

lemma *sints64*: $\text{sints } 64 = \{i. -(2^{63}) \leq i \wedge i < 2^{63}\}$
using *sints-def*[of 64] *range-sbintrunc*[of 63] **by** *auto*

lemma *sints32*: $\text{sints } 32 = \{i. -(2^{31}) \leq i \wedge i < 2^{31}\}$
using *sints-def*[of 32] *range-sbintrunc*[of 31] **by** *auto*

lemma *upcast-max*: $\text{sint}((\text{scast}(0x80000001::\text{word}))::64 \text{ Word.word}) = \text{sint}((0x80000001::32 \text{ Word.word}))$
by *auto*

lemma *upcast-min*: $(0xFFFFFFFF80000001::64 \text{ Word.word}) = ((\text{scast}(-0x7FFFFFFF::\text{word}))::64 \text{ Word.word})$
by *auto*

lemma *min-extend-neg*: $\text{sint}((0xFFFFFFFF80000001)::64 \text{ Word.word}) < 0$
by *auto*

lemma *min-extend-val'*: $\text{sint}((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 \text{ Word.word}) = (-0x7FFFFFFF)$
by *auto*

lemma *min-extend-val*: $(-0x7FFFFFFF::64 \text{ Word.word}) = 0xFFFFFFFF80000001$
by *auto*

lemma *range2s*: $\bigwedge x::\text{int}. x \leq 2^{31} - 1 \implies x + (-2147483647) < 2147483647$
by *auto*

3 Arithmetic operations

This section defines operations which conservatively compute upper and lower bounds of arithmetic functions given upper and lower bounds on their arguments. Each function comes with a proof that it rounds in the advertised direction.

3.1 Addition upper bound

Upper bound of $w1 + w2$

```

fun pu :: word  $\Rightarrow$  word  $\Rightarrow$  word
where pu w1 w2 =
  (if w1 = POS-INF then POS-INF
   else if w2 = POS-INF then POS-INF
   else if w1 = NEG-INF then
     (if w2 = NEG-INF then NEG-INF
      else
        (let sum::64 Word.word = ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + ((scast NEG-INF)::64
Word.word) in
          if (sum::64 Word.word) <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word) then NEG-INF
          else scast sum))
   else if w2 = NEG-INF then
     (let sum::64 Word.word = ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast NEG-INF)::64
Word.word) in
          if (sum::64 Word.word) <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word) then NEG-INF
          else scast sum)
   else
     (let sum::64 Word.word = ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)
in
          if ((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s (sum::64 Word.word) then POS-INF
          else if (sum::64 Word.word) <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word) then NEG-INF
          else scast sum))

```

lemma scast-down-range:

```

fixes w::'a::len Word.word
assumes sint w  $\in$  sints (len-of (TYPE('b::len)))
shows sint w = sint ((scast w)::'b Word.word)
using word-sint.Abs-inverse [OF assms] by simp

```

lemma pu-lemma:

```

fixes w1 w2
fixes r1 r2 :: real
assumes up1:w1  $\equiv_U$  (r1::real)
assumes up2:w2  $\equiv_U$  (r2::real)
shows pu w1 w2  $\equiv_U$  (r1 + r2)

```

proof –

```

have scast-eq1:sint((scast w1)::64 Word.word) = sint w1
apply(rule Word.sint-up-scast)

```

```

unfolding Word.is-up by auto
have scast-eq2:sint((scast (0x80000001::word))::64 Word.word)
= sint ((0x80000001::32 Word.word)
  by auto
have scast-eq3:sint((scast w2)::64 Word.word) = sint w2
  apply(rule Word.sint-up-scast)
  unfolding Word.is-up by auto
have w2Geq:sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) ≥ - (2 ^ 31)
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] sints32 Word.word-size
  scast-eq1 upcast-max scast-eq3 len32 mem-Collect-eq
  by auto
have sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) ≤ 2 ^ 31
  apply (auto simp add: word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] sints32
  scast-eq3 len32)
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] len32[of TYPE(32)] sints32 by
auto
  then have w2Less:sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) < 9223372039002259455 by
auto
  have w2Range:
    - (2 ^ (size ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) - 1))
    ≤ sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint ((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
  ∧ sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint ((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
    ≤ 2 ^ (size ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) - 1) - 1
    apply(auto simp add: Word.word-size scast-eq1 upcast-max sints64 max-less)
    using max-diff-pos max-less w2Less w2Geq by auto
have w2case1a:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word))

    = sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint (-0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word)
  by(rule signed-arith-sint(1)[OF w2Range])
have w1Lower:sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) ≥ - (2 ^ 31)
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word] sints32 Word.word-size scast-eq1
scast-eq2
  scast-eq3 len32 mem-Collect-eq
  by auto
have w1Leq:sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) ≤ 2 ^ 31
  apply (auto simp add: word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word] sints32 scast-eq1
  len32)
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word] len32[of TYPE(32)] sints32 by
auto
  then have w1Less:sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) < 9223372039002259455
  using max-less by auto
have w1MinusBound: - (2 ^ (size ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) - 1))
  ≤ sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + sint ((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
  ∧ sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + sint ((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
  ≤ 2 ^ (size ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) - 1) - 1
  apply(auto simp add:
  Word.word-size[of (scast w1)::64 Word.word]
  Word.word-size[of (scast (-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word]
  scast-eq3 scast-eq2

```

```

word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word]
word-sint.Rep[of 0x80000001::32 Word.word]
word-sint.Rep[of (scast w1)::64 Word.word]
word-sint.Rep[of -0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word]
sints64 sints32)
using w1Lower w1Less by auto
have w1case1a:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word))

      = sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + sint (-0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word)
by (rule signed-arith-sint(1)[of (scast w1)::64 Word.word (- 0x7FFFFFFF),
OF w1MinusBound])
have w1case1a':sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)
      = sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + sint ((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
using min-extend-val w1case1a by auto
have w1Leq':sint w1 ≤ 231 - 1
using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word]
by (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
have neg64:(((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)
      = ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF) by auto
have arith:∧x::int. x ≤ 231 - 1 ⇒ x + (- 2147483647) < 2147483647
by auto
obtain r'₁ and r'₂ where
  geq1:r'₁ ≥ r1 and equiv1:w1 ≡E r'₁
  and geq2:r'₂ ≥ r2 and equiv2:w2 ≡E r'₂
  using up1 up2 unfolding repU-def by auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases rule: case-pu-inf[where ?w1.0=w1, where ?w2.0=w2])
  case PosAny then show ?thesis
    apply (auto simp add: repU-def repe.simps)
    using linear by blast
next
  case AnyPos
  then show ?thesis
    apply (auto simp add: repU-def repe.simps)
    using linear by blast
next
  case NegNeg
  then show ?thesis
    using up1 up2
    by (auto simp add: repU-def repe.simps)
next
  case NegNum
  assume neq1:w2 ≠ POS-INF
  assume eq2:w1 = NEG-INF
  assume neq3:w2 ≠ NEG-INF
  let ?sum = (scast w2 + scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word
  have leq1:r'₁ ≤ (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))
    using equiv1 neq1 eq2 neq3 by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
  have leq2:r'₂ = (real-of-int (sint w2))

```



```

    using equiv2 neq1 eq2 neq3 by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
    have case1: ?sum <= s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word) ==> NEG-INF ≡U r1
+ r2
    using up1 up2
    apply (simp add: repU-def repe.simps word-sle-eq)
    apply (rule exI [where x = r1 + r2])
    apply auto
    using w2case1a
    apply (auto simp add: eq2 scast-eq3)
    subgoal for r'
    proof -
      assume ⟨r1 ≤ r'⟩ ⟨r' ≤ - 2147483647⟩ ⟨r2 ≤ signed w2⟩ ⟨sint w2 ≤ 0⟩
      from ⟨sint w2 ≤ 0⟩ have ⟨real-of-int (sint w2) ≤ real-of-int 0⟩
        by (simp only: of-int-le-iff)
      then have ⟨signed w2 ≤ (0::real)⟩
        by simp
      from ⟨r1 ≤ r'⟩ ⟨r' ≤ - 2147483647⟩ have ⟨r1 ≤ - 2147483647⟩
        by (rule order-trans)
      moreover from ⟨r2 ≤ signed w2⟩ ⟨signed w2 ≤ (0::real)⟩ have ⟨r2 ≤ 0⟩
        by (rule order-trans)
      ultimately show ⟨r1 + r2 ≤ - 2147483647⟩
        by simp
    qed
  done
  have case2: ¬(?sum <= s scast NEG-INF) ==> scast ?sum ≡U r1 + r2
  apply (simp add: repU-def repe.simps word-sle-def up1 up2)
  apply (rule exI [where x = r'_2 - 0x7FFFFFFF])
  apply (rule conjI)
  subgoal
  proof -
    assume ¬ sint (scast w2 + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001) ≤ - 2147483647
    have bound1: r1 ≤ - 2147483647
      using leq1 geq1 by (auto)
    have bound2: r2 ≤ r'_2
      using leq2 geq2 by auto
    show r1 + r2 ≤ r'_2 - 2147483647
      using bound1 bound2
      by (linarith)
  qed
  apply (rule disjI2)
  apply (rule disjI2)
  apply (auto simp add: not-le)
  subgoal
  proof -
    assume a: sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001) >
- 2147483647
    then have sintw2-bound: sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF))
> - 2147483647
    unfolding min-extend-val by auto

```

```

      have case1a: sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF::64
Word.word))
        = sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint (-0x7FFFFFFF::64
Word.word)
      by(rule signed-arith-sint(1)[OF w2Range])
      have - 0x7FFFFFFF < sint w2 + (- 0x7FFFFFFF)
      using sintw2-bound case1a min-extend-val' scast-eq3 by linarith
      then have w2bound:0 < sint w2
      using less-add-same-cancel2 by blast
      have rightSize:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + - 0x7FFFFFFF) ∈ sints
(len-of TYPE(32))
      using case1a scast-eq3 min-extend-val' word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word]
w2bound
      by (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
      have downcast:sint (((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + ((- 0x7FFFFFFF)))))::word)
        = sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + ((- 0x7FFFFFFF)::64
Word.word))
      using scast-down-range[OF rightSize]
      by auto
      then have b:sint ((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001))::word)
        = sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)
      using min-extend-val by auto
      have c:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)
        = sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint ((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64
Word.word)
      using min-extend-val case1a by auto
      show ⟨r'₂ - 2147483647 = signed (SCAST(64 → 32) (SCAST(32 → 64)
w2 + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001))⟩
      using a b min-extend-val' scast-eq3 leq2 case1a [symmetric]
      apply (simp add: algebra-simps)
      apply transfer
      apply simp
      done
    qed
  subgoal
  proof -
    have range2a: - (2 ^ (size ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) - 1))
      ≤ sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint ((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
      ∧ sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint ((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
      ≤ 2 ^ (size ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) - 1) - 1
      apply(auto simp add: Word.word-size scast-eq1 upcast-max sints64 sints32
max-less)
      using max-diff-pos max-less w2Geq w2Less by auto
    have case2a:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word))
      = sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint (-0x7FFFFFFF::64
Word.word)

```

```

    by(rule signed-arith-sint(1)[OF range2a])
  have neg64:(((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)
    = ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF) by auto
  assume sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001) > -
2147483647
  then have sintw2-bound:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF))
> - 2147483647
  unfolding neg64 by auto
  have a:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF))
    = sint((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)

  using case2a by auto
  have b:sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) = sint w2
  apply(rule Word.sint-up-scast)
  unfolding Word.is-up by auto
  have d:sint w2 ≤ 231 - 1
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word]
  by (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
  have - 0x7FFFFFFF < sint w2 + (- 0x7FFFFFFF)
  using sintw2-bound case2a min-extend-val' scast-eq3 by linarith
  then have w2bound:0 < sint w2
  using less-add-same-cancel2 by blast
  have rightSize:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + - 0x7FFFFFFF) ∈ sints
(len-of TYPE(32))
  unfolding case2a b min-extend-val'
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] w2bound
  by (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
  have downcast:sint ((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + ((- 0x7FFFFFFF))))::word)
    = sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + ((- 0x7FFFFFFF)::64
Word.word))
  using scast-down-range[OF rightSize]
  by auto
  have sint (scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF))::word) <
2147483647
  unfolding downcast a b min-extend-val'
  using range2s[of sint w2, OF d]
  by auto
  then show sint (scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)::word)
< 2147483647
  by auto
qed
subgoal proof -
  assume notLeq:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)
> - 2147483647
  then have gr:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)
> - 2147483647
  by auto
  have case2a:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word))

```

```

      = sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint (-0x7FFFFFFF::64
Word.word)
    by(rule signed-arith-sint(1)[OF w2Range])
    from neg64
    have sintw2-bound:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF)) >
- 2147483647
    unfolding neg64 using notLeq by auto
    have a:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF))
      = sint((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)

    using case2a by auto
    have c:sint((-0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word) = -0x7FFFFFFF
    by auto
    have d:sint w2 ≤ 231 - 1
    using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word]
    by (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
    have - 0x7FFFFFFF < sint w2 + (- 0x7FFFFFFF)
    using sintw2-bound case2a c scast-eq3 by linarith
    then have w2bound:0 < sint w2
    using less-add-same-cancel2 by blast
    have rightSize:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + - 0x7FFFFFFF) ∈ sints
(len-of TYPE(32))
    unfolding case2a scast-eq3
    using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] w2bound
    by (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
    have downcast:sint ((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + ((- 0x7FFFFFFF))))::word)

      = sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + ((- 0x7FFFFFFF)::64
Word.word))
    using scast-down-range[OF rightSize]
    by auto
    have sintEq:sint ((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001))::word)

      = sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)
    using downcast by auto
    show - 2147483647 < sint (SCAST(64 → 32) (SCAST(32 → 64) w2 +
0xFFFFFFFF80000001))
    unfolding sintEq
    using gr of-int-less-iff of-int-minus of-int-numeral by linarith
  qed
done
have castEquiv:¬(?sum <=s scast NEG-INF) ⇒ (scast ?sum) ≡U r1 + r2
using up1 up2 case1 case2 by fastforce
have letRep:(let sum = ?sum in if sum <=s scast NEG-INF then NEG-INF else
scast sum) ≡U r1 + r2
using case1 case2
by(cases ?sum <=s scast NEG-INF; auto)
show pu w1 w2 ≡U r1 + r2

```

```

    using letRep eq2 neq1
    by(auto)
next
case NumNeg
assume neq3:w1 ≠ NEG-INF
assume neq1:w1 ≠ POS-INF
assume eq2:w2 = NEG-INF
let ?sum = (scast w1 + scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word
have case1:?sum ≤ s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word) ⇒ NEG-INF ≡U r1
+ r2
using up1 up2 apply (simp add: repU-def repe.simps word-sle-def)
apply(rule exI[where x= r1 + r2])
apply(auto)
    using w1case1a min-extend-neg
    apply (auto simp add: neq1 eq2 neq3 repINT repU-def repe.simps repeInt-simps

        up2 word-sless-alt)
using repINT repU-def repe.simps repeInt-simps up2 word-sless-alt
proof -
    fix r'
    assume a1:sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) ≤ 0
    then have sint w1 ≤ 0 using scast-eq1 by auto
    then have h3: ⟨signed w1 ≤ (0::real)⟩
        by transfer simp
    assume a2:r2 ≤ r'
    assume a3:r1 ≤ signed w1
    assume a4:r' ≤ (- 2147483647)
    from a2 a4 have h1:r2 ≤ - 2147483647 by auto
    from a1 a3 h3 have h2:r1 ≤ 0
    using dual-order.trans of-int-le-0-iff by blast
    show r1 + r2 ≤ (- 2147483647)
        using h1 h2 add.right-neutral add-mono by fastforce
qed
have leq1:r'_2 ≤ (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) and leq2:r'_1 = (real-of-int (sint
w1))
    using equiv1 equiv2 neq1 eq2 neq3 unfolding repe.simps by auto
have case1a:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word))

    = sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + sint (-0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word)
by(rule signed-arith-sint(1)[OF w1MinusBound])
have case2:¬(?sum ≤ s scast NEG-INF) ⇒ scast ?sum ≡U r1 + r2
apply (simp add: repU-def repe.simps word-sle-def up1 up2)
apply(rule exI[where x= r'_1 - 0x7FFFFFFF])
apply(rule conjI)
subgoal using leq1 leq2 geq1 geq2 by auto
apply(rule disjI2)
apply(rule disjI2)
apply(auto)
subgoal

```

```

proof -
  have  $f:r'_1 = (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1))$ 
    by (simp add: leq1 leq2)
    assume  $a:\neg \text{sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 0x\text{FFFFFFFF}80000001) \leq$ 
  -  $2147483647$ 
    then have  $\text{sintw2-bound:sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + (-0x\text{FFFFFFFF}))$ 
  > -  $2147483647$ 
    unfolding min-extend-val by auto
    have  $- 0x\text{FFFFFFFF} < \text{sint } w1 + (- 0x\text{FFFFFFFF})$ 
    using sintw2-bound case1a min-extend-val' scast-eq1 by linarith
    then have  $w2\text{bound}:0 < \text{sint } w1$ 
    using less-add-same-cancel2 by blast
    have  $\text{rightSize:sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + - 0x\text{FFFFFFFF}) \in \text{sints}$ 
  (len-of TYPE(32))
    unfolding w1case1a
    using w2bound word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word]
    by (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)] scast-eq1)
    have  $\text{downcast:sint } ((\text{scast } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + ((- 0x\text{FFFFFFFF}))))::\text{word})$ 
      =  $\text{sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + ((- 0x\text{FFFFFFFF})))::64$ 
  (Word.word)
    using scast-down-range[OF rightSize]
    by auto
    then have  $\text{sint } ((\text{scast } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 0x\text{FFFFFFFF}80000001))::\text{word})$ 
      =  $\text{sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 0x\text{FFFFFFFF}80000001)$ 
    using min-extend-val by auto
    then have  $\langle \text{signed } (\text{SCAST}(64 \rightarrow 32) (\text{SCAST}(32 \rightarrow 64) w1 + 0x\text{FFFFFFFF}80000001)) \rangle$ 
  =
      ( $\langle \text{signed } (\text{SCAST}(32 \rightarrow 64) w1 + 0x\text{FFFFFFFF}80000001) :: \text{real} \rangle$ )
    by transfer simp
    moreover have  $r'_1 - (\text{real-of-int } 2147483647) =$ 
      ( $\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) - 2147483647)$ )
    by (simp add: scast-eq1 leq2)
    moreover from w1case1a'
    have  $\langle \text{signed } (\text{SCAST}(32 \rightarrow 64) w1 + 0x\text{FFFFFFFF}80000001) =$ 
       $\text{signed } (\text{SCAST}(32 \rightarrow 64) w1) + (\text{signed } (- 0x\text{FFFFFFFF} :: 64 \text{ Word.word}))$ 
  (signed)
    by transfer simp
    ultimately show  $r'_1 - 2147483647$ 
      =  $\langle \text{signed } ((\text{scast } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 0x\text{FFFFFFFF}80000001))::\text{word}) \rangle$ 
    by simp
qed
subgoal
proof -
    assume  $\neg \text{sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 0x\text{FFFFFFFF}80000001) \leq -$ 
   $2147483647$ 
    then have  $\text{sintw2-bound:sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + (-0x\text{FFFFFFFF}))$ 
  > -  $2147483647$ 
    unfolding neg64 by auto

```

```

have - 0x7FFFFFFF < sint w1 + (- 0x7FFFFFFF)
  using sintw2-bound case1a min-extend-val' scast-eq1 by linarith
then have w2bound:0 < sint w1
  using less-add-same-cancel2 by blast
have rightSize:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + - 0x7FFFFFFF) ∈ sints
(len-of TYPE(32))
  unfolding case1a scast-eq1 w1case1a'
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word] w2bound
  by(auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
have downcast:sint ((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((- 0x7FFFFFFF))))::word)
  = sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((- 0x7FFFFFFF)::64
Word.word))
  using scast-down-range[OF rightSize]
  by auto
show sint (scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)::word)
< 2147483647
  using downcast min-extend-val' w1case1a' scast-eq1 arith[of sint w1, OF
w1Leq1]
  by auto
qed
subgoal proof -
  assume notLeq:¬ sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)
≤ - 2147483647
  then have gr:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)
> - 2147483647
  by auto
  then have sintw2-bound:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF))
> - 2147483647
  unfolding neg64 using notLeq by auto
  have - 0x7FFFFFFF < sint w1 + (- 0x7FFFFFFF)
  using sintw2-bound case1a min-extend-val' scast-eq1 by linarith
  then have w2bound:0 < sint w1
  using less-add-same-cancel2 by blast
  have rightSize:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + - 0x7FFFFFFF) ∈ sints
(len-of TYPE(32))
  unfolding case1a scast-eq1 w1case1a'
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word] w2bound
  by (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
show - 2147483647
< sint ((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001))::word)
using scast-down-range[OF rightSize] gr of-int-less-iff of-int-minus of-int-numeral
by simp
qed
done
have letUp:(let sum=?sum in if sum <=s scast NEG-INF then NEG-INF else
scast sum) ≡U r1+r2
  using case1 case2
  by (auto simp add: Let-def)

```

```

have puSimp:pu w1 w2=(let sum = ?sum in if sum <=s scast NEG-INF then
NEG-INF else scast sum)
  using neq3 neq1 eq2 equiv1 leq2 repeInt-simps by force
  then show pu w1 w2  $\equiv_U$  r1 + r2
  using letUp puSimp by auto
next
case NumNum
assume notinf1:w1  $\neq$  POS-INF
assume notinf2:w2  $\neq$  POS-INF
assume notneginf1:w1  $\neq$  NEG-INF
assume notneginf2:w2  $\neq$  NEG-INF
let ?sum = ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2):: 64 Word.word)
have inf-case:scast POS-INF <=s ?sum  $\implies$  POS-INF  $\equiv_U$  r1 + r2
  using repU-def repePos-simps
  by (meson dual-order.strict-trans not-less order-refl)
have truth: - (2 ^ (size ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) - 1))
  < sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)
 $\wedge$  sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)
  < 2 ^ (size ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) - 1) - 1
  using Word.word-size[of (scast w2)::64 Word.word]
  Word.word-size[of (scast w1)::64 Word.word]
  scast-eq1 scast-eq3
  word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word]
  word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word]
  word-sint.Rep[of (scast w1)::64 Word.word]
  word-sint.Rep[of (scast w2)::64 Word.word]
  sints64 sints32 by auto
have sint-eq:sint((scast w1 + scast w2)::64 Word.word) = sint w1 + sint w2
  using signed-arith-sint(1)[of (scast w1)::64 Word.word (scast w2)::64 Word.word,
OF truth]
  scast-eq1 scast-eq3
  by auto
have bigOne:scast w1 + scast w2 <=s ((- 0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
 $\implies \exists r' \geq r1 + r2. r' \leq (- 0x7FFFFFFF)$ 
proof -
  assume scast w1 + scast w2 <=s ((- 0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
  then have sint w1 + sint w2  $\leq$  - 0x7FFFFFFF
  using sint-eq unfolding word-sle-eq by auto
  then have sum-leq:  $\langle$ real-of-int (sint w1 + sint w2)  $\leq$  real-of-int (- 0x7FFFFFFF) $\rangle$ 
  by (simp only: of-int-le-iff)
  obtain r'1 r'2 ::real where
  bound1:r'1  $\geq$  r1  $\wedge$  (w1  $\equiv_E$  r'1) and
  bound2:r'2  $\geq$  r2  $\wedge$  (w2  $\equiv_E$  r'2)
  using up1 up2 unfolding repU-def by auto
  have somethingA:r'1  $\leq$  sint w1 and somethingB:r'2  $\leq$  sint w2
  using  $\langle$ scast w1 + scast w2 <=s - 0x7FFFFFFF $\rangle$  word-sle-def notinf1
notinf2
  bound1 bound2 unfolding repe.simps by auto
  have something:r'1 + r'2  $\leq$  sint w1 + sint w2

```



```

    using somethingA somethingB add-mono by fastforce
  show  $\exists r' \geq r1 + r2. r' \leq (-0x7FFFFFFF)$ 
    apply(rule exI[where  $x = r'_1 + r'_2$ ])
    using bound1 bound2 add-mono something sum-leq
    apply (auto intro: order-trans [of - ⟨signed-real-of-word w1 +
      signed-real-of-word w2⟩])
    done
qed
have anImp: $\bigwedge r'. (r' \geq r1 + r2 \wedge r' \leq (-2147483647)) \implies$ 
  ( $\exists r. -(2 \wedge 31 - 1) = -(2 \wedge 31 - 1)$ 
   $\wedge r' = r \wedge r \leq (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ((- (2 \wedge 31 - 1))::32 \text{ Word.word}))))$ )
  by auto
have anEq: $((\text{scast } ((- (2 \wedge 31 - 1))::32 \text{ Word.word}))::64 \text{ Word.word}) = (-$ 
 $0x7FFFFFFF)$ 
  by auto
have bigTwo:
 $\neg((\text{scast } \text{POS-INF})::64 \text{ Word.word}) \leq s \text{ ?sum}) \implies$ 
 $\neg(\text{?sum} \leq s ((\text{scast } \text{NEG-INF})::64 \text{ Word.word})) \implies$ 
 $\exists r' \geq r1 + r2. r' =$ 
 $(\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } (\text{scast } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + ((\text{scast } w2)::64 \text{ Word.word}))::\text{word})))$ 
 $\wedge (r' < 0x7FFFFFFF \wedge (-0x7FFFFFFF) < r')$ 
proof -
  assume  $\neg((\text{scast } \text{POS-INF})::64 \text{ Word.word}) \leq s \text{ ?sum}$ 
  and  $\neg(\text{?sum} \leq s ((\text{scast } \text{NEG-INF})::64 \text{ Word.word}))$ 
  then have interval-int:  $\text{sint } w1 + \text{sint } w2 < 0x7FFFFFFF$ 
     $(-0x7FFFFFFF) < \text{sint } w1 + \text{sint } w2$ 
  unfolding word-sle-eq POS-INF-def NEG-INF-def using sint-eq by auto
  then have interval:  $\langle \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1 + \text{sint } w2) < \text{real-of-int } (0x7FFFFFFF) \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{real-of-int } (-0x7FFFFFFF) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1 + \text{sint } w2) \rangle$ 
  by (simp-all only: of-int-less-iff)
  obtain  $r'_1 r'_2 ::\text{real}$  where
    bound1: $r'_1 \geq r1 \wedge (w1 \equiv_E r'_1)$  and
    bound2: $r'_2 \geq r2 \wedge (w2 \equiv_E r'_2)$ 
  using up1 up2 unfolding repU-def by auto
  have somethingA: $r'_1 \leq \text{sint } w1$  and somethingB: $r'_2 \leq \text{sint } w2$ 
  using word-sle-def notinf1 notinf2 bound1 bound2 unfolding repe.simps by
auto
  have something: $r'_1 + r'_2 \leq \text{sint } w1 + \text{sint } w2$ 
  using somethingA somethingB add-mono by fastforce
  have  $(w1 \equiv_E r'_1)$  using bound1 by auto
  then have
    r1w1: $r'_1 = (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1))$ 
    and w1U:  $(\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1)) < (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{POS-INF}))$ 
    and w1L:  $(\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{NEG-INF})) < (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1))$ 
  unfolding repe.simps
  using notinf1 notinf2 notneginf1 notneginf2 by (auto)
  have  $(w2 \equiv_E r'_2)$  using bound2 by auto
  then have
    r2w1: $r'_2 = (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w2))$ 

```

```

and w2U: (real-of-int (sint w2)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
and w2L: (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint w2))
unfolding repe.simps
using notinf1 notinf2 notneginf1 notneginf2 by (auto)
have sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2)::64 Word.word))
= sint ((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2)::64 Word.word))):word)
apply(rule scast-down-range)
unfolding sint-eq using sints32 interval-int by auto
then have cast-eq:(sint ((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word)
+ ((scast w2)::64 Word.word))):word))
= sint w1 + sint w2
using scast-down-range sints32 interval-int sint-eq by auto
from something and cast-eq
have r12-sint-scast:r'1 + r'2
= (sint ((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word)
+ ((scast w2)::64 Word.word))):word))
using r1w1 w1U w1L r2w1 w2U w2L by (simp)
show ?thesis
using bound1 bound2 add-mono r12-sint-scast cast-eq interval
⟨r'1 + r'2 = (real-of-int (sint (scast (scast w1 + scast w2))))⟩
by simp
qed
have neg-inf-case:?sum <=s ((scast ((NEG-INF)::word)):64 Word.word) ==>
NEG-INF ≡U r1 + r2
proof (unfold repU-def NEG-INF-def repe.simps)
assume scast w1 + scast w2 <=s ((scast ((- (2 ^ 31 - 1))):word)):64
Word.word)
then have scast w1 + scast w2 <=s ((- 0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
by (metis anEq)
then obtain r' where geq:(r' ≥ r1 + r2) and leq:(r' ≤ (- 0x7FFFFFFF))
using bigOne by auto
show (∃ r' ≥ plus r1 r2.
(∃ r. uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1) = POS-INF ∧ r' = r ∧ (real-of-int (sint
POS-INF)) ≤ r)
∨ (∃ r. uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1) = uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1)
∧ r' = r ∧ r ≤ real-of-int (sint ((uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1))):word)))
∨ (∃ w. uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1) = w
∧ r' = real-of-int (sint w)
∧ (real-of-int (sint w)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
∧ less (real-of-int (sint (uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1)))) (real-of-int (sint w))))
using leq anImp geq by meson
qed
have int-case:¬(((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s ?sum)
==> ¬ (?sum <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word))
==> ((scast ?sum)::word) ≡U r1 + r2
proof -
assume bound1:¬ ((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s scast w1 + scast
w2
assume bound2:¬ scast w1 + scast w2 <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word)

