The IMAP CmRDT

Tim Jungnickel, Lennart Oldenburg, Matthias Loibl

April 19, 2020

Abstract

We provide our Isabelle/HOL formalization of a Conflict-free Replicated Data Type for Internet Message Access Protocol commands. To this end, we show that Strong Eventual Consistency (SEC) is guaranteed by proving the commutativity of concurrent operations. We base our formalization on the recently proposed "framework for establishing Strong Eventual Consistency for Conflict-free Replicated Datatypes" (AFP.CRDT) by Gomes et al. Hence, we provide an additional example of how the recently proposed framework can be used to design and prove CRDTs.

Contents

1 Preface

A Conflict-free Replicated Data Type (CRDT) [5] ensures convergence of replicas without requiring a central coordination server or even a distributed coordination system based on consensus or locking. Despite the fact that Shapiro et al. provide a comprehensive collection of definitions for the most useful data types such as registers, sets, and lists [4], we observe that the use of CRDTs in standard IT services is rather uncommon. Therefore, we use the Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP)—the de-facto standard protocol to retrieve and manipulate mail messages on an email server—as an example to show the feasibility of using CRDTs for replicating state of a standard IT service to achieve planetary scale.

Designing a *correct* CRDT is a challenging task. A CmRDT, the operation-based variant of a CRDT, requires all operations to commute. To this end, Gomes et al. recently published a CmRDT verification framework [1] in Isabelle/HOL.

In our most recent work [3], we presented *pluto*, our research prototype of a planetary-scale IMAP service. To achieve the claimed planet-scale, we designed a CmRDT that provides multi-leader replication of mailboxes without the need of synchronous operations. In order to ensure the correctness of our proposed IMAP CmRDT, we implemented it in the verification framework proposed by Gomes et al.

In this work, we present our Isabelle/HOL proof of the necessary properties and show that our CmRDT indeed guarantees Strong Eventual Consistency (SEC). We contribute not only the certainty that our CmRDT design is correct, but also provide one more example of how the verification framework can be used to prove the correctness of a CRDT.

1.1 The IMAP CmRDT

In the rest of this work, we show how we modeled our IMAP CmRDT in Isabelle/HOL. We start by presenting the original IMAP CmRDT, followed by the implementation details of the Isabelle/HOL formalization. The presentation of our CmRDT in Spec. 1 is based on the syntax introduced in [4]. We highly recommend reading the foundational work by Shapiro et al. prior to following our proof documentation.

In essence, the IMAP CmRDT represents the state of a mailbox, containing folders (of type $\mathcal{N}$) and messages (of type $\mathcal{M}$). Moreover, we introduce metadata in form of tags (of type `ID`). All modeling details and a more detailed description of the CmRDT are provided in the original paper [3].

The only notable difference between the presented specification and our Isabelle/HOL formalization is, that we no longer distinguish between sets `ID` and $\mathcal{M}$ and that the generated tags of *create* and *expunge* are handled explicitly. This makes the formalization slightly easier, because less type variables are introduced. The concrete definition can be found in the *IMAP-CRDT Definitions* section of the `IMAP-def.thy` file.

1.2 Proof Guide

Hint: In our proof, we build on top of the definitions given by Gomes et al. in [2]. We strongly recommend to read their paper first before following our proof. In fact, in our formalization we reuse the *locales* of the proposed framework and therefore this work cannot be compiled without the reference to [1].

Operation-based CRDTs require all concurrent operations to commute in order to ensure convergence. Therefore, we begin our verification by proving the commutativity of every combination of possible concurrent operations. Initially, we used *nitpick* to identify corner cases in our implementation. We prove the commutativity in Section 3 of the `IMAP-proof-commute.thy` file. The *critical conditions* to satisfy in order to commute, can be summarized as follows:

- The tags of a *create* and *expunge* operation or the messages of an *append* and *store* operation are never in the removed-set of a concurrent *delete* operation.
- The message of an *append* operation is never the message that is deleted by a concurrent *store* or *expunge* operation.
- The message inserted by a *store* operation is never the message that is deleted by a concurrent *store* or *expunge* operation.

The identified conditions obviously hold in regular traces of our system, because an item that has been inserted by one operation cannot be deleted by a concurrent operation. It simply cannot be present at the time of the initiation of the concurrent operation.

Specification 1 The IMAP CmRDT

1: **payload** map $u : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{P}(\texttt{ID}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ ▷ $\{\text{foldername } f \mapsto (\{\text{tag } t\}, \{\text{msg } m\}), \dots\}$
2: initial $(\lambda x.(\varnothing, \varnothing))$
3: **update** *create* (foldername f)
4: **atSource**
5: let $\alpha = \mathit{unique}()$
6: **downstream** (f, α)
7: $u(f) \mapsto (u(f)_1 \cup \{\alpha\}, u(f)_2)$
8: **update** *delete* (foldername f)
9: **atSource** (f)
10: let $R_1 = u(f)_1$
11: let $R_2 = u(f)_2$
12: **downstream** (f, R_1, R_2)
13: $u(f) \mapsto (u(f)_1 \setminus R_1, u(f)_2 \setminus R_2)$
14: **update** *append* (foldername f, message m)
15: **atSource** (m)
16: **pre** m is globally unique
17: **downstream** (f, m)
18: $u(f) \mapsto (u(f)_1, u(f)_2 \cup \{m\})$
19: **update** *expunge* (foldername f, message m)
20: **atSource** (f, m)
21: **pre** $m \in u(f)_2$
22: let $\alpha = \mathit{unique}()$
23: **downstream** (f, m, α)
24: $u(f) \mapsto (u(f)_1 \cup \{\alpha\}, u(f)_2 \setminus \{m\})$
25: **update** *store* (foldername f, message m_{old}, message m_{new})
26: **atSource** (f, m_{old}, m_{new})
27: **pre** $m_{\text{old}} \in u(f)_2$
28: **pre** m_{new} is globally unique
29: **downstream** $(f, m_{\text{old}}, m_{\text{new}})$
30: $u(f) \mapsto (u(f)_1, (u(f)_2 \setminus \{m_{\text{old}}\}) \cup \{m_{\text{new}}\})$

Next, we show that the identified conditions actually hold for all concurrent operations. Because all tags and all inserted messages are globally unique, it can easily be shown that all conditions are satisfied. In Isabelle/HOL, showing this fact takes some effort. Fortunately, we were able to reuse parts of the Isabelle/HOL implementation of the OR-Set proof in [1]. The Isabelle/HOL proofs for the *critical conditions* are encapsulated in the `IMAP-proof-independent.thy` file.

With the introduced lemmas, we prove the final theorem that states that convergence is guaranteed. Due to all operations being commutative in case the *critical conditions* are satisfied and the *critical conditions* indeed are holding for all concurrent updates, all concurrent operations commute. The Isabelle/HOL proof is contained in the `IMAP-proof.thy` file.

