

Group Ring Module

Hidetsune Kobayashi, L. Chen, H. Murao

March 17, 2025

Abstract

The theory of groups, rings and modules is developed to a great depth. Group theory results include Zassenhaus's theorem and the Jordan-Hoelder theorem. The ring theory development includes ideals, quotient rings and the Chinese remainder theorem. The module development includes the Nakayama lemma, exact sequences and Tensor products.

Contents

1 Preliminaries	2
1.1 Lemmas for logical manipulation	2
1.2 Natural numbers and Integers	2
1.2.1 Integers	4
1.3 Sets	7
1.3.1 A short notes for proof steps	7
1.3.2 Sets	7
1.4 Functions	10
1.5 Nsets	17
1.5.1 Lemmas for existence of reduced chain.	24
1.6 Lower bounded set of integers	24
1.7 Augmented integer: integer and $\infty-\infty$	25
1.7.1 Ordering of integers and ordering nats	34
1.7.2 The \leq Ordering	34
1.7.3 Aug ordering	36
1.8 Amin, amax	39
1.8.1 Maximum element of a set of ants	41
1.9 Cardinality of sets	43
1.9.1 Lemmas required in Algebra6.thy	48
2 Ordered Set	50
2.1 Basic Concepts of Ordered Sets	50
2.1.1 Total ordering	53
2.1.2 Two ordered sets	54
2.1.3 Homomorphism of ordered sets	55
2.2 Pre elements	74
2.3 Transfinite induction	75
2.4 <i>Ordered-set2</i> . Lemmas to prove Zorn's lemma.	75
2.5 Zorn's lemma	76
3 Group Theory. Focused on Jordan Hoelder theorem	87
3.1 Definition of a Group	87
3.2 Subgroups	89

3.3	Cosets	95
3.4	Normal subgroups and Quotient groups	99
3.5	Setproducts	103
3.6	Preliminary lemmas for Zassenhaus	105
3.7	Homomorphism	108
3.8	Gkernel	109
3.9	Image	111
3.10	Induced homomorphisms	112
3.10.1	Homomorphism therems	113
3.11	Isomorphims	116
3.11.1	An automorphism groups	116
3.11.2	Complete system of representatives	116
3.12	Zassenhaus	118
3.13	Chain of groups I	120
3.14	Existence of reduced chain	123
3.15	Existence of reduced chain and composition series	128
3.16	Chain of groups II	128
3.17	Jordan Hoelder theorem	133
3.17.1	<i>Rfn-tools</i> . Tools to treat refinement of a cmpser, rtos.	133
3.18	Abelian groups	142
3.18.1	Homomorphism of abelian groups	146
3.18.2	Quotient abelian group	149
3.19	Direct product and direct sum of abelian groups, in general case	151
3.19.1	Characterization of a direct product	156
4	Ring theory	159
4.1	Definition of a ring and an ideal	159
4.2	Calculation of elements	163
4.2.1	nscalc	163
4.2.2	npow	164
4.2.3	nsum and fSum	165
4.3	Ring homomorphisms	169
4.3.1	Ring of integers	175
4.4	Quotient rings	175
4.5	Primary ideals, Prime ideals	180
4.6	Operation of ideals	191
4.7	Direct product1, general case	193
4.8	Chinese remainder theorem	197
4.9	Addition of finite elements of a ring and <i>ideal-multiplication</i>	200
4.10	Extension and contraction	207
4.11	Complete system of representatives	208
4.12	Polynomial ring	209
4.13	Addition and multiplication of <i>polyn-exprs</i>	210

4.13.1	Simple properties of a <i>polyn-ring</i>	210
4.13.2	Coefficients of a polynomial	211
4.13.3	Addition of <i>polyn-exprs</i>	211
4.13.4	Multiplication of <i>pol-exprs</i>	215
4.13.5	Multiplication	215
4.14	The degree of a polynomial	218
4.14.1	Multiplication of polynomials	225
4.14.2	Degree with value in <i>aug-minf</i>	226
4.15	Homomorphism of polynomial rings	227
4.16	Relatively prime polynomials	232
4.16.1	Polynomial, coeff mod P	233
5	Modules	244
5.1	Basic properties of Modules	244
5.2	Injective hom, surjective hom, bijective hom and inverse hom	250
5.3	nsum and Generators	267
5.3.1	Sum up coefficients	269
5.3.2	Free generators	271
5.4	nsum and Generators (continued)	274
5.5	Existence of homomorphism	275
5.6	Nakayama lemma	281
5.7	Direct sum and direct products of modules	282
5.8	Exact sequence	284
5.9	Tensor product	289
6	Construction of an abelian group	292
6.1	Free generated abelian group I, direct sum and direct product	292
6.2	Abelian group generated by a singleton (constructive)	296
6.3	Abelian Group generated by one element II (nonconstructive)	302
6.4	Free Generated Modules (constructive)	305
6.5	A fgmodule and a free module	309
6.6	Direct sum, again	310
6.6.1	Existence of the tensor product	312

```

theory Algebra1
imports Main HOL-Library.FuncSet
begin

```

Chapter 1

Preliminaries

Some of the lemmas of this section are proved in src/HOL/Integ of Isabelle version 2003.

1.1 Lemmas for logical manipulation

lemma *True-then*: $\text{True} \longrightarrow P \Longrightarrow P$
<proof>

lemma *ex-conjI*: $\llbracket P\ c; Q\ c \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \exists c. P\ c \wedge Q\ c$
<proof>

lemma *forall-spec*: $\llbracket \forall b. P\ b \longrightarrow Q\ b; P\ a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q\ a$
<proof>

lemma *a-b-exchange*: $\llbracket a; a = b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow b$
<proof>

lemma *eq-prop*: $\llbracket P; P = Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Q$
<proof>

lemma *forall-contr*: $\llbracket \forall y \in A. P\ x\ y \longrightarrow \neg Q\ y; \forall y \in A. Q\ y \vee R\ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\forall y \in A. (\neg P\ x\ y) \vee R\ y$
<proof>

lemma *forall-contr1*: $\llbracket \forall y \in A. P\ x\ y \longrightarrow Q\ y; \forall y \in A. \neg Q\ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \forall y \in A. \neg P\ x\ y$
<proof>

1.2 Natural numbers and Integers

Elementary properties of natural numbers and integers

lemma *nat-nonzero-pos*: $(a::\text{nat}) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow 0 < a$
<proof>

lemma *add-both*: $(a::nat) = b \implies a + c = b + c$
<proof>

lemma *add-bothl*: $a = b \implies c + a = c + b$
<proof>

lemma *diff-Suc*: $(n::nat) \leq m \implies m - n + \text{Suc } 0 = \text{Suc } m - n$
<proof>

lemma *le-convert*: $\llbracket a = b; a \leq c \rrbracket \implies b \leq c$
<proof>

lemma *ge-convert*: $\llbracket a = b; c \leq a \rrbracket \implies c \leq b$
<proof>

lemma *less-convert*: $\llbracket a = b; c < b \rrbracket \implies c < a$
<proof>

lemma *ineq-conv1*: $\llbracket a = b; a < c \rrbracket \implies b < c$
<proof>

lemma *diff-Suc-pos*: $0 < a - \text{Suc } 0 \implies 0 < a$
<proof>

lemma *minus-SucSuc*: $a - \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) = a - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0$
<proof>

lemma *Suc-Suc-Tr*: $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) \leq n \implies \text{Suc } (n - \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)) = n - \text{Suc } 0$
<proof>

lemma *Suc-Suc-less*: $\text{Suc } 0 < a \implies \text{Suc } (a - \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)) < a$
<proof>

lemma *diff-zero-eq*: $n = (0::nat) \implies m = m - n$
<proof>

lemma *Suc-less-le*: $x < \text{Suc } n \implies x \leq n$
<proof>

lemma *less-le-diff*: $x < n \implies x \leq n - \text{Suc } 0$
<proof>

lemma *le-pre-le*: $x \leq n - \text{Suc } 0 \implies x \leq n$
<proof>

lemma *nat-not-less*: $\neg (m::nat) < n \implies n \leq m$
<proof>

lemma *less-neq*: $n < (m::nat) \implies n \neq m$
(*proof*)

lemma *less-le-diff1*: $n \neq 0 \implies ((m::nat) < n) = (m \leq (n - \text{Suc } 0))$
(*proof*)

lemma *nat-not-less1*: $n \neq 0 \implies (\neg (m::nat) < n) = (\neg m \leq (n - \text{Suc } 0))$
(*proof*)

lemma *nat-eq-le*: $m = (n::nat) \implies m \leq n$
(*proof*)

1.2.1 Integers

lemma *non-zero-int*: $(n::int) \neq 0 \implies 0 < n \vee n < 0$
(*proof*)

lemma *zgt-0-zge-1*: $(0::int) < z \implies 1 \leq z$
(*proof*)

lemma *not-zle*: $(\neg (n::int) \leq m) = (m < n)$
(*proof*)

lemma *not-zless*: $(\neg (n::int) < m) = (m \leq n)$
(*proof*)

lemma *zle-imp-zless-or-eq*: $(n::int) \leq m \implies n < m \vee n = m$
(*proof*)

lemma *zminus-zadd-cancel*: $-z + (z + w) = (w::int)$
(*proof*)

lemma *int-neq-iff*: $((w::int) \neq z) = (w < z) \vee (z < w)$
(*proof*)

lemma *zless-imp-zle*: $(z::int) < z' \implies z \leq z'$
(*proof*)

lemma *zdiff*: $z - (w::int) = z + (-w)$
(*proof*)

lemma *zle-zless-trans*: $\llbracket (i::int) \leq j; j < k \rrbracket \implies i < k$
(*proof*)

lemma *zless-zle-trans*: $\llbracket (i::int) < j; j \leq k \rrbracket \implies i < k$
(*proof*)

lemma *zless-neq*: $(i::int) < j \implies i \neq j$
(*proof*)

lemma *int-mult-mono*: $\llbracket i < j; (0::int) < k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow k * i < k * j$
<proof>

lemma *int-mult-le*: $\llbracket i \leq j; (0::int) \leq k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow k * i \leq k * j$
<proof>

lemma *int-mult-le1*: $\llbracket i \leq j; (0::int) \leq k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow i * k \leq j * k$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-zminus-right*: $(w::int) * (-z) = -(w * z)$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-zle-mono1-neg*: $\llbracket (i::int) \leq j; k \leq 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow j * k \leq i * k$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-zless-mono-neg*: $\llbracket (i::int) < j; k < 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow j * k < i * k$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-neg-neg*: $\llbracket i < (0::int); j < 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 0 < i * j$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-pos-pos*: $\llbracket (0::int) < i; 0 < j \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 0 < i * j$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-pos-neg*: $\llbracket (0::int) < i; j < 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow i * j < 0$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-neg-pos*: $\llbracket i < (0::int); 0 < j \rrbracket \Longrightarrow i * j < 0$
<proof>

lemma *zle*: $((z::int) \leq w) = (\neg (w < z))$
<proof>

lemma *times-1-both*: $\llbracket (0::int) < z; z * z' = 1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow z = 1 \wedge z' = 1$
<proof>

lemma *zminus-minus*: $i - -(j::int) = i + j$
<proof>

lemma *zminus-minus-pos*: $(n::int) < 0 \Longrightarrow 0 < -n$
<proof>

lemma *zadd-zle-mono*: $\llbracket w' \leq w; z' \leq (z::int) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow w' + z' \leq w + z$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-zle-mono*: $\llbracket i \leq (j::int); 0 < k \rrbracket \Longrightarrow k * i \leq k * j$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-zle-mono-r*: $\llbracket i \leq (j::int); 0 < k \rrbracket \implies i * k \leq j * k$
<proof>

lemma *pos-zmult-pos*: $\llbracket 0 \leq (a::int); 0 < (b::int) \rrbracket \implies a \leq a * b$
<proof>

lemma *pos-mult-l-gt*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; i \leq j; 0 \leq i \rrbracket \implies i \leq w * j$
<proof>

lemma *pos-mult-r-gt*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; i \leq j; 0 \leq i \rrbracket \implies i \leq j * w$
<proof>

lemma *mult-pos-iff*: $\llbracket (0::int) < i; 0 \leq i * j \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq j$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-eq*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z = z' \rrbracket \implies w * z = w * z'$
<proof>

lemma *zmult-eq-r*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z = z' \rrbracket \implies z * w = z' * w$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-eq-l*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z * w = z' * w \rrbracket \implies z = z'$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-eq-r*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; w * z = w * z' \rrbracket \implies z = z'$
<proof>

lemma *int-nat-minus*: $0 < (n::int) \implies \text{nat } (n - 1) = (\text{nat } n) - 1$
<proof>

lemma *int-nat-add*: $\llbracket 0 < (n::int); 0 < (m::int) \rrbracket \implies (\text{nat } (n - 1)) + (\text{nat } (m - 1)) + (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{nat } (n + m - 1)$
<proof>

lemma *int-equation*: $(x::int) = y + z \implies x - y = z$
<proof>

lemma *int-pos-mult-monor*: $\llbracket 0 < (n::int); 0 \leq n * m \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq m$
<proof>

lemma *int-pos-mult-monol*: $\llbracket 0 < (m::int); 0 \leq n * m \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq n$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-positive*: $\llbracket (0::int) \leq a; 0 < b \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq a \text{ div } b$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-pos-mono-r*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; w * z \leq w * z' \rrbracket \implies z \leq z'$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-pos-mono-l*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z * w \leq z' * w \rrbracket \implies z \leq z'$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-pos-pos-l*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; 0 \leq z * w \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq z$
<proof>

1.3 Sets

1.3.1 A short notes for proof steps

1.3.2 Sets

lemma *inEx*: $x \in A \implies \exists y \in A. y = x$
<proof>

lemma *inEx-rev*: $\exists y \in A. y = x \implies x \in A$
<proof>

lemma *nonempty-ex*: $A \neq \{\} \implies \exists x. x \in A$
<proof>

lemma *ex-nonempty*: $\exists x. x \in A \implies A \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *not-eq-outside*: $a \notin A \implies \forall b \in A. b \neq a$
<proof>

lemma *ex-nonempty-set*: $\exists a. P a \implies \{x. P x\} \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *nonempty*: $x \in A \implies A \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *subset-self*: $A \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *conditional-subset*: $\{x \in A. P x\} \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *bsubsetTr*: $\{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *sets-not-eq*: $\llbracket A \neq B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \exists a \in A. a \notin B$
<proof>

lemma *diff-nonempty*: $\llbracket A \neq B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies A - B \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *sub-which1*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B \vee B \subseteq A; x \in A; x \notin B \rrbracket \implies B \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *sub-which2*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B \vee B \subseteq A; x \notin A; x \in B \rrbracket \implies A \subseteq B$
<proof>

lemma *nonempty-int*: $A \cap B \neq \{\} \implies \exists x. x \in A \cap B$
<proof>

lemma *no-meet1*: $A \cap B = \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. a \notin B$
<proof>

lemma *no-meet2*: $A \cap B = \{\} \implies \forall a \in B. a \notin A$
<proof>

lemma *elem-some*: $x \in A \implies \exists y \in A. x = y$
<proof>

lemma *singleton-sub*: $a \in A \implies \{a\} \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *eq-elem-in*: $\llbracket a \in A; a = b \rrbracket \implies b \in A$
<proof>

lemma *eq-set-inc*: $\llbracket a \in A; A = B \rrbracket \implies a \in B$
<proof>

lemma *eq-set-not-inc*: $\llbracket a \notin A; A = B \rrbracket \implies a \notin B$
<proof>

lemma *int-subsets*: $\llbracket A1 \subseteq A; B1 \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies A1 \cap B1 \subseteq A \cap B$
<proof>

lemma *inter-mono*: $A \subseteq B \implies A \cap C \subseteq B \cap C$
<proof>

lemma *sub-Un1*: $B \subseteq B \cup C$
<proof>

lemma *sub-Un2*: $C \subseteq B \cup C$
<proof>

lemma *subset-contr*: $\llbracket A \subset B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$
<proof>

lemma *psubset-contr*: $\llbracket A \subset B; B \subset A \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$
<proof>

lemma *eqsets-sub*: $A = B \implies A \subseteq B$

<proof>

lemma *not-subseteq*: $\neg A \subseteq B \implies \exists a \in A. a \notin B$
<proof>

lemma *in-un1*: $\llbracket x \in A \cup B; x \notin B \rrbracket \implies x \in A$
<proof>

lemma *proper-subset*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B; x \notin A; x \in B \rrbracket \implies A \neq B$
<proof>

lemma *in-un2*: $\llbracket x \in A \cup B; x \notin A \rrbracket \implies x \in B$
<proof>

lemma *diff-disj*: $x \notin A \implies A - \{x\} = A$
<proof>

lemma *in-diff*: $\llbracket x \neq a; x \in A \rrbracket \implies x \in A - \{a\}$
<proof>

lemma *in-diff1*: $x \in A - \{a\} \implies x \neq a$
<proof>

lemma *sub-inserted1*: $\llbracket Y \subseteq \text{insert } a \ X; \neg Y \subseteq X \rrbracket \implies a \notin X \wedge a \in Y$
<proof>

lemma *sub-inserted2*: $\llbracket Y \subseteq \text{insert } a \ X; \neg Y \subseteq X \rrbracket \implies Y = (Y - \{a\}) \cup \{a\}$
<proof>

lemma *insert-sub*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B; a \in B \rrbracket \implies (\text{insert } a \ A) \subseteq B$
<proof>

lemma *insert-diff*: $A \subseteq (\text{insert } b \ B) \implies A - \{b\} \subseteq B$
<proof>

lemma *insert-inc1*: $A \subseteq \text{insert } a \ A$
<proof>

lemma *insert-inc2*: $a \in \text{insert } a \ A$
<proof>

lemma *nonempty-some*: $A \neq \{\} \implies (\text{SOME } x. x \in A) \in A$
<proof>

lemma *mem-family-sub-Un*: $A \in C \implies A \subseteq \bigcup C$
<proof>

lemma *sub-Union*: $\exists X \in C. A \subseteq X \implies A \subseteq \bigcup C$
<proof>

lemma *family-subset-Un-sub*: $\forall A \in C. A \subseteq B \implies \bigcup C \subseteq B$
<proof>

lemma *in-set-with-P*: $P x \implies x \in \{y. P y\}$
<proof>

lemma *sub-single*: $[[A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \{a\}] \implies A = \{a\}$
<proof>

lemma *not-sub-single*: $[[A \neq \{\}; A \neq \{a\}] \implies \neg A \subseteq \{a\}$
<proof>

lemma *not-sub*: $\neg A \subseteq B \implies \exists a. a \in A \wedge a \notin B$
<proof>

1.4 Functions

definition

cmp :: $['b \Rightarrow 'c, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c)$ **where**
cmp *g f* = $(\lambda x. g (f x))$

definition

idmap :: $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
idmap *A* = $(\lambda x \in A. x)$

definition

constmap :: $['a \text{ set}, 'b \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$ **where**
constmap *A B* = $(\lambda x \in A. \text{SOME } y. y \in B)$

definition

invfun :: $['a \text{ set}, 'b \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
invfun *A B* (*f* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'b$) = $(\lambda y \in B. (\text{SOME } x. (x \in A \wedge f x = y)))$

abbreviation

INVFUN :: $['a \Rightarrow 'b, 'b \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a)$ $\langle (\exists^{-1} _ , _) \rangle$ [82,82,83]82) **where**
 $f^{-1}_{B,A} == \text{invfun } A B f$

lemma *eq-fun*: $[[f \in A \rightarrow B; f = g] \implies g \in A \rightarrow B$
<proof>

lemma *eq-fun-eq-val*: $f = g \implies f x = g x$
<proof>

lemma *eq-elems-eq-val*: $x = y \implies f x = f y$
<proof>

lemma *cmp-fun*: $[[f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C] \implies \text{cmp } g f \in A \rightarrow C$
<proof>

lemma *cmp-fun-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{cmp } g f) \text{ ` } A = g \text{ ` } (f \text{ ` } A)$

<proof>

lemma *cmp-fun-sub-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{cmp } g f) \text{ ` } A1 = g \text{ ` } (f \text{ ` } A1)$

<proof>

lemma *restrict-fun-eq*: $\forall x \in A. f x = g x \implies (\lambda x \in A. f x) = (\lambda x \in A. g x)$

<proof>

lemma *funcset-mem*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; x \in A \rrbracket \implies f x \in B$

<proof>

lemma *img-subset*: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies f \text{ ` } A \subseteq B$

<proof>

lemma *funcset-mem1*: $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f l \in B; x \in A \rrbracket \implies f x \in B$

<proof>

lemma *func-to-img*: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies f \in A \rightarrow f \text{ ` } A$

<proof>

lemma *funcset-eq*: $\llbracket f \in \text{extensional } A; g \in \text{extensional } A; \forall x \in A. f x = g x \rrbracket \implies$
 $f = g$

<proof>

lemma *eq-funcs*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in A \rightarrow B; f = g; x \in A \rrbracket \implies f x = g x$

<proof>

lemma *restriction-of-domain*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{restrict } f A1 \in A1 \rightarrow B$

<proof>

lemma *restrict-restrict*: $\llbracket \text{restrict } f A \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{restrict } (\text{restrict } f A) A1 = \text{restrict } f A1$

<proof>

lemma *restr-restr-eq*: $\llbracket \text{restrict } f A \in A \rightarrow B; \text{restrict } f A = \text{restrict } g A; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict } f A1 = \text{restrict } g A1$

<proof>

lemma *funcTr*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in A \rightarrow B; f = g; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f a = g a$

<proof>

lemma *funcTr1*: $\llbracket f = g; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f a = g a$

<proof>

lemma restrictfun-in: $\llbracket (\text{restrict } f \ A) \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{restrict } f \ A) \text{ ' } A1 = f \text{ ' } A1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma mem-in-image: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f \ a \in f \text{ ' } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma mem-in-image1: $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f \ l \in B; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f \ a \in f \text{ ' } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma mem-in-image2: $a \in A \implies f \ a \in f \text{ ' } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma mem-in-image3: $b \in f \text{ ' } A \implies \exists a \in A. b = f \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma elem-in-image2: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A; x \in A1 \rrbracket \implies f \ x \in f \text{ ' } A1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma funcs-nonempty: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; B \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (A \rightarrow B) \neq \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma idmap-funcs: $\text{idmap } A \in A \rightarrow A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma l-idmap-comp: $\llbracket f \in \text{extensional } A; f \in A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compose } A \ (\text{idmap } B) \ f = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma r-idmap-comp: $\llbracket f \in \text{extensional } A; f \in A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compose } A \ f \ (\text{idmap } A) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma extend-fun: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; B \subseteq B1 \rrbracket \implies f \in A \rightarrow B1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma restrict-fun: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict } f \ A1 \in A1 \rightarrow B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma set-of-hom: $\forall x \in A. f \ x \in B \implies \text{restrict } f \ A \in A \rightarrow B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma composition : $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C \rrbracket \implies (\text{compose } A \ g \ f) \in A \rightarrow C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma comp-assoc: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C; h \in C \rightarrow D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compose } A \ h \ (\text{compose } A \ g \ f) = \text{compose } A \ (\text{compose } B \ h \ g) \ f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrictfun-inj*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \ A; \ A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{restrict } f \ A1) \ A1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-inj*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \ A; \ A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } f \ A1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *injective*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \ A; \ x \in A; \ y \in A; \ x \neq y \rrbracket \implies f \ x \neq f \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *injective-iff*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \ A; \ x \in A; \ y \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(x = y) = (f \ x = f \ y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *injfun-elim-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \ \text{inj-on } f \ A; \ x \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ ' (A - \{x\}) = (f \ ' A) - \{f \ x\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmp-inj*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \ g \in B \rightarrow C; \ \text{inj-on } f \ A; \ \text{inj-on } g \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{cmp } g \ f) \ A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmp-assoc*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \ g \in B \rightarrow C; \ h \in C \rightarrow D; \ x \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{cmp } h \ (\text{cmp } g \ f)) \ x = (\text{cmp } (\text{cmp } h \ g) \ f) \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bivar-fun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C); \ a \in A \rrbracket \implies f \ a \in B \rightarrow C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bivar-fun-mem*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C); \ a \in A; \ b \in B \rrbracket \implies f \ a \ b \in C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bivar-func-eq*: $\llbracket \forall a \in A. \ \forall b \in B. \ f \ a \ b = g \ a \ b \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\lambda x \in A. \ \lambda y \in B. \ f \ x \ y) = (\lambda x \in A. \ \lambda y \in B. \ g \ x \ y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \ A1 \subseteq A; \ A2 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ '(A1 \cap A2) \subseteq (f \ ' A1) \cap (f \ ' A2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-sub*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \ A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies (f \ ' A1) \subseteq B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-sub0*: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies (f \ ' A) \subseteq B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image-nonempty*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \ A1 \subseteq A; \ A1 \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies f \ ' A1 \neq \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *im-set-mono*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A2; A2 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies (f \text{ ` } A1) \subseteq (f \text{ ` } A2)$
 <proof>

lemma *im-set-un*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A; A2 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \text{ ` } (A1 \cup A2) = (f \text{ ` } A1) \cup (f \text{ ` } A2)$
 <proof>

lemma *im-set-un1*: $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f \ l \in B; A = A1 \cup A2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \text{ ` } (A1 \cup A2) = f \text{ ` } (A1) \cup f \text{ ` } (A2)$
 <proof>

lemma *im-set-un2*: $A = A1 \cup A2 \implies f \text{ ` } A = f \text{ ` } (A1) \cup f \text{ ` } (A2)$
 <proof>

definition

invm:: $['a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set}, 'b \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
invm $f \ A \ B = \{x. x \in A \wedge f \ x \in B\}$

lemma *invm*: $\llbracket f : A \rightarrow B; B1 \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies \text{invm } f \ A \ B1 \subseteq A$
 <proof>

lemma *setim-mpfn*: $\llbracket f : A \rightarrow B; g : B \rightarrow C; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{compose } A \ g \ f) \text{ ` } A1 = g \text{ ` } (f \text{ ` } A1)$
 <proof>

definition

surj-to :: $['a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set}, 'b \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
surj-to $f \ A \ B \longleftrightarrow f \text{ ` } A = B$

lemma *surj-to-test*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. f \ a = b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{surj-to } f \ A \ B$
 <proof>

lemma *surj-to-image*: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies \text{surj-to } f \ A \ (f \text{ ` } A)$
 <proof>

lemma *surj-to-el*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. f \ a = b$
 <proof>

lemma *surj-to-el1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; b \in B \rrbracket \implies \exists a \in A. f \ a = b$
 <proof>

lemma *surj-to-el2*: $\llbracket \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; b \in B \rrbracket \implies \exists a \in A. f \ a = b$
 <proof>

lemma *compose-surj*: $\llbracket f : A \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; g : B \rightarrow C; \text{surj-to } g \ B \ C \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{surj-to } (\text{compose } A \ g \ f) \ A \ C$
 <proof>

lemma *cmp-surj*: $\llbracket f:A \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } f \text{ } A \ B; g : B \rightarrow C; \text{surj-to } g \text{ } B \ C \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{surj-to } (\text{cmp } g \ f) \ A \ C$

<proof>

lemma *inj-onTr0*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; x \in A; y \in A; \text{inj-on } f \ A; f \ x = f \ y \rrbracket \implies x = y$

<proof>

lemma *inj-onTr1*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \ A; x \in A; y \in A; f \ x = f \ y \rrbracket \implies x = y$

<proof>

lemma *inj-onTr2*: $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f \ A; x \in A; y \in A; f \ x \neq f \ y \rrbracket \implies x \neq y$

<proof>

lemma *comp-inj*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; g \in B \rightarrow C; \text{inj-on } g \ B \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{compose } A \ g \ f) \ A$

<proof>

lemma *cmp-inj-1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; g \in B \rightarrow C; \text{inj-on } g \ B \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{cmp } g \ f) \ A$

<proof>

lemma *cmp-inj-2*: $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f \ l \in B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \forall k \in B. g \ k \in C; \text{inj-on } g \ B \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{cmp } g \ f) \ A$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-mem*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; b \in B \rrbracket$

$\implies (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ b \in A$

<proof>

lemma *inv-func*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B \rrbracket$

$\implies (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \in B \rightarrow A$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-r*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; b \in B \rrbracket$

$\implies f \ ((\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ b) = b$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-l*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B; a \in A \rrbracket$

$\implies (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ (f \ a) = a$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-inj*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{invfun } A \ B \ f) \ B$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-surj*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \ A; \text{surj-to } f \ A \ B \rrbracket$

$$\implies \text{surj-to } (\text{invfun } A B f) B A$$

<proof>

definition

$\text{bij-to} :: ['a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set}, 'b \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{bij-to } f A B \iff \text{surj-to } f A B \wedge \text{inj-on } f A$

lemma *idmap-bij*: $\text{bij-to } (\text{idmap } A) A A$

<proof>

lemma *bij-invfun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bij-to } (\text{invfun } A B f) B A$

<proof>

lemma *l-inv-invfun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; \text{surj-to } f A B \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{compose } A (\text{invfun } A B f) f = \text{idmap } A$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-mem1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; b \in B \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{invfun } A B f) b \in A$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-r1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; b \in B \rrbracket$
 $\implies f ((\text{invfun } A B f) b) = b$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-l1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; a \in A \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{invfun } A B f) (f a) = a$

<proof>

lemma *compos-invfun-r*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; g \in A \rightarrow C; h \in B \rightarrow C;$
 $g \in \text{extensional } A; \text{compose } B g (\text{invfun } A B f) = h \rrbracket \implies$
 $g = \text{compose } A h f$

<proof>

lemma *compos-invfun-l*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; g \in C \rightarrow B; h \in C \rightarrow A;$
 $\text{compose } C (\text{invfun } A B f) g = h; g \in \text{extensional } C \rrbracket \implies$
 $g = \text{compose } C f h$

<proof>

lemma *invfun-set*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; C \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies$
 $f ' ((\text{invfun } A B f) ' C) = C$

<proof>

lemma *compos-bij*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; g \in B \rightarrow C; \text{bij-to } g B C \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bij-to } (\text{compose } A g f) A C$

<proof>

1.5 Nsets

definition

$nset :: [nat, nat] \Rightarrow (nat) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $nset\ i\ j = \{k. i \leq k \wedge k \leq j\}$

definition

$slide :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $slide\ i\ j == i + j$

definition

$sliden :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $sliden\ i\ j == j - i$

definition

$jointfun :: [nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a, nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $(jointfun\ n\ f\ m\ g) = (\lambda i. \text{if } i \leq n \text{ then } f\ i \text{ else } g\ ((sliden\ (Suc\ n))\ i))$

definition

$skip :: nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)$ **where**
 $skip\ i = (\lambda x. (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } Suc\ x \text{ else } (\text{if } x \in \{j. j \leq (i - Suc\ 0)\} \text{ then } x \text{ else } Suc\ x)))$

lemma $nat\text{-pos}: 0 \leq (l::nat)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Suc\text{-pos}: Suc\ k \leq r \implies 0 < r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nat\text{-pos}2:(k::nat) < r \implies 0 < r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eq\text{-le}\text{-not}: [(a::nat) \leq b; \neg a < b] \implies a = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $im\text{-of}\text{-constmap}: (constmap\ \{0\}\ \{a\})\ ' \{0\} = \{a\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $noteq\text{-le}\text{-less}: [m \leq (n::nat); m \neq n] \implies m < n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nat\text{-not}\text{-le}\text{-less}: (\neg (n::nat) \leq m) = (m < n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $self\text{-le}: (n::nat) \leq n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $n\text{-less}\text{-Suc}: (n::nat) < Suc\ n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *less-diff-pos*: $i < (n::nat) \implies 0 < n - i$

<proof>

lemma *less-diff-Suc*: $i < (n::nat) \implies n - (Suc\ i) = (n - i) - (Suc\ 0)$

<proof>

lemma *less-pre-n*: $0 < n \implies n - Suc\ 0 < n$

<proof>

lemma *Nset-inc-0*: $(0::nat) \in \{i. i \leq n\}$

<proof>

lemma *Nset-1*: $\{i. i \leq Suc\ 0\} = \{0, Suc\ 0\}$

<proof>

lemma *Nset-1-1*: $(k \leq Suc\ 0) = (k = 0 \vee k = Suc\ 0)$

<proof>

lemma *Nset-2*: $\{i, j\} = \{j, i\}$

<proof>

lemma *Nset-nonempty*: $\{i. i \leq (n::nat)\} \neq \{\}$

<proof>

lemma *Nset-le*: $x \in \{i. i \leq n\} \implies x \leq n$

<proof>

lemma *n-in-Nsetn*: $(n::nat) \in \{i. i \leq n\}$

<proof>

lemma *Nset-pre*: $\llbracket (x::nat) \in \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\}; x \neq Suc\ n \rrbracket \implies x \in \{i. i \leq n\}$

<proof>

lemma *Nset-pre1*: $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\} - \{Suc\ n\} = \{i. i \leq n\}$

<proof>

lemma *le-Suc-mem-Nsetn*: $x \leq Suc\ n \implies x - Suc\ 0 \in \{i. i \leq n\}$

<proof>

lemma *le-Suc-diff-le*: $x \leq Suc\ n \implies x - Suc\ 0 \leq n$

<proof>

lemma *Nset-not-pre*: $\llbracket x \notin \{i. i \leq n\}; x \in \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\} \rrbracket \implies x = Suc\ n$

<proof>

lemma *mem-of-Nset*: $x \leq (n::nat) \implies x \in \{i. i \leq n\}$

<proof>

lemma *less-mem-of-Nset*: $x < (n::nat) \implies x \in \{i. i \leq n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nset-nset*: $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n + m)\} = \{i. i \leq n\} \cup$
 $nset\ (Suc\ n)\ (Suc\ (n + m))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nset-nset-1*: $\llbracket 0 < n; i < n \rrbracket \implies \{j. j \leq n\} = \{j. j \leq i\} \cup$
 $nset\ (Suc\ i)\ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nset-img0*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq Suc\ n\} \rightarrow B; (f\ (Suc\ n)) \in f\ ' \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $f\ ' \{j. j \leq Suc\ n\} = f\ ' \{j. j \leq n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nset-img*: $f \in \{j. j \leq Suc\ n\} \rightarrow B \implies$
 $insert\ (f\ (Suc\ n))\ (f\ ' \{j. j \leq n\}) = f\ ' \{j. j \leq Suc\ n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

primrec *nasc-seq* :: $[nat\ set, nat, nat] \Rightarrow nat$
where

dec-seq-0: $nasc-seq\ A\ a\ 0 = a$
 | *dec-seq-Suc*: $nasc-seq\ A\ a\ (Suc\ n) =$
 $(SOME\ b. ((b \in A) \wedge (nasc-seq\ A\ a\ n) < b))$

lemma *nasc-seq-mem*: $\llbracket (a::nat) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(nasc-seq\ A\ a\ n) \in A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nasc-seqn*: $\llbracket (a::nat) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(nasc-seq\ A\ a\ n) < (nasc-seq\ A\ a\ (Suc\ n))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nasc-seqn1*: $\llbracket (a::nat) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $Suc\ (nasc-seq\ A\ a\ n) \leq (nasc-seq\ A\ a\ (Suc\ n))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ubs-ex-n-maxTr*: $\llbracket (a::nat) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (a + n) \leq (nasc-seq\ A\ a\ n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ubs-ex-n-max*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists! m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

n-max :: $nat\ set \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
n-max $A = (THE\ m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m))$

lemma *n-max*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\}; A \neq \{\}\rrbracket \implies$
 $(n\text{-max } A) \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq (n\text{-max } A))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *n-max-eq-sets*: $\llbracket A = B; A \neq \{\}; \exists n. A \subseteq \{j. j \leq n\}\rrbracket \implies$
 $n\text{-max } A = n\text{-max } B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-mem*: $l \in \{i. i \leq n\} \implies (\text{skip } i \ l) \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-fun*: $(\text{skip } i) \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr0*: $x \in \{i. i \leq n\} \implies \text{skip } 0 \ x = \text{Suc } x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr0-1*: $0 < y \implies \text{skip } 0 \ (y - \text{Suc } 0) = y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr1*: $\llbracket i \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; 0 < i; x \leq i - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{skip } i \ x = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr1-1*: $\llbracket i \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; 0 < i; x < i \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{skip } i \ x = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr1-2*: $\llbracket i \leq (\text{Suc } n); x < i \rrbracket \implies \text{skip } i \ x = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr2*: $\llbracket 0 < i; i \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; i \leq x \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{skip } i \ x = \text{Suc } x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr2-1*: $\llbracket i \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; i \leq x \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{skip } i \ x = \text{Suc } x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr3*: $x \in \{i. i \leq n\} \implies \text{skip } (\text{Suc } n) \ x = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-im-Tr4*: $\llbracket x \leq \text{Suc } n; 0 < x \rrbracket \implies x - \text{Suc } 0 \leq n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-fun-im*: $i \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \implies$
 $(\text{skip } i) \text{ ` } \{j. j \leq n\} = (\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *skip-fun-im1*: $\llbracket i \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; x \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{skip } i) x \in (\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\})$
 <proof>

lemma *skip-id*: $l < i \implies \text{skip } i l = l$
 <proof>

lemma *Suc-neq*: $\llbracket 0 < i; i - \text{Suc } 0 < l \rrbracket \implies i \neq \text{Suc } l$
 <proof>

lemma *skip-il-neq-i*: $\text{skip } i l \neq i$
 <proof>

lemma *skip-inj*: $\llbracket i \in \{k. k \leq n\}; j \in \{k. k \leq n\}; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{skip } k i \neq \text{skip } k j$
 <proof>

lemma *le-imp-add-int*: $i \leq (j::\text{nat}) \implies \exists k. j = i + k$
 <proof>

lemma *jointfun-hom0*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow B \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{jointfun } n f m g) \in \{l. l \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m))\} \rightarrow (A \cup B)$
 <proof>

lemma *jointfun-mem*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (n::\text{nat}). f j \in A; \forall j \leq m. g j \in B; l \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m)) \rrbracket \implies (\text{jointfun } n f m g) l \in (A \cup B)$
 <proof>

lemma *jointfun-inj*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\}; b \notin f \text{ ` } \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{jointfun } n f 0 (\lambda k \in \{0::\text{nat}\}. b)) \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}$
 <proof>

lemma *slide-hom*: $i \leq j \implies (\text{slide } i) \in \{l. l \leq (j - i)\} \rightarrow \text{nset } i j$
 <proof>

lemma *slide-mem*: $\llbracket i \leq j; l \in \{k. k \leq (j - i)\} \rrbracket \implies \text{slide } i l \in \text{nset } i j$
 <proof>

lemma *slide-iM*: $(\text{slide } i) \text{ ` } \{l. 0 \leq l\} = \{k. i \leq k\}$
 <proof>

lemma *jointfun-hom*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow B \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{jointfun } n f m g) \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m))\} \rightarrow A \cup B$
 <proof>

lemma *im-jointfunTr1*: $(\text{jointfun } n f m g) \text{ ` } \{i. i \leq n\} = f \text{ ` } \{i. i \leq n\}$
 <proof>

lemma *im-jointfunTr2*: $(\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \text{ ` (nset (Suc } n) (Suc (n + m))) =$
 $g \text{ ` } (\{j. j \leq m\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *im-jointfun*: $[\![f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow B]\!] \implies$
 $(\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \text{ ` } (\{j. j \leq (Suc (n + m))\}) =$
 $f \text{ ` } \{j. j \leq n\} \cup g \text{ ` } \{j. j \leq m\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *im-jointfun1*: $(\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \text{ ` } (\{j. j \leq (Suc (n + m))\}) =$
 $f \text{ ` } \{j. j \leq n\} \cup g \text{ ` } \{j. j \leq m\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *jointfun-surj*: $[\![f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; \text{surj-to } f \ \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \ A;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } g \ \{j. j \leq m\} \ B]\!] \implies$
 $\text{surj-to } (\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \ (A \cup B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nset-un*: $\{j. j \leq (Suc \ n)\} = \{j. j \leq n\} \cup \{\text{Suc } n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nsetn-sub*: $\{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq \{j. j \leq (Suc \ n)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nset-pre-sub*: $(0::\text{nat}) < k \implies \{j. j \leq (k - \text{Suc } 0)\} \subseteq \{j. j \leq k\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nset-pre-un*: $(0::\text{nat}) < k \implies \{j. j \leq k\} = \{j. j \leq (k - \text{Suc } 0)\} \cup \{k\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nsetn-sub-mem*: $l \in \{j. j \leq n\} \implies l \in \{j. j \leq (Suc \ n)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nsetn-sub-mem1*: $\forall j. j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \longrightarrow j \in \{j. j \leq (Suc \ n)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nset-Suc*: $\{j. j \leq (Suc \ n)\} = \text{insert } (\text{Suc } n) \ \{j. j \leq n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nsetnm-sub-mem*: $\forall j. j \in \text{nset } n \ (n + m) \longrightarrow j \in \text{nset } n \ (Suc \ (n + m))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nset-0*: $\{j. j \leq (0::\text{nat})\} = \{0\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nset-Suc0*: $\{i. i \leq (Suc \ 0)\} = \{0, \text{Suc } 0\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nset-Suc-Suc*: $Suc (Suc 0) \leq n \implies$
 $\{j. j \leq (n - Suc (Suc 0))\} = \{j. j \leq n\} - \{n - Suc 0, n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *func-pre*: $f \in \{j. j \leq (Suc n)\} \rightarrow A \implies f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *image-Nset-Suc*: $f \text{ ` } (\{j. j \leq (Suc n)\}) =$
 $insert (f (Suc n)) (f \text{ ` } \{j. j \leq n\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

Nleast :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
Nleast *A* = (*THE* *a*. ($a \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. a \leq x)$))

definition

Nlb :: [*nat set*, *nat*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
Nlb *A* *n* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. n \leq a)$

primrec *ndec-seq* :: [*nat set*, *nat*, *nat*] \Rightarrow *nat* **where**

ndec-seq-0 : *ndec-seq* *A* *a* 0 = *a*
 | *ndec-seq-Suc*: *ndec-seq* *A* *a* (*Suc* *n*) =
 (*SOME* *b*. ($(b \in A) \wedge b < (\text{ndec-seq } A \text{ } a \text{ } n)$))

lemma *ndec-seq-mem*: $\llbracket a \in (A::\text{nat set}); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{ndec-seq } A \text{ } a \text{ } n) \in A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ndec-seqn*: $\llbracket a \in (A::\text{nat set}); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{ndec-seq } A \text{ } a \text{ } (\text{Suc } n)) < (\text{ndec-seq } A \text{ } a \text{ } n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ndec-seqn1*: $\llbracket a \in (A::\text{nat set}); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{ndec-seq } A \text{ } a \text{ } (\text{Suc } n)) \leq (\text{ndec-seq } A \text{ } a \text{ } n) - 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ex-NleastTr*: $\llbracket a \in (A::\text{nat set}); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{ndec-seq } A \text{ } a \text{ } n) \leq (a - n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nat-le*: $((a::\text{nat}) - (a + 1)) \leq 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ex-Nleast*: $(A::\text{nat set}) \neq \{\} \implies \exists! m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nleast*: $(A::\text{nat set}) \neq \{\} \implies \text{Nleast } A \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. (\text{Nleast } A) \leq x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

1.5.1 Lemmas for existence of reduced chain.

lemma *jointgd-tool1*: $0 < i \implies 0 \leq i - \text{Suc } 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *jointgd-tool2*: $0 < i \implies i = \text{Suc } (i - \text{Suc } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *jointgd-tool3*: $\llbracket 0 < i; i \leq m \rrbracket \implies i - \text{Suc } 0 \leq (m - \text{Suc } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *jointgd-tool4*: $n < i \implies i - n = \text{Suc } (i - \text{Suc } n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *pos-prec-less*: $0 < i \implies i - \text{Suc } 0 < i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Un-less-Un*: $[f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow (X::'a \text{ set set});$
 $A \subseteq \bigcup (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\});$
 $i \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; j \in \{l. l \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; i \neq j \wedge f i \subseteq f j]$
 $\implies A \subseteq \bigcup (\text{compose } \{j. j \leq n\} f (\text{skip } i) \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

1.6 Lower bounded set of integers

definition *Zset* = $\{x. \exists (n::\text{int}). x = n\}$

definition

Zleast :: $\text{int set} \Rightarrow \text{int}$ **where**
Zleast $A = (\text{THE } a. (a \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. a \leq x)))$

definition

LB :: $[\text{int set}, \text{int}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
LB $A n = (\forall a \in A. n \leq a)$

lemma *linorder-linear1*: $(m::\text{int}) < n \vee n \leq m$
 ⟨proof⟩

primrec *dec-seq* :: $[\text{int set}, \text{int}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{int}$
where

dec-seq-0: $\text{dec-seq } A a 0 = a$
 | *dec-seq-Suc*: $\text{dec-seq } A a (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{SOME } b. ((b \in A) \wedge b < (\text{dec-seq } A a n)))$

lemma *dec-seq-mem*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq \text{Zset}; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{dec-seq } A a n) \in A$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *dec-seqn*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq \text{Zset}; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{dec-seq } A a (\text{Suc } n)) < (\text{dec-seq } A a n)$

<proof>

lemma *dec-seqn1*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq Zset; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(dec\text{-}seq\ A\ a\ (Suc\ n)) \leq (dec\text{-}seq\ A\ a\ n) - 1$

<proof>

lemma *lbs-ex-ZleastTr*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq Zset; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(dec\text{-}seq\ A\ a\ n) \leq (a - int(n))$

<proof>

lemma *big-int-less*: $a - int(nat(abs(a) + abs(N) + 1)) < N$
<proof>

lemma *lbs-ex-Zleast*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq Zset; LB\ A\ n \rrbracket \implies \exists! m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)$
<proof>

lemma *Zleast*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq Zset; LB\ A\ n \rrbracket \implies Zleast\ A \in A \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in A. (Zleast\ A) \leq x)$
<proof>

lemma *less-convert1*: $\llbracket a = c; a < b \rrbracket \implies c < b$
<proof>

lemma *less-convert2*: $\llbracket a = b; b < c \rrbracket \implies a < c$
<proof>

1.7 Augmented integer: integer and $\infty - \infty$

definition

zag :: *(int * int) set where*

$zag = \{(x,y) \mid x\ y. x * y = (0::int) \wedge (y = -1 \vee y = 0 \vee y = 1)\}$

definition

zag-pl:: $[(int * int), (int * int)] \Rightarrow (int * int)$ **where**

zag-pl *x y* == *if* $(snd\ x + snd\ y) = 2$ *then* $(0, 1)$

else if $(snd\ x + snd\ y) = 1$ *then* $(0, 1)$

else if $(snd\ x + snd\ y) = 0$ *then* $(fst\ x + fst\ y, 0)$

else if $(snd\ x + snd\ y) = -1$ *then* $(0, -1)$

else if $(snd\ x + snd\ y) = -2$ *then* $(0, -1)$ *else undefined*

definition

zag-t :: $[(int * int), (int * int)] \Rightarrow (int * int)$ **where**

zag-t *x y* = *if* $(snd\ x) * (snd\ y) = 0$ *then*

if $0 < (fst\ x) * (snd\ y) + (snd\ x) * (fst\ y)$ *then* $(0, 1)$

else if $(fst\ x) * (snd\ y) + (snd\ x) * (fst\ y) = 0$

then $((fst\ x) * (fst\ y), 0)$ *else* $(0, -1)$

else if $0 < (snd\ x) * (snd\ y)$ *then* $(0, 1)$ *else* $(0, -1)$

definition $Ainteg = zag$

typedef $ant = Ainteg$
morphisms $Rep-Ainteg Abs-Ainteg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $ant :: int \Rightarrow ant$ **where**
 $ant\ m = Abs-Ainteg\ (m, 0)$

definition
 $tna :: ant \Rightarrow int$ **where**
 $tna\ z = (if\ Rep-Ainteg(z) \neq (0,1) \wedge Rep-Ainteg(z) \neq (0,-1)\ then$
 $\quad fst\ (Rep-Ainteg(z))\ else\ undefined)$

instantiation $ant :: \{zero, one, plus, uminus, minus, times, ord\}$
begin

definition
 $Zero-ant-def : 0 == ant\ 0$

definition
 $One-ant-def : 1 == ant\ 1$

definition
 $add-ant-def:$
 $z + w ==$
 $Abs-Ainteg\ (zag-pl\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z)\ (Rep-Ainteg\ w))$

definition
 $minus-ant-def : - z ==$
 $Abs-Ainteg((- (fst\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z)), - (snd\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z))))$

definition
 $diff-ant-def: z - (w::ant) == z + (-w)$

definition
 $mult-ant-def:$
 $z * w ==$
 $Abs-Ainteg\ (zag-t\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z)\ (Rep-Ainteg\ w))$

definition
 $le-ant-def:$
 $(z::ant) \leq w == if\ (snd\ (Rep-Ainteg\ w)) = 1\ then\ True$
 $\quad else\ (if\ (snd\ (Rep-Ainteg\ w)) = 0\ then\ (if\ (snd\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z)) = 1$
 $\quad then\ False\ else\ (if\ (snd\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z)) = 0\ then$
 $\quad (fst\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z)) \leq (fst\ (Rep-Ainteg\ w))\ else\ True))$
 $\quad else\ (if\ snd\ (Rep-Ainteg\ z) = -1\ then\ True\ else\ False))$

definition

less-ant-def: $((z::ant) < (w::ant)) == (z \leq w \wedge z \neq w)$

instance $\langle proof \rangle$

end

definition

inf-ant :: *ant* $\langle \infty \rangle$ **where**
 $\infty = Abs-Ainteg((0,1))$

definition

an :: *nat* \Rightarrow *ant* **where**
 $an\ m = ant\ (int\ m)$

definition

na :: *ant* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
 $na\ x = (if\ (x < 0)\ then\ 0\ else$
 $if\ x \neq \infty\ then\ (nat\ (tna\ x))\ else\ undefined)$

definition

UBset :: *ant* \Rightarrow *ant set* **where**
 $UBset\ z = \{(x::ant).\ x \leq z\}$

definition

LBset :: *ant* \Rightarrow *ant set* **where**
 $LBset\ z = \{(x::ant).\ z \leq x\}$

lemma *ant-z-in-Ainteg*: $(z::int, 0) \in Ainteg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ant-inf-in-Ainteg*: $((0::int), 1) \in Ainteg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ant-minf-in-Ainteg*: $((0::int), -1) \in Ainteg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ant-0-in-Ainteg*: $((0::int), 0) \in Ainteg$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *an-0[simp]*: $an\ 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *an-1[simp]*: $an\ 1 = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mem-ant*: $(a::ant) = -\infty \vee (\exists (z::int). a = ant\ z) \vee a = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $minf:-\infty = Abs-Ainteg((0,-1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $z-neq-inf[simp]:(ant z) \neq \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $z-neq-minf[simp]:(ant z) \neq -\infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $minf-neq-inf[simp]:-\infty \neq \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-ipi[simp]:\infty + \infty = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-zpi[simp]:(ant z) + \infty = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-ipz[simp]:\infty + (ant z) = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-zpz:(ant m) + (ant n) = ant (m + n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-mpi[simp]:-\infty + \infty = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-ipm[simp]:\infty + (-\infty) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-mpm[simp]:-\infty + (-\infty) = -\infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-mpz[simp]:-\infty + (ant m) = -\infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-zpm[simp]:(ant m) + (-\infty) = -\infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-mdi[simp]:-\infty - \infty = -\infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-zdz:(ant m) - (ant n) = ant (m - n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-i-i[simp]:\infty * \infty = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a-0-i[simp]: 0 * \infty = 0$
<proof>

lemma $a-i-0[simp]: \infty * 0 = 0$
<proof>

lemma $a-0-m[simp]: 0 * (-\infty) = 0$
<proof>

lemma $a-m-0[simp]: (-\infty) * 0 = 0$
<proof>

lemma $a-m-i[simp]: (-\infty) * \infty = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma $a-i-m[simp]: \infty * (-\infty) = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma $a-pos-i[simp]: 0 < m \implies (ant\ m) * \infty = \infty$
<proof>

lemma $a-i-pos[simp]: 0 < m \implies \infty * (ant\ m) = \infty$
<proof>

lemma $a-neg-i[simp]: m < 0 \implies (ant\ m) * \infty = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma $a-i-neg[simp]: m < 0 \implies \infty * (ant\ m) = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma $a-z-z:(ant\ m) * (ant\ n) = ant\ (m*n)$
<proof>

lemma $a-pos-m[simp]: 0 < m \implies (ant\ m) * (-\infty) = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma $a-m-pos[simp]: 0 < m \implies (-\infty) * (ant\ m) = -\infty$
<proof>

lemma $a-neg-m[simp]: m < 0 \implies (ant\ m) * (-\infty) = \infty$
<proof>

lemma $neg-a-m[simp]: m < 0 \implies (-\infty) * (ant\ m) = \infty$
<proof>

lemma $a-m-m[simp]: (-\infty) * (-\infty) = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *inj-on-Abs-Ainteg:inj-on Abs-Ainteg Ainteg*
<proof>

lemma *an-Suc:an (Suc n) = an n + 1*
<proof>

lemma *aeq-zeq [iff]: (ant m = ant n) = (m = n)*
<proof>

lemma *aminus:- ant m = ant (-m)*
<proof>

lemma *aminusZero:- ant 0 = ant 0*
<proof>

lemma *ant-0: ant 0 = (0::ant)*
<proof>

lemma *inf-neq-0[simp]: $\infty \neq 0$*
<proof>

lemma *zero-neq-inf[simp]: $0 \neq \infty$*
<proof>

lemma *minf-neq-0[simp]: $-\infty \neq 0$*
<proof>

lemma *zero-neq-minf[simp]: $0 \neq -\infty$*
<proof>

lemma *a-minus-zero[simp]: $-(0::ant) = 0$*
<proof>

lemma *a-minus-minus: $-(-z) = (z::ant)$*
<proof>

lemma *aminus-0: $-(-0) = (0::ant)$*
<proof>

lemma *a-a-z-0:[$0 < z; a * ant z = 0$] $\implies a = 0$*
<proof>

lemma *adiv-eq:[$z \neq 0; a * (ant z) = b * (ant z)$] $\implies a = b$*
<proof>

lemma *aminus-add-distrib: $-(z + w) = (-z) + (-w::ant)$*
<proof>

lemma *aadd-commute*: $(x::ant) + y = y + x$
<proof>

definition

aug-inf :: *ant set* ($\langle Z_\infty \rangle$) **where**
 $Z_\infty = \{(z::ant). z \neq -\infty\}$

definition

aug-minf :: *ant set* ($\langle Z_{-\infty} \rangle$) **where**
 $Z_{-\infty} = \{(z::ant). z \neq \infty\}$

lemma *z-in-aug-inf*: $ant\ z \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *Zero-in-aug-inf*: $0 \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *z-in-aug-minf*: $ant\ z \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *mem-aug-minf*: $a \in Z_{-\infty} \implies a = -\infty \vee (\exists z. a = ant\ z)$
<proof>

lemma *minus-an-in-aug-minf*: $- an\ n \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *Zero-in-aug-minf*: $0 \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-assoc-i*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; y \in Z_\infty; z \in Z_\infty \rrbracket \implies (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-assoc-m*: $\llbracket x \in Z_{-\infty}; y \in Z_{-\infty}; z \in Z_{-\infty} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-0-r*: $x + (0::ant) = x$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-0-l*: $(0::ant) + x = x$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-minus-inv*: $(- x) + x = (0::ant)$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-minus-r*: $x + (- x) = (0::ant)$
<proof>

lemma *ant-minus-inj*: $ant\ z \neq ant\ w \implies - ant\ z \neq - ant\ w$

<proof>

lemma *aminus-mult-minus*: $(- (ant z)) * (ant w) = - ((ant z) * (ant w))$
<proof>

lemma *amult-commute*: $(x::ant) * y = y * x$
<proof>

lemma *z-le-i[simp]*: $(ant x) \leq \infty$
<proof>

lemma *z-less-i[simp]*: $(ant x) < \infty$
<proof>

lemma *m-le-z*: $-\infty \leq (ant x)$
<proof>

lemma *m-less-z[simp]*: $-\infty < (ant x)$
<proof>

lemma *noninf-mem-Z*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; x \neq \infty \rrbracket \implies \exists (z::int). x = ant z$
<proof>

lemma *z-mem-Z*: $ant z \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *inf-ge-any[simp]*: $x \leq \infty$
<proof>

lemma *zero-lt-inf*: $0 < \infty$
<proof>

lemma *minf-le-any[simp]*: $-\infty \leq x$
<proof>

lemma *minf-less-0*: $-\infty < 0$
<proof>

lemma *ale-antisym[simp]*: $\llbracket (x::ant) \leq y; y \leq x \rrbracket \implies x = y$
<proof>

lemma *x-gt-inf[simp]*: $\infty \leq x \implies x = \infty$
<proof>

lemma *Zinf-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; y \in Z_\infty \rrbracket \implies x + y \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *Zminf-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket x \in Z_{-\infty}; y \in Z_{-\infty} \rrbracket \implies x + y \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *amult-distrib1*: $(ant\ z) \neq 0 \implies$
 $(a + b) * (ant\ z) = a * (ant\ z) + b * (ant\ z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *amult-0-r*: $(ant\ z) * 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *amult-0-l*: $0 * (ant\ z) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

asprod :: $[int, ant] \Rightarrow ant$ (**infixl** $\langle *_a \rangle$ 200) **where**
 $m *_a x ==$
 if $x = \infty$ then (if $0 < m$ then ∞ else (if $m < 0$ then $-\infty$ else
 if $m = 0$ then 0 else undefined))
 else (if $x = -\infty$ then
 (if $0 < m$ then $-\infty$ else (if $m < 0$ then ∞ else
 if $m = 0$ then 0 else undefined))
 else $(ant\ m) * x$)

lemma *asprod-pos-inf[simp]*: $0 < m \implies m *_a \infty = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asprod-neg-inf[simp]*: $m < 0 \implies m *_a \infty = -\infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asprod-pos-minf[simp]*: $0 < m \implies m *_a (-\infty) = (-\infty)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asprod-neg-minf[simp]*: $m < 0 \implies m *_a (-\infty) = \infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asprod-mult*: $m *_a (ant\ n) = ant(m * n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asprod-1-1*: $*_a\ x = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *agsprod-assoc-a*: $m *_a (n *_a (ant\ x)) = (m * n) *_a (ant\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *agsprod-assoc*: $\llbracket m \neq 0; n \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies m *_a (n *_a x) = (m * n) *_a x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asprod-distrib1*: $m \neq 0 \implies m *_a (x + y) = (m *_a x) + (m *_a y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *asprod-0-x[simp]*: $0 *_{\mathbb{A}} x = 0$

<proof>

lemma *asprod-n-0*: $n *_{\mathbb{A}} 0 = 0$

<proof>

lemma *asprod-distrib2*: $\llbracket 0 < i; 0 < j \rrbracket \implies (i + j) *_{\mathbb{A}} x = (i *_{\mathbb{A}} x) + (j *_{\mathbb{A}} x)$

<proof>

lemma *asprod-minus*: $x \neq -\infty \wedge x \neq \infty \implies -z *_{\mathbb{A}} x = z *_{\mathbb{A}} (-x)$

<proof>

lemma *asprod-div-eq*: $\llbracket n \neq 0; n *_{\mathbb{A}} x = n *_{\mathbb{A}} y \rrbracket \implies x = y$

<proof>

lemma *asprod-0*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; z *_{\mathbb{A}} x = 0 \rrbracket \implies x = 0$

<proof>

lemma *asp-z-Z*: $z *_{\mathbb{A}} \text{ant } x \in Z_{\infty}$

<proof>

lemma *tna-ant*: $\text{tna } (\text{ant } z) = z$

<proof>

lemma *ant-tna*: $x \neq \infty \wedge x \neq -\infty \implies \text{ant } (\text{tna } x) = x$

<proof>

lemma *ant-sol*: $\llbracket a \in Z_{\infty}; b \in Z_{\infty}; c \in Z_{\infty}; b \neq \infty; a = b + c \rrbracket \implies a - b = c$

<proof>

1.7.1 Ordering of integers and ordering nats

1.7.2 The \leq Ordering

lemma *zneq-aneq*: $(n \neq m) = ((\text{ant } n) \neq (\text{ant } m))$

<proof>

lemma *ale*: $(n \leq m) = ((\text{ant } n) \leq (\text{ant } m))$

<proof>

lemma *ales*: $(n < m) = ((\text{ant } n) < (\text{ant } m))$

<proof>

lemma *ale-refl*: $w \leq (w::\text{ant})$

<proof>

lemma *aeq-ale*: $(a::\text{ant}) = b \implies a \leq b$

<proof>

lemma *ale-trans*: $\llbracket (i::ant) \leq j; j \leq k \rrbracket \implies i \leq k$
<proof>

lemma *ales-le-not-le*: $((w::ant) < z) = (w \leq z \wedge \neg z \leq w)$
<proof>

instance *ant* :: *order*
<proof>

lemma *ale-linear*: $(z::ant) \leq w \vee w \leq z$
<proof>

instance *ant* :: *linorder*
<proof>

lemmas *ales-linear* = *less-linear* [**where** 'a = *ant*]

lemma *ant-eq-0-conv* [*simp*]: $(ant\ n = 0) = (n = 0)$
<proof>

lemma *ales-zless*: $(ant\ m < ant\ n) = (m < n)$
<proof>

lemma *a0-less-int-conv* [*simp*]: $(0 < ant\ n) = (0 < n)$
<proof>

lemma *a0-less-1*: $0 < (1::ant)$
<proof>

lemma *a0-neq-1* [*simp*]: $0 \neq (1::ant)$
<proof>

lemma *ale-zle* [*simp*]: $((ant\ i) \leq (ant\ j)) = (i \leq j)$
<proof>

lemma *ant-1* [*simp*]: $ant\ 1 = 1$
<proof>

lemma *zpos-apos*: $(0 \leq n) = (0 \leq (ant\ n))$
<proof>

lemma *zposs-apos*: $(0 < n) = (0 < (ant\ n))$
<proof>

lemma *an-nat-pos* [*simp*]: $0 \leq an\ n$
<proof>

lemma *amult-one-l*: $1 * (x::ant) = x$

<proof>

lemma *amult-one-r*: $(x::ant) * 1 = x$

<proof>

lemma *amult-eq-eq-r*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; a * ant\ z = b * ant\ z \rrbracket \implies a = b$

<proof>

lemma *amult-eq-eq-l*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; (ant\ z) * a = (ant\ z) * b \rrbracket \implies a = b$

<proof>

lemma *amult-pos*: $\llbracket 0 < b; 0 \leq x \rrbracket \implies x \leq (b *_a x)$

<proof>

lemma *asprod-amult*: $0 < z \implies z *_a x = (ant\ z) * x$

<proof>

lemma *amult-pos1*: $\llbracket 0 < b; 0 \leq x \rrbracket \implies x \leq ((ant\ b) * x)$

<proof>

lemma *amult-pos-mono-l*: $0 < w \implies (((ant\ w) * x) \leq ((ant\ w) * y)) = (x \leq y)$

<proof>

lemma *amult-pos-mono-r*: $0 < w \implies ((x * (ant\ w)) \leq (y * (ant\ w))) = (x \leq y)$

<proof>

lemma *apos-neq-minf*: $0 \leq a \implies a \neq -\infty$

<proof>

lemma *asprod-pos-mono*: $0 < w \implies ((w *_a x) \leq (w *_a y)) = (x \leq y)$

<proof>

lemma *a-inv*: $(a::ant) + b = 0 \implies a = -b$

<proof>

lemma *asprod-pos-pos*: $0 \leq x \implies 0 \leq int\ n *_a x$

<proof>

lemma *asprod-1-x[simp]*: $1 *_a x = x$

<proof>

lemma *asprod-n-1[simp]*: $n *_a 1 = ant\ n$

<proof>

1.7.3 Aug ordering

lemma *ales-imp-le*: $x < (y::ant) \implies x \leq y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gt-a0-ge-1*: $(0::ant) < x \implies 1 \leq x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gt-a0-ge-aN*: $\llbracket 0 < x; N \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies (ant (int N)) \leq (int N) *_a x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ales-le-trans*: $\llbracket (x::ant) < y; y \leq z \rrbracket \implies x < z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ale-less-trans*: $\llbracket (x::ant) \leq y; y < z \rrbracket \implies x < z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ales-trans*: $\llbracket (x::ant) < y; y < z \rrbracket \implies x < z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ale-neq-less*: $\llbracket (x::ant) \leq y; x \neq y \rrbracket \implies x < y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aneg-le*: $(\neg (x::ant) \leq y) = (y < x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aneg-less*: $(\neg x < (y::ant)) = (y \leq x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aadd-le-mono*: $x \leq (y::ant) \implies x + z \leq y + z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aadd-less-mono-z*: $(x::ant) < y \implies (x + (ant z)) < (y + (ant z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ales-le-suc[simp]*: $(a::ant) < b \implies a + 1 \leq b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aposs-le-1*: $(0::ant) < x \implies 1 \leq x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pos-in-aug-inf*: $(0::ant) \leq x \implies x \in Z_\infty$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aug-inf-noninf-is-z*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; x \neq \infty \rrbracket \implies \exists z. x = ant z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aadd-two-pos*: $\llbracket 0 \leq (x::ant); 0 \leq y \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq x + y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aadd-pos-poss*: $\llbracket (0::ant) \leq x; 0 < y \rrbracket \implies 0 < (x + y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aadd-poss-pos*: $\llbracket (0::ant) < x; 0 \leq y \rrbracket \implies 0 < (x + y)$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-poss-le*: $0 \leq (a::ant) \implies b \leq a + b$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-poss-less*: $\llbracket b \neq \infty; b \neq -\infty; 0 < a \rrbracket \implies b < a + b$
<proof>

lemma *ale-neg*: $(0::ant) \leq x \implies (-x) \leq 0$
<proof>

lemma *ale-diff-pos*: $(x::ant) \leq y \implies 0 \leq (y - x)$
<proof>

lemma *ales-diff-poss*: $(x::ant) < y \implies 0 < (y - x)$
<proof>

lemma *ale-minus*: $(x::ant) \leq y \implies -y \leq -x$
<proof>

lemma *ales-minus*: $(x::ant) < y \implies -y < -x$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-minus-le*: $(a::ant) \leq 0 \implies a + b \leq b$
<proof>

lemma *aadd-minus-less*: $\llbracket b \neq -\infty \wedge b \neq \infty; (a::ant) < 0 \rrbracket \implies a + b < b$
<proof>

lemma *an-inj*: $an\ n = an\ m \implies n = m$
<proof>

lemma *nat-eq-an-eq*: $n = m \implies an\ n = an\ m$
<proof>

lemma *aneq-natneq*: $(an\ n \neq an\ m) = (n \neq m)$
<proof>

lemma *ale-natle*: $(an\ n \leq an\ m) = (n \leq m)$
<proof>

lemma *ales-natless*: $(an\ n < an\ m) = (n < m)$
<proof>

lemma *na-an*: $na\ (an\ n) = n$
<proof>

lemma *asprod-ge*:

$0 < b \implies N \neq 0 \implies an\ N \leq int\ N *_a\ b$
<proof>

lemma *an-npn*: $an\ (n + m) = an\ n + an\ m$

<proof>

lemma *an-ndn*: $n \leq m \implies an\ (m - n) = an\ m - an\ n$

<proof>

1.8 Amin, amax

definition

amin :: $[ant, ant] \Rightarrow ant$ **where**
amin $x\ y = (if\ (x \leq y)\ then\ x\ else\ y)$

definition

amax :: $[ant, ant] \Rightarrow ant$ **where**
amax $x\ y = (if\ (x \leq y)\ then\ y\ else\ x)$

primrec *Amin* :: $[nat, nat \Rightarrow ant] \Rightarrow ant$

where

Amin-0 : $Amin\ 0\ f = (f\ 0)$

| *Amin-Suc* : $Amin\ (Suc\ n)\ f = amin\ (Amin\ n\ f)\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

primrec *Amax* :: $[nat, nat \Rightarrow ant] \Rightarrow ant$

where

Amax-0 : $Amax\ 0\ f = f\ 0$

| *Amax-Suc* : $Amax\ (Suc\ n)\ f = amax\ (Amax\ n\ f)\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

lemma *amin-ge*: $x \leq amin\ x\ y \vee y \leq amin\ x\ y$

<proof>

lemma *amin-le-l*: $amin\ x\ y \leq x$

<proof>

lemma *amin-le-r*: $amin\ x\ y \leq y$

<proof>

lemma *amax-le*: $amax\ x\ y \leq x \vee amax\ x\ y \leq y$

<proof>

lemma *amax-le-n*: $\llbracket x \leq n; y \leq n \rrbracket \implies amax\ x\ y \leq n$

<proof>

lemma *amax-ge-l*: $x \leq amax\ x\ y$

<proof>

lemma *amax-ge-r*: $y \leq amax\ x\ y$

<proof>

lemma *amin-mem-i*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; y \in Z_\infty \rrbracket \implies \text{amin } x \ y \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *amax-mem-m*: $\llbracket x \in Z_{-\infty}; y \in Z_{-\infty} \rrbracket \implies \text{amax } x \ y \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *amin-commute*: $\text{amin } x \ y = \text{amin } y \ x$
<proof>

lemma *amin-mult-pos*: $0 < z \implies \text{amin } (z *_a x) (z *_a y) = z *_a \text{amin } x \ y$
<proof>

lemma *amin-amult-pos*: $0 < z \implies$
 $\text{amin } ((\text{ant } z) * x) ((\text{ant } z) * y) = (\text{ant } z) * \text{amin } x \ y$
<proof>

lemma *times-amin*: $\llbracket 0 < a; \text{amin } (x * (\text{ant } a)) (y * (\text{ant } a)) \leq z * (\text{ant } a) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{amin } x \ y \leq z$
<proof>

lemma *Amin-memTr*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty \longrightarrow \text{Amin } n \ f \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *Amin-mem*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty \implies \text{Amin } n \ f \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *Amam-memTr*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty} \longrightarrow \text{Amam } n \ f \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *Amam-mem*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty} \implies \text{Amam } n \ f \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *Amin-mem-mem*: $\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in Z_\infty \implies \text{Amin } n \ f \in Z_\infty$
<proof>

lemma *Amam-mem-mem*: $\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in Z_{-\infty} \implies \text{Amam } n \ f \in Z_{-\infty}$
<proof>

lemma *Amin-leTr*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty \longrightarrow (\forall j \in \{i. i \leq n\}. \text{Amin } n \ f \leq (f \ j))$
<proof>

lemma *Amin-le*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty; j \in \{k. k \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies \text{Amin } n \ f \leq (f \ j)$
<proof>

lemma *Amam-geTr*: $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty} \longrightarrow (\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (f \ j) \leq \text{Amam } n \ f)$
<proof>

lemma *Amax-ge*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty}; j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f j) \leq (Amax\ n\ f)$

<proof>

lemma *Amin-mem-le*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. (f j) \in Z_{\infty}; j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(Amin\ n\ f) \leq (f j)$

<proof>

lemma *Amax-mem-le*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. (f j) \in Z_{-\infty}; j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f j) \leq (Amax\ n\ f)$

<proof>

lemma *amin-ge1*: $\llbracket (z::ant) \leq x; z \leq y \rrbracket \implies z \leq amin\ x\ y$

<proof>

lemma *amin-gt*: $\llbracket (z::ant) < x; z < y \rrbracket \implies z < amin\ x\ y$

<proof>

lemma *Amin-ge1Tr*: $(\forall j \leq (Suc\ n). (f j) \in Z_{\infty} \wedge z \leq (f j)) \longrightarrow$
 $z \leq (Amin\ (Suc\ n)\ f)$

<proof>

lemma *Amin-ge1*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (Suc\ n). f j \in Z_{\infty}; \forall j \leq (Suc\ n). z \leq (f j) \rrbracket \implies$
 $z \leq (Amin\ (Suc\ n)\ f)$

<proof>

lemma *amin-trans1*: $\llbracket x \in Z_{\infty}; y \in Z_{\infty}; z \in Z_{\infty}; z \leq x \rrbracket \implies amin\ z\ y \leq amin\ x$
 y

<proof>

lemma *inf-in-aug-inf*: $\infty \in Z_{\infty}$

<proof>

1.8.1 Maximum element of a set of ants

primrec *aasc-seq* :: $[ant\ set, ant, nat] \Rightarrow ant$

where

aasc-seq-0 : $aasc-seq\ A\ a\ 0 = a$
| *aasc-seq-Suc* : $aasc-seq\ A\ a\ (Suc\ n) =$
 $(SOME\ b. ((b \in A) \wedge (aasc-seq\ A\ a\ n) < b))$

lemma *aasc-seq-mem*: $\llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(aasc-seq\ A\ a\ n) \in A$

<proof>

lemma *aasc-seqn*: $\llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(aasc-seq\ A\ a\ n) < (aasc-seq\ A\ a\ (Suc\ n))$

<proof>

lemma *aasc-seqn1*: $\llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(aasc\text{-}seq\ A\ a\ n) + 1 \leq (aasc\text{-}seq\ A\ a\ (Suc\ n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *abs-ex-n-maxTr*: $\llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a + an\ n) \leq (aasc\text{-}seq\ A\ a\ n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *abs-ex-AMax*: $\llbracket A \subseteq UBset\ (ant\ z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \exists! m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x$
 $\leq m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

