

A formalized programming language with speculative execution

Jamie Wright

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We present the formalization of a programming language whose operational semantics allows for the speculative execution of its statements. This type of semantics is relevant for discussing transient execution security vulnerabilities such as Spectre and Meltdown. An instantiation of Relative Security to this language is provided along with proofs of security and insecurity of selected programs from the Spectre benchmark.

Contents

1	A Simple Imperative Language	2
1.1	Arithmetic and Boolean Expressions	3
1.2	Commmands	3
1.3	Stores, States and Configurations	4
1.4	Evaluation of arithmetic and boolean expressions	5
2	Basic Semantics	6
2.1	Well-formed programs	6
2.2	Basic Semantics of Commands	7
2.3	State Transitions	9
2.3.1	Simplification Rules	10
2.3.2	Elimination Rules	12
2.4	Read locations	14
3	Normal Semantics	15
3.1	State Transitions	16
3.2	Elimination Rules	17
4	Misprediction and Speculative Semantics	18
4.1	Misprediction Oracle	18
4.2	Mispredicting Step	18

4.2.1	State Transitions	19
4.3	Speculative Semantics	20
4.3.1	State Transitions	23
4.3.2	Elimination Rules	27
5	Relative Security instantiation - Common Aspects	28
6	Relative Security Instance: Secret Memory	33
7	Relative Security Instance: Secret Memory Input	36
8	Disproof of Relative Security for fun1	41
8.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	41
8.2	Proof	51
8.2.1	Concrete leak	51
8.2.2	Auxillary lemmas for disproof	56
8.2.3	Disproof of fun1	57
9	Proof of Relative Security for fun2	62
9.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	62
9.2	Proof	73
10	Proof of Relative Security for fun3	95
10.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	96
10.2	Proof	107
11	Proof of Relative Security for fun4	136
11.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	136
11.2	Proof	149
12	Proof of Relative Security for fun5	192
12.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	192
12.2	Proof	211
13	Proof of Relative Security for fun6	249
13.1	Function definition and Boilerplate	249
13.2	Proof	269

1 A Simple Imperative Language

```
theory Language-Syntax imports Language-Prelims Relative-Security.Trivial begin
```

A Simple Imperative Language with arrays, inputs and outputs, and speculation fences, based off the syntax for IMP in Concrete Semantics [3]

Scalar variables are defined as strings, and so are the array variables

type-synonym *vname* = *string*

type-synonym *avname* = *string*

Since the Spectre benchmark examples reason about integer variables, we define our set of values to be integers

type-synonym *val* = *int*

We define our set of locations to be integers

type-synonym *loc* = *nat*

1.1 Arithmetic and Boolean Expressions

Arithmetic expressions can either be literals, variables or array variables (array variable name, index), or some operation on these. The arithmetic operators we capture in an expression are addition and multiplication. For boolean expressions we capture negation and conjunction, and the arithmetic comparison operator "less than" where equality of two arithmetic terms is later defined in terms of these constructors

datatype *aexp* = *N int* | *V vname* | *VA avname aexp* | *Plus aexp aexp* | *Times aexp aexp* |

Ite bexp aexp aexp | *Fun aexp aexp*

and *bexp* = *Bc bool* | *Not bexp* | *And bexp bexp* | *Less aexp aexp*

To enable reasoning about more subtle Spectre-like examples require the existence of trusted and untrusted I/O channels

datatype *trustStat* = *Trusted (T)* | *Untrusted (U)*

consts *func* :: *aexp* × *aexp* ⇒ *val*

A little syntax magic to write larger states compactly:

definition *null-state* (<>) **where**

null-state ≡ λ*x*. 0

syntax

-*State* :: *updbinds* => '*a*' (<->)

translations

-*State ms* == -*Update* <> *ms*

-*State (-updbinds b bs)* <= -*Update* (-*State b*) *bs*

1.2 Commmands

The language defined by this grammar capture standard basic mechanisms for manipulating scalar and array variables, and (un)conditional jumps, using Jump and IfJump, as control structures. It is also an I/O interactive language, accepting inputs on various input channels and producing outputs on various output channels. Most of the commands are standard, however there

is an inclusion of Fences and Masking commands which are non-standard. The "Fence" command models the lfence instruction which prevents further speculative execution and is crucial in capturing key Spectre benchmark examples. The Mask command models Speculative Load Hardening (SLH), which masks variable values with respect to a given condition, contextually it can protect against leaks by masking values during misspeculation. It can be read as "M var I b T exp1 E exp2 == IF b THEN var = exp1 ELSE var = exp2"

```
datatype (discs-sels) com =
  | Start
  | Skip

  | getInput trustStat vname ((Input -/ -) [0, 61] 61)
  | Output trustStat aexp ((Output -/ -) [0, 61] 61)
  | Fence
  | Jump nat
  | Assign vname aexp (- ::= - [1000, 61] 61)
  | ArrAssign avname aexp aexp (- [-] ::= - [1000, 61] 61)
  | IfJump bexp nat nat ((IfJump -/ -/ -) [0, 0, 61] 61)
```

A predicate which determines whether or not a memory read occurs in an arithmetic expression

```
fun isReadMemory :: aexp ⇒ bool where
isReadMemory (N n) = False |
isReadMemory (V x) = False |
isReadMemory (VA a i) = True |
isReadMemory (Plus a1 a2) = (isReadMemory a1 ∨ isReadMemory a2) |
isReadMemory (Times a1 a2) = (isReadMemory a1 ∨ isReadMemory a2)
```

1.3 Stores, States and Configurations

Defining a variable store, array variable store and a heap. The variable store is as standard, mapping variable names to values. The array variable store maps array name, to a base address in the and the size of the array. The heap maps memory locations to values

```
datatype vstore = Vstore (vstore:vname ⇒ val)
datatype avstore = Avstore (avstore:avname ⇒ loc * nat)
datatype heap = Heap (hheap:loc ⇒ val)
```

A given value of an element in an array is assigned in the heap at location "array base+index". For example if the array "a1" has array base = 0, then the value a1[3] can be found at memory location 3 in the heap

```
definition array-base :: avname ⇒ avstore ⇒ loc where
array-base arr avst ≡ case avst of (Avstore as) ⇒ fst (as arr)
```

```
definition array-bound :: avname ⇒ avstore ⇒ nat where
```

$array-bound\ arr\ avst \equiv case\ avst\ of\ (Avstore\ as) \Rightarrow snd\ (as\ arr)$

definition $array-loc :: avname \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow avstore \Rightarrow loc$ **where**
 $array-loc\ arr\ i\ avst \equiv array-base\ arr\ avst + i$

lemma $array-locBase: array-base\ arr\ avst = array-loc\ arr\ 0\ avst$
by ($simp\ add: array-loc-def$)

A state consists of: (command, variable store, heap, next free location in the heap).

datatype $state = State\ (getVstore: vstore)\ (getAvstore: avstore)\ (getHeap: heap)\ (getFree: nat)$

fun $getHheap$ **where** $getHheap\ (State\ vst\ avst\ h\ p) = hheap\ h$

A configuration for the normal semantics consists of: (command, state, the set of read memory locations so far).

type-synonym $pcounter = nat$

datatype $config = Config\ (pcOf: pcounter)\ (stateOf: state)$

fun $vstoreOf$ **where** $vstoreOf\ (Config\ pc\ s) = vstore\ (getVstore\ s)$
fun $avstoreOf$ **where** $avstoreOf\ (Config\ pc\ s) = avstore\ (getAvstore\ s)$
fun $heapOf$ **where** $heapOf\ (Config\ pc\ s) = getHeap\ s$
fun $freeOf$ **where** $freeOf\ (Config\ pc\ s) = getFree\ s$
fun $hheapOf$ **where** $hheapOf\ (Config\ pc\ s) = getHheap\ s$

1.4 Evaluation of arithmetic and boolean expressions

A standard recursive function which evaluates a given expression

fun $aval :: aexp \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow val$
and $bval :: bexp \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $aval\ (N\ n)\ s = n$
 $|$
 $aval\ (V\ x)\ s = vstore\ (getVstore\ s)\ x$
 $|$
 $aval\ (VA\ a\ i)\ s = getHheap\ s\ (array-loc\ a\ (nat(aval\ i\ s))\ (getAvstore\ s))$
 $|$
 $aval\ (Plus\ a1\ a2)\ s = aval\ a1\ s + aval\ a2\ s$
 $|$
 $aval\ (Times\ a1\ a2)\ s = aval\ a1\ s * aval\ a2\ s$
 $|$
 $aval\ (Ite\ b\ a1\ a2)\ s = (if\ bval\ b\ s\ then\ aval\ a1\ s\ else\ aval\ a2\ s)$
 $|$
 $aval\ (Fun\ x\ y)\ s = func\ (x,\ y)$
 $|$

```

bval (Bc v) s = v
|
bval (Not b) s = (¬ bval b s)
|
bval (And b1 b2) s = (bval b1 s ∧ bval b2 s)
|
bval (Less a1 a2) s = (aval a1 s < aval a2 s)

```

An arithmetic equivalence of two terms as a boolean expression

definition $Eq :: aexp \Rightarrow aexp \Rightarrow bexp$ **where**
 $Eq\ a1\ a2 \equiv And\ (Not\ (Less\ a1\ a2))\ (Not\ (Less\ a2\ a1))$

lemma $Eq\text{-verif}: bval\ (Eq\ a1\ a2)\ s \longleftrightarrow aval\ a1\ s = aval\ a2\ s$
apply *standard*
unfolding $Eq\text{-def}$ **by** *simp+*

fun $outOf :: com \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow val$ **where**
 $outOf\ c\ s = (case\ c\ of\ Output\ T\ aexp \Rightarrow aval\ aexp\ s \mid - \Rightarrow undefined)$

end

2 Basic Semantics

theory *Step-Basic*
imports *Language-Syntax*
begin

This theory introduces a standard semantics for the commands defined

2.1 Well-formed programs

A well-formed program is a nonempty list of commands where the head of the list is the "Start" command

type-synonym $prog = com\ list$

locale $Prog =$
fixes $prog :: prog$
assumes
 $wf\text{-prog}: prog \neq [] \wedge hd\ prog = Start$
begin

This is the program counter signifying the end of the program:

definition $endPC \equiv length\ prog$

And some sanity checks for a well formed program...

lemma *lenth-prog-gt-0*: $length\ prog > 0$
using *wf-prog* **by** *auto*

lemma *lenth-prog-not-0*: $length\ prog \neq 0$
using *wf-prog* **by** *auto*

lemma *endPC-gt-0*: $endPC > 0$
unfolding *endPC-def* **using** *lenth-prog-gt-0* **by** *blast*

lemma *endPC-not-0*: $endPC \neq 0$
unfolding *endPC-def* **using** *lenth-prog-not-0* **by** *blast*

lemma *hd-prog-Start*: $hd\ prog = Start$
using *wf-prog* **by** *auto*

lemma *prog-0*: $prog ! 0 = Start$
by (*metis hd-conv-nth wf-prog*)

2.2 Basic Semantics of Commands

The basic small step semantics of the language, parameterised by a fixed program. The semantics operate on input streams and memories which are consumed and updated while the program counter moves through the list of commands. This emulates standard (and expected) execution of the commands defined. Since no speculation is captured in this basic semantics, the Fence command the same as SKIP

inductive

stepB :: $config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow B$ 55)

where

Seq-Start-Skip-Fence:

$pc < endPC \Longrightarrow prog!pc \in \{Start, Skip, Fence\} \Longrightarrow$
 $(Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (Config\ (Suc\ pc)\ s, ibT, ibUT)$

|

Assign:

$pc < endPC \Longrightarrow prog!pc = (x ::= a) \Longrightarrow$
 $s = State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p \Longrightarrow$
 $(Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B$
 $(Config\ (Suc\ pc)\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(x ::= aval\ a\ s)))\ avst\ h\ p), ibT, ibUT)$

|

ArrAssign:

$pc < endPC \Longrightarrow prog!pc = (arr[index] ::= a) \Longrightarrow$
 $v = aval\ index\ s \Longrightarrow w = aval\ a\ s \Longrightarrow$
 $0 \leq v \Longrightarrow v < int\ (array-bound\ arr\ avst) \Longrightarrow$
 $l = array-loc\ arr\ (nat\ v)\ avst \Longrightarrow$
 $s = State\ vst\ avst\ (Heap\ h)\ p$

```

 $\implies$ 
(Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)
 $\rightarrow B$ 
(Config (Suc pc) (State vst avst (Heap (h(l := w))) p), ibT, ibUT)
|
getTrustedInput:
pc < endPC  $\implies$  prog!pc = Input T x  $\implies$ 
(Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), LCons i ibT, ibUT)
 $\rightarrow B$ 
(Config (Suc pc) (State (Vstore (vs(x := i))) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
|
getUntrustedInput:
pc < endPC  $\implies$  prog!pc = Input U x  $\implies$ 
(Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, LCons i ibUT)
 $\rightarrow B$ 
(Config (Suc pc) (State (Vstore (vs(x := i))) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
|
Output:
pc < endPC  $\implies$  prog!pc = Output t aexp  $\implies$ 
(Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)
 $\rightarrow B$ 
(Config (Suc pc) s, ibT, ibUT)
|
Jump:
pc < endPC  $\implies$  prog!pc = Jump pc1  $\implies$ 
(Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)  $\rightarrow B$  (Config pc1 s, ibT, ibUT)
|
IfTrue:
pc < endPC  $\implies$  prog!pc = IfJump b pc1 pc2  $\implies$ 
bval b s  $\implies$ 
(Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)  $\rightarrow B$  (Config pc1 s, ibT, ibUT)
|
IfFalse:
pc < endPC  $\implies$  prog!pc = IfJump b pc1 pc2  $\implies$ 
 $\neg$  bval b s  $\implies$ 
(Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)  $\rightarrow B$  (Config pc2 s, ibT, ibUT)

```

lemmas stepB-induct = stepB.induct[split-format(complete)]

abbreviation

stepsB :: config \times val llist \times val llist \Rightarrow config \times val llist \times val llist \Rightarrow bool (**infix**
 $\rightarrow B^*$ 55)

where $x \rightarrow B^* y == \text{star stepB } x y$

declare stepB.intros[simp,intro]

2.3 State Transitions

Useful lemmas regarding valid transitions of the semantics along with conditions for termination (`finalB`)

definition `finalB = final stepB`

lemmas `finalB-defs = final-def finalB-def`

lemma `finalB-iff-aux:`

`pc < endPC ∧`

`(∀ x i a. prog!pc = (x[i] ::= a) → aval i s ≥ 0 ∧`
`aval i s < int (array-bound x (getAvstore s))) ∧`

`(∀ y. prog!pc = Input T y → ibT ≠ LNil) ∧`

`(∀ y. prog!pc = Input U y → ibUT ≠ LNil)`

`↔`

`(∃ cfg'. (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT) →B cfg')`

apply (`cases s`) **subgoal for** `vst avst h p`

apply(`cases vst`) **apply**(`cases h`) **subgoal for** `vs hh` **apply** `clarsimp`

apply (`cases prog!pc`)

subgoal by (`auto elim: stepB.cases, blast`)

subgoal by (`auto elim: stepB.cases, blast`)

subgoal for `t` **apply**(`cases t`)

subgoal by(`cases ibT, auto elim: stepB.cases, blast`)

subgoal by(`cases ibUT, auto elim: stepB.cases, blast`) .

subgoal for `t` **apply**(`cases t`)

subgoal by (`auto elim: stepB.cases, blast`)

subgoal by (`auto elim: stepB.cases, blast`) .

subgoal by (`auto elim: stepB.cases, blast`)

subgoal by (`auto elim: stepB.cases, blast`)

subgoal by (`auto elim: stepB.cases, blast`)

subgoal by (`auto elim: stepB.cases, blast`)

subgoal by (`auto elim: stepB.cases, blast`) . . .

lemma `finalB-iff:`

`finalB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)`

`↔`

`(pc ≥ endPC ∨`

`(∃ x i a. prog!pc = (x[i] ::= a) ∧`

`(¬ aval i s ≥ 0 ∨ ¬ aval i s < int (array-bound x (getAvstore s)))) ∨`

`(∃ y. prog!pc = Input T y ∧ ibT = LNil) ∨`

`(∃ y. prog!pc = Input U y ∧ ibUT = LNil)`

using `finalB-iff-aux[of pc s ibT ibUT]` **unfolding** `finalB-def final-def`

using `verit-comp-simplify1(3)` **by** `blast`

lemma `stepB-determ:`

$cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow_B cfg\text{-}ib' \implies cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow_B cfg\text{-}ib'' \implies cfg\text{-}ib'' = cfg\text{-}ib'$
apply(*induction arbitrary: cfg-ib'' rule: stepB.induct*)
by (*auto elim: stepB.cases*)

definition $nextB :: config \times val\ list \times val\ list \Rightarrow config \times val\ list \times val\ list$
where
 $nextB\ cfg\text{-}ib \equiv SOME\ cfg'\text{-}ib'.\ cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow_B\ cfg'\text{-}ib'$

lemma $nextB\text{-}stepB: \neg finalB\ cfg\text{-}ib \implies cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow_B (nextB\ cfg\text{-}ib)$
unfolding $nextB\text{-}def$ **apply**(*rule someI-ex*)
unfolding $finalB\text{-}def\ final\text{-}def$ **by** *auto*

lemma $stepB\text{-}nextB: cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow_B cfg'\text{-}ib' \implies cfg'\text{-}ib' = nextB\ cfg\text{-}ib$
unfolding $nextB\text{-}def$ **apply**(*rule sym*) **apply**(*rule some-equality*)
using $stepB\text{-}determ$ **by** *auto*

lemma $nextB\text{-}iff\text{-}stepB: \neg finalB\ cfg\text{-}ib \implies nextB\ cfg\text{-}ib = cfg'\text{-}ib' \longleftrightarrow cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow_B\ cfg'\text{-}ib'$
using $nextB\text{-}stepB\ stepB\text{-}nextB$ **by** *blast*

lemma $stepB\text{-}iff\text{-}nextB: cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow_B cfg'\text{-}ib' \longleftrightarrow \neg finalB\ cfg\text{-}ib \wedge nextB\ cfg\text{-}ib =\ cfg'\text{-}ib'$
by (*metis finalB-def final-def stepB-nextB*)

2.3.1 Simplification Rules

Sufficient conditions for a given command to "execute" transit to the next state

lemma $nextB\text{-}Start\text{-}Skip\text{-}Fence[simp]:$
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc \in \{Start, Skip, Fence\} \implies$
 $nextB (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config (Suc\ pc)\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(*intro stepB-nextB[THEN sym] stepB.intros*)

lemma $nextB\text{-}Assign[simp]:$
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = (x ::= a) \implies$
 $s = State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p \implies$
 $nextB (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
 $=$
 $(Config (Suc\ pc) (State (Vstore (vs(x := aval\ a\ s)))\ avst\ h\ p),$
 $ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(*intro stepB-nextB[THEN sym] stepB.intros*)

lemma $nextB\text{-}ArrAssign[simp]:$
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = (arr[index] ::= a) \implies$
 $ls' = readLocs\ a\ vst\ avst (Heap\ h) \implies$
 $v = aval\ index\ s \implies w = aval\ a\ s \implies$
 $0 \leq v \implies v < int (array\ bound\ arr\ avst) \implies$
 $l = array\ loc\ arr (nat\ v)\ avst \implies$
 $s = State\ vst\ avst (Heap\ h)\ p$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Longrightarrow \\
&\text{nextB} (\text{Config } pc \ s, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \\
&= \\
&(\text{Config} (\text{Suc } pc) (\text{State } vst \text{ avst} (\text{Heap } (h(l := w)))) \ p), \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \\
&\mathbf{by}(\text{intro } \text{stepB-nextB}[\text{THEN } \text{sym}] \ \text{stepB.intros})
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *nextB-getTrustedInput[simp]*:

$$\begin{aligned}
pc < \text{endPC} &\Longrightarrow \text{prog!pc} = (\text{Input } T \ x) \Longrightarrow \\
&\text{nextB} (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State} \ (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } h \ p), \text{LCons } i \ \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \\
&= \\
&(\text{Config} (\text{Suc } pc) (\text{State} \ (\text{Vstore} \ (vs(x := i)))) \ \text{avst } h \ p), \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \\
&\mathbf{by}(\text{intro } \text{stepB-nextB}[\text{THEN } \text{sym}] \ \text{stepB.intros})
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *nextB-getUntrustedInput[simp]*:

$$\begin{aligned}
pc < \text{endPC} &\Longrightarrow \text{prog!pc} = (\text{Input } U \ x) \Longrightarrow \\
&\text{nextB} (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State} \ (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } h \ p), \text{ibT}, \text{LCons } i \ \text{ibUT}) \\
&= \\
&(\text{Config} (\text{Suc } pc) (\text{State} \ (\text{Vstore} \ (vs(x := i)))) \ \text{avst } h \ p), \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \\
&\mathbf{by}(\text{intro } \text{stepB-nextB}[\text{THEN } \text{sym}] \ \text{stepB.intros})
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *nextB-getTrustedInput'[simp]*:

$$\begin{aligned}
pc < \text{endPC} &\Longrightarrow \text{prog!pc} = \text{Input } T \ x \Longrightarrow \\
&\text{ibT} \neq \text{LNil} \Longrightarrow \\
&\text{nextB} (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State} \ (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } h \ p), \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \\
&= \\
&(\text{Config} (\text{Suc } pc) (\text{State} \ (\text{Vstore} \ (vs(x := \text{lhd } \text{ibT})))) \ \text{avst } h \ p), \text{ltl } \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \\
&\mathbf{by}(\text{cases } \text{ibT}, \text{auto})
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *nextB-getUntrustedInput'[simp]*:

$$\begin{aligned}
pc < \text{endPC} &\Longrightarrow \text{prog!pc} = \text{Input } U \ x \Longrightarrow \\
&\text{ibUT} \neq \text{LNil} \Longrightarrow \\
&\text{nextB} (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State} \ (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } h \ p), \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \\
&= \\
&(\text{Config} (\text{Suc } pc) (\text{State} \ (\text{Vstore} \ (vs(x := \text{lhd } \text{ibUT})))) \ \text{avst } h \ p), \text{ibT}, \text{ltl } \text{ibUT}) \\
&\mathbf{by}(\text{cases } \text{ibUT}, \text{auto})
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *nextB-Output[simp]*:

$$\begin{aligned}
pc < \text{endPC} &\Longrightarrow \text{prog!pc} = \text{Output } t \ \text{aexp} \Longrightarrow \\
&\text{nextB} (\text{Config } pc \ s, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \\
&= \\
&(\text{Config} (\text{Suc } pc) \ s, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \\
&\mathbf{by}(\text{intro } \text{stepB-nextB}[\text{THEN } \text{sym}] \ \text{stepB.intros})
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *nextB-Jump[simp]*:

$$\begin{aligned}
pc < \text{endPC} &\Longrightarrow \text{prog!pc} = \text{Jump } pc1 \Longrightarrow \\
&\text{nextB} (\text{Config } pc \ s, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) = (\text{Config } pc1 \ s, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \\
&\mathbf{by}(\text{intro } \text{stepB-nextB}[\text{THEN } \text{sym}] \ \text{stepB.intros}, \text{simp-all+})
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *nextB-IfTrue[simp]*:

$pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \implies$
 $bval\ b\ s \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config\ pc1\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(intro stepB-nextB[THEN sym] stepB.intros)

lemma nextB-IfFalse[simp]:
 $pc < endPC \implies prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \implies$
 $\neg\ bval\ b\ s \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config\ pc2\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(intro stepB-nextB[THEN sym] stepB.intros)

lemma finalB-endPC: $pcOf\ cfg = endPC \implies finalB\ (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by (metis finalB-iff config.collapse le-eq-less-or-eq)

lemma stepB-endPC: $pcOf\ cfg = endPC \implies \neg\ (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow B\ (cfg',\ ibT',\ ibUT')$
by (simp add: stepB-iff-nextB finalB-endPC)

lemma stepB-imp-le-endPC: **assumes** $(cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow B\ (cfg',\ ibT',\ ibUT')$
shows $pcOf\ cfg < endPC$
using *assms* **by**(cases rule: stepB.cases, simp-all)

lemma stepB-0: $(Config\ 0\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow B\ (Config\ 1\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
using prog-0 **by** (simp add: endPC-gt-0)

2.3.2 Elimination Rules

In the unwinding proofs of relative security it is often the case that two traces will progress in lockstep, when doing so we wish to preserve/update invariants of the current state. The following are some useful elimination rules to help simplify reasoning

lemma stepB-Seq-Start-Skip-FenceE:
assumes $\langle (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow B\ (cfg',\ ibT',\ ibUT') \rangle$
and $\langle cfg = (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle cfg' = (Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc \in \{Start,\ Skip,\ Fence\} \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = vs \wedge ibT = ibT' \wedge ibUT = ibUT' \wedge$
 $pc' = Suc\ pc \wedge avst' = avst \wedge h' = h \wedge$
 $p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (cases (cfg, ibT, ibUT) (cfg', ibT', ibUT') rule: stepB.cases)
by auto

lemma stepB-AssignE:
assumes $\langle (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow B\ (cfg',\ ibT',\ ibUT') \rangle$

and $\langle \text{cfg} = (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } h \ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle \text{cfg}' = (\text{Config } pc' \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs') \ \text{avst}' \ h' \ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle \text{prog!pc} = (x ::= a) \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = (vs(x := \text{aval } a \ (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}))) \wedge$
 $\text{ibT} = \text{ibT}' \wedge \text{ibUT} = \text{ibUT}' \wedge pc' = \text{Suc } pc \wedge$
 $\text{avst}' = \text{avst} \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases* (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) (*cfg'*, *ibT'*, *ibUT'*) *rule: stepB.cases*)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-getTrustedInputE*:

assumes $\langle (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \rightarrow B \ (\text{cfg}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}') \rangle$
and $\langle \text{cfg} = (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } h \ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle \text{cfg}' = (\text{Config } pc' \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs') \ \text{avst}' \ h' \ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle \text{prog!pc} = \text{Input } T \ x \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = (vs(x := \text{lhd } \text{ibT})) \wedge$
 $\text{ibT}' = \text{lhl } \text{ibT} \wedge \text{ibUT} = \text{ibUT}' \wedge pc' = \text{Suc } pc \wedge$
 $\text{avst}' = \text{avst} \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases* (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) (*cfg'*, *ibT'*, *ibUT'*) *rule: stepB.cases*)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-getUntrustedInputE*:

assumes $\langle (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \rightarrow B \ (\text{cfg}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}') \rangle$
and $\langle \text{cfg} = (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } h \ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle \text{cfg}' = (\text{Config } pc' \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs') \ \text{avst}' \ h' \ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle \text{prog!pc} = \text{Input } U \ x \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = (vs(x := \text{lhd } \text{ibUT})) \wedge$
 $\text{ibT}' = \text{ibT} \wedge \text{ibUT}' = \text{lhl } \text{ibUT} \wedge pc' = \text{Suc } pc \wedge$
 $\text{avst}' = \text{avst} \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases* (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) (*cfg'*, *ibT'*, *ibUT'*) *rule: stepB.cases*)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-OutputE*:

assumes $\langle (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \rightarrow B \ (\text{cfg}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}') \rangle$
and $\langle \text{cfg} = (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } h \ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle \text{cfg}' = (\text{Config } pc' \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs') \ \text{avst}' \ h' \ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle \text{prog!pc} = \text{Output } t \ \text{aexp} \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = vs \wedge \text{ibT}' = \text{ibT} \wedge \text{ibUT}' = \text{ibUT} \wedge$
 $pc' = \text{Suc } pc \wedge \text{avst}' = \text{avst} \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases* (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) (*cfg'*, *ibT'*, *ibUT'*) *rule: stepB.cases*)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-JumpE*:

assumes $\langle (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \rightarrow B \ (\text{cfg}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}') \rangle$
and $\langle \text{cfg} = (\text{Config } pc \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } h \ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle \text{cfg}' = (\text{Config } pc' \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs') \ \text{avst}' \ h' \ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle \text{prog!pc} = \text{Jump } pc1 \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = vs \wedge \text{ibT}' = \text{ibT} \wedge \text{ibUT}' = \text{ibUT} \wedge$
 $pc' = pc1 \wedge \text{avst}' = \text{avst} \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases* (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) (*cfg'*, *ibT'*, *ibUT'*) *rule: stepB.cases*)

by *auto*

lemma *stepB-IfTrueE*:

assumes $\langle (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cfg', ibT', ibUT') \rangle$
and $\langle cfg = (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle cfg' = (Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \rangle$ **and** $\langle bval\ b\ (stateOf\ cfg) \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = vs \wedge ibT' = ibT \wedge ibUT' = ibUT \wedge$
 $pc' = pc1 \wedge avst' = avst \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases* (*cfg, ibT, ibUT*) (*cfg', ibT', ibUT'*) *rule: stepB.cases*)
by *auto*

lemma *stepB-IfFalseE*:

assumes $\langle (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cfg', ibT', ibUT') \rangle$
and $\langle cfg = (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p)) \rangle$
and $\langle cfg' = (Config\ pc'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'\ h'\ p')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \rangle$ **and** $\langle \neg bval\ b\ (stateOf\ cfg) \rangle$
shows $\langle vs' = vs \wedge ibT' = ibT \wedge ibUT' = ibUT \wedge$
 $pc' = pc2 \wedge avst' = avst \wedge h' = h \wedge p' = p \rangle$
using *assms* **apply** (*cases* (*cfg, ibT, ibUT*) (*cfg', ibT', ibUT'*) *rule: stepB.cases*)
by *auto*

end

2.4 Read locations

For modeling Spectre-like vulnerabilities, we record memory reads (as in [1]), i.e., accessed for reading during the execution. We let `readLocs(pc,u)` be the (possibly empty) set of locations that are read when executing the current command `c` - computed from all sub-expressions of the form `a[e]`. i.e. array reads. For example, if `c` is the assignment `"x = a [b[3]]"`, then `readLocs` returns two locations: counting from 0, the 3rd location of `b` and the `b[3]`'th location of `a`.

```
fun readLocsA :: aexp  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  loc set and
readLocsB :: bexp  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  loc set where
readLocsA (N n) s = {}
|
readLocsA (V x) s = {}
|
readLocsA (VA arr index) s =
  insert (array-loc arr (nat (aval index s)) (getAvstore s))
    (readLocsA index s)
|
readLocsA (Plus a1 a2) s = readLocsA a1 s  $\cup$  readLocsA a2 s
|
readLocsA (Times a1 a2) s = readLocsA a1 s  $\cup$  readLocsA a2 s
|
readLocsA (Ite b a1 a2) s = readLocsB b s  $\cup$  readLocsA a1 s  $\cup$  readLocsA a2 s
```

```

|
readLocsA (Fun a b) s = {}
|
readLocsB (Bc c) s = {}
|
readLocsB (Not b) s = readLocsB b s
|
readLocsB (And b1 b2) s = readLocsB b1 s ∪ readLocsB b2 s
|
readLocsB (Less a1 a2) s = readLocsA a1 s ∪ readLocsA a2 s

```

```

fun readLocsC :: com ⇒ state ⇒ loc set where
readLocsC (x ::= a) s = readLocsA a s
|
readLocsC (arr[index] ::= a) s = readLocsA a s
|
readLocsC (Output t a) s = readLocsA a s
|
readLocsC (IfJump b n1 n2) s = readLocsB b s
|
readLocsC - - = {}

```

```

context Prog
begin

```

```

definition readLocs cfg ≡ readLocsC (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg)

```

```

end

```

```

end

```

3 Normal Semantics

This theory augments the basic semantics to include a set of read locations which is a simple representation of a cache

The normal semantics is defined by a single rule which involves the basic semantics, extended to accumulate the read locations, which accounts for cache side-channels

```

theory Step-Normal
imports Step-Basic
begin

```

```

context Prog

```

begin

fun *stepN* :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val llist*
× *loc set* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** <→*N*> 55)

where

(*cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls*) →*N* (*cfg', ibT', ibUT', ls'*) =
((*cfg, ibT, ibUT*) →*B* (*cfg', ibT', ibUT'*) ∧ *ls' = ls* ∪ *readLocs cfg*)

abbreviation

stepsN :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* ×
loc set ⇒ *bool* (**infix** <→*N**> 55)
where *x* →*N** *y* == *star stepN x y*

definition *finalN* = *final stepN*

lemmas *finalN-defs* = *final-def finalN-def*

lemma *finalN-iff-finalB[simp]*:

finalN (*cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls*) ↔ *finalB* (*cfg, ibT, ibUT*)

unfolding *finalN-def finalB-def final-def* **by** *auto*

3.1 State Transitions

fun *nextN* :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val llist*
× *loc set* **where**

nextN (*cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls*) = (*case nextB* (*cfg, ibT, ibUT*) of (*cfg', ibT', ibUT'*) ⇒
(*cfg', ibT', ibUT', ls* ∪ *readLocs cfg*))

lemma *nextN-stepN*: ¬ *finalN cfg-ib-ls* ⇒ *cfg-ib-ls* →*N* (*nextN cfg-ib-ls*)

apply(*cases cfg-ib-ls*)

using *Prog.stepB-nextB Prog-axioms finalN-def*
final-def nextN.simps old.prod.case stepN.elims(2)

by *force*

lemma *stepN-nextN*: *cfg-ib-ls* →*N* *cfg'-ib'-ls'* ⇒ *cfg'-ib'-ls' = nextN cfg-ib-ls*

apply(*cases cfg-ib-ls*) **apply**(*cases cfg'-ib'-ls'*)

using *Prog.stepB-nextB Prog-axioms* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextN-iff-stepN*:

¬ *finalN cfg-ib-ls* ⇒ *nextN cfg-ib-ls = cfg'-ib'-ls'* ↔ *cfg-ib-ls* →*N* *cfg'-ib'-ls'*

using *nextN-stepN stepN-nextN* **by** *blast*

lemma *stepN-iff-nextN*: *cfg-ib-ls* →*N* *cfg'-ib'-ls'* ↔ ¬ *finalN cfg-ib-ls* ∧ *nextN*
cfg-ib-ls = cfg'-ib'-ls'

by (*metis finalN-def final-def stepN-nextN*)

lemma *finalN-endPC*: $pcOf\ cfg = endPC \implies finalN\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT)$
by (*metis finalN-iff-finalB finalB-endPC old.prod.exhaust*)

lemma *stepN-endPC*: $pcOf\ cfg = endPC \implies \neg\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow N\ (cfg', ibT', ibUT')$
by (*simp add: finalN-endPC stepN-iff-nextN*)

lemma *stebN-0*: $(Config\ 0\ s, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow N\ (Config\ 1\ s, ibT, ibUT, ls)$
using *prog-0 One-nat-def stebB-0* **by** (*auto simp: readLocs-def*)

lemma *finalB-eq-finalN*: $finalB\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow (\forall\ ls.\ finalN\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls))$
unfolding *finalN-defs finalB-def*
apply *standard* **by** *auto*

3.2 Elimination Rules

lemma *stepN-Assign2E*:

assumes $\langle cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1 \rangle \rightarrow N\ (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1', ls1')$
and $\langle cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2 \rangle \rightarrow N\ (cfg2', ibT2', ibUT2', ls2')$
and $\langle cfg1 = (Config\ pc1\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs1)\ avst1\ h1\ p1)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg1' = (Config\ pc1'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs1')\ avst1'\ h1'\ p1')) \rangle$
and $\langle cfg2 = (Config\ pc2\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs2)\ avst2\ h2\ p2)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg2' = (Config\ pc2'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs2')\ avst2'\ h2'\ p2')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc1 = (x ::= a) \rangle$ **and** $\langle pcOf\ cfg1 = pcOf\ cfg2 \rangle$
shows $\langle vs1' = (vs1(x := aval\ a\ (stateOf\ cfg1))) \wedge ibT1 = ibT1' \wedge ibUT1 = ibUT1' \wedge$
 $vs2' = (vs2(x := aval\ a\ (stateOf\ cfg2))) \wedge ibT2 = ibT2' \wedge ibUT2 = ibUT2' \wedge$
 $pc1' = Suc\ pc1 \wedge pc2' = Suc\ pc2 \wedge ls2' = ls2 \cup readLocs\ cfg2 \wedge$
 $avst1' = avst1 \wedge avst2' = avst2 \wedge ls1' = ls1 \cup readLocs\ cfg1 \rangle$
using *assms apply clarsimp*
apply (*drule stepB-AssignE*[of $pc1\ vs1\ avst1\ h1\ p1$
 $pc1'\ vs1'\ avst1'\ h1'\ p1'\ x\ a$], *clarify+*)
apply (*drule stepB-AssignE*[of $pc2\ vs2\ avst2\ h2\ p2$
 $pc2'\ vs2'\ avst2'\ h2'\ p2'\ x\ a$], *clarify+*)
by *auto*

lemma *stepN-Seq-Start-Skip-Fence2E*:

assumes $\langle cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1 \rangle \rightarrow N\ (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1', ls1')$
and $\langle cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2 \rangle \rightarrow N\ (cfg2', ibT2', ibUT2', ls2')$
and $\langle cfg1 = (Config\ pc1\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs1)\ avst1\ h1\ p1)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg1' = (Config\ pc1'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs1')\ avst1'\ h1'\ p1')) \rangle$
and $\langle cfg2 = (Config\ pc2\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs2)\ avst2\ h2\ p2)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg2' = (Config\ pc2'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs2')\ avst2'\ h2'\ p2')) \rangle$
and $\langle prog!pc1 \in \{Start, Skip, Fence\} \rangle$ **and** $\langle pcOf\ cfg1 = pcOf\ cfg2 \rangle$
shows $\langle vs1' = vs1 \wedge vs2' = vs2 \wedge$

```

    pc1' = Suc pc1 ∧ pc2' = Suc pc2 ∧
    avst1' = avst1 ∧ avst2' = avst2 ∧
    ls2' = ls2 ∧ ls1' = ls1
  using assms apply clarsimp
  apply (drule stepB-Seq-Start-Skip-FenceE[of - - - - - pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1
    pc1' vs1' avst1' h1' p1'], clarify+)
  apply (drule stepB-Seq-Start-Skip-FenceE[of - - - - - pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2
    pc2' vs2' avst2' h2' p2'], clarify+)
  by (auto simp add: readLocs-def)

end

end

```

4 Misprediction and Speculative Semantics

This theory formalizes an optimized speculative semantics, which allows for a characterization of the Spectre vulnerability, this work is inspired and based off the speculative semantics introduced by Cheang et al. [1]

```

theory Step-Spec
imports Step-Basic
begin

```

4.1 Misprediction Oracle

The speculative semantics is parameterised by a misprediction oracle. This consists of a predictor state:

```

typedecl predState

```

Along with predicates "mispred" (which decides when a misprediction occurs), "resolve" (which decides for when a speculation is resolved)

Both depend on the predictor state (which evolves via the update function) and the program counters of nested speculation

```

locale Prog-Mispred =
  Prog prog
for prog :: com list
  +
fixes mispred :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ bool
and resolve :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ bool
and update :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ predState
begin

```

4.2 Mispredicting Step

stepM simply goes the other way than stepB at branches

inductive

$stepM :: config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow config \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix**
 $\rightarrow M\ 55$)

where

IfTrue[intro]:

$pc < endPC \Rightarrow prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \Rightarrow$
 $bval\ b\ s \Rightarrow$
 $(Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow M\ (Config\ pc2\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
|

IfFalse[intro]:

$pc < endPC \Rightarrow prog!pc = IfJump\ b\ pc1\ pc2 \Rightarrow$
 $\neg\ bval\ b\ s \Rightarrow$
 $(Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow M\ (Config\ pc1\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

4.2.1 State Transitions

definition $finalM = final\ stepM$

lemma *finalM-iff-aux*:

$pc < endPC \wedge is-IfJump\ (prog!pc)$

\longleftrightarrow

$(\exists\ cfg'. (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow M\ cfg')$

apply (*cases* s) **subgoal for** $vst\ avst\ h\ p$ **apply** *clarsimp*

apply (*cases* $prog!pc$)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepM.cases*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepM.cases*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepM.cases*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepM.cases*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepM.cases*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepM.cases*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepM.cases*)

subgoal by (*auto elim: stepM.cases,meson IfFalse IfTrue*) . .

lemma *finalM-iff*:

$finalM\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ vst\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

\longleftrightarrow

$(pc \geq endPC \vee \neg\ is-IfJump\ (prog!pc))$

using *finalM-iff-aux* **unfolding** *finalM-def final-def*

by (*metis linorder-not-less*)

lemma *finalB-imp-finalM*:

$finalB\ (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \Rightarrow finalM\ (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

apply(*cases* cfg) **subgoal for** $pc\ s$ **apply**(*cases* s)

subgoal for $vst\ avst\ h\ p$ **apply** *clarsimp* **unfolding** *finalB-iff finalM-iff* **by** *auto*

. .

lemma *not-finalM-imp-not-finalB*:

$\neg \text{finalM } (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \implies \neg \text{finalB } (cfg, ibT, ibUT)$
using *finalB-imp-finalM* **by** *blast*

lemma *stepM-determ*:

$cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M \text{ } cfg\text{-}ib' \implies cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M \text{ } cfg\text{-}ib'' \implies cfg\text{-}ib'' = cfg\text{-}ib'$
apply(*induction arbitrary: cfg-ib'' rule: stepM.induct*)
by (*auto elim: stepM.cases*)

definition *nextM* :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist*
where

$\text{nextM } cfg\text{-}ib \equiv \text{SOME } cfg'\text{-}ib'. \text{ } cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M \text{ } cfg'\text{-}ib'$

lemma *nextM-stepM*: $\neg \text{finalM } cfg\text{-}ib \implies cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M \text{ } (\text{nextM } cfg\text{-}ib)$
unfolding *nextM-def* **apply**(*rule someI-ex*)
unfolding *finalM-def final-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *stepM-nextM*: $cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M \text{ } cfg'\text{-}ib' \implies cfg'\text{-}ib' = \text{nextM } cfg\text{-}ib$
unfolding *nextM-def* **apply**(*rule sym*) **apply**(*rule some-equality*)
using *stepM-determ* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-iff-stepM*: $\neg \text{finalM } cfg\text{-}ib \implies \text{nextM } cfg\text{-}ib = cfg'\text{-}ib' \iff cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M \text{ } cfg'\text{-}ib'$
using *nextM-stepM stepM-nextM* **by** *blast*

lemma *stepM-iff-nextM*: $cfg\text{-}ib \rightarrow M \text{ } cfg'\text{-}ib' \iff \neg \text{finalM } cfg\text{-}ib \wedge \text{nextM } cfg\text{-}ib = cfg'\text{-}ib'$
by (*metis finalM-def final-def stepM-nextM*)

lemma *nextM-IfTrue[simp]*:

$pc < \text{endPC} \implies \text{prog!pc} = \text{IfJump } b \text{ } pc1 \text{ } pc2 \implies$
 $\neg \text{bval } b \text{ } s \implies$
 $\text{nextM } (\text{Config } pc \text{ } s, ibT, ibUT) = (\text{Config } pc1 \text{ } s, ibT, ibUT)$
by(*intro stepM-nextM[THEN sym] stepM.intros*)

lemma *nextM-IfFalse[simp]*:

$pc < \text{endPC} \implies \text{prog!pc} = \text{IfJump } b \text{ } pc1 \text{ } pc2 \implies$
 $\text{bval } b \text{ } s \implies$
 $\text{nextM } (\text{Config } pc \text{ } s, ibT, ibUT) = (\text{Config } pc2 \text{ } s, ibT, ibUT)$
by(*intro stepM-nextM[THEN sym] stepM.intros*)

end

4.3 Speculative Semantics

A "speculative" configuration is a quadruple consisting of:

- The predictor's state
- The nonspeculative configuration (at level 0 so to speak)
- The list of speculative configurations (modelling nested speculation, levels 1 to n, from left to right: so the last in this list is at the current speculation level, n)
- The list of inputs in the input buffer

We think of cfgs as a stack of configurations, one for each speculation level in a nested speculative execution. At level 0 (empty list) we have the configuration for normal, non-speculative execution. At each moment, only the top of the configuration stack, "hd cfgs" is active.

type-synonym $configS = predState \times config \times config\ list \times val\ llist \times val\ llist \times loc\ set$

context *Prog-Mispred*
begin

The speculative semantics is more involved than both the normal and basic semantics, so a short description of each rule is provided:

- *Non_spec_normal*: when we are either not mispredicting or not at a branch and there is no current speculation, i.e. normal execution
- *Nonspec_mispred*: when we are mispredicting and at a branch, speculation occurs down the wrong branch, i.e. branch misprediction
- *Spec_normal*: when we are either not mispredicting or not at a branch BUT there is speculation, i.e. standard speculative execution
- *Spec_mispred*: when we are mispredicting and at a branch, AND also speculating... speculation occurs down the wrong branch, and we go to another speculation level i.e. nested speculative execution
- *Spec_Fence*: when there is current speculation and a Fence is hit, all speculation resolves
- *Spec Resolve*: If the resolve predicate is true, resolution occurs for one speculation level. In contrast to Fences, resolve does not necessarily kill all speculation levels, but allows resolution one level at a time

inductive

$stepS :: configS \Rightarrow configS \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow S$ 55)

where

nonspec-normal:

$cfgs = [] \implies$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \neg \text{is-IfJump } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \vee \neg \text{mispred } \text{pstate } [\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}] \implies \\
& \text{pstate}' = \text{pstate} \implies \\
& \neg \text{finalB } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \implies (\text{cfg}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}') = \text{nextB } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \implies \\
& \text{cfgs}' = [] \implies \\
& \text{ls}' = \text{ls} \cup \text{readLocs } \text{cfg} \\
& \implies \\
& (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) \rightarrow_S (\text{pstate}', \text{cfg}', \text{cfgs}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}', \text{ls}') \\
& | \\
& \text{nonspec-mispred:} \\
& \text{cfgs} = [] \implies \\
& \text{is-IfJump } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \implies \text{mispred } \text{pstate } [\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}] \implies \\
& \text{pstate}' = \text{update } \text{pstate } [\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}] \implies \\
& \neg \text{finalM } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \implies (\text{cfg}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}') = \text{nextB } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \implies \\
& (\text{cfg1}', \text{ibT1}', \text{ibUT1}') = \text{nextM } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \implies \\
& \text{cfgs}' = [\text{cfg1}'] \implies \\
& \text{ls}' = \text{ls} \cup \text{readLocs } \text{cfg} \\
& \implies \\
& (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) \rightarrow_S (\text{pstate}', \text{cfg}', \text{cfgs}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}', \text{ls}') \\
& | \\
& \text{spec-normal:} \\
& \text{cfgs} \neq [] \implies \\
& \neg \text{resolve } \text{pstate } (\text{pcOf } \text{cfg} \# \text{map } \text{pcOf } \text{cfgs}) \implies \\
& \neg \text{is-IfJump } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}))) \vee \neg \text{mispred } \text{pstate } (\text{pcOf } \text{cfg} \# \text{map } \text{pcOf } \\
& \text{cfgs}) \implies \\
& \text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfgs})) \neq \text{Fence} \implies \\
& \text{pstate}' = \text{pstate} \implies \\
& \neg \text{is-getInput } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}))) \implies \\
& \neg \text{is-Output } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}))) \implies \\
& \neg \text{finalB } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \implies (\text{cfg1}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}') = \text{nextB } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \\
& \text{ibUT}) \implies \\
& \text{cfg}' = \text{cfg} \implies \text{cfgs}' = \text{butlast } \text{cfgs} @ [\text{cfg1}'] \implies \\
& \text{ls}' = \text{ls} \cup \text{readLocs } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}) \\
& \implies \\
& (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) \rightarrow_S (\text{pstate}', \text{cfg}', \text{cfgs}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}', \text{ls}') \\
& | \\
& \text{spec-mispred:} \\
& \text{cfgs} \neq [] \implies \\
& \neg \text{resolve } \text{pstate } (\text{pcOf } \text{cfg} \# \text{map } \text{pcOf } \text{cfgs}) \implies \\
& \text{is-IfJump } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}))) \implies \text{mispred } \text{pstate } (\text{pcOf } \text{cfg} \# \text{map } \text{pcOf } \text{cfgs}) \\
& \implies \\
& \text{pstate}' = \text{update } \text{pstate } (\text{pcOf } \text{cfg} \# \text{map } \text{pcOf } \text{cfgs}) \implies \\
& \neg \text{finalM } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \implies \\
& (\text{lcfg}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}') = \text{nextB } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \implies (\text{cfg1}', \text{ibT1}', \text{ibUT1}') = \\
& \text{nextM } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \implies \\
& \text{cfg}' = \text{cfg} \implies \text{cfgs}' = \text{butlast } \text{cfgs} @ [\text{lcfg}'] @ [\text{cfg1}'] \implies \\
& \text{ls}' = \text{ls} \cup \text{readLocs } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}) \\
& \implies \\
& (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) \rightarrow_S (\text{pstate}', \text{cfg}', \text{cfgs}', \text{ibT}', \text{ibUT}', \text{ls}') \\
& |
\end{aligned}$$

spec-Fence:
 $cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $\neg \text{resolve } pstate \text{ (} pcOf \text{ } cfg \text{ \# map } pcOf \text{ } cfgs) \implies$
 $prog!(pcOf \text{ (last } cfgs)) = Fence \implies$
 $pstate' = pstate \implies cfg' = cfg \implies cfgs' = [] \implies$
 $ibT = ibT' \implies ibUT = ibUT' \implies ls' = ls$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 $|$
spec-resolve:
 $cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $\text{resolve } pstate \text{ (} pcOf \text{ } cfg \text{ \# map } pcOf \text{ } cfgs) \implies$
 $pstate' = \text{update } pstate \text{ (} pcOf \text{ } cfg \text{ \# map } pcOf \text{ } cfgs) \implies$
 $cfg' = cfg \implies cfgs' = \text{butlast } cfgs \implies$
 $ibT = ibT' \implies ibUT = ibUT' \implies ls' = ls$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$

lemmas *stepS-induct* = *stepS.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*)]

4.3.1 State Transitions

lemma *stepS-nonspec-normal-iff*[*simp*]:
 $cfgs = [] \implies \neg \text{is-IfJump (prog!(} pcOf \text{ } cfg)) \vee \neg \text{mispred } pstate \text{ [} pcOf \text{ } cfg]$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \iff
 $(pstate' = pstate \wedge \neg \text{finalB (} cfg, ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $(cfg', ibT', ibUT') = \text{nextB (} cfg, ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $cfgs' = [] \wedge ls' = ls \cup \text{readLocs } cfg)$
apply(*subst stepS.simps*) **by** *auto*

lemma *stepS-nonspec-normal-iff1*[*simp*]:
 $cfgs = [] \implies \neg \text{is-IfJump (prog!} pc) \vee \neg \text{mispred } pstate \text{ [} pc]$
 \implies
 $(pstate, (\text{Config } pc \text{ (State (Vstore } vs) \text{ avst } h \text{ } p)), cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate',$
 $(\text{Config } pc' \text{ (State (Vstore } vs') \text{ avst' } h' \text{ } p')), cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \iff
 $(pstate' = pstate \wedge \neg \text{finalB ((} \text{Config } pc \text{ (State (Vstore } vs) \text{ avst } h \text{ } p)), ibT, ibUT)$
 \wedge
 $((\text{Config } pc' \text{ (State (Vstore } vs') \text{ avst' } h' \text{ } p')), ibT', ibUT') = \text{nextB ((} \text{Config } pc$
 $(\text{State (Vstore } vs) \text{ avst } h \text{ } p)), ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $cfgs' = [] \wedge ls' = ls \cup \text{readLocs (} \text{Config } pc \text{ (State (Vstore } vs) \text{ avst } h \text{ } p))$
using *stepS-nonspec-normal-iff config.sel(1)* **by** *presburger*

lemma *stepS-nonspec-mispred-iff*[*simp*]:
 $cfgs = [] \implies \text{is-IfJump (prog!(} pcOf \text{ } cfg)) \implies \text{mispred } pstate \text{ [} pcOf \text{ } cfg]$
 \implies

$(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\exists cfg1' \ ibT1' \ ibUT1'. pstate' = update \ pstate \ [pcOf \ cfg] \wedge$
 $\neg finalM \ (cfg, \ ibT, \ ibUT) \wedge (cfg', \ ibT', \ ibUT') = nextB \ (cfg, \ ibT, \ ibUT) \wedge$
 $(cfg1', \ ibT1', \ ibUT1') = nextM \ (cfg, \ ibT, \ ibUT) \wedge$
 $cfgs' = [cfg1'] \wedge ls' = ls \cup readLocs \ cfg)$
apply(subst stepS.simps) **by auto**

lemma stepS-spec-normal-iff[simp]:

$cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $\neg resolve \ pstate \ (pcOf \ cfg \ \# \ map \ pcOf \ cfgs) \implies$
 $\neg isIfJump \ (prog!(pcOf \ (last \ cfgs))) \vee \neg mispred \ pstate \ (pcOf \ cfg \ \# \ map \ pcOf$
 $cfgs) \implies$
 $prog!(pcOf \ (last \ cfgs)) \neq Fence$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\exists cfg1'. pstate' = pstate \wedge$
 $\neg is-getInput \ (prog!(pcOf \ (last \ cfgs))) \wedge$
 $\neg is-getInput \ (prog!(pcOf \ (last \ cfgs))) \wedge \neg is-Output \ (prog!(pcOf \ (last \ cfgs)))$
 \wedge
 $\neg finalB \ (last \ cfgs, \ ibT, \ ibUT) \wedge (cfg1', \ ibT', \ ibUT') = nextB \ (last \ cfgs, \ ibT,$
 $ibUT) \wedge$
 $cfg' = cfg \wedge cfgs' = butlast \ cfgs \ @ \ [cfg1'] \wedge ls' = ls \cup readLocs \ (last \ cfgs))$
apply(subst stepS.simps) **by auto**

lemma stepS-spec-mispred-iff[simp]:

$cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $\neg resolve \ pstate \ (pcOf \ cfg \ \# \ map \ pcOf \ cfgs) \implies$
 $isIfJump \ (prog!(pcOf \ (last \ cfgs))) \implies mispred \ pstate \ (pcOf \ cfg \ \# \ map \ pcOf \ cfgs)$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\exists cfg1' \ ibT1' \ ibUT1' \ lcfg'. pstate' = update \ pstate \ (pcOf \ cfg \ \# \ map \ pcOf \ cfgs) \wedge$
 $\neg finalM \ (last \ cfgs, \ ibT, \ ibUT) \wedge$
 $(lcfg', \ ibT', \ ibUT') = nextB \ (last \ cfgs, \ ibT, \ ibUT) \wedge$
 $(cfg1', \ ibT1', \ ibUT1') = nextM \ (last \ cfgs, \ ibT, \ ibUT) \wedge$
 $cfg' = cfg \wedge cfgs' = butlast \ cfgs \ @ \ [lcfg'] \ @ \ [cfg1'] \wedge ls' = ls \cup readLocs \ (last$
 $cfgs))$
apply(subst stepS.simps) **by auto**

lemma stepS-spec-Fence-iff[simp]:

$cfgs \neq [] \implies$
 $\neg resolve \ pstate \ (pcOf \ cfg \ \# \ map \ pcOf \ cfgs) \implies$
 $prog!(pcOf \ (last \ cfgs)) = Fence$
 \implies
 $(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfgs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$
 \longleftrightarrow

$(pstate' = pstate \wedge cfg = cfg' \wedge cfs' = [] \wedge ibT' = ibT \wedge ibUT' = ibUT \wedge ls' = ls)$

apply(subst stepS.simps) **by auto**

lemma stepS-spec-resolve-iff[simp]:

$cfs \neq [] \implies$

$resolve\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfs)$

\implies

$(pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$

\iff

$(pstate' = update\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfs) \wedge$

$cfg' = cfg \wedge cfs' = butlast\ cfs \wedge ibT' = ibT \wedge ibUT' = ibUT \wedge ls' = ls)$

apply(subst stepS.simps) **by auto**

lemma stepS-cases[cases pred: stepS,

consumes 1,

case-names nonspec-normal nonspec-mispred

spec-normal spec-mispred spec-Fence spec-resolve]:

assumes $(pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow_S (pstate', cfg', cfs', ibT', ibUT', ls')$

obtains

$cfs = []$

$\neg is\text{-IfJump}\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg)) \vee \neg mispred\ pstate\ [pcOf\ cfg]$

$pstate' = pstate$

$\neg finalB\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT)$

$(cfg', ibT', ibUT') = nextB\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT)$

$cfs' = []$

$ls' = ls \cup readLocs\ cfg$

|

$cfs = []$

$is\text{-IfJump}\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))\ mispred\ pstate\ [pcOf\ cfg]$

$pstate' = update\ pstate\ [pcOf\ cfg]$

$\neg finalM\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT)$

$(cfg', ibT', ibUT') = nextB\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT)$

$\exists\ cfg1'\ ibT1'\ ibUT1'.\ (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1') = nextM\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT)$

$\wedge\ cfs' = [cfg1']$

$ls' = ls \cup readLocs\ cfg$

|

$cfs \neq []$

$\neg resolve\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfs)$

$\neg is\text{-IfJump}\ (prog!(pcOf\ (last\ cfs))) \vee \neg mispred\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfs)$

$prog!(pcOf\ (last\ cfs)) \neq Fence$

$pstate' = pstate$

$\neg is_getInput (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs)))$
 $\neg is_Output (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs)))$
 $cfg' = cfg$
 $ls' = ls \cup readLocs (last\ cfgs)$
 $\exists cfg1'. nextB (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) = (cfg1', ibT', ibUT')$
 $\wedge cfgs' = butlast\ cfgs @ [cfg1']$

$cfgs \neq []$
 $\neg resolve\ pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$
 $is_IfJump (prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs)))\ mispred\ pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$
 $pstate' = update\ pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$
 $\neg finalM (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT)$
 $cfg' = cfg$
 $\exists lcfg'\ cfg1'\ ibT1'\ ibUT1'.$
 $nextB (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) = (lcfg', ibT', ibUT') \wedge$
 $(cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1') = nextM (last\ cfgs, ibT, ibUT) \wedge$
 $cfgs' = butlast\ cfgs @ [lcfg'] @ [cfg1']$
 $ls' = ls \cup readLocs (last\ cfgs)$

$cfgs \neq []$
 $\neg resolve\ pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$
 $prog!(pcOf (last\ cfgs)) = Fence$
 $pstate' = pstate$
 $cfg' = cfg$
 $cfgs' = []$
 $ibT' = ibT$
 $ibUT' = ibUT$
 $ls' = ls$

$cfgs \neq []$
 $resolve\ pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$
 $pstate' = update\ pstate (pcOf\ cfg \# map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$
 $cfg' = cfg$
 $cfgs' = butlast\ cfgs$
 $ls' = ls$
 $ibT' = ibT$
 $ibUT' = ibUT$
using *assms* **by** (*cases rule: stepS.cases, metis+*)

lemma *stepS-endPC*: $pcOf\ cfg = endPC \implies \neg (pstate, cfg, [], ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow S\ ss'$

apply (*cases ss'*)
apply safe **apply** (*cases rule: stepS-cases, auto*)
using *finalB-endPC* **apply** *blast*
using *finalB-endPC* **apply** *blast*
using *finalB-endPC finalB-imp-finalM* **by** *blast*

abbreviation

$stepsS :: configS \Rightarrow configS \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\rightarrow S^*$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow S^* y \equiv star\ stepS\ x\ y$

definition $finalS = final\ stepS$

lemmas $finalS-defs = final-def\ finalS-def$

lemma $stepS-0: (pstate, Config\ 0\ s, [], ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow S (pstate, Config\ 1\ s, [], ibT, ibUT, ls)$

using $prog-0\ apply-apply(rule\ nonspec-normal)$

using $One-nat-def\ stepB-0\ stepB-nextB$

by ($auto\ simp: readLocs-def\ finalB-def\ final-def, meson$)

lemma $stepS-imp-stepB: (pstate, cfg, [], ibT, ibUT, ls) \rightarrow S (pstate', cfg', cfs', ibT', ibUT', ls') \implies (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B (cfg', ibT', ibUT')$

subgoal premises s

using $s\ apply$ ($cases\ rule: stepS-cases$)

by ($metis\ finalB-imp-finalM\ stepB-iff-nextB$) $+$.

