Proving the Impossibility of Trisecting an Angle and Doubling the Cube

Ralph Romanos and Lawrence Paulson

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Squaring the circle, doubling the cube and trisecting an angle, using a compass and straightedge alone, are classic unsolved problems first posed by the ancient Greeks. All three problems were proved to be impossible in the 19th century. The following document presents the proof of the impossibility of solving the latter two problems using Isabelle/HOL, following a proof by Carrega [Car81]. The proof uses elementary methods: no Galois theory or field extensions. The set of points constructible using a compass and straightedge is defined inductively. Radical expressions, which involve only square roots and arithmetic of rational numbers, are defined, and we find that all constructive points have radical coordinates. Finally, doubling the cube and trisecting certain angles requires solving certain cubic equations that can be proved to have no rational roots. The Isabelle proofs require a great many detailed calculations.

Contents

1	Pro the	ving the impossibility of trisecting an angle and doubling cube	2
2	For	mal Proof	2
	2.1	Definition of the set of Points	2
	2.2	Subtraction	2
	2.3	Metric Space	4
	2.4	Geometric Definitions	5
	2.5	Reals definable with square roots	5
	2.6	Introduction of the datatype expr which represents radical	
		expressions	6
	2.7	Important properties of the roots of a cubic equation	10
	2.8	Important properties of radicals	11
	2.9	Important properties of geometrical points which coordinates	
		are radicals	12

2.10 Definition of the set of contructible points	13
2.11 An important property about constructible points: their co-	
ordinates are radicals	14
2.12 Proving the impossibility of duplicating the cube	14
2.13 Proving the impossibility of trisecting an angle	14

1 Proving the impossibility of trisecting an angle and doubling the cube

theory Impossible-Geometry imports Complex-Main begin

2 Formal Proof

2.1 Definition of the set of Points

datatype point = Point real real

definition points-def: points = $\{M. \exists x \in \mathbb{R}. \exists y \in \mathbb{R}. (M = Point x y)\}$

primrec abscissa :: point => realwhere abscissa: abscissa (Point x y) = x

primrec ordinate :: point => real where ordinate: ordinate (Point x y) = y

lemma point-surj [simp]: Point (abscissa M) (ordinate M) = M $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma point-eqI [intro?]: [[abscissa M = abscissa N; ordinate M = ordinate N]] $\implies M = N$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma point-eq-iff: $M = N \longleftrightarrow abscissa \ M = abscissa \ N \land ordinate \ M = ordinate \ N$ $\langle proof \rangle$

2.2 Subtraction

Datatype point has a structure of abelian group

instantiation *point* :: *ab-group-add* begin

definition *point-zero-def*:

 $\theta = Point \ \theta \ \theta$ definition point-one-def: $point-one = Point \ 1 \ 0$ definition *point-add-def*: A + B = Point (abscissa A + abscissa B) (ordinate A + ordinate B)definition *point-minus-def*: -A = Point (-abscissa A) (-ordinate A)**definition** *point-diff-def*: A - (B::point) = A + - B**lemma** *Point-eq-0* [*simp*]: Point $xA \ yA = 0 \iff (xA = 0 \land yA = 0)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** point-abscissa-zero [simp]: abscissa $\theta = \theta$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** point-ordinate-zero [simp]: ordinate $\theta = \theta$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** point-add [simp]: $Point \ xA \ yA + Point \ xB \ yB = Point \ (xA + xB) \ (yA + yB)$ $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** point-abscissa-add [simp]: abscissa (A + B) = abscissa A + abscissa B $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** point-ordinate-add [simp]: ordinate (A + B) = ordinate A + ordinate B $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** point-minus [simp]: - (Point xA yA) = Point (- xA) (- yA) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** point-abscissa-minus [simp]: abscissa (-A) = -abscissa (A) $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** point-ordinate-minus [simp]: ordinate (-A) = - ordinate (A) $\langle proof \rangle$

```
ordinate (A - B) = ordinate (A) - ordinate (B)

\langle proof \rangle
```

