The IMAP CmRDT

Tim Jungnickel, Lennart Oldenburg, Matthias Loibl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We provide our Isabelle/HOL formalization of a Conflict-free Replicated Data Type for Internet Message Access Protocol commands. To this end, we show that Strong Eventual Consistency (SEC) is guaranteed by proving the commutativity of concurrent operations. We base our formalization on the recently proposed "framework for establishing Strong Eventual Consistency for Conflict-free Replicated Datatypes" (AFP.CRDT) by Gomes et al. Hence, we provide an additional example of how the recently proposed framework can be used to design and prove CRDTs.

Contents

1	Preface	1
	1.1 The IMAP CmRDT	2
	1.2 Proof Guide	2
2	IMAP-CRDT Definitions	3
3	Commutativity of IMAP Commands	5
4	Proof Helpers	7
5	Independence of IMAP Commands	11
6	Convergence of the IMAP-CRDT	23

1 Preface

A Conflict-free Replicated Data Type (CRDT) [5] ensures convergence of replicas without requiring a central coordination server or even a distributed coordination system based on consensus or locking. Despite the fact that Shapiro et al. provide a comprehensive collection of definitions for the most useful data types such as registers, sets, and lists [4], we observe that the use of CRDTs in standard IT services is rather uncommon. Therefore, we use the Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP)—the de-facto standard protocol to retrieve and manipulate mail messages on an email server—as an example to show the feasibility of using CRDTs for replicating state of a standard IT service to achieve planetary scale.

Designing a *correct* CRDT is a challenging task. A CmRDT, the operation-based variant of a CRDT, requires all operations to commute. To this end, Gomes et al. recently published a CmRDT verification framework [1] in Isabelle/HOL.

In our most recent work [3], we presented pluto, our research prototype of a planetaryscale IMAP service. To achieve the claimed planet-scale, we designed a CmRDT that provides multi-leader replication of mailboxes without the need of synchronous operations. In order to ensure the correctness of our proposed IMAP CmRDT, we implemented it in the verification framework proposed by Gomes et al.

In this work, we present our Isabelle/HOL proof of the necessary properties and show that our CmRDT indeed guarantees Strong Eventual Consistency (SEC). We contribute not only the certainty that our CmRDT design is correct, but also provide one more example of how the verification framework can be used to prove the correctness of a CRDT.

1.1 The IMAP CmRDT

In the rest of this work, we show how we modeled our IMAP CmRDT in Isabelle/HOL. We start by presenting the original IMAP CmRDT, followed by the implementation details of the Isabelle/HOL formalization. The presentation of our CmRDT in Spec. 1 is based on the syntax introduced in [4]. We highly recommend reading the foundational work by Shapiro et al. prior to following our proof documentation.

In essence, the IMAP CmRDT represents the state of a mailbox, containing folders (of type \mathcal{N}) and messages (of type \mathcal{M}). Moreover, we introduce metadata in form of tags (of type ID). All modeling details and a more detailed description of the CmRDT are provided in the original paper [3].

The only notable difference between the presented specification and our Isabelle/HOL formalization is, that we no longer distinguish between sets ID and \mathcal{M} and that the generated tags of *create* and *expunge* are handled explicitly. This makes the formalization slightly easier, because less type variables are introduced. The concrete definition can be found in the *IMAP-CRDT Definitions* section of the IMAP-def.thy file.

1.2 Proof Guide

Hint: In our proof, we build on top of the definitions given by Gomes et al. in [2]. We strongly recommend to read their paper first before following our proof. In fact, in our formalization we reuse the *locales* of the proposed framework and therefore this work cannot be compiled without the reference to [1].

Operation-based CRDTs require all concurrent operations to commute in order to ensure convergence. Therefore, we begin our verification by proving the commutativity of every combination of possible concurrent operations. Initially, we used *nitpick* to identify corner cases in our implementation. We prove the commutativity in Section 3 of the IMAP-proof-commute.thy file. The *critical conditions* to satisfy in order to commute, can be summarized as follows:

- The tags of a *create* and *expunge* operation or the messages of an *append* and *store* operation are never in the removed-set of a concurrent *delete* operation.
- The message of an *append* operation is never the message that is deleted by a concurrent *store* or *expunge* operation.
- The message inserted by a *store* operation is never the message that is deleted by a concurrent *store* or *expunge* operation.

The identified conditions obviously hold in regular traces of our system, because an item that has been inserted by one operation cannot be deleted by a concurrent operation. It simply cannot be present at the time of the initiation of the concurrent operation.

Next, we show that the identified conditions actually hold for all concurrent operations. Because all tags and all inserted messages are globally unique, it can easily be shown that Specification 1 The IMAP CmRDT

1: payload map $u : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{P}(ID) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ \triangleright {foldername $f \mapsto (\{ \tan t \}, \{ \operatorname{msg} m \}), \ldots \}$ initial $(\lambda x.(\emptyset, \emptyset))$ 2: 3: **update** create (foldername f) atSource 4: let $\alpha = unique()$ 5: downstream (f, α) 6: $u(f) \mapsto (u(f)_1 \cup \{\alpha\}, u(f)_2)$ 7: **update** delete (foldername f) 8: atSource (f)9: 10: let $R_1 = u(f)_1$ 11: let $R_2 = u(f)_2$ downstream (f, R_1, R_2) 12: $u(f) \mapsto (u(f)_1 \setminus R_1, u(f)_2 \setminus R_2)$ 13:14: **update** append (foldername f, message m) atSource (m)15:**pre** m is globally unique 16:downstream (f, m)17: $u(f) \mapsto (u(f)_1, u(f)_2 \cup \{m\})$ 18:**update** expunge (foldername f, message m) 19:atSource (f, m)20: pre $m \in u(f)_2$ 21: 22: let $\alpha = unique()$ downstream (f, m, α) 23: $u(f) \mapsto (u(f)_1 \cup \{\alpha\}, u(f)_2 \setminus \{m\})$ 24:25: **update** store (foldername f, message m_{old} , message m_{new}) atSource (f, m_{old}, m_{new}) 26:**pre** $m_{\text{old}} \in u(f)_2$ 27:**pre** m_{new} is globally unique 28:downstream $(f, m_{\text{old}}, m_{\text{new}})$ 29: $u(f) \mapsto (u(f)_1, (u(f)_2 \setminus \{m_{\text{old}}\}) \cup \{m_{\text{new}}\})$ 30:

all conditions are satisfied. In Isabelle/HOL, showing this fact takes some effort. Fortunately, we were able to reuse parts of the Isabelle/HOL implementation of the OR-Set proof in [1]. The Isabelle/HOL proofs for the *critical conditions* are encapsulated in the IMAP-proofindependent.thy file.

With the introduced lemmas, we prove the final theorem that states that convergence is guaranteed. Due to all operations being commutative in case the *critical conditions* are satisfied and the *critical conditions* indeed are holding for all concurrent updates, all concurrent operations commute. The Isabelle/HOL proof is contained in the IMAP-proof.thy file.

