Gröbner Bases, Macaulay Matrices and Dubé's Degree Bounds

Alexander Maletzky*

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This entry formalizes the connection between Gröbner bases and Macaulay matrices (sometimes also referred to as 'generalized Sylvester matrices'). In particular, it contains a method for computing Gröbner bases, which proceeds by first constructing some Macaulay matrix of the initial set of polynomials, then row-reducing this matrix, and finally converting the result back into a set of polynomials. The output is shown to be a Gröbner basis if the Macaulay matrix constructed in the first step is sufficiently large. In order to obtain concrete upper bounds on the size of the matrix (and hence turn the method into an effectively executable algorithm), Dubé's degree bounds on Gröbner bases are utilized; consequently, they are also part of the formalization.

Contents

1 Introduction **4**
1.1 Future Work 4

2 Degree Sections of Power-Products **4**

3 Utility Definitions and Lemmas about Degree Bounds for Gröbner Bases **7**

4 Computing Gröbner Bases by Triangularizing Macaulay Matrices **8**
4.1 Gröbner Bases 9
4.2 Bounds 9

5 Integer Binomial Coefficients **10**
5.1 Inequalities 10
5.2 Backward Difference Operator 11

*Funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): grant no. P 29498-N31

6 Integer Polynomial Functions **12**
6.1 Definition and Basic Properties 12
6.2 Closure Properties 13

7 Monomial Modules **14**
7.1 Sets of Monomials 14
7.2 Modules 15
7.3 Reduction 15
7.4 Gröbner Bases 16

8 Preliminaries **17**
8.1 Sets 17
8.2 Sums 18
8.3 *count-list* 18
8.4 *listset* 18

9 Direct Decompositions and Hilbert Functions **20**
9.1 Direct Decompositions 20
9.2 Direct Decompositions and Vector Spaces 23
9.3 Homogeneous Sets of Polynomials with Fixed Degree 24
9.4 Interpreting Polynomial Rings as Vector Spaces over the Coefficient Field 26
9.5 (Projective) Hilbert Function 26

10 Cone Decompositions **27**
10.1 More Properties of Reduced Gröbner Bases 27
10.2 Quotient Ideals 28
10.3 Direct Decompositions of Polynomial Rings 28
10.4 Basic Cone Decompositions 30
10.5 Splitting w.r.t. Ideals 38
10.6 Function *split* 40
10.7 Splitting Ideals 43
10.8 Exact Cone Decompositions 44
10.9 Functions *shift* and *exact* 48

11 Dubé's Degree-Bound for Homogeneous Gröbner Bases **55**
11.1 Hilbert Function and Hilbert Polynomial 56
11.2 Dubé's Bound 57

12 Sample Computations of Gröbner Bases via Macaulay Matrices **62**
12.1 Combining *Groebner-Macaulay.Groebner-Macaulay* and *Groebner-Macaulay.Dube-Bound* 62
12.2 Preparations 63

12.2.1 Connection between ('x ⇒0 'a) ⇒0 'b and ('x, 'a) pp ⇒0 'b 64
12.2.2 Locale *pp-powerprod* 66
12.3 Computations 70

1 Introduction

The formalization consists of two main parts:

- The connection between Gröbner bases and Macaulay matrices (or 'generalized Sylvester matrices'), due to Wiesinger-Widi [4]. In particular, this includes a method for computing Gröbner bases via Macaulay matrices.
- Dubé's upper bounds on the degrees of Gröbner bases [1]. These bounds are not only of theoretical interest, but are also necessary to turn the above-mentioned method for computing Gröbner bases into an actual algorithm.

For more information about this formalization, see the accompanying papers [2] (Dubé's bound) and [3] (Macaulay matrices).

1.1 Future Work

This formalization could be extended by formalizing improved degree bounds for special input. For instance, Wiesinger-Widi in [4] obtains much smaller bounds if the initial set of polynomials only consists of two binomials.

2 Degree Sections of Power-Products

theory *Degree-Section*
  imports *Polynomials.MPoly-PM*
begin

definition *deg-sect* :: *'x set* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ (*'x*::*countable* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) *set*
  where *deg-sect X d* = .[*X*] ∩ {*t*. *deg-pm t* = *d*}

definition *deg-le-sect* :: *'x set* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ (*'x*::*countable* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) *set*
  where *deg-le-sect X d* = (⋃ *d0*≤*d*. *deg-sect X d0*)

lemma *deg-sectI*: *t* ∈ .[*X*] ⟹ *deg-pm t* = *d* ⟹ *t* ∈ *deg-sect X d*
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-sectD*:
  assumes *t* ∈ *deg-sect X d*
  shows *t* ∈ .[*X*] **and** *deg-pm t* = *d*
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-alt*: *deg-le-sect X d* = .[*X*] ∩ {*t*. *deg-pm t* ≤ *d*}
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sectI*: *t* ∈ .[*X*] ⟹ *deg-pm t* ≤ *d* ⟹ *t* ∈ *deg-le-sect X d*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sectD*:
assumes $t \in$ *deg-le-sect X d*
shows $t \in .[X]$ **and** *deg-pm* $t \leq d$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-sect-zero* [*simp*]: *deg-sect X 0* $= \{0\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-sect-empty*: *deg-sect* $\{\}$ *d* $=$ (*if d* $= 0$ *then* $\{0\}$ *else* $\{\}$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-sect-singleton* [*simp*]: *deg-sect* $\{x\}$ *d* $= \{$*Poly-Mapping.single x d*$\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-zero* [*simp*]: *deg-le-sect X 0* $= \{0\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-empty* [*simp*]: *deg-le-sect* $\{\}$ *d* $= \{0\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-singleton*: *deg-le-sect* $\{x\}$ *d* $=$ *Poly-Mapping.single x* ‘ $\{..d\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-sect-mono*: $X \subseteq Y \Longrightarrow$ *deg-sect X d* $\subseteq$ *deg-sect Y d*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-mono-1*: $X \subseteq Y \Longrightarrow$ *deg-le-sect X d* $\subseteq$ *deg-le-sect Y d*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-mono-2*: *d1* $\leq$ *d2* $\Longrightarrow$ *deg-le-sect X d1* $\subseteq$ *deg-le-sect X d2*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zero-in-deg-le-sect*: $0 \in$ *deg-le-sect n d*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-sect-disjoint*: *d1* $\neq$ *d2* $\Longrightarrow$ *deg-sect X d1* $\cap$ *deg-sect Y d2* $= \{\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-deg-sect-disjoint*: *d1* $<$ *d2* $\Longrightarrow$ *deg-le-sect Y d1* $\cap$ *deg-sect X d2* $= \{\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-sect-Suc*:
deg-sect X (*Suc d*) $=$ ($\bigcup x \in X.$ (+) (*Poly-Mapping.single x 1*) ‘ *deg-sect X d*) (**is** *?A* $=$ *?B*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-sect-insert*:
deg-sect (*insert x X*) *d* = (⋃ *d0*≤*d*. (+) (*Poly-Mapping.single x* (*d* − *d0*)) ‘ *deg-sect X d0*)
(**is** *?A* = *?B*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-Suc*: *deg-le-sect X* (*Suc d*) = *deg-le-sect X d* ∪ *deg-sect X* (*Suc d*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-Suc-2*:
deg-le-sect X (*Suc d*) = *insert 0* (⋃ *x*∈*X*. (+) (*Poly-Mapping.single x 1*) ‘ *deg-le-sect X d*)
(**is** *?A* = *?B*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *finite-deg-sect*:
assumes *finite X*
shows *finite* ((*deg-sect X d*)::(′*x*::*countable* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) *set*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *finite-deg-le-sect*: *finite X* ⟹ *finite* ((*deg-le-sect X d*)::(′*x*::*countable* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) *set*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *keys-subset-deg-le-sectI*:
assumes *p* ∈ *P*[*X*] **and** *poly-deg p* ≤ *d*
shows *keys p* ⊆ *deg-le-sect X d*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *binomial-symmetric-plus*: (*n* + *k*) *choose n* = (*n* + *k*) *choose k*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *card-deg-sect*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *X* ≠ {}
shows *card* (*deg-sect X d*) = (*d* + (*card X* − *1*)) *choose* (*card X* − *1*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *card-deg-sect-Suc*:
assumes *finite X*
shows *card* (*deg-sect X* (*Suc d*)) = (*d* + *card X*) *choose* (*Suc d*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *card-deg-le-sect*:
assumes *finite X*
shows *card* (*deg-le-sect X d*) = (*d* + *card X*) *choose card X*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

3 Utility Definitions and Lemmas about Degree Bounds for Gröbner Bases

theory *Degree-Bound-Utils*
imports *Groebner-Bases.Groebner-PM*
begin

context *pm-powerprod*
begin

definition *is-GB-cofactor-bound* :: $((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'b::field)\ set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$
where *is-GB-cofactor-bound F b* $\longleftrightarrow$
$(\exists G.\ punit.is\text{-}Groebner\text{-}basis\ G \wedge ideal\ G = ideal\ F \wedge (UN\ g{:}G.\ indets\ g) \subseteq (UN\ f{:}F.\ indets\ f) \wedge$
$(\forall g{\in}G.\ \exists F'\ q.\ finite\ F' \wedge F' \subseteq F \wedge g = (\sum f{\in}F'.\ q\ f * f) \wedge (\forall f{\in}F'.\ poly\text{-}deg\ (q\ f * f) \leq b)))$

definition *is-hom-GB-bound* :: $((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'b::field)\ set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$
where *is-hom-GB-bound F b* $\longleftrightarrow$ $((\forall f{\in}F.\ homogeneous\ f) \longrightarrow (\forall g{\in}punit.reduced\text{-}GB\ F.\ poly\text{-}deg\ g \leq b))$

lemma *is-GB-cofactor-boundI*:
assumes *punit.is-Groebner-basis G* **and** *ideal G = ideal F* **and** $\bigcup(indets\ `\ G) \subseteq \bigcup(indets\ `\ F)$
and $\bigwedge g.\ g \in G \Longrightarrow \exists F'\ q.\ finite\ F' \wedge F' \subseteq F \wedge g = (\sum f{\in}F'.\ q\ f * f) \wedge (\forall f{\in}F'.\ poly\text{-}deg\ (q\ f * f) \leq b)$
shows *is-GB-cofactor-bound F b*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-GB-cofactor-boundE*:
fixes $F :: (('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'b::field)\ set$
assumes *is-GB-cofactor-bound F b*
obtains *G* **where** *punit.is-Groebner-basis G* **and** *ideal G = ideal F* **and** $\bigcup(indets\ `\ G) \subseteq \bigcup(indets\ `\ F)$
and $\bigwedge g.\ g \in G \Longrightarrow \exists F'\ q.\ finite\ F' \wedge F' \subseteq F \wedge g = (\sum f{\in}F'.\ q\ f * f) \wedge$
$(\forall f.\ indets\ (q\ f) \subseteq \bigcup(indets\ `\ F) \wedge poly\text{-}deg\ (q\ f * f) \leq b \wedge (f \notin F' \longrightarrow q\ f = 0))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-GB-cofactor-boundE-Polys*:
fixes $F :: (('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'b::field)\ set$
assumes *is-GB-cofactor-bound F b* **and** $F \subseteq P[X]$
obtains *G* **where** *punit.is-Groebner-basis G* **and** *ideal G = ideal F* **and** $G \subseteq P[X]$
and $\bigwedge g.\ g \in G \Longrightarrow \exists F'\ q.\ finite\ F' \wedge F' \subseteq F \wedge g = (\sum f{\in}F'.\ q\ f * f) \wedge$
$(\forall f.\ q\ f \in P[X] \wedge poly\text{-}deg\ (q\ f * f) \leq b \wedge (f \notin F' \longrightarrow q\ f = 0))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-GB-cofactor-boundE-finite-Polys*:
fixes $F :: (('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'b::field)\ set$
assumes *is-GB-cofactor-bound* $F\ b$ **and** *finite* F **and** $F \subseteq P[X]$
obtains G **where** *punit.is-Groebner-basis* G **and** *ideal* G = *ideal* F **and** $G \subseteq P[X]$
and $\bigwedge g.\ g \in G \Longrightarrow \exists\, q.\ g = (\sum f \in F.\ q\ f * f) \wedge (\forall f.\ q\ f \in P[X] \wedge$ *poly-deg* $(q\ f * f) \leq b)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-GB-cofactor-boundI-subset-zero*:
assumes $F \subseteq \{0\}$
shows *is-GB-cofactor-bound* $F\ b$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-hom-GB-boundI*:
$(\bigwedge g.\ (\bigwedge f.\ f \in F \Longrightarrow$ *homogeneous* $f) \Longrightarrow g \in$ *punit.reduced-GB* $F \Longrightarrow$ *poly-deg* $g \leq b) \Longrightarrow$ *is-hom-GB-bound* $F\ b$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-hom-GB-boundD*:
is-hom-GB-bound $F\ b \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge f.\ f \in F \Longrightarrow$ *homogeneous* $f) \Longrightarrow g \in$ *punit.reduced-GB* $F \Longrightarrow$ *poly-deg* $g \leq b$
⟨*proof*⟩

The following is the main theorem in this theory. It shows that a bound for Gröbner bases of homogenized input sets is always also a cofactor bound for the original input sets.

lemma (**in** *extended-ord-pm-powerprod*) *hom-GB-bound-is-GB-cofactor-bound*:
assumes *finite* X **and** $F \subseteq P[X]$ **and** *extended-ord.is-hom-GB-bound* (*homogenize None ‘ extend-indets ‘ F*) b
shows *is-GB-cofactor-bound* $F\ b$
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

4 Computing Gröbner Bases by Triangularizing Macaulay Matrices

theory *Groebner-Macaulay*
imports *Groebner-Bases.Macaulay-Matrix Groebner-Bases.Groebner-PM Degree-Section Degree-Bound-Utils*
begin

Relationship between Gröbner bases and Macaulay matrices, following [4].

4.1 Gröbner Bases

lemma (**in** *gd-term*) *Macaulay-list-is-GB*:
assumes *is-Groebner-basis G* **and** *pmdl* (*set ps*) = *pmdl G* **and** *G* ⊆ *phull* (*set ps*)
shows *is-Groebner-basis* (*set* (*Macaulay-list ps*))
⟨*proof*⟩

4.2 Bounds

context *pm-powerprod*
begin

context
fixes *X* :: *'x set*
assumes *fin-X*: *finite X*
begin

definition *deg-shifts* :: *nat* ⇒ ((*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ *'b*) *list* ⇒ ((*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ *'b*::*semiring-1*) *list*
where *deg-shifts d fs* = *concat* (*map* (λ*f*. (*map* (λ*t*. *punit.monom-mult 1 t f*) (*punit.pps-to-list* (*deg-le-sect X* (*d* − *poly-deg f*))))) *fs*)

lemma *set-deg-shifts*:
set (*deg-shifts d fs*) = (⋃*f*∈*set fs*. (λ*t*. *punit.monom-mult 1 t f*) ‘ (*deg-le-sect X* (*d* − *poly-deg f*)))
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *set-deg-shifts-singleton*:
set (*deg-shifts d* [*f*]) = (λ*t*. *punit.monom-mult 1 t f*) ‘ (*deg-le-sect X* (*d* − *poly-deg f*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-shifts-superset*: *set fs* ⊆ *set* (*deg-shifts d fs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-shifts-mono*:
assumes *set fs* ⊆ *set gs*
shows *set* (*deg-shifts d fs*) ⊆ *set* (*deg-shifts d gs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ideal-deg-shifts* [*simp*]: *ideal* (*set* (*deg-shifts d fs*)) = *ideal* (*set fs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thm-2-3-6*:
assumes *set fs* ⊆ *P*[*X*] **and** *is-GB-cofactor-bound* (*set fs*) *b*
shows *punit.is-Groebner-basis* (*set* (*punit.Macaulay-list* (*deg-shifts b fs*)))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thm-2-3-7*:
assumes *set fs* $\subseteq P[X]$ **and** *is-GB-cofactor-bound* (*set fs*) *b*
shows *1* $\in$ *ideal* (*set fs*) $\longleftrightarrow$ *1* $\in$ *set* (*punit.Macaulay-list* (*deg-shifts b fs*)) (**is** *?L* $\longleftrightarrow$ *?R*)
⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *thm-2-3-6-indets*:
assumes *is-GB-cofactor-bound* (*set fs*) *b*
shows *punit.is-Groebner-basis* (*set* (*punit.Macaulay-list* (*deg-shifts* ($\bigcup$(*indets* ‘ (*set fs*))) *b fs*)))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thm-2-3-7-indets*:
assumes *is-GB-cofactor-bound* (*set fs*) *b*
shows *1* $\in$ *ideal* (*set fs*) $\longleftrightarrow$ *1* $\in$ *set* (*punit.Macaulay-list* (*deg-shifts* ($\bigcup$(*indets* ‘ (*set fs*))) *b fs*))
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

5 Integer Binomial Coefficients

theory *Binomial-Int*
imports *Complex-Main*
begin

Restore original sort constraints:

⟨*ML*⟩

5.1 Inequalities

lemma *binomial-mono*:
assumes $m \leq n$
shows *m choose k* $\leq$ *n choose k*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *binomial-plus-le*:
assumes *0* $<$ *k*
shows (*m choose k*) + (*n choose k*) $\leq$ (*m* + *n*) *choose k*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *binomial-ineq-1*: *2* $*$ ((*n* + *i*) *choose k*) $\leq$ (*n choose k*) + ((*n* + *2* $*$ *i*) *choose k*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *gbinomial-int-mono*:
assumes $0 \leq x$ **and** $x \leq (y::int)$
shows $x\ gchoose\ k \leq y\ gchoose\ k$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *gbinomial-int-plus-le*:
assumes $0 < k$ **and** $0 \leq x$ **and** $0 \leq (y::int)$
shows $(x\ gchoose\ k) + (y\ gchoose\ k) \leq (x + y)\ gchoose\ k$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *binomial-int-ineq-1*:
assumes $0 \leq x$ **and** $0 \leq (y::int)$
shows $2 * (x + y\ gchoose\ k) \leq (x\ gchoose\ k) + ((x + 2 * y)\ gchoose\ k)$
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *binomial-int-ineq-2*:
assumes $0 \leq y$ **and** $y \leq (x::int)$
shows $2 * (x\ gchoose\ k) \leq (x - y\ gchoose\ k) + (x + y\ gchoose\ k)$
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *binomial-int-ineq-3*:
assumes $0 \leq y$ **and** $y \leq 2 * (x::int)$
shows $2 * (x\ gchoose\ k) \leq (y\ gchoose\ k) + (2 * x - y\ gchoose\ k)$
⟨*proof*⟩