```

```

obtain  $r'::\text{real}$ 
  where  $rDef:r' = (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ((\text{scast } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word})$ 
     $+ ((\text{scast } w2)::64 \text{ Word.word}))))::\text{word}))$ 
  and  $r12:r' \geq r1 + r2$ 
  and  $\text{boundU}:r' < 0x7FFFFFFF$ 
  and  $\text{boundL}:(-0x7FFFFFFF) < r'$ 
  using  $\text{bigTwo}[OF \text{ bound1 bound2}]$  by auto
obtain  $w::\text{word}$ 
where  $wdef:w = (\text{scast } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + ((\text{scast } w2)::64 \text{ Word.word})))::\text{word}$ 
  by auto
then have  $wr:r' = (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w))$ 
  using  $r12 \text{ bound1 bound2 } rDef$  by blast
show ?thesis
  unfolding  $\text{repU-def } \text{repe.simps}$ 
  using  $r12 \text{ wdef } rDef \text{ boundU boundL } wr$ 
  by auto
qed
have  $\text{almost}:(\text{let } \text{sum}::64 \text{ Word.word} = \text{scast } w1 + \text{scast } w2 \text{ in}$ 
  if scast POS-INF} <=s \text{ sum then POS-INF}
  else if sum <=s scast NEG-INF then NEG-INF
  else scast sum} \equiv_U r1 + r2
apply( $\text{cases } ((\text{scast } \text{POS-INF})::64 \text{ Word.word}) <=s ((?sum)::64 \text{ Word.word})$ )
subgoal using  $\text{inf-case } \text{Let-def } \text{int-case } \text{neg-inf-case}$  by auto
apply( $\text{cases } ?sum <=s \text{ scast } \text{NEG-INF}$ )
subgoal
  using  $\text{inf-case } \text{Let-def } \text{int-case } \text{neg-inf-case}$ 
proof –
  assume  $\neg (\text{scast } \text{POS-INF}::64 \text{ Word.word}) <=s \text{ scast } w1 + \text{scast } w2$ 
then have  $\neg (\text{scast } w1::64 \text{ Word.word}) + \text{scast } w2 <=s \text{ scast } \text{NEG-INF}$ 
   $\wedge \neg (\text{scast } \text{POS-INF}::64 \text{ Word.word}) <=s \text{ scast } w1 + \text{scast } w2$ 
   $\wedge \neg (\text{scast } w1::64 \text{ Word.word}) + \text{scast } w2 <=s \text{ scast } \text{NEG-INF}$ 
   $\vee ((\text{let } w = \text{scast } w1 + \text{scast } w2 \text{ in}$ 
  if scast POS-INF} <=s (w::64 \text{ Word.word}) \text{ then POS-INF}
  else if w <=s scast NEG-INF then NEG-INF
  else scast w} \equiv_U r1 + r2)
  using  $\text{neg-inf-case}$  by presburger
then show ?thesis
  using  $\text{int-case}$  by force
qed
subgoal using  $\text{inf-case } \text{Let-def } \text{int-case } \text{neg-inf-case}$ 
proof –
  assume  $a1: \neg (\text{scast } \text{POS-INF}::64 \text{ Word.word}) <=s \text{ scast } w1 + \text{scast } w2$ 
assume  $\neg (\text{scast } w1::64 \text{ Word.word}) + \text{scast } w2 <=s \text{ scast } \text{NEG-INF}$ 
have  $\neg (\text{scast } w1::64 \text{ Word.word}) + \text{scast } w2 <=s \text{ scast } \text{NEG-INF}$ 
   $\wedge \neg (\text{scast } \text{POS-INF}::64 \text{ Word.word}) <=s \text{ scast } w1 + \text{scast } w2$ 
   $\vee ((\text{let } w = \text{scast } w1 + \text{scast } w2 \text{ in}$ 
  if scast POS-INF} <=s (w::64 \text{ Word.word}) \text{ then POS-INF}
  else if w <=s scast NEG-INF then NEG-INF
  else scast w} \equiv_U r1 + r2)

```

```

    using a1 neg-inf-case by presburger
  then show ?thesis
    using int-case by force
qed
done
then show ?thesis
  using notinf1 notinf2 notneginf1 notneginf2 by auto
qed
qed

```

Lower bound of $w1 + w2$

```

fun pl :: word  $\Rightarrow$  word  $\Rightarrow$  word
where pl w1 w2 =
  (if w1 = NEG-INF then NEG-INF
   else if w2 = NEG-INF then NEG-INF
   else if w1 = POS-INF then
     (if w2 = POS-INF then POS-INF
      else
        (let sum::64 Word.word = ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + ((scast POS-INF)::64
Word.word) in
          if ((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s(sum::64 Word.word) then POS-INF
            else scast sum))
    else if w2 = POS-INF then
      (let sum::64 Word.word = ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast POS-INF)::64
Word.word) in
        if ((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s(sum::64 Word.word) then POS-INF
          else scast sum)
    else
      (let sum::64 Word.word = ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)
in
        if ((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s (sum::64 Word.word) then POS-INF
          else if (sum::64 Word.word) <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word) then NEG-INF
            else scast sum))

```

3.2 Addition lower bound

Correctness of lower bound of $w1 + w2$

```

lemma pl-lemma:
assumes lo1:w1  $\equiv_L$  (r1::real)
assumes lo2:w2  $\equiv_L$  (r2::real)
shows pl w1 w2  $\equiv_L$  (r1 + r2)
proof -
  have scast-eq1:sint((scast w1)::64 Word.word) = sint w1
    apply(rule Word.sint-up-scast)
    unfolding Word.is-up by auto
  have scast-eq2:sint((scast (0x80000001::word))::64 Word.word)=sint((0x80000001::32
Word.word))
    by auto
  have scast-eq3:sint((scast w2)::64 Word.word) = sint w2

```

```

apply(rule Word.sint-up-scast)
unfolding Word.is-up by auto
have sint64:sints 64 = {i. - (2 ^ 63) ≤ i ∧ i < 2 ^ 63}
  using sint64-def[of 64] range-sbintrunc[of 63] by auto
have sint32:sints 32 = {i. - (2 ^ 31) ≤ i ∧ i < 2 ^ 31}
  using sint32-def[of 32] range-sbintrunc[of 31] by auto
have thing1:0 ≤ 9223372034707292161 + ((-(2 ^ 31))::real) by auto
have sint ((w2)) ≥ -(2 ^ 31)
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] sint32 mem-Collect-eq
  Word.word-size[of (scast w2)::64 Word.word] scast-eq1 scast-eq2 scast-eq3 len32

by auto
then have thing4:sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) ≥ -(2 ^ 31)
  using scast-down-range sint-ge sint-num
  using scast-eq3 by linarith
have aLeq2:-(2 ^ 31)::int ≥ -9223372039002259455 by auto
then have thing2: (0::int) ≤ 9223372039002259455 + sint ((scast w2)::64
Word.word)
  using thing4 aLeq2
  by (metis ab-group-add-class.ab-left-minus add commute add-mono neg-le-iff-le)
have aLeq:2 ^ 31 ≤ (9223372039002259455::int) by auto
have bLeq:sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) ≤ 2 ^ 31
  apply (auto simp add: word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] sint32
scast-eq3 len32)
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] len32[of TYPE(32)] sint32 by
auto
have thing3: sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) ≤ 9223372034707292160
  using aLeq bLeq by auto
have truth: - (2 ^ (size ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) - 1))
  ≤ sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
  ∧ sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
  ≤ 2 ^ (size ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) - 1) - 1
  by(auto simp add:
  Word.word-size[of (scast w2)::64 Word.word]
  Word.word-size[of (scast (0x7FFFFFFF))::64 Word.word]
  scast-eq1 scast-eq2
  sint64 sint32 thing2 thing1 thing3)
have case1a: sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word))

  = sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint (0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word)
  by(rule signed-arith-sint(1)[OF truth])
have case1b:sint ((0xFFFFFFFF80000001)::64 Word.word) < 0
  by auto
have arith:∧x::int. x ≤ 2 ^ 31 - 1 ⇒ x + (- 2147483647) < 2147483647
  by auto
have neg64:(((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)
  = ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF)
  by auto
obtain r'1 and r'2 where

```

```

      geq1:r'1≤r1 and equiv1:w1 ≡E r'1
    and geq2:r'2≤r2 and equiv2:w2 ≡E r'2
      using lo1 lo2 unfolding repL-def by auto
  show ?thesis
proof (cases rule: case-pl-inf[where ?w1.0=w1, where ?w2.0=w2])
  case NegAny
  then show ?thesis
  apply (auto simp add: repL-def repe.simps)
  using lo1 lo2 linear by auto
next
  case AnyNeg
  then show ?thesis
  apply (auto simp add: repL-def repe.simps)
  using linear by auto
next
  case PosPos
  then show ?thesis
  using lo1 lo2
  by (auto simp add: repL-def repe.simps)
next
  case PosNum
  assume neq1:w2 ≠ POS-INF
  assume eq2:w1 = POS-INF
  assume neq3:w2 ≠ NEG-INF
  let ?sum = (scast w2 + scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word
  have case1:(((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s ?sum) ⇒ POS-INF ≡L r1
+ r2
  using lo1 lo2 apply (simp add: repL-def repe.simps word-sle-def)
  apply(rule exI[where x= r1 + r2])
  using case1a case1b
  apply (auto simp add: neq1 eq2 neq3 repINT repL-def repe.simps
    repeInt-simps lo2 word-sless-alt)
proof -
  fix r'
  assume a1:0 ≤ sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word))
  from a1 have h3:2147483647 ≤ sint w2 + 0x7FFFFFFF using scast-eq3
  by auto
  assume a2:r' ≤ r1
  assume a3:signed w2 ≤ r2
  assume a4:(2147483647) ≤ r'
  from a2 a4 have h1:2147483647 ≤ r1 by auto
  from a1 a3 h3 have h2:0 ≤ r2
  using of-int-le-0-iff le-add-same-cancel2
  apply simp
  apply transfer
  apply simp
  apply (metis (full-types) of-int-0 of-int-le-iff order-trans signed-take-bit-nonnegative-iff)
  done
  show (2147483647) ≤ r1 + r2

```

```

    using h1 h2 h3 add.right-neutral add-mono
    by fastforce
  qed
have leq1:r'_1 ≥ (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
  using equiv1 neq1 eq2 neq3
  unfolding repe.simps by auto
have leq2:r'_2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
  using equiv2 neq1 eq2 neq3
  unfolding repe.simps by auto
have case2:¬(scast POS-INF <=s ?sum) ⇒ scast ?sum ≡L r1 + r2
  apply (simp add: repL-def repe.simps word-sle-def lo1 lo2)
  apply(rule exI[where x= r'_2 + 0x7FFFFFFF])
  apply(rule conjI)
subgoal
  proof -
    assume ¬ 2147483647 ≤ sint (scast w2 + 0x7FFFFFFF)
    have bound1:2147483647 ≤ r1
      using leq1 geq1 by (auto)
    have bound2:r'_2 ≤ r2
      using leq2 geq2 by auto
    show r'_2 + 2147483647 ≤ r1 + r2
      using bound1 bound2
      by linarith
  qed
  apply(rule disjI2)
  apply(rule disjI2)
  apply(auto)
subgoal
  proof -
    assume a:¬ 2147483647 ≤ sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)
    then have sintw2-bound:2147483647 > sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) +
(0x7FFFFFFF))
      by auto
    have case1a:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word))
      = sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint (0x7FFFFFFF::64
Word.word)
      by(rule signed-arith-sint(1)[OF truth])
    have a1:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF))
      = sint((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)

    using case1a by auto
    have c1:sint((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word) = 0x7FFFFFFF
      by auto
    have sint w2 + ( 0x7FFFFFFF) < 0x7FFFFFFF
      using sintw2-bound case1a c1 scast-eq3 by linarith
    then have w2bound:sint w2 < 0
      using add-less-same-cancel2 by blast
    have rightSize:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF) ∈ sints

```

```

(len-of TYPE(32))
  unfolding case1a scast-eq3 c1
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] w2bound
  by (auto simp add: sint32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
  have downcast:sint ((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + ((0x7FFFFFFF))):word)
    = sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word))
  using scast-down-range[OF rightSize]
  by auto
  then have b:sint ((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF):word)
    = sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)
  by auto
  have c:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)
    = sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
  using case1a by auto
  have d:sint ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word) = (0x7FFFFFFF) by auto
  have f:r'_2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
  by (simp add: leq2)
  show r'_2 + 2147483647
    = (signed ((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF):word))
  using a b c d scast-eq3 f leq2 of-int-numeral
  by auto
qed
subgoal
proof -
  have truth2a:-(2^(size ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)-1))
    ≤ sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)

  ∧ sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
    ≤ 2 ^ (size ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) - 1) - 1
  apply(auto simp add:
    Word.word-size[of (scast w2)::64 Word.word]
    Word.word-size[of (scast (0x7FFFFFFF))::64 Word.word]
    scast-eq1 scast-eq2 sint32 sint32 thing2)
  using thing1 thing2 thing3 by auto
  have case2a: sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word))
    = sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint (0x7FFFFFFF::64
Word.word)
  by(rule signed-arith-sint(1)[OF truth2a])
  have min-cast:(0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word) =((scast (0x7FFFFFFF::word))::64
Word.word)
  by auto
  assume ¬ 2147483647 ≤ sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)
  then have sintw2-bound:2147483647 > sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) +
(0x7FFFFFFF))
  using neg64 by auto
  have a:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF))
    = sint((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)

```



```

    using case2a by auto
  have c:sint((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word) = 0x7FFFFFFF
    by auto
  have 0x7FFFFFFF > sint w2 + ( 0x7FFFFFFF)
    using sintw2-bound case2a c scast-eq3 by linarith
  then have w2bound: sint w2 < 0
    by simp
  have rightSize:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF) ∈ sints
(len-of TYPE(32))
    unfolding case2a scast-eq3 c
  apply (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
    using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)]
w2bound
  by auto
  have downcast:sint ((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (( 0x7FFFFFFF))))::word)

    = sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (( 0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word))
    using scast-down-range[OF rightSize]
    by auto
  then show sint (scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)::word)
< 2147483647
    unfolding downcast a scast-eq3 c
    using w2bound by auto
qed
subgoal proof –
assume notLeq:¬ 2147483647 ≤ sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)
then have gr:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF) < 2147483647

    by auto
  have case2a: sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word))

    = sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint (0x7FFFFFFF::64
Word.word)
    by(rule signed-arith-sint(1)[OF truth])
  have min-cast:(0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word) =((scast (0x7FFFFFFF::word))::64
Word.word)
    by auto
  have neg64:(((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)
    = ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF)
    by auto
  then have sintw2-bound:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF))
< 2147483647
    using neg64 using notLeq by auto
  have a:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF))
    = sint((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + sint((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
    using case2a by auto
  have c:sint((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word) = 0x7FFFFFFF
    by auto
  have - 2147483647 ≠ w2 using neq3 unfolding NEG-INF-def by auto

```

```

then have sint((- 2147483647)::word) ≠ sint w2
  using word-sint.Rep-inject by blast
then have n1:- 2147483647 ≠ sint w2
  by auto
have - 2147483648 ≠ w2
  apply(rule repe.cases[OF equiv2])
  by auto
then have sint(- 2147483648::word) ≠ sint w2
using word-sint.Rep-inject by blast
then have n2:- 2147483648 ≠ sint w2
  by auto
then have d:sint w2 > - 2147483647
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)]
neq3 n1 n2
  by auto
have w2bound:- 2147483647 < sint w2 + 0x7FFFFFFF
  using sintw2-bound case2a c scast-eq3 d by linarith
have rightSize:sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF) ∈ sints
(len-of TYPE(32))
  using sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)] w2bound word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32
Word.word]
  c case2a scast-eq3 sintw2-bound
  by (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
have downcast:sint ((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF))))::word
= sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF)::64
Word.word))
  using scast-down-range[OF rightSize]
  by auto
have sintEq: sint ((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF))::word)
=
  sint (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)
  using downcast by auto
show - 2147483647
< sint ((scast (((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF))::word)
  unfolding sintEq
using gr of-int-less-iff of-int-minus of-int-numeral c case2a scast-eq3 w2bound
  by simp
qed
done
have (let sum = ?sum in if scast POS-INF <=s sum then POS-INF else scast
sum) ≡L r1 + r2
  using case1 case2
  by (auto simp add: Let-def)
then show ?thesis
  using lo1 lo2 neq1 eq2 neq3
  by (auto)
next
case NumPos
  assume neq3:w1 ≠ NEG-INF

```

```

assume neg1:w1 ≠ POS-INF
assume eq2:w2 = POS-INF
let ?sum = (scast w1 + scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word
have thing1: $0 \leq 9223372034707292161 + ((-(2 \wedge 31))::\text{real})$  by auto
have sint ((w1))  $\geq -(2 \wedge 31)$ 
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word] scast-eq1 scast-eq2 scast-eq3
  Word.word-size[of (scast w1)::64 Word.word] sints32 len32 mem-Collect-eq
  by auto
then have thing4:sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word)  $\geq -(2 \wedge 31)$ 
  using scast-down-range sint-ge sints-num scast-eq3 scast-eq1 by linarith
have aLeq2: $(-(2 \wedge 31)::\text{int}) \geq -9223372039002259455$  by auto
then have thing2: ( $0::\text{int}$ )  $\leq 9223372039002259455 + \text{sint} ((\text{scast } w1)::64$ 
Word.word)
  using thing4 aLeq2
by (metis ab-group-add-class.ab-left-minus add commute add-mono neg-le-iff-le)
have aLeq: $2 \wedge 31 \leq (9223372039002259455::\text{int})$  by auto
have bLeq:sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word)  $\leq 2 \wedge 31$ 
  apply (auto simp add: word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word] sints32
scast-eq1 len32)
  using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word] len32[of TYPE(32)] sints32
  by clarsimp
have thing3: sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word)  $\leq 9223372034707292160$ 
  using aLeq bLeq by auto
have truth:  $-(2 \wedge (\text{size} ((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{Word.word}) - 1))$ 
 $\leq \text{sint} ((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{Word.word}) + \text{sint} ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64$ 
Word.word)
 $\wedge \text{sint} ((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{Word.word}) + \text{sint} ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64$ 
Word.word)
 $\leq 2 \wedge (\text{size} ((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{Word.word}) - 1) - 1$ 
by(auto simp add:
Word.word-size[of (scast w1)::64 Word.word]
Word.word-size[of (scast (0x7FFFFFFF))::64 Word.word]
scast-eq3 scast-eq2 sints64 sints32 thing2 thing1 thing3)
have case1a:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
 $= \text{sint} ((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{Word.word}) + \text{sint} (0x7FFFFFFF::64 \text{Word.word})$ 
by(rule signed-arith-sint(1)[OF truth])
have case1b:sint ((0xFFFFFFFF80000001)::64 Word.word)  $< 0$ 
by auto
have g:(0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word) = 0x7FFFFFFF by auto
have c:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)
 $= \text{sint} ((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{Word.word}) + \text{sint} ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 \text{Word.word})$ 
using g case1a
by blast
have d:sint ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word) = (0x7FFFFFFF) by auto
have e:sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) = sint w1
using scast-eq1 by blast
have d2:sint w1  $\leq 2 \wedge 31 - 1$ 
using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word]

```

```

    by (auto simp add: sint32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
  have case1:scast POS-INF <=s ?sum  $\implies$  POS-INF  $\equiv_L$  r1 + r2
  using lo1 lo2 apply (simp add: repL-def repe.simps word-sle-def)
  apply(rule exI[where x= r1 + r2])
  apply(auto)
    using case1a case1b
    apply (auto simp add: neq1 eq2 neq3 repINT repL-def
      repe.simps repeInt-simps lo2 word-sless-alt)
  proof -
    fix r'
    have h3:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)
      = sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word)) + sint(0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word)

      using case1a by auto
    have h4:sint(0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word) = 2147483647 by auto
    assume a1:0  $\leq$  sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word)
    then have h3:sint w1  $\geq$  0 using scast-eq1 h3 h4 by auto
    assume a2:r'  $\leq$  r2
    assume a3:signed w1  $\leq$  r1
    assume a4:(2147483647)  $\leq$  r'
    from a2 a4 have h1:r2  $\geq$  2147483647 by auto
    from a3 h3 have h2:r1  $\geq$  0
      by (auto intro: order-trans [of - signed-real-of-word w1])
    show 2147483647  $\leq$  r1 + r2
      using h1 h2 add.right-neutral add-mono by fastforce
    qed
  have leq1:r'  $\geq$  (real-of-int (sint POS-INF)) and leq2:r' = (real-of-int (sint
w1))
    using equiv1 equiv2 neq1 eq2 neq3 unfolding repe.simps by auto
  have neg64:(((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0xFFFFFFFF80000001)
    = ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + (-0x7FFFFFFF)
    by auto
  have case2: $\neg$ (scast POS-INF <=s ?sum)  $\implies$  scast ?sum  $\equiv_L$  r1 + r2
  apply (simp add: repL-def repe.simps word-sle-def lo1 lo2)
  apply(rule exI[where x= r' + 0x7FFFFFFF])
  apply(rule conjI)
  subgoal
    proof -
      assume  $\neg$  2147483647  $\leq$  sint (scast w1 + 0x7FFFFFFF)
      have bound1:r2  $\geq$  2147483647
        using leq1 geq2 by (auto)
      have bound2:r1  $\geq$  r'
        using leq2 geq1 by auto
      show r' + 2147483647  $\leq$  r1 + r2
        using bound1 bound2
        by linarith
      qed
    apply(rule disjI2)
    apply(rule disjI2)

```

```

apply(auto)
subgoal
  proof –
    have  $f:r'_1 = (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1))$ 
      by (simp add: leq1 leq2)
    assume  $a:\neg 2147483647 \leq \text{sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 0x7FFFFFFF)$ 
    then have  $\text{sintw2-bound}:2147483647 > \text{sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word})$ 
  +  $(0x7FFFFFFF))$ 
      by auto
    have  $0x7FFFFFFF > \text{sint } w1 + (0x7FFFFFFF)$ 
      using sintw2-bound case1a d scast-eq1 by linarith
    then have  $w2\text{bound}:0 > \text{sint } w1$ 
      using add-less-same-cancel2 by blast
    have  $\text{rightSize}:\text{sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 0x7FFFFFFF) \in \text{sints}$ 
  (len-of TYPE(32))
      unfolding case1a e
      using w2bound word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word]
      by (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
    have  $\text{arith}:\bigwedge x::\text{int}. x \leq 2^{31} - 1 \implies x + (-2147483647) < 2147483647$ 
      by auto
    have  $\text{downcast}:\text{sint } (((\text{scast } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + (0x7FFFFFFF))))::\text{word})$ 
      =  $\text{sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + (0x7FFFFFFF)::64$ 
  Word.word)
      using scast-down-range[OF rightSize]
      by auto
    then have  $b:\text{sint}(((\text{scast } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 0x7FFFFFFF))::\text{word})$ 
      =  $\text{sint}(((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 0x7FFFFFFF)$ 
      using g by auto
    show  $r'_1 + 2147483647$ 
  =  $(\text{signed-real-of-word } (((\text{scast } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 0x7FFFFFFF))::\text{word})))$ 
      using a b c d e f
      proof –
        have  $(\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 2147483647))$ 
          =  $r'_1 + (\text{real-of-int } 2147483647)$ 
          using e leq2 by auto
        from this [symmetric] show ?thesis
          using b c d of-int-numeral
          by simp
      qed
    qed
  subgoal
  proof –
    assume  $\neg 2147483647 \leq \text{sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + 0x7FFFFFFF)$ 
    then have  $\text{sintw2-bound}:\text{sint } (((\text{scast } w1)::64 \text{ Word.word}) + (0x7FFFFFFF))$ 
  <  $2147483647$ 
      unfolding neg64 by auto
    have  $0x7FFFFFFF > \text{sint } w1 + (0x7FFFFFFF)$ 
      using sintw2-bound case1a d scast-eq1 by linarith

```

```

then have w2bound:0 > sint w1
using add-less-same-cancel2 by blast
have rightSize:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF) ∈ sints
(len-of TYPE(32))
unfolding case1a e c
using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word] w2bound
by (auto simp add: sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)])
have arith:∧x::int. x ≤ 231 - 1 ⇒ x + (- 2147483647) < 2147483647
by auto
have downcast:sint ((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF))::word)

= sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word))
using scast-down-range[OF rightSize]
by auto
show sint (scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF))::word <
2147483647
using downcast d e c arith[of sint w1, OF d2] sintw2-bound by linarith
qed
subgoal proof -
assume notLeq:¬ 2147483647 ≤ sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) +
0x7FFFFFFF)
then have gr:2147483647 > sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)

by auto
then have sintw2-bound:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + (0x7FFFFFFF))
< 2147483647
unfolding neg64 using notLeq by auto
have 0x7FFFFFFF > sint w1 + ( 0x7FFFFFFF)
using sintw2-bound case1a d scast-eq1 by linarith
then have useful:0 > sint w1
using add-less-same-cancel2 by blast
have rightSize:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF) ∈ sints
(len-of TYPE(32))
unfolding case1a e
using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word]
sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)] useful
by auto
have - 2147483647 ≠ w1 using neq3 unfolding NEG-INF-def by auto
then have sint((- 2147483647)::word) ≠ sint w1
using word-sint.Rep-inject by blast
then have n1:- 2147483647 ≠ sint w1
by auto
have - 2147483648 ≠ w1
apply(rule repe.cases[OF equiv1]) using int-not-undef[of w1] by auto
then have sint(- 2147483648::word) ≠ sint w1
using word-sint.Rep-inject by blast
then have n2:- 2147483648 ≠ sint w1
by auto
then have d:sint w1 > - 2147483647

```

```

    using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word]
      sints32 len32[of TYPE(32)] n1 n2 neq3
    by (simp)
  have d2:sint (0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word) > 0
    by auto
  from d d2 have d3:- 2147483647 < sint w1 + sint (0x7FFFFFFF::64
Word.word)
    by auto
  have d4:sint ((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + 0x7FFFFFFF)::word)
= sint w1 + sint (0x7FFFFFFF::64 Word.word)
    using case1a rightSize scast-down-range scast-eq1 by fastforce
  then show - 2147483647 < sint (SCAST(64 → 32) (SCAST(32 → 64)
w1 + 0x7FFFFFFF))
    using d3 d4 by auto
  qed done
  have (let sum = ?sum in if scast POS-INF <=s sum then POS-INF else scast
sum) ≡L r1 + r2
    using case1 case2
  by (auto simp add: Let-def)
  then show ?thesis
    using neq1 eq2 neq3 by (auto)
next
case NumNum
assume notinf1:w1 ≠ POS-INF
assume notinf2:w2 ≠ POS-INF
assume notneginf1:w1 ≠ NEG-INF
assume notneginf2:w2 ≠ NEG-INF
let ?sum = ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2):: 64 Word.word)
have truth: - (2 ^ (size ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) - 1))
  ≤ sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)
  ∧ sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)
  ≤ 2 ^ (size ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) - 1) - 1
  using Word.word-size[of (scast w2)::64 Word.word]
    Word.word-size[of (scast w1)::64 Word.word]
    scast-eq1 scast-eq3 sints64 sints32
    word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word]
    word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word]
  by auto
have sint-eq:sint((scast w1 + scast w2)::64 Word.word) = sint w1 + sint w2
  using signed-arith-sint(1)[of (scast w1)::64 Word.word (scast w2)::64 Word.word,
OF truth]
    scast-eq1 scast-eq3
  by auto
have bigOne:scast w1 + scast w2 <=s ((- 0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
  ⇒ ∃ r' ≤ r1 + r2. r' ≤ -0x7FFFFFFF
proof -
  assume scast w1 + scast w2 <=s ((- 0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
  then have sum-leg:sint w1 + sint w2 ≤ - 0x7FFFFFFF
    and sum-leg': (sint w1 + sint w2) ≤ (- 2147483647)

```

```

    using sint-eq unfolding word-sle-eq by auto
  obtain r'1 r'2 ::real where
    bound1:r'1 ≤ r1 ∧ (w1 ≡E r'1) and
    bound2:r'2 ≤ r2 ∧ (w2 ≡E r'2)
    using lo1 lo2 unfolding repL-def by auto
  have somethingA:r'1 ≤ sint w1 and somethingB:r'2 ≤ sint w2
  using bound1 bound2 ‹scast w1 + scast w2 <=s -0x7FFFFFFF› word-sle-def
notinf1 notinf2
  unfolding repe.simps by auto
  have something:r'1 + r'2 ≤ sint w1 + sint w2
  using somethingA somethingB add-mono
  by fastforce
  show ∃ r' ≤ r1 + r2. r' < (-0x7FFFFFFF)
  apply (rule exI[where x = r'1 + r'2])
  using bound1 bound2 add-mono something sum-leq'
  apply (auto intro: order-trans [of - ‹signed-real-of-word w1 + signed-real-of-word
w2›])
  done
qed
have anImp:∧r'. (r' ≥ r1 + r2 ∧ r' ≤ (- 2147483647)) ⇒
(∃ r. -(2 ^ 31 - 1) = -(2 ^ 31 - 1) ∧ r' = r ∧ r
≤ (real-of-int (sint ((- (2 ^ 31 - 1))::32 Word.word))))
by auto
have anEq:(scast ((- (2 ^ 31 - 1))::32 Word.word)::64 Word.word) = (-
0x7FFFFFFF)
by auto
have bigTwo:
¬((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s ?sum) ⇒
¬(?sum <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word)) ⇒
∃ r' ≤ r1 + r2. r' = (real-of-int (sint (scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word)
+ ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)::word)))
∧ (r' < 0x7FFFFFFF ∧ (-0x7FFFFFFF) < r')
proof -
assume ¬((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s ?sum)
then have sum-leq:sint w1 + sint w2 < 0x7FFFFFFF
unfolding word-sle-eq using sint-eq by auto
then have sum-leq': (sint w1 + sint w2) < (2147483647)
by auto
assume ¬(?sum <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word))
then have sum-geq:(- 0x7FFFFFFF) < sint w1 + sint w2
unfolding word-sle-eq using sint-eq by auto
then have sum-geq': (- 2147483647) < (sint w1 + sint w2)
by auto
obtain r'1 r'2 ::real where
bound1:r'1 ≤ r1 ∧ (w1 ≡E r'1) and
bound2:r'2 ≤ r2 ∧ (w2 ≡E r'2)
using lo1 lo2 unfolding repL-def by auto
have somethingA:r'1 ≤ sint w1 and somethingB:r'2 ≤ sint w2
using word-sle-def notinf1 notinf2 bound1 bound2 unfolding repe.simps by

```



```

auto
have something:r'_1 + r'_2 ≤ sint w1 + sint w2
  using somethingA somethingB add-mono
  by fastforce
have (w1 ≡E r'_1) using bound1 by auto
then have
  r1w1:r'_1 = (real-of-int (sint w1))
  and w1U:(real-of-int (sint w1)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
  and w1L:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint w1))
  unfolding repe.simps
  using notinf1 notinf2 notneginf1 notneginf2 by (auto)
have (w2 ≡E r'_2) using bound2 by auto
then have
  r2w1:r'_2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
  and w2U:(real-of-int (sint w2)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
  and w2L:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint w2))
  unfolding repe.simps
  using notinf1 notinf2 notneginf1 notneginf2 by (auto)
have sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2)::64 Word.word))
  = sint ((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2)::64 Word.word))):word)
  apply(rule scast-down-range)
  unfolding sint-eq using sints32 sum-geq sum-leq by auto
then have cast-eq:(sint ((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word)
  + ((scast w2)::64 Word.word))):word))
  = sint w1 + sint w2
  using scast-down-range sints32 sum-geq sum-leq sint-eq by auto
from something and cast-eq
have r12-sint-scast:r'_1 + r'_2
  = (sint ((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2)::64 Word.word))):word))
  using r1w1 w1U w1L r2w1 w2U w2L
  by (simp)
have leq-ref:∧x y ::real. x = y ==> x ≤ y by auto
show ?thesis
  apply(rule exI[where x=r'_1 + r'_2])
  apply(rule conjI)
  subgoal
    using r12-sint-scast cast-eq leq-ref r2w1 r1w1 add-mono[of r'_1 r1 r'_2 r2]
bound1 bound2
  by auto
  using bound1 bound2 add-mono r12-sint-scast cast-eq sum-leq sum-leq' sum-geq'
sum-geq
  ⟨r'_1 + r'_2 = (real-of-int (sint (scast (scast w1 + scast w2))))⟩
  by auto
qed
have neg-inf-case:?sum <=s ((scast ((NEG-INF)::word))::64 Word.word) ==>
NEG-INF ≡L r1 + r2
proof (unfold repL-def NEG-INF-def repe.simps)
  assume scast w1 + scast w2 <=s ((scast ((- (2 ^ 31 - 1))):word))::64
Word.word)

