

Gröbner Bases Theory

Fabian Immler and Alexander Maletzky*

March 19, 2025

Abstract

This formalization is concerned with the theory of Gröbner bases in (commutative) multivariate polynomial rings over fields, originally developed by Buchberger in his 1965 PhD thesis. Apart from the statement and proof of the main theorem of the theory, the formalization also implements algorithms for actually computing Gröbner bases, thus allowing to effectively decide ideal membership in finitely generated polynomial ideals. Furthermore, all functions can be executed on a concrete representation of multivariate polynomials as association lists.

Contents

1	Introduction	6
1.1	Related Work	6
1.2	Future Work	7
2	General Utilities	7
2.1	Lists	7
2.1.1	<i>max-list</i>	8
2.1.2	<i>insort-wrt</i>	9
2.1.3	<i>diff-list</i> and <i>insert-list</i>	9
2.1.4	<i>remdups-wrt</i>	10
2.1.5	<i>map-idx</i>	10
2.1.6	<i>map-dup</i>	11
2.1.7	Filtering Minimal Elements	12
3	Properties of Binary Relations	14
3.1	<i>Restricted-Predicates.wfp-on</i>	14
3.2	Relations	14
3.3	Setup for Connection to Theory <i>Abstract–Rewriting</i> . <i>Abstract-Rewriting</i>	16

*Supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): grant no. W1214-N15 (project DK1) and grant no. P 29498-N31

3.4	Simple Lemmas	16
3.5	Advanced Results and the Generalized Newman Lemma	18
4	Polynomial Reduction	20
4.1	Basic Properties of Reduction	21
4.2	Reducibility and Addition & Multiplication	25
4.3	Confluence of Reducibility	26
4.4	Reducibility and Module Membership	27
4.5	More Properties of <i>red</i> , <i>red-single</i> and <i>is-red</i>	27
4.6	Well-foundedness and Termination	31
4.7	Algorithms	33
4.7.1	Function <i>find-adds</i>	33
4.7.2	Function <i>trd</i>	34
5	Gröbner Bases and Buchberger's Theorem	35
5.1	Critical Pairs and S-Polynomials	36
5.2	Buchberger's Theorem	39
5.3	Buchberger's Criteria for Avoiding Useless Pairs	40
5.4	Weak and Strong Gröbner Bases	40
5.5	Alternative Characterization of Gröbner Bases via Representations of S-Polynomials	43
5.6	Replacing Elements in Gröbner Bases	44
5.7	An Inconstructive Proof of the Existence of Finite Gröbner Bases	45
5.8	Relation <i>red-supset</i>	46
5.9	Context <i>od-term</i>	47
6	A General Algorithm Schema for Computing Gröbner Bases	47
6.1	<i>processed</i>	48
6.2	Algorithm Schema	50
6.2.1	<i>const-lt-component</i>	50
6.2.2	Type synonyms	50
6.2.3	Specification of the <i>selector</i> parameter	51
6.2.4	Specification of the <i>add-basis</i> parameter	51
6.2.5	Specification of the <i>add-pairs</i> parameter	52
6.2.6	Function <i>args-to-set</i>	55
6.2.7	Functions <i>count-const-lt-components</i> , <i>count-rem-comps</i> and <i>full-gb</i>	56
6.2.8	Specification of the <i>completion</i> parameter	57
6.2.9	Function <i>gb-schema-dummy</i>	60
6.2.10	Function <i>gb-schema-aux</i>	68
6.2.11	Functions <i>gb-schema-direct</i> and <i>term gb-schema-incr</i> .	71
6.3	Suitable Instances of the <i>add-pairs</i> Parameter	73
6.3.1	Specification of the <i>crit</i> parameters	73

6.3.2	Suitable instances of the <i>crit</i> parameters	76
6.3.3	Creating Initial List of New Pairs	78
6.3.4	Applying Criteria to New Pairs	81
6.3.5	Applying Criteria to Old Pairs	83
6.3.6	Creating Final List of Pairs	84
6.4	Suitable Instances of the <i>completion</i> Parameter	85
6.5	Suitable Instances of the <i>add-basis</i> Parameter	87
6.6	Special Case: Scalar Polynomials	88
7	Buchberger's Algorithm	88
7.1	Reduction	89
7.2	Pair Selection	90
7.3	Buchberger's Algorithm	90
7.3.1	Special Case: <i>punit</i>	91
8	Benchmark Problems for Computing Gröbner Bases	92
8.1	Cyclic	92
8.2	Katsura	92
8.3	Eco	93
8.4	Noon	93
9	Code Equations Related to the Computation of Gröbner Bases	93
10	Sample Computations with Buchberger's Algorithm	95
10.1	Scalar Polynomials	95
10.2	Vector Polynomials	98
11	Further Properties of Multivariate Polynomials	101
11.1	Modules and Linear Hulls	102
11.2	Ordered Polynomials	102
11.2.1	Sets of Leading Terms and -Coefficients	102
11.2.2	Monicity	103
12	Auto-reducing Lists of Polynomials	104
12.1	Reduction and Monic Sets	105
12.2	Minimal Bases and Auto-reduced Bases	105
12.3	Computing Minimal Bases	107
12.4	Auto-Reduction	107
12.5	Auto-Reduction and Monicity	109
13	Reduced Gröbner Bases	110
13.1	Definition and Uniqueness of Reduced Gröbner Bases	110
13.2	Computing Reduced Gröbner Bases by Auto-Reduction	111
13.2.1	Minimal Bases	111

13.2.2	Computing Minimal Bases	112
13.2.3	Computing Reduced Bases	112
13.2.4	Computing Reduced Gröbner Bases	112
13.2.5	Properties of the Reduced Gröbner Basis of an Ideal .	115
13.2.6	Context <i>od-term</i>	115
14	Sample Computations of Reduced Gröbner Bases	116
15	Macaulay Matrices	119
15.1	More about Vectors	119
15.2	More about Matrices	120
15.2.1	<i>nzrows</i>	120
15.2.2	<i>row-space</i>	120
15.2.3	<i>row-echelon</i>	121
15.3	Converting Between Polynomials and Macaulay Matrices .	122
15.4	Properties of Macaulay Matrices	125
15.5	Functions <i>Macaulay-mat</i> and <i>Macaulay-list</i>	127
16	Faugère's F4 Algorithm	128
16.1	Symbolic Preprocessing	128
16.2	<i>lin-red</i>	133
16.3	Reduction	134
16.4	Pair Selection	137
16.5	The F4 Algorithm	137
16.5.1	Special Case: <i>punit</i>	138
17	Sample Computations with the F4 Algorithm	138
17.1	Preparations	139
17.2	Computations	141
18	Syzygies of Multivariate Polynomials	142
18.1	Syzygy Modules Generated by Sets	142
18.2	Polynomial Mappings on List-Indices	145
18.3	POT Orders	147
18.4	Gröbner Bases of Syzygy Modules	148
18.4.1	<i>lift-poly-syz</i>	149
18.4.2	<i>proj-poly-syz</i>	150
18.4.3	<i>cofactor-list-syz</i>	151
18.4.4	<i>init-syzygy-list</i>	152
18.4.5	<i>proj-orig-basis</i>	153
18.4.6	<i>filter-syzygy-basis</i>	153
18.4.7	<i>syzygy-module-list</i>	153
18.4.8	Cofactors	155
18.4.9	Modules	155

18.4.10 Gröbner Bases	155
19 Sample Computations of Syzygies	156
19.1 Preparations	156
19.2 Computations	159
19.3 Univariate Polynomials	162
19.4 Homogeneity	163

1 Introduction

The theory of Gröbner bases, invented by Buchberger in [2, 3], is ubiquitous in many areas of computer algebra and beyond, as it allows to effectively solve a multitude of interesting, non-trivial problems of polynomial ideal theory. Since its invention in the mid-sixties, the theory has already seen a whole range of extensions and generalizations, some of which are present in this formalization:

- Following [11], the theory is formulated for vector-polynomials instead of ordinary scalar polynomials, thus allowing to compute Gröbner bases of syzygy modules.
- Besides Buchberger's original algorithm, the formalization also features Faugère's F_4 algorithm [8] for computing Gröbner bases.
- All algorithms for computing Gröbner bases incorporate criteria to avoid useless pairs; see [4] for details.
- Reduced Gröbner bases have been formalized and can be computed by a formally verified algorithm, too.

For further information about Gröbner bases theory the interested reader may consult the introductory paper [5] or literally any book on commutative/computer algebra, e.g. [1, 11].

1.1 Related Work

The theory of Gröbner bases has already been formalized in a couple of other proof assistants, listed below in alphabetical order:

- ACL2 [13],
- Coq [16, 10],
- Mizar [15], and
- Theorema [6, 12].

Please note that this formalization must not be confused with the *algebra* proof method based on Gröbner bases [7], which is a completely independent piece of work: our results could in principle be used to formally prove the correctness and, to some extent, completeness of said proof method.

1.2 Future Work

This formalization can be extended in several ways:

- One could formalize signature-based algorithms for computing Gröbner bases, as for instance Faugère's F_5 algorithm [9]. Such algorithms are typically more efficient than Buchberger's algorithm.
- One could establish the connection to *elimination theory*, exploiting the well-known *elimination property* of Gröbner bases w. r. t. certain term-orders (e. g. the purely lexicographic one). This would enable the effective simplification (and even solution, in some sense) of systems of algebraic equations.
- One could generalize the theory further to cover also *non-commutative* Gröbner bases [14].

2 General Utilities

```
theory General
  imports Polynomials_Utils
begin
```

A couple of general-purpose functions and lemmas, mainly related to lists.

