

The Independence of the Continuum Hypothesis in Isabelle/ZF

Emmanuel Gunther* Miguel Pagano* Pedro Sánchez Terraf*[†]
Matías Steinberg*

March 7, 2022

Abstract

We redeveloped our formalization of forcing in the set theory framework of Isabelle/ZF. Under the assumption of the existence of a countable transitive model of ZFC , we construct proper generic extensions that satisfy the Continuum Hypothesis and its negation.

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Forcing notions	4
2.1	Basic concepts	5
2.2	Towards Rasiowa-Sikorski Lemma (RSL)	9
3	Cohen forcing notions	10
3.1	Combinatorial results on Cohen posets	10
3.2	The well-founded relation <i>ed</i>	14
4	Well-founded relation on names	16
5	Concepts involved in instances of Replacement	25
5.1	Names for forcing the Axiom of Choice.	27
5.2	Formulas used to prove some generic instances.	27
5.3	The relation <i>frecrel</i>	28
5.4	Definition of Forces	29
5.4.1	Definition of <i>forces</i> for equality and membership	29

*Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía, Física y Computación.

[†]Centro de Investigación y Estudios de Matemática (CIEM-FaMAF), Conicet. Córdoba. Argentina. Supported by Secyt-UNC project 33620180100465CB.

5.4.2	The well-founded relation <i>forcere</i>	30
5.5	<i>frc_at</i> , forcing for atomic formulas	31
5.6	Forcing for general formulas	32
5.6.1	The primitive recursion	33
5.7	The arity of <i>forces</i>	34
6	Interface between set models and Constructibility	38
6.1	Interface with <i>M_trivial</i>	40
6.2	Interface with <i>M_basic</i>	41
6.3	Interface with <i>M_trancl</i>	45
6.4	Interface with <i>M_eclose</i>	46
6.5	Interface for proving Collects and Replace in M.	48
7	Transitive set models of ZF	52
7.1	A forcing locale and generic filters	52
8	The definition of <i>forces</i>	53
8.1	The relation <i>frecrel</i>	54
8.2	Recursive expression of <i>frc_at</i>	58
8.3	Absoluteness of <i>frc_at</i>	59
8.4	Forcing for general formulas	60
8.5	Forcing for atomic formulas in context	60
9	Names and generic extensions	62
9.1	Values and check-names	62
10	The Forcing Theorems	67
10.1	The forcing relation in context	67
10.2	Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)	68
10.3	Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)	68
10.4	Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(b)	68
10.5	Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.38	68
10.6	The relation of forcing and atomic formulas	69
10.7	The relation of forcing and connectives	69
10.8	Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.29	70
10.9	Auxiliary results for Lemma IV.2.40(a)	70
10.10	Induction on names	71
10.11	Lemma IV.2.40(a), in full	72
10.12	Lemma IV.2.40(b)	72
10.13	The Strengthening Lemma	74
10.14	The Density Lemma	74
10.15	The Truth Lemma	74
10.16	The “Definition of forcing”	76
11	Ordinals in generic extensions	76

12	Auxiliary renamings for Separation	77
13	The Axiom of Separation in $M[G]$	80
14	The Axiom of Pairing in $M[G]$	80
15	The Axiom of Unions in $M[G]$	81
16	The Powerset Axiom in $M[G]$	82
17	The Axiom of Extensionality in $M[G]$	83
18	The Axiom of Foundation in $M[G]$	83
19	The Axiom of Replacement in $M[G]$	84
20	The Axiom of Infinity in $M[G]$	85
21	The Axiom of Choice in $M[G]$	86
21.1	$M[G]$ is a transitive model of ZF	87
22	The ZFC axioms, internalized	88
22.1	The Axiom of Separation, internalized	89
22.2	The Axiom of Replacement, internalized	90
22.3	More Instances of Separation	95
23	More Instances of Replacement	98
24	Separative notions and proper extensions	117
25	A poset of successions	118
25.1	Cohen extension is proper	120
26	Further instances of axiom-schemes	120
27	The main theorem	128
27.1	The generic extension is countable	128
27.2	Extensions of ctms of fragments of ZFC	128
28	Preservation of cardinals in generic extensions	129
28.1	Preservation by ccc forcing notions	133
29	Model of the negation of the Continuum Hypothesis	134
29.1	Non-absolute concepts between extensions	135
29.2	Cohen forcing is ccc	136
29.3	Models of fragments of $ZFC + \neg CH$	139

30 Preservation results for κ-closed forcing notions	140
30.1 $(\omega + 1)$ -Closed notions preserve countable sequences	143
31 Forcing extension satisfying the Continuum Hypothesis	144
31.1 Collapse forcing is sufficiently closed	144
31.2 Models of fragments of $ZFC + CH$	146
32 From M to \mathcal{V}	147
32.1 Locales of a class M hold in \mathcal{V}	147
33 Main definitions of the development	149
33.1 ZF	149
33.2 Relative concepts	151
33.3 Relativization of infinitary arithmetic	156
33.4 Forcing	157
34 Some demonstrations	159

1 Introduction

We formalize the theory of forcing. We work on top of the Isabelle/ZF framework developed by Paulson and Grabczewski [4]. Our mechanization is described in more detail in our papers [1] (LSFA 2018), [2], and [3] (IJCAR 2020).

The main entry point of the present session is `Definitions_Main.thy` (Section 33), in which a path from fundamental set theoretic concepts formalized in Isabelle reaching to our main theorems is expounded. Cross-references to major milestones are provided there.

In order to provide evidence for the correctness of several of our relativized definitions, we needed to assume the Axiom of Choice (AC) during the aforementioned theory. Nevertheless, the whole of our development is independent of AC , and the theory `CH.thy` already provides all of our results and does not import that axiom.

Release notes

Previous versions of this development can be found at <https://cs.famaf.unc.edu.ar/~pedro/forcing/>.

2 Forcing notions

This theory defines a locale for forcing notions, that is, preorders with a distinguished maximum element.

```

theory Forcing_Notions
  imports
    ZF-Constructible.Relative
    Delta_System_Lemma.ZF_Library
begin

```

2.1 Basic concepts

We say that two elements p, q are *compatible* if they have a lower bound in P

definition $compat_in :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $compat_in(A, r, p, q) \equiv \exists d \in A . \langle d, p \rangle \in r \wedge \langle d, q \rangle \in r$

lemma $compat_inI$:
 $[[d \in A ; \langle d, p \rangle \in r ; \langle d, q \rangle \in r]] \Longrightarrow compat_in(A, r, p, q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $refl_compat$:
 $[[refl(A, r) ; \langle p, q \rangle \in r \mid p = q \mid \langle q, p \rangle \in r ; p \in A ; q \in A]] \Longrightarrow compat_in(A, r, p, q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $chain_compat$:
 $refl(A, r) \Longrightarrow linear(A, r) \Longrightarrow (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. compat_in(A, r, p, q))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subset_fun_image$: $f: N \rightarrow P \Longrightarrow f''N \subseteq P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $refl_monot_domain$: $refl(B, r) \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow refl(A, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale $forcing_notion =$
fixes P leq one
assumes one_in_P : $one \in P$
and leq_preord : $preorder_on(P, leq)$
and one_max : $\forall p \in P. \langle p, one \rangle \in leq$
begin

notation one ($\langle 1 \rangle$)

abbreviation $Leq :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** \preceq 50)
where $x \preceq y \equiv \langle x, y \rangle \in leq$

lemma $refl_leq$:
 $r \in P \Longrightarrow r \preceq r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A set D is *dense* if every element $p \in P$ has a lower bound in D .

definition

dense :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
dense(D) $\equiv \forall p \in P. \exists d \in D. d \preceq p$

There is also a weaker definition which asks for a lower bound in D only for the elements below some fixed element q .

definition

dense_below :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
dense_below(D, q) $\equiv \forall p \in P. p \preceq q \longrightarrow (\exists d \in D. d \in P \wedge d \preceq p)$

lemma *P_dense*: *dense*(P)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

increasing :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
increasing(F) $\equiv \forall x \in F. \forall p \in P. x \preceq p \longrightarrow p \in F$

definition

compat :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
compat(p, q) $\equiv \text{compat_in}(P, \text{leq}, p, q)$

lemma *leq_transD*: $a \preceq b \Longrightarrow b \preceq c \Longrightarrow a \in P \Longrightarrow b \in P \Longrightarrow c \in P \Longrightarrow a \preceq c$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *leq_transD'*: $A \subseteq P \Longrightarrow a \preceq b \Longrightarrow b \preceq c \Longrightarrow a \in A \Longrightarrow b \in P \Longrightarrow c \in P \Longrightarrow a \preceq c$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *compatD[dest!]*: *compat*(p, q) $\Longrightarrow \exists d \in P. d \preceq p \wedge d \preceq q$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *Incompatible* :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** \perp 50)
where $p \perp q \equiv \neg \text{compat}(p, q)$

lemma *compatI[intro!]*: $d \in P \Longrightarrow d \preceq p \Longrightarrow d \preceq q \Longrightarrow \text{compat}(p, q)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *denseD [dest]*: *dense*(D) $\Longrightarrow p \in P \Longrightarrow \exists d \in D. d \preceq p$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *denseI [intro!]*: $\llbracket \bigwedge p. p \in P \Longrightarrow \exists d \in D. d \preceq p \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{dense}(D)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dense_belowD [dest]*:
assumes *dense_below*(D, p) $q \in P$ $q \preceq p$
shows $\exists d \in D. d \in P \wedge d \preceq q$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dense_belowI [intro!]*:
assumes $\bigwedge q. q \in P \Longrightarrow q \preceq p \Longrightarrow \exists d \in D. d \in P \wedge d \preceq q$

shows $dense_below(D,p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $dense_below_cong: p \in P \implies D = D' \implies dense_below(D,p) \longleftrightarrow dense_below(D',p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $dense_below_cong': p \in P \implies [\![\bigwedge x. x \in P \implies Q(x) \longleftrightarrow Q'(x)]\!] \implies$
 $dense_below(\{q \in P. Q(q)\}, p) \longleftrightarrow dense_below(\{q \in P. Q'(q)\}, p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $dense_below_mono: p \in P \implies D \subseteq D' \implies dense_below(D,p) \implies dense_below(D',p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $dense_below_under:$
assumes $dense_below(D,p)$ $p \in P$ $q \in P$ $q \preceq p$
shows $dense_below(D,q)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $ideal_dense_below:$
assumes $\bigwedge q. q \in P \implies q \preceq p \implies q \in D$
shows $dense_below(D,p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $dense_below_dense_below:$
assumes $dense_below(\{q \in P. dense_below(D,q)\}, p)$ $p \in P$
shows $dense_below(D,p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

A filter is an increasing set G with all its elements being compatible in G .

definition

$filter :: i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $filter(G) \equiv G \subseteq P \wedge increasing(G) \wedge (\forall p \in G. \forall q \in G. compat_in(G, leq, p, q))$

lemma $filterD : filter(G) \implies x \in G \implies x \in P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $filter_leqD : filter(G) \implies x \in G \implies y \in P \implies x \preceq y \implies y \in G$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $filter_imp_compat: filter(G) \implies p \in G \implies q \in G \implies compat(p,q)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $low_bound_filter:$ — says the compatibility is attained inside G
assumes $filter(G)$ **and** $p \in G$ **and** $q \in G$
shows $\exists r \in G. r \preceq p \wedge r \preceq q$
 ⟨proof⟩

We finally introduce the upward closure of a set and prove that the closure of A is a filter if its elements are compatible in A .

definition

upclosure :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
upclosure(A) $\equiv \{p \in P. \exists a \in A. a \preceq p\}$

lemma *upclosureI* [*intro*] : $p \in P \Rightarrow a \in A \Rightarrow a \preceq p \Rightarrow p \in \text{upclosure}(A)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *upclosureE* [*elim*] :
 $p \in \text{upclosure}(A) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge x a. x \in P \Rightarrow a \in A \Rightarrow a \preceq x \Rightarrow R) \Rightarrow R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *upclosureD* [*dest*] :
 $p \in \text{upclosure}(A) \Rightarrow \exists a \in A. (a \preceq p) \wedge p \in P$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *upclosure_increasing* :
assumes $A \subseteq P$
shows *increasing*(*upclosure*(A))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *upclosure_in_P*: $A \subseteq P \Rightarrow \text{upclosure}(A) \subseteq P$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *A_sub_upclosure*: $A \subseteq P \Rightarrow A \subseteq \text{upclosure}(A)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *elem_upclosure*: $A \subseteq P \Rightarrow x \in A \Rightarrow x \in \text{upclosure}(A)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *closure_compat_filter*:
assumes $A \subseteq P$ ($\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. \text{compat_in}(A, \text{leq}, p, q)$)
shows *filter*(*upclosure*(A))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *aux_RS1*: $f \in N \rightarrow P \Rightarrow n \in N \Rightarrow f^n \in \text{upclosure}(f \text{ `` } N)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *decr_succ_decr*:
assumes $f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow P$ *preorder_on*(P, leq)
 $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f \text{ ' } \text{succ}(n), f \text{ ' } n \rangle \in \text{leq}$
 $m \in \text{nat}$
shows $n \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow n \leq m \Rightarrow \langle f \text{ ' } m, f \text{ ' } n \rangle \in \text{leq}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *decr_seq_linear*:
assumes *refl*(P, leq) $f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow P$
 $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f \text{ ' } \text{succ}(n), f \text{ ' } n \rangle \in \text{leq}$
trans[P](*leq*)
shows *linear*($f \text{ `` } \text{nat}, \text{leq}$)

<proof>

end — *forcing_notion*

2.2 Towards Rasiowa-Sikorski Lemma (RSL)

locale *countable_generic* = *forcing_notion* +
fixes \mathcal{D}
assumes *countable_subs_of_P*: $\mathcal{D} \in \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{Pow}(P)$
and *seq_of_denses*: $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{dense}(\mathcal{D}'n)$

begin

definition

D_generic :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
D_generic(G) $\equiv \text{filter}(G) \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. (\mathcal{D}'n) \cap G \neq \emptyset)$

The next lemma identifies a sufficient condition for obtaining RSL.

lemma *RS_sequence_imp_rasiowa_sikorski*:

assumes
 $p \in P$ $f : \text{nat} \rightarrow P$ $f'0 = p$
 $\bigwedge n. n \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow f' \text{succ}(n) \preceq f'n \wedge f' \text{succ}(n) \in \mathcal{D}'n$
shows
 $\exists G. p \in G \wedge D_generic(G)$

<proof>

end — *countable_generic*

Now, the following recursive definition will fulfill the requirements of lemma *RS_sequence_imp_rasiowa_sikorski*

consts *RS_seq* :: $[i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$

primrec

RS_seq($0, P, \text{leq}, p, \text{enum}, \mathcal{D}$) = p
RS_seq($\text{succ}(n), P, \text{leq}, p, \text{enum}, \mathcal{D}$) =
 $\text{enum}'(\mu m. \langle \text{enum}'m, \text{RS_seq}(n, P, \text{leq}, p, \text{enum}, \mathcal{D}) \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge \text{enum}'m \in \mathcal{D}'n)$

context *countable_generic*

begin

lemma *countable_RS_sequence_aux*:

fixes p enum
defines $f(n) \equiv \text{RS_seq}(n, P, \text{leq}, p, \text{enum}, \mathcal{D})$
and $Q(q, k, m) \equiv \text{enum}'m \preceq q \wedge \text{enum}'m \in \mathcal{D}'k$
assumes $n \in \text{nat}$ $p \in P$ $P \subseteq \text{range}(\text{enum})$ $\text{enum} : \text{nat} \rightarrow M$
 $\bigwedge x k. x \in P \Rightarrow k \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow \exists q \in P. q \preceq x \wedge q \in \mathcal{D}'k$
shows
 $f(\text{succ}(n)) \in P \wedge f(\text{succ}(n)) \preceq f(n) \wedge f(\text{succ}(n)) \in \mathcal{D}'n$
<proof>

lemma *countable_RS_sequence*:
fixes p *enum*
defines $f \equiv \lambda n \in \text{nat}. RS_seq(n, P, leq, p, enum, \mathcal{D})$
and $Q(q, k, m) \equiv enum\ 'm \preceq q \wedge enum\ 'm \in \mathcal{D} \ 'k$
assumes $n \in \text{nat} \ p \in P \ P \subseteq \text{range}(enum) \ enum: \text{nat} \rightarrow M$
shows
 $f\ '0 = p \ f\ 'succ(n) \preceq f\ 'n \wedge f\ 'succ(n) \in \mathcal{D} \ 'n \ f\ 'succ(n) \in P$
<proof>

lemma *RS_seq_type*:
assumes $n \in \text{nat} \ p \in P \ P \subseteq \text{range}(enum) \ enum: \text{nat} \rightarrow M$
shows $RS_seq(n, P, leq, p, enum, \mathcal{D}) \in P$
<proof>

lemma *RS_seq_funtype*:
assumes $p \in P \ P \subseteq \text{range}(enum) \ enum: \text{nat} \rightarrow M$
shows $(\lambda n \in \text{nat}. RS_seq(n, P, leq, p, enum, \mathcal{D})): \text{nat} \rightarrow P$
<proof>

lemmas *countable_rasiowa_sikorski* =
 $RS_sequence_imp_rasiowa_sikorski[OF_RS_seq_funtype\ countable_RS_sequence(1,2)]$

end — *countable_generic*

end

3 Cohen forcing notions

theory *Cohen_Posets_Relative*
imports
Forcing_Notions
Transitive_Models.Delta_System_Relative
Transitive_Models.Partial_Functions_Relative
begin

locale *cohen_data* =
fixes $\kappa \ I \ J :: i$
assumes $zero_lt_kappa: 0 < \kappa$
begin

lemmas $zero_lesspoll_kappa = zero_lesspoll[OF\ zero_lt_kappa]$

end — *cohen_data*

locale *add_reals* = *cohen_data* $\text{nat} \ _ \ 2$

3.1 Combinatorial results on Cohen posets

sublocale *cohen_data* \subseteq *forcing_notion* $F_n(\kappa, I, J) \ F_{nle}(\kappa, I, J) \ 0$

<proof>

context *cohen_data*
begin

lemma *compat_imp_Un_is_function*:

assumes $G \subseteq \text{Fn}(\kappa, I, J) \wedge p \ q. p \in G \implies q \in G \implies \text{compat}(p, q)$

shows $\text{function}(\bigcup G)$

<proof>

lemma *filter_subset_notion*: $\text{filter}(G) \implies G \subseteq \text{Fn}(\kappa, I, J)$

<proof>

lemma *Un_filter_is_function*: $\text{filter}(G) \implies \text{function}(\bigcup G)$

<proof>

end — *cohen_data*

locale *M_cohen* = *M_delta* +

assumes

countable_lepoll_assms2:

$M(A') \implies M(A) \implies M(b) \implies M(f) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A'. y = \langle x, \mu \ i. x \in \text{if_range_F_else_F}(\lambda a. \{p \in A. \text{domain}(p) = a\}, b, f, i))$

and

countable_lepoll_assms3:

$M(A) \implies M(f) \implies M(b) \implies M(D) \implies M(r') \implies M(A') \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A'. y = \langle x, \mu \ i. x \in \text{if_range_F_else_F}(\text{drSR_Y}(r', D, A), b, f, i))$

context *M_cardinal_library*

begin

lemma *lesspoll_nat_imp_lesspoll_rel*:

assumes $A \prec^\omega M(A)$

shows $A \prec^M \omega$

<proof>

lemma *Finite_imp_lesspoll_rel_nat*:

assumes $\text{Finite}(A) \ M(A)$

shows $A \prec^M \omega$

<proof>

lemma *InfCard_rel_lesspoll_rel_Un*:

includes *Ord_dests*

assumes $\text{InfCard_rel}(M, \kappa) \ A \prec^M \kappa \ B \prec^M \kappa$

and types: $M(\kappa) \ M(A) \ M(B)$

shows $A \cup B \prec^M \kappa$

<proof>

end — *M_cardinal_library*

lemma (**in** *M_cohen*) *Fn_rel_unionI*:
 assumes $p \in \text{Fn}^M(\kappa, I, J)$ $q \in \text{Fn}^M(\kappa, I, J)$ *InfCard*^M(κ)
 $M(\kappa)$ $M(I)$ $M(J)$ $\text{domain}(p) \cap \text{domain}(q) = 0$
 shows $p \cup q \in \text{Fn}^M(\kappa, I, J)$
 <proof>

lemma (**in** *M_cohen*) *restrict_eq_imp_compat_rel*:
 assumes $p \in \text{Fn}^M(\kappa, I, J)$ $q \in \text{Fn}^M(\kappa, I, J)$ *InfCard*^M(κ) $M(J)$ $M(\kappa)$
 $\text{restrict}(p, \text{domain}(p) \cap \text{domain}(q)) = \text{restrict}(q, \text{domain}(p) \cap \text{domain}(q))$
 shows $p \cup q \in \text{Fn}^M(\kappa, I, J)$
 <proof>

lemma (**in** *M_cohen*) *InfCard_rel_imp_n_lesspoll_rel* :
 assumes *InfCard*^M(κ) $M(\kappa)$ $n \in \omega$
 shows $n \prec^M \kappa$
 <proof>

lemma (**in** *M_cohen*) *cons_in_Fn_rel*:
 assumes $x \notin \text{domain}(p)$ $p \in \text{Fn}^M(\kappa, I, J)$ $x \in I$ $j \in J$ *InfCard*^M(κ)
 $M(\kappa)$ $M(I)$ $M(J)$
 shows $\text{cons}(\langle x, j \rangle, p) \in \text{Fn}^M(\kappa, I, J)$
 <proof>

lemma (**in** *M_library*) *Fnle_rel_Aleph_rel1_closed[intro,simp]*:
 $M(\text{Fnle}^M(\aleph_1^M, \aleph_1^M, \omega \rightarrow^M 2))$
 <proof>

locale *M_add_reals* = *M_cohen* + *add_reals*
begin

lemmas *zero_lesspoll_rel_kappa* = *zero_lesspoll_rel[OF zero_lt_kappa]*

end — *M_add_reals*

<ML>
context
 notes *Un_assoc[simp]* *Un_transposition_aux2[simp]*
begin
<ML>
end

lemma (**in** *M_trivial*) *compat_in_abs[absolut]*:
 assumes
 $M(A)$ $M(r)$ $M(p)$ $M(q)$
 shows

$is_compat_in(M, A, r, p, q) \longleftrightarrow compat_in(A, r, p, q)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$antichain :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $antichain(P, leq, A) \equiv A \subseteq P \wedge (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. p \neq q \longrightarrow \neg compat_in(P, leq, p, q))$

⟨ML⟩

definition

$ccc :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $ccc(P, leq) \equiv \forall A. antichain(P, leq, A) \longrightarrow |A| \leq nat$

abbreviation

$antichain_rel_abbr :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ ($\langle antichain-'_(_, _, _)\rangle$) **where**
 $antichain^M(P, leq, A) \equiv antichain_rel(M, P, leq, A)$

abbreviation

$antichain_r_set :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ ($\langle antichain-'_(_, _, _)\rangle$) **where**
 $antichain^M(P, leq, A) \equiv antichain_rel(\#\#M, P, leq, A)$

context $M_trivial$

begin

lemma $antichain_abs$ [absolut]:

$\llbracket M(A); M(P); M(leq) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow antichain^M(P, leq, A) \longleftrightarrow antichain(P, leq, A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end — $M_trivial$

⟨ML⟩

abbreviation

$ccc_rel_abbr :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ ($\langle ccc-'_(_, _)\rangle$) **where**
 $ccc_rel_abbr(M) \equiv ccc_rel(M)$

abbreviation

$ccc_r_set :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ ($\langle ccc-'_(_, _)\rangle$) **where**
 $ccc_r_set(M) \equiv ccc_rel(\#\#M)$

context $M_cardinals$

begin

lemma def_ccc_rel :

shows

$ccc^M(P, leq) \longleftrightarrow (\forall A[M]. antichain^M(P, leq, A) \longrightarrow |A|^M \leq \omega)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end — $M_cardinals$

context $M_FiniteFun$
begin

lemma $Fnle_nat_closed[intro,simp]$:
assumes $M(I) M(J)$
shows $M(Fnle(\omega,I,J))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Fn_nat_closed :
assumes $M(A) M(B)$ **shows** $M(Fn(\omega,A,B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — $M_FiniteFun$

context M_add_reals
begin

lemma $lam_replacement_drSR_Y$: $M(A) \implies M(D) \implies M(r') \implies lam_replacement(M, drSR_Y(r',D,A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** M_trans) mem_F_bound3 :
fixes $F A$
defines $F \equiv dC_F$
shows $x \in F(A,c) \implies c \in (range(f) \cup \{domain(x). x \in A\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ccc_rel_Fn_nat$:
assumes $M(I)$
shows $ccc^M(Fn(nat,I,2), Fnle(nat,I,2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — M_add_reals

end

theory $Edrel$

imports
 $Transitive_Models.ZF_Miscellanea$
 $Transitive_Models.Recursion_Thms$

begin

3.2 The well-founded relation ed

lemma $eclose_sing$: $x \in eclose(a) \implies x \in eclose(\{a\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ecloseE$:
assumes $x \in eclose(A)$

shows $x \in A \vee (\exists B \in A . x \in \text{eclose}(B))$
<proof>

lemma *eclose_singE* : $x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\}) \implies x = a \vee x \in \text{eclose}(a)$
<proof>

lemma *in_eclose_sing* :
assumes $x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\})$ $a \in \text{eclose}(z)$
shows $x \in \text{eclose}(\{z\})$
<proof>

lemma *in_dom_in_eclose* :
assumes $x \in \text{domain}(z)$
shows $x \in \text{eclose}(z)$
<proof>

termed is the well-founded relation on which *val* is defined.

definition
ed :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{ed}(x, y) \equiv x \in \text{domain}(y)$

definition
edrel :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{edrel}(A) \equiv \text{Rrel}(\text{ed}, A)$

lemma *edI[intro!]*: $t \in \text{domain}(x) \implies \text{ed}(t, x)$
<proof>

lemma *edD[dest!]*: $\text{ed}(t, x) \implies t \in \text{domain}(x)$
<proof>

lemma *rank_ed*:
assumes $\text{ed}(y, x)$
shows $\text{succ}(\text{rank}(y)) \leq \text{rank}(x)$
<proof>

lemma *edrel_dest [dest]*: $x \in \text{edrel}(A) \implies \exists a \in A. \exists b \in A. x = \langle a, b \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *edrelD* : $x \in \text{edrel}(A) \implies \exists a \in A. \exists b \in A. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge a \in \text{domain}(b)$
<proof>

lemma *edrelI [intro!]*: $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in \text{edrel}(A)$
<proof>

lemma *edrel_trans*: $\text{Transset}(A) \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in \text{edrel}(A)$
<proof>

lemma *domain_trans*: $\text{Transset}(A) \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies x \in A$

$\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *relation_edrel* : $relation(edrel(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *field_edrel* : $field(edrel(A)) \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *edrel_sub_memrel*: $edrel(A) \subseteq trancl(Memrel(eclose(A)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *wf_edrel* : $wf(edrel(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *ed_induction*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. [\bigwedge y. ed(y,x) \implies Q(y)] \implies Q(x)$
shows $Q(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *dom_under_edrel_eclose*: $edrel(eclose(\{x\})) \text{ -'' } \{x\} = domain(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *ed_eclose* : $\langle y,z \rangle \in edrel(A) \implies y \in eclose(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *tr_edrel_eclose* : $\langle y,z \rangle \in edrel(eclose(\{x\}))^{\wedge+} \implies y \in eclose(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *restrict_edrel_eq* :
assumes $z \in domain(x)$
shows $edrel(eclose(\{x\})) \cap eclose(\{z\}) \times eclose(\{z\}) = edrel(eclose(\{z\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *tr_edrel_subset* :
assumes $z \in domain(x)$
shows $tr_down(edrel(eclose(\{x\})),z) \subseteq eclose(\{z\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

4 Well-founded relation on names

theory *FrecR*
imports
Transitive_Models.Discipline_Function
Edrel
begin

frecR is the well-founded relation on names that allows us to define forcing

for atomic formulas.

definition

$f_{type} :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $f_{type} \equiv fst$

definition

$name1 :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $name1(x) \equiv fst(snd(x))$

definition

$name2 :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $name2(x) \equiv fst(snd(snd(x)))$

definition

$cond_of :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $cond_of(x) \equiv snd(snd(snd((x))))$

lemma *components_simp*:

$f_{type}(\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle) = f$
 $name1(\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle) = n1$
 $name2(\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle) = n2$
 $cond_of(\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle) = c$
<proof>

definition *eclose_n* :: $[i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$eclose_n(name, x) = eclose(\{name(x)\})$

definition

$ecloseN :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $ecloseN(x) = eclose_n(name1, x) \cup eclose_n(name2, x)$

lemma *components_in_eclose* :

$n1 \in ecloseN(\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle)$
 $n2 \in ecloseN(\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle)$
<proof>

lemmas *names_simp* = *components_simp*(2) *components_simp*(3)

lemma *ecloseNI1* :

assumes $x \in eclose(n1) \vee x \in eclose(n2)$
shows $x \in ecloseN(\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle)$
<proof>

lemmas *ecloseNI* = *ecloseNI1*

lemma *ecloseN_mono* :

assumes $u \in ecloseN(x)$ $name1(x) \in ecloseN(y)$ $name2(x) \in ecloseN(y)$
shows $u \in ecloseN(y)$
<proof>

definition

$is_ftype :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_ftype \equiv is_fst$

definition

$ftype_fm :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $ftype_fm \equiv fst_fm$

lemma $is_ftype_iff_sats$ [iff_sats]:

assumes

$nth(a, env) = x \quad nth(b, env) = y \quad a \in nat \quad b \in nat \quad env \in list(A)$

shows

$is_ftype(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ftype_fm(a, b), env)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_name1 :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_name1(M, x, t2) \equiv is_hcomp(M, is_fst(M), is_snd(M), x, t2)$

definition

$name1_fm :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $name1_fm(x, t) \equiv hcomp_fm(fst_fm, snd_fm, x, t)$

lemma $sats_name1_fm$ [$simp$]:

$\llbracket x \in nat; y \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$(A, env \models name1_fm(x, y)) \longleftrightarrow is_name1(\#\#A, nth(x, env), nth(y, env))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $is_name1_iff_sats$ [iff_sats]:

assumes

$nth(a, env) = x \quad nth(b, env) = y \quad a \in nat \quad b \in nat \quad env \in list(A)$

shows

$is_name1(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow A, env \models name1_fm(a, b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_snd_snd :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_snd_snd(M, x, t) \equiv is_hcomp(M, is_snd(M), is_snd(M), x, t)$

definition

$snd_snd_fm :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $snd_snd_fm(x, t) \equiv hcomp_fm(snd_fm, snd_fm, x, t)$

lemma $sats_snd2_fm$ [$simp$]:

$\llbracket x \in nat; y \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$(A, env \models snd_snd_fm(x, y)) \longleftrightarrow is_snd_snd(\#\#A, nth(x, env), nth(y, env))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_name2 :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_name2(M, x, t3) \equiv is_hcomp(M, is_fst(M), is_snd_snd(M), x, t3)$

definition

$name2_fm :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $name2_fm(x, t3) \equiv hcomp_fm(fst_fm, snd_snd_fm, x, t3)$

lemma sats_name2_fm :

$\llbracket x \in nat; y \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (A, env \models name2_fm(x, y)) \longleftrightarrow is_name2(\#\#A, nth(x, env), nth(y, env))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma is_name2_iff_sats [iff_sats]:

assumes
 $nth(a, env) = x \quad nth(b, env) = y \quad a \in nat \quad b \in nat \quad env \in list(A)$
shows
 $is_name2(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow A, env \models name2_fm(a, b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_cond_of :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_cond_of(M, x, t4) \equiv is_hcomp(M, is_snd(M), is_snd_snd(M), x, t4)$

definition

$cond_of_fm :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $cond_of_fm(x, t4) \equiv hcomp_fm(snd_fm, snd_snd_fm, x, t4)$

lemma sats_cond_of_fm :

$\llbracket x \in nat; y \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(A, env \models cond_of_fm(x, y)) \longleftrightarrow is_cond_of(\#\#A, nth(x, env), nth(y, env))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma is_cond_of_iff_sats [iff_sats]:

assumes
 $nth(a, env) = x \quad nth(b, env) = y \quad a \in nat \quad b \in nat \quad env \in list(A)$
shows
 $is_cond_of(\#\#A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow A, env \models cond_of_fm(a, b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma components_type[TC] :

assumes $a \in nat \quad b \in nat$
shows
 $f_type_fm(a, b) \in formula$
 $name1_fm(a, b) \in formula$
 $name2_fm(a, b) \in formula$
 $cond_of_fm(a, b) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *components_iff_sats* = *is_ftype_iff_sats is_name1_iff_sats is_name2_iff_sats is_cond_of_iff_sats*

lemmas *components_defs* = *ftype_fm_def snd_snd_fm_def hcomp_fm_def name1_fm_def name2_fm_def cond_of_fm_def*

definition

is_eclose_n :: $[i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
is_eclose_n(*N*, *is_name*, *en*, *t*) \equiv
 $\exists n1[N]. \exists s1[N]. is_name(N, t, n1) \wedge is_singleton(N, n1, s1) \wedge is_eclose(N, s1, en)$

definition

eclose_n1_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
eclose_n1_fm(*m*, *t*) \equiv *Exists*(*Exists*(*And*(*And*(*name1_fm*(*t*+ ω 2, 0), *singleton_fm*(0, 1)), *is_eclose_fm*(1, *m*+ ω 2))))

definition

eclose_n2_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
eclose_n2_fm(*m*, *t*) \equiv *Exists*(*Exists*(*And*(*And*(*name2_fm*(*t*+ ω 2, 0), *singleton_fm*(0, 1)), *is_eclose_fm*(1, *m*+ ω 2))))

definition

is_ecloseN :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
is_ecloseN(*N*, *t*, *en*) $\equiv \exists en1[N]. \exists en2[N].$
 $is_eclose_n(N, is_name1, en1, t) \wedge is_eclose_n(N, is_name2, en2, t) \wedge$
 $union(N, en1, en2, en)$

definition

ecloseN_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
ecloseN_fm(*en*, *t*) \equiv *Exists*(*Exists*(*And*(*eclose_n1_fm*(1, *t*+ ω 2), *And*(*eclose_n2_fm*(0, *t*+ ω 2), *union_fm*(1, 0, *en*+ ω 2))))))

lemma *ecloseN_fm_type* [*TC*] :

$\llbracket en \in nat ; t \in nat \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ecloseN_fm(en, t) \in formula$
 <proof>

lemma *sats_ecloseN_fm* [*simp*]:

$\llbracket en \in nat ; t \in nat ; env \in list(A) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow (A, env \models ecloseN_fm(en, t)) \longleftrightarrow is_ecloseN(\#\#A, nth(t, env), nth(en, env))$
 <proof>

lemma *is_ecloseN_iff_sats* [*iff_sats*]:

$\llbracket nth(en, env) = ena ; nth(t, env) = ta ; en \in nat ; t \in nat ; env \in list(A) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow is_ecloseN(\#\#A, ta, ena) \longleftrightarrow A, env \models ecloseN_fm(en, t)$
 <proof>

definition

frecl :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{frecR}(x,y) \equiv & \\ & (\text{ftype}(x) = 1 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 0 \\ & \wedge (\text{name1}(x) \in \text{domain}(\text{name1}(y)) \cup \text{domain}(\text{name2}(y)) \wedge (\text{name2}(x) = \\ & \text{name1}(y) \vee \text{name2}(x) = \text{name2}(y)))) \\ & \vee (\text{ftype}(x) = 0 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 1 \wedge \text{name1}(x) = \text{name1}(y) \wedge \text{name2}(x) \in \\ & \text{domain}(\text{name2}(y))) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *frecR_ftypeD* :

assumes *frecR*(*x,y*)

shows $(\text{ftype}(x) = 0 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 1) \vee (\text{ftype}(x) = 1 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 0)$

<proof>

lemma *frecRI1*: $s \in \text{domain}(n1) \vee s \in \text{domain}(n2) \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 1, s, n1, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1, n2, q \rangle)$

<proof>

lemma *frecRI1'*: $s \in \text{domain}(n1) \cup \text{domain}(n2) \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 1, s, n1, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1, n2, q \rangle)$

<proof>

lemma *frecRI2*: $s \in \text{domain}(n1) \vee s \in \text{domain}(n2) \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 1, s, n2, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1, n2, q \rangle)$

<proof>

lemma *frecRI2'*: $s \in \text{domain}(n1) \cup \text{domain}(n2) \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 1, s, n2, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1, n2, q \rangle)$

<proof>

lemma *frecRI3*: $\langle s, r \rangle \in n2 \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 0, n1, s, q \rangle, \langle 1, n1, n2, q \rangle)$

<proof>

lemma *frecRI3'*: $s \in \text{domain}(n2) \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 0, n1, s, q \rangle, \langle 1, n1, n2, q \rangle)$

<proof>

lemma *frecR_D1* :

$\text{frecR}(x,y) \implies \text{ftype}(y) = 0 \implies \text{ftype}(x) = 1 \wedge$

$(\text{name1}(x) \in \text{domain}(\text{name1}(y)) \cup \text{domain}(\text{name2}(y)) \wedge (\text{name2}(x) = \text{name1}(y)$

$\vee \text{name2}(x) = \text{name2}(y)))$

<proof>

lemma *frecR_D2* :

$\text{frecR}(x,y) \implies \text{ftype}(y) = 1 \implies \text{ftype}(x) = 0 \wedge$

$\text{ftype}(x) = 0 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 1 \wedge \text{name1}(x) = \text{name1}(y) \wedge \text{name2}(x) \in$

$\text{domain}(\text{name2}(y))$

<proof>

lemma *frecR_DI* :

assumes *frecR*(*a,b,c,d*),*<ftype(y),name1(y),name2(y),cond_of(y)>*)

shows *frecR*(*a,b,c,d*),*y*)

<proof>

<ML>

schematic_goal *sats_frecR_fm_auto*:

assumes

$i \in \text{nat } j \in \text{nat } \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

$\text{is_frecR}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(i, \text{env}), \text{nth}(j, \text{env})) \longleftrightarrow A, \text{env} \models \text{?fr_fm}(i, j)$

<proof>

<ML>

Third item of Kunen's observations (p. 257) about the *trcl* relation.

lemma *eq_ftypep_not_frecR*:

assumes $\text{ftype}(x) = \text{ftype}(y)$

shows $\neg \text{frecR}(x, y)$

<proof>

definition

rank_names :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{rank_names}(x) \equiv \max(\text{rank}(\text{name1}(x)), \text{rank}(\text{name2}(x)))$

lemma *rank_names_types* [TC]:

shows $\text{Ord}(\text{rank_names}(x))$

<proof>

definition

mtype_form :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{mtype_form}(x) \equiv \text{if } \text{rank}(\text{name1}(x)) < \text{rank}(\text{name2}(x)) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 2$

definition

type_form :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{type_form}(x) \equiv \text{if } \text{ftype}(x) = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } \text{mtype_form}(x)$

lemma *type_form_tc* [TC]:

shows $\text{type_form}(x) \in 3$

<proof>

lemma *frecR_le_rnk_names* :

assumes $\text{frecR}(x, y)$

shows $\text{rank_names}(x) \leq \text{rank_names}(y)$

<proof>

definition

Γ :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\Gamma(x) = 3 ** \text{rank_names}(x) ++ \text{type_form}(x)$

lemma Γ_type [TC]:

shows $Ord(\Gamma(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Γ_mono :
assumes $frecR(x,y)$
shows $\Gamma(x) < \Gamma(y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $frecrel :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frecrel(A) \equiv Rrel(frecR,A)$

lemma $frecrelI$:
assumes $x \in A \ y \in A \ frecR(x,y)$
shows $\langle x,y \rangle \in frecrel(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecrelD$:
assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in frecrel(A1 \times A2 \times A3 \times A4)$
shows
 $f_type(x) \in A1 \ f_type(y) \in A1$
 $name1(x) \in A2 \ name1(y) \in A2$
 $name2(x) \in A3 \ name2(y) \in A3$
 $cond_of(x) \in A4 \ cond_of(y) \in A4$
 $frecR(x,y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf_frecrel$:
shows $wf(frecrel(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $core_induction_aux$:
fixes $A1 \ A2 :: i$
assumes
 $Transset(A1)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \ \vartheta \ p. \ p \in A2 \Longrightarrow \llbracket \bigwedge q \ \sigma. \llbracket q \in A2 ; \sigma \in domain(\vartheta) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q(0,\tau,\sigma,q) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $Q(1,\tau,\vartheta,p)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \ \vartheta \ p. \ p \in A2 \Longrightarrow \llbracket \bigwedge q \ \sigma. \llbracket q \in A2 ; \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q(1,\sigma,\tau,q)$
 $\wedge Q(1,\sigma,\vartheta,q) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q(0,\tau,\vartheta,p)$
shows $a \in 2 \times A1 \times A1 \times A2 \Longrightarrow Q(f_type(a),name1(a),name2(a),cond_of(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $def_frecrel$: $frecrel(A) = \{z \in A \times A. \exists x \ y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge frecR(x,y)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecrel_fst_snd$:
 $frecrel(A) = \{z \in A \times A .$
 $f_type(fst(z)) = 1 \wedge$
 $f_type(snd(z)) = 0 \wedge name1(fst(z)) \in domain(name1(snd(z))) \cup do-$

```

main(name2(snd(z))) ∧
  (name2(fst(z)) = name1(snd(z)) ∨ name2(fst(z)) = name2(snd(z)))
  ∨ (ftype(fst(z)) = 0 ∧
    ftype(snd(z)) = 1 ∧ name1(fst(z)) = name1(snd(z)) ∧ name2(fst(z)) ∈
    domain(name2(snd(z))))}
⟨proof⟩

```

end

theory *FrecR_Arities*

imports

FrecR

begin

context

notes *FOL_arities*[*simp*]

begin

⟨*ML*⟩

lemma *arity_fst_fm* [*arity*] :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{fst_fm}(x,t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$

⟨*proof*⟩

⟨*ML*⟩

lemma *arity_snd_fm* [*arity*] :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{snd_fm}(x,t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *arity_snd_snd_fm* [*arity*] :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{snd_snd_fm}(x,t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *arity_ftype_fm* [*arity*] :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{ftype_fm}(x,t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *arity_name1_fm* [*arity*] :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{name1_fm}(x,t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *arity_name2_fm* [*arity*] :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{name2_fm}(x,t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *arity_cond_of_fm* [*arity*] :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{cond_of_fm}(x,t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *arity_eclose_n1_fm* [*arity*] :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{eclose_n1_fm}(x,t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$

<proof>

lemma *arity_eclose_n2_fm* [*arity*] :
[[*x* ∈ *nat* ; *t* ∈ *nat*]] ⇒ *arity*(*eclose_n2_fm*(*x*,*t*)) = *succ*(*x*) ∪ *succ*(*t*)
<proof>

lemma *arity_ecloseN_fm* [*arity*] :
[[*x* ∈ *nat* ; *t* ∈ *nat*]] ⇒ *arity*(*ecloseN_fm*(*x*,*t*)) = *succ*(*x*) ∪ *succ*(*t*)
<proof>

lemma *arity_freecR_fm* [*arity*]:
[[*a* ∈ *nat*; *b* ∈ *nat*]] ⇒ *arity*(*freecR_fm*(*a*,*b*)) = *succ*(*a*) ∪ *succ*(*b*)
<proof>

end — *FOL_arities*

end

5 Concepts involved in instances of Replacement

theory *Fm_Definitions*

imports

Transitive_Models.Renaming_Auto

Transitive_Models.Aleph_Relative

FreecR_Arities

begin

In this theory we put every concept that should be synthesized in a formula to have an instance of replacement.

