

The Hermite–Lindemann–Weierstraß Transcendence Theorem

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This article provides a formalisation of the Hermite–Lindemann–Weierstraß Theorem (also known as simply Hermite–Lindemann or Lindemann–Weierstraß). This theorem is one of the crowning achievements of 19th century number theory.

The theorem states that if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ are algebraic numbers that are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} , then $e^{\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{\alpha_n}$ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q} .

Like the previous formalisation in Coq by Bernard [2], I proceeded by formalising Baker’s alternative formulation of the theorem [1] and then deriving the original one from that. Baker’s version states that for any algebraic numbers $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{C}$ and distinct algebraic numbers $\alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$, we have:

$$\beta_1 e^{\alpha_1} + \dots + \beta_n e^{\alpha_n} = 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall i. \beta_i = 0$$

This has a number of immediate corollaries, e.g.:

- e and π are transcendental
- e^z , $\sin z$, $\tan z$, etc. are transcendental for algebraic $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$
- $\ln z$ is transcendental for algebraic $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Divisibility of algebraic integers | 3 |
| 2 | Auxiliary facts about univariate polynomials | 6 |
| 3 | The lexicographic ordering on complex numbers | 10 |
| 4 | Additional facts about multivariate polynomials | 11 |
| 4.1 | Miscellaneous | 11 |
| 4.2 | Converting a univariate polynomial into a multivariate one . . | 12 |
| 5 | More facts about algebraic numbers | 13 |
| 5.1 | Miscellaneous | 14 |
| 5.2 | Turning an algebraic number into an algebraic integer | 16 |
| 5.3 | Multiplying an algebraic number with a suitable integer turns it into an algebraic integer. | 16 |
| 6 | Miscellaneous facts | 16 |
| 7 | The Hermite–Lindemann–Weierstraß Transcendence Theorem | 19 |
| 7.1 | Main proof | 19 |
| 7.2 | Removing the restriction of full sets of conjugates | 20 |
| 7.3 | Removing the restriction to integer coefficients | 20 |
| 7.4 | The final theorem | 21 |
| 7.5 | The traditional formulation of the theorem | 22 |
| 7.6 | Simple corollaries | 22 |
| 7.7 | Transcendence of the trigonometric and hyperbolic functions | 23 |

1 Divisibility of algebraic integers

```
theory Algebraic-Integer-Divisibility
  imports Algebraic-Numbers.Algebraic-Numbers
begin
```

In this section, we define a notion of divisibility of algebraic integers: y is divisible by x if y / x is an algebraic integer (or if x and y are both zero). Technically, the definition does not require x and y to be algebraic integers themselves, but we will always use it that way (in fact, in our case x will always be a rational integer).

```
definition alg-dvd :: 'a :: field ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infix <alg'-dvd> 50) where
  x alg-dvd y ⟷ (x = 0 → y = 0) ∧ algebraic-int (y / x)
```

```
lemma alg-dvd-imp-algebraic-int:
  fixes x y :: 'a :: field-char-0
  shows x alg-dvd y ⟹ algebraic-int x ⟹ algebraic-int y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma alg-dvd-0-left-iff [simp]: 0 alg-dvd x ⟷ x = 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma alg-dvd-0-right [iff]: x alg-dvd 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma one-alg-dvd-iff [simp]: 1 alg-dvd x ⟷ algebraic-int x
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma alg-dvd-of-int [intro]:
  assumes x dvd y
  shows of-int x alg-dvd of-int y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma alg-dvd-of-nat [intro]:
  assumes x dvd y
  shows of-nat x alg-dvd of-nat y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma alg-dvd-of-int-iff [simp]:
  (of-int x :: 'a :: field-char-0) alg-dvd of-int y ⟷ x dvd y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma alg-dvd-of-nat-iff [simp]:
  (of-nat x :: 'a :: field-char-0) alg-dvd of-nat y ⟷ x dvd y
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma alg-dvd-add [intro]:
  fixes x y z :: 'a :: field-char-0
  shows x alg-dvd y ⟹ x alg-dvd z ⟹ x alg-dvd (y + z)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-uminus-right* [intro]: $x \text{ alg-dvd } y \implies x \text{ alg-dvd } -y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-uminus-right-iff* [simp]: $x \text{ alg-dvd } -y \longleftrightarrow x \text{ alg-dvd } y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-diff* [intro]:
 fixes $x y z :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$
 shows $x \text{ alg-dvd } y \implies x \text{ alg-dvd } z \implies x \text{ alg-dvd } (y - z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-triv-left* [intro]: *algebraic-int* $y \implies x \text{ alg-dvd } x * y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-triv-right* [intro]: *algebraic-int* $x \implies y \text{ alg-dvd } x * y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-triv-left-iff*: $x \text{ alg-dvd } x * y \longleftrightarrow x = 0 \vee \text{algebraic-int } y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-triv-right-iff*: $y \text{ alg-dvd } x * y \longleftrightarrow y = 0 \vee \text{algebraic-int } x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-triv-left-iff'* [simp]: $x \neq 0 \implies x \text{ alg-dvd } x * y \longleftrightarrow \text{algebraic-int } y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-triv-right-iff'* [simp]: $y \neq 0 \implies y \text{ alg-dvd } x * y \longleftrightarrow \text{algebraic-int } x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-trans* [trans]:
 fixes $x y z :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$
 shows $x \text{ alg-dvd } y \implies y \text{ alg-dvd } z \implies x \text{ alg-dvd } z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-mono* [simp]:
 fixes $a b c d :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$
 shows $a \text{ alg-dvd } c \implies b \text{ alg-dvd } d \implies (a * b) \text{ alg-dvd } (c * d)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-mult* [simp]:
 fixes $a b c :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$
 shows $a \text{ alg-dvd } c \implies \text{algebraic-int } b \implies a \text{ alg-dvd } (b * c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *alg-dvd-mult2* [simp]:
 fixes $a b c :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$

