

Group Ring Module

Hidetsune Kobayashi, L. Chen, H. Murao

August 16, 2018

Abstract

The theory of groups, rings and modules is developed to a great depth. Group theory results include Zassenhaus's theorem and the Jordan-Hoelder theorem. The ring theory development includes ideals, quotient rings and the Chinese remainder theorem. The module development includes the Nakayama lemma, exact sequences and Tensor products.

Contents

1 Preliminaries	4
1.1 Lemmas for logical manipulation	4
1.2 Natural numbers and Integers	4
1.2.1 Integers	6
1.3 Sets	9
1.3.1 A short notes for proof steps	9
1.3.2 Sets	9
1.4 Functions	12
1.5 Nsets	19
1.5.1 Lemmas for existence of reduced chain.	26
1.6 Lower bounded set of integers	26
1.7 Augmented integer: integer and $\infty-\infty$	27
1.7.1 Ordering of integers and ordering nats	36
1.7.2 The \leq Ordering	36
1.7.3 Aug ordering	39
1.8 Amin, amax	41
1.8.1 Maximum element of a set of ants	43
1.9 Cardinality of sets	45
1.9.1 Lemmas required in Algebra6.thy	50
2 Ordered Set	53
2.1 Basic Concepts of Ordered Sets	53
2.1.1 Total ordering	56
2.1.2 Two ordered sets	57
2.1.3 Homomorphism of ordered sets	58
2.2 Pre elements	77
2.3 Transfinite induction	78
2.4 <i>Ordered-set2</i> . Lemmas to prove Zorn's lemma.	78
2.5 Zorn's lemma	79
3 Group Theory. Focused on Jordan Hoelder theorem	90
3.1 Definition of a Group	90
3.2 Subgroups	92

3.3	Cosets	99
3.4	Normal subgroups and Quotient groups	102
3.5	Setproducts	106
3.6	Preliminary lemmas for Zassenhaus	108
3.7	Homomorphism	111
3.8	Gkernel	112
3.9	Image	114
3.10	Induced homomorphisms	115
3.10.1	Homomorphism therems	116
3.11	Isomorphims	119
3.11.1	An automorphism groups	119
3.11.2	Complete system of representatives	119
3.12	Zassenhaus	121
3.13	Chain of groups I	123
3.14	Existence of reduced chain	126
3.15	Existence of reduced chain and composition series	131
3.16	Chain of groups II	131
3.17	Jordan Hoelder theorem	136
3.17.1	<i>Rfn-tools</i> . Tools to treat refinement of a cmpser, rtos.	136
3.18	Abelian groups	145
3.18.1	Homomorphism of abelian groups	150
3.18.2	Quotient abelian group	152
3.19	Direct product and direct sum of abelian groups, in general case	154
3.19.1	Characterization of a direct product	159
4	Ring theory	162
4.1	Definition of a ring and an ideal	162
4.2	Calculation of elements	166
4.2.1	nscalc	166
4.2.2	npow	167
4.2.3	nsum and fSum	168
4.3	Ring homomorphisms	172
4.3.1	Ring of integers	178
4.4	Quotient rings	178
4.5	Primary ideals, Prime ideals	183
4.6	Operation of ideals	194
4.7	Direct product1, general case	196
4.8	Chinese remainder theorem	200
4.9	Addition of finite elements of a ring and <i>ideal-multiplication</i>	203
4.10	Extension and contraction	210
4.11	Complete system of representatives	211
4.12	Polynomial ring	212
4.13	Addition and multiplication of <i>polyn-exprs</i>	213

4.13.1	Simple properties of a <i>polyn-ring</i>	213
4.13.2	Coefficients of a polynomial	214
4.13.3	Addition of <i>polyn-exprs</i>	214
4.13.4	Multiplication of <i>pol-exprs</i>	218
4.13.5	Multiplication	218
4.14	The degree of a polynomial	221
4.14.1	Multiplication of polynomials	228
4.14.2	Degree with value in <i>aug-minf</i>	229
4.15	Homomorphism of polynomial rings	230
4.16	Relatively prime polynomials	235
4.16.1	Polynomial, coeff mod P	236
5	Modules	247
5.1	Basic properties of Modules	247
5.2	Injective hom, surjective hom, bijective hom and inverse hom	253
5.3	nsum and Generators	270
5.3.1	Sum up coefficients	272
5.3.2	Free generators	274
5.4	nsum and Generators (continued)	277
5.5	Existence of homomorphism	278
5.6	Nakayama lemma	284
5.7	Direct sum and direct products of modules	285
5.8	Exact sequence	287
5.9	Tensor product	292
6	Construction of an abelian group	295
6.1	Free generated abelian group I, direct sum and direct product	2295
6.2	Abelian group generated by a singleton (constructive)	299
6.3	Abelian Group generated by one element II (nonconstructive)	305
6.4	Free Generated Modules (constructive)	308
6.5	A fgmodule and a free module	312
6.6	Direct sum, again	313
6.6.1	Existence of the tensor product	315

```

theory Algebra1
imports Main HOL-Library.FuncSet
begin

```

Chapter 1

Preliminaries

Some of the lemmas of this section are proved in src/HOL/Integ of Isabelle version 2003.

1.1 Lemmas for logical manipulation

lemma *True-then*: $True \longrightarrow P \Longrightarrow P$
<proof>

lemma *ex-conjI*: $\llbracket P\ c; Q\ c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \exists\ c. P\ c \wedge Q\ c$
<proof>

lemma *forall-spec*: $\llbracket \forall\ b. P\ b \longrightarrow Q\ b; P\ a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q\ a$
<proof>

lemma *a-b-exchange*: $\llbracket a; a = b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow b$
<proof>

lemma *eq-prop*: $\llbracket P; P = Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q$
<proof>

lemma *forall-contr*: $\llbracket \forall\ y \in A. P\ x\ y \longrightarrow \neg Q\ y; \forall\ y \in A. Q\ y \vee R\ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\forall\ y \in A. (\neg P\ x\ y) \vee R\ y$
<proof>

lemma *forall-contr1*: $\llbracket \forall\ y \in A. P\ x\ y \longrightarrow Q\ y; \forall\ y \in A. \neg Q\ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \forall\ y \in A. \neg P\ x$
 y
<proof>

1.2 Natural numbers and Integers

Elementary properties of natural numbers and integers

lemma *nat-nonzero-pos*: $(a::nat) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow 0 < a$

<proof>

lemma *add-both*: $(a::nat) = b \implies a + c = b + c$
<proof>

lemma *add-bothl*: $a = b \implies c + a = c + b$
<proof>

lemma *diff-Suc*: $(n::nat) \leq m \implies m - n + \text{Suc } 0 = \text{Suc } m - n$
<proof>

lemma *le-convert*: $\llbracket a = b; a \leq c \rrbracket \implies b \leq c$
<proof>

lemma *ge-convert*: $\llbracket a = b; c \leq a \rrbracket \implies c \leq b$
<proof>

lemma *less-convert*: $\llbracket a = b; c < b \rrbracket \implies c < a$
<proof>

lemma *ineq-conv1*: $\llbracket a = b; a < c \rrbracket \implies b < c$
<proof>

lemma *diff-Suc-pos*: $0 < a - \text{Suc } 0 \implies 0 < a$
<proof>

lemma *minus-SucSuc*: $a - \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) = a - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0$
<proof>

lemma *Suc-Suc-Tr*: $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) \leq n \implies \text{Suc } (n - \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)) = n - \text{Suc } 0$
<proof>

lemma *Suc-Suc-less*: $\text{Suc } 0 < a \implies \text{Suc } (a - \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)) < a$
<proof>

lemma *diff-zero-eq*: $n = (0::nat) \implies m = m - n$
<proof>

lemma *Suc-less-le*: $x < \text{Suc } n \implies x \leq n$
<proof>

lemma *less-le-diff*: $x < n \implies x \leq n - \text{Suc } 0$
<proof>

lemma *le-pre-le*: $x \leq n - \text{Suc } 0 \implies x \leq n$
<proof>

lemma *nat-not-less*: $\neg (m::nat) < n \implies n \leq m$
<proof>

lemma *less-neq*: $n < (m::nat) \implies n \neq m$
(proof)

lemma *less-le-diff1*: $n \neq 0 \implies ((m::nat) < n) = (m \leq (n - \text{Suc } 0))$
(proof)

lemma *nat-not-less1*: $n \neq 0 \implies (\neg (m::nat) < n) = (\neg m \leq (n - \text{Suc } 0))$
(proof)

lemma *nat-eq-le*: $m = (n::nat) \implies m \leq n$
(proof)

1.2.1 Integers

lemma *non-zero-int*: $(n::int) \neq 0 \implies 0 < n \vee n < 0$
(proof)

lemma *zgt-0-zge-1*: $(0::int) < z \implies 1 \leq z$
(proof)

lemma *not-zle*: $(\neg (n::int) \leq m) = (m < n)$
(proof)

lemma *not-zless*: $(\neg (n::int) < m) = (m \leq n)$
(proof)

lemma *zle-imp-zless-or-eq*: $(n::int) \leq m \implies n < m \vee n = m$
(proof)

lemma *zminus-zadd-cancel*: $-z + (z + w) = (w::int)$
(proof)

lemma *int-neq-iff*: $((w::int) \neq z) = (w < z) \vee (z < w)$
(proof)

lemma *zless-imp-zle*: $(z::int) < z' \implies z \leq z'$
(proof)

lemma *zdiff*: $z - (w::int) = z + (-w)$
(proof)

lemma *zle-zless-trans*: $[(i::int) \leq j; j < k] \implies i < k$
(proof)

lemma *zless-zle-trans*: $[(i::int) < j; j \leq k] \implies i < k$
(proof)

lemma *zless-neq*: $(i::int) < j \implies i \neq j$

<proof>

lemma *int-mult-mono*: $\llbracket i < j; (0::int) < k \rrbracket \implies k * i < k * j$
<proof>

lemma *int-mult-le*: $\llbracket i \leq j; (0::int) \leq k \rrbracket \implies k * i \leq k * j$
<proof>

lemma *int-mult-le1*: $\llbracket i \leq j; (0::int) \leq k \rrbracket \implies i * k \leq j * k$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-zminus-right*: $(w::int) * (-z) = -(w * z)$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-zle-mono1-neg*: $\llbracket (i::int) \leq j; k \leq 0 \rrbracket \implies j * k \leq i * k$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-zless-mono-neg*: $\llbracket (i::int) < j; k < 0 \rrbracket \implies j * k < i * k$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-neg-neg*: $\llbracket i < (0::int); j < 0 \rrbracket \implies 0 < i * j$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-pos-pos*: $\llbracket (0::int) < i; 0 < j \rrbracket \implies 0 < i * j$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-pos-neg*: $\llbracket (0::int) < i; j < 0 \rrbracket \implies i * j < 0$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-neg-pos*: $\llbracket i < (0::int); 0 < j \rrbracket \implies i * j < 0$
<proof>

lemma *zle*: $((z::int) \leq w) = (\neg (w < z))$
<proof>

lemma *times-1-both*: $\llbracket (0::int) < z; z * z' = 1 \rrbracket \implies z = 1 \wedge z' = 1$
<proof>

lemma *zminus-minus*: $i - - (j::int) = i + j$
<proof>

lemma *zminus-minus-pos*: $(n::int) < 0 \implies 0 < -n$
<proof>

lemma *zadd-zle-mono*: $\llbracket w' \leq w; z' \leq (z::int) \rrbracket \implies w' + z' \leq w + z$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-zle-mono*: $\llbracket i \leq (j::int); 0 < k \rrbracket \implies k * i \leq k * j$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-zle-mono-r*: $\llbracket i \leq (j::int); 0 < k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow i * k \leq j * k$
<proof>

lemma *pos-zmult-pos*: $\llbracket 0 \leq (a::int); 0 < (b::int) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \leq a * b$
<proof>

lemma *pos-mult-l-gt*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; i \leq j; 0 \leq i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow i \leq w * j$
<proof>

lemma *pos-mult-r-gt*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; i \leq j; 0 \leq i \rrbracket \Longrightarrow i \leq j * w$
<proof>

lemma *mult-pos-iff*: $\llbracket (0::int) < i; 0 \leq i * j \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 0 \leq j$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-eq*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z = z' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow w * z = w * z'$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-eq-r*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z = z' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow z * w = z' * w$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-eq-l*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z * w = z' * w \rrbracket \Longrightarrow z = z'$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-eq-r*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; w * z = w * z' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow z = z'$
<proof>

lemma *int-nat-minus*: $0 < (n::int) \Longrightarrow \text{nat } (n - 1) = (\text{nat } n) - 1$
<proof>

lemma *int-nat-add*: $\llbracket 0 < (n::int); 0 < (m::int) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\text{nat } (n - 1)) + (\text{nat } (m - 1)) + (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{nat } (n + m - 1)$
<proof>

lemma *int-equation*: $(x::int) = y + z \Longrightarrow x - y = z$
<proof>

lemma *int-pos-mult-monor*: $\llbracket 0 < (n::int); 0 \leq n * m \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 0 \leq m$
<proof>

lemma *int-pos-mult-monol*: $\llbracket 0 < (m::int); 0 \leq n * m \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 0 \leq n$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-positive*: $\llbracket (0::int) \leq a; 0 < b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 0 \leq a \text{ div } b$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-pos-mono-r*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; w * z \leq w * z' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow z \leq z'$

<proof>

lemma *zdiv-pos-mono-l*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z * w \leq z' * w \rrbracket \implies z \leq z'$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-pos-pos-l*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; 0 \leq z * w \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq z$
<proof>

1.3 Sets

1.3.1 A short notes for proof steps

1.3.2 Sets

lemma *inEx*: $x \in A \implies \exists y \in A. y = x$
<proof>

lemma *inEx-rev*: $\exists y \in A. y = x \implies x \in A$
<proof>

lemma *nonempty-ex*: $A \neq \{\} \implies \exists x. x \in A$
<proof>

lemma *ex-nonempty*: $\exists x. x \in A \implies A \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *not-eq-outside*: $a \notin A \implies \forall b \in A. b \neq a$
<proof>

lemma *ex-nonempty-set*: $\exists a. P a \implies \{x. P x\} \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *nonempty*: $x \in A \implies A \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *subset-self*: $A \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *conditional-subset*: $\{x \in A. P x\} \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *bsubsetTr*: $\{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *sets-not-eq*: $\llbracket A \neq B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \exists a \in A. a \notin B$
<proof>

lemma *diff-nonempty*: $\llbracket A \neq B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies A - B \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *sub-which1*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B \vee B \subseteq A; x \in A; x \notin B \rrbracket \implies B \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *sub-which2*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B \vee B \subseteq A; x \notin A; x \in B \rrbracket \implies A \subseteq B$
<proof>

lemma *diff-sub*: $A - B \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *nonempty-int*: $A \cap B \neq \{\} \implies \exists x. x \in A \cap B$
<proof>

lemma *no-meet1*: $A \cap B = \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. a \notin B$
<proof>

lemma *no-meet2*: $A \cap B = \{\} \implies \forall a \in B. a \notin A$
<proof>

lemma *elem-some*: $x \in A \implies \exists y \in A. x = y$
<proof>

lemma *singleton-sub*: $a \in A \implies \{a\} \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *eq-elem-in*: $\llbracket a \in A; a = b \rrbracket \implies b \in A$
<proof>

lemma *eq-set-inc*: $\llbracket a \in A; A = B \rrbracket \implies a \in B$
<proof>

lemma *eq-set-not-inc*: $\llbracket a \notin A; A = B \rrbracket \implies a \notin B$
<proof>

lemma *int-subsets*: $\llbracket A1 \subseteq A; B1 \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies A1 \cap B1 \subseteq A \cap B$
<proof>

lemma *inter-mono*: $A \subseteq B \implies A \cap C \subseteq B \cap C$
<proof>

lemma *sub-Un1*: $B \subseteq B \cup C$
<proof>

lemma *sub-Un2*: $C \subseteq B \cup C$
<proof>

lemma *subset-contr*: $\llbracket A \subset B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$
<proof>

lemma *psubset-contr*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{False}$
<proof>

lemma *eqsets-sub*: $A = B \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B$
<proof>

lemma *not-subseteq*: $\neg A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow \exists a \in A. a \notin B$
<proof>

lemma *in-un1*: $\llbracket x \in A \cup B; x \notin B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \in A$
<proof>

lemma *proper-subset*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B; x \notin A; x \in B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow A \neq B$
<proof>

lemma *in-un2*: $\llbracket x \in A \cup B; x \notin A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \in B$
<proof>

lemma *diff-disj*: $x \notin A \Longrightarrow A - \{x\} = A$
<proof>

lemma *in-diff*: $\llbracket x \neq a; x \in A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \in A - \{a\}$
<proof>

lemma *in-diff1*: $x \in A - \{a\} \Longrightarrow x \neq a$
<proof>

lemma *sub-inserted1*: $\llbracket Y \subseteq \text{insert } a \text{ } X; \neg Y \subseteq X \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \notin X \wedge a \in Y$
<proof>

lemma *sub-inserted2*: $\llbracket Y \subseteq \text{insert } a \text{ } X; \neg Y \subseteq X \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Y = (Y - \{a\}) \cup \{a\}$
<proof>

lemma *insert-sub*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B; a \in B \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\text{insert } a \text{ } A) \subseteq B$
<proof>

lemma *insert-diff*: $A \subseteq (\text{insert } b \text{ } B) \Longrightarrow A - \{b\} \subseteq B$
<proof>

lemma *insert-inc1*: $A \subseteq \text{insert } a \text{ } A$
<proof>

lemma *insert-inc2*: $a \in \text{insert } a \text{ } A$
<proof>

lemma *nonempty-some*: $A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow (\text{SOME } x. x \in A) \in A$
<proof>

lemma *mem-family-sub-Un*: $A \in C \Longrightarrow A \subseteq \bigcup C$

<proof>

lemma *sub-Union*: $\exists X \in C. A \subseteq X \implies A \subseteq \bigcup C$
<proof>

lemma *family-subset-Un-sub*: $\forall A \in C. A \subseteq B \implies \bigcup C \subseteq B$
<proof>

lemma *in-set-with-P*: $P x \implies x \in \{y. P y\}$
<proof>

lemma *sub-single*: $[A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \{a\}] \implies A = \{a\}$
<proof>

lemma *not-sub-single*: $[A \neq \{\}; A \neq \{a\}] \implies \neg A \subseteq \{a\}$
<proof>

lemma *not-sub*: $\neg A \subseteq B \implies \exists a. a \in A \wedge a \notin B$
<proof>

1.4 Functions

definition

cmp :: [*'b* \Rightarrow *'c*, *'a* \Rightarrow *'b*] \Rightarrow (*'a* \Rightarrow *'c*) **where**
cmp *g f* = ($\lambda x. g (f x)$)

definition

idmap :: *'a set* \Rightarrow (*'a* \Rightarrow *'a*) **where**
idmap *A* = ($\lambda x \in A. x$)

definition

constmap :: [*'a set*, *'b set*] \Rightarrow (*'a* \Rightarrow *'b*) **where**
constmap *A B* = ($\lambda x \in A. \text{SOME } y. y \in B$)

definition

invfun :: [*'a set*, *'b set*, *'a* \Rightarrow *'b*] \Rightarrow (*'b* \Rightarrow *'a*) **where**
invfun *A B* (*f* :: *'a* \Rightarrow *'b*) = ($\lambda y \in B. (\text{SOME } x. (x \in A \wedge f x = y))$)

abbreviation

INVFUN :: [*'a* \Rightarrow *'b*, *'b set*, *'a set*] \Rightarrow (*'b* \Rightarrow *'a*) ((\exists^{-1} $_$, $_$) [82,82,83]82) **where**
 $f^{-1}_{B,A} == \text{invfun } A B f$

lemma *eq-fun*: $[f \in A \rightarrow B; f = g] \implies g \in A \rightarrow B$
<proof>

lemma *eq-fun-eq-val*: $f = g \implies f x = g x$
<proof>

lemma *eq-elems-eq-val*: $x = y \implies f x = f y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmp-fun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C \rrbracket \implies cmp\ g\ f \in A \rightarrow C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmp-fun-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C \rrbracket \implies$
 $(cmp\ g\ f) \text{ ' } A = g \text{ ' } (f \text{ ' } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmp-fun-sub-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(cmp\ g\ f) \text{ ' } A1 = g \text{ ' } (f \text{ ' } A1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-fun-eq*: $\forall x \in A. f\ x = g\ x \implies (\lambda x \in A. f\ x) = (\lambda x \in A. g\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *funcset-mem*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; x \in A \rrbracket \implies f\ x \in B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *img-subset*: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies f \text{ ' } A \subseteq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *funcset-mem1*: $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f\ l \in B; x \in A \rrbracket \implies f\ x \in B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *func-to-img*: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies f \in A \rightarrow f \text{ ' } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-in-funcset*: $\forall x \in A. f\ x \in B \implies$
 $(\lambda x \in A. f\ x) \in A \rightarrow B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *funcset-eq*: $\llbracket f \in \text{extensional } A; g \in \text{extensional } A; \forall x \in A. f\ x = g\ x \rrbracket \implies$
 $f = g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-funcs*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in A \rightarrow B; f = g; x \in A \rrbracket \implies f\ x = g\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restriction-of-domain*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $restrict\ f\ A1 \in A1 \rightarrow B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-restrict*: $\llbracket restrict\ f\ A \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $restrict\ (restrict\ f\ A)\ A1 = restrict\ f\ A1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restr-restr-eq*: $\llbracket restrict\ f\ A \in A \rightarrow B; restrict\ f\ A = restrict\ g\ A; \rrbracket$

$A1 \subseteq A \implies \text{restrict } f \ A1 = \text{restrict } g \ A1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *funcTr*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in A \rightarrow B; f = g; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f \ a = g \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *funcTr1*: $\llbracket f = g; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f \ a = g \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrictfun-in*: $\llbracket (\text{restrict } f \ A) \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{restrict } f \ A) \ ' \ A1 = f \ ' \ A1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mem-in-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f \ a \in f \ ' \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mem-in-image1*: $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f \ l \in B; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f \ a \in f \ ' \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mem-in-image2*: $a \in A \implies f \ a \in f \ ' \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mem-in-image3*: $b \in f \ ' \ A \implies \exists a \in A. b = f \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *elem-in-image2*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A; x \in A1 \rrbracket \implies f \ x \in f \ ' \ A1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *funcs-nonempty*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; B \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (A \rightarrow B) \neq \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *idmap-funcs*: $\text{idmap } A \in A \rightarrow A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *l-idmap-comp*: $\llbracket f \in \text{extensional } A; f \in A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compose } A \ (\text{idmap } B) \ f = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *r-idmap-comp*: $\llbracket f \in \text{extensional } A; f \in A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compose } A \ f \ (\text{idmap } A) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *extend-fun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; B \subseteq B1 \rrbracket \implies f \in A \rightarrow B1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-fun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict } f \ A1 \in A1 \rightarrow B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-of-hom*: $\forall x \in A. f x \in B \implies \text{restrict } f A \in A \rightarrow B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *composition* : $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C \rrbracket \implies (\text{compose } A g f) \in A \rightarrow C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *comp-assoc*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C; h \in C \rightarrow D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compose } A h (\text{compose } A g f) = \text{compose } A (\text{compose } B h g) f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrictfun-inj*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f A; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{restrict } f A1) A1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *restrict-inj*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f A; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } f A1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *injective*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f A; x \in A; y \in A; x \neq y \rrbracket \implies f x \neq f y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *injective-iff*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f A; x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(x = y) = (f x = f y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *injfun-elim-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; x \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $f '(A - \{x\}) = (f ' A) - \{f x\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cmp-inj*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C; \text{inj-on } f A; \text{inj-on } g B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{cmp } g f) A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cmp-assoc*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C; h \in C \rightarrow D; x \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{cmp } h (\text{cmp } g f)) x = (\text{cmp } (\text{cmp } h g) f) x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *bivar-fun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C); a \in A \rrbracket \implies f a \in B \rightarrow C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *bivar-fun-mem*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C); a \in A; b \in B \rrbracket \implies f a b \in C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *bivar-func-eq*: $\llbracket \forall a \in A. \forall b \in B. f a b = g a b \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\lambda x \in A. \lambda y \in B. f x y) = (\lambda x \in A. \lambda y \in B. g x y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *set-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A; A2 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $f'(A1 \cap A2) \subseteq (f' A1) \cap (f' A2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma image-sub: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies (f' A1) \subseteq B$
 <proof>

lemma image-sub0: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies (f' A) \subseteq B$
 <proof>

lemma image-nonempty: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A; A1 \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies f' A1 \neq \{\}$
 <proof>

lemma im-set-mono: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A2; A2 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies (f' A1) \subseteq (f' A2)$
 <proof>

lemma im-set-un: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A; A2 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $f'(A1 \cup A2) = (f' A1) \cup (f' A2)$
 <proof>

lemma im-set-un1: $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f l \in B; A = A1 \cup A2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $f'(A1 \cup A2) = f'(A1) \cup f'(A2)$
 <proof>

lemma im-set-un2: $A = A1 \cup A2 \implies f' A = f'(A1) \cup f'(A2)$
 <proof>

definition

invin :: $['a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set}, 'b \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
invin $f A B = \{x. x \in A \wedge f x \in B\}$

lemma invim: $\llbracket f : A \rightarrow B; B1 \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies \text{invin } f A B1 \subseteq A$
 <proof>

lemma setim-cmpfn: $\llbracket f : A \rightarrow B; g : B \rightarrow C; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{compose } A g f)' A1 = g'(f' A1)$
 <proof>

definition

surj-to :: $['a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set}, 'b \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
surj-to $f A B \iff f' A = B$

lemma surj-to-test: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. f a = b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{surj-to } f A B$
 <proof>

lemma surj-to-image: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies \text{surj-to } f A (f' A)$
 <proof>

lemma surj-to-el: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } f A B \rrbracket \implies \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. f a = b$
 <proof>

lemma *surj-to-el1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; b \in B \rrbracket \implies \exists a \in A. f \ a = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *surj-to-el2*: $\llbracket \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; b \in B \rrbracket \implies \exists a \in A. f \ a = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compose-surj*: $\llbracket f : A \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; g : B \rightarrow C; \text{surj-to } g \ B \ C \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{surj-to } (\text{compose } A \ g \ f) \ A \ C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmp-surj*: $\llbracket f : A \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; g : B \rightarrow C; \text{surj-to } g \ B \ C \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{surj-to } (\text{cmp } g \ f) \ A \ C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-onTr0*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; x \in A; y \in A; \text{inj-on } f \ A; f \ x = f \ y \rrbracket \implies x = y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-onTr1*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \ A; x \in A; y \in A; f \ x = f \ y \rrbracket \implies x = y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-onTr2*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \ A; x \in A; y \in A; f \ x \neq f \ y \rrbracket \implies x \neq y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-inj*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; g \in B \rightarrow C; \text{inj-on } g \ B \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{compose } A \ g \ f) \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmp-inj-1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; g \in B \rightarrow C; \text{inj-on } g \ B \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{cmp } g \ f) \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmp-inj-2*: $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f \ l \in B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \forall k \in B. g \ k \in C; \text{inj-on } g \ B \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{cmp } g \ f) \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *invfun-mem*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; b \in B \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ b \in A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inv-func*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \in B \rightarrow A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *invfun-r*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; b \in B \rrbracket$
 $\implies f \ ((\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ b) = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *invfun-l*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; a \in A \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) (f \ a) = a$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-inj*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ B$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-surj*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{surj-to } (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ B \ A$

<proof>

definition

bij-to :: [*'a* \Rightarrow *'b*, *'a set*, *'b set*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
bij-to *f* *A* *B* $\longleftrightarrow \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B \wedge \text{inj-on } f \ A$

lemma *idmap-bij*:*bij-to* (*idmap* *A*) *A* *A*

<proof>

lemma *bij-invfun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bij-to } (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ B \ A$

<proof>

lemma *l-inv-invfun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{compose } A \ (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ f = \text{idmap } A$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-mem1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f \ A \ B; b \in B \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ b \in A$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-r1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f \ A \ B; b \in B \rrbracket$
 $\implies f \ ((\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ b) = b$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-l1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f \ A \ B; a \in A \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) (f \ a) = a$

<proof>

lemma *compos-invfun-r*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f \ A \ B; g \in A \rightarrow C; h \in B \rightarrow C;$
 $g \in \text{extensional } A; \text{compose } B \ g \ (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) = h \rrbracket \implies$
 $g = \text{compose } A \ h \ f$

<proof>

lemma *compos-invfun-l*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f \ A \ B; g \in C \rightarrow B; h \in C \rightarrow A;$
 $\text{compose } C \ (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ g = h; g \in \text{extensional } C \rrbracket \implies$
 $g = \text{compose } C \ f \ h$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-set*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f \ A \ B; C \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ ' \ ((\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ ' \ C) = C$

<proof>

lemma *compos-bij*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f \ A \ B; g \in B \rightarrow C; \text{bij-to } g \ B \ C \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bij-to } (\text{compose } A \ g \ f) \ A \ C$

<proof>

1.5 Nsets

definition

nset :: $[nat, nat] \Rightarrow (nat) \ \text{set}$ **where**
nset *i j* = $\{k. i \leq k \wedge k \leq j\}$

definition

slide :: $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
slide *i j* == $i + j$

definition

sliden :: $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
sliden *i j* == $j - i$

definition

jointfun :: $[nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a, nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
jointfun *n f m g* = $(\lambda i. \text{if } i \leq n \text{ then } f \ i \ \text{else } g \ ((\text{sliden } (\text{Suc } n)) \ i))$

definition

skip :: $nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)$ **where**
skip *i* = $(\lambda x. (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } \text{Suc } x \ \text{else} \\ (\text{if } x \in \{j. j \leq (i - \text{Suc } 0)\} \text{ then } x \ \text{else } \text{Suc } x)))$

lemma *nat-pos*: $0 \leq (l::nat)$

<proof>

lemma *Suc-pos*: $\text{Suc } k \leq r \implies 0 < r$

<proof>

lemma *nat-pos2*: $(k::nat) < r \implies 0 < r$

<proof>

lemma *eq-le-not*: $\llbracket (a::nat) \leq b; \neg a < b \rrbracket \implies a = b$

<proof>

lemma *im-of-constmap*: $(\text{constmap } \{0\} \ \{a\}) \ ' \ \{0\} = \{a\}$

<proof>

lemma *noteq-le-less*: $\llbracket m \leq (n::nat); m \neq n \rrbracket \implies m < n$

<proof>

lemma *nat-not-le-less*: $(\neg (n::nat) \leq m) = (m < n)$
<proof>

lemma *self-le*: $(n::nat) \leq n$
<proof>

lemma *n-less-Suc*: $(n::nat) < Suc\ n$
<proof>

lemma *less-diff-pos*: $i < (n::nat) \implies 0 < n - i$
<proof>

lemma *less-diff-Suc*: $i < (n::nat) \implies n - (Suc\ i) = (n - i) - (Suc\ 0)$
<proof>

lemma *less-pre-n*: $0 < n \implies n - Suc\ 0 < n$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-inc-0*: $(0::nat) \in \{i. i \leq n\}$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-1*: $\{i. i \leq Suc\ 0\} = \{0, Suc\ 0\}$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-1-1*: $(k \leq Suc\ 0) = (k = 0 \vee k = Suc\ 0)$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-2*: $\{i, j\} = \{j, i\}$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-nonempty*: $\{i. i \leq (n::nat)\} \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-le*: $x \in \{i. i \leq n\} \implies x \leq n$
<proof>

lemma *n-in-Nsetn*: $(n::nat) \in \{i. i \leq n\}$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-pre*: $\llbracket (x::nat) \in \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\}; x \neq Suc\ n \rrbracket \implies x \in \{i. i \leq n\}$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-pre1*: $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\} - \{Suc\ n\} = \{i. i \leq n\}$
<proof>

lemma *le-Suc-mem-Nsetn*: $x \leq Suc\ n \implies x - Suc\ 0 \in \{i. i \leq n\}$
<proof>

lemma *le-Suc-diff-le*: $x \leq Suc\ n \implies x - Suc\ 0 \leq n$

<proof>

lemma *Nset-not-pre*: $\llbracket x \notin \{i. i \leq n\}; x \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rrbracket \implies x = \text{Suc } n$
<proof>

lemma *mem-of-Nset*: $x \leq (n::\text{nat}) \implies x \in \{i. i \leq n\}$
<proof>

lemma *less-mem-of-Nset*: $x < (n::\text{nat}) \implies x \in \{i. i \leq n\}$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-nset*: $\{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m))\} = \{i. i \leq n\} \cup$
 $\text{nset } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{Suc } (n + m))$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-nset-1*: $\llbracket 0 < n; i < n \rrbracket \implies \{j. j \leq n\} = \{j. j \leq i\} \cup$
 $\text{nset } (\text{Suc } i) n$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-img0*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow B; (f (\text{Suc } n)) \in f' \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $f' \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} = f' \{j. j \leq n\}$
<proof>

lemma *Nset-img*: $f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow B \implies$
 $\text{insert } (f (\text{Suc } n)) (f' \{j. j \leq n\}) = f' \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}$
<proof>

primrec *nasc-seq* :: $[\text{nat set}, \text{nat}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{nat}$
where

dec-seq-0: $\text{nasc-seq } A a 0 = a$
dec-seq-Suc: $\text{nasc-seq } A a (\text{Suc } n) =$
 $(\text{SOME } b. ((b \in A) \wedge (\text{nasc-seq } A a n) < b))$

lemma *nasc-seq-mem*: $\llbracket (a::\text{nat}) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{nasc-seq } A a n) \in A$
<proof>

lemma *nasc-seqn*: $\llbracket (a::\text{nat}) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{nasc-seq } A a n) < (\text{nasc-seq } A a (\text{Suc } n))$
<proof>

lemma *nasc-seqn1*: $\llbracket (a::\text{nat}) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Suc } (\text{nasc-seq } A a n) \leq (\text{nasc-seq } A a (\text{Suc } n))$
<proof>

lemma *ubs-ex-n-maxTr*: $\llbracket (a::\text{nat}) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (a + n) \leq (\text{nasc-seq } A a n)$
<proof>

lemma *ubs-ex-n-max*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists! m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

n-max :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

n-max *A* = (*THE* *m. m* \in *A* \wedge ($\forall x \in A. x \leq m$))

lemma *n-max*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\}; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(n\text{-max } A) \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq (n\text{-max } A))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *n-max-eq-sets*: $\llbracket A = B; A \neq \{\}; \exists n. A \subseteq \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $n\text{-max } A = n\text{-max } B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-mem*: $l \in \{i. i \leq n\} \implies (skip\ i\ l) \in \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-fun*: $(skip\ i) \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr0*: $x \in \{i. i \leq n\} \implies skip\ 0\ x = Suc\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr0-1*: $0 < y \implies skip\ 0\ (y - Suc\ 0) = y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr1*: $\llbracket i \in \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\}; 0 < i; x \leq i - Suc\ 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $skip\ i\ x = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr1-1*: $\llbracket i \in \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\}; 0 < i; x < i \rrbracket \implies$

$skip\ i\ x = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr1-2*: $\llbracket i \leq (Suc\ n); x < i \rrbracket \implies skip\ i\ x = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr2*: $\llbracket 0 < i; i \in \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\}; i \leq x \rrbracket \implies$

$skip\ i\ x = Suc\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr2-1*: $\llbracket i \in \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\}; i \leq x \rrbracket \implies$

$skip\ i\ x = Suc\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr3*: $x \in \{i. i \leq n\} \implies skip\ (Suc\ n)\ x = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr4*: $\llbracket x \leq \text{Suc } n; 0 < x \rrbracket \implies x - \text{Suc } 0 \leq n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-fun-im*: $i \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \implies$
 $(\text{skip } i) \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\} = (\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-fun-im1*: $\llbracket i \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; x \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{skip } i) \text{ ' } x \in (\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-id*: $l < i \implies \text{skip } i \text{ ' } l = l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Suc-neq*: $\llbracket 0 < i; i - \text{Suc } 0 < l \rrbracket \implies i \neq \text{Suc } l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-il-neq-i*: $\text{skip } i \text{ ' } l \neq i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-inj*: $\llbracket i \in \{k. k \leq n\}; j \in \{k. k \leq n\}; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{skip } k \text{ ' } i \neq \text{skip } k \text{ ' } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-imp-add-int*: $i \leq (j::\text{nat}) \implies \exists k. j = i + k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *jointfun-hom0*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow B \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{jointfun } n \text{ ' } f \text{ ' } m \text{ ' } g) \in \{l. l \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m))\} \rightarrow (A \cup B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *jointfun-mem*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (n::\text{nat}). f \text{ ' } j \in A; \forall j \leq m. g \text{ ' } j \in B;$
 $l \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m)) \rrbracket \implies (\text{jointfun } n \text{ ' } f \text{ ' } m \text{ ' } g) \text{ ' } l \in (A \cup B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *jointfun-inj*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\};$
 $b \notin f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{jointfun } n \text{ ' } f \text{ ' } 0 \text{ ' } (\lambda k \in \{0::\text{nat}\}. b)) \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *slide-hom*: $i \leq j \implies (\text{slide } i) \in \{l. l \leq (j - i)\} \rightarrow \text{nset } i \text{ ' } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *slide-mem*: $\llbracket i \leq j; l \in \{k. k \leq (j - i)\} \rrbracket \implies \text{slide } i \text{ ' } l \in \text{nset } i \text{ ' } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *slide-iM*: $(\text{slide } i) \text{ ' } \{l. 0 \leq l\} = \{k. i \leq k\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *jointfun-hom*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow B \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m))\} \rightarrow A \cup B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *im-jointfunTr1*: $(\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \ ' \ \{i. i \leq n\} = f \ ' \ \{i. i \leq n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *im-jointfunTr2*: $(\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \ ' \ (\text{nset } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Suc } (n + m))) =$
 $g \ ' \ (\{j. j \leq m\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *im-jointfun*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow B \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \ ' \ (\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m))\}) =$
 $f \ ' \ \{j. j \leq n\} \cup g \ ' \ \{j. j \leq m\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *im-jointfun1*: $(\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \ ' \ (\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m))\}) =$
 $f \ ' \ \{j. j \leq n\} \cup g \ ' \ \{j. j \leq m\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *jointfun-surj*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; \text{surj-to } f \ \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \ A;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } g \ \{j. j \leq m\} \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{surj-to } (\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \ (A \cup B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-un*: $\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} = \{j. j \leq n\} \cup \{\text{Suc } n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nsetn-sub*: $\{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-pre-sub*: $(0::\text{nat}) < k \implies \{j. j \leq (k - \text{Suc } 0)\} \subseteq \{j. j \leq k\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-pre-un*: $(0::\text{nat}) < k \implies \{j. j \leq k\} = \{j. j \leq (k - \text{Suc } 0)\} \cup \{k\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nsetn-sub-mem*: $l \in \{j. j \leq n\} \implies l \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nsetn-sub-mem1*: $\forall j. j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \longrightarrow j \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-Suc*: $\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} = \text{insert } (\text{Suc } n) \ \{j. j \leq n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nsetnm-sub-mem*: $\forall j. j \in \text{nset } n \ (n + m) \longrightarrow j \in \text{nset } n \ (\text{Suc } (n + m))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Nset-0:\{j. j \leq (0::nat)\} = \{0\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Nset-Suc0:\{i. i \leq (Suc\ 0)\} = \{0, Suc\ 0\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Nset-Suc-Suc:Suc\ (Suc\ 0) \leq n \implies$

$\{j. j \leq (n - Suc\ (Suc\ 0))\} = \{j. j \leq n\} - \{n - Suc\ 0, n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $func-pre:f \in \{j. j \leq (Suc\ n)\} \rightarrow A \implies f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $image-Nset-Suc:f\ ' (\{j. j \leq (Suc\ n)\}) =$

$insert\ (f\ (Suc\ n))\ (f\ ' \{j. j \leq n\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$Nleast :: nat\ set \Rightarrow nat\ \mathbf{where}$

$Nleast\ A = (THE\ a. (a \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. a \leq x)))$

definition

$Nlb :: [nat\ set, nat] \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$

$Nlb\ A\ n \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. n \leq a)$

primrec $ndec-seq :: [nat\ set, nat, nat] \Rightarrow nat\ \mathbf{where}$

$ndec-seq-0 : ndec-seq\ A\ a\ 0 = a$

$| ndec-seq-Suc: ndec-seq\ A\ a\ (Suc\ n) =$

$(SOME\ b. ((b \in A) \wedge b < (ndec-seq\ A\ a\ n)))$

lemma $ndec-seq-mem:\llbracket a \in (A::nat\ set); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$

$(ndec-seq\ A\ a\ n) \in A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ndec-seqn:\llbracket a \in (A::nat\ set); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$

$(ndec-seq\ A\ a\ (Suc\ n)) < (ndec-seq\ A\ a\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ndec-seqn1:\llbracket a \in (A::nat\ set); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$

$(ndec-seq\ A\ a\ (Suc\ n)) \leq (ndec-seq\ A\ a\ n) - 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ex-NleastTr:\llbracket a \in (A::nat\ set); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$

$(ndec-seq\ A\ a\ n) \leq (a - n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-le*: $((a::nat) - (a + 1)) \leq 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ex-Nleast*: $(A::nat\ set) \neq \{\}$ $\implies \exists!m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nleast*: $(A::nat\ set) \neq \{\}$ $\implies Nleast\ A \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. (Nleast\ A) \leq x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

1.5.1 Lemmas for existence of reduced chain.

lemma *jointgd-tool1*: $0 < i \implies 0 \leq i - Suc\ 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *jointgd-tool2*: $0 < i \implies i = Suc\ (i - Suc\ 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *jointgd-tool3*: $\llbracket 0 < i; i \leq m \rrbracket \implies i - Suc\ 0 \leq (m - Suc\ 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *jointgd-tool4*: $n < i \implies i - n = Suc\ (i - Suc\ n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pos-prec-less*: $0 < i \implies i - Suc\ 0 < i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Un-less-Un*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq (Suc\ n)\} \rightarrow (X::'a\ set\ set);$
 $A \subseteq \bigcup (f \text{ ‘ } \{j. j \leq (Suc\ n)\});$
 $i \in \{j. j \leq (Suc\ n)\}; j \in \{l. l \leq (Suc\ n)\}; i \neq j \wedge f\ i \subseteq f\ j \rrbracket$
 $\implies A \subseteq \bigcup (compose\ \{j. j \leq n\}\ f\ (skip\ i) \text{ ‘ } \{j. j \leq n\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

1.6 Lower bounded set of integers

definition *Zset* = $\{x. \exists (n::int). x = n\}$

definition

Zleast :: $int\ set \Rightarrow int$ **where**
Zleast $A = (THE\ a. (a \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. a \leq x)))$

definition

LB :: $[int\ set, int] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
LB $A\ n = (\forall a \in A. n \leq a)$

lemma *linorder-linear1*: $(m::int) < n \vee n \leq m$
 ⟨proof⟩

primrec *dec-seq* :: $[int\ set, int, nat] \Rightarrow int$
where

dec-seq-0: $dec\text{-seq } A \ a \ 0 = a$
| *dec-seq-Suc*: $dec\text{-seq } A \ a \ (Suc \ n) = (SOME \ b. ((b \in A) \wedge b < (dec\text{-seq } A \ a \ n)))$

lemma *dec-seq-mem*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq Zset; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(dec\text{-seq } A \ a \ n) \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dec-seqn*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq Zset; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(dec\text{-seq } A \ a \ (Suc \ n)) < (dec\text{-seq } A \ a \ n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dec-seqn1*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq Zset; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(dec\text{-seq } A \ a \ (Suc \ n)) \leq (dec\text{-seq } A \ a \ n) - 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lbs-ex-ZleastTr*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq Zset; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(dec\text{-seq } A \ a \ n) \leq (a - int(n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *big-int-less*: $a - int(nat(abs(a) + abs(N) + 1)) < N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lbs-ex-Zleast*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq Zset; LB \ A \ n \rrbracket \implies \exists ! m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Zleast*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq Zset; LB \ A \ n \rrbracket \implies Zleast \ A \in A \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in A. (Zleast \ A) \leq x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-convert1*: $\llbracket a = c; a < b \rrbracket \implies c < b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-convert2*: $\llbracket a = b; b < c \rrbracket \implies a < c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.7 Augmented integer: integer and $\infty - \infty$

definition

zag :: $(int * int)$ set **where**
 $zag = \{(x,y) \mid x \ y. x * y = (0::int) \wedge (y = -1 \vee y = 0 \vee y = 1)\}$

definition

zag-pl:: $(int * int), (int * int) \Rightarrow (int * int)$ **where**
 $zag\text{-}pl \ x \ y ==$ if $(snd \ x + snd \ y) = 2$ then $(0, 1)$
else if $(snd \ x + snd \ y) = 1$ then $(0, 1)$
else if $(snd \ x + snd \ y) = 0$ then $(fst \ x + fst \ y, 0)$
else if $(snd \ x + snd \ y) = -1$ then $(0, -1)$
else if $(snd \ x + snd \ y) = -2$ then $(0, -1)$ else undefined

definition

$zag-t :: [(int * int), (int * int)] \Rightarrow (int * int)$ **where**
 $zag-t\ x\ y = (if\ (snd\ x)*(snd\ y) = 0\ then$
 $\quad (if\ 0 < (fst\ x)*(snd\ y) + (snd\ x)*(fst\ y)\ then\ (0,1)$
 $\quad\quad else\ (if\ (fst\ x)*(snd\ y) + (snd\ x)*(fst\ y) = 0$
 $\quad\quad\quad then\ ((fst\ x)*(fst\ y),\ 0)\ else\ (0,\ -1)))$
 $\quad else\ (if\ 0 < (snd\ x)*(snd\ y)\ then\ (0,\ 1)\ else\ (0,\ -1)))$

definition $Ainteg = zag$

typedef $ant = Ainteg$

morphisms $Rep-Ainteg\ Abs-Ainteg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$ant :: int \Rightarrow ant$ **where**
 $ant\ m = Abs-Ainteg\ (m,\ 0)$

definition

$tna :: ant \Rightarrow int$ **where**
 $tna\ z = (if\ Rep-Ainteg(z) \neq (0,1) \wedge Rep-Ainteg(z) \neq (0,-1)\ then$
 $\quad fst\ (Rep-Ainteg(z))\ else\ undefined)$

instantiation $ant :: \{zero,\ one,\ plus,\ uminus,\ minus,\ times,\ ord\}$
begin

definition

$Zero-ant-def : 0 == ant\ 0$

definition

$One-ant-def : 1 == ant\ 1$

definition

$add-ant-def:$
 $z + w ==$
 $\quad Abs-Ainteg\ (zag-pl\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z)\ (Rep-Ainteg\ w))$

definition

$minus-ant-def : - z ==$
 $\quad Abs-Ainteg((- (fst\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z)), - (snd\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z))))$

definition

$diff-ant-def: z - (w::ant) == z + (-w)$

definition

$mult-ant-def:$
 $z * w ==$
 $\quad Abs-Ainteg\ (zag-t\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z)\ (Rep-Ainteg\ w))$

definition*le-ant-def:*

$$(z::ant) \leq w == \text{if } (\text{snd } (\text{Rep-Ainteg } w)) = 1 \text{ then True}$$

$$\text{else } (\text{if } (\text{snd } (\text{Rep-Ainteg } w)) = 0 \text{ then } (\text{if } (\text{snd } (\text{Rep-Ainteg } z)) = 1$$

$$\text{then False else } (\text{if } (\text{snd } (\text{Rep-Ainteg } z)) = 0 \text{ then}$$

$$(\text{fst } (\text{Rep-Ainteg } z)) \leq (\text{fst } (\text{Rep-Ainteg } w)) \text{ else True}))$$

$$\text{else } (\text{if } \text{snd } (\text{Rep-Ainteg } z) = -1 \text{ then True else False}))$$
definition

$$\text{less-ant-def: } ((z::ant) < (w::ant)) == (z \leq w \wedge z \neq w)$$
instance $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **end****definition**

$$\text{inf-ant} :: \text{ant } (\infty) \text{ where}$$

$$\infty = \text{Abs-Ainteg}((0,1))$$
definition

$$\text{an} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{ant} \text{ where}$$

$$\text{an } m = \text{ant } (\text{int } m)$$
definition

$$\text{na} :: \text{ant} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \text{ where}$$

$$\text{na } x = (\text{if } (x < 0) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$$

$$\text{if } x \neq \infty \text{ then } (\text{nat } (\text{tna } x)) \text{ else undefined})$$
definition

$$\text{UBset} :: \text{ant} \Rightarrow \text{ant set} \text{ where}$$

$$\text{UBset } z = \{(x::ant). x \leq z\}$$
definition

$$\text{LBset} :: \text{ant} \Rightarrow \text{ant set} \text{ where}$$

$$\text{LBset } z = \{(x::ant). z \leq x\}$$
lemma *ant-z-in-Ainteg*: $(z::\text{int}, 0) \in \text{Ainteg}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma** *ant-inf-in-Ainteg*: $((0::\text{int}), 1) \in \text{Ainteg}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma** *ant-minf-in-Ainteg*: $((0::\text{int}), -1) \in \text{Ainteg}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ **lemma** *ant-0-in-Ainteg*: $((0::\text{int}), 0) \in \text{Ainteg}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *an-0[simp]*: $an\ 0 = 0$
<proof>

lemma *an-1[simp]*: $an\ 1 = 1$
<proof>

lemma *mem-ant*: $(a::ant) = -\infty \vee (\exists(z::int). a = ant\ z) \vee a = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *minf*: $-\infty = Abs-Ainteg((0, -1))$
<proof>

lemma *z-neq-inf[simp]*: $(ant\ z) \neq \infty$
<proof>

lemma *z-neq-minf[simp]*: $(ant\ z) \neq -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *minf-neq-inf[simp]*: $-\infty \neq \infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-ipi[simp]*: $\infty + \infty = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-zpi[simp]*: $(ant\ z) + \infty = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-ipz[simp]*: $\infty + (ant\ z) = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-zpz*: $(ant\ m) + (ant\ n) = ant\ (m + n)$
<proof>

lemma *a-mpi[simp]*: $-\infty + \infty = 0$
<proof>

lemma *a-ipm[simp]*: $\infty + (-\infty) = 0$
<proof>

lemma *a-mpm[simp]*: $-\infty + (-\infty) = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-mpz[simp]*: $-\infty + (ant\ m) = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-zpm[simp]*: $(ant\ m) + (-\infty) = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-mdi[simp]*: $-\infty - \infty = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-zdz*: $(ant\ m) - (ant\ n) = ant\ (m - n)$
<proof>

lemma *a-i-i[simp]*: $\infty * \infty = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-0-i[simp]*: $0 * \infty = 0$
<proof>

lemma *a-i-0[simp]*: $\infty * 0 = 0$
<proof>

lemma *a-0-m[simp]*: $0 * (-\infty) = 0$
<proof>

lemma *a-m-0[simp]*: $(-\infty) * 0 = 0$
<proof>

lemma *a-m-i[simp]*: $(-\infty) * \infty = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-i-m[simp]*: $\infty * (-\infty) = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-pos-i[simp]*: $0 < m \implies (ant\ m) * \infty = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-i-pos[simp]*: $0 < m \implies \infty * (ant\ m) = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-neg-i[simp]*: $m < 0 \implies (ant\ m) * \infty = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-i-neg[simp]*: $m < 0 \implies \infty * (ant\ m) = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-z-z*: $(ant\ m) * (ant\ n) = ant\ (m*n)$
<proof>

lemma *a-pos-m[simp]*: $0 < m \implies (ant\ m) * (-\infty) = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-m-pos[simp]*: $0 < m \implies (-\infty) * (ant\ m) = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-neg-m[simp]*: $m < 0 \implies (\text{ant } m) * (-\infty) = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *neg-a-m[simp]*: $m < 0 \implies (-\infty) * (\text{ant } m) = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-m-m[simp]*: $(-\infty) * (-\infty) = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *inj-on-Abs-Ainteg*: *inj-on Abs-Ainteg Ainteg*
<proof>

lemma *an-Suc*: $\text{an } (\text{Suc } n) = \text{an } n + 1$
<proof>

lemma *aeq-zeq [iff]*: $(\text{ant } m = \text{ant } n) = (m = n)$
<proof>

lemma *aminus*: $-\text{ant } m = \text{ant } (-m)$
<proof>

lemma *aminusZero*: $-\text{ant } 0 = \text{ant } 0$
<proof>

lemma *ant-0*: $\text{ant } 0 = (0::\text{ant})$
<proof>

lemma *inf-neq-0[simp]*: $\infty \neq 0$
<proof>

lemma *zero-neq-inf[simp]*: $0 \neq \infty$
<proof>

lemma *minf-neq-0[simp]*: $-\infty \neq 0$
<proof>

lemma *zero-neq-minf[simp]*: $0 \neq -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *a-minus-zero[simp]*: $-(0::\text{ant}) = 0$
<proof>

lemma *a-minus-minus*: $-(-z) = (z::\text{ant})$
<proof>

lemma *aminus-0*: $-(-0) = (0::\text{ant})$
<proof>

lemma *a-a-z-0*: $\llbracket 0 < z; a * \text{ant } z = 0 \rrbracket \implies a = 0$
<proof>

lemma *adiv-eq*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; a * (\text{ant } z) = b * (\text{ant } z) \rrbracket \implies a = b$
<proof>

lemma *aminus-add-distrib*: $-(z + w) = (-z) + (-w::\text{ant})$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-commute*: $(x::\text{ant}) + y = y + x$
<proof>

definition
aug-inf :: *ant set* (Z_∞) **where**
 $Z_\infty = \{(z::\text{ant}). z \neq -\infty\}$

definition
aug-minf :: *ant set* ($Z_{-\infty}$) **where**
 $Z_{-\infty} = \{(z::\text{ant}). z \neq \infty\}$

lemma *z-in-aug-inf*: $\text{ant } z \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *Zero-in-aug-inf*: $0 \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *z-in-aug-minf*: $\text{ant } z \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *mem-aug-minf*: $a \in Z_{-\infty} \implies a = -\infty \vee (\exists z. a = \text{ant } z)$
<proof>

lemma *minus-an-in-aug-minf*: $- \text{an } n \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *Zero-in-aug-minf*: $0 \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-assoc-i*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; y \in Z_\infty; z \in Z_\infty \rrbracket \implies (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-assoc-m*: $\llbracket x \in Z_{-\infty}; y \in Z_{-\infty}; z \in Z_{-\infty} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-0-r*: $x + (0::\text{ant}) = x$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-0-l*: $(0::ant) + x = x$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-minus-inv*: $(- x) + x = (0::ant)$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-minus-r*: $x + (- x) = (0::ant)$
<proof>

lemma *ant-minus-inj*: $ant z \neq ant w \implies - ant z \neq - ant w$
<proof>

lemma *aminus-mult-minus*: $(- (ant z)) * (ant w) = - ((ant z) * (ant w))$
<proof>

lemma *amult-commute*: $(x::ant) * y = y * x$
<proof>

lemma *z-le-i[simp]*: $(ant x) \leq \infty$
<proof>

lemma *z-less-i[simp]*: $(ant x) < \infty$
<proof>

lemma *m-le-z*: $-\infty \leq (ant x)$
<proof>

lemma *m-less-z[simp]*: $-\infty < (ant x)$
<proof>

lemma *noninf-mem-Z*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; x \neq \infty \rrbracket \implies \exists (z::int). x = ant z$
<proof>

lemma *z-mem-Z*: $ant z \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *inf-ge-any[simp]*: $x \leq \infty$
<proof>

lemma *zero-lt-inf*: $0 < \infty$
<proof>

lemma *minf-le-any[simp]*: $-\infty \leq x$
<proof>

lemma *minf-less-0*: $-\infty < 0$
<proof>

lemma *ale-antisym[simp]*: $\llbracket (x::ant) \leq y; y \leq x \rrbracket \implies x = y$

<proof>

lemma *x-gt-inf[simp]*: $\infty \leq x \implies x = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *Zinf-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; y \in Z_\infty \rrbracket \implies x + y \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *Zminf-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket x \in Z_{-\infty}; y \in Z_{-\infty} \rrbracket \implies x + y \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *amult-distrib1*: $(ant\ z) \neq 0 \implies$
 $(a + b) * (ant\ z) = a * (ant\ z) + b * (ant\ z)$
<proof>

lemma *amult-0-r*: $(ant\ z) * 0 = 0$
<proof>

lemma *amult-0-l*: $0 * (ant\ z) = 0$
<proof>

definition

asprod :: $[int, ant] \Rightarrow ant$ (**infixl** $*_a$ 200) **where**
 $m *_a x ==$
if $x = \infty$ *then* (*if* $0 < m$ *then* ∞ *else* (*if* $m < 0$ *then* $-\infty$ *else*
if $m = 0$ *then* 0 *else* *undefined*))
else (*if* $x = -\infty$ *then*
if $0 < m$ *then* $-\infty$ *else* (*if* $m < 0$ *then* ∞ *else*
if $m = 0$ *then* 0 *else* *undefined*))
else $(ant\ m) * x$

lemma *asprod-pos-inf[simp]*: $0 < m \implies m *_a \infty = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *asprod-neg-inf[simp]*: $m < 0 \implies m *_a \infty = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma *asprod-pos-minf[simp]*: $0 < m \implies m *_a (-\infty) = (-\infty)$
<proof>

lemma *asprod-neg-minf[simp]*: $m < 0 \implies m *_a (-\infty) = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *asprod-mult*: $m *_a (ant\ n) = ant(m * n)$
<proof>

lemma *asprod-1-1*: $1 *_a x = x$
<proof>

lemma *agsprod-assoc-a*: $m *_a (n *_a (\text{ant } x)) = (m * n) *_a (\text{ant } x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *agsprod-assoc*: $\llbracket m \neq 0; n \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies m *_a (n *_a x) = (m * n) *_a x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *asprod-distrib1*: $m \neq 0 \implies m *_a (x + y) = (m *_a x) + (m *_a y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *asprod-0-x[simp]*: $0 *_a x = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *asprod-n-0*: $n *_a 0 = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *asprod-distrib2*: $\llbracket 0 < i; 0 < j \rrbracket \implies (i + j) *_a x = (i *_a x) + (j *_a x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *asprod-minus*: $x \neq -\infty \wedge x \neq \infty \implies -z *_a x = z *_a (-x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *asprod-div-eq*: $\llbracket n \neq 0; n *_a x = n *_a y \rrbracket \implies x = y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *asprod-0*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; z *_a x = 0 \rrbracket \implies x = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *asp-z-Z*: $z *_a \text{ant } x \in Z_\infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tna-ant*: $\text{tna } (\text{ant } z) = z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ant-tna*: $x \neq \infty \wedge x \neq -\infty \implies \text{ant } (\text{tna } x) = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ant-sol*: $\llbracket a \in Z_\infty; b \in Z_\infty; c \in Z_\infty; b \neq \infty; a = b + c \rrbracket \implies a - b = c$
 ⟨proof⟩

1.7.1 Ordering of integers and ordering nats

1.7.2 The \leq Ordering

lemma *zneq-aneq*: $(n \neq m) = ((\text{ant } n) \neq (\text{ant } m))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ale*: $(n \leq m) = ((\text{ant } n) \leq (\text{ant } m))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ales*: $(n < m) = ((\text{ant } n) < (\text{ant } m))$
<proof>

lemma *ale-reft*: $w \leq (w::\text{ant})$
<proof>

lemma *aeq-ale*: $(a::\text{ant}) = b \implies a \leq b$
<proof>

lemma *ale-trans*: $\llbracket (i::\text{ant}) \leq j; j \leq k \rrbracket \implies i \leq k$
<proof>

lemma *ales-le-not-le*: $((w::\text{ant}) < z) = (w \leq z \wedge \neg z \leq w)$
<proof>

instance *ant* :: *order*
<proof>

lemma *ale-linear*: $(z::\text{ant}) \leq w \vee w \leq z$
<proof>

instance *ant* :: *linorder*
<proof>

lemmas *ales-linear* = *less-linear* [**where** 'a = *ant*]

lemma *ant-eq-0-conv* [*simp*]: $(\text{ant } n = 0) = (n = 0)$
<proof>

lemma *ales-zless*: $(\text{ant } m < \text{ant } n) = (m < n)$
<proof>

lemma *a0-less-int-conv* [*simp*]: $(0 < \text{ant } n) = (0 < n)$
<proof>

lemma *a0-less-1*: $0 < (1::\text{ant})$
<proof>

lemma *a0-neq-1* [*simp*]: $0 \neq (1::\text{ant})$
<proof>

lemma *ale-zle* [*simp*]: $((\text{ant } i) \leq (\text{ant } j)) = (i \leq j)$
<proof>

lemma *ant-1* [*simp*]: $\text{ant } 1 = 1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zpos-apos*: $(0 \leq n) = (0 \leq (ant\ n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zpos-apos*: $(0 < n) = (0 < (ant\ n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *an-nat-pos[simp]*: $0 \leq an\ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *amult-one-l*: $1 * (x::ant) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *amult-one-r*: $(x::ant)* 1 = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *amult-eq-eq-r*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; a * ant\ z = b * ant\ z \rrbracket \implies a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *amult-eq-eq-l*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; (ant\ z) * a = (ant\ z) * b \rrbracket \implies a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *amult-pos*: $\llbracket 0 < b; 0 \leq x \rrbracket \implies x \leq (b *_a x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asprod-amult*: $0 < z \implies z *_a x = (ant\ z) * x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *amult-pos1*: $\llbracket 0 < b; 0 \leq x \rrbracket \implies x \leq ((ant\ b) * x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *amult-pos-mono-l*: $0 < w \implies (((ant\ w) * x) \leq ((ant\ w) * y)) = (x \leq y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *amult-pos-mono-r*: $0 < w \implies ((x * (ant\ w)) \leq (y * (ant\ w))) = (x \leq y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apos-neq-minf*: $0 \leq a \implies a \neq -\infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asprod-pos-mono*: $0 < w \implies ((w *_a x) \leq (w *_a y)) = (x \leq y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *a-inv*: $(a::ant) + b = 0 \implies a = -b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asprod-pos-pos*: $0 \leq x \implies 0 \leq int\ n *_a x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asprod-1-x[simp]*: $1 *_{\mathbf{a}} x = x$

<proof>

lemma *asprod-n-1[simp]*: $n *_{\mathbf{a}} 1 = \mathit{ant} \ n$

<proof>

1.7.3 Aug ordering

lemma *alless-imp-le*: $x < (y::\mathit{ant}) \implies x \leq y$

<proof>

lemma *gt-a0-ge-1*: $(0::\mathit{ant}) < x \implies 1 \leq x$

<proof>

lemma *gt-a0-ge-aN*: $\llbracket 0 < x; N \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies (\mathit{ant} \ (\mathit{int} \ N)) \leq (\mathit{int} \ N) *_{\mathbf{a}} x$

<proof>

lemma *alless-le-trans*: $\llbracket (x::\mathit{ant}) < y; y \leq z \rrbracket \implies x < z$

<proof>

lemma *ale-less-trans*: $\llbracket (x::\mathit{ant}) \leq y; y < z \rrbracket \implies x < z$

<proof>

lemma *alless-trans*: $\llbracket (x::\mathit{ant}) < y; y < z \rrbracket \implies x < z$

<proof>

lemma *ale-neq-less*: $\llbracket (x::\mathit{ant}) \leq y; x \neq y \rrbracket \implies x < y$

<proof>

lemma *aneg-le*: $(\neg (x::\mathit{ant}) \leq y) = (y < x)$

<proof>

lemma *aneg-less*: $(\neg x < (y::\mathit{ant})) = (y \leq x)$

<proof>

lemma *aadd-le-mono*: $x \leq (y::\mathit{ant}) \implies x + z \leq y + z$

<proof>

lemma *aadd-less-mono-z*: $(x::\mathit{ant}) < y \implies (x + (\mathit{ant} \ z)) < (y + (\mathit{ant} \ z))$

<proof>

lemma *alless-le-suc[simp]*: $(a::\mathit{ant}) < b \implies a + 1 \leq b$

<proof>

lemma *aposs-le-1*: $(0::\mathit{ant}) < x \implies 1 \leq x$

<proof>

lemma *pos-in-aug-inf*: $(0::\mathit{ant}) \leq x \implies x \in Z_{\infty}$

<proof>

lemma *aug-inf-noninf-is-z*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; x \neq \infty \rrbracket \implies \exists z. x = \text{ant } z$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-two-pos*: $\llbracket 0 \leq (x::\text{ant}); 0 \leq y \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq x + y$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-pos-poss*: $\llbracket (0::\text{ant}) \leq x; 0 < y \rrbracket \implies 0 < (x + y)$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-poss-pos*: $\llbracket (0::\text{ant}) < x; 0 \leq y \rrbracket \implies 0 < (x + y)$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-pos-le*: $0 \leq (a::\text{ant}) \implies b \leq a + b$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-poss-less*: $\llbracket b \neq \infty; b \neq -\infty; 0 < a \rrbracket \implies b < a + b$
<proof>

lemma *ale-neg*: $(0::\text{ant}) \leq x \implies (-x) \leq 0$
<proof>

lemma *ale-diff-pos*: $(x::\text{ant}) \leq y \implies 0 \leq (y - x)$
<proof>

lemma *ales-diff-poss*: $(x::\text{ant}) < y \implies 0 < (y - x)$
<proof>

lemma *ale-minus*: $(x::\text{ant}) \leq y \implies -y \leq -x$
<proof>

lemma *ales-minus*: $(x::\text{ant}) < y \implies -y < -x$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-minus-le*: $(a::\text{ant}) \leq 0 \implies a + b \leq b$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-minus-less*: $\llbracket b \neq -\infty \wedge b \neq \infty; (a::\text{ant}) < 0 \rrbracket \implies a + b < b$
<proof>

lemma *an-inj*: $\text{an } n = \text{an } m \implies n = m$
<proof>

lemma *nat-eq-an-eq*: $n = m \implies \text{an } n = \text{an } m$
<proof>

lemma *aneq-natneq*: $(\text{an } n \neq \text{an } m) = (n \neq m)$
<proof>

lemma *ale-natle*: $(an\ n \leq an\ m) = (n \leq m)$
<proof>

lemma *ales-natless*: $(an\ n < an\ m) = (n < m)$
<proof>

lemma *na-an:na* $(an\ n) = n$
<proof>

lemma *asprod-ge*:
 $0 < b \implies N \neq 0 \implies an\ N \leq int\ N *_a\ b$
<proof>

lemma *an-npn*: $an\ (n + m) = an\ n + an\ m$
<proof>

lemma *an-ndn*: $n \leq m \implies an\ (m - n) = an\ m - an\ n$
<proof>

1.8 Amin, amax

definition

amin :: $[ant, ant] \Rightarrow ant$ **where**
amin $x\ y = (if\ (x \leq y)\ then\ x\ else\ y)$

definition

amax :: $[ant, ant] \Rightarrow ant$ **where**
amax $x\ y = (if\ (x \leq y)\ then\ y\ else\ x)$

primrec *Amin* :: $[nat, nat \Rightarrow ant] \Rightarrow ant$
where

Amin-0 : $Amin\ 0\ f = (f\ 0)$
Amin-Suc : $Amin\ (Suc\ n)\ f = amin\ (Amin\ n\ f)\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

primrec *Amax* :: $[nat, nat \Rightarrow ant] \Rightarrow ant$
where

Amax-0 : $Amax\ 0\ f = f\ 0$
Amax-Suc : $Amax\ (Suc\ n)\ f = amax\ (Amax\ n\ f)\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

lemma *amin-ge*: $x \leq amin\ x\ y \vee y \leq amin\ x\ y$
<proof>

lemma *amin-le-l*: $amin\ x\ y \leq x$
<proof>

lemma *amin-le-r*: $amin\ x\ y \leq y$
<proof>

lemma *amax-le*: $amax\ x\ y \leq x \vee amax\ x\ y \leq y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *amax-le-n*: $[x \leq n; y \leq n] \implies amax\ x\ y \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *amax-ge-l*: $x \leq amax\ x\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *amax-ge-r*: $y \leq amax\ x\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *amin-mem-i*: $[x \in Z_\infty; y \in Z_\infty] \implies amin\ x\ y \in Z_\infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *amax-mem-m*: $[x \in Z_{-\infty}; y \in Z_{-\infty}] \implies amax\ x\ y \in Z_{-\infty}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *amin-commute*: $amin\ x\ y = amin\ y\ x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *amin-mult-pos*: $0 < z \implies amin\ (z * a\ x)\ (z * a\ y) = z * a\ amin\ x\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *amin-amult-pos*: $0 < z \implies$
 $amin\ ((ant\ z) * x)\ ((ant\ z) * y) = (ant\ z) * amin\ x\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *times-amin*: $[0 < a; amin\ (x * (ant\ a))\ (y * (ant\ a)) \leq z * (ant\ a)] \implies$
 $amin\ x\ y \leq z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amin-memTr*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty \longrightarrow Amin\ n\ f \in Z_\infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amin-mem*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty \implies Amin\ n\ f \in Z_\infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amax-memTr*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty} \longrightarrow Amax\ n\ f \in Z_{-\infty}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amax-mem*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty} \implies Amax\ n\ f \in Z_{-\infty}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amin-mem-mem*: $\forall j \leq n. f\ j \in Z_\infty \implies Amin\ n\ f \in Z_\infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amax-mem-mem*: $\forall j \leq n. f\ j \in Z_{-\infty} \implies Amax\ n\ f \in Z_{-\infty}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amin-leTr*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty \longrightarrow (\forall j \in \{i. i \leq n\}. \text{Amin } n f \leq (f j))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amin-le*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty; j \in \{k. k \leq n\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Amin } n f \leq (f j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amax-geTr*: $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty} \longrightarrow (\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (f j) \leq \text{Amax } n f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amax-ge*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty}; j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(f j) \leq (\text{Amax } n f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amin-mem-le*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. (f j) \in Z_\infty; j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(\text{Amin } n f) \leq (f j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amax-mem-le*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. (f j) \in Z_{-\infty}; j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(f j) \leq (\text{Amax } n f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *amin-ge1*: $\llbracket (z :: \text{ant}) \leq x; z \leq y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow z \leq \text{amin } x y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *amin-gt*: $\llbracket (z :: \text{ant}) < x; z < y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow z < \text{amin } x y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amin-ge1Tr*: $(\forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). (f j) \in Z_\infty \wedge z \leq (f j)) \longrightarrow$
 $z \leq (\text{Amin } (\text{Suc } n) f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Amin-ge1*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). f j \in Z_\infty; \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). z \leq (f j) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $z \leq (\text{Amin } (\text{Suc } n) f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *amin-trans1*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; y \in Z_\infty; z \in Z_\infty; z \leq x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{amin } z y \leq \text{amin } x y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *inf-in-aug-inf*: $\infty \in Z_\infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

1.8.1 Maximum element of a set of ants

primrec *aasc-seq* :: $[\text{ant set}, \text{ant}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{ant}$

where

aasc-seq-0 : $\text{aasc-seq } A a 0 = a$

| $aasc\text{-}seq\text{-}Suc : aasc\text{-}seq A a (Suc n) =$
 $(SOME b. ((b \in A) \wedge (aasc\text{-}seq A a n) < b))$

lemma $aasc\text{-}seq\text{-}mem : \llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(aasc\text{-}seq A a n) \in A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $aasc\text{-}seqn : \llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(aasc\text{-}seq A a n) < (aasc\text{-}seq A a (Suc n))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $aasc\text{-}seqn1 : \llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(aasc\text{-}seq A a n) + 1 \leq (aasc\text{-}seq A a (Suc n))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $aubs\text{-}ex\text{-}n\text{-}maxTr : \llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a + an n) \leq (aasc\text{-}seq A a n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $aubs\text{-}ex\text{-}AMax : \llbracket A \subseteq UBset (ant z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \exists! m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x$
 $\leq m)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$AMax :: ant\ set \Rightarrow ant\ \mathbf{where}$
 $AMax A = (THE m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m))$

definition

$AMin :: ant\ set \Rightarrow ant\ \mathbf{where}$
 $AMin A = (THE m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x))$

definition

$rev\text{-}o :: ant \Rightarrow ant\ \mathbf{where}$
 $rev\text{-}o x = - x$

lemma $AMax : \llbracket A \subseteq UBset (ant z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(AMax A) \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq (AMax A))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $AMax\text{-}mem : \llbracket A \subseteq UBset (ant z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (AMax A) \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rev\text{-}map\text{-}nonempty : A \neq \{\} \implies rev\text{-}o ' A \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rev\text{-}map : rev\text{-}o \in LBset (ant (-z)) \rightarrow UBset (ant z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $albs\text{-}ex\text{-}AMin : \llbracket A \subseteq LBset (ant z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \exists! m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m$

$\leq x$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $AMin: [A \subseteq LBset (ant z); A \neq \{\}] \implies$
 $(AMin A) \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. (AMin A) \leq x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $AMin-mem: [A \subseteq LBset (ant z); A \neq \{\}] \implies (AMin A) \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

primrec $ASum :: (nat \Rightarrow ant) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ant$
where

$ASum-0: ASum f 0 = f 0$
 $| ASum-Suc: ASum f (Suc n) = (ASum f n) + (f (Suc n))$

lemma $age-plus: [0 \leq (a::ant); 0 \leq b; a + b \leq c] \implies a \leq c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $age-diff-le: [(a::ant) \leq c; 0 \leq b] \implies a - b \leq c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $adiff-le-adiff: a \leq (a'::ant) \implies a - b \leq a' - b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $aplus-le-aminus: [a \in Z_{-\infty}; b \in Z_{-\infty}; c \in Z_{-\infty}; -b \in Z_{-\infty}] \implies$
 $((a + b) \leq (c::ant)) = (a \leq c - b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.9 Cardinality of sets

cardinality is defined for the finite sets only

lemma $card-eq: A = B \implies card A = card B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card0: card \{\} = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card-nonzero: [finite A; card A \neq 0] \implies A \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $finite1: finite \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card1: card \{a\} = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nonempty-card-pos: [finite A; A \neq \{\}] \implies 0 < card A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nonempty-card-pos1*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } 0 \leq \text{card } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card1-tr0*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } 0; a \in A \rrbracket \implies \{a\} = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card1-tr1*: $(\text{constmap } \{0::\text{nat}\} \{x\}) \in \{0\} \rightarrow \{x\} \wedge$
 $\text{surj-to } (\text{constmap } \{0::\text{nat}\} \{x\}) \{0\} \{x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card1-Tr2*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists f. f \in \{0::\text{nat}\} \rightarrow A \wedge \text{surj-to } f \{0\} A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card2*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; a \in A; b \in A; a \neq b \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) \leq \text{card } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *card2-inc-two*: $\llbracket 0 < (n::\text{nat}); x \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists y \in \{j. j \leq n\}. x \neq y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset2-prep1*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies \exists x. x \in A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ex-least-set*: $\llbracket A = \{H. \text{finite } H \wedge P H\}; H \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists K \in A. (\text{LEAST } j. j \in (\text{card } A)) = \text{card } K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset2-prep2*: $x \in A \implies A - \{x\} \cup \{x\} = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset2-finiteTr*: $\forall A. (\text{finite } A \wedge (\text{card } A = \text{Suc } n) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists f. f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow A \wedge \text{surj-to } f \{i. i \leq n\} A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset2-finite*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists f. f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow A \wedge \text{surj-to } f \{i. i \leq n\} A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset2finite-inj-tr0*: $j \in \{i. i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \implies$
 $\text{card } (\{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}) = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset2finite-inj-tr1*: $\llbracket i \leq (n::\text{nat}); j \leq n; f i = f j; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \text{ ' } (\{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}) = f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}$