2 IMAP-CRDT Definitions

We begin by defining the operations on a mailbox state. In addition to the interpretation of the operations, we define valid behaviours for the operations as assumptions for the network. We

use the `network_with_constrained_ops` locale from the framework.

theory
IMAP−def
imports
CRDT.Network
begin

datatype (*'id*, *'a*) *operation* =
Create 'id 'a |
Delete 'id set 'a |
Append 'id 'a |
Expunge 'a 'id 'id |
Store 'a 'id 'id

type-synonym (*'id*, *'a*) *state* = *'a* ⇒ (*'id set* × *'id set*)

definition *op-elem* :: (*'id*, *'a*) *operation* ⇒ *'a* **where**
op-elem oper ≡ *case oper of*
Create i e ⇒ *e* |
Delete is e ⇒ *e* |
Append i e ⇒ *e* |
Expunge e mo i ⇒ *e* |
Store e mo i ⇒ *e*

definition *interpret-op* :: (*'id*, *'a*) *operation* ⇒ (*'id*, *'a*) *state* ⇀ (*'id*, *'a*) *state*
(⟨-⟩ [*0*] *1000*) **where**
interpret-op oper state ≡
let metadata = *fst* (*state* (*op-elem oper*));
files = *snd* (*state* (*op-elem oper*));
after = *case oper of*
Create i e ⇒ (*metadata* ∪ {*i*}, *files*) |
Delete is e ⇒ (*metadata* − *is*, *files* − *is*) |
Append i e ⇒ (*metadata*, *files* ∪ {*i*}) |
Expunge e mo i ⇒ (*metadata* ∪ {*i*}, *files* − {*mo*}) |
Store e mo i ⇒ (*metadata*, *insert i* (*files* − {*mo*}))
in *Some* (*state* ((*op-elem oper*) := *after*))

In the definition of the valid behaviours of the operations, we define additional assumption the state where the operation is executed. In essence, a the tag of a *create*, *append*, *expunge*, and *store* operation is identical to the message number and therefore unique. A *delete* operation deletes all metadata and the content of a folder. The *store* and *expunge* operations must refer to an existing message.

definition *valid-behaviours* :: (*'id*, *'a*) *state* ⇒ *'id* × (*'id*, *'a*) *operation* ⇒ *bool* **where**
valid-behaviours state msg ≡
case msg of
(*i*, *Create j e*) ⇒ *i* = *j* |
(*i*, *Delete is e*) ⇒ *is* = *fst* (*state e*) ∪ *snd* (*state e*) |
(*i*, *Append j e*) ⇒ *i* = *j* |
(*i*, *Expunge e mo j*) ⇒ *i* = *j* ∧ *mo* ∈ *snd* (*state e*) |

$(i, \mathit{Store}\ e\ mo\ j) \Rightarrow i = j \wedge mo \in \mathit{snd}\ (\mathit{state}\ e)$

locale *imap* = *network-with-constrained-ops* - *interpret-op* $\lambda x.\ (\{\},\{\})$ *valid-behaviours*

end

3 Commutativity of IMAP Commands

In this section we prove the commutativity of operations and identify the edge cases.

theory
IMAP−proof−commute
imports
IMAP−def
begin

lemma (**in** *imap*) *create-create-commute*:
shows $\langle \mathit{Create}\ i1\ e1\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Create}\ i2\ e2\rangle = \langle \mathit{Create}\ i2\ e2\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Create}\ i1\ e1\rangle$
by(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def*, *fastforce*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *create-delete-commute*:
assumes $i \notin is$
shows $\langle \mathit{Create}\ i\ e1\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Delete}\ is\ e2\rangle = \langle \mathit{Delete}\ is\ e2\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Create}\ i\ e1\rangle$
using *assms* **by**(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def*, *fastforce*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *create-append-commute*:
shows $\langle \mathit{Create}\ i1\ e1\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Append}\ i2\ e2\rangle = \langle \mathit{Append}\ i2\ e2\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Create}\ i1\ e1\rangle$
by(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def*, *fastforce*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *create-expunge-commute*:
shows $\langle \mathit{Create}\ i1\ e1\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Expunge}\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle = \langle \mathit{Expunge}\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Create}\ i1\ e1\rangle$
by(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def*, *fastforce*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *create-store-commute*:
shows $\langle \mathit{Create}\ i1\ e1\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Store}\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle = \langle \mathit{Store}\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Create}\ i1\ e1\rangle$
by(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def*, *fastforce*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *delete-delete-commute*:
shows $\langle \mathit{Delete}\ i1\ e1\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Delete}\ i2\ e2\rangle = \langle \mathit{Delete}\ i2\ e2\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Delete}\ i1\ e1\rangle$
by(*unfold interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def*, *fastforce*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *delete-append-commute*:
assumes $i \notin is$
shows $\langle \mathit{Delete}\ is\ e1\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Append}\ i\ e2\rangle = \langle \mathit{Append}\ i\ e2\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Delete}\ is\ e1\rangle$
using *assms* **by**(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def*, *fastforce*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *delete-expunge-commute*:
assumes $i \notin is$
shows $\langle \mathit{Delete}\ is\ e1\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Expunge}\ e2\ mo\ i\rangle = \langle \mathit{Expunge}\ e2\ mo\ i\rangle \triangleright \langle \mathit{Delete}\ is\ e1\rangle$

using *assms* **by**(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def*, *fastforce*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *delete-store-commute*:
assumes $i \notin is$
shows $\langle Delete\ is\ e1\rangle \rhd \langle Store\ e2\ mo\ i\rangle = \langle Store\ e2\ mo\ i\rangle \rhd \langle Delete\ is\ e1\rangle$
using *assms* **by**(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def*, *fastforce*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *append-append-commute*:
shows $\langle Append\ i1\ e1\rangle \rhd \langle Append\ i2\ e2\rangle = \langle Append\ i2\ e2\rangle \rhd \langle Append\ i1\ e1\rangle$
by(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def*, *fastforce*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *append-expunge-commute*:
assumes $i1 \neq mo$
shows $(\langle Append\ i1\ e1\rangle \rhd \langle Expunge\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle) = (\langle Expunge\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle \rhd \langle Append\ i1\ e1\rangle)$
proof
fix x
show $(\langle Append\ i1\ e1\rangle \rhd \langle Expunge\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle)\ x = (\langle Expunge\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle \rhd \langle Append\ i1\ e1\rangle)\ x$
using *assms* **by**(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def*)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *append-store-commute*:
assumes $i1 \neq mo$
shows $(\langle Append\ i1\ e1\rangle \rhd \langle Store\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle) = (\langle Store\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle \rhd \langle Append\ i1\ e1\rangle)$
proof
fix x
show $(\langle Append\ i1\ e1\rangle \rhd \langle Store\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle)\ x = (\langle Store\ e2\ mo\ i2\rangle \rhd \langle Append\ i1\ e1\rangle)\ x$
using *assms* **by**(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def*)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *expunge-expunge-commute*:
shows $(\langle Expunge\ e1\ mo1\ i1\rangle \rhd \langle Expunge\ e2\ mo2\ i2\rangle) = (\langle Expunge\ e2\ mo2\ i2\rangle \rhd \langle Expunge\ e1\ mo1\ i1\rangle)$
proof
fix x
show $(\langle Expunge\ e1\ mo1\ i1\rangle \rhd \langle Expunge\ e2\ mo2\ i2\rangle)\ x$
$= (\langle Expunge\ e2\ mo2\ i2\rangle \rhd \langle Expunge\ e1\ mo1\ i1\rangle)\ x$
by(*auto simp add*: *interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def*) **qed**

lemma (**in** *imap*) *expunge-store-commute*:
assumes $i1 \neq mo2$ **and** $i2 \neq mo1$
shows $(\langle Expunge\ e1\ mo1\ i1\rangle \rhd \langle Store\ e2\ mo2\ i2\rangle) = (\langle Store\ e2\ mo2\ i2\rangle \rhd \langle Expunge\ e1\ mo1\ i1\rangle)$
proof
fix x
show $(\langle Expunge\ e1\ mo1\ i1\rangle \rhd \langle Store\ e2\ mo2\ i2\rangle)\ x = (\langle Store\ e2\ mo2\ i2\rangle \rhd \langle Expunge\ e1\ mo1\ i1\rangle)\ x$
unfolding *interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def* **using** *assms*(*2*) **by** (*simp*, *fastforce*)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *store-store-commute*:
assumes *i1* $\neq$ *mo2* **and** *i2* $\neq$ *mo1*
shows (⟨*Store e1 mo1 i1*⟩ ▷ ⟨*Store e2 mo2 i2*⟩) = (⟨*Store e2 mo2 i2*⟩ ▷ ⟨*Store e1 mo1 i1*⟩)
proof
fix *x*
show (⟨*Store e1 mo1 i1*⟩ ▷ ⟨*Store e2 mo2 i2*⟩) *x* = (⟨*Store e2 mo2 i2*⟩ ▷ ⟨*Store e1 mo1 i1*⟩) *x*
unfolding *interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def* **using** *assms* **by** (*simp*, *fastforce*)
qed

end

4 Proof Helpers

In this section we define and prove lemmas that help to show that all identified critical conditions hold for concurrent operations. Many of the following parts are derivations from the definitions and lemmas of Gomes et al.

