Slicing Guarantees Information Flow Noninterference

Daniel Wasserrab

March 17, 2025

Abstract

In this contribution, we show how correctness proofs for intra- [8] and interprocedural slicing [9] can be used to prove that slicing is able to guarantee information flow noninterference. Moreover, we also illustrate how to lift the control flow graphs of the respective frameworks such that they fulfil the additional assumptions needed in the noninterference proofs. A detailed description of the intraprocedural proof and its interplay with the slicing framework can be found in [10].

1 Introduction

Information Flow Control (IFC) encompasses algorithms which determines if a given program leaks secret information to public entities. The major group are so called IFC type systems, where well-typed means that the respective program is secure. Several IFC type systems have been verified in proof assistants, e.g. see [1, 2, 5, 3, 7].

However, type systems have some drawbacks which can lead to false alarms. To overcome this problem, an IFC approach basing on slicing has been developed [4], which can significantly reduce the amount of false alarms. This contribution presents the first machine-checked proof that slicing is able to guarantee IFC noninterference. It bases on previously published machine-checked correctness proofs for slicing [8, 9]. Details for the intraprocedural case can be found in [10].

2 HRB Slicing guarantees IFC Noninterference

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \bf theory & NonInterferenceInter\\ \bf imports & HRB-Slicing.FundamentalProperty\\ \bf begin\\ \end{tabular}$

2.1 Assumptions of this Approach

Classical IFC noninterference, a special case of a noninterference definition using partial equivalence relations (per) [6], partitions the variables (i.e. locations) into security levels. Usually, only levels for secret or high, written H, and public or low, written L, variables are used. Basically, a program that is noninterferent has to fulfil one basic property: executing the program in two different initial states that may differ in the values of their H-variables yields two final states that again only differ in the values of their H-variables; thus the values of the H-variables did not influence those of the L-variables.

Every per-based approach makes certain assumptions: (i) all H-variables are defined at the beginning of the program, (ii) all L-variables are observed (or used in our terms) at the end and (iii) every variable is either H or L. This security label is fixed for a variable and can not be altered during a program run. Thus, we have to extend the prerequisites of the slicing framework in [9] accordingly in a new locale:

```
locale NonInterferenceInterGraph =
  SDG sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry
    get-proc get-return-edges procs Main Exit Def Use ParamDefs ParamUses
  for sourcenode :: 'edge \Rightarrow 'node and targetnode :: 'edge \Rightarrow 'node
  and kind :: 'edge \Rightarrow ('var,'val,'ret,'pname) edge-kind
  and valid\text{-}edge :: 'edge \Rightarrow bool
  and Entry :: 'node (\langle '('-Entry'-')\rangle) and get\text{-}proc :: 'node \Rightarrow 'pname
  and get-return-edges :: 'edge \Rightarrow 'edge set
  and procs :: ('pname \times 'var \ list \times 'var \ list) \ list and Main :: 'pname
  and Exit::'node \ (\langle '('-Exit'-')\rangle)
  and Def :: 'node \Rightarrow 'var set  and Use :: 'node \Rightarrow 'var set
  and ParamDefs :: 'node \Rightarrow 'var \ list \ and \ ParamUses :: 'node \Rightarrow 'var \ set \ list +
  fixes H :: 'var \ set
  fixes L :: 'var \ set
  fixes High :: 'node (\langle '('-High'-')\rangle)
  fixes Low :: 'node (\langle '('-Low'-')\rangle)
  assumes Entry-edge-Exit-or-High:
  \llbracket valid\text{-}edge\ a;\ source node\ a=(\text{-}Entry\text{-})\rrbracket
    \implies targetnode \ a = (-Exit-) \lor targetnode \ a = (-High-)
  and High-target-Entry-edge:
  \exists a. \ valid\text{-}edge \ a \land sourcenode \ a = (-Entry-) \land targetnode \ a = (-High-) \land
       kind \ a = (\lambda s. \ True)_{1/2}
  and Entry-predecessor-of-High:
  \llbracket valid\text{-}edge\ a;\ targetnode\ a=(\text{-}High\text{-})\rrbracket \Longrightarrow sourcenode\ a=(\text{-}Entry\text{-})
  and Exit\text{-}edge\text{-}Entry\text{-}or\text{-}Low: [valid\text{-}edge\ a;\ targetnode\ a=(-Exit-)]
    \implies sourcenode a = (-Entry-) \lor sourcenode <math>a = (-Low-)
  and Low-source-Exit-edge:
  \exists a. \ valid\text{-}edge \ a \land sourcenode \ a = (\text{-}Low\text{-}) \land targetnode \ a = (\text{-}Exit\text{-}) \land
       kind \ a = (\lambda s. \ True) /
  and Exit-successor-of-Low:
  \llbracket valid\text{-}edge\ a;\ source node\ a=(-Low-)\rrbracket \Longrightarrow target node\ a=(-Exit-)
```

```
and DefHigh: Def(-High-) = H
  and UseHigh: Use (-High-) = H
  and UseLow: Use(-Low-) = L
  and HighLowDistinct: H \cap L = \{\}
  and HighLowUNIV: H \cup L = UNIV
begin
lemma Low-neq-Exit: assumes L \neq \{\} shows (-Low-) \neq (-Exit-)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma valid-node-High [simp]:valid-node (-High-)
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma valid-node-Low [simp]:valid-node (-Low-)
  \langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{get-proc-Low} \colon
  get	ext{-}proc (-Low-) = Main
\langle proof \rangle
lemma get-proc-High:
  get	ext{-}proc (-High-) = Main
\langle proof \rangle
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{Entry-path-High-path}\colon
  assumes (-Entry-) -as \rightarrow * n and inner-node n
  obtains a' as' where as = a' \# as' and (-High-) - as' \rightarrow * n
  and kind a' = (\lambda s. True)_{\checkmark}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma Exit-path-Low-path:
  assumes n - as \rightarrow * (-Exit-) and inner-node n
  obtains a' as' where as = as'@[a'] and n - as' \rightarrow * (-Low-)
  and kind a' = (\lambda s. True)_{\checkmark}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma not-Low-High: V \notin L \Longrightarrow V \in H
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma not-High-Low: V \notin H \Longrightarrow V \in L
  \langle proof \rangle
```

