

Hermite Normal Form

By Jose Divasón and Jesús Aransay*

September 13, 2023

Abstract

The Hermite Normal Form is a canonical matrix analogue of Reduced Echelon Form, but involving matrices over more general rings. In this work we formalise an algorithm to compute the Hermite Normal Form of a matrix by means of elementary row operations, taking advantage of the Echelon Form AFP entry. We have proven the correctness of such an algorithm and refined it to immutable arrays. Furthermore, we have also formalised the uniqueness of the Hermite Normal Form of a matrix. Code can be exported and some examples of execution involving \mathbb{Z} -matrices and $\mathbb{K}[x]$ -matrices are presented as well.

Contents

1	Hermite Normal Form	1
1.1	Some previous properties	1
1.1.1	Rings	1
1.1.2	Polynomials	2
1.1.3	Units	2
1.1.4	Upper triangular matrices	2
1.1.5	More properties of mod type	3
1.1.6	Div and Mod	3
1.2	Units, associated and congruent relations	4
1.3	Associates and residues functions	5
1.3.1	Concrete instances in Euclidean rings	6
1.3.2	Concrete case of the integer ring	6
1.4	Definition of Hermite Normal Form	7
1.4.1	Echelon form up to row k	8
1.4.2	Hermite Normal Form up to row k	9
1.5	Definition of an algorithm to compute the Hermite Normal Form	10

*This research has been funded by the research grant FPI-UR-12 of the Universidad de La Rioja and by the project MTM2014-54151-P from Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Gobierno de España).

1.6	Proving the correctness of the algorithm	10
1.6.1	The proof	10
1.6.2	Proving that the Hermite Normal Form is computed by means of elementary operations	18
1.6.3	The final theorem	18
1.7	Proving the uniqueness of the Hermite Normal Form	18
1.8	Examples of execution	19
2	Hermite Normal Form refined to immutable arrays	20
2.1	Definition of the algorithm over immutable arrays	20
2.2	Proving the equivalence between definitions of both represen- tations	20
2.3	Examples of execution using immutable arrays	21

1 Hermite Normal Form

```
theory Hermite
imports
Echelon-Form.Echelon-Form-Inverse
Echelon-Form.Examples-Echelon-Form-Abstract
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Euclidean-Algorithm
begin
```

1.1 Some previous properties

1.1.1 Rings

```
subclass (in bezout-ring-div) euclidean-ring
⟨proof⟩
```

1.1.2 Polynomials

```
lemma coeff-dvd-poly: [:coeff a (degree a):] dvd (a:'a::{field} poly)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma poly-dvd-antisym2:
fixes p q :: 'a::{field} poly
assumes dvd1: p dvd q and dvd2: q dvd p
shows p div [:coeff p (degree p):] = q div [:coeff q (degree q):]
⟨proof⟩
```

1.1.3 Units

```
lemma unit-prod:
assumes finite S
shows is-unit (prod (λi. U $ i $ i) S) = (∀i∈S. is-unit (U $ i $ i))
⟨proof⟩
```

1.1.4 Upper triangular matrices

```

lemma is-unit-diagonal:
  fixes  $U: 'a :: \{ \text{comm-ring-1}, \text{algebraic-semidom} \} \wedge 'n :: \{ \text{finite}, \text{wellorder} \} \wedge 'n :: \{ \text{finite}, \text{wellorder} \}$ 
  assumes  $U: \text{upper-triangular } U$ 
  and  $\det U: \text{is-unit } (\det U)$ 
  shows  $\forall i. \text{is-unit } (U \$ i \$ i)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma upper-triangular-mult:
  fixes  $A: 'a :: \{ \text{semiring-1} \} \wedge 'n :: \{ \text{mod-type} \} \wedge 'n :: \{ \text{mod-type} \}$ 
  assumes  $A: \text{upper-triangular } A$ 
  and  $B: \text{upper-triangular } B$ 
  shows  $\text{upper-triangular } (A ** B)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma upper-triangular-adjugate:
  fixes  $A: ('a :: \{ \text{comm-ring-1}, 'n :: \{ \text{wellorder, finite} \} \}) \text{ vec}, 'n :: \{ \text{vec} \}$ 
  assumes  $A: \text{upper-triangular } A$ 
  shows  $\text{upper-triangular } (\text{adjugate } A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma upper-triangular-inverse:
  fixes  $A: ('a :: \{ \text{euclidean-semiring, comm-ring-1} \}, 'n :: \{ \text{wellorder, finite} \}) \text{ vec}, 'n :: \{ \text{vec} \}$ 
  assumes  $A: \text{upper-triangular } A$ 
  and  $\text{inv-}A: \text{invertible } A$ 
  shows  $\text{upper-triangular } (\text{matrix-inv } A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma upper-triangular-mult-diagonal:
  fixes  $A: ('a :: \{ \text{semiring-1} \}, 'n :: \{ \text{wellorder, finite} \}) \text{ vec}, 'n :: \{ \text{vec} \}$ 
  assumes  $A: \text{upper-triangular } A$ 
  and  $B: \text{upper-triangular } B$ 
  shows  $(A ** B) \$ i \$ i = A \$ i \$ i * B \$ i \$ i$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

1.1.5 More properties of mod type