<proof>

lemma *Nset2finite-inj*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } n; \text{surj-to } f \{i. i \leq n\} A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\}$

<proof>

definition

$zmax :: [int, int] \Rightarrow int$ **where**
 $zmax \ x \ y = (\text{if } (x \leq y) \text{ then } y \text{ else } x)$

primrec *Zmax* :: $[nat, nat \Rightarrow int] \Rightarrow int$

where

$Zmax\text{-}0 : Zmax \ 0 \ f = f \ 0$
 $| Zmax\text{-}Suc : Zmax \ (\text{Suc } n) \ f = zmax \ (Zmax \ n \ f) \ (f \ (\text{Suc } n))$

lemma *Zmax-memTr*: $f \in \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow (UNIV::int \ \text{set}) \longrightarrow$
 $Zmax \ n \ f \in f \ ' \ \{i. i \leq n\}$

<proof>

lemma *zmax-ge-r*: $y \leq zmax \ x \ y$

<proof>

lemma *zmax-ge-l*: $x \leq zmax \ x \ y$

<proof>

lemma *Zmax-geTr*: $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow (UNIV::int \ \text{set}) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (f \ j) \leq Zmax \ n \ f)$

<proof>

lemma *Zmax-plus1*: $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow (UNIV::int \ \text{set}) \implies$
 $((Zmax \ n \ f) + 1) \notin f \ ' \ \{j. j \leq n\}$

<proof>

lemma *infinite-Univ-int*: $\neg (\text{finite } (UNIV :: int \ \text{set}))$

<proof>

lemma *image-Nsetn-card-pos*: $0 < \text{card } (f \ ' \ \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\})$

<proof>

lemma *card-image-Nsetn-Suc*

$\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow B;$
 $f \ (\text{Suc } n) \notin f \ ' \ \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{card } (f \ ' \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}) - \text{Suc } 0 =$
 $\text{Suc } (\text{card } (f \ ' \ \{j. j \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)$

<proof>

lemma *slide-surj*: $i < (j::nat) \implies$

$\text{surj-to } (\text{slide } i) \ \{l. l \leq (j - i)\} \ (\text{nset } i \ j)$

<proof>

lemma *slide-inj*: $i < j \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{slide } i) \{k. k \leq (j - i)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *card-nset*: $i < (j :: \text{nat}) \implies \text{card } (\text{nset } i j) = \text{Suc } (j - i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sliden-hom*: $i < j \implies (\text{sliden } i) \in \text{nset } i j \rightarrow \{k. k \leq (j - i)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *slide-sliden*: $(\text{sliden } i) (\text{slide } i k) = k$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sliden-surj*: $i < j \implies \text{surj-to } (\text{sliden } i) (\text{nset } i j) \{k. k \leq (j - i)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sliden-inj*: $i < j \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{sliden } i) (\text{nset } i j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

transpos :: $[\text{nat}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat})$ **where**
transpos $i j = (\lambda k. \text{if } k = i \text{ then } j \text{ else if } k = j \text{ then } i \text{ else } k)$

lemma *transpos-id*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; x \in \{k. k \leq n\} - \{i, j\} \rrbracket \implies \text{transpos } i j x = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-id-1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; x \leq n; x \neq i; x \neq j \rrbracket \implies \text{transpos } i j x = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-id-2*: $i \leq n \implies \text{transpos } i n (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-ij-1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies \text{transpos } i j i = j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-ij-2*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies \text{transpos } i j j = i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-hom*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies (\text{transpos } i j) \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-mem*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; l \leq n \rrbracket \implies (\text{transpos } i j l) \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-inj*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{transpos } i \ j) \ \{i. \ i \leq n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transpos-surjec*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{surj-to } (\text{transpos } i \ j) \ \{i. \ i \leq n\} \ \{i. \ i \leq n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-transpos*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall k \leq n. \ (\text{compose } \{i. \ i \leq n\} \ (\text{transpos } i \ j) \ (\text{transpos } i \ j)) \ k = k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comp-transpos-1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; k \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{transpos } i \ j) \ ((\text{transpos } i \ j) \ k) = k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmp-transpos1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; k \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } i \ j) \ (\text{transpos } i \ j)) \ k = k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmp-transpos*: $\llbracket i \leq n; i \neq n; a \leq (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } i \ n) \ (\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } n \ (\text{Suc } n)) \ (\text{transpos } i \ n))) \ a =$
 $\text{transpos } i \ (\text{Suc } n) \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *im-Nset-Suc*: $\text{insert } (f \ (\text{Suc } n)) \ (f \ ' \ \{i. \ i \leq n\}) = f \ ' \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-injTr0*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\};$
 $\text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; \ f \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in \{i. \ i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. \ i \leq n\} \wedge \text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-surj*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. \ i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{i. \ i \leq n\};$
 $\text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rrbracket \implies f \ ' \ \{i. \ i \leq n\} = \{i. \ i \leq n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-pre-mem*: $\llbracket f: \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\};$
 $\text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; \ f \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n; \ k \leq n \rrbracket \implies f \ k \in \{i. \ i \leq n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-injTr1*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). \ f \ l \leq (\text{Suc } n); \ \text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\};$
 $f \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-injTr2*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). \ f \ l \leq (\text{Suc } n); \ \text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\};$
 $f \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies \forall l \leq n. \ f \ l \leq n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *TR-inj-inj*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). f l \leq (\text{Suc } n); \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\};$
 $i \leq (\text{Suc } n); j \leq (\text{Suc } n); i < j \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{compose } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} (\text{transpos } i j) f) \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *enumeration*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq m\}; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies n \leq m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *enumerate-1*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (n::\text{nat}). f j \in A; \forall j \leq (m::\text{nat}). g j \in A;$
 $\text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq m\}; f \{j. j \leq n\} = A;$
 $g \{j. j \leq m\} = A \rrbracket \implies n = m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{ninv} :: [\text{nat}, (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat})] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat})$ **where**
 $\text{ninv } n f = (\lambda y \in \{i. i \leq n\}. (\text{SOME } x. (x \leq n \wedge y = f x)))$

lemma *ninv-hom*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ninv } n f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ninv-r-inv*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\};$
 $b \leq n \rrbracket \implies f (\text{ninv } n f b) = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ninv-inj*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{ninv } n f) \{i. i \leq n\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.9.1 Lemmas required in Algebra6.thy

lemma *ge2-zmult-pos*:

$2 \leq m \implies 0 < z \implies 1 < \text{int } m * z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-pos-mono*: $\llbracket (0::\text{int}) < w; w * z \leq w * z' \rrbracket \implies z \leq z'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-pos-mono-r*:

$\llbracket (0::\text{int}) < w; z * w \leq z' * w \rrbracket \implies z \leq z'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *an-neq-inf*: $\text{an } n \neq \infty$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *an-neq-minf*: $\text{an } n \neq -\infty$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aeq-mult*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; a = b \rrbracket \implies a * \text{ant } z = b * \text{ant } z$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *tna-0[simp]*: $\text{tna } 0 = 0$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *ale-nat-le*: $(\text{an } n \leq \text{an } m) = (n \leq m)$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *alless-nat-less*: $(\text{an } n < \text{an } m) = (n < m)$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *apos-natpos*: $\llbracket a \neq \infty; 0 \leq a \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq \text{na } a$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *apos-tna-pos*: $\llbracket n \neq \infty; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq \text{tna } n$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *apos-na-pos*: $\llbracket n \neq \infty; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq \text{na } n$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *aposs-tna-poss*: $\llbracket n \neq \infty; 0 < n \rrbracket \implies 0 < \text{tna } n$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *aposs-na-poss*: $\llbracket n \neq \infty; 0 < n \rrbracket \implies 0 < \text{na } n$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *nat-0-le*: $0 \leq z \implies \text{int } (\text{nat } z) = z$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *int-eq*: $m = n \implies \text{int } m = \text{int } n$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *box-equation*: $\llbracket a = b; a = c \rrbracket \implies b = c$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *aeq-nat-eq*: $\llbracket n \neq \infty; 0 \leq n; m \neq \infty; 0 \leq m \rrbracket \implies$
 $(n = m) = (\text{na } n = \text{na } m)$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *na-minf*: $\text{na } (-\infty) = 0$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *an-na*: $\llbracket a \neq \infty; 0 \leq a \rrbracket \implies \text{an } (\text{na } a) = a$
\langle proof \rangle

lemma *not-na-le-minf*: $\neg (\text{an } n \leq -\infty)$

<proof>

lemma *not-na-less-minf*: $\neg (an\ n < -\infty)$
<proof>

lemma *not-na-ge-inf*: $\neg \infty \leq (an\ n)$
<proof>

lemma *an-na-le*: $j \leq an\ n \implies na\ j \leq n$
<proof>

lemma *alless-neq*: $(x::ant) < y \implies x \neq y$
<proof>

Chapter 2

Ordered Set

2.1 Basic Concepts of Ordered Sets

record 'a carrier =
 carrier :: 'a set

record 'a Order = 'a carrier +
 rel :: ('a × 'a) set

locale Order =
 fixes D (**structure**)
 assumes closed: rel D ⊆ carrier D × carrier D
 and refl: a ∈ carrier D ⇒ (a, a) ∈ rel D
 and antisym: [[a ∈ carrier D; b ∈ carrier D; (a, b) ∈ rel D;
 (b, a) ∈ rel D]] ⇒ a = b
 and trans: [[a ∈ carrier D; b ∈ carrier D; c ∈ carrier D;
 (a, b) ∈ rel D; (b, c) ∈ rel D]] ⇒ (a, c) ∈ rel D

definition
 ole :: - ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (**infix** ≤₁ 60) **where**
 a ≤_D b ↔ (a, b) ∈ rel D

definition
 oles :: - ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (**infix** <₁ 60) **where**
 a <_D b ≡ a ≤_D b ∧ a ≠ b

lemma Order-component:(E::'a Order) = (| carrier = carrier E, rel = rel E |)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Order-comp-eq:[[carrier (E::'a Order) = carrier (F::'a Order);
 rel E = rel F]] ⇒ E = F
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *le-rel*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \preceq b) = ((a, b) \in \text{rel } D)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-imp-le*:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies a \preceq b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-refl*: $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies a \preceq a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-antisym*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \preceq b; b \preceq a \rrbracket \implies a = b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-trans*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \preceq b; b \preceq c \rrbracket \implies a \preceq c$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-trans*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \prec b; b \prec c \rrbracket \implies a \prec c$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-less-trans*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \preceq b; b \prec c \rrbracket \implies a \prec c$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-le-trans*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \prec b; b \preceq c \rrbracket \implies a \prec c$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-imp-less-or-eq*:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq b) = (a \prec b \vee a = b)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-neq*: $a \prec b \implies a \neq b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-neq-less*: $\llbracket a \preceq b; a \neq b \rrbracket \implies a \prec b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-irrefl*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec a \rrbracket \implies C$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-irrefl'*: $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies \neg a \prec a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-asym*:

$a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies a < b \implies b < a \implies C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *less-asym'*:

$a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies a < b \implies \neg b < a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *gt-than-any-outside*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall x \in A. x < b \rrbracket \implies b \notin A$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

Iod :: - \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow - **where**

Iod *D* *T* =

D ($\text{carrier} := T, \text{rel} := \{(a, b). (a, b) \in \text{rel } D \wedge a \in T \wedge b \in T\}$)

definition

SIod :: 'a Order \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Order **where**

SIod *D* *T* = ($\text{carrier} = T, \text{rel} = \{(a, b). (a, b) \in \text{rel } D \wedge a \in T \wedge b \in T\}$)

lemma (in Order) *Iod-self*: $D = \text{Iod } D$ (*carrier* *D*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *SIod-self*: $\text{Order } D \implies D = \text{SIod } D$ (*carrier* *D*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Od-carrier*: $\text{carrier } (D(\text{carrier} := S, \text{rel} := R)) = S$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Od-rel*: $\text{rel } (D(\text{carrier} := S, \text{rel} := R)) = R$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Iod-carrier*:

$T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \implies \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D \ T) = T$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *SIod-carrier*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{carrier } (\text{SIod } D \ T) = T$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Od-compare*: $(S = S' \wedge R = R') = (D(\text{carrier} := S, \text{rel} := R))$
 $= D(\text{carrier} := S', \text{rel} := R')$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Iod-le*:

$\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq_{\text{Iod } D \ T} b) = (a \preceq b)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *SIod-le*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T \rrbracket \implies$

$(a \preceq_{\text{SIod } D \ T} b) = (a \preceq_D b)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-less*:

$\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T \rrbracket \implies (a \prec_{\text{Iod } D \ T} b) = (a \prec b)$
<proof>

lemma *SIod-less*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T \rrbracket \implies$

$(a \prec_{\text{SIod } D \ T} b) = (a \prec_D b)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-Order*:

$T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \implies \text{Order } (\text{Iod } D \ T)$
<proof>

lemma *SIod-Order*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{Order } (\text{SIod } D \ T)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *emptyset-Iod*: $\text{Order } (\text{Iod } D \ \{\})$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-sub-sub*:

$\llbracket S \subseteq T; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{Iod } (\text{Iod } D \ T) \ S = \text{Iod } D \ S$
<proof>

lemma *SIod-sub-sub*:

$\llbracket S \subseteq T; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{SIod } (\text{SIod } D \ T) \ S = \text{SIod } D \ S$
<proof>

lemma *rel-SIod*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{carrier } E \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall a \in \text{carrier } E. \forall b \in \text{carrier } E. (a \preceq_E b) = (a \preceq_D b) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{rel } E = \text{rel } (\text{SIod } D \ (\text{carrier } E))$

<proof>

lemma *SIod-self-le*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E;$

$\text{carrier } E \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } E. \forall b \in \text{carrier } E. (a \preceq_E b) = (a \preceq_D b) \rrbracket \implies$
 $E = \text{SIod } D \ (\text{carrier } E)$

<proof>

2.1.1 Total ordering

locale *Torder* = *Order* +

assumes *le-linear*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \preceq b \vee b \preceq a$

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-empty-Torder*: $\text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D \ \{\})$

<proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *le-cases*:

$\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; (a \preceq b \implies C); (b \preceq a \implies C) \rrbracket \implies C$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *Order:Order D*

<proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *less-linear*:

$a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies a \prec b \vee a = b \vee b \prec a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *not-le-less*:

$a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $(\neg a \preceq b) = (b \prec a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *not-less-le*:

$a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $(\neg a \prec b) = (b \preceq a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-not-le-less*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T;$

$\text{Torder } (Iod D T) \rrbracket \implies (\neg a \preceq_{(Iod D T)} b) = b \prec_{(Iod D T)} a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-not-less-le*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T;$

$\text{Torder } (Iod D T) \rrbracket \implies (\neg a \prec_{(Iod D T)} b) = b \preceq_{(Iod D T)} a$
 <proof>

2.1.2 Two ordered sets

definition

Order-Pow :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set *Order* ((po -) [999] 1000) **where**
 po A =
 (| carrier = Pow A,
 rel = {(X, Y). X \in Pow A \wedge Y \in Pow A \wedge X \subseteq Y} |)

interpretation *order-Pow*: *Order po A*

<proof>

definition

Order-fs :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'b set \Rightarrow ('a set * ('a \Rightarrow 'b)) *Order* **where**

Order-fs A B =

(| carrier = {Z. $\exists A1 f. A1 \in \text{Pow } A \wedge f \in A1 \rightarrow B \wedge$
 $f \in \text{extensional } A1 \wedge Z = (A1, f)$ },

rel = {Y. Y \in ({Z. $\exists A1 f. A1 \in \text{Pow } A \wedge f \in A1 \rightarrow B \wedge f \in \text{extensional } A1$
 $\wedge Z = (A1, f)$ }) \times ({Z. $\exists A1 f. A1 \in \text{Pow } A \wedge f \in A1 \rightarrow B \wedge f \in \text{extensional } A1$

A1
 $\wedge Z = (A1, f)$ }) $\wedge \text{fst } (\text{fst } Y) \subseteq \text{fst } (\text{snd } Y) \wedge$

$$(\forall a \in (\text{fst } (\text{fst } Y)). (\text{snd } (\text{fst } Y)) a = (\text{snd } (\text{snd } Y)) a)\}}\})$$

lemma *Order-fs:Order* (*Order-fs A B*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

2.1.3 Homomorphism of ordered sets

definition

ord-inj :: [(*'a*, *'m0*) *Order-scheme*, (*'b*, *'m1*) *Order-scheme*,
 'a ⇒ *'b*] ⇒ *bool* **where**
ord-inj D E f ⇔ *f* ∈ *extensional* (*carrier D*) ∧
 f ∈ (*carrier D*) → (*carrier E*) ∧
 (*inj-on f* (*carrier D*)) ∧
 (∀ *a* ∈ *carrier D*. ∀ *b* ∈ *carrier D*. (*a* <_{*D*} *b*) = ((*f a*) <_{*E*} (*f b*)))

definition

ord-isom :: [(*'a*, *'m0*) *Order-scheme*, (*'b*, *'m1*) *Order-scheme*,
 'a ⇒ *'b*] ⇒ *bool* **where**
ord-isom D E f ⇔ *ord-inj D E f* ∧
 (*surj-to f* (*carrier D*) (*carrier E*))

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-inj-func*:[[*Order E*; *ord-inj D E f*]] ⇒
 f ∈ *carrier D* → *carrier E*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-func*:[[*Order E*; *ord-isom D E f*]] ⇒
 f ∈ *carrier D* → *carrier E*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-inj-restrict-isom*:[[*Order E*; *ord-inj D E f*; *T* ⊆ *carrier D*]]
 ⇒ *ord-isom* (*Iod D T*) (*Iod E (f ' T)*) (*restrict f T*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ord-inj-Srestrict-isom*:[[*Order D*; *Order E*; *ord-inj D E f*; *T* ⊆ *carrier D*]]
 ⇒ *ord-isom* (*SIod D T*) (*SIod E (f ' T)*) (*restrict f T*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *id-ord-isom*:*ord-isom D D* (*idmap* (*carrier D*))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-bij-to*:[[*Order E*; *ord-isom D E f*]] ⇒
 bij-to f (*carrier D*) (*carrier E*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-inj-mem*:[[*Order E*; *ord-inj D E f*; *a* ∈ *carrier D*]] ⇒
 (*f a*) ∈ *carrier E*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-mem*:[[*Order E*; *ord-isom D E f*; *a* ∈ *carrier D*]] ⇒

$(f a) \in \text{carrier } E$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-surj*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; b \in \text{carrier } E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists a \in \text{carrier } D. b = f a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-surj-forall*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall b \in \text{carrier } E. \exists a \in \text{carrier } D. b = f a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-onto*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $f' (\text{carrier } D) = \text{carrier } E$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-inj-on*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } f (\text{carrier } D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-inj*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a = b) = ((f a) = (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-surj-to*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{surj-to } f (\text{carrier } D) (\text{carrier } E)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-inj-less*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-inj } D E f; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \prec_D b) = ((f a) \prec_E (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-less*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \prec_D b) = ((f a) \prec_E (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-less-forall*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \forall b \in \text{carrier } D. (a \prec_D b) = ((f a) \prec_E (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-le*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq_D b) = ((f a) \preceq_E (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-le-forall*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \forall b \in \text{carrier } D. (a \preceq b) = ((f a) \preceq_E (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-convert*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f;$
 $x \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (\forall y \in \text{carrier } D. (x \prec y \longrightarrow \neg y \prec a)) =$

$(\forall z \in \text{carrier } E. ((f x) \prec_E z \longrightarrow \neg z \prec_E (f a)))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-sym*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f]] \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{ord-isom } E D (\text{invfun } (\text{carrier } D) (\text{carrier } E) f)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-trans*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{Order } F; \text{ord-isom } D E f;$
 $\text{ord-isom } E F g]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-isom } D F (\text{compose } (\text{carrier } D) g f)$
 <proof>

definition
ord-equiv :: $[-, ('b, 'm1) \text{Order-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
ord-equiv $D E \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. \text{ord-isom } D E f)$

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-equiv } D E$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv-isom*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D E]] \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists f. \text{ord-isom } D E f$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv-reflex*: $\text{ord-equiv } D D$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *eq-ord-equiv*: $[[\text{Order } E; D = E]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-equiv } D E$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv-sym*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D E]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-equiv } E D$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv-trans*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{Order } F; \text{ord-equiv } D E;$
 $\text{ord-equiv } E F]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-equiv } D F$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv-box*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{Order } F; \text{ord-equiv } D E;$
 $\text{ord-equiv } D F]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-equiv } E F$
 <proof>

lemma *SIod-isom-Iod*: $[[\text{Order } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D]] \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{SIod } D T) (\text{Iod } D T) (\lambda x \in T. x)$
 <proof>

definition
minimum-elem :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
minimum-elem = $(\lambda D X a. a \in X \wedge (\forall x \in X. a \preceq_D x))$

locale *Worder* = *Torder* +
assumes *ex-minimum*: $\forall X. X \subseteq (\text{carrier } D) \wedge X \neq \{\} \longrightarrow$

$(\exists x. \text{minimum-elem } D X x)$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Order:Order D*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Torder:Torder D*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Worder:Worder D*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *equiv-isom: [[Worder E; ord-equiv D E]] \implies
 $\exists f. \text{ord-isom } D E f$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *minimum-elem-mem: [[X \subseteq carrier D; minimum-elem D X a]]
 $\implies a \in X$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *minimum-elem-unique: [[X \subseteq carrier D; minimum-elem D X
a1;
minimum-elem D X a2]] $\implies a1 = a2$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *compare-minimum-elements: [[S \subseteq carrier D; T \subseteq carrier D;
S \subseteq T; minimum-elem D S s; minimum-elem D T t]] $\implies t \preceq s$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *minimum-elem-sub: [[T \subseteq carrier D; X \subseteq T]]
 $\implies \text{minimum-elem } D X a = \text{minimum-elem } (Iod D T) X a$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minimum-elem-Ssub: [[Order D; T \subseteq carrier D; X \subseteq T]]
 $\implies \text{minimum-elem } D X a = \text{minimum-elem } (SIod D T) X a$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *augmented-set-minimum: [[X \subseteq carrier D; a \in carrier D;
Y - {a} \subseteq X; y - {a} \neq {}]; minimum-elem (Iod D X) (Y - {a}) x;
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq a$]] $\implies \text{minimum-elem } (Iod D (\text{insert } a X)) Y x$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *augmented-Sset-minimum: [[Order D; X \subseteq carrier D; a \in carrier D;
Y - {a} \subseteq X; y - {a} \neq {}]; minimum-elem (SIod D X) (Y - {a}) x;
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq_D a$]] $\implies \text{minimum-elem } (SIod D (\text{insert } a X)) Y x$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-minimum: [[Order E; ord-isom D E f;
S \subseteq carrier D; a \in carrier D; minimum-elem D S a]] \implies
 $\text{minimum-elem } E (f'S) (f a)$*

<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *pre-minimum*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{minimum-elem } D \ T \ t; s \in \text{carrier } D; s \prec_D t \rrbracket \implies \neg s \in T$
<proof>

lemma *be-nonempty-subset*: $\exists a. a \in A \wedge P a \implies \{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \subseteq A \wedge \{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *to-subset*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{ord-isom } D \ (Iod \ D \ T) \ f \rrbracket \implies \forall a. a \in \text{carrier } D \longrightarrow a \preceq (f \ a)$
<proof>

lemma *to-subsetS*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{ord-isom } D \ (SIod \ D \ T) \ f \rrbracket \implies \forall a. a \in \text{carrier } D \longrightarrow a \preceq_D (f \ a)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *isom-Worder*: $\llbracket \text{Order } T; \text{ord-isom } D \ T \ f \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } T$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *equiv-Worder*: $\llbracket \text{Order } T; \text{ord-equiv } D \ T \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } T$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *equiv-Worder1*: $\llbracket \text{Order } T; \text{ord-equiv } T \ D \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } T$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-self-id*: $\text{ord-isom } D \ D \ f \implies f = \text{idmap } (\text{carrier } D)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *isom-unique*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; \text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f; \text{ord-isom } D \ E \ g \rrbracket \implies f = g$
<proof>

definition

segment :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ set **where**
segment $D \ a =$ (if $a \notin \text{carrier } D$ then $\text{carrier } D$ else $\{x. x \prec_D a \wedge x \in \text{carrier } D\}$)

definition

Ssegment :: $'a \text{ Order} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ set **where**
Ssegment $D \ a =$ (if $a \notin \text{carrier } D$ then $\text{carrier } D$ else $\{x. x \prec_D a \wedge x \in \text{carrier } D\}$)

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-sub*: $\text{segment } D \ a \subseteq \text{carrier } D$
<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-sub*: $\text{Ssegment } D \ a \subseteq \text{carrier } D$
<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-free*: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $\text{segment } D a = \text{carrier } D$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-free*: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $S\text{segment } D a = \text{carrier } D$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-sub-sub*: $\llbracket S \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in S \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{segment } (Iod D S) d \subseteq \text{segment } D d$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-sub-sub*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; S \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in S \rrbracket \implies$
 $S\text{segment } (SIod D S) d \subseteq S\text{segment } D d$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *a-notin-segment*: $a \notin \text{segment } D a$

<proof>

lemma *a-notin-Ssegment*: $a \notin S\text{segment } D a$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-carr-segment*:
 $\text{carrier } (Iod D (\text{segment } D a)) = \text{segment } D a$

<proof>

lemma *SIod-carr-Ssegment*: $\text{Order } D \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (SIod D (S\text{segment } D a)) = S\text{segment } D a$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-inc*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \prec b) = (a \in \text{segment } D b)$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-inc*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \prec_D b) = (a \in S\text{segment } D b)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-inc1*: $b \in \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $(a \prec b \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) = (a \in \text{segment } D b)$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-inc1*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \prec_D b \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) = (a \in S\text{segment } D b)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-inc-if*: $\llbracket b \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{segment } D b \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \prec b$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-inc-if*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b \rrbracket \implies a \prec_D b$

<proof>

lemma (*in Order*) *segment-inc-less*: $\llbracket W \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; y \in W; x \in \text{segment } (Iod \ D \ W) \ a; y \prec x \rrbracket \implies y \in \text{segment } (Iod \ D \ W) \ a$

<proof>

lemma (*in Order*) *segment-order-less*: $\forall b \in \text{carrier } D. \forall x \in \text{segment } D \ b. \forall y \in \text{segment } D \ b. (x \prec y) = (x \prec_{(Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ b))} y)$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-order-less*: $\text{Order } D \implies \forall b \in \text{carrier } D. \forall x \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b. \forall y \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b. (x \prec_D y) = (x \prec_{(SIod \ D \ (\text{Ssegment } D \ b))} y)$

<proof>

lemma (*in Order*) *segment-order-le*: $\forall b \in \text{carrier } D. \forall x \in \text{segment } D \ b. \forall y \in \text{segment } D \ b. (x \preceq y) = (x \preceq_{(Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ b))} y)$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-order-le*: $\forall b \in \text{carrier } D. \forall x \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b. \forall y \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b. (x \preceq_D y) = (x \preceq_{(SIod \ D \ (\text{Ssegment } D \ b))} y)$

<proof>

lemma (*in Torder*) *Iod-Torder*: $X \subseteq \text{carrier } D \implies \text{Torder } (Iod \ D \ X)$

<proof>

lemma *SIod-Torder*: $\llbracket \text{Torder } D; X \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{Torder } (SIod \ D \ X)$

<proof>

lemma (*in Order*) *segment-not-inc*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies b \notin \text{segment } D \ a$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-not-inc*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec_D b \rrbracket \implies b \notin \text{Ssegment } D \ a$

<proof>

lemma (*in Torder*) *segment-not-inc-iff*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq b) = (b \notin \text{segment } D \ a)$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-not-inc-iff*: $\llbracket \text{Torder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq_D b) = (b \notin \text{Ssegment } D \ a)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *minimum-segment-of-sub*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\text{minimum-elem } D (\text{segment } (Iod\ D\ X)\ d)\ m \rrbracket \implies \text{minimum-elem } D\ X\ m$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-out*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \prec b \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ a))\ b = \text{segment } D\ a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-minimum-minimum*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in X;$
 $\text{minimum-elem } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ d))\ (X \cap (\text{segment } D\ d))\ m \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{minimum-elem } D\ X\ m$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-mono*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \prec b) = (\text{segment } D\ a \subset \text{segment } D\ b)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Ssegment-mono*: $\llbracket Torder\ D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \prec_D b) = (Ssegment\ D\ a \subset Ssegment\ D\ b)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-le-mono*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \preceq b) = (\text{segment } D\ a \subseteq \text{segment } D\ b)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Ssegment-le-mono*: $\llbracket Torder\ D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \preceq_D b) = (Ssegment\ D\ a \subseteq Ssegment\ D\ b)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-inj*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a = b) = (\text{segment } D\ a = \text{segment } D\ b)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Ssegment-inj*: $\llbracket Torder\ D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a = b) = (Ssegment\ D\ a = Ssegment\ D\ b)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-inj-neq*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \neq b) = (\text{segment } D\ a \neq \text{segment } D\ b)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Ssegment-inj-neq*: $\llbracket Torder\ D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \neq b) = (Ssegment\ D\ a \neq Ssegment\ D\ b)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-inc-psub*: $\llbracket x \in \text{segment } D\ a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{segment } D\ x \subset \text{segment } D\ a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Ssegment-inc-psub*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; x \in \text{Ssegment } D \ a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Ssegment } D \ x \subset \text{Ssegment } D \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *segment-segment*: $\llbracket b \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{segment } D \ b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D \ (\text{segment } D \ b)) \ a = \text{segment } D \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ssegment-Ssegment*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Ssegment } (\text{SIod } D \ (\text{Ssegment } D \ b)) \ a = \text{Ssegment } D \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Iod-segment-segment*: $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D \ (\text{segment } D \ b)) \implies$
 $\text{Iod } (\text{Iod } D \ (\text{segment } D \ b)) \ (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D \ (\text{segment } D \ b)) \ a) =$
 $\text{Iod } D \ (\text{segment } D \ a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SIod-Ssegment-Ssegment*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \in \text{carrier } (\text{SIod } D \ (\text{Ssegment } D \ b)) \rrbracket$

\implies

$\text{SIod } (\text{SIod } D \ (\text{Ssegment } D \ b)) \ (\text{Ssegment } (\text{SIod } D \ (\text{Ssegment } D \ b)) \ a) =$
 $\text{SIod } D \ (\text{Ssegment } D \ a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-segment-mem*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f; a \in \text{carrier } D; x \in \text{segment } D \ a \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f \ x) \in \text{segment } E \ (f \ a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-isom-Ssegment-mem*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f; a \in \text{carrier } D; x \in \text{Ssegment } D \ a \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f \ x) \in \text{Ssegment } E \ (f \ a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-segment-segment*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D \ (\text{segment } D \ a)) \ (\text{Iod } E \ (\text{segment } E \ (f \ a)))$
 $(\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D \ (\text{segment } D \ a)). f \ x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-isom-Ssegment-Ssegment*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{SIod } D \ (\text{Ssegment } D \ a)) \ (\text{SIod } E \ (\text{Ssegment } E \ (f \ a)))$
 $(\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (\text{SIod } D \ (\text{Ssegment } D \ a)). f \ x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-equiv-segment-segment*:

$\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D E; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists t \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E t))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-equiv-Ssegment-Ssegment*:

$\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D E; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists t \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D a)) (\text{SIod } E (\text{Ssegment } E t))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-restricted*:

$\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D D1) (\text{Iod } E (f \text{ ' } D1)) (\lambda x \in D1. f x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-isom-restrictedS*:

$\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{SIod } D D1) (\text{SIod } E (f \text{ ' } D1)) (\lambda x \in D1. f x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-equiv-induced*:

$\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D D1) (\text{Iod } E (f \text{ ' } D1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-equiv-inducedS*:

$\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{SIod } D D1) (\text{SIod } E (f \text{ ' } D1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *equiv-induced-by-inj*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-inj } D E f;$
 $D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D D1) (\text{Iod } E (f \text{ ' } D1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-induced-by-injS*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-inj } D E f;$
 $D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-equiv } (\text{SIod } D D1) (\text{SIod } E (f \text{ ' } D1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Torder*) *le-segment-segment*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \preceq b) = (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) a = \text{segment } D a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-Ssegment-Ssegment*: $\llbracket \text{Torder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \preceq_D b) = (\text{Ssegment } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D b)) a = \text{Ssegment } D a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Torder*) *inc-segment-segment*: $\llbracket b \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \in \text{segment } D b \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) a = \text{segment } D a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-segment*: $\llbracket a \in carrier\ D; b \in carrier\ D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(segment\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ b))\ a = segment\ D\ a) =$
 $((segment\ D\ a) \subseteq (segment\ D\ b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Torder*) *less-in-Iod*: $\llbracket a \in carrier\ D; b \in carrier\ D; a \prec b \rrbracket$
 $\implies (a \prec b) = (a \in carrier\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ b)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

SS :: $- \Rightarrow 'a\ set\ Order$ **where**
 $SS\ D = (\llbracket carrier = \{X. \exists a \in carrier\ D. X = segment\ D\ a\}, rel =$
 $\{XX. XX \in \{X. \exists a \in carrier\ D. X = segment\ D\ a\} \times$
 $\{X. \exists a \in carrier\ D. X = segment\ D\ a\} \wedge ((fst\ XX) \subseteq (snd\ XX)) \rrbracket)$

definition

segmap :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ **where**
 $segmap\ D = (\lambda x \in (carrier\ D). segment\ D\ x)$

lemma *segmap-func*: $segmap\ D \in carrier\ D \rightarrow carrier\ (SS\ D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-segmap*: $ord-isom\ D\ (SS\ D)\ (segmap\ D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *nonequiv-segment*: $a \in carrier\ D \implies$
 $\neg ord-equiv\ D\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nonequiv-Ssegment*: $\llbracket Worder\ D; a \in carrier\ D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg ord-equiv\ D\ (SIod\ D\ (Ssegment\ D\ a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *subset-Worder*: $T \subseteq carrier\ D \implies$
 $Worder\ (Iod\ D\ T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SIod-Worder*: $\llbracket Worder\ D; T \subseteq carrier\ D \rrbracket \implies Worder\ (SIod\ D\ T)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *segment-Worder*: $Worder\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ssegment-Worder*: $Worder\ D \implies Worder\ (SIod\ D\ (Ssegment\ D\ a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *segment-unique1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ b)}) (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ssegment-unique1*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec_D b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg \text{ord-equiv } (\text{SIod } D \text{ (Ssegment } D \text{ b)}) (\text{SIod } D \text{ (Ssegment } D \text{ a)})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *segment-unique*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ b)}) \rrbracket \implies a = b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ssegment-unique*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{SIod } D \text{ (Ssegment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{SIod } D \text{ (Ssegment } D \text{ b)}) \rrbracket \implies a = b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *subset-segment*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall b \in T. \forall x. x \prec b \wedge x \in \text{carrier } D \longrightarrow x \in T;$
 $\text{minimum-elem } D \text{ (carrier } D - T) \text{ a} \rrbracket \implies T = \text{segment } D \text{ a}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subset-Ssegment*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall b \in T. \forall x. x \prec_D b \wedge x \in \text{carrier } D \longrightarrow x \in T;$
 $\text{minimum-elem } D \text{ (carrier } D - T) \text{ a} \rrbracket \implies T = \text{Ssegment } D \text{ a}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *segmentTr*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall b \in T. (\forall x. (x \prec b \wedge x \in (\text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow x \in T)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(T = \text{carrier } D) \vee (\exists a. a \in (\text{carrier } D) \wedge T = \text{segment } D \text{ a})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SsegmentTr*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall b \in T. (\forall x. (x \prec_D b \wedge x \in (\text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow x \in T)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(T = \text{carrier } D) \vee (\exists a. a \in (\text{carrier } D) \wedge T = \text{Ssegment } D \text{ a})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-segment-segment*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D \text{ E } f; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ (f a))))$
 $(\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a))). f x$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$\text{Tw} :: [-, ('b, 'm1) \text{Order-scheme}] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ ((2\text{Tw}_{-, -}) [60, 61] 60)$ **where**
 $\text{Tw}_{D, T} = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier } D. \text{SOME } x. x \in \text{carrier } T \wedge$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{Iod } T \text{ (segment } T \text{ x)}))$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-func*: \llbracket *Worder* *T*;
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } T. \text{ord-equiv } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ a))$
 $(Iod\ T\ (\text{segment } T\ b)) \rrbracket \implies Tw_{D,T} \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-mem*: \llbracket *Worder* *E*; $x \in \text{carrier } D$;
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ a))$
 $(Iod\ E\ (\text{segment } E\ b)) \rrbracket \implies (Tw_{D,E})\ x \in \text{carrier } E$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-equiv*: \llbracket *Worder* *T*;
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } T. \text{ord-equiv } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ a))$
 $(Iod\ T\ (\text{segment } T\ b)); x \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ x))\ (Iod\ T\ (\text{segment } T\ ((Tw_{D,T})\ x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-inj*: \llbracket *Worder* *E*;
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ a))$
 $(Iod\ E\ (\text{segment } E\ b)) \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (Tw_{D,E})\ (\text{carrier } D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-ord-isom*: \llbracket *Worder* *E*;
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ a))\ (Iod\ E\ (\text{segment } E\ b)); a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\text{ord-isom } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ a))\ (Iod\ E\ (\text{segment } E\ (Tw\ D\ E\ a)))\ f;$
 $x \in \text{segment } D\ a \rrbracket \implies f\ x = Tw\ D\ E\ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-ord-injTr*: \llbracket *Worder* *E*;
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ a))\ (Iod\ E\ (\text{segment } E\ b));$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies$
 $Tw\ D\ E\ a \prec_E (Tw\ D\ E\ b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-ord-inj*: \llbracket *Worder* *E*;
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ a))$
 $(Iod\ E\ (\text{segment } E\ b)) \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-inj } D\ E\ (Tw\ D\ E)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-restricted-by-Tw*: \llbracket *Worder* *E*;
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (Iod\ D\ (\text{segment } D\ a))\ (Iod\ E\ (\text{segment } E\ b));$
 $D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (Iod\ D\ D1)\ (Iod\ E\ ((Tw\ D\ E)\ ‘\ D1))$
 $(\text{restrict } (Tw\ D\ E)\ D1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-segment-segment*: \llbracket *Worder E*;
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \ a)) \ (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \ b)); a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Tw } D \ E \text{ ' (segment } D \ a) = \text{segment } E \ (\text{Tw } D \ E \ a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-Tw-segment*: \llbracket *Worder E*;
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \ a)) \ (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \ b)); a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \ a)) \ (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \ (\text{Tw } D \ E \ a)))$
 $\text{(restrict } (\text{Tw } D \ E) \text{ (segment } D \ a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *well-ord-compare1*: \llbracket *Worder E*;
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \ a)) \ (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \ b)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{ord-equiv } D \ E) \vee (\exists c \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } D \ (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \ c)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *beX-nonempty-set*: $\exists x \in A. P \ x \implies \{x. x \in A \wedge P \ x\} \neq \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nonempty-set-sub*: $\{x. x \in A \wedge P \ x\} \neq \{\} \implies$
 $\{x. x \in A \wedge P \ x\} \subseteq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Torder*) *less-minimum*: \llbracket *minimum-elem D {x. x ∈ carrier D ∧ P x} d* \rrbracket
 $\implies \forall a. (((a < d) \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow \neg (P \ a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-minimum-empty*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in X \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{minimum-elem } D \ X \ d) = (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D \ X) \ d = \{\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

theory *Algebra2*
imports *Algebra1*
begin

lemma (in *Order*) *less-and-segment*: $b \in \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $(\forall a. ((a < b \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow (Q \ a))) =$
 $(\forall a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \ b)). (Q \ a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Word-compare2*: \llbracket *Worder E*;
 $\neg (\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \ a)))$

$(Iod\ E\ (segment\ E\ b)) \implies$
 $\exists c \in carrier\ D. ord\text{-}equiv\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ c))\ E$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *Worder-equiv*: \llbracket Worder *E*;
 $\forall a \in carrier\ D. \exists b \in carrier\ E. ord\text{-}equiv\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ a))$
 $(Iod\ E\ (segment\ E\ b));$
 $\forall c \in carrier\ E. \exists d \in carrier\ D. ord\text{-}equiv\ (Iod\ E\ (segment\ E\ c))$
 $(Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ d)) \rrbracket \implies ord\text{-}equiv\ D\ E$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *Worder-equiv1*: \llbracket Worder *E*; $\neg ord\text{-}equiv\ D\ E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg ((\forall a \in carrier\ D. \exists b \in carrier\ E.$
 $ord\text{-}equiv\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ a))\ (Iod\ E\ (segment\ E\ b))) \wedge$
 $(\forall c \in carrier\ E. \exists d \in carrier\ D.$
 $ord\text{-}equiv\ (Iod\ E\ (segment\ E\ c))\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ d))))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *Word-compare*:*Worder E* \implies
 $(\exists a \in carrier\ D. ord\text{-}equiv\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ a))\ E) \vee ord\text{-}equiv\ D\ E \vee$
 $(\exists b \in carrier\ E. ord\text{-}equiv\ D\ (Iod\ E\ (segment\ E\ b)))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *Word-compareTr1*: \llbracket Worder *E*;
 $\exists a \in carrier\ D. ord\text{-}equiv\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ a))\ E; ord\text{-}equiv\ D\ E \rrbracket \implies$
 False
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *Word-compareTr2*: \llbracket Worder *E*; *ord-equiv D E*;
 $\exists b \in carrier\ E. ord\text{-}equiv\ D\ (Iod\ E\ (segment\ E\ b)) \rrbracket \implies False$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *Word-compareTr3*: \llbracket Worder *E*;
 $\exists b \in carrier\ E. ord\text{-}equiv\ D\ (Iod\ E\ (segment\ E\ b));$
 $\exists a \in carrier\ D. ord\text{-}equiv\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ a))\ E \rrbracket \implies False$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *subset-equiv-segment*: $S \subseteq carrier\ D \implies$
 $ord\text{-}equiv\ D\ (Iod\ D\ S) \vee$
 $(\exists a \in carrier\ D. ord\text{-}equiv\ (Iod\ D\ S)\ (Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ a)))$
 <proof>

definition
ordinal-number :: 'a Order \Rightarrow 'a Order set **where**
ordinal-number *S* = {*X*. *Worder X* \wedge *ord-equiv X S*}

definition
ODnums :: 'a Order set set **where**
ODnums = {*X*. $\exists S. \text{Worder } S \wedge X = \text{ordinal-number } S$ }

definition

$ODord :: ['a\ Order\ set, 'a\ Order\ set] \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\sqsubset 60$) **where**
 $X \sqsubset Y \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. (\exists c \in carrier\ y. ord\equiv x\ (Iod\ y\ (segment\ y\ c))))$

definition

$ODord\le :: ['a\ Order\ set, 'a\ Order\ set] \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\sqsubseteq 60$) **where**
 $X \sqsubseteq Y \longleftrightarrow X = Y \vee ODord\ X\ Y$

definition

$ODrel :: ((('a\ Order)\ set) * (('a\ Order)\ set))\ set$ **where**
 $ODrel = \{Z. Z \in ODnums \times ODnums \wedge ODord\le\ (fst\ Z)\ (snd\ Z)\}$

definition

$ODnods :: ('a\ Order\ set)\ Order$ **where**
 $ODnods = \{\ carrier = ODnums, rel = ODrel \}$

lemma $Worder\text{-}ord\text{-}equivTr: \llbracket Worder\ S; Worder\ T \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $ord\equiv S\ T = (\exists f. ord\text{-}isom\ S\ T\ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Worder\text{-}ord\text{-}isom\text{-}mem: \llbracket Worder\ S; Worder\ T; ord\text{-}isom\ S\ T\ f; a \in carrier\ S \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow f\ a \in carrier\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Worder\text{-}refl: Worder\ S \Longrightarrow ord\equiv S\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Worder\text{-}sym: \llbracket Worder\ S; Worder\ T; ord\equiv S\ T \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ord\equiv T\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Worder\text{-}trans: \llbracket Worder\ S; Worder\ T; Worder\ U; ord\equiv S\ T; ord\equiv T\ U \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ord\equiv S\ U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ordinal\text{-}inc\text{-}self: Worder\ S \Longrightarrow S \in ordinal\text{-}number\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ordinal\text{-}number\text{-}eq: \llbracket Worder\ D; Worder\ E \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(ord\equiv D\ E) = (ordinal\text{-}number\ D = ordinal\text{-}number\ E)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mem\text{-}ordinal\text{-}number\text{-}equiv: \llbracket Worder\ D; X \in ordinal\text{-}number\ D \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ord\equiv X\ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mem\text{-}ordinal\text{-}number\text{-}Worder: \llbracket Worder\ D;$

$X \in \text{ordinal-number } D \implies \text{Worder } X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mem-ordinal-number-Worder1*: $\llbracket x \in \text{ODnums}; X \in x \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mem-ODnums-nonempty*: $X \in \text{ODnums} \implies \exists x. x \in X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *carr-ODnods*: $\text{carrier } \text{ODnods} = \text{ODnums}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordinal-number-mem-carrier-ODnods*:
 $\text{Worder } D \implies \text{ordinal-number } D \in \text{carrier } \text{ODnods}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordinal-number-mem-ODnums*:
 $\text{Worder } D \implies \text{ordinal-number } D \in \text{ODnums}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ODordTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; \text{Worder } E \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{ODord } (\text{ordinal-number } D) (\text{ordinal-number } E)) =$
 $(\exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } D (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E b)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ODord*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; d \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ODord } (\text{ordinal-number } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D d))) (\text{ordinal-number } D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ord-less-ODord*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; c \in \text{carrier } D; d \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a = \text{ordinal-number } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D c));$
 $b = \text{ordinal-number } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D d)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $c \prec_D d = a \sqsubset b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ODord-le-ref*: $\llbracket X \in \text{ODnums}; Y \in \text{ODnums}; \text{ODord-le } X Y; Y \sqsubseteq X \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $X = Y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ODord-le-trans*: $\llbracket X \in \text{ODnums}; Y \in \text{ODnums}; Z \in \text{ODnums}; X \sqsubseteq Y; Y$
 $\sqsubseteq Z \rrbracket$
 $\implies X \sqsubseteq Z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordinal-numberTr1*: $X \in \text{carrier } \text{ODnods} \implies \exists D. \text{Worder } D \wedge D \in X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordinal-numberTr1-1*: $X \in \text{ODnums} \implies \exists D. \text{Worder } D \wedge D \in X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordinal-numberTr1-2*: $\llbracket x \in ODnums; S \in x; T \in x \rrbracket \implies$
 $ord\text{-equiv } S \ T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordinal-numberTr2*: $\llbracket Worder \ D; x = ordinal\text{-number } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $D \in x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordinal-numberTr3*: $\llbracket Worder \ D; Worder \ F; ord\text{-equiv } D \ F;$
 $x = ordinal\text{-number } D \rrbracket \implies x = ordinal\text{-number } F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordinal-numberTr4*: $\llbracket Worder \ D; X \in carrier \ ODnods; D \in X \rrbracket \implies$
 $X = ordinal\text{-number } D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordinal-numberTr5*: $\llbracket x \in ODnums; D \in x \rrbracket \implies x = ordinal\text{-number } D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordinal-number-ord*: $\llbracket X \in carrier \ ODnods; Y \in carrier \ ODnods \rrbracket \implies$
 $ODord \ X \ Y \vee X = Y \vee ODord \ Y \ X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODnum-subTr*: $\llbracket Worder \ D; x = ordinal\text{-number } D; y \in ODnums; y \sqsubset x;$
 $Y \in y \rrbracket \implies \exists c \in carrier \ D. ord\text{-equiv } Y \ (Iod \ D \ (segment \ D \ c))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODnum-segmentTr*: $\llbracket Worder \ D; x = ordinal\text{-number } D; y \in ODnums; y \sqsubset$
 $x \rrbracket \implies \exists c. c \in carrier \ D \wedge (\forall Y \in y. ord\text{-equiv } Y \ (Iod \ D \ (segment \ D \ c)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODnum-segmentTr1*: $\llbracket Worder \ D; x = ordinal\text{-number } D; y \in ODnums; y$
 $\sqsubset x \rrbracket \implies \exists c. c \in carrier \ D \wedge (y = ordinal\text{-number } (Iod \ D \ (segment \ D \ c)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODnods-less*: $\llbracket x \in carrier \ ODnods; y \in carrier \ ODnods \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \prec_{ODnods} y = x \sqsubset y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODord-less-not-eq*: $\llbracket x \in carrier \ ODnods; y \in carrier \ ODnods; x \sqsubset y \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \neq y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-ODord*: $\llbracket a \in ODnums; b \in ODnums; a \sqsubset b \rrbracket \implies \neg (b \sqsubseteq a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Order-ODnods:Order ODnods*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Torder-ODnods:Torder ODnods*

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$ODNmap :: 'a Order \Rightarrow ('a Order) set \Rightarrow 'a \mathbf{where}$
 $ODNmap D y = (SOME z. (z \in carrier D \wedge$
 $(\forall Y \in y. ord-equiv Y (Iod D (segment D z))))))$

lemma *ODNmap-mem*: $\llbracket Worder D; x = ordinal-number D; y \in ODnums; ODord y x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$ODNmap D y \in carrier D \wedge$
 $(\forall Y \in y. ord-equiv Y (Iod D (segment D (ODNmap D y))))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODNmapTr1*: $\llbracket Worder D; x = ordinal-number D; y \in ODnums; ODord y x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$y = ordinal-number (Iod D (segment D (ODNmap D y)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODNmap-self*: $\llbracket Worder D; c \in carrier D;$

$a = ordinal-number (Iod D (segment D c)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ODNmap D a = c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODord-ODNmap-less*: $\llbracket Worder D; c \in carrier D;$

$a = ordinal-number (Iod D (segment D c)); d \in carrier D;$

$b = ordinal-number (Iod D (segment D d)); a \sqsubset b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$ODNmap D a \prec_D (ODNmap D b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODNmap-mem1*: $\llbracket Worder D; y \in segment ODnods (ordinal-number D) \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow ODNmap D y \in carrier D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODnods-segment-inc-ODord*: $\llbracket Worder D;$

$y \in segment ODnods (ordinal-number D) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ODord y (ordinal-number D)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-ODNmap-func*: $\llbracket Worder D; x = ordinal-number D \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$restrict (ODNmap D) (segment ODnods (ordinal-number D))$

$\in segment ODnods (ordinal-number D) \rightarrow carrier D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODNmap-ord-isom*: $\llbracket Worder D; x = ordinal-number D \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$ord-isom (Iod ODnods (segment ODnods x)) D$

$(\lambda x \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Iod } \text{ODnods } (\text{segment } \text{ODnods } x))). (\text{ODNmap } D x))$
 <proof>

lemma *ODnum-equiv-segment*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; x = \text{ordinal-number } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } \text{ODnods } (\text{segment } \text{ODnods } x)) D$
 <proof>

lemma *ODnods-sub-carrier*: $S \subseteq \text{ODnums} \implies \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } \text{ODnods } S) = S$
 <proof>

lemma *ODnum-sub-well-ordered*: $S \subseteq \text{ODnums} \implies \text{Worder } (\text{Iod } \text{ODnods } S)$
 <proof>

2.2 Pre elements

definition

ExPre :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{ExPre } D a \iff (\exists x. x \in \text{carrier } D \wedge x \prec_D a$
 $\wedge \neg (\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. x \prec_D y \wedge y \prec_D a))$

definition

Pre :: $[-, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $\text{Pre } D a = (\text{SOME } x. x \in \text{carrier } D \wedge$
 $x \prec_D a \wedge$
 $\neg (\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. x \prec_D y \wedge y \prec_D a))$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Pre-mem*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Pre } D a \in \text{carrier } D$
 <proof>

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Not-ExPre*: $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies \neg \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D \{a\}) a$
 <proof>

lemma (**in** *Worder*) *UniquePre*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D a;$
 $a1 \in \text{carrier } D \wedge a1 \prec a \wedge \neg (\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. (a1 \prec y \wedge y \prec a)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Pre } D a = a1$
 <proof>

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Pre-element*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Pre } D a \in \text{carrier } D \wedge (\text{Pre } D a) \prec a \wedge$
 $\neg (\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. ((\text{Pre } D a) \prec y \wedge y \prec a))$
 <proof>

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Pre-in-segment*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Pre } D a \in \text{segment } D a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *segment-forall*: $\llbracket a \in \text{segment } D \ b; b \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $x \in \text{segment } D \ b; x \prec a; \forall y \in \text{segment } D \ b. x \prec y \longrightarrow \neg y \prec a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\forall y \in \text{carrier } D. x \prec y \longrightarrow \neg y \prec a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *segment-Expre*: $a \in \text{segment } D \ b \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{ExPre } (Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ b)) \ a = \text{ExPre } D \ a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *Pre-segment*: $\llbracket a \in \text{segment } D \ b;$
 $\text{ExPre } (Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ b)) \ a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{ExPre } D \ a \wedge \text{Pre } D \ a = \text{Pre } (Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ b)) \ a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *Pre2segment*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; b \prec a;$
 $\text{ExPre } D \ b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ExPre } (Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ a)) \ b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-Pre1*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D \ a;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ExPre } E \ (f \ a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-Pre11*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \text{ExPre } D \ a = \text{ExPre } E \ (f \ a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-Pre2*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D \ a;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow f \ (\text{Pre } D \ a) = \text{Pre } E \ (f \ a)$
 <proof>

2.3 Transfinite induction

lemma (in *Worder*) *transfinite-induction*: $\llbracket \text{minimum-elem } D \ (\text{carrier } D) \ s0; P \ s0;$
 $\forall t \in \text{carrier } D. ((\forall u \in \text{segment } D \ t. P \ u) \longrightarrow P \ t) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. P \ x$
 <proof>

2.4 Ordered-set². Lemmas to prove Zorn's lemma.

definition

adjunct-ord :: $[-, 'a] \Rightarrow -$ **where**
 $\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a = D \ (\text{carrier} := \text{carrier } D \cup \{a\},$
 $\text{rel} := \{(x,y). (x, y) \in \text{rel } D \vee$
 $(x \in (\text{carrier } D \cup \{a\}) \wedge y = a)\})$

lemma (in *Order*) *carrier-adjunct-ord*:
 $\text{carrier } (\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a) = \text{carrier } D \cup \{a\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Order-adjunct-ord*: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $\text{Order } (\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *adjunct-ord-large-a*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \notin \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \prec_{\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a} a$

<proof>

lemma *carr-Ssegment-adjunct-ord*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \notin \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{carrier } D = (\text{Ssegment } (\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a) \ a)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *adjunct-ord-selfD*: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $D = \text{Iod } (\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a) (\text{carrier } D)$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-adjunct-ord*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \notin \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $D = \text{SIod } (\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a) (\text{Ssegment } (\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a) \ a)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Torder-adjunction*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq a; \text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D \ X) \rrbracket \implies \text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D \ (X \cup \{a\}))$

<proof>

lemma *Torder-Sadjunction*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq_D a; \text{Torder } (\text{SIod } D \ X) \rrbracket \implies \text{Torder } (\text{SIod } D \ (X \cup \{a\}))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *Torder-adjunct-ord*: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $\text{Torder } (\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *well-ord-adjunction*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq a; \text{Worder } (\text{Iod } D \ X) \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } (\text{Iod } D \ (X \cup \{a\}))$

<proof>

lemma *well-ord-Sadjunction*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq_D a; \text{Worder } (\text{SIod } D \ X) \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } (\text{SIod } D \ (X \cup \{a\}))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *Worder-adjunct-ord*: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $\text{Worder } (\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a)$

<proof>

2.5 Zorn's lemma

definition

Chain :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

Chain $D \ C \longleftrightarrow C \subseteq \text{carrier } D \wedge \text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D \ C)$

definition

upper-bound :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $((\exists \text{ub}_1 / - / -) [100, 101] 100)$ **where**
 $\text{ub}_D S b \longleftrightarrow b \in \text{carrier } D \wedge (\forall s \in S. s \preceq_D b)$

definition

inductive-set :: $- \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{inductive-set } D \longleftrightarrow (\forall C. (\text{Chain } D C \longrightarrow (\exists b. \text{ub}_D C b)))$

definition

maximal-element :: $[-, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ $((\text{maximal}_1 / -) [101] 100)$ **where**
 $\text{maximal}_D m \longleftrightarrow m \in \text{carrier } D \wedge (\forall b \in \text{carrier } D. m \preceq_D b \longrightarrow m = b)$

definition

upper-bounds:: $[-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{upper-bounds } D H = \{x. \text{ub}_D H x\}$

definition

Sup :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $\text{Sup } D X = (\text{THE } x. \text{minimum-elem } D (\text{upper-bounds } D X) x)$

definition

S-inductive-set :: $- \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{S-inductive-set } D \longleftrightarrow (\forall C. \text{Chain } D C \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists x \in \text{carrier } D. \text{minimum-elem } D (\text{upper-bounds } D C) x))$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *mem-upper-bounds*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ub } X b$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Torder-Chain*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D X) \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \text{Chain } D X$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Chain-Torder*: $\text{Chain } D X \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D X)$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Chain-sub*: $\text{Chain } D X \Longrightarrow X \subseteq \text{carrier } D$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Chain-sub-Chain*: $\llbracket \text{Chain } D X; Y \subseteq X \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Chain } D Y$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Order*) *upper-bounds-sub*: $X \subseteq \text{carrier } D \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{upper-bounds } D X \subseteq \text{carrier } D$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Sup*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{minimum-elem } D (\text{upper-bounds } D X) a \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{Sup } D X = a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *Sup-mem*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \exists b. \text{ub } X b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Sup } D X \in \text{carrier } D$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-sup*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{minimum-elem } D (\text{upper-bounds } D X) (\text{Sup } D X)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *adjunct-Chain*: $\llbracket \text{Chain } D X; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in X. x \preceq b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Chain } D (\text{insert } b X)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-sup-mem*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Sup } D X \in \text{carrier } D$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-Sup-min-bounds*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X;$
 $\text{ub } X b \rrbracket \implies \text{Sup } D X \preceq b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-sup-bound*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq (\text{Sup } D X)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-Sup-in-ChainTr*:
 $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X; c \in \text{carrier } (Iod D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X));$
 $\text{Sup } D X \notin X;$
 $\forall y \in \text{carrier } (Iod D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)).$
 $c \prec_{Iod D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)} y \longrightarrow \neg y \prec_{Iod D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)} \text{Sup } D$
 $X \rrbracket \implies$
 $c \in \text{upper-bounds } D X$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-Sup-in-Chain*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X;$
 $\text{ExPre } (Iod D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)) (\text{Sup } D X) \rrbracket \implies \text{Sup } D X \in X$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-bounds-compare*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X1;$
 $\text{Chain } D X2; X1 \subseteq X2 \rrbracket \implies \text{upper-bounds } D X2 \subseteq \text{upper-bounds } D X1$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-sup-compare*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X1;$
 $\text{Chain } D X2; X1 \subseteq X2 \rrbracket \implies \text{Sup } D X1 \preceq \text{Sup } D X2$
 <proof>

definition

$Wa :: [-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $Wa D W g a \longleftrightarrow W \subseteq \text{carrier } D \wedge \text{Worder } (Iod D W) \wedge a \in W \wedge (\forall x \in W. a \preceq_D x) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in W. (\text{if } (ExPre (Iod D W) x) \text{ then } g (Pre (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else } (\text{if } a \neq x \text{ then } Sup D (\text{segment } (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else } a = a)))$

definition

$WWa :: [-, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set set}$ **where**
 $WWa D g a = \{W. Wa D W g a\}$

lemma (in Order) mem-of-WWa: $W \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{Worder } (Iod D W); a \in W;$

$(\forall x \in W. a \preceq x);$
 $(\forall x \in W. (\text{if } (ExPre (Iod D W) x) \text{ then } g (Pre (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else } (\text{if } a \neq x \text{ then } Sup D (\text{segment } (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else } a = a)))) \implies$
 $W \in WWa D g a$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-then: $W \in WWa D g a \implies W \subseteq \text{carrier } D \wedge$

$\text{Worder } (Iod D W) \wedge a \in W \wedge (\forall x \in W. a \preceq x) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in W. (\text{if } (ExPre (Iod D W) x) \text{ then } g (Pre (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else } (\text{if } a \neq x \text{ then } Sup D (\text{segment } (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else } a = a))))$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-wwa-Worder: $W \in WWa D g a \implies \text{Worder } (Iod D W)$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-sub-carrier: $W \in WWa D g a \implies W \subseteq \text{carrier } D$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) Union-WWa-sub-carrier: $\bigcup WWa D g a \subseteq \text{carrier } D$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-inc-a: $W \in WWa D g a \implies a \in W$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-Chain: $W \in WWa D g a \implies \text{Chain } D W$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) Sup-adjunct-Sup: S -inductive-set $D;$

$f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x;$
 $W \in WWa D f a; Sup D W \notin W$
 $\implies Sup D (\text{insert } (Sup D W) W) = Sup D W$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr1: $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies \text{Worder } (Iod D \{a\})$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *BNTr2*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies \{a\} \in \text{WWa } D f a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *BNTr2-1*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket \implies \forall x \in W. a \preceq x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *BNTr3*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket \implies \text{minimum-elem } (Iod D W) W a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Adjunct-segment-sub*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (Iod D (\text{insert } (Sup D X) X)) (Sup D X) \subseteq X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Adjunct-segment-eq*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X; Sup D X \notin X \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (Iod D (\text{insert } (Sup D X) X)) (Sup D X) = X$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$\text{fixp} :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{fixp } f a \longleftrightarrow f a = a$

lemma (in Order) *fixp-same*: $\llbracket W1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D; W2 \subseteq \text{carrier } D; t \in W1; b \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ord-isom } (Iod D W1) (Iod (Iod D W2) (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b)) g; \forall u \in \text{segment } (Iod D W1) t. \text{fixp } g u \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (Iod D W1) t = \text{segment } (Iod D W2) (g t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *BNTr4-1*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a; \text{ord-isom } (Iod D W1) (Iod D (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b)) g \rrbracket \implies \forall x \in W1. g x = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *BNTr4-2*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a; \text{ord-equiv } (Iod D W1) (Iod D (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b)) \rrbracket \implies W1 = \text{segment } (Iod D W2) b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) BNTr4: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a;$
 $\exists b \in \text{carrier } D. \text{ord-equiv } (Iod D W1) (Iod D (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $W1 \subseteq W2$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) Iod-same: $A = B \implies Iod D A = Iod D B$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) eq-ord-equivTr: $\llbracket \text{ord-equiv } D E; E = F \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-equiv } D F$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr5: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a;$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (Iod D W1) (Iod D W2) \rrbracket \implies W1 \subseteq W2$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr6: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W1 \subset W2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\exists b \in \text{carrier } (Iod D W2). \text{ord-equiv } (Iod D W1) (Iod D (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b)))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr6-1: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W1 \subset W2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\exists b \in \text{carrier } (Iod D W2). W1 = (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket \implies$
 $W1 \subseteq W2 \vee W2 \subseteq W1$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-1: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in \text{WWa } D f a; xa \in \bigcup \text{WWa } D f a;$
 $xa \prec_{Iod D} (\bigcup \text{WWa } D f a) x \rrbracket \implies xa \in W$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-1-1: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in \text{WWa } D f a; xa \in \bigcup \text{WWa } D f a;$
 $xa \prec x \rrbracket \implies xa \in W$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-2: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in \bigcup \text{WWa } D f a; \text{ExPre } (Iod D (\bigcup \text{WWa } D f a)) x \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall W \in \text{WWa } D f a. (x \in W \longrightarrow \text{ExPre } (Iod D W) x)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-3: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in \bigcup W W a D f a; \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\bigcup W W a D f a)) x \parallel$
 $\implies \forall W \in W W a D f a. (x \in W \longrightarrow \text{Pre } (\text{Iod } D (\bigcup W W a D f a)) x = \text{Pre } (\text{Iod } D W) x)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-4: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in W W a D f a \rrbracket \implies \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\bigcup W W a D f a)) x = \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D W) x$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-5: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in W W a D f a \rrbracket \implies (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\bigcup W W a D f a)) x) = \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W) x$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-6: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies a \in \bigcup W W a D f a$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-7: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); \exists xa. W a D x a f a \wedge x \in xa \rrbracket \implies x \in \bigcup W W a D f a$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-8: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); \exists xa. W a D x a f a \wedge x \in xa \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{carrier } D$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-9: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); x \in \bigcup W W a D f a \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{carrier } D$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-10: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in W W a D f a; \text{Sup } D W \notin W \rrbracket \implies \neg \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D W) W)) (\text{Sup } D W)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-11: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; W \in W W a D f a; \forall x \in W. x \preceq b; x \in W \rrbracket \implies \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } b W)) x = \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D W) x$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-12: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; W \in W W a D f a; \forall x \in W. x \preceq b; x \in W; \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D W) x \rrbracket \implies$

$Pre (Iod D (insert b W)) x = Pre (Iod D W) x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-13: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in carrier D \rightarrow carrier D;$
 $a \in carrier D; b \in carrier D; \forall x \in carrier D. x \preceq f x; W \in WWa D f a;$
 $\forall x \in W. x \preceq b; x \in W \rrbracket \implies$
 $(segment (Iod D (insert b W)) x) = segment (Iod D W) x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-14: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in carrier D \rightarrow carrier D;$
 $a \in carrier D; \forall x \in carrier D. x \preceq (f x); W \in WWa D f a \rrbracket \implies$
 $(insert (Sup D W) W) \in WWa D f a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-15: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in carrier D \rightarrow carrier D;$
 $a \in carrier D; \forall x \in carrier D. x \preceq (f x); W \in WWa D f a;$
 $f (Sup D W) \neq Sup D W \rrbracket \implies$
 $ExPre (Iod D (insert (f (Sup D W)) (insert (Sup D W) W))) (f (Sup D W))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-16: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in carrier D \rightarrow carrier D;$
 $a \in carrier D; \forall x \in carrier D. x \preceq (f x); W \in WWa D f a;$
 $f (Sup D W) \neq (Sup D W) \rrbracket \implies$
 $Pre (Iod D (insert (f (Sup D W)) (insert (Sup D W) W))) (f (Sup D W))$
 $=$
 $(Sup D W)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-17: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in carrier D \rightarrow carrier D;$
 $a \in carrier D; \forall x \in carrier D. x \preceq (f x); W \in WWa D f a \rrbracket \implies$
 $(insert (f (Sup D W)) (insert (Sup D W) W)) \in WWa D f a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) BNTr8: $\llbracket f \in carrier D \rightarrow carrier D; a \in carrier D;$
 $\forall x \in carrier D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies \bigcup (WWa D f a) \in (WWa D f a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) BNTr10: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in carrier D \rightarrow carrier D;$
 $a \in carrier D; \forall x \in carrier D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(Sup D (\bigcup WWa D f a)) \in (\bigcup WWa D f a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) BNTr11: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in carrier D \rightarrow carrier D;$
 $a \in carrier D; \forall x \in carrier D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (Sup D (\bigcup WWa D f a)) = (Sup D (\bigcup WWa D f a))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) Bourbaki-Nakayama: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D;$
 $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists x_0 \in \text{carrier } D. f x_0 = x_0$
 <proof>

definition

$\text{maxl-fun} :: - \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $\text{maxl-fun } D = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } D. \text{if } \exists y. y \in (\text{upper-bounds } D \{x\}) \wedge y \neq x \text{ then}$
 $\text{SOME } z. z \in (\text{upper-bounds } D \{x\}) \wedge z \neq x \text{ else } x)$

lemma (in Order) $\text{maxl-funTr}: \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\exists y. y \in \text{upper-bounds } D \{x\} \wedge y \neq x \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{SOME } z. z \in \text{upper-bounds } D \{x\} \wedge z \neq x) \in \text{carrier } D$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) $\text{maxl-fun-func}: \text{maxl-fun } D \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) $\text{maxl-fun-gt}: \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq y \wedge x \neq y \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \preceq (\text{maxl-fun } D x) \wedge (\text{maxl-fun } D x) \neq x$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) $\text{maxl-fun-maxl}: \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } D; \text{maxl-fun } D x = x \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{maximal } x$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) $\text{maxl-fun-asc}: \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (\text{maxl-fun } D x)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) $S\text{-inductive-maxl}: \llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{carrier } D \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists m. \text{maximal } m$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) $\text{maximal-mem}: \text{maximal } m \implies m \in \text{carrier } D$
 <proof>

definition

$\text{Chains} :: - \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{Chains } D == \{C. \text{Chain } D C\}$

definition

$\text{family-Torder} :: - \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}) \text{ Order}$
 $((f \text{To } -) [999]1000)$ **where**
 $f \text{To } D = (\llbracket \text{carrier} = \text{Chains } D, \text{rel} = \{Z. Z \in (\text{Chains } D) \times (\text{Chains } D) \wedge (\text{fst}$
 $Z) \subseteq (\text{snd } Z)\} \rrbracket)$

lemma (in Order) Chain-mem-fTo:Chain D C \implies C \in carrier (fTo D)
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) fToOrder:Order (fTo D)
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) fTo-Order-sub: $\llbracket A \in \text{carrier } (fTo D); B \in \text{carrier } (fTo D) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (A \preceq_{(fTo D)} B) = (A \subseteq B)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-fTo-Chain: X \in carrier (fTo D) \implies Chain D X
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-fTo-sub-carrier: X \in carrier (fTo D) \implies X \subseteq carrier D
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) Un-fTo-Chain: Chain (fTo D) CC \implies Chain D (\bigcup CC)
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) Un-fTo-Chain-mem-fTo: Chain (fTo D) CC \implies
 (\bigcup CC) \in carrier (fTo D)
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) Un-upper-bound: Chain (fTo D) C \implies
 \bigcup C \in upper-bounds (fTo D) C
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) fTo-conditional-inc-C: C \in carrier (fTo D) \implies
 C \in carrier (Iod (fTo D) {S \in carrier fTo D. C \subseteq S})
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) fTo-conditional-Un-Chain-mem1: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$
 Chain (Iod (fTo D) {S \in carrier (fTo D). C \subseteq S}) Ca; Ca \neq {} $\rrbracket \implies$
 \bigcup Ca \in upper-bounds (Iod (fTo D) {S \in carrier fTo D. C \subseteq S}) Ca
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) fTo-conditional-min1: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$
 Chain (Iod (fTo D) {S \in carrier (fTo D). C \subseteq S}) Ca; Ca \neq {} $\rrbracket \implies$
 minimum-elem (Iod (fTo D) {S \in carrier fTo D. C \subseteq S})
 (upper-bounds (Iod (fTo D) {S \in carrier (fTo D). C \subseteq S}) Ca) (\bigcup Ca)
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) fTo-conditional-Un-Chain-mem2: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$
 Chain (Iod (fTo D) {S \in carrier fTo D. C \subseteq S}) Ca; Ca = {} $\rrbracket \implies$
 C \in upper-bounds (Iod (fTo D) {S \in carrier (fTo D). C \subseteq S}) Ca
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) *fTo-conditional-min2*: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$
 $\text{Chain } (Iod (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca; Ca = \{\}$ \implies
 $\text{minimum-elem } (Iod (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } fTo D. C \subseteq S\})$
 $(\text{upper-bounds } (Iod (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca) C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) *fTo-S-inductive:S-inductive-set* (fTo D)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) *conditional-min-upper-bound*: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$
 $\text{Chain } (Iod (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } fTo D. C \subseteq S\}) Ca \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists X. \text{minimum-elem } (Iod (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). C \subseteq S\})$
 $(\text{upper-bounds } (Iod (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca) X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) *Hausdorff-acTr*: $C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D) \implies$
 $S\text{-inductive-set } (Iod (fTo D) \{S. S \in (\text{carrier } (fTo D)) \wedge C \subseteq S\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *satisfy-cond-mem-set*: $\llbracket x \in A; P x \rrbracket \implies x \in \{y \in A. P y\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) *maximal-conditional-maximal*: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$
 $\text{maximal}_{Iod (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). C \subseteq S\}} m \rrbracket \implies \text{maximal}_{(fTo D)} m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) *Hausdorff-ac*: $C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D) \implies$
 $\exists M \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). C \subseteq M \wedge \text{maximal}_{(fTo D)} M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) *Zorn-lemmaTr*: $\llbracket \text{Chain } D C; M \in \text{carrier } fTo D; C \subseteq M;$
 $\text{maximal}_{fTo D} M; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall s \in M. s \preceq b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{maximal } b \wedge b \in \text{upper-bounds } D C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) *g-Zorn-lemma1*: $\llbracket \text{inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D C \rrbracket \implies \exists m. \text{maxi-}$
 $\text{mal } m \wedge m \in \text{upper-bounds } D C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) *g-Zorn-lemma2*: $\llbracket \text{inductive-set } D; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists m \in \text{carrier } D. \text{maximal } m \wedge a \preceq m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) *g-Zorn-lemma3*: $\text{inductive-set } D \implies \exists m \in \text{carrier } D. \text{maximal}$
 m
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Chapter 3