2.2 Low Equivalence

In classical noninterference, an external observer can only see public values, in our case the L-variables. If two states agree in the values of all L-variables, these states are indistinguishable for him. Low equivalence groups those states in an equivalence class using the relation \approx_L :

```
definition lowEquivalence :: ('var 
ightharpoonup 'val) \ list \Rightarrow ('var 
ightharpoonup 'val) \ list \Rightarrow bool (infixl \langle \approx_L \rangle \ 50) where s \approx_L s' \equiv \forall \ V \in L. hd \ s \ V = hd \ s' \ V
```

The following lemmas connect low equivalent states with relevant variables as necessary in the correctness proof for slicing.

```
lemma relevant-vars-Entry: assumes V \in rv\ S\ (CFG\text{-}node\ (\text{-}Entry\text{-})) and (\text{-}High\text{-}) \notin \lfloor HRB\text{-}slice\ S \rfloor_{CFG} shows V \in L \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma lowEquivalence-relevant-nodes-Entry:

assumes s \approx_L s' and (-High-) \notin \lfloor HRB\text{-}slice \ S \rfloor_{CFG}

shows \forall \ V \in rv \ S \ (CFG\text{-}node \ (-Entry-)). \ hd \ s \ V = hd \ s' \ V 

\langle proof \rangle
```

2.3 The Correctness Proofs

In the following, we present two correctness proofs that slicing guarantees IFC noninterference. In both theorems, CFG-node $(-High\text{--}) \notin HRB\text{--slice }S$, where CFG-node $(-Low\text{--}) \in S$, makes sure that no high variable (which are all defined in (-High--)) can influence a low variable (which are all used in (-Low--)).