5.2 Backward Difference Operator

definition *bw-diff* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a::\{ab\text{-}group\text{-}add,one\}$
where $bw\text{-}diff\ f\ x = f\ x - f\ (x - 1)$

lemma *bw-diff-const* [*simp*]: $bw\text{-}diff\ (\lambda\text{-}.\ c) = (\lambda\text{-}.\ 0)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-id* [*simp*]: $bw\text{-}diff\ (\lambda x.\ x) = (\lambda\text{-}.\ 1)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-plus* [*simp*]: $bw\text{-}diff\ (\lambda x.\ f\ x + g\ x) = (\lambda x.\ bw\text{-}diff\ f\ x + bw\text{-}diff\ g\ x)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-uminus* [*simp*]: $bw\text{-}diff\ (\lambda x.\ -\ f\ x) = (\lambda x.\ -\ bw\text{-}diff\ f\ x)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-minus* [*simp*]: $bw\text{-}diff\ (\lambda x.\ f\ x - g\ x) = (\lambda x.\ bw\text{-}diff\ f\ x - bw\text{-}diff\ g\ x)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-const-pow*: $(bw\text{-}diff\ \widehat{\ }\widehat{\ }\ k)\ (\lambda\text{-}.\ c) = (if\ k = 0\ then\ \lambda\text{-}.\ c\ else\ (\lambda\text{-}.\ 0))$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-id-pow*:
(*bw-diff* ^^ *k*) (λ*x*. *x*) = (*if k* = *0 then* (λ*x*. *x*) *else if k* = *1 then* (λ-. *1*) *else* (λ-. *0*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-plus-pow* [*simp*]:
(*bw-diff* ^^ *k*) (λ*x*. *f x* + *g x*) = (λ*x*. (*bw-diff* ^^ *k*) *f x* + (*bw-diff* ^^ *k*) *g x*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-uminus-pow* [*simp*]: (*bw-diff* ^^ *k*) (λ*x*. − *f x*) = (λ*x*. − (*bw-diff* ^^ *k*) *f x*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-minus-pow* [*simp*]:
(*bw-diff* ^^ *k*) (λ*x*. *f x* − *g x*) = (λ*x*. (*bw-diff* ^^ *k*) *f x* − (*bw-diff* ^^ *k*) *g x*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-sum-pow* [*simp*]:
(*bw-diff* ^^ *k*) (λ*x*. (∑ *i*∈*I*. *f i x*)) = (λ*x*. (∑ *i*∈*I*. (*bw-diff* ^^ *k*) (*f i*) *x*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-gbinomial*:
assumes *0* < *k*
shows *bw-diff* (λ*x*::*int*. (*x* + *n*) *gchoose k*) = (λ*x*. (*x* + *n* − *1*) *gchoose* (*k* − *1*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bw-diff-gbinomial-pow*:
(*bw-diff* ^^ *l*) (λ*x*::*int*. (*x* + *n*) *gchoose k*) =
(*if l* ≤ *k then* (λ*x*. (*x* + *n* − *int l*) *gchoose* (*k* − *l*)) *else* (λ-. *0*))
⟨*proof*⟩

end

6 Integer Polynomial Functions

theory *Poly-Fun*
imports *Binomial-Int HOL−Computational-Algebra.Polynomial*
begin

6.1 Definition and Basic Properties

definition *poly-fun* :: (*int* ⇒ *int*) ⇒ *bool*
where *poly-fun f* ⟷ (∃ *p*::*rat poly*. ∀ *a*. *rat-of-int* (*f a*) = *poly p* (*rat-of-int a*))

lemma *poly-funI*: (⋀*a*. *rat-of-int* (*f a*) = *poly p* (*rat-of-int a*)) ⟹ *poly-fun f*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-funE*:
assumes *poly-fun f*
obtains *p* **where** $\bigwedge a.$ *rat-of-int* (*f a*) = *poly p* (*rat-of-int a*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-eqI*:
assumes *poly-fun f* **and** *poly-fun g* **and** *infinite* {*a*. *f a* = *g a*}
shows *f* = *g*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *poly-fun-eqI-ge*:
assumes *poly-fun f* **and** *poly-fun g* **and** $\bigwedge a.$ *b* ≤ *a* ⟹ *f a* = *g a*
shows *f* = *g*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *poly-fun-eqI-gr*:
assumes *poly-fun f* **and** *poly-fun g* **and** $\bigwedge a.$ *b* < *a* ⟹ *f a* = *g a*
shows *f* = *g*
⟨*proof*⟩

6.2 Closure Properties

lemma *poly-fun-const* [*simp*]: *poly-fun* (λ-. *c*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-id* [*simp*]: *poly-fun* (λ*x*. *x*) *poly-fun id*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-uminus*:
assumes *poly-fun f*
shows *poly-fun* (λ*x*. − *f x*) **and** *poly-fun* (− *f*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-uminus-iff* [*simp*]:
poly-fun (λ*x*. − *f x*) ⟷ *poly-fun f poly-fun* (− *f*) ⟷ *poly-fun f*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-plus* [*simp*]:
assumes *poly-fun f* **and** *poly-fun g*
shows *poly-fun* (λ*x*. *f x* + *g x*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-minus* [*simp*]:
assumes *poly-fun f* **and** *poly-fun g*
shows *poly-fun* (λ*x*. *f x* − *g x*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-times* [*simp*]:

assumes *poly-fun f* **and** *poly-fun g*
shows *poly-fun* ($\lambda x.\ f\ x * g\ x$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-divide*:
assumes *poly-fun f* **and** $\bigwedge a.\ c\ dvd\ f\ a$
shows *poly-fun* ($\lambda x.\ f\ x\ div\ c$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-pow* [*simp*]:
assumes *poly-fun f*
shows *poly-fun* ($\lambda x.\ f\ x\ \hat{}\ k$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-comp*:
assumes *poly-fun f* **and** *poly-fun g*
shows *poly-fun* ($\lambda x.\ f\ (g\ x)$) **and** *poly-fun* ($f \circ g$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-sum* [*simp*]: $(\bigwedge i.\ i \in I \Longrightarrow$ *poly-fun* $(f\ i)) \Longrightarrow$ *poly-fun* $(\lambda x.\ (\sum i{\in}I.\ f\ i\ x))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-prod* [*simp*]: $(\bigwedge i.\ i \in I \Longrightarrow$ *poly-fun* $(f\ i)) \Longrightarrow$ *poly-fun* $(\lambda x.\ (\prod i{\in}I.\ f\ i\ x))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-pochhammer* [*simp*]: *poly-fun f* $\Longrightarrow$ *poly-fun* ($\lambda x.$ *pochhammer* ($f\ x$) k)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-fun-gbinomial* [*simp*]: *poly-fun f* $\Longrightarrow$ *poly-fun* ($\lambda x.\ f\ x$ *gchoose* k)
⟨*proof*⟩

end

7 Monomial Modules

theory *Monomial-Module*
imports *Groebner-Bases.Reduced-GB*
begin

Properties of modules generated by sets of monomials, and (reduced) Gröbner bases thereof.

7.1 Sets of Monomials

definition *is-monomial-set* :: $('a \Rightarrow_0 'b{::}zero)\ set \Rightarrow bool$
where *is-monomial-set A* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall\, p{\in}A.$ *is-monomial p*$)$

lemma *is-monomial-setI*: $(\bigwedge p.\ p \in A \Longrightarrow \textit{is-monomial}\ p) \Longrightarrow \textit{is-monomial-set}\ A$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-monomial-setD*: $\textit{is-monomial-set}\ A \Longrightarrow p \in A \Longrightarrow \textit{is-monomial}\ p$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-monomial-set-subset*: $\textit{is-monomial-set}\ B \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow \textit{is-monomial-set}\ A$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-monomial-set-Un*: $\textit{is-monomial-set}\ (A \cup B) \longleftrightarrow (\textit{is-monomial-set}\ A \wedge \textit{is-monomial-set}\ B)$
⟨*proof*⟩

7.2 Modules

context *term-powerprod*
begin

lemma *monomial-pmdl*:
assumes *is-monomial-set B* **and** $p \in \textit{pmdl}\ B$
shows $\textit{monomial}\ (\textit{lookup}\ p\ v)\ v \in \textit{pmdl}\ B$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-pmdl-field*:
assumes *is-monomial-set B* **and** $p \in \textit{pmdl}\ B$ **and** $v \in \textit{keys}\ (p::\text{-} \Rightarrow_0 {'b::\textit{field}})$
shows $\textit{monomial}\ c\ v \in \textit{pmdl}\ B$
⟨*proof*⟩

end

context *ordered-term*
begin

lemma *keys-monomial-pmdl*:
assumes *is-monomial-set F* **and** $p \in \textit{pmdl}\ F$ **and** $t \in \textit{keys}\ p$
obtains f **where** $f \in F$ **and** $f \neq 0$ **and** $\textit{lt}\ f\ \textit{adds}_t\ t$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *image-lt-monomial-lt*: $\textit{lt}\ `\ \textit{monomial}\ (1::{'b::\textit{zero-neq-one}})\ `\ \textit{lt}\ `\ F = \textit{lt}\ `\ F$
⟨*proof*⟩

7.3 Reduction

lemma *red-setE2*:
assumes *red B p q*
obtains b **where** $b \in B$ **and** $b \neq 0$ **and** $\textit{red}\ \{b\}\ p\ q$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *red-monomial-keys*:
assumes *is-monomial r* **and** *red* $\{r\}$ *p q*
shows *card* (*keys p*) = *Suc* (*card* (*keys q*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *red-monomial-monomial-setD*:
assumes *is-monomial p* **and** *is-monomial-set B* **and** *red B p q*
shows *q* = *0*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *is-red-monomial-monomial-setD*:
assumes *is-monomial p* **and** *is-monomial-set B* **and** *is-red B p*
shows *red B p 0*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *is-red-monomial-monomial-set-in-pmdl*:
is-monomial p ⟹ *is-monomial-set B* ⟹ *is-red B p* ⟹ *p* ∈ *pmdl B*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *red-rtrancl-monomial-monomial-set-cases*:
assumes *is-monomial p* **and** *is-monomial-set B* **and** (*red B*)** *p q*
obtains *q* = *p* | *q* = *0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-red-monomial-lt*:
assumes *0* ∉ *B*
shows *is-red* (*monomial* (*1*::'*b*::*field*) ‘ *lt* ‘ *B*) = *is-red B*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

7.4 Gröbner Bases

context *gd-term*
begin

lemma *monomial-set-is-GB*:
assumes *is-monomial-set G*
shows *is-Groebner-basis G*
⟨*proof*⟩

context
fixes *d*
assumes *dgrad*: *dickson-grading* (*d*::'*a* ⇒ *nat*)
begin

context
fixes *F m*
assumes *fin-comps*: *finite* (*component-of-term* ‘ *Keys F*)

and *F-sub*: $F \subseteq$ *dgrad-p-set d m*
and *F-monom*: *is-monomial-set* (*F*::(- $\Rightarrow_0$ *'b*::*field*) *set*)
begin

The proof of the following lemma could be simplified, analogous to homogeneous ideals.

lemma *reduced-GB-subset-monic-dgrad-p-set*: *reduced-GB F* $\subseteq$ *monic* ‘ *F*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *reduced-GB-is-monomial-set-dgrad-p-set*: *is-monomial-set* (*reduced-GB F*)
⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *is-red-reduced-GB-monomial-dgrad-set*:
assumes *finite* (*component-of-term* ‘ *S*) **and** *pp-of-term* ‘ *S* $\subseteq$ *dgrad-set d m*
shows *is-red* (*reduced-GB* (*monomial 1* ‘ *S*)) = *is-red* (*monomial* (*1*::*'b*::*field*) ‘ *S*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *is-red-reduced-GB-monomial-lt-GB-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *finite* (*component-of-term* ‘ *Keys G*) **and** *G* $\subseteq$ *dgrad-p-set d m* **and** *0* $\notin$ *G*
shows *is-red* (*reduced-GB* (*monomial* (*1*::*'b*::*field*) ‘ *lt* ‘ *G*)) = *is-red G*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reduced-GB-monomial-lt-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *finite* (*component-of-term* ‘ *Keys F*) **and** *F* $\subseteq$ *dgrad-p-set d m*
shows *reduced-GB* (*monomial 1* ‘ *lt* ‘ *reduced-GB F*) = *monomial* (*1*::*'b*::*field*) ‘ *lt* ‘ *reduced-GB F*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

end

8 Preliminaries

theory *Dube-Prelims*
imports *Groebner-Bases.General*
begin

8.1 Sets

lemma *card-geq-ex-subset*:
assumes *card A* $\geq$ *n*

obtains B **where** *card* $B = n$ **and** $B \subseteq A$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *card-2-E-1*:
assumes *card* $A = 2$ **and** $x \in A$
obtains y **where** $x \neq y$ **and** $A = \{x, y\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *card-2-E*:
assumes *card* $A = 2$
obtains $x\ y$ **where** $x \neq y$ **and** $A = \{x, y\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

8.2 Sums

lemma *sum-tail-nat*: $0 < b \Longrightarrow a \leq (b::nat) \Longrightarrow sum\ f\ \{a..b\} = f\ b + sum\ f\ \{a..b - 1\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sum-atLeast-Suc-shift*: $0 < b \Longrightarrow a \leq b \Longrightarrow sum\ f\ \{Suc\ a..b\} = (\sum i{=}a..b - 1.\ f\ (Suc\ i))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sum-split-nat-ivl*:
$a \leq Suc\ j \Longrightarrow j \leq b \Longrightarrow sum\ f\ \{a..j\} + sum\ f\ \{Suc\ j..b\} = sum\ f\ \{a..b\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

8.3 *count-list*

lemma *count-list-gr-1-E*:
assumes $1 < count\text{-}list\ xs\ x$
obtains $i\ j$ **where** $i < j$ **and** $j < length\ xs$ **and** $xs\ !\ i = x$ **and** $xs\ !\ j = x$
⟨*proof*⟩

8.4 *listset*

lemma *listset-Cons*: $listset\ (x\ \#\ xs) = (\bigcup y{\in}x.\ (\#)\ y\ `\ listset\ xs)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-ConsI*: $y \in x \Longrightarrow ys' \in listset\ xs \Longrightarrow ys = y\ \#\ ys' \Longrightarrow ys \in listset\ (x\ \#\ xs)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-ConsE*:
assumes $ys \in listset\ (x\#\ xs)$
obtains $y\ ys'$ **where** $y \in x$ **and** $ys' \in listset\ xs$ **and** $ys = y\ \#\ ys'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listsetI*:

length ys = length xs $\Longrightarrow$ $(\bigwedge i.\ i < \mathit{length}\ \mathit{xs} \Longrightarrow \mathit{ys}\ !\ i \in \mathit{xs}\ !\ i) \Longrightarrow \mathit{ys} \in \mathit{listset}\ \mathit{xs}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listsetD*:
assumes *ys* ∈ *listset xs*
shows *length ys = length xs* **and** $\bigwedge i.\ i < \mathit{length}\ \mathit{xs} \Longrightarrow \mathit{ys}\ !\ i \in \mathit{xs}\ !\ i$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-singletonI*: $a \in A \Longrightarrow \mathit{ys} = [a] \Longrightarrow \mathit{ys} \in \mathit{listset}\ [A]$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-singletonE*:
assumes *ys* ∈ *listset* [*A*]
obtains *a* **where** *a* ∈ *A* **and** *ys* = [*a*]
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-doubletonI*: $a \in A \Longrightarrow b \in B \Longrightarrow \mathit{ys} = [a, b] \Longrightarrow \mathit{ys} \in \mathit{listset}\ [A, B]$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-doubletonE*:
assumes *ys* ∈ *listset* [*A*, *B*]
obtains *a b* **where** *a* ∈ *A* **and** *b* ∈ *B* **and** *ys* = [*a*, *b*]
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-appendI*:
ys1 ∈ *listset xs1* $\Longrightarrow$ *ys2* ∈ *listset xs2* $\Longrightarrow$ *ys = ys1* @ *ys2* $\Longrightarrow$ *ys* ∈ *listset* (*xs1* @ *xs2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-appendE*:
assumes *ys* ∈ *listset* (*xs1* @ *xs2*)
obtains *ys1 ys2* **where** *ys1* ∈ *listset xs1* **and** *ys2* ∈ *listset xs2* **and** *ys = ys1* @ *ys2*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-map-imageI*: $\mathit{ys}' \in \mathit{listset}\ \mathit{xs} \Longrightarrow \mathit{ys} = \mathit{map}\ f\ \mathit{ys}' \Longrightarrow \mathit{ys} \in \mathit{listset}\ (\mathit{map}\ ((`)\ f)\ \mathit{xs})$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-map-imageE*:
assumes *ys* ∈ *listset* (*map* ((`) *f*) *xs*)
obtains ys' **where** $\mathit{ys}' \in \mathit{listset}\ \mathit{xs}$ **and** $\mathit{ys} = \mathit{map}\ f\ \mathit{ys}'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-permE*:
assumes *ys* ∈ *listset xs* **and** *bij-betw f* {..<*length xs*} {..<*length xs'*}
and $\bigwedge i.\ i < \mathit{length}\ \mathit{xs} \Longrightarrow \mathit{xs}'\ !\ i = \mathit{xs}\ !\ f\ i$

obtains ys' **where** $ys' \in listset\ xs'$ **and** $length\ ys' = length\ ys$
and $\bigwedge i.\ i < length\ ys \Longrightarrow ys' ! i = ys ! f\ i$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-closed-map*:
assumes $ys \in listset\ xs$ **and** $\bigwedge x\ y.\ x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow y \in x \Longrightarrow f\ y \in x$
shows $map\ f\ ys \in listset\ xs$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-closed-map2*:
assumes $ys1 \in listset\ xs$ **and** $ys2 \in listset\ xs$
and $\bigwedge x\ y1\ y2.\ x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow y1 \in x \Longrightarrow y2 \in x \Longrightarrow f\ y1\ y2 \in x$
shows $map2\ f\ ys1\ ys2 \in listset\ xs$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-empty-iff*: $listset\ xs = \{\} \longleftrightarrow \{\} \in set\ xs$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *listset-mono*:
assumes $length\ xs = length\ ys$ **and** $\bigwedge i.\ i < length\ ys \Longrightarrow xs ! i \subseteq ys ! i$
shows $listset\ xs \subseteq listset\ ys$
⟨*proof*⟩

end

9 Direct Decompositions and Hilbert Functions

theory *Hilbert-Function*
imports
HOL−Combinatorics.Permutations
Dube-Prelims
Degree-Section
begin

9.1 Direct Decompositions

The main reason for defining *direct-decomp* in terms of lists rather than sets is that lemma *direct-decomp-direct-decomp* can be proved easier. At some point one could invest the time to re-define *direct-decomp* in terms of sets (possibly adding a couple of further assumptions to *direct-decomp-direct-decomp*).