theory
IMAP−proof−helpers
imports
IMAP−def

begin

lemma (**in** *imap*) *apply-operations-never-fails*:
assumes *xs prefix of i*
shows *apply-operations xs* $\neq$ *None*
using *assms* **proof**(*induction xs rule*: *rev-induct*, *clarsimp*)
case (*snoc x xs*) **thus** *?case*
proof (*cases x*)
case (*Broadcast e*) **thus** *?thesis*
using *snoc* **by** *force*
next
case (*Deliver e*) **thus** *?thesis*
using *snoc* **apply** *clarsimp* **unfolding** *interp-msg-def apply-operations-def*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *bind.bind-lunit interpret-op-def prefix-of-appendD*)
qed
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *create-id-valid*:
assumes *xs prefix of j*
and *Deliver* (*i1*, *Create i2 e*) ∈ *set xs*
shows *i1* = *i2*
proof −
have ∃ *s*. *valid-behaviours s* (*i1*, *Create i2 e*)
using *assms deliver-in-prefix-is-valid* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis*
by(*simp add*: *valid-behaviours-def*)

qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *append-id-valid*:
  assumes *xs prefix of j*
    and *Deliver* (*i1*, *Append i2 e*) ∈ *set xs*
  shows *i1* = *i2*
proof −
  have ∃ *s*. *valid-behaviours s* (*i1*, *Append i2 e*)
    using *assms deliver-in-prefix-is-valid* **by** *blast*
  thus *?thesis*
    by(*simp add*: *valid-behaviours-def*)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *expunge-id-valid*:
  assumes *xs prefix of j*
    and *Deliver* (*i1*, *Expunge e mo i2*) ∈ *set xs*
  shows *i1* = *i2*
proof −
  have ∃ *s*. *valid-behaviours s* (*i1*, *Expunge e mo i2*)
    using *assms deliver-in-prefix-is-valid* **by** *blast*
  thus *?thesis*
    by(*simp add*: *valid-behaviours-def*)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *store-id-valid*:
  assumes *xs prefix of j*
    and *Deliver* (*i1*, *Store e mo i2*) ∈ *set xs*
  shows *i1* = *i2*
proof −
  have ∃ *s*. *valid-behaviours s* (*i1*, *Store e mo i2*)
    using *assms deliver-in-prefix-is-valid* **by** *blast*
  thus *?thesis*
    by(*simp add*: *valid-behaviours-def*)
qed

definition (**in** *imap*) *added-ids* :: (*'id* × (*'id*, *'b*) *operation*) *event list* ⇒ *'b* ⇒ *'id list* **where**
  added-ids es p ≡ *List.map-filter* (λ*x*. *case x of*
    Deliver (*i*, *Create j e*) ⇒ *if e* = *p then Some j else None* |
    Deliver (*i*, *Expunge e mo j*) ⇒ *if e* = *p then Some j else None* |
    - ⇒ *None*) *es*

definition (**in** *imap*) *added-files* :: (*'id* × (*'id*, *'b*) *operation*) *event list* ⇒ *'b* ⇒ *'id list* **where**
  added-files es p ≡ *List.map-filter* (λ*x*. *case x of*
    Deliver (*i*, *Append j e*) ⇒ *if e* = *p then Some j else None* |
    Deliver (*i*, *Store e mo j*) ⇒ *if e* = *p then Some j else None* |
    - ⇒ *None*) *es*

— added files simplifier

lemma (**in** *imap*) [*simp*]:
shows *added-files* [] *e* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-files-def map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) [*simp*]:
shows *added-files* (*xs* @ *ys*) *e* = *added-files xs e* @ *added-files ys e*
by (*auto simp*: *added-files-def map-filter-append*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-files-Broadcast-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-files* ([*Broadcast e*]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-files-Deliver-Delete-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-files* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Delete is e*)]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-files-Deliver-Create-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-files* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Create j e*)]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-files-Deliver-Expunge-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-files* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo j*)]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-files-Deliver-Append-diff-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *e* ≠ *e'* ⟹ *added-files* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Append j e*)]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-files-Deliver-Append-same-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-files* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Append j e*)]) *e* = [*j*]
by (*auto simp*: *added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-files-Deliver-Store-diff-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *e* ≠ *e'* ⟹ *added-files* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo j*)]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-files-Deliver-Store-same-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-files* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo j*)]) *e* = [*j*]
by (*auto simp*: *added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

— added ids simplifier

lemma (**in** *imap*) [*simp*]:
shows *added-ids* [] *e* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-ids-def map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *split-ids* [*simp*]:
shows *added-ids* (*xs* @ *ys*) *e* = *added-ids xs e* @ *added-ids ys e*

by (*auto simp*: *added-ids-def map-filter-append*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-ids-Broadcast-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-ids* ([*Broadcast e*]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-ids-Deliver-Delete-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-ids* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Delete is e*)]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-ids-Deliver-Append-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-ids* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Append j e*)]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-ids-Deliver-Store-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-ids* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo j*)]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-ids-Deliver-Create-diff-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *e* ≠ *e'* ⟹ *added-ids* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Create j e*)]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-ids-Deliver-Expunge-diff-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *e* ≠ *e'* ⟹ *added-ids* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo j*)]) *e'* = []
by (*auto simp*: *added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-ids-Deliver-Create-same-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-ids* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Create j e*)]) *e* = [*j*]
by (*auto simp*: *added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *added-ids-Deliver-Expunge-same-collapse* [*simp*]:
shows *added-ids* ([*Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo j*)]) *e* = [*j*]
by (*auto simp*: *added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def*)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *expunge-id-not-in-set*:
assumes *i1* ∉ *set* (*added-ids* [*Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo i2*)] *e*)
shows *i1* ≠ *i2*
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma (**in** *imap*) *apply-operations-added-ids*:
assumes *es prefix of j*
and *apply-operations es* = *Some f*
shows *fst* (*f x*) ⊆ *set* (*added-ids es x*)
using *assms* **proof** (*induct es arbitrary*: *f rule*: *rev-induct*, *force*)
case (*snoc x xs*) **thus** *?case*
proof (*cases x*, *force*)
case (*Deliver e*)
moreover obtain *a b* **where** *e* = (*a*, *b*) **by** *force*
ultimately show *?thesis*

```
    using snoc by(case-tac b; clarsimp simp: interp-msg-def split: bind-splits,
        force split: if-split-asm simp add: op-elem-def interpret-op-def)
  qed
qed

lemma (in imap) apply-operations-added-files:
  assumes es prefix of j
    and apply-operations es = Some f
  shows snd (f x) ⊆ set (added-files es x)
  using assms proof (induct es arbitrary: f rule: rev-induct, force)
  case (snoc x xs) thus ?case
  proof (cases x, force)
    case (Deliver e)
    moreover obtain a b where e = (a, b) by force
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using snoc by(case-tac b; clarsimp simp: interp-msg-def split: bind-splits,
        force split: if-split-asm simp add: op-elem-def interpret-op-def)
  qed
qed

lemma (in imap) Deliver-added-files:
  assumes xs prefix of j
    and i ∈ set (added-files xs e)
  shows Deliver (i, Append i e) ∈ set xs ∨ (∃ mo . Deliver (i, Store e mo i) ∈ set xs)
  using assms proof (induct xs rule: rev-induct, clarsimp)
  case (snoc x xs) thus ?case
  proof (cases x, force)
    case X: (Deliver e')
    moreover obtain a b where E: e' = (a, b) by force
    ultimately show ?thesis using snoc
      apply (case-tac b; clarify) apply (simp,metis prefix-of-appendD,force)
      using append-id-valid apply simp
      using E apply (metis
        added-files-Deliver-Append-diff-collapse added-files-Deliver-Append-same-collapse
        empty-iff in-set-conv-decomp list.set(1) prefix-of-appendD set-ConsD, simp)
      using E apply-operations-added-files apply (blast,simp)
      using E apply-operations-added-files
      by (metis Un-iff
        added-files-Deliver-Store-diff-collapse added-files-Deliver-Store-same-collapse empty-iff
        empty-set list.set-intros(1) prefix-of-appendD set-ConsD set-append store-id-valid)
  qed
qed

end
```