Group Theory. Focused on Jordan Hoelder theorem

3.1 Definition of a Group

```
record 'a Group = 'a carrier +  
  top    :: ['a, 'a] => 'a (infixl · 70)  
  iop    :: 'a => 'a (⌊ 81 ⌋ 80)  
  one    :: 'a (1)
```

```
locale Group =  
  fixes G (structure)  
  assumes top-closed: top G ∈ carrier G → carrier G → carrier G  
  and    tassoc : [[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G; c ∈ carrier G]] =>  
          (a · b) · c = a · (b · c)  
  and    iop-closed: iop G ∈ carrier G → carrier G  
  and    l-i : a ∈ carrier G => (⌊ a) · a = 1  
  and    unit-closed: 1 ∈ carrier G  
  and    l-unit: a ∈ carrier G => 1 · a = a
```

```
lemma (in Group) mult-closed: [[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G]] =>  
  a · b ∈ carrier G  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in Group) i-closed: a ∈ carrier G => (⌊ a) ∈ carrier G  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in Group) r-mult-eqn: [[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G;  
  c ∈ carrier G; a = b]] => a · c = b · c  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in Group) l-mult-eqn: [[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G;  
  c ∈ carrier G; a = b]] => c · a = c · b  
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma (in Group) *r-i*: $a \in \text{carrier } G \implies$

$$a \cdot (\varrho a) = \mathbf{1}$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *r-unit*: $a \in \text{carrier } G \implies a \cdot \mathbf{1} = a$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *l-i-unique*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$b \cdot a = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies (\varrho a) = b$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *l-i-i*: $a \in \text{carrier } G \implies (\varrho (\varrho a)) \cdot (\varrho a) = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *l-div-eqn*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$a \cdot x = a \cdot y \rrbracket \implies x = y$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *r-div-eqn*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$x \cdot a = y \cdot a \rrbracket \implies x = y$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *l-mult-eqn1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$(\varrho a) \cdot x = (\varrho a) \cdot y \rrbracket \implies x = y$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *tOp-assocTr41*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$d \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *tOp-assocTr42*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$d \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot (b \cdot c) \cdot d$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *tOp-assocTr44*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$d \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies (\varrho a) \cdot b \cdot ((\varrho c) \cdot d) =$$
$$(\varrho a) \cdot ((b \cdot (\varrho c)) \cdot d)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *tOp-assocTr45*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$d \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot (b \cdot (c \cdot d))$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *one-unique*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; x \cdot a = x \rrbracket \implies$

$$a = \mathbf{1}$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *i-one*: $\varrho \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *eqn-inv1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; a = (\varrho x) \rrbracket \implies$
 $x = (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *eqn-inv2*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; x \cdot a = x \cdot (\varrho x) \rrbracket$
 \implies

$$x = (\varrho a)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *r-one-unique*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot x = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies$
 $x = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *r-i-unique*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot x = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies$
 $x = (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *iop-i-i*: $a \in \text{carrier } G \implies \varrho (\varrho a) = a$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *i-ab*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $\varrho (a \cdot b) = (\varrho b) \cdot (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sol-eq-l*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $a \cdot x = b \rrbracket \implies x = (\varrho a) \cdot b$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sol-eq-r*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $x \cdot a = b \rrbracket \implies x = b \cdot (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *r-div-eq*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot (\varrho b) = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies$
 $a = b$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *l-div-eq*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; (\varrho a) \cdot b = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies$
 $a = b$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *i-m-closed*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\varrho a) \cdot b \in \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

3.2 Subgroups

definition

$sg :: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \quad (- \gg - [60, 61] 60) \text{ where}$
 $G \gg H \iff H \neq \{\} \wedge H \subseteq \text{carrier } G \wedge (\forall a \in H. \forall b \in H. a \cdot_G (\varrho_G b) \in H)$

definition

$Gp :: - \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow - \quad ((\dagger-) 70) \text{ where}$
 $\dagger_G H \equiv G \ (\text{carrier} := H, \text{top} := \text{top } G, \text{iop} := \text{iop } G, \text{one} := \text{one } G)$

definition

$rca :: [-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \quad (\text{infix } \cdot_1 70) \text{ where}$
 $H \cdot_G a = \{b. \exists h \in H. h \cdot_G a = b\}$

definition

$lca :: [-, 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \quad (\text{infix } \diamond_1 70) \text{ where}$
 $a \diamond_G H = \{b. \exists h \in H. a \cdot_G h = b\}$

definition

$nsg :: - \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \quad (- \triangleright - [60, 61] 60) \text{ where}$
 $G \triangleright H \iff G \gg H \wedge (\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. H \cdot_G x = x \diamond_G H)$

definition

$\text{set-rca} :: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set set} \text{ where}$
 $\text{set-rca } G H = \{C. \exists a \in \text{carrier } G. C = H \cdot_G a\}$

definition

$c\text{-iop} :: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \text{ where}$
 $c\text{-iop } G H = (\lambda X \in \text{set-rca } G H. \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists h \in H. h \cdot_G (\varrho_G x) = z\})$

definition

$c\text{-top} :: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow (['a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \text{ where}$
 $c\text{-top } G H = (\lambda X \in \text{set-rca } G H. \lambda Y \in \text{set-rca } G H. \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. x \cdot_G y = z\})$

lemma (in Group) sg-subset: $G \gg H \implies H \subseteq \text{carrier } G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) one-Gp-one: $G \gg H \implies \mathbf{1}_{(Gp \ G \ H)} = \mathbf{1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) carrier-Gp: $G \gg H \implies (\text{carrier } (\dagger H)) = H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) sg-subset-lem: $[G \gg H; h \in H] \implies h \in \text{carrier } G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-mult-closedr*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H \rrbracket \implies x \cdot h \in \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-mult-closedl*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \cdot x \in \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-condTr1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; H \neq \{\}; \forall a. \forall b. a \in H \wedge b \in H \longrightarrow a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{1} \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-unit-closed*: $G \gg H \implies \mathbf{1} \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-i-closed*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho x) \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-mult-closed*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H; y \in H \rrbracket \implies x \cdot y \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *nsg-sg*: $G \triangleright H \implies G \gg H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *nsg-subset*: $G \triangleright N \implies N \subseteq \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *nsg-lr-cst-eq*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \diamond N = N \cdot a$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-i-m-closed*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in H; b \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho a) \cdot b \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-m-i-closed*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in H; b \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$

<proof>

definition

sg-gen :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
sg-gen $G A = \bigcap \{H. G \gg H \wedge A \subseteq H\}$

lemma (in *Group*) *smallest-sg-gen*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } G; G \gg H; A \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies \text{sg-gen } G A \subseteq H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *special-sg-G*: $G \gg (\text{carrier } G)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *special-sg-self*: $G = \mathbb{1}(\text{carrier } G)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *special-sg-e*: $G \gg \{1\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *inter-sgs*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K \rrbracket \implies G \gg (H \cap K)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *subg-generated*: $A \subseteq \text{carrier } G \implies G \gg (\text{sg-gen } G A)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

$Qg :: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{carrier} :: 'a \text{ set set}, \text{top} :: ['a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set},$
 $\text{iop} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, \text{one} :: 'a \text{ set}) \text{ where}$
 $Qg \ G \ H = (\text{carrier} = \text{set-rcs } G \ H, \text{top} = \text{c-top } G \ H, \text{iop} = \text{c-iop } G \ H, \text{one} =$
 $H)$

definition

$Pj :: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \text{ where}$
 $Pj \ G \ H = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } G. H \cdot_G x)$

no-notation *inverse-divide* (**infixl** $/$ 70)

abbreviation

$QGRP :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}) \text{ Group}$
 (**infixl** $/$ 70) **where**
 $G / H == Qg \ G \ H$

definition

$gHom :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ set where}$
 $gHom \ G \ F = \{f. (f \in \text{extensional } (\text{carrier } G) \wedge f \in \text{carrier } G \rightarrow \text{carrier } F) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. \forall y \in \text{carrier } G. f (x \cdot_G y) = (f x) \cdot_F (f y))\}$

definition

$gkernel :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$
 $gkernel \ G \ F \ f = \{x. (x \in \text{carrier } G) \wedge (f x = \mathbf{1}_F)\}$

definition

$iim :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b,$
 $'b \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$
 $iim \ G \ F \ f \ K = \{x. (x \in \text{carrier } G) \wedge (f x \in K)\}$

abbreviation

$GKER :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a$
set

$((\exists gker _, -) [88,88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $gker_{G,F} f == gkernel\ G\ F\ f$

definition

$gsurjec :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme},$
 $'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow bool\ ((\exists gsurj _, -) [88,88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $gsurj_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in gHom\ F\ G \wedge surj\text{-to}\ f\ (carrier\ F)\ (carrier\ G)$

definition

$ginjec :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme},$
 $'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow bool\ ((\exists ginj _, -) [88,88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $ginj_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in gHom\ F\ G \wedge inj\text{-on}\ f\ (carrier\ F)$

definition

$gbijec :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow bool\ ((\exists gbij _, -) [88,88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $gbij_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow gsurj_{F,G} f \wedge ginj_{F,G} f$

definition

$Ug :: - \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme} \textbf{ where}$
 $Ug\ G = \mathfrak{b}_G\ \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$

definition

$Ugp :: - \Rightarrow bool \textbf{ where}$
 $Ugp\ G == Group\ G \wedge carrier\ G = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$

definition

$isomorphic :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow bool\ (\textbf{infix}\ \cong\ 100) \textbf{ where}$
 $F \cong G \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. gbij_{F,G} f)$

definition

$constghom :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)\ ((\mathbf{1}' _, -) [88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $\mathbf{1}_{F,G} = (\lambda x \in carrier\ F. \mathbf{1}_G)$

definition

$cmpghom :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'b \Rightarrow 'c, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c \textbf{ where}$
 $cmpghom\ F\ g\ f = compose\ (carrier\ F)\ g\ f$

abbreviation

$GCOMP :: ['b \Rightarrow 'c, ('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c$
 $((\exists \circ _, -) [88, 88, 89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $g \circ_F f == cmpghom\ F\ g\ f$

lemma *Group-Ugp*: $Ugp\ G \Longrightarrow Group\ G$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *r-mult-in-sg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier\ G; x \in carrier\ G; x \cdot a \in H \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \exists h \in H. h \cdot (\varrho\ a) = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *r-unit-sg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow h \cdot \mathbf{1} = h$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-l-unit*: $\llbracket G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathbf{1} \cdot h = h$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-l-i*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\varrho\ x) \cdot x = \mathbf{1}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-tassoc*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H; y \in H; z \in H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $x \cdot y \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-condition*: $\llbracket H \subseteq carrier\ G; H \neq \{\};$
 $\forall a. \forall b. a \in H \wedge b \in H \longrightarrow a \cdot (\varrho\ b) \in H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow G \gg H$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

Gimage :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) Group\ scheme, (\ 'b, 'm1) Group\ scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow$
 $(\ 'b, 'm1) Group\ scheme$ **where**
Gimage $F\ G\ f = Gp\ G\ (f\ '(carrier\ F))$

abbreviation

GIMAGE :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) Group\ scheme, (\ 'b, 'm1) Group\ scheme,$
 $'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow (\ 'b, 'm1) Group\ scheme$ $((\exists Img_{-, -} [88,88,89]88))$ **where**
 $Img_{F,G}\ f == Gimage\ F\ G\ f$

lemma (in *Group*) *Group-Gp*: $G \gg H \Longrightarrow Group\ (\natural\ H)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *Gp-carrier*: $G \gg H \Longrightarrow carrier\ (Gp\ G\ H) = H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-sg*: $\llbracket G \gg K; G \gg H; H \subseteq K \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Gp\ G\ K \gg H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-subset-of-subG*: $\llbracket G \gg K; Gp\ G\ K \gg H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow H \subseteq K$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *const-ghom*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 1_{F,G} \in gHom\ F\ G$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *const-gbij*: $gbij_{(\mathfrak{h}\{1\}),(\mathfrak{h}\{1\})} (1_{(\mathfrak{h}\{1\}),(\mathfrak{h}\{1\})})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *unit-Groups-isom*: $(\mathfrak{h}\{1\}) \cong (\mathfrak{h}\{1\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ugp-const-gHom*: $\llbracket Ugp\ G; Ugp\ E \rrbracket \implies (\lambda x \in carrier\ G.\ \mathbf{1}_E) \in gHom\ G\ E$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ugp-const-gbij*: $\llbracket Ugp\ G; Ugp\ E \rrbracket \implies gbij_{G,E} (\lambda x \in carrier\ G.\ \mathbf{1}_E)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ugps-isomorphic*: $\llbracket Ugp\ G; Ugp\ E \rrbracket \implies G \cong E$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *Gp-mult-induced*: $\llbracket G \gg L; a \in L; b \in L \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \cdot_{(Gp\ G\ L)} b = a \cdot b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *sg-i-induced*: $\llbracket G \gg L; a \in L \rrbracket \implies \varrho_{(Gp\ G\ L)} a = \varrho a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *Gp-mult-induced1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K; b \in H \cap K \rrbracket$
 $\implies a \cdot_{\mathfrak{h}(H \cap K)} b = a \cdot_{(\mathfrak{h}H)} b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *Gp-mult-induced2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K; b \in H \cap K \rrbracket$
 $\implies a \cdot_{\mathfrak{h}(H \cap K)} b = a \cdot_{(\mathfrak{h}K)} b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *sg-i-induced1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K \rrbracket$
 $\implies \varrho_{\mathfrak{h}(H \cap K)} a = \varrho_{(\mathfrak{h}H)} a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *sg-i-induced2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K \rrbracket$
 $\implies \varrho_{\mathfrak{h}(H \cap K)} a = \varrho_{\mathfrak{h}K} a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *subg-sg-sg*: $\llbracket G \gg K; (Gp\ G\ K) \gg H \rrbracket \implies G \gg H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *Gp-inherited*: $\llbracket G \gg K; G \gg L; K \subseteq L \rrbracket \implies$
 $Gp\ (Gp\ G\ L)\ K = Gp\ G\ K$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.3 Cosets

lemma (in *Group*) *mem-lcs*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in a \diamond H \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \in \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *lcs-subset*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \diamond H \subseteq \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *a-in-lcs*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \in a \diamond H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *eq-lcs1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $x \in a \diamond H; a \diamond H = b \diamond H \rrbracket \implies x \in b \diamond H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *eq-lcs2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $a \diamond H = b \diamond H \rrbracket \implies a \in b \diamond H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *lcs-mem-ldiv*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \in b \diamond H) = ((\varrho b) \cdot a \in H)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *lcsTr5*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $(\varrho a) \cdot b \in H; x \in a \diamond H \rrbracket \implies ((\varrho b) \cdot x) \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *lcsTr6*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $(\varrho a) \cdot b \in H; x \in a \diamond H \rrbracket \implies x \in b \diamond H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *lcs-Unit1*: $G \gg H \implies \mathbf{1} \diamond H = H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *lcs-Unit2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \diamond H = H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *lcsTr7*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; (\varrho a) \cdot b \in H \rrbracket$
 $\implies a \diamond H \subseteq b \diamond H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *lcsTr8*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot h \in a \diamond H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *lcs-tool1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $(\varrho a) \cdot b \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho b) \cdot a \in H$

<proof>

theorem (in Group) lcs-eq: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $((\varrho a) \cdot b \in H) = (a \diamond H = b \diamond H)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) rcs-subset: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies H \cdot a \subseteq \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) mem-rcs: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in H. h \cdot a = x$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) rcs-subset-elem: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \in \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) rcs-in-set-rcs: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \cdot a \in \text{set-rcs } G H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) rcsTr0: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \cdot (a \cdot b) \in \text{set-rcs } G H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) a-in-rcs: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \in H \cdot a$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) rcs-nonempty: $\llbracket G \gg H; X \in \text{set-rcs } G H \rrbracket \implies X \neq \{\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) rcs-tool0: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H \rrbracket \implies b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) rcsTr1: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a; H \cdot a = H \cdot b \rrbracket \implies x \in H \cdot b$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) rcs-eqTr: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; H \cdot a = H \cdot b \rrbracket \implies a \in H \cdot b$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) rcs-eqTr1: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \in H \cdot b) = (a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) rcsTr2: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in H \cdot (\varrho a) \rrbracket \implies$
 $b \cdot a \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rscTr5*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies x \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rscTr6*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies x \in H \cdot b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-Unit1*: $G \gg H \implies H \cdot \mathbf{1} = H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *unit-rsc-in-set-rsc*: $G \gg H \implies H \in \text{set-rsc } G H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-Unit2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies H \cdot h = H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rscTr7*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket$
 $\implies H \cdot a \subseteq H \cdot b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-tool1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-tool2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists h \in H. h \cdot a = x$
 <proof>

theorem (in *Group*) *rsc-eq*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H) = (H \cdot a = H \cdot b)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-eq1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \cdot a = H \cdot x$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-eq2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $(H \cdot a) \cap (H \cdot b) \neq \{\}$ $\rrbracket \implies (H \cdot a) = (H \cdot b)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-meet*: $\llbracket G \gg H; X \in \text{set-rsc } G H; Y \in \text{set-rsc } G H;$
 $X \cap Y \neq \{\}$ $\rrbracket \implies X = Y$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rscTr8*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$
 $h \cdot x \in H \cdot a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rctTr9*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H; (\varrho x) \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$
 $h \cdot (\varrho x) \in H \cdot a$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rctTr10*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a; y \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \cdot (\varrho y) \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *PrSubg4-2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot (\varrho a) \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \in \{z. \exists v \in (H \cdot a). \exists h \in H. h \cdot (\varrho v) = z\}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rct-fixed*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; H \cdot a = H \rrbracket \implies a \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rct-fixed1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \cdot a = (H \cdot (h \cdot a))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rct-fixed2*: $G \gg H \implies \forall h \in H. H \cdot h = H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *Gp-rct*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; H \subseteq K; x \in K \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \cdot (Gp \ G \ K) \ x = (H \cdot x)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *subg-lcs*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; H \subseteq K; x \in K \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \diamond (Gp \ G \ K) \ H = x \diamond H$

<proof>

3.4 Normal subgroups and Quotient groups

lemma (in *Group*) *nsg1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; b \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. (a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket \implies b \cdot h \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *nsg2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; b \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. (a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho b) \cdot h \cdot b \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *nsg-subset-elem*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \in \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *nsg-l-rct-eq*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \diamond N = N \cdot a$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-nsg1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; \forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. (a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in H;$

$$b \in \text{carrier } G \Longrightarrow H \cdot b = b \diamond H$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) cond-nsg:} \llbracket G \gg H; \forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. a \cdot h \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow G \triangleright H$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) special-nsg-e:} G \gg H \Longrightarrow Gp \ G \ H \triangleright \{1\}$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) special-nsg-G:} G \triangleright (\text{carrier } G)$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) special-nsg-G1:} G \gg H \Longrightarrow Gp \ G \ H \triangleright H$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) nsgTr0:} \llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \in N \cdot a \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow (a \cdot (\varrho b) \in N) \wedge ((\varrho a) \cdot b \in N)$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) nsgTr1:} \llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \cdot (\varrho a) \in N \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow (\varrho b) \cdot a \in N$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) nsgTr2:} \llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; a1 \in \text{carrier } G; \\ b1 \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (a \cdot b) \cdot (\varrho (a1 \cdot b1)) = \\ a \cdot (((b \cdot (\varrho b1)) \cdot ((\varrho a1) \cdot a)) \cdot (\varrho a))$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) nsgPr1:} \llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ a \cdot (h \cdot (\varrho a)) \in N$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) nsgPr1-1:} \llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ (a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in N$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) nsgPr2:} \llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ (\varrho a) \cdot (h \cdot a) \in N$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) nsgPr2-1:} \llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ (\varrho a) \cdot h \cdot a \in N$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Group) nsgTr3:} \llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; \\ a1 \in \text{carrier } G; b1 \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot (\varrho a1) \in N; b \cdot (\varrho b1) \in N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ (a \cdot b) \cdot (\varrho (a1 \cdot b1)) \in N$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *nsg-in-Gp*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; G \gg H; N \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies (Gp\ G\ H) \triangleright N$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *nsgTr4*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in N \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\varrho\ x) \in N \cdot (\varrho\ a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *c-topTr1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $a1 \in \text{carrier } G; b1 \in \text{carrier } G; N \cdot a = N \cdot a1; N \cdot b = N \cdot b1 \rrbracket \implies$
 $N \cdot (a \cdot b) = N \cdot (a1 \cdot b1)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *c-topTr2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; a1 \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $N \cdot a = N \cdot a1 \rrbracket \implies N \cdot (\varrho\ a) = N \cdot (\varrho\ a1)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *c-iop-welldefTr1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-iop } G\ N\ (N \cdot a) \subseteq N \cdot (\varrho\ a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *c-iop-welldefTr2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $N \cdot (\varrho\ a) \subseteq c\text{-iop } G\ N\ (N \cdot a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *c-iop-welldef*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-iop } G\ N\ (N \cdot a) = N \cdot (\varrho\ a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *c-top-welldefTr1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $b \in \text{carrier } G; x \in N \cdot a; y \in N \cdot b \rrbracket \implies x \cdot y \in N \cdot (a \cdot b)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *c-top-welldefTr2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket$
 $\implies c\text{-top } G\ N\ (N \cdot a)\ (N \cdot b) \subseteq N \cdot (a \cdot b)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *c-top-welldefTr4*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $x \in N \cdot (a \cdot b) \rrbracket \implies x \in c\text{-top } G\ N\ (N \cdot a)\ (N \cdot b)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *c-top-welldefTr5*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $N \cdot (a \cdot b) \subseteq c\text{-top } G\ N\ (N \cdot a)\ (N \cdot b)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *c-top-welldef*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $N \cdot (a \cdot b) = c\text{-top } G\ N\ (N \cdot a)\ (N \cdot b)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-unitTr}:\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-top } G \ N \ N \ (N \cdot a) = N \cdot a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-unit}:G \triangleright N \implies \forall x \in \text{set-rcs } G \ N. \ c\text{-top } G \ N \ N \ x = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-iTr}:\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-top } G \ N \ (c\text{-iop } G \ N \ (N \cdot a)) \ (N \cdot a) = N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-i}:G \triangleright N \implies$
 $\forall x \in \text{set-rcs } G \ N. \ c\text{-top } G \ N \ (c\text{-iop } G \ N \ x) \ x = N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-tassocTr}:$
 $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-top } G \ N \ (N \cdot a) \ (c\text{-top } G \ N \ (N \cdot b) \ (N \cdot c)) =$
 $c\text{-top } G \ N \ (c\text{-top } G \ N \ (N \cdot a) \ (N \cdot b)) \ (N \cdot c)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-tassoc}: G \triangleright N \implies$
 $\forall X \in \text{set-rcs } G \ N. \ \forall Y \in \text{set-rcs } G \ N. \ \forall Z \in \text{set-rcs } G \ N. \ c\text{-top } G \ N \ X \ (c\text{-top } G \ N \ Y \ Z)$
 $= c\text{-top } G \ N \ (c\text{-top } G \ N \ X \ Y) \ Z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-top}:G \triangleright N \implies$
 $c\text{-top } G \ N : \text{set-rcs } G \ N \rightarrow \text{set-rcs } G \ N \rightarrow \text{set-rcs } G \ N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-top-closed}:\llbracket G \triangleright N; A \in \text{set-rcs } G \ N; B \in \text{set-rcs } G \ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-top } G \ N \ A \ B \in \text{set-rcs } G \ N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-iop}: G \triangleright N \implies$
 $c\text{-iop } G \ N : \text{set-rcs } G \ N \rightarrow \text{set-rcs } G \ N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-iop-closed}:\llbracket G \triangleright N; A \in \text{set-rcs } G \ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-iop } G \ N \ A \in \text{set-rcs } G \ N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-unit-closed}: G \triangleright N \implies N \in \text{set-rcs } G \ N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem (in Group) $Group\text{-Qg}:G \triangleright N \implies Group \ (Qg \ G \ N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) Qg-one: $G \triangleright N \implies \text{one } (G / N) = N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Qg-carrier: $\text{carrier } (G / (N::'a \text{ set})) = \text{set-rcs } G \ N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Qg-unit-group: $G \triangleright N \implies$
 $(\text{set-rcs } G \ N = \{N\}) = (\text{carrier } G = N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Gp-Qg: $G \triangleright N \implies Gp(G / N) (\text{carrier}(G / N)) = G / N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Pj-hom0: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket$
 $\implies Pj \ G \ N \ (x \cdot y) = (Pj \ G \ N \ x) \cdot_{(G / N)} (Pj \ G \ N \ y)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Pj-ghom: $G \triangleright N \implies (Pj \ G \ N) \in gHom \ G \ (G / N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Pj-mem: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; x \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies (Pj \ G \ N) \ x = N \cdot x$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Pj-gsurjec: $G \triangleright N \implies gsurjec \ G \ (G/N) \ (Pj \ G \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) lcs-in-Gp: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; K \subseteq H; a \in H \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \diamond K = a \diamond_{(Gp \ G \ H)} K$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) rcs-in-Gp: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; K \subseteq H; a \in H \rrbracket \implies$
 $K \cdot a = K \cdot_{(Gp \ G \ H)} a$
 <proof>

end

theory Algebra3 imports Algebra2 begin

3.5 Setproducts

definition

commutators:: $- \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 commutators $G = \{z. \exists a \in \text{carrier } G. \exists b \in \text{carrier } G.$
 $((a \cdot_G b) \cdot_G (\varrho_G a)) \cdot_G (\varrho_G b) = z\}$

lemma (in Group) contain-commutator: $\llbracket G \gg H; (\text{commutators } G) \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies G$
 $\triangleright H$

<proof>

definition

$s\text{-top} :: [-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $s\text{-top } G \ H \ K = \{z. \exists x \in H. \exists y \in K. (x \cdot_G y = z)\}$

abbreviation

$S\text{-TOP} :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
 $((\beta\text{-}\diamond_1\text{-}) [66,67]66)$ **where**
 $H \diamond_G K == s\text{-top } G \ H \ K$

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-induced}::[G \gg L; H \subseteq L; K \subseteq L] \Longrightarrow$
 $H \diamond_{Gp} G \ L \ K = H \diamond_G K$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-l-unit}:G \gg K \Longrightarrow \{1\} \diamond_G K = K$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-r-unit}:G \gg K \Longrightarrow K \diamond_G \{1\} = K$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-sub}::[G \gg H; G \gg K] \Longrightarrow H \diamond_G K \subseteq \text{carrier } G$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $sg\text{-inc-set-mult}::[G \gg L; H \subseteq L; K \subseteq L] \Longrightarrow H \diamond_G K \subseteq L$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-sub1}::[H \subseteq (\text{carrier } G); K \subseteq (\text{carrier } G)] \Longrightarrow$
 $H \diamond_G K \subseteq \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-elem}::[G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H; b \in K] \Longrightarrow a \cdot b \in H$
 $\diamond_G K$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-elem1}::[H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; K \subseteq \text{carrier } G; a \in H; b \in K]$
 \Longrightarrow

$$a \cdot b \in H \diamond_G K$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $mem\text{-s-top}::[H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; K \subseteq \text{carrier } G; u \in H \diamond_G K] \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists a \in H. \exists b \in K. (a \cdot b = u)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-mono}::[H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; K \subseteq \text{carrier } G; H1 \subseteq H; K1 \subseteq$
 $K]$

$$\Longrightarrow H1 \diamond_G K1 \subseteq H \diamond_G K$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *s-top-unit-closed*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{1} \in H \diamond_G K$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *s-top-commute*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K; K \diamond_G H = H \diamond_G K; u \in H \diamond_G K; v \in H \diamond_G K \rrbracket \implies u \cdot v \in H \diamond_G K$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *s-top-commute1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K; K \diamond_G H = H \diamond_G K; u \in H \diamond_G K \rrbracket \implies (\varrho u) \in H \diamond_G K$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *s-top-commute-sg*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K; K \diamond_G H = H \diamond_G K \rrbracket \implies G \triangleright (H \diamond_G K)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *s-top-assoc*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K; G \triangleright L \rrbracket \implies (H \diamond_G K) \diamond_G L = H \diamond_G (K \diamond_G L)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *s-topTr6*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H1; G \triangleright H2; G \triangleright K; H1 \subseteq K \rrbracket \implies (H1 \diamond_G H2) \cap K = H1 \diamond_G (H2 \cap K)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *s-topTr6-1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H1; G \triangleright H2; G \triangleright K; H2 \subseteq K \rrbracket \implies (H1 \diamond_G H2) \cap K = (H1 \cap K) \diamond_G H2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *l-sub-smult*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K \rrbracket \implies H \subseteq H \diamond_G K$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *r-sub-smult*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K \rrbracket \implies K \subseteq H \diamond_G K$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *s-topTr8*: $G \triangleright H \implies H = H \diamond_G H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

3.6 Preliminary lemmas for Zassenhaus

lemma (in *Group*) *Gp-sg-subset*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright K \rrbracket \implies K \subseteq H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *inter-Gp-nsg*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; G \triangleright H \rrbracket \implies (\natural H) \triangleright (H \cap N)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr0*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright H1; G \triangleright K; G \triangleright K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies Gp\ G\ (H \cap K) \triangleright (H \cap K1)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *lcs-sub-s-mult*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; a \in H \rrbracket \implies a \diamond N \subseteq H \diamond_G$

N
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rca-sub-smult*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg N; a \in H \rrbracket \implies N \cdot a \subseteq N \diamond_G H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *smult-commute-sg-nsg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies H \diamond_G N = N \diamond_G H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *smult-sg-nsg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies G \gg H \diamond_G N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *smult-nsg-sg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies G \gg N \diamond_G H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *Gp-smult-sg-nsg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies \text{Group } (Gp \ G \ (H \diamond_G N))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *N-sg-HN*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies Gp \ G \ (H \diamond_G N) \gg N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *K-absorb-HK*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; H \subseteq K \rrbracket \implies H \diamond_G K = K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *nsg-Gp-nsg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N; N \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies Gp \ G \ H \triangleright N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *Gp-smult-nsg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies Gp \ G \ (H \diamond_G N) \triangleright N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *Gp-smult-nsg1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies Gp \ G \ (N \diamond_G H) \triangleright N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr2-3*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; Gp \ G \ H \triangleright H1 \rrbracket \implies H1 \subseteq H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr2-4*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; Gp \ G \ H \triangleright H1; h \in H; h1 \in H1 \rrbracket \implies h \cdot h1 \cdot (g \ h) \in H1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp \ G \ H \triangleright H1; Gp \ G \ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1) = (H \cap K1) \diamond_G H1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr1-1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp \ G \ H \triangleright H1; Gp \ G \ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies G \gg (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1 \rrbracket \implies$
 $H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K) = (H \cap K) \diamond_G H1$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr2-1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1 \rrbracket$
 $\implies G \gg H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr2-2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1;$
 $Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1) \subseteq H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr2-5*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G$
 $H \triangleright H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1; a \in H1; b \in H \cap K1; c \in H1 \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \cdot b \cdot c \in H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr2-6*: $\llbracket u \in \text{carrier } G; v \in \text{carrier } G;$

$x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(u \cdot v) \cdot (x \cdot y) \cdot (\varrho (u \cdot v)) =$
 $u \cdot v \cdot x \cdot (\varrho v) \cdot (v \cdot y \cdot (\varrho v)) \cdot (\varrho u)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr2-7*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket$

$\implies a \cdot (x \cdot y) \cdot (\varrho a) = a \cdot x \cdot (\varrho a) \cdot (a \cdot y \cdot (\varrho a))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr3*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H$
 $\triangleright H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)) \triangleright (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr3-2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G$
 $H \triangleright H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies G \gg H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1) \diamond_G (H \cap K)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr3-3*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G$
 $H \triangleright H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies (H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1) = (K1 \cap H) \diamond_G (K \cap H1)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr3-4*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G$
 $H \triangleright H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1; g \in H \cap K; h \in H \cap K1 \rrbracket \implies g \cdot h \cdot (\varrho g) \in H \cap K1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr3-5*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1;$

$$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies (Gp\ G\ (H \cap K)) \triangleright (H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr4*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1;$

$$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1)) \diamond_G (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)) = H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr4-0*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1;$

$$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K) = (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1)) \diamond_G (H \cap K)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr4-1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; (Gp\ G\ H) \triangleright H1; (Gp\ G\ H) \gg (H \cap K) \rrbracket$

$$\implies (Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K))) \triangleright H1$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.7 Homomorphism

lemma *gHom*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; x \in carrier\ F; y \in carrier\ F \rrbracket \implies f\ (x \cdot_F\ y) = (f\ x) \cdot_G\ (f\ y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gHom-mem*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; x \in carrier\ F \rrbracket \implies (f\ x) \in carrier\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gHom-func*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies f \in carrier\ F \rightarrow carrier\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gHomcomp*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; Group\ H; f \in gHom\ F\ G; g \in gHom\ G\ H \rrbracket$

$$\implies (g \circ_F f) \in gHom\ F\ H$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gHom-comp-gsurjec*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; Group\ H; gsurj_{F,G}\ f; gsurj_{G,H}\ g \rrbracket \implies gsurj_{F,H}\ (g \circ_F f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gHom-comp-ginjec*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; Group\ H; ginj_{F,G}\ f; ginj_{G,H}\ g \rrbracket \implies$

$$g\text{inj}_{F,H} (g \circ_F f)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ghom-unit-unit*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \text{ } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (\mathbf{1}_F) = \mathbf{1}_G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ghom-inv-inv*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \text{ } G; x \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (\varrho_F x) = \varrho_G (f x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ghomTr3*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \text{ } G; x \in \text{carrier } F;$
 $y \in \text{carrier } F; f (x \cdot_F (\varrho_F y)) = \mathbf{1}_G \rrbracket \implies f x = f y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iim-nonempty*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \text{ } G; G \gg K \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{iim } F \text{ } G \text{ } f \text{ } K) \neq \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ghomTr4*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \text{ } G; G \gg K \rrbracket \implies$
 $F \gg (\text{iim } F \text{ } G \text{ } f \text{ } K)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in Group*) *IdTr0*: $\text{idmap} (\text{carrier } G) \in g\text{Hom } G \text{ } G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation

IDMAP $((I.) [999]1000)$ **where**
 $I_F == \text{idmap} (\text{carrier } F)$

abbreviation

INVFUN $((\text{Ifn} - - -) [88,88,89]88)$ **where**
 $\text{Ifn } F \text{ } G \text{ } f == \text{infun} (\text{carrier } F) (\text{carrier } G) f$

lemma *IdTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; x \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies (I_F) x = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IdTr2*: $\text{Group } F \implies g\text{bij}_{F,F} (I_F)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Id-l-unit*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; g\text{bij}_{G,G} f \rrbracket \implies I_G \circ_G f = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.8 Gkernel

lemma *gkernTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \text{ } G; x \in g\text{ker}_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \in \text{carrier } F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gkernTr1-1*: $[[Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G]] \implies gker_{F,G} f \subseteq carrier\ F$

<proof>

lemma *gkernTr2*: $[[Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; x \in gker_{F,G} f; y \in gker_{F,G} f]]$

$\implies (x \cdot_F y) \in gker_{F,G} f$

<proof>

lemma *gkernTr3*: $[[Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; x \in gker_{F,G} f]] \implies (g_F x) \in gker_{F,G} f$

<proof>

lemma *gkernTr6*: $[[Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G]] \implies (\mathbf{1}_F) \in gker_{F,G} f$

<proof>

lemma *gkernTr7*: $[[Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G]] \implies F \gg gker_{F,G} f$

<proof>

lemma *gker-normal*: $[[Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G]] \implies F \triangleright gker_{F,G} f$

<proof>

lemma *Group-coim*: $[[Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G]] \implies Group\ (F / gker_{F,G} f)$

<proof>

lemma *gkern1*: $[[Group\ F; Ugp\ E; f \in gHom\ F\ E]] \implies gker_{F,E} f = carrier\ F$

<proof>

lemma *gkern2*: $[[Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; ginj_{F,G} f]] \implies gker_{F,G} f = \{\mathbf{1}_F\}$

<proof>

lemma *gkernTr9*: $[[Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; a \in carrier\ F; b \in carrier\ F]]$

$\implies ((gker_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a = (gker_{F,G} f) \cdot_F b) = (f a = f b)$

<proof>

lemma *gkernTr11*: $[[Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; a \in carrier\ F]] \implies (im\ F\ G\ f\ \{f\ a\}) = (gker_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a$

<proof>

lemma *gbij-comp-bij*: $[[Group\ F; Group\ G; Group\ H; gbij_{F,G} f; gbij_{G,H} g]] \implies gbij_{F,H} (g \circ_F f)$

<proof>

lemma *gbij-automorph*: $[[Group\ G; gbij_{G,G} f; gbij_{G,G} g]] \implies gbij_{G,G} (g \circ_G f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l-unit-gHom*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies (I_G) \circ_F f = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *r-unit-gHom*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies f \circ_F (I_F) = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.9 Image

lemma *inv-gHom*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; gbij_{F,G}\ f \rrbracket \implies (Ifn\ F\ G\ f) \in gHom\ G\ F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inv-gbijec-gbijec*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; gbij_{F,G}\ f \rrbracket \implies gbij_{G,F}\ (Ifn\ F\ G\ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *l-inv-gHom*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; gbij_{F,G}\ f \rrbracket \implies (Ifn\ F\ G\ f) \circ_F f = (I_F)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *img-mult-closed*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; u \in f\ '(carrier\ F); v \in f\ '(carrier\ F) \rrbracket \implies u \cdot_G v \in f\ '(carrier\ F)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *img-unit-closed*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{1}_G \in f\ '(carrier\ F)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imgTr7*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; u \in f\ '(carrier\ F) \rrbracket \implies \varrho_G u \in f\ '(carrier\ F)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imgTr8*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; F \gg H; u \in f\ 'H; v \in f\ 'H \rrbracket \implies u \cdot_G v \in f\ 'H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imgTr9*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; F \gg H; u \in f\ 'H \rrbracket \implies \varrho_G u \in f\ 'H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imgTr10*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; F \gg H \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{1}_G \in f\ 'H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imgTr11*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; F \gg H \rrbracket \implies G \gg (f\ 'H)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sg-gimg*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies G \gg f\ '(carrier\ F)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Group-Img*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies Group\ (Img_{F,G}\ f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Img-carrier*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{Img}_{F,G} f) = f \text{ ' } (\text{carrier } F)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hom-to-Img*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies f \in \text{gHom } F$
 $(\text{Img}_{F,G} f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gker-hom-to-img*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{gker}_{F,(\text{Img}_{F,G} f)} f = \text{gker}_{F,G} f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pj-im-subg*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \triangleright K; K \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Pj } G \ K \text{ ' } H = \text{carrier } ((\text{Gp } G \ H) / K)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in Group*) *subg-Qsubg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright K; K \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies$
 $(G / K) \gg \text{carrier } ((\text{Gp } G \ H) / K)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.10 Induced homomorphisms

lemma *inducedhomTr*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G;$
 $S \in \text{set-rcs } F \ (\text{gker}_{F,G} f); s1 \in S; s2 \in S \rrbracket \implies f \ s1 = f \ s2$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

induced-ghom :: $[(\text{'a}, \text{'more}) \text{Group-scheme}, (\text{'b}, \text{'more1}) \text{Group-scheme},$
 $(\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b})] \Rightarrow (\text{'a set} \Rightarrow \text{'b}) \text{ where}$
induced-ghom $F \ G \ f = (\lambda X \in (\text{set-rcs } F \ (\text{gker}_{F,G} f)). f \ (\text{SOME } x. x \in X))$

abbreviation

INDUCED-GHOM :: $[\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{Group-scheme}, (\text{'b}, \text{'m1}) \text{Group-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{'a set} \Rightarrow \text{'b}) ((\beta \text{''}, \text{'}) [82,82,83]82) \text{ where}$
 $f \text{''}_{F,G} == \text{induced-ghom } F \ G \ f$

lemma *induced-ghom-someTr*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G;$
 $X \in \text{set-rcs } F \ (\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \rrbracket \implies f \ (\text{SOME } xa. xa \in X) \in f \text{ ' } (\text{carrier } F)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *induced-ghom-someTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; a \in \text{carrier}$
 $F \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ (\text{SOME } xa. xa \in (\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a) = f \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inducedHOMTr0*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; a \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies$

$$(f''_{F,G}) ((gker_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a) = f a$$

<proof>

lemma *inducedHOMTr0-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in \text{set-rcs } F (gker_{F,G} f) \rightarrow \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma *inducedHOMTr0-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in \text{set-rcs } F (gker_{F,G} f) \rightarrow f' (\text{carrier } F)$

<proof>

lemma *inducedHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in g\text{Hom } (F / (gker_{F,G} f)) \ G$

<proof>

lemma *induced-ghom-ginjec*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $ginj (F / (gker_{F,G} f), G) (f''_{F,G})$

<proof>

lemma *inducedhomgsurjec*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; gsurj_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies$
 $gsurj (F / (gker_{F,G} f), G) (f''_{F,G})$

<proof>

lemma *homomtr*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in g\text{Hom } (F / (gker_{F,G} f)) (Img_{F,G} f)$

<proof>

lemma *homom2img*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f''_{F,G} (Img_{F,G} f)) \in g\text{Hom } (F / (gker_{F,G} f)) (Img_{F,G} f)$

<proof>

lemma *homom2img1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in g\text{Hom } F \ G; X \in \text{set-rcs } F (gker_{F,G} f) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (f''_{F,G} (Img_{F,G} f)) X = (f''_{F,G}) X$

<proof>

3.10.1 Homomorphism therems

definition

$$iota :: ('a, 'm) \text{Group-scheme} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$$

$((\iota \cdot) [1000]999) \text{ where}$
 $\iota_F = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } F. x)$

lemma *iotahomTr0*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies (\iota_{(Gp \ G \ H)}) h = h$

<proof>

lemma *iotahom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies$

$\iota_{(Gp\ G\ H)} \in gHom\ (Gp\ G\ H)\ (Gp\ G\ (H\ \diamond_G\ N))$
 <proof>

lemma *iotaTr0*: $\llbracket Group\ G; G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies$
 $ginj_{(Gp\ G\ H), (Gp\ G\ (H\ \diamond_G\ N))} (\iota_{(Gp\ G\ H)})$
 <proof>

theorem *homomthm1*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $gbij_{(F / (gkernel\ F\ G\ f)), (Gimage\ F\ G\ f)} (f \circ F, (Gimage\ F\ G\ f))$
 <proof>

lemma *isomTr0* [*simp*]: $Group\ F \implies F \cong F$
 <proof>

lemma *isomTr1*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; F \cong G \rrbracket \implies G \cong F$
 <proof>

lemma *isomTr2*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; Group\ H; F \cong G; G \cong H \rrbracket \implies F \cong H$
 <proof>

lemma *gisom1*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(F / (gker_{F,G}\ f)) \cong (Img_{F,G}\ f)$
 <proof>

lemma *homomth2Tr0*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies$
 $F \triangleright (iim\ F\ G\ f\ N)$
 <proof>

lemma *kern-comp-gHom*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; gsurj_{F,G}\ f; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies$
 $gker_{F, (G/N)} ((Pj\ G\ N) \circ_F f) = iim\ F\ G\ f\ N$
 <proof>

lemma *QgrpUnit-1*: $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \triangleright H; (G / H) \cong E \rrbracket \implies carrier\ G = H$
 <proof>

lemma *QgrpUnit-2*: $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \triangleright H; carrier\ G = H \rrbracket \implies (G/H) \cong E$
 <proof>

lemma *QgrpUnit-3*: $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \gg H; G \gg H1; (Gp\ G\ H) \triangleright H1; ((Gp\ G\ H) / H1) \cong E \rrbracket \implies H = H1$
 <proof>

lemma *QgrpUnit-4*: $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \gg H; G \gg H1; (Gp\ G\ H) \triangleright H1; \neg ((Gp\ G\ H) / H1) \cong E \rrbracket \implies H \neq H1$
 <proof>

definition

$Qmp :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$ **where**
 $Qmp \ G \ H \ N = (\lambda X \in \text{set-rcs } G \ H. \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in N. (y \cdot_G x = z)\})$

abbreviation

$QP :: [-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$
 $((\exists Qm _ _ _) [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $Qm \ G \ H, N == Qmp \ G \ H \ N$

lemma (in Group) QmpTr0: $[G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N; a \in \text{carrier } G] \Longrightarrow$
 $Qmp \ G \ H \ N \ (H \cdot a) = (N \cdot a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) QmpTr1: $[G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $H \cdot a = H \cdot b] \Longrightarrow N \cdot a = N \cdot b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) QmpTr2: $[G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N; X \in \text{carrier } (G/H)]$
 $\Longrightarrow (Qmp \ G \ H \ N) \ X \in \text{carrier } (G/N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) QmpTr2-1: $[G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N] \Longrightarrow$
 $Qmp \ G \ H \ N \in \text{carrier } (G/H) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (G/N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) QmpTr3: $[G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N; X \in \text{carrier } (G/H);$
 $Y \in \text{carrier } (G/H)] \Longrightarrow$
 $(Qmp \ G \ H \ N) \ (c\text{-top } G \ H \ X \ Y) = c\text{-top } G \ N \ ((Qmp \ G \ H \ N) \ X) \ ((Qmp \ G \ H$
 $N) \ Y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) Gp-s-mult-nsg: $[G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N; a \in N] \Longrightarrow$
 $H \cdot (Gp \ G \ N) \ a = H \cdot a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) QmpTr5: $[G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N; X \in \text{carrier } (G/H);$
 $Y \in \text{carrier } (G/H)] \Longrightarrow (Qmp \ G \ H \ N) \ (X \cdot_{(G/H)} Y) =$
 $((Qmp \ G \ H \ N) \ X) \cdot_{(G/N)} ((Qmp \ G \ H \ N) \ Y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) QmpTr: $[G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N] \Longrightarrow$
 $(Qm \ G \ H, N) \in gHom \ (G / H) \ (G / N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *Qmpgsurjec*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies$
 $gsurj_{(G/H),(G/N)} (Qm_{G,H,N})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *gkerQmp*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies$
 $gker_{(G/H),(G/N)} (Qm_{G,H,N}) = carrier ((Gp\ G\ N)/H)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem (in Group) *homom2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies$
 $gbij_{((G/H)/(carrier ((Gp\ G\ N)/H))),(G/N)} ((Qm_{G,H,N})^{**} (G/H),(G/N))$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.11 Isomorphisms

theorem (in Group) *isom2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies$
 $((G/H)/(carrier ((Gp\ G\ N)/H))) \cong (G/N)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *homom3*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; G \triangleright N; gsurj_{F,G}\ f; N1 = (iim\ F\ G\ f)\ N \rrbracket \implies (F / N1) \cong (G / N)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *homom3Tr1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies H \cap N =$
 $gker_{(Gp\ G\ H),(Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N))/N}$
 $((Pj\ (Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N))\ N) \circ_{(Gp\ G\ H)} (\iota_{(Gp\ G\ H)}))$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.11.1 An automorphism groups

definition

automg :: - \Rightarrow
 (| *carrier* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) set, *top* :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a),
iop :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a), *one* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a)) **where**
automg G = (| *carrier* = {f. *gbij*_{G,G} f},
top = $\lambda g \in \{f. \text{gbij}_{G,G} f\}. \lambda f \in \{f. \text{gbij}_{G,G} f\}. (g \circ_G f)$,
iop = $\lambda f \in \{f. \text{gbij}_{G,G} f\}. (Ifn\ G\ G\ f)$, *one* = I_G |)

lemma *automgroupTr1*: $\llbracket Group\ G; gbij_{G,G}\ f; gbij_{G,G}\ g; gbij_{G,G}\ h \rrbracket \implies$
 $(h \circ_G g) \circ_G f = h \circ_G (g \circ_G f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *automgroup*: $Group\ G \implies Group\ (automg\ G)$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.11.2 Complete system of representatives

definition

gcsrp :: - \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool **where**

$gcsrp\ G\ H\ S == \exists f. (bij\text{-}to\ f\ (set\text{-}rcs\ G\ H)\ S)$

definition

$gcsrp\text{-}map::- \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ \mathbf{where}$
 $gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H == \lambda X \in (set\text{-}rcs\ G\ H).\ SOME\ x. x \in X$

lemma (in Group) $gcsrp\text{-}func:G \gg H \Longrightarrow gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H \in set\text{-}rcs\ G\ H \rightarrow UNIV$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) $gcsrp\text{-}func1:G \gg H \Longrightarrow$
 $gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H \in set\text{-}rcs\ G\ H \rightarrow (gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H) \text{ '}(set\text{-}rcs\ G\ H)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) $gcsrp\text{-}map\text{-}bij:G \gg H \Longrightarrow$
 $bij\text{-}to\ (gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H)\ (set\text{-}rcs\ G\ H)\ ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H) \text{ '}(set\text{-}rcs\ G\ H))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) $image\text{-}gcsrp:G \gg H \Longrightarrow$
 $gcsrp\ G\ H\ ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H) \text{ '}(set\text{-}rcs\ G\ H))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) $gcsrp\text{-}exists:G \gg H \Longrightarrow \exists S. gcsrp\ G\ H\ S$
 <proof>

definition

$gcsrp\text{-}top :: [- , 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ \mathbf{where}$
 $gcsrp\text{-}top\ G\ H == \lambda x \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H) \text{ '}(set\text{-}rcs\ G\ H)).$
 $\lambda y \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H) \text{ '}(set\text{-}rcs\ G\ H)).$
 $gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H$
 (c-top G H
 ((invfun (set-rcs G H) ((gcsrp-map G H) '(set-rcs G H)) (gcsrp-map G H)) x)
 ((invfun (set-rcs G H) ((gcsrp-map G H) '(set-rcs G H)) (gcsrp-map G H)) y))

definition

$gcsrp\text{-}iop::[- , 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ \mathbf{where}$
 $gcsrp\text{-}iop\ G\ H = (\lambda x \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H) \text{ '}(set\text{-}rcs\ G\ H)).$
 $gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H$
 (c-iop G H
 ((invfun (set-rcs G H) ((gcsrp-map G H) '(set-rcs G H)) (gcsrp-map G H))
 x)))

definition

$gcsrp\text{-}one::[- , 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ \mathbf{where}$
 $gcsrp\text{-}one\ G\ H = gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ H\ H$

definition

$Gcsrp :: - \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ Group\ \mathbf{where}$
 $Gcsrp\ G\ N = (\text{carrier} = (gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N) \text{ '}(set\text{-}rcs\ G\ N),$

$$top = gcsrp-top \ G \ N, \ iop = gcsrp-iop \ G \ N, \ one = gcsrp-one \ G \ N$$

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-top-closed*: \llbracket Group G ; $G \triangleright N$;
 $a \in ((gcsrp-map \ G \ N) \ ' (set-rcs \ G \ N))$; $b \in ((gcsrp-map \ G \ N) \ ' (set-rcs \ G \ N))$ \rrbracket
 $\implies gcsrp-top \ G \ N \ a \ b \in (gcsrp-map \ G \ N) \ ' (set-rcs \ G \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-tassoc*: \llbracket Group G ; $G \triangleright N$;
 $a \in ((gcsrp-map \ G \ N) \ ' (set-rcs \ G \ N))$;
 $b \in ((gcsrp-map \ G \ N) \ ' (set-rcs \ G \ N))$;
 $c \in ((gcsrp-map \ G \ N) \ ' (set-rcs \ G \ N))$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(gcsrp-top \ G \ N \ (gcsrp-top \ G \ N \ a \ b) \ c) =$
 $(gcsrp-top \ G \ N \ a \ (gcsrp-top \ G \ N \ b \ c))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-l-one*: \llbracket Group G ; $G \triangleright N$;
 $a \in ((gcsrp-map \ G \ N) \ ' (set-rcs \ G \ N))$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(gcsrp-top \ G \ N \ (gcsrp-one \ G \ N) \ a) = a$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-l-i*: \llbracket $G \triangleright N$; $a \in ((gcsrp-map \ G \ N) \ ' (set-rcs \ G \ N))$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $gcsrp-top \ G \ N \ (gcsrp-iop \ G \ N \ a) \ a = gcsrp-one \ G \ N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-i-closed*: \llbracket $G \triangleright N$; $a \in ((gcsrp-map \ G \ N) \ ' (set-rcs \ G \ N))$ \rrbracket
 $\implies gcsrp-iop \ G \ N \ a \in ((gcsrp-map \ G \ N) \ ' (set-rcs \ G \ N))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *Group-Gcsrp*: $G \triangleright N \implies Group \ (Gcsrp \ G \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-map-gbijec*: $G \triangleright N \implies$
 $gbij \ (G/N), \ (Gcsrp \ G \ N) \ (gcsrp-map \ G \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *Qg-equiv-Gcsrp*: $G \triangleright N \implies (G / N) \cong Gcsrp \ G \ N$
 <proof>

3.12 Zassenhaus

we show $H \rightarrow H N / N$ is gsurjective

lemma (in Group) *homom4Tr1*: \llbracket $G \triangleright N$; $G \gg H$ $\rrbracket \implies Group \ ((Gp \ G \ (H \diamond_G \ N)) / N)$
 <proof>

lemma *homom3Tr2*: \llbracket Group G ; $G \gg H$; $G \triangleright N$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $gsurj \ (Gp \ G \ H), \ ((Gp \ G \ (H \diamond_G \ N)) / N)$
 $((Pj \ (Gp \ G \ (H \diamond_G \ N)) \ N) \circ_{(Gp \ G \ H)} \ (\iota_{(Gp \ G \ H)}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *homom4*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies \text{gbij}(((Gp\ G\ H)/(H \cap N)),((Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N)) / N)$
 $((Pj\ (Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N))\ N) \circ_{(Gp\ G\ H)} (\iota_{(Gp\ G\ H)}))^{-1} (Gp\ G\ H),((Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N)) / N))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in Group*) *homom4-2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies \text{Group } ((Gp\ G\ H) / (H \cap N))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom4*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies$
 $((Gp\ G\ H)/(H \cap N)) \cong ((Gp\ G\ (N \diamond_G H)) / N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr5*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \implies$
 $((Gp\ G\ (H \cap K))/((H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1))) \cong$
 $((Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)))/(H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr5-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \implies ((Gp\ G\ (K \cap H))/((K1 \cap H) \diamond_G (K \cap H1))) \cong$
 $((Gp\ G\ (K1 \diamond_G (K \cap H)))/(K1 \diamond_G (K \cap H1)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr5-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \implies$
 $((Gp\ G\ (H \cap K))/((H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1))) =$
 $((Gp\ G\ (K \cap H))/((K1 \cap H) \diamond_G (K \cap H1)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr6-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \implies \text{Group } (Gp\ G\ (H \cap K) / (H1 \cap K \diamond_G H \cap K1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr6-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \implies \text{Group } (Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G H \cap K) / (H1 \diamond_G H \cap K1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr6-3*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K\ \triangleright\ K1]] \implies Group\ (Gp\ G\ (K1\ \diamond_G\ K\ \cap\ H)\ /\ (K1\ \diamond_G\ K\ \cap\ H1))$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Zassenhaus*: $[[Group\ G;\ G\ \gg\ H;\ G\ \gg\ H1;\ G\ \gg\ K;\ G\ \gg\ K1;\ Gp\ G\ H\ \triangleright\ H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K\ \triangleright\ K1]] \implies (Gp\ G\ (H1\ \diamond_G\ H\ \cap\ K)\ /\ (H1\ \diamond_G\ H\ \cap\ K1)) \cong$
 $(Gp\ G\ (K1\ \diamond_G\ K\ \cap\ H)\ /\ (K1\ \diamond_G\ K\ \cap\ H1))$

⟨proof⟩

3.13 Chain of groups I

definition

$d\text{-}gchain :: [- , nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $d\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ g = (if\ n=0\ then\ G\ \gg\ g\ 0\ else\ (\forall l \leq n.\ G\ \gg\ (g\ l)\ \wedge$
 $(\forall l \leq (n - Suc\ 0).\ g\ (Suc\ l)\ \subseteq\ g\ l)))$

definition

$D\text{-}gchain :: [- , nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $D\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ g = (if\ n = 0\ then\ G\ \gg\ (g\ 0)\ else\ (d\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ g)\ \wedge$
 $(\forall l \leq (n - Suc\ 0).\ (g\ (Suc\ l))\ \subseteq\ (g\ l)))$

definition

$td\text{-}gchain :: [- , nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $td\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ g = (if\ n=0\ then\ g\ 0 = carrier\ G\ \wedge\ g\ 0 = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}\ else$
 $d\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ g\ \wedge\ g\ 0 = carrier\ G\ \wedge\ g\ n = \{\mathbf{1}_G\})$

definition

$tD\text{-}gchain :: [- , nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $tD\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ g = (if\ n=0\ then\ g\ 0 = carrier\ G\ \wedge\ g\ 0 = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}\ else$
 $D\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ g\ \wedge\ (g\ 0 = carrier\ G)\ \wedge\ (g\ n = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}))$

definition

$w\text{-}cmpser :: [- , nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $w\text{-}cmpser\ G\ n\ g = (if\ n = 0\ then\ d\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ g\ else\ d\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ g\ \wedge$
 $(\forall l \leq (n - 1).\ (Gp\ G\ (g\ l))\ \triangleright\ (g\ (Suc\ l))))$

definition

$W\text{-}cmpser :: [- , nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $W\text{-}cmpser\ G\ n\ g = (if\ n = 0\ then\ d\text{-}gchain\ G\ 0\ g\ else\ D\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ g\ \wedge$
 $(\forall l \leq (n - 1).\ (Gp\ G\ (g\ l))\ \triangleright\ (g\ (Suc\ l))))$

definition

$tw\text{-}cmpser :: [- , nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$tw\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ g = (if \ n = 0 \ then \ td\text{-gchain } G \ 0 \ g \ else \ td\text{-gchain } G \ n \ g \wedge$
 $(\forall l \leq (n - 1). (Gp \ G \ (g \ l)) \triangleright (g \ (Suc \ l))))$

definition

$tW\text{-cmpser} :: [-, nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a \ set)] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $tW\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ g = (if \ n = 0 \ then \ td\text{-gchain } G \ 0 \ g \ else \ tD\text{-gchain } G \ n \ g \wedge$
 $(\forall l \leq (n - 1). (Gp \ G \ (g \ l)) \triangleright (g \ (Suc \ l))))$

definition

$Qw\text{-cmpser} :: [-, nat \Rightarrow 'a \ set] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow ('a \ set) \ Group)$ **where**
 $Qw\text{-cmpser } G \ f \ l = ((Gp \ G \ (f \ l)) / (f \ (Suc \ l)))$

definition

$red\text{-chn} :: [-, nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a \ set)] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a \ set)$ **where**
 $red\text{-chn } G \ n \ f = (SOME \ g. g \in \{h. (tW\text{-cmpser } G \ (\text{card } (f \ ' \ \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1) \ h)$
 $\wedge \ h \ ' \ \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \ ' \ \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1)\} = f \ ' \ \{i. i \leq n\}\})$

definition

$chain\text{-cutout} :: [nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a \ set)] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a \ set)$ **where**
 $chain\text{-cutout } l \ f = (\lambda j. f \ (slide \ l \ j))$

lemma (in *Group*) $d\text{-gchainTr0} : [0 < n; d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; k \leq (n - 1)]$
 $\implies f \ (Suc \ k) \subseteq f \ k$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $d\text{-gchain-mem-sg} : d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \implies \forall i \leq n. G \gg (f \ i)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $d\text{-gchain-pre} : d\text{-gchain } G \ (Suc \ n) \ f \implies d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $d\text{-gchainTr1} : 0 < n \longrightarrow (\forall f. d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall l \leq n. \forall j \leq n. l < j \longrightarrow f \ j \subseteq f \ l))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $d\text{-gchainTr2} : [0 < n; d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; l \leq n; j \leq n; l \leq j]$
 $\implies f \ j \subseteq f \ l$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $im\text{-d-gchainTr1} : [d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f;$
 $f \ l \in (f \ ' \ \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f \ 0\}] \implies$
 $f \ (LEAST \ j. f \ j \in (f \ ' \ \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f \ 0\}) \in (f \ ' \ \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f \ 0\})$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $im\text{-d-gchainTr1-0} : [d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f;$

$$f l \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f 0\} \implies \\ 0 < (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f 0\})$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchainTr1-1*:

$$\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; \exists i. f i \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f 0\} \rrbracket \implies \\ f (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in ((f' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f 0\})) \in ((f' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f 0\})$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchainsTr1-2*:

$$\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; i \leq n; f i \in f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\} \rrbracket \implies \\ (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \leq i$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchainsTr1-3*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; \exists i \leq n.$

$$f i \in f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\}; \\ k < (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \rrbracket \implies f k = f 0$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *im-gdchainsTr1-4*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f;$

$$\exists v \in f' \{i. i \leq n\}. v \notin \{f 0\}; i < (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) \wedge \\ f j \neq f 0) \rrbracket \implies f i = f 0$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchainsTr1-5*: $\llbracket 0 < n; d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; i \leq n;$

$$f i \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\}); (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) = j \rrbracket \\ \implies f' \{i. i \leq (j - (\text{Suc } 0))\} = \{f 0\}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchains1*: $\llbracket 0 < n; d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; i \leq n;$

$$f i \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\}); \\ (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) = j \rrbracket \implies \\ f' \{i. i \leq n\} = \{f 0\} \cup \{f i \mid i. j \leq i \wedge i \leq n\}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchains1-1*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; f n \neq f 0 \rrbracket \implies$

$$f' \{i. i \leq n\} = \{f 0\} \cup \\ \{f i \mid i. (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \leq i \wedge i \leq n\}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *d-gchains-leastTr*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; f n \neq f 0 \rrbracket \implies$

$$(\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \in \{i. i \leq n\} \wedge \\ f (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \neq f 0$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchainTr2*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; j \leq n; f j \neq f 0 \rrbracket \implies$

$$\forall i \leq n. f 0 = f i \longrightarrow \neg j \leq i$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *D-gchain-pre*: $\llbracket D\text{-gchain } G \text{ (Suc } n) f \rrbracket \implies D\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *D-gchain0*: $\llbracket D\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ j \subset f \ i$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *D-gchain1*: $D\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \implies \text{inj-on } f \ \{i. i \leq n\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *card-im-D-gchain*: $\llbracket 0 < n; D\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{card } (f \ \{i. i \leq n\}) = \text{Suc } n$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *w-cmpser-gr*: $\llbracket 0 < r; w\text{-cmpser } G \ r \ f; i \leq r \rrbracket$
 $\implies G \gg (f \ i)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *w-cmpser-ns*: $\llbracket 0 < r; w\text{-cmpser } G \ r \ f; i \leq (r - 1) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(G \ p \ G \ (f \ i)) \triangleright (f \ (\text{Suc } i))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *w-cmpser-pre*: $w\text{-cmpser } G \ (\text{Suc } n) \ f \implies w\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *W-cmpser-pre*: $W\text{-cmpser } G \ (\text{Suc } n) \ f \implies W\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *td-gchain-n*: $\llbracket td\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; \text{carrier } G \neq \{1\} \rrbracket \implies 0 < n$
 <proof>

3.14 Existence of reduced chain

lemma (in Group) *D-gchain-is-d-gchain*: $D\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \implies d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *joint-d-gchains*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; d\text{-gchain } G \ m \ g;$
 $g \ 0 \subseteq f \ n \rrbracket \implies d\text{-gchain } G \ (\text{Suc } (n + m)) \ (\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *joint-D-gchains*: $\llbracket D\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; D\text{-gchain } G \ m \ g;$
 $g \ 0 \subset f \ n \rrbracket \implies D\text{-gchain } G \ (\text{Suc } (n + m)) \ (\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *w-cmpser-is-d-gchain*: $w\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f \implies d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *joint-w-cmpser*: $\llbracket w\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f; w\text{-cmpser } G \ m \ g;$
 $G \ p \ G \ (f \ n) \triangleright (g \ 0) \rrbracket \implies w\text{-cmpser } G \ (\text{Suc } (n + m)) \ (\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *W-cmpser-is-D-gchain*: $W\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f \implies D\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *W-cmpser-is-w-cmpser*: $W\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f \implies w\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *tw-cmpser-is-w-cmpser*: $tw\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f \implies w\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *tW-cmpser-is-W-cmpser*: $tW\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f \implies W\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *joint-W-cmpser*: $\llbracket W\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f; W\text{-cmpser } G \ m \ g; (Gp \ G \ (f \ n)) \triangleright (g \ 0); g \ 0 \subset f \ n \rrbracket \implies W\text{-cmpser } G \ (Suc \ (n + m)) \ (jointfun \ n \ f \ m \ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *joint-d-gchain-n0*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; d\text{-gchain } G \ 0 \ g; g \ 0 \subseteq f \ n \rrbracket \implies d\text{-gchain } G \ (Suc \ n) \ (jointfun \ n \ f \ 0 \ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *joint-D-gchain-n0*: $\llbracket D\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; D\text{-gchain } G \ 0 \ g; g \ 0 \subset f \ n \rrbracket \implies D\text{-gchain } G \ (Suc \ n) \ (jointfun \ n \ f \ 0 \ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *joint-w-cmpser-n0*: $\llbracket w\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f; w\text{-cmpser } G \ 0 \ g; (Gp \ G \ (f \ n)) \triangleright (g \ 0) \rrbracket \implies w\text{-cmpser } G \ (Suc \ n) \ (jointfun \ n \ f \ 0 \ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *joint-W-cmpser-n0*: $\llbracket W\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f; W\text{-cmpser } G \ 0 \ g; (Gp \ G \ (f \ n)) \triangleright (g \ 0); g \ 0 \subset f \ n \rrbracket \implies W\text{-cmpser } G \ (Suc \ n) \ (jointfun \ n \ f \ 0 \ g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

simple-Group :: $- \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
simple-Group $G \longleftrightarrow \{N. G \gg N\} = \{carrier \ G, \{\mathbf{1}_G\}\}$

definition

compseries:: $[-, nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a \ set] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
compseries $G \ n \ f \longleftrightarrow tW\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f \wedge (if \ n = 0 \ then \ f \ 0 = \{\mathbf{1}_G\} \ else \ (\forall i \leq (n - 1). (simple\text{-Group} \ ((Gp \ G \ (f \ i))/(f \ (Suc \ i))))))$

definition

length-twcmpser :: $[-, nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a \ set] \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
length-twcmpser $G \ n \ f = card \ (f \ \{i. i \leq n\}) - Suc \ 0$

lemma (in Group) compseriesTr0: $\llbracket \text{compseries } G \ n \ f; \ i \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $G \gg (f \ i)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) compseriesTr1: $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies \text{tW-cmpser } G \ n \ f$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) compseriesTr2: $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies f \ 0 = \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) compseriesTr3: $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies f \ n = \{\mathbf{1}\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) compseriesTr4: $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies \text{w-cmpser } G \ n \ f$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) im-jointfun1Tr1: $\forall l \leq n. G \gg (f \ l) \implies$

$$f \in \{i. \ i \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (\text{sg } G)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) Nset-Suc-im: $\forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). G \gg (f \ l) \implies$

$$\text{insert } (f \ (\text{Suc } n)) \ (f \ ' \ \{i. \ i \leq n\}) = f \ ' \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$$

<proof>

definition

$\text{NfuncPair-neq-at}::[\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}, \ \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}, \ \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$$\text{NfuncPair-neq-at } f \ g \ i \longleftrightarrow f \ i \neq g \ i$$

lemma LeastTr0: $\llbracket (i::\text{nat}) < (\text{LEAST } l. \ P \ (l)) \rrbracket \implies \neg P \ (i)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) funeq-LeastTr1: $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. G \gg f \ l; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg g \ l;$

$$(l::\text{nat}) < (\text{LEAST } k. \ (\text{NfuncPair-neq-at } f \ g \ k)) \rrbracket \implies f \ l = g \ l$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) funeq-LeastTr1-1: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). G \gg f \ l; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg g \ l;$

$$(l::\text{nat}) < (\text{LEAST } k. \ (f \ k \neq g \ k)) \rrbracket \implies f \ l = g \ l$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-1: $\llbracket i \leq n; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg f \ l; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg g \ l;$

$$\text{NfuncPair-neq-at } f \ g \ i \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{NfuncPair-neq-at } f \ g \ (\text{LEAST } k. \ (\text{NfuncPair-neq-at } f \ g \ k))$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-2: $\llbracket i \leq n; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg f \ l; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg g \ l;$

$$\text{NfuncPair-neq-at } f \ g \ i \rrbracket \implies$$

$$(\text{LEAST } k. \ (\text{NfuncPair-neq-at } f \ g \ k)) \leq i$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *Nfunc-LeastTr2-2-1*: $\llbracket i \leq (n::\text{nat}); \forall l \leq n. G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; f i \neq g i \rrbracket \implies (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \leq i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *Nfunc-LeastTr2-3*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; i \leq n; f i \neq g i \rrbracket \implies f (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \neq g (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *Nfunc-LeastTr2-4*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; i \leq n; f i \neq g i \rrbracket \implies (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \leq n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *Nfunc-LeastTr2-5*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i) \rrbracket \implies f (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \neq g ((LEAST k. f k \neq g k))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *Nfunc-LeastTr2-6*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i) \rrbracket \implies (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \leq n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *Nfunc-Least-sym*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i) \rrbracket \implies (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) = (LEAST k. (g k \neq f k))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nfunc-iNJTr*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } g \{i. i \leq (n::\text{nat})\}; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies g i \neq g j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *Nfunc-LeastTr2-7*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \text{inj-on } g \{i. i \leq n\}; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i); f k = g (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \rrbracket \implies (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *Nfunc-LeastTr2-8*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \text{inj-on } g \{i. i \leq n\}; \exists i \leq n. f i \neq g i; f \{i. i \leq n\} = g \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies \exists k \in (\text{nset } (\text{Suc } (LEAST i. (f i \neq g i))) n). f k = g (LEAST i. (f i \neq g i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchainTr1*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G n f; D\text{-gchain } G (\text{card } (f \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0) g; g \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} = f \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies g (\text{card } (f \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0) = f n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchainTr1-1*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G (n::nat) f;$
 $D\text{-gchain } G (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0) g;$
 $g \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} = f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $g \ 0 = f \ 0$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchainTr2*: $d\text{-gchain } G (\text{Suc } n) f$
 $\implies D\text{-gchain } G \ 0 (\text{constmap } \{0::nat\} \{f (\text{Suc } n)\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *last-mem-excluded*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G (\text{Suc } n) f; f \ n \neq f (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $f (\text{Suc } n) \notin f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchainTr4*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G (\text{Suc } n) f; f \ n \neq f (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}) = \text{Suc } (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchainTr5*: $d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \implies 0 < \text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchainTr6*: $\forall f. d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists g. D\text{-gchain } G (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1) g \wedge$
 $(g \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1)\} = f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchain*: $d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \implies$
 $(\exists g. D\text{-gchain } G (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1) g \wedge$
 $g \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1)\} = f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *const-W-cmpser*: $d\text{-gchain } G (\text{Suc } n) f \implies$
 $W\text{-cmpser } G \ 0 (\text{constmap } \{0::nat\} \{f (\text{Suc } n)\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-W-cmpserTr0m*: $\forall f. w\text{-cmpser } G \ m \ f \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists g. (W\text{-cmpser } G (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1) g \wedge$
 $g \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1)\} = f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-W-cmpser*: $w\text{-cmpser } G \ m \ f \implies$
 $\exists g. W\text{-cmpser } G (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1) g \wedge$
 $g \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1)\} = f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}$
 <proof>

3.15 Existence of reduced chain and composition series

lemma (in *Group*) *ex-W-cmpserTr3m1*: $[[tw-cmpser\ G\ (m::nat)\ f;$
 $W-cmpser\ G\ ((card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1)\ g;$
 $g\ ' \{i.\ i \leq ((card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1)\} = f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}]] \implies$
 $tW-cmpser\ G\ ((card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1)\ g$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ex-W-cmpserTr3m*: $tw-cmpser\ G\ m\ f \implies$
 $\exists g.\ tW-cmpser\ G\ ((card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1)\ g \wedge$
 $g\ ' \{i.\ i \leq (card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}) - 1)\} = f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}$
 <proof>

definition

red-ch-cd :: $[-,\ nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set,\ nat,\ nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
red-ch-cd $G\ f\ m\ g \longleftrightarrow tw-cmpser\ G\ (card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}) - 1)\ g \wedge$
 $(g\ ' \{i.\ i \leq (card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}) - 1)\} = f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})$

definition

red-chain :: $[-,\ nat,\ nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)$ **where**
red-chain $G\ m\ f = (SOME\ g.\ g \in \{h.\ red-ch-cd\ G\ f\ m\ h\})$

lemma (in *Group*) *red-chainTr0m1-1*: $tw-cmpser\ G\ m\ f \implies$
 $(SOME\ g.\ g \in \{h.\ red-ch-cd\ G\ f\ m\ h\}) \in \{h.\ red-ch-cd\ G\ f\ m\ h\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *red-chain-m*: $tw-cmpser\ G\ m\ f \implies$
 $tW-cmpser\ G\ (card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}) - 1)\ (red-chain\ G\ m\ f) \wedge$
 $(red-chain\ G\ m\ f)\ ' \{i.\ i \leq (card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}) - 1)\} = f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}$
 <proof>

3.16 Chain of groups II

definition

Gchain :: $[nat,\ nat \Rightarrow (('a\ set), 'more)\ Group-scheme] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
Gchain $n\ g \longleftrightarrow (\forall l \leq n.\ Group\ (g\ l))$

definition

isom-Gchains :: $[nat,\ nat \Rightarrow nat,\ nat \Rightarrow (('a\ set), 'more)\ Group-scheme,$
 $nat \Rightarrow (('a\ set), 'more)\ Group-scheme] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
isom-Gchains $n\ f\ g\ h \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \leq n.\ (g\ i) \cong (h\ (f\ i)))$

definition

Gch-bridge :: $[nat,\ nat \Rightarrow (('a\ set), 'more)\ Group-scheme,\ nat \Rightarrow$
 $(('a\ set), 'more)\ Group-scheme,\ nat \Rightarrow nat] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
Gch-bridge $n\ g\ h\ f \longleftrightarrow (\forall l \leq n.\ fl \leq n) \wedge inj-on\ f\ \{i.\ i \leq n\} \wedge$

isom-Gchains n f g h

lemma *Gchain-pre*: $Gchain (Suc n) g \implies Gchain n g$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *isom-unit*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; H = \{1\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $Gp G H \cong Gp G K \longrightarrow K = \{1\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr4*: $\llbracket Group F; Group G; Ugp E; F \cong G; F \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $G \cong E$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-cmp*: $\llbracket Gchain n g; Gchain n h; f1 \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\};$
 $f2 \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}; isom-Gchains n (cmp f2 f1) g h \rrbracket \implies$
 $isom-Gchains n f1 g (cmp h f2)$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-transp*: $\llbracket Gchain n f; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies$
 $isom-Gchains n (transpos i j) f (cmp f (transpos i j))$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr0*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n g; Gchain n h; i \leq n; j \leq n;$
 $i < j; isom-Gchains n (transpos i j) g h \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i, j\} = \{i. i \leq n \wedge h i \cong E\} - \{i, j\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr1*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n g; i \leq n; j \leq n; g j \cong E;$
 $i \neq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $insert j (\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i, j\}) = \{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr2*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n g; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j;$
 $g i \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} = insert i (\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i\})$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr3*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n g; i \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies finite (\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i\})$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr4*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n g; i \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies finite (\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i, j\})$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr5-1*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n g; Gchain n h; i \leq (n::nat);$
 $j \leq n; i < j; isom-Gchains n (transpos i j) g h \rrbracket \implies g i \cong h j$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr5-2*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; Gchain\ n\ h; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j; isom-Gchains\ n\ (transpos\ i\ j)\ g\ h \rrbracket \implies g\ j \cong h\ i$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr6*: $\llbracket Gchain\ n\ g; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies Group\ (g\ i)$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr7*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; i \leq n; j \leq n; g\ j \cong h\ i; Group\ (h\ i); Group\ (g\ j); \neg\ g\ j \cong E \rrbracket \implies \neg\ h\ i \cong E$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr8-1*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; i \leq n; j \leq n; g\ i \cong E; \neg\ g\ j \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} - \{j\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr8-2*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; i \leq n; j \leq n; \neg\ g\ i \cong E; \neg\ g\ j \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} - \{i, j\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transp*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; Gchain\ n\ h; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j; isom-Gchains\ n\ (transpos\ i\ j)\ g\ h \rrbracket \implies card\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = card\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$
 <proof>

lemma *TR-isom-gch-units*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ f; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies card\ \{k. k \leq n \wedge f\ k \cong E\} = card\ \{k. k \leq n \wedge (cmp\ f\ (transpos\ i\ j))\ k \cong E\}$
 <proof>

lemma *TR-isom-gch-units-1*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ f; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies card\ \{k. k \leq n \wedge f\ k \cong E\} = card\ \{k. k \leq n \wedge f\ (transpos\ i\ j\ k) \cong E\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-tgch-unitsTr0-1*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ g; g\ (Suc\ n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = insert\ (Suc\ n)\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-tgch-unitsTr0-2*: $Ugp\ E \implies finite\ (\{i. i \leq (n::nat) \wedge g\ i \cong E\})$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-tgch-unitsTr0-3*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ g; \neg\ g\ (Suc\ n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-tgch-unitsTr0*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; card\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = card\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ g \wedge Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h \wedge Gch-bridge\ (Suc\ n)\ g\ h\ f;$

$$f (Suc n) = Suc n \implies \\ \text{card } \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge g i \cong E\} = \\ \text{card } \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge h i \cong E\}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-1*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge Gchain (Suc n) h \\ \wedge Gch-bridge (Suc n) g h f; f (Suc n) = Suc n \rrbracket \implies \\ Gchain n g \wedge Gchain n h \wedge Gch-bridge n g h f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-2*: $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; inj-on f \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\}; \\ \forall l \leq (Suc n). fl \leq (Suc n) \rrbracket \implies \\ (cmp (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f) (Suc n) = Suc n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-3*: $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; \\ \forall l \leq (Suc n). fl \leq (Suc n); inj-on f \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\} \rrbracket \implies \\ inj-on (cmp (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f) \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-4*: $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; inj-on f \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\}; \\ \forall l \leq (Suc n). fl \leq (Suc n) \rrbracket \implies \\ inj-on (cmp (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f) \{i. i \leq n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-5*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge Gchain (Suc n) h \wedge \\ Gch-bridge (Suc n) g h f; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n \rrbracket \implies \\ Gchain n g \wedge Gchain n (cmp h (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n))) \wedge \\ Gch-bridge n g (cmp h (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n))) \\ (cmp (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-6*: $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge \\ Gchain (Suc n) h \wedge Gch-bridge (Suc n) g h f \rrbracket \implies Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge \\ Gchain (Suc n) (cmp h (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n))) \wedge \\ Gch-bridge (Suc n) g (cmp h (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n))) \\ (cmp (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-0*: $\llbracket Gchain (Suc n) h; k \neq Suc n; k \leq (Suc n) \rrbracket \\ \implies Gchain (Suc n) (cmp h (transpos k (Suc n)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-1*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain (Suc n) h; k \neq Suc n; k \leq (Suc \\ n) \rrbracket \\ \implies \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge cmp h (transpos k (Suc n)) i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc n\} = \\ \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge h i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-2*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq\ (Suc\ n); h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ k \cong E$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-3*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq\ (Suc\ n); h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ (Suc\ n) \cong E$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-4*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq\ (Suc\ n); \neg h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ k \cong E$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-5*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq\ (Suc\ n); \neg h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ (Suc\ n) \cong E$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-6*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq\ (Suc\ n); h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i. i \leq\ (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$
 $insert\ k\ (insert\ (Suc\ n)\ (\{i. i \leq\ (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-7*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq\ (Suc\ n); h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; \neg h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i. i \leq\ (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$
 $insert\ (Suc\ n)\ (\{i. i \leq\ (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-8*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq\ (Suc\ n); \neg h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i. i \leq\ (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$
 $insert\ k\ (\{i. i \leq\ (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-9*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq\ (Suc\ n); \neg h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; \neg h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i. i \leq\ (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$
 $\{i. i \leq\ (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq\ (Suc\ n) \rrbracket \implies card\ \{i. i \leq\ (Suc\ n) \wedge$
 $cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ i \cong E\} = card\ \{i. i \leq\ (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1*: $Ugp\ E \implies \forall g. \forall h. \forall f. Gchain\ n\ g \wedge$

$$Gchain\ n\ h \wedge Gch\text{-}bridge\ n\ g\ h\ f \longrightarrow card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = \\ card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$$

<proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; Gchain\ n\ h; Gch\text{-}bridge\ n\ g\ h\ f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$$card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$$

<proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-1*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; Gchain\ n\ h; \exists f.\ Gch\text{-}bridge\ n\ g\ h\ f \rrbracket$

$$\Longrightarrow card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$$

<proof>

3.17 Jordan Hoelder theorem

3.17.1 Rfn-tools. Tools to treat refinement of a cmpser, rtos.

lemma *rfn-tool1*: $\llbracket 0 < (r::nat); (k::nat) = i * r + j; j < r \rrbracket$

$$\Longrightarrow (k\ div\ r) = i$$

<proof>

lemma *pos-mult-pos*: $\llbracket 0 < (r::nat); 0 < s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 0 < r * s$

<proof>

lemma *rfn-tool1-1*: $\llbracket 0 < (r::nat); j < r \rrbracket$

$$\Longrightarrow (i * r + j)\ div\ r = i$$

<proof>

lemma *rfn-tool2*: $(a::nat) < s \Longrightarrow a \leq s - Suc\ 0$

<proof>

lemma *rfn-tool3*: $(0::nat) \leq m \Longrightarrow (m + n) - n = m$

<proof>

lemma *rfn-tool11*: $\llbracket 0 < b; (a::nat) \leq b - Suc\ 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a < b$

<proof>

lemma *rfn-tool12*: $\llbracket 0 < (s::nat); (i::nat)\ mod\ s = s - 1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$$Suc\ (i\ div\ s) = (Suc\ i)\ div\ s$$

<proof>

lemma *rfn-tool12-1*: $\llbracket 0 < (s::nat); (l::nat)\ mod\ s < s - 1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$$Suc\ (l\ mod\ s) = (Suc\ l)\ mod\ s$$

<proof>

lemma *rfn-tool12-2*: $\llbracket 0 < (s::nat); (i::nat)\ mod\ s = s - Suc\ 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$$(Suc\ i)\ mod\ s = 0$$

<proof>

lemma *rfn-tool13*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; a = b \rrbracket \implies a \text{ mod } r = b \text{ mod } r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rfn-tool13-1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; a = b \rrbracket \implies a \text{ div } r = b \text{ div } r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *div-Tr1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \leq s * r \rrbracket \implies l \text{ div } s \leq r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *div-Tr2*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l < s * r \rrbracket \implies l \text{ div } s \leq r - \text{Suc } 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *div-Tr3*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l < s * r \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (l \text{ div } s) \leq r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *div-Tr3-1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \text{ mod } s = s - 1 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } l \text{ div } s = \text{Suc } (l \text{ div } s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *div-Tr3-2*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \text{ mod } s < s - 1 \rrbracket \implies$
 $l \text{ div } s = \text{Suc } l \text{ div } s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mod-div-injTr*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; x \text{ mod } r = y \text{ mod } r; x \text{ div } r = y \text{ div } r \rrbracket$
 $\implies x = y$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

rtos :: $[nat, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)$ **where**
rtos *r s i* = (if $i < r * s$ then $(i \text{ mod } s) * r + i \text{ div } s$ else $r * s$)

lemma *rtos-hom0*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$
 $i \text{ div } s < r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-hom1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(rtos \ r \ s) \ l \leq (s * r - \text{Suc } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-hom2*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; l \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$
 $rtos \ r \ s \ l \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-hom3*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(rtos \ r \ s \ i \text{ div } r) = i \text{ mod } s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-hom3-1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$

$(rtos\ r\ s\ i\ mod\ r) = i\ div\ s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-hom5*: $[(0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - Suc\ 0);$
 $i\ div\ s = r - Suc\ 0] \implies Suc\ (rtos\ r\ s\ i)\ div\ r = Suc\ (i\ mod\ s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-hom7*: $[(0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - Suc\ 0);$
 $i\ div\ s = r - Suc\ 0] \implies Suc\ (rtos\ r\ s\ i)\ mod\ r = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-inj*: $[(0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s] \implies$
 $inj\ on\ (rtos\ r\ s)\ \{i.\ i \leq (r * s - Suc\ 0)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-rs-Tr1*: $[(0::nat) < r; 0 < s] \implies rtos\ r\ s\ (r * s) = r * s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-rs-Tr2*: $[(0::nat) < r; 0 < s] \implies$
 $\forall l \leq (r * s). rtos\ r\ s\ l \leq (r * s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-rs-Tr3*: $[(0::nat) < r; 0 < s] \implies$
 $inj\ on\ (rtos\ r\ s)\ \{i.\ i \leq (r * s)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Qw-cmpser*: $[Group\ G; w\ cmpser\ G\ (Suc\ n)\ f] \implies$
 $Gchain\ n\ (Qw\ cmpser\ G\ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

wcsr-rfns :: $[- , nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)\ set$ **where**
wcsr-rfns $G\ r\ f\ s = \{h.\ tw\ cmpser\ G\ (s * r)\ h \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq r. h\ (i * s) = f\ i)\}$

definition

trivial-rfn :: $[- , nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)$ **where**
trivial-rfn $G\ r\ f\ s\ k == if\ k < (s * r)\ then\ f\ (k\ div\ s)\ else\ f\ r$

lemma (in *Group*) *rfn-tool8*: $[compseries\ G\ r\ f; 0 < r] \implies d\ gchain\ G\ r\ f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *rfn-tool16*: $[0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq (s * r - Suc\ 0);$
 $G \gg f\ (i\ div\ s); (Gp\ G\ (f\ (i\ div\ s))) \triangleright f\ (Suc\ (i\ div\ s));$
 $(Gp\ G\ (f\ (i\ div\ s))) \gg (f\ (i\ div\ s) \cap g\ (s - Suc\ 0))] \implies$
 $(Gp\ G\ ((f\ (Suc\ (i\ div\ s)) \diamond_G (f\ (i\ div\ s) \cap g\ (s - Suc\ 0)))) \triangleright$
 $(f\ (Suc\ (i\ div\ s)))$

<proof>

Show existence of the trivial refinement. This is not necessary to prove JHS

lemma *rfn-tool30*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; l \text{ div } s * s + s < s * r \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Suc } (l \text{ div } s) < r$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *simple-grouptr0*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright K; K \subseteq H; \text{simple-Group } (G / K) \rrbracket$

$\implies H = \text{carrier } G \vee H = K$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *compser-nsg*: $\llbracket 0 < n; \text{compseries } G \ n \ f; i \leq (n - 1) \rrbracket$
 $\implies G \text{p } G \ (f \ i) \triangleright (f \ (\text{Suc } i))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *compseriesTr5*: $\llbracket 0 < n; \text{compseries } G \ n \ f; i \leq (n - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (f \ (\text{Suc } i)) \subseteq (f \ i)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *refine-compserTr0*: $\llbracket 0 < n; \text{compseries } G \ n \ f; i \leq (n - 1); G \gg H; f \ (\text{Suc } i) \subseteq H \wedge H \subseteq f \ i \rrbracket \implies H = f \ (\text{Suc } i) \vee H = f \ i$

<proof>

lemma *div-Tr4*: $\llbracket (0::\text{nat}) < r; 0 < s; j < s * r \rrbracket \implies j \text{ div } s * s + s \leq r * s$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *compseries-is-tW-compser*: $\llbracket 0 < r; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f \rrbracket \implies$
tW-compser $G \ r \ f$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *compseries-is-td-gchain*: $\llbracket 0 < r; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f \rrbracket \implies$
td-gchain $G \ r \ f$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *compseries-is-D-gchain*: $\llbracket 0 < r; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f \rrbracket \implies$
D-gchain $G \ r \ f$

<proof>

lemma *divTr5*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; l < (r * s) \rrbracket \implies$
 $l \text{ div } s * s \leq l \wedge l \leq (\text{Suc } (l \text{ div } s)) * s$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rfn-compseries-iMTr1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; h \in \text{wcsr-rfns } G \ r \ f \ s \rrbracket \implies f \ ' \ \{i. i \leq r\} \subseteq h \ ' \ \{i. i \leq (s * r)\}$

<proof>

lemma *rfn-compseries-iMTr2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; xa < s * r \rrbracket \implies$

$xa \text{ div } s * s \leq r * s \wedge \text{Suc } (xa \text{ div } s) * s \leq r * s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rfn-compseries-iMTr3*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \text{ r } f;$
 $j \leq r; \forall i \leq r. h (i * s) = f i \rrbracket \implies h (j * s) = f j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rfn-compseries-iM*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \text{ r } f;$
 $h \in \text{wcsr-rfns } G \text{ r } f \text{ s} \rrbracket \implies \text{card } (h \text{ `}\{i. i \leq (s * r)\}) = r + 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

cmp-rfn :: $[-, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$ **where**
cmp-rfn $G \text{ r } f \text{ s } g = (\lambda i. (\text{if } i < s * r \text{ then}$
 $f (\text{Suc } (i \text{ div } s)) \diamond_G (f (i \text{ div } s) \cap g (i \text{ mod } s)) \text{ else } \{\mathbf{1}_G\}))$

lemma (in Group) *cmp-rfn0*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \text{ r } f; \text{compseries } G \text{ s } g;$
 $i \leq (r - 1); j \leq (s - 1) \rrbracket \implies G \gg f (\text{Suc } i) \diamond_G ((f i) \cap (g j))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *cmp-rfn1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \text{ r } f; \text{compseries } G \text{ s } g \rrbracket$
 $\implies f (\text{Suc } 0) \diamond_G ((f 0) \cap (g 0)) = \text{carrier } G$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *cmp-rfn2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \text{ r } f; \text{compseries } G \text{ s } g;$
 $l \leq (s * r) \rrbracket \implies G \gg \text{cmp-rfn } G \text{ r } f \text{ s } g \text{ l}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *cmp-rfn3*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \text{ r } f; \text{compseries } G \text{ s } g \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{cmp-rfn } G \text{ r } f \text{ s } g \text{ 0} = \text{carrier } G \wedge \text{cmp-rfn } G \text{ r } f \text{ s } g (s * r) = \{\mathbf{1}\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rfn-tool20*: $\llbracket (0::\text{nat}) < m; a = b * m + c; c < m \rrbracket \implies a \text{ mod } m = c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Suci-mod-s-2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{Suc } i) \text{ mod } s = \text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *inter-sgsTr1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \text{ r } f; \text{compseries } G \text{ s } g;$
 $i < r * s \rrbracket \implies G \gg f (i \text{ div } s) \cap g (s - \text{Suc } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *JHS-Tr0-2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \text{ r } f; \text{compseries } G \text{ s } g \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall i \leq (s * r - \text{Suc } 0). Gp \text{ } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G \text{ r } f \text{ s } g \text{ } i) \triangleright$
 $\text{cmp-rfn } G \text{ r } f \text{ s } g (\text{Suc } i)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *cmp-rfn4*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f;$
 $\text{compseries } G s g; l \leq (s * r - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g (\text{Suc } l) \subseteq \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g l$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *cmp-rfn5*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall i \leq r. \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g (i * s) = f i$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *JHS-Tr0*: $\llbracket (0::\text{nat}) < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f;$
 $\text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket \implies \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g \in \text{wcsr-rfns } G r f s$

<proof>

lemma *rfn-tool17*: $(a::\text{nat}) = b \implies a - c = b - c$

<proof>

lemma *isom4b*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{Gp } G (N \diamond_G H) / N) \cong (\text{Gp } G H / (H \cap N))$

<proof>

lemma *Suc-rtos-div-r-1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0;$
 $\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r * s; i \bmod s = s - \text{Suc } 0;$
 $i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r = i \bmod s$

<proof>

lemma *Suc-rtos-mod-r-1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r$
 $* s; i \bmod s = s - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \bmod r = \text{Suc } (i \text{ div } s)$

<proof>

lemma *i-div-s-less*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r * s;$
 $i \bmod s = s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } i < s * r \rrbracket \implies i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0$

<proof>

lemma *rtos-mod-r-1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{rtos } r s i < r * s;$
 $i \bmod s = s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{rtos } r s i \bmod r = i \text{ div } s$

<proof>

lemma *Suc-i-mod-s-0-1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; i \bmod s = s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Suc } i \bmod s = 0$

<proof>

lemma *Suci-div-s-2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; i \bmod s < s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Suc } i \text{ div } s = i \text{ div } s$

<proof>

lemma *rtos-i-mod-r-2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{rtos } r \ s \ i \ \text{mod } r = i \ \text{div } s$
 <proof>

lemma *Suc-rtos-i-mod-r-2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; i \ \text{div } s = r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) \ \text{mod } r = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *Suc-rtos-i-mod-r-3*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; i \ \text{div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) \ \text{mod } r = \text{Suc } (i \ \text{div } s)$
 <proof>

lemma *Suc-rtos-div-r-3*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \ \text{mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) < r * s; i \ \text{div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) \ \text{div } r = i \ \text{mod } s$
 <proof>

lemma *r-s-div-s*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \ \text{div } s = r - \text{Suc } 0$
 <proof>

lemma *r-s-mod-s*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \ \text{mod } s = s - \text{Suc } 0$
 <proof>

lemma *rtos-r-s*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies \text{rtos } r \ s \ (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) = r * s - \text{Suc } 0$
 <proof>

lemma *rtos-rs-1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{rtos } r \ s \ i < r * s; \neg \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) < r * s \rrbracket \implies \text{rtos } r \ s \ i = r * s - \text{Suc } 0$
 <proof>

lemma *rtos-rs-i-rs*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{rtos } r \ s \ i = r * s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies i = r * s - \text{Suc } 0$
 <proof>

lemma *JHS-Tr1-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s \ g \rrbracket \implies f (\text{Suc } ((r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \ \text{div } s)) \ \diamond_G (f ((r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \ \text{div } s) \ \cap \ g ((r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \ \text{mod } s)) = f (r - \text{Suc } 0) \ \cap \ g (s - \text{Suc } 0)$
 <proof>

lemma *JHS-Tr1-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s \ g; k < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies ((\text{Gp } G (f (\text{Suc } k) \ \diamond_G (f k \ \cap \ g (s - \text{Suc } 0)))) / (f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) \ \diamond_G (f (\text{Suc } k) \ \cap \ g 0))) \cong ((\text{Gp } G (g s \ \diamond_G (g (s - \text{Suc } 0) \ \cap \ f k))) / (g s \ \diamond_G (g (s - \text{Suc } 0) \ \cap \ f (\text{Suc } k))))$
 <proof>

lemma *JHS-Tr1-3*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s \ g; i \leq s * r - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) < s * r; \text{Suc } i < s * r \rrbracket$

$i \text{ mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } i \text{ div } s \leq r - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ div } s = r - \text{Suc } 0]$
 $\implies \text{Group } (\text{Gp } G (f r \diamond_G (f (r - \text{Suc } 0) \cap g (i \text{ mod } s))) /$
 $(f r \diamond_G (f (r - \text{Suc } 0) \cap g (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s))))))$
 <proof>

lemma *JHS-Tr1-4*: $[[\text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g;$
 $i \leq s * r - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < s * r; \text{Suc } i < s * r;$
 $i \text{ mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } i \text{ div } s \leq r - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ div } s = r - \text{Suc } 0]] \implies$
 $\text{Group } (\text{Gp } G (g (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s)) \diamond_G (g (i \text{ mod } s) \cap f (r - \text{Suc } 0))) /$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s))) \diamond_G (g (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s)) \cap f 0)))$
 <proof>

lemma *JHS-Tr1-5*: $[[\text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g;$
 $i \leq s * r - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < s * r; \text{Suc } i < s * r;$
 $i \text{ mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0]]$
 $\implies (\text{Gp } G (f (\text{Suc } (i \text{ div } s)) \diamond_G (f (i \text{ div } s) \cap g (i \text{ mod } s))) /$
 $(f (\text{Suc } (i \text{ div } s)) \diamond_G (f (i \text{ div } s) \cap g (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s)))) \cong$
 $(\text{Gp } G (g (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s)) \diamond_G (g (i \text{ mod } s) \cap f (i \text{ div } s))) /$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r)) \diamond_G$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r) \cap f (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ mod } r))))$
 <proof>

lemma *JHS-Tr1-6*: $[[\text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g;$
 $i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r * s]] \implies$
 $((\text{Gp } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g i)) / (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g (\text{Suc } i))) \cong$
 $((\text{Gp } G (g (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r)) \diamond_G$
 $(g (\text{rtos } r s i \text{ div } r) \cap f (\text{rtos } r s i \text{ mod } r)))) /$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r)) \diamond_G$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r) \cap f (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ mod } r))))$
 <proof>

lemma *JHS-Tr1*: $[[\text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g]]$
 $\implies \text{isom-Gchains } (r * s - 1) (\text{rtos } r s) (\text{Qw-cmpser } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g))$
 $(\text{Qw-cmpser } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G s g r f))$
 <proof>

lemma *abc-SucTr0*: $[(0::\text{nat}) < a; c \leq b; a - \text{Suc } 0 = b - c] \implies a = (\text{Suc } b)$
 $- c$
 <proof>

lemma *length-wcmpser0-0*: $[[\text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; w\text{-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } 0) f]] \implies$
 $f ' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} = \{f 0, f (\text{Suc } 0)\}$
 <proof>

lemma *length-wcmpser0-1*: $[[\text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; w\text{-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f; i \in \{i. i \leq$
 $n\};$
 $(\text{Qw-cmpser } G f) i \cong E]] \implies f i = f (\text{Suc } i)$
 <proof>

lemma *length-wcmpser0-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f; i \leq n; \neg (\text{Qw-cmpser } G f) i \cong E \rrbracket \implies f i \neq f (\text{Suc } i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0-3*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f; f (\text{Suc } n) \neq f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \rrbracket \implies f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \notin f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0-4*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } 0) f \rrbracket \implies \text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq \text{Suc } 0\}) - 1 = \text{Suc } 0 - \text{card } \{i. i = 0 \wedge \text{Qw-cmpser } G f i \cong E\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0-5*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f; \text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}) - 1 = \text{Suc } n - \text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge \text{Qw-cmpser } G f i \cong E\}; \text{Qw-cmpser } G f (\text{Suc } n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\}) - 1 = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) - \text{card } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n) \wedge \text{Qw-cmpser } G f i \cong E\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0-6*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f \rrbracket \implies 0 < \text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0-7*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f \rrbracket \implies \text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge \text{Qw-cmpser } G f i \cong E\} \leq \text{Suc } n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E \rrbracket \implies \forall f. \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f \longrightarrow \text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}) - 1 = (\text{Suc } n) - (\text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge ((\text{Qw-cmpser } G f) i \cong E)\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-of-twcmpser*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{tw-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f \rrbracket \implies \text{length-twcmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f = (\text{Suc } n) - (\text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge ((\text{Qw-cmpser } G f) i \cong E)\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *JHS-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g; 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies r = r * s - \text{card } \{i. i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \wedge \text{Qw-cmpser } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g) i \cong E\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *J-H-S*: \llbracket Group *G*; Ugp *E*; compseries *G* *r* *f*; compseries *G* *s* *g*; $0 < r$;
 $(0::\text{nat}) < s \rrbracket \implies r = s$

<proof>

end

theory *Algebra4*
imports *Algebra3*
begin

3.18 Abelian groups

record *'a aGroup* = *'a carrier* +
pop :: [*'a*, *'a*] \Rightarrow *'a* (**infixl** ± 1 62)
mop :: *'a* \Rightarrow *'a* (($-_a 1$ $-$) [64]63)
zero :: *'a* (**0**₁)

locale *aGroup* =
fixes *A* (**structure**)
assumes

pop-closed: *pop* *A* \in *carrier A* \rightarrow *carrier A* \rightarrow *carrier A*
and *aassoc* : $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \pm b) \pm c = a \pm (b \pm c)$
and *pop-commute*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \pm b = b \pm a$
and *mop-closed*:*mop* *A* \in *carrier A* \rightarrow *carrier A*
and *l-m* : $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies (-_a a) \pm a = \mathbf{0}$
and *ex-zero*: $\mathbf{0} \in \text{carrier } A$
and *l-zero*: $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies \mathbf{0} \pm a = a$

definition

b-ag :: $- \Rightarrow$
 $(\llbracket \text{carrier}:: 'a \text{ set}, \text{top}:: ['a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a, \text{iop}:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a, \text{one}:: 'a \rrbracket \text{ where}$
 $\text{b-ag } A = (\llbracket \text{carrier} = \text{carrier } A, \text{top} = \text{pop } A, \text{iop} = \text{mop } A, \text{one} = \text{zero } A \rrbracket)$

definition

asubGroup :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
asubGroup *A* *H* $\longleftrightarrow (\text{b-ag } A) \gg H$

definition

aggrp :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow$
 $(\llbracket \text{carrier}:: 'a \text{ set set}, \text{pop}:: ['a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set},$
 $\text{mop}:: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, \text{zero}:: 'a \text{ set} \rrbracket \text{ where}$
aggrp *A* *H* = $(\llbracket \text{carrier} = \text{set-rcs } (\text{b-ag } A) \text{ } H,$
 $\text{pop} = \lambda X. \lambda Y. (\text{c-top } (\text{b-ag } A) \text{ } H \text{ } X \text{ } Y),$
 $\text{mop} = \lambda X. (\text{c-iop } (\text{b-ag } A) \text{ } H \text{ } X), \text{zero} = H \rrbracket)$

definition

ag-idmap :: $- \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$ (*(aI.)*) **where**

$$aI_A = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } A. x)$$

abbreviation

$A\text{Sub}G :: [('a, 'more) aGroup\text{-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** $+>$ 58) **where**
 $A +> H == \text{asubGroup } A H$

definition

$Ag\text{-ind} :: [-, 'a \Rightarrow 'd] \Rightarrow 'd \text{ aGroup}$ **where**
 $Ag\text{-ind } A f = (\text{carrier} = f'(\text{carrier } A),$
 $\text{pop} = \lambda x \in f'(\text{carrier } A). \lambda y \in f'(\text{carrier } A).$
 $\quad f(((\text{invfun } (\text{carrier } A) (f'(\text{carrier } A)) f) x) \pm_A$
 $\quad \quad ((\text{invfun } (\text{carrier } A) (f'(\text{carrier } A)) f) y)),$
 $\text{mop} = \lambda x \in (f'(\text{carrier } A)). f (-_a (\text{invfun } (\text{carrier } A) (f'(\text{carrier } A)) f) x),$
 $\text{zero} = f (\mathbf{0}_A))$

definition

$Agii :: [-, 'a \Rightarrow 'd] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'd)$ **where**
 $Agii A f = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } A. f x)$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $ag\text{-carrier-carrier}:\text{carrier } (b\text{-ag } A) = \text{carrier } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $ag\text{-pOp-closed}:\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\text{pop } A x y \in \text{carrier } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $ag\text{-mOp-closed}:x \in \text{carrier } A \Rightarrow (-_a x) \in \text{carrier } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $asubg\text{-subset}:A +> H \Rightarrow H \subseteq \text{carrier } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $ag\text{-pOp-commute}:\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\text{pop } A x y = \text{pop } A y x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $b\text{-ag-group}:Group (b\text{-ag } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $agop\text{-gop}:top (b\text{-ag } A) = \text{pop } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $agiop\text{-giop}:iop (b\text{-ag } A) = \text{mop } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $agunit\text{-gone}:one (b\text{-ag } A) = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $ag\text{-pOp-add-r}:\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; \rrbracket$

$a = b \implies a \pm c = b \pm c$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-add-commute*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \pm b = b \pm a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-pOp-add-l*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; a = b \rrbracket \implies c \pm a = c \pm b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{asubGroup } A \ H; x \in H; y \in H \rrbracket \implies \text{pop } A \ x \ y \in H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-mOp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{asubGroup } A \ H; x \in H \rrbracket \implies -_a \ x \in H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-subset1*: $\llbracket \text{asubGroup } A \ H; x \in H \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{carrier } A$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-inc-zero*: $\text{asubGroup } A \ H \implies \mathbf{0} \in H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-inc-zero*: $\mathbf{0} \in \text{carrier } A$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-l-zero*: $x \in \text{carrier } A \implies \mathbf{0} \pm x = x$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-r-zero*: $x \in \text{carrier } A \implies x \pm \mathbf{0} = x$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-l-inv1*: $x \in \text{carrier } A \implies (-_a \ x) \pm x = \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-r-inv1*: $x \in \text{carrier } A \implies x \pm (-_a \ x) = \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-pOp-assoc*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A; z \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (x \pm y) \pm z = x \pm (y \pm z)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-inv-unique*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A; x \pm y = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies y = -_a \ x$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-inv-inj*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A; x \neq y \rrbracket \implies (-_a \ x) \neq (-_a \ y)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-assocTr41*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; d \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \pm b \pm c \pm d = a \pm b \pm (c \pm d)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-assocTr42*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; d \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \pm b \pm c \pm d = a \pm (b \pm c) \pm d$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-assocTr43*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; d \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \pm b \pm (c \pm d) = a \pm (b \pm c) \pm d$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-assoc-cancel*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \pm -_a b \pm (b \pm -_a c) = a \pm -_a c$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-p-inv*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (-_a (x \pm y)) = (-_a x) \pm (-_a y)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *gEQAddcross*: $\llbracket l1 \in \text{carrier } A; l2 \in \text{carrier } A; r1 \in \text{carrier } A; r1 \in \text{carrier } A; l1 = r2; l2 = r1 \rrbracket \implies l1 \pm l2 = r1 \pm r2$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-eq-sol1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; x \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; a \pm x = b \rrbracket \implies x = (-_a a) \pm b$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-eq-sol2*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; x \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; x \pm a = b \rrbracket \implies x = b \pm (-_a a)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-add4-rel*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; d \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \pm b \pm (c \pm d) = a \pm c \pm (b \pm d)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-inv-inv*: $x \in \text{carrier } A \implies -_a (-_a x) = x$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-inv-zero*: $-_a \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-diff-minus*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; a \pm (-_a b) = c \rrbracket \implies b \pm (-_a a) = (-_a c)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-cancel-l*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; c \pm a = c \pm b \rrbracket \implies a = b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-cancel-r*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; a \pm c = b \pm c \rrbracket \implies a = b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-eq-diffzero*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (a = b) = (a \pm (-_a b) = \mathbf{0})$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-eq-diffzero1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (a = b) = ((-_a a) \pm b = \mathbf{0})$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-neg-diffnonzero*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (a \neq b) = (a \pm (-_a b) \neq \mathbf{0})$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-plus-zero*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (x = -_a y) = (x \pm y = \mathbf{0})$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-nsubg*: $A +> H \implies (b\text{-ag } A) \triangleright H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *subg-asubg*: $b\text{-ag } G \gg H \implies G +> H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-test*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } A; H \neq \{\}; \forall a \in H. \forall b \in H. (a \pm (-_a b) \in H) \rrbracket \implies A +> H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-zero*: $A +> \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-whole*: $A +> \text{carrier } A$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *Ag-ind-carrier*: $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } A) \text{ (} D::'d \text{ set)} \implies \text{carrier (Ag-ind } A \text{ } f) = f^{-1} \text{ (carrier } A)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *Ag-ind-aGroup*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } A \rightarrow D; \text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } A) \text{ (} D::'d \text{ set)} \rrbracket \implies \text{aGroup (Ag-ind } A \text{ } f)$
 <proof>

3.18.1 Homomorphism of abelian groups

definition

$aHom :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$ set
where

$$aHom A B = \{f. f \in carrier A \rightarrow carrier B \wedge f \in extensional (carrier A) \wedge (\forall a \in carrier A. \forall b \in carrier A. f (a \pm_A b) = (f a) \pm_B (f b))\}$$

definition

$compos :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b \Rightarrow 'c, 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c$ **where**
 $compos A g f = compose (carrier A) g f$

definition

$ker :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$
 $\Rightarrow 'a$ set $((\exists ker_{-, -}) [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $ker_{F,G} f = \{a. a \in carrier F \wedge f a = (\mathbf{0}_G)\}$

definition

$injec :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow bool$ $((\exists injec_{-, -}) [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $injec_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in aHom F G \wedge ker_{F,G} f = \{\mathbf{0}_F\}$

definition

$surjec :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow bool$ $((\exists surjec_{-, -}) [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $surjec_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in aHom F G \wedge surj-to f (carrier F) (carrier G)$

definition

$bijec :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow bool$ $((\exists bijec_{-, -}) [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $bijec_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow injec_{F,G} f \wedge surjec_{F,G} f$

definition

$ainvf :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a)$ $((\exists ainvf_{-, -}) [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $ainvf_{F,G} f = invfun (carrier F) (carrier G) f$

lemma $aHom\text{-}mem: [aGroup F; aGroup G; f \in aHom F G; a \in carrier F] \Longrightarrow f a \in carrier G$

<proof>

lemma $aHom\text{-}func: f \in aHom F G \Longrightarrow f \in carrier F \rightarrow carrier G$

<proof>

lemma $aHom\text{-}add: [aGroup F; aGroup G; f \in aHom F G; a \in carrier F; b \in carrier F] \Longrightarrow f (a \pm_F b) = (f a) \pm_G (f b)$

<proof>

lemma $aHom\text{-}0\text{-}0: [aGroup F; aGroup G; f \in aHom F G] \Longrightarrow f (\mathbf{0}_F) = \mathbf{0}_G$

<proof>

lemma *ker-inc-zero*: $\llbracket aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; f \in aHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{0}_F \in ker_{F,G}\ f$
 <proof>

lemma *aHom-inv-inv*: $\llbracket aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; f \in aHom\ F\ G; a \in carrier\ F \rrbracket \implies$
 $f\ (-_a\ F\ a) = -_a\ G\ (f\ a)$
 <proof>

lemma *aHom-compos*: $\llbracket aGroup\ L; aGroup\ M; aGroup\ N; f \in aHom\ L\ M; g \in aHom\ M\ N \rrbracket$
 $\implies compos\ L\ g\ f \in aHom\ L\ N$
 <proof>

lemma *aHom-compos-assoc*: $\llbracket aGroup\ K; aGroup\ L; aGroup\ M; aGroup\ N; f \in aHom\ K\ L;$
 $g \in aHom\ L\ M; h \in aHom\ M\ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $compos\ K\ h\ (compos\ K\ g\ f) = compos\ K\ (compos\ L\ h\ g)\ f$
 <proof>

lemma *injec-inj-on*: $\llbracket aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; injec_{F,G}\ f \rrbracket \implies inj\ on\ f\ (carrier\ F)$
 <proof>

lemma *surjec-surj-to*: $surjec_{R,S}\ f \implies surj\ to\ f\ (carrier\ R)\ (carrier\ S)$
 <proof>

lemma *compos-bijec*: $\llbracket aGroup\ E; aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; bijec_{E,F}\ f; bijec_{F,G}\ g \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $bijec_{E,G}\ (compos\ E\ g\ f)$
 <proof>

lemma *ainvf-aHom*: $\llbracket aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; bijec_{F,G}\ f \rrbracket \implies$
 $ainvf_{F,G}\ f \in aHom\ G\ F$
 <proof>

lemma *ainvf-bijec*: $\llbracket aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; bijec_{F,G}\ f \rrbracket \implies bijec_{G,F}\ (ainvf_{F,G}\ f)$
 <proof>

lemma *ainvf-l*: $\llbracket aGroup\ E; aGroup\ F; bijec_{E,F}\ f; x \in carrier\ E \rrbracket \implies$
 $(ainvf_{E,F}\ f)\ (f\ x) = x$
 <proof>

lemma (*in aGroup*) *aI-aHom*: $aI_A \in aHom\ A\ A$
 <proof>

lemma *compos-aI-l*: $\llbracket aGroup\ A; aGroup\ B; f \in aHom\ A\ B \rrbracket \implies compos\ A\ aI_B\ f = f$
 <proof>

lemma *compos-aI-r*: $\llbracket aGroup\ A; aGroup\ B; f \in aHom\ A\ B \rrbracket \implies compos\ A\ f\ aI_A$

= f
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *compos-aI-surj*: $\llbracket aGroup\ A; aGroup\ B; f \in aHom\ A\ B; g \in aHom\ B\ A;$
 $compos\ A\ g\ f = aI_A \rrbracket \implies surjec_{B,A}\ g$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *compos-aI-inj*: $\llbracket aGroup\ A; aGroup\ B; f \in aHom\ A\ B; g \in aHom\ B\ A;$
 $compos\ A\ g\ f = aI_A \rrbracket \implies injec_{A,B}\ f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *Ag-ind-aHom*: $\llbracket f \in carrier\ A \rightarrow D;$
 $bij\text{-}to\ f\ (carrier\ A)\ (D::'d\ set) \rrbracket \implies Agii\ A\ f \in aHom\ A\ (Ag\text{-}ind\ A\ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *Agii-mem*: $\llbracket f \in carrier\ A \rightarrow D; x \in carrier\ A;$
 $bij\text{-}to\ f\ (carrier\ A)\ (D::'d\ set) \rrbracket \implies Agii\ A\ f\ x \in carrier\ (Ag\text{-}ind\ A\ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ag-ind-bijec*: $\llbracket aGroup\ A; f \in carrier\ A \rightarrow D;$
 $bij\text{-}to\ f\ (carrier\ A)\ (D::'d\ set) \rrbracket \implies bijec_{A, (Ag\text{-}ind\ A\ f)}\ (Agii\ A\ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

aimg :: $\llbracket ('b, 'm1)\ aGroup\text{-}scheme, -, 'b \Rightarrow 'a \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow 'a\ aGroup\ ((\exists aimg_{-, -}) [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $aimg_{F,A}\ f = A\ (\downarrow\ carrier := f\ ' (carrier\ F),\ pop := pop\ A,\ mop := mop\ A,$
 $zero := zero\ A)$

lemma *ker-subg*: $\llbracket aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; f \in aHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies F\ +>\ ker_{F,G}\ f$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.18.2 Quotient abelian group

definition

ar-coset :: $\llbracket 'a, -, 'a\ set \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a\ set$
 $((\exists \text{-} \text{⊔} \text{-}) [66,66,67]66)$ **where**
 $ar\text{-}coset\ a\ A\ H = H \cdot (b\text{-}ag\ A)\ a$

definition

set-ar-cos :: $\llbracket -, 'a\ set \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a\ set\ set$ **where**
 $set\text{-}ar\text{-}cos\ A\ I = \{X. \exists a \in carrier\ A. X = ar\text{-}coset\ a\ A\ I\}$

definition

aset-sum :: $\llbracket -, 'a\ set, 'a\ set \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ **where**
 $aset\text{-}sum\ A\ H\ K = s\text{-}top\ (b\text{-}ag\ A)\ H\ K$

abbreviation

ASBOP1 (infix \mp_1 60) **where**

$$H \mp_A K == \text{aset-sum } A \ H \ K$$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-a-in-ar-cos*: $\llbracket A +> H; a \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \in a \uplus_A H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *r-cos-subset*: $\llbracket A +> H; X \in \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } A) \ H \rrbracket \implies$
 $X \subseteq \text{carrier } A$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-costOp-commute*: $\llbracket A +> H; x \in \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } A) \ H;$
 $y \in \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } A) \ H \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-top } (b\text{-ag } A) \ H \ x \ y = c\text{-top } (b\text{-ag } A) \ H \ y \ x$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *Subg-Qgroup*: $A +> H \implies aGroup \ (aqgrp \ A \ H)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *plus-subgs*: $\llbracket A +> H1; A +> H2 \rrbracket \implies A +> H1 \mp H2$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *set-sum*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } A; K \subseteq \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \mp K = \{x. \exists h \in H. \exists k \in K. x = h \pm k\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *mem-set-sum*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } A; K \subseteq \text{carrier } A;$
 $x \in H \mp K \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in H. \exists k \in K. x = h \pm k$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *mem-sum-subgs*: $\llbracket A +> H; A +> K; h \in H; k \in K \rrbracket \implies$
 $h \pm k \in H \mp K$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *aqgrp-carrier*: $A +> H \implies$
 $\text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } A) \ H = \text{set-ar-cos } A \ H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *unit-in-set-ar-cos*: $A +> H \implies H \in \text{set-ar-cos } A \ H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *aqgrp-pOp-maps*: $\llbracket A +> H; a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pop } (aqgrp \ A \ H) \ (a \uplus_A \ H) \ (b \uplus_A \ H) = (a \pm b) \uplus_A \ H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *aqgrp-mOp-maps*: $\llbracket A +> H; a \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{mop } (aqgrp \ A \ H) \ (a \uplus_A \ H) = (-_a \ a) \uplus_A \ H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *aqgrp-zero*: $A +> H \implies \text{zero } (aqgrp \ A \ H) = H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *arcos-fixed*: $[A +> H; a \in \text{carrier } A; h \in H] \implies$

$$a \uplus_A H = (h \pm a) \uplus_A H$$

<proof>

definition

rind-hom :: [*'a*, *'more*] *aGroup-scheme*, [*'b*, *'more1*] *aGroup-scheme*,
 [*'a* \Rightarrow *'b*]] \Rightarrow [*'a set* \Rightarrow *'b*] **where**
rind-hom *A B f* = ($\lambda X \in (\text{set-ar-cos } A (\text{ker}_{A,B} f)). f (\text{SOME } x. x \in X)$)

abbreviation

RIND-HOM ((β° .-,) [82,82,83]82) **where**
 $f^\circ_{F,G} == \text{rind-hom } F G f$

3.19 Direct product and direct sum of abelian groups, in general case

definition

Un-carrier :: [*'i set*, *'i* \Rightarrow [*'a*, *'more*] *aGroup-scheme*] \Rightarrow *'a set* **where**
Un-carrier *I A* = $\bigcup \{X. \exists i \in I. X = \text{carrier } (A i)\}$

definition

carr-prodag :: [*'i set*, *'i* \Rightarrow [*'a*, *'more*] *aGroup-scheme*] \Rightarrow [*'i* \Rightarrow *'a*] *set* **where**
carr-prodag *I A* = $\{f. f \in \text{extensional } I \wedge f \in I \rightarrow (\text{Un-carrier } I A) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \in I. f i \in \text{carrier } (A i))\}$

definition

prod-pOp :: [*'i set*, *'i* \Rightarrow [*'a*, *'more*] *aGroup-scheme*] \Rightarrow
 [*'i* \Rightarrow *'a*] \Rightarrow [*'i* \Rightarrow *'a*] \Rightarrow [*'i* \Rightarrow *'a*] **where**
prod-pOp *I A* = ($\lambda f \in \text{carr-prodag } I A. \lambda g \in \text{carr-prodag } I A.$
 $\lambda x \in I. (f x) \pm_{(A x)} (g x)$)

definition

prod-mOp :: [*'i set*, *'i* \Rightarrow [*'a*, *'more*] *aGroup-scheme*] \Rightarrow
 [*'i* \Rightarrow *'a*] \Rightarrow [*'i* \Rightarrow *'a*] **where**
prod-mOp *I A* = ($\lambda f \in \text{carr-prodag } I A. \lambda x \in I. (-_{(A x)} (f x))$)

definition

prod-zero :: [*'i set*, *'i* \Rightarrow [*'a*, *'more*] *aGroup-scheme*] \Rightarrow [*'i* \Rightarrow *'a*] **where**
prod-zero *I A* = ($\lambda x \in I. \mathbf{0}_{(A x)}$)

definition

prodag :: [*'i set*, *'i* \Rightarrow [*'a*, *'more*] *aGroup-scheme*] \Rightarrow [*'i* \Rightarrow *'a*] *aGroup* **where**
prodag *I A* = ($\lambda carrier = \text{carr-prodag } I A,$
 $\text{pop} = \text{prod-pOp } I A, \text{ mop} = \text{prod-mOp } I A,$
 $\text{zero} = \text{prod-zero } I A$)

definition

$PRoject :: ['i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{ aGroup-scheme}, 'i]$
 $\Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ ((\exists \pi_{-, -, -}) [82,82,83]82) \text{ where}$
 $PRoject \ I \ A \ x = (\lambda f \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A. f \ x)$

abbreviation

$PRODag \ ((a\Pi. -) [72,73]72) \text{ where}$
 $a\Pi_I \ A == \text{prodag } I \ A$

lemma $\text{prodag-comp-i} :: [a \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A; i \in I] \Longrightarrow (a \ i) \in \text{carrier } (A \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-pOp-func} :: \forall k \in I. \text{ aGroup } (A \ k) \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{prod-pOp } I \ A \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A \rightarrow \text{carr-prodag } I \ A \rightarrow \text{carr-prodag } I \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-pOp-mem} :: [\forall k \in I. \text{ aGroup } (A \ k); X \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A;$
 $Y \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A] \Longrightarrow \text{prod-pOp } I \ A \ X \ Y \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-pOp-mem-i} :: [\forall k \in I. \text{ aGroup } (A \ k); X \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A;$
 $Y \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A; i \in I] \Longrightarrow \text{prod-pOp } I \ A \ X \ Y \ i = (X \ i) \pm_{(A \ i)} (Y \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-mOp-func} :: \forall k \in I. \text{ aGroup } (A \ k) \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{prod-mOp } I \ A \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A \rightarrow \text{carr-prodag } I \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-mOp-mem} :: [\forall j \in I. \text{ aGroup } (A \ j); X \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A] \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{prod-mOp } I \ A \ X \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-mOp-mem-i} :: [\forall j \in I. \text{ aGroup } (A \ j); X \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A; i \in I] \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{prod-mOp } I \ A \ X \ i = -_{a(A \ i)} (X \ i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-zero-func} :: \forall k \in I. \text{ aGroup } (A \ k) \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{prod-zero } I \ A \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-zero-i} :: [\forall k \in I. \text{ aGroup } (A \ k); i \in I] \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{prod-zero } I \ A \ i = \mathbf{0}_{(A \ i)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{carr-prodag-mem-eq} :: [\forall k \in I. \text{ aGroup } (A \ k); X \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A;$
 $Y \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A; \forall l \in I. (X \ l) = (Y \ l)] \Longrightarrow X = Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-pOp-assoc} :: [\forall k \in I. \text{ aGroup } (A \ k); a \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A;$
 $b \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A; c \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A] \Longrightarrow$

$$\text{prod-pOp } I A (\text{prod-pOp } I A a b) c = \text{prod-pOp } I A a (\text{prod-pOp } I A b c)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-pOp-commute*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); a \in \text{carr-prodag } I A; b \in \text{carr-prodag } I A \rrbracket \implies \text{prod-pOp } I A a b = \text{prod-pOp } I A b a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-aGroup*: $\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies aGroup (\text{prodag } I A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-carrier*: $\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies \text{carrier } (\text{prodag } I A) = \text{carr-prodag } I A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-elfun*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodag } I A) \rrbracket \implies f \in \text{extensional } I$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-component*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodag } I A); i \in I \rrbracket \implies f i \in \text{carrier } (A i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-pOp*: $\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies \text{pop } (\text{prodag } I A) = \text{prod-pOp } I A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-iOp*: $\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies \text{mop } (\text{prodag } I A) = \text{prod-mOp } I A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-zero*: $\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies \text{zero } (\text{prodag } I A) = \text{prod-zero } I A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-sameTr0*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket \implies \text{Un-carrier } I A = \text{Un-carrier } I B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-sameTr1*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket \implies \text{carr-prodag } I A = \text{carr-prodag } I B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-sameTr2*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket \implies \text{prod-pOp } I A = \text{prod-pOp } I B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-sameTr3*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket$

$$\implies \text{prod-mOp } I A = \text{prod-mOp } I B$$

<proof>

lemma *prodag-sameTr4*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{prod-zero } I A = \text{prod-zero } I B$

<proof>

lemma *prodag-same*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{prodag } I A = \text{prodag } I B$

<proof>

lemma *project-mem*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k); j \in I; x \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodag } I A) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{PProject } I A j) x \in \text{carrier } (A j)$

<proof>

lemma *project-aHom*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k); j \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{PProject } I A j \in \text{aHom } (\text{prodag } I A) (A j)$

<proof>

lemma *project-aHom1*: $\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k) \implies$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{PProject } I A j \in \text{aHom } (\text{prodag } I A) (A j)$

<proof>

definition

A-to-prodag :: $[('a, 'm) \text{aGroup-scheme}, 'i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b),$
 $'i \Rightarrow ('b, 'm1) \text{aGroup-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'b))$ **where**
A-to-prodag $A I S B = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier } A. \lambda k \in I. S k a)$

lemma *A-to-prodag-mem*: $\llbracket \text{aGroup } A; \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (B k); \forall k \in I. (S k) \in$
 $\text{aHom } A (B k); x \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies \text{A-to-prodag } A I S B x \in \text{carr-prodag } I B$
<proof>

lemma *A-to-prodag-aHom*: $\llbracket \text{aGroup } A; \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (B k); \forall k \in I. (S k) \in$
 $\text{aHom } A (B k) \rrbracket \implies \text{A-to-prodag } A I S B \in \text{aHom } A (a\Pi_I B)$
<proof>

definition

finiteHom :: $['i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{aGroup-scheme}, 'i \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
finiteHom $I A f \iff f \in \text{carr-prodag } I A \wedge (\exists H. H \subseteq I \wedge \text{finite } H \wedge$
 $\forall j \in (I - H). (f j) = \mathbf{0}_{(A j)})$

definition

carr-dsumag :: $['i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{aGroup-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ set}$ **where**
carr-dsumag $I A = \{f. \text{finiteHom } I A f\}$

definition

dsumag :: $['i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{aGroup-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ aGroup}$ **where**

$dsumag\ I\ A = (\mid carrier = carr-dsumag\ I\ A,$
 $pop = prod-pOp\ I\ A, mop = prod-mOp\ I\ A,$
 $zero = prod-zero\ I\ A)$

definition

$dProj :: ['i\ set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more)\ aGroup-scheme, 'i]$
 $\Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $dProj\ I\ A\ x = (\lambda f \in carr-dsumag\ I\ A. f\ x)$

abbreviation

$DSUMag\ ((a \oplus -)\ [72, 73]\ 72)$ **where**
 $a \oplus_I\ A == dsumag\ I\ A$

lemma $dsum-pOp-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup\ (A\ k) \Longrightarrow$
 $prod-pOp\ I\ A \in carr-dsumag\ I\ A \rightarrow carr-dsumag\ I\ A \rightarrow carr-dsumag\ I\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsum-pOp-mem: [\forall k \in I. aGroup\ (A\ k); X \in carr-dsumag\ I\ A;$
 $Y \in carr-dsumag\ I\ A] \Longrightarrow prod-pOp\ I\ A\ X\ Y \in carr-dsumag\ I\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsum-iOp-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup\ (A\ k) \Longrightarrow$
 $prod-mOp\ I\ A \in carr-dsumag\ I\ A \rightarrow carr-dsumag\ I\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsum-iOp-mem: [\forall j \in I. aGroup\ (A\ j); X \in carr-dsumag\ I\ A] \Longrightarrow$
 $prod-mOp\ I\ A\ X \in carr-dsumag\ I\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsum-zero-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup\ (A\ k) \Longrightarrow$
 $prod-zero\ I\ A \in carr-dsumag\ I\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsumag-sub-prodag: \forall k \in I. aGroup\ (A\ k) \Longrightarrow$
 $carr-dsumag\ I\ A \subseteq carr-prodag\ I\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $carrier-dsumag: \forall k \in I. aGroup\ (A\ k) \Longrightarrow$
 $carrier\ (dsumag\ I\ A) = carr-dsumag\ I\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsumag-elemfun: [\forall k \in I. aGroup\ (A\ k); f \in carrier\ (dsumag\ I\ A)] \Longrightarrow$
 $f \in extensional\ I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsumag-aGroup: \forall k \in I. aGroup\ (A\ k) \Longrightarrow aGroup\ (dsumag\ I\ A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsumag-pOp: \forall k \in I. aGroup\ (A\ k) \Longrightarrow$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ $\text{pop} (\text{dsumag } I A) = \text{prod-pOp } I A$

lemma $\text{dsumag-mOp}:\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k) \implies$
 $\text{mop} (\text{dsumag } I A) = \text{prod-mOp } I A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{dsumag-zero}:\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k) \implies$
 $\text{zero} (\text{dsumag } I A) = \text{prod-zero } I A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.19.1 Characterization of a direct product

lemma $\text{direct-prod-mem-eq}:\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A);$
 $g \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A); \forall j \in I. (\text{PProject } I A j) f = (\text{PProject } I A j) g \rrbracket \implies$
 $f = g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{map-family-fun}:\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); \text{aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. ((g j) \in \text{aHom } S (A j)); x \in \text{carrier } S \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\lambda y \in \text{carrier } S. (\lambda j \in I. (g j) y)) x \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{map-family-aHom}:\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); \text{aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. ((g j) \in \text{aHom } S (A j)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\lambda y \in \text{carrier } S. (\lambda j \in I. (g j) y)) \in \text{aHom } S (a\Pi_I A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{map-family-triangle}:\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); \text{aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. ((g j) \in \text{aHom } S (A j)) \rrbracket \implies \exists ! f. f \in \text{aHom } S (a\Pi_I A) \wedge$
 $(\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S (\text{PProject } I A j) f = (g j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{Ag-ind-triangle}:\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); j \in I; f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f (\text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A)) (B::'d \text{ set}); j \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compos } (a\Pi_I A) (\text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A) f) (\text{PProject } I A j) (\text{ainvf } (a\Pi_I A), (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A) f)$
 $(\text{Agii } (a\Pi_I A) f))) (\text{Agii } (a\Pi_I A) f) =$
 $\text{PProject } I A j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{ProjInd} :: ['i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'm) \text{ aGroup-scheme}, ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'd, 'i] \Rightarrow$
 $('d \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$
 $\text{ProjInd } I A f j = \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A) f) (\text{PProject } I A j) (\text{ainvf } (a\Pi_I A), (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A) f)$
 $(\text{Agii } (a\Pi_I A) f))$

lemma *ProjInd-aHom*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ j); j \in I; f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (a\Pi_I A)) \text{ (} B::'d \text{ set); } j \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{ProjInd } I\ A\ f\ j) \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ f) (A\ j)$
 <proof>

lemma *ProjInd-aHom1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ j); f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (a\Pi_I A)) \text{ (} B::'d \text{ set)} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall j \in I. (\text{ProjInd } I\ A\ f\ j) \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ f) (A\ j)$
 <proof>

lemma *ProjInd-mem-eq*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ j); f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (a\Pi_I A))\ B; \text{aGroup } S; x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ f);$
 $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ f);$
 $\forall j \in I. (\text{ProjInd } I\ A\ f\ j\ x = \text{ProjInd } I\ A\ f\ j\ y) \rrbracket \implies x = y$
 <proof>

lemma *ProjInd-mem-eq1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ j); f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (a\Pi_I A))\ B; \text{aGroup } S;$
 $h \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ f) (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ f);$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ f) (\text{ProjInd } I\ A\ f\ j)\ h = \text{ProjInd } I\ A\ f\ j \rrbracket$
 $\implies h = \text{ag-idmap } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ f)$
 <proof>

lemma *Ag-ind-triangle1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ j); f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (a\Pi_I A)) \text{ (} B::'d \text{ set); } j \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compos } (a\Pi_I A) (\text{ProjInd } I\ A\ f\ j) (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ f) = \text{PProject } I\ A\ j$
 <proof>

lemma *map-family-triangle1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ j); f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (a\Pi_I A)) \text{ (} B::'d \text{ set); aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. ((g\ j) \in \text{aHom } S (A\ j)) \rrbracket \implies \exists ! h. h \in \text{aHom } S (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ f) \wedge$
 $(\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S (\text{ProjInd } I\ A\ f\ j)\ h = (g\ j))$
 <proof>

lemma *map-family-triangle2*: $\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ j); \text{aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. g\ j \in \text{aHom } S (A\ j); \text{ff} \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } \text{ff} \text{ (carrier } (a\Pi_I A))\ B;$
 $h1 \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ \text{ff})\ S;$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ \text{ff}) (g\ j)\ h1 = \text{ProjInd } I\ A\ \text{ff}\ j;$
 $h2 \in \text{aHom } S (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ \text{ff});$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S (\text{ProjInd } I\ A\ \text{ff}\ j)\ h2 = g\ j \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall j \in I. \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ \text{ff}) (\text{ProjInd } I\ A\ \text{ff}\ j)$
 $(\text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A)\ \text{ff})\ h2\ h1) =$
 $\text{ProjInd } I\ A\ \text{ff}\ j$
 <proof>

lemma *map-family-triangle3*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ j); \text{aGroup } S; \text{aGroup } S1;$
 $\forall j \in I. f\ j \in \text{aHom } S (A\ j); \forall j \in I. g\ j \in \text{aHom } S1 (A\ j);$

$$\begin{aligned}
& h1 \in aHom\ S1\ S; h2 \in aHom\ S\ S1; \\
& \forall j \in I. compos\ S\ (g\ j)\ h2 = f\ j; \\
& \forall j \in I. compos\ S1\ (f\ j)\ h1 = g\ j \\
& \implies \forall j \in I. compos\ S\ (f\ j)\ (compos\ S\ h1\ h2) = f\ j
\end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma *map-family-triangle4*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. aGroup\ (A\ j); aGroup\ S;$
 $\forall j \in I. f\ j \in aHom\ S\ (A\ j) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall j \in I. compos\ S\ (f\ j)\ (ag-idmap\ S) = f\ j$

<proof>

lemma *prod-triangle*: $\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \forall j \in I. aGroup\ (A\ j); aGroup\ S;$
 $\forall j \in I. g\ j \in aHom\ S\ (A\ j); ff \in carrier\ (a\Pi_I\ A) \rightarrow B;$
 $bij\text{-}to\ ff\ (carrier\ (a\Pi_I\ A))\ B;$
 $h1 \in aHom\ (Ag-ind\ (a\Pi_I\ A)\ ff)\ S;$
 $\forall j \in I. compos\ (Ag-ind\ (a\Pi_I\ A)\ ff)\ (g\ j)\ h1 = ProjInd\ I\ A\ ff\ j;$
 $h2 \in aHom\ S\ (Ag-ind\ (a\Pi_I\ A)\ ff);$
 $\forall j \in I. compos\ S\ (ProjInd\ I\ A\ ff\ j)\ h2 = g\ j \rrbracket$
 $\implies (compos\ (Ag-ind\ (a\Pi_I\ A)\ ff)\ h2\ h1) = ag-idmap\ (Ag-ind\ (a\Pi_I\ A)\ ff)$

<proof>

lemma *characterization-prodag*: $\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \forall j \in (I::'i\ set). aGroup\ ((A\ j)::$
 $('a, 'm)\ aGroup\text{-}scheme); aGroup\ (S::'d\ aGroup);$
 $\forall j \in I. ((g\ j) \in aHom\ S\ (A\ j)); \exists ff. ff \in carrier\ (a\Pi_I\ A) \rightarrow (B::'d\ set) \wedge$
 $bij\text{-}to\ ff\ (carrier\ (a\Pi_I\ A))\ B;$
 $\forall (S'::'d\ aGroup). aGroup\ S' \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall g'. (\forall j \in I. (g'\ j) \in aHom\ S'\ (A\ j) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists! f. f \in aHom\ S'\ S \wedge (\forall j \in I. compos\ S'\ (g\ j)\ f = (g'\ j)))) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists h. bijec\ (prodag\ I\ A), S\ h$

<proof>

Chapter 4

Ring theory

4.1 Definition of a ring and an ideal