```

lemma add-left-neutral:
  fixes  $a: 'n :: \{ \text{mod-type} \}$ 
  shows  $(a + b = a) = (b = 0)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma from-nat-1:  $\text{from-nat } 1 = 1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

1.1.6 Div and Mod

```

lemma dvd-minus-eq-mod:
  fixes c::'a::unique-euclidean-ring
  assumes c ≠ 0 and c dvd a – b shows a mod c = b mod c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-mod-dvd-minus:
  fixes c::'a::unique-euclidean-ring
  assumes c ≠ 0 and a mod c = b mod c
  shows c dvd a – b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dvd-cong-not-eq-mod:
  fixes c::'a::unique-euclidean-ring
  assumes xa mod c ≠ xb and c dvd xa mod c – xb and c ≠ 0
  shows xb mod c ≠ xb
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diff-mod-cong-0:
  fixes c::'a::unique-euclidean-ring
  assumes xa mod c ≠ xb mod c and c dvd xa mod c – xb mod c shows c = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cong-diff-mod:
  fixes c::'a::unique-euclidean-ring
  assumes xa ≠ xb and c dvd xa – xb and xa = xb mod c shows xb ≠ xb mod c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma exists-k-mod:
  fixes c::'a::unique-euclidean-ring
  shows ∃ k. a mod c = a + k * c
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.2 Units, associated and congruent relations

```

context semiring-1
begin

definition Units = {x::'a. (∃ k. 1 = x * k) }

end

context ring-1
begin

definition cong::'a⇒'a⇒'a⇒bool
  where cong a c b = (∃ k. (a – c) = b * k)

```

```

lemma cong-eq: cong a c b = (b dvd (a - c))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context normalization-semidom
begin

lemma Units-eq: Units = {x. x dvd 1} ⟨proof⟩

lemma normalize-Units: x ∈ Units  $\implies$  normalize x = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma associated-eq: (normalize a = normalize b)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists u \in \text{Units}$ . a = u * b)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context unique-euclidean-ring
begin

definition associated-rel = {(a,b). normalize a = normalize b}

lemma equiv-associated:
  shows equiv UNIV associated-rel
  ⟨proof⟩

definition congruent-rel b = {(a,c). cong a c b}

lemma refl-congruent-rel: refl (congruent-rel b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sym-congruent-rel: sym (congruent-rel b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trans-congruent-rel: trans (congruent-rel b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma equiv-congruent: equiv UNIV (congruent-rel b)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

1.3 Associates and residues functions

```

context normalization-semidom
begin

```

```

definition ass-function :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

```

where ass-function  $f$ 
 $= ((\forall a. \text{normalize } a = \text{normalize } (f a)) \wedge \text{pairwise } (\lambda a b. \text{normalize } a \neq \text{normalize } b) (\text{range } f))$ 

definition Complete-set-non-associates  $S$ 
 $= (\exists f. \text{ass-function } f \wedge f^{\text{'UNIV}} = S \wedge (\text{pairwise } (\lambda a b. \text{normalize } a \neq \text{normalize } b) S))$ 

end

context ring-1
begin

definition res-function ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where res-function  $f = (\forall c. (\forall a b c. \text{cong } a b c \longleftrightarrow f c a = f c b)$ 
 $\wedge \text{pairwise } (\lambda a b. \neg \text{cong } a b c) (\text{range } (f c))$ 
 $\wedge (\forall a. \exists k. f c a = a + k * c))$ 

definition Complete-set-residues  $g$ 
 $= (\exists f. \text{res-function } f \wedge (\forall c. (\text{pairwise } (\lambda a b. \neg \text{cong } a b c) (f c)^{\text{'UNIV}}) \wedge g c = f c^{\text{'UNIV}}))$ 
end

lemma ass-function-Complete-set-non-associates:
assumes  $f: \text{ass-function } f$ 
shows Complete-set-non-associates  $(f^{\text{'UNIV}})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-Ass-not-associated:
assumes Ass-S: Complete-set-non-associates  $S$ 
and  $x: x \in S$  and  $y: y \in S$  and  $x \neq y: x \neq y$ 
shows  $\text{normalize } x \neq \text{normalize } y$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ass-function-0:
assumes  $r: \text{ass-function } ass$ 
shows  $(\text{ass } x = 0) = (x = 0)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ass-function-0':
assumes  $r: \text{ass-function } ass$ 
shows  $(\text{ass } x \text{ div } x = 0) = (x = 0)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma res-function-Complete-set-residues:
assumes  $f: \text{res-function } f$ 
shows Complete-set-residues  $(\lambda c. (f c)^{\text{'UNIV}})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma in-Res-not-congruent:
  assumes res-g: Complete-set-residues g
  and x: x ∈ g b and y: y ∈ g b and x-not-y: x ≠ y
  shows ¬ cong x y b
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.3.1 Concrete instances in Euclidean rings

```

definition ass-function-euclidean (p::'a::{normalization-euclidean-semiring, euclidean-ring}) =
  normalize p
definition res-function-euclidean b (n::'a::{euclidean-ring}) = (if b = 0 then n else
  (n mod b))

