

A Hoare Logic for Diverging Programs

Johannes Åman Pojhol, Magnus O. Myreen, Miki Tanaka

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This submission contains: (1) a formalisation of a small While language with support for output; (2) a standard total-correctness Hoare logic that has been proved sound and complete; and (3) a new Hoare logic for proofs about programs that diverge: this new logic has also been proved sound and complete.

Background

The theories in this submission are a port of a similar formalisation in HOL4, which, in turn, is a simplified version of a program logic for nonterminating CakeML programs [1] (which was not complete).

The HOL4 version of these theories was developed by Myreen with some lemmas proved by Åman Pojhol. The Isabelle/HOL theories were developed by Tanaka with some input from Åman Pojhol.

Contents

1	Miscellaneous lemmas	2
2	The definition of the While language	3
3	A standard total correctness Hoare logic	5
4	Lemmas about the While language	6
5	Soundness of the standard Hoare logic	12
6	A Hoare logic for diverging programs	13
7	Completeness of the standard Hoare logic	14
8	Completeness of Hoare logic for diverging programs	15
9	Soundness of Hoare logic for diverging programs	17

1 Miscellaneous lemmas

```

theory MiscLemmas imports HOL-Library.Sublist begin

inductive star :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool for r where
  refl[simp,intro]: star r x x
  | step: r x y ==> star r y z ==> star r x z

inductive star-n :: ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool for r where
  refl-n: star-n r 0 x x
  | step-n: r x y ==> star-n r n y z ==> star-n r (Suc n) x z

declare star-n.intros[simp, intro]

lemma star-n-decompose:
  star-n r n x y ==> star-n r n' x z ==> n' < n
  ==> (∀x y z. r x y ==> r x z ==> y = z)
  ==> (∀n x y z. star-n r n x y ==> star-n r n x z ==> y = z)
  ==> star-n r (n - n') z y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma star-n-add:
  star-n r n x z ←→ (∃n1 n2 y. star-n r n1 x y ∧ star-n r n2 y z ∧ n = n1 + n2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma star-star-n:
  star r x y ==> ∃n. star-n r n x y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma star-n-star:
  star-n r n x y ==> star r x y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma star-eq-star-n:
  star r x y = (∃n. star-n r n x y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma star-trans:
  star r x y ==> star r y z ==> star r x z
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma step-rev:
  star r x y ==> r y z ==> star r x z
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma star-n-trans:
  star-n r n x y ==> star-n r n' y z ==> star-n r (n + n') x z

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma step-n-rev:
  star-n r n x y ==> r y z ==> star-n r (Suc n) x z
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma star-n-lastE:
  star-n r (Suc n) x z ==>
  (Λn' x' y' z'. n = n' ==> x = x' ==> z = z'
   ==> star-n r n' x' y' ==> r y' z' ==> P) ==> P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  star-n-conjunct1: star-n (λx y. P x y ∧ Q x y) n s t ==> star-n P n s t and
  star-n-conjunct2: star-n (λx y. P x y ∧ Q x y) n s t ==> star-n Q n s t and
  star-n-commute: star-n (λx y. P x y ∧ Q x y) n s t ==> star-n (λx y. Q x y ∧ P
  x y) n s t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma forall-swap4:
  (forall x y z w. P x y z w) ←→ (forall z w y x. P x y z w) ⟨proof⟩

lemma prefix-drop-append:
  prefix xs ys ==> xs @ drop (length xs) ys = ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma min-prefix:
  ∀ i. prefix (f i) (f (Suc i)) ==> (forall i. prefix (f 0) (f i))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition wf-to-wfP where
  wf-to-wfP r ≡ λx y. (x, y) ∈ r

end

```

2 The definition of the While language

```
theory WhileLang imports MiscLemmas Coinductive.Coinductive-List begin
```

```

type-synonym name = char list
type-synonym val = nat
type-synonym store = name ⇒ val
type-synonym exp = store ⇒ val

datatype prog =
  Skip
  | Assign name exp

```

```

| Print exp
| Seq prog prog
| If exp prog prog
| While exp prog

```

```

type-synonym out = val list
type-synonym state = store × out

```

```

definition output-of :: state ⇒ out where
  output-of ≡ λ(‐, out). out

```

```

definition subst :: name ⇒ exp ⇒ state ⇒ state where
  subst n e ≡ λ(s, out). (s(n:= e s), out)

```

```

definition print :: exp ⇒ state ⇒ state where
  print e ≡ λ(s, out). (s, out @ [e s])

```

```

definition guard :: exp ⇒ state ⇒ bool where
  guard x ≡ λ(s, ‐). x s ≠ 0

```

```

inductive step :: prog × state ⇒ prog × state ⇒ bool where
  step-skip: step (Skip, s) (Skip, s)
  | step-assign: step (Assign n x, s) (Skip, subst n x s)
  | step-print: step (Print x, s) (Skip, print x s)
  | step-seq1: step (Seq Skip q, s) (q, s)
  | step-seq2: step (p0, s0) (p1, s1) ⇒ p0 ≠ Skip ⇒ step (Seq p0 q, s0) (Seq p1 q, s1)
  | step-if: step (If x p q, s) ((if guard x s then p else q), s)
  | step-while: step (While x p, s) (If x (Seq p (While x p)) Skip, s)