```
record 'a Ring = 'a aGroup +  
  tp :: ['a, 'a] => 'a (infixl ·r 70)  
  un :: 'a (1r1)
```

```
locale Ring =  
  fixes R (structure)
```

assumes

```
  pop-closed: pop R ∈ carrier R → carrier R → carrier R  
and   pop-aassoc : [[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; c ∈ carrier R]] =>  
      (a ± b) ± c = a ± (b ± c)  
and   pop-commute: [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R] => a ± b = b ± a  
and   mop-closed: mop R ∈ carrier R → carrier R  
and   l-m : a ∈ carrier R => (-a a) ± a = 0  
and   ex-zero: 0 ∈ carrier R  
and   l-zero: a ∈ carrier R => 0 ± a = a  
and   tp-closed: tp R ∈ carrier R → carrier R → carrier R  
and   tp-assoc : [[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; c ∈ carrier R]] =>  
      (a ·r b) ·r c = a ·r (b ·r c)  
and   tp-commute: [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R] => a ·r b = b ·r a  
and   un-closed: (1r) ∈ carrier R  
and   rg-distrib: [[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; c ∈ carrier R]] =>  
      a ·r (b ± c) = a ·r b ± a ·r c  
and   rg-l-unit: a ∈ carrier R => (1r) ·r a = a
```

definition

```
  zeroring :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => bool where  
  zeroring R <=> Ring R ∧ carrier R = {0R}
```

```
primrec nscal :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => 'a => nat => 'a  
where
```