```

```

lemma ass-function-euclidean: ass-function ass-function-euclidean
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma res-function-euclidean:
  res-function (res-function-euclidean :: 'a :: unique-euclidean-ring ⇒ -)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.3.2 Concrete case of the integer ring

```

definition ass-function-int (n::int) = abs n

```

```

lemma ass-function-int: ass-function-int = ass-function-euclidean
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ass-function-int-UNIV: (ass-function-int` UNIV) = {x. x ≥ 0}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.4 Definition of Hermite Normal Form

It is worth noting that there is not a single definition of Hermite Normal Form in the literature. For instance, some authors restrict their definitions to the case of square nonsingular matrices. Other authors just work with integer matrices. Furthermore, given a matrix A its Hermite Normal Form H can be defined to be upper triangular or lower triangular. In addition, the transformation from A to H can be made by means of elementary row operations or elementary column operations. In our case, we will work as general as possible, so our input will be any matrix (including nonsquare ones). The output will be an upper triangular matrix obtained by means of elementary row operations.

Hence, given a complete set of nonassociates and a complete set of residues, H is said to be in Hermite Normal Form if:

1. H is in Echelon Form

2. The first nonzero element of a nonzero row belongs to the complete set of nonassociates
3. Let h be the first nonzero element of a nonzero row. Then each element above h belongs to the corresponding complete set of residues of h

A matrix H is the Hermite Normal Form of a matrix A if:

1. There exists an invertible matrix P such that $A = PH$
2. H is in Hermite Normal Form

The Hermite Normal Form is usually applied to integer matrices. As we have already said, there is no one single definition of it, so some authors impose different conditions. In the particular case of integer matrices, leading coefficients (the first nonzero element of a nonzero row) are usually required to be positive, but it is also possible to impose them to be negative since we would only have to multiply by -1 .

In the case of the elements h_{ik} above a leading coefficient h_{ij} , some authors demand $0 \leq h_{ik} < h_{ij}$, other ones impose the conditions $h_{ik} \leq 0$ and $|h_{ik}| < h_{ij}$, and other ones $-\frac{h_{ij}}{2} < h_{ik} \leq \frac{h_{ij}}{2}$. More different options are also possible.

All the possibilities can be represented selecting a complete set of nonassociates and a complete set of residues. The algorithm to compute the Hermite Normal Form will be parameterised by functions which obtain the appropriate leading coefficient and the suitable elements above them. We can execute the algorithm with different functions to get exactly which Hermite Normal Form we want. Once we fix such a complete set of nonassociates and the corresponding complete set of residues, the Hermite Normal Form is unique.

1.4.1 Echelon form up to row k

We present the definition of echelon form up to a row k (not included).

definition *echelon-form-upt-row A k* =

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\forall i. \text{to-nat } i < k \wedge \text{is-zero-row } i A \longrightarrow \neg (\exists j. j > i \wedge \text{to-nat } j < k \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } j A)) \wedge \\
 & (\forall i j. i < j \wedge \text{to-nat } j < k \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } i A \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } j A \longrightarrow (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ j \$ n \neq 0))
 \end{aligned}$$

lemma *echelon-form-upt-row-condition1-explicit*:

assumes *echelon-form-upt-row A k*
and *to-nat i < k* **and** *is-zero-row i A*

shows $\neg (\exists j. j > i \wedge \text{to-nat } j < k \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } j A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *echelon-form-upt-row-condition1-explicit'*:
assumes *echelon-form-upt-row A k*
and *to-nat i < k and is-zero-row i A and i ≤ j and to-nat j < k*
shows *is-zero-row j A*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *echelon-form-upt-row-condition1-explicit-neg*:
assumes *echelon-form-upt-row A k*
and *iA: ¬ is-zero-row i A and ia-i: ia < i*
and *i: to-nat i < k*
shows $\neg \text{is-zero-row } ia A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *echelon-form-upt-row-condition2-explicit*:
assumes *echelon-form-upt-row A k*
and *ia < j and to-nat j < k and ¬ is-zero-row ia A and ¬ is-zero-row j A*
shows $(\text{LEAST } n. A \$ ia \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ j \$ n \neq 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *echelon-form-upt-row-intro*:
assumes $(\forall i. \text{to-nat } i < k \wedge \text{is-zero-row } i A \longrightarrow \neg (\exists j. i < j \wedge \text{to-nat } j < k \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } j A))$
and $(\forall i j. i < j \wedge \text{to-nat } j < k \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } i A \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } j A \longrightarrow (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ j \$ n \neq 0))$
shows *echelon-form-upt-row A k*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *echelon-form-echelon-form-upt-row: echelon-form A = echelon-form-upt-row A (nrows A)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.4.2 Hermite Normal Form up to row k

Predicate to check if a matrix is in Hermite Normal form up to row k (not included).

definition *Hermite-upt-row A k associates residues =*
 $($
Complete-set-non-associates associates ∧
Complete-set-residues residues ∧
echelon-form-upt-row A k ∧
 $(\forall i. \text{to-nat } i < k \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } i A \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) \in \text{associates}) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. \text{to-nat } i < k \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } i A \longrightarrow (\forall j < i. A \$ j \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ j \$ n \neq 0) \in \text{residues} (A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0))))$
 $)$

