

# The Hahn and Jordan Decomposition Theorems

Marie Cousin

Mnacho Echenim

Hervé Guiol

March 17, 2025

## Contents

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                           | <b>1</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Signed measures</b>                        | <b>2</b>  |
| 2.1      | Basic definitions . . . . .                   | 2         |
| 2.2      | Positive and negative subsets . . . . .       | 4         |
| 2.3      | Essential uniqueness . . . . .                | 7         |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Existence of a positive subset</b>         | <b>9</b>  |
| 3.1      | A sequence of negative subsets . . . . .      | 9         |
| 3.2      | Construction of the positive subset . . . . . | 10        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>The Hahn decomposition theorem</b>         | <b>14</b> |
| <b>5</b> | <b>The Jordan decomposition theorem</b>       | <b>15</b> |

## 1 Introduction

Signed measures are a generalization of measures that can map measurable sets to negative values. In this work we formalize the Hahn decomposition theorem for signed measures, namely that if  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is a measure space for a signed measure  $\mu$ , then  $\Omega$  can be decomposed as  $\Omega^+ \cup \Omega^-$ , where every measurable subset of  $\Omega^+$  has a positive measure, and every measurable subset of  $\Omega^-$  has a negative measure. We then prove that this decomposition is essentially unique, meaning that if  $X^+ \cup X^-$  is another such decomposition, then any measurable subset in  $(\Omega^+ \Delta X^+) \cup (\Omega^- \Delta X^-)$  has a zero measure.

We also formalize the Jordan decomposition theorem as a corollary, which states that the signed measure  $\mu$  admits a unique decomposition into a difference  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$  of two positive measures, at least one of which is finite, and such that for any Hahn decomposition  $\Omega^+ \cup \Omega^-$  and measurable set  $A$ , if  $A \subseteq \Omega^-$  then  $\mu^+(A) = 0$  and if  $A \subseteq \Omega^+$  then  $\mu^-(A) = 0$ . The formalization is mostly based on [1], Section 16 of Chapter 4.

## 2 Signed measures

In this section we define signed measures. These are generalizations of measures that can also take negative values but cannot contain both  $\infty$  and  $-\infty$  in their range.

### 2.1 Basic definitions

**theory** Hahn-Jordan-Decomposition imports

HOL-Probability, Probability

Hahn-Jordan-Prelims

**begin**

**definition** signed-measure::'a measure  $\Rightarrow$  ('a set  $\Rightarrow$  ereal)  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $\text{signed-measure } M \mu \longleftrightarrow \mu \{\} = 0 \wedge (-\infty \notin \text{range } \mu \vee \infty \notin \text{range } \mu) \wedge$   
 $(\forall A. \text{range } A \subseteq \text{sets } M \longrightarrow \text{disjoint-family } A \longrightarrow \bigcup (\text{range } A) \in \text{sets } M \longrightarrow$   
 $(\lambda i. \mu (A i)) \text{ sums } \mu (\bigcup (\text{range } A)) \wedge$   
 $(\forall A. \text{range } A \subseteq \text{sets } M \longrightarrow \text{disjoint-family } A \longrightarrow \bigcup (\text{range } A) \in \text{sets } M \longrightarrow$   
 $|\mu (\bigcup (\text{range } A))| < \infty \longrightarrow \text{summable } (\lambda i. \text{real-of-ereal } |\mu (A i)|))$

**lemma** signed-measure-empty:

**assumes** signed-measure M  $\mu$

**shows**  $\mu \{\} = 0$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** signed-measure-sums:

**assumes** signed-measure M  $\mu$

**and**  $\text{range } A \subseteq M$

**and** disjoint-family A

**and**  $\bigcup (\text{range } A) \in \text{sets } M$

**shows**  $(\lambda i. \mu (A i)) \text{ sums } \mu (\bigcup (\text{range } A))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** signed-measure-summable:

**assumes** signed-measure M  $\mu$

**and**  $\text{range } A \subseteq M$

**and** disjoint-family A

**and**  $\bigcup (\text{range } A) \in \text{sets } M$

**and**  $|\mu (\bigcup (\text{range } A))| < \infty$

**shows** summable  $(\lambda i. \text{real-of-ereal } |\mu (A i)|)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** signed-measure-inf-sum:

**assumes** signed-measure M  $\mu$

**and**  $\text{range } A \subseteq M$

**and** disjoint-family A

**and**  $\bigcup (\text{range } A) \in \text{sets } M$

**shows**  $(\sum i. \mu (A i)) = \mu (\bigcup (\text{range } A))$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** signed-measure-abs-convergent:

```

assumes signed-measure M μ
  and range A ⊆ sets M
  and disjoint-family A
  and ∪ (range A) ∈ sets M
  and |μ (∪ (range A))| < ∞
shows summable (λi. real-of-ereal |μ (A i)|) ⟨proof⟩

lemma signed-measure-additive:
assumes signed-measure M μ
shows additive M μ
⟨proof⟩

lemma signed-measure-add:
assumes signed-measure M μ
  and a ∈ sets M
  and b ∈ sets M
  and a ∩ b = {}
shows μ (a ∪ b) = μ a + μ b ⟨proof⟩

lemma signed-measure-disj-sum:
shows finite I ⇒ signed-measure M μ ⇒ disjoint-family-on A I ⇒
  (λi. i ∈ I ⇒ A i ∈ sets M) ⇒ μ (∪ i ∈ I. A i) = (∑ i ∈ I. μ (A i))
⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-signed-measure-count-additive:
assumes signed-measure M μ
  and ∀ E ∈ sets M. 0 ≤ μ E
shows countably-additive (sets M) (λA. ennreal (μ A))
⟨proof⟩

lemma signed-measure-minus:
assumes signed-measure M μ
shows signed-measure M (λA. - μ A) ⟨proof⟩

locale near-finite-function =
fixes μ :: 'b set ⇒ ereal
assumes inf-range: -∞ ∉ range μ ∨ ∞ ∉ range μ

lemma (in near-finite-function) finite-subset:
assumes |μ E| < ∞
  and A ⊆ E
  and μ E = μ A + μ (E - A)
shows |μ A| < ∞
⟨proof⟩

locale signed-measure-space=
fixes M :: 'a measure and μ
assumes sgn-meas: signed-measure M μ

```

```

sublocale signed-measure-space ⊆ near-finite-function
⟨proof⟩

context signed-measure-space
begin

lemma signed-measure-finite-subset:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  and A ∈ sets M
  and A ⊆ E
  shows |μ A| < ∞
⟨proof⟩

lemma measure-space-e2ennreal :
  assumes measure-space (space M) (sets M) m ∧ (∀ E ∈ sets M. m E < ∞) ∧
  (∀ E ∈ sets M. m E ≥ 0)
  shows ∀ E ∈ sets M. e2ennreal (m E) < ∞
⟨proof⟩

```

## 2.2 Positive and negative subsets

The Hahn decomposition theorem is based on the notions of positive and negative measurable sets. A measurable set is positive (resp. negative) if all its measurable subsets have a positive (resp. negative) measure by  $\mu$ . The decomposition theorem states that any measure space for a signed measure can be decomposed into a positive and a negative measurable set.

**definition** pos-meas-set **where**  
 $\text{pos-meas-set } E \longleftrightarrow E \in \text{sets } M \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } M. A \subseteq E \longrightarrow 0 \leq \mu A)$

**definition** neg-meas-set **where**  
 $\text{neg-meas-set } E \longleftrightarrow E \in \text{sets } M \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } M. A \subseteq E \longrightarrow \mu A \leq 0)$

**lemma** pos-meas-setI:  
**assumes** E ∈ sets M  
**and** ⋀ A. A ∈ sets M ⟹ A ⊆ E ⟹ 0 ≤ μ A  
**shows** pos-meas-set E ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** pos-meas-setD1 :  
**assumes** pos-meas-set E  
**shows** E ∈ sets M  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** neg-meas-setD1 :  
**assumes** neg-meas-set E  
**shows** E ∈ sets M ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** neg-meas-setI:  
**assumes** E ∈ sets M