5 Independence of IMAP Commands

In this section we show that two concurrent operations that reference to the same tag must be identical.

```
theory
  IMAP−proof−independent
  imports
    IMAP−def
    IMAP−proof−helpers
begin

lemma (in imap) Broadcast-Expunge-Deliver-prefix-closed:
  assumes xs @ [Broadcast (i, Expunge e mo i)] prefix of j
  shows Deliver (mo, Append mo e) ∈ set xs ∨
    (∃ mo2 . Deliver (mo, Store e mo2 mo) ∈ set xs)
proof −
  obtain y where apply-operations xs = Some y
    using assms broadcast-only-valid-msgs by blast
  moreover hence mo ∈ snd (y e)
    using broadcast-only-valid-msgs[of xs (i, Expunge e mo i) j]
      valid-behaviours-def[of y (i, Expunge e mo i)] assms by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms Deliver-added-files apply-operations-added-files by blast
qed

lemma (in imap) Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed:
  assumes xs @ [Broadcast (i, Store e mo i)] prefix of j
  shows Deliver (mo, Append mo e) ∈ set xs ∨
    (∃ mo2 . Deliver (mo, Store e mo2 mo) ∈ set xs)
proof −
  obtain y where apply-operations xs = Some y
    using assms broadcast-only-valid-msgs by blast
  moreover hence mo ∈ snd (y e)
    using broadcast-only-valid-msgs[of xs (i, Store e mo i) j]
      valid-behaviours-def[of y (i, Store e mo i)] assms by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms Deliver-added-files apply-operations-added-files by blast
qed

lemma (in imap) Deliver-added-ids:
  assumes xs prefix of j
    and i ∈ set (added-ids xs e)
  shows Deliver (i, Create i e) ∈ set xs ∨
    (∃ mo . Deliver (i, Expunge e mo i) ∈ set xs)
  using assms proof (induct xs rule: rev-induct, clarsimp)
  case (snoc x xs) thus ?case
  proof (cases x, force)
    case X: (Deliver e')
    moreover obtain a b where e' = (a, b) by force
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using snoc apply (case-tac b; clarify)
        apply (simp, metis added-ids-Deliver-Create-diff-collapse
        added-ids-Deliver-Create-same-collapse empty-iff list.set(1) set-ConsD create-id-valid
```

in-set-conv-decomp prefix-of-appendD, *force*)
using *append-id-valid* **apply** (*simp*, *metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *prefix-of-appendD*, *simp*, *metis*
Un-iff added-ids-Deliver-Expunge-diff-collapse added-ids-Deliver-Expunge-same-collapse empty-iff expunge-id-valid list.set(1) list.set-intros(1) prefix-of-appendD set-ConsD set-append)
by (*simp*, *blast*)
qed
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed*:
assumes *xs* @ [*Broadcast* (*r*, *Delete ix e*)] *prefix of j*
and *i* ∈ *ix*
shows *Deliver* (*i*, *Create i e*) ∈ *set xs* ∨
Deliver (*i*, *Append i e*) ∈ *set xs* ∨
(∃ *mo* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo i*) ∈ *set xs*) ∨
(∃ *mo* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) ∈ *set xs*)
proof −
obtain *y* **where** *apply-operations xs* = *Some y*
using *assms broadcast-only-valid-msgs* **by** *blast*
moreover hence *ix* = *fst* (*y e*) ∪ *snd* (*y e*)
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *assms(1) broadcast-only-valid-msgs operation.case(2) option.simps(1) valid-behaviours-def case-prodD*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *assms Deliver-added-ids apply-operations-added-ids*
by (*metis Deliver-added-files Un-iff apply-operations-added-files le-iff-sup prefix-of-appendD*)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-create-delete-independent-technical*:
assumes *i* ∈ *is*
and *xs prefix of j*
and (*i*, *Create i e*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and (*ir*, *Delete is e*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
shows *hb* (*i*, *Create i e*) (*ir*, *Delete is e*)
proof −
have *f1*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Create i e*) ∈ *set* (*history j*)
using *assms prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
obtain *pre k* **where** *P*: *pre*@[*Broadcast* (*ir*, *Delete is e*)] *prefix of k*
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
hence *f2*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Create i e*) ∈ *set pre* ∨
Deliver (*i*, *Append i e*) ∈ *set pre* ∨
(∃ *mo* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo i*) ∈ *set pre*) ∨
(∃ *mo* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) ∈ *set pre*)
using *Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed assms* **by** *auto*
have *f3*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Append i e*) ∉ *set pre* **using** *f1 P*
by (*metis* (*full-types*) *Pair-inject fst-conv network.delivery-has-a-cause network.msg-id-unique*
network-axioms operation.simps(9) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD)
have *f4*: ∀ *mo* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo i*) ∉ *set pre* **using** *f1 P*

by (*metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique old.prod.inject operation.simps*(11) *prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD*)
have $\forall$ *mo* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) $\notin$ *set pre* **using** *f1 P*
by (*metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique old.prod.inject operation.simps*(13) *prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD*)
thus *?thesis* **using** *f2 f3 f4 P events-in-local-order hb-deliver* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-store-expunge-independent-technical*:
assumes *xs prefix of j*
and (*i*, *Store e mo i*) $\in$ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and (*r*, *Expunge e i r*) $\in$ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
shows *hb* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) (*r*, *Expunge e i r*)
proof −
obtain *pre k* **where** *P*: *pre*@[*Broadcast* (*r*, *Expunge e i r*)] *prefix of k*
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
moreover hence *f1*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Append i e*) $\in$ *set pre* $\vee$
($\exists$ *mo2* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo2 i*) $\in$ *set pre*)
using *Broadcast-Expunge-Deliver-prefix-closed assms*(1) **by** *auto*
hence *f2*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Append i e*) $\notin$ *set* (*history k*)
by (*metis Pair-inject assms*(1) *assms*(2) *fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause*
network-axioms operation.distinct(17) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
from *f1* **obtain** *mo2* :: *'a* **where**
Deliver (*i*, *Store e mo2 i*) $\in$ *set* (*history k*) **using** *f2*
using *P prefix-elem-to-carriers* **by** *blast*
hence *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) $\in$ *set* (*history k*) **using** *assms f1 f2 P*
by (*metis fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms prefix-msg-in-history*)
then show *?thesis*
using *hb.intros*(2) *events-in-local-order f1 f2 P*
by (*metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique node-histories.prefix-of-appendD node-histories-axioms prefix-elem-to-carriers*)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-store-expunge-independent-technical2*:
assumes *xs prefix of j*
and (*i*, *Store e1 mo2 i*) $\in$ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and (*r*, *Expunge e mo r*) $\in$ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
shows *mo2* $\neq$ *r*
proof −
obtain *oid* :: *'a* × (*'a*, *'b*) *operation* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* **where**
oid: $\forall$ *p n*. *Deliver p* $\notin$ *set* (*history n*) $\vee$ *Broadcast p* $\in$ *set* (*history* (*oid p*))
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *delivery-has-a-cause*)
hence *f1*: *Broadcast* (*r*, *Expunge e mo r*) $\in$ *set* (*history* (*oid* (*r*, *Expunge e mo r*)))
using *assms*(1) *assms*(3) *prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
obtain *k* :: *'a* $\Rightarrow$ *'b* $\Rightarrow$ (*'a* × (*'a*, *'b*) *operation*) *event list* $\Rightarrow$ *'a* **where** *k*:
$\forall$ *i e pre*. ($\exists$ *mo*. *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) $\in$ *set pre*) =
(*Deliver* (*i*, *Store e* (*k i e pre*) *i*) $\in$ *set pre*)