The definition of Hermite Normal Form is now introduced:

```

definition Hermite::'a:{bezout-ring-div,normalization-semidom} set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
set)  $\Rightarrow$ 
((('a, 'b:{mod-type}) vec, 'c:{mod-type}) vec  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where Hermite associates residues A = (
  Complete-set-non-associates associates
   $\wedge$  (Complete-set-residues residues)
   $\wedge$  echelon-form A
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall i. \neg is-zero-row i A \rightarrow A \$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) \in associates$ )
   $\wedge$  ( $\forall i. \neg is-zero-row i A \rightarrow (\forall j. j < i \rightarrow A \$ j \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) \in
residues (A \$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)))$ )
)

lemma Hermite-Hermite-upt-row: Hermite ass res A = Hermite-upt-row A (nrows
A) ass res
⟨proof⟩

lemma Hermite-intro:
assumes Complete-set-non-associates associates
and Complete-set-residues residues
and echelon-form A
and ( $\forall i. \neg is-zero-row i A \rightarrow A \$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) \in associates$ )
and ( $\forall i. \neg is-zero-row i A \rightarrow (\forall j. j < i \rightarrow A \$ j \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) \in
residues (A \$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)))$ )
shows Hermite associates residues A
⟨proof⟩

```

1.5 Definition of an algorithm to compute the Hermite Normal Form

The algorithm is parameterised by three functions:

- The function that computes de Bézout identity (necessary to compute the echelon form).
- The function that given an element, it returns its representative element in the associated equivalent class, which will be an element in the complete set of nonassociates.
- The function that given two elements a and b , it returns its representative element in the congruent equivalent class of b , which will be an element in the complete set of residues of b .

```

primrec Hermite-reduce-above :: 'a:{unique-euclidean-ring}^'cols:{mod-type}^'rows:{mod-type}  $\Rightarrow$  nat
 $\Rightarrow$  'rows  $\Rightarrow$  'cols  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a ^'cols:{mod-type}^'rows:{mod-type}
where Hermite-reduce-above A 0 i j res = A
| Hermite-reduce-above A (Suc n) i j res = (let i'=((from-nat n)::'rows);
Aij = A \$ i \$ j;

```

$Ai'j = A \$ i' \$ j$
 in
 $\text{Hermite-reduce-above} (\text{row-add } A \ i' i (((\text{res } Aij (Ai'j)) - (Ai'j)) \ \text{div } Aij) \ n \ i$
 $j \ \text{res})$

definition $\text{Hermite-of-row-i ass res } A \ i = ($
 if $\text{is-zero-row } i \ A$
 then A
 else
 $\text{let } j = (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0); Aij = (A \$ i \$ j);$
 $A' = \text{mult-row } A \ i ((\text{ass } Aij) \ \text{div } Aij)$
 in $\text{Hermite-reduce-above } A' (\text{to-nat } i) \ i \ j \ \text{res})$

definition $\text{Hermite-of-upt-row-i } A \ i \ \text{ass res} = \text{foldl } (\text{Hermite-of-row-i ass res}) \ A$
 $(\text{map from-nat } [0..<i])$

definition $\text{Hermite-of } A \ \text{ass res bezout} =$
 $(\text{let } A' = \text{echelon-form-of } A \ \text{bezout in Hermite-of-upt-row-i } A' (\text{nrows } A) \ \text{ass res})$

1.6 Proving the correctness of the algorithm

1.6.1 The proof

lemma $\text{Hermite-reduce-above-preserves}:$
assumes $n: n \leq \text{to-nat } a$
shows $(\text{Hermite-reduce-above } A \ n \ i \ j \ \text{res}) \$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{Hermite-reduce-above-works}:$
assumes $n: n \leq \text{to-nat } i \ \text{and } a: \text{to-nat } a < n$
shows $(\text{Hermite-reduce-above } A \ n \ i \ j \ \text{res}) \$ a \$ b$
 $= \text{row-add } A \ a \ i ((\text{res } (A\$i\$j) (A\$a\$j) - (A\$a\$j)) \ \text{div } (A\$i\$j)) \$ a \$ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{Hermite-of-row-preserves-below}:$
assumes $i-a: i < a$
shows $(\text{Hermite-of-row-i ass res } A \ i) \$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{Hermite-of-row-preserves-previous-cols}:$
assumes $b: b < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$
and $\text{not-zero-i-A}: \neg \text{is-zero-row } i \ A$
and $e: \text{echelon-form } A$
shows $(\text{Hermite-of-row-i ass res } A \ i) \$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{echelon-form-Hermite-of-condition1}:$
fixes $\text{res ass } i \ A$
defines $M: M \equiv \text{mult-row } A \ i (\text{ass } (A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)) \ \text{div } A$

```

\$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠ 0))
defines H: H ≡ Hermite-reduce-above M (to-nat i) i (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠
0) res
assumes e: echelon-form A
and a: ass-function ass
and not-zero-iA: ¬ is-zero-row i A
and zero-ia-H: is-zero-row ia H
and ia-j: ia < j
shows is-zero-row j H
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma row-zero-A-imp-row-zero-H:
fixes res ass i A
defines M: M ≡ mult-row A i (ass (A \$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠ 0)) div A
\$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠ 0))
defines H: H ≡ Hermite-reduce-above M (to-nat i) i (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠
0) res
assumes e: echelon-form A
and not-zero-iA: ¬ is-zero-row i A
and zero-j-A: is-zero-row j A
shows is-zero-row j H
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Hermite-reduce-above-Least-eq-le:
fixes res ass i A
defines M: M ≡ mult-row A i (ass (A \$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠ 0)) div A
\$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠ 0))
defines H: H ≡ Hermite-reduce-above M (to-nat i) i (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠
0) res
assumes i-ia: i < ia
and not-zero-ia-H: ¬ is-zero-row ia H
shows (LEAST n. A \$ ia \$ n ≠ 0) = (LEAST n. H \$ ia \$ n ≠ 0)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Hermite-reduce-above-Least-eq:
fixes res ass i A
defines M: M ≡ mult-row A i (ass (A \$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠ 0)) div A
\$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠ 0))
defines H: H ≡ Hermite-reduce-above M (to-nat i) i (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠
0) res
assumes a: ass-function ass
and not-zero-iA: ¬ is-zero-row i A
shows (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n ≠ 0) = (LEAST n. H \$ i \$ n ≠ 0)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Hermite-reduce-above-Least-eq-ge:

```

```

fixes res ass i A
defines M: M  $\equiv$  mult-row A i (ass (A $ i $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq$  0)) div A
$ i $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq$  0))
defines H: H  $\equiv$  Hermite-reduce-above M (to-nat i) i (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq$ 
0) res
assumes e: echelon-form A
and not-zero-iA:  $\neg$  is-zero-row i A
and not-zero-ia-A:  $\neg$  is-zero-row ia A
and not-zero-ia-H:  $\neg$  is-zero-row ia H
and ia-less-i: ia  $<$  i
shows (LEAST n. H $ ia $ n  $\neq$  0) = (LEAST n. A $ ia $ n  $\neq$  0)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma Hermite-reduce-above-Least:

```

fixes res ass i A
defines M: M  $\equiv$  mult-row A i (ass (A $ i $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq$  0)) div A $ i $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq$  0))
defines H: H  $\equiv$  Hermite-reduce-above M (to-nat i) i (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq$ 
0) res
assumes e: echelon-form A
and a: ass-function ass
and not-zero-iA:  $\neg$  is-zero-row i A
and not-zero-ia-A:  $\neg$  is-zero-row ia A
and not-zero-ia-H:  $\neg$  is-zero-row ia H
shows (LEAST n. H $ ia $ n  $\neq$  0) = (LEAST n. A $ ia $ n  $\neq$  0)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma echelon-form-Hermite-of-condition2:

```

fixes res ass i A
defines M: M  $\equiv$  mult-row A i (ass (A $ i $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq$  0)) div A
$ i $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq$  0))
defines H: H  $\equiv$  Hermite-reduce-above M (to-nat i) i (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq$ 
0) res
assumes e: echelon-form A
and a: ass-function ass
and not-zero-iA:  $\neg$  is-zero-row i A
and ia-less-j: ia  $<$  j
and not-zero-ia-H:  $\neg$  is-zero-row ia H
and not-zero-j-H:  $\neg$  is-zero-row j H
shows (LEAST n. H $ ia $ n  $\neq$  0)  $<$  (LEAST n. H $ j $ n  $\neq$  0)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma echelon-form-Hermite-of-row:

```

assumes a: ass-function ass
and res-function res
and e: echelon-form A
shows echelon-form (Hermite-of-row-i ass res A i)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma echelon-form-fold-Hermite-of-row-i:
assumes e: echelon-form A **and** a: ass-function ass **and** r: res-function res
shows echelon-form (foldl (Hermite-of-row-i ass res) A (map from-nat [0.. $< k$]))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma echelon-form-Hermite-of-upt-row-i:
assumes e: echelon-form A **and** a: ass-function ass **and** r: res-function res
shows echelon-form (Hermite-of-upt-row-i A k ass res)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma echelon-form-Hermite-of:
fixes A::'a::{bezout-ring-div,normalization-semidom,unique-euclidean-ring} \wedge cols::{mod-type} \wedge rows::{mod-type}
assumes a: ass-function ass
and r: res-function res
and b: is-bezout-ext bezout
shows echelon-form (Hermite-of A ass res bezout)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma in-ass-Hermite-of-row:
assumes a: ass-function ass
and res-function res
and not-zero-i-A: \neg is-zero-row i A
shows (Hermite-of-row-i ass res A i) \$ i \$ (LEAST n. (Hermite-of-row-i ass res A i) \$ i \$ n $\neq 0$) \in range ass
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Hermite-of-upt-row-preserves-below:
assumes i: to-nat $a \geq k$
shows Hermite-of-upt-row-i A k ass res \$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma not-zero-Hermite-reduce-above:
fixes ass i A
defines M: M \equiv (mult-row A i (ass (A \$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n $\neq 0$)) div A \$ i \$ (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n $\neq 0$)))
assumes not-zero-a-A: \neg is-zero-row a A
and not-zero-i-A: \neg is-zero-row i A
and e: echelon-form A
and a: ass-function ass
and n: n \leq to-nat i
shows \neg is-zero-row a (Hermite-reduce-above M n i (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n $\neq 0$))
res)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Least-Hermite-of-row-i:
assumes $i: \neg \text{is-zero-row } i A$
and $e: \text{echelon-form } A$
and $a: \text{ass-function ass}$
shows $(\text{LEAST } n. \text{Hermite-of-row-}i \text{ ass res } A i \$ i \$ n \neq 0) = (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Least-Hermite-of-row-i2:
assumes $i: \neg \text{is-zero-row } i A$ **and** $k: \neg \text{is-zero-row } k A$
and $e: \text{echelon-form } A$
and $a: \text{ass-function ass}$
shows $(\text{LEAST } n. \text{Hermite-of-row-}i \text{ ass res } A k \$ i \$ n \neq 0) = (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Hermite-of-row-i-works:
fixes $i A \text{ ass}$
defines $n:n \equiv (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$
defines $M:M \equiv (\text{mult-row } A i (\text{ass } (A \$ i \$ n) \text{ div } A \$ i \$ n))$
assumes $ai: a < i$
and $i: \neg \text{is-zero-row } i A$
shows $\text{Hermite-of-row-}i \text{ ass res } A i \$ a \$ b =$
 $\text{row-add } M a i ((\text{res } (M \$ i \$ n) (M \$ a \$ n) - M \$ a \$ n) \text{ div } M \$ i \$ n) \$ a \$ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Hermite-of-row-i-works2:
fixes $i A \text{ ass}$
defines $n:n \equiv (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$
defines $M:M \equiv (\text{mult-row } A i (\text{ass } (A \$ i \$ n) \text{ div } A \$ i \$ n))$
assumes $i: \neg \text{is-zero-row } i A$
shows $\text{Hermite-of-row-}i \text{ ass res } A i \$ i \$ b = M \$ i \$ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Hermite-of-upt-row-preserves-nonzero-rows-ge:
assumes $i: \neg \text{is-zero-row } i A$ **and** $i2: \text{to-nat } i \geq k$
shows $\neg \text{is-zero-row } i (\text{Hermite-of-upt-row-}i A k \text{ ass res})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma Hermite-of-upt-row-i-Least-ge:
  assumes i:  $\neg$  is-zero-row i A
  and i2: to-nat  $i \geq k$ 
  shows (LEAST n. Hermite-of-upt-row-i A k ass res $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ ) = (LEAST n.
A $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Hermite-of-upt-row-i-Least:
  assumes iA:  $\neg$  is-zero-row i A
  and e: echelon-form A
  and a: ass-function ass
  and r: res-function res
  and k:  $k \leq \text{nrows } A$ 
  shows (LEAST n. Hermite-of-upt-row-i A k ass res $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ ) = (LEAST n.
A $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Hermite-of-upt-row-preserves-nonzero-rows:
  assumes i:  $\neg$  is-zero-row i A
  and e: echelon-form A
  and a: ass-function ass
  and r: res-function res
  and k:  $k \leq \text{nrows } A$ 
  shows  $\neg$  is-zero-row i (Hermite-of-upt-row-i A k ass res)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Hermite-of-upt-row-i-in-range:
  fixes k ass res
  assumes not-zero-i-A:  $\neg$  is-zero-row i A
  and e: echelon-form A
  and a: ass-function ass
  and r: res-function res
  and k: to-nat  $i < k$ 
  and k2:  $k \leq \text{nrows } A$ 
  shows Hermite-of-upt-row-i A k ass res $ i $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ )  $\in \text{range ass}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Hermite-of-upt-row-preserves-zero-rows-ge:
  assumes i: is-zero-row i A
  and k:  $k \leq \text{nrows } A$ 
  and ik: to-nat  $i \geq k$ 
  shows is-zero-row i (Hermite-of-upt-row-i A k ass res)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *Hermite-of-upt-row-preserves-zero-rows*:
fixes $A::'a:\{\text{bezout-ring-div}, \text{normalization-semidom}, \text{unique-euclidean-ring}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $i: \text{is-zero-row } i A$
and $e: \text{echelon-form } A$ **and** $a: \text{ass-function ass}$ **and** $r: \text{res-function res}$ **and** $k: k \leq \text{nrows } A$
shows $\text{is-zero-row } i (\text{Hermite-of-upt-row-}i A k \text{ ass res})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Hermite-of-preserves-zero-rows*:
fixes $A::'a:\{\text{bezout-ring-div}, \text{normalization-semidom}, \text{unique-euclidean-ring}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $i: \text{is-zero-row } i (\text{echelon-form-of } A \text{ bezout})$
and $a: \text{ass-function ass}$
and $r: \text{res-function res}$
and $b: \text{is-bezout-ext bezout}$
shows $\text{is-zero-row } i (\text{Hermite-of } A \text{ ass res bezout})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Hermite-of-Least*:
fixes $A::'a:\{\text{bezout-ring-div}, \text{normalization-semidom}, \text{unique-euclidean-ring}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $i: \neg \text{is-zero-row } i (\text{Hermite-of } A \text{ ass res bezout})$
and $a: \text{ass-function ass}$
and $r: \text{res-function res}$
and $b: \text{is-bezout-ext bezout}$
shows $(\text{LEAST } n. \text{ Hermite-of } A \text{ ass res bezout } \$ i \$ n \neq 0) = (\text{LEAST } n. (\text{echelon-form-of } A \text{ bezout}) \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-associates-Hermite-of*:
fixes $A::'a:\{\text{bezout-ring-div}, \text{normalization-semidom}, \text{unique-euclidean-ring}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $a: \text{ass-function ass}$
and $r: \text{res-function res}$
and $b: \text{is-bezout-ext bezout}$
and $i: \neg \text{is-zero-row } i (\text{Hermite-of } A \text{ ass res bezout})$
shows $\text{Hermite-of } A \text{ ass res bezout } \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } n. \text{ Hermite-of } A \text{ ass res bezout } \$ i \$ n \neq 0) \in \text{range ass}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Hermite-of-row-i-range-res*:
assumes $ji: j < i$ **and** $\text{not-zero-i-}A: \neg \text{is-zero-row } i A$ **and** $r: \text{res-function res}$
shows $\text{Hermite-of-row-}i \text{ ass res } A i \$ j \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$
 $\in \text{range} (\text{res} (\text{Hermite-of-row-}i \text{ ass res } A i \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Hermite-of-upt-row-i-in-range-res*:
fixes $k \text{ ass res}$
assumes $\text{not-zero-i-}A: \neg \text{is-zero-row } i A$
and $e: \text{echelon-form } A$
and $a: \text{ass-function ass}$

```

and r: res-function res
and k: to-nat i < k
and k2: k ≤ nrows A
and j: j < i
shows Hermite-of-upt-row-i A k ass res $ j $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0)
∈ range (res (Hermite-of-upt-row-i A k ass res $ i $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0)))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma in-residues-Hermite-of:
fixes A::'a:{bezout-ring-div,normalization-semidom,unique-euclidean-ring} ^'cols:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
assumes a: ass-function ass
and r: res-function res
and b: is-bezout-ext bezout
and i: ¬ is-zero-row i (Hermite-of A ass res bezout)
and ji: j < i
shows Hermite-of A ass res bezout $ j $ (LEAST n. Hermite-of A ass res bezout
$ i $ n ≠ 0)
∈ range (res (Hermite-of A ass res bezout $ i $ (LEAST n. Hermite-of A ass res
bezout $ i $ n ≠ 0)))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Hermite-Hermite-of:
assumes a: ass-function ass
and r: res-function res
and b: is-bezout-ext bezout
shows Hermite (range ass) (λc. range (res c)) (Hermite-of A ass res bezout)
⟨proof⟩