The automatic synthesis of a concept /foo/ requires that every concept used to define /foo/ is already synthesized. We try to use our meta-programs to synthesize concepts: given the absolute concept /foo/ we relativize in relational form obtaining /is_foo/ and then we synthesize the formula /is_foo_fm/. The meta-program that synthesizes formulas also produces satisfaction lemmas.

Having one file to collect every formula needed for replacements breaks the reading flow: we need to introduce the concept in this theory in order to use the meta-programs; moreover there are some concepts for which we prove here the satisfaction lemmas manually, while for others we prove them on its theory.

declare *arity_subset_fm* [*simp del*] *arity_ordinal_fm*[*simp del, arity*] *arity_transset_fm*[*simp del*]
FOL_arities[*simp del*]

Formulas for particular replacement instances

Now we introduce some definitions used in the definition of check; which is defined by well-founded recursion using replacement in the recursive call.

definition

rcheck :: *i* ⇒ *i* **where**

$rcheck(x) \equiv Memrel(eclose(\{x\})) \hat{+}$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition

$PHcheck :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$PHcheck(M, o, f, y, p) \equiv M(p) \wedge (\exists fy[M]. fun_apply(M, f, y, fy) \wedge pair(M, fy, o, p))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition

$is_Hcheck :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$is_Hcheck(M, o, z, f, hc) \equiv is_Replace(M, z, PHcheck(M, o, f), hc)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *arity_is_Hcheck_fm*:

assumes $m \in nat$ $n \in nat$ $p \in nat$ $o \in nat$

shows $arity(is_Hcheck_fm(m, n, p, o)) = succ(o) \cup succ(n) \cup succ(p) \cup succ(m)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_check :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$is_check(M, o, x, z) \equiv \exists rch[M]. is_rcheck(M, x, rch) \wedge$

$is_wfrec(M, is_Hcheck(M, o), rch, x, z)$

— Finally, we internalize the formula.

definition

$check_fm :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$check_fm(x, o, z) \equiv Exists(And(is_rcheck_fm(1+\omega x, 0),$

$is_wfrec_fm(is_Hcheck_fm(6+\omega o, 2, 1, 0), 0, 1+\omega x, 1+\omega z)))$

lemma *check_fm_type[TC]*: $x \in nat \Longrightarrow o \in nat \Longrightarrow z \in nat \Longrightarrow check_fm(x, o, z) \in$
formula

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_check_fm* :

assumes

$o \in nat$ $x \in nat$ $z \in nat$ $env \in list(M)$ $0 \in M$

shows

$(M, env \models check_fm(x, o, z)) \longleftrightarrow is_check(\#\#M, nth(o, env), nth(x, env), nth(z, env))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iff_sats_check_fm[iff_sats]* :

assumes

$nth(o, env) = oa$ $nth(x, env) = xa$ $nth(z, env) = za$ $o \in nat$ $x \in nat$ $z \in nat$
 $env \in list(A)$ $0 \in A$

shows $is_check(\#\#A, oa, xa, za) \longleftrightarrow A, env \models check_fm(x, o, z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_check_fm[arity]*:

assumes $m \in nat$ $n \in nat$ $o \in nat$

shows $\text{arity}(\text{check_fm}(m,n,o)) = \text{succ}(o) \cup \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

notation $\text{check_fm} (\langle \cdot _v _ \text{is} _ \cdot \rangle)$

5.1 Names for forcing the Axiom of Choice.

definition

$\text{upair_name} :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{upair_name}(\tau, \rho, \text{on}) \equiv \text{Upair}(\langle \tau, \text{on} \rangle, \langle \rho, \text{on} \rangle)$

⟨ML⟩

definition

$\text{opair_name} :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{opair_name}(\tau, \rho, \text{on}) \equiv \text{upair_name}(\text{upair_name}(\tau, \tau, \text{on}), \text{upair_name}(\tau, \rho, \text{on}), \text{on})$

⟨ML⟩

definition

$\text{is_opname_check} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_opname_check}(M, \text{on}, s, x, y) \equiv \exists \text{chx}[M]. \exists \text{sx}[M]. \text{is_check}(M, \text{on}, x, \text{chx}) \wedge$
 $\text{fun_apply}(M, s, x, \text{sx}) \wedge \text{is_opair_name}(M, \text{chx}, \text{sx}, \text{on}, y)$

⟨ML⟩

definition

$\text{is_leq} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_leq}(A, l, q, p) \equiv \exists \text{qp}[A]. (\text{pair}(A, q, p, \text{qp}) \wedge \text{qp} \in l)$

⟨ML⟩

abbreviation

$\text{fm_leq} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ ($\langle \cdot _ \leq _ \cdot \rangle$) **where**
 $\text{fm_leq}(A, l, B) \equiv \text{is_leq_fm}(l, A, B)$

5.2 Formulas used to prove some generic instances.

definition $\rho_repl :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\rho_repl(l) \equiv \text{rsum}(\{\langle 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 0 \rangle\}, \text{id}(l), 2, 3, l)$

lemma $f_type : \{\langle 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 0 \rangle\} \in 2 \rightarrow 3$

⟨proof⟩

hide_fact $\text{Internalize.sum_type}$

lemma $\text{ren_type} :$

assumes $l \in \text{nat}$

shows $\rho_repl(l) : 2 + \omega l \rightarrow 3 + \omega l$

⟨proof⟩

definition Lambda_in_M_fm **where** $[\text{simp}]: \text{Lambda_in_M_fm}(\varphi, \text{len}) \equiv$

$\cdot(\exists \cdot \text{pair_fm}(1, 0, 2) \wedge$
 $\text{ren}(\varphi) \text{ ' } (2 +_{\omega} \text{len}) \text{ ' } (3 +_{\omega} \text{len}) \text{ ' } \varrho_repl(\text{len}) \cdot) \wedge \cdot 0 \in \text{len} +_{\omega} 2 \cdot$

lemma $\text{Lambda_in_M_fm_type}[TC]: \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{len} \in \text{nat} \implies \text{Lambda_in_M_fm}(\varphi, \text{len})$
 $\in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\varrho_pair_repl :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\varrho_pair_repl(l) \equiv \text{rsum}(\{\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle\}, \text{id}(l), 3, 4, l)$

definition $\text{LambdaPair_in_M_fm}$ **where** $\text{LambdaPair_in_M_fm}(\varphi, \text{len}) \equiv$
 $\cdot(\exists \cdot \text{pair_fm}(1, 0, 2) \wedge$
 $\text{ren}((\exists (\exists \cdot \text{fst}(2) \text{ is } 0 \cdot \wedge \cdot \text{snd}(2) \text{ is } 1 \cdot \wedge \text{ren}(\varphi) \text{ ' } (3 +_{\omega} \text{len}) \text{ ' } (4 +_{\omega}$
 $\text{len}) \text{ ' } \varrho_pair_repl(\text{len}) \cdot) \cdot)) \text{ ' } (2 +_{\omega} \text{len}) \text{ ' }$
 $(3 +_{\omega} \text{len}) \text{ ' }$
 $\varrho_repl(\text{len}) \cdot) \wedge$
 $\cdot 0 \in \text{len} +_{\omega} 2 \cdot$

lemma $f_type' : \{\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle\} \in 3 \rightarrow 4$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{ren_type}' :$
assumes $l \in \text{nat}$
shows $\varrho_pair_repl(l) : 3 +_{\omega} l \rightarrow 4 +_{\omega} l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{LambdaPair_in_M_fm_type}[TC]: \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{len} \in \text{nat} \implies \text{Lambda-}$
 $\text{Pair_in_M_fm}(\varphi, \text{len}) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.3 The relation frecrel

definition
 $\text{frecrelP} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{frecrelP}(M, xy) \equiv (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, xy) \wedge \text{is_frecR}(M, x, y))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition
 $\text{is_frecrel} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_frecrel}(M, A, r) \equiv \exists A2[M]. \text{cartprod}(M, A, A, A2) \wedge \text{is_Collect}(M, A2, \text{frecrelP}(M$
 $, r)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition
 $\text{names_below} :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{names_below}(P, x) \equiv 2 \times \text{ecloseN}(x) \times \text{ecloseN}(x) \times P$

lemma *names_belowD*:

assumes $x \in \text{names_below}(P, z)$

obtains $f\ n1\ n2\ p$ **where**

$x = \langle f, n1, n2, p \rangle$ $f \in 2$ $n1 \in \text{eclose}N(z)$ $n2 \in \text{eclose}N(z)$ $p \in P$

<proof>

<ML>

lemma *number2_iff* :

$(A)(c) \implies \text{number2}(A, c) \iff (\exists b[A]. \exists a[A]. \text{successor}(A, b, c) \wedge \text{successor}(A, a, b) \wedge \text{empty}(A, a))$

<proof>

<ML>

definition

is_tuple :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$\text{is_tuple}(M, z, t1, t2, p, t) \equiv \exists t1t2p[M]. \exists t2p[M]. \text{pair}(M, t2, p, t2p) \wedge \text{pair}(M, t1, t2p, t1t2p)$

\wedge

$\text{pair}(M, z, t1t2p, t)$

<ML>

5.4 Definition of Forces

5.4.1 Definition of forces for equality and membership

$p \Vdash \tau = \theta$ if every $q \leq p$ both $q \Vdash \sigma \in \tau$ and $q \Vdash \sigma \in \theta$ for every $\sigma \in \text{dom}(\tau) \cup \text{dom}(\theta)$.

definition

eq_case :: $[i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$\text{eq_case}(\tau, \vartheta, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \equiv \forall \sigma. \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \cup \text{domain}(\vartheta) \longrightarrow$

$(\forall q. q \in P \wedge \langle q, p \rangle \in \text{leq} \longrightarrow (f' \langle 1, \sigma, \tau, q \rangle = 1 \iff f' \langle 1, \sigma, \vartheta, q \rangle = 1))$

<ML>

$p \Vdash \tau \in \theta$ if for every $v \leq p$ there exists q, r , and σ such that $v \leq q$, $q \leq r$, $\langle \sigma, r \rangle \in \tau$, and $q \Vdash \pi = \sigma$.

definition

mem_case :: $[i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$\text{mem_case}(\tau, \vartheta, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \equiv \forall v \in P. \langle v, p \rangle \in \text{leq} \longrightarrow$

$(\exists q. \exists \sigma. \exists r. r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge \langle q, v \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge \langle \sigma, r \rangle \in \vartheta \wedge \langle q, r \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge f' \langle 0, \tau, \sigma, q \rangle = 1)$

<ML>

lemma *arity_eq_case_fm*[arity]:

assumes

$n1 \in \text{nat}$ $n2 \in \text{nat}$ $p \in \text{nat}$ $P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$

shows

$\text{arity}(\text{eq_case_fm}(n1, n2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)) =$

$succ(n1) \cup succ(n2) \cup succ(p) \cup succ(P) \cup succ(leq) \cup succ(f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma *arity_mem_case_fm*[arity] :

assumes

$n1 \in nat \ n2 \in nat \ p \in nat \ P \in nat \ leq \in nat \ f \in nat$

shows

$arity(mem_case_fm(n1, n2, p, P, leq, f)) =$
 $succ(n1) \cup succ(n2) \cup succ(p) \cup succ(P) \cup succ(leq) \cup succ(f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$Hfrc :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $Hfrc(P, leq, fnnc, f) \equiv \exists ft. \exists \tau. \exists \vartheta. \exists p. p \in P \wedge fnnc = \langle ft, \tau, \vartheta, p \rangle \wedge$
 $(ft = 0 \wedge eq_case(\tau, \vartheta, p, P, leq, f))$
 $\vee ft = 1 \wedge mem_case(\tau, \vartheta, p, P, leq, f))$

⟨ML⟩

definition

$is_Hfrc_at :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_Hfrc_at(M, P, leq, fnnc, f, b) \equiv$
 $(empty(M, b) \wedge \neg is_Hfrc(M, P, leq, fnnc, f))$
 $\vee (number1(M, b) \wedge is_Hfrc(M, P, leq, fnnc, f))$

⟨ML⟩

lemma *arity_Hfrc_fm*[arity] :

assumes

$P \in nat \ leq \in nat \ fnnc \in nat \ f \in nat$

shows

$arity(Hfrc_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f)) = succ(P) \cup succ(leq) \cup succ(fnnc) \cup succ(f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

5.4.2 The well-founded relation *forcere*

definition

$forcere :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $forcere(P, x) \equiv frecrel(names_below(P, x)) \hat{+}$

definition

$is_forcere :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_forcere(M, P, x, z) \equiv \exists r[M]. \exists nb[M]. tran_closure(M, r, z) \wedge$
 $(is_names_below(M, P, x, nb) \wedge is_frecrel(M, nb, r))$

⟨ML⟩

5.5 *frc_at*, forcing for atomic formulas

definition

$frc_at :: [i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frc_at(P,leq,fnnc) \equiv wfrec(frecrel(names_below(P,fnnc)),fnnc,$
 $\lambda x f. bool_of_o(Hfrc(P,leq,x,f)))$

— The relational form is defined manually because it uses *wfrec*.

definition

$is_frc_at :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_frc_at(M,P,leq,x,z) \equiv \exists r[M]. is_forcere_l(M,P,x,r) \wedge$
 $is_wfrec(M, is_Hfrc_at(M,P,leq), r, x, z)$

definition

$frc_at_fm :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frc_at_fm(p,l,x,z) \equiv Exists(And(is_forcere_l_fm(succ(p),succ(x),0),$
 $is_wfrec_fm(Hfrc_at_fm(6+\omega p, 6+\omega l, 2, 1, 0), 0, succ(x), succ(z))))$

lemma *frc_at_fm_type* [TC] :

$\llbracket p \in nat; l \in nat; x \in nat; z \in nat \rrbracket \Longrightarrow frc_at_fm(p,l,x,z) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_frc_at_fm*[arity] :

assumes $p \in nat \ l \in nat \ x \in nat \ z \in nat$
shows $arity(frc_at_fm(p,l,x,z)) = succ(p) \cup succ(l) \cup succ(x) \cup succ(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_frc_at_fm* :

assumes
 $p \in nat \ l \in nat \ i \in nat \ j \in nat \ env \in list(A) \ i < length(env) \ j < length(env)$
shows
 $(A, env \models frc_at_fm(p,l,i,j)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $is_frc_at(\#\#A, nth(p,env), nth(l,env), nth(i,env), nth(j,env))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frc_at_fm_iff_sats*:

assumes $nth(i,env) = w \ nth(j,env) = x \ nth(k,env) = y \ nth(l,env) = z$
 $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ k \in nat \ l \in nat \ env \in list(A) \ k < length(env) \ l < length(env)$
shows $is_frc_at(\#\#A, w, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow (A, env \models frc_at_fm(i,j,k,l))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *frc_at_fm_iff_sats* [iff_sats]

definition

$forces_eq' :: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $forces_eq'(P,l,p,t1,t2) \equiv frc_at(P,l, \langle 0, t1, t2, p \rangle) = 1$

definition

$forces_mem' :: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $forces_mem'(P,l,p,t1,t2) \equiv frc_at(P,l, \langle 1, t1, t2, p \rangle) = 1$

definition

$forces_neq' :: [i,i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $forces_neq'(P,l,p,t1,t2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. \langle q,p \rangle \in l \wedge forces_eq'(P,l,q,t1,t2))$

definition

$forces_nmem' :: [i,i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $forces_nmem'(P,l,p,t1,t2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. \langle q,p \rangle \in l \wedge forces_mem'(P,l,q,t1,t2))$

— The following definitions are explicitly defined to avoid the expansion of concepts.

definition

$is_forces_eq' :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_forces_eq'(M,P,l,p,t1,t2) \equiv \exists o[M]. \exists z[M]. \exists t[M]. number1(M,o) \wedge empty(M,z)$
 \wedge
 $is_tuple(M,z,t1,t2,p,t) \wedge is_frc_at(M,P,l,t,o)$

definition

$is_forces_mem' :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_forces_mem'(M,P,l,p,t1,t2) \equiv \exists o[M]. \exists t[M]. number1(M,o) \wedge$
 $is_tuple(M,o,t1,t2,p,t) \wedge is_frc_at(M,P,l,t,o)$

definition

$is_forces_neq' :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_forces_neq'(M,P,l,p,t1,t2) \equiv$
 $\neg (\exists q[M]. q \in P \wedge (\exists qp[M]. pair(M,q,p,qp) \wedge qp \in l \wedge is_forces_eq'(M,P,l,q,t1,t2)))$

definition

$is_forces_nmem' :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_forces_nmem'(M,P,l,p,t1,t2) \equiv$
 $\neg (\exists q[M]. \exists qp[M]. q \in P \wedge pair(M,q,p,qp) \wedge qp \in l \wedge is_forces_mem'(M,P,l,q,t1,t2))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

context

notes $Un_assoc[simp]$ $Un_trasposition_aux2[simp]$

begin

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

5.6 Forcing for general formulas

definition

$ren_forces_nand :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $ren_forces_nand(\varphi) \equiv Exists(And(Equal(0,1), iterates(\lambda p. incr_bv(p)'1, 2, \varphi)))$

lemma $ren_forces_nand_type[TC]$:

$\varphi \in formula \implies ren_forces_nand(\varphi) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_ren_forces_nand* :
assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula}$
shows $\text{arity}(\text{ren_forces_nand}(\varphi)) \leq \text{succ}(\text{arity}(\varphi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_ren_forces_nand*:
 $[q, P, \text{leq}, o, p] @ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies$
 $(M, [q, p, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{env} \models \text{ren_forces_nand}(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow (M, [q, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{env} \models$
 $\varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

ren_forces_forall :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
ren_forces_forall(φ) \equiv
 $\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Equal}(0,6), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(1,7), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(2,8), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(3,9),$
 $\text{And}(\text{Equal}(4,5), \text{iterates}(\lambda p. \text{incr_bv}(p) '5 , 5, \varphi))))))))))$

lemma *arity_ren_forces_all* :
assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula}$
shows $\text{arity}(\text{ren_forces_forall}(\varphi)) = 5 \cup \text{arity}(\varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ren_forces_forall_type*[TC] :
 $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{ren_forces_forall}(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_ren_forces_forall* :
 $[x, P, \text{leq}, o, p] @ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies$
 $(M, [x, p, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{env} \models \text{ren_forces_forall}(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow (M, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, x] @ \text{env}$
 $\models \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.6.1 The primitive recursion

consts *forces'* :: $i \Rightarrow i$

primrec

$\text{forces}'(\text{Member}(x, y)) = \text{forces_mem_fm}(1, 2, 0, x + \omega 4, y + \omega 4)$
 $\text{forces}'(\text{Equal}(x, y)) = \text{forces_eq_fm}(1, 2, 0, x + \omega 4, y + \omega 4)$
 $\text{forces}'(\text{Nand}(p, q)) =$
 $\text{Neg}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0, 2), \text{And}(\text{is_leq_fm}(3, 0, 1), \text{And}(\text{ren_forces_nand}(\text{forces}'(p)),$
 $\text{ren_forces_nand}(\text{forces}'(q)))))))$
 $\text{forces}'(\text{Forall}(p)) = \text{Forall}(\text{ren_forces_forall}(\text{forces}'(p)))$

definition

forces :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{forces}(\varphi) \equiv \text{And}(\text{Member}(0, 1), \text{forces}'(\varphi))$

lemma *forces'_type* [TC]: $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{forces}'(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *forces_type* [TC]: $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{forces}(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$
 ⟨proof⟩

5.7 The arity of *forces*

lemma *arity_forces_at*:
 assumes $x \in \text{nat } y \in \text{nat}$
 shows $\text{arity}(\text{forces}(\text{Member}(x, y))) = (\text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)) +_{\omega} 4$
 $\text{arity}(\text{forces}(\text{Equal}(x, y))) = (\text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)) +_{\omega} 4$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *arity_forces'*:
 assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula}$
 shows $\text{arity}(\text{forces}'(\varphi)) \leq \text{arity}(\varphi) +_{\omega} 4$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *arity_forces* :
 assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula}$
 shows $\text{arity}(\text{forces}(\varphi)) \leq 4 +_{\omega} \text{arity}(\varphi)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *arity_forces_le* :
 assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula } n \in \text{nat } \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq n$
 shows $\text{arity}(\text{forces}(\varphi)) \leq 4 +_{\omega} n$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *rename_split_fm* where
 $\text{rename_split_fm}(\varphi) \equiv (\cdot \exists (\cdot \exists (\cdot \exists (\cdot \exists (\cdot \exists (\cdot \text{snd}(9) \text{ is } 0 \cdot \wedge \cdot \text{fst}(9) \text{ is } 4 \cdot \wedge \cdot 1=11 \cdot$
 \wedge
 $\cdot 2=12 \cdot \wedge \cdot 3=13 \cdot \wedge \cdot 5=7 \cdot \wedge$
 $(\lambda p. \text{incr_bv}(p) '6) \wedge 8(\text{forces}(\varphi)) \dots \dots \dots \cdot) \cdot) \cdot) \cdot)$

lemma *rename_split_fm_type* [TC]: $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{rename_split_fm}(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$
 ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal *arity_rename_split_fm*: $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(\text{rename_split_fm}(\varphi))$
 $= ?m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *arity_rename_split_fm_le*:
 assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula}$
 shows $\text{arity}(\text{rename_split_fm}(\varphi)) \leq 8 \cup (6 +_{\omega} \text{arity}(\varphi))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *body_ground_repl_fm* where

$body_ground_repl_fm(\varphi) \equiv (\cdot \exists (\cdot \exists \cdot is_Vset_fm(2, 0) \wedge \cdot \cdot 1 \in 0 \cdot \wedge rename_split_fm(\varphi) \dots) \cdot)$

lemma *body_ground_repl_fm_type*[TC]: $\varphi \in formula \implies body_ground_repl_fm(\varphi) \in formula$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *arity_body_ground_repl_fm_le*:
notes *le_trans*[trans]
assumes $\varphi \in formula$
shows $arity(body_ground_repl_fm(\varphi)) \leq 6 \cup (arity(\varphi) +_{\omega} 4)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *ground_repl_fm* **where**
 $ground_repl_fm(\varphi) \equiv least_fm(body_ground_repl_fm(\varphi), 1)$

lemma *ground_repl_fm_type*[TC]:
 $\varphi \in formula \implies ground_repl_fm(\varphi) \in formula$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *arity_ground_repl_fm*:
assumes $\varphi \in formula$
shows $arity(ground_repl_fm(\varphi)) \leq 5 \cup (3 +_{\omega} arity(\varphi))$
 ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

definition *ccc_fun_closed_lemma_aux2_fm* **where** [simp]:
 $ccc_fun_closed_lemma_aux2_fm \equiv ren(Collect_fm(1, (\cdot \exists \cdot \cdot 2^v 5 is 0 \cdot \wedge ren(\cdot \cdot 0 \leq^2 7 \cdot \wedge forces(\cdot 0'1 is 2 \cdot) \cdot) \cdot ' 9 \cdot ' 9 \cdot ren_F_aux_fn \cdot \cdot), 7)) \cdot ' 8 \cdot ' 8 \cdot ren_G_aux_fn$

lemma *ccc_fun_closed_lemma_aux2_fm_type* [TC]:
 $ccc_fun_closed_lemma_aux2_fm \in formula$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *ccc_fun_closed_lemma_fm* **where** [simp]:
 $ccc_fun_closed_lemma_fm \equiv ren(Collect_fm(7, (\cdot \exists \cdot \cdot 2^v 5 is 0 \cdot \wedge (\cdot \exists \cdot \cdot 2^v 6 is 0 \cdot \wedge ren((\cdot \exists \cdot \cdot 0 \in 1 \cdot \wedge \cdot \cdot 0 \leq^2 7 \cdot \wedge forces(\cdot 0'1 is 2 \cdot) \dots)) \cdot ' 9 \cdot ' 9 \cdot ren_F_fn \cdot \cdot), 6)) \cdot ' 8 \cdot ' 8 \cdot ren_G_fn$

lemma *ccc_fun_closed_lemma_fm_type* [TC]:
 $ccc_fun_closed_lemma_fm \in formula$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *is_order_body*
where $is_order_body(M, X, x, z) \equiv \exists A[M]. cartprod(M, X, X, A) \wedge subset(M, x, A) \wedge M(z) \wedge M(x) \wedge is_well_ord(M, X, x) \wedge is_ordertype(M, X, x, z)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *omap_wfrec_body* **where**

$omap_wfrec_body(A,r) \equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot image_fm(2, 0, 1) \wedge pred_set_fm(9+\omega A, 3, 9+\omega r, 0) \cdot \cdot)$

lemma *type_omap_wfrec_body_fm* : $A \in nat \implies r \in nat \implies omap_wfrec_body(A,r) \in formula$
<proof>

lemma *arity_omap_wfrec_aux* : $A \in nat \implies r \in nat \implies arity(omap_wfrec_body(A,r)) = (9+\omega A) \cup (9+\omega r)$
<proof>

lemma *arity_omap_wfrec* : $A \in nat \implies r \in nat \implies arity(is_wfrec_fm(omap_wfrec_body(A,r), r+\omega 3, 1, 0)) = (4+\omega A) \cup (4+\omega r)$
<proof>

lemma *arity_isordermap* : $A \in nat \implies r \in nat \implies d \in nat \implies arity(is_ordermap_fm(A,r,d)) = succ(d) \cup (succ(A) \cup succ(r))$
<proof>

lemma *arity_is_ordertype* : $A \in nat \implies r \in nat \implies d \in nat \implies arity(is_ordertype_fm(A,r,d)) = succ(d) \cup (succ(A) \cup succ(r))$
<proof>

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *arity_is_order_body* : $arity(is_order_body_fm(2,0,1)) = 3$
<proof>

definition *H_order_pred* **where**

$H_order_pred(A,r) \equiv \lambda x f . f \text{ `` } Order.pred(A, x, r)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *order_pred_wfrec_body* **where**

$order_pred_wfrec_body(M,A,r,z,x) \equiv \exists y[M].$

$pair(M, x, y, z) \wedge$

$(\exists f[M].$

$(\forall z[M].$

$z \in f \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists xa[M].$

$\exists y[M].$

$\exists xaa[M].$

$\exists sx[M].$

$\exists r_sx[M].$

$\exists f_r_sx[M].$

$pair(M, xa, y, z) \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{pair}(M, xa, x, xaa) \wedge \\
& \text{upair}(M, xa, xa, sx) \wedge \\
& \text{pre_image}(M, r, sx, r_sx) \wedge \\
& \text{restriction}(M, f, r_sx, f_r_sx) \wedge \\
& xaa \in r \wedge (\exists a[M]. \text{image}(M, f_r_sx, a, y) \wedge \\
& \text{pred_set}(M, A, xa, r, a))) \wedge \\
& (\exists a[M]. \text{image}(M, f, a, y) \wedge \text{pred_set}(M, A, x, r, a)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *replacement_is_order_body_fm* **where** *replacement_is_order_body_fm*
 $\equiv \text{is_order_body_fm}(2,0,1)$

definition *wfrec_replacement_order_pred_fm* **where** *wfrec_replacement_order_pred_fm*
 $\equiv \text{order_pred_wfrec_body_fm}(3,2,1,0)$

definition *replacement_is_jump_cardinal_body_fm* **where** *replacement_is_jump_cardinal_body_fm*
 $\equiv \text{is_jump_cardinal_body}'_fm(0,1)$

definition *replacement_is_aleph_fm* **where** *replacement_is_aleph_fm* $\equiv \cdot 0$ is
ordinal $\wedge \cdot \aleph(0)$ is 1.

definition

funspace_succ_rep_intf **where**
funspace_succ_rep_intf $\equiv \lambda p z n. \exists f b. p = \langle f, b \rangle \ \& \ z = \{\text{cons}(\langle n, b \rangle, f)\}$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition

PHrank $:: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
PHrank(*M, f, y, z*) $\equiv (\exists fy[M]. \text{fun_apply}(M, f, y, fy) \wedge \text{successor}(M, fy, z))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *wfrec_Hfrc_at_fm* **where** *wfrec_Hfrc_at_fm* $\equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot \text{pair_fm}(1, 0, 2)$
 $\wedge \text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{Hfrc_at_fm}(8, 9, 2, 1, 0), 5, 1, 0) \cdot \cdot)$

definition *list_repl1_intf_fm* **where** *list_repl1_intf_fm* $\equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot \text{pair_fm}(1, 0, 2)$
 $\wedge \text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{iterates_MH_fm}(\text{list_functor_fm}(13, 1, 0), 10, 2, 1, 0), 3, 1, 0)$
 $\cdot \cdot)$

definition *list_repl2_intf_fm* **where** *list_repl2_intf_fm* $\equiv \cdot 0 \in 4 \cdot \wedge \text{is_iterates_fm}(\text{list_functor_fm}(13,$
 $1, 0), 3, 0, 1) \cdot$

definition *formula_repl2_intf_fm* **where** *formula_repl2_intf_fm* $\equiv \cdot 0 \in 3 \cdot \wedge$
 $\text{is_iterates_fm}(\text{formula_functor_fm}(1, 0), 2, 0, 1) \cdot$

definition *eclose_repl2_intf_fm* **where** *eclose_repl2_intf_fm* $\equiv \cdot 0 \in 3 \cdot \wedge \text{is_iterates_fm}(\cdot \cup 1$
 $\text{is } 0 \cdot, 2, 0, 1) \cdot$

definition *powapply_repl_fm* **where** *powapply_repl_fm* $\equiv \text{is_Powapply_fm}(2,0,1)$

definition *phrank_repl_fm* **where** *phrank_repl_fm* $\equiv \text{PHrank_fm}(2,0,1)$

definition *wfrec_rank_fm* **where** *wfrec_rank_fm* $\equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot \text{pair_fm}(1, 0, 2) \wedge \text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{is_Hrank_fm}(2,$
 $1, 0), 3, 1, 0) \cdot \cdot)$

definition *trans_repl_HVFrom_fm* **where** *trans_repl_HVFrom_fm* $\equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot \text{pair_fm}(1,$
 $0, 2) \wedge \text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{is_HVfrom_fm}(8, 2, 1, 0), 4, 1, 0) \cdot \cdot)$

definition *wfrec_Hcheck_fm* **where** *wfrec_Hcheck_fm* $\equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot \text{pair_fm}(1, 0, 2) \wedge \text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{is_Hcheck_fm}(8, 2, 1, 0), 4, 1, 0) \cdot \cdot)$

definition *repl_PHcheck_fm* **where** *repl_PHcheck_fm* $\equiv \text{PHcheck_fm}(2, 3, 0, 1)$

definition *check_replacement_fm* **where** *check_replacement_fm* $\equiv \cdot \text{check_fm}(0, 2, 1) \wedge \cdot 0 \in 3 \cdot \cdot$

definition *G_dot_in_M_fm* **where** *G_dot_in_M_fm* $\equiv \cdot (\exists \cdot \cdot 1^v \exists \text{is } 0 \cdot \wedge \text{pair_fm}(0, 1, 2) \cdot \cdot) \wedge \cdot 0 \in 3 \cdot \cdot$

definition *repl_opname_check_fm* **where** *repl_opname_check_fm* $\equiv \cdot \text{is_opname_check_fm}(3, 2, 0, 1) \wedge \cdot 0 \in 4 \cdot \cdot$

definition *tl_repl_intf_fm* **where** *tl_repl_intf_fm* $\equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot \text{pair_fm}(1, 0, 2) \wedge \text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{iterates_MH_fm}(\text{tl_fm}(1, 0), 9, 2, 1, 0), 3, 1, 0) \cdot \cdot)$

definition *formula_repl1_intf_fm* **where** *formula_repl1_intf_fm* $\equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot \text{pair_fm}(1, 0, 2) \wedge \text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{iterates_MH_fm}(\text{formula_functor_fm}(1, 0), 9, 2, 1, 0), 3, 1, 0) \cdot \cdot)$

definition *eclose_repl1_intf_fm* **where** *eclose_repl1_intf_fm* $\equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot \text{pair_fm}(1, 0, 2) \wedge \text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{iterates_MH_fm}(\text{big_union_fm}(1, 0), 9, 2, 1, 0), 3, 1, 0) \cdot \cdot)$

definition *replacement_assm* **where**

replacement_assm(*M*, *env*, φ) $\equiv \varphi \in \text{formula} \longrightarrow \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \longrightarrow \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow \text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x y. (M, [x, y]@env \models \varphi))$

definition *ground_replacement_assm* **where**

ground_replacement_assm(*M*, *env*, φ) $\equiv \text{replacement_assm}(M, \text{env}, \text{ground_repl_fm}(\varphi))$

end

6 Interface between set models and Constructibility

This theory provides an interface between Paulson's relativization results and set models of ZFC. In particular, it is used to prove that the locale *forcing_data* is a sublocale of all relevant locales in **ZF-Constructible** (*M_trivial*, *M_basic*, *M_eclose*, etc).