2.1 Lists

```
lemma distinct-reorder: distinct (xs @ (y # ys)) = distinct (y # (xs @ ys)) ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma set-reorder: set (xs @ (y # ys)) = set (y # (xs @ ys)) ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma distinctI:
  assumes ⋀ i j. i < j ⟹ i < length xs ⟹ j < length xs ⟹ xs ! i ≠ xs ! j
  shows distinct xs
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma filter-nth-pairE:
  assumes i < j and i < length (filter P xs) and j < length (filter P xs)
  obtains i' j' where i' < j' and i' < length xs and j' < length xs
    and (filter P xs) ! i = xs ! i' and (filter P xs) ! j = xs ! j'
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma distinct-filterI:
  assumes ⋀ i j. i < j ⟹ i < length xs ⟹ j < length xs ⟹ P (xs ! i) ⟹ P
  (xs ! j) ⟹ xs ! i ≠ xs ! j
  shows distinct (filter P xs)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma set-zip-map: set (zip (map f xs) (map g xs)) = ( $\lambda x. (f x, g x)$ ) ` (set xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-zip-map1: set (zip (map f xs) xs) = ( $\lambda x. (f x, x)$ ) ` (set xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-zip-map2: set (zip xs (map f xs)) = ( $\lambda x. (x, f x)$ ) ` (set xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma UN-upt: ( $\bigcup_{i \in \{0..<\text{length } xs\}} f (xs ! i)$ ) = ( $\bigcup_{x \in \text{set } xs} f x$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-zeroI':
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i < \text{length } xs \implies xs ! i = 0$ 
  shows sum-list xs = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-map2-plus:
  assumes length xs = length ys
  shows sum-list (map2 (+) xs ys) = sum-list xs + sum-list (ys::'a::comm-monoid-add
list)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-eq-nthI:
  assumes i < length xs and  $\bigwedge j. j < \text{length } xs \implies j \neq i \implies xs ! j = 0$ 
  shows sum-list xs = xs ! i
⟨proof⟩

```

2.1.1 max-list

```

fun (in ord) max-list :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  max-list (x # xs) = (case xs of []  $\Rightarrow$  x | -  $\Rightarrow$  max x (max-list xs))

context linorder
begin

lemma max-list-Max: xs  $\neq [] \implies$  max-list xs = Max (set xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-list-ge:
  assumes x  $\in$  set xs
  shows x  $\leq$  max-list xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-list-boundedI:
  assumes xs  $\neq []$  and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies x \leq a$ 
  shows max-list xs  $\leq a$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

2.1.2 *insort-wrt*

```
primrec insort-wrt :: ('c ⇒ 'c ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'c list ⇒ 'c list where
  insort-wrt - x [] = [x] |
  insort-wrt r x (y # ys) =
    (if r x y then (x # y # ys) else y # (insort-wrt r x ys))
```

```
lemma insort-wrt-not-Nil [simp]: insort-wrt r x xs ≠ []
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma length-insort-wrt [simp]: length (insort-wrt r x xs) = Suc (length xs)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma set-insort-wrt [simp]: set (insort-wrt r x xs) = insert x (set xs)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sorted-wrt-insort-wrt-imp-sorted-wrt:
  assumes sorted-wrt r (insort-wrt s x xs)
  shows sorted-wrt r xs
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sorted-wrt-imp-sorted-wrt-insort-wrt:
  assumes transp r and ∀a. r a x ∨ r x a and sorted-wrt r xs
  shows sorted-wrt r (insort-wrt r x xs)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary sorted-wrt-insort-wrt:
  assumes transp r and ∀a. r a x ∨ r x a
  shows sorted-wrt r (insort-wrt r x xs) ↔ sorted-wrt r xs (is ?l ↔ ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

2.1.3 *diff-list* and *insert-list*

```
definition diff-list :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list (infixl ⟦⟧ 65)
  where diff-list xs ys = fold removeAll ys xs
```

```
lemma set-diff-list: set (xs -- ys) = set xs - set ys
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma diff-list-disjoint: set ys ∩ set (xs -- ys) = {}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma subset-append-diff-cancel:
  assumes set ys ⊆ set xs
  shows set (ys @ (xs -- ys)) = set xs
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition insert-list :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list
```

where $\text{insert-list } x \text{ } xs = (\text{if } x \in \text{set } xs \text{ then } xs \text{ else } x \# xs)$

lemma $\text{set-insert-list}: \text{set}(\text{insert-list } x \text{ } xs) = \text{insert } x (\text{set } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.1.4 remdups-wrt

primrec $\text{remdups-wrt} :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$ **where**
 $\text{remdups-wrt-base}: \text{remdups-wrt } [] = []$ |
 $\text{remdups-wrt-rec}: \text{remdups-wrt } f (x \# xs) = (\text{if } x \in f \text{ ' set } xs \text{ then } \text{remdups-wrt } f xs \text{ else } x \# \text{remdups-wrt } f xs)$

lemma $\text{set-remdups-wrt}: f \text{ ' set}(\text{remdups-wrt } f xs) = f \text{ ' set } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{subset-remdups-wrt}: \text{set}(\text{remdups-wrt } f xs) \subseteq \text{set } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{remdups-wrt-distinct-wrt}:$

assumes $x \in \text{set}(\text{remdups-wrt } f xs)$ **and** $y \in \text{set}(\text{remdups-wrt } f xs)$ **and** $x \neq y$
shows $f x \neq f y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{distinct-remdups-wrt}: \text{distinct}(\text{remdups-wrt } f xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{map-remdups-wrt}: \text{map } f (\text{remdups-wrt } f xs) = \text{remdups}(\text{map } f xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{remdups-wrt-append}:$

$\text{remdups-wrt } f (xs @ ys) = (\text{filter } (\lambda a. f a \notin f \text{ ' set } ys) (\text{remdups-wrt } f xs)) @ (\text{remdups-wrt } f ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.1.5 map-idx

primrec $\text{map-idx} :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ list}$ **where**
 $\text{map-idx } f [] n = []$
 $\text{map-idx } f (x \# xs) n = (f x n) \# (\text{map-idx } f xs (\text{Suc } n))$

lemma $\text{map-idx-eq-map2}: \text{map-idx } f xs n = \text{map2 } f xs [n..<n + \text{length } xs]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{length-map-idx} [\text{simp}]: \text{length}(\text{map-idx } f xs n) = \text{length } xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{map-idx-append}: \text{map-idx } f (xs @ ys) n = (\text{map-idx } f xs n) @ (\text{map-idx } f ys (n + \text{length } xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma map-idx-nth:
  assumes i < length xs
  shows (map-idx f xs n) ! i = f (xs ! i) (n + i)
  <proof>

lemma map-map-idx: map f (map-idx g xs n) = map-idx (λx i. f (g x i)) xs n
  <proof>

lemma map-idx-map: map-idx f (map g xs) n = map-idx (f ∘ g) xs n
  <proof>

lemma map-idx-no-idx: map-idx (λx -. f x) xs n = map f xs
  <proof>

lemma map-idx-no-elem: map-idx (λ-. f) xs n = map f [n..<n + length xs]
  <proof>

lemma map-idx-eq-map: map-idx f xs n = map (λi. f (xs ! i) (i + n)) [0..<length
  xs]
  <proof>

lemma set-map-idx: set (map-idx f xs n) = (λi. f (xs ! i) (i + n)) ` {0..<length
  xs}
  <proof>

```

2.1.6 map-dup

```

primrec map-dup :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b list where
  map-dup - - [] = []
  map-dup f g (x # xs) = (if x ∈ set xs then g x else f x) # (map-dup f g xs)

```

```

lemma length-map-dup[simp]: length (map-dup f g xs) = length xs
  <proof>

```

```

lemma map-dup-distinct:
  assumes distinct xs
  shows map-dup f g xs = map f xs
  <proof>

```

```

lemma filter-map-dup-const:
  filter (λx. x ≠ c) (map-dup f (λ-. c) xs) = filter (λx. x ≠ c) (map f (remdups
  xs))
  <proof>

```

```

lemma filter-zip-map-dup-const:
  filter (λ(a, b). a ≠ c) (zip (map-dup f (λ-. c) xs) xs) =
    filter (λ(a, b). a ≠ c) (zip (map f (remdups xs)) (remdups xs))
  <proof>

```

2.1.7 Filtering Minimal Elements

context

fixes *rel* :: '*a* \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow *bool*

begin

primrec *filter-min-aux* :: '*a* *list* \Rightarrow '*a* *list* \Rightarrow '*a* *list* **where**
 filter-min-aux [] *ys* = *ys*
 filter-min-aux (*x* # *xs*) *ys* =
 (if ($\exists y \in (set xs \cup set ys)$. *rel y x*) then (*filter-min-aux xs ys*)
 else (*filter-min-aux xs (x # ys)*))

definition *filter-min* :: '*a* *list* \Rightarrow '*a* *list*
 where *filter-min xs* = *filter-min-aux xs []*

definition *filter-min-append* :: '*a* *list* \Rightarrow '*a* *list* \Rightarrow '*a* *list*
 where *filter-min-append xs ys* =
 (let *P* = ($\lambda z s. \lambda x. \neg (\exists z \in set z s. rel z x)$); *ys1* = *filter (P xs) ys* in
 (*filter (P ys1) xs*) @ *ys1*)

lemma *filter-min-aux-supset*: *set ys* \subseteq *set (filter-min-aux xs ys)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *filter-min-aux-subset*: *set (filter-min-aux xs ys)* \subseteq *set xs* \cup *set ys*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *filter-min-aux-relE*:
 assumes *transp rel* **and** *x* \in *set xs* **and** *x* \notin *set (filter-min-aux xs ys)*
 obtains *y* **where** *y* \in *set (filter-min-aux xs ys)* **and** *rel y x*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *filter-min-aux-minimal*:
 assumes *transp rel* **and** *x* \in *set (filter-min-aux xs ys)* **and** *y* \in *set (filter-min-aux xs ys)*
 and *rel x y*
 assumes $\bigwedge a b. a \in set xs \cup set ys \implies b \in set ys \implies rel a b \implies a = b$
 shows *x* = *y*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *filter-min-aux-distinct*:
 assumes *reflp rel* **and** *distinct ys*
 shows *distinct (filter-min-aux xs ys)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *filter-min-subset*: *set (filter-min xs)* \subseteq *set xs*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *filter-min-cases*:
 assumes *transp rel* **and** *x* \in *set xs*
 assumes *x* \in *set (filter-min xs)* \implies *thesis*

```

assumes  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set}(\text{filter-min } xs) \implies x \notin \text{set}(\text{filter-min } xs) \implies \text{rel } y \ x \implies$ 
thesis
shows thesis
⟨proof⟩

corollary filter-min-relE:
assumes transp rel and reflp rel and  $x \in \text{set } xs$ 
obtains y where  $y \in \text{set}(\text{filter-min } xs)$  and  $\text{rel } y \ x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-min-minimal:
assumes transp rel and  $x \in \text{set}(\text{filter-min } xs)$  and  $y \in \text{set}(\text{filter-min } xs)$  and
rel x y
shows  $x = y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-min-distinct:
assumes reflp rel
shows distinct(filter-min xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-min-append-subset:  $\text{set}(\text{filter-min-append } xs \ ys) \subseteq \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-min-append-cases:
assumes transp rel and  $x \in \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$ 
assumes  $x \in \text{set}(\text{filter-min-append } xs \ ys) \implies \text{thesis}$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set}(\text{filter-min-append } xs \ ys) \implies x \notin \text{set}(\text{filter-min-append } xs$ 
ys)  $\implies \text{rel } y \ x \implies \text{thesis}$ 
shows thesis
⟨proof⟩

corollary filter-min-append-relE:
assumes transp rel and reflp rel and  $x \in \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$ 
obtains y where  $y \in \text{set}(\text{filter-min-append } xs \ ys)$  and  $\text{rel } y \ x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-min-append-minimal:
assumes  $\bigwedge x' y'. x' \in \text{set } xs \implies y' \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{rel } x' \ y' \implies x' = y'$ 
and  $\bigwedge x' y'. x' \in \text{set } ys \implies y' \in \text{set } ys \implies \text{rel } x' \ y' \implies x' = y'$ 
and  $x \in \text{set}(\text{filter-min-append } xs \ ys)$  and  $y \in \text{set}(\text{filter-min-append } xs \ ys)$ 
and rel x y
shows  $x = y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-min-append-distinct:
assumes reflp rel and distinct xs and distinct ys
shows distinct(filter-min-append xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

3 Properties of Binary Relations

```
theory Confluence
```

```
imports Abstract-Rewriting.Abstract-Rewriting Open-Induction.Restricted-Predicates
```

```
begin
```

This theory formalizes some general properties of binary relations, in particular a very weak sufficient condition for a relation to be Church-Rosser.