First, a theorem regarding (-Entry-) $-as \rightarrow *$ (-Exit-) paths in the control flow graph (CFG), which agree to a complete program execution:

```
lemma slpa-rv-Low-Use-Low:
assumes CFG-node\ (-Low-) \in S
shows [same-level-path-aux\ cs\ as;\ upd-cs\ cs\ as=[];\ same-level-path-aux\ cs\ as';
\forall\ c\in set\ cs.\ valid-edge\ c;\ m-as\to *\ (-Low-);\ m-as'\to *\ (-Low-);
\forall\ i< length\ cs.\ \forall\ V\in rv\ S\ (CFG-node\ (sourcenode\ (cs!i))).
fst\ (s!Suc\ i)\ V=fst\ (s'!Suc\ i)\ V;\ \forall\ i< Suc\ (length\ cs).\ snd\ (s!i)=snd\ (s'!i);
\forall\ V\in rv\ S\ (CFG-node\ m).\ state-val\ s\ V=state-val\ s'\ V;
preds\ (slice-kinds\ S\ as)\ s;\ preds\ (slice-kinds\ S\ as')\ s';
length\ s=Suc\ (length\ cs);\ length\ s'=Suc\ (length\ cs)]
\Longrightarrow\ \forall\ V\in Use\ (-Low-).\ state-val\ (transfers(slice-kinds\ S\ as')\ s')\ V
\langle proof\ \rangle
```

```
lemma rv-Low-Use-Low:
 assumes m - as \rightarrow_{\sqrt{*}} (-Low-) and m - as' \rightarrow_{\sqrt{*}} (-Low-) and get\text{-}proc\ m = Main
  and \forall V \in rv \ S \ (\dot{C}FG\text{-}node \ m). \ cf \ V = cf' \ \dot{V}
  and preds (slice-kinds S as) [(cf,undefined)]
  and preds (slice-kinds S as') [(cf',undefined)]
  and CFG-node (-Low-) \in S
  shows \forall V \in Use (-Low-).
   state-val\ (transfers(slice-kinds\ S\ as)\ [(cf,undefined)])\ V=
    state-val\ (transfers(slice-kinds\ S\ as')\ [(cf',undefined)])\ V
\langle proof \rangle
{f lemma}\ nonInterference	ext{-}path-to	ext{-}Low:
  assumes [cf] \approx_L [cf'] and (-High-) \notin |HRB-slice S|_{CFG}
  and CFG-node (-Low-) \in S
  and (-Entry-) -as \rightarrow \checkmark * (-Low-) and preds (kinds \ as) [(cf,undefined)]
 and (-Entry-) -as' \rightarrow \checkmark * (-Low-) and preds (kinds\ as') [(cf',undefined)]
 shows map fst (transfers (kinds as) [(cf,undefined)]) \approx_L
         map fst (transfers (kinds as') [(cf',undefined)])
\langle proof \rangle
theorem nonInterference-path:
  assumes [cf] \approx_L [cf'] and (-High-) \notin |HRB-slice S|_{CFG}
  and CFG-node (-Low-) \in S
  and (-Entry-) -as \rightarrow \sqrt{*} (-Exit-) and preds (kinds\ as) [(cf,undefined)]
  and (-Entry-) -as' \rightarrow \checkmark * (-Exit-) and preds (kinds\ as') [(cf',undefined)]
  shows map fst (transfers (kinds as) [(cf,undefined)]) \approx_L
  map \ fst \ (transfers \ (kinds \ as') \ [(cf',undefined)])
\langle proof \rangle
end
    The second theorem assumes that we have a operational semantics,
whose evaluations are written \langle c,s\rangle \Rightarrow \langle c',s'\rangle and which conforms to the
CFG. The correctness theorem then states that if no high variable influ-
```

enced a low variable and the initial states were low equivalent, the reulting states are again low equivalent:

```
locale NonInterferenceInter =
  NonInterferenceInterGraph sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry
   get-proc get-return-edges procs Main Exit Def Use ParamDefs ParamUses
   H L High Low +
  SemanticsProperty sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc
   get-return-edges procs Main Exit Def Use ParamDefs ParamUses sem identifies
  for sourcenode :: 'edge \Rightarrow 'node and targetnode :: 'edge \Rightarrow 'node
  and kind :: 'edge \Rightarrow ('var,'val,'ret,'pname) edge-kind
 and valid\text{-}edge :: 'edge \Rightarrow bool
```

```
and Entry :: 'node (\langle '('-Entry'-')\rangle) and get\text{-}proc :: 'node \Rightarrow 'pname
  and get-return-edges :: 'edge \Rightarrow 'edge set
  and procs :: ('pname \times 'var \ list \times 'var \ list) \ list and Main :: 'pname
  and Exit::'node \ (\langle '('-Exit'-')\rangle)
  and Def :: 'node \Rightarrow 'var \ set \ and \ Use :: 'node \Rightarrow 'var \ set
  and ParamDefs :: 'node \Rightarrow 'var \ list \ and \ ParamUses :: 'node \Rightarrow 'var \ set \ list
  and sem :: 'com \Rightarrow ('var \rightharpoonup 'val) \ list \Rightarrow 'com \Rightarrow ('var \rightharpoonup 'val) \ list \Rightarrow bool
     (\langle ((1\langle -,/-\rangle) \Rightarrow / (1\langle -,/-\rangle)) \rangle [0,0,0,0] 81)
  and identifies :: 'node \Rightarrow 'com \Rightarrow bool (\langle - \triangleq - \rangle [51,0] 80)
  and H :: 'var \ set \ and \ L :: 'var \ set
  and High :: 'node (\langle '('-High'-')\rangle) and Low :: 'node (\langle '('-Low'-')\rangle) +
  fixes final :: 'com \Rightarrow bool
  assumes final-edge-Low: [final c; n \triangleq c]
    \implies \exists a. \ valid-edge \ a \land sourcenode \ a = n \land targetnode \ a = (-Low-) \land kind \ a =
\uparrow id
begin
```