```

1.6.2 Proving that the Hermite Normal Form is computed by means of elementary operations

```

lemma invertible-Hermite-reduce-above:
assumes n: n ≤ to-nat i
shows ∃ P. invertible P ∧ Hermite-reduce-above A n i j res = P ** A
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma invertible-Hermite-of-row-i:
assumes a: ass-function ass
shows ∃ P. invertible P ∧ Hermite-of-row-i ass res A i = P ** A
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma invertible-Hermite-of-upt-row-i:
assumes a: ass-function ass
shows ∃ P. invertible P ∧ Hermite-of-upt-row-i A k ass res = P ** A

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma invertible-Hermite-of:  
  fixes A::'a::{bezout-ring-div,normalization-semidom,unique-euclidean-ring} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}  
  assumes a: ass-function ass  
  and b: is-bezout-ext bezout  
  shows ∃ P. invertible P ∧ Hermite-of A ass res bezout = P ** A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

1.6.3 The final theorem

```
lemma Hermite:  
  assumes a: ass-function ass  
  and r: res-function res  
  and b: is-bezout-ext bezout  
  shows ∃ P. invertible P ∧ (Hermite-of A ass res bezout) = P ** A ∧  
    Hermite (range ass) (λc. range (res c)) (Hermite-of A ass res bezout)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

1.7 Proving the uniqueness of the Hermite Normal Form

```
lemma diagonal-least-nonzero:  
  fixes H :: (('a :: {bezout-ring-div, normalization-euclidean-semiring, unique-euclidean-ring},  
  'b :: mod-type) vec, 'b) vec  
  assumes H: Hermite associates residues H  
  and inv-H: invertible H and up-H: upper-triangular H  
  shows (LEAST n. H $ i $ n ≠ 0) = i  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma diagonal-in-associates:  
  fixes H :: (('a :: {bezout-ring-div, normalization-euclidean-semiring, unique-euclidean-ring},  
  'b :: mod-type) vec, 'b) vec  
  assumes H: Hermite associates residues H  
  and inv-H: invertible H and up-H: upper-triangular H  
  shows H $ i $ i ∈ associates  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma above-diagonal-in-residues:  
  fixes H :: (('a :: {bezout-ring-div, normalization-euclidean-semiring, unique-euclidean-ring},  
  'b :: mod-type) vec, 'b) vec  
  assumes H: Hermite associates residues H  
  and inv-H: invertible H and up-H: upper-triangular H  
  and j-i: j < i  
  shows H $ j $ (LEAST n. H $ i $ n ≠ 0) ∈ residues (H $ i $ (LEAST n. H $  
  i $ n ≠ 0))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

The uniqueness of the Hermite Normal Form is proven following the proof presented in the book Integral Matrices (1972) by Morris Newman.

lemma Hermite-unique:

```

fixes K::'a:{ bezout-ring-div,normalization-euclidean-semiring,unique-euclidean-ring } ^n::mod-type ^n::mod-
assumes A-PH: A = P ** H
and A-QK: A = Q ** K
and inv-A: invertible A
and inv-P: invertible P
and inv-Q: invertible Q
and H: Hermite associates residues H
and K: Hermite associates residues K
shows H = K
⟨proof⟩

```

1.8 Examples of execution

```

value[code] let A = list-of-list-to-matrix ([[37,8,6],[5,4,-8],[3,24,-7]])::int^3^3
in matrix-to-list-of-list (Hermite-of A ass-function-euclidean res-function-euclidean
euclid-ext2)

value[code] let A = list-of-list-to-matrix ([[[:3,4,5:],[-2,1:]],[:[-1,0,2:],[0,1,4,1:]]])::real
poly^2^2
in matrix-to-list-of-list (Hermite-of A ass-function-euclidean res-function-euclidean
euclid-ext2)

end

```

2 Hermite Normal Form refined to immutable arrays

```

theory Hermite-IArrays
imports
Hermite
Echelon-Form.Echelon-Form-IArrays
begin

```

2.1 Definition of the algorithm over immutable arrays

```

primrec Hermite-reduce-above-iarrays :: 'a::unique-euclidean-ring iarray iarray ⇒
nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ ('a⇒'a⇒'a) ⇒ 'a iarray iarray
where Hermite-reduce-above-iarrays A 0 i j res = A
| Hermite-reduce-above-iarrays A (Suc n) i j res = (let i'=n;
Aij = A !! i !! j;
Ai'j = A !! i' !! j
in
Hermite-reduce-above-iarrays (row-add-iarray A i' i (((res Aij (Ai'j)) - (Ai'j))
div Aij)) n i j res)

definition Hermite-of-row-i-iarray ass res A i = (
if is-zero-iarray (A !! i)
then A
else

```

```

let j = least-non-zero-position-of-vector (A !! i); Aij= (A !! i !! j);
A' = mult-row-iarray A i ((ass Aij) div Aij)
in Hermite-reduce-above-iarrays A' i j res)

```

```

definition Hermite-of-upt-row-i-arrays A i ass res = foldl (Hermite-of-row-i-iarray
ass res) A [0..<i]

```

```

definition Hermite-of-iarrays A ass res bezout =
(let A'= echelon-form-of-iarrays A bezout
in Hermite-of-upt-row-i-arrays A' (nrows-iarray A) ass res)

```

2.2 Proving the equivalence between definitions of both representations

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-Hermite-reduce-above:
fixes A::'a:{unique-euclidean-ring} ^cols:{mod-type} ^rows:{mod-type}
assumes n<nrows A
shows matrix-to-iarray (Hermite-reduce-above A n i j res)
= Hermite-reduce-above-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) n (to-nat i) (to-nat j) res
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-Hermite-of-row-i[code-unfold]:
fixes A::'a:{unique-euclidean-ring} ^cols:{mod-type} ^rows:{mod-type}
shows matrix-to-iarray (Hermite-of-row-i ass res A i)
= Hermite-of-row-i-iarray ass res (matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-Hermite-of-upt-row-i:
fixes A::'a:{unique-euclidean-ring} ^cols:{mod-type} ^rows:{mod-type}
assumes i: i≤nrows A
shows matrix-to-iarray (Hermite-of-upt-row-i A i ass res)
= Hermite-of-upt-row-i-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) i ass res
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-Hermite-of[code-unfold]:
shows matrix-to-iarray (Hermite-of A ass res bezout)
= Hermite-of-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) ass res bezout
⟨proof⟩

```

2.3 Examples of execution using immutable arrays

```

value[code] let A = list-of-list-to-matrix ([[37,8,6],[5,4,-8],[3,24,-7]])::int^3^3
in matrix-to-iarray (Hermite-of A ass-function-euclidean res-function-euclidean
euclid-ext2)

```

```

value[code] let A = IArray[IArray[37,8,6::int],IArray[5,4,-8],IArray[3,24,-7]]
in (Hermite-of-iarrays A ass-function-euclidean res-function-euclidean euclid-ext2)

```

value[code] let $A = \text{list-of-list-to-matrix} ([[[3,4,5],[-2,1]], [[-1,0,2],[0,1,4,1]]])::\text{real}$
 poly^2^2
in $\text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{Hermite-of } A \text{ ass-function-euclidean res-function-euclidean}$
 $\text{euclid-ext2})$

end