```

```

then have scast w1 + scast w2 <=s ((- 0x7FFFFFFF)::64 Word.word)
  by (metis anEq)
then obtain r' where geq:(r' ≤ r1 + r2) and leq:(r' ≤ (-0x7FFFFFFF))
  using bigOne by auto
show (∃ r' ≤ plus r1 r2.
  (∃ r. uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1) = POS-INF ∧ r' = r ∧ (real-of-int (sint
POS-INF)) ≤ r)
  ∨ (∃ r. uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1) = uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1)
  ∧ r' = r ∧ r ≤ (real-of-int (sint ((uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1))::word))))
  ∨ (∃ w. uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1) = w ∧
  r' = (real-of-int (sint w)) ∧
  (real-of-int (sint w)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
  ∧ less ( (real-of-int (sint (uminus (minus(2 ^ 31) 1)))) ((real-of-int (sint
w))))))
  using leq geq
  by (meson dual-order.strict-trans linorder-not-le order-less-irrefl)
qed
have bigThree:0x7FFFFFFF <=s ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2)::64
Word.word)
  ⇒ ∃ r' ≤ r1 + r2. 2147483647 ≤ r'
proof -
  assume 0x7FFFFFFF <=s ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2)::64
Word.word)
  then have sum-leq:0x7FFFFFFF ≤ sint w1 + sint w2
    and sum-leq': 2147483647 ≤ (sint w1 + sint w2)
    using sint-eq unfolding word-sle-eq by auto
obtain r'₁ r'₂ ::real where
  bound1:r'₁ ≤ r1 ∧ (w1 ≡E r'₁) and
  bound2:r'₂ ≤ r2 ∧ (w2 ≡E r'₂)
  using lo1 lo2 unfolding repL-def by auto
have somethingA:r'₁ ≤ sint w1 and somethingB:r'₂ ≤ sint w2
using ‹ 0x7FFFFFFF <=s scast w1 + scast w2 › word-sle-def notinf1 notinf2
  bound1 bound2 repe.simps
by auto
have something:r'₁ + r'₂ ≤ sint w1 + sint w2
  using somethingA somethingB add-mono of-int-add
  by fastforce
show ∃ r' ≤ r1 + r2. (2147483647) ≤ r'
  apply(rule exI[where x = r'₁ + r'₂])
  using bound1 bound2 add-mono something sum-leq' order.trans
proof -
  have f1: (real-of-int (sint w2)) = r'₂
    by (metis bound2 notinf2 notneginf2 repe.cases)
  have (real-of-int (sint w1)) = r'₁
    by (metis bound1 notinf1 notneginf1 repe.cases)
  then have f2: (real-of-int 2147483647) ≤ r'₂ + r'₁
    using f1 sum-leq' by auto
  have r'₂ + r'₁ ≤ r2 + r1
    by (meson add-left-mono add-right-mono bound1 bound2 order.trans)

```

```

then show  $r'_1 + r'_2 \leq r1 + r2 \wedge 2147483647 \leq r'_1 + r'_2$ 
using  $f2$  by (simp add: add.commute)
qed
qed
have inf-case: $((scast\ POS-INT)::64\ Word.word) \leq_s\ ?sum \implies POS-INT \equiv_L$ 
 $r1 + r2$ 
proof –
assume  $((scast\ POS-INT)::64\ Word.word)$ 
 $\leq_s\ ((scast\ w1)::64\ Word.word) + ((scast\ w2)::64\ Word.word)$ 
then have  $((scast\ ((2^{31} - 1)::word)::64\ Word.word)$ 
 $\leq_s\ ((scast\ w1)::64\ Word.word) + ((scast\ w2)::64\ Word.word)$ 
unfolding repL-def repe.simps by auto
then have  $(0x7FFFFFFF::64\ Word.word)$ 
 $\leq_s\ ((scast\ w1)::64\ Word.word) + ((scast\ w2)::64\ Word.word)$ 
by auto
then obtain  $r'$  where geq: $(r' \leq r1 + r2)$  and leq: $(0x7FFFFFFF \leq r')$ 
using bigThree by auto
show ?thesis
unfolding repL-def repe.simps using leq geq by auto
qed
have int-case: $\neg(((scast\ POS-INT)::64\ Word.word) \leq_s\ ?sum)$ 
 $\implies \neg (?sum \leq_s\ ((scast\ NEG-INT)::64\ Word.word))$ 
 $\implies ((scast\ ?sum)::word) \equiv_L r1 + r2$ 
proof –
assume bound1: $\neg ((scast\ POS-INT)::64\ Word.word) \leq_s\ scast\ w1 + scast\ w2$ 
assume bound2: $\neg scast\ w1 + scast\ w2 \leq_s\ ((scast\ NEG-INT)::64\ Word.word)$ 
obtain  $r':real$ 
where rDef: $r' = (real-of-int\ (sint\ ((scast\ (((scast\ w1)::64\ Word.word)$ 
 $+ ((scast\ w2)::64\ Word.word))))::word))$ 
and r12: $r' \leq r1 + r2$ 
and boundU: $r' < 0x7FFFFFFF$ 
and boundL: $(-0x7FFFFFFF) < r'$ 
using bigTwo[OF bound1 bound2] by auto
obtain  $w::word$ 
where wdef: $w = (scast\ (((scast\ w1)::64\ Word.word) + ((scast\ w2)::64\ Word.word))::word)$ 
by auto
then have wr: $r' = (real-of-int\ (sint\ w))$ 
using r12 bound1 bound2 rDef by blast
show ?thesis
unfolding repL-def repe.simps
using r12 wdef rDef boundU boundL wr
by auto
qed
have (let  $sum = ?sum$  in
if  $scast\ POS-INT \leq_s\ sum$  then  $POS-INT$ 
else if  $sum \leq_s\ scast\ NEG-INT$  then  $NEG-INT$ 
else  $scast\ sum) \equiv_L r1 + r2$ 
apply(cases  $((scast\ POS-INT)::64\ Word.word) \leq_s\ ?sum)$ 
apply(cases  $?sum \leq_s\ scast\ NEG-INT$ )

```

```

    using inf-case neg-inf-case int-case by (auto simp add: Let-def)
  then show ?thesis
    using notinf1 notinf2 notneginf1 notneginf2
    by(auto)
qed
qed

```

3.3 Max function

Maximum of $w1 + w2$ in 2s-complement

```

fun wmax :: word  $\Rightarrow$  word  $\Rightarrow$  word
where wmax w1 w2 = (if w1 <_s w2 then w2 else w1)

```

Correctness of wmax

```

lemma wmax-lemma:
  assumes eq1:w1  $\equiv_E$  (r1::real)
  assumes eq2:w2  $\equiv_E$  (r2::real)
  shows wmax w1 w2  $\equiv_E$  (max r1 r2)
proof(cases rule: case-inf2[where ?w1.0=w1, where ?w2.0=w2])
  case PosPos
  from PosPos eq1 eq2
  have bound1:(real-of-int (sint POS-INF))  $\leq$  r1
  and bound2:(real-of-int (sint POS-INF))  $\leq$  r2
    by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
  have eqInf:wmax w1 w2 = POS-INF
    using PosPos unfolding wmax.simps by auto
  have pos-eq:POS-INF  $\equiv_E$  max r1 r2
    apply(rule repPOS-INF)
    using bound1 bound2
    by linarith
  show ?thesis
    using pos-eq eqInf by auto
next
  case PosNeg
  from PosNeg
  have bound1:(real-of-int (sint POS-INF))  $\leq$  r1
  and bound2:r2  $\leq$  (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))
    using eq1 eq2 by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
  have eqNeg:wmax w1 w2 = POS-INF
    unfolding eq1 eq2 wmax.simps PosNeg word-sless-def word-sle-def
    by(auto)
  have neg-eq:POS-INF  $\equiv_E$  max r1 r2
    apply(rule repPOS-INF)
    using bound1 bound2 eq1 eq2 by auto
  show ?thesis
    using eqNeg neg-eq by auto
next
  case PosNum
  from PosNum eq1 eq2

```

```

have bound1: (real-of-int (sint POS-INF)) ≤ r1
and bound2a: (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint w2))
and bound2b: (real-of-int (sint w2)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have eqNeg:wmax w1 w2 = POS-INF
  using PosNum bound2b
  unfolding wmax.simps word-sless-def word-sle-def
  by auto
have neg-eq:POS-INF ≡E max r1 r2
  apply (rule repPOS-INF)
  using bound1 bound2a bound2b word-sless-alt le-max-iff-disj
  unfolding eq1 eq2 by blast
show ?thesis
  using eqNeg neg-eq by auto
next
case NegPos
from NegPos eq1 eq2
have bound1:r1 ≤ (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))
and bound2: (real-of-int (sint POS-INF)) ≤ r2
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have eqNeg:wmax w1 w2 = POS-INF
  unfolding NegPos word-sless-def word-sle-def
  by(auto)
have neg-eq:POS-INF ≡E max r1 r2
  apply(rule repPOS-INF)
  using bound1 bound2 by auto
show wmax w1 w2 ≡E max r1 r2
  using eqNeg neg-eq by auto
next
case NegNeg
from NegNeg eq1 eq2
have bound1:r1 ≤ (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))
and bound2:r2 ≤ (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have eqNeg:NEG-INF ≡E max r1 r2
  apply(rule repNEG-INF)
  using eq1 eq2 bound1 bound2
  by(auto)
have neg-eq:wmax w1 w2 = NEG-INF
  using NegNeg by auto
show wmax w1 w2 ≡E max r1 r2
  using eqNeg neg-eq by auto
next
case NegNum
from NegNum eq1 eq2
have eq3:r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
and bound2a:(real-of-int (sint w2)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
and bound2b:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint w2))
and bound1:r1 ≤ (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))

```

```

    by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
  have eqNeg: max r1 r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
    using NegNum assms(2) bound2a eq3 repeInt-simps bound1 bound2a bound2b
    by (metis less-irrefl max.bounded-iff max-def not-less)
  then have extra-eq: (wmax w1 w2) = w2
    using assms(2) bound2a eq3 NegNum repeInt-simps
    by (simp add: word-sless-alt)
  have neg-eq: wmax w1 w2  $\equiv_E$  (real-of-int (sint (wmax w1 w2)))
    apply (rule repINT)
    using extra-eq eq3 bound2a bound2b by (auto)
  show wmax w1 w2  $\equiv_E$  max r1 r2
    using eqNeg neg-eq extra-eq by auto
next
case NumPos
from NumPos eq1 eq2
have p2:w2 = POS-INF
and eq1:r1 = (real-of-int (sint w1))
and eq2:r2 = r2
and bound1a:(real-of-int (sint w1)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
and bound1b:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint w1))
and bound2:(real-of-int (sint POS-INF))  $\leq$  r2
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have res1:wmax w1 w2 = POS-INF
  using NumPos p2 eq1 eq2 assms(1) bound1b p2 repeInt-simps
  by (simp add: word-sless-alt)
have res3: POS-INF  $\equiv_E$  max r1 r2
  using repPOS-INF NumPos bound2 le-max-iff-disj by blast
show wmax w1 w2  $\equiv_E$  max r1 r2
  using res1 res3 by auto
next
case NumNeg
from NumNeg eq1 eq2
have n2:w2 = NEG-INF
and rw1:r1 = (real-of-int (sint w1))
and bound1a:(real-of-int (sint w1)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
and bound1b:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint w1))
and bound2:r2  $\leq$  (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have res1: max r1 r2 = (real-of-int (sint (wmax w1 w2)))
  using bound1b bound2 NumNeg less-trans wmax.simps of-int-less-iff
  word-sless-alt rw1 antisym-conv2 less-imp-le max-def
  by metis
have res2:wmax w1 w2  $\equiv_E$  (real-of-int (sint (wmax w1 w2)))
  apply (rule repINT)
  using bound1a bound1b bound2 NumNeg leD leI less-trans n2 wmax.simps
  by (auto simp add: word-sless-alt)
show wmax w1 w2  $\equiv_E$  max r1 r2
  using res1 res2 by auto
next

```

```

case NumNum
from NumNum eq1 eq2
have eq1:r1 = (real-of-int (sint w1))
and eq2:r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
and bound1a:(real-of-int (sint w1)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
and bound1b:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint w1))
and bound2a:(real-of-int (sint w2)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
and bound2b:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint w2))
by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have res1:max r1 r2 = (real-of-int (sint (wmax w1 w2)))
using eq1 eq2 bound1a bound1b bound2a bound2b
apply (auto simp add: max-def word-sless-alt not-less; transfer)
apply simp-all
done
have res2:wmax w1 w2  $\equiv_E$  (real-of-int (sint (wmax w1 w2)))
apply (rule repINT)
using bound1a bound1b bound2a bound2b
by (simp add: ⟨max r1 r2 = (real-of-int (sint (wmax w1 w2)))⟩ eq2 min-less-iff-disj)+
show wmax w1 w2  $\equiv_E$  max r1 r2
using res1 res2 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma max-repU1:
assumes w1  $\equiv_U$  x
assumes w2  $\equiv_U$  y
shows wmax w1 w2  $\equiv_U$  x
using wmax-lemma assms repU-def
by (meson le-max-iff-disj)

```

```

lemma max-repU2:
assumes w1  $\equiv_U$  y
assumes w2  $\equiv_U$  x
shows wmax w1 w2  $\equiv_U$  x
using wmax-lemma assms repU-def
by (meson le-max-iff-disj)

```

Product of w1 * w2 with bounds checking

```

fun wtimes :: word  $\Rightarrow$  word  $\Rightarrow$  word
where wtimes w1 w2 =
  (if w1 = POS-INF  $\wedge$  w2 = POS-INF then POS-INF
   else if w1 = NEG-INF  $\wedge$  w2 = POS-INF then NEG-INF
   else if w1 = POS-INF  $\wedge$  w2 = NEG-INF then NEG-INF
   else if w1 = NEG-INF  $\wedge$  w2 = NEG-INF then POS-INF

   else if w1 = POS-INF  $\wedge$  w2 <_s 0 then NEG-INF
   else if w1 = POS-INF  $\wedge$  0 <_s w2 then POS-INF
   else if w1 = POS-INF  $\wedge$  0 = w2 then 0
   else if w1 = NEG-INF  $\wedge$  w2 <_s 0 then POS-INF
   else if w1 = NEG-INF  $\wedge$  0 <_s w2 then NEG-INF

```

```

else if w1 = NEG-INF  $\wedge$  0 = w2 then 0

else if w1 <=s 0  $\wedge$  w2 = POS-INF then NEG-INF
else if 0 <=s w1  $\wedge$  w2 = POS-INF then POS-INF
else if 0 = w1  $\wedge$  w2 = POS-INF then 0
else if w1 <=s 0  $\wedge$  w2 = NEG-INF then POS-INF
else if 0 <=s w1  $\wedge$  w2 = NEG-INF then NEG-INF
else if 0 = w1  $\wedge$  w2 = NEG-INF then 0

else
  (let prod::64 Word.word = (scast w1) * (scast w2) in
   if prod <=s (scast NEG-INF) then NEG-INF
   else if (scast POS-INF) <=s prod then POS-INF
   else (scast prod)))

```

3.4 Multiplication upper bound

Product of 32-bit numbers fits in 64 bits

lemma *times-upcast-lower*:

```

fixes x y::int
assumes a1:x  $\geq$  -2147483648
assumes a2:y  $\geq$  -2147483648
assumes a3:x  $\leq$  2147483648
assumes a4:y  $\leq$  2147483648
shows - 4611686018427387904  $\leq$  x * y

```

proof –

```

let ?thesis = - 4611686018427387904  $\leq$  x * y
have is-neg:- 4611686018427387904 < (0::int) by auto
have case1:x  $\geq$  0  $\implies$  y  $\geq$  0  $\implies$  ?thesis

```

proof –

```

assume a5:x  $\geq$  0 and a6:y  $\geq$  0
have h1:x * y  $\geq$  0
using a5 a6 by (simp add: zero-le-mult-iff)
then show ?thesis using is-neg by auto

```

qed

```

have case2:x  $\leq$  0  $\implies$  y  $\geq$  0  $\implies$  ?thesis

```

proof –

```

assume a5:x  $\leq$  0 and a6:y  $\geq$  0
have h1:-2147483648 * (2147483648)  $\leq$  x * 2147483648
using a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 by linarith
have h2:-2147483648  $\leq$  y using a6 by auto
have h3:x * 2147483648  $\leq$  x * y
using a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 h2
using mult-left-mono-neg by blast
show ?thesis using h1 h2 h3 by auto

```

qed

```

have case3:x  $\geq$  0  $\implies$  y  $\leq$  0  $\implies$  ?thesis

```

proof –

```

assume a5:x  $\geq$  0 and a6:y  $\leq$  0

```



```

    have h1:2147483648 * (-2147483648) ≤ 2147483648 * y
      using a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 by linarith
    have h2:-2147483648 ≤ x using a5 by auto
    have h3:2147483648 * y ≤ x * y
      using a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 h2
      using mult-left-mono-neg mult-right-mono-neg by blast
    show ?thesis using h1 h2 h3 by auto
  qed
  have case4:x ≤ 0 ⇒ y ≤ 0 ⇒ ?thesis
  proof -
    assume a5:x ≤ 0 and a6:y ≤ 0
    have h1:x * y ≥ 0
      using a5 a6 by (simp add: zero-le-mult-iff)
    then show ?thesis using is-neg by auto
  qed
  show ?thesis
    using case1 case2 case3 case4 by linarith
  qed

```

Product of 32-bit numbers fits in 64 bits

```

lemma times-upcast-upper:
  fixes x y ::int
  assumes a1:x ≥ -2147483648
  assumes a2:y ≥ -2147483648
  assumes a3:x ≤ 2147483648
  assumes a4:y ≤ 2147483648
  shows x * y ≤ 4611686018427387904
  proof -
    let ?thesis = x * y ≤ 4611686018427387904
    have case1:x ≥ 0 ⇒ y ≥ 0 ⇒ ?thesis
      proof -
        assume a5:x ≥ 0 and a6:y ≥ 0
        have h1:2147483648 * 2147483648 ≥ x * 2147483648
          using a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 by linarith
        have h2:x * 2147483648 ≥ x * y
          using a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 by (simp add: mult-mono)
        show ?thesis using h1 h2 by auto
      qed
    have case2:x ≤ 0 ⇒ y ≥ 0 ⇒ ?thesis
      proof -
        assume a5:x ≤ 0 and a6:y ≥ 0
        have h1:2147483648 * 2147483648 ≥ (0::int) by auto
        have h2:0 ≥ x * y
          using a5 a6 mult-nonneg-nonpos2 by blast
        show ?thesis using h1 h2 by auto
      qed
    have case3:x ≥ 0 ⇒ y ≤ 0 ⇒ ?thesis
      proof -
        assume a5:x ≥ 0 and a6:y ≤ 0

```

```

    have h1:2147483648 * 2147483648 ≥ (0::int) by auto
    have h2:0 ≥ x * y
      using a5 a6 mult-nonneg-nonpos by blast
    show ?thesis using h1 h2 by auto
  qed
have case4:x ≤ 0 ⇒ y ≤ 0 ⇒ ?thesis
proof -
  assume a5:x ≤ 0 and a6:y ≤ 0
  have h1:-2147483648 * -2147483648 ≥ x * -2147483648
    using a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 by linarith
  have h2:x * -2147483648 ≥ x * y
    using a1 a2 a3 a4 a5 a6 mult-left-mono-neg by blast
  show ?thesis using h1 h2 by auto
qed
show x * y ≤ 4611686018427387904
  using case1 case2 case3 case4
  by linarith
qed

```

Correctness of 32x32 bit multiplication

3.5 Exact multiplication

```

lemma wtimes-exact:
  assumes eq1:w1 ≡E r1
  assumes eq2:w2 ≡E r2
  shows wtimes w1 w2 ≡E r1 * r2
proof -
  have POS-cast:sint ((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) = sint POS-INF
    apply(rule Word.sint-up-scast)
    unfolding Word.is-up by auto
  have POS-sint:sint POS-INF = (231)-1 by auto
  have w1-cast:sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) = sint w1
    apply(rule Word.sint-up-scast)
    unfolding Word.is-up by auto
  have w2-cast:sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) = sint w2
    apply(rule Word.sint-up-scast)
    unfolding Word.is-up by auto
  have NEG-cast:sint ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word) = sint NEG-INF
    apply(rule Word.sint-up-scast)
    unfolding Word.is-up by auto
  have rangew1:sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) ∈ {- (231).. (231)}
    using word-sint.Rep[of (w1)::32 Word.word] sints32 len32 mem-Collect-eq
  POS-cast w1-cast
  by auto
  have rangew2:sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) ∈ {- (231).. (231)}
    using word-sint.Rep[of (w2)::32 Word.word] sints32 len32 mem-Collect-eq
  POS-cast w2-cast
  by auto

```

```

show ?thesis
proof (cases rule: case-times-inf[of w1 w2])
  case PosPos then
    have a1: PosInf  $\leq$  r1
    and a2: PosInf  $\leq$  r2
      using PosPos eq1 eq2 repe.simps by (auto)
    have f3:  $\bigwedge n e::real. 1 \leq \max ((\text{numeral } n)) e$ 
      by (simp add: le-max-iff-disj)
    have  $\bigwedge n e::real. 0 \leq \max ((\text{numeral } n)) e$ 
      by (simp add: le-max-iff-disj)
    then have r1  $\leq$  r1 * r2
      using f3 PosPos eq1 eq2 repe.simps
      using eq1 eq2 by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
    then have PosInf  $\leq$  r1 * r2
      using a1 by linarith
    then show ?thesis
      using PosPos by(auto simp add: repe.simps)
  next
    case NegPos
    from NegPos have notPos:w1  $\neq$  POS-INF unfolding POS-INF-def NEG-INF-def
    by auto
    have a1: NegInf  $\geq$  r1
      using eq1 NegPos by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
    have a2: PosInf  $\leq$  r2
      using eq2 NegPos by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
    have f1: real-of-int Numeral1 = 1
      by simp
    have f3: (real-of-int 3)  $\leq$  - r1
      using a1 by (auto)
    have f4: 0  $\leq$  r2
      using f1 a2 by(auto)
    have f5: r1  $\leq$  - 1
      using f3 by auto
    have fact:r1 * r2  $\leq$  - r2
      using f5 f4 mult-right-mono by fastforce
    show ?thesis
      using a1 a2 fact by (auto simp add: repe.simps NegPos)
  next
    case PosNeg
    have a1: PosInf  $\leq$  r1
      using eq1 PosNeg by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
    then have h1:r1  $\geq$  1
      by (auto)
    have a2: NegInf  $\geq$  r2
      using eq2 PosNeg by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
    have f1:  $\neg$  NegInf * (- 1)  $\leq$  1
      by (auto)
    have f2:  $\forall e ea::real. (e * (- 1) \leq ea) = (ea * (- 1) \leq e)$  by force
    then have f3:  $\neg 1 * (- 1::real) \leq$  NegInf

```

```

    using f1 by blast
    have f4:  $r1 * (-1) \leq \text{NegInf}$ 
      using f2 a1
      by(auto)
    have f5:  $\forall e \text{ ea } eb. (\text{if } (ea::\text{real}) \leq eb \text{ then } e \leq eb \text{ else } e \leq ea) = (e \leq ea \vee e \leq eb)$ 
      by force
    have 0 * (-1::real)  $\leq 1$ 
      by simp
    then have  $r1 * (-1) \leq 0$ 
      using f5 f4 f3 f2 by meson
    then have f6:  $0 \leq r1$  by fastforce
    have  $1 * (-1) \leq (-1::\text{real})$ 
      using f2 by force
    then have fact: $r2 \leq (-1)$ 
      using f3 a2 by fastforce
    have rule: $\bigwedge c. c > 0 \implies r1 \geq c \wedge r2 \leq -1 \implies r1 * r2 \leq -c$ 
      apply auto
      by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) f5 mult-less-cancel-left-pos
        mult-minus1-right neg-le-iff-le not-less)
    have  $r1 * r2 \leq \text{NegInf}$ 
      using PosNeg f6 fact rule[of PosInf] a1
      by(auto)
    then show ?thesis
      using PosNeg by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
  next
  case NegNeg
    have a1:  $(-2147483647) \geq r1$ 
      using eq1 NegNeg by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
    then have h1: $r1 \leq -1$ 
      using max.bounded-iff max-def one-le-numeral
      by auto
    have a2:  $(-2147483647) \geq r2$ 
      using eq2 NegNeg by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
    have f1:  $\forall e \text{ ea } eb. \neg (e::\text{real}) \leq ea \vee \neg 0 \leq eb \vee eb * e \leq eb * ea$ 
      using mult-left-mono by metis
    have f2:  $-1 = (-1::\text{real})$ 
      by force
    have f3:  $0 \leq (1::\text{real})$ 
      by simp
    have f4:  $\forall e \text{ ea } eb. (ea::\text{real}) \leq e \vee \neg ea \leq eb \vee \neg eb \leq e$ 
      by (meson less-le-trans not-le)
    have f5:  $0 \leq (2147483647::\text{real})$ 
      by simp
    have f6:  $-(-2147483647) = (2147483647::\text{real})$ 
      by force
    then have f7:  $-((-2147483647) * r1) = (2147483647 * r1)$ 
      by (metis mult-minus-left)
    have f8:  $-((-2147483647) * (-1)) = 2147483647 * (-1::\text{real})$ 

```

```

    by simp
  have 2147483647 = - 1 * (- 2147483647::real)
    by simp
  then have f9: r1 ≤ (- 1) → 2147483647 ≤ r1 * (- 2147483647)
    using f8 f7 f5 f2 f1 by linarith
  have f10: - 2147483647 = (- 2147483647::real)
    by fastforce
  have f11: - (r2 * 1 * (r1 * (- 1))) = r1 * r2
    by (simp add: mult.commute)
  have f12: r1 * (- 1) = - (r1 * 1)
    by simp
  have r1 * 1 * (- 2147483647) * 1 = (- 2147483647) * r1
    by (simp add: mult.commute)
  then have f13: r1 * (- 1) * (- 2147483647) * 1 = 2147483647 * r1
    using f12 f6 by (metis (no-types) mult-minus-left)
  have 1 * r1 ≤ 1 * (- 2147483647)
    using a1
    by (auto simp add: a1)
  then have 2147483647 ≤ r1 * (- 1) by fastforce
  then have 0 ≤ r1 * (- 1)
    using f5 f4 by (metis)
  then have r1 ≤ (- 1) ∧ - (r1 * 2147483647) ≤ - (r2 * 1 * (r1 * (- 1)))
    by (metis a2 f11 h1 mult-left-mono-neg minus-mult-right mult-minus1-right
    neg-0-le-iff-le)
  then have r1 ≤ (- 1) ∧ r1 * (- 2147483647) ≤ r2 * r1
    using f11 f10 by (metis mult-minus-left mult.commute)
  then have fact: 2147483647 ≤ r2 * r1
    using f9 f4 by blast
  show ?thesis
    using a1 a2 h1 fact
    by (auto simp add: repe.simps NegNeg mult.commute)
next
case PosLo
from PosLo
have w2NotPinf:w2 ≠ POS-INF and w2NotNinf:w2 ≠ NEG-INF by (auto)
from eq1 PosLo
have upper: (real-of-int (sint POS-INF)) ≤ r1
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have lower1:sint w2 < 0 using PosLo
  apply (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
  by (simp add: dual-order.order-iff-strict)
then have lower2:sint w2 ≤ -1 by auto
from eq2 have rw2:r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
  using repe.simps PosLo
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have f4: r1 * (- 1) ≤ (- 2147483647)
  using upper by (auto)
have f5: r2 ≤ (- 1)
  using lower2 rw2 by transfer simp

```

```

have 0 < r1
  using upper by (auto)
have  $\forall r. r < -2147483647 \vee \neg r < r1 * -1$ 
  using f4 less-le-trans by blast
then have  $r1 * (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w2)) \leq (-2147483647)$ 
  using f5 f4 upper lower2 rw2 mult-left-mono
  by (metis <0 < r1> dual-order.order-iff-strict f5 mult-left-mono rw2)
then have  $r1 * r2 \leq \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{NEG-INF})$ 
  using upper lower2 rw2
  by (auto)
then show ?thesis
  using PosLo by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
next
case PosHi
from PosHi
have w2NotPinf:w2  $\neq$  POS-INF and w2NotNinf:w2  $\neq$  NEG-INF
  by (auto)
from eq1 PosHi
have upper:( $\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{POS-INF}) \leq r1$ )
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have lower1:sint w2 > 0 using PosHi
  apply (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
  by (simp add: dual-order.order-iff-strict)
then have lower2:sint w2  $\geq$  1 by auto
from eq2 have rw2:r2 = ( $\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w2)$ )
  using repe.simps PosHi
  by (auto)
have 0  $\leq$  r1 using upper by (auto)
then have  $r1 \leq r1 * r2$ 
  using rw2 lower2 by (metis (no-types) mult-left-mono mult.right-neutral
of-int-1-le-iff)
have PosInf  $\leq r1 * r2$ 
  using upper lower2 rw2
  apply (auto)
  using <0  $\leq$  r1> mult-numeral-1-right numeral-One of-int-1-le-iff zero-le-one
  apply simp
  using mult-mono [of 2147483647 r1 1 <signed-real-of-word (w2::32 Word.word)>]
  apply simp
  apply transfer
  apply simp
  done
then show ?thesis
using PosHi by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
next
case PosZero
from PosZero
have w2NotPinf:w2  $\neq$  POS-INF and w2NotNinf:w2  $\neq$  NEG-INF
  by (auto)
from eq1 PosZero