```

shows  $a \text{ alg-dvd } b \implies \text{algebraic-int } c \implies a \text{ alg-dvd } (b * c)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

A crucial theorem: if an integer x divides a rational number y , then y is in fact also an integer, and that integer is a multiple of x .

```

lemma alg-dvd-int-rat:
  fixes  $y :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}$ 
  assumes  $\text{of-int } x \text{ alg-dvd } y \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{Q}$ 
  shows  $\exists n. y = \text{of-int } n \wedge x \text{ dvd } n$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma prod-alg-dvd-prod:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{field-char-0}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \text{ alg-dvd } g x$ 
  shows  $\text{prod } f A \text{ alg-dvd } \text{prod } g A$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma alg-dvd-sum:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{field-char-0}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies y \text{ alg-dvd } f x$ 
  shows  $y \text{ alg-dvd } \text{sum } f A$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma not-alg-dvd-sum:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{field-char-0}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A - \{x'\} \implies y \text{ alg-dvd } f x$ 
  assumes  $\neg y \text{ alg-dvd } f x'$ 
  assumes  $x' \in A$  finite  $A$ 
  shows  $\neg y \text{ alg-dvd } \text{sum } f A$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fact-dvd-pochhammer:
  assumes  $m \leq n + 1$ 
  shows  $\text{fact } m \text{ dvd } \text{pochhammer } (\text{int } n - \text{int } m + 1) m$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma coeff-higher-pderiv:
   $\text{coeff } ((\text{pderiv } \wedge\wedge m) f) n = \text{pochhammer } (\text{of-nat } (\text{Suc } n)) m * \text{coeff } f (n + m)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fact-alg-dvd-poly-higher-pderiv:
  fixes  $p :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0 poly}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. \text{algebraic-int } (\text{poly.coeff } p i) \text{ algebraic-int } x m \leq k$ 
  shows  $\text{fact } m \text{ alg-dvd } \text{poly } ((\text{pderiv } \wedge\wedge k) p) x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

2 Auxiliary facts about univariate polynomials

```

theory More-Polynomial-HLW
imports
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra
  Polynomial-Factorization.Gauss-Lemma
  Power-Sum-Polynomials.Power-Sum-Polynomials-Library
  Algebraic-Numbers.Algebraic-Numbers
begin

instance poly :: ({idom-divide,normalization-semidom-multiplicative,factorial-ring-gcd,
                  semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}) factorial-semiring-multiplicative
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lead-coeff-prod-mset:
  fixes A :: 'a::{comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors} poly multiset
  shows Polynomial.lead-coeff (prod-mset A) = prod-mset (image-mset Polynomial.lead-coeff A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma content-normalize [simp]:
  fixes p :: 'a::{factorial-semiring, idom-divide, semiring-gcd, normalization-semidom-multiplicative}
  poly
  shows content (normalize p) = content p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rat-to-normalized-int-poly-exists:
  fixes p :: rat poly
  assumes p ≠ 0
  obtains q lc where p = Polynomial.smult lc (of-int-poly q) lc > 0 content q =
  1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-imp-squarefree:
  assumes irreducible p
  shows squarefree p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma squarefree-imp-rsquarefree:
  fixes p :: 'a :: idom poly
  assumes squarefree p
  shows rsquarefree p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma squarefree-imp-coprime-pderiv:
  fixes p :: 'a :: {factorial-ring-gcd,semiring-gcd-mult-normalize,semiring-char-0}
  poly
  assumes squarefree p and content p = 1
  shows Rings.coprime p (pderiv p)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma irreducible-imp-coprime-pderiv:
  fixes p :: 'a :: {idom-divide, semiring-char-0} poly
  assumes irreducible p Polynomial.degree p ≠ 0
  shows Rings.coprime p (pderiv p)
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-gcd-eq-0I:
  assumes poly p x = 0 poly q x = 0
  shows poly (gcd p q) x = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-eq-0-coprime:
  assumes Rings.coprime p q p ≠ 0 q ≠ 0
  shows poly p x ≠ 0 ∨ poly q x ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma coprime-of-int-polyI:
  assumes Rings.coprime p q
  shows Rings.coprime (of-int-poly p) (of-int-poly q :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd})
⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-imp-rsquarefree-of-int-poly:
  fixes p :: int poly
  assumes irreducible p and Polynomial.degree p > 0
  shows rsquarefree (of-int-poly p :: 'a :: {field-gcd, field-char-0} poly)
⟨proof⟩