4.3.2 Elimination Rules

lemma $stepS-Assign2E:$

assumes $\langle (ps3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3) \rightarrow S (ps3', cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3') \rangle$

and $\langle (ps4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4) \rightarrow S (ps4', cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4') \rangle$

and $\langle cfg3 = (Config\ pc3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg3' = (Config\ pc3'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3')\ avst3'\ h3'\ p3')) \rangle$

and $\langle cfg4 = (Config\ pc4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4)) \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfg4' = (Config\ pc4'\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4')\ avst4'\ h4'\ p4')) \rangle$

and $\langle cfs3 = [] \rangle$ **and** $\langle cfs4 = [] \rangle$

and $\langle prog!pc3 = (x ::= a) \rangle$ **and** $\langle pcOf\ cfg3 = pcOf\ cfg4 \rangle$

shows $\langle cfs3' = [] \wedge cfs4' = [] \wedge$

$vs3' = (vs3(x := aval\ a\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$

$vs4' = (vs4(x := aval\ a\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$

$pc3' = Suc\ pc3 \wedge pc4' = Suc\ pc4 \wedge ls4' = ls4 \cup readLocs\ cfg4 \wedge$

$avst3' = avst3 \wedge avst4' = avst4 \wedge ls3' = ls3 \cup readLocs\ cfg3 \wedge$

$p3 = p3' \wedge p4 = p4' \rangle$

using $assms\ apply\ clarify$

apply-apply($frule\ stepS-imp-stepB[of\ ps3]$)

apply($frule\ stepS-imp-stepB[of\ ps4]$)

apply ($drule\ stepB-AssignE[of\ -\ -\ -\ -\ pc3\ vs3\ avst3\ h3\ p3$
 $pc3'\ vs3'\ avst3'\ h3'\ p3'\ x\ a],\ clarify+$)

apply ($drule\ stepB-AssignE[of\ -\ -\ -\ -\ pc4\ vs4\ avst4\ h4\ p4$
 $pc4'\ vs4'\ avst4'\ h4'\ p4'],\ clarify+$)

by $fastforce+$

end

end

5 Relative Security instantiation - Common Aspects

This theory sets up a generic instantiation infrastructure for all our running examples. For a detailed explanation of each example and its (dis)proof of Relative Security see the work by Dongol et al. [2]

```
theory Instance-Common
imports ../IMP/Step-Normal ../IMP/Step-Spec
begin
```

```
no-notation bot ( $\perp$ )
```

```
abbreviation noninform ( $\perp$ ) where  $\perp \equiv \text{undefined}$ 
```

```
declare split-paired-All[simp del]
declare split-paired-Ex[simp del]
```

```
definition noMisSpec where noMisSpec (cfgs::config list)  $\equiv$  (cfgs = [])
lemma noMisSpec-ext[simp]:map x cfgs = map x cfgs'  $\implies$  noMisSpec cfgs  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
noMisSpec cfgs'
by (auto simp: noMisSpec-def)
```

```
definition misSpecL1 where misSpecL1 (cfgs::config list)  $\equiv$  (length cfgs = Suc 0)
lemma misSpecL1-len[simp]:misSpecL1 cfgs  $\longleftrightarrow$  length cfgs = 1 by (simp add:
misSpecL1-def)
```

```
definition misSpecL2 where misSpecL2 (cfgs::config list)  $\equiv$  (length cfgs = 2)
```

```
fun tuple::'a  $\times$  'b  $\times$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\times$  'b
where tuple (a,b,c) = (a,b)
```

```
fun tuple-sel::'a × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e ⇒ 'b × 'd
  where tuple-sel (a,b,c,d,e) = (b,d)
```

```
fun cfgsOf::'a × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e ⇒ 'c
  where cfgsOf (a,b,c,d,e) = c
```

```
fun pstateOf::'a × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e ⇒ 'a
  where pstateOf (a,b,c,d,e) = a
```

```
fun stateOfs::'a × 'b × 'c × 'd × 'e ⇒ 'b
  where stateOfs (a,b,c,d,e) = b
```

```
context Prog-Mispred
begin
```

The "vanilla-semantics" transitions are the normal executions (featuring no speculation):

Vanilla-semantics system model: given by the normal semantics

```
type-synonym stateV = config × val llist × val llist × loc set
fun validTransV where validTransV (cfg-ib-ls, cfg-ib-ls') = cfg-ib-ls →N cfg-ib-ls'
```

Vanilla-semantics observation infrastructure (part of the vanilla-semantics state-wise attacker model):

The attacker observes the output value, the program counter history and the set of accessed locations so far:

```
type-synonym obsV = val × loc set
```

The attacker-action is just a value (used as input to the function):

```
type-synonym actV = val
```

The attacker's interaction

```
fun isIntV :: stateV ⇒ bool where
isIntV ss = (¬ finalN ss)
```

The attacker interacts with the system by passing input to the function and reading the outputs (standard channel) and the accessed locations (side channel)

```
fun getIntV :: stateV ⇒ actV × obsV where
getIntV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
  (case prog!(pcOf cfg) of
    |Input T - ⇒ (lhd ibT, ⊥)
    |Input U - ⇒ (lhd ibUT, ⊥)
    |Output U - ⇒ (⊥, (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls))
    |- ⇒ (⊥, ⊥))
```

)

lemma *validTransV-iff-nextN*: $\text{validTransV } (s1, s2) = (\neg \text{finalN } s1 \wedge \text{nextN } s1 = s2)$
by (*simp add: stepN-iff-nextN*)⁺

The optimization-enhanced semantics system model: given by the speculative semantics

type-synonym *stateO* = *configS*
fun *validTransO* **where** *validTransO* (*cfgS*, *cfgS'*) = *cfgS* \rightarrow_S *cfgS'*

Optimization-enhanced semantics observation infrastructure (part of the optimization-enhanced semantics state-wise attacker model): similar to that of the vanilla semantics, in that the standard-channel inputs and outputs are those produced by the normal execution. However, the side-channel outputs (the sets of read locations) are also collected.

type-synonym *obsO* = *val* \times *loc set*
type-synonym *actO* = *val*
fun *isIntO* :: *stateO* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
isIntO *ss* = (\neg *finalS* *ss*)
fun *getIntO* :: *stateO* \Rightarrow *actO* \times *obsO* **where**
getIntO (*pstate*, *cfg*, *cfgs*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) =
 (case (*cfgs*, *prog!*(*pcOf* *cfg*)) of
 |([], *Input* *T* -) \Rightarrow (*lhd* *ibT*, \perp)
 |([], *Input* *U* -) \Rightarrow (*lhd* *ibUT*, \perp)
 |([], *Output* *U* -) \Rightarrow
 (\perp , (*outOf* (*prog!*(*pcOf* *cfg*)) (*stateOf* *cfg*), *ls*)
 |- \Rightarrow (\perp , \perp)
)
)

end

locale *Prog-Mispred-Init* =
Prog-Mispred prog mispred resolve update
for *prog* :: *com list*
and *mispred* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *resolve* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *update* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *predState*
 +
fixes *initPstate* :: *predState*
and *istate* :: *state* \Rightarrow *bool*
begin

fun *istateV* :: *stateV* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
istateV (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) \longleftrightarrow
pcOf *cfg* = 0 \wedge *istate* (*stateOf* *cfg*) \wedge
length *ibT* = ∞ \wedge *length* *ibUT* = ∞ \wedge

$ls = \{\}$

fun $istateO :: stateO \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $istateO (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \longleftrightarrow$
 $pstate = initPstate \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg = 0 \wedge ls = \{\} \wedge$
 $istate (stateOf\ cfg) \wedge$
 $cfs = [] \wedge llength\ ibT = \infty \wedge llength\ ibUT = \infty$

lemma $istateV$ -config-imp:
 $istateV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) \Longrightarrow pcOf\ cfg = 0 \wedge ls = \{\} \wedge ibT \neq LNil$
by *force*

lemma $istateO$ -config-imp:
 $istateO (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \Longrightarrow$
 $cfs = [] \wedge pcOf\ cfg = 0 \wedge ls = \{\} \wedge ibT \neq LNil$
unfolding $istateO$.*simps*
by *auto*

definition $same-var-all\ x\ cfg1\ cfg2\ cfg3\ cfs3\ cfg4\ cfs4 \equiv$
 $vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg1))\ x = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg4))\ x \wedge$
 $vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg2))\ x = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg4))\ x \wedge$
 $vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg3))\ x = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg4))\ x \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfs3. vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg3'))\ x = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg3))\ x) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfs4. vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg4'))\ x = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg4))\ x)$

definition $same-var\ x\ cfg\ cfg' \equiv$
 $vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg))\ x = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg'))\ x$

definition $same-var-val\ x\ (val::int)\ cfg\ cfg' \equiv$
 $vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg))\ x = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg'))\ x \wedge$
 $vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg))\ x = val$

definition $same-var-o\ ii\ cfg3\ cfs3\ cfg4\ cfs4 \equiv$
 $vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg3))\ ii = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg4))\ ii \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfs3. vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg3'))\ ii = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg3))\ ii) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfs4. vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg4'))\ ii = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg4))\ ii)$

cfg4) *ii*)

lemma *set-var-shrink*: $\forall \text{cfg3}' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs}$.
 $\text{vstore } (\text{getVstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg3}')) \text{ var} =$
 $\text{vstore } (\text{getVstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg})) \text{ var}$
 \implies
 $\forall \text{cfg3}' \in \text{set } (\text{butlast } \text{cfgs})$.
 $\text{vstore } (\text{getVstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg3}')) \text{ var} =$
 $\text{vstore } (\text{getVstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg})) \text{ var}$
by (*meson in-set-butlastD*)

lemma *heapSimp*: $(\forall \text{cfg}'' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs}''$. $\text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}') = \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}'')$) $\wedge \text{cfgs}'' \neq []$
 $\implies \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}') = \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } (\text{last } \text{cfgs}''))$
by *simp*

lemma *heapSimp2*: $(\forall \text{cfg}'' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs}''$. $\text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}') = \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}'')$) $\wedge \text{cfgs}'' \neq []$
 $\implies \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}') = \text{getHheap } (\text{stateOf } (\text{hd } \text{cfgs}''))$
by *simp*

lemma *array-baseSimp*: $\text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg})) =$
 $\text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}')) \wedge$
 $(\forall \text{cfg}' \in \text{set } \text{cfgs}$. $\text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}')) =$
 $\text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg})))$
 $\wedge \text{cfgs} \neq []$
 \implies
 $\text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg})) =$
 $\text{array-base } \text{aa1 } (\text{getAvstore } (\text{stateOf } (\text{last } \text{cfgs})))$
by *simp*

lemma *finalB-imp-finalS*: $\text{finalB } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}) \implies (\forall \text{pstate } \text{cfgs } \text{ls}$. $\text{finalS } (\text{pstate}$,
 $\text{cfg}, [], \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls})$)
unfolding *finalB-def finalS-def final-def* **apply** *clarsimp*
subgoal for $\text{pstate } \text{ls } \text{pstate}' \text{cfg}' \text{cfgs}' \text{ibT}' \text{ibUT}' \text{ls}'$
apply(*erule allE[of - (cfg', ibT', ibUT')]*)
subgoal premises *step*
using *step(1)* **apply** (*cases rule: stepS-cases*)
using *finalB-imp-finalM step(2) nextB-stepB* **by** (*simp-all, blast*) . .

lemma *cfgs-Suc-zero[*simp*]*: $\text{length } \text{cfgs} = \text{Suc } 0 \implies \text{cfgs} = [\text{last } \text{cfgs}]$
by (*metis Suc-length-conv last-ConsL length-0-conv*)

lemma *cfgs-map[*simp*]*: $\text{length } \text{cfgs} = \text{Suc } 0 \implies \text{map } \text{pcOf } \text{cfgs} = [\text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfgs})]$
apply(*frule cfgs-Suc-zero[of cfgs]*)


```

apply(rule ssubst[of map pcOf cfgs map pcOf [last cfgs]])
by (presburger,metis list.simps(8,9))

```

end

end

6 Relative Security Instance: Secret Memory

This theory sets up an instance of Relative Security with the secrets as the initial memories

```

theory Instance-Secret-IMem
imports Instance-Common Relative-Security.Relative-Security
begin

```

```

no-notation bot ( $\perp$ )
type-synonym secret = state

```

```

context Prog-Mispred
begin

```

```

fun corrState :: stateV  $\Rightarrow$  stateO  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
corrState cfgO cfgA = True

```

Since all our programs will have "Start" followed by the rest, with the rest not containing "Start". The secret will be "uploaded" at this Start moment.

```

definition isSecV :: stateV  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
isSecV ss  $\equiv$  case ss of (cfg,ibT,ibUT)  $\Rightarrow$  (pcOf cfg = 0)

```

We consider the entire initial state as a secret:

```

fun getSecV :: stateV  $\Rightarrow$  secret where
getSecV (cfg,ibT,ibUT) = stateOf cfg

```

The secrecy infrastructure is similar to that of the "original" semantics:

```

definition isSecO :: stateO  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
isSecO ss  $\equiv$  case ss of (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls)  $\Rightarrow$  (pcOf cfg = 0  $\wedge$  cfgs = [])
fun getSecO :: stateO  $\Rightarrow$  secret where
getSecO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) = stateOf cfg
lemma isSecV-iff:isSecV ss  $\longleftrightarrow$  pcOf (fst ss) = 0
unfolding isSecV-def
by (simp add: case-prod-beta)

```

```

lemma validTransO-iff-nextS: validTransO (s1, s2) = ( $\neg$  finalS s1  $\wedge$  (stepS s1 s2))
unfolding finalS-def final-def
by simp (metis old.prod.exhaust)

```

end

sublocale *Prog-Mispred-Init* < *Rel-Sec* **where**
 validTransV = *validTransV* **and** *istateV* = *istateV*
 and *finalV* = *finalN*
 and *isSecV* = *isSecV* **and** *getSecV* = *getSecV*
 and *isIntV* = *isIntV* **and** *getIntV* = *getIntV*

 and *validTransO* = *validTransO* **and** *istateO* = *istateO*
 and *finalO* = *finalS*
 and *isSecO* = *isSecO* **and** *getSecO* = *getSecO*
 and *isIntO* = *isIntO* **and** *getIntO* = *getIntO*
 and *corrState* = *corrState*
 apply *standard*
 subgoal **by** (*simp* *add*: *finalN-defs*)
 subgoal **for** *s* **by** (*cases* *s*, *simp*)
 subgoal **for** *s* **apply**(*cases* *s*) **subgoal** **for** *cfg* *ibT* *ibUT* *ls* **apply**(*cases* *cfg*)
subgoal **for** *n* *st*
 unfolding *isSecV-def*
 using *stepB-0*[*of* *st* *ibT* *ibUT*] *stepB-iff-nextB* **by** *fastforce* . .
 subgoal **by** (*simp* *add*: *finalS-defs*)
 subgoal **by** (*simp* *add*: *finalS-defs*)
 subgoal **for** *ss* **apply**(*cases* *ss*) **subgoal** **for** *ps* *cfg* *cfgs* *ibT* *ibUT* *ls* **apply**(*cases*
cfg) **subgoal** **for** *n* *st*
 unfolding *isSecO-def* *finalS-def* *final-def*
 using *stepS-0*[*of* *ps* *st* *ibT* *ibUT* *ls*] **by** *auto* . . .

context *Prog-Mispred-Init*

begin

lemmas *reachV-induct* = *Van.reach.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*)]

lemmas *reachO-induct* = *Opt.reach.induct*[*split-format*(*complete*)]

lemma *is-getTrustedInput-getActV*[*simp*]:

(*prog*!(*pcOf* *cfg*)) = *Input* *T* *s* \implies *getActV* (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) = *lhd* *ibT*

by (*cases* *prog*!(*pcOf* *cfg*), *auto* *simp*: *Van.getAct-def*)

lemma *not-is-getTrustedInput-getActV*[*simp*]:

\neg *is-getInput* (*prog*!(*pcOf* *cfg*)) \implies *getActV* (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) = *noninform*

apply (*cases* *prog*!(*pcOf* *cfg*), *auto* *simp*: *Van.getAct-def*)

subgoal **for** *x* **by** (*cases* *x*, *simp-all*) .

lemma *is-Output-getObsV*[*simp*]:

$(\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) = \text{Output } U \text{ out} \implies \text{getObsV } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) =$
 $(\text{outOf } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}), \text{ls})$
by (cases $\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})$, auto simp: *Van.getObs-def*)

lemma *not-is-Output-getObsV[simp]*:
 $\neg \text{is-Output } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \implies \text{getObsV } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \perp$
apply (cases $\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})$, auto simp: *Van.getObs-def*)
subgoal for x **by** (cases x , *simp-all*) .

lemma *is-getTrustedInput-Nil-getActO[simp]*:
 $(\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) = \text{Input } T \text{ s} \implies \text{getActO } (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, [], \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \text{lhs } \text{ibT}$
by (cases $\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})$, auto simp: *Opt.getAct-def*)

lemma *not-is-getTrustedInput-Nil-getActO[simp]*:
 $\neg \text{is-getInput } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}))$
 $\vee \text{cfgs} \neq [] \implies \text{getActO } (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \perp$
apply (cases cfgs , auto)
apply (cases $\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})$, auto simp: *Opt.getAct-def*)
subgoal for x **by** (cases x , *simp-all*) .

lemma *is-Output-Nil-getObsO[simp]*:
 $\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}) = \text{Output } U \text{ s} \implies$
 $\text{getObsO } (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, [], \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = (\text{outOf } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}), \text{ls})$
by (cases $\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})$, auto simp: *Opt.getObs-def*)

lemma *not-is-Output-Nil-getObsO[simp]*:
 $\neg \text{is-Output } (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \vee \text{cfgs} \neq [] \implies \text{getObsO } (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls})$
 $= \perp$
apply (cases cfgs , auto)
apply (cases $\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})$, auto simp: *Opt.getObs-def*)
subgoal for x **by** (cases x , *simp-all*) .

lemma *getActV-simps*:
 $\text{getActV } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) =$
 $(\text{case } \text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}) \text{ of}$
 $\quad \text{Input } T \text{ -} \Rightarrow \text{lhs } \text{ibT}$
 $\quad | \text{Input } U \text{ -} \Rightarrow \text{lhs } \text{ibUT}$
 $\quad | \text{-} \Rightarrow \perp$
 $)$
unfolding *Van.getAct-def*
apply (*simp split: com.splits, safe*)
subgoal for t **by**(cases t , *simp-all*)
subgoal for t **by**(cases t , *simp-all*) .

lemma *getObsV-simps*:
 $\text{getObsV } (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) =$
 $(\text{case } \text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}) \text{ of}$

```

    Output U -  $\Rightarrow$  (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
  |-  $\Rightarrow \perp$ 
)
unfolding Van.getObs-def
apply (simp split: com.splits, safe)
subgoal for t by(cases t, simp-all)
subgoal for t by(cases t, simp-all) .

lemma getActO-simps:
getActO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
  (case (cfgs,prog!(pcOf cfg)) of
    ([],Input T -)  $\Rightarrow$  lhd ibT
  | ([],Input U -)  $\Rightarrow$  lhd ibUT
  |-  $\Rightarrow \perp$ 
)
apply (simp split: com.splits list.splits, safe)
unfolding Opt.getAct-def
subgoal for t by(cases t, simp-all) .

lemma getObsO-simps:
getObsO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
  (case (cfgs,prog!(pcOf cfg)) of
    ([],Output U -)  $\Rightarrow$  (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
  |-  $\Rightarrow \perp$ 
)
unfolding Opt.getObs-def
apply (simp split: com.splits list.splits, safe)
subgoal for t by(cases t, simp-all)
subgoal for t by(cases t, simp-all) .

```

end

end

7 Relative Security Instance: Secret Memory Input

This theory sets up an instance of Relative Security used to prove an Security of a potentially infinite program

```

theory Instance-Secret-IMem-Inp
  imports Instance-Common Relative-Security.Relative-Security
begin

```

Using the following notation to denote an undefined element

```

no-notation bot ( $\surd\perp$ )

```

definition $ffile :: vname$ **where** $ffile = "ffile"$

definition $xx :: vname$ **where** $xx = "x"$

definition $yy :: vname$ **where** $yy = "yy"$

type-synonym $secret = state \times val \times val$

abbreviation $writeSecretOnFile$ **where** $writeSecretOnFile \equiv (Output\ T\ (Fun\ (V\ xx)\ (V\ yy)))$

lemma $writeOnFile-not-Jump[simp]: \neg is-IfJump\ writeSecretOnFile$ **by** $(simp\ add:)$

lemma $writeOnFile-not-Inp[simp]: \neg is-getInput\ writeSecretOnFile$ **by** $(simp\ add:)$

lemma $writeOnFile-not-Fence[simp]: writeSecretOnFile \neq Fence$ **by** $(simp\ add:)$

definition $ffileVal$ **where** $ffileVal\ cfg = vstoreOf(cfg)\ ffile$

lemma $ffileVal-vstore[simp]: ffileVal\ cfg = vstoreOf(cfg)\ ffile$ **by** $(simp\ add: ffile-Val-def)$

context $Prog-Mispred$

begin

The following functions and definitions make up the required components of the Relative Security locale

fun $corrState :: stateV \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$corrState\ cfgO\ cfgA = True$

definition $isSecV :: stateV \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$isSecV\ ss \equiv case\ ss\ of\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) \Rightarrow \neg finalN\ ss$

fun $getSecV :: stateV \Rightarrow secret$ **where**

$getSecV\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$

$(case\ prog!(pcOf\ cfg)\ of$

$Start \Rightarrow (stateOf\ cfg, \perp, \perp)$

$| Input\ T\ - \Rightarrow (\perp, lhd\ ibT, \perp)$

$| Output\ T\ - \Rightarrow (\perp, \perp, outOf\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))\ (stateOf\ cfg))$

$| - \Rightarrow (\perp, \perp, \perp)$

lemma $isSecV-iff: isSecV\ ss \longleftrightarrow \neg finalN\ ss$

unfolding $isSecV-def$

by $(simp\ add: case-prod-beta)$

definition $isSecO :: stateO \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$isSecO\ ss \equiv case\ ss\ of\ (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \Rightarrow \neg finalS\ ss \wedge cfs = []$

fun $getSecO :: stateO \Rightarrow secret$ **where**

$getSecO\ (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$

$(case\ prog!(pcOf\ cfg)\ of$

```

    Start  $\Rightarrow$  (stateOf cfg,  $\perp$ ,  $\perp$ )
  | Input T -  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\perp$ , lhd ibT,  $\perp$ )
  | Output T -  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\perp$ ,  $\perp$ , outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg))
  | -  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\perp$ ,  $\perp$ ,  $\perp$ )
end

```

```

sublocale Prog-Mispred-Init < Rel-Sec where
  validTransV = validTransV and istateV = istateV
  and finalV = finalN
  and isSecV = isSecV and getSecV = getSecV
  and isIntV = isIntV and getIntV = getIntV

  and validTransO = validTransO and istateO = istateO
  and finalO = finalS
  and isSecO = isSecO and getSecO = getSecO
  and isIntO = isIntO and getIntO = getIntO
  and corrState = corrState
  apply standard
  subgoal by (simp add: finalN-defs)
  subgoal for s by (cases s, simp)
  subgoal by (simp add: isSecV-def)
  subgoal by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  subgoal by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  subgoal for ss apply(cases ss) subgoal for ps cfg cfs ib ls apply(cases cfg)
subgoal for n s
  unfolding isSecO-def finalS-def final-def
  using stepS-0[of ps s ib ls] by auto . . .

```

```

context Prog-Mispred-Init
begin

```

```

lemmas reachV-induct = Van.reach.induct[split-format(complete)]
lemmas reachO-induct = Opt.reach.induct[split-format(complete)]

```

```

lemma is-getInputT-getActV[simp]:
  (prog!(pcOf cfg)) = Input U inp  $\Longrightarrow$  getActV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) = lhd ibUT
  by (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Van.getAct-def)

```

```

lemma is-getInputU-getActV[simp]:
  (prog!(pcOf cfg)) = Input T inp  $\Longrightarrow$  getActV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) = lhd ibT
  by (cases prog!(pcOf cfg), auto simp: Van.getAct-def)

```

lemma *not-is-getInput-getActV[simp]*:
 $\neg \text{is-getInput} (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \implies \text{getActV} (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \perp$
apply (cases *prog!(pcOf cfg)*, auto *simp: Van.getAct-def*)
subgoal for t **apply**(cases *t*, *simp-all*) . .

lemma *is-Output-getObsV[simp]*:
 $(\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}) = \text{Output } U \text{ out}) \implies \text{getObsV} (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) =$
 $(\text{outOf} (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}), \text{ls})$
by (cases *prog!(pcOf cfg)*, auto *simp: Van.getObs-def*)

lemma *not-is-Output-getObsV[simp]*:
 $\neg \text{is-Output} (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \implies \text{getObsV} (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \perp$
apply (cases *prog!(pcOf cfg)*, auto *simp: Van.getObs-def*)
subgoal for t **apply**(cases *t*, *simp-all*) . .

lemma *is-getInputT-Nil-getActO[simp]*:
 $(\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}) = \text{Input } T \text{ inp}) \implies \text{getActO} (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, [], \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \text{lhs } \text{ibT}$
by (cases *prog!(pcOf cfg)*, auto *simp: Opt.getAct-def*)

lemma *is-getInputU-Nil-getActO[simp]*:
 $(\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}) = \text{Input } U \text{ inp}) \implies \text{getActO} (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, [], \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \text{lhs } \text{ibUT}$
by (cases *prog!(pcOf cfg)*, auto *simp: Opt.getAct-def*)

lemma *not-is-getInput-Nil-getActO[simp]*:
 $(\neg \text{is-getInput} (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})))$
 $\vee \text{cfgs} \neq [] \implies \text{getActO} (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = \perp$
apply (cases *cfgs*, auto)
apply (cases *prog!(pcOf cfg)*, auto *simp: Opt.getAct-def*)
subgoal for t **apply**(cases *t*, *simp-all*) . .

lemma *is-Output-Nil-getObsO[simp]*:
 $(\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}) = \text{Output } U \text{ out}) \implies$
 $\text{getObsO} (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, [], \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) = (\text{outOf} (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) (\text{stateOf } \text{cfg}), \text{ls})$
by (cases *prog!(pcOf cfg)*, auto *simp: Opt.getObs-def*)

lemma *not-is-Output-Nil-getObsO[simp]*:
 $\neg \text{is-Output} (\text{prog!}(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \vee \text{cfgs} \neq [] \implies \text{getObsO} (\text{pstate}, \text{cfg}, \text{cfgs}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls})$
 $= \perp$
apply (cases *cfgs*, auto)
apply (cases *prog!(pcOf cfg)*, auto *simp: Opt.getObs-def*)
subgoal for t **apply**(cases *t*, *simp-all*) . .

lemma *getActV-simps*:
 $\text{getActV} (\text{cfg}, \text{ibT}, \text{ibUT}, \text{ls}) =$

```

(case prog!(pcOf cfg) of
  Input T -  $\Rightarrow$  lhd ibT
  | Input U -  $\Rightarrow$  lhd ibUT
  |-  $\Rightarrow \perp$ 
)
unfolding Van.getAct-def
apply (simp split: com.splits, safe)
subgoal for t apply(cases t, simp-all) .
subgoal for t apply(cases t, simp-all) . .

lemma getObsV-simps:
getObsV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
  (case prog!(pcOf cfg) of
    Output U -  $\Rightarrow$  (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
    |-  $\Rightarrow \perp$ 
  )
unfolding Van.getObs-def
apply (simp split: com.splits, safe)
subgoal for t apply(cases t, simp-all) .
subgoal for t apply(cases t, simp-all) . .

lemma getActO-simps:
getActO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
  (case (cfgs,prog!(pcOf cfg)) of
    ([],Input T -)  $\Rightarrow$  lhd ibT
    | ([],Input U -)  $\Rightarrow$  lhd ibUT
    |-  $\Rightarrow \perp$ 
  )
unfolding Van.getAct-def
apply (simp split: com.splits list.splits, safe)
subgoal for t apply(cases t, simp-all) . .

lemma getObsO-simps:
getObsO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
  (case (cfgs,prog!(pcOf cfg)) of
    ([],Output U -)  $\Rightarrow$  (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
    |-  $\Rightarrow \perp$ 
  )
unfolding Opt.getObs-def
apply (simp split: com.splits list.splits, safe)
subgoal for t apply(cases t, simp-all) .
subgoal for t apply(cases t, simp-all) . .

```

end

end

8 Disproof of Relative Security for fun1

```
theory Fun1
imports ../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem
        Secret-Directed-Unwinding.SD-Unwinding-fn
begin
```

8.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

```
no-notation bot ( $\perp$ )
consts NN :: nat
```

```
consts input :: int
definition aa1 :: avname where aa1 = "a1"
definition aa2 :: avname where aa2 = "a2"
definition vv :: avname where vv = "v"
definition xx :: avname where xx = "i"
definition tt :: avname where tt = "t"
```

```
lemma NN-suc[simp]:nat (NN + 1) = Suc (nat NN)
  by force
```

```
lemma NN:NN $\geq$ 0 by auto
```

```
lemmas vvars-defs = aa1-def aa2-def vv-def xx-def tt-def
```

```
lemma vvars-dff[simp]:
aa1  $\neq$  aa2 aa1  $\neq$  vv aa1  $\neq$  xx aa1  $\neq$  tt
aa2  $\neq$  aa1 aa2  $\neq$  vv aa2  $\neq$  xx aa2  $\neq$  tt
vv  $\neq$  aa1 vv  $\neq$  aa2 vv  $\neq$  xx vv  $\neq$  tt
xx  $\neq$  aa1 xx  $\neq$  aa2 xx  $\neq$  vv xx  $\neq$  tt
tt  $\neq$  aa1 tt  $\neq$  aa2 tt  $\neq$  vv tt  $\neq$  xx
unfolding vvars-defs by auto
```

```
consts size-aa1 :: nat
consts size-aa2 :: nat
```

```
definition s-add = {a. a  $\neq$  nat NN+1}
fun vs0::char list  $\Rightarrow$  int where
  vs0 x = 0
```

```
lemma vs0[simp]:( $\lambda$ x. 0) = vs0 unfolding vs0.simps by simp
```

```
fun as:: char list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\times$  nat where
  as a = (if a = aa1 then (0, nat NN)
          else (if a = aa2 then (nat NN, nat size-aa2)
                else (nat size-aa2,0)))
```

definition $avst' \equiv (Avstore\ as)$

lemmas $avst-defs = avst'-def\ as.simps$

lemma $avstore-loc[simp]: Avstore\ (\lambda a. \text{if } a = aa1 \text{ then } (0, \text{nat } NN) \text{ else if } a = aa2 \text{ then } (\text{nat } NN, \text{nat size-aa2}) \text{ else } (\text{nat size-aa2}, 0)) =$
 $avst'$
unfolding $avst-defs$ **by** $auto$

abbreviation $read-add \equiv \{a. a \neq (\text{nat } NN + 1)\}$

fun $initVstore :: vstore \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $initVstore\ (Vstore\ vst) = (vst = vs_0)$

fun $initAvstore :: avstore \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $initAvstore\ avst = (avst = avst')$
fun $initHeap :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int}) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $initHeap\ h = (\forall x \in read-add. h\ x = 0)$

lemma $initAvstore-0[intro]: initAvstore\ avst' \Longrightarrow array-base\ aa1\ avst' = 0$
unfolding $avst-defs\ array-base-def$
by $(smt\ (verit, del-insts)\ avstore.case\ fstI)$

fun $istate :: state \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $istate\ s =$
 $(initVstore\ (getVstore\ s) \wedge$
 $initAvstore\ (getAvstore\ s) \wedge$
 $initHeap\ (getHheap\ s))$

definition $prog \equiv$
[
 \emptyset *Start* ,
 \mathcal{A} *Input* $U\ xx$,
 \mathcal{Z} $tt ::= (N\ 0)$,
 \mathcal{B} *IfJump* $(Less\ (V\ xx)\ (N\ NN))\ 4\ 5$,
 \mathcal{A} $tt ::= (VA\ aa2\ (Times\ (VA\ aa1\ (V\ xx))\ (N\ 512)))$,
 \mathcal{B} *Output* $U\ (V\ tt)$
]

lemma $cases-5: (i::pcounter) = 0 \vee i = 1 \vee i = 2 \vee i = 3 \vee i = 4 \vee i = 5 \vee i > 5$
apply $(cases\ i, simp-all)$
subgoal for i **apply** $(cases\ i, simp-all)$
subgoal for i **apply** $(cases\ i, simp-all)$
subgoal for i **apply** $(cases\ i, simp-all)$

subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)

lemma *xx-NN-cases*: vs $xx < (\text{int } NN) \vee vs \, xx \geq (\text{int } NN)$ **by** *auto*

lemma *is-If-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf \, cfg < 6 \implies is-IfJump \, (prog \, ! \, (pcOf \, cfg)) \longleftrightarrow pcOf \, cfg = 3$
apply(cases *cfg*) **subgoal for pc s using** *cases-5*[of *pcOf cfg*]
apply (*auto simp: prog-def*) . .

lemma *is-If-pc[simp]*:
 $pc < 6 \implies is-IfJump \, (prog \, ! \, pc) \longleftrightarrow pc = 3$
using *cases-5*[of *pc*]
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *eq-Fence-pc[simp]*:
 $pc < 6 \implies prog \, ! \, pc \neq Fence$
using *cases-5*[of *pc*]
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

fun *mispred* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
mispred p pc = (if *pc* = [3] then *True* else *False*)

fun *resolve* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
resolve p pc = (if *pc* = [5,5] then *True* else *False*)

consts *update* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *predState*
consts *pstate₀* :: *predState*

interpretation *Prog-Mispred-Init* **where**
prog = *prog* **and** *initPstate* = *pstate₀* **and**
mispred = *mispred* **and** *resolve* = *resolve* **and** *update* = *update* **and**
istate = *istate*
by (*standard, simp add: prog-def*)

abbreviation

stepB-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\langle \rightarrow B \rangle$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow B y == stepB \, x \, y$

abbreviation

stepsB-abbrev :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* ⇒
bool (**infix** ⟨→B*⟩ 55)
where *x* →B* *y* == *star stepB x y*

abbreviation

stepM-abbrev :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* ⇒
bool (**infix** ⟨→M⟩ 55)
where *x* →M *y* == *stepM x y*

abbreviation

stepN-abbrev :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val*
llist × *loc set* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** ⟨→N⟩ 55)
where *x* →N *y* == *stepN x y*

abbreviation

stepsN-abbrev :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val*
llist × *loc set* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** ⟨→N*⟩ 55)
where *x* →N* *y* == *star stepN x y*

abbreviation

stepS-abbrev :: *configS* ⇒ *configS* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** ⟨→S⟩ 55)
where *x* →S *y* == *stepS x y*

abbreviation

stepsS-abbrev :: *configS* ⇒ *configS* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** ⟨→S*⟩ 55)
where *x* →S* *y* == *star stepS x y*

lemma *endPC[simp]*: *endPC* = 6
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *is-getTrustedInput-pcOf[simp]*: *pcOf cfg* < 6 ⇒ *is-getInput* (*prog!*(*pcOf*
cfg)) ↔ *pcOf cfg* = 1
using *cases-5[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *getTrustedInput-pcOf[simp]*: (*prog!*1) = *Input U xx*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output-pcOf[simp]*: *pcOf cfg* < 6 ⇒ *is-Output* (*prog!*(*pcOf cfg*)) ↔
pcOf cfg = 5 ∨ *pcOf cfg* = 6
using *cases-5[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Fence-pcOf[simp]*: *pcOf cfg* < 6 ⇒ (*prog!*(*pcOf cfg*)) ≠ *Fence*
using *cases-5[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *prog0[simp]:prog ! 0 = Start*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *prog1[simp]:prog ! (Suc 0) = Input U xx*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *prog2[simp]:prog ! 2 = tt ::= (N 0)*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *prog3[simp]:prog ! 3 = IfJump (Less (V xx) (N NN)) 4 5*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *prog4[simp]:prog ! 4 = tt ::= (VA aa2 (Times (VA aa1 (V xx)) (N 512)))*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *prog5[simp]:prog ! 5 = Output U (V tt)*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *isSecV-pcOf[simp]:*
isSecV (cfg,ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow pcOf cfg = 0
using *isSecV-def by simp*

lemma *isSecO-pcOf[simp]:*
isSecO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf cfg = 0 \wedge cfgs = [])
using *isSecO-def by simp*

lemma *getInputT-not[simp]: pcOf cfg < 6 \implies*
(prog ! pcOf cfg) \neq Input T x
apply(*cases cfg*) **subgoal for** *pc s using cases-5[of pcOf cfg]*
by (*auto simp: prog-def*) .

lemma *getActV-pcOf[simp]:*
pcOf cfg < 6 \implies
getActV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
(if pcOf cfg = 1 then lhd ibUT else \perp)
apply(*subst getActV-simps*) **unfolding** *prog-def*
apply *simp*
using *getActV-simps not-is-getTrustedInput-getActV prog-def by auto*

lemma *getObsV-pcOf[simp]:*
pcOf cfg < 6 \implies
getObsV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
(if pcOf cfg = 5 then
(outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
else \perp

)
apply(subst getObsV-simps)
unfolding prog-def **apply** simp
using getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf prog-def
by (metis less-irrefl-nat)

lemma getActO-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 6 \implies
getActO (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
(if pcOf cfg = 1 \wedge cfgs = [] then lhd ibUT else \perp)
apply(subst getActO-simps)
apply(cases cfgs, auto)
unfolding prog-def
using getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def **by** presburger

lemma getObsO-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 6 \implies
getObsO (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
(if (pcOf cfg = 5 \wedge cfgs = []) then
(outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
else \perp)
)
apply(subst getObsO-simps)
apply(cases cfgs, auto)
unfolding prog-def
using getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV prog-def
by (metis getObsV-pcOf)

lemma nextB-pc0[simp]:
nextB (Config 0 s, ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 1 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc0[simp]:
readLocs (Config 0 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc1[simp]:
ibUT \neq LNil \implies nextB (Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := lhd ibUT))) avst h p), ibT, ltl ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-getUntrustedInput')
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma *readLocs-pc1*[simp]:
readLocs (*Config 1 s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1*'[simp]:
ibUT \neq *LNil* \implies *nextB* (*Config (Suc 0) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)*, *ibT*, *ibUT*)
 =
 (*Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := lhd ibUT))) avst h p)*, *ibT*, *ltl ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1*'[simp]:
readLocs (*Config (Suc 0) s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc2*[simp]:
nextB (*Config 2 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 ((*Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0))) avst h p)*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc2*[simp]:
readLocs (*Config 2 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-then*[simp]:
vs xx < *NN* \implies
nextB (*Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)*, *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-else*[simp]:
vs xx \geq *NN* \implies
nextB (*Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config 5 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)*, *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3*:
nextB (*Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)*, *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config (if vs xx < NN then 4 else 5) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)*, *ibT*, *ibUT*)
by(*cases vs xx < NN, auto*)

lemma *nextM-pc3-then*[simp]:
vs xx \geq *NN* \implies

$nextM (Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 4 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextM-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc3-else[simp]:
 $vs\ xx < NN \implies$
 $nextM (Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 5 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextM-IfFalse)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc3:
 $nextM (Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ (if\ vs\ xx < NN\ then\ 5\ else\ 4) (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(cases vs xx < NN, auto)

lemma readLocs-pc3[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 3\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc4[simp]:
 $nextB (Config\ 4 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ (Heap\ h)\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(let\ i = array-loc\ aa1\ (nat\ (vs\ xx))\ avst;\ j = (array-loc\ aa2\ (nat\ ((h\ i) * 512)))$
 $avst)$
 $in (Config\ 5 (State (Vstore\ (vs(tt := h\ j)))\ avst\ (Heap\ h)\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc4[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 4 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ (Heap\ h)\ p)) =$
 $(let\ i = array-loc\ aa1\ (nat\ (vs\ xx))\ avst;$
 $j = (array-loc\ aa2\ (nat\ ((h\ i) * 512)))\ avst)$
 $in \{i,\ j\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc5[simp]:
 $nextB (Config\ 5\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config\ 6\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-Output)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc5[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 5 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ (Heap\ h)\ p)) =$
 $\{\}$

unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-stepB-pc*:

$pc < 6 \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$

$(Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow_B\ nextB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

apply(*cases s*) **subgoal for** *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)

subgoal for *vs as h*

using *cases-5[of pc]* **apply** *safe*

subgoal by *simp*

subgoal by *simp*

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def, metis llist.collapse*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal by(*cases vs xx < NN, simp-all*)

subgoal by(*cases vs xx < NN, simp-all*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

by *simp+ . .*

lemma *not-finalB*:

$pc < 6 \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$

$\neg\ finalB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

using *nextB-stepB-pc* **by** (*simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

lemma *finalB-pc-iff'*:

$pc < 6 \implies$

$finalB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$

$(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil)$

subgoal apply *safe*

subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

subgoal using *finalB-iff* **by** *auto . .*

lemma *finalB-pc-iff*:

$pc \leq 6 \implies$

$finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$

$(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pc = 6)$

using *cases-5*[of *pc*] **apply** (*elim disjE*, *simp add: finalB-def*)

subgoal by (*meson final-def stebB-0*)

by (*simp add: finalB-pc-iff' finalB-endPC*)**+**

lemma *finalB-pcOf-iff*[*simp*]:

$pcOf\ cfg \leq 6 \implies$

$finalB (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pcOf\ cfg = 6)$

by (*metis config.collapse finalB-pc-iff*)

definition *vs_i-t* *cfg* $\equiv (vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg))\ xx) < NN$

definition *vs_i-f* *cfg* $\equiv (vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg))\ xx) \geq NN$

lemma *vs-xx-cases*: *vs_i-t* *cfg* \vee *vs_i-f* *cfg* **unfolding** *vs_i-t-def vs_i-f-def* **by** *auto*

lemmas *vs_i-defs* = *vs_i-t-def vs_i-f-def*

lemma *bool-invar*[*simp*]: $\neg vs_i-t (Config\ 6\ s) \implies vs_i-t (Config\ 6\ s) \implies (Config\ 6\ s, ib1) \rightarrow B (Config\ 6\ s, ib1) \implies False$

unfolding *vs_i-defs*

by *simp*

lemma *nextB-vs-consistent-aux*:

$2 \leq pc \wedge pc < 6 \implies$

$(nextB (Config\ pc (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst (Heap\ h)\ p), ibT, ibUT)) = (Config\ pc' (State (Vstore\ vs')\ avst'' (Heap\ h')\ p'), ibT', ibUT')) \implies$

$avst = avst'' \wedge$

$vs\ xx = vs'\ xx \wedge$

$h = h' \wedge$

$pc < pc'$

using *cases-5*[of *pc*] **apply**(*elim disjE*) **apply** *simp-all*

subgoal by *auto*

subgoal using *xx-NN-cases*[of *vs*] **by**(*elim disjE*, *simp-all*)

by *auto*

lemma *nextB-vs-consistent*:

$2 \leq pcOf\ cfg \wedge pcOf\ cfg < 6 \implies$

$(nextB (cfg, ibT, ibUT)) = (cfg', ibT', ibUT') \implies$

$(getAvstore (stateOf\ cfg)) = (getAvstore (stateOf\ cfg')) \wedge$

$(getHheap (stateOf\ cfg)) = (getHheap (stateOf\ cfg')) \wedge$

$vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg))\ xx = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg'))\ xx$

apply(*cases* *cfg*) **subgoal for** *pc* *s*

apply(*cases* *s*) **subgoal for** *vstore* *avst* *heap-h* *p*

apply (*cases* *heap-h*, *cases* *vstore*, *cases* *avst*) **subgoal for** *h* *vs*

apply(*cases* *cfg'*) **subgoal for** *pc'* *s'*

apply(cases s') **subgoal for** $vstore' avst'' heap-h' p'$
apply (cases $heap-h'$, cases $vstore'$, cases $avst''$) **subgoal for** $h vs$
using $nextB-vs-consistent-aux$ **apply** $simp$
by $blast \dots$

lemma $nextB-vs_i-t-consistent$:

$2 \leq pcOf\ cf\ g \wedge pcOf\ cf\ g < 6 \implies$
 $(nextB\ (cf\ g,\ ibT,\ ibUT)) = (cf\ g',\ ibT',\ ibUT') \implies$
 $vs_i-t\ cf\ g \longleftrightarrow vs_i-t\ cf\ g'$
unfolding vs_i-defs **using** $nextB-vs-consistent$
by $simp$

lemma $nextB-vs_i-f-consistent$:

$2 \leq pcOf\ cf\ g \wedge pcOf\ cf\ g < 6 \implies$
 $(nextB\ (cf\ g,\ ibT,\ ibUT)) = (cf\ g',\ ibT',\ ibUT') \implies$
 $vs_i-f\ cf\ g \longleftrightarrow vs_i-f\ cf\ g'$
unfolding vs_i-defs **using** $nextB-vs-consistent$
by $simp$

end

8.2 Proof

theory $Fun1-insecure$
imports $Fun1$
begin

8.2.1 Concrete leak

definition $PC \equiv \{0..6\}$

definition $same-xx\ cf\ g3\ cf\ g3'\ cf\ g4\ cf\ g4' \equiv$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf\ g3))\ xx = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf\ g4))\ xx \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cf\ g3' \in set\ cf\ g3.\ vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf\ g3'))\ xx = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf\ g3))\ xx) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cf\ g4' \in set\ cf\ g4.\ vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf\ g4'))\ xx = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cf\ g4))\ xx)$

definition $trueProg = \{2,3,4,5,6\}$

definition $falseProg = \{2,3,5,6\}$

definition $pstate_1 \equiv update\ pstate_0\ [3]$

definition $pstate_2 \equiv update\ pstate_1\ [5,5]$

lemmas $pstate-def = pstate_1-def\ pstate_2-def$

fun $hh_3:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ **where**
 $hh_3\ x = (\text{if } x = (\text{nat } NN + 1) \text{ then } 5 \text{ else } 0)$

definition $h_3 \equiv (\text{Heap } hh_3)$

fun $hh_4:: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ **where**
 $hh_4\ x = (\text{if } x = (\text{nat } NN + 1) \text{ then } 6 \text{ else } 0)$

definition $h_4 \equiv (\text{Heap } hh_4)$

lemmas $h\text{-def} = h_3\text{-def } h_4\text{-def } hh_3.\text{simps } hh_4.\text{simps}$

lemma $ss\text{-neq-}aux1:\text{nat}(5 * 512) \neq \text{nat}(6 * 512)$ **by** *auto*

lemma $ss\text{-neq-}aux2:\text{nat}(3 * 512) \neq \text{nat}(5 * 512)$ **by** *auto*

lemmas $ss\text{-neq} = ss\text{-neq-}aux1\ ss\text{-neq-}aux2$

definition $p \equiv \text{nat } size\text{-}aa1 + \text{nat } size\text{-}aa2$

definition $vs_1 \equiv (vs_0(xx := NN + 1))$

definition $vs_2 \equiv (vs_1(tt := 0))$

definition $aa1_i \equiv \text{array-loc } aa1 (\text{nat } (vs_2\ xx))\ avst'$

definition $aa2_{vs_3} \equiv \text{array-loc } aa2 (\text{nat } (hh_3\ aa1_i * 512))\ avst'$

definition $vs_{33} = vs_2(tt := hh_3\ aa2_{vs_3})$

definition $aa2_{vs_4} \equiv \text{array-loc } aa2 (\text{nat } (hh_4\ aa1_i * 512))\ avst'$

definition $vs_{34} = vs_2(tt := hh_4\ aa2_{vs_4})$

lemmas $reads_m\text{-def} = aa1_i\text{-def } aa2_{vs_3}\text{-def } aa2_{vs_4}\text{-def}$

lemmas $vs\text{-def} = vs_0.\text{simps } vs_1\text{-def } vs_2\text{-def } vs_{33}\text{-def } vs_{34}\text{-def}$

definition $s_{03} \equiv (\text{State } (Vstore\ vs_0)\ avst'\ h_3\ p)$

definition $s_{13} \equiv (\text{State } (Vstore\ vs_1)\ avst'\ h_3\ p)$

definition $s_{23} \equiv (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs_2) \text{ avst}' h_3 p)$
definition $s_{33} \equiv (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs_{33}) \text{ avst}' h_3 p)$

definition $s_{04} \equiv (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs_0) \text{ avst}' h_4 p)$
definition $s_{14} \equiv (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs_1) \text{ avst}' h_4 p)$
definition $s_{24} \equiv (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs_2) \text{ avst}' h_4 p)$
definition $s_{34} \equiv (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs_{34}) \text{ avst}' h_4 p)$

lemmas $s\text{-def} = s_{03}\text{-def } s_{13}\text{-def } s_{23}\text{-def } s_{33}\text{-def}$
 $s_{04}\text{-def } s_{14}\text{-def } s_{24}\text{-def } s_{34}\text{-def}$

definition $(s_{\mathcal{3}0}:: \text{stateO}) \equiv (\text{pstate}_0, (\text{Config } 0 \ s_{03}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{\})$
definition $(s_{\mathcal{3}1}:: \text{stateO}) \equiv (\text{pstate}_0, (\text{Config } 1 \ s_{03}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{\})$
definition $(s_{\mathcal{3}2}:: \text{stateO}) \equiv (\text{pstate}_0, (\text{Config } 2 \ s_{13}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{\})$
definition $(s_{\mathcal{3}3}:: \text{stateO}) \equiv (\text{pstate}_0, (\text{Config } 3 \ s_{23}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{\})$
definition $(s_{\mathcal{3}4}:: \text{stateO}) \equiv (\text{pstate}_1, (\text{Config } 5 \ s_{23}), [\text{Config } 4 \ s_{23}], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{\})$
definition $(s_{\mathcal{3}5}:: \text{stateO}) \equiv (\text{pstate}_1, (\text{Config } 5 \ s_{23}), [\text{Config } 5 \ s_{33}], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{aa2_{vs3}, aa1_i\})$
definition $(s_{\mathcal{3}6}:: \text{stateO}) \equiv (\text{pstate}_2, (\text{Config } 5 \ s_{23}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{aa2_{vs3}, aa1_i\})$
definition $(s_{\mathcal{3}7}:: \text{stateO}) \equiv (\text{pstate}_2, (\text{Config } 6 \ s_{23}), [], \text{repeat } (NN+1), \text{repeat } (NN+1), \{aa2_{vs3}, aa1_i\})$

lemmas $s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-def} = s_{\mathcal{3}0}\text{-def } s_{\mathcal{3}1}\text{-def } s_{\mathcal{3}2}\text{-def } s_{\mathcal{3}3}\text{-def } s_{\mathcal{3}4}\text{-def } s_{\mathcal{3}5}\text{-def } s_{\mathcal{3}6}\text{-def } s_{\mathcal{3}7}\text{-def}$

lemmas $\text{state-def} = s\text{-def } h\text{-def } vs\text{-def } reads_m\text{-def } \text{pstate-def } \text{avst-defs}$

definition $s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-trans} \equiv [s_{\mathcal{3}0}, s_{\mathcal{3}1}, s_{\mathcal{3}2}, s_{\mathcal{3}3}, s_{\mathcal{3}4}, s_{\mathcal{3}5}, s_{\mathcal{3}6}, s_{\mathcal{3}7}]$
lemmas $s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-trans-defs} = s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-trans-def } s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-def}$

lemma $hd\text{-}s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-trans}[simp]: hd \ s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-trans} = s_{\mathcal{3}0}$ **by** $(simp \ add: \ s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-trans-def})$
lemma $s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-trans-nemp}[simp]: s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-trans} \neq []$ **by** $(simp \ add: \ s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-trans-def})$

lemma $s_{\mathcal{3}01}[simp]: s_{\mathcal{3}0} \rightarrow_S s_{\mathcal{3}1}$
unfolding $s_{\mathcal{3}}\text{-def}$
using $nonspec-normal$
by $simp$

lemma $s_{\mathcal{3}12}[simp]: s_{\mathcal{3}1} \rightarrow_S s_{\mathcal{3}2}$

unfolding *s3-def state-def*
using *nonspec-normal*
by *simp*

lemma *s3₂₃[simp]:s3₂ →S s3₃*
unfolding *s3-def state-def*
by (*simp add: finalM-iff*)

lemma *s3₃₄[simp]:s3₃ →S s3₄*
unfolding *s3-def state-def*
using *nonspec-mispred*
by (*simp add: finalM-iff*)

lemma *s3₄₅[simp]:s3₄ →S s3₅*
unfolding *s3-def state-def*
using *spec-normal*
by (*simp-all add: finalM-iff, blast*)

lemma *s3₅₆[simp]:s3₅ →S s3₆*
unfolding *s3-def state-def*
using *spec-resolve*
by *simp*

lemma *s3₆₇[simp]:s3₆ →S s3₇*
unfolding *s3-def state-def*
using *nonspec-normal*
by *simp*

lemma *finalS-s3₇[simp]:finalS s3₇*
unfolding *finalS-def final-def s3-def*
by (*simp add: stepS-endPC*)

lemmas *s3-trans-simps = s3₀₁ s3₁₂ s3₂₃ s3₃₄ s3₄₅ s3₅₆ s3₆₇*

definition (*s4₀:: stateO*) \equiv (*pstate₀*, (*Config 0 s₀₄*), [], *repeat (NN+1)*, *repeat (NN+1)*, {})

definition (*s4₁:: stateO*) \equiv (*pstate₀*, (*Config 1 s₀₄*), [], *repeat (NN+1)*, *repeat (NN+1)*, {})

definition (*s4₂:: stateO*) \equiv (*pstate₀*, (*Config 2 s₁₄*), [], *repeat (NN+1)*, *repeat (NN+1)*, {})

definition (*s4₃:: stateO*) \equiv (*pstate₀*, (*Config 3 s₂₄*), [], *repeat (NN+1)*, *repeat (NN+1)*, {})

definition (*s4₄:: stateO*) \equiv (*pstate₁*, (*Config 5 s₂₄*), [*Config 4 s₂₄*], *repeat (NN+1)*, *repeat (NN+1)*, {})

definition (*s4₅:: stateO*) \equiv (*pstate₁*, (*Config 5 s₂₄*), [*Config 5 s₃₄*], *repeat (NN+1)*, *repeat (NN+1)*, {*aa2_{vs4}*, *aa1_i*})

definition (*s4₆:: stateO*) \equiv (*pstate₂*, (*Config 5 s₂₄*), [], *repeat (NN+1)*, *repeat (NN+1)*, {*aa2_{vs4}*, *aa1_i*})

definition ($s4_7:: stateO$) \equiv ($pstate_2$, ($Config\ 6\ s_{24}$), [], $repeat\ (NN+1)$, $repeat\ (NN+1)$, $\{aa2_{vs4}, aa1_i\}$)

lemmas $s4-def = s4_0-def\ s4_1-def\ s4_2-def\ s4_3-def\ s4_4-def\ s4_5-def\ s4_6-def\ s4_7-def$

definition $s4-trans \equiv [s4_0, s4_1, s4_2, s4_3, s4_4, s4_5, s4_6, s4_7]$

lemmas $s4-trans-defs = s4-trans-def\ s4-def$

lemma $hd-s4-trans[simp]: hd\ s4-trans = s4_0$ **by** ($simp\ add: s4-trans-def$)

lemma $s4-trans-nemp[simp]: s4-trans \neq []$ **by** ($simp\ add: s4-trans-def$)

lemma $s4_{01}[simp]: s4_0 \rightarrow S\ s4_1$

unfolding $s4-def$

using $nonspec-normal$

by $simp$

lemma $s4_{12}[simp]: s4_1 \rightarrow S\ s4_2$

unfolding $s4-def\ state-def$

using $nonspec-normal$

by $simp$

lemma $s4_{24}[simp]: s4_2 \rightarrow S\ s4_3$

unfolding $s4-def\ state-def$

using $nonspec-normal$

by ($simp\ add: finalM-iff$)

lemma $s4_{34}[simp]: s4_3 \rightarrow S\ s4_4$

unfolding $s4-def\ state-def$

using $nonspec-mispred$

by ($simp\ add: finalM-iff$)

lemma $s4_{45}[simp]: s4_4 \rightarrow S\ s4_5$

unfolding $s4-def\ state-def$

using $spec-normal$

by ($simp\ add: finalM-iff, blast$)

lemma $s4_{56}[simp]: s4_5 \rightarrow S\ s4_6$

unfolding $s4-def\ state-def$

using $spec-resolve$

by $simp$

lemma $s4_{67}[simp]: s4_6 \rightarrow S\ s4_7$

unfolding $s4-def\ state-def$

using $nonspec-normal$

by $simp$

lemma *finalS-s4* 7[*simp*]:*finalS* *s4* 7
unfolding *finalS-def final-def s4-def*
by (*simp add: stepS-endPC*)

lemmas *s4-trans-simps* = *s4* 01 *s4* 12 *s4* 24 *s4* 34 *s4* 45 *s4* 56 *s4* 67

8.2.2 Auxillary lemmas for disproof

lemma *validS-s3-trans*[*simp*]:*Opt.validS* *s3-trans*
unfolding *Opt.validS-def validTransO.simps s3-trans-def*
apply *safe*
subgoal for *i* **using** *cases-5*[*of i*]
by(*elim disjE, simp-all*) .

lemma *validS-s4-trans*[*simp*]:*Opt.validS* *s4-trans*
unfolding *Opt.validS-def validTransO.simps s4-trans-def*
apply *safe*
subgoal for *i* **using** *cases-5*[*of i*]
by(*elim disjE, simp-all*) .

lemma *finalS-s3*[*simp*]:*finalS* (*last s3-trans*) **by** (*simp add: s3-trans-def*)
lemma *finalS-s4*[*simp*]:*finalS* (*last s4-trans*) **by** (*simp add: s4-trans-def*)

lemma *filter-s3*[*simp*]:(*filter isIntO (butlast s3-trans)*) = (*butlast s3-trans*)
unfolding *s3-trans-def finalS-def final-def*
using *s3-trans-simps validTransO.simps validTransO-iff-nextS*
by (*smt (verit) butlast.simps(2) filter.simps(1,2) isIntO.elims(3)*)

lemma *filter-s4*[*simp*]:(*filter isIntO (butlast s4-trans)*) = (*butlast s4-trans*)
unfolding *s4-trans-def finalS-def final-def*
using *s4-trans-simps validTransO.simps validTransO-iff-nextS*
by (*smt (verit) butlast.simps(2) filter.simps(1,2) isIntO.elims(3)*)

lemma *S-s3-trans*[*simp*]:*Opt.S* *s3-trans* = [*s03*]
apply (*simp add: Opt.S-def filtermap-def*)
unfolding *s3-trans-defs* **by** *simp*

lemma *S-s4-trans*[*simp*]:*Opt.S* *s4-trans* = [*s04*]
apply (*simp add: Opt.S-def filtermap-def*)
unfolding *s4-trans-defs* **by** *simp*

lemma *finalB-noStep*[*simp*]: $\bigwedge s1'$. *finalB* (*cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1*) \implies (*cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1*) $\rightarrow N$ *s1'* \implies *False*
unfolding *finalN-def final-def finalB-eq-finalN* **by** *auto*