```

```

have upper: (real-of-int (sint POS-INF)) ≤ r1
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have lower1:sint w2 = 0 using PosZero
  by (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
from eq2 have rw2:r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
  using repe.simps PosZero
  by auto
have 0 = r1 * r2
  using PosZero rw2 by auto
then show ?thesis
  using PosZero by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
next
case NegHi
have w2NotPinf:w2 ≠ POS-INF and w2NotNinf:w2 ≠ NEG-INF
  using NegHi by (auto)
from eq1 NegHi
have upper:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) ≥ r1
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have low:sint w2 > 0 using NegHi
  apply (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
  by (simp add: dual-order.order-iff-strict)
then have lower1:(real-of-int (sint w2)) > 0
  by transfer simp
then have lower2:(real-of-int (sint w2)) ≥ 1
  using low by transfer simp

from eq1 have rw1:r1 ≤ (real-of-int (sint w1))
  using repe.simps NegHi
  by (simp add: upper)
from eq2 have rw2:r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
  using repe.simps NegHi
  by (auto)
have mylem:∧x y z::real. x ≤ -1 ⇒ y ≥ 1 ⇒ z ≤ -1 ⇒ x ≤ z ⇒ x * y
≤ z
  proof -
  fix x y z::real
  assume a1:x ≤ -1
  assume a2:y ≥ 1
  then have h1:-1 ≥ -y by auto
  assume a3:z ≤ -1
  then have a4:z < 0 by auto
  from a4 have h2:-z > 0 using leD leI by auto
  from a3 have h5:-z ≥ 1 by (simp add: leD leI)
  assume a5:x ≤ z
  then have h6:-x ≥ -z by auto
  have h3:-x * -z = x * z by auto
  show x * y ≤ z
    using a1 a2 a3 a5 a4 h1 h2 h3 h6 h5 a5 dual-order.trans leD mult.right-neutral
    by (metis dual-order.order-iff-strict mult-less-cancel-left2)

```

```

qed
have prereqs:r1 ≤ - 1 1
  ≤ (real-of-int (sint w2)) (- 2147483647::real) ≤ - 1 r1 ≤ (-2147483647)
  using rw1 rw2 NegHi lower2 by (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
have r1 * r2 ≤ real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)
  using upper lower1 lower2 rw1 rw2
  apply (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
  using mylem[of r1 (real-of-int (sint w2)) (- 2147483647)] prereqs
  by auto
then show ?thesis
  using NegHi by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
next
case NegLo
from NegLo
have w2NotPinf:w2 ≠ POS-INF and w2NotNinf:w2 ≠ NEG-INF
  by (auto)
from eq1 NegLo
have upper:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) ≥ r1
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have low:sint w2 < 0 using NegLo
  by (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def dual-order.order-iff-strict)
then have lower1:(real-of-int (sint w2)) < 0
  by transfer simp
from eq1 have rw1:r1 ≤ (real-of-int (sint w1))
  using repe.simps NegLo
  by (simp add: upper)
from eq2 have rw2:r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
  using repe.simps NegLo
  by (auto)
have hom:(- 2147483647) = -(2147483647::real) by auto
have mylem:∧x y z::real. y < 0 ⇒ x ≤ y ⇒ z ≤ -1 ⇒ -y ≤ x * z
  proof -
    fix x y z::real
    assume a1:y < 0
    assume a2:x ≤ y
    then have h1:-x ≥ -y by auto
    assume a3:z ≤ -1
    then have a4:z < 0
      by auto
    from a4 have h2:-z > 0 using leD leI by auto
    from a3 have h5:-z ≥ 1 by (simp add: leD leI)
    have h4:-x * -z ≥ -y
      using a1 a2 a3 a4 h1 h2 h5 dual-order.trans mult.right-neutral
      by (metis mult.commute neg-0-less-iff-less mult-le-cancel-right-pos)
    have h3:-x * -z = x * z by auto
    show - y ≤ x * z
      using a1 a2 a3 a4 h1 h2 h3 h4 h5
      by simp
  qed
qed

```



```

have prereqs: - 2147483647 < (0::real) r1 ≤ - 2147483647
  using rw1 rw2 NegLo by (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
moreover have ‹sint w2 ≤ - 1›
  using low by simp
then have ‹real-of-int (sint w2) ≤ real-of-int (- 1)›
  by (simp only: of-int-le-iff)
then have ‹signed-real-of-word w2 ≤ - 1›
  by simp
ultimately have 2147483647 ≤ r1 * r2
  using upper lower1 rw1 rw2
  mylem[of -2147483647 r1 (real-of-int (sint w2))]
  by (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
then show ?thesis
  using NegLo by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
next
case NegZero
from NegZero
have w2NotPinf:w2 ≠ POS-INF and w2NotNinf:w2 ≠ NEG-INF by (auto)
from eq2 NegZero
have r2 = 0
  using repe.simps NegZero
  by (auto)
then show ?thesis
  using NegZero by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
next
case LoPos
from LoPos
have w2NotPinf:w1 ≠ POS-INF and w2NotNinf:w1 ≠ NEG-INF
  by (auto)
from eq2 LoPos
have upper:(real-of-int (sint POS-INF)) ≤ r2
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have lower1:sint w1 < 0 using LoPos
  apply (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
  by (simp add: dual-order.order-iff-strict)
then have lower2:sint w1 ≤ -1 by auto
from eq1 have rw1:r1 = (real-of-int (sint w1))
  using repe.simps LoPos by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have f4: r2 * (- 1) ≤ (- 2147483647)
  using upper by (auto)
have f5: r1 ≤ (- 1)
  using lower2 rw1 by transfer simp
have 0 < r2
  using upper by (auto)
then have r2 * r1 ≤ r2 * (- 1)
  by (metis dual-order.order-iff-strict mult-right-mono f5 mult.commute)
then have r2 * r1 ≤ (- 2147483647)
  by (meson f4 less-le-trans not-le)
then have (real-of-int (sint w1)) * r2 ≤ (- 2147483647)

```

```

    using f5 f4 rw1 less-le-trans not-le mult.commute rw1
    by (auto simp add: mult.commute)
  then have  $r1 * r2 \leq \text{NegInf}$ 
    using rw1
    by (auto)
  then show ?thesis
    using LoPos by (auto simp: repe.simps)
next
case HiPos
from HiPos
have  $w2\text{NotPinf}:w1 \neq \text{POS-INF}$  and  $w2\text{NotNinf}:w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF}$ 
  by (auto)
from eq2 HiPos
have upper:( $\text{real-of-int (sint POS-INF)} \leq r2$ )
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have lower1:sint  $w1 > 0$  using HiPos
  by (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def dual-order.order-iff-strict)
then have lower2:sint  $w1 \geq 1$  by auto
from eq1 have  $rw2:r1 = (\text{real-of-int (sint } w1))$ 
  using HiPos
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have  $0 \leq r2$ 
  using upper by(auto)
then have  $r2 \leq r2 * r1$ 
  using lower2 rw2 by (metis (no-types) mult-left-mono mult.right-neutral of-int-1-le-iff)
have  $2147483647 \leq r1 * r2$ 
  using upper lower2 rw2
  apply (simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
  using mult-mono [of 1 ‹signed-real-of-word  $w1$ › 2147483647  $r2$ ]
  apply simp
  apply transfer
  apply simp
done
then show ?thesis
  using HiPos by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
next
case ZeroPos
from ZeroPos
have  $w2\text{NotPinf}:w1 \neq \text{POS-INF}$  and  $w2\text{NotNinf}:w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF}$ 
  by (auto)
from eq2 ZeroPos
have upper: ( $\text{real-of-int (sint POS-INF)} \leq r2$ )
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have lower1:sint  $w1 = 0$  using ZeroPos
  by (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
from eq1 have  $rw2:r1 = (\text{real-of-int (sint } w1))$ 
  using repe.simps ZeroPos
  by (auto)
have  $r1 = 0$  using lower1 rw2 by auto

```

```

then show ?thesis
  using ZeroPos by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
next
case ZeroNeg
from ZeroNeg
have w2NotPinf:w1 ≠ POS-INF and w2NotNinf:w1 ≠ NEG-INF
  by (auto)
from eq2 ZeroNeg
have upper:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) ≥ r2
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have lower1:sint w1 = 0 using ZeroNeg
  by (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
from eq1 have rw2:r1 = (real-of-int (sint w1))
  using repe.simps ZeroNeg
  by (auto)
have r1 = 0 using lower1 rw2 by auto
then show ?thesis
  using ZeroNeg by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
next
case LoNeg
from LoNeg
have w2NotPinf:w1 ≠ POS-INF and w2NotNinf:w1 ≠ NEG-INF
  by (auto)
from eq2 LoNeg
have upper: (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) ≥ r2
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have low:sint w1 < 0 using LoNeg
  apply (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
  by (simp add: dual-order.order-iff-strict)
then have lower1:(real-of-int (sint w1)) < 0 by transfer simp
from low have ‹sint w1 ≤ - 1›
  by simp
then have lower2:(real-of-int (sint w1)) ≤ -1
  by transfer simp
from eq1 have rw1:r2 ≤ (real-of-int (sint w2))
  using LoNeg upper by auto
from eq1 have rw2:r1 = (real-of-int (sint w1))
  using LoNeg by (auto simp add: upper repe.simps)
have hom:(- 2147483647::real) = -(2147483647) by auto
have mylem:∧x y z::real. y < 0 ⇒ x ≤ y ⇒ z ≤ -1 ⇒ -y ≤ x * z
proof -
fix x y z::real
assume a1:y < 0
assume a2:x ≤ y
then have h1:-x ≥ -y by auto
assume a3:z ≤ -1
then have a4:z < 0 by auto
from a4 have h2:-z > 0
  using leD leI by auto

```

```

from  $a3$  have  $h5: -z \geq 1$ 
  by (simp add: leD leI)
have  $h4: -x * -z \geq -y$ 
  using  $a1 a2 a3 a4 h1 h2 h5$  dual-order.trans mult-left-mono mult.right-neutral
mult.commute
  by (metis dual-order.order-iff-strict mult-minus-right mult-zero-right neg-le-iff-le)
have  $h3: -x * -z = x * z$  by auto
show  $-y \leq x * z$ 
  using  $a1 a2 a3 a4 h1 h2 h3 h4 h5$ 
  by simp
qed
have prereqs:  $-2147483647 < (0::real) \ r2 \leq -2147483647$  (real-of-int (sint
 $w1)$ )  $\leq -1$ 
  using  $rw1 rw2$  LoNeg lower2 by (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def
lower2)
have  $2147483647 \leq r1 * r2$ 
  using upper lower1 lower2 rw1 rw2 mylem[of -2147483647 r2]
  (real-of-int (sint w1)) prereqs
  by (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def mult.commute)
then show ?thesis
  using LoNeg by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
next
case HiNeg
from HiNeg
have  $w1NotPinf:w1 \neq POS-INF$  and  $w1NotNinf:w1 \neq NEG-INF$ 
  by (auto)
have upper: (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))  $\geq r2$ 
  using HiNeg eq2
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
have low: sint w1  $> 0$  using HiNeg
  apply (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
  by (simp add: dual-order.order-iff-strict)
then have lower1: (real-of-int (sint w1))  $> 0$  by transfer simp
from low have  $\langle sint w1 \geq 1 \rangle$ 
  by simp
then have lower2: (real-of-int (sint w1))  $\geq 1$ 
  by transfer simp
from eq2 have  $rw1:r2 \leq (real-of-int (sint w2))$ 
  using repe.simps HiNeg by (simp add: upper)
from eq1 have  $rw2:r1 = (real-of-int (sint w1))$ 
  using repe.simps HiNeg
  by (auto)
have mylem:  $\bigwedge x y z::real. x \leq -1 \implies y \geq 1 \implies z \leq -1 \implies x \leq z \implies x * y$ 
 $\leq z$ 
proof -
  fix  $x y z::real$ 
  assume  $a1:x \leq -1$ 
  assume  $a2:y \geq 1$ 
  then have  $h1:-1 \geq -y$  by auto

```

```

    assume a3:z ≤ -1
    then have a4:z < 0 by auto
    from a4 have h2:-z > 0
      using leD leI by auto
    from a3 have h5:-z ≥ 1
      by (simp add: leD leI)
    assume a5:x ≤ z
    then have h6:-x ≥ -z by auto
    have h3:-x * -z = x * z by auto
    show x * y ≤ z
      using a1 a2 a3 a4 h1 h2 h3 h6 h5 a5 dual-order.trans less-eq-real-def
      by (metis mult-less-cancel-left1 not-le)
  qed
  have prereqs:r2 ≤ - 1 1 ≤ (real-of-int (sint w1))
    (- 2147483647) ≤ - (1::real) r2 ≤ (- 2147483647)
    using rw1 rw2 HiNeg lower2 by (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
  have r1 * r2 ≤ - 2147483647
    using upper lower1 lower2 rw1 rw2
    apply (auto simp add: word-sless-def word-sle-def)
    using mylem[of r2 (real-of-int (sint w1)) (- 2147483647)] prereqs
    by (auto simp add: mult.commute)
  then show ?thesis
    using HiNeg by(auto simp add: repe.simps)
next
case AllFinite
let ?prod = (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * ((scast w2)::64 Word.word))
consider
  (ProdNeg) ?prod <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word)
  | (ProdPos) (((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s ?prod)
  | (ProdFin) ¬(?prod <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word))
    ∧ ¬((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s ?prod
  by (auto)
then show ?thesis
proof (cases)
case ProdNeg
have bigLeq:(4611686018427387904::real) ≤ 9223372036854775807 by auto
have set-cast:∧x::int. (x ∈ {-(2^31)..2^31}) = ( (real-of-int x) ∈ {-(2^31)..2^31})
  by auto
have eq3:sint(((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)) =
  sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)
  apply(rule Word-Lemmas.signed-arith-sint(4))
  using rangew1 rangew2 w1-cast w2-cast
  using Word.word-size[of ((scast w1)::64 Word.word)]
  using Word.word-size[of ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)]
  using times-upcast-upper[of sint w1 sint w2]
  using times-upcast-lower[of sint w1 sint w2]
  by auto
assume ?prod <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word)
then have sint-leq:sint ?prod ≤ sint ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word)

```

```

    using word-sle-def by blast
    have neqs:w1 ≠ POS-INF w1 ≠ NEG-INF w2 ≠ POS-INF w2 ≠ NEG-INF
      using AllFinite word-sless-def signed.not-less-iff-gr-or-eq by force+
    from eq1 have rw1:r1 = (real-of-int (sint w1)) using neqs by (auto simp add:
repe.simps)
    from eq2 have rw2:r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2)) using neqs by (auto simp add:
repe.simps)
    show ?thesis
      using AllFinite ProdNeg w1-cast w2-cast rw1 rw2 sint-leq
      apply (auto simp add: repe.simps eq3)
      apply (subst (asm) of-int-le-iff [symmetric, where ?'a = real])
      apply simp
      done
next
case ProdPos
have bigLeq:(4611686018427387904::real) ≤ 9223372036854775807 by auto
have set-cast:∧x::int. (x ∈ {-(2^31)..2^31}) = ((real-of-int x) ∈ {-(2^31)..2^31})
  by auto
have eq3:sint(((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)) =
  sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)
  apply (rule Word-Lemmas.signed-arith-sint(4))
  using rangew1 rangew2 POS-cast POS-sint w1-cast w2-cast
  using Word.word-size[of ((scast w1)::64 Word.word)]
  using Word.word-size[of ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)]
  using times-upcast-upper[of sint w1 sint w2]
  using times-upcast-lower[of sint w1 sint w2]
  by auto
assume cast:((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s ?prod
then have sint-leq:sint ((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) ≤ sint ?prod
  using word-sle-def by blast
have neqs:w1 ≠ POS-INF w1 ≠ NEG-INF w2 ≠ POS-INF w2 ≠ NEG-INF
  using AllFinite word-sless-def signed.not-less-iff-gr-or-eq by force+
from eq1 have rw1:r1 = (real-of-int (sint w1))
  using repe.simps AllFinite neqs by auto
from eq2 have rw2:r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
  using repe.simps AllFinite neqs by auto
have prodHi:r1 * r2 ≥ PosInf
  using w1-cast w2-cast rw1 rw2 sint-leq apply (auto simp add: eq3)
  apply (subst (asm) of-int-le-iff [symmetric, where ?'a = real])
  apply simp
  done
have infs:SCAST(32 → 64) NEG-INF <s SCAST(32 → 64) POS-INF
  by (auto)
have casted:SCAST(32 → 64) POS-INF <=s SCAST(32 → 64) w1 * SCAST(32
→ 64) w2
  using cast by auto
have almostContra:SCAST(32 → 64) NEG-INF <s SCAST(32 → 64) w1 *
SCAST(32 → 64) w2
  using infs cast signed.order.strict-trans2 by blast

```

```

have contra:¬(SCAST(32 → 64) w1 * SCAST(32 → 64) w2 <=s SCAST(32
→ 64) NEG-INF)
  using eq3 almostContra by auto
have wtimesCase:wtimes w1 w2 = POS-INF
  using neqs ProdPos almostContra wtimes.simps AllFinite ProdPos
  by (auto simp add: repe.simps Let-def)
show ?thesis
  using prodHi
  apply(simp only: repe.simps)
  apply(rule disjI1)
  apply(rule exI[where x= r1*r2])
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply(rule wtimesCase)
  using prodHi by auto
next
  case ProdFin
  have bigLeq:(4611686018427387904::real) ≤ 9223372036854775807 by auto
  have set-cast: $\bigwedge x::int. (x \in \{-(2^{31})..2^{31}\}) = ((real-of-int\ x) \in \{-(2^{31})..2^{31}\})$ 
  by auto
  have eq3:sint((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) =
  sint ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * sint ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)
  apply(rule Word-Lemmas.signed-arith-sint(4))
  using rangew1 rangew2 POS-cast POS-sint w1-cast w2-cast
  using Word.word-size[of ((scast w1)::64 Word.word)]
  using Word.word-size[of ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)]
  using times-upcast-upper[of sint w1 sint w2]
  using times-upcast-lower[of sint w1 sint w2]
  by auto
  from ProdFin have a1:¬(?prod <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word))
  by auto
  then have sintGe:sint (?prod) > sint (((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word))
  using word-sle-def dual-order.order-iff-strict signed.linear
  by fastforce
  from ProdFin have a2:¬((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s ?prod
  by auto
  then have sintLe:sint (((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word)) > sint (?prod)
  using word-sle-def dual-order.order-iff-strict signed.linear
  by fastforce
  have neqs:w1 ≠ POS-INF w1 ≠ NEG-INF w2 ≠ POS-INF w2 ≠ NEG-INF
  using AllFinite word-sless-def signed.not-less-iff-gr-or-eq by force+
  from eq1 have rw1:r1 = (real-of-int (sint w1)) using neqs by(auto simp add:
repe.simps)
  from eq2 have rw2:r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2)) using neqs by(auto simp add:
repe.simps)
  from rw1 rw2 have r1 * r2 = (real-of-int ((sint w1) * (sint w2)))
  by simp
  have rightSize:sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * ((scast w2)::64 Word.word))
  ∈ sints (len-of TYPE(32))
  using sintLe sintGe sints32 by (simp)

```

```

have downcast:sint ((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * ((scast w2)::64
Word.word)))::word)
    = sint (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * ((scast w2)::64 Word.word))
using scast-down-range[OF rightSize]
by auto
then have res-eq:r1 * r2
= real-of-int(sint((scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word)*((scast w2)::64 Word.word)))::word))
using rw1 rw2 eq3 POS-cast POS-sint w1-cast w2-cast downcast
    ⟨r1 * r2 = (real-of-int (sint w1 * sint w2))⟩
by (auto)
have res-up:sint (scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)))::word
    < sint POS-INF
using rw1 rw2 eq3 POS-cast POS-sint w1-cast w2-cast downcast
    ⟨r1 * r2 = (real-of-int (sint w1 * sint w2))⟩
    ⟨sint (scast w1 * scast w2) < sint (scast POS-INF)⟩
    of-int-eq-iff res-eq
by presburger
have res-lo:sint NEG-INF
    < sint (scast (((scast w1)::64 Word.word) * ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)))::word
using rw1 rw2 eq3 POS-cast POS-sint w1-cast w2-cast NEG-cast downcast
    ⟨r1 * r2 = (real-of-int (sint w1 * sint w2))⟩
    ⟨sint (scast NEG-INF) < sint (scast w1 * scast w2)⟩
    of-int-eq-iff res-eq
by presburger
have scast ?prod ≡E (r1 * r2)
using res-eq res-up res-lo
apply (auto simp add: rep-simps)
using repeInt-simps by auto
then show ?thesis
using AllFinite ProdFin by(auto)
qed
qed
qed

```

3.6 Multiplication upper bound

Upper bound of multiplication from upper and lower bounds

```

fun tu :: word ⇒ word ⇒ word ⇒ word ⇒ word
where tu w1l w1u w2l w2u =
    wmax (wmax (wtimes w1l w2l) (wtimes w1u w2l))
    (wmax (wtimes w1l w2u) (wtimes w1u w2u))

```

lemma *tu-lemma*:

```

assumes u1:u1 ≡U (r1::real)
assumes u2:u2 ≡U (r2::real)
assumes l1:l1 ≡L (r1::real)
assumes l2:l2 ≡L (r2::real)
shows tu l1 u1 l2 u2 ≡U (r1 * r2)

```


proof –

obtain $rl1\ rl2\ ru1\ ru2 :: real$
where $gru1:ru1 \geq r1$ **and** $gru2:ru2 \geq r2$ **and** $grl1:rl1 \leq r1$ **and** $grl2:rl2 \leq r2$

and $eru1:u_1 \equiv_E ru1$ **and** $eru2:u_2 \equiv_E ru2$ **and** $erl1:l_1 \equiv_E rl1$ **and** $erl2:l_2 \equiv_E rl2$

using $u1\ u2\ l1\ l2$ **unfolding** $repU-def\ repL-def$ **by** $auto$

have $timesuu:wtimes\ u_1\ u_2 \equiv_E ru1 * ru2$
using $wtimes-exact[OF\ eru1\ eru2]$ **by** $auto$

have $timesul:wtimes\ u_1\ l_2 \equiv_E ru1 * rl2$
using $wtimes-exact[OF\ eru1\ erl2]$ **by** $auto$

have $timeslu:wtimes\ l_1\ u_2 \equiv_E rl1 * ru2$
using $wtimes-exact[OF\ erl1\ eru2]$ **by** $auto$

have $timesll:wtimes\ l_1\ l_2 \equiv_E rl1 * rl2$
using $wtimes-exact[OF\ erl1\ erl2]$ **by** $auto$

have $maxt12:wmax\ (wtimes\ l_1\ l_2)\ (wtimes\ u_1\ l_2) \equiv_E max\ (rl1 * rl2)\ (ru1 * rl2)$
by $(rule\ wmax-lemma[OF\ timesll\ timesul])$

have $maxt34:wmax\ (wtimes\ l_1\ u_2)\ (wtimes\ u_1\ u_2) \equiv_E max\ (rl1 * ru2)\ (ru1 * ru2)$
by $(rule\ wmax-lemma[OF\ timeslu\ timesuu])$

have $bigMax:wmax\ (wmax\ (wtimes\ l_1\ l_2)\ (wtimes\ u_1\ l_2))\ (wmax\ (wtimes\ l_1\ u_2)\ (wtimes\ u_1\ u_2))$
 $\equiv_E max\ (max\ (rl1 * rl2)\ (ru1 * rl2))\ (max\ (rl1 * ru2)\ (ru1 * ru2))$
by $(rule\ wmax-lemma[OF\ maxt12\ maxt34])$

obtain $maxt12val :: real$
where $maxU12:wmax\ (wtimes\ l_1\ l_2)\ (wtimes\ u_1\ l_2) \equiv_U max\ (rl1 * rl2)\ (ru1 * rl2)$

using $maxt12$ **unfolding** $repU-def$ **by** $blast$

obtain $maxt34val :: real$
where $maxU34:wmax\ (wtimes\ l_1\ u_2)\ (wtimes\ u_1\ u_2) \equiv_U max\ (rl1 * ru2)\ (ru1 * ru2)$

using $maxt34$ **unfolding** $repU-def$ **by** $blast$

obtain $bigMaxU:wmax\ (wmax\ (wtimes\ l_1\ l_2)\ (wtimes\ u_1\ l_2))\ (wmax\ (wtimes\ l_1\ u_2)\ (wtimes\ u_1\ u_2))$
 $\equiv_U max\ (max\ (rl1 * rl2)\ (ru1 * rl2))\ (max\ (rl1 * ru2)\ (ru1 * ru2))$

using $bigMax$ **unfolding** $repU-def$ **by** $blast$

have $ivl1:rl1 \leq ru1$ **using** $grl1\ gru1$ **by** $auto$

have $ivl2:rl2 \leq ru2$ **using** $grl2\ gru2$ **by** $auto$

let $?thesis = tu\ l_1\ u_1\ l_2\ u_2 \equiv_U r1 * r2$

show $?thesis$

using $ivl1\ ivl2$

proof $(cases\ rule:\ case-ivl-zero)$

case $ZeroZero$

assume $rl1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq ru1 \wedge rl2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq ru2$

then **have** $geq1:ru1 \geq 0$ **and** $geq2:ru2 \geq 0$ **by** $auto$

consider $r1 \geq 0 \wedge r2 \geq 0 \mid r1 \geq 0 \wedge r2 \leq 0 \mid r1 \leq 0 \wedge r2 \geq 0 \mid r1 \leq 0 \wedge r2 \leq 0$

using $le-cases$ **by** $auto$

then **show** $tu\ l_1\ u_1\ l_2\ u_2 \equiv_U r1 * r2$

```

proof (cases)
  case 1
  have  $g1:ru1 * ru2 \geq ru1 * r2$ 
    using 1 geq1 geq2 grl2 gru2
    by (simp add: mult-left-mono)
  have  $g2:ru1 * r2 \geq r1 * r2$ 
    using 1 geq1 geq2 grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    by (simp add: mult-right-mono)
  from  $g1$  and  $g2$ 
  have  $up:ru1 * ru2 \geq r1 * r2$ 
    by auto
  show ?thesis
    using up eru1 eru2 erl1 erl2 repU-def timesuu tu.simps
    max-repU2[OF maxU12] max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU]
    by (metis wmax.elims)
  next
  case 2
  have  $g1:ru1 * ru2 \geq 0$ 
    using 2 geq1 geq2 grl2 gru2 by (simp)
  have  $g2:0 \geq r1 * r2$ 
    using 2 by (simp add: mult-le-0-iff)
  from  $g1$  and  $g2$ 
  have  $up:ru1 * ru2 \geq r1 * r2$  by auto
  show ?thesis
    using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF
maxU12]
    max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max.coboundedI1
max.commute maxt34
    by (metis repU-def tu.simps)
  next
  case 3
  have  $g1:ru1 * ru2 \geq 0$ 
    using 3 geq1 geq2 by simp
  have  $g2:0 \geq r1 * r2$ 
    using 3 by (simp add: mult-le-0-iff)
  from  $g1$  and  $g2$ 
  have  $up:ru1 * ru2 \geq r1 * r2$  by auto
  show ?thesis
    using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF
maxU12]
    max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] repU-def tu.simps
timesuu
    by (metis max.coboundedI1 max.commute maxt34)
  next
  case 4
  have  $g1:rl1 * rl2 \geq rl1 * r2$ 
    using 4 geq1 geq2 grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    using  $\langle rl1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq ru1 \wedge rl2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq ru2 \rangle$  less-eq-real-def
    by (metis mult-left-mono-neg)

```

```

    have g2:rl1 * r2 ≥ r1 * r2
      using 4 geq1 geq2 grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 ⟨rl1 ≤ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ ru1 ∧ rl2 ≤ 0 ∧ 0
≤ ru2⟩
      by (metis mult-left-mono-neg mult.commute)
    from g1 and g2
    have up:rl1 * rl2 ≥ r1 * r2
      by auto
    show ?thesis
      using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF
maxU12]
      max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max.commute max34
      by (metis max-repU1 repU-def timesll tu.simps)
    qed
  next
  case ZeroPos
  assume bounds:rl1 ≤ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ ru1 ∧ 0 ≤ rl2
  have r2:r2 ≥ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl2 by blast
  consider r1 ≥ 0 | r1 ≤ 0 using le-cases by (auto)
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases)
    case 1
    assume r1:r1 ≥ 0
    have g1:ru1 * ru2 ≥ ru1 * r2
      using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
      using mult-left-mono by blast
    have g2:ru1 * r2 ≥ r1 * r2
      using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
      using mult-right-mono by blast
    from g1 and g2
    have up:ru1 * ru2 ≥ r1 * r2
      by auto
    show ?thesis
      using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF
maxU12]
      max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max.coboundedI1
max.commute max34
      by (metis repU-def tu.simps)
  next
  case 2
  assume r1:r1 ≤ 0
  have g1:ru1 * ru2 ≥ 0
    using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    using mult-left-mono
    by (simp add: mult-less-0-iff less-le-trans not-less)
  have g2:0 ≥ r1 * r2
    using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    using mult-right-mono
    by (simp add: mult-le-0-iff)
  from g1 and g2

```

```

have up:ru1 * ru2 ≥ r1 * r2
  by auto
show ?thesis
  using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF
maxU12]
      max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max.coboundedI1
max commute maxt34
  by (metis repU-def tu.simps)
  qed
next
case ZeroNeg
assume bounds:rl1 ≤ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ ru1 ∧ ru2 ≤ 0
have r2:r2 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru2 by blast
have case1:r1 ≥ 0 ⇒ ?thesis
proof –
  assume r1:r1 ≥ 0
  have g1:rl1 * rl2 ≥ 0
    using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-less-0-iff less-le-trans not-less
    by metis
  have g2:0 ≥ r1 * r2
    using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    using mult-right-mono
    by (simp add: mult-le-0-iff)
  from g1 and g2
  have up:rl1 * rl2 ≥ r1 * r2
    by auto
  show ?thesis
  using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF
maxU12]
      max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max commute maxt34
  by (metis max-repU2 max-repU1 repU-def timesll tu.simps)
qed
have case2:r1 ≤ 0 ⇒ ?thesis
proof –
  assume r1:r1 ≤ 0
  have g1:rl1 * rl2 ≥ rl1 * r2
    using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    by (metis mult-left-mono-neg)
  have g2:rl1 * r2 ≥ r1 * r2
    using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult commute
    by (metis mult-left-mono-neg)
  from g1 and g2
  have up:rl1 * rl2 ≥ r1 * r2
    by auto
  show ?thesis
  using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF
maxU12]
      max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max commute maxt34
  by (metis max-repU1 repU-def timesll tu.simps)