instance

 $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

2.3 Metric Space

We can also define a distance, hence point is also a metric space

instantiation *point* :: *metric-space* begin

definition point-dist-def: dist $A B = sqrt ((abscissa (A - B))^2 + (ordinate (A - B))^2)$

definition

(uniformity :: (point × point) filter) = (INF $e \in \{0 < ..\}$. principal $\{(x, y). dist x y < e\}$)

definition

open $(S :: point set) = (\forall x \in S. \forall_F (x', y) in uniformity. x' = x \longrightarrow y \in S)$

lemma point-dist [simp]: dist (Point xA yA) (Point xB yB) = sqrt ((xA - xB)^2 + (yA - yB)^2) $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma real-sqrt-diff-squares-triangle-ineq: fixes a b c d :: real shows sqrt $((a - c)^2 + (b - d)^2) \le sqrt (a^2 + b^2) + sqrt (c^2 + d^2) \langle proof \rangle$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{instance} \\ \langle \textit{proof} \rangle \\ \mathbf{end} \end{array}$

2.4 Geometric Definitions

These geometric definitions will later be used to define constructible points

The distance between two points is defined with the distance of the metric space point

definition distance-def: distance A B = dist A B

parallel A B C D is true if the lines AB and CD are parallel. If not it is false.

definition *parallel-def*:

 $parallel \ A \ B \ C \ D = ((abscissa \ A - abscissa \ B) * (ordinate \ C - ordinate \ D) = (ordinate \ A - ordinate \ B) * (abscissa \ C - abscissa \ D))$

Three points $A \ B \ C$ are collinear if and only if the lines AB and AC are parallel

definition collinear-def: collinear $A \ B \ C = parallel \ A \ B \ A \ C$

The point M is the intersection of two lines AB and CD if and only if the points A, M and B are collinear and the points C, M and D are also collinear

definition *is-intersection-def: is-intersection* $M \ A \ B \ C \ D = (collinear \ A \ M \ B \land collinear \ C \ M \ D)$

2.5 Reals definable with square roots

The inductive set *radical-sqrt* defines the reals that can be defined with square roots. If x is in the following set, then it depends only upon rational expressions and square roots. For example, suppose x is of the form : $x = (\sqrt{a + \sqrt{b}} + \sqrt{c + \sqrt{d * e + f}})/(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}) + (a + \sqrt{b})/\sqrt{g}$, where a, b, c, d, e, f and g are rationals. Then x is in *radical-sqrt* because it is only defined with rationals and square roots of radicals.

 $\mathbf{inductive-set} \ \mathit{radical-sqrt} :: \mathit{real set}$

where Rat: $x \in \mathbb{Q} \implies x \in radical-sqrt$ $| Neg: x \in radical-sqrt \implies -x \in radical-sqrt$ $| Inverse: x \in radical-sqrt \implies x \neq 0 \implies 1/x \in radical-sqrt$ $| Plus: x \in radical-sqrt \implies y \in radical-sqrt \implies x+y \in radical-sqrt$ $| Times: x \in radical-sqrt \implies y \in radical-sqrt \implies x*y \in radical-sqrt$ $| Sqrt: x \in radical-sqrt \implies x \ge 0 \implies sqrt x \in radical-sqrt$

Here, we list some rules that will be used to prove that a given real is in *radical-sqrt*.

Given two reals in *radical-sqrt* x and y, the subtraction x - y is also in *radical-sqrt*.

lemma radical-sqrt-rule-subtraction:

 $x \in radical-sqrt \Longrightarrow y \in radical-sqrt \Longrightarrow x-y \in radical-sqrt \\ \langle proof \rangle$

Given two reals in *radical-sqrt* x and y, and $y \neq 0$, the division x/y is also in *radical-sqrt*.

lemma radical-sqrt-rule-division: $\llbracket x \in radical-sqrt; y \in radical-sqrt; y \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies x/y \in radical-sqrt$ $\langle proof \rangle$

Given a positive real x in *radical-sqrt*, its square x^2 is also in *radical-sqrt*.