In order to interpret the locales in **ZF-Constructible** we introduce new locales, each stronger than the previous one, assuming only the instances of Replacement needed to interpret the subsequent locales of that session. From the start we assume Separation for every internalized formula (with one parameter, but this is not a problem since we can use pairing).

theory *Interface*

imports

Fm_Definitions

Transitive_Models.Cardinal_AC_Relative

Transitive_Models.M_Basic_No_Repl

begin

```

locale M_Z_basic =
  fixes M
  assumes
    upair_ax:      upair_ax(##M) and
    Union_ax:      Union_ax(##M) and
    power_ax:      power_ax(##M) and
    extensionality:extensionality(##M) and
    foundation_ax: foundation_ax(##M) and
    infinity_ax:   infinity_ax(##M) and
    separation_ax:  $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies$ 
                      $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) \implies$ 
                     separation(##M, $\lambda x. (M, [x] @ \text{env} \models \varphi)$ )

```

```

locale M_transset =
  fixes M
  assumes
    trans_M:      Transset(M)

```

```

locale M_Z_trans = M_Z_basic + M_transset

```

```

locale M_ZF1 = M_Z_basic +
  assumes
    replacement_ax1:
      replacement_assm(M,env,wfrec_Hfrc_at_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,list_repl1_intf_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,list_repl2_intf_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,formula_repl2_intf_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,eclose_repl2_intf_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,powapply_repl_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,phrank_repl_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,wfrec_rank_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,trans_repl_HVFrom_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,wfrec_Hcheck_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,repl_PHcheck_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,check_replacement_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,G_dot_in_M_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,repl_opname_check_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,tl_repl_intf_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,formula_repl1_intf_fm)
      replacement_assm(M,env,eclose_repl1_intf_fm)

```

```

definition instances1_fms where instances1_fms  $\equiv$ 
  { wfrec_Hfrc_at_fm,
    list_repl1_intf_fm,
    list_repl2_intf_fm,
    formula_repl2_intf_fm,
    eclose_repl2_intf_fm,
    powapply_repl_fm,
    phrank_repl_fm,

```

```

wfrec_rank_fm,
trans_repl_HVFrom_fm,
wfrec_Hcheck_fm,
repl_PHcheck_fm,
check_replacement_fm,
G_dot_in_M_fm,
repl_opname_check_fm,
tl_repl_intf_fm,
formula_repl1_intf_fm,
eclose_repl1_intf_fm }

```

This set has 17 internalized formulas.

```

lemmas replacement_instances1_defs = tl_repl_intf_fm_def formula_repl1_intf_fm_def
eclose_repl1_intf_fm_def wfrec_Hfrc_at_fm_def
list_repl1_intf_fm_def list_repl2_intf_fm_def formula_repl2_intf_fm_def
eclose_repl2_intf_fm_def powapply_repl_fm_def phrank_repl_fm_def wfrec_rank_fm_def
trans_repl_HVFrom_fm_def wfrec_Hcheck_fm_def repl_PHcheck_fm_def check_replacement_fm_def
G_dot_in_M_fm_def repl_opname_check_fm_def

```

```

lemma instances1_fms_type[TC]: instances1_fms  $\subseteq$  formula
<proof>

```

```

declare (in M_ZF1) replacement_instances1_defs[simp]

```

```

locale M_ZF1_trans = M_ZF1 + M_Z_trans

```

```

context M_Z_trans
begin

```

```

lemmas transitivity = Transset_intf[OF trans_M]

```

6.1 Interface with $M_{trivial}$

```

lemma zero_in_M:  $0 \in M$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma separation_in_ctm :
assumes
 $\varphi \in \text{formula } env \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 +_{\omega} \text{length}(env)$  and
 $\text{sats}Q: \bigwedge x. x \in M \implies (M, [x]@env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow Q(x)$ 
shows
 $\text{separation}(\#\#M, Q)$ 
<proof>

```

```

end — M_Z_trans

```

```

locale M_ZC_basic = M_Z_basic + M_AC  $\#\#M$ 

```

```

locale M_ZFC1 = M_ZF1 + M_ZC_basic

```


locale $M_ZFC1_trans = M_ZF1_trans + M_ZFC1$

sublocale $M_Z_trans \subseteq M_trans \#\#M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale $M_Z_trans \subseteq M_trivial \#\#M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2 Interface with M_basic

definition *Intersection* **where**

$Intersection(N,B,x) \equiv (\forall y[N]. y \in B \longrightarrow x \in y)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *CartProd* **where**

$CartProd(N,B,C,z) \equiv (\exists x[N]. x \in B \wedge (\exists y[N]. y \in C \wedge pair(N,x,y,z)))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *Image* **where**

$Image(N,B,r,y) \equiv (\exists p[N]. p \in r \wedge (\exists x[N]. x \in B \wedge pair(N,x,y,p)))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *Converse* **where**

$Converse(N,R,z) \equiv \exists p[N]. p \in R \wedge (\exists x[N]. \exists y[N]. pair(N,x,y,p) \wedge pair(N,y,x,z))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *Restrict* **where**

$Restrict(N,A,z) \equiv \exists x[N]. x \in A \wedge (\exists y[N]. pair(N,x,y,z))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *Comp* **where**

$Comp(N,R,S,xz) \equiv \exists x[N]. \exists y[N]. \exists z[N]. \exists xy[N]. \exists yz[N].$
 $pair(N,x,z,xz) \wedge pair(N,x,y,xy) \wedge pair(N,y,z,yz) \wedge xy \in S \wedge yz \in R$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *Pred* **where**

$Pred(N,R,X,y) \equiv \exists p[N]. p \in R \wedge pair(N,y,X,p)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *is_Memrel* **where**

$is_Memrel(N,z) \equiv \exists x[N]. \exists y[N]. pair(N,x,y,z) \wedge x \in y$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *RecFun* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RecFun}(N, r, f, g, a, b, x) \equiv & \exists xa[N]. \exists xb[N]. \\ & \text{pair}(N, x, a, xa) \wedge xa \in r \wedge \text{pair}(N, x, b, xb) \wedge xb \in r \wedge \\ & (\exists fx[N]. \exists gx[N]. \text{fun_apply}(N, f, x, fx) \wedge \text{fun_apply}(N, g, x, gx) \wedge \\ & \quad fx \neq gx) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

context *M_Z_trans*

begin

lemma *inter_sep_intf* :

assumes $A \in M$

shows $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \forall y \in M. y \in A \longrightarrow x \in y)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff_sep_intf* :

assumes $B \in M$

shows $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. x \notin B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cartprod_sep_intf* :

assumes $A \in M$ **and** $B \in M$

shows $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists x \in M. x \in A \wedge (\exists y \in M. y \in B \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, z)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image_sep_intf* :

assumes $A \in M$ **and** $B \in M$

shows $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists p \in M. p \in B \wedge (\exists x \in M. x \in A \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, p)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse_sep_intf* :

assumes $R \in M$

shows $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists p \in M. p \in R \wedge (\exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, p) \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, y, x, z)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict_sep_intf* :

assumes $A \in M$

shows $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists x \in M. x \in A \wedge (\exists y \in M. \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, z)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp_sep_intf* :

assumes $R \in M$ **and** $S \in M$

shows $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda xz. \exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. \exists z \in M. \exists xy \in M. \exists yz \in M.$

$\text{pair}(\#\#M, x, z, xz) \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, xy) \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, y, z, yz) \wedge xy \in S \wedge$

$yz \in R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pred_sep_intf*:
assumes $R \in M$ and $X \in M$
shows $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists p \in M. p \in R \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, y, X, p))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *memrel_sep_intf*:
 $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, z) \wedge x \in y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is_recfun_sep_intf* :
assumes $r \in M$ $f \in M$ $g \in M$ $a \in M$ $b \in M$
shows $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \exists xa \in M. \exists xb \in M.$
 $\quad \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, a, xa) \wedge xa \in r \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, b, xb) \wedge xb \in r \wedge$
 $\quad (\exists fx \in M. \exists gx \in M. \text{fun_apply}(\#\#M, f, x, fx) \wedge \text{fun_apply}(\#\#M, g, x, gx)$
 $\quad \wedge$
 $\quad \quad \quad fx \neq gx))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *M_basic_sep_instances* =
inter_sep_intf *diff_sep_intf* *cartprod_sep_intf*
image_sep_intf *converse_sep_intf* *restrict_sep_intf*
pred_sep_intf *memrel_sep_intf* *comp_sep_intf* *is_recfun_sep_intf*
end — *M_Z_trans*

sublocale *M_Z_trans* \subseteq *M_basic_no_repl* $\#\#M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Replace_eq_Collect*:
assumes $\bigwedge x y y'. x \in A \implies P(x, y) \implies P(x, y') \implies y = y' \{y . x \in A, P(x, y)\}$
 $\subseteq B$
shows $\{y . x \in A, P(x, y)\} = \{y \in B . \exists x \in A. P(x, y)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

context *M_Z_trans*
begin

lemma *Pow_inter_M_closed*: **assumes** $A \in M$ **shows** $\text{Pow}(A) \cap M \in M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Pow'_inter_M_closed*: **assumes** $A \in M$ **shows** $\{a \in \text{Pow}(A) . a \in M\}$
 $\in M$
 ⟨proof⟩

end — *M_Z_trans*

context *M_basic_no_repl*

begin

lemma *Replace_funspace_succ_rep_intf_sub*:

assumes

$M(A) M(n)$

shows

$\{z . p \in A, \text{funspace_succ_rep_intf_rel}(M,p,z,n)\}$
 $\subseteq \text{Pow}^M(\text{Pow}^M(\bigcup \text{domain}(A) \cup (\{n\} \times \text{range}(A)) \cup (\bigcup (\{n\} \times \text{range}(A))))))$
<proof>

lemma *funspace_succ_rep_intf_uniq*:

assumes

$\text{funspace_succ_rep_intf_rel}(M,p,z,n) \text{ funspace_succ_rep_intf_rel}(M,p,z',n)$

shows

$z = z'$

<proof>

lemma *Replace_funspace_succ_rep_intf_eq*:

assumes

$M(A) M(n)$

shows

$\{z . p \in A, \text{funspace_succ_rep_intf_rel}(M,p,z,n)\} =$
 $\{z \in \text{Pow}^M(\text{Pow}^M(\bigcup \text{domain}(A) \cup (\{n\} \times \text{range}(A)) \cup (\bigcup (\{n\} \times \text{range}(A))))))$
 \cdot
 $\exists p \in A. \text{funspace_succ_rep_intf_rel}(M,p,z,n)\}$
<proof>

end — *M_basic_no_repl*

definition *fsri* **where**

$\text{fsri}(N,A,B) \equiv \lambda z. \exists p \in A. \exists f[N]. \exists b[N]. p = \langle f, b \rangle \wedge z = \{\text{cons}(\langle B, b \rangle, f)\}$

<ML>

context *M_Z_trans*

begin

lemma *separation_fsri*:

$(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(B) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \text{is_fsri}(\#\#M,A,B))$
<proof>

lemma *separation_funspace_succ_rep_intf_rel*:

$(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(B) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists p \in A. \text{funspace_succ_rep_intf_rel}(\#\#M,p,z,B))$
<proof>

lemma *Replace_funspace_succ_rep_intf_in_M*:

assumes

$A \in M \ n \in M$

shows
 $\{z . p \in A, \text{funspace_succ_rep_intf_rel}(\#\#M, p, z, n)\} \in M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *funspace_succ_rep_intf*:

assumes $n \in M$

shows

strong_replacement($\#\#M$,

$\lambda p z. \exists f \in M. \exists b \in M. \exists nb \in M. \exists cnbf \in M.$

$\text{pair}(\#\#M, f, b, p) \wedge \text{pair}(\#\#M, n, b, nb) \wedge \text{is_cons}(\#\#M, nb, f, cnbf) \wedge$
 $\text{upair}(\#\#M, cnbf, cnbf, z))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — *M_Z_trans*

sublocale $M_Z_trans \subseteq M_basic \#\#M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.3 Interface with *M_trancl*

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *rtrancl_separation_intf*:

assumes $r \in M \ A \in M$

shows *separation* ($\#\#M, \text{rtran_closure_mem}(\#\#M, A, r)$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *M_ZF1_trans*

begin

lemma *wftrancl_separation_intf*:

assumes $r \in M$ **and** $Z \in M$

shows *separation* ($\#\#M, \text{wellfounded_trancl}(\#\#M, Z, r)$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

To prove $\omega \in M$ we get an infinite set I from *infinity_ax* closed under \emptyset and *succ*; that shows $\omega \subseteq I$. Then we can separate I with the predicate $\lambda x. x \in \omega$.

lemma *finite_sep_intf*: *separation*($\#\#M, \lambda x. x \in \text{nat}$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat_subset_I*: $\exists I \in M. \text{nat} \subseteq I$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat_in_M*: $\text{nat} \in M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — *M_ZF1_trans*

sublocale $M_ZF1_trans \subseteq M_trancl \#\#M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.4 Interface with M_eclose

lemma *repl_sats*:

assumes

sat: $\bigwedge x z. x \in M \implies z \in M \implies (M, \text{Cons}(x, \text{Cons}(z, \text{env}))) \models \varphi \iff P(x, z)$

shows

strong_replacement($\#\#M, \lambda x z. (M, \text{Cons}(x, \text{Cons}(z, \text{env}))) \models \varphi$) \iff

strong_replacement($\#\#M, P$)

<proof>

<ML>

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *list_repl1_intf*:

assumes $A \in M$

shows *iterates_replacement*($\#\#M, \text{is_list_functor}(\#\#M, A), 0$)

<proof>

This lemma obtains *iterates_replacement* for predicates without parameters.

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *iterates_repl_intf* :

assumes

$v \in M$ **and**

isfm: $\text{is_F_fm} \in \text{formula}$ **and**

arty: $\text{arity}(\text{is_F_fm}) = 2$ **and**

satsf: $\bigwedge a b \text{ env}'. \llbracket a \in M ; b \in M ; \text{env}' \in \text{list}(M) \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{is_F}(a, b) \iff (M, [b, a] @ \text{env}' \models \text{is_F_fm})$

and *is_F_fm_replacement*:

$\bigwedge \text{env}. (\exists \cdot \cdot \langle 1, 0 \rangle \text{ is } 2 \wedge \text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{iterates_MH_fm}(\text{is_F_fm}, 9, 2, 1, 0), 3, 1, 0)$

$\cdot \cdot) \in \text{formula} \implies \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies$

$\text{arity}((\exists \cdot \cdot \langle 1, 0 \rangle \text{ is } 2 \wedge \text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{iterates_MH_fm}(\text{is_F_fm}, 9, 2, 1, 0), 3, 1, 0)$

$\cdot \cdot)) \leq 2 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) \implies$

strong_replacement($\#\#M, \lambda x y.$

$M, [x, y] @ \text{env} \models (\exists \cdot \cdot \langle 1, 0 \rangle \text{ is } 2 \wedge \text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{iterates_MH_fm}(\text{is_F_fm}, 9, 2, 1, 0), 3, 1, 0)$

$\cdot \cdot))$

shows

iterates_replacement($\#\#M, \text{is_F}, v$)

<proof>

<ML>

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *formula_repl1_intf* :

iterates_replacement($\#\#M, \text{is_formula_functor}(\#\#M), 0$)

<proof>

<ML>

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *tl_repl_intf*:

assumes $l \in M$

shows *iterates_replacement*($\#\#M, \lambda l' t. \text{is_tl}(\#\#M, l', t), l$)

<proof>

<ML>

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *eclose_repl1_intf*:
assumes $A \in M$
shows $\text{iterates_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{big_union}(\#\#M), A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *list_repl2_intf*:
assumes $A \in M$
shows $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda n y. n \in \text{nat} \wedge$
 $\text{is_iterates}(\#\#M, \text{is_list_functor}(\#\#M, A), 0, n, y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *formula_repl2_intf*:
shows $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda n y. n \in \text{nat} \wedge \text{is_iterates}(\#\#M, \text{is_formula_functor}(\#\#M),$
 $0, n, y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *eclose_repl2_intf*:
assumes $A \in M$
shows $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda n y. n \in \text{nat} \wedge \text{is_iterates}(\#\#M, \text{big_union}(\#\#M),$
 $A, n, y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $M_ZF1_trans \subseteq M_datatypes \#\#M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale $M_ZF1_trans \subseteq M_eclose \#\#M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Interface with *M_eclose*.

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *Powapply_repl* :
assumes $f \in M$
shows $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x y. y = \text{Powapply_rel}(\#\#M, f, x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *phrank_repl* :
assumes
 $f \in M$
shows
 $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x y. y = \text{succ}(f'x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *is_Hrank_fm_def*[*fm_definitions add*]
declare *PHrank_fm_def*[*fm_definitions add*]

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *wfrec_rank* :
assumes $X \in M$
shows $\text{wfrec_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is_Hrank}(\#\#M), \text{rrank}(X))$

<proof>

schematic_goal *sats_is_Vset_fm_auto*:

assumes

$i \in \text{nat } v \in \text{nat } \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \ 0 \in A$

$i < \text{length}(\text{env}) \ v < \text{length}(\text{env})$

shows

$\text{is_Vset}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(i, \text{env}), \text{nth}(v, \text{env})) \longleftrightarrow (A, \text{env} \models \text{?ivs_fm}(i, v))$

<proof>

<ML>

lemma (*in* M_ZF1_trans) *trans_repl_HVFrom* :

assumes $A \in M \ i \in M$

shows $\text{transrec_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is_HVfrom}(\#\#M, A), i)$

<proof>

sublocale $M_ZF1_trans \subseteq M_Vfrom \ \#\#M$

<proof>

6.5 Interface for proving Collects and Replace in M.

context M_ZF1_trans

begin

lemma *Collect_in_M* :

assumes

$\varphi \in \text{formula } \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$

$\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) \ A \in M$ **and**

$\text{sats}Q: \bigwedge x. x \in M \implies (M, [x]@\text{env} \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow Q(x)$

shows

$\{y \in A . Q(y)\} \in M$

<proof>

lemma *separation_in_M* :

assumes

$\varphi \in \text{formula } \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$

$\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) \ A \in M$ **and**

$\text{sats}Q: \bigwedge x. x \in A \implies (M, [x]@\text{env} \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow Q(x)$

shows

$\{y \in A . Q(y)\} \in M$

<proof>

end — M_ZF1_trans

context M_Z_trans

begin

lemma *strong_replacement_in_ctm*:

assumes

f_fm: $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ **and**
f_ar: $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env})$ **and**
fsats: $\bigwedge x y. x \in M \implies y \in M \implies (M, [x, y]@env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$ **and**
fclosed: $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies f(x) \in M$ **and**
phi_replacement: $\text{replacement_assm}(M, \text{env}, \varphi)$ **and**
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$
shows $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x y. y = f(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma strong_replacement_rel_in_ctm :

assumes
f_fm: $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ **and**
f_ar: $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env})$ **and**
fsats: $\bigwedge x y. x \in M \implies y \in M \implies (M, [x, y]@env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow f(x, y)$ **and**
phi_replacement: $\text{replacement_assm}(M, \text{env}, \varphi)$ **and**
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$
shows $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Replace_in_M :

assumes
f_fm: $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ **and**
f_ar: $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env})$ **and**
fsats: $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in M \implies (M, [x, y]@env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$ **and**
fclosed: $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f(x) \in M$ **and**
 $A \in M$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ **and**
phi'_replacement: $\text{replacement_assm}(M, \text{env}@[A], \cdot\varphi \wedge \cdot 0 \in \text{length}(\text{env}) +_{\omega} 2 \cdot \cdot)$
 \rangle
shows $\{f(x) . x \in A\} \in M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Replace_relativized_in_M :

assumes
f_fm: $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ **and**
f_ar: $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env})$ **and**
fsats: $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in M \implies (M, [x, y]@env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow \text{is}_f(x, y)$ **and**
fabs: $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in M \implies \text{is}_f(x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$ **and**
fclosed: $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f(x) \in M$ **and**
 $A \in M$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ **and**
phi'_replacement: $\text{replacement_assm}(M, \text{env}@[A], \cdot\varphi \wedge \cdot 0 \in \text{length}(\text{env}) +_{\omega} 2 \cdot \cdot)$
 \rangle
shows $\{f(x) . x \in A\} \in M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ren_action :

assumes
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ $x \in M$ $y \in M$ $z \in M$
shows $\forall i . i < 2 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nth}(i, [x, z]@env) = \text{nth}(\rho_repl(\text{length}(\text{env}))'i, [z, x, y]@env)$

<proof>

lemma *Lambda_in_M* :

assumes

f_fm: $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ **and**

f_ar: $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 + \omega \text{ length}(\text{env})$ **and**

fsats: $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in M \implies (M, [x, y]@env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_f}(x, y)$ **and**

fabs: $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in M \implies \text{is_f}(x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$ **and**

fclosed: $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f(x) \in M$ **and**

$A \in M$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ **and**

phi'_replacement2: $\text{replacement_assm}(M, \text{env}@[A], \text{Lambda_in_M_fm}(\varphi, \text{length}(\text{env})))$

shows $(\lambda x \in A. f(x)) \in M$

<proof>

lemma *ren_action'* :

assumes

$\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ $x \in M$ $y \in M$ $z \in M$ $u \in M$

shows $\forall i. i < 3 + \omega \text{ length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow$

$\text{nth}(i, [x, z, u]@env) = \text{nth}(\text{pair_repl}(\text{length}(\text{env}))'i, [x, z, y, u]@env)$

<proof>

lemma *LambdaPair_in_M* :

assumes

f_fm: $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ **and**

f_ar: $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 3 + \omega \text{ length}(\text{env})$ **and**

fsats: $\bigwedge x z r. x \in M \implies z \in M \implies r \in M \implies (M, [x, z, r]@env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_f}(x, z, r)$

and

fabs: $\bigwedge x z r. x \in M \implies z \in M \implies r \in M \implies \text{is_f}(x, z, r) \longleftrightarrow r = f(x, z)$ **and**

fclosed: $\bigwedge x z. x \in M \implies z \in M \implies f(x, z) \in M$ **and**

$A \in M$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ **and**

phi'_replacement3: $\text{replacement_assm}(M, \text{env}@[A], \text{LambdaPair_in_M_fm}(\varphi, \text{length}(\text{env})))$

shows $(\lambda x \in A. f(\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x))) \in M$

<proof>

lemma (*in M_ZF1_trans*) *lam_replacement2_in_ctm* :

assumes

f_fm: $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ **and**

f_ar: $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 3 + \omega \text{ length}(\text{env})$ **and**

fsats: $\bigwedge x z r. x \in M \implies z \in M \implies r \in M \implies (M, [x, z, r]@env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_f}(x, z, r)$

and

fabs: $\bigwedge x z r. x \in M \implies z \in M \implies r \in M \implies \text{is_f}(x, z, r) \longleftrightarrow r = f(x, z)$ **and**

fclosed: $\bigwedge x z. x \in M \implies z \in M \implies f(x, z) \in M$ **and**

$\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ **and**

phi'_replacement3: $\bigwedge A. A \in M \implies \text{replacement_assm}(M, \text{env}@[A], \text{LambdaPair_in_M_fm}(\varphi, \text{length}(\text{env})))$

shows $\text{lam_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x. f(\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x)))$

<proof>

<ML>

lemma *separation_sat_after_function_1:*

assumes $[a,b,c,d] \in \text{list}(M)$ **and** $\chi \in \text{formula}$ **and** $\text{arity}(\chi) \leq 6$
and
f_fm: $f_fm \in \text{formula}$ **and**
f_ar: $\text{arity}(f_fm) \leq 6$ **and**
fsats: $\bigwedge fx x. fx \in M \implies x \in M \implies (M, [fx, x] @ [a, b, c, d] \models f_fm) \longleftrightarrow fx = f(x)$
and
fclosed: $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies f(x) \in M$ **and**
g_fm: $g_fm \in \text{formula}$ **and**
g_ar: $\text{arity}(g_fm) \leq 7$ **and**
gsats: $\bigwedge gx fx x. gx \in M \implies fx \in M \implies x \in M \implies (M, [gx, fx, x] @ [a, b, c, d] \models g_fm) \longleftrightarrow gx = g(x)$ **and**
gclosed: $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies g(x) \in M$
shows $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda r. M, [f(r), a, b, c, d, g(r)] \models \chi)$
<proof>

lemma *separation_sat_after_function3:*

assumes $[a, b, c, d] \in \text{list}(M)$ **and** $\chi \in \text{formula}$ **and** $\text{arity}(\chi) \leq 7$
and
f_fm: $f_fm \in \text{formula}$ **and**
f_ar: $\text{arity}(f_fm) \leq 6$ **and**
fsats: $\bigwedge fx x. fx \in M \implies x \in M \implies (M, [fx, x] @ [a, b, c, d] \models f_fm) \longleftrightarrow fx = f(x)$
and
fclosed: $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies f(x) \in M$ **and**
g_fm: $g_fm \in \text{formula}$ **and**
g_ar: $\text{arity}(g_fm) \leq 7$ **and**
gsats: $\bigwedge gx fx x. gx \in M \implies fx \in M \implies x \in M \implies (M, [gx, fx, x] @ [a, b, c, d] \models g_fm) \longleftrightarrow gx = g(x)$ **and**
gclosed: $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies g(x) \in M$ **and**
h_fm: $h_fm \in \text{formula}$ **and**
h_ar: $\text{arity}(h_fm) \leq 8$ **and**
hsats: $\bigwedge hx gx fx x. hx \in M \implies gx \in M \implies fx \in M \implies x \in M \implies (M, [hx, gx, fx, x] @ [a, b, c, d] \models h_fm) \longleftrightarrow hx = h(x)$ **and**
hclosed: $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies h(x) \in M$
shows $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda r. M, [f(r), a, b, c, d, g(r), h(r)] \models \chi)$
<proof>

lemma *separation_sat_after_function:*

assumes $[a, b, c, d, \tau] \in \text{list}(M)$ **and** $\chi \in \text{formula}$ **and** $\text{arity}(\chi) \leq 7$
and
f_fm: $f_fm \in \text{formula}$ **and**
f_ar: $\text{arity}(f_fm) \leq 7$ **and**
fsats: $\bigwedge fx x. fx \in M \implies x \in M \implies (M, [fx, x] @ [a, b, c, d, \tau] \models f_fm) \longleftrightarrow fx = f(x)$ **and**
fclosed: $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies f(x) \in M$ **and**
g_fm: $g_fm \in \text{formula}$ **and**
g_ar: $\text{arity}(g_fm) \leq 8$ **and**
gsats: $\bigwedge gx fx x. gx \in M \implies fx \in M \implies x \in M \implies (M, [gx, fx, x] @ [a, b, c, d, \tau] \models g_fm) \longleftrightarrow gx = g(x)$ **and**

```

    gclosed:  $\bigwedge x . x \in M \implies g(x) \in M$ 
  shows separation( $\#\#M, \lambda r. M, [f(r), a, b, c, d, \tau, g(r)] \models \chi$ )
  <proof>

end — M_Z_trans

end

```

7 Transitive set models of ZF

This theory defines locales for countable transitive models of ZF , and on top of that, one that includes a forcing notion. Weakened versions of both locales are included, that only assume finitely many replacement instances.

```

theory Forcing_Data
  imports
    Forcing_Notions
    Cohen_Posets_Relative
    Interface
  begin

  locale M_ctm1 = M_ZF1_trans +
    fixes enum
    assumes M_countable:   enum  $\in$  bij( $nat, M$ )

  locale M_ctm1_AC = M_ctm1 + M_ZFC1_trans

```

7.1 A forcing locale and generic filters

Ideally, countability should be separated from the assumption of this locale. The fact is that our present proofs of the "definition of forces" (and many consequences) and of the lemma for "forcing a value" of function unnecessarily depend on the countability of the ground model.

```

locale forcing_data1 = forcing_notion + M_ctm1 +
  assumes P_in_M:      P  $\in$  M
  and leq_in_M:      leq  $\in$  M

context forcing_data1
begin

```

```

lemma P_sub_M : P  $\subseteq$  M
  <proof>

```

```

definition
  M_generic ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  where
  M_generic(G)  $\equiv$  filter(G)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall D \in M. D \subseteq P \wedge$  dense(D)  $\implies D \cap G \neq \emptyset$ )

```

lemma *M_genericD* [dest]: $M_generic(G) \implies x \in G \implies x \in P$
<proof>

lemma *M_generic_leqD* [dest]: $M_generic(G) \implies p \in G \implies q \in P \implies p \preceq q \implies q \in G$
<proof>

lemma *M_generic_compatD* [dest]: $M_generic(G) \implies p \in G \implies r \in G \implies \exists q \in G. q \preceq p \wedge q \preceq r$
<proof>

lemma *M_generic_denseD* [dest]: $M_generic(G) \implies dense(D) \implies D \subseteq P \implies D \in M \implies \exists q \in G. q \in D$
<proof>

lemma *G_nonempty*: $M_generic(G) \implies G \neq 0$
<proof>

lemma *one_in_G* :
 assumes $M_generic(G)$
 shows $1 \in G$
<proof>

lemma *G_subset_M*: $M_generic(G) \implies G \subseteq M$
<proof>

declare *iff_trans* [trans]

lemma *generic_filter_existence*:
 $p \in P \implies \exists G. p \in G \wedge M_generic(G)$
<proof>

lemma *one_in_M*: $1 \in M$
<proof>

end — *forcing_data1*

end

8 The definition of forces

theory *Forces_Definition*

imports

Forcing_Data

begin

This is the core of our development.

8.1 The relation *frecrel*

lemma *names_belowsD*:

assumes $x \in \text{names_below}(P, z)$

obtains $f \ n1 \ n2 \ p$ **where**

$x = \langle f, n1, n2, p \rangle \ f \in 2 \ n1 \in \text{ecloseN}(z) \ n2 \in \text{ecloseN}(z) \ p \in P$

<proof>

context *forcing_data1*

begin

lemma *ftype_abs*:

$\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_ftype}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{ftype}(x)$

<proof>

lemma *name1_abs*:

$\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_name1}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{name1}(x)$

<proof>

lemma *snd_snd_abs*:

$\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_snd_snd}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{snd}(\text{snd}(x))$

<proof>

lemma *name2_abs*:

$\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_name2}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{name2}(x)$

<proof>

lemma *cond_of_abs*:

$\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_cond_of}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{cond_of}(x)$

<proof>

lemma *tuple_abs*:

$\llbracket z \in M; t1 \in M; t2 \in M; p \in M; t \in M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{is_tuple}(\#\#M, z, t1, t2, p, t) \longleftrightarrow t = \langle z, t1, t2, p \rangle$

<proof>

lemmas *components_abs = ftype_abs name1_abs name2_abs cond_of_abs*

tuple_abs

lemma *comp_in_M*:

$p \preceq q \implies p \in M$

$p \preceq q \implies q \in M$

<proof>

lemma *eq_case_abs [simp]*:

assumes $t1 \in M \ t2 \in M \ p \in M \ f \in M$

shows $\text{is_eq_case}(\#\#M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \longleftrightarrow \text{eq_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mem_case_abs* [simp]:

assumes $t1 \in M$ $t2 \in M$ $p \in M$ $f \in M$

shows $\text{is_mem_case}(\#\#M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \longleftrightarrow \text{mem_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Hfrc_abs*:

$\llbracket f \text{ nnc} \in M; f \in M \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{is_Hfrc}(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}, f \text{ nnc}, f) \longleftrightarrow \text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, f \text{ nnc}, f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Hfrc_at_abs*:

$\llbracket f \text{ nnc} \in M; f \in M; z \in M \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{is_Hfrc_at}(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}, f \text{ nnc}, f, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{bool_of_o}(\text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, f \text{ nnc}, f))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *components_closed* :

$x \in M \implies (\#\#M)(\text{ftype}(x))$

$x \in M \implies (\#\#M)(\text{name1}(x))$

$x \in M \implies (\#\#M)(\text{name2}(x))$

$x \in M \implies (\#\#M)(\text{cond_of}(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ecloseN_closed*:

$(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(\text{ecloseN}(A))$

$(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(\text{eclose_n}(\text{name1}, A))$

$(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(\text{eclose_n}(\text{name2}, A))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose_n_abs* :

assumes $x \in M$ $ec \in M$

shows $\text{is_eclose_n}(\#\#M, \text{is_name1}, ec, x) \longleftrightarrow ec = \text{eclose_n}(\text{name1}, x)$

$\text{is_eclose_n}(\#\#M, \text{is_name2}, ec, x) \longleftrightarrow ec = \text{eclose_n}(\text{name2}, x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ecloseN_abs* :

$\llbracket x \in M; ec \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_ecloseN}(\#\#M, x, ec) \longleftrightarrow ec = \text{ecloseN}(x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frecR_abs* :

$x \in M \implies y \in M \implies \text{frecR}(x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_frecR}(\#\#M, x, y)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frecrelP_abs* :

$z \in M \implies \text{frecrelP}(\#\#M, z) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge \text{frecR}(x, y))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frecrel_abs*:

assumes $A \in M$ $r \in M$

shows $is_frecrel(\#\#M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow r = frecrel(A)$

<proof>

lemma *frecrel_closed*:

assumes $x \in M$

shows $frecrel(x) \in M$

<proof>

lemma *field_frecrel* : $field(frecrel(names_below(P, x))) \subseteq names_below(P, x)$

<proof>

lemma *forcerelD* : $uv \in forcerel(P, x) \implies uv \in names_below(P, x) \times names_below(P, x)$

<proof>

lemma *wf_forcerel* :

$wf(forcerel(P, x))$

<proof>

lemma *restrict_trancl_forcerel*:

assumes $frecrel(w, y)$

shows $restrict(f, frecrel(names_below(P, x)) - \{\!-\}y) 'w$
 $= restrict(f, forcerel(P, x) - \{\!-\}y) 'w$

<proof>

lemma *names_belowI* :

assumes $frecrel(\langle ft, n1, n2, p \rangle, \langle a, b, c, d \rangle)$ $p \in P$

shows $\langle ft, n1, n2, p \rangle \in names_below(P, \langle a, b, c, d \rangle)$ (**is** $?x \in names_below(_, ?y)$)

<proof>

lemma *names_below_tr* :

assumes $x \in names_below(P, y)$ $y \in names_below(P, z)$

shows $x \in names_below(P, z)$

<proof>

lemma *arg_into_names_below2* :

assumes $\langle x, y \rangle \in frecrel(names_below(P, z))$

shows $x \in names_below(P, y)$

<proof>

lemma *arg_into_names_below* :

assumes $\langle x, y \rangle \in frecrel(names_below(P, z))$

shows $x \in names_below(P, x)$

<proof>

lemma *forcerel_arg_into_names_below* :

assumes $\langle x, y \rangle \in forcerel(P, z)$

shows $x \in names_below(P, x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *names_below_mono* :

assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names_below}(P,z))$

shows $\text{names_below}(P,x) \subseteq \text{names_below}(P,y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frecrel_mono* :

assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names_below}(P,z))$

shows $\text{frecrel}(\text{names_below}(P,x)) \subseteq \text{frecrel}(\text{names_below}(P,y))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcere1_mono2* :

assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names_below}(P,z))$

shows $\text{forcere1}(P,x) \subseteq \text{forcere1}(P,y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcere1_mono_aux* :

assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names_below}(P,w))^\wedge+$

shows $\text{forcere1}(P,x) \subseteq \text{forcere1}(P,y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcere1_mono* :

assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{forcere1}(P,z)$

shows $\text{forcere1}(P,x) \subseteq \text{forcere1}(P,y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcere1_eq_aux*: $x \in \text{names_below}(P,w) \implies \langle x,y \rangle \in \text{forcere1}(P,z) \implies$

$(y \in \text{names_below}(P,w) \longrightarrow \langle x,y \rangle \in \text{forcere1}(P,w))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcere1_eq* :

assumes $\langle z,x \rangle \in \text{forcere1}(P,x)$

shows $\text{forcere1}(P,z) = \text{forcere1}(P,x) \cap \text{names_below}(P,z) \times \text{names_below}(P,z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcere1_below_aux* :

assumes $\langle z,x \rangle \in \text{forcere1}(P,x)$ $\langle u,z \rangle \in \text{forcere1}(P,x)$

shows $u \in \text{names_below}(P,z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcere1_below* :

assumes $\langle z,x \rangle \in \text{forcere1}(P,x)$

shows $\text{forcere1}(P,x) - \{\{z\}\} \subseteq \text{names_below}(P,z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation_forcere1* :

shows $\text{relation}(\text{forcere1}(P,z)) \text{ trans}(\text{forcere1}(P,z))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Hfrc_restrict_trancl*: $\text{bool_of_o}(\text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, y, \text{restrict}(f, \text{frcrel}(\text{names_below}(P, x)) - \{\!-\}\{y\}\}))$
 $= \text{bool_of_o}(\text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, y, \text{restrict}(f, (\text{frcrel}(\text{names_below}(P, x)) \hat{+}) - \{\!-\}\{y\}\}))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *frc_at_trancl*: $\text{frc_at}(P, \text{leq}, z) = \text{wfrec}(\text{forcerel}(P, z), z, \lambda x f. \text{bool_of_o}(\text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, x, f)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *forcerelI1* :
assumes $n1 \in \text{domain}(b) \vee n1 \in \text{domain}(c) \ p \in P \ d \in P$
shows $\langle \langle 1, n1, b, p \rangle, \langle 0, b, c, d \rangle \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P, \langle 0, b, c, d \rangle)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *forcerelI2* :
assumes $n1 \in \text{domain}(b) \vee n1 \in \text{domain}(c) \ p \in P \ d \in P$
shows $\langle \langle 1, n1, c, p \rangle, \langle 0, b, c, d \rangle \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P, \langle 0, b, c, d \rangle)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *forcerelI3* :
assumes $\langle n2, r \rangle \in c \ p \in P \ d \in P \ r \in P$
shows $\langle \langle 0, b, n2, p \rangle, \langle 1, b, c, d \rangle \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P, \langle 1, b, c, d \rangle)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *forcerelI* = *forcerelI1*[*THEN* *vimage_singleton_iff*[*THEN* *iffD2*]]
forcerelI2[*THEN* *vimage_singleton_iff*[*THEN* *iffD2*]]
forcerelI3[*THEN* *vimage_singleton_iff*[*THEN* *iffD2*]]

lemma *aux_def_frc_at*:
assumes $z \in \text{forcerel}(P, x) - \{\!-\}\{x\}$
shows $\text{wfrec}(\text{forcerel}(P, x), z, H) = \text{wfrec}(\text{forcerel}(P, z), z, H)$
 ⟨proof⟩

8.2 Recursive expression of *frc_at*

lemma *def_frc_at* :
assumes $p \in P$
shows
 $\text{frc_at}(P, \text{leq}, \langle \text{ft}, n1, n2, p \rangle) =$
 $\text{bool_of_o}(p \in P \wedge$
 $(\text{ft} = 0 \wedge (\forall s. s \in \text{domain}(n1) \cup \text{domain}(n2) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall q. q \in P \wedge q \preceq p \longrightarrow (\text{frc_at}(P, \text{leq}, \langle 1, s, n1, q \rangle) = 1 \longleftrightarrow \text{frc_at}(P, \text{leq}, \langle 1, s, n2, q \rangle)$
 $= 1))))$
 $\vee \text{ft} = 1 \wedge (\forall v \in P. v \preceq p \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists q. \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge q \preceq v \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in n2 \wedge q \preceq r \wedge \text{frc_at}(P, \text{leq}, \langle 0, n1, s, q \rangle)$
 $= 1))))$
 ⟨proof⟩

8.3 Absoluteness of frc_at

lemma *forcerele_in_M* :

assumes $x \in M$
shows $forcerele(P, x) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation2_Hfrc_at_abs*:

$relation2(\#\#M, is_Hfrc_at(\#\#M, P, leq), \lambda x f. bool_of_o(Hfrc(P, leq, x, f)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Hfrc_at_closed* :

$\forall x \in M. \forall g \in M. function(g) \longrightarrow bool_of_o(Hfrc(P, leq, x, g)) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wfrec_Hfrc_at* :

assumes $X \in M$
shows $wfrec_replacement(\#\#M, is_Hfrc_at(\#\#M, P, leq), forcerele(P, X))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *names_below_abs* :

$\llbracket Q \in M; x \in M; nb \in M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow is_names_below(\#\#M, Q, x, nb) \longleftrightarrow nb = names_below(Q, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *names_below_closed*:

$\llbracket Q \in M; x \in M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow names_below(Q, x) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *names_below_productE* :

assumes $Q \in M \ x \in M$
 $\bigwedge A1 \ A2 \ A3 \ A4. A1 \in M \Longrightarrow A2 \in M \Longrightarrow A3 \in M \Longrightarrow A4 \in M \Longrightarrow R(A1$
 $\times A2 \times A3 \times A4)$
shows $R(names_below(Q, x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcerele_abs* :

$\llbracket x \in M; z \in M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow is_forcerele(\#\#M, P, x, z) \longleftrightarrow z = forcerele(P, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frc_at_abs*:

assumes $fnc \in M \ z \in M$
shows $is_frc_at(\#\#M, P, leq, fnc, z) \longleftrightarrow z = frc_at(P, leq, fnc)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forces_eq'_abs* :

$\llbracket p \in M; t1 \in M; t2 \in M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow is_forces_eq'(\#\#M, P, leq, p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow forces_eq'(P, leq, p, t1, t2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forces_mem'_abs* :

$\llbracket p \in M; t1 \in M; t2 \in M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow is_forces_mem'(\#\#M, P, leq, p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow forces_mem'(P, leq, p, t1, t2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forces_neq'_abs* :

assumes $p \in M \ t1 \in M \ t2 \in M$

shows $is_forces_neq'(\#\#M, P, leq, p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow forces_neq'(P, leq, p, t1, t2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forces_nmem'_abs* :

assumes $p \in M \ t1 \in M \ t2 \in M$

shows $is_forces_nmem'(\#\#M, P, leq, p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow forces_nmem'(P, leq, p, t1, t2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

8.4 Forcing for general formulas

lemma *leq_abs*:

$\llbracket l \in M ; q \in M ; p \in M \rrbracket \implies is_leq(\#\#M, l, q, p) \longleftrightarrow \langle q, p \rangle \in l$

$\langle proof \rangle$

8.5 Forcing for atomic formulas in context

definition

$forces_eq :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \ (\langle _ forces_a \ '(_ = _) \rangle [36, 1, 1] \ 60)$ **where**
 $forces_eq \equiv forces_eq'(P, leq)$

definition

$forces_mem :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \ (\langle _ forces_a \ '(_ \in _) \rangle [36, 1, 1] \ 60)$ **where**
 $forces_mem \equiv forces_mem'(P, leq)$

abbreviation *is_forces_eq*

where $is_forces_eq \equiv is_forces_eq'(\#\#M, P, leq)$

abbreviation

$is_forces_mem :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$is_forces_mem \equiv is_forces_mem'(\#\#M, P, leq)$

lemma *def_forces_eq*: $p \in P \implies p \ forces_a \ (t1 = t2) \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall s \in domain(t1) \cup domain(t2). \forall q. q \in P \wedge q \preceq p \longrightarrow$
 $(q \ forces_a \ (s \in t1) \longleftrightarrow q \ forces_a \ (s \in t2)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def_forces_mem*: $p \in P \implies p \ forces_a \ (t1 \in t2) \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall v \in P. v \preceq p \longrightarrow$

$(\exists q. \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge q \preceq v \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in t2 \wedge q \preceq r \wedge q \ forces_a \ (t1 = s)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forces_eq_abs* :

$\llbracket p \in M ; t1 \in M ; t2 \in M \rrbracket \implies is_forces_eq(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow p \ forces_a \ (t1 = t2)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forces_mem_abs* :

$\llbracket p \in M ; t1 \in M ; t2 \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_forces_mem}(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \in t2)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

forces_neq :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow o (\langle _ \text{ forces}_a '(_ \neq _)' \rangle [36, 1, 1] 60)$ **where**
 $p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \neq t2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. q \leq p \wedge q \text{ forces}_a (t1 = t2))$

definition

forces_nmem :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow o (\langle _ \text{ forces}_a '(_ \notin _)' \rangle [36, 1, 1] 60)$ **where**
 $p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \notin t2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. q \leq p \wedge q \text{ forces}_a (t1 \in t2))$

lemma *forces_neq* :