2 IMAP-CRDT Definitions

We begin by defining the operations on a mailbox state. In addition to the interpretation of the operations, we define valid behaviours for the operations as assumptions for the network. We use the network_with_constrained_ops locale from the framework.

theory IMAP-def imports CRDT.Network begin

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{datatype} \ ('id, \ 'a) \ operation = \\ Create \ 'id \ 'a \ | \\ Delete \ 'id \ set \ 'a \ | \\ Append \ 'id \ 'a \ | \\ Expunge \ 'a \ 'id \ 'id \ | \\ Store \ 'a \ 'id \ 'id \end{array}
```

type-synonym ('*id*, 'a) state = 'a \Rightarrow ('*id* set \times '*id* set)

```
definition op-elem :: ('id, 'a) operation \Rightarrow 'a where
  op-elem oper \equiv case oper of
    Create i \ e \Rightarrow e
    Delete is e \Rightarrow e
    Append i e \Rightarrow e
    Expunde e mo i \Rightarrow e
    Store e \mod i \Rightarrow e
definition interpret-op :: ('id, 'a) operation \Rightarrow ('id, 'a) state \rightarrow ('id, 'a) state
  (\langle\langle -\rangle\rangle \ [0] \ 1000) where
  interpret-op oper state \equiv
    let metadata = fst (state (op-elem oper));
        files = snd (state (op-elem oper));
        after = case oper of
          Create i \ e \Rightarrow (metadata \cup \{i\}, files) \mid
          Delete is e \Rightarrow (metadata - is, files - is)
          Append i \ e \Rightarrow (metadata, files \cup \{i\})
          Expunge e mo i \Rightarrow (metadata \cup \{i\}, files - \{mo\})
          Store e mo i \Rightarrow (metadata, insert i (files - \{mo\}))
```

In the definition of the valid behaviours of the operations, we define additional assumption the state where the operation is executed. In essence, a the tag of a *create*, *append*, *expunge*, and *store* operation is identical to the message number and therefore unique. A *delete* operation deletes all metadata and the content of a folder. The *store* and *expunge* operations must refer to an existing message.

definition valid-behaviours :: ('id, 'a) state \Rightarrow 'id \times ('id, 'a) operation \Rightarrow bool where valid-behaviours state msg \equiv

case msg of (i, Create j e) $\Rightarrow i = j |$ (i, Delete is e) $\Rightarrow is = fst$ (state e) \cup snd (state e) |(i, Append j e) $\Rightarrow i = j |$ (i, Expunge $e \mod j$) $\Rightarrow i = j \land \mod e$ snd (state e) |(i, Store $e \mod j$) $\Rightarrow i = j \land \mod e$ snd (state e)

in Some (state ((op-elem oper) := after))

locale imap = network-with-constrained-ops - interpret-op $\lambda x.$ ({},{}) valid-behaviours

end

3 Commutativity of IMAP Commands

In this section we prove the commutativity of operations and identify the edge cases.

theory IMAP-proof-commute imports IMAP-defbegin **lemma** (in *imap*) create-create-commute: shows (Create i1 e1) \triangleright (Create i2 e2) = (Create i2 e2) \triangleright (Create i1 e1) **by**(*auto simp add: interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def, fastforce*) **lemma** (in *imap*) create-delete-commute: assumes $i \notin is$ shows $\langle Create \ i \ e1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Delete \ is \ e2 \rangle = \langle Delete \ is \ e2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Create \ i \ e1 \rangle$ using assms by (auto simp add: interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def, fastforce) **lemma** (in *imap*) create-append-commute: **shows** $\langle Create \ i1 \ e1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Append \ i2 \ e2 \rangle = \langle Append \ i2 \ e2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Create \ i1 \ e1 \rangle$ **by**(*auto simp add: interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def, fastforce*) **lemma** (in *imap*) create-expunge-commute: shows (Create i1 e1) \triangleright (Expunge e2 mo i2) = (Expunge e2 mo i2) \triangleright (Create i1 e1) **by**(*auto simp add: interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def, fastforce*) **lemma** (in *imap*) create-store-commute: **shows** $\langle Create \ i1 \ e1 \rangle \rhd \langle Store \ e2 \ mo \ i2 \rangle = \langle Store \ e2 \ mo \ i2 \rangle \rhd \langle Create \ i1 \ e1 \rangle$ **by**(*auto simp add: interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def, fastforce*) **lemma** (in *imap*) *delete-delete-commute*: **shows** $\langle Delete \ i1 \ e1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Delete \ i2 \ e2 \rangle = \langle Delete \ i2 \ e2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Delete \ i1 \ e1 \rangle$ **by**(unfold interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def, fastforce) **lemma** (in *imap*) *delete-append-commute*: assumes $i \notin is$ **shows** $\langle Delete \ is \ e1 \rangle \mathrel{\vartriangleright} \langle Append \ i \ e2 \rangle \mathrel{\sqsubseteq} \langle Append \ i \ e2 \rangle \mathrel{\vartriangleright} \langle Delete \ is \ e1 \rangle$ using assms by (auto simp add: interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def, fastforce) **lemma** (in *imap*) *delete-expunge-commute*: assumes $i \notin is$ **shows** $\langle Delete \ is \ e1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Expunge \ e2 \ mo \ i \rangle = \langle Expunge \ e2 \ mo \ i \rangle \triangleright \langle Delete \ is \ e1 \rangle$ using assms by (auto simp add: interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def, fastforce)

lemma (in *imap*) delete-store-commute: **assumes** $i \notin is$ **shows** $\langle Delete \ is \ e1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Store \ e2 \ mo \ i \rangle = \langle Store \ e2 \ mo \ i \rangle \triangleright \langle Delete \ is \ e1 \rangle$ **using** assms **by**(auto simp add: interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def, fastforce)

lemma (in *imap*) append-append-commute:

shows $\langle Append \ i1 \ e1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Append \ i2 \ e2 \rangle = \langle Append \ i2 \ e2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Append \ i1 \ e1 \rangle$ **by**(*auto simp add: interpret-op-def op-elem-def kleisli-def, fastforce*)

lemma (in *imap*) append-expunge-commute: **assumes** $i1 \neq mo$ **shows** ($\langle Append \ i1 \ e1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Expunge \ e2 \ mo \ i2 \rangle$) = ($\langle Expunge \ e2 \ mo \ i2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Append \ i1 \ e1 \rangle$) **proof fix** x **show** ($\langle Append \ i1 \ e1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Expunge \ e2 \ mo \ i2 \rangle$) $x = (\langle Expunge \ e2 \ mo \ i2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Append \ i1 \ e1 \rangle) x$ **using** assms **by**(auto simp add: interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def) **qed**

lemma (in imap) append-store-commute: assumes $i1 \neq mo$ shows ($\langle Append \ i1 \ e1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Store \ e2 \ mo \ i2 \rangle \rangle = (\langle Store \ e2 \ mo \ i2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Append \ i1 \ e1 \rangle)$ proof fix x show ($\langle Append \ i1 \ e1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Store \ e2 \ mo \ i2 \rangle \rangle x = (\langle Store \ e2 \ mo \ i2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Append \ i1 \ e1 \rangle) x$ using assms by(auto simp add: interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def) qed

lemma (in *imap*) *expunge-expunge-commute*:

shows ($\langle Expunge \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Expunge \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle) = (\langle Expunge \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Expunge \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle)$ proof fix x show ($\langle Expunge \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Expunge \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle) x$ $= (\langle Expunge \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Expunge \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle) x$ by(auto simp add: interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def) qed

lemma (in *imap*) *expunge-store-commute*:

assumes $i1 \neq mo2$ and $i2 \neq mo1$

shows ($\langle Expunge \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Store \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle$) = ($\langle Store \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Expunge \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle$)

proof

fix x

show ($\langle Expunge \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Store \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle$) $x = (\langle Store \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Expunge \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle) x$

unfolding interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def **using** assms(2) **by** (simp, fastforce)**qed**

lemma (in *imap*) store-store-commute:

assumes $i1 \neq mo2$ and $i2 \neq mo1$ shows ($\langle Store \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Store \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle$) = ($\langle Store \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Store \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle$) proof fix x

show ($\langle Store \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle \triangleright \langle Store \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle$) $x = (\langle Store \ e2 \ mo2 \ i2 \rangle \triangleright \langle Store \ e1 \ mo1 \ i1 \rangle)$ x

unfolding *interpret-op-def kleisli-def op-elem-def* **using** *assms* **by** (*simp*, *fastforce*) **qed**

end

4 Proof Helpers

In this section we define and prove lemmas that help to show that all identified critical conditions hold for concurrent operations. Many of the following parts are derivations from the definitions and lemmas of Gomes et al.

theory

IMAP-proof-helpers imports IMAP-def

begin