```

```

declare step.intros[simp,intro]

```

```

inductive-cases skipE[elim!]: step (Skip, s) ct
inductive-cases assignE[elim!]: step (Assign n x, s) ct
inductive-cases printE[elim!]: step (Print x, s) ct
inductive-cases seqE[elim!]: step (Seq c1 c2, s) ct
inductive-cases ifE[elim!]: step (If x c1 c2, s) ct
inductive-cases whileE[elim!]: step (While x p, s) ct

```

```

lemmas step-induct = step.induct[split-format(complete)]

```

```

inductive terminates where
  star step (p, s) (Skip, t) ⇒ terminates s p t

```

```

inductive diverges where
  ∀ t. ¬ terminates s p t
    ∧ out = lSup { llist-of out | out. ∃ q t. star step (p, s) (q, t, out) }

```

$\xrightarrow{\quad}$
 $\text{diverges } s \ p \ \text{out}$

lemma *step-exists'*:

$\exists t. \text{step} (\text{prog}, (s, \text{out})) t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *step-exists*:

$\forall s. \exists t. \text{step } s \ t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *terminates-or-diverges*:

$(\exists t. \text{terminates } s \ p \ t) \vee (\exists \text{output}. \text{diverges } s \ p \ \text{output})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step-deterministic'*:

$\text{step} (\text{prog}, st, out) t1 \implies \text{step} (\text{prog}, st, out) t2 \implies t1 = t2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *step-deterministic*:

$\text{step } s \ t1 \implies \text{step } s \ t2 \implies t1 = t2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *star-step-refl*:

$\text{star step} (\text{Skip}, t1) (\text{Skip}, t2) \implies t1 = t2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *terminates-deterministic*:

$\text{terminates } s \ p \ t1 \implies \text{terminates } s \ p \ t2 \implies t1 = t2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *diverges-deterministic*:

$\text{diverges } s \ p \ t1 \implies \text{diverges } s \ p \ t2 \implies t1 = t2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

3 A standard total correctness Hoare logic

theory *StdLogic imports WhileLang begin*

inductive *hoare* :: $(\text{state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{prog} \Rightarrow (\text{state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $h\text{-skip}[\text{simp}, \text{intro!}]: \text{hoare } P \ \text{Skip } P$
 $| h\text{-assign}[\text{simp}, \text{intro!}]: \text{hoare } (\lambda s. Q (\text{subst } n \ x \ s)) (\text{Assign } n \ x) \ Q$
 $| h\text{-print}[\text{simp}, \text{intro!}]: \text{hoare } (\lambda s. Q (\text{print } x \ s)) (\text{Print } x) \ Q$

```

| h-seq[intro]: hoare P p M  $\implies$  hoare M q Q  $\implies$  hoare P (Seq p q) Q
| h-if[intro!] : hoare ( $\lambda s. P s \wedge \text{guard } x s$ ) p Q
 $\implies$  hoare ( $\lambda s. P s \wedge \sim \text{guard } x s$ ) q Q  $\implies$  hoare P (If x p q) Q
| h-while : ( $\bigwedge s_0. \text{hoare } (\lambda s. P s \wedge \text{guard } x s \wedge s = s_0) p (\lambda s. P s \wedge R s s_0)$ )
 $\implies$  wfP R  $\implies$  hoare P (While x p) ( $\lambda s. P s \wedge \sim \text{guard } x s$ )
| h-weaken: ( $\bigwedge s. P s \implies P' s$ )  $\implies$  hoare P' p Q'  $\implies$  ( $\bigwedge s. Q' s \implies Q s$ )  $\implies$ 
hoare P p Q

```

```

definition hoare-sem :: (state  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  prog  $\Rightarrow$  (state  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  hoare-sem P p Q  $\equiv$ 
    ( $\forall s. P s \longrightarrow (\exists t. \text{terminates } s p t \wedge Q t)$ )

```

end

4 Lemmas about the While language

```

theory WhileLangLemmas imports WhileLang Coinductive.Coinductive-List-Prefix
begin

```

lemma NRC-step-deterministic:

```

star-n step n x y  $\implies$  star-n step n x z  $\implies$  y = z
⟨proof⟩

```

inductive exec **where**

```

exec-skip: exec s Skip s
| exec-assign: exec s (Assign n x) (subst n x s)
| exec-print: exec s (Print x) (print x s)
| exec-seq: exec s0 p s1  $\implies$  exec s1 q s2  $\implies$  exec s0 (Seq p q) s2
| exec-if: exec s (if guard x s then p else q) s1  $\implies$  exec s (If x p q) s1
| exec-while1:  $\neg \text{guard } x s \implies$  exec s (While x p) s
| exec-while2: guard x s  $\implies$  exec s p s1  $\implies$  exec s1 (While x p) s2
 $\implies$  exec s (While x p) s2

```

declare exec.intros[intro!]

lemma NRC-step[simp]:

```

star-n step n (Skip, s) (Skip, t)  $\implies$  s = t
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma terminates-Skip:

```

terminates s Skip t  $\longleftrightarrow$  s = t
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma NRC-assign[simp]:

```

star-n step n (Assign n' x, s) (Skip, t)  $\implies$  t = subst n' x s
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma terminates-Assign:
 $\text{terminates } s (\text{Assign } n x) t \longleftrightarrow t = \text{subst } n x s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma NRC-print[simp]:
 $\text{star-}n \text{ step } n (\text{Print } x, s) (\text{Skip}, t) \implies t = \text{print } x s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma terminates-Print:
 $\text{terminates } s (\text{Print } x) t \longleftrightarrow t = \text{print } x s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma terminates-If:
 $\text{terminates } s (\text{If } x p q) t \longleftrightarrow \text{terminates } s (\text{if guard } x s \text{ then } p \text{ else } q) t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma terminates-While:
 $\text{terminates } s (\text{While } f c) t \longleftrightarrow \text{terminates } s (\text{If } f (\text{Seq } c (\text{While } f c)) \text{ Skip}) t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition real-step where
 $\text{real-step} \equiv \lambda(p, s) \text{ qt. } p \neq \text{Skip} \wedge \text{step}(p, s) \text{ qt}$

lemma terminates:
 $\text{terminates } s p t \longleftrightarrow \text{star real-step } (p, s) (\text{Skip}, t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma NRC-real-step-Skip[simp]:
 $\text{star-}n \text{ real-step } n (\text{Skip}, s) (\text{Skip}, t) \longleftrightarrow n = 0 \wedge s = t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma NRC-real-step-not-Skip:
 $p \neq \text{Skip} \implies$
 $(\text{star-}n \text{ real-step } n (p, s) (\text{Skip}, t) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists k m. \text{real-step } (p, s) m \wedge \text{star-}n \text{ real-step } k m (\text{Skip}, t) \wedge n = k + 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma real-step-seqE:
 $\text{real-step } (\text{Seq } p q, s) x \implies$
 $(x = (q, s) \implies p = \text{Skip} \implies P) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge p' s'. x = (\text{Seq } p' q, s') \implies \text{real-step } (p, s) (p', s') \implies p \neq \text{Skip} \implies P)$
 $\implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma real-steps-Seq:
 $\text{star-}n \text{ real-step } n (\text{Seq } p q, s) (\text{Skip}, t) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists n_1 n_2 m.$
 $\text{star-}n \text{ real-step } n_1 (p, s) (\text{Skip}, m) \wedge$
 $\text{star-}n \text{ real-step } n_2 (q, m) (\text{Skip}, t) \wedge n = n_1 + n_2 + 1)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma terminates-Seq:

terminates s ($\text{Seq } p \ q$) $t \longleftrightarrow (\exists m. \text{terminates } s \ p \ m \wedge \text{terminates } m \ q \ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma terminates-eq-exec:

terminates $s \ p \ t \longleftrightarrow \text{exec } s \ p \ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma terminates-While-NRC:

assumes terminates $m \ p \ t$

assumes $p = \text{While } f \ c$

shows $\exists n. \text{star-}n \ (\lambda s \ t. \text{guard } f \ s \wedge \text{terminates } s \ c \ t) \ n \ m \ t \wedge \neg \text{guard } f \ t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-diverges[simp]:

$\sim \text{diverges } s \ \text{Skip } l$

$\sim \text{diverges } s \ (\text{Assign } n \ x) \ l$

$\sim \text{diverges } s \ (\text{Print } x) \ l$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma star-n-Skip:

$\text{star-}n \ \text{step } n \ (\text{Skip}, \ s) \ (c', \ t) \implies c' = \text{Skip} \wedge t = s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma star-n-Seq:

$\text{star-}n \ \text{step } n \ (c, \ s) \ (c', \ t) \implies$
 $\exists n. \text{star-}n \ \text{step } n \ (\text{Seq } c \ p, \ s) \ (\text{Seq } c' \ p, \ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma RTC-Seq:

$\text{star step } (c, s) \ (c', t) \implies$
 $\text{star step } (\text{Seq } c \ p, s) \ (\text{Seq } c' \ p, t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma step-output-mono:

$\text{step } s \ t \implies \text{prefix } (\text{output-of } (\text{snd } s)) \ (\text{output-of } (\text{snd } t))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma NRC-step-output-mono:

$\text{star-}n \ \text{step } k \ (c, s) \ (c', s') \implies \text{prefix } (\text{output-of } s) \ (\text{output-of } s')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma lprefix-chain-RTC-step':

Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix {llist-of out | out. $(\exists q \ t. \text{step } x \ (q, t, out))$ }
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma star-n-step-decompose:

$\text{star-}n \text{ step } n \ x \ y \implies \text{star-}n \text{ step } n' \ x \ z \implies n' < n$
 $\implies \text{star-}n \text{ step } (n - n') \ z \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lprefix-chain-NRC-step'*:
 $\text{star-}n \text{ step } n \ x \ (q, t, \text{out}) \implies$
 $\text{star-}n \text{ step } n' \ x \ (q', t', \text{out}') \implies$
 $\text{lprefix } (\text{llist-of out}) \ (\text{llist-of out}') \vee \text{lprefix } (\text{llist-of out}') \ (\text{llist-of out})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lprefix-chain-NRC-step*:
Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix {llist-of out | out. ($\exists q \ t. \text{star-}n \text{ step } n \ x \ (q, t, \text{out})$)}
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lprefix-chain-RTC-step*:
Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix {llist-of out | out. ($\exists q \ t. \text{star step } x \ (q, t, \text{out})$)}
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lprefix-chain-NRC-step-ex*:
Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix {llist-of out | out. ($\exists q \ t \ n. \text{star-}n \text{ step } n \ x \ (q, t, \text{out})$)}
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *lprefix-rel* **where**
 $\text{lprefix-rel } ls \ ls' \equiv \forall l \in ls. \exists l' \in ls'. \text{lprefix } l \ l'$

lemma *diverges-unique*:
 $\text{diverges } s \ p \ l \implies \forall l'. \text{diverges } s \ p \ l' \longrightarrow l' = l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *terminates-unique*:
 $\text{terminates } s \ p \ t \implies \forall t'. \text{terminates } s \ p \ t' \longrightarrow t' = t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *star-n-real-step-Seq-exact*:
 $\text{star-}n \text{ real-step } n \ (c, s) \ (\text{Skip}, t) \implies \text{star-}n \text{ step } n \ (\text{Seq } c \ c', s) \ (\text{Seq Skip } c', t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *star-n-real-step-Seq-exact'*:
 $\text{star-}n \text{ real-step } n \ (c, s) \ (\text{Skip}, t) \implies \text{star-}n \text{ real-step } n \ (\text{Seq } c \ c', s) \ (\text{Seq Skip } c', t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-Seq1-always-Seq*:
 $\llbracket \text{star-}n \text{ step } n \ (\text{Seq } c \ c', s) \ (q, s'); c \neq \text{Skip};$
 $\forall a \ b \ n. \neg \text{star-}n \text{ step } n \ (c, s) \ (\text{Skip}, a, b) \rrbracket$

$\implies \exists u. q = Seq\ u\ c' \wedge u \neq Skip$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *div-Seq1-steps*:

$\llbracket star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ (Seq\ c\ c',\ s)\ (Seq\ u\ c',\ s');\ c \neq Skip;$

$\forall a\ b\ n. \neg star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ (c,\ s)\ (Skip,\ a,\ b)$

$\implies star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ (c,\ s)\ (u,\ s')$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *div-Seq1-lSup-eq*:

$\forall t. \neg terminates\ s\ c\ t$

$\implies lSup\ \{llist\text{-}of\ out\ |out.\ \exists q\ t. star\ step\ (c,\ s)\ (q,\ t,\ out)\} =$

$lSup\ \{llist\text{-}of\ out\ |out.\ \exists q\ t. star\ step\ (Seq\ c\ c',\ s)\ (q,\ t,\ out)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *star-n-real-step-step*:

$star\text{-}n\ real\text{-}step\ n\ x\ y \implies star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ x\ y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *div-Seq2-steps*:

$\llbracket star\text{-}n\ real\text{-}step\ n'\ (c,\ s)\ (Skip,\ s');\ n' < n;$

$\forall t'\ n. \neg star\text{-}n\ real\text{-}step\ n\ (c',\ s')\ (Skip,\ t');$

$star\text{-}n\ step\ n\ (Seq\ c\ c',\ s)\ (q,\ t,\ out)$

$\implies \exists m\ q\ t'. star\text{-}n\ step\ m\ (c',\ s')\ (q,\ t',\ out)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *div-Seq2-lSub-eq*:

$\llbracket terminates\ s\ c\ s';\ \forall t. \neg terminates\ s'\ c'\ t \rrbracket$

$\implies lSup\ \{llist\text{-}of\ out\ |out.\ \exists q\ t. star\ step\ (Seq\ c\ c',\ s)\ (q,\ t,\ out)\} =$

$lSup\ \{llist\text{-}of\ out\ |out.\ \exists q\ t. star\ step\ (c',\ s')\ (q,\ t,\ out)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diverges-Seq*:

$diverges\ s\ (Seq\ c\ c')\ l \longleftrightarrow diverges\ s\ c\ l \vee (\exists t. terminates\ s\ c\ t \wedge diverges\ t\ c'\ l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *div-true-If-lSup-eq*:

$\llbracket \forall t. \neg terminates\ s\ p\ t;\ guard\ f\ s \rrbracket$

$\implies lSup\ \{llist\text{-}of\ out\ |out.\ \exists qa\ t. star\ step\ (prog.If\ f\ p\ q,\ s)\ (qa,\ t,\ out)\} =$

$lSup\ \{llist\text{-}of\ out\ |out.\ \exists q\ t. star\ step\ (p,\ s)\ (q,\ t,\ out)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *div-false-If-lSup-Eq*:

$\llbracket \forall t. \neg terminates\ s\ q\ t;\ \neg guard\ f\ s \rrbracket$

$\implies lSup$

$\{llist\text{-}of\ out\ |out.\ \exists qa\ t. star\ step\ (prog.If\ f\ p\ q,\ s)\ (qa,\ t,\ out)\} =$

$lSup\ \{llist\text{-}of\ out\ |out.\ \exists q'\ t. star\ step\ (q,\ s)\ (q',\ t,\ out)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diverges-If*:

diverges s (If f p q) l = diverges s (if guard f s then p else q) l
⟨proof⟩

lemma *While-body-add3real-step*:

$\llbracket \text{star-}n \text{ real-step } n (c, s) (\text{Skip}, s'); \text{guard } g s \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{star-}n \text{ real-step } (n + 3) (\text{While } g c, s) (\text{While } g c, s')$
⟨proof⟩

lemmas *While-body-add3step = While-body-add3real-step[THEN star-n-real-step-step]*

lemma *div-body-While-lSup-eq*:

$\llbracket \text{guard } g s; \forall t. \neg \text{terminates } s c t \rrbracket$
 $\implies lSup \{ \text{llist-of out} | \text{out}. \exists q t. \text{star step } (c, s) (q, t, \text{out}) \} =$
 $lSup \{ \text{llist-of out} | \text{out}. \exists q t. \text{star step } (\text{While } g c, s) (q, t, \text{out}) \}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *inf-loop-While-steps*:

$\llbracket \text{star-}n \text{ real-step } n' (c, s) (\text{Skip}, s'); n' + 3 < n; \text{guard } g s;$
 $\text{star-}n \text{ step } n (\text{While } g c, s) (q, t, \text{out}) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists q' t' n. \text{star-}n \text{ step } n (\text{While } g c, s') (q', t', \text{out})$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *inf-loop-While-lSup-eq*:

$\llbracket \text{guard } g s; \text{terminates } s c (a, b); \forall aa ba. \neg \text{terminates } (a, b) (\text{While } g c) (aa, ba) \rrbracket$
 $\implies lSup \{ \text{llist-of out} | \text{out}. \exists q t. \text{star step } (\text{While } g c, a, b) (q, t, \text{out}) \} =$
 $lSup \{ \text{llist-of out} | \text{out}. \exists q t. \text{star step } (\text{While } g c, s) (q, t, \text{out}) \}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *diverges-While*:

diverges s (While g c) l \longleftrightarrow diverges s (If g (Seq c (While g c)) Skip) l
⟨proof⟩

lemma *NRC-terminates*:

assumes $\text{star-}n (\lambda x y. \text{terminates } x c y) i s t$
shows $\forall t1. \text{star-}n (\lambda x y. \text{terminates } x c y) i s t1 \longleftrightarrow (t = t1)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-output-append*:

step (c, a, b) (c', a', b') $\implies \exists new. b' = b @ new$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-output-extend'*:

step (c, a, b) (c', a', b @ new) $\implies \forall xs. \text{step } (c, a, xs) (c', a', xs @ new)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-output-extend*:

step (c, a, b) (c', a', b') $\implies \exists new. b' = b @ new \wedge (\forall xs. \text{step } (c, a, xs) (c', a', xs @ new))$