The following theorem needs the explicit edge from (-High-) to n. An approach using a *init* predicate for initial statements, being reachable from (-High-) via a $(\lambda s. \ True)_{\checkmark}$ edge, does not work as the same statement could be identified by several nodes, some initial, some not. E.g., in the program while (True) Skip;;Skip two nodes identify this initial statement: the initial node and the node within the loop (because of loop unrolling).

```
theorem nonInterference:
```

```
assumes [cf_1] \approx_L [cf_2] and (-High-) \notin \lfloor HRB\text{-}slice\ S \rfloor_{CFG} and CFG\text{-}node\ (-Low-) \in S and valid\text{-}edge\ a and sourcenode\ a = (-High-) and targetnode\ a = n and kind\ a = (\lambda s.\ True)_{\checkmark} and n \triangleq c and final\ c' and \langle c, [cf_1] \rangle \Rightarrow \langle c', s_1 \rangle and \langle c, [cf_2] \rangle \Rightarrow \langle c', s_2 \rangle shows s_1 \approx_L s_2 \langle proof \rangle
```

end

end

3 Framework Graph Lifting for Noninterference

```
theory LiftingInter
imports NonInterferenceInter
begin
```

In this section, we show how a valid CFG from the slicing framework in [8] can be lifted to fulfil all properties of the NonInterferenceIntraGraph locale. Basically, we redefine the hitherto existing Entry and Exit nodes as new High and Low nodes, and introduce two new nodes NewEntry and NewExit. Then, we have to lift all functions to operate on this new graph.