```
lemma (in imap) apply-operations-never-fails:
 assumes xs prefix of i
 shows apply-operations xs \neq None
 using assms proof(induction xs rule: rev-induct, clarsimp)
 case (snoc \ x \ xs) thus ?case
 proof (cases x)
   case (Broadcast e) thus ?thesis
     using snoc by force
 \mathbf{next}
   case (Deliver e) thus ?thesis
     using snoc apply clarsimp unfolding interp-msg-def apply-operations-def
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) bind.bind-lunit interpret-op-def prefix-of-appendD)
 qed
qed
lemma (in imap) create-id-valid:
 assumes xs prefix of j
   and Deliver (i1, Create i2 e) \in set xs
 shows i1 = i2
proof –
 have \exists s. valid-behaviours s (i1, Create i2 e)
   using assms deliver-in-prefix-is-valid by blast
 thus ?thesis
   by(simp add: valid-behaviours-def)
qed
```

lemma (in *imap*) append-id-valid: **assumes** xs prefix of jand Deliver (i1, Append i2 e) \in set xs shows i1 = i2proof have $\exists s. valid-behaviours s (i1, Append i2 e)$ using assms deliver-in-prefix-is-valid by blast thus ?thesis **by**(*simp add: valid-behaviours-def*) qed **lemma** (in *imap*) *expunge-id-valid*: **assumes** xs prefix of jand Deliver (i1, Expunde e mo i2) \in set xs shows i1 = i2proof – have $\exists s. valid-behaviours s (i1, Expunge e mo i2)$ using assms deliver-in-prefix-is-valid by blast thus ?thesis **by**(*simp add: valid-behaviours-def*) qed **lemma** (in *imap*) store-id-valid: **assumes** xs prefix of jand Deliver (i1, Store e mo i2) \in set xs shows i1 = i2proof have $\exists s. valid-behaviours s (i1, Store e mo i2)$ using assms deliver-in-prefix-is-valid by blast thus ?thesis **by**(simp add: valid-behaviours-def) qed definition (in *imap*) added-ids :: ('id \times ('id, 'b) operation) event list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'id list where added-ids es $p \equiv List.map$ -filter (λx . case x of

Deliver (i, Create j e) \Rightarrow if e = p then Some j else None | Deliver (i, Expunge $e \mod j$) \Rightarrow if e = p then Some j else None | $- \Rightarrow$ None) es

definition (in *imap*) added-files :: ('id × ('id, 'b) operation) event list \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'id list where added-files es $p \equiv List.map$ -filter (λx . case x of Deliver (i, Append j e) \Rightarrow if e = p then Some j else None | Deliver (i, Store e mo j) \Rightarrow if e = p then Some j else None | $- \Rightarrow None$) es

— added files simplifier

lemma (in *imap*) [*simp*]:

shows added-files [] e = []by (auto simp: added-files-def map-filter-def)

- **lemma** (in *imap*) [*simp*]: **shows** added-files (xs @ ys) e = added-files xs e @ added-files ys e**by** (*auto simp*: added-files-def map-filter-append)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-files-Broadcast-collapse [simp]: **shows** added-files ([Broadcast e]) e' = []**by** (auto simp: added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-files-Deliver-Delete-collapse [simp]: **shows** added-files ([Deliver (i, Delete is e)]) e' = []**by** (auto simp: added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-files-Deliver-Create-collapse [simp]: **shows** added-files ([Deliver (i, Create j e)]) e' = []**by** (auto simp: added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (**in** *imap*) added-files-Deliver-Expunge-collapse [simp]: **shows** added-files ([Deliver (*i*, Expunge e mo j)]) e' = []**by** (auto simp: added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-files-Deliver-Append-diff-collapse [simp]: **shows** $e \neq e' \Longrightarrow$ added-files ([Deliver (i, Append j e)]) e' = []**by** (auto simp: added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (**in** *imap*) added-files-Deliver-Append-same-collapse [simp]: **shows** added-files ([Deliver (*i*, Append *j* e)]) e = [j]**by** (auto simp: added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-files-Deliver-Store-diff-collapse [simp]: **shows** $e \neq e' \Longrightarrow$ added-files ([Deliver (i, Store e mo j)]) e' = []**by** (auto simp: added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-files-Deliver-Store-same-collapse [simp]: shows added-files ([Deliver (i, Store e mo j)]) e = [j]by (auto simp: added-files-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)

— added ids simplifier

lemma (in imap) [simp]:
 shows added-ids [] e = []
 by (auto simp: added-ids-def map-filter-def)

lemma (**in** *imap*) *split-ids* [*simp*]: **shows** *added-ids* (xs @ ys) e = added-ids xs e @ added-ids ys e**by** (*auto simp: added-ids-def map-filter-append*)

- lemma (in imap) added-ids-Broadcast-collapse [simp]:
 shows added-ids ([Broadcast e]) e' = []
 by (auto simp: added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-ids-Deliver-Delete-collapse [simp]: **shows** added-ids ([Deliver (i, Delete is e)]) e' = []**by** (auto simp: added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-ids-Deliver-Append-collapse [simp]: **shows** added-ids ([Deliver (i, Append j e)]) e' = []**by** (auto simp: added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- lemma (in imap) added-ids-Deliver-Store-collapse [simp]:
 shows added-ids ([Deliver (i, Store e mo j)]) e' = []
 by (auto simp: added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-ids-Deliver-Create-diff-collapse [simp]: **shows** $e \neq e' \Longrightarrow$ added-ids ([Deliver (i, Create j e)]) e' = []**by** (auto simp: added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-ids-Deliver-Expunge-diff-collapse [simp]: **shows** $e \neq e' \Longrightarrow$ added-ids ([Deliver (i, Expunge e mo j)]) e' = []**by** (auto simp: added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-ids-Deliver-Create-same-collapse [simp]: **shows** added-ids ([Deliver (i, Create j e)]) e = [j]**by** (auto simp: added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)
- **lemma** (in *imap*) added-ids-Deliver-Expunge-same-collapse [simp]: **shows** added-ids ([Deliver (i, Expunge e mo j)]) e = [j]**by** (auto simp: added-ids-def map-filter-append map-filter-def)

lemma (in *imap*) expunge-id-not-in-set: **assumes** $i1 \notin set$ (added-ids [Deliver (i, Expunge e mo i2)] e) **shows** $i1 \neq i2$ **using** assms by simp

```
lemma (in imap) apply-operations-added-ids:

assumes es prefix of j

and apply-operations es = Some f

shows fst (f x) \subseteq set (added-ids es x)

using assms proof (induct es arbitrary: f rule: rev-induct, force)

case (snoc x xs) thus ?case

proof (cases x, force)

case (Deliver e)

moreover obtain a b where e = (a, b) by force

ultimately show ?thesis

using snoc by(case-tac b; clarsimp simp: interp-msq-def split: bind-splits,
```

force split: if-split-asm simp add: op-elem-def interpret-op-def) qed

 \mathbf{qed}