AMax :: *ant set* \Rightarrow *ant* **where**
 $AMax\ A = (THE\ m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m))$

definition

AMin::*ant set* \Rightarrow *ant* **where**
 $AMin\ A = (THE\ m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x))$

definition

rev-o :: *ant* \Rightarrow *ant* **where**
 $rev\text{-}o\ x = -\ x$

lemma *AMax*: $\llbracket A \subseteq UBset\ (ant\ z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(AMax\ A) \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq (AMax\ A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *AMax-mem*: $\llbracket A \subseteq UBset\ (ant\ z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (AMax\ A) \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-map-nonempty*: $A \neq \{\} \implies rev\text{-}o\ 'A \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-map*: $rev\text{-}o \in LBset\ (ant\ (-z)) \rightarrow UBset\ (ant\ z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *abs-ex-AMin*: $\llbracket A \subseteq LBset\ (ant\ z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \exists! m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m$
 $\leq x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *AMin*: $\llbracket A \subseteq LBset\ (ant\ z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(AMin\ A) \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. (AMin\ A) \leq x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *AMin-mem*: $\llbracket A \subseteq LBset\ (ant\ z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (AMin\ A) \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

primrec $ASum :: (nat \Rightarrow ant) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ant$

where

$ASum-0: ASum f 0 = f 0$

$| ASum-Suc: ASum f (Suc n) = (ASum f n) + (f (Suc n))$

lemma $age-plus: [0 \leq (a::ant); 0 \leq b; a + b \leq c] \Longrightarrow a \leq c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $age-diff-le: [(a::ant) \leq c; 0 \leq b] \Longrightarrow a - b \leq c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $adiff-le-adiff: a \leq (a'::ant) \Longrightarrow a - b \leq a' - b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $aplus-le-aminus: [a \in Z_{-\infty}; b \in Z_{-\infty}; c \in Z_{-\infty}; -b \in Z_{-\infty}] \Longrightarrow$
 $((a + b) \leq (c::ant)) = (a \leq c - b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.9 Cardinality of sets

cardinality is defined for the finite sets only

lemma $card-eq: A = B \Longrightarrow card A = card B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card0: card \{\} = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card-nonzero: [finite A; card A \neq 0] \Longrightarrow A \neq \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $finite1: finite \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card1: card \{a\} = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nonempty-card-pos: [finite A; A \neq \{\}] \Longrightarrow 0 < card A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nonempty-card-pos1: [finite A; A \neq \{\}] \Longrightarrow Suc 0 \leq card A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card1-tr0: [finite A; card A = Suc 0; a \in A] \Longrightarrow \{a\} = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card1-tr1: (constmap \{0::nat\} \{x\}) \in \{0\} \rightarrow \{x\} \wedge$
 $surj-to (constmap \{0::nat\} \{x\}) \{0\} \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card1-Tr2*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists f. f \in \{0::\text{nat}\} \rightarrow A \wedge \text{surj-to } f \{0\} A$
 <proof>

lemma *card2*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; a \in A; b \in A; a \neq b \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) \leq \text{card } A$
 <proof>

lemma *card2-inc-two*: $\llbracket 0 < (n::\text{nat}); x \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists y \in \{j. j \leq n\}. x \neq y$
 <proof>

lemma *Nset2-prep1*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies \exists x. x \in A$
 <proof>

lemma *ex-least-set*: $\llbracket A = \{H. \text{finite } H \wedge P H\}; H \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists K \in A. (\text{LEAST } j. j \in (\text{card } A)) = \text{card } K$
 <proof>

lemma *Nset2-prep2*: $x \in A \implies A - \{x\} \cup \{x\} = A$
 <proof>

lemma *Nset2-finiteTr*: $\forall A. (\text{finite } A \wedge (\text{card } A = \text{Suc } n) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists f. f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow A \wedge \text{surj-to } f \{i. i \leq n\} A))$
 <proof>

lemma *Nset2-finite*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists f. f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow A \wedge \text{surj-to } f \{i. i \leq n\} A$
 <proof>

lemma *Nset2finite-inj-tr0*: $j \in \{i. i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \implies$
 $\text{card } (\{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}) = n$
 <proof>

lemma *Nset2finite-inj-tr1*: $\llbracket i \leq (n::\text{nat}); j \leq n; f i = f j; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $f ' (\{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}) = f ' \{i. i \leq n\}$
 <proof>

lemma *Nset2finite-inj*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } n; \text{surj-to } f \{i. i \leq n\} A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\}$
 <proof>

definition
 $\text{zmax} :: [\text{int}, \text{int}] \Rightarrow \text{int}$ **where**
 $\text{zmax } x \ y = (\text{if } (x \leq y) \text{ then } y \text{ else } x)$

primrec $Zmax :: [nat, nat \Rightarrow int] \Rightarrow int$

where

$Zmax-0 : Zmax\ 0\ f = f\ 0$

| $Zmax-Suc : Zmax\ (Suc\ n)\ f = zmax\ (Zmax\ n\ f)\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

lemma $Zmax-memTr : f \in \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow (UNIV::int\ set) \longrightarrow$
 $Zmax\ n\ f \in f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zmax-ge-r : y \leq zmax\ x\ y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zmax-ge-l : x \leq zmax\ x\ y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Zmax-geTr : f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow (UNIV::int\ set) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (f\ j) \leq Zmax\ n\ f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Zmax-plus1 : f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow (UNIV::int\ set) \Longrightarrow$
 $((Zmax\ n\ f) + 1) \notin f\ ' \{j. j \leq n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $image-Nsetn-card-pos : 0 < card\ (f\ ' \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card-image-Nsetn-Suc$

$:\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq Suc\ n\} \rightarrow B;$

$f\ (Suc\ n) \notin f\ ' \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$card\ (f\ ' \{j. j \leq Suc\ n\}) - Suc\ 0 =$

$Suc\ (card\ (f\ ' \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc\ 0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $slide-surj :$

$\langle surj-to\ (slide\ i)\ \{l. l \leq (j - i)\}\ (nset\ i\ j) \rangle$ **if** $\langle i < j \rangle$ **for** $i\ j :: nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $slide-inj : i < j \Longrightarrow inj-on\ (slide\ i)\ \{k. k \leq (j - i)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card-nset : i < (j :: nat) \Longrightarrow card\ (nset\ i\ j) = Suc\ (j - i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sliden-hom : i < j \Longrightarrow (sliden\ i) \in nset\ i\ j \rightarrow \{k. k \leq (j - i)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $slide-sliden : (sliden\ i)\ (slide\ i\ k) = k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sliden-surj*: $i < j \implies \text{surj-to } (\text{sliden } i) (\text{nset } i \ j) \{k. k \leq (j - i)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sliden-inj*: $i < j \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{sliden } i) (\text{nset } i \ j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

transpos :: $[\text{nat}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat})$ **where**
transpos $i \ j = (\lambda k. \text{if } k = i \text{ then } j \text{ else if } k = j \text{ then } i \text{ else } k)$

lemma *transpos-id*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; x \in \{k. k \leq n\} - \{i, j\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{transpos } i \ j \ x = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-id-1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; x \leq n; x \neq i; x \neq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{transpos } i \ j \ x = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-id-2*: $i \leq n \implies \text{transpos } i \ n \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-ij-1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{transpos } i \ j \ i = j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-ij-2*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies \text{transpos } i \ j \ j = i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-hom*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{transpos } i \ j) \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-mem*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; l \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{transpos } i \ j \ l) \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-inj*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{transpos } i \ j) \{i. i \leq n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *transpos-surjec*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{surj-to } (\text{transpos } i \ j) \{i. i \leq n\} \{i. i \leq n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *comp-transpos*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall k \leq n. (\text{compose } \{i. i \leq n\} (\text{transpos } i \ j) (\text{transpos } i \ j)) \ k = k$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cmp-transpos-1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; k \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{transpos } i \ j) ((\text{transpos } i \ j) \ k) = k$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmp-transpos1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; k \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } i \ j) \ (\text{transpos } i \ j)) \ k = k$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmp-transpos*: $\llbracket i \leq n; i \neq n; a \leq (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } i \ n) \ (\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } n \ (\text{Suc } n)) \ (\text{transpos } i \ n))) \ a =$
 $\text{transpos } i \ (\text{Suc } n) \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *im-Nset-Suc:insert* $(f \ (\text{Suc } n)) \ (f \ ' \ \{i. \ i \leq n\}) = f \ ' \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-injTr0*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\};$
 $\text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; f \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in \{i. \ i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. \ i \leq n\} \wedge \text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-surj*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. \ i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{i. \ i \leq n\};$
 $\text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rrbracket \implies f \ ' \ \{i. \ i \leq n\} = \{i. \ i \leq n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-pre-mem*: $\llbracket f: \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\};$
 $\text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; f \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n; k \leq n \rrbracket \implies f \ k \in \{i. \ i \leq n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-injTr1*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). \ f \ l \leq (\text{Suc } n); \text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\};$
 $f \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nset-injTr2*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). \ f \ l \leq (\text{Suc } n); \text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\};$
 $f \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies \forall l \leq n. \ f \ l \leq n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *TR-inj-inj*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). \ f \ l \leq (\text{Suc } n); \text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\};$
 $i \leq (\text{Suc } n); j \leq (\text{Suc } n); i < j \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{compose } \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \ (\text{transpos } i \ j) \ f) \ \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *enumeration*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. \ i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{i. \ i \leq m\}; \text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq n\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies n \leq m$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *enumerate-1*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (n::\text{nat}). \ f \ j \in A; \forall j \leq (m::\text{nat}). \ g \ j \in A;$
 $\text{inj-on } f \ \{i. \ i \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } g \ \{j. \ j \leq m\}; f \ ' \ \{j. \ j \leq n\} = A;$
 $g \ ' \ \{j. \ j \leq m\} = A \rrbracket \implies n = m$

<proof>

definition

$ninv :: [nat, (nat \Rightarrow nat)] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)$ **where**
 $ninv\ n\ f = (\lambda y \in \{i. i \leq n\}. (SOME\ x. (x \leq n \wedge y = f\ x)))$

lemma $ninv\ hom: [f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}; inj\ on\ f\ \{i. i \leq n\}] \Longrightarrow$
 $ninv\ n\ f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}$

<proof>

lemma $ninv\ r\ inv: [f \in \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}; inj\ on\ f\ \{i. i \leq n\};$
 $b \leq n] \Longrightarrow f\ (ninv\ n\ f\ b) = b$

<proof>

lemma $ninv\ inj: [f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}; inj\ on\ f\ \{i. i \leq n\}] \Longrightarrow$
 $inj\ on\ (ninv\ n\ f)\ \{i. i \leq n\}$

<proof>

1.9.1 Lemmas required in Algebra6.thy

lemma $ge2\ zmult\ pos:$

$2 \leq m \Longrightarrow 0 < z \Longrightarrow 1 < int\ m * z$

<proof>

lemma $zmult\ pos\ mono: [(0::int) < w; w * z \leq w * z'] \Longrightarrow z \leq z'$

<proof>

lemma $zmult\ pos\ mono\ r:$

$[(0::int) < w; z * w \leq z' * w] \Longrightarrow z \leq z'$

<proof>

lemma $an\ neq\ inf: an\ n \neq \infty$

<proof>

lemma $an\ neq\ minf: an\ n \neq -\infty$

<proof>

lemma $aeq\ mult: [z \neq 0; a = b] \Longrightarrow a * ant\ z = b * ant\ z$

<proof>

lemma $tna\ 0[simp]: tna\ 0 = 0$

<proof>

lemma $ale\ nat\ le: (an\ n \leq an\ m) = (n \leq m)$

<proof>

lemma $ales\ nat\ less: (an\ n < an\ m) = (n < m)$

<proof>

lemma *apos-natpos*: $\llbracket a \neq \infty; 0 \leq a \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq na\ a$
<proof>

lemma *apos-tna-pos*: $\llbracket n \neq \infty; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq tna\ n$
<proof>

lemma *apos-na-pos*: $\llbracket n \neq \infty; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq na\ n$
<proof>

lemma *aposs-tna-poss*: $\llbracket n \neq \infty; 0 < n \rrbracket \implies 0 < tna\ n$
<proof>

lemma *aposs-na-poss*: $\llbracket n \neq \infty; 0 < n \rrbracket \implies 0 < na\ n$
<proof>

lemma *nat-0-le*: $0 \leq z \implies int\ (nat\ z) = z$
<proof>

lemma *int-eq*: $m = n \implies int\ m = int\ n$
<proof>

lemma *box-equation*: $\llbracket a = b; a = c \rrbracket \implies b = c$
<proof>

lemma *aeq-nat-eq*: $\llbracket n \neq \infty; 0 \leq n; m \neq \infty; 0 \leq m \rrbracket \implies$
 $(n = m) = (na\ n = na\ m)$
<proof>

lemma *na-minf*: $na\ (-\infty) = 0$
<proof>

lemma *an-na*: $\llbracket a \neq \infty; 0 \leq a \rrbracket \implies an\ (na\ a) = a$
<proof>

lemma *not-na-le-minf*: $\neg (an\ n \leq -\infty)$
<proof>

lemma *not-na-less-minf*: $\neg (an\ n < -\infty)$
<proof>

lemma *not-na-ge-inf*: $\neg \infty \leq (an\ n)$
<proof>

lemma *an-na-le*: $j \leq an\ n \implies na\ j \leq n$
<proof>

lemma *ales-neq*: $(x::ant) < y \implies x \neq y$
<proof>

Chapter 2

Ordered Set

2.1 Basic Concepts of Ordered Sets

```
record 'a carrier =  
  carrier :: 'a set
```

```
record 'a Order = 'a carrier +  
  rel :: ('a × 'a) set
```

```
locale Order =  
  fixes D (structure)  
  assumes closed: rel D ⊆ carrier D × carrier D  
  and refl: a ∈ carrier D ⇒ (a, a) ∈ rel D  
  and antisym: [[a ∈ carrier D; b ∈ carrier D; (a, b) ∈ rel D;  
    (b, a) ∈ rel D]] ⇒ a = b  
  and trans: [[a ∈ carrier D; b ∈ carrier D; c ∈ carrier D;  
    (a, b) ∈ rel D; (b, c) ∈ rel D]] ⇒ (a, c) ∈ rel D
```

definition

```
ole :: - ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool   (infix <≤1> 60) where  
a ≤D b ⇔ (a, b) ∈ rel D
```

definition

```
oles :: - ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool   (infix <≺1> 60) where  
a ≺D b ≡ a ≤D b ∧ a ≠ b
```

```
lemma Order-component:(E::'a Order) = (| carrier = carrier E, rel = rel E |)  
<proof>
```

```
lemma Order-comp-eq:[[carrier (E::'a Order) = carrier (F::'a Order);  
  rel E = rel F]] ⇒ E = F
```

```
<proof>
```

lemma (in *Order*) *le-rel*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \preceq b) = ((a, b) \in \text{rel } D)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-imp-le*:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies a \preceq b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-refl*: $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies a \preceq a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-antisym*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \preceq b; b \preceq a \rrbracket \implies a = b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-trans*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \preceq b; b \preceq c \rrbracket \implies a \preceq c$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-trans*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \prec b; b \prec c \rrbracket \implies a \prec c$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-less-trans*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \preceq b; b \prec c \rrbracket \implies a \prec c$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-le-trans*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \prec b; b \preceq c \rrbracket \implies a \prec c$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-imp-less-or-eq*:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq b) = (a \prec b \vee a = b)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-neq*: $a \prec b \implies a \neq b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *le-neq-less*: $\llbracket a \preceq b; a \neq b \rrbracket \implies a \prec b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-irrefl*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec a \rrbracket \implies C$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-irrefl'*: $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies \neg a \prec a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *less-asym*:

$a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies a < b \implies b < a \implies C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *less-asym'*:

$a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies a < b \implies \neg b < a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *gt-than-any-outside*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall x \in A. x < b \rrbracket \implies b \notin A$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

Iod :: - \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow - **where**

Iod *D* *T* =

$D(\text{carrier} := T, \text{rel} := \{(a, b). (a, b) \in \text{rel } D \wedge a \in T \wedge b \in T\})$

definition

SIod :: 'a Order \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a Order **where**

SIod *D* *T* = $(\text{carrier} = T, \text{rel} = \{(a, b). (a, b) \in \text{rel } D \wedge a \in T \wedge b \in T\})$

lemma (in Order) *Iod-self*: $D = \text{Iod } D (\text{carrier } D)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *SIod-self*: $\text{Order } D \implies D = \text{SIod } D (\text{carrier } D)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Od-carrier*: $\text{carrier } (D(\text{carrier} := S, \text{rel} := R)) = S$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Od-rel*: $\text{rel } (D(\text{carrier} := S, \text{rel} := R)) = R$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Iod-carrier*:

$T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \implies \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D T) = T$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *SIod-carrier*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{carrier } (\text{SIod } D T) = T$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Od-compare*: $(S = S' \wedge R = R') = (D(\text{carrier} := S, \text{rel} := R))$
 $= D(\text{carrier} := S', \text{rel} := R')$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *Iod-le*:

$\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq_{\text{Iod } D T} b) = (a \preceq b)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *SIod-le*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T \rrbracket \implies$

$(a \preceq_{\text{SIod } D T} b) = (a \preceq_D b)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-less*:

$\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T \rrbracket \implies (a \prec_{\text{Iod } D \ T} b) = (a \prec b)$
<proof>

lemma *SIod-less*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \prec_{\text{SIod } D \ T} b) = (a \prec_D b)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-Order*:

$T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \implies \text{Order } (\text{Iod } D \ T)$
<proof>

lemma *SIod-Order*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{Order } (\text{SIod } D \ T)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *emptyset-Iod*: $\text{Order } (\text{Iod } D \ \{\})$
<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-sub-sub*:

$\llbracket S \subseteq T; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{Iod } (\text{Iod } D \ T) \ S = \text{Iod } D \ S$
<proof>

lemma *SIod-sub-sub*:

$\llbracket S \subseteq T; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{SIod } (\text{SIod } D \ T) \ S = \text{SIod } D \ S$
<proof>

lemma *rel-SIod*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{carrier } E \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall a \in \text{carrier } E. \forall b \in \text{carrier } E. (a \preceq_E b) = (a \preceq_D b) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{rel } E = \text{rel } (\text{SIod } D \ (\text{carrier } E))$
<proof>

lemma *SIod-self-le*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E;$

$\text{carrier } E \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } E. \forall b \in \text{carrier } E. (a \preceq_E b) = (a \preceq_D b) \rrbracket \implies$
 $E = \text{SIod } D \ (\text{carrier } E)$
<proof>

2.1.1 Total ordering

locale *Torder* = *Order* +

assumes *le-linear*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \preceq b \vee b \preceq a$

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-empty-Torder*: $\text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D \ \{\})$

<proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *le-cases*:

$\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; (a \preceq b \implies C); (b \preceq a \implies C) \rrbracket \implies C$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *Order:Order D*

<proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *less-linear*:

$a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies a \prec b \vee a = b \vee b \prec a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *not-le-less*:

$a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $(\neg a \preceq b) = (b \prec a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *not-less-le*:

$a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $(\neg a \prec b) = (b \preceq a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-not-le-less*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T;$

$\text{Torder } (Iod D T) \rrbracket \implies (\neg a \preceq_{(Iod D T)} b) = b \prec_{(Iod D T)} a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-not-less-le*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T;$

$\text{Torder } (Iod D T) \rrbracket \implies (\neg a \prec_{(Iod D T)} b) = b \preceq_{(Iod D T)} a$
 <proof>

2.1.2 Two ordered sets

definition

Order-Pow :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set *Order* ((po -) [999] 1000) **where**

po A =

{carrier = Pow A,

rel = {(X, Y). X \in Pow A \wedge Y \in Pow A \wedge X \subseteq Y}}

interpretation *order-Pow*: *Order po A*

<proof>

definition

Order-fs :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'b set \Rightarrow ('a set * ('a \Rightarrow 'b)) *Order* **where**

Order-fs A B =

{carrier = {Z. $\exists A1 f. A1 \in \text{Pow } A \wedge f \in A1 \rightarrow B \wedge$

$f \in \text{extensional } A1 \wedge Z = (A1, f)}$ },

rel = {Y. Y \in ({Z. $\exists A1 f. A1 \in \text{Pow } A \wedge f \in A1 \rightarrow B \wedge f \in \text{extensional } A1$
 $\wedge Z = (A1, f)}$) \times ({Z. $\exists A1 f. A1 \in \text{Pow } A \wedge f \in A1 \rightarrow B \wedge f \in \text{extensional } A1$

A1

$\wedge Z = (A1, f)}$) $\wedge \text{fst } (fst Y) \subseteq \text{fst } (snd Y) \wedge$

$$(\forall a \in (\text{fst } (\text{fst } Y)). (\text{snd } (\text{fst } Y)) a = (\text{snd } (\text{snd } Y)) a))\}})$$

lemma *Order-fs:Order* (*Order-fs A B*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

2.1.3 Homomorphism of ordered sets

definition

ord-inj :: [(*'a*, *'m0*) *Order-scheme*, (*'b*, *'m1*) *Order-scheme*,
 '*a* ⇒ *'b*] ⇒ *bool* **where**
ord-inj D E f ⇔ *f* ∈ *extensional* (*carrier D*) ∧
 f ∈ (*carrier D*) → (*carrier E*) ∧
 (*inj-on f* (*carrier D*)) ∧
 (∀ *a* ∈ *carrier D*. ∀ *b* ∈ *carrier D*. (*a* <_{*D*} *b*) = ((*f a*) <_{*E*} (*f b*)))

definition

ord-isom :: [(*'a*, *'m0*) *Order-scheme*, (*'b*, *'m1*) *Order-scheme*,
 '*a* ⇒ *'b*] ⇒ *bool* **where**
ord-isom D E f ⇔ *ord-inj D E f* ∧
 (*surj-to f* (*carrier D*) (*carrier E*))

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-inj-func*:[[*Order E*; *ord-inj D E f*]] ⇒
 f ∈ *carrier D* → *carrier E*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-func*:[[*Order E*; *ord-isom D E f*]] ⇒
 f ∈ *carrier D* → *carrier E*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-inj-restrict-isom*:[[*Order E*; *ord-inj D E f*; *T* ⊆ *carrier D*]]
 ⇒ *ord-isom* (*Iod D T*) (*Iod E (f ' T)*) (*restrict f T*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ord-inj-Srestrict-isom*:[[*Order D*; *Order E*; *ord-inj D E f*; *T* ⊆ *carrier D*]]
 ⇒ *ord-isom* (*SIod D T*) (*SIod E (f ' T)*) (*restrict f T*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *id-ord-isom*:*ord-isom D D* (*idmap* (*carrier D*))
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-bij-to*:[[*Order E*; *ord-isom D E f*]] ⇒
 bij-to f (*carrier D*) (*carrier E*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-inj-mem*:[[*Order E*; *ord-inj D E f*; *a* ∈ *carrier D*]] ⇒
 (*f a*) ∈ *carrier E*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-mem*:[[*Order E*; *ord-isom D E f*; *a* ∈ *carrier D*]] ⇒

$(f a) \in \text{carrier } E$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-surj*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; b \in \text{carrier } E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists a \in \text{carrier } D. b = f a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-surj-forall*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall b \in \text{carrier } E. \exists a \in \text{carrier } D. b = f a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-onto*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $f^{-1}(\text{carrier } D) = \text{carrier } E$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-inj-on*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } f (\text{carrier } D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-inj*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a = b) = ((f a) = (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-surj-to*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{surj-to } f (\text{carrier } D) (\text{carrier } E)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-inj-less*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-inj } D E f; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \prec_D b) = ((f a) \prec_E (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-less*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \prec_D b) = ((f a) \prec_E (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-less-forall*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \forall b \in \text{carrier } D. (a \prec_D b) = ((f a) \prec_E (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-le*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq_D b) = ((f a) \preceq_E (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-le-forall*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \forall b \in \text{carrier } D. (a \preceq b) = ((f a) \preceq_E (f b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-convert*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f;$
 $x \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (\forall y \in \text{carrier } D. (x \prec y \longrightarrow \neg y \prec a)) =$

$(\forall z \in \text{carrier } E. ((f x) \prec_E z \longrightarrow \neg z \prec_E (f a)))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-sym*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f]] \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{ord-isom } E D (\text{invfun } (\text{carrier } D) (\text{carrier } E) f)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-trans*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{Order } F; \text{ord-isom } D E f;$
 $\text{ord-isom } E F g]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-isom } D F (\text{compose } (\text{carrier } D) g f)$
 <proof>

definition

ord-equiv :: $[-, ('b, 'm1) \text{Order-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
ord-equiv $D E \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. \text{ord-isom } D E f)$

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-equiv } D E$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv-isom*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D E]] \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists f. \text{ord-isom } D E f$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv-reflex*: $\text{ord-equiv } D D$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *eq-ord-equiv*: $[[\text{Order } E; D = E]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-equiv } D E$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv-sym*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D E]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-equiv } E D$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv-trans*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{Order } F; \text{ord-equiv } D E;$
 $\text{ord-equiv } E F]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-equiv } D F$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-equiv-box*: $[[\text{Order } E; \text{Order } F; \text{ord-equiv } D E;$
 $\text{ord-equiv } D F]] \Longrightarrow \text{ord-equiv } E F$
 <proof>

lemma *SIod-isom-Iod*: $[[\text{Order } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D]] \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{SIod } D T) (\text{Iod } D T) (\lambda x \in T. x)$
 <proof>

definition

minimum-elem :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
minimum-elem = $(\lambda D X a. a \in X \wedge (\forall x \in X. a \preceq_D x))$

locale *Worder* = *Torder* +
assumes *ex-minimum*: $\forall X. X \subseteq (\text{carrier } D) \wedge X \neq \{\} \longrightarrow$

$(\exists x. \text{minimum-elem } D X x)$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Order:Order D*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Torder:Torder D*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Worder:Worder D*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *equiv-isom: [[Worder E; ord-equiv D E]] \implies
 $\exists f. \text{ord-isom } D E f$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *minimum-elem-mem: [[X \subseteq carrier D; minimum-elem D X a]]
 $\implies a \in X$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *minimum-elem-unique: [[X \subseteq carrier D; minimum-elem D X
a1;
minimum-elem D X a2]] $\implies a1 = a2$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *compare-minimum-elements: [[S \subseteq carrier D; T \subseteq carrier D;
S \subseteq T; minimum-elem D S s; minimum-elem D T t]] $\implies t \preceq s$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *minimum-elem-sub: [[T \subseteq carrier D; X \subseteq T]]
 $\implies \text{minimum-elem } D X a = \text{minimum-elem } (Iod D T) X a$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minimum-elem-Ssub: [[Order D; T \subseteq carrier D; X \subseteq T]]
 $\implies \text{minimum-elem } D X a = \text{minimum-elem } (SIod D T) X a$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *augmented-set-minimum: [[X \subseteq carrier D; a \in carrier D;
Y - {a} \subseteq X; y - {a} \neq {}]; minimum-elem (Iod D X) (Y - {a}) x;
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq a$]] $\implies \text{minimum-elem } (Iod D (\text{insert } a X)) Y x$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *augmented-Sset-minimum: [[Order D; X \subseteq carrier D; a \in carrier D;
Y - {a} \subseteq X; y - {a} \neq {}]; minimum-elem (SIod D X) (Y - {a}) x;
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq_D a$]] $\implies \text{minimum-elem } (SIod D (\text{insert } a X)) Y x$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *ord-isom-minimum: [[Order E; ord-isom D E f;
S \subseteq carrier D; a \in carrier D; minimum-elem D S a]] \implies
minimum-elem E (f'S) (f a)*

<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *pre-minimum*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{minimum-elem } D T t; s \in \text{carrier } D; s \prec_D t \rrbracket \implies \neg s \in T$
<proof>

lemma *be-nonempty-subset*: $\exists a. a \in A \wedge P a \implies \{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \subseteq A \wedge \{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *to-subset*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{ord-isom } D (Iod D T) f \rrbracket \implies \forall a. a \in \text{carrier } D \longrightarrow a \preceq (f a)$
<proof>

lemma *to-subsetS*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{ord-isom } D (SIod D T) f \rrbracket \implies \forall a. a \in \text{carrier } D \longrightarrow a \preceq_D (f a)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *isom-Worder*: $\llbracket \text{Order } T; \text{ord-isom } D T f \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } T$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *equiv-Worder*: $\llbracket \text{Order } T; \text{ord-equiv } D T \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } T$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *equiv-Worder1*: $\llbracket \text{Order } T; \text{ord-equiv } T D \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } T$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-self-id*: $\text{ord-isom } D D f \implies f = \text{idmap } (\text{carrier } D)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *isom-unique*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; \text{ord-isom } D E g \rrbracket \implies f = g$
<proof>

definition

segment :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ set **where**
segment $D a = (\text{if } a \notin \text{carrier } D \text{ then carrier } D \text{ else } \{x. x \prec_D a \wedge x \in \text{carrier } D\})$

definition

Ssegment :: $'a \text{ Order} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ set **where**
Ssegment $D a = (\text{if } a \notin \text{carrier } D \text{ then carrier } D \text{ else } \{x. x \prec_D a \wedge x \in \text{carrier } D\})$

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-sub*: $\text{segment } D a \subseteq \text{carrier } D$
<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-sub*: $\text{Ssegment } D a \subseteq \text{carrier } D$
<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-free*: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $\text{segment } D a = \text{carrier } D$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-free*: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $S\text{segment } D a = \text{carrier } D$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-sub-sub*: $\llbracket S \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in S \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{segment } (Iod D S) d \subseteq \text{segment } D d$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-sub-sub*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; S \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in S \rrbracket \implies$
 $S\text{segment } (SIod D S) d \subseteq S\text{segment } D d$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *a-notin-segment*: $a \notin \text{segment } D a$

<proof>

lemma *a-notin-Ssegment*: $a \notin S\text{segment } D a$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-carr-segment*:
 $\text{carrier } (Iod D (\text{segment } D a)) = \text{segment } D a$

<proof>

lemma *SIod-carr-Ssegment*: $\text{Order } D \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (SIod D (S\text{segment } D a)) = S\text{segment } D a$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-inc*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \prec b) = (a \in \text{segment } D b)$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-inc*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \prec_D b) = (a \in S\text{segment } D b)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-inc1*: $b \in \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $(a \prec b \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) = (a \in \text{segment } D b)$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-inc1*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \prec_D b \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) = (a \in S\text{segment } D b)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-inc-if*: $\llbracket b \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{segment } D b \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \prec b$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-inc-if*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b \rrbracket \implies a \prec_D b$

<proof>

lemma (*in Order*) *segment-inc-less*: $\llbracket W \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; y \in W; x \in \text{segment } (Iod \ D \ W) \ a; y \prec x \rrbracket \implies y \in \text{segment } (Iod \ D \ W) \ a$

<proof>

lemma (*in Order*) *segment-order-less*: $\forall b \in \text{carrier } D. \forall x \in \text{segment } D \ b. \forall y \in \text{segment } D \ b. (x \prec y) = (x \prec_{(Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ b))} y)$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-order-less*: $\text{Order } D \implies \forall b \in \text{carrier } D. \forall x \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b. \forall y \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b. (x \prec_D y) = (x \prec_{(SIod \ D \ (\text{Ssegment } D \ b))} y)$

<proof>

lemma (*in Order*) *segment-order-le*: $\forall b \in \text{carrier } D. \forall x \in \text{segment } D \ b. \forall y \in \text{segment } D \ b. (x \preceq y) = (x \preceq_{(Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ b))} y)$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-order-le*: $\forall b \in \text{carrier } D. \forall x \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b. \forall y \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b. (x \preceq_D y) = (x \preceq_{(SIod \ D \ (\text{Ssegment } D \ b))} y)$

<proof>

lemma (*in Torder*) *Iod-Torder*: $X \subseteq \text{carrier } D \implies \text{Torder } (Iod \ D \ X)$

<proof>

lemma *SIod-Torder*: $\llbracket \text{Torder } D; X \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{Torder } (SIod \ D \ X)$

<proof>

lemma (*in Order*) *segment-not-inc*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies b \notin \text{segment } D \ a$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-not-inc*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec_D b \rrbracket \implies b \notin \text{Ssegment } D \ a$

<proof>

lemma (*in Torder*) *segment-not-inc-iff*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq b) = (b \notin \text{segment } D \ a)$

<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-not-inc-iff*: $\llbracket \text{Torder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq_D b) = (b \notin \text{Ssegment } D \ a)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *minimum-segment-of-sub*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\text{minimum-elem } D (\text{segment } (Iod D X) d) m \rrbracket \implies \text{minimum-elem } D X m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-out*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \prec b \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (Iod D (\text{segment } D a)) b = \text{segment } D a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-minimum-minimum*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in X;$
 $\text{minimum-elem } (Iod D (\text{segment } D d)) (X \cap (\text{segment } D d)) m \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{minimum-elem } D X m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-mono*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \prec b) = (\text{segment } D a \subset \text{segment } D b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ssegment-mono*: $\llbracket Torder D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \prec_D b) = (Ssegment D a \subset Ssegment D b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-le-mono*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \preceq b) = (\text{segment } D a \subseteq \text{segment } D b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ssegment-le-mono*: $\llbracket Torder D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \preceq_D b) = (Ssegment D a \subseteq Ssegment D b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-inj*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a = b) = (\text{segment } D a = \text{segment } D b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ssegment-inj*: $\llbracket Torder D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a = b) = (Ssegment D a = Ssegment D b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-inj-neq*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \neq b) = (\text{segment } D a \neq \text{segment } D b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ssegment-inj-neq*: $\llbracket Torder D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \neq b) = (Ssegment D a \neq Ssegment D b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) *segment-inc-psub*: $\llbracket x \in \text{segment } D a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{segment } D x \subset \text{segment } D a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ssegment-inc-psub*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; x \in \text{Ssegment } D a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Ssegment } D x \subset \text{Ssegment } D a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *segment-segment*: $\llbracket b \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{segment } D b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) a = \text{segment } D a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ssegment-Ssegment*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{Ssegment } D b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Ssegment } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D b)) a = \text{Ssegment } D a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Iod-segment-segment*: $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) \implies$
 $\text{Iod } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) a) =$
 $\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SIod-Ssegment-Ssegment*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \in \text{carrier } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D b)) \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{SIod } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D b)) (\text{Ssegment } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D b)) a) =$
 $\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-segment-mem*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D E f; a \in \text{carrier } D; x \in \text{segment } D a \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f x) \in \text{segment } E (f a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-isom-Ssegment-mem*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D E f; a \in \text{carrier } D; x \in \text{Ssegment } D a \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f x) \in \text{Ssegment } E (f a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-segment-segment*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D E f; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E (f a)))$
 $(\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)). f x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-isom-Ssegment-Ssegment*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D E f; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D a)) (\text{SIod } E (\text{Ssegment } E (f a)))$
 $(\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D a)). f x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-equiv-segment-segment*:
 $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D E; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket$

$$\implies \exists t \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ ord-equiv } (Iod D (\text{segment } D a)) (Iod E (\text{segment } E t))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-equiv-Ssegment-Ssegment*:

$$\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D E; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket$$

$$\implies \exists t \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ ord-equiv } (SIod D (Ssegment D a)) (SIod E (Ssegment E t))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-isom-restricted*:

$$\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{ord-isom } (Iod D D1) (Iod E (f ' D1)) (\lambda x \in D1. f x)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-isom-restrictedS*:

$$\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{ord-isom } (SIod D D1) (SIod E (f ' D1)) (\lambda x \in D1. f x)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *ord-equiv-induced*:

$$\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{ord-equiv } (Iod D D1) (Iod E (f ' D1))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-equiv-inducedS*:

$$\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{ord-equiv } (SIod D D1) (SIod E (f ' D1))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *equiv-induced-by-inj*: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-inj } D E f;$

$$D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-equiv } (Iod D D1) (Iod E (f ' D1))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-induced-by-injS*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-inj } D E f;$

$$D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-equiv } (SIod D D1) (SIod E (f ' D1))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Torder*) *le-segment-segment*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$

$$(a \preceq b) = (\text{segment } (Iod D (\text{segment } D b)) a = \text{segment } D a)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-Ssegment-Ssegment*: $\llbracket \text{Torder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$

$$(a \preceq_D b) = (Ssegment (SIod D (Ssegment D b)) a = Ssegment D a)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Torder*) *inc-segment-segment*: $\llbracket b \in \text{carrier } D;$

$$a \in \text{segment } D b \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (Iod D (\text{segment } D b)) a = \text{segment } D a$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-segment*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) a = \text{segment } D a) =$
 $((\text{segment } D a) \subseteq (\text{segment } D b))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *less-in-Iod*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a < b \rrbracket$
 $\implies (a < b) = (a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)))$
 <proof>

definition

SS :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set Order}$ **where**
 $SS D = \langle \text{carrier} = \{X. \exists a \in \text{carrier } D. X = \text{segment } D a\}, \text{rel} =$
 $\{XX. XX \in \{X. \exists a \in \text{carrier } D. X = \text{segment } D a\} \times$
 $\{X. \exists a \in \text{carrier } D. X = \text{segment } D a\} \wedge ((\text{fst } XX) \subseteq (\text{snd } XX))\} \rangle$

definition

segmap :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{segmap } D = (\lambda x \in (\text{carrier } D). \text{segment } D x)$

lemma *segmap-func*: $\text{segmap } D \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } (SS D)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-segmap*: $\text{ord-isom } D (SS D) (\text{segmap } D)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *nonequiv-segment*: $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $\neg \text{ord-equiv } D (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a))$
 <proof>

lemma *nonequiv-Ssegment*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg \text{ord-equiv } D (SIod D (Ssegment D a))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *subset-Worder*: $T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $\text{Worder } (\text{Iod } D T)$
 <proof>

lemma *SIod-Worder*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } (SIod D T)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *segment-Worder*: $\text{Worder } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a))$
 <proof>

lemma *Ssegment-Worder*: $\text{Worder } D \implies \text{Worder } (SIod D (Ssegment D a))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *segment-unique1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ b)}) (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)})$
<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-unique1*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec_D b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg \text{ord-equiv } (\text{SIod } D \text{ (Ssegment } D \text{ b)}) (\text{SIod } D \text{ (Ssegment } D \text{ a)})$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *segment-unique*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ b)}) \rrbracket \implies a = b$
<proof>

lemma *Ssegment-unique*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{SIod } D \text{ (Ssegment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{SIod } D \text{ (Ssegment } D \text{ b)}) \rrbracket \implies a = b$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *subset-segment*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall b \in T. \forall x. x \prec b \wedge x \in \text{carrier } D \longrightarrow x \in T;$
 $\text{minimum-elem } D \text{ (carrier } D - T) \text{ a} \rrbracket \implies T = \text{segment } D \text{ a}$
<proof>

lemma *subset-Ssegment*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall b \in T. \forall x. x \prec_D b \wedge x \in \text{carrier } D \longrightarrow x \in T;$
 $\text{minimum-elem } D \text{ (carrier } D - T) \text{ a} \rrbracket \implies T = \text{Ssegment } D \text{ a}$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *segmentTr*: $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall b \in T. (\forall x. (x \prec b \wedge x \in (\text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow x \in T)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(T = \text{carrier } D) \vee (\exists a. a \in (\text{carrier } D) \wedge T = \text{segment } D \text{ a})$
<proof>

lemma *SsegmentTr*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall b \in T. (\forall x. (x \prec_D b \wedge x \in (\text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow x \in T)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(T = \text{carrier } D) \vee (\exists a. a \in (\text{carrier } D) \wedge T = \text{Ssegment } D \text{ a})$
<proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-segment-segment*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D \text{ E } f; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ (f a))))$
 $(\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a))). f x$
<proof>

definition
 $\text{Tw} :: [-, ('b, 'm1) \text{Order-scheme}] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ } (\langle (2\text{Tw}, \cdot) \rangle [60,61]60)$ **where**
 $\text{Tw}_{D,T} = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier } D. \text{SOME } x. x \in \text{carrier } T \wedge$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{Iod } T \text{ (segment } T \text{ x))))$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-func*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } T;$

$\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } T. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ } a))$
 $(\text{Iod } T \text{ (segment } T \text{ } b)) \implies \text{Tw}_{D,T} \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-mem*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; x \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ } a))$
 $(\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ } b)) \rrbracket \implies (\text{Tw}_{D,E}) x \in \text{carrier } E$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-equiv*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } T;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } T. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ } a))$
 $(\text{Iod } T \text{ (segment } T \text{ } b)); x \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ } x)) (\text{Iod } T \text{ (segment } T \text{ } ((\text{Tw}_{D,T}) x)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-inj*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ } a))$
 $(\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ } b)) \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{Tw}_{D,E}) (\text{carrier } D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-eq-ord-isom*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ } a)) (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ } b)); a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ } a)) (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ } (\text{Tw } D \text{ } E \text{ } a))) f;$
 $x \in \text{segment } D \text{ } a \rrbracket \implies f x = \text{Tw } D \text{ } E \text{ } x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-ord-injTr*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ } a)) (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ } b));$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Tw } D \text{ } E \text{ } a \prec_E (\text{Tw } D \text{ } E \text{ } b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-ord-inj*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ } a))$
 $(\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ } b)) \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-inj } D \text{ } E \text{ } (\text{Tw } D \text{ } E)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-restricted-by-Tw*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ } a)) (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ } b));$
 $D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D \text{ } D1) (\text{Iod } E \text{ } ((\text{Tw } D \text{ } E) \text{ } \text{' } D1))$
 $(\text{restrict } (\text{Tw } D \text{ } E) \text{ } D1)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Worder*) *Tw-segment-segment*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$

$\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ b)}); a \in \text{carrier } D \llbracket$
 $\implies \text{Tw } D \text{ E } ' (\text{segment } D \text{ a}) = \text{segment } E \text{ (Tw } D \text{ E a)}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-Tw-segment*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ b)}); a \in \text{carrier } D \llbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ (Tw } D \text{ E a)}))$
 $(\text{restrict } (\text{Tw } D \text{ E}) \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)})$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *well-ord-compare1*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E.$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)}) (\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ b)}) \llbracket \implies$
 $(\text{ord-equiv } D \text{ E}) \vee (\exists c \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } D \text{ (Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ c)}))$
 <proof>

lemma *bx-nonempty-set*: $\exists x \in A. P \ x \implies \{x. x \in A \wedge P \ x\} \neq \{\}$
 <proof>

lemma *nonempty-set-sub*: $\{x. x \in A \wedge P \ x\} \neq \{\} \implies$
 $\{x. x \in A \wedge P \ x\} \subseteq A$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *less-minimum*: $\llbracket \text{minimum-elem } D \ \{x. x \in \text{carrier } D \wedge P \ x\} \ d \llbracket$
 $\implies \forall a. (((a < d) \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow \neg (P \ a))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Torder*) *segment-minimum-empty*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in X \llbracket \implies$
 $(\text{minimum-elem } D \ X \ d) = (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D \ X) \ d = \{\})$
 <proof>

end

theory *Algebra2*
imports *Algebra1*
begin

lemma (in *Order*) *less-and-segment*: $b \in \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $(\forall a. ((a < b \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow (Q \ a))) =$
 $(\forall a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ b)}). (Q \ a))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Worder*) *Word-compare2*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$
 $\neg (\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ a)})$
 $(\text{Iod } E \text{ (segment } E \text{ b)})) \llbracket \implies$
 $\exists c \in \text{carrier } D. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D \text{ (segment } D \text{ c)}) \ E$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Worder-equiv*: \llbracket *Worder E*;
 $\forall a \in carrier D. \exists b \in carrier E. ord-equiv (Iod D (segment D a))$
 $(Iod E (segment E b))$;
 $\forall c \in carrier E. \exists d \in carrier D. ord-equiv (Iod E (segment E c))$
 $(Iod D (segment D d)) \rrbracket \implies ord-equiv D E$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Worder-equiv1*: \llbracket *Worder E*; $\neg ord-equiv D E$ \implies
 $\neg ((\forall a \in carrier D. \exists b \in carrier E.$
 $ord-equiv (Iod D (segment D a)) (Iod E (segment E b))) \wedge$
 $(\forall c \in carrier E. \exists d \in carrier D.$
 $ord-equiv (Iod E (segment E c)) (Iod D (segment D d))))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Word-compare*: *Worder E* \implies
 $(\exists a \in carrier D. ord-equiv (Iod D (segment D a)) E) \vee ord-equiv D E \vee$
 $(\exists b \in carrier E. ord-equiv D (Iod E (segment E b)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Word-compareTr1*: \llbracket *Worder E*;
 $\exists a \in carrier D. ord-equiv (Iod D (segment D a)) E; ord-equiv D E \rrbracket \implies$
False

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Word-compareTr2*: \llbracket *Worder E*; *ord-equiv D E*;
 $\exists b \in carrier E. ord-equiv D (Iod E (segment E b)) \rrbracket \implies False$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Word-compareTr3*: \llbracket *Worder E*;
 $\exists b \in carrier E. ord-equiv D (Iod E (segment E b))$;
 $\exists a \in carrier D. ord-equiv (Iod D (segment D a)) E \rrbracket \implies False$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *subset-equiv-segment*: $S \subseteq carrier D \implies$
 $ord-equiv D (Iod D S) \vee$
 $(\exists a \in carrier D. ord-equiv (Iod D S) (Iod D (segment D a)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

ordinal-number :: 'a *Order* \Rightarrow 'a *Order set* **where**
ordinal-number S = {*X*. *Worder X* \wedge *ord-equiv X S*}

definition

ODnums :: 'a *Order set set* **where**
ODnums = {*X*. $\exists S. Worder S \wedge X = ordinal-number S$ }

definition

$ODord :: ['a\ Order\ set, 'a\ Order\ set] \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\langle \sqsubset \rangle$ 60) **where**
 $X \sqsubset Y \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. (\exists c \in carrier\ y. ord\equiv x\ (Iod\ y\ (segment\ y\ c))))$

definition

$ODord\le :: ['a\ Order\ set, 'a\ Order\ set] \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\langle \sqsubseteq \rangle$ 60) **where**
 $X \sqsubseteq Y \longleftrightarrow X = Y \vee ODord\ X\ Y$

definition

$ODrel :: ((('a\ Order)\ set) * (('a\ Order)\ set))\ set$ **where**
 $ODrel = \{Z. Z \in ODnums \times ODnums \wedge ODord\le\ (fst\ Z)\ (snd\ Z)\}$

definition

$ODnods :: ('a\ Order\ set)\ Order$ **where**
 $ODnods = \langle carrier = ODnums, rel = ODrel \rangle$

lemma $Worder\text{-}ord\text{-}equivTr: \llbracket Worder\ S; Worder\ T \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $ord\equiv S\ T = (\exists f. ord\text{-}isom\ S\ T\ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Worder\text{-}ord\text{-}isom\text{-}mem: \llbracket Worder\ S; Worder\ T; ord\text{-}isom\ S\ T\ f; a \in carrier\ S \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow f\ a \in carrier\ T$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Worder\text{-}refl: Worder\ S \Longrightarrow ord\equiv S\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Worder\text{-}sym: \llbracket Worder\ S; Worder\ T; ord\equiv S\ T \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ord\equiv T\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Worder\text{-}trans: \llbracket Worder\ S; Worder\ T; Worder\ U; ord\equiv S\ T; ord\equiv T\ U \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ord\equiv S\ U$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ordinal\text{-}inc\text{-}self: Worder\ S \Longrightarrow S \in ordinal\text{-}number\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ordinal\text{-}number\text{-}eq: \llbracket Worder\ D; Worder\ E \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(ord\equiv D\ E) = (ordinal\text{-}number\ D = ordinal\text{-}number\ E)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mem\text{-}ordinal\text{-}number\text{-}equiv: \llbracket Worder\ D;$
 $X \in ordinal\text{-}number\ D \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ord\equiv X\ D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $mem\text{-}ordinal\text{-}number\text{-}Worder: \llbracket Worder\ D;$
 $X \in ordinal\text{-}number\ D \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Worder\ X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mem-ordinal-number-Worder1*: $\llbracket x \in ODnums; X \in x \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mem-ODnums-nonempty*: $X \in ODnums \implies \exists x. x \in X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *carr-ODnods*: $\text{carrier } ODnods = ODnums$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordinal-number-mem-carrier-ODnods*:
 $\text{Worder } D \implies \text{ordinal-number } D \in \text{carrier } ODnods$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordinal-number-mem-ODnums*:
 $\text{Worder } D \implies \text{ordinal-number } D \in ODnums$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ODordTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; \text{Worder } E \rrbracket \implies$
 $(ODord (\text{ordinal-number } D) (\text{ordinal-number } E)) =$
 $(\exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } D (Iod E (\text{segment } E b)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ODord*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; d \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $ODord (\text{ordinal-number } (Iod D (\text{segment } D d))) (\text{ordinal-number } D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ord-less-ODord*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; c \in \text{carrier } D; d \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $a = \text{ordinal-number } (Iod D (\text{segment } D c));$
 $b = \text{ordinal-number } (Iod D (\text{segment } D d)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $c \prec_D d = a \sqsubset b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ODord-le-ref*: $\llbracket X \in ODnums; Y \in ODnums; ODord-le X Y; Y \sqsubseteq X \rrbracket \implies$
 $X = Y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ODord-le-trans*: $\llbracket X \in ODnums; Y \in ODnums; Z \in ODnums; X \sqsubseteq Y; Y$
 $\sqsubseteq Z \rrbracket$
 $\implies X \sqsubseteq Z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordinal-numberTr1*: $X \in \text{carrier } ODnods \implies \exists D. \text{Worder } D \wedge D \in X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordinal-numberTr1-1*: $X \in ODnums \implies \exists D. \text{Worder } D \wedge D \in X$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ordinal-numberTr1-2*: $\llbracket x \in ODnums; S \in x; T \in x \rrbracket \implies$

ord-equiv S T

<proof>

lemma *ordinal-numberTr2*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; x = \text{ordinal-number } D \rrbracket \implies D \in x$

<proof>

lemma *ordinal-numberTr3*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; \text{Worder } F; \text{ord-equiv } D F; x = \text{ordinal-number } D \rrbracket \implies x = \text{ordinal-number } F$

<proof>

lemma *ordinal-numberTr4*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; X \in \text{carrier } OD\text{nods}; D \in X \rrbracket \implies X = \text{ordinal-number } D$

<proof>

lemma *ordinal-numberTr5*: $\llbracket x \in OD\text{nums}; D \in x \rrbracket \implies x = \text{ordinal-number } D$

<proof>

lemma *ordinal-number-ord*: $\llbracket X \in \text{carrier } OD\text{nods}; Y \in \text{carrier } OD\text{nods} \rrbracket \implies OD\text{ord } X Y \vee X = Y \vee OD\text{ord } Y X$

<proof>

lemma *ODnum-subTr*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; x = \text{ordinal-number } D; y \in OD\text{nums}; y \sqsubset x; Y \in y \rrbracket \implies \exists c \in \text{carrier } D. \text{ord-equiv } Y (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D c))$

<proof>

lemma *ODnum-segmentTr*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; x = \text{ordinal-number } D; y \in OD\text{nums}; y \sqsubset x \rrbracket \implies \exists c. c \in \text{carrier } D \wedge (\forall Y \in y. \text{ord-equiv } Y (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D c)))$

<proof>

lemma *ODnum-segmentTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; x = \text{ordinal-number } D; y \in OD\text{nums}; y \sqsubset x \rrbracket \implies \exists c. c \in \text{carrier } D \wedge (y = \text{ordinal-number } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D c)))$

<proof>

lemma *ODnods-less*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } OD\text{nods}; y \in \text{carrier } OD\text{nods} \rrbracket \implies x \prec_{OD\text{nods}} y = x \sqsubset y$

<proof>

lemma *ODord-less-not-eq*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } OD\text{nods}; y \in \text{carrier } OD\text{nods}; x \sqsubset y \rrbracket \implies x \neq y$

<proof>

lemma *not-ODord*: $\llbracket a \in OD\text{nums}; b \in OD\text{nums}; a \sqsubset b \rrbracket \implies \neg (b \sqsubseteq a)$

<proof>

lemma *Order-ODnods*:*Order ODnods*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Torder-ODnods:Torder ODnods*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$ODNmap :: 'a \text{ Order} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ Order}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $ODNmap D y = (\text{SOME } z. (z \in \text{carrier } D \wedge$
 $(\forall Y \in y. \text{ord-equiv } Y (Iod D (\text{segment } D z))))))$

lemma *ODNmap-mem*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; x = \text{ordinal-number } D; y \in \text{ODnums}; \text{ODord } y \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$ODNmap D y \in \text{carrier } D \wedge$
 $(\forall Y \in y. \text{ord-equiv } Y (Iod D (\text{segment } D (ODNmap D y))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ODNmapTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; x = \text{ordinal-number } D; y \in \text{ODnums}; \text{ODord } y \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$y = \text{ordinal-number } (Iod D (\text{segment } D (ODNmap D y)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ODNmap-self*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; c \in \text{carrier } D;$

$a = \text{ordinal-number } (Iod D (\text{segment } D c)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ODNmap D a = c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ODord-ODNmap-less*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; c \in \text{carrier } D;$

$a = \text{ordinal-number } (Iod D (\text{segment } D c)); d \in \text{carrier } D;$

$b = \text{ordinal-number } (Iod D (\text{segment } D d)); a \sqsubset b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$ODNmap D a \prec_D (ODNmap D b)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ODNmap-mem1*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; y \in \text{segment } \text{ODnods } (\text{ordinal-number } D) \rrbracket$

$\Longrightarrow ODNmap D y \in \text{carrier } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ODnods-segment-inc-ODord*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D;$

$y \in \text{segment } \text{ODnods } (\text{ordinal-number } D) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ODord } y (\text{ordinal-number } D)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-ODNmap-func*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; x = \text{ordinal-number } D \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\text{restrict } (ODNmap D) (\text{segment } \text{ODnods } (\text{ordinal-number } D))$

$\in \text{segment } \text{ODnods } (\text{ordinal-number } D) \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ODNmap-ord-isom*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; x = \text{ordinal-number } D \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\text{ord-isom } (Iod \ \text{ODnods } (\text{segment } \text{ODnods } x)) \ D$

$(\lambda x \in (\text{carrier } (Iod \ \text{ODnods } (\text{segment } \text{ODnods } x))). (ODNmap D x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ODnum-equiv-segment*: $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; x = \text{ordinal-number } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } \text{ODnods } (\text{segment } \text{ODnods } x)) \ D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ODnods-sub-carrier*: $S \subseteq \text{ODnums} \implies \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } \text{ODnods } S) = S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ODnum-sub-well-ordered*: $S \subseteq \text{ODnums} \implies \text{Worder } (\text{Iod } \text{ODnods } S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 Pre elements

definition

$\text{ExPre} :: - \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{ExPre } D \ a \iff (\exists x. x \in \text{carrier } D \wedge x \prec_D a$
 $\wedge \neg (\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. x \prec_D y \wedge y \prec_D a))$

definition

$\text{Pre} :: [-, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

$\text{Pre } D \ a = (\text{SOME } x. x \in \text{carrier } D \wedge$
 $x \prec_D a \wedge$
 $\neg (\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. x \prec_D y \wedge y \prec_D a))$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Pre-mem*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D \ a \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{Pre } D \ a \in \text{carrier } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Not-ExPre*: $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies \neg \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D \ \{a\}) \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Worder*) *UniquePre*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D \ a;$

$a1 \in \text{carrier } D \wedge a1 \prec a \wedge \neg (\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. (a1 \prec y \wedge y \prec a)) \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{Pre } D \ a = a1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Pre-element*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D \ a \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{Pre } D \ a \in \text{carrier } D \wedge (\text{Pre } D \ a) \prec a \wedge$
 $\neg (\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. ((\text{Pre } D \ a) \prec y \wedge y \prec a))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Order*) *Pre-in-segment*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D \ a \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{Pre } D \ a \in \text{segment } D \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Worder*) *segment-forall*: $\llbracket a \in \text{segment } D \ b; b \in \text{carrier } D;$

$x \in \text{segment } D \ b; x \prec a; \forall y \in \text{segment } D \ b. x \prec y \longrightarrow \neg y \prec a \rrbracket \implies$

$\forall y \in \text{carrier } D. x \prec y \longrightarrow \neg y \prec a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *segment-Expre*: $a \in \text{segment } D \ b \implies$
 $\text{ExPre } (Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ b)) \ a = \text{ExPre } D \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Pre-segment*: $[a \in \text{segment } D \ b;$
 $\text{ExPre } (Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ b)) \ a] \implies$
 $\text{ExPre } D \ a \wedge \text{Pre } D \ a = \text{Pre } (Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ b)) \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Pre2segment*: $[a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; b \prec a;$
 $\text{ExPre } D \ b] \implies \text{ExPre } (Iod \ D \ (\text{segment } D \ a)) \ b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-Pre1*: $[Worder \ E; a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D \ a;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f] \implies \text{ExPre } E \ (f \ a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-Pre11*: $[Worder \ E; a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f]$
 $\implies \text{ExPre } D \ a = \text{ExPre } E \ (f \ a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *ord-isom-Pre2*: $[Worder \ E; a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D \ a;$
 $\text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f] \implies f \ (\text{Pre } D \ a) = \text{Pre } E \ (f \ a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 Transfinite induction

lemma (in *Worder*) *transfinite-induction*: $[minimum\text{-elem } D \ (\text{carrier } D) \ s0; P \ s0;$
 $\forall t \in \text{carrier } D. ((\forall u \in \text{segment } D \ t. P \ u) \longrightarrow P \ t)] \implies \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. P \ x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4 Ordered-set². Lemmas to prove Zorn's lemma.

definition

adjunct-ord :: $[- , 'a] \Rightarrow -$ **where**
 $\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a = D \ (\text{carrier} := \text{carrier } D \cup \{a\},$
 $\text{rel} := \{(x,y). (x,y) \in \text{rel } D \vee$
 $(x \in (\text{carrier } D \cup \{a\}) \wedge y = a)\})$

lemma (in *Order*) *carrier-adjunct-ord*:
 $\text{carrier } (\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a) = \text{carrier } D \cup \{a\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *Order-adjunct-ord*: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $\text{Order } (\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) adjunct-ord-large-a: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \notin \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \prec_{\text{adjunct-ord } D} a^a$
 <proof>

lemma carr-Ssegment-adjunct-ord: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \notin \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{carrier } D = (\text{Ssegment } (\text{adjunct-ord } D) a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) adjunct-ord-selfD: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $D = \text{Iod } (\text{adjunct-ord } D) a$ (carrier D)
 <proof>

lemma Ssegment-adjunct-ord: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \notin \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $D = \text{SIod } (\text{adjunct-ord } D) a$ (Ssegment (adjunct-ord D) a)
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) Torder-adjunction: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in X. x \preceq a; \text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D) X \rrbracket \implies \text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D) (X \cup \{a\})$
 <proof>

lemma Torder-Sadjunction: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in X. x \preceq_D a; \text{Torder } (\text{SIod } D) X \rrbracket \implies \text{Torder } (\text{SIod } D) (X \cup \{a\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Torder) Torder-adjunct-ord: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $\text{Torder } (\text{adjunct-ord } D) a$
 <proof>

lemma (in Order) well-ord-adjunction: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in X. x \preceq a; \text{Worder } (\text{Iod } D) X \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } (\text{Iod } D) (X \cup \{a\})$
 <proof>

lemma well-ord-Sadjunction: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in X. x \preceq_D a; \text{Worder } (\text{SIod } D) X \rrbracket \implies \text{Worder } (\text{SIod } D) (X \cup \{a\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Worder) Worder-adjunct-ord: $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$
 $\text{Worder } (\text{adjunct-ord } D) a$
 <proof>

2.5 Zorn's lemma

definition

Chain :: - \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool where
 Chain D C $\longleftrightarrow C \subseteq \text{carrier } D \wedge \text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D) C$

definition

upper-bound :: [-, 'a set, 'a] \Rightarrow bool

$\langle (\exists ub / - / -) \rangle [100, 101] 100$ **where**
 $ub_D S b \longleftrightarrow b \in carrier D \wedge (\forall s \in S. s \preceq_D b)$

definition

inductive-set :: $- \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
inductive-set $D \longleftrightarrow (\forall C. (Chain D C \longrightarrow (\exists b. ub_D C b)))$

definition

maximal-element :: $[-, 'a] \Rightarrow bool$ $\langle (\text{maximal} / -) \rangle [101] 100$ **where**
maximal $_D m \longleftrightarrow m \in carrier D \wedge (\forall b \in carrier D. m \preceq_D b \longrightarrow m = b)$

definition

upper-bounds:: $[-, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set$ **where**
upper-bounds $D H = \{x. ub_D H x\}$

definition

Sup :: $[-, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
Sup $D X = (THE x. minimum-elem D (upper-bounds D X) x)$

definition

S-inductive-set :: $- \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
S-inductive-set $D \longleftrightarrow (\forall C. Chain D C \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists x \in carrier D. minimum-elem D (upper-bounds D C) x))$

lemma (in *Order*) *mem-upper-bounds*: $[X \subseteq carrier D; b \in carrier D;$
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq b] \Longrightarrow ub X b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *Torder-Chain*: $[X \subseteq carrier D; Torder (Iod D X)]$
 $\Longrightarrow Chain D X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *Chain-Torder*: $Chain D X \Longrightarrow$
 $Torder (Iod D X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *Chain-sub*: $Chain D X \Longrightarrow X \subseteq carrier D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *Chain-sub-Chain*: $[Chain D X; Y \subseteq X] \Longrightarrow Chain D Y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *upper-bounds-sub*: $X \subseteq carrier D \Longrightarrow$
 $upper-bounds D X \subseteq carrier D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *Sup*: $[X \subseteq carrier D; minimum-elem D (upper-bounds D X) a]$
 $\Longrightarrow Sup D X = a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Worder*) *Sup-mem*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \exists b. \text{ub } X b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Sup } D X \in \text{carrier } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-sup*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{minimum-elem } D (\text{upper-bounds } D X) (\text{Sup } D X)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *adjunct-Chain*: $\llbracket \text{Chain } D X; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in X. x \preceq b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Chain } D (\text{insert } b X)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-sup-mem*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Sup } D X \in \text{carrier } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-Sup-min-bounds*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X;$
 $\text{ub } X b \rrbracket \implies \text{Sup } D X \preceq b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-sup-bound*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq (\text{Sup } D X)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-Sup-in-ChainTr*:

$\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X; c \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X));$

$\text{Sup } D X \notin X;$

$\forall y \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)).$

$c \prec_{\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)} y \longrightarrow \neg y \prec_{\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)} \text{Sup } D$
 $X \rrbracket \implies$

$c \in \text{upper-bounds } D X$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-Sup-in-Chain*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X;$
 $\text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)) (\text{Sup } D X) \rrbracket \implies \text{Sup } D X \in X$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-bounds-compare*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X1;$
 $\text{Chain } D X2; X1 \subseteq X2 \rrbracket \implies \text{upper-bounds } D X2 \subseteq \text{upper-bounds } D X1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *S-inductive-sup-compare*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X1;$
 $\text{Chain } D X2; X1 \subseteq X2 \rrbracket \implies \text{Sup } D X1 \preceq \text{Sup } D X2$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$Wa :: [-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned}
& W a D W g a \longleftrightarrow W \subseteq \text{carrier } D \wedge \text{Worder } (Iod D W) \wedge a \in W \wedge (\forall x \in W. a \\
& \preceq_D x) \wedge \\
& (\forall x \in W. (\text{if } (ExPre (Iod D W) x) \text{ then } g (Pre (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else} \\
& (\text{if } a \neq x \text{ then } Sup D (\text{segment } (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else } a = a)))
\end{aligned}$$

definition

$WWa :: [-, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ set set **where**
 $WWa D g a = \{ W. Wa D W g a \}$

lemma (in Order) mem-of-WWa: $W \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{Worder } (Iod D W); a \in W;$
 $(\forall x \in W. a \preceq x);$
 $(\forall x \in W. (\text{if } (ExPre (Iod D W) x) \text{ then } g (Pre (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else}$
 $(\text{if } a \neq x \text{ then } Sup D (\text{segment } (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else } a = a))) \implies$
 $W \in WWa D g a$
<proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-then: $W \in WWa D g a \implies W \subseteq \text{carrier } D \wedge$
 $\text{Worder } (Iod D W) \wedge a \in W \wedge (\forall x \in W. a \preceq x) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in W. (\text{if } (ExPre (Iod D W) x) \text{ then } g (Pre (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else}$
 $(\text{if } a \neq x \text{ then } Sup D (\text{segment } (Iod D W) x) = x \text{ else } a = a)))$
<proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-wwa-Worder: $W \in WWa D g a \implies \text{Worder } (Iod D W)$
<proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-sub-carrier: $W \in WWa D g a \implies W \subseteq \text{carrier } D$
<proof>

lemma (in Order) Union-WWa-sub-carrier: $\bigcup (WWa D g a) \subseteq \text{carrier } D$
<proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-inc-a: $W \in WWa D g a \implies a \in W$
<proof>

lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-Chain: $W \in WWa D g a \implies \text{Chain } D W$
<proof>

lemma (in Order) Sup-adjunct-Sup: S -inductive-set $D;$
 $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x;$
 $W \in WWa D f a; Sup D W \notin W$
 $\implies Sup D (\text{insert } (Sup D W) W) = Sup D W$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr1: $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies \text{Worder } (Iod D \{a\})$
<proof>

lemma (in Order) BNTr2: $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x) \implies \{a\} \in WWa D f a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr2-1*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket \implies \forall x \in W. a \preceq x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr3*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket \implies \text{minimum-elem } (Iod D W) W a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *Adjunct-segment-sub*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (Iod D (\text{insert } (Sup D X) X)) (Sup D X) \subseteq X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *Adjunct-segment-eq*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X; Sup D X \notin X \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (Iod D (\text{insert } (Sup D X) X)) (Sup D X) = X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{fixp} :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{fixp } f a \longleftrightarrow f a = a$

lemma (in *Order*) *fixp-same*: $\llbracket W1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D; W2 \subseteq \text{carrier } D; t \in W1; b \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ord-isom } (Iod D W1) (Iod (Iod D W2) (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b)) \rrbracket$
 $g;$
 $\forall u \in \text{segment } (Iod D W1) t. \text{fixp } g u \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (Iod D W1) t = \text{segment } (Iod D W2) (g t)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr4-1*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a; \text{ord-isom } (Iod D W1) (Iod D (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b)) \rrbracket$
 $g \implies \forall x \in W1. g x = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr4-2*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a; \text{ord-equiv } (Iod D W1) (Iod D (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b)) \rrbracket$
 $W1 = \text{segment } (Iod D W2) b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr4*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a;$

$\exists b \in \text{carrier } D. \text{ord-equiv } (Iod D W1) (Iod D (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b)) \implies W1 \subseteq W2$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *Iod-same*: $A = B \implies Iod D A = Iod D B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *eq-ord-equivTr*: $\llbracket \text{ord-equiv } D E; E = F \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-equiv } D F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr5*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in WWa D f a; W2 \in WWa D f a;$

$\text{ord-equiv } (Iod D W1) (Iod D W2) \rrbracket \implies W1 \subseteq W2$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr6*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in WWa D f a; W2 \in WWa D f a; W1 \subset W2 \rrbracket \implies$

$(\exists b \in \text{carrier } (Iod D W2). \text{ord-equiv } (Iod D W1) (Iod D (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr6-1*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in WWa D f a; W2 \in WWa D f a; W1 \subset W2 \rrbracket \implies$

$(\exists b \in \text{carrier } (Iod D W2). W1 = (\text{segment } (Iod D W2) b))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in WWa D f a; W2 \in WWa D f a \rrbracket \implies$

$W1 \subseteq W2 \vee W2 \subseteq W1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-1*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in WWa D f a; xa \in \bigcup (WWa D f a);$

$xa \prec_{Iod D (\bigcup (WWa D f a))} x \rrbracket \implies xa \in W$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-1-1*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in WWa D f a; xa \in \bigcup (WWa D f a);$

$xa \prec x \rrbracket \implies xa \in W$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-2*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in \bigcup (WWa D f a); \text{ExPre } (Iod D (\bigcup (WWa D f a)))$
 $x \rrbracket$

$\implies \forall W \in WWa D f a. (x \in W \longrightarrow \text{ExPre } (Iod D W) x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-3*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$

$\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in \bigcup (WWa D f a); \text{ExPre } (Iod D (\bigcup (WWa D f a)))$
 $x \rrbracket$

$\implies \forall W \in WWa D f a. (x \in W \longrightarrow \text{Pre} (\text{Iod} D (\bigcup (WWa D f a))) x = \text{Pre} (\text{Iod} D W) x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-4*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in WWa D f a \rrbracket \implies \text{ExPre} (\text{Iod} D (\bigcup (WWa D f a))) x = \text{ExPre} (\text{Iod} D W) x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-5*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in WWa D f a \rrbracket \implies (\text{segment} (\text{Iod} D (\bigcup (WWa D f a))) x = \text{segment} (\text{Iod} D W) x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-6*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies a \in \bigcup (WWa D f a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-7*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); \exists xa. Wa D xa f a \wedge x \in xa \rrbracket \implies x \in \bigcup (WWa D f a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-8*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); \exists xa. Wa D xa f a \wedge x \in xa \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{carrier } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-9*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); x \in \bigcup (WWa D f a) \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{carrier } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-10*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in WWa D f a; \text{Sup } D W \notin W \rrbracket \implies$

$\neg \text{ExPre} (\text{Iod} D (\text{insert} (\text{Sup } D W) W)) (\text{Sup } D W)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-11*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; W \in WWa D f a; \forall x \in W. x \preceq b; x \in W \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{ExPre} (\text{Iod} D (\text{insert } b W)) x = \text{ExPre} (\text{Iod} D W) x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Order*) *BNTr7-12*: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; W \in WWa D f a; \forall x \in W. x \preceq b; x \in W; \text{ExPre} (\text{Iod} D W) x \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{Pre} (\text{Iod} D (\text{insert } b W)) x = \text{Pre} (\text{Iod} D W) x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-13: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; W \in \text{WWa } D f a;$
 $\forall x \in W. x \preceq b; x \in W \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } b W)) x) = \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W) x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-14: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D W) W) \in \text{WWa } D f a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-15: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a;$
 $f (\text{Sup } D W) \neq \text{Sup } D W \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (f (\text{Sup } D W)) (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D W) W))) (f (\text{Sup } D W))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-16: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a;$
 $f (\text{Sup } D W) \neq (\text{Sup } D W) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Pre } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (f (\text{Sup } D W)) (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D W) W))) (f (\text{Sup } D W)) =$
 $(\text{Sup } D W)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) BNTr7-17: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{insert } (f (\text{Sup } D W)) (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D W) W)) \in \text{WWa } D f a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) BNTr8: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies \bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a) \in (\text{WWa } D f a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) BNTr10: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{Sup } D (\bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a))) \in (\bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) BNTr11: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (\text{Sup } D (\bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a))) = (\text{Sup } D (\bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Order) Bourbaki-Nakayama: $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D;$

$f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x) \implies$
 $\exists x0 \in \text{carrier } D. f x0 = x0$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$\text{maxl-fun} :: - \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $\text{maxl-fun } D = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } D. \text{if } \exists y. y \in (\text{upper-bounds } D \{x\}) \wedge y \neq x \text{ then}$
 $\text{SOME } z. z \in (\text{upper-bounds } D \{x\}) \wedge z \neq x \text{ else } x)$

lemma (in *Order*) $\text{maxl-funTr}: \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\exists y. y \in \text{upper-bounds } D \{x\} \wedge y \neq x \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{SOME } z. z \in \text{upper-bounds } D \{x\} \wedge z \neq x) \in \text{carrier } D$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) $\text{maxl-fun-func}: \text{maxl-fun } D \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) $\text{maxl-fun-gt}: \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } D;$
 $\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq y \wedge x \neq y \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \preceq (\text{maxl-fun } D x) \wedge (\text{maxl-fun } D x) \neq x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) $\text{maxl-fun-maxl}: \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } D; \text{maxl-fun } D x = x \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{maximal } x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) $\text{maxl-fun-asc}: \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (\text{maxl-fun } D x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) $S\text{-inductive-maxl}: \llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{carrier } D \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists m. \text{maximal } m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Order*) $\text{maximal-mem}: \text{maximal } m \implies m \in \text{carrier } D$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$\text{Chains} :: - \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{Chains } D == \{C. \text{Chain } D C\}$

definition

$\text{family-Torder} :: - \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}) \text{ Order}$
 $(\langle fTo - \rangle [999]1000)$ **where**
 $fTo D = (\llbracket \text{carrier} = \text{Chains } D, \text{rel} = \{Z. Z \in (\text{Chains } D) \times (\text{Chains } D) \wedge (\text{fst}$
 $Z) \subseteq (\text{snd } Z)\} \rrbracket)$

lemma (in *Order*) $\text{Chain-mem-fTo}: \text{Chain } D C \implies C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Order) *fTOrder:Order* (*fTo D*)

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *fTo-Order-sub*: $\llbracket A \in \text{carrier } (fTo D); B \in \text{carrier } (fTo D) \rrbracket$

$\implies (A \preceq_{(fTo D)} B) = (A \subseteq B)$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *mem-fTo-Chain*: $X \in \text{carrier } (fTo D) \implies \text{Chain } D X$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *mem-fTo-sub-carrier*: $X \in \text{carrier } (fTo D) \implies X \subseteq \text{carrier } D$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *Un-fTo-Chain*: $\text{Chain } (fTo D) CC \implies \text{Chain } D (\bigcup CC)$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *Un-fTo-Chain-mem-fTo*: $\text{Chain } (fTo D) CC \implies$

$(\bigcup CC) \in \text{carrier } (fTo D)$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *Un-upper-bound*: $\text{Chain } (fTo D) C \implies$

$\bigcup C \in \text{upper-bounds } (fTo D) C$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *fTo-conditional-inc-C*: $C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D) \implies$

$C \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } fTo D. C \subseteq S\})$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *fTo-conditional-Un-Chain-mem1*: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$

$\text{Chain } (\text{Iod } (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca; Ca \neq \{\}\rrbracket \implies$

$\bigcup Ca \in \text{upper-bounds } (\text{Iod } (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } fTo D. C \subseteq S\}) Ca$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *fTo-conditional-min1*: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$

$\text{Chain } (\text{Iod } (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca; Ca \neq \{\}\rrbracket \implies$

$\text{minimum-elem } (\text{Iod } (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } fTo D. C \subseteq S\})$

$(\text{upper-bounds } (\text{Iod } (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca) (\bigcup Ca)$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *fTo-conditional-Un-Chain-mem2*: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$

$\text{Chain } (\text{Iod } (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } fTo D. C \subseteq S\}) Ca; Ca = \{\}\rrbracket \implies$

$C \in \text{upper-bounds } (\text{Iod } (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca$

<proof>

lemma (in Order) *fTo-conditional-min2*: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$

$\text{Chain } (\text{Iod } (fTo D) \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca; Ca = \{\}\rrbracket \implies$

minimum-elem (*Iod* (*fTo D*) {*S* ∈ *carrier fTo D*. *C* ⊆ *S*})
 (*upper-bounds* (*Iod* (*fTo D*) {*S* ∈ *carrier (fTo D)*. *C* ⊆ *S*}) *Ca*) *C*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (*in Order*) *fTo-S-inductive:S-inductive-set* (*fTo D*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (*in Order*) *conditional-min-upper-bound*: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$
 $\text{Chain } (Iod \ (fTo D) \ \{S \in \text{carrier } fTo D. \ C \subseteq S\}) \ Ca \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists X. \ \text{minimum-elem } (Iod \ (fTo D) \ \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). \ C \subseteq S\})$
 $(\text{upper-bounds } (Iod \ (fTo D) \ \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). \ C \subseteq S\}) \ Ca) \ X$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (*in Order*) *Hausdorff-acTr*: $C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D) \implies$
 $S\text{-inductive-set } (Iod \ (fTo D) \ \{S. \ S \in (\text{carrier } (fTo D)) \wedge \ C \subseteq S\})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *satisfy-cond-mem-set*: $\llbracket x \in A; P \ x \rrbracket \implies x \in \{y \in A. \ P \ y\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (*in Order*) *maximal-conditional-maximal*: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D);$
 $\text{maximal}_{Iod \ (fTo D) \ \{S \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). \ C \subseteq S\}} \ m \rrbracket \implies \text{maximal}_{(fTo D)} \ m$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (*in Order*) *Hausdorff-ac*: $C \in \text{carrier } (fTo D) \implies$
 $\exists M \in \text{carrier } (fTo D). \ C \subseteq M \wedge \text{maximal}_{(fTo D)} \ M$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (*in Order*) *Zorn-lemmaTr*: $\llbracket \text{Chain } D \ C; \ M \in \text{carrier } fTo D; \ C \subseteq M;$
 $\text{maximal}_{fTo D} \ M; \ b \in \text{carrier } D; \ \forall s \in M. \ s \preceq b \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{maximal } b \wedge b \in \text{upper-bounds } D \ C$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (*in Order*) *g-Zorn-lemma1*: $\llbracket \text{inductive-set } D; \ \text{Chain } D \ C \rrbracket \implies \exists m. \ \text{maxi-}$
 $\text{mal } m \wedge m \in \text{upper-bounds } D \ C$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (*in Order*) *g-Zorn-lemma2*: $\llbracket \text{inductive-set } D; \ a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists m \in \text{carrier } D. \ \text{maximal } m \wedge a \preceq m$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (*in Order*) *g-Zorn-lemma3*: $\text{inductive-set } D \implies \exists m \in \text{carrier } D. \ \text{maximal}$
 m
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Chapter 3