8.2.3 Disproof of fun1

fun *common-memory::config* \Rightarrow *config* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

common-memory *cfg1* *cfg2* =
 (let *h1* = (*getHheap* (*stateOf* *cfg1*));
 h2 = (*getHheap* (*stateOf* *cfg2*)) in
 (($\forall x \in \text{read-add. } h1\ x = h2\ x \wedge h1\ x = 0$) \wedge
 (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg1*)) = *avst'* \wedge
 (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg2*)) = *avst'*))

lemma *heap-eq0[simp]*: $\forall x. x \neq \text{Suc } NN \longrightarrow hh1'\ x = hh2'\ x \wedge hh1'\ x = 0 \implies hh2'\ NN = 0$

by (*metis* *n-not-Suc-n*)

lemma *heap1-eq0[simp]*: $\forall x. x \neq \text{Suc } NN \longrightarrow hh1'\ x = hh2'\ x \wedge hh1'\ x = 0 \implies$

$vs2\ xx < NN \implies$
 $hh2'\ (\text{nat } (vs2\ xx)) = 0$

using *le-less-Suc-eq* *nat-le-eq-zle* *nat-less-eq-zless*

by (*metis* *lessI* *nat-int* *order.asym*)

fun Γ -*inv::stateV* \Rightarrow *state list* \Rightarrow *stateV* \Rightarrow *state list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

Γ -*inv* (*cfg1*, *ibT1*, *ibUT1*, *ls1*) *sl1* (*cfg2*, *ibT2*, *ibUT2*, *ls2*) *sl2* =

(
 (*pcOf* *cfg1* = *pcOf* *cfg2*) \wedge

 (*pcOf* *cfg1* < 2 \longrightarrow *ibUT1* \neq *LNil* \wedge *ibUT2* \neq *LNil*) \wedge

 (*pcOf* *cfg1* > 2 \longrightarrow *same-var-val* *tt* 0 *cfg1* *cfg2*) \wedge

 (*pcOf* *cfg1* > 1 \longrightarrow (*same-var* *xx* *cfg1* *cfg2*) \wedge

 (*vs_i-t* *cfg1* \longrightarrow *pcOf* *cfg1* \in *trueProg*) \wedge

 (*vs_i-f* *cfg1* \longrightarrow *pcOf* *cfg1* \in *falseProg*))

 \wedge
ls1 = *ls2* \wedge

pcOf *cfg1* \in *PC* \wedge
common-memory *cfg1* *cfg2*

)

declare Γ -*inv.simps[simp del]*

lemmas Γ -*def* = Γ -*inv.simps*

lemmas Γ -*defs* = Γ -*def* *common-memory.simps* *PC-def* *aa1_i-def*
trueProg-def *falseProg-def* *same-var-val-def* *same-var-def*

lemma Γ -*implies*: Γ -*inv* (*cfg1*, *ibT1*, *ibUT1*, *ls1*) *sl1* (*cfg2*, *ibT2*, *ibUT2*, *ls2*) *sl2* \implies

pcOf *cfg1* \leq 6 \wedge *pcOf* *cfg2* \leq 6 \wedge

(*pcOf* *cfg1* = 4 \longrightarrow *vs_i-t* *cfg1*) \wedge

$(pcOf\ cfg2 = 4 \longrightarrow vs_i-t\ cfg2) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 > 1 \longrightarrow vs_i-t\ cfg1 \longleftrightarrow vs_i-t\ cfg2) \wedge$

$(finalB\ (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg1 = 6) \wedge$
 $(finalB\ (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg2 = 6)$

unfolding Γ -defs

apply (*elim conjE*, *intro conjI*)

subgoal using *atLeastAtMost-iff* **by** *blast*

subgoal using *vs-xx-cases*[of *cfg2*] **by** (*elim disjE*, *simp-all*)

subgoal apply (*rule impI*, *simp*) **using** *vs-xx-cases*[of *cfg1*] **by** (*elim disjE*, *simp-all*)

subgoal apply (*rule impI*, *simp*) **using** *vs-xx-cases*[of *cfg2*] *vs_i-defs* **by** (*elim disjE*, *simp-all*)

subgoal by (*simp add: vs_i-defs*)

using *finalB-pcOf-iff*

apply (*metis atLeastAtMost-iff one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm*(76))

using *finalB-pcOf-iff*

by (*metis atLeastAtMost-iff numeral-One numeral-less-iff semiring-norm*(76))

lemma *istateO-s3*[*simp*]: *istateO s3_0* **unfolding** *s3-def state-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *istateO-s4*[*simp*]: *istateO s4_0* **unfolding** *s4-def state-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *validFromS-s3*[*simp*]: *Opt.validFromS s3_0 s3-trans*

unfolding *Opt.validFromS-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *validFromS-s4*[*simp*]: *Opt.validFromS s4_0 s4-trans*

unfolding *Opt.validFromS-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *completedFromO-s3*[*simp*]: *completedFromO s3_0 s3-trans*

unfolding *Opt.completedFrom-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *completedFromO-s4*[*simp*]: *completedFromO s4_0 s4-trans*

unfolding *Opt.completedFrom-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Act-eq*[*simp*]: *Opt.A s3-trans = Opt.A s4-trans*

apply (*simp add: Opt.A-def filtermap-def*)

unfolding *s3-trans-defs s4-trans-defs*

by *simp*

lemma *aa2-neq*: *aa2_vs3* \neq *aa2_vs4*

unfolding *vs-def reads_m-def avst-defs h-def array-loc-def*

by (*simp add: avst-defs array-base-def split: if-splits*)

lemma *aa1-neq:aa2_{vs3} ≠ aa1_i*
apply(*rule notI*)
unfolding *vs-def reads_m-def avst-defs h-def array-loc-def*
by (*simp add: avst-defs array-base-def split: if-splits*)

lemma *aa1-neq2:aa2_{vs4} ≠ aa1_i*
apply(*rule notI*)
unfolding *vs-def reads_m-def avst-defs h-def array-loc-def*
by (*simp add: avst-defs array-base-def split: if-splits*)

lemma *Obs-neq[simp]:Opt.O s3-trans ≠ Opt.O s4-trans*
apply (*simp add: Opt.O-def filtermap-def*)
unfolding *s3-trans-def s4-trans-def* **apply** *clarsimp*
unfolding *s3-trans-defs s4-trans-defs* **apply** *simp*
using *aa2-neq aa1-neq aa1-neq2* **by** *blast*

lemma $\Gamma\text{-init}[simp]: \wedge s1\ s2. \text{istateV } s1 \implies \text{corrState } s1\ s3_0 \implies \text{istateV } s2 \implies$
 $\text{corrState } s2\ s4_0 \implies \Gamma\text{-inv } s1\ [s_{03}]\ s2\ [s_{04}]$
subgoal for *s1 s2* **apply**(*cases s1, cases s2, simp*)
unfolding *s3-def s4-def s-def h-def* **by** (*auto simp: Γ -defs*) .

lemma *val-neq-1:nat (hh2' (nat (vs2 xx)) * 512) ≠ 1*
by (*smt (z3) nat-less-eq-zless nat-one-as-int*)

lemma *unwindSD[simp]:Rel-Sec.unwindSDCond validTransV istateV isSecV get-
SecV isIntV getIntV Γ -inv*
unfolding *unwindSDCond-def*
proof(*intro allI, rule impI, elim conjE,intro conjI*)
fix *ss1 ss2 sl1 sl2*
assume *reachV ss1 reachV ss2*
and $\Gamma:\Gamma\text{-inv } ss1\ sl1\ ss2\ sl2$

obtain *cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1* **where** *ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)*
by (*cases ss1, auto*)
obtain *cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2* **where** *ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)*
by (*cases ss2, auto*)
note *ss = ss1 ss2*

obtain *pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1* **where**
cfg1: cfg1 = Config pc1 (State (Vstore vs1) avst1 h1 p1)
by (*cases cfg1*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)
obtain *pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2* **where**
cfg2: cfg2 = Config pc2 (State (Vstore vs2) avst2 h2 p2)
by (*cases cfg2*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

```

note  $cfg = cfg1\ cfg2$ 

obtain  $hh1$  where  $h1: h1 = Heap\ hh1$  by( $cases\ h1, auto$ )
obtain  $hh2$  where  $h2: h2 = Heap\ hh2$  by( $cases\ h2, auto$ )
note  $hh = h1\ h2$ 

show  $isIntV\ ss1 = isIntV\ ss2$ 
  using  $\Gamma$  unfolding  $isIntV.simps\ ss$ 
  unfolding  $\Gamma-defs$ 
  using  $vs-xx-cases[of\ cfg1]$ 
  apply ( $elim\ disjE$ ) by  $simp-all$ 

then have  $finalB:finalB\ (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1) = finalB\ (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2)$ 
  unfolding  $isIntV.simps\ finalN-iff-finalB\ ss$  by  $blast$ 

show  $\neg isIntV\ ss1 \longrightarrow move1\ \Gamma-inv\ ss1\ sl1\ ss2\ sl2 \wedge move2\ \Gamma-inv\ ss1\ sl1$ 
 $ss2\ sl2$ 
  apply( $unfold\ ss, auto$ )
  subgoal unfolding  $move1-def\ finalB-defs$  by  $auto$ 
  subgoal unfolding  $finalB$ 
    unfolding  $move2-def\ finalB-defs$  by  $auto$  .

show  $isIntV\ ss1 \longrightarrow getActV\ ss1 = getActV\ ss2 \longrightarrow getObsV\ ss1 = getObsV$ 
 $ss2 \wedge move12\ \Gamma-inv\ ss1\ sl1\ ss2\ sl2$ 
  proof( $unfold\ ss\ isIntV.simps\ finalN-iff-finalB, intro\ impI, rule\ conjI$ )
    assume  $final:\neg finalB\ (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1)$  and
       $getAct:getActV\ (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1) = getActV\ (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2,$ 
 $ls2)$ 
    have  $not6:pc1 = 6 \implies False$ 
      using  $cfg\ final\ \Gamma$ 
      by  $simp$ 

    show  $getObsV\ (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1) = getObsV\ (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2,$ 
 $ls2)$ 
      using  $\Gamma\ getAct$  unfolding  $ss$ 
      apply–apply( $frule\ \Gamma-implies, elim\ conjE$ )
      using  $cases-5[of\ pcOf\ cfg1]\ cases-5[of\ pcOf\ cfg2]$ 
      by( $elim\ disjE, simp-all\ add:\ \Gamma-defs\ final$ )

    show  $move12\ \Gamma-inv\ (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)\ sl1\ (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$ 
 $sl2$ 
      unfolding  $move12-def\ validEtransO.simps$ 
      proof( $intro\ allI, rule\ impI, elim\ conjE, unfold\ validTransV.simps\ isSecV-iff$ 
 $getSecV.simps\ fst-conv$ )
        fix  $ss1'\ ss2'\ sl1'\ sl2'$ 

        assume  $v: (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1) \rightarrow N\ ss1'\ (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$ 
 $\rightarrow N\ ss2'$  and
           $sec: pcOf\ cfg1 \neq 0 \wedge sl1 = sl1' \vee pcOf\ cfg1 = 0 \wedge sl1 = stateOf\ cfg1$ 

```

```

# sl1'
      pcOf cfg2 ≠ 0 ∧ sl2 = sl2' ∨ pcOf cfg2 = 0 ∧ sl2 = stateOf cfg2
# sl2'

obtain cfg1' ibT1' ibUT1' ls1' where ss1': ss1' = (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1',
ls1')
  by (cases ss1', auto)
obtain cfg2' ibT2' ibUT2' ls2' where ss2': ss2' = (cfg2', ibT2', ibUT2',
ls2')
  by (cases ss2', auto)

obtain pc1' vs1' avst1' h1' p1' where
  cfg1': cfg1' = Config pc1' (State (Vstore vs1') avst1' h1' p1')
  by (cases cfg1') (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc2' vs2' avst2' h2' p2' where
  cfg2': cfg2' = Config pc2' (State (Vstore vs2') avst2' h2' p2')
  by (cases cfg2') (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg cfg1' cfg2'

obtain hh1' where h1': h1' = Heap hh1' by(cases h1', auto)
obtain hh2' where h2': h2' = Heap hh2' by(cases h2', auto)
note hh = hh h1' h2'

note ss = ss1 ss2 ss1' ss2'
have v':(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1) →B (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1') using v unfolding
ss by simp
  then have v1:nextB (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1) = (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1') using
stepB-nextB by auto

have v'':(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2) →B (cfg2', ibT2', ibUT2') using v unfolding
ss by simp
  then have v2:nextB (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2) = (cfg2', ibT2', ibUT2') using
stepB-nextB by auto
  note valid = v' v1 v'' v2

have ls1':ls1' = ls1 ∪ readLocs cfg1 using v unfolding ss by simp
have ls2':ls2' = ls2 ∪ readLocs cfg2 using v unfolding ss by simp
note ls = ls1' ls2'

note Γ-simps = cfg ls vsi-defs hh array-loc-def
              array-base-def state-def PC-def

show Γ-inv ss1' sl1' ss2' sl2'
  using Γ valid getAct
  unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Γ-implies)
  using cases-5[of pc1] not6 apply(elim disjE, simp-all)
  unfolding Γ-def ss
  prefer 4 subgoal using vs-xx-cases[of cfg1]

```

```

    by (elim disjE, unfold  $\Gamma$ -defs, auto simp add:  $\Gamma$ -simps)
    subgoal by (unfold  $\Gamma$ -defs, auto simp add:  $\Gamma$ -simps)
    subgoal by (unfold  $\Gamma$ -defs, auto simp add:  $\Gamma$ -simps)
    subgoal by (unfold  $\Gamma$ -defs, auto simp add:  $\Gamma$ -simps)
    subgoal using val-neq-1 apply (unfold  $\Gamma$ -defs, auto simp add:  $\Gamma$ -simps)

      using val-neq-1 by (metis NN-suc add-left-cancel nat-int)
      subgoal by (unfold  $\Gamma$ -defs, auto simp add:  $\Gamma$ -simps)
      subgoal by (unfold  $\Gamma$ -defs, auto simp add:  $\Gamma$ -simps) .
qed
qed
qed

```

```

theorem  $\neg$ rsecure
  apply(rule unwindSD-rsecure[of s30 s3-trans s40 s4-trans  $\Gamma$ -inv])
  by simp-all
end

```

9 Proof of Relative Security for fun2

```

theory Fun2
  imports
    ../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem
    Relative-Security.Unwinding-fn
begin

```

9.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

```
no-notation bot ( $\langle \perp \rangle$ )
```

```

consts NN :: nat
lemma NN: NN  $\geq$  0 by auto

```

```

definition aa1 :: avname where aa1 = "a1"
definition aa2 :: avname where aa2 = "a2"
definition xx :: avname where xx = "xx"
definition tt :: avname where tt = "tt"

```

```
lemmas vvars-defs = aa1-def aa2-def xx-def tt-def
```

```

lemma vvars-dff[simp]:
  aa1  $\neq$  aa2 aa1  $\neq$  xx aa1  $\neq$  tt
  aa2  $\neq$  aa1 aa2  $\neq$  xx aa2  $\neq$  tt
  xx  $\neq$  aa1 xx  $\neq$  aa2 xx  $\neq$  tt
  tt  $\neq$  aa1 tt  $\neq$  aa2 tt  $\neq$  xx
  unfolding vvars-defs by auto

```

consts *size-aa1* :: *nat*
consts *size-aa2* :: *nat*

lemma *aa1: size-aa1 ≥ 0 and aa2:size-aa2 ≥ 0 by auto*

fun *initAvstore* :: *avstore* ⇒ *bool* **where**
initAvstore (*Avstore as*) = (*as aa1* = (*0*, *nat size-aa1*) ∧ *as aa2* = (*nat size-aa1*,
nat size-aa2))

fun *istate* :: *state* ⇒ *bool* **where**
istate s = (*initAvstore* (*getAvstore s*))

definition *prog* ≡
[
~~/~~ *Start* ,
~~/~~ *Input U xx* ,
~~/~~ *tt ::= (N 0)* ,
~~/~~ *IfJump (Less (V xx) (N NN)) 4 6* ,
~~/~~ *Fence* ,
~~/~~ *tt ::= (VA aa2 (Times (VA aa1 (V xx)) (N 512)))*,
~~/~~ *Output U (V tt)*
]

lemma *cases-6: (i::pcounter) = 0 ∨ i = 1 ∨ i = 2 ∨ i = 3 ∨ i = 4 ∨ i = 5 ∨
i = 6 ∨ i > 6*

apply(*cases i, simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases i, simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases i, simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases i, simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases i, simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases i, simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases i, simp-all*)
.....

lemma *xx-NN-cases: vs xx < int(NN) ∨ vs xx ≥ int(NN) by auto*

lemma *is-If-pcOf[simp]:*
pcOf cfg < 6 ⇒ *is-IfJump (prog ! (pcOf cfg))* ↔ *pcOf cfg = 3*
apply(*cases cfg*) **subgoal for** *pc s* **using** *cases-6*[*of pcOf cfg*]
by (*auto simp: prog-def*) .

lemma *is-If-pc[simp]:*
pc < 6 ⇒ *is-IfJump (prog ! pc)* ↔ *pc = 3*

using *cases-6*[*of pc*]
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *eq-Fence-pc*[*simp*]:
 $pc < 6 \implies prog \ ! \ pc = Fence \longleftrightarrow pc = 4$
using *cases-6*[*of pc*]
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

consts *mispred* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool*
fun *resolve* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
resolve p pc = (*if* (*set pc* = {6,4}) *then True else False*)

consts *update* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *predState*
consts *initPstate* :: *predState*

interpretation *Prog-Mispred-Init* **where**
prog = *prog* **and** *initPstate* = *initPstate* **and**
mispred = *mispred* **and** *resolve* = *resolve* **and** *update* = *update* **and**
istate = *istate*
by (*standard, simp add: prog-def*)

abbreviation
stepB-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\langle \rightarrow B \rangle$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow B y == stepB x y$

abbreviation
stepsB-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\langle \rightarrow B^* \rangle$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow B^* y == star stepB x y$

abbreviation
stepM-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\langle \rightarrow M \rangle$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow M y == stepM x y$

abbreviation
stepN-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \times *loc set* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val*
llist \times *loc set* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** $\langle \rightarrow N \rangle$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow N y == stepN x y$

abbreviation

stepsN-abbrev :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** <→*N**> 55)
where *x* →*N** *y* == *star stepN x y*

abbreviation

stepS-abbrev :: *configS* ⇒ *configS* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** <→*S*> 55)
where *x* →*S* *y* == *stepS x y*

abbreviation

stepsS-abbrev :: *configS* ⇒ *configS* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** <→*S**> 55)
where *x* →*S** *y* == *star stepS x y*

lemma *endPC[simp]*: *endPC* = 7

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *is-getUntrustedInput-pcOf[simp]*: *pcOf cfg* < 6 ⇒ *is-getInput (prog!(pcOf cfg))* ↔ *pcOf cfg* = 1

using *cases-6[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *start[simp]*: *prog ! 0* = *Start*

by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *getUntrustedInput-pcOf[simp]*: *prog ! 1* = *Input U xx*

by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *if-stat[simp]*: *prog ! 3* = (*IfJump (Less (V xx) (N NN)) 4 6*)

by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *isOutput1[simp]*: *prog ! 6* = *Output U (V tt)*

by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output-pcOf[simp]*: *pcOf cfg* < 6 ⇒ *is-Output (prog!(pcOf cfg))* ↔ *pcOf cfg* = 6

using *cases-6[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Fence-pcOf[simp]*: *pcOf cfg* < 6 ⇒ (*prog!(pcOf cfg)*) = *Fence* ↔ *pcOf cfg* = 4

using *cases-6[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output[simp]*: *is-Output (prog ! 6)*

unfolding *is-Output-def* *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *isSecV-pcOf[simp]*:
isSecV (cfg,ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow pcOf cfg = 0
using *isSecV-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *isSecO-pcOf[simp]*:
isSecO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT, ibUT,ls) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf cfg = 0 \wedge cfgs = [])
using *isSecO-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getInputT-not[simp]*: *pcOf cfg < 7 \implies*
(prog ! pcOf cfg) \neq Input T inp
apply(*cases cfg*) **subgoal for** *pc s* **using** *cases-6[of pcOf cfg]*]
by (*auto simp: prog-def*) .

lemma *getActV-pcOf[simp]*:
pcOf cfg < 7 \implies
getActV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
(if pcOf cfg = 1 then lhd ibUT else \perp)
apply(*subst getActV-simps*) **unfolding** *prog-def*
using *cases-6[of pcOf cfg]* **by** *auto*

lemma *getObsV-pcOf[simp]*:
pcOf cfg < 7 \implies
getObsV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
(if pcOf cfg = 6 then
(outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
else \perp
)
apply(*subst getObsV-simps*)
using *getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf*
unfolding *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getActO-pcOf[simp]*:
pcOf cfg < 7 \implies
getActO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
(if pcOf cfg = 1 \wedge cfgs = [] then lhd ibUT else \perp)
apply(*subst getActO-simps*)
apply(*cases cfgs, auto*)
unfolding *prog-def* **apply** *simp*
using *getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def* **by** *presburger*

lemma *getObsO-pcOf[simp]*:
pcOf cfg < 7 \implies

```

getObsO (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
  (if (pcOf cfg = 6 ∧ cfs = []) then
    (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
  else ⊥
)
apply(subst getObsO-simps)
apply(cases cfs, auto)
using getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV
unfolding prog-def by auto

```

```

lemma eqSec-pcOf[simp]:
eqSec (cfg1, ibT, ibUT1, ls1) (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT, ibUT3, ls3) ↔
  (pcOf cfg1 = 0 ↔ pcOf cfg3 = 0 ∧ cfs3 = []) ∧
  (pcOf cfg1 = 0 → stateOf cfg1 = stateOf cfg3)
unfolding eqSec-def by simp

```

```

lemma nextB-pc0[simp]:
nextB (Config 0 s, ibT, ibUT) =
  (Config 1 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence)
unfolding endPC-def unfolding prog-def by auto

```

```

lemma nextB-pc0'[simp]: nextB (Config 0 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
=
  (Config (Suc 0) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence)
unfolding endPC-def unfolding prog-def by auto

```

```

lemma readLocs-pc0[simp]:
readLocs (Config 0 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def unfolding prog-def by auto

```

```

lemma nextB-pc1[simp]:
ibUT ≠ LNil ⇒ nextB (Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT) =
  (Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := lhd ibUT))) avst h p), ibT, ltl ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-getUntrustedInput')
unfolding endPC-def unfolding prog-def by auto

```

```

lemma readLocs-pc1[simp]:

```

$readLocs (Config\ 1\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1 [simp]*:
 $ibUT \neq LNil \implies nextB (Config (Suc\ 0) (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $=$
 $(Config\ 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := lhd\ ibUT))))\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ltl\ ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1 [simp]*:
 $readLocs (Config (Suc\ 0) s) = \{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc2 [simp]*:
 $nextB (Config\ 2 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 3 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0))))\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc2 [simp]*:
 $readLocs (Config\ 2\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-then [simp]*:
 $vs\ xx < NN \implies$
 $nextB (Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 4 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-else [simp]*:
 $vs\ xx \geq NN \implies$
 $nextB (Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 6 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3*:
 $nextB (Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config (if\ vs\ xx < NN\ then\ 4\ else\ 6) (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(*cases vs xx < NN, auto*)

lemma *nextM-pc3-then [simp]*:
 $vs\ xx \geq NN \implies$

$nextM (Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 4 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextM-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc3-else[simp]:
 $vs\ xx < NN \implies$
 $nextM (Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 6 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextM-IfFalse)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc3:
 $nextM (Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ (if\ vs\ xx < NN\ then\ 6\ else\ 4) (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
by(cases vs xx < NN, auto)

lemma readLocs-pc3[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 3\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc4[simp]:
 $nextB (Config\ 4\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) = (Config\ 5\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc4[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 4\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc5[simp]:
 $nextB (Config\ 5 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst (Heap\ h)\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(let\ l = (array-loc\ aa2 (nat (h (array-loc\ aa1 (nat (vs\ xx))\ avst) * 512))\ avst)$
 $in (Config\ 6 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := h\ l)))\ avst (Heap\ h)\ p)),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc5[simp]:
 $readLocs (Config\ 5 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst (Heap\ h)\ p)) =$
 $\{array-loc\ aa2 (nat (h (array-loc\ aa1 (nat (vs\ xx))\ avst) * 512))\ avst,\ array-loc$
 $aa1 (nat (vs\ xx))\ avst\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma *nextB-pc6*[*simp*]:
nextB (*Config 6 s, ibT, ibUT*) = (*Config 7 s, ibT, ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Output*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc6*[*simp*]:
readLocs (*Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst (Heap h) p)*) =
 {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-stepB-pc*:
 $pc < 7 \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$
 $(Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow_B\ nextB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(*cases s*) **subgoal for** *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)
subgoal for *vs as h*
using *cases-6*[*of pc*] **apply** *safe*
subgoal by *simp*
subgoal by *simp*

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps, unfold endPC-def*)
by (*simp add: prog-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps, unfold endPC-def*)
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps, unfold endPC-def*)
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal by(*cases vs xx < NN, simp-all*)
subgoal by(*cases vs xx < NN, simp-all*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
by *simp+ . .*

lemma *not-finalB*:

$pc < \gamma \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$
 $\neg finalB (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
using *nextB-stepB-pc* **by** (*simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

lemma *finalB-pc-iff'*:

$pc < \gamma \implies$
 $finalB (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil)$
subgoal apply *safe*
subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)
subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)
subgoal using *finalB-iff getUntrustedInput-pcOf* **by** *auto . .*

lemma *finalB-pc-iff*:

$pc \leq \gamma \implies$
 $finalB (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pc = \gamma)$
using *cases-6[of pc]* **apply** (*elim disjE, simp add: finalB-def*)
subgoal by (*meson final-def stebB-0*)
by (*simp add: finalB-pc-iff' finalB-endPC*)+

lemma *finalB-pcOf-iff[simp]*:

$pcOf\ cfg \leq \gamma \implies$
 $finalB (cfg,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pcOf\ cfg = \gamma)$
by (*metis config.collapse finalB-pc-iff*)

lemma *finalS-cond:pcOf cfg < \gamma \implies cfigs = [] \implies (pcOf cfg = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies \neg finalS (pstate, cfg, cfigs, ibT, ibUT, ls)*

apply(*cases cfg*)
subgoal for *pc s* **apply**(*cases s*)
subgoal for *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)
subgoal for *vs as h*
using *cases-6[of pc]* **apply**(*elim disjE*) **unfolding** *finalS-defs*
subgoal using *nonspec-normal[of [] Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ 1\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls]$
using *is-If-pc* **by** *force*

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

$pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ 2\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(xx:=\ lhd\ ibUT)))\ avst\ hh$
 $p)$
 $ibT\ ltl\ ibUT\ []\ ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$

$vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p)) \ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all
subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]
 $vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p)) \ ls])$
 $pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$
 $Config \ 3 \ (State \ (Vstore \ (vs(tt:= \ 0))) \ avst \ hh \ p)$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \cup \ readLocs \ (Config \ pc \ (State \ (Vstore$
 $vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p)) \ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all
subgoal apply(*cases mispred pstate [3]*)
subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-mispred*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]
 $(Vstore \ vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p))]$
 $pstate \ update \ pstate \ [pcOf \ (Config \ pc \ (State$
 $(State \ (Vstore \ vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p))]$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ Config \ (if \ vs \ xx < NN \ then \ 4 \ else \ 6)$
 $(State \ (Vstore \ vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p)$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ Config \ (if \ vs \ xx < NN \ then \ 6 \ else \ 4)$
 $(State \ (Vstore \ vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p)$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ [Config \ (if \ vs \ xx < NN \ then \ 6 \ else$
 $4) \ (State \ (Vstore \ vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p)]$
 $ls \cup \ readLocs \ (Config \ pc \ (State \ (Vstore \ vs)$
 $avst \ hh \ p)) \ ls])$
prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (simp add: finalM-iff)+
subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]
 $vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p)$
 $pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$
 $Config \ (if \ vs \ xx < NN \ then \ 4 \ else \ 6) \ (State \ (Vstore$
 $vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p)$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \cup \ readLocs \ (Config \ pc \ (State \ (Vstore$
 $vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p)) \ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all .
subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]
 $hh \ p)$
 $pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$
 $Config \ 5 \ (State \ (Vstore \ vs) \text{ avst } hh \ p)$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all
subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]
 $hh \ p)$
 $pstate \ pstate \ ibT \ ibUT$
 $(let \ l = (array-loc \ aa2 \ (nat \ (h \ (array-loc \ aa1 \ (nat \ (vs \ xx))$
 $avst) \ * \ 512)) \ avst)$
 $in \ (Config \ 6 \ (State \ (Vstore \ (vs(tt := \ h \ l))) \ avst \ hh \ p)))$
 $ibT \ ibUT \ [] \ ls \cup \ readLocs \ (Config \ pc \ (State \ (Vstore \ vs) \text{ avst}$
 $hh \ p)) \ ls])$


```

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
hh p)
                pstate pstate ibT ibUT
                Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
                ibT ibUT [] ls ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

by simp-all . . .

lemma finalS-cond-spec:
  pcOf cfg < 7  $\implies$ 
  (pcOf (last cfgs) = 4  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg = 6)  $\vee$  (pcOf (last cfgs) = 6  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg =
  4)  $\implies$ 
  length cfgs = Suc 0  $\implies$ 
   $\neg$  finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)
apply(cases cfg)
subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs as h
  apply(elim disjE, elim conjE) unfolding finalS-defs
  subgoal using spec-resolve[of cfgs pstate cfg update pstate (pcOf cfg # map
pcOf cfgs) cfg [] ibT ibT ibUT ibUT ls ls ]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) butlast.simps(2) empty-set last-ConsL
      length-0-conv length-Suc-conv list.simps(8,9,15) pos2 resolve.simps)

  subgoal apply(elim conjE)
    using spec-resolve[of cfgs pstate cfg update pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf
cfgs) cfg [] ibT ibT ibUT ibUT ls ls ]
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) empty-set insert-commute last-ConsL
        resolve.simps length-0-conv length-1-butlast length-Suc-conv list.simps(9,8,15)) . .
    . .

```

end

9.2 Proof

```

theory Fun2-secure
  imports Fun2
begin

```

```

definition PC  $\equiv$  {0..6}

```

```

definition same-xx cfg3 cfg3' cfg4' cfg4  $\equiv$ 

```

$vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg3))\ xx = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg4))\ xx \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfs3. vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg3'))\ xx = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\$
 $cfg3))\ xx) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfs4. vstore (getVstore (stateOf\ cfg4'))\ xx = vstore (getVstore (stateOf\$
 $cfg4))\ xx)$

definition $beforeInput = \{0,1\}$

definition $afterInput = \{2,3,4,5,6\}$

definition $inThenBranch = \{4,5,6\}$

definition $startOfThenBranch = 4$

definition $elseBranch = 6$

definition $common :: stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow$
 $bool$

where

$common = (\lambda$
 $(pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(pstate3 = pstate4 \wedge$
 $cfg1 = cfg3 \wedge cfg2 = cfg4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = pcOf\ cfg4 \wedge map\ pcOf\ cfs3 = map\ pcOf\ cfs4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 \in PC \wedge pcOf\ ' (set\ cfs3) \subseteq PC \wedge$
 $///$
 $array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\$
 $cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfs3. array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array-base\ aa1$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfs4. array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array-base\ aa1$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\$
 $cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfs3. array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array-base\ aa2$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfs4. array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array-base\ aa2$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $///$
 $(statA = Diff \longrightarrow statO = Diff)))$

lemma $common-implies: common (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT, ibUT3, ls3)$

$(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT, ibUT4, ls4)$

$statA$

$(cfg1, ibT, ibUT1, ls1)$

$(cfg2, ibT, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf\ cfg1 < 8 \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = pcOf\ cfg1$
unfolding *common-def PC-def*
by (*auto simp: image-def subset-eq*)

definition $\Delta 0 :: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status$
 $\Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\Delta 0 = (\lambda\ num$
 $(pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$
 $ibUT1 = ibUT3 \wedge ibUT2 = ibUT4 \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg3 > 1 \longrightarrow same\text{-}xx\ cfg3\ cfs3\ cfg4\ cfs4) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg3 < 2 \longrightarrow ibUT1 \neq LNil \wedge ibUT2 \neq LNil \wedge ibUT3 \neq LNil \wedge ibUT4 \neq LNil)$
 \wedge
 $ls1 = ls3 \wedge ls2 = ls4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 \in beforeInput \wedge$
 $noMisSpec\ cfs3$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta 0\text{-defs} = \Delta 0\text{-def}\ common\text{-def}\ PC\text{-def}$
 $beforeInput\text{-def}$
 $same\text{-}xx\text{-def}\ noMisSpec\text{-def}$

lemma $\Delta 0\text{-implies: } \Delta 0\ num$

$(pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $(pcOf\ cfg3 = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT3 \neq LNil) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg4 = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT4 \neq LNil) \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg1 < 7 \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = pcOf\ cfg1 \wedge$
 $cfs3 = [] \wedge pcOf\ cfg3 < 7 \wedge$
 $cfs4 = [] \wedge pcOf\ cfg4 < 7$
unfolding $\Delta 0\text{-defs}$
apply (*intro conjI*)

apply *simp-all*
by (*metis map-is-Nil-conv*)

definition $\Delta 1 :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\Delta 1 = (\lambda \text{num}$
 (*pstate3*,*cfg3*,*cfgs3*,*ibT3*, *ibUT3*,*ls3*)
 (*pstate4*,*cfg4*,*cfgs4*,*ibT4*, *ibUT4*,*ls4*)
statA
 (*cfg1*,*ibT1*, *ibUT1*,*ls1*)
 (*cfg2*,*ibT2*, *ibUT2*,*ls2*)
statO.
 (*common* (*pstate3*,*cfg3*,*cfgs3*,*ibT3*,*ibUT3*,*ls3*)
 (*pstate4*,*cfg4*,*cfgs4*,*ibT4*,*ibUT4*,*ls4*)
statA
 (*cfg1*,*ibT1*,*ibUT1*,*ls1*)
 (*cfg2*,*ibT2*,*ibUT2*,*ls2*)
statO \wedge
ls1 = *ls3* \wedge *ls2* = *ls4* \wedge
same-xx *cfg3* *cfgs3* *cfg4* *cfgs4* \wedge
pcOf *cfg3* \in *afterInput* \wedge
noMisSpec *cfgs3*
))

lemmas $\Delta 1\text{-defs} = \Delta 1\text{-def}$ *common-def* *PC-def* *afterInput-def* *noMisSpec-def* *same-xx-def*

lemma $\Delta 1\text{-implies}$: $\Delta 1$ *num*

(*pstate3*,*cfg3*,*cfgs3*,*ibT3*,*ibUT3*,*ls3*)
 (*pstate4*,*cfg4*,*cfgs4*,*ibT4*,*ibUT4*,*ls4*)
statA
 (*cfg1*,*ibT1*,*ibUT1*,*ls1*)
 (*cfg2*,*ibT2*,*ibUT2*,*ls2*)
statO \implies
pcOf *cfg1* < 7 \wedge
cfgs3 = [] \wedge *pcOf* *cfg3* \neq 1 \wedge *pcOf* *cfg3* < 7 \wedge
cfgs4 = [] \wedge *pcOf* *cfg4* \neq 1 \wedge *pcOf* *cfg4* < 7

unfolding $\Delta 1\text{-defs}$

apply(*intro conjI*) **apply** *simp-all*

apply *linarith*

apply (*metis list.map-disc-iff*)

using *semiring-norm*(83,84)

by *linarith*

definition $\Delta 2 :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\Delta 2 = (\lambda \text{num}$
 (*pstate3*,*cfg3*,*cfgs3*,*ibT3*,*ibUT3*,*ls3*)

```

    (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
    (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
    statO.
  (common (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
    (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
    (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 
    same-xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3 = startOfThenBranch  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf (last cfs3) = elseBranch  $\wedge$ 
    misSpecL1 cfs3
  ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 2$ -defs = $\Delta 2$ -def common-def PC-def same-xx-def inThenBranch-def
 elseBranch-def startOfThenBranch-def misSpecL1-def same-xx-def

lemma $\Delta 2$ -implies: $\Delta 2$ num (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO \implies
 pcOf (last cfs3) = 6 \wedge pcOf cfg3 = 4 \wedge
 pcOf (last cfs4) = pcOf (last cfs3) \wedge
 pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4 \wedge
 length cfs3 = Suc 0 \wedge
 length cfs3 = length cfs4
apply (intro conjI)
unfolding $\Delta 2$ -defs **apply** simp-all
apply (simp add: image-subset-iff)
apply (metis last-map list.map-disc-iff)
by (metis length-map)

definition $\Delta 3$:: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status
 \Rightarrow bool **where**

```

 $\Delta 3$  = ( $\lambda$  num
  (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  statO.

```

```

(common (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  statO  $\wedge$ 
  ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 
  pcOf cfg3 = elseBranch  $\wedge$ 
  pcOf (last cfgs3) = startOfThenBranch  $\wedge$ 
  same-xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4  $\wedge$ 
  misSpecL1 cfgs3
))

```

lemmas $\Delta 3$ -defs = $\Delta 3$ -def common-def PC-def same-xx-def elseBranch-def startOfThen-Branch-def
 misSpecL1-def same-xx-def

lemma $\Delta 3$ -implies: $\Delta 3$ num

```

(pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO  $\implies$ 
pcOf (last cfgs3) = 4  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3 = 6  $\wedge$ 
pcOf (last cfgs4) = pcOf (last cfgs3)  $\wedge$ 
pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4  $\wedge$ 
array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf (last cfgs3))) = array-base aa1 (getAvstore
(stateOf cfg3))  $\wedge$ 
array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf (last cfgs4))) = array-base aa1 (getAvstore
(stateOf cfg4))  $\wedge$ 
length cfgs3 = Suc 0  $\wedge$ 
length cfgs3 = length cfgs4

```

apply(intro conjI)

unfolding $\Delta 3$ -defs **apply** simp-all

apply (simp add: image-subset-iff)

apply (metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv)

apply (metis last-in-set list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

apply (metis One-nat-def last-in-set length-0-conv length-map zero-neq-one)

by (metis length-map)

definition $\Delta 4$:: enat \implies stateO \implies stateO \implies status \implies stateV \implies stateV \implies status
 \implies bool **where**

```

 $\Delta 4$  = ( $\lambda$ num
  (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA

```

```

    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO.
    (pcOf cfg3 = endPC  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg4 = endPC  $\wedge$  cfs3 = []  $\wedge$  cfs4 = []  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg1 = endPC  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg2 = endPC))

```

lemmas Δ_4 -defs = Δ_4 -def common-def endPC-def

```

lemma init: initCond  $\Delta 0$ 
  unfolding initCond-def
  unfolding initCond-def apply(intro allI)
  subgoal for s3 s4 apply(cases s3, cases s4)
subgoal for pstate3 cfs3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 pstate4 cfs4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4
apply clarsimp
apply(cases getAvstore (stateOf cfs3), cases getAvstore (stateOf cfs4))
unfolding  $\Delta 0$ -defs
unfolding array-base-def by auto . .

```

lemma *step0*: *unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 0$ (*oor* $\Delta 0$ $\Delta 1$)

```

proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 0$ :  $\Delta 0$  n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

```

```

  obtain pstate3 cfs3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfs3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfs4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfs4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

```

```

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

```

```

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)

```

```

obtain  $hh_4$  where  $h_4: h_4 = \text{Heap } hh_4$  by(cases  $h_4$ , auto)
note  $hh = h_3 h_4$ 

have  $f_1: \neg \text{finalN } ss_1$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss$  finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 0$ -defs
  by simp

have  $f_2: \neg \text{finalN } ss_2$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss$  finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 0$ -defs
  by simp

have  $f_3: \neg \text{finalS } ss_3$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

have  $f_4: \neg \text{finalS } ss_4$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

note  $\text{finals} = f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4$ 
show  $\text{finalS } ss_3 = \text{finalS } ss_4 \wedge \text{finalN } ss_1 = \text{finalS } ss_3 \wedge \text{finalN } ss_2 = \text{finalS } ss_4$ 
  using  $\text{finals}$  by auto

then show  $\text{isIntO } ss_3 = \text{isIntO } ss_4$  by simp

show  $\text{react } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show  $\text{match1 } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  show  $\text{match2 } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  show  $\text{match12 } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix  $ss_3' ss_4' \text{ statA}'$ 
  assume  $\text{statA}'$ :  $\text{statA}' = \text{sstatA}' \text{ statA } ss_3 ss_4$ 
  and  $v$ :  $\text{validTransO } (ss_3, ss_3')$   $\text{validTransO } (ss_4, ss_4')$ 
  and  $sa$ :  $\text{Opt.eqAct } ss_3 ss_4$ 
  note  $v_3 = v(1)$  note  $v_4 = v(2)$ 

  obtain  $pstate_3' cfg_3' cfs_3' ibT_3' ibUT_3' ls_3'$  where  $ss_3'$ :  $ss_3' = (pstate_3',$ 
 $cfg_3', cfs_3', ibT_3', ibUT_3', ls_3')$ 
  by (cases  $ss_3'$ , auto)
  obtain  $pstate_4' cfg_4' cfs_4' ibT_4' ibUT_4' ls_4'$  where  $ss_4'$ :  $ss_4' = (pstate_4',$ 
 $cfg_4', cfs_4', ibT_4', ibUT_4', ls_4')$ 
  by (cases  $ss_4'$ , auto)

```



```

note  $ss = ss\ ss3'\ ss4'$ 

obtain  $pc3\ vs3\ avst3\ h3\ p3$  where
 $cfg3: cfg3 = Config\ pc3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3)$ 
by ( $cases\ cfg3$ ) ( $metis\ state.collapse\ vstore.collapse$ )
obtain  $pc4\ vs4\ avst4\ h4\ p4$  where
 $cfg4: cfg4 = Config\ pc4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4)$ 
by ( $cases\ cfg4$ ) ( $metis\ state.collapse\ vstore.collapse$ )
note  $cfg = cfg3\ cfg4$ 

show  $eqSec\ ss1\ ss3$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$ 
by ( $simp\ add: \Delta 0-defs\ eqSec-def$ )

show  $eqSec\ ss2\ ss4$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$ 
apply ( $simp\ add: \Delta 0-defs\ eqSec-def$ )
by ( $metis\ length-0-conv\ length-map$ )

show  $Van.eqAct\ ss1\ ss2$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$ 
unfolding  $Opt.eqAct-def\ Van.eqAct-def$ 
apply ( $simp-all\ add: \Delta 0-defs$ )
by ( $metis\ f3\ map-is-Nil-conv\ ss3$ )

show  $match12-12\ (oor\ \Delta 0\ \Delta 1)\ ss3'\ ss4'\ statA'\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
unfolding  $match12-12-def$ 
proof ( $rule\ exI[of\ -\ nextN\ ss1]$ ,  $rule\ exI[of\ -\ nextN\ ss2]$ ,  $unfold\ Let-def$ ,  $intro$ 
 $conjI\ impI$ )
  show  $validTransV\ (ss1,\ nextN\ ss1)$ 
  by ( $simp\ add: f1\ nextN-stepN$ )

  show  $validTransV\ (ss2,\ nextN\ ss2)$ 
  by ( $simp\ add: f2\ nextN-stepN$ )

  {assume  $sstat: statA' = Diff$ 
  show  $sstatO'\ statO\ ss1\ ss2 = Diff$ 
  using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0\ sstat$  unfolding  $ss\ cfg\ statA'$  apply  $simp$ 
  apply ( $simp\ add: \Delta 0-defs\ sstatO'-def\ sstatA'-def\ finalS-def\ final-def$ )
  using  $cases-6[of\ pc3]$  apply ( $elim\ disjE$ )
  apply  $simp-all$  apply ( $cases\ statO$ ,  $simp-all$ ) apply ( $cases\ statA$ ,  $simp-all$ )
  apply ( $cases\ statO$ ,  $simp-all$ ) apply ( $cases\ statA$ ,  $simp-all$ )
  apply ( $fastforce$ )
  using  $newStat.simps\ status.exhaust\ status.distinct$  by ( $smt(z3)$ )
  } note  $stat = this$ 

  show  $oor\ \Delta 0\ \Delta 1\ \infty\ ss3'\ ss4'\ statA'\ (nextN\ ss1)\ (nextN\ ss2)\ (sstatO'\ statO$ 
 $ss1\ ss2)$ 

```

```

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-resolve
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
        next
          case nonspec-mispred
            then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss apply (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
              by (metis is-If-pc less-Suc-eq nat-less-le numeral-1-eq-Suc-0 nu-
meral-3-eq-3
one-eq-numeral-iff semiring-norm(83) zero-less-numeral zero-neq-numeral)

    next
      case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
      show ?thesis
      using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
        case nonspec-mispred
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-normal
            then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
          next
            case spec-mispred
              then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
            next
              case spec-Fence
                then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
              next
                case spec-resolve
                  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
                next
                  case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal

```

```

      show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 0$  v3 v4 nn3 nn4 f4 unfolding ss cfg hh
Opt.eqAct-def
  apply clarsimp
  using cases-6[of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal apply (rule oorI1) by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  subgoal apply (rule oorI2) apply (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs, auto)
    unfolding  $\Delta 1$ -defs
    subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs) .
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)+
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma step1: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 1$  (oor4  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 4$ )
proof (rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 1$ :  $\Delta 1$  n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

```

```

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

```

```

  obtain pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1 where
cfg1: cfg1 = Config pc1 (State (Vstore vs1) avst1 h1 p1)
  by (cases cfg1) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2 where
cfg2: cfg2 = Config pc2 (State (Vstore vs2) avst2 h2 p2)
  by (cases cfg2) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg1 cfg2 cfg3 cfg4

```

```

obtain  $hh3$  where  $h3: h3 = \text{Heap } hh3$  by(cases  $h3$ , auto)
obtain  $hh4$  where  $h4: h4 = \text{Heap } hh4$  by(cases  $h4$ , auto)
note  $hh = h3 \ h4$ 

have  $f1: \neg \text{finalN } ss1$ 
  using  $\Delta 1$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss \ cfg \ \text{finalN-iff-finalB}$   $\Delta 1$ -defs
  by simp linarith

have  $f2: \neg \text{finalN } ss2$ 
  using  $\Delta 1$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss \ cfg \ \text{finalN-iff-finalB}$   $\Delta 1$ -defs
  by simp linarith

have  $f3: \neg \text{finalS } ss3$ 
  using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

have  $f4: \neg \text{finalS } ss4$ 
  using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

note  $\text{finals} = f1 \ f2 \ f3 \ f4$ 

show  $\text{finalS } ss3 = \text{finalS } ss4 \wedge \text{finalN } ss1 = \text{finalS } ss3 \wedge \text{finalN } ss2 = \text{finalS } ss4$ 
  using  $\text{finals}$  by auto

then show  $\text{isIntO } ss3 = \text{isIntO } ss4$  by simp

show  $\text{react } (\text{oor}_4 \ \Delta 1 \ \Delta 2 \ \Delta 3 \ \Delta 4) \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA } ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}$ 
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show  $\text{match1 } (\text{oor}_4 \ \Delta 1 \ \Delta 2 \ \Delta 3 \ \Delta 4) \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA } ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}$ 
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show  $\text{match2 } (\text{oor}_4 \ \Delta 1 \ \Delta 2 \ \Delta 3 \ \Delta 4) \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA } ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}$ 
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show  $\text{match12 } (\text{oor}_4 \ \Delta 1 \ \Delta 2 \ \Delta 3 \ \Delta 4) \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA } ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}$ 

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix  $ss3' \ ss4' \ \text{statA}'$ 
  assume  $\text{statA}': \text{statA}' = \text{sstatA}' \ \text{statA} \ ss3 \ ss4$ 
  and  $v: \text{validTransO } (ss3, \ ss3') \ \text{validTransO } (ss4, \ ss4')$ 
  and  $sa: \text{Opt.eqAct } ss3 \ ss4$ 
  note  $v3 = v(1)$  note  $v4 = v(2)$ 

  obtain  $pstate3' \ cfg3' \ cfs3' \ \text{ibT3}' \ \text{ibUT3}' \ \text{ls3}'$  where  $ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',$ 
 $cfg3', cfs3', \text{ibT3}', \text{ibUT3}', \text{ls3}')$ 
  by (cases  $ss3'$ , auto)
  obtain  $pstate4' \ cfg4' \ cfs4' \ \text{ibT4}' \ \text{ibUT4}' \ \text{ls4}'$  where  $ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',$ 

```

```

cfg4', cfigs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
using v sa Δ1 unfolding ss
by (simp add: Δ1-defs eqSec-def)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
using v sa Δ1 unfolding ss
apply (simp add: Δ1-defs eqSec-def)
by (metis length-0-conv length-map)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa Δ1 unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
apply (simp-all add: Δ1-defs)
by linarith

show match12-12 (oor4 Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 Δ4) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
  by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

{assume sstat: statA' = Diff
show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
using v sa Δ1 sstat unfolding ss cfg statA'
apply(simp add: Δ1-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
using cases-6[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
defer 1 defer 1
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  by simp+
} note stat = this

show (oor4 Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 Δ4) ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2)

```

(*sstatO' statO ss1 ss2*)

```
using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis
    using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nm3 nm4 unfolding ss cfg hh apply clarsimp
    using cases-6[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal by simp
```

```

      subgoal using xx-NN-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I2) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ2-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I3) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ3-defs) .
      by (simp add: Δ1-defs)+
    qed
  next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
    show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat v3 v4 nn3 unfolding ss cfg hh
apply clarsimp
      using cases-6[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
      subgoal by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by(simp add:Δ1-defs)
      subgoal using xx-NN-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs) .
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I4) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ4-defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor4I4) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ4-defs) .
    qed
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma step2: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 1$ 
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix  $n$   $ss3$   $ss4$   $statA$   $ss1$   $ss2$   $statO$ 
  assume  $r$ : reachO  $ss3$  reachO  $ss4$  reachV  $ss1$  reachV  $ss2$ 
  and  $\Delta 2$ :  $\Delta 2$   $n$   $ss3$   $ss4$   $statA$   $ss1$   $ss2$   $statO$ 

  obtain  $pstate3$   $cfg3$   $cfgs3$   $ibT3$   $ibUT3$   $ls3$  where  $ss3$ :  $ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,$ 
 $ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$ 
  by (cases  $ss3$ , auto)
  obtain  $pstate4$   $cfg4$   $cfgs4$   $ibT4$   $ibUT4$   $ls4$  where  $ss4$ :  $ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,$ 
 $ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$ 
  by (cases  $ss4$ , auto)
  obtain  $cfg1$   $ibT1$   $ibUT1$   $ls1$  where  $ss1$ :  $ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$ 
  by (cases  $ss1$ , auto)
  obtain  $cfg2$   $ibT2$   $ibUT2$   $ls2$  where  $ss2$ :  $ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$ 
  by (cases  $ss2$ , auto)
  note  $ss = ss3$   $ss4$   $ss1$   $ss2$ 

  obtain  $pc3$   $vs3$   $avst3$   $h3$   $p3$  where
 $lcfgs3$ :  $last$   $cfgs3 = Config$   $pc3$  (State (Vstore  $vs3$ )  $avst3$   $h3$   $p3$ )
  by (cases  $last$   $cfgs3$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain  $pc4$   $vs4$   $avst4$   $h4$   $p4$  where
 $lcfgs4$ :  $last$   $cfgs4 = Config$   $pc4$  (State (Vstore  $vs4$ )  $avst4$   $h4$   $p4$ )
  by (cases  $last$   $cfgs4$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note  $lcfgs = lcfgs3$   $lcfgs4$ 

  have  $f1$ :  $\neg finalN$   $ss1$ 
    using  $\Delta 2$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss$  finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 2$ -defs
    by auto

  have  $f2$ :  $\neg finalN$   $ss2$ 
    using  $\Delta 2$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss$  finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 2$ -defs
    by auto

  have  $f3$ :  $\neg finalS$   $ss3$ 
    using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies)
    using finalS-cond-spec by simp

  have  $f4$ :  $\neg finalS$   $ss4$ 
    using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies)
    using finalS-cond-spec by simp

  note  $finals = f1$   $f2$   $f3$   $f4$ 
  show  $finalS$   $ss3 = finalS$   $ss4 \wedge finalN$   $ss1 = finalS$   $ss3 \wedge finalN$   $ss2 = finalS$   $ss4$ 
    using  $finals$  by auto

```



```

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

show match1  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfigs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfigs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfigs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfigs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by (cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by (cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

  show stat: statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$ 
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
  apply (simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs sstatA'-def)
  apply (cases statO, simp-all)
  apply (cases statA, simp-all)
  unfolding finalS-def final-def
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) newStat.simps(1))

```

```

show  $\Delta 1 \infty ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO$ 

using  $v3$ [unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using  $sa stat \Delta 2$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using  $sa stat \Delta 2$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using  $sa stat \Delta 2 v3$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-
  apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using  $sa stat \Delta 2$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-
  apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using  $sa stat \Delta 2$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-
  apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve note  $sr3 = spec-resolve$ 
  show ?thesis using  $v4$ [unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using  $sa stat \Delta 2 sr3$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using  $sa stat \Delta 2 sr3$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using  $sa stat \Delta 2 sr3$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using  $sa stat \Delta 2 sr3$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using  $sa stat \Delta 2 sr3$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve note  $sr4 = spec-resolve$ 
    show ?thesis using  $sa stat \Delta 2 v3 v4 sr3 sr4$ 
    unfolding  $ss$  lcfgs hh apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
  qed
qed

```

qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *step3: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 3$ (oor $\Delta 3$ $\Delta 1$)*

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

assume *r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2*

and $\Delta 3$: $\Delta 3$ *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

obtain *pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3* **where** *ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)*

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain *pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4* **where** *ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)*

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain *cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1* **where** *ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)*

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain *cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2* **where** *ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)*

by (*cases ss2, auto*)

note *ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2*

obtain *pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3* **where**

lcfgs3: last cfgs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)

by (*cases last cfgs3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain *pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4* **where**

lcfgs4: last cfgs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)

by (*cases last cfgs4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

note *lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4*

obtain *hh3* **where** *h3: h3 = Heap hh3* **by**(*cases h3, auto*)

obtain *hh4* **where** *h4: h4 = Heap hh4* **by**(*cases h4, auto*)

note *hh = h3 h4*

have *f1: \neg finalN ss1*

using $\Delta 3$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** *ss finalN-iff-finalB $\Delta 3$ -defs*

by *auto*

have *f2: \neg finalN ss2*

using $\Delta 3$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** *ss finalN-iff-finalB $\Delta 3$ -defs*

by *auto*

have *f3: \neg finalS ss3*

using $\Delta 3$ **unfolding** *ss apply-apply(frule $\Delta 3$ -implies)*

using *finalS-cond-spec* **by** *simp*

```

have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond-spec by simp

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1, intro conjI)
    fix ss3' ss4' statA'
    assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
    and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
    and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
    note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

    obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
    by (cases ss3', auto)
    obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
    by (cases ss4', auto)
    note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

  show stat: statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff
  using v sa  $\Delta 3$ 
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  using v sa  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)

```

```

unfolding finalS-defs
by (smt (z3) Zero-neq-Suc list.size(3))
      map-eq-imp-length-eq status.exhaust newStat.simps)

show oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$   $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss by (simp-all add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss by (simp-all add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss apply-
      apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss apply-
      apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss
      apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
      by (metis cfigs-map config.sel(1) empty-set list.set-map list.simps(15))
  next
    case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
      show ?thesis
      using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
        case nonspec-normal
          then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
          by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
        next
          case nonspec-mispred
            then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
            by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
          next
            case spec-mispred
              then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
              by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
            next
              case spec-normal
                then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
                by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
              next
                case spec-Fence
                  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss
                  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case spec-resolve note  $sr_4 = \text{spec-resolve}$ 
  show ?thesis
  apply(intro oorI2)
  using  $sa\ stat\ \Delta_3\ lcfgs\ v_3\ v_4\ sr_3\ sr_4$  unfolding  $ss\ hh$ 
  apply(simp add: \Delta_3-defs \Delta_1-defs)
  by (metis empty-iff empty-set length-1-butlast map-eq-imp-length-eq)
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *stepe: unwindIntoCond* $\Delta_4\ \Delta_4$

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix $n\ ss_3\ ss_4\ statA\ ss_1\ ss_2\ statO$

assume $r: reachO\ ss_3\ reachO\ ss_4\ reachV\ ss_1\ reachV\ ss_2$

and $\Delta_4: \Delta_4\ n\ ss_3\ ss_4\ statA\ ss_1\ ss_2\ statO$

obtain $pstate_3\ cfg_3\ cfgs_3\ ibT_3\ ibUT_3\ ls_3$ **where** $ss_3: ss_3 = (pstate_3, cfg_3, cfgs_3, ibT_3, ibUT_3, ls_3)$

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain $pstate_4\ cfg_4\ cfgs_4\ ibT_4\ ibUT_4\ ls_4$ **where** $ss_4: ss_4 = (pstate_4, cfg_4, cfgs_4, ibT_4, ibUT_4, ls_4)$

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain $cfg_1\ ibT_1\ ibUT_1\ ls_1$ **where** $ss_1: ss_1 = (cfg_1, ibT_1, ibUT_1, ls_1)$

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain $cfg_2\ ibT_2\ ibUT_2\ ls_2$ **where** $ss_2: ss_2 = (cfg_2, ibT_2, ibUT_2, ls_2)$

by (*cases ss2, auto*)

note $ss = ss_3\ ss_4\ ss_1\ ss_2$

obtain $pc_3\ vs_3\ avst_3\ h_3\ p_3$ **where**

$cfg_3: cfg_3 = Config\ pc_3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs_3)\ avst_3\ h_3\ p_3)$

by (*cases cfg3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc_4\ vs_4\ avst_4\ h_4\ p_4$ **where**

$cfg_4: cfg_4 = Config\ pc_4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs_4)\ avst_4\ h_4\ p_4)$

by (*cases cfg4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

note $cfg = cfg_3\ cfg_4$

obtain hh_3 **where** $h_3: h_3 = Heap\ hh_3$ **by**(*cases h3, auto*)

obtain hh_4 **where** $h_4: h_4 = Heap\ hh_4$ **by**(*cases h4, auto*)

note $hh = h_3\ h_4$

show $finalS\ ss_3 = finalS\ ss_4 \wedge finalN\ ss_1 = finalS\ ss_3 \wedge finalN\ ss_2 = finalS\ ss_4$

using $\Delta_4\ Opt.final-def\ Prog.endPC-def\ finalS-def\ stepS-endPC$

unfolding $\Delta_4-defs\ ss$ **apply** *clarify*

by (*metis Prog.finalN-defs(1) Prog.finalN-endPC Prog-axioms stepS-endPC*)

```

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  apply(rule match12-simpleI) using  $\Delta_4$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta_4$ -defs)
  by (simp add: stepS-endPC)
qed
qed