by *moura*
obtain *pre* :: *nat* ⇒ (*'a* × (*'a*, *'b*) *operation*) *event* ⇒ (*'a* × (*'a*, *'b*) *operation*) *event list*
where *pre*: ∀ *k op1*. (∃ *op2*. *op2* @ [*op1*] *prefix of k*) = (*pre k op1* @ [*op1*] *prefix of k*)
by *moura*
hence *f2*: ∀ *e n*. *e* ∉ *set* (*history n*) ∨ *pre n e* @ [*e*] *prefix of n*
using *events-before-exist* **by** *simp*
hence *f3*: *pre* (*oid* (*i*, *Store e1 mo2 i*)) (*Broadcast* (*i*, *Store e1 mo2 i*))
prefix of oid (*i*, *Store e1 mo2 i*)
using *oid assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
have *f4*: *Deliver* (*r*, *Append r e1*) ∉ *set* (*history* (*oid* (*i*, *Store e1 mo2 i*)))
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *oid f1 fst-conv msg-id-unique old.prod.inject operation.distinct*(*15*))
have *Deliver* (*r*, *Store e1* (*k r e1* (*pre* (*oid* (*i*, *Store e1 mo2 i*))
(*Broadcast* (*i*, *Store e1 mo2 i*)))) *r*) ∉ *set* (*history* (*oid* (*i*, *Store e1 mo2 i*)))
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *oid f1 fst-conv msg-id-unique old.prod.inject operation.distinct*(*19*))
thus *?thesis* **using** *oid k f2 f3 f4 assms*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed*
network.prefix-msg-in-history network-axioms prefix-elem-to-carriers)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-store-delete-independent-technical*:
assumes *i* ∈ *is*
and *xs prefix of j*
and (*i*, *Store e mo i*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and (*ir*, *Delete is e*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
shows *hb* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) (*ir*, *Delete is e*)
proof −
have *f1*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) ∈ *set* (*history j*) **using** *assms prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *auto*
obtain *pre k* **where** *P*: *pre*@[*Broadcast* (*ir*, *Delete is e*)] *prefix of k*
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
hence *f2*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Create i e*) ∈ *set pre* ∨
Deliver (*i*, *Append i e*) ∈ *set pre* ∨
(∃ *mo* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo i*) ∈ *set pre*) ∨
(∃ *mo* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) ∈ *set pre*)
using *Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed assms*(*1*) **by** *auto*
have *f3*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Create i e*) ∉ *set pre* **using** *f1 P*
by (*metis Pair-inject delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.distinct*(*7*)
prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD)
have *f4*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Append i e*) ∉ *set pre* **using** *f1 P*
by (*metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.distinct*(*17*)
prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD prod.inject)
have ∀ *mo* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo i*) ∉ *set pre* **using** *f1 P*
by (*metis Pair-inject delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.simps*(*25*)
prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD)
hence *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) ∈ *set pre* **using** *f1 f2 f3 f4 P*
by (*metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique node-histories.prefix-of-appendD*
node-histories-axioms prefix-elem-to-carriers)
thus *?thesis* **using** *P events-in-local-order hb-deliver* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-append-delete-independent-technical*:
assumes $i \in is$
and *xs prefix of j*
and $(i, Append\ i\ e) \in set\ (node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs)$
and $(ir, Delete\ is\ e) \in set\ (node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs)$
shows $hb\ (i, Append\ i\ e)\ (ir, Delete\ is\ e)$
proof −
obtain *pre k* **where** *P*: $pre@[Broadcast\ (ir, Delete\ is\ e)]\ prefix\ of\ k$
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
hence *f1*: $Deliver\ (i, Create\ i\ e) \in set\ pre \vee$
$Deliver\ (i, Append\ i\ e) \in set\ pre \vee$
$(\exists\ mo\ .\ Deliver\ (i, Expunge\ e\ mo\ i) \in set\ pre) \vee$
$(\exists\ mo\ .\ Deliver\ (i, Store\ e\ mo\ i) \in set\ pre)$
using *Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1)* **by** *auto*
hence $Deliver\ (i, Append\ i\ e) \in set\ pre$ **using** *assms P f1*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *hide-lams*) *delivery-has-a-cause events-in-local-order fst-conv hb-broadcast-exists1 hb-deliver msg-id-unique prefix-msg-in-history*)
thus *?thesis* **using** *P events-in-local-order hb-deliver* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-append-expunge-independent-technical*:
assumes $i = mo$
and *xs prefix of j*
and $(i, Append\ i\ e) \in set\ (node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs)$
and $(r, Expunge\ e\ mo\ r) \in set\ (node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs)$
shows $hb\ (i, Append\ i\ e)\ (r, Expunge\ e\ mo\ r)$
proof −
obtain *pre k* **where** *P*: $pre@[Broadcast\ (r, Expunge\ e\ mo\ r)]\ prefix\ of\ k$
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
hence *f1*: $Deliver\ (mo, Append\ mo\ e) \in set\ pre \vee$
$(\exists\ mo2\ .\ Deliver\ (mo, Store\ e\ mo2\ mo) \in set\ pre)$
using *Broadcast-Expunge-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1)* **by** *auto*
hence $(\forall\ mo2\ .\ Deliver\ (mo, Store\ e\ mo2\ mo) \notin set\ pre)$ **using** *P assms*
proof −
have $Deliver\ (mo, Append\ mo\ e) \in set\ (history\ j)$
using *assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *P Pair-inject delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.simps(23) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD*)
qed
thus *?thesis*
using *hb.intros(2) events-in-local-order assms(1) P f1* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-append-store-independent-technical*:
assumes $i = mo$
and *xs prefix of j*
and $(i, Append\ i\ e) \in set\ (node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs)$
and $(r, Store\ e\ mo\ r) \in set\ (node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs)$

shows *hb* (*i*, *Append i e*) (*r*, *Store e mo r*)
proof −
obtain *pre k* **where** *pre*: *pre*@[*Broadcast* (*r*, *Store e mo r*)] *prefix of k*
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
moreover hence *f1*: *Deliver* (*mo*, *Append mo e*) ∈ *set pre* ∨
(∃ *mo2* . *Deliver* (*mo*, *Store e mo2 mo*) ∈ *set pre*)
using *Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed assms*(*1*) **by** *auto*
have *f2*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Append i e*) ∈ *set* (*history j*)
by (*meson assms network.prefix-msg-in-history network-axioms*)
then show *?thesis* **using** *assms f1*
by (*metis pre delivery-has-a-cause events-in-local-order fst-conv hb-deliver msg-id-unique node-histories.prefix-of-appendD node-histories-axioms prefix-elem-to-carriers*)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-expunge-delete-independent-technical*:
assumes *i* ∈ *is*
and *xs prefix of j*
and (*i*, *Expunge e mo i*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and (*ir*, *Delete is e*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
shows *hb* (*i*, *Expunge e mo i*) (*ir*, *Delete is e*)
proof −
obtain *pre k* **where** *pre*: *pre*@[*Broadcast* (*ir*, *Delete is e*)] *prefix of k*
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
moreover hence *A*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Create i e*) ∈ *set pre* ∨
Deliver (*i*, *Append i e*) ∈ *set pre* ∨
(∃ *mo* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo i*) ∈ *set pre*) ∨
(∃ *mo* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) ∈ *set pre*)
using *Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed assms*(*1*) **by** *auto*
hence *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo i*) ∈ *set pre* **using** *assms*
proof −
have *f1*: ⋀*e*. *e* ∉ *set pre* ∨ *e* ∈ *set* (*history k*)
using *pre prefix-elem-to-carriers* **by** *blast*
have *f2*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e mo i*) ∈ *set* (*history j*)
by (*meson assms network.prefix-msg-in-history network-axioms*)
then show *?thesis* **using** *f1 A*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms*)
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *hb.intros*(*2*) *events-in-local-order* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-store-store-independent-technical*:
assumes *xs prefix of j*
and (*i*, *Store e mo i*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and (*r*, *Store e i r*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
shows *hb* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) (*r*, *Store e i r*)
proof −