```

```

qed
show  $tu\ l_1\ u_1\ l_2\ u_2 \equiv_U r1 * r2$ 
  using case1 case2 le-cases by blast
next
case PosZero
assume bounds:  $0 \leq rl1 \wedge rl2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq ru2$ 
have  $r1:r1 \geq 0$  using bounds dual-order.trans grl1 by blast
consider  $r2 \geq 0 \mid r2 \leq 0$  using le-cases by auto
then show ?thesis
proof (cases)
  case 1
  have  $g1:ru1 * ru2 \geq ru1 * r2$ 
    using 1 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    using mult-left-mono
    using leD leI less-le-trans by metis
  have  $g2:ru1 * r2 \geq r1 * r2$ 
    using 1 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    using mult-right-mono by blast
  from g1 and g2
  have  $up:ru1 * ru2 \geq r1 * r2$ 
    by auto
  show ?thesis
  using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF
maxU12]
    max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max.coboundedI1
max.commute maxt34
    by (metis repU-def tu.simps)
  next
  case 2
  have  $g1:ru1 * ru2 \geq 0$ 
    using r1 bounds grl2 gru2 gru1 leD leI less-le-trans by auto
  have  $g2:0 \geq r1 * r2$ 
    using r1 2
    by (simp add: mult-le-0-iff)
  from g1 and g2
  have  $up:ru1 * ru2 \geq r1 * r2$ 
    by auto
  show ?thesis
  using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF
maxU12]
    max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max.coboundedI1
max.commute maxt34
    by (metis repU-def tu.simps)
  qed
next
case NegZero
assume bounds:  $ru1 \leq 0 \wedge rl2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq ru2$ 
have  $r1:r1 \leq 0$  using bounds dual-order.trans gru1 by blast
consider  $r2 \geq 0 \mid r2 \leq 0$  using le-cases by auto

```

```

then show ?thesis
proof (cases)
  case 1
  have g1:ru1 * rl2 ≥ 0
    using r1 1 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-less-0-iff not-less
    by metis
  have g2:0 ≥ r1 * r2
    using r1 1 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    by (simp add: mult-le-0-iff)
  from g1 and g2
  have up:ru1 * rl2 ≥ r1 * r2
    by auto
  show ?thesis
    using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF
maxU12]
    max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max.commute maxt34
    by (metis max-repU1 repU-def timesul tu.simps)
  next
  case 2
  have lower:rl1 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl1 r1 by blast
  have g1:rl1 * rl2 ≥ rl1 * r2
    using r1 2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 less-eq(1) less-le-trans not-less
    mult-le-cancel-left
    by metis
  have g2:rl1 * r2 ≥ r1 * r2
  using r1 2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult.commute not-le lower mult-le-cancel-left
  by metis
  from g1 and g2
  have up:rl1 * rl2 ≥ r1 * r2
    by auto
  show ?thesis
    using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF
maxU12]
    max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max.commute maxt34
    by (metis max-repU1 repU-def timesll tu.simps)
  qed
  next
  case NegNeg
  assume bounds:ru1 ≤ 0 ∧ ru2 ≤ 0
  have r1:rl1 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru1 by blast
  have r2:r2 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru2 by blast
  have lower1:rl1 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl1 r1 by blast
  have lower2:rl2 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl2 r2 by blast
  have g1:rl1 * rl2 ≥ rl1 * r2
  using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 less-eq(1) mult-le-cancel-left less-le-trans
not-less
  by metis
  have g2:rl1 * r2 ≥ r1 * r2
  using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult.commute not-le lower1 lower2

```

```

mult-le-cancel-left
  by metis
  from g1 and g2
  have up:rl1 * rl2 ≥ r1 * r2
  by auto
  show ?thesis
    using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max-repU2 max-repU2[OF maxU12]
    max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max.commute max34
    by (metis max-repU1 repU-def timesll tu.simps)
next
  case NegPos
  assume bounds:ru1 ≤ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ rl2
  have r1:r1 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru1 by blast
  have r2:r2 ≥ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl2 by blast
  have lower1:rl1 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl1 r1 by blast
  have lower2:rl2 ≥ 0 using bounds by auto
  have upper1:ru1 ≤ 0 using bounds by auto
  have upper2:ru2 ≥ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru2 r2 by blast
  have g1:ru1 * rl2 ≥ ru1 * r2
  using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 not-less upper1 lower2 mult-le-cancel-left
  by metis
  have g2:ru1 * r2 ≥ r1 * r2
  using r1 upper1 r2 mult-right-mono gru1 by metis
  from g1 and g2
  have up:ru1 * rl2 ≥ r1 * r2
  by auto
  show ?thesis
    using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max34
    max-repU2[OF maxU12] max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU]
    by (metis max-repU1 repU-def timesul tu.simps)
next
  case PosNeg
  assume bounds:0 ≤ rl1 ∧ ru2 ≤ 0
  have r1:r1 ≥ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl1 by blast
  have r2:r2 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru2 by blast
  have lower1:rl1 ≥ 0 using bounds by auto
  have lower2:rl2 ≤ 0 using dual-order.trans grl2 r2 by blast
  have upper1:ru1 ≥ 0 using dual-order.trans gru1 u1 r1 by blast
  have upper2:ru2 ≤ 0 using bounds by auto
  have g1:rl1 * ru2 ≥ rl1 * r2
  using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 not-less upper2 lower1 mult-le-cancel-left
  by metis
  have g2:rl1 * r2 ≥ r1 * r2
  using r1 lower1 r2 not-less gru2 gru1 grl1 grl2
  by (metis mult-le-cancel-left mult.commute)
  from g1 and g2
  have up:rl1 * ru2 ≥ r1 * r2

```

```

    by auto
  show  $tu\ l_1\ u_1\ l_2\ u_2 \equiv_U r1 * r2$ 
  using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max.coboundedI1 max.commute
maxt34
    max-repU2[OF maxU12] max-repU2[OF maxU34] max-repU2[OF bigMaxU]

  by (metis repU-def tu.simps)
next
case PosPos
assume bounds:  $0 \leq r1 \wedge 0 \leq r2$ 
have  $r1:r1 \geq 0$  using bounds dual-order.trans grl1 by blast
have  $r2:r2 \geq 0$  using bounds dual-order.trans grl2 by blast
have lower1: $r1 \geq 0$  using bounds by auto
have lower2: $r2 \geq 0$  using bounds by auto
have upper1: $ru1 \geq 0$  using dual-order.trans gru1 u1 r1 by blast
have upper2: $ru2 \geq 0$  using dual-order.trans gru2 u2 r2 bounds by blast
have  $g1:ru1 * ru2 \geq ru1 * r2$ 
  using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-left-mono leD leI less-le-trans by
metis
have  $g2:ru1 * r2 \geq r1 * r2$ 
  using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-right-mono by metis
from g1 and g2
have up: $ru1 * ru2 \geq r1 * r2$ 
  by auto
show ?thesis
using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims max.coboundedI1 max.commute
maxt34
  max-repU2[OF bigMaxU] max-repU2[OF maxU12] max-repU2[OF maxU34]
  by (metis repU-def tu.simps)
qed
qed

```

3.7 Minimum function

Minimum of 2s-complement words

```

fun wmin :: word  $\Rightarrow$  word  $\Rightarrow$  word
where wmin w1 w2 =
  (if  $w1 <_s w2$  then w1 else w2)

```

Correctness of wmin

lemma wmin-lemma:

assumes eq1: $w1 \equiv_E (r1::real)$

assumes eq2: $w2 \equiv_E (r2::real)$

shows $wmin\ w1\ w2 \equiv_E (\min\ r1\ r2)$

proof(cases rule: case-inf2[**where** ?w1.0=w1, **where** ?w2.0=w2])

case PosPos

assume p1: $w1 = POS-INF$

and p2: $w2 = POS-INF$

then have bound1:(real-of-int (sint POS-INF)) $\leq r1$


```

    and bound2:(real-of-int (sint POS-INF)) ≤ r2
    using eq1 eq2 by (auto simp add: rep-simps repe.simps)
  have eqInf:wmin w1 w2 = POS-INF
    using p1 p2 unfolding wmin.simps by auto
  have pos-eq:POS-INF ≡E min r1 r2
    apply(rule repPOS-INF)
    using bound1 bound2 unfolding eq1 eq2 by auto
  show ?thesis
    using pos-eq eqInf by auto
next
case PosNeg
assume p1:w1 = POS-INF
assume n2:w2 = NEG-INF
obtain r ra :: real
where bound1:(real-of-int (sint POS-INF)) ≤ r
  and bound2:ra ≤ (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))
  and eq1:r1 = r
  and eq2:r2 = ra
  using p1 n2 eq1 eq2 by(auto simp add: rep-simps repe.simps)
have eqNeg:wmin w1 w2 = NEG-INF
  unfolding eq1 eq2 wmin.simps p1 n2 word-sless-def word-sle-def
  by(auto)
have neg-eq:NEG-INF ≡E min r1 r2
  apply(rule repNEG-INF)
  using bound1 bound2 eq1 eq2 by auto
show ?thesis
  using eqNeg neg-eq by auto
next
case PosNum
assume p1:w1 = POS-INF
assume np2:w2 ≠ POS-INF
assume nn2:w2 ≠ NEG-INF
have eq2:r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
  and bound1:(real-of-int (sint POS-INF)) ≤ r1
  and bound2a:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint w2))
  and bound2b:(real-of-int (sint w2)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
  using p1 np2 nn2 eq1 eq2 by(auto simp add: rep-simps repe.simps)
have eqNeg:min r1 r2 = sint w2
  using p1
  by (metis bound1 bound2b dual-order.trans eq2 min-def not-less)
have neg-eq:wmin w1 w2 ≡E (real-of-int (sint (wmin w1 w2)))
  apply (rule repINT)
  using bound1 bound2a bound2b bound2b p1 unfolding eq1 eq2
  by (auto simp add: word-sless-alt)
show ?thesis
  using eqNeg neg-eq
  by (metis bound2b less-eq-real-def not-less of-int-less-iff p1 wmin.simps word-sless-alt)
next
case NegPos

```

```

assume n1:w1 = NEG-INF
assume p2:w2 = POS-INF
have bound1:r1 ≤ (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))
  and bound2:(real-of-int (sint POS-INF)) ≤ r2
  using n1 p2 eq1 eq2 by(auto simp add: rep-simps repe.simps)
have eqNeg:wmin w1 w2 = NEG-INF
  unfolding eq1 eq2 wmin.simps n1 p2 word-sless-def word-sle-def
  by(auto)
have neg-eq:NEG-INF ≡E min r1 r2
  apply(rule repNEG-INF)
  using bound1 bound2 unfolding eq1 eq2 by auto
show wmin w1 w2 ≡E min r1 r2
  using eqNeg neg-eq by auto
next
case NegNeg
assume n1:w1 = NEG-INF
assume n2:w2 = NEG-INF
have bound1:r1 ≤ (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))
  and bound2:r2 ≤ (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))
  using n1 n2 eq1 eq2 by(auto simp add: rep-simps repe.simps)
have eqNeg:NEG-INF ≡E min r1 r2
  apply(rule repNEG-INF)
  using eq1 eq2 bound1 bound2 unfolding NEG-INF-def
  by (auto)
have neg-eq:wmin w1 w2 = NEG-INF
  using n1 n2 unfolding NEG-INF-def wmin.simps by auto
show wmin w1 w2 ≡E min r1 r2
  using eqNeg neg-eq by auto
next
case NegNum
assume n1:w1 = NEG-INF
  and nn2:w2 ≠ NEG-INF
  and np2:w2 ≠ POS-INF
have eq2:r2 = (real-of-int (sint w2))
  and bound2a:(real-of-int (sint w2)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
  and bound2b:(real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint w2))
  and bound1:r1 ≤ (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF))
  using n1 nn2 np2 eq2 eq1 eq2 by (auto simp add: rep-simps repe.simps)
have eqNeg:wmin w1 w2 = NEG-INF
  using n1 assms(2) bound2a eq2 n1 repeInt-simps
  by (auto simp add: word-sless-alt)
have neg-eq:NEG-INF ≡E min r1 r2
  apply(rule repNEG-INF)
  using bound1 bound2a bound2b eq1 min-le-iff-disj by blast
show wmin w1 w2 ≡E min r1 r2
  using eqNeg neg-eq by auto
next
case NumPos
assume p2:w2 = POS-INF

```

```

and  $nn1:w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF}$ 
and  $np1:w1 \neq \text{POS-INF}$ 
have  $eq1:r1 = (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1))$ 
  and  $bound1a: (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1)) < (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{POS-INF}))$ 
  and  $bound1b: (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{NEG-INF})) < (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1))$ 
  and  $bound2: (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{POS-INF})) \leq r2$ 
  using  $nn1\ np1\ p2\ eq2\ eq1\ eq2$  by  $(\text{auto simp add: rep-simps repe.simps})$ 
have  $res1:wmin\ w1\ w2 = w1$ 
  using  $p2\ eq1\ eq2\ \text{assms}(1)\ bound1b\ p2\ \text{repeInt-simps}$ 
  by  $(\text{auto simp add: word-sless-alt})$ 
have  $res2:min\ r1\ r2 = (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1))$ 
  using  $eq1\ eq2\ bound1a\ bound1b\ bound2$ 
  by  $\text{transfer } (\text{auto simp add: less-imp-le less-le-trans min-def})$ 
have  $res3:wmin\ w1\ w2 \equiv_E (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } (\text{wmin } w1\ w2)))$ 
  apply $(\text{rule repINT})$ 
  using  $p2\ bound1a\ res1\ bound1a\ bound1b\ bound2$ 
  by  $\text{auto}$ 
show  $wmin\ w1\ w2 \equiv_E \text{min } r1\ r2$ 
  using  $res1\ res2\ res3$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
next
case  $\text{NumNeg}$ 
assume  $nn1:w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF}$ 
assume  $np1:w1 \neq \text{POS-INF}$ 
assume  $n2:w2 = \text{NEG-INF}$ 
have  $eq1:r1 = (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1))$ 
  and  $bound1a: (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1)) < (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{POS-INF}))$ 
  and  $bound1b: (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{NEG-INF})) < (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1))$ 
  and  $bound2:r2 \leq (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{NEG-INF}))$ 
  using  $nn1\ np1\ n2\ eq2\ eq1\ eq2$  by  $(\text{auto simp add: rep-simps repe.simps})$ 
have  $res1:wmin\ w1\ w2 = \text{NEG-INF}$ 
  using  $n2\ bound1b$ 
  by  $(\text{metis min.absorb-iff2 min-def } n2\ \text{not-less of-int-less-iff } \text{wmin.simps word-sless-alt})$ 
have  $res2:\text{NEG-INF} \equiv_E \text{min } r1\ r2$ 
  apply $(\text{rule repNEG-INF})$ 
  using  $eq1\ eq2\ bound1a\ bound1b\ bound2\ \text{min-le-iff-disj}$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
show  $wmin\ w1\ w2 \equiv_E \text{min } r1\ r2$ 
  using  $res1\ res2$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
next
case  $\text{NumNum}$ 
assume  $np1:w1 \neq \text{POS-INF}$ 
assume  $nn1:w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF}$ 
assume  $np2:w2 \neq \text{POS-INF}$ 
assume  $nn2:w2 \neq \text{NEG-INF}$ 
have  $eq1:r1 = (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1))$ 
and  $eq2:r2 = (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w2))$ 
and  $bound1a: (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1)) < (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{POS-INF}))$ 
and  $bound1b: (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{NEG-INF})) < (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w1))$ 
and  $bound2a: (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w2)) < (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{POS-INF}))$ 
and  $bound2b: (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{NEG-INF})) < (\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } w2))$ 

```

```

using nn1 np1 nn2 np2 eq2 eq1 eq2
by (auto simp add: rep-simps repe.simps)
have res1:min r1 r2 = (real-of-int (sint (wmin w1 w2)))
using eq1 eq2 bound1a bound1b bound2a bound2b
apply (simp add: min-def word-sless-alt not-less)
apply transfer
apply simp
done
have res2:wmin w1 w2  $\equiv_E$  (real-of-int (sint (wmin w1 w2)))
apply (rule repINT)
using bound1a bound1b bound2a bound2b
by (simp add: ⟨min r1 r2 = (real-of-int (sint (wmin w1 w2)))⟩ eq2 min-less-iff-disj)+
show wmin w1 w2  $\equiv_E$  min r1 r2
using res1 res2 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma min-repU1:
assumes w1  $\equiv_L$  x
assumes w2  $\equiv_L$  y
shows wmin w1 w2  $\equiv_L$  x
using wmin-lemma assms repL-def
by (meson min-le-iff-disj)

```

```

lemma min-repU2:
assumes w1  $\equiv_L$  y
assumes w2  $\equiv_L$  x
shows wmin w1 w2  $\equiv_L$  x
using wmin-lemma assms repL-def
by (meson min-le-iff-disj)

```

3.8 Multiplication lower bound

Multiplication lower bound

```

fun tl :: word  $\Rightarrow$  word  $\Rightarrow$  word  $\Rightarrow$  word  $\Rightarrow$  word
where tl w1l w1u w2l w2u =
  wmin (wmin (wtimes w1l w2l) (wtimes w1u w2l))
    (wmin (wtimes w1l w2u) (wtimes w1u w2u))

```

Correctness of multiplication lower bound

```

lemma tl-lemma:
assumes u1:u1  $\equiv_U$  (r1::real)
assumes u2:u2  $\equiv_U$  (r2::real)
assumes l1:l1  $\equiv_L$  (r1::real)
assumes l2:l2  $\equiv_L$  (r2::real)
shows tl l1 u1 l2 u2  $\equiv_L$  (r1 * r2)
proof –
obtain rl1 rl2 ru1 ru2 :: real
where gru1:ru1  $\geq$  r1 and gru2:ru2  $\geq$  r2 and grl1:rl1  $\leq$  r1 and grl2:rl2  $\leq$  r2

```

```

and eru1:u1  $\equiv_E$  ru1 and eru2:u2  $\equiv_E$  ru2 and erl1:l1  $\equiv_E$  rl1 and erl2:l2  $\equiv_E$ 
rl2
using u1 u2 l1 l2 unfolding repU-def repL-def by auto
have timesuu:wtimes u1 u2  $\equiv_E$  ru1 * ru2
  using wtimes-exact[OF eru1 eru2] by auto
have timesul:wtimes u1 l2  $\equiv_E$  ru1 * rl2
  using wtimes-exact[OF eru1 erl2] by auto
have timeslu:wtimes l1 u2  $\equiv_E$  rl1 * ru2
  using wtimes-exact[OF erl1 eru2] by auto
have timesll:wtimes l1 l2  $\equiv_E$  rl1 * rl2
  using wtimes-exact[OF erl1 erl2] by auto
have maxt12:wmin (wtimes l1 l2) (wtimes u1 l2)  $\equiv_E$  min (rl1 * rl2) (ru1 * rl2)
  by (rule wmin-lemma[OF timesll timesul])
have maxt34:wmin (wtimes l1 u2) (wtimes u1 u2)  $\equiv_E$  min (rl1 * ru2) (ru1 *
ru2)
  by (rule wmin-lemma[OF timeslu timesuu])
have bigMax:wmin (wmin (wtimes l1 l2) (wtimes u1 l2)) (wmin (wtimes l1 u2)
(wtimes u1 u2))
   $\equiv_E$  min (min(rl1 * rl2) (ru1 * rl2)) (min (rl1 * ru2) (ru1 * ru2))
  by (rule wmin-lemma[OF maxt12 maxt34])
obtain maxt12val :: real
  where maxU12:wmin (wtimes l1 l2) (wtimes u1 l2)  $\equiv_L$  min (rl1 * rl2) (ru1 *
rl2)
using maxt12 unfolding repL-def by blast
obtain maxt34val :: real
  where maxU34:wmin (wtimes l1 u2) (wtimes u1 u2)  $\equiv_L$  min (rl1 * ru2) (ru1
* ru2)
using maxt34 unfolding repL-def by blast
obtain bigMaxU:wmin (wmin (wtimes l1 l2) (wtimes u1 l2)) (wmin (wtimes l1
u2) (wtimes u1 u2))
   $\equiv_L$  min (min (rl1 * rl2) (ru1 * rl2)) (min (rl1 * ru2) (ru1 * ru2))
using bigMax unfolding repL-def by blast
have ivl1:rl1  $\leq$  ru1 using grl1 gru1 by auto
have ivl2:rl2  $\leq$  ru2 using grl2 gru2 by auto
let ?thesis = tl l1 u1 l2 u2  $\equiv_L$  r1 * r2
show ?thesis
using ivl1 ivl2
proof(cases rule: case-ivl-zero)
  case ZeroZero
    assume rl1  $\leq$  0  $\wedge$  0  $\leq$  ru1  $\wedge$  rl2  $\leq$  0  $\wedge$  0  $\leq$  ru2
    then have geq1:ru1  $\geq$  0 and geq2:ru2  $\geq$  0
    and geq3:rl1  $\leq$  0 and geq4:rl2  $\leq$  0 by auto
    consider r1  $\geq$  0  $\wedge$  r2  $\geq$  0 | r1  $\leq$  0  $\wedge$  r2  $\geq$  0 | r1  $\geq$  0  $\wedge$  r2  $\leq$  0 | r1  $\leq$  0  $\wedge$ 
r2  $\leq$  0
      using le-cases by auto
    then show ?thesis
  proof (cases)
    case 1
      have g1:rl1 * ru2  $\leq$  0

```

```

    using 1 geq1 geq2 geq3 geq4 grl2 gru2 mult-le-0-iff by blast
  have g2:0 ≤ r1 * r2
    using 1 geq1 geq2 grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    by (simp)
  from g1 and g2
  have up:rl1 * ru2 ≤ r1 * r2
    by auto
  show ?thesis
    using up eru1 eru2 erl1 erl2 min-repU1 min-repU2
    repL-def repU-def timeslu tl.simps wmin.elims
    by (metis bigMax min-le-iff-disj)
next
case 2
have g1:rl1 * ru2 ≤ rl1 * r2
  using 2 geq1 geq2 grl2 gru2
  by (metis mult-le-cancel-left geq3 leD)
have g2:rl1 * r2 ≤ r1 * r2
  using 2 geq1 geq2 grl2 gru2
  by (simp add: mult-right-mono grl1)
from g1 and g2
have up:rl1 * ru2 ≤ r1 * r2
  by auto
show ?thesis
  by (metis up maxU12 min-repU2 repL-def tl.simps min.coboundedI1 maxt34)
next
case 3
have g1:ru1 * rl2 ≤ ru1 * r2
  using 3 geq1 geq2 grl2 gru2
  by (simp add: mult-left-mono)
have g2:ru1 * r2 ≤ r1 * r2
  using 3 geq1 geq2 grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-minus-right mult-right-mono
  by (simp add: mult-right-mono-neg)
from g1 and g2
have up:ru1 * rl2 ≤ r1 * r2 by auto
show ?thesis
  using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmin.elims min-repU2 min-repU1
  maxt34 timesul
  by (metis repL-def tl.simps)
next
case 4
have g1:ru1 * rl2 ≤ 0
  using 4 geq1 geq2 grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 ⟨rl1 ≤ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ ru1 ∧ rl2 ≤ 0 ∧ 0
≤ ru2⟩
  mult-less-0-iff less-eq-real-def not-less
  by auto
have g2:0 ≤ r1 * r2
  using 4 geq1 geq2 grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
  by (metis mult-less-0-iff not-less)
from g1 and g2

```

```

    have up:ru1 * rl2 ≤ r1 * r2
      by auto
    show ?thesis
      by (metis up maxU12 maxU34 wmin.elims min-repU1 min-repU2 repL-def
timesul tl.simps)
  qed
next
case ZeroPos
assume bounds:rl1 ≤ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ ru1 ∧ 0 ≤ rl2
have r2:r2 ≥ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl2 by blast
consider r1 ≥ 0 | r1 ≤ 0 using le-cases by auto
then show ?thesis
proof (cases)
  case 1
  have g1:rl1 * rl2 ≤ 0
    using 1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    by (simp add: mult-le-0-iff)
  have g2:0 ≤ r1 * r2
    using 1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    by (simp)
  from g1 and g2
  have up:rl1 * rl2 ≤ r1 * r2
    by auto
  show ?thesis
  by (metis repL-def timesll tl.simps up maxU12 maxU34 wmin.elims min-repU2
min-repU1)
next
case 2
have bound:ru2 ≥ 0
  using 2 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 dual-order.trans by auto
then have g1:rl1 * ru2 ≤ r1 * r2
  using 2 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-le-cancel-left
  by fastforce
have g2:rl1 * r2 ≤ r1 * r2
  using 2 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-le-0-iff mult-le-cancel-right by
fastforce
from g1 and g2
have up:rl1 * ru2 ≤ r1 * r2 by auto
show ?thesis
  by (metis up maxU12 wmin.elims min-repU2 min.coboundedI1 maxt34
repL-def tl.simps)
  qed
next
case ZeroNeg
assume bounds:rl1 ≤ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ ru1 ∧ ru2 ≤ 0
have r2:r2 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru2 by blast
consider (Pos) r1 ≥ 0 | (Neg) r1 ≤ 0 using le-cases by auto
then show ?thesis
proof (cases)

```

```

case Pos
have bound:rl2 ≤ 0
  using Pos r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 dual-order.trans by auto
then have g1:ru1 * rl2 ≤ ru1 * r2
  using Pos bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-le-cancel-left
  by fastforce
have p1:∧a::real. (0 ≤ - a) = (a ≤ 0)
  by(auto)
have p2:∧a b::real. (- a ≤ - b) = (b ≤ a) by auto
have g2:ru1 * r2 ≤ r1 * r2
  using Pos r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 p1 p2
  by (simp add: mult-right-mono-neg)
from g1 and g2
have up:ru1 * rl2 ≤ r1 * r2
  by auto
show ?thesis
  by (metis up maxU12 maxU34 wmin.elims min-repU2 min-repU1 repL-def
timesul tl.simps)
next
case Neg
have g1:ru1 * ru2 ≤ 0
  using Neg r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-le-0-iff by blast
have g2:0 ≤ r1 * r2
  using Neg r2 zero-le-mult-iff by blast
from g1 and g2
have up:ru1 * ru2 ≤ r1 * r2
  by auto
show ?thesis
  using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmin.elims min-repU2 min-repU1
min.coboundedI1 min commute maxt34
  by (metis repL-def tl.simps)
qed
next
case PosZero
assume bounds:0 ≤ rl1 ∧ rl2 ≤ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ ru2
have r1:r1 ≥ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl1 by blast
have bound:0 ≤ ru1 using r1 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 dual-order.trans by
auto
consider r2 ≥ 0 | r2 ≤ 0 using le-cases by auto
then show ?thesis
proof (cases)
case 1
have g1:rl1 * rl2 ≤ 0
  using r1 1 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-le-0-iff by blast
have g2:0 ≤ r1 * r2
  using r1 1 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 zero-le-mult-iff by blast
from g1 and g2
have up:rl1 * rl2 ≤ r1 * r2
  by auto

```



```

show ?thesis
  using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmax.elims min-repU2 min-repU1
  min.coboundedI1 min commute maxt12 maxt34 repL-def timesll tl.simps
  by metis
next
  case 2
  have g1:ru1 * rl2 ≤ ru1 * r2
    using r1 2 bounds bound grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
    using mult-left-mono by blast
  have g2:ru1 * r2 ≤ r1 * r2
    using r1 2 bounds bound grl2 gru2
    by (metis mult-left-mono-neg gru1 mult commute)
  from g1 and g2
  have up:ru1 * rl2 ≤ r1 * r2
    by auto
  show ?thesis
    using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmin.elims min-repU2 min-repU1
maxt34
    by (metis repL-def timesul tl.simps)
  qed
next
  case NegZero
  assume bounds:ru1 ≤ 0 ∧ rl2 ≤ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ ru2
  have r1:r1 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru1 by blast
  have bound:rl1 ≤ 0 using r1 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 dual-order.trans by
auto
  consider r2 ≥ 0 | r2 ≤ 0 using le-cases by auto
  then show ?thesis
  proof (cases)
    case 1
    assume r2:r2 ≥ 0
    have g1:rl1 * ru2 ≤ rl1 * r2
      using r1 r2 bounds bound grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
      by (metis mult-le-cancel-left leD)
    have g2:rl1 * r2 ≤ r1 * r2
      using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-right-mono
      by (simp add: mult-le-0-iff)
    from g1 and g2
    have up:rl1 * ru2 ≤ r1 * r2
      by auto
    show ?thesis
    using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU min-repU2 min-repU1 min.coboundedI1
maxt34
    by (metis min-repU2 repL-def tl.simps)
  next
  case 2
  assume r2:r2 ≤ 0
  have lower:rl1 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl1 r1 by blast
  have g1:ru1 * ru2 ≤ 0

```

```

    using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-le-0-iff by blast
  have g2:0 ≤ r1 * r2
    using r1 r2
    by (simp add: zero-le-mult-iff)
  from g1 and g2
  have up:ru1 * ru2 ≤ r1 * r2
    by auto
  show ?thesis
  using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU wmin.elims min-repU2 min-repU1
    min.coboundedI1 min commute maxt34
  by (metis repL-def tl.simps)
qed
next
case NegNeg
assume bounds:ru1 ≤ 0 ∧ ru2 ≤ 0
have r1:r1 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru1 by blast
have r2:r2 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru2 by blast
have lower1:rl1 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl1 r1 by blast
have lower2:rl2 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl2 r2 by blast
have g1:ru1 * ru2 ≤ ru1 * r2
  using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2
  using not-less mult-le-cancel-left
  by metis
have g2:ru1 * r2 ≤ r1 * r2
  using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 mult-le-cancel-left mult commute not-le
lower1 lower2
  by metis
from g1 and g2
have up:ru1 * ru2 ≤ r1 * r2
  by auto
show ?thesis
  using up maxU12 maxU34 bigMaxU
  wmin.elims min-repU2 min-repU1
  min.coboundedI1 min commute maxt34
  by (metis repL-def tl.simps)
next
case NegPos
assume bounds:ru1 ≤ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ rl2
have r1:r1 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru1 by blast
have r2:r2 ≥ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl2 by blast
have lower1:rl1 ≤ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans grl1 r1 by blast
have lower2:rl2 ≥ 0 using bounds by auto
have upper1:ru1 ≤ 0 using bounds by auto
have upper2:ru2 ≥ 0 using bounds dual-order.trans gru2 r2 by blast
have g1:rl1 * ru2 ≤ rl1 * r2
  using r1 r2 bounds grl1 grl2 gru1 gru2 less-le-trans upper1 lower2
  by (metis mult-le-cancel-left not-less)
have g2:rl1 * r2 ≤ r1 * r2
  using r1 upper1 r2 mult-right-mono mult-le-0-iff grl1 by blast