3.1 Restricted-Predicates.wfp-on

```
lemma wfp-on-imp-wfP:
```

```
assumes wfp-on r A
```

```
shows wfP (λx y. r x y ∧ x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ A) (is wfP ?r)
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma wfp-onI-min:
```

```
assumes ∀x Q. x ∈ Q ⇒ Q ⊆ A ⇒ ∃z ∈ Q. ∀y ∈ A. r y z → y ∉ Q
```

```
shows wfp-on r A
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma wfp-onE-min:
```

```
assumes wfp-on r A and x ∈ Q and Q ⊆ A
```

```
obtains z where z ∈ Q and ∃y. r y z ⇒ y ∉ Q
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma wfp-onI-chain: ¬(∃f. ∀i. f i ∈ A ∧ r (f (Suc i)) (f i)) ⇒ wfp-on r A
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma finite-minimalE:
```

```
assumes finite A and A ≠ {} and irreflp rel and transp rel
```

```
obtains a where a ∈ A and ∃b. rel b a ⇒ b ∉ A
```

```
{proof}
```

```
lemma wfp-on-finite:
```

```
assumes irreflp rel and transp rel and finite A
```

```
shows wfp-on rel A
```

```
{proof}
```

3.2 Relations

```
locale relation = fixes r::'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infixl ‹→› 50)
```

```
begin
```

```

abbreviation rtc::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\leftrightarrow^*$  50)
  where rtc a b  $\equiv$  r** a b

abbreviation sc::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\leftrightarrow$  50)
  where sc a b  $\equiv$  a  $\rightarrow$  b  $\vee$  b  $\rightarrow$  a

definition is-final::'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-final a  $\equiv$   $\neg$  ( $\exists$  b. r a b)

definition srtc::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\leftrightarrow^*$  50) where
  srtc a b  $\equiv$  sc** a b
definition cs::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\downarrow^*$  50) where
  cs a b  $\equiv$  ( $\exists$  s. (a  $\rightarrow^*$  s)  $\wedge$  (b  $\rightarrow^*$  s))

definition is-confluent-on :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where is-confluent-on A  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall$  a $\in$ A.  $\forall$  b1 b2. (a  $\rightarrow^*$  b1  $\wedge$  a  $\rightarrow^*$  b2)  $\longrightarrow$  b1  $\downarrow^*$  b2)

definition is-confluent :: bool
  where is-confluent  $\equiv$  is-confluent-on UNIV

definition is-loc-confluent :: bool
  where is-loc-confluent  $\equiv$  ( $\forall$  a b1 b2. (a  $\rightarrow$  b1  $\wedge$  a  $\rightarrow$  b2)  $\longrightarrow$  b1  $\downarrow^*$  b2)

definition is-ChurchRosser :: bool
  where is-ChurchRosser  $\equiv$  ( $\forall$  a b. a  $\leftrightarrow^*$  b  $\longrightarrow$  a  $\downarrow^*$  b)

definition dw-closed :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where dw-closed A  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall$  a $\in$ A.  $\forall$  b. a  $\rightarrow$  b  $\longrightarrow$  b  $\in$  A)

lemma dw-closedI [intro]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge$ a b. a  $\in$  A  $\implies$  a  $\rightarrow$  b  $\implies$  b  $\in$  A
  shows dw-closed A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dw-closedD:
  assumes dw-closed A and a  $\in$  A and a  $\rightarrow$  b
  shows b  $\in$  A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dw-closed-rtrncl:
  assumes dw-closed A and a  $\in$  A and a  $\rightarrow^*$  b
  shows b  $\in$  A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dw-closed-empty: dw-closed {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dw-closed-UNIV: dw-closed UNIV

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.3 Setup for Connection to Theory Abstract–Rewriting. Abstract-Rewriting

abbreviation (*input*) *relset*::($'a * 'a$) *set* **where**
relset $\equiv \{(x, y). x \rightarrow y\}$

lemma *rtc-rtranclI*:

assumes $a \rightarrow^* b$
shows $(a, b) \in \text{relset}^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *final-NF*: $(\text{is-final } a) = (a \in \text{NF relset})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sc-symcl*: $(a \leftrightarrow b) = ((a, b) \in \text{relset}^{\leftrightarrow})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *srtc-conversion*: $(a \leftrightarrow^* b) = ((a, b) \in \text{relset}^{\leftrightarrow*})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cs-join*: $(a \downarrow^* b) = ((a, b) \in \text{relset}^\downarrow)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *confluent-CR*: *is-confluent* = *CR relset*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ChurchRosser-conversion*: *is-ChurchRosser* = $(\text{relset}^{\leftrightarrow*} \subseteq \text{relset}^\downarrow)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *loc-confluent-WCR*:
shows *is-loc-confluent* = *WCR relset*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-converse*:
shows $(\text{wfP } r^{\wedge--1}) = (\text{wf } (\text{relset}^{-1}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-SN*:
shows $(\text{wfP } r^{\wedge--1}) = (\text{SN relset})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.4 Simple Lemmas

lemma *rtrancl-is-final*:
assumes $a \rightarrow^* b$ **and** *is-final a*
shows $a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cs-refl*:

```

shows  $x \downarrow^* x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cs-sym:
assumes  $x \downarrow^* y$ 
shows  $y \downarrow^* x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rtc-implies-cs:
assumes  $x \rightarrow^* y$ 
shows  $x \downarrow^* y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rtc-implies-srtc:
assumes  $a \rightarrow^* b$ 
shows  $a \leftrightarrow^* b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma srtc-symmetric:
assumes  $a \leftrightarrow^* b$ 
shows  $b \leftrightarrow^* a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma srtc-transitive:
assumes  $a \leftrightarrow^* b$  and  $b \leftrightarrow^* c$ 
shows  $a \leftrightarrow^* c$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cs-implies-srtc:
assumes  $a \downarrow^* b$ 
shows  $a \leftrightarrow^* b$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma confluence-equiv-ChurchRosser: is-confluent = is-ChurchRosser
⟨proof⟩

corollary confluence-implies-ChurchRosser:
assumes is-confluent
shows is-ChurchRosser
⟨proof⟩

lemma ChurchRosser-unique-final:
assumes is-ChurchRosser and  $a \rightarrow^* b_1$  and  $a \rightarrow^* b_2$  and is-final  $b_1$  and
is-final  $b_2$ 
shows  $b_1 = b_2$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma wf-on-imp-nf-ex:
assumes wfp-on  $((\rightarrow)^{-1-1}) A$  and dw-closed  $A$  and  $a \in A$ 

```

```

obtains b where a →* b and is-final b
⟨proof⟩

lemma unique-nf-imp-confluence-on:
assumes major: ∧a b1 b2. a ∈ A ⇒ (a →* b1) ⇒ (a →* b2) ⇒ is-final b1
⇒ is-final b2 ⇒ b1 = b2
and wf: wfp-on ((→)-1-1) A and dw: dw-closed A
shows is-confluent-on A
⟨proof⟩

corollary wf-imp-nf-ex:
assumes wfP ((→)-1-1)
obtains b where a →* b and is-final b
⟨proof⟩

corollary unique-nf-imp-confluence:
assumes ∧a b1 b2. (a →* b1) ⇒ (a →* b2) ⇒ is-final b1 ⇒ is-final b2
⇒ b1 = b2
and wfP ((→)-1-1)
shows is-confluent
⟨proof⟩

end

```

3.5 Advanced Results and the Generalized Newman Lemma

```

definition relbelow-on :: 'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒
('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool)
where relbelow-on A ord z rel a b ≡ (a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A ∧ rel a b ∧ ord a z ∧ ord b
z)

```

```

definition cbelow-on-1 :: 'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒
('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool)
where cbelow-on-1 A ord z rel ≡ (relbelow-on A ord z rel)++

```

```

definition cbelow-on :: 'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒
('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool)
where cbelow-on A ord z rel a b ≡ (a = b ∧ b ∈ A ∧ ord b z) ∨ cbelow-on-1 A
ord z rel a b

```

Note that *cbelow-on* cannot be defined as the reflexive-transitive closure of *relbelow-on*, since it is in general not reflexive!

```

definition is-loc-connective-on :: 'a set ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool) ⇒
bool
where is-loc-connective-on A ord r ⇔ (∀a ∈ A. ∀b1 b2. r a b1 ∧ r a b2 →
cbelow-on A ord a (relation.sc r) b1 b2)

```

Note that *Restricted-Predicates.wfp-on* is *not* the same as *SN-on*, since in the definition of *SN-on* only the *first* element of the chain must be in the

set.

lemma *cbelow-on-first-below*:
 assumes *cbelow-on A ord z rel a b*
 shows *ord a z*
 {proof}

lemma *cbelow-on-second-below*:
 assumes *cbelow-on A ord z rel a b*
 shows *ord b z*
 {proof}

lemma *cbelow-on-first-in*:
 assumes *cbelow-on A ord z rel a b*
 shows *a ∈ A*
 {proof}

lemma *cbelow-on-second-in*:
 assumes *cbelow-on A ord z rel a b*
 shows *b ∈ A*
 {proof}

lemma *cbelow-on-intro* [intro]:
 assumes main: *cbelow-on A ord z rel a b and c ∈ A and rel b c and ord c z*
 shows *cbelow-on A ord z rel a c*
 {proof}

lemma *cbelow-on-induct* [consumes 1, case-names base step]:
 assumes *a: cbelow-on A ord z rel a b*
 and *base: a ∈ A ==> ord a z ==> P a*
 and *ind: ∏b c. [| cbelow-on A ord z rel a b; rel b c; c ∈ A; ord c z; P b |] ==> P c*
 shows *P b*
 {proof}

lemma *cbelow-on-symmetric*:
 assumes main: *cbelow-on A ord z rel a b and symp rel*
 shows *cbelow-on A ord z rel b a*
 {proof}

lemma *cbelow-on-transitive*:
 assumes *cbelow-on A ord z rel a b and cbelow-on A ord z rel b c*
 shows *cbelow-on A ord z rel a c*
 {proof}

lemma *cbelow-on-mono*:
 assumes *cbelow-on A ord z rel a b and A ⊆ B*
 shows *cbelow-on B ord z rel a b*
 {proof}