obtain *pre k* **where** *P*: *pre*@[*Broadcast* (*r*, *Store e i r*)] *prefix of k*
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
hence *f1*: ∀ *e*. *e* ∉ *set pre* ∨ *e* ∈ *set* (*history k*)
using *prefix-elem-to-carriers* **by** *blast*
have *f2*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Append i e*) ∈ *set pre* ∨ (∃ *mo2* . *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo2 i*) ∈ *set pre*)
using *Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed assms*(*1*) *P* **by** *auto*
hence *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e mo i*) ∈ *set pre* **using** *assms f1*
by (*metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique prefix-msg-in-history*)
then show *?thesis*
using *hb.intros*(*2*) *events-in-local-order P* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *expunge-delete-tag-causality*:
assumes *i* ∈ *is*
and *xs prefix of j*
and (*i*, *Expunge e1 mo i*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and (*ir*, *Delete is e2*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and *pre*@[*Broadcast* (*ir*, *Delete is e2*)] *prefix of k*
shows *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e2 mo i*) ∈ *set* (*history k*)
proof−
have *f1*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Append i e2*) ∉ *set* (*history k*) **using** *assms*
by (*metis fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject*
operation.distinct(*15*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
have *f2*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Create i e2*) ∉ *set* (*history k*) **using** *assms*
by (*metis fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject*
operation.distinct(*5*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
have *f3*: ∀ *mo*. *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e2 mo i*) ∉ *set* (*history k*) **using** *assms*
by (*metis Pair-inject fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms*
operation.simps(*25*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
hence ∃ *mo1*. *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e2 mo1 i*) ∈ *set* (*history k*) **using** *assms f1 f2*
by (*meson imap.Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed imap-axioms node-histories.prefix-of-appendD*
node-histories-axioms prefix-elem-to-carriers)
then obtain *mo1* :: *'a* **where**
Deliver (*i*, *Expunge e2 mo1 i*) ∈ *set* (*history k*) **by** *blast*
then show *?thesis* **using** *assms f1 f2 f3*
by (*metis fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject*
operation.inject(*4*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *expunge-delete-ids-imply-messages-same*:
assumes *i* ∈ *is*
and *xs prefix of j*
and (*i*, *Expunge e1 mo i*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and (*ir*, *Delete is e2*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
shows *e1* = *e2*

proof −
obtain *pre k* **where** *P*: *pre*@[*Broadcast* (*ir*, *Delete is e2*)] *prefix of k*
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
hence *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e2 mo i*) ∈ *set* (*history k*) **using** *assms expunge-delete-tag-causality*
by *blast*
then show *?thesis* **using** *assms*
by (*metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv network.msg-id-unique network-axioms*
operation.inject(*4*) *prefix-msg-in-history prod.inject*)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *store-delete-ids-imply-messages-same*:
assumes *i* ∈ *is*
and *xs prefix of j*
and (*i*, *Store e1 mo i*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and (*ir*, *Delete is e2*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
shows *e1* = *e2*
proof −
obtain *pre k* **where** *P*: *pre*@[*Broadcast* (*ir*, *Delete is e2*)] *prefix of k*
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
have *f1*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Append i e2*) ∉ *set* (*history k*) **using** *assms*
by (*metis fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject*

operation.distinct(*17*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
have *f2*: ∀ *mo*. *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e2 mo i*) ∉ *set* (*history k*) **using** *assms*
by (*metis Pair-inject fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms*
operation.distinct(*19*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
have *f3*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Create i e2*) ∉ *set* (*history k*) **using** *assms*
by (*metis fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject*

operation.distinct(*8*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
hence (∃ *mo1*. *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e2 mo1 i*) ∈ *set pre*) **using** *assms P f1 f2 imap-axioms*
by (*meson imap.Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD*)
then obtain *mo1* :: *'a* **where**
f3: *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e2 mo1 i*) ∈ *set pre* **by** *blast*
then have *f4*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e2 mo1 i*) ∈ *set* (*history k*)
using *P prefix-elem-to-carriers* **by** *blast*
hence *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e2 mo i*) ∈ *set pre* **using** *f2 f3 assms*
by (*metis fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject*

operation.inject(*5*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
moreover have *Deliver*(*i*, *Store e1 mo i*) ∈ *set* (*history j*)
using *assms*(*2*) *assms*(*3*) *prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *f4*
by (*metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique old.prod.inject operation.inject*(*5*))
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *create-delete-ids-imply-messages-same*:
assumes *i* ∈ *is*
and *xs prefix of j*

and (*i*, *Create i e1*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and (*ir*, *Delete is e2*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
shows *e1* = *e2*
proof −
obtain *pre k* **where** *P*: *pre*@[*Broadcast* (*ir*, *Delete is e2*)] *prefix of k*
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
have *f1*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Append i e2*) ∉ *set* (*history k*)
by (*metis assms*(*2*) *assms*(*3*) *delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv network.msg-id-unique network.prefix-msg-in-history network-axioms operation.distinct*(*3*) *prod.inject*)
have *f2*: ∀ *mo*. *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e2 mo i*) ∉ *set* (*history k*)
by (*metis assms*(*2*) *assms*(*3*) *fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject operation.distinct*(*5*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
have *f3*: ∀ *mo*. *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e2 mo i*) ∉ *set* (*history k*)
by (*metis Pair-inject assms*(*2*) *assms*(*3*) *delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.distinct*(*7*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
hence *Deliver* (*i*, *Create i e2*) ∈ *set pre* **using** *assms P f2 f1 imap-axioms*
by (*meson imap.Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD*)
then show *?thesis* **using** *f1 f2 f3*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *P assms*(*2*) *assms*(*3*) *delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique node-histories.prefix-of-appendD node-histories-axioms old.prod.inject operation.inject*(*1*) *prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-msg-in-history*)
qed