```

lemmas *theConds* = *step0 step1 step2 step3 step4*

proposition *rsecure*

proof –

```

define m where m: m  $\equiv$  (5::nat)
define  $\Delta_s$  where  $\Delta_s$ :  $\Delta_s \equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
  if i = 0 then  $\Delta_0$ 
  else if i = 1 then  $\Delta_1$ 
  else if i = 2 then  $\Delta_2$ 
  else if i = 3 then  $\Delta_3$ 
  else  $\Delta_4$ 
define nxt where nxt: nxt  $\equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
  if i = 0 then {0,1::nat}
  else if i = 1 then {1,2,3,4}
  else if i = 2 then {1}
  else if i = 3 then {3,1}
  else {4}
show ?thesis apply(rule distrib-unwind-rsecure[of m nxt  $\Delta_s$ ])
  subgoal unfolding m by auto
  subgoal unfolding nxt m by auto
  subgoal using init unfolding  $\Delta_s$  by auto
  subgoal
    unfolding m nxt  $\Delta_s$  apply (simp split: if-splits)
    using theConds
    unfolding oor-def oor3-def oor4-def by auto .
qed

```

end

10 Proof of Relative Security for fun3

theory *Fun3*

```

imports ../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem
          Relative-Security.Unwinding-fin
begin

```

10.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

```

no-notation bot ( $\langle \perp \rangle$ )

```

```

consts NN::nat

```

```

lemma NN:int NN  $\geq 0$  by auto

```

```

consts size-aa1 :: nat

```

```

consts size-aa2 :: nat

```

```

consts mispred :: predState  $\Rightarrow$  pcounter list  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

consts update :: predState  $\Rightarrow$  pcounter list  $\Rightarrow$  predState

```

```

consts initPstate :: predState

```

```

definition aa1 :: avname where aa1 = "a1"

```

```

definition aa2 :: avname where aa2 = "a2"

```

```

definition vv :: avname where vv = "v"

```

```

definition xx :: avname where xx = "x"

```

```

definition tt :: avname where tt = "t"

```

```

lemmas vvars-defs = aa1-def aa2-def vv-def xx-def tt-def

```

```

lemma vvars-dff[simp]:

```

```

aa1  $\neq$  aa2 aa1  $\neq$  vv aa1  $\neq$  xx aa1  $\neq$  tt

```

```

aa2  $\neq$  aa1 aa2  $\neq$  vv aa2  $\neq$  xx aa2  $\neq$  tt

```

```

vv  $\neq$  aa1 vv  $\neq$  aa2 vv  $\neq$  xx vv  $\neq$  tt

```

```

xx  $\neq$  aa1 xx  $\neq$  aa2 xx  $\neq$  vv xx  $\neq$  tt

```

```

tt  $\neq$  aa1 tt  $\neq$  aa2 tt  $\neq$  vv tt  $\neq$  xx

```

```

unfolding vvars-defs by auto

```

```

fun initAvstore :: avstore  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

```

initAvstore (Avstore as) = (as aa1 = (0, size-aa1)  $\wedge$  as aa2 = (size-aa1, size-aa2))

```

```

fun istate :: state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where

```

```

istate s = (initAvstore (getAvstore s))

```

```

definition prog  $\equiv$ 

```

```

[

```

```

   $\emptyset$  Start ,

```

```

   $\mathcal{A}$  Input U xx ,

```

```

   $\mathcal{R}$  tt ::= (N 0) ,

```

```

   $\mathcal{B}$  IfJump (Less (V xx) (N NN))  $\downarrow$   $\gamma$  ,

```

```

   $\mathcal{A}$  vv ::= VA aa1 (V xx) ,

```

```

   $\mathcal{F}$  Fence ,

```



```

// tt ::= (VA aa2 (Times (V vv) (N 512))) ,
// Output U (V tt)
]

```

```

lemma cases-7: (i::pcounter) = 0  $\vee$  i = 1  $\vee$  i = 2  $\vee$  i = 3  $\vee$  i = 4  $\vee$  i = 5  $\vee$ 
i = 6  $\vee$  i = 7  $\vee$  i > 7
apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
.....

```

lemma *xx-NN-cases*: $vs\ xx < int\ NN \vee vs\ xx \geq int\ NN$ **by** *auto*

```

lemma is-If-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 8  $\implies$  is-IfJump (prog ! (pcOf cfg))  $\longleftrightarrow$  pcOf cfg = 3
apply(cases cfg) subgoal for pc s using cases-7[of pcOf cfg ]
by (auto simp: prog-def) .

```

```

lemma is-If-pc[simp]:
pc < 8  $\implies$  is-IfJump (prog ! pc)  $\longleftrightarrow$  pc = 3
using cases-7[of pc]
by (auto simp: prog-def)

```

```

lemma eq-Fence-pc[simp]:
pc < 8  $\implies$  prog ! pc = Fence  $\longleftrightarrow$  pc = 5
using cases-7[of pc]
by (auto simp: prog-def)

```

```

fun resolve :: predState  $\Rightarrow$  pcounter list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  resolve p pc = (if (pc = [4,7]) then True else False)

```

interpretation *Prog-Mispred-Init* **where**
prog = prog **and** initPstate = initPstate **and**
mispred = mispred **and** resolve = resolve **and** update = update **and**
istate = istate

by (standard, simp add: prog-def)

abbreviation

stepB-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ⇒
bool (infix ⟨→B⟩ 55)
where x →B y == stepB x y

abbreviation

stepsB-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ⇒
bool (infix ⟨→B*⟩ 55)
where x →B* y == star stepB x y

abbreviation

stepM-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ⇒
bool (infix ⟨→M⟩ 55)
where x →M y == stepM x y

abbreviation

stepN-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist × loc set ⇒ config × val llist × val
llist × loc set ⇒ bool (infix ⟨→N⟩ 55)
where x →N y == stepN x y

abbreviation

stepsN-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist × loc set ⇒ config × val llist × val
llist × loc set ⇒ bool (infix ⟨→N*⟩ 55)
where x →N* y == star stepN x y

abbreviation

stepS-abbrev :: configS ⇒ configS ⇒ bool (infix ⟨→S⟩ 55)
where x →S y == stepS x y

abbreviation

stepsS-abbrev :: configS ⇒ configS ⇒ bool (infix ⟨→S*⟩ 55)
where x →S* y == star stepS x y

lemma endPC[simp]: endPC = 8
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma is-getTrustedInput-pcOf[simp]: pcOf cfg < 8 ⇒ is-getInput (prog!(pcOf
cfg)) ⇔ pcOf cfg = 1

using cases-7[of pcOf cfg] **by** (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma getUntrustedInput-pcOf[simp]: prog!1 = Input U xx
by (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma *getInput-not3*[simp]: \neg is-getInput (prog ! 3)
by (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma *getInput-not4*[simp]: \neg is-getInput (prog ! 4)
by (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma *Output-not4*[simp]: \neg is-Output (prog ! 4)
by (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma *is-Output-pcOf*[simp]: $pcOf\ cf g < 8 \implies is-Output\ (prog!(pcOf\ cf g)) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cf g = 7$
using cases-7[of pcOf cf g] **by** (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma *is-Output*: is-Output (prog ! 7)
unfolding is-Output-def prog-def **by** auto

lemma *is-Fence*[simp]: (prog ! 5) = Fence
unfolding prog-def **by** auto

lemma *not-is-getTrustedInput*[simp]: $cfg = Config\ 3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ (Avstore\ as)\ (Heap\ h)\ p) \implies \neg\ is-getInput\ (prog!\ pcOf\ cf g)$
unfolding is-getInput-def prog-def **by** simp

lemma *not-is-Output*[simp]: $cfg = Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ (Avstore\ as)\ (Heap\ h)\ p) \implies pc = 3 \implies \neg\ is-Output\ (prog!\ pcOf\ cf g)$
unfolding is-Output prog-def **by** simp

lemma *isSecV-pcOf*[simp]:
isSecV (cfg,ibT,ibUT) $\longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cf g = 0$
using isSecV-def **by** simp

lemma *isSecO-pcOf*[simp]:
isSecO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) $\longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cf g = 0 \wedge cfgs = [])$
using isSecO-def **by** simp

lemma *getInputT-not*[simp]: $pcOf\ cf g < 8 \implies (prog!\ pcOf\ cf g) \neq Input\ T\ inp$
apply(cases cf g) **subgoal for** pc s **using** cases-7[of pcOf cf g]
by (auto simp: prog-def) .

lemma *getActV-pcOf*[simp]:
 $pcOf\ cf g < 8 \implies getActV\ (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =$

(if $pcOf\ cfg = 1$ then $lhd\ ibUT$ else \perp)
apply(subst getActV-simps) **unfolding** prog-def
apply simp
using cases-7[of pcOf cfg] **apply**(elim disjE)
using getActV-simps not-is-getTrustedInput-getActV **by** auto

lemma getObsV-pcOf[simp]:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 8 \implies$
 $getObsV\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
 (if $pcOf\ cfg = 7$ then
 $(outOf\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))\ (stateOf\ cfg), ls)$
 else \perp
)
apply(subst getObsV-simps)
unfolding prog-def **apply** simp
using getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf prog-def **by** presburger

lemma getActO-pcOf[simp]:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 8 \implies$
 $getActO\ (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
 (if $pcOf\ cfg = 1 \wedge cfs = []$ then $lhd\ ibUT$ else \perp)
apply(subst getActO-simps)
apply(cases cfs, auto)
unfolding prog-def **apply** simp
using getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def **by** presburger

lemma getObsO-pcOf[simp]:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 8 \implies$
 $getObsO\ (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
 (if ($pcOf\ cfg = 7 \wedge cfs = []$) then
 $(outOf\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))\ (stateOf\ cfg), ls)$
 else \perp
)
apply(subst getObsO-simps)
apply(cases cfs, auto)
unfolding prog-def **apply** simp
using getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV prog-def **by** presburger

lemma eqSec-pcOf[simp]:
 $eqSec\ (cfg1, ibT, ibUT1, ls1)\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT, ibUT3, ls3) \iff$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 = 0 \iff pcOf\ cfg3 = 0 \wedge cfs3 = []) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 = 0 \implies stateOf\ cfg1 = stateOf\ cfg3)$
unfolding eqSec-def **by** simp

lemma *nextB-pc0[simp]*:
nextB (*Config 0 s, ibT, ibUT*) =
 (*Config 1 s, ibT, ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc0[simp]*:
readLocs (*Config 0 s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1[simp]*:
ibUT \neq *LNil* \implies *nextB* (*Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*) =
 (*Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := lhd ibUT))) avst h p), ibT, ltl ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput'*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1[simp]*:
readLocs (*Config 1 s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1'[simp]*:
ibUT \neq *LNil* \implies *nextB* (*Config (Suc 0) (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*)
 =
 (*Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := lhd ibUT))) avst h p), ibT, ltl ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput'*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1'[simp]*:
readLocs (*Config (Suc 0) s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc2[simp]*:
nextB (*Config 2 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*) =
 (*Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0))) avst h p), ibT, ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc2[simp]*:
readLocs (*Config 2 s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-then[simp]*:
vs xx < int NN \implies
nextB (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-else[simp]*:
vs xx \geq int NN \implies
nextB (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*Config 7* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3*:
nextB (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*Config* (*if vs xx < NN then 4 else 7*) (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
by(*cases vs xx < NN*, *auto*)

lemma *nextM-pc3-then[simp]*:
vs xx \geq int NN \implies
nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextM-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3-else[simp]*:
vs xx < int NN \implies
nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*Config 7* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextM-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3*:
nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*Config* (*if vs xx < NN then 7 else 4*) (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
by(*cases vs xx < NN*, *auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc3[simp]*:
readLocs (*Config 3 s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc4[simp]*:
nextB (*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst* (*Heap h*) *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*let l = array-loc aa1* (*nat* (*vs xx*)) *avst*

in (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore* (*vs*(*vv* := *h l*))) *avst* (*Heap* *h*) *p*)), *ibT*,*ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc4[simp]*:
readLocs (*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *h* *p*)) = {*array-loc aa1* (*nat* (*vs* *xx*))
avst}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5[simp]*:
nextB (*Config 5* *s*, *ibT*,*ibUT*) = (*Config 6* *s*, *ibT*,*ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc5[simp]*:
readLocs (*Config 5* *s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc6[simp]*:
nextB (*Config 6* (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* (*Heap* *h*) *p*), *ibT*,*ibUT*) =
(*let* *l* = *array-loc aa2* (*nat* (*vs* *vv* * 512)) *avst*
in (*Config 7* (*State* (*Vstore* (*vs*(*tt* := *h l*))) *avst* (*Heap* *h*) *p*)), *ibT*,*ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc6[simp]*:
readLocs (*Config 6* (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *h* *p*)) = {*array-loc aa2* (*nat* (*vs* *vv* *
512)) *avst*}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc7[simp]*:
nextB (*Config 7* *s*, *ibT*,*ibUT*) = (*Config 8* *s*, *ibT*,*ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Output*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc7[simp]*:
readLocs (*Config 7* *s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-stepB-pc*:

```

pc < 8 ==> (pc = 1 -> ibUT ≠ LNil) ==>
(Config pc s, ibT,ibUT) →B nextB (Config pc s, ibT,ibUT)
apply(cases s) subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs as h
using cases-7[of pc] apply safe
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)

  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def, metis llist.collapse)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply(cases vs xx < NN)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx < NN)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def) .

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto

```


...

lemma *not-finalB*:

$pc < 8 \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$
 $\neg finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT)$

using *nextB-stepB-pc* **by** (*simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

lemma *finalB-pc-iff'*:

$pc < 8 \implies$
 $finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil)$

subgoal **apply** *safe*

subgoal **using** *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

subgoal **using** *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

subgoal **using** *finalB-iff getUntrustedInput-pcOf* **by** *auto . .*

lemma *finalB-pc-iff*:

$pc \leq 8 \implies$
 $finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pc = 8)$

using *cases-7[of pc]* **apply** (*elim disjE, simp add: finalB-def*)

subgoal **by** (*meson final-def stebB-0*)

by (*simp add: finalB-pc-iff' finalB-endPC*)**+**

lemma *finalB-pcOf-iff[simp]*:

$pcOf\ cfg \leq 8 \implies$
 $finalB (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pcOf\ cfg = 8)$
by (*metis config.collapse finalB-pc-iff*)

lemma *finalS-cond:pcOf cfg < 8 \implies cfgs = [] \implies (pcOf cfg = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies $\neg finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)$*

apply(*rule notI, cases cfg*)

subgoal **for** *pc s* **apply**(*cases s*)

subgoal **for** *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)

subgoal **for** *vs as h*

using *cases-7[of pc]* **apply**(*elim disjE*) **unfolding** *finalS-defs*

subgoal **by**(*erule allE[of - (pstate, Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), [], ibT, ibUT, ls)], erule notE, rule nonspec-normal, auto*)

subgoal **apply**(*frule nonspec-normal[of cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*)

pstate pstate ibT ibUT

Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx:= lhd ibUT))) avst hh

p)

ibT ltl ibUT [] ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all
subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(tt:= 0))) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore

vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*cases mispred pstate [3]*)
subgoal by(*erule allE*[of - (*update pstate [pcOf (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p))]*),

Config (if vs xx < NN then 4 else 7) (State (Vstore

vs) avst hh p),
[Config (if vs xx < NN then 7 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)],
ibT,ibUT, ls], *erule notE*, *rule nonspec-mispred*, *auto simp: finalM-iff*)

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config (if vs xx < NN then 4 else 7) (State (Vstore

vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore

vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis apply simp-all by (*simp add: nextB-pc3*)
.

subgoal by(*erule allE*[of - (*pstate, Config 5 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst)))) avst hh p)*,

[], ibT,ibUT, ls ∪ {array-loc

aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst})]),
erule notE, *rule nonspec-normal*, *auto*)

subgoal by(*erule allE*[of - (*pstate, Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*, *[],*

ibT,ibUT, ls]), *erule notE*, *rule nonspec-normal*, *auto*)

subgoal by(*erule allE*[of - (*pstate, Config 7 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := h (array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) (Avstore as)))) avst hh p)*,

*[], ibT,ibUT, ls ∪ {array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512))*

(Avstore as)})]),
erule notE, *rule nonspec-normal*, *auto*)

subgoal by(*erule allE*[of - (*pstate, Config 8 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*, *[],*

ibT,ibUT, ls]), *erule notE*, *rule nonspec-normal*, *auto*)

by simp-all . . .

```

lemma finalS-cond-spec:
  pcOf cfg < 8  $\implies$ 
    (((pcOf (last cfgs) = 4  $\vee$  pcOf (last cfgs) = 5)  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg = 7)  $\vee$ 
     (pcOf (last cfgs) = 7  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg = 4))  $\implies$ 
      length cfgs = Suc 0  $\implies$ 
         $\neg$  finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)
using not-is-getTrustedInput not-is-Output
apply(cases cfg)
subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs as h apply(cases last cfgs)
subgoal for pcs ss apply(cases ss)
subgoal for vsts avsts hhs ps apply(cases vsts, cases avsts, cases hhs, simp)
  subgoal for vss ass hs apply(elim disjE, elim conjE, elim disjE, simp)
    unfolding finalS-defs
    subgoal apply(rule notI,
      erule allE[of - (pstate, Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) (Astore as) (Heap h) p),
        [Config 5 (State (Vstore (vss(vv := hs (array-loc aa1 (nat (vss
xx)) avsts)))) avsts hhs ps]),
          ibT, ibUT, ls  $\cup$  readLocs (last cfgs)])])
      by(erule notE,
        rule spec-normal[of - - - - Config 5 (State (Vstore (vss(vv := hs (array-loc
aa1 (nat (vss xx)) avsts)))) avsts hhs ps]), auto)

    subgoal apply(rule notI,
      erule allE[of - (pstate, Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) (Astore as) (Heap h)
p), [], ibT, ibUT, ls)])
      apply(erule notE) by(rule spec-Fence, auto)

    subgoal apply(rule notI,
      erule allE[of - (update pstate (4 # map pcOf cfgs), Config 4 (State (Vstore vs)
(Astore as) (Heap h) p),
        [], ibT, ibUT, ls)])
      by(erule notE, rule spec-resolve, auto)
    . . . . .
end

```

10.2 Proof

```

theory Fun3-secure
imports Fun3
begin

```

```

type-synonym stateO = configS
type-synonym stateV = config  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  val llist  $\times$  loc set

```

```

definition PC  $\equiv$  {0..7}

```

definition *beforeInput* = $\{0,1\}$
definition *afterInput* = $\{2,3,4,5,6,7\}$
definition *startOfThenBranch* = 4
definition *inThenBranchBeforeFence* = $\{4,5\}$
definition *elseBranch* = 7
definition *beforeFence* = $\{2..4\}$
definition *beforeAssign-vv* = $\{0..4\}$

definition *common* :: *stateO* \Rightarrow *stateO* \Rightarrow *status* \Rightarrow *stateV* \Rightarrow *stateV* \Rightarrow *status*
 \Rightarrow *bool*

where

common = (λ
 (*pstate3*, *cfg3*, *cfgs3*, *ibT3*, *ibUT3*, *ls3*)
 (*pstate4*, *cfg4*, *cfgs4*, *ibT4*, *ibUT4*, *ls4*)
 statA
 (*cfg1*, *ibT1*, *ibUT1*, *ls1*)
 (*cfg2*, *ibT2*, *ibUT2*, *ls2*)
 statO.
 (*pstate3* = *pstate4* \wedge
 cfg1 = *cfg3* \wedge *cfg2* = *cfg4* \wedge
 pcOf *cfg3* = *pcOf* *cfg4* \wedge *map pcOf* *cfgs3* = *map pcOf* *cfgs4* \wedge
 pcOf *cfg3* \in *PC* \wedge *pcOf* ' (*set* *cfgs3*) \subseteq *PC* \wedge
 ///
 array-base *aa1* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3*)) = *array-base* *aa1* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf*
 cfg4)) \wedge
 (\forall *cfg3'* \in *set* *cfgs3*. *array-base* *aa1* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3'*)) = *array-base* *aa1*
 (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3*))) \wedge
 (\forall *cfg4'* \in *set* *cfgs4*. *array-base* *aa1* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg4'*)) = *array-base* *aa1*
 (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg4*))) \wedge
 array-base *aa2* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3*)) = *array-base* *aa2* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf*
 cfg4)) \wedge
 (\forall *cfg3'* \in *set* *cfgs3*. *array-base* *aa2* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3'*)) = *array-base* *aa2*
 (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3*))) \wedge
 (\forall *cfg4'* \in *set* *cfgs4*. *array-base* *aa2* (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg4'*)) = *array-base* *aa2*
 (*getAvstore* (*stateOf* *cfg4*))) \wedge
 ///
 (*statA* = *Diff* \longrightarrow *statO* = *Diff*)))

lemma *common-implies*: *common*

(*pstate3*, *cfg3*, *cfgs3*, *ibT3*, *ibUT3*, *ls3*)
 (*pstate4*, *cfg4*, *cfgs4*, *ibT4*, *ibUT4*, *ls4*)
statA
 (*cfg1*, *ibT1*, *ibUT1*, *ls1*)
 (*cfg2*, *ibT2*, *ibUT2*, *ls2*)
statO \Longrightarrow

$pcOf\ cfg1 < 9 \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = pcOf\ cfg1$
unfolding *common-def PC-def* **by** (*auto simp: image-def subset-eq*)

definition $\Delta 0 :: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status$
 $\Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\Delta 0 = (\lambda num$
 $(pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$
 $ibUT1 = ibUT3 \wedge ibUT2 = ibUT4 \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg3 > 1 \longrightarrow same-var-o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfs3\ cfg4\ cfs4) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg3 < 2 \longrightarrow ibUT1 \neq LNil \wedge ibUT2 \neq LNil \wedge ibUT3 \neq LNil \wedge ibUT4 \neq LNil)$
 \wedge
 $pcOf\ cfg3 \in beforeInput \wedge$
 $ls1 = ls3 \wedge ls2 = ls4 \wedge$
 $noMisSpec\ cfs3$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta 0-defs = \Delta 0-def\ common-def\ PC-def\ beforeInput-def\ noMisSpec-def\ same-var-o-def$

lemma $\Delta 0$ -implies: $\Delta 0\ num$

$(pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \Longrightarrow$
 $(pcOf\ cfg3 = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT3 \neq LNil) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg4 = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT4 \neq LNil) \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg1 < 8 \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = pcOf\ cfg1 \wedge$
 $cfs3 = [] \wedge pcOf\ cfg3 < 8 \wedge$
 $cfs4 = [] \wedge pcOf\ cfg4 < 8$

unfolding $\Delta 0-defs$

apply (*intro conjI*)

apply *simp-all*

by (*metis map-is-Nil-conv*)

definition $\Delta 1 :: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

```

 $\Delta 1 = (\lambda num$ 
  (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  statO.
  (common
    (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
    (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
    (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3  $\in$  afterInput  $\wedge$ 
    same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4  $\wedge$ 
    ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 
    noMisSpec cfs3
  ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 1$ -defs = $\Delta 1$ -def common-def PC-def afterInput-def same-var-o-def noMisSpec-def

lemma $\Delta 1$ -implies: $\Delta 1$ num

```

  (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  statO  $\implies$ 
  pcOf cfg1 < 8  $\wedge$ 
  cfs3 = []  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3  $\neq$  1  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3 < 8  $\wedge$ 
  cfs4 = []  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg4  $\neq$  1  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg4 < 8

```

unfolding $\Delta 1$ -defs

apply(intro conjI) **apply** simp-all

using One-nat-def verit-eq-simplify(10,12) **apply** linarith

apply (metis list.map-disc-iff)

by linarith

definition $\Delta 2 :: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

```

 $\Delta 2 = (\lambda num$ 
  (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA

```

```

    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO.
  (common
    (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3 = startOfThenBranch  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf (last cfgs3) = elseBranch  $\wedge$ 
    same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4  $\wedge$ 
    ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 
    misSpecL1 cfgs3
  ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 2$ -defs = $\Delta 2$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-def startOfThenBranch-def

misSpecL1-def elseBranch-def

lemma $\Delta 2$ -implies: $\Delta 2$ num

```

    (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\implies$ 
    pcOf (last cfgs3) = 7  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3 = 4  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf (last cfgs4) = pcOf (last cfgs3)  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4  $\wedge$ 
    length cfgs3 = Suc 0  $\wedge$ 
    length cfgs3 = length cfgs4
  apply(intro conjI)
  unfolding  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply simp-all
  apply (simp add: image-subset-iff)
  apply (metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv)
  by (metis length-map)

```

definition $\Delta 3$:: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status
 \Rightarrow bool **where**

```

 $\Delta 3$  = ( $\lambda$ num
  (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
  (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
  (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
  statO.

```

```

(common (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO  $\wedge$ 
 pcOf cfg3 = elseBranch  $\wedge$ 
 pcOf (last cfs3)  $\in$  inThenBranchBeforeFence  $\wedge$ 
 same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4  $\wedge$ 
 Language-Prelims.dist ls3 ls4  $\subseteq$  Language-Prelims.dist ls1 ls2  $\wedge$ 
 (pcOf (last cfs3) = 4  $\longrightarrow$  ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4)  $\wedge$ 
 misSpecL1 cfs3
))

```

lemmas $\Delta 3$ -defs = $\Delta 3$ -def common-def PC-def inThenBranchBeforeFence-def
beforeAssign-vv-def misSpecL1-def elseBranch-def
same-var-o-def

lemma $\Delta 3$ -implies: $\Delta 3$ num

```

(pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO  $\implies$ 
(pcOf (last cfs3) = 4  $\vee$  pcOf (last cfs3) = 5)  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg3 = 7  $\wedge$ 
pcOf (last cfs4) = pcOf (last cfs3)  $\wedge$ 
pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4  $\wedge$ 
array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf (last cfs3))) = array-base aa1 (getAvstore
(stateOf cfg3))  $\wedge$ 
array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf (last cfs4))) = array-base aa1 (getAvstore
(stateOf cfg4))  $\wedge$ 
length cfs3 = Suc 0  $\wedge$ 
length cfs3 = length cfs4  $\wedge$ 
vstore (getVstore (stateOf (last cfs3))) xx = vstore (getVstore (stateOf (last
cfs4))) xx
apply(intro conjI)
unfolding  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply simp-all
apply (simp add: image-subset-iff)
apply (metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv)
apply (metis last-in-set list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)
apply (metis One-nat-def last-in-set length-0-conv length-map zero-neq-one)
apply (metis length-map)
by (metis last-in-set list.map-disc-iff)

```

definition $\Delta 1' :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status}$


```

⇒ bool where
Δ1' = (λnum
  (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  statO.
  (common
    (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
    (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
    (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
    statO ∧
    pcOf cfg3 = elseBranch ∧
    same-var-o xx cfg3 cfs3 cfg4 cfs4 ∧
    Language-Prelims.dist ls3 ls4 ⊆ Language-Prelims.dist ls1 ls2 ∧
    noMisSpec cfs3
  ))

```

lemmas Δ1'-defs = Δ1'-def common-def PC-def afterInput-def same-var-o-def
noMisSpec-def
elseBranch-def

lemma Δ1'-implies: Δ1' num
 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
 statO ⇒
 pcOf cfg1 < 8 ∧
 cfs3 = [] ∧ pcOf cfg3 ≠ 1 ∧ pcOf cfg3 < 8 ∧
 cfs4 = [] ∧ pcOf cfg4 ≠ 1 ∧ pcOf cfg4 < 8
unfolding Δ1'-defs
apply(intro conjI) **apply** simp-all
by (metis list.map-disc-iff)

definition Δ4 :: enat ⇒ stateO ⇒ stateO ⇒ status ⇒ stateV ⇒ stateV ⇒ status
⇒ **bool where**
Δ4 = (λnum
 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
 statA
 (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
 (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)

statO.
 $(pcOf\ cfg3 = endPC \wedge pcOf\ cfg4 = endPC \wedge cfs3 = [] \wedge cfs4 = [] \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg1 = endPC \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = endPC)$

lemmas Δ_4 -defs = Δ_4 -def common-def endPC-def

lemma *init*: *initCond* Δ_0

unfolding *initCond*-def **apply**(*intro allI*)
subgoal for $s_3\ s_4$ **apply**(*cases s3, cases s4*)
subgoal for $pstate_3\ cfs_3\ cfs_3\ ibT_3\ ibUT_3\ ls_3\ pstate_4\ cfs_4\ cfs_4\ ibT_4\ ibUT_4\ ls_4$

apply *clarify*
apply(*rule exI[of - (cfg3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)]*)
apply(*cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg3)*)
apply(*rule exI[of - (cfg4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)]*)
apply(*cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg4)*)
unfolding Δ_0 -defs *array-base-def* **by** *auto* . .

lemma *step0*: *unwindIntoCond* Δ_0 (*oor* $\Delta_0\ \Delta_1$)

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix $n\ ss_3\ ss_4\ statA\ ss_1\ ss_2\ statO$
assume r : *reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2*
and Δ_0 : $\Delta_0\ n\ ss_3\ ss_4\ statA\ ss_1\ ss_2\ statO$

obtain $pstate_3\ cfs_3\ cfs_3\ ibT_3\ ibUT_3\ ls_3$ **where** ss_3 : $ss_3 = (pstate_3, cfs_3, cfs_3,$
 $ibT_3, ibUT_3, ls_3)$
by (*cases ss3, auto*)
obtain $pstate_4\ cfs_4\ cfs_4\ ibT_4\ ibUT_4\ ls_4$ **where** ss_4 : $ss_4 = (pstate_4, cfs_4, cfs_4,$
 $ibT_4, ibUT_4, ls_4)$
by (*cases ss4, auto*)
obtain $cfg_1\ ibT_1\ ibUT_1\ ls_1$ **where** ss_1 : $ss_1 = (cfg_1, ibT_1, ibUT_1, ls_1)$
by (*cases ss1, auto*)
obtain $cfg_2\ ibT_2\ ibUT_2\ ls_2$ **where** ss_2 : $ss_2 = (cfg_2, ibT_2, ibUT_2, ls_2)$
by (*cases ss2, auto*)
note $ss = ss_3\ ss_4\ ss_1\ ss_2$

obtain $pc_3\ vs_3\ avst_3\ h_3\ p_3$ **where**

cfg_3 : $cfg_3 = Config\ pc_3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs_3)\ avst_3\ h_3\ p_3)$

by (*cases cfg3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc_4\ vs_4\ avst_4\ h_4\ p_4$ **where**

cfg_4 : $cfg_4 = Config\ pc_4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs_4)\ avst_4\ h_4\ p_4)$

by (*cases cfg4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

note $cfg = cfg_3\ cfg_4$

obtain hh_3 **where** h_3 : $h_3 = Heap\ hh_3$ **by**(*cases h3, auto*)

```

obtain  $hh_4$  where  $h_4: h_4 = \text{Heap } hh_4$  by(cases  $h_4$ , auto)
note  $hh = h_3 h_4$ 

have  $f_1: \neg \text{finalN } ss_1$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss$  finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 0$ -defs
  by simp

have  $f_2: \neg \text{finalN } ss_2$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss$  finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 0$ -defs
  by simp

have  $f_3: \neg \text{finalS } ss_3$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

have  $f_4: \neg \text{finalS } ss_4$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

note  $\text{finals} = f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4$ 
show  $\text{finalS } ss_3 = \text{finalS } ss_4 \wedge \text{finalN } ss_1 = \text{finalS } ss_3 \wedge \text{finalN } ss_2 = \text{finalS } ss_4$ 
  using  $\text{finals}$  by auto

then show  $\text{isIntO } ss_3 = \text{isIntO } ss_4$  by simp

show  $\text{react } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show  $\text{match1 } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  show  $\text{match2 } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-defs)
  show  $\text{match12 } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix  $ss_3' ss_4' \text{ statA}'$ 
  assume  $\text{statA}'$ :  $\text{statA}' = \text{sstatA}' \text{ statA } ss_3 ss_4$ 
  and  $v$ :  $\text{validTransO } (ss_3, ss_3')$   $\text{validTransO } (ss_4, ss_4')$ 
  and  $sa$ :  $\text{Opt.eqAct } ss_3 ss_4$ 
  note  $v_3 = v(1)$  note  $v_4 = v(2)$ 

  obtain  $pstate_3' cfg_3' cfs_3' ibT_3' ibUT_3' ls_3'$  where  $ss_3'$ :  $ss_3' = (pstate_3',$ 
 $cfg_3', cfs_3', ibT_3', ibUT_3', ls_3')$ 
  by (cases  $ss_3'$ , auto)
  obtain  $pstate_4' cfg_4' cfs_4' ibT_4' ibUT_4' ls_4'$  where  $ss_4'$ :  $ss_4' = (pstate_4',$ 
 $cfg_4', cfs_4', ibT_4', ibUT_4', ls_4')$ 
  by (cases  $ss_4'$ , auto)

```

```

note  $ss = ss\ ss3'\ ss4'$ 

obtain  $pc3\ vs3\ avst3\ h3\ p3$  where
 $cfg3: cfg3 = Config\ pc3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3)$ 
by ( $cases\ cfg3$ ) ( $metis\ state.collapse\ vstore.collapse$ )
obtain  $pc4\ vs4\ avst4\ h4\ p4$  where
 $cfg4: cfg4 = Config\ pc4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4)$ 
by ( $cases\ cfg4$ ) ( $metis\ state.collapse\ vstore.collapse$ )
note  $cfg = cfg3\ cfg4$ 

show  $eqSec\ ss1\ ss3$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$ 
by ( $simp\ add: \Delta 0-defs\ eqSec-def$ )

show  $eqSec\ ss2\ ss4$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$ 
apply ( $simp\ add: \Delta 0-defs\ eqSec-def$ )
by ( $metis\ length-0-conv\ length-map$ )

show  $Van.eqAct\ ss1\ ss2$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$ 
unfolding  $Opt.eqAct-def\ Van.eqAct-def$ 
apply ( $simp-all\ add: \Delta 0-defs$ )
by ( $metis\ f3\ map-is-Nil-conv\ ss3$ )

show  $match12-12\ (oor\ \Delta 0\ \Delta 1)\ ss3'\ ss4'\ statA'\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
unfolding  $match12-12-def$ 
proof ( $rule\ exI[of - nextN\ ss1]$ ,  $rule\ exI[of - nextN\ ss2]$ ,  $unfold\ Let-def$ ,  $intro$ 
 $conjI\ impI$ )
  show  $validTransV\ (ss1,\ nextN\ ss1)$ 
  by ( $simp\ add: f1\ nextN-stepN$ )

  show  $validTransV\ (ss2,\ nextN\ ss2)$ 
  by ( $simp\ add: f2\ nextN-stepN$ )

  {assume  $sstat: statA' = Diff$ 
  show  $sstatO'\ statO\ ss1\ ss2 = Diff$ 
  using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0\ sstat$  unfolding  $ss\ cfg\ statA'$  apply  $simp$ 
  apply ( $simp\ add: \Delta 0-defs\ sstatO'-def\ sstatA'-def\ finalS-def\ final-def$ )
  using  $cases-7[of\ pc3]$  apply ( $elim\ disjE$ )
  apply  $simp-all$  apply ( $cases\ statO$ ,  $simp-all$ ) apply ( $cases\ statA$ ,  $simp-all$ )
  apply ( $cases\ statO$ ,  $simp-all$ ) apply ( $cases\ statA$ ,  $simp-all$ )
  by ( $smt\ (z3)\ status.distinct\ status.exhaust\ newStat.simps$ ) +
  } note  $stat = this$ 

  show  $oor\ \Delta 0\ \Delta 1\ \infty\ ss3'\ ss4'\ statA'\ (nextN\ ss1)\ (nextN\ ss2)\ (sstatO'\ statO$ 
 $ss1\ ss2)$ 

  using  $v3[unfolded\ ss,\ simplified]$  proof ( $cases\ rule: stepS-cases$ )

```

```

      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss apply (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      by (metis is-If-pc less-Suc-eq nat-less-le numeral-1-eq-Suc-0 nu-
meral-3-eq-3
      one-eq-numeral-iff semiring-norm(83) zero-less-numeral zero-neq-numeral)

    next
      case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
      show ?thesis
      using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
      show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 0$  v3 v4 nn3 nn4 f4 unfolding ss cfg hh

```

Opt.eqAct-def

```
  apply clarsimp
  using cases-7[of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal apply (rule oorI1) by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  subgoal apply (rule oorI2) apply (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs, auto)
    unfolding  $\Delta 1$ -defs
    subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs) .
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)+
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
```

lemma *step1: unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 1$ (oor4 $\Delta 1$ $\Delta 2$ $\Delta 3$ $\Delta 4$)

proof(rule *unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix n $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

assume r : *reachO* $ss3$ *reachO* $ss4$ *reachV* $ss1$ *reachV* $ss2$

and $\Delta 1$: $\Delta 1$ n $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

obtain $pstate3$ $cfg3$ $cfgs3$ $ibT3$ $ibUT3$ $ls3$ **where** $ss3$: $ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

by (*cases* $ss3$, *auto*)

obtain $pstate4$ $cfg4$ $cfgs4$ $ibT4$ $ibUT4$ $ls4$ **where** $ss4$: $ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

by (*cases* $ss4$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg1$ $ibT1$ $ibUT1$ $ls1$ **where** $ss1$: $ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

by (*cases* $ss1$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg2$ $ibT2$ $ibUT2$ $ls2$ **where** $ss2$: $ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

by (*cases* $ss2$, *auto*)

note $ss = ss3$ $ss4$ $ss1$ $ss2$

obtain $pc1$ $vs1$ $avst1$ $h1$ $p1$ **where**

$cfg1$: $cfg1 = Config$ $pc1$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs1$) $avst1$ $h1$ $p1$)

by (*cases* $cfg1$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc2$ $vs2$ $avst2$ $h2$ $p2$ **where**

$cfg2$: $cfg2 = Config$ $pc2$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs2$) $avst2$ $h2$ $p2$)

by (*cases* $cfg2$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc3$ $vs3$ $avst3$ $h3$ $p3$ **where**

$cfg3$: $cfg3 = Config$ $pc3$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs3$) $avst3$ $h3$ $p3$)

by (*cases* $cfg3$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc4$ $vs4$ $avst4$ $h4$ $p4$ **where**

$cfg4$: $cfg4 = Config$ $pc4$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs4$) $avst4$ $h4$ $p4$)

by (*cases* $cfg4$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

note $cfg = cfg1$ $cfg2$ $cfg3$ $cfg4$

```

obtain  $hh3$  where  $h3: h3 = \text{Heap } hh3$  by(cases  $h3$ , auto)
obtain  $hh4$  where  $h4: h4 = \text{Heap } hh4$  by(cases  $h4$ , auto)
note  $hh = h3 \ h4$ 

have  $f1: \neg \text{finalN } ss1$ 
  using  $\Delta 1$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss \ \text{cfg} \ \text{finalN-iff-finalB} \ \Delta 1\text{-defs}$ 
  by simp linarith

have  $f2: \neg \text{finalN } ss2$ 
  using  $\Delta 1$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding  $ss \ \text{cfg} \ \text{finalN-iff-finalB} \ \Delta 1\text{-defs}$ 
  by simp linarith

have  $f3: \neg \text{finalS } ss3$ 
  using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 1\text{-implies}$ )
  using finalS-cond by simp

have  $f4: \neg \text{finalS } ss4$ 
  using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding  $ss$  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 1\text{-implies}$ )
  using finalS-cond by simp

note  $\text{finals} = f1 \ f2 \ f3 \ f4$ 

show  $\text{finalS } ss3 = \text{finalS } ss4 \wedge \text{finalN } ss1 = \text{finalS } ss3 \wedge \text{finalN } ss2 = \text{finalS } ss4$ 
  using  $\text{finals}$  by auto

then show  $\text{isIntO } ss3 = \text{isIntO } ss4$  by simp

show  $\text{react } (\text{oor}_4 \ \Delta 1 \ \Delta 2 \ \Delta 3 \ \Delta 4) \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA} \ ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}$ 
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show  $\text{match1 } (\text{oor}_4 \ \Delta 1 \ \Delta 2 \ \Delta 3 \ \Delta 4) \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA} \ ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}$ 
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show  $\text{match2 } (\text{oor}_4 \ \Delta 1 \ \Delta 2 \ \Delta 3 \ \Delta 4) \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA} \ ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}$ 
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show  $\text{match12 } (\text{oor}_4 \ \Delta 1 \ \Delta 2 \ \Delta 3 \ \Delta 4) \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA} \ ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}$ 

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix  $ss3' \ ss4' \ \text{statA}'$ 
  assume  $\text{statA}': \text{statA}' = \text{sstatA}' \ \text{statA} \ ss3 \ ss4$ 
  and  $v: \text{validTransO } (ss3, ss3') \ \text{validTransO } (ss4, ss4')$ 
  and  $sa: \text{Opt.eqAct } ss3 \ ss4$ 
  note  $v3 = v(1)$  note  $v4 = v(2)$ 

  obtain  $pstate3' \ \text{cfg3}' \ \text{cfgs3}' \ \text{ibT3}' \ \text{ibUT3}' \ \text{ls3}'$  where  $ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',$ 
 $\text{cfg3}', \ \text{cfgs3}', \ \text{ibT3}', \ \text{ibUT3}', \ \text{ls3}')$ 
  by (cases  $ss3'$ , auto)
  obtain  $pstate4' \ \text{cfg4}' \ \text{cfgs4}' \ \text{ibT4}' \ \text{ibUT4}' \ \text{ls4}'$  where  $ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',$ 
 $\text{cfg4}', \ \text{cfgs4}', \ \text{ibT4}', \ \text{ibUT4}', \ \text{ls4}')$ 

```

```

by (cases ss4', auto)
note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
using v sa Δ1 unfolding ss
by (simp add: Δ1-defs eqSec-def)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
using v sa Δ1 unfolding ss
apply (simp add: Δ1-defs eqSec-def)
by (metis length-0-conv length-map)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa Δ1 unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
apply (simp-all add: Δ1-defs)
by linarith

show match12-12 (oor4 Δ1 Δ2 Δ3 Δ4) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
  by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

{assume sstat: statA' = Diff
show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
using v sa Δ1 sstat unfolding ss cfg statA'
apply(simp add: Δ1-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
using cases-7[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
defer 1 defer 1
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  by simp+
} note stat = this

```



```

    show (oor4  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 4$ )  $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2)
(ssatO' statO ss1 ss2)

    using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

    next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

    next
    case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred
    show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

        case nonspec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-resolve
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
        next
        case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis
        using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nm3 nm4 unfolding ss cfg hh apply clarsimp
        using cases-7[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
        subgoal by simp
        subgoal by simp

```

```

      subgoal by simp
      subgoal using xx-NN-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
        subgoal apply(rule oor4I2) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ2-defs)
        subgoal apply(rule oor4I3) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ3-defs) .
        by (simp-all add: Δ1-defs)+
    qed
  next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
    show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1-defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa Δ1 stat v3 v4 nn3 unfolding ss cfg hh
apply clarsimp
using cases-7[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
  subgoal by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
  subgoal by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
  subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by(simp add:Δ1-defs)
  subgoal using xx-NN-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
    subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
    subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs) .
  subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
  subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
  subgoal apply(rule oor4I1) by (simp add: Δ1-defs)
  subgoal apply(rule oor4I4) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ4-defs)
  subgoal apply(rule oor4I4) by (simp add: Δ1-defs Δ4-defs) .
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

qed
qed

lemma *step2: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 2$ $\Delta 1$*

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

assume *r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2*

and $\Delta 2$: $\Delta 2$ *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

obtain *pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3* **where** *ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)*

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain *pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4* **where** *ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)*

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain *cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1* **where** *ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)*

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain *cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2* **where** *ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)*

by (*cases ss2, auto*)

note *ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2*

obtain *pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3* **where**

lcfgs3: last cfgs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)

by (*cases last cfgs3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain *pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4* **where**

lcfgs4: last cfgs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)

by (*cases last cfgs4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

note *lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4*

have *f1: \neg finalN ss1*

using $\Delta 2$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** *ss finalN-iff-finalB $\Delta 2$ -defs*

by *auto*

have *f2: \neg finalN ss2*

using $\Delta 2$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** *ss finalN-iff-finalB $\Delta 2$ -defs*

by *auto*

have *f3: \neg finalS ss3*

using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** *ss apply-apply(frule $\Delta 2$ -implies)*

using *finalS-cond-spec* **by** *simp*

have *f4: \neg finalS ss4*

using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** *ss apply-apply(frule $\Delta 2$ -implies)*

using *finalS-cond-spec* **by** *simp*

note *finals = f1 f2 f3 f4*

show $finalS\ ss3 = finalS\ ss4 \wedge finalN\ ss1 = finalS\ ss3 \wedge finalN\ ss2 = finalS\ ss4$
using *finals* **by** *auto*

then show $isIntO\ ss3 = isIntO\ ss4$ **by** *simp*

show $react\ \Delta1\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$
unfolding *react-def* **proof**(*intro conjI*)

show $match1\ \Delta1\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$
unfolding *match1-def* **by** (*simp add: finalS-def final-def*)
show $match2\ \Delta1\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$
unfolding *match2-def* **by** (*simp add: finalS-def final-def*)
show $match12\ \Delta1\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$

proof(*rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1, intro conjI*)
fix $ss3'\ ss4'\ statA'$
assume $statA': statA' = sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4$
and $v: validTransO\ (ss3, ss3')\ validTransO\ (ss4, ss4')$
and $sa: Opt.eqAct\ ss3\ ss4$
note $v3 = v(1)$ **note** $v4 = v(2)$

obtain $pstate3'\ cfg3'\ cogs3'\ ibT3'\ ibUT3'\ ls3'$ **where** $ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',$
 $cfg3', cogs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')$
by (*cases ss3', auto*)
obtain $pstate4'\ cfg4'\ cogs4'\ ibT4'\ ibUT4'\ ls4'$ **where** $ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',$
 $cfg4', cogs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')$
by (*cases ss4', auto*)
note $ss = ss\ ss3'\ ss4'$

obtain $hh3$ **where** $h3: h3 = Heap\ hh3$ **by**(*cases h3, auto*)
obtain $hh4$ **where** $h4: h4 = Heap\ hh4$ **by**(*cases h4, auto*)
note $hh = h3\ h4$

show $\neg isSecO\ ss3$
using $v\ sa\ \Delta2$ **unfolding** ss **by** (*simp add: \Delta2-defs*)

show $\neg isSecO\ ss4$
using $v\ sa\ \Delta2$ **unfolding** ss **apply** *clarsimp*
by (*simp add: \Delta2-defs, linarith*)

show $stat: statA = statA' \vee statO = Diff$
using $v\ sa\ \Delta2$
apply (*cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2*)
apply (*cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp*)
unfolding $ss\ statA'$ **apply** *clarsimp*
apply(*simp-all add: \Delta2-defs sstatA'-def*)
apply(*cases statO, simp-all*) **apply**(*cases statA, simp-all*)
unfolding *finalS-defs*
by (*smt (verit, ccv-SIG) newStat.simps(1)*)

```

show  $\Delta 1 \infty ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO$ 

using  $v3[unfolding\ ss, simplified]$  proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$   $v3$  unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve note  $sr3 = spec-resolve$ 
  show ?thesis using  $v4[unfolding\ ss, simplified]$  proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$   $sr3$  unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$   $sr3$  unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$   $sr3$  unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$   $sr3$  unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$   $sr3$  unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve note  $sr4 = spec-resolve$ 
    show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$   $v3$   $v4$   $sr3$   $sr4$ 
      unfolding ss lcfgs hh apply-
      apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) apply (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs) by clarsimp
    qed

```

qed
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *step3: unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 3$ (oor $\Delta 3$ $\Delta 1'$)

proof(rule *unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix n $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

assume r : *reachO* $ss3$ *reachO* $ss4$ *reachV* $ss1$ *reachV* $ss2$

and $\Delta 3$: $\Delta 3$ n $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

obtain $pstate3$ $cfg3$ $cfgs3$ $ibT3$ $ibUT3$ $ls3$ **where** $ss3$: $ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

by (*cases* $ss3$, *auto*)

obtain $pstate4$ $cfg4$ $cfgs4$ $ibT4$ $ibUT4$ $ls4$ **where** $ss4$: $ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

by (*cases* $ss4$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg1$ $ibT1$ $ibUT1$ $ls1$ **where** $ss1$: $ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

by (*cases* $ss1$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg2$ $ibT2$ $ibUT2$ $ls2$ **where** $ss2$: $ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

by (*cases* $ss2$, *auto*)

note $ss = ss3$ $ss4$ $ss1$ $ss2$

obtain $pc3$ $vs3$ $avst3$ $h3$ $p3$ **where**

$lcfgs3$: *last* $cfgs3 = Config$ $pc3$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs3$) *avst3* $h3$ $p3$)

by (*cases* *last* $cfgs3$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc4$ $vs4$ $avst4$ $h4$ $p4$ **where**

$lcfgs4$: *last* $cfgs4 = Config$ $pc4$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs4$) *avst4* $h4$ $p4$)

by (*cases* *last* $cfgs4$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

note $lcfgs = lcfgs3$ $lcfgs4$

obtain $hh3$ **where** $h3$: $h3 = Heap$ $hh3$ **by**(*cases* $h3$, *auto*)

obtain $hh4$ **where** $h4$: $h4 = Heap$ $hh4$ **by**(*cases* $h4$, *auto*)

note $hh = h3$ $h4$

have $f1$: $\neg finalN$ $ss1$

using $\Delta 3$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** ss *finalN-iff-finalB* $\Delta 3$ -*defs*

by *auto*

have $f2$: $\neg finalN$ $ss2$

using $\Delta 3$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** ss *finalN-iff-finalB* $\Delta 3$ -*defs*

by *auto*

have $f3$: $\neg finalS$ $ss3$

using $\Delta 3$ **unfolding** ss **apply-apply**(*frule* $\Delta 3$ -*implies*)

using *finalS-cond-spec* **by** *simp*

```

have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using Δ3 unfolding ss apply-apply(frul Δ3-implies)
  using finalS-cond-spec by simp

have vs3 xx = vs4 xx
  using Δ3 lcfgs unfolding ss
  apply-by(frul Δ3-implies, simp)

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react (oor Δ3 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor Δ3 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor Δ3 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor Δ3 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1, intro conjI)
    fix ss3' ss4' statA'
    assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
    and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
    and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
    note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

    obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
    by (cases ss3', auto)
    obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
    by (cases ss4', auto)
    note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  show ¬ isSecO ss3
  using v sa Δ3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3-defs)

  show ¬ isSecO ss4
  using v sa Δ3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3-defs)

  show stat: statA = statA' ∨ statO = Diff
  using v sa Δ3
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
  apply (simp-all add: Δ3-defs sstatA'-def)

```

```

apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
unfolding finalS-defs
by (smt (z3) list.size(3) map-eq-imp-length-eq
      n-not-Suc-n status.exhaust newStat.simps)

show oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1'$   $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss by (simp-all add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss by (simp-all add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss apply-
apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies, clarsimp)
  by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
show ?thesis
using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  by (metis config.sel(1) last-map)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  by (metis config.sel(1) last-map)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis
  apply(intro oorI1)

```



```

unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -def apply– apply(clarify, intro conjI)
  subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-7[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
  apply simp-all
by (metis config.collapse config.inject in-set-butlastD last-in-set length-1-butlast
length-map state.sel(2)) +
  subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-7[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
  by simp-all
  subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-7[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE, simp-all)
  unfolding array-loc-def by (metis config.sel(2) dist-insert-su last-in-set
state.sel(1) vstore.sel) +
  subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-7[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
  apply simp-all by (metis array-loc-def dist-insert-su) +
  subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-7[of pc3] by(elim disjE, simp-all)
  subgoal using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs v3 v4 sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  by (metis length-Suc-conv list.size(3)) .
qed
next
case spec-Fence note sf3 = spec-Fence
show ?thesis
using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  by (metis com.disc config.sel(1) last-map)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs sf3 unfolding ss
  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
by (metis last-map local.spec-Fence(3) local.spec-normal(1) local.spec-normal(4))

next
  case spec-Fence note sf4 = spec-Fence
  show ?thesis
  apply(intro oorI2)
  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
  using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs v3 v4 sf3 sf4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- by(simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, blast)
qed
next
  case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis
  using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs sr3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs sr3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs sr3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs sr3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs sr3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis
  apply(intro oorI2)
  using sa stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs v3 v4 sr3 sr4 unfolding ss hh
  by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma step1': unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 4$ 
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 1'$ :  $\Delta 1'$  n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  have f1:  $\neg$ finalN ss1
    using  $\Delta 1'$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
    by simp

  have f2:  $\neg$ finalN ss2
    using  $\Delta 1'$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
    by simp

  have f3:  $\neg$ finalS ss3
    using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
    using finalS-cond by simp

  have f4:  $\neg$ finalS ss4
    using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
    using finalS-cond by simp

```

```

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4

show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12  $\Delta_4$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta_1'$  unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta_1'$ -defs eqSec-def)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta_1'$  unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta_1'$ -defs eqSec-def)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa  $\Delta_1'$  unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
by (simp-all add:  $\Delta_1'$ -defs)

show match12-12  $\Delta_4$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)

```

```

show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
  by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

{assume sstat: statA' = Diff
 show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
 using v sa Δ1' sstat unfolding ss cfg statA'
 apply(simp add: Δ1'-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
 apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
 using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
} note stat = this

show  $\Delta_4 \infty ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO' statO ss1$ 
ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
        next
          case spec-Fence
            then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
            next
              case spec-resolve
                then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
                next
                  case nonspec-mispred
                    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
                    next
                      case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
                        show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

                      case nonspec-mispred
                        then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
                        next
                          case spec-normal
                            then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
                            next

```

```

      case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat v3 v4 nn3 unfolding ss cfg hh
apply clarsimp
  by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 4$ -defs)
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

lemma *stepe: unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 4$ $\Delta 4$

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix n $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

assume r : *reachO* $ss3$ *reachO* $ss4$ *reachV* $ss1$ *reachV* $ss2$

and $\Delta 4$: $\Delta 4$ n $ss3$ $ss4$ $statA$ $ss1$ $ss2$ $statO$

obtain $pstate3$ $cfg3$ $cfgs3$ $ibT3$ $ibUT3$ $ls3$ **where** $ss3$: $ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

by (*cases* $ss3$, *auto*)

obtain $pstate4$ $cfg4$ $cfgs4$ $ibT4$ $ibUT4$ $ls4$ **where** $ss4$: $ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

by (*cases* $ss4$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg1$ $ibT1$ $ibUT1$ $ls1$ **where** $ss1$: $ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

by (*cases* $ss1$, *auto*)

obtain $cfg2$ $ibT2$ $ibUT2$ $ls2$ **where** $ss2$: $ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

by (*cases* $ss2$, *auto*)

note $ss = ss3$ $ss4$ $ss1$ $ss2$

obtain $pc3$ $vs3$ $avst3$ $h3$ $p3$ **where**

$cfg3$: $cfg3 = Config$ $pc3$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs3$) $avst3$ $h3$ $p3$)

by (*cases* $cfg3$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc4$ $vs4$ $avst4$ $h4$ $p4$ **where**

$cfg4$: $cfg4 = Config$ $pc4$ (*State* (*Vstore* $vs4$) $avst4$ $h4$ $p4$)

by (*cases* $cfg4$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)

```

note  $cfg = cfg3\ cfg4$ 

obtain  $hh3$  where  $h3: h3 = Heap\ hh3$  by( $cases\ h3, auto$ )
obtain  $hh4$  where  $h4: h4 = Heap\ hh4$  by( $cases\ h4, auto$ )
note  $hh = h3\ h4$ 

show  $finalS\ ss3 = finalS\ ss4 \wedge finalN\ ss1 = finalS\ ss3 \wedge finalN\ ss2 = finalS\ ss4$ 
  using  $\Delta_4\ Opt.final-def\ Prog.endPC-def\ finalS-def\ stepS-endPC\ endPC-def\ finalB-endPC$ 
  unfolding  $\Delta_4-defs\ ss$  by  $clarsimp$ 

then show  $isIntO\ ss3 = isIntO\ ss4$  by  $simp$ 

show  $react\ \Delta_4\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
unfolding  $react-def$  proof( $intro\ conjI$ )

  show  $match1\ \Delta_4\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
  unfolding  $match1-def$  by ( $simp\ add: finalS-def\ final-def$ )
  show  $match2\ \Delta_4\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
  unfolding  $match2-def$  by ( $simp\ add: finalS-def\ final-def$ )
  show  $match12\ \Delta_4\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
  apply( $rule\ match12-simpleI$ ) using  $\Delta_4$  unfolding  $ss$  apply ( $simp\ add: \Delta_4-defs$ )
  by ( $simp\ add: stepS-endPC$ )
qed
qed

```

lemmas $theConds = step0\ step1\ step2\ step3\ step1'\ stepe$

proposition $rsecure$

proof–

```

define  $m$  where  $m: m \equiv (6::nat)$ 
define  $\Delta s$  where  $\Delta s: \Delta s \equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
   $if\ i = 0\ then\ \Delta 0$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 1\ then\ \Delta 1$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 2\ then\ \Delta 2$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 3\ then\ \Delta 3$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 4\ then\ \Delta 4$ 
   $else\ \Delta 1'$ 
define  $nxt$  where  $nxt: nxt \equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
   $if\ i = 0\ then\ \{0,1::nat\}$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 1\ then\ \{1,2,3,4\}$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 2\ then\ \{1\}$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 3\ then\ \{3,5\}$ 
   $else\ \{4\}$ 
show  $?thesis$  apply( $rule\ distrib-unwind-rsecure[of\ m\ nxt\ \Delta s]$ )
  subgoal unfolding  $m$  by  $auto$ 

```

```

subgoal unfolding nxt m by auto
subgoal using init unfolding Δs by auto
subgoal
  unfolding m nxt Δs apply (simp split: if-splits)
  using theConds
  unfolding oor-def oor4-def by auto .
qed
end

```

11 Proof of Relative Security for fun4

```

theory Fun4
  imports ../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem
  Relative-Security.Unwinding-fin
begin

```

11.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

```

no-notation bot ( $\langle \perp \rangle$ )

```

```

consts NN :: nat
consts size-aa1 :: nat
consts size-aa2 :: nat
lemma NN: int NN ≥ 0 by auto

```

```

locale array-nempty = assumes aa1:size-aa1 > 0 and NN: int NN > 0

```

```

definition aa1 :: avname where aa1 = "a1"
definition aa2 :: avname where aa2 = "a2"
definition vv :: avname where vv = "v"
definition xx :: avname where xx = "i"
definition tt :: avname where tt = "w"

```

```

lemmas vvars-defs = aa1-def aa2-def vv-def xx-def tt-def

```

```

lemma vvars-dff[simp]:
  aa1 ≠ aa2 aa1 ≠ vv aa1 ≠ xx aa1 ≠ tt
  aa2 ≠ aa1 aa2 ≠ vv aa2 ≠ xx aa1 ≠ tt
  vv ≠ aa1 vv ≠ aa2 vv ≠ xx vv ≠ tt
  xx ≠ aa1 xx ≠ aa2 xx ≠ vv xx ≠ tt
  tt ≠ aa1 tt ≠ aa2 tt ≠ vv tt ≠ xx
  unfolding vvars-defs by auto

```

```

fun initAvstore :: avstore ⇒ bool where
  initAvstore (Avstore as) = (as aa1 = (0, size-aa1) ∧ as aa2 = (size-aa1,
size-aa2))

```

```

fun istate :: state ⇒ bool where

```


istate s = (initAvstore (getAvstore s))

definition *prog* \equiv

```
[
  / Start ,
  / Input U xx ,
  / tt ::= (N 0) ,
  / IfJump (Less (V xx) (N NN)) 4 6 ,
  / vv ::= VA aa1 (N 0) ,
  / tt ::= Plus (VA aa2 (Times (V vv) (N 512))) (V xx) ,
  / Output U (V tt)
]
```

lemma *cases-6*: $(i::pcounter) = 0 \vee i = 1 \vee i = 2 \vee i = 3 \vee i = 4 \vee i = 5 \vee i = 6 \vee i > 6$