$p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \neq t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_neq}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forces_nmem* :

$p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \notin t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_nmem}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *Forces* :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow o (_ \Vdash _ [36, 36, 36] 60)$ **where**

$p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env} \equiv M, ([p, P, \text{leq}, 1] @ \text{env}) \models \text{forces}(\varphi)$

lemma *sats_forces_Member* :

assumes $x \in \text{nat } y \in \text{nat } \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$

$\text{nth}(x, \text{env}) = xx \text{ nth}(y, \text{env}) = yy \ q \in M$

shows $q \Vdash \cdot x \in y \cdot \text{env} \longleftrightarrow q \in P \wedge \text{is_forces_mem}(q, xx, yy)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_forces_Equal* :

assumes $a \in \text{nat } b \in \text{nat } \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \text{ nth}(a, \text{env}) = x \text{ nth}(b, \text{env}) = y \ q \in M$

shows $q \Vdash \cdot a = b \cdot \text{env} \longleftrightarrow q \in P \wedge \text{is_forces_eq}(q, x, y)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_forces_Nand* :

assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula } \psi \in \text{formula } \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ p \in M$

shows $p \Vdash \cdot \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi) \cdot \text{env} \longleftrightarrow$

$p \in P \wedge \neg(\exists q \in M. q \in P \wedge \text{is_leq}(\#\#M, \text{leq}, q, p) \wedge$

$(M, [q, P, \text{leq}, 1] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\varphi)) \wedge (M, [q, P, \text{leq}, 1] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\psi)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_forces_Neg* :

assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula } \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ p \in M$

shows $p \Vdash \cdot \neg \varphi \cdot \text{env} \longleftrightarrow$

$(p \in P \wedge \neg(\exists q \in M. q \in P \wedge \text{is_leq}(\#\#M, \text{leq}, q, p) \wedge (M, [q, P, \text{leq}, 1] @ \text{env}$

$\models \text{forces}(\varphi))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_forces_Forall* :
assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula } env \in \text{list}(M) \ p \in M$
shows $p \Vdash (\forall \varphi) \ env \longleftrightarrow p \in P \wedge (\forall x \in M. M, [p, P, leq, \mathbf{1}, x] @ \ env \models \text{forces}(\varphi))$
<proof>

end — *forcing_data1*

end

9 Names and generic extensions

theory *Names*
imports
Forcing_Data
FrecR_Arities
begin

definition

$Hv :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Hv(P, G, x, f) \equiv \{ z . y \in \text{domain}(x), (\exists p \in P. \langle y, p \rangle \in x \wedge p \in G) \wedge z = f'y \}$

The function *val* interprets a name in M according to a (generic) filter G .
Note the definition in terms of the well-founded recursor.

definition

$val :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $val(P, G, \tau) \equiv wfrec(\text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{\tau\})), \tau, Hv(P, G))$

definition

$GenExt :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad (_ - _ [71, 1])$
where $M^P[G] \equiv \{ val(P, G, \tau) . \tau \in M \}$

abbreviation (in *forcing_notion*)

$GenExt_at_P :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \quad (_ _ [71, 1])$
where $M[G] \equiv M^P[G]$

9.1 Values and check-names

context *forcing_data1*
begin

definition

$Hcheck :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Hcheck(z, f) \equiv \{ \langle f'y, \mathbf{1} \rangle . y \in z \}$

definition

$check :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $check(x) \equiv \text{transrec}(x, Hcheck)$

lemma *checkD*:

$$\text{check}(x) = \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})), x, \text{Hcheck})$$

<proof>

lemma *Hcheck_trancl*: $\text{Hcheck}(y, \text{restrict}(f, \text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\}))-^{\text{“}\{y\}\text{”}}))$
 $= \text{Hcheck}(y, \text{restrict}(f, (\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\}))^{\wedge+})-^{\text{“}\{y\}\text{”}}))$

<proof>

lemma *check_trancl*: $\text{check}(x) = \text{wfrec}(\text{rcheck}(x), x, \text{Hcheck})$

<proof>

lemma *rcheck_in_M* : $x \in M \implies \text{rcheck}(x) \in M$

<proof>

lemma *aux_def_check*: $x \in y \implies$
 $\text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{y\})), x, \text{Hcheck}) =$
 $\text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})), x, \text{Hcheck})$

<proof>

lemma *def_check* : $\text{check}(y) = \{ \langle \text{check}(w), \mathbf{1} \rangle . w \in y \}$

<proof>

lemma *def_checkS* :

fixes n

assumes $n \in \text{nat}$

shows $\text{check}(\text{succ}(n)) = \text{check}(n) \cup \{ \langle \text{check}(n), \mathbf{1} \rangle \}$

<proof>

lemma *field_Memrel2* :

assumes $x \in M$

shows $\text{field}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\}))) \subseteq M$

<proof>

lemma *aux_def_val*:

assumes $z \in \text{domain}(x)$

shows $\text{wfrec}(\text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})), z, \text{Hv}(P, G)) = \text{wfrec}(\text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{z\})), z, \text{Hv}(P, G))$

<proof>

The next lemma provides the usual recursive expression for the definition of term *val*.

lemma *def_val*: $\text{val}(P, G, x) = \{ z . t \in \text{domain}(x) , (\exists p \in P . \langle t, p \rangle \in x \wedge p \in G) \wedge z = \text{val}(P, G, t) \}$

<proof>

lemma *val_mono* : $x \subseteq y \implies \text{val}(P, G, x) \subseteq \text{val}(P, G, y)$

<proof>

Check-names are the canonical names for elements of the ground model. Here we show that this is the case.

lemma *valcheck* : $\mathbf{1} \in G \implies \mathbf{1} \in P \implies \text{val}(P, G, \text{check}(y)) = y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val_of_name* :
 $\text{val}(P, G, \{x \in A \times P. Q(x)\}) = \{z. t \in A, (\exists p \in P. Q(\langle t, p \rangle) \wedge p \in G) \wedge z = \text{val}(P, G, t)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val_of_name_alt* :
 $\text{val}(P, G, \{x \in A \times P. Q(x)\}) = \{z. t \in A, (\exists p \in P \cap G. Q(\langle t, p \rangle)) \wedge z = \text{val}(P, G, t)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val_only_names*: $\text{val}(P, F, \tau) = \text{val}(P, F, \{x \in \tau. \exists t \in \text{domain}(\tau). \exists p \in P. x = \langle t, p \rangle\})$
 (is $_ = \text{val}(P, F, ?\text{name})$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val_only_pairs*: $\text{val}(P, F, \tau) = \text{val}(P, F, \{x \in \tau. \exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val_subset_domain_times_range*: $\text{val}(P, F, \tau) \subseteq \text{val}(P, F, \text{domain}(\tau) \times \text{range}(\tau))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val_subset_domain_times_P*: $\text{val}(P, F, \tau) \subseteq \text{val}(P, F, \text{domain}(\tau) \times P)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val_of_elem*: $\langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \implies p \in G \implies p \in P \implies \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) \in \text{val}(P, G, \pi)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *elem_of_val*: $x \in \text{val}(P, G, \pi) \implies \exists \vartheta \in \text{domain}(\pi). \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *elem_of_val_pair*: $x \in \text{val}(P, G, \pi) \implies \exists \vartheta. \exists p \in G. \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *elem_of_val_pair'*:
 assumes $\pi \in M \ x \in \text{val}(P, G, \pi)$
 shows $\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in G. \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *GenExtD*: $x \in M[G] \implies \exists \tau \in M. x = \text{val}(P, G, \tau)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *GenExtI*: $x \in M \implies \text{val}(P, G, x) \in M[G]$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Transset_MG* : $\text{Transset}(M[G])$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *transitivity_MG* = *Transset_intf*[*OF Transset_MG*]

This lemma can be proved before having *check_in_M*. At some point Miguel naïvely thought that the *check_in_M* could be proved using this argument.

lemma *check_nat_M* :
 assumes $n \in \text{nat}$
 shows $\text{check}(n) \in M$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *def_PHcheck*:
 assumes
 $z \in M$ $f \in M$
 shows
 $\text{Hcheck}(z, f) = \text{Replace}(z, \text{PHcheck}(\#\#M, \mathbf{1}, f))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wfrec_Hcheck* :
 assumes $X \in M$
 shows $\text{wfrec_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is_Hcheck}(\#\#M, \mathbf{1}), \text{rcheck}(X))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *repl_PHcheck* :
 assumes $f \in M$
 shows $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{PHcheck}(\#\#M, \mathbf{1}, f))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *univ_PHcheck* : $\llbracket z \in M ; f \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{univalent}(\#\#M, z, \text{PHcheck}(\#\#M, \mathbf{1}, f))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *PHcheck_closed* : $\llbracket z \in M ; f \in M ; x \in z ; \text{PHcheck}(\#\#M, \mathbf{1}, f, x, y) \rrbracket \implies (\#\#M)(y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *relation2_Hcheck* : $\text{relation2}(\#\#M, \text{is_Hcheck}(\#\#M, \mathbf{1}), \text{Hcheck})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Hcheck_closed* : $\forall y \in M. \forall g \in M. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow \text{Hcheck}(y, g) \in M$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wf_rcheck* : $x \in M \implies \text{wf}(\text{rcheck}(x))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *trans_rcheck* : $x \in M \implies \text{trans}(\text{rcheck}(x))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *relation_rcheck* : $x \in M \implies \text{relation}(\text{rcheck}(x))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *check_in_M* : $x \in M \implies \text{check}(x) \in M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rcheck_abs[Rel]* : $\llbracket x \in M ; r \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_rcheck}(\#\#M, x, r) \longleftrightarrow r = \text{rcheck}(x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *check_abs[Rel]* :
assumes $x \in M \ z \in M$
shows $\text{is_check}(\#\#M, \mathbf{1}, x, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{check}(x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *check_replacement*: $\{\text{check}(x). x \in P\} \in M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *M_subset_MG* : $\mathbf{1} \in G \implies M \subseteq M[G]$
 ⟨proof⟩

The name for the generic filter

definition
 $G_dot :: i$ **where**
 $G_dot \equiv \{\langle \text{check}(p), p \rangle . p \in P\}$

lemma *G_dot_in_M* : $G_dot \in M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *val_G_dot* :
assumes $G \subseteq P \ \mathbf{1} \in G$
shows $\text{val}(P, G, G_dot) = G$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *G_in_Gen_Ext* :
assumes $G \subseteq P \ \mathbf{1} \in G$
shows $G \in M[G]$
 ⟨proof⟩

end — *forcing_data1*

locale *G_generic1* = *forcing_data1* +
fixes $G :: i$
assumes *generic* : $M_generic(G)$
begin

lemma *zero_in_MG* :
 $0 \in M[G]$
 ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma G_nonempty:  $G \neq 0$ 
  <proof>

end — G_generic1

locale G_generic1_AC = G_generic1 + M_ctm1_AC

end

```

10 The Forcing Theorems

```

theory Forcing_Theorems
  imports
    Cohen_Posets_Relative
    Forces_Definition
    Names

```

```

begin

```

```

context forcing_data1
begin

```

10.1 The forcing relation in context

```

lemma separation_forces :
  assumes
    fty:  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$  and
    far:  $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$  and
    envty:  $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows
     $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda p. (p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}))$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma Collect_forces :
  assumes
     $\varphi \in \text{formula}$  and
     $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$  and
     $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows
     $\{p \in P . p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}\} \in M$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma forces_mem_iff_dense_below:  $p \in P \implies p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \in t2) \iff \text{dense\_below}(\{q \in P. \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in t2 \wedge q \preceq r \wedge q \text{ forces}_a (t1 = s)\}, p)$ 
  <proof>

```

10.2 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)

lemma *strengthening_eq*:
 assumes $p \in P$ $r \in P$ $r \preceq p$ p *forces*_a $(t1 = t2)$
 shows r *forces*_a $(t1 = t2)$
 <proof>

10.3 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)

lemma *strengthening_mem*:
 assumes $p \in P$ $r \in P$ $r \preceq p$ p *forces*_a $(t1 \in t2)$
 shows r *forces*_a $(t1 \in t2)$
 <proof>

10.4 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(b)

lemma *density_mem*:
 assumes $p \in P$
 shows p *forces*_a $(t1 \in t2) \iff \text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. q \text{ forces}_a (t1 \in t2)\}, p)$
 <proof>

lemma *aux_density_eq*:
 assumes
 dense_below(
 $\{q' \in P. \forall q. q \in P \wedge q \preceq q' \implies q \text{ forces}_a (s \in t1) \iff q \text{ forces}_a (s \in t2)\}$
 , p)
 $q \text{ forces}_a (s \in t1)$ $q \in P$ $p \in P$ $q \preceq p$
 shows
 dense_below($\{r \in P. r \text{ forces}_a (s \in t2)\}, q$)
 <proof>

lemma *density_eq*:
 assumes $p \in P$
 shows p *forces*_a $(t1 = t2) \iff \text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. q \text{ forces}_a (t1 = t2)\}, p)$
 <proof>

10.5 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.38

lemma *not_forces_neg*:
 assumes $p \in P$
 shows p *forces*_a $(t1 = t2) \iff \neg (\exists q \in P. q \preceq p \wedge q \text{ forces}_a (t1 \neq t2))$
 <proof>

lemma *not_forces_nmem*:
 assumes $p \in P$
 shows p *forces*_a $(t1 \in t2) \iff \neg (\exists q \in P. q \preceq p \wedge q \text{ forces}_a (t1 \notin t2))$
 <proof>

10.6 The relation of forcing and atomic formulas

lemma *Forces_Equal*:

assumes

$$p \in P \quad t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M \quad env \in list(M) \quad nth(n, env) = t1 \quad nth(m, env) = t2 \quad n \in nat \quad m \in nat$$

shows

$$(p \Vdash Equal(n, m) \ env) \longleftrightarrow p \text{ forces}_a (t1 = t2)$$

<proof>

lemma *Forces_Member*:

assumes

$$p \in P \quad t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M \quad env \in list(M) \quad nth(n, env) = t1 \quad nth(m, env) = t2 \quad n \in nat \quad m \in nat$$

shows

$$(p \Vdash Member(n, m) \ env) \longleftrightarrow p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \in t2)$$

<proof>

lemma *Forces_Neg*:

assumes

$$p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula$$

shows

$$(p \Vdash Neg(\varphi) \ env) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists q \in M. q \in P \wedge q \preceq p \wedge (q \Vdash \varphi \ env))$$

<proof>

10.7 The relation of forcing and connectives

lemma *Forces_Nand*:

assumes

$$p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula \quad \psi \in formula$$

shows

$$(p \Vdash Nand(\varphi, \psi) \ env) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists q \in M. q \in P \wedge q \preceq p \wedge (q \Vdash \varphi \ env) \wedge (q \Vdash \psi \ env))$$

<proof>

lemma *Forces_And_aux*:

assumes

$$p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula \quad \psi \in formula$$

shows

$$p \Vdash And(\varphi, \psi) \ env \longleftrightarrow (\forall q \in M. q \in P \wedge q \preceq p \longrightarrow (\exists r \in M. r \in P \wedge r \preceq q \wedge (r \Vdash \varphi \ env) \wedge (r \Vdash \psi \ env)))$$

<proof>

lemma *Forces_And_iff_dense_below*:

assumes

$$p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula \quad \psi \in formula$$

shows

$$(p \Vdash And(\varphi, \psi) \ env) \longleftrightarrow dense_below(\{r \in P. (r \Vdash \varphi \ env) \wedge (r \Vdash \psi \ env)\}, p)$$

<proof>

lemma *Forces_Forall*:

assumes

$$p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula$$

shows
 $(p \Vdash \text{Forall}(\varphi) \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in M. (p \Vdash \varphi ([x] @ \text{env})))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

bundle *some_rules* = *elem_of_val_pair* [*dest*]

context
includes *some_rules*
begin

lemma *elem_of_valI*: $\exists \vartheta. \exists p \in P. p \in G \wedge \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) = x \implies x \in \text{val}(P, G, \pi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GenExt_iff*: $x \in M[G] \longleftrightarrow (\exists \tau \in M. x = \text{val}(P, G, \tau))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.8 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.29

lemma *generic_inter_dense_below*:
assumes $D \in M$ $M_generic(G)$ $\text{dense_below}(D, p)$ $p \in G$
shows $D \cap G \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.9 Auxiliary results for Lemma IV.2.40(a)

lemma *IV240a_mem_Collect*:
assumes
 $\pi \in M$ $\tau \in M$
shows
 $\{q \in P. \exists \sigma. \exists r. r \in P \wedge \langle \sigma, r \rangle \in \tau \wedge q \preceq r \wedge q \text{ forces}_a (\pi = \sigma)\} \in M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IV240a_mem*:
assumes
 $M_generic(G)$ $p \in G$ $\pi \in M$ $\tau \in M$ $p \text{ forces}_a (\pi \in \tau)$
 $\bigwedge q \sigma. q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \implies q \text{ forces}_a (\pi = \sigma) \implies$
 $\text{val}(P, G, \pi) = \text{val}(P, G, \sigma)$
shows
 $\text{val}(P, G, \pi) \in \text{val}(P, G, \tau)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *refl_forces_eq*: $p \in P \implies p \text{ forces}_a (x = x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forces_memI*: $\langle \sigma, r \rangle \in \tau \implies p \in P \implies r \in P \implies p \preceq r \implies p \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \tau)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IV240a_eq_1st_incl*:

assumes

$M_generic(G) \ p \in G \ p \ forces_a (\tau = \vartheta)$

and

$IH: \bigwedge q \ \sigma. \ q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(q \ forces_a (\sigma \in \tau) \longrightarrow val(P,G,\sigma) \in val(P,G,\tau)) \wedge$
 $(q \ forces_a (\sigma \in \vartheta) \longrightarrow val(P,G,\sigma) \in val(P,G,\vartheta))$

shows

$val(P,G,\tau) \subseteq val(P,G,\vartheta)$

<proof>

lemma *IV240a_eq_2nd_incl*:

assumes

$M_generic(G) \ p \in G \ p \ forces_a (\tau = \vartheta)$

and

$IH: \bigwedge q \ \sigma. \ q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(q \ forces_a (\sigma \in \tau) \longrightarrow val(P,G,\sigma) \in val(P,G,\tau)) \wedge$
 $(q \ forces_a (\sigma \in \vartheta) \longrightarrow val(P,G,\sigma) \in val(P,G,\vartheta))$

shows

$val(P,G,\vartheta) \subseteq val(P,G,\tau)$

<proof>

lemma *IV240a_eq*:

assumes

$M_generic(G) \ p \in G \ p \ forces_a (\tau = \vartheta)$

and

$IH: \bigwedge q \ \sigma. \ q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(q \ forces_a (\sigma \in \tau) \longrightarrow val(P,G,\sigma) \in val(P,G,\tau)) \wedge$
 $(q \ forces_a (\sigma \in \vartheta) \longrightarrow val(P,G,\sigma) \in val(P,G,\vartheta))$

shows

$val(P,G,\tau) = val(P,G,\vartheta)$

<proof>

10.10 Induction on names

lemma *core_induction*:

assumes

$\bigwedge \tau \ \vartheta \ p. \ p \in P \implies \llbracket \bigwedge q \ \sigma. \ [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(0,\tau,\sigma,q) \rrbracket \implies Q(1,\tau,\vartheta,p)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \ \vartheta \ p. \ p \in P \implies \llbracket \bigwedge q \ \sigma. \ [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(1,\sigma,\tau,q)$
 $\wedge Q(1,\sigma,\vartheta,q) \rrbracket \implies Q(0,\tau,\vartheta,p)$
 $ft \in 2 \ p \in P$

shows

$Q(ft,\tau,\vartheta,p)$

<proof>

lemma *forces_induction_with_conds*:

assumes

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge \tau \vartheta p. p \in P \implies \llbracket \bigwedge q \sigma. \llbracket q \in P ; \sigma \in \text{domain}(\vartheta) \rrbracket \implies Q(q, \tau, \sigma) \rrbracket \implies R(p, \tau, \vartheta) \\ & \bigwedge \tau \vartheta p. p \in P \implies \llbracket \bigwedge q \sigma. \llbracket q \in P ; \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \cup \text{domain}(\vartheta) \rrbracket \implies R(q, \sigma, \tau) \wedge \\ & R(q, \sigma, \vartheta) \rrbracket \implies Q(p, \tau, \vartheta) \\ & p \in P \end{aligned}$$

shows

$$Q(p, \tau, \vartheta) \wedge R(p, \tau, \vartheta)$$

<proof>

lemma *forces_induction*:

assumes

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge \tau \vartheta. \llbracket \bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{domain}(\vartheta) \implies Q(\tau, \sigma) \rrbracket \implies R(\tau, \vartheta) \\ & \bigwedge \tau \vartheta. \llbracket \bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \cup \text{domain}(\vartheta) \implies R(\sigma, \tau) \wedge R(\sigma, \vartheta) \rrbracket \implies Q(\tau, \vartheta) \end{aligned}$$

shows

$$Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge R(\tau, \vartheta)$$

<proof>

10.11 Lemma IV.2.40(a), in full

lemma *IV240a*:

assumes

$$M_generic(G)$$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tau \in M \longrightarrow \vartheta \in M \longrightarrow (\forall p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau = \vartheta) \longrightarrow \text{val}(P, G, \tau) = \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta))) \\ \wedge \\ & (\tau \in M \longrightarrow \vartheta \in M \longrightarrow (\forall p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau \in \vartheta) \longrightarrow \text{val}(P, G, \tau) \in \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta))) \\ & (\text{is } ?Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge ?R(\tau, \vartheta)) \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

10.12 Lemma IV.2.40(b)

lemma *IV240b_mem*:

assumes

$$M_generic(G) \text{ val}(P, G, \pi) \in \text{val}(P, G, \tau) \ \pi \in M \ \tau \in M$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & IH: \bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \implies \text{val}(P, G, \pi) = \text{val}(P, G, \sigma) \implies \\ & \exists p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\pi = \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

shows

$$\exists p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\pi \in \tau)$$

<proof>

end — includes some_rules

lemma *Collect_forces_eq_in_M*:

assumes $\tau \in M \ \vartheta \in M$

shows $\{p \in P. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau = \vartheta)\} \in M$

<proof>

lemma *IV240b_eq_Collects*:

assumes $\tau \in M \ \vartheta \in M$

shows $\{p \in P. \exists \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \cup \text{domain}(\vartheta). p \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \tau) \wedge p \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \notin \vartheta)\} \in M$ **and**

$\{p \in P. \exists \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \cup \text{domain}(\vartheta). p \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \notin \tau) \wedge p \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \vartheta)\} \in M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IV240b_eq*:

assumes

$M_generic(G) \ \text{val}(P, G, \tau) = \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) \ \tau \in M \ \vartheta \in M$

and

$IH: \bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \cup \text{domain}(\vartheta) \implies$

$(\text{val}(P, G, \sigma) \in \text{val}(P, G, \tau) \longrightarrow (\exists q \in G. q \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \tau))) \wedge$

$(\text{val}(P, G, \sigma) \in \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) \longrightarrow (\exists q \in G. q \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \vartheta)))$

shows

$\exists p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau = \vartheta)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IV240b*:

assumes

$M_generic(G)$

shows

$(\tau \in M \longrightarrow \vartheta \in M \longrightarrow \text{val}(P, G, \tau) = \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) \longrightarrow (\exists p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau = \vartheta))) \wedge$

$(\tau \in M \longrightarrow \vartheta \in M \longrightarrow \text{val}(P, G, \tau) \in \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) \longrightarrow (\exists p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau \in \vartheta)))$

(is $?Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge ?R(\tau, \vartheta)$)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map_val_in_MG*:

assumes

$env \in \text{list}(M)$

shows

$\text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), env) \in \text{list}(M[G])$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_mem*:

assumes

$env \in \text{list}(M) \ M_generic(G)$

$n \in \text{nat} \ m \in \text{nat} \ n < \text{length}(env) \ m < \text{length}(env)$

shows

$(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \text{Member}(n, m) \ env) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), env) \models \text{Member}(n, m)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_eq*:

assumes

$env \in \text{list}(M) \ M_generic(G)$

$n \in \text{nat } m \in \text{nat } n < \text{length}(\text{env}) \ m < \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \text{Equal}(n, m) \ \text{env}) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \text{Equal}(n, m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arities_at_aux*:

assumes
 $n \in \text{nat } m \in \text{nat } \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(m) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $n < \text{length}(\text{env}) \ m < \text{length}(\text{env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.13 The Strengthening Lemma

lemma *strengthening_lemma*:

assumes
 $p \in P \ \varphi \in \text{formula} \ r \in P \ r \preceq p$
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $p \Vdash \varphi \ \text{env} \implies r \Vdash \varphi \ \text{env}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.14 The Density Lemma

lemma *arity_Nand_le*:

assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula} \ \psi \in \text{formula} \ \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(\varphi, \psi)) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$
shows $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \ \text{arity}(\psi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_imp_forces*:

assumes
 $p \in P \ \varphi \in \text{formula}$
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $\text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. (q \Vdash \varphi \ \text{env})\}, p) \implies (p \Vdash \varphi \ \text{env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *density_lemma*:

assumes
 $p \in P \ \varphi \in \text{formula} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $p \Vdash \varphi \ \text{env} \iff \text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. (q \Vdash \varphi \ \text{env})\}, p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

10.15 The Truth Lemma

lemma *Forces_And*:

assumes
 $p \in P \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ \varphi \in \text{formula} \ \psi \in \text{formula}$
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \ \text{arity}(\psi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$

shows
 $p \Vdash \text{And}(\varphi, \psi) \text{ env} \iff (p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \wedge (p \Vdash \psi \text{ env})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma Forces_Nand_alt:

assumes
 $p \in P \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M) \varphi \in \text{formula} \psi \in \text{formula}$
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \text{ arity}(\psi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$

shows
 $(p \Vdash \text{Nand}(\varphi, \psi) \text{ env}) \iff (p \Vdash \text{Neg}(\text{And}(\varphi, \psi)) \text{ env})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma truth_lemma_Neg:

assumes
 $\varphi \in \text{formula} M_generic(G) \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M) \text{ arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$ **and**
 $IH: (\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \varphi$

shows
 $(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \text{Neg}(\varphi) \text{ env}) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \text{Neg}(\varphi)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma truth_lemma_And:

assumes
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \varphi \in \text{formula} \psi \in \text{formula}$
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \text{ arity}(\psi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) M_generic(G)$
and
 $IH: (\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \varphi$
 $(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \psi \text{ env}) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \psi$

shows
 $(\exists p \in G. (p \Vdash \text{And}(\varphi, \psi) \text{ env})) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \text{And}(\varphi, \psi)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$\text{ren_truth_lemma} :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{ren_truth_lemma}(\varphi) \equiv$
 $\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Equal}(0, 5), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(1, 8), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(2, 9), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(3, 10), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(4, 6),$
 $\text{iterates}(\lambda p. \text{incr_bv}(p) '5 , 6, \varphi))))))))))$

lemma ren_truth_lemma_type[TC] :
 $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{ren_truth_lemma}(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_ren_truth :

assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula}$
shows $\text{arity}(\text{ren_truth_lemma}(\varphi)) \leq 6 \cup \text{succ}(\text{arity}(\varphi))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_ren_truth_lemma:

$[q, b, d, a1, a2, a3] @ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies$

```

(M, [q,b,d,a1,a2,a3] @ env ⊨ ren_truth_lemma(φ) ) ↔
(M, [q,a1,a2,a3,b] @ env ⊨ φ)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *truth_lemma'* :

assumes

$\varphi \in \text{formula } env \in \text{list}(M) \text{ arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{succ}(\text{length}(env))$

shows

$\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda d. \exists b \in M. \forall q \in P. q \preceq d \longrightarrow \neg(q \Vdash \varphi ([b]@env)))$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *truth_lemma*:

assumes

$\varphi \in \text{formula } M_generic(G)$

$env \in \text{list}(M) \text{ arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(env)$

shows

$(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \varphi env) \longleftrightarrow M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P,G), env) \models \varphi$

⟨proof⟩

10.16 The “Definition of forcing”

lemma *definition_of_forcing*:

assumes

$p \in P \varphi \in \text{formula } env \in \text{list}(M) \text{ arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(env)$

shows

$(p \Vdash \varphi env) \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall G. M_generic(G) \wedge p \in G \longrightarrow M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P,G), env) \models \varphi)$

⟨proof⟩

lemmas *definability = forces_type*

end — *forcing_data1*

end

11 Ordinals in generic extensions

theory *Ordinals_In_MG*

imports

Forcing_Theorems

begin

context *G_generic1*

begin

lemma *rank_val*: $\text{rank}(\text{val}(P,G,x)) \leq \text{rank}(x)$ (**is** ?*Q*(*x*))

⟨proof⟩

```

lemma Ord_MG_iff:
  assumes Ord( $\alpha$ )
  shows  $\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in M[G]$ 
  <proof>

end — G_generic1

end

```

12 Auxiliary renamings for Separation

```

theory Separation_Rename
  imports
    Interface
  begin

```

```

lemmas apply_fun = apply_iff[THEN iffD1]

```

```

lemma nth_concat :  $[p,t] \in \text{list}(A) \implies \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies \text{nth}(1 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}), [p]@$ 
 $\text{env} @ [t]) = t$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma nth_concat2 :  $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies \text{nth}(\text{length}(\text{env}), \text{env} @ [p,t]) = p$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma nth_concat3 :  $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies u = \text{nth}(\text{succ}(\text{length}(\text{env})), \text{env} @ [pi, u])$ 
  <proof>

```

definition

```

sep_var ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  sep_var( $n$ )  $\equiv \{ \langle 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 3, 5 \rangle, \langle 4, 0 \rangle, \langle 5 +_{\omega} n, 6 \rangle, \langle 6 +_{\omega} n, 2 \rangle \}$ 

```

definition

```

sep_env ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  sep_env( $n$ )  $\equiv \lambda i \in (5 +_{\omega} n) - 5 . i +_{\omega} 2$ 

```

definition *weak* :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

```

weak( $n, m$ )  $\equiv \{ i +_{\omega} m . i \in n \}$ 

```

lemma *weakD* :

```

assumes  $n \in \text{nat } k \in \text{nat } x \in \text{weak}(n, k)$ 
shows  $\exists i \in n . x = i +_{\omega} k$ 
  <proof>

```

lemma *weak_equal* :

```

assumes  $n \in \text{nat } m \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{weak}(n, m) = (m +_{\omega} n) - m$ 
  <proof>

```

lemma *weak_zero*:
shows $weak(0,n) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *weakening_diff* :
assumes $n \in nat$
shows $weak(n,7) - weak(n,5) \subseteq \{5+\omega n, 6+\omega n\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in_add_del* :
assumes $x \in j+\omega n \ n \in nat \ j \in nat$
shows $x < j \vee x \in weak(n,j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sep_env_action*:
assumes
 $[t,p,u,P,leg,o,pi] \in list(M)$
 $env \in list(M)$
shows $\forall i . i \in weak(length(env),5) \longrightarrow$
 $nth(sep_env(length(env))\ 'i,[t,p,u,P,leg,o,pi]@env) = nth(i,[p,P,leg,o,t] @ env$
 $@ [pi,u])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sep_env_type* :
assumes $n \in nat$
shows $sep_env(n) : (5+\omega n)-5 \rightarrow (7+\omega n)-7$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sep_var_fin_type* :
assumes $n \in nat$
shows $sep_var(n) : 7+\omega n - || > 7+\omega n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sep_var_domain* :
assumes $n \in nat$
shows $domain(sep_var(n)) = 7+\omega n - weak(n,5)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sep_var_type* :
assumes $n \in nat$
shows $sep_var(n) : (7+\omega n)-weak(n,5) \rightarrow 7+\omega n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sep_var_action* :
assumes
 $[t,p,u,P,leg,o,pi] \in list(M)$
 $env \in list(M)$
shows $\forall i . i \in (7+\omega length(env)) - weak(length(env),5) \longrightarrow$

$$\text{nth}(\text{sep_var}(\text{length}(\text{env}))\ 'i, [t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ \text{env}) = \text{nth}(i, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, t] @ \text{env} @ [pi, u])$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

definition

$\text{rensep} :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{rensep}(n) \equiv \text{union_fun}(\text{sep_var}(n), \text{sep_env}(n), \gamma +_{\omega} n - \text{weak}(n, 5), \text{weak}(n, 5))$

lemma $\text{rensep_aux} :$

assumes $n \in \text{nat}$

shows $(\gamma +_{\omega} n - \text{weak}(n, 5)) \cup \text{weak}(n, 5) = \gamma +_{\omega} n \ \gamma +_{\omega} n \cup (\gamma +_{\omega} n - \gamma) = \gamma +_{\omega} n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rensep_type} :$

assumes $n \in \text{nat}$

shows $\text{rensep}(n) \in \gamma +_{\omega} n \rightarrow \gamma +_{\omega} n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{rensep_action} :$

assumes $[t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$

shows $\forall i . i < \gamma +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow \text{nth}(\text{rensep}(\text{length}(\text{env}))\ 'i, [t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ \text{env}) = \text{nth}(i, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, t] @ \text{env} @ [pi, u])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{sep_ren} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{sep_ren}(n, \varphi) \equiv \text{ren}(\varphi)\ '(\gamma +_{\omega} n)\ '(\gamma +_{\omega} n)\ '\text{rensep}(n)$

lemma arity_rensep : **assumes** $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$

$\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \gamma +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env})$

shows $\text{arity}(\text{sep_ren}(\text{length}(\text{env}), \varphi)) \leq \gamma +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma type_rensep [TC]:

assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$

shows $\text{sep_ren}(\text{length}(\text{env}), \varphi) \in \text{formula}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sepren_action :

assumes $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \gamma +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env})$

$[t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] \in \text{list}(M)$

$\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$

$\varphi \in \text{formula}$

shows $\text{sats}(M, \text{sep_ren}(\text{length}(\text{env}), \varphi), [t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \varphi, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, t] @ \text{env} @ [pi, u])$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

13 The Axiom of Separation in $M[G]$

```

theory Separation_Axiom
  imports Forcing_Theorems Separation_Rename
begin

context G_generic1
begin

lemma map_val :
  assumes  $env \in list(M[G])$ 
  shows  $\exists nenv \in list(M). env = map(val(P,G), nenv)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Collect_sats_in_MG :
  assumes
     $c \in M[G]$ 
     $\varphi \in formula \ env \in list(M[G]) \ arity(\varphi) \leq 1 +_\omega length(env)$ 
  shows
     $\{x \in c. (M[G], [x] @ env \models \varphi)\} \in M[G]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem separation_in_MG:
  assumes
     $\varphi \in formula$  and  $arity(\varphi) \leq 1 +_\omega length(env)$  and  $env \in list(M[G])$ 
  shows
     $separation(\#\#M[G], \lambda x. (M[G], [x] @ env \models \varphi))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end — G_generic1

end

```

14 The Axiom of Pairing in $M[G]$

```

theory Pairing_Axiom
  imports
    Names
begin

context forcing_data1
begin

lemma val_Upair :
   $1 \in G \implies val(P,G,\{\langle \tau, 1 \rangle, \langle \varrho, 1 \rangle\}) = \{val(P,G,\tau), val(P,G,\varrho)\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pairing_in_MG :
  assumes  $M\_generic(G)$ 

```


shows $upair_ax(\#\#M[G])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — *forcing_data1*

end

15 The Axiom of Unions in $M[G]$

theory *Union_Axiom*
imports *Names*
begin

definition *Union_name_body* :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $Union_name_body(P,leq,\tau,x) \equiv \exists \sigma . \exists q \in P . \exists r \in P .$
 $\langle \sigma, q \rangle \in \tau \wedge \langle fst(x), r \rangle \in \sigma \wedge \langle snd(x), r \rangle \in leq \wedge \langle snd(x), q \rangle \in leq$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *Union_name* :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Union_name(P,leq,\tau) \equiv \{u \in domain(\bigcup (domain(\tau))) \times P . Union_name_body(P,leq,\tau,u)\}$

$\langle ML \rangle$

context *M_basic*
begin

$\langle ML \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union_name_body_iff*:
assumes $M(x) M(leq) M(P) M(\tau)$
shows $is_Union_name_body(M, P, leq, \tau, x) \longleftrightarrow Union_name_body(P, leq,$
 $\tau, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union_name_abs* :
assumes $M(P) M(leq) M(\tau)$
shows $Union_name_rel(M,P,leq,\tau) = Union_name(P,leq,\tau)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — *M_basic*

context *forcing_data1*
begin

lemma *Union_name_closed* :
assumes $\tau \in M$
shows $Union_name(P,leq,\tau) \in M$

<proof>

lemma *Union_MG_Eq* :

assumes $a \in M[G]$ **and** $a = \text{val}(P, G, \tau)$ **and** $\text{filter}(G)$ **and** $\tau \in M$
shows $\bigcup a = \text{val}(P, G, \text{Union_name}(P, \text{leq}, \tau))$

<proof>

lemma *union_in_MG* :

assumes $\text{filter}(G)$
shows $\text{Union_ax}(\#\#M[G])$

<proof>

theorem *Union_MG* : $M_generic(G) \implies \text{Union_ax}(\#\#M[G])$

<proof>

end — *forcing_data1*

end

16 The Powerset Axiom in $M[G]$

theory *Powerset_Axiom*

imports *Separation_Axiom Pairing_Axiom Union_Axiom*

begin

<ML>

lemma *Collect_inter_Transset*:

assumes
 $\text{Transset}(M)$ $b \in M$

shows
 $\{x \in b . P(x)\} = \{x \in b . P(x)\} \cap M$

<proof>

context *G_generic1*

begin

lemma *name_components_in_M*:

assumes $\langle \sigma, p \rangle \in \vartheta$ $\vartheta \in M$

shows $\sigma \in M$ $p \in M$

<proof>

lemma *sats_fst_snd_in_M*:

assumes
 $A \in M$ $B \in M$ $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ $p \in M$ $l \in M$ $o \in M$ $\chi \in M$ $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 6$

shows $\{\langle s, q \rangle \in A \times B . M, [q, p, l, o, s, \chi] \models \varphi\} \in M$ (**is** $?\vartheta \in M$)

<proof>

lemma *Pow_inter_MG*:

```

    assumes  $a \in M[G]$ 
    shows  $Pow(a) \cap M[G] \in M[G]$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end —  $G\_generic1$ 

sublocale  $G\_generic1 \subseteq ext: M\_trivial \## M[G]$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context  $G\_generic1$  begin

theorem  $power\_in\_MG : power\_ax(\##(M[G]))$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end —  $G\_generic1$ 

end

```

17 The Axiom of Extensionality in $M[G]$

```

theory  $Extensionality\_Axiom$ 
  imports
     $Names$ 
begin

context  $forcing\_data1$ 
begin

lemma  $extensionality\_in\_MG : extensionality(\##(M[G]))$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end —  $forcing\_data1$ 

end