```

locale relation-order = relation +
  fixes ord::'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  fixes A::'a set
  assumes trans: ord x y ⇒ ord y z ⇒ ord x z
  assumes wf: wfp-on ord A
  assumes refines: (→) ≤ ord-1-1
begin

  lemma relation-refines:
    assumes a → b
    shows ord b a
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma relation-wf: wfp-on (→)-1-1 A
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma rtc-implies-cbelow-on:
    assumes dw-closed A and main: a →* b and a ∈ A and ord a c
    shows cbelow-on A ord c (↔) a b
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma cs-implies-cbelow-on:
    assumes dw-closed A and a ↓* b and a ∈ A and b ∈ A and ord a c and ord b
    c
    shows cbelow-on A ord c (↔) a b
    ⟨proof⟩

The generalized Newman lemma, taken from [17]:
  lemma loc-connectivity-implies-confluence:
    assumes is-loc-connective-on A ord (→) and dw-closed A
    shows is-confluent-on A
    ⟨proof⟩

end

theorem loc-connectivity-equiv-ChurchRosser:
  assumes relation-order r ord UNIV
  shows relation.is-ChurchRosser r = is-loc-connective-on UNIV ord r
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 Polynomial Reduction

```

theory Reduction
imports Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Class-Ordered Confluence
begin

```

This theory formalizes the concept of *reduction* of polynomials by polyno-

mials.

context *ordered-term*

begin

definition *red-single* :: ($t \Rightarrow_0 b$::field) \Rightarrow ($t \Rightarrow_0 b$) \Rightarrow ($t \Rightarrow_0 b$) \Rightarrow $a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *red-single* $p q f t \longleftrightarrow (f \neq 0 \wedge \text{lookup } p (t \oplus \text{lt } f) \neq 0 \wedge$
 $q = p - \text{monom-mult} ((\text{lookup } p (t \oplus \text{lt } f)) / \text{lc } f) t f)$

definition *red* :: ($t \Rightarrow_0 b$::field) set \Rightarrow ($t \Rightarrow_0 b$) \Rightarrow ($t \Rightarrow_0 b$) \Rightarrow bool
where *red* $F p q \longleftrightarrow (\exists f \in F. \exists t. \text{red-single } p q f t)$

definition *is-red* :: ($t \Rightarrow_0 b$::field) set \Rightarrow ($t \Rightarrow_0 b$) \Rightarrow bool
where *is-red* $F a \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{relation.is-final} (\text{red } F) a$

4.1 Basic Properties of Reduction

lemma *red-setI*:

assumes $f \in F$ **and** $a: \text{red-single } p q f t$
shows *red* $F p q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *red-setE*:

assumes *red* $F p q$
obtains f **and** t **where** $f \in F$ **and** *red-single* $p q f t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *red-empty*: $\neg \text{red } \{\} p q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *red-singleton-zero*: $\neg \text{red } \{0\} p q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *red-union*: $\text{red } (F \cup G) p q = (\text{red } F p q \vee \text{red } G p q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *red-unionI1*:

assumes *red* $F p q$
shows *red* $(F \cup G) p q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *red-unionI2*:

assumes *red* $G p q$
shows *red* $(F \cup G) p q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *red-subset*:

assumes *red* $G p q$ **and** $G \subseteq F$
shows *red* $F p q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma red-union-singleton-zero:  $\text{red } (F \cup \{0\}) = \text{red } F$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma red-minus-singleton-zero:  $\text{red } (F - \{0\}) = \text{red } F$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma red-rtrancl-subset:
  assumes major:  $(\text{red } G)^{**} p q$  and  $G \subseteq F$ 
  shows  $(\text{red } F)^{**} p q$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma red-singleton:  $\text{red } \{f\} p q \longleftrightarrow (\exists t. \text{red-single } p q f t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma red-single-lookup:
  assumes red-single  $p q f t$ 
  shows  $\text{lookup } q (t \oplus \text{lt } f) = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma red-single-higher:
  assumes red-single  $p q f t$ 
  shows higher  $q (t \oplus \text{lt } f) = \text{higher } p (t \oplus \text{lt } f)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma red-single-ord:
  assumes red-single  $p q f t$ 
  shows  $q \prec_p p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma red-single-nonzero1:
  assumes red-single  $p q f t$ 
  shows  $p \neq 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma red-single-nonzero2:
  assumes red-single  $p q f t$ 
  shows  $f \neq 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma red-single-self:
  assumes  $p \neq 0$ 
  shows red-single  $p 0 p 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma red-single-trans:
  assumes red-single  $p p0 f t$  and  $\text{lt } g \text{ addst } \text{lt } f$  and  $g \neq 0$ 
  obtains  $p1$  where red-single  $p p1 g (t + (lp f - lp g))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma red-nonzero:
  assumes red F p q
  shows p ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma red-self:
  assumes p ≠ 0
  shows red {p} p 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma red-ord:
  assumes red F p q
  shows q ≺p p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma red-indI1:
  assumes f ∈ F and f ≠ 0 and p ≠ 0 and adds: lt f addst lt p
  shows red F p (p – monom-mult (lc p / lc f) (lp p – lp f) f)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma red-indI2:
  assumes p ≠ 0 and r: red F (tail p) q
  shows red F p (q + monomial (lc p) (lt p))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma red-indE:
  assumes red F p q
  shows (∃f ∈ F. f ≠ 0 ∧ lt f addst lt p ∧
    (q = p – monom-mult (lc p / lc f) (lp p – lp f) f)) ∨
    red F (tail p) (q – monomial (lc p) (lt p))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-redI:
  assumes red F a b
  shows is-red F a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-redE:
  assumes is-red F a
  obtains b where red F a b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-red-alt:
  shows is-red F a ↔ (∃ b. red F a b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-red-singletonI:
  assumes is-red F q

```

obtains p **where** $p \in F$ **and** $\text{is-red } \{p\} q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-singletonD*:
assumes $\text{is-red } \{p\} q$ **and** $p \in F$
shows $\text{is-red } F q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-singleton-trans*:
assumes $\text{is-red } \{f\} p$ **and** $\text{lt } g \text{ addst } \text{lt } f$ **and** $g \neq 0$
shows $\text{is-red } \{g\} p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-singleton-not-0*:
assumes $\text{is-red } \{f\} p$
shows $f \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *irred-0*:
shows $\neg \text{is-red } F 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-indI1*:
assumes $f \in F$ **and** $f \neq 0$ **and** $p \neq 0$ **and** $\text{lt } f \text{ addst } \text{lt } p$
shows $\text{is-red } F p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-indI2*:
assumes $p \neq 0$ **and** $\text{is-red } F (\text{tail } p)$
shows $\text{is-red } F p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-indE*:
assumes $\text{is-red } F p$
shows $(\exists f \in F. f \neq 0 \wedge \text{lt } f \text{ addst } \text{lt } p) \vee \text{is-red } F (\text{tail } p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-0*:
assumes $(\text{red } F)^{**} 0 x$
shows $x = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *red-rtrancl-ord*:
assumes $(\text{red } F)^{**} p q$
shows $q \preceq_p p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *components-red-subset*:
assumes $\text{red } F p q$

shows component-of-term ‘ keys $q \subseteq$ component-of-term ‘ keys $p \cup$ component-of-term ‘ Keys F
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary components-red-rtranc1-subset:

assumes (red F)** $p q$
shows component-of-term ‘ keys $q \subseteq$ component-of-term ‘ keys $p \cup$ component-of-term ‘ Keys F
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.2 Reducibility and Addition & Multiplication

lemma red-single-monom-mult:

assumes red-single $p q f t$ **and** $c \neq 0$
shows red-single (monom-mult $c s p$) (monom-mult $c s q$) $f (s + t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma red-single-plus-1:

assumes red-single $p q f t$ **and** $t \oplus lt f \notin \text{keys } (p + r)$
shows red-single $(q + r) (p + r) f t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma red-single-plus-2:

assumes red-single $p q f t$ **and** $t \oplus lt f \notin \text{keys } (q + r)$
shows red-single $(p + r) (q + r) f t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma red-single-plus-3:

assumes red-single $p q f t$ **and** $t \oplus lt f \in \text{keys } (p + r)$ **and** $t \oplus lt f \in \text{keys } (q + r)$
shows $\exists s.$ red-single $(p + r) s f t \wedge$ red-single $(q + r) s f t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma red-single-plus:

assumes red-single $p q f t$
shows red-single $(p + r) (q + r) f t \vee$
red-single $(q + r) (p + r) f t \vee$
 $(\exists s.$ red-single $(p + r) s f t \wedge$ red-single $(q + r) s f t)$ (**is** ?A \vee ?B \vee ?C)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma red-single-diff:

assumes red-single $(p - q) r f t$
shows red-single $p (r + q) f t \vee$ red-single $q (p - r) f t \vee$
 $(\exists p' q'. \text{red-single } p p' f t \wedge \text{red-single } q q' f t \wedge r = p' - q')$ (**is** ?A \vee ?B
 \vee ?C)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma red-monom-mult:

assumes $a:$ red $F p q$ **and** $c \neq 0$

shows $\text{red } F \ (\text{monom-mult } c s p) \ (\text{monom-mult } c s q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{red-plus-keys-disjoint}$:

assumes $\text{red } F p q \ \text{and} \ \text{keys } p \cap \text{keys } r = \{\}$
shows $\text{red } F (p + r) (q + r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma red-plus :

assumes $\text{red } F p q$
obtains s **where** $(\text{red } F)^{**} (p + r) s \ \text{and} \ (\text{red } F)^{**} (q + r) s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary red-plus-cs :

assumes $\text{red } F p q$
shows $\text{relation.cs } (\text{red } F) (p + r) (q + r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma red-uminus :

assumes $\text{red } F p q$
shows $\text{red } F (-p) (-q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma red-diff :

assumes $\text{red } F (p - q) r$
obtains $p' q'$ **where** $(\text{red } F)^{**} p p' \ \text{and} \ (\text{red } F)^{**} q q' \ \text{and} \ r = p' - q'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{red-diff-rtrancl}'$:

assumes $(\text{red } F)^{**} (p - q) r$
obtains $p' q'$ **where** $(\text{red } F)^{**} p p' \ \text{and} \ (\text{red } F)^{**} q q' \ \text{and} \ r = p' - q'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma red-diff-rtrancl :

assumes $(\text{red } F)^{**} (p - q) 0$
obtains s **where** $(\text{red } F)^{**} p s \ \text{and} \ (\text{red } F)^{**} q s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $\text{red-diff-rtrancl-cs}$:

assumes $(\text{red } F)^{**} (p - q) 0$
shows $\text{relation.cs } (\text{red } F) p q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.3 Confluence of Reducibility

lemma $\text{confluent-distinct-aux}$:

assumes $r1: \text{red-single } p q1 f1 t1 \ \text{and} \ r2: \text{red-single } p q2 f2 t2$
and $t1 \oplus \text{lt } f1 \prec_t t2 \oplus \text{lt } f2 \ \text{and} \ f1 \in F \ \text{and} \ f2 \in F$
obtains s **where** $(\text{red } F)^{**} q1 s \ \text{and} \ (\text{red } F)^{**} q2 s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *confluent-distinct*:

assumes $r1: \text{red-single } p \ q1 \ f1 \ t1$ and $r2: \text{red-single } p \ q2 \ f2 \ t2$
and $\text{ne: } t1 \oplus lt \ f1 \neq t2 \oplus lt \ f2$ and $f1 \in F$ and $f2 \in F$
obtains s where $(\text{red } F)^{**} \ q1 \ s$ and $(\text{red } F)^{**} \ q2 \ s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *confluent-same*:

assumes $r1: \text{red-single } p \ q1 \ f \ t1$ and $r2: \text{red-single } p \ q2 \ f \ t2$ and $f \in F$
obtains s where $(\text{red } F)^{**} \ q1 \ s$ and $(\text{red } F)^{**} \ q2 \ s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.4 Reducibility and Module Membership

lemma *srtc-in-pmdl*:

assumes *relation.srtc* ($\text{red } F$) $p \ q$
shows $p - q \in \text{pmdl } F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-pmdl-srtc*:

assumes $p \in \text{pmdl } F$
shows *relation.srtc* ($\text{red } F$) $p \ 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *red-rtranclp-diff-in-pmdl*:

assumes $(\text{red } F)^{**} \ p \ q$
shows $p - q \in \text{pmdl } F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *red-diff-in-pmdl*:

assumes $\text{red } F \ p \ q$
shows $p - q \in \text{pmdl } F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *red-rtranclp-0-in-pmdl*:

assumes $(\text{red } F)^{**} \ p \ 0$
shows $p \in \text{pmdl } F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pmdl-closed-red*:

assumes $\text{pmdl } B \subseteq \text{pmdl } A$ and $p \in \text{pmdl } A$ and $\text{red } B \ p \ q$
shows $q \in \text{pmdl } A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.5 More Properties of *red*, *red-single* and *is-red*

lemma *red-rtrancl-mult*:

assumes $(\text{red } F)^{**} \ p \ q$
shows $(\text{red } F)^{**} (\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ p) (\text{monom-mult } c \ t \ q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *red-rtrancl-uminus*:

assumes $(\text{red } F)^{**} p q$
shows $(\text{red } F)^{**} (-p) (-q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *red-rtrancl-diff-induct* [*consumes 1, case-names base step*]:

assumes $a: (\text{red } F)^{**} (p - q) r$
and *cases*: $P p p !!y z. [|| (\text{red } F)^{**} (p - q) z; \text{red } F z y; P p (q + z)] \implies P p (q + y)$
shows $P p (q + r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *red-rtrancl-diff-0-induct* [*consumes 1, case-names base step*]:

assumes $a: (\text{red } F)^{**} (p - q) 0$
and *base*: $P p p$ **and** *ind*: $\bigwedge y z. [|| (\text{red } F)^{**} (p - q) y; \text{red } F y z; P p (y + q)] \implies P p (z + q)$
shows $P p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-union*: $\text{is-red } (A \cup B) p \longleftrightarrow (\text{is-red } A p \vee \text{is-red } B p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *red-single-0-lt*:

assumes *red-single f 0 h t*
shows *lt f = t \oplus lt h*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *red-single-lt-distinct-lt*:

assumes *rs: red-single f g h t and g \neq 0 and lt g \neq lt f*
shows *lt f = t \oplus lt h*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-reducibility-implies-lt-divisibility'*:

assumes $(\text{red } F)^{**} f 0$ **and** $f \neq 0$
shows $\exists h \in F. h \neq 0 \wedge (\text{lt } h \text{ adds}_t \text{ lt } f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-reducibility-implies-lt-divisibility*:

assumes $(\text{red } F)^{**} f 0$ **and** $f \neq 0$
obtains *h where h \in F and h \neq 0 and lt h adds_t lt f*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-addsI*:

assumes $f \in F$ **and** $f \neq 0$ **and** $v \in \text{keys } p$ **and** *lt f adds_t v*
shows *is-red F p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma is-red-addsE':
  assumes is-red F p
  shows  $\exists f \in F. \exists v \in \text{keys } p. f \neq 0 \wedge \text{lt } f \text{ adds}_t v$ 
  (proof)

lemma is-red-addsE:
  assumes is-red F p
  obtains f v where  $f \in F$  and  $v \in \text{keys } p$  and  $f \neq 0$  and  $\text{lt } f \text{ adds}_t v$ 
  (proof)

lemma is-red-adds-iff:
  shows (is-red F p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists f \in F. \exists v \in \text{keys } p. f \neq 0 \wedge \text{lt } f \text{ adds}_t v$ )
  (proof)

lemma is-red-subset:
  assumes red: is-red A p and sub:  $A \subseteq B$ 
  shows is-red B p
  (proof)

lemma not-is-red-empty:  $\neg \text{is-red } \{\}$  f
  (proof)

lemma red-single-mult-const:
  assumes red-single p q f t and  $c \neq 0$ 
  shows red-single p q (monom-mult c 0 f) t
  (proof)

lemma red-rtrancl-plus-higher:
  assumes (red F)** p q and  $\bigwedge u v. u \in \text{keys } p \implies v \in \text{keys } r \implies u \prec_t v$ 
  shows (red F)** (p + r) (q + r)
  (proof)

lemma red-mult-scalar-leading-monomial: (red {f})** (p ⊕ monomial (lc f) (lt f))
   $(- p \odot \text{tail } f)$ 
  (proof)

corollary red-mult-scalar-lt:
  assumes f ≠ 0
  shows (red {f})** (p ⊕ monomial c (lt f)) (monom-mult (- c / lc f) 0 (p ⊕ tail f))
  (proof)

lemma is-red-monomial-iff: is-red F (monomial c v)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (c ≠ 0  $\wedge$  ( $\exists f \in F. f \neq 0 \wedge \text{lt } f \text{ adds}_t v$ ))
  (proof)

lemma is-red-monomialI:
  assumes c ≠ 0 and f ∈ F and f ≠ 0 and lt f adds_t v
  shows is-red F (monomial c v)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-monomialD*:
 assumes *is-red F (monomial c v)*
 shows $c \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-monomialE*:
 assumes *is-red F (monomial c v)*
 obtains f **where** $f \in F$ **and** $f \neq 0$ **and** $lt f adds_t v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *replace-lt-adds-stable-is-red*:
 assumes $red: is-red F f$ **and** $q \neq 0$ **and** $lt q adds_t lt p$
 shows *is-red (insert q (F - {p})) f*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conversion-property*:
 assumes *is-red {p} f* **and** *red {r} p q*
 shows *is-red {q} f* \vee *is-red {r} f*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *replace-red-stable-is-red*:
 assumes $a1: is-red F f$ **and** $a2: red (F - \{p\}) p q$
 shows *is-red (insert q (F - {p})) f* (**is** *is-red ?F' f*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-map-scale*:
 assumes *is-red F (c · p)*
 shows *is-red F p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *is-irred-map-scale*: $\neg is-red F p \implies \neg is-red F (c \cdot p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-map-scale-iff*: *is-red F (c · p) \longleftrightarrow (c ≠ 0 \wedge is-red F p)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-uminus*: *is-red F (- p) \longleftrightarrow is-red F p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-plus*:
 assumes *is-red F (p + q)*
 shows *is-red F p* \vee *is-red F q*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-irred-plus*: $\neg is-red F p \implies \neg is-red F q \implies \neg is-red F (p + q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma is-red-minus:
  assumes is-red F (p - q)
  shows is-red F p ∨ is-red F q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-irred-minus:  $\neg \text{is-red } F p \implies \neg \text{is-red } F q \implies \neg \text{is-red } F (p - q)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4.6 Well-foundedness and Termination