```
apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
.....
```

lemma *cases-thenBranch*: $(i::pcounter) < 4 \vee i = 4 \vee i = 5 \vee i = 6 \vee i > 6$

```
apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
subgoal for i apply(cases i, simp-all)
.....
```

lemma *xx-NN-cases*: $vs\ xx < int\ NN \vee vs\ xx \geq int\ NN$ **by** *auto*

lemma *is-If-pcOf[simp]*:

```
pcOf cfg < 7  $\implies$  is-IfJump (prog ! (pcOf cfg))  $\longleftrightarrow$  pcOf cfg = 3
apply(cases cfg) subgoal for pc s using cases-6[of pcOf cfg]
by (auto simp: prog-def) .
```

lemma *is-If-pc[simp]*:

```
pc < 7  $\implies$  is-IfJump (prog ! pc)  $\longleftrightarrow$  pc = 3
```

```

using cases-6[of pc]
by (auto simp: prog-def)

lemma is-If-pcThen[simp]: pcOf cfg ∈ {4..6} ⇒ ¬is-IfJump (prog ! pcOf cfg)
using cases-thenBranch[of pcOf cfg]
by (auto simp: prog-def)

```

```

consts mispred :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ bool
fun resolve :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ bool where
  resolve p pc = (if (pc = [6,6] ∨ pc = [4,6]) then True else False)

```

```

consts update :: predState ⇒ pcounter list ⇒ predState
consts initPstate :: predState

```

```

interpretation Prog-Mispred-Init where
  prog = prog and initPstate = initPstate and
  mispred = mispred and resolve = resolve and update = update and
  istate = istate
by (standard, simp add: prog-def)

```

```

abbreviation
  stepB-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ⇒
  bool (infix ‹→B› 55)
  where x →B y == stepB x y

```

```

abbreviation
  stepsB-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ⇒
  bool (infix ‹→B*› 55)
  where x →B* y == star stepB x y

```

```

abbreviation
  stepM-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist ⇒ config × val llist × val llist ⇒
  bool (infix ‹→M› 55)
  where x →M y == stepM x y

```

```

abbreviation
  stepN-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist × loc set ⇒ config × val llist × val
  llist × loc set ⇒ bool (infix ‹→N› 55)
  where x →N y == stepN x y

```

```

abbreviation
  stepsN-abbrev :: config × val llist × val llist × loc set ⇒ config × val llist × val
  llist × loc set ⇒ bool (infix ‹→N*› 55)

```

where $x \rightarrow N^* y == \text{star stepN } x y$

abbreviation

$\text{stepS-abbrev} :: \text{configS} \Rightarrow \text{configS} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\langle \rightarrow S \rangle$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow S y == \text{stepS } x y$

abbreviation

$\text{stepsS-abbrev} :: \text{configS} \Rightarrow \text{configS} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\langle \rightarrow S^* \rangle$ 55)
where $x \rightarrow S^* y == \text{star stepS } x y$

lemma $\text{endPC}[simp]: \text{endPC} = 7$
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma $\text{is-getUntrustedInput-pcOf}[simp]: \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} < 7 \implies \text{is-getInput } (\text{prog}!(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \longleftrightarrow \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} = 1$
using $\text{cases-6}[of \text{pcOf } \text{cfg}]$ **by** $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{getUntrustedInput-pcOf}[simp]: \text{prog}!1 = \text{Input } U \text{ } xx$
by $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{is-getTrustedInput}[simp]: \text{is-getInput } (\text{prog} ! 1)$
unfolding prog-def **by** auto

lemma $\text{getInput-not4}[simp]: \neg \text{is-getInput } (\text{prog} ! 4)$
unfolding prog-def **by** auto

lemma $\text{getInput-not5}[simp]: \neg \text{is-getInput } (\text{prog} ! 5)$
unfolding prog-def **by** auto

lemma $\text{OutputT-not6}[simp]: (\text{prog} ! 6) = \text{Output } U (V \text{ } tt)$
unfolding prog-def **by** auto

lemma $\text{is-Output-pcOf}[simp]: \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} < 7 \implies \text{is-Output } (\text{prog}!(\text{pcOf } \text{cfg})) \longleftrightarrow \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} = 6$
using $\text{cases-6}[of \text{pcOf } \text{cfg}]$ **by** $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{is-Fence-pcOf}[simp]: \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} < 7 \implies \text{prog} ! (\text{pcOf } \text{cfg}) \neq \text{Fence}$
using $\text{cases-6}[of \text{pcOf } \text{cfg}]$ **by** $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{is-Fence-pcThen}[simp]: 3 \leq \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} \wedge \text{pcOf } \text{cfg} \leq 5 \implies (\text{prog} ! \text{pcOf } \text{cfg}) \neq \text{Fence}$
using $\text{cases-thenBranch}[of \text{pcOf } \text{cfg}]$
by $(\text{auto } \text{simp}: \text{prog-def})$

lemma $\text{is-Output}[simp]: \text{is-Output } (\text{prog} ! 6)$
unfolding is-Output-def prog-def **by** auto

lemma *getInput-not*[intro]:*is-getInput* (prog ! 4) \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Output-not4*[intro]:*is-Output* (prog ! 4) \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Fence-not4*[intro]:*prog ! 4 = Fence* \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getInput-not55*[intro]:*is-getInput* (prog ! 5) \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Output-not5*[intro]:*is-Output* (prog ! 5) \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Fence-not5*[intro]:*prog ! 5 = Fence* \implies *False* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *Jump-not6*: \neg *is-IfJump* (prog ! 6) **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *isSecV-pcOf*[*simp*]:
isSecV (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow *pcOf* cfg = 0
using *isSecV-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *isSecO-pcOf*[*simp*]:
isSecO (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) \longleftrightarrow (*pcOf* cfg = 0 \wedge *cfs* = [])
using *isSecO-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *inputT-not*[*simp*]: *pcOf* cfg < 7 \implies
(prog ! *pcOf* cfg) \neq *Input T inp*
apply(*cases* cfg) **subgoal for** *pc s* **using** *cases-6*[of *pcOf* cfg]
by (*auto simp: prog-def*) .

lemma *getActV-pcOf*[*simp*]:
pcOf cfg < 7 \implies
getActV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
(if *pcOf* cfg = 1 then *lhd* ibUT else \perp)
apply(*subst getActV-simps*) **unfolding** *prog-def*
apply *simp*
using *getActV-simps not-is-getTrustedInput-getActV prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *getObsV-pcOf*[*simp*]:
pcOf cfg < 7 \implies
getObsV (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) =
(if *pcOf* cfg = 6 then
(*outOf* (prog!(*pcOf* cfg)) (*stateOf* cfg), ls)
else \perp
)
apply(*subst getObsV-simps*)
unfolding *prog-def* **apply** *simp*
using *getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf prog-def*
by (*auto, simp*)

lemma *getObsV-pcOf6[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg = 6 \implies$
 $getObsV\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
 $(outOf\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))\ (stateOf\ cfg), ls)$

by *simp*

lemma *getActO-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 7 \implies$
 $getActO\ (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
 $(if\ pcOf\ cfg = 1 \wedge cfs = []\ then\ lhd\ ibUT\ else\ \perp)$
apply(*subst getActO-simps*)
apply(*cases cfs, auto*)
unfolding *prog-def* **apply** *simp*
using *getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def* **by** *presburger*

lemma *getObsO-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 7 \implies$
 $getObsO\ (pstate, cfg, cfs, ibT, ibUT, ls) =$
 $(if\ (pcOf\ cfg = 6 \wedge cfs = [])\ then$
 $(outOf\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))\ (stateOf\ cfg), ls)$
 $else\ \perp$
 $)$
apply(*subst getObsO-simps*)
apply(*cases cfs, auto*)
unfolding *prog-def*
using *getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV prog-def* **by** *presburger*

lemma *eqSec-pcOf[simp]*:
 $eqSec\ (cfg1, ibT, ibUT1, ls1)\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 = 0 \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg3 = 0 \wedge cfs3 = []) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 = 0 \longrightarrow stateOf\ cfg1 = stateOf\ cfg3)$
unfolding *eqSec-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *nextB-pc0[simp]*:
 $nextB\ (Config\ 0\ s, ibT, ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 1\ s, ibT, ibUT)$
apply(*subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc0[simp]*:
 $readLocs\ (Config\ 0\ s) = \{\}$

unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1[simp]*:

$ibUT \neq LNil \implies nextB (Config\ 1 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 2 (State (Vstore\ (vs(xx := lhd\ ibUT))))\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ltl\ ibUT)$

apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1[simp]*:

$readLocs (Config\ 1\ s) = \{\}$

unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1'[simp]*:

$ibUT \neq LNil \implies nextB (Config (Suc\ 0) (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

$=$

$(Config\ 2 (State (Vstore\ (vs(xx := lhd\ ibUT))))\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ltl\ ibUT)$

apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1'[simp]*:

$readLocs (Config (Suc\ 0)\ s) = \{\}$

unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc2[simp]*:

$nextB (Config\ 2 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$

$(Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ (vs(tt := 0))))\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc2[simp]*:

$readLocs (Config\ 2\ s) = \{\}$

unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-then[simp]*:

$vs\ xx < int\ NN \implies$

$nextB (Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$

$(Config\ 4 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

apply(*subst nextB-IfTrue*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-else[simp]*:

$vs\ xx \geq int\ NN \implies$

$nextB (Config\ 3 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$

$(Config\ 6 (State (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ h\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

apply(*subst nextB-IfFalse*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3*:

nextB (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*Config* (*if vs xx < int NN then 4 else 6*) (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
by(*cases vs xx < int NN*, *auto*)

lemma *nextM-pc3-then[simp]*:

vs xx ≥ int NN \implies
nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextM-IfTrue*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3-else[simp]*:

vs xx < int NN \implies
nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*Config 6* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextM-IfFalse*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3*:

nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*Config* (*if vs xx < int NN then 6 else 4*) (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
by(*cases vs xx < int NN*, *auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc3[simp]*:

readLocs (*Config 3 s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc4[simp]*:

nextB (*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst (Heap h) p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*let l = array-loc aa1 0 avst*
in (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore (vs(vv := h l))*) *avst (Heap h) p*)), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc4[simp]*:

readLocs (*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst h p*)) = {*array-loc aa1 0 avst*}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5[simp]*:

nextB (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst (Heap h) p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
(*let l = array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) avst*
in (*Config 6* (*State* (*Vstore (vs(tt := h l + vs xx))*) *avst (Heap h) p*)), *ibT*, *ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc5[simp]*:
*readLocs (Config 5 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)) = {array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) avst}*
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc6[simp]*:
nextB (Config 6 s, ibT,ibUT) = (Config 7 s, ibT,ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Output*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc6[simp]*:
readLocs (Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) avst h p)) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-stepB-pc*:
 $pc < 7 \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$
 $(Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT) \rightarrow_B\ nextB\ (Config\ pc\ s,\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(*cases s*) **subgoal for** *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)
subgoal for *vs as h*
using *cases-6[of pc]* **apply** *safe*
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def, metis llist.collapse*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*) **subgoal apply** *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*)
unfolding *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply(*cases vs xx < NN*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*) .
subgoal apply(*cases vs xx < NN*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply *simp* **apply**(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*

by (simp add: prog-def) .

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal by auto
subgoal by auto

...

lemma nextB-avst-consistent-aux:

$4 \leq pc \wedge pc \leq 6 \implies$
(nextB (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst (Heap h) p), ibT, ibUT)) = (Config pc'
(State (Vstore vs') avst' (Heap h') p'), ibT, ibUT')) \implies
avst = avst' \wedge
vs xx = vs' xx \wedge
h = h'
using cases-thenBranch[of pc]
apply safe
apply simp-all by auto

lemma nextB-avst-consistent:

$4 \leq pcOf\ cfg \wedge pcOf\ cfg \leq 6 \implies$
(nextB (cfg, ibT, ibUT)) = (cfg', ibT, ibUT') \implies
(getAvstore (stateOf cfg)) = (getAvstore (stateOf cfg')) \wedge
(getHheap (stateOf cfg)) = (getHheap (stateOf cfg')) \wedge
vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg)) xx = vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg')) xx
apply(cases cfg) subgoal for pc s
apply(cases s) subgoal for vstore avst heap-h p
apply (cases heap-h, cases vstore, cases avst) subgoal for h vs
apply(cases cfg') subgoal for pc' s'
apply(cases s') subgoal for vstore' avst' heap-h' p'
apply (cases heap-h', cases vstore', cases avst') subgoal for h vs
using nextB-avst-consistent-aux apply simp
by blast

lemma *nextB-pcs-consistent*:

$4 \leq pcOf\ cfg1 \wedge pcOf\ cfg1 \leq 6 \implies pcOf\ cfg1 = pcOf\ cfg2 \implies$
 $(nextB\ (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1)) = (cfg1', ibT1', ibUT1') \implies$
 $(nextB\ (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2)) = (cfg2', ibT2', ibUT2') \implies$
 $pcOf\ cfg1' = pcOf\ cfg2'$
apply (cases *cfg1*, cases *cfg2*, cases *cfg1'*, cases *cfg2'*)
subgoal for *pc1 s1 pc2 s2 pc1' s1' pc2' s2'*
apply(cases *s1*, cases *s2*, cases *s1'*, cases *s2'*)
subgoal for *vs1 avst1 h1 p1 vs2 avst2 h2 p2*
 $vs1' avst1' h1' p1' vs2' avst2' h2' p2'$
apply(cases *vs1*, cases *vs2*, cases *h1*, cases *h2*)
using *cases-6*[of *pcOf\ cfg1*] **apply** *safe*
by *simp-all* . .

lemma *not-finalB*:

$pc < 7 \implies (pc = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$
 $\neg finalB\ (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT)$
using *nextB-stepB-pc* **by** (*simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

lemma *finalB-pc-iff'*:

$pc < 7 \implies$
 $finalB\ (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil)$
subgoal apply *safe*
subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc*[of *pc*] **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)
subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc*[of *pc*] **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)
subgoal using *finalB-iff\ getUntrustedInput-pcOf* **by** *auto* . .

lemma *finalB-pc-iff*:

$pc \leq 7 \implies$
 $finalB\ (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pc = 7)$
using *cases-6*[of *pc*] **apply** (*elim disjE, simp add: finalB-def*)
subgoal by (*meson final-def\ stebB-0*)
by (*simp add: finalB-pc-iff'\ finalB-endPC*)**+**

lemma *finalB-pcOf-iff*[*simp*]:

$pcOf\ cfg \leq 7 \implies$
 $finalB\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 1 \wedge ibUT = LNil \vee pcOf\ cfg = 7)$
by (*metis config.exhaust\ config.sel(1)\ finalB-pc-iff*)

lemma *finalS-cond:pcOf\ cfg < 7* \implies *cfgs* = [] \implies ($pcOf\ cfg = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil$) $\implies \neg finalS\ (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)$

apply(cases *cfg*)
subgoal for *pc s* **apply**(cases *s*)
subgoal for *vst avst hh p* **apply**(cases *vst*, cases *avst*, cases *hh*)
subgoal for *vs as h*

using *cases-6*[of *pc*] **apply**(*elim disjE*) **unfolding** *finalS-defs*
subgoal using *nonspec-normal*[of [] *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls]
using *is-If-pc* **by force**

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(xx:= lhd ibUT))) avst hh
p)
ibT ltl ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(tt:= 0))) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*cases mispred pstate [3]*)
subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-mispred*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)
(Vstore vs) avst hh p))]
(State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
(State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)]
avst hh p)) ls]
prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (simp add: finalM-iff)+

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config (if vs xx < NN then 4 else 6) (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all .

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
(let l = (array-loc aa1 0 avst)
in (Config 5 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h l))) avst hh p))
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
*(let l = (array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) avst)*
in (Config 6 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := h l + vs xx))) avst
hh p))
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all
by simp-all . . .

lemma finalS-cond-spec:

pcOf cfg < 7 \implies
((pcOf (last cfgs) = 4 ∨ pcOf (last cfgs) = 5 ∨ pcOf (last cfgs) = 6) ∧ pcOf
cfg = 6) ∨ (pcOf (last cfgs) = 6 ∧ pcOf cfg = 4) \implies
length cfgs = Suc 0 \implies
 \neg *finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)*
apply(*cases cfg*)
subgoal for pc s apply(*cases s*)
subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)
subgoal for vs as h apply(*cases last cfgs*)
subgoal for pcs ss apply(*cases ss*)
subgoal for vsts avsts hhs ps apply(*cases vsts, cases avsts, cases hhs, simp*)
subgoal for vss ass hs apply(*elim disjE, elim conjE, elim disjE, simp*)
unfolding finalS-defs
subgoal apply(*rule notI,*
erule allE[of - (*pstate, Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) (Avstore as) (Heap h)*
p)),
[Config 5 (State (Vstore (vss(vv := hs (array-loc aa1 (nat
0) avsts)))) avsts hhs ps]),
ibT, ibUT, ls ∪ readLocs (last cfgs)]), *erule notE,*

```

      rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config 5 (State (Vstore (vss(vv
:= hs (array-loc aa1 (nat 0) avsts)))) avsts hhs ps)])
    by auto
    subgoal apply(rule notI,
      erule allE[of - (pstate,Config 6 (State (Vstore vs) (Avstore as) (Heap h)
p),
      [Config 6 (State (Vstore (vss(tt := hs (array-loc aa2 (nat
(vss vv * 512)) avsts) + vss xx))) avsts hhs ps)],
      ibT,ibUT,ls ∪ readLocs (last cfgs)]), erule notE,
      rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config 6 (State (Vstore (vss(tt
:= hs (array-loc aa2 (nat (vss vv * 512)) avsts) + vss xx))) avsts hhs ps)])

    prefer 7 apply auto[1]
    by auto

    subgoal apply(rule notI,
      erule allE[of - (update pstate (6 # map pcOf cfgs),Config 6 (State (Vstore vs)
(Avstore as) (Heap h) p),
      [],ibT,ibUT,ls)])
    by(erule notE, rule spec-resolve, auto)

    subgoal apply(rule notI,
      erule allE[of - (update pstate (4 # map pcOf cfgs),Config 4 (State (Vstore vs)
(Avstore as) (Heap h) p),
      [],ibT,ibUT,ls)])
    by(erule notE, rule spec-resolve, auto) . . . . .

```

end

11.2 Proof

```

theory Fun4-secure
  imports Fun4
begin

```

definition $PC \equiv \{0..6\}$

definition $same\text{-}xx\text{-}cp\ cfg1\ cfg2 \equiv$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1))\ xx = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg2))\ xx$
 $\wedge\ vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1))\ xx = 0$

definition $common\text{-}memory\ cfg\ cfg'\ cfgs' \equiv$
 $array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg)) = array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg')) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg'' \in set\ cfgs'.\ array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg'')) = array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg))) \wedge$

$array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg)) = array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg')) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg'' \in set\ cfs'.\ array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg'')) = array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg))) \wedge$
 $(getHheap\ (stateOf\ cfg)) = (getHheap\ (stateOf\ cfg')) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg'' \in set\ cfs'.\ getHheap\ (stateOf\ cfg) = (getHheap\ (stateOf\ cfg''))) \wedge$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg)) = (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg'))$

definition $beforeInput = \{0,1\}$

definition $afterInput = \{2..6\}$

definition $elseBranch = 6$

definition $startOfThenBranch = 4$

definition $inThenBranch = \{4..6\}$

definition $afterInputNotInElse = \{2,3,4,5,6,8\}$

definition $inThenBranchBeforeOutput = \{3,4,5\}$

definition $atCond = 3$

definition $atThenOutput = 5$

definition $atJump = 6$

definition $common\text{-}strat1 :: stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$

where

$common\text{-}strat1 =$

$(\lambda\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $\ (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $\ statA$
 $\ (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $\ (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $\ statO.$

$(pstate3 = pstate4 \wedge$

$cfg1 = cfg3 \wedge cfg2 = cfg4 \wedge$

$pcOf\ cfg3 = pcOf\ cfg4 \wedge map\ pcOf\ cfs3 = map\ pcOf\ cfs4 \wedge$

$pcOf\ cfg3 \in PC \wedge pcOf\ (set\ cfs3) \subseteq PC \wedge$

~~$ibT1 = ibT3 \wedge ibT2 = ibT4 \wedge$~~
 ~~$ibUT1 = ibUT3 \wedge ibUT2 = ibUT4 \wedge$~~
 $common\text{-}memory\ cfg1\ cfg3\ cfs3 \wedge$

~~$ibT3 = ibT4 \wedge ibUT3 = ibUT4 \wedge$~~
 ~~$cfs3 = cfs4 \wedge$~~
 $common\text{-}memory\ cfg2\ cfg4\ cfs4 \wedge$

$(\forall\ n \geq 0.\ array\text{-}loc\ aa1\ 0\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg2)) \neq array\text{-}loc\ aa2\ n\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg2)) \wedge$

$array\text{-}loc\ aa1\ 0\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1)) \neq array\text{-}loc\ aa2\ n\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1))) \wedge$

$///$

```

array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3)) = array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf
cfg4)) ∧
(∀ cfg3' ∈ set cfigs3. array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3')) = array-base aa1
(getAvstore (stateOf cfg3))) ∧
(∀ cfg4' ∈ set cfigs4. array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg4')) = array-base aa1
(getAvstore (stateOf cfg4))) ∧
array-base aa2 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3)) = array-base aa2 (getAvstore (stateOf
cfg4)) ∧
(∀ cfg3' ∈ set cfigs3. array-base aa2 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3')) = array-base aa2
(getAvstore (stateOf cfg3))) ∧
(∀ cfg4' ∈ set cfigs4. array-base aa2 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg4')) = array-base aa2
(getAvstore (stateOf cfg4))) ∧
///  

(statA = Diff → statO = Diff))

```

lemmas *common-strat1-defs = common-strat1-def common-memory-def*

definition *common :: enat ⇒ stateO ⇒ stateO ⇒ status ⇒ stateV ⇒ stateV ⇒ status ⇒ bool*

where

```

common = (λ(num::enat)
(pstate3, cfg3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO.
(pstate3 = pstate4 ∧

(num = (endPC - pcOf cfg1) ∨ num = ∞) ∧

```

```

ifA/ctvQ/ff2/cttd/ctt/ctte/cttW/
pcOf cfg1 = pcOf cfg2 ∧

```

```

ifA/ctvQ/ff2/cttd/ctt/ctte/cttW/
pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4 ∧
map pcOf cfigs3 = map pcOf cfigs4 ∧
pcOf cfg3 ∈ PC ∧ pcOf (set cfigs3) ⊆ PC ∧
pcOf cfg1 ∈ PC ∧

```

```

ifA/ctvQ/ff2/cttd/ctt/ctte/cttW/
common-memory cfg1 cfg3 cfigs3 ∧

```

```

ifA/ctvQ/ff2/cttd/ctt/ctte/cttW/
common-memory cfg2 cfg4 cfigs4 ∧

```

```

(∀ n ≥ 0. array-loc aa1 0 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg2)) ≠ array-loc aa2 n (getAvstore
(stateOf cfg2)) ∧

```

$array\text{-}loc\ aa1\ 0\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1)) \neq array\text{-}loc\ aa2\ n\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1)) \wedge$
~~*Addresses have same base addresses. Avoid. Maybe this is also worth being extracted as a predicate.*~~
 $array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfgs3.\ array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfgs4.\ array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array\text{-}base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfgs3.\ array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfgs4.\ array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array\text{-}base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $(statA = Diff \longrightarrow statO = Diff)$
 $)$

lemmas *common-defs = common-def common-memory-def*

lemma *common-implies: common num*

$(pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf\ cfg1 < 9 \wedge pcOf\ cfg3 < 9 \wedge$

$(n \geq 0 \longrightarrow array\text{-}loc\ aa1\ 0\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg2)) \neq array\text{-}loc\ aa2\ n\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg2))) \wedge$
 $array\text{-}loc\ aa1\ 0\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1)) \neq array\text{-}loc\ aa2\ n\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1))$

unfolding *common-defs PC-def*

by *force*

definition $\Delta 0 :: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\Delta 0 = (\lambda num\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ num\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$


```

(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO  $\wedge$ 

head of the buffers are equal, pairwise/
(length ibUT1 =  $\infty$   $\wedge$  length ibUT2 =  $\infty$   $\wedge$ 
 length ibUT3 =  $\infty$   $\wedge$  length ibUT4 =  $\infty$ )  $\wedge$ 
(lhd ibUT3  $\geq$  NN  $\wedge$  (lhd ibUT1 = 0)  $\wedge$  ibUT1 = ibUT2
 $\vee$  lhd ibUT3 < NN  $\wedge$  ibUT1 = ibUT3  $\wedge$  ibUT2 = ibUT4)  $\wedge$ 
pcOf cfg3  $\in$  beforeInput  $\wedge$ 

size of the buffers is equal, cfs3/cfg2#cfs4/iv/voiding/state
cfg1 = cfg3  $\wedge$  cfg2 = cfg4  $\wedge$ 
ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 
ls1 = {}  $\wedge$  ls2 = {}  $\wedge$ 
noMisSpec cfs3
))
lemmas  $\Delta 0$ -defs' =  $\Delta 0$ -def common-defs PC-def beforeInput-def noMisSpec-def

```

lemma $\Delta 0$ -def2:

```

 $\Delta 0$  num (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO
=
(common num (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO  $\wedge$ 

```

```

head of the buffers are equal, pairwise/
(length ibUT1 =  $\infty$   $\wedge$  length ibUT2 =  $\infty$   $\wedge$ 
 length ibUT3 =  $\infty$   $\wedge$  length ibUT4 =  $\infty$ )  $\wedge$ 
(ibUT1  $\neq$  []  $\wedge$  ibUT2  $\neq$  []  $\wedge$  ibUT3  $\neq$  []  $\wedge$  ibUT4  $\neq$  [])  $\wedge$ 
(lhd ibUT3  $\geq$  NN  $\wedge$  (lhd ibUT1 = 0)  $\wedge$  ibUT1 = ibUT2
 $\vee$  lhd ibUT3 < NN  $\wedge$  ibUT1 = ibUT3  $\wedge$  ibUT2 = ibUT4)  $\wedge$ 
pcOf cfg3  $\in$  beforeInput  $\wedge$ 

```

```

size of the buffers is equal, cfs3/cfg2#cfs4/iv/voiding/state
cfg1 = cfg3  $\wedge$  cfg2 = cfg4  $\wedge$ 
ls1 = ls3  $\wedge$  ls2 = ls4  $\wedge$ 
ls1 = {}  $\wedge$  ls2 = {}  $\wedge$ 
noMisSpec cfs3

```

)
unfolding $\Delta 0$ -defs' **apply**(*clarsimp, standard*)
subgoal by (*smt (verit) infinity-ne-i0 llength-LNil*)
subgoal by (*smt (verit)*) .

lemmas $\Delta 0$ -defs = $\Delta 0$ -def2 *common-defs PC-def beforeInput-def noMisSpec-def*

lemma $\Delta 0$ -implies: $\Delta 0$ num (*pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3*)
(*pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4*)
statA
(*cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1*)
(*cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2*)
statO \implies
(*pcOf cfg3 = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT3 \neq LNil*) \wedge
(*pcOf cfg4 = 1 \longrightarrow ibUT4 \neq LNil*) \wedge
pcOf cfg1 < 7 \wedge pcOf cfg2 = pcOf cfg1 \wedge
cfgs3 = [] \wedge pcOf cfg3 < 7 \wedge
cfgs4 = [] \wedge pcOf cfg4 < 7
unfolding $\Delta 0$ -defs
apply(*intro conjI*)
apply (*simp-all*)
by (*metis Nil-is-map-conv*)

definition $\Delta 1$:: *enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status*
 \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

$\Delta 1 = (\lambda$ num
(*pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3*)
(*pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4*)
statA
(*cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1*)
(*cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2*)
statO.
(*common-strat1 (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)*
(*pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4*)
statA
(*cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1*)
(*cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2*)
statO \wedge
pcOf cfg3 \in afterInput \wedge
same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4 \wedge
vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg3)) xx < NN \wedge

ls1 = ls3 \wedge ls2 = ls4 \wedge
noMisSpec cfgs3
))

lemmas $\Delta 1$ -defs = $\Delta 1$ -def *common-strat1-defs PC-def afterInput-def same-var-o-def*

noMisSpec-def

lemma $\Delta 1$ -implies: $\Delta 1$ num

(*pstate3*, *cfg3*, *cfgs3*, *ibT3*, *ibUT3*, *ls3*)
(*pstate4*, *cfg4*, *cfgs4*, *ibT4*, *ibUT4*, *ls4*)
statA
(*cfg1*, *ibT1*, *ibUT1*, *ls1*)
(*cfg2*, *ibT2*, *ibUT2*, *ls2*)
statO \implies
pcOf *cfg1* < 7 \wedge
cfgs3 = [] \wedge *pcOf* *cfg3* \neq 1 \wedge *pcOf* *cfg3* < 7 \wedge
cfgs4 = [] \wedge *pcOf* *cfg4* \neq 1 \wedge *pcOf* *cfg4* < 7
unfolding $\Delta 1$ -defs
apply(*intro conjI*) **apply** *simp-all*
by (*metis map-is-Nil-conv*)

definition $\Delta 2$:: *enat* \Rightarrow *stateO* \Rightarrow *stateO* \Rightarrow *status* \Rightarrow *stateV* \Rightarrow *stateV* \Rightarrow *status*
 \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

$\Delta 2$ = (λ num
(*pstate3*, *cfg3*, *cfgs3*, *ibT3*, *ibUT3*, *ls3*)
(*pstate4*, *cfg4*, *cfgs4*, *ibT4*, *ibUT4*, *ls4*)
statA
(*cfg1*, *ibT1*, *ibUT1*, *ls1*)
(*cfg2*, *ibT2*, *ibUT2*, *ls2*)
statO.
(*common-strat1*
(*pstate3*, *cfg3*, *cfgs3*, *ibT3*, *ibUT3*, *ls3*)
(*pstate4*, *cfg4*, *cfgs4*, *ibT4*, *ibUT4*, *ls4*)
statA
(*cfg1*, *ibT1*, *ibUT1*, *ls1*)
(*cfg2*, *ibT2*, *ibUT2*, *ls2*)
statO \wedge
pcOf *cfg3* = *startOfThenBranch* \wedge
pcOf *cfg1* = *pcOf* *cfg3* \wedge

pcOf (*last* *cfgs3*) = *elseBranch* \wedge
same-var-o *xx* *cfg3* *cfgs3* *cfg4* *cfgs4* \wedge
vstore (*getVstore* (*stateOf* *cfg3*)) *xx* < *NN* \wedge
ls1 = *ls3* \wedge *ls2* = *ls4* \wedge
misSpecL1 *cfgs3*
))

lemmas $\Delta 2$ -defs = $\Delta 2$ -def *common-strat1-defs* *PC-def* *same-var-def* *startOfThenBranch-def*

misSpecL1-def *elseBranch-def*

lemma $\Delta 2$ -implies: $\Delta 2$ num

(*pstate3*, *cfg3*, *cfgs3*, *ibT3*, *ibUT3*, *ls3*)

$(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf (last cfs3) = 6 \wedge pcOf cfg3 = 4 \wedge$
 $pcOf (last cfs4) = pcOf (last cfs3) \wedge$
 $pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4 \wedge$
 $length cfs3 = Suc 0 \wedge$
 $length cfs3 = length cfs4$
apply(*intro conjI*)
unfolding $\Delta 2$ -*defs* **apply** *simp-all*
apply (*metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv*)
by (*metis length-map*)

definition $\Delta 1' :: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status$
 $\Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\Delta 1' = (\lambda num (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ num\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$
 $///$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 \in\ afterInput \wedge$
 $same-var-o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfs3\ cfg4\ cfs4 \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 > 2 \longrightarrow vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ tt = vstore\ (getVstore$
 $(stateOf\ cfg4))\ tt) \wedge$
 $vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ xx \geq NN \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 < 4 \longrightarrow pcOf\ cfg1 = pcOf\ cfg3 \wedge$
 $ls1 = \{\} \wedge ls2 = \{\} \wedge$
 $ls1 = ls3 \wedge ls2 = ls4) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 \leq 5 \longrightarrow ls1 \subseteq \{array-loc\ aa1\ 0\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1))\}$
 $\wedge ls1 = ls2 \wedge ls3 = ls4) \wedge$

$(Language-Prelims.dist\ ls3\ ls4 \subseteq Language-Prelims.dist\ ls1\ ls2) \wedge$

```

(pcOf cfg1 ≥ 4 → pcOf cfg1 ∈ inThenBranch ∧ pcOf cfg3 = elseBranch) ∧
same-xx-cp cfg1 cfg2 ∧
vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg1)) xx = 0 ∧

ls3 ⊆ ls1 ∧ ls4 ⊆ ls2 ∧
noMisSpec cfs3
))
lemmas Δ1'-defs = Δ1'-def common-defs PC-def afterInput-def
same-var-o-def same-xx-cp-def noMisSpec-def inThenBranch-def elseBranch-def
lemma Δ1'-implies: Δ1' num (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO ⇒
pcOf cfg1 < 7 ∧ pcOf cfg1 ≠ Suc 0 ∧
pcOf cfg2 = pcOf cfg1 ∧
cfs3 = [] ∧ pcOf cfg3 < 7 ∧
cfs4 = [] ∧ pcOf cfg4 < 7
unfolding Δ1'-defs
apply (intro conjI)
apply simp-all
using Suc-lessI startOfThenBranch-def verit-eq-simplify(10) zero-neq-numeral
apply linarith
by (metis list.map-disc-iff)

definition Δ3' :: enat ⇒ stateO ⇒ stateO ⇒ status ⇒ stateV ⇒ stateV ⇒ status
⇒ bool where
Δ3' = (λ num (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO.
(common num (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
statA
(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
statO ∧
///
pcOf cfg3 = elseBranch ∧ cfs3 ≠ [] ∧
pcOf (last cfs3) ∈ inThenBranch ∧
pcOf (last cfs4) = pcOf (last cfs3) ∧
pcOf cfg1 = pcOf (last cfs3) ∧

```

$same\text{-}var\text{-}o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfigs3\ cfg4\ cfigs4 \wedge$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ (last\ cfigs3))) \wedge$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4)) = (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ (last\ cfigs4))) \wedge$

$same\text{-}xx\text{-}cp\ cfg1\ cfg2 \wedge$
 $ls1 = ls3 \wedge ls2 = ls4 \wedge$

$vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ tt = vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))\ tt \wedge$

$vstore\ (getVstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))\ xx \geq NN \wedge$

$(pcOf\ cfg1 = 4 \longrightarrow ls1 = \{\} \wedge ls2 = \{\}) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg1 \leq 5 \longrightarrow ls1 \subseteq \{array\text{-}loc\ aa1\ 0\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg1))\}$
 $\wedge ls2 \subseteq \{array\text{-}loc\ aa1\ 0\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg2))\}$
 $\wedge ls3 = ls4) \wedge$

$(pcOf\ cfg1 > 4 \longrightarrow same\text{-}var\ vv\ cfg1\ (last\ cfigs3) \wedge same\text{-}var\ vv\ cfg2\ (last\ cfigs4))$
 \wedge
 $misSpecL1\ cfigs3$
 $)$

lemmas $\Delta 3'\text{-}defs = \Delta 3'\text{-}def\ common\text{-}defs\ PC\text{-}def\ elseBranch\text{-}def$
 $inThenBranch\text{-}def\ startOfThenBranch\text{-}def$
 $same\text{-}var\text{-}o\text{-}def\ same\text{-}xx\text{-}cp\text{-}def\ misSpecL1\text{-}def\ same\text{-}var\text{-}def$

lemma $\Delta 3'\text{-}implies: \Delta 3'\ num\ (pstate3, cfig3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfig4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf\ cfg1 < 7 \wedge pcOf\ cfg1 \neq Suc\ 0 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg2 = pcOf\ cfg1 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 < 7 \wedge pcOf\ cfg4 < 7 \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 4 \vee pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 5 \vee pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 6) \wedge pcOf$
 $cfg3 = 6$

unfolding $\Delta 3'\text{-}defs$
apply $(intro\ conjI)$
apply $simp\text{-}all$
by $(metis\ cases\text{-}thenBranch\ le\text{-}neq\text{-}implies\text{-}less\ less\text{-}SucI\ not\text{-}less\text{-}eq)$

definition $\Delta e :: enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status$
 $\Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $\Delta e = (\lambda(num::enat)\ (pstate3, cfig3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfig4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

```

    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO.
    ((num = (endPC - pcOf cfg1)  $\vee$  num =  $\infty$ )  $\wedge$ 
     pcOf cfg3 = endPC  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg4 = endPC  $\wedge$  cfs3 = []  $\wedge$  cfs4 = []  $\wedge$ 
     pcOf cfg1 = endPC  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg2 = endPC))

```

lemmas $\Delta e\text{-defs} = \Delta e\text{-def common-def endPC}$

context *array-nempty*

begin

lemma *init: initCond $\Delta 0$*

unfolding *initCond-def* **apply**(*intro allI*)

subgoal for *s3 s4* **apply**(*cases s3, cases s4*)

subgoal for *pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4*

apply *safe*

apply *clarsimp*

apply (*cases lhd ibUT3 < NN*)

subgoal

apply(*cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg3), cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg4)*)

unfolding $\Delta 0\text{-defs}$

unfolding *array-base-def array-loc-def*

using *aa1* **by** *auto*

subgoal

apply(*cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg3), cases getAvstore (stateOf cfg4)*)

unfolding $\Delta 0\text{-defs}'$

unfolding *array-base-def array-loc-def*

using *aa1* **apply** (*simp split: avstore.splits*)

apply(*rule exI[of - cfg3]*) **using** *ex-llength-infty* **by** *auto*

...

lemma *step0: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 0$ (*oor3 $\Delta 0 \Delta 1 \Delta 1'$*)*

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

assume *r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2*

and $\Delta 0$: $\Delta 0$ *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

obtain *pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3* **where** *ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)*

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain *pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4* **where** *ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)*

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain *cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1* **where** *ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)*

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain *cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2* **where** *ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)*

```

by (cases ss2, auto)
note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by (cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by (cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

have f1: ¬finalN ss1
  using Δ0 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB Δ0-defs
  by simp

have f2: ¬finalN ss2
  using Δ0 finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB Δ0-defs
  by simp

have f3: ¬finalS ss3
  using Δ0 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Δ0-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

have f4: ¬finalS ss4
  using Δ0 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Δ0-implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react (oor3 Δ0 Δ1 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

show match1 (oor3 Δ0 Δ1 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 (oor3 Δ0 Δ1 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor3 Δ0 Δ1 Δ1') ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

```



```

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
    and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
    and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfigs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfigs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfigs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfigs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
    cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
    by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
    cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
    by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

  show eqSec ss1 ss3
    using v Δ0 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ0-defs)

  show eqSec ss2 ss4
    using v Δ0 unfolding ss
    apply (simp add: Δ0-defs) by (metis length-0-conv length-map)

  show saO: Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
    using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
    unfolding Opt.eqAct-def Van.eqAct-def
    apply(simp-all add: Δ0-defs)
    by (metis enat.distinct(2) f3 list.map-disc-iff llength-LNil ss3 zero-enat-def)

  show match12-12 (oor3 Δ0 Δ1 Δ1') ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match12-12-def
  proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)

    show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
      by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

    show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
      by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

    {assume sstat: statA' = Diff

```

```

show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
using v sa  $\Delta 0$  sstat unfolding ss cfg statA' apply simp
apply(simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def finalS-def final-def)
using cases-6[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
apply simp-all apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply (cases statA, simp-all)
apply (smt (z3) status.distinct newStat.simps)
using newStat.simps by (smt (z3) status.exhaust)
} note stat = this

show oor3  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1' \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
case nonspec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss apply– apply(frule
 $\Delta 0$ -implies)
by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
case spec-normal
then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
case spec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
case spec-Fence
then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
case spec-resolve
then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
show ?thesis
using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
case nonspec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
case spec-normal
then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
case spec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
case spec-Fence
then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)

```

```

      next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      next
      case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
      show ?thesis using sa saO  $\Delta 0$  stat v3 v4 nn3 nn4 f4
      unfolding ss cfg Opt.eqAct-def apply clarsimp
      apply(cases pc3 = 0)
      subgoal apply(rule oor3I1)
      apply (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs) by (metis config.sel(2) state.sel(2))
      subgoal apply(subgoal-tac pc4 = 1)
      defer subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      subgoal using xx-NN-cases[of vstore (getVstore (stateOf cfg3'))]
      apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal apply(rule oor3I2)
      by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
      subgoal apply(rule oor3I3)
      apply (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
      apply(intro conjI, metis+)
      apply blast by fastforce+
      ...
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed

```

lemma *step1: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 1$ (oor3 $\Delta 1$ $\Delta 2$ Δe)*

proof(rule *unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

assume *r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2*

and $\Delta 1$: $\Delta 1$ *n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

obtain *pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3* **where** *ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)*

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain *pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4* **where** *ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)*

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain *cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1* **where** *ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)*

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain *cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2* **where** *ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)*

by (*cases ss2, auto*)

note *ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2*

obtain *pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1* **where**

```

cfg1: cfg1 = Config pc1 (State (Vstore vs1) avst1 h1 p1)
by (cases cfg1) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2 where
cfg2: cfg2 = Config pc2 (State (Vstore vs2) avst2 h2 p2)
by (cases cfg2) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg1 cfg2 cfg3 cfg4

obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

have f1: $\neg$ finalN ss1
  using  $\Delta 1$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 1$ -defs
  by simp

have f2: $\neg$ finalN ss2
  using  $\Delta 1$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 1$ -defs
  by simp

have f3: $\neg$ finalS ss3
  using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

have f4: $\neg$ finalS ss4
  using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond by simp

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4

show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react (oor3  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta e$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor3  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta e$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor3  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta e$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor3  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta e$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

```

```

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfigs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfigs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfigs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfigs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa Δ1 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ1-defs eqSec-def)

  show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa Δ1 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ1-defs eqSec-def)

  show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa Δ1 unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
  by (simp-all add: Δ1-defs)

  show match12-12 (oor3 Δ1 Δ2 Δe) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match12-12-def
  proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
  conjI impI)
    show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
    by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

    show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
    by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
  show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
  using v sa Δ1 sstat unfolding ss cfg statA'
  apply(simp add: Δ1-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
  using cases-6[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
  defer 1 defer 1
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
  subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto

```

```

    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
      using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
      using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
      using cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) newStat.simps by auto
      by simp-all
  } note stat = this

show (oor3  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta e$ )  $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve

```

```

      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis
      using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nm3 nm4 unfolding ss cfg hh apply clarsimp
      using cases-6[of pc3] apply (elim disjE, simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      by (rule oor3I2, simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ -defs, metis)
    qed
  next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
    show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

      case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nn3 unfolding ss cfg hh
apply clarsimp
      using cases-6[of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal apply (rule oor3I1) by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
      subgoal apply (rule oor3I1) by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
      subgoal apply (rule oor3I1) by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
      subgoal apply (rule oor3I1) by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
      apply (rule oor3I3) by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta e$ -defs)
    qed
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

qed

```
lemma step2: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 1$ 
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 2$ :  $\Delta 2$  n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
lcfgs3: last cfs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases last cfs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
lcfgs4: last cfs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases last cfs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4

  have f1:  $\neg$ finalN ss1
  using  $\Delta 2$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 2$ -defs
  by simp

  have f2:  $\neg$ finalN ss2
  using  $\Delta 2$  finalB-pc-iff' unfolding ss finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 2$ -defs
  by auto

  have f3:  $\neg$ finalS ss3
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond-spec by simp

  have f4:  $\neg$ finalS ss4
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond-spec by simp

  note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
  show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto
```



```

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12  $\Delta 1$  ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by (cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by (cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply clarsimp
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs, linarith)

  show stat: statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff
  using v sa  $\Delta 2$ 
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
  apply (simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs sstatA'-def)
  apply (cases statO, simp-all) apply (cases statA, simp-all)
  unfolding finalS-defs
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) newStat.simps(1))

  show  $\Delta 1 \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO

```

```

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  v3 unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-
    apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
    show ?thesis using sa stat  $\Delta 2$  v3 v4 sr3 sr4
      unfolding ss lcfgs hh apply-
      by(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies, simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs, metis)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

qed
qed

lemma *xx-le-NN[simp]:* $cfg = \text{Config } pc \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs) \ \text{avst } h \ p) \implies vs \ xx = 0 \implies vs \ xx < \text{int } NN$
using *NN* **by** *auto*

lemma *match12I:* $\text{match12} \ (\text{oor3 } \Delta 1' \ \Delta 3' \ \Delta e) \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA} \ ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO} \implies$
 $(\exists v < n. \ \text{proact} \ (\text{oor3 } \Delta 1' \ \Delta 3' \ \Delta e) \ v \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA} \ ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}) \vee$
 $\text{react} \ (\text{oor3 } \Delta 1' \ \Delta 3' \ \Delta e) \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA} \ ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}$
apply(*rule disjI2*) **unfolding** *react-def match1-def match2-def*
by(*simp-all add: finalS-def final-def*)

lemma *step1':* $\text{unwindIntoCond} \ \Delta 1' \ (\text{oor3 } \Delta 1' \ \Delta 3' \ \Delta e)$
proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleIB*)
fix $n \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA} \ ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}$
assume $r: \text{reachO } ss3 \ \text{reachO } ss4 \ \text{reachV } ss1 \ \text{reachV } ss2$
and $\Delta 1': \Delta 1' \ n \ ss3 \ ss4 \ \text{statA} \ ss1 \ ss2 \ \text{statO}$

obtain $pstate3 \ cfg3 \ cfs3 \ ibT3 \ ibUT3 \ ls3$ **where** $ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, \ cfg3, \ cfs3, \ ibT3, \ ibUT3, \ ls3)$
by (*cases ss3, auto*)
obtain $pstate4 \ cfg4 \ cfs4 \ ibT4 \ ibUT4 \ ls4$ **where** $ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, \ cfg4, \ cfs4, \ ibT4, \ ibUT4, \ ls4)$
by (*cases ss4, auto*)
obtain $cfg1 \ ibT1 \ ibUT1 \ ls1$ **where** $ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, \ ibT1, \ ibUT1, \ ls1)$
by (*cases ss1, auto*)
obtain $cfg2 \ ibT2 \ ibUT2 \ ls2$ **where** $ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, \ ibT2, \ ibUT2, \ ls2)$
by (*cases ss2, auto*)
note $ss = ss3 \ ss4 \ ss1 \ ss2$

obtain $pc1 \ vs1 \ avst1 \ h1 \ p1$ **where**
 $cfg1: \ cfg1 = \text{Config } pc1 \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs1) \ \text{avst1} \ h1 \ p1)$
by (*cases cfg1*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)
obtain $pc2 \ vs2 \ avst2 \ h2 \ p2$ **where**
 $cfg2: \ cfg2 = \text{Config } pc2 \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs2) \ \text{avst2} \ h2 \ p2)$
by (*cases cfg2*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)
obtain $pc3 \ vs3 \ avst3 \ h3 \ p3$ **where**
 $cfg3: \ cfg3 = \text{Config } pc3 \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs3) \ \text{avst3} \ h3 \ p3)$
by (*cases cfg3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)
obtain $pc4 \ vs4 \ avst4 \ h4 \ p4$ **where**
 $cfg4: \ cfg4 = \text{Config } pc4 \ (\text{State } (\text{Vstore } vs4) \ \text{avst4} \ h4 \ p4)$
by (*cases cfg4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)
note $cfg = cfg3 \ cfg4$

obtain $hh1$ **where** $h1: h1 = \text{Heap } hh1$ **by**(*cases h1, auto*)

```

obtain hh2 where h2: h2 = Heap hh2 by(cases h2, auto)
obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

```

```

have f1: $\neg$ finalN ss1
  using  $\Delta 1'$ 
  unfolding ss apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
  unfolding finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
  using finalB-pcOf-iff by simp

```

```

have f2: $\neg$ finalN ss2
  using  $\Delta 1'$ 
  unfolding ss apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
  unfolding finalN-iff-finalB  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
  using finalB-pcOf-iff by simp

```

```

have f3: $\neg$ finalS ss3
  using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

```

```

have f4: $\neg$ finalS ss4
  using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
  using finalS-cond by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

```

```

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4

```

```

show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

```

```

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

```

```

show ( $\exists v < n.$  proact (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ ) v ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO)  $\vee$ 
  react (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  using cases-6[of pcOf cfg1] apply(elim disjE)
  subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, linarith)
  subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, linarith)
  subgoal proof(rule match12I, rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
    fix ss3' ss4' statA'
    assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
      and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
      and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4 and pc:pcOf cfg1 = 2
    note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

```

```

obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
by (cases ss3', auto)

```

```

obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
by (cases ss4', auto)
note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa Δ1' unfolding ss apply (simp add: Δ1'-defs)
  by (metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-less-numeral)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa Δ1' unfolding ss apply (simp add: Δ1'-defs)
  by (metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-neq-numeral)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa Δ1' unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
  apply (simp-all add: Δ1'-defs)
  by (metis Δ1' Δ1'-implies ss)

show match12-12 (oor3 Δ1' Δ3' Δe) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
    by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
    by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  have cfs4':cfs4' = [] using Δ1' unfolding ss Δ1'-defs by (clarify, metis
list.map-disc-iff)

  have notJump:¬is-IfJump (prog ! pcOf cfg3) using Δ1' pc unfolding ss
Δ1'-defs
    by(simp add: Δ1'-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)

{assume sstat: statA' = Diff
show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
using v sa Δ1' sstat pc unfolding ss cfg statA'
apply(simp add: Δ1'-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss by simp
} note stat = this

have pc4:pc4 = 2
  using v sa Δ1' pc unfolding ss cfg
  by (simp-all add: Δ1'-defs)

```

```

show (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ )  $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2)
(ssstatO' statO ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using notJump by auto
  next
  case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I1)
  using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat pc pc4 v3 v4 nn3 config.sel(2) state.sel(2)

```

```

      unfolding ss cfg cfg1 cfg2 hh apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
      using numeral-le-iff semiring-norm(69,72) by force
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  subgoal proof (rule match12I, rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
    fix ss3' ss4' statA'
    assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
      and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
      and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4 and pc: pcOf cfg1 = 3
    note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

    obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
  cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
    by (cases ss3', auto)
    obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
  cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
    by (cases ss4', auto)
    note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  show eqSec ss1 ss3
    using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    by (metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-less-numeral)

  show eqSec ss2 ss4
    using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    by (metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-neq-numeral)

  show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
    using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
    apply (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    by (metis  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 1'$ -implies ss)

  show match12-12 (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ ) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match12-12-def
  proof (rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
  conjI impI)
    show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
      by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

    show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
      by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

    have cfs4': cfs4' = [] using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs by (clarify, metis
  map-is-Nil-conv)

    {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
      show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff

```

```

using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  sstat pc unfolding ss cfg statA'
apply(simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  using cfg finals ss by simp
} note stat = this

have pc4:pc4 = 3
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding ss cfg
  by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

show (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ )  $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2)
(ssatO' statO ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

  case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs cfigs4)
  next
  case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs cfigs4)
  next
  case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs cfigs4)
  next
  case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs cfigs4)

```



```

    next
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs cfigs4)
    next
    case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
    show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I2)
    using sa pc4  $\Delta 1'$  stat pc v3 v4 nm3 nm4 config.sel(2) state.sel(2)
    unfolding ss cfg cfig1 cfig2 hh apply(simp add: $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    by (metis empty-subsetI nat-less-le nat-neq-iff numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(89) set-eq-subset)
    qed
    next
    case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
    show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

        case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
        case spec-resolve
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
        case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
        show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I1)
        using sa pc4  $\Delta 1'$  stat pc v3 v4 nn3 config.sel(2) state.sel(2)
        unfolding ss cfg cfig1 cfig2 hh apply(simp add: $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        by (metis nat-le-linear nat-less-le numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(88))
    qed
    qed
    qed
    subgoal apply(rule disjI1, rule exI[of - 2], rule conjI)
    subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs apply clarify
    apply(erule disjE)
    subgoal premises p using p(1,47) unfolding endPC by simp

```

```

    subgoal using enat-ord-simps(4) numeral-ne-infinity by presburger .
  unfolding proact-def proof(intro disjI2, intro conjI)
  assume pc:pcOf cfg1 = 4

  show  $\neg$  isSecV ss1 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg by auto

  show  $\neg$  isSecV ss2 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg by auto

  show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg Van.eqAct-def
  by auto

  show move-12 (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ ) 2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding move-12-def Let-def
  proof (rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], intro conjI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
    using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding validTransV-iff-nextN ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
    by simp

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
    using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding validTransV-iff-nextN ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
    by simp
  have a1-0:array-loc aa1 0 avst3 = array-loc aa1 0 avst4
    using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding cfg cfg1 ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs array-loc-def by simp
  have pc1:pc1 = 4 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding cfg cfg1 ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs by simp

  show oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$  2 ss3 ss4 statA (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
  statO ss1 ss2)
    apply(rule oor3I1)
    using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding ss cfg cfg1 cfg2 hh h1 h2 endPC apply(simp
  add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    apply-apply(intro conjI)
    subgoal by (metis numeral-eq-enat)
    subgoal by (metis Nil-is-map-conv)
    subgoal by metis
    subgoal by metis
    subgoal unfolding sstatO'-def by simp
    subgoal using a1-0 by force
    subgoal unfolding a1-0 dist-def pc1 array-loc-def by simp
    subgoal by blast
    subgoal by (simp add: subset-insertI2)
    subgoal by (simp add: subset-insertI2) .
  qed
  qed
  subgoal apply(rule disjI1, rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
  subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs apply clarify
  apply(erule disjE)
  subgoal premises p using p(1,47) unfolding endPC by (simp add:
  one-enat-def)
  subgoal by (metis enat-ord-code(4) one-enat-def) .

```

```

unfolding proact-def proof(intro disjI2, intro conjI)
assume pc:pcOf cfg1 = 5

show  $\neg$  isSecV ss1 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg by auto

show  $\neg$  isSecV ss2 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg by auto

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2 using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding  $\Delta 1'$ -defs ss cfg Van.eqAct-def
by auto

show move-12 (oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$ ) 1 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding move-12-def Let-def
proof (rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], intro conjI)
show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding validTransV-iff-nextN ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
by simp

show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding validTransV-iff-nextN ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs
by simp

show oor3  $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta e$  1 ss3 ss4 statA (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)
apply(rule oor3I1)
using  $\Delta 1'$  pc unfolding ss cfg cfg1 cfg2 hh h1 h2 endPC apply(simp
add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
apply–apply(intro conjI)
subgoal by (metis One-nat-def one-enat-def)
subgoal by (metis Nil-is-map-conv)
subgoal by metis
subgoal by metis
subgoal unfolding sstatO'-def by simp
subgoal by (metis Suc-n-not-le-n eval-nat-numeral(3) nat-le-linear)
subgoal by (metis atThenOutput-def insert-compr less-or-eq-imp-le
mult commute nat-numeral pc subset-insertI2)
subgoal by (simp add: subset-insertI2) .
qed
qed
subgoal proof(rule match12I, rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
fix ss3' ss4' statA'
assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4 and pc:pcOf cfg1 = 6
note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
by (cases ss3', auto)
obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',

```

```

cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa Δ1' unfolding ss apply (simp add: Δ1'-defs)
  by (metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-less-numeral)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa Δ1' unfolding ss apply (simp add: Δ1'-defs)
  by (metis not-gr-zero not-numeral-le-zero zero-neq-numeral)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa Δ1' unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
  apply (simp-all add: Δ1'-defs)
  by (metis Δ1' Δ1'-implies ss)

show match12-12 (oor3 Δ1' Δ3' Δe) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match12-12-def
  proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
    show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
      by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

    show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
      by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

    have cfgs4:cfgs4 = [] using Δ1' unfolding ss Δ1'-defs by (clarify, metis
map-is-Nil-conv)

    {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
      show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
        using v sa Δ1' sstat pc unfolding ss cfg statA'
        apply(simp add: Δ1'-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
        apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
        using cfg finals ss apply (simp split: if-splits)
        unfolding dist-def by blast
      } note stat = this

    have pc4:pc4 = 6
      using v sa Δ1' pc unfolding ss cfg
      by (simp-all add: Δ1'-defs)

    have notJump:¬is-IfJump (prog ! pcOf cfg3) using Δ1' pc unfolding ss
Δ1'-defs
      by(simp add: Δ1'-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)

    show (oor3 Δ1' Δ3' Δe) ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2)

```

```

(ssstatO' statO ss1 ss2)

  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using notJump by auto
  next
  case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa Δ1' stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ1'-defs)
  next
  case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I3)
    using sa Δ1' stat pc pc4 v3 v4 nn3 config.sel(2) state.sel(2)
    unfolding ss cfg cfg1 cfg2 hh by(simp add:Δ1'-defs Δe-defs)

```

```

      qed
    qed
  qed
  qed
  using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss by(simp add: $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
qed

```

lemma *step3'*: *unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 3'$ (*oor* $\Delta 3'$ $\Delta 1'$)
proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

```

  fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 3'$ :  $\Delta 3'$  n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

```

```

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

```

```

  obtain pc1 vs1 avst1 h1 p1 where
    cfg1: cfg1 = Config pc1 (State (Vstore vs1) avst1 h1 p1)
  by (cases cfg1) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc2 vs2 avst2 h2 p2 where
    cfg2: cfg2 = Config pc2 (State (Vstore vs2) avst2 h2 p2)
  by (cases cfg2) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
    cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
    cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg1 cfg2 cfg3 cfg4

```

```

  obtain lpc3 lvs3 lavst3 lh3 lp3 where
    lcfgs3: last cfs3 = Config lpc3 (State (Vstore lvs3) lavst3 lh3 lp3)
  by (cases last cfs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain lpc4 lvs4 lavst4 lh4 lp4 where
    lcfgs4: last cfs4 = Config lpc4 (State (Vstore lvs4) lavst4 lh4 lp4)
  by (cases last cfs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4

```

