

Hello World

Cornelius Diekmann, Lars Hupel

December 14, 2021

Abstract

In this article, we present a formalization of the well-known “Hello, World!” code, including a formal framework for reasoning about IO. Our model is inspired by the handling of IO in Haskell. We start by formalizing the `main` and embrace the IO monad afterwards. Then we present a sample `main :: IO ()`, followed by its proof of correctness.

Contents

1	IO Monad	1
1.1	Real World	1
1.2	IO Monad	2
1.3	Monad Operations	2
1.4	Monad Laws	3
1.5	Code Generator Setup	3
1.6	Modelling Running an λ <i>io</i> Function	5
2	Hello, World!	6
3	Generating Code	6
3.1	Haskell	7
3.2	SML	7
4	Correctness	7
4.1	Modeling Input and Output	7
4.2	Correctness of Hello World	8
theory IO		
imports		
<i>Main</i>		
<i>HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax</i>		
begin		

1 IO Monad

Inspired by Haskell. Definitions from https://wiki.haskell.org/IO_inside

1.1 Real World

We model the real world with a fake type.

WARNING: Using low-level commands such as **typedecl** instead of high-level **datatype** is dangerous. We explicitly use a **typedecl** instead of a **datatype** because we never want to instantiate the world. We don't need a constructor, we just need the type.

The following models an arbitrary type we cannot reason about. Don't reason about the complete world! Only write down some assumptions about parts of the world.

```
typedecl real-world (⊙)
```

For examples, see `HelloWorld_Proof.thy`. In said theory, we model `STDIN` and `STDOUT` as parts of the world and describe how this part of the world can be affected. We don't model the rest of the world. This allows us to reason about `STDIN` and `STDOUT` as part of the world, but nothing more.

1.2 IO Monad

The set of all functions which take a \odot and return an $'\alpha$ and a \odot .

The rough idea of all IO functions is the following: You are given the world in its current state. You can do whatever you like to the world. You can produce some value of type $'\alpha$ and you have to return the modified world.

For example, the `main` function in Haskell does not produce a value, therefore, `main` in Haskell is of type `IO ()`. Another example in Haskell is `getLine`, which returns `String`. Its type in Haskell is `IO String`. All those functions may also modify the state of the world.

```
typedef 'α io = UNIV :: (⊙ ⇒ 'α × ⊙) set
proof –
  show ∃x. x ∈ UNIV by simp
qed
```

Related Work: *Programming TLS in Isabelle/HOL* by Andreas Lochbihler and Marc Züst uses a partial function (\dashrightarrow). **typedecl** *real-world* **typedef** $'\alpha$ io = UNIV :: ($\odot \dashrightarrow ' \alpha \times \odot$) set **by simp** We use a total function. This implies the dangerous assumption that all IO functions are total (i.e., terminate).

The **typedef** above gives us some convenient definitions. Since the model of $'\alpha$ io is just a mode, those definitions should not end up in generated code.

term *Abs-io* — Takes a $\bullet \Rightarrow ' \alpha \times \bullet$ and abstracts it to an $' \alpha$ *io*.
term *Rep-io* — Unpacks an $' \alpha$ *io* to a $\bullet \Rightarrow ' \alpha \times \bullet$

1.3 Monad Operations

Within an $' \alpha$ *io* context, execute $action_1$ and $action_2$ sequentially. The world is passed through and potentially modified by each action.

definition $bind :: ' \alpha$ *io* $\Rightarrow (' \alpha \Rightarrow ' \beta$ *io*) $\Rightarrow ' \beta$ *io* **where** $[code\ del]:$
 $bind\ action_1\ action_2 = Abs-io\ (\lambda world_0.$
 $\quad let\ (a,\ world_1) = (Rep-io\ action_1)\ world_0;$
 $\quad (b,\ world_2) = (Rep-io\ (action_2\ a))\ world_1$
 $\quad in\ (b,\ world_2))$

In Haskell, the definition for $bind$ ($>>=$) is:

```
(>>=) :: IO a -> (a -> IO b) -> IO b
(action1 >>= action2) world0 =
  let (a, world1) = action1 world0
      (b, world2) = action2 a world1
  in (b, world2)
```

hide-const (open) $bind$
ad hoc-overloading $bind\ IO.bind$

Thanks to **ad hoc-overloading**, we can use monad syntax.

lemma $bind\ (foo :: ' \alpha$ *io*) $(\lambda a.$ $bar\ a) = foo \gg (\lambda a.$ $bar\ a)$
by *simp*

definition $return :: ' \alpha \Rightarrow ' \alpha$ *io* **where** $[code\ del]:$
 $return\ a \equiv Abs-io\ (\lambda world.$ $(a,\ world))$

hide-const (open) $return$

In Haskell, the definition for $return$ is:

```
return :: a -> IO a
return a world0 = (a, world0)
```