lemma radical-sqrt-rule-power2: $x \in radical-sqrt \implies x \ge 0 \implies x^2 \in radical-sqrt$ $\langle proof \rangle$

Given a positive real x in *radical-sqrt*, its cube x^3 is also in *radical-sqrt*.

lemma radical-sqrt-rule-power3: $x \in radical-sqrt \implies x \ge 0 \implies x^3 \in radical-sqrt$ $\langle proof \rangle$

2.6 Introduction of the datatype expr which represents radical expressions

An expression expr is either a rational constant: Const or the negation of an expression or the inverse of an expression or the addition of two expressions or the multiplication of two expressions or the square root of an expression.

datatype expr = Const rat | Negation expr | Inverse expr | Addition expr expr | Multiplication expr expr | Sqrt expr

The function *translation* translates a given expression into its equivalent real.

fun translation :: expr => real ($\langle (2\{-\}) \rangle$) **where** translation (Const x) = of-rat x| translation (Negation e) = - translation e| translation (Inverse e) = (1::real) / translation e| translation (Addition e1 e2) = translation e1 + translation e2| translation (Multiplication e1 e2) = translation e1 * translation e2| translation (Sqrt e) = (if translation e < 0 then 0 else sqrt (translation e))

Define the set of all the radicals of a given expression. For example, suppose expr is of the form : expr = Addition (Sqrt (Addition (Const a) Sqrt (Const b))) (Sqrt (Addition (Const c) (Sqrt (Sqrt (Const d))))), where a, b, c and d are rationals. This can be translated as follows: $\{expr\} = \sqrt{a + \sqrt{b}} + \sqrt{c + \sqrt{\sqrt{d}}}$. Moreover, the set *radicals* of this expression is : $\{Addition = \sqrt{a + \sqrt{b}} + \sqrt{c + \sqrt{\sqrt{d}}}\}$.

(Const a) (Sqrt (Const b)), Const b, Addition (Const c) (Sqrt (Sqrt (Const d))), Sqrt (Const d), Const d].

fun radicals :: expr => expr set **where** radicals (Const x) = {}| radicals (Negation e) = (radicals e)| radicals (Inverse e) = (radicals e)| radicals (Addition $e1 \ e2$) = ((radicals e1) \cup (radicals e2))| radicals (Multiplication $e1 \ e2$) = ((radicals e1) \cup (radicals e2))| radicals (Sqrt e) = (if {e} < 0 then radicals e else {e} \cup (radicals e))

If r is in *radicals* of e then the set *radical-sqrt* of r is a subset (strictly speaking) of the set *radicals* of e.

lemma radicals-expr-subset: $r \in radicals \ e \implies radicals \ r \subset radicals \ e \ \langle proof \rangle$

If x is in *radical-sqrt* then there exists a radical expression e which translation is x (it is important to notice that this expression is not necessarily unique).

lemma radical-sqrt-correct-expr: $x \in radical-sqrt \Longrightarrow \exists e. \{ e \} = x$ $\langle proof \rangle$

The order of an expression is the maximum number of radicals one over another occurring in a given expression. Using the example above, suppose *expr* is of the form : expr = Addition (Sqrt (Addition (Const a) Sqrt (Const b))) (Sqrt (Addition (Const c) (Sqrt (Sqrt (Const d))))), where a, b, c and d are rationals and which can be translated as follows: $\{expr\}$ = $\sqrt{a + \sqrt{b} + \sqrt{c + \sqrt{\sqrt{d}}}}$. The order of *expr* is max(2,3) = 3. fun order :: expr => natwhere order (Const x) = 0| order (Negation e) = order e| order (Inverse e) = order e| order (Addition e1 e2) = max (order e1) (order e2)| order (Multiplication e1 e2) = max (order e1) (order e2)| order (Sqrt e) = 1 + order e

If an expression s is one of the radicals (or in *radicals*) of the expression r, then its order is smaller (strictly speaking) then the order of r.

lemma in-radicals-smaller-order: $s \in radicals \ r \Longrightarrow (order \ s) < (order \ r)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

The following theorem is the converse of the previous lemma.

lemma *in-radicals-smaller-order-contrap*:

 $(order \ s) \ge (order \ r) \Longrightarrow \neg (s \in radicals \ r)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

An expression r cannot be one of its own radicals.

lemma not-in-own-radicals: $\neg (r \in radicals \ r)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

If an expression e is a radical expression and it has no radicals then its translation is a rational.

lemma radicals-empty-rational: radicals $e = \{\} \implies \{\!\!\!| e \!\!\!\} \in \mathbb{Q} \ \langle proof \rangle$

A finite non-empty set of natural numbers has necessarily a maximum.

lemma finite-set-has-max: finite (s:: nat set) $\implies s \neq \{\} \implies \exists k \in s. \forall p \in s. p \leq k \ \langle proof \rangle$

There is a finite number of radicals in an expression.

lemma finite-radicals: finite (radicals e) $\langle proof \rangle$

We define here a new set corresponding to the orders of each element in the set *radicals* of an expression *expr*. Using the example above, suppose *expr* is of the form : expr = Addition (Sqrt (Addition (Const a) Sqrt (Const b))) (Sqrt (Addition (Const c) (Sqrt (Sqrt (Const d))))), where a, b, c and d are rationals and which can be translated as follows: $\{expr\} = \sqrt{a + \sqrt{b} + \sqrt{c + \sqrt{\sqrt{d}}}}$. The set *radicals* of *expr* is {Addition (Const a) Sqrt (Const b), Const b, Addition (Const c) (Sqrt (Sqrt (Const d))), Sqrt (Const d), Const d}; therefore, the set *order-radicals* of this set is $\{1, 0, 2, 1, 0\}$.

fun order-radicals:: expr set => nat set where order-radicals $s = \{y. \exists x \in s. y = order x\}$

If the set of radicals of an expression e is not empty and is finite then the set *order-radicals* of the set of radicals of e is not empty and is also finite.

The following lemma states that given an expression e, if the set *order-radicals* of the set *radicals* e is not empty and is finite, then there exists a radical r of e which is of highest order among the radicals of e.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma finite-order-radicals-has-max:} \\ \llbracket order-radicals (radicals e) \neq \{\}; \\ finite (order-radicals (radicals e)) \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \exists r. \ r \in radicals \ e \ \land (\forall s \in radicals \ e. \ order \ s \leq order \ r) \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

This important lemma states that in an expression that has at least one radical, we can find an upmost radical r which is not radical of any other term of the expression e. It is also important to notice that this upmost radical is not necessarily unique and is not the term of highest order of the expression e. Using the example above, suppose e is of the form : e = Addition (Sqrt (Addition (Const a) Sqrt (Const b))) (Sqrt (Addition (Const c) (Sqrt (Sqrt (Const d))))), where a, b, c and d are rationals and which can be translated as follows: $\{e\} = \sqrt{a + \sqrt{b}} + \sqrt{c + \sqrt{\sqrt{d}}}$. The possible upmost radicals in this expression are Addition (Const a) (Sqrt (Const b)) or Addition (Const c) (Sqrt (Sqrt (Const d))).

```
lemma finite-order-radicals:
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{radicals } e \neq \{\} \implies \textit{finite (radicals } e) \implies \\ \textit{order-radicals (radicals } e) \neq \{\} \land \textit{finite (order-radicals (radicals } e)) \\ \langle \textit{proof} \rangle \end{array}$

lemma upmost-radical-sqrt2:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{radicals } e \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \\ \exists \ r \in \text{radicals } e. \ \forall \ s \in \text{radicals } e. \ r \notin \text{radicals } s \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$

The following 7 lemmas are used to prove the main lemma *radical-sqrt-normal-form* which states that if an expression e has at least one radical then it can be written in a normal form. This means that there exist three radical expressions a, b and r such that $\{e\} = \{a\} + \{b\} * \sqrt{\{r\}}$ and the radicals of a are radicals of e but are not r, and the same goes for the radicals of b and r. It is important to notice that a, b and r are not unique and Sqrt r is not necessarily the term of highest order.