lemma squarefree-of-int-polyI:
  assumes squarefree p content p = 1
  shows squarefree (of-int-poly p :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd} poly)
⟨proof⟩

lemma higher-pderiv-pcompose-linear:
  (pderiv ^ n) (pccompose p [:0, c:]) =
  Polynomial.smult (c ^ n) (pccompose ((pderiv ^ n) p) [:0, c:])
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-poly-eq:
  poly (poly p [:x:]) y = poly (eval-poly (λp. [:poly p y:]) p [:0, 1:]) x
⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-poly-poly-y-x [simp]:
  fixes p :: 'a :: idom poly poly
  shows poly (poly (poly-y-x p) [:y:]) x = poly (poly p [:x:]) y
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma (in idom-hom) map-poly-higher-pderiv [hom-distrib]:
  map-poly hom ((pderiv  $\wedge\!\!^\wedge n$ ) p) = (pderiv  $\wedge\!\!^\wedge n$ ) (map-poly hom p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma coeff-prod-linear-factors:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: comm-ring-1
  assumes [intro]: finite A
  shows Polynomial.coeff ((Π x:A. [:−f x, 1:])  $\wedge e$  x) i =
    (Σ X | X ∈ Pow (SIGMA x:A. {.. $<e x$ }) ∧ i = sum e A − card X.
     (−1)  $\wedge$  card X * (Π x∈X. f (fst x)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm-ring-hom) synthetic-div-hom:
  synthetic-div (map-poly hom p) (hom x) = map-poly hom (synthetic-div p x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma synthetic-div-altdef:
  fixes p :: 'a :: field poly
  shows synthetic-div p c = p div [:−c, 1:]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ring-closed) poly-closed [intro]:
  assumes ⋀i. poly.coeff p i ∈ A x ∈ A
  shows poly p x ∈ A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ring-closed) coeff-pCons-closed [intro]:
  assumes ⋀i. poly.coeff p i ∈ A x ∈ A
  shows poly.coeff (pCons x p) i ∈ A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ring-closed) coeff-poly-mult-closed [intro]:
  assumes ⋀i. poly.coeff p i ∈ A ⋀i. poly.coeff q i ∈ A
  shows poly.coeff (p * q) i ∈ A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ring-closed) coeff-poly-prod-closed [intro]:
  assumes ⋀x i. x ∈ X ⇒ poly.coeff (f x) i ∈ A
  shows poly.coeff (prod f X) i ∈ A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ring-closed) coeff-poly-power-closed [intro]:
  assumes ⋀i. poly.coeff p i ∈ A
  shows poly.coeff (p  $\wedge\!\!^\wedge n$ ) i ∈ A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ring-closed) synthetic-div-closed:
  assumes ⋀i. poly.coeff p i ∈ A x ∈ A
  shows poly.coeff (synthetic-div p x) i ∈ A
  
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pcompose-monom*: *pcompose* (*Polynomial.monom c n*) *p* = *Polynomial.smult* *c* (*p* \wedge *n*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-roots-uminus* [*simp*]: *poly-roots* ($-p$) = *poly-roots* *p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-roots-normalize* [*simp*]:
 fixes *p* :: $'a :: \{normalization-semidom, idom-divide\}$ *poly*
 shows *poly-roots* (*normalize p*) = *poly-roots* *p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-roots-of-int-normalize* [*simp*]:
 poly-roots (*of-int-poly* (*normalize p*)) :: $'a :: \{idom, ring-char-0\}$ *poly*) =
 poly-roots (*of-int-poly p*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-roots-power* [*simp*]: *poly-roots* (*p* \wedge *n*) = *repeat-mset* *n* (*poly-roots p*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-roots-conv-sum-prime-factors*:
 poly-roots *q* = ($\sum p \in \#prime-factorization q.$ *poly-roots p*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-roots-of-int-conv-sum-prime-factors*:
 poly-roots (*of-int-poly q* :: $'a :: \{idom, ring-char-0\}$ *poly*) =
 ($\sum p \in \#prime-factorization q.$ *poly-roots* (*of-int-poly p*))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dvd-imp-poly-roots-subset*:
 assumes *q* $\neq 0$ *p* *dvd q*
 shows *poly-roots p* $\subseteq \#poly-roots q
 $\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *abs-prod-mset*: $|prod-mset (A :: 'a :: idom-abs-sgn multiset)| = prod-mset (image-mset abs A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *content-1-imp-nonconstant-prime-factors*:
 assumes *content* (*p* :: *int poly*) = 1 **and** *q* $\in prime-factors p
 shows *Polynomial.degree q* > 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$$

end

This theory imports both univariate and multivariate polynomials and thereby causes several overlaps in notation of polynomials.

```

theory More-Min-Int-Poly
imports
  Algebraic-Numbers.Min-Int-Poly
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra
  More-Polynomial-HLW
begin

lemma min-int-poly-squarefree [intro]:
  fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
  shows squarefree (min-int-poly x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma min-int-poly-conv-Gcd:
  fixes x :: 'a :: {field-char-0, field-gcd}
  assumes algebraic x
  shows min-int-poly x = Gcd {p. p ≠ 0 ∧ p represents x}
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

3 The lexicographic ordering on complex numbers

```

theory Complex-Lexorder
imports Complex-Main HOL-Library.Multiset
begin

```

We define a lexicographic order on the complex numbers, comparing first the real parts and, if they are equal, the imaginary parts. This ordering is of course not compatible with multiplication, but it is compatible with addition.