```

18 The Axiom of Foundation in $M[G]$

```

theory  $Foundation\_Axiom$ 
  imports
     $Names$ 
begin

context  $forcing\_data1$ 
begin

lemma  $foundation\_in\_MG : foundation\_ax(\##(M[G]))$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *foundation_ax*($\#\#(M[G])$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — *forcing_data1*

end

19 The Axiom of Replacement in $M[G]$

theory *Replacement_Axiom*

imports

Separation_Axiom

begin

context *forcing_data1*

begin

bundle *sharp_simps1* = *snd_abs*[*simp*] *fst_abs*[*simp*] *fst_closed*[*simp del*, *simplified*, *simp*]

snd_closed[*simp del*, *simplified*, *simp*] *M_inhabited*[*simplified*, *simp*]

pair_in_M_iff[*simp del*, *simplified*, *simp*]

lemma *sats_forces_iff_sats_rename_split_fm*:

includes *sharp_simps1*

assumes

$[\alpha, m, p, P, leq, \mathbf{1}, t, \tau] @ nenv \in list(M) \quad V \in M$

$\varphi \in formula$

shows

$(M, [p, P, leq, \mathbf{1}, t, \tau] @ nenv \models forces(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow$

$M, [V, \tau, \alpha, \langle t, p \rangle, m, P, leq, \mathbf{1}] @ nenv \models rename_split_fm(\varphi)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_body_ground_repl_fm*:

includes *sharp_simps1*

assumes

$\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle \quad [x, \alpha, m, P, leq, \mathbf{1}] @ nenv \in list(M)$

$\varphi \in formula$

shows

$(\exists \tau \in M. \exists V \in M. is_Vset(\lambda a. (\#\#M)(a), \alpha, V) \wedge \tau \in V \wedge (snd(x) \Vdash \varphi$
 $([fst(x), \tau] @ nenv)))$

$\longleftrightarrow M, [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, \mathbf{1}] @ nenv \models body_ground_repl_fm(\varphi)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end — *forcing_data1*

context *G_generic1*

begin

lemma *Replace_sats_in_MG*:

assumes

$c \in M[G]$ $env \in list(M[G])$
 $\varphi \in formula$ $arity(\varphi) \leq 2 + \omega$ $length(env)$
 $univalent(\#\#M[G], c, \lambda x v. (M[G], [x,v]@env \models \varphi))$

and

ground_replacement:
 $\bigwedge nenv. ground_replacement_assm(M, [P, leq, 1] @ nenv, \varphi)$

shows

$\{v. x \in c, v \in M[G] \wedge (M[G], [x,v]@env \models \varphi)\} \in M[G]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *strong_replacement_in_MG*:

assumes

$\varphi \in formula$ **and** $arity(\varphi) \leq 2 + \omega$ $length(env)$ $env \in list(M[G])$

and

ground_replacement:
 $\bigwedge nenv. ground_replacement_assm(M, [P, leq, 1] @ nenv, \varphi)$

shows

$strong_replacement(\#\#M[G], \lambda x v. sats(M[G], \varphi, [x,v] @ env))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *replacement_assm_MG*:

assumes

ground_replacement:
 $\bigwedge nenv. ground_replacement_assm(M, [P, leq, 1] @ nenv, \varphi)$

shows

$replacement_assm(M[G], env, \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — *G_generic1*

end

20 The Axiom of Infinity in $M[G]$

theory *Infinity_Axiom*

imports *Separation_Axiom Union_Axiom Pairing_Axiom*

begin

context *G_generic1* **begin**

interpretation *mg_triv*: $M_trivial \#\# M[G]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *infinity_in_MG* : $infinity_ax(\#\#M[G])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — *G_generic1*

end

21 The Axiom of Choice in $M[G]$

theory *Choice_Axiom*

imports

Powerset_Axiom
Extensionality_Axiom
Foundation_Axiom
Replacement_Axiom
Infinity_Axiom

begin

definition

induced_surj :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $induced_surj(f,a,e) \equiv f^{-1}((range(f)-a) \times \{e\} \cup restrict(f,f^{-1}a))$

lemma *domain_induced_surj*: $domain(induced_surj(f,a,e)) = domain(f)$
{*proof*}

lemma *range_restrict_vimage*:

assumes *function*(*f*)
shows $range(restrict(f,f^{-1}a)) \subseteq a$
{*proof*}

lemma *induced_surj_type*:

assumes *function*(*f*)
shows
 $induced_surj(f,a,e): domain(f) \rightarrow \{e\} \cup a$
and
 $x \in f^{-1}a \implies induced_surj(f,a,e) x = f x$
{*proof*}

lemma *induced_surj_is_surj* :

assumes
 $e \in a$ *function*(*f*) $domain(f) = \alpha \wedge y. y \in a \implies \exists x \in \alpha. f x = y$
shows $induced_surj(f,a,e) \in surj(\alpha,a)$
{*proof*}

context *G_generic1*

begin

lemma *upair_name_abs* :

assumes $x \in M$ $y \in M$ $z \in M$ $o \in M$
shows $is_upair_name(\#\#M,x,y,o,z) \longleftrightarrow z = upair_name(x,y,o)$
{*proof*}

lemma *upair_name_closed* :
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M ; o \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{upair_name}(x,y,o) \in M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *opair_name_abs* :
assumes $x \in M \ y \in M \ z \in M \ o \in M$
shows $\text{is_opair_name}(\#\#M,x,y,o,z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{opair_name}(x,y,o)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *opair_name_closed* :
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M ; o \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{opair_name}(x,y,o) \in M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val_upair_name* : $\text{val}(P,G,\text{upair_name}(\tau,\varrho,\mathbf{1})) = \{\text{val}(P,G,\tau), \text{val}(P,G,\varrho)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val_opair_name* : $\text{val}(P,G,\text{opair_name}(\tau,\varrho,\mathbf{1})) = \langle \text{val}(P,G,\tau), \text{val}(P,G,\varrho) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *val_RepFun_one* : $\text{val}(P,G,\{\langle f(x), \mathbf{1} \rangle . x \in a\}) = \{\text{val}(P,G,f(x)) . x \in a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *generic_simps* = *generic*[*THEN one_in_G*, *THEN valcheck*, *OF one_in_P*]
generic[*THEN one_in_G*, *THEN M_subset_MG*, *THEN subsetD*]
check_in_M GenExtI P_in_M

lemmas *generic_dests* = *M_genericD*[*OF generic*] *M_generic_compatD*[*OF generic*]

bundle *G_generic1_lemmas* = *generic_simps*[*simp*] *generic_dests*[*dest*]

end— *G_generic1*

21.1 $M[G]$ is a transitive model of ZF

sublocale $G_generic1 \subseteq \text{ext}:M_Z_trans\ M[G]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *G_generic1*
begin

lemma *opname_check_abs* :
assumes $s \in M \ x \in M \ y \in M$
shows $\text{is_opname_check}(\#\#M,\mathbf{1},s,x,y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{opair_name}(\text{check}(x),s'x,\mathbf{1})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *repl_opname_check* :
assumes $A \in M \ f \in M$
shows $\{\text{opair_name}(\text{check}(x),f'x,\mathbf{1}) . x \in A\} \in M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *choice_in_MG*:

```

    assumes choice_ax(##M)
    shows choice_ax(##M[G])
  <proof>

end — G_generic1

sublocale G_generic1_AC ⊆ ext:M_ZC_basic M[G]
  <proof>

end

```

22 The ZFC axioms, internalized

```

theory Internal_ZFC_Axioms
  imports
    Forcing_Data

begin

schematic_goal ZF_union_auto:
  Union_ax(##A) ↔ (A, [] ⊨ ?zfunion)
  <proof>

  <ML>
  notation ZF_union_fm (‹·Union Ax·›)

schematic_goal ZF_power_auto:
  power_ax(##A) ↔ (A, [] ⊨ ?zfpow)
  <proof>

  <ML>
  notation ZF_power_fm (‹·Powerset Ax·›)

schematic_goal ZF_pairing_auto:
  upair_ax(##A) ↔ (A, [] ⊨ ?zfpair)
  <proof>

  <ML>
  notation ZF_pairing_fm (‹·Pairing·›)

schematic_goal ZF_foundation_auto:
  foundation_ax(##A) ↔ (A, [] ⊨ ?zffound)
  <proof>

  <ML>
  notation ZF_foundation_fm (‹·Foundation·›)

schematic_goal ZF_extensionality_auto:
  extensionality(##A) ↔ (A, [] ⊨ ?zfect)

```


$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

notation $ZF_extensionality_fm$ ($\langle \cdot Extensionality \cdot \rangle$)

schematic_goal $ZF_infinity_auto$:

$infinity_ax(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, [] \models (? \varphi(i,j,h)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

notation $ZF_infinity_fm$ ($\langle \cdot Infinity \cdot \rangle$)

schematic_goal ZF_choice_auto :

$choice_ax(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow (A, [] \models (? \varphi(i,j,h)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

notation ZF_choice_fm ($\langle \cdot AC \cdot \rangle$)

lemmas $ZFC_fm_defs = ZF_extensionality_fm_def ZF_foundation_fm_def ZF_pairing_fm_def$
 $ZF_union_fm_def ZF_infinity_fm_def ZF_power_fm_def ZF_choice_fm_def$

lemmas $ZFC_fm_sats = ZF_extensionality_auto ZF_foundation_auto ZF_pairing_auto$
 $ZF_union_auto ZF_infinity_auto ZF_power_auto ZF_choice_auto$

definition

$ZF_fin :: i$ **where**

$ZF_fin \equiv \{ \cdot Extensionality \cdot, \cdot Foundation \cdot, \cdot Pairing \cdot, \cdot Union\ Ax \cdot, \cdot Infinity \cdot, \cdot Powerset\ Ax \cdot \}$

22.1 The Axiom of Separation, internalized

lemma $iterates_Forall_type$ [TC]:

$\llbracket n \in nat; p \in formula \rrbracket \implies Forall^n(p) \in formula$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $last_init_eq$:

assumes $l \in list(A)$ $length(l) = succ(n)$

shows $\exists a \in A. \exists l' \in list(A). l = l' @ [a]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $take_drop_eq$:

assumes $l \in list(M)$

shows $\bigwedge n. n < succ(length(l)) \implies l = take(n,l) @ drop(n,l)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $list_split$:

assumes $n \leq succ(length(rest))$ $rest \in list(M)$

shows $\exists re \in list(M). \exists st \in list(M). rest = re @ st \wedge length(re) = pred(n)$

<proof>

lemma *sats_nForall*:

assumes

$\varphi \in \text{formula}$

shows

$n \in \text{nat} \implies ms \in \text{list}(M) \implies$
 $(M, ms \models (\text{Forall}^n(\varphi))) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall rest \in \text{list}(M). \text{length}(rest) = n \longrightarrow M, rest @ ms \models \varphi)$

<proof>

definition

sep_body_fm :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$sep_body_fm(p) \equiv (\cdot \forall (\exists (\cdot \forall \cdot 0 \in 1 \leftrightarrow \cdot 0 \in 2 \wedge incr_bv1^2(p) \dots) \cdot))$

lemma *sep_body_fm_type* [TC]: $p \in \text{formula} \implies sep_body_fm(p) \in \text{formula}$

<proof>

lemma *sats_sep_body_fm*:

assumes

$\varphi \in \text{formula}$ $ms \in \text{list}(M)$ $rest \in \text{list}(M)$

shows

$(M, rest @ ms \models sep_body_fm(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. M, [x] @ rest @ ms \models \varphi)$

<proof>

definition

ZF_separation_fm :: $i \Rightarrow i$ (*·Separation'(_)'·*) **where**

$ZF_separation_fm(p) \equiv \text{Forall}^{\wedge}(\text{pred}(\text{arity}(p)))(sep_body_fm(p))$

lemma *ZF_separation_fm_type* [TC]: $p \in \text{formula} \implies ZF_separation_fm(p) \in \text{formula}$

<proof>

lemma *sats_ZF_separation_fm_iff*:

assumes

$\varphi \in \text{formula}$

shows

$(M, [] \models \cdot Separation(\varphi) \cdot)$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall env \in \text{list}(M). \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 +_{\omega} \text{length}(env) \longrightarrow$
 $separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. M, [x] @ env \models \varphi))$

<proof>

22.2 The Axiom of Replacement, internalized

schematic_goal *sats_univalent_fm_auto*:

assumes

$Q_iff_sats: \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies$
 $Q(x,z) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models Q1_fm$
 $\bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies$
 $Q(x,y) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models Q2_fm$

and

asms: $nth(i, env) = B \ i \in nat \ env \in list(A)$

shows

$univalent(\#\#A, B, Q) \longleftrightarrow A, env \models ?ufm(i)$

<proof>

<ML>

lemma *univalent_fm_type* [TC]: $q1 \in formula \implies q2 \in formula \implies i \in nat \implies$
 $univalent_fm(q2, q1, i) \in formula$
<proof>

lemma *sats_univalent_fm* :

assumes

$Q_iff_sats: \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies$
 $Q(x,z) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models Q1_fm$
 $\bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies$
 $Q(x,y) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models Q2_fm$

and

asms: $nth(i, env) = B \ i \in nat \ env \in list(A)$

shows

$(A, env \models univalent_fm(Q1_fm, Q2_fm, i)) \longleftrightarrow univalent(\#\#A, B, Q)$

<proof>

definition

swap_vars :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

swap_vars(φ) \equiv

$Exists(Exists(And(Equal(0,3), And(Equal(1,2), iterates(\lambda p. incr_bv(p)'2, 2,$
 $\varphi))))))$

lemma *swap_vars_type*[TC] :

$\varphi \in formula \implies swap_vars(\varphi) \in formula$

<proof>

lemma *sats_swap_vars* :

$[x,y] @ env \in list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$

$(M, [x,y] @ env \models swap_vars(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow M, [y,x] @ env \models \varphi$

<proof>

definition

univalent_Q1 :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

univalent_Q1(φ) $\equiv incr_bv1(swap_vars(\varphi))$

definition

univalent_Q2 :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$univalent_Q2(\varphi) \equiv incr_bv(swap_vars(\varphi))'0$

lemma *univalent_Qs_type* [TC]:

assumes $\varphi \in formula$

shows $univalent_Q1(\varphi) \in formula$ $univalent_Q2(\varphi) \in formula$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_univalent_fm_assm*:

assumes

$x \in A$ $y \in A$ $z \in A$ $env \in list(A)$ $\varphi \in formula$

shows

$(A, ([x,z] @ env) \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env))) \models (univalent_Q1(\varphi)))$

$(A, ([x,y] @ env) \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env))) \models (univalent_Q2(\varphi)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

rep_body_fm :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$rep_body_fm(p) \equiv Forall(Implies($

$univalent_fm(univalent_Q1(incr_bv(p)'2), univalent_Q2(incr_bv(p)'2), 0),$

$Exists(Forall($

$Iff(Member(0, 1), Exists(And(Member(0, 3), incr_bv(incr_bv(p)'2)'2))))))$

lemma *rep_body_fm_type* [TC]: $p \in formula \Longrightarrow rep_body_fm(p) \in formula$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *ZF_replacement_simps* = *formula_add_params1*[of φ 2 M $[_, _]$]

sats_incr_bv_iff[of $_$ M $[_]$] — simplifies iterates of $\lambda x. incr_bv(x)'0$

sats_incr_bv_iff[of $_$ M $[_, _]$] — simplifies $\lambda x. incr_bv(x)'2$

sats_incr_bv1_iff[of $_$ M] *sats_swap_vars* **for** φ M

lemma *sats_rep_body_fm*:

assumes

$\varphi \in formula$ $ms \in list(M)$ $rest \in list(M)$

shows

$(M, rest @ ms \models rep_body_fm(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow$

$strong_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x y. M, [x, y] @ rest @ ms \models \varphi)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

ZF_replacement_fm :: $i \Rightarrow i$ (\cdot Replacement'($_$) \cdot) **where**

$ZF_replacement_fm(p) \equiv Forall(\hat{\ }pred(pred(arity(p))))(rep_body_fm(p))$

lemma *ZF_replacement_fm_type* [TC]: $p \in formula \Longrightarrow ZF_replacement_fm(p)$

$\in formula$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_ZF_replacement_fm_iff*:

assumes

$\varphi \in formula$

shows

$(M, [] \models \cdot \text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot)$

\longleftrightarrow

$(\forall \text{env} \in \text{list}(M). \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x y. M, [x, y] @ \text{env} \models \varphi))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$ZF_schemes :: i \text{ where}$

$ZF_schemes \equiv \{ \cdot \text{Separation}(p) \cdot . p \in \text{formula} \} \cup \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(p) \cdot . p \in \text{formula} \}$

lemma $Un_subset_formula [TC]: A \subseteq formula \wedge B \subseteq formula \implies A \cup B \subseteq formula$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ZF_schemes_subset_formula [TC]: ZF_schemes \subseteq formula$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ZF_fin_subset_formula [TC]: ZF_fin \subseteq formula$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$ZF :: i \text{ where}$

$ZF \equiv ZF_schemes \cup ZF_fin$

lemma $ZF_subset_formula [TC]: ZF \subseteq formula$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$ZFC :: i \text{ where}$

$ZFC \equiv ZF \cup \{ \cdot AC \cdot \}$

definition

$ZF_minus_P :: i \text{ where}$

$ZF_minus_P \equiv ZF - \{ \cdot \text{Powerset } Ax \cdot \}$

definition

$Zermelo_fms :: i (\cdot Z \cdot) \text{ where}$

$Zermelo_fms \equiv ZF_fin \cup \{ \cdot \text{Separation}(p) \cdot . p \in \text{formula} \}$

definition

$ZC :: i \text{ where}$

$ZC \equiv Zermelo_fms \cup \{ \cdot AC \cdot \}$

lemma $ZFC_subset_formula: ZFC \subseteq formula$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Satisfaction of a set of sentences

definition

$satT :: [i,i] \Rightarrow o \ (_ \models _ \ [36,36] \ 60) \ \mathbf{where}$
 $A \models \Phi \equiv \forall \varphi \in \Phi. (A, [] \models \varphi)$

lemma *satTI* [*intro!*]:
assumes $\bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in \Phi \implies A, [] \models \varphi$
shows $A \models \Phi$
<proof>

lemma *satTD* [*dest*]: $A \models \Phi \implies \varphi \in \Phi \implies A, [] \models \varphi$
<proof>

lemma *satT_mono*: $A \models \Phi \implies \Psi \subseteq \Phi \implies A \models \Psi$
<proof>

lemma *satT_Un_iff*: $M \models \Phi \cup \Psi \iff M \models \Phi \wedge M \models \Psi$ *<proof>*

lemma *sats_ZFC_iff_sats_ZF_AC*:
 $(N \models ZFC) \iff (N \models ZF) \wedge (N, [] \models \cdot AC \cdot)$
<proof>

lemma *satT_ZF_imp_satT_Z*: $M \models ZF \implies M \models \cdot Z \cdot$
<proof>

lemma *satT_ZFC_imp_satT_ZC*: $M \models ZFC \implies M \models ZC$
<proof>

lemma *satT_Z_ZF_replacement_imp_satT_ZF*: $N \models \cdot Z \cdot \implies N \models \{\cdot Replacement(x) \cdot$
 $\cdot x \in formula\} \implies N \models ZF$
<proof>

lemma *satT_ZC_ZF_replacement_imp_satT_ZFC*: $N \models ZC \implies N \models \{\cdot Replacement(x) \cdot$
 $\cdot x \in formula\} \implies N \models ZFC$
<proof>

lemma *ground_repl_fm_sub_ZF*: $\{\cdot Replacement(ground_repl_fm(\varphi)) \cdot \cdot \varphi \in formula\} \subseteq ZF$
<proof>

lemma *ZF_replacement_fms_sub_ZFC*: $\{\cdot Replacement(\varphi) \cdot \cdot \varphi \in formula\} \subseteq ZFC$
<proof>

lemma *ground_repl_fm_sub_ZFC*: $\{\cdot Replacement(ground_repl_fm(\varphi)) \cdot \cdot \varphi \in formula\} \subseteq ZFC$
<proof>

lemma *ZF_replacement_ground_repl_fm_type*: $\{\cdot Replacement(ground_repl_fm(\varphi)) \cdot \cdot \varphi \in formula\} \subseteq formula$
<proof>

end

22.3 More Instances of Separation

```
theory Separation_Instances
  imports
    Names
begin
```

The following instances are mostly the same repetitive task; and we just copied and pasted, tweaking some lemmas if needed (for example, we might have needed to use some closedness results).

```
definition radd_body :: [i,i,i] ⇒ o where
  radd_body(R,S) ≡ λz. (∃ x y. z = ⟨Inl(x), Inr(y)⟩) ∨
    (∃ x' x. z = ⟨Inl(x'), Inl(x)⟩ ∧ ⟨x', x⟩ ∈ R) ∨
    (∃ y' y. z = ⟨Inr(y'), Inr(y)⟩ ∧ ⟨y', y⟩ ∈ S)
```

⟨ML⟩

```
lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) radd_body_abs:
  assumes (##M)(R) (##M)(S) (##M)(x)
  shows is_radd_body(##M,R,S,x) ⟷ radd_body(R,S,x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) separation_radd_body:
  (##M)(R) ⟹ (##M)(S) ⟹ separation
    (##M, λz. (∃ x y. z = ⟨Inl(x), Inr(y)⟩) ∨
      (∃ x' x. z = ⟨Inl(x'), Inl(x)⟩ ∧ ⟨x', x⟩ ∈ R) ∨
      (∃ y' y. z = ⟨Inr(y'), Inr(y)⟩ ∧ ⟨y', y⟩ ∈ S))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition rmult_body :: [i,i,i] ⇒ o where
  rmult_body(b,d) ≡ λz. ∃ x' y' x y. z = ⟨⟨x', y'⟩, x, y⟩ ∧ (⟨x', x⟩ ∈
  b ∨ x' = x ∧ ⟨y', y⟩ ∈ d)
```

⟨ML⟩

```
lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) rmult_body_abs:
  assumes (##M)(b) (##M)(d) (##M)(x)
  shows is_rmult_body(##M,b,d,x) ⟷ rmult_body(b,d,x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) separation_rmult_body:
  (##M)(b) ⟹ (##M)(d) ⟹ separation
    (##M, λz. ∃ x' y' x y. z = ⟨⟨x', y'⟩, x, y⟩ ∧ (⟨x', x⟩ ∈ b ∨ x' = x ∧ ⟨y', y⟩
  ∈ d))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma (in *M_replacement*) *separation_well_ord*:

$(M)(f) \implies (M)(r) \implies (M)(A) \implies \text{separation}$
 $(M, \lambda x. x \in A \longrightarrow (\exists y[M]. \exists p[M]. \text{is_apply}(M, f, x, y) \wedge \text{pair}(M, y, x, p)$
 $\wedge p \in r))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *is_obase_body* :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$\text{is_obase_body}(N, A, r, x) \equiv x \in A \longrightarrow$
 $\neg (\exists y[N].$
 $\exists g[N].$
 $\text{ordinal}(N, y) \wedge$
 $(\exists my[N].$
 $\exists pxr[N].$
 $\text{membership}(N, y, my) \wedge$
 $\text{pred_set}(N, A, x, r, pxr) \wedge$
 $\text{order_isomorphism}(N, pxr, r, y, my, g)))$

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *separation_is_obase*:

$(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}$
 $(\#\#M, \lambda x. x \in A \longrightarrow$
 $\neg (\exists y[\#\#M].$
 $\exists g[\#\#M].$
 $\text{ordinal}(\#\#M, y) \wedge$
 $(\exists my[\#\#M].$
 $\exists pxr[\#\#M].$
 $\text{membership}(\#\#M, y, my) \wedge$
 $\text{pred_set}(\#\#M, A, x, r, pxr) \wedge$
 $\text{order_isomorphism}(\#\#M, pxr, r, y, my, g)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *is_obase_equals* :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$\text{is_obase_equals}(N, A, r, a) \equiv \exists x[N].$
 $\exists g[N].$
 $\exists mx[N].$
 $\exists par[N].$
 $\text{ordinal}(N, x) \wedge$
 $\text{membership}(N, x, mx) \wedge$
 $\text{pred_set}(N, A, a, r, par) \wedge \text{order_isomorphism}(N, par,$
 $r, x, mx, g)$

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *separation_obase_equals*:

$(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}$
 $(\#\#M, \lambda a. \exists x[\#\#M].$
 $\exists g[\#\#M].$
 $\exists mx[\#\#M].$

$\exists par[\#\#M].$
 $ordinal(\#\#M, x) \wedge$
 $membership(\#\#M, x, mx) \wedge$
 $pred_set(\#\#M, A, a, r, par) \wedge order_isomorphism(\#\#M,$
 $par, r, x, mx, g)$
 ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *separation_PiP_rel*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies separation(\#\#M, PiP_rel(\#\#M, A))$
 ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *separation_injP_rel*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies separation(\#\#M, injP_rel(\#\#M, A))$
 ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *separation_surjP_rel*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(B) \implies separation(\#\#M, surjP_rel(\#\#M, A, B))$
 ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *separation_cons_like_rel*:
 $separation(\#\#M, cons_like_rel(\#\#M))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *separation_is_function*:
 $separation(\#\#M, is_function(\#\#M))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *fstsnd_in_sndsnd* :: $[i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $fstsnd_in_sndsnd \equiv \lambda x. fst(snd(x)) \in snd(snd(x))$
 ⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *fstsnd_in_sndsnd_abs*:
assumes $(\#\#M)(x)$
shows $is_fstsnd_in_sndsnd(\#\#M, x) \longleftrightarrow fstsnd_in_sndsnd(x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *separation_fstsnd_in_sndsnd*:
 $separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. fst(snd(x)) \in snd(snd(x)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *sndfst_eq_fstsnd* :: [*i*] ⇒ *o* **where**
 $sndfst_eq_fstsnd \equiv \lambda x. snd(fst(x)) = fst(snd(x))$
 ⟨*ML*⟩

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *sndfst_eq_fstsnd_abs*:
assumes ($\#\#M$)(*x*)
shows $is_sndfst_eq_fstsnd(\#\#M,x) \longleftrightarrow sndfst_eq_fstsnd(x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *separation_sndfst_eq_fstsnd*:
 $separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. snd(fst(x)) = fst(snd(x)))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *insnd_ballPair* :: [*i,i,i*] ⇒ *o* **where**
 $insnd_ballPair(B,A) \equiv \lambda p. \forall x \in B. x \in snd(p) \longleftrightarrow (\forall s \in fst(p). \langle s, x \rangle \in A)$
 ⟨*ML*⟩

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *insnd_ballPair_abs*:
assumes ($\#\#M$)(*B*) ($\#\#M$)(*A*) ($\#\#M$)(*x*)
shows $is_insnd_ballPair(\#\#M,B,A,x) \longleftrightarrow insnd_ballPair(B,A,x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *separation_insnd_ballPair*:
 $(\#\#M)(B) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies separation(\#\#M, \lambda p. \forall x \in B. x \in snd(p) \longleftrightarrow (\forall s \in fst(p). \langle s, x \rangle \in A))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

23 More Instances of Replacement

theory *Replacement_Instances*
imports
 Separation_Instances
 Transitive_Models.Pointed_DC_Relative
begin

⟨*ML*⟩

definition *is_minimum'* **where**
 $is_minimum'(M,R,X,u) \equiv (M(u) \wedge u \in X \wedge (\forall v[M]. \exists a[M]. (v \in X \longrightarrow v \neq u \longrightarrow a \in R) \wedge pair(M, u, v, a))) \wedge$
 $(\exists x[M].$
 $(M(x) \wedge x \in X \wedge (\forall v[M]. \exists a[M]. (v \in X \longrightarrow v \neq x \longrightarrow a \in R) \wedge pair(M, x, v, a))) \wedge$
 $(\forall y[M]. M(y) \wedge y \in X \wedge (\forall v[M]. \exists a[M]. (v \in X \longrightarrow v \neq y \longrightarrow a \in R) \wedge pair(M, y, v, a)) \longrightarrow y = x)) \vee$

$$\neg (\exists x[M]. (M(x) \wedge x \in X \wedge (\forall v[M]. \exists a[M]. (v \in X \longrightarrow v \neq x \longrightarrow a \in R) \wedge \text{pair}(M, x, v, a)))) \wedge$$

$$(\forall y[M]. M(y) \wedge y \in X \wedge (\forall v[M]. \exists a[M]. (v \in X \longrightarrow v \neq y \longrightarrow a \in R) \wedge \text{pair}(M, y, v, a) \longrightarrow y = x)) \wedge$$

$$\text{empty}(M, u)$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *composition_fm_type*[TC]: $a0 \in \omega \implies a1 \in \omega \implies a2 \in \omega \implies$
composition_fm($a0, a1, a2$) \in *formula*
proof

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *is_omega_funspace* :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
is_omega_funspace(N, B, n, z) $\equiv \exists o[N]. \text{omega}(N, o) \wedge n \in o \wedge \text{is_funspace}(N, n, B, z)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *HAleph_wfrec_repl_body* **where**

$$\text{HAleph_wfrec_repl_body}(N, \text{mesa}, x, z) \equiv \exists y[N].$$

$$\text{pair}(N, x, y, z) \wedge$$

$$(\exists f[N].$$

$$(\forall z[N].$$

$$z \in f \longleftrightarrow$$

$$(\exists xa[N].$$

$$\exists y[N].$$

$$\exists xaa[N].$$

$$\exists sx[N].$$

$$\exists r_sx[N].$$

$$\exists f_r_sx[N].$$

$$\text{pair}(N, xa, y, z) \wedge$$

$$\text{pair}(N, xa, x, xaa) \wedge$$

$$\text{upair}(N, xa, xa, sx) \wedge$$

$$\text{pre_image}(N, \text{mesa}, sx, r_sx) \wedge \text{restriction}(N,$$

$$f, r_sx, f_r_sx) \wedge xaa \in \text{mesa} \wedge \text{is_HAleph}(N, xa, f_r_sx, y))) \wedge$$

$$\text{is_HAleph}(N, x, f, y))$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *dcwit_repl_body* **where**

$$\text{dcwit_repl_body}(N, \text{mesa}, A, a, s, R) \equiv \lambda x z. \exists y[N]. \text{pair}(N, x, y, z) \wedge$$

$$\text{is_wfrec}$$

$$(N, \lambda n f. \text{is_nat_case}$$

$$(N, a,$$

$$\lambda m \text{ bmf}m.$$

$$\exists fm[N].$$

$\exists cp[N].$
 $is_apply(N, f, m, fm) \wedge$
 $is_Collect(N, A, \lambda x. \exists fm x[N]. (N(x)$
 $\wedge fm x \in R) \wedge pair(N, fm, x, fm x), cp) \wedge$
 $is_apply(N, s, cp, bmfm),$
 $n),$
 $mesa, x, y)$

$\langle ML \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition $dcwit_aux_fm$ where

$dcwit_aux_fm(A, s, R) \equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot \cdot 4 '2 is 0 \cdot \wedge$
 $(\cdot \exists \cdot Collect_fm$
 $(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(A))))))))))$
 $(\cdot \exists \cdot \cdot 0 \in$
 $succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(R))))))))))$
 $\cdot \wedge$
 $pair_fm(3, 1, 0) \cdot \cdot),$
 $0) \wedge$
 $\cdot succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(s)))))))) '0 is$
 $2 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $dcwit_aux_fm_type[TC]: A \in \omega \implies s \in \omega \implies R \in \omega \implies dcwit_aux_fm(A, s, R) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $is_nat_case_dcwit_aux_fm$ where

$is_nat_case_dcwit_aux_fm(A, a, s, R) \equiv is_nat_case_fm$
 $(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(a))))), dcwit_aux_fm(A, s, R),$
 $2, 0)$

lemma $is_nat_case_dcwit_aux_fm_type[TC]: A \in \omega \implies a \in \omega \implies s \in \omega \implies R \in \omega \implies is_nat_case_dcwit_aux_fm(A, a, s, R) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_dcwit_repl_body: arity(dcwit_repl_body_fm(6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 0, 1)) = 7$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *fst2_snd2*
where $\text{fst2_snd2}(x) \equiv \langle \text{fst}(\text{fst}(x)), \text{snd}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_trivial*) *fst2_snd2_abs*:
assumes $M(x) \ M(\text{res})$
shows $\text{is_fst2_snd2}(M, x, \text{res}) \longleftrightarrow \text{res} = \text{fst2_snd2}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *sndfst_fst2_snd2*
where $\text{sndfst_fst2_snd2}(x) \equiv \langle \text{snd}(\text{fst}(x)), \text{fst}(\text{fst}(x)), \text{snd}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *RepFun_body* :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{RepFun_body}(u, v) \equiv \{ \{ \langle v, x \rangle \} \cdot x \in u \}$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *arity_body_repfun*:
 $\text{arity}((\cdot \cdot \text{cons_fm}(0, 3, 2) \wedge \text{pair_fm}(5, 1, 0) \cdot \cdot)) = 5$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_RepFun*: $\text{arity}(\text{is_RepFun_body_fm}(0, 1, 2)) = 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *order_eq_map* **where**
 $\text{order_eq_map}(M, A, r, a, z) \equiv \exists x[M]. \exists g[M]. \exists mx[M]. \exists par[M].$
 $\text{ordinal}(M, x) \ \& \ \text{pair}(M, a, x, z) \ \& \ \text{membership}(M, x, mx) \ \& \ \text{pred_set}(M, A, a, r, par) \ \& \ \text{order_isomorphism}(M, par, r, x, mx, g)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *banach_body_iterates* **where**
 $\text{banach_body_iterates}(M, X, Y, f, g, W, n, x, z) \equiv$
 $\exists y[M].$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{pair}(M, x, y, z) \wedge \\ & (\exists fa[M]. \\ & (\forall z[M]. \\ & z \in fa \longleftrightarrow \\ & (\exists xa[M]. \\ & \exists y[M]. \\ & \exists xaa[M]. \\ & \exists sx[M]. \\ & \exists r_sx[M]. \\ & \exists f_r_sx[M]. \exists sn[M]. \exists msn[M]. \text{successor}(M, n, sn) \end{aligned}$$

∧

$$\begin{aligned} & membership(M, sn, msn) \wedge \\ & pair(M, xa, y, z) \wedge \\ & pair(M, xa, x, xaa) \wedge \\ & upair(M, xa, xa, sx) \wedge \\ & pre_image(M, msn, sx, r_sx) \wedge \\ & restriction(M, fa, r_sx, f_r_sx) \wedge \\ & xaa \in msn \wedge \\ & (empty(M, xa) \longrightarrow y = W) \wedge \\ & (\forall m[M]. \\ & \quad successor(M, m, xa) \longrightarrow \\ & \quad (\exists gm[M]. \\ & \quad \quad is_apply(M, f_r_sx, m, gm) \wedge \\ & is_banach_functor(M, X, Y, f, g, gm, y))) \wedge \\ & \quad (is_quasimat(M, xa) \vee empty(M, y))) \wedge \\ & (empty(M, x) \longrightarrow y = W) \wedge \\ & (\forall m[M]. \\ & \quad successor(M, m, x) \longrightarrow \\ & \quad (\exists gm[M]. is_apply(M, fa, m, gm) \wedge is_banach_functor(M, \\ & X, Y, f, g, gm, y))) \wedge \\ & \quad (is_quasimat(M, x) \vee empty(M, y))) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *banach_is_iterates_body* where

$$banach_is_iterates_body(M, X, Y, f, g, W, n, y) \equiv \exists om[M]. \omega(M, om) \wedge n \in om \wedge$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists sn[M]. \\ & \quad \exists msn[M]. \\ & \quad \quad successor(M, n, sn) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad membership(M, sn, msn) \wedge \\ & \quad (\exists fa[M]. \\ & \quad \quad (\forall z[M]. \\ & \quad \quad \quad z \in fa \longleftrightarrow \\ & \quad \quad \quad (\exists x[M]. \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists y[M]. \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists xa[M]. \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists sx[M]. \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists r_sx[M]. \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists f_r_sx[M]. \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad pair(M, x, y, z) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad pair(M, x, n, xa) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad upair(M, x, x, sx) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad pre_image(M, msn, sx, r_sx) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad restriction(M, fa, r_sx, f_r_sx) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad xa \in msn \wedge \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (empty(M, x) \longrightarrow y = W) \wedge \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad (\forall m[M]. \\ & \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad successor(M, m, x) \longrightarrow \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists gm[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad fun_apply(M, f_r_sx, m, gm) \wedge \\
is_banach_functor(M, X, Y, f, g, gm, y)) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad (is_quasinat(M, x) \vee empty(M, y))) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad (empty(M, n) \longrightarrow y = W) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad (\forall m[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad successor(M, m, n) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (\exists gm[M]. fun_apply(M, fa, m, gm) \wedge is_banach_functor(M, \\
X, Y, f, g, gm, y))) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad (is_quasinat(M, n) \vee empty(M, y)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *trans_apply_image* **where**

$$trans_apply_image(f) \equiv \lambda a. g. f \text{ ' } (g \text{ ' ' } a)$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

schematic_goal *arity_is_recfun_fm*[arity]:

$$\begin{aligned}
p \in formula \implies a \in \omega \implies z \in \omega \implies r \in \omega \implies arity(is_recfun_fm(p, a, z, r)) \\
= ?ar \\
\langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

schematic_goal *arity_is_wfrec_fm*[arity]:

$$\begin{aligned}
p \in formula \implies a \in \omega \implies z \in \omega \implies r \in \omega \implies arity(is_wfrec_fm(p, a, z, r)) \\
= ?ar \\
\langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

schematic_goal *arity_is_transrec_fm*[arity]:

$$\begin{aligned}
p \in formula \implies a \in \omega \implies z \in \omega \implies arity(is_transrec_fm(p, a, z)) = ?ar \\
\langle proof \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *transrec_apply_image_body* **where**

$$\begin{aligned}
transrec_apply_image_body(M, f, mesa, x, z) \equiv \exists y[M]. pair(M, x, y, z) \wedge \\
(\exists fa[M]. \\
\quad \quad \quad (\forall z[M]. \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad z \in fa \longleftrightarrow \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (\exists xa[M]. \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists y[M]. \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists xaa[M]. \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists sx[M]. \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists r_sx[M]. \\
\quad \exists f_r_sx[M]. \\
\quad pair(M, xa, y, z) \wedge
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{pair}(M, xa, x, xaa) \wedge \\
& \text{upair}(M, xa, xa, sx) \wedge \\
& \text{pre_image}(M, mesa, sx, r_sx) \wedge \\
& \text{restriction}(M, fa, r_sx, f_r_sx) \wedge \\
& xaa \in mesa \wedge \text{is_trans_apply_image}(M, \\
& f, xa, f_r_sx, y)) \wedge \\
& \text{is_trans_apply_image}(M, f, x, fa, y))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *is_trans_apply_image_body* **where**

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{is_trans_apply_image_body}(M, f, \beta, a, w) \equiv & \exists z[M]. \text{pair}(M, a, z, w) \wedge a \in \beta \wedge (\exists sa[M]. \\
& \exists esa[M]. \\
& \exists mesa[M]. \\
& \text{upair}(M, a, a, sa) \wedge \\
& \text{is_eclose}(M, sa, esa) \wedge \\
& \text{membership}(M, esa, mesa) \wedge \\
& (\exists fa[M]. \\
& (\forall z[M]. \\
& z \in fa \longleftrightarrow \\
& (\exists x[M]. \\
& \exists y[M]. \\
& \exists xa[M]. \\
& \exists sx[M]. \\
& \exists r_sx[M]. \\
& \exists f_r_sx[M]. \\
& \text{pair}(M, x, y, z) \wedge \\
& \text{pair}(M, x, a, xa) \wedge \\
& \text{upair}(M, x, x, sx) \wedge \\
& \text{pre_image}(M, mesa, sx, r_sx) \wedge \\
& \text{restriction}(M, fa, r_sx, f_r_sx) \wedge \\
& xa \in mesa \wedge \text{is_trans_apply_image}(M, f, \\
& x, f_r_sx, y))) \wedge \\
& \text{is_trans_apply_image}(M, f, a, fa, z)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *replacement_is_omega_funspace_fm* **where** *replacement_is_omega_funspace_fm*
 $\equiv \text{omega_funspace_fm}(2, 0, 1)$

definition *replacement_HAleph_wfrec_repl_body_fm* **where** *replacement_HAleph_wfrec_repl_body_fm*
 $\equiv \text{HAleph_wfrec_repl_body_fm}(2, 0, 1)$

definition *replacement_is_fst2_snd2_fm* **where** *replacement_is_fst2_snd2_fm*
 $\equiv \text{is_fst2_snd2_fm}(0, 1)$

definition *replacement_is_sndfst_fst2_snd2_fm* **where** *replacement_is_sndfst_fst2_snd2_fm*
 $\equiv \text{is_sndfst_fst2_snd2_fm}(0, 1)$

definition *replacement_is_order_eq_map_fm* **where** *replacement_is_order_eq_map_fm*