```

context gd-term
begin

```

```

lemma dgrad-set-le-red-single:
  assumes dickson-grading d and red-single p q f t
  shows dgrad-set-le d {t} (pp-of-term ‘keys p)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dgrad-p-set-le-red-single:
  assumes dickson-grading d and red-single p q f t
  shows dgrad-p-set-le d {q} {f, p}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dgrad-p-set-le-red:
  assumes dickson-grading d and red F p q
  shows dgrad-p-set-le d {q} (insert p F)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary dgrad-p-set-le-red-rtranci:
  assumes dickson-grading d and (red F)*** p q
  shows dgrad-p-set-le d {q} (insert p F)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dgrad-p-set-red-single-pp:
  assumes dickson-grading d and p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m and red-single p q f t
  shows d t ≤ m
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dgrad-p-set-closed-red-single:
  assumes dickson-grading d and p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m and f ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
  and red-single p q f t
  shows q ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dgrad-p-set-closed-red:
  assumes dickson-grading d and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m and p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
  and red F p q

```

shows $q \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dgrad-p-set-closed-red-rtranc1*:

assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** $F \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$ **and** $p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
and $(\text{red } F)^{**} p q$
shows $q \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *red-rtranc1-repE*:

assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** $G \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$ **and** *finite G* **and** $p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
and $(\text{red } G)^{**} p r$
obtains q **where** $p = r + (\sum_{g \in G} q g \odot g)$ **and** $\bigwedge g. q g \in \text{punit.dgrad-p-set } d m$
and $\bigwedge g. \text{lt}(q g \odot g) \preceq_t \text{lt } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-relation-order-red*:

assumes *dickson-grading d*
shows *Confluence.relation-order (red F) (\prec_p) (dgrad-p-set d m)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *red-wf-dgrad-p-set-aux*:

assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** $F \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
shows *wfp-on (red F) $^{-1-1}$ (dgrad-p-set d m)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *red-wf-dgrad-p-set*:

assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** $F \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
shows *wfP (red F) $^{-1-1}$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *red-wf-finite = red-wf-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy dgrad-p-set-exhaust-exp]*

lemma *cbelow-on-monom-mult*:

assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** $F \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$ **and** $d t \leq m$ **and** $c \neq 0$
and *cbelow-on (dgrad-p-set d m) (\prec_p) z ($\lambda a b. \text{red } F a b \vee \text{red } F b a$) p q*
shows *cbelow-on (dgrad-p-set d m) (\prec_p) (monom-mult c t z) ($\lambda a b. \text{red } F a b \vee \text{red } F b a$)*
(monom-mult c t p) (monom-mult c t q)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cbelow-on-monom-mult-monomial*:

assumes $c \neq 0$
and *cbelow-on (dgrad-p-set d m) (\prec_p) (monomial c' v) ($\lambda a b. \text{red } F a b \vee \text{red } F b a$) p q*
shows *cbelow-on (dgrad-p-set d m) (\prec_p) (monomial c (t \oplus v)) ($\lambda a b. \text{red } F a b \vee \text{red } F b a$) p q*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cbelow-on-plus*:

assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** $F \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$ **and** $r \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
and $\text{keys } r \cap \text{keys } z = \{\}$
and *cbelow-on* (*dgrad-p-set d m*) (\prec_p) $z (\lambda a b. \text{red } F a b \vee \text{red } F b a) p q$
shows *cbelow-on* (*dgrad-p-set d m*) (\prec_p) $(z + r) (\lambda a b. \text{red } F a b \vee \text{red } F b a)$
 $(p + r) (q + r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-full-pmdlII-lt-dgrad-p-set*:

assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** $B \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
assumes $\bigwedge k. k \in \text{component-of-term} \text{ Keys } (B::('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{field}) \text{ set}) \implies$
 $(\exists b \in B. b \neq 0 \wedge \text{component-of-term} (\text{lt } b) = k \wedge \text{lp } b = 0)$
shows *is-full-pmdl B*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *is-full-pmdlII-lt-finite* = *is-full-pmdlII-lt-dgrad-p-set*[*OF dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl*]

end

4.7 Algorithms

4.7.1 Function *find-adds*

context *ordered-term*
begin

primrec *find-adds* :: $('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \text{ list} \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{zero}) \text{ option where}$
find-adds [] - = *None*|
find-adds (f # fs) u = (if f ≠ 0 ∧ *lt f addst u* then *Some f* else *find-adds fs u*)

lemma *find-adds-SomeD1*:
assumes *find-adds fs u* = *Some f*
shows $f \in \text{set } fs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *find-adds-SomeD2*:
assumes *find-adds fs u* = *Some f*
shows $f \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *find-adds-SomeD3*:
assumes *find-adds fs u* = *Some f*
shows *lt f addst u*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *find-adds-NoneE*:
assumes *find-adds fs u* = *None* **and** $f \in \text{set } fs$

```

assumes  $f = 0 \Rightarrow \text{thesis}$  and  $f \neq 0 \Rightarrow \neg \text{lt } f \text{ adds}_t u \Rightarrow \text{thesis}$ 
shows  $\text{thesis}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma find-adds-SomeD-red-single:
assumes  $p \neq 0$  and find-adds  $fs(\text{lt } p) = \text{Some } f$ 
shows  $\text{red-single } p (\text{tail } p - \text{monom-mult}(\text{lc } p / \text{lc } f) (\text{lp } p - \text{lp } f) (\text{tail } f)) f (\text{lp } p - \text{lp } f)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma find-adds-SomeD-red:
assumes  $p \neq 0$  and find-adds  $fs(\text{lt } p) = \text{Some } f$ 
shows  $\text{red}(\text{set } fs) p (\text{tail } p - \text{monom-mult}(\text{lc } p / \text{lc } f) (\text{lp } p - \text{lp } f) (\text{tail } f))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

4.7.2 Function *trd*

```

context gd-term
begin

```

```

definition trd-term ::  $('a \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow (((('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{field}) \text{ list} \times ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \times ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b)) \times$ 
 $((('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \text{ list} \times ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \times ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b))) \text{ set}$ 
where trd-term  $d = \{(x, y). \text{dgrad-}p\text{-set-le } d (\text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{snd } x) \# \text{fst } x)) (\text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{snd } y) \# \text{fst } y)) \wedge \text{fst}(\text{snd } x) \prec_p \text{fst}(\text{snd } y)\}$ 

```

```

lemma trd-term-wf:
assumes dickson-grading  $d$ 
shows wf (trd-term  $d$ )
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

function trd-aux ::  $('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{field})$ 
where
trd-aux  $fs p r =$ 
 $(\text{if } p = 0 \text{ then}$ 
 $\quad r$ 
 $\text{else}$ 
 $\quad \text{case } \text{find-adds } fs (\text{lt } p) \text{ of}$ 
 $\quad \quad \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{trd-aux } fs (\text{tail } p) (r + \text{monomial}(\text{lc } p) (\text{lt } p))$ 
 $\quad \quad \mid \text{Some } f \Rightarrow \text{trd-aux } fs (\text{tail } p - \text{monom-mult}(\text{lc } p / \text{lc } f) (\text{lp } p - \text{lp } f) (\text{tail } f)) r$ 
 $\quad \quad )$ 
 $\quad \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
termination  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

definition trd ::  $('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{field}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b)$ 
where trd  $fs p = \text{trd-aux } fs p 0$ 

```

lemma *trd-aux-red-rtranci*: $(\text{red } (\text{set } fs))^{\ast\ast} p \ (\text{trd-aux } fs \ p \ r = r)$
(proof)

corollary *trd-red-rtranci*: $(\text{red } (\text{set } fs))^{\ast\ast} p \ (\text{trd } fs \ p)$
(proof)

lemma *trd-aux-irred*:
assumes $\neg \text{is-red } (\text{set } fs) \ r$
shows $\neg \text{is-red } (\text{set } fs) \ (\text{trd-aux } fs \ p \ r)$
(proof)

corollary *trd-irred*: $\neg \text{is-red } (\text{set } fs) \ (\text{trd } fs \ p)$
(proof)

lemma *trd-in-pmdl*: $p - (\text{trd } fs \ p) \in \text{pmdl } (\text{set } fs)$
(proof)

lemma *pmdl-closed-trd*:
assumes $p \in \text{pmdl } B$ **and** $\text{set } fs \subseteq \text{pmdl } B$
shows $(\text{trd } fs \ p) \in \text{pmdl } B$
(proof)

end

end

5 Gröbner Bases and Buchberger's Theorem

theory *Groebner-Bases*
imports *Reduction*
begin

This theory provides the main results about Gröbner bases for modules of multivariate polynomials.

context *gd-term*
begin

definition *crit-pair* :: $('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::field) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow (('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \times ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b))$
where *crit-pair* $p \ q =$
 (if *component-of-term* (*lt* p) = *component-of-term* (*lt* q) then
 (*monom-mult* $(1 / lc \ p) ((lcs (lp \ p) (lp \ q)) - (lp \ p)) (tail \ p),$
 monom-mult $(1 / lc \ q) ((lcs (lp \ p) (lp \ q)) - (lp \ q)) (tail \ q))$
 else $(0, 0)$)

definition *crit-pair-cbelow-on* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::field) \ \text{set} \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *crit-pair-cbelow-on* $d \ m \ F \ p \ q \longleftrightarrow$
 cbelow-on (*dgrad-p-set* $d \ m$) (\prec_p)

```

(monomial 1 (term-of-pair (lcs (lp p) (lp q), component-of-term
(lt p)))))
(λa b. red F a b ∨ red F b a) (fst (crit-pair p q)) (snd (crit-pair
p q))

```

```

definition spoly :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b) ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b) ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b::field)
where spoly p q = (let v1 = lt p; v2 = lt q in
  if component-of-term v1 = component-of-term v2 then
    let t1 = pp-of-term v1; t2 = pp-of-term v2; l = lcs t1 t2 in
      (monom-mult (1 / lookup p v1) (l - t1) p) - (monom-mult (1
      / lookup q v2) (l - t2) q)
    else 0)

```

```

definition (in ordered-term) is-Groebner-basis :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b::field) set ⇒ bool
where is-Groebner-basis F ≡ relation.is-ChurchRosser (red F)

```

5.1 Critical Pairs and S-Polynomials

lemma crit-pair-same: fst (crit-pair p p) = snd (crit-pair p p)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma crit-pair-swap: crit-pair p q = (snd (crit-pair q p), fst (crit-pair q p))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma crit-pair-zero [simp]: fst (crit-pair 0 q) = 0 **and** snd (crit-pair p 0) = 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dgrad-p-set-le-crit-pair-zero: dgrad-p-set-le d {fst (crit-pair p 0)} {p}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dgrad-p-set-le-fst-crit-pair:
assumes dickson-grading d
shows dgrad-p-set-le d {fst (crit-pair p q)} {p, q}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dgrad-p-set-le-snd-crit-pair:
assumes dickson-grading d
shows dgrad-p-set-le d {snd (crit-pair p q)} {p, q}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dgrad-p-set-closed-fst-crit-pair:
assumes dickson-grading d **and** p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m **and** q ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
shows fst (crit-pair p q) ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dgrad-p-set-closed-snd-crit-pair:
assumes dickson-grading d **and** p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m **and** q ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
shows snd (crit-pair p q) ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fst-crit-pair-below-lcs*:

fst (*crit-pair p q*) \prec_p *monomial 1* (*term-of-pair* (*lcs* (*lp p*) (*lp q*)), *component-of-term* (*lt p*)))
{proof}

lemma *snd-crit-pair-below-lcs*:

snd (*crit-pair p q*) \prec_p *monomial 1* (*term-of-pair* (*lcs* (*lp p*) (*lp q*)), *component-of-term* (*lt p*)))
{proof}

lemma *crit-pair-cbelow-same*:

assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** *p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m*
shows *crit-pair-cbelow-on d m F p p*
{proof}

lemma *crit-pair-cbelow-distinct-component*:

assumes *component-of-term (lt p) ≠ component-of-term (lt q)*
shows *crit-pair-cbelow-on d m F p q*
{proof}

lemma *crit-pair-cbelow-sym*:

assumes *crit-pair-cbelow-on d m F p q*
shows *crit-pair-cbelow-on d m F q p*
{proof}

lemma *crit-pair-cs-imp-crit-pair-cbelow-on*:

assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** *F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m* **and** *p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m*
and *q ∈ dgrad-p-set d m*
and *relation.cs (red F) (fst (crit-pair p q)) (snd (crit-pair p q))*
shows *crit-pair-cbelow-on d m F p q*
{proof}

lemma *crit-pair-cbelow-mono*:

assumes *crit-pair-cbelow-on d m F p q* **and** *F ⊆ G*
shows *crit-pair-cbelow-on d m G p q*
{proof}

lemma *lcs-red-single-fst-crit-pair*:

assumes *p ≠ 0* **and** *component-of-term (lt p) = component-of-term (lt q)*
defines *t1 ≡ lp p*
defines *t2 ≡ lp q*
shows *red-single (monomial (- 1) (term-of-pair (lcs t1 t2, component-of-term (lt p))))*
 (*fst (crit-pair p q)*) *p* (*lcs t1 t2 - t1*)
{proof}