```

definition less-eq-complex-lex (infix ‹≤c› 50) where
  less-eq-complex-lex x y ↔ Re x < Re y ∨ Re x = Re y ∧ Im x ≤ Im y

```

```

definition less-complex-lex (infix ‹<c› 50) where
  less-complex-lex x y ↔ Re x < Re y ∨ Re x = Re y ∧ Im x < Im y

```

```

interpretation complex-lex:
  linordered-ab-group-add (+) 0 (-) uminus less-eq-complex-lex less-complex-lex
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas [trans] =
  complex-lex.order.trans complex-lex.less-le-trans
  complex-lex.less-trans complex-lex.le-less-trans

```

```

lemma (in ordered-comm-monoid-add) sum-mono-complex-lex:
  (⋀ i ∈ K ⇒ f i ≤c g i) ⇒ (Σ i ∈ K. f i) ≤c (Σ i ∈ K. g i)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum-strict-mono-ex1-complex-lex:
  fixes f g :: 'i ⇒ complex
  assumes finite A
    and ∀x∈A. f x ≤c g x
    and ∃a∈A. f a <c g a
  shows sum f A <c sum g A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-mono-complex-lex:
  assumes list-all2 (≤c) xs ys
  shows sum-list xs ≤c sum-list ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-mset-mono-complex-lex:
  assumes rel-mset (≤c) A B
  shows sum-mset A ≤c sum-mset B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-msetI:
  assumes list-all2 R xs ys mset xs = A mset ys = B
  shows rel-mset R A B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-replicate [simp]: mset (replicate n x) = replicate-mset n x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rel-mset-replicate-mset-right:
  assumes ⋀x. x ∈# A ⇒ R x y size A = n
  shows rel-mset R A (replicate-mset n y)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 Additional facts about multivariate polynomials

```

theory More-Multivariate-Polynomial-HLW
  imports Power-Sum-Polynomials.Power-Sum-Polynomials-Library
  begin

```

4.1 Miscellaneous

```

lemma Var-altdef: Var i = monom (Poly-Mapping.single i 1) 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Const-conv-monom: Const c = monom 0 c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma smult-conv-mult-Const: smult c p = Const c * p
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *mpoly-map-vars-Var* [simp]: $\text{bij } f \implies \text{mpoly-map-vars } f (\text{Var } i) = \text{Var } (f i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *symmetric-mpoly-symmetric-prod'*:

assumes $\bigwedge \pi. \pi \text{ permutes } A \implies g \pi \text{ permutes } X$

assumes $\bigwedge x \pi. x \in X \implies \pi \text{ permutes } A \implies \text{mpoly-map-vars } \pi (f x) = f (g \pi x)$

shows *symmetric-mpoly* $A (\prod_{x \in X} f x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.2 Converting a univariate polynomial into a multivariate one

lift-definition *mpoly-of-poly-aux* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{zero poly} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 \text{nat}) \Rightarrow_0 'a$ is

$\lambda i c m. \text{if } \text{Poly-Mapping.keys } m \subseteq \{i\} \text{ then } c (\text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } m i) \text{ else } 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition *mpoly-of-poly* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{zero poly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mpoly}$ is
mpoly-of-poly-aux $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mpoly-of-poly-0* [simp]: $\text{mpoly-of-poly } i 0 = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coeff-mpoly-of-poly1* [simp]:

$\text{coeff } (\text{mpoly-of-poly } i p) (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } i n) = \text{poly.coeff } p n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coeff-mpoly-of-poly2* [simp]:

assumes $\neg \text{keys } x \subseteq \{i\}$

shows $\text{coeff } (\text{mpoly-of-poly } i p) x = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coeff-mpoly-of-poly*:

$\text{coeff } (\text{mpoly-of-poly } i p) m =$

$(\text{poly.coeff } p (\text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } m i)) \text{ when } \text{keys } m \subseteq \{i\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-mapping-single-eq-0-iff* [simp]: $\text{Poly-Mapping.single } i n = 0 \longleftrightarrow n = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mpoly-of-poly-pCons* [simp]:

fixes $p :: 'a :: \text{semiring-1 poly}$

shows $\text{mpoly-of-poly } i (\text{pCons } c p) = \text{Const } c + \text{Var } i * \text{mpoly-of-poly } i p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma mpoly-of-poly-1 [simp]: mpoly-of-poly i 1 = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mpoly-of-poly-uminus [simp]: mpoly-of-poly i (-p) = -mpoly-of-poly i p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mpoly-of-poly-add [simp]: mpoly-of-poly i (p + q) = mpoly-of-poly i p +
  mpoly-of-poly i q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mpoly-of-poly-diff [simp]: mpoly-of-poly i (p - q) = mpoly-of-poly i p -
  mpoly-of-poly i q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mpoly-of-poly-smult [simp]:
  mpoly-of-poly i (Polynomial.smult c p) = smult c (mpoly-of-poly i p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mpoly-of-poly-mult [simp]:
  fixes p q :: 'a :: comm-semiring-1 poly
  shows mpoly-of-poly i (p * q) = mpoly-of-poly i p * mpoly-of-poly i q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma insertion-mpoly-of-poly [simp]: insertion f (mpoly-of-poly i p) = poly p (f
i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mapping-of-mpoly-of-poly [simp]: mapping-of (mpoly-of-poly i p) = mpoly-of-poly-aux
i p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-mpoly-of-poly: vars (mpoly-of-poly i p) ⊆ {i}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mpoly-map-vars-mpoly-of-poly [simp]:
  assumes bij f
  shows mpoly-map-vars f (mpoly-of-poly i p) = mpoly-of-poly (f i) p
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

5 More facts about algebraic numbers