definition $direct\text{-}decomp :: 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a::comm\text{-}monoid\text{-}add\ set\ list \Rightarrow bool$
where $direct\text{-}decomp\ A\ ss \longleftrightarrow bij\text{-}betw\ sum\text{-}list\ (listset\ ss)\ A$

lemma *direct-decompI*:
$inj\text{-}on\ sum\text{-}list\ (listset\ ss) \Longrightarrow sum\text{-}list\ `\ listset\ ss = A \Longrightarrow direct\text{-}decomp\ A\ ss$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decompI-alt*:

$(\bigwedge qs.\ qs \in listset\ ss \Longrightarrow sum\text{-}list\ qs \in A) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge a.\ a \in A \Longrightarrow \exists!qs{\in}listset\ ss.\ a = sum\text{-}list\ qs) \Longrightarrow$
$direct\text{-}decomp\ A\ ss$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decompD*:
assumes *direct-decomp A ss*
shows $qs \in listset\ ss \Longrightarrow sum\text{-}list\ qs \in A$ **and** *inj-on sum-list* (*listset ss*)
and *sum-list* ‘ *listset ss* = *A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decompE*:
assumes *direct-decomp A ss* **and** $a \in A$
obtains *qs* **where** $qs \in listset\ ss$ **and** $a = sum\text{-}list\ qs$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-unique*:
$direct\text{-}decomp\ A\ ss \Longrightarrow qs \in listset\ ss \Longrightarrow qs' \in listset\ ss \Longrightarrow sum\text{-}list\ qs = sum\text{-}list\ qs' \Longrightarrow$
$qs = qs'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-singleton*: *direct-decomp A* [*A*]
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mset-bij*:
assumes *bij-betw f* {..<*length xs*} {..<*length ys*} **and** $\bigwedge i.\ i < length\ xs \Longrightarrow xs\ !\ i = ys\ !\ f\ i$
shows *mset xs* = *mset ys*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-perm*:
assumes *direct-decomp A ss1* **and** *mset ss1* = *mset ss2*
shows *direct-decomp A ss2*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-split-map*:
$direct\text{-}decomp\ A\ (map\ f\ ss) \Longrightarrow direct\text{-}decomp\ A\ (map\ f\ (filter\ P\ ss)\ @\ map\ f\ (filter\ (-\ P)\ ss))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *direct-decomp-split* = *direct-decomp-split-map*[**where** *f*=*id*, *simplified*]

lemma *direct-decomp-direct-decomp*:
assumes *direct-decomp A* (*s* # *ss*) **and** *direct-decomp s rs*
shows *direct-decomp A* (*ss* @ *rs*) (**is** *direct-decomp A ?ss*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sum-list-map-times*: *sum-list* (*map* ((∗) *x*) *xs*) = (*x*::′*a*::*semiring-0*) ∗ *sum-list xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-image-times*:
assumes *direct-decomp* (*A*::′*a*::*semiring-0 set*) *ss* **and** ⋀*a b*. *x* ∗ *a* = *x* ∗ *b* ⟹ *x* ≠ *0* ⟹ *a* = *b*
shows *direct-decomp* ((∗) *x* ‘ *A*) (*map* ((‘) ((∗) *x*)) *ss*) (**is** *direct-decomp ?A ?ss*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-appendD*:
assumes *direct-decomp A* (*ss1* @ *ss2*)
shows {} ∉ *set ss2* ⟹ *direct-decomp* (*sum-list* ‘ *listset ss1*) *ss1* (**is** - ⟹ *?thesis1*)
and {} ∉ *set ss1* ⟹ *direct-decomp* (*sum-list* ‘ *listset ss2*) *ss2* (**is** - ⟹ *?thesis2*)
and *direct-decomp A* [*sum-list* ‘ *listset ss1*, *sum-list* ‘ *listset ss2*] (**is** *direct-decomp* - *?ss*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-Cons-zeroI*:
assumes *direct-decomp A ss*
shows *direct-decomp A* ({*0*} # *ss*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-Cons-zeroD*:
assumes *direct-decomp A* ({*0*} # *ss*)
shows *direct-decomp A ss*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-Cons-subsetI*:
assumes *direct-decomp A* (*s* # *ss*) **and** ⋀*s0*. *s0* ∈ *set ss* ⟹ *0* ∈ *s0*
shows *s* ⊆ *A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-Int-zero*:
assumes *direct-decomp A ss* **and** *i* < *j* **and** *j* < *length ss* **and** ⋀*s*. *s* ∈ *set ss* ⟹ *0* ∈ *s*
shows *ss* ! *i* ∩ *ss* ! *j* = {*0*}
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *direct-decomp-pairwise-zero*:
assumes *direct-decomp A ss* **and** ⋀*s*. *s* ∈ *set ss* ⟹ *0* ∈ *s*
shows *pairwise* (λ*s1 s2*. *s1* ∩ *s2* = {*0*}) (*set ss*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *direct-decomp-repeated-eq-zero*:
assumes *direct-decomp A ss* **and** *1* < *count-list ss X* **and** ⋀*s*. *s* ∈ *set ss* ⟹ *0* ∈ *s*
shows *X* = {*0*}

⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *direct-decomp-map-Int-zero*:
assumes *direct-decomp A* (*map f ss*) **and** *s1* ∈ *set ss* **and** *s2* ∈ *set ss* **and** *s1* ≠ *s2*
and ⋀*s*. *s* ∈ *set ss* ⟹ *0* ∈ *f s*
shows *f s1* ∩ *f s2* = {*0*}
⟨*proof*⟩

9.2 Direct Decompositions and Vector Spaces

definition (**in** *vector-space*) *is-basis* :: *'b set* ⇒ *'b set* ⇒ *bool*
where *is-basis V B* ⟷ (*B* ⊆ *V* ∧ *independent B* ∧ *V* ⊆ *span B* ∧ *card B* = *dim V*)

definition (**in** *vector-space*) *some-basis* :: *'b set* ⇒ *'b set*
where *some-basis V* = *Eps* (*local.is-basis V*)

hide-const (**open**) *real-vector.is-basis real-vector.some-basis*

context *vector-space*
begin

lemma *dim-empty* [*simp*]: *dim* {} = *0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dim-zero* [*simp*]: *dim* {*0*} = *0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *independent-UnI*:
assumes *independent A* **and** *independent B* **and** *span A* ∩ *span B* = {*0*}
shows *independent* (*A* ∪ *B*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *subspace-direct-decomp*:
assumes *direct-decomp A ss* **and** ⋀*s*. *s* ∈ *set ss* ⟹ *subspace s*
shows *subspace A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-basis-alt*: *subspace V* ⟹ *is-basis V B* ⟷ (*independent B* ∧ *span B* = *V*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-basis-finite*: *is-basis V A* ⟹ *is-basis V B* ⟹ *finite A* ⟷ *finite B*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *some-basis-is-basis*: *is-basis V* (*some-basis V*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary
shows *some-basis-subset*: *some-basis* $V \subseteq V$
and *independent-some-basis*: *independent* (*some-basis* V)
and *span-some-basis-supset*: $V \subseteq$ *span* (*some-basis* V)
and *card-some-basis*: *card* (*some-basis* V) = *dim* V
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *some-basis-not-zero*: $0 \notin$ *some-basis* V
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *span-some-basis*: *subspace* $V \Longrightarrow$ *span* (*some-basis* V) = V
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-some-basis-pairwise-disjnt*:
assumes *direct-decomp* A *ss* **and** $\bigwedge s.\ s \in$ *set ss* $\Longrightarrow$ *subspace s*
shows *pairwise* (*λs1 s2. disjnt* (*some-basis s1*) (*some-basis s2*)) (*set ss*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-span-some-basis*:
assumes *direct-decomp* A *ss* **and** $\bigwedge s.\ s \in$ *set ss* $\Longrightarrow$ *subspace s*
shows *span* ($\bigcup$(*some-basis* ‘ *set ss*)) = A
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-independent-some-basis*:
assumes *direct-decomp* A *ss* **and** $\bigwedge s.\ s \in$ *set ss* $\Longrightarrow$ *subspace s*
shows *independent* ($\bigcup$(*some-basis* ‘ *set ss*))
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *direct-decomp-is-basis*:
assumes *direct-decomp* A *ss* **and** $\bigwedge s.\ s \in$ *set ss* $\Longrightarrow$ *subspace s*
shows *is-basis* A ($\bigcup$(*some-basis* ‘ *set ss*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dim-direct-decomp*:
assumes *direct-decomp* A *ss* **and** *finite* B **and** $A \subseteq$ *span* B **and** $\bigwedge s.\ s \in$ *set ss*
$\Longrightarrow$ *subspace s*
shows *dim* A = ($\sum s \in$ *set ss*. *dim s*)
⟨*proof*⟩

end

9.3 Homogeneous Sets of Polynomials with Fixed Degree

lemma *homogeneous-set-direct-decomp*:
assumes *direct-decomp* A *ss* **and** $\bigwedge s.\ s \in$ *set ss* $\Longrightarrow$ *homogeneous-set s*
shows *homogeneous-set* A
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *hom-deg-set* :: *nat* ⇒ ((*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ *'a*) *set* ⇒ ((*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$

$'a::zero)$ *set*
  where *hom-deg-set z A* = $(\lambda a.$ *hom-component a z*$)$ ‘ *A*

lemma *hom-deg-setD*:
  assumes $p \in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
  shows *homogeneous p* **and** $p \neq 0 \Longrightarrow$ *poly-deg p* $= z$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zero-in-hom-deg-set*:
  assumes $0 \in A$
  shows $0 \in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-deg-set-closed-uminus*:
  assumes $\bigwedge a.\ a \in A \Longrightarrow -\ a \in A$ **and** $p \in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
  shows $-\ p \in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-deg-set-closed-plus*:
  assumes $\bigwedge a1\ a2.\ a1 \in A \Longrightarrow a2 \in A \Longrightarrow a1 + a2 \in A$
    and $p \in$ *hom-deg-set z A* **and** $q \in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
  shows $p + q \in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-deg-set-closed-minus*:
  assumes $\bigwedge a1\ a2.\ a1 \in A \Longrightarrow a2 \in A \Longrightarrow a1 - a2 \in A$
    and $p \in$ *hom-deg-set z A* **and** $q \in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
  shows $p - q \in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-deg-set-closed-scalar*:
  assumes $\bigwedge a.\ a \in A \Longrightarrow c \cdot a \in A$ **and** $p \in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
  shows $(c::'a::$*semiring-0*$) \cdot p \in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-deg-set-closed-sum*:
  assumes $0 \in A$ **and** $\bigwedge a1\ a2.\ a1 \in A \Longrightarrow a2 \in A \Longrightarrow a1 + a2 \in A$
    and $\bigwedge i.\ i \in I \Longrightarrow f\ i \in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
  shows *sum f I* $\in$ *hom-deg-set z A*
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-deg-set-subset*: *homogeneous-set A* $\Longrightarrow$ *hom-deg-set z A* $\subseteq A$
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Polys-closed-hom-deg-set*:
  assumes $A \subseteq P[X]$
  shows *hom-deg-set z A* $\subseteq P[X]$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-deg-set-alt-homogeneous-set*:
assumes *homogeneous-set A*
shows *hom-deg-set z A* = {*p* ∈ *A*. *homogeneous p* ∧ (*p* = *0* ∨ *poly-deg p* = *z*)}
(**is** *?A* = *?B*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-deg-set-sum-list-listset*:
assumes *A* = *sum-list* ‘ *listset ss*
shows *hom-deg-set z A* = *sum-list* ‘ *listset* (*map* (*hom-deg-set z*) *ss*) (**is** *?A* = *?B*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-hom-deg-set*:
assumes *direct-decomp A ss* **and** ⋀*s*. *s* ∈ *set ss* ⟹ *homogeneous-set s*
shows *direct-decomp* (*hom-deg-set z A*) (*map* (*hom-deg-set z*) *ss*)
⟨*proof*⟩

9.4 Interpreting Polynomial Rings as Vector Spaces over the Coefficient Field

There is no need to set up any further interpretation, since interpretation *phull* is exactly what we need.

lemma *subspace-ideal*: *phull.subspace* (*ideal* (*F*::('*b*::*comm-powerprod* ⇒$_0$ '*a*::*field*) *set*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *subspace-Polys*: *phull.subspace* (*P*[*X*]::(('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*::*field*) *set*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *subspace-hom-deg-set*:
assumes *phull.subspace A*
shows *phull.subspace* (*hom-deg-set z A*) (**is** *phull.subspace ?A*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-deg-set-Polys-eq-span*:
hom-deg-set z P[*X*] = *phull.span* (*monomial* (*1*::'*a*::*field*) ‘ *deg-sect X z*) (**is** *?A* = *?B*)
⟨*proof*⟩

9.5 (Projective) Hilbert Function

interpretation *phull*: *vector-space map-scale*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *Hilbert-fun* :: (('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*::*field*) *set* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat*
where *Hilbert-fun A z* = *phull.dim* (*hom-deg-set z A*)

lemma *Hilbert-fun-empty* [*simp*]: *Hilbert-fun* {} = *0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Hilbert-fun-zero* [*simp*]: *Hilbert-fun* $\{0\} = 0$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Hilbert-fun-direct-decomp*:
assumes *finite X* **and** $A \subseteq P[X]$ **and** *direct-decomp* (A::(('x::*countable* $\Rightarrow_0$ *nat*) $\Rightarrow_0$ 'a::*field*) *set*) *ps*
and $\bigwedge s.\ s \in$ *set ps* $\Longrightarrow$ *homogeneous-set s* **and** $\bigwedge s.\ s \in$ *set ps* $\Longrightarrow$ *phull.subspace s*
shows *Hilbert-fun A z* = ($\sum p \in$ *set ps*. *Hilbert-fun p z*)
⟨*proof*⟩

context *pm-powerprod*
begin

lemma *image-lt-hom-deg-set*:
assumes *homogeneous-set A*
shows *lpp* ‘ (*hom-deg-set z A* − {*0*}) = {$t \in$ *lpp* ‘ (*A* − {*0*}). *deg-pm t* = *z*} (**is** *?B* = *?A*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Hilbert-fun-alt*:
assumes *finite X* **and** $A \subseteq P[X]$ **and** *phull.subspace A*
shows *Hilbert-fun A z* = *card* (*lpp* ‘ (*hom-deg-set z A* − {*0*})) (**is** - = *card ?A*)
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

10 Cone Decompositions

theory *Cone-Decomposition*
imports *Groebner-Bases.Groebner-PM Monomial-Module Hilbert-Function*
begin

10.1 More Properties of Reduced Gröbner Bases

context *pm-powerprod*
begin

lemmas *reduced-GB-subset-monic-Polys* =
punit.reduced-GB-subset-monic-dgrad-p-set[*simplified*, *OF dickson-grading-varnum*,
where *m*=*0*, *simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum*]
lemmas *reduced-GB-is-monomial-set-Polys* =
punit.reduced-GB-is-monomial-set-dgrad-p-set[*simplified*, *OF dickson-grading-varnum*,
where *m*=*0*, *simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum*]
lemmas *is-red-reduced-GB-monomial-lt-GB-Polys* =
punit.is-red-reduced-GB-monomial-lt-GB-dgrad-p-set[*simplified*, *OF dickson-grading-varnum*,

where *m=0*, *simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum*]
lemmas *reduced-GB-monomial-lt-reduced-GB-Polys* =
punit.reduced-GB-monomial-lt-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set[*simplified*, *OF dickson-grading-varnum*,
where *m=0*, *simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum*]

end

10.2 Quotient Ideals

definition *quot-set* :: *'a set* ⇒ *'a* ⇒ *'a*::*semigroup-mult set* (**infixl** ‹÷› *55*)
where *quot-set A x* = (∗) *x* −‘ *A*

lemma *quot-set-iff*: $a \in A \div x \longleftrightarrow x * a \in A$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *quot-setI*: $x * a \in A \Longrightarrow a \in A \div x$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *quot-setD*: $a \in A \div x \Longrightarrow x * a \in A$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *quot-set-quot-set* [*simp*]: $A \div x \div y = A \div x * y$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *quot-set-one* [*simp*]: $A \div (1::-::monoid\text{-}mult) = A$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ideal-quot-set-ideal* [*simp*]: *ideal* (*ideal B* ÷ *x*) = (*ideal B*) ÷ (*x*::-::*comm-ring*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *quot-set-image-times*: *inj* ((∗) *x*) ⟹ ((∗) *x* ‘ *A*) ÷ *x* = *A*
⟨*proof*⟩

10.3 Direct Decompositions of Polynomial Rings

context *pm-powerprod*
begin

definition *normal-form* :: ((*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ *'a*) *set* ⇒ ((*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*)
⇒ ((*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*)
where *normal-form F p* = (*SOME q*. (*punit.red* (*punit.reduced-GB F*))** *p q* ∧
¬ *punit.is-red* (*punit.reduced-GB F*) *q*)

Of course, *normal-form* could be defined in a much more general context.