lemma (**in** *imap*) *append-delete-ids-imply-messages-same*:
assumes *i* ∈ *is*
and *xs prefix of j*
and (*i*, *Append i e1*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
and (*ir*, *Delete is e2*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
shows *e1* = *e2*
proof −
obtain *pre k* **where** *P*: *pre*@[*Broadcast* (*ir*, *Delete is e2*)] *prefix of k*
using *assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *blast*
hence *f1*: ⋀*e*. *e* ∈ *set pre* ⟹ *e* ∈ *set* (*history k*) **using** *prefix-elem-to-carriers* **by** *blast*
have *f2*: *Deliver* (*i*, *Create i e2*) ∉ *set pre* **using** *P f1*
by (*metis assms*(*2*) *assms*(*3*) *fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject operation.distinct*(*3*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
moreover have *D1*: ∀ *mo*. *Deliver* (*i*, *Expunge e2 mo i*) ∉ *set pre* **using** *P f1*
by (*metis Pair-inject assms*(*2*) *assms*(*3*) *fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms operation.distinct*(*15*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
moreover have *D2*: ∀ *mo*. *Deliver* (*i*, *Store e2 mo i*) ∉ *set pre* **using** *P f1*
by (*metis Pair-inject assms*(*2*) *assms*(*3*) *fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms operation.simps*(*23*) *prefix-msg-in-history*)
moreover hence *Deliver* (*i*, *Append i e2*) ∈ *set pre*

```
    using P D1 D2 f2 assms(1) Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed by blast
  moreover have Deliver (i, Append i e1) ∈ set (history j)
    using assms(2) assms(3) prefix-msg-in-history by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms
    by (metis f1 msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject
        operation.inject(3) prod.sel(1))
qed

lemma (in imap) append-expunge-ids-imply-messages-same:
  assumes i = mo
    and xs prefix of j
    and (i, Append i e1) ∈ set (node-deliver-messages xs)
    and (r, Expunge e2 mo r) ∈ set (node-deliver-messages xs)
  shows e1 = e2
proof −
  obtain pre k where pre: pre@[Broadcast (r, Expunge e2 mo r)] prefix of k
    using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast
  moreover hence Deliver (mo, Append mo e2) ∈ set pre ∨
  (∃ mo2 . Deliver (mo, Store e2 mo2 mo) ∈ set pre)
    using Broadcast-Expunge-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1)
    by (meson imap.Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed imap-axioms)
  hence Deliver (i, Append i e2) ∈ set pre using assms
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) pre delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv hb-broadcast-exists1
        msg-id-unique network.hb-deliver network.prefix-msg-in-history network-axioms
        node-histories.events-in-local-order node-histories-axioms operation.distinct(17)
        prod.inject)
  moreover have Deliver (i, Append i e1) ∈ set (history j)
    using assms(2) assms(3) prefix-msg-in-history by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv network.delivery-has-a-cause network.msg-id-unique
        network-axioms operation.inject(3) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD prod.inject)
qed

lemma (in imap) append-store-ids-imply-messages-same:
  assumes i = mo
    and xs prefix of j
    and (i, Append i e1) ∈ set (node-deliver-messages xs)
    and (r, Store e2 mo r) ∈ set (node-deliver-messages xs)
  shows e1 = e2
proof −
  obtain pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (r, Store e2 mo r)] prefix of k
    using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast
  moreover hence A: Deliver (mo, Append mo e2) ∈ set pre ∨
  (∃ mo2 . Deliver (mo, Store e2 mo2 mo) ∈ set pre)
    using Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1)
    by (meson imap.Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed imap-axioms)
  have f1: Deliver (i, Append i e1) ∈ set (history j)
    using assms(2) assms(3) prefix-msg-in-history by blast
  hence Deliver (i, Append i e2) ∈ set pre using assms P A
```

```
    by (metis Pair-inject assms(1) P delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique
        operation.simps(23) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD)
  then show ?thesis using f1
    by (metis P delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique
        node-histories.prefix-of-appendD node-histories-axioms operation.inject(3)
        prefix-elem-to-carriers prod.inject)
qed

lemma (in imap) expunge-store-ids-imply-messages-same:
  assumes xs prefix of j
    and (i, Store e1 mo i) ∈ set (node-deliver-messages xs)
    and (r, Expunge e2 i r) ∈ set (node-deliver-messages xs)
  shows e1 = e2
proof −
  obtain pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (r, Expunge e2 i r)] prefix of k
    using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast
  hence pprefix: pre prefix of k
    using P by blast
  have A: Deliver (i, Append i e2) ∈ set pre ∨
  (∃ mo2 . Deliver (i, Store e2 mo2 i) ∈ set pre)
    using Broadcast-Expunge-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1) P by blast
  have Deliver (i, Store e2 mo i) ∈ set pre using assms A P
  proof −
    obtain op1 :: 'a × ('a, 'b) operation ⇒ nat where
      f1: Broadcast (i, Store e1 mo i) ∈ set (history (op1 (i, Store e1 mo i)))
      by (meson assms(1) assms(2) delivery-has-a-cause prefix-msg-in-history)
    then show ?thesis
      using f1 A pprefix delivery-has-a-cause network.msg-id-unique network-axioms
        node-histories.prefix-to-carriers node-histories-axioms
      by fastforce
  qed
  moreover have Deliver (i, Store e1 mo i) ∈ set (history j)
    using assms(1) assms(2) prefix-msg-in-history by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms P
    by (metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.inject(5)
        prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD prod.inject)
qed

lemma (in imap) store-store-ids-imply-messages-same:
  assumes xs prefix of j
    and (i, Store e1 mo i) ∈ set (node-deliver-messages xs)
    and (r, Store e2 i r) ∈ set (node-deliver-messages xs)
  shows e1 = e2
proof −
  obtain pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (r, Store e2 i r)] prefix of k
    using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast
  moreover hence A: Deliver (i, Append i e2) ∈ set pre ∨
    (∃ mo2 . Deliver (i, Store e2 mo2 i) ∈ set pre)
    using Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1) by blast
```

have $\forall e.\ e \notin set\ pre \vee e \in set\ (history\ k)$
using *P prefix-elem-to-carriers* **by** *auto*
hence *Deliver* $(i,$ *Store e2 mo* $i) \in set\ pre$
by (*metis A assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.distinct*(*17*) *operation.inject*(*5*) *prefix-msg-in-history prod.inject*)
moreover have *Deliver* $(i,$ *Store e1 mo* $i) \in set\ (history\ j)$
using *assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *prefix-msg-in-history* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *assms*
by (*metis Pair-inject delivery-has-a-cause msg-id-unique operation.simps*(*5*) *prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD prod.sel*(*1*))
qed

end

6 Convergence of the IMAP-CRDT

In this final section show that concurrent updates commute and thus Strong Eventual Convergence is achieved.

theory
IMAP−proof
imports
IMAP−def
IMAP−proof−commute
IMAP−proof−helpers
IMAP−proof−independent
begin

corollary (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-create-delete-independent*:
assumes $\neg$ *hb* $(i,$ *Create i e1*$)$ $(ir,$ *Delete is e2*$)$
and $\neg$ *hb* $(ir,$ *Delete is e2*$)$ $(i,$ *Create i e1*$)$
and *xs prefix of j*
and $(i,$ *Create i e1*$) \in set\ ($*node-deliver-messages xs*$)$
and $(ir,$ *Delete is e2*$) \in set\ ($*node-deliver-messages xs*$)$
shows $i \notin is$
using *assms create-delete-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-create-delete-independent-technical*

by *fastforce*

corollary (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-append-delete-independent*:
assumes $\neg$ *hb* $(i,$ *Append i e1*$)$ $(ir,$ *Delete is e2*$)$
and $\neg$ *hb* $(ir,$ *Delete is e2*$)$ $(i,$ *Append i e1*$)$
and *xs prefix of j*
and $(i,$ *Append i e1*$) \in set\ ($*node-deliver-messages xs*$)$
and $(ir,$ *Delete is e2*$) \in set\ ($*node-deliver-messages xs*$)$
shows $i \notin is$
using *assms append-delete-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-append-delete-independent-technical*

by *fastforce*

corollary (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-append-expunge-independent*:
 assumes ¬ *hb* (*i*, *Append i e1*) (*r*, *Expunge e2 mo r*)
  and ¬ *hb* (*r*, *Expunge e2 mo r*) (*i*, *Append i e1*)
  and *xs prefix of j*
  and (*i*, *Append i e1*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
  and (*r*, *Expunge e2 mo r*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
 shows *i* ≠ *mo*
 using *assms append-expunge-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-append-expunge-independent-technical*

 by *fastforce*

corollary (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-append-store-independent*:
 assumes ¬ *hb* (*i*, *Append i e1*) (*r*, *Store e2 mo r*)
  and ¬ *hb* (*r*, *Store e2 mo r*) (*i*, *Append i e1*)
  and *xs prefix of j*
  and (*i*, *Append i e1*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
  and (*r*, *Store e2 mo r*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
 shows *i* ≠ *mo*
 using *assms append-store-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-append-store-independent-technical*