```

theory More-Algebraic-Numbers-HLW
  imports Algebraic-Numbers.Algebraic-Numbers
begin

```

5.1 Miscellaneous

lemma *in-Ints-imp-algebraic* [*simp, intro*]: $x \in \mathbb{Z} \implies \text{algebraic } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-Rats-imp-algebraic* [*simp, intro*]: $x \in \mathbb{Q} \implies \text{algebraic } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-uminus-iff* [*simp*]: $\text{algebraic } (-x) \longleftrightarrow \text{algebraic } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-0* [*simp*]: $\text{algebraic } (0 :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0})$
and *algebraic-1* [*simp*]: $\text{algebraic } (1 :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-sum* [*intro*]:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{algebraic } (f x)) \implies \text{algebraic } (\text{sum } f A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-prod* [*intro*]:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{algebraic } (f x)) \implies \text{algebraic } (\text{prod } f A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-sum-list* [*intro*]:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{algebraic } x) \implies \text{algebraic } (\text{sum-list } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-prod-list* [*intro*]:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{algebraic } x) \implies \text{algebraic } (\text{prod-list } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-sum-mset* [*intro*]:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \# A \implies \text{algebraic } x) \implies \text{algebraic } (\text{sum-mset } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-prod-mset* [*intro*]:
 $(\bigwedge x. x \in \# A \implies \text{algebraic } x) \implies \text{algebraic } (\text{prod-mset } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-power* [*intro*]: $\text{algebraic } x \implies \text{algebraic } (x \wedge n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-csqrt* [*intro*]: $\text{algebraic } x \implies \text{algebraic } (\text{csqrt } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *algebraic-csqrt-iff* [*simp*]: $\text{algebraic } (\text{csqrt } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{algebraic } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*intro*] = *algebraic-plus algebraic-times algebraic-uminus algebraic-div*

```

lemma algebraic-power-iff [simp]:
  assumes n > 0
  shows algebraic (x ^ n)  $\longleftrightarrow$  algebraic x
  <proof>

lemma algebraic-ii [simp]: algebraic i
  <proof>

lemma algebraic-int-fact [simp, intro]: algebraic-int (fact n)
  <proof>

lemma algebraic-minus [intro]: algebraic x  $\implies$  algebraic y  $\implies$  algebraic (x - y)
  <proof>

lemma algebraic-add-cancel-left [simp]:
  assumes algebraic x
  shows algebraic (x + y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  algebraic y
  <proof>

lemma algebraic-add-cancel-right [simp]:
  assumes algebraic y
  shows algebraic (x + y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  algebraic x
  <proof>

lemma algebraic-diff-cancel-left [simp]:
  assumes algebraic x
  shows algebraic (x - y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  algebraic y
  <proof>

lemma algebraic-diff-cancel-right [simp]:
  assumes algebraic y
  shows algebraic (x - y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  algebraic x
  <proof>

lemma algebraic-mult-cancel-left [simp]:
  assumes algebraic x x  $\neq$  0
  shows algebraic (x * y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  algebraic y
  <proof>

lemma algebraic-mult-cancel-right [simp]:
  assumes algebraic y y  $\neq$  0
  shows algebraic (x * y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  algebraic x
  <proof>

lemma algebraic-inverse-iff [simp]: algebraic (inverse y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  algebraic y
  <proof>

lemma algebraic-divide-cancel-left [simp]:
  assumes algebraic x x  $\neq$  0

```

```

shows algebraic (x / y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  algebraic y
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma algebraic-divide-cancel-right [simp]:
assumes algebraic y y ≠ 0
shows algebraic (x / y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  algebraic x
⟨proof⟩

```

5.2 Turning an algebraic number into an algebraic integer

5.3 Multiplying an algebraic number with a suitable integer turns it into an algebraic integer.