```
lemma (in imap) apply-operations-added-files:
 assumes es prefix of j
   and apply-operations es = Some f
 shows snd (f x) \subseteq set (added-files es x)
 using assms proof (induct es arbitrary: f rule: rev-induct, force)
 case (snoc \ x \ xs) thus ?case
 proof (cases x, force)
   case (Deliver e)
   moreover obtain a \ b where e = (a, b) by force
   ultimately show ?thesis
     using snoc by (case-tac b; clarsimp simp: interp-msq-def split: bind-splits,
        force split: if-split-asm simp add: op-elem-def interpret-op-def)
 qed
qed
lemma (in imap) Deliver-added-files:
 assumes xs prefix of j
   and i \in set (added-files xs e)
 shows Deliver (i, Append i e) \in set xs \lor (\exists mo . Deliver (i, Store e mo i) \in set xs)
 using assms proof (induct xs rule: rev-induct, clarsimp)
 case (snoc \ x \ xs) thus ?case
 proof (cases x, force)
   case X: (Deliver e')
   moreover obtain a b where E: e' = (a, b) by force
   ultimately show ?thesis using snoc
    apply (case-tac b; clarify) apply (simp, metis prefix-of-appendD, force)
     using append-id-valid apply simp
     using E apply (metis
        added-files-Deliver-Append-diff-collapse added-files-Deliver-Append-same-collapse
        empty-iff in-set-conv-decomp list.set(1) prefix-of-appendD set-ConsD, simp)
     using E apply-operations-added-files apply (blast,simp)
     using E apply-operations-added-files
     by (metis Un-iff
        added-files-Deliver-Store-diff-collapse added-files-Deliver-Store-same-collapse empty-iff
        empty-set list.set-intros(1) prefix-of-appendD set-ConsD set-append store-id-valid)
 qed
```

qed

end

5 Independence of IMAP Commands

In this section we show that two concurrent operations that reference to the same tag must be identical.

theory IMAP-proof-independentimports IMAP-defIMAP-proof-helpersbegin **lemma** (in *imap*) Broadcast-Expunge-Deliver-prefix-closed: **assumes** xs @ [Broadcast (i, Expunge e mo i)] prefix of j**shows** Deliver (mo, Append mo e) \in set $xs \lor$ $(\exists mo2 \ . \ Deliver \ (mo, \ Store \ e \ mo2 \ mo) \in set \ xs)$ proof **obtain** y where apply-operations xs = Some yusing assms broadcast-only-valid-msgs by blast moreover hence $mo \in snd (y e)$ using broadcast-only-valid-msgs[of xs (i, Expunge e mo i) j] valid-behaviours-def[of y (i, Expunge e mo i)] assms by auto ultimately show *?thesis* using assms Deliver-added-files apply-operations-added-files by blast qed **lemma** (in *imap*) Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed: **assumes** xs @ [Broadcast (i, Store e mo i)] prefix of j**shows** Deliver (mo, Append mo e) \in set xs \lor $(\exists mo2 : Deliver (mo, Store e mo2 mo) \in set xs)$ proof – **obtain** y where apply-operations xs = Some y

```
using assms broadcast-only-valid-msgs by blast
moreover hence mo \in snd (y e)
using broadcast-only-valid-msgs[of xs (i, Store e mo i) j]
valid-behaviours-def[of y (i, Store e mo i)] assms by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
using assms Deliver-added-files apply-operations-added-files by blast
qed
```

```
lemma (in imap) Deliver-added-ids:
assumes xs prefix of j
and i \in set (added-ids xs e)
shows Deliver (i, Create i e) \in set xs \lor
(\exists mo. Deliver (i, Expunge e mo i) \in set xs)
using assms proof (induct xs rule: rev-induct, clarsimp)
case (snoc x xs) thus ?case
proof (cases x, force)
case X: (Deliver e')
moreover obtain a b where e' = (a, b) by force
ultimately show ?thesis
using snoc apply (case-tac b; clarify)
apply (simp, metis added-ids-Deliver-Create-diff-collapse
added-ids-Deliver-Create-same-collapse empty-iff list.set(1) set-ConsD create-id-valid
```

```
in-set-conv-decomp prefix-of-appendD, force)
      using append-id-valid apply (simp, metis (no-types, lifting) prefix-of-appendD, simp,
metis
        Un-iff added-ids-Deliver-Expunge-diff-collapse added-ids-Deliver-Expunge-same-collapse
        empty-iff expunge-id-valid list.set(1) list.set-intros(1) prefix-of-appendD set-ConsD
        set-append)
     by (simp, blast)
 qed
qed
lemma (in imap) Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed:
 assumes xs @ [Broadcast (r, Delete ix e)] prefix of j
   and i \in ix
 shows Deliver (i, Create \ i \ e) \in set \ xs \lor
   Deliver (i, Append i e) \in set xs \lor
   (\exists mo \ . \ Deliver \ (i, \ Expunge \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ xs) \lor
   (\exists mo \ . \ Deliver \ (i, \ Store \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ xs)
proof –
 obtain y where apply-operations xs = Some y
   using assms broadcast-only-valid-msgs by blast
 moreover hence ix = fst (y e) \cup snd (y e)
   by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) assms(1) broadcast-only-valid-msgs operation.case(2)
       option.simps(1) valid-behaviours-def case-prodD)
 ultimately show ?thesis
   using assms Deliver-added-ids apply-operations-added-ids
   by (metis Deliver-added-files Un-iff apply-operations-added-files le-iff-sup prefix-of-appendD)
qed
lemma (in imap) concurrent-create-delete-independent-technical:
 assumes i \in is
   and xs prefix of j
   and (i, Create \ i \ e) \in set (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
   and (ir, Delete is e) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)
 shows hb (i, Create i e) (ir, Delete is e)
proof –
 have f1: Deliver (i, Create \ i \ e) \in set \ (history \ j)
   using assms prefix-msq-in-history by blast
 obtain pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (ir, Delete is e)] prefix of k
   using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msq-in-history by blast
 hence f2: Deliver (i, Create \ i \ e) \in set \ pre \lor
               Deliver (i, Append \ i \ e) \in set \ pre \lor
               (\exists mo \ . \ Deliver \ (i, \ Expunge \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ pre) \lor
               (\exists mo \ . \ Deliver \ (i, \ Store \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ pre)
   using Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed assms by auto
 have f3: Deliver (i, Append i e) \notin set pre using f1 P
  by (metis (full-types) Pair-inject fst-conv network.delivery-has-a-cause network.msg-id-unique
       network-axioms operation.simps(9) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD)
```