\equiv *order_eq_map_fm*(2,3,0,1)
definition *replacement_transrec_apply_image_body_fm* **where** *replacement_transrec_apply_image_body_fm*
 \equiv *transrec_apply_image_body_fm*(3,2,0,1)
definition *banach_replacement_iterates_fm* **where** *banach_replacement_iterates_fm*
 \equiv *banach_is_iterates_body_fm*(6,5,4,3,2,0,1)
definition *replacement_is_trans_apply_image_fm* **where** *replacement_is_trans_apply_image_fm*
 \equiv *is_trans_apply_image_body_fm*(3,2,0,1)
definition *banach_iterates_fm* **where** *banach_iterates_fm* \equiv *banach_body_iterates_fm*(7,6,5,4,3,2,0,1)
definition *replacement_dcwit_repl_body_fm* **where** *replacement_dcwit_repl_body_fm*
 \equiv *dcwit_repl_body_fm*(6,5,4,3,2,0,1)

locale *M_ZF2* = *M_ZF1* +

assumes

replacement_ax2:

replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*replacement_is_omega_funspace_fm*)
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*replacement_HAleph_wfrec_repl_body_fm*)
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*replacement_is_fst2_snd2_fm*)
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*replacement_is_sndfst_fst2_snd2_fm*)
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*replacement_is_order_eq_map_fm*)
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*replacement_transrec_apply_image_body_fm*)
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*banach_replacement_iterates_fm*)
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*replacement_is_trans_apply_image_fm*)
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*banach_iterates_fm*)
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*replacement_dcwit_repl_body_fm*)

and

Lambda_in_M_replacement2:

replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*Lambda_in_M_fm*(*fst_fm*(0,1),0))
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*Lambda_in_M_fm*(*domain_fm*(0,1),0))
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*Lambda_in_M_fm*(*snd_fm*(0,1),0))
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*Lambda_in_M_fm*(*big_union_fm*(0,1),0))
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*Lambda_in_M_fm*(*is_cardinal_fm*(0,1),0))
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*Lambda_in_M_fm*(*is_converse_fm*(0,1),0))

and

LambdaPair_in_M_replacement2:

replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*LambdaPair_in_M_fm*(*image_fm*(0,1,2),0))
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*LambdaPair_in_M_fm*(*setdiff_fm*(0,1,2),0))
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*LambdaPair_in_M_fm*(*minimum_fm*(0,1,2),0))
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*LambdaPair_in_M_fm*(*upair_fm*(0,1,2),0))
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*LambdaPair_in_M_fm*(*is_RepFun_body_fm*(0,1,2),0))
replacement_assm(*M*,*env*,*LambdaPair_in_M_fm*(*composition_fm*(0,1,2),0))

definition *instances2_fms* **where** *instances2_fms* \equiv

{ *replacement_is_omega_funspace_fm*,
replacement_HAleph_wfrec_repl_body_fm,
replacement_is_fst2_snd2_fm,
replacement_is_sndfst_fst2_snd2_fm,
replacement_is_order_eq_map_fm,
replacement_transrec_apply_image_body_fm,
banach_replacement_iterates_fm,

```

replacement_is_trans_apply_image_fm,
banach_iterates_fm,
replacement_dcwit_repl_body_fm,
Lambda_in_M_fm(fst_fm(0,1),0),
Lambda_in_M_fm(domain_fm(0,1),0),
Lambda_in_M_fm(snd_fm(0,1),0),
Lambda_in_M_fm(big_union_fm(0,1),0),
Lambda_in_M_fm(is_cardinal_fm(0,1),0),
Lambda_in_M_fm(is_converse_fm(0,1),0),
LambdaPair_in_M_fm(image_fm(0,1,2),0),
LambdaPair_in_M_fm(setdiff_fm(0,1,2),0),
LambdaPair_in_M_fm(minimum_fm(0,1,2),0),
LambdaPair_in_M_fm(upair_fm(0,1,2),0),
LambdaPair_in_M_fm(is_RepFun_body_fm(0,1,2),0),
LambdaPair_in_M_fm(composition_fm(0,1,2),0) }

```

This set has 22 internalized formulas.

```

lemmas replacement_instances2_defs =
replacement_is_omega_funspace_fm_def
replacement_HAleph_wfrec_repl_body_fm_def
replacement_is_fst2_snd2_fm_def
replacement_is_sndfst_fst2_snd2_fm_def
replacement_is_order_eq_map_fm_def
replacement_transrec_apply_image_body_fm_def
banach_replacement_iterates_fm_def
replacement_is_trans_apply_image_fm_def
banach_iterates_fm_def
replacement_dcwit_repl_body_fm_def

```

```

declare (in M_ZF2) replacement_instances2_defs [simp]

```

```

lemma instances2_fms_type[TC]: instances2_fms  $\subseteq$  formula
<proof>

```

```

locale M_ZF2_trans = M_ZF1_trans + M_ZF2

```

```

locale M_ZFC2 = M_ZFC1 + M_ZF2

```

```

locale M_ZFC2_trans = M_ZFC1_trans + M_ZF2_trans + M_ZFC2

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) lam_replacement_domain : lam_replacement(##M,
domain)
<proof>

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) lam_replacement_converse : lam_replacement(##M,
converse)
<proof>

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) lam_replacement_fst : lam_replacement(##M, fst)

```

<proof>

lemma (in *M_ZF2_trans*) *lam_replacement_snd* : *lam_replacement*(##*M*, *snd*)
<proof>

lemma (in *M_ZF2_trans*) *lam_replacement_Union* : *lam_replacement*(##*M*,
Union)
<proof>

lemma (in *M_ZF2_trans*) *lam_replacement_image*:
lam_replacement(##*M*, $\lambda p. \text{fst}(p) \text{ “ } \text{snd}(p)$)
<proof>

lemma (in *M_ZF2_trans*) *lam_replacement_Diff*:
lam_replacement(##*M*, $\lambda p. \text{fst}(p) - \text{snd}(p)$)
<proof>

lemma *is_minimum_eq* :
 $M(R) \implies M(X) \implies M(u) \implies \text{is_minimum}(M, R, X, u) \iff \text{is_minimum}'(M, R, X, u)$
<proof>

context *M_trivial*
begin

lemma *first_closed*:
 $M(B) \implies M(r) \implies \text{first}(u, r, B) \implies M(u)$
<proof>

<ML>
<proof>

<ML>
<proof>

end — *M_trivial*

lemma (in *M_ZF2_trans*) *lam_replacement_minimum*:
lam_replacement(##*M*, $\lambda p. \text{minimum}(\text{fst}(p), \text{snd}(p))$)
<proof>

lemma (in *M_ZF2_trans*) *lam_replacement_Upair*: *lam_replacement*(##*M*, $\lambda p.$
Upair(*fst*(*p*), *snd*(*p*)))
<proof>

lemma (in *M_ZF2_trans*) *lam_replacement_comp*:
lam_replacement(##*M*, $\lambda p. \text{comp}(\text{fst}(p), \text{snd}(p))$)
<proof>

lemma (in *M_ZF2_trans*) *omega_funspace_abs*:

$B \in M \implies n \in M \implies z \in M \implies is_omega_funspace(\#\#M, B, n, z) \longleftrightarrow n \in \omega \wedge is_funspace(\#\#M, n, B, z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *replacement_is_omega_funspace*:
 $B \in M \implies strong_replacement(\#\#M, is_omega_funspace(\#\#M, B))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *replacement_omega_funspace*:
 $b \in M \implies strong_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda n z. n \in \omega \wedge is_funspace(\#\#M, n, b, z))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *replacement_HAleph_wfrec_repl_body*:
 $B \in M \implies strong_replacement(\#\#M, HAleph_wfrec_repl_body(\#\#M, B))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *HAleph_wfrec_repl*:
 $(\#\#M)(sa) \implies$
 $(\#\#M)(esa) \implies$
 $(\#\#M)(mesa) \implies$
strong_replacement
 $(\#\#M,$
 $\lambda x z. \exists y[\#\#M].$
 $pair(\#\#M, x, y, z) \wedge$
 $(\exists f[\#\#M].$
 $(\forall z[\#\#M].$
 $z \in f \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists xa[\#\#M].$
 $\exists y[\#\#M].$
 $\exists xaa[\#\#M].$
 $\exists sx[\#\#M].$
 $\exists r_sx[\#\#M].$
 $\exists f_r_sx[\#\#M].$
 $pair(\#\#M, xa, y, z) \wedge$
 $pair(\#\#M, xa, x, xaa) \wedge$
 $upair(\#\#M, xa, xa, sx) \wedge$
 $pre_image(\#\#M, mesa, sx, r_sx) \wedge$
 $restriction(\#\#M, f, r_sx, f_r_sx) \wedge xaa \in mesa \wedge is_HAleph(\#\#M, xa, f_r_sx,$
 $y))) \wedge$
 $is_HAleph(\#\#M, x, f, y)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *dcwit_replacement*: $Ord(na) \implies$
 $N(na) \implies$
 $N(A) \implies$
 $N(a) \implies$
 $N(s) \implies$
 $N(R) \implies$
transrec_replacement

$(N, \lambda n f ntc.$
 $\quad is_nat_case$
 $\quad (N, a,$
 $\quad \lambda m bmfm.$
 $\quad \exists fm[N]. \exists cp[N].$
 $\quad \quad is_apply(N, f, m, fm) \wedge$
 $\quad \quad is_Collect(N, A, \lambda x. \exists fmx[N]. (N(x) \wedge fmx \in R) \wedge pair(N, fm,$
 $x, fmx), cp) \wedge$
 $\quad \quad is_apply(N, s, cp, bmfm),$
 $\quad n, ntc), na)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) replacement_dcwit_repl_body:
 $(\#\#M)(mesa) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(a) \implies (\#\#M)(s) \implies (\#\#M)(R)$
 \implies
 $strong_replacement(\#\#M, dcwit_repl_body(\#\#M, mesa, A, a, s, R))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) dcwit_repl:
 $(\#\#M)(sa) \implies$
 $\quad (\#\#M)(esa) \implies$
 $\quad \quad (\#\#M)(mesa) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(a) \implies (\#\#M)(s) \implies$
 $(\#\#M)(R) \implies$
 $\quad strong_replacement$
 $\quad \quad ((\#\#M), \lambda x z. \exists y[(\#\#M)]. pair((\#\#M), x, y, z) \wedge$
 $\quad \quad \quad is_wfrec$
 $\quad \quad \quad ((\#\#M), \lambda n f. is_nat_case$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad ((\#\#M), a,$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \lambda m bmfm.$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists fm[(\#\#M)].$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists cp[(\#\#M)].$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad is_apply((\#\#M), f, m, fm) \wedge$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad is_Collect((\#\#M), A, \lambda x. \exists fmx[(\#\#M)].$
 $((\#\#M)(x) \wedge fmx \in R) \wedge pair((\#\#M), fm, x, fmx), cp) \wedge$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad is_apply((\#\#M), s, cp, bmfm),$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad n),$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad mesa, x, y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) replacementfst2_snd2: $strong_replacement(\#\#M,$
 $\lambda x y. y = \langle fst(fst(x)), snd(snd(x)) \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $M_trivial$) sndfstfst2_snd2_abs:
assumes $M(x) \ M(res)$
shows $is_sndfstfst2_snd2(M, x, res) \longleftrightarrow res = sndfstfst2_snd2(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) replacement_sndfstfst2_snd2:

$strong_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x y. y = \langle snd(fst(x)), fst(fst(x)), snd(snd(x)) \rangle)$
 <proof>

lemmas (in M_ZF2_trans) $M_replacement_ZF_instances = lam_replacement_domain$
 $lam_replacement_fst lam_replacement_snd lam_replacement_Union$
 $lam_replacement_Upair lam_replacement_image$
 $lam_replacement_Diff lam_replacement_converse$
 $replacement_fst2_snd2 replacement_sndfst_fst2_snd2$
 $lam_replacement_comp$

lemmas (in M_ZF2_trans) $M_separation_ZF_instances = separation_fstsnd_in_sndsnd$
 $separation_sndfst_eq_fstsnd$

sublocale $M_ZF2_trans \subseteq M_replacement \#\#M$
 <proof>

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) $separation_is_dcwit_body$:
assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(a) (\#\#M)(g) (\#\#M)(R)$
shows $separation(\#\#M, is_dcwit_body(\#\#M, A, a, g, R))$
 <proof>

lemma (in $M_trivial$) $RepFun_body_abs$:
assumes $M(u) M(v) M(res)$
shows $is_RepFun_body(M, u, v, res) \longleftrightarrow res = RepFun_body(u, v)$
 <proof>

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) $RepFun_SigFun_closed$: $x \in M \implies z \in M \implies \{\{z, x\} . x \in x\} \in M$
 <proof>

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) $replacement_RepFun_body$:
 $lam_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda p . \{\{snd(p), x\} . x \in fst(p)\})$
 <proof>

sublocale $M_ZF2_trans \subseteq M_replacement_extra \#\#M$
 <proof>

sublocale $M_ZF2_trans \subseteq M_Perm \#\#M$
 <proof>

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) $replacement_is_order_eq_map$:
 $A \in M \implies r \in M \implies strong_replacement(\#\#M, order_eq_map(\#\#M, A, r))$
 <proof>

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) $banach_iterates$:
assumes $X \in M Y \in M f \in M g \in M W \in M$
shows $iterates_replacement(\#\#M, is_banach_functor(\#\#M, X, Y, f, g), W)$
 <proof>

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *banach_replacement_iterates*:
assumes $X \in M$ $Y \in M$ $f \in M$ $g \in M$ $W \in M$
shows $strong_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda n y. n \in \omega \wedge is_iterates(\#\#M, is_banach_functor(\#\#M, X, Y, f, g), W, n, y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *banach_replacement*:
assumes $(\#\#M)(X)$ $(\#\#M)(Y)$ $(\#\#M)(f)$ $(\#\#M)(g)$
shows $strong_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda n y. n \in nat \wedge y = banach_functor(X, Y, f, g) \hat{\ }^n (0))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *lam_replacement_cardinal* : $lam_replacement(\#\#M, cardinal_rel(\#\#M))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_basic) *rel2_trans_apply*:
 $M(f) \implies relation2(M, is_trans_apply_image(M, f), trans_apply_image(f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_basic) *apply_image_closed*:
shows $M(f) \implies \forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(trans_apply_image(f, x, g))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_basic) *apply_image_closed'*:
shows $M(f) \implies \forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. M(trans_apply_image(f, x, g))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *replacement_transrec_apply_image_body* :
 $(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(mesa) \implies strong_replacement(\#\#M, transrec_apply_image_body(\#\#M, f, mesa))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *transrec_replacement_apply_image*:
assumes $(\#\#M)(f)$ $(\#\#M)(\alpha)$
shows $transrec_replacement(\#\#M, is_trans_apply_image(\#\#M, f), \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *rec_trans_apply_image_abs*:
assumes $(\#\#M)(f)$ $(\#\#M)(x)$ $(\#\#M)(y)$ $Ord(x)$
shows $is_transrec(\#\#M, is_trans_apply_image(\#\#M, f), x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = transrec(x, trans_apply_image(f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *replacement_is_trans_apply_image*:
 $(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(\beta) \implies strong_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x z . \exists y[\#\#M]. pair(\#\#M, x, y, z) \wedge x \in \beta \wedge (is_transrec(\#\#M, is_trans_apply_image(\#\#M, f), x, y)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *trans_apply_abs*:

$(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(\beta) \implies Ord(\beta) \implies (\#\#M)(x) \implies (\#\#M)(z) \implies$
 $(x \in \beta \wedge z = \langle x, transrec(x, \lambda a g. f \text{ ‘ } (g \text{ ‘ } a) \text{ ’}) \rangle) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists y[\#\#M]. pair(\#\#M, x, y, z) \wedge x \in \beta \wedge (is_transrec(\#\#M, is_trans_apply_image(\#\#M,$
 $f), x, y)))$
 <proof>

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *replacement_trans_apply_image*:

$(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(\beta) \implies Ord(\beta) \implies$
 $strong_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x y. x \in \beta \wedge y = \langle x, transrec(x, \lambda a g. f \text{ ‘ } (g \text{ ‘ } a) \text{ ’}) \rangle)$
 <proof>

definition *ifrFb_body where*

$ifrFb_body(M, b, f, x, i) \equiv x \in$
 $(if\ b = 0\ then\ if\ i \in range(f)\ then$
 $if\ M(converse(f) \text{ ‘ } i)\ then\ converse(f) \text{ ‘ } i\ else\ 0\ else\ 0\ else\ if\ M(i)\ then\ i\ else\ 0)$

<ML>

definition *ifrangeF_body :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ where*

$ifrangeF_body(M, A, b, f) \equiv \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. ifrFb_body(M, b, f, x, i) \rangle$

<ML>

lemma (in M_Z_trans) *separation_is_ifrangeF_body*:

$(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(s) \implies separation(\#\#M, is_ifrangeF_body(\#\#M, A, r, s))$
 <proof>

lemma (in M_basic) *is_ifrFb_body_closed*: $M(r) \implies M(s) \implies is_ifrFb_body(M,$
 $r, s, x, i) \implies M(i)$

<proof>

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *ifrangeF_body_abs*:

assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(r) (\#\#M)(s) (\#\#M)(x)$
shows $is_ifrangeF_body(\#\#M, A, r, s, x) \longleftrightarrow ifrangeF_body(\#\#M, A, r, s, x)$
 <proof>

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *separation_ifrangeF_body*:

$(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(b) \implies (\#\#M)(f) \implies separation$
 $(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in if_range_F_else_F(\lambda x. if\ (\#\#M)(x)$
 $then\ x\ else\ 0, b, f, i) \rangle)$
 <proof>

definition *ifrFb_body2 where*

$ifrFb_body2(M, G, b, f, x, i) \equiv x \in$
 $(if\ b = 0\ then\ if\ i \in range(f)\ then$
 $if\ M(converse(f) \text{ ‘ } i)\ then\ G(converse(f) \text{ ‘ } i)\ else\ 0\ else\ 0\ else\ if\ M(i)\ then\ G(i)$

else 0)

⟨ML⟩

definition *ifrangeF_body2* :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

ifrangeF_body2(M, A, G, b, f) $\equiv \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. \text{ifrFb_body2}(M, G, b, f, x, i) \rangle$

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in *M_Z_trans*) *separation_is_ifrangeF_body2*:

$(\#\#M)(A) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(G) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(r) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(s) \Longrightarrow \text{separation}(\#\#M,$
is_ifrangeF_body2($\#\#M, A, G, r, s$))

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *M_basic*) *is_ifrFb_body2_closed*: $M(G) \Longrightarrow M(r) \Longrightarrow M(s) \Longrightarrow$
is_ifrFb_body2(M, G, r, s, x, i) $\Longrightarrow M(i)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *ifrangeF_body2_abs*:

assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(G) (\#\#M)(r) (\#\#M)(s) (\#\#M)(x)$

shows *is_ifrangeF_body2*($\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x$) $\longleftrightarrow \text{ifrangeF_body2}(\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *M_ZF1_trans*) *separation_ifrangeF_body2*:

$(\#\#M)(A) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(G) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(b) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(f) \Longrightarrow$
separation

($\#\#M,$

$\lambda y. \exists x \in A.$

$y =$

$\langle x, \mu i. x \in$

if_range_F_else_F($\lambda a. \text{if } (\#\#M)(a) \text{ then } G \text{ ' } a \text{ else } 0, b, f,$

$i \rangle \rangle$)

⟨proof⟩

definition *ifrFb_body3* **where**

ifrFb_body3(M, G, b, f, x, i) $\equiv x \in$

(if b = 0 then if i \in range(f) then

if M(*converse*(f) ' i) then G- $\{ \text{converse}(f) \text{ ' } i \}$ else 0 else 0 else if M(i) then
G- $\{ i \}$ else 0)

⟨ML⟩

definition *ifrangeF_body3* :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

ifrangeF_body3(M, A, G, b, f) $\equiv \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. \text{ifrFb_body3}(M, G, b, f, x, i) \rangle$

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_Z_trans) *separation_is_ifrangeF_body3*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(G) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(s) \implies separation(\#\#M,$
 $is_ifrangeF_body3(\#\#M,A,G,r,s))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) *is_ifrFb_body3_closed*: $M(G) \implies M(r) \implies M(s) \implies$
 $is_ifrFb_body3(M, G, r, s, x, i) \implies M(i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *ifrangeF_body3_abs*:
assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(G) (\#\#M)(r) (\#\#M)(s) (\#\#M)(x)$
shows $is_ifrangeF_body3(\#\#M,A,G,r,s,x) \longleftrightarrow ifrangeF_body3(\#\#M,A,G,r,s,x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *separation_ifrangeF_body3*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(G) \implies (\#\#M)(b) \implies (\#\#M)(f) \implies$
 $separation$
 $(\#\#M,$
 $\lambda y. \exists x \in A.$
 $y =$
 $\langle x, \mu i. x \in$
 $if_range_F_else_F(\lambda a. if (\#\#M)(a) then G^{-}\{a\} else 0, b,$
 $f, i) \rangle)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *ifrFb_body4* **where**
 $ifrFb_body4(G,b,f,x,i) \equiv x \in$
 $(if b = 0 then if i \in range(f) then G^{-}(converse(f) \text{ ` } i) else 0 else G^{-}i)$
 ⟨ML⟩

definition *ifrangeF_body4* :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $ifrangeF_body4(M,A,G,b,f) \equiv \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. ifrFb_body4(G,b,f,x,i) \rangle$
 ⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_Z_trans) *separation_is_ifrangeF_body4*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(G) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(s) \implies separation(\#\#M,$
 $is_ifrangeF_body4(\#\#M,A,G,r,s))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) *is_ifrFb_body4_closed*: $M(G) \implies M(r) \implies M(s) \implies$
 $is_ifrFb_body4(M, G, r, s, x, i) \implies M(i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *ifrangeF_body4_abs*:
assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(G) (\#\#M)(r) (\#\#M)(s) (\#\#M)(x)$

shows $is_ifrangeF_body4(\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x) \longleftrightarrow ifrangeF_body4(\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *separation_ifrangeF_body4*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(G) \implies (\#\#M)(b) \implies (\#\#M)(f) \implies$
 $separation(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in if_range_F_else_F((\cdot)(G),$
 $b, f, i)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *ifrFb_body5* **where**
 $ifrFb_body5(G, b, f, x, i) \equiv x \in$
 $(if\ b = 0\ then\ if\ i \in range(f)\ then\ \{xa \in G . converse(f)\ ' i \in xa\}\ else\ 0\ else\ \{xa$
 $\in G . i \in xa\})$

⟨ML⟩

definition *ifrangeF_body5* :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $ifrangeF_body5(M, A, G, b, f) \equiv \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. ifrFb_body5(G, b, f, x, i) \rangle$

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_Z_trans) *separation_is_ifrangeF_body5*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(G) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(s) \implies separation(\#\#M,$
 $is_ifrangeF_body5(\#\#M, A, G, r, s))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) *is_ifrFb_body5_closed*: $M(G) \implies M(r) \implies M(s) \implies$
 $is_ifrFb_body5(M, G, r, s, x, i) \implies M(i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *ifrangeF_body5_abs*:
assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(G) (\#\#M)(r) (\#\#M)(s) (\#\#M)(x)$
shows $is_ifrangeF_body5(\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x) \longleftrightarrow ifrangeF_body5(\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF1_trans) *separation_ifrangeF_body5*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(G) \implies (\#\#M)(b) \implies (\#\#M)(f) \implies$
 $separation(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in if_range_F_else_F(\lambda x. \{xa$
 $\in G . x \in xa\}, b, f, i)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *ifrFb_body6* **where**
 $ifrFb_body6(G, b, f, x, i) \equiv x \in$
 $(if\ b = 0\ then\ if\ i \in range(f)\ then\ \{p \in G . domain(p) = converse(f)\ ' i\}\ else\ 0$
 $else\ \{p \in G . domain(p) = i\})$

⟨ML⟩

definition *ifrangeF_body6* :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$ifrangeF_body6(M, A, G, b, f) \equiv \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. ifrFb_body6(G, b, f, x, i) \rangle$

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in *M_Z_trans*) *separation_is_ifrangeF_body6*:

$(\#\#M)(A) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(G) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(r) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(s) \Longrightarrow separation(\#\#M, is_ifrangeF_body6(\#\#M, A, G, r, s))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *M_basic*) *ifrFb_body6_closed*: $M(G) \Longrightarrow M(r) \Longrightarrow M(s) \Longrightarrow ifrFb_body6(G, r, s, x, i) \longleftrightarrow M(i) \wedge ifrFb_body6(G, r, s, x, i)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *M_basic*) *is_ifrFb_body6_closed*: $M(G) \Longrightarrow M(r) \Longrightarrow M(s) \Longrightarrow is_ifrFb_body6(M, G, r, s, x, i) \Longrightarrow M(i)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *M_ZF2_trans*) *ifrangeF_body6_abs*:

assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(G) (\#\#M)(r) (\#\#M)(s) (\#\#M)(x)$

shows $is_ifrangeF_body6(\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x) \longleftrightarrow ifrangeF_body6(\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *M_ZF2_trans*) *separation_ifrangeF_body6*:

$(\#\#M)(A) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(G) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(b) \Longrightarrow (\#\#M)(f) \Longrightarrow separation(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in if_range_F_else_F(\lambda a. \{p \in G . domain(p) = a\}, b, f, i))$
⟨proof⟩

definition *ifrFb_body7* **where**

$ifrFb_body7(B, D, A, b, f, x, i) \equiv x \in$

$(if\ b = 0\ then\ if\ i \in\ range(f)\ then$

$\{d \in D . \exists r \in A. restrict(r, B) = converse(f) \text{ ' } i \wedge d = domain(r)\}$ *else* 0

else $\{d \in D . \exists r \in A. restrict(r, B) = i \wedge d = domain(r)\}$)

⟨ML⟩

definition *ifrangeF_body7* :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$ifrangeF_body7(M, A, B, D, G, b, f) \equiv \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. ifrFb_body7(B, D, G, b, f, x, i) \rangle$

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_Z_trans) *separation_is_ifrangeF_body7*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(B) \implies (\#\#M)(D) \implies (\#\#M)(G) \implies (\#\#M)(r)$
 $\implies (\#\#M)(s) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \text{is_ifrangeF_body7}(\#\#M, A, B, D, G, r, s))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) *ifrFb_body7_closed*: $M(B) \implies M(D) \implies M(G) \implies M(r)$
 $\implies M(s) \implies$
 $\text{ifrFb_body7}(B, D, G, r, s, x, i) \longleftrightarrow M(i) \wedge \text{ifrFb_body7}(B, D, G, r, s, x, i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) *is_ifrFb_body7_closed*: $M(B) \implies M(D) \implies M(G) \implies$
 $M(r) \implies M(s) \implies$
 $\text{is_ifrFb_body7}(M, B, D, G, r, s, x, i) \implies M(i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *ifrangeF_body7_abs*:
assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(B) (\#\#M)(D) (\#\#M)(G) (\#\#M)(r) (\#\#M)(s)$
 $(\#\#M)(x)$
shows $\text{is_ifrangeF_body7}(\#\#M, A, B, D, G, r, s, x) \longleftrightarrow \text{ifrangeF_body7}(\#\#M, A, B, D, G, r, s, x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF2_trans) *separation_ifrangeF_body7*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(B) \implies (\#\#M)(D) \implies (\#\#M)(G) \implies (\#\#M)(b) \implies$
 $(\#\#M)(f) \implies$
 $\text{separation}(\#\#M,$
 $\lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in \text{if_range_F_else_F}(\text{drSR_Y}(B, D, G), b, f, i))$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

24 Separative notions and proper extensions

theory *Proper_Extension*

imports

Names

begin

The key ingredient to obtain a proper extension is to have a *separative preorder*:

locale *separative_notion* = *forcing_notion* +

assumes *separative*: $p \in P \implies \exists q \in P. \exists r \in P. q \preceq p \wedge r \preceq p \wedge q \perp r$

begin

For separative preorders, the complement of every filter is dense. Hence an M -generic filter cannot belong to the ground model.

lemma *filter_complement_dense*:

```

    assumes filter(G)
    shows dense(P - G)
  <proof>

end — separative_notion

locale ctm_separative = forcing_data1 + separative_notion
begin

lemma generic_not_in_M:
  assumes M_generic(G)
  shows G ∉ M
  <proof>

theorem proper_extension:
  assumes M_generic(G)
  shows M ≠ M[G]
  <proof>

end — ctm_separative

end

```

25 A poset of successions

```

theory Succession_Poset
  imports
    Replacement_Instances
    Proper_Extension
begin

```

In this theory we define a separative poset. Its underlying set is the set of finite binary sequences (that is, with codomain $2 = \{0, 1\}$); of course, one can see that set as the set $\omega \text{-}|| > 2$ or equivalently as the set of partial functions $F_n(\omega, \omega, 2)$, i.e. the set of partial functions bounded by ω .

The order relation of the poset is that of being less defined as functions (cf. $F_n\text{lerel}(A^{<\omega})$), so it could be surprising that we have not used $F_n(\omega, \omega, 2)$ for the set. The only reason why we keep this alternative definition is because we can prove $A^{<\omega} \in M$ (and therefore $F_n\text{lerel}(A^{<\omega}) \in M$) using only one instance of replacement.

```

sublocale M_ZF2_trans ⊆ M_seqspace ## M
  <proof>

```

```

definition seq_upd :: i ⇒ i ⇒ i where
  seq_upd(f,a) ≡ λ j ∈ succ(domain(f)) . if j < domain(f) then f`j else a

```

```

lemma seq_upd_succ_type :

```

assumes $n \in \text{nat}$ $f \in n \rightarrow A$ $a \in A$
shows $\text{seq_upd}(f, a) \in \text{succ}(n) \rightarrow A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma seq_upd_type :
assumes $f \in A^{<\omega}$ $a \in A$
shows $\text{seq_upd}(f, a) \in A^{<\omega}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{seq_upd_apply_domain}$ [*simp*]:
assumes $f: n \rightarrow A$ $n \in \text{nat}$
shows $\text{seq_upd}(f, a) 'n = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma zero_in_seqspace :
shows $0 \in A^{<\omega}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition
 $\text{seqlerel} :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{seqlerel}(A) \equiv \text{Fnlerel}(A^{<\omega})$

definition
 $\text{seqle} :: i$ **where**
 $\text{seqle} \equiv \text{seqlerel}(2)$

lemma seqleI [*intro!*]:
 $\langle f, g \rangle \in 2^{<\omega} \times 2^{<\omega} \implies g \subseteq f \implies \langle f, g \rangle \in \text{seqle}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma seqleD [*dest!*]:
 $z \in \text{seqle} \implies \exists x y. \langle x, y \rangle \in 2^{<\omega} \times 2^{<\omega} \wedge y \subseteq x \wedge z = \langle x, y \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma upd_leI :
assumes $f \in 2^{<\omega}$ $a \in 2$
shows $\langle \text{seq_upd}(f, a), f \rangle \in \text{seqle}$ (**is** $\langle ?f, _ \rangle \in _$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma preorder_on_seqle : $\text{preorder_on}(2^{<\omega}, \text{seqle})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma zero_seqle_max : $x \in 2^{<\omega} \implies \langle x, 0 \rangle \in \text{seqle}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation $\text{sp.forcing_notion } 2^{<\omega} \text{ seqle } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

notation sp.Leq (**infixl** \preceq_s 50)

notation *sp.Incompatible* (**infixl** $\perp s$ 50)
notation *sp.GenExt_at_P* ($_s[_]$ [71,1])

lemma *seqspace_separative*:
assumes $f \in 2^{<\omega}$
shows $seq_upd(f,0) \perp s seq_upd(f,1)$ (**is** $?f \perp s ?g$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *seqleR_fm* :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $seqleR_fm(fg) \equiv Exists(Exists(And(pair_fm(0,1,fg+\omega 2),subset_fm(1,0))))$

lemma *type_seqleR_fm* : $fg \in nat \Longrightarrow seqleR_fm(fg) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ctm1*) *seqleR_fm_sats* :
assumes $fg \in nat$ $env \in list(M)$
shows $(M, env \models seqleR_fm(fg)) \longleftrightarrow (\exists f[\#\#M]. \exists g[\#\#M]. pair(\#\#M, f, g, nth(fg, env))$
 $\wedge f \supseteq g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale *M_ctm2* = *M_ctm1* + *M_ZF2_trans*

locale *M_ctm2_AC* = *M_ctm2* + *M_ZFC2_trans*

locale *forcing_data2* = *forcing_data1* + *M_ctm2*

context *M_ctm2*
begin

lemma *seqle_in_M*: $seqle \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

25.1 Cohen extension is proper

interpretation *ctm_separative* $2^{<\omega}$ *seqle* 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cohen_extension_is_proper*: $\exists G. M_generic(G) \wedge M \neq M^{2^{<\omega}}[G]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — *M_ctm2*

end

26 Further instances of axiom-schemes

theory *ZF_Trans_Interpretations*


```

imports
  Internal_ZFC_Axioms
  Succession_Poset
begin

locale M_ZF3 = M_ZF2 +
assumes
  replacement_ax3:
  replacement_assm(M,env,replacement_is_order_body_fm)
  replacement_assm(M,env,wfrec_replacement_order_pred_fm)
  replacement_assm(M,env,replacement_is_jump_cardinal_body_fm)
  replacement_assm(M,env,replacement_is_aleph_fm)
and
  LambdaPair_in_M_replacement3:
  replacement_assm(M,env,LambdaPair_in_M_fm(is_inj_fm(0,1,2),0))

definition instances3_fms where instances3_fms ≡
  { replacement_is_order_body_fm,
    wfrec_replacement_order_pred_fm,
    replacement_is_jump_cardinal_body_fm,
    replacement_is_aleph_fm,
    LambdaPair_in_M_fm(is_inj_fm(0,1,2),0) }

This set has 5 internalized formulas.

lemmas replacement_instances3_defs =
  replacement_is_order_body_fm_def wfrec_replacement_order_pred_fm_def
  replacement_is_jump_cardinal_body_fm_def
  replacement_is_aleph_fm_def

declare (in M_ZF3) replacement_instances3_defs [simp]

locale M_ZF3_trans = M_ZF2_trans + M_ZF3

locale M_ZFC3 = M_ZFC2 + M_ZF3

locale M_ZFC3_trans = M_ZFC2_trans + M_ZF3_trans

locale M_ctm3 = M_ctm2 + M_ZF3_trans + M_ZF2_trans

locale M_ctm3_AC = M_ctm3 + M_ctm1_AC + M_ZFC3_trans

locale forcing_data3 = forcing_data2 + M_ctm3_AC

lemmas (in M_ZF2_trans) separation_instances =
  separation_well_ord
  separation_obase_equals separation_is_obase
  separation_PiP_rel separation_surjP_rel
  separation_radd_body separation_rmult_body

```

lemma (in *M_ZF3_trans*) *lam_replacement_inj_rel*:

shows

$lam_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda p. inj\#\#^M(fst(p),snd(p)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

definition *omap_wfrec_body* **where**

$omap_wfrec_body(A,r) \equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot image_fm(2, 0, 1) \wedge$
 $pred_set_fm$
 $(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(A))))))))), 3,$
 $succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(succ(r))))))))), 0) \cdot)$

lemma *type_omap_wfrec_body_fm* : $A \in nat \implies r \in nat \implies omap_wfrec_body(A,r) \in formula$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *arity_aux* : $A \in nat \implies r \in nat \implies arity(omap_wfrec_body(A,r)) = (9 +_\omega A)$

$\cup (9 +_\omega r)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *arity_omap_wfrec* : $A \in nat \implies r \in nat \implies$

$arity(is_wfrec_fm(omap_wfrec_body(A,r),succ(succ(succ(r))), 1, 0)) =$
 $(4 +_\omega A) \cup (4 +_\omega r)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *arity_isordermap* : $A \in nat \implies r \in nat \implies d \in nat \implies$

$arity(is_ordermap_fm(A,r,d)) = succ(d) \cup (succ(A) \cup succ(r))$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *arity_is_ordertype* : $A \in nat \implies r \in nat \implies d \in nat \implies$

$arity(is_ordertype_fm(A,r,d)) = succ(d) \cup (succ(A) \cup succ(r))$

⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma *arity_is_order_body* : $arity(is_order_body_fm(2,0,1)) = 3$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *M_ZF3_trans*) *replacement_is_order_body*:

$X \in M \implies strong_replacement(\#\#M, is_order_body(\#\#M,X))$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *M_pre_cardinal_arith*) *is_order_body_abs* :

$M(X) \implies M(x) \implies M(z) \implies is_order_body(M, X, x, z) \longleftrightarrow$

$M(z) \wedge M(x) \wedge x \in Pow_rel(M, X \times X) \wedge well_ord(X, x) \wedge z = ordertype(X, x)$

⟨proof⟩

definition *H_order_pred* **where**

$H_order_pred(A,r) \equiv \lambda x f . f \text{ `` } Order.pred(A, x, r)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_basic) $H_order_pred_abs$:

$M(A) \implies M(r) \implies M(x) \implies M(f) \implies M(z) \implies$
 $is_H_order_pred(M, A, r, x, f, z) \iff z = H_order_pred(A, r, x, f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF3_trans) $wfrec_replacement_order_pred$:

$A \in M \implies r \in M \implies wfrec_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x g z. is_H_order_pred(\#\#M, A, r, x, g, z)$
 $, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF3_trans) $wfrec_replacement_order_pred'$:

$A \in M \implies r \in M \implies wfrec_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x g z. z = H_order_pred(A, r, x, g)$
 $, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale $M_ZF3_trans \subseteq M_pre_cardinal_arith \#\#M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF3_trans) $replacement_ordertype$:

$X \in M \implies strong_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x z. z \in M \wedge x \in M \wedge x \in Pow^M(X \times$
 $X) \wedge well_ord(X, x) \wedge z = ordertype(X, x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_is_jump_cardinal_body$: $arity(is_jump_cardinal_body'_fm(0, 1)) =$

2

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF3_trans) $replacement_is_jump_cardinal_body$:

$strong_replacement(\#\#M, is_jump_cardinal_body'(\#\#M))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $M_pre_cardinal_arith$) $univalent_aux2$: $M(X) \implies univalent(M, Pow_rel(M, X \times X),$

$\lambda r z. M(z) \wedge M(r) \wedge is_well_ord(M, X, r) \wedge is_ordertype(M, X, r, z))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $M_pre_cardinal_arith$) $is_jump_cardinal_body_abs$:

$M(X) \implies M(c) \implies is_jump_cardinal_body'(M, X, c) \iff c = jump_cardinal_body'_rel(M, X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF3_trans) $replacement_jump_cardinal_body$:

$strong_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x z. z \in M \wedge x \in M \wedge z = jump_cardinal_body(\#\#M,$
 $x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale $M_ZF3_trans \subseteq M_pre_aleph \ \#\#M$
<proof>

<ML>

lemma *arity_is_HAleph_fm*: $arity(is_HAleph_fm(2, 1, 0)) = 3$
<proof>

lemma *arity_is_Aleph*: $arity(is_Aleph_fm(0, 1)) = 2$
<proof>

lemma (**in** M_ZF3_trans) *replacement_is_aleph*:
strong_replacement($\#\#M, \lambda x y. Ord(x) \wedge is_Aleph(\#\#M, x, y)$)
<proof>

lemma (**in** M_ZF3_trans) *replacement_aleph_rel*:
shows *strong_replacement*($\#\#M, \lambda x y. Ord(x) \wedge y = \aleph_x^M$)
<proof>

sublocale $M_ZF3_trans \subseteq M_aleph \ \#\#M$
<proof>

sublocale $M_ZF2_trans \subseteq M_FiniteFun \ \#\#M$
<proof>

sublocale $M_ZFC1_trans \subseteq M_AC \ \#\#M$
<proof>

sublocale $M_ZFC3_trans \subseteq M_cardinal_AC \ \#\#M$ *<proof>*

lemma (**in** M_ZF2_trans) *separation_cardinal_rel_lespoll_rel*:
 $(\#\#M)(\kappa) \implies separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. x \prec^M \kappa)$
<proof>

sublocale $M_ZFC3_trans \subseteq M_library \ \#\#M$
<proof>

locale $M_ZF4 = M_ZF3 +$

assumes

ground_replacements4:

ground_replacement_assm($M, env, replacement_is_order_body_fm$)

ground_replacement_assm($M, env, wfrec_replacement_order_pred_fm$)

ground_replacement_assm($M, env, replacement_is_jump_cardinal_body_fm$)

ground_replacement_assm($M, env, replacement_is_aleph_fm$)

ground_replacement_assm($M, env, LambdaPair_in_M_fm(is_inj_fm(0, 1, 2), 0)$)

ground_replacement_assm($M, env, wfrec_Hfrc_at_fm$)

ground_replacement_assm($M, env, list_repl1_intf_fm$)

ground_replacement_assm($M, env, list_repl2_intf_fm$)