Group Theory. Focused on Jordan Hoelder theorem

3.1 Definition of a Group

```
record 'a Group = 'a carrier +
  top    :: ['a, 'a] => 'a (infixl <·> 70)
  iop    :: 'a => 'a (<⊖> [81] 80)
  one    :: 'a (<1>)

locale Group =
fixes G (structure)
assumes top-closed: top G ∈ carrier G → carrier G → carrier G
and    tassoc : [[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G; c ∈ carrier G] =>
  (a · b) · c = a · (b · c)
and    iop-closed: iop G ∈ carrier G → carrier G
and    l-i : a ∈ carrier G => (⊖ a) · a = 1
and    unit-closed: 1 ∈ carrier G
and    l-unit: a ∈ carrier G => 1 · a = a

lemma (in Group) mult-closed: [[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G] =>
  a · b ∈ carrier G
<proof>

lemma (in Group) i-closed: a ∈ carrier G => (⊖ a) ∈ carrier G
<proof>

lemma (in Group) r-mult-eqn: [[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G;
  c ∈ carrier G; a = b] => a · c = b · c
<proof>

lemma (in Group) l-mult-eqn: [[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G;
  c ∈ carrier G; a = b] => c · a = c · b
<proof>
```

lemma (in Group) *r-i*: $a \in \text{carrier } G \implies$

$$a \cdot (\varrho a) = \mathbf{1}$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *r-unit*: $a \in \text{carrier } G \implies a \cdot \mathbf{1} = a$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *l-i-unique*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$b \cdot a = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies (\varrho a) = b$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *l-i-i*: $a \in \text{carrier } G \implies (\varrho (\varrho a)) \cdot (\varrho a) = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *l-div-eqn*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$a \cdot x = a \cdot y \rrbracket \implies x = y$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *r-div-eqn*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$x \cdot a = y \cdot a \rrbracket \implies x = y$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *l-mult-eqn1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$(\varrho a) \cdot x = (\varrho a) \cdot y \rrbracket \implies x = y$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *tOp-assocTr41*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$d \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *tOp-assocTr42*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$d \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot (b \cdot c) \cdot d$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *tOp-assocTr44*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$d \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies (\varrho a) \cdot b \cdot ((\varrho c) \cdot d) =$$
$$(\varrho a) \cdot ((b \cdot (\varrho c)) \cdot d)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *tOp-assocTr45*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$d \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot (b \cdot (c \cdot d))$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *one-unique*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; x \cdot a = x \rrbracket \implies$

$$a = \mathbf{1}$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *i-one*: $\varrho \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) eqn-inv1: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; a = (\varrho x) \rrbracket \implies$
 $x = (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) eqn-inv2: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; x \cdot a = x \cdot (\varrho x) \rrbracket \implies$
 $x = (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) r-one-unique: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot x = a \rrbracket \implies$
 $x = \mathbf{1}$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) r-i-unique: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot x = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies$
 $x = (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) iop-i-i: $a \in \text{carrier } G \implies \varrho (\varrho a) = a$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) i-ab: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $\varrho (a \cdot b) = (\varrho b) \cdot (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) sol-eq-l: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $a \cdot x = b \rrbracket \implies x = (\varrho a) \cdot b$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) sol-eq-r: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $x \cdot a = b \rrbracket \implies x = b \cdot (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) r-div-eq: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot (\varrho b) = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies$
 $a = b$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) l-div-eq: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; (\varrho a) \cdot b = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies$
 $a = b$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) i-m-closed: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\varrho a) \cdot b \in \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

3.2 Subgroups

definition

$sg :: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (\leftarrow » \rightarrow [60, 61]60) **where**

$$G \gg H \iff H \neq \{\} \wedge H \subseteq \text{carrier } G \wedge (\forall a \in H. \forall b \in H. a \cdot_G (\varrho_G b) \in H)$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} Gp &:: - \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow - \quad (\langle \text{h1-} \rangle 70) \text{ where} \\ \text{h}_G H &\equiv G \text{ (carrier := } H, \text{ top := top } G, \text{ iop := iop } G, \text{ one := one } G) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} rcs &:: [-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \text{ (infix } \langle \cdot \rangle 70) \text{ where} \\ H \cdot_G a &= \{b. \exists h \in H. h \cdot_G a = b\} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} lcs &:: [-, 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \text{ (infix } \langle \diamond \rangle 70) \text{ where} \\ a \diamond_G H &= \{b. \exists h \in H. a \cdot_G h = b\} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} nsg &:: - \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \quad (\langle - \triangleright - \rangle [60,61]60) \text{ where} \\ G \triangleright H &\iff G \gg H \wedge (\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. H \cdot_G x = x \diamond_G H) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{set-rcs} &:: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set set} \text{ where} \\ \text{set-rcs } G H &= \{C. \exists a \in \text{carrier } G. C = H \cdot_G a\} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} c\text{-iop} &:: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \text{ where} \\ c\text{-iop } G H &= (\lambda X \in \text{set-rcs } G H. \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists h \in H. h \cdot_G (\varrho_G x) = z\}) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} c\text{-top} &:: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow (['a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \text{ where} \\ c\text{-top } G H &= (\lambda X \in \text{set-rcs } G H. \lambda Y \in \text{set-rcs } G H. \\ &\quad \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. x \cdot_G y = z\}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in Group) *sg-subset*: $G \gg H \implies H \subseteq \text{carrier } G$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *one-Gp-one*: $G \gg H \implies \mathbf{1}_{(Gp \ G \ H)} = \mathbf{1}$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *carrier-Gp*: $G \gg H \implies (\text{carrier } (\text{h}_G H)) = H$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-subset-elem*: $[G \gg H; h \in H] \implies h \in \text{carrier } G$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-mult-closedr*: $[G \gg H; x \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H] \implies$

$$x \cdot h \in \text{carrier } G$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-mult-closedl*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \cdot x \in \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-condTr1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; H \neq \{\}; \forall a. \forall b. a \in H \wedge b \in H \longrightarrow a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{1} \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-unit-closed*: $G \gg H \implies \mathbf{1} \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-i-closed*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho x) \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-mult-closed*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H; y \in H \rrbracket \implies x \cdot y \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsg-sg*: $G \triangleright H \implies G \gg H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsg-subset*: $G \triangleright N \implies N \subseteq \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsg-lr-cst-eq*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \diamond N = N \cdot a$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-i-m-closed*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in H; b \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho a) \cdot b \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-m-i-closed*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in H; b \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$

<proof>

definition

sg-gen :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
sg-gen $G A = \bigcap \{H. G \gg H \wedge A \subseteq H\}$

lemma (in Group) *smallest-sg-gen*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } G; G \gg H; A \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies \text{sg-gen } G A \subseteq H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *special-sg-G*: $G \gg (\text{carrier } G)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *special-sg-self*: $G = \natural(\text{carrier } G)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *special-sg-e*: $G \gg \{1\}$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *inter-sgs*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K \rrbracket \implies G \gg (H \cap K)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *subg-generated*: $A \subseteq \text{carrier } G \implies G \gg (\text{sg-gen } G A)$
<proof>

definition

$Qg :: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{carrier} :: 'a \text{ set set}, \text{top} :: ['a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set},$
 $\text{iop} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, \text{one} :: 'a \text{ set}) \text{ where}$
 $Qg \ G \ H = (\text{carrier} = \text{set-rcs } G \ H, \text{top} = \text{c-top } G \ H, \text{iop} = \text{c-iop } G \ H, \text{one} = H$
 $)$

definition

$Pj :: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \text{ where}$
 $Pj \ G \ H = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } G. H \cdot_G x)$

no-notation *inverse-divide* (infixl \langle' / \rangle 70)

abbreviation

$QGRP :: [(('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}) \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}) \text{ Group}]$
(infixl \langle' / \rangle 70) **where**
 $G / H == Qg \ G \ H$

definition

$gHom :: [(('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}) \Rightarrow$
 $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ set} \text{ where}$
 $gHom \ G \ F = \{f. (f \in \text{extensional } (\text{carrier } G) \wedge f \in \text{carrier } G \rightarrow \text{carrier } F) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. \forall y \in \text{carrier } G. f (x \cdot_G y) = (f x) \cdot_F (f y))\}$

definition

$gkernel :: [(('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \text{ where}$
 $gkernel \ G \ F \ f = \{x. (x \in \text{carrier } G) \wedge (f x = \mathbf{1}_F)\}$

definition

$iim :: [(('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b,$
 $'b \text{ set}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \text{ where}$
 $iim \ G \ F \ f \ K = \{x. (x \in \text{carrier } G) \wedge (f x \in K)\}$

abbreviation

$GKER :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a$
set

$(\langle (\exists gker, -) \rangle [88,88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $gker_{G,F} f == gkernel\ G\ F\ f$

definition

$gsurjec :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme},$
 $'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle (\exists gsurj, -) \rangle [88,88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $gsurj_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in gHom\ F\ G \wedge surj\text{-to}\ f\ (carrier\ F)\ (carrier\ G)$

definition

$ginjec :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme},$
 $'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle (\exists ginj, -) \rangle [88,88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $ginj_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in gHom\ F\ G \wedge inj\text{-on}\ f\ (carrier\ F)$

definition

$gbijec :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle (\exists gbij, -) \rangle [88,88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $gbij_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow gsurj_{F,G} f \wedge ginj_{F,G} f$

definition

$Ug :: - \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme} \textbf{ where}$
 $Ug\ G = \mathbb{1}_G$

definition

$Ugp :: - \Rightarrow \text{bool} \textbf{ where}$
 $Ugp\ G == Group\ G \wedge carrier\ G = \{ \mathbf{1}_G \}$

definition

$isomorphic :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool} (\textbf{infix} \langle \cong \rangle 100) \textbf{ where}$
 $F \cong G \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. gbij_{F,G} f)$

definition

$constghom :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) (\langle ('1', -) \rangle [88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $1_{F,G} = (\lambda x \in carrier\ F. \mathbf{1}_G)$

definition

$cmpghom :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'b \Rightarrow 'c, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c \textbf{ where}$
 $cmpghom\ F\ g\ f = compose\ (carrier\ F)\ g\ f$

abbreviation

$GCOMP :: ['b \Rightarrow 'c, ('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c$
 $(\langle (\exists \circ, -) \rangle [88, 88, 89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $g \circ_F f == cmpghom\ F\ g\ f$

lemma $Group\text{-}Ugp: Ugp\ G \Longrightarrow Group\ G$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *r-mult-in-sg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; x \cdot a \in H \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists h \in H. h \cdot (\varrho a) = x$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *r-unit-sg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \cdot \mathbf{1} = h$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-l-unit*: $\llbracket G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{1} \cdot h = h$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-l-i*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho x) \cdot x = \mathbf{1}$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-tassoc*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H; y \in H; z \in H \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \cdot y \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-condition*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; H \neq \{\};$
 $\forall a. \forall b. a \in H \wedge b \in H \longrightarrow a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H \rrbracket \implies G \gg H$
<proof>

definition

Gimage :: $\llbracket ('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $(('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme} \textbf{ where}$
Gimage $F \ G \ f = \text{Gp } G \ (f \ (\text{carrier } F))$

abbreviation

GIMAGE :: $\llbracket ('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme},$
 $'a \Rightarrow 'b \rrbracket \Rightarrow ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme} \quad (\langle \langle \exists \text{Img}, - \rangle \rangle [88,88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
 $\text{Img}_{F,G} f == \text{Gimage } F \ G \ f$

lemma (in *Group*) *Group-Gp*: $G \gg H \implies \text{Group } (\natural H)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *Gp-carrier*: $G \gg H \implies \text{carrier } (\text{Gp } G \ H) = H$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-sg*: $\llbracket G \gg K; G \gg H; H \subseteq K \rrbracket \implies \text{Gp } G \ K \gg H$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *sg-subset-of-subG*: $\llbracket G \gg K; \text{Gp } G \ K \gg H \rrbracket \implies H \subseteq K$
<proof>

lemma *const-ghom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G \rrbracket \implies 1_{F,G} \in \text{gHom } F \ G$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *const-gbij*: $\text{gbij}_{(\natural \{1\}), (\natural \{1\})} (1_{(\natural \{1\}), (\natural \{1\})})$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *unit-Groups-isom*: $(\natural \{1\}) \cong (\natural \{1\})$
<proof>

lemma *Ugp-const-gHom*: $\llbracket Ugp\ G; Ugp\ E \rrbracket \implies (\lambda x \in carrier\ G.\ \mathbf{1}_E) \in gHom\ G\ E$
<proof>

lemma *Ugp-const-gbij*: $\llbracket Ugp\ G; Ugp\ E \rrbracket \implies gbij_{G,E} (\lambda x \in carrier\ G.\ \mathbf{1}_E)$
<proof>

lemma *Ugps-isomorphic*: $\llbracket Ugp\ G; Ugp\ E \rrbracket \implies G \cong E$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *Gp-mult-induced*: $\llbracket G \gg L; a \in L; b \in L \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \cdot (Gp\ G\ L)\ b = a \cdot b$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-i-induced*: $\llbracket G \gg L; a \in L \rrbracket \implies \varrho_{(Gp\ G\ L)}\ a = \varrho\ a$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *Gp-mult-induced1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K; b \in H \cap K \rrbracket$
 $\implies a \cdot (\natural(H \cap K))\ b = a \cdot (\natural H)\ b$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *Gp-mult-induced2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K; b \in H \cap K \rrbracket$
 $\implies a \cdot (\natural(H \cap K))\ b = a \cdot (\natural K)\ b$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-i-induced1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K \rrbracket$
 $\implies \varrho_{\natural(H \cap K)}\ a = \varrho_{\natural H}\ a$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-i-induced2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K \rrbracket$
 $\implies \varrho_{\natural(H \cap K)}\ a = \varrho_{\natural K}\ a$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *subg-sg-sg*: $\llbracket G \gg K; (Gp\ G\ K) \gg H \rrbracket \implies G \gg H$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) *Gp-inherited*: $\llbracket G \gg K; G \gg L; K \subseteq L \rrbracket \implies$
 $Gp\ (Gp\ G\ L)\ K = Gp\ G\ K$
<proof>

3.3 Cosets

lemma (in Group) *mem-lcs*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier\ G; x \in a \diamond H \rrbracket \implies$

$$x \in \text{carrier } G$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *lcs-subset*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \diamond H \subseteq \text{carrier } G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *a-in-lcs*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \in a \diamond H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *eq-lcs1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$x \in a \diamond H; a \diamond H = b \diamond H \rrbracket \implies x \in b \diamond H$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *eq-lcs2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$a \diamond H = b \diamond H \rrbracket \implies a \in b \diamond H$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *lcs-mem-ldiv*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$

$$(a \in b \diamond H) = ((\varrho b) \cdot a \in H)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *lcsTr5*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$(\varrho a) \cdot b \in H; x \in a \diamond H \rrbracket \implies ((\varrho b) \cdot x) \in H$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *lcsTr6*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$(\varrho a) \cdot b \in H; x \in a \diamond H \rrbracket \implies x \in b \diamond H$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *lcs-Unit1*: $G \gg H \implies \mathbf{1} \diamond H = H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *lcs-Unit2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \diamond H = H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *lcsTr7*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; (\varrho a) \cdot b \in H \rrbracket$

$$\implies a \diamond H \subseteq b \diamond H$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *lcsTr8*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot h \in a \diamond H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *lcs-tool1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$(\varrho a) \cdot b \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho b) \cdot a \in H$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem (in Group) *lcs-eq*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$

$((\varrho a) \cdot b \in H) = (a \diamond H = b \diamond H)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rsc-subset*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies H \cdot a \subseteq \text{carrier } G$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *mem-rsc*: $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in H. h \cdot a = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rsc-subset-elem*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \in \text{carrier } G$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rsc-in-set-rsc*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \cdot a \in \text{set-rsc } G H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rscTr0*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \cdot (a \cdot b) \in \text{set-rsc } G H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *a-in-rsc*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \in H \cdot a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rsc-nonempty*: $\llbracket G \gg H; X \in \text{set-rsc } G H \rrbracket \implies X \neq \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rsc-tool0*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H \rrbracket \implies b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rscTr1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a; H \cdot a = H \cdot b \rrbracket \implies x \in H \cdot b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rsc-eqTr*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; H \cdot a = H \cdot b \rrbracket \implies a \in H \cdot b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rsc-eqTr1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \in H \cdot b) = (a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rscTr2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in H \cdot (\varrho a) \rrbracket \implies$
 $b \cdot a \in H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *rscTr5*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies x \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rscTr6*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \in H \cdot b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-Unit1*: $G \gg H \Longrightarrow H \cdot \mathbf{1} = H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *unit-rsc-in-set-rsc*: $G \gg H \Longrightarrow H \in \text{set-rsc } G H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-Unit2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow H \cdot h = H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rscTr7*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow H \cdot a \subseteq H \cdot b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-tool1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-tool2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \exists h \in H. h \cdot a = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem (in *Group*) *rsc-eq*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H) = (H \cdot a = H \cdot b)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-eq1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow H \cdot a = H \cdot x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-eq2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; (H \cdot a) \cap (H \cdot b) \neq \{\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (H \cdot a) = (H \cdot b)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-meet*: $\llbracket G \gg H; X \in \text{set-rsc } G H; Y \in \text{set-rsc } G H; X \cap Y \neq \{\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow X = Y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rscTr8*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow h \cdot x \in H \cdot a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *rscTr9*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H; (\varrho x) \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow h \cdot (\varrho x) \in H \cdot a$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *rscTr10*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a; y \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies x \cdot (\varrho y) \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *PrSubg4-2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot (\varrho a) \rrbracket \implies x \in \{z. \exists v \in (H \cdot a). \exists h \in H. h \cdot (\varrho v) = z\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *rsc-fixed*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; H \cdot a = H \rrbracket \implies a \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *rsc-fixed1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H \rrbracket \implies H \cdot a = (H \cdot (h \cdot a))$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *rsc-fixed2*: $G \gg H \implies \forall h \in H. H \cdot h = H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *Gp-rscs*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; H \subseteq K; x \in K \rrbracket \implies H \cdot (Gp \ G \ K) \ x = (H \cdot x)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *subg-lcs*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; H \subseteq K; x \in K \rrbracket \implies x \diamond (Gp \ G \ K) \ H = x \diamond H$

<proof>

3.4 Normal subgroups and Quotient groups

lemma (in Group) *nsg1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; b \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H; \forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. (a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket \implies b \cdot h \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsg2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; b \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H; \forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. (a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho b) \cdot h \cdot b \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsg-subset-elem*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \in \text{carrier } G$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsg-l-rscs-eq*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \diamond N = N \cdot a$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *sg-nsg1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; \forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. (a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in H; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies H \cdot b = b \diamond H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *cond-nsg*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; \forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. a \cdot h \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket$
 $\implies G \triangleright H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *special-nsg-e*: $G \triangleright H \implies Gp \ G \ H \triangleright \{1\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *special-nsg-G*: $G \triangleright (\text{carrier } G)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *special-nsg-G1*: $G \triangleright H \implies Gp \ G \ H \triangleright H$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsgTr0*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \in N \cdot a \rrbracket$

$$\implies (a \cdot (\varrho b) \in N) \wedge ((\varrho a) \cdot b \in N)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsgTr1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \cdot (\varrho a) \in N \rrbracket$

$$\implies (\varrho b) \cdot a \in N$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsgTr2*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; a1 \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$b1 \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies (a \cdot b) \cdot (\varrho (a1 \cdot b1)) =$$

$$a \cdot (((b \cdot (\varrho b1)) \cdot ((\varrho a1) \cdot a)) \cdot (\varrho a))$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsgPr1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N \rrbracket \implies$

$$a \cdot (h \cdot (\varrho a)) \in N$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsgPr1-1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N \rrbracket \implies$

$$(a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in N$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsgPr2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N \rrbracket \implies$

$$(\varrho a) \cdot (h \cdot a) \in N$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsgPr2-1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N \rrbracket \implies$

$$(\varrho a) \cdot h \cdot a \in N$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsgTr3*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$

$$a1 \in \text{carrier } G; b1 \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot (\varrho a1) \in N; b \cdot (\varrho b1) \in N \rrbracket \implies$$

$$(a \cdot b) \cdot (\varrho (a1 \cdot b1)) \in N$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsg-in-Gp*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; G \triangleright H; N \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies (Gp \ G \ H) \triangleright N$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *nsgTr4*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in N \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\varrho x) \in N \cdot (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *c-topTr1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $a1 \in \text{carrier } G; b1 \in \text{carrier } G; N \cdot a = N \cdot a1; N \cdot b = N \cdot b1 \rrbracket \implies$
 $N \cdot (a \cdot b) = N \cdot (a1 \cdot b1)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *c-topTr2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; a1 \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $N \cdot a = N \cdot a1 \rrbracket \implies N \cdot (\varrho a) = N \cdot (\varrho a1)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *c-iop-welldefTr1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-iop } G N (N \cdot a) \subseteq N \cdot (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *c-iop-welldefTr2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $N \cdot (\varrho a) \subseteq c\text{-iop } G N (N \cdot a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *c-iop-welldef*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-iop } G N (N \cdot a) = N \cdot (\varrho a)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *c-top-welldefTr1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $b \in \text{carrier } G; x \in N \cdot a; y \in N \cdot b \rrbracket \implies x \cdot y \in N \cdot (a \cdot b)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *c-top-welldefTr2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket$
 $\implies c\text{-top } G N (N \cdot a) (N \cdot b) \subseteq N \cdot (a \cdot b)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *c-top-welldefTr4*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $x \in N \cdot (a \cdot b) \rrbracket \implies x \in c\text{-top } G N (N \cdot a) (N \cdot b)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *c-top-welldefTr5*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $N \cdot (a \cdot b) \subseteq c\text{-top } G N (N \cdot a) (N \cdot b)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *c-top-welldef*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $N \cdot (a \cdot b) = c\text{-top } G N (N \cdot a) (N \cdot b)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *Qg-unitTr*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-top } G N N (N \cdot a) = N \cdot a$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-unit}: G \triangleright N \implies \forall x \in \text{set-rcs } G N. c\text{-top } G N N x = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-iTr}: \llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-top } G N (c\text{-iop } G N (N \cdot a)) (N \cdot a) = N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-i}: G \triangleright N \implies$
 $\forall x \in \text{set-rcs } G N. c\text{-top } G N (c\text{-iop } G N x) x = N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-tassocTr}: \llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-top } G N (N \cdot a) (c\text{-top } G N (N \cdot b) (N \cdot c)) =$
 $c\text{-top } G N (c\text{-top } G N (N \cdot a) (N \cdot b)) (N \cdot c)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-tassoc}: G \triangleright N \implies$
 $\forall X \in \text{set-rcs } G N. \forall Y \in \text{set-rcs } G N. \forall Z \in \text{set-rcs } G N. c\text{-top } G N X (c\text{-top } G N Y Z)$
 $= c\text{-top } G N (c\text{-top } G N X Y) Z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-top}: G \triangleright N \implies$
 $c\text{-top } G N : \text{set-rcs } G N \rightarrow \text{set-rcs } G N \rightarrow \text{set-rcs } G N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-top-closed}: \llbracket G \triangleright N; A \in \text{set-rcs } G N; B \in \text{set-rcs } G N \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-top } G N A B \in \text{set-rcs } G N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-iop}: G \triangleright N \implies$
 $c\text{-iop } G N : \text{set-rcs } G N \rightarrow \text{set-rcs } G N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-iop-closed}: \llbracket G \triangleright N; A \in \text{set-rcs } G N \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-iop } G N A \in \text{set-rcs } G N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-unit-closed}: G \triangleright N \implies N \in \text{set-rcs } G N$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem (in Group) $Group\text{-}Qg: G \triangleright N \implies Group (Qg G N)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Qg\text{-one}: G \triangleright N \implies one (G / N) = N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) Qg-carrier:carrier (G / (N::'a set)) = set-rcs G N
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Qg-unit-group:G ▷ N ⇒
 (set-rcs G N = {N}) = (carrier G = N)
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Gp-Qg:G ▷ N ⇒ Gp(G / N) (carrier(G / N)) = G / N
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Pj-hom0:[G ▷ N; x ∈ carrier G; y ∈ carrier G]
 ⇒ Pj G N (x · y) = (Pj G N x) ·_(G / N) (Pj G N y)
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Pj-ghom:G ▷ N ⇒ (Pj G N) ∈ gHom G (G / N)
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Pj-mem:[G ▷ N; x ∈ carrier G] ⇒ (Pj G N) x = N · x
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Pj-gsurjec:G ▷ N ⇒ gsurjec G (G/N) (Pj G N)
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) lcs-in-Gp:[G » H; G » K; K ⊆ H; a ∈ H] ⇒
 a ◊ K = a ◊_(Gp G H) K
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) rcs-in-Gp:[G » H; G » K; K ⊆ H; a ∈ H] ⇒
 K · a = K ·_(Gp G H) a
 <proof>

end

theory Algebra3 imports Algebra2 begin

3.5 Setproducts

definition

commutators:: - ⇒ 'a set **where**
 commutators G = {z. ∃ a ∈ carrier G. ∃ b ∈ carrier G.
 ((a ·_G b) ·_G (ϱ_G a)) ·_G (ϱ_G b) = z}

lemma (in Group) contain-commutator:[G » H; (commutators G) ⊆ H] ⇒ G ▷ H
 <proof>

definition

$s\text{-top} :: [-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $s\text{-top } G \ H \ K = \{z. \exists x \in H. \exists y \in K. (x \cdot_G y = z)\}$

abbreviation

$S\text{-TOP} :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
 $(\langle \exists \cdot \diamond_1 \cdot \rangle [66,67]66)$ **where**
 $H \diamond_G K == s\text{-top } G \ H \ K$

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-induced}::[G \gg L; H \subseteq L; K \subseteq L] \Longrightarrow$
 $H \diamond_{Gp} G \ L \ K = H \diamond_G K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-l-unit}:G \gg K \Longrightarrow \{1\} \diamond_G K = K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-r-unit}:G \gg K \Longrightarrow K \diamond_G \{1\} = K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-sub}:[G \gg H; G \gg K] \Longrightarrow H \diamond_G K \subseteq \text{carrier } G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) $sg\text{-inc-set-mult}:[G \gg L; H \subseteq L; K \subseteq L] \Longrightarrow H \diamond_G K \subseteq L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-sub1}:[H \subseteq (\text{carrier } G); K \subseteq (\text{carrier } G)] \Longrightarrow$
 $H \diamond_G K \subseteq \text{carrier } G$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-elem}:[G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H; b \in K] \Longrightarrow a \cdot b \in H \diamond_G K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-elem1}:[H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; K \subseteq \text{carrier } G; a \in H; b \in K]$
 \Longrightarrow
 $a \cdot b \in H \diamond_G K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) $mem\text{-s-top}:[H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; K \subseteq \text{carrier } G; u \in H \diamond_G K] \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists a \in H. \exists b \in K. (a \cdot b = u)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-mono}:[H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; K \subseteq \text{carrier } G; H1 \subseteq H; K1 \subseteq K]$
 $\Longrightarrow H1 \diamond_G K1 \subseteq H \diamond_G K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) $s\text{-top-unit-closed}:[G \gg H; G \gg K] \Longrightarrow 1 \in H \diamond_G K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Group*) *s-top-commute*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K; K \diamond_G H = H \diamond_G K; u \in H \diamond_G K; v \in H \diamond_G K \rrbracket \implies u \cdot v \in H \diamond_G K$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *s-top-commute1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K; K \diamond_G H = H \diamond_G K; u \in H \diamond_G K \rrbracket \implies (\varrho u) \in H \diamond_G K$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *s-top-commute-sg*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K; K \diamond_G H = H \diamond_G K \rrbracket \implies G \triangleright (H \diamond_G K)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *s-top-assoc*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K; G \triangleright L \rrbracket \implies (H \diamond_G K) \diamond_G L = H \diamond_G (K \diamond_G L)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *s-topTr6*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H1; G \triangleright H2; G \triangleright K; H1 \subseteq K \rrbracket \implies (H1 \diamond_G H2) \cap K = H1 \diamond_G (H2 \cap K)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *s-topTr6-1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H1; G \triangleright H2; G \triangleright K; H2 \subseteq K \rrbracket \implies (H1 \diamond_G H2) \cap K = (H1 \cap K) \diamond_G H2$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *l-sub-smult*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K \rrbracket \implies H \subseteq H \diamond_G K$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *r-sub-smult*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright K \rrbracket \implies K \subseteq H \diamond_G K$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *s-topTr8*: $G \triangleright H \implies H = H \diamond_G H$

<proof>

3.6 Preliminary lemmas for Zassenhaus

lemma (in *Group*) *Gp-sg-subset*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright K \rrbracket \implies K \subseteq H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *inter-Gp-nsg*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; G \triangleright H \rrbracket \implies (\natural H) \triangleright (H \cap N)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr0*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright H1; G \triangleright K; G \triangleright K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies Gp\ G\ (H \cap K) \triangleright (H \cap K1)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *lcs-sub-s-mult*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; a \in H \rrbracket \implies a \diamond N \subseteq H \diamond_G N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rsc-sub-smult*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; a \in H \rrbracket \implies N \cdot a \subseteq N \diamond_G H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *smult-commute-sg-nsg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies H \diamond_G N = N \diamond_G H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *smult-sg-nsg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies G \gg H \diamond_G N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *smult-nsg-sg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies G \gg N \diamond_G H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *Gp-smult-sg-nsg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies \text{Group } (Gp \ G \ (H \diamond_G N))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *N-sg-HN*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies Gp \ G \ (H \diamond_G N) \gg N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *K-absorb-HK*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; H \subseteq K \rrbracket \implies H \diamond_G K = K$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *nsg-Gp-nsg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N; N \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies Gp \ G \ H \triangleright N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *Gp-smult-nsg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies Gp \ G \ (H \diamond_G N) \triangleright N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *Gp-smult-nsg1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies Gp \ G \ (N \diamond_G H) \triangleright N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr2-3*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; Gp \ G \ H \triangleright H1 \rrbracket \implies H1 \subseteq H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr2-4*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; Gp \ G \ H \triangleright H1; h \in H; h1 \in H1 \rrbracket \implies h \cdot h1 \cdot (q \ h) \in H1$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1;$

$Gp \ G \ H \triangleright H1; Gp \ G \ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1) = (H \cap K1) \diamond_G H1$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr1-1*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1;$

$Gp \ G \ H \triangleright H1; Gp \ G \ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies G \gg (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *ZassenhausTr2*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; Gp \ G \ H \triangleright H1 \rrbracket \implies$

$H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K) = (H \cap K) \diamond_G H1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-1: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1 \rrbracket$
 $\implies G \gg H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-2: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1;$
 $Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1) \subseteq H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-5: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H$
 $\triangleright H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1; a \in H1; b \in H \cap K1; c \in H1 \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \cdot b \cdot c \in H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-6: $\llbracket u \in \text{carrier } G; v \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$

$(u \cdot v) \cdot (x \cdot y) \cdot (\varrho(u \cdot v)) =$
 $u \cdot v \cdot x \cdot (\varrho v) \cdot (v \cdot y \cdot (\varrho v)) \cdot (\varrho u)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-7: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket$

$\implies a \cdot (x \cdot y) \cdot (\varrho a) = a \cdot x \cdot (\varrho a) \cdot (a \cdot y \cdot (\varrho a))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr3: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright$
 $H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)) \triangleright (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr3-2: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H$
 $\triangleright H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies G \gg H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1) \diamond_G (H \cap K)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr3-3: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H$
 $\triangleright H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies (H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1) = (K1 \cap H) \diamond_G (K \cap H1)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr3-4: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H$
 $\triangleright H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1; g \in H \cap K; h \in H \cap K1 \rrbracket \implies g \cdot h \cdot (\varrho g) \in H \cap K1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr3-5: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H$
 $\triangleright H1;$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

$$\llbracket Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies (Gp\ G\ (H \cap K)) \triangleright (H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1)$$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr4: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$$\llbracket Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1)) \diamond_G (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)) = H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr4-0: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$$\llbracket Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K) = (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1)) \diamond_G (H \cap K)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr4-1: $\llbracket G \gg H; (Gp\ G\ H) \triangleright H1; (Gp\ G\ H) \gg (H \cap K) \rrbracket$

$$\implies (Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K))) \triangleright H1$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

3.7 Homomorphism

lemma gHom: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; x \in carrier\ F; \rrbracket$

$$y \in carrier\ F \implies f(x \cdot_F y) = (f\ x) \cdot_G (f\ y)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma gHom-mem: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; x \in carrier\ F \rrbracket \implies (f\ x) \in carrier\ G$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma gHom-func: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies f \in carrier\ F \rightarrow carrier\ G$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma gHomcomp: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; \text{Group } H; f \in gHom\ F\ G; g \in gHom\ G\ H \rrbracket$

$$\implies (g \circ_F f) \in gHom\ F\ H$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma gHom-comp-gsurjec: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; \text{Group } H; gsurj_{F,G}\ f; \rrbracket$

$$gsurj_{G,H}\ g \implies gsurj_{F,H}\ (g \circ_F f)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma gHom-comp-ginjec: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; \text{Group } H; ginj_{F,G}\ f; ginj_{G,H}\ g \rrbracket$

$$\implies ginj_{F,H}\ (g \circ_F f)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *ghom-unit-unit*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $f(\mathbf{1}_F) = \mathbf{1}_G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ghom-inv-inv*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; x \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies$
 $f(\varrho_F x) = \varrho_G(f x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ghomTr3*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; x \in \text{carrier } F;$
 $y \in \text{carrier } F; f(x \cdot_F (\varrho_F y)) = \mathbf{1}_G \rrbracket \implies f x = f y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iim-nonempty*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; G \gg K \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{iim } F \ G \ f \ K) \neq \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ghomTr4*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; G \gg K \rrbracket \implies$
 $F \gg (\text{iim } F \ G \ f \ K)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in Group*) *IdTr0*: $\text{idmap}(\text{carrier } G) \in \text{gHom } G \ G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation

IDMAP ($\langle \langle I. \rangle \rangle$ [999]1000) **where**
 $I_F == \text{idmap}(\text{carrier } F)$

abbreviation

INVFUN ($\langle \langle 3Ifn - - \rangle \rangle$ [88,88,89]88) **where**
 $Ifn \ F \ G \ f == \text{infun}(\text{carrier } F)(\text{carrier } G) \ f$

lemma *IdTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; x \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies (I_F) x = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IdTr2*: $\text{Group } F \implies \text{gbij}_{F,F}(I_F)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Id-l-unit*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{gbij}_{G,G} f \rrbracket \implies I_G \circ_G f = f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.8 Gkernel

lemma *gkernTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; x \in \text{gker}_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \in \text{carrier } F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gkernTr1-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies \text{gker}_{F,G} f \subseteq \text{carrier}$
 F

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gkernTr2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; x \in \text{gker}_{F,G} f; y \in \text{gker}_{F,G} f \rrbracket$
 $\implies (x \cdot_F y) \in \text{gker}_{F,G} f$
 <proof>

lemma *gkernTr3*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; x \in \text{gker}_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\varrho_F x) \in \text{gker}_{F,G} f$
 <proof>

lemma *gkernTr6*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies (\mathbf{1}_F) \in \text{gker}_{F,G} f$
 <proof>

lemma *gkernTr7*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies F \gg \text{gker}_{F,G} f$
 <proof>

lemma *gker-normal*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies F \triangleright \text{gker}_{F,G} f$
 <proof>

lemma *Group-coim*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies \text{Group } (F / \text{gker}_{F,G} f)$
 <proof>

lemma *gkern1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Ugp } E; f \in \text{gHom } F \ E \rrbracket \implies \text{gker}_{F,E} f = \text{carrier } F$
 <proof>

lemma *gkern2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; \text{ginj}_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{gker}_{F,G} f = \{\mathbf{1}_F\}$
 <proof>

lemma *gkernTr9*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; a \in \text{carrier } F; b \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket$
 $\implies ((\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a = (\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \cdot_F b) = (f a = f b)$
 <proof>

lemma *gkernTr11*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; a \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{im } F \ G \ f \ \{f a\}) = (\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a$
 <proof>

lemma *gbij-comp-bij*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; \text{Group } H; \text{gbij}_{F,G} f; \text{gbij}_{G,H} g \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{gbij}_{F,H} (g \circ_F f)$
 <proof>

lemma *gbij-automorph*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{gbij}_{G,G} f; \text{gbij}_{G,G} g \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{gbij}_{G,G} (g \circ_G f)$
 <proof>

lemma *l-unit-gHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies (I_G) \circ_F f = f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *r-unit-gHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies f \circ_F (I_F) = f$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.9 Image

lemma *inv-gHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; \text{gbij}_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies (\text{Ifn } F \ G \ f) \in \text{gHom } G \ F$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *inv-gbijec-gbijec*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; \text{gbij}_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies \text{gbij}_{G,F} (\text{Ifn } F \ G \ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *l-inv-gHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; \text{gbij}_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies (\text{Ifn } F \ G \ f) \circ_F f = (I_F)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *img-mult-closed*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; u \in f \text{ ' } (\text{carrier } F); v \in f \text{ ' } (\text{carrier } F) \rrbracket \implies u \cdot_G v \in f \text{ ' } (\text{carrier } F)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *img-unit-closed*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{1}_G \in f \text{ ' } (\text{carrier } F)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *imgTr7*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; u \in f \text{ ' } (\text{carrier } F) \rrbracket \implies \varrho_G u \in f \text{ ' } (\text{carrier } F)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *imgTr8*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; F \gg H; u \in f \text{ ' } H; v \in f \text{ ' } H \rrbracket \implies u \cdot_G v \in f \text{ ' } H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *imgTr9*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; F \gg H; u \in f \text{ ' } H \rrbracket \implies \varrho_G u \in f \text{ ' } H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *imgTr10*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; F \gg H \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{1}_G \in f \text{ ' } H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *imgTr11*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; F \gg H \rrbracket \implies G \gg (f \text{ ' } H)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sg-gimg*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies G \gg f \text{ ' } (\text{carrier } F)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Group-Img*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies \text{Group } (\text{Img}_{F,G} f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Img-carrier*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{Img}_{F,G} f) = f \cdot (\text{carrier } F)$

<proof>

lemma *hom-to-Img*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies f \in \text{gHom } F \ (\text{Img}_{F,G} f)$

<proof>

lemma *gker-hom-to-img*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{gker}_{F,(\text{Img}_{F,G} f)} f = \text{gker}_{F,G} f$

<proof>

lemma *Pj-im-subg*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \triangleright K; K \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Pj } G \ K \cdot H = \text{carrier } ((\text{Gp } G \ H) / K)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *subg-Qsubg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright K; K \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies$
 $(G / K) \gg \text{carrier } ((\text{Gp } G \ H) / K)$

<proof>

3.10 Induced homomorphisms

lemma *inducedhomTr*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G;$
 $S \in \text{set-rcs } F \ (\text{gker}_{F,G} f); s1 \in S; s2 \in S \rrbracket \implies f \ s1 = f \ s2$

<proof>

definition

induced-ghom :: $[(\ 'a, \ 'more) \ \text{Group-scheme}, (\ 'b, \ 'more1) \ \text{Group-scheme},$
 $(\ 'a \Rightarrow \ 'b) \Rightarrow (\ 'a \ \text{set} \Rightarrow \ 'b) \ \mathbf{where}$
 $\text{induced-ghom } F \ G \ f = (\lambda X \in (\text{set-rcs } F \ (\text{gker}_{F,G} f)). f \ (\text{SOME } x. x \in X))$

abbreviation

INDUCED-GHOM :: $[\ 'a \Rightarrow \ 'b, (\ 'a, \ 'm) \ \text{Group-scheme}, (\ 'b, \ 'm1) \ \text{Group-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow (\ 'a \ \text{set} \Rightarrow \ 'b) \ (\langle \text{?} \ _ \ _ \rangle \ [82,82,83]82) \ \mathbf{where}$
 $f \ _ \ F,G == \text{induced-ghom } F \ G \ f$

lemma *induced-ghom-someTr*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G;$
 $X \in \text{set-rcs } F \ (\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \rrbracket \implies f \ (\text{SOME } xa. xa \in X) \in f \cdot (\text{carrier } F)$

<proof>

lemma *induced-ghom-someTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; a \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies$

$$f \ (\text{SOME } xa. xa \in (\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a) = f \ a$$

<proof>

lemma *inducedHOMTr0*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; a \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f \ _ \ F,G) ((\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a) = f \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inducedHOMTr0-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in \text{set-rcs } F \ (\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \rightarrow \text{carrier } G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inducedHOMTr0-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in \text{set-rcs } F \ (\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \rightarrow f' \ (\text{carrier } F)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inducedHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in \text{gHom } (F / (\text{gker}_{F,G} f)) \ G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *induced-ghom-ginjec*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ginj}_{(F / (\text{gker}_{F,G} f)), G} (f''_{F,G})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inducedhomgsurjec*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; \text{gsurj}_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{gsurj}_{(F / (\text{gker}_{F,G} f)), G} (f''_{F,G})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *homomtr*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in \text{gHom } (F / (\text{gker}_{F,G} f)) \ (\text{Img}_{F,G} f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *homom2img*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f''_{F, (\text{Img}_{F,G} f)}) \in \text{gHom } (F / (\text{gker}_{F,G} f)) \ (\text{Img}_{F,G} f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *homom2img1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{Group } G; f \in \text{gHom } F \ G; X \in \text{set-rcs } F \ (\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \rrbracket$

$\implies (f''_{F, (\text{Img}_{F,G} f)}) X = (f''_{F,G}) X$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.10.1 Homomorphism therems

definition

iota :: $(\prime a, \prime m)$ Group-scheme $\Rightarrow (\prime a \Rightarrow \prime a)$

$(\iota_{(-)})$ [1000]999 **where**

$\iota_F = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } F. x)$

lemma *iotaHomTr0*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies (\iota_{(Gp \ G \ H)}) h = h$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iotaHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies$

$\iota(Gp\ G\ H) \in gHom\ (Gp\ G\ H)\ (Gp\ G\ (H\ \diamond_G\ N))$
 <proof>

lemma *iotaTr0*: $\llbracket Group\ G; G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies$
 $ginj(Gp\ G\ H), (Gp\ G\ (H\ \diamond_G\ N))\ (\iota(Gp\ G\ H))$
 <proof>

theorem *homomthm1*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $gbij(F / (gkernel\ F\ G\ f), (Gimage\ F\ G\ f))\ (f''\ F, (Gimage\ F\ G\ f))$
 <proof>

lemma *isomTr0* [*simp*]: $Group\ F \implies F \cong F$
 <proof>

lemma *isomTr1*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; F \cong G \rrbracket \implies G \cong F$
 <proof>

lemma *isomTr2*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; Group\ H; F \cong G; G \cong H \rrbracket \implies F \cong H$
 <proof>

lemma *gisom1*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies$
 $(F / (gker_{F,G}\ f)) \cong (Img_{F,G}\ f)$
 <proof>

lemma *homomth2Tr0*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies$
 $F \triangleright (iim\ F\ G\ f\ N)$
 <proof>

lemma *kern-comp-gHom*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; gsurj_{F,G}\ f; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies$
 $gker_F, (G/N)\ ((Pj\ G\ N) \circ_F f) = iim\ F\ G\ f\ N$
 <proof>

lemma *QgrpUnit-1*: $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \triangleright H; (G / H) \cong E \rrbracket \implies carrier\ G = H$
 <proof>

lemma *QgrpUnit-2*: $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \triangleright H; carrier\ G = H \rrbracket \implies (G/H) \cong E$
 <proof>

lemma *QgrpUnit-3*: $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \gg H; G \gg H1; (Gp\ G\ H) \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$
 $((Gp\ G\ H) / H1) \cong E \rrbracket \implies H = H1$
 <proof>

lemma *QgrpUnit-4*: $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \gg H; G \gg H1; (Gp\ G\ H) \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$
 $\neg ((Gp\ G\ H) / H1) \cong E \rrbracket \implies H \neq H1$
 <proof>

definition

Qmp :: $[('a, 'm)\ Group\text{-scheme}, 'a\ set, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow ('a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set)$ **where**

$$Qmp\ G\ H\ N = (\lambda X \in \text{set-rcs } G\ H. \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in N. (y \cdot_G x = z)\})$$

abbreviation

$$\begin{aligned} QP &:: [-, 'a\ set, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow ('a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set) \\ &(\langle (\exists Qm\ -\ -) \rangle [82,82,83]82) \text{ where} \\ Qm_{G\ H,N} &== Qmp\ G\ H\ N \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in Group) $QmpTr0: [G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N; a \in \text{carrier } G] \Longrightarrow$
 $Qmp\ G\ H\ N\ (H \cdot a) = (N \cdot a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $QmpTr1: [G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$
 $H \cdot a = H \cdot b] \Longrightarrow N \cdot a = N \cdot b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $QmpTr2: [G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N; X \in \text{carrier } (G/H)]$
 $\Longrightarrow (Qmp\ G\ H\ N)\ X \in \text{carrier } (G/N)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $QmpTr2-1: [G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N] \Longrightarrow$
 $Qmp\ G\ H\ N \in \text{carrier } (G/H) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (G/N)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $QmpTr3: [G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N; X \in \text{carrier } (G/H);$
 $Y \in \text{carrier } (G/H)] \Longrightarrow$
 $(Qmp\ G\ H\ N)\ (c\text{-top } G\ H\ X\ Y) = c\text{-top } G\ N\ ((Qmp\ G\ H\ N)\ X)\ ((Qmp\ G\ H\ N)\ Y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Gp\text{-s-mult-nsg}: [G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N; a \in N] \Longrightarrow$
 $H \cdot (Gp\ G\ N)\ a = H \cdot a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $QmpTr5: [G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N; X \in \text{carrier } (G/H);$
 $Y \in \text{carrier } (G/H)] \Longrightarrow (Qmp\ G\ H\ N)\ (X \cdot_{(G/H)} Y) =$
 $((Qmp\ G\ H\ N)\ X) \cdot_{(G/N)} ((Qmp\ G\ H\ N)\ Y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $QmpTr: [G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N] \Longrightarrow$
 $(Qm_{G\ H,N}) \in gHom\ (G/H)\ (G/N)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) $Qmpgsurjec: [G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N] \Longrightarrow$

$$gsurj_{(G/H),(G/N)} (Qm_{G,H,N})$$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *gkerQmp*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies$
 $gker_{(G/H),(G/N)} (Qm_{G,H,N}) = carrier ((Gp\ G\ N)/\ H)$

<proof>

theorem (in Group) *homom2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies$
 $gbij_{((G/H)/(carrier ((Gp\ G\ N)/\ H))),(G/N)} ((Qm_{G,H,N})'' (G/H),(G/N))$

<proof>

3.11 Isomorphisms

theorem (in Group) *isom2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies$
 $((G/H)/(carrier ((Gp\ G\ N)/\ H))) \cong (G/N)$

<proof>

theorem *homom3*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; G \triangleright N; gsurj_{F,G}\ f;$
 $N1 = (iim\ F\ G\ f)\ N \rrbracket \implies (F / N1) \cong (G / N)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *homom3Tr1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies H \cap N =$
 $gker_{(Gp\ G\ H),(Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N))/N}$
 $((Pj\ (Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N))\ N) \circ_{(Gp\ G\ H)} (\iota_{(Gp\ G\ H)}))$

<proof>

3.11.1 An automorphism groups

definition

automg :: - \Rightarrow
 $(\downarrow carrier :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a)\ set, top :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a),$
 $iop :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a), one :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a))$ **where**
 $automg\ G = (\downarrow carrier = \{f. gbij_{G,G}\ f\},$
 $top = \lambda g \in \{f. gbij_{G,G}\ f\}. \lambda f \in \{f. gbij_{G,G}\ f\}. (g \circ_G f),$
 $iop = \lambda f \in \{f. gbij_{G,G}\ f\}. (Ifn\ G\ G\ f), one = I_G)$

lemma *automgroupTr1*: $\llbracket Group\ G; gbij_{G,G}\ f; gbij_{G,G}\ g; gbij_{G,G}\ h \rrbracket \implies$
 $(h \circ_G g) \circ_G f = h \circ_G (g \circ_G f)$

<proof>

lemma *automgroup*: $Group\ G \implies Group\ (automg\ G)$

<proof>

3.11.2 Complete system of representatives

definition

gcsrp :: - $\Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $gcsrp\ G\ H\ S == \exists f. (bij\ to\ f\ (set\ rcs\ G\ H)\ S)$

definition

$gcsrp\text{-map}::[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H == \lambda X \in (set\text{-rcs}\ G\ H).\ SOME\ x.\ x \in X$

lemma (in *Group*) $gcsrp\text{-func}:G \gg H \Longrightarrow gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H \in set\text{-rcs}\ G\ H \rightarrow UNIV$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $gcsrp\text{-func}1:G \gg H \Longrightarrow$
 $gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H \in set\text{-rcs}\ G\ H \rightarrow (gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H) '(set\text{-rcs}\ G\ H)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $gcsrp\text{-map-bij}:G \gg H \Longrightarrow$
 $bij\text{-to}\ (gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H)\ (set\text{-rcs}\ G\ H)\ ((gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H) '(set\text{-rcs}\ G\ H))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $image\text{-gcsrp}:G \gg H \Longrightarrow$
 $gcsrp\ G\ H\ ((gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H) '(set\text{-rcs}\ G\ H))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $gcsrp\text{-exists}:G \gg H \Longrightarrow \exists S.\ gcsrp\ G\ H\ S$
 <proof>

definition

$gcsrp\text{-top}::[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $gcsrp\text{-top}\ G\ H == \lambda x \in ((gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H) '(set\text{-rcs}\ G\ H)).$
 $\lambda y \in ((gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H) '(set\text{-rcs}\ G\ H)).$
 $gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H$
 (c-top $G\ H$
 ((infun (set-rcs $G\ H$) ((gcsrp-map $G\ H$) '(set-rcs $G\ H$)) (gcsrp-map $G\ H$) x)
 ((infun (set-rcs $G\ H$) ((gcsrp-map $G\ H$) '(set-rcs $G\ H$)) (gcsrp-map $G\ H$) y)))

definition

$gcsrp\text{-iop}::[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $gcsrp\text{-iop}\ G\ H = (\lambda x \in ((gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H) '(set\text{-rcs}\ G\ H)).$
 $gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H$
 (c-iop $G\ H$
 ((infun (set-rcs $G\ H$) ((gcsrp-map $G\ H$) '(set-rcs $G\ H$)) (gcsrp-map $G\ H$)
 x)))

definition

$gcsrp\text{-one}::[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $gcsrp\text{-one}\ G\ H = gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ H\ H$

definition

$Gcsrp::[- \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ Group]$ **where**
 $Gcsrp\ G\ N = (\text{carrier} = (gcsrp\text{-map}\ G\ N) '(set\text{-rcs}\ G\ N),$
 $top = gcsrp\text{-top}\ G\ N, iop = gcsrp\text{-iop}\ G\ N, one = gcsrp\text{-one}\ G\ N)$

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-top-closed*: \llbracket Group G ; $G \triangleright N$;
 $a \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N)\ 'set\text{-}rcs\ G\ N)$; $b \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N)\ 'set\text{-}rcs\ G\ N)\rrbracket$
 $\implies gcsrp\text{-}top\ G\ N\ a\ b \in (gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N)\ 'set\text{-}rcs\ G\ N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-tassoc*: \llbracket Group G ; $G \triangleright N$;
 $a \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N)\ 'set\text{-}rcs\ G\ N)$;
 $b \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N)\ 'set\text{-}rcs\ G\ N)$;
 $c \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N)\ 'set\text{-}rcs\ G\ N)\rrbracket \implies$
 $(gcsrp\text{-}top\ G\ N\ (gcsrp\text{-}top\ G\ N\ a\ b)\ c) =$
 $(gcsrp\text{-}top\ G\ N\ a\ (gcsrp\text{-}top\ G\ N\ b\ c))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-l-one*: \llbracket Group G ; $G \triangleright N$;
 $a \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N)\ 'set\text{-}rcs\ G\ N)\rrbracket \implies$
 $(gcsrp\text{-}top\ G\ N\ (gcsrp\text{-}one\ G\ N)\ a) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-l-i*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N$; $a \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N)\ 'set\text{-}rcs\ G\ N)\rrbracket \implies$
 $gcsrp\text{-}top\ G\ N\ (gcsrp\text{-}iop\ G\ N\ a)\ a = gcsrp\text{-}one\ G\ N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-i-closed*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N$; $a \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N)\ 'set\text{-}rcs\ G\ N)\rrbracket$
 $\implies gcsrp\text{-}iop\ G\ N\ a \in ((gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N)\ 'set\text{-}rcs\ G\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *Group-Gcsrp*: $G \triangleright N \implies Group\ (Gcsrp\ G\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *gcsrp-map-gbijec*: $G \triangleright N \implies$
 $gbij_{(G/N), (Gcsrp\ G\ N)}\ (gcsrp\text{-}map\ G\ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Group) *Qg-equiv-Gcsrp*: $G \triangleright N \implies (G / N) \cong Gcsrp\ G\ N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.12 Zassenhaus

we show $H \rightarrow H N / N$ is gsurjective

lemma (in Group) *homom4Tr1*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N$; $G \gg H \rrbracket \implies Group\ ((Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G\ N))$
 $/ N)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *homom3Tr2*: $\llbracket Group\ G$; $G \gg H$; $G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies$
 $gsurj_{(Gp\ G\ H), ((Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G\ N)) / N)}$
 $((Pj\ (Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G\ N))\ N) \circ_{(Gp\ G\ H)}\ (\iota_{(Gp\ G\ H)}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *homom4*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies \text{gbij}((Gp\ G\ H)/(H \cap N), ((Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N)) / N) / N)$
 $((Pj\ (Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N))\ N) \circ_{(Gp\ G\ H)} (\iota_{(Gp\ G\ H)})) \cdot (Gp\ G\ H), ((Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N)) / N))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in Group*) *homom4-2*: $\llbracket G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies \text{Group } ((Gp\ G\ H) / (H \cap N))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom4*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies$
 $((Gp\ G\ H)/(H \cap N)) \cong ((Gp\ G\ (N \diamond_G H)) / N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr5*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \implies$
 $((Gp\ G\ (H \cap K)) / ((H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1))) \cong$
 $((Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K))) / (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr5-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \implies ((Gp\ G\ (K \cap H)) / ((K1 \cap H) \diamond_G (K \cap H1))) \cong$
 $((Gp\ G\ (K1 \diamond_G (K \cap H))) / (K1 \diamond_G (K \cap H1)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr5-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \implies$
 $((Gp\ G\ (H \cap K)) / ((H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1))) =$
 $((Gp\ G\ (K \cap H)) / ((K1 \cap H) \diamond_G (K \cap H1)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr6-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \implies \text{Group } (Gp\ G\ (H \cap K) / (H1 \cap K \diamond_G H \cap K1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr6-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \implies \text{Group } (Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G H \cap K) / (H1 \diamond_G H \cap K1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ZassenhausTr6-3*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; \rrbracket$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \implies \text{Group } (Gp\ G\ (K1 \diamond_G K \cap H) / (K1 \diamond_G K \cap H1))$

<proof>

theorem *Zassenhaus*: \llbracket Group G ; $G \gg H$; $G \gg H1$; $G \gg K$; $G \gg K1$; $Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1$;

$$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies (Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G H \cap K) / (H1 \diamond_G H \cap K1)) \cong (Gp\ G\ (K1 \diamond_G K \cap H) / (K1 \diamond_G K \cap H1))$$

<proof>

3.13 Chain of groups I

definition

$$\begin{aligned} d\text{-gchain} &:: [-, \text{nat}, (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \textbf{ where} \\ d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g &= (\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } G \gg g\ 0 \text{ else } (\forall l \leq n. G \gg (g\ l) \wedge \\ &\quad (\forall l \leq (n - \text{Suc } 0). g\ (\text{Suc } l) \subseteq g\ l))) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} D\text{-gchain} &:: [-, \text{nat}, (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \textbf{ where} \\ D\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g &= (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } G \gg (g\ 0) \text{ else } (d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g) \wedge \\ &\quad (\forall l \leq (n - \text{Suc } 0). (g\ (\text{Suc } l) \subset (g\ l))) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} td\text{-gchain} &:: [-, \text{nat}, (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \textbf{ where} \\ td\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g &= (\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } g\ 0 = \text{carrier } G \wedge g\ 0 = \{\mathbf{1}_G\} \text{ else} \\ &\quad d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g \wedge g\ 0 = \text{carrier } G \wedge g\ n = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} tD\text{-gchain} &:: [-, \text{nat}, (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \textbf{ where} \\ tD\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g &= (\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } g\ 0 = \text{carrier } G \wedge g\ 0 = \{\mathbf{1}_G\} \text{ else} \\ &\quad D\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g \wedge (g\ 0 = \text{carrier } G) \wedge (g\ n = \{\mathbf{1}_G\})) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} w\text{-cmpser} &:: [-, \text{nat}, (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \textbf{ where} \\ w\text{-cmpser}\ G\ n\ g &= (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g \text{ else } d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g \wedge \\ &\quad (\forall l \leq (n - 1). (Gp\ G\ (g\ l) \triangleright (g\ (\text{Suc } l)))) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} W\text{-cmpser} &:: [-, \text{nat}, (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \textbf{ where} \\ W\text{-cmpser}\ G\ n\ g &= (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } d\text{-gchain}\ G\ 0\ g \text{ else } D\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g \wedge \\ &\quad (\forall l \leq (n - 1). (Gp\ G\ (g\ l) \triangleright (g\ (\text{Suc } l)))) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} tw\text{-cmpser} &:: [-, \text{nat}, (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \textbf{ where} \\ tw\text{-cmpser}\ G\ n\ g &= (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } td\text{-gchain}\ G\ 0\ g \text{ else } td\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g \wedge \end{aligned}$$

$$(\forall l \leq (n - 1). (Gp\ G\ (g\ l)) \triangleright (g\ (Suc\ l))))$$

definition

$tW\text{-cmpser} :: [- , nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $tW\text{-cmpser}\ G\ n\ g = (if\ n = 0\ then\ td\text{-gchain}\ G\ 0\ g\ else\ tD\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ g \wedge$
 $(\forall l \leq (n - 1). (Gp\ G\ (g\ l)) \triangleright (g\ (Suc\ l))))$

definition

$Qw\text{-cmpser} :: [- , nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow ('a\ set)\ Group)$ **where**
 $Qw\text{-cmpser}\ G\ f\ l = ((Gp\ G\ (f\ l)) / (f\ (Suc\ l)))$

definition

$red\text{-chn} :: [- , nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)$ **where**
 $red\text{-chn}\ G\ n\ f = (SOME\ g. g \in \{h. (tW\text{-cmpser}\ G\ (card\ (f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1)\ h)\}$
 $\wedge\ h\ ' \{i. i \leq (card\ (f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1)\} = f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}\})$

definition

$chain\text{-cutout} :: [nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)$ **where**
 $chain\text{-cutout}\ l\ f = (\lambda j. f\ (slide\ l\ j))$

lemma (in *Group*) $d\text{-gchainTr0} : \llbracket 0 < n; d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ f; k \leq (n - 1) \rrbracket$
 $\implies f\ (Suc\ k) \subseteq f\ k$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $d\text{-gchain-mem-sg} : d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ f \implies \forall i \leq n. G \gg (f\ i)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $d\text{-gchain-pre} : d\text{-gchain}\ G\ (Suc\ n)\ f \implies d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ f$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $d\text{-gchainTr1} : 0 < n \longrightarrow (\forall f. d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ f \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall l \leq n. \forall j \leq n. l < j \longrightarrow f\ j \subseteq f\ l))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $d\text{-gchainTr2} : \llbracket 0 < n; d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ f; l \leq n; j \leq n; l \leq j \rrbracket$
 $\implies f\ j \subseteq f\ l$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $im\text{-d-gchainTr1} : \llbracket d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ f;$
 $f\ l \in (f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f\ 0\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $f\ (LEAST\ j. f\ j \in (f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f\ 0\}) \in (f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f\ 0\}$
<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) $im\text{-d-gchainTr1-0} : \llbracket d\text{-gchain}\ G\ n\ f;$
 $f\ l \in (f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f\ 0\} \rrbracket \implies$

$$0 < (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f 0\})$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchainTr1-1*:

$$\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; \exists i. f i \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f 0\} \rrbracket \implies \\ f (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in ((f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f 0\})) \in ((f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f 0\})$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchainsTr1-2*:

$$\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; i \leq n; f i \in f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\} \rrbracket \implies \\ (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \leq i$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchainsTr1-3*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; \exists i \leq n.$

$$f i \in f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\}; \\ k < (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \rrbracket \implies f k = f 0$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *im-gdchainsTr1-4*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f;$

$$\exists v \in f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}. v \notin \{f 0\}; i < (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) \wedge \\ f j \neq f 0) \rrbracket \implies f i = f 0$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchainsTr1-5*: $\llbracket 0 < n; d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; i \leq n;$

$$f i \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\}); (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) = j \rrbracket \\ \implies f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (j - (\text{Suc } 0))\} = \{f 0\}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchains1*: $\llbracket 0 < n; d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; i \leq n;$

$$f i \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\}); \\ (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) = j \rrbracket \implies \\ f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} = \{f 0\} \cup \{f i \mid i. j \leq i \wedge i \leq n\}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchains1-1*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; f n \neq f 0 \rrbracket \implies$

$$f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} = \{f 0\} \cup \\ \{f i \mid i. (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \leq i \wedge i \leq n\}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *d-gchains-leastTr*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; f n \neq f 0 \rrbracket \implies$

$$(\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \in \{i. i \leq n\} \wedge \\ f (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \neq f 0$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *im-d-gchainTr2*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; j \leq n; f j \neq f 0 \rrbracket \implies$

$$\forall i \leq n. f 0 = f i \longrightarrow \neg j \leq i$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *D-gchain-pre*: $\llbracket D\text{-gchain } G \ (\text{Suc } n) \ f \rrbracket \implies D\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *D-gchain0*: $\llbracket D\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ f;\ i \leq n;\ j \leq n;\ i < j \rrbracket \implies fj \subset fi$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *D-gchain1*: $D\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ f \implies inj\text{-}on\ f\ \{i.\ i \leq n\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *card-im-D-gchain*: $\llbracket 0 < n;\ D\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ f \rrbracket \implies card\ (f\ \{i.\ i \leq n\}) = Suc\ n$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *w-cmpser-gr*: $\llbracket 0 < r;\ w\text{-}cmpser\ G\ r\ f;\ i \leq r \rrbracket \implies G \gg (f\ i)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *w-cmpser-ns*: $\llbracket 0 < r;\ w\text{-}cmpser\ G\ r\ f;\ i \leq (r - 1) \rrbracket \implies (Gp\ G\ (f\ i)) \triangleright (f\ (Suc\ i))$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *w-cmpser-pre*: $w\text{-}cmpser\ G\ (Suc\ n)\ f \implies w\text{-}cmpser\ G\ n\ f$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *W-cmpser-pre*: $W\text{-}cmpser\ G\ (Suc\ n)\ f \implies W\text{-}cmpser\ G\ n\ f$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *td-gchain-n*: $\llbracket td\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ f;\ carrier\ G \neq \{1\} \rrbracket \implies 0 < n$

<proof>

3.14 Existence of reduced chain

lemma (in Group) *D-gchain-is-d-gchain*: $D\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ f \implies d\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ f$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *joint-d-gchains*: $\llbracket d\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ f;\ d\text{-}gchain\ G\ m\ g;\ g\ 0 \subseteq f\ n \rrbracket \implies d\text{-}gchain\ G\ (Suc\ (n + m))\ (jointfun\ n\ f\ m\ g)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *joint-D-gchains*: $\llbracket D\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ f;\ D\text{-}gchain\ G\ m\ g;\ g\ 0 \subset f\ n \rrbracket \implies D\text{-}gchain\ G\ (Suc\ (n + m))\ (jointfun\ n\ f\ m\ g)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *w-cmpser-is-d-gchain*: $w\text{-}cmpser\ G\ n\ f \implies d\text{-}gchain\ G\ n\ f$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *joint-w-cmpser*: $\llbracket w\text{-}cmpser\ G\ n\ f;\ w\text{-}cmpser\ G\ m\ g;\ Gp\ G\ (f\ n) \triangleright (g\ 0) \rrbracket \implies w\text{-}cmpser\ G\ (Suc\ (n + m))\ (jointfun\ n\ f\ m\ g)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *W-cmpser-is-D-gchain*: $W\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f \implies D\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *W-cmpser-is-w-cmpser*: $W\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f \implies w\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *tw-cmpser-is-w-cmpser*: $tw\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f \implies w\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *tW-cmpser-is-W-cmpser*: $tW\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f \implies W\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *joint-W-cmpser*: $\llbracket W\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f; W\text{-cmpser } G \ m \ g; (Gp \ G \ (f \ n)) \triangleright (g \ 0); g \ 0 \subset f \ n \rrbracket \implies W\text{-cmpser } G \ (Suc \ (n + m)) \ (jointfun \ n \ f \ m \ g)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *joint-d-gchain-n0*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; d\text{-gchain } G \ 0 \ g; g \ 0 \subseteq f \ n \rrbracket \implies d\text{-gchain } G \ (Suc \ n) \ (jointfun \ n \ f \ 0 \ g)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *joint-D-gchain-n0*: $\llbracket D\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f; D\text{-gchain } G \ 0 \ g; g \ 0 \subset f \ n \rrbracket \implies D\text{-gchain } G \ (Suc \ n) \ (jointfun \ n \ f \ 0 \ g)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *joint-w-cmpser-n0*: $\llbracket w\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f; w\text{-cmpser } G \ 0 \ g; (Gp \ G \ (f \ n)) \triangleright (g \ 0) \rrbracket \implies w\text{-cmpser } G \ (Suc \ n) \ (jointfun \ n \ f \ 0 \ g)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *joint-W-cmpser-n0*: $\llbracket W\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f; W\text{-cmpser } G \ 0 \ g; (Gp \ G \ (f \ n)) \triangleright (g \ 0); g \ 0 \subset f \ n \rrbracket \implies W\text{-cmpser } G \ (Suc \ n) \ (jointfun \ n \ f \ 0 \ g)$
 <proof>

definition

simple-Group :: $- \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
simple-Group $G \iff \{N. G \gg N\} = \{carrier \ G, \{\mathbf{1}_G\}\}$

definition

compseries:: $[-, nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a \ set] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
compseries $G \ n \ f \iff tW\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f \wedge (if \ n = 0 \ then \ f \ 0 = \{\mathbf{1}_G\} \ else (\forall i \leq (n - 1). (simple\text{-Group} \ ((Gp \ G \ (f \ i))/(f \ (Suc \ i))))))$

definition

length-twcmpser :: $[-, nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a \ set] \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
length-twcmpser $G \ n \ f = card \ (f \ \{i. i \leq n\}) - Suc \ 0$

lemma (in Group) compseriesTr0: $\llbracket \text{compseries } G \ n \ f; \ i \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $G \gg (f \ i)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) compseriesTr1: $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies \text{tW-cmpser } G \ n \ f$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) compseriesTr2: $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies f \ 0 = \text{carrier } G$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) compseriesTr3: $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies f \ n = \{\mathbf{1}\}$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) compseriesTr4: $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies \text{w-cmpser } G \ n \ f$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) im-jointfun1Tr1: $\forall l \leq n. G \gg (f \ l) \implies$
 $f \in \{i. \ i \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (sg \ G)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) Nset-Suc-im: $\forall l \leq (Suc \ n). G \gg (f \ l) \implies$
 $\text{insert } (f \ (Suc \ n)) \ (f \ ' \ \{i. \ i \leq n\}) = f \ ' \ \{i. \ i \leq (Suc \ n)\}$

<proof>

definition

$NfuncPair\text{-}neq\text{-}at::[\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}, \ \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}, \ \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $NfuncPair\text{-}neq\text{-}at \ f \ g \ i \longleftrightarrow f \ i \neq g \ i$

lemma LeastTr0: $\llbracket (i::\text{nat}) < (LEAST \ l. \ P \ (l)) \rrbracket \implies \neg P \ (i)$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) funeq-LeastTr1: $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. G \gg f \ l; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg g \ l; \ (l::\text{nat}) < (LEAST \ k. \ (NfuncPair\text{-}neq\text{-}at \ f \ g \ k)) \rrbracket \implies f \ l = g \ l$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) funeq-LeastTr1-1: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). G \gg f \ l; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg g \ l; \ (l::\text{nat}) < (LEAST \ k. \ (f \ k \neq g \ k)) \rrbracket \implies f \ l = g \ l$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-1: $\llbracket i \leq n; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg f \ l; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg g \ l; \ NfuncPair\text{-}neq\text{-}at \ f \ g \ i \rrbracket \implies$
 $NfuncPair\text{-}neq\text{-}at \ f \ g \ (LEAST \ k. \ (NfuncPair\text{-}neq\text{-}at \ f \ g \ k))$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-2: $\llbracket i \leq n; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg f \ l; \ \forall l \leq n. G \gg g \ l; \ NfuncPair\text{-}neq\text{-}at \ f \ g \ i \rrbracket \implies$
 $(LEAST \ k. \ (NfuncPair\text{-}neq\text{-}at \ f \ g \ k)) \leq i$
<proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-2-1: $\llbracket i \leq (n::nat); \forall l \leq n. G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; f i \neq g i \rrbracket \implies (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \leq i$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-3: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; i \leq n; f i \neq g i \rrbracket \implies f (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \neq g (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-4: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; i \leq n; f i \neq g i \rrbracket \implies (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \leq n$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-5: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i) \rrbracket \implies f (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \neq g ((LEAST k. f k \neq g k))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-6: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i) \rrbracket \implies (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \leq n$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-Least-sym: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i) \rrbracket \implies (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) = (LEAST k. (g k \neq f k))$
 <proof>

lemma Nfunc-iNJTr: $\llbracket inj\text{-on } g \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\}; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies g i \neq g j$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-7: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; inj\text{-on } g \{i. i \leq n\}; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i); f k = g (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) \rrbracket \implies (LEAST k. (f k \neq g k)) < k$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-8: $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; inj\text{-on } g \{i. i \leq n\}; \exists i \leq n. f i \neq g i; f \{i. i \leq n\} = g \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies \exists k \in (nset (Suc (LEAST i. (f i \neq g i))) n). f k = g (LEAST i. (f i \neq g i))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) ex-redchainTr1: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G n f; D\text{-gchain } G (card (f \{i. i \leq n\}) - Suc 0) g; g \{i. i \leq (card (f \{i. i \leq n\}) - Suc 0)\} = f \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies g (card (f \{i. i \leq n\}) - Suc 0) = f n$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchainTr1-1*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G (n::\text{nat}) f;$
 $D\text{-gchain } G (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0) g;$
 $g \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} = f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $g \ 0 = f \ 0$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchainTr2*: $d\text{-gchain } G (\text{Suc } n) f$
 $\implies D\text{-gchain } G \ 0 (\text{constmap } \{0::\text{nat}\} \{f (\text{Suc } n)\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *last-mem-excluded*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G (\text{Suc } n) f; f \ n \neq f (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $f (\text{Suc } n) \notin f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchainTr4*: $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G (\text{Suc } n) f; f \ n \neq f (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}) = \text{Suc } (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchainTr5*: $d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \implies 0 < \text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchainTr6*: $\forall f. d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists g. D\text{-gchain } G (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1) g \wedge$
 $(g \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1)\} = f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-redchain*: $d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \implies$
 $(\exists g. D\text{-gchain } G (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1) g \wedge$
 $g \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1)\} = f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *const-W-cmpser*: $d\text{-gchain } G (\text{Suc } n) f \implies$
 $W\text{-cmpser } G \ 0 (\text{constmap } \{0::\text{nat}\} \{f (\text{Suc } n)\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-W-cmpserTr0m*: $\forall f. w\text{-cmpser } G \ m \ f \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists g. (W\text{-cmpser } G (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1) g \wedge$
 $g \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1)\} = f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) *ex-W-cmpser*: $w\text{-cmpser } G \ m \ f \implies$
 $\exists g. W\text{-cmpser } G (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1) g \wedge$
 $g \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1)\} = f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq m\}$
 <proof>

3.15 Existence of reduced chain and composition series

lemma (in *Group*) *ex-W-cmpserTr3m1*: $[[tw-cmpser\ G\ (m::nat)\ f;$
 $W-cmpser\ G\ ((card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1)\ g;$
 $g\ ' \{i.\ i \leq ((card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1)\} = f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}]] \implies$
 $tW-cmpser\ G\ ((card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1)\ g$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *ex-W-cmpserTr3m:tw-cmpser\ G\ m\ f* \implies
 $\exists g.\ tW-cmpser\ G\ ((card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1)\ g \wedge$
 $g\ ' \{i.\ i \leq (card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1\} = f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

red-ch-cd :: $[-,\ nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set,\ nat,\ nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
red-ch-cd $G\ f\ m\ g \longleftrightarrow tW-cmpser\ G\ (card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1)\ g \wedge$
 $(g\ ' \{i.\ i \leq (card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1\}) = f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}$

definition

red-chain :: $[-,\ nat,\ nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)$ **where**
red-chain $G\ m\ f = (SOME\ g.\ g \in \{h.\ red-ch-cd\ G\ f\ m\ h\})$

lemma (in *Group*) *red-chainTr0m1-1:tw-cmpser\ G\ m\ f* \implies
 $(SOME\ g.\ g \in \{h.\ red-ch-cd\ G\ f\ m\ h\}) \in \{h.\ red-ch-cd\ G\ f\ m\ h\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Group*) *red-chain-m:tw-cmpser\ G\ m\ f* \implies
 $tW-cmpser\ G\ (card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1)\ (red-chain\ G\ m\ f) \wedge$
 $(red-chain\ G\ m\ f)\ ' \{i.\ i \leq (card\ (f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\})) - 1\} = f\ ' \{i.\ i \leq m\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