```
13
```

have $f_4: \forall mo$. Deliver $(i, Expunge \ e \ mo \ i) \notin set \ pre \ using \ f1 \ P$ by (metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique old prod.inject operation.simps(11) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD) have \forall mo. Deliver (i, Store e mo i) \notin set pre using f1 P by (metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique old.prod.inject operation.simps(13) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD) thus ?thesis using f2 f3 f4 P events-in-local-order hb-deliver by blast qed **lemma** (in *imap*) concurrent-store-expunge-independent-technical: **assumes** xs prefix of jand $(i, Store \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ and $(r, Expunge \ e \ i \ r) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ **shows** hb (*i*, Store *e* mo *i*) (*r*, Expunge *e i r*) proof – **obtain** pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (r, Expunge e i r)] prefix of k using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast **moreover hence** f1: Deliver $(i, Append \ i \ e) \in set \ pre \lor$ $(\exists mo2 \ . \ Deliver \ (i, \ Store \ e \ mo2 \ i) \in set \ pre)$ using Broadcast-Expunge-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1) by auto **hence** f2: Deliver $(i, Append \ i \ e) \notin set (history \ k)$ by (metis Pair-inject assms(1) assms(2) fst-conv msg-id-unique network delivery-has-a-cause *network-axioms operation.distinct*(17) *prefix-msg-in-history*) from f1 obtain mo2 :: 'a where Deliver (i, Store e mo2 i) \in set (history k) using f2 using P prefix-elem-to-carriers by blast hence Deliver (i, Store e mo i) \in set (history k) using assms f1 f2 P $\mathbf{by} \ (metis \ fst-conv \ msg-id-unique \ network. delivery-has-a-cause \ network-axioms$ prefix-msg-in-history) then show ?thesis using hb.intros(2) events-in-local-order f1 f2 P by (metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique node-histories.prefix-of-appendD node-histories-axioms prefix-elem-to-carriers) qed **lemma** (in *imap*) concurrent-store-expunge-independent-technical2: **assumes** xs prefix of jand (i, Store e1 mo2 i) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs) and $(r, Expunge \ e \ mo \ r) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ shows $mo2 \neq r$ proof – obtain oid :: 'a \times ('a, 'b) operation \Rightarrow nat where oid: $\forall p \ n$. Deliver $p \notin set$ (history n) \lor Broadcast $p \in set$ (history (oid p)) by (metis (no-types) delivery-has-a-cause) hence f1: Broadcast $(r, Expunge \ e \ mo \ r) \in set \ (history \ (oid \ (r, Expunge \ e \ mo \ r)))$ using assms(1) assms(3) prefix-msg-in-history by blast **obtain** $k :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a \times ('a, 'b) \text{ operation}) \text{ event list} \Rightarrow 'a where k:$ $\forall i \ e \ pre. \ (\exists mo. \ Deliver \ (i, \ Store \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ pre) =$

(Deliver (i, Store e (k i e pre) i) \in set pre) by moura **obtain** pre :: nat \Rightarrow ('a × ('a, 'b) operation) event \Rightarrow ('a × ('a, 'b) operation) event list where $pre: \forall k \ op1. \ (\exists \ op2. \ op2 \ @ \ [op1] \ prefix \ of \ k) = (pre \ k \ op1 \ @ \ [op1] \ prefix \ of \ k)$ by moura **hence** $f2: \forall e \ n. \ e \notin set \ (history \ n) \lor pre \ n \ e @ [e] prefix of n$ using events-before-exist by simp **hence** f3: pre (oid (i, Store e1 mo2 i)) (Broadcast (i, Store e1 mo2 i)) prefix of oid $(i, Store \ e1 \ mo2 \ i)$ using oid assms(1) assms(2) prefix-msg-in-history by blasthave f_4 : Deliver $(r, Append r e_1) \notin set (history (oid (i, Store e_1 mo_2 i)))$ by (metis (no-types) oid f1 fst-conv msg-id-unique old.prod.inject operation.distinct(15)) have Deliver $(r, Store \ e1 \ (k \ r \ e1 \ (pre \ (oid \ (i, Store \ e1 \ mo2 \ i))))$ $(Broadcast \ (i, \ Store \ e1 \ mo2 \ i))) \ r) \notin set \ (history \ (oid \ (i, \ Store \ e1 \ mo2 \ i)))$ by (metis (no-types) oid f1 fst-conv msq-id-unique old.prod.inject operation.distinct(19)) thus ?thesis using oid k f2 f3 f4 assms by (metis (no-types, lifting) Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed network.prefix-msg-in-history network-axioms prefix-elem-to-carriers) qed **lemma** (in *imap*) concurrent-store-delete-independent-technical: assumes $i \in is$ and xs prefix of jand $(i, Store \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ and $(ir, Delete is e) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)$ **shows** hb (*i*, Store *e* mo *i*) (*ir*, Delete *is e*) proof – have f1: Deliver (i, Store e mo i) \in set (history j) using assms prefix-msq-in-history by auto**obtain** pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (ir, Delete is e)] prefix of k using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast **hence** f2: Deliver $(i, Create \ i \ e) \in set \ pre \lor$ Deliver $(i, Append \ i \ e) \in set \ pre \lor$ $(\exists mo \ . \ Deliver \ (i, \ Expunge \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ pre) \lor$ $(\exists mo \ . \ Deliver \ (i, \ Store \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ pre)$ using Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1) by auto have f3: Deliver (i, Create i e) \notin set pre using f1 P by (metis Pair-inject delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.distinct(7) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD) have f4: Deliver (i, Append i e) \notin set pre using f1 P by (metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.distinct(17) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD prod.inject) **have** \forall mo. Deliver (i, Expunge e mo i) \notin set pre using f1 P by (metis Pair-inject delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.simps(25) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD) hence Deliver (i, Store e mo i) \in set pre using f1 f2 f3 f4 P by (metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique node-histories.prefix-of-appendD node-histories-axioms prefix-elem-to-carriers) thus ?thesis using P events-in-local-order hb-deliver by blast

\mathbf{qed}

lemma (in *imap*) concurrent-append-delete-independent-technical: assumes $i \in is$ and xs prefix of jand $(i, Append \ i \ e) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ and $(ir, Delete is e) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)$ **shows** hb (*i*, Append *i* e) (*ir*, Delete *is* e) proof – **obtain** pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (ir, Delete is e)] prefix of k using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msq-in-history by blast **hence** f1: Deliver $(i, Create \ i \ e) \in set \ pre \lor$ Deliver $(i, Append \ i \ e) \in set \ pre \lor$ $(\exists mo \ . \ Deliver \ (i, \ Expunge \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ pre) \lor$ $(\exists mo \ . \ Deliver \ (i, \ Store \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ pre)$ **using** Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1) by auto hence Deliver $(i, Append \ i \ e) \in set \ pre \ using \ assms \ P \ f1$ by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) delivery-has-a-cause events-in-local-order fst-conv hb-broadcast-exists1 hb-deliver msg-id-unique prefix-msg-in-history) thus ?thesis using P events-in-local-order hb-deliver by blast qed **lemma** (in *imap*) concurrent-append-expunge-independent-technical: assumes i = moand xs prefix of jand $(i, Append \ i \ e) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ and $(r, Expunge \ e \ mo \ r) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ **shows** hb (*i*, Append *i* e) (*r*, Expunde e mo r) proof – **obtain** pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (r, Expunge e mo r)] prefix of k using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast **hence** f1: Deliver (mo, Append mo e) \in set pre \vee $(\exists mo2 \ . \ Deliver \ (mo, \ Store \ e \ mo2 \ mo) \in set \ pre)$ using Broadcast-Expunge-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1) by auto **hence** $(\forall mo2 \ . \ Deliver \ (mo, \ Store \ e \ mo2 \ mo) \notin set \ pre)$ using P assms proof – have Deliver (mo, Append mo e) \in set (history j) using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) prefix-msg-in-history by blastthus ?thesis by (metis (no-types) P Pair-inject delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.simps(23) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD) qed thus ?thesis using hb.intros(2) events-in-local-order assms(1) P f1 by blast qed **lemma** (in *imap*) concurrent-append-store-independent-technical: assumes i = moand xs prefix of j