1.4 Monad Laws

lemma *left-id*:
fixes $f :: ' \alpha \Rightarrow ' \beta$ *io* — Make sure we use our (\gg).
shows $(IO.return\ a \gg f) = f\ a$
by (*simp add: return-def IO.bind-def Abs-io-inverse Rep-io-inverse*)

lemma *right-id*:

fixes $m :: 'a\ io$ — Make sure we use our ($\gg=$).

shows $(m \gg= IO.return) = m$

by(*simp add: return-def IO.bind-def Abs-io-inverse Rep-io-inverse*)

lemma *bind-assoc*:

fixes $m :: 'a\ io$ — Make sure we use our ($\gg=$).

shows $((m \gg= f) \gg= g) = (m \gg= (\lambda x. f\ x \gg= g))$

by(*simp add: IO.bind-def Abs-io-inverse Abs-io-inject fun-eq-iff split: prod.splits*)

1.5 Code Generator Setup

We don't expose our ($\gg=$) definition to code. We use the built-in definitions of the target language (e.g., Haskell, SML).

```
code-printing constant IO.bind  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) - >>= -  
    and (SML) bind  
| constant IO.return  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) return  
    and (SML)  $(\ () \Rightarrow \ -)$ 
```

SML does not come with a bind function. We just define it (hopefully correct).

```
code-printing code-module Bind  $\rightarrow$  (SML)  $\langle$   
fun bind  $x\ f\ () = f\ (x\ ())\ ();$   
 $\rangle$ 
```

```
code-reserved SML bind return
```

Make sure the code generator does not try to define $'a\ io$ by itself, but always uses the one of the target language. For Haskell, this is the fully qualified `Prelude.IO`. For SML, we wrap it in a nullary function.

```
code-printing type-constructor io  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) Prelude.IO -  
    and (SML) unit  $\rightarrow$  -
```

In Isabelle, a *string* is just a type synonym for *char list*. When translating a *string* to Haskell, Isabelle does not use Haskell's `String` or `[Prelude.Char]`. Instead, Isabelle serializes its own `data Char = Char Bool Bool Bool Bool Bool Bool Bool Bool Bool`. The resulting code will look just ugly.

To use the native strings of Haskell, we use the Isabelle type *String.literal*. This gets translated to a Haskell `String`.

A string literal in Isabelle is created with `STR "foo"`.

We define IO functions in Isabelle without implementation. For a proof in Isabelle, we will only describe their externally observable properties. For code generation, we map those functions to the corresponding function of the target language.

Our assumption is that our description in Isabelle corresponds to the real behavior of those functions in the respective target language.

We use **axiomatization** instead of **consts** to axiomatically define that those functions exist, but there is no implementation of them. This makes sure that we have to explicitly write down all our assumptions about their behavior. Currently, no assumptions (apart from their type) can be made about those functions.

axiomatization

```
println :: String.literal => unit io and
getline :: String.literal io
```

A Haskell module named `StdIO` which just implements `println` and `getline`.

```
code-printing code-module StdIO → (Haskell) <
module StdIO (println, getline) where
import qualified Prelude (putStrLn, getline)
println = Prelude.putStrLn
getline = Prelude.getline
>
and (SML) <
(* Newline behavior in SML is odd.*)
fun println s () = TextIO.print (s ^ \n);
fun getline () = case (TextIO.inputLine TextIO.stdIn) of
    SOME s => String.substring (s, 0, String.size s - 1)
  | NONE => raise Fail getline;
>
```

```
code-reserved Haskell StdIO println getline
code-reserved SML println print getline TextIO
```

When the code generator sees the functions `println` or `getline`, we tell it to use our language-specific implementation.

```
code-printing constant println → (Haskell) StdIO.println
and (SML) println
| constant getline → (Haskell) StdIO.getline
and (SML) getline
```

Monad syntax and `println` examples.

```
lemma bind (println (STR "foo"))
  (λ-. println (STR "bar")) =
  println (STR "foo") >>= (λ-. println (STR "bar"))
by simp
lemma do { - ← println (STR "foo");
  println (STR "bar")} =
  println (STR "foo") >> (println (STR "bar"))
by simp
```

1.6 Modelling Running an α io Function

Apply some function `iofun` to a specific world and return the new world (discarding the result of `iofun`).

definition $exec :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{IO} \Rightarrow \text{IO}$ **where**
 $exec \text{ iofun world} = snd \text{ (Rep-io iofun world)}$

Similar, but only get the result.

definition $eval :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{IO} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $eval \text{ iofun world} = fst \text{ (Rep-io iofun world)}$

Essentially, $exec$ and $eval$ extract the payload $'a$ and IO when executing an $'a \text{ IO}$.