3.16 Chain of groups II

definition

Gchain :: $[nat,\ nat \Rightarrow (('a\ set), 'more)\ Group-scheme] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
Gchain $n\ g \longleftrightarrow (\forall l \leq n.\ Group\ (g\ l))$

definition

isom-Gchains :: $[nat,\ nat \Rightarrow nat,\ nat \Rightarrow (('a\ set), 'more)\ Group-scheme,$
 $nat \Rightarrow (('a\ set), 'more)\ Group-scheme] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
isom-Gchains $n\ f\ g\ h \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \leq n.\ (g\ i) \cong (h\ (f\ i)))$

definition

Gch-bridge :: $[nat,\ nat \Rightarrow (('a\ set), 'more)\ Group-scheme,\ nat \Rightarrow$
 $(('a\ set), 'more)\ Group-scheme,\ nat \Rightarrow nat] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
Gch-bridge $n\ g\ h\ f \longleftrightarrow (\forall l \leq n.\ fl \leq n) \wedge inj-on\ f\ \{i.\ i \leq n\} \wedge$

isom-Gchains n f g h

lemma *Gchain-pre*: $Gchain (Suc\ n)\ g \implies Gchain\ n\ g$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *isom-unit*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; H = \{1\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $Gp\ G\ H \cong Gp\ G\ K \longrightarrow K = \{1\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr4*: $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; Ugp\ E; F \cong G; F \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $G \cong E$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-cmp*: $\llbracket Gchain\ n\ g; Gchain\ n\ h; f1 \in \{i.\ i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i.\ i \leq n\};$
 $f2 \in \{i.\ i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i.\ i \leq n\}; isom-Gchains\ n\ (cmp\ f2\ f1)\ g\ h \rrbracket \implies$
 $isom-Gchains\ n\ f1\ g\ (cmp\ h\ f2)$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-transp*: $\llbracket Gchain\ n\ f; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies$
 $isom-Gchains\ n\ (transpos\ i\ j)\ f\ (cmp\ f\ (transpos\ i\ j))$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr0*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; Gchain\ n\ h; i \leq n; j \leq n;$
 $i < j; isom-Gchains\ n\ (transpos\ i\ j)\ g\ h \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} - \{i, j\} = \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{i, j\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr1*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; i \leq n; j \leq n; g\ j \cong E;$
 $i \neq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $insert\ j\ (\{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} - \{i, j\}) = \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} - \{i\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr2*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j;$
 $g\ i \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = insert\ i\ (\{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} - \{i\})$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr3*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; i \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies finite\ (\{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} - \{i\})$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr4*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; i \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies finite\ (\{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} - \{i, j\})$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr5-1*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; Gchain\ n\ h; i \leq (n::nat);$
 $j \leq n; i < j; isom-Gchains\ n\ (transpos\ i\ j)\ g\ h \rrbracket \implies g\ i \cong h\ j$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr5-2*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; Gchain\ n\ h; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j; isom-Gchains\ n\ (transpos\ i\ j)\ g\ h \rrbracket \implies g\ j \cong h\ i$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr6*: $\llbracket Gchain\ n\ g; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies Group\ (g\ i)$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr7*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; i \leq n; j \leq n; g\ j \cong h\ i; Group\ (h\ i); Group\ (g\ j); \neg\ g\ j \cong E \rrbracket \implies \neg\ h\ i \cong E$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr8-1*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; i \leq n; j \leq n; g\ i \cong E; \neg\ g\ j \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} - \{j\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transpTr8-2*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; i \leq n; j \leq n; \neg\ g\ i \cong E; \neg\ g\ j \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} - \{i, j\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-gch-units-transp*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; Gchain\ n\ h; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j; isom-Gchains\ n\ (transpos\ i\ j)\ g\ h \rrbracket \implies card\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = card\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$
 <proof>

lemma *TR-isom-gch-units*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ f; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies card\ \{k. k \leq n \wedge f\ k \cong E\} = card\ \{k. k \leq n \wedge (cmp\ f\ (transpos\ i\ j))\ k \cong E\}$
 <proof>

lemma *TR-isom-gch-units-1*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ f; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies card\ \{k. k \leq n \wedge f\ k \cong E\} = card\ \{k. k \leq n \wedge f\ (transpos\ i\ j\ k) \cong E\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-tgch-unitsTr0-1*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ g; g\ (Suc\ n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = insert\ (Suc\ n)\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-tgch-unitsTr0-2*: $Ugp\ E \implies finite\ (\{i. i \leq (n::nat) \wedge g\ i \cong E\})$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-tgch-unitsTr0-3*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ g; \neg\ g\ (Suc\ n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\}$
 <proof>

lemma *isom-tgch-unitsTr0*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; card\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = card\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ g \wedge Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h \wedge Gch-bridge\ (Suc\ n)\ g\ h\ f; \rrbracket$

$$f (Suc n) = Suc n \Longrightarrow \\ \text{card } \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge g i \cong E\} = \\ \text{card } \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge h i \cong E\}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-1*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge Gchain (Suc n) h \\ \wedge Gch-bridge (Suc n) g h f; f (Suc n) = Suc n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ Gchain n g \wedge Gchain n h \wedge Gch-bridge n g h f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-2*: $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; inj-on f \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\}; \\ \forall l \leq (Suc n). fl \leq (Suc n) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ (cmp (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f) (Suc n) = Suc n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-3*: $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; \\ \forall l \leq (Suc n). fl \leq (Suc n); inj-on f \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ inj-on (cmp (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f) \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-4*: $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; inj-on f \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\}; \\ \forall l \leq (Suc n). fl \leq (Suc n) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ inj-on (cmp (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f) \{i. i \leq n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-5*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge Gchain (Suc n) h \wedge \\ Gch-bridge (Suc n) g h f; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ Gchain n g \wedge Gchain n (cmp h (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n))) \wedge \\ Gch-bridge n g (cmp h (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n))) \\ (cmp (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-6*: $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge \\ Gchain (Suc n) h \wedge Gch-bridge (Suc n) g h f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge \\ Gchain (Suc n) (cmp h (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n))) \wedge \\ Gch-bridge (Suc n) g (cmp h (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n))) \\ (cmp (transpos (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-0*: $\llbracket Gchain (Suc n) h; k \neq Suc n; k \leq (Suc n) \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow Gchain (Suc n) (cmp h (transpos k (Suc n)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-1*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain (Suc n) h; k \neq Suc n; k \leq (Suc \\ n) \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge cmp h (transpos k (Suc n)) i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc n\} = \\ \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge h i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-2*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq (Suc\ n); h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ k \cong E$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-3*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq (Suc\ n); h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ (Suc\ n) \cong E$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-4*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq (Suc\ n); \neg h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg\ cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ k \cong E$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-5*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq (Suc\ n); \neg h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg\ cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ (Suc\ n) \cong E$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-6*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq (Suc\ n); h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$
 $insert\ k\ (insert\ (Suc\ n)\ (\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-7*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq (Suc\ n); h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; \neg h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$
 $insert\ (Suc\ n)\ (\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-8*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq (Suc\ n); \neg h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$
 $insert\ k\ (\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-9*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq (Suc\ n); \neg h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; \neg h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$
 $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$
 $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq\ Suc\ n; k \leq (Suc\ n) \rrbracket \implies card\ \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge$
 $cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ i \cong E\} = card\ \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *isom-gch-unitsTr1*: $Ugp\ E \implies \forall g. \forall h. \forall f. Gchain\ n\ g \wedge$

$$Gchain\ n\ h \wedge Gch\text{-}bridge\ n\ g\ h\ f \longrightarrow card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = \\ card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$$\mathbf{lemma}\ isom\text{-}gch\text{-}units:\llbracket Ugp\ E;\ Gchain\ n\ g;\ Gchain\ n\ h;\ Gch\text{-}bridge\ n\ g\ h\ f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$$\mathbf{lemma}\ isom\text{-}gch\text{-}units\text{-}1:\llbracket Ugp\ E;\ Gchain\ n\ g;\ Gchain\ n\ h;\ \exists f.\ Gch\text{-}bridge\ n\ g\ h\ f \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = card\ \{i.\ i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.17 Jordan Hoelder theorem

3.17.1 Rfn-tools. Tools to treat refinement of a cmpser, rtos.

$$\mathbf{lemma}\ rfn\text{-}tool1:\llbracket 0 < (r::nat);\ (k::nat) = i * r + j;\ j < r \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow (k\ div\ r) = i$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$$\mathbf{lemma}\ pos\text{-}mult\text{-}pos:\llbracket 0 < (r::nat);\ 0 < s \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 0 < r * s$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$$\mathbf{lemma}\ rfn\text{-}tool1\text{-}1:\llbracket 0 < (r::nat);\ j < r \rrbracket \\ \Longrightarrow (i * r + j)\ div\ r = i$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$$\mathbf{lemma}\ rfn\text{-}tool2:(a::nat) < s \Longrightarrow a \leq s - Suc\ 0$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$$\mathbf{lemma}\ rfn\text{-}tool3:(0::nat) \leq m \Longrightarrow (m + n) - n = m$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$$\mathbf{lemma}\ rfn\text{-}tool11:\llbracket 0 < b;\ (a::nat) \leq b - Suc\ 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a < b$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$$\mathbf{lemma}\ rfn\text{-}tool12:\llbracket 0 < (s::nat);\ (i::nat)\ mod\ s = s - 1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ Suc\ (i\ div\ s) = (Suc\ i)\ div\ s$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$$\mathbf{lemma}\ rfn\text{-}tool12\text{-}1:\llbracket 0 < (s::nat);\ (l::nat)\ mod\ s < s - 1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ Suc\ (l\ mod\ s) = (Suc\ l)\ mod\ s$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$$\mathbf{lemma}\ rfn\text{-}tool12\text{-}2:\llbracket 0 < (s::nat);\ (i::nat)\ mod\ s = s - Suc\ 0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \\ (Suc\ i)\ mod\ s = 0$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rfn-tool13*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; a = b \rrbracket \implies a \text{ mod } r = b \text{ mod } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rfn-tool13-1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; a = b \rrbracket \implies a \text{ div } r = b \text{ div } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-Tr1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \leq s * r \rrbracket \implies l \text{ div } s \leq r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-Tr2*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l < s * r \rrbracket \implies l \text{ div } s \leq r - \text{Suc } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-Tr3*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l < s * r \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (l \text{ div } s) \leq r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-Tr3-1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \text{ mod } s = s - 1 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } l \text{ div } s = \text{Suc } (l \text{ div } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-Tr3-2*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \text{ mod } s < s - 1 \rrbracket \implies$
 $l \text{ div } s = \text{Suc } l \text{ div } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-div-injTr*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; x \text{ mod } r = y \text{ mod } r; x \text{ div } r = y \text{ div } r \rrbracket$
 $\implies x = y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

rtos :: $[nat, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)$ **where**
rtos *r s i* = (if $i < r * s$ then $(i \text{ mod } s) * r + i \text{ div } s$ else $r * s$)

lemma *rtos-hom0*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$
 $i \text{ div } s < r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtos-hom1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(rtos \ r \ s) \ l \leq (s * r - \text{Suc } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtos-hom2*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; l \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$
 $rtos \ r \ s \ l \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtos-hom3*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(rtos \ r \ s \ i \text{ div } r) = i \text{ mod } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtos-hom3-1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(rtos \ r \ s \ i \text{ mod } r) = i \text{ div } s$

<proof>

lemma *rtos-hom5*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - Suc\ 0);$
 $i\ div\ s = r - Suc\ 0 \rrbracket \implies Suc\ (rtos\ r\ s\ i)\ div\ r = Suc\ (i\ mod\ s)$
<proof>

lemma *rtos-hom7*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - Suc\ 0);$
 $i\ div\ s = r - Suc\ 0 \rrbracket \implies Suc\ (rtos\ r\ s\ i)\ mod\ r = 0$
<proof>

lemma *rtos-inj*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s \rrbracket \implies$
 $inj\ on\ (rtos\ r\ s)\ \{i.\ i \leq (r * s - Suc\ 0)\}$
<proof>

lemma *rtos-rs-Tr1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies rtos\ r\ s\ (r * s) = r * s$
<proof>

lemma *rtos-rs-Tr2*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall l \leq (r * s). rtos\ r\ s\ l \leq (r * s)$
<proof>

lemma *rtos-rs-Tr3*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies$
 $inj\ on\ (rtos\ r\ s)\ \{i.\ i \leq (r * s)\}$
<proof>

lemma *Qw-cmpser*: $\llbracket Group\ G; w\ cmpser\ G\ (Suc\ n)\ f \rrbracket \implies$
 $Gchain\ n\ (Qw\ cmpser\ G\ f)$
<proof>

definition

wcsr-rfns :: $[- , nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)\ set$ **where**
 $wcsr\ rfns\ G\ r\ f\ s = \{h.\ tw\ cmpser\ G\ (s * r)\ h \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq r. h\ (i * s) = f\ i)\}$

definition

trivial-rfn :: $[- , nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set)$ **where**
 $trivial\ rfn\ G\ r\ f\ s\ k == if\ k < (s * r)\ then\ f\ (k\ div\ s)\ else\ f\ r$

lemma (**in** *Group*) *rfn-tool8*: $\llbracket compseries\ G\ r\ f; 0 < r \rrbracket \implies d\ gchain\ G\ r\ f$
<proof>

lemma (**in** *Group*) *rfn-tool16*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq (s * r - Suc\ 0);$
 $G \gg f\ (i\ div\ s); (Gp\ G\ (f\ (i\ div\ s))) \triangleright f\ (Suc\ (i\ div\ s));$
 $(Gp\ G\ (f\ (i\ div\ s))) \gg (f\ (i\ div\ s) \cap g\ (s - Suc\ 0)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(Gp\ G\ ((f\ (Suc\ (i\ div\ s)) \diamond_G (f\ (i\ div\ s) \cap g\ (s - Suc\ 0)))) \triangleright$
 $(f\ (Suc\ (i\ div\ s)))$
<proof>

Show existence of the trivial refinement. This is not necessary to prove JHS

lemma *rfn-tool30*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; l \text{ div } s * s + s < s * r \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Suc } (l \text{ div } s) < r$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *simple-grouptr0*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright K; K \subseteq H; \text{simple-Group } (G / K) \rrbracket$

$\implies H = \text{carrier } G \vee H = K$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *compser-nsg*: $\llbracket 0 < n; \text{compseries } G \ n \ f; i \leq (n - 1) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Gp } G \ (f \ i) \triangleright (f \ (\text{Suc } i))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *compseriesTr5*: $\llbracket 0 < n; \text{compseries } G \ n \ f; i \leq (n - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (f \ (\text{Suc } i)) \subseteq (f \ i)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *refine-cmpserTr0*: $\llbracket 0 < n; \text{compseries } G \ n \ f; i \leq (n - 1);$
 $G \gg H; f \ (\text{Suc } i) \subseteq H \wedge H \subseteq f \ i \rrbracket \implies H = f \ (\text{Suc } i) \vee H = f \ i$

<proof>

lemma *div-Tr4*: $\llbracket (0::\text{nat}) < r; 0 < s; j < s * r \rrbracket \implies j \text{ div } s * s + s \leq r * s$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *compseries-is-tW-cmpser*: $\llbracket 0 < r; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f \rrbracket \implies$
 $tW\text{-cmpser } G \ r \ f$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *compseries-is-td-gchain*: $\llbracket 0 < r; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f \rrbracket \implies$
 $td\text{-gchain } G \ r \ f$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *compseries-is-D-gchain*: $\llbracket 0 < r; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f \rrbracket \implies$
 $D\text{-gchain } G \ r \ f$

<proof>

lemma *divTr5*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; l < (r * s) \rrbracket \implies$
 $l \text{ div } s * s \leq l \wedge l \leq (\text{Suc } (l \text{ div } s)) * s$

<proof>

lemma (in *Group*) *rfn-compseries-iMTr1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f;$
 $h \in \text{wcsr-rfns } G \ r \ f \ s \rrbracket \implies f \ ' \ \{i. i \leq r\} \subseteq h \ ' \ \{i. i \leq (s * r)\}$

<proof>

lemma *rfn-compseries-iMTr2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; xa < s * r \rrbracket \implies$
 $xa \text{ div } s * s \leq r * s \wedge \text{Suc } (xa \text{ div } s) * s \leq r * s$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) rfn-compseries-iMTr3: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f;$
 $j \leq r; \forall i \leq r. h \ (i * s) = f \ i \rrbracket \implies h \ (j * s) = f \ j$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) rfn-compseries-iM: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f;$
 $h \in \text{wcsr-rfns } G \ r \ f \ s \rrbracket \implies \text{card } (h \ \{i. i \leq (s * r)\}) = r + 1$
 <proof>

definition

$\text{cmp-rfn} :: [-, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set})$ **where**
 $\text{cmp-rfn } G \ r \ f \ s \ g = (\lambda i. (\text{if } i < s * r \ \text{then}$
 $f \ (\text{Suc } (i \ \text{div } s)) \diamond_G (f \ (i \ \text{div } s) \cap g \ (i \ \text{mod } s)) \ \text{else } \{\mathbf{1}_G\}))$

lemma (in Group) cmp-rfn0: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s \ g;$
 $i \leq (r - 1); j \leq (s - 1) \rrbracket \implies G \ \gg \ f \ (\text{Suc } i) \diamond_G ((f \ i) \cap (g \ j))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) cmp-rfn1: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s \ g \rrbracket$
 $\implies f \ (\text{Suc } 0) \diamond_G ((f \ 0) \cap (g \ 0)) = \text{carrier } G$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) cmp-rfn2: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s \ g;$
 $l \leq (s * r) \rrbracket \implies G \ \gg \ \text{cmp-rfn } G \ r \ f \ s \ g \ l$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) cmp-rfn3: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s \ g \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{cmp-rfn } G \ r \ f \ s \ g \ 0 = \text{carrier } G \wedge \text{cmp-rfn } G \ r \ f \ s \ g \ (s * r) = \{\mathbf{1}\}$
 <proof>

lemma rfn-tool20: $\llbracket (0 :: \text{nat}) < m; a = b * m + c; c < m \rrbracket \implies a \ \text{mod } m = c$
 <proof>

lemma Suci-mod-s-2: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; i \ \text{mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{Suc } i) \ \text{mod } s = \text{Suc } (i \ \text{mod } s)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) inter-sgsTr1: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s$
 $g; i < r * s \rrbracket \implies G \ \gg \ f \ (i \ \text{div } s) \cap g \ (s - \text{Suc } 0)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Group) JHS-Tr0-2: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s$
 $g \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall i \leq (s * r - \text{Suc } 0). Gp \ G \ (\text{cmp-rfn } G \ r \ f \ s \ g \ i) \triangleright$
 $\text{cmp-rfn } G \ r \ f \ s \ g \ (\text{Suc } i)$

<proof>

lemma (in Group) *cmp-rfn4*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f;$
 $\text{compseries } G s g; l \leq (s * r - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g (\text{Suc } l) \subseteq \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g l$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *cmp-rfn5*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall i \leq r. \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g (i * s) = f i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) *JHS-Tr0*: $\llbracket (0::\text{nat}) < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f;$
 $\text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket \implies \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g \in \text{wcsr-rfns } G r f s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rfn-tool17*: $(a::\text{nat}) = b \implies a - c = b - c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *isom4b*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies$
 $(Gp G (N \diamond_G H) / N) \cong (Gp G H / (H \cap N))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Suc-rtos-div-r-1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0;$
 $\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r * s; i \bmod s = s - \text{Suc } 0;$
 $i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r = i \bmod s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Suc-rtos-mod-r-1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r$
 $* s; i \bmod s = s - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \bmod r = \text{Suc } (i \text{ div } s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *i-div-s-less*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r * s;$
 $i \bmod s = s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } i < s * r \rrbracket \implies i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-mod-r-1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{rtos } r s i < r * s;$
 $i \bmod s = s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{rtos } r s i \bmod r = i \text{ div } s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Suc-i-mod-s-0-1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; i \bmod s = s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Suc } i \bmod s = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Suc-i-div-s-2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; i \bmod s < s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Suc } i \text{ div } s = i \text{ div } s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-i-mod-r-2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{rtos } r s i \bmod r =$

$i \text{ div } s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Suc-rtos-i-mod-r-2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0;$
 $i \text{ div } s = r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) \text{ mod } r = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Suc-rtos-i-mod-r-3*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0;$
 $i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) \text{ mod } r = \text{Suc } (i \text{ div } s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Suc-rtos-div-r-3*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \text{ mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0;$
 $\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) < r * s; i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) \text{ div } r = i \text{ mod } s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *r-s-div-s*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \text{ div } s = r - \text{Suc } 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *r-s-mod-s*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \text{ mod } s = s - \text{Suc } 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-r-s*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies \text{rtos } r \ s \ (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) = r * s - \text{Suc } 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-rs-1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{rtos } r \ s \ i < r * s;$
 $\neg \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) < r * s \rrbracket \implies \text{rtos } r \ s \ i = r * s - \text{Suc } 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtos-rs-i-rs*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0;$
 $\text{rtos } r \ s \ i = r * s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies i = r * s - \text{Suc } 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *JHS-Tr1-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s \ g \rrbracket$
 $\implies f (\text{Suc } ((r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \text{ div } s)) \diamond_G (f ((r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \text{ div } s) \cap g ((r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \text{ mod } s)) = f (r - \text{Suc } 0) \cap g (s - \text{Suc } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *JHS-Tr1-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s \ g;$
 $k < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies ((\text{Gp } G (f (\text{Suc } k) \diamond_G (f k \cap g (s - \text{Suc } 0)))) /$
 $(f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) \diamond_G (f (\text{Suc } k) \cap g 0))) \cong$
 $((\text{Gp } G (g s \diamond_G (g (s - \text{Suc } 0) \cap f k))) /$
 $(g s \diamond_G (g (s - \text{Suc } 0) \cap f (\text{Suc } k))))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *JHS-Tr1-3*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G \ r \ f; \text{compseries } G \ s \ g;$
 $i \leq s * r - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r \ s \ i) < s * r; \text{Suc } i < s * r;$
 $i \text{ mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } i \text{ div } s \leq r - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ div } s = r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Group } (\text{Gp } G (f r \diamond_G (f (r - \text{Suc } 0) \cap g (i \text{ mod } s)))) /$

$(f r \diamond_G (f (r - \text{Suc } 0) \cap g (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s))))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *JHS-Tr1-4*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g;$
 $i \leq s * r - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < s * r; \text{Suc } i < s * r;$
 $i \text{ mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } i \text{ div } s \leq r - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ div } s = r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Group } (Gp G (g (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s)) \diamond_G (g (i \text{ mod } s) \cap f (r - \text{Suc } 0))) /$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s))) \diamond_G (g (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s) \cap f 0)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *JHS-Tr1-5*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g;$
 $i \leq s * r - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < s * r; \text{Suc } i < s * r;$
 $i \text{ mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies (Gp G (f (\text{Suc } (i \text{ div } s)) \diamond_G (f (i \text{ div } s) \cap g (i \text{ mod } s))) /$
 $(f (\text{Suc } (i \text{ div } s)) \diamond_G (f (i \text{ div } s) \cap g (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s)))) \cong$
 $(Gp G (g (\text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s)) \diamond_G (g (i \text{ mod } s) \cap f (i \text{ div } s))) /$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r)) \diamond_G$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r) \cap f (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ mod } r)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *JHS-Tr1-6*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g;$
 $i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r * s \rrbracket \implies$
 $((Gp G (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g i)) / (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g (\text{Suc } i))) \cong$
 $((Gp G (g (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r)) \diamond_G$
 $(g (\text{rtos } r s i \text{ div } r) \cap f (\text{rtos } r s i \text{ mod } r))) /$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r)) \diamond_G$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r) \cap f (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ mod } r)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *JHS-Tr1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{isom-Gchains } (r * s - 1) (\text{rtos } r s) (\text{Qw-cmpser } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g))$
 $(\text{Qw-cmpser } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G s g r f))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *abc-SucTr0*: $\llbracket (0::\text{nat}) < a; c \leq b; a - \text{Suc } 0 = b - c \rrbracket \implies a = (\text{Suc } b) -$
 c
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *length-wcmpser0-0*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; Ugp E; w\text{-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } 0) f \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} = \{f 0, f (\text{Suc } 0)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *length-wcmpser0-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; Ugp E; w\text{-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f; i \in \{i. i \leq$
 $n\};$
 $(\text{Qw-cmpser } G f) i \cong E \rrbracket \implies f i = f (\text{Suc } i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *length-wcmpser0-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; Ugp E; w\text{-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f; i \leq n;$
 $\neg (\text{Qw-cmpser } G f) i \cong E \rrbracket \implies f i \neq f (\text{Suc } i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0-3*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f; f (\text{Suc } n) \neq f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \rrbracket \implies f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \notin f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0-4*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } 0) f \rrbracket \implies \text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq \text{Suc } 0\}) - 1 = \text{Suc } 0 - \text{card } \{i. i = 0 \wedge \text{Qw-cmpser } G f i \cong E\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0-5*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f; \text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}) - 1 = \text{Suc } n - \text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge \text{Qw-cmpser } G f i \cong E\}; \text{Qw-cmpser } G f (\text{Suc } n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies \text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\}) - 1 = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) - \text{card } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n) \wedge \text{Qw-cmpser } G f i \cong E\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0-6*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f \rrbracket \implies 0 < \text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0-7*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f \rrbracket \implies \text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge \text{Qw-cmpser } G f i \cong E\} \leq \text{Suc } n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-wcmpser0*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E \rrbracket \implies \forall f. \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f \longrightarrow \text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}) - 1 = (\text{Suc } n) - (\text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge ((\text{Qw-cmpser } G f) i \cong E)\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-of-twcmpser*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{tw-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f \rrbracket \implies \text{length-twcmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f = (\text{Suc } n) - (\text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge ((\text{Qw-cmpser } G f) i \cong E)\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *JHS-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g; 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies r = r * s - \text{card } \{i. i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \wedge \text{Qw-cmpser } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g) i \cong E\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *J-H-S*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g; 0 < r; (0::\text{nat}) < s \rrbracket \implies r = s$

<proof>

end

theory *Algebra4*
imports *Algebra3*
begin

3.18 Abelian groups

record *'a aGroup* = *'a carrier* +
 pop :: [*'a*, *'a*] \Rightarrow *'a* (**infixl** $\langle \pm 1 \rangle$ 62)
 mop :: *'a* \Rightarrow *'a* ($\langle (-_a 1 \ -) \rangle$ [64]63)
 zero :: *'a* ($\langle \mathbf{0} 1 \rangle$)

locale *aGroup* =
 fixes *A* (**structure**)
 assumes

pop-closed: *pop A* \in *carrier A* \rightarrow *carrier A* \rightarrow *carrier A*
and *aassoc* : $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(a \pm b) \pm c = a \pm (b \pm c)$
and *pop-commute*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \pm b = b \pm a$
and *mop-closed*: *mop A* \in *carrier A* \rightarrow *carrier A*
and *l-m* : $a \in \text{carrier } A \Longrightarrow (-_a a) \pm a = \mathbf{0}$
and *ex-zero*: $\mathbf{0} \in \text{carrier } A$
and *l-zero*: $a \in \text{carrier } A \Longrightarrow \mathbf{0} \pm a = a$

definition

b-ag :: $- \Rightarrow$
 (*carrier*:: *'a set*, *top*:: [*'a*, *'a*] \Rightarrow *'a*, *iop*:: *'a* \Rightarrow *'a*, *one*:: *'a*) **where**
b-ag A = (*carrier* = *carrier A*, *top* = *pop A*, *iop* = *mop A*, *one* = *zero A*)

definition

asubGroup :: [$-$, *'a set*] \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
asubGroup A H \longleftrightarrow (*b-ag A*) \gg *H*

definition

aggrp :: [$-$, *'a set*] \Rightarrow
 (*carrier*:: *'a set set*, *pop*:: [*'a set*, *'a set*] \Rightarrow *'a set*,
 mop:: *'a set* \Rightarrow *'a set*, *zero* :: *'a set*) **where**
aggrp A H = (*carrier* = *set-rcs (b-ag A) H*,
 pop = $\lambda X. \lambda Y. (c\text{-top } (b\text{-ag } A) H X Y)$,
 mop = $\lambda X. (c\text{-iop } (b\text{-ag } A) H X)$, *zero* = *H*)

definition

ag-idmap :: $- \Rightarrow$ (*'a* \Rightarrow *'a*) ($\langle (aI.) \rangle$) **where**
aI_A = ($\lambda x \in \text{carrier } A. x$)

abbreviation

$ASubG :: [('a, 'more) aGroup\text{-}scheme, 'a set] => bool$ (**infixl** $\langle + \rangle$ 58) **where**
 $A + \rangle H == asubGroup A H$

definition

$Ag\text{-}ind :: [-, 'a \Rightarrow 'd] \Rightarrow 'd aGroup$ **where**
 $Ag\text{-}ind A f = (\downarrow carrier = f^{\downarrow}(carrier A),$
 $pop = \lambda x \in f^{\downarrow}(carrier A). \lambda y \in f^{\downarrow}(carrier A).$
 $f(((invfun (carrier A) (f^{\downarrow}(carrier A)) f) x) \pm_A$
 $((invfun (carrier A) (f^{\downarrow}(carrier A)) f) y)),$
 $mop = \lambda x \in (f^{\downarrow}(carrier A)). f (-_a A ((invfun (carrier A) (f^{\downarrow}(carrier A)) f) x)),$
 $zero = f (\mathbf{0}_A))$

definition

$Agii :: [-, 'a \Rightarrow 'd] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'd)$ **where**
 $Agii A f = (\lambda x \in carrier A. f x)$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $ag\text{-}carrier\text{-}carrier:carrier (b\text{-}ag A) = carrier A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $ag\text{-}pOp\text{-}closed: \llbracket x \in carrier A; y \in carrier A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $pop A x y \in carrier A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $ag\text{-}mOp\text{-}closed: x \in carrier A \Longrightarrow (-_a x) \in carrier A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $asubg\text{-}subset: A + \rangle H \Longrightarrow H \subseteq carrier A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $ag\text{-}pOp\text{-}commute: \llbracket x \in carrier A; y \in carrier A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $pop A x y = pop A y x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $b\text{-}ag\text{-}group: Group (b\text{-}ag A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $agop\text{-}gop: top (b\text{-}ag A) = pop A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $agiop\text{-}giop: iop (b\text{-}ag A) = mop A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $agunit\text{-}gone: one (b\text{-}ag A) = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** $aGroup$) $ag\text{-}pOp\text{-}add\text{-}r: \llbracket a \in carrier A; b \in carrier A; c \in carrier A; a = b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \pm c = b \pm c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-add-commute*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \pm b = b \pm a$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-pOp-add-l*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A;$
 $a = b \rrbracket \implies c \pm a = c \pm b$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{asubGroup } A \ H; x \in H; y \in H \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{pop } A \ x \ y \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-mOp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{asubGroup } A \ H; x \in H \rrbracket \implies -_a \ x \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-subset1*: $\llbracket \text{asubGroup } A \ H; x \in H \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{carrier } A$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-inc-zero*: $\text{asubGroup } A \ H \implies \mathbf{0} \in H$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-inc-zero*: $\mathbf{0} \in \text{carrier } A$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-l-zero*: $x \in \text{carrier } A \implies \mathbf{0} \pm x = x$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-r-zero*: $x \in \text{carrier } A \implies x \pm \mathbf{0} = x$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-l-inv1*: $x \in \text{carrier } A \implies (-_a \ x) \pm x = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-r-inv1*: $x \in \text{carrier } A \implies x \pm (-_a \ x) = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-pOp-assoc*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A; z \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket$
 $\implies (x \pm y) \pm z = x \pm (y \pm z)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-inv-unique*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A; x \pm y = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $y = -_a \ x$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-inv-inj*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A; x \neq y \rrbracket \implies$
 $(-_a \ x) \neq (-_a \ y)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-assocTr41*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; d \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \pm b \pm c \pm d = a \pm b \pm (c \pm d)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-assocTr42*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; d \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \pm b \pm c \pm d = a \pm (b \pm c) \pm d$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-assocTr43*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; d \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \pm b \pm (c \pm d) = a \pm (b \pm c) \pm d$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-assoc-cancel*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \pm -_a b \pm (b \pm -_a c) = a \pm -_a c$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-p-inv*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (-_a (x \pm y)) = (-_a x) \pm (-_a y)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *gEQAddcross*: $\llbracket l1 \in \text{carrier } A; l2 \in \text{carrier } A; r1 \in \text{carrier } A; r2 \in \text{carrier } A; l1 = r2; l2 = r1 \rrbracket \implies l1 \pm l2 = r1 \pm r2$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-eq-sol1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; x \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; a \pm x = b \rrbracket \implies x = (-_a a) \pm b$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-eq-sol2*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; x \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; x \pm a = b \rrbracket \implies x = b \pm (-_a a)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-add4-rel*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; d \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \pm b \pm (c \pm d) = a \pm c \pm (b \pm d)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-inv-inv*: $x \in \text{carrier } A \implies -_a (-_a x) = x$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-inv-zero*: $-_a \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-diff-minus*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; a \pm (-_a b) = c \rrbracket \implies b \pm (-_a a) = (-_a c)$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-cancel-l*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; c \pm a = c \pm b \rrbracket \implies a = b$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *pOp-cancel-r*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; a \pm c = b \pm c \rrbracket \implies a = b$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-eq-diffzero*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (a = b) = (a \pm (-_a b) = \mathbf{0})$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-eq-diffzero1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (a = b) = ((-_a a) \pm b = \mathbf{0})$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-neg-diffnonzero*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (a \neq b) = (a \pm (-_a b) \neq \mathbf{0})$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-plus-zero*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (x = -_a y) = (x \pm y = \mathbf{0})$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-nsubg*: $A +> H \implies (b\text{-ag } A) \triangleright H$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *subg-asubg*: $b\text{-ag } G \gg H \implies G +> H$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-test*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } A; H \neq \{\}; \forall a \in H. \forall b \in H. (a \pm (-_a b) \in H) \rrbracket \implies A +> H$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-zero*: $A +> \{\mathbf{0}\}$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asubg-whole*: $A +> \text{carrier } A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *Ag-ind-carrier*:*bij-to f (carrier A) (D::'d set)* $\implies \text{carrier } (Ag\text{-ind } A f) = f^{-1}(\text{carrier } A)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *Ag-ind-aGroup*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } A \rightarrow D; \text{bij-to } f (\text{carrier } A) (D::'d \text{ set}) \rrbracket \implies aGroup (Ag\text{-ind } A f)$
<proof>

3.18.1 Homomorphism of abelian groups

definition

$aHom :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) set$
where
 $aHom A B = \{f. f \in carrier A \rightarrow carrier B \wedge f \in extensional (carrier A) \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in carrier A. \forall b \in carrier A. f (a \pm_A b) = (f a) \pm_B (f b))\}$

definition

$compos :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, 'b \Rightarrow 'c, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c$ **where**
 $compos A g f = compose (carrier A) g f$

definition

$ker :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$
 $\Rightarrow 'a set (\langle (\exists ker _, -) \rangle [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $ker_{F,G} f = \{a. a \in carrier F \wedge f a = (\mathbf{0}_G)\}$

definition

$injec :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow bool (\langle (\exists injec _, -) \rangle [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $injec_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in aHom F G \wedge ker_{F,G} f = \{\mathbf{0}_F\}$

definition

$surjec :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow bool (\langle (\exists surjec _, -) \rangle [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $surjec_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in aHom F G \wedge surj-to f (carrier F) (carrier G)$

definition

$bijec :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow bool (\langle (\exists bijec _, -) \rangle [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $bijec_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow injec_{F,G} f \wedge surjec_{F,G} f$

definition

$ainvf :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'm1) aGroup-scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$
 $\Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a) (\langle (\exists ainvf _, -) \rangle [82,82,83]82)$ **where**
 $ainvf_{F,G} f = invfun (carrier F) (carrier G) f$

lemma $aHom-mem: [aGroup F; aGroup G; f \in aHom F G; a \in carrier F] \Longrightarrow$
 $f a \in carrier G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $aHom-func: f \in aHom F G \Longrightarrow f \in carrier F \rightarrow carrier G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $aHom-add: [aGroup F; aGroup G; f \in aHom F G; a \in carrier F;$
 $b \in carrier F] \Longrightarrow f (a \pm_F b) = (f a) \pm_G (f b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $aHom-0-0: [aGroup F; aGroup G; f \in aHom F G] \Longrightarrow f (\mathbf{0}_F) = \mathbf{0}_G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ker-inc-zero: [aGroup F; aGroup G; f \in aHom F G] \Longrightarrow \mathbf{0}_F \in ker_{F,G} f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $aHom\text{-inv-inv}:\llbracket aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; f \in aHom\ F\ G; a \in carrier\ F \rrbracket \implies$
 $f\ (-_a\ F\ a) = -_a\ G\ (f\ a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $aHom\text{-compos}:\llbracket aGroup\ L; aGroup\ M; aGroup\ N; f \in aHom\ L\ M; g \in$
 $aHom\ M\ N \rrbracket$

$\implies compos\ L\ g\ f \in aHom\ L\ N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $aHom\text{-compos-assoc}:\llbracket aGroup\ K; aGroup\ L; aGroup\ M; aGroup\ N; f \in$
 $aHom\ K\ L;$

$g \in aHom\ L\ M; h \in aHom\ M\ N \rrbracket \implies$

$compos\ K\ h\ (compos\ K\ g\ f) = compos\ K\ (compos\ L\ h\ g)\ f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $injec\text{-inj-on}:\llbracket aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; injec_{F,G}\ f \rrbracket \implies inj\text{-on}\ f\ (carrier\ F)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $surjec\text{-surj-to}:\surjec_{R,S}\ f \implies surj\text{-to}\ f\ (carrier\ R)\ (carrier\ S)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $compos\text{-bijec}:\llbracket aGroup\ E; aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; bijec_{E,F}\ f; bijec_{F,G}\ g \rrbracket \implies$
 $bijec_{E,G}\ (compos\ E\ g\ f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ainvf\text{-aHom}:\llbracket aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; bijec_{F,G}\ f \rrbracket \implies$

$ainvf_{F,G}\ f \in aHom\ G\ F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ainvf\text{-bijec}:\llbracket aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; bijec_{F,G}\ f \rrbracket \implies bijec_{G,F}\ (ainvf_{F,G}\ f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ainvf\text{-l}:\llbracket aGroup\ E; aGroup\ F; bijec_{E,F}\ f; x \in carrier\ E \rrbracket \implies$

$(ainvf_{E,F}\ f)\ (f\ x) = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $(in\ aGroup)\ aI\text{-aHom}:\ aI_A \in aHom\ A\ A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $compos\text{-aI-l}:\llbracket aGroup\ A; aGroup\ B; f \in aHom\ A\ B \rrbracket \implies compos\ A\ aI_B\ f$
 $= f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $compos\text{-aI-r}:\llbracket aGroup\ A; aGroup\ B; f \in aHom\ A\ B \rrbracket \implies compos\ A\ f\ aI_A$
 $= f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *compos-aI-surj*: $\llbracket aGroup\ A; aGroup\ B; f \in aHom\ A\ B; g \in aHom\ B\ A;$
 $compos\ A\ g\ f = aI_A \rrbracket \implies surjec_{B,A}\ g$

<proof>

lemma *compos-aI-inj*: $\llbracket aGroup\ A; aGroup\ B; f \in aHom\ A\ B; g \in aHom\ B\ A;$
 $compos\ A\ g\ f = aI_A \rrbracket \implies injec_{A,B}\ f$

<proof>

lemma (*in aGroup*) *Ag-ind-aHom*: $\llbracket f \in carrier\ A \rightarrow D;$
 $bij\text{-}to\ f\ (carrier\ A)\ (D::'d\ set) \rrbracket \implies Agii\ A\ f \in aHom\ A\ (Ag\text{-}ind\ A\ f)$

<proof>

lemma (*in aGroup*) *Agii-mem*: $\llbracket f \in carrier\ A \rightarrow D; x \in carrier\ A;$
 $bij\text{-}to\ f\ (carrier\ A)\ (D::'d\ set) \rrbracket \implies Agii\ A\ f\ x \in carrier\ (Ag\text{-}ind\ A\ f)$

<proof>

lemma *Ag-ind-bijec*: $\llbracket aGroup\ A; f \in carrier\ A \rightarrow D;$
 $bij\text{-}to\ f\ (carrier\ A)\ (D::'d\ set) \rrbracket \implies bijec_{A, (Ag\text{-}ind\ A\ f)}\ (Agii\ A\ f)$

<proof>

definition

aimg :: $\llbracket ('b, 'm1)\ aGroup\text{-}scheme, -, 'b \Rightarrow 'a \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow 'a\ aGroup\ (\llcorner (\exists aimg_{-, -}) \llbracket 82, 82, 83 \rrbracket 82) \llbracket where \llbracket$
 $aimg_{F,A}\ f = A\ (\llcorner carrier := f\ ' (carrier\ F), pop := pop\ A, mop := mop\ A,$
 $zero := zero\ A) \llbracket$

lemma *ker-subg*: $\llbracket aGroup\ F; aGroup\ G; f \in aHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies F +> ker_{F,G}\ f$

<proof>

3.18.2 Quotient abelian group

definition

ar-coset :: $\llbracket 'a, -, 'a\ set \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a\ set$
 $(\llcorner (\exists - \uplus -) \llbracket 66, 66, 67 \rrbracket 66) \llbracket where$
 $ar\text{-}coset\ a\ A\ H = H \cdot (b\text{-}ag\ A)\ a$

definition

set-ar-cos :: $\llbracket -, 'a\ set \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a\ set\ set \llbracket where$
 $set\text{-}ar\text{-}cos\ A\ I = \{X. \exists a \in carrier\ A. X = ar\text{-}coset\ a\ A\ I\}$

definition

aset-sum :: $\llbracket -, 'a\ set, 'a\ set \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a\ set \llbracket where$
 $aset\text{-}sum\ A\ H\ K = s\text{-}top\ (b\text{-}ag\ A)\ H\ K$

abbreviation

ASBOP1 (*infix* $\llcorner \mp_1 \llbracket 60) \llbracket where$
 $H \mp_A K == aset\text{-}sum\ A\ H\ K$

lemma (*in aGroup*) *ag-a-in-ar-cos*: $\llbracket A +> H; a \in carrier\ A \rrbracket \implies a \in a \uplus_A H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $r\text{-cos-subset}$: $\llbracket A +> H; X \in \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } A) H \rrbracket \implies$
 $X \subseteq \text{carrier } A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $asubg\text{-costOp-commute}$: $\llbracket A +> H; x \in \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } A) H;$
 $y \in \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } A) H \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-top } (b\text{-ag } A) H x y = c\text{-top } (b\text{-ag } A) H y x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $Subg\text{-Qgroup}$: $A +> H \implies aGroup (aqgrp A H)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $plus\text{-subgs}$: $\llbracket A +> H1; A +> H2 \rrbracket \implies A +> H1 \mp H2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $set\text{-sum}$: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } A; K \subseteq \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \mp K = \{x. \exists h \in H. \exists k \in K. x = h \pm k\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $mem\text{-set-sum}$: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } A; K \subseteq \text{carrier } A;$
 $x \in H \mp K \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in H. \exists k \in K. x = h \pm k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $mem\text{-sum-subgs}$: $\llbracket A +> H; A +> K; h \in H; k \in K \rrbracket \implies$
 $h \pm k \in H \mp K$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $aqgrp\text{-carrier}$: $A +> H \implies$
 $\text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } A) H = \text{set-ar-cos } A H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $unit\text{-in-set-ar-cos}$: $A +> H \implies H \in \text{set-ar-cos } A H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $aqgrp\text{-pOp-maps}$: $\llbracket A +> H; a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pop } (aqgrp A H) (a \uplus_A H) (b \uplus_A H) = (a \pm b) \uplus_A H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $aqgrp\text{-mOp-maps}$: $\llbracket A +> H; a \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{mop } (aqgrp A H) (a \uplus_A H) = (-_a a) \uplus_A H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $aqgrp\text{-zero}$: $A +> H \implies \text{zero } (aqgrp A H) = H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $arcos\text{-fixed}$: $\llbracket A +> H; a \in \text{carrier } A; h \in H \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \uplus_A H = (h \pm a) \uplus_A H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$rind\text{-}hom :: [('a, 'more) aGroup\text{-}scheme, ('b, 'more1) aGroup\text{-}scheme, ('a \Rightarrow 'b)] \Rightarrow ('a\ set \Rightarrow 'b) \textbf{where}$
 $rind\text{-}hom\ A\ B\ f = (\lambda X \in (set\text{-}ar\text{-}cos\ A\ (ker_{A,B}\ f)). f\ (SOME\ x.\ x \in X))$

abbreviation

$RIND\text{-}HOM\ (\langle (\exists^\circ, -, -) \rangle [82,82,83]82) \textbf{where}$
 $f^\circ_{F,G} == rind\text{-}hom\ F\ G\ f$

3.19 Direct product and direct sum of abelian groups, in general case

definition

$Un\text{-}carrier :: ['i\ set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup\text{-}scheme] \Rightarrow 'a\ set \textbf{where}$
 $Un\text{-}carrier\ I\ A = \bigcup \{X.\ \exists i \in I.\ X = carrier\ (A\ i)\}$

definition

$carr\text{-}prodag :: ['i\ set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup\text{-}scheme] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \textbf{set where}$
 $carr\text{-}prodag\ I\ A = \{f.\ f \in ext\text{-}ensional\ I \wedge f \in I \rightarrow (Un\text{-}carrier\ I\ A) \wedge (\forall i \in I.\ f\ i \in carrier\ (A\ i))\}$

definition

$prod\text{-}pOp :: ['i\ set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup\text{-}scheme] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \textbf{where}$
 $prod\text{-}pOp\ I\ A = (\lambda f \in carr\text{-}prodag\ I\ A.\ \lambda g \in carr\text{-}prodag\ I\ A.\ \lambda x \in I.\ (f\ x) \pm_{(A\ x)} (g\ x))$

definition

$prod\text{-}mOp :: ['i\ set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup\text{-}scheme] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \textbf{where}$
 $prod\text{-}mOp\ I\ A = (\lambda f \in carr\text{-}prodag\ I\ A.\ \lambda x \in I.\ (-_{(A\ x)} (f\ x)))$

definition

$prod\text{-}zero :: ['i\ set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup\text{-}scheme] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \textbf{where}$
 $prod\text{-}zero\ I\ A = (\lambda x \in I.\ \mathbf{0}_{(A\ x)})$

definition

$prodag :: ['i\ set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup\text{-}scheme] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) aGroup \textbf{where}$
 $prodag\ I\ A = (\lambda carrier = carr\text{-}prodag\ I\ A,\ pop = prod\text{-}pOp\ I\ A,\ mop = prod\text{-}mOp\ I\ A,\ zero = prod\text{-}zero\ I\ A)$

definition

$PRoject :: ['i\ set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup\text{-}scheme, 'i] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a\ (\langle (\exists \pi, -, -) \rangle [82,82,83]82) \textbf{where}$
 $PRoject\ I\ A\ x = (\lambda f \in carr\text{-}prodag\ I\ A.\ f\ x)$

abbreviation

$PRODag$ ($\langle (a\Pi. -) \rangle$ [72, 73]72) **where**
 $a\Pi_I A == prodag I A$

lemma $prodag-comp-i: [a \in carr-prodag I A; i \in I] \implies (a i) \in carrier (A i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $prod-pOp-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$
 $prod-pOp I A \in carr-prodag I A \rightarrow carr-prodag I A \rightarrow carr-prodag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $prod-pOp-mem: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); X \in carr-prodag I A;$
 $Y \in carr-prodag I A] \implies prod-pOp I A X Y \in carr-prodag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $prod-pOp-mem-i: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); X \in carr-prodag I A;$
 $Y \in carr-prodag I A; i \in I] \implies prod-pOp I A X Y i = (X i) \pm_{(A i)} (Y i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $prod-mOp-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$
 $prod-mOp I A \in carr-prodag I A \rightarrow carr-prodag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $prod-mOp-mem: [\forall j \in I. aGroup (A j); X \in carr-prodag I A] \implies$
 $prod-mOp I A X \in carr-prodag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $prod-mOp-mem-i: [\forall j \in I. aGroup (A j); X \in carr-prodag I A; i \in I] \implies$
 $prod-mOp I A X i = -_{a(A i)} (X i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $prod-zero-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$
 $prod-zero I A \in carr-prodag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $prod-zero-i: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); i \in I] \implies$
 $prod-zero I A i = \mathbf{0}_{(A i)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $carr-prodag-mem-eq: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); X \in carr-prodag I A;$
 $Y \in carr-prodag I A; \forall l \in I. (X l) = (Y l)] \implies X = Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $prod-pOp-assoc: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); a \in carr-prodag I A;$
 $b \in carr-prodag I A; c \in carr-prodag I A] \implies$
 $prod-pOp I A (prod-pOp I A a b) c =$
 $prod-pOp I A a (prod-pOp I A b c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-pOp-commute*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k); a \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A; b \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{prod-pOp } I \ A \ a \ b = \text{prod-pOp } I \ A \ b \ a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-aGroup*: $\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k) \implies \text{aGroup } (\text{prodag } I \ A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-carrier*: $\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k) \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{prodag } I \ A) = \text{carr-prodag } I \ A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-elfun*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodag } I \ A) \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in \text{extensional } I$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-component*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodag } I \ A); i \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ i \in \text{carrier } (A \ i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-pOp*: $\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k) \implies$
 $\text{pop } (\text{prodag } I \ A) = \text{prod-pOp } I \ A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-iOp*: $\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k) \implies$
 $\text{mop } (\text{prodag } I \ A) = \text{prod-mOp } I \ A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-zero*: $\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k) \implies$
 $\text{zero } (\text{prodag } I \ A) = \text{prod-zero } I \ A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-sameTr0*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k); \forall k \in I. A \ k = B \ k \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{Un-carrier } I \ A = \text{Un-carrier } I \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-sameTr1*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k); \forall k \in I. A \ k = B \ k \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{carr-prodag } I \ A = \text{carr-prodag } I \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-sameTr2*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k); \forall k \in I. A \ k = B \ k \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{prod-pOp } I \ A = \text{prod-pOp } I \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-sameTr3*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k); \forall k \in I. A \ k = B \ k \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{prod-mOp } I \ A = \text{prod-mOp } I \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodag-sameTr4*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k); \forall k \in I. A \ k = B \ k \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{prod-zero } I \ A = \text{prod-zero } I \ B$

<proof>

lemma *prodag-same*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k); \forall k \in I. A \ k = B \ k \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{prodag } I \ A = \text{prodag } I \ B$

<proof>

lemma *project-mem*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k); j \in I; x \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodag } I \ A) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{PProject } I \ A \ j) \ x \in \text{carrier } (A \ j)$

<proof>

lemma *project-aHom*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k); j \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{PProject } I \ A \ j \in \text{aHom } (\text{prodag } I \ A) \ (A \ j)$

<proof>

lemma *project-aHom1*: $\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k) \implies$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{PProject } I \ A \ j \in \text{aHom } (\text{prodag } I \ A) \ (A \ j)$

<proof>

definition

A-to-prodag :: $[(\ 'a, \ 'm) \ \text{aGroup-scheme}, \ 'i \ \text{set}, \ 'i \Rightarrow (\ 'a \Rightarrow \ 'b),$
 $\ 'i \Rightarrow (\ 'b, \ 'm1) \ \text{aGroup-scheme}] \Rightarrow (\ 'a \Rightarrow (\ 'i \Rightarrow \ 'b))$ **where**
A-to-prodag $A \ I \ S \ B = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier } A. \lambda k \in I. S \ k \ a)$

lemma *A-to-prodag-mem*: $\llbracket \text{aGroup } A; \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (B \ k); \forall k \in I. (S \ k) \in$
 $\text{aHom } A \ (B \ k); x \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies \text{A-to-prodag } A \ I \ S \ B \ x \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ B$
<proof>

lemma *A-to-prodag-aHom*: $\llbracket \text{aGroup } A; \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (B \ k); \forall k \in I. (S \ k) \in$
 $\text{aHom } A \ (B \ k) \rrbracket \implies \text{A-to-prodag } A \ I \ S \ B \in \text{aHom } A \ (\text{a}\Pi_I \ B)$
<proof>

definition

finiteHom :: $[\ 'i \ \text{set}, \ 'i \Rightarrow (\ 'a, \ 'more) \ \text{aGroup-scheme}, \ 'i \Rightarrow \ 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
finiteHom $I \ A \ f \iff f \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A \wedge (\exists H. H \subseteq I \wedge \text{finite } H \wedge$
 $\forall j \in (I - H). (f \ j) = \mathbf{0}_{(A \ j)})$

definition

carr-dsumag :: $[\ 'i \ \text{set}, \ 'i \Rightarrow (\ 'a, \ 'more) \ \text{aGroup-scheme}] \Rightarrow (\ 'i \Rightarrow \ 'a) \ \text{set}$ **where**
carr-dsumag $I \ A = \{f. \text{finiteHom } I \ A \ f\}$

definition

dsumag :: $[\ 'i \ \text{set}, \ 'i \Rightarrow (\ 'a, \ 'more) \ \text{aGroup-scheme}] \Rightarrow (\ 'i \Rightarrow \ 'a) \ \text{aGroup}$ **where**
dsumag $I \ A = (\ \emptyset \ \text{carrier} = \text{carr-dsumag } I \ A,$
 $\text{pop} = \text{prod-pOp } I \ A, \ \text{mop} = \text{prod-mOp } I \ A,$
 $\text{zero} = \text{prod-zero } I \ A)$

definition

$dProj :: [i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{ aGroup-scheme}, 'i]$
 $\Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $dProj I A x = (\lambda f \in carr-dsumag I A. f x)$

abbreviation

$DSUMag \langle (a \oplus -) \rangle [72, 73] 72$ **where**
 $a \oplus_I A == dsumag I A$

lemma $dsum-pOp-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \Longrightarrow$
 $prod-pOp I A \in carr-dsumag I A \rightarrow carr-dsumag I A \rightarrow carr-dsumag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsum-pOp-mem: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); X \in carr-dsumag I A;$
 $Y \in carr-dsumag I A] \Longrightarrow prod-pOp I A X Y \in carr-dsumag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsum-iOp-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \Longrightarrow$
 $prod-mOp I A \in carr-dsumag I A \rightarrow carr-dsumag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsum-iOp-mem: [\forall j \in I. aGroup (A j); X \in carr-dsumag I A] \Longrightarrow$
 $prod-mOp I A X \in carr-dsumag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsum-zero-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \Longrightarrow$
 $prod-zero I A \in carr-dsumag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsumag-sub-prodag: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \Longrightarrow$
 $carr-dsumag I A \subseteq carr-prodag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $carrier-dsumag: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \Longrightarrow$
 $carrier (dsumag I A) = carr-dsumag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsumag-elfun: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); f \in carrier (dsumag I A)] \Longrightarrow$
 $f \in extensional I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsumag-aGroup: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \Longrightarrow aGroup (dsumag I A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dsumag-pOp: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \Longrightarrow$
 $pop (dsumag I A) = prod-pOp I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dsumag-mOp*: $\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k) \implies$
 $\text{mOp } (\text{dsumag } I \ A) = \text{prod-mOp } I \ A$

<proof>

lemma *dsumag-zero*: $\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ k) \implies$
 $\text{zero } (\text{dsumag } I \ A) = \text{prod-zero } I \ A$

<proof>

3.19.1 Characterization of a direct product

lemma *direct-prod-mem-eq*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I \ A);$
 $g \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I \ A); \forall j \in I. (\text{PProject } I \ A \ j) \ f = (\text{PProject } I \ A \ j) \ g \rrbracket \implies$
 $f = g$

<proof>

lemma *map-family-fun*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); \text{aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. ((g \ j) \in \text{aHom } S \ (A \ j)); x \in \text{carrier } S \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\lambda y \in \text{carrier } S. (\lambda j \in I. (g \ j) \ y)) \ x \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I \ A)$

<proof>

lemma *map-family-aHom*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); \text{aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. ((g \ j) \in \text{aHom } S \ (A \ j)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\lambda y \in \text{carrier } S. (\lambda j \in I. (g \ j) \ y)) \in \text{aHom } S \ (a\Pi_I \ A)$

<proof>

lemma *map-family-triangle*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); \text{aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. ((g \ j) \in \text{aHom } S \ (A \ j)) \rrbracket \implies \exists ! f. f \in \text{aHom } S \ (a\Pi_I \ A) \wedge$
 $(\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S \ (\text{PProject } I \ A \ j) \ f = (g \ j))$

<proof>

lemma *Ag-ind-triangle*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); j \in I; f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I \ A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \ (\text{carrier } (a\Pi_I \ A)) \ (B::'d \ \text{set}); j \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compos } (a\Pi_I \ A) \ (\text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I \ A) \ f) (\text{PProject } I \ A \ j) \ (\text{ainvf } (a\Pi_I \ A), (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I \ A) \ f)$
 $(\text{Agii } (a\Pi_I \ A) \ f))) \ (\text{Agii } (a\Pi_I \ A) \ f) =$
 $\text{PProject } I \ A \ j$

<proof>

definition

ProjInd :: $['i \ \text{set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'm) \ \text{aGroup-scheme}, ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'd, 'i] \Rightarrow$
 $('d \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{where}$
 $\text{ProjInd } I \ A \ f \ j = \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I \ A) \ f) (\text{PProject } I \ A \ j) \ (\text{ainvf } (a\Pi_I \ A), (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I \ A) \ f)$
 $(\text{Agii } (a\Pi_I \ A) \ f))$

lemma *ProjInd-aHom*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); j \in I; f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I \ A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \ (\text{carrier } (a\Pi_I \ A)) \ (B::'d \ \text{set}); j \in I \rrbracket \implies$

$(\text{ProjInd } I \ A \ f \ j) \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ f) \ (A \ j)$
 <proof>

lemma *ProjInd-aHom1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \ (\text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A)) \ (B::'d \ \text{set}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall j \in I. (\text{ProjInd } I \ A \ f \ j) \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ f) \ (A \ j)$
 <proof>

lemma *ProjInd-mem-eq*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \ (\text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A)) \ B; \text{aGroup } S; x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ f);$
 $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ f);$
 $\forall j \in I. (\text{ProjInd } I \ A \ f \ j \ x = \text{ProjInd } I \ A \ f \ j \ y) \rrbracket \implies x = y$
 <proof>

lemma *ProjInd-mem-eq1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \ (\text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A)) \ B; \text{aGroup } S;$
 $h \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ f) \ (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ f);$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ f) \ (\text{ProjInd } I \ A \ f \ j) \ h = \text{ProjInd } I \ A \ f \ j \rrbracket$
 $\implies h = \text{ag-idmap } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ f)$
 <proof>

lemma *Ag-ind-triangle1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \ (\text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A)) \ (B::'d \ \text{set}); j \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compos } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ (\text{ProjInd } I \ A \ f \ j) \ (\text{Agii } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ f) = \text{PProject } I \ A \ j$
 <proof>

lemma *map-family-triangle1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \ (\text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A)) \ (B::'d \ \text{set}); \text{aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. ((g \ j) \in \text{aHom } S \ (A \ j)) \rrbracket \implies \exists ! h. h \in \text{aHom } S \ (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ f) \wedge$
 $(\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S \ (\text{ProjInd } I \ A \ f \ j) \ h = (g \ j))$
 <proof>

lemma *map-family-triangle2*: $\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); \text{aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. g \ j \in \text{aHom } S \ (A \ j); \text{ff} \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } \text{ff} \ (\text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A)) \ B;$
 $h1 \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ \text{ff}) \ S;$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ \text{ff}) \ (g \ j) \ h1 = \text{ProjInd } I \ A \ \text{ff} \ j;$
 $h2 \in \text{aHom } S \ (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ \text{ff});$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S \ (\text{ProjInd } I \ A \ \text{ff} \ j) \ h2 = g \ j \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall j \in I. \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ \text{ff}) \ (\text{ProjInd } I \ A \ \text{ff} \ j)$
 $(\text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I \ A) \ \text{ff}) \ h2 \ h1) =$
 $\text{ProjInd } I \ A \ \text{ff} \ j$
 <proof>

lemma *map-family-triangle3*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A \ j); \text{aGroup } S; \text{aGroup } S1;$
 $\forall j \in I. f \ j \in \text{aHom } S \ (A \ j); \forall j \in I. g \ j \in \text{aHom } S1 \ (A \ j);$
 $h1 \in \text{aHom } S1 \ S; h2 \in \text{aHom } S \ S1;$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S \ (g \ j) \ h2 = f \ j;$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S1 \ (f \ j) \ h1 = g \ j \rrbracket$

$\implies \forall j \in I. \text{compos } S (f j) (\text{compos } S h1 h2) = f j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-family-triangle4*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); \text{aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. f j \in \text{aHom } S (A j) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S (f j) (\text{ag-idmap } S) = f j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prod-triangle*: $\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); \text{aGroup } S;$
 $\forall j \in I. g j \in \text{aHom } S (A j); ff \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$
 $\text{bij-to } ff (\text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A)) B;$
 $h1 \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) ff) S;$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) ff) (g j) h1 = \text{ProjInd } I A ff j;$
 $h2 \in \text{aHom } S (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) ff);$
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S (\text{ProjInd } I A ff j) h2 = g j \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) ff) h2 h1) = \text{ag-idmap } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) ff)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *characterization-prodag*: $\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \forall j \in (I::'i \text{ set}). \text{aGroup } ((A j)::$
 $('a, 'm) \text{aGroup-scheme}); \text{aGroup } (S::'d \text{aGroup});$
 $\forall j \in I. ((g j) \in \text{aHom } S (A j)); \exists ff. ff \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) \rightarrow (B::'d \text{ set}) \wedge$
 $\text{bij-to } ff (\text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A)) B;$
 $\forall (S'::'d \text{aGroup}). \text{aGroup } S' \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall g'. (\forall j \in I. (g' j) \in \text{aHom } S' (A j) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists! f. f \in \text{aHom } S' S \wedge (\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S' (g j) f = (g' j)))) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists h. \text{bijec}_{(\text{prodag } I A), S} h$
 ⟨proof⟩

Chapter 4

Ring theory

4.1 Definition of a ring and an ideal

```
record 'a Ring = 'a aGroup +  
  tp :: ['a, 'a ] => 'a (infixl <·r> 70)  
  un :: 'a <(1r>)
```

```
locale Ring =  
  fixes R (structure)
```

assumes

```
  pop-closed: pop R ∈ carrier R → carrier R → carrier R  
and   pop-aassoc : [[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; c ∈ carrier R]] =>  
      (a ± b) ± c = a ± (b ± c)  
and   pop-commute: [[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R]] => a ± b = b ± a  
and   mop-closed: mop R ∈ carrier R → carrier R  
and   l-m : a ∈ carrier R => (-a a) ± a = 0  
and   ex-zero: 0 ∈ carrier R  
and   l-zero: a ∈ carrier R => 0 ± a = a  
and   tp-closed: tp R ∈ carrier R → carrier R → carrier R  
and   tp-assoc : [[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; c ∈ carrier R]] =>  
      (a ·r b) ·r c = a ·r (b ·r c)  
and   tp-commute: [[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R]] => a ·r b = b ·r a  
and   un-closed: (1r) ∈ carrier R  
and   rg-distrib: [[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; c ∈ carrier R]] =>  
      a ·r (b ± c) = a ·r b ± a ·r c  
and   rg-l-unit: a ∈ carrier R => (1r) ·r a = a
```

definition

```
  zeroring :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => bool where  
  zeroring R <=> Ring R ∧ carrier R = {0R}
```

```
primrec nscal :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => 'a => nat => 'a  
where
```

```
  nscal-0: nscal R x 0 = 0R
```

| *nscal-suc*: $nscal\ R\ x\ (Suc\ n) = (nscal\ R\ x\ n) \pm_R\ x$

primrec *npow* :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => 'a => nat => 'a
where

npow-0: $npow\ R\ x\ 0 = 1_{rR}$
| *npow-suc*: $npow\ R\ x\ (Suc\ n) = (npow\ R\ x\ n) \cdot_{rR}\ x$

primrec *nprod* :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => (nat => 'a) => nat => 'a
where

nprod-0: $nprod\ R\ f\ 0 = f\ 0$
| *nprod-suc*: $nprod\ R\ f\ (Suc\ n) = (nprod\ R\ f\ n) \cdot_{rR}\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

primrec *nsum* :: ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme => (nat => 'a) => nat => 'a
where

nsum-0: $nsum\ R\ f\ 0 = f\ 0$
| *nsum-suc*: $nsum\ R\ f\ (Suc\ n) = (nsum\ R\ f\ n) \pm_R\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

abbreviation

NSCAL :: [nat, ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme, 'a] => 'a
($\lambda(\beta\ \times\ _)\ [75,75,76]75$) **where**
 $n \times_R\ x == nscal\ R\ x\ n$

abbreviation

NPOW :: ['a, ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme, nat] => 'a
($\lambda(\beta\ \sim\ _)\ [77,77,78]77$) **where**
 $a^{\sim R}\ n == npow\ R\ a\ n$

abbreviation

SUM :: ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme => (nat => 'a) => nat => 'a
($\lambda(\beta\ \Sigma_e\ _)\ [85,85,86]85$) **where**
 $\Sigma_e\ G\ f\ n == nsum\ G\ f\ n$

abbreviation

NPROD :: [('a, 'm) Ring-scheme, nat, nat => 'a] => 'a
($\lambda(\beta\ e\Pi_{_}\ _)\ [98,98,99]98$) **where**
 $e\Pi_{R,n}\ f == nprod\ R\ f\ n$

definition

fSum :: [-, (nat => 'a), nat, nat] => 'a **where**
 $fSum\ A\ f\ n\ m = (if\ n \leq m\ then\ nsum\ A\ (cmp\ f\ (slide\ n))(m - n)$
 $else\ \mathbf{0}_A)$

abbreviation

FSUM :: [('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme, (nat => 'a), nat, nat] => 'a
($\lambda(\beta\ \Sigma_f\ _)\ [85,85,85,86]85$) **where**
 $\Sigma_f\ G\ f\ n\ m == fSum\ G\ f\ n\ m$

lemma (in aGroup) *nsum-zeroGTr*: $(\forall j \leq n. f\ j = \mathbf{0}) \longrightarrow nsum\ A\ f\ n = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-zero*: $\forall j \leq n. f j = \mathbf{0} \implies \text{nsum } A f n = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

sr :: [- , 'a set] \Rightarrow bool **where**
sr *R S* == $S \subseteq \text{carrier } R \wedge 1_{rR} \in S \wedge (\forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. x \pm_R (-_aR y) \in S \wedge x \cdot_r R y \in S)$

definition

Sr :: [- , 'a set] \Rightarrow - **where**
Sr *R S* = *R* (⟦*carrier* := *S*, *pop* := $\lambda x \in S. \lambda y \in S. x \pm_R y$, *mop* := $\lambda x \in S. (-_aR x)$,
zero := $\mathbf{0}_R$, *tp* := $\lambda x \in S. \lambda y \in S. x \cdot_r R y$, *un* := 1_{rR} ⟧)

lemma (in *Ring*) *Ring*: *Ring* *R* ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-is-ag*: *aGroup* *R*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-zero*: $\mathbf{0} \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-one*: $1_r \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-tOp-closed*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-tOp-commute*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y = y \cdot_r x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-distrib1*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r (y \pm z) = x \cdot_r y \pm x \cdot_r z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-distrib2*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (y \pm z) \cdot_r x = y \cdot_r x \pm z \cdot_r x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ring-distrib3*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (a \pm b) \cdot_r (x \pm y) = a \cdot_r x \pm a \cdot_r y \pm b \cdot_r x \pm b \cdot_r y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *rEQMulR*:

$$\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R; x = y \rrbracket \\ \implies x \cdot_r z = y \cdot_r z$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-tOp-assoc*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$

$$\implies (x \cdot_r y) \cdot_r z = x \cdot_r (y \cdot_r z)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-l-one*: $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies 1_r \cdot_r x = x$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-r-one*: $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies x \cdot_r 1_r = x$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-times-0-x*: $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies \mathbf{0} \cdot_r x = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-times-x-0*: $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies x \cdot_r \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *rMulZeroDiv*:

$$\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; x = \mathbf{0} \vee y = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y = \mathbf{0}$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-inv1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$-_a (a \cdot_r b) = (-_a a) \cdot_r b \wedge -_a (a \cdot_r b) = a \cdot_r (-_a b)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-inv1-1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$-_a (a \cdot_r b) = (-_a a) \cdot_r b$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-inv1-2*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$-_a (a \cdot_r b) = a \cdot_r (-_a b)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-times-minusl*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies -_a a = (-_a 1_r) \cdot_r a$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-times-minusr*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies -_a a = a \cdot_r (-_a 1_r)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-inv1-3*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$a \cdot_r b = (-_a a) \cdot_r (-_a b)$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ring-distrib4*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R;$

$x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \]] \implies$
 $a \cdot_r b \pm (-_a x \cdot_r y) = a \cdot_r (b \pm (-_a y)) \pm (a \pm (-_a x)) \cdot_r y$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *rMulLC*:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r (y \cdot_r z) = y \cdot_r (x \cdot_r z)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Zero-ring:1_r = 0* $\implies \text{zeroring } R$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Zero-ring1: \neg (zeroring R)* $\implies 1_r \neq \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-one:sr R S* $\implies 1_r \in S$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-zero:sr R S* $\implies \mathbf{0} \in S$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-mOp-closed: $\llbracket sr R S; x \in S \rrbracket$* $\implies -_a x \in S$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-pOp-closed: $\llbracket sr R S; x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket$* $\implies x \pm y \in S$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-tOp-closed: $\llbracket sr R S; x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket$* $\implies x \cdot_r y \in S$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Sr-ring:sr R S* $\implies \text{Ring } (Sr R S)$
 <proof>

4.2 Calculation of elements

4.2.1 nscale

lemma (in Ring) *ring-tOp-rel: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; xa \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; ya \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$* $\implies (x \cdot_r xa) \cdot_r (y \cdot_r ya) = (x \cdot_r y) \cdot_r (xa \cdot_r ya)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *nsClose*:
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{nscal } R x n \in \text{carrier } R$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *nsZero*:
 $\text{nscal } R \mathbf{0} n = \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsZeroI*: $\bigwedge n. x = \mathbf{0} \implies \text{nscal } R \ x \ n = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsEqElm*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; x = y \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{nscal } R \ x \ n) = (\text{nscal } R \ y \ n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsDistr*: $x \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\implies (\text{nscal } R \ x \ n) \pm (\text{nscal } R \ x \ m) = \text{nscal } R \ x \ (n + m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsDistrL*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{nscal } R \ x \ n) \pm (\text{nscal } R \ y \ n) = \text{nscal } R \ (x \pm y) \ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsMulDistrL*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r (\text{nscal } R \ y \ n) = \text{nscal } R \ (x \cdot_r y) \ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsMulDistrR*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{nscal } R \ y \ n) \cdot_r x = \text{nscal } R \ (y \cdot_r x) \ n$
 ⟨proof⟩

4.2.2 npow

lemma (in *Ring*) *npClose*: $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{npow } R \ x \ n \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMulDistr*: $\bigwedge n \ m. x \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $(\text{npow } R \ x \ n) \cdot_r (\text{npow } R \ x \ m) = \text{npow } R \ x \ (n + m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMulExp*: $\bigwedge n \ m. x \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\implies \text{npow } R \ (\text{npow } R \ x \ n) \ m = \text{npow } R \ x \ (n * m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npGTPowZero-sub*:
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; \text{npow } R \ x \ m = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies (m \leq n) \longrightarrow (\text{npow } R \ x \ n = \mathbf{0})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npGTPowZero*:
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; \text{npow } R \ x \ m = \mathbf{0}; m \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{npow } R \ x \ n = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npOne*: $\text{npow } R \ (1_r) \ n = 1_r$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npZero-sub*: $0 < n \longrightarrow \text{npow } R \ \mathbf{0} \ n = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npZero*: $0 < n \implies \text{npow } R \ \mathbf{0} \ n = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMulElmL*: $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; 0 \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r (\text{npow } R \ x \ n) = \text{npow } R \ x \ (\text{Suc } n)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMulEleL*: $\bigwedge n. x \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\implies (\text{npow } R \ x \ n) \cdot_r x = \text{npow } R \ x \ (\text{Suc } n)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMulElmR*: $\bigwedge n. x \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\implies (\text{npow } R \ x \ n) \cdot_r x = \text{npow } R \ x \ (\text{Suc } n)$
<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *np-1*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{npow } R \ a \ (\text{Suc } 0) = a$
<proof>

4.2.3 nsum and fSum

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-memTr*: $(\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nsum } A \ f \ n \in \text{carrier } A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-mem*: $\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A \ f \ n \in \text{carrier } A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-eqTr*: $(\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $g \ j \in \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $f \ j = g \ j)$
 $\longrightarrow \text{nsum } A \ f \ n = \text{nsum } A \ g \ n$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-eq*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A; \forall j \leq n. g \ j \in \text{carrier } A;$
 $\forall j \leq n. f \ j = g \ j \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } A \ f \ n = \text{nsum } A \ g \ n$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-cmp-assoc*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\}; h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ g) \ n = \text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ (\text{cmp } h \ g)) \ n$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-Suc*: $\forall j \in \text{nset } n \ (n + \text{Suc } m). f \ j \in \text{carrier } A \implies$

$fSum A f n (n + Suc m) = fSum A f n (n + m) \pm f (n + Suc m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-eqTr*: $(\forall j \in nset n (n + m). f j \in carrier A \wedge$
 $g j \in carrier A \wedge f j = g j) \longrightarrow$
 $fSum A f n (n + m) = fSum A g n (n + m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-eq*: $\llbracket \forall j \in nset n (n + m). f j \in carrier A;$
 $\forall j \in nset n (n + m). g j \in carrier A; (\forall j \in nset n (n + m). f j = g j) \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $fSum A f n (n + m) = fSum A g n (n + m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-eq1*: $\llbracket n \leq m; \forall j \in nset n m. f j \in carrier A;$
 $\forall j \in nset n m. g j \in carrier A; \forall j \in nset n m. f j = g j \rrbracket \implies$
 $fSum A f n m = fSum A g n m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-zeroTr*: $(\forall j \in nset n (n + m). f j = \mathbf{0}) \longrightarrow$
 $fSum A f n (n + m) = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-zero*: $\forall j \in nset n (n + m). f j = \mathbf{0} \implies$
 $fSum A f n (n + m) = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-zero1*: $\llbracket n < m; \forall j \in nset (Suc n) m. f j = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $fSum A f (Suc n) m = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsumMulEleL*: $\bigwedge n. \llbracket \forall i. f i \in carrier R; x \in carrier R \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r (nsum R f n) = nsum R (\lambda i. x \cdot_r (f i)) n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsumMulElmL*:
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket \forall i. f i \in carrier R; x \in carrier R \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r (nsum R f n) = nsum R (\lambda i. x \cdot_r (f i)) n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsumTailTr*:
 $(\forall j \leq (Suc n). f j \in carrier A) \longrightarrow$
 $nsum A f (Suc n) = (nsum A (\lambda i. (f (Suc i)))) n \pm (f 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsumTail*:
 $\forall j \leq (Suc n). f j \in carrier A \implies$
 $nsum A f (Suc n) = (nsum A (\lambda i. (f (Suc i)))) n \pm (f 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsumElmTail*:

$\forall i. f i \in \text{carrier } A$

$$\implies \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f (\text{Suc } i))) n) \pm (f 0)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-addTr*:

$(\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A \wedge g j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow$

$$\text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f i) \pm (g i)) n = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g n)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-add*:

$\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A; \forall j \leq n. g j \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f i) \pm (g i)) n = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g n)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsumElmAdd*:

$\llbracket \forall i. f i \in \text{carrier } A; \forall i. g i \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket$

$$\implies \text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f i) \pm (g i)) n = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g n)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-add-nmTr*:

$(\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A) \wedge (\forall j \leq m. g j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow$

$$\text{nsum } A (\text{jointfun } n f m g) (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g m)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-add-nm*:

$\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A; \forall j \leq m. g j \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{nsum } A (\text{jointfun } n f m g) (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g m)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *npeSum2-sub-muly*:

$\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$y \cdot_r (\text{nsum } R (\lambda i. \text{nscal } R ((\text{npow } R x (n-i)) \cdot_r (\text{npow } R y i)) \\ (n \text{ choose } i)) n)$$

$$= \text{nsum } R (\lambda i. \text{nscal } R ((\text{npow } R x (n-i)) \cdot_r (\text{npow } R y (i+1))) \\ (n \text{ choose } i)) n$$

<proof>

lemma *binomial-n0*: $(\text{Suc } n \text{ choose } 0) = (n \text{ choose } 0)$

<proof>

lemma *binomial-ngt-diff*:

$$(n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } n) = (\text{Suc } n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } n) - (n \text{ choose } n)$$

<proof>

lemma *binomial-ngt-0*: $(n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } n) = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diffLessSuc*: $m \leq n \implies \text{Suc } (n-m) = \text{Suc } n - m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in Ring*) *npow-suc-i*:

$\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; i \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{npow } R \ x \ (\text{Suc } n - i) = x \cdot_r \ (\text{npow } R \ x \ (n-i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in Ring*) *npeSum2-sub-mult*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$x \cdot_r \ (\text{nsum } R \ (\lambda \ i. \ \text{nscal } R \ ((\text{npow } R \ x \ (n-i)) \cdot_r \ (\text{npow } R \ y \ i))$
 $\quad \quad \quad (n \ \text{choose } i)) \ n)$
 $= (\text{nsum } R \ (\lambda \ i. \ \text{nscal } R$
 $\quad \quad \quad ((\text{npow } R \ x \ (\text{Suc } n - \text{Suc } i)) \cdot_r \ (\text{npow } R \ y \ (\text{Suc } i)))$
 $\quad \quad \quad (n \ \text{choose } \text{Suc } i)) \ n) \pm$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\text{nscal } R \ ((\text{npow } R \ x \ (\text{Suc } n - 0)) \cdot_r \ (\text{npow } R \ y \ 0))$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\text{Suc } n \ \text{choose } 0))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in Ring*) *npeSum2-sub-mult2*:

$\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \cdot_r \ (\text{nsum } R \ (\lambda \ i. \ \text{nscal } R \ ((\text{npow } R \ x \ (n-i)) \cdot_r \ (\text{npow } R \ y \ i))$
 $\quad \quad \quad (n \ \text{choose } i)) \ n)$
 $= (\text{nsum } R \ (\lambda \ i. \ \text{nscal } R$
 $\quad \quad \quad ((\text{npow } R \ x \ (n-i)) \cdot_r \ ((\text{npow } R \ y \ i) \cdot_r \ y))$
 $\quad \quad \quad (n \ \text{choose } \text{Suc } i)) \ n) \pm$
 $\quad \quad \quad (\mathbf{0} \pm ((x \cdot_r \ (\text{npow } R \ x \ n)) \cdot_r \ (1_r)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in Ring*) *npeSum2*:

$\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{npow } R \ (x \pm y) \ n =$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{nsum } R \ (\lambda \ i. \ \text{nscal } R \ ((\text{npow } R \ x \ (n-i)) \cdot_r \ (\text{npow } R \ y \ i))$
 $\quad \quad \quad (n \ \text{choose } i)) \ n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in aGroup*) *nsum-zeroTr*:

$\bigwedge n. (\forall \ i. \ i \leq n \implies f \ i = \mathbf{0}) \implies (\text{nsum } A \ f \ n = \mathbf{0})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in Ring*) *npAdd*:

$\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{npow } R \ x \ m = \mathbf{0}; \text{npow } R \ y \ n = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{npow } R \ (x \pm y) \ (m + n) = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *npInverse*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge n. x \in \text{carrier } R \\ & \implies \text{npow } R (-_a x) n = \text{npow } R x n \\ & \quad \vee \text{npow } R (-_a x) n = -_a (\text{npow } R x n) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in *Ring*) *npMul*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \\ & \implies \text{npow } R (x \cdot_r y) n = (\text{npow } R x n) \cdot_r (\text{npow } R y n) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

4.3 Ring homomorphisms

definition

$$\begin{aligned} rHom &:: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \\ & \implies ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ set } \mathbf{where} \\ rHom \ A \ R &= \{f. f \in aHom \ A \ R \wedge \\ & (\forall x \in \text{carrier } A. \forall y \in \text{carrier } A. f (x \cdot_r A y) = (f x) \cdot_r R (f y)) \\ & \wedge f (1_r A) = (1_r R)\} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} rInvim &:: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'b \text{ set}] \\ & \implies 'a \text{ set } \mathbf{where} \\ rInvim \ A \ R \ f \ K &= \{a. a \in \text{carrier } A \wedge f a \in K\} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} ring &:: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \implies \\ & 'b \text{ Ring } \mathbf{where} \\ ring \ A \ R \ f &= \langle \text{carrier} = f `(\text{carrier } A), \text{pop} = \text{pop } R, \text{mop} = \text{mop } R, \\ & \text{zero} = \text{zero } R, \text{tp} = \text{tp } R, \text{un} = \text{un } R \rangle \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} ridmap &:: ('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \mathbf{where} \\ ridmap \ R &= (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } R. x) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} r-isom &:: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \\ & \quad (\mathbf{infixr} \langle \cong_r \rangle 100) \mathbf{where} \\ r-isom \ R \ R' &\longleftrightarrow (\exists f \in rHom \ R \ R'. \text{bijec}_{R,R'} f) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} Subring &:: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool } \mathbf{where} \\ Subring \ R \ S &== \text{Ring } S \wedge (\text{carrier } S \subseteq \text{carrier } R) \wedge (\text{ridmap } S) \in rHom \ S \ R \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ridmap-surjec*: $\text{Ring } A \implies \text{surjec}_{A,A} (\text{ridmap } A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rHom-aHom*: $f \in rHom \ A \ R \implies f \in aHom \ A \ R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ring-carrier*: $f \in rHom A R \implies carrier (ring A R f) = f ' (carrier A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rHom-mem*: $\llbracket f \in rHom A R; a \in carrier A \rrbracket \implies f a \in carrier R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rHom-func*: $f \in rHom A R \implies f \in carrier A \rightarrow carrier R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ringhom1*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; x \in carrier A; y \in carrier A; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f (x \pm_A y) = (f x) \pm_R (f y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rHom-inv-inv*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; x \in carrier A; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f (-_A x) = -_R (f x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rHom-0-0*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f (\mathbf{0}_A) = \mathbf{0}_R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rHom-tOp*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; x \in carrier A; y \in carrier A; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f (x \cdot_A y) = (f x) \cdot_R (f y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rHom-add*: $\llbracket f \in rHom A R; x \in carrier A; y \in carrier A \rrbracket \implies f (x \pm_A y) = (f x) \pm_R (f y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rHom-one*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f (1_A) = 1_R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rHom-npow*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; x \in carrier A; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f (x \wedge^A n) = (f x) \wedge^R n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rHom-compos*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring B; Ring C; f \in rHom A B; g \in rHom B C \rrbracket \implies compos A g f \in rHom A C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ring-ag*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies aGroup (ring A R f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ring-ring*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies Ring (ring A R f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

ideal :: [- , 'a set] ⇒ bool **where**
ideal R I ⇔ (R +> I) ∧ (∀ r ∈ carrier R. ∀ x ∈ I. (r ·_r x ∈ I))

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-asubg*: *ideal* R I ⇒ R +> I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-pOp-closed*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I; y ∈ I]]
 ⇒ x ± y ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-nsum-closedTr*: *ideal* R I ⇒
 (∀ j ≤ n. f j ∈ I) → nsum R f n ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-nsum-closed*: [[*ideal* R I; ∀ j ≤ n. f j ∈ I]] ⇒
 nsum R f n ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-subset1*: *ideal* R I ⇒ I ⊆ carrier R
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-subset*: [[*ideal* R I; h ∈ I]] ⇒ h ∈ carrier R
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-ring-multiple*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I; r ∈ carrier R]] ⇒
 r ·_r x ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-ring-multiple1*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I; r ∈ carrier R]] ⇒
 x ·_r r ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-npow-closedTr*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I]] ⇒
 0 < n → x^R n ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-npow-closed*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I; 0 < n]] ⇒ x^R n ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *times-modTr*: [[a ∈ carrier R; a' ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R;
 b' ∈ carrier R; *ideal* R I; a ± (-_a b) ∈ I; a' ± (-_a b') ∈ I]] ⇒
 a ·_r a' ± (-_a (b ·_r b')) ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-inv1-closed*: [[*ideal* R I; x ∈ I]] ⇒ -_a x ∈ I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-zero*: *ideal* R I ⇒ 0 ∈ I

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-zero-forall*: $\forall I. \text{ideal } R \ I \longrightarrow \mathbf{0} \in I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-ele-sumTr1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; a \pm b \in I; a \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow b \in I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-ele-sumTr2*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; a \pm b \in I; b \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \in I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-condition*: $\llbracket I \subseteq \text{carrier } R; I \neq \{\}; \forall x \in I. \forall y \in I. x \pm (-_a \ y) \in I; \forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in I. r \cdot_r \ x \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ideal } R \ I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-condition1*: $\llbracket I \subseteq \text{carrier } R; I \neq \{\}; \forall x \in I. \forall y \in I. x \pm y \in I; \forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in I. r \cdot_r \ x \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{ideal } R \ I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *zero-ideal*: $\text{ideal } R \ \{\mathbf{0}\}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *whole-ideal*: $\text{ideal } R \ (\text{carrier } R)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-inc-one*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; 1_r \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow I = \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-inc-one1*: $\text{ideal } R \ I \Longrightarrow (1_r \in I) = (I = \text{carrier } R)$

<proof>

definition

Unit :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{Unit } R \ a \longleftrightarrow a \in \text{carrier } R \wedge (\exists b \in \text{carrier } R. a \cdot_r \ b = 1_r)$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-inc-unit*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in I; \text{Unit } R \ a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow 1_r \in I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *proper-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; 1_r \notin I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow I \neq \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-inc-unit1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \text{Unit } R \ a; \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in I \rrbracket \Longrightarrow I = \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *int-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; \text{ ideal } R \ J \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R \ (I \cap J)$
 <proof>

definition

ideal-prod:: $[-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ (**infix** $\langle \diamond_{r1} \rangle$ 90) **where**
ideal-prod $R \ I \ J == \bigcap \{L. \text{ideal } R \ L \wedge$
 $\{x. (\exists i \in I. \exists j \in J. x = i \cdot_r R \ j)\} \subseteq L\}$

lemma (in Ring) *set-sum-mem*: $\llbracket a \in I; b \in J; I \subseteq \text{carrier } R; J \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \pm b \in I \mp J$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-ideals*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I1; \text{ ideal } R \ I2 \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R \ (I1 \mp I2)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-ideals-la1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I1; \text{ ideal } R \ I2 \rrbracket \implies I1 \subseteq (I1 \mp I2)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-ideals-la2*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I1; \text{ ideal } R \ I2 \rrbracket \implies I2 \subseteq (I1 \mp I2)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-ideals-cont*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; A \subseteq I; B \subseteq I \rrbracket \implies A \mp B \subseteq I$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideals-set-sum*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ ideal } R \ B; x \in A \mp B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists h \in A. \exists k \in B. x = h \pm k$
 <proof>

definition

Rxa :: $[-, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ (**infixl** $\langle \diamond_p \rangle$ 200) **where**
Rxa $R \ a = \{x. \exists r \in \text{carrier } R. x = (r \cdot_r R \ a)\}$

lemma (in Ring) *a-in-principal*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies a \in Rxa \ R \ a$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *principal-ideal*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{ideal } R \ (Rxa \ R \ a)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *rx-in-Rxa*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $r \cdot_r a \in Rxa \ R \ a$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Rxa-one*: $Rxa \ R \ 1_r = \text{carrier } R$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Rxa-zero*: $Rxa \ R \ \mathbf{0} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *Rxa-nonzero*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; a \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies Rxa R a \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-cont-Rxa*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in I \rrbracket \implies Rxa R a \subseteq I$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *Rxa-mult-smaller*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $Rxa R (a \cdot_r b) \subseteq Rxa R b$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *id-ideal-psub-sum*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; a \notin I \rrbracket \implies$
 $I \subset I \mp_R Rxa R a$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *mul-two-principal-idealsTr*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $x \in Rxa R a; y \in Rxa R b \rrbracket \implies \exists r \in \text{carrier } R. x \cdot_r y = r \cdot_r (a \cdot_r b)$
 <proof>

primrec *sum-pr-ideals*: $\llbracket ('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a, \text{nat} \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
where

sum-pr0: $\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f 0 = Rxa R (f 0)$
 | *sum-prn*: $\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f (\text{Suc } n) =$
 $(Rxa R (f (\text{Suc } n))) \mp_R (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-of-prideals0*:
 $\forall f. (\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R) \longrightarrow \text{ideal } R (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-of-prideals*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$
 <proof>

later, we show *sum-pr-ideals* is the least ideal containing $\{f 0, f 1, \dots, f n\}$

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-of-prideals1*: $\forall f. (\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R) \longrightarrow$
 $f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-of-prideals2*: $\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R$
 $\implies f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-of-prideals3*: $\text{ideal } R I \implies$
 $\forall f. (\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R) \wedge (f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq I) \longrightarrow$
 $(\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n \subseteq I)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-of-prideals4*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R;$

$(f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq I) \implies \text{sum-pr-ideals } R \text{ } f \text{ } n \subseteq I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ker-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } R \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } A \text{ } (\text{ker }_{A,R} f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

4.3.1 Ring of integers

definition

Zr :: int Ring where
Zr = (| carrier = Zset, pop = $\lambda n \in \text{Zset}. \lambda m \in \text{Zset}. (m + n)$,
 mop = $\lambda l \in \text{Zset}. -l$, zero = 0, tp = $\lambda m \in \text{Zset}. \lambda n \in \text{Zset}. m * n$, un = 1 |)

lemma *ring-of-integers*: $\text{Ring } Zr$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-zero*: $0_{Zr} = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-one*: $1_r Zr = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-minus*: $-_a Zr \text{ } n = - \text{ } n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-add*: $n \pm_{Zr} m = n + m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-times*: $n \cdot_r Zr \text{ } m = n * m$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

lev :: int set \Rightarrow int where
lev *I* = Zleast {*n*. *n* \in *I* \wedge 0 < *n*}

lemma *Zr-gen-Zleast*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } Zr \text{ } I; I \neq \{0::\text{int}\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $R.xa \text{ } Zr \text{ } (\text{lev } I) = I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Zr-pir*: $\text{ideal } Zr \text{ } I \implies \exists n. R.xa \text{ } Zr \text{ } n = I$
 ⟨proof⟩

4.4 Quotient rings

lemma (in Ring) *mem-set-ar-cos*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \text{ } I; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \uplus_R I \in \text{set-ar-cos } R \text{ } I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *I-in-set-ar-cos*: $\text{ideal } R \text{ } I \implies I \in \text{set-ar-cos } R \text{ } I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; b \in carrier\ R; b \pm (-_a\ a) \in I \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I = b \uplus_R I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same2*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; b \in carrier\ R; a \uplus_R I = b \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies b \pm (-_a\ a) \in I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same3*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; a \uplus_R I = I \rrbracket \implies a \in I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same3-1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; a \notin I \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I \neq I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same4*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in I \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I = I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-same4-1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \uplus_R I \neq I \rrbracket \implies a \notin I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *belong-ar-coset1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; x \in carrier\ R; x \pm (-_a\ a) \in I \rrbracket \implies x \in a \uplus_R I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *a-in-ar-coset*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R \rrbracket \implies a \in a \uplus_R I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-subsetD*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies x \in carrier\ R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-cos-mem*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I \in set-rcs\ (b-ag\ R)\ I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *mem-ar-coset1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in I. h \pm a = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-coset-mem2*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in I. x = a \pm h$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *belong-ar-coset2*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; a \in carrier\ R; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket$

$$\implies x \pm (-_a a) \in I$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-c-top*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies (c\text{-top } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I) \ (a \uplus_R I) \ (b \uplus_R I) = (a \pm b) \uplus_R I$

<proof>

Following lemma is not necessary to define a quotient ring. But it makes clear that the binary operation2 of the quotient ring is well defined.

lemma (in *Ring*) *quotient-ring-tr1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a1 \in \text{carrier } R; a2 \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $b1 \in \text{carrier } R; b2 \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $a1 \uplus_R I = a2 \uplus_R I; b1 \uplus_R I = b2 \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a1 \cdot_r b1) \uplus_R I = (a2 \cdot_r b2) \uplus_R I$

<proof>

definition

rcostOp :: $[-, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow (['a \ \text{set}, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set})$ **where**
 $\text{rcostOp } R \ I = (\lambda X \in (\text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I). \lambda Y \in (\text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I).$
 $\{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. \exists h \in I. (x \cdot_r y) \pm_R h = z\})$

lemma (in *Ring*) *rcostOp*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{rcostOp } R \ I \ (a \uplus_R I) \ (b \uplus_R I) = (a \cdot_r b) \uplus_R I$

<proof>

definition

qring :: $[('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow (\ () \ \text{carrier} :: 'a \ \text{set} \ \text{set},$
 $\text{pop} :: ['a \ \text{set}, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}, \text{mop} :: 'a \ \text{set} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set},$
 $\text{zero} :: 'a \ \text{set}, \text{tp} :: ['a \ \text{set}, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}, \text{un} :: 'a \ \text{set} \)$ **where**
 $\text{qring } R \ I = (\ () \ \text{carrier} = \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I,$
 $\text{pop} = c\text{-top } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I,$
 $\text{mop} = c\text{-iop } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I,$
 $\text{zero} = I,$
 $\text{tp} = \text{rcostOp } R \ I,$
 $\text{un} = 1_r R \uplus_R I)$

abbreviation

QRING (infixl $\langle ' /_r \rangle$ 200) **where**
 $R /_r I == \text{qring } R \ I$

lemma (in *Ring*) *carrier-qring:ideal* $R \ I \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{qring } R \ I) = \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) \ I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *carrier-qring1:ideal* $R \ I \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{qring } R \ I) = \text{set-ar-cos } R \ I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-ring:ideal* $R \ I \implies \text{Ring } (\text{qring } R \ I)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-carrier:ideal* $R I \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{qring } R I) = \{X. \exists a \in \text{carrier } R. a \uplus_R I = X\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-mem*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \uplus_R I \in \text{carrier } (\text{qring } R I)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-pOp*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{pop } (\text{qring } R I) (a \uplus_R I) (b \uplus_R I) = (a \pm b) \uplus_R I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-zero:ideal* $R I \implies \text{zero } (\text{qring } R I) = I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-zero-1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R I; a \uplus_R I = I \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \in I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *Qring-fix1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R I; a \in I \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I = I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *ar-cos-same*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R I; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \uplus_R I = a \uplus_R I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *qring-tOp*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{tp } (\text{qring } R I) (a \uplus_R I) (b \uplus_R I) = (a \cdot_r b) \uplus_R I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rind-hom-well-def*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A R; a \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $f a = (f^\circ_{A,R}) (a \uplus_A (\text{ker}_{A,R} f))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *set-r-ar-cos:ideal* $R I \implies$
 $\text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) I = \text{set-ar-cos } R I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *set-r-ar-cos-ker*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } A) (\text{ker}_{A,R} f) = \text{set-ar-cos } A (\text{ker}_{A,R} f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ind-hom-rhom*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(f^\circ_{A,R}) \in r\text{Hom } (\text{qring } A (\text{ker}_{A,R} f)) R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ind-hom-injec*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{injec } (\text{qring } A (\text{ker}_{A,R} f)), R (f^\circ_{A,R})$

<proof>

lemma *rhom-to-ring*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{ Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } (\text{ring } A \text{ } R \text{ } f)$

<proof>

lemma *ker-to-ring*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{ Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ker}_{A,R} f = \text{ker}_{A,(\text{ring } A \text{ } R \text{ } f)} f$

<proof>

lemma *indhom-eq*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{ Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } R \rrbracket \implies f^\circ_{A,(\text{ring } A \text{ } R \text{ } f)} = f^\circ_{A,R}$

<proof>

lemma *indhom-bijec2-ring*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{ Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bijec}_{(\text{qring } A \text{ } (\text{ker}_{A,R} f)),(\text{ring } A \text{ } R \text{ } f)} (f^\circ_{A,R})$

<proof>

lemma *surjec-ind-bijec*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{ Ring } R; f \in r\text{Hom } A \text{ } R; \text{ surjec}_{A,R} f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bijec}_{(\text{qring } A \text{ } (\text{ker}_{A,R} f)),R} (f^\circ_{A,R})$

<proof>

lemma *ridmap-ind-bijec*: $\text{Ring } A \implies$
 $\text{bijec}_{(\text{qring } A \text{ } (\text{ker}_{A,A} (\text{ridmap } A))),A} ((\text{ridmap } A)^\circ_{A,A})$

<proof>

lemma *ker-of-idmap*: $\text{Ring } A \implies \text{ker}_{A,A} (\text{ridmap } A) = \{\mathbf{0}_A\}$

<proof>

lemma *ring-natural-isom*: $\text{Ring } A \implies$
 $\text{bijec}_{(\text{qring } A \text{ } \{\mathbf{0}_A\}),A} ((\text{ridmap } A)^\circ_{A,A})$

<proof>

definition

$\text{pj} :: [(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'a set}] \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'a set})$ **where**
 $\text{pj } R \text{ } I = (\lambda x. \text{Pj } (b\text{-ag } R) \text{ } I \text{ } x)$

lemma *pj-Hom*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I \rrbracket \implies (\text{pj } R \text{ } I) \in r\text{Hom } R \text{ } (\text{qring } R \text{ } I)$

<proof>

lemma *pj-mem*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I; x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{pj } R \text{ } I \text{ } x = x \uplus_R I$

<proof>

lemma *pj-zero*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ ideal } R \text{ } I; x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{pj } R \text{ } I \text{ } x = \mathbf{0}_{(R /_r I)}) = (x \in I)$

<proof>

lemma *pj-surj-to*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R J; X \in \text{carrier } (R /_r J) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. \text{pj } R J r = X$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *invim-of-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } (q\text{ring } R I) J \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R (r\text{Invim } R (q\text{ring } R I) (\text{pj } R I) J)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pj-invim-cont-I*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } (q\text{ring } R I) J \rrbracket \implies$
 $I \subseteq (r\text{Invim } R (q\text{ring } R I) (\text{pj } R I) J)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pj-invim-mono1*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } (q\text{ring } R I) J1;$
 $\text{ideal } (q\text{ring } R I) J2; J1 \subseteq J2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $(r\text{Invim } R (q\text{ring } R I) (\text{pj } R I) J1) \subseteq (r\text{Invim } R (q\text{ring } R I) (\text{pj } R I) J2)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pj-img-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J; I \subseteq J \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } (q\text{ring } R I) ((\text{pj } R I)^{\cdot} J)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *npQring*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{npow } (q\text{ring } R I) (a \uplus_R I) n = (\text{npow } R a n) \uplus_R I$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.5 Primary ideals, Prime ideals

definition

maximal-set :: $['a \text{ set } \text{set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
maximal-set $S \text{ mx} \longleftrightarrow \text{mx} \in S \wedge (\forall s \in S. \text{mx} \subseteq s \longrightarrow s = \text{mx})$

definition

nilpotent :: $[-, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
nilpotent $R a \longleftrightarrow (\exists (n::\text{nat}). a^{\sim R} n = \mathbf{0}_R)$

definition

zero-divisor :: $[-, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
zero-divisor $R a \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in \text{carrier } R. x \neq \mathbf{0}_R \wedge x \cdot_r R a = \mathbf{0}_R)$

definition

primary-ideal :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
primary-ideal $R q \longleftrightarrow \text{ideal } R q \wedge (1_r R) \notin q \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } R. \forall y \in \text{carrier } R.$
 $x \cdot_r R y \in q \longrightarrow (\exists n. (\text{npow } R x n) \in q \vee y \in q))$

definition

prime-ideal :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
prime-ideal $R p \longleftrightarrow \text{ideal } R p \wedge (1_r R) \notin p \wedge (\forall x \in \text{carrier } R. \forall y \in \text{carrier } R.$

$$(x \cdot_r R y \in p \longrightarrow x \in p \vee y \in p))$$

definition

maximal-ideal :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
maximal-ideal $R \ mx \longleftrightarrow \text{ideal } R \ mx \wedge 1_r R \notin mx \wedge$
 $\{J. (\text{ideal } R \ J \wedge mx \subseteq J)\} = \{mx, \text{carrier } R\}$

lemma (in *Ring*) *maximal-ideal-ideal*: $[[\text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx]] \Longrightarrow \text{ideal } R \ mx$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *maximal-ideal-proper*: $\text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx \Longrightarrow 1_r \notin mx$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-ideal-ideal*: $\text{prime-ideal } R \ I \Longrightarrow \text{ideal } R \ I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-ideal-proper*: $\text{prime-ideal } R \ I \Longrightarrow I \neq \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-ideal-proper1*: $\text{prime-ideal } R \ p \Longrightarrow 1_r \notin p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *primary-ideal-ideal*: $\text{primary-ideal } R \ q \Longrightarrow \text{ideal } R \ q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *primary-ideal-proper1*: $\text{primary-ideal } R \ q \Longrightarrow 1_r \notin q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-elems-mult-not*: $[[\text{prime-ideal } R \ P; x \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $y \in \text{carrier } R; x \notin P; y \notin P]] \Longrightarrow x \cdot_r y \notin P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-is-primary*: $\text{prime-ideal } R \ p \Longrightarrow \text{primary-ideal } R \ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *maximal-prime-Tr0*: $[[\text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx; x \in \text{carrier } R; x \notin$
 $mx]]$
 $\Longrightarrow mx \mp (Rxa \ R \ x) = \text{carrier } R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *maximal-prime*: $\text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx \Longrightarrow \text{prime-ideal } R \ mx$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *chains-un*: $[[c \in \text{chains } \{I. \text{ideal } R \ I \wedge I \subset \text{carrier } R\}; c \neq \{\}\]]$
 $\Longrightarrow \text{ideal } R \ (\bigcup c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *zeroring-no-maximal*: $\text{zeroring } R \Longrightarrow \neg (\exists I. \text{maximal-ideal } R \ I)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *id-maximal-Exist*: $\neg(\text{zeroring } R) \implies \exists I. \text{maximal-ideal } R I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

ideal-Int :: [$_$, 'a set set] \Rightarrow 'a set **where**
ideal-Int $R S == \bigcap S$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-Int-ideal*: $\llbracket S \subseteq \{I. \text{ideal } R I\}; S \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R (\bigcap S)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-prideals-Int*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $S = \{I. \text{ideal } R I \wedge f \text{ ' } \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq I\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n) = \bigcap S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

This proves that $(\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$ is the smallest ideal containing f
' ($Nset n$)

primrec *ideal-n-prod*: $\llbracket ('a, 'm) \text{Ring-scheme, nat, nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
where

ideal-n-prod0: $\text{ideal-n-prod } R 0 J = J 0$
 $| \text{ideal-n-prodSn}$: $\text{ideal-n-prod } R (\text{Suc } n) J =$
 $(\text{ideal-n-prod } R n J) \diamond_{rR} (J (\text{Suc } n))$

abbreviation

IDNPROD ($\langle (3i\Pi, -) \rangle [98,98,99]98$) **where**
 $i\Pi_{R,n} J == \text{ideal-n-prod } R n J$

primrec

ideal-pow :: [$'a \text{ set}, ('a, 'more) \text{Ring-scheme, nat}$] $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
 $(\langle (3-/ \diamond^-) \rangle [120,120,121]120)$

where

ip0: $I \diamond^R 0 = \text{carrier } R$
 $| \text{ipSuc}$: $I \diamond^R (\text{Suc } n) = I \diamond_{rR} (I \diamond^R n)$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prod-mem-prod-ideals*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J; i \in I; j \in J \rrbracket \implies$
 $i \cdot_r j \in (I \diamond_r J)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R (I \diamond_r J)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-commute*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J \rrbracket \implies$
 $I \diamond_r J = J \diamond_r I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-subTr*: \llbracket ideal R I; ideal R J; ideal R C;
 $\forall i \in I. \forall j \in J. i \cdot_r j \in C \rrbracket \implies I \diamond_r J \subseteq C$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *n-prod-idealTr*:
 $(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k)) \longrightarrow \text{ideal } R (\text{ideal-n-prod } R n J)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *n-prod-ideal*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{ideal } R (\text{ideal-n-prod } R n J)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-la1*: \llbracket ideal R I; ideal R J $\rrbracket \implies (I \diamond_r J) \subseteq I$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-el1*: \llbracket ideal R I; ideal R J; $a \in (I \diamond_r J)$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $a \in I$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-la2*: \llbracket ideal R I; ideal R J $\rrbracket \implies (I \diamond_r J) \subseteq J$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-sub-Int*: \llbracket ideal R I; ideal R J $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(I \diamond_r J) \subseteq I \cap J$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-el2*: \llbracket ideal R I; ideal R J; $a \in (I \diamond_r J)$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $a \in J$

<proof>

$i\Pi_{R,n} J$ is the product of ideals

lemma (in Ring) *ele-n-prodTr0*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R (J k);$
 $a \in i\Pi_{R,(\text{Suc } n)} J \rrbracket \implies a \in (i\Pi_{R,n} J) \wedge a \in (J (\text{Suc } n))$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ele-n-prodTr1*:
 $(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge a \in \text{ideal-n-prod } R n J \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall k \leq n. a \in (J k))$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ele-n-prod*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k);$
 $a \in \text{ideal-n-prod } R n J \rrbracket \implies \forall k \leq n. a \in (J k)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *idealprod-whole-l*: $\text{ideal } R I \implies (\text{carrier } R) \diamond_r R I = I$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *idealprod-whole-r*: $\text{ideal } R I \implies I \diamond_r (\text{carrier } R) = I$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *idealpow-1-self*: $ideal\ R\ I \implies I \diamond^R (Suc\ 0) = I$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-pow-ideal*: $ideal\ R\ I \implies ideal\ R\ (I \diamond^R n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-prime*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; ideal\ R\ J; prime-ideal\ R\ P; I \diamond_r J \subseteq P \rrbracket \implies I \subseteq P \vee J \subseteq P$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-n-prod-primeTr*: $prime-ideal\ R\ P \implies$
 $(\forall k \leq n. ideal\ R\ (J\ k)) \longrightarrow (ideal-n-prod\ R\ n\ J \subseteq P) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists i \leq n. (J\ i) \subseteq P)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-n-prod-prime*: $\llbracket prime-ideal\ R\ P; \forall k \leq n. ideal\ R\ (J\ k); ideal-n-prod\ R\ n\ J \subseteq P \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists i \leq n. (J\ i) \subseteq P$
 <proof>

definition

ppa: $[-, nat \Rightarrow 'a\ set, 'a\ set, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $ppa\ R\ P\ A\ i\ l = (SOME\ x. x \in A \wedge x \in (P\ (skip\ i\ l)) \wedge x \notin P\ i)$

lemma (in Ring) *prod-primeTr*: $\llbracket prime-ideal\ R\ P; ideal\ R\ A; \neg A \subseteq P; ideal\ R\ B; \neg B \subseteq P \rrbracket \implies \exists x. x \in A \wedge x \in B \wedge x \notin P$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *prod-primeTr1*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (Suc\ n). prime-ideal\ R\ (P\ k); ideal\ R\ A; \forall l \leq (Suc\ n). \neg (A \subseteq P\ l); \forall k \leq (Suc\ n). \forall l \leq (Suc\ n). k = l \vee \neg (P\ k) \subseteq (P\ l); i \leq (Suc\ n) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall l \leq n. ppa\ R\ P\ A\ i\ l \in A \wedge$
 $ppa\ R\ P\ A\ i\ l \in (P\ (skip\ i\ l)) \wedge ppa\ R\ P\ A\ i\ l \notin (P\ i)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *ppa-mem*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (Suc\ n). prime-ideal\ R\ (P\ k); ideal\ R\ A; \forall l \leq (Suc\ n). \neg (A \subseteq P\ l); \forall k \leq (Suc\ n). \forall l \leq (Suc\ n). k = l \vee \neg (P\ k) \subseteq (P\ l); i \leq (Suc\ n); l \leq n \rrbracket \implies ppa\ R\ P\ A\ i\ l \in carrier\ R$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *nsum-memrTr*: $(\forall i \leq n. f\ i \in carrier\ R) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall l \leq n. nsum\ R\ f\ l \in carrier\ R)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *nsum-memr*: $\forall i \leq n. f\ i \in carrier\ R \implies$
 $\forall l \leq n. nsum\ R\ f\ l \in carrier\ R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsum-ideal-inc*Tr:ideal $R A \implies$
 $(\forall i \leq n. f i \in A) \longrightarrow nsum R f n \in A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsum-ideal-inc*: $\llbracket ideal R A; \forall i \leq n. f i \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $nsum R f n \in A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsum-ideal-exc*Tr:ideal $R A \implies$
 $(\forall i \leq n. f i \in carrier R) \wedge (\exists j \leq n. (\forall l \in \{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}. f l \in A)$
 $\wedge (f j \notin A)) \longrightarrow nsum R f n \notin A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nsum-ideal-exc*: $\llbracket ideal R A; \forall i \leq n. f i \in carrier R;$
 $\exists j \leq n. (\forall l \in \{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}. f l \in A) \wedge (f j \notin A) \rrbracket \implies nsum R f n \notin A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nprod-mem*Tr: $(\forall i \leq n. f i \in carrier R) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall l. l \leq n \longrightarrow nprod R f l \in carrier R)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nprod-mem*: $\llbracket \forall i \leq n. f i \in carrier R; l \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $nprod R f l \in carrier R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-nprod-inc*Tr:ideal $R A \implies$
 $(\forall i \leq n. f i \in carrier R) \wedge$
 $(\exists l \leq n. f l \in A) \longrightarrow nprod R f n \in A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-nprod-inc*: $\llbracket ideal R A; \forall i \leq n. f i \in carrier R;$
 $\exists l \leq n. f l \in A \rrbracket \implies nprod R f n \in A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nprod-exc*Tr:prime-ideal $R P \implies$
 $(\forall i \leq n. f i \in carrier R) \wedge (\forall l \leq n. f l \notin P) \longrightarrow$
 $nprod R f n \notin P$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *prime-nprod-exc*: $\llbracket prime-ideal R P; \forall i \leq n. f i \in carrier R;$
 $\forall l \leq n. f l \notin P \rrbracket \implies nprod R f n \notin P$

<proof>

definition

nilrad :: $- \Rightarrow$ 'a set **where**
 $nilrad R = \{x. x \in carrier R \wedge nilpotent R x\}$

lemma (in *Ring*) *id-nilrad-ideal:ideal* R (*nilrad* R)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

rad-ideal :: [$_$, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set **where**
rad-ideal R $I = \{a. a \in \text{carrier } R \wedge \text{nilpotent } (\text{qring } R \ I) ((\text{pj } R \ I) \ a)\}$

lemma (in *Ring*) *id-rad-invim:ideal* R $I \Longrightarrow$
rad-ideal R $I = (\text{rInvim } R (\text{qring } R \ I) (\text{pj } R \ I) (\text{nilrad } (\text{qring } R \ I)))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *id-rad-ideal:ideal* R $I \Longrightarrow \text{ideal } R$ (*rad-ideal* R I)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *id-rad-cont-I:ideal* R $I \Longrightarrow I \subseteq (\text{rad-ideal } R \ I)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *id-rad-set:ideal* R $I \Longrightarrow$
rad-ideal R $I = \{x. x \in \text{carrier } R \wedge (\exists n. \text{npow } R \ x \ n \in I)\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *rad-primary-prime:primary-ideal* R $q \Longrightarrow$
prime-ideal R (*rad-ideal* R q)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npow-notin-prime*: $\llbracket \text{prime-ideal } R \ P; x \in \text{carrier } R; x \notin P \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow \forall n. \text{npow } R \ x \ n \notin P$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *npow-in-prime*: $\llbracket \text{prime-ideal } R \ P; x \in \text{carrier } R; \exists n. \text{npow } R \ x \ n \in P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \in P$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

mul-closed-set:: [$_$, 'a set] \Rightarrow bool **where**
mul-closed-set R $S \longleftrightarrow S \subseteq \text{carrier } R \wedge (\forall s \in S. \forall t \in S. s \cdot_r t \in S)$

locale *Idomain* = *Ring* +

assumes *idom*:

$\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; a \cdot_r b = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a = \mathbf{0} \vee b = \mathbf{0}$

locale *Corps* =

fixes K (**structure**)

assumes *f-is-ring*: *Ring* K

and *f-inv*: $\forall x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\}. \exists x' \in \text{carrier } K. x' \cdot_r x = 1_r$

lemma (in *Ring*) *mul-closed-set-sub:mul-closed-set* $R\ S \implies S \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *mul-closed-set-tOp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R\ S; s \in S; t \in S \rrbracket \implies s \cdot_r t \in S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *f-inv-unique*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\}; x' \in \text{carrier } K; x'' \in \text{carrier } K; x' \cdot_r x = 1_r; x'' \cdot_r x = 1_r \rrbracket \implies x' = x''$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

invf :: $[-, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
invf $K\ x = (\text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } K \wedge y \cdot_r K\ x = 1_{rK})$

lemma (in *Corps*) *invf-inv*: $x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\} \implies (\text{invf } K\ x) \in \text{carrier } K \wedge (\text{invf } K\ x) \cdot_r x = 1_r$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

npowf :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
npowf $K\ x\ n =$
 (if $0 \leq n$ then *npow* $K\ x\ (\text{nat } n)$ else *npow* $K\ (\text{invf } K\ x)\ (\text{nat } (-\ n))$)

abbreviation

NPOWF :: $['a, -, \text{int}] \Rightarrow 'a$ ($\langle \langle \text{3-} \cdot \rangle \rangle [77, 77, 78] 77$) **where**
 $a_K^n == \text{npowf } K\ a\ n$

abbreviation

IOP :: $['a, -] \Rightarrow 'a$ ($\langle \langle \text{-} \cdot \rangle \rangle [87, 88] 87$) **where**
 $a^{-K} == \text{invf } K\ a$

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-is-ring*: *Ring* R ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-tOp-nonzeros*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; x \neq \mathbf{0}; y \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y \neq \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-potent-nonzero*:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies \text{npow } R\ x\ n \neq \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-potent-unit*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; 0 < n \rrbracket \implies (\text{Unit } R\ a) = (\text{Unit } R\ (\text{npow } R\ a\ n))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-mult-cancel-r*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R;$

$b \in \text{carrier } R; c \in \text{carrier } R; c \neq \mathbf{0}; a \cdot_r c = b \cdot_r c \implies a = b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-mult-cancel-l*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $b \in \text{carrier } R; c \in \text{carrier } R; c \neq \mathbf{0}; c \cdot_r a = c \cdot_r b \rrbracket \implies a = b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *invf-closed1*: $x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\} \implies$
 $\text{invf } K \ x \in (\text{carrier } K) - \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *linvf*: $x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\} \implies (\text{invf } K \ x) \cdot_r x = 1_r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-is-ring*:*Ring* K
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *invf-one*: $1_r \neq \mathbf{0} \implies \text{invf } K \ (1_r) = 1_r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-tOp-assoc*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; y \in \text{carrier } K; z \in \text{carrier } K \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r y \cdot_r z = x \cdot_r (y \cdot_r z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-tOp-commute*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; y \in \text{carrier } K \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \cdot_r y = y \cdot_r x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-inv-inv*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (x^{-K})^{-K} = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-is-idom*:*Idomain* K
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-potent-nonzero*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $x^{\wedge K \ n} \neq \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-potent-nonzero1*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x_K^n \neq \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-nilp-zero*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x^{\wedge K \ n} = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-mem*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } K; a \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{npowf } K \ a \ n \in \text{carrier } K$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Corps*) *field-npowf-exp-zero*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } K; a \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{npowf } K \text{ a } 0 = 1_r$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npow-exp-minusTr1*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i \rrbracket \implies$
 $0 \leq i - (\text{int } j) \longrightarrow x_K^{(i - (\text{int } j))} = x^{\sim K} (\text{nat } i) \cdot_r (x^{-K})^{\sim K} j$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npow-exp-minusTr2*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i; 0 \leq j; 0 \leq i - j \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^{(i - j)} = x^{\sim K} (\text{nat } i) \cdot_r (x^{-K})^{\sim K} (\text{nat } j)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-inv*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq j \rrbracket \implies x_K^j = (x^{-K})_K^{(-j)}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-inv1*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; \neg 0 \leq j \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^j = (x^{-K})_K^{(-j)}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-inverse*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x_K^j = (x^{-K})_K^{(-j)}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-expTr1*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i; 0 \leq j; 0 \leq i - j \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^{(i - j)} = x_K^i \cdot_r x_K^{(-j)}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-expTr2*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i + j \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^{(i + j)} = x_K^i \cdot_r x_K^j$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-exp-add*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^{(i + j)} = x_K^i \cdot_r x_K^j$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-exp-1-add*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $x_K^{(1 + j)} = x \cdot_r x_K^j$

<proof>

lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-minus*: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (x_K^j)^{-K} = x_K^{(-j)}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *residue-fieldTr*: $\llbracket \text{maximal-ideal } R \text{ mx}; x \in \text{carrier}(\text{qring } R \text{ mx}); x \neq \mathbf{0}_{(\text{qring } R \text{ mx})} \rrbracket \implies \exists y \in \text{carrier}(\text{qring } R \text{ mx}). y \cdot_r (\text{qring } R \text{ mx}) x = 1_r(\text{qring } R \text{ mx})$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *residue-field-cd: maximal-ideal* $R \text{ mx} \implies$
 $\text{Corps } (\text{qring } R \text{ mx})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *maximal-set-idealTr*:
 $\text{maximal-set } \{I. \text{ideal } R \ I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\} \text{ mx} \implies \text{ideal } R \ \text{mx}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *maximal-setTr*: $\llbracket \text{maximal-set } \{I. \text{ideal } R \ I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\} \text{ mx};$
 $\text{ideal } R \ J; \text{ mx} \subset J \rrbracket \implies S \cap J \neq \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *mulDisj*: $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R \ S; 1_r \in S; \mathbf{0} \notin S;$
 $T = \{I. \text{ideal } R \ I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\}; \text{maximal-set } T \ \text{mx} \rrbracket \implies \text{prime-ideal } R \ \text{mx}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *ex-mulDisj-maximal*: $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R \ S; \mathbf{0} \notin S; 1_r \in S;$
 $T = \{I. \text{ideal } R \ I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\} \rrbracket \implies \exists \text{ mx. maximal-set } T \ \text{mx}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *ex-mulDisj-prime*: $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R \ S; \mathbf{0} \notin S; 1_r \in S \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists \text{ mx. prime-ideal } R \ \text{mx} \wedge S \cap \text{mx} = \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *nilradTr1*: $\neg \text{zeroring } R \implies \text{nilrad } R = \bigcap \{p. \text{prime-ideal } R \ p\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *nonilp-residue-nilrad*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; x \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{nilpotent } (\text{qring } R \ (\text{nilrad } R)) \ (x \uplus_R (\text{nilrad } R)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \uplus_R (\text{nilrad } R) = \mathbf{0}_{(\text{qring } R \ (\text{nilrad } R))}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *ex-contid-maximal*: $\llbracket S = \{1_r\}; \mathbf{0} \notin S; \text{ideal } R \ I; I \cap S = \{\};$
 $T = \{J. \text{ideal } R \ J \wedge S \cap J = \{\} \wedge I \subseteq J\} \rrbracket \implies \exists \text{ mx. maximal-set } T \ \text{mx}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *contid-maximal*: $\llbracket S = \{1_r\}; \mathbf{0} \notin S; \text{ideal } R \ I; I \cap S = \{\};$
 $T = \{J. \text{ideal } R \ J \wedge S \cap J = \{\} \wedge I \subseteq J\}; \text{maximal-set } T \ \text{mx} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{maximal-ideal } R \ \text{mx}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-contained-maxid*: $\llbracket \neg (\text{zeroring } R); \text{ideal } R \ I; 1_r \notin I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists \text{ mx. maximal-ideal } R \ \text{mx} \wedge I \subseteq \text{mx}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *nonunit-principal-id*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \neg (\text{Unit } R \ a) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(R \diamond_p a) \neq (\text{carrier } R)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *nonunit-contained-maxid*: $\llbracket \neg(\text{zeroring } R); a \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\neg \text{Unit } R \ a \rrbracket \implies \exists mx. \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx \wedge a \in mx$

<proof>

definition

local-ring :: $- \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

local-ring $R == \text{Ring } R \wedge \neg \text{zeroring } R \wedge \text{card } \{mx. \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx\} = 1$

lemma (in *Ring*) *local-ring-diff*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; \text{ideal } R \ mx; mx \neq \text{carrier } R;$
 $\forall a \in (\text{carrier } R - mx). \text{Unit } R \ a \rrbracket \implies \text{local-ring } R \wedge \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *localring-unit*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx;$
 $\forall x. x \in mx \longrightarrow \text{Unit } R \ (x \pm 1_r) \rrbracket \implies \text{local-ring } R$

<proof>

definition

J-rad :: $- \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**

J-rad $R = (\text{if } (\text{zeroring } R) \text{ then } (\text{carrier } R) \text{ else}$
 $\bigcap \{mx. \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx\})$

lemma (in *Ring*) *zeroring-J-rad-empty*: $\text{zeroring } R \implies J\text{-rad } R = \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *J-rad-mem*: $x \in J\text{-rad } R \implies x \in \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *J-rad-unit*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; x \in J\text{-rad } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall y. (y \in \text{carrier } R \longrightarrow \text{Unit } R \ (1_r \pm (-_a \ x) \cdot_r \ y))$

<proof>

end

theory *Algebra5* **imports** *Algebra4* **begin**

4.6 Operation of ideals

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-sumTr1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $A \mp B = \bigcap \{J. \text{ideal } R \ J \wedge (A \cup B) \subseteq J\}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-ideals-commute*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $A \mp B = B \mp A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-mono1*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C ;
 $A \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies A \diamond_r C \subseteq B \diamond_r C$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-mono2*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C ;
 $A \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies C \diamond_r A \subseteq C \diamond_r B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *cont-ideal-prod*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R C ;
 $A \subseteq C$; $B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies A \diamond_r B \subseteq C$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-distrib*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; ideal R $C \rrbracket \implies$
 $A \diamond_r (B \mp C) = A \diamond_r B \mp A \diamond_r C$

<proof>

definition

coprime-ideals: \llbracket -, 'a set, 'a set $\rrbracket \implies$ bool **where**
coprime-ideals R A $B \longleftrightarrow A \mp_R B = \text{carrier } R$

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprimeTr*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R $B \rrbracket \implies$
coprime-ideals R A $B = (\exists a \in A. \exists b \in B. a \pm b = 1_r)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-int-prod*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; *coprime-ideals* R A $B \rrbracket$
 $\implies A \cap B = A \diamond_r B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-elems*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; *coprime-ideals* R A $B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists a \in A. \exists b \in B. a \pm b = 1_r$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-elemsTr*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; $a \in A$; $b \in B$; $a \pm b = 1_r \rrbracket$

$$\implies \text{pj } R \ A \ b = 1_r(\text{qring } R \ A) \wedge \text{pj } R \ B \ a = 1_r(\text{qring } R \ B)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *partition-of-unity*: \llbracket ideal R A ; $a \in A$; $b \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $a \pm b = 1_r$; $u \in \text{carrier } R$; $v \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{pj } R \ A \ (a \cdot_r v \pm b \cdot_r u) = \text{pj } R \ A \ u$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprimes-commute*: \llbracket ideal R A ; ideal R B ; *coprime-ideals* R A B
 \rrbracket

$$\implies \text{coprime-ideals } R \ B \ A$$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) coprime-surjTr: \llbracket ideal R A; ideal R B; coprime-ideals R A B;
 $X \in \text{carrier } (\text{qring } R A); Y \in \text{carrier } (\text{qring } R B) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. \text{pj } R A r = X \wedge \text{pj } R B r = Y$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) coprime-n-idealsTr0: \llbracket ideal R A; ideal R B; ideal R C;
 coprime-ideals R A C; coprime-ideals R B C $\rrbracket \implies$
 coprime-ideals R (A \diamond_r B) C
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) coprime-n-idealsTr1:ideal R C \implies
 $(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge (\forall i \leq n. \text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) C) \longrightarrow$
 coprime-ideals R ($i\Pi_{R,n} J$) C
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) coprime-n-idealsTr2: \llbracket ideal R C; $(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k));$
 $(\forall i \leq n. \text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) C) \rrbracket \implies$
 coprime-ideals R ($i\Pi_{R,n} J$) C
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) coprime-n-idealsTr3: $(\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). i \neq j \longrightarrow$
 coprime-ideals R (J i) (J j)) \longrightarrow coprime-ideals R ($i\Pi_{R,n} J$) (J (Suc n))
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) coprime-n-idealsTr4: \llbracket $(\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). i \neq j \longrightarrow$
 coprime-ideals R (J i) (J j)) $\rrbracket \implies$ coprime-ideals R ($i\Pi_{R,n} J$) (J (Suc n))
 <proof>

4.7 Direct product1, general case

definition

prod-tOp :: [$'i$ set, $'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'm)$ Ring-scheme] \Rightarrow
 $('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 prod-tOp I A = $(\lambda f \in \text{carr-prodag } I A. \lambda g \in \text{carr-prodag } I A.$
 $\lambda x \in I. (f x) \cdot_{r(A x)} (g x))$

definition

prod-one:: [$'i$ set, $'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'm)$ Ring-scheme] $\Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 prod-one I A == $\lambda x \in I. 1_{r(A x)}$

definition

prodrng :: [$'i$ set, $'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more)$ Ring-scheme] $\Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a)$ Ring **where**
 prodrng I A = $(\llbracket \text{carrier} = \text{carr-prodag } I A, \text{pop} = \text{prod-pOp } I A, \text{mop} =$
 $\text{prod-mOp } I A, \text{zero} = \text{prod-zero } I A, \text{tp} = \text{prod-tOp } I A,$

$un = \text{prod-one } I \ A \ \text{)} \ \text{)} \ \text{)}$

abbreviation

$\text{PRODRING } \langle (r\Pi\text{-} / \text{-}) \rangle [72,73]72 \ \text{where}$
 $r\Pi_I \ A == \text{prodr } I \ A$

definition

$\text{augm-func} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \ \text{set}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{where}$
 $\text{augm-func } n \ f \ A \ m \ g \ B = (\lambda i \in \{j. j \leq (n + m)\}. \text{if } i \leq n \ \text{then } f \ i \ \text{else}$
 $\text{if } (\text{Suc } n) \leq i \wedge i \leq n + m \ \text{then } g \ ((\text{sliden } (\text{Suc } n)) \ i) \ \text{else undefined})$

definition

$\text{ag-setfunc} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, \text{nat},$
 $\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \ \text{Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{set} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{set}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{set} \ \text{where}$
 $\text{ag-setfunc } n \ B1 \ m \ B2 \ X \ Y =$
 $\{f. \exists g. \exists h. (g \in X) \wedge (h \in Y) \wedge (f = (\text{augm-func } n \ g \ (\text{Un-carrier } \{j. j \leq n\} \ B1)$
 $\ m \ h \ (\text{Un-carrier } \{j. j \leq (m - 1)\} \ B2))))\}$

primrec

$\text{ac-fProd-Rg} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \ \text{Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{set}$

where

$f\text{prod-0}: \text{ac-fProd-Rg } 0 \ B = \text{carr-prodag } \{0::\text{nat}\} \ B$
 $| \text{fprod-n}: \text{ac-fProd-Rg } (\text{Suc } n) \ B = \text{ag-setfunc } n \ B \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{compose } \{0::\text{nat}\}$
 $\ B \ (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))) \ (\text{carr-prodag } \{j. j \leq n\} \ B) \ (\text{carr-prodag } \{0\} \ (\text{compose } \{0\} \ B$
 $\ (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))))$

definition

$\text{prodB1} :: [('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}) \ \text{where}$
 $\text{prodB1 } R \ S = (\lambda k. \text{if } k=0 \ \text{then } R \ \text{else if } k=\text{Suc } 0 \ \text{then } S \ \text{else}$
 $\text{undefined})$

definition

$\text{Prod2Rg} :: [('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ \text{Ring} \ (\text{infixl } \langle \bigoplus_r \rangle \ 80) \ \text{where}$
 $A1 \ \bigoplus_r \ A2 = \text{prodr } \{0, \text{Suc } 0\} \ (\text{prodB1 } A1 \ A2)$

Don't try $(\text{Prod-ring } (\text{Nset } n) \ B) \ \bigoplus_r \ (B \ (\text{Suc } n))$

lemma $\text{carr-prodr-mem-eq}: [f \in \text{carrier } (r\Pi_I \ A); g \in \text{carrier } (r\Pi_I \ A);$
 $\forall i \in I. f \ i = g \ i] \Longrightarrow f = g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{prod-tOp-mem}: [\forall k \in I. \ \text{Ring } (A \ k); X \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A;$
 $Y \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A] \Longrightarrow \text{prod-tOp } I \ A \ X \ Y \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-tOp-func*: $\forall k \in I. Ring (A k) \implies$
 $prod-tOp I A \in carr-prodag I A \rightarrow carr-prodag I A \rightarrow carr-prodag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-one-func*: $\forall k \in I. Ring (A k) \implies$
 $prod-one I A \in carr-prodag I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prodrng-carrier*: $\forall k \in I. Ring (A k) \implies$
 $carrier (prodrng I A) = carrier (prodag I A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prodrng-ring*: $\forall k \in I. Ring (A k) \implies Ring (prodrng I A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prodrng-elem-extensional*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. Ring (A k); f \in carrier (prodrng I A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies f \in extensional I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prodrng-pOp*: $\forall k \in I. Ring (A k) \implies$
 $pop (prodrng I A) = prod-pOp I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prodrng-mOp*: $\forall k \in I. Ring (A k) \implies$
 $mop (prodrng I A) = prod-mOp I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prodrng-zero*: $\forall k \in I. Ring (A k) \implies$
 $zero (prodrng I A) = prod-zero I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prodrng-tOp*: $\forall k \in I. Ring (A k) \implies$
 $tp (prodrng I A) = prod-tOp I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prodrng-one*: $\forall k \in I. Ring (A k) \implies$
 $un (prodrng I A) = prod-one I A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prodrng-sameTr5*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. Ring (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket$
 $\implies prod-tOp I A = prod-tOp I B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prodrng-sameTr6*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. Ring (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket$
 $\implies prod-one I A = prod-one I B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prodrgr-same*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A \ k); \forall k \in I. A \ k = B \ k \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{prodrgr } I \ A = \text{prodrgr } I \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prodrgr-component*: $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodrgr } I \ A); i \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \ i \in \text{carrier } (A \ i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *project-rhom*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A \ k); j \in I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{PProject } I \ A \ j \in \text{rHom } (\text{prodrgr } I \ A) (A \ j)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *augm-funcTr*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{Ring } (B \ k);$
 $f \in \text{carr-prodag } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $f = \text{augm-func } n \ (\text{restrict } f \ \{i. i \leq n\}) \ (\text{Un-carrier } \{i. i \leq n\} \ B) \ (\text{Suc } 0)$
 $(\lambda x \in \{0 :: \text{nat}\}. f \ (x + \text{Suc } n))$
 $(\text{Un-carrier } \{0\} \ (\text{compose } \{0\} \ B \ (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *A-to-prodag-mem*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (B \ k); \forall k \in I. (S \ k) \in$
 $\text{rHom } A \ (B \ k); x \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies A\text{-to-prodag } A \ I \ S \ B \ x \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *A-to-prodag-rHom*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (B \ k); \forall k \in I. (S \ k) \in$
 $\text{rHom } A \ (B \ k) \rrbracket \implies A\text{-to-prodag } A \ I \ S \ B \in \text{rHom } A \ (\text{r}\Pi_I \ B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ac-fProd-ProdTr1*: $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{Ring } (B \ k) \implies$
 $\text{ag-setfunc } n \ B \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{compose } \{0 :: \text{nat}\} \ B \ (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n)))$
 $(\text{carr-prodag } \{i. i \leq n\} \ B) \ (\text{carr-prodag } \{0\})$
 $(\text{compose } \{0\} \ B \ (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))) \subseteq \text{carr-prodag } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ac-fProd-Prod*: $\forall k \leq n. \text{Ring } (B \ k) \implies$
 $\text{ac-fProd-Rg } n \ B = \text{carr-prodag } \{j. j \leq n\} \ B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A direct product of a finite number of rings defined with *ac-fProd-Rg* is equal to that defined by using *carr-prodag*.

definition

$f\text{prodrgr} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $([\text{carrier} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \text{set}, \text{pop} :: [(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a), (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)]$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a), \text{mop} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a), \text{zero} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a),$
 $\text{tp} :: [(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a), (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a), \text{un} :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \ \text{where}$

$f\text{prodrgr } n \ B = ([\text{carrier} = \text{ac-fProd-Rg } n \ B,$
 $\text{pop} = \lambda f. \lambda g. \text{prod-pOp } \{i. i \leq n\} \ B \ f \ g, \text{mop} = \lambda f. \text{prod-mOp } \{i. i \leq n\} \ B \ f,$
 $\text{zero} = \text{prod-zero } \{i. i \leq n\} \ B, \text{tp} = \lambda f. \lambda g. \text{prod-tOp } \{i. i \leq n\} \ B \ f \ g,$

$$un = \text{prod-one } \{i. i \leq n\} B \text{)}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} fPProject &:: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{nat}] \\ &\Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \textbf{ where} \\ fPProject \ n \ B \ x &= (\lambda f \in \text{ac-fProd-Rg } n \ B. f \ x) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *fprodring-ring*: $\forall k \leq n. \text{Ring } (B \ k) \implies \text{Ring } (fprodring \ n \ B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

4.8 Chinese remainder theorem

lemma *Chinese-remTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \forall k \leq (n::\text{nat}). \text{ideal } A \ (J \ k);$
 $\forall k \leq n. B \ k = \text{qring } A \ (J \ k); \forall k \leq n. S \ k = \text{pj } A \ (J \ k) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ker } A, (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq n\}} B) \ (A\text{-to-prodag } A \ \{j. j \leq n\} \ S \ B) =$
 $\bigcap \{I. \exists k \in \{j. j \leq n\}. I = (J \ k)\}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-prod-int2Tr*:

$$\begin{aligned} &((\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R \ (J \ k)) \wedge \\ &(\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). (i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{coprime-ideals } R \ (J \ i) \ (J \ j)))) \\ &\longrightarrow (\bigcap \{I. \exists k \leq (\text{Suc } n). I = (J \ k)\} = \text{ideal-n-prod } R \ (\text{Suc } n) \ J) \end{aligned}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-prod-int2*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R \ (J \ k);$
 $\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). (i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{coprime-ideals } R \ (J \ i) \ (J \ j)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\bigcap \{I. \exists k \leq (\text{Suc } n). I = (J \ k)\} = \text{ideal-n-prod } R \ (\text{Suc } n) \ J)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *coprime-2-n*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B \rrbracket \implies$

$$(\text{qring } R \ A) \oplus_r (\text{qring } R \ B) = r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\}} (\text{prodB1 } (\text{qring } R \ A) (\text{qring } R \ B))$$

⟨proof⟩

In this and following lemmata, ideals A and B are of type ('a, 'more) *RingType-scheme*. Don't try $(r\Pi_{(\text{Nset } n)} B) \oplus_r B \ (\text{Suc } n)$

lemma (in *Ring*) *A-to-prodag2-hom*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B; S \ 0 = \text{pj } R \ A;$

$$\begin{aligned} &S \ (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{pj } R \ B \rrbracket \implies \\ &A\text{-to-prodag } R \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} \ S \ (\text{prodB1 } (\text{qring } R \ A) (\text{qring } R \ B)) \in \\ &r\text{Hom } R \ (\text{qring } R \ A \oplus_r \text{qring } R \ B) \end{aligned}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *A2coprime-rsurjecTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B; S \ 0 = \text{pj } R \ A;$

$$\begin{aligned} &S \ (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{pj } R \ B \rrbracket \implies \\ &(\text{carrier } (\text{qring } R \ A \oplus_r \text{qring } R \ B)) = \\ &\text{carr-prodag } \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} \ (\text{prodB1 } (\text{qring } R \ A) (\text{qring } R \ B)) \end{aligned}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *A2coprime-rsurjec*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; ideal $R B$; $S 0 = pj R A$;
 $S (Suc 0) = pj R B$; coprime-ideals $R A B$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $surjec_{R, ((qring R A) \oplus_r (qring R B))}$
 $(A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (Suc 0)\} S (prodB1 (qring R A) (qring R B)))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *prod2-n-Tr1*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (Suc 0). \text{ideal } R (J k)$;
 $\forall k \leq (Suc 0). B k = qring R (J k)$;
 $\forall k \leq (Suc 0). S k = pj R (J k) \rrbracket \implies$
 $A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (Suc 0)\} S$
 $(prodB1 (qring R (J 0)) (qring R (J (Suc 0)))) =$
 $A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (Suc 0)\} S B$
 <proof>

lemma (in aGroup) *restrict-prod-Suc*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (Suc (Suc n)). \text{ideal } R (J k)$;
 $\forall k \leq (Suc (Suc n)). B k = R /_r J k$;
 $\forall k \leq (Suc (Suc n)). S k = pj R (J k)$;
 $f \in \text{carrier } (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (Suc (Suc n))\}} B) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{restrict } f \{j. j \leq (Suc n)\} \in \text{carrier } (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (Suc n)\}} B)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Chinese-remTr2*: $(\forall k \leq (Suc n). \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge$
 $(\forall k \leq (Suc n). B k = qring R (J k)) \wedge$
 $(\forall k \leq (Suc n). S k = pj R (J k)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \leq (Suc n). \forall j \leq (Suc n). (i \neq j \longrightarrow$
 $\text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) (J j))) \longrightarrow$
 $surjec_{R, (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (Suc n)\}} B)}$
 $(A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (Suc n)\} S B)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Chinese-remTr3*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (Suc n). \text{ideal } R (J k)$;
 $\forall k \leq (Suc n). B k = qring R (J k)$; $\forall k \leq (Suc n). S k = pj R (J k)$;
 $\forall i \leq (Suc n). \forall j \leq (Suc n). (i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) (J j)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $surjec_{R, (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (Suc n)\}} B)}$
 $(A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (Suc n)\} S B)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *imset*: $\llbracket \forall k \leq (Suc n). \text{ideal } R (J k) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \{I. \exists k \leq (Suc n). I = J k\} = \{J k \mid k. k \in \{j. j \leq (Suc n)\}\}$
 <proof>

theorem (in Ring) *Chinese-remThm*: $\llbracket (\forall k \leq (Suc n). \text{ideal } R (J k))$;
 $\forall k \leq (Suc n). B k = qring R (J k)$; $\forall k \leq (Suc n). S k = pj R (J k)$;
 $\forall i \leq (Suc n). \forall j \leq (Suc n). (i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) (J j)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{bijec}(qring R (\bigcap \{J k \mid k. k \in \{j. j \leq (Suc n)\}\}), (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (Suc n)\}} B))$
 $((A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (Suc n)\} S B)^\circ_{R, (prodrg \{j. j \leq (Suc n)\} B)})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) prod-prime: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{prime-ideal } R \ (P \ k);$
 $\forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). \neg (A \subseteq P \ l);$
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). k = l \vee \neg (P \ k) \subseteq (P \ l) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). (\text{nprod } R \ (\text{ppa } R \ P \ A \ i) \ n \in A \wedge$
 $(\forall l \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\}. \text{nprod } R \ (\text{ppa } R \ P \ A \ i) \ n \in P \ l) \wedge$
 $(\text{nprod } R \ (\text{ppa } R \ P \ A \ i) \ n \notin P \ i))$
 <proof>

lemma skip-im1: $\llbracket i \leq (\text{Suc } n); P \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (\text{prime-ideal } R) \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{compose } \{j. j \leq n\} \ P \ (\text{skip } i) \ ' \ \{j. j \leq n\} = P \ ' \ (\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) mutch-aux1: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; i \leq (\text{Suc } n);$
 $P \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (\text{prime-ideal } R) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compose } \{j. j \leq n\} \ P \ (\text{skip } i) \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (\text{prime-ideal } R)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) prime-ideal-cont1Tr: $\text{ideal } R \ A \implies$
 $\forall P. ((P \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{X. \text{prime-ideal } R \ X\}) \wedge$
 $(A \subseteq \bigcup (P \ ' \ \{j. j \leq n\}))) \longrightarrow (\exists i \leq n. A \subseteq (P \ i))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) prime-ideal-cont1: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \forall i \leq (n::\text{nat}).$
 $\text{prime-ideal } R \ (P \ i); A \subseteq \bigcup \{X. (\exists i \leq n. X = (P \ i))\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists i \leq n. A \subseteq (P \ i)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) prod-n-ideal-contTr0: $(\forall l \leq n. \text{ideal } R \ (J \ l)) \longrightarrow$
 $i\Pi_{R,n} \ J \subseteq \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J \ k))\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) prod-n-ideal-contTr: $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. \text{ideal } R \ (J \ l) \rrbracket \implies$
 $i\Pi_{R,n} \ J \subseteq \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J \ k))\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) prod-n-ideal-cont2: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). \text{ideal } R \ (J \ l);$
 $\text{prime-ideal } R \ P; \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J \ k))\} \subseteq P \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists l \leq n. (J \ l) \subseteq P$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) prod-n-ideal-cont3: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). \text{ideal } R \ (J \ l);$
 $\text{prime-ideal } R \ P; \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J \ k))\} = P \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists l \leq n. (J \ l) = P$
 <proof>

definition
 ideal-quotient :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**

ideal-quotient $R A B = \{x \mid x. x \in \text{carrier } R \wedge (\forall b \in B. x \cdot_R b \in A)\}$

abbreviation

IDEALQT ($\langle \text{?} / \text{!} / \text{-} \rangle$ [82,82,83]82) **where**
 $A \text{!}_R B == \text{ideal-quotient } R A B$

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-quotient-is-ideal*:

$\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R (\text{ideal-quotient } R A B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.9 Addition of finite elements of a ring and *ideal-multiplication*

We consider sum in an abelian group

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-mem1Tr*: $A +> J \implies$
 $(\forall j \leq n. f j \in J) \longrightarrow \text{nsum } A f n \in J$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *fSum-mem*: $\llbracket \forall j \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } n) m. f j \in \text{carrier } A; n < m \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{fSum } A f (\text{Suc } n) m \in \text{carrier } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-mem1*: $\llbracket A +> J; \forall j \leq n. f j \in J \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } A f n \in J$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-eq-i*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A; \forall j \leq n. g j \in \text{carrier } A;$
 $i \leq n; \forall l \leq i. f l = g l \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } A f i = \text{nsum } A g i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-cmp-eq*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A;$
 $h1 \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\}; h2 \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\}; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{cmp } h2 h1)) i = \text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } (\text{cmp } f h2) h1) i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-cmp-eq-transpos*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). f j \in \text{carrier } A;$
 $i \leq n; i \neq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } i n) (\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } n (\text{Suc } n)) (\text{transpos } i n))))$
 $(\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{transpos } i (\text{Suc } n))) (\text{Suc } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transpos-Tr-n1*: $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) \leq n \implies$
 $\text{transpos } (n - \text{Suc } 0) n n = n - \text{Suc } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transpos-Tr-n2*: $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) \leq n \implies$
 $\text{transpos } (n - (\text{Suc } 0)) n (n - (\text{Suc } 0)) = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *additionTr0*: $\llbracket 0 < n; \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{transpos } (n - 1) n)) n = \text{nsum } A f n$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *additionTr1*: $\llbracket \forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \wedge \text{inj-on } h \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f h) (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } n);$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A;$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\};$
 $\text{inj-on } h \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\}; h (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f h) (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *additionTr1-1*: $\llbracket \forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \wedge \text{inj-on } h \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f h) (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } n);$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{transpos } i (\text{Suc } n))) (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *additionTr1-2*: $\llbracket \forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \wedge$
 $\text{inj-on } h \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f h) (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } n);$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A; i \leq (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{transpos } i (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)))) (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) =$
 $\text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *additionTr2*: $\forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \wedge$
 $\text{inj-on } h \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \longrightarrow$
 $\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f h) (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *addition2*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A;$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; \text{inj-on } h \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f h) (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *addition21*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A;$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } h \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f h) n = \text{nsum } A f n$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *addition3*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). f j \in \text{carrier } A; j \leq (\text{Suc } n);$
 $j \neq \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n))) (\text{Suc } n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-splitTr*: $(\forall j \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m)). f j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow$
 $nsum A f (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = nsum A f n \pm (nsum A (\text{cmp } f (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))) m)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-split*: $\forall j \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m)). f j \in \text{carrier } A \implies$
 $nsum A f (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = nsum A f n \pm (nsum A (\text{cmp } f (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))) m)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-split1*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq m. f j \in \text{carrier } A; n < m \rrbracket \implies$
 $nsum A f m = nsum A f n \pm (fSum A f (\text{Suc } n) m)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-minusTr*: $(\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow$
 $-_a (nsum A f n) = nsum A (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -_a (f x)) n$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nsum-minus*: $\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A \implies$
 $-_a (nsum A f n) = nsum A (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -_a (f x)) n$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ring-nsum-zeroTr*: $(\forall j \leq (n::\text{nat}). f j \in \text{carrier } A) \wedge$
 $(\forall j \leq n. f j = \mathbf{0}) \longrightarrow nsum A f n = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ring-nsum-zero*: $\forall j \leq (n::\text{nat}). f j = \mathbf{0} \implies \Sigma_e A f n = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-nsum-1-nonzeroTr*:
 $\forall f. (\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A) \wedge$
 $(l \leq n \wedge (\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\} - \{l\}. f j = \mathbf{0}))$
 $\longrightarrow nsum A f n = f l$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-nsum-1-nonzero*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A; l \leq n;$
 $\forall j \in (\{j. j \leq n\} - \{l\}). f j = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies nsum A f n = f l$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition
set-mult :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
set-mult *R* *A* *B* = $\{z. \exists x \in A. \exists y \in B. x \cdot_r y = z\}$

definition
sum-mult :: $[-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
sum-mult *R* *A* *B* = $\{x. \exists n. \exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\}$
 $\rightarrow \text{set-mult } R A B. nsum R f n = x\}$

lemma (in Ring) *set-mult-sub*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{set-mult } R \ A \ B \subseteq \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *set-mult-mono*: $\llbracket A1 \subseteq \text{carrier } R; A2 \subseteq \text{carrier } R; A1 \subseteq A2;$
 $B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{set-mult } R \ A1 \ B \subseteq \text{set-mult } R \ A2 \ B$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-mult-Tr1*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B) \longrightarrow \text{nsum } R \ f \ n \in \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-mult-mem*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R;$
 $\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } R \ f \ n \in \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-mult-mem1*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R;$
 $x \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists n. \exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B. \text{nsum } R \ f \ n = x$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-mult-subR*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{sum-mult } R \ A \ B \subseteq \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *times-mem-sum-mult*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R;$
 $a \in A; b \in B \rrbracket \implies a \cdot_r b \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A \ B$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *mem-minus-sum-multTr2*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R;$
 $\forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies f \ i \in \text{carrier } R$

<proof>

lemma (in aGroup) *nsum-jointfun*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f \ j \in \text{carrier } A;$
 $\forall j \leq m. g \ j \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Sigma_e \ A \ (\text{jointfun } n \ f \ m \ g) \ (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = \Sigma_e \ A \ f \ n \pm (\Sigma_e \ A \ g \ m)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *sum-mult-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R;$
 $a \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A \ B; b \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies a \pm_R b \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A$

B

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *set-mult-mOp-closed*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R \ B;$
 $x \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies -_a \ x \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *set-mult-ring-times-closed*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R \ B;$
 $x \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B; r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies r \cdot_r \ x \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *set-mult-sub-sum-mult*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{set-mult } R A B \subseteq \text{sum-mult } R A B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-mult-pOp-closedn*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B) \longrightarrow \Sigma_e R f n \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *mem-minus-sum-multTr4*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B) \longrightarrow -_a (\text{sum } R f n) \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-mult-iOp-closed*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B;$
 $x \in \text{sum-mult } R A B \rrbracket \implies -_a x \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-mult-ring-multiplicationTr*:

$\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B; r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$(\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B) \longrightarrow r \cdot_r (\text{sum } R f n) \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-mult-ring-multiplication*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B;$
 $r \in \text{carrier } R; a \in \text{sum-mult } R A B \rrbracket \implies r \cdot_r a \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-sum-mult*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; A \neq \{\}; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R (\text{sum-mult } R A B)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-inc-set-multTr*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C;$
 $\text{set-mult } R A B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies$

$\forall f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R A B. \Sigma_e R f n \in C$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-inc-set-mult*: $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C;$
 $\text{set-mult } R A B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies \text{sum-mult } R A B \subseteq C$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *AB-inc-sum-mult*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{sum-mult } R A B \subseteq A \cap B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *sum-mult-is-ideal-prod*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{sum-mult } R A B = A \diamond_r B$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *ideal-prod-assocTr0*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C; y \in C;$

$z \in \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B \implies z \cdot_r y \in \text{sum-mult } R \ A \ (B \diamond_r C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-assocTr1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B; \text{ideal } R \ C; y \in C \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R \ A \ B. (\Sigma_e R \ f \ n) \cdot_r y \in A \diamond_r (B \diamond_r C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-quotient-idealTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B; \text{ideal } R \ C;$
 $x \in \text{carrier } R; \forall c \in C. x \cdot_r c \in \text{ideal-quotient } R \ A \ B \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R \ B \ C \longrightarrow x \cdot_r (\text{nsum } R \ f \ n) \in A$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-quotient-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B; \text{ideal } R \ C \rrbracket \implies$
 $A \dagger_R B \dagger_R C = A \dagger_R B \diamond_r C$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-assocTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B; \text{ideal } R \ C \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall f. (f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\}) \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R \ (A \diamond_r B) \ C \longrightarrow$
 $(\Sigma_e R \ f \ n) \in A \diamond_r (B \diamond_r C)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *ideal-prod-assoc*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B; \text{ideal } R \ C \rrbracket \implies$
 $(A \diamond_r B) \diamond_r C = A \diamond_r (B \diamond_r C)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prod-principal-idealTr0*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $z \in \text{set-mult } R \ (R \diamond_p a) \ (R \diamond_p b) \rrbracket \implies z \in R \diamond_p (a \cdot_r b)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prod-principal-idealTr1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R \ (R \diamond_p a) \ (R \diamond_p b).$
 $\Sigma_e R \ f \ n \in R \diamond_p (a \cdot_r b)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prod-principal-ideal*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(Rxa \ R \ a) \diamond_r (Rxa \ R \ b) = Rxa \ R \ (a \cdot_r b)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *principal-ideal-n-pow1*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $(Rxa \ R \ a) \diamond^{R \ n} = Rxa \ R \ (a \wedge^{R \ n})$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *principal-ideal-n-pow*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; I = Rxa \ R \ a \rrbracket \implies$
 $I \diamond^{R \ n} = Rxa \ R \ (a \wedge^{R \ n})$

⟨proof⟩

more about *ideal-n-prod*

lemma (in Ring) *nprod-eqTr*: $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f j = g j) \longrightarrow$
 $n\text{prod } R f n = n\text{prod } R g n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *nprod-eq*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } R; \forall j \leq n. g j \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $(\forall j \leq (n::nat). f j = g j) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow n\text{prod } R f n = n\text{prod } R g n$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

mprod-expR :: $(('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow 'b$ **where**
mprod-expR $R e f n = n\text{prod } R (\lambda j. ((f j) \sim^R (e j))) n$

lemma (in Ring) *mprodR-Suc*: $\llbracket e \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\};$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $m\text{prod-expR } R e f (\text{Suc } n) =$
 $(m\text{prod-expR } R e f n) \cdot_r ((f (\text{Suc } n)) \sim^R (e (\text{Suc } n)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *mprod-expR-memTr*: $e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\} \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \longrightarrow m\text{prod-expR } R e f n \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *mprod-expR-mem*: $\llbracket e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\};$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow m\text{prod-expR } R e f n \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prod-n-principal-idealTr*: $e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\} \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge (\forall k \leq n. J k = (Rxa R (f k)) \diamond^R (e k)) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{ideal-n-prod } R n J = Rxa R (m\text{prod-expR } R e f n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prod-n-principal-ideal*: $\llbracket e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\};$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \forall k \leq n. J k = (Rxa R (f k)) \diamond^R (e k) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{ideal-n-prod } R n J = Rxa R (m\text{prod-expR } R e f n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Idomain) *a-notin-n-pow1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \neg \text{Unit } R a; a \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 < n \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow a \notin (Rxa R a) \diamond^R (\text{Suc } n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Idomain) *a-notin-n-pow2*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \neg \text{Unit } R a; a \neq \mathbf{0};$
 $0 < n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow a \sim^R n \notin (Rxa R a) \diamond^R (\text{Suc } n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *n-pow-not-prime*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; a \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 < n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \neg \text{prime-ideal } R ((Rxa \ R \ a) \diamond^R (\text{Suc } n))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *principal-pow-prime-condTr*:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; a \neq \mathbf{0}; \text{prime-ideal } R ((Rxa \ R \ a) \diamond^R (\text{Suc } n)) \rrbracket \implies n = 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Idomain*) *principal-pow-prime-cond*:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; a \neq \mathbf{0}; \text{prime-ideal } R ((Rxa \ R \ a) \diamond^R n) \rrbracket \implies n = \text{Suc } 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

4.10 Extension and contraction

locale *TwoRings* = *Ring* +
 fixes *R'* (**structure**)
 assumes *secondR*: *Ring R'*

definition
i-contract :: [*'a* \Rightarrow *'b*, (*'a*, *'m1*) *Ring-scheme*, (*'b*, *'m2*) *Ring-scheme*,
'b set] \Rightarrow *'a set* **where**
i-contract *f* *R* *R'* *J* = *invim* *f* (*carrier* *R*) *J*

definition
i-extension :: [*'a* \Rightarrow *'b*, (*'a*, *'m1*) *Ring-scheme*, (*'b*, *'m2*) *Ring-scheme*,
'a set] \Rightarrow *'b set* **where**
i-extension *f* *R* *R'* *I* = *sum-mult* *R'* (*f* ' *I*) (*carrier* *R'*)