```

```

from  $g1$  and  $g2$ 
have  $up:rl1 * ru2 \leq r1 * r2$ 
  by auto
show ?thesis
using  $up\ maxU12\ maxU34\ bigMaxU\ wmin.elims\ min-repU2\ min-repU1\ maxt12$ 
maxt34
  by (metis repL-def timeslu tl.simps)
next
  case PosNeg
  assume  $bounds:0 \leq rl1 \wedge ru2 \leq 0$ 
  have  $r1:r1 \geq 0$  using  $bounds\ dual-order.trans\ grl1$  by blast
  have  $r2:r2 \leq 0$  using  $bounds\ dual-order.trans\ gru2$  by blast
  have  $lower1:rl1 \geq 0$  using  $bounds$  by auto
  have  $lower2:rl2 \leq 0$  using  $dual-order.trans\ grl2\ r2$  by blast
  have  $upper1:ru1 \geq 0$  using  $dual-order.trans\ gru1\ u1\ r1$  by blast
  have  $upper2:ru2 \leq 0$  using  $bounds$  by auto
  have  $g1:ru1 * rl2 \leq ru1 * r2$ 
    using  $r1\ r2\ bounds\ grl1\ grl2\ gru1\ gru2\ mult-left-mono\ less-le-trans\ not-less$ 
    by metis
  have  $g2:ru1 * r2 \leq r1 * r2$ 
    using  $r1\ lower1\ r2\ not-less\ gru2\ gru1\ grl1\ grl2$ 
    by (metis mult-le-cancel-left mult commute)
  from  $g1$  and  $g2$ 
  have  $up:ru1 * rl2 \leq r1 * r2$ 
    by auto
  show  $tl\ l_1\ u_1\ l_2\ u_2 \equiv_L r1 * r2$ 
    using  $up\ maxU12\ maxU34\ bigMaxU\ wmin.elims\ min-repU2\ min-repU1$ 
    by (metis repL-def timesul tl.simps)
next
  case PosPos
  assume  $bounds:0 \leq rl1 \wedge 0 \leq rl2$ 
  have  $r1:r1 \geq 0$  using  $bounds\ dual-order.trans\ grl1$  by blast
  have  $r2:r2 \geq 0$  using  $bounds\ dual-order.trans\ grl2$  by blast
  have  $lower1:rl1 \geq 0$  using  $bounds$  by auto
  have  $lower2:rl2 \geq 0$  using  $bounds$  by auto
  have  $upper1:ru1 \geq 0$  using  $dual-order.trans\ gru1\ u1\ r1$  by blast
  have  $upper2:ru2 \geq 0$  using  $dual-order.trans\ gru2\ u2\ r2\ bounds$  by blast
  have  $g1:rl1 * rl2 \leq rl1 * r2$ 
    using  $r1\ r2\ bounds\ grl1\ grl2\ gru1\ gru2$ 
    using mult-left-mono
    using  $leD\ leI\ less-le-trans$  by auto
  have  $g2:rl1 * r2 \leq r1 * r2$ 
    using  $r1\ r2\ bounds\ grl1\ grl2\ gru1\ gru2$ 
    using mult-right-mono by blast
  from  $g1$  and  $g2$ 
  have  $up:rl1 * rl2 \leq r1 * r2$ 
    by auto
  show ?thesis
  using  $up\ maxU12\ maxU34\ bigMaxU\ min-repU2\ min-repU1\ min.coboundedI1$ 

```

```

maxt12 maxt34
  by (metis repL-def tl.simps)
qed
qed

```

Most significant bit only changes under successor when all other bits are 1

```

lemma msb-succ:
  fixes w :: 32 Word.word
  assumes neq1:uint w  $\neq$  0xFFFFFFFF
  assumes neq2:uint w  $\neq$  0x7FFFFFFF
  shows msb (w + 1) = msb w
  proof -
    have w  $\neq$  0xFFFFFFFF
      using neq1 by auto
    then have neqneg1:w  $\neq$  -1 by auto
    have w  $\neq$  0x7FFFFFFF
      using neq2 by auto
    then have neqneg2:w  $\neq$  (231)-1 by auto
    show ?thesis using neq1 neq2 unfolding msb-big
      using word-le-make-less[of w + 1 0x80000000]
        word-le-make-less[of w 0x80000000]
        neqneg1 neqneg2
      by auto
  qed

```

Negation commutes with msb except at edge cases

```

lemma msb-non-min:
  fixes w :: 32 Word.word
  assumes neq1:uint w  $\neq$  0
  assumes neq2:uint w  $\neq$  ((2len-of (TYPE(31))))
  shows msb (uminus w) = HOL.Not(msb(w))
  proof -
    have fact1:uminus w = word-succ (~~ w)
      by (rule twos-complement)
    have fact2:msb (~~ w) = HOL.Not(msb w)
      using word-ops-msb[of w]
      by auto
    have neqneg1:w  $\neq$  0 using neq1 by auto
    have not-undef:w  $\neq$  0x80000000
      using neq2 by auto
    then have neqneg2:w  $\neq$  (231) by auto
    from  $\langle w \neq 0 \rangle$  have  $\langle \sim\sim w \neq \sim\sim 0 \rangle$ 
      by (simp only: bit.compl-eq-compl-iff) simp
    then have  $(\sim\sim w) \neq 0xFFFFFFFF$ 
      by auto
    then have uintNeq1:uint (~~ w)  $\neq$  0xFFFFFFFF
      using uint-distinct[of ~~ w 0xFFFFFFFF]
      by auto
    from  $\langle w \neq 2^{31} \rangle$  have  $\langle \sim\sim w \neq \sim\sim 2^{31} \rangle$ 

```

```

    by (simp only: bit.compl-eq-compl-iff) simp
  then have (~~ w) ≠ 0x7FFFFFFF
    by auto
  then have uintNeg2: uint (~~ w) ≠ 0x7FFFFFFF
    using uint-distinct[of ~~ w 0x7FFFFFFF]
    by auto
  have fact3:msb ((~~ w) + 1) = msb (~~ w)
    apply(rule msb-succ[of ~~ w])
    using neq1 neq2 uintNeg1 uintNeg2 by auto
  show msb (uminus w) = HOL.Not(msb(w))
    using fact1 fact2 fact3 by (simp add: word-succ-p1)
  qed

```

Only 0x80000000 preserves msb=1 under negation

```

lemma msb-min-neg:
  fixes w::word
  assumes msb1:msb (- w)
  assumes msb2:msb w
  shows uint w = ((2len-of (TYPE(31))))
proof (rule ccontr)
  from ⟨msb w⟩ have ⟨w ≠ 0⟩
    using word-msb-0 by auto
  then have ⟨uint w ≠ 0⟩
    by transfer simp
  moreover assume ⟨uint w ≠ 2LENGTH(31)⟩
  ultimately have ⟨msb (- w) ↔ ¬ msb w⟩
    by (rule msb-non-min)
  with assms show False
    by simp
qed

```

Only 0x00000000 preserves msb=0 under negation

```

lemma msb-zero:
  fixes w::word
  assumes msb1:¬ msb (- w)
  assumes msb2:¬ msb w
  shows uint w = 0
proof -
  have neq:w ≠ ((2len-of TYPE(31))::word) using msb1 msb2 by auto
  have eq:uint ((2len-of TYPE(31))::word) = 2len-of TYPE(31)
    by auto
  then have neq:uint w ≠ uint ((2len-of TYPE(31))::word)
    using uint-distinct[of w 2len-of TYPE(31)] neq eq by auto
  show ?thesis
    using msb1 msb2 minus-zero msb-non-min[of w] neq by force
qed

```

Finite numbers alternate msb under negation

```

lemma msb-pos:

```

```

fixes w::word
assumes msb1:msb (- w)
assumes msb2:¬ msb w
shows uint w ∈ {1 .. (2len-of TYPE(32) - 1) - 1}
proof -
  have main: w ∈ {1 .. (2len-of TYPE(32) - 1) - 1}
  using msb1 msb2 apply(clarsimp)
  unfolding word-msb-sint
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply (metis neg-equal-0-iff-equal not-le word-less-1)
  proof -
    have imp:w ≥ 0x80000000 ⇒ False
    proof -
      assume geq:w ≥ 0x80000000
      then have msb w
      using msb-big [of w] by auto
      then show False using msb2 by auto
    qed
  have mylem: ∧ w1 w2::word. uint w1 ≥ uint w2 ⇒ w1 ≥ w2
  subgoal for w1 w2
    by (simp add: word-le-def)
  done
  have mylem2: ∧ w1 w2::word. w1 > w2 ⇒ uint w1 > uint w2
  subgoal for w1 w2
    by (simp add: word-less-def)
  done
  have gr-to-geq:w > 0x7FFFFFFF ⇒ w ≥ 0x80000000
  apply(rule mylem)
  using mylem2[of 0x7FFFFFFF w] by auto
  have taut:w ≤ 0x7FFFFFFF ∨ w > 0x7FFFFFFF by auto
  then show w ≤ 0x7FFFFFFF
  using imp taut gr-to-geq by auto
  qed
  have set-eq:(uint ‘ ({1..(minus(2len-of TYPE(32) - 1)) 1})::word
set))
    = ({1..minus(2len-of TYPE(32) - 1) 1}::int set)
  apply(auto simp add: word-le-def)
  subgoal for xa
  proof -
    assume lower:1 ≤ xa and upper:xa ≤ 2147483647
    then have in-range:xa ∈ {0 .. 232 - 1} by auto
    then have xa ∈ range (uint::word ⇒ int)
    unfolding word-uint.Rep-range uints-num by auto
    then obtain w::word where xaw:xa = uint w by auto
    then have w ∈ {1..0x7FFFFFFF}
    using lower upper apply(clarsimp, auto)
    by (auto simp add: word-le-def)
    then show ?thesis
    using uint-distinct uint-distinct main image-eqI word-le-def xaw by blast

```

```

qed
done
then show uint w ∈ {1..2len-of TYPE(32) - 1 - 1}
  using uint-distinct uint-distinct main image-eqI
  by blast
qed

lemma msb-neg:
  fixes w::word
  assumes msb1:¬ msb (- w)
  assumes msb2:msb w
  shows uint w ∈ {2(len-of TYPE(32) - 1)+1 .. 2(len-of TYPE(32))-1}
  proof -
    have mylem:∧w1 w2::word. uint w1 ≥ uint w2 ⇒ w1 ≥ w2
      by (simp add: word-le-def)
    have mylem2:∧w1 w2::word. w1 > w2 ⇒ uint w1 > uint w2
      by (simp add: word-less-def)
    have gr-to-geq:w > 0x80000000 ⇒ w ≥ 0x80000001
      apply(rule mylem)
      using mylem2[of 0x80000000 w] by auto
    have taut:w ≤ 0x80000000 ∨ 0x80000000 < w by auto
    have imp:w ≤ 0x80000000 ⇒ False
    proof -
      assume geq:w ≤ 0x80000000
      then have (msb (-w))
        using msb-big [of - w] msb-big [of w]
        by (simp add: msb2)
      then show False using msb1 by auto
    qed
  have main: w ∈ {2(len-of TYPE(32) - 1)+1 .. 2(len-of TYPE(32))-1}

  using msb1 msb2 apply(clarsimp)
  unfolding word-msb-sint
  proof -
    show 0x80000001 ≤ w
      using imp taut gr-to-geq by auto
  qed
  have set-eq:(uint ‘ ({2(len-of TYPE(32) - 1)+1 .. 2(len-of TYPE(32))-1}::word
set))
    = {2(len-of TYPE(32) - 1)+1 .. 2(len-of TYPE(32))-1}
  apply(auto)
  subgoal for xa by (simp add: word-le-def)
  subgoal for w using uint-lt [of w] by simp
  subgoal for xa
  proof -
    assume lower:2147483649 ≤ xa and upper:xa ≤ 4294967295
    then have in-range:xa ∈ {0x80000000 .. 0xFFFFFFFF} by auto
    then have xa ∈ range (uint::word ⇒ int)
      unfolding word-uint.Rep-range uints-num by auto

```

```

then obtain  $w::\text{word}$  where  $xaw:xa = \text{uint } w$  by auto
then have  $\text{the-in}:w \in \{0x80000001 .. 0xFFFFFFFF\}$ 
  using lower upper
  by (auto simp add: word-le-def)
have  $\text{the-eq}:(0xFFFFFFFF::\text{word}) = -1$  by auto
from  $\text{the-in the-eq}$  have  $w \in \{0x80000001 .. -1\}$  by auto
then show ?thesis
using uint-distinct uint-distinct main image-eqI word-le-def xaw by blast
qed
done
then show  $\text{uint } w \in \{2^{(len-of \text{TYPE}(32)) - 1} + 1 .. 2^{(len-of \text{TYPE}(32))} - 1\}$ 

  using uint-distinct uint-distinct main image-eqI
  by blast
qed

```

2s-complement commutes with negation except edge cases

lemma *sint-neg-hom*:

```

fixes  $w :: 32 \text{ Word.word}$ 
shows  $\text{uint } w \neq ((2^{(len-of (\text{TYPE}(31))))}) \implies (\text{sint}(-w) = -(\text{sint } w))$ 
unfolding word-sint-msb-eq apply auto
  subgoal using msb-min-neg by auto
  prefer 3 subgoal using msb-zero[of w] by (simp add: msb-zero)
proof -
  assume  $\text{msb1}:msb (- w)$ 
  assume  $\text{msb2}:\neg msb w$ 
  have  $\text{uint } w \in \{1 .. (2^{(len-of \text{TYPE}(32))} - 1) - 1\}$  using msb-pos[OF msb1 msb2] by auto
  then have  $\text{bound}:\text{uint } w \in \{1 .. 0x7FFFFFFF\}$  by auto
  have  $\text{size}:\text{size } (w::32 \text{ Word.word}) = 32$  using Word.word-size[of w] by auto
  have  $\text{lem}:\bigwedge x::\text{int}. \bigwedge n::\text{nat}. x \in \{1..(2^n)-1\} \implies ((- x) \bmod (2^n)) - (2^n) = - x$ 
    subgoal for  $x n$ 
    apply(cases x mod 2^n = 0)
    by(auto simp add: zmod-zminus1-eq-if[of x 2^n])
    done
  have  $\text{lem-rule}:\text{uint } w \in \{1..2^{32} - 1\}$ 
     $\implies (- \text{uint } w \bmod 4294967296) - 4294967296 = - \text{uint } w$ 
    using lem[of uint w 32] by auto
  have  $\text{almost}:- \text{uint } w \bmod 4294967296 - 4294967296 = - \text{uint } w$ 
    apply(rule lem-rule)
    using bound by auto
  show  $\text{uint } (- w) - 2^{size} (- w) = - \text{uint } w$ 
  using bound
  unfolding Word.uint-word-ariths word-size-neg by (auto simp add: size almost)
next
assume  $\text{neg}:\text{uint } w \neq 0x80000000$ 
assume  $\text{msb1}:\neg msb (- w)$ 
assume  $\text{msb2}:msb w$ 

```



```

have bound:uint w ∈ {0x80000001.. 0xFFFFFFFF} using msb1 msb2 msb-neg
by auto
have size:size (w::32 Word.word) = 32 using Word.word-size[of w] by auto
have lem:∧x::int. ∧n::nat. x ∈ {1..(2n)-1} ⇒ (-x mod (2n)) = (2n) -
x
  subgoal for x n
    apply(auto)
    apply(cases x mod 2n = 0)
    by (simp add: zmod-zminus1-eq-if[of x 2n])+
  done
from bound
have wLeq: uint w ≤ 4294967295
  and wGeq: 2147483649 ≤ uint w
  by auto
from wLeq have wLeq':uint w ≤ 4294967296 by fastforce
have f3: (0 ≤ 4294967296 + - 1 * uint w + - 1 * ((4294967296 + - 1 * uint
w) mod 4294967296))
  = (uint w + (4294967296 + - 1 * uint w) mod 4294967296 ≤ 4294967296)
  by auto
have f4: (0 ≤ 4294967296 + - 1 * uint w) = (uint w ≤ 4294967296)
  by auto
have f5: ∀ i ia. ¬ (0::int) ≤ i ∨ 0 ≤ i + - 1 * (i mod ia)
  by (simp add: zmod-le-nonneg-dividend)
then have f6: uint w + (4294967296 + - 1 * uint w) mod 4294967296 ≤
4294967296
  using f4 f3 wLeq' by blast
have f7: 4294967296 + - 1 * uint w + - 4294967296 = - 1 * uint w
  by auto
have f8: - (1::int) * 4294967296 = - 4294967296
  by auto
have f9: (0 ≤ - 1 * uint w) = (uint w ≤ 0)
  by auto
have f10: (4294967296 + -1 * uint w + -1 * ((4294967296 + -1 * uint w)
mod 4294967296) ≤ 0)
  = (4294967296 ≤ uint w + (4294967296 + - 1 * uint w) mod 4294967296)
  by auto
have f11: ¬ 4294967296 ≤ (0::int)
  by auto
have f12: ∀ x0. ((0::int) < x0) = (¬ x0 ≤ 0)
  by auto
have f13: ∀ x0 x1. ((x1::int) < x0) = (¬ 0 ≤ x1 + - 1 * x0)
  by auto
have f14: ∀ x0 x1. ((x1::int) ≤ x1 mod x0) = (x1 + - 1 * (x1 mod x0) ≤ 0)
  by auto
have ¬ uint w ≤ 0
  using wGeq by fastforce
then have 4294967296 ≤ uint w + (4294967296 + - 1 * uint w) mod 4294967296
  using f14 f13 f12 f11 f10 f9 f8 f7 by (metis (no-types) int-mod-ge)
then

```

```

show uint (- w) = 2 ^ size w - uint w
  using f6
  unfolding Word.uint-word-ariths
  by (auto simp add: size f4)
qed

```

2s-complement encoding is injective

```

lemma sint-dist:
  fixes x y :: word
  assumes x ≠ y
  shows sint x ≠ sint y
  by (simp add: assms)

```

3.9 Negation

```

fun wneg :: word ⇒ word
where wneg w =
  (if w = NEG-INF then POS-INF else if w = POS-INF then NEG-INF else -w)

```

word negation is correct

```

lemma wneg-lemma:
  assumes eq:w ≡E (r::real)
  shows wneg w ≡E -r
  apply(rule repe.cases[OF eq])
  apply(auto intro!: repNEG-INF repPOS-INF simp add: repe.simps)[2]
  subgoal for ra
  proof -
    assume eq:w = ra
    assume i:r = (real-of-int (sint ra))
    assume bounda: (real-of-int (sint ra)) < (real-of-int (sint POS-INF))
    assume boundb: (real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)) < (real-of-int (sint ra))
    have raNeq:ra ≠ 2147483647
      using sint-range[OF bounda boundb]
      by (auto)
    have raNeqUndef:ra ≠ 2147483648
      using int-not-undef[OF bounda boundb]
      by (auto)
    have uint ra ≠ uint ((2 ^ len-of TYPE(31))::word)
      apply (rule uint-distinct)
      using raNeqUndef by auto
    then have raNeqUndefUint:uint ra ≠ ((2 ^ len-of TYPE(31)))
      by auto
    have res1:wneg w ≡E (real-of-int (sint (wneg w)))
      apply (rule repINT)
      using sint-range[OF bounda boundb] sint-neg-hom[of ra, OF raNeqUndefUint]
      raNeq raNeqUndefUint raNeqUndef eq
      by(auto)
    have res2:- r = (real-of-int (sint (wneg w)))

```

```

    using eq bounda boundb i sint-neg-hom[of ra, OF raNeqUndefUint] raNeq
raNeqUndef eq
    apply auto
    apply transfer
    apply simp
    done
show ?thesis
    using res1 res2 by auto
qed
done

```

3.10 Comparison

```

fun wgreater :: word  $\Rightarrow$  word  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where wgreater w1 w2 = (sint w1 > sint w2)

```

```

lemma neg-less-contr: $\wedge x. \text{Suc } x < - (\text{Suc } x) \Longrightarrow \text{False}$ 
by auto

```

Comparison < is correct

```

lemma wgreater-lemma:w1  $\equiv_L (r1::\text{real}) \Longrightarrow w2 \equiv_U r2 \Longrightarrow wgreater w1 w2 \Longrightarrow r1 > r2$ 

```

```

proof (auto simp add: repU-def repL-def)
  fix r'1 r'2
  assume sint-le:sint w1 > sint w2
  then have sless:(w2 <_s w1) using word-sless-alt by auto
  assume r1-leq:r'1  $\leq$  r1
  assume r2-leq:r2  $\leq$  r'2
  assume wr1:w1  $\equiv_E$  r'1
  assume wr2:w2  $\equiv_E$  r'2
  have greater:r'1 > r'2
  using wr1 wr2 apply(auto simp add: repe.simps)
    prefer 4 using sless sint-le
    apply (auto simp add: less-le-trans not-le)
  apply transfer apply simp
  apply transfer apply simp
  apply transfer apply simp
  done
show r1 > r2
  using r1-leq r2-leq greater by auto
qed

```

Comparison \geq of words

```

fun wgeq :: word  $\Rightarrow$  word  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where wgeq w1 w2 =
(( $\neg$  ((w2 = NEG-INF  $\wedge$  w1 = NEG-INF)
 $\vee$  (w2 = POS-INF  $\wedge$  w1 = POS-INF)))  $\wedge$ 
(sint w2  $\leq$  sint w1))

```

Comparison \geq of words is correct

```

lemma wgeq-lemma:  $w1 \equiv_L r1 \implies w2 \equiv_U (r2::real) \implies wgeq\ w1\ w2 \implies r1 \geq r2$ 
proof (unfold wgeq.simps)
  assume assms:  $\neg (w2 = NEG-INF \wedge w1 = NEG-INF \vee w2 = POS-INF \wedge w1 = POS-INF) \wedge sint\ w2 \leq sint\ w1$ 
  assume a1:  $w1 \equiv_L r1$  and a2:  $w2 \equiv_U (r2::real)$ 
  from assms have sint-le:  $sint\ w2 \leq sint\ w1$  by auto
  then have sless:  $w2 <=s\ w1$  using word-sless-alt word-sle-def by auto
  obtain r'1 r'2 where r1-leq:  $r'1 \leq r1$  and r2-leq:  $r2 \leq r'2$ 
  and wr1:  $w1 \equiv_E r'1$  and wr2:  $w2 \equiv_E r'2$ 
  using a1 a2 unfolding repU-def repL-def by auto
  from assms have check1:  $\neg (w1 = NEG-INF \wedge w2 = NEG-INF)$  by auto
  from assms have check2:  $\neg (w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 = POS-INF)$  by auto
  have less:  $r'2 \leq r'1$ 
  using sless sint-le check1 check2 repe.simps wr2 wr1
  apply (auto simp add: repe.simps)
    apply transfer
    apply simp
    apply transfer
    apply simp
    apply transfer
    apply simp
    apply transfer
    apply simp
    apply transfer
    apply simp
    apply transfer
    apply simp
    apply transfer
    apply simp
    apply transfer
    apply simp
    done
  show  $r1 \geq r2$ 
  using r1-leq r2-leq less by auto
qed

```

3.11 Absolute value

Absolute value of word

```

fun wabs :: word  $\Rightarrow$  word
  where wabs l1 = (wmax l1 (wneg l1))

```

Correctness of wmax

```

lemma wabs-lemma:
  assumes eq:  $w \equiv_E (r::real)$ 
  shows wabs  $w \equiv_E (abs\ r)$ 
proof -

```

```

have  $w:wmax\ w\ (wneg\ w) \equiv_E\ max\ r\ (-r)$  by (rule  $wmax\ lemma[OF\ eq\ wneg\ lemma[OF\ eq]]$ )
have  $r:max\ r\ (-r) = abs\ r$  by auto
from  $w\ r$  show ?thesis by auto
qed

declare more-real-of-word-simps [simp del]

end

```

4 Finite Strings

Finite-String.thy implements a type of strings whose lengths are bounded by a constant defined at "proof-time", by taking a sub-type of the built-in string type. A finite length bound is important for applications in real analysis, specifically the Differential-Dynamic-Logic (dL) entry, because finite-string identifiers are used as the index of a real vector, only forming a Euclidean space if identifiers are finite.

We include finite strings in this AFP entry both to promote using it as the basis of future versions of the dL entry and simply in case the typeclass instances herein are useful. One could imagine using this type in file formats with fixed-length fields.

```

theory Finite-String
imports
  Main
  HOL-Library.Code-Target-Int
begin

```

This theory uses induction on pairs of lists often: give names to the cases

```

lemmas list-induct2'[case-names BothNil LeftCons RightCons BothCons] = List.list-induct2'

```

Set a hard-coded global maximum string length

```

definition max-str:MAX-STR = 20

```

Finite strings are strings whose size is within the maximum

```

typedef fin-string = {s::string. size s ≤ MAX-STR}
morphisms Rep-fin-string Abs-fin-string
apply(auto)
apply(rule exI[where  $x=Nil$ ])
by(auto simp add: max-str)

```

Lift definition of string length

```

setup-lifting Finite-String.fin-string.type-definition-fin-string
lift-definition ilength::fin-string ⇒ nat is length done

```

Product of types never decreases cardinality

```

lemma card-prod-finite:
  fixes C:: char set and S::string set
  assumes C::card C  $\geq 1$  and S::card S  $\geq 0$ 
  shows card C * card S  $\geq$  card S
  using C S by auto

fun cons :: ('a * 'a list)  $\Rightarrow$  'a list
  where cons (x,y) = x # y

Finite strings are finite

instantiation fin-string :: finite begin
instance proof
  have any:: $\forall i::nat. \text{card } \{s::string. \text{length } s \leq i\} > 0$ 
    apply(auto)
    subgoal for i
  proof (induct i)
    case 0
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (Suc k)
    assume IH::card {s::string. length s  $\leq$  k}  $> 0$ 
    let ?c = (UNIV::char set)
    let ?ih = {s::string. length s  $\leq$  k}
    let ?prod = (?c  $\times$  ?ih)
    let ?b = (cons ' ?prod)
    let ?A = {s::string. length s  $\leq$  Suc k}
    let ?B = insert [] ?b
    have IHfin::finite ?ih using IH card-ge-0-finite by blast
    have finChar::finite ?c using card-ge-0-finite finite-code by blast
    have finiteProd::finite ?prod
      using Groups-Big.card-cartesian-product IHfin finChar by auto
    have cardCons::card ?b = card ?prod
      apply(rule Finite-Set.card-image)
      by(auto simp add: inj-on-def)
    have finiteCons::finite ?b using cardCons finiteProd card-ge-0-finite by blast
    have finiteB::finite ?B using finite-insert finiteCons by auto
    have lr:: $\bigwedge x. x \in ?A \implies x \in ?B$  subgoal for x
      apply(auto) apply(cases x) apply auto
      by (metis UNIV-I cons.simps image-eqI mem-Collect-eq mem-Sigma-iff) done
    have rl:: $\bigwedge x. x \in ?B \implies x \in ?A$  subgoal for x
      by(auto) done
    have isCons::?A = ?B
      using lr rl by auto
  show ?case
    using finiteB isCons IH by (simp add: card.insert-remove)
qed
done
note finMax = card-ge-0-finite[OF spec[OF any, of MAX-STR]]
have fin::finite {x | x y . x = Abs-fin-string y  $\wedge$  y  $\in$  {s. length s  $\leq$  MAX-STR}}

```

```

    using Abs-fin-string-cases finMax by auto
    have univEq: UNIV = {x | x y . x = Abs-fin-string y ∧ y ∈ {s. length s ≤
MAX-STR}}
    using Abs-fin-string-cases
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Collect-cong UNIV-I top-empty-eq top-set-def)
    then have finite (UNIV :: fin-string set) using univEq fin by auto
    then show finite (UNIV::fin-string set) by auto
qed
end

```

Characters are linearly ordered by their code value

```

instantiation char :: linorder begin
definition less-eq-char where
less-eq-char[code]:less-eq-char x y ≡ int-of-char x ≤ int-of-char y
definition less-char where
less-char[code]:less-char x y ≡ int-of-char x < int-of-char y
instance
by(standard, auto simp add: less-char less-eq-char int-of-char-def)+
end

```

Finite strings are linearly ordered, lexicographically

```

instantiation fin-string :: linorder begin
fun lleq-charlist :: char list ⇒ char list ⇒ bool
where
lleq-charlist Nil Nil = True
| lleq-charlist Nil - = True
| lleq-charlist - Nil = False
| lleq-charlist (x # xs)(y # ys) =
(if x = y then lleq-charlist xs ys else x < y)

fun less-charlist :: char list ⇒ char list ⇒ bool
where
less-charlist Nil Nil = False
| less-charlist Nil - = True
| less-charlist - Nil = False
| less-charlist (x # xs)(y # ys) =
(if x = y then less-charlist xs ys else x < y)

```

lift-definition less-eq-fin-string::fin-string ⇒ fin-string ⇒ bool **is** lleq-charlist **done**

lift-definition less-fin-string::fin-string ⇒ fin-string ⇒ bool **is** less-charlist **done**

lemma lleq-head:

```

fixes L1 L2 x
assumes a:
(∧z. lleq-charlist L2 z ⇒ lleq-charlist L1 z)
lleq-charlist L1 L2
lleq-charlist (x # L2) w
shows lleq-charlist (x # L1) w
using a by(induction arbitrary: x rule: List.list-induct2', auto)

```

```

lemma lleq-less:
  fixes  $x\ y$ 
  shows  $(\text{less-charlist } x\ y) = (\text{lleq-charlist } x\ y \wedge \neg \text{lleq-charlist } y\ x)$ 
  by(induction rule: List.list-induct2', auto)

lemma lleq-refl:
  fixes  $x$ 
  shows  $\text{lleq-charlist } x\ x$ 
  by(induction  $x$ , auto)

lemma lleq-trans:
  fixes  $x\ y\ z$ 
  shows  $\text{lleq-charlist } x\ y \implies \text{lleq-charlist } y\ z \implies \text{lleq-charlist } x\ z$ 
proof(induction arbitrary: z rule: list-induct2')
  case BothNil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (LeftCons  $x\ xs$ )
  then show ?case
    apply(induction  $y$ )
    using lleq-charlist.elims(2) lleq-charlist.simps(2) by blast+
next
  case (RightCons  $y\ ys$ )
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (BothCons  $x\ xs\ y\ ys\ z$ )
  then show ?case
    using lleq-head[of xs ys x z] apply(cases  $x = y$ , auto)
    apply(cases  $z$ , auto)
    subgoal for a list
    by(cases  $x = a$ , auto)
    done
qed

lemma lleq-antisym:
  fixes  $x\ y$ 
  shows  $\text{lleq-charlist } x\ y \implies \text{lleq-charlist } y\ x \implies x = y$ 
proof(induction rule: list-induct2')
  case (LeftCons  $x\ xs$ ) then show ?case by(cases  $xs=y$ , auto)
next case (RightCons  $y\ ys$ ) then show ?case by(cases  $x=ys$ , auto)
next case (BothCons  $x\ xs\ y\ ys$ ) then show ?case by(cases  $x=y$ , auto)
qed (auto)

lemma lleq-dichotomy:
  fixes  $x\ y$ 
  shows  $\text{lleq-charlist } x\ y \vee \text{lleq-charlist } y\ x$ 
  by(induction rule: List.list-induct2', auto)