$ground_replacement_assm(M,env,formula_repl2_intf_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,eclose_repl2_intf_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,powapply_repl_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,phrank_repl_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,wfrec_rank_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,trans_repl_HVFrom_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,wfrec_Hcheck_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,repl_PHcheck_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,check_replacement_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,G_dot_in_M_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,repl_opname_check_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,tl_repl_intf_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,formula_repl1_intf_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,eclose_repl1_intf_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,replacement_is_omega_funspace_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,replacement_HAleph_wfrec_repl_body_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,replacement_is_fst2_snd2_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,replacement_is_sndfst_fst2_snd2_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,replacement_is_order_eq_map_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,replacement_transrec_apply_image_body_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,banach_replacement_iterates_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,replacement_is_trans_apply_image_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,banach_iterates_fm)$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,dcwit_repl_body_fm(6,5,4,3,2,0,1))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,Lambda_in_M_fm(fst_fm(0,1),0))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,Lambda_in_M_fm(big_union_fm(0,1),0))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,Lambda_in_M_fm(is_cardinal_fm(0,1),0))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,Lambda_in_M_fm(snd_fm(0,1),0))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,LambdaPair_in_M_fm(image_fm(0,1,2),0))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,LambdaPair_in_M_fm(setdiff_fm(0,1,2),0))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,LambdaPair_in_M_fm(minimum_fm(0,1,2),0))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,LambdaPair_in_M_fm(upair_fm(0,1,2),0))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,LambdaPair_in_M_fm(is_RepFun_body_fm(0,1,2),0))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,LambdaPair_in_M_fm(composition_fm(0,1,2),0))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,Lambda_in_M_fm(is_converse_fm(0,1),0))$
 $ground_replacement_assm(M,env,Lambda_in_M_fm(domain_fm(0,1),0))$

definition $instances4_fms$ where $instances4_fms \equiv$
 $\{$ $ground_repl_fm(replacement_is_order_body_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(wfrec_replacement_order_pred_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(replacement_is_jump_cardinal_body_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(replacement_is_aleph_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(LambdaPair_in_M_fm(is_inj_fm(0,1,2),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(wfrec_Hfrc_at_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(list_repl1_intf_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(list_repl2_intf_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(formula_repl2_intf_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(eclose_repl2_intf_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(powapply_repl_fm),$

$ground_repl_fm(phrank_repl_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(wfrec_rank_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(trans_repl_HVFrom_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(wfrec_Hcheck_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(repl_PHcheck_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(check_replacement_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(G_dot_in_M_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(repl_opname_check_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(tl_repl_intf_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(formula_repl1_intf_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(eclose_repl1_intf_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(replacement_is_omega_funspace_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(replacement_HAleph_wfrec_repl_body_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(replacement_is_fst2_snd2_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(replacement_is_sndfst_fst2_snd2_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(replacement_is_order_eq_map_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(replacement_transrec_apply_image_body_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(banach_replacement_iterates_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(replacement_is_trans_apply_image_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(banach_iterates_fm),$
 $ground_repl_fm(dcwit_repl_body_fm(6,5,4,3,2,0,1)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(Lambda_in_M_fm(fst_fm(0,1),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(Lambda_in_M_fm(big_union_fm(0,1),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(Lambda_in_M_fm(is_cardinal_fm(0,1),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(Lambda_in_M_fm(snd_fm(0,1),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(LambdaPair_in_M_fm(image_fm(0,1,2),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(LambdaPair_in_M_fm(setdiff_fm(0,1,2),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(LambdaPair_in_M_fm(minimum_fm(0,1,2),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(LambdaPair_in_M_fm(upair_fm(0,1,2),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(LambdaPair_in_M_fm(is_RepFun_body_fm(0,1,2),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(LambdaPair_in_M_fm(composition_fm(0,1,2),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(Lambda_in_M_fm(is_converse_fm(0,1),0)),$
 $ground_repl_fm(Lambda_in_M_fm(domain_fm(0,1),0)) \}$

This set has 44 internalized formulas, corresponding to the total count of previous replacement instances.

definition *overhead where*

$overhead \equiv instances1_fms \cup instances2_fms \cup instances3_fms \cup instances4_fms$

Hence, the “overhead” to force CH and its negation consists of 88 replacement instances.

lemma $instances3_fms_type[TC] : instances3_fms \subseteq formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $overhead_type : overhead \subseteq formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale $M_ZF4_trans = M_ZF3_trans + M_ZF4$

locale $M_ZFC4 = M_ZFC3 + M_ZF4$

locale $M_ZFC4_trans = M_ZFC3_trans + M_ZF4_trans$

locale $M_ctm4 = M_ctm3 + M_ZF4_trans$

locale $M_ctm4_AC = M_ctm4 + M_ctm1_AC + M_ZFC4_trans$

locale $forcing_data4 = forcing_data3 + M_ctm4_AC$

lemma $M_satT_imp_M_ZF2$: $(M \models ZF) \implies M_ZF2(M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $M_satT_imp_M_ZFC2$:
shows $(M \models ZFC) \longrightarrow M_ZFC2(M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $M_satT_instances12_imp_M_ZF2$:
assumes $(M \models \cdot Z \cdot \cup \{\cdot Replacement(p) \cdot \cdot p \in instances1_fms \cup instances2_fms\})$
shows $M_ZF2(M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** M_Z_basic) $M_satT_Zermelo_fms$: $M \models \cdot Z \cdot$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** M_ZFC1) M_satT_ZC : $M \models ZC$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale $M_ZF = M_Z_basic +$
assumes
 $replacement_ax: replacement_assm(M, env, \varphi)$

lemma $M_satT_imp_M_ZF$: $M \models ZF \implies M_ZF(M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** M_ZF) M_satT_ZF : $M \models ZF$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $M_ZF_iff_M_satT$: $M_ZF(M) \longleftrightarrow (M \models ZF)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale $M_ZFC = M_ZF + M_ZC_basic$

lemma $M_ZFC_iff_M_satT$:
notes $iff_trans[trans]$
shows $M_ZFC(M) \longleftrightarrow (M \models ZFC)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $M_satT_imp_M_ZF4$: $(M \models ZF) \longrightarrow M_ZF4(M)$

<proof>

lemma *M_satT_imp_M_ZFC4*:
 shows $(M \models ZFC) \longrightarrow M_ZFC4(M)$
<proof>

lemma *M_satT_overhead_imp_M_ZF4*:
 $(M \models ZC \cup \{\cdot\text{Replacement}(p) \cdot \mid p \in \text{overhead}\}) \longrightarrow M_ZFC4(M)$
<proof>

end

27 The main theorem

theory *Forcing_Main*
 imports
 Ordinals_In_MG
 Choice_Axiom
 ZF_Trans_Interpretations

begin

27.1 The generic extension is countable

lemma (*in forcing_data1*) *surj_nat_MG* : $\exists f. f \in \text{surj}(\omega, M[G])$
<proof>

lemma (*in G_generic1*) *MG_eqpoll_nat*: $M[G] \approx \omega$
<proof>

27.2 Extensions of ctms of fragments of ZFC

lemma *M_satT_imp_M_ZF2*: $(M \models ZF) \implies M_ZF2(M)$
<proof>

lemma *M_satT_imp_M_ZFC2*:
 shows $(M \models ZFC) \longrightarrow M_ZFC2(M)$
<proof>

lemma *M_satT_instances12_imp_M_ZF2*:
 assumes $(M \models \cdot Z \cdot \cup \{\cdot\text{Replacement}(p) \cdot \mid p \in \text{instances1_fms} \cup \text{instances2_fms}\})$
 shows $M_ZF2(M)$
<proof>

context *G_generic1*
begin

lemma *sats_ground_repl_fm_imp_sats_ZF_replacement_fm*:
 assumes


```

     $\varphi \in \text{formula } M, \square \models \cdot \text{Replacement}(\text{ground\_repl\_fm}(\varphi)) \cdot$ 
shows
     $M[G], \square \models \cdot \text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma satT_ground_repl_fm_imp_satT_ZF_replacement_fm:
assumes
     $\Phi \subseteq \text{formula } M \models \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(\text{ground\_repl\_fm}(\varphi)) \cdot \cdot \varphi \in \Phi \}$ 
shows
     $M[G] \models \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot \cdot \varphi \in \Phi \}$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end — G_generic1

theorem extensions_of_ctms:
assumes
     $M \approx \omega \text{ Transset}(M) \ M \models \cdot Z \cdot \cup \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(p) \cdot \cdot p \in \text{instances1\_fms} \cup \text{instances2\_fms} \}$ 
     $\Phi \subseteq \text{formula } M \models \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(\text{ground\_repl\_fm}(\varphi)) \cdot \cdot \varphi \in \Phi \}$ 
shows
     $\exists N.$ 
     $M \subseteq N \wedge N \approx \omega \wedge \text{Transset}(N) \wedge M \neq N \wedge$ 
     $(\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow (\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N)) \wedge$ 
     $((M, \square \models \cdot AC \cdot) \longrightarrow N, \square \models \cdot AC \cdot) \wedge N \models \cdot Z \cdot \cup \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot \cdot \varphi \in \Phi \}$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ZF_replacement_instances12_sub_ZF:  $\{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(p) \cdot \cdot p \in \text{instances1\_fms} \cup \text{instances2\_fms} \} \subseteq ZF$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

theorem extensions_of_ctms_ZF:
assumes
     $M \approx \omega \text{ Transset}(M) \ M \models ZF$ 
shows
     $\exists N.$ 
     $M \subseteq N \wedge N \approx \omega \wedge \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models ZF \wedge M \neq N \wedge$ 
     $(\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow (\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N)) \wedge$ 
     $((M, \square \models \cdot AC \cdot) \longrightarrow N \models ZFC)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

28 Preservation of cardinals in generic extensions

theory Cardinal_Preservation
imports
    Forcing_Main
begin

```

context *forcing_notion*
begin

definition

antichain :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
antichain(A) $\equiv A \subseteq P \wedge (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. p \neq q \longrightarrow p \perp q)$

definition

ccc :: o **where**
ccc $\equiv \forall A. \text{antichain}(A) \longrightarrow |A| \leq \omega$

end — *forcing_notion*

context *forcing_data1*

begin

abbreviation

antichain_r' :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
antichain_r'(A) $\equiv \text{antichain_rel}(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}, A)$

lemma *antichain_abs'* [*absolut*]:

$\llbracket A \in M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{antichain_r}'(A) \longleftrightarrow \text{antichain}(A)$
<proof>

lemma (**in** *forcing_notion*) *Incompatible_imp_not_eq*: $\llbracket p \perp q; p \in P; q \in P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $p \neq q$
<proof>

lemma *inconsistent_imp_incompatible*:

assumes $p \Vdash \varphi$ *env* $q \Vdash \text{Neg}(\varphi)$ *env* $p \in P$ $q \in P$
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$ $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ *env* $\in \text{list}(M)$
shows $p \perp q$
<proof>

notation *check* ($\langle _ \rangle^v$ [101] 100)

end — *forcing_data1*

locale $G_generic2 = G_generic1 + \text{forcing_data2}$

locale $G_generic2_AC = G_generic1_AC + G_generic2 + M_ctm2_AC$

locale $G_generic3 = G_generic2 + \text{forcing_data3}$

locale $G_generic3_AC = G_generic2_AC + G_generic3$

locale $G_generic4 = G_generic3 + \text{forcing_data4}$

locale $G_generic4_AC = G_generic3_AC + G_generic4$

sublocale $G_generic4_AC \subseteq \text{ext}: M_ZFC3_trans$ $M[G]$

<proof>

lemma (in forcing_data1) forces_neq_apply_imp_incompatible:

assumes

$p \Vdash \cdot 0'1$ is 2. $[f, a, b^v]$

$q \Vdash \cdot 0'1$ is 2. $[f, a, b'^v]$

$b \neq b'$

— More general version: taking general names b^v and b'^v , satisfying $p \Vdash \cdot \neg \cdot 0 =$

$1 \cdot [b^v, b'^v]$ and $q \Vdash \cdot \neg \cdot 0 = 1 \cdot [b^v, b'^v]$.

and

types: $f \in M$ $a \in M$ $b \in M$ $b' \in M$ $p \in P$ $q \in P$

shows

$p \perp q$

\langle proof \rangle

include *G_generic1_lemmas*

\langle proof \rangle

context *M_ctm3_AC*

begin

— Simplifying simp rules (because of the occurrence of "###")

lemmas *sharp_simps = Card_rel Union Card_rel cardinal_rel Collect_abs*
Cons_abs Cons_in_M_iff Diff_closed Equal_abs Equal_in_M_iff Finite_abs
Forall_abs Forall_in_M_iff Inl_abs Inl_in_M_iff Inr_abs Inr_in_M_iff
Int_closed Inter_abs Inter_closed M_nat Member_abs Member_in_M_iff
Memrel_closed Nand_abs Nand_in_M_iff Nil_abs Nil_in_M Ord_cardinal_rel
Pow_rel_closed Un_closed Union_abs Union_closed and_abs and_closed
apply_abs apply_closed bij_rel_closed bijection_abs bool_of_o_abs
bool_of_o_closed cadd_rel_0 cadd_rel_closed cardinal_rel_0_iff_0
cardinal_rel_closed cardinal_rel_idem cartprod_abs cartprod_closed
cmult_rel_0 cmult_rel_1 cmult_rel_closed comp_closed composition_abs
cons_abs cons_closed converse_abs converse_closed csquare_lam_closed
csquare_rel_closed depth_closed domain_abs domain_closed eclose_abs
eclose_closed empty_abs field_abs field_closed finite_funspace_closed
finite_ordinal_abs formula_N_abs formula_N_closed formula_abs
formula_case_abs formula_case_closed formula_closed
formula_functor_abs fst_closed function_abs function_space_rel_closed
hd_abs image_abs image_closed inj_rel_closed injection_abs inter_abs
irreflexive_abs is_depth_apply_abs is_eclose_n_abs is_funspace_abs
iterates_closed length_abs length_closed lepoll_rel_refl
limit_ordinal_abs linear_rel_abs list_N_abs list_N_closed list_abs
list_case'_closed list_case_abs list_closed list_functor_abs
mem_bij_abs mem_eclose_abs mem_inj_abs mem_list_abs membership_abs
minimum_closed nat_case_abs nat_case_closed nonempty not_abs
not_closed nth_abs number1_abs number2_abs number3_abs omega_abs
or_abs or_closed order_isomorphism_abs ordermap_closed
ordertype_closed ordinal_abs pair_abs pair_in_M_iff powerset_abs
pred_closed pred_set_abs quaselist_abs quasinat_abs radd_closed
rall_abs range_abs range_closed relation_abs restrict_closed

```

restriction_abs rex_abs rmult_closed rtrancl_abs rtrancl_closed
rvmage_closed separation_closed setdiff_abs singleton_abs
singleton_in_M_iff snd_closed strong_replacement_closed subset_abs
succ_in_M_iff successor_abs successor_ordinal_abs sum_abs sum_closed
surj_rel_closed surjection_abs tl_abs trancl_abs trancl_closed
transitive_rel_abs transitive_set_abs typed_function_abs union_abs
upair_abs upair_in_M_iff vimage_abs vimage_closed well_ord_abs
mem_formula_abs nth_closed Aleph_rel_closed csucc_rel_closed
Card_rel_Aleph_rel

declare sharp_simps[simp del, simplified setclass_iff, simp]

lemmas sharp_intros = nat_into_M Aleph_rel_closed Card_rel_Aleph_rel

declare sharp_intros[rule del, simplified setclass_iff, intro]

end — M_ctm3_AC

context G_generic4_AC begin

context
  includes G_generic1_lemmas
begin

lemmas mg_sharp_simps = ext.Card_rel_Union ext.Card_rel_cardinal_rel
ext.Collect_abs ext.Cons_abs ext.Cons_in_M_iff ext.Diff_closed
ext.Equal_abs ext.Equal_in_M_iff ext.Finite_abs ext.Forall_abs
ext.Forall_in_M_iff ext.Inl_abs ext.Inl_in_M_iff ext.Inr_abs
ext.Inr_in_M_iff ext.Int_closed ext.Inter_abs ext.Inter_closed
ext.M_nat ext.Member_abs ext.Member_in_M_iff ext.Memrel_closed
ext.Nand_abs ext.Nand_in_M_iff ext.Nil_abs ext.Nil_in_M
ext.Ord_cardinal_rel ext.Pow_rel_closed ext.Un_closed
ext.Union_abs ext.Union_closed ext.and_abs ext.and_closed
ext.apply_abs ext.apply_closed ext.bij_rel_closed
ext.bijection_abs ext.bool_of_o_abs ext.bool_of_o_closed
ext.cadd_rel_0 ext.cadd_rel_closed ext.cardinal_rel_0_iff_0
ext.cardinal_rel_closed ext.cardinal_rel_idem ext.cartprod_abs
ext.cartprod_closed ext.cmult_rel_0 ext.cmult_rel_1
ext.cmult_rel_closed ext.comp_closed ext.composition_abs
ext.cons_abs ext.cons_closed ext.converse_abs ext.converse_closed
ext.csquare_lam_closed ext.csquare_rel_closed ext.depth_closed
ext.domain_abs ext.domain_closed ext.eclose_abs ext.eclose_closed
ext.empty_abs ext.field_abs ext.field_closed
ext.finite_funspace_closed ext.finite_ordinal_abs ext.formula_N_abs
ext.formula_N_closed ext.formula_abs ext.formula_case_abs
ext.formula_case_closed ext.formula_closed ext.formula_functor_abs
ext.fst_closed ext.function_abs ext.function_space_rel_closed
ext.hd_abs ext.image_abs ext.image_closed ext.inj_rel_closed
ext.injection_abs ext.inter_abs ext.irreflexive_abs

```

ext.is_depth_apply_abs ext.is_eclose_n_abs ext.is_funspace_abs
ext.iterates_closed ext.length_abs ext.length_closed
ext.lepoll_rel_refl ext.limit_ordinal_abs ext.linear_rel_abs
ext.list_N_abs ext.list_N_closed ext.list_abs
ext.list_case'_closed ext.list_case_abs ext.list_closed
ext.list_functor_abs ext.mem_bij_abs ext.mem_eclose_abs
ext.mem_inj_abs ext.mem_list_abs ext.membership_abs
ext.nat_case_abs ext.nat_case_closed
ext.nonempty ext.not_abs ext.not_closed ext.nth_abs
ext.number1_abs ext.number2_abs ext.number3_abs ext.omega_abs
ext.or_abs ext.or_closed ext.order_isomorphism_abs
ext.ordermap_closed ext.ordertype_closed ext.ordinal_abs
ext.pair_abs ext.pair_in_M_iff ext.powerset_abs ext.pred_closed
ext.pred_set_abs ext.quaselist_abs ext.quasinat_abs
ext.radd_closed ext.rall_abs ext.range_abs ext.range_closed
ext.relation_abs ext.restrict_closed ext.restriction_abs
ext.rex_abs ext.rmult_closed ext.rtrancl_abs ext.rtrancl_closed
ext.rvimage_closed ext.separation_closed ext.setdiff_abs
ext.singleton_abs ext.singleton_in_M_iff ext.snd_closed
ext.strong_replacement_closed ext.subset_abs ext.succ_in_M_iff
ext.successor_abs ext.successor_ordinal_abs ext.sum_abs
ext.sum_closed ext.surj_rel_closed ext.surjection_abs ext.tl_abs
ext.trancl_abs ext.trancl_closed ext.transitive_rel_abs
ext.transitive_set_abs ext.typed_function_abs ext.union_abs
ext.upair_abs ext.upair_in_M_iff ext.vimage_abs ext.vimage_closed
ext.well_ord_abs ext.mem_formula_abs ext.nth_closed ext.Aleph_rel_closed
ext.csucc_rel_closed ext.Card_rel_Aleph_rel

— The following was motivated by the fact that $\llbracket (\#\#M[G])(?f); (\#\#M[G])(?a) \rrbracket \implies (\#\#M[G])(?f \text{ ' } ?a)$ did not simplify appropriately.

declare *mg_sharp_simps*[*simp del, simplified setclass_iff, simp*]

lemmas *mg_sharp_intros* = *ext.nat_into_M ext.Aleph_rel_closed*
ext.Card_rel_Aleph_rel

declare *mg_sharp_intros*[*rule del, simplified setclass_iff, intro*]

— Kunen IV.2.31

lemma *forces_below_filter*:

assumes $M[G]$, $\text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \varphi$ $p \in G$
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$ $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$
shows $\exists q \in G. q \preceq p \wedge q \Vdash \varphi$ *env*

<proof>

28.1 Preservation by ccc forcing notions

definition *check_fm'* where

$\text{check_fm}'(\text{ofm}, \text{arg}, \text{res}) \equiv \text{check_fm}(\text{arg}, \text{ofm}, \text{res})$

lemma *ccc_fun_closed_lemma_aux*:
assumes $f_dot \in M \ p \in M \ a \in M \ b \in M$
shows $\{q \in P . q \preceq p \wedge (M, [q, P, leq, \mathbf{1}, f_dot, a^v, b^v] \models forces(\cdot 0'1 \text{ is } 2.))\}$
 $\in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ccc_fun_closed_lemma_aux2*:
assumes $B \in M \ f_dot \in M \ p \in M \ a \in M$
shows $(\#\#M)(\lambda b \in B. \{q \in P . q \preceq p \wedge (M, [q, P, leq, \mathbf{1}, f_dot, a^v, b^v] \models forces(\cdot 0'1 \text{ is } 2.))\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ccc_fun_closed_lemma*:
assumes $A \in M \ B \in M \ f_dot \in M \ p \in M$
shows $(\lambda a \in A. \{b \in B. \exists q \in P. q \preceq p \wedge (q \Vdash \cdot 0'1 \text{ is } 2. [f_dot, a^v, b^v])\}) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ccc_fun_approximation_lemma*:
notes *le_trans*[*trans*]
assumes $ccc^M(P, leq) \ A \in M \ B \in M \ f \in M[G] \ f : A \rightarrow B$
shows
 $\exists F \in M. F : A \rightarrow Pow^M(B) \wedge (\forall a \in A. f'a \in F'a \wedge |F'a|^M \leq \omega)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end — *G_generic1_lemmas* bundle

end — *G_generic4_AC*

end

29 Model of the negation of the Continuum Hypothesis

theory *Not_CH*
imports
Cardinal_Preservation
begin

We are taking advantage that the poset of finite functions is absolute, and thus we work with the unrelativized F_n . But it would have been more appropriate to do the following using the relative F_n_rel . As it turns out, the present theory was developed prior to having F_n relativized!

We also note that $F_n(\omega, \kappa \times \omega, 2)$ is separative, i.e. each $X \in F_n(\omega, \kappa \times \omega, 2)$ has two incompatible extensions; therefore we may recover part of our previous theorem *extensions_of_ctms_ZF*. But that result also included the possibility of not having *AC* in the ground model, which would not be sensible in a context where the cardinality of the continuum is under discussion. It is also the case that *extensions_of_ctms_ZF* was historically our first formalized result (with a different proof) that showed the forcing machinery had all of its elements in place.

abbreviation

$Add_subs :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Add_subs(\kappa) \equiv Fn(\omega, \kappa \times \omega, 2)$

abbreviation

$Add_le :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Add_le(\kappa) \equiv Fnle(\omega, \kappa \times \omega, 2)$

lemma (in M_aleph) $Aleph_rel2_closed[intro, simp]: M(\aleph_2^M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale $M_master = M_cohen + M_library_DC +$

assumes

$UN_lepoll_assumptions:$

$M(A) \Longrightarrow M(b) \Longrightarrow M(f) \Longrightarrow M(A') \Longrightarrow separation(M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A'. y = \langle x, \mu$
 $i. x \in if_range_F_else_F(\cdot)(A), b, f, i))$

29.1 Non-absolute concepts between extensions

locale $M_master_sub = M_master + N:M_master N$ **for** $N +$

assumes

$M_imp_N: M(x) \Longrightarrow N(x)$ **and**

$Ord_iff: Ord(x) \Longrightarrow M(x) \longleftrightarrow N(x)$

sublocale $M_master_sub \subseteq M_N_Perm$

$\langle proof \rangle$

context M_master_sub

begin

lemma $cardinal_rel_le_cardinal_rel: M(X) \Longrightarrow |X|^N \leq |X|^M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Aleph_rel_sub_closed: Ord(\alpha) \Longrightarrow M(\alpha) \Longrightarrow N(\aleph_\alpha^M)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Card_rel_imp_Card_rel: Card^N(\kappa) \Longrightarrow M(\kappa) \Longrightarrow Card^M(\kappa)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $csucc_rel_le_csucc_rel:$

assumes $Ord(\kappa) M(\kappa)$

shows $(\kappa^+)^M \leq (\kappa^+)^N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Aleph_rel_le_Aleph_rel: Ord(\alpha) \Longrightarrow M(\alpha) \Longrightarrow \aleph_\alpha^M \leq \aleph_\alpha^N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end — M_master_sub

lemmas (in M_ZF3_trans) $sep_instances =$
separation_insd_ballPair
separation_ifrangeF_body separation_ifrangeF_body2 separation_ifrangeF_body3
separation_ifrangeF_body4 separation_ifrangeF_body5 separation_ifrangeF_body6
separation_ifrangeF_body7 separation_cardinal_rel_lesspoll_rel
separation_is_dcwit_body

lemmas (in M_ZF3_trans) $repl_instances = lam_replacement_inj_rel$
lam_replacement_cardinal replacement_trans_apply_image

sublocale $M_ZFC3_trans \subseteq M_master \#\#M$
<proof>

29.2 Cohen forcing is ccc

context M_ctm3_AC
begin

lemma $ccc_Add_subs_Aleph_2: ccc^M(Add_subs(\aleph_2^M), Add_le(\aleph_2^M))$
<proof>

end — M_ctm3_AC

sublocale $G_generic4_AC \subseteq M_master_sub \#\#M \#\#(M[G])$
<proof>

lemma (in M_trans) $mem_F_bound4:$
fixes $F A$
defines $F \equiv (\cdot)$
shows $x \in F(A, c) \implies c \in (range(f) \cup domain(A))$
<proof>

lemma (in M_trans) $mem_F_bound5:$
fixes $F A$
defines $F \equiv \lambda x. A \cdot x$
shows $x \in F(A, c) \implies c \in (range(f) \cup domain(A))$
<proof>

sublocale $M_ctm3_AC \subseteq M_replacement_lepoll \#\#M (\cdot)$
<proof>

context $G_generic4_AC$ **begin**

context
includes $G_generic1_lemmas$
begin

lemma $G_in_MG: G \in M[G]$
<proof>

lemma *ccc_preserves_Aleph_succ*:
assumes $ccc^M(P, leq)$ $Ord(z)$ $z \in M$
shows $Card^{M[G]}(\aleph_{succ(z)}^M)$
<proof>

end — bundle *G_generic1_lemmas*

end — *G_generic4_AC*

context *M_ctm1*
begin

abbreviation
Add :: *i* **where**
Add $\equiv Fn(\omega, \aleph_2^M \times \omega, 2)$

end — *M_ctm1*

locale *add_generic4* = *G_generic4_AC* $Fn(\omega, \aleph_2^{##M} \times \omega, 2)$ $Fnle(\omega, \aleph_2^{##M} \times \omega, 2)$ 0

sublocale *add_generic4* \subseteq *cohen_data* $\omega \aleph_2^M \times \omega 2$ *<proof>*

context *add_generic4*
begin

notation *Leq* (**infixl** $\preceq 50$)
notation *Incompatible* (**infixl** $\perp 50$)
notation *GenExt_at_P* (**infixl** $[71, 1]$)

lemma *Add_subs_preserves_Aleph_succ*: $Ord(z) \implies z \in M \implies Card^{M[G]}(\aleph_{succ(z)}^M)$
<proof>

lemma *Aleph_rel_nats_MG_eq_Aleph_rel_nats_M*:
includes *G_generic1_lemmas*
assumes $z \in \omega$
shows $\aleph_z^{M[G]} = \aleph_z^M$
<proof>

abbreviation
f_G :: *i* (*<f_G>*) **where**
f_G $\equiv \bigcup G$

abbreviation
dom_dense :: *i* \Rightarrow *i* **where**
dom_dense(*x*) $\equiv \{ p \in Add . x \in domain(p) \}$

lemma *dense_dom_dense*: $x \in \aleph_2^M \times \omega \implies \text{dense}(\text{dom_dense}(x))$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare (in *M_ctm3_AC*) *Fn_nat_closed*[*simplified setclass_iff*, *simp*, *intro*]
declare (in *M_ctm3_AC*) *Fnle_nat_closed*[*simp del*, *rule del*,
simplified setclass_iff, *simp*, *intro*]
declare (in *M_ctm3_AC*) *cexp_rel_closed*[*simplified setclass_iff*, *simp*, *intro*]
declare (in *G_generic4_AC*) *ext.cexp_rel_closed*[*simplified setclass_iff*, *simp*,
intro]

lemma *dom_dense_closed*[*intro, simp*]: $x \in \aleph_2^M \times \omega \implies \text{dom_dense}(x) \in M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *domain_f_G*: **assumes** $x \in \aleph_2^M$ $y \in \omega$
shows $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{domain}(f_G)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *f_G_funtype*:
includes *G_generic1_lemmas*
shows $f_G : \aleph_2^M \times \omega \rightarrow 2$
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation

inj_dense :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
inj_dense(w, x) \equiv
 $\{ p \in \text{Add} . (\exists n \in \omega. \langle \langle w, n \rangle, 1 \rangle \in p \wedge \langle \langle x, n \rangle, 0 \rangle \in p) \}$

lemma *dense_inj_dense*:
assumes $w \in \aleph_2^M$ $x \in \aleph_2^M$ $w \neq x$
shows $\text{dense}(\text{inj_dense}(w, x))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *inj_dense_closed*[*intro, simp*]:
 $w \in \aleph_2^M \implies x \in \aleph_2^M \implies \text{inj_dense}(w, x) \in M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Aleph_rel2_new_reals*:
assumes $w \in \aleph_2^M$ $x \in \aleph_2^M$ $w \neq x$
shows $(\lambda n \in \omega. f_G \langle w, n \rangle) \neq (\lambda n \in \omega. f_G \langle x, n \rangle)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

h_G :: $i \rightarrow i$ **where**
h_G $\equiv \lambda \alpha \in \aleph_2^M. \lambda n \in \omega. f_G \langle \alpha, n \rangle$

lemma *h_G_in_MG*[*simp*]:
includes *G_generic1_lemmas*
shows $h_G \in M[G]$

<proof>

lemma *h_G_inj_Aleph_rel2_reals*: $h_G \in \text{inj}^{M[G]}(\aleph_2^M, \omega \rightarrow^{M[G]} 2)$
<proof>

lemma *Aleph2_extension_le_continuum_rel*:
includes *G_generic1_lemmas*
shows $\aleph_2^{M[G]} \leq 2^{\uparrow \aleph_0^{M[G], M[G]}}$
<proof>

lemma *Aleph_rel_lt_continuum_rel*: $\aleph_1^{M[G]} < 2^{\uparrow \aleph_0^{M[G], M[G]}}$
<proof>

corollary *not_CH*: $\aleph_1^{M[G]} \neq 2^{\uparrow \aleph_0^{M[G], M[G]}}$
<proof>

end — *add_generic4*

29.3 Models of fragments of $ZFC + \neg CH$

definition

ContHyp :: *o* where
ContHyp $\equiv \aleph_1 = 2^{\uparrow \aleph_0}$

<ML>

notation *ContHyp_rel* (*<CH->*)

<ML>

context *M_master*

begin

<ML>

<proof>

end — *M_master*

<ML>

notation *is_ContHyp_fm* (*<CH->*)

theorem *ctm_of_not_CH*:

assumes

$M \approx \omega$ *Transset*(*M*) $M \models ZC \cup \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(p) \cdot \mid p \in \text{overhead} \}$

$\Phi \subseteq \text{formula}$ $M \models \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(\text{ground_repl_fm}(\varphi)) \cdot \mid \varphi \in \Phi \}$

shows

$\exists N.$

$M \subseteq N \wedge N \approx \omega \wedge \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models ZC \cup \{ \cdot \neg \cdot CH \cdot \} \cup \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot$

$\mid \varphi \in \Phi \} \wedge$

$(\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow (\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N))$

<proof>

lemma *ZF_replacement_overhead_sub_ZFC*: $\{\cdot\text{Replacement}(p) \cdot \mid p \in \text{overhead}\} \subseteq \text{ZFC}$
<proof>

corollary *ctm_ZFC_imp_ctm_not_CH*:

assumes

$M \approx \omega \text{ Transset}(M) \mid M \models \text{ZFC}$

shows

$\exists N.$

$M \subseteq N \wedge N \approx \omega \wedge \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models \text{ZFC} \cup \{\cdot\neg\text{CH}\cdot\} \wedge$

$(\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow (\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N))$

<proof>

end

30 Preservation results for κ -closed forcing notions

theory *Kappa_Closed_Notions*

imports

Not_CH

begin

definition

lerel :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$lerel(\alpha) \equiv \text{Memrel}(\alpha) \cup \text{id}(\alpha)$

lemma *lerelI[introl]*: $x \leq y \Longrightarrow y \in \alpha \Longrightarrow \text{Ord}(\alpha) \Longrightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \in lerel(\alpha)$

<proof>

lemma *lerelD[dest]*: $\langle x, y \rangle \in lerel(\alpha) \Longrightarrow \text{Ord}(\alpha) \Longrightarrow x \leq y$

<proof>

definition

mono_seqspace :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ ($\langle _ _ \rangle \longleftrightarrow '(_, _)'$) [61] 60 **where**

$\alpha \longleftrightarrow (P, leq) \equiv \text{mono_map}(\alpha, \text{Memrel}(\alpha), P, leq)$

<ML>

context *M_ZF_library*

begin

<ML>

<proof>

end — *M_ZF_library*

abbreviation

mono_seqspace_r ($\langle _ \rangle \langle _ \rangle \rightarrow \langle _ \rangle \langle _ \rangle$) [61] 60) **where**
 $\alpha \langle _ \rangle^M (P, leq) \equiv mono_seqspace_rel(M, \alpha, P, leq)$

abbreviation

mono_seqspace_r_set ($\langle _ \rangle \langle _ \rangle \rightarrow \langle _ \rangle \langle _ \rangle$) [61] 60) **where**
 $\alpha \langle _ \rangle^M (P, leq) \equiv mono_seqspace_rel(\#\#M, \alpha, P, leq)$

lemma *mono_seqspaceI*[intro!]:

includes *mono_map_rules*

assumes $f: A \rightarrow P \wedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x < y \implies \langle f'x, f'y \rangle \in leq$ *Ord*(A)

shows $f: A \langle _ \rangle (P, leq)$

<proof>

lemma (in *M_ZF_library*) *mono_seqspace_rel_char*:

assumes $M(A) M(P) M(leq)$

shows $A \langle _ \rangle^M (P, leq) = \{f \in A \langle _ \rangle (P, leq). M(f)\}$

<proof>

lemma (in *M_ZF_library*) *mono_seqspace_relI*[intro!]:

assumes $f: A \rightarrow^M P \wedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x < y \implies \langle f'x, f'y \rangle \in leq$
Ord(A) $M(A) M(P) M(leq)$

shows $f: A \langle _ \rangle^M (P, leq)$

<proof>

lemma *mono_seqspace_is_fun*[dest]:

includes *mono_map_rules*

shows $j: A \langle _ \rangle (P, leq) \implies j: A \rightarrow P$

<proof>

lemma *mono_map_lt_le_is_mono*[dest]:

includes *mono_map_rules*

assumes $j: A \langle _ \rangle (P, leq) a \in A c \in A a \leq c$ *Ord*(A) *refl*(P, leq)

shows $\langle j'a, j'c \rangle \in leq$

<proof>

lemma (in *M_ZF_library*) *mem_mono_seqspace_abs*[absolut]:

assumes $M(f) M(A) M(P) M(leq)$

shows $f: A \langle _ \rangle^M (P, leq) \longleftrightarrow f: A \langle _ \rangle (P, leq)$

<proof>

definition

mono_map_lt_le :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixr** $\langle _ \rangle \langle _ \rangle \leq$) 60) **where**

$\alpha \langle _ \rangle \leq \beta \equiv \alpha \langle _ \rangle (\beta, lereq(\beta))$

lemma *mono_map_lt_leI*[intro!]:

includes *mono_map_rules*

assumes $f: A \rightarrow B \wedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x < y \implies f'x \leq f'y$ *Ord*(A) *Ord*(B)

shows $f: A \langle _ \rangle \leq B$

<proof>

definition

$\kappa_closed :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \langle _ -closed'(_, _) \rangle$ **where**
 $\kappa_closed(P, leq) \equiv \forall \delta. \delta < \kappa \longrightarrow (\forall f \in \delta \langle _ \rightarrow (P, converse(leq)) \rangle. \exists q \in P. \forall \alpha \in \delta. \langle q, f' \alpha \rangle \in leq)$

 $\langle ML \rangle$ **abbreviation**

$\kappa_closed_r \langle _ -closed'(_, _) \rangle$ [61] 60 **where**
 $\kappa_closed^M(P, leq) \equiv \kappa_closed_rel(M, \kappa, P, leq)$

abbreviation

$\kappa_closed_r_set \langle _ -closed'(_, _) \rangle$ [61] 60 **where**
 $\kappa_closed^M(P, leq) \equiv \kappa_closed_rel(\#\#M, \kappa, P, leq)$

lemma (in *forcing_data4*) *forcing_a_value*:**assumes** $p \Vdash \cdot 0: 1 \rightarrow 2. [f_dot, A^v, B^v] a \in A$ $q \preceq p \ q \in P \ p \in P \ f_dot \in M \ A \in M \ B \in M$ **shows** $\exists d \in P. \exists b \in B. d \preceq q \wedge d \Vdash \cdot 0'1 \text{ is } 2. [f_dot, a^v, b^v]$ — Old neater version, but harder to use (without the assumptions on q): $\langle proof \rangle$ **include** $G_generic1_lemmas$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **context** $G_generic4_AC$ **begin****context****includes** $G_generic1_lemmas$ **begin****lemma** *separation_check_snd_aux*:**assumes** $f_dot \in M \ \tau \in M \ \chi \in \text{formula} \ \text{arity}(\chi) \leq 7$ **shows** $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda r. M, [fst(r), P, leq, \mathbf{1}, f_dot, \tau, snd(r)^v] \models \chi)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *separation_check_fst_snd_aux* :**assumes** $f_dot \in M \ r \in M \ \chi \in \text{formula} \ \text{arity}(\chi) \leq 7$ **shows** $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda p. M, [r, P, leq, \mathbf{1}, f_dot, fst(p)^v, snd(p)^v] \models \chi)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *separation_leq_and_forces_apply_aux*:**assumes** $f_dot \in M \ B \in M$ **shows** $\forall n \in M. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. snd(x) \preceq fst(x) \wedge$ $(\exists b \in B. M, [snd(x), P, leq, \mathbf{1}, f_dot, (\bigcup(n))^v, b^v] \models \text{forces}(\cdot 0'1 \text{ is } 2.)))$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** *separation_ball_leq_and_forces_apply_aux*:**assumes** $f_dot \in M \ p \in M \ B \in M$

shows *separation*
 (##M,
 $\lambda pa. \forall x \in P. x \preceq p \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall y \in P. y \preceq p \longrightarrow$
 $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{snd}(pa) \longleftarrow$
 $y \preceq x \wedge (\exists b \in B. M, [y, P, \text{leq}, \mathbf{1}, f_dot, (\bigcup (\text{fst}(pa)))^v, b^v] \models \text{forces}(\cdot 0^1$
is 2.))))
 <proof>

lemma *separation_closed_leq_and_forces_eq_check_aux* :
assumes $A \in M \ r \in G \ \tau \in M$
shows (##M)({ $q \in P. \exists h \in A. q \preceq r \wedge q \Vdash \cdot 0 = 1 \cdot [\tau, h^v]$ })
 <proof>

lemma *separation_closed_forces_apply_aux*:
assumes $B \in M \ f_dot \in M \ r \in M$
shows (##M)({ $\langle n, b \rangle \in \omega \times B. r \Vdash \cdot 0^1 \text{ is } 2 \cdot [f_dot, n^v, b^v]$ })
 <proof>

lemma *kunen_IV_6_9_function_space_rel_eq*:
assumes $\bigwedge p \ \tau. p \Vdash \cdot 0:1 \rightarrow 2 \cdot [\tau, A^v, B^v] \implies p \in P \implies \tau \in M \implies$
 $\exists q \in P. \exists h \in A \rightarrow^M B. q \preceq p \wedge q \Vdash \cdot 0 = 1 \cdot [\tau, h^v] \ A \in M \ B \in M$
shows
 $A \rightarrow^M B = A \rightarrow^{M[G]} B$
 <proof>