context
fixes *X* :: *'x set*
assumes *fin-X*: *finite X*
begin

context
  fixes $F :: (('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a::field)\ set$
  assumes *F-sub*: $F \subseteq P[X]$
begin

lemma *normal-form*:
  shows $(punit.red\ (punit.reduced\text{-}GB\ F))^{**}\ p\ (normal\text{-}form\ F\ p)$ (**is** *?thesis1*)
    and $\neg\ punit.is\text{-}red\ (punit.reduced\text{-}GB\ F)\ (normal\text{-}form\ F\ p)$ (**is** *?thesis2*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-unique*:
 assumes $(punit.red\ (punit.reduced\text{-}GB\ F))^{**}\ p\ q$ **and** $\neg\ punit.is\text{-}red\ (punit.reduced\text{-}GB\ F)\ q$
  shows $normal\text{-}form\ F\ p = q$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-id-iff*: $normal\text{-}form\ F\ p = p \longleftrightarrow (\neg\ punit.is\text{-}red\ (punit.reduced\text{-}GB\ F)\ p)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-normal-form*: $normal\text{-}form\ F\ (normal\text{-}form\ F\ p) = normal\text{-}form\ F\ p$
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-zero*: $normal\text{-}form\ F\ 0 = 0$
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-map-scale*: $normal\text{-}form\ F\ (c \cdot p) = c \cdot (normal\text{-}form\ F\ p)$
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-uminus*: $normal\text{-}form\ F\ (-\ p) = -\ normal\text{-}form\ F\ p$
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-plus-normal-form*:
  $normal\text{-}form\ F\ (normal\text{-}form\ F\ p + normal\text{-}form\ F\ q) = normal\text{-}form\ F\ p + normal\text{-}form\ F\ q$
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-minus-normal-form*:
  $normal\text{-}form\ F\ (normal\text{-}form\ F\ p - normal\text{-}form\ F\ q) = normal\text{-}form\ F\ p - normal\text{-}form\ F\ q$
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-ideal-Polys*: $normal\text{-}form\ (ideal\ F \cap P[X]) = normal\text{-}form\ F$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-diff-in-ideal*: $p - normal\text{-}form\ F\ p \in ideal\ F$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-zero-iff*: *normal-form F p = 0* $\longleftrightarrow$ *p* $\in$ *ideal F*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-eq-iff*: *normal-form F p = normal-form F q* $\longleftrightarrow$ *p − q* $\in$ *ideal F*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Polys-closed-normal-form*:
assumes *p* $\in$ *P*[*X*]
shows *normal-form F p* $\in$ *P*[*X*]
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *image-normal-form-iff*:
p $\in$ *normal-form F ‘ P*[*X*] $\longleftrightarrow$ (*p* $\in$ *P*[*X*] $\wedge$ $\neg$ *punit.is-red* (*punit.reduced-GB F*) *p*)
⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *direct-decomp-ideal-insert*:
fixes *F* **and** *f*
defines *I* $\equiv$ *ideal* (*insert f F*)
defines *L* $\equiv$ (*ideal F* $\div$ *f*) $\cap$ *P*[*X*]
assumes *F* $\subseteq$ *P*[*X*] **and** *f* $\in$ *P*[*X*]
shows *direct-decomp* (*I* $\cap$ *P*[*X*]) [*ideal F* $\cap$ *P*[*X*], (∗) *f ‘ normal-form L ‘ P*[*X*]]
(**is** *direct-decomp - ?ss*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *direct-decomp-ideal-normal-form*:
assumes *F* $\subseteq$ *P*[*X*]
shows *direct-decomp P*[*X*] [*ideal F* $\cap$ *P*[*X*], *normal-form F ‘ P*[*X*]]
⟨*proof*⟩

end

10.4 Basic Cone Decompositions

definition *cone* :: (((*'x* $\Rightarrow_0$ *nat*) $\Rightarrow_0$ *'a*) $\times$ *'x set*) $\Rightarrow$ ((*'x* $\Rightarrow_0$ *nat*) $\Rightarrow_0$ *'a*::*comm-semiring-0*) *set*
where *cone hU* = (∗) (*fst hU*) *‘ P*[*snd hU*]

lemma *coneI*: *p* = *a* ∗ *h* $\Longrightarrow$ *a* $\in$ *P*[*U*] $\Longrightarrow$ *p* $\in$ *cone* (*h*, *U*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *coneE*:
assumes *p* $\in$ *cone* (*h*, *U*)
obtains *a* **where** *a* $\in$ *P*[*U*] **and** *p* = *a* ∗ *h*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-empty*: *cone* $(h, \{\}) = range\ (\lambda c.\ c \cdot h)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-zero* [*simp*]: *cone* $(0,\ U) = \{0\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-one* [*simp*]: *cone* $(1$::- $\Rightarrow_0$ $'a$::*comm-semiring-1*$,\ U) = P[U]$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zero-in-cone*: $0 \in cone\ hU$
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *empty-not-in-map-cone*: $\{\} \notin set\ (map\ cone\ ps)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tip-in-cone*: $h \in cone\ (h$::- $\Rightarrow_0$ -::*comm-semiring-1*$,\ U)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-closed-plus*:
assumes $a \in cone\ hU$ **and** $b \in cone\ hU$
shows $a + b \in cone\ hU$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-closed-uminus*:
assumes $(a$::- $\Rightarrow_0$ -::*comm-ring*$) \in cone\ hU$
shows $-\ a \in cone\ hU$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-closed-minus*:
assumes $(a$::- $\Rightarrow_0$ -::*comm-ring*$) \in cone\ hU$ **and** $b \in cone\ hU$
shows $a - b \in cone\ hU$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-closed-times*:
assumes $a \in cone\ (h,\ U)$ **and** $q \in P[U]$
shows $q * a \in cone\ (h,\ U)$
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *cone-closed-monom-mult*:
assumes $a \in cone\ (h,\ U)$ **and** $t \in .[U]$
shows *punit.monom-mult* $c\ t\ a \in cone\ (h,\ U)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *coneD*:
assumes $p \in cone\ (h,\ U)$ **and** $p \neq 0$
shows *lpp* h *adds lpp* $(p$::- $\Rightarrow_0$ -::{*comm-semiring-0*,*semiring-no-zero-divisors*}$)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-mono-1*:

assumes $h' \in P[U]$
shows *cone* $(h' * h,\ U) \subseteq$ *cone* $(h,\ U)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-mono-2*:
assumes *U1* $\subseteq$ *U2*
shows *cone* $(h,\ U1) \subseteq$ *cone* $(h,\ U2)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-subsetD*:
assumes *cone* $(h1,\ U1) \subseteq$ *cone* (*h2*::- $\Rightarrow_0$ *'a*::{*comm-ring-1*,*ring-no-zero-divisors*}, *U2*)
shows *h2 dvd h1* **and** $h1 \neq 0 \Longrightarrow U1 \subseteq U2$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-subset-PolysD*:
assumes *cone* (*h*::- $\Rightarrow_0$ *'a*::{*comm-semiring-1*,*semiring-no-zero-divisors*}, *U*) $\subseteq$ *P*[*X*]
shows $h \in P[X]$ **and** $h \neq 0 \Longrightarrow U \subseteq X$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-subset-PolysI*:
assumes $h \in P[X]$ **and** $h \neq 0 \Longrightarrow U \subseteq X$
shows *cone* $(h,\ U) \subseteq P[X]$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-image-times*: $(*)\ a$ ‘ *cone* $(h,\ U) =$ *cone* $(a * h,\ U)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-image-times'*: $(*)\ a$ ‘ *cone hU* = *cone* (*apfst* $((*)\ a)$ *hU*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *homogeneous-set-coneI*:
assumes *homogeneous h*
shows *homogeneous-set* (*cone* $(h,\ U)$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *subspace-cone*: *phull.subspace* (*cone hU*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *direct-decomp-cone-insert*:
fixes *h* :: - $\Rightarrow_0$ *'a*::{*comm-ring-1*,*ring-no-zero-divisors*}
assumes $x \notin U$
shows *direct-decomp* (*cone* $(h,$ *insert x U*))
[*cone* $(h,\ U)$, *cone* (*monomial 1* (*Poly-Mapping.single x* (*Suc 0*)) $*$ *h*, *insert x U*)]
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *valid-decomp* :: *'x set* $\Rightarrow$ ((($'x \Rightarrow_0$ *nat*) $\Rightarrow_0$ *'a*::*zero*) $\times$ *'x set*) *list* $\Rightarrow$

bool
where *valid-decomp X ps* $\longleftrightarrow$ $((\forall (h,\ U) \in set\ ps.\ h \in P[X] \wedge h \neq 0 \wedge U \subseteq X))$

definition *monomial-decomp* :: $((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a::\{one,zero\}) \times 'x\ set)\ list \Rightarrow$ *bool*
where *monomial-decomp ps* $\longleftrightarrow$ $(\forall\, hU \in set\ ps.\ is\text{-}monomial\ (fst\ hU) \wedge punit.lc\ (fst\ hU) = 1)$

definition *hom-decomp* :: $((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a::\{one,zero\}) \times 'x\ set)\ list \Rightarrow bool$
where *hom-decomp ps* $\longleftrightarrow$ $(\forall\, hU \in set\ ps.\ homogeneous\ (fst\ hU))$

definition *cone-decomp* :: $(('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a)\ set \Rightarrow$
$((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a::comm\text{-}semiring\text{-}0) \times 'x\ set)\ list \Rightarrow bool$
where *cone-decomp T ps* $\longleftrightarrow$ *direct-decomp T* (*map cone ps*)

lemma *valid-decompI*:
$(\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in set\ ps \Longrightarrow h \in P[X]) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in set\ ps \Longrightarrow h \neq 0) \Longrightarrow$
$(\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in set\ ps \Longrightarrow U \subseteq X) \Longrightarrow valid\text{-}decomp\ X\ ps$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-decompD*:
assumes *valid-decomp X ps* **and** $(h,\ U) \in set\ ps$
shows $h \in P[X]$ **and** $h \neq 0$ **and** $U \subseteq X$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-decompD-finite*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *valid-decomp X ps* **and** $(h,\ U) \in set\ ps$
shows *finite U*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-decomp-Nil*: *valid-decomp X* []
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-decomp-concat*:
assumes $\bigwedge ps.\ ps \in set\ pss \Longrightarrow valid\text{-}decomp\ X\ ps$
shows *valid-decomp X* (*concat pss*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *valid-decomp-append*:
assumes *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *valid-decomp X qs*
shows *valid-decomp X* (*ps* @ *qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-decomp-map-times*:
assumes *valid-decomp X ps* **and** $s \in P[X]$ **and** $s \neq (0::\text{-} \Rightarrow_0 \text{-}::semiring\text{-}no\text{-}zero\text{-}divisors)$
shows *valid-decomp X* (*map* (*apfst* ((∗) *s*)) *ps*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decompI*:
(⋀*h U*. (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set ps* ⟹ *is-monomial h*) ⟹ (⋀*h U*. (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set ps* ⟹ *punit.lc h* = *1*) ⟹
monomial-decomp ps
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decompD*:
assumes *monomial-decomp ps* **and** (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set ps*
shows *is-monomial h* **and** *punit.lc h* = *1*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decomp-append-iff*:
monomial-decomp (*ps* @ *qs*) ⟷ *monomial-decomp ps* ∧ *monomial-decomp qs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decomp-concat*:
(⋀*ps*. *ps* ∈ *set pss* ⟹ *monomial-decomp ps*) ⟹ *monomial-decomp* (*concat pss*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decomp-map-times*:
assumes *monomial-decomp ps* **and** *is-monomial f* **and** *punit.lc f* = (*1*::*'a*::*semiring-1*)
shows *monomial-decomp* (*map* (*apfst* ((∗) *f*)) *ps*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decomp-monomial-in-cone*:
assumes *monomial-decomp ps* **and** *hU* ∈ *set ps* **and** *a* ∈ *cone hU*
shows *monomial* (*lookup a t*) *t* ∈ *cone hU*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decomp-sum-list-monomial-in-cone*:
assumes *monomial-decomp ps* **and** *a* ∈ *sum-list* ‘ *listset* (*map cone ps*) **and** *t* ∈ *keys a*
obtains *c h U* **where** (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set ps* **and** *c* ≠ *0* **and** *monomial c t* ∈ *cone* (*h*, *U*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-decompI*: (⋀*h U*. (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set ps* ⟹ *homogeneous h*) ⟹ *hom-decomp ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-decompD*: *hom-decomp ps* ⟹ (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set ps* ⟹ *homogeneous h*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-decomp-append-iff*: *hom-decomp* (*ps* @ *qs*) ⟷ *hom-decomp ps* ∧ *hom-decomp qs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-decomp-concat*: (⋀*ps*. *ps* ∈ *set pss* ⟹ *hom-decomp ps*) ⟹ *hom-decomp* (*concat pss*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-decomp-map-times*:
assumes *hom-decomp ps* **and** *homogeneous f*
shows *hom-decomp* (*map* (*apfst* ((∗) *f*)) *ps*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decomp-imp-hom-decomp*:
assumes *monomial-decomp ps*
shows *hom-decomp ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decompI*: *direct-decomp T* (*map cone ps*) ⟹ *cone-decomp T ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decompD*: *cone-decomp T ps* ⟹ *direct-decomp T* (*map cone ps*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-cone-subset*:
assumes *cone-decomp T ps* **and** *hU* ∈ *set ps*
shows *cone hU* ⊆ *T*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-indets*:
assumes *cone-decomp T ps* **and** *T* ⊆ *P*[*X*] **and** (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set ps*
shows *h* ∈ *P*[*X*] **and** *h* ≠ (*0*::- ⇒$_0$ -::{*comm-semiring-1*,*semiring-no-zero-divisors*})
⟹ *U* ⊆ *X*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-closed-plus*:
assumes *cone-decomp T ps* **and** *a* ∈ *T* **and** *b* ∈ *T*
shows *a* + *b* ∈ *T*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-closed-uminus*:
assumes *cone-decomp T ps* **and** (*a*::- ⇒$_0$ -::*comm-ring*) ∈ *T*
shows − *a* ∈ *T*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *cone-decomp-closed-minus*:
assumes *cone-decomp T ps* **and** (*a*::- ⇒$_0$ -::*comm-ring*) ∈ *T* **and** *b* ∈ *T*
shows *a* − *b* ∈ *T*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-Nil*: *cone-decomp* {*0*} []
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-singleton*: *cone-decomp* (*cone* (*t*, *U*)) [(*t*, *U*)]
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-append*:
assumes *direct-decomp T* [*S1*, *S2*] **and** *cone-decomp S1 ps* **and** *cone-decomp S2 qs*
shows *cone-decomp T* (*ps* @ *qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-concat*:
assumes *direct-decomp T ss* **and** *length pss* = *length ss*
and ⋀*i*. *i* < *length ss* ⟹ *cone-decomp* (*ss* ! *i*) (*pss* ! *i*)
shows *cone-decomp T* (*concat pss*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-map-times*:
assumes *cone-decomp T ps*
shows *cone-decomp* ((∗) *s* ‘ *T*) (*map* (*apfst* ((∗) (*s*::- ⇒$_0$ -::{*comm-ring-1*,*ring-no-zero-divisors*}))) *ps*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-perm*:
assumes *cone-decomp T ps* **and** *mset ps* = *mset qs*
shows *cone-decomp T qs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-cone-decomp-subset-Polys*:
assumes *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *cone-decomp T ps*
shows *T* ⊆ *P*[*X*]
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *homogeneous-set-cone-decomp*:
assumes *cone-decomp T ps* **and** *hom-decomp ps*
shows *homogeneous-set T*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *subspace-cone-decomp*:
assumes *cone-decomp T ps*
shows *phull.subspace* (*T*::(- ⇒$_0$ -::*field*) *set*)
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *pos-decomp* :: (((′*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ ′*a*) × ′*x set*) *list* ⇒ (((′*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ ′*a*) × ′*x set*) *list*
(‹(-$_+$)› [*1000*] *999*)
where *pos-decomp ps* = *filter* (λ*p*. *snd p* ≠ {}) *ps*

definition *standard-decomp* :: *nat* ⇒ (((′*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ ′*a*::*zero*) × ′*x set*) *list* ⇒ *bool*
where *standard-decomp k ps* ⟷ (∀ (*h*, *U*)∈*set* (*ps*$_+$). *k* ≤ *poly-deg h* ∧
(∀ *d*. *k* ≤ *d* ⟶ *d* ≤ *poly-deg h* ⟶
(∃ (*h*′, *U*′)∈*set ps*. *poly-deg h*′ = *d* ∧ *card U* ≤

card U')))

lemma *pos-decomp-Nil* [*simp*]: $[]_+ = []$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pos-decomp-subset*: *set* $(ps_+) \subseteq$ *set ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pos-decomp-append*: $(ps \mathbin{@} qs)_+ = ps_+ \mathbin{@} qs_+$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pos-decomp-concat*: $(concat\ pss)_+ = concat\ (map\ pos\text{-}decomp\ pss)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pos-decomp-map*: $(map\ (apfst\ f)\ ps)_+ = map\ (apfst\ f)\ (ps_+)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *card-Diff-pos-decomp*: *card* $\{(h, U) \in set\ qs - set\ (qs_+).\ P\ h\} = card\ \{h.\ (h, \{\}) \in set\ qs \wedge P\ h\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decompI*:
assumes $\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h, U) \in set\ (ps_+) \Longrightarrow k \leq poly\text{-}deg\ h$
and $\bigwedge h\ U\ d.\ (h, U) \in set\ (ps_+) \Longrightarrow k \leq d \Longrightarrow d \leq poly\text{-}deg\ h \Longrightarrow$
$(\exists h'\ U'.\ (h', U') \in set\ ps \wedge poly\text{-}deg\ h' = d \wedge card\ U \leq card\ U')$
shows *standard-decomp k ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decompD*: *standard-decomp k ps* $\Longrightarrow (h, U) \in set\ (ps_+) \Longrightarrow k \leq poly\text{-}deg\ h$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decompE*:
assumes *standard-decomp k ps* **and** $(h, U) \in set\ (ps_+)$ **and** $k \leq d$ **and** $d \leq poly\text{-}deg\ h$
obtains $h'\ U'$ **where** $(h', U') \in set\ ps$ **and** $poly\text{-}deg\ h' = d$ **and** $card\ U \leq card\ U'$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decomp-Nil*: $ps_+ = [] \Longrightarrow$ *standard-decomp k ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decomp-singleton*: *standard-decomp* $(poly\text{-}deg\ h)\ [(h, U)]$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decomp-concat*:
assumes $\bigwedge ps.\ ps \in set\ pss \Longrightarrow$ *standard-decomp k ps*
shows *standard-decomp k* $(concat\ pss)$
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *standard-decomp-append*:
assumes *standard-decomp k ps* **and** *standard-decomp k qs*
shows *standard-decomp k* (*ps* @ *qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decomp-map-times*:
assumes *standard-decomp k ps* **and** *valid-decomp X ps* **and** $s \neq (0::\text{-} \Rightarrow_0 'a::\textit{semiring-no-zero-divisors})$
shows *standard-decomp* (*k* + *poly-deg s*) (*map* (*apfst* ((∗) *s*)) *ps*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decomp-nonempty-unique*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *standard-decomp k ps* **and** $ps_+ \neq$
[]
shows *k* = *Min* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set* (ps_+))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decomp-SucE*:
assumes *finite X* **and** $U \subseteq X$ **and** $h \in P[X]$ **and** $h \neq (0::\text{-} \Rightarrow_0 'a::\{\textit{comm-ring-1},\textit{ring-no-zero-divisors}\})$
obtains *ps* **where** *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *cone-decomp* (*cone* (*h*, *U*)) *ps*
and *standard-decomp* (*Suc* (*poly-deg h*)) *ps*
and *is-monomial h* ⟹ *punit.lc h* = *1* ⟹ *monomial-decomp ps* **and** *homogeneous h* ⟹ *hom-decomp ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decomp-geE*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *valid-decomp X ps*
and *cone-decomp* ($T::((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a::\{\textit{comm-ring-1},\textit{ring-no-zero-divisors}\})$ *set*) *ps*
and *standard-decomp k ps* **and** $k \leq d$
obtains *qs* **where** *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *cone-decomp T qs* **and** *standard-decomp d qs*
and *monomial-decomp ps* ⟹ *monomial-decomp qs* **and** *hom-decomp ps* ⟹ *hom-decomp qs*
⟨*proof*⟩