```
  nscal-0: nscal R x 0 = 0R
```

| *nscal-suc*: $nscal\ R\ x\ (Suc\ n) = (nscal\ R\ x\ n) \pm_R\ x$

primrec *npow* :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => 'a => nat => 'a
where

npow-0: $npow\ R\ x\ 0 = 1_{rR}$
| *npow-suc*: $npow\ R\ x\ (Suc\ n) = (npow\ R\ x\ n) \cdot_{rR}\ x$

primrec *nprod* :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => (nat => 'a) => nat => 'a
where

nprod-0: $nprod\ R\ f\ 0 = f\ 0$
| *nprod-suc*: $nprod\ R\ f\ (Suc\ n) = (nprod\ R\ f\ n) \cdot_{rR}\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

primrec *nsum* :: ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme => (nat => 'a) => nat => 'a
where

nsum-0: $nsum\ R\ f\ 0 = f\ 0$
| *nsum-suc*: $nsum\ R\ f\ (Suc\ n) = (nsum\ R\ f\ n) \pm_R\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

abbreviation

NSCAL :: [nat, ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme, 'a] => 'a
((β - \times -) [75,75,76]75) **where**
 $n \times_R\ x == nscal\ R\ x\ n$

abbreviation

NPOW :: ['a, ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme, nat] => 'a
((β - \wedge -) [77,77,78]77) **where**
 $a^{\wedge R}\ n == npow\ R\ a\ n$

abbreviation

SUM :: ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme => (nat => 'a) => nat => 'a
((β Σ_e - -) [85,85,86]85) **where**
 $\Sigma_e\ G\ f\ n == nsum\ G\ f\ n$

abbreviation

NPROD :: [('a, 'm) Ring-scheme, nat, nat => 'a] => 'a
((β $e\Pi$ -, -) [98,98,99]98) **where**
 $e\Pi_{R,n}\ f == nprod\ R\ f\ n$

definition

fSum :: [-, (nat => 'a), nat, nat] => 'a **where**
fSum $A\ f\ n\ m = (if\ n \leq m\ then\ nsum\ A\ (cmp\ f\ (slide\ n))(m - n)$
else $\mathbf{0}_A$)

abbreviation

FSUM :: [('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme, (nat => 'a), nat, nat] => 'a
((β Σ_f - - -) [85,85,85,86]85) **where**
 $\Sigma_f\ G\ f\ n\ m == fSum\ G\ f\ n\ m$

lemma (in aGroup) *nsum-zeroGTr*: $(\forall j \leq n. f\ j = \mathbf{0}) \longrightarrow nsum\ A\ f\ n = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-zero*: $\forall j \leq n. f j = \mathbf{0} \implies \text{nsum } A f n = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

sr :: [- , 'a set] \Rightarrow bool **where**
sr *R S* == $S \subseteq \text{carrier } R \wedge 1_{rR} \in S \wedge (\forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. x \pm_R (-_aR y) \in S \wedge x \cdot_r R y \in S)$

definition

Sr :: [- , 'a set] \Rightarrow - **where**
Sr *R S* = *R* (⟦*carrier* := *S*, *pop* := $\lambda x \in S. \lambda y \in S. x \pm_R y$, *mop* := $\lambda x \in S. (-_aR x)$,
zero := $\mathbf{0}_R$, *tp* := $\lambda x \in S. \lambda y \in S. x \cdot_r R y$, *un* := 1_{rR} ⟧)

lemma (in *Ring*) *Ring*: *Ring* *R* ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-is-ag*: *aGroup* *R*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-zero*: $\mathbf{0} \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-one*: $1_r \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-tOp-closed*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-tOp-commute*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y = y \cdot_r x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-distrib1*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r (y \pm z) = x \cdot_r y \pm x \cdot_r z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-distrib2*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (y \pm z) \cdot_r x = y \cdot_r x \pm z \cdot_r x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-distrib3*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (a \pm b) \cdot_r (x \pm y) = a \cdot_r x \pm a \cdot_r y \pm b \cdot_r x \pm b \cdot_r y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *rEQMulR*:

$$\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R; x = y \rrbracket \\ \implies x \cdot_r z = y \cdot_r z$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-tOp-assoc*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$

$$\implies (x \cdot_r y) \cdot_r z = x \cdot_r (y \cdot_r z)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-l-one*: $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies 1_r \cdot_r x = x$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-r-one*: $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies x \cdot_r 1_r = x$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-times-0-x*: $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies \mathbf{0} \cdot_r x = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-times-x-0*: $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies x \cdot_r \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *rMulZeroDiv*:

$$\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; x = \mathbf{0} \vee y = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y = \mathbf{0}$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-inv1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$-_a (a \cdot_r b) = (-_a a) \cdot_r b \wedge -_a (a \cdot_r b) = a \cdot_r (-_a b)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-inv1-1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$-_a (a \cdot_r b) = (-_a a) \cdot_r b$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-inv1-2*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$-_a (a \cdot_r b) = a \cdot_r (-_a b)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-times-minusl*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies -_a a = (-_a 1_r) \cdot_r a$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-times-minusr*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies -_a a = a \cdot_r (-_a 1_r)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-inv1-3*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$a \cdot_r b = (-_a a) \cdot_r (-_a b)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-distrib4*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R;$

$x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \]] \implies$
 $a \cdot_r b \pm (-_a x \cdot_r y) = a \cdot_r (b \pm (-_a y)) \pm (a \pm (-_a x)) \cdot_r y$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *rMulLC*:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r (y \cdot_r z) = y \cdot_r (x \cdot_r z)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Zero-ring:1_r = 0* \implies *zeroring* *R*
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Zero-ring1: \neg (zeroring R)* $\implies 1_r \neq \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-one:sr R S* $\implies 1_r \in S$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-zero:sr R S* $\implies \mathbf{0} \in S$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-mOp-closed: \llbracket sr R S; x \in S \rrbracket* $\implies -_a x \in S$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-pOp-closed: \llbracket sr R S; x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket* $\implies x \pm y \in S$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-tOp-closed: \llbracket sr R S; x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket* $\implies x \cdot_r y \in S$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-ring:sr R S* \implies *Ring* (*Sr R S*)
 <proof>

4.2 Calculation of elements

4.2.1 nscale

lemma (in Ring) *ring-tOp-rel: \llbracket x \in carrier R; xa \in carrier R; y \in carrier R;
 ya \in carrier R \rrbracket* $\implies (x \cdot_r xa) \cdot_r (y \cdot_r ya) = (x \cdot_r y) \cdot_r (xa \cdot_r ya)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *nsClose*:
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{nscal } R \ x \ n \in \text{carrier } R$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *nsZero*:
 $\text{nscal } R \ \mathbf{0} \ n = \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsZeroI*: $\bigwedge n. x = \mathbf{0} \implies \text{nscal } R \ x \ n = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsEqElm*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; x = y \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{nscal } R \ x \ n) = (\text{nscal } R \ y \ n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsDistr*: $x \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\implies (\text{nscal } R \ x \ n) \pm (\text{nscal } R \ x \ m) = \text{nscal } R \ x \ (n + m)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsDistrL*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{nscal } R \ x \ n) \pm (\text{nscal } R \ y \ n) = \text{nscal } R \ (x \pm y) \ n$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsMulDistrL*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r (\text{nscal } R \ y \ n) = \text{nscal } R \ (x \cdot_r y) \ n$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsMulDistrR*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{nscal } R \ y \ n) \cdot_r x = \text{nscal } R \ (y \cdot_r x) \ n$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

4.2.2 npow

lemma (in *Ring*) *npClose*: $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{npow } R \ x \ n \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMulDistr*: $\bigwedge n \ m. x \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $(\text{npow } R \ x \ n) \cdot_r (\text{npow } R \ x \ m) = \text{npow } R \ x \ (n + m)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMulExp*: $\bigwedge n \ m. x \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\implies \text{npow } R \ (\text{npow } R \ x \ n) \ m = \text{npow } R \ x \ (n * m)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npGTPowZero-sub*:
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; \text{npow } R \ x \ m = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies (m \leq n) \longrightarrow (\text{npow } R \ x \ n = \mathbf{0})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npGTPowZero*:
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; \text{npow } R \ x \ m = \mathbf{0}; m \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{npow } R \ x \ n = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npOne*: $\text{npow } R \ (1_r) \ n = 1_r$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npZero-sub*: $0 < n \longrightarrow \text{npow } R \ \mathbf{0} \ n = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npZero*: $0 < n \implies \text{npow } R \ \mathbf{0} \ n = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMulElmL*: $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; 0 \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r (\text{npow } R \ x \ n) = \text{npow } R \ x \ (\text{Suc } n)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMulEleL*: $\bigwedge n. x \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\implies (\text{npow } R \ x \ n) \cdot_r x = \text{npow } R \ x \ (\text{Suc } n)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMulElmR*: $\bigwedge n. x \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\implies (\text{npow } R \ x \ n) \cdot_r x = \text{npow } R \ x \ (\text{Suc } n)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *np-1*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{npow } R \ a \ (\text{Suc } 0) = a$
<proof>

4.2.3 nsum and fSum

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-memTr*: $(\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nsum } A \ f \ n \in \text{carrier } A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-mem*: $\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A \ f \ n \in \text{carrier } A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-eqTr*: $(\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $g \ j \in \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $f \ j = g \ j)$
 $\longrightarrow \text{nsum } A \ f \ n = \text{nsum } A \ g \ n$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-eq*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A; \forall j \leq n. g \ j \in \text{carrier } A;$
 $\forall j \leq n. f \ j = g \ j \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } A \ f \ n = \text{nsum } A \ g \ n$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-cmp-assoc*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\}; h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ g) \ n = \text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ (\text{cmp } h \ g)) \ n$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-Suc*: $\forall j \in \text{nset } n \ (n + \text{Suc } m). f \ j \in \text{carrier } A \implies$

$fSum\ A\ f\ n\ (n + Suc\ m) = fSum\ A\ f\ n\ (n + m) \pm f\ (n + Suc\ m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-eqTr*: $(\forall j \in nset\ n\ (n + m). f\ j \in carrier\ A \wedge$
 $g\ j \in carrier\ A \wedge f\ j = g\ j) \longrightarrow$
 $fSum\ A\ f\ n\ (n + m) = fSum\ A\ g\ n\ (n + m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-eq*: $\llbracket \forall j \in nset\ n\ (n + m). f\ j \in carrier\ A;$
 $\forall j \in nset\ n\ (n + m). g\ j \in carrier\ A; (\forall j \in nset\ n\ (n + m). f\ j = g\ j) \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $fSum\ A\ f\ n\ (n + m) = fSum\ A\ g\ n\ (n + m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-eq1*: $\llbracket n \leq m; \forall j \in nset\ n\ m. f\ j \in carrier\ A;$
 $\forall j \in nset\ n\ m. g\ j \in carrier\ A; \forall j \in nset\ n\ m. f\ j = g\ j \rrbracket \implies$
 $fSum\ A\ f\ n\ m = fSum\ A\ g\ n\ m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-zeroTr*: $(\forall j \in nset\ n\ (n + m). f\ j = \mathbf{0}) \longrightarrow$
 $fSum\ A\ f\ n\ (n + m) = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-zero*: $\forall j \in nset\ n\ (n + m). f\ j = \mathbf{0} \implies$
 $fSum\ A\ f\ n\ (n + m) = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-zero1*: $\llbracket n < m; \forall j \in nset\ (Suc\ n)\ m. f\ j = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $fSum\ A\ f\ (Suc\ n)\ m = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsumMulEleL*: $\bigwedge n. \llbracket \forall i. f\ i \in carrier\ R; x \in carrier\ R \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r (nsum\ R\ f\ n) = nsum\ R\ (\lambda i. x \cdot_r (f\ i))\ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsumMulElmL*:
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket \forall i. f\ i \in carrier\ R; x \in carrier\ R \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r (nsum\ R\ f\ n) = nsum\ R\ (\lambda i. x \cdot_r (f\ i))\ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsumTailTr*:
 $(\forall j \leq (Suc\ n). f\ j \in carrier\ A) \longrightarrow$
 $nsum\ A\ f\ (Suc\ n) = (nsum\ A\ (\lambda i. (f\ (Suc\ i)))\ n) \pm (f\ 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsumTail*:
 $\forall j \leq (Suc\ n). f\ j \in carrier\ A \implies$
 $nsum\ A\ f\ (Suc\ n) = (nsum\ A\ (\lambda i. (f\ (Suc\ i)))\ n) \pm (f\ 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsumElmTail*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall i. f i \in \text{carrier } A \\ & \implies \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f (\text{Suc } i))) n) \pm (f 0) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-addTr*:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A \wedge g j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow \\ & \text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f i) \pm (g i)) n = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g n) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-add*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A; \forall j \leq n. g j \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies \\ & \text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f i) \pm (g i)) n = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g n) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsumElmAdd*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \forall i. f i \in \text{carrier } A; \forall i. g i \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f i) \pm (g i)) n = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g n) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-add-nmTr*:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A) \wedge (\forall j \leq m. g j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow \\ & \text{nsum } A (\text{jointfun } n f m g) (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g m) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-add-nm*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A; \forall j \leq m. g j \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies \\ & \text{nsum } A (\text{jointfun } n f m g) (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g m) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in *Ring*) *npeSum2-sub-muly*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \\ & y \cdot_r (\text{nsum } R (\lambda i. \text{nscal } R ((\text{npow } R x (n-i)) \cdot_r (\text{npow } R y i)) \\ & \quad (n \text{ choose } i)) n) \\ & = \text{nsum } R (\lambda i. \text{nscal } R ((\text{npow } R x (n-i)) \cdot_r (\text{npow } R y (i+1))) \\ & \quad (n \text{ choose } i)) n \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *binomial-n0*: (*Suc n choose 0*) = (*n choose 0*)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *binomial-ngt-diff*:

$$(n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } n) = (\text{Suc } n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } n) - (n \text{ choose } n)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *binomial-ngt-0*: (*n choose Suc n*) = 0

lemma (in *Ring*) *npInverse*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge n. x \in \text{carrier } R \\ & \implies \text{npow } R (-_a x) n = \text{npow } R x n \\ & \quad \vee \text{npow } R (-_a x) n = -_a (\text{npow } R x n) \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMul*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{npow } R (x \cdot_r y) n = (\text{npow } R x n) \cdot_r (\text{npow } R y n) \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

4.3 Ring homomorphisms

definition

$$\begin{aligned} rHom &:: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \\ & \quad \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ set } \mathbf{where} \\ rHom \ A \ R &= \{f. f \in aHom \ A \ R \wedge \\ & \quad (\forall x \in \text{carrier } A. \forall y \in \text{carrier } A. f (x \cdot_r A y) = (f x) \cdot_r R (f y)) \\ & \quad \wedge f (1_{rA}) = (1_{rR})\} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} rInvim &:: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'b \text{ set}] \\ & \quad \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set } \mathbf{where} \\ rInvim \ A \ R \ f \ K &= \{a. a \in \text{carrier } A \wedge f a \in K\} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} ring &:: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow \\ & \quad 'b \text{ Ring } \mathbf{where} \\ ring \ A \ R \ f &= (\text{carrier} = f `(\text{carrier } A), \text{pop} = \text{pop } R, \text{mop} = \text{mop } R, \\ & \quad \text{zero} = \text{zero } R, \text{tp} = \text{tp } R, \text{un} = \text{un } R) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} ridmap &:: ('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \mathbf{where} \\ ridmap \ R &= (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } R. x) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} r-isom &:: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \\ & \quad (\mathbf{infixr} \cong_r \ 100) \mathbf{where} \\ r-isom \ R \ R' &\longleftrightarrow (\exists f \in rHom \ R \ R'. \text{bijec}_{R,R'} f) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} Subring &:: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool } \mathbf{where} \\ Subring \ R \ S &== \text{Ring } S \wedge (\text{carrier } S \subseteq \text{carrier } R) \wedge (\text{ridmap } S) \in rHom \ S \ R \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ridmap-surjec*: $\text{Ring } A \implies \text{surjec}_{A,A} (\text{ridmap } A)$

<proof>

lemma *rHom-aHom*: $f \in rHom \ A \ R \implies f \in aHom \ A \ R$

<proof>

lemma *ring-carrier*: $f \in rHom\ A\ R \implies carrier\ (ring\ A\ R\ f) = f\ ' (carrier\ A)$
<proof>

lemma *rHom-mem*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ A\ R; a \in carrier\ A \rrbracket \implies f\ a \in carrier\ R$
<proof>

lemma *rHom-func*: $f \in rHom\ A\ R \implies f \in carrier\ A \rightarrow carrier\ R$
<proof>

lemma *ringhom1*: $\llbracket Ring\ A; Ring\ R; x \in carrier\ A; y \in carrier\ A; f \in rHom\ A\ R \rrbracket \implies f\ (x \pm_A y) = (f\ x) \pm_R (f\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *rHom-inv-inv*: $\llbracket Ring\ A; Ring\ R; x \in carrier\ A; f \in rHom\ A\ R \rrbracket \implies f\ (-_aA\ x) = -_aR\ (f\ x)$
<proof>

lemma *rHom-0-0*: $\llbracket Ring\ A; Ring\ R; f \in rHom\ A\ R \rrbracket \implies f\ (\mathbf{0}_A) = \mathbf{0}_R$
<proof>

lemma *rHom-tOp*: $\llbracket Ring\ A; Ring\ R; x \in carrier\ A; y \in carrier\ A; f \in rHom\ A\ R \rrbracket \implies f\ (x \cdot_{rA}\ y) = (f\ x) \cdot_{rR}\ (f\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *rHom-add*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ A\ R; x \in carrier\ A; y \in carrier\ A \rrbracket \implies f\ (x \pm_A y) = (f\ x) \pm_R (f\ y)$
<proof>

lemma *rHom-one*: $\llbracket Ring\ A; Ring\ R; f \in rHom\ A\ R \rrbracket \implies f\ (1_{rA}) = (1_{rR})$
<proof>

lemma *rHom-npow*: $\llbracket Ring\ A; Ring\ R; x \in carrier\ A; f \in rHom\ A\ R \rrbracket \implies f\ (x^{^A\ n}) = (f\ x)^{^R\ n}$
<proof>

lemma *rHom-compos*: $\llbracket Ring\ A; Ring\ B; Ring\ C; f \in rHom\ A\ B; g \in rHom\ B\ C \rrbracket \implies compos\ A\ g\ f \in rHom\ A\ C$
<proof>

lemma *ring-ag*: $\llbracket Ring\ A; Ring\ R; f \in rHom\ A\ R \rrbracket \implies aGroup\ (ring\ A\ R\ f)$
<proof>

lemma *ring-ring*: $\llbracket Ring\ A; Ring\ R; f \in rHom\ A\ R \rrbracket \implies Ring\ (ring\ A\ R\ f)$
<proof>

definition

ideal :: [- , 'a set] ⇒ bool **where**
ideal R I ⇔ (R +> I) ∧ (∀ r ∈ carrier R. ∀ x ∈ I. (r ·_r x ∈ I))

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-asubg*: *ideal* R I ⇒ R +> I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-pOp-closed*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I; y ∈ I]]
 ⇒ x ± y ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-nsum-closedTr*: *ideal* R I ⇒
 (∀ j ≤ n. f j ∈ I) → nsum R f n ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-nsum-closed*: [[*ideal* R I; ∀ j ≤ n. f j ∈ I]] ⇒
 nsum R f n ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-subset1*: *ideal* R I ⇒ I ⊆ carrier R
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-subset*: [[*ideal* R I; h ∈ I]] ⇒ h ∈ carrier R
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-ring-multiple*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I; r ∈ carrier R]] ⇒
 r ·_r x ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-ring-multiple1*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I; r ∈ carrier R]] ⇒
 x ·_r r ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-npow-closedTr*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I]] ⇒
 0 < n → x^{R n} ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-npow-closed*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I; 0 < n]] ⇒ x^{R n} ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *times-modTr*: [[a ∈ carrier R; a' ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R;
 b' ∈ carrier R; *ideal* R I; a ± (-_a b) ∈ I; a' ± (-_a b') ∈ I]] ⇒
 a ·_r a' ± (-_a (b ·_r b')) ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-inv1-closed*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I]] ⇒ -_a x ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-zero*: *ideal* R I ⇒ 0 ∈ I

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-zero-forall*: $\forall I. \text{ideal } R \ I \longrightarrow \mathbf{0} \in I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-ele-sumTr1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; a \pm b \in I; a \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow b \in I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-ele-sumTr2*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; a \pm b \in I; b \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \in I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-condition*: $\llbracket I \subseteq \text{carrier } R; I \neq \{\}; \forall x \in I. \forall y \in I. x \pm (-_a \ y) \in I; \forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in I. r \cdot_r \ x \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ideal } R \ I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-condition1*: $\llbracket I \subseteq \text{carrier } R; I \neq \{\}; \forall x \in I. \forall y \in I. x \pm y \in I; \forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in I. r \cdot_r \ x \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ideal } R \ I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *zero-ideal*: $\text{ideal } R \ \{\mathbf{0}\}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *whole-ideal*: $\text{ideal } R \ (\text{carrier } R)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-inc-one*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; 1_r \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow I = \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-inc-one1*: $\text{ideal } R \ I \Longrightarrow (1_r \in I) = (I = \text{carrier } R)$

<proof>

definition

Unit :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
Unit *R* *a* $\longleftrightarrow a \in \text{carrier } R \wedge (\exists b \in \text{carrier } R. a \cdot_r \ b = 1_r)$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-inc-unit*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in I; \text{Unit } R \ a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 1_r \in I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *proper-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; 1_r \notin I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow I \neq \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-inc-unit1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \text{Unit } R \ a; \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow I = \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *int-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; \text{ ideal } R \ J \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R \ (I \cap J)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

ideal-prod:: $[-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ (**infix** $\diamond_{r,1} 90$) **where**
ideal-prod $R \ I \ J == \bigcap \{L. \text{ideal } R \ L \wedge$
 $\{x. (\exists i \in I. \exists j \in J. x = i \cdot_r R j)\} \subseteq L\}$

lemma (in Ring) *set-sum-mem*: $\llbracket a \in I; b \in J; I \subseteq \text{carrier } R; J \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \pm b \in I \mp J$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *sum-ideals*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I1; \text{ ideal } R \ I2 \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R \ (I1 \mp I2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *sum-ideals-la1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I1; \text{ ideal } R \ I2 \rrbracket \implies I1 \subseteq (I1 \mp I2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *sum-ideals-la2*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I1; \text{ ideal } R \ I2 \rrbracket \implies I2 \subseteq (I1 \mp I2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *sum-ideals-cont*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; A \subseteq I; B \subseteq I \rrbracket \implies A \mp B \subseteq I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideals-set-sum*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ ideal } R \ B; x \in A \mp B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists h \in A. \exists k \in B. x = h \pm k$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

Rxa :: $[-, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ (**infixl** $\diamond_p 200$) **where**
Rxa $R \ a = \{x. \exists r \in \text{carrier } R. x = (r \cdot_r R a)\}$

lemma (in Ring) *a-in-principal*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies a \in Rxa \ R \ a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *principal-ideal*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{ideal } R \ (Rxa \ R \ a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *rx-in-Rxa*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $r \cdot_r a \in Rxa \ R \ a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *Rxa-one*: $Rxa \ R \ 1_r = \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *Rxa-zero*: $Rxa \ R \ \mathbf{0} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *Rxa-nonzero*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; a \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies Rxa R a \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-cont-Rxa*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in I \rrbracket \implies Rxa R a \subseteq I$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Rxa-mult-smaller*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $Rxa R (a \cdot_r b) \subseteq Rxa R b$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *id-ideal-psub-sum*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; a \notin I \rrbracket \implies$
 $I \subset I \mp Rxa R a$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *mul-two-principal-idealsTr*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $x \in Rxa R a; y \in Rxa R b \rrbracket \implies \exists r \in \text{carrier } R. x \cdot_r y = r \cdot_r (a \cdot_r b)$
 <proof>

primrec *sum-pr-ideals*:: $(('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a, \text{nat}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
where

sum-pr0: *sum-pr-ideals* $R f 0 = Rxa R (f 0)$
 | *sum-prn*: *sum-pr-ideals* $R f (\text{Suc } n) =$
 $(Rxa R (f (\text{Suc } n))) \mp_R (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$

lemma (in Ring) *sum-of-prideals0*:
 $\forall f. (\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R) \longrightarrow \text{ideal } R (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-of-prideals*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$
 <proof>

later, we show *sum-pr-ideals* is the least ideal containing $\{f 0, f 1, \dots, f n\}$

lemma (in Ring) *sum-of-prideals1*: $\forall f. (\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R) \longrightarrow$
 $f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-of-prideals2*: $\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\implies f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-of-prideals3*:*ideal* $R I \implies$
 $\forall f. (\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R) \wedge (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq I) \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n \subseteq I)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-of-prideals4*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R;$

$(f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq I) \implies \text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n \subseteq I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ker-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A R \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } A (\text{ker }_{A,R} f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

4.3.1 Ring of integers

definition

$Zr :: \text{int Ring where}$

$Zr = (\langle \text{carrier} = Zset, \text{pop} = \lambda n \in Zset. \lambda m \in Zset. (m + n),$
 $\text{mop} = \lambda l \in Zset. -l, \text{zero} = 0, \text{tp} = \lambda m \in Zset. \lambda n \in Zset. m * n, \text{un} = 1 \rangle)$

lemma *ring-of-integers*: $\text{Ring } Zr$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-zero*: $0_{Zr} = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-one*: $1_{Zr} = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-minus*: $-_a Zr n = - n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-add*: $n \pm_{Zr} m = n + m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-times*: $n \cdot_{Zr} m = n * m$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$lev :: \text{int set} \Rightarrow \text{int where}$

$lev I = Zleast \{n. n \in I \wedge 0 < n\}$

lemma *Zr-gen-Zleast*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } Zr I; I \neq \{0::\text{int}\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $Rxa Zr (lev I) = I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-pir*: $\text{ideal } Zr I \implies \exists n. Rxa Zr n = I$
 ⟨proof⟩

4.4 Quotient rings

lemma (in *Ring*) *mem-set-ar-cos*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \uplus_R I \in \text{set-ar-cos } R I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *I-in-set-ar-cos*: $\text{ideal } R I \implies I \in \text{set-ar-cos } R I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; b \in carrier\ R; b \pm (-_a\ a) \in I \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I = b \uplus_R I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same2*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; b \in carrier\ R; a \uplus_R I = b \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies b \pm (-_a\ a) \in I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same3*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; a \uplus_R I = I \rrbracket \implies a \in I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same3-1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; a \notin I \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I \neq I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same4*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in I \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I = I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same4-1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \uplus_R I \neq I \rrbracket \implies a \notin I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *belong-ar-coset1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; x \in carrier\ R; x \pm (-_a\ a) \in I \rrbracket \implies x \in a \uplus_R I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *a-in-ar-coset*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R \rrbracket \implies a \in a \uplus_R I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-subsetD*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies x \in carrier\ R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-cos-mem*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I \in set-rcs\ (b-ag\ R)\ I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *mem-ar-coset1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in I. h \pm a = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-mem2*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in I. x = a \pm h$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *belong-ar-coset2*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket$

$$\implies x \pm (-_a a) \in I$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-c-top*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies (c\text{-top } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I) \ (a \uplus_R I) \ (b \uplus_R I) = (a \pm b) \uplus_R I$

<proof>

Following lemma is not necessary to define a quotient ring. But it makes clear that the binary operation2 of the quotient ring is well defined.

lemma (in *Ring*) *quotient-ring-tr1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a1 \in \text{carrier } R; a2 \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $b1 \in \text{carrier } R; b2 \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $a1 \uplus_R I = a2 \uplus_R I; b1 \uplus_R I = b2 \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a1 \cdot_r b1) \uplus_R I = (a2 \cdot_r b2) \uplus_R I$

<proof>

definition

rcostOp :: $[-, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow (['a \ \text{set}, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set})$ **where**
 $\text{rcostOp } R \ I = (\lambda X \in (\text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I). \lambda Y \in (\text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I).$
 $\{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. \exists h \in I. (x \cdot_r y) \pm_R h = z\})$

lemma (in *Ring*) *rcostOp*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{rcostOp } R \ I \ (a \uplus_R I) \ (b \uplus_R I) = (a \cdot_r b) \uplus_R I$

<proof>

definition

qring :: $[('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow (\ () \ \text{carrier} :: 'a \ \text{set} \ \text{set},$
 $\text{pop} :: ['a \ \text{set}, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}, \text{mop} :: 'a \ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set},$
 $\text{zero} :: 'a \ \text{set}, \text{tp} :: ['a \ \text{set}, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}, \text{un} :: 'a \ \text{set} \)$ **where**
 $\text{qring } R \ I = (\ () \ \text{carrier} = \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I,$
 $\text{pop} = c\text{-top } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I,$
 $\text{mop} = c\text{-iop } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I,$
 $\text{zero} = I,$
 $\text{tp} = \text{rcostOp } R \ I,$
 $\text{un} = 1_{rR} \uplus_R I)$

abbreviation

QRING (infixl $'/_r$ 200) **where**
 $R \ /_r \ I == \text{qring } R \ I$

lemma (in *Ring*) *carrier-qring*: $\text{ideal } R \ I \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{qring } R \ I) = \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *carrier-qring1*: $\text{ideal } R \ I \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{qring } R \ I) = \text{set-ar-cos } R \ I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-ring*: $\text{ideal } R \ I \implies \text{Ring } (\text{qring } R \ I)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-carrier:ideal* $R\ I \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{qring } R\ I) = \{X. \exists a \in \text{carrier } R. a \uplus_R I = X\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-mem*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R\ I; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \uplus_R I \in \text{carrier } (\text{qring } R\ I)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-pOp*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R\ I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pop } (\text{qring } R\ I) (a \uplus_R I) (b \uplus_R I) = (a \pm b) \uplus_R I$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-zero:ideal* $R\ I \implies \text{zero } (\text{qring } R\ I) = I$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-zero-1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R\ I; a \uplus_R I = I \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \in I$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *Qring-fix1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R\ I; a \in I \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I = I$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-cos-same*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R\ I; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \uplus_R I = a \uplus_R I$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-tOp*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R\ I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{tp } (\text{qring } R\ I) (a \uplus_R I) (b \uplus_R I) = (a \cdot_r b) \uplus_R I$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rind-hom-well-def*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A\ R; a \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $f\ a = (f^\circ_{A,R}) (a \uplus_A (\text{ker}_{A,R} f))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *set-r-ar-cos:ideal* $R\ I \implies$
 $\text{set-r-cs } (b\text{-ag } R) I = \text{set-ar-cos } R\ I$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *set-r-ar-cos-ker*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A\ R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{set-r-cs } (b\text{-ag } A) (\text{ker}_{A,R} f) = \text{set-ar-cos } A (\text{ker}_{A,R} f)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ind-hom-rhom*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A\ R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f^\circ_{A,R}) \in r\text{Hom } (\text{qring } A (\text{ker}_{A,R} f))\ R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ind-hom-injec*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A\ R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{injec } (\text{qring } A (\text{ker}_{A,R} f)), R (f^\circ_{A,R})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rhom-to-ring*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{ Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } (\text{ring } A \text{ } R \text{ } f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ker-to-ring*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{ Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ker}_{A,R} f = \text{ker}_{A,(\text{ring } A \text{ } R \text{ } f)} f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *indhom-eq*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{ Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } R \rrbracket \implies f^\circ_{A,(\text{ring } A \text{ } R \text{ } f)} =$
 $f^\circ_{A,R}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *indhom-bijec2-ring*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{ Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bijec}_{(\text{qring } A \text{ } (\text{ker}_{A,R} f)),(\text{ring } A \text{ } R \text{ } f)} (f^\circ_{A,R})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *surjec-ind-bijec*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{ Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } R; \text{ surjec}_{A,R} f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bijec}_{(\text{qring } A \text{ } (\text{ker}_{A,R} f)),R} (f^\circ_{A,R})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ridmap-ind-bijec*: $\text{Ring } A \implies$
 $\text{bijec}_{(\text{qring } A \text{ } (\text{ker}_{A,A} (\text{ridmap } A))),A} ((\text{ridmap } A)^\circ_{A,A})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ker-of-idmap*: $\text{Ring } A \implies \text{ker}_{A,A} (\text{ridmap } A) = \{\mathbf{0}_A\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ring-natural-isom*: $\text{Ring } A \implies$
 $\text{bijec}_{(\text{qring } A \text{ } \{\mathbf{0}_A\}),A} ((\text{ridmap } A)^\circ_{A,A})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{pj} :: [(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'a set}] \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'a set})$ **where**
 $\text{pj } R \text{ } I = (\lambda x. \text{Pj } (b\text{-ag } R) \text{ } I \text{ } x)$

lemma *pj-Hom*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I \rrbracket \implies (\text{pj } R \text{ } I) \in r\text{Hom } R \text{ } (\text{qring } R \text{ } I)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pj-mem*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I; x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{pj } R \text{ } I \text{ } x = x \uplus_R I$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pj-zero*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I; x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{pj } R \text{ } I \text{ } x = \mathbf{0}_{(R /_r I)}) = (x \in I)$

<proof>

lemma *pj-surj-to*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R J; X \in \text{carrier } (R /_r J) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. \text{pj } R J r = X$

<proof>

lemma *invm-of-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } (q\text{ring } R I) J \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R (r\text{Invm } R (q\text{ring } R I) (\text{pj } R I) J)$

<proof>

lemma *pj-invm-cont-I*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } (q\text{ring } R I) J \rrbracket \implies$
 $I \subseteq (r\text{Invm } R (q\text{ring } R I) (\text{pj } R I) J)$

<proof>

lemma *pj-invm-mono1*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } (q\text{ring } R I) J1;$
 $\text{ideal } (q\text{ring } R I) J2; J1 \subseteq J2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $(r\text{Invm } R (q\text{ring } R I) (\text{pj } R I) J1) \subseteq (r\text{Invm } R (q\text{ring } R I) (\text{pj } R I) J2)$

<proof>

lemma *pj-img-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J; I \subseteq J \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } (q\text{ring } R I) ((\text{pj } R I)'J)$

<proof>

lemma *npQring*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{npow } (q\text{ring } R I) (a \uplus_R I) n = (\text{npow } R a n) \uplus_R I$

<proof>

4.5 Primary ideals, Prime ideals

definition

maximal-set :: [*'a set set, 'a set*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
maximal-set *S mx* $\longleftrightarrow mx \in S \wedge (\forall s \in S. mx \subseteq s \longrightarrow s = mx)$

definition

nilpotent :: [*-, 'a*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
nilpotent *R a* $\longleftrightarrow (\exists (n::nat). a^{\wedge R} n = \mathbf{0}_R)$

definition

zero-divisor :: [*-, 'a*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
zero-divisor *R a* $\longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in \text{carrier } R. x \neq \mathbf{0}_R \wedge x \cdot_{rR} a = \mathbf{0}_R)$

definition

primary-ideal :: [*-, 'a set*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
primary-ideal *R q* $\longleftrightarrow \text{ideal } R q \wedge (1_{rR}) \notin q \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } R. \forall y \in \text{carrier } R.$
 $x \cdot_{rR} y \in q \longrightarrow (\exists n. (\text{npow } R x n) \in q \vee y \in q))$

definition

prime-ideal :: [*-, 'a set*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

prime-ideal $R p \iff ideal R p \wedge (1_r R) \notin p \wedge (\forall x \in carrier R. \forall y \in carrier R. (x \cdot_r R y \in p \implies x \in p \vee y \in p))$

definition

maximal-ideal $:: [-, 'a set] \implies bool$ **where**

maximal-ideal $R mx \iff ideal R mx \wedge 1_r R \notin mx \wedge \{J. (ideal R J \wedge mx \subseteq J)\} = \{mx, carrier R\}$

lemma (in *Ring*) *maximal-ideal-ideal*: $\llbracket maximal-ideal R mx \rrbracket \implies ideal R mx$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *maximal-ideal-proper*: $maximal-ideal R mx \implies 1_r \notin mx$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-ideal-ideal*: $prime-ideal R I \implies ideal R I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-ideal-proper*: $prime-ideal R I \implies I \neq carrier R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-ideal-proper1*: $prime-ideal R p \implies 1_r \notin p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *primary-ideal-ideal*: $primary-ideal R q \implies ideal R q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *primary-ideal-proper1*: $primary-ideal R q \implies 1_r \notin q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-elems-mult-not*: $\llbracket prime-ideal R P; x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; x \notin P; y \notin P \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y \notin P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-is-primary*: $prime-ideal R p \implies primary-ideal R p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *maximal-prime-Tr0*: $\llbracket maximal-ideal R mx; x \in carrier R; x \notin mx \rrbracket \implies mx \mp (Rxa R x) = carrier R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *maximal-prime*: $maximal-ideal R mx \implies prime-ideal R mx$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *chains-un*: $\llbracket c \in chains \{I. ideal R I \wedge I \subseteq carrier R\}; c \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies ideal R (\bigcup c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *zeroring-no-maximal*: $\text{zeroring } R \implies \neg (\exists I. \text{maximal-ideal } R I)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *id-maximal-Exist*: $\neg(\text{zeroring } R) \implies \exists I. \text{maximal-ideal } R I$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

ideal-Int :: [τ , 'a set set] \Rightarrow 'a set **where**
ideal-Int $R S == \bigcap S$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-Int-ideal*: $\llbracket S \subseteq \{I. \text{ideal } R I\}; S \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R (\bigcap S)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-prideals-Int*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $S = \{I. \text{ideal } R I \wedge f \text{ ` } \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq I\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n) = \bigcap S$
 ⟨proof⟩

This proves that $(\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$ is the smallest ideal containing f
 ` $(Nset n)$

primrec *ideal-n-prod*: $[(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{Ring-scheme}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a set}] \Rightarrow \text{'a set}$
where

ideal-n-prod0: $\text{ideal-n-prod } R 0 J = J 0$
 | *ideal-n-prodSn*: $\text{ideal-n-prod } R (\text{Suc } n) J =$
 $(\text{ideal-n-prod } R n J) \diamond_{rR} (J (\text{Suc } n))$

abbreviation

IDNPROD $((\exists i \Pi. -) [98,98,99]98)$ **where**
 $i \Pi_{R,n} J == \text{ideal-n-prod } R n J$

primrec

ideal-pow :: [$\text{'a set}, (\text{'a}, \text{'more}) \text{Ring-scheme}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{'a set}$
 $((\exists - / \diamond -) [120,120,121]120)$

where

ip0: $I \diamond^R 0 = \text{carrier } R$
 | *ipSuc*: $I \diamond^R (\text{Suc } n) = I \diamond_{rR} (I \diamond^R n)$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prod-mem-prod-ideals*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J; i \in I; j \in J \rrbracket \implies$
 $i \cdot_r j \in (I \diamond_r J)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R (I \diamond_r J)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-commute*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J \rrbracket \implies$
 $I \diamond_r J = J \diamond_r I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-subTr*: \llbracket ideal R I; ideal R J; ideal R C;
 $\forall i \in I. \forall j \in J. i \cdot_r j \in C \rrbracket \implies I \diamond_r J \subseteq C$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *n-prod-idealTr*:
 $(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k)) \longrightarrow \text{ideal } R (\text{ideal-n-prod } R n J)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *n-prod-ideal*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{ideal } R (\text{ideal-n-prod } R n J)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-la1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J \rrbracket \implies (I \diamond_r J) \subseteq I$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-el1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J; a \in (I \diamond_r J) \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \in I$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-la2*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J \rrbracket \implies (I \diamond_r J) \subseteq J$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-sub-Int*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J \rrbracket \implies$
 $(I \diamond_r J) \subseteq I \cap J$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-el2*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J; a \in (I \diamond_r J) \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \in J$
 <proof>

$i\Pi_{R,n} J$ is the product of ideals

lemma (in Ring) *ele-n-prodTr0*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R (J k);$
 $a \in i\Pi_{R,(\text{Suc } n)} J \rrbracket \implies a \in (i\Pi_{R,n} J) \wedge a \in (J (\text{Suc } n))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ele-n-prodTr1*:
 $(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge a \in \text{ideal-n-prod } R n J \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall k \leq n. a \in (J k))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ele-n-prod*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k);$
 $a \in \text{ideal-n-prod } R n J \rrbracket \implies \forall k \leq n. a \in (J k)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *idealprod-whole-l*: $\text{ideal } R I \implies (\text{carrier } R) \diamond_r R I = I$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *idealprod-whole-r*: $\text{ideal } R I \implies I \diamond_r (\text{carrier } R) = I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *idealpow-1-self*: $ideal\ R\ I \implies I \diamond^R (Suc\ 0) = I$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-pow-ideal*: $ideal\ R\ I \implies ideal\ R\ (I \diamond^R n)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-prime*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; ideal\ R\ J; prime-ideal\ R\ P; I \diamond_r J \subseteq P \rrbracket \implies I \subseteq P \vee J \subseteq P$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-n-prod-primeTr*: $prime-ideal\ R\ P \implies (\forall k \leq n. ideal\ R\ (J\ k)) \longrightarrow (ideal-n-prod\ R\ n\ J \subseteq P) \longrightarrow (\exists i \leq n. (J\ i) \subseteq P)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-n-prod-prime*: $\llbracket prime-ideal\ R\ P; \forall k \leq n. ideal\ R\ (J\ k); ideal-n-prod\ R\ n\ J \subseteq P \rrbracket \implies \exists i \leq n. (J\ i) \subseteq P$
<proof>

definition

ppa: $[-, nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set, 'a\ set, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $ppa\ R\ P\ A\ i\ l = (SOME\ x. x \in A \wedge x \in (P\ (skip\ i\ l)) \wedge x \notin P\ i)$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prod-primeTr*: $\llbracket prime-ideal\ R\ P; ideal\ R\ A; \neg A \subseteq P; ideal\ R\ B; \neg B \subseteq P \rrbracket \implies \exists x. x \in A \wedge x \in B \wedge x \notin P$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *prod-primeTr1*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (Suc\ n). prime-ideal\ R\ (P\ k); ideal\ R\ A; \forall l \leq (Suc\ n). \neg (A \subseteq P\ l); \forall k \leq (Suc\ n). \forall l \leq (Suc\ n). k = l \vee \neg (P\ k) \subseteq (P\ l); i \leq (Suc\ n) \rrbracket \implies \forall l \leq n. ppa\ R\ P\ A\ i\ l \in A \wedge ppa\ R\ P\ A\ i\ l \in (P\ (skip\ i\ l)) \wedge ppa\ R\ P\ A\ i\ l \notin (P\ i)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ppa-mem*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (Suc\ n). prime-ideal\ R\ (P\ k); ideal\ R\ A; \forall l \leq (Suc\ n). \neg (A \subseteq P\ l); \forall k \leq (Suc\ n). \forall l \leq (Suc\ n). k = l \vee \neg (P\ k) \subseteq (P\ l); i \leq (Suc\ n); l \leq n \rrbracket \implies ppa\ R\ P\ A\ i\ l \in carrier\ R$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsum-memrTr*: $(\forall i \leq n. f\ i \in carrier\ R) \longrightarrow (\forall l \leq n. nsum\ R\ f\ l \in carrier\ R)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsum-memr*: $\forall i \leq n. f\ i \in carrier\ R \implies$

$$\forall l \leq n. \text{ nsum } R \text{ f } l \in \text{ carrier } R$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsum-ideal-inc*Tr:ideal $R \ A \implies$
 $(\forall i \leq n. \text{ f } i \in A) \longrightarrow \text{ nsum } R \text{ f } n \in A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsum-ideal-inc*: \llbracket ideal $R \ A; \forall i \leq n. \text{ f } i \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ nsum } R \text{ f } n \in A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsum-ideal-exc*Tr:ideal $R \ A \implies$
 $(\forall i \leq n. \text{ f } i \in \text{ carrier } R) \wedge (\exists j \leq n. (\forall l \in \{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}. \text{ f } l \in A)$
 $\wedge (\text{ f } j \notin A)) \longrightarrow \text{ nsum } R \text{ f } n \notin A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsum-ideal-exc*: \llbracket ideal $R \ A; \forall i \leq n. \text{ f } i \in \text{ carrier } R;$
 $\exists j \leq n. (\forall l \in \{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}. \text{ f } l \in A) \wedge (\text{ f } j \notin A) \rrbracket \implies \text{ nsum } R \text{ f } n \notin A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nprod-mem*Tr: $(\forall i \leq n. \text{ f } i \in \text{ carrier } R) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall l. l \leq n \longrightarrow \text{ nprod } R \text{ f } l \in \text{ carrier } R)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nprod-mem*: $\llbracket \forall i \leq n. \text{ f } i \in \text{ carrier } R; l \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ nprod } R \text{ f } l \in \text{ carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-nprod-inc*Tr:ideal $R \ A \implies$
 $(\forall i \leq n. \text{ f } i \in \text{ carrier } R) \wedge$
 $(\exists l \leq n. \text{ f } l \in A) \longrightarrow \text{ nprod } R \text{ f } n \in A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-nprod-inc*: \llbracket ideal $R \ A; \forall i \leq n. \text{ f } i \in \text{ carrier } R;$
 $\exists l \leq n. \text{ f } l \in A \rrbracket \implies \text{ nprod } R \text{ f } n \in A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nprod-exc*Tr:prime-ideal $R \ P \implies$
 $(\forall i \leq n. \text{ f } i \in \text{ carrier } R) \wedge (\forall l \leq n. \text{ f } l \notin P) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{ nprod } R \text{ f } n \notin P$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-nprod-exc*: \llbracket prime-ideal $R \ P; \forall i \leq n. \text{ f } i \in \text{ carrier } R;$
 $\forall l \leq n. \text{ f } l \notin P \rrbracket \implies \text{ nprod } R \text{ f } n \notin P$

<proof>

definition

nilrad :: - \Rightarrow 'a set **where**

nilrad $R = \{x. x \in \text{ carrier } R \wedge \text{ nilpotent } R \ x\}$

lemma (in Ring) *id-nilrad-ideal:ideal* R (*nilrad* R)

<proof>

definition

rad-ideal :: [$_$, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set **where**

rad-ideal R $I = \{a. a \in \text{carrier } R \wedge \text{nilpotent } (\text{qring } R \ I) \ ((\text{pj } R \ I) \ a)\}$

lemma (in Ring) *id-rad-invim:ideal* R $I \Longrightarrow$

rad-ideal R $I = (\text{rInvim } R \ (\text{qring } R \ I) \ (\text{pj } R \ I) \ (\text{nilrad } (\text{qring } R \ I)))$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *id-rad-ideal:ideal* R $I \Longrightarrow$ *ideal* R (*rad-ideal* R I)

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *id-rad-cont-I:ideal* R $I \Longrightarrow I \subseteq (\text{rad-ideal } R \ I)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *id-rad-set:ideal* R $I \Longrightarrow$

rad-ideal R $I = \{x. x \in \text{carrier } R \wedge (\exists n. \text{npow } R \ x \ n \in I)\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *rad-primary-prime:primary-ideal* R $q \Longrightarrow$

prime-ideal R (*rad-ideal* R q)

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *npow-notin-prime*: \llbracket *prime-ideal* R P ; $x \in \text{carrier } R$; $x \notin P$ \rrbracket

$\Longrightarrow \forall n. \text{npow } R \ x \ n \notin P$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *npow-in-prime*: \llbracket *prime-ideal* R P ; $x \in \text{carrier } R$;

$\exists n. \text{npow } R \ x \ n \in P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \in P$

<proof>

definition

mul-closed-set:: [$_$, 'a set] \Rightarrow bool **where**

mul-closed-set R $S \longleftrightarrow S \subseteq \text{carrier } R \wedge (\forall s \in S. \forall t \in S. s \cdot_r R \ t \in S)$

locale *Idomain* = *Ring* +

assumes *idom*:

$\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; a \cdot_r b = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a = \mathbf{0} \vee b = \mathbf{0}$

locale *Corps* =

fixes K (**structure**)

assumes *f-is-ring*: *Ring* K

and *f-inv*: $\forall x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\}. \exists x' \in \text{carrier } K. x' \cdot_r x = 1_r$

lemma (in *Ring*) *mul-closed-set-sub:mul-closed-set* $R\ S \implies S \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *mul-closed-set-tOp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R\ S; s \in S; t \in S \rrbracket \implies s \cdot_r t \in S$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *f-inv-unique*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K - \{0\}; x' \in \text{carrier } K; x'' \in \text{carrier } K; x' \cdot_r x = 1_r; x'' \cdot_r x = 1_r \rrbracket \implies x' = x''$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

invf :: $[-, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
invf $K\ x = (\text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } K \wedge y \cdot_r K\ x = 1_r K)$

lemma (in *Corps*) *invf-inv*: $x \in \text{carrier } K - \{0\} \implies (\text{invf } K\ x) \in \text{carrier } K \wedge (\text{invf } K\ x) \cdot_r x = 1_r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

npowf :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
npowf $K\ x\ n =$
 (if $0 \leq n$ then *npow* $K\ x\ (\text{nat } n)$ else *npow* $K\ (\text{invf } K\ x)\ (\text{nat } (-\ n))$)

abbreviation

NPOWF :: $['a, -, \text{int}] \Rightarrow 'a$ ((3-) [77,77,78]77) **where**
 $a_K^n == \text{npowf } K\ a\ n$

abbreviation

IOP :: $['a, -] \Rightarrow 'a$ ((-) [87,88]87) **where**
 $a^{-K} == \text{invf } K\ a$

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-is-ring*: *Ring* R ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-tOp-nonzeros*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; x \neq 0; y \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y \neq 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-potent-nonzero*:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; x \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{npow } R\ x\ n \neq 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-potent-unit*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; 0 < n \rrbracket \implies (\text{Unit } R\ a) = (\text{Unit } R\ (\text{npow } R\ a\ n))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-mult-cancel-r*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $b \in \text{carrier } R; c \in \text{carrier } R; c \neq \mathbf{0}; a \cdot_r c = b \cdot_r c \rrbracket \implies a = b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-mult-cancel-l*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $b \in \text{carrier } R; c \in \text{carrier } R; c \neq \mathbf{0}; c \cdot_r a = c \cdot_r b \rrbracket \implies a = b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *invf-closed1*: $x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\} \implies$
 $\text{invf } K \ x \in (\text{carrier } K) - \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *linvf*: $x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\} \implies (\text{invf } K \ x) \cdot_r x = 1_r$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-is-ring*:*Ring* *K*
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *invf-one*: $1_r \neq \mathbf{0} \implies \text{invf } K \ (1_r) = 1_r$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-tOp-assoc*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; y \in \text{carrier } K; z \in \text{carrier } K \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r y \cdot_r z = x \cdot_r (y \cdot_r z)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-tOp-commute*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; y \in \text{carrier } K \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r y = y \cdot_r x$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-inv-inv*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (x^{-K})^{-K} = x$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-is-idom*:*Idomain* *K*
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-potent-nonzero*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $x^{K \ n} \neq \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-potent-nonzero1*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x_{K^n} \neq \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-nilp-zero*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x^{K \ n} = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x = \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-mem*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } K; a \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{npowf } K \ a \ n \in \text{carrier } K$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-npowf-exp-zero*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } K; a \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{npowf } K \ a \ 0 = 1_r$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npow-exp-minusTr1*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i \rrbracket \implies$
 $0 \leq i - (\text{int } j) \longrightarrow x_K^{(i - (\text{int } j))} = x^{\wedge K (\text{nat } i)} \cdot_r (x^{-K})^{\wedge K j}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npow-exp-minusTr2*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i; 0 \leq j; 0 \leq i - j \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^{(i - j)} = x^{\wedge K (\text{nat } i)} \cdot_r (x^{-K})^{\wedge K (\text{nat } j)}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-inv*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq j \rrbracket \implies x_K^j = (x^{-K})_K^{(-j)}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-inv1*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; \neg 0 \leq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^j = (x^{-K})_K^{(-j)}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-inverse*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x_K^j = (x^{-K})_K^{(-j)}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-expTr1*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i; 0 \leq j; 0 \leq i - j \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^{(i - j)} = x_K^i \cdot_r x_K^{(-j)}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-expTr2*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i + j \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^{(i + j)} = x_K^i \cdot_r x_K^j$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-exp-add*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^{(i + j)} = x_K^i \cdot_r x_K^j$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-exp-1-add*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^{(1 + j)} = x \cdot_r x_K^j$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-minus*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (x_K^j)^{-K} = x_K^{(-j)}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *residue-fieldTr*: $\llbracket \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx; x \in \text{carrier}(q\text{ring } R \ mx); x \neq \mathbf{0}_{(q\text{ring } R \ mx)} \rrbracket \implies \exists y \in \text{carrier}(q\text{ring } R \ mx). y \cdot_r (q\text{ring } R \ mx) \ x = 1_r (q\text{ring } R \ mx)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *residue-field-cd: maximal-ideal* $R \text{ } mx \implies$
 $\text{Corps } (qring \text{ } R \text{ } mx)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *maximal-set-idealTr*:
 $\text{maximal-set } \{I. \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\} \text{ } mx \implies \text{ ideal } R \text{ } mx$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *maximal-setTr*: $\llbracket \text{maximal-set } \{I. \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\} \text{ } mx;$
 $\text{ideal } R \text{ } J; mx \subseteq J \rrbracket \implies S \cap J \neq \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *mulDisj*: $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R \text{ } S; 1_r \in S; \mathbf{0} \notin S;$
 $T = \{I. \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\}; \text{ maximal-set } T \text{ } mx \rrbracket \implies \text{ prime-ideal } R \text{ } mx$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *ex-mulDisj-maximal*: $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R \text{ } S; \mathbf{0} \notin S; 1_r \in S;$
 $T = \{I. \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\} \rrbracket \implies \exists mx. \text{ maximal-set } T \text{ } mx$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *ex-mulDisj-prime*: $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R \text{ } S; \mathbf{0} \notin S; 1_r \in S \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists mx. \text{ prime-ideal } R \text{ } mx \wedge S \cap mx = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *nilradTr1*: $\neg \text{ zeroring } R \implies \text{ nilrad } R = \bigcap \{p. \text{ prime-ideal } R \text{ } p\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *nonilp-residue-nilrad*: $\llbracket \neg \text{ zeroring } R; x \in \text{ carrier } R;$
 $\text{ nilpotent } (qring \text{ } R \text{ } (\text{ nilrad } R)) (x \uplus_R (\text{ nilrad } R)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \uplus_R (\text{ nilrad } R) = \mathbf{0}_{(qring \text{ } R \text{ } (\text{ nilrad } R))}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *ex-contid-maximal*: $\llbracket S = \{1_r\}; \mathbf{0} \notin S; \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I; I \cap S = \{\};$
 $T = \{J. \text{ ideal } R \text{ } J \wedge S \cap J = \{\} \wedge I \subseteq J\} \rrbracket \implies \exists mx. \text{ maximal-set } T \text{ } mx$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *contid-maximal*: $\llbracket S = \{1_r\}; \mathbf{0} \notin S; \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I; I \cap S = \{\};$
 $T = \{J. \text{ ideal } R \text{ } J \wedge S \cap J = \{\} \wedge I \subseteq J\}; \text{ maximal-set } T \text{ } mx \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ maximal-ideal } R \text{ } mx$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-contained-maxid*: $\llbracket \neg (\text{ zeroring } R); \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I; 1_r \notin I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists mx. \text{ maximal-ideal } R \text{ } mx \wedge I \subseteq mx$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *nonunit-principal-id*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \neg (\text{Unit } R \ a) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(R \diamond_p a) \neq (\text{carrier } R)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nonunit-contained-maxid*: $\llbracket \neg(\text{zeroring } R); a \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\neg \text{Unit } R \ a \rrbracket \implies \exists mx. \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx \wedge a \in mx$
 <proof>

definition

local-ring :: - \implies bool **where**
local-ring $R == \text{Ring } R \wedge \neg \text{zeroring } R \wedge \text{card } \{mx. \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx\} = 1$

lemma (in *Ring*) *local-ring-diff*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; \text{ideal } R \ mx; mx \neq \text{carrier } R;$
 $\forall a \in (\text{carrier } R - mx). \text{Unit } R \ a \rrbracket \implies \text{local-ring } R \wedge \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *localring-unit*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx;$
 $\forall x. x \in mx \longrightarrow \text{Unit } R \ (x \pm 1_r) \rrbracket \implies \text{local-ring } R$
 <proof>

definition

J-rad :: - \implies 'a set **where**
J-rad $R = (\text{if } (\text{zeroring } R) \text{ then } (\text{carrier } R) \text{ else}$
 $\bigcap \{mx. \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx\})$

lemma (in *Ring*) *zeroring-J-rad-empty*: $\text{zeroring } R \implies J\text{-rad } R = \text{carrier } R$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *J-rad-mem*: $x \in J\text{-rad } R \implies x \in \text{carrier } R$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *J-rad-unit*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; x \in J\text{-rad } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall y. (y \in \text{carrier } R \longrightarrow \text{Unit } R \ (1_r \pm (-_a \ x) \cdot_r \ y))$
 <proof>

end

theory *Algebra5* **imports** *Algebra4* **begin**

4.6 Operation of ideals

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-sumTr1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $A \mp B = \bigcap \{J. \text{ideal } R \ J \wedge (A \cup B) \subseteq J\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-ideals-commute*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B \rrbracket \implies$

$$A \mp B = B \mp A$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-mono1*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C ;
 $A \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies A \diamond_r C \subseteq B \diamond_r C$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-mono2*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C ;
 $A \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies C \diamond_r A \subseteq C \diamond_r B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *cont-ideal-prod*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C ;
 $A \subseteq C$; $B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies A \diamond_r B \subseteq C$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-distrib*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R $C \rrbracket \implies$
 $A \diamond_r (B \mp C) = A \diamond_r B \mp A \diamond_r C$

<proof>

definition

coprime-ideals:: $[-, 'a$ set, $'a$ set] \Rightarrow bool **where**
coprime-ideals R A $B \longleftrightarrow A \mp_R B = \text{carrier } R$

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprimeTr*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R $B \rrbracket \implies$
coprime-ideals R A $B = (\exists a \in A. \exists b \in B. a \pm b = 1_r)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-int-prod*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; *coprime-ideals* R A $B \rrbracket$
 $\implies A \cap B = A \diamond_r B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-elems*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; *coprime-ideals* R A $B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists a \in A. \exists b \in B. a \pm b = 1_r$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-elemsTr*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; $a \in A$; $b \in B$; $a \pm b =$
 $1_r \rrbracket$

$$\implies \text{pj } R \ A \ b = 1_r(\text{qring } R \ A) \wedge \text{pj } R \ B \ a = 1_r(\text{qring } R \ B)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *partition-of-unity*: \llbracket ideal R A ; $a \in A$; $b \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $a \pm b = 1_r$; $u \in \text{carrier } R$; $v \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{pj } R \ A \ (a \cdot_r v \pm b \cdot_r u) = \text{pj } R \ A \ u$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprimes-commute*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; *coprime-ideals* R A B
 \rrbracket

$$\implies \text{coprime-ideals } R \ B \ A$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-surjTr*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; ideal $R B$; coprime-ideals $R A B$;
 $X \in \text{carrier } (q\text{ring } R A)$; $Y \in \text{carrier } (q\text{ring } R B) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. \text{pj } R A r = X \wedge \text{pj } R B r = Y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-n-idealsTr0*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; ideal $R B$; ideal $R C$;
 coprime-ideals $R A C$; coprime-ideals $R B C \rrbracket \implies$
 coprime-ideals $R (A \diamond_r B) C$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-n-idealsTr1*:ideal $R C \implies$
 $(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge (\forall i \leq n. \text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) C) \longrightarrow$
 coprime-ideals $R (i\Pi_{R,n} J) C$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-n-idealsTr2*: \llbracket ideal $R C$; $(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k))$;
 $(\forall i \leq n. \text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) C) \rrbracket \implies$
 coprime-ideals $R (i\Pi_{R,n} J) C$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-n-idealsTr3*: $(\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). i \neq j \longrightarrow$
 coprime-ideals $R (J i) (J j)) \longrightarrow \text{coprime-ideals } R (i\Pi_{R,n} J) (J (\text{Suc } n))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-n-idealsTr4*: \llbracket $(\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). i \neq j \longrightarrow$
 coprime-ideals $R (J i) (J j)) \rrbracket \implies \text{coprime-ideals } R (i\Pi_{R,n} J) (J (\text{Suc } n))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

4.7 Direct product1, general case

definition

prod-tOp :: [*i set*, '*i* \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*m*) *Ring-scheme*] \Rightarrow
 ('*i* \Rightarrow '*a*) \Rightarrow ('*i* \Rightarrow '*a*) \Rightarrow ('*i* \Rightarrow '*a*) **where**
prod-tOp $I A = (\lambda f \in \text{carr-prodag } I A. \lambda g \in \text{carr-prodag } I A.$
 $\lambda x \in I. (f x) \cdot_{r(A x)} (g x))$

definition

prod-one:: [*i set*, '*i* \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*m*) *Ring-scheme*] \Rightarrow ('*i* \Rightarrow '*a*) **where**
prod-one $I A == \lambda x \in I. 1_{r(A x)}$

definition

prodrg :: [*i set*, '*i* \Rightarrow ('*a*, '*more*) *Ring-scheme*] \Rightarrow ('*i* \Rightarrow '*a*) *Ring* **where**
prodrg $I A = (\downarrow \text{carrier} = \text{carr-prodag } I A, \text{pop} = \text{prod-pOp } I A, \text{mop} =$
 $\text{prod-mOp } I A, \text{zero} = \text{prod-zero } I A, \text{tp} = \text{prod-tOp } I A,$

$un = \text{prod-one } I \ A \ \text{]} \ \text{)} \ \text{)}$

abbreviation

$\text{PRODRING } ((r\Pi_ / _) [72,73]72) \ \text{where}$
 $r\Pi_I \ A == \text{prodrng } I \ A$

definition

$\text{augm-func} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \ \text{set}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{where}$
 $\text{augm-func } n \ f \ A \ m \ g \ B = (\lambda i \in \{j. j \leq (n + m)\}. \text{if } i \leq n \text{ then } f \ i \ \text{else}$
 $\text{if } (\text{Suc } n) \leq i \wedge i \leq n + m \text{ then } g \ ((\text{sliden } (\text{Suc } n)) \ i) \ \text{else undefined})$

definition

$\text{ag-setfunc} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, \text{nat},$
 $\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \ \text{Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{set} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{set}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{set} \ \text{where}$
 $\text{ag-setfunc } n \ B1 \ m \ B2 \ X \ Y =$
 $\{f. \exists g. \exists h. (g \in X) \wedge (h \in Y) \wedge (f = (\text{augm-func } n \ g \ (\text{Un-carrier } \{j. j \leq n\} \ B1)$
 $m \ h \ (\text{Un-carrier } \{j. j \leq (m - 1)\} \ B2))))\}$

primrec

$\text{ac-fProd-Rg} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \ \text{Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{set}$

where

$\text{fprod-0: } \text{ac-fProd-Rg } 0 \ B = \text{carr-prodag } \{0::\text{nat}\} \ B$
 $\text{fprod-n: } \text{ac-fProd-Rg } (\text{Suc } n) \ B = \text{ag-setfunc } n \ B \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{compose } \{0::\text{nat}\} \ B$
 $(\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))) \ (\text{carr-prodag } \{j. j \leq n\} \ B) \ (\text{carr-prodag } \{0\} \ (\text{compose } \{0\} \ B$
 $(\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))))$

definition

$\text{prodB1} :: [('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}) \ \text{where}$
 $\text{prodB1 } R \ S = (\lambda k. \text{if } k=0 \text{ then } R \ \text{else if } k=\text{Suc } 0 \text{ then } S \ \text{else}$
 $\text{undefined})$

definition

$\text{Prod2Rg} :: [('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{Ring} \ (\text{infixl } \oplus_r \ 80) \ \text{where}$
 $A1 \ \oplus_r \ A2 = \text{prodrng } \{0, \text{Suc } 0\} \ (\text{prodB1 } A1 \ A2)$

Don't try $(\text{Prod-ring } (\text{Nset } n) \ B) \ \oplus_r \ (B \ (\text{Suc } n))$

lemma $\text{carr-prodrng-mem-eq}:: [f \in \text{carrier } (r\Pi_I \ A); g \in \text{carrier } (r\Pi_I \ A);$
 $\forall i \in I. f \ i = g \ i] \implies f = g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-top-mem}:: [\forall k \in I. \ \text{Ring } (A \ k); X \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A;$

$Y \in \text{carr-prodag } I A] \implies \text{prod-tOp } I A X Y \in \text{carr-prodag } I A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prod-tOp-func*: $\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \implies$
 $\text{prod-tOp } I A \in \text{carr-prodag } I A \rightarrow \text{carr-prodag } I A \rightarrow \text{carr-prodag } I A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prod-one-func*: $\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \implies$
 $\text{prod-one } I A \in \text{carr-prodag } I A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prodrng-carrier*: $\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{prodrng } I A) = \text{carrier } (\text{prodag } I A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prodrng-ring*: $\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \implies \text{Ring } (\text{prodrng } I A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prodrng-elem-extensional*: $[\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodrng } I A)]$
 $\implies f \in \text{extensional } I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prodrng-pOp*: $\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \implies$
 $\text{pop } (\text{prodrng } I A) = \text{prod-pOp } I A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prodrng-mOp*: $\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \implies$
 $\text{mop } (\text{prodrng } I A) = \text{prod-mOp } I A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prodrng-zero*: $\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \implies$
 $\text{zero } (\text{prodrng } I A) = \text{prod-zero } I A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prodrng-tOp*: $\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \implies$
 $\text{tp } (\text{prodrng } I A) = \text{prod-tOp } I A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prodrng-one*: $\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \implies$
 $\text{un } (\text{prodrng } I A) = \text{prod-one } I A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prodrng-sameTr5*: $[\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k]$
 $\implies \text{prod-tOp } I A = \text{prod-tOp } I B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prodrng-sameTr6*: $[\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k]$
 $\implies \text{prod-one } I A = \text{prod-one } I B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodr-g-same*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A \ k); \forall k \in I. A \ k = B \ k \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{prodr-g } I \ A = \text{prodr-g } I \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodr-g-component*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodr-g } I \ A); i \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ i \in \text{carrier } (A \ i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *project-rhom*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A \ k); j \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{PProject } I \ A \ j \in \text{rHom } (\text{prodr-g } I \ A) (A \ j)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *augm-funcTr*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{Ring } (B \ k);$
 $f \in \text{carr-prodag } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $f = \text{augm-func } n \ (\text{restrict } f \ \{i. i \leq n\}) \ (\text{Un-carrier } \{i. i \leq n\} \ B) \ (\text{Suc } 0)$
 $(\lambda x \in \{0::\text{nat}\}. f \ (x + \text{Suc } n))$
 $(\text{Un-carrier } \{0\} \ (\text{compose } \{0\} \ B \ (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *A-to-prodag-mem*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (B \ k); \forall k \in I. (S \ k) \in$
 $\text{rHom } A \ (B \ k); x \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies \text{A-to-prodag } A \ I \ S \ B \ x \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *A-to-prodag-rHom*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (B \ k); \forall k \in I. (S \ k) \in$
 $\text{rHom } A \ (B \ k) \rrbracket \implies \text{A-to-prodag } A \ I \ S \ B \in \text{rHom } A \ (\text{r}\Pi_I \ B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ac-fProd-ProdTr1*: $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{Ring } (B \ k) \implies$
 $\text{ag-setfunc } n \ B \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{compose } \{0::\text{nat}\} \ B \ (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n)))$
 $(\text{carr-prodag } \{i. i \leq n\} \ B) \ (\text{carr-prodag } \{0\})$
 $(\text{compose } \{0\} \ B \ (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))) \subseteq \text{carr-prodag } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ac-fProd-Prod*: $\forall k \leq n. \text{Ring } (B \ k) \implies$
 $\text{ac-fProd-Rg } n \ B = \text{carr-prodag } \{j. j \leq n\} \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A direct product of a finite number of rings defined with *ac-fProd-Rg* is equal to that defined by using *carr-prodag*.

definition

$\text{fprodrg} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $(\llbracket \text{carrier} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{set}, \text{pop} :: [(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a), (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)]$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a), \text{mop} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a), \text{zero} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a),$
 $\text{tp} :: [(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a), (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a), \text{un} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \rrbracket \ \text{where}$

$\text{fprodrg } n \ B = (\llbracket \text{carrier} = \text{ac-fProd-Rg } n \ B,$
 $\text{pop} = \lambda f. \lambda g. \text{prod-pOp } \{i. i \leq n\} \ B \ f \ g, \text{mop} = \lambda f. \text{prod-mOp } \{i. i \leq n\} \ B$

f ,
 $zero = prod-zero \{i. i \leq n\} B$, $tp = \lambda f. \lambda g. prod-tOp \{i. i \leq n\} B f g$,
 $un = prod-one \{i. i \leq n\} B \text{ } \text{!}$

definition

$fPProject :: [nat, nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme, nat]$
 $\Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $fPProject n B x = (\lambda f \in ac-fProd-Rg n B. f x)$

lemma $fprodrg-ring: \forall k \leq n. Ring (B k) \implies Ring (fprodrg n B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.8 Chinese remainder theorem

lemma $Chinese-remTr1: \llbracket Ring A; \forall k \leq (n::nat). ideal A (J k);$
 $\forall k \leq n. B k = qring A (J k); \forall k \leq n. S k = pj A (J k) \rrbracket \implies$
 $ker_{A, (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq n\}} B)} (A\text{-to-prodag } A \{j. j \leq n\} S B) =$
 $\bigcap \{I. \exists k \in \{j. j \leq n\}. I = (J k)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma **(in Ring)** $coprime-prod-int2Tr:$

$((\forall k \leq (Suc n). ideal R (J k)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq (Suc n). \forall j \leq (Suc n). (i \neq j \longrightarrow coprime-ideals R (J i) (J j))))$
 $\longrightarrow (\bigcap \{I. \exists k \leq (Suc n). I = (J k)\} = ideal-n-prod R (Suc n) J)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma **(in Ring)** $coprime-prod-int2: \llbracket \forall k \leq (Suc n). ideal R (J k);$
 $\forall i \leq (Suc n). \forall j \leq (Suc n). (i \neq j \longrightarrow coprime-ideals R (J i) (J j)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\bigcap \{I. \exists k \leq (Suc n). I = (J k)\} = ideal-n-prod R (Suc n) J)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma **(in Ring)** $coprime-2-n: \llbracket ideal R A; ideal R B \rrbracket \implies$

$(qring R A) \oplus_r (qring R B) = r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (Suc 0)\}} (prodB1 (qring R A) (qring R B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

In this and following lemmata, ideals A and B are of type $('a, 'more)$ $RingType-scheme$. Don't try $(r\Pi_{(Nset n) B}) \oplus_r B (Suc n)$

lemma **(in Ring)** $A\text{-to-prodag2-hom}: \llbracket ideal R A; ideal R B; S 0 = pj R A;$

$S (Suc 0) = pj R B \rrbracket \implies$
 $A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (Suc 0)\} S (prodB1 (qring R A) (qring R B)) \in$
 $rHom R (qring R A \oplus_r qring R B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma **(in Ring)** $A2coprime-rsurjecTr: \llbracket ideal R A; ideal R B; S 0 = pj R A;$

$S (Suc 0) = pj R B \rrbracket \implies$
 $(carrier (qring R A \oplus_r qring R B)) =$
 $carr-prodag \{j. j \leq (Suc 0)\} (prodB1 (qring R A) (qring R B))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *A2coprime-rsurjec*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ ideal } R \ B; S \ 0 = \text{pj } R \ A;$
 $S \ (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{pj } R \ B; \text{ coprime-ideals } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{surjec}_{R, ((\text{qring } R \ A) \oplus_r (\text{qring } R \ B))}$
 $(A\text{-to-prodag } R \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} \ S \ (\text{prodB1 } (\text{qring } R \ A) (\text{qring } R \ B)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *prod2-n-Tr1*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } 0). \text{ ideal } R \ (J \ k);$
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } 0). B \ k = \text{qring } R \ (J \ k);$
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } 0). S \ k = \text{pj } R \ (J \ k) \rrbracket \implies$
 $A\text{-to-prodag } R \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} \ S$
 $(\text{prodB1 } (\text{qring } R \ (J \ 0)) (\text{qring } R \ (J \ (\text{Suc } 0)))) =$
 $A\text{-to-prodag } R \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} \ S \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in aGroup) *restrict-prod-Suc*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)). \text{ ideal } R \ (J \ k);$
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)). B \ k = R \ /_r \ J \ k;$
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)). S \ k = \text{pj } R \ (J \ k);$
 $f \in \text{carrier } (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\}} \ B) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{restrict } f \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \in \text{carrier } (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}} \ B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *Chinese-remTr2*: $(\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ ideal } R \ (J \ k)) \wedge$
 $(\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). B \ k = \text{qring } R \ (J \ k)) \wedge$
 $(\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). S \ k = \text{pj } R \ (J \ k)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). (i \neq j \longrightarrow$
 $\text{coprime-ideals } R \ (J \ i) \ (J \ j))) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{surjec}_{R, (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}} \ B)}$
 $(A\text{-to-prodag } R \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \ S \ B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *Chinese-remTr3*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ ideal } R \ (J \ k);$
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). B \ k = \text{qring } R \ (J \ k); \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). S \ k = \text{pj } R \ (J \ k);$
 $\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). (i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{coprime-ideals } R \ (J \ i) \ (J \ j)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{surjec}_{R, (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}} \ B)}$
 $(A\text{-to-prodag } R \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \ S \ B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *imset*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ ideal } R \ (J \ k) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \{I. \exists k \leq (\text{Suc } n). I = J \ k\} = \{J \ k \mid k. k \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem (in Ring) *Chinese-remThm*: $\llbracket (\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ ideal } R \ (J \ k));$
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). B \ k = \text{qring } R \ (J \ k); \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). S \ k = \text{pj } R \ (J \ k);$
 $\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). (i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{coprime-ideals } R \ (J \ i) \ (J \ j)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{bijec}(\text{qring } R \ (\bigcap \ \{J \ k \mid k. k \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}\}), (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}} \ B))$

$((A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} S B)^\circ_{R,(\text{prodrng } \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} B)})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prod-prime*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{prime-ideal } R (P k);$
 $\forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). \neg (A \subseteq P l);$
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). k = l \vee \neg (P k) \subseteq (P l) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). (\text{nprod } R (\text{ppa } R P A i) n \in A \wedge$
 $(\forall l \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\}. \text{nprod } R (\text{ppa } R P A i) n \in P l) \wedge$
 $(\text{nprod } R (\text{ppa } R P A i) n \notin P i))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *skip-im1*: $\llbracket i \leq (\text{Suc } n); P \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (\text{prime-ideal } R) \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{compose } \{j. j \leq n\} P (\text{skip } i) ' \{j. j \leq n\} = P ' (\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *match-aux1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; i \leq (\text{Suc } n);$
 $P \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (\text{prime-ideal } R) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compose } \{j. j \leq n\} P (\text{skip } i) \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (\text{prime-ideal } R)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prime-ideal-cont1Tr*: $\text{ideal } R A \implies$
 $\forall P. ((P \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{X. \text{prime-ideal } R X\}) \wedge$
 $(A \subseteq \bigcup (P ' \{j. j \leq n\}))) \longrightarrow (\exists i \leq n. A \subseteq (P i))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prime-ideal-cont1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \forall i \leq (n::\text{nat}).$
 $\text{prime-ideal } R (P i); A \subseteq \bigcup \{X. (\exists i \leq n. X = (P i))\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists i \leq n. A \subseteq (P i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prod-n-ideal-contTr0*: $(\forall l \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J l)) \longrightarrow$
 $i\Pi_{R,n} J \subseteq \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J k))\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prod-n-ideal-contTr*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J l) \rrbracket \implies$
 $i\Pi_{R,n} J \subseteq \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J k))\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prod-n-ideal-cont2*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). \text{ideal } R (J l);$
 $\text{prime-ideal } R P; \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J k))\} \subseteq P \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists l \leq n. (J l) \subseteq P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prod-n-ideal-cont3*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). \text{ideal } R (J l);$
 $\text{prime-ideal } R P; \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J k))\} = P \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists l \leq n. (J l) = P$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

ideal-quotient :: [- , 'a set, 'a set] ⇒ 'a set **where**
ideal-quotient R A B = {x | x. x ∈ carrier R ∧ (∀ b ∈ B. x ·_rR b ∈ A)}

abbreviation

IDEALQT ((\exists -/ \dagger -/ -) [82,82,83]82) **where**
A \dagger _R B == *ideal-quotient* R A B

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-quotient-is-ideal*:

[[*ideal* R A; *ideal* R B]] ⇒ *ideal* R (*ideal-quotient* R A B)
⟨*proof*⟩

4.9 Addition of finite elements of a ring and *ideal-multiplication*

We consider sum in an abelian group

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-mem1Tr*: A +> J ⇒
(∀ j ≤ n. f j ∈ J) → *nsum* A f n ∈ J
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-mem*: [[∀ j ∈ nset (Suc n) m. f j ∈ carrier A; n < m]]
⇒
fSum A f (Suc n) m ∈ carrier A
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-mem1*: [A +> J; ∀ j ≤ n. f j ∈ J] ⇒ *nsum* A f n ∈ J
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-eq-i*: [[∀ j ≤ n. f j ∈ carrier A; ∀ j ≤ n. g j ∈ carrier A;
i ≤ n; ∀ l ≤ i. f l = g l]] ⇒ *nsum* A f i = *nsum* A g i
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-cmp-eq*: [f ∈ {j. j ≤ (n::nat)} → carrier A;
h1 ∈ {j. j ≤ n} → {j. j ≤ n}; h2 ∈ {j. j ≤ n} → {j. j ≤ n}; i ≤ n] ⇒
nsum A (cmp f (cmp h2 h1)) i = *nsum* A (cmp (cmp f h2) h1) i
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-cmp-eq-transpos*: [[∀ j ≤ (Suc n). f j ∈ carrier A;
i ≤ n; i ≠ n]] ⇒
nsum A (cmp f (cmp (transpos i n) (cmp (transpos n (Suc n)) (transpos i n))))
(Suc n) = *nsum* A (cmp f (transpos i (Suc n))) (Suc n)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *transpos-Tr-n1*: Suc (Suc 0) ≤ n ⇒
transpos (n - Suc 0) n n = n - Suc 0
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *transpos-Tr-n2*: Suc (Suc 0) ≤ n ⇒

$\text{transpos } (n - (\text{Suc } 0)) \ n \ (n - (\text{Suc } 0)) = n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *additionTr0*: $\llbracket 0 < n; \forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ (\text{transpos } (n - 1) \ n)) \ n = \text{nsum } A \ f \ n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *additionTr1*: $\llbracket \forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \wedge \text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } n);$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A;$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\};$
 $\text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\}; h \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *additionTr1-1*: $\llbracket \forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \wedge \text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } n);$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ (\text{transpos } i \ (\text{Suc } n))) \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *additionTr1-2*: $\llbracket \forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \wedge$
 $\text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } n);$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A; i \leq (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ (\text{transpos } i \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)))) \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) =$
 $\text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *additionTr2*: $\forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \wedge$
 $\text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *addition2*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A;$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; \text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *addition21*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A;$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ n = \text{nsum } A \ f \ n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *addition3*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). f \ j \in \text{carrier } A; j \leq (\text{Suc } n);$

$j \neq \text{Suc } n \]] \implies \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n))) (\text{Suc } n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-splitTr*: $(\forall j \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m)). f j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = \text{nsum } A f n \pm (\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))) m)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-split*: $\forall j \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m)). f j \in \text{carrier } A \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = \text{nsum } A f n \pm (\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))) m)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-split1*: $[\forall j \leq m. f j \in \text{carrier } A; n < m] \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A f m = \text{nsum } A f n \pm (\text{fSum } A f (\text{Suc } n) m)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-minusTr*: $(\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow$
 $-\text{a } (\text{nsum } A f n) = \text{nsum } A (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -\text{a } (f x)) n$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-minus*: $\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A \implies$
 $-\text{a } (\text{nsum } A f n) = \text{nsum } A (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -\text{a } (f x)) n$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ring-nsum-zeroTr*: $(\forall j \leq (n::\text{nat}). f j \in \text{carrier } A) \wedge$
 $(\forall j \leq n. f j = \mathbf{0}) \longrightarrow \text{nsum } A f n = \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ring-nsum-zero*: $\forall j \leq (n::\text{nat}). f j = \mathbf{0} \implies \Sigma_e A f n = \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-nsum-1-nonzeroTr*:
 $\forall f. (\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A) \wedge$
 $(l \leq n \wedge (\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\} - \{l\}. f j = \mathbf{0}))$
 $\longrightarrow \text{nsum } A f n = f l$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-nsum-1-nonzero*: $[\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A; l \leq n;$
 $\forall j \in (\{j. j \leq n\} - \{l\}). f j = \mathbf{0}] \implies \text{nsum } A f n = f l$
 <proof>

definition
set-mult :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
set-mult $R A B = \{z. \exists x \in A. \exists y \in B. x \cdot_{rR} y = z\}$

definition
sum-mult :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
sum-mult $R A B = \{x. \exists n. \exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\}$

$\rightarrow \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B. \ \text{nsum } R \ f \ n = x \}$

lemma (in *Ring*) *set-mult-sub*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{set-mult } R \ A \ B \subseteq \text{carrier } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *set-mult-mono*: $\llbracket A1 \subseteq \text{carrier } R; A2 \subseteq \text{carrier } R; A1 \subseteq A2;$
 $B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{set-mult } R \ A1 \ B \subseteq \text{set-mult } R \ A2 \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-mult-Tr1*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B) \longrightarrow \text{nsum } R \ f \ n \in \text{carrier } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-mult-mem*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R;$
 $\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } R \ f \ n \in \text{carrier } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-mult-mem1*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R;$
 $x \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists n. \exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B. \ \text{nsum } R \ f \ n = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-mult-subR*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{sum-mult } R \ A \ B \subseteq \text{carrier } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *times-mem-sum-mult*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R;$
 $a \in A; b \in B \rrbracket \implies a \cdot_r b \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *mem-minus-sum-multTr2*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R;$
 $\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies f \ i \in \text{carrier } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-jointfun*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A;$
 $\forall j \leq m. g \ j \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Sigma_e \ A \ (\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \ (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = \Sigma_e \ A \ f \ n \pm (\Sigma_e \ A \ g \ m)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-mult-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R;$
 $a \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A \ B; b \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies a \pm_R b \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A$

B

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *set-mult-mOp-closed*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R \ B;$
 $x \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies -_a \ x \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *set-mult-ring-times-closed*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ ideal } R B; x \in \text{set-mult } R A B; r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies r \cdot_r x \in \text{set-mult } R A B$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *set-mult-sub-sum-mult*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies \text{set-mult } R A B \subseteq \text{sum-mult } R A B$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-mult-pOp-closedn*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies (\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B) \longrightarrow \Sigma_e R f n \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *mem-minus-sum-multTr4*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies (\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B) \longrightarrow -_a (\text{nsum } R f n) \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-mult-iOp-closed*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ ideal } R B; x \in \text{sum-mult } R A B \rrbracket \implies -_a x \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-mult-ring-multiplicationTr*:
 $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ ideal } R B; r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B) \longrightarrow r \cdot_r (\text{nsum } R f n) \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-mult-ring-multiplication*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ ideal } R B; r \in \text{carrier } R; a \in \text{sum-mult } R A B \rrbracket \implies r \cdot_r a \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-sum-mult*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; A \neq \{\}; \text{ ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R (\text{sum-mult } R A B)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-inc-set-multTr*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ ideal } R B; \text{ ideal } R C; \text{set-mult } R A B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies \forall f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R A B. \Sigma_e R f n \in C$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-inc-set-mult*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ ideal } R B; \text{ ideal } R C; \text{set-mult } R A B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies \text{sum-mult } R A B \subseteq C$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *AB-inc-sum-mult*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies \text{sum-mult } R A B \subseteq A \cap B$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-mult-is-ideal-prod*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies \text{sum-mult } R A B = A \diamond_r B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-assocTr0*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C ; $y \in C$;