```
lemma eq-sqrt-squared:
  (x::real) > 0 \implies (sqrt x) * (sqrt x) = x
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma radical-sqrt-normal-form-inverse:
  assumes z \ge 0 x \ne y * sqrt z
  shows
   1 / (x + y * sqrt z) =
    x'/(x * x - y * y * z) - (y * sqrt z) / (x * x - y * y * z)
\langle proof \rangle
lemma radical-sqrt-normal-form-lemma:
  fixes e::expr
  assumes radicals e \neq \{\}
  and \forall s \in radicals \ e. \ r \notin radicals \ s
  and r \in radicals \ e
  shows \exists a \ b. \ 0 \leq \{\!\{r\}\!\} \land \{\!\{e\}\!\} = \{\!\{a\}\!\} + \{\!\{b\}\!\} * sqrt \{\!\{r\}\!\} \&
          radicals a \cup radicals \ b \cup radicals \ r \subseteq radicals \ e \ \&
          r \notin radicals \ a \cup radicals \ b
```

(is $\exists a \ b. \ ?concl \ e \ a \ b$) $\langle proof \rangle$

This main lemma is essential for the remaining part of the proof.

```
theorem radical-sqrt-normal-form:
```

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{radicals } e \neq \{\} \implies \\ \exists \ r \in \mbox{radicals } e. \\ \exists \ a \ b. \ \{e\} = \{\mbox{Addition } a \ (Multiplication \ b \ (Sqrt \ r))\} \land \{r\} \ge 0 \land \\ \ radicals \ a \ \cup \ radicals \ b \ \cup \ radicals \ r \ \subseteq \ radicals \ e \ \& \\ r \ \notin \ radicals \ a \ \cup \ radicals \ b \ \cup \ radicals \ r \ (Sqrt \ r))\} \land \{r\} \ge 0 \land \\ \end{array}$

2.7 Important properties of the roots of a cubic equation

The following 7 lemmas are used to prove a main result about the properties of the roots of a cubic equation (*cubic-root-radical-sqrt-rational*) which states that assuming that $a \ b$ and c are rationals and that x is a radical satisfying $x^3 + ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ then there exists a rational root. This lemma will be used in the proof of the impossibility of trisection an angle and of duplicating a cube.

lemma *cubic-root-radical-sqrt-steplemma*:

fixes P :: real setassumes Nats [THEN subsetD, intro]: Nats $\subseteq P$ and Neg: $\forall x \in P. -x \in P$ and Inv: $\forall x \in P. x \neq 0 \longrightarrow 1/x \in P$ and Add: $\forall x \in P. \forall y \in P. x+y \in P$ and Mult: $\forall x \in P. \forall y \in P. x*y \in P$ and $a: a \in P$ and $b: b \in P$ and $c: c \in P$ and $eq0: z^3 + a * z^2 + b * z + c = 0$ and $u: u \in P$ and $s: s * s \in P$ and $s: s * s \in P$ and z: z = u + v * sshows $\exists w \in P. w^3 + a * w^2 + b * w + c = 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cubic-root-radical-sqrt-steplemma-sqrt: **assumes** Nats [THEN subsetD, intro]: Nats $\subseteq P$ and $\forall x \in P. \ -x \in P$ and $\forall x \in P. \ x \neq 0 \longrightarrow 1/x \in P$ and $\forall x \in P. \ \forall y \in P. \ x+y \in P$ and $\forall x \in P. \ \forall y \in P. \ x+y \in P$ and $(a \in P)$ and $b: (b \in P)$ and $c: (c \in P)$ and $z^3 + a * z^2 + b * z + c = 0$ and $u \in P \ v \in P \ s \in P$ and $s \ge 0$ and z = u + v * sqrt sshows $\exists w \in P. \ w^3 + a * w^2 + b * w + c = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma cubic-root-radical-sqrt-lemma: **fixes** e::expr **assumes** $a: a \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $b: b \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $c: c \in \mathbb{Q}$ and notEmpty: radicals $e \neq \{\}$ and $eq0: \{e\} \widehat{} 3 + a * \{e\} \widehat{} 2 + b * \{e\} + c = 0$ **shows** $\exists e1. radicals e1 \subset radicals e \land (\{e1\} \widehat{} 3 + a * \{e1\} \widehat{} 2 + b * \{e1\} + c$ = 0 $\langle proof \rangle$ **lemma** cubic-root-radical-sqrt:

```
assumes abc: a \in \mathbb{Q} b \in \mathbb{Q} c \in \mathbb{Q}

shows card (radicals e) = n \Longrightarrow \{e\}^3 + a * \{e\}^2 + b * \{e\} + c = 0 \Longrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{Q}. x^3 + a * x^2 + b * x + c = 0

\langle proof \rangle
```

Now we can prove the final result about the properties of the roots of a cubic equation.

```
theorem cubic-root-radical-sqrt-rational:
assumes a: a \in \mathbb{Q} and b: b \in \mathbb{Q} and c: c \in \mathbb{Q}
and x: x \in radical-sqrt
and x-eqn: x^3 + a * x^2 + b * x + c = 0
shows c: \exists x \in \mathbb{Q}. x^3 + a * x^2 + b * x + c = 0
\langle proof \rangle
```

2.8 Important properties of radicals

```
lemma sqrt-roots:

y^2 = x \implies x \ge 0 \land (sqrt (x) = y | sqrt (x) = -y)

\langle proof \rangle
```

lemma radical-sqrt-linear-equation: **assumes** $a \in radical-sqrt \ b \in radical-sqrt$ **and** $\neg (a = 0 \land b = 0)$ **and** a * x + b = 0 **shows** $x \in radical-sqrt$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma radical-sqrt-simultaneous-linear-equation: **assumes** $a \in radical-sqrt$ **and** $b \in radical-sqrt$ **and** $c \in radical-sqrt$ **and** $d \in radical-sqrt$ **and** $f \in radical-sqrt$ **and** $f \in radical-sqrt$ **and** $f \in radical-sqrt$ **and** $NotNull: \neg (a*e - b*d = 0 \land a*f - c*d = 0 \land e*c = b*f)$ **and** $eq: a*x + b*y = c \ d*x + e*y = f$ **shows** $x \in radical-sqrt \land y \in radical-sqrt \langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma radical-sqrt-simultaneous-linear-quadratic:

assumes a \in radical-sqrt

and b \in radical-sqrt

and c \in radical-sqrt

and d \in radical-sqrt

and f \in radical-sqrt

and f \in radical-sqrt

and NotNull: \neg(d=0 \land e=0 \land f=0)

and eq: (x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 = cd*x+e*y = f

shows x \in radical-sqrt \land y \in radical-sqrt

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma radical-sqrt-simultaneous-quadratic-quadratic:

assumes a \in radical-sqrt

and b \in radical-sqrt

and c \in radical-sqrt

and d \in radical-sqrt

and f \in radical-sqrt

and f \in radical-sqrt

and notEqual: \neg (a = d \land b = e \land c = f)

and eq: (x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2 = c (x - d)^2 + (y - e)^2 = f

shows x \in radical-sqrt \land y \in radical-sqrt

\langle proof \rangle
```

2.9 Important properties of geometrical points which coordinates are radicals

lemma radical-sqrt-line-line-intersection: **assumes** absA: $(abscissa (A)) \in radical-sqrt$ **and** ordA: $(ordinate A) \in radical-sqrt$ **and** absB: $(abscissa B) \in radical-sqrt$ **and** ordB: $(ordinate B) \in radical-sqrt$ **and** absC: $(abscissa C) \in radical-sqrt$ **and** ordC: $(ordinate C) \in radical-sqrt$ **and** absD: $(abscissa D) \in radical-sqrt$ and ordD: $(ordinate D) \in radical-sqrt$ and notParallel: \neg (parallel A B C D)and isIntersec: is-intersection X A B C Dshows $(abscissa X) \in radical-sqrt \land (ordinate X) \in radical-sqrt$ (proof)

lemma radical-sqrt-line-circle-intersection:

assumes absA: $(abscissa A) \in radical-sqrt \text{ and } ordA$: $(ordinate A) \in radical-sqrt$ and absB: $(abscissa B) \in radical-sqrt \text{ and } ordB$: $(ordinate B) \in radical-sqrt$ and absC: $(abscissa C) \in radical-sqrt \text{ and } ordC$: $(ordinate C) \in radical-sqrt$ and absD: $(abscissa D) \in radical-sqrt \text{ and } ordD$: $(ordinate D) \in radical-sqrt$ and absE: $(abscissa E) \in radical-sqrt \text{ and } ordE$: $(ordinate E) \in radical-sqrt$ and notEqual: $A \neq B$ and colin: collinear A X Band eqDist: (distance C X = distance D E)shows $(abscissa X) \in radical-sqrt \land (ordinate X) \in radical-sqrt$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma radical-sqrt-circle-circle-intersection:

assumes absA: $(abscissa A) \in radical-sqrt$ and ordA: $(ordinate A) \in radical-sqrt$ and absB: $(abscissa B) \in radical-sqrt$ and ordB: $(ordinate B) \in radical-sqrt$ and absC: $(abscissa C) \in radical-sqrt$ and ordC: $(ordinate C) \in radical-sqrt$ and absD: $(abscissa D) \in radical-sqrt$ and ordD: $(ordinate D) \in radical-sqrt$ and absE: $(abscissa E) \in radical-sqrt$ and ordE: $(ordinate E) \in radical-sqrt$ and absF: $(abscissa E) \in radical-sqrt$ and ordF: $(ordinate E) \in radical-sqrt$ and absF: $(abscissa F) \in radical-sqrt$ and ordF: $(ordinate F) \in radical-sqrt$ and eqDist0: distance A X = distance B Cand eqDist1: distance D X = distance E Fand $notEqual: \neg (A = D \land distance B C = distance E F)$ shows $(abscissa X) \in radical-sqrt \land (ordinate X) \in radical-sqrt$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.10 Definition of the set of contructible points

 $\mathbf{inductive-set} \ \ constructible :: \ point \ set$

where

 $(M \in points \land (abscissa \ M) \in \mathbb{Q} \land (ordinate \ M) \in \mathbb{Q}) \Longrightarrow M \in constructible | (A \in constructible \land B \in constructible \land C \in constructible \land D \in constructible \land \neg parallel \ A \ B \ C \ D \land is-intersection \ M \ A \ B \ C \ D) \Longrightarrow M \in constructible |$

 $(A \in constructible \land B \in constructible \land C \in constructible \land D \in constructible \land E \in constructible \land \neg A = B \land collinear A M B \land distance C M = distance D E) \implies M \in constructible$

 $(A \in constructible \land B \in constructible \land C \in constructible \land D \in constructible \land E \in constructible \land F \in constructible \land \neg (A = D \land distance B C = distance E F) \land distance A M = distance B C \land distance D M = distance E F) \Longrightarrow M \in constructible$

2.11 An important property about constructible points: their coordinates are radicals

lemma constructible-radical-sqrt: **assumes** $M \in constructible$ **shows** (abscissa M) \in radical-sqrt \land (ordinate M) \in radical-sqrt $\langle proof \rangle$

2.12 Proving the impossibility of duplicating the cube

lemma impossibility-of-doubling-the-cube-lemma: assumes $x: x \in radical-sqrt$ and x-eqn: $x^3 = 2$ shows False $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem impossibility-of-doubling-the-cube: $x^3 = 2 \implies (Point \ x \ 0) \notin constructible \ \langle proof \rangle$

2.13 Proving the impossibility of trisecting an angle

lemma impossibility-of-trisecting-pi-over-3-lemma: assumes $x: x \in radical-sqrt$ and x-eqn: $x^3 - 3 * x - 1 = 0$ shows False $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem impossibility-of-trisecting-angle-pi-over-3: Point (cos (pi / 9)) $0 \notin$ constructible $\langle proof \rangle$

 \mathbf{end}

References

[Car81] J. C. Carrega. *Théorie des corps : la règle et le compas*. Hermann, 1981.