3.1 Liftings

3.1.1 The datatypes

```
\mathbf{datatype} \ 'node \ LDCFG\text{-}node = Node \ 'node
    NewEntry
  | NewExit
type-synonym ('edge,'node,'var,'val,'ret,'pname) LDCFG-edge =
  'node\ LDCFG-node\ 	imes\ (('var,'val,'ret,'pname)\ edge-kind)\ 	imes\ 'node\ LDCFG-node
          Lifting basic definitions using 'edge and 'node
inductive lift-valid-edge :: ('edge \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('edge \Rightarrow 'node) \Rightarrow ('edge \Rightarrow 'node)
  ('edge \Rightarrow ('var, 'val, 'ret, 'pname) \ edge-kind) \Rightarrow 'node \Rightarrow 'node \Rightarrow
  ('edge,'node,'var,'val,'ret,'pname) \ LDCFG-edge \Rightarrow
for valid\text{-}edge::'edge \Rightarrow bool \text{ and } src::'edge \Rightarrow 'node \text{ and } trg::'edge \Rightarrow 'node
  and knd:'edge \Rightarrow ('var,'val,'ret,'pname) edge-kind and E::'node and X::'node
where lve-edge:
  [valid-edge a; src\ a \neq E \lor trg\ a \neq X;
    e = (Node (src \ a), knd \ a, Node (trg \ a))
  \implies lift-valid-edge valid-edge src trg knd E X e
  | lve\text{-}Entry\text{-}edge:
  e = (NewEntry, (\lambda s. True), Node E)
  \implies lift-valid-edge valid-edge src trg knd E X e
  | lve\text{-}Exit\text{-}edge:
  e = (Node\ X, (\lambda s.\ True), NewExit)
  \implies lift-valid-edge valid-edge src trg knd E X e
 | lve\text{-}Entry\text{-}Exit\text{-}edge:
  e = (NewEntry, (\lambda s. False), NewExit)
  \implies lift-valid-edge valid-edge src trg knd E X e
lemma [simp]:\neg lift-valid-edge valid-edge src trg knd E X (Node E, et, Node X)
\langle proof \rangle
\textbf{fun } \textit{lift-get-proc} :: ('node \Rightarrow 'pname) \Rightarrow 'pname \Rightarrow 'node \ \textit{LDCFG-node} \Rightarrow 'pname
  where lift-get-proc get-proc Main (Node n) = get-proc n
  | lift-qet-proc qet-proc Main NewEntry = Main
  | lift-get-proc get-proc Main NewExit = Main
```

```
inductive-set lift-get-return-edges :: ('edge \Rightarrow 'edge \ set) \Rightarrow ('edge \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
 ('edge \Rightarrow 'node) \Rightarrow ('edge \Rightarrow 'node) \Rightarrow ('edge \Rightarrow ('var,'val,'ret,'pname) edge-kind)
  \Rightarrow ('edge,'node,'var,'val,'ret,'pname) LDCFG-edge
  \Rightarrow ('edge,'node,'var,'val,'ret,'pname) LDCFG-edge set
for get-return-edges :: 'edge \Rightarrow 'edge \ set \ {\bf and} \ valid-edge :: 'edge \Rightarrow bool
  and src::'edge \Rightarrow 'node and trg::'edge \Rightarrow 'node
  and knd:'edge \Rightarrow ('var,'val,'ret,'pname) edge-kind
  and e::('edge,'node,'var,'val,'ret,'pname) LDCFG-edge
where lift-get-return-edgesI:
  \llbracket e = (Node \ (src \ a), knd \ a, Node \ (trg \ a)); \ valid-edge \ a; \ a' \in get-return-edges \ a;
  e' = (Node (src a'), knd a', Node (trg a'))
  \implies e' \in lift\text{-}get\text{-}return\text{-}edges get\text{-}return\text{-}edges valid\text{-}edge src trg knd } e
3.1.3
           Lifting the Def and Use sets
inductive-set lift-Def-set :: ('node \Rightarrow 'var \ set) \Rightarrow 'node \Rightarrow 'node \Rightarrow
                          'var\ set \Rightarrow 'var\ set \Rightarrow ('node\ LDCFG-node\ \times\ 'var)\ set
for Def::('node \Rightarrow 'var\ set) and E::'node and X::'node
  and H::'var set and L::'var set
where lift-Def-node:
  V \in Def \ n \Longrightarrow (Node \ n, V) \in lift\text{-}Def\text{-}set \ Def \ E \ X \ H \ L
  | lift-Def-High:
  V \in H \Longrightarrow (Node\ E, V) \in lift\text{-}Def\text{-}set\ Def\ E\ X\ H\ L
abbreviation lift-Def :: ('node \Rightarrow 'var\ set) \Rightarrow 'node \Rightarrow 'node \Rightarrow
                           'var\ set \Rightarrow 'var\ set \Rightarrow 'node\ LDCFG-node \Rightarrow 'var\ set
  where lift-Def Def E X H L n \equiv \{V. (n, V) \in lift-Def\text{-set Def } E X H L\}
inductive-set lift-Use-set :: ('node \Rightarrow 'var \ set) \Rightarrow 'node \Rightarrow 'node \Rightarrow
                           'var\ set \Rightarrow 'var\ set \Rightarrow ('node\ LDCFG-node \times 'var)\ set
for Use::'node \Rightarrow 'var\ set\ and\ E::'node\ and\ X::'node
  and H::'var set and L::'var set
where
  lift-Use-node:
  V \in \mathit{Use} \ n \Longrightarrow (\mathit{Node} \ n, V) \in \mathit{lift-Use-set} \ \mathit{Use} \ \mathit{E} \ \mathit{X} \ \mathit{H} \ \mathit{L}
  | lift-Use-High:
  V \in H \Longrightarrow (Node\ E, V) \in lift\text{-}Use\text{-}set\ Use\ E\ X\ H\ L
  | lift-Use-Low:
  V \in L \Longrightarrow (Node\ X, V) \in lift\text{-}Use\text{-}set\ Use\ E\ X\ H\ L
```

```
abbreviation lift-Use :: ('node \Rightarrow 'var\ set) \Rightarrow 'node \Rightarrow 'node \Rightarrow
                     \textit{'var set} \Rightarrow \textit{'var set} \Rightarrow \textit{'node LDCFG-node} \Rightarrow \textit{'var set}
 where lift-Use Use E X H L n \equiv \{V. (n, V) \in lift-Use\text{-set Use } E X H L\}
fun lift-ParamUses :: ('node \Rightarrow 'var set list) \Rightarrow 'node LDCFG-node \Rightarrow 'var set list
  where lift-ParamUses ParamUses (Node n) = ParamUses n
   lift-Param Uses Param Uses NewEntry = []
  | lift-ParamUses \ ParamUses \ NewExit = []
fun lift-ParamDefs :: ('node <math>\Rightarrow 'var \ list) \Rightarrow 'node \ LDCFG-node <math>\Rightarrow 'var \ list
  where lift-ParamDefs ParamDefs (Node n) = ParamDefs n
  | lift-ParamDefs ParamDefs NewEntry = []
  | lift-ParamDefs ParamDefs NewExit = []
3.2
        The lifting lemmas
3.2.1
         Lifting the CFG locales
abbreviation src :: ('edge,'node,'var,'val,'ret,'pname) LDCFG-edge <math>\Rightarrow 'node LD-
CFG-node
 where src \ a \equiv fst \ a
abbreviation trg :: ('edge,'node,'var,'val,'ret,'pname) LDCFG-edge <math>\Rightarrow 'node LD-
CFG-node
 where trg \ a \equiv snd(snd \ a)
abbreviation knd :: ('edge,'node,'var,'val,'ret,'pname) LDCFG-edge <math>\Rightarrow
  ('var,'val,'ret,'pname) edge-kind
  where knd \ a \equiv fst(snd \ a)
lemma lift-CFG:
 assumes wf:CFGExit-wf sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc
  get-return-edges procs Main Exit Def Use ParamDefs ParamUses
 and pd:Postdomination sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc
  get-return-edges procs Main Exit
  shows CFG src trg knd
  (lift-valid-edge valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind Entry Exit) NewEntry
  (lift-get-proc get-proc Main)
  (lift-get-return-edges get-return-edges valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind)
  procs Main
\langle proof \rangle
lemma lift-CFG-wf:
 assumes wf:CFGExit-wf sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc
  get-return-edges procs Main Exit Def Use ParamDefs ParamUses
```