```

  obtain hh1 where h1: h1 = Heap hh1 by(cases h1, auto)

```

obtain *hh2* **where** *h2*: *h2* = *Heap hh2* **by**(*cases h2, auto*)

obtain *hh3* **where** *h3*: *h3* = *Heap hh3* **by**(*cases h3, auto*)

obtain *hh4* **where** *h4*: *h4* = *Heap hh4* **by**(*cases h4, auto*)

obtain *lhh3* **where** *lh3*: *lh3* = *Heap lhh3* **by**(*cases lh3, auto*)

obtain *lhh4* **where** *lh4*: *lh4* = *Heap lhh4* **by**(*cases lh4, auto*)

note *hh* = *h3 h4 lh3 lh4 h1 h2*

define *a1-3* **where** *a1-3*:*a1-3* = *array-loc aa1 0 avst3*

define *a1-4* **where** *a1-4*:*a1-4* = *array-loc aa1 0 avst4*

define *a2-3* **where** *a2-3*:*a2-3* = *array-loc aa2 (nat (lvs3 vv * 512)) avst3*

define *a2-4* **where** *a2-4*:*a2-4* = *array-loc aa2 (nat (lvs4 vv * 512)) avst4*

have *butlast:butlast cfgs4* = []

using $\Delta 3'$ **unfolding** *ss* **apply** (*simp add: $\Delta 3'$ -defs*)

by (*metis length-1-butlast length-map*)

have *h3-eq:hh3* = *lhh3*

using *cfg lcfgs hh $\Delta 3'$ unfolding $\Delta 3'$ -defs ss* **apply** *clarify*

using *config.sel(2) getHheap.simps heap.sel last-in-set*

by *metis*

have *h4-eq:hh4* = *lhh4*

using *cfg lcfgs hh $\Delta 3'$ unfolding $\Delta 3'$ -defs ss* **apply** *clarify*

using *config.sel(2) getHheap.simps heap.sel last-in-set*

by (*metis map-is-Nil-conv*)

have *f1*: \neg *finalN ss1*

using $\Delta 3'$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** *ss finalN-iff-finalB $\Delta 3'$ -defs*

by *simp*

have *f2*: \neg *finalN ss2*

using $\Delta 3'$ *finalB-pc-iff'* **unfolding** *ss cfg finalN-iff-finalB $\Delta 3'$ -defs*

by *simp*

have *f3*: \neg *finalS ss3*

using $\Delta 3'$ **unfolding** *ss* **apply**—**apply**(*frule $\Delta 3'$ -implies*)

using *finalS-cond-spec* **by** (*simp add: $\Delta 3'$ -defs*)

have *f4*: \neg *finalS ss4*

using $\Delta 3'$ **unfolding** *ss* **apply**—**apply**(*frule $\Delta 3'$ -implies*)

using *finalS-cond-spec* **apply** (*simp add: $\Delta 3'$ -defs*)

by (*metis length-map*)

note *finals* = *f1 f2 f3 f4*

show *finalS ss3* = *finalS ss4* \wedge *finalN ss1* = *finalS ss3* \wedge *finalN ss2* = *finalS ss4*

```

using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react (oor  $\Delta 3' \Delta 1'$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

show match1 (oor  $\Delta 3' \Delta 1'$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 (oor  $\Delta 3' \Delta 1'$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor  $\Delta 3' \Delta 1'$ ) ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
using cases-thenBranch[of pcOf (last cfgs3)]
apply(elim disjE)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss lcfgs  $\Delta 3'$ -defs
by (clarify, metis atLeastAtMost-iff inThenBranch-def lcfgs3 le-antisym less-irrefl-nat
less-or-eq-imp-le startOfThenBranch-def)
subgoal
proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  and pc:pcOf (last cfgs3) = 4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  have pc2:pc2 = 4
  using  $\Delta 3'$  pc unfolding ss cfg unfolding  $\Delta 3'$ -defs
  apply clarify
  by (metis config.sel(1))

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def

```



```

    by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs lessI less-or-eq-imp-le numeral-3-eq-3 pc)

show match12-12 (oor  $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta 1'$ ) ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
    by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
    by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
   show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
     using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  sstat unfolding ss cfg statA'
     apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
     apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
     by (smt (z3) Nil-is-map-conv cfg finals ss status.distinct(1) new-
Stat.simps(1))
   } note stat = this

  show oor  $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta 1'$   $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)
    using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat pc unfolding ss apply (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
      by (metis last-ConsL last-map n-not-Suc-n numeral-2-eq-2 numeral-3-eq-3
numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(87))

    next
    case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal

```

```

show ?thesis
  using v4[unfolding ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
  then show ?thesis
    using Δ3' sn3 sn4 pc2 lcfgs h3-eq h4-eq hh stat a1-3 a1-4
    unfolding ss cfg
    apply simp
    apply(rule oorI1)
    apply (simp add: Δ3'-defs butlast )
    apply clarsimp apply(intro conjI)
    subgoal by (smt (z3) config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel)
    subgoal by (smt (z3) config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel)
    subgoal unfolding array-loc-def by simp .
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
subgoal proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  and pc:pcOf (last cfigs3) = 5
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  have pc2:pc2 = 5
  using Δ3' Δ3'-implies pc unfolding ss cfg Δ3'-defs
  apply clarify by (smt (z3) config.sel(1))

```

```

obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
by (cases ss3', auto)
obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
by (cases ss4', auto)
note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

show eqSec ss1 ss3
using v sa Δ3' unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3'-defs pc)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
using v sa Δ3' unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3'-defs pc)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa Δ3' unfolding ss Van.eqAct-def
by (simp add: Δ3'-defs pc)

show match12-12 (oor Δ3' Δ1') ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

{assume sstat: statA' = Diff
show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
using v sa Δ3' sstat unfolding ss cfg statA'
apply(simp add: Δ3'-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def)
apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
by (smt (z3) Nil-is-map-conv cfg f3 f4 ss status.distinct(1) new-
Stat.simps(1))
} note stat = this

show oor Δ3' Δ1' ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)
using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
case nonspec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
next
case spec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:

```

```

Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat pc unfolding ss apply (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  by (metis last-ConsL last-map numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(89))

  next
  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis
  using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa Δ3' stat sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ3'-defs)
  next
  case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
  then show ?thesis
  using Δ3' sn3 sn4 pc2 lcfgs h3-eq h4-eq hh stat
  unfolding ss cfg a1-3 a1-4
  apply simp apply(rule oorI1)
  apply (simp add: Δ3'-defs butlast)
  apply clarsimp
  by (smt (z3) config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel)
qed
qed

```

```

qed
qed
subgoal proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
    and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
    and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
    and pc:pcOf (last cfgs3) = 6
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

  obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
  by (cases ss3', auto)
  obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
  by (cases ss4', auto)
  note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss3
    using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)

  show  $\neg$  isSecO ss4
    using v sa  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)

  show stat: statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff
    using v sa  $\Delta 3'$ 
    unfolding ss statA' sstatA'-def
    apply (simp-all add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    apply (cases statA, simp-all)
    by (smt (verit, best) Nil-is-map-conv f3 f4 ss newStat.simps(1))

  show oor  $\Delta 3'$   $\Delta 1'$   $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
    using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
      case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-mispred
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-Fence
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
      next
        case nonspec-normal
          then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)

```

```

    next
      case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
      show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sn3 pc v3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
      show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule:
stepS-cases)
        case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
      next
        case nonspec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 3'$  stat sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3'$ -defs)
      next
        case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
        then show ?thesis
          using  $\Delta 3'$  sr3 sr4 lcfgs hh stat a2-3 a2-4
            butlast array-locBase le-refl
          unfolding ss cfg
          apply simp
          apply(rule oorI2)
          apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3'$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, intro conjI, metis)
          apply meson apply meson apply blast by meson
        qed
      qed
    qed
  subgoal using  $\Delta 3'$  unfolding ss lcfgs  $\Delta 3'$ -defs
    by (simp add: avstoreOf.cases elseBranch-def lcfgs3) .
  qed
qed

```

lemma step: unwindIntoCond $\Delta e \Delta e$
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)

```

fix n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
and Δe: Δe n ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
by (cases ss3, auto)
obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
by (cases ss4, auto)
obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
by (cases ss1, auto)
obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
by (cases ss2, auto)
note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
using Δe Opt.final-def Prog.endPC-def finalS-def stepS-endPC
unfolding Δe-defs ss by clarsimp

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react Δe ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

show match1 Δe ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 Δe ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 Δe ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
apply(rule match12-simpleI)
using Δe stepS-endPC unfolding ss
by (simp add: Δe-defs)
qed
qed

```

lemmas *theConds* = *step0 step1 step2*
step1' step3' stepe

proposition *rsecure*

proof –

```

define m where m: m ≡ (6::nat)
define Δs where Δs: Δs ≡ λi::nat.
  if i = 0 then Δ0
  else if i = 1 then Δ1
  else if i = 2 then Δ2
  else if i = 3 then Δ1'
  else if i = 4 then Δ3'
  else Δe
define next where next: next ≡ λi::nat.
  if i = 0 then {0,1,3::nat}
  else if i = 1 then {1,2,5}
  else if i = 2 then {1}
  else if i = 3 then {3,4,5}
  else if i = 4 then {4,3}
  else {5}
show ?thesis apply(rule distrib-unwind-rsecure[of m next Δs])
  subgoal unfolding m by auto
  subgoal unfolding next m by auto
  subgoal using init unfolding Δs by auto
  subgoal
    unfolding m next Δs apply (simp split: if-splits)
    using theConds
    unfolding oor-def oor3-def oor4-def by auto .

```

qed

end

end

12 Proof of Relative Security for fun5

theory *Fun5*

imports *../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem*

Relative-Security.Unwinding

begin

12.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

no-notation *bot* (*⊥*)

consts *NN* :: *nat*

consts *SS* :: *nat*

lemma *NN*: *int NN ≥ 0* **and** *SS*: *int SS ≥ 0* **by** *auto*

definition *aa1* :: *avname* **where** *aa1* = "*a1*"

definition *aa2* :: *avname* **where** *aa2* = "*a2*"

definition $vv :: \text{avname}$ **where** $vv = \text{"v"}$
definition $xx :: \text{avname}$ **where** $xx = \text{"x"}$
definition $tt :: \text{avname}$ **where** $tt = \text{"y"}$
definition $temp :: \text{avname}$ **where** $temp = \text{"temp"}$

lemmas $vvars-defs = aa1-def\ aa2-def\ vv-def\ xx-def\ tt-def\ temp-def$

lemma $vvars-dff[simp]$:

$aa1 \neq aa2\ aa1 \neq vv\ aa1 \neq xx\ aa1 \neq temp\ aa1 \neq tt$
 $aa2 \neq aa1\ aa2 \neq vv\ aa2 \neq xx\ aa2 \neq temp\ aa2 \neq tt$
 $vv \neq aa1\ vv \neq aa2\ vv \neq xx\ vv \neq temp\ vv \neq tt$
 $xx \neq aa1\ xx \neq aa2\ xx \neq vv\ xx \neq temp\ xx \neq tt$
 $tt \neq aa1\ tt \neq aa2\ tt \neq vv\ tt \neq temp\ tt \neq xx$
 $temp \neq aa1\ temp \neq aa2\ temp \neq vv\ temp \neq xx\ temp \neq tt$
unfolding $vvars-defs$ **by** $auto$

consts $size-aa1 :: \text{nat}$
consts $size-aa2 :: \text{nat}$

fun $initAvstore :: \text{avstore} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $initAvstore\ (Avstore\ as) = (as\ aa1 = (0,\ size-aa1) \wedge as\ aa2 = (size-aa1,\ size-aa2))$

fun $istate :: \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $istate\ s = (initAvstore\ (getAvstore\ s))$

definition $prog \equiv$
 $[$
 $\ \emptyset\ \text{Start} ,$
 $\ \cancel{1}\ tt ::= (N\ 0),$
 $\ \cancel{2}\ xx ::= (N\ 1),$
 $\ \cancel{3}\ \text{IfJump}\ (Not\ (Eq\ (V\ xx)\ (N\ 0)))\ 4\ 11 ,$
 $\ \cancel{4}\ \text{Input}\ U\ xx ,$
 $\ \cancel{5}\ \text{IfJump}\ (Less\ (V\ xx)\ (N\ NN))\ 6\ 10 ,$
 $\ \cancel{6}\ vv ::= VA\ aa1\ (V\ xx) ,$
 $\ \cancel{7}\ \text{Fence} ,$
 $\ \cancel{8}\ tt ::= (VA\ aa2\ (Times\ (V\ vv)\ (N\ SS))) ,$
 $\ \cancel{9}\ \text{Output}\ U\ (V\ tt) ,$
 $\ \cancel{10}\ \text{Jump}\ 3 ,$
 $\ \cancel{11}\ \text{Output}\ U\ (N\ 0)$
 $]$

definition $PC \equiv \{0..11\}$

definition $beforeWhile = \{0,1,2\}$

definition $inWhile = \{3..11\}$

definition $startOfWhileThen = 4$

definition *startOfIfThen* = 6
definition *inThenIfBeforeFence* = {6,7}
definition *startOfElseBranch* = 10
definition *inElseIf* = {10,3,4,11}
definition *whileElse* = 11

fun *leftWhileSpec* **where**
leftWhileSpec *cfg* *cfg'* =
 (*pcOf* *cfg* = *whileElse* \wedge
pcOf *cfg'* = *startOfWhileThen*)

fun *rightWhileSpec* **where**
rightWhileSpec *cfg* *cfg'* =
 (*pcOf* *cfg* = *startOfWhileThen* \wedge
pcOf *cfg'* = *whileElse*)

fun *whileSpeculation* **where**
whileSpeculation *cfg* *cfg'* =
 (*leftWhileSpec* *cfg* *cfg'* \vee
rightWhileSpec *cfg* *cfg'*)

lemmas *whileSpec-def* = *whileSpeculation.simps*
startOfWhileThen-def
whileElse-def

lemmas *whileSpec-defs* = *whileSpec-def*
leftWhileSpec.simps
rightWhileSpec.simps

lemma *cases-12*: ($i::\text{pcounter}$) = 0 \vee $i = 1 \vee i = 2 \vee i = 3 \vee i = 4 \vee i = 5 \vee$
 $i = 6 \vee i = 7 \vee i = 8 \vee i = 9 \vee i = 10 \vee i = 11 \vee i = 12 \vee i > 12$

apply(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

subgoal **for** *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)

.....

lemma *xx-0-cases*: *vs* $xx = 0 \vee \text{vs } xx \neq 0$ **by** *auto*

lemma *xx-NN-cases*: $vs\ xx < int\ NN \vee vs\ xx \geq int\ NN$ **by** *auto*

lemma *is-IfJump-pcOf[simp]*:

$pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ (pcOf\ cfg)) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg = 3 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 5$
apply(*cases\ cfg*) **subgoal** **for** *pc\ s* **using** *cases-12[of\ pcOf\ cfg]*
by (*auto\ simp: prog-def*) .

lemma *is-IfJump-pc[simp]*:

$pc < 12 \implies is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ pc) \longleftrightarrow pc = 3 \vee pc = 5$
using *cases-12[of\ pc]*
by (*auto\ simp: prog-def*)

lemma *eq-Fence-pc[simp]*:

$pc < 12 \implies prog\ !\ pc = Fence \longleftrightarrow pc = 7$
using *cases-12[of\ pc]*
by (*auto\ simp: prog-def*)

lemma *output1[simp]*:

$prog\ !\ 9 = Output\ U\ (V\ tt)$ **by**(*simp\ add: prog-def*)

lemma *output2[simp]*:

$prog\ !\ 11 = Output\ U\ (N\ 0)$ **by**(*simp\ add: prog-def*)

lemma *is-if[simp]:is-IfJump* ($prog\ !\ 3$) **by**(*simp\ add: prog-def*)

lemma *is-nif1[simp]:¬is-IfJump* ($prog\ !\ 6$) **by**(*simp\ add: prog-def*)

lemma *is-nif2[simp]:¬is-IfJump* ($prog\ !\ 7$) **by**(*simp\ add: prog-def*)

lemma *is-nin1[simp]:¬is-getInput* ($prog\ !\ 6$) **by**(*simp\ add: prog-def*)

lemma *is-nout1[simp]:¬is-Output* ($prog\ !\ 6$) **by**(*simp\ add: prog-def*)

lemma *is-nin2[simp]:¬is-getInput* ($prog\ !\ 10$) **by**(*simp\ add: prog-def*)

lemma *is-nout2[simp]:¬is-Output* ($prog\ !\ 10$) **by**(*simp\ add: prog-def*)

lemma *fence[simp]:prog\ !\ 7 = Fence* **by**(*simp\ add: prog-def*)

lemma *nfence[simp]:prog\ !\ 6 ≠ Fence* **by**(*simp\ add: prog-def*)

consts *mispred* :: $predState \Rightarrow pcounter\ list \Rightarrow bool$

fun *resolve* :: $predState \Rightarrow pcounter\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

resolve\ p\ pc =

(if\ (set\ pc = \{4,11\}) \vee (6 \in set\ pc \wedge (4 \in set\ pc \vee 11 \in set\ pc)))
then\ True\ else\ False)

lemma *resolve-63*: $\neg resolve\ p\ [6,3]$ **by** *auto*

lemma *resolve-64*: $resolve\ p\ [6,4]$ **by** *auto*

lemma *resolve-611*: $resolve\ p\ [6,11]$ **by** *auto*

lemma *resolve-106*: $\neg resolve\ p\ [10,6]$ **by** *auto*

consts *update* :: $predState \Rightarrow pcounter\ list \Rightarrow predState$

consts *initPstate* :: $predState$

interpretation *Prog-Mispred-Init* **where**
prog = *prog* **and** *initPstate* = *initPstate* **and**
mispred = *mispred* **and** *resolve* = *resolve* **and** *update* = *update* **and**
istate = *istate*
by (*standard*, *simp add: prog-def*)

abbreviation

stepB-abbrev :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* ⇒
bool (**infix** ⟨→*B*⟩ 55)
where *x* →*B* *y* == *stepB* *x* *y*

abbreviation

stepsB-abbrev :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* ⇒
bool (**infix** ⟨→*B**⟩ 55)
where *x* →*B** *y* == *star* *stepB* *x* *y*

abbreviation

stepM-abbrev :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* ⇒
bool (**infix** ⟨→*MM*⟩ 55)
where *x* →*MM* *y* == *stepM* *x* *y*

abbreviation

stepN-abbrev :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val*
llist × *loc set* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** ⟨→*N*⟩ 55)
where *x* →*N* *y* == *stepN* *x* *y*

abbreviation

stepsN-abbrev :: *config* × *val llist* × *val llist* × *loc set* ⇒ *config* × *val llist* × *val*
llist × *loc set* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** ⟨→*N**⟩ 55)
where *x* →*N** *y* == *star* *stepN* *x* *y*

abbreviation

stepS-abbrev :: *configS* ⇒ *configS* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** ⟨→*S*⟩ 55)
where *x* →*S* *y* == *stepS* *x* *y*

abbreviation

stepsS-abbrev :: *configS* ⇒ *configS* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** ⟨→*S**⟩ 55)
where *x* →*S** *y* == *star* *stepS* *x* *y*

lemma *endPC[simp]*: *endPC* = 12
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *is-getInput-pcOf[simp]*: $pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies is_getInput\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg))$
 $\longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg = 4$
using *cases-12[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *getUntrustedInput-pcOf[simp]*: $prog!4 = Input\ U\ xx$
by (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not6[simp]*: $\neg is_getInput\ (prog!\ 6)$ **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not7[simp]*: $\neg is_getInput\ (prog!\ 7)$ **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not10[simp]*: $\neg is_getInput\ (prog!\ 10)$ **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output-pcOf[simp]*: $pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies is_Output\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pcOf\ cfg = 9 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 11)$
using *cases-12[of pcOf cfg]* **by** (*auto simp: prog-def*)

lemma *is-Output*: $is_Output\ (prog!\ 9)$

unfolding *is-Output-def prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *is-Output-1*: $is_Output\ (prog!\ 11)$

unfolding *is-Output-def prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *isSecV-pcOf[simp]*:
 $isSecV\ (cfg,ibT,ibUT) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg = 0$
using *isSecV-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *isSecO-pcOf[simp]*:
 $isSecO\ (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 0 \wedge cfgs = [])$
using *isSecO-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getInputT-not[simp]*: $pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies$
 $(prog!\ pcOf\ cfg) \neq Input\ T\ inp$
apply(*cases cfg*) **subgoal for** *pc s* **using** *cases-12[of pcOf cfg]*]
by (*auto simp: prog-def*) .

lemma *getActV-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies$
 $getActV\ (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =$
 $(if\ pcOf\ cfg = 4\ then\ lhd\ ibUT\ else\ \perp)$
apply(*subst getActV-simps*) **unfolding** *prog-def*
apply *simp*
using *getActV-simps*
using *cases-12[of pcOf cfg]*]
by *auto*

lemma *getObsV-pcOf[simp]*:

```

pcOf cfg < 12 ==>
  getObsV (cfg,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
    (if pcOf cfg = 9 ∨ pcOf cfg = 11 then
      (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
    else ⊥
  )
apply(subst getObsV-simps)
unfolding prog-def apply simp
  using getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf prog-def
    One-nat-def by presburger

```

```

lemma getActO-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 12 ==>
  getActO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
    (if pcOf cfg = 4 ∧ cfgs = [] then lhd ibUT else ⊥)
apply(subst getActO-simps)
  apply(cases cfgs, auto)
  unfolding prog-def
  apply(cases pcOf cfg = 4, auto)
  using getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def by simp

```

```

lemma getObsO-pcOf[simp]:
pcOf cfg < 12 ==>
  getObsO (pstate,cfg,cfgs,ibT,ibUT,ls) =
    (if (pcOf cfg = 9 ∨ pcOf cfg = 11) ∧ cfgs = [] then
      (outOf (prog!(pcOf cfg)) (stateOf cfg), ls)
    else ⊥
  )
apply(subst getObsO-simps)
apply(cases cfgs, auto)
unfolding prog-def
  using getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV prog-def
    One-nat-def by presburger

```

```

lemma eqSec-pcOf[simp]:
eqSec (cfg1, ibT1,ibUT1, ls1) (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3,ibUT3, ls3) ↔
  (pcOf cfg1 = 0 ↔ pcOf cfg3 = 0 ∧ cfgs3 = []) ∧
  (pcOf cfg1 = 0 → stateOf cfg1 = stateOf cfg3)
unfolding eqSec-def by simp

```

```

lemma getActInput:pc4 = 4 ==> pc3 = 4 ==> cfgs3 = [] ==> cfgs4 = [] ==>
  getActO (pstate3, Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3), [], ibT3,ibUT3,
ls3) =
  getActO (pstate4, Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4), [], ibT4,ibUT4,
ls4)
  ==> lhd ibUT3 = lhd ibUT4

```

using *getActO-pcOf zero-less-numeral* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc0[simp]*:
nextB (Config 0 s, ibT,ibUT) =
(Config 1 s, ibT,ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc0[simp]*:
readLocs (Config 0 s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1[simp]*:
nextB (Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT,ibUT) =
((Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0))) avst hh p)), ibT,ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc1'[simp]*:
nextB (Config (Suc 0) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT,ibUT) =
((Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0))) avst hh p)), ibT,ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1[simp]*:
readLocs (Config 1 s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1'[simp]*:
readLocs (Config (Suc 0) s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc2[simp]*:
nextB (Config 2 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT,ibUT) =
((Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(xx := 1))) avst hh p)), ibT,ibUT)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc2[simp]*:
readLocs (Config 2 s) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-then[simp]*:

vs xx ≠ 0 \implies

nextB (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextB-IfTrue*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-else[simp]*:

vs xx = 0 \implies

nextB (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 11* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextB-IfFalse*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3*:

nextB (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =

(*Config* (*if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 11*) (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

by(*cases vs xx = 0, auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc3[simp]*:

readLocs (*Config 3 s*) = {}

unfolding *endPC-def readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3-then[simp]*:

vs xx = 0 \implies

nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextM-IfTrue*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3-else[simp]*:

vs xx ≠ 0 \implies

nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 11* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextM-IfFalse*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc3*:

nextM (*Config 3* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =

(*Config* (*if vs xx ≠ 0 then 11 else 4*) (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

by(*cases vs xx = 0, auto*)

lemma *nextB-pc4[simp]*:

ibUT ≠ LNil \implies *nextB* (*Config 4* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore* (*vs(xx := lhd ibUT)*)) *avst hh p*), *ibT, ltl ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextB-getUntrustedInput'*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc4[simp]*:

readLocs (*Config 4 s*) = {}

unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5-then[simp]*:

vs xx < int NN \implies

nextB (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 6* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextB-IfTrue*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* *Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5-else[simp]*:

vs xx \geq int NN \implies

nextB (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 10* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextB-IfFalse*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* *Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc5*:

nextB (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =

(*Config* (*if vs xx < NN then 6 else 10*) (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

by(*cases vs xx < NN, auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc5[simp]*:

readLocs (*Config 5 s*) = {}

unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* *Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc5-then[simp]*:

vs xx \geq int NN \implies

nextM (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 6* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextM-IfTrue*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* *Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc5-else[simp]*:

vs xx < int NN \implies

nextM (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
(*Config 10* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

apply(*subst nextM-IfFalse*)

unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* *Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextM-pc5*:

nextM (*Config 5* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =

(*Config* (*if vs xx < NN then 10 else 6*) (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst hh p*), *ibT,ibUT*)

by(*cases vs xx < NN, auto*)

lemma *nextB-pc6*[simp]:
nextB (*Config 6* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst* (*Heap hh*) *p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
 (let *l* = *array-loc aa1* (*nat* (*vs xx*)) *avst*
 in (*Config 7* (*State* (*Vstore* (*vs*(*vv := hh l*))) *avst* (*Heap hh*) *p*)), *ibT,ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc6*[simp]:
readLocs (*Config 6* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst* *hh p*)) = {*array-loc aa1* (*nat* (*vs xx*))
avst}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc7*[simp]:
nextB (*Config 7 s*, *ibT,ibUT*) = (*Config 8 s*, *ibT,ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc7*[simp]:
readLocs (*Config 7 s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc8*[simp]:
nextB (*Config 8* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst* (*Heap hh*) *p*), *ibT,ibUT*) =
 (let *l* = *array-loc aa2* (*nat* (*vs vv * SS*)) *avst*
 in (*Config 9* (*State* (*Vstore* (*vs*(*tt := hh l*))) *avst* (*Heap hh*) *p*)), *ibT,ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc8*[simp]:
readLocs (*Config 8* (*State* (*Vstore vs*) *avst* *hh p*)) = {*array-loc aa2* (*nat* (*vs vv * SS*))
avst}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc9*[simp]:
nextB (*Config 9 s*, *ibT,ibUT*) = (*Config 10 s*, *ibT,ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Output*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc9*[simp]:

$readLocs$ (Config 9 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc10[simp]:
nextB (Config 10 s , $ibT,ibUT$) = (Config 3 s , $ibT,ibUT$)
apply(subst nextB-Jump)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc10[simp]:
 $readLocs$ (Config 10 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc11[simp]:
nextB (Config 11 s , $ibT,ibUT$) =
(Config 12 s , $ibT,ibUT$)
apply(subst nextB-Output)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc11[simp]:
 $readLocs$ (Config 11 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma map-L1:length cfgs = Suc 0 \implies
pcOf (last cfgs) = $y \implies$ map pcOf cfgs = [y]
by (smt (verit,del-insts) Suc-length-conv cfgs-map last.simps
length-0-conv map-eq-Cons-conv nth-Cons-0 numeral-2-eq-2)

lemma map-L2:length cfgs = 2 \implies
pcOf (cfgs ! 0) = $x \implies$
pcOf (last cfgs) = $y \implies$ map pcOf cfgs = [x,y]
by (smt (verit) Suc-length-conv cfgs-map last.simps
length-0-conv map-eq-Cons-conv nth-Cons-0 numeral-2-eq-2)

lemma length cfgs = 2 \implies (cfgs ! 0) = last (butlast cfgs)
by (cases cfgs, auto)

lemma nextB-stepB-pc:
 $pc < 12 \implies (pc = 4 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$
(Config pc s , $ibT,ibUT$) \rightarrow_B nextB (Config pc s , $ibT,ibUT$)
apply(cases s) **subgoal for** vst avst hh p **apply**(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs as h
using cases-12[of pc] **apply** safe
subgoal apply simp **apply**(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding** endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp **apply**(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding** endPC-def

```

by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, auto) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, auto) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx < NN)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
    by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def) .

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  apply (simp add: prog-def)
  using nextB-pc5 prog-def by presburger
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)

```

by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply simp apply(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal by simp apply(*subst stepB.simps*) **unfolding** *endPC-def*
by (*simp add: prog-def*) . .

lemma *not-finalB*:

$pc < 12 \implies (pc = 4 \longrightarrow ibUT \neq LNil) \implies$
 $\neg finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT)$
using *nextB-stepB-pc* **by** (*simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

lemma *finalB-pc-iff'*:

$pc < 12 \implies$
 $finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 4 \wedge ibUT = LNil)$
subgoal apply safe
subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)
subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)
subgoal using *finalB-iff getUntrustedInput-pcOf* **by** *auto* . .

lemma *finalB-pc-iff*:

$pc \leq 12 \implies$
 $finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(pc = 12 \vee pc = 4 \wedge ibUT = LNil)$
using *Prog.finalB-iff endPC finalB-pc-iff' order-le-less finalB-iff*
by *metis*

lemma *finalB-pcOf-iff[simp]*:

$pcOf\ cfg \leq 12 \implies$
 $finalB (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 12 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 4 \wedge ibUT = LNil)$
by (*metis config.collapse finalB-pc-iff*)

lemma *finalS-cond:pcOf cfg < 12* \implies *noMisSpec cfgs* \implies *ibUT \neq LNil* \implies \neg
finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)
apply(*cases cfg*)
subgoal for *pc s* **apply**(*cases s*)
subgoal for *vst avst hh p* **apply**(*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)
subgoal for *vs as h*
using *cases-12*[of *pc*] **apply**(*elim disjE*) **unfolding** *finalS-defs noMisSpec-def*
subgoal using *nonspec-normal*[of \square *Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT \square ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls]
using *is-IfJump-pc* **by force**

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(tt:= 0))) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT \square ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)
pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 3 (State (Vstore (vs(xx:= 1))) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT \square ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore
vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*cases mispred pstate [3]*)
subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-mispred*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*
hh p)
pstate update pstate [pcOf (Config pc (State
(Vstore vs) avst hh p))]
(State (Vstore vs) avst hh p) *ibT ibUT Config (if vs xx \neq 0 then 4 else 11)*
(State (Vstore vs) avst hh p) *ibT ibUT Config (if vs xx \neq 0 then 11 else 4)*
(State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)] *ibT ibUT [Config (if vs xx \neq 0 then 11 else 4)*
ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs)
avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (simp add: finalM-iff)+

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*

$hh\ p)$
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ (if\ vs\ xx \neq 0\ then\ 4\ else\ 11)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)$
 $avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all .

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs $Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst$
 $hh\ p)$

$pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(xx:=\ lhd\ ibUT)))\ avst\ hh$
 $p)$
 $ibT\ ltl\ ibUT\ []\ ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*cases mispred pstate* [5])
subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-mispred*[of cfigs $Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst$
 $hh\ p)$

$pstate\ update\ pstate\ [pcOf\ (Config\ pc\ (State$
 $(Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))]$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ Config\ (if\ vs\ xx < NN\ then\ 6\ else$
 $10)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ Config\ (if\ vs\ xx < NN\ then\ 10\ else$
 $6)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ [Config\ (if\ vs\ xx < NN\ then\ 10\ else$
 $6)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)]$
 $ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)$
 $avst\ hh\ p))\ ls])$
prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (simp add: finalM-iff)+

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs $Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst$
 $hh\ p)$

$pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ (if\ vs\ xx < NN\ then\ 6\ else\ 10)\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all .

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of cfigs $Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst$
 $hh\ p)$

$pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $(let\ l = (array-loc\ aa1\ (nat\ (vs\ xx))\ (Avstore\ as))$
 $in\ (Config\ 7\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(vv := h\ l)))\ avst\ hh\ p)))$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst$
 $hh\ p))\ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*])

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 8 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls]

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*])

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
*(let l = (array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * SS)) (Avstore as))*
in (Config 9 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := h l))) avst hh p))
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst

hh p)) ls]

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*])

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 10 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls]

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*])

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls]

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*])

pstate pstate ibT ibUT
Config 12 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ls]

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

by simp-all . . .

lemma *finalS-cond'*:*pcOf cfg < 12 ⇒ cfgs = [] ⇒ ibUT ≠ LNil ⇒ ¬ finalS*
(pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)

using *finalS-cond* **by** (*simp add: noMisSpec-def*)

lemma *finalS-while-spec*:

whileSpeculation cfg (last cfgs) ⇒
length cfgs = Suc 0 ⇒
¬ finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)

apply(*unfold whileSpec-defs, cases cfg*)

subgoal for pc s apply(*cases s*)

subgoal for $vst\ avst\ hh\ p$ **apply**($cases\ vst, cases\ avst, cases\ hh$)
subgoal for $vs\ as\ h$
apply($elim\ disjE, elim\ conjE$) **unfolding** $finalS-defs$
subgoal using $stepS-spec-resolve-iff$ [$of\ cfgs\ pstate\ cfg\ ibT\ ibUT\ ls\ update$
 $pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$]
by ($metis\ (no-types, lifting)\ cfgs-map\ empty-set\ insert-commute\ less-numeral-extra(3)$)

 $resolve.simps\ list.simps(15)\ list.size(3)\ numeral-2-eq-2\ pos2$)
subgoal apply($elim\ conjE$)
using $spec-resolve$ [$of\ cfgs\ pstate\ cfg\ update\ pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf$
 $cfgs)\ cfg\ []\ ibT\ ibT\ ibUT\ ibUT\ ls\ ls\]$
by ($metis\ (no-types, lifting)\ empty-set\ insert-commute\ last-ConsL$
 $resolve.simps$
 $length-0-conv\ length-1-butlast\ length-Suc-conv\ list.simps(9,8,15))\ .\ .$
 \dots

lemma $finalS-while-spec-L2$:

$pcOf\ cfg = 6 \implies$
 $whileSpeculation\ (cfgs!0)\ (last\ cfgs) \implies$
 $length\ cfgs = 2 \implies$
 $\neg finalS\ (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)$
apply($unfold\ whileSpec-defs, cases\ cfg$)
subgoal for $pc\ s$ **apply**($cases\ s$)
subgoal for $vst\ avst\ hh\ p$ **apply**($cases\ vst, cases\ avst, cases\ hh$)
subgoal for $vs\ as\ h$
apply($elim\ disjE, elim\ conjE$) **unfolding** $finalS-defs$
subgoal using $stepS-spec-resolve-iff$ [$of\ cfgs\ pstate\ cfg\ ibT\ ibUT\ ls\ update$
 $pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfgs)$]
unfolding $resolve.simps$
using $list.set-intros(1,2)\ map-L2\ zero-neq-numeral$
by $fastforce$
subgoal apply($elim\ conjE$)
using $spec-resolve$
unfolding $resolve.simps$
using $list.set-intros(1,2)\ map-L2\ zero-neq-numeral$
by ($metis\ (no-types, lifting)\ Prog-Mispred.spec-resolve\ Prog-Mispred-axioms$
 $list.size(3)$)
 \dots

lemma $finalS-if-spec$:

$(pcOf\ (last\ cfgs) \in inThenIfBeforeFence \wedge pcOf\ cfg = 10) \vee$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfgs) \in inElseIf \wedge pcOf\ cfg = 6) \implies$
 $length\ cfgs = Suc\ 0 \implies$
 $\neg finalS\ (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)$
unfolding $inThenIfBeforeFence-def\ inElseIf-def$
apply($simp, cases\ last\ cfgs$)
subgoal for $pc\ s$ **apply**($cases\ s$)
subgoal for $vst\ avst\ hh\ p$ **apply**($cases\ vst, cases\ hh$)
subgoal for $vs\ h$

```

apply(elim disjE, elim conjE) unfolding finalS-defs
subgoal apply(elim disjE)
  subgoal apply(rule notI,
    erule allE[of - (pstate,cfg,
      [Config 7 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs
xx)) avst)))) avst hh p],
        ibT,ibUT,ls ∪ readLocs (last cfgs)))]
      by(erule notE, rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config 7 (State (Vstore (vs(vv
:= h (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) avst)))) avst hh p], auto)
      by (metis cfgs-Suc-zero fence not-Cons-self2 stepS-spec-Fence-iff spec-resolve)
subgoal apply(elim conjE, elim disjE)
subgoal apply(rule notI,
  erule allE[of - (pstate,cfg,
    [Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p],
      ibT,ibUT,ls ∪ readLocs (last cfgs)))]
    by(erule notE, rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config 3 (State (Vstore vs)
avst hh p], auto)
subgoal apply(cases mispred pstate [6,3])
subgoal apply(rule notI, erule allE[of -
  (update pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf cfgs),
    cfg,
    [Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 11) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p),
      Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 11 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)],
ibT,ibUT,
  ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p))], erule notE,
  rule spec-mispred[of - - - - -
    Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 11) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
    - - Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 11 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p) ibT
ibUT])
    by(auto simp: finalM-iff)

apply(rule notI, erule allE[of -
  (pstate, cfg, [Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 11) (State (Vstore vs) avst
hh p)], ibT,ibUT,
  ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)))]
  by(erule notE, rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4
else 11) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)], auto)

subgoal by (metis resolve-64 stepS-spec-resolve-iff
  map-L1 cfgs-Suc-zero not-Cons-self2)
subgoal by (metis resolve-611 stepS-spec-resolve-iff
  map-L1 cfgs-Suc-zero not-Cons-self2)
. . . . .

```

end

12.2 Proof

```

theory Fun5-secure
imports Fun5
begin

```

```

definition common :: enat  $\Rightarrow$  enat  $\Rightarrow$  stateO  $\Rightarrow$  stateO  $\Rightarrow$  status  $\Rightarrow$  stateV  $\Rightarrow$ 
stateV  $\Rightarrow$  status  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

where

```

```

common = ( $\lambda$ w1 w2
  (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  statO.
  (pstate3 = pstate4  $\wedge$ 
   cfg1 = cfg3  $\wedge$  cfg2 = cfg4  $\wedge$ 
   pcOf cfg3 = pcOf cfg4  $\wedge$  map pcOf cfgs3 = map pcOf cfgs4  $\wedge$ 
   pcOf cfg3  $\in$  PC  $\wedge$  pcOf (set cfgs3)  $\subseteq$  PC  $\wedge$ 
   llength ibUT1 =  $\infty$   $\wedge$  llength ibUT2 =  $\infty$   $\wedge$ 
   ibUT1 = ibUT3  $\wedge$  ibUT2 = ibUT4  $\wedge$ 

   w1 = w2  $\wedge$ 
   ///
   array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3)) = array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf
   cfg4))  $\wedge$ 
   ( $\forall$  cfg3'  $\in$  set cfgs3. array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3')) = array-base aa1
   (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3)))  $\wedge$ 
   ( $\forall$  cfg4'  $\in$  set cfgs4. array-base aa1 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg4')) = array-base aa1
   (getAvstore (stateOf cfg4)))  $\wedge$ 
   array-base aa2 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3)) = array-base aa2 (getAvstore (stateOf
   cfg4))  $\wedge$ 
   ( $\forall$  cfg3'  $\in$  set cfgs3. array-base aa2 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3')) = array-base aa2
   (getAvstore (stateOf cfg3)))  $\wedge$ 
   ( $\forall$  cfg4'  $\in$  set cfgs4. array-base aa2 (getAvstore (stateOf cfg4')) = array-base aa2
   (getAvstore (stateOf cfg4)))  $\wedge$ 
   ///
   (statA = Diff  $\longrightarrow$  statO = Diff)  $\wedge$ 
   Dist ls1 ls2 ls3 ls4))

```

```

lemma common-implies: common w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)

```

$statO \Rightarrow$
 $pcOf\ cfg1 < 12 \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = pcOf\ cfg1 \wedge$
 $ibUT1 \neq [] \wedge ibUT2 \neq [] \wedge w1 = w2$
unfolding *common-def PC-def by (auto simp: image-def subset-eq)*

definition $\Delta 0 :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV$
 $\Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $\Delta 0 = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 \in beforeWhile \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg3 > 1 \longrightarrow same-var-o\ tt\ cfg3\ cfs3\ cfg4\ cfs4) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg3 > 2 \longrightarrow same-var-o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfs3\ cfg4\ cfs4) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ cfg3 > 4 \longrightarrow same-var-o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfs3\ cfg4\ cfs4) \wedge$
 $noMisSpec\ cfs3$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta 0-defs = \Delta 0-def\ common-def\ PC-def\ same-var-o-def$
 $beforeWhile-def\ noMisSpec-def$

lemma $\Delta 0$ -*implies*: $\Delta 0\ num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \Rightarrow$
 $pcOf\ cfg1 < 12 \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = pcOf\ cfg1 \wedge$
 $ibUT1 \neq [] \wedge ibUT2 \neq [] \wedge cfs4 = []$
apply (*meson* $\Delta 0$ -*def common-implies*)
by (*simp-all add: $\Delta 0$ -defs, metis Nil-is-map-conv*)

definition $\Delta 1 :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV$
 $\Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $\Delta 1 = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

$statO.$
 $(common\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 \in inWhile \wedge$
 $same-var-o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfgs3\ cfg4\ cfgs4 \wedge$
 $noMisSpec\ cfgs3$
 $))$
lemmas $\Delta1-defs = \Delta1-def\ common-def\ PC-def\ noMisSpec-def\ inWhile-def\ same-var-o-def$
lemma $\Delta1-implies: \Delta1\ n\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 < 12 \wedge cfgs3 = [] \wedge ibUT3 \neq [[]] \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg4 < 12 \wedge cfgs4 = [] \wedge ibUT4 \neq [[]]$
unfolding $\Delta1-defs\ apply\ simp$
by $(metis\ Nil-is-map-conv\ infinity-ne-i0\ llength-LNil)$

definition $\Delta1' :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV$
 $\Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\Delta1' = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$
 $same-var-o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfgs3\ cfg4\ cfgs4 \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ cfg3\ (last\ cfgs3) \wedge$
 $misSpecL1\ cfgs3 \wedge misSpecL1\ cfgs4 \wedge$
 $w1 = \infty$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta1'-defs = \Delta1'-def\ common-def\ PC-def\ same-var-def$
 $startOfIfThen-def\ startOfElseBranch-def$
 $misSpecL1-def\ whileSpec-defs$

lemma $\Delta1'-implies: \Delta1'\ num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

statA
(cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
(cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
statO \implies
 $pcOf\ cfg3 < 12 \wedge pcOf\ cfg4 < 12 \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ cfg3\ (last\ cfigs3) \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ cfg4\ (last\ cfigs4) \wedge$
 $length\ cfigs3 = Suc\ 0 \wedge length\ cfigs4 = Suc\ 0$
unfolding $\Delta 1'$ -defs
apply (*simp add: lessI, clarify*)
by (*metis last-map length-0-conv*)

definition $\Delta 2 :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV$
 $\Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\Delta 2 = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
statA
(cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
(cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
statO.
 $(common\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
statA
(cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
(cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
statO \wedge

$same-var-o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfigs3\ cfg4\ cfigs4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = startOfIfThen \wedge pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) \in inElseIf \wedge$
 $misSpecL1\ cfigs3 \wedge misSpecL1\ cfigs4 \wedge$

$(pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = startOfElseBranch \longrightarrow w1 = \infty) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 3 \longrightarrow w1 = 3) \wedge$

$(pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = startOfWhileThen \vee$
 $pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = whileElse \longrightarrow w1 = 1)$

))

lemmas $\Delta 2$ -defs = $\Delta 2$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-o-def misSpecL1-def
startOfIfThen-def inElseIf-def same-var-def
startOfWhileThen-def whileElse-def startOfElseBranch-def

lemma $\Delta 2$ -implies: $\Delta 2\ num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfigs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfigs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
statA
(cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
(cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)

$statO \implies$
 $pcOf (last\ cfgs3) \in inElseIf \wedge pcOf\ cfg3 = 6 \wedge$
 $pcOf (last\ cfgs4) = pcOf (last\ cfgs3) \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg4 = pcOf\ cfg3 \wedge length\ cfgs3 = Suc\ 0 \wedge$
 $length\ cfgs4 = Suc\ 0 \wedge same-var\ xx\ (last\ cfgs3)\ (last\ cfgs4)$
apply(intro conjI)
unfolding $\Delta 2-defs$
apply (simp-all add: image-subset-iff)
by (metis last-in-set length-0-conv Nil-is-map-conv last-map length-map)+

definition $\Delta 2' :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV$
 $\Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $\Delta 2' = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$
 $same-var-o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfgs3\ cfg4\ cfgs4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = startOfIfThen \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ (cfgs3!0)\ (last\ cfgs3) \wedge$
 $misSpecL2\ cfgs3 \wedge misSpecL2\ cfgs4 \wedge$
 $w1 = 2$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta 2'-defs = \Delta 2'-def\ common-def\ PC-def\ same-var-def$
 $startOfElseBranch-def\ startOfIfThen-def$
 $whileSpec-defs\ misSpecL2-def$

lemma $\Delta 2'-implies: \Delta 2'\ num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = 6 \wedge pcOf\ cfg4 = 6 \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ (cfgs3!0)\ (last\ cfgs3) \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ (cfgs4!0)\ (last\ cfgs4) \wedge$
 $length\ cfgs3 = 2 \wedge length\ cfgs4 = 2$
apply(intro conjI)
unfolding $\Delta 2'-defs$ **apply** (simp add: lessI, clarify)
apply linarith+ **apply** simp-all

by (metis list.inject map-L2)

definition $\Delta 3 :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\Delta 3 = (\lambda \text{num } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $\quad (\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 $\quad \text{statA}$
 $\quad (\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $\quad (\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\quad \text{statO}.$
 $(\text{common } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $\quad (\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 $\quad \text{statA}$
 $\quad (\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $\quad (\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\quad \text{statO} \wedge$
 $\text{same-var-o } xx \ \text{cfg3} \ \text{cfs3} \ \text{cfg4} \ \text{cfs4} \wedge$
 $\text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} = \text{startOfElseBranch} \wedge \text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfs3}) \in \text{inThenIfBeforeFence} \wedge$
 $\text{misSpecL1 } \text{cfs3} \wedge$
 $(\text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfs3}) = 6 \longrightarrow w1 = \infty) \wedge$
 $(\text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfs3}) = 7 \longrightarrow w1 = 1)$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta 3\text{-defs} = \Delta 3\text{-def } \text{common-def } \text{PC-def } \text{same-var-o-def}$
 $\text{startOfElseBranch-def } \text{inThenIfBeforeFence-def}$

lemma $\Delta 3\text{-implies}: \Delta 3 \ \text{num } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $\quad (\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$
 $\quad \text{statA}$
 $\quad (\text{cfg1}, \text{ibT1}, \text{ibUT1}, \text{ls1})$
 $\quad (\text{cfg2}, \text{ibT2}, \text{ibUT2}, \text{ls2})$
 $\quad \text{statO} \implies$
 $\text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfs3}) \in \text{inThenIfBeforeFence} \wedge$
 $\text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfs4}) = \text{pcOf } (\text{last } \text{cfs3}) \wedge$
 $\text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} = 10 \wedge \text{pcOf } \text{cfg3} = \text{pcOf } \text{cfg4} \wedge$
 $\text{length } \text{cfs3} = \text{Suc } 0 \wedge \text{length } \text{cfs4} = \text{Suc } 0$
apply(intro conjI)
unfolding $\Delta 3\text{-defs}$
apply (simp-all add: image-subset-iff)
by (metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv length-map)+

definition $\Delta e :: \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{stateO} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{stateV} \Rightarrow \text{status} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\Delta e = (\lambda \text{num } w1 \ w2 \ (\text{pstate3}, \text{cfg3}, \text{cfs3}, \text{ibT3}, \text{ibUT3}, \text{ls3})$
 $\quad (\text{pstate4}, \text{cfg4}, \text{cfs4}, \text{ibT4}, \text{ibUT4}, \text{ls4})$


```

  statA
  (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
  (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
  statO.
  (pcOf cfg3 = endPC  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg4 = endPC  $\wedge$  cfs3 = []  $\wedge$  cfs4 = []  $\wedge$ 
  pcOf cfg1 = endPC  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg2 = endPC))

```

lemmas $\Delta e\text{-defs} = \Delta e\text{-def}$ *common-def* *endPC-def*

```

lemma init: initCond  $\Delta 0$ 
unfolding initCond-def apply safe
  subgoal for pstate3 cfs3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4

  unfolding istateO.simps apply clarsimp
apply(cases getAvstore (stateOf cfs3), cases getAvstore (stateOf cfs4))
unfolding  $\Delta 0\text{-defs}$ 
unfolding array-base-def by auto .

```

lemma *step0: unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 0$ (*oor* $\Delta 0$ $\Delta 1$)

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

```

  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 0$ :  $\Delta 0$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

```

```

  obtain pstate3 cfs3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfs3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfs4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfs4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

```

```

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  cfg3: cfs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  cfg4: cfs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfs3 cfs4

```

```

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)

```

```

obtain  $hh_4$  where  $h_4: h_4 = \text{Heap } hh_4$  by(cases  $h_4$ , auto)
note  $hh = h_3 h_4$ 

have  $f_1: \neg \text{finalN } ss_1$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$ 
  apply-by(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies, simp)

have  $f_2: \neg \text{finalN } ss_2$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$ 
  apply-by(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies, simp)

have  $f_3: \neg \text{finalS } ss_3$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$ 
  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies, unfold  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  by (clarify, metis finalS-cond')

have  $f_4: \neg \text{finalS } ss_4$ 
  using  $\Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$ 
  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies, unfold  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
  by (clarify, metis finalS-cond')

note  $\text{finals} = f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4$ 
show  $\text{finalS } ss_3 = \text{finalS } ss_4 \wedge \text{finalN } ss_1 = \text{finalS } ss_3 \wedge \text{finalN } ss_2 = \text{finalS } ss_4$ 
  using  $\text{finals}$  by auto

then show  $\text{isIntO } ss_3 = \text{isIntO } ss_4$  by simp

show  $\text{react } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) w_1 w_2 ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show  $\text{match1 } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) w_1 w_2 ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show  $\text{match2 } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) w_1 w_2 ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show  $\text{match12 } (\text{oor } \Delta 0 \Delta 1) w_1 w_2 ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$ 

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix  $ss_3' ss_4' \text{ statA}'$ 
  assume  $\text{statA}'$ :  $\text{statA}' = \text{sstatA}' \text{ statA } ss_3 ss_4$ 
  and  $v$ :  $\text{validTransO } (ss_3, ss_3') \text{ validTransO } (ss_4, ss_4')$ 
  and  $sa$ :  $\text{Opt.eqAct } ss_3 ss_4$ 
  note  $v_3 = v(1)$  note  $v_4 = v(2)$ 

  obtain  $pstate_3' cfg_3' cfs_3' ibT_3' ibUT_3' ls_3'$  where  $ss_3'$ :  $ss_3' = (pstate_3',$ 
 $cfg_3', cfs_3', ibT_3', ibUT_3', ls_3')$ 
  by (cases  $ss_3'$ , auto)
  obtain  $pstate_4' cfg_4' cfs_4' ibT_4' ibUT_4' ls_4'$  where  $ss_4'$ :  $ss_4' = (pstate_4',$ 
 $cfg_4', cfs_4', ibT_4', ibUT_4', ls_4')$ 

```

```

by (cases ss4', auto)
note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

show eqSec ss1 ss3
using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ0-defs)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
apply (simp add: Δ0-defs)
by (metis map-is-Nil-conv)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
  using v sa Δ0 unfolding ss
  apply—apply(frule Δ0-implies)
unfolding Opt.eqAct-def
  Van.eqAct-def
by(simp-all add: Δ0-defs, linarith)

show match12-12 (oor Δ0 Δ1) ∞ ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2], unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
  by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
  by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
  show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
  using v sa Δ0 sstat unfolding ss cfg statA' apply simp
  apply(simp add: Δ0-defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def finalS-def final-def)
  using cases-12[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)
  apply simp-all apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply(cases statA, simp-all)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) apply (cases statA, simp-all)
  by (smt (z3) status.distinct(1) newStat.simps(2,3) newStat-diff)+
  } note stat = this

  show oor Δ0 Δ1 ∞ ∞ ∞ ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO'
statO ss1 ss2)

```

```

using  $v3$ [unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show  $?thesis$  using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding  $ss$ 
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs numeral-1-eq-Suc-0, linarith)
next
  case spec-normal
then show  $?thesis$  using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
then show  $?thesis$  using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
then show  $?thesis$  using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
then show  $?thesis$  using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-normal note  $nn3 = nonspec-normal$ 
  show  $?thesis$ 
  using  $v3$ [unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat  $nn3$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat  $nn3$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat  $nn3$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat  $nn3$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat  $nn3$  unfolding  $ss$  by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-normal note  $nn4 = nonspec-normal$ 
    show  $?thesis$  using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat  $v3$   $v4$   $nn3$   $nn4$  unfolding  $ss$  cfg apply
clarsimp
    apply(unfold  $\Delta 0$ -defs, clarsimp, elim disjE)
    subgoal by(rule oorI1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    subgoal by (rule oorI1, simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    subgoal by (rule oorI2, simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
  qed
qed

```

qed
 qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *step1: unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 1$ (*oor5* $\Delta 1$ $\Delta 1'$ $\Delta 2$ $\Delta 3$ Δe)

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix $n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

assume $r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2$

and $\Delta 1: \Delta 1 n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$

obtain $pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3$ **where** $ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain $pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4$ **where** $ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain $cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1$ **where** $ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain $cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2$ **where** $ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$

by (*cases ss2, auto*)

note $ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2$

obtain $pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3$ **where**

$cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)$

by (*cases cfg3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain $pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4$ **where**

$cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)$

by (*cases cfg4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

note $cfg = cfg3 cfg4$

obtain $hh3$ **where** $h3: h3 = Heap hh3$ **by**(*cases h3, auto*)

obtain $hh4$ **where** $h4: h4 = Heap hh4$ **by**(*cases h4, auto*)

note $hh = h3 h4$

have $f1: \neg finalN ss1$

using $\Delta 1$ **unfolding** $ss \Delta 1-def$ **apply** *clarify*

apply(*frule common-implies*)

using *finalB-pcOf-iff finalN-iff-finalB nat-less-le* **by** *blast*

have $f2: \neg finalN ss2$

using $\Delta 1$ **unfolding** $ss \Delta 1-def$ **apply** *clarify*

apply(*frule common-implies*)

using *finalB-pcOf-iff finalN-iff-finalB nat-less-le* **by** *metis*

have $f3: \neg finalS ss3$

```

using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss
apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
by (simp add: finalS-cond')

have  $f_4$ : $\neg$ finalS ss4
using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss
apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
by (simp add: finalS-cond')

note finals =  $f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4$ 
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

show match1 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
fix ss3' ss4' statA'
assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
note  $v_3 = v(1)$  note  $v_4 = v(2)$ 

obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where  $ss3'$ :  $ss3' = (pstate3',$ 
cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
by (cases ss3', auto)
obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where  $ss4'$ :  $ss4' = (pstate4',$ 
cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
by (cases ss4', auto)
note  $ss = ss$   $ss3'$   $ss4'$ 

show eqSec ss1 ss3
using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

show eqSec ss2 ss4
using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss
unfolding Opt.eqAct-def Van.eqAct-def

```

```

apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
by (metis Nil-is-map-conv f3 infinity-ne-i0 llength-LNil ss3)

show match12-12 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ )  $\infty \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2
statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2],unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
  show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
    by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

  show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
    by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

  {assume sstat: statA' = Diff
  show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
    using v sa  $\Delta 1$  sstat finals unfolding ss cfg statA'
    apply—apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
    apply(simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def newStat-EqI)
    using cases-12[of pc3] apply(elim disjE, simp-all)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
      by (simp-all add: newStat-EqI split: if-splits)
    subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
      by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
    apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
      by (simp-all add: newStat-EqI split: if-splits)
  } note stat = this

  show oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$   $\infty \infty \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1)
(nextN ss2) (sstatO' statO ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-mispred

```

```

then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nn3 nn4 f4 unfolding ss cfg
Opt.eqAct-def
      apply clarsimp using cases-12 [of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal using xx-0-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
      subgoal apply (rule oor5I1) by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal using xx-NN-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
      subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs hh)

```



```

      subgoal by(rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by(rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs hh)
      subgoal by(rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      subgoal by(rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
      by(rule oor5I5, simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta e$ -defs)
    qed
  next
    case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred
    show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

      case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
      then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nm3 nm4 unfolding ss cfg
    apply clarsimp
      using cases-12 [of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
        prefer 4 subgoal using xx-0-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
          subgoal by (rule oor5I2, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
          subgoal by (rule oor5I2, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs) .
        prefer 5 subgoal using xx-NN-cases [of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
          subgoal apply (rule oor5I3) by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
          subgoal apply (rule oor5I4) by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 3$ -defs) .
        by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    qed
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma step2: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 2$  (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ )
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 2$ :  $\Delta 2$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
lcfgs3: last cfgs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases last cfgs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
lcfgs4: last cfgs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases last cfgs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4

  have f1: ¬finalN ss1
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -def
  apply clarsimp
  by(frule common-implies, simp)

  have f2: ¬finalN ss2
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -def
  apply clarsimp
  by(frule common-implies, simp)

  have f3: ¬finalS ss3
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss
  apply—apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-if-spec)

  have f4: ¬finalS ss4
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss
  apply—apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-if-spec)

  note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4

```

show $finalS\ ss3 = finalS\ ss4 \wedge finalN\ ss1 = finalS\ ss3 \wedge finalN\ ss2 = finalS\ ss4$
using *finals* **by** *auto*

then show $isIntO\ ss3 = isIntO\ ss4$ **by** *simp*

then have $lpc3:pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 10 \vee$
 $pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 3 \vee$
 $pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 4 \vee$
 $pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 11$
using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** *ss* $\Delta 2$ -*defs* **by** *simp*

have $sec3[simp]: \neg isSecO\ ss3$
using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** *ss* **by** (*simp* *add*: $\Delta 2$ -*defs*)
have $sec4[simp]: \neg isSecO\ ss4$
using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** *ss* **by** (*simp* *add*: $\Delta 2$ -*defs*)

have $stat[simp]: \wedge s3'\ s4'\ statA'. statA' = sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4 \implies$
 $validTransO\ (ss3, s3') \implies validTransO\ (ss4, s4') \implies$
 $(statA = statA' \vee statO = Diff)$

subgoal for $ss3'\ ss4'$
apply (*cases* *ss3*, *cases* *ss4*, *cases* *ss1*, *cases* *ss2*)
apply (*cases* *ss3'*, *cases* *ss4'*, *clarsimp*)
using $\Delta 2$ *finals* **unfolding** *ss* **apply** *clarsimp*
apply(*simp-all* *add*: $\Delta 2$ -*defs* *sstatA'-def*)
apply(*cases* *statO*, *simp-all*) **by** (*cases* *statA*, *simp-all* *add*: *newStat-EqI*) .

have $xx:vs3\ xx = vs4\ xx$ **using** $\Delta 2$ *lcfigs* **unfolding** *ss* $\Delta 2$ -*defs* **apply** *clarsimp*
by (*metis* *cfigs-Suc-zero* *config.sel(2)* *list.set-intros(1)* *state.sel(1)* *vstore.sel*)

have $oor3$ -*rule*: $\wedge ss3'\ ss4'. ss3 \rightarrow_S ss3' \implies ss4 \rightarrow_S ss4' \implies$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 10 \longrightarrow oor3\ \Delta 2\ \Delta 2'\ \Delta 1 \infty 3\ 3\ ss3'\ ss4'$
 $(sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4)\ ss1\ ss2\ statO)$
 $\wedge (pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 3 \wedge mispred\ pstate4\ [6, 3] \longrightarrow oor3\ \Delta 2\ \Delta 2'$
 $\Delta 1 \infty 2\ 2\ ss3'\ ss4'\ (sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4)\ ss1\ ss2\ statO)$
 $\wedge (pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 3 \wedge \neg mispred\ pstate4\ [6, 3] \longrightarrow oor3\ \Delta 2$
 $\Delta 2'\ \Delta 1 \infty 1\ 1\ ss3'\ ss4'\ (sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4)\ ss1\ ss2\ statO)$
 $\wedge ((pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 4 \vee pcOf\ (last\ cfigs3) = 11) \longrightarrow oor3\ \Delta 2$
 $\Delta 2'\ \Delta 1 \infty 0\ 0\ ss3'\ ss4'\ (sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4)\ ss1\ ss2\ statO) \implies$
 $\exists w1' < w1. \exists w2' < w2. oor3\ \Delta 2\ \Delta 2'\ \Delta 1 \infty w1'\ w2'\ ss3'\ ss4'$
 $(sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4)\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$
subgoal for $ss3'\ ss4'$ **apply**(*cases* *ss3'*, *cases* *ss4'*)
subgoal for $pstate3'\ cfig3'\ cfigs3'\ ibT3'\ ibUT3'\ ls3'$
 $pstate4'\ cfig4'\ cfigs4'\ ibT4'\ ibUT4'\ ls4'$
subgoal premises *p* **using** *lpc3* **apply**-**apply**(*erule* *disjE*)
subgoal apply(*intro* *exI*[*of* - 3], *intro* *conjI*)
subgoal using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** *ss* $\Delta 2$ -*defs* **apply** *clarify*
by (*metis* *enat-ord-simps(4)* *numeral-ne-infinity*)
apply(*intro* *exI*[*of* - 3], *rule* *conjI*)