**and**  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies A \subseteq E \implies \mu A \leq 0$   
**shows** neg-meas-set  $E$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** pos-meas-self:  
**assumes** pos-meas-set  $E$   
**shows**  $0 \leq \mu E$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** empty-pos-meas-set:  
**shows** pos-meas-set  $\{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** empty-neg-meas-set:  
**shows** neg-meas-set  $\{\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** pos-measure-meas:  
**assumes** pos-meas-set  $E$   
**and**  $A \subseteq E$   
**and**  $A \in \text{sets } M$   
**shows**  $0 \leq \mu A$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** pos-meas-subset:  
**assumes** pos-meas-set  $A$   
**and**  $B \subseteq A$   
**and**  $B \in \text{sets } M$   
**shows** pos-meas-set  $B$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** neg-meas-subset:  
**assumes** neg-meas-set  $A$   
**and**  $B \subseteq A$   
**and**  $B \in \text{sets } M$   
**shows** neg-meas-set  $B$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** pos-meas-set-Union:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge (i:\text{nat}). \text{pos-meas-set} (A i)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge i. A i \in \text{sets } M$   
**and**  $|\mu (\bigcup i. A i)| < \infty$   
**shows** pos-meas-set  $(\bigcup i. A i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** pos-meas-set-pos-lim:  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge (i:\text{nat}). \text{pos-meas-set} (A i)$   
**and**  $\bigwedge i. A i \in \text{sets } M$   
**shows**  $0 \leq \mu (\bigcup i. A i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** pos-meas-disj-union:  
**assumes** pos-meas-set  $A$   
**and** pos-meas-set  $B$

```

and  $A \cap B = \{\}$ 
shows pos-meas-set ( $A \cup B$ ) ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-meas-set-union:
assumes pos-meas-set  $A$ 
and pos-meas-set  $B$ 
shows pos-meas-set ( $A \cup B$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-meas-disj-union:
assumes neg-meas-set  $A$ 
and neg-meas-set  $B$ 
and  $A \cap B = \{\}$ 
shows neg-meas-set ( $A \cup B$ ) ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-meas-set-union:
assumes neg-meas-set  $A$ 
and neg-meas-set  $B$ 
shows neg-meas-set ( $A \cup B$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-meas-self :
assumes neg-meas-set  $E$ 
shows  $\mu E \leq 0$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-meas-set-opp:
assumes signed-measure-space.pos-meas-set  $M (\lambda A. -\mu A) A$ 
shows neg-meas-set  $A$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-meas-set-opp:
assumes signed-measure-space.neg-meas-set  $M (\lambda A. -\mu A) A$ 
shows pos-meas-set  $A$ 
⟨proof⟩
end

lemma signed-measure-inter:
assumes signed-measure  $M \mu$ 
and  $A \in \text{sets } M$ 
shows signed-measure  $M (\lambda E. \mu (E \cap A))$  ⟨proof⟩

context signed-measure-space
begin
lemma pos-signed-to-meas-space :
assumes pos-meas-set  $M1$ 
and  $m1 = (\lambda A. \mu (A \cap M1))$ 
shows measure-space (space  $M$ ) (sets  $M$ )  $m1$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-signed-to-meas-space :

```

```

assumes neg-meas-set M2
and m2 = ( $\lambda A. -\mu(A \cap M2)$ )
shows measure-space (space M) (sets M) m2 ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pos-part-meas-nul-neg-set :
assumes pos-meas-set M1
and neg-meas-set M2
and m1 = ( $\lambda A. \mu(A \cap M1)$ )
and E ∈ sets M
and E ⊆ M2
shows m1 E = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma neg-part-meas-nul-pos-set :
assumes pos-meas-set M1
and neg-meas-set M2
and m2 = ( $\lambda A. -\mu(A \cap M2)$ )
and E ∈ sets M
and E ⊆ M1
shows m2 E = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition pos-sets where
pos-sets = {A. A ∈ sets M ∧ pos-meas-set A}