```

 $xs @ new)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma star-n-real-step-output-extend:
  star-n real-step n (c, s) (Skip, t)  $\implies$ 
     $\exists new. \text{snd } t = \text{snd } s @ new \wedge$ 
     $(\forall xs. \exists n. \text{star-n real-step } n (c, \text{fst } s, xs)$ 
     $(\text{Skip}, \text{fst } t, xs @ new))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma terminates-history:
  terminates s c t  $\implies$ 
     $\exists new. \text{snd } t = \text{snd } s @ new \wedge$ 
     $(\forall xs. \text{terminates } (\text{fst } s, xs) c (\text{fst } t, xs @ new))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma terminates-ignores-history:
  terminates (s, out1) c (t, out2)  $\implies$ 
  terminates (s, []) c (t, drop (length out1) out2)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

5 Soundness of the standard Hoare logic

```

theory StdLogicSoundness imports StdLogic WhileLangLemmas begin

theorem Hoare-soundness:
  hoare P c Q  $\implies$  hoare-sem P c Q
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
theory CoinductiveLemmas imports Coinductive.Coinductive-List begin

lemma lSup-lappend:
   $\llbracket \text{Complete-Partial-Order.chain } lprefix A; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies lSup (lappend xs ` A) = lappend xs (lSup A)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lSup-lmap:
   $\llbracket \text{Complete-Partial-Order.chain } lprefix A; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies lSup ((lmap f) ` A) = lmap f (lSup A)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lSup-lconcat:
   $\llbracket \text{Complete-Partial-Order.chain } lprefix A; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies lSup (lconcat ` A) = lconcat (lSup A)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma cpo-llist-of-up:
  Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix {x.  $\exists i. x = \text{llist-of } [0..<i]$ }
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma iterates-Suc-is-lSup-up:
  iterates Suc 0 = lSup {x.  $\exists i. x = \text{llist-of } [0..<i]$ }
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation (input) flat :: 'a list llist  $\Rightarrow$  'a llist where
  flat xs ≡ lconcat (lmap llist-of xs)

lemma flat-inf-llist-lSup:
  flat (inf-llist f) = lSup {x.  $\exists i. x = \text{llist-of } (\text{concat } (\text{map } f [0..<i]))$ }
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upper-subset-lSup-eq:
  [Complete-Partial-Order.chain lprefix B; A ⊆ B;
    $\forall x \in B. \exists y \in A. \text{lprefix } x y] \implies lSup B = lSup A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lmap-iterates-id:
  lmap ( $\lambda z. x$ ) (iterates Suc 0) = iterates id x
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

6 A Hoare logic for diverging programs