10.5 Splitting w.r.t. Ideals

context
fixes $X :: 'x\ set$
begin

definition *splits-wrt* :: $((((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \times 'x\ set)\ list \times ((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \times 'x\ set)\ list) \Rightarrow$
$((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a::comm\text{-}ring\text{-}1)\ set \Rightarrow ((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a)\ set \Rightarrow bool$
where *splits-wrt pqs T F* ⟷ *cone-decomp T* (*fst pqs* @ *snd pqs*) ∧
$(\forall\, hU{\in}set\ (fst\ pqs).\ cone\ hU \subseteq ideal\ F \cap P[X]) \wedge$
$(\forall\, (h,\ U){\in}set\ (snd\ pqs).\ cone\ (h,\ U) \subseteq P[X] \wedge cone\ (h,$

$U) \cap$ *ideal* $F = \{0\}$)

lemma *splits-wrtI*:
assumes *cone-decomp* T (ps @ qs)
and $\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in$ *set* $ps \Longrightarrow$ *cone* $(h,\ U) \subseteq P[X]$ **and** $\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in$ *set* $ps \Longrightarrow h \in$ *ideal* F
and $\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in$ *set* $qs \Longrightarrow$ *cone* $(h,\ U) \subseteq P[X]$
and $\bigwedge h\ U\ a.\ (h,\ U) \in$ *set* $qs \Longrightarrow a \in$ *cone* $(h,\ U) \Longrightarrow a \in$ *ideal* $F \Longrightarrow a = 0$
shows *splits-wrt* $(ps,\ qs)\ T\ F$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *splits-wrtI-valid-decomp*:
assumes *valid-decomp* $X\ ps$ **and** *valid-decomp* $X\ qs$ **and** *cone-decomp* T (ps @ qs)
and $\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in$ *set* $ps \Longrightarrow h \in$ *ideal* F
and $\bigwedge h\ U\ a.\ (h,\ U) \in$ *set* $qs \Longrightarrow a \in$ *cone* $(h,\ U) \Longrightarrow a \in$ *ideal* $F \Longrightarrow a = 0$
shows *splits-wrt* $(ps,\ qs)\ T\ F$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *splits-wrtD*:
assumes *splits-wrt* $(ps,\ qs)\ T\ F$
shows *cone-decomp* T (ps @ qs) **and** $hU \in$ *set* $ps \Longrightarrow$ *cone* $hU \subseteq$ *ideal* $F \cap P[X]$
and $hU \in$ *set* $qs \Longrightarrow$ *cone* $hU \subseteq P[X]$ **and** $hU \in$ *set* $qs \Longrightarrow$ *cone* $hU \cap$ *ideal* $F = \{0\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *splits-wrt-image-sum-list-fst-subset*:
assumes *splits-wrt* $(ps,\ qs)\ T\ F$
shows *sum-list* ‘ *listset* (*map cone* ps) $\subseteq$ *ideal* $F \cap P[X]$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *splits-wrt-image-sum-list-snd-subset*:
assumes *splits-wrt* $(ps,\ qs)\ T\ F$
shows *sum-list* ‘ *listset* (*map cone* qs) $\subseteq P[X]$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *splits-wrt-cone-decomp-1*:
assumes *splits-wrt* $(ps,\ qs)\ T\ F$ **and** *monomial-decomp* qs **and** *is-monomial-set* (F::(- $\Rightarrow_0$ 'a::*field*) *set*)
— The last two assumptions are missing in the paper.
shows *cone-decomp* $(T \cap$ *ideal* $F)$ ps
⟨*proof*⟩

Together, Theorems *splits-wrt-image-sum-list-fst-subset* and *splits-wrt-cone-decomp-1* imply that ps is also a cone decomposition of $T \cap$ *ideal* $F \cap P[X]$.

lemma *splits-wrt-cone-decomp-2*:
assumes *finite* X **and** *splits-wrt* $(ps,\ qs)\ T\ F$ **and** *monomial-decomp* qs **and** *is-monomial-set* F

and $F \subseteq P[X]$
shows *cone-decomp* $(T \cap$ *normal-form* F ‘ $P[X])$ *qs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *quot-monomial-ideal-monomial*:
ideal (*monomial* 1 ‘ S) ÷ *monomial* 1 (*Poly-Mapping.single* (x::$'x$) (1::*nat*)) =
ideal (*monomial* (1::$'a$::*comm-ring-1*) ‘ ($\lambda s.\ s -$ *Poly-Mapping.single* x 1) ‘ S)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lem-4-2-1*:
assumes *ideal* F ÷ *monomial* 1 t = *ideal* (*monomial* (1::$'a$::*comm-ring-1*) ‘ S)
shows *cone* (*monomial* 1 t, U) ⊆ *ideal* $F \longleftrightarrow 0 \in S$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lem-4-2-2*:
assumes *ideal* F ÷ *monomial* 1 t = *ideal* (*monomial* (1::$'a$::*comm-ring-1*) ‘ S)
shows *cone* (*monomial* 1 t, U) ∩ *ideal* $F = \{0\} \longleftrightarrow S \cap .[U] = \{\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

10.6 Function *split*

definition *max-subset* :: $'a$ *set* ⇒ ($'a$ *set* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ $'a$ *set*
where *max-subset* A P = (*ARG-MAX card* B. $B \subseteq A \wedge P\ B$)

lemma *max-subset*:
assumes *finite* A **and** $B \subseteq A$ **and** $P\ B$
shows *max-subset* A $P \subseteq A$ (**is** *?thesis1*)
and P (*max-subset* A P) (**is** *?thesis2*)
and *card* $B \leq$ *card* (*max-subset* A P) (**is** *?thesis3*)
⟨*proof*⟩

function (*domintros*) *split* :: ($'x \Rightarrow_0$ *nat*) ⇒ $'x$ *set* ⇒ ($'x \Rightarrow_0$ *nat*) *set* ⇒
(((((($'x \Rightarrow_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ $'a$) × ($'x$ *set*)) *list*) ×
((((($'x \Rightarrow_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ $'a$::{*zero*,*one*}) × ($'x$ *set*)) *list*))
where
split t U S =
(*if* 0 ∈ S *then*
([(*monomial* 1 t, U)], [])
else if $S \cap .[U] = \{\}$ *then*
([], [(*monomial* 1 t, U)])
else
let x = *SOME* x'. $x' \in U -$ (*max-subset* U ($\lambda V.\ S \cap .[V] = \{\}$));
($ps0$, $qs0$) = *split* t ($U - \{x\}$) S;
($ps1$, $qs1$) = *split* (*Poly-Mapping.single* x 1 + t) U (($\lambda f.\ f -$ *Poly-Mapping.single* x 1) ‘ S) *in*
($ps0$ @ $ps1$, $qs0$ @ $qs1$))
⟨*proof*⟩

Function *split* is not executable, because this is not necessary. With some effort, it could be made executable, though.

lemma *split-domI'*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *fst* (*snd args*) ⊆ *X* **and** *finite* (*snd* (*snd args*))
shows *split-dom TYPE*('*a*::{*zero*,*one*}) *args*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *split-domI*: *finite X* ⟹ *U* ⊆ *X* ⟹ *finite S* ⟹ *split-dom TYPE*('*a*::{*zero*,*one*}) (*t*, *U*, *S*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *split-empty*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *U* ⊆ *X*
shows *split t U* {} = ([], [(*monomial* (*1*::'*a*::{*zero*,*one*}) *t*, *U*)])
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *split-induct* [*consumes 3*, *case-names base1 base2 step*]:
fixes *P* :: ('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒ -
assumes *finite X* **and** *U* ⊆ *X* **and** *finite S*
assumes ⋀*t U S*. *U* ⊆ *X* ⟹ *finite S* ⟹ *0* ∈ *S* ⟹ *P t U S* ([(*monomial* (*1*::'*a*::{*zero*,*one*}) *t*, *U*)], [])
assumes ⋀*t U S*. *U* ⊆ *X* ⟹ *finite S* ⟹ *0* ∉ *S* ⟹ *S* ∩ .[*U*] = {} ⟹ *P t U S* ([], [(*monomial 1 t*, *U*)])
assumes ⋀*t U S V x ps0 ps1 qs0 qs1*. *U* ⊆ *X* ⟹ *finite S* ⟹ *0* ∉ *S* ⟹ *S* ∩ .[*U*] ≠ {} ⟹ *V* ⊆ *U* ⟹
S ∩ .[*V*] = {} ⟹ (⋀*V'*. *V'* ⊆ *U* ⟹ *S* ∩ .[*V'*] = {} ⟹ *card V'* ≤ *card V*) ⟹
x ∈ *U* ⟹ *x* ∉ *V* ⟹ *V* = *max-subset U* (λ*V'*. *S* ∩ .[*V'*] = {}) ⟹ *x* = (*SOME x'*. *x'* ∈ *U* − *V*) ⟹
(*ps0*, *qs0*) = *split t* (*U* − {*x*}) *S* ⟹
(*ps1*, *qs1*) = *split* (*Poly-Mapping.single x 1* + *t*) *U* ((λ*f*. *f* − *Poly-Mapping.single x 1*) ‘ *S*) ⟹
split t U S = (*ps0* @ *ps1*, *qs0* @ *qs1*) ⟹
P t (*U* − {*x*}) *S* (*ps0*, *qs0*) ⟹
P (*Poly-Mapping.single x 1* + *t*) *U* ((λ*f*. *f* − *Poly-Mapping.single x 1*) ‘ *S*) (*ps1*, *qs1*) ⟹
P t U S (*ps0* @ *ps1*, *qs0* @ *qs1*)
shows *P t U S* (*split t U S*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-decomp-split*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *U* ⊆ *X* **and** *finite S* **and** *t* ∈ .[*X*]
shows *valid-decomp X* (*fst* ((*split t U S*)::(- × (((- ⇒$_0$ '*a*::*zero-neq-one*) × -) *list*))))
and *valid-decomp X* (*snd* ((*split t U S*)::(- × (((- ⇒$_0$ '*a*::*zero-neq-one*) × -) *list*))))
(**is** *valid-decomp* - (*snd ?s*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decomp-split*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *U* ⊆ *X* **and** *finite S*

shows *monomial-decomp* (*fst* ((*split t U S*)::(- × (((- ⇒$_0$ 'a::*zero-neq-one*) × -) *list*))))
and *monomial-decomp* (*snd* ((*split t U S*)::(- × (((- ⇒$_0$ 'a::*zero-neq-one*) × -) *list*))))
(**is** *monomial-decomp* (*snd ?s*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *split-splits-wrt*:
assumes *finite X* **and** $U \subseteq X$ **and** *finite S* **and** $t \in .[X]$
and *ideal F* ÷ *monomial 1 t* = *ideal* (*monomial 1* ‘ *S*)
shows *splits-wrt* (*split t U S*) (*cone* (*monomial* (1::'a::{*comm-ring-1*,*ring-no-zero-divisors*}) *t*, *U*)) *F*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lem-4-5*:
assumes *finite X* **and** $U \subseteq X$ **and** $t \in .[X]$ **and** $F \subseteq P[X]$
and *ideal F* ÷ *monomial 1 t* = *ideal* (*monomial* (1::'a) ‘ *S*)
and *cone* (*monomial* (1::'a::*field*) *t'*, *V*) ⊆ *cone* (*monomial 1 t*, *U*) ∩ *normal-form F* ‘ *P*[*X*]
shows $V \subseteq U$ **and** $S \cap .[V] = \{\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lem-4-6*:
assumes *finite X* **and** $U \subseteq X$ **and** *finite S* **and** $t \in .[X]$ **and** $F \subseteq P[X]$
and *ideal F* ÷ *monomial 1 t* = *ideal* (*monomial 1* ‘ *S*)
assumes *cone* (*monomial 1 t'*, *V*) ⊆ *cone* (*monomial 1 t*, *U*) ∩ *normal-form F* ‘ *P*[*X*]
obtains *V'* **where** (*monomial 1 t*, *V'*) ∈ *set* (*snd* (*split t U S*)) **and** *card V* ≤ *card V'*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lem-4-7*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *S* ⊆ .[*X*] **and** *g* ∈ *punit.reduced-GB* (*monomial* (1::'a) ‘ *S*)
and *cone-decomp* (*P*[*X*] ∩ *ideal* (*monomial* (1::'a::*field*) ‘ *S*)) *ps*
and *monomial-decomp ps*
obtains *U* **where** (*g*, *U*) ∈ *set ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *snd-splitI*:
assumes *finite X* **and** $U \subseteq X$ **and** *finite S* **and** $0 \notin S$
obtains *V* **where** $V \subseteq U$ **and** (*monomial 1 t*, *V*) ∈ *set* (*snd* (*split t U S*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *fst-splitE*:
assumes *finite X* **and** $U \subseteq X$ **and** *finite S* **and** $0 \notin S$
and (*monomial* (1::'a) *s*, *V*) ∈ *set* (*fst* (*split t U S*))
obtains *t' x* **where** $t' \in .[X]$ **and** $x \in X$ **and** $V \subseteq U$ **and** $0 \notin (\lambda s.\ s - t')$ ‘ *S*
and *s* = *t'* + *t* + *Poly-Mapping.single x 1*

and (*monomial* (*1*::*'a*::*zero-neq-one*) *s*, *V*) ∈ *set* (*fst* (*split* (*t'* + *t*) *V* ((λ*s*. *s* − *t'*) ‘ *S*)))
and *set* (*snd* (*split* (*t'* + *t*) *V* ((λ*s*. *s* − *t'*) ‘ *S*))) ⊆ (*set* (*snd* (*split t U S*)) :: ((- ⇒$_0$ *'a*) × -) *set*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lem-4-8*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *finite S* **and** *S* ⊆ .[*X*] **and** *0* ∉ *S*
and *g* ∈ *punit.reduced-GB* (*monomial* (*1*::*'a*) ‘ *S*)
obtains *t U* **where** *U* ⊆ *X* **and** (*monomial* (*1*::*'a*::*field*) *t*, *U*) ∈ *set* (*snd* (*split 0 X S*))
and *poly-deg g* = *Suc* (*deg-pm t*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *cor-4-9*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *finite S* **and** *S* ⊆ .[*X*]
and *g* ∈ *punit.reduced-GB* (*monomial* (*1*::*'a*::*field*) ‘ *S*)
shows *poly-deg g* ≤ *Suc* (*Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ (*set* (*snd* (*split 0 X S*)) :: ((- ⇒$_0$ *'a*) × -) *set*)))
(**is** - ≤ *Suc* (*Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *?S*)))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decomp-snd-split*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *U* ⊆ *X* **and** *finite S* **and** *S* ⊆ .[*X*] **and** *t* ∈ .[*X*]
shows *standard-decomp* (*deg-pm t*) (*snd* (*split t U S*) :: ((- ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*) × -) *list*)
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *standard-cone-decomp-snd-split*:
fixes *F*
defines *G* ≡ *punit.reduced-GB F*
defines *ss* ≡ (*split 0 X* (*lpp* ‘ *G*)) :: ((- ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*) × -) *list* × -
defines *d* ≡ *Suc* (*Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set* (*snd ss*)))
assumes *finite X* **and** *F* ⊆ *P*[*X*]
shows *standard-decomp 0* (*snd ss*) (**is** *?thesis1*)
and *cone-decomp* (*normal-form F* ‘ *P*[*X*]) (*snd ss*) (**is** *?thesis2*)
and (⋀*f*. *f* ∈ *F* ⟹ *homogeneous f*) ⟹ *g* ∈ *G* ⟹ *poly-deg g* ≤ *d*
⟨*proof*⟩

10.7 Splitting Ideals

qualified definition *ideal-decomp-aux* :: ((*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ *'a*) *set* ⇒ ((*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ *'a*) ⇒
(((*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*) *set* × (((*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ *'a*) × *'x set*) *list*)
where *ideal-decomp-aux F f* =
(*let J* = *ideal F*; *L* = (*J* ÷ *f*) ∩ *P*[*X*]; *L'* = *lpp* ‘ *punit.reduced-GB L in*
((∗) *f* ‘ *normal-form L* ‘ *P*[*X*], *map* (*apfst* ((∗) *f*)) (*snd* (*split 0 X L'*))))

context
assumes *fin-X*: *finite X*
begin

lemma *ideal-decomp-aux*:
assumes *finite F* **and** $F \subseteq P[X]$ **and** $f \in P[X]$
shows *fst* (*ideal-decomp-aux F f*) $\subseteq$ *ideal* $\{f\}$ (**is** *?thesis1*)
and *ideal F* $\cap$ *fst* (*ideal-decomp-aux F f*) $= \{0\}$ (**is** *?thesis2*)
and *direct-decomp* (*ideal* (*insert f F*) $\cap$ $P[X]$) [*fst* (*ideal-decomp-aux F f*), *ideal F* $\cap$ $P[X]$] (**is** *?thesis3*)
and *cone-decomp* (*fst* (*ideal-decomp-aux F f*)) (*snd* (*ideal-decomp-aux F f*)) (**is** *?thesis4*)
and $f \neq 0 \Longrightarrow$ *valid-decomp X* (*snd* (*ideal-decomp-aux F f*)) (**is** - $\Longrightarrow$ *?thesis5*)
and $f \neq 0 \Longrightarrow$ *standard-decomp* (*poly-deg f*) (*snd* (*ideal-decomp-aux F f*)) (**is** - $\Longrightarrow$ *?thesis6*)
and *homogeneous f* $\Longrightarrow$ *hom-decomp* (*snd* (*ideal-decomp-aux F f*)) (**is** - $\Longrightarrow$ *?thesis7*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ideal-decompE*:
fixes *f0* :: - $\Rightarrow_0$ *'a*::*field*
assumes *finite F* **and** $F \subseteq P[X]$ **and** *f0* $\in P[X]$ **and** $\bigwedge f.\ f \in F \Longrightarrow$ *poly-deg f* $\leq$ *poly-deg f0*
obtains *T ps* **where** *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *standard-decomp* (*poly-deg f0*) *ps* **and** *cone-decomp T ps*
and $(\bigwedge f.\ f \in F \Longrightarrow$ *homogeneous f*$) \Longrightarrow$ *hom-decomp ps*
and *direct-decomp* (*ideal* (*insert f0 F*) $\cap$ $P[X]$) [*ideal* $\{f0\} \cap P[X]$, *T*]
⟨*proof*⟩