```

subgoal using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
  by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) numeral-ne-infinity)
using p by (simp add: p)
apply(erule disjE)
subgoal apply(cases mispred pstate4 [6, 3])
  subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 2], intro conjI)
    using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
      apply (metis enat-ord-number(2) eval-nat-numeral(3) lessI)
      apply(intro exI[of - 2], rule conjI)
    using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
      apply (metis enat-ord-number(2) eval-nat-numeral(3) lessI)
    using  $\Delta 2$  p unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by clarify
  subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 1], intro conjI)
    using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
      apply (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(77))
    apply(intro exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
    apply (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(77))
  using  $\Delta 2$  p unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by clarify .
subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 0], intro conjI)
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
    apply (metis less-numeral-extra(1))
  apply(intro exI[of - 0], rule conjI)
  using  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
    apply (metis less-numeral-extra(1))
  using  $\Delta 2$  p unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by clarify . . . .

```

```

show react (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

```

```

show match1 (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  apply(rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1)
  subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4')
    subgoal for pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3'
      pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4'
    apply-apply(rule oor3-rule, assumption+, intro conjI impI)

```

```

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-Fence
      then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
      then show ?thesis
        using  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) resolve-106
        unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs apply clarify
        using cfigs-map misSpecL1-def
        by (smt (z3) insert-commute list.simps(15) resolve.simps)
  next
    case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
      then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
      case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
    next
      case spec-resolve
        then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
    next
      case spec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
    next
      case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
      have pc4:pc4 = 10 using  $\Delta 2$  prem lcfgs unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by auto
      show ?thesis
        using  $\Delta 2$  prem sn3 sn4 finals stat unfolding ss prem(4,5) lcfgs
        apply-apply(frul  $\Delta 2$ -implies, unfold  $\Delta 2$ -defs) apply clarsimp
        apply(rule oor3I1) apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs pc4)
        using final-def config.sel(2) last-in-set
        lcfgs state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel xx
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
  qed
qed

```

```

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
Δ2-defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 unfolding ss by (auto simp add: Δ2-defs)

  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add: Δ2-defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
Δ2-defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis
      using Δ2 prem(6) resolve-63
      unfolding ss Δ2-defs using cfgs-map misSpecL1-def apply clarify
      by (smt (z3) insert-commute list.simps(15) resolve.simps)
  next
    case spec-mispred note sm3 = spec-mispred
    show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
      case nonspec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sm3 Δ2 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs)
      next
        case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sm3 Δ2 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs)
      next
        case spec-resolve
        then show ?thesis using sm3 Δ2 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs,
metis last-map)
      next
        case spec-Fence
        then show ?thesis using sm3 Δ2 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule
Δ2-implies)
        by (simp add: Δ2-defs)
      next
        case spec-normal
        then show ?thesis using sm3 Δ2 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs,
metis last-map)
      next
        case spec-mispred note sm4 = spec-mispred
        have pc:pc4 = 3
        using prem(6) lcfgs Δ2 unfolding ss apply-apply(frule Δ2-implies)

```

```

    by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs )
  show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I2)
    unfolding ss  $\Delta 2'$ -def using xx-0-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
    subgoal using  $\Delta 2$  lcfs prem pc sm3 sm4 xx finals stat unfolding ss
      apply- apply(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 2'$ -defs, clarify)
      apply(intro conjI)
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
final-def)
        subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
        subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
        subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
        subgoal by (smt (verit) prem(1) prem(2) ss3 ss4)
        subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel) .
    subgoal using  $\Delta 2$  lcfs prem pc sm3 sm4 xx finals stat unfolding ss
      apply- apply(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 2'$ -defs, clarify)
      apply(intro conjI)
      subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
final-def)
        subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
        subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
        subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
        subgoal by (smt (verit) prem(1) prem(2) ss3 ss4)
        subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel) . .
    qed
  qed

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis
    using  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) resolve-63
    unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs using cfs-map misSpecL1-def apply clarify
    by (smt (z3) insert-commute list.simps(15) resolve.simps)

```

```

next
case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
case nonspec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
case spec-Fence
then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
case spec-resolve
then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
case spec-mispred
then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
show ?thesis
  using  $\Delta 2$  lcfgs prem sn3 sn4 finals unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) apply clarify
  apply(rule oor3I1, clarsimp)
  using xx-0-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
  subgoal apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
  using config.sel(2) last-in-set stat state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Opt.final-def config.sel(1) eval-nat-numeral(3)
f3 f4 is-Output-1 le-imp-less-Suc le-refl nat-less-le ss)
  subgoal apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs, clarify)
  using config.sel(2) last-in-set stat state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
  apply(intro conjI, unfold config.sel(1))
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
  subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
  subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
  subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
  subgoal by (smt (verit) cfigs-Suc-zero lcfgs list.set-intros(1))
  subgoal by (smt (verit) cfigs-Suc-zero lcfgs list.set-intros(1))
  subgoal by (smt (z3) Opt.final-def ss3 ss4)
  subgoal by (smt (z3) cfigs-Suc-zero lcfgs3 list.set-intros(1))
  subgoal by (smt (z3) cfigs-Suc-zero lcfgs3 list.set-intros(1))
  subgoal by linarith
  subgoal by linarith
  subgoal by linarith . .
qed qed

```



```

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
Δ2-defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 unfolding ss by (auto simp add: Δ2-defs)

  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
Δ2-defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using Δ2 prem unfolding ss by auto
  next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using Δ2 prem unfolding ss by auto
  next
    case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem(5)] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs)
  next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs,
metis)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs,
metis)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat Δ2 sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ2-defs,
metis)
  next
    case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
show ?thesis using stat Δ2 prem sr3 sr4
unfolding ss lcfgs apply-
apply(frule Δ2-implies) apply (simp add: Δ2-defs Δ1-defs)
apply(rule oor3I3, simp add: Δ1-defs)
by (smt(verit) prem(1) prem(2) ss)
qed
qed. . .

```

qed
qed

lemma *step3: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 3$ (oor $\Delta 3$ $\Delta 1$)*

proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix *n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

assume *r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2*

and $\Delta 3$: $\Delta 3$ *n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

obtain *pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3* **where** *ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)*

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain *pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4* **where** *ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)*

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain *cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1* **where** *ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)*

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain *cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2* **where** *ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)*

by (*cases ss2, auto*)

note *ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2*

obtain *pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3* **where**

lcfgs3: last cfgs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)

by (*cases last cfgs3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain *pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4* **where**

lcfgs4: last cfgs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)

by (*cases last cfgs4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

note *lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4*

obtain *hh3* **where** *h3: h3 = Heap hh3* **by**(*cases h3, auto*)

obtain *hh4* **where** *h4: h4 = Heap hh4* **by**(*cases h4, auto*)

note *hh = h3 h4*

have *f1: \neg finalN ss1*

using $\Delta 3$ **unfolding** *ss $\Delta 3$ -def*

apply *clarsimp*

by(*frule common-implies, simp*)

have *f2: \neg finalN ss2*

using $\Delta 3$ **unfolding** *ss $\Delta 3$ -def*

apply *clarsimp*

by(*frule common-implies, simp*)

have *f3: \neg finalS ss3*

using $\Delta 3$ **unfolding** *ss*

```

apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies)
using finalS-if-spec by force

have f4: $\neg$ finalS ss4
using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss
apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies)
using finalS-if-spec by force

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

then have lpc3:pcOf (last cfigs3) = 6  $\vee$ 
pcOf (last cfigs3) = 7
using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs by simp

have sec3[simp]: $\neg$  isSecO ss3
using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
have sec4[simp]: $\neg$  isSecO ss4
using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

have stat[simp]: $\wedge$  s3' s4' statA'. statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4  $\implies$ 
validTransO (ss3, s3')  $\implies$  validTransO (ss4, s4')  $\implies$ 
(statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff)
subgoal for ss3' ss4'
apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
using  $\Delta 3$  finals unfolding ss apply clarsimp
apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs sstatA'-def)
apply(cases statO, simp-all) by (cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI) .

have vs3 xx = vs4 xx using  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply clarsimp
by (metis cfigs-Suc-zero config.sel(2) list.set-intros(1) state.sel(1) vstore.sel)

then have a1x:(array-loc aa1 (nat (vs4 xx)) avst4) =
(array-loc aa1 (nat (vs3 xx)) avst3)
using  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs array-loc-def apply clarsimp
by (metis Zero-not-Suc config.sel(2) last-in-set list.size(3) state.sel(2))

have oor2-rule: $\wedge$  ss3' ss4'. ss3  $\rightarrow$ S ss3'  $\implies$  ss4  $\rightarrow$ S ss4'  $\implies$ 
(pcOf (last cfigs3) = 6  $\longrightarrow$  oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$   $\infty$  1 1 ss3' ss4' (sstatA'
statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO)
 $\wedge$  (pcOf (last cfigs3) = 7  $\longrightarrow$  oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$   $\infty$  0 0 ss3' ss4' (sstatA'
statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO)  $\implies$ 
 $\exists w1' < w1. \exists w2' < w2. oor \Delta 3 \Delta 1 \infty w1' w2' ss3' ss4' (sstatA'
statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO$ 

```

```

subgoal for  $ss3'$   $ss4'$  apply(cases  $ss3'$ , cases  $ss4'$ )
  subgoal for  $pstate3'$   $cfg3'$   $cfgs3'$   $ib3'$   $ls3'$ 
     $pstate4'$   $cfg4'$   $cfgs4'$   $ib4'$   $ls4'$ 
  using lpc3 apply(elim disjE)

subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 1], intro conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply clarify
  by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) infinity-ne-i1)
apply(intro exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply clarify
  by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) infinity-ne-i1)
by simp

apply(intro exI[of - 0], intro conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs by (clarify,metis zero-less-one)
apply(intro exI[of - 0], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs by (clarify,metis zero-less-one)
by simp . .

show react (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ )  $w1$   $w2$   $ss3$   $ss4$  statA  $ss1$   $ss2$  statO
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ )  $w1$   $w2$   $ss3$   $ss4$  statA  $ss1$   $ss2$  statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ )  $w1$   $w2$   $ss3$   $ss4$  statA  $ss1$   $ss2$  statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ )  $w1$   $w2$   $ss3$   $ss4$  statA  $ss1$   $ss2$  statO
  apply(rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1)
  subgoal for  $ss3'$   $ss4'$  apply(cases  $ss3'$ , cases  $ss4'$ )
    subgoal for  $pstate3'$   $cfg3'$   $cfgs3'$   $ibT3'$   $ibUT3'$   $ls3'$ 
       $pstate4'$   $cfg4'$   $cfgs4'$   $ibT4'$   $ibUT4'$   $ls4'$ 
    apply-apply(rule oor2-rule, assumption+, intro conjI impI)

  subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
  proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add: \Delta 3-defs)

  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add: \Delta 3-defs)

  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add: \Delta 3-defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis

```

```

    using  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) resolve-106
    unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs by (clarify,metis cfgs-map misSpecL1-def)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis
  using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs, metis config.sel(1) last-map)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs sn3 unfolding ss
    by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs, metis config.sel(1) last-map)
  next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
    show ?thesis
    apply(intro oorI1)
    unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -def prem(4,5) apply clarify apply- apply(intro conjI)
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
        apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
        using cases-12[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
        apply simp-all by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2) Dist-ignore
a1x )
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss prem(4,5)
hh
        apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
        using cases-12[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
        apply simp-all
        by (metis config.collapse config.inject last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel)
      subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss prem(4,5)
hh
        apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
        subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh

```

```

    apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
    using cases-12[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
    by simp-all
    subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
    apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
    using cases-12[of pc3] apply (simp add: array-loc-def) apply(elim disjE)
    by (simp-all add: array-loc-def)
    subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
    apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
    using cases-12[of pc3] apply (simp add: array-loc-def) apply(elim disjE)
    by (simp-all add: array-loc-def)
    subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
    apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs) .
  qed
qed

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs apply simp
  by (smt (verit,del-insts) cfigs-map empty-set insertCI insert-absorb
list.set-map list.simps(15) numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(87,89)
set-ConsD singleton-insert-inj-eq^)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence note sf3 = spec-Fence
  show ?thesis
  using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sf3 unfolding ss by (simp add:

```

```

Δ3-defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat Δ3 lcfs sf3 unfolding ss
  apply (simp add: Δ3-defs)
  by (metis com.disc config.sel(1) last-map)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using stat Δ3 lcfs sf3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ3-defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat Δ3 lcfs sf3 unfolding ss
  apply (simp add: Δ3-defs)
  by (metis last-map local.spec-Fence(3) local.spec-normal(1) local.spec-normal(4))

  next
  case spec-Fence note sf4 = spec-Fence
  show ?thesis
  apply(intro oorI2)
  unfolding ss Δ1-def prem(4,5) apply- apply(clarify,intro conjI)
  subgoal using Δ3 lcfs prem(1,2) sf3 sf4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- by(simp add: Δ3-defs Δ1-defs, metis ss stat validTransO.simps)

  subgoal using stat Δ3 lcfs prem(4,5) sf3 sf4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frule Δ3-implies) by (simp add: Δ3-defs Δ1-defs)
  subgoal using stat Δ3 lcfs prem(4,5) sf3 sf4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frule Δ3-implies) by (simp add: Δ3-defs Δ1-defs)
  subgoal using stat Δ3 lcfs prem(4,5) sf3 sf4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frule Δ3-implies) by (simp add: Δ3-defs Δ1-defs) .
  qed

  qed . . .
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma step4: unwindIntoCond Δ1' Δ1
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and Δ1': Δ1' n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,

```

```

ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
    cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
    cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by (cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by (cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  have f1: ¬finalN ss1
  using Δ1' unfolding ss Δ1'-def
  apply clarsimp
  by (frule common-implies, simp)

  have f2: ¬finalN ss2
  using Δ1' unfolding ss Δ1'-def
  apply clarsimp
  by (frule common-implies, simp)

  have f3: ¬finalS ss3
  using Δ1' unfolding ss
  apply-apply (frule Δ1'-implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-while-spec)

  have f4: ¬finalS ss4
  using Δ1' unfolding ss
  apply-apply (frule Δ1'-implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-while-spec)

  note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
  show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

  then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

  have match12-aux:
  (∧ s1' s2' statA'.

```



```

statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4  $\implies$ 
validTransO (ss3, s1')  $\implies$ 
validTransO (ss4, s2')  $\implies$ 
Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4  $\implies$ 
( $\neg$  isSecO ss3  $\wedge$   $\neg$  isSecO ss4  $\wedge$ 
(statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff)  $\wedge$ 
 $\Delta 1 \infty 1 1 s1' s2' statA' ss1 ss2 statO$ )
 $\implies$  match12  $\Delta 1 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
apply(rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI1)

```

```

apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs apply clarify
by(metis enat-ord-simps(4) infinity-ne-i1)
apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -defs apply clarify
by(metis enat-ord-simps(4) infinity-ne-i1)
by auto

```

```

show react  $\Delta 1 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

```

```

show match1  $\Delta 1 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2  $\Delta 1 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12  $\Delta 1 w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
proof(rule match12-aux,intro conjI)
fix ss3' ss4' statA'
assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

```

```

obtain pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3' where ss3': ss3' = (pstate3',
cfg3', cfs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')
by (cases ss3', auto)
obtain pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4' where ss4': ss4' = (pstate4',
cfg4', cfs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')
by (cases ss4', auto)
note ss = ss ss3' ss4'

```

```

obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

```

```

show  $\neg$  isSecO ss3
using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, linarith)

```

```

show  $\neg$  isSecO ss4

```

```

using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, linarith)

show stat: statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff

using v sa  $\Delta 1'$ 
apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
apply (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs sstatA'-def)
apply (cases statO, simp-all)
apply (cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
unfolding finalS-def final-def
using One-nat-def less-numeral-extra(4)
  less-one list.size(3) map-is-Nil-conv
by (smt (verit) status.exhaust newStat-diff)

show  $\Delta 1 \infty 1 1$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
    apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarify, elim disjE)
    by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
    apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarify, elim disjE)
    by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sn3(2) unfolding ss
    apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarsimp)
    by (smt (z3) insert-commute)
  next
  case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next

```

```

      case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
  next
    case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
  next
    case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
  next
    case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis
  using sa stat  $\Delta 1'$  v3 v4 sr3 sr4 unfolding ss hh
  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  by (metis atLeastAtMost-iff atLeastatMost-empty-iff empty-iff empty-set
nat-le-linear numeral-le-iff semiring-norm(68,69,72)
length-1-butlast length-map in-set-butlastD)
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma step5: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 2$ 
proof (rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 2'$ :  $\Delta 2'$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)

```

```

obtain  $pc_4\ vs_4\ avst_4\ h_4\ p_4$  where
 $cfg_4$ :  $cfg_4 = Config\ pc_4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs_4)\ avst_4\ h_4\ p_4)$ 
by ( $cases\ cfg_4$ ) ( $metis\ state.collapse\ vstore.collapse$ )
note  $cfg = cfg_3\ cfg_4$ 

obtain  $hh_3$  where  $h_3$ :  $h_3 = Heap\ hh_3$  by( $cases\ h_3$ ,  $auto$ )
obtain  $hh_4$  where  $h_4$ :  $h_4 = Heap\ hh_4$  by( $cases\ h_4$ ,  $auto$ )
note  $hh = h_3\ h_4$ 

have  $f_1$ : $\neg finalN\ ss_1$ 
using  $\Delta_2'$  unfolding  $ss\ \Delta_2'$ - $def$ 
apply  $clarsimp$ 
by( $frule\ common-implies$ ,  $simp$ )

have  $f_2$ : $\neg finalN\ ss_2$ 
using  $\Delta_2'$  unfolding  $ss\ \Delta_2'$ - $def$ 
apply  $clarsimp$ 
by( $frule\ common-implies$ ,  $simp$ )

have  $f_3$ : $\neg finalS\ ss_3$ 
using  $\Delta_2'$  unfolding  $ss$ 
apply–apply( $frule\ \Delta_2'$ - $implies$ )
using  $finalS$ - $while$ - $spec$ - $L2$  by  $force$ 

have  $f_4$ : $\neg finalS\ ss_4$ 
using  $\Delta_2'$  unfolding  $ss$ 
apply–apply( $frule\ \Delta_2'$ - $implies$ )
using  $finalS$ - $while$ - $spec$ - $L2$  by  $force$ 

note  $finals = f_1\ f_2\ f_3\ f_4$ 
show  $finalS\ ss_3 = finalS\ ss_4 \wedge finalN\ ss_1 = finalS\ ss_3 \wedge finalN\ ss_2 = finalS\ ss_4$ 
using  $finals$  by  $auto$ 

then show  $isIntO\ ss_3 = isIntO\ ss_4$  by  $simp$ 

have  $sec_3[simp]$ : $\neg isSecO\ ss_3$ 
using  $\Delta_2'$  unfolding  $ss$  by ( $simp\ add$ :  $\Delta_2'$ - $defs$ )
have  $sec_4[simp]$ : $\neg isSecO\ ss_4$ 
using  $\Delta_2'$  unfolding  $ss$  by ( $simp\ add$ :  $\Delta_2'$ - $defs$ )

have  $stat[simp]$ : $\wedge s_3'\ s_4'\ statA'. statA' = sstatA'\ statA\ ss_3\ ss_4 \implies$ 
 $validTransO\ (ss_3,\ s_3') \implies validTransO\ (ss_4,\ s_4') \implies$ 
 $(statA = statA' \vee statO = Diff)$ 
subgoal for  $ss_3'\ ss_4'$ 
apply ( $cases\ ss_3$ ,  $cases\ ss_4$ ,  $cases\ ss_1$ ,  $cases\ ss_2$ )
apply( $cases\ ss_3'$ ,  $cases\ ss_4'$ ,  $clarsimp$ )
using  $\Delta_2'$   $finals$  unfolding  $ss$  apply  $clarsimp$ 

```

apply(*simp-all add: $\Delta 2'$ -defs sstatA'-def*)
apply(*cases statO, simp-all*) **by** (*cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI*) .

have *match12-aux*:

(\wedge *pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ib3' ibUT3' ls3'*
pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ib4' ibUT4' ls4' statA'
(pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3) \rightarrow S (pstate3', cfg3', cfgs3', ib3',
ibUT3', ls3') \implies
(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4) \rightarrow S (pstate4', cfg4', cfgs4', ib4',
ibUT4', ls4') \implies
Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4 \implies statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4 \implies
*($\Delta 2 \infty 1 1$ (*pstate3', cfg3', cfgs3', ib3', ibUT3', ls3'*) (*pstate4', cfg4', cfgs4',*
ib4', ibUT4', ls4') *statA' ss1 ss2 statO*))*
 \implies *match12 $\Delta 2$ w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*
apply(*rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1*)

apply(*rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI*)
subgoal **using** $\Delta 2'$ **unfolding** *ss $\Delta 2'$ -defs* **apply** *clarify*
by (*metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76)*)
apply(*rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI*)
subgoal **using** $\Delta 2'$ **unfolding** *ss $\Delta 2'$ -defs* **apply** *clarify*
by (*metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76)*)
subgoal **for** *ss3' ss4'* **apply**(*cases ss3', cases ss4'*)
subgoal **for** *pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ib3' ibUT3' ls3'*
pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ib4' ibUT4' ls4'
using *ss3 ss4* **by** *blast . .*

show *react $\Delta 2$ w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*
unfolding *react-def* **proof**(*intro conjI*)

show *match1 $\Delta 2$ w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*
unfolding *match1-def* **by** (*simp add: finalS-def final-def*)
show *match2 $\Delta 2$ w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*
unfolding *match2-def* **by** (*simp add: finalS-def final-def*)
show *match12 $\Delta 2$ w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*
apply(*rule match12-aux*)

subgoal **premises** *prem* **using** *prem(1)[unfolded ss]*
proof(*cases rule: stepS-cases*)
case *nonspec-normal*
then show *?thesis* **using** *stat $\Delta 2'$ unfolding ss* **by** (*auto simp add:*
 $\Delta 2'$ -*defs*)
next
case *nonspec-mispred*
then show *?thesis* **using** *stat $\Delta 2'$ unfolding ss* **by** (*auto simp add:*
 $\Delta 2'$ -*defs*)
next
case *spec-mispred*
then show *?thesis* **using** *stat $\Delta 2'$ prem unfolding ss* **by** (*auto simp add:*

```

Δ2'-defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
Δ2'-defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
Δ2'-defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ2'-defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ2'-defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ2'-defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ2'-defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat Δ2' sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
Δ2'-defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis
  using stat Δ2' prem sr3 sr4 unfolding ss
  apply(simp add: Δ2'-defs Δ2-defs)
  apply(intro conjI)
  apply (metis last-map map-butlast map-is-Nil-conv)
  apply (metis image-subset-iff in-set-butlastD)
  apply(metis) apply(metis) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
  apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
  apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis prem(1) prem(2) ss3 ss4)
  apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
  apply (smt (verit, del-Insts) butlast.simps(2) last-ConsL last-map
    list.simps(8) map-L2 map-butlast not-Cons-self2)
  apply clarify apply(elim disjE)
  using butlast.simps(2) insertCI last-ConsL last-map
    list.simps(15) list.simps(8) map-L2 map-butlast not-Cons-self2
    resolve.simps resolve-106

```

```

apply metis
using butlast.simps(2) insertCI last-ConsL last-map
      list.simps(15) list.simps(8) map-L2 map-butlast not-Cons-self2
      resolve.simps resolve-106 apply metis
using butlast.simps(2) last.simps map-L2
      map-butlast map-is-Nil-conv neq-Nil-conv nth-Cons-0
      resolve-611 resolve-63 resolve-64
by (metis last-map list.simps(15))
qed
qed .
qed
qed

```

```

lemma stepe: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta e$   $\Delta e$ 
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta e$ :  $\Delta e$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
    cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
    cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4  $\wedge$  finalN ss1 = finalS ss3  $\wedge$  finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using  $\Delta e$  Opt.final-def finalS-def stepS-endPC endPC-def finalB-endPC
  unfolding  $\Delta e$ -defs ss by clarsimp

```

```

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react  $\Delta e$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

  show match1  $\Delta e$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2  $\Delta e$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12  $\Delta e$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  apply(rule match12-simpleI) using  $\Delta e$  unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta e$ -defs)
  by (simp add: stepS-endPC)
qed
qed

```

lemmas *theConds* = *step0 step1 step2 step3 step4 step5 step6*

proposition *lrsecure*

proof –

```

define m where m: m  $\equiv$  (7::nat)
define  $\Delta s$  where  $\Delta s$ :  $\Delta s$   $\equiv$   $\lambda i::nat.$ 
  if i = 0 then  $\Delta 0$ 
  else if i = 1 then  $\Delta 1$ 
  else if i = 2 then  $\Delta 2$ 
  else if i = 3 then  $\Delta 3$ 
  else if i = 4 then  $\Delta 1'$ 
  else if i = 5 then  $\Delta 2'$ 
  else  $\Delta e$ 
define nxt where nxt: nxt  $\equiv$   $\lambda i::nat.$ 
  if i = 0 then {0,1::nat}
  else if i = 1 then {1,4,2,3,6}
  else if i = 2 then {2,5,1}
  else if i = 3 then {3,1}
  else if i = 4 then {1}
  else if i = 5 then {2}
  else {6}
show ?thesis apply(rule distrib-unwind-lrsecure[of m nxt  $\Delta s$ ])
  subgoal unfolding m by auto
  subgoal unfolding nxt m by auto
  subgoal using init unfolding  $\Delta s$  by auto
  subgoal
    unfolding m nxt  $\Delta s$  apply (simp split: if-splits)
    using theConds
    unfolding oor-def oor3-def oor4-def oor5-def by auto .
qed

```


end

13 Proof of Relative Security for fun6

```
theory Fun6
imports ../Instance-IMP/Instance-Secret-IMem-Inp
  Relative-Security.Unwinding
begin
```

13.1 Function definition and Boilerplate

```
no-notation bot ( $\perp$ )
consts NN :: nat
lemma NN:  $NN \geq 0$  by auto
```

```
definition aa1 :: avname where aa1 = "a1"
definition aa2 :: avname where aa2 = "a2"
definition vv :: vname where vv = "v"
definition tt :: vname where tt = "y"
```

```
lemmas vvars-defs = aa1-def aa2-def vv-def xx-def tt-def yy-def ffile-def
```

```
lemma vvars-dff[simp]:
aa1  $\neq$  aa2 aa1  $\neq$  vv aa1  $\neq$  xx aa1  $\neq$  yy aa1  $\neq$  tt aa1  $\neq$  ffile
aa2  $\neq$  aa1 aa2  $\neq$  vv aa2  $\neq$  xx aa2  $\neq$  yy aa2  $\neq$  tt aa2  $\neq$  ffile
vv  $\neq$  aa1 vv  $\neq$  aa2 vv  $\neq$  xx vv  $\neq$  yy vv  $\neq$  tt vv  $\neq$  ffile
xx  $\neq$  aa1 xx  $\neq$  aa2 xx  $\neq$  vv xx  $\neq$  yy xx  $\neq$  tt xx  $\neq$  ffile
tt  $\neq$  aa1 tt  $\neq$  aa2 tt  $\neq$  vv tt  $\neq$  yy tt  $\neq$  xx tt  $\neq$  ffile
yy  $\neq$  aa1 yy  $\neq$  aa2 yy  $\neq$  vv yy  $\neq$  xx yy  $\neq$  tt yy  $\neq$  ffile
ffile  $\neq$  aa1 ffile  $\neq$  aa2 ffile  $\neq$  vv ffile  $\neq$  xx ffile  $\neq$  tt ffile  $\neq$  yy
unfolding vvars-defs by auto
```

```
consts size-aa1 :: nat
consts size-aa2 :: nat
```

```
fun initAvstore :: avstore  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
initAvstore (Avstore as) = (as aa1 = (0, size-aa1)  $\wedge$  as aa2 = (size-aa1, size-aa2))
```

```
fun istate :: state  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
istate s = (initAvstore (getAvstore s))
```

```
definition prog  $\equiv$ 
[
   $\emptyset$  Start ,
   $\not\equiv$  tt ::= (N 0),
   $\not\equiv$  xx ::= (N 1),
   $\not\equiv$  IfJump (Not (Eq (V xx) (N 0))) 4 13 ,
```

```

/ Input U xx ,
/ Input T yy ,
/ IfJump (Less (V xx) (N NN)) 7 12 ,
/ vv ::= VA aa1 (V xx) ,
/ writeSecretOnFile,
/ Fence ,
/ tt ::= (VA aa2 (Times (V vv) (N 512))) ,
/ Output U (V tt) ,
/ Jump 3,
/ Output U (N 0)
]

```

definition $PC \equiv \{0..13\}$

definition $beforeWhile = \{0,1,2\}$

definition $afterWhile = \{3..13\}$

definition $startOfWhileThen = 4$

definition $startOfIfThen = 7$

definition $inThenIfBeforeOutput = \{7,8\}$

definition $startOfElseBranch = 12$

definition $inElseIf = \{12,3,4,13\}$

definition $whileElse = 13$

fun $leftWhileSpec$ **where**

```

leftWhileSpec cfg cfg' =
  (pcOf cfg = whileElse  $\wedge$ 
   pcOf cfg' = startOfWhileThen)

```

fun $rightWhileSpec$ **where**

```

rightWhileSpec cfg cfg' =
  (pcOf cfg = startOfWhileThen  $\wedge$ 
   pcOf cfg' = whileElse)

```

fun $whileSpeculation$ **where**

```

whileSpeculation cfg cfg' =
  (leftWhileSpec cfg cfg'  $\vee$ 
   rightWhileSpec cfg cfg')

```

lemmas $whileSpec-def = whileSpeculation.simps$

```

startOfWhileThen-def
whileElse-def

```

lemmas $whileSpec-defs = whileSpec-def$

```

leftWhileSpec.simps
rightWhileSpec.simps

```

lemma $cases-14: (i::pcounter) = 0 \vee i = 1 \vee i = 2 \vee i = 3 \vee i = 4 \vee i = 5 \vee$
 $i = 6 \vee i = 7 \vee i = 8 \vee i = 9 \vee i = 10 \vee i = 11 \vee i = 12 \vee i = 13 \vee i = 14$

$\forall i > 14$
apply(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
subgoal for *i* **apply**(*cases* *i*, *simp-all*)
.....

lemma *xx-0-cases*: $vs\ xx = 0 \vee vs\ xx \neq 0$ **by** *auto*

lemma *xx-NN-cases*: $vs\ xx < int\ NN \vee vs\ xx \geq int\ NN$ **by** *auto*

lemma *is-If-pcOf*[*simp*]:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 14 \implies is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ (pcOf\ cfg)) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg = 3 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 6$
apply(*cases* *cfg*) **using** *cases-14*[*of* *pcOf* *cfg*] **by** (*auto* *simp*: *prog-def*)

lemma *is-If-pc*[*simp*]:
 $pc < 14 \implies is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ pc) \longleftrightarrow pc = 3 \vee pc = 6$
using *cases-14*[*of* *pc*] **by** (*auto* *simp*: *prog-def*)

lemma *eq-Fence-pc*[*simp*]:
 $pc < 14 \implies prog\ !\ pc = Fence \longleftrightarrow pc = 9$
using *cases-14*[*of* *pc*] **by** (*auto* *simp*: *prog-def*)

lemma *output1*[*simp*]: $prog\ !\ 11 = Output\ U\ (V\ tt)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *output2*[*simp*]: $prog\ !\ 13 = Output\ U\ (N\ 0)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *is-if*[*simp*]: $is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ 3)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *is-nif1*[*simp*]: $\neg is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ 7)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *is-nif2*[*simp*]: $\neg is-IfJump\ (prog\ !\ 8)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not6*[*simp*]: $\neg is-getInput\ (prog\ !\ 6)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *Output-not6*[*simp*]: $\neg is-Output\ (prog\ !\ 6)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not7*[*simp*]: $\neg is-getInput\ (prog\ !\ 7)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)
lemma *Output-not7*[*simp*]: $\neg is-Output\ (prog\ !\ 7)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *getInput-not8*[*simp*]: $\neg is-getInput\ (prog\ !\ 8)$ **by**(*simp* *add*: *prog-def*)

lemma *Output-not8*[simp]:*is-Output* (prog ! 8) **by**(simp add: prog-def)

lemma *is-nif*[simp]: \neg *is-IfJump* (prog ! 9) **by**(simp add: prog-def)

lemma *getInput-not10*[simp]: \neg *is-getInput* (prog ! 10) **by**(simp add: prog-def)

lemma *Output-not10*[simp]: \neg *is-Output* (prog ! 10) **by**(simp add: prog-def)

lemma *getInput-not12*[simp]: \neg *is-getInput* (prog ! 12) **by**(simp add: prog-def)

lemma *Output-not12*[simp]: \neg *is-Output* (prog ! 12) **by**(simp add: prog-def)

lemma *fence*[simp]:prog ! 9 = *Fence* **by**(simp add: prog-def)

lemma *nfence*[simp]:prog ! 7 \neq *Fence* **by**(simp add: prog-def)

consts *mispred* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool*

fun *resolve* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

resolve p pc =

(if (set pc = {4,13} \vee ($7 \in$ set pc \wedge ($4 \in$ set pc \vee $13 \in$ set pc))) \vee pc = [12,8])
then True else False)

lemma *resolve-73*: \neg *resolve* p [7,3] **by** auto

lemma *resolve-74*: *resolve* p [7,4] **by** auto

lemma *resolve-713*: *resolve* p [7,13] **by** auto

lemma *resolve-127*: \neg *resolve* p [12,7] **by** auto

lemma *resolve-129*: \neg *resolve* p [12,9] **by** auto

consts *update* :: *predState* \Rightarrow *pcounter list* \Rightarrow *predState*

consts *initPstate* :: *predState*

interpretation *Prog-Mispred-Init* **where**

prog = *prog* **and** *initPstate* = *initPstate* **and**

mispred = *mispred* **and** *resolve* = *resolve* **and** *update* = *update* **and**

istate = *istate*

by (*standard*, simp add: prog-def)

abbreviation

stepB-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\langle \rightarrow B \rangle$ 55)

where $x \rightarrow B y ==$ *stepB* x y

abbreviation

stepsB-abbrev :: *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow *config* \times *val llist* \times *val llist* \Rightarrow
bool (**infix** $\langle \rightarrow B^* \rangle$ 55)

where $x \rightarrow B^* y ==$ *star* *stepB* x y

abbreviation

$stepM\text{-abbrev} :: config \times val\ list \times val\ list \Rightarrow config \times val\ list \times val\ list \Rightarrow$
 $bool\ (\mathbf{infix}\ \langle \rightarrow MM \rangle\ 55)$
where $x \rightarrow MM\ y == stepM\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$stepN\text{-abbrev} :: config \times val\ list \times val\ list \times loc\ set \Rightarrow config \times val\ list \times val\ list \times loc\ set \Rightarrow$
 $bool\ (\mathbf{infix}\ \langle \rightarrow N \rangle\ 55)$
where $x \rightarrow N\ y == stepN\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$stepsN\text{-abbrev} :: config \times val\ list \times val\ list \times loc\ set \Rightarrow config \times val\ list \times val\ list \times loc\ set \Rightarrow$
 $bool\ (\mathbf{infix}\ \langle \rightarrow N^* \rangle\ 55)$
where $x \rightarrow N^*\ y == star\ stepN\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$stepS\text{-abbrev} :: configS \Rightarrow configS \Rightarrow bool\ (\mathbf{infix}\ \langle \rightarrow S \rangle\ 55)$
where $x \rightarrow S\ y == stepS\ x\ y$

abbreviation

$stepsS\text{-abbrev} :: configS \Rightarrow configS \Rightarrow bool\ (\mathbf{infix}\ \langle \rightarrow S^* \rangle\ 55)$
where $x \rightarrow S^*\ y == star\ stepS\ x\ y$

lemma $endPC[simp]: endPC = 14$

unfolding $endPC\text{-def}$ **unfolding** $prog\text{-def}$ **by** $auto$

lemma $is\text{-getInput}\text{-pcOf}[simp]: pcOf\ cfg < 14 \Longrightarrow is\text{-getInput}\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg)) \longleftrightarrow pcOf\ cfg = 4 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 5$

using $cases\text{-}14[of\ pcOf\ cfg]$ **by** $(auto\ simp: prog\text{-def})$

lemma $is\text{-Output}\text{-pcOf}[simp]: pcOf\ cfg < 14 \Longrightarrow is\text{-Output}\ (prog!(pcOf\ cfg)) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 8 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 11 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 13)$

using $cases\text{-}14[of\ pcOf\ cfg]$ **by** $(auto\ simp: prog\text{-def})$

lemma $is\text{-Output}\text{-}T: is\text{-Output}\ (prog\ !\ 8)$

unfolding $is\text{-Output}\text{-def}$ $prog\text{-def}$ **by** $auto$

lemma $is\text{-Output}: is\text{-Output}\ (prog\ !\ 11)$

unfolding $is\text{-Output}\text{-def}$ $prog\text{-def}$ **by** $auto$

lemma $is\text{-Output}\text{-}1: is\text{-Output}\ (prog\ !\ 13)$

unfolding $is\text{-Output}\text{-def}$ $prog\text{-def}$ **by** $auto$

lemma $isSecV\text{-pcOf}[simp]:$

$isSecV\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT, ls) \longleftrightarrow \neg finalB\ (cfg, ibT, ibUT)$

using $isSecV\text{-def}$ **by** $simp$

lemma *isSecO-pcOf[simp]*:
isSecO (*pstate*, *cfg*, *cfgs*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) \longleftrightarrow
 \neg *finalS* (*pstate*, *cfg*, *cfgs*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) \wedge *cfgs* = []
using *isSecO-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getActV-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 14 \implies$
getActV (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) =
 (if $pcOf\ cfg = 4$ then *lhd* *ibUT*
 else if $pcOf\ cfg = 5$ then *lhd* *ibT*
 else \perp)
apply(*subst getActV-simps*) **unfolding** *prog-def*
apply *simp*
using *getActV-simps not-is-getInput-getActV prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *getObsV-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 14 \implies$
getObsV (*cfg*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) =
 (if $pcOf\ cfg = 11 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 13$ then
 (*outOf* (*prog!*(*pcOf* *cfg*)) (*stateOf* *cfg*), *ls*)
 else \perp
)
apply(*subst getObsV-simps*)
apply (*simp add: prog-def*)
unfolding *getObsV-simps not-is-Output-getObsV is-Output-pcOf prog-def One-nat-def*

using *cases-14[of pcOf cfg]* **by** *auto*

lemma *getActO-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 12 \implies$
getActO (*pstate*, *cfg*, *cfgs*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) =
 (if *cfgs* = [] then
 (if $pcOf\ cfg = 4$ then *lhd* *ibUT*
 else if $pcOf\ cfg = 5$ then *lhd* *ibT*
 else \perp) else \perp)
apply(*subst getActO-simps*)
apply(*cases cfgs, auto*)
unfolding *prog-def* **apply** *simp*
apply(*cases pcOf cfg = 4, auto*)
using *getActV-simps getActV-pcOf prog-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *getObsO-pcOf[simp]*:
 $pcOf\ cfg < 14 \implies$
getObsO (*pstate*, *cfg*, *cfgs*, *ibT*, *ibUT*, *ls*) =
 (if ($pcOf\ cfg = 11 \vee pcOf\ cfg = 13$) \wedge *cfgs* = [] then
 (*outOf* (*prog!*(*pcOf* *cfg*)) (*stateOf* *cfg*), *ls*)

```

    else  $\perp$ 
  )
apply(subst getObsO-simps)
apply(cases cfigs, auto)
  using getObsV-simps is-Output-pcOf not-is-Output-getObsV prog-def
    One-nat-def
unfolding prog-def
  using cases-14[of pcOf cfig]
  by auto

```

lemma *getActTrustedInput:pc4 = 4 \implies pc3 = 4 \implies cfigs3 = [] \implies cfigs4 = [] \implies*
getActO (pstate3, Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3), [], ib3T,
ib3UT, ls3) =
getActO (pstate4, Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4), [], ib4T,
ib4UT, ls4)
 \implies *lhd ib3UT = lhd ib4UT*
using *getActO-pcOf zero-less-numeral by auto*

lemma *getActUntrustedInput:pc4 = 5 \implies pc3 = 5 \implies cfigs3 = [] \implies cfigs4 = [] \implies*
getActO (pstate3, Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3), [], ib3T,
ib3UT, ls3) =
getActO (pstate4, Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4), [], ib4T,
ib4UT, ls4)
 \implies *lhd ib3T = lhd ib4T*
using *getActO-pcOf zero-less-numeral by auto*

lemma *nextB-pc0[simp]:*
nextB (Config 0 s, ibT, ibUT) = (Config 1 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma *readLocs-pc0[simp]:*
readLocs (Config 0 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def *readLocs-def* **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma *nextB-pc1[simp]:*
nextB (Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT, ibUT) =
((Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := 0))) avst hh p), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma *nextB-pc1* [simp]:
nextB (*Config* (*Suc* 0) (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 ((*Config* 2 (*State* (*Vstore* (*vs*(*tt* := 0))) *avst* *hh* *p*)), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1* [simp]:
readLocs (*Config* 1 *s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc1'* [simp]:
readLocs (*Config* (*Suc* 0) *s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc2* [simp]:
nextB (*Config* 2 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 ((*Config* 3 (*State* (*Vstore* (*vs*(*xx* := 1))) *avst* *hh* *p*)), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-Assign*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *readLocs-pc2* [simp]:
readLocs (*Config* 2 *s*) = {}
unfolding *endPC-def* *readLocs-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-then* [simp]:
 $vs\ xx \neq 0 \implies$
nextB (*Config* 3 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config* 4 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-IfTrue*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* *Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3-else* [simp]:
 $vs\ xx = 0 \implies$
nextB (*Config* 3 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config* 13 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
apply(*subst nextB-IfFalse*)
unfolding *endPC-def* **unfolding** *prog-def* *Eq-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nextB-pc3*:
nextB (*Config* 3 (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*) =
 (*Config* (*if* $vs\ xx \neq 0$ *then* 4 *else* 13) (*State* (*Vstore* *vs*) *avst* *hh* *p*), *ibT*, *ibUT*)
by(*cases vs xx = 0, auto*)

lemma *readLocs-pc3* [simp]:

$readLocs$ (Config 3 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc3-then[simp]:
 $vs\ xx = 0 \implies$
 $nextM$ (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT , $ibUT$) =
(Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT , $ibUT$)
apply(subst nextM-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc3-else[simp]:
 $vs\ xx \neq 0 \implies$
 $nextM$ (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT , $ibUT$) =
(Config 13 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT , $ibUT$)
apply(subst nextM-IfFalse)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc3:
 $nextM$ (Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT , $ibUT$) =
(Config (if $vs\ xx \neq 0$ then 13 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT , $ibUT$)
by(cases $vs\ xx = 0$, auto)

lemma nextB-pc4[simp]:
 $ibUT \neq LNil \implies nextB$ (Config 4 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT , $ibUT$) =
(Config 5 (State (Vstore ($vs(xx := lhd\ ibUT)$)) avst hh p), ibT , $ltl\ ibUT$)
apply(subst nextB-getUntrustedInput')
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc4[simp]:
 $readLocs$ (Config 4 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc5[simp]:
 $ibT \neq LNil \implies nextB$ (Config 5 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT , $ibUT$) =
(Config 6 (State (Vstore ($vs(yy := lhd\ ibT)$)) avst hh p), $ltl\ ibT$, $ibUT$)
apply(subst nextB-getTrustedInput')
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc5[simp]:
 $readLocs$ (Config 5 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc6-then[simp]:

$vs\ xx < int\ NN \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 7\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc6-else[simp]:
 $vs\ xx \geq int\ NN \implies$
 $nextB\ (Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 12\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextB-IfFalse)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc6:
 $nextB\ (Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ (if\ vs\ xx < int\ NN\ then\ 7\ else\ 12)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,$
 $ibUT)$
by(cases vs xx < int NN, auto)

lemma readLocs-pc6[simp]:
 $readLocs\ (Config\ 6\ s) = \{\}$
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc6-then[simp]:
 $vs\ xx \geq int\ NN \implies$
 $nextM\ (Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 7\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextM-IfTrue)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc6-else[simp]:
 $vs\ xx < int\ NN \implies$
 $nextM\ (Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ 12\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$
apply(subst nextM-IfFalse)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def Eq-def **by** auto

lemma nextM-pc6:
 $nextM\ (Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(Config\ (if\ vs\ xx < int\ NN\ then\ 12\ else\ 7)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p),\ ibT,$
 $ibUT)$
by(cases vs xx < int NN, auto)

lemma nextB-pc7[simp]:
 $nextB\ (Config\ 7\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ (Heap\ hh)\ p),\ ibT,\ ibUT) =$
 $(let\ l = array-loc\ aa1\ (nat\ (vs\ xx))\ avst$
 $in\ (Config\ 8\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(vv := hh\ l)))\ avst\ (Heap\ hh)\ p)),\ ibT,\ ibUT)$

apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc7[simp]:
readLocs (Config 7 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) = {array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx))
avst}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc8[simp]:
nextB (Config 8 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p), ibT, ibUT) =
((Config 9 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Output)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc8[simp]:
readLocs (Config 8 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def
unfolding prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc9[simp]:
nextB (Config 9 s, ibT, ibUT) = (Config 10 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Start-Skip-Fence)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc9[simp]:
readLocs (Config 9 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc10[simp]:
nextB (Config 10 (State (Vstore vs) avst (Heap hh) p), ibT, ibUT) =
(let l = array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv * 512)) avst
in (Config 11 (State (Vstore (vs(tt := hh l))) avst (Heap hh) p)), ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Assign)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc10[simp]:
readLocs (Config 10 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) = {array-loc aa2 (nat (vs vv *
512)) avst}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc11[simp]:
nextB (Config 11 s, ibT, ibUT) = (Config 12 s, ibT, ibUT)

apply(subst nextB-Output)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc11[simp]:
readLocs (Config 11 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc12[simp]:
nextB (Config 12 s, ibT, ibUT) = (Config 3 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Jump)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc12[simp]:
readLocs (Config 12 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma nextB-pc13[simp]:
nextB (Config 13 s, ibT, ibUT) =
(Config 14 s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(subst nextB-Output)
unfolding endPC-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma readLocs-pc13[simp]:
readLocs (Config 13 s) = {}
unfolding endPC-def readLocs-def **unfolding** prog-def **by** auto

lemma map-L1:length cfgs = Suc 0 \implies
pcOf (last cfgs) = y \implies map pcOf cfgs = [y]
by (smt (verit, del-insts) Suc-length-conv cfgs-map last.simps
length-0-conv map-eq-Cons-conv nth-Cons-0 numeral-2-eq-2)

lemma map-L2:length cfgs = 2 \implies
pcOf (cfgs ! 0) = x \implies
pcOf (last cfgs) = y \implies map pcOf cfgs = [x,y]
by (smt (verit) Suc-length-conv cfgs-map last.simps
length-0-conv map-eq-Cons-conv nth-Cons-0 numeral-2-eq-2)

lemma length cfgs = 2 \implies (cfgs ! 0) = last (butlast cfgs)
by (cases cfgs, auto)

lemma nextB-stepB-pc:
pc < 14 \implies (pc = 4 \implies ibUT \neq LNil) \implies (pc = 5 \implies ibT \neq LNil) \implies
(Config pc s, ibT, ibUT) \rightarrow B nextB (Config pc s, ibT, ibUT)
apply(cases s) **subgoal for** vst avst hh p **apply**(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs as h

```

using cases-14[of pc] apply safe
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
by (simp add: prog-def)

subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, auto) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, auto) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def, auto) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx = 0)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)
  subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) unfolding endPC-def
  by (simp add: prog-def Eq-def)

```

by (*simp add: prog-def Eq-def, auto*) .
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def, metis llist.exhaust-sel*)

subgoal apply(cases vs xx < NN)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def Eq-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def Eq-def*) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx < NN)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def Eq-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def Eq-def*) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx < NN)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def Eq-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def Eq-def*) .
subgoal apply(cases vs xx < NN)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def Eq-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def Eq-def*) .

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def*)

subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**
 by (*simp add: prog-def*)
subgoal apply simp apply(subst stepB.simps) **unfolding endPC-def**

lemma *finalB-pc-iff'*:

$pc < 14 \implies$

$finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$

$(pc = 4 \wedge ibUT = LNil) \vee (pc = 5 \wedge ibT = LNil)$

apply *standard*

subgoal using *nextB-stepB-pc[of pc]* **by** (*auto simp add: stepB-iff-nextB*)

unfolding *finalB-iff* **by** (*elim disjE, simp-all add: prog-def*)

lemma *finalB-pc-iff*:

$pc \leq 14 \implies$

$finalB (Config\ pc\ s, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow$

$(pc = 14 \vee (pc = 4 \wedge ibUT = LNil) \vee (pc = 5 \wedge ibT = LNil))$

using *Prog.finalB-iff endPC finalB-pc-iff'* *order-le-less finalB-iff*

by *metis*

lemma *finalB-pcOf-iff[simp]*:

$pcOf\ cfg \leq 14 \implies$

$finalB (cfg, ibT, ibUT) \longleftrightarrow (pcOf\ cfg = 14 \vee (pcOf\ cfg = 4 \wedge ibUT = LNil) \vee$

$(pcOf\ cfg = 5 \wedge ibT = LNil))$

using *config.collapse finalB-pc-iff* **by** *metis*

lemma *finalS-cond:pcOf cfg < 14 \implies noMisSpec cfgs \implies ibT \neq LNil \implies ibUT \neq LNil \implies \neg finalS (pstate, cfg, cfgs, ibT, ibUT, ls)*

apply (*cases cfg*)

subgoal for *pc s* **apply** (*cases s*)

subgoal for *vst avst hh p* **apply** (*cases vst, cases avst, cases hh*)

subgoal for *vs as h*

using *cases-14[of pc]* **apply** (*elim disjE*) **unfolding** *finalS-defs noMisSpec-def*

subgoal using *nonspec-normal[of [] Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

pstate pstate ibT ibUT

Config 1 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)

ibT ibUT [] ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore

vs) avst hh p)) ls]

using *is-If-pc* **by** *force*

subgoal apply (*frule nonspec-normal[of cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*

pstate pstate ibT ibUT

Config 2 (State (Vstore (vs(tt:= 0))) avst hh p)

ibT ibUT [] ls \cup readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore

vs) avst hh p)) ls]

prefer 7 **subgoal by** *metis* **by** *simp-all*

subgoal apply (*frule nonspec-normal[of cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst*

$hh\ p)$
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ 3\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(xx:=\ 1)))\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls\ \cup\ readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(cases mispred pstate [3])
subgoal apply(frule nonspec-mispred[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
 $hh\ p)$
 $pstate\ update\ pstate\ [pcOf\ (Config\ pc\ (State$
 $(Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))]$
 $(State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ Config\ (if\ vs\ xx\ \neq\ 0\ then\ 4\ else\ 13)$
 $(State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ Config\ (if\ vs\ xx\ \neq\ 0\ then\ 13\ else\ 4)$
 $(State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ [Config\ (if\ vs\ xx\ \neq\ 0\ then\ 13\ else\ 4)$
 $(State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)]$
 $ls\ \cup\ readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)$
 $avst\ hh\ p))\ ls])$
prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (simp add: finalM-iff)+

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
 $hh\ p)$
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ (if\ vs\ xx\ \neq\ 0\ then\ 4\ else\ 13)\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)$
 $avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls\ \cup\ readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all .

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
 $hh\ p)$
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ 5\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(xx:=\ lhd\ ibUT)))\ avst\ hh$
 $p)$
 $ibT\ ltl\ ibUT\ []\ ls\ \cup\ readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(frule nonspec-normal[of cfigs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst
 $hh\ p)$
 $pstate\ pstate\ ibT\ ibUT$
 $Config\ 6\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(yy:=\ lhd\ ibT)))\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ltl\ ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls\ \cup\ readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls])$
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply(cases mispred pstate [6])

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-mispred*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*]
hh p)
pstate update pstate [pcOf (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p))]
ibT ibUT Config (if vs xx < NN then 7 else 12) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT Config (if vs xx < NN then 12 else 7) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [Config (if vs xx < NN then 12 else 7) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)]
ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 9 subgoal by metis by (*simp add: finalM-iff*)+

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*
hh p]
pstate pstate ibT ibUT Config (if vs xx < NN then 7 else 12) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)
ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by *simp-all* .

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*
hh p]
pstate pstate ibT ibUT (let l = (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs xx)) (Avstore as)) in (Config 8 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h l))) avst hh p))) ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by *simp-all*

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*
hh p]
pstate pstate ibT ibUT (Config 9 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ibT ibUT [] ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)) ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by *simp-all*

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*
hh p]
pstate pstate ibT ibUT Config 10 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p) ibT ibUT [] ls ls])
prefer 7 subgoal by metis by *simp-all*

subgoal apply(*frule nonspec-normal*[of *cfgs Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)*
hh p])

$pstate$ $pstate$ ibT $ibUT$
 $(let\ l = (array-loc\ aa2\ (nat\ (vs\ vv\ * 512))\ (Avstore\ as))$
 $in\ (Config\ 11\ (State\ (Vstore\ (vs(tt := h\ l)))\ avst\ hh\ p)))$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst$
 $hh\ p))\ ls]$

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply($frule\ nonspec-normal[$ of $cfgs\ Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst$
 $hh\ p)$

$pstate$ $pstate$ ibT $ibUT$
 $Config\ 12\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls\ ls]$

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply($frule\ nonspec-normal[$ of $cfgs\ Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst$
 $hh\ p)$

$pstate$ $pstate$ ibT $ibUT$
 $Config\ 3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls \cup readLocs\ (Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore$
 $vs)\ avst\ hh\ p))\ ls]$

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

subgoal apply($frule\ nonspec-normal[$ of $cfgs\ Config\ pc\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst$
 $hh\ p)$

$pstate$ $pstate$ ibT $ibUT$
 $Config\ 14\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs)\ avst\ hh\ p)$
 $ibT\ ibUT\ []\ ls\ ls]$

prefer 7 subgoal by metis by simp-all

by simp-all . . .

lemma $finalS-cond'.pcOf\ cfg < 14 \implies\ cfgs = [] \implies\ ibT \neq LNil \implies\ ibUT \neq$
 $LNil \implies$

$\neg finalS\ (pstate,\ cfg,\ cfgs,\ ibT,\ ibUT,\ ls)$

using $finalS-cond$ **by** ($simp\ add:\ noMisSpec-def$)

lemma $finalS-while-spec:$

$whileSpeculation\ cfg\ (last\ cfgs) \implies$

$length\ cfgs = Suc\ 0 \implies$

$\neg finalS\ (pstate,\ cfg,\ cfgs,\ ibT,\ ibUT,\ ls)$

apply($unfold\ whileSpec-defs,\ cases\ cfg$)

subgoal for $pc\ s$ **apply**($cases\ s$)

subgoal for $vst\ avst\ hh\ p$ **apply**($cases\ vst,\ cases\ avst,\ cases\ hh$)

subgoal for $vs\ as\ h$

apply($elim\ disjE,\ elim\ conjE$) **unfolding** $finalS-defs$

subgoal using $stepS-spec-resolve-iff[$ of $cfgs\ pstate\ cfg\ ibT\ ibUT\ ls\ update$
 $pstate\ (pcOf\ cfg\ \# \ map\ pcOf\ cfgs)]$

by ($metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ cfgs-map\ empty-set\ insert-commute\ less-numeral-extra(3)$)

$resolve.simps\ list.simps(15)\ list.size(3)\ numeral-2-eq-2\ pos2)$

```

subgoal apply(elim conjE)
  using spec-resolve[of cfgs pstate cfg update pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf
cfgs) cfg [] ibT ibT ibUT ibUT ls ls ]
  using empty-set resolve.simps length-0-conv
    length-1-butlast length-Suc-conv list.simps(9,15)
    cfgs-map not-Cons-self2 spec-resolve by metis . . . .

```

lemma *finalS-while-spec-L2*:

```

  pcOf cfg = 7  $\implies$ 
    whileSpeculation (cfgs!0) (last cogs)  $\implies$ 
      length cogs = 2  $\implies$ 
         $\neg$  finalS (pstate, cfg, cogs, ibT, ibUT, ls)
apply(unfold whileSpec-defs, cases cfg)
  subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
  subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases avst, cases hh)
  subgoal for vs as h
    apply(elim disjE, elim conjE) unfolding finalS-defs
      subgoal using stepS-spec-resolve-iff[of cfgs pstate cfg ibT ibUT ls update
pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf cogs)]
      unfolding resolve.simps
      using list.set-intros(1,2) map-L2 zero-neq-numeral
      by fastforce
    subgoal apply(elim conjE)
      using spec-resolve
      unfolding resolve.simps
      using list.set-intros(1,2) map-L2 zero-neq-numeral
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Prog-Mispred.spec-resolve Prog-Mispred-axioms
list.size(3))
    . . . .

```

lemma *finalS-if-spec*:

```

  (pcOf (last cogs)  $\in$  inThenIfBeforeOutput  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg = 12)  $\vee$ 
  (pcOf (last cogs)  $\in$  inElseIf  $\wedge$  pcOf cfg = 7)  $\implies$ 
    length cogs = Suc 0  $\implies$ 
       $\neg$  finalS (pstate, cfg, cogs, ibT, ibUT, ls)
unfolding inThenIfBeforeOutput-def inElseIf-def
apply(simp,cases last cogs)
subgoal for pc s apply(cases s)
subgoal for vst avst hh p apply(cases vst, cases hh)
subgoal for vs h
  apply(elim disjE, elim conjE) unfolding finalS-defs
  subgoal apply(elim disjE)
    subgoal apply(rule notI,
      erule allE[of - (pstate, cfg,
        [Config 8 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs
xx)) avst)))) avst hh p],
        ibT, ibUT, ls  $\cup$  readLocs (last cogs)]])])
    by (erule notE,
      rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config 8 (State (Vstore (vs(vv := h (array-loc

```