```

lemma algebraic-imp-algebraic-int:
fixes x :: 'a :: field-char-0
assumes ipoly p x = 0 p ≠ 0
defines c ≡ Polynomial.lead-coeff p
shows algebraic-int (of-int c * x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma algebraic-imp-algebraic-int':
fixes x :: 'a :: field-char-0
assumes ipoly p x = 0 p ≠ 0 Polynomial.lead-coeff p dvd c
shows algebraic-int (of-int c * x)
⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

6 Miscellaneous facts

```
theory Misc-HLW
```

```
imports
```

```
Complex-Main
```

```
HOL-Library.Multiset
```

```
HOL-Library.FuncSet
```

```
HOL-Library.Groups-Big-Fun
```

```
HOL-Library.Poly-Mapping
```

```
HOL-Library.Landau-Symbols
```

```
HOL-Combinatorics.Permutations
```

```
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra
```

```
begin
```

```

lemma set-mset-subset-singletonD:
assumes set-mset A ⊆ {x}
shows A = replicate-mset (size A) x
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma image-mset-eq-replicate-msetD:
assumes image-mset f A = replicate-mset n y

```

```

shows  $\forall x \in \#A. f x = y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-betw-permutes-compose-left:
assumes  $\pi$  permutes  $A$ 
shows bij-betw  $(\lambda \sigma. \pi \circ \sigma)$  { $\sigma$ .  $\sigma$  permutes  $A$ } { $\sigma$ .  $\sigma$  permutes  $A$ }
⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-betw-compose-left-perm-Pi:
assumes  $\pi$  permutes  $B$ 
shows bij-betw  $(\lambda f. (\pi \circ f))$   $(A \rightarrow B)$   $(A \rightarrow B)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-betw-compose-left-perm-PiE:
assumes  $\pi$  permutes  $B$ 
shows bij-betw  $(\lambda f. \text{restrict } (\pi \circ f) A)$   $(A \rightarrow_E B)$   $(A \rightarrow_E B)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-betw-image-mset-set:
assumes bij-betw  $f A B$ 
shows image-mset  $f$  (mset-set  $A$ ) = mset-set  $B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-multisets-of-size:
assumes finite  $A$ 
shows finite { $X$ . set-mset  $X \subseteq A \wedge \text{size } X = n$ }
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-mset-image-mset-sum-mset-image-mset:
sum-mset (image-mset  $g$  (sum-mset (image-mset  $f A$ ))) =
sum-mset (image-mset  $(\lambda x. \text{sum-mset } (\text{image-mset } g (f x))) A$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-mset-image-mset-singleton: sum-mset (image-mset  $(\lambda x. \{\#f x\}) A$ )
= image-mset  $f A$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-mset-conv-sum:
sum-mset (image-mset  $f A$ ) =  $(\sum x \in \text{set-mset } A. \text{of-nat } (\text{count } A x) * f x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-mset-conv-Sum-any:
sum-mset (image-mset  $f A$ ) = Sum-any  $(\lambda x. \text{of-nat } (\text{count } A x) * f x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Sum-any-sum-swap:
assumes finite  $A \wedge \forall y. \text{finite } \{x. f x y \neq 0\}$ 
shows Sum-any  $(\lambda x. \text{sum } (f x) A) = (\sum y \in A. \text{Sum-any } (\lambda x. f x y))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in landau-pair) big-power:
  assumes  $f \in L F g$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. f x \wedge n) \in L F (\lambda x. g x \wedge n)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in landau-pair) small-power:
  assumes  $f \in l F g n > 0$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. f x \wedge n) \in l F (\lambda x. g x \wedge n)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pairwise-imp-disjoint-family-on:
  assumes pairwise R A
  assumes  $\bigwedge m n. m \in A \implies n \in A \implies R m n \implies f m \cap f n = \{\}$ 
  shows disjoint-family-on f A
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in comm-monoid-set) If-eq:
  assumes  $y \in A$  finite A
  shows  $F(\lambda x. g x (if x = y then h1 x else h2 x)) A = f(g y (h1 y)) (F(\lambda x. g x (h2 x)) (A - \{y\}))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma prod-nonzeroI:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{semiring-no-zero-divisors, comm-semiring-1\}$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \neq 0$ 
  shows prod f A  $\neq 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma frequently-prime-cofinite: frequently (prime :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool) cofinite
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma frequently-eventually-mono:
  assumes frequently Q F eventually P F  $\bigwedge x. P x \implies Q x \implies R x$ 
  shows frequently R F
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bij-betw-Diff:
  assumes bij-betw f A B bij-betw f A' B' A'  $\subseteq$  A B'  $\subseteq$  B
  shows bij-betw f (A - A') (B - B')
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bij-betw-singleton: bij-betw f {x} {y}  $\longleftrightarrow f x = y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

7 The Hermite–Lindemann–Weierstraß Transcendence Theorem

```

theory Hermite-Lindemann
imports
  Pi-Transcendental.Pi-Transcendental
  Algebraic-Numbers.Algebraic-Numbers
  Algebraic-Integer-Divisibility
  More-Min-Int-Poly
  Complex-Lexorder
  More-Polynomial-HLW
  More-Multivariate-Polynomial-HLW
  More-Algebraic-Numbers-HLW
  Misc-HLW
begin