lemma $Abs-io (\lambda world. (eval \text{ iofun world}, exec \text{ iofun world})) = \text{iofun}$
by ($simp \text{ add: exec-def eval-def Rep-io-inverse}$)

lemma $exec\text{-}Abs\text{-}io: exec (Abs-io f) world = snd (f world)$
by ($simp \text{ add: exec-def Abs-io-inverse}$)

lemma $exec\text{-}then:$

$exec (io_1 \gg io_2) world = exec \text{ io}_2 (exec \text{ io}_1 world)$

and $eval\text{-}then:$

$eval (io_1 \gg io_2) world = eval \text{ io}_2 (exec \text{ io}_1 world)$

by ($simp\text{-}all \text{ add: exec-def eval-def bind-def Abs-io-inverse split-beta}$)

lemma $exec\text{-}bind:$

$exec (io_1 \gg\! = io_2) world = exec (io_2 (eval \text{ io}_1 world)) (exec \text{ io}_1 world)$

and $eval\text{-}bind:$

$eval (io_1 \gg\! = io_2) world = eval (io_2 (eval \text{ io}_1 world)) (exec \text{ io}_1 world)$

by ($simp\text{-}all \text{ add: exec-def eval-def bind-def Abs-io-inverse split-beta}$)

lemma $exec\text{-}return:$

$exec (IO.return a) world = world$

and

$eval (IO.return a) world = a$

by ($simp\text{-}all \text{ add: exec-def eval-def Abs-io-inverse return-def}$)

end

theory $HelloWorld$

imports IO

begin

2 Hello, World!

The idea of a *main* function is that, upon start of your program, you will be handed a value of type IO . You can pass this world through your code and modify it. Be careful with the IO , it's the only one we have.

The main function, defined in Isabelle. It should have the right type in Haskell.

definition *main* :: *unit io* **where**

```
main ≡ do {  
  - ← println (STR "Hello World! What is your name?");  
  name ← getLine;  
  println (STR "Hello, " + name + STR "!")  
}
```

3 Generating Code

Checking that the generated code compiles.

export-code *main* **checking** *Haskell? SML*

ML-val *Isabelle-System.bash echo \${ISABELLE-TMP} > \${ISABELLE-TMP}/self*

During the build of this session, the code generated in the following subsections will be written to

3.1 Haskell

export-code *main* **in** *Haskell* **file** *\$ISABELLE-TMP/exported-hs*

The generated helper module *\$ISABELLE_TMP/exported_hs/StdIO.hs* is shown below.

The generated main file *\$ISABELLE_TMP/exported_hs/HelloWorld.hs* is shown below.

3.2 SML

export-code *main* **in** *SML* **file** *\$ISABELLE-TMP/exported.sml*

The generated SML code in *\$ISABELLE_TMP/exported.sml* is shown below.

```
end  
theory HelloWorld-Proof  
  imports HelloWorld  
begin
```

4 Correctness

4.1 Modeling Input and Output

With the appropriate assumptions about *println* and *getLine*, we can even prove something. We summarize our model about input and output in the assumptions of a **locale**.

locale *io-stdio* =

— We model STDIN and STDOUT as part of the \mathcal{W} . Note that we know nothing about \mathcal{W} , we just model that we can find STDIN and STDOUT somewhere in there.

fixes *stdout-of*:: $\mathcal{W} \Rightarrow \text{string list}$
and *stdin-of*:: $\mathcal{W} \Rightarrow \text{string list}$

— Assumptions about STDOUT: Calling *println* appends to the end of STDOUT and *getline* does not change anything.

assumes *stdout-of-println*[*simp*]:
stdout-of (*exec* (*println* *str*) *world*) = *stdout-of* *world*@[*String.explode* *str*]
and *stdout-of-getLine*[*simp*]:
stdout-of (*exec* *getline* *world*) = *stdout-of* *world*

— Assumptions about STDIN: Calling *println* does not change anything and *getline* removes the first element from the STDIN stream.

and *stdin-of-println*[*simp*]:
stdin-of (*exec* (*println* *str*) *world*) = *stdin-of* *world*
and *stdin-of-getLine*:
stdin-of *world* = *inp*#*stdin* \implies
stdin-of (*exec* *getline* *world*) = *stdin* \wedge *eval* *getline* *world* = *String.implode* *inp*
begin
end

4.2 Correctness of Hello World

Correctness of *main*: If STDOUT is initially empty and only "corny" will be typed into STDIN, then the program will output: ["Hello World! What is your name?", "Hello, corny!"].

theorem (in *io-stdio*)

assumes *stdout*: *stdout-of* *world* = []
and *stdin*: *stdin-of* *world* = ["corny"]
shows *stdout-of* (*exec* *main* *world*) =
["Hello World! What is your name?",
"Hello, corny!"]

proof —

let *?world1* = *exec* (*println* (*STR* "Hello World! What is your name?")) *world*
have *stdout-world2*:

literal.explode *STR* "Hello World! What is your name?" =
"Hello World! What is your name?"

by *code-simp*

from *stdin-of-getLine*[**where** *stdin*=[], *OF* *stdin*] **have** *stdin-world2*:

eval *getline* *?world1* = *String.implode* "corny"

by (*simp* *add*: *stdin-of-getLine* *stdin*)

show *?thesis*

unfolding *main-def*

apply (*simp* *add*: *exec-bind*)

apply (*simp* *add*: *stdout*)

apply (*simp* *add*: *stdout-world2* *stdin-world2*)

apply (*simp* *add*: *plus-literal.rep-eq*)


```
    apply code-simp
  done
qed
end
```