```

theory DivLogic
  imports WhileLang StdLogic CoinductiveLemmas
  begin

definition ignores-output :: (val  $\Rightarrow$  state  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  ignores-output H ≡  $\forall i s \text{out1 out2}. H i (s, \text{out1}) = H i (s, \text{out2})$ 

inductive pohjola where
  p-seq-d: pohjola P p (D::val llist  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\implies$  pohjola P (Seq p q) D
  | p-seq-h: hoare P p M  $\implies$  pohjola M q D  $\implies$  pohjola P (Seq p q) D
  | p-if: pohjola ( $\lambda s. P s \wedge \text{guard } x s$ ) p D  $\implies$ 
    pohjola ( $\lambda s. P s \wedge \sim \text{guard } x s$ ) q D  $\implies$  pohjola P (If x p q) D
  |
  p-while1: ( $\bigwedge s. P s \implies$ 
     $(\exists H \text{ev.}$ 
       $\text{guard } x s \wedge H 0 s \wedge \text{ignores-output } H \wedge$ 
       $D (\text{flat } (\text{LCCons } (\text{output-of } s) (\text{inf-llist } \text{ev}))) \wedge$ 
       $(\forall i. \text{hoare } (\lambda s. H i s \wedge \text{output-of } s = [] ) p$ 
       $(\lambda s. H (i+1) s \wedge \text{output-of } s = \text{ev } i \wedge \text{guard } x s))) \implies$ 
    pohjola P (While x p) D
  |

```

```

p-while2: ( $\forall s. P s \rightarrow \text{guard } x s$ )  $\Rightarrow wfP R \Rightarrow$ 
 $(\forall s0. \text{hoare } (\lambda s. P s \wedge b s \wedge s = s0) p (\lambda s. P s \wedge R s s0)) \Rightarrow$ 
 $pohjola (\lambda s. P s \wedge \sim b s) p D \Rightarrow pohjola P (\text{While } x p) D$ 
| p-const:  $pohjola (\lambda s. \text{False}) p D$ 
| p-case:  $pohjola (\lambda s. P s \wedge b s) p D \Rightarrow pohjola (\lambda s. P s \wedge \sim b s) p D \Rightarrow pohjola P p D$ 
| p-weaken:  $(\forall s. P s \rightarrow P' s) \Rightarrow pohjola P' p D' \Rightarrow (\forall s. D' s \rightarrow D s) \Rightarrow$ 
 $pohjola P p D$ 
print-theorems

```

```

definition pohjola-sem where
  pohjola-sem  $P p D \equiv$ 
     $\forall s. P s \rightarrow (\exists l. \text{diverges } s p l \wedge D l)$ 

```

end

7 Completeness of the standard Hoare logic

theory StdLogicCompleteness **imports** StdLogic WhileLangLemmas **begin**

lemma Hoare-strengthen:

$(\bigwedge s. P s \Rightarrow P' s) \Rightarrow \text{hoare } P' p Q \Rightarrow \text{hoare } P p Q \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Hoare-strengthen-post:

$(\bigwedge s. Q' s \Rightarrow Q s) \Rightarrow \text{hoare } P p Q' \Rightarrow \text{hoare } P p Q \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem Hoare-While:

assumes h1: $(\bigwedge s. P s \Rightarrow R s)$

assumes h2: $(\bigwedge s. R s \wedge \neg \text{guard } x s \Rightarrow Q s)$

assumes h3: $\bigwedge s0. \text{hoare } (\lambda s. R s \wedge \text{guard } x s \wedge s = s0) p (\lambda s. R s \wedge m s < ((m s0)::\text{nat}))$

shows hoare $P (\text{While } x p) Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma NRC-lemma:

$\text{star-}n (\lambda s t. \text{guard } f s \wedge \text{terminates } s c t) k0 m t0 \Rightarrow$

$\text{star-}n (\lambda s t. \text{guard } f s \wedge \text{terminates } s c t) k1 m t1 \Rightarrow$

$\neg \text{guard } f t0 \wedge \neg \text{guard } f t1 \Rightarrow$

$t0 = t1 \wedge k0 = k1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Hoare-terminates:

$\text{hoare } (\lambda s. \exists t. \text{terminates } s c t \wedge Q t) c Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem Hoare-completeness:

$\text{hoare-sem } P c Q \Rightarrow \text{hoare } P c Q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma hoare-pre-False:
  hoare ( $\lambda\_. False$ ) prog Q
  ⟨proof⟩
```