```

aa1 (nat (vs xx) avst))) avst hh p)], auto)
  subgoal apply(rule notI, erule allE[of - (update pstate (pcOf cfg # map
pcOf cfgs),cfg,[],ibT,ibUT,ls ∪ readLocs (last cfgs))])
    by(erule notE, rule spec-resolve, auto) .
  subgoal apply(elim conjE, elim disjE)
  subgoal apply(rule notI, erule allE[of -
    (pstate, cfg, [Config 3 (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)], ibT,ibUT,
    ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)))]))
    by(erule notE, rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config 3 (State (Vstore vs)
avst hh p)], auto)

  subgoal apply(cases mispred pstate [7,3])
  subgoal apply(rule notI, erule allE[of -
    (update pstate (pcOf cfg # map pcOf cfgs),
    cfg,
    [Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 13) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p),
    Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 13 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)], ibT,
ibUT,
    ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)))]))
    apply(erule notE,
rule spec-mispred[of - - - - -
    Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 13) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p) -
- Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 13 else 4) (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p) ibT
ibUT])
    by(auto simp: finalM-iff)

  apply(rule notI, erule allE[of -
    (pstate, cfg, [Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 13) (State (Vstore vs) avst
hh p)], ibT,ibUT,
    ls ∪ readLocs (Config pc (State (Vstore vs) avst hh p)))]))
    by (erule notE,
rule spec-normal[of - - - - -Config (if vs xx ≠ 0 then 4 else 13) (State
(Vstore vs) avst hh p)], auto)

  subgoal by (metis resolve-74 stepS-spec-resolve-iff
    map-L1 cfgs-Suc-zero not-Cons-self2)
  subgoal by (metis resolve-713 stepS-spec-resolve-iff
    map-L1 cfgs-Suc-zero not-Cons-self2)
  . . . . .

```

end

13.2 Proof

```

theory Fun6-secure
imports Fun6
begin

```

definition $common :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$

where

$common = (\lambda w1 w2$
 $(pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(pstate3 = pstate4 \wedge$
 $cfg1 = cfg3 \wedge cfg2 = cfg4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = pcOf\ cfg4 \wedge map\ pcOf\ cfgs3 = map\ pcOf\ cfgs4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 \in PC \wedge pcOf\ (set\ cfgs3) \subseteq PC \wedge$
 $llength\ ibT1 = \infty \wedge llength\ ibT2 = \infty \wedge$
 $llength\ ibUT1 = \infty \wedge llength\ ibUT2 = \infty \wedge$

 $ibT1 = ibT3 \wedge ibT2 = ibT4 \wedge$
 $ibUT1 = ibUT3 \wedge ibUT2 = ibUT4 \wedge$

 $w1 = w2 \wedge$
 $///$
 $array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf$
 $cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfgs3. array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array-base\ aa1$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfgs4. array-base\ aa1\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array-base\ aa1$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3)) = array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf$
 $cfg4)) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg3' \in set\ cfgs3. array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3')) = array-base\ aa2$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg3))) \wedge$
 $(\forall\ cfg4' \in set\ cfgs4. array-base\ aa2\ (getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4')) = array-base\ aa2$
 $(getAvstore\ (stateOf\ cfg4))) \wedge$
 $///$
 $(statA = Diff \longrightarrow statO = Diff) \wedge$
 $Dist\ ls1\ ls2\ ls3\ ls4))$

lemma $common-implies: common\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$

$(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf\ cfg1 < 14 \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = pcOf\ cfg1 \wedge$
 $ibT1 \neq [] \wedge ibT2 \neq [] \wedge$
 $ibUT1 \neq [] \wedge ibUT2 \neq [] \wedge$

$w1 = w2$
unfolding *common-def PC-def* **by** (*auto simp: image-def subset-eq*)

definition $\Delta 0 :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $\Delta 0 = (\lambda num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$
 $pcOf cfg3 \in beforeWhile \wedge$
 $(pcOf cfg3 > 1 \longrightarrow same-var-o tt cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4) \wedge$
 $(pcOf cfg3 > 2 \longrightarrow same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4) \wedge$
 $(pcOf cfg3 > 4 \longrightarrow same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4) \wedge$
 $noMisSpec cfgs3$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta 0-defs = \Delta 0-def common-def PC-def same-var-o-def$
 $beforeWhile-def noMisSpec-def$

lemma $\Delta 0$ -*implies*: $\Delta 0 num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \Longrightarrow$
 $pcOf cfg1 < 14 \wedge pcOf cfg2 = pcOf cfg1 \wedge$
 $ibT1 \neq [] \wedge ibT2 \neq [] \wedge$
 $ibUT1 \neq [] \wedge ibUT2 \neq [] \wedge$
 $cfgs4 = []$
apply (*meson* $\Delta 0$ -*def common-implies*)
by (*simp-all add: $\Delta 0$ -defs,metis Nil-is-map-conv*)

definition $\Delta 1 :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $\Delta 1 = (\lambda num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$

```

    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO.
  (common w1 w2 (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    pcOf cfg3  $\in$  afterWhile  $\wedge$ 
    same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4  $\wedge$ 
    noMisSpec cfgs3
  ))
lemmas  $\Delta 1$ -defs =  $\Delta 1$ -def common-def noMisSpec-def PC-def afterWhile-def same-var-o-def
lemma  $\Delta 1$ -implies:  $\Delta 1$  n w1 w2 (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
  (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
  (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
  statO  $\implies$ 
  pcOf cfg3 < 14  $\wedge$  cfgs3 = []  $\wedge$  ibT3  $\neq$  [[]]  $\wedge$ 
  pcOf cfg4 < 14  $\wedge$  cfgs4 = []  $\wedge$  ibT4  $\neq$  [[]]  $\wedge$ 
  ibUT3  $\neq$  [[]]  $\wedge$  ibUT4  $\neq$  [[]]
unfolding  $\Delta 1$ -defs apply clarify
by (metis atLeastAtMost-iff eval-nat-numeral(2) infinity-ne-i0
  less-Suc-eq-le list.map-disc-iff llength-LNil semiring-norm(28))

```

```

definition  $\Delta 1'$  :: enat  $\implies$  enat  $\implies$  enat  $\implies$  stateO  $\implies$  stateO  $\implies$  status  $\implies$  stateV
 $\implies$  stateV  $\implies$  status  $\implies$  bool where
 $\Delta 1'$  = ( $\lambda$ num w1 w2 (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
  (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
  statA
  (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
  (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
  statO.
  (common w1 w2 (pstate3,cfg3,cfgs3,ibT3,ibUT3,ls3)
    (pstate4,cfg4,cfgs4,ibT4,ibUT4,ls4)
    statA
    (cfg1,ibT1,ibUT1,ls1)
    (cfg2,ibT2,ibUT2,ls2)
    statO  $\wedge$ 
    same-var-o xx cfg3 cfgs3 cfg4 cfgs4  $\wedge$ 
    whileSpeculation cfg3 (last cfgs3)  $\wedge$ 
    misSpecL1 cfgs3  $\wedge$  misSpecL1 cfgs4  $\wedge$ 
    w1 =  $\infty$ 
  ))

```

lemmas $\Delta 1'$ -defs = $\Delta 1'$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-def

*startOfIfThen-def startOfElseBranch-def
misSpecL1-def whileSpec-defs*

lemma $\Delta 1'$ -implies: $\Delta 1'$ num $w1$ $w2$ ($pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3$)
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 < 14 \wedge pcOf\ cfg4 < 14 \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ cfg3\ (last\ cfgs3) \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ cfg4\ (last\ cfgs4) \wedge$
 $length\ cfgs3 = Suc\ 0 \wedge length\ cfgs4 = Suc\ 0$
unfolding $\Delta 1'$ -defs by *clarsimp*

definition $\Delta 2 :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV$
 $\Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$\Delta 2 = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$

$same-var-o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfgs3\ cfg4\ cfgs4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = startOfIfThen \wedge pcOf\ (last\ cfgs3) \in inElseIf \wedge$
 $misSpecL1\ cfgs3 \wedge misSpecL1\ cfgs4 \wedge$

$(pcOf\ (last\ cfgs3) = startOfElseBranch \longrightarrow w1 = \infty) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfgs3) = 3 \longrightarrow w1 = 3) \wedge$

$(pcOf\ (last\ cfgs3) = startOfWhileThen \vee$
 $pcOf\ (last\ cfgs3) = whileElse \longrightarrow w1 = 1)$

))

lemmas $\Delta 2$ -defs = $\Delta 2$ -def *common-def PC-def same-var-o-def misSpecL1-def*
startOfIfThen-def inElseIf-def same-var-def
startOfWhileThen-def whileElse-def startOfElseBranch-def

lemma $\Delta 2$ -implies: $\Delta 2$ num $w1$ $w2$ ($pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3$)

$(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf (last\ cfs3) \in inElseIf \wedge pcOf\ cfg3 = 7 \wedge$
 $pcOf (last\ cfs4) = pcOf (last\ cfs3) \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg4 = pcOf\ cfg3 \wedge length\ cfs3 = Suc\ 0 \wedge$
 $length\ cfs4 = Suc\ 0 \wedge same-var\ xx\ (last\ cfs3)\ (last\ cfs4)$
apply(intro conjI)
unfolding $\Delta 2$ -defs
apply (simp-all add: image-subset-iff)
by (metis last-in-set length-0-conv Nil-is-map-conv last-map length-map)+

definition $\Delta 2' :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV$
 $\Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $\Delta 2' = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$
 $same-var-o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfs3\ cfg4\ cfs4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = startOfIfThen \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ (cfs3!0)\ (last\ cfs3) \wedge$
 $misSpecL2\ cfs3 \wedge misSpecL2\ cfs4 \wedge$
 $w1 = 2$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta 2'$ -defs = $\Delta 2'$ -def common-def PC-def same-var-def
startOfElseBranch-def startOfIfThen-def
whileSpec-defs misSpecL2-def

lemma $\Delta 2'$ -implies: $\Delta 2'$ num w1 w2 (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = 7 \wedge pcOf\ cfg4 = 7 \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ (cfs3!0)\ (last\ cfs3) \wedge$
 $whileSpeculation\ (cfs4!0)\ (last\ cfs4) \wedge$

$length\ cfgs3 = 2 \wedge length\ cfgs4 = 2$
apply(*intro conjI*)
unfolding $\Delta 2'$ -*defs* **apply** (*simp add: lessI, clarify*)
apply *linarith+* **apply** *simp-all*
by (*metis list.inject map-L2*)

definition $\Delta 3 :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV$
 $\Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $\Delta 3 = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO.$
 $(common\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \wedge$
 $same-var-o\ xx\ cfg3\ cfgs3\ cfg4\ cfgs4 \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = startOfElseBranch \wedge pcOf\ (last\ cfgs3) \in inThenIfBeforeOutput \wedge$
 $misSpecL1\ cfgs3 \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfgs3) = 7 \longrightarrow w1 = \infty) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfgs3) = 8 \longrightarrow w1 = 2) \wedge$
 $(pcOf\ (last\ cfgs3) = 9 \longrightarrow w1 = 1)$
 $))$

lemmas $\Delta 3$ -*defs* = $\Delta 3$ -*def* *common-def* *PC-def* *same-var-o-def*
 $startOfElseBranch$ -*def* *inThenIfBeforeOutput*-*def*

lemma $\Delta 3$ -*implies*: $\Delta 3\ num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
 $statA$
 $(cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
 $(cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
 $statO \implies$
 $pcOf\ (last\ cfgs3) \in inThenIfBeforeOutput \wedge$
 $pcOf\ (last\ cfgs4) = pcOf\ (last\ cfgs3) \wedge$
 $pcOf\ cfg3 = 12 \wedge pcOf\ cfg3 = pcOf\ cfg4 \wedge$
 $length\ cfgs3 = Suc\ 0 \wedge length\ cfgs4 = Suc\ 0$
apply(*intro conjI*)
unfolding $\Delta 3$ -*defs*
apply (*simp-all add: image-subset-iff*)
by (*metis last-map map-is-Nil-conv length-map*)+

definition $\Delta e :: enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow enat \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow stateO \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow stateV \Rightarrow status \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $\Delta e = (\lambda num\ w1\ w2\ (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3, ib3, ls3)$
 $\quad (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4, ib4, ls4)$
 $\quad statA$
 $\quad (cfg1, ib1, ls1)$
 $\quad (cfg2, ib2, ls2)$
 $\quad statO.$
 $(pcOf\ cfg3 = endPC \wedge pcOf\ cfg4 = endPC \wedge cfs3 = [] \wedge cfs4 = [] \wedge$
 $\quad pcOf\ cfg1 = endPC \wedge pcOf\ cfg2 = endPC))$

lemmas $\Delta e-defs = \Delta e-def\ common-def\ endPC-def$

lemma *init: initCond* $\Delta 0$
unfolding *initCond-def* **apply** *safe*
subgoal **for** $pstate3\ cfg3\ cfs3\ ibT3\ ibUT3\ ls3$
 $pstate4\ cfg4\ cfs4\ ibT4\ ibUT4\ ls4$
unfolding *istateO.simps* **apply** *clarsimp*
apply(*cases* *getAvstore* (*stateOf* $cfg3$), *cases* *getAvstore* (*stateOf* $cfg4$))
unfolding $\Delta 0-defs$
unfolding *array-base-def* **by** *auto* .

lemma *step0: unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 0$ (*oor* $\Delta 0\ \Delta 1$)

proof(*rule* *unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)
fix $n\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$
assume $r: reachO\ ss3\ reachO\ ss4\ reachV\ ss1\ reachV\ ss2$
and $\Delta 0: \Delta 0\ n\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$

obtain $pstate3\ cfg3\ cfs3\ ibT3\ ibUT3\ ls3$ **where** $ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,$
 $ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)$
by (*cases* $ss3$, *auto*)
obtain $pstate4\ cfg4\ cfs4\ ibT4\ ibUT4\ ls4$ **where** $ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,$
 $ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)$
by (*cases* $ss4$, *auto*)
obtain $cfg1\ ibT1\ ibUT1\ ls1$ **where** $ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)$
by (*cases* $ss1$, *auto*)
obtain $cfg2\ ibT2\ ibUT2\ ls2$ **where** $ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)$
by (*cases* $ss2$, *auto*)
note $ss = ss3\ ss4\ ss1\ ss2$

obtain $pc3\ vs3\ avst3\ h3\ p3$ **where**
 $cfg3: cfg3 = Config\ pc3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3)$
by (*cases* $cfg3$) (*metis* *state.collapse* *vstore.collapse*)
obtain $pc4\ vs4\ avst4\ h4\ p4$ **where**

```

cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by (cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by (cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

have f1: ¬finalN ss1
  using Δ0 unfolding ss
  apply-by (frule Δ0-implies, simp)

have f2: ¬finalN ss2
  using Δ0 unfolding ss
  apply-by (frule Δ0-implies, simp)

have f3: ¬finalS ss3
  using Δ0 unfolding ss
  apply-apply (frule Δ0-implies, unfold Δ0-defs)
  using finalS-cond' by simp

have f4: ¬finalS ss4
  using Δ0 unfolding ss
  apply-apply (frule Δ0-implies, unfold Δ0-defs)
  using finalS-cond' by simp

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

show react (oor Δ0 Δ1) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding react-def proof (intro conjI)

  show match1 (oor Δ0 Δ1) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match2 (oor Δ0 Δ1) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor Δ0 Δ1) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

proof (rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI)
  fix ss3' ss4' statA'
  assume statA': statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4
  and v: validTransO (ss3, ss3') validTransO (ss4, ss4')
  and sa: Opt.eqAct ss3 ss4
  note v3 = v(1) note v4 = v(2)

```

```

obtain  $pstate3'$   $cfg3'$   $cfgs3'$   $ibT3'$   $ibUT3'$   $ls3'$  where  $ss3'$ :  $ss3' = (pstate3',$ 
 $cfg3', cfgs3', ibT3', ibUT3', ls3')$ 
by (cases  $ss3'$ , auto)
obtain  $pstate4'$   $cfg4'$   $cfgs4'$   $ibT4'$   $ibUT4'$   $ls4'$  where  $ss4'$ :  $ss4' = (pstate4',$ 
 $cfg4', cfgs4', ibT4', ibUT4', ls4')$ 
by (cases  $ss4'$ , auto)
note  $ss = ss\ ss3'\ ss4'$ 

obtain  $pc3$   $vs3$   $avst3$   $h3$   $p3$  where
 $cfg3$ :  $cfg3 = Config\ pc3\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs3)\ avst3\ h3\ p3)$ 
by (cases  $cfg3$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
obtain  $pc4$   $vs4$   $avst4$   $h4$   $p4$  where
 $cfg4$ :  $cfg4 = Config\ pc4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4)$ 
by (cases  $cfg4$ ) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note  $cfg = cfg3\ cfg4$ 

show  $eqSec\ ss1\ ss3$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0\ finals$  unfolding  $ss$ 
by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs eqSec-def)

show  $eqSec\ ss2\ ss4$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0\ finals$  unfolding  $ss$ 
by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs eqSec-def, metis map-is-Nil-conv)

show  $Van.eqAct\ ss1\ ss2$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0$  unfolding  $ss$ 
apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 0$ -implies)
unfolding  $Opt.eqAct-def$ 
 $Van.eqAct-def$ 
by(simp-all add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs, linarith)

show  $match12-12\ (oor\ \Delta 0\ \Delta 1)\ \infty\ \infty\ ss3'\ ss4'\ statA'\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
unfolding  $match12-12-def$ 
proof(rule  $exI$ [of - nextN  $ss1$ ], rule  $exI$ [of - nextN  $ss2$ ], unfold Let-def, intro
 $conjI\ impI$ )
show  $validTransV\ (ss1,\ nextN\ ss1)$ 
by (simp add:  $f1\ nextN$ -stepN)

show  $validTransV\ (ss2,\ nextN\ ss2)$ 
by (simp add:  $f2\ nextN$ -stepN)

{assume  $sstat$ :  $statA' = Diff$ 
show  $sstatO'\ statO\ ss1\ ss2 = Diff$ 
using  $v\ sa\ \Delta 0\ sstat$  unfolding  $ss\ cfg\ statA'$  apply simp
apply(simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs  $sstatO'$ -def  $sstatA'$ -def finalS-def final-def)
using cases-14[of  $pc3$ ] apply(elim disjE)
apply simp-all apply(cases  $statO$ , simp-all) apply(cases  $statA$ , simp-all)
apply(cases  $statO$ , simp-all) apply (cases  $statA$ , simp-all)
by (smt ( $z3$ ) status.distinct status.exhaust newStat.simps) +
```

```

} note stat = this

show oor  $\Delta 0$   $\Delta 1$   $\infty$   $\infty$   $\infty$   $ss3'$   $ss4'$   $statA'$  ( $nextN$   $ss1$ ) ( $nextN$   $ss2$ ) ( $sstatO'$ 
 $statO$   $ss1$   $ss2$ )

using  $v3$ [unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs numeral-1-eq-Suc-0, linarith)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-normal note  $nn3 = nonspec-normal$ 
  show ?thesis
  using  $v3$ [unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 0$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-normal note  $nn4 = nonspec-normal$ 
    show ?thesis using  $sa$   $\Delta 0$  stat v3 v4 nn3 nn4 unfolding ss cfg apply
clarsimp
    apply(unfold  $\Delta 0$ -defs, clarsimp, elim disjE)

```

```

      subgoal by(rule oorI1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      subgoal by (rule oorI1, simp add:  $\Delta 0$ -defs)
      subgoal by (rule oorI2, simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

lemma *step1: unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 1$ (*oor5* $\Delta 1$ $\Delta 1'$ $\Delta 2$ $\Delta 3$ Δe)
proof(*rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

```

  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 1$ :  $\Delta 1$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

```

```

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

```

```

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

```

```

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

```

```

have f1:  $\neg$ finalN ss1
  using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1$ -def apply clarify
  apply(frule common-implies)
  using finalB-pcOf-iff finalN-iff-finalB nat-less-le by metis

```

```

have f2:  $\neg$ finalN ss2
  using  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1$ -def apply clarify

```


apply(*frule common-implies*)
using *finalB-pcOf-iff finalN-iff-finalB nat-less-le* **by** *metis*

have $f_3: \neg \text{finalS } ss_3$
using $\Delta 1$ **unfolding** *ss*
apply–**apply**(*frule $\Delta 1$ -implies*)
by (*simp add: finalS-cond'*)

have $f_4: \neg \text{finalS } ss_4$
using $\Delta 1$ **unfolding** *ss*
apply–**apply**(*frule $\Delta 1$ -implies*)
by (*simp add: finalS-cond'*)

note $\text{finals} = f_1 f_2 f_3 f_4$
show $\text{finalS } ss_3 = \text{finalS } ss_4 \wedge \text{finalN } ss_1 = \text{finalS } ss_3 \wedge \text{finalN } ss_2 = \text{finalS } ss_4$
using *finals* **by** *auto*

then show $\text{isIntO } ss_3 = \text{isIntO } ss_4$ **by** *simp*

show $\text{react } (\text{oor}_5 \Delta 1 \Delta 1' \Delta 2 \Delta 3 \Delta e) w_1 w_2 ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$
unfolding *react-def* **proof**(*intro conjI*)

show $\text{match1 } (\text{oor}_5 \Delta 1 \Delta 1' \Delta 2 \Delta 3 \Delta e) w_1 w_2 ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$
unfolding *match1-def* **by** (*simp add: finalS-def final-def*)
show $\text{match2 } (\text{oor}_5 \Delta 1 \Delta 1' \Delta 2 \Delta 3 \Delta e) w_1 w_2 ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$
unfolding *match2-def* **by** (*simp add: finalS-def final-def*)
show $\text{match12 } (\text{oor}_5 \Delta 1 \Delta 1' \Delta 2 \Delta 3 \Delta e) w_1 w_2 ss_3 ss_4 \text{ statA } ss_1 ss_2 \text{ statO}$

proof(*rule match12-simpleI, rule disjI2, intro conjI*)

fix $ss_3' ss_4' \text{ statA}'$
assume $\text{statA}' : \text{statA}' = \text{sstatA}' \text{ statA } ss_3 ss_4$
and $v : \text{validTransO } (ss_3, ss_3') \text{ validTransO } (ss_4, ss_4')$
and $sa : \text{Opt.eqAct } ss_3 ss_4$
note $v_3 = v(1)$ **note** $v_4 = v(2)$

obtain $p\text{state}_3' \text{ cfg}_3' \text{ cfgs}_3' \text{ ibT}_3' \text{ ibUT}_3' \text{ ls}_3'$ **where** $ss_3' : ss_3' = (p\text{state}_3', \text{cfg}_3', \text{cfgs}_3', \text{ibT}_3', \text{ibUT}_3', \text{ls}_3')$
by (*cases ss3', auto*)
obtain $p\text{state}_4' \text{ cfg}_4' \text{ cfgs}_4' \text{ ibT}_4' \text{ ibUT}_4' \text{ ls}_4'$ **where** $ss_4' : ss_4' = (p\text{state}_4', \text{cfg}_4', \text{cfgs}_4', \text{ibT}_4', \text{ibUT}_4', \text{ls}_4')$
by (*cases ss4', auto*)
note $ss = ss \text{ } ss_3' \text{ } ss_4'$

show $\text{eqSec } ss_1 ss_3$
using $v \text{ } sa \Delta 1 \text{ } \text{finals}$ **unfolding** *ss* **by** (*simp add: $\Delta 1$ -defs eqSec-def*)

show $\text{eqSec } ss_2 ss_4$

```

using v sa  $\Delta 1$  finals unfolding ss
by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs eqSec-def, metis map-is-Nil-conv)

show Van.eqAct ss1 ss2
using v sa  $\Delta 1$  unfolding ss apply– apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
unfolding Opt.eqAct-def Van.eqAct-def
apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
by (metis f3 getActO-pcOf numeral-eq-iff numeral-less-iff semiring-norm(77,78,81,89)
ss3)

show match12-12 (oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$ )  $\infty \infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2
statO
unfolding match12-12-def
proof(rule exI[of - nextN ss1], rule exI[of - nextN ss2],unfold Let-def, intro
conjI impI)
show validTransV (ss1, nextN ss1)
by (simp add: f1 nextN-stepN)

show validTransV (ss2, nextN ss2)
by (simp add: f2 nextN-stepN)

{assume sstat: statA' = Diff
show sstatO' statO ss1 ss2 = Diff
using v sa  $\Delta 1$  sstat finals unfolding ss cfg statA'
apply–apply(frule  $\Delta 1$ -implies)
apply(simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs sstatO'-def sstatA'-def newStat-EqI)
using cases-14 [of pc3] apply(elim disjE, simp-all)
subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
by (simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all)
by(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
by (simp-all add: newStat-EqI split: if-splits)
subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
by (simp-all add: newStat-EqI split: if-splits)
subgoal apply(cases statO, simp-all, cases statA)
by (simp-all add: newStat-EqI split: if-splits) .

```

```

} note stat = this

show oor5  $\Delta 1$   $\Delta 1'$   $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 3$   $\Delta e$   $\infty$   $\infty$   $\infty$  ss3' ss4' statA' (nextN ss1) (nextN ss2) (sstatO' statO ss1 ss2)

using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-normal note nn3 = nonspec-normal
  show ?thesis using v4[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)

    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-normal note nn4 = nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nn3 nn4 f4 unfolding ss cfg
    Opt.eqAct-def
    apply clarsimp using cases-14[of pc3] apply(elim disjE)

```

```

subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
subgoal by (simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
subgoal using  $xx-0$ -cases[of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
subgoal apply (rule oor5I1) by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
subgoal apply (rule oor5I1) by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
subgoal using  $xx-NN$ -cases[of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs) .
subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs hh)
subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs hh)
subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs hh)
subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
subgoal by (rule oor5I1, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
by (rule oor5I5, simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta e$ -defs)
qed
next
case nonspec-mispred note nm3 = nonspec-mispred
show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)

  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat nm3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred note nm4 = nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1$  stat v3 v4 nm3 nm4 unfolding ss cfg
apply clarsimp
using cases-14 [of pc3] apply (elim disjE)
prefer 4 subgoal using  $xx-0$ -cases[of vs3] apply (elim disjE)
  subgoal by (rule oor5I2, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)

```

```

      subgoal by(rule oor5I2, auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs) .
    prefer 6 subgoal using xx-NN-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
      subgoal apply(rule oor5I3) by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
      subgoal apply(rule oor5I4) by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs  $\Delta 3$ -defs) .
    by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

lemma *step2: unwindIntoCond* $\Delta 2$ (*oor3* $\Delta 2$ $\Delta 2'$ $\Delta 1$)

proof(rule *unwindIntoCond-simpleI*)

fix *n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

assume *r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2*

and $\Delta 2$: $\Delta 2$ *n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO*

obtain *pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3* **where** *ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)*

by (*cases ss3, auto*)

obtain *pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4* **where** *ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)*

by (*cases ss4, auto*)

obtain *cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1* **where** *ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)*

by (*cases ss1, auto*)

obtain *cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2* **where** *ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)*

by (*cases ss2, auto*)

note *ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2*

obtain *pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3* **where**

lcfgs3: last cfgs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)

by (*cases last cfgs3*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

obtain *pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4* **where**

lcfgs4: last cfgs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)

by (*cases last cfgs4*) (*metis state.collapse vstore.collapse*)

note *lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4*

have *f1: \neg finalN ss1*

using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** *ss $\Delta 2$ -def*

apply *clarsimp*

by(*frule common-implies, simp*)

have *f2: \neg finalN ss2*

using $\Delta 2$ **unfolding** *ss $\Delta 2$ -def*

apply *clarsimp*

by(*frule common-implies, simp*)

```

have f3:¬finalS ss3
  using Δ2 unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule Δ2-implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-if-spec)

have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using Δ2 unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule Δ2-implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-if-spec)

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

then have lpc3:pcOf (last cfgs3) = 12 ∨
  pcOf (last cfgs3) = 3 ∨
  pcOf (last cfgs3) = 4 ∨
  pcOf (last cfgs3) = 13
  using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-defs by simp

have sec3[simp]:¬ isSecO ss3
  using Δ2 finals unfolding ss isSecO-def
  by(simp add: Δ2-defs, metis list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

have sec4[simp]:¬ isSecO ss4
  using Δ2 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ2-defs, metis list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

have stat[simp]:∧s3' s4' statA'. statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4 ⇒
  validTransO (ss3, s3') ⇒ validTransO (ss4, s4') ⇒
  (statA = statA' ∨ statO = Diff)
subgoal for ss3' ss4'
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  using Δ2 finals unfolding ss apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add: Δ2-defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) by (cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI) .

have xx:vs3 xx = vs4 xx using Δ2 lcfgs unfolding ss Δ2-defs apply clarsimp
  by (metis cfigs-Suc-zero config.sel(2) list.set-intros(1) state.sel(1) vstore.sel)

have oor3-rule:∧ss3' ss4'. ss3 →S ss3' ⇒ ss4 →S ss4' ⇒
  (pcOf (last cfgs3) = 12 → oor3 Δ2 Δ2' Δ1 ∞ 3 3 ss3' ss4'

```

```

(ssstatA' statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO
  ∧ (pcOf (last cfigs3) = 3 ∧ mispred pstate4 [7, 3] → oor3 Δ2 Δ2'
Δ1 ∞ 2 2 ss3' ss4' (ssstatA' statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO)
  ∧ (pcOf (last cfigs3) = 3 ∧ ¬mispred pstate4 [7, 3] → oor3 Δ2
Δ2' Δ1 ∞ 1 1 ss3' ss4' (ssstatA' statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO)
  ∧ ((pcOf (last cfigs3) = 4 ∨ pcOf (last cfigs3) = 13) → oor3 Δ2
Δ2' Δ1 ∞ 0 0 ss3' ss4' (ssstatA' statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO) ⇒
  ∃ w1' < w1. ∃ w2' < w2. oor3 Δ2 Δ2' Δ1 ∞ w1' w2' ss3' ss4'
(ssstatA' statA ss3 ss4) ss1 ss2 statO
  subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply (cases ss3', cases ss4')
  subgoal for pstate3' cfig3' cfigs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3'
    pstate4' cfig4' cfigs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4'
  subgoal premises p using lpc3 apply-apply (erule disjE)
  subgoal apply (intro exI[of - 3], intro conjI)
  subgoal using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-defs apply clarify
    by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) numeral-ne-infinity)
  apply (intro exI[of - 3], rule conjI)
  subgoal using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-defs apply clarify
    by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) numeral-ne-infinity)
  using p by (simp add: p)
  apply (erule disjE)
  subgoal apply (cases mispred pstate4 [7, 3])
  subgoal apply (intro exI[of - 2], intro conjI)
  using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-defs apply clarify
    apply (metis enat-ord-number(2) eval-nat-numeral(3) lessI)
  apply (intro exI[of - 2], rule conjI)
  using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-defs apply clarify
    apply (metis enat-ord-number(2) eval-nat-numeral(3) lessI)
  using Δ2 p unfolding ss Δ2-defs by clarify
  subgoal apply (intro exI[of - 1], intro conjI)
  using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-defs apply clarify
    apply (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(77))
  apply (intro exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
  using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-defs apply clarify
    apply (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(77))
  using Δ2 p unfolding ss Δ2-defs by clarify .
  subgoal apply (intro exI[of - 0], intro conjI)
  using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-defs apply clarify
    apply (metis less-numeral-extra(1))
  apply (intro exI[of - 0], rule conjI)
  using Δ2 unfolding ss Δ2-defs apply clarify
    apply (metis less-numeral-extra(1))
  using Δ2 p unfolding ss Δ2-defs by clarify . . . .

```

```

show react (oor3 Δ2 Δ2' Δ1) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding react-def proof (intro conjI)

```

```

show match1 (oor3 Δ2 Δ2' Δ1) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

```

```

unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match12 (oor3  $\Delta 2$   $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
apply(rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1)
subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4')
  subgoal for pstate3' cfg3' cfs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3'
    pstate4' cfg4' cfs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4'
  apply-apply(rule oor3-rule, assumption+, intro conjI impI)

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis
    using  $\Delta 2$  premi(6) unfolding ss apply (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs, clarsimp)
    by (meson doubleton-eq-iff numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(89) semiring-norm(90))
next
  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
show ?thesis using premi(2)[unfolded ss premi] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)

```



```

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
  case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
  have pc4:pc4 = 12 using  $\Delta 2$  prem lcfs unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs by auto
  show ?thesis
  using  $\Delta 2$  prem sn3 sn4 finals stat unfolding ss prem(4,5) lcfs
  apply-apply(frule  $\Delta 2$ -implies, unfold  $\Delta 2$ -defs) apply clarsimp
  apply(rule oor3I1) apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs pc4)
  using final-def config.sel(2) last-in-set
  lcfs state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel xx
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed
qed

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis cfs-map)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis
  using  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) resolve-73
  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs using cfs-map misSpecL1-def
  by (clarify,smt (z3) insert-commute list.simps(15) resolve.simps)
next
  case spec-mispred note sm3 = spec-mispred
  show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frul
 $\Delta 2$ -implies)
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sm3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
  case spec-mispred note sm4 = spec-mispred
  have pc:pc4 = 3
  using prem(6) lcfs  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss apply-apply(frul  $\Delta 2$ -implies)
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs )
show ?thesis apply(rule oor3I2)
  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ '-def using xx-0-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
  subgoal using  $\Delta 2$  lcfs prem pc sm3 sm4 xx finals stat unfolding ss
  apply- apply(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ '-defs, clarify)
  apply(intro conjI)
  subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
final-def)
  subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
  subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
  subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
  subgoal by (smt(verit) prem(1) prem(2) ss)
  subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel) .
  subgoal using  $\Delta 2$  lcfs prem pc sm3 sm4 xx finals stat unfolding ss
  apply- apply(simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ '-defs, clarify)
  apply(intro conjI)
  subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
final-def)
  subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
  subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
  subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2))
  subgoal by (smt(verit) prem(1) prem(2) ss)
  subgoal by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel) . .
qed
qed

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next

```

```

    case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis
  using  $\Delta 2$  prem(6) resolve-73
  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2$ -defs using cfgs-map misSpecL1-def
  by (clarify, smt (z3) insert-commute list.simps(15) resolve.simps)
next
  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using sn3  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis last-map)
next
  case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
show ?thesis
  using  $\Delta 2$  lcfs prem sn3 sn4 finals unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frul  $\Delta 2$ -implies, unfold  $\Delta 2$ -defs) apply clarsimp
  apply(rule oor3I1)
  using xx-0-cases[of vs3] apply(elim disjE)
  subgoal apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs, clarify)
  using config.sel(2) last-in-set stat state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Opt.final-def config.sel(1) eval-nat-numeral(3)
f3 f4 is-Output-1 le-imp-less-Suc le-refl nat-less-le ss)
  subgoal apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs, clarify)

```

```

    using config.sel(2) last-in-set stat state.sel(1,2) vstore.sel
    apply(intro conjI,unfold config.sel(1))
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
    subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
    subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
    subgoal by (metis array-baseSimp)
    subgoal by (smt (verit) Opt.final-def ss)
      apply (smt (verit) cfigs-Suc-zero lcfgs list.set-intros(1))
      apply (smt (verit) cfigs-Suc-zero lcfgs list.set-intros(1))
    apply presburger
    apply (smt (verit) insertCI list.simps(15) resolve.elims(3) resolve-74
resolve-127)
      by linarith .
    qed

  subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
  proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)

    next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem(5)] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
    next
    case spec-normal

```

```

    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis)
  next
    case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis)
  next
    case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs,
metis)
  next
    case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
    show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 2$  prem sr3 sr4
    unfolding ss lcfgs apply-
    apply(rule  $\Delta 2$ -implies) apply (simp add:  $\Delta 2$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    apply(rule oor3I3, simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
    by (metis prem(1) prem(2) ss)
  qed
qed. . .

qed
qed

```

```

lemma step3: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 3$  (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ )
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 3$ :  $\Delta 3$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
lcfgs3: last cfgs3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases last cfgs3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
lcfgs4: last cfgs4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)

```

```

by (cases last cfgs4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
note lcfgs = lcfgs3 lcfgs4

obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

have f1:¬finalN ss1
using Δ3 unfolding ss Δ3-def
apply clarsimp
by(frule common-implies, simp)

have f2:¬finalN ss2
using Δ3 unfolding ss Δ3-def
apply clarsimp
by(frule common-implies, simp)

have f3:¬finalS ss3
  using Δ3 unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule Δ3-implies)
  using finalS-if-spec by force

have f4:¬finalS ss4
  using Δ3 unfolding ss
  apply-apply(frule Δ3-implies)
  using finalS-if-spec by force

note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

then have lpc3:pcOf (last cfgs3) = 7 ∨
          pcOf (last cfgs3) = 8
  using Δ3 unfolding ss Δ3-defs by simp

have sec3[simp]:¬ isSecO ss3
  using Δ3 unfolding ss by (simp add: Δ3-defs, metis list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

have sec4[simp]:¬ isSecO ss4
  using Δ3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add: Δ3-defs, metis list.size(3) map-is-Nil-conv nat.distinct(1))

have stat[simp]:∧s3' s4' statA'. statA' = sstatA' statA ss3 ss4 ⇒
          validTransO (ss3, s3') ⇒ validTransO (ss4, s4') ⇒
          (statA = statA' ∨ statO = Diff)

```

```

subgoal for  $ss3' ss4'$ 
  apply (cases  $ss3$ , cases  $ss4$ , cases  $ss1$ , cases  $ss2$ )
  apply (cases  $ss3'$ , cases  $ss4'$ , clarsimp)
  using  $\Delta3$  finals unfolding  $ss$  apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta3$ -defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all) by (cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI) .

have  $vs3\ xx = vs4\ xx$  using  $\Delta3$  lcfgs unfolding  $ss$   $\Delta3$ -defs apply clarsimp
  by (metis cfigs-Suc-zero config.sel(2) list.set-intros(1) state.sel(1) vstore.sel)

then have  $a1x:(array-loc\ aa1\ (nat\ (vs4\ xx))\ avst4) =$ 
  (array-loc aa1 (nat (vs3 xx)) avst3)
  using  $\Delta3$  lcfgs unfolding  $ss$   $\Delta3$ -defs array-loc-def apply clarsimp
  by (metis Zero-not-Suc config.sel(2) last-in-set list.size(3) state.sel(2))

have oor2-rule: $\bigwedge ss3' ss4'. ss3 \rightarrow_S ss3' \implies ss4 \rightarrow_S ss4' \implies$ 
  (pcOf (last cfigs3) = 7  $\rightarrow$  oor  $\Delta3$   $\Delta1$   $\infty$  2 2  $ss3' ss4'$  (sstatA'
statA  $ss3 ss4$ )  $ss1 ss2 statO$ )
   $\wedge$  (pcOf (last cfigs3) = 8  $\rightarrow$  oor  $\Delta3$   $\Delta1$   $\infty$  1 1  $ss3' ss4'$  (sstatA'
statA  $ss3 ss4$ )  $ss1 ss2 statO$ ) $\implies$ 
   $\exists w1' < w1. \exists w2' < w2. oor\ \Delta3\ \Delta1\ \infty\ w1'\ w2'\ ss3'\ ss4'$  (sstatA'
statA  $ss3 ss4$ )  $ss1 ss2 statO$ 
  subgoal for  $ss3' ss4'$  apply(cases  $ss3'$ , cases  $ss4'$ )
  subgoal for  $pstate3' cfig3' cfigs3' ib3' ls3'$ 
     $pstate4' cfig4' cfigs4' ib4' ls4'$ 
  using lpc3 apply(elim disjE)

subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 2], intro conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta3$  unfolding  $ss$   $\Delta3$ -defs apply clarify
  by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) numeral-ne-infinity)
apply(intro exI[of - 2], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta3$  unfolding  $ss$   $\Delta3$ -defs apply clarify
  by (metis enat-ord-simps(4) numeral-ne-infinity)
by simp

subgoal apply(intro exI[of - 1], intro conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta3$  unfolding  $ss$   $\Delta3$ -defs apply clarify
  by (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76))
apply(intro exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta3$  unfolding  $ss$   $\Delta3$ -defs apply clarify
  by (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76))
by simp . . .

show react (oor  $\Delta3$   $\Delta1$ )  $w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

show match1 (oor  $\Delta3$   $\Delta1$ )  $w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2 (oor  $\Delta3$   $\Delta1$ )  $w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO$ 

```

```

    unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
  show match12 (oor  $\Delta 3$   $\Delta 1$ ) w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  apply(rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1)
  subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4')
    subgoal for pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ibT3' ibUT3' ls3'
      pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ibT4' ibUT4' ls4'
    apply-apply(rule oor2-rule, assumption+, intro conjI impI)

  subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
  proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

  next
    case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

  next
    case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis
    using  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) resolve-127
    unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -defs by (clarify,metis cfigs-map misSpecL1-def)
  next
    case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis
  using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
    case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
    case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs, metis config.sel(1) last-map)
  next
    case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs, metis config.sel(1) last-map)

```



```

next
  case spec-resolve
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal note sn4 = spec-normal
  show ?thesis
  apply(intro oorI1)
  unfolding ss  $\Delta 3$ -def prem(4,5) apply- apply(clarify,intro conjI)
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-14[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
  apply simp-all by (metis config.sel(2) last-in-set state.sel(2) Dist-ignore
a1x )+
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss prem(4,5)
hh
  apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-14[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
  apply simp-all
  by (metis config.collapse config.inject last-in-set state.sel(1) vstore.sel)+
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss prem(4,5)
hh
  apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs prem(1,2) sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  using cases-14[of pc3] apply simp apply(elim disjE)
  by simp-all
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
using cases-14[of pc3] apply (simp add: array-loc-def) apply(elim disjE)
  by (simp-all add: array-loc-def)
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
using cases-14[of pc3] apply (simp add: array-loc-def) apply(elim disjE)
  by (simp-all add: array-loc-def)
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  subgoal using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sn3 sn4 prem(6) unfolding ss hh
  apply- apply(frule  $\Delta 3$ -implies) by(simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs) .
qed
qed
subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss prem(4)]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)

```

```

next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem(6) unfolding ss by (auto simp
add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs)
next
  case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  lcfgs sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 3$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-normal
show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta 3$  prem sr3 sr4
unfolding ss lcfgs apply-
apply(frul  $\Delta 3$ -implies) apply (simp add:  $\Delta 3$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
apply(rule oorI2, simp add:  $\Delta 1$ -defs local.spec-resolve)
by (metis prem(1) ss3)
qed qed . . .
qed
qed

```

lemma step4: unwindIntoCond $\Delta 1'$ $\Delta 1$

```

proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 1'$ :  $\Delta 1'$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
  cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
  cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  have f1: $\neg$ finalN ss1
  using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -def
  apply clarsimp
  by(frule common-implies, simp)

  have f2: $\neg$ finalN ss2
  using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 1'$ -def
  apply clarsimp
  by(frule common-implies, simp)

  have f3: $\neg$ finalS ss3
  using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
  apply—apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-while-spec)

  have f4: $\neg$ finalS ss4
  using  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
  apply—apply(frule  $\Delta 1'$ -implies)
  by (simp add: finalS-while-spec)

```

note $finals = f1\ f2\ f3\ f4$
show $finalS\ ss3 = finalS\ ss4 \wedge finalN\ ss1 = finalS\ ss3 \wedge finalN\ ss2 = finalS\ ss4$
using $finals$ **by** $auto$

then show $isIntO\ ss3 = isIntO\ ss4$ **by** $simp$

have $match12\ aux$:

$(\wedge s1'\ s2'\ statA'$
 $statA' = sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4 \implies$
 $validTransO\ (ss3,\ s1') \implies$
 $validTransO\ (ss4,\ s2') \implies$
 $Opt.eqAct\ ss3\ ss4 \implies$
 $(\neg isSecO\ ss3 \wedge \neg isSecO\ ss4 \wedge$
 $(statA = statA' \vee statO = Diff) \wedge$
 $\Delta1 \infty 1\ 1\ s1'\ s2'\ statA'\ ss1\ ss2\ statO))$
 $\implies match12\ \Delta1\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$
apply $(rule\ match12\ simpleI,\ rule\ disjI1)$

apply $(rule\ exI[of - 1],\ rule\ conjI)$
subgoal using $\Delta1'$ **unfolding** $ss\ \Delta1'$ -**defs** **apply** $clarify$
by $(metis\ enat\ ord_simps(4)\ infinity\ ne\ i1)$
apply $(rule\ exI[of - 1],\ rule\ conjI)$
subgoal using $\Delta1'$ **unfolding** $ss\ \Delta1'$ -**defs** **apply** $clarify$
by $(metis\ enat\ ord_simps(4)\ infinity\ ne\ i1)$
by $auto$

show $react\ \Delta1\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$
unfolding $react\ def$ **proof** $(intro\ conjI)$

show $match1\ \Delta1\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$
unfolding $match1\ def$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ finalS\ def\ final\ def)$
show $match2\ \Delta1\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$
unfolding $match2\ def$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ finalS\ def\ final\ def)$
show $match12\ \Delta1\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$
proof $(rule\ match12\ aux,\ intro\ conjI)$
fix $ss3'\ ss4'\ statA'$
assume $statA'$: $statA' = sstatA'\ statA\ ss3\ ss4$
and v : $validTransO\ (ss3,\ ss3')\ validTransO\ (ss4,\ ss4')$
and sa : $Opt.eqAct\ ss3\ ss4$
note $v3 = v(1)$ **note** $v4 = v(2)$

obtain $pstate3'\ cfg3'\ cfs3'\ ibT3'\ ibUT3'\ ls3'$ **where** $ss3'$: $ss3' = (pstate3',$
 $cfg3',\ cfs3',\ ibT3',\ ibUT3',\ ls3')$
by $(cases\ ss3',\ auto)$
obtain $pstate4'\ cfg4'\ cfs4'\ ibT4'\ ibUT4'\ ls4'$ **where** $ss4'$: $ss4' = (pstate4',$
 $cfg4',\ cfs4',\ ibT4',\ ibUT4',\ ls4')$
by $(cases\ ss4',\ auto)$
note $ss = ss\ ss3'\ ss4'$

```

obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by(cases h3, auto)
obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by(cases h4, auto)
note hh = h3 h4

show  $\neg$  isSecO ss3
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,metis list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

show  $\neg$  isSecO ss4
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
  by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,metis list.size(3) n-not-Suc-n)

show stat: statA = statA'  $\vee$  statO = Diff

  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$ 
  apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
  apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
  using v sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss statA' apply clarsimp
  apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs sstatA'-def)
  apply(cases statO, simp-all)
  apply(cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI)
  unfolding finalS-def final-def
  using One-nat-def less-numeral-extra(4)
    less-one list.size(3) map-is-Nil-conv
  by (smt (verit) status.exhaust newStat.simps)

show  $\Delta 1 \infty 1 1$  ss3' ss4' statA' ss1 ss2 statO
  using v3[unfolded ss, simplified] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
    next
      case nonspec-mispred
        then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  stat unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
        next
          case spec-Fence
            then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
              apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarify, elim disjE)
              by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
            next
              case spec-mispred
                then show ?thesis using sa  $\Delta 1'$  unfolding ss
                  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarify, elim disjE)
                  by (simp-all add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
                next
                  case spec-normal note sn3 = spec-normal
                    show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sn3(2) unfolding ss

```

```

    apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs, clarsimp)
    by (smt (z3) insert-commute)
next
  case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
show ?thesis using v4 [unfolded ss, simplified] proof (cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
  next
  case spec-normal
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
  next
  case spec-Fence
  then show ?thesis using  $\Delta 1'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs,
metis)
  next
  case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
  show ?thesis
  using sa stat  $\Delta 1'$  v3 v4 sr3 sr4 unfolding ss hh
  apply (simp add:  $\Delta 1'$ -defs  $\Delta 1$ -defs)
  by (metis atLeastAtMost-iff atLeastatMost-empty-iff empty-iff empty-set
nat-le-linear numeral-le-iff semiring-norm (68,69,72)
length-1-butlast length-map in-set-butlastD)
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma step5: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta 2'$   $\Delta 2$ 
proof (rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
  fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
  assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
  and  $\Delta 2'$ :  $\Delta 2'$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

  obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
  by (cases ss3, auto)
  obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,

```

```

ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
  by (cases ss4, auto)
  obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
  by (cases ss1, auto)
  obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
  by (cases ss2, auto)
  note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

  obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
    cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
  by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  obtain pc4 vs4 avst4 h4 p4 where
    cfg4: cfg4 = Config pc4 (State (Vstore vs4) avst4 h4 p4)
  by (cases cfg4) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)
  note cfg = cfg3 cfg4

  obtain hh3 where h3: h3 = Heap hh3 by (cases h3, auto)
  obtain hh4 where h4: h4 = Heap hh4 by (cases h4, auto)
  note hh = h3 h4

  have f1: ¬finalN ss1
  using Δ2' unfolding ss Δ2'-def
  apply clarsimp
  by (frule common-implies, simp)

  have f2: ¬finalN ss2
  using Δ2' unfolding ss Δ2'-def
  apply clarsimp
  by (frule common-implies, simp)

  have f3: ¬finalS ss3
  using Δ2' unfolding ss
  apply-apply (frule Δ2'-implies)
  using finalS-while-spec-L2 by force

  have f4: ¬finalS ss4
  using Δ2' unfolding ss
  apply-apply (frule Δ2'-implies)
  using finalS-while-spec-L2 by force

  note finals = f1 f2 f3 f4
  show finalS ss3 = finalS ss4 ∧ finalN ss1 = finalS ss3 ∧ finalN ss2 = finalS ss4
  using finals by auto

  then show isIntO ss3 = isIntO ss4 by simp

  have sec3[simp]: ¬ isSecO ss3

```

```

using  $\Delta 2'$  unfolding ss
by (simp add:  $\Delta 2'$ -defs, metis list.size(3) zero-neq-numeral)

have sec4[ $\text{simp}$ ]:  $\neg \text{isSecO } ss4$ 
using  $\Delta 2'$  unfolding ss
by (simp add:  $\Delta 2'$ -defs, metis list.size(3) zero-neq-numeral)

have stat[ $\text{simp}$ ]:  $\bigwedge s3' s4' \text{statA}'. \text{statA}' = \text{sstatA}' \text{statA } ss3' ss4' \implies$ 
 $\text{validTransO } (ss3', s3') \implies \text{validTransO } (ss4', s4') \implies$ 
 $(\text{statA} = \text{statA}' \vee \text{statO} = \text{Diff})$ 
subgoal for ss3' ss4'
apply (cases ss3, cases ss4, cases ss1, cases ss2)
apply (cases ss3', cases ss4', clarsimp)
using  $\Delta 2'$  finals unfolding ss apply clarsimp
apply(simp-all add:  $\Delta 2'$ -defs sstatA'-def)
apply(cases statO, simp-all) by (cases statA, simp-all add: newStat-EqI) .

have match12-aux:
( $\bigwedge pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ib3' ibUT3' ls3'$ 
 $pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ib4' ibUT4' ls4' \text{statA}'.$ 
 $(pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3, ibT3, ibUT3, ls3) \rightarrow S (pstate3', cfg3', cfgs3', ib3',$ 
 $ibUT3', ls3') \implies$ 
 $(pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4, ibT4, ibUT4, ls4) \rightarrow S (pstate4', cfg4', cfgs4', ib4',$ 
 $ibUT4', ls4') \implies$ 
 $\text{Opt.eqAct } ss3' ss4' \implies \text{statA}' = \text{sstatA}' \text{statA } ss3' ss4' \implies$ 
 $(\Delta 2 \infty 1 1 (pstate3', cfg3', cfgs3', ib3', ibUT3', ls3') (pstate4', cfg4', cfgs4',$ 
 $ib4', ibUT4', ls4') \text{statA}' ss1' ss2' \text{statO}))$ 
 $\implies \text{match12 } \Delta 2 w1 w2 ss3' ss4' \text{statA } ss1' ss2' \text{statO}$ 
apply(rule match12-simpleI, simp-all, rule disjI1)

apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 2'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2'$ -defs apply clarify
by (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76))
apply(rule exI[of - 1], rule conjI)
subgoal using  $\Delta 2'$  unfolding ss  $\Delta 2'$ -defs apply clarify
by (metis one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76))
subgoal for ss3' ss4' apply(cases ss3', cases ss4')
subgoal for pstate3' cfg3' cfgs3' ib3' ibUT3' ls3'
 $pstate4' cfg4' cfgs4' ib4' ibUT4' ls4'$ 
using ss3' ss4' by blast . .

show react  $\Delta 2 w1 w2 ss3' ss4' \text{statA } ss1' ss2' \text{statO}$ 
unfolding react-def proof(intro conjI)

show match1  $\Delta 2 w1 w2 ss3' ss4' \text{statA } ss1' ss2' \text{statO}$ 
unfolding match1-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)
show match2  $\Delta 2 w1 w2 ss3' ss4' \text{statA } ss1' ss2' \text{statO}$ 
unfolding match2-def by (simp add: finalS-def final-def)

```



```

show match12  $\Delta_2$  w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
apply(rule match12-aux)

subgoal premises prem using prem(1)[unfolded ss ]
proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta_2'$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta_2'$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta_2'$  unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta_2'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta_2'$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta_2'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta_2'$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta_2'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta_2'$  prem unfolding ss by (auto simp add:
 $\Delta_2'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve note sr3 = spec-resolve
show ?thesis using prem(2)[unfolded ss prem] proof(cases rule: stepS-cases)
  case nonspec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta_2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta_2'$ -defs)
  next
  case nonspec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta_2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta_2'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-mispred
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta_2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta_2'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-normal
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta_2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta_2'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-Fence
    then show ?thesis using stat  $\Delta_2'$  sr3 unfolding ss by (simp add:
 $\Delta_2'$ -defs)
  next
  case spec-resolve note sr4 = spec-resolve
show ?thesis

```

```

using stat  $\Delta 2'$  prem sr3 sr4 unfolding ss
apply(simp add:  $\Delta 2'$ -defs  $\Delta 2$ -defs)
apply(intro conjI)
apply (metis last-map map-butlast map-is-Nil-conv)
apply (metis image-subset-iff in-set-butlastD)
apply(metis) apply(metis) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis prem(1) prem(2) ss3 ss4)
apply (metis in-set-butlastD) apply (metis in-set-butlastD)
apply (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) butlast.simps(2) last-ConsL last-map
length-0-conv length-map map-L2 map-butlast not-Cons-self2)
apply clarify apply(elim disjE)
apply (metis map-L2 butlast.simps(2) last.simps last-map list.simps(8)

map-butlast not-Cons-self2 numeral-eq-iff semiring-norm(88))

by (metis map-L2 butlast.simps(2) last.simps last-map list.simps(8)
map-butlast image-constant-conv not-Cons-self2 image-subset-iff
list.set-intros(1,2) list.simps(15) resolve.simps resolve-127
set-empty2 subset-insertI resolve-73 numeral-eq-iff )+
qed
qed .
qed
qed

```

```

lemma stepe: unwindIntoCond  $\Delta e$   $\Delta e$ 
proof(rule unwindIntoCond-simpleI)
fix n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO
assume r: reachO ss3 reachO ss4 reachV ss1 reachV ss2
and  $\Delta e$ :  $\Delta e$  n w1 w2 ss3 ss4 statA ss1 ss2 statO

obtain pstate3 cfg3 cfgs3 ibT3 ibUT3 ls3 where ss3: ss3 = (pstate3, cfg3, cfgs3,
ibT3, ibUT3, ls3)
by (cases ss3, auto)
obtain pstate4 cfg4 cfgs4 ibT4 ibUT4 ls4 where ss4: ss4 = (pstate4, cfg4, cfgs4,
ibT4, ibUT4, ls4)
by (cases ss4, auto)
obtain cfg1 ibT1 ibUT1 ls1 where ss1: ss1 = (cfg1, ibT1, ibUT1, ls1)
by (cases ss1, auto)
obtain cfg2 ibT2 ibUT2 ls2 where ss2: ss2 = (cfg2, ibT2, ibUT2, ls2)
by (cases ss2, auto)
note ss = ss3 ss4 ss1 ss2

obtain pc3 vs3 avst3 h3 p3 where
cfg3: cfg3 = Config pc3 (State (Vstore vs3) avst3 h3 p3)
by (cases cfg3) (metis state.collapse vstore.collapse)

```

```

obtain  $pc4\ vs4\ avst4\ h4\ p4$  where
 $cfg4$ :  $cfg4 = Config\ pc4\ (State\ (Vstore\ vs4)\ avst4\ h4\ p4)$ 
by ( $cases\ cfg4$ ) ( $metis\ state.collapse\ vstore.collapse$ )
note  $cfg = cfg3\ cfg4$ 

obtain  $hh3$  where  $h3$ :  $h3 = Heap\ hh3$  by( $cases\ h3, auto$ )
obtain  $hh4$  where  $h4$ :  $h4 = Heap\ hh4$  by( $cases\ h4, auto$ )
note  $hh = h3\ h4$ 

show  $finalS\ ss3 = finalS\ ss4 \wedge finalN\ ss1 = finalS\ ss3 \wedge finalN\ ss2 = finalS\ ss4$ 
using  $\Delta e\ Opt.final-def\ finalS-def\ stepS-endPC\ endPC-def\ finalB-endPC$ 
unfolding  $\Delta e-defs\ ss$  by  $clarsimp$ 

then show  $isIntO\ ss3 = isIntO\ ss4$  by  $simp$ 

show  $react\ \Delta e\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
unfolding  $react-def$  proof( $intro\ conjI$ )

  show  $match1\ \Delta e\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
  unfolding  $match1-def$  by ( $simp\ add: finalS-def\ final-def$ )
  show  $match2\ \Delta e\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
  unfolding  $match2-def$  by ( $simp\ add: finalS-def\ final-def$ )
  show  $match12\ \Delta e\ w1\ w2\ ss3\ ss4\ statA\ ss1\ ss2\ statO$ 
  apply( $rule\ match12-simpleI$ ) using  $\Delta e$  unfolding  $ss$ 
  by ( $simp\ add: \Delta e-defs\ stepS-endPC$ )
qed
qed

```

lemmas $theConds = step0\ step1\ step2\ step3\ step4\ step5\ stepe$

proposition $lrsecure$

proof–

```

define  $m$  where  $m$ :  $m \equiv (7::nat)$ 
define  $\Delta s$  where  $\Delta s$ :  $\Delta s \equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
   $if\ i = 0\ then\ \Delta 0$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 1\ then\ \Delta 1$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 2\ then\ \Delta 2$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 3\ then\ \Delta 3$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 4\ then\ \Delta 1'$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 5\ then\ \Delta 2'$ 
   $else\ \Delta e$ 
define  $nxt$  where  $nxt$ :  $nxt \equiv \lambda i::nat.$ 
   $if\ i = 0\ then\ \{0,1::nat\}$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 1\ then\ \{1,4,2,3,6\}$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 2\ then\ \{2,5,1\}$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 3\ then\ \{3,1\}$ 
   $else\ if\ i = 4\ then\ \{1\}$ 

```

```

else if i = 5 then {2}
else {6}
show ?thesis apply(rule distrib-unwind-lrsecure[of m next Δs])
  subgoal unfolding m by auto
  subgoal unfolding next m by auto
  subgoal using init unfolding Δs by auto
  subgoal
    unfolding m next Δs apply (simp split: if-splits)
    using theConds
    unfolding oor-def oor3-def oor4-def oor5-def by auto .
qed

```

end

[3] [1]

References

- [1] K. Cheang, C. Rasmussen, S. A. Seshia, and P. Subramanyan, “A formal approach to secure speculation,” in *CSF*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 288–303. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1109/CSF.2019.00027>
- [2] B. Dongol, M. Griffin, A. Popescu, and J. Wright, “Relative security: Formally modeling and (dis)proving resilience against semantic optimization vulnerabilities,” in *2024 IEEE 37th Computer Security Foundations Symposium (CSF)*. Los Alamitos, CA, USA: IEEE Computer Society, jul 2024, pp. 409–424. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.ieeecomputersociety.org/10.1109/CSF61375.2024.00027>
- [3] T. Nipkow and G. Klein, *Concrete Semantics: With Isabelle/HOL*. Springer, 2014.