 by *fastforce*

corollary (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-expunge-delete-independent*:
 assumes ¬ *hb* (*i*, *Expunge e1 mo i*) (*ir*, *Delete is e2*)
  and ¬ *hb* (*ir*, *Delete is e2*) (*i*, *Expunge e1 mo i*)
  and *xs prefix of j*
  and (*i*, *Expunge e1 mo i*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
  and (*ir*, *Delete is e2*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
 shows *i* ∉ *is*
 using *assms expunge-delete-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-expunge-delete-independent-technical*

 by *fastforce*

corollary (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-store-delete-independent*:
 assumes ¬ *hb* (*i*, *Store e1 mo i*) (*ir*, *Delete is e2*)
  and ¬ *hb* (*ir*, *Delete is e2*) (*i*, *Store e1 mo i*)
  and *xs prefix of j*
  and (*i*, *Store e1 mo i*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
  and (*ir*, *Delete is e2*) ∈ *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
 shows *i* ∉ *is*
 using *assms store-delete-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-store-delete-independent-technical*

 by *fastforce*

corollary (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-store-expunge-independent*:
 assumes ¬ *hb* (*i*, *Store e1 mo i*) (*r*, *Expunge e2 mo2 r*)
  and ¬ *hb* (*r*, *Expunge e2 mo2 r*) (*i*, *Store e1 mo i*)
  and *xs prefix of j*

and $(i, \mathit{Store\ e1\ mo\ i}) \in \mathit{set}\ (\mathit{node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs})$
and $(r, \mathit{Expunge\ e2\ mo2\ r}) \in \mathit{set}\ (\mathit{node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs})$
shows $i \neq \mathit{mo2} \wedge r \neq \mathit{mo}$
using *assms expunge-store-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-store-expunge-independent-technical2*

concurrent-store-expunge-independent-technical **by** *metis*

corollary (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-store-store-independent*:
assumes $\neg\ \mathit{hb}\ (i, \mathit{Store\ e1\ mo\ i})\ (r, \mathit{Store\ e2\ mo2\ r})$
and $\neg\ \mathit{hb}\ (r, \mathit{Store\ e2\ mo2\ r})\ (i, \mathit{Store\ e1\ mo\ i})$
and *xs prefix of j*
and $(i, \mathit{Store\ e1\ mo\ i}) \in \mathit{set}\ (\mathit{node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs})$
and $(r, \mathit{Store\ e2\ mo2\ r}) \in \mathit{set}\ (\mathit{node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs})$
shows $i \neq \mathit{mo2} \wedge r \neq \mathit{mo}$
using *assms store-store-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-store-store-independent-technical*

by *metis*

lemma (**in** *imap*) *concurrent-operations-commute*:
assumes *xs prefix of i*
shows *hb.concurrent-ops-commute* (*node-deliver-messages xs*)
proof −
{ **fix** *a b x y*
assume $(a, b) \in \mathit{set}\ (\mathit{node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs})$
$(x, y) \in \mathit{set}\ (\mathit{node\text{-}deliver\text{-}messages\ xs})$
hb.concurrent $(a, b)\ (x, y)$
hence $\mathit{interp\text{-}msg}\ (a, b) \triangleright \mathit{interp\text{-}msg}\ (x, y) = \mathit{interp\text{-}msg}\ (x, y) \triangleright \mathit{interp\text{-}msg}\ (a, b)$
apply(*unfold interp-msg-def*, *case-tac b*; *case-tac y*;
simp add: *create-create-commute delete-delete-commute append-append-commute*
create-append-commute create-expunge-commute create-store-commute
expunge-expunge-commute hb.concurrent-def)
using *assms prefix-contains-msg* **apply** (*metis* (*full-types*)
create-id-valid create-delete-commute concurrent-create-delete-independent)
using *assms prefix-contains-msg* **apply** (*metis* (*full-types*)
create-id-valid create-delete-commute concurrent-create-delete-independent)
using *assms prefix-contains-msg* **apply** (*metis*
append-id-valid append-delete-ids-imply-messages-same
concurrent-append-delete-independent-technical delete-append-commute)
using *assms prefix-contains-msg* **apply** (*metis*
concurrent-expunge-delete-independent expunge-id-valid imap.delete-expunge-commute
imap-axioms)
using *assms prefix-contains-msg* **apply** (*metis*
concurrent-store-delete-independent delete-store-commute store-id-valid)
using *assms prefix-contains-msg* **apply** (*metis*
append-id-valid append-delete-ids-imply-messages-same
concurrent-append-delete-independent-technical delete-append-commute)
using *assms prefix-contains-msg* **apply** (*metis*
append-id-valid expunge-id-valid append-expunge-ids-imply-messages-same

```
      concurrent-append-expunge-independent-technical append-expunge-commute)
    using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis
     append-id-valid append-store-commute concurrent-append-store-independent store-id-valid)
    using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis
      concurrent-expunge-delete-independent expunge-id-valid delete-expunge-commute)
    using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis
      append-expunge-commute append-id-valid concurrent-append-expunge-independent
      expunge-id-valid)
    using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis
      expunge-id-valid expunge-store-commute imap.concurrent-store-expunge-independent
      imap-axioms store-id-valid)
    using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis
      concurrent-store-delete-independent delete-store-commute store-id-valid)
    using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis
     append-id-valid append-store-commute imap.concurrent-append-store-independent imap-axioms

      store-id-valid)
    using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis
      expunge-id-valid expunge-store-commute imap.concurrent-store-expunge-independent
      imap-axioms store-id-valid)
   using assms prefix-contains-msg by (metis concurrent-store-store-independent store-id-valid

      store-store-commute)
  } thus ?thesis
   by(fastforce simp: hb.concurrent-ops-commute-def)
qed

theorem (in imap) convergence:
  assumes set (node-deliver-messages xs) = set (node-deliver-messages ys)
    and xs prefix of i
    and ys prefix of j
  shows apply-operations xs = apply-operations ys
  using assms by(auto simp add: apply-operations-def intro: hb.convergence-ext
      concurrent-operations-commute node-deliver-messages-distinct hb-consistent-prefix)

context imap begin

sublocale sec: strong-eventual-consistency weak-hb hb interp-msg
  λops.∃ xs i. xs prefix of i ∧ node-deliver-messages xs = ops λx.({},{})
  apply(standard; clarsimp simp add: hb-consistent-prefix node-deliver-messages-distinct
      concurrent-operations-commute)
  apply(metis (no-types, lifting) apply-operations-def bind.bind-lunit not-None-eq
      hb.apply-operations-Snoc kleisli-def apply-operations-never-fails interp-msg-def)
  using drop-last-message apply blast
  done

end
end
```

References

[1] V. B. F. Gomes, M. Kleppmann, D. P. Mulligan, and A. R. Beresford. A framework for establishing Strong Eventual Consistency for Conflict-free Replicated Datatypes. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2017. http://isa-afp.org/entries/CRDT.html.

[2] V. B. F. Gomes, M. Kleppmann, D. P. Mulligan, and A. R. Beresford. Verifying Strong Eventual Consistency in Distributed Systems. *ArXiv e-prints*, 2017.

[3] T. Jungnickel, L. Oldenburg, and M. Loibl. Designing a Planetary-Scale IMAP Service with Conflict-free Replicated Data Types. In *21th International Conference on Principles of Distributed Systems (OPODIS 2017)*, Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs). Schloss Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2017.

[4] M. Shapiro, N. Preguiça, C. Baquero, and M. Zawirski. A comprehensive study of Convergent and Commutative Replicated Data Types. Technical report, 2011.

[5] M. Shapiro, N. Preguiça, C. Baquero, and M. Zawirski. Conflict-free Replicated Data Types. In *International Symposium on Stabilization, Safety, and Security of Distributed Systems*, SSS'11, pages 386–400, 2011.