```
and (i, Append \ i \ e) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
   and (r, Store \ e \ mo \ r) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
 shows hb (i, Append i e) (r, Store e mo r)
proof –
 obtain pre k where pre: pre@[Broadcast (r, Store e mo r)] prefix of k
   using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast
 moreover hence f1: Deliver (mo, Append mo e) \in set pre \vee
 (\exists mo2 \ . \ Deliver \ (mo, \ Store \ e \ mo2 \ mo) \in set \ pre)
   using Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1) by auto
 have f2: Deliver (i, Append \ i \ e) \in set (history j)
   by (meson assms network.prefix-msq-in-history network-axioms)
 then show ?thesis using assms f1
   by (metis pre delivery-has-a-cause events-in-local-order fst-conv hb-deliver
       msg-id-unique node-histories.prefix-of-appendD node-histories-axioms
       prefix-elem-to-carriers)
qed
lemma (in imap) concurrent-expunge-delete-independent-technical:
 assumes i \in is
   and xs prefix of j
   and (i, Expunge \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
   and (ir, Delete is e) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)
 shows hb (i, Expunge e mo i) (ir, Delete is e)
proof -
 obtain pre k where pre: pre@[Broadcast (ir, Delete is e)] prefix of k
   using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast
 moreover hence A: Deliver (i, Create \ i \ e) \in set \ pre \lor
 Deliver (i, Append i e) \in set pre \lor
 (\exists mo \ . \ Deliver \ (i, \ Expunge \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ pre) \lor
 (\exists mo \ . \ Deliver \ (i, \ Store \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ pre)
   using Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1) by auto
 hence Deliver (i, Expunge e mo i) \in set pre using assms
 proof –
   have f1: \bigwedge e. e \notin set pre \lor e \in set (history k)
     using pre prefix-elem-to-carriers by blast
   have f2: Deliver (i, Expunge e mo i) \in set (history j)
     by (meson assms network.prefix-msq-in-history network-axioms)
   then show ?thesis using f1 A
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause
        network-axioms)
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis
   using hb.intros(2) events-in-local-order by blast
qed
lemma (in imap) concurrent-store-store-independent-technical:
 assumes xs prefix of j
   and (i, Store \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
```

```
and (r, Store \ e \ i \ r) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
```

shows hb (*i*, Store *e* mo *i*) (*r*, Store *e i r*) proof – **obtain** pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (r, Store e i r)] prefix of k using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast **hence** $f1: \forall e. e \notin set pre \lor e \in set (history k)$ using prefix-elem-to-carriers by blast have f_2 : Deliver $(i, Append \ i \ e) \in set \ pre \lor (\exists mo2 \ . Deliver \ (i, Store \ e \ mo2 \ i) \in set \ pre)$ using Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1) P by auto **hence** Deliver $(i, Store \ e \ mo \ i) \in set \ pre \ using \ assms \ f1$ by (metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msq-id-unique prefix-msq-in-history) then show ?thesis using hb.intros(2) events-in-local-order P by blast qed **lemma** (in *imap*) *expunge-delete-taq-causality*: assumes $i \in is$ and xs prefix of jand $(i, Expunge \ e1 \ mo \ i) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ and $(ir, Delete is e2) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)$ and pre@[Broadcast (ir, Delete is e2)] prefix of k **shows** Deliver $(i, Expunge \ e2 \ mo \ i) \in set (history \ k)$ proofhave f1: Deliver (i, Append i e2) \notin set (history k) using assms by (metis fst-conv msq-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject operation.distinct(15) prefix-msg-in-history) have f2: Deliver (i, Create i e2) \notin set (history k) using assms by (metis fst-conv msq-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject operation.distinct(5) prefix-msg-in-history) have $f3: \forall mo. Deliver (i, Store e2 mo i) \notin set (history k)$ using assms by (metis Pair-inject fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms operation.simps(25) prefix-msq-in-history) **hence** \exists mo1. Deliver (i, Expunge e2 mo1 i) \in set (history k) using assms f1 f2 $\mathbf{by}\ (meson\ imap. Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed\ imap-axioms\ node-histories. prefix-of-append Distribution (meson\ imap. Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed\ imap.$ *node-histories-axioms prefix-elem-to-carriers*) then obtain mo1 :: 'a where Deliver $(i, Expunge \ e2 \ mo1 \ i) \in set \ (history \ k)$ by blast then show ?thesis using assms f1 f2 f3 by (metis fst-conv msq-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject operation.inject(4) prefix-msg-in-history) qed **lemma** (in *imap*) *expunge-delete-ids-imply-messages-same*: assumes $i \in is$

and xs prefix of j and (i, Expunge e1 mo i) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)

and $(ir, Delete is e2) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)$ shows e1 = e2proof – **obtain** pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (ir, Delete is e^2)] prefix of k using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast hence Deliver (i, Expunge e2 mo i) \in set (history k) using assms expunge-delete-tag-causality by blast then show ?thesis using assms by (metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv network.msg-id-unique network-axioms operation.inject(4) prefix-msg-in-history prod.inject) qed **lemma** (in *imap*) store-delete-ids-imply-messages-same: assumes $i \in is$ and xs prefix of jand $(i, Store \ e1 \ mo \ i) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ and $(ir, Delete is e2) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)$ shows e1 = e2proof – **obtain** pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (ir, Delete is e2)] prefix of k using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast have f1: Deliver (i, Append i e2) \notin set (history k) using assms by (metis fst-conv msq-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject operation.distinct(17) prefix-msg-in-history) have $f2: \forall mo. Deliver (i, Expunge e2 mo i) \notin set (history k)$ using assms by (metis Pair-inject fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms operation.distinct(19) prefix-msq-in-history) have f3: Deliver (i, Create i e2) \notin set (history k) using assms by (metis fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject operation.distinct(8) prefix-msq-in-history) hence $(\exists mo1. Deliver (i, Store e2 mo1 i) \in set pre)$ using assms P f1 f2 imap-axioms by (meson imap.Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD) then obtain mo1 :: 'a where f3: Deliver (i, Store e2 mo1 i) \in set pre by blast then have f4: Deliver (i, Store e2 mol i) \in set (history k) using P prefix-elem-to-carriers by blast hence Deliver (i, Store e2 mo i) \in set pre using f2 f3 assms by (metis fst-conv msq-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject operation.inject(5) prefix-msg-in-history) **moreover have** $Deliver(i, Store \ e1 \ mo \ i) \in set \ (history \ j)$ using assms(2) assms(3) prefix-msg-in-history by blast ultimately show ?thesis using f4 by (metis delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique old prod inject operation inject (5)) qed **lemma** (in *imap*) create-delete-ids-imply-messages-same:

assumes $i \in is$ and xs prefix of jand $(i, Create \ i \ e1) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ and $(ir, Delete is e2) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)$ shows e1 = e2proof **obtain** pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (ir, Delete is e2)] prefix of k using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast have f1: Deliver (i, Append i e2) \notin set (history k) by (metis assms(2) assms(3) delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv network.msq-id-uniquenetwork.prefix-msq-in-history network-axioms operation.distinct(3) prod.inject) **have** $f2: \forall mo. Deliver (i, Expunge e2 mo i) \notin set (history k)$ by $(metis \ assms(2) \ assms(3) \ fst-conv \ msg-id-unique \ network. delivery-has-a-cause \ net$ work-axioms old.prod.inject operation.distinct(5) prefix-msq-in-history) have $f3: \forall mo. Deliver (i, Store e2 mo i) \notin set (history k)$ by (metis Pair-inject assms(2) assms(3) delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.distinct(7) prefix-msg-in-history) hence Deliver (i, Create i e2) \in set pre using assms P f2 f1 imap-axioms by (meson imap.Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD) then show ?thesis using f1 f2 f3 by (metis (no-types, lifting) P assms(2) assms(3) delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique node-histories.prefix-of-appendD node-histories-axioms old.prod.inject operation.inject(1)prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-msg-in-history) qed **lemma** (in *imap*) append-delete-ids-imply-messages-same: assumes $i \in is$ and xs prefix of jand $(i, Append \ i \ e1) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ and $(ir, Delete is e2) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)$ shows e1 = e2proof – **obtain** pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (ir, Delete is e2)] prefix of k using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast hence f1: $\bigwedge e. e \in set \ pre \Longrightarrow e \in set \ (history \ k)$ using prefix-elem-to-carriers by blast

have f2: Deliver (i, Create i e2) \notin set pre using P f1

by (metis assms(2) assms(3) fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms

old.prod.inject operation.distinct(3) prefix-msg-in-history)

moreover have $D1: \forall mo. Deliver (i, Expunge e2 mo i) \notin set pre using P f1$

by (metis Pair-inject assms(2) assms(3) fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause

network-axioms operation.distinct(15) *prefix-msg-in-history*)

moreover have $D2: \forall$ mo. Deliver (i, Store e2 mo i) \notin set pre using P f1

by (metis Pair-inject assms(2) assms(3) fst-conv msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause

```
network-axioms operation.simps(23) prefix-msg-in-history)
 moreover hence Deliver (i, Append \ i \ e2) \in set \ pre
   using P D1 D2 f2 assms(1) Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed by blast
 moreover have Deliver (i, Append \ i \ e1) \in set (history j)
   using assms(2) assms(3) prefix-msg-in-history by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis using assms
   by (metis f1 msg-id-unique network.delivery-has-a-cause network-axioms old.prod.inject
      operation.inject(3) \ prod.sel(1))
qed
lemma (in imap) append-expunge-ids-imply-messages-same:
 assumes i = mo
   and xs prefix of j
   and (i, Append \ i \ e1) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
   and (r, Expunge \ e2 \ mo \ r) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
 shows e1 = e2
proof –
 obtain pre k where pre: pre@[Broadcast (r, Expunge e2 mo r)] prefix of k
   using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast
 moreover hence Deliver (mo, Append mo e2) \in set pre \lor
 (\exists mo2 : Deliver (mo, Store e2 mo2 mo) \in set pre)
   using Broadcast-Expunge-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1)
   by (meson imap.Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed imap-axioms)
 hence Deliver (i, Append i e^2) \in set pre using assms
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) pre delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv hb-broadcast-exists1
      msq-id-unique network.hb-deliver network.prefix-msq-in-history network-axioms
      node-histories.events-in-local-order node-histories-axioms operation.distinct(17)
      prod.inject)
 moreover have Deliver (i, Append \ i \ e1) \in set (history \ j)
   using assms(2) assms(3) prefix-msg-in-history by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) fst-conv network.delivery-has-a-cause network.msq-id-unique
      network-axioms operation.inject(3) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD prod.inject)
qed
lemma (in imap) append-store-ids-imply-messages-same:
 assumes i = mo
   and xs prefix of j
   and (i, Append \ i \ e1) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
   and (r, Store \ e2 \ mo \ r) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
 shows e1 = e2
proof –
 obtain pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (r, Store e2 mo r)] prefix of k
   using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msq-in-history by blast
 moreover hence A: Deliver (mo, Append mo e^2) \in set pre \vee
 (\exists mo2 \ . \ Deliver \ (mo, \ Store \ e2 \ mo2 \ mo) \in set \ pre)
   using Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1)
   by (meson imap.Broadcast-Deliver-prefix-closed imap-axioms)
 have f1: Deliver (i, Append \ i \ e1) \in set (history \ j)
```

```
using assms(2) assms(3) prefix-msg-in-history by blast
 hence Deliver (i, Append \ i \ e2) \in set \ pre \ using \ assms \ P \ A
   by (metis Pair-inject assms(1) P delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msq-id-unique
      operation.simps(23) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD)
 then show ?thesis using f1
   by (metis P delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique
      node-histories.prefix-of-appendD node-histories-axioms operation.inject(3)
      prefix-elem-to-carriers prod.inject)
qed
lemma (in imap) expunge-store-ids-imply-messages-same:
 assumes xs prefix of j
   and (i, Store \ e1 \ mo \ i) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
   and (r, Expunge \ e2 \ i \ r) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
 shows e1 = e2
proof –
 obtain pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (r, Expunge e2 i r)] prefix of k
   using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast
 hence pprefix: pre prefix of k
   using P by blast
 have A: Deliver (i, Append \ i \ e2) \in set \ pre \lor
 (\exists mo2 : Deliver (i, Store e2 mo2 i) \in set pre)
   using Broadcast-Expunge-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1) P by blast
 have Deliver (i, Store e2 mo i) \in set pre using assms A P
 proof –
   obtain op1 :: 'a × ('a, 'b) operation \Rightarrow nat where
     f1: Broadcast (i, Store e1 mo i) \in set (history (op1 (i, Store e1 mo i)))
     by (meson assms(1) assms(2) delivery-has-a-cause prefix-msq-in-history)
   then show ?thesis
     using f1 A pprefix delivery-has-a-cause network.msg-id-unique network-axioms
      node-histories.prefix-to-carriers node-histories-axioms
     by fastforce
 qed
 moreover have Deliver (i, Store \ e1 \ mo \ i) \in set \ (history \ j)
   using assms(1) assms(2) prefix-msg-in-history by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis using assms P
   by (metric delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msq-id-unique operation inject(5)
      prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD prod.inject)
qed
lemma (in imap) store-store-ids-imply-messages-same:
 assumes xs prefix of j
   and (i, Store \ e1 \ mo \ i) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
   and (r, Store \ e2 \ i \ r) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)
 shows e1 = e2
proof –
 obtain pre k where P: pre@[Broadcast (r, Store e2 i r)] prefix of k
   using assms delivery-has-a-cause events-before-exist prefix-msg-in-history by blast
 moreover hence A: Deliver (i, Append \ i \ e2) \in set \ pre \lor
```

(∃ mo2. Deliver (i, Store e2 mo2 i) ∈ set pre)
using Broadcast-Store-Deliver-prefix-closed assms(1) by blast
have ∀ e. e ∉ set pre ∨ e ∈ set (history k)
using P prefix-elem-to-carriers by auto
hence Deliver (i, Store e2 mo i) ∈ set pre
by (metis A assms(1) assms(2) delivery-has-a-cause fst-conv msg-id-unique operation.distinct(17) operation.inject(5) prefix-msg-in-history prod.inject)
moreover have Deliver (i, Store e1 mo i) ∈ set (history j)
using assms(1) assms(2) prefix-msg-in-history by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using assms
by (metis Pair-inject delivery-has-a-cause msg-id-unique operation.simps(5) prefix-elem-to-carriers prefix-of-appendD prod.sel(1))
qed

end

6 Convergence of the IMAP-CRDT

In this final section show that concurrent updates commute and thus Strong Eventual Convergence is achieved.

theory