 $z \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies z \cdot_r y \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A \ (B \diamond_r C)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-assocTr1*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C ; $y \in C$ \rrbracket
 $\implies \forall f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B. (\Sigma_e R \ f \ n) \cdot_r y \in A \diamond_r (B \diamond_r C)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-quotient-idealTr*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C ;
 $x \in \text{carrier } R$; $\forall c \in C. x \cdot_r c \in \text{ideal-quotient } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R \ B \ C \longrightarrow x \cdot_r (\text{nsum } R \ f \ n) \in A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-quotient-ideal*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C $\rrbracket \implies$
 $A \dagger_R B \dagger_R C = A \dagger_R B \diamond_r C$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-assocTr*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall f. (f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R \ (A \diamond_r B) \ C \longrightarrow$
 $(\Sigma_e R \ f \ n) \in A \diamond_r (B \diamond_r C))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-assoc*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(A \diamond_r B) \diamond_r C = A \diamond_r (B \diamond_r C)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *prod-principal-idealTr0*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R$; $b \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $z \in \text{set-mult } R \ (R \diamond_p a) \ (R \diamond_p b) \rrbracket \implies z \in R \diamond_p (a \cdot_r b)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *prod-principal-idealTr1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R$; $b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R \ (R \diamond_p a) \ (R \diamond_p b).$
 $\Sigma_e R \ f \ n \in R \diamond_p (a \cdot_r b)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *prod-principal-ideal*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R$; $b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(Rxa \ R \ a) \diamond_r (Rxa \ R \ b) = Rxa \ R \ (a \cdot_r b)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *principal-ideal-n-pow1*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $(Rxa \ R \ a) \diamond^{R \ n} = Rxa \ R \ (a \wedge^{R \ n})$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *principal-ideal-n-pow*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R$; $I = Rxa \ R \ a \rrbracket \implies$
 $I \diamond^{R \ n} = Rxa \ R \ (a \wedge^{R \ n})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

more about *ideal-n-prod*

lemma (in *Ring*) *nprod-eqTr*: $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow carrier R \wedge$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f j = g j) \longrightarrow$
 $nprod R f n = nprod R g n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *nprod-eq*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f j \in carrier R; \forall j \leq n. g j \in carrier R;$
 $(\forall j \leq (n::nat). f j = g j) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow nprod R f n = nprod R g n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

mprod-expR :: $[('b, 'm) Ring\text{-scheme}, nat \Rightarrow nat, nat \Rightarrow 'b, nat] \Rightarrow 'b$ **where**
mprod-expR $R e f n = nprod R (\lambda j. ((f j) \wedge^R (e j))) n$

lemma (in *Ring*) *mprodR-Suc*: $\llbracket e \in \{j. j \leq (Suc n)\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\};$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (Suc n)\} \rightarrow carrier R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $mprod-expR R e f (Suc n) =$
 $(mprod-expR R e f n) \cdot_r ((f (Suc n)) \wedge^R (e (Suc n)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *mprod-expR-memTr*: $e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\} \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R \longrightarrow mprod-expR R e f n \in carrier R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *mprod-expR-mem*: $\llbracket e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\};$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow mprod-expR R e f n \in carrier R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prod-n-principal-idealTr*: $e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\} \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R \wedge (\forall k \leq n. J k = (Rxa R (f k)) \diamond^R (e k)) \longrightarrow$
 $ideal-n-prod R n J = Rxa R (mprod-expR R e f n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prod-n-principal-ideal*: $\llbracket e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\};$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R; \forall k \leq n. J k = (Rxa R (f k)) \diamond^R (e k) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $ideal-n-prod R n J = Rxa R (mprod-expR R e f n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Idomain*) *a-notin-n-pow1*: $\llbracket a \in carrier R; \neg Unit R a; a \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 < n \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow a \notin (Rxa R a) \diamond^R (Suc n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Idomain*) *a-notin-n-pow2*: $\llbracket a \in carrier R; \neg Unit R a; a \neq \mathbf{0};$

$0 < n \implies a^{\wedge R n} \notin (Rxa R a) \diamond R (Suc n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Idomain*) *n-pow-not-prime*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; a \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 < n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \neg \text{prime-ideal } R ((Rxa R a) \diamond R (Suc n))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Idomain*) *principal-pow-prime-condTr*:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; a \neq \mathbf{0}; \text{prime-ideal } R ((Rxa R a) \diamond R (Suc n)) \rrbracket \implies n = 0$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Idomain*) *principal-pow-prime-cond*:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; a \neq \mathbf{0}; \text{prime-ideal } R ((Rxa R a) \diamond R^n) \rrbracket \implies n = Suc\ 0$
 <proof>

4.10 Extension and contraction

locale *TwoRings* = *Ring* +
 fixes R' (**structure**)
 assumes *secondR*: *Ring* R'

definition

i-contract :: [$'a \Rightarrow 'b, ('a, 'm1)$ *Ring-scheme*, ($'b, 'm2$) *Ring-scheme*,
 $'b \text{ set}$] $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
i-contract $f R R' J = \text{invim } f (\text{carrier } R) J$

definition

i-extension :: [$'a \Rightarrow 'b, ('a, 'm1)$ *Ring-scheme*, ($'b, 'm2$) *Ring-scheme*,
 $'a \text{ set}$] $\Rightarrow 'b \text{ set}$ **where**
i-extension $f R R' I = \text{sum-mult } R' (f ' I) (\text{carrier } R')$

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-contract-sub*: $\llbracket f \in rHom R R'; \text{ideal } R' J \rrbracket \implies$
 $(i\text{-contract } f R R' J) \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
 <proof>

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-contract-ideal*: $\llbracket f \in rHom R R'; \text{ideal } R' J \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R (i\text{-contract } f R R' J)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-contract-mono*: $\llbracket f \in rHom R R'; \text{ideal } R' J1; \text{ideal } R' J2;$
 $J1 \subseteq J2 \rrbracket \implies i\text{-contract } f R R' J1 \subseteq i\text{-contract } f R R' J2$
 <proof>

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-contract-prime*: $\llbracket f \in rHom R R'; \text{prime-ideal } R' P \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{prime-ideal } R (i\text{-contract } f R R' P)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-extension-ideal*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ R\ R';\ ideal\ R\ I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\phantom{\text{lemma}}: ideal\ R'\ (i-extension\ f\ R\ R'\ I)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-extension-mono*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ R\ R';\ ideal\ R\ I1;\ ideal\ R\ I2;$
 $I1 \subseteq I2 \rrbracket \implies (i-extension\ f\ R\ R'\ I1) \subseteq (i-extension\ f\ R\ R'\ I2)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *e-c-inc-self*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ R\ R';\ ideal\ R\ I \rrbracket \implies$
 $I \subseteq i-contract\ f\ R\ R'\ (i-extension\ f\ R\ R'\ I)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *c-e-incd-self*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ R\ R';\ ideal\ R'\ J \rrbracket \implies$
 $i-extension\ f\ R\ R'\ (i-contract\ f\ R\ R'\ J) \subseteq J$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *c-e-c-eq-c*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ R\ R';\ ideal\ R'\ J \rrbracket \implies$
 $i-contract\ f\ R\ R'\ (i-extension\ f\ R\ R'\ (i-contract\ f\ R\ R'\ J))$
 $\phantom{\text{lemma}}: = i-contract\ f\ R\ R'\ J$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *e-c-e-eq-e*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ R\ R';\ ideal\ R\ I \rrbracket \implies$
 $i-extension\ f\ R\ R'\ (i-contract\ f\ R\ R'\ (i-extension\ f\ R\ R'\ I))$
 $\phantom{\text{lemma}}: = i-extension\ f\ R\ R'\ I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.11 Complete system of representatives

definition

csrp-fn :: $[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ \mathbf{where}$
 $csrp-fn\ R\ I = (\lambda x \in carrier\ (R\ /_r\ I). (if\ x = I\ then\ \mathbf{0}_R\ else\ SOME\ y. y \in x))$

definition

csrp :: $[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ set\ \mathbf{where}$
 $csrp\ R\ I == (csrp-fn\ R\ I)\ ' (carrier\ (R\ /_r\ I))$

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-mem*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I;\ a \in carrier\ R \rrbracket \implies$
 $csrp-fn\ R\ I\ (a \uplus_R I) \in a \uplus_R I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-same*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I;\ a \in carrier\ R \rrbracket \implies$
 $csrp-fn\ R\ I\ (a \uplus_R I) \uplus_R I = a \uplus_R I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-mem1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I;\ x \in carrier\ (R\ /_r\ I) \rrbracket \implies$
 $csrp-fn\ R\ I\ x \in x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-fn-mem*: \llbracket ideal $R\ I$; $x \in \text{carrier } (R /_r I)$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{csrp-fn } R\ I\ x) \in \text{carrier } R$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-eq-coset*: \llbracket ideal $R\ I$; $x \in \text{carrier } (R /_r I)$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{csrp-fn } R\ I\ x) \uplus_R I = x$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-nz-nz*: \llbracket ideal $R\ I$; $x \in \text{carrier } (R /_r I)$;
 $x \neq \mathbf{0}_{(R /_r I)}\rrbracket \implies (\text{csrp-fn } R\ I\ x) \neq \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-diff-in-vpr*: \llbracket ideal $R\ I$; $x \in \text{carrier } R$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $x \pm (-_a (\text{csrp-fn } R\ I\ (\text{pj } R\ I\ x))) \in I$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-pj*: \llbracket ideal $R\ I$; $x \in \text{carrier } (R /_r I)$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{pj } R\ I) (\text{csrp-fn } R\ I\ x) = x$
 <proof>

4.12 Polynomial ring

In this section, we treat a ring of polynomials over a ring S . Numbers are of type `ant`

definition

pol-coeff :: $[(\text{'a}, \text{'more}) \text{Ring-scheme}, (\text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a}))] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
pol-coeff $S\ c \iff (\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c)\ j \in \text{carrier } S)$

definition

c-max :: $[(\text{'a}, \text{'more}) \text{Ring-scheme}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a})] \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
c-max $S\ c = (\text{if } \{j. j \leq (\text{fst } c) \wedge (\text{snd } c)\ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S\} = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$
 $n\text{-max } \{j. j \leq (\text{fst } c) \wedge (\text{snd } c)\ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S\})$

definition

polyn-expr :: $[(\text{'a}, \text{'more}) \text{Ring-scheme}, \text{'a}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a})] \Rightarrow \text{'a}$ **where**
polyn-expr $R\ X\ k\ c == \text{nsum } R\ (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c)\ j) \cdot_r R (X^R j))\ k$

definition

algfree-cond :: $[(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m1}) \text{Ring-scheme},$
 $\text{'a}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
algfree-cond $R\ S\ X \iff (\forall c. \text{pol-coeff } S\ c \wedge (\forall k \leq (\text{fst } c).$
 $(\text{nsum } R\ (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c)\ j) \cdot_r R (X^R j))\ k = \mathbf{0}_R \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall j \leq k. (\text{snd } c)\ j = \mathbf{0}_S))))$

locale *PolynRg = Ring +*
fixes S (**structure**)

fixes X (**structure**)
assumes $X\text{-mem-}R: X \in \text{carrier } R$
and $\text{not-zeroring}: \neg \text{Zero-ring } S$
and $\text{subring}: \text{Subring } R S$
and $\text{algfree}: \text{algfree-cond } R S X$
and $S\text{-}X\text{-generate}: x \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $\exists f. \text{pol-coeff } S f \wedge x = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } f) f$

4.13 Addition and multiplication of *polyn-exprs*

4.13.1 Simple properties of a *polyn-ring*

lemma $\text{Subring-subset}: \text{Subring } R S \implies \text{carrier } S \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) $\text{subring-Ring}: \text{Subring } R S \implies \text{Ring } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) $\text{mem-subring-mem-ring}: [\text{Subring } R S; x \in \text{carrier } S] \implies$
 $x \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) $\text{Subring-pOp-ring-pOp}: [\text{Subring } R S; a \in \text{carrier } S;$
 $b \in \text{carrier } S] \implies a \pm_S b = a \pm b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) $\text{Subring-tOp-ring-tOp}: [\text{Subring } R S; a \in \text{carrier } S;$
 $b \in \text{carrier } S] \implies a \cdot_r_S b = a \cdot_r b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) $\text{Subring-one-ring-one}: \text{Subring } R S \implies 1_r_S = 1_r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) $\text{Subring-zero-ring-zero}: \text{Subring } R S \implies \mathbf{0}_S = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) $\text{Subring-minus-ring-minus}: [\text{Subring } R S; x \in \text{carrier } S]$
 $\implies -_a_S x = -_a x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** PolynRg) $\text{Subring-pow-ring-pow}: x \in \text{carrier } S \implies$
 $x^S n = x^R n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** PolynRg) $\text{is-Ring}: \text{Ring } R \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** PolynRg) $\text{polyn-ring-nonzero}: 1_r \neq \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-ring-S-nonzero*: $1_{r_S} \neq \mathbf{0}_S$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-ring-X-nonzero*: $X \neq \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

4.13.2 Coefficients of a polynomial

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-split*: $\text{pol-coeff } S f = \text{pol-coeff } S (\text{fst } f, \text{snd } f)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-cartesian*: $\text{pol-coeff } S c \implies$
 $(\text{fst } c, \text{snd } c) = c$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *split-pol-coeff*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (k, \text{snd } c)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-pre*: $\text{pol-coeff } S ((\text{Suc } n), f) \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (n, f)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-le*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; n \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (n, (\text{snd } c))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-mem*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; j \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $((\text{snd } c) j) \in \text{carrier } S$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-mem-R*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; j \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ((\text{snd } c) j) \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *Slide-pol-coeff*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; n < (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (((\text{fst } c) - \text{Suc } n), (\lambda x. (\text{snd } c) (\text{Suc } (n + x))))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

4.13.3 Addition of *polyn-exprs*

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *monomial-mem*: $\text{pol-coeff } S c \implies$
 $\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) j \cdot_r X^R j \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-mem*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X k c \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-exprs-eq*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; \text{pol-coeff } S d;$

$$k \leq (\min (\text{fst } c) (\text{fst } d)); \forall j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) j = (\text{snd } d) j \implies \\ \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ d$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-expr-restrict:pol-coeff } S \ (\text{Suc } n, f) \implies \\ \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (\text{Suc } n, f) = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f)$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-expr-short:} \llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ (k, \text{snd } c)$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-expr0:pol-coeff } S \ c \implies \\ \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ 0 \ c = (\text{snd } c) \ 0$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-expr-split:} \\ \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ f = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ (\text{fst } f, \text{snd } f)$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-Suc:Suc } n \leq (\text{fst } c) \implies \\ \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{Suc } n) \ ((\text{Suc } n), (\text{snd } c)) = \\ \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ c \pm ((\text{snd } c) (\text{Suc } n)) \cdot_r (X^R (\text{Suc } n))$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-Suc-split:pol-coeff } S \ (\text{Suc } n, f) \implies \\ \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{Suc } n) \ ((\text{Suc } n), f) = \\ \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f) \pm (f (\text{Suc } n)) \cdot_r (X^R (\text{Suc } n))$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-n-m:} \llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; n < m; m \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ m \ (m, (\text{snd } c)) = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, (\text{snd } c)) \pm \\ (fSum \ R \ (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) j) \cdot_r (X^R j)) (\text{Suc } n) \ m)$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-n-m1:} \llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; n < m; m \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ m \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ c \pm \\ (fSum \ R \ (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) j) \cdot_r (X^R j)) (\text{Suc } n) \ m)$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-n-m-mem:} \llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; n < m; m \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies \\ (fSum \ R \ (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) j) \cdot_r (X^R j)) (\text{Suc } n) \ m) \in \text{carrier } R$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-n-ms-eq:} \llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d; \\ m \leq \min (\text{fst } c) (\text{fst } d); n < m; \\ \forall j \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } n) \ m. (\text{snd } c) j = (\text{snd } d) j \rrbracket \implies \\ (fSum \ R \ (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) j) \cdot_r (X^R j)) (\text{Suc } n) \ m) =$$

$(fSum\ R\ (\lambda j. ((snd\ d)\ j)\ \cdot_r\ (X^R\ j))\ (Suc\ n)\ m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-addTr*:
 $(pol\ coeff\ S\ (n,\ f)) \wedge (pol\ coeff\ S\ (n,\ g)) \longrightarrow$
 $(polyn\ expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ f)) \pm (polyn\ expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ g)) =$
 $nsum\ R\ (\lambda j. ((f\ j) \pm_S (g\ j)) \cdot_r (X^R\ j))\ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-add-n*: $\llbracket pol\ coeff\ S\ (n,\ f); pol\ coeff\ S\ (n,\ g) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(polyn\ expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ f)) \pm (polyn\ expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ g)) =$
 $nsum\ R\ (\lambda j. ((f\ j) \pm_S (g\ j)) \cdot_r (X^R\ j))\ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

add-cf :: $[(\ 'a,\ 'm)\ Ring\ scheme,\ nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a), nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow$
 $nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $add\ cf\ S\ c\ d =$
 $(if\ (fst\ c) < (fst\ d)\ then\ ((fst\ d),\ \lambda j. (if\ j \leq (fst\ c)$
 $\ then\ (((snd\ c)\ j) \pm_S ((snd\ d)\ j))\ else\ ((snd$
 $d)\ j)))$
 $\ else\ if\ (fst\ c) = (fst\ d)\ then\ ((fst\ c),\ \lambda j. ((snd\ c)\ j \pm_S (snd\ d)\ j))$
 $\ else\ ((fst\ c),\ \lambda j. (if\ j \leq (fst\ d)\ then$
 $((snd\ c)\ j \pm_S (snd\ d)\ j)\ else\ ((snd\ c)\ j)))$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *add-cf-pol-coeff*: $\llbracket pol\ coeff\ S\ c; pol\ coeff\ S\ d \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow\ pol\ coeff\ S\ (add\ cf\ S\ c\ d)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *add-cf-len*: $\llbracket pol\ coeff\ S\ c; pol\ coeff\ S\ d \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow\ fst\ (add\ cf\ S\ c\ d) = (max\ (fst\ c)\ (fst\ d))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr-restrict1*: $\llbracket pol\ coeff\ S\ (n,\ f);$
 $pol\ coeff\ S\ (Suc\ (m + n),\ g) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $polyn\ expr\ R\ X\ (m + n)\ (add\ cf\ S\ (n,\ f)\ (m + n,\ g)) =$
 $polyn\ expr\ R\ X\ (m + n)\ (m + n,\ snd\ (add\ cf\ S\ (n,\ f)\ (Suc\ (m + n),\ g)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-add-n1*: $\llbracket pol\ coeff\ S\ (n,\ f); pol\ coeff\ S\ (n,\ g) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(polyn\ expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ f)) \pm (polyn\ expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ g)) =$
 $polyn\ expr\ R\ X\ n\ (add\ cf\ S\ (n,\ f)\ (n,\ g))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *add-cf-val-hi*: $(fst\ c) < (fst\ d) \Longrightarrow$
 $snd\ (add\ cf\ S\ c\ d)\ (fst\ d) = (snd\ d)\ (fst\ d)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *add-cf-commute*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall j \leq (\max (\text{fst } c) (\text{fst } d)). \text{snd } (\text{add-cf } S \ c \ d) \ j =$
 $\text{snd } (\text{add-cf } S \ d \ c) \ j$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-addTr1*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f) \implies$
 $\forall g. \text{pol-coeff } S \ (n + m, g) \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f) \pm (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + m) \ ((n + m), g))$
 $= \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + m) \ (\text{add-cf } S \ (n, f) \ ((n + m), g)))$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-add*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f); \text{pol-coeff } S \ (m, g) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f) \pm (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ m \ (m, g))$
 $= \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\max \ n \ m) \ (\text{add-cf } S \ (n, f) \ (m, g))$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-add1*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c \pm (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d)$
 $= \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\max (\text{fst } c) (\text{fst } d)) \ (\text{add-cf } S \ c \ d)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-minus-nsum*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $-_a \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c) = \text{nsum } R \ (\lambda j. ((-_a \ S \ ((\text{snd } c) \ j)) \cdot_r \ (X \wedge^R \ j))) \ k$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *minus-pol-coeff*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ ((\text{fst } c), (\lambda j. (-_a \ S \ ((\text{snd } c) \ j))))$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-minus*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $-_a \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c) =$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ ((\text{fst } c), (\lambda j. (-_a \ S \ ((\text{snd } c) \ j))))$

<proof>

definition

m-cf :: $[(\ 'a, \ 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \ 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \ 'a)$ **where**
 $\text{m-cf } S \ c = (\text{fst } c, (\lambda j. (-_a \ S \ ((\text{snd } c) \ j))))$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *m-cf-pol-coeff*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (\text{m-cf } S \ c)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *m-cf-len*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $\text{fst } (\text{m-cf } S \ c) = \text{fst } c$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-minus-m-cf*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $-_a \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c) =$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ (\text{m-cf } S \ c)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-zero-minus-zero*: $[[\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c)]] \implies$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c = \mathbf{0}) = (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ (\text{m-cf } S \ c) = \mathbf{0})$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *coeff-0-pol-0*: $[[\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq \text{fst } c]] \implies$
 $(\forall j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) \ j = \mathbf{0}_S) = (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c = \mathbf{0})$
<proof>

4.13.4 Multiplication of *pol-exprs*

4.13.5 Multiplication

definition

ext-cf :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{ nat}, \text{ nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow$
 $\text{ nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
ext-cf *S* *n* *c* = $(n + \text{fst } c, \lambda i. \text{ if } n \leq i \text{ then } (\text{snd } c) \ (\text{sliden } n \ i) \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_S)$

definition

sp-cf :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, \text{ nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{ nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
sp-cf *S* *a* *c* = $(\text{fst } c, \lambda j. a \cdot_{rS} ((\text{snd } c) \ j))$

definition

special-cf :: $(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme} \Rightarrow \text{ nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ (C_0)$ **where**
 $C_0 \ S = (\mathbf{0}, \lambda j. \mathbf{1}_{rS})$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *special-cf-pol-coeff*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (C_0 \ S)$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *special-cf-len*: $\text{fst } (C_0 \ S) = 0$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-pol-coeff*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ n \ c)$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-len*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $\text{fst } (\text{ext-cf } S \ m \ c) = m + \text{fst } c$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-special-cf-len*: $\text{fst } (\text{ext-cf } S \ m \ (C_0 \ S)) = m$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-self*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). \text{snd } (\text{ext-cf } S \ 0 \ c) \ j = (\text{snd } c) \ j$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-hi:pol-coeff* $S\ c \implies$
 $(snd\ c)\ (fst\ c) =$
 $snd\ (ext-cf\ S\ n\ c)\ (n + (fst\ c))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-special-cf-hi:snd* $(ext-cf\ S\ n\ (C_0\ S))\ n = 1_{rS}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-lo-zero*: $\llbracket pol-coeff\ S\ c; 0 < n; x \leq (n - Suc\ 0) \rrbracket$
 $\implies snd\ (ext-cf\ S\ n\ c)\ x = \mathbf{0}_S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-special-cf-lo-zero*: $\llbracket 0 < n; x \leq (n - Suc\ 0) \rrbracket$
 $\implies snd\ (ext-cf\ S\ n\ (C_0\ S))\ x = \mathbf{0}_S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *sp-cf-pol-coeff*: $\llbracket pol-coeff\ S\ c; a \in carrier\ S \rrbracket \implies$
 $pol-coeff\ S\ (sp-cf\ S\ a\ c)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *sp-cf-len*: $\llbracket pol-coeff\ S\ c; a \in carrier\ S \rrbracket \implies$
 $fst\ (sp-cf\ S\ a\ c) = fst\ c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *sp-cf-val*: $\llbracket pol-coeff\ S\ c; j \leq (fst\ c); a \in carrier\ S \rrbracket \implies$
 $snd\ (sp-cf\ S\ a\ c)\ j = a \cdot_{rS} ((snd\ c)\ j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-ext-cf-lo-zero*: $\llbracket pol-coeff\ S\ c; 0 < j \rrbracket \implies$
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ (j - Suc\ 0)\ (ext-cf\ S\ j\ c) = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *monomial-d:pol-coeff* $S\ c \implies$
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ d\ (ext-cf\ S\ d\ c) = ((snd\ c)\ 0) \cdot_r X^R\ d$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *X-to-d*: $X^R\ d = polyn-expr\ R\ X\ d\ (ext-cf\ S\ d\ (C_0\ S))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *c-max-ext-special-cf:c-max* $S\ (ext-cf\ S\ n\ (C_0\ S)) = n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *scalar-times-polynTr*: $a \in carrier\ S \implies$
 $\forall f. pol-coeff\ S\ (n, f) \longrightarrow$
 $a \cdot_r (polyn-expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n, f)) = polyn-expr\ R\ X\ n\ (sp-cf\ S\ a\ (n, f))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *scalar-times-pol-expr*: $\llbracket a \in carrier\ S; pol-coeff\ S\ c; \rrbracket$

$n \leq \text{fst } c \implies$
 $a \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ c) = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (\text{sp-cf } S \ a \ c)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *sp-coeff-nonzero*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; a \in \text{carrier } S; a \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S; j \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{snd } (\text{sp-cf } S \ a \ c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-inductTl*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (\text{Suc } n, f) \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + j) \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ j \ (\text{Suc } n, f)) =$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + j) \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ j \ (n, f))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *low-deg-terms-zeroTr*:
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + j) \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ j \ (n, f)) =$
 $(X^{\wedge R} j) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *low-deg-terms-zero*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f) \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + j) \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ j \ (n, f)) =$
 $(X^{\wedge R} j) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *low-deg-terms-zero1*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ ((\text{fst } c) + j) \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ j \ c) =$
 $(X^{\wedge R} j) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr-tOpTr*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f) \implies$
 $\forall g. (\text{pol-coeff } S \ (m, g) \longrightarrow (\exists h. \text{pol-coeff } S \ ((n + m), h) \wedge$
 $h \ (n + m) = (f \ n) \cdot_{rS} (g \ m) \wedge$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + m) \ (n + m, h) =$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f)) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ m \ (m, g))))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr-tOp*: \llbracket
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f); \text{pol-coeff } S \ (m, g) \rrbracket \implies \exists e. \text{pol-coeff } S \ ((n + m), e) \wedge$
 $e \ (n + m) = (f \ n) \cdot_{rS} (g \ m) \wedge$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + m) \ (n + m, e) =$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f)) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ m \ (m, g))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr-tOp-c*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists e. \text{pol-coeff } S \ e \wedge (\text{fst } e = \text{fst } c + \text{fst } d) \wedge$
 $(\text{snd } e) \ (\text{fst } e) = (\text{snd } c \ (\text{fst } c)) \cdot_{rS} (\text{snd } d) \ (\text{fst } d) \wedge$

$polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ e)\ e =$
 $(polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ c)\ c) \cdot_r (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ d)\ d)$
 ⟨proof⟩

4.14 The degree of a polynomial

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-degreeTr*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c;\ k \leq (fst\ c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ k\ c = \mathbf{0}) = (\{j. j \leq k \wedge (snd\ c)\ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S\} = \{\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *higher-part-zero*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c;\ k < fst\ c;\$
 $\forall j \in nset\ (Suc\ k)\ (fst\ c). snd\ c\ j = \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Sigma_f\ R\ (\lambda j. snd\ c\ j \cdot_r X^{R\ j})\ (Suc\ k)\ (fst\ c) = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *coeff-nonzero-polyn-nonzero*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c;\ k \leq (fst\ c) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ k\ c \neq \mathbf{0}) = (\exists j \leq k. (snd\ c)\ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-unique*: $\llbracket p \in carrier\ R;\ p \neq \mathbf{0};$
 $pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c;\ p = polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ c)\ c;\ (snd\ c)\ (fst\ c) \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ d;\ p = polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ d)\ d;\ (snd\ d)\ (fst\ d) \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies$
 $(fst\ c) = (fst\ d) \wedge (\forall j \leq (fst\ c). (snd\ c)\ j = (snd\ d)\ j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-unique2*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c;\ pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ d;$
 $fst\ c = fst\ d \rrbracket \implies$
 $(polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ c)\ c = polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ d)\ d) =$
 $(\forall j \leq (fst\ c). (snd\ c)\ j = (snd\ d)\ j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-unique3*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c;\ pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ d;$
 $fst\ c < fst\ d \rrbracket \implies$
 $(polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ c)\ c = polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ d)\ d) =$
 $(\forall j \leq (fst\ c). (snd\ c)\ j = (snd\ d)\ j) \wedge$
 $(\forall j \in nset\ (Suc\ (fst\ c))\ (fst\ d). (snd\ d)\ j = \mathbf{0}_S)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-degree-unique*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c;\ pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ d;$
 $polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ c)\ c = polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ d)\ d \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-max}\ S\ c = c\text{-max}\ S\ d$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ex-polyn-expr*: $p \in carrier\ R \implies$
 $\exists c. pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c \wedge p = polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ c)\ c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *c-max-eqTr0*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c;\ k \leq (fst\ c);$

$$\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c; \exists j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S \implies \\ c\text{-max } S \ (k, \text{snd } c) = c\text{-max } S \ c$$

<proof>

definition

$$\text{cf-sol} :: [('a, 'b) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a, \\ \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \ \mathbf{where} \\ \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c \longleftrightarrow \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge (p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c)$$

definition

$$\text{deg-n} :: [('a, 'b) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \ \mathbf{where} \\ \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p = c\text{-max } S \ (\text{SOME } c. \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c)$$

definition

$$\text{deg} :: [('a, 'b) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{ant} \ \mathbf{where} \\ \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p = (\text{if } p = \mathbf{0}_R \ \text{then } -\infty \ \text{else } (\text{an } (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p)))$$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ex-cf-sol*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies \\ \exists c. \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-in-aug-minf*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies \\ \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p \in Z_{-\infty}$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-noninf*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies \\ \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p \neq \infty$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-ant-int*: $[p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}] \\ \implies \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p = \text{ant } (\text{int } (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p))$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-an*: $[p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}] \\ \implies \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p = \text{an } (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-SOME-1*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies \\ \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ (\text{SOME } f. \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ f)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-SOME-2*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies \\ \text{pol-coeff } S \ (\text{SOME } c. \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c) \wedge \\ p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } (\text{SOME } c. \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c)) \\ (\text{SOME } c. \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *coeff-max-zeroTr*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies \\ \forall j. j \leq (\text{fst } c) \wedge (c\text{-max } S \ c) < j \longrightarrow (\text{snd } c) \ j = \mathbf{0}_S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *coeff-max-nonzeroTr*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \exists j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies (\text{snd } c) \ (c\text{-max } S \ c) \neq \mathbf{0}_S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *coeff-max-bddTr*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies c\text{-max } S \ c \leq (\text{fst } c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-max*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies \text{pol-coeff } S \ ((c\text{-max } S \ c), \text{snd } c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-c-max*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (c\text{-max } S \ c) \ c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-deg-eq-c-max*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p = c\text{-max } S \ c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-deg-le-n*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p \leq (\text{fst } c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-deg-le-n1*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c); p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p \leq k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-len-gt-deg*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c; \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p < (\text{an } j); j \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies (\text{snd } c) \ j = \mathbf{0}_S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-diff-deg-less*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d; \text{fst } c = \text{fst } d; (\text{snd } c) \ (\text{fst } c) = (\text{snd } d) \ (\text{fst } d) \rrbracket \implies p \pm (-_a (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d)) = \mathbf{0} \vee \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm (-_a (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d))) < (\text{fst } c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-pre-lt-deg*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p \leq (\text{fst } c); (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) \neq 0; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) \ c \rrbracket \implies (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ ((\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) - \text{Suc } 0) \ c)) < (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-deg-n*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c;$

$$n \leq \text{fst } c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ c; (\text{snd } c) \ n \neq \mathbf{0}_S \implies \\ \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p = n$$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-deg*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists c. \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p \leq (\text{fst } c) \wedge$
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) \ c \wedge$
 $(\text{snd } c) \ (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) \neq \mathbf{0}_S$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-n-pos*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies 0 \leq \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-deg1*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; d = \text{na } (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists c. (\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ d \ c)$
<proof>

end

theory *Algebra6* **imports** *Algebra5* **begin**

definition

s-cf :: $[('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a]$
 $\Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
s-cf $R \ S \ X \ p = (\text{if } p = \mathbf{0}_R \text{ then } (0, \lambda j. \mathbf{0}_S) \text{ else}$
 $\text{SOME } c. (\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c \wedge$
 $(\text{snd } c) \ (\text{fst } c) \neq \mathbf{0}_S)$

definition

lcf :: $[('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
lcf $R \ S \ X \ p = (\text{snd } (\text{s-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)) \ (\text{fst } (\text{s-cf } R \ S \ X \ p))$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *lcf-val-0*: $\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_S$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *lcf-val*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ p = (\text{snd } (\text{s-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)) \ (\text{fst } (\text{s-cf } R \ S \ X \ p))$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *s-cf-pol-coeff*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (\text{s-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *lcf-mem*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies (\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ p) \in \text{carrier } S$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *s-cf-expr0*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \text{ (s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p) \wedge$
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ (fst (s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p)) (s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pos-deg-nonzero*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; 0 < \text{deg-n } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p \rrbracket \implies$
 $p \neq \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *s-cf-expr*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \text{ (s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p) \wedge$
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ (fst (s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p)) (s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p) \wedge$
 $(\text{snd (s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p)) \text{ (fst (s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p))} \neq \mathbf{0}_S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *lcf-nonzero*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{lcf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p \neq \mathbf{0}_S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *s-cf-deg*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg-n } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p = \text{fst (s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-edeg*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p \leq (\text{an } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists f. (\text{pol-coeff } S \text{ } f \wedge \text{fst } f = d \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } d \text{ } f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-scf*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \text{ } c; k \leq \text{fst } c; \text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } k \text{ } c \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall j \leq \text{fst (s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ (polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } k \text{ } c)).$
 $\text{snd (s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ (polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } k \text{ } c)) } j = \text{snd } c \text{ } j$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

scf-cond :: $[(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m1}) \text{Ring-scheme}, \text{'a}, \text{'a},$
 $\text{nat}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a})] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
scf-cond $R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p \text{ } d \text{ } c \iff \text{pol-coeff } S \text{ } c \wedge \text{fst } c = d \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } d \text{ } c$

definition

scf-d :: $[(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m1}) \text{Ring-scheme}, \text{'a}, \text{'a}, \text{nat}]$
 $\Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a})$ **where**
scf-d $R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p \text{ } d = (\text{SOME } f. \text{scf-cond } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p \text{ } d \text{ } f)$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *scf-d-polTr*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p \leq \text{an } d \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{scf-cond } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p \text{ } d \text{ (scf-d } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p \text{ } d)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *scf-d-pol*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p \leq \text{an } d \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{pol-coeff } S (\text{scf-d } R \ S \ X \ p \ d) \wedge \text{fst } (\text{scf-d } R \ S \ X \ p \ d) = d \wedge$$

$$p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ d (\text{scf-d } R \ S \ X \ p \ d)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-of-X*:
 $X = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{Suc } 0) (\text{ext-cf } S \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (C_0 \ S))$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-n-of-X*: $\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ X = \text{Suc } 0$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-X:cf-sol* $R \ S \ X \ X \ c \implies$
 $\text{snd } c \ 0 = \mathbf{0}_S \wedge \text{snd } c \ (\text{Suc } 0) = 1_{r_S}$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-of-deg0*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p = 0) = (p \in \text{carrier } S)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pols-const*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \leq 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $p \in \text{carrier } S$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *less-deg-add-nonzero*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0};$
 $q \in \text{carrier } R; q \neq \mathbf{0};$
 $(\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) < (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-deg-add1*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $q \neq \mathbf{0}; (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) < (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) = (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-deg-add2*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $q \neq \mathbf{0}; p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}; (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) = (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-deg-add3*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $q \neq \mathbf{0}; p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}; (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) \leq n; (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q) \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq n$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-deg-add4*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \leq (an \ n); (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q) \leq (an \ n) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq (an \ n)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-deg-add5*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $(\text{deg } R \text{ S } X \ p) \leq a; (\text{deg } R \text{ S } X \ q) \leq a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg } R \text{ S } X \ (p \pm q) \leq a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *lower-deg-part*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 < \text{deg-n } R \text{ S } X \ p \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{deg } R \text{ S } X \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{deg-n } R \text{ S } X \ p - \text{Suc } 0)(\text{SOME } f. \text{cf-sol } R \text{ S } X \ p$
 $f))$
 $< \text{deg } R \text{ S } X \ p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

ldeg-p :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\ 'a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, \text{nat}, 'a]$
 $\implies 'a$ **where**
 $\text{ldeg-p } R \text{ S } X \ d \ p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ d \ (\text{scf-d } R \text{ S } X \ p \ (\text{Suc } d))$

definition

hdeg-p :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\ 'a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, \text{nat}, 'a]$
 $\implies 'a$ **where**
 $\text{hdeg-p } R \text{ S } X \ d \ p = (\text{snd } (\text{scf-d } R \text{ S } X \ p \ d) \ d) \cdot_{rR} (X^{R \ d})$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ldeg-p-mem*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \text{ S } X \ p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ldeg-p } R \text{ S } X \ d \ p \in \text{carrier } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ldeg-p-zero*: $p = \mathbf{0}_R \implies \text{ldeg-p } R \text{ S } X \ d \ p = \mathbf{0}_R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *hdeg-p-mem*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \text{ S } X \ p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{hdeg-p } R \text{ S } X \ (\text{Suc } d) \ p \in \text{carrier } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *hdeg-p-zero*: $p = \mathbf{0} \implies \text{hdeg-p } R \text{ S } X \ (\text{Suc } d) \ p = \mathbf{0}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *decompos-p*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \text{ S } X \ p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $p = (\text{ldeg-p } R \text{ S } X \ d \ p) \pm (\text{hdeg-p } R \text{ S } X \ (\text{Suc } d) \ p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-ldeg-p*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \text{ S X } p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg } R \text{ S X } (\text{ldeg-p } R \text{ S X } d \text{ } p) \leq \text{an } d$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-minus-eq*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg-n } R \text{ S X } (-_a \text{ } p) = \text{deg-n } R \text{ S X } p$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-minus-eq1*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $\text{deg } R \text{ S X } (-_a \text{ } p) = \text{deg } R \text{ S X } p$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ldeg-p-pOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{deg } R \text{ S X } p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d); \text{deg } R \text{ S X } q \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{ldeg-p } R \text{ S X } d \text{ } p) \pm_R (\text{ldeg-p } R \text{ S X } d \text{ } q) =$
 $\text{ldeg-p } R \text{ S X } d \text{ } (p \pm_R q)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *hdeg-p-pOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{deg } R \text{ S X } p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d); \text{deg } R \text{ S X } q \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{hdeg-p } R \text{ S X } (\text{Suc } d) \text{ } p) \pm (\text{hdeg-p } R \text{ S X } (\text{Suc } d) \text{ } q) =$
 $\text{hdeg-p } R \text{ S X } (\text{Suc } d) \text{ } (p \pm q)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ldeg-p-mOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \text{ S X } p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $-_a (\text{ldeg-p } R \text{ S X } d \text{ } p) = \text{ldeg-p } R \text{ S X } d \text{ } (-_a \text{ } p)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *hdeg-p-mOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \text{ S X } p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket$
 $\implies -_a (\text{hdeg-p } R \text{ S X } (\text{Suc } d) \text{ } p) = \text{hdeg-p } R \text{ S X } (\text{Suc } d) \text{ } (-_a \text{ } p)$
 <proof>

4.14.1 Multiplication of polynomials

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-mult-pols*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S;$
 $p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R; q \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $p \cdot_r q \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge$
 $\text{deg-n } R \text{ S X } (p \cdot_r q) = \text{deg-n } R \text{ S X } p + \text{deg-n } R \text{ S X } q$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-mult-pols1*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{deg } R \text{ S X } (p \cdot_r q) = \text{deg } R \text{ S X } p + \text{deg } R \text{ S X } q$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *const-times-polyn*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; c \in \text{carrier } S; c \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (c \cdot_r p) \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge$

$\text{deg-n } R \text{ S } X (c \cdot_r p) = \text{deg-n } R \text{ S } X p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *p-times-monomial-nonzero*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(X^{\wedge R} j) \cdot_r p \neq \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *p-times-monomial-nonzero1*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; p \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $p \neq \mathbf{0}; c \in \text{carrier } S; c \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies (c \cdot_r (X^{\wedge R} j)) \cdot_r p \neq \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-ring-integral*: $\text{Idomain } S = \text{Idomain } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-to-X-d*: $\text{Idomain } S \implies \text{deg-n } R \text{ S } X (X^{\wedge R} d) = d$
 ⟨proof⟩

4.14.2 Degree with value in *aug-minf*

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *nonzero-deg-pos*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $0 \leq \text{deg } R \text{ S } X p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-minf-pol-0*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $(\text{deg } R \text{ S } X p = -\infty) = (p = \mathbf{0})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-nonzero*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $(0 \leq \text{deg } R \text{ S } X p) = (p \neq \mathbf{0})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *minus-deg-in-aug-minf*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $-(\text{deg } R \text{ S } X p) \in Z_{-\infty}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-of-X*: $\text{deg } R \text{ S } X X = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-deg-0*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{deg } R \text{ S } X p = 0) = (p \in \text{carrier } S)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-of-X2n*: $\text{Idomain } S \implies \text{deg } R \text{ S } X (X^{\wedge R} n) = an$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *add-pols-nonzero*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $(\text{deg } R \text{ S } X p) \neq (\text{deg } R \text{ S } X q) \rrbracket \implies p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-pols-add1*: $[[p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) < (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q)] \implies$
 $\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) = \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-pols-add2*: $[[p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) = (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q)] \implies$
 $\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-pols-add3*: $[[p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \leq \text{an } n; (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q) \leq \text{an } n] \implies$
 $\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq \text{an } n$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *const-times-polyn1*: $[[\text{Idomain } S; p \in \text{carrier } R; c \in \text{carrier } S;$
 $c \neq \mathbf{0}_S] \implies \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (c \cdot_r p) = \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p$

<proof>

4.15 Homomorphism of polynomial rings

definition

cf-h :: $(\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'b})$ **where**
 $\text{cf-h } f = (\lambda c. (\text{fst } c, \text{cmp } f \ (\text{snd } c)))$

definition

polyn-Hom :: $[(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'a},$
 $(\text{'b}, \text{'n}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'b}, \text{'n1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'b}] \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}) \text{ set}$
 $((\text{pHom} \ - \ - \ - \ - \ - \ -) \ [67,67,67,67,67,68]67)$ **where**
 $\text{pHom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y = \{f. f \in \text{rHom } R \ A \ \wedge \ f'(\text{carrier } S) \subseteq \text{carrier } B \ \wedge$
 $f \ X = Y\}$

definition

erh :: $[(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'a},$
 $(\text{'b}, \text{'n}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'b}, \text{'n1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'b}, \text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b},$
 $\text{nat}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a})] \Rightarrow \text{'b}$ **where**
 $\text{erh } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ f \ n \ c = \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ n \ (\text{cf-h } f \ c)$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-len*: $[[\text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in \text{rHom } S \ B;$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c] \implies \text{fst } (\text{cf-h } f \ c) = \text{fst } c$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-coeff*: $[[\text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in \text{rHom } S \ B;$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c] \implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (\text{cf-h } f \ c)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-cmp*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *pol-coeff* *S* (*n*, *f*); *h* \in *rHom* *S B*;

$$j \leq n \rrbracket \implies \\ (\text{snd } (\text{cf-h } h \ (n, f))) \ j = (\text{cmp } h \ f) \ j$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-special-cf*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *h* \in *rHom* *S B* $\rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{cf-h } h \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (C_0 \ S))) = \\ \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{ext-cf } B \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (C_0 \ B))$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-Hom-coeff-to-coeff*:

$$\llbracket$$
PolynRg *A B Y*; *f* \in *pHom* *R S X*, *A B Y*; *pol-coeff* *S c* $\rrbracket \\ \implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (\text{cf-h } f \ c)$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-len1*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *h* \in *rHom* *S B*;

$$f \in \text{pHom } R \ S \ X, \ A \ B \ Y; \ \forall x \in \text{carrier } S. \ f \ x = h \ x; \ \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{fst } (\text{cf-h } f \ c) = \text{fst } (\text{cf-h } h \ c)$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-len2*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *f* \in *pHom* *R S X*, *A B Y*;

$$\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{fst } (\text{cf-h } f \ c) = \text{fst } c$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cmp-pol-coeff*: \llbracket *f* \in *rHom* *S B*;

$$\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, \ c) \rrbracket \implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (n, \ (\text{cmp } f \ c))$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cmp-pol-coeff-e*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *f* \in *pHom* *R S X*, *A B Y*;

$$\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, \ c) \rrbracket \implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (n, \ (\text{cmp } f \ c))$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-pol-coeff*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *h* \in *rHom* *S B*;

$$\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, \ f) \rrbracket \implies \text{cf-h } h \ (n, \ f) = (n, \ \text{cmp } h \ f)$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-polyn*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *h* \in *rHom* *S B*;

$$\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, \ f) \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ n \ (\text{cf-h } h \ (n, \ f)) = \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ n \ (n, \ (\text{cmp } h \ f))$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-rHom*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *f* \in *pHom* *R S X*, *A B Y* \rrbracket

\implies

$$f \in \text{rHom } R \ A$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-X-Y*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $f \in$ *pHom* *R S X*, *A B Y \rrbracket
 \implies*

$$f X = Y$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-memTr*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*;

$$f \in$$
 pHom *R S X*, *A B Y $\rrbracket \implies$*

$$\forall c. \text{pol-coeff } S (n, c) \longrightarrow$$

$$f (\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, c)) = \text{polyn-expr } A Y n (n, \text{cmp } f c)$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-mem*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*;

$$f \in$$
 pHom *R S X*, *A B Y; *pol-coeff* *S* (*n*, *c*) $\rrbracket \implies$*

$$f (\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, c)) = \text{polyn-expr } A Y n (n, \text{cmp } f c)$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-memc*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $f \in$ *pHom* *R S X*, *A B Y;*

$$\text{pol-coeff } S c \rrbracket \implies$$

$$f (\text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } c) c) = \text{polyn-expr } A Y (\text{fst } c) (\text{cf-h } f c)$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-mem1*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $f \in$ *pHom* *R S X*, *A B Y;*

$$p \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies f p \in \text{carrier } A$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-pol-mem*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $f \in$ *pHom* *R S X*, *A B Y;*

$$p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$$

$$f p = \text{polyn-expr } A Y (\text{deg-n } R S X p) (\text{cf-h } f (\text{s-cf } R S X p))$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erh-rHom-coeff*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in$ *rHom* *S B*;

$$\text{pol-coeff } S c \rrbracket \implies \text{erh } R S X A B Y h 0 c = (\text{cmp } h (\text{snd } c)) 0$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erh-polyn-exprs*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in$ *rHom* *S B*;

$$\text{pol-coeff } S c; \text{pol-coeff } S d;$$

$$\text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } c) c = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } d) d \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{erh } R S X A B Y h (\text{fst } c) c = \text{erh } R S X A B Y h (\text{fst } d) d$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

definition

erH :: [(*'a*, *'m*) *Ring-scheme*, (*'a*, *'m1*) *Ring-scheme*, *'a*,

(*'b*, *'n*) *Ring-scheme*, (*'b*, *'n1*) *Ring-scheme*, *'b*, *'a* \Rightarrow *'b*] \Rightarrow

'a \Rightarrow *'b* **where**

erH *R S X A B Y h* = ($\lambda x \in \text{carrier } R. \text{erh } R S X A B Y h$

(*fst* (*s-cf* *R S X x*)) (*s-cf* *R S X x*))

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-0*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *h* \in *rHom S B* $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h)\ \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_A$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-mem*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *h* \in *rHom S B*; *p* \in *carrier R* $\rrbracket \implies$

$$erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ p \in carrier\ A$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-nonzero*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *f* \in *rHom S B*; *p* \in *carrier R*; (*erH R S X A B Y f*) *p* $\neq \mathbf{0}_A$ $\rrbracket \implies p \neq \mathbf{0}$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHomTr2*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *h* \in *rHom S B* $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h)\ (1_r) = (1_{r_A})$

\langle *proof* \rangle

declare *max.absorb1* [*simp*] *max.absorb2* [*simp*]

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-multTr*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *h* \in *rHom S B*; *pol-coeff S c* $\rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall f\ g.\ & pol\text{-coeff}\ S\ (m, f) \wedge pol\text{-coeff}\ S\ (((fst\ c) + m), g) \wedge \\ & (polyn\text{-expr}\ R\ X\ (fst\ c)\ c) \cdot_r (polyn\text{-expr}\ R\ X\ m\ (m, f)) = \\ & (polyn\text{-expr}\ R\ X\ ((fst\ c) + m)\ ((fst\ c) + m, g)) \longrightarrow \\ & (polyn\text{-expr}\ A\ Y\ (fst\ c)\ (cf\text{-}h\ h\ c)) \cdot_{r_A} (polyn\text{-expr}\ A\ Y\ m\ (cf\text{-}h\ h\ (m, f))) = \\ & (polyn\text{-expr}\ A\ Y\ ((fst\ c) + m)\ (cf\text{-}h\ h\ ((fst\ c) + m, g))) \end{aligned}$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-multTr1*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *h* \in *rHom S B*; *pol-coeff S c*; *pol-coeff S d*; *pol-coeff S e*; *fst e = fst c + fst d*;

$$\begin{aligned} & (polyn\text{-expr}\ R\ X\ (fst\ c)\ c) \cdot_r (polyn\text{-expr}\ R\ X\ (fst\ d)\ d) = \\ & polyn\text{-expr}\ R\ X\ ((fst\ c) + (fst\ d))\ e \rrbracket \implies \\ & (polyn\text{-expr}\ A\ Y\ (fst\ c)\ (cf\text{-}h\ h\ c)) \cdot_{r_A} (polyn\text{-expr}\ A\ Y\ (fst\ d)\ (cf\text{-}h\ h\ d)) \\ & = (polyn\text{-expr}\ A\ Y\ (fst\ e)\ (cf\text{-}h\ h\ e)) \end{aligned}$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erHomTr0*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *h* \in *rHom S B*; *x* \in *carrier R* $\rrbracket \implies$
 $erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ (-_a\ x) = -_{a_A}\ (erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ x)$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erHomTr1*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; *h* \in *rHom S B*;

$$\begin{aligned} & a \in carrier\ R; b \in carrier\ R; a \neq \mathbf{0}; b \neq \mathbf{0}; a \pm b \neq \mathbf{0}; \\ & deg\text{-}n\ R\ S\ X\ a = deg\text{-}n\ R\ S\ X\ b \rrbracket \implies \\ & erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ (a \pm b) = erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ a \pm_A \\ & (erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ b) \end{aligned}$$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erHomTr2*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B*;
 $a \in carrier$ *R*; $b \in carrier$ *R*; $a \neq \mathbf{0}$; $b \neq \mathbf{0}$; $a \pm b \neq \mathbf{0}$;
 $deg-n$ *R S X a* < $deg-n$ *R S X b* $\rrbracket \implies$
 erH *R S X A B Y h* ($a \pm b$) = erH *R S X A B Y h a* \pm_A
 $(erH$ *R S X A B Y h b)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom*: \llbracket *Idomain* *S*; *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B* \rrbracket
 $\implies erH$ *R S X A B Y h* $\in pHom$ *R S X, A B Y*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-q-rHom*: \llbracket *Idomain* *S*; *maximal-ideal* *S P*;
PolynRg *R' (S /_r P) Y* $\rrbracket \implies$
 erH *R S X R' (S /_r P) Y* (pj *S P*) $\in pHom$ *R S X, R' (S /_r P) Y*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-add*: \llbracket *Idomain* *S*; *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B*;
 $p \in carrier$ *R*; $q \in carrier$ *R* $\rrbracket \implies$
 erH *R S X A B Y h* ($p \pm q$) =
 $(erH$ *R S X A B Y h p*) \pm_A (erH *R S X A B Y h q*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-minus*: \llbracket *Idomain* *S*; *PolynRg* *A B Y*;
 $h \in rHom$ *S B*; $p \in carrier$ *R* $\rrbracket \implies$
 erH *R S X A B Y h* ($-_a p$) = $-_aA$ (erH *R S X A B Y h p*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-mult*: \llbracket *Idomain* *S*; *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B*;
 $p \in carrier$ *R*; $q \in carrier$ *R* $\rrbracket \implies$
 erH *R S X A B Y h* ($p \cdot_r q$) =
 $(erH$ *R S X A B Y h p*) \cdot_{rA} (erH *R S X A B Y h q*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-cf*: \llbracket *Idomain* *S*; *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B*;
 $s \in carrier$ *S* $\rrbracket \implies erH$ *R S X A B Y h s* = $h s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-coeff*: \llbracket *Idomain* *S*; *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S*
B;
 $pol-coeff$ *S* (n, f) $\rrbracket \implies pol-coeff$ *B* (n, cmp $h f$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-unique*: \llbracket *Idomain* *S*; *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$
S B \rrbracket
 $\implies \exists!g. g \in pHom$ *R S X, A B Y* $\wedge (\forall x \in carrier$ *S. h x* = $g x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-unique1*: \llbracket *Idomain* *S*; *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$

$S B$;
 $f \in p\text{Hom } R S X, A B Y; \forall x \in \text{carrier } S. f x = h x \implies$
 $f = (\text{erH } R S X A B Y h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $p\text{Hom-dec-deg}::[\text{PolynRg } A B Y; f \in p\text{Hom } R S X, A B Y;$
 $p \in \text{carrier } R] \implies$
 $\text{deg } A B Y (f p) \leq \text{deg } R S X p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $\text{erH-map}::[\text{Idomain } S; \text{PolynRg } A B Y; h \in r\text{Hom } S B;$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (n, c)] \implies$
 $(\text{erH } R S X A B Y h) (\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, c)) =$
 $\text{polyn-expr } A Y n (n, (\text{cmp } h c))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.16 Relatively prime polynomials

definition

$\text{rel-prime-pols} :: [(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'a},$
 $\text{'a}, \text{'a}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{rel-prime-pols } R S X p q \longleftrightarrow (1_r R) \in ((Rxa R p) \mp_R (Rxa R q))$

definition

$\text{div-condn} :: [(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'a}, \text{nat},$
 $\text{'a}, \text{'a}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{div-condn } R S X n g f \longleftrightarrow f \in \text{carrier } R \wedge n = \text{deg-n } R S X f \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists q. q \in \text{carrier } R \wedge ((f \pm_R (-_a R (q \cdot_r R g)) = \mathbf{0}_R) \vee (\text{deg-n } R S X$
 $(f \pm_R (-_a R (q \cdot_r R g))) < \text{deg-n } R S X g)))$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $\text{divisionTr0}::[\text{Idomain } S; p \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $c \in \text{carrier } S; c \neq \mathbf{0}_S] \implies$
 $\text{lcf } R S X (c \cdot_r X^R n \cdot_r p) = c \cdot_r S (\text{lcf } R S X p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $\text{divisionTr1}::[\text{Corps } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; g \neq \mathbf{0};$
 $0 < \text{deg-n } R S X g; f \in \text{carrier } R; f \neq \mathbf{0}; \text{deg-n } R S X g \leq \text{deg-n } R S X f]$
 \implies
 $f \pm -_a ((\text{lcf } R S X f) \cdot_r S ((\text{lcf } R S X g)^{-S}) \cdot_r$
 $(X^R ((\text{deg-n } R S X f) - (\text{deg-n } R S X g))) \cdot_r g) = \mathbf{0} \vee$
 $\text{deg-n } R S X (f \pm -_a ((\text{lcf } R S X f) \cdot_r S ((\text{lcf } R S X g)^{-S}) \cdot_r$
 $(X^R ((\text{deg-n } R S X f) - (\text{deg-n } R S X g))) \cdot_r g) < \text{deg-n } R S X f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $\text{divisionTr2}::[\text{Corps } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; g \neq \mathbf{0};$
 $0 < \text{deg-n } R S X g] \implies \forall f. \text{div-condn } R S X n g f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *divisionTr3*: \llbracket Corps S ; $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $g \neq \mathbf{0}$;
 $0 < \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g$; $f \in \text{carrier } R$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists q \in \text{carrier } R. (f \pm -_a (q \cdot_r g) = \mathbf{0}) \vee (f \pm -_a (q \cdot_r g) \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge$
 $\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X (f \pm -_a (q \cdot_r g)) < (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *divisionTr4*: \llbracket Corps S ; $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $g \neq \mathbf{0}$;
 $0 < \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g$; $f \in \text{carrier } R$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists q \in \text{carrier } R. (f = q \cdot_r g) \vee (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. r \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge (f = (q \cdot_r g) \pm r)$
 $\wedge (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ r) < (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *divisionTr*: \llbracket Corps S ; $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $0 < \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ g$;
 $f \in \text{carrier } R$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists q \in \text{carrier } R. (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. (f = (q \cdot_r g) \pm r) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ r) < (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ g))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *rel-prime-equation*: \llbracket Corps S ; $f \in \text{carrier } R$; $g \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ f$; $0 < \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ g$; *rel-prime-pols* $R \ S \ X \ f \ g$;
 $h \in \text{carrier } R$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists u \in \text{carrier } R. \exists v \in \text{carrier } R.$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ u \leq \text{amax } ((\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ h) - (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ f)) (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ g)) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ v \leq (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ f)) \wedge (u \cdot_r f \pm (v \cdot_r g) = h)$
 <proof>

4.16.1 Polynomial, coeff mod P

definition

$P\text{-mod} :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a \text{ set},$
 $'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ P \ p \longleftrightarrow p = \mathbf{0}_R \vee$
 $(\forall j \leq (\text{fst } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)). (\text{snd } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p) \ j) \in P)$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-whole*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X (\text{carrier } S) \ p$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *zero-P-mod:ideal* $S \ I \implies P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ I \ \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mod:ideal* $S \ I$; $p \in \text{carrier } R$; *pol-coeff* $S \ c$;
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X (\text{fst } c) \ c \implies$
 $(\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) \ j \in I) = (P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ I \ p)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *monomial-P-mod-mod:ideal* $S \ I$; $c \in \text{carrier } S$;
 $p = c \cdot_r (X^{\wedge R} \ d) \implies (c \in I) = (P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ I \ p)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-add*: \llbracket ideal $S\ I$; $p \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $q \in \text{carrier } R$; *P-mod* $R\ S\ X\ I\ p$; *P-mod* $R\ S\ X\ I\ q$ $\rrbracket \implies$
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ I\ (p \pm q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-minus*: \llbracket ideal $S\ I$; $p \in \text{carrier } R$; *P-mod* $R\ S\ X\ I\ p$ \rrbracket
 \implies
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ I\ (-_a\ p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-pre*: \llbracket ideal $S\ I$; *pol-coeff* $S\ ((\text{Suc } n), f)$;
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ I\ (\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ (\text{Suc } n)\ (\text{Suc } n, f))$ $\rrbracket \implies$
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ I\ (\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ n\ (n, f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-pre1*: \llbracket ideal $S\ I$; *pol-coeff* $S\ ((\text{Suc } n), f)$;
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ I\ (\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ (\text{Suc } n)\ (\text{Suc } n, f))$ $\rrbracket \implies$
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ I\ (\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ n\ (\text{Suc } n, f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-coeffTr*: \llbracket ideal $S\ I$; $d \in \text{carrier } S$ $\rrbracket \implies$
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ I\ d = (d \in I)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult-const*: \llbracket ideal $S\ I$; ideal $S\ J$;
pol-coeff $S\ (n, f)$; *P-mod* $R\ S\ X\ I\ (\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ n\ (n, f))$;
pol-coeff $S\ (0, g)$; *P-mod* $R\ S\ X\ J\ (\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ 0\ (0, g))$ $\rrbracket \implies$
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ (I \diamond_r S\ J)\ ((\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ n\ (n, f)) \cdot_r$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ 0\ (0, g)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult-const1*: \llbracket ideal $S\ I$; ideal $S\ J$;
pol-coeff $S\ (n, f)$; *P-mod* $R\ S\ X\ I\ (\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ n\ (n, f))$;
 $d \in J$ $\rrbracket \implies$
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ (I \diamond_r S\ J)\ ((\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ n\ (n, f)) \cdot_r d)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult-monomial*: \llbracket ideal $S\ I$; $p \in \text{carrier } R$ $\rrbracket \implies$
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ I\ p = (P\text{-mod } R\ S\ X\ I\ (p \cdot_r X^R m))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-multTr*: \llbracket ideal $S\ I$; ideal $S\ J$; *pol-coeff* $S\ (n, f)$;
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ I\ (\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ n\ (n, f))$ $\rrbracket \implies \forall g. ((\text{pol-coeff } S\ (m, g)$
 $\wedge (P\text{-mod } R\ S\ X\ J\ (\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ m\ (m, g)))) \longrightarrow$
P-mod $R\ S\ X\ (I \diamond_r S\ J)$
 $((\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ n\ (n, f)) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R\ X\ m\ (m, g))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult*: \llbracket *ideal S I*; *ideal S J*; *pol-coeff S* (*n*, *c*);
pol-coeff S (*m*, *d*); *P-mod R S X I* (*polyn-expr R X n* (*n*, *c*));
P-mod R S X J (*polyn-expr R X m* (*m*, *d*)) $\rrbracket \implies$
P-mod R S X (*I* \diamond_{rS} *J*) ((*polyn-expr R X n* (*n*, *c*)) \cdot_r
(*polyn-expr R X m* (*m*, *d*)))

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult1*: \llbracket *ideal S I*; *ideal S J*;
p \in *carrier R*; *q* \in *carrier R*; *P-mod R S X I p*; *P-mod R S X J q* $\rrbracket \implies$
P-mod R S X (*I* \diamond_{rS} *J*) (*p* \cdot_r *q*)

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult2l*: \llbracket *ideal S I*; *p* \in *carrier R*; *q* \in *carrier R*;
P-mod R S X I p $\rrbracket \implies$ *P-mod R S X I* (*p* \cdot_r *q*)

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult2r*: \llbracket *ideal S I*; *p* \in *carrier R*; *q* \in *carrier R*;
P-mod R S X I q $\rrbracket \implies$ *P-mod R S X I* (*p* \cdot_r *q*)

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *csrp-fn-pol-coeff*: \llbracket *ideal S P*; *PolynRg R'* (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) *Y*;
pol-coeff (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) (*n*, *c'*) $\rrbracket \implies$
pol-coeff S (*n*, (*cmp* (*csrp-fn S P*) *c'*))

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pj-csrp-mem-coeff*: \llbracket *ideal S P*; *pol-coeff* (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) (*n*, *c'*) \rrbracket
 $\implies \forall j \leq n. (pj S P) ((csrp-fn S P) (c' j)) = c' j$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-pj-csrp*: \llbracket *Idomain S*; *ideal S P*;
PolynRg R' (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) *Y*; *pol-coeff* (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) (*n*, *c'*) $\rrbracket \implies$
erH R S X R' (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) *Y* (*pj S P*)
(*polyn-expr R X n* (*n*, (*cmp* (*csrp-fn S P*) *c'*)))
= *polyn-expr R' Y n* (*n*, *c'*)

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-csrp-fn-nonzero*: \llbracket *Idomain S*; *ideal S P*;
PolynRg R' (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) *Y*; *g'* \in *carrier R'*; *g'* $\neq \mathbf{0}_{R'}$ $\rrbracket \implies$
polyn-expr R X (*deg-n R'* (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) *Y g'*) ((*deg-n R'* (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) *Y g'*),
(*cmp* (*csrp-fn S P*) (*snd* (*s-cf R'* (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) *Y g'*)))) $\neq \mathbf{0}$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-inv*: \llbracket *Idomain S*; *ideal S P*; *Ring R'*;
PolynRg R' (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) *Y*; *g'* \in *carrier R'* $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists g \in$ *carrier R. deg R S X g \leq (*deg R'* (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) *Y g'*) \wedge
(*erH R S X R'* (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) *Y* (*pj S P*)) *g* = *g'**

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-0*: \llbracket *Idomain S*; *ideal S P*; *PolynRg R'* (*S* /_{*r*} *P*) *Y*;

$g \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $(\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ P) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ P) \ g = \mathbf{0}_{R'}) = (P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ P \ g)$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) *P-mod-I-J*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } S \ I; \text{ideal } S \ J;$
 $I \subseteq J; P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ I \ p \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ J \ p$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) *P-mod-n-1*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; g \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \ \diamond_p \ (t \wedge^S \ (\text{Suc } n))) \ g \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \ \diamond_p \ t) \ g$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) *P-mod-n-m*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; g \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $m \leq n; P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \ \diamond_p \ (t \wedge^S \ (\text{Suc } n))) \ g \rrbracket \implies$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \ \diamond_p \ (t \wedge^S \ (\text{Suc } m))) \ g$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) *P-mod-diff*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S \ P; \text{PolynRg } R' \ (S \ /_r \ P) \ Y;$

$g \in \text{carrier } R; h \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ P) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ P) \ g = (\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ P) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ P) \ h))$
 $= (P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ P \ (g \pm -_a \ h))$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) *P-mod-erH*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S \ P; \text{PolynRg } R' \ (S \ /_r \ P) \ Y;$

$g \in \text{carrier } R; v \in \text{carrier } R; t \in P \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ P) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ P) \ g =$
 $(\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ P) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ P) \ (g \pm (t \cdot_r \ v))))$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) *coeff-principalTr*: $\llbracket t \in \text{carrier } S \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall f. \text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f) \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in S \ \diamond_p \ t) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists f'. \text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f') \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f \ j = t \cdot_r \ S \ (f' \ j)))$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) *coeff-principal*: $\llbracket t \in \text{carrier } S; \text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f);$
 $\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in S \ \diamond_p \ t \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists f'. \text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f') \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f \ j = t \cdot_r \ S \ (f' \ j))$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) *Pmod-0-principal*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; g \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \ \diamond_p \ t) \ g \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in \text{carrier } R. g = t \cdot_r \ h$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) *Pmod0-principal-rev*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S;$
 $g \in \text{carrier } R; \exists h \in \text{carrier } R. g = t \cdot_r \ h \rrbracket \implies$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \ \diamond_p \ t) \ g$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *Pmod0-principal-rev1*: \llbracket Idomain S ; $t \in \text{carrier } S$;
 $h \in \text{carrier } R$ $\rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \diamond_p t) \ (t \cdot_r h)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *Pmod0-principal-erH-vanish-t*: \llbracket Idomain S ; ideal $S \ (S \diamond_p t)$;
 $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$; *PolynRg* $R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \rrbracket \implies$
 $erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ t = \mathbf{0}_{R'}$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-diffxxx1*: \llbracket Idomain S ; $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$;
maximal-ideal $S \ (S \diamond_p t)$; *PolynRg* $R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y$;
 $f \in \text{carrier } R$; $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $h \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $f \neq \mathbf{0}$; $g \neq \mathbf{0}$; $h \neq \mathbf{0}$; $u \in \text{carrier } R$; $v \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ g \neq \mathbf{0}_{R'}$;
 $erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ h \neq \mathbf{0}_{R'}$;
 $ra \in \text{carrier } R$;

$f \pm -_a \ (g \cdot_r h) = t^{\wedge S \ m} \cdot_r ra$; $0 < m$;
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ u)$
 $\cdot_r R' \ erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ g \pm_{R'}$
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ v)$
 $\cdot_r R' \ erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ h =$
 $erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ ra \rrbracket$
 $\implies P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S \ (Suc \ m)}))$
 $(f \pm -_a \ ((g \pm t^{\wedge S \ m} \cdot_r v) \cdot_r (h \pm t^{\wedge S \ m} \cdot_r u)))$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-diffxxx2*: \llbracket Idomain S ; $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$;
maximal-ideal $S \ (S \diamond_p t)$; *PolynRg* $R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y$;

$f \in \text{carrier } R$; $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $h \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $deg \ R \ S \ X \ g \leq deg \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y$
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ g)$;

$deg \ R \ S \ X \ h +$
 $deg \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ g)$
 $\leq deg \ R \ S \ X \ f$;

$0 < deg \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y$
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ g)$;

$0 < deg \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y$
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ h)$;

rel-prime-pols $R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y$
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ g)$
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ h)$;

$P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S \ m})) \ (f \pm -_a \ (g \cdot_r h))$; $0 < m \rrbracket \implies$

$\exists g1 \ h1. g1 \in \text{carrier } R \wedge h1 \in \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $(deg \ R \ S \ X \ g1 \leq deg \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y$
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p t)) \ g1)) \wedge$

$P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) \ (g \pm -_a \ g1) \wedge \ (deg \ R \ S \ X \ h1 +$
 $deg \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ g1)$
 $\leq \ deg \ R \ S \ X \ f) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) \ (h \pm -_a \ h1) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (Suc \ m))) \ (f \pm (-_a \ (g1 \cdot_r \ h1)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

Hensel-next :: [(*'a*, *'b*) Ring-scheme, (*'a*, *'c*) Ring-scheme, *'a*, *'a*,
 (*'a* set, *'m*) Ring-scheme, *'a* set, *'a*, nat] \Rightarrow (*'a* \times *'a*) \Rightarrow (*'a* \times *'a*)
 ((9Hen - - - - - - -) [67,67,67,67,67,67,67,68]67) **where**

$Hen \ R \ S \ X \ t \ R' \ Y \ f \ m \ gh = (SOME \ gh1.$
 $gh1 \in \ carrier \ R \times \ carrier \ R \wedge$
 $(deg \ R \ S \ X \ (fst \ gh1) \leq \ deg \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y$
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ (fst \ gh1))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) \ ((fst \ gh) \pm_R \ -_aR \ (fst \ gh1)) \wedge$
 $(deg \ R \ S \ X \ (snd \ gh1) + \ deg \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (erH \ R \ S \ X \ R'$
 $(S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ (fst \ gh1)) \leq \ deg \ R \ S \ X \ f) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) \ ((snd \ gh) \pm_R \ -_aR \ (snd \ gh1)) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (Suc \ m))) \ (f \pm_R \ (-_aR \ ((fst \ gh1) \cdot_r \ (snd \ gh1))))$

lemma *cart-prod-fst*: $x \in A \times B \implies fst \ x \in A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cart-prod-snd*: $x \in A \times B \implies snd \ x \in B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cart-prod-split*: $((x,y) \in A \times B) = (x \in A \wedge y \in B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-diffxx3*: [Idomain *S*; $t \in \ carrier \ S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$;
maximal-ideal $S \ (S \diamond_p \ t)$; *PolynRg* $R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y$;
 $f \in \ carrier \ R$; $gh \in \ carrier \ R \times \ carrier \ R$;
 $deg \ R \ S \ X \ (fst \ gh) \leq \ deg \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (erH \ R \ S \ X \ R'$
 $(S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ (fst \ gh));$
 $deg \ R \ S \ X \ (snd \ gh) + \ deg \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (erH \ R \ S \ X \ R'$
 $(S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ (fst \ gh)) \leq \ deg \ R \ S \ X \ f$;
 $0 < \ deg \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y$
 $(pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ (fst \ gh));$
 $0 < \ deg \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y$
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ (snd \ gh));$
rel-prime-pols $R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y$
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ (fst \ gh))$
 $(erH \ R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S \ /_r \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ Y \ (pj \ S \ (S \diamond_p \ t)) \ (snd \ gh));$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) \ (f \pm -_a \ ((fst \ gh) \cdot_r \ (snd \ gh))); \ 0 < m \implies$

$\exists gh1. gh1 \in carrier R \times carrier R \wedge$
 $(deg R S X (fst gh1) \leq deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh1))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) ((fst gh) \pm -_a (fst gh1)) \wedge$
 $(deg R S X (snd gh1) + deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh1)) \leq$
 $deg R S X f) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) ((snd gh) \pm -_a (snd gh1)) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (Suc m))) (f \pm (-_a ((fst gh1) \cdot_r (snd gh1))))$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-diffxxx4:[[Idomain S; t ∈ carrier S; t ≠ 0_S;
 maximal-ideal S (S ◊_p t); PolynRg R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y; f ∈ carrier R;
 gh ∈ carrier R × carrier R;
 deg R S X (fst gh) ≤ deg R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y
 (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◊_p t)) (fst gh));
 deg R S X (snd gh) + deg R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y (erH R S X R'
 (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◊_p t)) (fst gh)) ≤ deg R S X f;
 0 < deg R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y
 (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◊_p t)) (fst gh));
 0 < deg R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y
 (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◊_p t)) (snd gh));
 rel-prime-pols R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y
 (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◊_p t)) (fst gh))
 (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◊_p t)) (snd gh));
 P-mod R S X (S ◊_p (t^{∧S} m)) (f ± -_a ((fst gh) ·_r (snd gh))); 0 < m]] ⇒
 (Hen_R S X t R' Y f m gh) ∈ carrier R × carrier R ∧ (deg R S X
 (fst (Hen_R S X t R' Y f m gh)) ≤ deg R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y
 (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◊_p t))
 (fst (Hen_R S X t R' Y f m gh)))) ∧
 P-mod R S X (S ◊_p (t^{∧S} m)) ((fst gh) ± -_a (fst (Hen_R S X t R' Y f m gh))) ∧
 (deg R S X (snd (Hen_R S X t R' Y f m gh)) + deg R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y
 (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S ◊_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◊_p t))
 (fst (Hen_R S X t R' Y f m gh))) ≤ deg R S X f) ∧
 P-mod R S X (S ◊_p (t^{∧S} m)) ((snd gh) ± -_a (snd (Hen_R S X t R' Y f m gh)))
 ∧
 P-mod R S X (S ◊_p (t^{∧S} (Suc m))) (f ± (-_a ((fst (Hen_R S X t R' Y f m gh))
 ·_r
 (snd (Hen_R S X t R' Y f m gh))))))
 <proof>

primrec

Hensel-pair :: (('a, 'b) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'c) Ring-scheme, 'a, 'a,
 ('a set, 'm) Ring-scheme, 'a set, 'a, 'a, 'a, nat] ⇒ ('a × 'a)

((10Hpr -----) [67,67,67,67,67,67,67,67,67,68]67)

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hpr-0: } Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} 0 = (g, h) \\ | \text{Hpr-Suc: } & Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} (Suc m) = \\ & Hen_{R S X t R' Y f} (Suc m) (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} m) \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in PolynRg) fst-xxx: $\llbracket t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S; \text{ideal } S (S \diamond_p t);$
 $\forall (n::\text{nat}). (F n) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R;$
 $\forall m. P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (\text{fst } (F m) \pm -_a (\text{fst } (F (Suc m)))) \rrbracket \implies$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (\text{fst } (F 0) \pm -_a (\text{fst } (F n)))$
 (proof)

lemma (in PolynRg) snd-xxx: $\llbracket t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $\text{ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \forall (n::\text{nat}). (F n) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R;$
 $\forall m. P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (\text{snd } (F m) \pm -_a (\text{snd } (F (Suc m)))) \rrbracket \implies$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (\text{snd } (F 0) \pm -_a (\text{snd } (F n)))$
 (proof)

lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-diffxxx5: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $\text{maximal-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y;$
 $f \in \text{carrier } R; (g, h) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{deg } R S X (\text{fst } (g, h)) \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h)));$
 $\text{deg } R S X (\text{snd } (g, h)) + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h))) \leq \text{deg } R S X f;$
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h)));$
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } (g, h)));$
 $\text{rel-prime-pols } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h)))$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } (g, h)));$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} (Suc m)) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{fst } (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} (Suc m))) =$
 $erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h)) \wedge$
 $erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{snd } (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} (Suc m))) =$
 $erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } (g, h)) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R S X (\text{fst } (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} (Suc m))) \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{fst } (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} (Suc m)))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t \wedge^S (Suc m))) ((\text{fst } (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} m)) \pm -_a$
 $(\text{fst } (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} (Suc m)))) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R S X (\text{snd } (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} (Suc m))) + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h}$

$(\text{Suc } m))) \leq \text{deg } R S X f) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (\text{Suc } m))) ((\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h m)) \pm -_a (\text{snd}$
 $(\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m)))) (f \pm -_a ((\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h$
 $(\text{Suc } m))) \cdot_r (\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-diff:xx5-1: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $\text{maximal-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y;$
 $f \in \text{carrier } R; g \in \text{carrier } R; h \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{deg } R S X g \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$
 $\text{deg } R S X h + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq \text{deg } R S X f;$
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$
 $\text{rel-prime-pols } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) =$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h)) \wedge$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) =$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } (g, h)) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R S X (\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) \leq \text{deg } R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (\text{Suc } m))) ((\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h m)) \pm -_a$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R S X (\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) +$
 $\text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \leq \text{deg } R S X f) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (\text{Suc } m))) ((\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h m)) \pm -_a$
 $(\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m)))) (f \pm -_a$
 $((\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) \cdot_r (\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-diffxx5-2: \llbracket Idomain S ; $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$;
maximal-ideal $S (S \diamond_p t)$; PolynRg $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$; $f \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $h \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $\text{deg } R S X g \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$;
 $\text{deg } R S X h + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq \text{deg } R S X f$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h)$;
rel-prime-pols $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h)$;
P-mod $R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h m) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-diffxx5-3: \llbracket Idomain S ; $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$;
maximal-ideal $S (S \diamond_p t)$; PolynRg $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$; $f \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $h \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $\text{deg } R S X g \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$;
 $\text{deg } R S X h + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq \text{deg } R S X f$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h)$;
rel-prime-pols $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h)$;
P-mod $R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \rrbracket \implies$
P-mod $R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) ((fst (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h m)) \pm$
 $-_a (fst (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (m + n)))) \wedge$
P-mod $R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) ((snd (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h m)) \pm$
 $-_a (snd (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (m + n))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-diffxx5-4: \llbracket Idomain S ; $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$;
maximal-ideal $S (S \diamond_p t)$; PolynRg $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$; $f \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $h \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $\text{deg } R S X g \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$;
 $\text{deg } R S X h + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq \text{deg } R S X f$;