10.8 Exact Cone Decompositions

definition *exact-decomp* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ ((('*x* $\Rightarrow_0$ *nat*) $\Rightarrow_0$ *'a*::*zero*) $\times$ *'x set*) *list* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
where *exact-decomp m ps* $\longleftrightarrow$ $(\forall (h,\ U) \in$*set ps*$.\ h \in P[X] \wedge U \subseteq X) \wedge$
$(\forall (h,\ U) \in$*set ps*$.\ \forall (h',\ U') \in$*set ps*$.$ *poly-deg h* = *poly-deg h'* $\longrightarrow$
m $<$ *card U* $\longrightarrow$ *m* $<$ *card U'* $\longrightarrow$ $(h,\ U) = (h',\ U'))$

lemma *exact-decompI*:
$(\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in$ *set ps* $\Longrightarrow h \in P[X]) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in$ *set ps* $\Longrightarrow U \subseteq X) \Longrightarrow$
$(\bigwedge h\ h'\ U\ U'.\ (h,\ U) \in$ *set ps* $\Longrightarrow (h',\ U') \in$ *set ps* $\Longrightarrow$ *poly-deg h* = *poly-deg h'* $\Longrightarrow$
m $<$ *card U* $\Longrightarrow$ *m* $<$ *card U'* $\Longrightarrow (h,\ U) = (h',\ U')) \Longrightarrow$
exact-decomp m ps
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *exact-decompD*:
assumes *exact-decomp m ps* **and** $(h,\ U) \in$ *set ps*

shows $h \in P[X]$ **and** $U \subseteq X$
and $(h',\ U') \in set\ ps \Longrightarrow poly\text{-}deg\ h = poly\text{-}deg\ h' \Longrightarrow m < card\ U \Longrightarrow m < card\ U' \Longrightarrow$
$(h,\ U) = (h',\ U')$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *exact-decompI-zero*:
assumes $\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in set\ ps \Longrightarrow h \in P[X]$ **and** $\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in set\ ps \Longrightarrow U \subseteq X$
and $\bigwedge h\ h'\ U\ U'.\ (h,\ U) \in set\ (ps_+) \Longrightarrow (h',\ U') \in set\ (ps_+) \Longrightarrow poly\text{-}deg\ h = poly\text{-}deg\ h' \Longrightarrow$
$(h,\ U) = (h',\ U')$
shows *exact-decomp 0 ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *exact-decompD-zero*:
assumes *exact-decomp 0 ps* **and** $(h,\ U) \in set\ (ps_+)$ **and** $(h',\ U') \in set\ (ps_+)$
and *poly-deg h = poly-deg h'*
shows $(h,\ U) = (h',\ U')$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *exact-decomp-imp-valid-decomp*:
assumes *exact-decomp m ps* **and** $\bigwedge h\ U.\ (h,\ U) \in set\ ps \Longrightarrow h \neq 0$
shows *valid-decomp X ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *exact-decomp-card-X*:
assumes *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *card X* $\leq m$
shows *exact-decomp m ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

definition a :: $(((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a{::}zero) \times 'x\ set)\ list \Rightarrow nat$
where a *ps* = (*LEAST k. standard-decomp k ps*)

definition b :: $(((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a{::}zero) \times 'x\ set)\ list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$
where b *ps i* = $(LEAST\ d.$ a *ps* $\leq d \wedge (\forall (h,\ U) \in set\ ps.\ i \leq card\ U \longrightarrow poly\text{-}deg\ h < d))$

lemma a: *standard-decomp k ps* $\Longrightarrow$ *standard-decomp* (a *ps*) *ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma a-*Nil*:
assumes $ps_+ = []$
shows a *ps* = *0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma a-*nonempty*:
assumes *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *standard-decomp k ps* **and** $ps_+ \neq []$
shows a *ps* = *Min* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set* (ps_+))

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma a-*nonempty-unique*:
assumes *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *standard-decomp k ps* **and** $ps_+ \neq []$
shows a *ps* = *k*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma b:
shows a *ps* ≤ b *ps i* **and** (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set ps* ⟹ *i* ≤ *card U* ⟹ *poly-deg h* < b *ps i*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma b-*le*:
a *ps* ≤ *d* ⟹ (⋀*h' U'*. (*h'*, *U'*) ∈ *set ps* ⟹ *i* ≤ *card U'* ⟹ *poly-deg h'* < *d*) ⟹ b *ps i* ≤ *d*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma b-*decreasing*:
assumes *i* ≤ *j*
shows b *ps j* ≤ b *ps i*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma b-*Nil*:
assumes $ps_+ = []$ **and** *Suc 0* ≤ *i*
shows b *ps i* = *0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma b-*zero*:
assumes $ps \neq []$
shows *Suc* (*Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set ps*)) ≤ b *ps 0*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary b-*zero-gr*:
assumes (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set ps*
shows *poly-deg h* < b *ps 0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma b-*one*:
assumes *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *standard-decomp k ps*
shows b *ps* (*Suc 0*) = (*if* $ps_+ = []$ *then 0 else Suc* (*Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set* (ps_+))))
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary b-*one-gr*:
assumes *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *standard-decomp k ps* **and** (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set* (ps_+)
shows *poly-deg h* < b *ps* (*Suc 0*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma b-*card-X*:

assumes *exact-decomp m ps* **and** *Suc* (*card X*) ≤ *i*
shows b *ps i* = a *ps*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lem-6-1-1*:
assumes *standard-decomp k ps* **and** *exact-decomp m ps* **and** *Suc 0* ≤ *i*
and *i* ≤ *card X* **and** b *ps* (*Suc i*) ≤ *d* **and** *d* < b *ps i*
obtains *h U* **where** (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set* (*ps*$_+$) **and** *poly-deg h* = *d* **and** *card U* = *i*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *lem-6-1-2*:
assumes *standard-decomp k ps* **and** *exact-decomp 0 ps* **and** *Suc 0* ≤ *i*
and *i* ≤ *card X* **and** b *ps* (*Suc i*) ≤ *d* **and** *d* < b *ps i*
obtains *h U* **where** {(*h'*, *U'*) ∈ *set* (*ps*$_+$). *poly-deg h'* = *d*} = {(*h*, *U*)} **and** *card U* = *i*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *lem-6-1-2'*:
assumes *standard-decomp k ps* **and** *exact-decomp 0 ps* **and** *Suc 0* ≤ *i*
and *i* ≤ *card X* **and** b *ps* (*Suc i*) ≤ *d* **and** *d* < b *ps i*
shows *card* {(*h'*, *U'*) ∈ *set* (*ps*$_+$). *poly-deg h'* = *d*} = *1* (**is** *card ?A* = -)
and {(*h'*, *U'*) ∈ *set* (*ps*$_+$). *poly-deg h'* = *d* ∧ *card U'* = *i*} = {(*h'*, *U'*) ∈ *set* (*ps*$_+$). *poly-deg h'* = *d*}
(**is** *?B* = -)
and *card* {(*h'*, *U'*) ∈ *set* (*ps*$_+$). *poly-deg h'* = *d* ∧ *card U'* = *i*} = *1*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *lem-6-1-3*:
assumes *standard-decomp k ps* **and** *exact-decomp 0 ps* **and** *Suc 0* ≤ *i*
and *i* ≤ *card X* **and** (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set* (*ps*$_+$) **and** *card U* = *i*
shows b *ps* (*Suc i*) ≤ *poly-deg h*
⟨*proof*⟩ **fun** *shift-list* :: ((('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*::{*comm-ring-1*,*ring-no-zero-divisors*}) × '*x set*) ⇒
'*x* ⇒ - *list* ⇒ - *list* **where**
shift-list (*h*, *U*) *x ps* =
((*punit.monom-mult 1* (*Poly-Mapping.single x 1*) *h*, *U*) # (*h*, *U* − {*x*}) # *removeAll* (*h*, *U*) *ps*)

declare *shift-list.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *monomial-decomp-shift-list*:
assumes *monomial-decomp ps* **and** *hU* ∈ *set ps*
shows *monomial-decomp* (*shift-list hU x ps*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-decomp-shift-list*:
assumes *hom-decomp ps* **and** *hU* ∈ *set ps*
shows *hom-decomp* (*shift-list hU x ps*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-decomp-shift-list*:
assumes *valid-decomp X ps* **and** $(h, U) \in$ *set ps* **and** $x \in U$
shows *valid-decomp X* (*shift-list* (h, U) *x ps*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *standard-decomp-shift-list*:
assumes *standard-decomp k ps* **and** $(h1, U1) \in$ *set ps* **and** $(h2, U2) \in$ *set ps*
and *poly-deg h1* = *poly-deg h2* **and** *card U2* ≤ *card U1* **and** $(h1, U1) \neq (h2, U2)$ **and** $x \in U2$
shows *standard-decomp k* (*shift-list* $(h2, U2)$ *x ps*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-shift-list*:
assumes *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *cone-decomp T ps* **and** $(h, U) \in$ *set ps* **and** $x \in U$
shows *cone-decomp T* (*shift-list* (h, U) *x ps*)
⟨*proof*⟩

10.9 Functions *shift* and *exact*

context
fixes *k m* :: *nat*
begin

context
fixes *d* :: *nat*
begin

definition *shift2-inv* :: $(((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a::zero) \times 'x\ set)\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
shift2-inv qs ⟷ *valid-decomp X qs* ∧ *standard-decomp k qs* ∧ *exact-decomp* (*Suc m*) *qs* ∧
(∀ *d0*<*d*. *card* {*q* ∈ *set qs*. *poly-deg* (*fst q*) = *d0* ∧ *m* < *card* (*snd q*)} ≤ *1*)

fun *shift1-inv* :: $((((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a) \times 'x\ set)\ list \times ((('x \Rightarrow_0 nat) \Rightarrow_0 'a::zero) \times 'x\ set)\ set) \Rightarrow bool$
where *shift1-inv* (qs, B) ⟷ *B* = {*q* ∈ *set qs*. *poly-deg* (*fst q*) = *d* ∧ *m* < *card* (*snd q*)} ∧ *shift2-inv qs*

lemma *shift2-invI*:
valid-decomp X qs ⟹ *standard-decomp k qs* ⟹ *exact-decomp* (*Suc m*) *qs* ⟹
(⋀*d0*. *d0* < *d* ⟹ *card* {*q* ∈ *set qs*. *poly-deg* (*fst q*) = *d0* ∧ *m* < *card* (*snd q*)} ≤ *1*) ⟹
shift2-inv qs
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift2-invD*:
assumes *shift2-inv qs*

shows *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp* (*Suc m*) *qs*
and *d0* < *d* ⟹ *card* {*q* ∈ *set qs*. *poly-deg* (*fst q*) = *d0* ∧ *m* < *card* (*snd q*)} ≤ *1*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-invI*:
B = {*q* ∈ *set qs*. *poly-deg* (*fst q*) = *d* ∧ *m* < *card* (*snd q*)} ⟹ *shift2-inv qs* ⟹ *shift1-inv* (*qs*, *B*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-invD*:
assumes *shift1-inv* (*qs*, *B*)
shows *B* = {*q* ∈ *set qs*. *poly-deg* (*fst q*) = *d* ∧ *m* < *card* (*snd q*)} **and** *shift2-inv qs*
⟨*proof*⟩

declare *shift1-inv.simps*[*simp del*]

lemma *shift1-inv-finite-snd*:
assumes *shift1-inv* (*qs*, *B*)
shows *finite B*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-inv-some-snd*:
assumes *shift1-inv* (*qs*, *B*) **and** *1* < *card B* **and** (*h*, *U*) = (*SOME b*. *b* ∈ *B* ∧ *card* (*snd b*) = *Suc m*)
shows (*h*, *U*) ∈ *B* **and** (*h*, *U*) ∈ *set qs* **and** *poly-deg h* = *d* **and** *card U* = *Suc m*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-inv-preserved*:
assumes *shift1-inv* (*qs*, *B*) **and** *1* < *card B* **and** (*h*, *U*) = (*SOME b*. *b* ∈ *B* ∧ *card* (*snd b*) = *Suc m*)
and *x* = (*SOME y*. *y* ∈ *U*)
shows *shift1-inv* (*shift-list* (*h*, *U*) *x qs*, *B* − {(*h*, *U*)})
⟨*proof*⟩

function (*domintros*) *shift1* :: ((((('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*) × '*x set*) *list* × ((('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*) × '*x set*) *set*) ⇒
((((('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*) × '*x set*) *list* ×
(((('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*::{*comm-ring-1*,*ring-no-zero-divisors*}) × '*x set*) *set*)
where
shift1 (*qs*, *B*) =
(*if* *1* < *card B then*
let (*h*, *U*) = *SOME b*. *b* ∈ *B* ∧ *card* (*snd b*) = *Suc m*; *x* = *SOME y*. *y* ∈ *U* *in*
shift1 (*shift-list* (*h*, *U*) *x qs*, *B* − {(*h*, *U*)})

else $(qs,\ B)$)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-domI*:
assumes *shift1-inv args*
shows *shift1-dom args*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-induct* [*consumes 1*, *case-names base step*]:
assumes *shift1-inv args*
assumes $\bigwedge qs\ B.$ *shift1-inv* $(qs,\ B) \Longrightarrow$ *card* $B \leq 1 \Longrightarrow P\ (qs,\ B)\ (qs,\ B)$
assumes $\bigwedge qs\ B\ h\ U\ x.$ *shift1-inv* $(qs,\ B) \Longrightarrow 1 <$ *card* $B \Longrightarrow$
$(h,\ U) =$ (*SOME b*. $b \in B \wedge$ *card* (*snd b*) = *Suc m*) $\Longrightarrow x =$ (*SOME y*. $y \in U) \Longrightarrow$
finite $U \Longrightarrow x \in U \Longrightarrow$ *card* $(U - \{x\}) = m \Longrightarrow$
P (*shift-list* $(h,\ U)\ x\ qs,\ B - \{(h,\ U)\}$) (*shift1* (*shift-list* $(h,\ U)\ x\ qs,\ B - \{(h,\ U)\}$)) $\Longrightarrow$
$P\ (qs,\ B)$ (*shift1* (*shift-list* $(h,\ U)\ x\ qs,\ B - \{(h,\ U)\}$))
shows P *args* (*shift1 args*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-1*:
assumes *shift1-inv args* **and** $d0 \leq d$
shows *card* $\{q \in$ *set* (*fst* (*shift1 args*)). *poly-deg* (*fst q*) = $d0 \wedge m <$ *card* (*snd q*)$\} \leq 1$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-2*:
shift1-inv args $\Longrightarrow$
card $\{q \in$ *set* (*fst* (*shift1 args*)). $m <$ *card* (*snd q*)$\} \leq$ *card* $\{q \in$ *set* (*fst args*). $m <$ *card* (*snd q*)$\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-3*: *shift1-inv args* $\Longrightarrow$ *cone-decomp T* (*fst args*) $\Longrightarrow$ *cone-decomp T* (*fst* (*shift1 args*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-4*:
shift1-inv args $\Longrightarrow$
Max (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set* (*fst args*)) $\leq$ *Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set* (*fst* (*shift1 args*)))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-5*: *shift1-inv args* $\Longrightarrow$ *fst* (*shift1 args*) = [] $\longleftrightarrow$ *fst args* = []
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-6*: *shift1-inv args* $\Longrightarrow$ *monomial-decomp* (*fst args*) $\Longrightarrow$ *monomial-decomp* (*fst* (*shift1 args*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift1-7*: *shift1-inv args* $\Longrightarrow$ *hom-decomp* (*fst args*) $\Longrightarrow$ *hom-decomp* (*fst* (*shift1 args*))
⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *shift2-inv-preserved*:
assumes *shift2-inv d qs*
shows *shift2-inv* (*Suc d*) (*fst* (*shift1* (*qs*, {*q* ∈ *set qs*. *poly-deg* (*fst q*) = *d* ∧ *m* < *card* (*snd q*)})))
⟨*proof*⟩

function *shift2* :: *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ ((('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*) × '*x set*) *list* ⇒
(((('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*::{*comm-ring-1*,*ring-no-zero-divisors*}) × '*x set*) *list* **where**
shift2 c d qs =
(*if c* ≤ *d then qs*
else shift2 c (*Suc d*) (*fst* (*shift1* (*qs*, {*q* ∈ *set qs*. *poly-deg* (*fst q*) = *d* ∧ *m* < *card* (*snd q*)}))))
⟨*proof*⟩
termination ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift2-1*: *shift2-inv d qs* $\Longrightarrow$ *shift2-inv c* (*shift2 c d qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift2-2*:
shift2-inv d qs $\Longrightarrow$
card {*q* ∈ *set* (*shift2 c d qs*). *m* < *card* (*snd q*)} ≤ *card* {*q* ∈ *set qs*. *m* < *card* (*snd q*)}
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift2-3*: *shift2-inv d qs* $\Longrightarrow$ *cone-decomp T qs* $\Longrightarrow$ *cone-decomp T* (*shift2 c d qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift2-4*:
shift2-inv d qs $\Longrightarrow$ *Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set qs*) ≤ *Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set* (*shift2 c d qs*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift2-5*:
shift2-inv d qs $\Longrightarrow$ *shift2 c d qs* = [] ⟷ *qs* = []
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift2-6*:
shift2-inv d qs $\Longrightarrow$ *monomial-decomp qs* $\Longrightarrow$ *monomial-decomp* (*shift2 c d qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift2-7*:

shift2-inv d qs $\Longrightarrow$ *hom-decomp qs* $\Longrightarrow$ *hom-decomp* (*shift2 c d qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *shift* :: ((('*x* $\Rightarrow_0$ *nat*) $\Rightarrow_0$ '*a*) × '*x set*) *list* $\Rightarrow$
((('*x* $\Rightarrow_0$ *nat*) $\Rightarrow_0$ '*a*::{*comm-ring-1*,*ring-no-zero-divisors*}) ×
'*x set*) *list*
where *shift qs* = *shift2* (*k* + *card* {*q* ∈ *set qs*. *m* < *card* (*snd q*)}) *k qs*

lemma *shift2-inv-init*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp* (*Suc m*) *qs*
shows *shift2-inv k qs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp* (*Suc m*) *qs*
shows *valid-decomp X* (*shift qs*) **and** *standard-decomp k* (*shift qs*) **and** *exact-decomp m* (*shift qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decomp-shift*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp* (*Suc m*) *qs*
and *monomial-decomp qs*
shows *monomial-decomp* (*shift qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-decomp-shift*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp* (*Suc m*) *qs*
and *hom-decomp qs*
shows *hom-decomp* (*shift qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-shift*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp* (*Suc m*) *qs*
and *cone-decomp T qs*
shows *cone-decomp T* (*shift qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Max-shift-ge*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp* (*Suc m*) *qs*
shows *Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set qs*) ≤ *Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set* (*shift qs*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *shift-Nil-iff*:

assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp* (*Suc m*) *qs*
shows *shift qs* = [] ⟷ *qs* = []
⟨*proof*⟩

end

primrec *exact-aux* :: *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ ((('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*) × '*x set*) *list* ⇒
(((('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*::{*comm-ring-1*,*ring-no-zero-divisors*}) × '*x set*) *list* **where**
exact-aux k 0 qs = *qs* |
exact-aux k (*Suc m*) *qs* = *exact-aux k m* (*shift k m qs*)

lemma *exact-aux*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp m qs*
shows *valid-decomp X* (*exact-aux k m qs*) (**is** *?thesis1*)
and *standard-decomp k* (*exact-aux k m qs*) (**is** *?thesis2*)
and *exact-decomp 0* (*exact-aux k m qs*) (**is** *?thesis3*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decomp-exact-aux*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp m qs* **and** *monomial-decomp qs*
shows *monomial-decomp* (*exact-aux k m qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-decomp-exact-aux*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp m qs* **and** *hom-decomp qs*
shows *hom-decomp* (*exact-aux k m qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-exact-aux*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp m qs* **and** *cone-decomp T qs*
shows *cone-decomp T* (*exact-aux k m qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Max-exact-aux-ge*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp m qs*
shows *Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set qs*) ≤ *Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set* (*exact-aux k m qs*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *exact-aux-Nil-iff*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *exact-decomp m qs*
shows *exact-aux k m qs* = [] ⟷ *qs* = []
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *exact* :: *nat* ⇒ ((('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*) × '*x set*) *list* ⇒
(((('*x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ '*a*::{*comm-ring-1*,*ring-no-zero-divisors*}) ×
'*x set*) *list*
where *exact k qs* = *exact-aux k* (*card X*) *qs*

lemma *exact*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs*
shows *valid-decomp X* (*exact k qs*) (**is** *?thesis1*)
and *standard-decomp k* (*exact k qs*) (**is** *?thesis2*)
and *exact-decomp 0* (*exact k qs*) (**is** *?thesis3*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monomial-decomp-exact*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *monomial-decomp qs*
shows *monomial-decomp* (*exact k qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-decomp-exact*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *hom-decomp qs*
shows *hom-decomp* (*exact k qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-decomp-exact*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *cone-decomp T qs*
shows *cone-decomp T* (*exact k qs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Max-exact-ge*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs*
shows *Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set qs*) ≤ *Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set* (*exact k qs*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *exact-Nil-iff*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs*
shows *exact k qs* = [] ⟷ *qs* = []
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary b-*zero-exact*:
assumes *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp k qs* **and** *qs* ≠ []
shows *Suc* (*Max* (*poly-deg* ‘ *fst* ‘ *set qs*)) ≤ b (*exact k qs*) *0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-form-exact-decompE*:
assumes *F* ⊆ *P*[*X*]
obtains *qs* **where** *valid-decomp X qs* **and** *standard-decomp 0 qs* **and** *monomial-decomp qs*
and *cone-decomp* (*normal-form F* ‘ *P*[*X*]) *qs* **and** *exact-decomp 0 qs*
and ⋀*g*. (⋀*f*. *f* ∈ *F* ⟹ *homogeneous f*) ⟹ *g* ∈ *punit.reduced-GB F* ⟹ *poly-deg g* ≤ b *qs 0*

⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

end

end

11 Dubé's Degree-Bound for Homogeneous Gröbner Bases

theory *Dube-Bound*
imports *Poly-Fun Cone-Decomposition Degree-Bound-Utils*
begin

context fixes *n d* :: *nat*
begin

function *Dube-aux* :: *nat* ⇒ *nat* **where**
Dube-aux j = (*if j* + *2* < *n then*
2 + ((*Dube-aux* (*j* + *1*)) *choose 2*) + (∑ *i*=*j*+*3*..*n*−*1*. (*Dube-aux i*) *choose* (*Suc* (*i* − *j*)))
else if j + *2* = *n then d*² + *2* ∗ *d else 2* ∗ *d*)
⟨*proof*⟩
termination ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *Dube* :: *nat* **where** *Dube* = (*if n* ≤ *1* ∨ *d* = *0 then d else Dube-aux 1*)

lemma *Dube-aux-ge-d*: *d* ≤ *Dube-aux j*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *Dube-ge-d*: *d* ≤ *Dube*
⟨*proof*⟩

Dubé in [1] proves the following theorem, to obtain a short closed form for the degree bound. However, the proof he gives is wrong: In the last-but-one proof step of Lemma 8.1 the sum on the right-hand-side of the inequality can be greater than 1/2 (e.g. for $n = 7$, $d = 2$ and $j = 1$), rendering the value inside the big brackets negative. This is also true without the additional summand *2* we had to introduce in function *local.Dube-aux* to correct another mistake found in [1]. Nonetheless, experiments carried out in Mathematica still suggest that the short closed form is a valid upper bound for *local.Dube*, even with the additional summand *2*. So, with some effort it might be possible to prove the theorem below; but in fact function *local.Dube* gives typically much better (i.e. smaller) values for concrete values of n and

d, so it is better to stick to *local.Dube* instead of the closed form anyway. Asymptotically, as n tends to infinity, *local.Dube* grows double exponentially, too.

theorem *rat-of-nat Dube* $\leq$ *2* $*$ ((*rat-of-nat d*)^2 / *2* + (*rat-of-nat d*)) ^ (*2* ^ (*n* − *2*))
⟨*proof*⟩

end

11.1 Hilbert Function and Hilbert Polynomial

context *pm-powerprod*
begin

context
fixes *X* :: *'x set*
assumes *fin-X*: *finite X*
begin

lemma *Hilbert-fun-cone-aux*:
assumes *h* ∈ *P*[*X*] **and** *h* ≠ *0* **and** *U* ⊆ *X* **and** *homogeneous* (*h*::- ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*)
shows *Hilbert-fun* (*cone* (*h*, *U*)) *z* = *card* {*t* ∈ .[*U*]. *deg-pm t* + *poly-deg h* = *z*}
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Hilbert-fun-cone-empty*:
assumes *h* ∈ *P*[*X*] **and** *h* ≠ *0* **and** *homogeneous* (*h*::- ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*)
shows *Hilbert-fun* (*cone* (*h*, {})) *z* = (*if poly-deg h* = *z then 1 else 0*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Hilbert-fun-cone-nonempty*:
assumes *h* ∈ *P*[*X*] **and** *h* ≠ *0* **and** *U* ⊆ *X* **and** *homogeneous* (*h*::- ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*) **and** *U* ≠ {}
shows *Hilbert-fun* (*cone* (*h*, *U*)) *z* =
(*if poly-deg h* ≤ *z then* ((*z* − *poly-deg h*) + (*card U* − *1*)) *choose* (*card U* − *1*) *else 0*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *Hilbert-fun-Polys*:
assumes *X* ≠ {}
shows *Hilbert-fun* (*P*[*X*]::(- ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*) *set*) *z* = (*z* + (*card X* − *1*)) *choose* (*card X* − *1*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Hilbert-fun-cone-decomp*:
assumes *cone-decomp T ps* **and** *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *hom-decomp ps*
shows *Hilbert-fun T z* = (∑ *hU*∈*set ps*. *Hilbert-fun* (*cone hU*) *z*)
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *Hilbert-poly* :: (*nat* ⇒ *nat*) ⇒ *int* ⇒ *int*

where *Hilbert-poly b* =
(λz::*int*. *let n* = *card X in*
((*z* − *b* (*Suc n*) + *n*) *gchoose n*) − *1* − (∑ *i*=*1*..*n*. (*z* − *b i* + *i* − *1*) *gchoose i*))

lemma *poly-fun-Hilbert-poly*: *poly-fun* (*Hilbert-poly b*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Hilbert-fun-eq-Hilbert-poly-plus-card*:
assumes *X* ≠ {} **and** *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *hom-decomp ps* **and** *cone-decomp T ps*
and *standard-decomp k ps* **and** *exact-decomp X 0 ps* **and** b *ps* (*Suc 0*) ≤ *d*
shows *int* (*Hilbert-fun T d*) = *card* {*h*::- ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*. (*h*, {}) ∈ *set ps* ∧ *poly-deg h* = *d*} + *Hilbert-poly* (b *ps*) *d*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *Hilbert-fun-eq-Hilbert-poly*:
assumes *X* ≠ {} **and** *valid-decomp X ps* **and** *hom-decomp ps* **and** *cone-decomp T ps*
and *standard-decomp k ps* **and** *exact-decomp X 0 ps* **and** b *ps 0* ≤ *d*
shows *int* (*Hilbert-fun* (*T*::(- ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*) *set*) *d*) = *Hilbert-poly* (b *ps*) *d*
⟨*proof*⟩

11.2 Dubé's Bound

context
fixes *f* :: (*'x* ⇒$_0$ *nat*) ⇒$_0$ *'a*::*field*
fixes *F*
assumes *n-gr-1*: *1* < *card X* **and** *fin-F*: *finite F* **and** *F-sub*: *F* ⊆ *P*[*X*] **and** *f-in*: *f* ∈ *F*
and *hom-F*: ⋀*f'*. *f'* ∈ *F* ⟹ *homogeneous f'* **and** *f-max*: ⋀*f'*. *f'* ∈ *F* ⟹ *poly-deg f'* ≤ *poly-deg f*
and *d-gr-0*: *0* < *poly-deg f* **and** *ideal-f-neq*: *ideal* {*f*} ≠ *ideal F*
begin

private abbreviation (*input*) *n* ≡ *card X*
private abbreviation (*input*) *d* ≡ *poly-deg f*

lemma *f-in-Polys*: *f* ∈ *P*[*X*]
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hom-f*: *homogeneous f*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *f-not-0*: *f* ≠ *0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *X-not-empty*: *X* ≠ {}
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *n-gr-0*: $0 < n$
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *int-n-minus-1* [*simp*]: $int\ (n - Suc\ 0) = int\ n - 1$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *int-n-minus-2* [*simp*]: $int\ (n - Suc\ (Suc\ 0)) = int\ n - 2$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cone-f-X-sub*: $cone\ (f,\ X) \subseteq P[X]$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ideal-Int-Polys-eq-cone*: $ideal\ \{f\} \cap P[X] = cone\ (f,\ X)$
⟨*proof*⟩ **definition** *P-ps* **where**
P-ps = (*SOME x. valid-decomp X* (*snd x*) ∧ *standard-decomp d* (*snd x*) ∧
exact-decomp X 0 (*snd x*) ∧ *cone-decomp* (*fst x*) (*snd x*) ∧
hom-decomp (*snd x*) ∧
direct-decomp (*ideal F* ∩ *P*[*X*]) [*ideal* {*f*} ∩ *P*[*X*], *fst x*])

private definition *P* **where** *P* = *fst P-ps*

private definition *ps* **where** *ps* = *snd P-ps*

lemma
shows *valid-ps*: *valid-decomp X ps* (**is** *?thesis1*)
and *std-ps*: *standard-decomp d ps* (**is** *?thesis2*)
and *ext-ps*: *exact-decomp X 0 ps* (**is** *?thesis3*)
and *cn-ps*: *cone-decomp P ps* (**is** *?thesis4*)
and *hom-ps*: *hom-decomp ps* (**is** *?thesis5*)
and *decomp-F*: *direct-decomp* (*ideal F* ∩ *P*[*X*]) [*ideal* {*f*} ∩ *P*[*X*], *P*] (**is** *?thesis6*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *P-sub*: $P \subseteq P[X]$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ps-not-Nil*: $ps_+ \neq []$
⟨*proof*⟩ **definition** *N* **where** *N* = *normal-form F* ‘ *P*[*X*]

private definition *qs* **where** *qs* = (*SOME qs′. valid-decomp X qs′* ∧ *standard-decomp 0 qs′* ∧
monomial-decomp qs′ ∧ *cone-decomp N qs′* ∧ *exact-decomp X 0 qs′* ∧
(∀ *g*∈*punit.reduced-GB F. poly-deg g* ≤ b *qs′ 0*))

private definition *aa* ≡ b *ps*
private definition *bb* ≡ b *qs*
private abbreviation (*input*) *cc* ≡ (λ*i. aa i* + *bb i*)

lemma
shows *valid-qs*: *valid-decomp X qs* (**is** *?thesis1*)
and *std-qs*: *standard-decomp 0 qs* (**is** *?thesis2*)
and *mon-qs*: *monomial-decomp qs* (**is** *?thesis3*)
and *hom-qs*: *hom-decomp qs* (**is** *?thesis6*)
and *cn-qs*: *cone-decomp N qs* (**is** *?thesis4*)
and *ext-qs*: *exact-decomp X 0 qs* (**is** *?thesis5*)
and *deg-RGB*: $g \in$ *punit.reduced-GB F* $\Longrightarrow$ *poly-deg g* $\leq$ *bb 0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *N-sub*: $N \subseteq P[X]$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *decomp-Polys*: *direct-decomp* $P[X]$ [*ideal* $\{f\} \cap P[X]$, P, N]
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *aa-Suc-n* [*simp*]: *aa* (*Suc n*) = *d*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bb-Suc-n* [*simp*]: *bb* (*Suc n*) = *0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Hilbert-fun-X*:
assumes $d \leq z$
shows *Hilbert-fun* ($P[X]$::(- $\Rightarrow_0$ *'a*) *set*) *z* =
((*z* − *d*) + (*n* − *1*) *choose n* − *1*) + *Hilbert-fun P z* + *Hilbert-fun N z*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dube-eq-0*:
(λ*z*::*int*. (*z* + *int n* − *1*) *gchoose* (*n* − *1*)) =
(λ*z*::*int*. ((*z* − *d* + *n* − *1*) *gchoose* (*n* − *1*)) + *Hilbert-poly aa z* + *Hilbert-poly bb z*)
(**is** *?f* = *?g*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *dube-eq-1*:
(λ*z*::*int*. (*z* + *int n* − *1*) *gchoose* (*n* − *1*)) =
(λ*z*::*int*. ((*z* − *d* + *n* − *1*) *gchoose* (*n* − *1*)) + ((*z* − *d* + *n*) *gchoose n*) + ((*z* + *n*) *gchoose n*) − *2* −
(∑ *i*=*1*..*n*. ((*z* − *aa i* + *i* − *1*) *gchoose i*) + ((*z* − *bb i* + *i* − *1*) *gchoose i*)))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dube-eq-2*:
assumes $j < n$
shows (λ*z*::*int*. (*z* + *int n* − *int j* − *1*) *gchoose* (*n* − *j* − *1*)) =
(λ*z*::*int*. ((*z* − *d* + *n* − *int j* − *1*) *gchoose* (*n* − *j* − *1*)) + ((*z* − *d* + *n* − *j*) *gchoose* (*n* − *j*)) +

$((z + n - j)\ gchoose\ (n - j)) - 2 -$
$(\sum i{=}Suc\ j..n.\ ((z - aa\ i + i - j - 1)\ gchoose\ (i - j)) + ((z - bb\ i + i - j - 1)\ gchoose\ (i - j))))$
(**is** *?f* = *?g*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dube-eq-3*:
assumes $j < n$
shows $(1{::}int) = (-1)\hat{}(n - Suc\ j) * ((int\ d - 1)\ gchoose\ (n - Suc\ j)) +$
$(-1)\hat{}(n - j) * ((int\ d - 1)\ gchoose\ (n - j)) - 1 -$
$(\sum i{=}Suc\ j..n.\ (-1)\hat{}(i - j) * ((int\ (aa\ i)\ gchoose\ (i - j)) + (int\ (bb\ i)\ gchoose\ (i - j))))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dube-aux-1*:
assumes $(h, \{\}) \in set\ ps \cup set\ qs$
shows $poly\text{-}deg\ h < max\ (aa\ 1)\ (bb\ 1)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma
shows *aa-n*: $aa\ n = d$ **and** *bb-n*: $bb\ n = 0$ **and** *bb-0*: $bb\ 0 \leq max\ (aa\ 1)\ (bb\ 1)$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dube-eq-4*:
assumes $j < n$
shows $(1{::}int) = 2 * (-1)\hat{}(n - Suc\ j) * ((int\ d - 1)\ gchoose\ (n - Suc\ j)) - 1 -$
$(\sum i{=}Suc\ j..n-1.\ (-1)\hat{}(i - j) * ((int\ (aa\ i)\ gchoose\ (i - j)) + (int\ (bb\ i)\ gchoose\ (i - j))))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cc-Suc*:
assumes $j < n - 1$
shows $int\ (cc\ (Suc\ j)) = 2 + 2 * (-1)\hat{}(n - j) * ((int\ d - 1)\ gchoose\ (n - Suc\ j)) +$
$(\sum i{=}j+2..n-1.\ (-1)\hat{}(i - j) * ((int\ (aa\ i)\ gchoose\ (i - j)) + (int\ (bb\ i)\ gchoose\ (i - j))))$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cc-n-minus-1*: $cc\ (n - 1) = 2 * d$
⟨*proof*⟩

Since the case $card\ X = 2$ is settled, we can concentrate on $2 < card\ X$ now.

context
assumes *n-gr-2*: $2 < n$
begin

lemma *cc-n-minus-2*: $cc\ (n - 2) \leq d^2 + 2 * d$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *cc-Suc-le*:
assumes $j < n - 3$
shows *int* (*cc* (*Suc j*)) ≤ *2* + (*int* (*cc* (*j* + *2*)) *gchoose 2*) + (∑ *i*=*j*+*4*..*n*−*1*. *int* (*cc i*) *gchoose* (*i* − *j*))
— Could be proved without coercing to *int*, because everything is non-negative.
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *cc-le*:
assumes *0* < *j* **and** *j* < *n* − *2*
shows *cc j* ≤ *2* + (*cc* (*j* + *1*) *choose 2*) + (∑ *i*=*j*+*3*..*n*−*1*. *cc i choose* (*Suc* (*i* − *j*)))
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *cc-le-Dube-aux*: *0* < *j* ⟹ *j* + *1* ≤ *n* ⟹ *cc j* ≤ *Dube-aux n d j*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *Dube-aux*:
assumes *g* ∈ *punit.reduced-GB F*
shows *poly-deg g* ≤ *Dube-aux n d 1*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

theorem *Dube*:
assumes *finite F* **and** *F* ⊆ *P*[*X*] **and** ⋀*f*. *f* ∈ *F* ⟹ *homogeneous f* **and** *g* ∈ *punit.reduced-GB F*
shows *poly-deg g* ≤ *Dube* (*card X*) (*maxdeg F*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *Dube-is-hom-GB-bound*:
finite F ⟹ *F* ⊆ *P*[*X*] ⟹ *is-hom-GB-bound F* (*Dube* (*card X*) (*maxdeg F*))
⟨*proof*⟩

end

corollary *Dube-indets*:
assumes *finite F* **and** ⋀*f*. *f* ∈ *F* ⟹ *homogeneous f* **and** *g* ∈ *punit.reduced-GB F*
shows *poly-deg g* ≤ *Dube* (*card* (⋃(*indets* ‘ *F*))) (*maxdeg F*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *Dube-is-hom-GB-bound-indets*:
finite F ⟹ *is-hom-GB-bound F* (*Dube* (*card* (⋃(*indets* ‘ *F*))) (*maxdeg F*))
⟨*proof*⟩

end

hide-const (**open**) *pm-powerprod*.a *pm-powerprod*.b

context *extended-ord-pm-powerprod*
begin

lemma *Dube-is-GB-cofactor-bound*:
assumes *finite* X **and** *finite* F **and** $F \subseteq P[X]$
shows *is-GB-cofactor-bound* F (*Dube* (*Suc* (*card* X)) (*maxdeg* F))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Dube-is-GB-cofactor-bound-explicit*:
assumes *finite* X **and** *finite* F **and** $F \subseteq P[X]$
obtains G **where** *punit.is-Groebner-basis* G **and** *ideal* G = *ideal* F **and** $G \subseteq P[X]$
and $\bigwedge g.\ g \in G \Longrightarrow \exists\, q.\ g = (\sum f \in F.\ q\ f * f) \wedge$
$(\forall f.\ q\ f \in P[X] \wedge$ *poly-deg* $(q\ f * f) \leq$ *Dube* (*Suc* (*card* X)) (*maxdeg* F) $\wedge$
$(f \notin F \longrightarrow q\ f = 0))$
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *Dube-is-GB-cofactor-bound-indets*:
assumes *finite* F
shows *is-GB-cofactor-bound* F (*Dube* (*Suc* (*card* ($\bigcup$(*indets* ‘ F)))) (*maxdeg* F))
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