```



```

instance
  apply(standard)
    unfolding less-eq-fin-string-def less-fin-string-def
    apply (auto simp add: lleq-less lleq-refl lleq-trans lleq-dichotomy)
    using lleq-antisym less-eq-fin-string-def less-fin-string-def Rep-fin-string-inject by
blast
end

fun string-expose::string  $\Rightarrow$  (unit + (char * string))
  where string-expose Nil = Inl ()
  | string-expose (c#cs) = Inr(c,cs)

fun string-cons::char  $\Rightarrow$  string  $\Rightarrow$  string
  where string-cons c s = (if length s  $\geq$  MAX-STR then s else c # s)

lift-definition fin-string-empty::fin-string is '''' by(auto simp add: max-str)
lift-definition fin-string-cons::char  $\Rightarrow$  fin-string  $\Rightarrow$  fin-string is string-cons by
auto
lift-definition fin-string-expose::fin-string  $\Rightarrow$  (unit + (char*fin-string)) is string-expose

  apply(auto simp add: dual-order.trans less-imp-le pred-sum.simps string-expose.elims)
  by (metis dual-order.trans impossible-Cons le-cases string-expose.elims)

Helper functions for enum typeclass instance
fun fin-string-upto :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  fin-string list
  where
    fin-string-upto 0 = [fin-string-empty]
  | fin-string-upto (Suc k) =
    (let r = fin-string-upto k in
     let ab = (enum-class.enum::char list) in
     fin-string-empty # concat (map ( $\lambda$  c. map ( $\lambda$ s. fin-string-cons c s) r) ab))

lemma mem-appL:List.member L1 x  $\Longrightarrow$  List.member (L1 @ L2) x
  apply(induction L1 arbitrary: L2)
  by(auto simp add: member-rec)

lemma mem-appR:List.member L2 x  $\Longrightarrow$  List.member (L1 @ L2) x
  apply(induction L1 arbitrary: L2)
  by(auto simp add: member-rec)

lemma mem-app-or:List.member (L1 @ L2) x = List.member L1 x  $\vee$  List.member
L2 x
  unfolding member-def by auto

lemma fin-string-nil:
  fixes n
  shows List.member (fin-string-upto n) fin-string-empty
  by(induction n, auto simp add: member-rec Let-def fin-string-empty-def)

```

List of every string. Not practical for code generation but used to show

strings are an enum

definition *vals-def*[code]:*vals* \equiv *fin-string-upto* *MAX-STR*

definition *fin-string-enum* :: *fin-string list*

where *fin-string-enum* = *vals*

definition *fin-string-enum-all* :: (*fin-string* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where *fin-string-enum-all* = (λ *f*. *list-all* *f* *vals*)

definition *fin-string-enum-ex* :: (*fin-string* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where *fin-string-enum-ex* = (λ *f*. *list-ex* *f* *vals*)

Induct on the length of a bounded list, with access to index of element

lemma *length-induct*:

fixes *P*

assumes *len:length* *L* \leq *MAX-STR*

assumes *BC*:*P* \square 0

assumes *IS*:(\bigwedge *k x xs*. *P xs k* \Longrightarrow *P* ((*x* # *xs*)) (*Suc k*))

shows *P L* (*length L*)

proof –

have \bigwedge *k*. *length L* = *k* \Longrightarrow *k* \leq *MAX-STR* \Longrightarrow *P L* (*length L*)

proof (*induction L*)

case *Nil* **then show** *?case* **using** *BC* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Cons a L*)

then have *it*:*P* (*L*) (*length L*) **using** *less-imp-le* **by** *fastforce*

then show *?case* **using** *IS*[*OF it, of a*] **by** (*auto*)

qed

then show *?thesis* **using** *BC IS len* **by** *auto*

qed

Induct on length of fin-string

lemma *ilength-induct*:

fixes *P*

assumes *BC*:*P* *fin-string-empty* 0

assumes *IS*:(\bigwedge *k x xs*. *P xs k* \Longrightarrow *P* (*Abs-fin-string* (*x* # *Rep-fin-string xs*)) (*Suc k*))

shows *P L* (*ilength L*)

apply(*cases L*)

apply(*unfold ilength-def*)

apply(*auto simp add: Abs-fin-string-inverse*)

subgoal for *y*

proof –

assume *a1*:*L* = *Abs-fin-string y*

assume *a2*: *length y* \leq *MAX-STR*

have *main*: \bigwedge *k*. *L* = *Abs-fin-string y* \Longrightarrow *length y* = *k* \Longrightarrow *k* \leq *MAX-STR*
 \Longrightarrow *P* (*Abs-fin-string y*) (*length y*)

subgoal for *k*

apply(*induction y arbitrary: k L*)

subgoal for *k* **using** *BC unfolding fin-string-empty-def* **by** *auto*

subgoal for *a y k L*

```

proof –
  assume  $IH:(\bigwedge k L. L = \text{Abs-fin-string } y \implies \text{length } y = k \implies k \leq$ 
MAX-STR
     $\implies P (\text{Abs-fin-string } y) (\text{length } y))$ 
  assume  $L:L = \text{Abs-fin-string } (a \# y)$ 
  assume  $l:\text{length } (a \# y) = k$ 
  assume  $str:k \leq \text{MAX-STR}$ 
  have  $yLen:\text{length } y < \text{MAX-STR}$  using  $l \text{ str}$  by auto
  have  $it:P (\text{Abs-fin-string } y) (\text{length } y)$ 
    using  $IH[\text{of Abs-fin-string } y \ k-1, \text{ OF refl}]$  using  $L \ l \ \text{str}$  by auto
  show  $P (\text{Abs-fin-string } (a \# y)) (\text{length } (a \# y))$ 
    using  $IS[\text{OF } it, \text{ of } a]$  apply  $(\text{auto simp add: fin-string-cons-def}$ 
Abs-fin-string-inverse)
    apply $(\text{cases } \text{MAX-STR} \leq \text{length } (\text{Rep-fin-string } (\text{Abs-fin-string } y)))$ 
    using  $yLen$  by $(\text{auto simp add: } l \ yLen \ \text{Abs-fin-string-inverse})$ 
  qed
done
done
show ?thesis
  apply $(\text{rule main})$ 
  using  $BC \ IS \ a1 \ a2$  by auto
qed
done

```

```

lemma enum-chars:set (enum-class.enum::char list)= UNIV
  using Enum.enum-class.enum-UNIV by auto

```

```

lemma member-concat:List.member (concat LL) x = ( $\exists L. \text{List.member } LL \ L \wedge$ 
List.member L x)
  by $(\text{auto simp add: member-def})$ 

```

fin-string-upto k enumerates all strings up to length $\min(k, \text{MAX_STR})$

```

lemma fin-string-length:
  fixes  $L::\text{string}$ 
  assumes  $len:\text{length } L \leq k$ 
  assumes  $Len:\text{length } L \leq \text{MAX-STR}$ 
  shows  $\text{List.member } (\text{fin-string-upto } k) (\text{Abs-fin-string } L)$ 

```

```

proof –
  have  $BC:\forall j \geq 0. 0 \leq \text{MAX-STR} \longrightarrow \text{length } [] = 0 \longrightarrow$ 
     $\text{List.member } (\text{fin-string-upto } j) (\text{Abs-fin-string } [])$ 
  apply $(\text{auto})$ 
  subgoal for  $j$ 
  apply $(\text{cases } j)$ 
  by  $(\text{auto simp add: fin-string-empty-def member-rec})$ 
done
  have  $IS:(\bigwedge k \ x \ xs.$ 
     $\forall j \geq k. k \leq \text{MAX-STR} \longrightarrow \text{length } xs = k \longrightarrow \text{List.member } (\text{fin-string-upto } j)$ 
(Abs-fin-string xs) $\implies$ 
     $\forall j \geq \text{Suc } k. \text{Suc } k \leq \text{MAX-STR} \longrightarrow \text{length } (x \# xs) = \text{Suc } k$ 

```

```

    → List.member (fin-string-upto j) (Abs-fin-string (x # xs))
  subgoal for k x xs
  proof –
    assume ∀ j ≥ k. k ≤ MAX-STR → length xs = k
    → List.member (fin-string-upto j) (Abs-fin-string xs)
  then have IH: ∧ j. j ≥ k ⇒ k ≤ MAX-STR ⇒ length xs = k
    ⇒ List.member (fin-string-upto j) (Abs-fin-string xs)
    by auto
  show ?thesis
  apply(auto)
  subgoal for j
  proof –
    assume kj: Suc (length xs) ≤ j
    assume sucMax: Suc (length xs) ≤ MAX-STR
    assume ilen: k = length xs
    obtain jj where jj[simp]: j = Suc jj using kj Suc-le-D by auto
    then have kMax: k < MAX-STR using jj kj Suc-le-D ilen
      by (simp add: less-eq-Suc-le sucMax)
    have res: List.member (fin-string-upto (jj)) (Abs-fin-string xs)
      using IH[of jj] kj jj ilen Suc-leD sucMax by blast
    have neg: Abs-fin-string [] ≠ Abs-fin-string (x # xs)
    using Abs-fin-string-inverse fin-string-empty.abs-eq fin-string-empty.rep-eq

      len length-Cons list.distinct(1) mem-Collect-eq
    by (metis ilen sucMax)
    have univ: set enum-class.enum = (UNIV::char set) using enum-chars
  by auto
  have List.member (fin-string-upto j) (Abs-fin-string (x # xs))
    apply(auto simp add: member-rec(2) fin-string-empty-def)
    using len sucMax
  apply(auto simp add: member-rec fin-string-empty-def fin-string-cons-def
    Abs-fin-string-inverse Rep-fin-string-inverse neg)
  proof –
  let ?witLL = (λ x. map (map-fun Rep-fin-string Abs-fin-string (string-cons
x))
    (fin-string-upto jj))
  have f1: Abs-fin-string xs ∈ set (fin-string-upto jj)
    by (metis member-def res)
  have f2: Abs-fin-string (x # xs) = Abs-fin-string
    (if MAX-STR ≤ length (Rep-fin-string (Abs-fin-string xs))
    then Rep-fin-string (Abs-fin-string xs)
    else x # Rep-fin-string (Abs-fin-string xs))
    using Abs-fin-string-inverse ilen kMax by auto
  have ex: ∃ LL. (List.member (map ?witLL enum-class.enum) LL)
    ∧ List.member LL (Abs-fin-string (x # xs))
    apply(rule exI[where x=?witLL x])
    apply(auto simp add: member-def univ)
    using f1 f2 by blast
  show List.member (concat (map ?witLL enum-class.enum))

```

```

      (Abs-fin-string (x # xs))
      using member-concat ex by fastforce
    qed
    then show List.member (fin-string-upto j) (Abs-fin-string (x # xs)) by
auto
      qed
    done
  qed
done
have impl:length L ≤ k ⇒ List.member (fin-string-upto k) (Abs-fin-string L)
  using len Len
  length-induct[where P = (λ L k. ∀ j ≥ k. k ≤ MAX-STR → length L = k
    → List.member (fin-string-upto j) (Abs-fin-string L))
  , OF Len BC IS]
  by auto
  show ?thesis
  using impl len by auto
qed

```

lemma *fin-string-upto-length*:

```

  shows List.member (fin-string-upto n) L ⇒ ilength L ≤ n
  apply(induction n arbitrary: L)
  apply(auto simp add: fin-string-empty-def Let-def ilength-def fin-string-cons-def

    Rep-fin-string-inverse Abs-fin-string-inverse member-rec)
proof –
  fix n L
  let ?witLL = (λx. map(map-fun Rep-fin-string Abs-fin-string(string-cons x))(fin-string-upto
n))
  assume len:(∧L. List.member (fin-string-upto n) L ⇒ length (Rep-fin-string
L) ≤ n)
  assume mem>List.member (concat (map ?witLL enum-class.enum)) L
  have L>List.member (fin-string-upto n) L ⇒ length (Rep-fin-string L) ≤ Suc n

    using len[of L] by auto
  assume a>List.member (concat (map ?witLL enum-class.enum)) L
  obtain LL where conc>List.member (map ?witLL enum-class.enum) LL
    and concmem>List.member LL L
  using member-concat a by metis
  obtain c cs where c:L = fin-string-cons c cs and cs>List.member (fin-string-upto
n) cs
  using a conc unfolding member-def apply(auto)
  subgoal for c d cs
    apply(cases MAX-STR ≤ length (Rep-fin-string cs))
    apply(auto simp add: Rep-fin-string-inverse)
    by (metis (full-types) Rep-fin-string-inverse fin-string-cons.rep-eq string-cons.simps)+
  done

```

```

then have ilength (fin-string-cons c cs)  $\leq$  (Suc n)
  using len[of cs] unfolding ilength-def fin-string-cons-def
  apply (auto simp add: Rep-fin-string-inverse)
  using c fin-string-cons.rep-eq by force
then show length (Rep-fin-string L)  $\leq$  Suc n
  using c ilength.rep-eq by auto
qed

fin-string-upto produces no duplicate identifiers

lemma distinct-upto:
  shows  $i \leq \text{MAX-STR} \implies \text{distinct } (\text{fin-string-upto } i)$ 
proof (induction i)
  case 0
  then show ?case by(auto)
next
  case (Suc j) then
  have jLen:Suc j  $\leq$  MAX-STR
    and IH:distinct (fin-string-upto j) by auto
  have distinct-char:distinct (enum-class.enum:: char list)
    by (auto simp add: distinct-map enum-char-unfold)
  have diseq: $\bigwedge x y. y \in \text{set } (\text{fin-string-upto } j) \implies \text{fin-string-empty} \neq \text{fin-string-cons } x y$ 
    using Rep-fin-string-inverse jLen apply(auto simp add: fin-string-empty-def fin-string-cons-def)
    using fin-string-empty.rep-eq le-zero-eq list.size not-less-eq-eq zero-le Abs-fin-string-inject
    by (metis,auto)
  show ?case
    apply(auto simp add: Let-def)
    subgoal for x xa using diseq by auto
    apply(rule distinct-concat)
    subgoal
      apply(auto simp add: distinct-map)
      apply(rule distinct-char)
      apply(rule subset-inj-on[where B=UNIV])
      apply(rule injI)
      apply(auto simp add: fin-string-cons-def)
    proof –
      fix x y
      let ?l = ( $\lambda xa x. \text{Abs-fin-string}$ 
        (if  $\text{MAX-STR} \leq \text{length } (\text{Rep-fin-string } xa)$ 
          then Rep-fin-string xa
          else  $x \# \text{Rep-fin-string } xa$ ))
      assume a1: $\forall xa \in \text{set } (\text{fin-string-upto } j). ?l xa x = ?l xa y$ 
      then have a2: $\bigwedge xa. (\text{List.member } (\text{fin-string-upto } j) xa) \implies ?l xa x = ?l xa y$ 
        using member-def by force
      then have  $\text{Abs-fin-string } [x] = \text{Abs-fin-string } [y] \vee (\text{MAX-STR::nat}) = 0$ 
        using a2 fin-string-empty.rep-eq fin-string-nil by force
      then show  $x = y$ 

```

```

      by (metis Abs-fin-string-inverse jLen le-zero-eq length-Cons list.inject
list.size(3)
      mem-Collect-eq nat.distinct(1) not-less-eq-eq)
    qed
  subgoal for ys
    apply(auto simp add: fin-string-cons-def)
  proof -
    fix c :: char
    assume c:c ∈ set enum-class.enum
    assume ys:ys=map(map-fun Rep-fin-string Abs-fin-string (string-cons c))
(fin-string-upto j)
    show distinct(map(map-fun Rep-fin-string Abs-fin-string (string-cons c)) (fin-string-upto
j))
      unfolding distinct-map apply(rule conjI)
      apply(rule IH)
      apply(rule inj-onI)
      apply(auto)
      subgoal for x y
        using jLen fin-string-upto-length[of j x] fin-string-upto-length[of j y]
        unfolding List.member-def ilength-def apply auto
      by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) Rep-fin-string-inverse fin-string-cons.rep-eq
le-trans
      list.inject not-less-eq-eq string-cons.simps)
    done
  qed
  apply(auto simp add: fin-string-cons-def)
  subgoal for c ca xa xb xc
    apply(cases MAX-STR ≤ length (Rep-fin-string xa))
    apply (metis fin-string-upto-length jLen ilength.rep-eq le-trans member-def
not-less-eq-eq)
    apply(cases MAX-STR ≤ length (Rep-fin-string xb))
    apply (metis fin-string-upto-length jLen ilength.rep-eq le-trans member-def
not-less-eq-eq)
    apply(cases MAX-STR ≤ length (Rep-fin-string xc))
    by(auto,metis Rep-fin-string-inverse fin-string-cons.rep-eq list.inject string-cons.simps)
  done
  qed

```

Finite strings are an enumeration type

```

instantiation fin-string :: enum begin
definition enum-fin-string
  where enum-fin-string-def[code]:enum-fin-string ≡ fin-string-enum
definition enum-all-fin-string
  where enum-all-fin-string[code]:enum-all-fin-string ≡ fin-string-enum-all
definition enum-ex-fin-string
  where enum-ex-fin-string[code]:enum-ex-fin-string ≡ fin-string-enum-ex
lemma enum-ALL:(UNIV::fin-string set) = set enum-class.enum
  apply(auto simp add:enum-fin-string-def fin-string-enum-def vals-def)
  by(metis fin-string-length List.member-def mem-Collect-eq Abs-fin-string-cases)

```

```

lemma vals-ALL:set (vals::fin-string list) = UNIV
  using enum-ALL vals-def Rep-fin-string fin-string-length ilength.rep-eq mem-
  ber-def
  by(metis (mono-tags) Rep-fin-string-inverse UNIV-eq-I mem-Collect-eq)

lemma setA:
  assumes set: $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } L \implies P y$ 
  shows list-all P L
  using set by (simp add: list.pred-set)

lemma setE:
  assumes set:  $y \in \text{set } L$ 
  assumes P:P y
  shows list-ex P L
  using set P list-ex-iff by auto

instance
  apply(standard)
  apply(rule enum-ALL)
  by (auto simp add: fin-string-enum-all-def list-all-iff vals-ALL setA setE enum-all-fin-string
  enum-ALL fin-string-enum-def vals-def enum-fin-string-def distinct-upto list-ex-iff

  enum-ex-fin-string fin-string-enum-ex-def)
end

instantiation fin-string :: equal begin
definition equal-fin-string :: fin-string  $\Rightarrow$  fin-string  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where [code]:equal-fin-string X Y = (X  $\leq$  Y  $\wedge$  Y  $\leq$  X)
instance
  apply(standard)
  by(auto simp add: equal-fin-string-def)
end
end

```

Interpreter.thy defines a simple programming language over interval-valued variables and executable semantics (interpreter) for that language. We then prove that the interpretation of interval terms is a sound over-approximation of a real-valued semantics of the same language.

Our language is a version of first order dynamic logic-style regular programs. We use a finite identifier space for compatibility with Differential-Dynamic-Logic, where identifier finiteness is required to treat program states as Banach spaces to enable differentiation.

```

theory Interpreter
imports
  Complex-Main
  Finite-String
  Interval-Word32

```


begin

5 Syntax

Our term language supports variables, polynomial arithmetic, and extrema. This choice was made based on the needs of the original paper and could be extended if necessary.

```
datatype trm =
  Var fin-string
| Const lit
| Plus trm trm
| Times trm trm
| Neg trm
| Max trm trm
| Min trm trm
| Abs trm
```

Our statement language is nondeterministic first-order regular programs. This coincides with the discrete subset of hybrid programs from the dL entry.

Our assertion language are the formulas of first-order dynamic logic

```
datatype prog =
  Assign fin-string trm      (infixr <:=> 10)
| AssignAny fin-string
| Test formula              (<?>)
| Choice prog prog          (infixl <∪∪> 10)
| Sequence prog prog        (infixr <;> 8)
| Loop prog                  (<-**>)
```

```
and formula =
  Geq trm trm
| Not formula                (<!>)
| And formula formula        (infixl <&&&> 8)
| Exists fin-string formula
| Diamond prog formula       (<⟨⟨ - ⟩ -⟩ 10)
```

Derived forms

definition *Or* :: formula ⇒ formula ⇒ formula (**infixl** <||> 7)
where or-simp[simp]:Or P Q = Not (And (Not P) (Not Q))

definition *Equals* :: trm ⇒ trm ⇒ formula
where equals-simp[simp]:Equals ϑ ϑ' = (And (Geq ϑ ϑ') (Geq ϑ' ϑ))

definition *Greater* :: trm ⇒ trm ⇒ formula
where greater-simp[simp]:Greater ϑ ϑ' = Not (Geq ϑ' ϑ)

definition *Leq* :: trm ⇒ trm ⇒ formula

where $leq\text{-simp}[simp]:Leq\ \vartheta\ \vartheta' = (Geq\ \vartheta'\ \vartheta)$

definition $Less :: trm \Rightarrow trm \Rightarrow formula$

where $less\text{-simp}[simp]:Less\ \vartheta\ \vartheta' = (Not\ (Geq\ \vartheta\ \vartheta'))$

6 Semantics

States over reals vs. word intervals which contain them

type-synonym $rstate = fin\text{-string} \Rightarrow real$

type-synonym $wstate = (fin\text{-string} + fin\text{-string}) \Rightarrow word$

definition $wstate::wstate \Rightarrow prop$

where $wstate\text{-def}[simp]:wstate\ \nu \equiv (\bigwedge i. word\ (\nu\ (Inl\ i)) \wedge word\ (\nu\ (Inr\ i)))$

Interpretation of a term in a state

inductive $rtsem :: trm \Rightarrow rstate \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool\ (\langle [-] \downarrow - \rangle\ 10)$

where

$rtsem\text{-Const}:Rep\text{-bword}\ w \equiv_E r \Longrightarrow ([Const\ w]\nu \downarrow r)$

| $rtsem\text{-Var}:([Var\ x]\nu \downarrow \nu\ x)$

| $rtsem\text{-Plus}:[[[\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1]; ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2)] \Longrightarrow ([Plus\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow (r1 + r2))$

| $rtsem\text{-Times}:[[[\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1]; ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2)] \Longrightarrow ([Times\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow (r1 * r2))$

| $rtsem\text{-Max}:[[[\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1]; ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2)] \Longrightarrow ([Max\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow (max\ r1\ r2))$

| $rtsem\text{-Min}:[[[\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1]; ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2)] \Longrightarrow ([Min\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow (min\ r1\ r2))$

| $rtsem\text{-Abs}:[[[\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1]] \Longrightarrow ([Abs\ \vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow (abs\ r1))$

| $rtsem\text{-Neg}:([\vartheta]\nu \downarrow r) \Longrightarrow ([Neg\ \vartheta]\nu \downarrow -r)$

inductive-simps

$rtsem\text{-Const-simps}[simp] : ([Const\ w]\nu \downarrow r)$

and $rtsem\text{-Var-simps}[simp] : ([Var\ x]\nu \downarrow r)$

and $rtsem\text{-PlusU-simps}[simp] : ([Plus\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r)$

and $rtsem\text{-TimesU-simps}[simp] : ([Times\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r)$

and $rtsem\text{-Max-simps}[simp] : ([Max\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r)$

and $rtsem\text{-Min-simps}[simp] : ([Min\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r)$

and $rtsem\text{-Abs-simps}[simp] : ([Abs\ \vartheta]\nu \downarrow r)$

and $rtsem\text{-Neg-simps}[simp] : ([Neg\ \vartheta]\nu \downarrow r)$

definition $set\text{-less} :: real\ set \Rightarrow real\ set \Rightarrow bool\ (\mathbf{infix}\ \langle <_S \rangle\ 10)$

where $set\text{-less}\ A\ B \equiv (\forall\ x\ y. x \in A \wedge y \in B \longrightarrow x < y)$

definition $set\text{-geq} :: real\ set \Rightarrow real\ set \Rightarrow bool\ (\mathbf{infix}\ \langle \geq_S \rangle\ 10)$

where $set\text{-geq}\ A\ B \equiv (\forall\ x\ y. x \in A \wedge y \in B \longrightarrow x \geq y)$

Interpretation of an assertion in a state

inductive $rfsem :: formula \Rightarrow rstate \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool\ (\langle [-] \downarrow - \rangle\ 20)$

where

$rGreaterT:[[[\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1]; ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2)] \Longrightarrow r1 > r2 \Longrightarrow ([Greater\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow True)$

$| rGreaterF: \llbracket ([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow r2 \geq r1 \Longrightarrow ([Greater \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow False)$
 $| rGeqT: \llbracket ([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow r1 \geq r2 \Longrightarrow ([Geq \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow True)$
 $| rGeqF: \llbracket ([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow r2 > r1 \Longrightarrow ([Geq \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow False)$
 $| rEqualsT: \llbracket ([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow r1 = r2 \Longrightarrow ([Equals \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow True)$
 $| rEqualsF: \llbracket ([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow r1 \neq r2 \Longrightarrow ([Equals \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow False)$
 $| rAndT: \llbracket ([\varphi]\nu \downarrow True); ([\psi]\nu \downarrow True) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ([And \varphi \psi]\nu \downarrow True)$
 $| rAndF1: ([\varphi]\nu \downarrow False) \Longrightarrow ([And \varphi \psi]\nu \downarrow False)$
 $| rAndF2: ([\psi]\nu \downarrow False) \Longrightarrow ([And \varphi \psi]\nu \downarrow False)$
 $| rOrT1: ([\varphi]\nu \downarrow True) \Longrightarrow ([Or \varphi \psi]\nu \downarrow True)$
 $| rOrT2: ([\psi]\nu \downarrow True) \Longrightarrow ([Or \varphi \psi]\nu \downarrow True)$
 $| rOrF: \llbracket ([\varphi]\nu \downarrow False); ([\psi]\nu \downarrow False) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ([And \varphi \psi]\nu \downarrow False)$
 $| rNotT: ([\varphi]\nu \downarrow False) \Longrightarrow ([Not \varphi]\nu \downarrow True)$
 $| rNotF: ([\varphi]\nu \downarrow True) \Longrightarrow ([Not \varphi]\nu \downarrow False)$

inductive-simps

$rfsem-Greater-simps[simp]: ([Greater \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow b)$
and $rfsem-Geq-simps[simp]: ([Geq \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow b)$
and $rfsem-Equals-simps[simp]: ([Equals \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow b)$
and $rfsem-And-simps[simp]: ([And \varphi \psi]\nu \downarrow b)$
and $rfsem-Or-simps[simp]: ([Or \varphi \psi]\nu \downarrow b)$
and $rfsem-Not-simps[simp]: ([Not \varphi]\nu \downarrow b)$

Interpretation of a program is a transition relation on states

inductive $rpsem :: prog \Rightarrow rstate \Rightarrow rstate \Rightarrow bool \langle ([-] \downarrow \rightarrow 20) \rangle$
where

$rTest[simp]: \llbracket ([\varphi]\nu \downarrow True); \nu = \omega \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ([? \varphi]\nu \downarrow \omega)$
 $| rSeq[simp]: \llbracket ([\alpha]\nu \downarrow \mu); ([\beta]\mu \downarrow \omega) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ([\alpha; \beta]\nu \downarrow \omega)$
 $| rAssign[simp]: \llbracket ([\vartheta]\nu \downarrow r); \omega = (\nu (x := r)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ([Assign x \vartheta]\nu \downarrow \omega)$
 $| rChoice1[simp]: ([\alpha]\nu \downarrow \omega) \Longrightarrow ([Choice \alpha \beta]\nu \downarrow \omega)$
 $| rChoice2[simp]: ([\beta]\nu \downarrow \omega) \Longrightarrow ([Choice \alpha \beta]\nu \downarrow \omega)$

inductive-simps

$rpsem-Test-simps[simp]: ([? \varphi]\nu \downarrow b)$
and $rpsem-Seq-simps[simp]: ([\alpha; \beta]\nu \downarrow b)$
and $rpsem-Assign-simps[simp]: ([Assign x \vartheta]\nu \downarrow b)$
and $rpsem-Choice-simps[simp]: ([Choice \alpha \beta]\nu \downarrow b)$

Upper bound of arbitrary term

fun $wtsemU :: trm \Rightarrow wstate \Rightarrow word * word \langle ([-] \langle \rangle \rightarrow) \rangle 20)$

where $([Const r] \langle \rangle \nu) = (Rep-bword r :: word, Rep-bword r)$

$| wVarU: ([Var x] \langle \rangle \nu) = (\nu (Inl x), \nu (Inr x))$

$| wPlusU: ([Plus \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \langle \rangle \nu) =$

$(let (l1, u1) = [\vartheta_1] \langle \rangle \nu in$

$let (l2, u2) = [\vartheta_2] \langle \rangle \nu in$

$(pl l1 l2, pu u1 u2))$

$| wTimesU: ([Times \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \langle \rangle \nu) =$

$(let (l1, u1) = [\vartheta_1] \langle \rangle \nu in$

$let (l2, u2) = [\vartheta_2] \langle \rangle \nu in$

$(tl\ l1\ u1\ l2\ u2, tu\ l1\ u1\ l2\ u2))$
 $| wMaxU:([(Max\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]\langle \nu \rangle) =$
 $(let\ (l1, u1) = [\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle\ in$
 $let\ (l2, u2) = [\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle\ in$
 $(wmax\ l1\ l2, wmax\ u1\ u2))$
 $| wMinU:([(Min\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]\langle \nu \rangle) =$
 $(let\ (l1, u1) = [\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle\ in$
 $let\ (l2, u2) = [\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle\ in$
 $(wmin\ l1\ l2, wmin\ u1\ u2))$
 $| wNegU:([(Neg\ \vartheta)]\langle \nu \rangle) =$
 $(let\ (l, u) = [\vartheta]\langle \nu \rangle\ in$
 $(wneg\ u, wneg\ l))$
 $| wAbsU:([(Abs\ \vartheta_1)]\langle \nu \rangle) =$
 $(let\ (l1, u1) = [\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle\ in$
 $(wmax\ l1\ (wneg\ u1), wmax\ u1\ (wneg\ l1)))$

inductive $wfsem :: formula \Rightarrow wstate \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool \langle ([[-]] - \downarrow -) \rangle 20$

where

$wGreaterT:wgreater\ (fst\ ([\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle))\ (snd\ ([\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle)) \Longrightarrow ([[(Greater\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]]\nu$
 $\downarrow\ True)$
 $| wGreaterF:wgeq\ (fst\ ([\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle))\ (snd\ ([\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle)) \Longrightarrow ([[(Greater\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]]\nu \downarrow$
 $False)$
 $| wGeqT:wgeq\ (fst\ ([\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle))\ (snd\ ([\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle)) \Longrightarrow ([[(Geq\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]]\nu \downarrow\ True)$
 $| wGeqF:wgreater\ (fst\ ([\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle))\ (snd\ ([\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle)) \Longrightarrow ([[(Geq\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]]\nu \downarrow\ False)$
 $| wEqualsT:[(fst\ ([\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle) = snd\ ([\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle)); (snd\ ([\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle) = snd\ ([\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle));$