corollary *lcs-red-single-snd-crit-pair*:

assumes *q ≠ 0* **and** *component-of-term (lt p) = component-of-term (lt q)*

```

defines t1 ≡ lp p
defines t2 ≡ lp q
shows red-single (monomial (- 1) (term-of-pair (lcs t1 t2, component-of-term
(lt p))))
          (snd (crit-pair p q)) q (lcs t1 t2 - t2)
⟨proof⟩

lemma GB-imp-crit-pair-cbelow-dgrad-p-set:
assumes dickson-grading d and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m and is-Groebner-basis F
assumes p ∈ F and q ∈ F and p ≠ 0 and q ≠ 0
shows crit-pair-cbelow-on d m F p q
⟨proof⟩

lemma spoly-alt:
assumes p ≠ 0 and q ≠ 0
shows spoly p q = fst (crit-pair p q) - snd (crit-pair p q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma spoly-same: spoly p p = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma spoly-swap: spoly p q = - spoly q p
⟨proof⟩

lemma spoly-red-zero-imp-crit-pair-cbelow-on:
assumes dickson-grading d and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m and p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
and q ∈ dgrad-p-set d m and p ≠ 0 and q ≠ 0 and (red F)** (spoly p q) 0
shows crit-pair-cbelow-on d m F p q
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-p-set-le-spoly-zero: dgrad-p-set-le d {spoly p 0} {p}
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-p-set-le-spoly:
assumes dickson-grading d
shows dgrad-p-set-le d {spoly p q} {p, q}
⟨proof⟩

lemma dgrad-p-set-closed-spoly:
assumes dickson-grading d and p ∈ dgrad-p-set d m and q ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
shows spoly p q ∈ dgrad-p-set d m
⟨proof⟩

lemma components-spoly-subset: component-of-term ` keys (spoly p q) ⊆ compo-
nent-of-term ` Keys {p, q}
⟨proof⟩

lemma pmdl-closed-spoly:
assumes p ∈ pmdl F and q ∈ pmdl F

```

shows $\text{spoly } p \ q \in \text{pmdl } F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.2 Buchberger's Theorem

Before proving the main theorem of Gröbner bases theory for S-polynomials, as is usually done in textbooks, we first prove it for critical pairs: a set F yields a confluent reduction relation if the critical pairs of all $p \in F$ and $q \in F$ can be connected below the least common sum of the leading power-products of p and q . The reason why we proceed in this way is that it becomes much easier to prove the correctness of Buchberger's second criterion for avoiding useless pairs.

lemma *crit-pair-cbelow-imp-confluent-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes $\text{dg: dickson-grading } d \text{ and } F \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
assumes $\text{main: } \bigwedge p \ q. \ p \in F \implies q \in F \implies p \neq 0 \implies q \neq 0 \implies \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m F p q$
shows $\text{relation.is-confluent-on } (\text{red } F) (\text{dgrad-p-set } d m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *crit-pair-cbelow-imp-GB-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes $\text{dickson-grading } d \text{ and } F \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
assumes $\bigwedge p \ q. \ p \in F \implies q \in F \implies p \neq 0 \implies q \neq 0 \implies \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m F p q$
shows $\text{is-Groebner-basis } F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *Buchberger-criterion-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes $\text{dickson-grading } d \text{ and } F \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
assumes $\bigwedge p \ q. \ p \in F \implies q \in F \implies p \neq 0 \implies q \neq 0 \implies p \neq q \implies \text{component-of-term } (\text{lt } p) = \text{component-of-term } (\text{lt } q) \implies (\text{red } F)^{**} (\text{spoly } p \ q) 0$
shows $\text{is-Groebner-basis } F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Buchberger-criterion-finite* = *Buchberger-criterion-dgrad-p-set*[*OF dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl*]

lemma (in ordered-term) *GB-imp-zero-reducibility*:
assumes $\text{is-Groebner-basis } G \text{ and } f \in \text{pmdl } G$
shows $(\text{red } G)^{**} f 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in ordered-term) *GB-imp-reducibility*:
assumes $\text{is-Groebner-basis } G \text{ and } f \neq 0 \text{ and } f \in \text{pmdl } G$
shows $\text{is-red } G f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-Groebner-basis-empty*: $\text{is-Groebner-basis } \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-Groebner-basis-singleton*: *is-Groebner-basis* {f}
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.3 Buchberger's Criteria for Avoiding Useless Pairs

Unfortunately, the product criterion is only applicable to scalar polynomials.

lemma (*in gd-powerprod*) *product-criterion*:
 assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** $F \subseteq \text{punit.dgrad-p-set } d m$ **and** $p \in F$ **and** $q \in F$
 and $p \neq 0$ **and** $q \neq 0$ **and** *gcs* (*punit.lt p*) (*punit.lt q*) = 0
 shows *punit.crit-pair-cbelow-on* $d m F p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *chain-criterion*:
 assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** $F \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$ **and** $p \in F$ **and** $q \in F$
 and $p \neq 0$ **and** $q \neq 0$ **and** *lp r adds lcs* (*lp p*) (*lp q*)
 and *component-of-term* (*lt r*) = *component-of-term* (*lt p*)
 and *pr: crit-pair-cbelow-on* $d m F p r$ **and** *rq: crit-pair-cbelow-on* $d m F r q$
 shows *crit-pair-cbelow-on* $d m F p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.4 Weak and Strong Gröbner Bases

lemma *ord-p-wf-on*:
 assumes *dickson-grading d*
 shows *wfp-on* (\prec_p) (*dgrad-p-set d m*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-implies-0-red-dgrad-p-set*:
 assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** $B \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
 assumes *pmdl B* \subseteq *pmdl A* **and** $\bigwedge q. q \in \text{pmdl } A \implies q \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m \implies$
 $q \neq 0 \implies \text{is-red } B q$
 and $p \in \text{pmdl } A$ **and** $p \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
 shows (*red B*)** $p 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-red-implies-0-red-dgrad-p-set'*:
 assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** $B \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
 assumes *pmdl B* \subseteq *pmdl A* **and** $\bigwedge q. q \in \text{pmdl } A \implies q \neq 0 \implies \text{is-red } B q$
 and $p \in \text{pmdl } A$
 shows (*red B*)** $p 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pmdl-eqI-adds-lt-dgrad-p-set*:
 fixes $G::('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{field})$ *set*

```

assumes dickson-grading d and G ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m and B ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m
and pmdl G ⊆ pmdl B
assumes  $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{pmdl } B \implies f \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m \implies f \neq 0 \implies (\exists g \in G. g \neq 0 \wedge \text{lt } g \text{ adds}_t \text{lt } f)$ 
shows pmdl G = pmdl B
⟨proof⟩

lemma pmdl-eqI-adds-lt-dgrad-p-set':
fixes G::('t ⇒₀ 'b::field) set
assumes dickson-grading d and G ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m and pmdl G ⊆ pmdl B
assumes  $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{pmdl } B \implies f \neq 0 \implies (\exists g \in G. g \neq 0 \wedge \text{lt } g \text{ adds}_t \text{lt } f)$ 
shows pmdl G = pmdl B
⟨proof⟩

lemma GB-implies-unique-nf-dgrad-p-set:
assumes dickson-grading d and G ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m
assumes isGB: is-Groebner-basis G
shows  $\exists! h. (\text{red } G)^{**} f h \wedge \neg \text{is-red } G h$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma translation-property':
assumes p ≠ 0 and red-p-0: (red F)** p 0
shows is-red F (p + q) ∨ is-red F q
⟨proof⟩

lemma translation-property:
assumes p ≠ q and red-0: (red F)** (p - q) 0
shows is-red F p ∨ is-red F q
⟨proof⟩

lemma weak-GB-is-strong-GB-dgrad-p-set:
assumes dickson-grading d and G ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m
assumes  $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{pmdl } G \implies f \in \text{dgrad-p-set } d m \implies (\text{red } G)^{**} f 0$ 
shows is-Groebner-basis G
⟨proof⟩

lemma weak-GB-is-strong-GB:
assumes  $\bigwedge f. f \in (\text{pmdl } G) \implies (\text{red } G)^{**} f 0$ 
shows is-Groebner-basis G
⟨proof⟩

corollary GB-alt-1-dgrad-p-set:
assumes dickson-grading d and G ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m
shows is-Groebner-basis G ↔ (forall f ∈ pmdl G. f ∈ dgrad-p-set d m → (red G)** f 0)
⟨proof⟩

corollary GB-alt-1: is-Groebner-basis G ↔ (forall f ∈ pmdl G. (red G)** f 0)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *isGB-I-is-red*:

assumes *dickson-grading d and G ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
 assumes $\bigwedge f. f \in pmdl G \implies f \in dgrad-p-set d m \implies f \neq 0 \implies \text{is-red } G f$
 shows *is-Groebner-basis G*
 {proof}

lemma *GB-alt-2-dgrad-p-set*:

assumes *dickson-grading d and G ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
 shows *is-Groebner-basis G ↔ (forall f ∈ pmdl G. f ≠ 0 → is-red G f)*
 {proof}

lemma *GB-adds-lt*:

assumes *is-Groebner-basis G and f ∈ pmdl G and f ≠ 0*
 obtains *g where g ∈ G and g ≠ 0 and lt g addst lt f*
 {proof}

lemma *isGB-I-adds-lt*:

assumes *dickson-grading d and G ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
 assumes $\bigwedge f. f \in pmdl G \implies f \in dgrad-p-set d m \implies f \neq 0 \implies (\exists g \in G. g \neq 0 \wedge lt g addst lt f)$
 shows *is-Groebner-basis G*
 {proof}

lemma *GB-alt-3-dgrad-p-set*:

assumes *dickson-grading d and G ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
 shows *is-Groebner-basis G ↔ (forall f ∈ pmdl G. f ≠ 0 → (exists g ∈ G. g ≠ 0 ∧ lt g addst lt f))*
 (is ?L ↔ ?R)
 {proof}

lemma *GB-insert*:

assumes *is-Groebner-basis G and f ∈ pmdl G*
 shows *is-Groebner-basis (insert f G)*
 {proof}

lemma *GB-subset*:

assumes *is-Groebner-basis G and G ⊆ G' and pmdl G' = pmdl G*
 shows *is-Groebner-basis G'*
 {proof}

lemma (in ordered-term) GB-remove-0-stable-GB:

assumes *is-Groebner-basis G*
 shows *is-Groebner-basis (G - {0})*
 {proof}

lemmas *is-red-implies-0-red-finite = is-red-implies-0-red-dgrad-p-set'[OF dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]*

lemmas *GB-implies-unique-nf-finite = GB-implies-unique-nf-dgrad-p-set[OF dick-*