and pd:Postdomination sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc

```
get-return-edges procs Main Exit
shows CFG-wf src trg knd
(lift-valid-edge valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind Entry Exit) NewEntry
(lift-get-proc get-proc Main)
(lift-get-return-edges get-return-edges valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind)
procs Main (lift-Def Def Entry Exit H L) (lift-Use Use Entry Exit H L)
(lift-ParamDefs ParamDefs) (lift-ParamUses ParamUses)
(proof)
```

lemma lift-CFGExit:

assumes wf:CFGExit-wf sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc get-return-edges procs Main Exit Def Use ParamDefs ParamUses and pd:Postdomination sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc get-return-edges procs Main Exit shows CFGExit src trg knd (lift-valid-edge valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind Entry Exit) NewEntry (lift-get-proc get-proc Main) (lift-get-return-edges get-return-edges valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind) procs Main NewExit (proof)

lemma *lift-CFGExit-wf*:

assumes wf:CFGExit-wf sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc get-return-edges procs Main Exit Def Use ParamDefs ParamUses and pd:Postdomination sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc get-return-edges procs Main Exit shows CFGExit-wf src trg knd (lift-valid-edge valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind Entry Exit) NewEntry (lift-get-proc get-proc Main) (lift-get-return-edges get-return-edges valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind) procs Main NewExit (lift-Def Def Entry Exit H L) (lift-Use Use Entry Exit H L) (lift-ParamDefs ParamDefs) (lift-ParamUses ParamUses)

3.2.2 Lifting the SDG

lemma *lift-Postdomination*:

assumes wf:CFGExit-wf sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc
get-return-edges procs Main Exit Def Use ParamDefs ParamUses
and pd:Postdomination sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc
get-return-edges procs Main Exit
and inner:CFGExit.inner-node sourcenode targetnode valid-edge Entry Exit nx
shows Postdomination src trg knd
(lift-valid-edge valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind Entry Exit) NewEntry
(lift-get-proc get-proc Main)
(lift-get-return-edges get-return-edges valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind)
procs Main NewExit