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-contract-sub*: $\llbracket f \in r\text{Hom } R \ R'; \text{ideal } R' \ J \rrbracket \implies$
 $(i\text{-contract } f \ R \ R' \ J) \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-contract-ideal*: $\llbracket f \in r\text{Hom } R \ R'; \text{ideal } R' \ J \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R \ (i\text{-contract } f \ R \ R' \ J)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-contract-mono*: $\llbracket f \in r\text{Hom } R \ R'; \text{ideal } R' \ J1; \text{ideal } R' \ J2;$
 $J1 \subseteq J2 \rrbracket \implies i\text{-contract } f \ R \ R' \ J1 \subseteq i\text{-contract } f \ R \ R' \ J2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-contract-prime*: $\llbracket f \in r\text{Hom } R \ R'; \text{prime-ideal } R' \ P \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{prime-ideal } R \ (i\text{-contract } f \ R \ R' \ P)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-extension-ideal*: $\llbracket f \in r\text{Hom } R \ R'; \text{ideal } R \ I \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ideal } R' \ (i\text{-extension } f \ R \ R' \ I)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *i-extension-mono*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ R\ R';\ ideal\ R\ I1;\ ideal\ R\ I2;\ I1 \subseteq I2 \rrbracket \implies (i\text{-extension}\ f\ R\ R'\ I1) \subseteq (i\text{-extension}\ f\ R\ R'\ I2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *e-c-inc-self*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ R\ R';\ ideal\ R\ I \rrbracket \implies I \subseteq i\text{-contract}\ f\ R\ R'\ (i\text{-extension}\ f\ R\ R'\ I)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *c-e-incd-self*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ R\ R';\ ideal\ R'\ J \rrbracket \implies i\text{-extension}\ f\ R\ R'\ (i\text{-contract}\ f\ R\ R'\ J) \subseteq J$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *c-e-c-eq-c*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ R\ R';\ ideal\ R'\ J \rrbracket \implies i\text{-contract}\ f\ R\ R'\ (i\text{-extension}\ f\ R\ R'\ (i\text{-contract}\ f\ R\ R'\ J)) = i\text{-contract}\ f\ R\ R'\ J$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *TwoRings*) *e-c-e-eq-e*: $\llbracket f \in rHom\ R\ R';\ ideal\ R\ I \rrbracket \implies i\text{-extension}\ f\ R\ R'\ (i\text{-contract}\ f\ R\ R'\ (i\text{-extension}\ f\ R\ R'\ I)) = i\text{-extension}\ f\ R\ R'\ I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.11 Complete system of representatives

definition

csrp-fn :: $[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ \mathbf{where}$
csrp-fn *R* *I* = $(\lambda x \in \text{carrier}\ (R /_r\ I). (if\ x = I\ then\ \mathbf{0}_R\ \text{else}\ \mathbf{SOME}\ y.\ y \in x))$

definition

csrp :: $[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ set\ \mathbf{where}$
csrp *R* *I* == $(\text{csrp-fn}\ R\ I)\ '(\text{carrier}\ (R /_r\ I))$

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-mem*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I;\ a \in \text{carrier}\ R \rrbracket \implies \text{csrp-fn}\ R\ I\ (a \uplus_R\ I) \in a \uplus_R\ I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-same*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I;\ a \in \text{carrier}\ R \rrbracket \implies \text{csrp-fn}\ R\ I\ (a \uplus_R\ I) \uplus_R\ I = a \uplus_R\ I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-mem1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I;\ x \in \text{carrier}\ (R /_r\ I) \rrbracket \implies \text{csrp-fn}\ R\ I\ x \in x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *csrp-fn-mem*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I;\ x \in \text{carrier}\ (R /_r\ I) \rrbracket \implies$

$$(c\text{srp-fn } R \ I \ x) \in \text{carrier } R$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *c srp-eq-coset*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; x \in \text{carrier } (R /_r I) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(c\text{srp-fn } R \ I \ x) \uplus_R I = x$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *c srp-nz-nz*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; x \in \text{carrier } (R /_r I);$
 $x \neq \mathbf{0}_{(R /_r I)} \rrbracket \implies (c\text{srp-fn } R \ I \ x) \neq \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *c srp-diff-in-vpr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \pm (-_a (c\text{srp-fn } R \ I \ (pj \ R \ I \ x))) \in I$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *c srp-pj*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; x \in \text{carrier } (R /_r I) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(pj \ R \ I) (c\text{srp-fn } R \ I \ x) = x$

<proof>

4.12 Polynomial ring

In this section, we treat a ring of polynomials over a ring *S*. Numbers are of type *ant*

definition

pol-coeff :: $[(\ 'a, \ 'more) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, \ \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \ 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
pol-coeff *S* *c* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) \ j \in \text{carrier } S)$

definition

c-max :: $[(\ 'a, \ 'more) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, \ \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \ 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
c-max *S* *c* = (if $\{j. j \leq (\text{fst } c) \wedge (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S\} = \{\}$ then 0 else
 $n\text{-max } \{j. j \leq (\text{fst } c) \wedge (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S\}$)

definition

polyn-expr :: $[(\ 'a, \ 'more) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, \ 'a, \ \text{nat}, \ \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \ 'a)] \Rightarrow \ 'a$ **where**
polyn-expr *R* *X* *k* *c* == *nsum* *R* $(\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) \ j) \cdot_r R (X^{\wedge R} j)) \ k$

definition

algfree-cond :: $[(\ 'a, \ 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, (\ 'a, \ 'm1) \ \text{Ring-scheme},$
 $\ 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
algfree-cond *R* *S* *X* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall c. \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge (\forall k \leq (\text{fst } c).$
 $(\text{nsum } R \ (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) \ j) \cdot_r R (X^{\wedge R} j)) \ k = \mathbf{0}_R \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) \ j = \mathbf{0}_S)))$

locale *PolynRg* = *Ring* +

fixes *S* (**structure**)

fixes *X* (**structure**)

assumes *X-mem-R*: $X \in \text{carrier } R$

and *not-zeroring*: \neg *zeroring* S
and *subring*: *Subring* $R S$
and *algfree*: *algfree-cond* $R S X$
and *S-X-generate*: $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $\exists f. \text{pol-coeff } S f \wedge x = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } f) f$

4.13 Addition and multiplication of *polyn-exprs*

4.13.1 Simple properties of a *polyn-ring*

lemma *Subring-subset*: *Subring* $R S \implies \text{carrier } S \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
<proof>

lemma (*in Ring*) *subring-Ring*: *Subring* $R S \implies \text{Ring } S$
<proof>

lemma (*in Ring*) *mem-subring-mem-ring*: $\llbracket \text{Subring } R S; x \in \text{carrier } S \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \in \text{carrier } R$
<proof>

lemma (*in Ring*) *Subring-pOp-ring-pOp*: $\llbracket \text{Subring } R S; a \in \text{carrier } S;$
 $b \in \text{carrier } S \rrbracket \implies a \pm_S b = a \pm b$
<proof>

lemma (*in Ring*) *Subring-tOp-ring-tOp*: $\llbracket \text{Subring } R S; a \in \text{carrier } S;$
 $b \in \text{carrier } S \rrbracket \implies a \cdot_{rS} b = a \cdot_r b$
<proof>

lemma (*in Ring*) *Subring-one-ring-one*: *Subring* $R S \implies 1_{rS} = 1_r$
<proof>

lemma (*in Ring*) *Subring-zero-ring-zero*: *Subring* $R S \implies \mathbf{0}_S = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma (*in Ring*) *Subring-minus-ring-minus*: $\llbracket \text{Subring } R S; x \in \text{carrier } S \rrbracket$
 $\implies -_aS x = -_a x$
<proof>

lemma (*in PolynRg*) *Subring-pow-ring-pow*: $x \in \text{carrier } S \implies$
 $x \frown^S n = x \frown^R n$
<proof>

lemma (*in PolynRg*) *is-Ring*: *Ring* R *<proof>*

lemma (*in PolynRg*) *polyn-ring-nonzero*: $1_r \neq \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma (*in PolynRg*) *polyn-ring-S-nonzero*: $1_{rS} \neq \mathbf{0}_S$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-ring-X-nonzero*: $X \neq \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

4.13.2 Coefficients of a polynomial

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-split*: $\text{pol-coeff } S f = \text{pol-coeff } S (\text{fst } f, \text{snd } f)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-cartesian*: $\text{pol-coeff } S c \implies$
 $(\text{fst } c, \text{snd } c) = c$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *split-pol-coeff*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (k, \text{snd } c)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-pre*: $\text{pol-coeff } S ((\text{Suc } n), f) \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (n, f)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-le*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; n \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (n, (\text{snd } c))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-mem*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; j \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $((\text{snd } c) j) \in \text{carrier } S$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-mem-R*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; j \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket$
 $\implies ((\text{snd } c) j) \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *Slide-pol-coeff*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; n < (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (((\text{fst } c) - \text{Suc } n), (\lambda x. (\text{snd } c) (\text{Suc } (n + x))))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

4.13.3 Addition of *polyn-exprs*

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *monomial-mem*: $\text{pol-coeff } S c \implies$
 $\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) j \cdot_r X^{\sim R} j \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-mem*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X k c \in \text{carrier } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-exprs-eq*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; \text{pol-coeff } S d;$
 $k \leq (\min (\text{fst } c) (\text{fst } d)); \forall j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) j = (\text{snd } d) j \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X k c = \text{polyn-expr } R X k d$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr-restrict:pol-coeff* $S (Suc\ n, f) \implies$
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ n\ (Suc\ n, f) = polyn-expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n, f)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr-short:pol-coeff* $S\ c; k \leq (fst\ c) \implies$
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ k\ c = polyn-expr\ R\ X\ k\ (k, snd\ c)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr0:pol-coeff* $S\ c \implies$
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ 0\ c = (snd\ c)\ 0$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr-split:*
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ k\ f = polyn-expr\ R\ X\ k\ (fst\ f, snd\ f)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-Suc:Suc* $n \leq (fst\ c) \implies$
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ (Suc\ n)\ ((Suc\ n), (snd\ c)) =$
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ n\ c \pm ((snd\ c)\ (Suc\ n)) \cdot_r (X^{\wedge R}\ (Suc\ n))$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-Suc-split:pol-coeff* $S (Suc\ n, f) \implies$
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ (Suc\ n)\ ((Suc\ n), f) =$
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n, f) \pm (f\ (Suc\ n)) \cdot_r (X^{\wedge R}\ (Suc\ n))$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-n-m:pol-coeff* $S\ c; n < m; m \leq (fst\ c) \implies$
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ m\ (m, (snd\ c)) = polyn-expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n, (snd\ c)) \pm$
 $(fSum\ R\ (\lambda j. ((snd\ c)\ j) \cdot_r (X^{\wedge R}\ j))\ (Suc\ n)\ m)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-n-m1:pol-coeff* $S\ c; n < m; m \leq (fst\ c) \implies$
 $polyn-expr\ R\ X\ m\ c = polyn-expr\ R\ X\ n\ c \pm$
 $(fSum\ R\ (\lambda j. ((snd\ c)\ j) \cdot_r (X^{\wedge R}\ j))\ (Suc\ n)\ m)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-n-m-mem:pol-coeff* $S\ c; n < m; m \leq (fst\ c) \implies$
 $(fSum\ R\ (\lambda j. ((snd\ c)\ j) \cdot_r (X^{\wedge R}\ j))\ (Suc\ n)\ m) \in carrier\ R$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-n-ms-eq:pol-coeff* $S\ c; pol-coeff\ S\ d;$
 $m \leq \min\ (fst\ c)\ (fst\ d); n < m;$
 $\forall j \in nset\ (Suc\ n)\ m. (snd\ c)\ j = (snd\ d)\ j \implies$
 $(fSum\ R\ (\lambda j. ((snd\ c)\ j) \cdot_r (X^{\wedge R}\ j))\ (Suc\ n)\ m) =$
 $(fSum\ R\ (\lambda j. ((snd\ d)\ j) \cdot_r (X^{\wedge R}\ j))\ (Suc\ n)\ m)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-addTr*:

$$(pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (n,\ f)) \wedge (pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (n,\ g)) \longrightarrow \\ (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ f)) \pm (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ g)) = \\ nsum\ R\ (\lambda j.\ ((f\ j) \pm_S (g\ j)) \cdot_r (X^{\sim R} j))\ n$$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-add-n*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (n,\ f); pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (n,\ g) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$$(polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ f)) \pm (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ g)) = \\ nsum\ R\ (\lambda j.\ ((f\ j) \pm_S (g\ j)) \cdot_r (X^{\sim R} j))\ n$$

<proof>

definition

add-cf :: [*'a*, *'m*] *Ring-scheme*, $nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$, $nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$] \Rightarrow
 $nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**

add-cf *S c d* =

(if (*fst c*) < (*fst d*) then ((*fst d*), $\lambda j.$ (if $j \leq (fst\ c)$
then (((*snd c*) *j*) \pm_S ((*snd d*) *j*)) else ((*snd*
d) *j*)))
else if (*fst c*) = (*fst d*) then ((*fst c*), $\lambda j.$ ((*snd c*) *j* \pm_S (*snd d*) *j*))
else ((*fst c*), $\lambda j.$ (if $j \leq (fst\ d)$ then
((*snd c*) *j* \pm_S (*snd d*) *j*) else ((*snd c*) *j*))))

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *add-cf-pol-coeff*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ d \rrbracket$

$$\Longrightarrow pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (add\text{-}cf\ S\ c\ d)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *add-cf-len*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ d \rrbracket$

$$\Longrightarrow fst\ (add\text{-}cf\ S\ c\ d) = (max\ (fst\ c)\ (fst\ d))$$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr-restrict1*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (n,\ f);$

$pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (Suc\ (m + n),\ g) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$$polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (m + n)\ (add\text{-}cf\ S\ (n,\ f)\ (m + n,\ g)) =$$

$$polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (m + n)\ (m + n,\ snd\ (add\text{-}cf\ S\ (n,\ f)\ (Suc\ (m + n),\ g)))$$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-add-n1*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (n,\ f); pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (n,\ g) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$$(polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ f)) \pm (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n,\ g)) =$$

$$polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (add\text{-}cf\ S\ (n,\ f)\ (n,\ g))$$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *add-cf-val-hi*: $(fst\ c) < (fst\ d) \Longrightarrow$

$$snd\ (add\text{-}cf\ S\ c\ d)\ (fst\ d) = (snd\ d)\ (fst\ d)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *add-cf-commute*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ d \rrbracket$

$$\Longrightarrow \forall j \leq (max\ (fst\ c)\ (fst\ d)).\ snd\ (add\text{-}cf\ S\ c\ d)\ j =$$

$snd (add\text{-}cf\ S\ d\ c)\ j$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $polyn\text{-}addTr1:pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (n, f) \implies$
 $\forall g. pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (n + m, g) \longrightarrow$
 $(polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n, f) \pm (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (n + m)\ ((n + m), g))$
 $= polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (n + m)\ (add\text{-}cf\ S\ (n, f)\ ((n + m), g)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $polyn\text{-}add:pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (n, f); pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (m, g) \implies$
 $polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n, f) \pm (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ m\ (m, g))$
 $= polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (max\ n\ m)\ (add\text{-}cf\ S\ (n, f)\ (m, g))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $polyn\text{-}add1:pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ d \implies$
 $polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ c)\ c \pm (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ d)\ d)$
 $= polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (max\ (fst\ c)\ (fst\ d))\ (add\text{-}cf\ S\ c\ d)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $polyn\text{-}minus\text{-}nsum:pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; k \leq (fst\ c) \implies$
 $-_a\ (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ k\ c) = nsum\ R\ (\lambda j. ((-_a\ S\ ((snd\ c)\ j)) \cdot_r\ (X^{\wedge R}\ j)))\ k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $minus\text{-}pol\text{-}coeff:pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c \implies$
 $pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ ((fst\ c), (\lambda j. (-_a\ S\ ((snd\ c)\ j))))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $polyn\text{-}minus:pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; k \leq (fst\ c) \implies$
 $-_a\ (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ k\ c) =$
 $polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ k\ (fst\ c, (\lambda j. (-_a\ S\ ((snd\ c)\ j))))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$m\text{-}cf :: [('a, 'm)\ Ring\text{-}scheme, nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $m\text{-}cf\ S\ c = (fst\ c, (\lambda j. (-_a\ S\ ((snd\ c)\ j))))$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $m\text{-}cf\text{-}pol\text{-}coeff:pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c \implies$
 $pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (m\text{-}cf\ S\ c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $m\text{-}cf\text{-}len:pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c \implies$
 $fst\ (m\text{-}cf\ S\ c) = fst\ c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $polyn\text{-}minus\text{-}m\text{-}cf:pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; k \leq (fst\ c) \implies$
 $-_a\ (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ k\ c) =$
 $polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ k\ (m\text{-}cf\ S\ c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-zero-minus-zero*: $[[\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c)]] \implies$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c = \mathbf{0}) = (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ (\text{m-cf } S \ c) = \mathbf{0})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *coeff-0-pol-0*: $[[\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq \text{fst } c]] \implies$
 $(\forall j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) \ j = \mathbf{0}_S) = (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c = \mathbf{0})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

4.13.4 Multiplication of *pol-exprs*

4.13.5 Multiplication

definition

ext-cf :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow$
 $\text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
ext-cf $S \ n \ c = (n + \text{fst } c, \lambda i. \text{if } n \leq i \text{ then } (\text{snd } c) \ (\text{sliden } n \ i) \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_S)$

definition

sp-cf :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
sp-cf $S \ a \ c = (\text{fst } c, \lambda j. a \cdot_{rS} ((\text{snd } c) \ j))$

definition

special-cf :: $(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)$ $(\langle C_0 \rangle)$ **where**
 $C_0 \ S = (\mathbf{0}, \lambda j. \mathbf{1}_{rS})$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *special-cf-pol-coeff*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (C_0 \ S)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *special-cf-len*: $\text{fst } (C_0 \ S) = 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-pol-coeff*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ n \ c)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-len*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $\text{fst } (\text{ext-cf } S \ m \ c) = m + \text{fst } c$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-special-cf-len*: $\text{fst } (\text{ext-cf } S \ m \ (C_0 \ S)) = m$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-self*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). \text{snd } (\text{ext-cf } S \ 0 \ c) \ j = (\text{snd } c) \ j$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-hi*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $(\text{snd } c) \ (\text{fst } c) =$

$snd (ext\text{-}cf\ S\ n\ c) (n + (fst\ c))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-special-cf-hi*: $snd (ext\text{-}cf\ S\ n\ (C_0\ S))\ n = 1_{rS}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-lo-zero*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; 0 < n; x \leq (n - Suc\ 0) \rrbracket$
 $\implies snd (ext\text{-}cf\ S\ n\ c)\ x = \mathbf{0}_S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-special-cf-lo-zero*: $\llbracket 0 < n; x \leq (n - Suc\ 0) \rrbracket$
 $\implies snd (ext\text{-}cf\ S\ n\ (C_0\ S))\ x = \mathbf{0}_S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *sp-cf-pol-coeff*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; a \in carrier\ S \rrbracket \implies$
 $pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (sp\text{-}cf\ S\ a\ c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *sp-cf-len*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; a \in carrier\ S \rrbracket \implies$
 $fst (sp\text{-}cf\ S\ a\ c) = fst\ c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *sp-cf-val*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; j \leq (fst\ c); a \in carrier\ S \rrbracket \implies$
 $snd (sp\text{-}cf\ S\ a\ c)\ j = a \cdot_r S ((snd\ c)\ j)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-ext-cf-lo-zero*: $\llbracket pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; 0 < j \rrbracket \implies$
 $polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ (j - Suc\ 0)\ (ext\text{-}cf\ S\ j\ c) = \mathbf{0}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *monomial-d*: $pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c \implies$
 $polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ d\ (ext\text{-}cf\ S\ d\ c) = ((snd\ c)\ 0) \cdot_r X^{\wedge R}\ d$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *X-to-d*: $X^{\wedge R}\ d = polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ d\ (ext\text{-}cf\ S\ d\ (C_0\ S))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *c-max-ext-special-cf*: $c\text{-}max\ S\ (ext\text{-}cf\ S\ n\ (C_0\ S)) = n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *scalar-times-polynTr*: $a \in carrier\ S \implies$
 $\forall f. pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ (n, f) \longrightarrow$
 $a \cdot_r (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (n, f)) = polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (sp\text{-}cf\ S\ a\ (n, f))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *scalar-times-pol-expr*: $\llbracket a \in carrier\ S; pol\text{-}coeff\ S\ c; n \leq fst\ c \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \cdot_r (polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ c) = polyn\text{-}expr\ R\ X\ n\ (sp\text{-}cf\ S\ a\ c)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *sp-coeff-nonzero*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; a \in \text{carrier } S; a \neq \mathbf{0}_S; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S; j \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{snd } (\text{sp-cf } S \ a \ c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-cf-inductTr*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (\text{Suc } n, f) \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + j) \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ j \ (\text{Suc } n, f)) =$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + j) \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ j \ (n, f))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *low-deg-terms-zeroTr*:
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + j) \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ j \ (n, f)) =$
 $(X^{\sim R} \ j) \cdot_r \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *low-deg-terms-zero*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f) \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + j) \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ j \ (n, f)) =$
 $(X^{\sim R} \ j) \cdot_r \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *low-deg-terms-zero1*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ ((\text{fst } c) + j) \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ j \ c) =$
 $(X^{\sim R} \ j) \cdot_r \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr-tOpTr*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f) \implies$
 $\forall g. (\text{pol-coeff } S \ (m, g) \longrightarrow (\exists h. \text{pol-coeff } S \ ((n + m), h) \wedge$
 $h \ (n + m) = (f \ n) \cdot_{rS} (g \ m) \wedge$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + m) \ (n + m, h) =$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f)) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ m \ (m, g))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr-tOp*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f); \text{pol-coeff } S \ (m, g) \rrbracket \implies \exists e. \text{pol-coeff } S \ ((n + m), e) \wedge$
 $e \ (n + m) = (f \ n) \cdot_{rS} (g \ m) \wedge$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (n + m) \ (n + m, e) =$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, f)) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ m \ (m, g))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-expr-tOp-c*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists e. \text{pol-coeff } S \ e \wedge (\text{fst } e = \text{fst } c + \text{fst } d) \wedge$
 $(\text{snd } e) \ (\text{fst } e) = (\text{snd } c \ (\text{fst } c)) \cdot_{rS} (\text{snd } d) \ (\text{fst } d) \wedge$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } e) \ e =$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.14 The degree of a polynomial

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-degreeTr*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c = \mathbf{0}) = (\{j. j \leq k \wedge (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S\} = \{\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *higher-part-zero*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k < \text{fst } c;$
 $\forall j \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } k) \ (\text{fst } c). \ \text{snd } c \ j = \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Sigma_f \ R \ (\lambda j. \ \text{snd } c \ j \cdot_r \ X^{\sim R} j) \ (\text{Suc } k) \ (\text{fst } c) = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *coeff-nonzero-polyn-nonzero*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c \neq \mathbf{0}) = (\exists j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-unique*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0};$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c; (\text{snd } c) \ (\text{fst } c) \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ d; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d; (\text{snd } d) \ (\text{fst } d) \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{fst } c) = (\text{fst } d) \wedge (\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) \ j = (\text{snd } d) \ j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-unique2*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d;$
 $\text{fst } c = \text{fst } d \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d) =$
 $(\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) \ j = (\text{snd } d) \ j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-unique3*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d;$
 $\text{fst } c < \text{fst } d \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d) =$
 $(\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) \ j = (\text{snd } d) \ j) \wedge$
 $(\forall j \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } (\text{fst } c)) \ (\text{fst } d). (\text{snd } d) \ j = \mathbf{0}_S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-degree-unique*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d;$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-max } S \ c = c\text{-max } S \ d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ex-polyn-expr*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $\exists c. \ \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *c-max-eqTr0*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c);$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c; \exists j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies$
 $c\text{-max } S \ (k, \text{snd } c) = c\text{-max } S \ c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$cf\text{-sol} :: [('a, 'b) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a,$
 $\text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \textbf{ where}$
 $cf\text{-sol} R S X p c \iff \text{pol-coeff} S c \wedge (p = \text{polyn-expr} R X (\text{fst } c) c)$

definition

$deg\text{-n} :: [('a, 'b) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \textbf{ where}$
 $deg\text{-n} R S X p = c\text{-max} S (\text{SOME } c. cf\text{-sol} R S X p c)$

definition

$deg :: [('a, 'b) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{ant} \textbf{ where}$
 $deg R S X p = (\text{if } p = \mathbf{0}_R \text{ then } -\infty \text{ else } (\text{an } (deg\text{-n} R S X p)))$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $ex\text{-cf-sol}: p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $\exists c. cf\text{-sol} R S X p c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $deg\text{-in-aug-minf}: p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $deg R S X p \in Z_{-\infty}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $deg\text{-noninf}: p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $deg R S X p \neq \infty$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $deg\text{-ant-int}: [p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}]$
 $\implies deg R S X p = \text{ant } (\text{int } (deg\text{-n} R S X p))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $deg\text{-an}: [p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}]$
 $\implies deg R S X p = \text{an } (deg\text{-n} R S X p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $pol\text{-SOME-1}: p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $cf\text{-sol} R S X p (\text{SOME } f. cf\text{-sol} R S X p f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $pol\text{-SOME-2}: p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff} S (\text{SOME } c. cf\text{-sol} R S X p c) \wedge$
 $p = \text{polyn-expr} R X (\text{fst } (\text{SOME } c. cf\text{-sol} R S X p c))$
 $(\text{SOME } c. cf\text{-sol} R S X p c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) $coeff\text{-max-zeroTr}: \text{pol-coeff} S c \implies$
 $\forall j. j \leq (\text{fst } c) \wedge (c\text{-max} S c) < j \longrightarrow (\text{snd } c) j = \mathbf{0}_S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *coeff-max-nonzeroTr*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \exists j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies (\text{snd } c) \ (c\text{-max } S \ c) \neq \mathbf{0}_S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *coeff-max-bddTr*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies c\text{-max } S \ c \leq (\text{fst } c)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-max*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies \text{pol-coeff } S \ ((c\text{-max } S \ c), \text{snd } c)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-c-max*: $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (c\text{-max } S \ c) \ c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-deg-eq-c-max*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p = c\text{-max } S \ c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-deg-le-n*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p \leq (\text{fst } c)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-deg-le-n1*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c); p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p \leq k$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-len-gt-deg*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c; \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p < (\text{an } j); j \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies (\text{snd } c) \ j = \mathbf{0}_S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-diff-deg-less*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d; \text{fst } c = \text{fst } d; (\text{snd } c) \ (\text{fst } c) = (\text{snd } d) \ (\text{fst } d) \rrbracket \implies p \pm (-_a (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d)) = \mathbf{0} \vee \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm (-_a (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d))) < (\text{fst } c)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-pre-lt-deg*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p \leq (\text{fst } c); (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) \neq 0; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) \ c \rrbracket \implies (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ ((\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) - \text{Suc } 0) \ c)) < (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-deg-n*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; n \leq \text{fst } c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ c; (\text{snd } c) \ n \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p = n$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-deg*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists c. \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p \leq (\text{fst } c) \wedge$
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) \ c \wedge$
 $(\text{snd } c) \ (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) \neq \mathbf{0}_S$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-n-pos*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies 0 \leq \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-deg1*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; d = \text{na } (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists c. (\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ d \ c)$
<proof>

end

theory *Algebra6* **imports** *Algebra5* **begin**

definition

s-cf :: $[('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a]$
 $\implies \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \implies 'a)$ **where**
 $s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p = (\text{if } p = \mathbf{0}_R \text{ then } (0, \lambda j. \mathbf{0}_S) \text{ else}$
 $\text{SOME } c. (\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c \wedge$
 $(\text{snd } c) \ (\text{fst } c) \neq \mathbf{0}_S))$

definition

lcf :: $[('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a] \implies 'a$ **where**
 $\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ p = (\text{snd } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)) \ (\text{fst } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p))$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *lcf-val-0*: $\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_S$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *lcf-val*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ p = (\text{snd } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)) \ (\text{fst } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p))$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *s-cf-pol-coeff*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *lcf-mem*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies (\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ p) \in \text{carrier } S$
<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *s-cf-expr0*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p) \wedge$

$p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)) \ (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pos-deg-nonzero*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; 0 < \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p \rrbracket \implies$
 $p \neq \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *s-cf-expr*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p) \wedge$
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)) \ (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p) \wedge$
 $(\text{snd } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)) \ (\text{fst } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)) \neq \mathbf{0}_S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *lcf-nonzero*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ p \neq \mathbf{0}_S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *s-cf-deg*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p = \text{fst } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-edeg*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p \leq (\text{an } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists f. (\text{pol-coeff } S \ f \wedge \text{fst } f = d \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ d \ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-scf*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq \text{fst } c; \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall j \leq \text{fst } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c)).$
 $\text{snd } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c)) \ j = \text{snd } c \ j$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

scf-cond :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\ 'a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a,$
 $\text{nat}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
scf-cond $R \ S \ X \ p \ d \ c \longleftrightarrow \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge \text{fst } c = d \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ d \ c$

definition

scf-d :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\ 'a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a, \text{nat}]$
 $\Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
scf-d $R \ S \ X \ p \ d = (\text{SOME } f. \text{scf-cond } R \ S \ X \ p \ d \ f)$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *scf-d-polTr*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p \leq \text{an } d \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{scf-cond } R \ S \ X \ p \ d \ (\text{scf-d } R \ S \ X \ p \ d)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *scf-d-pol*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p \leq \text{an } d \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (\text{scf-d } R \ S \ X \ p \ d) \wedge \text{fst } (\text{scf-d } R \ S \ X \ p \ d) = d \wedge$
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ d \ (\text{scf-d } R \ S \ X \ p \ d)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-expr-of-X*:

$$X = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (C_0 \ S))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-n-of-X*: $\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ X = \text{Suc } 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-X:cf-sol* $R \ S \ X \ X \ c \implies$

$$\text{snd } c \ 0 = \mathbf{0}_S \wedge \text{snd } c \ (\text{Suc } 0) = 1_{r_S}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-of-deg0*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$

$$\implies (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p = 0) = (p \in \text{carrier } S)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pols-const*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \leq 0 \rrbracket \implies$

$$p \in \text{carrier } S$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *less-deg-add-nonzero*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0};$

$$q \in \text{carrier } R; q \neq \mathbf{0};$$

$$(\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) < (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-deg-add1*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R;$

$$q \neq \mathbf{0}; (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) < (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) = (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-deg-add2*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R;$

$$q \neq \mathbf{0}; p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}; (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) = (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-deg-add3*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R;$

$$q \neq \mathbf{0}; p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}; (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) \leq n; (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ q) \leq n \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq n$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-deg-add4*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$

$$(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \leq (an \ n); (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q) \leq (an \ n) \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq (an \ n)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-deg-add5*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$

$$(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \leq a; (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q) \leq a \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq a$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *lower-deg-part*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 < \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p - \text{Suc } 0)(\text{SOME } f. \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ f))$
 $< \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

ldeg-p :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\ 'a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, \text{nat}, 'a]$
 $\implies 'a$ **where**
 $\text{ldeg-p } R \ S \ X \ d \ p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ d \ (\text{scf-d } R \ S \ X \ p \ (\text{Suc } d))$

definition

hdeg-p :: $[(\ 'a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\ 'a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, \text{nat}, 'a]$
 $\implies 'a$ **where**
 $\text{hdeg-p } R \ S \ X \ d \ p = (\text{snd } (\text{scf-d } R \ S \ X \ p \ d) \ d) \cdot_{rR} (X^{R \ d})$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ldeg-p-mem*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{ldeg-p } R \ S \ X \ d \ p \in \text{carrier } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ldeg-p-zero*: $p = \mathbf{0}_R \implies \text{ldeg-p } R \ S \ X \ d \ p = \mathbf{0}_R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *hdeg-p-mem*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{hdeg-p } R \ S \ X \ (\text{Suc } d) \ p \in \text{carrier } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *hdeg-p-zero*: $p = \mathbf{0} \implies \text{hdeg-p } R \ S \ X \ (\text{Suc } d) \ p = \mathbf{0}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *decompos-p*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $p = (\text{ldeg-p } R \ S \ X \ d \ p) \pm (\text{hdeg-p } R \ S \ X \ (\text{Suc } d) \ p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-ldeg-p*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (\text{ldeg-p } R \ S \ X \ d \ p) \leq \text{an } d$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-minus-eq*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg-}n \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X (-_a p) = \text{deg-}n \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X p$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-minus-eq1*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $\text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X (-_a p) = \text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X p$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ldeg-p-pOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X p \leq \text{an } (Suc \text{ } d); \text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X q \leq \text{an } (Suc \text{ } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{ldeg-}p \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X d \text{ } p) \pm_R (\text{ldeg-}p \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X d \text{ } q) =$
 $\text{ldeg-}p \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X d (p \pm_R q)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *hdeg-p-pOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X p \leq \text{an } (Suc \text{ } d); \text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X q \leq \text{an } (Suc \text{ } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{hdeg-}p \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X (Suc \text{ } d) \text{ } p) \pm (\text{hdeg-}p \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X (Suc \text{ } d) \text{ } q) =$
 $\text{hdeg-}p \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X (Suc \text{ } d) (p \pm q)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ldeg-p-mOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X p \leq \text{an } (Suc \text{ } d) \rrbracket \implies$
 $-_a (\text{ldeg-}p \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X d \text{ } p) = \text{ldeg-}p \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X d (-_a p)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *hdeg-p-mOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X p \leq \text{an } (Suc \text{ } d) \rrbracket$
 $\implies -_a (\text{hdeg-}p \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X (Suc \text{ } d) \text{ } p) = \text{hdeg-}p \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X (Suc \text{ } d) (-_a p)$

<proof>

4.14.1 Multiplication of polynomials

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-mult-pols*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S;$
 $p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R; q \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $p \cdot_r q \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge$
 $\text{deg-}n \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X (p \cdot_r q) = \text{deg-}n \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X p + \text{deg-}n \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X q$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-mult-pols1*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X (p \cdot_r q) = \text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X p + \text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X q$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *const-times-polyn*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; c \in \text{carrier } S; c \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (c \cdot_r p) \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge$
 $\text{deg-}n \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X (c \cdot_r p) = \text{deg-}n \text{ } R \text{ } S \text{ } X p$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *p-times-monomial-nonzero*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(X \sim^R j) \cdot_r p \neq \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *p-times-monomial-nonzero1*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; p \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $p \neq \mathbf{0}; c \in \text{carrier } S; c \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies (c \cdot_r (X \sim^R j)) \cdot_r p \neq \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-ring-integral*: $\text{Idomain } S = \text{Idomain } R$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-to-X-d*: $\text{Idomain } S \implies \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (X \sim^R d) = d$
 <proof>

4.14.2 Degree with value in *aug-minf*

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *nonzero-deg-pos*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $0 \leq \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-minf-pol-0*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p = -\infty) = (p = \mathbf{0})$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-nonzero*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $(0 \leq \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) = (p \neq \mathbf{0})$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *minus-deg-in-aug-minf*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $-(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \in Z_{-\infty}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-of-X*: $\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ X = 1$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pol-deg-0*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p = 0) = (p \in \text{carrier } S)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-of-X2n*: $\text{Idomain } S \implies \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (X \sim^R n) = an \ n$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *add-pols-nonzero*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \neq (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-pols-add1*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) < (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) = \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q$$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-pols-add2*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) = (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *deg-pols-add3*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \leq \text{an } n; (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q) \leq \text{an } n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq \text{an } n$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *const-times-polyn1*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; p \in \text{carrier } R; c \in \text{carrier } S;$
 $c \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (c \cdot_r p) = \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p$

<proof>

4.15 Homomorphism of polynomial rings

definition

cf-h :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b)$ **where**
 $\text{cf-h } f = (\lambda c. (\text{fst } c, \text{cmp } f (\text{snd } c)))$

definition

polyn-Hom :: $[('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a,$
 $('b, 'n) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'n1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'b] \Rightarrow$
 $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ set}$
 $(\langle \text{pHom} \text{ - - -}, \text{ - - -} \rangle [67,67,67,67,67,68]67)$ **where**
 $\text{pHom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y = \{f. f \in \text{rHom } R \ A \wedge f'(\text{carrier } S) \subseteq \text{carrier } B \wedge$
 $f \ X = Y\}$

definition

erh :: $[('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a,$
 $('b, 'n) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'n1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'b, 'a \Rightarrow 'b,$
 $\text{nat}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow 'b$ **where**
 $\text{erh } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ f \ n \ c = \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ n \ (\text{cf-h } f \ c)$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-len*: $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in \text{rHom } S \ B;$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{fst } (\text{cf-h } f \ c) = \text{fst } c$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-coeff*: $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in \text{rHom } S \ B;$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (\text{cf-h } f \ c)$

<proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-cmp*: $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; \text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f); h \in \text{rHom } S$
 $B;$

$$j \leq n \rrbracket \implies$$

(*snd* (*cf-h h* (*n*, *f*))) *j* = (*cmp h f*) *j*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-special-cf*: \llbracket *PolynRg A B Y*; *h* ∈ *rHom S B* $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{cf-h } h \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (C_0 \ S))) =$
 $\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{ext-cf } B \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (C_0 \ B))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-Hom-coeff-to-coeff*:
 \llbracket *PolynRg A B Y*; *f* ∈ *pHom R S X*, *A B Y*; *pol-coeff S c* \rrbracket
 $\implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (\text{cf-h } f \ c)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-len1*: \llbracket *PolynRg A B Y*; *h* ∈ *rHom S B*;
 f ∈ *pHom R S X*, *A B Y*; $\forall x \in \text{carrier } S. f \ x = h \ x$; *pol-coeff S c* $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{fst } (\text{cf-h } f \ c) = \text{fst } (\text{cf-h } h \ c)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-len2*: \llbracket *PolynRg A B Y*; *f* ∈ *pHom R S X*, *A B Y*;
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c$ $\rrbracket \implies \text{fst } (\text{cf-h } f \ c) = \text{fst } c$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cmp-pol-coeff*: \llbracket *f* ∈ *rHom S B*;
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, \ c)$ $\rrbracket \implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (n, (\text{cmp } f \ c))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cmp-pol-coeff-e*: \llbracket *PolynRg A B Y*; *f* ∈ *pHom R S X*, *A B Y*;
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, \ c)$ $\rrbracket \implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (n, (\text{cmp } f \ c))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-pol-coeff*: \llbracket *PolynRg A B Y*; *h* ∈ *rHom S B*;
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, \ f)$ $\rrbracket \implies \text{cf-h } h \ (n, \ f) = (n, \ \text{cmp } h \ f)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *cf-h-polyn*: \llbracket *PolynRg A B Y*; *h* ∈ *rHom S B*;
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, \ f)$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ n \ (\text{cf-h } h \ (n, \ f)) = \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ n \ (n, \ (\text{cmp } h \ f))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-rHom*: \llbracket *PolynRg A B Y*; *f* ∈ *pHom R S X*, *A B Y* \rrbracket
 \implies
 $f \in \text{rHom } R \ A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-X-Y*: \llbracket *PolynRg A B Y*; *f* ∈ *pHom R S X*, *A B Y* \rrbracket
 \implies
 $f \ X = Y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-memTr*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*;
 $f \in \text{pHom } R \text{ } S \text{ } X, A \text{ } B \text{ } Y \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall c. \text{pol-coeff } S \text{ } (n, c) \longrightarrow$
 $f (\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } n \text{ } (n, c)) = \text{polyn-expr } A \text{ } Y \text{ } n \text{ } (n, \text{cmp } f \text{ } c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-mem*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*;
 $f \in \text{pHom } R \text{ } S \text{ } X, A \text{ } B \text{ } Y; \text{pol-coeff } S \text{ } (n, c) \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } n \text{ } (n, c)) = \text{polyn-expr } A \text{ } Y \text{ } n \text{ } (n, \text{cmp } f \text{ } c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-memc*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $f \in \text{pHom } R \text{ } S \text{ } X, A \text{ } B \text{ } Y$;
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \text{ } c \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } (\text{fst } c) \text{ } c) = \text{polyn-expr } A \text{ } Y \text{ } (\text{fst } c) \text{ } (\text{cf-h } f \text{ } c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-mem1*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $f \in \text{pHom } R \text{ } S \text{ } X, A \text{ } B \text{ } Y$;
 $p \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies f \text{ } p \in \text{carrier } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-pol-mem*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $f \in \text{pHom } R \text{ } S \text{ } X, A \text{ } B \text{ } Y$;
 $p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \text{ } p = \text{polyn-expr } A \text{ } Y \text{ } (\text{deg-n } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p) \text{ } (\text{cf-h } f \text{ } (\text{s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } p))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erh-rHom-coeff*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in \text{rHom } S \text{ } B$;
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \text{ } c \rrbracket \implies \text{erh } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } A \text{ } B \text{ } Y \text{ } h \text{ } \mathbf{0} \text{ } c = (\text{cmp } h \text{ } (\text{snd } c)) \text{ } \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erh-polyn-exprs*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in \text{rHom } S \text{ } B$;
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \text{ } c; \text{pol-coeff } S \text{ } d$;
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } (\text{fst } c) \text{ } c = \text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } (\text{fst } d) \text{ } d \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{erh } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } A \text{ } B \text{ } Y \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{fst } c) \text{ } c = \text{erh } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } A \text{ } B \text{ } Y \text{ } h \text{ } (\text{fst } d) \text{ } d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{erH} :: [(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'a},$
 $(\text{'b}, \text{'n}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'b}, \text{'n1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'b}, \text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}] \Rightarrow$
 $\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b} \text{ where}$
 $\text{erH } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } A \text{ } B \text{ } Y \text{ } h = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } R. \text{erh } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } A \text{ } B \text{ } Y \text{ } h$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } x)) (\text{s-cf } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } x))$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-0*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in \text{rHom } S \text{ } B \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{erH } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } A \text{ } B \text{ } Y \text{ } h) \text{ } \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-mem*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B*; $p \in carrier$ *R* \rrbracket

\implies

$$erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ p \in carrier\ A$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-nonzero*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $f \in rHom$ *S B*;

$$p \in carrier\ R; (erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ f)\ p \neq \mathbf{0}_A \rrbracket \implies p \neq \mathbf{0}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHomTr2*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B* $\rrbracket \implies$

$$(erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h)\ (1_r) = (1_{r_A})$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare *max.absorb1* [*simp*] *max.absorb2* [*simp*]

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-multTr*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B*;

$$pol-coeff\ S\ c \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\begin{aligned} \forall f\ g.\ & pol-coeff\ S\ (m, f) \wedge pol-coeff\ S\ (((fst\ c) + m), g) \wedge \\ & (polyn-expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ c)\ c) \cdot_r (polyn-expr\ R\ X\ m\ (m, f)) = \\ & (polyn-expr\ R\ X\ ((fst\ c) + m)\ ((fst\ c) + m, g)) \longrightarrow \\ & (polyn-expr\ A\ Y\ (fst\ c)\ (cf-h\ h\ c)) \cdot_{r_A} (polyn-expr\ A\ Y\ m\ (cf-h\ h\ (m, f))) = \\ & (polyn-expr\ A\ Y\ ((fst\ c) + m)\ (cf-h\ h\ ((fst\ c) + m, g))) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-multTr1*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B*;

$$pol-coeff\ S\ c; pol-coeff\ S\ d; pol-coeff\ S\ e; fst\ e = fst\ c + fst\ d;$$

$$(polyn-expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ c)\ c) \cdot_r (polyn-expr\ R\ X\ (fst\ d)\ d) =$$

$$polyn-expr\ R\ X\ ((fst\ c) + (fst\ d))\ e \rrbracket \implies$$

$$(polyn-expr\ A\ Y\ (fst\ c)\ (cf-h\ h\ c)) \cdot_{r_A} (polyn-expr\ A\ Y\ (fst\ d)\ (cf-h\ h\ d))$$

$$= (polyn-expr\ A\ Y\ (fst\ e)\ (cf-h\ h\ e))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erHomTr0*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B*; $x \in carrier$ *R* \rrbracket

$$\implies erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ (-_a\ x) = -_a\ A\ (erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ x)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erHomTr1*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B*;

$$a \in carrier\ R; b \in carrier\ R; a \neq \mathbf{0}; b \neq \mathbf{0}; a \pm b \neq \mathbf{0};$$

$$deg-n\ R\ S\ X\ a = deg-n\ R\ S\ X\ b \rrbracket \implies$$

$$erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ (a \pm b) = erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ a \pm_A$$

$$(erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ b)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erHomTr2*: \llbracket *PolynRg* *A B Y*; $h \in rHom$ *S B*;

$$a \in carrier\ R; b \in carrier\ R; a \neq \mathbf{0}; b \neq \mathbf{0}; a \pm b \neq \mathbf{0};$$

$$deg-n\ R\ S\ X\ a < deg-n\ R\ S\ X\ b \rrbracket \implies$$

$$erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ (a \pm b) = erH\ R\ S\ X\ A\ B\ Y\ h\ a \pm_A$$

$$(erH R S X A B Y h b)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom*: $\llbracket Idomain S; PolynRg A B Y; h \in rHom S B \rrbracket$
 $\implies erH R S X A B Y h \in pHom R S X, A B Y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-q-rHom*: $\llbracket Idomain S; maximal-ideal S P; PolynRg R' (S /_r P) Y \rrbracket \implies$
 $erH R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (pj S P) \in pHom R S X, R' (S /_r P) Y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-add*: $\llbracket Idomain S; PolynRg A B Y; h \in rHom S B; p \in carrier R; q \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies$

$$erH R S X A B Y h (p \pm q) = (erH R S X A B Y h p) \pm_A (erH R S X A B Y h q)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-minus*: $\llbracket Idomain S; PolynRg A B Y; h \in rHom S B; p \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies$

$$erH R S X A B Y h (-_a p) = -_a A (erH R S X A B Y h p)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-mult*: $\llbracket Idomain S; PolynRg A B Y; h \in rHom S B; p \in carrier R; q \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies$

$$erH R S X A B Y h (p \cdot_r q) = (erH R S X A B Y h p) \cdot_{rA} (erH R S X A B Y h q)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-cf*: $\llbracket Idomain S; PolynRg A B Y; h \in rHom S B; s \in carrier S \rrbracket \implies erH R S X A B Y h s = h s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-coeff*: $\llbracket Idomain S; PolynRg A B Y; h \in rHom S B; pol-coeff S (n, f) \rrbracket \implies pol-coeff B (n, cmp h f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-unique*: $\llbracket Idomain S; PolynRg A B Y; h \in rHom S B \rrbracket$

$$\implies \exists ! g. g \in pHom R S X, A B Y \wedge (\forall x \in carrier S. h x = g x)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-rHom-unique1*: $\llbracket Idomain S; PolynRg A B Y; h \in rHom S B; f \in pHom R S X, A B Y; \forall x \in carrier S. f x = h x \rrbracket \implies$

$$f = (erH R S X A B Y h)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-dec-deg*: $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in \text{pHom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y; p \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{deg } A \ B \ Y \ (f \ p) \leq \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-map*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in \text{rHom } S \ B; \text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, \ c) \rrbracket \implies$

$$(\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h) \ (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n \ (n, \ c)) = \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ n \ (n, \ (\text{cmp } h \ c))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.16 Relatively prime polynomials

definition

rel-prime-pols :: $[(\ 'a, \ 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, (\ 'a, \ 'm1) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, \ 'a, \ 'a, \ 'a] \implies \text{bool}$ **where**

rel-prime-pols $R \ S \ X \ p \ q \longleftrightarrow (1_{rR}) \in ((Rxa \ R \ p) \mp_R (Rxa \ R \ q))$

definition

div-condn :: $[(\ 'a, \ 'm) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, (\ 'a, \ 'm1) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, \ 'a, \ \text{nat}, \ 'a, \ 'a] \implies \text{bool}$ **where**

div-condn $R \ S \ X \ n \ g \ f \longleftrightarrow f \in \text{carrier } R \wedge n = \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ f \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists q. \ q \in \text{carrier } R \wedge ((f \pm_R (-_aR \ (q \cdot_rR \ g))) = \mathbf{0}_R) \vee (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (f \pm_R (-_aR \ (q \cdot_rR \ g))) < \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g))$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *divisionTr0*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; p \in \text{carrier } R;$

$c \in \text{carrier } S; c \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ (c \cdot_r \ X^{\sim R} \ n \cdot_r \ p) = c \cdot_rS \ (\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ p)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *divisionTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Corps } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; g \neq \mathbf{0};$

$0 < \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g; f \in \text{carrier } R; f \neq \mathbf{0}; \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g \leq \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ f \rrbracket$

\implies

$$f \pm -_a \ ((\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ f) \cdot_rS \ ((\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ g)^{\sim S}) \cdot_r \ (X^{\sim R} \ ((\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ f) - (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g))) \cdot_r \ g) = \mathbf{0} \vee$$

$$\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (f \pm -_a \ ((\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ f) \cdot_rS \ ((\text{lcf } R \ S \ X \ g)^{\sim S}) \cdot_r \ (X^{\sim R} \ ((\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ f) - (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g))) \cdot_r \ g)) < \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ f$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *divisionTr2*: $\llbracket \text{Corps } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; g \neq \mathbf{0};$

$0 < \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g \rrbracket \implies \forall f. \ \text{div-condn } R \ S \ X \ n \ g \ f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *divisionTr3*: $\llbracket \text{Corps } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; g \neq \mathbf{0};$

$0 < \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g; f \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$\exists q \in \text{carrier } R. \ (f \pm -_a \ (q \cdot_r \ g) = \mathbf{0}) \vee (f \pm -_a \ (q \cdot_r \ g) \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ (f \pm -_a \ (q \cdot_r \ g)) < (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *divisionTr4*: $\llbracket \text{Corps } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; g \neq \mathbf{0};$
 $0 < \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g; f \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists q \in \text{carrier } R. (f = q \cdot_r g) \vee (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. r \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge (f = (q \cdot_r g) \pm r)$
 $\wedge (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ r) < (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ g))$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *divisionTr*: $\llbracket \text{Corps } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; 0 < \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ g;$
 $f \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists q \in \text{carrier } R. (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. (f = (q \cdot_r g) \pm r) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ r) < (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ g))$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *rel-prime-equation*: $\llbracket \text{Corps } S; f \in \text{carrier } R; g \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $0 < \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ f; 0 < \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ g; \text{rel-prime-pols } R \ S \ X \ f \ g;$
 $h \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists u \in \text{carrier } R. \exists v \in \text{carrier } R.$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ u \leq \text{amax} ((\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ h) - (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ f)) (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ g)) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ v \leq (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ f)) \wedge (u \cdot_r f \pm (v \cdot_r g) = h)$
 \langle proof \rangle

4.16.1 Polynomial, coeff mod P

definition

P-mod :: $\llbracket ('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a \text{ set},$
 $'a \rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ P \ p \longleftrightarrow p = \mathbf{0}_R \vee$
 $(\forall j \leq (\text{fst } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p)). (\text{snd } (s\text{-cf } R \ S \ X \ p) \ j) \in P)$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-whole*: $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ (\text{carrier } S) \ p$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *zero-P-mod*: $\text{ideal } S \ I \implies P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ I \ \mathbf{0}$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mod*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S \ I; p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c;$
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) \ j \in I) = (P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ I \ p)$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *monomial-P-mod-mod*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S \ I; c \in \text{carrier } S;$
 $p = c \cdot_r (X^{\text{R } d}) \rrbracket \implies (c \in I) = (P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ I \ p)$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-add*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S \ I; p \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $q \in \text{carrier } R; P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ I \ p; P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ I \ q \rrbracket \implies$
 $P\text{-mod } R \ S \ X \ I \ (p \pm q)$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-minus*: \llbracket ideal S I ; $p \in$ carrier R ; P -mod R S X I p \rrbracket
 \implies

$$P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } I \text{ } (-_a \text{ } p)$$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-pre*: \llbracket ideal S I ; pol-coeff S $((\text{Suc } n), f)$;
 P -mod R S X I (polyn-expr R X $(\text{Suc } n)$ $(\text{Suc } n, f)$) $\rrbracket \implies$
 P -mod R S X I (polyn-expr R X n (n, f))

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-pre1*: \llbracket ideal S I ; pol-coeff S $((\text{Suc } n), f)$;
 P -mod R S X I (polyn-expr R X $(\text{Suc } n)$ $(\text{Suc } n, f)$) $\rrbracket \implies$
 P -mod R S X I (polyn-expr R X n $(\text{Suc } n, f)$)

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-coeffTr*: \llbracket ideal S I ; $d \in$ carrier S $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } I \text{ } d) = (d \in I)$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult-const*: \llbracket ideal S I ; ideal S J ;
pol-coeff S (n, f) ; P -mod R S X I (polyn-expr R X n (n, f));
pol-coeff S $(0, g)$; P -mod R S X J (polyn-expr R X 0 $(0, g)$) $\rrbracket \implies$
 P -mod R S X $(I \diamond_{rS} J) ((\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } n \text{ } (n, f)) \cdot_r$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } 0 \text{ } (0, g)))$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult-const1*: \llbracket ideal S I ; ideal S J ;
pol-coeff S (n, f) ; P -mod R S X I (polyn-expr R X n (n, f));
 $d \in J$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 P -mod R S X $(I \diamond_{rS} J) ((\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } n \text{ } (n, f)) \cdot_r d)$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult-monomial*: \llbracket ideal S I ; $p \in$ carrier R $\rrbracket \implies$
 $(P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } I \text{ } p) = (P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } I \text{ } (p \cdot_r X^R m))$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-multTr*: \llbracket ideal S I ; ideal S J ; pol-coeff S (n, f) ;
 P -mod R S X I (polyn-expr R X n (n, f)) $\rrbracket \implies \forall g. ((\text{pol-coeff } S \text{ } (m, g)$
 $\wedge (P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } J \text{ } (\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } m \text{ } (m, g)))) \longrightarrow$
 P -mod R S X $(I \diamond_{rS} J)$
 $((\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } n \text{ } (n, f)) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } m \text{ } (m, g))))$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult*: \llbracket ideal S I ; ideal S J ; pol-coeff S (n, c) ;
pol-coeff S (m, d) ; P -mod R S X I (polyn-expr R X n (n, c));
 P -mod R S X J (polyn-expr R X m (m, d)) $\rrbracket \implies$
 P -mod R S X $(I \diamond_{rS} J) ((\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } n \text{ } (n, c)) \cdot_r$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } m \text{ } (m, d)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S \text{ } I; \text{ ideal } S \text{ } J;$
 $p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } I \text{ } p; P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } J \text{ } q \rrbracket \implies$
 $P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } (I \diamond_{r,S} J) (p \cdot_r q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult2l*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S \text{ } I; p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } I \text{ } p \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } I (p \cdot_r q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-mult2r*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S \text{ } I; p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } I \text{ } q \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } I (p \cdot_r q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *csrp-fn-pol-coeff*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S \text{ } P; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y;$
 $\text{pol-coeff } (S /_r P) (n, c') \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (n, (\text{cmp } (\text{csrp-fn } S \text{ } P) c'))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pj-csrp-mem-coeff*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S \text{ } P; \text{pol-coeff } (S /_r P) (n, c') \rrbracket$
 $\implies \forall j \leq n. (pj \text{ } S \text{ } P) ((\text{csrp-fn } S \text{ } P) (c' \text{ } j)) = c' \text{ } j$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *pHom-pj-csrp*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S \text{ } P;$
 $\text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y; \text{pol-coeff } (S /_r P) (n, c') \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{erH } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y (pj \text{ } S \text{ } P)$
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X \text{ } n (n, (\text{cmp } (\text{csrp-fn } S \text{ } P) c'))$
 $= \text{polyn-expr } R' \text{ } Y \text{ } n (n, c'))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *ext-csrp-fn-nonzero*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S \text{ } P;$
 $\text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y; g' \in \text{carrier } R'; g' \neq \mathbf{0}_{R'} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \text{ } X (\text{deg-n } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y \text{ } g') ((\text{deg-n } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y \text{ } g'),$
 $(\text{cmp } (\text{csrp-fn } S \text{ } P) (\text{snd } (s\text{-cf } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y \text{ } g')))) \neq \mathbf{0}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *erH-inv*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S \text{ } P; \text{Ring } R';$
 $\text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y; g' \in \text{carrier } R' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists g \in \text{carrier } R. \text{deg } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } g \leq (\text{deg } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y \text{ } g') \wedge$
 $(\text{erH } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y (pj \text{ } S \text{ } P)) g = g'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-0*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S \text{ } P; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y;$
 $g \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{erH } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } R' (S /_r P) \text{ } Y (pj \text{ } S \text{ } P) g = \mathbf{0}_{R'}) = (P\text{-mod } R \text{ } S \text{ } X \text{ } P \text{ } g)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-I-J*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } S \text{ } I; \text{ideal } S \text{ } J;$

$I \subseteq J; P\text{-mod } R S X I p \Longrightarrow P\text{-mod } R S X J p$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-n-1*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (\text{Suc } n))) g \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) g$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-n-m*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; m \leq n; P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (\text{Suc } n))) g \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (\text{Suc } m))) g$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-diff*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S P; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) Y; g \in \text{carrier } R; h \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (erH R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (pj S P) g = (erH R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (pj S P) h)) = (P\text{-mod } R S X P (g \pm -_a h))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-erH*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S P; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) Y; g \in \text{carrier } R; v \in \text{carrier } R; t \in P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (erH R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (pj S P) g = (erH R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (pj S P) (g \pm (t \cdot_r v))))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *coeff-principalTr*: $\llbracket t \in \text{carrier } S \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \forall f. \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f) \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f j \in S \diamond_p t) \longrightarrow (\exists f'. \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f') \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f j = t \cdot_r S (f' j)))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *coeff-principal*: $\llbracket t \in \text{carrier } S; \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f); \forall j \leq n. f j \in S \diamond_p t \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \exists f'. \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f') \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f j = t \cdot_r S (f' j))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *Pmod-0-principal*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) g \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \exists h \in \text{carrier } R. g = t \cdot_r h$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *Pmod0-principal-rev*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; \exists h \in \text{carrier } R. g = t \cdot_r h \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) g$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *Pmod0-principal-rev1*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; h \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (t \cdot_r h)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *Pmod0-principal-erH-vanish-t*: \llbracket Idomain S ; ideal $S (S \diamond_p t)$;
 $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$; *PolynRg* $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) t = \mathbf{0}_{R'}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-diffxxx1*: \llbracket Idomain S ; $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$;
 maximal-ideal $S (S \diamond_p t)$; *PolynRg* $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$;
 $f \in \text{carrier } R$; $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $h \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $f \neq \mathbf{0}$; $g \neq \mathbf{0}$; $h \neq \mathbf{0}$; $u \in \text{carrier } R$; $v \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g \neq \mathbf{0}_{R'}$;
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h \neq \mathbf{0}_{R'}$;
 $ra \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h) = t^{\sim S m} \cdot_r ra$; $0 < m$;
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) u)$
 $\cdot_r R' \text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g \pm_{R'}$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) v)$
 $\cdot_r R' \text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h =$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) ra \rrbracket$
 $\implies P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S (Suc m)}))$
 $(f \pm -_a ((g \pm t^{\sim S m} \cdot_r v) \cdot_r (h \pm t^{\sim S m} \cdot_r u)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-diffxxx2*: \llbracket Idomain S ; $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$;
 maximal-ideal $S (S \diamond_p t)$; *PolynRg* $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$;
 $f \in \text{carrier } R$; $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $h \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $\text{deg } R S X g \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$;
 $\text{deg } R S X h +$
 $\text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$
 $\leq \text{deg } R S X f$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h)$;
rel-prime-pols $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h)$;
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S m})) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h))$; $0 < m \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists g1 h1. g1 \in \text{carrier } R \wedge h1 \in \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R S X g1 \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g1)) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S m})) (g \pm -_a g1) \wedge (\text{deg } R S X h1 +$
 $\text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g1)$
 $\leq \text{deg } R S X f) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S m})) (h \pm -_a h1) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S (Suc m)})) (f \pm (-_a (g1 \cdot_r h1)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

Hensel-next :: [('a, 'b) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'c) Ring-scheme, 'a, 'a, ('a set, 'm) Ring-scheme, 'a set, 'a, nat] \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) $\langle (9Hen \dots) \rangle$ [67,67,67,67,67,67,67,67,68]67) **where**

$Hen_R S X t R' Y f m gh = (SOME gh1.$
 $gh1 \in carrier R \times carrier R \wedge$
 $(deg R S X (fst gh1) \leq deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh1))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} m)) ((fst gh) \pm_R -_a R (fst gh1)) \wedge$
 $(deg R S X (snd gh1) + deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh1)) \leq deg R S X f) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} m)) ((snd gh) \pm_R -_a R (snd gh1)) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} (Suc m))) (f \pm_R (-_a R ((fst gh1) \cdot_r R (snd gh1))))))$

lemma *cart-prod-fst*: $x \in A \times B \implies fst x \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cart-prod-snd*: $x \in A \times B \implies snd x \in B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cart-prod-split*: $((x,y) \in A \times B) = (x \in A \wedge y \in B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-diffxxx3*: $\llbracket Idomain S; t \in carrier S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $maximal\text{-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); PolynRg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y;$
 $f \in carrier R; gh \in carrier R \times carrier R;$
 $deg R S X (fst gh) \leq deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh));$
 $deg R S X (snd gh) + deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh)) \leq deg R S X f;$
 $0 < deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh));$
 $0 < deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (snd gh));$
 $rel\text{-prime-pols } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh))$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (snd gh));$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} m)) (f \pm -_a ((fst gh) \cdot_r (snd gh))); 0 < m \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists gh1. gh1 \in carrier R \times carrier R \wedge$
 $(deg R S X (fst gh1) \leq deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh1))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} m)) ((fst gh) \pm -_a (fst gh1)) \wedge$
 $(deg R S X (snd gh1) + deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh1)) \leq$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{deg } R S X f) \wedge \\
& P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} m)) ((\text{snd } gh) \pm -_a (\text{snd } gh1)) \wedge \\
& P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} (\text{Suc } m))) (f \pm (-_a ((\text{fst } gh1) \cdot_r (\text{snd } gh1)))) \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-diffxxx4*: \llbracket Idomain S ; $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$;
maximal-ideal $S (S \diamond_p t)$; *PolynRg* $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$; $f \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $gh \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R$;
 $\text{deg } R S X (\text{fst } gh) \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } gh))$;
 $\text{deg } R S X (\text{snd } gh) + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } gh)) \leq \text{deg } R S X f$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } gh))$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } gh))$;
rel-prime-pols $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } gh))$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } gh))$;
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} m)) (f \pm -_a ((\text{fst } gh) \cdot_r (\text{snd } gh)))$; $0 < m \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f m gh) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R \wedge (\text{deg } R S X$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f m gh)) \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f m gh)))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} m)) ((\text{fst } gh) \pm -_a (\text{fst } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f m gh))) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R S X (\text{snd } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f m gh)) + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f m gh))) \leq \text{deg } R S X f) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} m)) ((\text{snd } gh) \pm -_a (\text{snd } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f m gh)))$
 \wedge
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} (\text{Suc } m))) (f \pm (-_a ((\text{fst } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f m gh))$
 \cdot_r
 $(\text{snd } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f m gh))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

primrec

Hensel-pair :: $\llbracket ('a, 'b)$ Ring-scheme, $('a, 'c)$ Ring-scheme, $'a, 'a,$
 $('a \text{ set}, 'm)$ Ring-scheme, $'a \text{ set}, 'a, 'a, 'a, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a)$
 $\langle (10\text{Hpr} \dots \dots \dots) \rangle$ [67,67,67,67,67,67,67,67,67,68]67

where

Hpr-0: $\text{Hpr}_R S X t R' Y f g h 0 = (g, h)$
 $|$ *Hpr-Suc*: $\text{Hpr}_R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m) =$
 $\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f (\text{Suc } m) (\text{Hpr}_R S X t R' Y f g h m)$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *fst-xxx*: $\llbracket t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$; ideal $S (S \diamond_p t)$;

$\forall (n::\text{nat}). (F n) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R;$
 $\forall m. P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (\text{fst } (F m) \pm -_a (\text{fst } (F (\text{Suc } m)))) \implies$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (\text{fst } (F 0) \pm -_a (\text{fst } (F n)))$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) *snd-xxx*: $\llbracket t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $\text{ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \forall (n::\text{nat}). (F n) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R;$
 $\forall m. P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (\text{snd } (F m) \pm -_a (\text{snd } (F (\text{Suc } m)))) \implies$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (\text{snd } (F 0) \pm -_a (\text{snd } (F n)))$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) *P-mod-diffxxx5*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $\text{maximal-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y;$
 $f \in \text{carrier } R; (g, h) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{deg } R S X (\text{fst } (g, h)) \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h)));$
 $\text{deg } R S X (\text{snd } (g, h)) + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h))) \leq \text{deg } R S X f;$
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h)));$
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } (g, h)));$
 $\text{rel-prime-pols } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h)))$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } (g, h)));$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \implies$
 $(\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) =$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h)) \wedge$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) =$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } (g, h)) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R S X (\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t \sim^S (\text{Suc } m))) ((\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h m)) \pm -_a$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R S X (\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h$
 $(\text{Suc } m)))) \leq \text{deg } R S X f) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t \sim^S (\text{Suc } m))) ((\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h m)) \pm -_a (\text{snd}$
 $(\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t \sim^S (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m)))) (f \pm -_a ((\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h$
 $(\text{Suc } m))) \cdot_r (\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))))$
 <proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-diffxxx5-1: \llbracket Idomain S ; $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$;
maximal-ideal $S (S \diamond_p t)$; PolynRg $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$;
 $f \in \text{carrier } R$; $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $h \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $\text{deg } R S X g \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$;
 $\text{deg } R S X h + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq \text{deg } R S X f$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h)$;
rel-prime-pols $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h)$;
P-mod $R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) =$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (g, h)) \wedge$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t))$
 $(\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) =$
 $\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } (g, h)) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R S X (\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) \leq \text{deg } R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \wedge$
P-mod $R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} (\text{Suc } m))) ((\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h m)) \pm -_a$
 $(\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \wedge$
 $(\text{deg } R S X (\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) +$
 $\text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \leq \text{deg } R S X f) \wedge$
P-mod $R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} (\text{Suc } m))) ((\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h m)) \pm -_a$
 $(\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m)))) \wedge$
P-mod $R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m)))) (f \pm -_a$
 $((\text{fst } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))) \cdot_r (\text{snd } (\text{Hpr } R S X t R' Y f g h (\text{Suc } m))))$
<proof>

lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-diffxxx5-2: \llbracket Idomain S ; $t \in \text{carrier } S$; $t \neq \mathbf{0}_S$;
maximal-ideal $S (S \diamond_p t)$; PolynRg $R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$; $f \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $g \in \text{carrier } R$; $h \in \text{carrier } R$;
 $\text{deg } R S X g \leq \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$;
 $\text{deg } R S X h + \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq \text{deg } R S X f$;
 $0 < \text{deg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$

$(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$
 $0 < deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$
 $rel\text{-prime-pols } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \implies$
 $(Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} m) \in carrier R \times carrier R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-diffxxx5-3*: $\llbracket Idomain S; t \in carrier S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $maximal\text{-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); PolynRg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y; f \in carrier R;$
 $g \in carrier R; h \in carrier R;$

$deg R S X g \leq deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$
 $deg R S X h + deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq deg R S X f;$
 $0 < deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$
 $0 < deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$
 $rel\text{-prime-pols } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \implies$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} m)) ((fst (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} m)) \pm$
 $-_a (fst (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} (m + n)))) \wedge$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\sim S} m)) ((snd (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} m)) \pm$
 $-_a (snd (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h} (m + n))))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *PolynRg*) *P-mod-diffxxx5-4*: $\llbracket Idomain S; t \in carrier S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$
 $maximal\text{-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); PolynRg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y; f \in carrier R;$
 $g \in carrier R; h \in carrier R;$

$deg R S X g \leq deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$
 $deg R S X h + deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R'$
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq deg R S X f;$
 $0 < deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$
 $0 < deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$
 $rel\text{-prime-pols } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \implies$

$\text{deg } R S X (\text{fst } (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h m})) \leq \text{deg } R S X g \wedge$
 $\text{deg } R S X (\text{snd } (Hpr_{R S X t R' Y f g h m})) \leq \text{deg } R S X f$
{proof}

end

theory Algebra7 imports Algebra6 begin

Chapter 5

Modules

5.1 Basic properties of Modules

record ('a, 'b) *Module* = 'a *aGroup* +
sprod :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a (**infixl** '<·_s>' 76)

locale *Module* = *aGroup* *M* **for** *M* (**structure**) +
fixes *R* (**structure**)
assumes *sc-Ring*: *Ring* *R*
and *sprod-closed* :
 [[*a* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *m* ∈ *carrier* *M*]] \Longrightarrow *a* ·_s *m* ∈ *carrier* *M*
and *sprod-l-distr*:
 [[*a* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *b* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *m* ∈ *carrier* *M*]] \Longrightarrow
 (*a* ±_{*R*} *b*) ·_s *m* = *a* ·_s *m* ±_{*M*} *b* ·_s *m*
and *sprod-r-distr*:
 [[*a* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *m* ∈ *carrier* *M*; *n* ∈ *carrier* *M*]] \Longrightarrow
 a ·_s (*m* ±_{*M*} *n*) = *a* ·_s *m* ±_{*M*} *a* ·_s *n*
and *sprod-assoc*:
 [[*a* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *b* ∈ *carrier* *R*; *m* ∈ *carrier* *M*]] \Longrightarrow
 (*a* ·_{*R*} *b*) ·_s *m* = *a* ·_s (*b* ·_s *m*)
and *sprod-one*:
 m ∈ *carrier* *M* \Longrightarrow (*1*_{*R*}) ·_s *m* = *m*

definition

submodule :: [('b, 'm) *Ring-scheme*, ('a, 'b, 'c) *Module-scheme*, 'a *set*] \Rightarrow
 bool **where**
submodule *R* *A* *H* \longleftrightarrow *H* ⊆ *carrier* *A* ∧ *A* +> *H* ∧ (∀ *a*. ∀ *m*.
 (*a* ∈ *carrier* *R* ∧ *m* ∈ *H*) \longrightarrow (*sprod* *A* *a* *m*) ∈ *H*)

definition

mdl :: [('a, 'b, 'm) *Module-scheme*, 'a *set*] \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) *Module* **where**
mdl *M* *H* = (| *carrier* = *H*, *pop* = *pop* *M*, *mop* = *mop* *M*, *zero* = *zero* *M*,
 sprod = λ*a*. λ*x*∈*H*. *sprod* *M* *a* *x*)

abbreviation

MODULE (**infixl** $\langle module \rangle$ 58) **where**
 $R \text{ module } M == \text{Module } M R$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *module-is-ag*: $aGroup\ M \langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *module-inc-zero*: $\mathbf{0}_M \in carrier\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *submodule-subset*: $submodule\ R\ M\ H \implies H \subseteq carrier\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *submodule-asubg*: $submodule\ R\ M\ H \implies M +> H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *submodule-subset1*: $\llbracket submodule\ R\ M\ H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies$
 $h \in carrier\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *submodule-inc-0*: $submodule\ R\ M\ H \implies$
 $\mathbf{0}_M \in H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-un*: $m \in carrier\ M \implies 1_{rR} \cdot_s m = m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-mem*: $\llbracket a \in carrier\ R; m \in carrier\ M \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \cdot_s m \in carrier\ M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *submodule-sc-closed*: $\llbracket submodule\ R\ M\ H;$
 $a \in carrier\ R; h \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot_s h \in H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-assoc*: $\llbracket a \in carrier\ R; b \in carrier\ R;$
 $m \in carrier\ M \rrbracket \implies (a \cdot_r R\ b) \cdot_s m = a \cdot_s (b \cdot_s m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-l-distr*: $\llbracket a \in carrier\ R; b \in carrier\ R;$
 $m \in carrier\ M \rrbracket \implies (a \pm_R b) \cdot_s m = a \cdot_s m \pm b \cdot_s m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-r-distr*: $\llbracket a \in carrier\ R; m \in carrier\ M; n \in carrier\ M \rrbracket \implies$
 $a \cdot_s (m \pm n) = a \cdot_s m \pm a \cdot_s n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) *sc-0-m*: $m \in carrier\ M \implies \mathbf{0}_{R \cdot_s} m = \mathbf{0}_M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *sc-a-0*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies a \cdot_s \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *sc-minus-am*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket$
 $\implies -_a (a \cdot_s m) = a \cdot_s (-_a m)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *sc-minus-am1*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket$
 $\implies -_a (a \cdot_s m) = (-_a R a) \cdot_s m$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *submodule-0*: *submodule* $R M \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *submodule-whole*: *submodule* $R M (\text{carrier } M)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *submodule-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; h \in H; k \in H \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $h \pm k \in H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *submodule-mOp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; h \in H \rrbracket$
 $\implies -_a h \in H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

mHom :: $[('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \text{ set}$

where

mHom $R M N = \{f. f \in \text{aHom } M N \wedge$
 $(\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall m \in \text{carrier } M. f (a \cdot_s M m) = a \cdot_s N (f m))\}$

definition

mimg :: $[('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'c] \Rightarrow ('c, 'b) \text{ Module}$
 $(\langle \text{4mimg- } -, - / - \rangle [88,88,88,89]88) \textbf{ where}$
mimg $R M, N f = \text{mdl } N (f \text{ ' } (\text{carrier } M))$

definition

mzeromap :: $[('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \textbf{ where}$
mzeromap $M N = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. \mathbf{0}_N)$

lemma (in *Ring*) *mHom-func*: $f \in \text{mHom } R M N \implies f \in \text{carrier } M \rightarrow \text{carrier } N$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-test*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{carrier } M \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \wedge$
 $f \in \text{extensional } (\text{carrier } M) \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall m \in \text{carrier } M. \forall n \in \text{carrier } M. f (m \pm_M n) = f m \pm_N (f n)) \wedge \\
& (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall m \in \text{carrier } M. f (a \cdot_s M m) = a \cdot_s N (f m)) \implies \\
& f \in \text{mHom } R M N
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket$

$$\implies f m \in \text{carrier } N$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-add*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$

$$n \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies f (m \pm n) = f m \pm_N (f n)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \implies f \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-inv*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket$

\implies

$$f (-_a m) = -_{a_N} (f m)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-lin*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M; f \in \text{mHom } R M N;$

$$a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies f (a \cdot_s m) = a \cdot_s N (f m)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mker-inc-zero*:

$$\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{0} \in (\text{ker}_{M,N} f)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-eq-ker*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N; a \in \text{carrier } M;$

$$b \in \text{carrier } M; a \pm (-_a b) \in \text{ker}_{M,N} f \rrbracket \implies f a = f b$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mHom-ker-eq*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N; a \in \text{carrier } M;$

$$b \in \text{carrier } M; f a = f b \rrbracket \implies a \pm (-_a b) \in \text{ker}_{M,N} f$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mker-submodule*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{submodule } R M (\text{ker}_{M,N} f)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *mker-mzeromap*: $R \text{ module } N \implies$

$$\text{ker}_{M,N} (\text{mzeromap } M N) = \text{carrier } M$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *mdl-carrier:submodule* $R M H \implies \text{carrier } (\text{mdl } M H) = H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mdl-is-ag:submodule* $R M H \implies \text{aGroup } (\text{mdl } M H)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mdl-is-module:submodule* $R M H \implies R \text{ module } (\text{mdl } M H)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *submodule-of-mdl*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{submodule } R (\text{mdl } M N) H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *img-set-submodule*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R N (f \cdot (\text{carrier } M))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mimg-module*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $R \text{ module } (\text{mimg } R M N f)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *surjec-to-mimg*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{surjec}_{M, (\text{mimg } R M N f)} f$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

tOp-mHom :: $[(\text{'b}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'b}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'c}, \text{'b}, \text{'m2}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c})$ **where**
 $\text{tOp-mHom } R M N f g = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. (f x \pm_N (g x)))$

definition

iOp-mHom :: $[(\text{'b}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'b}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'c}, \text{'b}, \text{'m2}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c})$ **where**
 $\text{iOp-mHom } R M N f = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. (-_a N (f x)))$

definition

sprod-mHom :: $[(\text{'b}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'b}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'c}, \text{'b}, \text{'m2}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{'b} \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c})$ **where**
 $\text{sprod-mHom } R M N a f = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. a \cdot_s N (f x))$

definition

HOM :: $[(\text{'b}, \text{'more}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'b}, \text{'more1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'c}, \text{'b}, \text{'more2}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'c}, \text{'b}) \text{ Module}$
 $(\langle \text{3HOM}_- \text{ -/ -} \rangle [90, 90, 91] 90)$ **where**
 $\text{HOM}_R M N = (\text{carrier} = \text{mHom } R M N, \text{pop} = \text{tOp-mHom } R M N,$
 $\text{mop} = \text{iOp-mHom } R M N, \text{zero} = \text{mzeromap } M N, \text{sprod} = \text{sprod-mHom } R M$
 $N)$

lemma (in *Module*) *zero-HOM*: R module $N \implies$
 $mzeromap\ M\ N = \mathbf{0}_{HOM_R\ M\ N}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *tOp-mHom-closed*: $[R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$; $g \in$
 $mHom\ R\ M\ N]$