```

```

definition pos-img where
pos-img = { $\mu A | A \in \text{pos-sets}$ }

```

## 2.3 Essential uniqueness

In this part, under the assumption that a measure space for a signed measure admits a decomposition into a positive and a negative set, we prove that this decomposition is essentially unique; in other words, that if two such decompositions  $(P, N)$  and  $(X, Y)$  exist, then any measurable subset of  $(P \Delta X) \cup (N \Delta Y)$  has a null measure.

```

definition hahn-space-decomp where
hahn-space-decomp M1 M2 ≡ (pos-meas-set M1) ∧ (neg-meas-set M2) ∧
(space M = M1 ∪ M2) ∧ (M1 ∩ M2 = {})

```

```

lemma pos-neg-null-set:
assumes pos-meas-set A
and neg-meas-set A
shows  $\mu A = 0$  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pos-diff-neg-meas-set:
assumes (pos-meas-set M1)
and (neg-meas-set N2)
and (space M = N1 ∪ N2)

```

```

and  $N1 \in \text{sets } M$ 
shows neg-meas-set  $((M1 - N1) \cap \text{space } M)$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-diff-pos-meas-set:
assumes neg-meas-set M2
and pos-meas-set N1
and space M = N1 ∪ N2
and N2 ∈ sets M
shows pos-meas-set  $((M2 - N2) \cap \text{space } M)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-sym-diff-neg-meas-set:
assumes hahn-space-decomp M1 M2
and hahn-space-decomp N1 N2
shows neg-meas-set  $((\text{sym-diff } M1 N1) \cap \text{space } M)$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-sym-diff-pos-meas-set:
assumes hahn-space-decomp M1 M2
and hahn-space-decomp N1 N2
shows pos-meas-set  $((\text{sym-diff } M2 N2) \cap \text{space } M)$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-meas-set-diff:
assumes pos-meas-set A
and B ∈ sets M
shows pos-meas-set  $((A - B) \cap (\text{space } M))$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-meas-set-sym-diff:
assumes pos-meas-set A
and pos-meas-set B
shows pos-meas-set  $((\text{sym-diff } A B) \cap \text{space } M)$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-meas-set-diff:
assumes neg-meas-set A
and B ∈ sets M
shows neg-meas-set  $((A - B) \cap (\text{space } M))$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-meas-set-sym-diff:
assumes neg-meas-set A
and neg-meas-set B
shows neg-meas-set  $((\text{sym-diff } A B) \cap \text{space } M)$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma hahn-decomp-space-diff:
assumes hahn-space-decomp M1 M2
and hahn-space-decomp N1 N2
shows pos-meas-set  $((\text{sym-diff } M1 N1 \cup \text{sym-diff } M2 N2) \cap \text{space } M)$ 
neg-meas-set  $((\text{sym-diff } M1 N1 \cup \text{sym-diff } M2 N2) \cap \text{space } M)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma hahn-decomp-ess-unique:

```

```

assumes hahn-space-decomp M1 M2
and hahn-space-decomp N1 N2
and C ⊆ sym-diff M1 N1 ∪ sym-diff M2 N2
and C ∈ sets M
shows μ C = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

### 3 Existence of a positive subset

The goal of this part is to prove that any measurable set of finite and positive measure must contain a positive subset with a strictly positive measure.

#### 3.1 A sequence of negative subsets

**definition** inf-neg **where**

```

inf-neg A = (if (A ∉ sets M ∨ pos-meas-set A) then (0::nat)
else Inf {n|n. (1::nat) ≤ n ∧ (∃B ∈ sets M. B ⊆ A ∧ μ B < ereal(−1/n))})

```

**lemma** inf-neg-ne:

```

assumes A ∈ sets M
and ¬ pos-meas-set A
shows {n::nat|n. (1::nat) ≤ n ∧
(∃B ∈ sets M. B ⊆ A ∧ μ B < ereal (−1/n))} ≠ {}
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** inf-neg-ge-1:

```

assumes A ∈ sets M
and ¬ pos-meas-set A
shows (1::nat) ≤ inf-neg A
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** inf-neg-pos:

```

assumes A ∈ sets M
and ¬ pos-meas-set A
shows ∃ B ∈ sets M. B ⊆ A ∧ μ B < −1/(inf-neg A)
⟨proof⟩

```

**definition** rep-neg **where**

```

rep-neg A = (if (A ∉ sets M ∨ pos-meas-set A) then {} else
SOME B. B ∈ sets M ∧ B ⊆ A ∧ μ B ≤ ereal (−1 / (inf-neg A)))

```

**lemma** g-rep-neg:

```

assumes A ∈ sets M
and ¬ pos-meas-set A
shows rep-neg A ∈ sets M rep-neg A ⊆ A
μ (rep-neg A) ≤ ereal (−1 / (inf-neg A))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rep-neg-sets:
  shows rep-neg A ∈ sets M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-neg-subset:
  shows rep-neg A ⊆ A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-neg-less:
  assumes A ∈ sets M
  and ¬ pos-meas-set A
  shows μ (rep-neg A) ≤ ereal (−1 / (inf-neg A)) ⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-neg-leq:
  shows μ (rep-neg A) ≤ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

### 3.2 Construction of the positive subset

```

fun pos-wtn
  where
    pos-wtn-base: pos-wtn E 0 = E|
    pos-wtn-step: pos-wtn E (Suc n) = pos-wtn E n − rep-neg (pos-wtn E n)

lemma pos-wtn-subset:
  shows pos-wtn E n ⊆ E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-wtn-sets:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  shows pos-wtn E n ∈ sets M
  ⟨proof⟩

definition neg-wtn where
  neg-wtn E (n::nat) = rep-neg (pos-wtn E n)

lemma neg-wtn-neg-meas:
  shows μ (neg-wtn E n) ≤ 0 ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-wtn-sets:
  shows neg-wtn E n ∈ sets M ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-wtn-subset:
  shows neg-wtn E n ⊆ E ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-wtn-union-subset:
  shows (⋃ i ≤ n. neg-wtn E i) ⊆ E ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-wtn-Suc:

```

```

shows pos-wtn E (Suc n) = E - ( $\bigcup i \leq n. \text{neg-wtn } E i$ )  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

definition pos-sub where
  pos-sub E = ( $\bigcap n. \text{pos-wtn } E n$ )

lemma pos-sub-sets:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  shows pos-sub E ∈ sets M  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma pos-sub-subset:
  shows pos-sub E ⊆ E  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma pos-sub-infty:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  shows |μ (pos-sub E)| < ∞  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma neg-wtn-djn:
  shows disjoint-family ( $\lambda n. \text{neg-wtn } E n$ )  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 
end

lemma disjoint-family-imp-on:
  assumes disjoint-family A
  shows disjoint-family-on A S
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

context signed-measure-space
begin

lemma neg-wtn-union-neg-meas:
  shows μ ( $\bigcup i \leq n. \text{neg-wtn } E i$ ) ≤ 0
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma pos-wtn-meas-gt:
  assumes 0 < μ E
  and E ∈ sets M
  shows 0 < μ (pos-wtn E n)
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

definition union-wit where
  union-wit E = ( $\bigcup n. \text{neg-wtn } E n$ )

lemma union-wit-sets:
  shows union-wit E ∈ sets M  $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma union-wit-subset:
  shows union-wit E ⊆ E
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma pos-sub-diff:

```

```

shows pos-sub E = E - union-wit E
⟨proof⟩

definition num-wtn where
  num-wtn E n = inf-neg (pos-wtn E n)

lemma num-wtn-geq:
  shows μ (neg-wtn E n) ≤ ereal (−1/(num-wtn E n))
⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-wtn-infty:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  shows |μ (neg-wtn E i)| < ∞
⟨proof⟩

lemma union-wit-infty:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  shows |μ (union-wit E)| < ∞ ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-wtn-summable:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  shows summable (λi. − real-of-ereal (μ (neg-wtn E i)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-num-wtn-summable:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  shows summable (λn. 1/(num-wtn E n))
⟨proof⟩

lemma inv-num-wtn-shift-summable:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  shows summable (λn. 1/(num-wtn E n − 1))
⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-wtn-meas-sums:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  shows (λi. − (μ (neg-wtn E i))) sums
    suminf (λi. − real-of-ereal (μ (neg-wtn E i)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-wtn-meas-suminf-le:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞

```

**shows**  $\text{suminf}(\lambda i. \mu(\text{neg-wtn } E i)) \leq -\text{suminf}(\lambda n. 1/(\text{num-wtn } E n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *union-wit-meas-le*:

**assumes**  $E \in \text{sets } M$   
**and**  $|\mu E| < \infty$   
**shows**  $\mu(\text{union-wit } E) \leq -\text{suminf}(\lambda n. 1 / \text{real } (\text{num-wtn } E n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-sub-pos-meas*:

**assumes**  $E \in \text{sets } M$   
**and**  $|\mu E| < \infty$   
**and**  $0 < \mu E$   
**and**  $\neg \text{pos-meas-set } E$   
**shows**  $0 < \mu(\text{pos-sub } E)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *num-wtn-conv*:

**assumes**  $E \in \text{sets } M$   
**and**  $|\mu E| < \infty$   
**shows**  $(\lambda n. 1/(\text{num-wtn } E n)) \longrightarrow 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *num-wtn-shift-conv*:

**assumes**  $E \in \text{sets } M$   
**and**  $|\mu E| < \infty$   
**shows**  $(\lambda n. 1/(\text{num-wtn } E n - 1)) \longrightarrow 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-neg-E-set*:

**assumes**  $0 < \text{inf-neg } E$   
**shows**  $E \in \text{sets } M$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-neg-pos-meas*:

**assumes**  $0 < \text{inf-neg } E$   
**shows**  $\neg \text{pos-meas-set } E$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-neg-mem*:

**assumes**  $0 < \text{inf-neg } E$   
**shows**  $\text{inf-neg } E \in \{n::nat | n. (1::nat) \leq n \wedge (\exists B \in \text{sets } M. B \subseteq E \wedge \mu B < \text{ereal } (-1/n))\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *prec-inf-neg-pos*:

**assumes**  $0 < \text{inf-neg } E - 1$   
**and**  $B \in \text{sets } M$   
**and**  $B \subseteq E$   
**shows**  $-1/(\text{inf-neg } E - 1) \leq \mu B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma pos-wtn-meas-ge:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  and C ∈ sets M
  and ⋀n. C ⊆ pos-wtn E n
  and ⋀n. 0 < num-wtn E n
  shows ∃N. ∀n ≥ N. 1 / (num-wtn E n - 1) ≤ μ C
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pos-sub-pos-meas-subset:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  and C ∈ sets M
  and C ⊆ (pos-sub E)
  and ⋀n. 0 < num-wtn E n
  shows 0 ≤ μ C
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pos-sub-pos-meas':
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  and 0 < μ E
  and ⋀n. 0 < num-wtn E n
  shows 0 < μ (pos-sub E)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

We obtain the main result of this part on the existence of a positive subset.

```

lemma exists-pos-meas-subset:
  assumes E ∈ sets M
  and |μ E| < ∞
  and 0 < μ E
  shows ∃A. A ⊆ E ∧ pos-meas-set A ∧ 0 < μ A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 4 The Hahn decomposition theorem

**definition** seq-meas **where**  
 $\text{seq-meas} = (\text{SOME } f. \text{incseq } f \wedge \text{range } f \subseteq \text{pos-img} \wedge \bigsqcup \text{pos-img} = \bigsqcup \text{range } f)$