$(snd\ ([\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle) = fst\ ([\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle)); (fst\ ([\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle) \neq NEG-INF);$
 $(fst\ ([\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle) \neq POS-INF)]$

$\Longrightarrow ([[Equals\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]]\nu \downarrow\ True)$

$| wEqualsF1:wgreater\ (fst\ ([\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle))\ (snd\ ([\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle)) \Longrightarrow ([[Equals\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]]\nu \downarrow$
 $False)$

$| wEqualsF2:wgreater\ (fst\ ([\vartheta_2]\langle \nu \rangle))\ (snd\ ([\vartheta_1]\langle \nu \rangle)) \Longrightarrow ([[Equals\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]]\nu \downarrow$
 $False)$

$| wAndT:[[[\varphi]]\nu \downarrow\ True; [[\psi]]\nu \downarrow\ True] \Longrightarrow ([[And\ \varphi\ \psi]]\nu \downarrow\ True)$

$| wAndF1:[[\varphi]]\nu \downarrow\ False \Longrightarrow ([[And\ \varphi\ \psi]]\nu \downarrow\ False)$

$| wAndF2:[[\psi]]\nu \downarrow\ False \Longrightarrow ([[And\ \varphi\ \psi]]\nu \downarrow\ False)$

$| wOrT1:[[[\varphi]]\nu \downarrow\ True] \Longrightarrow ([[Or\ \varphi\ \psi]]\nu \downarrow\ True)$

$| wOrT2:[[[\psi]]\nu \downarrow\ True] \Longrightarrow ([[Or\ \varphi\ \psi]]\nu \downarrow\ True)$

$| wOrF:[[[\varphi]]\nu \downarrow\ False; [[\psi]]\nu \downarrow\ False] \Longrightarrow ([[And\ \varphi\ \psi]]\nu \downarrow\ False)$

$| wNotT:[[[\varphi]]\nu \downarrow\ False] \Longrightarrow ([[Not\ \varphi]]\nu \downarrow\ True)$

$| wNotF:[[[\varphi]]\nu \downarrow\ True] \Longrightarrow ([[Not\ \varphi]]\nu \downarrow\ False)$

inductive-simps

$wfsem-Gr-simps[simp]: ([[Le\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]]\nu \downarrow\ b)$

and $wfsem-And-simps[simp]: ([[And\ \varphi\ \psi]]\nu \downarrow\ b)$

and $wfsem-Or-simps[simp]: ([[Or\ \varphi\ \psi]]\nu \downarrow\ b)$

and $wfsem-Not-simps[simp]: ([[Not\ \varphi]]\nu \downarrow\ b)$

and $wfsem-Equals-simps[simp]: ([[Equals\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]]\nu \downarrow\ b)$

Program semantics

inductive *wpsem* :: *prog* \Rightarrow *wstate* \Rightarrow *wstate* \Rightarrow *bool* (\langle [[[-]]- \downarrow - \rangle 20)
where
wTest:([[φ]] $\nu \downarrow$ *True*) $\Longrightarrow \nu = \omega \Longrightarrow$ ([[$?$ φ]] $\nu \downarrow \omega$)
| *wSeq*:([[α]] $\nu \downarrow \mu \Longrightarrow$ ([[β]] $\mu \downarrow \omega \Longrightarrow$ ([[α ;; β]] $\nu \downarrow \omega$)
| *wAssign*: $\omega = ((\nu ((\text{Inr } x) := \text{snd}([\vartheta] \langle \rangle \nu))) ((\text{Inl } x) := \text{fst}([\vartheta] \langle \rangle \nu))) \Longrightarrow$ ([[*Assign* $x \vartheta$]] $\nu \downarrow \omega$)
| *wChoice1* [*simp*]:([[α]] $\nu \downarrow \omega \Longrightarrow$ ([[*Choice* $\alpha \beta$]] $\nu \downarrow \omega$)
| *wChoice2* [*simp*]:([[β]] $\nu \downarrow \omega \Longrightarrow$ ([[*Choice* $\alpha \beta$]] $\nu \downarrow \omega$)

inductive-simps

wpsem-Test-simps [*simp*]: ([[*Test* φ]] $\nu \downarrow b$)
and *wpsem-Seq-simps* [*simp*]: ([[α ;; β]] $\nu \downarrow b$)
and *wpsem-Assign-simps* [*simp*]: ([[*Assign* $x \vartheta$]] $\nu \downarrow b$)
and *wpsem-Choice-simps* [*simp*]: ([[*Choice* $\alpha \beta$]] $\nu \downarrow b$)

lemmas *real-max-mono* = *Lattices.linorder-class.max.mono*

lemmas *real-minus-le-minus* = *Groups.ordered-ab-group-add-class.neg-le-iff-le*

Interval state consists of upper and lower bounds for each real variable

inductive *represents-state*::*wstate* \Rightarrow *rstate* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \langle *REP* \rangle 10)
where *REPI*:($\bigwedge x. (\nu (\text{Inl } x) \equiv_L \nu' x) \wedge (\nu (\text{Inr } x) \equiv_U \nu' x)) \Longrightarrow (\nu \text{ REP } \nu')$)

inductive-simps *repstate-simps*: $\nu \text{ REP } \nu'$

7 Soundness proofs

Interval term valuation soundly contains real valuation

lemma *trm-sound*:

fixes ϑ ::*trm*

shows ([[ϑ]] $\nu' \downarrow r \Longrightarrow (\nu \text{ REP } \nu') \Longrightarrow ([\vartheta] \langle \rangle \nu) \equiv_P r$

proof (*induction rule*: *rtsem.induct*)

case *rtsem-Const*

fix $w r \nu'$

show *Rep-bword* $w \equiv_E r \Longrightarrow \nu \text{ REP } \nu' \Longrightarrow [\text{Const } w] \langle \rangle \nu \equiv_P r$

using *repU-def repL-def repP-def repe.simps rep-simps repstate-simps*

by *auto*

next

case *rtsem-Var*

fix $x \nu'$

show $\nu \text{ REP } \nu' \Longrightarrow [\text{Var } x] \langle \rangle \nu \equiv_P \nu' x$

by(*auto simp add: repU-def repL-def repP-def repe.simps rep-simps repstate-simps*)

next

case *rtsem-Plus*

fix ϑ_1 :: *trm* **and** ν' :: *rstate* **and** $r1$ **and** ϑ_2 :: *trm* **and** $r2$

assume *rep*: $\nu \text{ REP } \nu'$

assume *eval1*: $[\vartheta_1] \nu' \downarrow r1$

assume $(\nu \text{ REP } \nu' \Longrightarrow [\vartheta_1] \langle \rangle \nu \equiv_P r1)$

then have *IH1*: $[\vartheta_1] \langle \rangle \nu \equiv_P r1$ **using** *rep* **by** *auto*

```

assume  $eval2:[\vartheta_2]\nu' \downarrow r2$ 
assume  $(\nu \text{ REP } \nu' \implies [\vartheta_2]\langle \rangle \nu \equiv_P r2)$ 
then have  $IH2:[\vartheta_2]\langle \rangle \nu \equiv_P r2$  using rep by auto
obtain  $l1\ u1\ l2\ u2$  where
   $lu1:(l1, u1) = ([\vartheta_1]\langle \rangle \nu)$ 
  and  $lu2:(l2, u2) = ([\vartheta_2]\langle \rangle \nu)$ 
  using  $IH1\ IH2\ repP\text{-def}$  by auto
from  $lu1$  and  $lu2$  have
   $lu1':([\vartheta_1]\langle \rangle \nu) = (l1, u1)$ 
  and  $lu2':([\vartheta_2]\langle \rangle \nu) = (l2, u2)$ 
  by auto
have  $l1:l1 \equiv_L r1$  using  $IH1\ lu1$  unfolding repP-def by auto
have  $u1:u1 \equiv_U r1$  using  $IH1\ lu1$  unfolding repP-def by auto
have  $l2:l2 \equiv_L r2$  using  $IH2\ lu2$  unfolding repP-def by auto
have  $u2:u2 \equiv_U r2$  using  $IH2\ lu2$  unfolding repP-def by auto
then have  $([(Plus\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]\langle \rangle \nu) = (pl\ l1\ l2, pu\ u1\ u2)$ 
  using  $lu1'\ lu2'$  by auto
have  $lBound:(pl\ l1\ l2 \equiv_L r1 + r2)$ 
  using  $l1\ l2\ pl\text{-lemma}$  by auto
have  $uBound:(pu\ u1\ u2 \equiv_U r1 + r2)$ 
  using  $pu\text{-lemma}[OF\ u1\ u2]$  by auto
have  $(pl\ l1\ l2, pu\ u1\ u2) \equiv_P (r1 + r2)$ 
  unfolding repP-def Let-def using  $lBound\ uBound$  by auto
then show $[Plus\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]\langle \rangle \nu \equiv_P r1 + r2$ 
  using  $lu1'\ lu2'$  by auto
next
case rtsem-Times
  fix  $\vartheta_1 :: trm$  and  $\nu' r1$  and  $\vartheta_2 :: trm$  and  $r2$ 
  assume  $eval1:[\vartheta_1]\nu' \downarrow r1$ 
  assume  $eval2:[\vartheta_2]\nu' \downarrow r2$ 
  assume  $rep:\nu \text{ REP } \nu'$ 
  assume  $(\nu \text{ REP } \nu' \implies ([\vartheta_1]\langle \rangle \nu \equiv_P r1))$ 
  then have  $IH1:[\vartheta_1]\langle \rangle \nu \equiv_P r1$  using rep by auto
  assume  $(\nu \text{ REP } \nu' \implies ([\vartheta_2]\langle \rangle \nu \equiv_P r2))$ 
  then have  $IH2:[\vartheta_2]\langle \rangle \nu \equiv_P r2$  using rep by auto
  obtain  $l1\ u1\ l2\ u2$  where
     $lu1:([\vartheta_1]\langle \rangle \nu) = (l1, u1)$ 
    and  $lu2:([\vartheta_2]\langle \rangle \nu) = (l2, u2)$ 
    using  $IH1\ IH2\ repP\text{-def}$  by auto
  have  $l1:l1 \equiv_L r1$  using  $IH1\ lu1$  unfolding repP-def by auto
  have  $u1:u1 \equiv_U r1$  using  $IH1\ lu1$  unfolding repP-def by auto
  have  $l2:l2 \equiv_L r2$  using  $IH2\ lu2$  unfolding repP-def by auto
  have  $u2:u2 \equiv_U r2$  using  $IH2\ lu2$  unfolding repP-def by auto
  then have  $([(Times\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]\langle \rangle \nu) = (tl\ l1\ u1\ l2\ u2, tu\ l1\ u1\ l2\ u2)$ 
    using  $lu1\ lu2$  unfolding wTimesU Let-def by auto
  have  $lBound:(tl\ l1\ u1\ l2\ u2 \equiv_L r1 * r2)$ 
    using  $l1\ u1\ l2\ u2\ tl\text{-lemma}$  by auto
  have  $uBound:(tu\ l1\ u1\ l2\ u2 \equiv_U r1 * r2)$ 
    using  $l1\ u1\ l2\ u2\ tu\text{-lemma}$  by auto

```

```

have (tl l1 u1 l2 u2, tu l1 u1 l2 u2)  $\equiv_P$  (r1 * r2)
  unfolding repP-def Let-def using lBound uBound by auto
then show [Times  $\vartheta_1$   $\vartheta_2$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_P$  r1 * r2
  using lu1 lu2 by auto
next
case rtsem-Max
  fix  $\vartheta_1 :: trm$  and  $\nu' r1$  and  $\vartheta_2 :: trm$  and  $r2$ 
  assume eval1:([ $\vartheta_1$ ] $\nu' \downarrow r1$ )
  assume eval2:([ $\vartheta_2$ ] $\nu' \downarrow r2$ )
  assume rep: $\nu$  REP  $\nu'$ 
  assume ( $\nu$  REP  $\nu' \implies$  [ $\vartheta_1$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_P$  r1)
  then have IH1:[ $\vartheta_1$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_P$  r1 using rep by auto
  assume ( $\nu$  REP  $\nu' \implies$  [ $\vartheta_2$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_P$  r2)
  then have IH2:[ $\vartheta_2$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_P$  r2 using rep by auto
  obtain l1 u1 l2 u2 where
    lu1:([ $\vartheta_1$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu = (l1, u1)$ )
    and lu2:([ $\vartheta_2$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu = (l2, u2)$ )
    using IH1 IH2 repP-def by auto
  from IH1 IH2
  obtain ub1 ub2 lb1 lb2:: real
  where urep1:(ub1  $\geq$  r1)  $\wedge$  (snd ([ $\vartheta_1$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_E$  ub1))
  and urep2:(ub2  $\geq$  r2)  $\wedge$  (snd ([ $\vartheta_2$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_E$  ub2))
  and lrep1:(lb1  $\leq$  r1)  $\wedge$  (fst ([ $\vartheta_1$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_E$  lb1))
  and lrep2:(lb2  $\leq$  r2)  $\wedge$  (fst ([ $\vartheta_2$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_E$  lb2))
    using repP-def repU-def repL-def by auto
  have lbound:wmax l1 l2  $\equiv_L$  max r1 r2
  by (metis dual-order.trans fst-conv le-cases lrep1 lrep2 lu1 lu2 max-def repL-def
wmax.elims)
  have ubound:wmax u1 u2  $\equiv_U$  max r1 r2
  by (metis real-max-mono lu1 lu2 repU-def snd-conv urep1 urep2 wmax-lemma)
  have ([trm.Max  $\vartheta_1$   $\vartheta_2$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu = (wmax l1 l2, wmax u1 u2)$ )
    using lu1 lu2 unfolding wMaxU Let-def
    by (simp)
  then show [trm.Max  $\vartheta_1$   $\vartheta_2$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_P$  max r1 r2
    unfolding repP-def
    using lbound ubound lu1 lu2 by auto
next
case rtsem-Min
  fix  $\vartheta_1 :: trm$  and  $\nu' r1$  and  $\vartheta_2 :: trm$  and  $r2$ 
  assume eval1:([ $\vartheta_1$ ] $\nu' \downarrow r1$ )
  assume eval2:([ $\vartheta_2$ ] $\nu' \downarrow r2$ )
  assume rep: $\nu$  REP  $\nu'$ 
  assume ( $\nu$  REP  $\nu' \implies$  [ $\vartheta_1$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_P$  r1)
  then have IH1:[ $\vartheta_1$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_P$  r1 using rep by auto
  assume ( $\nu$  REP  $\nu' \implies$  [ $\vartheta_2$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_P$  r2)
  then have IH2:[ $\vartheta_2$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu \equiv_P$  r2 using rep by auto
  obtain l1 u1 l2 u2 where
    lu1:([ $\vartheta_1$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu = (l1, u1)$ )
    and lu2:([ $\vartheta_2$ ] $\langle\rangle\nu = (l2, u2)$ )

```

```

    using IH1 IH2 repP-def by auto
  from IH1 IH2
  obtain ub1 ub2 lb1 lb2:: real
  where urep1:(ub1 ≥ r1) ∧ (snd ([ϑ1]⟨>ν) ≡E ub1)
  and   urep2:(ub2 ≥ r2) ∧ (snd ([ϑ2]⟨>ν) ≡E ub2)
  and   lrep1:(lb1 ≤ r1) ∧ (fst ([ϑ1]⟨>ν) ≡E lb1)
  and   lrep2:(lb2 ≤ r2) ∧ (fst ([ϑ2]⟨>ν) ≡E lb2)
    using prod.case-eq-if repP-def repU-def repL-def by auto
  have lbound:wmin l1 l2 ≡L min r1 r2
    by (metis fst-conv lrep1 lrep2 lu1 lu2 min.mono repL-def wmin-lemma)
  have ubound:wmin u1 u2 ≡U min r1 r2
    using lu1 lu2 min-le-iff-disj repU-def urep1 urep2 by auto
  have ([Min ϑ1 ϑ2]⟨>ν) = (wmin l1 l2, wmin u1 u2)
    using lu1 lu2 unfolding wMinU Let-def by auto
  then show [Min ϑ1 ϑ2]⟨>ν ≡P min r1 r2
    unfolding repP-def
    using lbound ubound lu1 lu2 by auto
next
case rtsem-Neg
fix ϑ :: trm and ν' r
assume eval:[ϑ]ν' ↓ r
assume rep:ν REP ν'
assume (ν REP ν' ⇒ [ϑ]⟨>ν ≡P r)
then have IH:[ϑ]⟨>ν ≡P r using rep by auto
obtain l1 u1 where
  lu:[ϑ]⟨>ν = (l1, u1)
  using IH repP-def by auto
from IH
obtain ub lb:: real
  where urep:(ub ≥ r) ∧ (snd ([ϑ]⟨>ν) ≡E ub)
  and   lrep:(lb ≤ r) ∧ (fst ([ϑ]⟨>ν) ≡E lb)
    using repP-def repU-def repL-def by auto
  have ubound:((wneg u1) ≡L (uminus r))
    by (metis real-minus-le-minus lu repL-def snd-conv urep wneg-lemma)
  have lbound:((wneg l1) ≡U (uminus r))
    using real-minus-le-minus lu repU-def lrep wneg-lemma
    by (metis fst-conv)
  show [Neg ϑ]⟨>ν ≡P - r
    unfolding repP-def Let-def using ubound lbound lu
    by (auto)
next
case rtsem-Abs
fix ϑ :: trm and ν' r
assume eval:[ϑ]ν' ↓ r
assume rep:ν REP ν'
assume (ν REP ν' ⇒ [ϑ]⟨>ν ≡P r)
then have IH:[ϑ]⟨>ν ≡P r using rep by auto
obtain l1 u1 where
  lu:[ϑ]⟨>ν = (l1, u1)

```



```

  using IH repP-def by auto
from IH
obtain ub lb:: real
  where urep:(ub ≥ r) ∧ (snd ([∅]<>ν) ≡E ub)
  and lrep:(lb ≤ r) ∧ (fst ([∅]<>ν) ≡E lb)
  using prod.case-eq-if repP-def repU-def repL-def by auto
have lbound:wmax l1 (wneg u1) ≡L (abs r)
  apply(simp only: repL-def)
  apply(rule exI[where x=max lb (- ub)])
  apply(rule conjI)
  using lrep wmax-lemma lu urep wneg-lemma by auto
have ubound:(wmax u1 (wneg l1) ≡U (abs r))
  apply(simp only: repU-def)
  apply(rule exI[where x=max ub (- lb)])
  using lrep wmax-lemma lu urep wneg-lemma by auto
show [Abs ∅]<>ν ≡P abs r
  using repP-def Let-def ubound lbound lu lu wAbsU by auto
qed

```

Every word represents some real

```

lemma word-rep: ∧ bw::bword. ∃ r::real. Rep-bword bw ≡E r
proof -
  fix bw
  obtain w where weq:w = Rep-bword bw by auto
  have negInfCase:w = NEG-INF ⇒ ?thesis bw
  apply(rule exI[where x=-((2 ^ 31) - 1)])
  using weq by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
  have posInfCase:w = POS-INF ⇒ ?thesis bw
  apply(rule exI[where x=((2 ^ 31) - 1)])
  using weq by (auto simp add: repe.simps)
  have boundU:w ≠ NEG-INF ⇒ w ≠ POS-INF ⇒ sint (Rep-bword bw) < sint
  POS-INF
  using Rep-bword [of bw]
  by (auto simp: less-le weq [symmetric] dest: sint-dist)
  have boundL:w ≠ NEG-INF ⇒ w ≠ POS-INF ⇒ sint NEG-INF < sint
  (Rep-bword bw)
  using Rep-bword [of bw]
  by (auto simp: less-le weq [symmetric] dest: sint-dist)
  have intCase:w ≠ NEG-INF ⇒ w ≠ POS-INF ⇒ ?thesis bw
  apply(rule exI[where x= (real-of-int (sint (Rep-bword bw)))]))
  apply(rule repINT)
  using boundU boundL by(auto)
  then show ?thesis bw
  apply(cases w = POS-INF)
  apply(cases w = NEG-INF)
  using posInfCase intCase negInfCase by auto
qed

```

Every term has a value

lemma *eval-tot*: $(\exists r. ([\vartheta::\text{trm}]\nu' \downarrow r))$
proof (*induction* ϑ)
qed (*auto simp add: Min-def word-rep bword-neg-one-def, blast?*)

Interval formula semantics soundly implies real semantics

lemma *fml-sound*:

fixes $\varphi::\text{formula}$ **and** $\nu::\text{wstate}$
shows $(\text{wfsem } \varphi \nu b) \implies (\nu \text{ REP } \nu') \implies (\text{rfsem } \varphi \nu' b)$
proof (*induction arbitrary: ν' rule: wfsem.induct*)
case (*wGreaterT t1 v t2 w*)
assume $\text{wle:wgreater } (\text{fst } ([t1]<>v)) (\text{snd } ([t2]<>v))$
assume $\text{rep:v REP } w$
obtain $r1$ **and** $r2$ **where** $\text{eval1:[t1]w} \downarrow r1$ **and** $\text{eval2:[t2]w} \downarrow r2$
using *eval-tot[of t1 w] eval-tot[of t2 w]* **by** (*auto*)
note $\text{rep1} = \text{trm-sound[of t1 w r1, where } \nu=v, \text{ OF eval1 rep]}$
note $\text{rep2} = \text{trm-sound[of t2 w r2, where } \nu=v, \text{ OF eval2 rep]}$
show $[\text{Greater } t1 \ t2]w \downarrow \text{True}$
apply(*rule rGreaterT[where ?r1.0 = r1, where ?r2.0 = r2]*)
prefer 3
apply(*rule wgreater-lemma[where ?w1.0=fst([t1]<>v), where ?w2.0=snd([t2]<>v)]*)
using *rep1 rep2 wle repP-def repL-def repU-def eval1 eval2*
by (*(simp add: prod.case-eq-if | blast)+*)
next
case (*wGreaterF t2 v t1 v'*)
assume $\text{wle:wgeq } (\text{fst } ([t2]<>v)) (\text{snd } ([t1]<>v))$
assume $\text{rep:v REP } v'$
obtain $r1$ $r2::\text{real}$
where $\text{eval1:(rtsem } t1 \ v' \ r1)$ **and**
 $\text{eval2:rtsem } t2 \ v' \ r2$
using *eval-tot[of t1 v'] eval-tot[of t2 v']* **by** (*auto*)
note $\text{rep1} = \text{trm-sound[of t1 v' r1, where } \nu=v, \text{ OF eval1 rep]}$
note $\text{rep2} = \text{trm-sound[of t2 v' r2, where } \nu=v, \text{ OF eval2 rep]}$
show $[\text{Greater } t1 \ t2]v' \downarrow \text{False}$
apply(*rule rGreaterF [of t1 v' r1 t2 r2]*)
apply(*rule eval1*)
apply(*rule eval2*)
apply(*rule wgeq-lemma[where ?w1.0=fst([t2]<>v), where ?w2.0=snd([t1]<>v)]*)
using *rep1 rep2 repP-def wgeq-lemma wl rep*
by *auto*
next
case (*wGeqT t1 v t2 v'*)
assume $\text{a1:wgeq } (\text{fst } ([t1]<>v)) (\text{snd } ([t2]<>v))$
assume $\text{rep:v REP } v'$
obtain $r1$ $r2::\text{real}$
where $\text{eval1:(rtsem } t1 \ v' \ r1)$ **and**
 $\text{eval2:rtsem } t2 \ v' \ r2$
using *eval-tot[of t1 v'] eval-tot[of t2 v']* **by** (*auto*)

```

note rep1 = trm-sound[of t1 v' r1, where  $\nu=v$ , OF eval1 rep]
note rep2 = trm-sound[of t2 v' r2, where  $\nu=v$ , OF eval2 rep]
show [Geq t1 t2]v'  $\downarrow$  True
  apply(rule rGeqT)
  apply(rule eval1)
  apply(rule eval2)
using wgeq-lemma eval1 eval2 rep1 rep2 unfolding repP-def Let-def
using wgreater-lemma prod.case-eq-if a1
by auto
next
case (wGeqF t2 v t1 v')
assume a1:wgreater (fst ([t2]<>v)) (snd ([t1]<>v))
assume rep:v REP v'
obtain r1 r2:: real
where eval1:(rtsem t1 v' r1) and
  eval2:rtsem t2 v' r2
  using eval-tot[of t1 v'] eval-tot[of t2 v'] by (auto)
note rep1 = trm-sound[of t1 v' r1, where  $\nu=v$ , OF eval1 rep]
note rep2 = trm-sound[of t2 v' r2, where  $\nu=v$ , OF eval2 rep]
show [Geq t1 t2]v'  $\downarrow$  False
  apply(rule rGeqF, rule eval1, rule eval2)
using wgeq-lemma eval1 eval2 rep1 rep2 unfolding repP-def Let-def
using wgreater-lemma rGreaterF prod.case-eq-if a1 rGreaterF by auto
next
case (wEqualsT t2 v t1 v')
assume eq1:fst ([t2]<>v) = snd ([t2]<>v)
assume eq2:snd ([t2]<>v) = snd ([t1]<>v)
assume eq3:snd ([t1]<>v) = fst ([t1]<>v)
assume rep:v REP v'
assume neq1:fst ([t2]<>v)  $\neq$  NEG-INF
assume neq2:fst ([t2]<>v)  $\neq$  POS-INF
obtain r1 r2:: real
where eval1:(rtsem t1 v' r1) and
  eval2:rtsem t2 v' r2
  using eval-tot[of t1 v'] eval-tot[of t2 v'] by (auto)
note rep1 = trm-sound[of t1 v' r1, where  $\nu=v$ , OF eval1 rep]
note rep2 = trm-sound[of t2 v' r2, where  $\nu=v$ , OF eval2 rep]
show [Equals t1 t2]v'  $\downarrow$  True
  apply(rule rEqualsT, rule eval1, rule eval2)
  using eq1 eq2 eq3 eval1 eval2 rep1 rep2
  unfolding repP-def Let-def repL-def repU-def repe.simps using neq1 neq2 by
auto
next
case (wEqualsF1 t1 v t2 v')
assume wle:wgreater (fst ([t1]<>v)) (snd ([t2]<>v))
assume rep:v REP v'
obtain r1 r2:: real
where eval1:(rtsem t1 v' r1) and
  eval2:rtsem t2 v' r2

```

```

    using eval-tot[of t1 v'] eval-tot[of t2 v'] by (auto)
    note rep1 = trm-sound[of t1 v' r1, where  $\nu=v$ , OF eval1 rep]
    note rep2 = trm-sound[of t2 v' r2, where  $\nu=v$ , OF eval2 rep]
    show [Equals t1 t2]v'  $\downarrow$  False
    apply(rule rEqualsF, rule eval1, rule eval2)
    using wgeq-lemma eval1 eval2 rep1 rep2 wgreater-lemma rGreaterF prod.case-eq-if
wle
    unfolding repP-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) less-irrefl)
next
case (wEqualsF2 t2 v t1 v')
assume wle:wgreater (fst ([t2]<>v)) (snd ([t1]<>v))
assume rep:v REP v'
obtain r1 r2:: real
where eval1:(rtsem t1 v' r1) and
      eval2:rtsem t2 v' r2
    using eval-tot[of t1 v'] eval-tot[of t2 v'] by (auto)
    note rep1 = trm-sound[of t1 v' r1, where  $\nu=v$ , OF eval1 rep]
    note rep2 = trm-sound[of t2 v' r2, where  $\nu=v$ , OF eval2 rep]
    show [Equals t1 t2]v'  $\downarrow$  False
    apply(rule rEqualsF, rule eval1, rule eval2)
    using wgeq-lemma eval1 eval2 rep1 rep2 wgreater-lemma rGreaterF prod.case-eq-if
wle
    unfolding repP-def
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) less-irrefl)
qed (auto)

lemma rep-upd: $\omega = (\nu(\text{Inr } x := \text{snd}([\vartheta]<>\nu)))(\text{Inl } x := \text{fst}([\vartheta]<>\nu))$ 
 $\implies \nu \text{ REP } \nu' \implies ([\vartheta::\text{trm}]\nu' \downarrow r) \implies \omega \text{ REP } \nu'(x := r)$ 
  apply(rule REPI)
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply(unfold repL-def)
  using trm-sound prod.case-eq-if repP-def repstate-simps repL-def
  apply(metis (no-types, lifting) Inl-Inr-False fun-upd-apply sum.inject(1))
  using repP-def repstate-simps repU-def
  apply(auto simp add: repU-def)
  by (metis (full-types) surjective-pairing trm-sound)

```

Interval program semantics soundly contains real semantics existentially

theorem *interval-program-sound*:

```

  fixes  $\alpha::\text{prog}$ 
  shows  $([[\alpha]] \nu \downarrow \omega) \implies \nu \text{ REP } \nu' \implies (\exists \omega'. (\omega \text{ REP } \omega') \wedge ([\alpha] \nu' \downarrow \omega'))$ 
proof (induction arbitrary:  $\nu'$  rule: wpsem.induct)
  case (wTest  $\varphi \nu \omega \nu'$ )
  assume sem: $[[\varphi]]\nu \downarrow \text{True}$ 
  and eq: $\nu = \omega$ 
  and rep: $\nu \text{ REP } \nu'$ 
  show ?case
    apply(rule exI[where  $x=\nu'$ ])

```

```

    using sem rep by (auto simp add: eq fml-sound rep)
next
case (wAssign  $\omega \nu x \vartheta \nu'$ )
assume eq: $\omega = \nu(\text{Inr } x := \text{snd } ([\vartheta] \langle \nu \rangle), \text{Inl } x := \text{fst } ([\vartheta] \langle \nu \rangle))$ 
and rep: $\nu \text{ REP } \nu'$ 
obtain  $r::\text{real}$  where eval: $([\vartheta::\text{trm}] \nu' \downarrow r)$  using eval-tot by auto
show ?case
  apply(rule exI[where  $x=\nu'(x := r)$ ])
  apply(rule conjI)
  apply(rule rep-upd[OF eq rep eval])
  apply auto
  apply(rule exI[where  $x=r$ ])
  by (auto simp add: eval)
next case (wSeq  $\alpha \nu \mu \beta \omega \nu'$ ) then show ?case by (simp, blast)
next case (wChoice1  $a v w b v'$ ) then show ?case by auto
next case (wChoice2  $a v w b v'$ ) then show ?case by auto
qed

end

```

References

- [1] R. Bohrer. Differential dynamic logic. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Feb. 2017. http://isa-afp.org/entries/Differential_Dynamic_Logic.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] R. Bohrer, Y. K. Tan, S. Mitsch, M. O. Myreen, and A. Platzer. Verify: verified controller executables from verified cyber-physical system models. In J. S. Foster and D. Grossman, editors, *Proceedings of the 39th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation, PLDI 2018, Philadelphia, PA, USA, June 18-22, 2018*, pages 617–630. ACM, 2018.