```

0 < deg R' (S /_r (S ◇_p t)) Y
  (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S ◇_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◇_p t)) g);
0 < deg R' (S /_r (S ◇_p t)) Y
  (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S ◇_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◇_p t)) h);
rel-prime-pols R' (S /_r (S ◇_p t)) Y
  (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S ◇_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◇_p t)) g)
  (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S ◇_p t)) Y (pj S (S ◇_p t)) h);
P-mod R S X (S ◇_p t) (f ± -_a (g ·_r h))]] ==>
  deg R S X (fst (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h m)) ≤ deg R S X g ∧
  deg R S X (snd (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h m)) ≤ deg R S X f
⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare max.absorb1 [simp del] max.absorb2 [simp del]

```

```

end

```

```

theory Algebra7 imports Algebra6 begin

```


Chapter 5

Modules

5.1 Basic properties of Modules

record ('a, 'b) *Module* = 'a *aGroup* +
sprod :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (**infixl** ·_s 76)

locale *Module* = *aGroup* *M* **for** *M* (**structure**) +
fixes *R* (**structure**)
assumes *sc-Ring*: *Ring* *R*
and *sprod-closed* :
 [[*a* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *m* ∈ *carrier* *M*]] \Rightarrow *a* ·_s *m* ∈ *carrier* *M*
and *sprod-l-distr*:
 [[*a* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *b* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *m* ∈ *carrier* *M*]] \Rightarrow
 (*a* ±_R *b*) ·_s *m* = *a* ·_s *m* ±_M *b* ·_s *m*
and *sprod-r-distr*:
 [[*a* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *m* ∈ *carrier* *M*; *n* ∈ *carrier* *M*]] \Rightarrow
 a ·_s (*m* ±_M *n*) = *a* ·_s *m* ±_M *a* ·_s *n*
and *sprod-assoc*:
 [[*a* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *b* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *m* ∈ *carrier* *M*]] \Rightarrow
 (*a* ·_r *b*) ·_s *m* = *a* ·_s (*b* ·_s *m*)
and *sprod-one*:
 m ∈ *carrier* *M* \Rightarrow (*1*_r *R*) ·_s *m* = *m*

definition

submodule :: [('b, 'm) *Ring-scheme*, ('a, 'b, 'c) *Module-scheme*, 'a *set*] \Rightarrow
 bool **where**
submodule *R* *A* *H* \longleftrightarrow *H* ⊆ *carrier* *A* ∧ *A* +> *H* ∧ (∀ *a*. ∀ *m*.
 (*a* ∈ *carrier* *R* ∧ *m* ∈ *H*) \longrightarrow (*sprod* *A* *a* *m*) ∈ *H*)

definition

mdl :: [('a, 'b, 'm) *Module-scheme*, 'a *set*] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) *Module* **where**
mdl *M* *H* = (| *carrier* = *H*, *pop* = *pop* *M*, *mop* = *mop* *M*, *zero* = *zero* *M*,
 sprod = λ*a*. λ*x*∈*H*. *sprod* *M* *a* *x*)

abbreviation

MODULE (**infixl** *module 58*) **where**
R module M == Module M R

lemma (**in** *Module*) *module-is-ag: aGroup M* \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *module-inc-zero: $\mathbf{0}_M \in \text{carrier } M$*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *submodule-subset: submodule R M H $\implies H \subseteq \text{carrier } M$*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *submodule-asubg: submodule R M H $\implies M +> H$*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *submodule-subset1: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies$*
 $h \in \text{carrier } M$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *submodule-inc-0: submodule R M H \implies*
 $\mathbf{0}_M \in H$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-un: $m \in \text{carrier } M \implies 1_{rR} \cdot_s m = m$*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-mem: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$*
 $a \cdot_s m \in \text{carrier } M$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *submodule-sc-closed: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H;$*
 $a \in \text{carrier } R; h \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot_s h \in H$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-assoc: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R;$*
 $m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies (a \cdot_r b) \cdot_s m = a \cdot_s (b \cdot_s m)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-l-distr: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R;$*
 $m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies (a \pm_R b) \cdot_s m = a \cdot_s m \pm b \cdot_s m$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-r-distr: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; m \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$*
 $a \cdot_s (m \pm n) = a \cdot_s m \pm a \cdot_s n$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-0-m: $m \in \text{carrier } M \implies \mathbf{0}_R \cdot_s m = \mathbf{0}_M$*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma (in *Module*) *sc-a-0*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies a \cdot_s \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *sc-minus-am*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket$
 $\implies -_a (a \cdot_s m) = a \cdot_s (-_a m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *sc-minus-am1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket$
 $\implies -_a (a \cdot_s m) = (-_a R a) \cdot_s m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *submodule-0*: *submodule* $R M \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *submodule-whole*: *submodule* $R M (\text{carrier } M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *submodule-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; h \in H; k \in H \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $h \pm k \in H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *submodule-mOp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; h \in H \rrbracket$
 $\implies -_a h \in H$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

mHom :: $[('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \text{ set}$

where

mHom $R M N = \{f. f \in \text{aHom } M N \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall m \in \text{carrier } M. f (a \cdot_s M m) = a \cdot_s N (f m))\}$

definition

mimg :: $[('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'c] \Rightarrow ('c, 'b) \text{ Module}$

$((\text{4mimg- -, / -}) [88,88,88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$

mimg $R M, N f = \text{mdl } N (f ' (\text{carrier } M))$

definition

mzeromap :: $[('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \textbf{ where}$

mzeromap $M N = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. \mathbf{0}_N)$

lemma (in *Ring*) *mHom-func*: $f \in \text{mHom } R M N \implies f \in \text{carrier } M \rightarrow \text{carrier } N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-test*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{carrier } M \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \wedge$
 $f \in \text{extensional } (\text{carrier } M) \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall m \in \text{carrier } M. \forall n \in \text{carrier } M. f (m \pm_M n) = f m \pm_N (f n)) \wedge \\
& (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall m \in \text{carrier } M. f (a \cdot_s M m) = a \cdot_s N (f m)) \implies \\
& f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket$

$$\implies f m \in \text{carrier } N$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-add*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$

$$n \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies f (m \pm n) = f m \pm_N (f n)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies f \ \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-inv*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M; f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies$

$$f (-_a m) = -_{a_N} (f m)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-lin*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M; f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N;$

$$a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies f (a \cdot_s m) = a \cdot_s N (f m)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mker-inc-zero*:

$$\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{0} \in (\text{ker}_{M,N} f)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-eq-ker*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N; a \in \text{carrier } M;$

$$b \in \text{carrier } M; a \pm (-_a b) \in \text{ker}_{M,N} f \rrbracket \implies f a = f b$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-ker-eq*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N; a \in \text{carrier } M;$

$$b \in \text{carrier } M; f a = f b \rrbracket \implies a \pm (-_a b) \in \text{ker}_{M,N} f$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mker-submodule*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R \ M \ (\text{ker}_{M,N} f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mker-mzeromap*: $R \text{ module } N \implies$

$$\text{ker}_{M,N} (\text{mzeromap } M \ N) = \text{carrier } M$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *mdl-carrier:submodule* $R M H \implies \text{carrier } (\text{mdl } M H) = H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mdl-is-ag:submodule* $R M H \implies \text{aGroup } (\text{mdl } M H)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mdl-is-module:submodule* $R M H \implies R \text{ module } (\text{mdl } M H)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *submodule-of-mdl*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{submodule } R (\text{mdl } M N) H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *img-set-submodule*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R N (f \cdot (\text{carrier } M))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mimg-module*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $R \text{ module } (\text{mimg } R M N f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *surjec-to-mimg*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{surjec}_{M, (\text{mimg } R M N f)} f$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

tOp-mHom :: $[(\text{'b}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'b}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'c}, \text{'b}, \text{'m2}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c})$ **where**
 $\text{tOp-mHom } R M N f g = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. (f x \pm_N (g x)))$

definition

iOp-mHom :: $[(\text{'b}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'b}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'c}, \text{'b}, \text{'m2}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c})$ **where**
 $\text{iOp-mHom } R M N f = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. (-_a N (f x)))$

definition

sprod-mHom :: $[(\text{'b}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'b}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'c}, \text{'b}, \text{'m2}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{'b} \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c})$ **where**
 $\text{sprod-mHom } R M N a f = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. a \cdot_s N (f x))$

definition

HOM :: $[(\text{'b}, \text{'more}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'b}, \text{'more1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'c}, \text{'b}, \text{'more2}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c}, \text{'b}) \text{ Module}$
 $((\exists \text{HOM}_. \text{-/ -}) [90, 90, 91] 90)$ **where**
 $\text{HOM}_R M N = (\text{carrier} = \text{mHom } R M N, \text{pop} = \text{tOp-mHom } R M N,$
 $\text{mop} = \text{iOp-mHom } R M N, \text{zero} = \text{mzeromap } M N, \text{sprod} = \text{sprod-mHom } R M$
 $N)$

lemma (in *Module*) *zero-HOM*: R module $N \implies$
 $mzeromap\ M\ N = \mathbf{0}_{HOM_R\ M\ N}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *tOp-mHom-closed*: $\llbracket R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$; $g \in$
 $mHom\ R\ M\ N \rrbracket$

$\implies tOp\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f\ g \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *iOp-mHom-closed*: $\llbracket R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N \rrbracket$
 $\implies iOp\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-ex-zero*: R module $N \implies mzeromap\ M\ N \in mHom\ R$
 $M\ N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-eq*: $\llbracket R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$; $g \in mHom\ R\ M$
 N ;

$\forall m \in carrier\ M. f\ m = g\ m \implies f = g$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-l-zero*: $\llbracket R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N \rrbracket$
 $\implies tOp\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ (mzeromap\ M\ N)\ f = f$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-l-inv*: $\llbracket R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N \rrbracket$

$\implies tOp\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ (iOp\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f)\ f = mzeromap\ M\ N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-tOp-assoc*: $\llbracket R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$; $g \in$
 $mHom\ R\ M\ N$;

$h \in mHom\ R\ M\ N \rrbracket \implies tOp\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ (tOp\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f\ g)\ h =$
 $tOp\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f\ (tOp\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ g\ h)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-tOp-commute*: $\llbracket R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$;

$g \in mHom\ R\ M\ N \rrbracket \implies tOp\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f\ g = tOp\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ g\ f$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *HOM-is-ag*: R module $N \implies aGroup\ (HOM_R\ M\ N)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *sprod-mHom-closed*: $\llbracket R$ module N ; $a \in carrier\ R$;

$f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N \rrbracket \implies sprod\text{-}mHom\ R\ M\ N\ a\ f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *HOM-is-module*: R module $N \implies R$ module $(HOM_R\ M\ N)$

<proof>

5.2 Injective hom, surjective hom, bijective hom and inverse hom

definition

$inv\text{mfun} :: [('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'c] \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $inv\text{mfun } R \ M \ N \ (f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c) =$
 $(\lambda y \in (\text{carrier } N). \text{ SOME } x. (x \in (\text{carrier } M) \wedge f \ x = y))$

definition

$isomorphic :: [('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $isomorphic \ R \ M \ N \ \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. f \in m\text{Hom } R \ M \ N \wedge \text{bijec}_{M,N} f)$

definition

$mId :: ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ $((mId ./) [89]88)$ **where**
 $mId_M = (\lambda m \in \text{carrier } M. m)$

definition

$mcompose :: [('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'b \Rightarrow 'c, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c$ **where**
 $mcompose \ M \ g \ f = \text{compose } (\text{carrier } M) \ g \ f$

abbreviation

$MISOM ((\cong _ _)) [82,82,83]82$ **where**
 $M \cong_R N == isomorphic \ R \ M \ N$

lemma (in Module) $minjec\text{-}inj: [R \ \text{module } N; injec_{M,N} f] \Longrightarrow$
 $inj\text{-}on \ f \ (\text{carrier } M)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) $inv\text{mfun}\text{-}l\text{-}inv: [R \ \text{module } N; \text{bijec}_{M,N} f; m \in \text{carrier } M] \Longrightarrow$
 $(inv\text{mfun } R \ M \ N \ f) \ (f \ m) = m$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) $inv\text{mfun}\text{-}m\text{Hom}: [R \ \text{module } N; \text{bijec}_{M,N} f; f \in m\text{Hom } R \ M$
 $N] \Longrightarrow$

$inv\text{mfun } R \ M \ N \ f \in m\text{Hom } R \ N \ M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) $inv\text{mfun}\text{-}r\text{-}inv: [R \ \text{module } N; \text{bijec}_{M,N} f; n \in \text{carrier } N] \Longrightarrow$
 $f \ ((inv\text{mfun } R \ M \ N \ f) \ n) = n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) $m\text{Hom}\text{-}compos: [R \ \text{module } L; R \ \text{module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R \ L$
 $M;$

$g \in m\text{Hom } R \ M \ N] \Longrightarrow \text{compos } L \ g \ f \in m\text{Hom } R \ L \ N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) $mcompos\text{-}inj\text{-}inj: [R \ \text{module } L; R \ \text{module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R \ L$

M ;
 $g \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$; $injec_{L,M}\ f$; $injec_{M,N}\ g$] $\implies injec_{L,N}\ (compos\ L\ g\ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $mcompos-surj-surj$: $[[R\ module\ L; R\ module\ N; surjec_{L,M}\ f;$
 $surjec_{M,N}\ g; f \in mHom\ R\ L\ M; g \in mHom\ R\ M\ N]] \implies$
 $surjec_{L,N}\ (compos\ L\ g\ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $mId-mHom$: $mId_M \in mHom\ R\ M\ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $mHom-mId-bijec$: $[[R\ module\ N; f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N; g \in mHom$
 $R\ N\ M;$
 $compose\ (carrier\ M)\ g\ f = mId_M; compose\ (carrier\ N)\ f\ g = mId_N]] \implies$
 $bijec_{M,N}\ f$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition
 $sup-sharp :: [('r, 'n)\ Ring-scheme, ('b, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme,$
 $('c, 'r, 'm2)\ Module-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm)\ Module-scheme, 'b \Rightarrow 'c]$
 $\Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $sup-sharp\ R\ M\ N\ L\ u = (\lambda f \in mHom\ R\ N\ L. compos\ M\ f\ u)$

definition
 $sub-sharp :: [('r, 'n)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm)\ Module-scheme,$
 $('b, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme, ('c, 'r, 'm2)\ Module-scheme, 'b \Rightarrow 'c]$
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c)$ **where**
 $sub-sharp\ R\ L\ M\ N\ u = (\lambda f \in mHom\ R\ L\ M. compos\ L\ u\ f)$

lemma (in *Module*) $sup-sharp-homTr$: $[[R\ module\ N; R\ module\ L; u \in mHom\ R$
 $M\ N;$
 $f \in mHom\ R\ N\ L]] \implies sup-sharp\ R\ M\ N\ L\ u\ f \in mHom\ R\ M\ L$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $sup-sharp-hom$: $[[R\ module\ N; R\ module\ L; u \in mHom\ R\ M$
 $N]] \implies$
 $sup-sharp\ R\ M\ N\ L\ u \in mHom\ R\ (HOM_R\ N\ L)\ (HOM_R\ M\ L)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $sub-sharp-homTr$: $[[R\ module\ N; R\ module\ L; u \in mHom\ R\ M$
 $N;$
 $f \in mHom\ R\ L\ M]] \implies sub-sharp\ R\ L\ M\ N\ u\ f \in mHom\ R\ L\ N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $sub-sharp-hom$: $[[R\ module\ N; R\ module\ L; u \in mHom\ R\ M$
 $N]] \implies$

sub-sharp $R L M N u \in mHom R (HOM_R L M) (HOM_R L N)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mId-bijec*: $bijec_{M,M} (mId_M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *invfun-bijec*: $[[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; bijec_{M,N} f]]$
 \implies
 $bijec_{N,M} (invfun R M N f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *misom-self*: $M \cong_R M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *misom-sym*: $[[R \text{ module } N; M \cong_R N]] \implies N \cong_R M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *misom-trans*: $[[R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } N; L \cong_R M; M \cong_R N]]$
 \implies
 $L \cong_R N$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition
mr-coset :: $[('a, ('a, 'b, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}) \implies 'a \text{ set}] \textbf{ where}$
mr-coset $a M H = a \uplus_M H$

definition
set-mr-cos :: $[('a, 'b, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \implies 'a \text{ set set} \textbf{ where}$
set-mr-cos $M H = \{X. \exists a \in \text{carrier } M. X = a \uplus_M H\}$

definition
mr-cos-sprod :: $[('a, 'b, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \implies$
 $'b \implies 'a \text{ set} \implies 'a \text{ set} \textbf{ where}$
mr-cos-sprod $M H a X = \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists h \in H. z = h \pm_M (a \cdot_s M x)\}$

definition
mr-cospOp :: $[('a, 'b, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \implies$
 $'a \text{ set} \implies 'a \text{ set} \implies 'a \text{ set} \textbf{ where}$
mr-cospOp $M H = (\lambda X. \lambda Y. c\text{-top } (b\text{-ag } M) H X Y)$

definition
mr-cosmOp :: $[('a, 'b, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \implies$
 $'a \text{ set} \implies 'a \text{ set} \textbf{ where}$
mr-cosmOp $M H = (\lambda X. c\text{-iop } (b\text{-ag } M) H X)$

definition
qmodule :: $[('a, 'r, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \implies$
 $('a \text{ set}, 'r) \text{ Module} \textbf{ where}$
qmodule $M H = (\emptyset \text{ carrier} = \text{set-mr-cos } M H, \text{pop} = \text{mr-cospOp } M H,$

$mop = mr-cosmOp\ M\ H, zero = H, sprod = mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H)$

definition

$sub-mr-set-cos :: [('a, 'r, 'more) Module-scheme, 'a\ set, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow$
 $\quad 'a\ set\ set\ \mathbf{where}$
 $sub-mr-set-cos\ M\ H\ N = \{X. \exists n \in N. X = n \uplus_M H\}$

abbreviation

$QMODULE\ (\mathbf{infixl}\ ' /_m\ 200)\ \mathbf{where}$
 $M\ /_m\ H == qmodule\ M\ H$

abbreviation

$SUBMRSET\ ((\exists\ /_s\ ' /_m\ -) [82,82,83]82)\ \mathbf{where}$
 $N\ /_s /_M\ H == sub-mr-set-cos\ M\ H\ N$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ qmodule-carr:submodule\ R\ M\ H \Rightarrow$
 $\quad carrier\ (qmodule\ M\ H) = set-mr-cos\ M\ H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ set-mr-cos-mem: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; m \in carrier\ M] \Rightarrow$
 $\quad m \uplus_M H \in set-mr-cos\ M\ H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ mem-set-mr-cos: [submodule\ R\ M\ N; x \in set-mr-cos\ M\ N]$
 \Rightarrow
 $\quad \exists m \in carrier\ M. x = m \uplus_M N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ m-in-mr-coset: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; m \in carrier\ M] \Rightarrow$
 $\quad m \in m \uplus_M H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ mr-cos-h-stable: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; h \in H] \Rightarrow$
 $\quad H = h \uplus_M H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ mr-cos-h-stable1: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; m \in carrier\ M; h \in H]$
 $\Rightarrow (m \pm h) \uplus_M H = m \uplus_M H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ x-in-mr-coset: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; m \in carrier\ M; x \in m \uplus_M$
 $H]$
 $\Rightarrow \exists h \in H. m \pm h = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ mr-cos-sprodTr: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; a \in carrier\ R;$
 $m \in carrier\ M] \Rightarrow mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ a\ (m \uplus_M H) = (a \cdot_s m) \uplus_M H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-sprod-mem*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $a \in$ carrier R ;
 $X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$ $\rrbracket \implies$ *mr-cos-sprod* $M\ H\ a\ X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-sprod-assoc*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $a \in$ carrier R ;
 $b \in$ carrier R ; $X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$ $\rrbracket \implies$ *mr-cos-sprod* $M\ H\ (a \cdot_r R\ b)\ X =$
mr-cos-sprod $M\ H\ a\ (mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ b\ X)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-sprod-one*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$ \rrbracket
 \implies
mr-cos-sprod $M\ H\ (1_r R)\ X = X$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cospOpTr*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $m \in$ carrier M ; $n \in$ carrier
 M \rrbracket
 \implies *mr-cospOp* $M\ H\ (m \uplus_M H)\ (n \uplus_M H) = (m \pm n) \uplus_M H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma(in *Module*) *mr-cos-sprod-distrib1*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $a \in$ carrier R ;
 $b \in$ carrier R ; $X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$ $\rrbracket \implies$
mr-cos-sprod $M\ H\ (a \pm_R b)\ X =$
mr-cospOp $M\ H\ (mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ a\ X)\ (mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ b\ X)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-sprod-distrib2*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$;
 $a \in$ carrier R ; $X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$; $Y \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$ $\rrbracket \implies$
mr-cos-sprod $M\ H\ a\ (mr-cospOp\ M\ H\ X\ Y) =$
mr-cospOp $M\ H\ (mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ a\ X)\ (mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ a\ Y)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cosmOpTr*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $m \in$ carrier M $\rrbracket \implies$
mr-cosmOp $M\ H\ (m \uplus_M H) = (-_a m) \uplus_M H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-oneTr*:submodule $R\ M\ H \implies H = \mathbf{0} \uplus_M H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-oneTr1*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $m \in$ carrier M $\rrbracket \implies$
mr-cospOp $M\ H\ H\ (m \uplus_M H) = m \uplus_M H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *qmodule-is-ag*:submodule $R\ M\ H \implies aGroup\ (M /_m H)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *qmodule-module*:submodule $R\ M\ H \implies R\ module\ (M /_m H)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

$indmhom :: [(b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'c] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'c$ **where**
 $indmhom R M N f = (\lambda X \in (\text{set-mr-cos } M (\ker_{M,N} f)). f (SOME x. x \in X))$

abbreviation

$INDMHOM ((4^b - -, -) [92,92,92,93]92)$ **where**
 $f^b_{R M,N} == indmhom R M N f$

**lemma (in Module) $indmhom\text{-someTr}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N;$
 $X \in \text{set-mr-cos } M (\ker_{M,N} f)] \Rightarrow f (SOME xa. xa \in X) \in f '(carrier M)$**
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) $indmhom\text{-someTr1}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; m \in$
 $carrier M]$**
 $\Rightarrow f (SOME xa. xa \in (ar\text{-coset } m M (\ker_{M,N} f))) = f m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) $indmhom\text{-someTr2}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N;$
 $submodule R M H; m \in carrier M; H \subseteq \ker_{M,N} f]$**
 $\Rightarrow f (SOME xa. xa \in m \uplus_M H) = f m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) $indmhomTr1::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; m \in carrier$
 $M]$**
 $\Rightarrow (f^b_{R M,N}) (m \uplus_M (\ker_{M,N} f)) = f m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module) $indmhomTr2::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N]$
 $\Rightarrow (f^b_{R M,N}) \in \text{set-mr-cos } M (\ker_{M,N} f) \rightarrow carrier N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module) $indmhom::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N]$
 $\Rightarrow (f^b_{R M,N}) \in mHom R (M /_m (\ker_{M,N} f)) N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module) $indmhom\text{-injec}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N] \Rightarrow$
 $injec_{(M /_m (\ker_{M,N} f)), N} (f^b_{R M,N})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) $indmhom\text{-surjec1}::[R \text{ module } N; surjec_{M,N} f;$
 $f \in mHom R M N]$**
 $\Rightarrow surjec_{(M /_m (\ker_{M,N} f)), N} (f^b_{R M,N})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module) $module\text{-homTr}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N] \Rightarrow$
 $f \in mHom R M (mimg_{R M,N} f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *ker-to-mimg*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\ker_{M, m\text{img } R \ M, N} f = \ker_{M, N} f$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *module-homTr1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(m\text{img } R \ (M /_m (\ker_{M, N} f)), N \ (f^b \ R \ M, N)) = m\text{img } R \ M, N \ f$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *module-Homth-1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $M /_m (\ker_{M, N} f) \cong_R m\text{img } R \ M, N \ f$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

mpj :: [$'a, 'r, 'm$) *Module-scheme*, $'a \text{ set}$] \Rightarrow ($'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$) **where**
 $mpj \ M \ H = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. x \uplus_M H)$

lemma (in *Module*) *elem-mpj*: $\llbracket m \in \text{carrier } M; \text{submodule } R \ M \ H \rrbracket \implies$
 $mpj \ M \ H \ m = m \uplus_M H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mpj-mHom*:*submodule* $R \ M \ H \implies mpj \ M \ H \in m\text{Hom } R \ M$
 $(M /_m H)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mpj-mem*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R \ M \ H; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $mpj \ M \ H \ m \in \text{carrier } (M /_m H)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mpj-surjec*:*submodule* $R \ M \ H \implies$
 $\text{surjec}_{M, (M /_m H)} (mpj \ M \ H)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mpj-0*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R \ M \ H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies$
 $mpj \ M \ H \ h = \mathbf{0}_{(M /_m H)}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mker-of-mpj*:*submodule* $R \ M \ H \implies$
 $\ker_{M, (M /_m H)} (mpj \ M \ H) = H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *indmhom1*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R \ M \ H; R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R \ M$
 $N; H \subseteq \ker_{M, N} f \rrbracket \implies \exists ! g. g \in (m\text{Hom } R \ (M /_m H) \ N) \wedge (\text{compos } M \ g \ (mpj$
 $M \ H)) = f$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

mQmp :: [$'a, 'r, 'm$) *Module-scheme*, $'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}$] \Rightarrow
 $('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$ **where**

$mQmp\ M\ H\ N = (\lambda X \in \text{set-mr-cos}\ M\ H. \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in N. (y \pm_M x = z)\})$

abbreviation

$MQP\ ((\exists Mp\ _ _ _) [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $Mp_{M\ H,N} == mQmp\ M\ H\ N$

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr0$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$; $m \in \text{carrier}\ M$ $\rrbracket \implies mQmp\ M\ H\ N\ (m \uplus_M H) = m \uplus_M N$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr1$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$; $m \in \text{carrier}\ M$; $n \in \text{carrier}\ M$; $m \uplus_M H = n \uplus_M H$ $\rrbracket \implies m \uplus_M N = n \uplus_M N$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr2$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$; $X \in \text{carrier}\ (M /_m H)$ $\rrbracket \implies (mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ X \in \text{carrier}\ (M /_m N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr2-1$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$ $\rrbracket \implies mQmp\ M\ H\ N \in \text{carrier}\ (M /_m H) \rightarrow \text{carrier}\ (M /_m N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr3$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$; $X \in \text{carrier}\ (M /_m H)$; $Y \in \text{carrier}\ (M /_m H)$ $\rrbracket \implies (mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ (mr\ \text{cospOp}\ M\ H\ X\ Y) = mr\ \text{cospOp}\ M\ N\ ((mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ X)\ ((mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ Y)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr4$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$; $a \in N$ $\rrbracket \implies mr\ \text{coset}\ a\ (mdl\ M\ N)\ H = mr\ \text{coset}\ a\ M\ H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmp\ \text{mHom}$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$ $\rrbracket \implies (Mp_{M\ H,N}) \in mHom\ R\ (M /_m H)\ (M /_m N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) $Mp\ \text{surjec}$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$ $\rrbracket \implies \text{surjec}_{(M /_m H),(M /_m N)}\ (Mp_{M\ H,N})$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) kerQmp : \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$ $\rrbracket \implies \text{ker}_{(M /_m H),(M /_m N)}\ (Mp_{M\ H,N}) = \text{carrier}\ ((mdl\ M\ N) /_m H)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *misom2Tr*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$ \rrbracket

\implies

$$(M /_m H) /_m (\text{carrier } ((\text{mdl } M\ N) /_m H)) \cong_R (M /_m N)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *eq-class-of-Submodule*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$;

$$H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies \text{carrier } ((\text{mdl } M\ N) /_m H) = N /_M H$$

<proof>

theorem (in *Module*) *misom2*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$ \rrbracket

\implies

$$(M /_m H) /_m (N /_M H) \cong_R (M /_m N)$$

<proof>

primrec *natm* :: ('a, 'm) *aGroup-scheme* \implies *nat* \implies 'a \implies 'a

where

$$\text{natm-0: } \text{natm } M\ 0\ x = \mathbf{0}_M$$

$$| \text{natm-Suc: } \text{natm } M\ (\text{Suc } n)\ x = (\text{natm } M\ n\ x) \pm_M x$$

definition

$$\text{finitesum-base} :: [(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{Module-scheme}, \text{'b set}, \text{'b} \implies \text{'a set}] \\ \implies \text{'a set} \text{ where}$$

$$\text{finitesum-base } M\ I\ f = \bigcup \{f\ i \mid i. i \in I\}$$

definition

$$\text{finitesum} :: [(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{Module-scheme}, \text{'b set}, \text{'b} \implies \text{'a set}] \\ \implies \text{'a set} \text{ where}$$

$$\text{finitesum } M\ I\ f = \{x. \exists n. \exists g. g \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{finitesum-base } M\ I\ f \\ \wedge x = \text{nsum } M\ g\ n\}$$

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesumbase-sub-carrier*: $f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R\ M\ X\}$

\implies

$$\text{finitesum-base } M\ I\ f \subseteq \text{carrier } M$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-sub-carrier*: $f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R\ M\ X\} \implies$

$$\text{finitesum } M\ I\ f \subseteq \text{carrier } M$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-inc-zero*: $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R\ M\ X\}; I \neq \{\}\rrbracket$

$$\implies \mathbf{0} \in \text{finitesum } M\ I\ f$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-mOp-closed*:

$$\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R\ M\ X\}; I \neq \{\}; a \in \text{finitesum } M\ I\ f \rrbracket \implies$$

$-_a a \in \text{finitesum } M I f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-pOp-closed*:
 $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R M X\}; a \in \text{finitesum } M I f; b \in \text{finitesum } M I f \rrbracket$
 $\implies a \pm b \in \text{finitesum } M I f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-sprodTr*: $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R M X\}; I \neq \{\};$
 $r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies g \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow (\text{finitesum-base } M I f)$
 $\rightarrow r \cdot_s (\text{nsum } M g n) = \text{nsum } M (\lambda x. r \cdot_s (g x)) n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-sprod*: $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R M X\}; I \neq \{\};$
 $r \in \text{carrier } R; g \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow (\text{finitesum-base } M I f) \rrbracket \implies$
 $r \cdot_s (\text{nsum } M g n) = \text{nsum } M (\lambda x. r \cdot_s (g x)) n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-subModule*: $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R M X\}; I \neq \{\}$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{submodule } R M (\text{finitesum } M I f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *sSum-cont-H*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \subseteq H \mp K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *sSum-commute*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \mp K = K \mp H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *Sum-of-SubmodulesTr*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M$
 $K \rrbracket \implies$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \cup K \rightarrow \Sigma_e M g n \in H \mp K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *sSum-two-Submodules*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M$
 $K \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R M (H \mp K)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition
 $\text{iotam} :: [(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{Module-scheme}, \text{'a set}, \text{'a set}] \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'a})$
 $((\exists \text{im} \cdot \text{-}, \text{-}) [\text{82}, \text{82}, \text{83}] \text{82})$ **where**
 $\text{im}_{M H, K} = (\lambda x \in H. (x \pm_M \mathbf{0}_M))$

lemma (in *Module*) *iotam-mHom*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{im}_{M H, K} \in \text{mHom } R (\text{mdl } M H) (\text{mdl } M (H \mp K))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $mhomom3Tr: \llbracket submodule\ R\ M\ H; submodule\ R\ M\ K \rrbracket \implies$
 $submodule\ R\ (mdl\ M\ (H \mp K))\ K$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $mhomom3Tr0: \llbracket submodule\ R\ M\ H; submodule\ R\ M\ K \rrbracket$
 $\implies compos\ (mdl\ M\ H)\ (mpj\ (mdl\ M\ (H \mp K))\ K)\ (\iota m_{M\ H, K})$
 $\in mHom\ R\ (mdl\ M\ H)\ (mdl\ M\ (H \mp K))\ /_m\ K$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $mhomom3Tr1: \llbracket submodule\ R\ M\ H; submodule\ R\ M\ K \rrbracket \implies$
 $surjec\ (mdl\ M\ H), ((mdl\ M\ (H \mp K)) /_m\ K)$
 $(compos\ (mdl\ M\ H)\ (mpj\ (mdl\ M\ (H \mp K))\ K)\ (\iota m_{M\ H, K}))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $mhomom3Tr2: \llbracket submodule\ R\ M\ H; submodule\ R\ M\ K \rrbracket \implies$
 $ker\ (mdl\ M\ H), ((mdl\ M\ (H \mp K)) /_m\ K)$
 $(compos\ (mdl\ M\ H)\ (mpj\ (mdl\ M\ (H \mp K))\ K)\ (\iota m_{M\ H, K})) = H \cap K$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $mhomom-3: \llbracket submodule\ R\ M\ H; submodule\ R\ M\ K \rrbracket \implies$
 $(mdl\ M\ H) /_m\ (H \cap K) \cong_R\ (mdl\ M\ (H \mp K)) /_m\ K$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$l-comb :: [('r, 'm)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme, nat] \Rightarrow$
 $(nat \Rightarrow 'r) \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $l-comb\ R\ M\ n\ s\ m = nsum\ M\ (\lambda j. (s\ j) \cdot_s M\ (m\ j))\ n$

definition

$linear-span :: [('r, 'm)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme, 'r\ set,$
 $'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ **where**
 $linear-span\ R\ M\ A\ H = (if\ H = \{\} then \{\mathbf{0}_M\} else$
 $\{x. \exists n. \exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H.$
 $\exists s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A. x = l-comb\ R\ M\ n\ s\ f\})$

definition

$coefficient :: [('r, 'm)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme,$
 $nat, nat \Rightarrow 'r, nat \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'r$ **where**
 $coefficient\ R\ M\ n\ s\ m\ j = s\ j$

definition

$body :: [('r, 'm)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme, nat, nat \Rightarrow 'r,$
 $nat \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $body\ R\ M\ n\ s\ m\ j = m\ j$

lemma (in *Module*) $l-comb-mem-linear-span: \llbracket ideal\ R\ A; H \subseteq carrier\ M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $l-comb\ R\ M\ n\ s\ f \in linear-span\ R\ M\ A\ H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb-eqTr*: $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} &\rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge \\ f \in \{j. j \leq n\} &\rightarrow H \wedge \\ g \in \{j. j \leq n\} &\rightarrow H \wedge \\ (\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. f j = g j) &\longrightarrow \\ l\text{-comb } R M n s f &= l\text{-comb } R M n s g \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb-eq*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$

$$\begin{aligned} s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} &\rightarrow \text{carrier } R; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H; \\ g \in \{j. j \leq n\} &\rightarrow H; \forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. f j = g j \rrbracket \implies \end{aligned}$$

$$l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M n s g$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-Suc*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$\begin{aligned} s \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} &\rightarrow \text{carrier } R; f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies \\ l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) s f &= l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm s (\text{Suc } n) \cdot_s f (\text{Suc } n) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-jj*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$\begin{aligned} s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} &\rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \\ t \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} &\rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies \\ n\text{sum } M (\lambda j. (\text{jointfun } n s m t) j \cdot_s &(\text{jointfun } n f m g) j) n = \\ n\text{sum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s f j) n \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-jj1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$\begin{aligned} s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} &\rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \\ t \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} &\rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies \\ l\text{-comb } R M n (\text{jointfun } n s m t) &(\text{jointfun } n f m g) = \\ l\text{-comb } R M n s f \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-jf*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$\begin{aligned} s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} &\rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \rightarrow H; \\ t \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} &\rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies \\ n\text{sum } M (\lambda j. (\text{jointfun } n s m t) j \cdot_s &f j) n = \\ n\text{sum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s f j) n \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-jf1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$\begin{aligned} s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} &\rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \rightarrow H; \\ t \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} &\rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies \\ l\text{-comb } R M n (\text{jointfun } n s m t) f &= l\text{-comb } R M n s f \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-fj*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$\begin{aligned}
& s \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \\
& g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \implies \\
& \text{nsum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (\text{jointfun } n f m g) j) n = \\
& \text{nsum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s f j) n
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-fj1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s (\text{jointfun } n f m g) = l\text{-comb } R M n s f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb0-1Tr*: $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_R\} \wedge$
 $m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow l\text{-comb } R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb0-1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_R\}; m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb0-2Tr*: $\text{ideal } R A \implies s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A$
 $\wedge m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_M\} \longrightarrow l\text{-comb } R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb0-2*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A;$
 $m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_M\} \rrbracket \implies l\text{-comb } R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb-memTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall s. \forall m. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow l\text{-comb } R M n s m \in \text{carrier } M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-mem*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s m \in \text{carrier } M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-transpos*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{l. l \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{l. l \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H;$
 $j < \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Sigma_e M (\text{cmp } (\lambda k. s k \cdot_s f k) (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n))) (\text{Suc } n) =$
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. (\text{cmp } s (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n))) k \cdot_s$
 $(\text{cmp } f (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n))) k) (\text{Suc } n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-transpos1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$

$s \in \{l. l \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{l. l \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H; j < \text{Suc } n \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) s f =$
 $l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) (\text{cmp } s (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n))) (\text{cmp } f (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n)))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *sc-linear-span*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; a \in A;$
 $h \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot_s h \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-cont-H*: $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies$
 $H \subseteq \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-inc-0*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\mathbf{0} \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-iOp-closedTr1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -_a R (s x)) \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-gen-mono*: $\llbracket K \subseteq H; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{linear-span } R M A K \subseteq \text{linear-span } R M A H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-add*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H;$
 $t \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } (n + m)) (\text{jointfun } n s m t) (\text{jointfun } n f m g) =$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm l\text{-comb } R M m t g$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-add1Tr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \wedge t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \longrightarrow$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (s x) \pm_R (t x)) f =$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm l\text{-comb } R M n t f$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-add1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (s x) \pm_R (t x)) f =$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm l\text{-comb } R M n t f$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-iOp-closedTr2*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies$
 $-_a (l\text{-comb } R M n s f) =$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -_a R (s x)) f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-iOp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; a \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H \rrbracket \implies \neg_a \ a \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-pOp-closed*:
 $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; a \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H; b \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H \rrbracket$
 $\implies a \pm b \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-scTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; r \in \text{carrier } R; H \neq \{\}\rrbracket \implies s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow r \cdot_s (\text{nsun } M \ (\lambda k. (s \ k) \cdot_s (g \ k)) \ n) =$
 $\text{nsun } M \ (\lambda k. r \cdot_s ((s \ k) \cdot_s (g \ k))) \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-sc1Tr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; r \in \text{carrier } R; H \neq \{\}\rrbracket \implies s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow r \cdot_s (\text{nsun } M \ (\lambda k. (s \ k) \cdot_s (g \ k)) \ n) =$
 $\text{nsun } M \ (\lambda k. (r \cdot_r (s \ k)) \cdot_s (g \ k)) \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-sc*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; r \in \text{carrier } R; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $r \cdot_s (\text{nsun } M \ (\lambda k. (s \ k) \cdot_s (g \ k)) \ n) = \text{nsun } M \ (\lambda k. r \cdot_s ((s \ k) \cdot_s (g \ k))) \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-sc1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; r \in \text{carrier } R; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $r \cdot_s (\text{nsun } M \ (\lambda k. (s \ k) \cdot_s (g \ k)) \ n) = \text{nsun } M \ (\lambda k. (r \cdot_r (s \ k)) \cdot_s (g \ k)) \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-sc-closed*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; r \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H \rrbracket \implies r \cdot_s \ x \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *mem-single-l-spanTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; h \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{h\} \wedge \text{l-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ \{h\}$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists a \in A. \text{l-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = a \cdot_s h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *mem-single-l-span*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; h \in \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{h\};$
 $\text{l-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ \{h\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists a \in A. \text{l-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = a \cdot_s h$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *mem-single-l-span1*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; $h \in$ carrier M ;
 $x \in$ linear-span $R M A \{h\}\rrbracket \implies \exists a \in A. x = a \cdot_s h$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-subModule*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; $H \subseteq$ carrier M $\rrbracket \implies$
 submodule $R M$ (linear-span $R M A H$)
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-mem-submoduleTr*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; submodule $R M N$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow$ carrier $M \wedge$
 $(\forall j \leq n. (s j) \cdot_s (f j) \in N)) \longrightarrow$ l-comb $R M n s f \in N$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-sub-submodule*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; submodule $R M N$; $H \subseteq N$ \rrbracket
 \implies
 linear-span $R M A H \subseteq N$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-sub*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; $H \subseteq$ carrier M $\rrbracket \implies$
 (linear-span $R M A H) \subseteq$ carrier M
 <proof>

definition
smodule-ideal-coeff :: [$'r, 'm$] Ring-scheme, ($'a, 'r, 'm1$) Module-scheme,
 $'r$ set] \Rightarrow $'a$ set **where**
smodule-ideal-coeff $R M A =$ linear-span $R M A$ (carrier M)

abbreviation
SMLIDALCOEFF ((\exists - / \odot -) [$64, 64, 65$] 64) **where**
 $A \odot_R M ==$ smodule-ideal-coeff $R M A$

lemma (in *Module*) *smodule-ideal-coeff-is-Submodule*:ideal $R A \implies$
 submodule $R M$ ($A \odot_R M$)
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mem-smodule-ideal-coeff*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; $x \in A \odot_R M$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists n. \exists s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. \exists g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow$ carrier M .
 $x =$ l-comb $R M n s g$
 <proof>

definition
quotient-of-submodules :: [$'r, 'm$] Ring-scheme, ($'a, 'r, 'm1$) Module-scheme,
 $'a$ set, $'a$ set] \Rightarrow $'r$ set **where**
quotient-of-submodules $R M N P = \{x \mid x. x \in$ carrier $R \wedge$
 (linear-span $R M (R x R x) P) \subseteq N\}$

definition
Annihilator :: [$'r, 'm$] Ring-scheme, ($'a, 'r, 'm1$) Module-scheme]

\Rightarrow 'r set ((Ann- -) [82,83]82) **where**
 $Ann_R M = \text{quotient-of-submodules } R M \{0_M\}$ (carrier M)

abbreviation

$QOFSUBMDS$ ((4- - -) [82,82,82,83]82) **where**
 $N_{R\ddagger M} P == \text{quotient-of-submodules } R M N P$

lemma (in Module) *quotient-of-submodules-inc-0*:

$\llbracket \text{submodule } R M P; \text{submodule } R M Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 0_R \in (P_{R\ddagger M} Q)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *quotient-of-submodules-is-ideal*:

$\llbracket \text{submodule } R M P; \text{submodule } R M Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ideal } R (P_{R\ddagger M} Q)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *Ann-is-ideal:ideal R (Ann_R M)*

<proof>

lemma (in Module) *linmap-im-of-lincombTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; R \text{ module } N;$

$f \in mHom R M N; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow$
 $f (l\text{-comb } R M n s g) = l\text{-comb } R N n s (cmp f g)$

<proof>

lemma (in Module) *linmap-im-lincomb*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M$

$N;$
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $f (l\text{-comb } R M n s g) = l\text{-comb } R N n s (cmp f g)$

<proof>

lemma (in Module) *linmap-im-linspan*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M$

$N;$
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $f (l\text{-comb } R M n s g) \in \text{linear-span } R N A (f ' H)$

<proof>

lemma (in Module) *linmap-im-linspan1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M$

$N;$
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; h \in \text{linear-span } R M A H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $f h \in \text{linear-span } R N A (f ' H)$

<proof>

definition

faithful :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme} \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
faithful $R M \longleftrightarrow Ann_R M = \{0_R\}$

5.3 nsum and Generators

definition

generator :: [(*'r*, *'m*) Ring-scheme, (*'a*, *'r*, *'m1*) Module-scheme,
'a set] ⇒ bool **where**
generator R M H == $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \wedge$
 $\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H = \text{carrier } M$

definition

finite-generator :: [(*'r*, *'m*) Ring-scheme, (*'a*, *'r*, *'m1*) Module-scheme,
'a set] ⇒ bool **where**
finite-generator R M H ↔ $\text{finite } H \wedge \text{generator } R M H$

definition

fGOver :: [(*'a*, *'r*, *'m1*) Module-scheme, (*'r*, *'m*) Ring-scheme] ⇒ bool
where
fGOver M R ↔ $(\exists H. \text{finite-generator } R M H)$

abbreviation

FGENOVER (**infixl** *fgover* 70) **where**
 $M \text{ fgover } R == \text{fGOver } M R$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *h-in-linear-span*: $[[H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; h \in H]] \implies$
 $h \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Module*) *generator-sub-carrier*: $\text{generator } R M H \implies$
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Module*) *lin-span-sub-carrier*: $[[\text{ideal } R A;$
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M]] \implies \text{linear-span } R M A H \subseteq \text{carrier } M$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Module*) *lin-span-coeff-mono*: $[[\text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M]] \implies$
 $\text{linear-span } R M A H \subseteq \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Module*) *l-span-sum-closedTr*: $[[\text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M]] \implies$
 $\forall s. \forall f. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \wedge$

$f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M A H \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{nsum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j))) n \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Module*) *l-span-closed*: $[[\text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M A H]] \implies$
 $\text{l-comb } R M n s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-closed1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-closed2Tr0*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{Ring } R; s \in A;$
 $f \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H \rrbracket \implies s \cdot_s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-closed2Tr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H \longrightarrow$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-closed2*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A ;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-l-span*: $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies$
 $\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) (\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H) =$
 $\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-spanA-l-span*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{linear-span } R M A (\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H) =$
 $\text{linear-span } R M A H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-zero*: $\text{ideal } R A \implies \text{linear-span } R M A \{0\} = \{0\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-closed3*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{generator } R M H;$
 $A \odot_R M = \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies \text{linear-span } R M A H = \text{carrier } M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *generator-generator*: $\llbracket \text{generator } R M H; H1 \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $H \subseteq \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H1 \rrbracket \implies \text{generator } R M H1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *generator-elimTr*:
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \wedge \text{generator } R M (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } 0) n. f i \in$
 $\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (i - \text{Suc } 0)\})) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) \{f 0\} = \text{carrier } M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *generator-generator-elim*:
 $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M; \text{ generator } R M (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\});$
 $(\forall i \in \text{natset } (Suc 0) n. f i \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R)$
 $(f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (i - Suc 0)\})) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) \{f 0\} = \text{carrier } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *surjec-generator*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R M N;$
 $\text{surjec}_{M,N} f; \text{ generator } R M H \rrbracket \implies \text{generator } R N (f \text{ ' } H)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *surjec-finitely-gen*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R M N;$
 $\text{surjec}_{M,N} f; M \text{ fgover } R \rrbracket \implies N \text{ fgover } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.3.1 Sum up coefficients

Symbolic calculation.

lemma (in *Module*) *similar-termTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; a \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall s. \forall f. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \wedge$
 $m \in f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\} \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. \text{nsum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n \pm a \cdot_s m =$
 $\text{nsum } M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (f j)) n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *similar-term1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; a \in A; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M; m \in f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n \pm a \cdot_s m =$
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (f j)) n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *same-togetherTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall s. \forall f. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists t \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0)\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\exists g \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0)\} \rightarrow f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}.$
 $\text{surj-to } g \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0)\} (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) \wedge$
 $\text{nsum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n = \text{nsum } M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k))$
 $(\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *same-together*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists t \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\}) - Suc 0)\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\exists g \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0)\} \rightarrow f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}.$
 $\text{surj-to } g \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0)\} (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) \wedge$

$\Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n =$
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) one-last:[[ideal R A; H ⊆ carrier M;
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} H; j \leq (\text{Suc } n); j \neq (\text{Suc } n)$]] \implies
 $\exists t \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow A. \exists g \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H.$
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. s k \cdot_s (f k)) (\text{Suc } n) = \Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (\text{Suc } n) \wedge$
 $g (\text{Suc } n) = f j \wedge t (\text{Suc } n) = s j \wedge \text{bij-to } g \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) finite-lin-spanTr1:[[ideal R A; z ∈ carrier M]] \implies
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{z\} \wedge t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists s \in \{0::\text{nat}\} \rightarrow A. \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (h j)) n = s 0 \cdot_s z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) single-span:[[ideal R A; z ∈ carrier M;
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{z\}; t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A$]] \implies
 $\exists s \in \{0::\text{nat}\} \rightarrow A. \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (h j)) n = s 0 \cdot_s z$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$\text{coeff-at-}k :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'r) \text{ where}$
 $\text{coeff-at-}k R a k = (\lambda j. \text{if } j = k \text{ then } a \text{ else } (\mathbf{0}_R))$

lemma card-Nset-im: $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \implies$
 $(\text{Suc } 0) \leq \text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) eSum-changeTr1:[[ideal R A;
 $t \in \{k. k \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (n1::\text{nat})\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} \rightarrow A;$
 $g \in \{k. k \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} \rightarrow f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\};$
 $\text{Suc } 0 < \text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}); g x = h (\text{Suc } n); x = \text{Suc } n;$
 $\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 = \text{Suc } (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0)$]]
 \implies
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0) =$
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0) \pm$
 $(t (\text{Suc } (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0)) \cdot_s$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0))))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$\text{zeroi} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'r \text{ where}$
 $\text{zeroi } R = (\lambda j. \mathbf{0}_R)$

lemma zeroi-func:[[Ring R; ideal R A]] $\implies \text{zeroi } R \in \{j. j \leq 0\} \rightarrow A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *prep-arrTr1*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M$;
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n1::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$; $s \in \{j. j \leq n1\} \rightarrow A$;
 $m = \text{l-comb } R M n1 s f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists l \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}. (\exists s \in \{j. j \leq (l::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\exists g \in \{j. j \leq l\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}. m = \text{l-comb } R M l s g \wedge$
 $\text{bij-to } g \{j. j \leq l\} (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}))$
 <proof>

lemma *two-func-imageTr*: \llbracket $h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow B$;
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}$; $h (\text{Suc } n) \notin f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq m\}$ \rrbracket
 $\implies f \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finite-lin-spanTr3-0*: \llbracket *bij-to* $g \{j. j \leq l\} (g \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq l\})$;
 ideal $R A$;
 $\forall na. \forall s \in \{j. j \leq na\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\forall f \in \{j. j \leq na\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}.$
 $\exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. \text{l-comb } R M na s f = \text{l-comb } R M n t h$;
 $h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M$; $s \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow A$;
 $f \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}$;
 $l \leq \text{Suc } n$; $sa \in \{j. j \leq l\} \rightarrow A$; $g \in \{j. j \leq l\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}$;
 $0 < l$; $f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq m\} = g \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq l\}$; $h (\text{Suc } n) = g l$ \rrbracket
 $\implies \exists t \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow A. \text{l-comb } R M l sa g = \text{l-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) t h$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finite-lin-spanTr3*:ideal $R A \implies$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall na. \forall s \in \{j. j \leq (na::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\forall f \in \{j. j \leq na\} \rightarrow (h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}). (\exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\text{l-comb } R M na s f = \text{l-comb } R M n t h))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finite-lin-span*:
 \llbracket ideal $R A$; $h \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M$; $s \in \{j. j \leq (n1::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A$;
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n1\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}$ $\rrbracket \implies \exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\text{l-comb } R M n1 s f = \text{l-comb } R M n t h$
 <proof>

5.3.2 Free generators

definition

free-generator :: $[(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}, \text{'a set}]$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool where}$

free-generator $R M H \iff \text{generator } R M H \wedge$
 $(\forall n. (\forall s f. (s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge$
 $\text{l-comb } R M n s f = \mathbf{0}_M) \longrightarrow s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_R\}))$

lemma (in *Module*) *free-generator-generator*: \llbracket free-generator $R\ M\ H \implies$
generator $R\ M\ H$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *Module*) *free-generator-sub*: \llbracket free-generator $R\ M\ H \implies$
 $H \subseteq$ carrier M

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *Module*) *free-generator-nonzero*: $\llbracket \neg$ (zeroring R);
free-generator $R\ M\ H$; $h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \neq \mathbf{0}$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *Module*) *has-free-generator-nonzeroring*: \llbracket free-generator $R\ M\ H$;
 $\exists p \in$ linear-span $R\ M$ (carrier R) H . $p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies \neg$ zeroring R

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq$ carrier M ; free-generator $R\ M\ H$;
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow$ carrier R ; $m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H$;
inj-on $m\ \{j. j \leq n\}$; l-comb $R\ M\ n\ s\ m = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s\ j = \mathbf{0}_R$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *Module*) *free-gen-coeff-zero*: $\llbracket H \subseteq$ carrier M ; free-generator $R\ M\ H$;
 $h \in H$; $a \in$ carrier R ; $a \cdot_s h = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies a = \mathbf{0}_R$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression2*: $\llbracket H \subseteq$ carrier M ;
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H$; $s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow$ carrier $R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists m\ g\ t. g \in (\{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H) \wedge$
bij-to $g\ \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\}$ ($f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}$) \wedge
 $t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow$ carrier $R \wedge$
l-comb $R\ M\ n\ s\ f =$ l-comb $R\ M\ m\ t\ g$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression3-1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq$ carrier M ;
 $f \in \{l. l \leq (Suc\ n)\} \rightarrow H$; $s \in \{l. l \leq (Suc\ n)\} \rightarrow$ carrier R ;
 $(f\ (Suc\ n)) \notin f \text{ ' } (\{l. l \leq (Suc\ n)\} - \{Suc\ n\}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists g\ m\ t. g \in \{l. l \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge$
inj-on $g\ \{l. l \leq (m::nat)\} \wedge$
 $t \in \{l. l \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow$ carrier $R \wedge$
l-comb $R\ M\ (Suc\ n)\ s\ f =$
l-comb $R\ M\ m\ t\ g \wedge t\ m = s\ (Suc\ n) \wedge g\ m = f\ (Suc\ n)$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression3-2*: $\llbracket H \subseteq$ carrier M ;
 $f \in \{k. k \leq (Suc\ n)\} \rightarrow H$; $s \in \{k. k \leq (Suc\ n)\} \rightarrow$ carrier R ;
 $l \leq (Suc\ n)$; $(f\ l) \notin f \text{ ' } (\{k. k \leq (Suc\ n)\} - \{l\})$; $l \neq Suc\ n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists g\ m\ t. g \in \{l. l \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge$ inj-on $g\ \{l. l \leq (m::nat)\} \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& t \in \{l. l \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge \\
& l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g \wedge \\
& t m = s l \wedge g m = f l
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) unique-expression3:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; f \in \{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H; \\
& s \in \{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; l \leq (\text{Suc } n); \\
& (f l) \notin f' (\{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{l\}) \rrbracket \implies \\
& \exists g m t. g \in \{k. k \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \wedge \\
& \quad \text{inj-on } g \{k. k \leq m\} \wedge \\
& \quad t \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge \\
& \quad l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g \wedge t m = s l \wedge g m = f l
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) unique-expression4:free-generator R M H \implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \in \{k. k \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } f \{k. k \leq n\} \wedge \\
& s \in \{k. k \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge l\text{-comb } R M n s f \neq \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow \\
& (\exists m g t. (g \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow H) \wedge \text{inj-on } g \{k. k \leq m\} \wedge \\
& \quad (g' \{k. k \leq m\} \subseteq f' \{k. k \leq n\}) \wedge (t \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall l \in \{k. k \leq m\}. t l \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \wedge l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g)
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) unique-prepression5-0:free-generator R M H;

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\}; \\
& s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow H; \\
& \text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq m\}; t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
& l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g; \forall j \leq n. s j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \forall k \leq m. t k \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \\
& f n \notin g' \{j. j \leq m\}; 0 < n \implies \text{False}
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) unique-expression5:free-generator R M H;

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\}; \\
& s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \\
& \text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq m\}; t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
& l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g; \\
& \forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. t k \neq \mathbf{0}_R \implies \\
& f' \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq g' \{j. j \leq m\}
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) unique-expression6:free-generator R M H;

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\}; \\
& s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
& g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq m\}; \\
& t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
& l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g; \\
& \forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. t k \neq \mathbf{0}_R \implies
\end{aligned}$$

$f \text{ ‘ } \{j. j \leq n\} = g \text{ ‘ } \{j. j \leq m\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression7-1*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H;$ *inj-on* $f \ \{j. j \leq n\};$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H;$ *inj-on* $g \ \{j. j \leq m\};$
 $t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g;$
 $\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R \rrbracket \implies n = m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression7-2*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H;$ *inj-on* $f \ \{j. j \leq n\};$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$ $t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ t \ f \rrbracket \implies (\forall l \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s \ l = t \ l)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

theory *Algebra8* imports *Algebra7* begin

5.4 nsum and Generators (continued)

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression-last*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H;$ $s \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H;$ $t \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ t \ g;$
inj-on $f \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\};$ *inj-on* $g \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\};$
 $f \ (\text{Suc } n) = g \ (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies s \ (\text{Suc } n) = t \ (\text{Suc } n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-exprTr7p1*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $\forall f \ s \ g \ t \ m.$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } f \ \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } g \ \{j. j \leq m\} \wedge t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g \wedge$
 $(\forall j \leq n. s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \wedge (\forall k \leq m. t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \longrightarrow$
 $n = m \wedge$
 $(\exists h. h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge$
 $(\forall l \leq n. \text{cmp } f \ h \ l = g \ l \wedge \text{cmp } s \ h \ l = t \ l));$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H;$ $s \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H;$ $t \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ t \ g;$ $\forall j \leq \text{Suc } n. s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R;$
 $\forall k \leq \text{Suc } n. t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R;$ *inj-on* $f \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\};$ *inj-on* $g \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\};$
 $f \ (\text{Suc } n) = g \ (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies \exists h. h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \wedge$
 $(\forall l \leq \text{Suc } n. \text{cmp } f \ h \ l = g \ l \wedge \text{cmp } s \ h \ l = t \ l)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) unique-expression7:free-generator $R M H \implies$
 $\forall f s g t m. f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq m\} \wedge$
 $t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge \text{l-comb } R M n s f = \text{l-comb } R M m t g \wedge$
 $(\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s j \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \wedge (\forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. t k \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \longrightarrow n = m \wedge$
 $(\exists h. h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge (\forall l \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (\text{cmp } f h) l = g l$
 $\wedge (\text{cmp } s h) l = t l))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) gen-mHom-eq: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ generator } R M H; f \in m\text{Hom } R M N;$
 $g \in m\text{Hom } R M N; \forall h \in H. f h = g h \rrbracket \implies f = g$
 ⟨proof⟩

5.5 Existence of homomorphism

definition

$fgs :: [(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}, \text{'a set}] \Rightarrow$
 'a set **where**

$fgs R M A = \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) A$

definition

$fsp :: [(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m2}) \text{ Module-scheme}, \text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}, \text{'a set}, \text{'a set}, \text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $fsp R M N f H A g \longleftrightarrow g \in m\text{Hom } R (mdl M (fgs R M A)) N \wedge (\forall z \in A. f z =$
 $g z) \wedge A \subseteq H$

definition

$fsps :: [(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m2}) \text{ Module-scheme}, \text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}, \text{'a set}] \Rightarrow$
 $((\text{'a set}) * (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b})) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $fsps R M N f H = \{Z. \exists A g. Z = (A, g) \wedge fsp R M N f H A g\}$

definition

$od\text{-fm}\text{-fun} :: [(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m2}) \text{ Module-scheme}, \text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}, \text{'a set}] \Rightarrow$
 $((\text{'a set}) * (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b})) \text{ Order}$ **where**
 $od\text{-fm}\text{-fun } R M N f H = (\text{carrier} = fsps R M N f H,$
 $rel = \{Y. Y \in (fsps R M N f H) \times (fsps R M N f H) \wedge$
 $(fst (fst Y)) \subseteq (fst (snd Y))\} \text{ })$

lemma (in Module) od-fm-fun-carrier:carrier $(od\text{-fm}\text{-fun } R M N f H) =$
 $fsps R M N f H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $fgs\text{-}submodule: a \subseteq carrier\ M \implies$
 $submodule\ R\ M\ (fgs\ R\ M\ a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $fgs\text{-}sub\text{-}carrier: a \subseteq carrier\ M \implies (fgs\ R\ M\ a) \subseteq carrier\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $elem\text{-}fgs: [a \subseteq carrier\ M; x \in a] \implies x \in fgs\ R\ M\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $fst\text{-}chain\text{-}subset: [R\ module\ N; free\text{-}generator\ R\ M\ H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier\ N; C \subseteq fsps\ R\ M\ N\ f\ H; (a, b) \in C] \implies a \subseteq carrier\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $empty\text{-}fsp: [R\ module\ N; free\text{-}generator\ R\ M\ H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier\ N] \implies (\{\}, (\lambda x \in \{\mathbf{0}_M\}. \mathbf{0}_N)) \in fsps\ R\ M\ N\ f\ H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $mem\text{-}fgs\text{-}l\text{-}comb: [K \neq \{\}; K \subseteq carrier\ M; x \in fgs\ R\ M\ K]$
 \implies

$\exists (n::nat).$
 $\exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow K. \exists s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier\ R.$
 $x = l\text{-}comb\ R\ M\ n\ s\ f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PairE-lemma*: $\exists x\ y. p = (x, y)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $fsps\text{-}chain\text{-}boundTr1: [R\ module\ N; free\text{-}generator\ R\ M\ H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier\ N; C \subseteq fsps\ R\ M\ N\ f\ H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. fst\ a \subseteq fst\ b \vee fst\ b \subseteq fst\ a; \forall a\ b. (a, b) \in C \implies$
 $(a, b) \in fsps\ R\ M\ N\ f\ H; \exists x. (\exists b. (x, b) \in C) \wedge x \neq \{\}] \implies$
 $fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}$
 $\implies (\exists (c, d) \in C. fa\ ' \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $fsps\text{-}chain\text{-}boundTr1\text{-}1: [R\ module\ N; free\text{-}generator\ R\ M\ H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier\ N; C \subseteq fsps\ R\ M\ N\ f\ H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. fst\ a \subseteq fst\ b \vee fst\ b \subseteq fst\ a;$
 $\exists x. (\exists b. (x, b) \in C) \wedge x \neq \{\};$
 $fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}] \implies$
 $\exists (c, d) \in C. fa\ ' \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $fsps\text{-}chain\text{-}boundTr1\text{-}2: [R\ module\ N; free\text{-}generator\ R\ M\ H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier\ N; C \subseteq fsps\ R\ M\ N\ f\ H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. fst\ a \subseteq fst\ b \vee fst\ b \subseteq fst\ a;$
 $\exists x. (\exists b. (x, b) \in C) \wedge x \neq \{\};$

$fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\} \implies$
 $\exists P \in C. fa \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq fst P$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) eSum-in-SubmoduleTr: $\llbracket H \subseteq carrier M; K \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow K \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R \rightarrow$
 $l\text{-comb } R \text{ (mdl } M \text{ (fgs } R \text{ } M \text{ } K)) \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } f = l\text{-comb } R \text{ } M \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) eSum-in-Submodule: $\llbracket H \subseteq carrier M; K \subseteq H;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow K; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R \text{ (mdl } M \text{ (fgs } R \text{ } M \text{ } K)) \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } f = l\text{-comb } R \text{ } M \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) fgs-generator: $H \subseteq carrier M \implies$
 $generator R \text{ (mdl } M \text{ (fgs } R \text{ } M \text{ } H)) \text{ } H$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) fgs-mono: $\llbracket free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H; J \subseteq K; K \subseteq H \rrbracket$
 $\implies fgs R \text{ } M \text{ } J \subseteq fgs R \text{ } M \text{ } K$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) empty-fgs: $fgs R \text{ } M \text{ } \{\} = \{0\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) mem-fsps-snd-mHom: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; (a, b) \in fsps R \text{ } M \text{ } N \text{ } f \text{ } H \rrbracket \implies$
 $b \in mHom R \text{ (mdl } M \text{ (fgs } R \text{ } M \text{ } a)) \text{ } N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) mem-fsps-fst-sub: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; (a, b) \in fsps R \text{ } M \text{ } N \text{ } f \text{ } H \rrbracket \implies a \subseteq H$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) mem-fsps-fst-sub1: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; (a, b) \in fsps R \text{ } M \text{ } N \text{ } f \text{ } H \rrbracket \implies a \subseteq carrier M$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) Order-od-fm-fun: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N \rrbracket \implies Order \text{ (od-fm-fun } R \text{ } M \text{ } N \text{ } f \text{ } H)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) fsps-chain-boundTr2-1: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N;$
 $free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H; f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; C \subseteq fsps R \text{ } M \text{ } N \text{ } f \text{ } H;$
 $(a, b) \in C; (aa, ba) \in C; x \in fgs R \text{ } M \text{ } a; x \in fgs R \text{ } M \text{ } aa; a \subseteq aa \rrbracket$
 $\implies b \text{ } x = ba \text{ } x$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-boundTr2-2*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a; C \neq \{\}; (a, b) \in C;$
 $x \in \text{fgs } R M a; (a1, b1) \in C; x \in \text{fgs } R M a1 \rrbracket \implies b x = b1 x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-boundTr2- \wedge x*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a;$
 $x \in (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})); C \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(THE y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge (\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x)) \in$
 $(\text{carrier } N) \wedge$
 $(\exists a1 b1. (a1, b1) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a1 \wedge$
 $(THE y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge (\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x)) =$
 $b1 x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) *Un-C-submodule*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R M (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) *Un-C-fgs-sub*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\} \subseteq \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) *Chain-3-supset*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a; (a1, b1) \in C; (a2, b2) \in C;$
 $(a3, b3) \in C \rrbracket \implies \exists (g, h) \in C. a1 \subseteq g \wedge a2 \subseteq g \wedge a3 \subseteq g$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-bound1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a; C \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\lambda x \in (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})). (THE y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge$
 $(\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x))) \in$
 $m\text{Hom } R (\text{mdl } M (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}))) N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-bound2*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall y \in (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}). (\lambda x \in (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})).$
 $(THE y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge (\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x))) y =$

$f y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *od-fm-fun-Chain*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{ Algebra2.Chain } (\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\}\rrbracket \implies$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *od-fm-fun-inPr0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{ Algebra2.Chain } (\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\};$
 $\exists b. (y, b) \in C; z \in y \rrbracket \implies z \in \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *od-fm-fun-indPr1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{ Algebra2.Chain } (\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\}\rrbracket \implies$
 $(\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\},$
 $\lambda x \in \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}). \text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge$
 $(\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x)) \in \text{fsps } R M N f H$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *od-fm-fun-indPr2*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{ Algebra2.Chain } (\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\}\rrbracket \implies$
 $ub_{\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H} C (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\},$
 $\lambda x \in \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}). \text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge$
 $(\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x))$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *od-fm-fun-inductive*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies \text{inductive-set } (\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *sSum-eq*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H; H1 \subseteq H;$
 $h \in H - H1 \rrbracket \implies (\text{fgs } R M H1) \mp (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}) = \text{fgs } R M (H1 \cup \{h\})$

⟨proof⟩

definition

monofun :: $[(r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('b, 'r, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$ **where**
 $\text{monofun } R M N f H h = (\lambda x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}.$
 $(\text{THE } y. (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. x = r \cdot_s M h \wedge y = r \cdot_s N (f h))))$

lemma (in *Module*) *fgs-single-span*: $\llbracket h \in \text{carrier } M; x \in (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists a \in \text{carrier } R. x = a \cdot_s h$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *monofun-mHomTr*: $\llbracket h \in H; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } R; r \in \text{carrier } R; a \cdot_s h = r \cdot_s h \rrbracket \implies a = r$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) monofun-mhomTr1: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; h \in H; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{monofun } R M N f H h (a \cdot_s h) = a \cdot_{sN} (f h)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) monofun-mem: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; h \in H; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}; f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{monofun } R M N f H h x \in \text{carrier } N$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) monofun-eq-f: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; h \in H; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies \text{monofun } R M N f H h h = f h$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) sSum-unique: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; H1 \subseteq H;$

$$h \in H - H1; x1 \in (\text{fgs } R M H1); x2 \in (\text{fgs } R M H1); \\ y1 \in (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}); y2 \in (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}); x1 \pm y1 = x2 \pm y2 \rrbracket \implies \\ x1 = x2 \wedge y1 = y2$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) ex-extensionTr: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; H1 \subseteq H; h \in H; h \notin H1; \\ g \in \text{mHom } R (\text{mdl } M (\text{fgs } R M H1)) N; \\ x \in \text{fgs } R M H1 \mp (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}) \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\exists k1 \in \text{fgs } R M H1. \exists k2 \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}. x = k1 \pm k2 \wedge$$

$$(\text{THE } y. \exists h1 \in \text{fgs } R M H1. \exists h2 \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}. x = h1 \pm h2 \wedge y = g h1 \pm_N \\ (\text{monofun } R M N f H h h2)) = g k1 \pm_N (\text{monofun } R M N f H h k2)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) monofun-add: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; h \in H; x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}; y \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\} \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{monofun } R M N f H h (x \pm y) = \\ \text{monofun } R M N f H h x \pm_N (\text{monofun } R M N f H h y)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) monofun-sprod: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; h \in H; x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{monofun } R M N f H h (a \cdot_s x) = a \cdot_{sN} (\text{monofun } R M N f H h x)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) monofun-0: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; h \in H \rrbracket \implies \text{monofun } R M N f H h \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_N$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) ex-extension: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; H1 \subseteq H; h \in H - H1; (H1, g) \in \text{fsps } R M N f H \rrbracket \implies \\ \exists k. ((H1 \cup \{h\}), k) \in \text{fsps } R M N f H$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-mHom*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; g \in mHom R (mdl M (carrier M)) N \rrbracket$

$\implies g \in mHom R M N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *exist-extension-mhom*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$f \in H \rightarrow carrier N \rrbracket \implies \exists g \in mHom R M N. \forall x \in H. g x = f x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

5.6 Nakayama lemma

definition

Lcg :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, nat \rrbracket \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
Lcg $R M j \iff (\exists H. \text{finite-generator } R M H \wedge j = card H)$

lemma (in *Module*) *NAKTr1*: $M \text{ fgover } R \implies$

$\exists H. \text{finite-generator } R M H \wedge (LEAST j.$

$\exists L. \text{finite-generator } R M L \wedge j = card L) = card H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *NAKTr2*: $\llbracket Lcg R M j; k < (LEAST j. Lcg R M j) \rrbracket \implies$

$\neg Lcg R M k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *NAKTr3*: $\llbracket M \text{ fgover } R; H \subseteq carrier M; \text{finite } H;$

$card H < (LEAST j. \exists L. \text{finite-generator } R M L \wedge j = card L) \rrbracket \implies$

$\neg \text{finite-generator } R M H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *finite-gen-over-ideal*: $\llbracket ideal R A; h \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow$

$carrier M; \text{generator } R M (h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}); A \odot_R M = carrier M;$

$m \in carrier M \rrbracket \implies \exists s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. m = l\text{-comb } R M n s h$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *NAKTr4*: $\llbracket ideal R A; h \in \{j. j \leq (k::nat)\} \rightarrow carrier M;$

$0 < k; h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq k\} \subseteq carrier M; s \in \{j. j \leq k\} \rightarrow A;$

$h k = \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (h j)) (k - Suc 0) \pm (s k \cdot_s (h k)) \rrbracket \implies$

$(1_{rR} \pm_R (-a_R (s k))) \cdot_s (h k) = \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (h j)) (k - Suc 0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *NAKTr5*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; ideal R A; A \subseteq J\text{-rad } R;$

$A \odot_R M = carrier M; \text{finite-generator } R M H; card H = Suc k; 0 < k \rrbracket \implies$

$\exists h \in \{j. j \leq k\} \rightarrow carrier M. H = h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq k\} \wedge$

$h k \in \text{linear-span } R M A (h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (k - Suc 0)\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *NAK*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; M \text{ fgover } R; \text{ideal } R A; A \subseteq J\text{-rad } R;$