```

lemma seq-meas-props:
  shows incseq seq-meas ∧ range seq-meas ⊆ pos-img ∧
  ⋃ pos-img = ⋃ range seq-meas
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**definition** seq-meas-rep **where**  
 $\text{seq-meas-rep } n = (\text{SOME } A. A \in \text{pos-sets} \wedge \text{seq-meas } n = \mu A)$

**lemma** *seq-meas-rep-ex*:  
**shows** *seq-meas-rep n* ∈ *pos-sets* ∧  $\mu(\text{seq-meas-rep } n) = \text{seq-meas } n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *seq-meas-rep-pos*:  
**assumes**  $\forall E \in \text{sets } M. \mu E < \infty$   
**shows** *pos-meas-set* ( $\bigcup i. \text{seq-meas-rep } i$ )  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sup-seq-meas-rep*:  
**assumes**  $\forall E \in \text{sets } M. \mu E < \infty$   
**and**  $S = (\bigsqcup \text{pos-img})$   
**and**  $A = (\bigcup i. \text{seq-meas-rep } i)$   
**shows**  $\mu A = S$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *seq-meas-rep-compl*:  
**assumes**  $\forall E \in \text{sets } M. \mu E < \infty$   
**and**  $A = (\bigcup i. \text{seq-meas-rep } i)$   
**shows** *neg-meas-set* ((*space M*) – *A*) *(proof)*

**lemma** *hahn-decomp-finite*:  
**assumes**  $\forall E \in \text{sets } M. \mu E < \infty$   
**shows**  $\exists M1 M2. \text{hahn-space-decomp } M1 M2$  *(proof)*

**theorem** *hahn-decomposition*:  
**shows**  $\exists M1 M2. \text{hahn-space-decomp } M1 M2$   
*(proof)*

## 5 The Jordan decomposition theorem

**definition** *jordan-decomp* **where**  
*jordan-decomp m1 m2*  $\longleftrightarrow$  ((*measure-space* (*space M*) (*sets M*) *m1*) ∧  
(*measure-space* (*space M*) (*sets M*) *m2*) ∧  
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } M. 0 \leq m1 A) \wedge$   
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } M. 0 \leq m2 A) \wedge$   
 $(\forall A \in \text{sets } M. \mu A = (m1 A) - (m2 A)) \wedge$   
 $(\forall P N A. \text{hahn-space-decomp } P N \longrightarrow$   
 $(A \in \text{sets } M \longrightarrow A \subseteq P \longrightarrow (m2 A) = 0) \wedge$   
 $(A \in \text{sets } M \longrightarrow A \subseteq N \longrightarrow (m1 A) = 0)) \wedge$   
 $((\forall A \in \text{sets } M. m1 A < \infty) \vee (\forall A \in \text{sets } M. m2 A < \infty)))$

**lemma** *jordan-decomp-pos-meas*:  
**assumes** *jordan-decomp m1 m2*  
**and** *hahn-space-decomp P N*  
**and**  $A \in \text{sets } M$   
**shows**  $m1 A = \mu(A \cap P)$   
*(proof)*

```

lemma jordan-decomp-neg-meas:
  assumes jordan-decomp m1 m2
  and hahn-space-decomp P N
  and A ∈ sets M
  shows m2 A = -μ (A ∩ N)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-inter-neg-0:
  assumes hahn-space-decomp M1 M2
  and hahn-space-decomp P N
  and A ∈ sets M
  and A ⊆ N
  shows μ (A ∩ M1) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma neg-inter-pos-0:
  assumes hahn-space-decomp M1 M2
  and hahn-space-decomp P N
  and A ∈ sets M
  and A ⊆ P
  shows μ (A ∩ M2) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma jordan-decomposition :
  shows ∃ m1 m2. jordan-decomp m1 m2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma jordan-decomposition-unique :
  assumes jordan-decomp m1 m2
  and jordan-decomp n1 n2
  and A ∈ sets M
  shows m1 A = n1 A m2 A = n2 A
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

## References

- [1] E. DiBenedetto. *Real Analysis*. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts. Birkhäuser.