```
\begin{array}{l} IMAP-proof\\ \textbf{imports}\\ IMAP-def\\ IMAP-proof-commute\\ IMAP-proof-helpers\\ IMAP-proof-independent\\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

```
corollary (in imap) concurrent-create-delete-independent:

assumes \neg hb (i, Create i e1) (ir, Delete is e2)

and \neg hb (ir, Delete is e2) (i, Create i e1)

and xs prefix of j

and (i, Create i e1) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)

and (ir, Delete is e2) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)

shows i \notin is

using assms create-delete-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-create-delete-independent-technical
```

by *fastforce*

```
corollary (in imap) concurrent-append-delete-independent:

assumes \neg hb (i, Append i e1) (ir, Delete is e2)

and \neg hb (ir, Delete is e2) (i, Append i e1)

and xs prefix of j

and (i, Append i e1) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)

and (ir, Delete is e2) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)

shows i \notin is

using assms append-delete-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-append-delete-independent-technical
```

by fastforce

corollary (in *imap*) concurrent-append-expunge-independent: **assumes** \neg hb (i, Append i e1) (r, Expunge e2 mo r) and \neg hb (r, Expunge e2 mo r) (i, Append i e1) and xs prefix of j and (i, Append i e1) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs) and (r, Expunge e2 mo r) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs) shows $i \neq mo$ using assms append-expunge-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-append-expunge-independent-technical

by fastforce

corollary (**in** *imap*) concurrent-append-store-independent: **assumes** \neg *hb* (*i*, *Append i e1*) (*r*, *Store e2 mo r*) **and** \neg *hb* (*r*, *Store e2 mo r*) (*i*, *Append i e1*) **and** *xs prefix of j* **and** (*i*, *Append i e1*) \in *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*) **and** (*r*, *Store e2 mo r*) \in *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*) **and** (*r*, *Store e2 mo r*) \in *set* (*node-deliver-messages xs*) **shows** *i* \neq *mo* **using** *assms append-store-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-append-store-independent-technical*

by fastforce

corollary (in *imap*) concurrent-expunge-delete-independent: **assumes** \neg hb (i, Expunge e1 mo i) (ir, Delete is e2) and \neg hb (ir, Delete is e2) (i, Expunge e1 mo i) and xs prefix of j and (i, Expunge e1 mo i) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs) and (ir, Delete is e2) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs) shows $i \notin is$ using assms expunge-delete-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-expunge-delete-independent-technical

by fastforce

corollary (**in** *imap*) concurrent-store-delete-independent: **assumes** \neg *hb* (*i*, Store e1 mo i) (*ir*, Delete *is* e2) **and** \neg *hb* (*ir*, Delete *is* e2) (*i*, Store e1 mo i) **and** *xs* prefix of j **and** (*i*, Store e1 mo i) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs) **and** (*ir*, Delete *is* e2) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs) **shows** $i \notin is$ **using** assms store-delete-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-store-delete-independent-technical

by *fastforce*

corollary (in *imap*) concurrent-store-expunge-independent: assumes \neg hb (i, Store e1 mo i) (r, Expunge e2 mo2 r) and $\neg hb$ (r, Expunge e2 mo2 r) (i, Store e1 mo i) and xs prefix of j and (i, Store e1 mo i) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs) and (r, Expunge e2 mo2 r) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs) shows $i \neq mo2 \land r \neq mo$ using assms expunge-store-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-store-expunge-independent-technical2

concurrent-store-expunge-independent-technical by metis

corollary (in *imap*) concurrent-store-store-independent: **assumes** \neg hb (i, Store e1 mo i) (r, Store e2 mo2 r) and $\neg hb$ (r, Store e2 mo2 r) (i, Store e1 mo i) and xs prefix of jand (i, Store e1 mo i) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs) and $(r, Store \ e2 \ mo2 \ r) \in set \ (node-deliver-messages \ xs)$ shows $i \neq mo2 \land r \neq mo$ using assms store-store-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-store-store-independent-technicalby *metis* **lemma** (in *imap*) concurrent-operations-commute: **assumes** xs prefix of i**shows** hb.concurrent-ops-commute (node-deliver-messages xs) proof – { fix $a \ b \ x \ y$ assume $(a, b) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)$ $(x, y) \in set (node-deliver-messages xs)$ hb.concurrent(a, b)(x, y)hence interp-msg $(a, b) \triangleright$ interp-msg (x, y) = interp-msg $(x, y) \triangleright$ interp-msg (a, b)**apply**(*unfold interp-msg-def*, *case-tac b*; *case-tac y*; simp add: create-create-commute delete-delete-commute append-append-commute create-append-commute create-expunge-commute create-store-commute expunge-expunge-commute hb.concurrent-def) using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis (full-types) create-id-valid create-delete-commute concurrent-create-delete-independent) using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis (full-types) create-id-valid create-delete-commute concurrent-create-delete-independent) using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis append-id-valid append-delete-ids-imply-messages-same *concurrent-append-delete-independent-technical delete-append-commute*) using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis concurrent-expunge-delete-independent expunge-id-valid imap. delete-expunge-commute *imap-axioms*) using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis concurrent-store-delete-independent delete-store-commute store-id-valid) using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis append-id-valid append-delete-ids-imply-messages-same *concurrent-append-delete-independent-technical delete-append-commute*)

using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis append-id-valid expunge-id-valid append-expunge-ids-imply-messages-same concurrent-append-expunge-independent-technical append-expunge-commute) using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis append-id-valid append-store-commute concurrent-append-store-independent store-id-valid) using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis concurrent-expunge-delete-independent expunge-id-valid delete-expunge-commute) using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis append-expunge-commute append-id-valid concurrent-append-expunge-independent expunge-id-valid) using assms prefix-contains-msq apply (metis expunge-id-valid expunge-store-commute imap.concurrent-store-expunge-independent *imap-axioms store-id-valid*) using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis concurrent-store-delete-independent delete-store-commute store-id-valid) using assms prefix-contains-msq apply (metis $append-id-valid\ append-store-commute\ imap.\ concurrent-append-store-independent\ imap-axioms$ store-id-valid) using assms prefix-contains-msg apply (metis

 $expunge-id-valid\ expunge-store-commute\ imap.concurrent-store-expunge-independent\ imap-axioms\ store-id-valid)$

using assms prefix-contains-msg by (metis concurrent-store-store-independent store-id-valid

store-store-commute)

} thus ?thesis

by(*fastforce simp: hb.concurrent-ops-commute-def*)

qed

theorem (in imap) convergence: assumes set (node-deliver-messages xs) = set (node-deliver-messages ys) and xs prefix of i and ys prefix of j shows apply-operations xs = apply-operations ys using assms by(auto simp add: apply-operations-def intro: hb.convergence-ext concurrent-operations-commute node-deliver-messages-distinct hb-consistent-prefix)

context imap begin

```
sublocale sec: strong-eventual-consistency weak-hb hb interp-msg

λops.∃ xs i. xs prefix of i ∧ node-deliver-messages xs = ops λx.({},{})

apply(standard; clarsimp simp add: hb-consistent-prefix node-deliver-messages-distinct

concurrent-operations-commute)

apply(metis (no-types, lifting) apply-operations-def bind.bind-lunit not-None-eq

hb.apply-operations-Snoc kleisli-def apply-operations-never-fails interp-msg-def)

using drop-last-message apply blast

done
```

end

end

References

- V. B. F. Gomes, M. Kleppmann, D. P. Mulligan, and A. R. Beresford. A framework for establishing Strong Eventual Consistency for Conflict-free Replicated Datatypes. Archive of Formal Proofs, 2017. http://isa-afp.org/entries/CRDT.html.
- [2] V. B. F. Gomes, M. Kleppmann, D. P. Mulligan, and A. R. Beresford. Verifying Strong Eventual Consistency in Distributed Systems. *ArXiv e-prints*, 2017.
- [3] T. Jungnickel, L. Oldenburg, and M. Loibl. Designing a Planetary-Scale IMAP Service with Conflict-free Replicated Data Types. In 21th International Conference on Principles of Distributed Systems (OPODIS 2017), Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs). Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, 2017.
- [4] M. Shapiro, N. Preguiça, C. Baquero, and M. Zawirski. A comprehensive study of Convergent and Commutative Replicated Data Types. Technical report, 2011.
- [5] M. Shapiro, N. Preguiça, C. Baquero, and M. Zawirski. Conflict-free Replicated Data Types. In International Symposium on Stabilization, Safety, and Security of Distributed Systems, SSS'11, pages 386–400, 2011.