 $A \odot_R M = \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies \text{carrier } M = \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *fg-qmodule*: $\llbracket M \text{ fgover } R; \text{submodule } R M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(M /_m N) \text{ fgover } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *NAK1*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; M \text{ fgover } R; \text{submodule } R M N;$
 $\text{ideal } R A; A \subseteq J\text{-rad } R; \text{carrier } M = A \odot_R M \mp N \rrbracket \implies \text{carrier } M = N$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

5.7 Direct sum and direct products of modules

definition

prodM-sprod :: $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, i \text{ set},$
 $i \Rightarrow (a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow r \Rightarrow (i \Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow (i \Rightarrow a) \text{ where}$
 $\text{prodM-sprod } R I A = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier } R. \lambda g \in \text{carr-prodag } I A.$
 $(\lambda j \in I. a \cdot_s(A j) (g j)))$

definition

prodM :: $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, i \text{ set}, i \Rightarrow (a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{carrier} :: (i \Rightarrow a) \text{ set},$
 $\text{pop} :: [i \Rightarrow a, i \Rightarrow a] \Rightarrow (i \Rightarrow a),$
 $\text{mop} :: (i \Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow (i \Rightarrow a), \text{zero} :: (i \Rightarrow a),$
 $\text{sprod} :: [r, i \Rightarrow a] \Rightarrow (i \Rightarrow a) \text{) where}$
 $\text{prodM } R I A = (\text{carrier} = \text{carr-prodag } I A,$
 $\text{pop} = \text{prod-pOp } I A, \text{mop} = \text{prod-mOp } I A,$
 $\text{zero} = \text{prod-zero } I A, \text{sprod} = \text{prodM-sprod } R I A \text{)}$

definition

mProject :: $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, i \text{ set},$
 $i \Rightarrow (a, r, more) \text{ Module-scheme}, i] \Rightarrow (i \Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow a \text{ where}$
 $\text{mProject } R I A j = (\lambda f \in \text{carr-prodag } I A. f j)$

abbreviation

PRODMODULES $((\exists m \Pi. -) [72, 72, 73] 72) \text{ where}$
 $m \Pi_R I A == \text{prodM } R I A$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prodM-carr*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{prodM } R I M) = \text{carr-prodag } I M$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *prodM-mem-eq*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i));$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodM } R I M); m2 \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodM } R I M);$
 $\forall i \in I. m1 i = m2 i \rrbracket \implies m1 = m2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prodM-sprod-mem*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i)); a \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $m \in \text{carr-prodag } I M \rrbracket \implies \text{prodM-sprod } R I M a m \in \text{carr-prodag } I M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prodM-sprod-val*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i)); a \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $m \in \text{carr-prodag } I M; j \in I \rrbracket \implies (\text{prodM-sprod } R I M a m) j = a \cdot_s (M j) (m j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prodM-Module*: $\forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i)) \implies$
 $R \text{ module } (\text{prodM } R I M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

dsumM :: [(*r*, *m*) Ring-scheme, *i* set, *i* \Rightarrow (*a*, *r*, *more*) Module-scheme]
 \Rightarrow (\emptyset carrier :: (*i* \Rightarrow *a*) set,
 pop :: [*i* \Rightarrow *a*, *i* \Rightarrow *a*] \Rightarrow (*i* \Rightarrow *a*),
 mop :: (*i* \Rightarrow *a*) \Rightarrow (*i* \Rightarrow *a*),
 zero :: (*i* \Rightarrow *a*),
 sprod :: [*r*, *i* \Rightarrow *a*] \Rightarrow (*i* \Rightarrow *a*)) **where**

dsumM *R I A* = (\emptyset carrier = carr-dsumag *I A*,
 pop = prod-pOp *I A*, mop = prod-mOp *I A*,
 zero = prod-zero *I A*, sprod = prodM-sprod *R I A*)

abbreviation

DSUMMOD ((\exists - Σ_d -) [72,72,73]72) **where**
 $R^{\Sigma_d I} A == \text{dsumM } R I A$

lemma (in Ring) *dsumM-carr*:carrier (*dsumM* *R I M*) = carr-dsumag *I M*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *dsum-sprod-mem*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. R \text{ module } M i; a \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $b \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M \rrbracket \implies \text{prodM-sprod } R I M a b \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *carr-dsum-prod*:carr-dsumag *I M* \subseteq carr-prodag *I M*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *carr-dsum-prod1*:
 $\forall x. x \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M \longrightarrow x \in \text{carr-prodag } I M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *carr-dsumM-mem-eq*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. R \text{ module } M i; x \in \text{carr-dsumag } I$
 $M;$
 $y \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M; \forall j \in I. x j = y j \rrbracket \implies x = y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *dsumM-Module*: $\forall i \in I. R \text{ module } (M i) \implies R \text{ module } (R^{\Sigma_d I} M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$ringModule :: ('r, 'b) Ring\text{-}scheme \Rightarrow ('r, 'r) Module$

$((M\text{-}) [998]999) \textbf{where}$

$M_R = (\text{carrier} = \text{carrier } R, \text{pop} = \text{pop } R, \text{mop} = \text{mop } R,$
 $\text{zero} = \text{zero } R, \text{sprod} = \text{tp } R)$

lemma (in *Ring*) $ringModule\text{-}Module:R \text{ module } M_R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$dsumMhom :: ['i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'r, 'm) Module\text{-}scheme,$

$'i \Rightarrow ('b, 'r, 'm1) Module\text{-}scheme, 'i \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow$
 $('i \Rightarrow 'b) \textbf{where}$

$dsumMhom I A B S = (\lambda f \in \text{carr}\text{-}dsumag I A. (\lambda k \in I. (S k) (f k)))$

lemma (in *Ring*) $dsumMhom\text{-}mem: [\forall i \in I. R \text{ module } M i; \forall i \in I. R \text{ module } N i;$
 $\forall i \in I. S i \in mHom R (M i) (N i); x \in \text{carr}\text{-}dsumag I M]$
 $\implies dsumMhom I M N S x \in \text{carr}\text{-}dsumag I N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $dsumMhom\text{-}mHom: [\forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i));$
 $\forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (N i)); \forall i \in I. ((S i) \in mHom R (M i) (N i))]$ \implies
 $dsumMhom I M N S \in mHom R (dsumM R I M) (dsumM R I N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *Algebra9* **imports** *Algebra8* **begin**

5.8 Exact sequence

definition

$Zm :: [('r, 'm) Ring\text{-}scheme, 'a] \Rightarrow ('a, 'r) Module \textbf{where}$

$Zm R e = (\text{carrier} = \{e\}, \text{pop} = \lambda x \in \{e\}. \lambda y \in \{e\}. e, \text{mop} =$
 $\lambda x \in \{e\}. e, \text{zero} = e, \text{sprod} = \lambda r \in \text{carrier } R. \lambda x \in \{e\}. e)$

lemma (in *Ring*) $Zm\text{-}Module:R \text{ module } (Zm R e)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $Zm\text{-}carrier: \text{carrier } (Zm R e) = \{e\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $Zm\text{-}to\text{-}M\text{-}0: [R \text{ module } M; f \in mHom R (Zm R e) M] \implies$

$$f e = \mathbf{0}_M$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Z-to-M*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; f \in m\text{Hom } R (Zm R e) M;$
 $g \in m\text{Hom } R (Zm R e) M \rrbracket \implies f = g$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *mzeromap-mHom*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $m\text{zeromap } M N \in m\text{Hom } R M N$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *HOM-carrier:carrier* $(\text{HOM}_R M N) = m\text{Hom } R M N$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *mHom-Z-M*: $R \text{ module } M \implies$
 $m\text{Hom } R (Zm R e) M = \{m\text{zeromap } (Zm R e) M\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Module) *Modules-single-carrier-isom*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{carrier } M = \{\mathbf{0}\};$
 $\text{carrier } N = \{\mathbf{0}_N\} \rrbracket \implies M \cong_R N$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Zm-isom*: $(Zm R (e::'a)) \cong_R (Zm R (u::'b))$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *HOM-Z-M-0*: $R \text{ module } M \implies \text{HOM}_R (Zm R e) M \cong_R (Zm R$
 $e)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *M-to-Z*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; f \in m\text{Hom } R M (Zm R e);$
 $g \in m\text{Hom } R M (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies f = g$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *mHom-to-zero*: $R \text{ module } M \implies m\text{Hom } R M (Zm R e) =$
 $\{m\text{zeromap } M (Zm R e)\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *carrier-HOM-M-Z*: $R \text{ module } M \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{HOM}_R M (Zm R e)) = \{m\text{zeromap } M (Zm R e)\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *HOM-M-Z-0*: $R \text{ module } M \implies \text{HOM}_R M (Zm R e) \cong_R (Zm R$
 $e)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *M-to-Z-0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; f \in m\text{Hom } R M (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ker}_{M,(Zm R e)} f = \text{carrier } M$

<proof>

definition

$exact3 :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b,$
 $('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'b \Rightarrow 'c, ('c, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$exact3 \ R \ L0 \ h0 \ L1 \ h1 \ L2 == h0 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L0) = \ker_{(L1),(L2)} \ h1$

definition

$exact4 :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a0, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a0 \Rightarrow 'a1,$
 $('a1, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a1 \Rightarrow 'a2, ('a2, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $'a2 \Rightarrow 'a3, ('a3, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $exact4 \ R \ L0 \ h0 \ L1 \ h1 \ L2 \ h2 \ L3 \ \longleftrightarrow \ h0 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L0) = \ker_{(L1),(L2)} \ h1 \ \wedge$
 $h1 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L1) = \ker_{(L2),(L3)} \ h2$

definition

$exact5 :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a0, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a0 \Rightarrow 'a1,$
 $('a1, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a1 \Rightarrow 'a2, ('a2, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $'a2 \Rightarrow 'a3, ('a3, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a3 \Rightarrow 'a4,$
 $('a4, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $exact5 \ R \ L0 \ h0 \ L1 \ h1 \ L2 \ h2 \ L3 \ h3 \ L4 == h0 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L0) = \ker_{(L1),(L2)} \ h1$
 \wedge
 $h1 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L1) = \ker_{(L2),(L3)} \ h2 \ \wedge \ h2 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L2) = \ker_{(L3),(L4)} \ h3$

definition

$exact8 :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a0, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a0 \Rightarrow 'a1,$
 $('a1, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a1 \Rightarrow 'a2, ('a2, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $'a2 \Rightarrow 'a3, ('a3, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a3 \Rightarrow 'a4,$
 $('a4, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a4 \Rightarrow 'a5, ('a5, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $'a5 \Rightarrow 'a6, ('a6, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a6 \Rightarrow 'a7,$
 $('a7, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $exact8 \ R \ L0 \ h0 \ L1 \ h1 \ L2 \ h2 \ L3 \ h3 \ L4 \ h4 \ L5 \ h5 \ L6 \ h6 \ L7 \ \longleftrightarrow$
 $h0 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L0) = \ker_{(L1),(L2)} \ h1 \ \wedge \ h1 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L1) = \ker_{(L2),(L3)} \ h2 \ \wedge$
 $h2 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L2) = \ker_{(L3),(L4)} \ h3 \ \wedge \ h3 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L3) = \ker_{(L4),(L5)} \ h4 \ \wedge$
 $h4 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L4) = \ker_{(L5),(L6)} \ h5 \ \wedge \ h5 \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L5) = \ker_{(L6),(L7)} \ h6$

lemma (in Ring) exact3-comp-0: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$

$f \in m\text{Hom } R \ L \ M; g \in m\text{Hom } R \ M \ N; exact3 \ R \ L \ f \ M \ g \ N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\text{compos } L \ g \ f = \text{mzeromap } L \ N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) exact-im-sub-kern: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$

$f \in m\text{Hom } R \ L \ M; g \in m\text{Hom } R \ M \ N; exact3 \ R \ L \ f \ M \ g \ N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$f \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L) \subseteq \ker_{M,N} \ g$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) mzero-im-sub-ker: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$

$f \in m\text{Hom } R \ L \ M; g \in m\text{Hom } R \ M \ N; \text{compos } L \ g \ f = \text{mzeromap } L \ N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$f \ ' \ (\text{carrier } L) \subseteq \ker_{M,N} \ g$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *left-exact-injec*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$
 $z \in mHom R (Zm R e) M; f \in mHom R M N; exact3 R (Zm R e) z M f N \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $injec_{M,N} f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *injec-left-exact*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$
 $z \in mHom R (Zm R e) M; f \in mHom R M N; injec_{M,N} f \rrbracket \implies$
 $exact3 R (Zm R e) z M f N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *injec-mHom-image*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2;$
 $x \in mHom R N M2; f \in mHom R M1 M2; x \text{ ' } (carrier N) \subseteq f \text{ ' } (carrier$
 $M1);$
 $injec_{M1,M2} f \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\lambda n \in (carrier N). (SOME m. (m \in carrier M1 \wedge x n = f m))) \in mHom R N$
 $M1 \wedge$
 $compos N f (\lambda n \in (carrier N). (SOME m. m \in carrier M1 \wedge x n = f m)) = x$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *right-exact-surjec*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M$
 $N;$
 $p \in mHom R N (Zm R e); exact3 R M f N p (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies surjec_{M,N} f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *surjec-right-exact*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M$
 $N;$
 $p \in mHom R N (Zm R e); surjec_{M,N} f \rrbracket \implies exact3 R M f N p (Zm R e)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *exact4-exact3*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; z \in mHom R (Zm R$
 $e) M;$
 $f \in mHom R M N; z1 \in mHom R N (Zm R e);$
 $exact4 R (Zm R e) z M f N z1 (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies$
 $exact3 R (Zm R e) z M f N \wedge exact3 R M f N z1 (Zm R e)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *exact4-bijec*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; z \in mHom R (Zm R$
 $e) M;$
 $f \in mHom R M N; z1 \in mHom R N (Zm R e);$
 $exact4 R (Zm R e) z M f N z1 (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies bijec_{M,N} f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *exact-im-sub-ker*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$
 $f \in mHom R L M; g \in mHom R M N; z1 \in mHom R N (Zm R e); R \text{ module}$
 $Z;$

$exact4\ R\ L\ f\ M\ g\ N\ z1\ (Zm\ R\ e); x \in mHom\ R\ M\ Z; compos\ L\ x\ f = mzeromap\ L\ Z]$
 $\implies (\lambda z \in (carrier\ N). x\ (SOME\ y. y \in carrier\ M \wedge g\ y = z)) \in mHom\ R\ N\ Z$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) exact-im-sub-ker1: $[[R\ module\ L; R\ module\ M; R\ module\ N;$
 $f \in mHom\ R\ L\ M; g \in mHom\ R\ M\ N; z1 \in mHom\ R\ N\ (Zm\ R\ e); R\ module$
 $Z;$
 $exact4\ R\ L\ f\ M\ g\ N\ z1\ (Zm\ R\ e); x \in mHom\ R\ M\ Z;$
 $compos\ L\ x\ f = mzeromap\ L\ Z]] \implies$
 $compos\ M\ (\lambda z \in (carrier\ N). x\ (SOME\ y. y \in carrier\ M \wedge g\ y = z))\ g = x$
 <proof>

definition

$module-iota :: [('r, 'm)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme] \implies$
 $'a \implies 'a\ ((m\iota\ -)\ [92, 93]92)\ \mathbf{where}$
 $m\iota_R\ M = (\lambda x \in carrier\ M. x)$

lemma (in Ring) short-exact-sequence: $[[R\ module\ M; submodule\ R\ M\ N;$
 $z \in mHom\ R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ (mdl\ M\ N); z1 \in mHom\ R\ (M\ /_m\ N)\ (Zm\ R\ e)] \implies$
 $exact5\ R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ z\ (mdl\ M\ N)\ (m\iota_R\ (mdl\ M\ N))\ M\ (mpj\ M\ N)\ (M\ /_m\ N)\ z1$
 $(Zm\ R\ e)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) reexact4-lexact4-HOM: $[[R\ module\ M1; R\ module\ M2; R\ module$
 $M3;$
 $f \in mHom\ R\ M1\ M2; g \in mHom\ R\ M2\ M3; z1 \in mHom\ R\ M3\ (Zm\ R\ e);$
 $exact4\ R\ M1\ f\ M2\ g\ M3\ z1\ (Zm\ R\ e)] \implies$
 $\forall N. R\ module\ N \longrightarrow$
 $exact4\ R\ (HOM_R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ N)\ (sup-sharp\ R\ M3\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ N\ z1)\ (HOM_R\ M3$
 $N)$
 $(sup-sharp\ R\ M2\ M3\ N\ g)\ (HOM_R\ M2\ N)\ (sup-sharp\ R\ M1\ M2\ N\ f)\ (HOM_R$
 $M1\ N)$

<proof>

lemma exact-HOM-exactTr: $[[Ring\ (R::('r, 'm1)\ Ring-scheme); f \in mHom\ R\ M1$
 $M2;$
 $g \in mHom\ R\ M2\ M3; z1 \in mHom\ R\ M3\ (Zm\ R\ e); R\ module\ NV;$
 $\forall (N::('a, 'r, 'm)\ Module-scheme). R\ module\ N \longrightarrow$
 $exact4\ R\ (HOM_R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ N)\ (sup-sharp\ R\ M3\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ N\ z1)$
 $(HOM_R\ M3\ N)\ (sup-sharp\ R\ M2\ M3\ N\ g)\ (HOM_R\ M2\ N)\ (sup-sharp\ R\ M1$
 $M2\ N\ f)$
 $(HOM_R\ M1\ N); R\ module\ (L::('a, 'r, 'm)\ Module-scheme)] \implies$
 $exact4\ R\ (HOM_R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ L)\ (sup-sharp\ R\ M3\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ L\ z1)$
 $(HOM_R\ M3\ L)\ (sup-sharp\ R\ M2\ M3\ L\ g)\ (HOM_R\ M2\ L)\ (sup-sharp\ R\ M1\ M2$

$L f$
 $(\text{HOM}_R M1 L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lexact4-reexact4-HOM*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M3;$
 $f \in m\text{Hom } R M1 M2; g \in m\text{Hom } R M2 M3; z \in m\text{Hom } R (Zm R e) M1;$
 $\text{exact4 } R (Zm R e) z M1 f M2 g M3 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall N. R \text{ module } N \longrightarrow \text{exact4 } R (\text{HOM}_R N (Zm R e)) (\text{sub-sharp } R N (Zm R e)$
 $M1 z)$
 $(\text{HOM}_R N M1) (\text{sub-sharp } R N M1 M2 f) (\text{HOM}_R N M2) (\text{sub-sharp } R N M2$
 $M3 g)$
 $(\text{HOM}_R N M3)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.9 Tensor product

definition

prod-carr :: $[('a, 'r, 'm) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('b, 'r, 'm) \text{ Module-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ set } (\mathbf{infixl} \times_c 100) \textbf{ where}$
 $M \times_c N = \text{carrier } M \times \text{carrier } N$

definition

bilinear-map :: $['a * 'b \Rightarrow 'c, ('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme},$
 $('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('c, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool } \textbf{ where}$
bilinear-map $f R M1 M2 N \longleftrightarrow f \in M1 \times_c M2 \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \wedge$
 $f \in \text{extensional } (M1 \times_c M2) \wedge$
 $(\forall x1 \in \text{carrier } M1. \forall x2 \in \text{carrier } M1.$
 $\forall y \in \text{carrier } M2. (f (x1 \pm_{M1} x2, y) = f (x1, y) \pm_N (f (x2, y)))) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } M1. \forall y1 \in \text{carrier } M2.$
 $\forall y2 \in \text{carrier } M2. f (x, y1 \pm_{M2} y2) = f (x, y1) \pm_N (f (x, y2))) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } M1. \forall y \in \text{carrier } M2.$
 $\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. f (r \cdot_{sM1} x, y) = r \cdot_{sN} (f (x, y)) \wedge$
 $f (x, r \cdot_{sM2} y) = r \cdot_{sN} (f (x, y)))$

lemma (**in Ring**) *prod-carr-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$
 $n \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies (m, n) \in M \times_c N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in Ring**) *bilinear-func*:*bilinear-map* $f R M N Z \implies$
 $f \in M \times_c N \rightarrow \text{carrier } Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in Ring**) *bilinear-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$

$m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; \text{bilinear-map } f \text{ } R \text{ } M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N \implies$
 $f(m1, m2) \in \text{carrier } N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-l-add*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m11 \in \text{carrier } M1; m12 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f \text{ } R \text{ } M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f(m11 \pm_{M1} m12, m2) = f(m11, m2) \pm_N (f(m12, m2))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-l-add1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m11 \in \text{carrier } M1; m12 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f \text{ } R \text{ } M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f(m11 \pm_{M1} m12, m2) \pm_N -_aN (f(m11, m2) \pm_N (f(m12, m2))) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-r-add*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m \in \text{carrier } M1; m21 \in \text{carrier } M2; m22 \in \text{carrier } M2;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f \text{ } R \text{ } M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f(m, m21 \pm_{M2} m22) = f(m, m21) \pm_N (f(m, m22))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-r-add1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m \in \text{carrier } M1; m21 \in \text{carrier } M2; m22 \in \text{carrier } M2;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f \text{ } R \text{ } M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f(m, m21 \pm_{M2} m22) \pm_N -_aN (f(m, m21) \pm_N (f(m, m22))) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-l-lin*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f \text{ } R \text{ } M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N \rrbracket \implies f(r \cdot_{sM1} m1, m2) = r \cdot_{sN} (f(m1, m2))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-l-lin1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f \text{ } R \text{ } M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f(r \cdot_{sM1} m1, m2) \pm_N -_aN (r \cdot_{sN} (f(m1, m2))) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-r-lin*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f \text{ } R \text{ } M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N \rrbracket \implies f(m1, r \cdot_{sM2} m2) = r \cdot_{sN} (f(m1, m2))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-r-lin1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f \text{ } R \text{ } M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f(m1, r \cdot_{sM2} m2) \pm_N -_aN (r \cdot_{sN} (f(m1, m2))) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-l-0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; \text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies f (\mathbf{0}_{M1}, m2) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-r-0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; \text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies f (m1, \mathbf{0}_{M2}) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 <proof>

definition

universal-property :: $[(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}, (\text{'c}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $\text{'a} * \text{'b} \Rightarrow \text{'c}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
universal-property (R:: $(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}$) (M:: $(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}$)
(N:: $(\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}$) (MN:: $(\text{'c}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}$)
(f:: $\text{'a} * \text{'b} \Rightarrow \text{'c}$) $\longleftrightarrow (\text{bilinear-map } f R M N MN) \wedge$
 $(\forall (Z :: (\text{'c}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}). \forall (g :: \text{'a} * \text{'b} \Rightarrow \text{'c}). (R \text{ module } Z) \wedge$
 $(\text{bilinear-map } g R M N Z) \longrightarrow ((\exists ! h. (h \in m\text{Hom } R MN Z) \wedge$
 $(\text{compose } (M \times_c N) h f = g))))$

lemma *tensor-prod-uniqueTr*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; R \text{ module } (M :: (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme});$

$R \text{ module } (N :: (\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme});$
 $R \text{ module } (MN :: (\text{'c}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme});$
 $R \text{ module } (MN1 :: (\text{'c}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme});$
universal-property R M N MN f; *universal-property* R M N MN1 g \implies
 $\exists ! k. k \in m\text{Hom } R MN1 MN \wedge \text{compose } (M \times_c N) k g = f$
 <proof>

lemma *tensor-prod-unique*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } (R :: (\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme});$

$R \text{ module } (M :: (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme});$
 $R \text{ module } (N :: (\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme});$
 $R \text{ module } (MN :: (\text{'c}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme});$
 $R \text{ module } (MN1 :: (\text{'c}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme});$
universal-property R M N MN f; *universal-property* R M N MN1 g \implies
 $MN \cong_R MN1$
 <proof>

Chapter 6

Construction of an abelian group

6.1 Free generated abelian group I, direct sum and direct product 2

definition

$bpp :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ where
 $bpp\ f\ a\ b = f\ a\ b$

definition

$ipp :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ ((-/-) [64,65]64) where
 $i - a == i\ a$

definition

$sop :: ['r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'r, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ where
 $sop\ s\ r\ a = s\ r\ a$

abbreviation

$BOP :: ['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$
((3/- +/ -) [62,62,63]62) where
 $a\ f + b == bpp\ f\ a\ b$

abbreviation

$SOP :: ['r, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$
((3/- · -) [68,68,69]68) where
 $r\ s · a == sop\ s\ r\ a$

definition

$minus-set :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ where
 $minus-set\ i\ A = \{x. \exists y \in A. x = i - y\}$

definition

$pm-set :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ where

$$pm\text{-set } i A = A \cup (\text{minus-set } i A)$$

definition

$s\text{-set} :: [(r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $s\text{-set } R s A = \{x. \exists r \in \text{carrier } R. \exists a \in A. x = r \cdot s \cdot a\} \cup A$

primrec $add\text{-set} :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$

where

$add\text{-set-0} : add\text{-set } f A 0 = A$
 $| add\text{-set-Suc}: add\text{-set } f A (\text{Suc } n) =$
 $\{x. \exists s \in (add\text{-set } f A n). \exists t \in A. x = s \cdot_f t\}$

definition

$aug\text{-pm-set} :: ['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $aug\text{-pm-set } z i A = \{z\} \cup A \cup (\text{minus-set } i A)$

definition

$addition\text{-set} :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $addition\text{-set } f A = \bigcup \{add\text{-set } f A n \mid n. (0 :: \text{nat}) \leq n\}$

definition

$assoc\text{-bpp} :: ['a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $assoc\text{-bpp } A f \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall a \in (addition\text{-set } f A). \forall b \in (addition\text{-set } f A). \forall c \in (addition\text{-set } f A). (a \cdot_f b) \cdot_f c = a \cdot_f (b \cdot_f c))$

definition

$commute\text{-bpp} :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $commute\text{-bpp } f A \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in addition\text{-set } f A. \forall y \in addition\text{-set } f A. x \cdot_f y = y \cdot_f x)$

definition

$zeroA :: ['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $zeroA z i f A \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in addition\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i A). z1 \cdot_f x = x)$

definition

$inv\text{-ipp} :: ['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $inv\text{-ipp } z i f A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in addition\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i A). zeroA z i f A ((i - a) \cdot_f a))$

definition

$ipp\text{-cond1} :: ['a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $ipp\text{-cond1 } A i \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. i - (i - x) = x)$

definition

$ipp\text{-cond2} :: ['a, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $ipp\text{-cond2 } z A i f == \forall x \in (addition\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i A)).$
 $\forall y \in (addition\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i A)). i - (x \cdot_f y) = i - y \cdot_f (i - x)$

definition

$ipp\text{-}cond3 :: ['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $ipp\text{-}cond3\ z\ i \longleftrightarrow i - z = z$

lemma $add\text{-}set\text{-}mono: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ n \subseteq add\text{-}set\ f\ B\ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $addition\text{-}inc\text{-}add: add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ n \subseteq addition\text{-}set\ f\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $addition\text{-}inc\text{-}add0: A \subseteq addition\text{-}set\ f\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $addition\text{-}set\text{-}mono: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow addition\text{-}set\ f\ A \subseteq addition\text{-}set\ f\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a\text{-}in\text{-}aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set: a \in A \Longrightarrow a \in aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $A\text{-}sub\text{-}aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set: A \subseteq aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $addition\text{-}sub\text{-}aug\text{-}pm\text{-}addition:$
 $addition\text{-}set\ f\ A \subseteq addition\text{-}set\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $assoc\text{-}bpp\text{-}restrict: [A \subseteq B; assoc\text{-}bpp\ B\ f] \Longrightarrow assoc\text{-}bpp\ A\ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $addition\text{-}assoc: [assoc\text{-}bpp\ A\ f; x \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A;$
 $y \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A; z \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A] \Longrightarrow$
 $(x\ f+ y)\ f+ z = x\ f+ (y\ f+ z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bpp\text{-}closedTr: assoc\text{-}bpp\ A\ f \Longrightarrow$
 $\forall x\ y. x \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ n \wedge y \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ m \longrightarrow$
 $x\ f+ y \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ (n + m + Suc\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bpp\text{-}closed1: [assoc\text{-}bpp\ A\ f; x \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ n; y \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ m] \Longrightarrow$
 $x\ f+ y \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ (n + m + Suc\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bpp\text{-}closed: [assoc\text{-}bpp\ A\ f; x \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A; y \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A]$
 $\Longrightarrow x\ f+ y \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $aug\text{-}addition\text{-}inc\text{-}z: z \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aug-bpp-closed*: \llbracket assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f;
 $x \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 $y \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) $\rrbracket \implies$
 $x_{f+} y \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-commute*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 $x \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 $y \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) $\rrbracket \implies x_{f+} y = y_{f+} x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *addition-set-inc-z*: $z \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-ipp-closed0*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; ipp-cond1 A i; ipp-cond2 z A i f;
 ipp-cond3 z i; $x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) 0 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $i - x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) 0
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-ipp-closedTr*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; ipp-cond1 A i; ipp-cond2 z A i f;
 ipp-cond3 z i $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x. x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) n \longrightarrow
 $i - x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) n
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-ipp-closedTr2*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; ipp-cond1 A i; ipp-cond2 z A i f;
 ipp-cond3 z i; $x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) n $\rrbracket \implies$
 $i - x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) n
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-ipp-closed*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; ipp-cond1 A i; ipp-cond2 z A i f;
 ipp-cond3 z i; $x \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) $\rrbracket \implies$
 $i - x \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-zero-unique*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 $z1 \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A); zeroA z i f A z;
 zeroA z i f A z1 $\rrbracket \implies z = z1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *inv-aug-addition*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; ipp-cond1 A i; ipp-cond2 z A i f;
 ipp-cond3 z i; inv-ipp z i f A; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 zeroA z i f A z $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall a \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A). $(i - a)_{f+} a = z$

<proof>

definition

fag-gen-by :: [*'a set, 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a, 'a ⇒ 'a, 'a*] ⇒ *'a aGroup* **where**
fag-gen-by *A f i z* = ($\text{carrier} = \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } A)$),
pop = $\lambda x \in (\text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } A)).$
 $\lambda y \in (\text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } A)).$ *x* *f* + *y*,
mop = $\lambda x \in (\text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } A)).$ *i* − *x*, *zero* = *z*)

lemma *fag-gen-carrier*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } A);$
assoc-bpp (*aug-pm-set* *z i A*) *f*; *ipp-cond1* *A i*; *ipp-cond2* *z A i f*;
ipp-cond3 *z i*; *inv-ipp* *z i f A*; *commute-bpp* *f (aug-pm-set z i A)*;
zeroA z i f A z $\rrbracket \implies$
carrier (fag-gen-by A f i z) = addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A)

<proof>

lemma *addition-set-sub-fag-gen-carrier*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } A);$
assoc-bpp (*aug-pm-set* *z i A*) *f*; *ipp-cond1* *A i*; *ipp-cond2* *z A i f*;
ipp-cond3 *z i*; *inv-ipp* *z i f A*; *commute-bpp* *f (aug-pm-set z i A)*;
zeroA z i f A z $\rrbracket \implies \text{addition-set } f \text{ } A \subseteq \text{carrier (fag-gen-by } A \text{ } f \text{ } i \text{ } z)$

<proof>

lemma *fag-aGroup*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } A);$
assoc-bpp (*aug-pm-set* *z i A*) *f*; *ipp-cond1* *A i*; *ipp-cond2* *z A i f*;
ipp-cond3 *z i*; *inv-ipp* *z i f A*; *commute-bpp* *f (aug-pm-set z i A)*;
zeroA z i f A z $\rrbracket \implies \text{aGroup (fag-gen-by } A \text{ } f \text{ } i \text{ } z)$

<proof>

6.2 Abelian group generated by a singleton (constructive)

definition

fag-single :: [*'a, 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a, 'a ⇒ 'a, 'a*] ⇒ *'a aGroup* **where**
fag-single *a f i z* = *fag-gen-by* {*a*} *f i z*

lemma *aug-pm-aug-pm-minus*:*ipp-cond1* {*a*} *i* \implies
aug-pm-set *z i* {*a*} = *aug-pm-set* *z i* {*i* − *a*}

<proof>

lemma *ipp-cond1-minus*:*ipp-cond1* {*a*} *i* $\implies \text{ipp-cond1 } \{i - a\} \text{ } i$
<proof>

lemma *ipp-cond2-minus*: $\llbracket \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \text{ } i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} \text{ } i \text{ } f \rrbracket \implies$
ipp-cond2 *z* {*i* − *a*} *i f*

<proof>

lemma *zeroA-minus*: $\llbracket \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \text{ } i; \text{zeroA } z \text{ } i \text{ } f \{a\} \text{ } z1 \rrbracket \implies$

$zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{i - a\}\ z1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inv-ipp-minus*: $\llbracket ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i; inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{i - a\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-additionTr1*: $\llbracket commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f; ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i; ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f;$
 $ipp-cond3\ z\ i; inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}; commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z \rrbracket \implies$

$\forall s. s \in add-set\ f\ \{a\}\ (Suc\ n) \longrightarrow s_{f+}\ i - a \in add-set\ f\ \{a\}\ n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-additionTr2*: $\llbracket commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f; ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i; ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f;$
 $ipp-cond3\ z\ i; inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}; commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z; s \in add-set\ f\ \{a\}\ 0 \rrbracket \implies s_{f+}\ i - a = z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ipp-conditions*: $\llbracket assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f; ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i;$
 $ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f; ipp-cond3\ z\ i; inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\};$
 $commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\}); zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z \rrbracket \implies$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{i - a\})\ f \wedge ipp-cond1\ \{i - a\}\ i \wedge$
 $ipp-cond2\ z\ \{i - a\}\ i\ f \wedge inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{i - a\} \wedge$
 $commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{i - a\}) \wedge zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{i - a\}\ z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-additionTr3*: $\llbracket commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f; ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i; ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f;$
 $ipp-cond3\ z\ i; inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}; commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z; s \in add-set\ f\ \{i - a\}\ n \rrbracket \implies$

$s_{f+}\ i - a \in add-set\ f\ \{i - a\}\ (Suc\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-elemTr*: $\llbracket commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f; ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i; ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f;$
 $ipp-cond3\ z\ i; inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}; commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z \rrbracket \implies$

$\forall x. x \in add-set\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ n \longrightarrow$

$(\exists n1. x \in add-set\ f\ \{a\}\ n1) \vee (\exists m1. x \in add-set\ f\ \{i - a\}\ m1) \vee x = z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-elem*: $\llbracket commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f; ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i; ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f;$
 $ipp-cond3\ z\ i; inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}; commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z; x \in addition-set\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\exists n1. x \in add-set\ f\ \{a\}\ n1) \vee (\exists m1. x \in add-set\ f\ \{i - a\}\ m1) \vee x = z$

<proof>

lemma *add-set-single1Tr*: \llbracket commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
assoc-bpp ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}$ i ; ipp-cond2 z $\{a\}$ i f ;
ipp-cond3 z i ; inv-ipp z i f $\{a\}$; commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
zeroA z i f $\{a\}$ z $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x y. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} n \wedge y \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} n \longrightarrow x = y$

<proof>

lemma *add-set-single-nonempty1*: \llbracket commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
assoc-bpp ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}$ i ; ipp-cond2 z $\{a\}$ i f ;
ipp-cond3 z i ; inv-ipp z i f $\{a\}$; commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
zeroA z i f $\{a\}$ z $\rrbracket \implies \exists x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} n$

<proof>

lemma *add-set-single-nonempty2*: \llbracket commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
assoc-bpp ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}$ i ; ipp-cond2 z $\{a\}$ i f ;
ipp-cond3 z i ; inv-ipp z i f $\{a\}$; commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
zeroA z i f $\{a\}$ z $\rrbracket \implies \exists x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{i - a\} n$

<proof>

lemma *add-set-single1*: \llbracket commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
assoc-bpp ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}$ i ; ipp-cond2 z $\{a\}$ i f ;
ipp-cond3 z i ; inv-ipp z i f $\{a\}$; commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
zeroA z i f $\{a\}$ z ; $x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} n$; $y \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} n$ $\rrbracket \implies x = y$

<proof>

lemma *add-set-single2*: \llbracket commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
assoc-bpp ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}$ i ; ipp-cond2 z $\{a\}$ i f ;
ipp-cond3 z i ; inv-ipp z i f $\{a\}$; commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
zeroA z i f $\{a\}$ z ; $x \in add\text{-}set f \{i - a\} n$; $y \in add\text{-}set f \{i - a\} n$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $x = y$

<proof>

lemma *fag-single-additionTr4*: \llbracket commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
assoc-bpp ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}$ i ; ipp-cond2 z $\{a\}$ i f ;
ipp-cond3 z i ; inv-ipp z i f $\{a\}$; commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
zeroA z i f $\{a\}$ z $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall s t. s \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} n \wedge t \in add\text{-}set f \{i - a\} n \longrightarrow s_f + t = z$

<proof>

lemma *fag-single-additionTr4-1*: \llbracket commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
assoc-bpp ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}$ i ; ipp-cond2 z $\{a\}$ i f ;
ipp-cond3 z i ; inv-ipp z i f $\{a\}$; commute-bpp f ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$);
zeroA z i f $\{a\}$ z ; $s \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} n$; $t \in add\text{-}set f \{i - a\} n$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $s_f + t = z$

<proof>

lemma *fag-single-additionTr5*: \llbracket assoc-bpp ($aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set$ z i $\{a\}$) f ;

$ipp\text{-}cond1 \{a\} i; ipp\text{-}cond2 z \{a\} i f; ipp\text{-}cond3 z i; inv\text{-}ipp z i f \{a\};$
 $commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}); zeroA z i f \{a\} z \implies$
 $\forall m. m < Suc n \longrightarrow (THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} (Suc n))_f +$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{i - a\} m) = (THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} (n - m))$
 <proof>

lemma *fag-single-additionTr5-1*: $\llbracket assoc\text{-}bpp (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}) f;$
 $ipp\text{-}cond1 \{a\} i; ipp\text{-}cond2 z \{a\} i f; ipp\text{-}cond3 z i; inv\text{-}ipp z i f \{a\};$
 $commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}); zeroA z i f \{a\} z; m < Suc n \rrbracket \implies$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} (Suc n))_f + (THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{i - a\} m) =$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} (n - m))$
 <proof>

lemma *fag-single-additionTr5-2*: $\llbracket assoc\text{-}bpp (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}) f;$
 $ipp\text{-}cond1 \{a\} i; ipp\text{-}cond2 z \{a\} i f; ipp\text{-}cond3 z i; inv\text{-}ipp z i f \{a\};$
 $commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}); zeroA z i f \{a\} z; n < Suc m \rrbracket \implies$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{i - a\} (Suc m))_f + (THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} n) =$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{i - a\} (m - n))$
 <proof>

definition

$free\text{-}gen\text{-}condition :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $free\text{-}gen\text{-}condition f i a z \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. z \notin add\text{-}set f \{a\} n)$

definition

$fg\text{-}elem\text{-}single :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $fg\text{-}elem\text{-}single f i a z n = (if 0 = n then z else$
 $(if 0 < n then (THE x. x \in (add\text{-}set f \{a\} (nat (n - 1))))$
 $else (THE x. x \in (add\text{-}set f \{i - a\} (nat (- n - 1)))))$

abbreviation

$FGELEMSNGLE ((5\text{-}\odot \text{-}, \text{-}, \text{-}) [99, 98, 98, 98, 98] 99)$ **where**
 $n \odot a_{f, i, z} == fg\text{-}elem\text{-}single f i a z n$

lemma *single-addition-pm-mem*: $\llbracket assoc\text{-}bpp (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}) f;$
 $ipp\text{-}cond1 \{a\} i; ipp\text{-}cond2 z \{a\} i f; ipp\text{-}cond3 z i; inv\text{-}ipp z i f \{a\};$
 $commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}); zeroA z i f \{a\} z \rrbracket \implies$
 $(n \odot a_{f, i, z}) \in addition\text{-}set f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\})$
 <proof>

lemma *assoc-aug-assoc*: $assoc\text{-}bpp (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}) f \implies assoc\text{-}bpp \{a\} f$
 <proof>

lemma *single-addition-posTr*: $\llbracket commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{(a::'a)\});$
 $assoc\text{-}bpp (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}) f; ipp\text{-}cond1 \{a\} i; ipp\text{-}cond2 z \{a\} i f;$
 $ipp\text{-}cond3 z i; inv\text{-}ipp z i f \{a\}; commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\});$
 $zeroA z i f \{a\} z; 0 < (n::int); 0 < (m::int) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} (nat (n - 1)))_f +$

$$(THE\ x.\ x \in\ add\text{-}set\ f\ \{a\}\ (nat\ (m - 1))) = \\ (THE\ x.\ x \in\ add\text{-}set\ f\ \{a\}\ (nat\ (n + m - 1)))$$

<proof>

lemma *single-addition-pos*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{(a::'a)\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z$; $0 < (n::int)$; $0 < (m::int)\rrbracket \implies$
 $(n \odot a_{f,i,z})\ f + (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

<proof>

lemma *single-addition-neg*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{(a::'a)\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z$; $(n::int) < 0$; $(m::int) < 0\rrbracket \implies$
 $(n \odot a_{f,i,z})\ f + (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

<proof>

lemma *single-addition-zero*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{(a::'a)\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z\rrbracket \implies 0 \odot a_{f,i,z} = z$

<proof>

lemma *s-a-p-1*: \llbracket assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$;
 ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$; ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$;
 commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$); zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z$;
 $m < 0$; $0 < n\rrbracket \implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z})\ f + (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

<proof>

lemma *single-addition-pm*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{(a::'a)\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z\rrbracket \implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z})\ f + (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

<proof>

lemma *single-inv*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{(a::'a)\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z\rrbracket \implies i - (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (-m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

<proof>

lemma *free-ag-single*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z$; free-gen-condition $f\ i\ a\ z$; $n \neq m\rrbracket \implies$
 $(n \odot a_{f,i,z}) \neq (m \odot a_{f,i,z})$

<proof>

definition

$fags\text{-}cond :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a \iff commute\text{-}bpp\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ \{a\}) \wedge$
 $assoc\text{-}bpp\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f \wedge ipp\text{-}cond1\ \{a\}\ i \wedge$
 $ipp\text{-}cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f \wedge ipp\text{-}cond3\ z\ i \wedge inv\text{-}ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\} \wedge$
 $commute\text{-}bpp\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ \{a\}) \wedge zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z \wedge$
 $free\text{-}gen\text{-}condition\ f\ i\ a\ z$

lemma $fag\text{-}single\text{-}free: [fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a; n \neq m] \implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) \neq (m \odot a_{f,i,z})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fag\text{-}single\text{-}free1: [fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a; (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (m \odot a_{f,i,z})] \implies n = m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$fags\text{-}carr :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ **where**
 $fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a = \{x. \exists n. x = n \odot a_{f,i,z}\}$

definition

$fags\text{-}bpp :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $fags\text{-}bpp\ f\ z\ i\ a = (\lambda x \in (fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a). \lambda y \in (fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a).$
 $((THE\ n. x = n \odot a_{f,i,z}) + (THE\ m. y = m \odot a_{f,i,z})) \odot a_{f,i,z})$

definition

$fags\text{-}ipp :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $fags\text{-}ipp\ f\ z\ i\ a = (\lambda x \in (fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a).$
 $(- (THE\ n. x = n \odot a_{f,i,z})) \odot a_{f,i,z})$

lemma $fags\text{-}mem: fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a \implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) \in fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fags\text{-}ippTr: fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a \implies$
 $fags\text{-}ipp\ f\ z\ i\ a\ (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (-\ n) \odot a_{f,i,z}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fags\text{-}bppTr: fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a \implies$
 $fags\text{-}bpp\ f\ z\ i\ a\ (n \odot a_{f,i,z})\ (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$fags :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a\ aGroup$ **where**
 $fags\ f\ z\ i\ a = (\downarrow carrier = fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a,$
 $pop = fags\text{-}bpp\ f\ z\ i\ a,$
 $mop = fags\text{-}ipp\ f\ z\ i\ a, zero = z)$

lemma $fags\text{-}ag: fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a \implies aGroup\ (fags\ f\ z\ i\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.3 Abelian Group generated by one element II (nonconstructive)

definition

$ag\text{-single-gen} :: [('a, 'm) aGroup\text{-scheme}, 'a] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $ag\text{-single-gen } A \ a \ \longleftrightarrow \ aGroup \ A \ \wedge \ carrier \ A = \bigcap \{H. \ asubGroup \ A \ H \ \wedge \ a \in H\}$

primrec $aSum :: [('a, 'm) aGroup\text{-scheme}, nat, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

$aSum\text{-}0: aSum \ A \ 0 \ a = \mathbf{0}_A$
 $| aSum\text{-}Suc: aSum \ A \ (Suc \ n) \ a = aSum \ A \ n \ a \pm_A \ a$

definition

$sprod\text{-}n\text{-}a :: [('a, 'm) aGroup\text{-scheme}, int, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $sprod\text{-}n\text{-}a \ A \ n \ x = (if \ 0 \leq n \ then \ (aSum \ A \ (nat \ n) \ x)$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{else } (aSum \ A \ (nat \ (- \ n)) \ (-_A \ x))$

abbreviation

$SPRODNA \ ((\exists\text{-}\triangleright\text{-}) [95,95,96]95)$ **where**
 $n\triangleright a_A == sprod\text{-}n\text{-}a \ A \ n \ a$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $asum\text{-}mem: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow aSum \ A \ n \ a \in carrier \ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $nt\text{-}mem0: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow n\triangleright a_A \in carrier \ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $nt\text{-}zero0: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow 0\triangleright a_A = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $nt\text{-}1: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow 1\triangleright a_A = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $asumTr: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow$
 $aSum \ A \ (n + m) \ a = aSum \ A \ n \ a \pm (aSum \ A \ m \ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $aSum\text{-}zero: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow aSum \ A \ n \ \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $agsum\text{-}add1p: [a \in carrier \ A; 0 \leq n; 0 \leq m] \Longrightarrow$
 $(n + m)\triangleright a_A = n\triangleright a_A \pm (m\triangleright a_A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $agsum\text{-}add1m: [a \in carrier \ A; n < 0; m < 0] \Longrightarrow$
 $(n + m)\triangleright a_A = n\triangleright a_A \pm (m\triangleright a_A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *agsum-add2Tr*: $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies$
 $\mathbf{0} = \text{aSum } A \ n \ a \pm (\text{aSum } A \ n \ (-_a \ a))$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *agsum-add2p*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\mathbf{0} = n \triangleright a_A \pm ((-n) \triangleright a_A)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *agsum-add2m*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\mathbf{0} = n \triangleright a_A \pm ((-n) \triangleright a_A)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *agsum-add3pm*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; 0 < n; m < 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $(n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm (m \triangleright a_A)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *agsum-add3mp*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; n < 0; 0 < m \rrbracket \implies$
 $(n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm (m \triangleright a_A)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-sum0*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm$
 $(m \triangleright a_A)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-inv0*: $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies -_a (n \triangleright a_A) = (-n) \triangleright a_A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *m-x-asum*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{aSum } A \ m \ (a \pm b) = (\text{aSum } A \ m \ a) \pm (\text{aSum } A \ m \ b)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asum-multTr-pp*: $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies$
 $\text{aSum } A \ m \ (\text{aSum } A \ n \ a) = \text{aSum } A \ (m * n) \ a$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-mult-pp*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; 0 \leq m; 0 \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies m \triangleright (n \triangleright a_A) = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asum-multTr-pm*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; 0 \leq m; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{aSum } A \ (\text{nat } m) \ (\text{aSum } A \ (\text{nat } (-n)) \ (-_a \ a)) =$
 $\text{aSum } A \ (\text{nat } (m * (-n))) \ (-_a \ a)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-mult-pm*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; 0 \leq m; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $m \triangleright (n \triangleright a_A) = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asum-multTr-mp*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; m < 0; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies$

$aSum\ A\ (nat\ (-m))(-_a\ (aSum\ A\ (nat\ n)\ a)) = aSum\ A\ (nat\ ((-m) * n))\ (-_a\ a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $nt-mult-mp: \llbracket a \in carrier\ A; m < 0; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies$

$$m \triangleright (n \triangleright a_A)_A = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $asum-multTr-mm: \llbracket a \in carrier\ A; m < 0; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$

$$aSum\ A\ (nat\ (-m))(-_a\ (aSum\ A\ (nat\ (-n))\ (-_a\ a))) = aSum\ A\ (nat\ ((-m) * (-n)))\ a$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $nt-mult-mm: \llbracket a \in carrier\ A; m < 0; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$

$$m \triangleright (n \triangleright a_A)_A = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $nt-mult-assoc0: a \in carrier\ A \implies m \triangleright n \triangleright a_{AA} = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $single-gen-carrTr: a \in carrier\ A \implies$

$$asubGroup\ A\ \{x. \exists n. x = (n \triangleright a_A)\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $ag-single-inc-a: ag-single-gen\ A\ a \implies a \in carrier\ A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $single-gen: ag-single-gen\ A\ a \implies$

$$carrier\ A = \{g. \exists n. g = (n \triangleright a_A)\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$single-gen-free :: [('a, 'm)\ aGroup-scheme, 'a] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $single-gen-free\ A\ a == \forall n. n \neq 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{0}_A \neq n \triangleright a_A$

definition

$sfg :: [('a, 'm)\ aGroup-scheme, 'a] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $sfg\ A\ a \longleftrightarrow ag-single-gen\ A\ a \wedge single-gen-free\ A\ a$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $single-gen-free-neg: \llbracket sfg\ A\ a; n \triangleright a_A = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies n = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $sfg-G-inc-a: sfg\ A\ a \implies a \in carrier\ A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sfg-agroup: sfg\ A\ a \implies aGroup\ A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *mem-G-nt*: $\llbracket \text{sfg } A \ a; x \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies \exists n. x = n \triangleright a_A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-mem*: $\text{sfg } A \ a \implies n \triangleright a_A \in \text{carrier } A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-zero*: $\text{sfg } A \ a \implies 0 \triangleright a_A = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-sum*: $\text{sfg } A \ a \implies (n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm (m \triangleright a_A)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-inv*: $\text{sfg } A \ a \implies -_a(n \triangleright a_A) = (-n) \triangleright a_A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-mult-assoc*: $\text{sfg } A \ a \implies m \triangleright n \triangleright a_{AA} = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *sfg-free*: $\llbracket \text{sfg } A \ a; n \neq m \rrbracket \implies n \triangleright a_A \neq (m \triangleright a_A)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *sfg-free-inj*: $\llbracket \text{sfg } A \ a; n \triangleright a_A = (m \triangleright a_A) \rrbracket \implies n = m$
<proof>

6.4 Free Generated Modules (constructive)

definition

sop-one: $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
sop-one $R \ s \ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. (1_r R) \ s \cdot x = x)$

definition

sop-assoc :: $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
sop-assoc $R \ s \ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall b \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in A. \\ (a \cdot_r R \ b) \ s \cdot x = a \ s \cdot (b \ s \cdot x))$

definition

sop-inv :: $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \\ \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
sop-inv $R \ s \ i \ A \longleftrightarrow (\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in A. r \ s \cdot (i \ x) = (-_a R \ r) \ s \cdot x)$

definition

sop-distr1 :: $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, \\ 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
sop-distr1 $R \ s \ f \ i \ A \ z \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall b \in \text{carrier } R. \\ \forall x \in (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A). (a \pm_R \ b) \ s \cdot x = (a \ s \cdot x) \ f + (b \ s \cdot x))$

definition

sop-distr2 :: $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, \\ 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{sop-distr2 } R \text{ s f i } A \text{ z} &\longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \\
&\forall x \in \text{addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A)}. \\
&\forall y \in \text{addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A)}. \\
&a \text{ s} \cdot (x \text{ f} + y) = (a \text{ s} \cdot x) \text{ f} + (a \text{ s} \cdot y))
\end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{sop-z} &:: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \textbf{ where} \\
\text{sop-z } R \text{ s z} &\longleftrightarrow (\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. r \text{ s} \cdot z = z)
\end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{fgmodule} &:: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, \\
&'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow ('a, 'r) \text{ Module} \textbf{ where} \\
\text{fgmodule } R \text{ A z i f s} &= \\
&(\text{carrier} = \text{addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A))}, \\
&\text{pop} = \lambda x \in \text{addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A))}. \\
&\quad \lambda y \in \text{addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A))}. x \text{ f} + y, \\
&\text{mop} = \lambda x \in \text{addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A))}. i - x, \\
&\text{zero} = z, \\
&\text{sprod} = \lambda r \in \text{carrier } R. \\
&\quad \lambda x \in \text{addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A))}. r \text{ s} \cdot x \text{)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{lemma fgmodule-carr:carrier (fgmodule } R \text{ A z i f s)} &= \\
&\text{addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A))}
\end{aligned}$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma a-in-s-set: } a \in A \Longrightarrow a \in \text{s-set } R \text{ s } A$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Ring) ra-in-s-set: } [r \in \text{carrier } R; a \in A] \Longrightarrow r \text{ s} \cdot a \in \text{s-set } R \text{ s } A$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma in-aug-pm-set:}$$

$$x \in \text{aug-pm-set z i } A = (x = z \vee x \in A \vee x \in \text{minus-set i } A)$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Ring) in-s-set: } x \in \text{s-set } R \text{ s } A \Longrightarrow (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. \exists a \in A.$$

$$x = r \text{ s} \cdot a) \vee x \in A$$

<proof>

$$\text{lemma (in Ring) sop-closedTr0: } [\text{ipp-cond1 (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ i};$$

$$\text{ipp-cond2 z (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ i f}; \text{ipp-cond3 z i};$$

$$\text{inv-ipp z i f (s-set } R \text{ s } A); \text{zeroA z i f (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ z};$$

$$\text{sop-distr2 } R \text{ s f i (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ z};$$

$$\text{sop-assoc } R \text{ s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set } R \text{ s } A));$$

$$\text{sop-inv } R \text{ s i (s-set } R \text{ s } A);$$

$$\text{sop-one } R \text{ s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)); \text{sop-z } R \text{ s z};$$

$$r \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{aug-pm-set z i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)] \Longrightarrow$$

$$r \text{ s} \cdot x \in \text{aug-pm-set z i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sop-closedTr*: \llbracket *ipp-cond1* (*s-set* *R s A*) *i*;
ipp-cond2 *z* (*s-set* *R s A*) *i f*; *ipp-cond3* *z i*;
inv-ipp *z i f* (*s-set* *R s A*); *zeroA* *z i f* (*s-set* *R s A*) *z*;
sop-distr2 *R s f i* (*s-set* *R s A*) *z*;
sop-assoc *R s* (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*));
sop-inv *R s i* (*s-set* *R s A*);
sop-one *R s* (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*)); *sop-z* *R s z* $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in \text{add-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A)) } n.$
 $r \cdot_s x \in \text{add-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A)) } n$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *sop-closed*: \llbracket *ipp-cond1* (*s-set* *R s A*) *i*;
ipp-cond2 *z* (*s-set* *R s A*) *i f*; *ipp-cond3* *z i*;
inv-ipp *z i f* (*s-set* *R s A*); *zeroA* *z i f* (*s-set* *R s A*) *z*;
sop-distr2 *R s f i* (*s-set* *R s A*) *z*;
sop-assoc *R s* (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*));
sop-inv *R s i* (*s-set* *R s A*);
sop-one *R s* (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*)); *sop-z* *R s z* $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A))}.$
 $r \cdot_s x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A))}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *sop-oneTr*: \llbracket *commute-bpp* *f* (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*));
assoc-bpp (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*)) *f*;
ipp-cond1 (*s-set* *R s A*) *i*; *ipp-cond2* *z* (*s-set* *R s A*) *i f*;
ipp-cond3 *z i*; *inv-ipp* *z i f* (*s-set* *R s A*); *zeroA* *z i f* (*s-set* *R s A*) *z*;
sop-distr2 *R s f i* (*s-set* *R s A*) *z*;
sop-assoc *R s* (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*));
sop-one *R s* (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*)) $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x \in \text{add-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A)) } n. (1_r)_s \cdot x = x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *sop-one*: \llbracket *commute-bpp* *f* (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*));
assoc-bpp (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*)) *f*; *ipp-cond1* (*s-set* *R s A*) *i*;
ipp-cond2 *z* (*s-set* *R s A*) *i f*; *ipp-cond3* *z i*;
inv-ipp *z i f* (*s-set* *R s A*); *zeroA* *z i f* (*s-set* *R s A*) *z*;
sop-distr2 *R s f i* (*s-set* *R s A*) *z*;
sop-assoc *R s* (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*));
sop-one *R s* (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*)) $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A))} . (1_r)_s \cdot x = x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *sop-assocTr*: \llbracket *ipp-cond1* (*s-set* *R s A*) *i*;
ipp-cond2 *z* (*s-set* *R s A*) *i f*; *ipp-cond3* *z i*;
inv-ipp *z i f* (*s-set* *R s A*); *zeroA* *z i f* (*s-set* *R s A*) *z*;
sop-distr2 *R s f i* (*s-set* *R s A*) *z*;
sop-assoc *R s* (*aug-pm-set* *z i* (*s-set* *R s A*));
sop-inv *R s i* (*s-set* *R s A*);
 \rrbracket

$sop-one R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)); sop-z R s z \implies$
 $\forall a \in carrier R. \forall b \in carrier R.$
 $\forall x \in add-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) n.$
 $a \cdot_s (b \cdot_s x) = (a \cdot_r b) \cdot_s x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) $sop-assoc: [ipp-cond1 (s-set R s A) i;$
 $ipp-cond2 z (s-set R s A) i f; ipp-cond3 z i;$
 $inv-ipp z i f (s-set R s A); zeroA z i f (s-set R s A) z;$
 $sop-distr2 R s f i (s-set R s A) z;$
 $sop-assoc R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));$
 $sop-inv R s i (s-set R s A); sop-z R s z;$
 $sop-one R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A))] \implies$
 $\forall a \in carrier R. \forall b \in carrier R.$
 $\forall x \in addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)).$
 $a \cdot_s (b \cdot_s x) = (a \cdot_r b) \cdot_s x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) $s-set-commute: [commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));$
 $x \in addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));$
 $y \in addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A))] \implies$
 $x \cdot_f y = y \cdot_f x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) $add-s-set-inc-add-set:$
 $add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) n \subseteq$
 $add-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) $sop-distr1Tr: [commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));$
 $assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) f; ipp-cond1 (s-set R s A) i;$
 $ipp-cond2 z (s-set R s A) i f; ipp-cond3 z i;$
 $inv-ipp z i f (s-set R s A); zeroA z i f (s-set R s A) z;$
 $sop-distr1 R s f i (s-set R s A) z;$
 $sop-distr2 R s f i (s-set R s A) z;$
 $sop-assoc R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));$
 $sop-inv R s i (s-set R s A);$
 $sop-one R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)); sop-z R s z] \implies$
 $\forall a \in carrier R. \forall b \in carrier R. \forall x \in add-set f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) n.$
 $(a \pm b) \cdot_s x = a \cdot_s x \cdot_f (b \cdot_s x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) $sop-distr1: [commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));$
 $assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) f; ipp-cond1 (s-set R s A) i;$
 $ipp-cond2 z (s-set R s A) i f; ipp-cond3 z i;$
 $inv-ipp z i f (s-set R s A); zeroA z i f (s-set R s A) z;$
 $sop-distr1 R s f i (s-set R s A) z;$
 $sop-distr2 R s f i (s-set R s A) z;$
 $sop-assoc R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{sop-inv } R \text{ s } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ s } A); \\
& \text{sop-one } R \text{ s (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)); \text{sop-z } R \text{ s } z \llbracket \implies \\
& \forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall b \in \text{carrier } R. \\
& \forall x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)). \\
& (a \pm b) \text{ s } \cdot x = a \text{ s } \cdot x \text{ f} + (b \text{ s } \cdot x)
\end{aligned}$$

\langle proof \rangle

definition

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{fgmodule-condition} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, \\
& \quad 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where} \\
& \text{fgmodule-condition } R \text{ f i s } A \text{ z} \longleftrightarrow \\
& \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)) \wedge \\
& \text{assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)) \text{ f} \wedge \\
& \text{ipp-cond1 (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ i} \wedge \text{ipp-cond2 } z \text{ (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ i f} \wedge \\
& \text{ipp-cond3 } z \text{ i} \wedge \text{inv-ipp } z \text{ i f (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \wedge \\
& \text{zeroA } z \text{ i f (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ z} \wedge \text{sop-distr1 } R \text{ s f i (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ z} \wedge \\
& \text{sop-distr2 } R \text{ s f i (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ z} \wedge \\
& \text{sop-assoc } R \text{ s (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)) \wedge \\
& \text{sop-inv } R \text{ s } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \wedge \\
& \text{sop-one } R \text{ s (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)) \wedge \text{sop-z } R \text{ s } z
\end{aligned}$$

lemma (in Ring) $\text{sop-closed1} : \llbracket \text{fgmodule-condition } R \text{ f i s } A \text{ z}; r \in \text{carrier } R; \\ x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)) \rrbracket \implies \\ r \text{ s } \cdot x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A))$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in Ring) $\text{fgmodule-is-module} : \text{fgmodule-condition } R \text{ f i s } A \text{ z} \\ \implies R \text{ module (fgmodule } R \text{ A } z \text{ i f s)}$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in Ring) $\text{a-in-carr-fgmodule} : a \in A \\ \implies a \in \text{carrier (fgmodule } R \text{ A } z \text{ i f s)}$

\langle proof \rangle

6.5 A fgmodule and a free module

lemma (in Ring) $\text{fg-zeroTr} : \llbracket \text{fgmodule-condition } R \text{ f i s } A \text{ z}; a \in A \rrbracket \implies \\ \mathbf{0} \text{ s } \cdot a = z$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in Ring) $\text{fg-genTr0} : \llbracket \text{fgmodule-condition } R \text{ f i s } A \text{ z}; \\ x \in \text{aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \rrbracket \implies \\ x \in \text{linear-span } R \text{ (fgmodule } R \text{ A } z \text{ i f s) (carrier } R) \text{ A}$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in Ring) $\text{fg-genTr} : \text{fgmodule-condition } R \text{ f i s } A \text{ z} \implies \\ \forall x. x \in (\text{add-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)) \text{ n}) \longrightarrow \\ x \in \text{linear-span } R \text{ (fgmodule } R \text{ A } z \text{ i f s) (carrier } R) \text{ A}$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *Ring*) *generator-of-fgm:fgmodule-condition* $R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z\ \implies$
generator $R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *fg-freeTr1*: $\llbracket R\ module\ M; free-generator\ R\ M\ A;$
 $R\ module\ fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s; free-generator\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ A;$
 $g \in mHom\ R\ M\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s); \forall x \in A. g\ x = x \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall fa\ sa. fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge sa \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier\ R \longrightarrow$
 $l-comb\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ n\ sa\ (cmp\ g\ fa) =$
 $l-comb\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ n\ sa\ fa$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *fg-freeTr*: $\llbracket R\ module\ M; free-generator\ R\ M\ A;$
 $R\ module\ fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s;$
 $free-generator\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ A;$
 $g \in mHom\ R\ M\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s); \forall x \in A. g\ x = x;$
 $fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; sa \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier\ R \rrbracket \implies$
 $l-comb\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ n\ sa\ (cmp\ g\ fa) =$
 $l-comb\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ n\ sa\ fa$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *fg-free1*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; fgmodule-condition\ R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z;$
 $free-generator\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ A; R\ module\ M;$
 $free-generator\ R\ M\ A \rrbracket \implies M \cong_R (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *fg-free*: $\llbracket fgmodule-condition\ R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z;$
 $free-generator\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ A; R\ module\ M;$
 $free-generator\ R\ M\ A \rrbracket \implies M \cong_R (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

6.6 Direct sum, again

definition

miota :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme,$
 $('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $miota\ R\ M1\ M = (\lambda x \in carrier\ M1. x)$

definition

m submodule :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme,$
 $('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme \rrbracket \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $m\ submodule\ R\ M\ M1 \longleftrightarrow miota\ R\ M1\ M \in mHom\ R\ M1\ M \wedge$
 $(carrier\ M1) \subseteq (carrier\ M)$

definition

ds2 :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme,$
 $('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme \rrbracket \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned}
ds2\ R\ M\ M1\ M2 &\longleftrightarrow R\ module\ M \wedge msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1 \wedge msubmodule\ R\ M \\
M2 \wedge & \\
&(\forall x \in carrier\ M. \exists m1 \in carrier\ M1. \exists m2 \in carrier\ M2. x = m1 \pm_M m2) \wedge \\
&(carrier\ M1) \cap (carrier\ M2) = \{\mathbf{0}_M\}
\end{aligned}$$

abbreviation

$DS2\ ((4/- \oplus -, -) [92,93,92,92]92)$ **where**
 $M1 \oplus_{R,M} M2 == ds2\ R\ M\ M1\ M2$

lemma (in *Ring*) $ds2\ commute: [R\ module\ M1; R\ module\ M2; R\ module\ M;$
 $M1 \oplus_{R,M} M2] \implies M2 \oplus_{R,M} M1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $msub\ addition: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1;$
 $M1;$
 $x \in carrier\ M1; y \in carrier\ M1] \implies x \pm_{M1} y = x \pm_M y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $msub\ mOp: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1;$
 $x \in carrier\ M1] \implies -_{aM1} x = -_{aM} x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $msub\ sprod: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1;$
 $a \in carrier\ R; x \in carrier\ M1] \implies a \cdot_{sM1} x = a \cdot_{sM} x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $msub\ submodule: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1]$
 $\implies submodule\ R\ M\ (carrier\ M1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $ds2\ unique: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; R\ module\ M2;$
 $ds2\ R\ M\ M1\ M2; m1 \in carrier\ M1; m1' \in carrier\ M1;$
 $m2 \in carrier\ M2; m2' \in carrier\ M2;$
 $m1 \pm_M m2 = m1' \pm_M m2'] \implies m1 = m1' \wedge m2 = m2'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $miota\ injec: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; R\ module\ M2;$
 $ds2\ R\ M\ M1\ M2; msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1] \implies$
 $miota\ R\ M1\ M \in mHom\ R\ M1\ M \wedge injec_{M1,M} (miota\ R\ M1\ M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$mproj1 :: [(r, 'm) Ring\ scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module\ scheme,$
 $('a, 'r, 'm1) Module\ scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module\ scheme] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $mproj1\ R\ M1\ M2\ M = (\lambda x \in carrier\ M. THE\ x1. x1 \in carrier\ M1 \wedge$
 $(x \pm_M (-_{aM} x1)) \in carrier\ M2)$

definition

$mproj2 :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $mproj2 R M1 M2 M = mproj1 R M2 M1 M$

lemma (in Ring) $ds2\text{-components}::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M;$
 $M1 \oplus_{R,M} M2; a \in \text{carrier } M] \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists a1 \in \text{carrier } M1. \exists a2 \in \text{carrier } M2. a = a1 \pm_M a2$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $ds2\text{-components1}::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M;$
 $M1 \oplus_{R,M} M2; a \in \text{carrier } M] \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists a1 \in \text{carrier } M1. a \pm_M -_aM a1 \in \text{carrier } M2$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $mprojTr1::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M; ds2 R M$
 $M1 M2;$
 $x \in \text{carrier } M] \Longrightarrow \exists !x1. x1 \in \text{carrier } M1 \wedge (x \pm_M -_aM x1) \in \text{carrier } M2$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $mprojTr2::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M; ds2 R M$
 $M1 M2;$
 $x \in \text{carrier } M; x1 \in \text{carrier } M1; (x \pm_M (-_aM x1)) \in \text{carrier } M2;$
 $y1 \in \text{carrier } M1; (x \pm_M (-_aM y1)) \in \text{carrier } M2] \Longrightarrow x1 = y1$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $mprojTr3::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M; ds2 R M$
 $M1 M2;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } M; a1 \in \text{carrier } M1; (a \pm_M (-_aM a1)) \in \text{carrier } M2] \Longrightarrow$
 $(THE x1. x1 \in \text{carrier } M1 \wedge a \pm_M -_aM x1 \in \text{carrier } M2) = a1$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $mproj::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M; ds2 R M M1$
 $M2]$
 $\Longrightarrow mproj1 R M1 M2 M \in mHom R M M1$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $mproj2::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M; M1 \oplus_{R,M}$
 $M2]$
 $\Longrightarrow mproj2 R M1 M2 M \in mHom R M M2$
 <proof>

6.6.1 Existence of the tensor product

definition

$fm\text{-gen-by-prod} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$

$(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}, (\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
 $((\text{FM-} / \text{-} - \text{-}) [100,100,101]100) \text{ where}$
 $\text{FM}_R P M N \longleftrightarrow R \text{ module } P \wedge \text{free-generator } R P (M \times_c N)$

lemma (in Ring) *free-gen-gen*: $\text{FM}_R P M N \Longrightarrow \text{generator } R P (M \times_c N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *free-gen-mem*: $\llbracket \text{FM}_R P M N; a \in (M \times_c N) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \in \text{carrier } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *mHom-lin-nsumTr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; t \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \rightarrow t (\text{nsum } M f n) = \text{nsum } N (\text{cmp } t f) n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *mHom-lin-nsum*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; t \in \text{mHom } R M N;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $t (\text{nsum } M f n) = \text{nsum } N (\text{cmp } t f) n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *module-over-zeroring*: $\llbracket \text{zeroring } R; R \text{ module } M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{carrier } M = \{\mathbf{0}_M\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *submodule-over-zeroring*: $\llbracket \text{zeroring } R; R \text{ module } M;$
 $\text{submodule } R M N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow N = \{\mathbf{0}_M\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

Least-submodule :: $(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $\text{'a set} \Rightarrow \text{'a set}$
 $((\text{LSM-} / \text{-} / \text{-}) [100,100,101]100) \text{ where}$
 $\text{LSM}_R M T = \bigcap \{N. \text{submodule } R M N \wedge T \subseteq N\}$

lemma (in Ring) *LSM-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M; t \in T \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $t \in (\text{LSM}_R M T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *LSM-sub-M*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(\text{LSM}_R M T) \subseteq \text{carrier } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *LSM-sub-submodule*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $\text{submodule } R M N; T \subseteq N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\text{LSM}_R M T) \subseteq N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *LSM-inc-T*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies T \subseteq (LSM_R M T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *LSM-submodule*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R M (LSM_R M T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *linear-comb-memTr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; \text{submodule } R M N; T \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies$

$\forall f s. f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow T \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rightarrow$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \in N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *linear-comb-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; \text{submodule } R M N; T \subseteq N;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow T; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \in N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *LSM-eq-linear-span*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $(LSM_R M T) = \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *LSM-sub-ker*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $f \in m\text{Hom } R M N; T \subseteq \text{ker}_{M,N} f \rrbracket \implies LSM_R M T \subseteq \text{ker}_{M,N} f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

tensor-relations1 :: $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(b, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ((a * b), r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $(a * b) \text{ set}$
 $((\&TR1 / - / - / -) [100,100,100,101]100) \text{ where}$
 $TR1 R M N MN = \{x. \exists m1 \in \text{carrier } M. \exists m2 \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n \in \text{carrier } N.$
 $x = (m1 \pm_M m2, n) \pm_{MN} (-_a MN ((m1, n) \pm_{MN} (m2, n)))\}$

definition

tensor-relations2 :: $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(b, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ((a * b), r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $(a * b) \text{ set}$
 $((\&TR2 / - / - / -) [100,100,100, 101]100) \text{ where}$
 $TR2 R M N MN = \{x. \exists m \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n1 \in \text{carrier } N. \exists n2 \in \text{carrier } N.$
 $x = (m, n1 \pm_N n2) \pm_{MN} (-_a MN ((m, n1) \pm_{MN} (m, n2)))\}$

definition

tensor-relations3 :: $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(b, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ((a * b), r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $(a * b) \text{ set}$
 $((\&TR3 / - / - / -) [100,100,100,101]100) \text{ where}$

$$TR3 R M N P = \{x. \exists m \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n \in \text{carrier } N. \exists a \in \text{carrier } R. \\ x = (a \cdot_s M m, n) \pm_P (-_a P (a \cdot_s P (m, n)))\}$$

definition

tensor-relations4 :: [*'r, 'm* Ring-scheme, (*'a, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme, (*'b, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme, (*'a * 'b*), *'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme] \Rightarrow (*'a * 'b*) set

((4TR4 / - / - / -) [100,100,100,101]100) **where**

$$TR4 R M N MN = \{x. \exists m \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n \in \text{carrier } N. \exists a \in \text{carrier } R. \\ x = (m, a \cdot_s N n) \pm_{MN} (-_a MN (a \cdot_s MN (m, n)))\}$$

definition

tensor-relations :: [*'r, 'm* Ring-scheme, (*'a, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme, (*'b, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme, (*'a * 'b*), *'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme] \Rightarrow (*'a * 'b*) set

((4TR- - / - / -) [100,100,101]100) **where**

$$TR_R M N MN = LSM_R MN ((TR1 R M N MN) \cup (TR2 R M N MN) \cup (TR3 R M N MN) \cup (TR4 R M N MN))$$

definition

tensor-product :: [*'r, 'm* Ring-scheme, (*'a, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme, (*'b, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme, (*'a * 'b*), *'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme] \Rightarrow (*'a * 'b*) set, *'r*) Module **where**

$$\text{tensor-product } R M N MN = MN /_m (TR_R M N MN)$$

abbreviation

TENSORPROD ((4- / - \otimes - / -) [92,92,92,93]92) **where**
 $M \text{ }_P \otimes_R N == \text{tensor-product } R M N P$

lemma (in Ring) *mem-cartesian*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (m, n) \in M \times_c N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *cartesianTr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; x \in M \times_c N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \exists m n. m \in \text{carrier } M \wedge n \in \text{carrier } N \wedge x = (m, n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *free-module-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (m, n) \in \text{carrier } P$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *FM-P-module*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow R \text{ module } P$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *TR1-sub-carr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (TR1 R M N P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) $TR2\text{-sub-carr}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(TR2 R M N P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $TR3\text{-sub-carr}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(TR3 R M N P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $TR4\text{-sub-carr}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(TR4 R M N P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $TR\text{-sub-carr}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(TR1 R M N P) \cup (TR2 R M N P) \cup (TR3 R M N P) \cup (TR4 R M N P) \subseteq$
 $\text{carrier } P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $TR\text{-submodule}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R P (TR_R M N P)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $TR\text{-cont-}TR1234:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket$
 \implies

$TR1 R M N P \cup TR2 R M N P \cup TR3 R M N P \cup TR4 R M N P \subseteq TR_R M$
 $N P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $TR1\text{-mem}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m1 \in$
 $\text{carrier } M;$
 $m2 \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies (m1 \pm_M m2, n) \pm_P -_a P ((m1, n) \pm_P (m2,$
 $n))$

$\in TR_R M N P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $TR2\text{-mem}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in$
 $\text{carrier } M;$

$n1 \in \text{carrier } N; n2 \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies$

$(m, n1 \pm_N n2) \pm_P -_a P ((m, n1) \pm_P (m, n2)) \in TR_R M N P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $TR3\text{-mem}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in$
 $\text{carrier } M;$

$n \in \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$(a \cdot_s M m, n) \pm_P -_a P (a \cdot_s P (m, n)) \in TR_R M N P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $TR4\text{-mem}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in$
 $\text{carrier } M;$

$n \in \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$(m, a \cdot_s N n) \pm_P -_a P (a \cdot_s P (m, n)) \in TR_R M N P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *tensor-product-module*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $R \text{ module } (tensor-product R M N P)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *tau-mpj-bilin1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; x1 \in carrier M; x2 \in carrier M; y \in carrier N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (x1 \pm_M x2, y) =$
 $(mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (x1, y) \pm_{(M P \otimes_R N)} (mpj P (TR_R M N P) (x2, y))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *tau-mpj-bilin2*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in carrier M; n1 \in carrier N; n2 \in carrier N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (m, n1 \pm_N n2) =$
 $(mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (m, n1) \pm_{(M P \otimes_R N)} (mpj P (TR_R M N P) (m, n2))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *tau-mpj-bilin3*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in carrier M; n \in carrier N; a \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (a \cdot_s M m, n) = a \cdot_s (M P \otimes_R N)$
 $(mpj P (TR_R M N P) (m, n))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *tau-mpj-bilin4*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in carrier M; n \in carrier N; a \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (m, a \cdot_s N n) = a \cdot_s (M P \otimes_R N)$
 $(mpj P (TR_R M N P) (m, n))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$tau :: [(r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $(a * 'b) \Rightarrow (a * 'b) \textbf{ where}$
 $tau R M N P = (\lambda x \in (M \times_c N). x)$

lemma (in Ring) *tau-func*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $tau R M N P \in M \times_c N \rightarrow carrier P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *tau-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; m \in carrier M; n \in carrier N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies tau R M N P (m, n) \in carrier P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *tau-inj0*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M$

N]]
 $\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P) \ (M \times_c \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tau-inj1: [[zeroring R; R module M; R module N; FM_R P M N]]
 \implies
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P) \ (M \times_c \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tau-inj: [[R module M; R module N; FM_R P M N]] \implies
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P) \ (M \times_c \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tau-mpj-bilinear: [[R module M; R module N; FM_R P M N]] \implies
 $\text{bilinear-map } (\text{compose } (M \times_c \ N) \ (\text{mpj } P \ (TR_R \ M \ N \ P)) \ (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P))$
 $R \ M \ N \ (M \ P \otimes_R \ N)$
 <proof>

definition

$\text{tnm} :: [(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ((\text{'a} * \text{'b}), \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}, (\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \implies$
 $(\text{'a} * \text{'b}) \implies (\text{'a} * \text{'b}) \text{ set where}$
 $\text{tnm } R \ P \ M \ N = \text{compose } (M \times_c \ N) \ (\text{mpj } P \ (TR_R \ M \ N \ P)) \ (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P)$

lemma (in Ring) tnm-bilinear: [[R module M; R module N; FM_R P M N]] \implies
 $\text{bilinear-map } (\text{tnm } R \ P \ M \ N) \ R \ M \ N \ (M \ P \otimes_R \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tnm-mem: [[R module M; R module N; FM_R P M N; m \in
 carrier M;
 n \in carrier N]] $\implies \text{tnm } R \ P \ M \ N \ (m, n) \in \text{carrier } (M \ P \otimes_R \ N)$
 <proof>

definition

$\text{tensor-elem} :: [(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ((\text{'a} * \text{'b}), \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}, (\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \implies \text{'a} \implies \text{'b}$
 $\implies (\text{'a} * \text{'b}) \text{ set where}$
 $\text{tensor-elem } R \ P \ M \ N \ m \ n = \text{tnm } R \ P \ M \ N \ (m, n)$

abbreviation

$TNSELEM \ ((6- \text{ }, \text{ } \otimes, \text{ } / \text{ } -) \ [100,100,100,100,100,101]101) \ \text{where}$
 $m \ R, P \otimes_{M, N} \ n == \text{tensor-elem } R \ P \ M \ N \ m \ n$

lemma (in Ring) tensor-univ-propTr: [[R module M; R module N; FM_R P M N;
 R module Z; bilinear-map f R M N Z]] \implies
 $\exists g. g \in m\text{Hom } R \ P \ Z \wedge (\text{compose } (M \times_c \ N) \ g \ (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P)) = f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tensor-univ-propTr1: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N;$

$R \text{ module } Z; \text{bilinear-map } f R M N Z \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists! g. g \in (mHom R (M_P \otimes_R N) Z) \wedge (compose (M \times_c N) g (tnm R P M N))$
 $= f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tensor-universal-property: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P$
 $M N \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{universal-property } R M N (M_P \otimes_R N) (tnm R P M N)$
 <proof>

end