hide-const (open) Henstock-Kurzweil-Integration.content Module.smult

```

The Hermite–Lindemann–Weierstraß theorem answers questions about the transcendence of the exponential function and other related complex functions. It proves that a large number of combinations of exponentials is always transcendental.

A first (much weaker) version of the theorem was proven by Hermite. Lindemann and Weierstraß then successively generalised it shortly afterwards, and finally Baker gave another, arguably more elegant formulation (which is the one that we will prove, and then derive the traditional version from it).

To honour the contributions of all three of these 19th-century mathematicians, I refer to the theorem as the Hermite–Lindemann–Weierstraß theorem, even though in other literature it is often called Hermite–Lindemann or Lindemann–Weierstraß. To keep things short, the Isabelle name of the theorem, however, will omit Weierstraß’s name.

7.1 Main proof

Following Baker, We first prove the following special form of the theorem: Let $m > 0$ and $q_1, \dots, q_m \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be irreducible, non-constant, and pairwise coprime polynomials. Let β_1, \dots, β_m be non-zero integers. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \beta_i \sum_{q_i(\alpha)=0} e^\alpha \neq 0$$

The difference to the final theorem is that

1. The coefficients β_i are non-zero integers (as opposed to arbitrary algebraic numbers)

2. The exponents α_i occur in full sets of conjugates, and each set has the same coefficient.

In a similar fashion to the proofs of the transcendence of e and π , we define some number J depending on the α_i and β_i and an arbitrary sufficiently large prime p . We then show that, on one hand, J is an integer multiple of $(p - 1)!$, but on the other hand it is bounded from above by a term of the form $C_1 \cdot C_2^p$. This is then clearly a contradiction if p is chosen large enough.

```
lemma Hermite-Lindemann-aux1:
  fixes P :: int poly set and β :: int poly ⇒ int
  assumes finite P and P ≠ {}
  assumes distinct: pairwise Rings.coprime P
  assumes irred: ∀p. p ∈ P ⇒ irreducible p
  assumes nonconstant: ∀p. p ∈ P ⇒ Polynomial.degree p > 0
  assumes β-nz: ∀p. p ∈ P ⇒ β p ≠ 0
  defines Roots ≡ (λp. {α::complex. poly (of-int-poly p) α = 0})
  shows (∑p∈P. of-int (β p) * (∑α∈Roots p. exp α)) ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩
```

7.2 Removing the restriction of full sets of conjugates

We will now remove the restriction that the α_i must occur in full sets of conjugates by multiplying the equality with all permutations of roots.

```
lemma Hermite-Lindemann-aux2:
  fixes X :: complex set and β :: complex ⇒ int
  assumes finite X
  assumes nz: ∀x. x ∈ X ⇒ β x ≠ 0
  assumes alg: ∀x. x ∈ X ⇒ algebraic x
  assumes sum0: (∑x∈X. of-int (β x) * exp x) = 0
  shows X = {}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

7.3 Removing the restriction to integer coefficients

Next, we weaken the restriction that the β_i must be integers to the restriction that they must be rationals. This is done simply by multiplying with the least common multiple of the denominators.

```
lemma Hermite-Lindemann-aux3:
  fixes X :: complex set and β :: complex ⇒ rat
  assumes finite X
  assumes nz: ∀x. x ∈ X ⇒ β x ≠ 0
  assumes alg: ∀x. x ∈ X ⇒ algebraic x
  assumes sum0: (∑x∈X. of-rat (β x) * exp x) = 0
  shows X = {}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Next, we weaken the restriction that the β_i must be rational to them being algebraic. Similarly to before, this is done by multiplying over all possible permutations of the β_i (in some sense) to introduce more symmetry, from which it then follows by the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials that the resulting coefficients are rational.

lemma *Hermite-Lindemann-aux4*:

```
fixes β :: complex ⇒ complex
assumes [intro]: finite X
assumes alg1: ∀x. x ∈ X ⇒ algebraic x
assumes alg2: ∀x. x ∈ X ⇒ algebraic (β x)
assumes nz: ∀x. x ∈ X ⇒ β x ≠ 0
assumes sum0: (∑ x∈X. β x * exp x) = 0
shows X = {}
⟨proof⟩
```

7.4 The final theorem

We now additionally allow some of the β_i to be zero:

lemma *Hermite-Lindemann'*:

```
fixes β :: complex ⇒ complex
assumes finite X
assumes ∀x. x ∈ X ⇒ algebraic x
assumes ∀x. x ∈ X ⇒ algebraic (β x)
assumes (∑ x∈X. β x * exp x) = 0
shows ∀x∈X. β x = 0
⟨proof⟩
```

Lastly, we switch to indexed summation in order to obtain a version of the theorem that is somewhat nicer to use:

theorem *Hermite-Lindemann*:

```
fixes α β :: 'a ⇒ complex
assumes finite I
assumes ∀x. x ∈ I ⇒ algebraic (α x)
assumes ∀x. x ∈ I ⇒ algebraic (β x)
assumes inj-on α I
assumes (∑ x∈I. β x * exp (α x)) = 0
shows ∀x∈I. β x = 0
⟨proof⟩
```

The following version using lists instead of sequences is even more convenient to use in practice:

corollary *Hermite-Lindemann-list*:

```
fixes xs :: (complex × complex) list
assumes alg: ∀(x,y)∈set xs. algebraic x ∧ algebraic y
assumes distinct: distinct (map snd xs)
assumes sum0: (∑ (c,α)∈xs. c * exp α) = 0
shows ∀c∈(fst ` set xs). c = 0
⟨proof⟩
```

7.5 The traditional formulation of the theorem

What we proved above was actually Baker's reformulation of the theorem. Thus, we now also derive the original one, which uses linear independence and algebraic independence.

It states that if $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are algebraic numbers that are linearly independent over \mathbb{Z} , then $e^{\alpha_1}, \dots, e^{\alpha_n}$ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{Q} .

Linear independence over the integers is just independence of a set of complex numbers when viewing the complex numbers as a \mathbb{Z} -module.