end

8 Completeness of Hoare logic for diverging programs

```
theory DivLogicCompleteness
  imports DivLogic StdLogicCompleteness StdLogicSoundness
begin
```

```
declare pohjola.intros[intro, simp]
declare pohjola.intros(7)[simp del]
declare pohjola.intros(7)[rule del]
declare pohjola.intros(6)[rule del]
```

```
theorem pohjola-strengthen:
   $\llbracket pohjola P' p D; \forall s. P s \longrightarrow P' s \rrbracket \implies pohjola P p D$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
inductive div-at-iteration where
  guard f s  $\implies$  diverges s c l  $\implies$  D l  $\implies$  div-at-iteration 0 s f c D
  | guard f s  $\implies$  terminates s c t  $\implies$  div-at-iteration n t f c D  $\implies$ 
    div-at-iteration (Suc n) s f c D
print-theorems
```

```
inductive-cases
  div-at-0 [elim!]: div-at-iteration 0 s f c D and
  div-at-S [elim!]: div-at-iteration (Suc n) s f c D
print-theorems
```

```
theorem div-at-iteration-11:
  div-at-iteration i s f c D  $\implies$ 
  div-at-iteration j s f c D  $\implies$  i = j
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma star-n-While-flatten:
  star-n ( $\lambda s t. star\ step\ (While\ x\ p,\ s)\ (\ While\ x\ p,\ t)$ )
     $\wedge$  terminates s p t  $\wedge$  guard x s) i s t
   $\implies \exists n'. star\ n\ step\ n'\ (\ While\ x\ p,\ s)\ (\ While\ x\ p,\ t) \wedge n' \geq i$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *diverges-init-state*:

$$\llbracket \text{terminates } s \text{ } c \text{ } t; \text{diverges } t \text{ (While } g \text{ } c) \text{ } l; \text{guard } g \text{ } s \rrbracket \implies \text{diverges } s \text{ (While } g \text{ } c) \text{ } l$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *diverges-init-state-n*:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \text{star-}n \text{ } (\lambda s \text{ } t. \text{terminates } s \text{ } c \text{ } t \wedge \text{guard } g \text{ } s) \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } t; \text{diverges } t \text{ (While } g \text{ } c) \text{ } l; \text{guard } \\ g \text{ } t \rrbracket \\ \implies \text{diverges } s \text{ (While } g \text{ } c) \text{ } l \end{aligned}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *div-at-i-unwind*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{div-at-iteration } i \text{ } s \text{ } g \text{ } c \text{ } D \\ \longleftrightarrow (\exists t. \text{star-}n \text{ } (\lambda s \text{ } t. \text{terminates } s \text{ } c \text{ } t \wedge \text{guard } g \text{ } s) \text{ } i \text{ } s \text{ } t \wedge \text{guard } g \text{ } t \\ \wedge (\exists l. \text{diverges } t \text{ } c \text{ } l \wedge D \text{ } l)) \end{aligned}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *diverging-body-diverges*:

$$\llbracket \text{diverges } s \text{ } c \text{ } l; \text{guard } g \text{ } s \rrbracket \implies \text{diverges } s \text{ (While } g \text{ } c) \text{ } l$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *non-diverging-inf-loop*:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \forall i. \neg \text{div-at-iteration } i \text{ } s \text{ } g \text{ } c \text{ } D; \text{diverges } s \text{ (While } g \text{ } c) \text{ } l; D \text{ } l \rrbracket \\ \implies \forall i. \exists t. \text{star-}n \text{ } (\lambda s \text{ } t. (\exists k. \text{star-}n \text{ step } k \text{ (While } g \text{ } c, s) \text{ (While } g \text{ } c, t)) \\ \wedge \text{terminates } s \text{ } c \text{ } t \wedge \text{guard } g \text{ } s) \text{ } i \text{ } s \text{ } t \end{aligned}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *While-lemma*:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \forall i. \neg \text{div-at-iteration } i \text{ } s \text{ } g \text{ } c \text{ } D; \text{diverges } s \text{ (While } g \text{ } c) \text{ } l; D \text{ } l \rrbracket \\ \implies \exists ts. ts \text{ } 0 = s \wedge (\forall i. \text{guard } g \text{ (ts } i) \wedge \text{terminates } (ts \text{ } i) \text{ } c \text{ (ts } (\text{Suc } i))) \\ \wedge (\exists k. \text{star-}n \text{ step } (i+k) \text{ (While } g \text{ } c, ts \text{ } 0) \text{ (While } g \text{ } c, ts \text{ } i))) \end{aligned}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *H-for-Nil-output*:

$$H \text{ } i \text{ (a, b)} \implies \text{ignores-output } H \implies H \text{ } i \text{ (a, [])}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *output-of-simp[simp]*:

$$\text{output-of } (a, b) = b$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *star-n-step-output-extend*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{star-}n \text{ step } n \text{ (c, s)} \text{ (c', t)} \implies \\ \exists \text{new}. \text{snd } t = \text{snd } s @ \text{new} \wedge \\ (\forall xs. \text{star-}n \text{ step } n \text{ (c, fst } s, xs) \\ (c', fst t, xs @ \text{new})) \end{aligned}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *lappend-initial-output*:

```

{llist-of out |out.
  ∃ q t. star step (While x p, a, b) (q, t, out)}
= lappend (llist-of b) ` {llist-of out |out.
  ∃ q t. star step (While x p, a, []) (q, t, out)}
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *ts-accum*:

```

∀ i. prefix (output-of (ts i)) (output-of (ts (Suc i))) ⇒
concat (map (λi. drop (length (output-of (ts i))) (output-of (ts (Suc i)))) [0..<i])
= drop (length (output-of (ts 0))) (output-of (ts i))
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem *Pohjola-diverges*:

```

pohjola (λs. ∃ l. diverges s c l ∧ D l) c D
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem *Pohjola-completeness*:

```

pohjola-sem P c D ⇒ pohjola P c D
⟨proof⟩

```

end

9 Soundness of Hoare logic for diverging programs

theory *DivLogicSoundness* **imports** *StdLogicSoundness DivLogicCompleteness* **begin**

lemma *p-loop-deterministic*:

```

star-n (λs t. guard x s ∧ terminates s p t) n s t ⇒
star-n (λs t. guard x s ∧ terminates s p t) n s t' ⇒ t = t'
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *loop-accum*:

```

[∀ i. hoare (λs. H i s ∧ output-of s = []) p
  (λs. H (Suc i) s ∧ output-of s = ev i ∧ guard x s);
  guard x (a, b); H 0 (a, b); ignores-output H]
⇒ ∀ i. ∃ s. star-n (λs t. guard x s ∧ terminates s p t) i (a, b) s ∧
  guard x s ∧ H i s
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *output-accum*:

```

[star-n (λs t. guard x s ∧ terminates s p t) i (a, b) s;
  guard x (a, b); H 0 (a, b); ignores-output H;
  ∀ i. hoare (λs. H i s ∧ output-of s = []) p
    (λs. H (Suc i) s ∧ output-of s = ev i ∧ guard x s)]
⇒ output-of s = b @ (concat (map ev [0..<i]))
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma helper-lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{guard } x (a, b); H 0 (a, b); \text{ignores-output } H; \\ & \quad \forall i. \text{hoare } (\lambda s. H i s \wedge \text{output-of } s = []) p \\ & \quad (\lambda s. H (\text{Suc } i) s \wedge \text{output-of } s = \text{ev } i \wedge \text{guard } x s) \rrbracket \\ \implies & \forall i. \exists s. \text{star-n } (\lambda s t. \text{guard } x s \wedge \text{terminates } s p t) i (a, b) s \wedge \\ & \quad \text{guard } x s \wedge H i s \wedge \text{output-of } s = b @ (\text{concat } (\text{map ev } [0..< i])) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma add-While-loops:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \text{star-n } (\lambda s t. \text{guard } x s \wedge \text{terminates } s p t) i (a, b) s \rrbracket \\ \implies & \text{star-n } (\lambda s t. \text{star step } (\text{While } x p, s) (\text{While } x p, t) \wedge \text{terminates } s p t \wedge \\ & \quad \text{guard } x s) \\ & \quad i (a, b) s \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma loop-upper-bound:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \forall i. \exists s. \text{star-n } (\lambda s t. \text{guard } x s \wedge \text{terminates } s p t) i (a, b) s \wedge \text{guard } x s \wedge H i s; \\ & \quad \text{star-n step } n (\text{While } x p, a, []) (q, t, \text{out}) \rrbracket \implies \\ & \quad \exists i t' n'. \text{star-n } (\lambda s t. \text{star step } (\text{While } x p, s) (\text{While } x p, t) \\ & \quad \wedge \text{terminates } s p t \wedge \text{guard } x s) i (a, b) t' \wedge \\ & \quad \text{star-n step } n' (\text{While } x p, a, b) (\text{While } x p, t') \wedge n \leq n' \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

theorem Pohjola-soundness:

$$\text{pohjola } P c Q \implies \text{pohjola-sem } P c Q$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

10 Examples

theory Examples imports DivLogicSoundness

begin

definition pure-loop :: prog **where**
 $\text{pure-loop} = \text{While } (\lambda _. 1) \text{ Skip}$

lemma pure-loop-correct:

$$\text{pohjola } (\lambda s. \text{output-of } s = []) \text{ pure-loop } (\lambda l. l = LNil)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition zero :: prog **where**
 $\text{zero} = \text{While } (\lambda _. 1) (\text{Print } (\lambda _. 0))$

definition zero-llist :: nat llist **where**
 $\text{zero-llist} = \text{iterates id } 0$

```

lemma zero-correct:
  pohjola ( $\lambda s. \text{output-of } s = []$ ) zero ( $\lambda l. l = \text{zero-list}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

definition ex2 where
  ex2 = While ( $\lambda -. 1$ ) zero

lemma ex2-correct:
  pohjola ( $\lambda s. \text{output-of } s = []$ ) ex2 ( $\lambda l. l = \text{zero-list}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

References

- [1] J. A. Pohjola, H. Rostedt, and M. O. Myreen. Characteristic formulae for liveness properties of non-terminating CakeML programs. In *Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP)*. LIPIcs, 2019.