$\implies tOp-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f\ g \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *iOp-mHom-closed*: $[R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N]$
 $\implies iOp-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-ex-zero*: R module $N \implies mzeromap\ M\ N \in mHom\ R$
 $M\ N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-eq*: $[R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$; $g \in mHom\ R\ M$
 N ;

$\forall m \in carrier\ M. f\ m = g\ m] \implies f = g$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-l-zero*: $[R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N]$
 $\implies tOp-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ (mzeromap\ M\ N)\ f = f$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-l-inv*: $[R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N]$

$\implies tOp-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ (iOp-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f)\ f = mzeromap\ M\ N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-tOp-assoc*: $[R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$; $g \in$
 $mHom\ R\ M\ N$;

$h \in mHom\ R\ M\ N] \implies tOp-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ (tOp-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f\ g)\ h =$
 $tOp-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f\ (tOp-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ g\ h)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-tOp-commute*: $[R$ module N ; $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$;

$g \in mHom\ R\ M\ N] \implies tOp-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ f\ g = tOp-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ g\ f$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *HOM-is-ag*: R module $N \implies aGroup\ (HOM_R\ M\ N)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *sprod-mHom-closed*: $[R$ module N ; $a \in carrier\ R$;

$f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N] \implies sprod-mHom\ R\ M\ N\ a\ f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *HOM-is-module*: R module $N \implies R$ module $(HOM_R\ M\ N)$

<proof>

5.2 Injective hom, surjective hom, bijective hom and inverse hom

definition

$inv\text{mfun} :: [('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'c] \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $inv\text{mfun } R \ M \ N \ (f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c) =$
 $(\lambda y \in (\text{carrier } N). \text{SOME } x. (x \in (\text{carrier } M) \wedge f \ x = y))$

definition

$isomorphic :: [('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $isomorphic \ R \ M \ N \ \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N \ \wedge \text{bijec}_{M,N} \ f)$

definition

$mId :: ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ ($\langle (mId_ /) \rangle$ [89]88) **where**
 $mId_M = (\lambda m \in \text{carrier } M. m)$

definition

$mcompose :: [('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'b \Rightarrow 'c, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c$ **where**
 $mcompose \ M \ g \ f = \text{compose } (\text{carrier } M) \ g \ f$

abbreviation

$MISOM \ (\langle (\exists _ \cong _ -) \rangle$ [82,82,83]82) **where**
 $M \cong_R \ N == \text{isomorphic } R \ M \ N$

lemma (**in** *Module*) $minjec\text{-}inj: \llbracket R \ \text{module } N; \text{injec}_{M,N} \ f \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $inj\text{-}on \ f \ (\text{carrier } M)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) $inv\text{mfun}\text{-}l\text{-}inv: \llbracket R \ \text{module } N; \text{bijec}_{M,N} \ f; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(inv\text{mfun } R \ M \ N \ f) \ (f \ m) = m$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) $inv\text{mfun}\text{-}m\text{Hom}: \llbracket R \ \text{module } N; \text{bijec}_{M,N} \ f; f \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N$
 $\rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$inv\text{mfun } R \ M \ N \ f \in \text{mHom } R \ N \ M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) $inv\text{mfun}\text{-}r\text{-}inv: \llbracket R \ \text{module } N; \text{bijec}_{M,N} \ f; n \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $f \ ((inv\text{mfun } R \ M \ N \ f) \ n) = n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) $m\text{Hom}\text{-}compos: \llbracket R \ \text{module } L; R \ \text{module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R \ L \ M;$

$g \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{compos } L \ g \ f \in \text{mHom } R \ L \ N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *Module*) $m\text{compos}\text{-}inj\text{-}inj: \llbracket R \ \text{module } L; R \ \text{module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R \ L$

M ;
 $g \in mHom\ R\ M\ N$; $injec_{L,M}\ f$; $injec_{M,N}\ g \] \Longrightarrow injec_{L,N}\ (compos\ L\ g\ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $mcompos-surj-surj$: $\llbracket R\ module\ L; R\ module\ N; surjec_{L,M}\ f;$
 $surjec_{M,N}\ g; f \in mHom\ R\ L\ M; g \in mHom\ R\ M\ N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $surjec_{L,N}\ (compos\ L\ g\ f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $mId-mHom$: $mId_M \in mHom\ R\ M\ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $mHom-mId-bijec$: $\llbracket R\ module\ N; f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N; g \in mHom$
 $R\ N\ M;$
 $compose\ (carrier\ M)\ g\ f = mId_M; compose\ (carrier\ N)\ f\ g = mId_N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $bijec_{M,N}\ f$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$sup-sharp :: [('r, 'n)\ Ring-scheme, ('b, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme,$
 $('c, 'r, 'm2)\ Module-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm)\ Module-scheme, 'b \Rightarrow 'c]$
 $\Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where**
 $sup-sharp\ R\ M\ N\ L\ u = (\lambda f \in mHom\ R\ N\ L. compos\ M\ f\ u)$

definition

$sub-sharp :: [('r, 'n)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm)\ Module-scheme,$
 $('b, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme, ('c, 'r, 'm2)\ Module-scheme, 'b \Rightarrow 'c]$
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c)$ **where**
 $sub-sharp\ R\ L\ M\ N\ u = (\lambda f \in mHom\ R\ L\ M. compos\ L\ u\ f)$

lemma (in *Module*) $sup-sharp-homTr$: $\llbracket R\ module\ N; R\ module\ L; u \in mHom\ R\ M$
 $N;$
 $f \in mHom\ R\ N\ L \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sup-sharp\ R\ M\ N\ L\ u\ f \in mHom\ R\ M\ L$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $sup-sharp-hom$: $\llbracket R\ module\ N; R\ module\ L; u \in mHom\ R\ M$
 $N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $sup-sharp\ R\ M\ N\ L\ u \in mHom\ R\ (HOM_R\ N\ L)\ (HOM_R\ M\ L)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $sub-sharp-homTr$: $\llbracket R\ module\ N; R\ module\ L; u \in mHom\ R\ M$
 $N;$
 $f \in mHom\ R\ L\ M \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sub-sharp\ R\ L\ M\ N\ u\ f \in mHom\ R\ L\ N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) $sub-sharp-hom$: $\llbracket R\ module\ N; R\ module\ L; u \in mHom\ R\ M$
 $N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

sub-sharp $R L M N u \in mHom R (HOM_R L M) (HOM_R L N)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mId-bijec*: $bijec_{M,M} (mId_M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *invmfun-bijec*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; bijec_{M,N} f \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $bijec_{N,M} (invmfun R M N f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *misom-self*: $M \cong_R M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *misom-sym*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; M \cong_R N \rrbracket \implies N \cong_R M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *misom-trans*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } N; L \cong_R M; M \cong_R N \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $L \cong_R N$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition
mr-coset :: $['a, ('a, 'b, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
mr-coset $a M H = a \uplus_M H$

definition
set-mr-cos :: $['a, 'b, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set set}$ **where**
set-mr-cos $M H = \{X. \exists a \in \text{carrier } M. X = a \uplus_M H\}$

definition
mr-cos-sprod :: $['a, 'b, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow$
 $'b \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
mr-cos-sprod $M H a X = \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists h \in H. z = h \pm_M (a \cdot_s M x)\}$

definition
mr-cospOp :: $['a, 'b, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow$
 $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
mr-cospOp $M H = (\lambda X. \lambda Y. c\text{-top} (b\text{-ag } M) H X Y)$

definition
mr-cosmOp :: $['a, 'b, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow$
 $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
mr-cosmOp $M H = (\lambda X. c\text{-iop} (b\text{-ag } M) H X)$

definition
qmodule :: $['a, 'r, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow$
 $('a \text{ set}, 'r) \text{ Module}$ **where**
qmodule $M H = () \text{ carrier} = \text{set-mr-cos } M H, \text{ pop} = \text{mr-cospOp } M H,$

$mop = mr-cosmOp\ M\ H, zero = H, sprod = mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H$)

definition

$sub-mr-set-cos :: [('a, 'r, 'more)\ Module-scheme, 'a\ set, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow$
 $\quad 'a\ set\ set\ \mathbf{where}$

$sub-mr-set-cos\ M\ H\ N = \{X. \exists n \in N. X = n \uplus_M H\}$

abbreviation

$QMODULE\ (\mathbf{infixl}\ \langle ' / ' _m \rangle\ 200)\ \mathbf{where}$
 $M\ /_m\ H == qmodule\ M\ H$

abbreviation

$SUBMRSET\ (\langle (\beta- / _s ' / ' _- / -) \rangle\ [82,82,83]82)\ \mathbf{where}$
 $N\ /_s/M\ H == sub-mr-set-cos\ M\ H\ N$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ qmodule-carr:submodule\ R\ M\ H \Longrightarrow$

$\quad carrier\ (qmodule\ M\ H) = set-mr-cos\ M\ H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ set-mr-cos-mem: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; m \in carrier\ M] \Longrightarrow$

$\quad m \uplus_M H \in set-mr-cos\ M\ H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ mem-set-mr-cos: [submodule\ R\ M\ N; x \in set-mr-cos\ M\ N] \Longrightarrow$

$\quad \exists m \in carrier\ M. x = m \uplus_M N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ m-in-mr-coset: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; m \in carrier\ M] \Longrightarrow$

$\quad m \in m \uplus_M H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ mr-cos-h-stable: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; h \in H] \Longrightarrow$

$\quad H = h \uplus_M H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ mr-cos-h-stable1: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; m \in carrier\ M; h \in H] \Longrightarrow$

$\quad (m \pm h) \uplus_M H = m \uplus_M H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ x-in-mr-coset: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; m \in carrier\ M; x \in m \uplus_M H] \Longrightarrow$

$\quad \exists h \in H. m \pm h = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(\mathbf{in}\ Module)\ mr-cos-sprodTr: [submodule\ R\ M\ H; a \in carrier\ R;$

$\quad m \in carrier\ M] \Longrightarrow mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ a\ (m \uplus_M H) = (a \cdot_s m) \uplus_M H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-sprod-mem*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $a \in$ carrier R ;
 $X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$ $\rrbracket \implies$ *mr-cos-sprod* $M\ H\ a\ X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-sprod-assoc*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $a \in$ carrier R ;
 $b \in$ carrier R ; $X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$ $\rrbracket \implies$ *mr-cos-sprod* $M\ H\ (a \cdot_r R\ b)\ X =$
mr-cos-sprod $M\ H\ a\ (mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ b\ X)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-sprod-one*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$ \rrbracket
 \implies
mr-cos-sprod $M\ H\ (1_r R)\ X = X$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cospOpTr*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $m \in$ carrier M ; $n \in$ carrier
 M \rrbracket
 \implies *mr-cospOp* $M\ H\ (m \uplus_M H)\ (n \uplus_M H) = (m \pm n) \uplus_M H$
 <proof>

lemma(in *Module*) *mr-cos-sprod-distrib1*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $a \in$ carrier R ;
 $b \in$ carrier R ; $X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$ $\rrbracket \implies$
mr-cos-sprod $M\ H\ (a \pm_R b)\ X =$
mr-cospOp $M\ H\ (mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ a\ X)\ (mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ b\ X)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-sprod-distrib2*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$;
 $a \in$ carrier R ; $X \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$; $Y \in$ set-*mr-cos* $M\ H$ $\rrbracket \implies$
mr-cos-sprod $M\ H\ a\ (mr-cospOp\ M\ H\ X\ Y) =$
mr-cospOp $M\ H\ (mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ a\ X)\ (mr-cos-sprod\ M\ H\ a\ Y)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cosmOpTr*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $m \in$ carrier M $\rrbracket \implies$
mr-cosmOp $M\ H\ (m \uplus_M H) = (-_a m) \uplus_M H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-oneTr*:submodule $R\ M\ H \implies H = \mathbf{0} \uplus_M H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mr-cos-oneTr1*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; $m \in$ carrier M $\rrbracket \implies$
mr-cospOp $M\ H\ H\ (m \uplus_M H) = m \uplus_M H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *qmodule-is-ag*:submodule $R\ M\ H \implies$ aGroup $(M /_m H)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *qmodule-module*:submodule $R\ M\ H \implies$ R module $(M /_m H)$
 <proof>

definition

$indmhom :: [('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'c] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'c$ **where**
 $indmhom R M N f = (\lambda X \in (\text{set-mr-cos } M (\ker_{M,N} f)). f (SOME x. x \in X))$

abbreviation

$INDMHOM \langle (4^b \text{ } -, -) [92,92,92,93]92 \rangle$ **where**
 $f^b_{R M,N} == indmhom R M N f$

lemma (in *Module*) $indmhom\text{-someTr}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N;$
 $X \in \text{set-mr-cos } M (\ker_{M,N} f)] \Longrightarrow f (SOME xa. xa \in X) \in f \text{ `carrier } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $indmhom\text{-someTr1}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; m \in$
 $\text{carrier } M]$
 $\Longrightarrow f (SOME xa. xa \in (\text{ar-coset } m M (\ker_{M,N} f))) = f m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $indmhom\text{-someTr2}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N;$
 $\text{submodule } R M H; m \in \text{carrier } M; H \subseteq \ker_{M,N} f]$ \Longrightarrow
 $f (SOME xa. xa \in m \uplus_M H) = f m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $indmhom\text{Tr1}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; m \in \text{carrier}$
 $M]$ \Longrightarrow
 $(f^b_{R M,N}) (m \uplus_M (\ker_{M,N} f)) = f m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $indmhom\text{Tr2}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N]$
 $\Longrightarrow (f^b_{R M,N}) \in \text{set-mr-cos } M (\ker_{M,N} f) \rightarrow \text{carrier } N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $indmhom::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N]$
 $\Longrightarrow (f^b_{R M,N}) \in mHom R (M /_m (\ker_{M,N} f)) N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $indmhom\text{-injec}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N] \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{injec}_{(M /_m (\ker_{M,N} f)), N} (f^b_{R M,N})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $indmhom\text{-surjec1}::[R \text{ module } N; \text{surjec}_{M,N} f;$
 $f \in mHom R M N]$ $\Longrightarrow \text{surjec}_{(M /_m (\ker_{M,N} f)), N} (f^b_{R M,N})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $\text{module-homTr}::[R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N] \Longrightarrow$
 $f \in mHom R M (m\text{img}_R M,N f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *ker-to-mimg*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R \text{ } M \text{ } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\ker_{M, \text{mimg}_R M, N} f = \ker_{M, N} f$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *module-homTr1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R \text{ } M \text{ } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{mimg}_R (M /_m (\ker_{M, N} f)), N (f^\flat_{R \text{ } M, N})) = \text{mimg}_R M, N f$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *module-Homth-1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R \text{ } M \text{ } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $M /_m (\ker_{M, N} f) \cong_R \text{mimg}_R M, N f$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

mpj :: $[('a, 'r, 'm) \text{ Module-scheme, 'a set}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$ **where**
 $\text{mpj } M \text{ } H = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. x \uplus_M H)$

lemma (in *Module*) *elem-mpj*: $\llbracket m \in \text{carrier } M; \text{submodule } R \text{ } M \text{ } H \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{mpj } M \text{ } H \text{ } m = m \uplus_M H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mpj-mHom*:*submodule* $R \text{ } M \text{ } H \implies \text{mpj } M \text{ } H \in m\text{Hom } R \text{ } M$
 $(M /_m H)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mpj-mem*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R \text{ } M \text{ } H; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{mpj } M \text{ } H \text{ } m \in \text{carrier } (M /_m H)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mpj-surjec*:*submodule* $R \text{ } M \text{ } H \implies$
 $\text{surjec}_{M, (M /_m H)} (\text{mpj } M \text{ } H)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mpj-0*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R \text{ } M \text{ } H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{mpj } M \text{ } H \text{ } h = \mathbf{0}_{(M /_m H)}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mker-of-mpj*:*submodule* $R \text{ } M \text{ } H \implies$
 $\ker_{M, (M /_m H)} (\text{mpj } M \text{ } H) = H$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *indmhom1*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R \text{ } M \text{ } H; R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R \text{ } M$
 $N; H \subseteq \ker_{M, N} f \rrbracket \implies \exists ! g. g \in (m\text{Hom } R \text{ } (M /_m H) \text{ } N) \wedge (\text{compos } M \text{ } g \text{ } (\text{mpj}$
 $M \text{ } H)) = f$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

mQmp :: $[('a, 'r, 'm) \text{ Module-scheme, 'a set, 'a set}] \Rightarrow$
 $('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$ **where**

$$mQmp\ M\ H\ N = (\lambda X \in \text{set-mr-cos}\ M\ H. \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in N. (y \pm_M x = z)\})$$

abbreviation

MQP ($\langle \text{3Mp- } _ , _ \rangle$ [82,82,83]82) **where**
 $Mp_{M\ H,N} == mQmp\ M\ H\ N$

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr0$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$;
 $m \in \text{carrier}\ M$ $\rrbracket \implies mQmp\ M\ H\ N\ (m \uplus_M H) = m \uplus_M N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr1$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$;
 $m \in \text{carrier}\ M$; $n \in \text{carrier}\ M$; $m \uplus_M H = n \uplus_M H$ $\rrbracket \implies m \uplus_M N = n \uplus_M N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr2$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$;
 $X \in \text{carrier}\ (M /_m H)$ $\rrbracket \implies (mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ X \in \text{carrier}\ (M /_m N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr2-1$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$
 $\rrbracket \implies mQmp\ M\ H\ N \in \text{carrier}\ (M /_m H) \rightarrow \text{carrier}\ (M /_m N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr3$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$;
 $X \in \text{carrier}\ (M /_m H)$; $Y \in \text{carrier}\ (M /_m H)$ $\rrbracket \implies (mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ (mr\ \text{cospOp}\ M\ H\ X\ Y) = mr\ \text{cospOp}\ M\ N\ ((mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ X)\ ((mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ Y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmpTr4$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$;
 $a \in N$ $\rrbracket \implies mr\ \text{coset}\ a\ (mdl\ M\ N)\ H = mr\ \text{coset}\ a\ M\ H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $mQmp\ \text{mHom}$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$
 $\rrbracket \implies (Mp_{M\ H,N}) \in mHom\ R\ (M /_m H)\ (M /_m N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) $Mp\ \text{surjec}$: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{surjec}_{(M /_m H),(M /_m N)}\ (Mp_{M\ H,N})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) kerQmp : \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$
 $\rrbracket \implies \text{ker}_{(M /_m H),(M /_m N)}\ (Mp_{M\ H,N}) = \text{carrier}\ ((mdl\ M\ N) /_m H)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *misom2Tr*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$ \rrbracket
 \implies

$$(M /_m H) /_m (\text{carrier } ((\text{mdl } M\ N) /_m H)) \cong_R (M /_m N)$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *eq-class-of-Submodule*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$;

$$H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies \text{carrier } ((\text{mdl } M\ N) /_m H) = N /_M H$$

<proof>

theorem (in *Module*) *misom2*: \llbracket submodule $R\ M\ H$; submodule $R\ M\ N$; $H \subseteq N$ \rrbracket
 \implies

$$(M /_m H) /_m (N /_M H) \cong_R (M /_m N)$$

<proof>

primrec *natm* :: ('a, 'm) *aGroup-scheme* \implies *nat* \implies 'a \implies 'a

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{natm-0: } & \text{natm } M\ 0\ x = \mathbf{0}_M \\ | \text{natm-Suc: } & \text{natm } M\ (\text{Suc } n)\ x = (\text{natm } M\ n\ x) \pm_M x \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{finitesum-base} &:: [(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{Module-scheme}, \text{'b set}, \text{'b} \implies \text{'a set}] \\ &\implies \text{'a set} \quad \mathbf{where} \\ \text{finitesum-base } M\ I\ f &= \bigcup \{f\ i \mid i. i \in I\} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{finitesum} &:: [(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{Module-scheme}, \text{'b set}, \text{'b} \implies \text{'a set}] \\ &\implies \text{'a set} \quad \mathbf{where} \\ \text{finitesum } M\ I\ f &= \{x. \exists n. \exists g. g \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{finitesum-base } M\ I\ f \\ &\quad \wedge x = \text{nsun } M\ g\ n\} \end{aligned}$$

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesumbase-sub-carrier*: $f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R\ M\ X\}$
 \implies

$$\text{finitesum-base } M\ I\ f \subseteq \text{carrier } M$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-sub-carrier*: $f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R\ M\ X\} \implies$
 $\text{finitesum } M\ I\ f \subseteq \text{carrier } M$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-inc-zero*: $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R\ M\ X\}; I \neq \{\}$ \rrbracket
 $\implies \mathbf{0} \in \text{finitesum } M\ I\ f$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-mOp-closed*:

$$\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R\ M\ X\}; I \neq \{\}; a \in \text{finitesum } M\ I\ f \rrbracket \implies$$

$$-_a a \in \text{finitesum } M\ I\ f$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-pOp-closed*:

$\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R \ M \ X\}; a \in \text{finitesum } M \ I \ f; b \in \text{finitesum } M \ I \ f \rrbracket$
 $\implies a \pm b \in \text{finitesum } M \ I \ f$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-sprodTr*: $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R \ M \ X\}; I \neq \{\};$
 $r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies g \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow (\text{finitesum-base } M \ I \ f)$

$\rightarrow r \cdot_s (\text{nsum } M \ g \ n) = \text{nsum } M \ (\lambda x. r \cdot_s (g \ x)) \ n$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-sprod*: $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R \ M \ X\}; I \neq \{\};$
 $r \in \text{carrier } R; g \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow (\text{finitesum-base } M \ I \ f) \rrbracket \implies$

$r \cdot_s (\text{nsum } M \ g \ n) = \text{nsum } M \ (\lambda x. r \cdot_s (g \ x)) \ n$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finitesum-subModule*: $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R \ M \ X\}; I \neq \{\}$
 \rrbracket

$\implies \text{submodule } R \ M \ (\text{finitesum } M \ I \ f)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *sSum-cont-H*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R \ M \ H; \text{submodule } R \ M \ K \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \subseteq H \mp K$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *sSum-commute*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R \ M \ H; \text{submodule } R \ M \ K \rrbracket \implies$
 $H \mp K = K \mp H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *Sum-of-SubmodulesTr*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R \ M \ H; \text{submodule } R \ M \ K \rrbracket$
 \implies

$g \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \cup K \rightarrow \Sigma_e \ M \ g \ n \in H \mp K$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *sSum-two-Submodules*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R \ M \ H; \text{submodule } R \ M \ K \rrbracket$
 \implies

$\text{submodule } R \ M \ (H \mp K)$

<proof>

definition

iotam :: $[(\ 'a, \ 'r, \ 'm) \text{Module-scheme}, \ 'a \ \text{set}, \ 'a \ \text{set}] \Rightarrow (\ 'a \Rightarrow \ 'a)$

$(\langle \langle \text{?} \iota m _ _ \rangle \rangle [82, 82, 83] 82)$ **where**

$\iota m_{M \ H, K} = (\lambda x \in H. (x \pm_M \ \mathbf{0}_M))$

lemma (in *Module*) *iotam-mHom*: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R \ M \ H; \text{submodule } R \ M \ K \rrbracket$

$\implies \iota m_{M \ H, K} \in m\text{Hom } R \ (\text{mdl } M \ H) \ (\text{mdl } M \ (H \mp K))$

<proof>

lemma (in Module) *mhomom3Tr*: \llbracket submodule $R M H$; submodule $R M K$ $\rrbracket \implies$
submodule $R (mdl M (H \mp K)) K$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in Module) *mhomom3Tr0*: \llbracket submodule $R M H$; submodule $R M K$ \rrbracket
 \implies *compos* (mdl $M H$) (mpj (mdl $M (H \mp K)$) K) ($\iota_{M H, K}$)
 \in *mHom* $R (mdl M H) (mdl M (H \mp K) /_m K)$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in Module) *mhomom3Tr1*: \llbracket submodule $R M H$; submodule $R M K$ $\rrbracket \implies$
surjec(mdl $M H$),((mdl $M (H \mp K)$)/ $_m K$)
(*compos* (mdl $M H$) (mpj (mdl $M (H \mp K)$) K) ($\iota_{M H, K}$))

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in Module) *mhomom3Tr2*: \llbracket submodule $R M H$; submodule $R M K$ $\rrbracket \implies$
ker(mdl $M H$),((mdl $M (H \mp K)$) / $_m K$)
(*compos* (mdl $M H$) (mpj (mdl $M (H \mp K)$) K) ($\iota_{M H, K}$)) = $H \cap K$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in Module) *mhomom-3*: \llbracket submodule $R M H$; submodule $R M K$ $\rrbracket \implies$
(mdl $M H$) / $_m (H \cap K) \cong_R (mdl M (H \mp K)) /_m K$

\langle proof \rangle

definition

l-comb :: [$'r$, $'m$] Ring-scheme, ($'a$, $'r$, $'m1$) Module-scheme, nat] \implies
($nat \implies 'r$) \implies ($nat \implies 'a$) \implies $'a$ **where**
l-comb $R M n s m = nsum M (\lambda j. (s j) \cdot_s M (m j)) n$

definition

linear-span :: [$'r$, $'m$] Ring-scheme, ($'a$, $'r$, $'m1$) Module-scheme, $'r$ set,
 $'a$ set] \implies $'a$ set **where**
linear-span $R M A H =$ (if $H = \{\}$ then $\{\mathbf{0}_M\}$ else
 $\{x. \exists n. \exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H.$
 $\exists s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A. x = l-comb R M n s f\}$)

definition

coefficient :: [$'r$, $'m$] Ring-scheme, ($'a$, $'r$, $'m1$) Module-scheme,
 nat , $nat \implies 'r$, $nat \implies 'a$] \implies $nat \implies 'r$ **where**
coefficient $R M n s m j = s j$

definition

body :: [$'r$, $'m$] Ring-scheme, ($'a$, $'r$, $'m1$) Module-scheme, nat , $nat \implies 'r$,
 $nat \implies 'a$] \implies $nat \implies 'a$ **where**
body $R M n s m j = m j$

lemma (in Module) *l-comb-mem-linear-span*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; $H \subseteq$ carrier M ;
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A$; $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H$ $\rrbracket \implies$
l-comb $R M n s f \in$ *linear-span* $R M A H$

\langle proof \rangle

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb-eqTr*: $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge \\ & f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \wedge \\ & g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \wedge \\ & (\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. f j = g j) \longrightarrow \\ & l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M n s g \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb-eq*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$

$$\begin{aligned} & s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H; \\ & g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H; \forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. f j = g j \rrbracket \implies \end{aligned}$$

$$l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M n s g$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-Suc*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$\begin{aligned} & s \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies \\ & l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) s f = l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm s (\text{Suc } n) \cdot_s f (\text{Suc } n) \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-jj*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$\begin{aligned} & s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \\ & t \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies \\ & n\text{sum } M (\lambda j. (\text{jointfun } n s m t) j \cdot_s (\text{jointfun } n f m g) j) n = \\ & n\text{sum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s f j) n \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-jj1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$\begin{aligned} & s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \\ & t \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies \\ & l\text{-comb } R M n (\text{jointfun } n s m t) (\text{jointfun } n f m g) = \\ & l\text{-comb } R M n s f \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-jf*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$\begin{aligned} & s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \rightarrow H; \\ & t \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies \\ & n\text{sum } M (\lambda j. (\text{jointfun } n s m t) j \cdot_s f j) n = \\ & n\text{sum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s f j) n \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-jf1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$\begin{aligned} & s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \rightarrow H; \\ & t \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies \\ & l\text{-comb } R M n (\text{jointfun } n s m t) f = l\text{-comb } R M n s f \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-fj*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$

$$s \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H;$$

$g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H \implies$
 $nsum M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (jointfun n f m g) j) n =$
 $nsum M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s f j) n$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-jointfun-fj1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq carrier M; ideal R A;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq Suc (n + m)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $l-comb R M n s (jointfun n f m g) = l-comb R M n s f$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb0-1Tr*: $H \subseteq carrier M \implies$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_R\} \wedge$
 $m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow l-comb R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb0-1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq carrier M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_R\}; m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $l-comb R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb0-2Tr*: $ideal R A \implies s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A$
 $\wedge m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_M\} \longrightarrow l-comb R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb0-2*: $\llbracket ideal R A; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A;$
 $m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_M\} \rrbracket \implies l-comb R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-comb-memTr*: $\llbracket ideal R A; H \subseteq carrier M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall s. \forall m. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow l-comb R M n s m \in carrier M$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-mem*: $\llbracket ideal R A; H \subseteq carrier M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $l-comb R M n s m \in carrier M$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-transpos*: $\llbracket ideal R A; H \subseteq carrier M;$
 $s \in \{l. l \leq Suc n\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{l. l \leq Suc n\} \rightarrow H;$
 $j < Suc n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Sigma_e M (cmp (\lambda k. s k \cdot_s f k) (transpos j (Suc n))) (Suc n) =$
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. (cmp s (transpos j (Suc n))) k \cdot_s$
 $(cmp f (transpos j (Suc n))) k) (Suc n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-transpos1*: $\llbracket ideal R A; H \subseteq carrier M;$
 $s \in \{l. l \leq Suc n\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{l. l \leq Suc n\} \rightarrow H; j < Suc n \rrbracket \implies$

$l\text{-comb } R M (Suc n) s f =$
 $l\text{-comb } R M (Suc n) (cmp s (transpos j (Suc n))) (cmp f (transpos j (Suc n)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *sc-linear-span*: $\llbracket ideal R A; H \subseteq carrier M; a \in A;$
 $h \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot_s h \in linear\text{-span } R M A H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-cont-H*: $H \subseteq carrier M \implies$
 $H \subseteq linear\text{-span } R M (carrier R) H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-inc-0*: $\llbracket ideal R A; H \subseteq carrier M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\mathbf{0} \in linear\text{-span } R M A H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-iOp-closedTr1*: $\llbracket ideal R A;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -_a R (s x)) \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-gen-mono*: $\llbracket K \subseteq H; H \subseteq carrier M; ideal R A \rrbracket \implies$
 $linear\text{-span } R M A K \subseteq linear\text{-span } R M A H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-add*: $\llbracket ideal R A; H \subseteq carrier M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H;$
 $t \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R M (Suc (n + m)) (jointfun n s m t) (jointfun n f m g) =$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm l\text{-comb } R M m t g$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-add1Tr*: $\llbracket ideal R A; H \subseteq carrier M \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \wedge t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \longrightarrow$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (s x) \pm_R (t x)) f =$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm l\text{-comb } R M n t f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-add1*: $\llbracket ideal R A; H \subseteq carrier M;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (s x) \pm_R (t x)) f =$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm l\text{-comb } R M n t f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-iOp-closedTr2*: $\llbracket ideal R A; H \subseteq carrier M;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies$
 $-_a (l\text{-comb } R M n s f) =$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -_a R (s x)) f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-iOp-closed*: \llbracket ideal R A ; $H \subseteq$ carrier M ;
 $a \in$ linear-span R M A H $\rrbracket \implies -_a a \in$ linear-span R M A H
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-pOp-closed*:
 \llbracket ideal R A ; $H \subseteq$ carrier M ; $a \in$ linear-span R M A H ; $b \in$ linear-span R M A H \rrbracket
 $\implies a \pm b \in$ linear-span R M A H
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-scTr*: \llbracket ideal R A ; $H \subseteq$ carrier M ;
 $r \in$ carrier R ; $H \neq \{\}$ $\rrbracket \implies s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow r \cdot_s (nsum M (\lambda k. (s k) \cdot_s (g k)) n) =$
 $nsum M (\lambda k. r \cdot_s ((s k) \cdot_s (g k))) n$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-sc1Tr*: \llbracket ideal R A ; $H \subseteq$ carrier M ;
 $r \in$ carrier R ; $H \neq \{\}$ $\rrbracket \implies s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow r \cdot_s (nsum M (\lambda k. (s k) \cdot_s (g k)) n) =$
 $nsum M (\lambda k. (r \cdot_r (s k)) \cdot_s (g k)) n$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-sc*: \llbracket ideal R A ; $H \subseteq$ carrier M ; $r \in$ carrier R ;
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A$; $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $r \cdot_s (nsum M (\lambda k. (s k) \cdot_s (g k)) n) = nsum M (\lambda k. r \cdot_s ((s k) \cdot_s (g k))) n$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-sc1*: \llbracket ideal R A ; $H \subseteq$ carrier M ; $r \in$ carrier R ;
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A$; $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $r \cdot_s (nsum M (\lambda k. (s k) \cdot_s (g k)) n) = nsum M (\lambda k. (r \cdot_r (s k)) \cdot_s (g k)) n$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-sc-closed*: \llbracket ideal R A ; $H \subseteq$ carrier M ;
 $r \in$ carrier R ; $x \in$ linear-span R M A H $\rrbracket \implies r \cdot_s x \in$ linear-span R M A H
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mem-single-l-spanTr*: \llbracket ideal R A ; $h \in$ carrier M $\rrbracket \implies$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{h\} \wedge$ l-comb R M n s $f \in$ linear-span R M A $\{h\}$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists a \in A. \text{l-comb } R \text{ } M \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } f = a \cdot_s h)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mem-single-l-span*: \llbracket ideal R A ; $h \in$ carrier M ;
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A$; $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{h\}$;
 l-comb R M n s $f \in$ linear-span R M A $\{h\}$ $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists a \in A. \text{l-comb } R \text{ } M \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } f = a \cdot_s h$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *mem-single-l-span1*: \llbracket ideal R A ; $h \in$ carrier M ;

$x \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ \{h\} \implies \exists a \in A. x = a \cdot_s h$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-subModule*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R \ M \ (\text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-comb-mem-submoduleTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{submodule } R \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \wedge f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \wedge$
 $(\forall j \leq n. (s \ j) \cdot_s (f \ j) \in N)) \longrightarrow \text{l-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f \in N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-sub-submodule*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{submodule } R \ M \ N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H \subseteq N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *linear-span-sub*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H) \subseteq \text{carrier } M$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

smodule-ideal-coeff :: $[(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $\text{'r set}] \Rightarrow \text{'a set}$ **where**
 $\text{smodule-ideal-coeff } R \ M \ A = \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ (\text{carrier } M)$

abbreviation

SMLIDEALCOEFF $(\langle (\text{'r}/ \odot \text{'r}) \rangle [64,64,65]64)$ **where**
 $A \odot_R M == \text{smodule-ideal-coeff } R \ M \ A$

lemma (in *Module*) *smodule-ideal-coeff-is-Submodule*: $\text{ideal } R \ A \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R \ M \ (A \odot_R M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *mem-smodule-ideal-coeff*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; x \in A \odot_R M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists n. \exists s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. \exists g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M.$
 $x = \text{l-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ g$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

quotient-of-submodules :: $[(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $\text{'a set}, \text{'a set}] \Rightarrow \text{'r set}$ **where**
 $\text{quotient-of-submodules } R \ M \ N \ P = \{x \mid x \in \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $(\text{linear-span } R \ M \ (R \ x \ R \ x) \ P) \subseteq N\}$

definition

Annihilator :: $[(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}]$
 $\Rightarrow \text{'r set}$ $(\langle (\text{Ann. } -) \rangle [82,83]82)$ **where**
 $\text{Ann}_R M = \text{quotient-of-submodules } R \ M \ \{\mathbf{0}_M\} \ (\text{carrier } M)$

abbreviation

$QOFSUBMDS$ ($\langle \langle _ _ _ _ _ \rangle \rangle$ [82,82,82,83]82) **where**
 $N \text{ } R\ddagger M \text{ } P == \text{quotient-of-submodules } R \text{ } M \text{ } N \text{ } P$

lemma (in *Module*) *quotient-of-submodules-inc-0*:

$\llbracket \text{submodule } R \text{ } M \text{ } P; \text{ submodule } R \text{ } M \text{ } Q \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{0}_R \in (P \text{ } R\ddagger M \text{ } Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *quotient-of-submodules-is-ideal*:

$\llbracket \text{submodule } R \text{ } M \text{ } P; \text{ submodule } R \text{ } M \text{ } Q \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R \text{ } (P \text{ } R\ddagger M \text{ } Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *Ann-is-ideal:ideal* $R \text{ } (Ann_R \text{ } M)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linmap-im-of-lincombTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \text{ } A; R \text{ module } N;$

$f \in mHom \text{ } R \text{ } M \text{ } N; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow$
 $f \text{ } (l\text{-comb } R \text{ } M \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } g) = l\text{-comb } R \text{ } N \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } (cmp \text{ } f \text{ } g)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linmap-im-lincomb*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \text{ } A; R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom \text{ } R \text{ } M$

$N;$
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \text{ } (l\text{-comb } R \text{ } M \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } g) = l\text{-comb } R \text{ } N \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } (cmp \text{ } f \text{ } g)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linmap-im-linspan*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \text{ } A; R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom \text{ } R \text{ } M$

$N;$
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \text{ } (l\text{-comb } R \text{ } M \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } g) \in \text{linear-span } R \text{ } N \text{ } A \text{ } (f \text{ } ' \text{ } H)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *linmap-im-linspan1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \text{ } A; R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom \text{ } R \text{ } M$

$N;$
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; h \in \text{linear-span } R \text{ } M \text{ } A \text{ } H \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \text{ } h \in \text{linear-span } R \text{ } N \text{ } A \text{ } (f \text{ } ' \text{ } H)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{faithful} :: [(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}]$
 $\implies \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{faithful } R \text{ } M \iff Ann_R \text{ } M = \{\mathbf{0}_R\}$

5.3 nsum and Generators

definition

generator :: [(*'r*, *'m*) Ring-scheme, (*'a*, *'r*, *'m1*) Module-scheme,
'a set] ⇒ bool **where**
generator R M H == $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \wedge$
 $\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H = \text{carrier } M$

definition

finite-generator :: [(*'r*, *'m*) Ring-scheme, (*'a*, *'r*, *'m1*) Module-scheme,
'a set] ⇒ bool **where**
finite-generator R M H ⇔ $\text{finite } H \wedge \text{generator } R M H$

definition

fGOver :: [(*'a*, *'r*, *'m1*) Module-scheme, (*'r*, *'m*) Ring-scheme] ⇒ bool
where
fGOver M R ⇔ $(\exists H. \text{finite-generator } R M H)$

abbreviation

FGENOVER (**infixl** <*fgover*> 70) **where**
M fgover R == *fGOver M R*

lemma (**in** *Module*) *h-in-linear-span*: $[[H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; h \in H]] \implies$
 $h \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Module*) *generator-sub-carrier*:*generator R M H* ⇒
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Module*) *lin-span-sub-carrier*: $[[\text{ideal } R A;$
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M]] \implies \text{linear-span } R M A H \subseteq \text{carrier } M$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Module*) *lin-span-coeff-mono*: $[[\text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M]] \implies$
 $\text{linear-span } R M A H \subseteq \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Module*) *l-span-sum-closedTr*: $[[\text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M]] \implies$

$\forall s. \forall f. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M A H \rightarrow$
 $(\text{nsum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n \in \text{linear-span } R M A H)$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Module*) *l-span-closed*: $[[\text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M A H]] \implies$
 $\text{l-comb } R M n s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-closed1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ H \rrbracket \implies$
 $\Sigma_e M \ (\lambda j. s \ j \cdot_s (f \ j)) \ n \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-closed2Tr0*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{Ring } R; s \in A;$
 $f \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ H \rrbracket \implies s \cdot_s f \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-closed2Tr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ H \longrightarrow$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-closed2*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A ;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ H \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f \in \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-l-span*: $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies$
 $\text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ (\text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ H) =$
 $\text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-spanA-l-span*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ (\text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ H) =$
 $\text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-zero*: $\text{ideal } R \ A \implies \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ \{0\} = \{0\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *l-span-closed3*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $A \odot_R M = \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies \text{linear-span } R \ M \ A \ H = \text{carrier } M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *generator-generator*: $\llbracket \text{generator } R \ M \ H; H1 \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $H \subseteq \text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ H1 \rrbracket \implies \text{generator } R \ M \ H1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *generator-elimTr*:
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \wedge \text{generator } R \ M \ (f \ ' \ \{j. j \leq n\}) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } 0) \ n. f \ i \in$
 $\text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ (f \ ' \ \{j. j \leq (i - \text{Suc } 0)\})) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ \{f \ 0\} = \text{carrier } M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *generator-generator-elim*:

$\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M; \text{ generator } R M (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\});$
 $(\forall i \in \text{nset } (Suc 0) n. f i \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R)$
 $(f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (i - Suc 0)\})) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) \{f 0\} = \text{carrier } M$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *surjec-generator*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R M N;$
 $\text{surjec}_{M,N} f; \text{ generator } R M H \rrbracket \implies \text{generator } R N (f \text{ ' } H)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *surjec-finitely-gen*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in m\text{Hom } R M N;$
 $\text{surjec}_{M,N} f; M \text{ fgover } R \rrbracket \implies N \text{ fgover } R$
 <proof>

5.3.1 Sum up coefficients

Symbolic calculation.

lemma (in *Module*) *similar-termTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; a \in A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall s. \forall f. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \wedge$
 $m \in f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow$
 $(\exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. \text{nsum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n \pm a \cdot_s m =$
 $\text{nsum } M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (f j)) n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *similar-term1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; a \in A; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M; m \in f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n \pm a \cdot_s m =$
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (f j)) n$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *same-togetherTr*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall s. \forall f. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rightarrow$
 $(\exists t \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0)\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\exists g \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0)\} \rightarrow f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}.$
 $\text{surj-to } g \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0)\} (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) \wedge$
 $\text{nsum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n = \text{nsum } M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k))$
 $(\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0))$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *same-together*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists t \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\}) - Suc 0)\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\exists g \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0)\} \rightarrow f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}.$
 $\text{surj-to } g \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0)\} (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) \wedge$

$\Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n =$
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *one-last*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H;$
 $\text{bij-to } f \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \ H; j \leq (\text{Suc } n); j \neq (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists t \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow A. \exists g \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H.$
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. s k \cdot_s (f k)) (\text{Suc } n) = \Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (\text{Suc } n) \wedge$
 $g (\text{Suc } n) = f j \wedge t (\text{Suc } n) = s j \wedge \text{bij-to } g \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \ H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finite-lin-spanTr1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; z \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{z\} \wedge t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists s \in \{0::\text{nat}\} \rightarrow A. \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (h j)) n = s \ 0 \cdot_s z)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *single-span*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; z \in \text{carrier } M;$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{z\}; t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists s \in \{0::\text{nat}\} \rightarrow A. \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (h j)) n = s \ 0 \cdot_s z$
 <proof>

definition

coeff-at-k :: $[(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'r}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'r})$ **where**
coeff-at-k $R \ a \ k = (\lambda j. \text{if } j = k \text{ then } a \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_R)$

lemma *card-Nset-im*: $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A \implies$
 $(\text{Suc } 0) \leq \text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *eSum-changeTr1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A;$
 $t \in \{k. k \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (n1::\text{nat})\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} \rightarrow A;$
 $g \in \{k. k \leq (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} \rightarrow f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\};$
 $\text{Suc } 0 < \text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}); g \ x = h (\text{Suc } n); x = \text{Suc } n;$
 $\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 = \text{Suc } (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0) =$
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0) \pm$
 $(t (\text{Suc } (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0)) \cdot_s$
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{card } (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0))))$
 <proof>

definition

zeroi :: $[(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'r}$ **where**
zeroi $R = (\lambda j. \mathbf{0}_R)$

lemma *zeroi-func*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R \ A \rrbracket \implies \text{zeroi } R \in \{j. j \leq 0\} \rightarrow A$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *prep-arrTr1*: \llbracket ideal $R A$; $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M$;
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n1::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$; $s \in \{j. j \leq n1\} \rightarrow A$;
 $m = l\text{-comb } R M n1 s f \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists l \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}. (\exists s \in \{j. j \leq (l::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\exists g \in \{j. j \leq l\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}. m = l\text{-comb } R M l s g \wedge$
 $\text{bij-to } g \{j. j \leq l\} (f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n1\}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *two-func-imageTr*: $\llbracket h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow B$;
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}$; $h (\text{Suc } n) \notin f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq m\} \rrbracket$
 $\implies f \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *finite-lin-spanTr3-0*: $\llbracket \text{bij-to } g \{j. j \leq l\} (g \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq l\})$;
 $\text{ideal } R A$;
 $\forall na. \forall s \in \{j. j \leq na\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\forall f \in \{j. j \leq na\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}.$
 $\exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. l\text{-comb } R M na s f = l\text{-comb } R M n t h$;
 $h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M$; $s \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow A$;
 $f \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}$;
 $l \leq \text{Suc } n$; $sa \in \{j. j \leq l\} \rightarrow A$; $g \in \{j. j \leq l\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}$;
 $0 < l$; $f \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq m\} = g \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq l\}$; $h (\text{Suc } n) = g l \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists t \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow A. l\text{-comb } R M l sa g = l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) t h$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *finite-lin-spanTr3*: $\text{ideal } R A \implies$
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall na. \forall s \in \{j. j \leq (na::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A.$
 $\forall f \in \{j. j \leq na\} \rightarrow (h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}). (\exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A.$
 $l\text{-comb } R M na s f = l\text{-comb } R M n t h))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *finite-lin-span*:
 $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A$; $h \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M$; $s \in \{j. j \leq (n1::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A$;
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n1\} \rightarrow h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies \exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A.$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n1 s f = l\text{-comb } R M n t h$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.3.2 Free generators

definition

free-generator :: $[(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}, \text{'a set}]$
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool where}$

free-generator $R M H \iff \text{generator } R M H \wedge$
 $(\forall n. (\forall s f. (s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge$
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f = \mathbf{0}_M) \longrightarrow s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_R\}))$

lemma (in *Module*) *free-generator-generator:free-generator* $R M H \implies$
generator $R M H$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *free-generator-sub:free-generator* $R M H \implies$
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *free-generator-nonzero*: $\llbracket \neg (\text{zeroring } R);$
free-generator $R M H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \neq \mathbf{0}$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *has-free-generator-nonzeroring*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R M H;$
 $\exists p \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H. p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies \neg \text{zeroring } R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{free-generator } R M H;$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H;$
 $\text{inj-on } m \{j. j \leq n\}; \text{l-comb } R M n s m = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s j = \mathbf{0}_R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *free-gen-coeff-zero*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{free-generator } R M H;$
 $h \in H; a \in \text{carrier } R; a \cdot_s h = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies a = \mathbf{0}_R$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression2*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists m g t. g \in (\{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H) \wedge$
 $\text{bij-to } g \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} (f ' \{j. j \leq n\}) \wedge$
 $t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $\text{l-comb } R M n s f = \text{l-comb } R M m t g$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression3-1*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $f \in \{l. l \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{l. l \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $(f (\text{Suc } n)) \notin f ' (\{l. l \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{\text{Suc } n\}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists g m t. g \in \{l. l \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \wedge$
 $\text{inj-on } g \{l. l \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \wedge$
 $t \in \{l. l \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $\text{l-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) s f =$
 $\text{l-comb } R M m t g \wedge t m = s (\text{Suc } n) \wedge g m = f (\text{Suc } n)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression3-2*: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $f \in \{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $l \leq (\text{Suc } n); (f l) \notin f ' (\{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{l\}); l \neq \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists g m t. g \in \{l. l \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } g \{l. l \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
& t \in \{l. l \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge \\
& l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (Suc \ n) \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g \wedge \\
& t \ m = s \ l \wedge g \ m = f \ l
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression3*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; f \in \{k. k \leq (Suc \ n)\} \rightarrow H; \\
& s \in \{k. k \leq (Suc \ n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; l \leq (Suc \ n); \\
& (f \ l) \notin f' (\{k. k \leq (Suc \ n)\} - \{l\}) \rrbracket \implies \\
& \exists g \ m \ t. g \in \{k. k \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge \\
& \quad \text{inj-on } g \ \{k. k \leq m\} \wedge \\
& \quad t \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge \\
& \quad l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (Suc \ n) \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g \wedge t \ m = s \ l \wedge g \ m = f \ l
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression4*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H \implies$

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \in \{k. k \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } f \ \{k. k \leq n\} \wedge \\
& s \in \{k. k \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f \neq \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow \\
& (\exists m \ g \ t. (g \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow H) \wedge \text{inj-on } g \ \{k. k \leq m\} \wedge \\
& \quad (g' \ \{k. k \leq m\} \subseteq f' \ \{k. k \leq n\}) \wedge (t \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall l \in \{k. k \leq m\}. t \ l \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \wedge l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g)
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-prepression5-0*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } f \ \{j. j \leq n\}; \\
& s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow H; \\
& \text{inj-on } g \ \{j. j \leq m\}; t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
& l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g; \forall j \leq n. s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \forall k \leq m. t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \\
& f \ n \notin g' \ \{j. j \leq m\}; 0 < n \rrbracket \implies \text{False}
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression5*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } f \ \{j. j \leq n\}; \\
& s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H; \\
& \text{inj-on } g \ \{j. j \leq m\}; t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
& l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g; \\
& \forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R \rrbracket \implies \\
& f' \ \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq g' \ \{j. j \leq m\}
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression6*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } f \ \{j. j \leq n\}; \\
& s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
& g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } g \ \{j. j \leq m\}; \\
& t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
& l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g; \\
& \forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R \rrbracket \implies
\end{aligned}$$

$f \{j. j \leq n\} = g \{j. j \leq m\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *unique-expression7-1*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\};$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq m\};$
 $t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g;$
 $\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R \rrbracket \implies n = m$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *unique-expression7-2*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\};$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ t \ f \rrbracket \implies (\forall l \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s \ l = t \ l)$
 <proof>

end

theory Algebra8 imports Algebra7 begin

5.4 nsum and Generators (continued)

lemma (in Module) *unique-expression-last*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H; t \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ t \ g;$
 $\text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}; \text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\};$
 $f \ (\text{Suc } n) = g \ (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies s \ (\text{Suc } n) = t \ (\text{Suc } n)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *unique-exprTr7p1*: $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $\forall f \ s \ g \ t \ m.$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq m\} \wedge t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g \wedge$
 $(\forall j \leq n. s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \wedge (\forall k \leq m. t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \longrightarrow$
 $n = m \wedge$
 $(\exists h. h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge$
 $(\forall l \leq n. \text{cmp } f \ h \ l = g \ l \wedge \text{cmp } s \ h \ l = t \ l));$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H; t \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ t \ g; \forall j \leq \text{Suc } n. s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R;$
 $\forall k \leq \text{Suc } n. t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}; \text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\};$
 $f \ (\text{Suc } n) = g \ (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies \exists h. h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \wedge$
 $(\forall l \leq \text{Suc } n. \text{cmp } f \ h \ l = g \ l \wedge \text{cmp } s \ h \ l = t \ l)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *unique-expression7:free-generator* $R M H \implies$
 $\forall f s g t m. f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge inj\text{-on } f \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge$
 $s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R \wedge$
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge inj\text{-on } g \{j. j \leq m\} \wedge$
 $t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow carrier R \wedge l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g \wedge$
 $(\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s j \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \wedge (\forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. t k \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \longrightarrow n = m \wedge$
 $(\exists h. h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge (\forall l \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (cmp f h) l = g l$
 $\wedge (cmp s h) l = t l))$
<proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *gen-mHom-eq*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ generator } R M H; f \in mHom R M N;$
 $g \in mHom R M N; \forall h \in H. f h = g h \rrbracket \implies f = g$
<proof>

5.5 Existence of homomorphism

definition

$fgs :: [(\prime r, \prime m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\prime a, \prime r, \prime m1) \text{ Module-scheme}, \prime a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow$
 $\prime a \text{ set}$ **where**

$fgs R M A = linear\text{-span } R M (carrier R) A$

definition

$fsp :: [(\prime r, \prime m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\prime a, \prime r, \prime m1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\prime b, \prime r, \prime m2) \text{ Module-scheme}, \prime a \Rightarrow \prime b, \prime a \text{ set}, \prime a \text{ set}, \prime a \Rightarrow \prime b] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $fsp R M N f H A g \longleftrightarrow g \in mHom R (mdl M (fgs R M A)) N \wedge (\forall z \in A. f z =$
 $g z) \wedge A \subseteq H$

definition

$fsps :: [(\prime r, \prime m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\prime a, \prime r, \prime m1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\prime b, \prime r, \prime m2) \text{ Module-scheme}, \prime a \Rightarrow \prime b, \prime a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow$
 $((\prime a \text{ set}) * (\prime a \Rightarrow \prime b)) \text{ set}$ **where**
 $fsps R M N f H = \{Z. \exists A g. Z = (A, g) \wedge fsp R M N f H A g\}$

definition

$od\text{-fm-fun} :: [(\prime r, \prime m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\prime a, \prime r, \prime m1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\prime b, \prime r, \prime m2) \text{ Module-scheme}, \prime a \Rightarrow \prime b, \prime a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow$
 $((\prime a \text{ set}) * (\prime a \Rightarrow \prime b)) \text{ Order}$ **where**
 $od\text{-fm-fun } R M N f H = (\downarrow carrier = fsps R M N f H,$
 $rel = \{Y. Y \in (fsps R M N f H) \times (fsps R M N f H) \wedge$
 $(fst (fst Y)) \subseteq (fst (snd Y))\} \downarrow)$

lemma (in *Module*) *od-fm-fun-carrier:carrier* $(od\text{-fm-fun } R M N f H) =$
 $fsps R M N f H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *fgs-submodule*: $a \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R \ M \ (fgs \ R \ M \ a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *fgs-sub-carrier*: $a \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies (fgs \ R \ M \ a) \subseteq \text{carrier } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *elem-fgs*: $\llbracket a \subseteq \text{carrier } M; x \in a \rrbracket \implies x \in fgs \ R \ M \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *fst-chain-subset*: $\llbracket R \ \text{module } N; \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq fsps \ R \ M \ N \ f \ H; (a, b) \in C \rrbracket \implies a \subseteq \text{carrier } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *empty-fsp*: $\llbracket R \ \text{module } N; \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies (\{\}, (\lambda x \in \{\mathbf{0}_M\}. \mathbf{0}_N)) \in fsps \ R \ M \ N \ f \ H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *mem-fgs-l-comb*: $\llbracket K \neq \{\}; K \subseteq \text{carrier } M; x \in fgs \ R \ M \ K \rrbracket$
 \implies

$\exists (n::nat).$
 $\exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow K. \exists s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R.$
 $x = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *PairE-lemma*: $\exists x \ y. p = (x, y) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *fsps-chain-boundTr1*: $\llbracket R \ \text{module } N; \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq fsps \ R \ M \ N \ f \ H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. fst \ a \subseteq fst \ b \vee fst \ b \subseteq fst \ a; \forall a \ b. (a, b) \in C \longrightarrow$
 $(a, b) \in fsps \ R \ M \ N \ f \ H; \exists x. (\exists b. (x, b) \in C) \wedge x \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}$
 $\longrightarrow (\exists (c, d) \in C. fa \ ' \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq c)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *fsps-chain-boundTr1-1*: $\llbracket R \ \text{module } N; \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq fsps \ R \ M \ N \ f \ H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. fst \ a \subseteq fst \ b \vee fst \ b \subseteq fst \ a;$
 $\exists x. (\exists b. (x, b) \in C) \wedge x \neq \{\};$
 $fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists (c, d) \in C. fa \ ' \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *fsps-chain-boundTr1-2*: $\llbracket R \ \text{module } N; \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq fsps \ R \ M \ N \ f \ H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. fst \ a \subseteq fst \ b \vee fst \ b \subseteq fst \ a;$
 $\exists x. (\exists b. (x, b) \in C) \wedge x \neq \{\};$

$fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\} \implies$
 $\exists P \in C. fa \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq fst P$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) eSum-in-SubmoduleTr: $\llbracket H \subseteq carrier M; K \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow K \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R \longrightarrow$
 $l\text{-comb } R \text{ (mdl } M \text{ (fgs } R \text{ } M \text{ } K)) \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } f = l\text{-comb } R \text{ } M \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) eSum-in-Submodule: $\llbracket H \subseteq carrier M; K \subseteq H;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow K; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R \text{ (mdl } M \text{ (fgs } R \text{ } M \text{ } K)) \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } f = l\text{-comb } R \text{ } M \text{ } n \text{ } s \text{ } f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) fgs-generator: $H \subseteq carrier M \implies$
 $generator R \text{ (mdl } M \text{ (fgs } R \text{ } M \text{ } H)) \text{ } H$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) fgs-mono: $\llbracket free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H; J \subseteq K; K \subseteq H \rrbracket$
 $\implies fgs R \text{ } M \text{ } J \subseteq fgs R \text{ } M \text{ } K$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) empty-fgs: $fgs R \text{ } M \text{ } \{\} = \{0\}$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) mem-fsps-snd-mHom: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; (a, b) \in fsps R \text{ } M \text{ } N \text{ } f \text{ } H \rrbracket \implies$
 $b \in mHom R \text{ (mdl } M \text{ (fgs } R \text{ } M \text{ } a)) \text{ } N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) mem-fsps-fst-sub: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; (a, b) \in fsps R \text{ } M \text{ } N \text{ } f \text{ } H \rrbracket \implies a \subseteq H$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) mem-fsps-fst-sub1: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; (a, b) \in fsps R \text{ } M \text{ } N \text{ } f \text{ } H \rrbracket \implies a \subseteq carrier M$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) Order-od-fm-fun: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N \rrbracket \implies Order \text{ (od-fm-fun } R \text{ } M \text{ } N \text{ } f \text{ } H)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) fsps-chain-boundTr2-1: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N;$
 $free\text{-generator } R \text{ } M \text{ } H; f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; C \subseteq fsps R \text{ } M \text{ } N \text{ } f \text{ } H;$
 $(a, b) \in C; (aa, ba) \in C; x \in fgs R \text{ } M \text{ } a; x \in fgs R \text{ } M \text{ } aa; a \subseteq aa \rrbracket$
 $\implies b x = ba x$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-boundTr2-2*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a; C \neq \{\}; (a, b) \in C;$
 $x \in \text{fgs } R M a; (a1, b1) \in C; x \in \text{fgs } R M a1 \rrbracket \implies b x = b1 x$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-boundTr2*: $\wedge x. \llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a;$
 $x \in (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})); C \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge (\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x)) \in$
 $(\text{carrier } N) \wedge$
 $(\exists a1 b1. (a1, b1) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a1 \wedge$
 $(\text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge (\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x)) =$
 $b1 x)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *Un-C-submodule*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R M (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}))$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *Un-C-fgs-sub*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\} \subseteq \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *Chain-3-supset*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a; (a1, b1) \in C; (a2, b2) \in C;$
 $(a3, b3) \in C \rrbracket \implies \exists (g, h) \in C. a1 \subseteq g \wedge a2 \subseteq g \wedge a3 \subseteq g$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-bound1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H;$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a; C \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\lambda x \in (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})). (\text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge$
 $(\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x))) \in$
 $m\text{Hom } R (\text{mdl } M (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}))) N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-bound2*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall y \in (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}). (\lambda x \in (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})).$
 $(\text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge (\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x))) y =$

$f y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *od-fm-fun-Chain*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{ Algebra2.Chain } (\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\}\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *od-fm-fun-inPr0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{ Algebra2.Chain } (\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\};$
 $\exists b. (y, b) \in C; z \in y \rrbracket \implies z \in \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *od-fm-fun-indPr1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{ Algebra2.Chain } (\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\}\rrbracket \implies$
 $(\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\},$
 $\lambda x \in \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}). \text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge$
 $(\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x)) \in \text{fsps } R M N f H$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *od-fm-fun-indPr2*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{ Algebra2.Chain } (\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\}\rrbracket \implies$
 $ub_{\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H} C (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\},$
 $\lambda x \in \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}). \text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge$
 $(\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *od-fm-fun-inductive*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies \text{inductive-set } (\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *sSum-eq*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{ free-generator } R M H; H1 \subseteq H;$
 $h \in H - H1 \rrbracket \implies (\text{fgs } R M H1) \mp (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}) = \text{fgs } R M (H1 \cup \{h\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

monofun :: $[(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m2}) \text{ Module-scheme}, \text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}, \text{'a set}, \text{'a} \Rightarrow (\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}) \text{ where}$
 $\text{monofun } R M N f H h = (\lambda x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}.$
 $(\text{THE } y. (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. x = r \cdot_s M h \wedge y = r \cdot_s N (f h)))]$

lemma (in Module) *fgs-single-span*: $\llbracket h \in \text{carrier } M; x \in (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists a \in \text{carrier } R. x = a \cdot_s h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Module) *monofun-mHomTr*: $\llbracket h \in H; \text{ free-generator } R M H;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } R; r \in \text{carrier } R; a \cdot_s h = r \cdot_s h \rrbracket \implies a = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *monofun-mhomTr1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; h \in H; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{monofun } R M N f H h (a \cdot_s h) = a \cdot_{sN} (f h)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *monofun-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; h \in H; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}; f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{monofun } R M N f H h x \in \text{carrier } N$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *monofun-eq-f*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; h \in H; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies \text{monofun } R M N f H h h = f h$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *sSum-unique*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; H1 \subseteq H;$

$$h \in H - H1; x1 \in (\text{fgs } R M H1); x2 \in (\text{fgs } R M H1); \\ y1 \in (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}); y2 \in (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}); x1 \pm y1 = x2 \pm y2 \rrbracket \implies \\ x1 = x2 \wedge y1 = y2$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *ex-extensionTr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; H1 \subseteq H; h \in H; h \notin H1;$$

$$g \in \text{mHom } R (\text{mdl } M (\text{fgs } R M H1)) N;$$

$$x \in \text{fgs } R M H1 \mp (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}) \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\exists k1 \in \text{fgs } R M H1. \exists k2 \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}. x = k1 \pm k2 \wedge$$

$$(\text{THE } y. \exists h1 \in \text{fgs } R M H1. \exists h2 \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}. x = h1 \pm h2 \wedge y = g h1 \pm_N$$

$$(\text{monofun } R M N f H h h2)) = g k1 \pm_N (\text{monofun } R M N f H h k2)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *monofun-add*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; h \in H; x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}; y \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\} \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{monofun } R M N f H h (x \pm y) =$$

$$\text{monofun } R M N f H h x \pm_N (\text{monofun } R M N f H h y)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *monofun-sprod*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; h \in H; x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{monofun } R M N f H h (a \cdot_s x) = a \cdot_{sN} (\text{monofun } R M N f H h x)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *monofun-0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; h \in H \rrbracket \implies \text{monofun } R M N f H h \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_N$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *ex-extension*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; H1 \subseteq H; h \in H - H1; (H1, g) \in \text{fsps } R M N f H \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\exists k. ((H1 \cup \{h\}), k) \in \text{fsps } R M N f H$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Module*) *mHom-mHom*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; g \in m\text{Hom } R (mdl M (carrier M)) N \rrbracket$
 $\implies g \in m\text{Hom } R M N$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *exist-extension-mhom*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N \rrbracket \implies \exists g \in m\text{Hom } R M N. \forall x \in H. g x = f x$
 <proof>

5.6 Nakayama lemma

definition

Lcg :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, nat \rrbracket \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
Lcg *R M j* $\longleftrightarrow (\exists H. \text{finite-generator } R M H \wedge j = \text{card } H)$

lemma (in *Module*) *NAKTr1*: $M \text{ fgover } R \implies$
 $\exists H. \text{finite-generator } R M H \wedge (\text{LEAST } j.$
 $\exists L. \text{finite-generator } R M L \wedge j = \text{card } L) = \text{card } H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *NAKTr2*: $\llbracket Lcg R M j; k < (\text{LEAST } j. Lcg R M j) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg Lcg R M k$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *NAKTr3*: $\llbracket M \text{ fgover } R; H \subseteq carrier M; \text{finite } H;$
 $\text{card } H < (\text{LEAST } j. \exists L. \text{finite-generator } R M L \wedge j = \text{card } L) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\neg \text{finite-generator } R M H$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *finite-gen-over-ideal*: $\llbracket ideal R A; h \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow$
 $carrier M; \text{generator } R M (h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq n\}); A \odot_R M = carrier M;$
 $m \in carrier M \rrbracket \implies \exists s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. m = l\text{-comb } R M n s h$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *NAKTr4*: $\llbracket ideal R A; h \in \{j. j \leq (k::nat)\} \rightarrow carrier M;$
 $0 < k; h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq k\} \subseteq carrier M; s \in \{j. j \leq k\} \rightarrow A;$
 $h k = \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (h j)) (k - \text{Suc } 0) \pm (s k \cdot_s (h k)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(1_{rR} \pm_R (-a_R (s k))) \cdot_s (h k) = \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (h j)) (k - \text{Suc } 0)$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *NAKTr5*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; ideal R A; A \subseteq J\text{-rad } R;$
 $A \odot_R M = carrier M; \text{finite-generator } R M H; \text{card } H = \text{Suc } k; 0 < k \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists h \in \{j. j \leq k\} \rightarrow carrier M. H = h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq k\} \wedge$
 $h k \in \text{linear-span } R M A (h \text{ ' } \{j. j \leq (k - \text{Suc } 0)\})$
 <proof>

lemma (in *Module*) *NAK*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; M \text{ fgover } R; \text{ideal } R \ A; A \subseteq J\text{-rad } R;$
 $A \odot_R M = \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies \text{carrier } M = \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *fg-qmodule*: $\llbracket M \text{ fgover } R; \text{submodule } R \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(M /_m N) \text{ fgover } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Module*) *NAK1*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; M \text{ fgover } R; \text{submodule } R \ M \ N;$
 $\text{ideal } R \ A; A \subseteq J\text{-rad } R; \text{carrier } M = A \odot_R M \mp N \rrbracket \implies \text{carrier } M = N$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

5.7 Direct sum and direct products of modules

definition

prodM-sprod :: $[(\prime r, \prime m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \prime i \text{ set},$
 $\prime i \Rightarrow (\prime a, \prime r, \prime m1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \prime r \Rightarrow (\prime i \Rightarrow \prime a) \Rightarrow (\prime i \Rightarrow \prime a) \text{ where}$
 $\text{prodM-sprod } R \ I \ A = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier } R. \lambda g \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A.$
 $(\lambda j \in I. a \cdot_{s(A \ j)} (g \ j)))$

definition

prodM :: $[(\prime r, \prime m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \prime i \text{ set}, \prime i \Rightarrow (\prime a, \prime r, \prime m1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $\langle \text{carrier} :: (\prime i \Rightarrow \prime a) \text{ set},$
 $\text{pop} :: [\prime i \Rightarrow \prime a, \prime i \Rightarrow \prime a] \Rightarrow (\prime i \Rightarrow \prime a),$
 $\text{mop} :: (\prime i \Rightarrow \prime a) \Rightarrow (\prime i \Rightarrow \prime a), \text{zero} :: (\prime i \Rightarrow \prime a),$
 $\text{sprod} :: [\prime r, \prime i \Rightarrow \prime a] \Rightarrow (\prime i \Rightarrow \prime a) \rangle \text{ where}$
 $\text{prodM } R \ I \ A = \langle \text{carrier} = \text{carr-prodag } I \ A,$
 $\text{pop} = \text{prod-pOp } I \ A, \text{mop} = \text{prod-mOp } I \ A,$
 $\text{zero} = \text{prod-zero } I \ A, \text{sprod} = \text{prodM-sprod } R \ I \ A \rangle$

definition

mProject :: $[(\prime r, \prime m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \prime i \text{ set},$
 $\prime i \Rightarrow (\prime a, \prime r, \prime more) \text{ Module-scheme}, \prime i] \Rightarrow (\prime i \Rightarrow \prime a) \Rightarrow \prime a \text{ where}$
 $\text{mProject } R \ I \ A \ j = (\lambda f \in \text{carr-prodag } I \ A. f \ j)$

abbreviation

PRODMODULES $\langle (\langle 3m\Pi. - \rangle [72, 72, 73] 72) \text{ where}$
 $m\Pi_R \ I \ A == \text{prodM } R \ I \ A$

lemma (in *Ring*) *prodM-carr*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. (R \ \text{module } (M \ i)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (\text{prodM } R \ I \ M) = \text{carr-prodag } I \ M$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *prodM-mem-eq*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. (R \ \text{module } (M \ i));$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodM } R \ I \ M); m2 \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodM } R \ I \ M);$
 $\forall i \in I. m1 \ i = m2 \ i \rrbracket \implies m1 = m2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *Ring*) *prodM-sprod-mem*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. (R \ \text{module } (M \ i)); a \in \text{carrier } R;$

$m \in \text{carr-prodag } I M \implies \text{prodM-sprod } R I M a m \in \text{carr-prodag } I M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prodM-sprod-val*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i)); a \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $m \in \text{carr-prodag } I M; j \in I \rrbracket \implies (\text{prodM-sprod } R I M a m) j = a \cdot_s(M j) (m j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *prodM-Module*: $\forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i)) \implies$
 $R \text{ module } (\text{prodM } R I M)$

⟨proof⟩

definition

dsumM :: [(*r*, *m*) Ring-scheme, *i* set, *i* \Rightarrow (*a*, *r*, *more*) Module-scheme]
 \Rightarrow (\emptyset carrier :: (*i* \Rightarrow *a*) set,
 pop :: [*i* \Rightarrow *a*, *i* \Rightarrow *a*] \Rightarrow (*i* \Rightarrow *a*),
 mop :: (*i* \Rightarrow *a*) \Rightarrow (*i* \Rightarrow *a*),
 zero :: (*i* \Rightarrow *a*),
 sprod :: [*r*, *i* \Rightarrow *a*] \Rightarrow (*i* \Rightarrow *a*) \emptyset) **where**

dsumM *R I A* = (\emptyset carrier = carr-dsumag *I A*,
 pop = prod-pOp *I A*, mop = prod-mOp *I A*,
 zero = prod-zero *I A*, sprod = prodM-sprod *R I A*)

abbreviation

DSUMMOD ($\langle \langle \exists \text{-}\Sigma_d \text{-} \rangle \rangle$ [72,72,73]72) **where**
 $R^{\Sigma_d I} A == \text{dsumM } R I A$

lemma (in Ring) *dsumM-carr*:carrier (*dsumM* *R I M*) = carr-dsumag *I M*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *dsum-sprod-mem*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. R \text{ module } M i; a \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $b \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M \rrbracket \implies \text{prodM-sprod } R I M a b \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *carr-dsum-prod*:carr-dsumag *I M* \subseteq carr-prodag *I M*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *carr-dsum-prod1*:
 $\forall x. x \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M \longrightarrow x \in \text{carr-prodag } I M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *carr-dsumM-mem-eq*: $\llbracket \forall i \in I. R \text{ module } M i; x \in \text{carr-dsumag } I$
 $M;$
 $y \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M; \forall j \in I. x j = y j \rrbracket \implies x = y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *dsumM-Module*: $\forall i \in I. R \text{ module } (M i) \implies R \text{ module } (R^{\Sigma_d I} M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

$ringModule :: ('r, 'b) \text{ Ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('r, 'r) \text{ Module}$

$\langle (M.) \rangle$ [998]999 **where**

$M_R = (\text{carrier} = \text{carrier } R, \text{pop} = \text{pop } R, \text{mop} = \text{mop } R,$
 $\text{zero} = \text{zero } R, \text{sprod} = \text{tp } R)$

lemma (in *Ring*) $ringModule\text{-}Module:R \text{ module } M_R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$dsumMhom :: ['i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'r, 'm) \text{ Module-scheme},$

$'i \Rightarrow ('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow$

$('i \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ where}$

$dsumMhom \ I \ A \ B \ S = (\lambda f \in \text{carr-dsumag } I \ A. (\lambda k \in I. (S \ k) (f \ k)))$

lemma (in *Ring*) $dsumMhom\text{-}mem: [\forall i \in I. R \text{ module } M \ i; \forall i \in I. R \text{ module } N \ i;$

$\forall i \in I. S \ i \in mHom \ R \ (M \ i) \ (N \ i); x \in \text{carr-dsumag } I \ M]$

$\implies dsumMhom \ I \ M \ N \ S \ x \in \text{carr-dsumag } I \ N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $dsumMhom\text{-}mHom: [\forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M \ i));$

$\forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (N \ i)); \forall i \in I. ((S \ i) \in mHom \ R \ (M \ i) \ (N \ i))]$

$\implies dsumMhom \ I \ M \ N \ S \in mHom \ R \ (dsumM \ R \ I \ M) \ (dsumM \ R \ I \ N)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *Algebra9* **imports** *Algebra8* **begin**

5.8 Exact sequence

definition

$Zm :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a] \Rightarrow ('a, 'r) \text{ Module} \text{ where}$

$Zm \ R \ e = (\text{carrier} = \{e\}, \text{pop} = \lambda x \in \{e\}. \lambda y \in \{e\}. e, \text{mop} =$

$\lambda x \in \{e\}. e, \text{zero} = e, \text{sprod} = \lambda r \in \text{carrier } R. \lambda x \in \{e\}. e)$

lemma (in *Ring*) $Zm\text{-}Module:R \text{ module } (Zm \ R \ e)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $Zm\text{-}carrier: \text{carrier } (Zm \ R \ e) = \{e\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $Zm\text{-}to\text{-}M\text{-}0: [R \text{ module } M; f \in mHom \ R \ (Zm \ R \ e) \ M] \implies$

$f \ e = \mathbf{0}_M$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Z-to-M*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; f \in mHom R (Zm R e) M;$
 $g \in mHom R (Zm R e) M \rrbracket \implies f = g$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *mzeromap-mHom*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $mzeromap M N \in mHom R M N$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *HOM-carrier:carrier* $(HOM_R M N) = mHom R M N$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *mHom-Z-M*: $R \text{ module } M \implies$
 $mHom R (Zm R e) M = \{mzeromap (Zm R e) M\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Module) *Modules-single-carrier-isom*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{carrier } M = \{\mathbf{0}\};$
 $\text{carrier } N = \{\mathbf{0}_N\} \rrbracket \implies M \cong_R N$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *Zm-isom*: $(Zm R (e::'a)) \cong_R (Zm R (u::'b))$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *HOM-Z-M-0*: $R \text{ module } M \implies HOM_R (Zm R e) M \cong_R (Zm R$

$e)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *M-to-Z*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; f \in mHom R M (Zm R e);$
 $g \in mHom R M (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies f = g$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *mHom-to-zero*: $R \text{ module } M \implies mHom R M (Zm R e) =$
 $\{mzeromap M (Zm R e)\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *carrier-HOM-M-Z*: $R \text{ module } M \implies$
 $\text{carrier } (HOM_R M (Zm R e)) = \{mzeromap M (Zm R e)\}$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *HOM-M-Z-0*: $R \text{ module } M \implies HOM_R M (Zm R e) \cong_R (Zm R$

$e)$

<proof>

lemma (in Ring) *M-to-Z-0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; f \in mHom R M (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies$
 $ker_{M,(Zm R e)} f = \text{carrier } M$

<proof>

definition

$exact3 :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b,$
 $('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'b \Rightarrow 'c, ('c, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