12 Sample Computations of Gröbner Bases via Macaulay Matrices

theory *Groebner-Macaulay-Examples*
imports
Groebner-Macaulay
Dube-Bound
Groebner-Bases.Benchmarks
Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-IArray-Impl
Groebner-Bases.Code-Target-Rat
begin

12.1 Combining *Groebner-Macaulay.Groebner-Macaulay* and *Groebner-Macaulay.Dube-Bound*

context *extended-ord-pm-powerprod*

begin

theorem *thm-2-3-6-Dube*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *set fs* ⊆ *P*[*X*]
shows *punit.is-Groebner-basis* (*set* (*punit.Macaulay-list*
(*deg-shifts X* (*Dube* (*Suc* (*card X*)) (*maxdeg* (*set fs*))) *fs*)))
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *thm-2-3-7-Dube*:
assumes *finite X* **and** *set fs* ⊆ *P*[*X*]
shows *1* ∈ *ideal* (*set fs*) ⟷
1 ∈ *set* (*punit.Macaulay-list* (*deg-shifts X* (*Dube* (*Suc* (*card X*)) (*maxdeg* (*set fs*))) *fs*))
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *thm-2-3-6-indets-Dube*:
fixes *fs*
defines *X* ≡ ⋃(*indets* ‘ *set fs*)
shows *punit.is-Groebner-basis* (*set* (*punit.Macaulay-list*
(*deg-shifts X* (*Dube* (*Suc* (*card X*)) (*maxdeg* (*set fs*))) *fs*)))
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *thm-2-3-7-indets-Dube*:
fixes *fs*
defines *X* ≡ ⋃(*indets* ‘ *set fs*)
shows *1* ∈ *ideal* (*set fs*) ⟷
1 ∈ *set* (*punit.Macaulay-list* (*deg-shifts X* (*Dube* (*Suc* (*card X*)) (*maxdeg* (*set fs*))) *fs*))
⟨*proof*⟩

end

12.2 Preparations

primrec *remdups-wrt-rev* :: (*'a* ⇒ *'b*) ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ *'b list* ⇒ *'a list* **where**
remdups-wrt-rev f [] *vs* = [] |
remdups-wrt-rev f (*x* # *xs*) *vs* =
(*let fx* = *f x in if List.member vs fx then remdups-wrt-rev f xs vs else x* # (*remdups-wrt-rev f xs* (*fx* # *vs*)))

lemma *remdups-wrt-rev-notin*: *v* ∈ *set vs* ⟹ *v* ∉ *f* ‘ *set* (*remdups-wrt-rev f xs vs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *distinct-remdups-wrt-rev*: *distinct* (*map f* (*remdups-wrt-rev f xs vs*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-of-remdups-wrt-rev'*:

map-of (*remdups-wrt-rev fst xs vs*) *k* = *map-of* (*filter* (λ*x*. *fst x* ∉ *set vs*) *xs*) *k*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *map-of-remdups-wrt-rev*: *map-of* (*remdups-wrt-rev fst xs* []) = *map-of xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *term-powerprod*) *compute-list-to-poly* [*code*]:
list-to-poly ts cs = *distr*$_0$ *DRLEX* (*remdups-wrt-rev fst* (*zip ts cs*) [])
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *ordered-term*) *compute-Macaulay-list* [*code*]:
Macaulay-list ps =
(*let ts* = *Keys-to-list ps in*
filter (λ*p*. *p* ≠ *0*) (*mat-to-polys ts* (*row-echelon* (*polys-to-mat ts ps*)))
)
⟨*proof*⟩

declare *conversep-iff* [*code*]

derive (*eq*) *ceq poly-mapping*
derive (*no*) *ccompare poly-mapping*
derive (*dlist*) *set-impl poly-mapping*
derive (*no*) *cenum poly-mapping*

derive (*eq*) *ceq rat*
derive (*no*) *ccompare rat*
derive (*dlist*) *set-impl rat*
derive (*no*) *cenum rat*

12.2.1 Connection between ('*x* ⇒$_0$ '*a*) ⇒$_0$ '*b* and ('*x*, '*a*) *pp* ⇒$_0$ '*b*

definition *keys-pp-to-list* :: ('*x*::*linorder*, '*a*::*zero*) *pp* ⇒ '*x list*
where *keys-pp-to-list t* = *sorted-list-of-set* (*keys-pp t*)

lemma *inj-PP*: *inj PP*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inj-mapping-of*: *inj mapping-of*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mapping-of-comp-PP* [*simp*]:
mapping-of ∘ *PP* = (λ*x*. *x*)
PP ∘ *mapping-of* = (λ*x*. *x*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-PP-mapping-of* [*simp*]: *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key mapping-of p*) = *p*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-mapping-of-PP* [*simp*]: *Poly-Mapping.map-key mapping-of* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p*) = *p*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *map-key-PP-plus* = *map-key-plus*[*OF inj-PP*]
lemmas *map-key-PP-zero* [*simp*] = *map-key-zero*[*OF inj-PP*]

lemma *lookup-map-key-PP*: *lookup* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p*) *t* = *lookup p* (*PP t*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *keys-map-key-PP*: *keys* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p*) = *mapping-of* ‘ *keys p*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-PP-zero-iff* [*iff*]: *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p* = *0* ⟷ *p* = *0*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-PP-uminus* [*simp*]: *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP* (− *p*) = − *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-PP-minus*:
Poly-Mapping.map-key PP (*p* − *q*) = *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p* − *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP q*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-PP-monomial* [*simp*]: *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP* (*monomial c t*) = *monomial c* (*mapping-of t*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-PP-one* [*simp*]: *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP 1* = *1*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-PP-monom-mult-punit*:
Poly-Mapping.map-key PP (*monom-mult-punit c t p*) =
monom-mult-punit c (*mapping-of t*) (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-PP-times*:
Poly-Mapping.map-key PP (*p* ∗ *q*) =
Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p ∗ *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP* (*q*::(-, -::*add-linorder*) *pp* ⇒$_0$ -)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-PP-sum*: *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP* (*sum f A*) = (∑ *a*∈*A*. *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP* (*f a*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-PP-ideal*:
Poly-Mapping.map-key PP ‘ ideal F = ideal (Poly-Mapping.map-key PP ‘ (F::((-, -::add-linorder) pp ⇒₀ -) set))
⟨*proof*⟩

12.2.2 Locale *pp-powerprod*

We have to introduce a new locale analogous to *pm-powerprod*, but this time for power-products represented by *pp* rather than *poly-mapping*. This apparently leads to some (more-or-less) duplicate definitions and lemmas, but seems to be the only feasible way to get both

- the convenient representation by *poly-mapping* for theory development, and
- the executable representation by *pp* for code generation.

locale *pp-powerprod* =
ordered-powerprod ord ord-strict
for *ord*::('*x*::{*countable*,*linorder*}, *nat*) *pp* ⇒ ('*x*, *nat*) *pp* ⇒ *bool*
and *ord-strict*
begin

sublocale *gd-powerprod* ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *pp-pm*: *extended-ord-pm-powerprod* λ*s t*. *ord* (*PP s*) (*PP t*) λ*s t*. *ord-strict* (*PP s*) (*PP t*)
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *poly-deg-pp* :: (('*x*, *nat*) *pp* ⇒₀ '*a*::*zero*) ⇒ *nat*
where *poly-deg-pp p* = (*if p* = *0 then 0 else max-list* (*map deg-pp* (*punit.keys-to-list p*)))

primrec *deg-le-sect-pp-aux* :: '*x list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ ('*x*, *nat*) *pp* ⇒₀ *nat* **where**
deg-le-sect-pp-aux xs 0 = *1* |
deg-le-sect-pp-aux xs (*Suc n*) =
(*let p* = *deg-le-sect-pp-aux xs n in p* + *foldr* (λ*x*. (+) (*monom-mult-punit 1* (*single-pp x 1*) *p*)) *xs 0*)

definition *deg-le-sect-pp* :: '*x list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ ('*x*, *nat*) *pp list*
where *deg-le-sect-pp xs d* = *punit.keys-to-list* (*deg-le-sect-pp-aux xs d*)

definition *deg-shifts-pp* :: '*x list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒
(('*x*, *nat*) *pp* ⇒₀ '*b*) *list* ⇒ (('*x*, *nat*) *pp* ⇒₀ '*b*::*semiring-1*) *list*
where *deg-shifts-pp xs d fs* = *concat* (*map* (λ*f*. (*map* (λ*t*. *monom-mult-punit 1 t f*)

(*deg-le-sect-pp xs* (*d* − *poly-deg-pp f*)))) *fs*)

definition *indets-pp* :: ((*'x*, *nat*) *pp* ⇒$_0$ *'b*::*zero*) ⇒ *'x list*
where *indets-pp p* = *remdups* (*concat* (*map keys-pp-to-list* (*punit.keys-to-list p*)))

definition *Indets-pp* :: ((*'x*, *nat*) *pp* ⇒$_0$ *'b*::*zero*) *list* ⇒ *'x list*
where *Indets-pp ps* = *remdups* (*concat* (*map indets-pp ps*))

lemma *map-PP-insort*:
map PP (*pp-pm.ordered-powerprod-lin.insort x xs*) = *ordered-powerprod-lin.insort* (*PP x*) (*map PP xs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-PP-sorted-list-of-set*:
map PP (*pp-pm.ordered-powerprod-lin.sorted-list-of-set T*) =
ordered-powerprod-lin.sorted-list-of-set (*PP* ‘ *T*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-PP-pps-to-list*: *map PP* (*pp-pm.punit.pps-to-list T*) = *punit.pps-to-list* (*PP* ‘ *T*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-mapping-of-pps-to-list*:
map mapping-of (*punit.pps-to-list T*) = *pp-pm.punit.pps-to-list* (*mapping-of* ‘ *T*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *keys-to-list-map-key-PP*:
pp-pm.punit.keys-to-list (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p*) = *map mapping-of* (*punit.keys-to-list p*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Keys-to-list-map-key-PP*:
pp-pm.punit.Keys-to-list (*map* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP*) *fs*) = *map mapping-of* (*punit.Keys-to-list fs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-deg-map-key-PP*: *poly-deg* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p*) = *poly-deg-pp p*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-pp-aux-1*:
assumes *t* ∈ *keys* (*deg-le-sect-pp-aux xs n*)
shows *deg-pp t* ≤ *n* **and** *keys-pp t* ⊆ *set xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-pp-aux-2*:
assumes *deg-pp t* ≤ *n* **and** *keys-pp t* ⊆ *set xs*
shows *t* ∈ *keys* (*deg-le-sect-pp-aux xs n*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *keys-deg-le-sect-pp-aux*:
keys (*deg-le-sect-pp-aux xs n*) = {*t*. *deg-pp t* ≤ *n* ∧ *keys-pp t* ⊆ *set xs*}
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-le-sect-deg-le-sect-pp*:
map PP (*pp-pm.punit.pps-to-list* (*deg-le-sect* (*set xs*) *d*)) = *deg-le-sect-pp xs d*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *deg-shifts-deg-shifts-pp*:
pp-pm.deg-shifts (*set xs*) *d* (*map* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP*) *fs*) =
map (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP*) (*deg-shifts-pp xs d fs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ideal-deg-shifts-pp*: *ideal* (*set* (*deg-shifts-pp xs d fs*)) = *ideal* (*set fs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *set-indets-pp*: *set* (*indets-pp p*) = *indets* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *poly-to-row-map-key-PP*:
poly-to-row (*map pp.mapping-of xs*) (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p*) = *poly-to-row xs p*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Macaulay-mat-map-key-PP*:
pp-pm.punit.Macaulay-mat (*map* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP*) *fs*) = *punit.Macaulay-mat fs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *row-to-poly-mapping-of*:
assumes *distinct ts* **and** *dim-vec r* = *length ts*
shows *row-to-poly* (*map pp.mapping-of ts*) *r* = *Poly-Mapping.map-key PP* (*row-to-poly ts r*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mat-to-polys-mapping-of*:
assumes *distinct ts* **and** *dim-col m* = *length ts*
shows *mat-to-polys* (*map pp.mapping-of ts*) *m* = *map* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP*) (*mat-to-polys ts m*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-key-PP-Macaulay-list*:
map (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP*) (*punit.Macaulay-list fs*) =
pp-pm.punit.Macaulay-list (*map* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP*) *fs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lpp-map-key-PP*: *pp-pm.lpp* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP p*) = *mapping-of* (*lpp p*)

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *is-GB-map-key-PP*:
  finite G ⟹ *pp-pm.punit.is-Groebner-basis* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP ‘ G*) ⟷ *punit.is-Groebner-basis G*
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *thm-2-3-6-pp*:
  assumes *pp-pm.is-GB-cofactor-bound* (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP ‘ set fs*) *b*
  shows *punit.is-Groebner-basis* (*set* (*punit.Macaulay-list* (*deg-shifts-pp* (*Indets-pp fs*) *b fs*)))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Dube-is-GB-cofactor-bound-pp*:
  pp-pm.is-GB-cofactor-bound (*Poly-Mapping.map-key PP ‘ set fs*)
          (*Dube* (*Suc* (*length* (*Indets-pp fs*))) (*max-list* (*map poly-deg-pp fs*)))
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *GB-Macaulay-Dube* :: (('*x*, *nat*) *pp* ⇒$_0$ '*a*) *list* ⇒ (('*x*, *nat*) *pp* ⇒$_0$ '*a*::*field*) *list*
  where *GB-Macaulay-Dube fs* = *punit.Macaulay-list* (*deg-shifts-pp* (*Indets-pp fs*)
                    (*Dube* (*Suc* (*length* (*Indets-pp fs*))) (*max-list* (*map poly-deg-pp fs*))) *fs*)

lemma *GB-Macaulay-Dube-is-GB*: *punit.is-Groebner-basis* (*set* (*GB-Macaulay-Dube fs*))
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ideal-GB-Macaulay-Dube*: *ideal* (*set* (*GB-Macaulay-Dube fs*)) = *ideal* (*set fs*)
  ⟨*proof*⟩

end

global-interpretation *punit'*: *pp-powerprod ord-pp-punit cmp-term ord-pp-strict-punit cmp-term*
  rewrites *punit.adds-term* = (*adds*)
  and *punit.pp-of-term* = (λ*x*. *x*)
  and *punit.component-of-term* = (λ-. ())
  and *punit.monom-mult* = *monom-mult-punit*
  and *punit.mult-scalar* = *mult-scalar-punit*
  and *punit'.punit.min-term* = *min-term-punit*
  and *punit'.punit.lt* = *lt-punit cmp-term*
  and *punit'.punit.lc* = *lc-punit cmp-term*
  and *punit'.punit.tail* = *tail-punit cmp-term*
  and *punit'.punit.ord-p* = *ord-p-punit cmp-term*
  and *punit'.punit.keys-to-list* = *keys-to-list-punit cmp-term*
  for *cmp-term* :: ('*a*::*nat*, *nat*) *pp nat-term-order*

defines *max-punit* = *punit′.ordered-powerprod-lin.max*
and *max-list-punit* = *punit′.ordered-powerprod-lin.max-list*
and *Keys-to-list-punit* = *punit′.punit.Keys-to-list*
and *Macaulay-mat-punit* = *punit′.punit.Macaulay-mat*
and *Macaulay-list-punit* = *punit′.punit.Macaulay-list*
and *poly-deg-pp-punit* = *punit′.poly-deg-pp*
and *deg-le-sect-pp-aux-punit* = *punit′.deg-le-sect-pp-aux*
and *deg-le-sect-pp-punit* = *punit′.deg-le-sect-pp*
and *deg-shifts-pp-punit* = *punit′.deg-shifts-pp*
and *indets-pp-punit* = *punit′.indets-pp*
and *Indets-pp-punit* = *punit′.Indets-pp*
and *GB-Macaulay-Dube-punit* = *punit′.GB-Macaulay-Dube*

and *find-adds-punit* = *punit′.punit.find-adds*
and *trd-aux-punit* = *punit′.punit.trd-aux*
and *trd-punit* = *punit′.punit.trd*
and *comp-min-basis-punit* = *punit′.punit.comp-min-basis*
and *comp-red-basis-aux-punit* = *punit′.punit.comp-red-basis-aux*
and *comp-red-basis-punit* = *punit′.punit.comp-red-basis*
⟨*proof*⟩

12.3 Computations

experiment begin interpretation $trivariate_0$-*rat* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma
comp-red-basis-punit DRLEX (*GB-Macaulay-Dube-punit DRLEX* [$X * Y^2 + 3 * X^2 * Y$, $Y \hat{} 3 - X \hat{} 3$]) =
[$X \hat{} 5$, $X \hat{} 3 * Y - C_0$ (*1 / 9*) $* X \hat{} 4$, $Y \hat{} 3 - X \hat{} 3$, $X * Y^2 + 3 * X^2 * Y$]
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

References

[1] T. W. Dubé. The Structure of Polynomial Ideals and Gröbner Bases. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 19(4):750–773, 1990.

[2] A. Maletzky. Formalization of Dubé's Degree Bounds for Gröbner Bases in Isabelle/HOL. In C. Kaliszyk, E. Brady, A. Kohlhase, and C. Sacerdoti-Coen, editors, *Intelligent Computer Mathematics (Proceedings of CICM 2019, Prague, Czech Republic, July 8-12)*, volume 11617 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*. Springer, 2019. to ap-

pear; preprint at http://www.risc.jku.at/publications/download/risc_5919/Paper.pdf.

[3] A. Maletzky. Gröbner Bases and Macaulay Matrices in Isabelle/HOL. Technical report, RISC, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria, 2019. http://www.risc.jku.at/publications/download/risc_5929/Paper.pdf; Submitted to Formal Aspects of Computing.

[4] M. Wiesinger-Widi. *Gröbner Bases and Generalized Sylvester Matrices*. PhD thesis, RISC, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria, 2015.