```
definition linearly-independent-over-int :: 'a :: field-char-0 set ⇒ bool where
  linearly-independent-over-int = module.independent (λr x. of-int r * x)
```

Algebraic independence over the rationals means that the given set X of numbers fulfils no non-trivial polynomial equation with rational coefficients, i.e. there is no non-zero multivariate polynomial with rational coefficients that, when inserting the numbers from X , becomes zero.

Note that we could easily replace ‘rational coefficients’ with ‘algebraic coefficients’ here and the proof would still go through without any modifications.

```
definition algebraically-independent-over-rat :: nat ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a :: field-char-0) ⇒ bool where
  algebraically-independent-over-rat n a ↔
    ( ∀ p. vars p ⊆ {..} ∧ ( ∀ m. coeff p m ∈ Q ) ∧ insertion a p = 0 → p = 0 )
```

corollary Hermite-Lindemann-original:

```
fixes n :: nat and α :: nat ⇒ complex
assumes inj-on α {..}
assumes ∏i. i < n ⇒ algebraic (α i)
assumes linearly-independent-over-int (α {..})
shows algebraically-independent-over-rat n (λi. exp (α i))
⟨proof⟩
```

7.6 Simple corollaries

Now, we derive all the usual obvious corollaries of the theorem in the obvious way.

First, the exponential of a non-zero algebraic number is transcendental.

```
corollary algebraic-exp-complex-iff:
assumes algebraic x
shows algebraic (exp x :: complex) ↔ x = 0
⟨proof⟩
```

More generally, any sum of exponentials with algebraic coefficients and exponents is transcendental if the exponents are all distinct and non-zero and at least one coefficient is non-zero.

corollary sum-of-exp-transcendentalI:

```

fixes xs :: (complex × complex) list
assumes ∀(x,y)∈set xs. algebraic x ∧ algebraic y ∧ y ≠ 0
assumes ∃x∈fst‘set xs. x ≠ 0
assumes distinct: distinct (map snd xs)
shows ¬algebraic (∑(c,α)←xs. c * exp α)
⟨proof⟩

```

Any complex logarithm of an algebraic number other than 1 is transcendental (no matter which branch cut).

```

corollary transcendental-complex-logarithm:
assumes algebraic x exp y = (x :: complex) x ≠ 1
shows ¬algebraic y
⟨proof⟩

```

In particular, this holds for the standard branch of the logarithm.

```

corollary transcendental-Ln:
assumes algebraic x x ≠ 0 x ≠ 1
shows ¬algebraic (Ln x)
⟨proof⟩

```

The transcendence of e and π , which I have already formalised directly in other AFP entries, now follows as a simple corollary.

```

corollary exp-1-complex-transcendental: ¬algebraic (exp 1 :: complex)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary pi-transcendental: ¬algebraic pi
⟨proof⟩

```

7.7 Transcendence of the trigonometric and hyperbolic functions

In a similar fashion, we can also prove the transcendence of all the trigonometric and hyperbolic functions such as \sin , \tan , \sinh , \arcsin , etc.

```

lemma transcendental-sinh:
assumes algebraic z z ≠ 0
shows ¬algebraic (sinh z :: complex)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma transcendental-cosh:
assumes algebraic z z ≠ 0
shows ¬algebraic (cosh z :: complex)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma transcendental-sin:
assumes algebraic z z ≠ 0
shows ¬algebraic (sin z :: complex)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma transcendental-cos:
  assumes algebraic z z ≠ 0
  shows ¬algebraic (cos z :: complex)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tan-square-neq-neg1: tan (z :: complex) ^ 2 ≠ -1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transcendental-tan:
  assumes algebraic z z ≠ 0
  shows ¬algebraic (tan z :: complex)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transcendental-cot:
  assumes algebraic z z ≠ 0
  shows ¬algebraic (cot z :: complex)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transcendental-tanh:
  assumes algebraic z z ≠ 0 cosh z ≠ 0
  shows ¬algebraic (tanh z :: complex)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transcendental-Arcsin:
  assumes algebraic z z ≠ 0
  shows ¬algebraic (Arcsin z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transcendental-Arccos:
  assumes algebraic z z ≠ 1
  shows ¬algebraic (Arccos z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma transcendental-Arctan:
  assumes algebraic z z ∉ {0, i, -i}
  shows ¬algebraic (Arctan z)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

References

- [1] A. Baker. *Transcendental Number Theory*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, 1975.
- [2] S. Bernard. Formalization of the Lindemann-Weierstrass theorem. In

M. Ayala-Rincón and C. A. Muñoz, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving - 8th International Conference, ITP 2017, Brasília, Brazil, September 26-29, 2017, Proceedings*, volume 10499 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 65–80. Springer, 2017.

- [3] R. Steinberg and R. M. Redheffer. Analytic proof of the Lindemann theorem. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 2(2):231–242, 1952.