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma lift-SDG:
assumes SDG:SDG sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc
get-return-edges procs Main Exit Def Use ParamDefs ParamUses
and inner:CFGExit.inner-node sourcenode targetnode valid-edge Entry Exit nx
shows SDG src trg knd
(lift-valid-edge valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind Entry Exit) NewEntry
```

(lift-valid-edge valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind Entry Exit) NewEntry (lift-get-proc get-proc Main)

(lift-get-return-edges get-return-edges valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind)
procs Main NewExit (lift-Def Def Entry Exit H L) (lift-Use Use Entry Exit H L)
(lift-ParamDefs ParamDefs) (lift-ParamUses ParamUses)
(proof)

3.2.3 Low-deterministic security via the lifted graph

lemma *Lift-NonInterferenceGraph*:

```
fixes valid-edge and sourcenode and targetnode and kind and Entry and Exit
 and get-proc and get-return-edges and procs and Main
 and Def and Use and ParamDefs and ParamUses and H and L
 defines lve:lve \equiv lift\text{-}valid\text{-}edge \ valid\text{-}edge \ source node \ target node \ kind \ Entry \ Exit
 and lget-proc:lget-proc \equiv lift-get-proc get-proc Main
 and lget-return-edges:lget-return-edges \equiv
 lift-get-return-edges get-return-edges valid-edge sourcenode targetnode kind
 and lDef:lDef \equiv lift-Def Def Entry Exit H L
 and lUse: lUse \equiv lift-Use \ Use \ Entry \ Exit \ H \ L
 and lParamDefs: lParamDefs \equiv lift-ParamDefs ParamDefs
 and lParamUses: lParamUses \equiv lift-ParamUses ParamUses
 assumes SDG:SDG sourcenode targetnode kind valid-edge Entry get-proc
 get-return-edges procs Main Exit Def Use ParamDefs ParamUses
 and inner:CFGExit.inner-node sourcenode targetnode valid-edge Entry Exit nx
 and H \cap L = \{\} and H \cup L = UNIV
 shows NonInterferenceInterGraph src trg knd lve NewEntry lget-proc
 lget-return-edges procs Main NewExit lDef lUse lParamDefs lParamUses H L
 (Node Entry) (Node Exit)
\langle proof \rangle
```

end

References

- [1] G. Barthe and L. P. Nieto. Secure information flow for a concurrent language with scheduling. *Journal of Computer Security*, 15(6):647–689, 2007.
- [2] G. Barthe, D. Pichardie, and T. Rezk. A certified lightweight non-interference Java bytecode verifier. In ESOP 2007, volume 4421 of

- *LNCS*, pages 125–140. Springer, 2007.
- [3] L. Beringer and M. Hofmann. Secure information flow and program logics. In *Archive of Formal Proofs*. http://isa-afp.org/entries/SIFPL. shtml, November 2008. Formal proof development.
- [4] C. Hammer and G. Snelting. Flow-sensitive, context-sensitive, and object-sensitive information flow control based on program dependence graphs. *International Journal of Information Security*, 8(6):399–422, 2009.
- [5] F. Kammüller. Formalizing non-interference for a simple bytecode language in Coq. Formal Aspects of Computing, 20(3):259–275, 2008.
- [6] A. Sabelfeld and D. Sands. A per model of secure information flow in sequential programs. Higher Order Symbolic Computation, 14(1):59–91, 2001.
- [7] G. Snelting and D. Wasserrab. A correctness proof for the Volpano/Smith security typing system. In G. Klein, T. Nipkow, and L. Paulson, editors, *Archive of Formal Proofs*. http://isa-afp.org/entries/VolpanoSmith.shtml, September 2008. Formal proof development.
- [8] D. Wasserrab. Towards certified slicing. In G. Klein, T. Nipkow, and L. Paulson, editors, *Archive of Formal Proofs*. http://isa-afp.org/entries/Slicing.shtml, September 2008. Formal proof development.
- [9] D. Wasserrab. Backing up slicing: Verifying the interprocedural two-phase Horwitz-Reps-Binkley slicer. In *Archive of Formal Proofs*. http://isa-afp.org/entries/HRB-Slicing.shtml, September 2009. Formal proof development.
- [10] D. Wasserrab, D. Lohner, and G. Snelting. On PDG-based noninterference and its modular proof. In *Proc. of PLAS '09*, pages 31–44. ACM, June 2009.