30.1 $(\omega + 1)$ -Closed notions preserve countable sequences

lemma *succ_omega_closed_imp_no_new_nat_sequences*:
assumes $\text{succ}(\omega)\text{-closed}^M(P, \text{leq}) \ f : \omega \rightarrow B \ f \in M[G] \ B \in M$
shows $f \in M$
 <proof>

declare *mono_seqspace_rel_closed*[rule del]
 — Mysteriously breaks the end of the next proof

lemma *succ_omega_closed_imp_no_new_reals*:
assumes $\text{succ}(\omega)\text{-closed}^M(P, \text{leq})$
shows $\omega \rightarrow^M 2 = \omega \rightarrow^{M[G]} 2$
 <proof>

lemma *succ_omega_closed_imp_Aleph_1_preserved*:
assumes $\text{succ}(\omega)\text{-closed}^M(P, \text{leq})$
shows $\aleph_1^M = \aleph_1^{M[G]}$
 <proof>

end — bundle G_generic1_lemmas

end — G_generic4_AC

end

31 Forcing extension satisfying the Continuum Hypothesis

theory *CH*

imports

Kappa_Closed_Notions

Cohen_Posets_Relative

begin

context *M_ctm3_AC*

begin

declare *Fn_rel_closed*[*simp del, rule del, simplified setclass_iff, simp, intro*]

declare *Fnle_rel_closed*[*simp del, rule del, simplified setclass_iff, simp, intro*]

abbreviation

Coll :: *i* where

$Coll \equiv Fn^M(\aleph_1^M, \aleph_1^M, \omega \rightarrow^M 2)$

abbreviation

Colleq :: *i* where

$Colleq \equiv Fnle^M(\aleph_1^M, \aleph_1^M, \omega \rightarrow^M 2)$

lemma *Coll_in_M*[*intro,simp*]: $Coll \in M$ *<proof>*

lemma *Colleq_refl* : $refl(Coll, Colleq)$

<proof>

31.1 Collapse forcing is sufficiently closed

lemma *succ_omega_closed_Coll*: $succ(\omega)$ -closed^{*M*}(*Coll*, *Colleq*)

<proof>

end — *M_ctm3_AC*

locale *collapse_generic4* = *G_generic4_AC* $Fn^M(\aleph_1^{##M}, \aleph_1^M, \omega \rightarrow^M 2)$ $Fnle^M(\aleph_1^{##M}, \aleph_1^M, \omega \rightarrow^M 2)$ 0

sublocale *collapse_generic4* \subseteq *forcing_notion Coll Colleq 0*

<proof>

context *collapse_generic4*

begin

notation *Leq* (**infixl** \leq 50)

notation *Incompatible* (**infixl** \perp 50)

notation $GenExt_at_P$ ($_ _$) [71,1]

abbreviation

$f_G :: i \langle f_G \rangle$ **where**
 $f_G \equiv \bigcup G$

lemma $f_G_in_MG[simp]$:

shows $f_G \in M[G]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation

$dom_dense :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $dom_dense(x) \equiv \{ p \in Coll . x \in domain(p) \}$

lemma $Coll_into_countable_rel$: $p \in Coll \Longrightarrow countable^M(p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dense_dom_dense$: $x \in \aleph_1^M \Longrightarrow dense(dom_dense(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dom_dense_closed[intro,simp]$: $x \in M \Longrightarrow dom_dense(x) \in M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $domain_f_G$: **assumes** $x \in \aleph_1^M$

shows $x \in domain(f_G)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma rex_mono : **assumes** $\exists d \in A . P(d) A \subseteq B$

shows $\exists d \in B . P(d)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Un_filter_is_function$:

assumes $filter(G)$

shows $function(\bigcup G)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $f_G_funtype$:

shows $f_G : \aleph_1^M \rightarrow \omega \rightarrow^M[G] 2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation

$surj_dense :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $surj_dense(x) \equiv \{ p \in Coll . x \in range(p) \}$

lemma $dense_surj_dense$:

assumes $x \in \omega \rightarrow^M 2$

shows $dense(surj_dense(x))$

<proof>

lemma *surj_dense_closed*[*intro,simp*]:
 $x \in \omega \rightarrow^M \mathcal{Q} \implies \text{surj_dense}(x) \in M$
<proof>

lemma *reals_sub_image_f_G*:
assumes $x \in \omega \rightarrow^M \mathcal{Q}$
shows $\exists \alpha \in \aleph_1^M. f_G \restriction \alpha = x$
<proof>

lemma *f_G_surj_Aleph_rel1_reals*: $f_G \in \text{surj}^{M[G]}(\aleph_1^M, \omega \rightarrow^{M[G]} \mathcal{Q})$
<proof>

lemma *continuum_rel_le_Aleph1_extension*:
includes *G_generic1_lemmas*
shows $\mathcal{Q}^{\uparrow \aleph_0^{M[G]}, M[G]} \leq \aleph_1^{M[G]}$
<proof>

theorem *CH*: $\aleph_1^{M[G]} = \mathcal{Q}^{\uparrow \aleph_0^{M[G]}, M[G]}$
<proof>

end — *collapse_generic4*

31.2 Models of fragments of ZFC + CH

theorem *ctm_of_CH*:

assumes
 $M \approx \omega \text{ Transset}(M) \ M \models \text{ZC} \cup \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(p) \cdot \mid p \in \text{overhead} \}$
 $\Phi \subseteq \text{formula} \ M \models \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(\text{ground_repl_fm}(\varphi)) \cdot \mid \varphi \in \Phi \}$
shows
 $\exists N.$
 $M \subseteq N \wedge N \approx \omega \wedge \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models \text{ZC} \cup \{ \cdot \text{CH} \cdot \} \cup \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot \mid \varphi \in \Phi \} \wedge$
 $(\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow (\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N))$
<proof>

corollary *ctm_ZFC_imp_ctm_CH*:

assumes
 $M \approx \omega \text{ Transset}(M) \ M \models \text{ZFC}$
shows
 $\exists N.$
 $M \subseteq N \wedge N \approx \omega \wedge \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models \text{ZFC} \cup \{ \cdot \text{CH} \cdot \} \wedge$
 $(\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow (\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N))$
<proof>

end

32 From M to \mathcal{V}

```
theory Absolute_Versions
imports
  CH
  ZF.Cardinal_AC
begin
```

32.1 Locales of a class M hold in \mathcal{V}

```
interpretation V: M_trivial  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemmas bad_simps = V.nonempty V.Forall_in_M_iff V.Inl_in_M_iff V.Inr_in_M_iff
  V.succ_in_M_iff V.singleton_in_M_iff V.Equal_in_M_iff V.Member_in_M_iff
  V.Nand_in_M_iff
  V.Cons_in_M_iff V.pair_in_M_iff V.upair_in_M_iff
```

```
lemmas bad_M_trivial_simps[simp del] = V.Forall_in_M_iff V.Equal_in_M_iff
  V.nonempty
```

```
lemmas bad_M_trivial_rules[rule del] = V.pair_in_MI V.singleton_in_MI
  V.pair_in_MD V.nat_into_M
  V.depth_closed V.length_closed V.nat_case_closed V.separation_closed
  V.Un_closed V.strong_replacement_closed V.nonempty
```

```
interpretation V: M_basic  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  <proof>
```

```
interpretation V: M_eclose  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemmas bad_M_basic_rules[simp del, rule del] =
  V.cartprod_closed V.finite_funspace_closed V.converse_closed
  V.list_case'_closed V.pred_closed
```

```
interpretation V: M_cardinal_arith  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemmas bad_M_cardinals_rules[simp del, rule del] =
  V.iterates_closed V.M_nat V.trancl_closed V.rvimage_closed
```

```
interpretation V: M_cardinal_arith_jump  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma choice_ax_Universe: choice_ax( $\mathcal{V}$ )
  <proof>
```

```
interpretation V: M_master  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  <proof>
```

named_theorems V_simps

— To work systematically, ASCII versions of ”_absolute” theorems as those below are preferable.

lemma *eqpoll_rel_absolute[V_simps]*: $x \approx^{\mathcal{V}} y \longleftrightarrow x \approx y$
<proof>

lemma *cardinal_rel_absolute[V_simps]*: $|x|^{\mathcal{V}} = |x|$
<proof>

lemma *Card_rel_absolute[V_simps]*: $Card^{\mathcal{V}}(a) \longleftrightarrow Card(a)$
<proof>

lemma *csucc_rel_absolute[V_simps]*: $(a^+)^{\mathcal{V}} = a^+$
<proof>

lemma *function_space_rel_absolute[V_simps]*: $x \rightarrow^{\mathcal{V}} y = x \rightarrow y$
<proof>

lemma *cexp_rel_absolute[V_simps]*: $x^{\uparrow y, \mathcal{V}} = x^{\uparrow y}$
<proof>

lemma *HAleph_rel_absolute[V_simps]*: $HAleph_rel(\mathcal{V}, a, b) = HAleph(a, b)$
<proof>

lemma *Aleph_rel_absolute[V_simps]*: $Ord(x) \implies \aleph_x^{\mathcal{V}} = \aleph_x$
<proof>

Example of absolute lemmas obtained from the relative versions. Note the *only* declarations

lemma *Ord_cardinal_idem'*: $Ord(A) \implies ||A|| = |A|$
<proof>

lemma *Aleph_succ'*: $Ord(\alpha) \implies \aleph_{succ(\alpha)} = \aleph_{\alpha}^+$
<proof>

These two results are new, first obtained in relative form (not ported).

lemma *csucc_cardinal*:
assumes $Ord(\kappa)$ **shows** $|\kappa|^+ = \kappa^+$
<proof>

lemma *csucc_le_mono*:
assumes $\kappa \leq \nu$ **shows** $\kappa^+ \leq \nu^+$
<proof>

Example of transferring results from a transitive model to \mathcal{V}

lemma (in *M_Perm*) *eqpoll_rel_transfer_absolute*:
assumes $M(A) M(B) A \approx^M B$

shows $A \approx B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The “relationalized” CH with respect to \mathcal{V} corresponds to the real CH .

lemma $is_ContHyp_iff_CH: is_ContHyp(\mathcal{V}) \longleftrightarrow ContHyp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

33 Main definitions of the development

theory *Definitions_Main*
imports
Absolute_Versions
begin

This theory gathers the main definitions of the Forcing session.

It might be considered as the bare minimum reading requisite to trust that our development indeed formalizes the theory of forcing. This should be mathematically clear since this is the only known method for obtaining proper extensions of ctms while preserving the ordinals.

The main theorem of this session and all of its relevant definitions appear in Section 33.4. The reader trusting all the libraries in which our development is based, might jump directly there. But in case one wants to dive deeper, the following sections treat some basic concepts in the ZF logic (Section 33.1) and in the ZF-Constructible library (Section 33.2) on which our definitions are built.

declare $[[show_question_marks=false]]$

33.1 ZF

For the basic logic ZF we restrict ourselves to just a few concepts.

thm *bij_def[unfolded inj_def surj_def]*

$$bij(A, B) \equiv$$

$$\{f \in A \rightarrow B . \forall w \in A. \forall x \in A. f \text{ ` } w = f \text{ ` } x \longrightarrow w = x\} \cap$$

$$\{f \in A \rightarrow B . \forall y \in B. \exists x \in A. f \text{ ` } x = y\}$$

thm *eqpoll_def*

$$A \approx B \equiv \exists f. f \in bij(A, B)$$

thm *Transset_def*

$$\text{Transset}(i) \equiv \forall x \in i. x \subseteq i$$

thm *Ord_def*

$$\text{Ord}(i) \equiv \text{Transset}(i) \wedge (\forall x \in i. \text{Transset}(x))$$

thm *lt_def le_iff*

$$\begin{aligned} i < j &\equiv i \in j \wedge \text{Ord}(j) \\ i \leq j &\longleftrightarrow i < j \vee i = j \wedge \text{Ord}(j) \end{aligned}$$

With the concepts of empty set and successor in place,

lemma *empty_def'*: $\forall x. x \notin 0$ *<proof>*

lemma *succ_def'*: $\text{succ}(i) = i \cup \{i\}$ *<proof>*

we can define the set of natural numbers ω . In the sources, it is defined as a fixpoint, but here we just write its characterization as the first limit ordinal.

thm *Limit_nat[unfolded Limit_def] nat_le_Limit[unfolded Limit_def]*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ord}(\omega) \wedge 0 < \omega \wedge (\forall y. y < \omega \longrightarrow \text{succ}(y) < \omega) \\ \text{Ord}(i) \wedge 0 < i \wedge (\forall y. y < i \longrightarrow \text{succ}(y) < i) \implies \omega \leq i \end{aligned}$$

Then, addition and predecessor are inductively characterized as follows:

thm *add_0_right add_succ_right pred_0 pred_succ_eq*

$$\begin{aligned} m +_{\omega} \text{succ}(n) &= \text{succ}(m +_{\omega} n) \\ m \in \omega \implies m +_{\omega} 0 &= m \\ \text{Arith.pred}(0) &= 0 \\ \text{Arith.pred}(\text{succ}(y)) &= y \end{aligned}$$

Lists on a set A can be characterized by being recursively generated from the empty list $[]$ and the operation *Cons* that adds a new element to the left end; the induction theorem for them shows that the characterization is “complete”.

thm *Nil Cons list.induct*

$$\begin{aligned} [] &\in \text{list}(A) \\ \llbracket a \in A; l \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket &\implies \text{Cons}(a, l) \in \text{list}(A) \\ \llbracket x \in \text{list}(A); P([]); \bigwedge a l. \llbracket a \in A; l \in \text{list}(A); P(l) \rrbracket \rrbracket &\implies P(\text{Cons}(a, l)) \\ &\implies P(x) \end{aligned}$$

Length, concatenation, and n th element of lists are recursively characterized as follows.

thm *length.simps app.simps nth_0 nth_Cons*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\[]) &= 0 \\ \text{length}(\text{Cons}(a, l)) &= \text{succ}(\text{length}(l)) \\ \[] @ ys &= ys \\ \text{Cons}(a, l) @ ys &= \text{Cons}(a, l @ ys) \\ \text{nth}(0, \text{Cons}(a, l)) &= a \\ n \in \omega \implies \text{nth}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a, l)) &= \text{nth}(n, l) \end{aligned}$$

We have the usual Haskell-like notation for iterated applications of *Cons*:

lemma *Cons_app*: $[a, b, c] = \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(b, \text{Cons}(c, [])))$ *<proof>*

Relative quantifiers restrict the range of the bound variable to a class M of type $i \Rightarrow o$; that is, a truth-valued function with set arguments.

lemma $\forall x[M]. P(x) \equiv \forall x. M(x) \longrightarrow P(x)$
 $\exists x[M]. P(x) \equiv \exists x. M(x) \wedge P(x)$
<proof>

Finally, a set can be viewed (“cast”) as a class using the following function of type $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$.

thm *setclass_iff*

$$(\#\#A)(x) \longleftrightarrow x \in A$$

33.2 Relative concepts

A list of relative concepts (mostly from the ZF-Constructible library) follows next.

thm *big_union_def*

$$\text{big_union}(M, A, z) \equiv \forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in A \wedge x \in y)$$

thm *upair_def*

$$\text{upair}(M, a, b, z) \equiv a \in z \wedge b \in z \wedge (\forall x[M]. x \in z \longrightarrow x = a \vee x = b)$$

thm *pair_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pair}(M, a, b, z) &\equiv \\ \exists x[M]. \text{upair}(M, a, a, x) &\wedge (\exists y[M]. \text{upair}(M, a, b, y) \wedge \text{upair}(M, x, y, z)) \end{aligned}$$

thm *successor_def*[*unfolded is_cons_def union_def*]

$successor(M, a, z) \equiv$
 $\exists x[M]. upair(M, a, a, x) \wedge (\forall xa[M]. xa \in z \longleftrightarrow xa \in x \vee xa \in a)$

thm *empty_def*

$empty(M, z) \equiv \forall x[M]. x \notin z$

thm *transitive_set_def*[*unfolded subset_def*]

$transitive_set(M, a) \equiv \forall x[M]. x \in a \longrightarrow (\forall xa[M]. xa \in x \longrightarrow xa \in a)$

thm *ordinal_def*

$ordinal(M, a) \equiv$
 $transitive_set(M, a) \wedge (\forall x[M]. x \in a \longrightarrow transitive_set(M, x))$

thm *image_def*

$image(M, r, A, z) \equiv$
 $\forall y[M]. y \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \wedge (\exists x[M]. x \in A \wedge pair(M, x, y, w)))$

thm *fun_apply_def*

$is_apply(M, f, x, y) \equiv$
 $\exists xs[M].$
 $\quad \exists fxs[M]. upair(M, x, x, xs) \wedge image(M, f, xs, fxs) \wedge big_union(M, fxs, y)$

thm *is_function_def*

$is_function(M, r) \equiv$
 $\forall x[M].$
 $\quad \forall y[M].$
 $\quad \quad \forall y'[M].$
 $\quad \quad \quad \forall p[M].$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \forall p'[M].$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad pair(M, x, y, p) \longrightarrow$
 $\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad pair(M, x, y', p') \longrightarrow p \in r \longrightarrow p' \in r \longrightarrow y = y'$

thm *is_relation_def*

$is_relation(M, r) \equiv \forall z[M]. z \in r \longrightarrow (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. pair(M, x, y, z))$

thm *is_domain_def*

$is_domain(M, r, z) \equiv$
 $\forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \wedge (\exists y[M]. pair(M, x, y, w)))$

thm *typed_function_def*

$typed_function(M, A, B, r) \equiv$
 $is_function(M, r) \wedge$
 $is_relation(M, r) \wedge$
 $is_domain(M, r, A) \wedge$
 $(\forall u[M]. u \in r \longrightarrow (\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. pair(M, x, y, u) \longrightarrow y \in B))$

thm *is_function_space_def*[*unfolded is_funspace_def*]
function_space_rel_def *surjection_def*

$is_function_space(M, A, B, fs) \equiv$
 $M(fs) \wedge (\forall f[M]. f \in fs \longleftrightarrow typed_function(M, A, B, f))$
 $A \rightarrow^M B \equiv THE\ d.\ is_function_space(M, A, B, d)$
 $surjection(M, A, B, f) \equiv$
 $typed_function(M, A, B, f) \wedge$
 $(\forall y[M]. y \in B \longrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \wedge is_apply(M, f, x, y)))$

Relative version of the ZFC axioms

thm *extensionality_def*

$extensionality(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. (\forall z[M]. z \in x \longleftrightarrow z \in y) \longrightarrow x = y$

thm *foundation_ax_def*

$foundation_ax(M) \equiv$
 $\forall x[M]. (\exists y[M]. y \in x) \longrightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in x \wedge \neg (\exists z[M]. z \in x \wedge z \in y))$

thm *upair_ax_def*

$upair_ax(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \exists z[M]. upair(M, x, y, z)$

thm *Union_ax_def*

$Union_ax(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \exists z[M]. big_union(M, x, z)$

thm *power_ax_def*[*unfolded powerset_def subset_def*]

$power_ax(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \exists z[M]. \forall xa[M]. xa \in z \longleftrightarrow (\forall xb[M]. xb \in xa \longrightarrow xb \in x)$

thm *infinity_ax_def*

$infinity_ax(M) \equiv$
 $\exists I[M].$
 $(\exists z[M]. empty(M, z) \wedge z \in I) \wedge$
 $(\forall y[M]. y \in I \longrightarrow (\exists sy[M]. successor(M, y, sy) \wedge sy \in I))$

thm *choice_ax_def*

$choice_ax(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \exists a[M]. \exists f[M]. ordinal(M, a) \wedge surjection(M, a, x, f)$

thm *separation_def*

$separation(M, P) \equiv \forall z[M]. \exists y[M]. \forall x[M]. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge P(x)$

thm *univalent_def*

$univalent(M, A, P) \equiv$
 $\forall x[M]. x \in A \longrightarrow (\forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. P(x, y) \wedge P(x, z) \longrightarrow y = z)$

thm *strong_replacement_def*

$strong_replacement(M, P) \equiv$
 $\forall A[M].$
 $univalent(M, A, P) \longrightarrow (\exists Y[M]. \forall b[M]. b \in Y \longleftrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \wedge P(x, b)))$

Internalized formulas

“Codes” for formulas (as sets) are constructed from natural numbers using *Member*, *Equal*, *Nand*, and *Forall*.

thm *Member Equal Nand Forall formula.induct*

$\llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \cdot x \in y \cdot \in formula$
 $\llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \cdot x = y \cdot \in formula$
 $\llbracket p \in formula; q \in formula \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \cdot \neg(p \wedge q) \cdot \in formula$
 $p \in formula \Longrightarrow (\cdot \forall p \cdot) \in formula$
 $\llbracket x \in formula; \bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P(\cdot x \in y \cdot);$
 $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P(\cdot x = y \cdot);$
 $\bigwedge p q. \llbracket p \in formula; P(p); q \in formula; P(q) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P(\cdot \neg(p \wedge q) \cdot);$
 $\bigwedge p. \llbracket p \in formula; P(p) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P(\cdot (\forall p) \cdot)$
 $\Longrightarrow P(x)$

Definitions for the other connectives and the internal existential quantifier are also provided. For instance, negation:

thm *Neg_def*

$$\cdot\neg p \equiv \cdot\neg(p \wedge p).$$

thm *arity.simps*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{arity}(\cdot x \in y \cdot) &= \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y) \\ \text{arity}(\cdot x = y \cdot) &= \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y) \\ \text{arity}(\cdot\neg(p \wedge q) \cdot) &= \text{arity}(p) \cup \text{arity}(q) \\ \text{arity}(\cdot(\forall p) \cdot) &= \text{Arith.pred}(\text{arity}(p)) \end{aligned}$$

We have the satisfaction relation between \in -models and first order formulas (given a “environment” list representing the assignment of free variables),

thm *mem_iff_sats equal_iff_sats sats_Nand_iff_sats Forall_iff*

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket \\ \implies x \in y \iff A, \text{env} \models \cdot i \in j \cdot \\ \llbracket \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket \\ \implies x = y \iff A, \text{env} \models \cdot i = j \cdot \\ \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies (A, \text{env} \models \cdot\neg(p \wedge q) \cdot) \iff \neg((A, \text{env} \models p) \wedge (A, \text{env} \models q)) \\ \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies (A, \text{env} \models (\forall p) \cdot) \iff (\forall x \in A. A, \text{Cons}(x, \text{env}) \models p) \end{aligned}$$

as well as the satisfaction of an arbitrary set of sentences.

thm *satT_def*

$$A \models \Phi \equiv \forall \varphi \in \Phi. A, [] \models \varphi$$

The internalized (viz. as elements of the set *formula*) version of the axioms follow next.

thm *ZF_union_iff_sats ZF_power_iff_sats ZF_pairing_iff_sats*
ZF_foundation_iff_sats ZF_extensionality_iff_sats
ZF_infinity_iff_sats sats_ZF_separation_fm_iff
sats_ZF_replacement_fm_iff ZF_choice_iff_sats

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Union_ax}(\#\#A) &\iff A, [] \models \cdot \text{Union } Ax \cdot \\ \text{power_ax}(\#\#A) &\iff A, [] \models \cdot \text{Powerset } Ax \cdot \\ \text{upair_ax}(\#\#A) &\iff A, [] \models \cdot \text{Pairing} \cdot \\ \text{foundation_ax}(\#\#A) &\iff A, [] \models \cdot \text{Foundation} \cdot \\ \text{extensionality}(\#\#A) &\iff A, [] \models \cdot \text{Extensionality} \cdot \\ \text{infinity_ax}(\#\#A) &\iff A, [] \models \cdot \text{Infinity} \cdot \end{aligned}$$

$\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies$
 $(M, [] \models \cdot \text{Separation}(\varphi) \cdot) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall \text{env} \in \text{list}(M).$
 $\quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. M, [x] @ \text{env} \models \varphi))$
 $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies$
 $(M, [] \models \cdot \text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall \text{env} \in \text{list}(M).$
 $\quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow$
 $\quad \text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x y. M, [x, y] @ \text{env} \models \varphi))$
 $\text{choice_ax}(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \cdot AC \cdot$

thm ZF_fin_def $ZF_schemes_def$ $Zermelo_fms_def$ ZC_def ZF_def ZFC_def

$ZF_fin \equiv$
 $\{\cdot \text{Extensionality} \cdot, \cdot \text{Foundation} \cdot, \cdot \text{Pairing} \cdot, \cdot \text{Union Ax} \cdot, \cdot \text{Infinity} \cdot,$
 $\quad \cdot \text{Powerset Ax} \cdot\}$
 $ZF_schemes \equiv$
 $\{\cdot \text{Separation}(p) \cdot \ . \ p \in \text{formula}\} \cup \{\cdot \text{Replacement}(p) \cdot \ . \ p \in \text{formula}\}$
 $\cdot Z \cdot \equiv ZF_fin \cup \{\cdot \text{Separation}(p) \cdot \ . \ p \in \text{formula}\}$
 $ZC \equiv \cdot Z \cdot \cup \{\cdot AC \cdot\}$
 $ZF \equiv ZF_schemes \cup ZF_fin$
 $ZFC \equiv ZF \cup \{\cdot AC \cdot\}$

33.3 Relativization of infinitary arithmetic

In order to state the defining property of the relative equipotence relation, we work under the assumptions of the locale $M_cardinals$. They comprise a finite set of instances of Separation and Replacement to prove closure properties of the transitive class M .

lemma (in $M_cardinals$) $eqpoll_def'$:
assumes $M(A)$ $M(B)$ **shows** $A \approx^M B \longleftrightarrow (\exists f[M]. f \in \text{bij}(A, B))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Below, μ denotes the minimum operator on the ordinals.

lemma $cardinalities_defs$:
fixes $M::i \Rightarrow o$
shows
 $|A|^M \equiv \mu i. M(i) \wedge i \approx^M A$
 $\text{Card}^M(\alpha) \equiv \alpha = |\alpha|^M$
 $\kappa^{\uparrow \nu, M} \equiv |\nu \rightarrow^M \kappa|^M$
 $(\kappa^+)^M \equiv \mu x. M(x) \wedge \text{Card}^M(x) \wedge \kappa < x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context M_aleph
begin

As in the previous Lemma $eqpoll_def'$, we are now under the assumptions of the locale M_aleph . The axiom instances included are sufficient to state and prove

the defining properties of the relativized *Aleph* function (in particular, the required ability to perform transfinite recursions).

thm *Aleph_rel_zero Aleph_rel_succ Aleph_rel_limit*

$$\begin{aligned} \aleph_0^M &= \omega \\ \llbracket \text{Ord}(\alpha); M(\alpha) \rrbracket &\implies \aleph_{\text{succ}(\alpha)}^M = (\aleph_\alpha^{M+})^M \\ \llbracket \text{Limit}(\alpha); M(\alpha) \rrbracket &\implies \aleph_\alpha^M = \left(\bigcup_{j \in \alpha} \aleph_j^M \right) \end{aligned}$$

end — *M_aleph*

lemma *ContHyp_rel_def'*:

fixes *N::i⇒o*

shows

$$CH^N \equiv \aleph_1^N = \mathcal{P}^{\uparrow \aleph_0^N, N}$$

<proof>

Under appropriate hypothesis (this time, from the locale *M_master*), CH^M is equivalent to its fully relational version *is_ContHyp*. As a sanity check, we see that if the transitive class is indeed \mathcal{V} , we recover the original *CH*.

thm *M_master.is_ContHyp_iff is_ContHyp_iff_CH[unfolded ContHyp_def]*

$$\begin{aligned} M_master(M) &\implies is_ContHyp(M) \longleftrightarrow CH^M \\ is_ContHyp(\mathcal{V}) &\longleftrightarrow \aleph_1 = \mathcal{P}^{\uparrow \aleph_0} \end{aligned}$$

In turn, the fully relational version evaluated on a nonempty transitive A is equivalent to the satisfaction of the first-order formula $\cdot CH \cdot$.

thm *is_ContHyp_iff_sats*

$$\llbracket env \in list(A); 0 \in A \rrbracket \implies is_ContHyp(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow A, env \models \cdot CH \cdot$$

33.4 Forcing

Our first milestone was to obtain a proper extension using forcing. It's original proof didn't required the previous developments involving the relativization of material on cardinal arithmetic. Now it is derived from a stronger result, namely *extensions_of_ctms* below.

thm *extensions_of_ctms_ZF*

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket M \approx \omega; \text{Transset}(M); M \models ZF \rrbracket \\ \implies \exists N. M \subseteq N \wedge \\ \quad N \approx \omega \wedge \\ \quad \text{Transset}(N) \wedge \\ \quad N \models ZF \wedge \\ \quad M \neq N \wedge (\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow \alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N) \wedge ((M, [] \models \cdot AC \cdot) \longrightarrow \\ N \models ZFC) \end{aligned}$$

We can finally state our main results, namely, the existence of models for $ZFC + CH$ and $ZFC + \neg CH$ under the assumption of a ctm of ZFC .

thm *ctm_ZFC_imp_ctm_not_CH*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket M \approx \omega; \text{Transset}(M); M \models ZFC \rrbracket \\ \implies & \exists N. M \subseteq N \wedge \\ & \quad N \approx \omega \wedge \\ & \quad \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models ZFC \cup \{\neg \cdot CH \cdot\} \wedge (\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow \alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \\ & \alpha \in N) \end{aligned}$$

thm *ctm_ZFC_imp_ctm_CH*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket M \approx \omega; \text{Transset}(M); M \models ZFC \rrbracket \\ \implies & \exists N. M \subseteq N \wedge \\ & \quad N \approx \omega \wedge \\ & \quad \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models ZFC \cup \{CH \cdot\} \wedge (\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow \alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \\ & \in N) \end{aligned}$$

These results can be strengthened by enumerating four finite sets of replacement instances which are sufficient to develop forcing and for the construction of the aforementioned models: *instances1_fms* through *instances4_fms*, which are then collected into *overhead*. For example, we have:

thm *instances1_fms_def*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{instances1_fms} \equiv \\ & \{ \text{wfrec_Hfrc_at_fm}, \text{list_repl1_intf_fm}, \text{list_repl2_intf_fm}, \\ & \quad \text{formula_repl2_intf_fm}, \text{eclose_repl2_intf_fm}, \text{powapply_repl_fm}, \\ & \quad \text{phrank_repl_fm}, \text{wfrec_rank_fm}, \text{trans_repl_HVFrom_fm}, \text{wfrec_Hcheck_fm}, \\ & \quad \text{repl_PHcheck_fm}, \text{check_replacement_fm}, \text{G_dot_in_M_fm}, \text{repl_opname_check_fm}, \\ & \quad \text{tl_repl_intf_fm}, \text{formula_repl1_intf_fm}, \text{eclose_repl1_intf_fm} \} \end{aligned}$$

thm *overhead_def*

$$\text{overhead} \equiv \text{instances1_fms} \cup \text{instances2_fms} \cup \text{instances3_fms} \cup \text{instances4_fms}$$

thm *extensions_of_ctms*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket M \approx \omega; \text{Transset}(M); \\ & \quad M \models \cdot Z \cdot \cup \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(p) \cdot \mid p \in \text{instances1_fms} \cup \text{instances2_fms} \}; \\ & \quad \Phi \subseteq \text{formula}; M \models \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(\text{ground_repl_fm}(\varphi)) \cdot \mid \varphi \in \Phi \} \rrbracket \\ \implies & \exists N. M \subseteq N \wedge \\ & \quad N \approx \omega \wedge \\ & \quad \text{Transset}(N) \wedge \\ & \quad M \neq N \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow \alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N) \wedge \\ & \quad ((M, [] \models \cdot AC \cdot) \longrightarrow N, [] \models \cdot AC \cdot) \wedge \\ & \quad N \models \cdot Z \cdot \cup \{ \cdot \text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot \mid \varphi \in \Phi \} \end{aligned}$$

thm *ctm_of_not_CH*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket M \approx \omega; \text{Transset}(M); M \models ZC \cup \{\cdot\text{Replacement}(p) \cdot \mid p \in \text{overhead}\}; \\ & \Phi \subseteq \text{formula}; M \models \{\cdot\text{Replacement}(\text{ground_repl_fm}(\varphi)) \cdot \mid \varphi \in \Phi\} \rrbracket \\ \implies & \exists N. M \subseteq N \wedge \\ & N \approx \omega \wedge \\ & \text{Transset}(N) \wedge \\ & N \models ZC \cup \{\cdot\neg\cdot CH \cdot\} \cup \{\cdot\text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot \mid \varphi \in \Phi\} \wedge \\ & (\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow \alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N) \end{aligned}$$

thm *ctm_of_CH*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket M \approx \omega; \text{Transset}(M); M \models ZC \cup \{\cdot\text{Replacement}(p) \cdot \mid p \in \text{overhead}\}; \\ & \Phi \subseteq \text{formula}; M \models \{\cdot\text{Replacement}(\text{ground_repl_fm}(\varphi)) \cdot \mid \varphi \in \Phi\} \rrbracket \\ \implies & \exists N. M \subseteq N \wedge \\ & N \approx \omega \wedge \\ & \text{Transset}(N) \wedge \\ & N \models ZC \cup \{\cdot CH \cdot\} \cup \{\cdot\text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot \mid \varphi \in \Phi\} \wedge \\ & (\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow \alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N) \end{aligned}$$

In the above three statements, the function *ground_repl_fm* takes an element φ of *formula* and returns the replacement instance in the ground model that produces the φ -replacement instance in the generic extension. The next result is stated in the context *G_generic1*, which assumes the existence of a generic filter.

context *G_generic1*
begin

thm *sats_ground_repl_fm_imp_sats_ZF_replacement_fm*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \varphi \in \text{formula}; M, [] \models \cdot\text{Replacement}(\text{ground_repl_fm}(\varphi)) \cdot \rrbracket \\ \implies & M[G], [] \models \cdot\text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot \end{aligned}$$

end — *G_generic1*

end

34 Some demonstrations

theory *Demonstrations*
imports
 Definitions_Main
begin

The following theory is only intended to explore some details of the formalization and to show the appearance of relevant internalized formulas. It is **not**

intended as the entry point of the session. For that purpose, consult *Independence_CH.Definitions_Main*

```

locale Demo = M_trivial + M_AC +
  fixes t1 t2
  assumes
    ts_in_nat[simp]: t1 ∈ ω t2 ∈ ω
  and
    power_infty: power_ax(M) M(ω)
begin

```

The next fake lemma is intended to explore the instances of the axiom schemes that are needed to build our forcing models. They are categorized as plain replacements (using *strong_replacement*), “lambda-replacements” with using a higher order function, replacements to perform transfinite and general well-founded recursion (using *transrec_replacement* and *wfrec_replacement* respectively) and for the construction of fixpoints (using *iterates_replacement*). Lastly, separations instances.

```

lemma
  assumes
    sorried_replacements:
      ∧ P. strong_replacement(M,P)
      ∧ F. lam_replacement(M,F)
      ∧ Q S. iterates_replacement(M,Q,S)
      ∧ Q S. wfrec_replacement(M,Q,S)
      ∧ Q S. transrec_replacement(M,Q,S)
  and
    sorried_separations:
      ∧ Q. separation(M,Q) shows M_master(M)
  ⟨proof⟩
no_notation mem (infixl <∈> 50)
no_notation conj (infixr <∧> 35)
no_notation disj (infixr <∨> 30)
no_notation iff (infixr <⟷> 25)
no_notation imp (infixr <⟶> 25)
no_notation not (⟨¬_⟩ [40] 40)
no_notation All (⟨'(∀_')⟩)
no_notation Ex (⟨'(∃_')⟩)

no_notation Member (⟨_ ∈ / _⟩)
no_notation Equal (⟨_ = / _⟩)
no_notation Nand (⟨_ ¬' ( _ ∧ / _ )'⟩)
no_notation And (⟨_ ∧ / _⟩)
no_notation Or (⟨_ ∨ / _⟩)
no_notation Iff (⟨_ ↔ / _⟩)
no_notation Implies (⟨_ → / _⟩)
no_notation Neg (⟨_ ¬_⟩)
no_notation Forall (⟨'(∀ (/_).')⟩)
no_notation Exists (⟨'(∃ (/_).')⟩)

notation Member (infixl <∈> 50)

```


notation *Equal* (**infixl** $\langle \equiv \rangle$ 50)
notation *Nand* ($\langle \neg'(_ \wedge _)' \rangle$)
notation *And* (**infixr** $\langle \wedge \rangle$ 35)
notation *Or* (**infixr** $\langle \vee \rangle$ 30)
notation *Iff* (**infixr** $\langle \longleftrightarrow \rangle$ 25)
notation *Implies* (**infixr** $\langle \longrightarrow \rangle$ 25)
notation *Neg* ($\langle \neg _ \rangle$ [40] 40)
notation *Forall* ($\langle '(\forall _)' \rangle$)
notation *Exists* ($\langle '(\exists _)' \rangle$)

lemma *forces*($t_1 \in t_2$) = ($0 \in 1 \wedge \text{forces_mem_fm}(1, 2, 0, t_1 + \omega 4, t_2 + \omega 4)$)
<proof>

definition *forces_0_mem_1* **where** *forces_0_mem_1* \equiv *forces_mem_fm*(1,2,0, $t_1 + \omega 4, t_2 + \omega 4$)

thm *forces_0_mem_1_def* [
unfolded frc_at_fm_def ftype_fm_def
name1_fm_def name2_fm_def snd_snd_fm_def hcomp_fm_def
ecloseN_fm_def eclose_n1_fm_def eclose_n2_fm_def
is_eclose_fm_def mem_eclose_fm_def eclose_n_fm_def
is_If_fm_def least_fm_def Replace_fm_def Collect_fm_def
fm_definitions,simplified]

named_theorems *incr_bv_new_simps*

schematic_goal *incr_bv_Neg*:
 $\text{mem}(n, \omega) \implies \text{mem}(\varphi, \text{formula}) \implies \text{incr_bv}(\text{Neg}(\varphi))'n = ?x$
<proof>

schematic_goal *incr_bv_Exists* [*incr_bv_new_simps*]:
 $\text{mem}(n, \omega) \implies \text{mem}(\varphi, \text{formula}) \implies \text{incr_bv}(\text{Exists}(\varphi))'n = ?x$
<proof>

end — *Demo*

end

References

- [1] E. GUNTHER, M. PAGANO, P. SÁNCHEZ TERRAF, First steps towards a formalization of forcing, in: Proceedings of the 13th Workshop on Logical and Se-

mantic Frameworks with Applications, LSFA 2018, Fortaleza, Brazil, September 26-28, 2018, pp. 119–136 (2018).

- [2] E. GUNTHER, M. PAGANO, P. SÁNCHEZ TERRAF, Mechanization of Separation in Generic Extensions, *arXiv e-prints* **1901.03313** (2019).
- [3] E. GUNTHER, M. PAGANO, P. SÁNCHEZ TERRAF, Formalization of Forcing in Isabelle/ZF, arXiv e-prints, in: N. Peltier, V. Sofronie-Stokkermans (Eds.), Automated Reasoning. 10th International Joint Conference, IJCAR 2020, Paris, France, July 1–4, 2020, Proceedings, Part II, Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence **12167**, Springer International Publishing: 221–235 (2020).
- [4] L.C. PAULSON, K. GRABCZEWSKI, Mechanizing set theory, *J. Autom. Reasoning* **17**: 291–323 (1996).