$exact3 \ R \ L0 \ h0 \ L1 \ h1 \ L2 == h0 \ ' (carrier \ L0) = ker_{(L1),(L2)} \ h1$

definition

$exact4 :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a0, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a0 \Rightarrow 'a1,$
 $('a1, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a1 \Rightarrow 'a2, ('a2, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $'a2 \Rightarrow 'a3, ('a3, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$exact4 \ R \ L0 \ h0 \ L1 \ h1 \ L2 \ h2 \ L3 \ \longleftrightarrow \ h0 \ ' (carrier \ L0) = ker_{(L1),(L2)} \ h1 \ \wedge$
 $h1 \ ' (carrier \ L1) = ker_{(L2),(L3)} \ h2$

definition

$exact5 :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a0, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a0 \Rightarrow 'a1,$
 $('a1, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a1 \Rightarrow 'a2, ('a2, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $'a2 \Rightarrow 'a3, ('a3, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a3 \Rightarrow 'a4,$
 $('a4, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$exact5 \ R \ L0 \ h0 \ L1 \ h1 \ L2 \ h2 \ L3 \ h3 \ L4 == h0 \ ' (carrier \ L0) = ker_{(L1),(L2)} \ h1$
 \wedge
 $h1 \ ' (carrier \ L1) = ker_{(L2),(L3)} \ h2 \ \wedge \ h2 \ ' (carrier \ L2) = ker_{(L3),(L4)} \ h3$

definition

$exact8 :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a0, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a0 \Rightarrow 'a1,$
 $('a1, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a1 \Rightarrow 'a2, ('a2, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $'a2 \Rightarrow 'a3, ('a3, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a3 \Rightarrow 'a4,$
 $('a4, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a4 \Rightarrow 'a5, ('a5, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $'a5 \Rightarrow 'a6, ('a6, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a6 \Rightarrow 'a7,$
 $('a7, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$exact8 \ R \ L0 \ h0 \ L1 \ h1 \ L2 \ h2 \ L3 \ h3 \ L4 \ h4 \ L5 \ h5 \ L6 \ h6 \ L7 \ \longleftrightarrow$
 $h0 \ ' (carrier \ L0) = ker_{(L1),(L2)} \ h1 \ \wedge \ h1 \ ' (carrier \ L1) = ker_{(L2),(L3)} \ h2 \ \wedge$
 $h2 \ ' (carrier \ L2) = ker_{(L3),(L4)} \ h3 \ \wedge \ h3 \ ' (carrier \ L3) = ker_{(L4),(L5)} \ h4 \ \wedge$
 $h4 \ ' (carrier \ L4) = ker_{(L5),(L6)} \ h5 \ \wedge \ h5 \ ' (carrier \ L5) = ker_{(L6),(L7)} \ h6$

lemma (in Ring) exact3-comp-0: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$

$f \in mHom \ R \ L \ M; g \in mHom \ R \ M \ N; exact3 \ R \ L \ f \ M \ g \ N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$compos \ L \ g \ f = mzeromap \ L \ N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) exact-im-sub-kern: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$

$f \in mHom \ R \ L \ M; g \in mHom \ R \ M \ N; exact3 \ R \ L \ f \ M \ g \ N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$f \ ' (carrier \ L) \subseteq ker_{M,N} \ g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) mzero-im-sub-ker: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$

$f \in mHom \ R \ L \ M; g \in mHom \ R \ M \ N; compos \ L \ g \ f = mzeromap \ L \ N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$f \ ' (carrier \ L) \subseteq ker_{M,N} \ g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) left-exact-injec: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$

$z \in mHom\ R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ M; f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N; exact3\ R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ z\ M\ f\ N]$
 \implies
 $injec_{M,N}\ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *injec-left-exact*: $[[R\ module\ M; R\ module\ N;$
 $z \in mHom\ R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ M; f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N; injec_{M,N}\ f]] \implies$
 $exact3\ R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ z\ M\ f\ N$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *injec-mHom-image*: $[[R\ module\ N; R\ module\ M1; R\ module\ M2;$
 $x \in mHom\ R\ N\ M2; f \in mHom\ R\ M1\ M2; x\ ' (carrier\ N) \subseteq f\ ' (carrier$
 $M1);$
 $injec_{M1,M2}\ f]] \implies$
 $(\lambda n \in (carrier\ N). (SOME\ m. (m \in carrier\ M1 \wedge x\ n = f\ m))) \in mHom\ R\ N$
 $M1 \wedge$
 $compos\ N\ f\ (\lambda n \in (carrier\ N). (SOME\ m. m \in carrier\ M1 \wedge x\ n = f\ m)) = x$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *right-exact-surjec*: $[[R\ module\ M; R\ module\ N; f \in mHom\ R\ M$
 $N;$
 $p \in mHom\ R\ N\ (Zm\ R\ e); exact3\ R\ M\ f\ N\ p\ (Zm\ R\ e)]] \implies surjec_{M,N}\ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *surjec-right-exact*: $[[R\ module\ M; R\ module\ N; f \in mHom\ R\ M$
 $N;$
 $p \in mHom\ R\ N\ (Zm\ R\ e); surjec_{M,N}\ f]] \implies exact3\ R\ M\ f\ N\ p\ (Zm\ R\ e)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *exact4-exact3*: $[[R\ module\ M; R\ module\ N; z \in mHom\ R\ (Zm\ R$
 $e)\ M;$
 $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N; z1 \in mHom\ R\ N\ (Zm\ R\ e);$
 $exact4\ R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ z\ M\ f\ N\ z1\ (Zm\ R\ e)]] \implies$
 $exact3\ R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ z\ M\ f\ N \wedge exact3\ R\ M\ f\ N\ z1\ (Zm\ R\ e)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *exact4-bijec*: $[[R\ module\ M; R\ module\ N; z \in mHom\ R\ (Zm\ R\ e)$
 $M;$
 $f \in mHom\ R\ M\ N; z1 \in mHom\ R\ N\ (Zm\ R\ e);$
 $exact4\ R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ z\ M\ f\ N\ z1\ (Zm\ R\ e)]] \implies bijec_{M,N}\ f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) *exact-im-sub-ker*: $[[R\ module\ L; R\ module\ M; R\ module\ N;$
 $f \in mHom\ R\ L\ M; g \in mHom\ R\ M\ N; z1 \in mHom\ R\ N\ (Zm\ R\ e); R\ module$
 $Z;$
 $exact4\ R\ L\ f\ M\ g\ N\ z1\ (Zm\ R\ e); x \in mHom\ R\ M\ Z; compos\ L\ x\ f = mzeromap$
 $L\ Z]]$

$\implies (\lambda z \in (\text{carrier } N). x (\text{SOME } y. y \in \text{carrier } M \wedge g y = z)) \in m\text{Hom } R N Z$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) exact-im-sub-ker1: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$
 $f \in m\text{Hom } R L M; g \in m\text{Hom } R M N; z1 \in m\text{Hom } R N (Zm R e); R \text{ module}$
 $Z;$
 $\text{exact4 } R L f M g N z1 (Zm R e); x \in m\text{Hom } R M Z;$
 $\text{compos } L x f = m\text{zeromap } L Z \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{compos } M (\lambda z \in (\text{carrier } N). x (\text{SOME } y. y \in \text{carrier } M \wedge g y = z)) g = x$
 <proof>

definition

$\text{module-iota} :: [(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Module-scheme}] \implies$
 $\text{'a} \implies \text{'a} \ (\langle \text{m}_L \text{ -} \rangle [92, 93] 92) \text{ where}$
 $m_L M = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. x)$

lemma (in Ring) short-exact-sequence: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; \text{submodule } R M N;$
 $z \in m\text{Hom } R (Zm R e) (\text{mdl } M N); z1 \in m\text{Hom } R (M /_m N) (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{exact5 } R (Zm R e) z (\text{mdl } M N) (m_L (\text{mdl } M N)) M (\text{mpj } M N) (M /_m N) z1$
 $(Zm R e)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) reexact4-lexact4-HOM: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module}$
 $M3;$
 $f \in m\text{Hom } R M1 M2; g \in m\text{Hom } R M2 M3; z1 \in m\text{Hom } R M3 (Zm R e);$
 $\text{exact4 } R M1 f M2 g M3 z1 (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall N. R \text{ module } N \longrightarrow$
 $\text{exact4 } R (HOM_R (Zm R e) N) (\text{sup-sharp } R M3 (Zm R e) N z1) (HOM_R M3$
 $N)$
 $(\text{sup-sharp } R M2 M3 N g) (HOM_R M2 N) (\text{sup-sharp } R M1 M2 N f) (HOM_R M1$
 $N)$

<proof>

lemma exact-HOM-exactTr: $\llbracket \text{Ring } (R::(\text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}); f \in m\text{Hom } R M1$
 $M2;$
 $g \in m\text{Hom } R M2 M3; z1 \in m\text{Hom } R M3 (Zm R e); R \text{ module } NV;$
 $\forall (N::(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Module-scheme}). R \text{ module } N \longrightarrow$
 $\text{exact4 } R (HOM_R (Zm R e) N) (\text{sup-sharp } R M3 (Zm R e) N z1)$
 $(HOM_R M3 N) (\text{sup-sharp } R M2 M3 N g) (HOM_R M2 N) (\text{sup-sharp } R M1$
 $M2 N f)$
 $(HOM_R M1 N); R \text{ module } (L::(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m}) \text{ Module-scheme}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{exact4 } R (HOM_R (Zm R e) L) (\text{sup-sharp } R M3 (Zm R e) L z1)$
 $(HOM_R M3 L) (\text{sup-sharp } R M2 M3 L g) (HOM_R M2 L) (\text{sup-sharp } R M1 M2$
 $L f)$
 $(HOM_R M1 L)$

<proof>

lemma *lexact4-reexact4-HOM*: \llbracket Ring R ; R module $M1$; R module $M2$; R module $M3$;
 $f \in mHom\ R\ M1\ M2$; $g \in mHom\ R\ M2\ M3$; $z \in mHom\ R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ M1$;
exact4 $R\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ z\ M1\ f\ M2\ g\ M3\ \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall N. R\ module\ N \longrightarrow exact4\ R\ (HOM_R\ N\ (Zm\ R\ e))\ (sub\ sharp\ R\ N\ (Zm\ R\ e)\ M1\ z)$
 $(HOM_R\ N\ M1)\ (sub\ sharp\ R\ N\ M1\ M2\ f)\ (HOM_R\ N\ M2)\ (sub\ sharp\ R\ N\ M2\ M3\ g)$
 $(HOM_R\ N\ M3)$

<proof>

5.9 Tensor product

definition

prod-carr :: $[('a, 'r, 'm)\ Module\ scheme, ('b, 'r, 'm)\ Module\ scheme]$
 $\Rightarrow ('a * 'b)\ set\ (\mathbf{infixl}\ \langle \times_c \rangle\ 100)\ \mathbf{where}$
 $M \times_c N = carrier\ M \times carrier\ N$

definition

bilinear-map :: $['a * 'b \Rightarrow 'c, ('r, 'm)\ Ring\ scheme,$
 $('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module\ scheme, ('b, 'r, 'm1)\ Module\ scheme,$
 $('c, 'r, 'm1)\ Module\ scheme] \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$
bilinear-map $f\ R\ M1\ M2\ N \longleftrightarrow f \in M1 \times_c M2 \rightarrow carrier\ N \wedge$
 $f \in extensional\ (M1 \times_c M2) \wedge$
 $(\forall x1 \in carrier\ M1. \forall x2 \in carrier\ M1.$
 $\quad \forall y \in carrier\ M2. (f\ (x1 \pm_{M1}\ x2, y) = f\ (x1, y) \pm_N\ (f\ (x2, y)))) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in carrier\ M1. \forall y1 \in carrier\ M2.$
 $\quad \forall y2 \in carrier\ M2. f\ (x, y1 \pm_{M2}\ y2) = f\ (x, y1) \pm_N\ (f\ (x, y2))) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in carrier\ M1. \forall y \in carrier\ M2.$
 $\quad \forall r \in carrier\ R. f\ (r \cdot_{sM1}\ x, y) = r \cdot_{sN}\ (f\ (x, y)) \wedge$
 $\quad f\ (x, r \cdot_{sM2}\ y) = r \cdot_{sN}\ (f\ (x, y)))$

lemma (**in** *Ring*) *prod-carr-mem*: $\llbracket R\ module\ M; R\ module\ N; m \in carrier\ M;$
 $n \in carrier\ N \rrbracket \implies (m, n) \in M \times_c N$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Ring*) *bilinear-func*:*bilinear-map* $f\ R\ M\ N\ Z \implies$
 $f \in M \times_c N \rightarrow carrier\ Z$

<proof>

lemma (**in** *Ring*) *bilinear-mem*: $\llbracket R\ module\ M1; R\ module\ M2; R\ module\ N;$
 $m1 \in carrier\ M1; m2 \in carrier\ M2; \mathbf{bilinear-map}\ f\ R\ M1\ M2\ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f\ (m1, m2) \in carrier\ N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-l-add*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m11 \in \text{carrier } M1; m12 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (m11 \pm_{M1} m12, m2) = f (m11, m2) \pm_N (f (m12, m2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-l-add1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m11 \in \text{carrier } M1; m12 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (m11 \pm_{M1} m12, m2) \pm_N \text{-}_a N (f (m11, m2) \pm_N (f (m12, m2))) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-r-add*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m \in \text{carrier } M1; m21 \in \text{carrier } M2; m22 \in \text{carrier } M2;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (m, m21 \pm_{M2} m22) = f (m, m21) \pm_N (f (m, m22))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-r-add1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m \in \text{carrier } M1; m21 \in \text{carrier } M2; m22 \in \text{carrier } M2;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (m, m21 \pm_{M2} m22) \pm_N \text{-}_a N (f (m, m21) \pm_N (f (m, m22))) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-l-lin*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies f (r \cdot_{sM1} m1, m2) = r \cdot_{sN} (f (m1, m2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-l-lin1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (r \cdot_{sM1} m1, m2) \pm_N \text{-}_a N (r \cdot_{sN} (f (m1, m2))) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-r-lin*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies f (m1, r \cdot_{sM2} m2) = r \cdot_{sN} (f (m1, m2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-r-lin1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f (m1, r \cdot_{sM2} m2) \pm_N \text{-}_a N (r \cdot_{sN} (f (m1, m2))) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-l-0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$

$m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; \text{bilinear-map } f \text{ } R \text{ } M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N \implies f (\mathbf{0}_{M1}, m2) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *bilinear-r-0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; \text{bilinear-map } f \text{ } R \text{ } M1 \text{ } M2 \text{ } N \implies f (m1, \mathbf{0}_{M2}) = \mathbf{0}_N$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

universal-property :: [(*'r, 'm*) Ring-scheme, (*'a, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme,
 (*'b, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme, (*'c, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme,
*'a * 'b \Rightarrow 'c*] \Rightarrow bool **where**
universal-property (*R*::(*'r, 'm*) Ring-scheme) (*M*::(*'a, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme)
 (*N*::(*'b, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme) (*MN*::(*'c, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme)
 (*f*::*'a * 'b \Rightarrow 'c*) \longleftrightarrow (*bilinear-map* *f* *R* *M* *N* *MN*) \wedge
 (\forall (*Z* :: (*'c, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme). \forall (*g* :: *'a * 'b \Rightarrow 'c*). (*R* module *Z*) \wedge
 (*bilinear-map* *g* *R* *M* *N* *Z*) \longrightarrow (($\exists!$ *h*. (*h* \in *mHom* *R* *MN* *Z*) \wedge
 (*compose* (*M* \times_c *N*) *h* *f* = *g*))))

lemma *tensor-prod-uniqueTr*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; R \text{ module } (M::('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme});$

R module (*N*::(*'b, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme);
R module (*MN*::(*'c, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme);
R module (*MN1*::(*'c, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme);
universal-property *R* *M* *N* *MN* *f*; *universal-property* *R* *M* *N* *MN1* *g* \implies
 $\exists!$ *k*. *k* \in *mHom* *R* *MN1* *MN* \wedge *compose* (*M* \times_c *N*) *k* *g* = *f*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *tensor-prod-unique*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } (R::('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme});$

R module (*M* :: (*'a, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme);
R module (*N*::(*'b, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme);
R module (*MN*::(*'c, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme);
R module (*MN1*::(*'c, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme);
universal-property *R* *M* *N* *MN* *f*; *universal-property* *R* *M* *N* *MN1* *g* \implies
MN \cong_R *MN1*

⟨proof⟩

Chapter 6

Construction of an abelian group

6.1 Free generated abelian group I, direct sum and direct product 2

definition

$bpp :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $bpp\ f\ a\ b = f\ a\ b$

definition

$ipp :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ ($\langle (- / -) \rangle [64, 65] 64$) **where**
 $i - a == i\ a$

definition

$sop :: ['r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'r, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $sop\ s\ r\ a = s\ r\ a$

abbreviation

$BOP :: ['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$
($\langle (\mathcal{B} / - + / -) \rangle [62, 62, 63] 62$) **where**
 $a\ f + b == bpp\ f\ a\ b$

abbreviation

$SOP :: ['r, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$
($\langle (\mathcal{B} / - \cdot -) \rangle [68, 68, 69] 68$) **where**
 $r\ s \cdot a == sop\ s\ r\ a$

definition

$minus\ set :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ **where**
 $minus\ set\ i\ A = \{x. \exists y \in A. x = i - y\}$

definition

$pm\ set :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ **where**

$pm\text{-set } i A = A \cup (\text{minus-set } i A)$

definition

$s\text{-set} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $s\text{-set } R s A = \{x. \exists r \in \text{carrier } R. \exists a \in A. x = r \cdot s \cdot a\} \cup A$

primrec $add\text{-set} :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$

where

$add\text{-set-0} : add\text{-set } f A 0 = A$
 $| add\text{-set-Suc}: add\text{-set } f A (\text{Suc } n) =$
 $\{x. \exists s \in (add\text{-set } f A n). \exists t \in A. x = s \text{ }_f\text{ } + t\}$

definition

$aug\text{-pm-set} :: ['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $aug\text{-pm-set } z i A = \{z\} \cup A \cup (\text{minus-set } i A)$

definition

$addition\text{-set} :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $addition\text{-set } f A = \bigcup \{add\text{-set } f A n \mid n. (0::\text{nat}) \leq n\}$

definition

$assoc\text{-bpp} :: ['a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $assoc\text{-bpp } A f \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall a \in (addition\text{-set } f A). \forall b \in (addition\text{-set } f A). \forall c \in (addition\text{-set } f A). (a \text{ }_f\text{ } + b)$
 $\text{ }_f\text{ } + c = a \text{ }_f\text{ } + (b \text{ }_f\text{ } + c))$

definition

$commute\text{-bpp} :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $commute\text{-bpp } f A \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in addition\text{-set } f A. \forall y \in addition\text{-set } f A. x \text{ }_f\text{ } + y = y$
 $\text{ }_f\text{ } + x)$

definition

$zeroA :: ['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $zeroA z i f A z1 \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in addition\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i A). z1 \text{ }_f\text{ } + x = x)$

definition

$inv\text{-ipp} :: ['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $inv\text{-ipp } z i f A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in addition\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i A). zeroA z i f A ((i - a)$
 $\text{ }_f\text{ } + a))$

definition

$ipp\text{-cond1} :: ['a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $ipp\text{-cond1 } A i \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. i - (i - x) = x)$

definition

$ipp\text{-cond2} :: ['a, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $ipp\text{-cond2 } z A i f == \forall x \in (addition\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i A)).$
 $\forall y \in (addition\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i A)). i - (x \text{ }_f\text{ } + y) = i - y \text{ }_f\text{ } + (i - x)$

definition

$ipp\text{-}cond3 :: ['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $ipp\text{-}cond3\ z\ i \longleftrightarrow i - z = z$

lemma $add\text{-}set\text{-}mono: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ n \subseteq add\text{-}set\ f\ B\ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $addition\text{-}inc\text{-}add: add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ n \subseteq addition\text{-}set\ f\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $addition\text{-}inc\text{-}add0: A \subseteq addition\text{-}set\ f\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $addition\text{-}set\text{-}mono: A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow addition\text{-}set\ f\ A \subseteq addition\text{-}set\ f\ B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $a\text{-}in\text{-}aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set: a \in A \Longrightarrow a \in aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $A\text{-}sub\text{-}aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set: A \subseteq aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $addition\text{-}sub\text{-}aug\text{-}pm\text{-}addition:$
 $addition\text{-}set\ f\ A \subseteq addition\text{-}set\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $assoc\text{-}bpp\text{-}restrict: [A \subseteq B; assoc\text{-}bpp\ B\ f] \Longrightarrow assoc\text{-}bpp\ A\ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $addition\text{-}assoc: [assoc\text{-}bpp\ A\ f; x \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A;$
 $y \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A; z \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A] \Longrightarrow$
 $(x\ f + y)\ f + z = x\ f + (y\ f + z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bpp\text{-}closedTr: assoc\text{-}bpp\ A\ f \Longrightarrow$
 $\forall x\ y. x \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ n \wedge y \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ m \longrightarrow$
 $x\ f + y \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ (n + m + Suc\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bpp\text{-}closed1: [assoc\text{-}bpp\ A\ f; x \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ n; y \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ m] \Longrightarrow$
 $x\ f + y \in add\text{-}set\ f\ A\ (n + m + Suc\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $bpp\text{-}closed: [assoc\text{-}bpp\ A\ f; x \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A; y \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A]$
 $\Longrightarrow x\ f + y \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $aug\text{-}addition\text{-}inc\text{-}z: z \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aug-bpp-closed*: \llbracket assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f;
 $x \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 $y \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) $\rrbracket \implies$
 $x_f + y \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-commute*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 $x \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 $y \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) $\rrbracket \implies x_f + y = y_f + x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *addition-set-inc-z*: $z \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-ipp-closed0*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; ipp-cond1 A i; ipp-cond2 z A i f;
 ipp-cond3 z i; $x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) 0 $\rrbracket \implies$
 $_i x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) 0
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-ipp-closedTr*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; ipp-cond1 A i; ipp-cond2 z A i f;
 ipp-cond3 z i $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x. x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) n \longrightarrow
 $_i x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) n
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-ipp-closedTr2*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; ipp-cond1 A i; ipp-cond2 z A i f;
 ipp-cond3 z i; $x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) n $\rrbracket \implies$
 $_i x \in$ add-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) n
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-ipp-closed*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; ipp-cond1 A i; ipp-cond2 z A i f;
 ipp-cond3 z i; $x \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A) $\rrbracket \implies$
 $_i x \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aug-zero-unique*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 $z1 \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A); zeroA z i f A z;
 zeroA z i f A z1 $\rrbracket \implies z = z1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *inv-aug-addition*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; ipp-cond1 A i; ipp-cond2 z A i f;
 ipp-cond3 z i; inv-ipp z i f A; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A);
 zeroA z i f A z $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall a \in$ addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A). $(_i a)_f + a = z$

<proof>

definition

fag-gen-by :: [*'a set, 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a, 'a ⇒ 'a, 'a*] ⇒ *'a aGroup* **where**
fag-gen-by *A f i z* = (*carrier* = *addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A)*),
pop = $\lambda x \in (\text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i } A)).$
 $\lambda y \in (\text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i } A)).$ *x* *f* + *y*,
mop = $\lambda x \in (\text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i } A)).$ *i*- *x*, *zero* = *z*)

lemma *fag-gen-carrier*: \llbracket *commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A)*;
assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; *ipp-cond1 A i*; *ipp-cond2 z A i f*;
ipp-cond3 z i; *inv-ipp z i f A*; *commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A)*;
zeroA z i f A z \rrbracket \implies
carrier (fag-gen-by A f i z) = *addition-set f (aug-pm-set z i A)*

<proof>

lemma *addition-set-sub-fag-gen-carrier*: \llbracket *commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A)*;
assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; *ipp-cond1 A i*; *ipp-cond2 z A i f*;
ipp-cond3 z i; *inv-ipp z i f A*; *commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A)*;
zeroA z i f A z \rrbracket \implies *addition-set f A* \subseteq *carrier (fag-gen-by A f i z)*

<proof>

lemma *fag-aGroup*: \llbracket *commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A)*;
assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i A) f; *ipp-cond1 A i*; *ipp-cond2 z A i f*;
ipp-cond3 z i; *inv-ipp z i f A*; *commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i A)*;
zeroA z i f A z \rrbracket \implies *aGroup (fag-gen-by A f i z)*

<proof>

6.2 Abelian group generated by a singleton (constructive)

definition

fag-single :: [*'a, 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a, 'a ⇒ 'a, 'a*] ⇒ *'a aGroup* **where**
fag-single a f i z = *fag-gen-by {a} f i z*

lemma *aug-pm-aug-pm-minus:ipp-cond1 {a} i* \implies
aug-pm-set z i {a} = *aug-pm-set z i {i- a}*

<proof>

lemma *ipp-cond1-minus:ipp-cond1 {a} i* \implies *ipp-cond1 {i- a} i*

<proof>

lemma *ipp-cond2-minus*: \llbracket *ipp-cond1 {a} i*; *ipp-cond2 z {a} i f* \rrbracket \implies
ipp-cond2 z {i- a} i f

<proof>

lemma *zeroA-minus*: \llbracket *ipp-cond1 {a} i*; *zeroA z i f {a} z1* \rrbracket \implies

$zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{i - a\}\ z1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inv-ipp-minus*: $\llbracket ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i;\ inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\} \rrbracket \implies$
 $inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{i - a\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-additionTr1*: $\llbracket commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f;\ ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i;\ ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f;$
 $ipp-cond3\ z\ i;\ inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\};\ commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z \rrbracket \implies$

$\forall s. s \in add-set\ f\ \{a\}\ (Suc\ n) \longrightarrow s\ f + i - a \in add-set\ f\ \{a\}\ n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-additionTr2*: $\llbracket commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f;\ ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i;\ ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f;$
 $ipp-cond3\ z\ i;\ inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\};\ commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z;\ s \in add-set\ f\ \{a\}\ 0 \rrbracket \implies s\ f + i - a = z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ipp-conditions*: $\llbracket assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f;\ ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i;$
 $ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f;\ ipp-cond3\ z\ i;\ inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\};$
 $commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});\ zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z \rrbracket \implies$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{i - a\})\ f \wedge ipp-cond1\ \{i - a\}\ i \wedge$
 $ipp-cond2\ z\ \{i - a\}\ i\ f \wedge inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{i - a\} \wedge$
 $commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{i - a\}) \wedge zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{i - a\}\ z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-additionTr3*: $\llbracket commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f;\ ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i;\ ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f;$
 $ipp-cond3\ z\ i;\ inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\};\ commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z;\ s \in add-set\ f\ \{i - a\}\ n \rrbracket \implies$
 $s\ f + i - a \in add-set\ f\ \{i - a\}\ (Suc\ n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-elemTr*: $\llbracket commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f;\ ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i;\ ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f;$
 $ipp-cond3\ z\ i;\ inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\};\ commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x. x \in add-set\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ n \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists n1. x \in add-set\ f\ \{a\}\ n1) \vee (\exists m1. x \in add-set\ f\ \{i - a\}\ m1) \vee x = z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-elem*: $\llbracket commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $assoc-bpp\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f;\ ipp-cond1\ \{a\}\ i;\ ipp-cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f;$
 $ipp-cond3\ z\ i;\ inv-ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\};\ commute-bpp\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\});$
 $zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z;\ x \in addition-set\ f\ (aug-pm-set\ z\ i\ \{a\}) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\exists n1. x \in add-set\ f\ \{a\}\ n1) \vee (\exists m1. x \in add-set\ f\ \{i - a\}\ m1) \vee x = z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-set-single1Tr*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } \{a\});$
assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i {a}) f; *ipp-cond1* {a} i; *ipp-cond2* z {a} i f;
ipp-cond3 z i; *inv-ipp* z i f {a}; *commute-bpp* f (aug-pm-set z i {a});
zeroA z i f {a} z $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x y. x \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} n \wedge y \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} n \longrightarrow x = y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-set-single-nonempty1*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } \{a\});$
assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i {a}) f; *ipp-cond1* {a} i; *ipp-cond2* z {a} i f;
ipp-cond3 z i; *inv-ipp* z i f {a}; *commute-bpp* f (aug-pm-set z i {a});
zeroA z i f {a} z $\rrbracket \implies \exists x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-set-single-nonempty2*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } \{a\});$
assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i {a}) f; *ipp-cond1* {a} i; *ipp-cond2* z {a} i f;
ipp-cond3 z i; *inv-ipp* z i f {a}; *commute-bpp* f (aug-pm-set z i {a});
zeroA z i f {a} z $\rrbracket \implies \exists x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{i- a\} n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-set-single1*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } \{a\});$
assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i {a}) f; *ipp-cond1* {a} i; *ipp-cond2* z {a} i f;
ipp-cond3 z i; *inv-ipp* z i f {a}; *commute-bpp* f (aug-pm-set z i {a});
zeroA z i f {a} z; $x \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} n; y \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} n \rrbracket \implies x = y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-set-single2*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } \{a\});$
assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i {a}) f; *ipp-cond1* {a} i; *ipp-cond2* z {a} i f;
ipp-cond3 z i; *inv-ipp* z i f {a}; *commute-bpp* f (aug-pm-set z i {a});
zeroA z i f {a} z; $x \in \text{add-set } f \{i- a\} n; y \in \text{add-set } f \{i- a\} n \rrbracket \implies$
 $x = y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-additionTr4*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } \{a\});$
assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i {a}) f; *ipp-cond1* {a} i; *ipp-cond2* z {a} i f;
ipp-cond3 z i; *inv-ipp* z i f {a}; *commute-bpp* f (aug-pm-set z i {a});
zeroA z i f {a} z $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall s t. s \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} n \wedge t \in \text{add-set } f \{i- a\} n \longrightarrow s_f + t = z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-additionTr4-1*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } \{a\});$
assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i {a}) f; *ipp-cond1* {a} i; *ipp-cond2* z {a} i f;
ipp-cond3 z i; *inv-ipp* z i f {a}; *commute-bpp* f (aug-pm-set z i {a});
zeroA z i f {a} z; $s \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} n; t \in \text{add-set } f \{i- a\} n \rrbracket \implies$
 $s_f + t = z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fag-single-additionTr5*: $\llbracket \text{assoc-bpp } \text{(aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } \{a\}) \text{ } f;$

$ipp\text{-}cond1 \{a\} i; ipp\text{-}cond2 z \{a\} i f; ipp\text{-}cond3 z i; inv\text{-}ipp z i f \{a\};$
 $commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}); zeroA z i f \{a\} z \implies$
 $\forall m. m < Suc n \longrightarrow (THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} (Suc n))_{f+}$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{i- a\} m) = (THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} (n - m))$
 <proof>

lemma *fag-single-additionTr5-1*: $\llbracket assoc\text{-}bpp (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}) f;$
 $ipp\text{-}cond1 \{a\} i; ipp\text{-}cond2 z \{a\} i f; ipp\text{-}cond3 z i; inv\text{-}ipp z i f \{a\};$
 $commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}); zeroA z i f \{a\} z; m < Suc n \rrbracket \implies$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} (Suc n))_{f+} (THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{i- a\} m) =$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} (n - m))$
 <proof>

lemma *fag-single-additionTr5-2*: $\llbracket assoc\text{-}bpp (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}) f;$
 $ipp\text{-}cond1 \{a\} i; ipp\text{-}cond2 z \{a\} i f; ipp\text{-}cond3 z i; inv\text{-}ipp z i f \{a\};$
 $commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}); zeroA z i f \{a\} z; n < Suc m \rrbracket \implies$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{i- a\} (Suc m))_{f+} (THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} n) =$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{i- a\} (m - n))$
 <proof>

definition

$free\text{-}gen\text{-}condition :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $free\text{-}gen\text{-}condition f i a z \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. z \notin add\text{-}set f \{a\} n)$

definition

$fg\text{-}elem\text{-}single :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $fg\text{-}elem\text{-}single f i a z n = (if 0 = n then z else$
 $(if 0 < n then (THE x. x \in (add\text{-}set f \{a\} (nat (n - 1))))$
 $else (THE x. x \in (add\text{-}set f \{i- a\} (nat (- n - 1)))))$

abbreviation

$FGELEMSNGLE \langle (5-\odot -, -, -) \rangle [99, 98, 98, 98, 98] 99$ **where**
 $n \odot_{af, i, z} == fg\text{-}elem\text{-}single f i a z n$

lemma *single-addition-pm-mem*: $\llbracket assoc\text{-}bpp (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}) f;$
 $ipp\text{-}cond1 \{a\} i; ipp\text{-}cond2 z \{a\} i f; ipp\text{-}cond3 z i; inv\text{-}ipp z i f \{a\};$
 $commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}); zeroA z i f \{a\} z \rrbracket \implies$
 $(n \odot_{af, i, z}) \in addition\text{-}set f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\})$
 <proof>

lemma *assoc-aug-assoc*: $assoc\text{-}bpp (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}) f \implies assoc\text{-}bpp \{a\} f$
 <proof>

lemma *single-addition-posTr*: $\llbracket commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{(a::'a)\});$
 $assoc\text{-}bpp (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\}) f; ipp\text{-}cond1 \{a\} i; ipp\text{-}cond2 z \{a\} i f;$
 $ipp\text{-}cond3 z i; inv\text{-}ipp z i f \{a\}; commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i \{a\});$
 $zeroA z i f \{a\} z; 0 < (n::int); 0 < (m::int) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(THE x. x \in add\text{-}set f \{a\} (nat (n - 1)))_{f+}$

$$(THE\ x.\ x \in\ add\text{-}set\ f\ \{a\}\ (nat\ (m - 1))) = \\ (THE\ x.\ x \in\ add\text{-}set\ f\ \{a\}\ (nat\ (n + m - 1)))$$

<proof>

lemma *single-addition-pos*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{(a::'a)\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z$; $0 < (n::int)$; $0 < (m::int)\rrbracket \implies$
 $(n \odot a_{f,i,z})\ f + (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

<proof>

lemma *single-addition-neg*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{(a::'a)\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z$; $(n::int) < 0$; $(m::int) < 0\rrbracket \implies$
 $(n \odot a_{f,i,z})\ f + (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

<proof>

lemma *single-addition-zero*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{(a::'a)\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z\rrbracket \implies 0 \odot a_{f,i,z} = z$

<proof>

lemma *s-a-p-1*: \llbracket assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$;
 ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$; ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$;
 commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$); zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z$;
 $m < 0$; $0 < n\rrbracket \implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z})\ f + (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

<proof>

lemma *single-addition-pm*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{(a::'a)\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z\rrbracket \implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z})\ f + (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

<proof>

lemma *single-inv*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{(a::'a)\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z\rrbracket \implies i - (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (-m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

<proof>

lemma *free-ag-single*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$) f ; ipp-cond1 $\{a\}\ i$; ipp-cond2 $z\ \{a\}\ i\ f$;
 ipp-cond3 $z\ i$; inv-ipp $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}$; commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set $z\ i\ \{a\}$);
 zeroA $z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z$; free-gen-condition $f\ i\ a\ z$; $n \neq m\rrbracket \implies$
 $(n \odot a_{f,i,z}) \neq (m \odot a_{f,i,z})$

<proof>

definition

$fags\text{-}cond :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a \iff commute\text{-}bpp\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ \{a\}) \wedge$
 $assoc\text{-}bpp\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ \{a\})\ f \wedge ipp\text{-}cond1\ \{a\}\ i \wedge$
 $ipp\text{-}cond2\ z\ \{a\}\ i\ f \wedge ipp\text{-}cond3\ z\ i \wedge inv\text{-}ipp\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\} \wedge$
 $commute\text{-}bpp\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ \{a\}) \wedge zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ \{a\}\ z \wedge$
 $free\text{-}gen\text{-}condition\ f\ i\ a\ z$

lemma $fag\text{-}single\text{-}free: [fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a; n \neq m] \implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) \neq (m \odot a_{f,i,z})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fag\text{-}single\text{-}free1: [fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a; (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (m \odot a_{f,i,z})] \implies n = m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$fags\text{-}carr :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ **where**
 $fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a = \{x. \exists n. x = n \odot a_{f,i,z}\}$

definition

$fags\text{-}bpp :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $fags\text{-}bpp\ f\ z\ i\ a = (\lambda x \in (fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a). \lambda y \in (fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a).$
 $((THE\ n. x = n \odot a_{f,i,z}) + (THE\ m. y = m \odot a_{f,i,z})) \odot a_{f,i,z})$

definition

$fags\text{-}ipp :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $fags\text{-}ipp\ f\ z\ i\ a = (\lambda x \in (fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a).$
 $(- (THE\ n. x = n \odot a_{f,i,z})) \odot a_{f,i,z})$

lemma $fags\text{-}mem: fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a \implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) \in fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fags\text{-}ippTr: fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a \implies$
 $fags\text{-}ipp\ f\ z\ i\ a\ (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (-\ n) \odot a_{f,i,z}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fags\text{-}bppTr: fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a \implies$
 $fags\text{-}bpp\ f\ z\ i\ a\ (n \odot a_{f,i,z})\ (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$fags :: ['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a\ aGroup$ **where**
 $fags\ f\ z\ i\ a = (\downarrow carrier = fags\text{-}carr\ f\ z\ i\ a,$
 $pop = fags\text{-}bpp\ f\ z\ i\ a,$
 $mop = fags\text{-}ipp\ f\ z\ i\ a, zero = z)$

lemma $fags\text{-}ag: fags\text{-}cond\ f\ z\ i\ a \implies aGroup\ (fags\ f\ z\ i\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.3 Abelian Group generated by one element II (nonconstructive)

definition

$ag\text{-single-gen} :: [('a, 'm) aGroup\text{-scheme}, 'a] \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $ag\text{-single-gen } A \ a \ \longleftrightarrow \ aGroup \ A \ \wedge \ carrier \ A = \bigcap \ {H. \ asubGroup \ A \ H \ \wedge \ a \in H}$

primrec $aSum :: [('a, 'm) aGroup\text{-scheme}, nat, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**

$aSum\text{-}0: aSum \ A \ 0 \ a = \mathbf{0}_A$
 $| aSum\text{-}Suc: aSum \ A \ (Suc \ n) \ a = aSum \ A \ n \ a \pm_A \ a$

definition

$sprod\text{-}n\text{-}a :: [('a, 'm) aGroup\text{-scheme}, int, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $sprod\text{-}n\text{-}a \ A \ n \ x = (if \ 0 \leq n \ then \ (aSum \ A \ (nat \ n)) \ x$
 $\quad \quad \quad else \ (aSum \ A \ (nat \ (- \ n)) \ (-_A \ x))$

abbreviation

$SPRODNA \ (\langle \exists \triangleright \cdot \rangle [95,95,96]95)$ **where**
 $n \triangleright_A == sprod\text{-}n\text{-}a \ A \ n \ a$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $asum\text{-}mem: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow aSum \ A \ n \ a \in carrier \ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $nt\text{-}mem0: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow n \triangleright_A \in carrier \ A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $nt\text{-}zero0: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow 0 \triangleright_A = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $nt\text{-}1: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow 1 \triangleright_A = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $asumTr: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow$
 $aSum \ A \ (n + m) \ a = aSum \ A \ n \ a \pm (aSum \ A \ m \ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $aSum\text{-}zero: a \in carrier \ A \Longrightarrow aSum \ A \ n \ \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $agsum\text{-}add1p: [a \in carrier \ A; 0 \leq n; 0 \leq m] \Longrightarrow$
 $(n + m) \triangleright_A = n \triangleright_A \pm (m \triangleright_A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $aGroup$) $agsum\text{-}add1m: [a \in carrier \ A; n < 0; m < 0] \Longrightarrow$
 $(n + m) \triangleright_A = n \triangleright_A \pm (m \triangleright_A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *agsum-add2Tr*: $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies$
 $\mathbf{0} = \text{aSum } A \ n \ a \pm (\text{aSum } A \ n \ (-_a \ a))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *agsum-add2p*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\mathbf{0} = n \triangleright a_A \pm ((-n) \triangleright a_A)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *agsum-add2m*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\mathbf{0} = n \triangleright a_A \pm ((-n) \triangleright a_A)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *agsum-add3pm*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; 0 < n; m < 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $(n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm (m \triangleright a_A)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *agsum-add3mp*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; n < 0; 0 < m \rrbracket \implies$
 $(n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm (m \triangleright a_A)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-sum0*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm (m \triangleright a_A)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-inv0*: $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies -_a (n \triangleright a_A) = (-n) \triangleright a_A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *m-x-asum*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{aSum } A \ m \ (a \pm b) = (\text{aSum } A \ m \ a) \pm (\text{aSum } A \ m \ b)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asum-multTr-pp*: $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies$
 $\text{aSum } A \ m \ (\text{aSum } A \ n \ a) = \text{aSum } A \ (m * n) \ a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-mult-pp*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; 0 \leq m; 0 \leq n \rrbracket$
 $\implies m \triangleright (n \triangleright a_A) = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asum-multTr-pm*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; 0 \leq m; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{aSum } A \ (\text{nat } m) \ (\text{aSum } A \ (\text{nat } (-n)) \ (-_a \ a)) =$
 $\text{aSum } A \ (\text{nat } (m * (-n))) \ (-_a \ a)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-mult-pm*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; 0 \leq m; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $m \triangleright (n \triangleright a_A) = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asum-multTr-mp*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; m < 0; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{aSum } A \ (\text{nat } (-m)) \ (-_a \ (\text{aSum } A \ (\text{nat } n) \ a)) = \text{aSum } A \ (\text{nat } ((-m) * n)) \ (-_a$

a)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-mult-mp*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; m < 0; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies$
 $m \triangleright (n \triangleright a_A)_A = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *asum-multTr-mm*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; m < 0; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $aSum A (\text{nat } (-m)) (-_a (aSum A (\text{nat } (-n)) (-_a a))) =$
 $aSum A (\text{nat } ((-m) * (-n))) a$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-mult-mm*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; m < 0; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$
 $m \triangleright (n \triangleright a_A)_A = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-mult-assoc0*: $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies m \triangleright n \triangleright a_{AA} = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *single-gen-carrTr*: $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies$
 $asubGroup A \{x. \exists n. x = (n \triangleright a_A)\}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *ag-single-inc-a*: $ag\text{-single-gen } A a \implies a \in \text{carrier } A$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *single-gen*: $ag\text{-single-gen } A a \implies$
 $\text{carrier } A = \{g. \exists n. g = (n \triangleright a_A)\}$

⟨proof⟩

definition

single-gen-free :: $[('a, 'm) aGroup\text{-scheme}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
single-gen-free *A a* == $\forall n. n \neq 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{0}_A \neq n \triangleright a_A$

definition

sfg :: $[('a, 'm) aGroup\text{-scheme}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
sfg *A a* $\longleftrightarrow ag\text{-single-gen } A a \wedge single\text{-gen-free } A a$

lemma (in *aGroup*) *single-gen-free-neg*: $\llbracket sfg A a; n \triangleright a_A = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies n = 0$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *sfg-G-inc-a*: $sfg A a \implies a \in \text{carrier } A$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *sfg-agroup*: $sfg A a \implies aGroup A$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *aGroup*) *mem-G-nt*: $\llbracket sfg A a; x \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies \exists n. x = n \triangleright a_A$

<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-mem:sfg A a* $\implies n \triangleright a_A \in \text{carrier } A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-zero:sfg A a* $\implies 0 \triangleright a_A = \mathbf{0}$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-sum:sfg A a* $\implies (n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm (m \triangleright a_A)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-inv:sfg A a* $\implies -_a(n \triangleright a_A) = (-n) \triangleright a_A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *nt-mult-assoc:sfg A a* $\implies m \triangleright n \triangleright a_{AA} = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *sfg-free:[[sfg A a; n \neq m]]* $\implies n \triangleright a_A \neq (m \triangleright a_A)$
<proof>

lemma (in *aGroup*) *sfg-free-inj:[[sfg A a; n \triangleright a_A = (m \triangleright a_A)]]* $\implies n = m$
<proof>

6.4 Free Generated Modules (constructive)

definition

sop-one::[('r, 'm*) Ring-scheme, *'r* \Rightarrow *'a* \Rightarrow *'a*, *'a set*] \Rightarrow bool **where**
sop-one R s A $\longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. (1_r R) s \cdot x = x)$*

definition

sop-assoc :: [('r, 'm*) Ring-scheme, *'r* \Rightarrow *'a* \Rightarrow *'a*, *'a set*] \Rightarrow bool **where**
sop-assoc R s A $\longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall b \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in A.$
 $(a \cdot_r R b) s \cdot x = a s \cdot (b s \cdot x))$*

definition

sop-inv :: [('r, 'm*) Ring-scheme, *'r* \Rightarrow *'a* \Rightarrow *'a*, *'a* \Rightarrow *'a*, *'a set*]*
 \Rightarrow bool **where**
sop-inv R s i A $\longleftrightarrow (\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in A. r s \cdot (i \cdot x) = (-_a R r) s \cdot x)$

definition

sop-distr1 :: [('r, 'm*) Ring-scheme, *'r* \Rightarrow *'a* \Rightarrow *'a*, *'a* \Rightarrow *'a* \Rightarrow *'a*,
'a \Rightarrow *'a*, *'a set*, *'a*] \Rightarrow bool **where**
sop-distr1 R s f i A z $\longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall b \in \text{carrier } R.$
 $\forall x \in (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A). (a \pm_R b) s \cdot x = (a s \cdot x) f + (b s \cdot x))$*

definition

sop-distr2 :: [('r, 'm*) Ring-scheme, *'r* \Rightarrow *'a* \Rightarrow *'a*, *'a* \Rightarrow *'a* \Rightarrow *'a*,
'a \Rightarrow *'a*, *'a set*, *'a*] \Rightarrow bool **where**
sop-distr2 R s f i A z $\longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R.$*

$$\begin{aligned} &\forall x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i } A). \\ &\forall y \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i } A). \\ &\quad a \cdot_s (x \cdot_f y) = (a \cdot_s x) \cdot_f (a \cdot_s y) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$\text{sop-z} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{sop-z } R \text{ s } z \longleftrightarrow (\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. r \cdot_s z = z)$

definition

$\text{fgmodule} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow ('a, 'r) \text{ Module}$ **where**
 $\text{fgmodule } R \text{ A } z \text{ i } f \text{ s} =$
 $\langle \text{carrier} = \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)),$
 $\text{pop} = \lambda x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)).$
 $\quad \lambda y \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)). x \cdot_f y,$
 $\text{mop} = \lambda x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)). i - x,$
 $\text{zero} = z,$
 $\text{sprod} = \lambda r \in \text{carrier } R.$
 $\quad \lambda x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)). r \cdot_s x \rangle$

lemma $\text{fgmodule-carr:carrier (fgmodule } R \text{ A } z \text{ i } f \text{ s)} =$
 $\text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $a\text{-in-s-set}: a \in A \Longrightarrow a \in \text{s-set } R \text{ s } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $(\text{in Ring}) \text{ra-in-s-set}: [r \in \text{carrier } R; a \in A] \Longrightarrow r \cdot_s a \in \text{s-set } R \text{ s } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{in-aug-pm-set}:$

$$x \in \text{aug-pm-set } z \text{ i } A = (x = z \vee x \in A \vee x \in \text{minus-set } i \text{ A})$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $(\text{in Ring}) \text{in-s-set}: x \in \text{s-set } R \text{ s } A \Longrightarrow (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. \exists a \in A.$

$$x = r \cdot_s a) \vee x \in A$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $(\text{in Ring}) \text{sop-closedTr0}: [\text{ipp-cond1 (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ i};$

$\text{ipp-cond2 } z \text{ (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ i } f; \text{ ipp-cond3 } z \text{ i};$

$\text{inv-ipp } z \text{ i } f \text{ (s-set } R \text{ s } A); \text{ zeroA } z \text{ i } f \text{ (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ z};$

$\text{sop-distr2 } R \text{ s } f \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A) \text{ z};$

$\text{sop-assoc } R \text{ s (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A));$

$\text{sop-inv } R \text{ s i (s-set } R \text{ s } A);$

$\text{sop-one } R \text{ s (aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)); \text{ sop-z } R \text{ s } z;$

$r \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)] \Longrightarrow$

$$r \cdot_s x \in \text{aug-pm-set } z \text{ i (s-set } R \text{ s } A)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *sop-closedTr*: \llbracket ipp-cond1 (s-set R s A) i;
 ipp-cond2 z (s-set R s A) i f; ipp-cond3 z i;
 inv-ipp z i f (s-set R s A); zeroA z i f (s-set R s A) z;
 sop-distr2 R s f i (s-set R s A) z;
 sop-assoc R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));
 sop-inv R s i (s-set R s A);
 sop-one R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)); sop-z R s z $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in \text{add-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) } n.$
 $r \cdot_s x \in \text{add-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) } n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *sop-closed*: \llbracket ipp-cond1 (s-set R s A) i;
 ipp-cond2 z (s-set R s A) i f; ipp-cond3 z i;
 inv-ipp z i f (s-set R s A); zeroA z i f (s-set R s A) z;
 sop-distr2 R s f i (s-set R s A) z;
 sop-assoc R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));
 sop-inv R s i (s-set R s A);
 sop-one R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)); sop-z R s z $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A))}.$
 $r \cdot_s x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A))}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *sop-oneTr*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) f;
 ipp-cond1 (s-set R s A) i; ipp-cond2 z (s-set R s A) i f;
 ipp-cond3 z i; inv-ipp z i f (s-set R s A); zeroA z i f (s-set R s A) z;
 sop-distr2 R s f i (s-set R s A) z;
 sop-assoc R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));
 sop-one R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x \in \text{add-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) } n. (1_r)_s \cdot x = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *sop-one*: \llbracket commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));
 assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) f; ipp-cond1 (s-set R s A) i;
 ipp-cond2 z (s-set R s A) i f; ipp-cond3 z i;
 inv-ipp z i f (s-set R s A); zeroA z i f (s-set R s A) z;
 sop-distr2 R s f i (s-set R s A) z;
 sop-assoc R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));
 sop-one R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)) $\rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A))}.$ $(1_r)_s \cdot x = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) *sop-assocTr*: \llbracket ipp-cond1 (s-set R s A) i;
 ipp-cond2 z (s-set R s A) i f; ipp-cond3 z i;
 inv-ipp z i f (s-set R s A); zeroA z i f (s-set R s A) z;
 sop-distr2 R s f i (s-set R s A) z;
 sop-assoc R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A));
 sop-inv R s i (s-set R s A);
 sop-one R s (aug-pm-set z i (s-set R s A)); sop-z R s z $\rrbracket \implies$

$\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall b \in \text{carrier } R.$
 $\forall x \in \text{add-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A)) \text{ } n.$
 $a \cdot_s (b \cdot_s x) = (a \cdot_r b) \cdot_s x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *sop-assoc*: $\llbracket \text{ipp-cond1 (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } i;$
 $\text{ipp-cond2 } z \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } i \text{ } f; \text{ ipp-cond3 } z \text{ } i;$
 $\text{inv-ipp } z \text{ } i \text{ } f \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A); \text{ zeroA } z \text{ } i \text{ } f \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } z;$
 $\text{sop-distr2 } R \text{ } s \text{ } f \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } z;$
 $\text{sop-assoc } R \text{ } s \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A));$
 $\text{sop-inv } R \text{ } s \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A); \text{ sop-z } R \text{ } s \text{ } z;$
 $\text{sop-one } R \text{ } s \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall b \in \text{carrier } R.$
 $\forall x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A)).$
 $a \cdot_s (b \cdot_s x) = (a \cdot_r b) \cdot_s x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *s-set-commute*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A));$
 $x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A));$
 $y \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A)) \rrbracket \implies$
 $x \text{ }_f \text{ } + \text{ } y = y \text{ }_f \text{ } + \text{ } x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *add-s-set-inc-add-set*:
 $\text{add-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ } A) \text{ } n \subseteq$
 $\text{add-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A)) \text{ } n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *sop-distr1Tr*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A));$
 $\text{assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A)) \text{ } f; \text{ ipp-cond1 (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } i;$
 $\text{ipp-cond2 } z \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } i \text{ } f; \text{ ipp-cond3 } z \text{ } i;$
 $\text{inv-ipp } z \text{ } i \text{ } f \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A); \text{ zeroA } z \text{ } i \text{ } f \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } z;$
 $\text{sop-distr1 } R \text{ } s \text{ } f \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } z;$
 $\text{sop-distr2 } R \text{ } s \text{ } f \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } z;$
 $\text{sop-assoc } R \text{ } s \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A));$
 $\text{sop-inv } R \text{ } s \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A);$
 $\text{sop-one } R \text{ } s \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A)); \text{ sop-z } R \text{ } s \text{ } z \rrbracket \implies$
 $\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall b \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in \text{add-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A)) \text{ } n.$
 $(a \pm b) \cdot_s x = a \cdot_s x \text{ }_f \text{ } + \text{ } (b \cdot_s x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in Ring) *sop-distr1*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A));$
 $\text{assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A)) \text{ } f; \text{ ipp-cond1 (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } i;$
 $\text{ipp-cond2 } z \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } i \text{ } f; \text{ ipp-cond3 } z \text{ } i;$
 $\text{inv-ipp } z \text{ } i \text{ } f \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A); \text{ zeroA } z \text{ } i \text{ } f \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } z;$
 $\text{sop-distr1 } R \text{ } s \text{ } f \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } z;$
 $\text{sop-distr2 } R \text{ } s \text{ } f \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A) \text{ } z;$
 $\text{sop-assoc } R \text{ } s \text{ (aug-pm-set } z \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A));$
 $\text{sop-inv } R \text{ } s \text{ } i \text{ (s-set } R \text{ } s \text{ } A);$

$sop\text{-}one\ R\ s\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A));\ sop\text{-}z\ R\ s\ z\ \Longrightarrow$
 $\forall a \in carrier\ R.\ \forall b \in carrier\ R.$
 $\forall x \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A)).$
 $(a \pm b)\ s \cdot x = a\ s \cdot x\ f + (b\ s \cdot x)$

<proof>

definition

$fgmodule\text{-}condition\ :: [('r, 'm)\ Ring\text{-}scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a,$
 $'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a\ set, 'a] \Rightarrow bool\ \mathbf{where}$
 $fgmodule\text{-}condition\ R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z\ \longleftrightarrow$
 $commute\text{-}bpp\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A)) \wedge$
 $assoc\text{-}bpp\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A))\ f \wedge$
 $ipp\text{-}cond1\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A)\ i \wedge\ ipp\text{-}cond2\ z\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A)\ i\ f \wedge$
 $ipp\text{-}cond3\ z\ i \wedge\ inv\text{-}ipp\ z\ i\ f\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A) \wedge$
 $zeroA\ z\ i\ f\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A)\ z \wedge\ sop\text{-}distr1\ R\ s\ f\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A)\ z \wedge$
 $sop\text{-}distr2\ R\ s\ f\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A)\ z \wedge$
 $sop\text{-}assoc\ R\ s\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A)) \wedge$
 $sop\text{-}inv\ R\ s\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A) \wedge$
 $sop\text{-}one\ R\ s\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A)) \wedge\ sop\text{-}z\ R\ s\ z$

lemma (in *Ring*) $sop\text{-}closed1: \llbracket fgmodule\text{-}condition\ R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z; r \in carrier\ R;$
 $x \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $r\ s \cdot x \in addition\text{-}set\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A))$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) $fgmodule\text{-}is\text{-}module: fgmodule\text{-}condition\ R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z$
 $\Longrightarrow R\ module\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) $a\text{-}in\text{-}carr\text{-}fgmodule: a \in A$
 $\Longrightarrow a \in carrier\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)$

<proof>

6.5 A fgmodule and a free module

lemma (in *Ring*) $fg\text{-}zeroTr: \llbracket fgmodule\text{-}condition\ R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z; a \in A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\mathbf{0}\ s \cdot a = z$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) $fg\text{-}genTr0: \llbracket fgmodule\text{-}condition\ R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z;$
 $x \in aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $x \in linear\text{-}span\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ (carrier\ R)\ A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) $fg\text{-}genTr: fgmodule\text{-}condition\ R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z \Longrightarrow$
 $\forall x. x \in (add\text{-}set\ f\ (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set\ z\ i\ (s\text{-}set\ R\ s\ A))\ n) \longrightarrow$
 $x \in linear\text{-}span\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ (carrier\ R)\ A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *generator-of-fgm:fgmodule-condition* $R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z\ \Longrightarrow$
generator $R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ A$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *fg-freeTr1*: $\llbracket R\ module\ M; free-generator\ R\ M\ A;$
 $R\ module\ fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s; free-generator\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ A;$
 $g \in mHom\ R\ M\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s); \forall x \in A. g\ x = x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\forall fa\ sa. fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge sa \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier\ R \rightarrow$
 $l-comb\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ n\ sa\ (cmp\ g\ fa) =$
 $l-comb\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ n\ sa\ fa$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *fg-freeTr*: $\llbracket R\ module\ M; free-generator\ R\ M\ A;$
 $R\ module\ fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s;$
 $free-generator\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ A;$
 $g \in mHom\ R\ M\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s); \forall x \in A. g\ x = x;$
 $fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; sa \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier\ R \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $l-comb\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ n\ sa\ (cmp\ g\ fa) =$
 $l-comb\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ n\ sa\ fa$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *fg-free1*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; fgmodule-condition\ R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z;$
 $free-generator\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ A; R\ module\ M;$
 $free-generator\ R\ M\ A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow M \cong_R (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *fg-free*: $\llbracket fgmodule-condition\ R\ f\ i\ s\ A\ z;$
 $free-generator\ R\ (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)\ A; R\ module\ M;$
 $free-generator\ R\ M\ A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow M \cong_R (fgmodule\ R\ A\ z\ i\ f\ s)$

<proof>

6.6 Direct sum, again

definition

miota :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme,$
 $('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
 $miota\ R\ M1\ M = (\lambda x \in carrier\ M1. x)$

definition

m submodule :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme,$
 $('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme \rrbracket \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $m\ submodule\ R\ M\ M1 \longleftrightarrow miota\ R\ M1\ M \in mHom\ R\ M1\ M \wedge$
 $(carrier\ M1) \subseteq (carrier\ M)$

definition

ds2 :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm)\ Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme,$
 $('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1)\ Module-scheme \rrbracket \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$ds2\ R\ M\ M1\ M2 \longleftrightarrow R\ module\ M \wedge msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1 \wedge msubmodule\ R\ M\ M2 \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in carrier\ M. \exists m1 \in carrier\ M1. \exists m2 \in carrier\ M2. x = m1 \pm_M m2) \wedge$
 $(carrier\ M1) \cap (carrier\ M2) = \{\mathbf{0}_M\}$

abbreviation

$DS2\ (\langle 4- / \oplus, -, - \rangle [92, 93, 92, 92] 92)$ **where**
 $M1 \oplus_{R, M} M2 == ds2\ R\ M\ M1\ M2$

lemma (in *Ring*) $ds2\ commute: [R\ module\ M1; R\ module\ M2; R\ module\ M;$
 $M1 \oplus_{R, M} M2] \Longrightarrow M2 \oplus_{R, M} M1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $msub\ addition: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1;$
 $M1;$
 $x \in carrier\ M1; y \in carrier\ M1] \Longrightarrow x \pm_{M1} y = x \pm_M y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $msub\ mOp: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1;$
 $x \in carrier\ M1] \Longrightarrow -_{aM1} x = -_{aM} x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $msub\ sprod: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1;$
 $a \in carrier\ R; x \in carrier\ M1] \Longrightarrow a \cdot_{sM1} x = a \cdot_{sM} x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $msub\ submodule: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1]$
 $\Longrightarrow submodule\ R\ M\ (carrier\ M1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $ds2\ unique: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; R\ module\ M2;$
 $ds2\ R\ M\ M1\ M2; m1 \in carrier\ M1; m1' \in carrier\ M1;$
 $m2 \in carrier\ M2; m2' \in carrier\ M2;$
 $m1 \pm_M m2 = m1' \pm_M m2'] \Longrightarrow m1 = m1' \wedge m2 = m2'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) $miota\ injec: [R\ module\ M; R\ module\ M1; R\ module\ M2;$
 $ds2\ R\ M\ M1\ M2; msubmodule\ R\ M\ M1] \Longrightarrow$
 $miota\ R\ M1\ M \in mHom\ R\ M1\ M \wedge injec_{M1, M} (miota\ R\ M1\ M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$mproj1 :: [(r, m)\ Ring\ scheme, (a, r, m1)\ Module\ scheme,$
 $(a, r, m1)\ Module\ scheme, (a, r, m1)\ Module\ scheme] \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$ **where**
 $mproj1\ R\ M1\ M2\ M = (\lambda x \in carrier\ M. THE\ x1. x1 \in carrier\ M1 \wedge$
 $(x \pm_M (-_{aM} x1)) \in carrier\ M2)$

definition

$mproj2 :: [(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$ **where**
 $mproj2 R M1 M2 M = mproj1 R M2 M1 M$

lemma (in Ring) $ds2\text{-components}::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M;$
 $M1 \oplus_{R,M} M2; a \in \text{carrier } M] \Rightarrow$
 $\exists a1 \in \text{carrier } M1. \exists a2 \in \text{carrier } M2. a = a1 \pm_M a2$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $ds2\text{-components1}::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M;$
 $M1 \oplus_{R,M} M2; a \in \text{carrier } M] \Rightarrow$
 $\exists a1 \in \text{carrier } M1. a \pm_M -_aM a1 \in \text{carrier } M2$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $mprojTr1::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M; ds2 R M$
 $M1 M2;$
 $x \in \text{carrier } M] \Rightarrow \exists !x1. x1 \in \text{carrier } M1 \wedge (x \pm_M -_aM x1) \in \text{carrier } M2$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $mprojTr2::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M; ds2 R M$
 $M1 M2;$
 $x \in \text{carrier } M; x1 \in \text{carrier } M1; (x \pm_M (-_aM x1)) \in \text{carrier } M2;$
 $y1 \in \text{carrier } M1; (x \pm_M (-_aM y1)) \in \text{carrier } M2] \Rightarrow x1 = y1$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $mprojTr3::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M; ds2 R M$
 $M1 M2;$
 $a \in \text{carrier } M; a1 \in \text{carrier } M1; (a \pm_M (-_aM a1)) \in \text{carrier } M2] \Rightarrow$
 $(THE x1. x1 \in \text{carrier } M1 \wedge a \pm_M -_aM x1 \in \text{carrier } M2) = a1$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $mproj::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M; ds2 R M M1$
 $M2]$
 $\Rightarrow mproj1 R M1 M2 M \in mHom R M M1$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) $mproj2::[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M; M1 \oplus_{R,M}$
 $M2]$
 $\Rightarrow mproj2 R M1 M2 M \in mHom R M M2$
 <proof>

6.6.1 Existence of the tensor product

definition

$fm\text{-gen-by-prod} :: [(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ((a * b), r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $(a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (b, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

(⟨(4FM-/ - - -)⟩ [100,100,101]100) **where**
 $FM_R P M N \longleftrightarrow R \text{ module } P \wedge \text{free-generator } R P (M \times_c N)$

lemma (in Ring) free-gen-gen: $FM_R P M N \implies \text{generator } R P (M \times_c N)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) free-gen-mem: $\llbracket FM_R P M N; a \in (M \times_c N) \rrbracket \implies a \in \text{carrier } P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) mHom-lin-nsumTr: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; t \in mHom R M N \rrbracket \implies$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \longrightarrow t (nsum M f n) = nsum N (cmp t f) n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) mHom-lin-nsum: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; t \in mHom R M N;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $t (nsum M f n) = nsum N (cmp t f) n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) module-over-zeroring: $\llbracket \text{zeroring } R; R \text{ module } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{carrier } M = \{\mathbf{0}_M\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) submodule-over-zeroring: $\llbracket \text{zeroring } R; R \text{ module } M;$
 $\text{submodule } R M N \rrbracket \implies N = \{\mathbf{0}_M\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

Least-submodule :: $(('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
 (⟨(3LSM-/ -/ -)⟩ [100,100,101]100) **where**
 $LSM_R M T = \bigcap \{N. \text{submodule } R M N \wedge T \subseteq N\}$

lemma (in Ring) LSM-mem: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M; t \in T \rrbracket \implies$
 $t \in (LSM_R M T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) LSM-sub-M: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $(LSM_R M T) \subseteq \text{carrier } M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) LSM-sub-submodule: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $\text{submodule } R M N; T \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies (LSM_R M T) \subseteq N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) LSM-inc-T: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies T \subseteq (LSM_R M$

T)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *LSM-submodule*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R \ M \ (LSM_R \ M \ T)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *linear-comb-memTr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; \text{submodule } R \ M \ N; T \subseteq N \rrbracket$
 \implies

$\forall f \ s. f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow T \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \longrightarrow$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f \in N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *linear-comb-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; \text{submodule } R \ M \ N; T \subseteq N;$
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow T; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f \in N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *LSM-eq-linear-span*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$
 $(LSM_R \ M \ T) = \text{linear-span } R \ M \ (\text{carrier } R) \ T$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Ring*) *LSM-sub-ker*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$
 $f \in m\text{Hom } R \ M \ N; T \subseteq \text{ker}_{M,N} f \rrbracket \implies LSM_R \ M \ T \subseteq \text{ker}_{M,N} f$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

tensor-relations1 :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme} \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $('a * 'b) \text{ set}$
 $(\langle (\&TR1 / - / - / -) \rangle [100,100,100,101]100) \text{ where}$
 $TR1 \ R \ M \ N \ MN = \{x. \exists m1 \in \text{carrier } M. \exists m2 \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n \in \text{carrier } N.$
 $x = (m1 \pm_M m2, n) \pm_{MN} (-_a MN ((m1, n) \pm_{MN} (m2, n)))\}$

definition

tensor-relations2 :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme} \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $('a * 'b) \text{ set}$
 $(\langle (\&TR2 / - / - / -) \rangle [100,100,100, 101]100) \text{ where}$
 $TR2 \ R \ M \ N \ MN = \{x. \exists m \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n1 \in \text{carrier } N. \exists n2 \in \text{carrier } N.$
 $x = (m, n1 \pm_N n2) \pm_{MN} (-_a MN ((m, n1) \pm_{MN} (m, n2)))\}$

definition

tensor-relations3 :: $\llbracket ('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$
 $('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme} \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $('a * 'b) \text{ set}$
 $(\langle (\&TR3 / - / - / -) \rangle [100,100,100,101]100) \text{ where}$
 $TR3 \ R \ M \ N \ P = \{x. \exists m \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n \in \text{carrier } N. \exists a \in \text{carrier } R.$

$$x = (a \cdot_s M m, n) \pm_P (-_a P (a \cdot_s P (m, n)))$$

definition

tensor-relations4 :: [(*'r, 'm*) Ring-scheme, (*'a, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme,
(*'b, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme, ((*'a * 'b*), *'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme] \Rightarrow
(*'a * 'b*) set
($\langle 4TR4 / - / - / - \rangle [100,100,100,101]100$) **where**
 $TR4 R M N MN = \{x. \exists m \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n \in \text{carrier } N. \exists a \in \text{carrier } R.$
 $x = (m, a \cdot_s N n) \pm_{MN} (-_a MN (a \cdot_s MN (m, n)))\}$

definition

tensor-relations :: [(*'r, 'm*) Ring-scheme, (*'a, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme,
(*'b, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme, ((*'a * 'b*), *'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme] \Rightarrow
(*'a * 'b*) set
($\langle 4TR- - / - / - \rangle [100,100,101]100$) **where**
 $TR_R M N MN = LSM_R MN ((TR1 R M N MN) \cup (TR2 R M N MN) \cup$
 $(TR3 R M N MN) \cup (TR4 R M N MN))$

definition

tensor-product :: [(*'r, 'm*) Ring-scheme, (*'a, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme,
(*'b, 'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme, ((*'a * 'b*), *'r, 'm1*) Module-scheme] \Rightarrow
(*'a * 'b*) set, *'r*) Module **where**
tensor-product $R M N MN = MN /_m (TR_R M N MN)$

abbreviation

TENSORPROD ($\langle 4- / \cdot \otimes - / - \rangle [92,92,92,93]92$) **where**
 $M \cdot_P \otimes_R N == \text{tensor-product } R M N P$

lemma (**in** Ring) *mem-cartesian*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$
 $n \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (m, n) \in M \times_c N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) *cartesianTr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; x \in M \times_c N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists m n. m \in \text{carrier } M \wedge n \in \text{carrier } N \wedge x = (m, n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) *free-module-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$
 $n \in \text{carrier } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (m, n) \in \text{carrier } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) *FM-P-module*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow R \text{ module } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) *TR1-sub-carr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$
 $(TR1 R M N P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** Ring) *TR2-sub-carr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$(TR2\ R\ M\ N\ P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) $TR3\text{-sub-carr}:\llbracket R\ \text{module } M; R\ \text{module } N; FM_R\ P\ M\ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(TR3\ R\ M\ N\ P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) $TR4\text{-sub-carr}:\llbracket R\ \text{module } M; R\ \text{module } N; FM_R\ P\ M\ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(TR4\ R\ M\ N\ P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) $TR\text{-sub-carr}:\llbracket R\ \text{module } M; R\ \text{module } N; FM_R\ P\ M\ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(TR1\ R\ M\ N\ P) \cup (TR2\ R\ M\ N\ P) \cup (TR3\ R\ M\ N\ P) \cup (TR4\ R\ M\ N\ P) \subseteq$
 $\text{carrier } P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) $TR\text{-submodule}:\llbracket R\ \text{module } M; R\ \text{module } N; FM_R\ P\ M\ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{submodule } R\ P\ (TR_R\ M\ N\ P)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) $TR\text{-cont-}TR1234:\llbracket R\ \text{module } M; R\ \text{module } N; FM_R\ P\ M\ N \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $TR1\ R\ M\ N\ P \cup TR2\ R\ M\ N\ P \cup TR3\ R\ M\ N\ P \cup TR4\ R\ M\ N\ P \subseteq TR_R\ M$
 $N\ P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) $TR1\text{-mem}:\llbracket R\ \text{module } M; R\ \text{module } N; FM_R\ P\ M\ N; m1 \in$
 $\text{carrier } M;$
 $m2 \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies (m1 \pm_M m2, n) \pm_P -_aP ((m1, n) \pm_P (m2,$
 $n))$
 $\in TR_R\ M\ N\ P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) $TR2\text{-mem}:\llbracket R\ \text{module } M; R\ \text{module } N; FM_R\ P\ M\ N; m \in \text{carrier}$
 $M;$
 $n1 \in \text{carrier } N; n2 \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies$
 $(m, n1 \pm_N n2) \pm_P -_aP ((m, n1) \pm_P (m, n2)) \in TR_R\ M\ N\ P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) $TR3\text{-mem}:\llbracket R\ \text{module } M; R\ \text{module } N; FM_R\ P\ M\ N; m \in \text{carrier}$
 $M;$
 $n \in \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(a \cdot_sM m, n) \pm_P -_aP (a \cdot_sP (m, n)) \in TR_R\ M\ N\ P$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) $TR4\text{-mem}:\llbracket R\ \text{module } M; R\ \text{module } N; FM_R\ P\ M\ N; m \in \text{carrier}$
 $M;$
 $n \in \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$
 $(m, a \cdot_sN n) \pm_P -_aP (a \cdot_sP (m, n)) \in TR_R\ M\ N\ P$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *tensor-product-module*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$

R module (tensor-product R M N P)

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *tau-mpj-bilin1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; x1 \in \text{carrier } M; x2 \in \text{carrier } M; y \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies$

$$(mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (x1 \pm_M x2, y) = (mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (x1, y) \pm_{(M P \otimes_R N)} (mpj P (TR_R M N P) (x2, y))$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *tau-mpj-bilin2*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in \text{carrier } M; n1 \in \text{carrier } N; n2 \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies$

$$(mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (m, n1 \pm_N n2) = (mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (m, n1) \pm_{(M P \otimes_R N)} (mpj P (TR_R M N P) (m, n2))$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *tau-mpj-bilin3*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$(mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (a \cdot_s M m, n) = a \cdot_s (M P \otimes_R N) (mpj P (TR_R M N P) (m, n))$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *tau-mpj-bilin4*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$(mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (m, a \cdot_s N n) = a \cdot_s (M P \otimes_R N) (mpj P (TR_R M N P) (m, n))$$

<proof>

definition

tau :: [*'r, 'm*] *Ring-scheme*, [*'a, 'r, 'm1*] *Module-scheme*, [*'b, 'r, 'm1*] *Module-scheme*, [*('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1*] *Module-scheme*] \Rightarrow [*'a * 'b*] \Rightarrow [*'a * 'b*] **where**

$$\text{tau } R M N P = (\lambda x \in (M \times_c N). x)$$

lemma (in *Ring*) *tau-func*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{tau } R M N P \in M \times_c N \rightarrow \text{carrier } P$$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *tau-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies \text{tau } R M N P (m, n) \in \text{carrier } P$

<proof>

lemma (in *Ring*) *tau-inj0*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{inj-on } (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P) \ (M \times_c \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tau-inj1: $\llbracket \text{zeroring } R; R \ \text{module } M; R \ \text{module } N; FM_R \ P \ M \ N \rrbracket$
 \implies
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P) \ (M \times_c \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tau-inj: $\llbracket R \ \text{module } M; R \ \text{module } N; FM_R \ P \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P) \ (M \times_c \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tau-mpj-bilinear: $\llbracket R \ \text{module } M; R \ \text{module } N; FM_R \ P \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bilinear-map } (\text{compose } (M \times_c \ N) \ (\text{mpj } P \ (TR_R \ M \ N \ P)) \ (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P))$
 $R \ M \ N \ (M \ P \otimes_R \ N)$
 <proof>

definition

$\text{tnm} :: [(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, ((\text{'a} * \text{'b}), \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \ \text{Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \ \text{Module-scheme}, (\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \ \text{Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'a} * \text{'b}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} * \text{'b}) \ \text{set where}$
 $\text{tnm } R \ P \ M \ N = \text{compose } (M \times_c \ N) \ (\text{mpj } P \ (TR_R \ M \ N \ P)) \ (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P)$

lemma (in Ring) tnm-bilinear: $\llbracket R \ \text{module } M; R \ \text{module } N; FM_R \ P \ M \ N \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{bilinear-map } (\text{tnm } R \ P \ M \ N) \ R \ M \ N \ (M \ P \otimes_R \ N)$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tnm-mem: $\llbracket R \ \text{module } M; R \ \text{module } N; FM_R \ P \ M \ N; m \in$
 $\text{carrier } M;$
 $n \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies \text{tnm } R \ P \ M \ N \ (m, n) \in \text{carrier } (M \ P \otimes_R \ N)$
 <proof>

definition

$\text{tensor-elem} :: [(\text{'r}, \text{'m}) \ \text{Ring-scheme}, ((\text{'a} * \text{'b}), \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \ \text{Module-scheme},$
 $(\text{'a}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \ \text{Module-scheme}, (\text{'b}, \text{'r}, \text{'m1}) \ \text{Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{'a} * \text{'b}) \ \text{set where}$
 $\text{tensor-elem } R \ P \ M \ N \ m \ n = \text{tnm } R \ P \ M \ N \ (m, n)$

abbreviation

$TNSELEM \ (\langle (6- \text{-}, \text{-} \otimes \text{-}, \text{-} / \text{-}) \rangle [100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 101] 101) \ \text{where}$
 $m \ R, P \otimes_{M, N} n == \text{tensor-elem } R \ P \ M \ N \ m \ n$

lemma (in Ring) tensor-univ-propTr: $\llbracket R \ \text{module } M; R \ \text{module } N; FM_R \ P \ M \ N;$
 $R \ \text{module } Z; \text{bilinear-map } f \ R \ M \ N \ Z \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists g. g \in m\text{Hom } R \ P \ Z \wedge (\text{compose } (M \times_c \ N) \ g \ (\text{tau } R \ M \ N \ P)) = f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tensor-univ-propTr1: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N;$

$R \text{ module } Z; \text{bilinear-map } f R M N Z \rrbracket \implies$
 $\exists ! g. g \in (mHom R (M \otimes_P R N) Z) \wedge (compose (M \times_c N) g (tnm R P M N))$
 $= f$
 <proof>

lemma (in Ring) tensor-universal-property: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P$
 $M N \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{universal-property } R M N (M \otimes_P R N) (tnm R P M N)$
 <proof>

end