```

son-grading-dgrad-dummy dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]
 $\text{lemmas } GB\text{-alt-2-finite} = GB\text{-alt-2-dgrad-p-set}[OF \text{dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy}$ 
 $dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]$ 
 $\text{lemmas } GB\text{-alt-3-finite} = GB\text{-alt-3-dgrad-p-set}[OF \text{dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy}$ 
 $dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]$ 
 $\text{lemmas } pmdl\text{-eqI-adds-lt-finite} = pmdl\text{-eqI-adds-lt-dgrad-p-set}'[OF \text{dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy}$ 
 $dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]$ 

```

5.5 Alternative Characterization of Gröbner Bases via Representations of S-Polynomials

definition $spoly\text{-rep} :: ('a \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{field}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where $spoly\text{-rep} d m G g1 g2 \longleftrightarrow (\exists q. spoly g1 g2 = (\sum g \in G. q g \odot g) \wedge$

 $(\forall g. q g \in \text{punit}.dgrad-p-set d m \wedge$
 $(q g \odot g \neq 0 \longrightarrow lt (q g \odot g) \prec_t \text{term-of-pair} (\text{lcs} (lp g1) (lp$
 $g2),$
 $\text{component-of-term} (lt g2))))$

lemma $spoly\text{-repI}:$

$spoly g1 g2 = (\sum g \in G. q g \odot g) \implies (\bigwedge g. q g \in \text{punit}.dgrad-p-set d m) \implies$

 $(\bigwedge g. q g \odot g \neq 0 \implies lt (q g \odot g) \prec_t \text{term-of-pair} (\text{lcs} (lp g1) (lp g2),$
 $\text{component-of-term} (lt g2))) \implies$

$spoly\text{-rep} d m G g1 g2$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $spoly\text{-repI-zero}:$

assumes $spoly g1 g2 = 0$

shows $spoly\text{-rep} d m G g1 g2$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $spoly\text{-repE}:$

assumes $spoly\text{-rep} d m G g1 g2$

obtains q **where** $spoly g1 g2 = (\sum g \in G. q g \odot g)$ **and** $\bigwedge g. q g \in \text{punit}.dgrad-p-set d m$

and $\bigwedge g. q g \odot g \neq 0 \implies lt (q g \odot g) \prec_t \text{term-of-pair} (\text{lcs} (lp g1) (lp g2),$
 $\text{component-of-term} (lt g2))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $isGB\text{-D}-spoly\text{-rep}:$

assumes $dickson\text{-grading} d$ **and** $is\text{-Groebner-basis} G$ **and** $G \subseteq dgrad-p\text{-set} d m$

and $\text{finite } G$

and $g1 \in G$ **and** $g2 \in G$ **and** $g1 \neq 0$ **and** $g2 \neq 0$

shows $spoly\text{-rep} d m G g1 g2$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The finiteness assumption on G in the following theorem could be dropped, but it makes the proof a lot easier (although it is still fairly complicated).

lemma $isGB\text{-I}-spoly\text{-rep}:$

assumes dickson-grading d **and** $G \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$ **and** finite G
and $\bigwedge g_1 g_2. g_1 \in G \implies g_2 \in G \implies g_1 \neq 0 \implies g_2 \neq 0 \implies spoly\ g_1\ g_2 \neq 0 \implies spoly\text{-}rep\ d\ m\ G\ g_1\ g_2$
shows is-Groebner-basis G
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.6 Replacing Elements in Gröbner Bases

lemma replace-in-dgrad-p-set:

assumes $G \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$
obtains n **where** $q \in dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d n$ **and** $G \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d n$
and insert q $(G - \{p\}) \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma GB-replace-lt-adds-stable-GB-dgrad-p-set:

assumes dickson-grading d **and** $G \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$
assumes isGB: is-Groebner-basis G **and** $q \neq 0$ **and** $q: q \in (pmdl\ G)$ **and** lt q adds_t lt p
shows is-Groebner-basis $(insert\ q\ (G - \{p\}))$ (**is** is-Groebner-basis ? G')
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma GB-replace-lt-adds-stable-pmdl-dgrad-p-set:

assumes dickson-grading d **and** $G \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$
assumes isGB: is-Groebner-basis G **and** $q \neq 0$ **and** $q \in pmdl\ G$ **and** lt q adds_t lt p
shows pmdl $(insert\ q\ (G - \{p\})) = pmdl\ G$ (**is** pmdl ? $G' = pmdl\ G$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma GB-replace-red-stable-GB-dgrad-p-set:

assumes dickson-grading d **and** $G \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$
assumes isGB: is-Groebner-basis G **and** $p \in G$ **and** $q: red\ (G - \{p\})\ p\ q$
shows is-Groebner-basis $(insert\ q\ (G - \{p\}))$ (**is** is-Groebner-basis ? G')
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma GB-replace-red-stable-pmdl-dgrad-p-set:

assumes dickson-grading d **and** $G \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$
assumes isGB: is-Groebner-basis G **and** $p \in G$ **and** ptoq: red $(G - \{p\})\ p\ q$
shows pmdl $(insert\ q\ (G - \{p\})) = pmdl\ G$ (**is** pmdl ? $G' = -$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma GB-replace-red-rtranclp-stable-GB-dgrad-p-set:

assumes dickson-grading d **and** $G \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$
assumes isGB: is-Groebner-basis G **and** $p \in G$ **and** ptoq: $(red\ (G - \{p\}))^{**}\ p\ q$
shows is-Groebner-basis $(insert\ q\ (G - \{p\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma GB-replace-red-rtranclp-stable-pmdl-dgrad-p-set:

assumes dickson-grading d **and** $G \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$

```

assumes isGB: is-Groebner-basis  $G$  and  $p \in G$  and pptoq:  $(\text{red } (G - \{p\}))^{**} p$ 
 $q$ 
shows pmdl (insert  $q$  ( $G - \{p\}$ )) = pmdl  $G$ 
{proof}

lemmas GB-replace-lt-adds-stable-GB-finite =
GB-replace-lt-adds-stable-GB-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]
lemmas GB-replace-lt-adds-stable-pmdl-finite =
GB-replace-lt-adds-stable-pmdl-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]
lemmas GB-replace-red-stable-GB-finite =
GB-replace-red-stable-GB-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]
lemmas GB-replace-red-stable-pmdl-finite =
GB-replace-red-stable-pmdl-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]
lemmas GB-replace-red-rtranclp-stable-GB-finite =
GB-replace-red-rtranclp-stable-GB-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]
lemmas GB-replace-red-rtranclp-stable-pmdl-finite =
GB-replace-red-rtranclp-stable-pmdl-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]

```

5.7 An Inconstructive Proof of the Existence of Finite Gröbner Bases

```

lemma ex-finite-GB-dgrad-p-set:
assumes dickson-grading  $d$  and finite (component-of-term ‘Keys  $F$ ’) and  $F \subseteq$ 
dgrad-p-set  $d m$ 
obtains  $G$  where  $G \subseteq dgrad-p-set d m$  and finite  $G$  and is-Groebner-basis  $G$ 
and pmdl  $G$  = pmdl  $F$ 
{proof}

```

The preceding lemma justifies the following definition.

```

definition some-GB ::  $('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{field}) \text{ set}$ 
where some-GB  $F$  = (SOME  $G$ . finite  $G \wedge \text{is-Groebner-basis } G \wedge \text{pmdl } G =$ 
pmdl  $F$ )

```

```

lemma some-GB-props-dgrad-p-set:
assumes dickson-grading  $d$  and finite (component-of-term ‘Keys  $F$ ’) and  $F \subseteq$ 
dgrad-p-set  $d m$ 
shows finite (some-GB  $F$ )  $\wedge$  is-Groebner-basis (some-GB  $F$ )  $\wedge$  pmdl (some-GB
 $F$ ) = pmdl  $F$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma finite-some-GB-dgrad-p-set:
assumes dickson-grading  $d$  and finite (component-of-term ‘Keys  $F$ ’) and  $F \subseteq$ 
dgrad-p-set  $d m$ 
shows finite (some-GB  $F$ )
{proof}

```

```

lemma some-GB-isGB-dgrad-p-set:

```

```

assumes dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆
dgrad-p-set d m
shows is-Groebner-basis (some-GB F)
⟨proof⟩

lemma some-GB-pmdl-dgrad-p-set:
assumes dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆
dgrad-p-set d m
shows pmdl (some-GB F) = pmdl F
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-imp-finite-component-Keys:
assumes finite F
shows finite (component-of-term ` Keys F)
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-some-GB-finite: finite F  $\implies$  finite (some-GB F)
⟨proof⟩

lemma some-GB-isGB-finite: finite F  $\implies$  is-Groebner-basis (some-GB F)
⟨proof⟩

lemma some-GB-pmdl-finite: finite F  $\implies$  pmdl (some-GB F) = pmdl F
⟨proof⟩

```

Theory *Buchberger* implements an algorithm for effectively computing Gröbner bases.

5.8 Relation *red-supset*

The following relation is needed for proving the termination of Buchberger's algorithm (i. e. function *gb-schema-aux*).

```

definition red-supset::('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::field) set  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\triangleleft$  p 50)
where red-supset A B  $\equiv$  ( $\exists$  p. is-red A p  $\wedge$   $\neg$  is-red B p)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall$  p. is-red B p  $\longrightarrow$ 
is-red A p)

lemma red-supsetE:
assumes A  $\triangleleft$  p B
obtains p where is-red A p and  $\neg$  is-red B p
⟨proof⟩

lemma red-supsetD:
assumes a1: A  $\triangleleft$  p B and a2: is-red B p
shows is-red A p
⟨proof⟩

lemma red-supsetI [intro]:

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge q. \text{is-red } B q \implies \text{is-red } A q \text{ and } \text{is-red } A p \text{ and } \neg \text{is-red } B p$ 
shows  $A \sqsupseteq p B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma red-supset-insertI:
assumes  $x \neq 0$  and  $\neg \text{is-red } A x$ 
shows  $(\text{insert } x A) \sqsupseteq p A$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma red-supset-transitive:
assumes  $A \sqsupseteq p B$  and  $B \sqsupseteq p C$ 
shows  $A \sqsupseteq p C$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma red-supset-wf-on:
assumes dickson-grading  $d$  and finite  $K$ 
shows wfp-on ( $\sqsupseteq p$ ) (Pow (dgrad-p-set  $d m$ )  $\cap \{F. \text{ component-of-term } ` \text{Keys } F \subseteq K\}$ )
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma in-lex-prod-alt:
 $(x, y) \in r <*\text{lex}*> s \longleftrightarrow (((\text{fst } x), (\text{fst } y)) \in r \vee (\text{fst } x = \text{fst } y \wedge ((\text{snd } x), (\text{snd } y)) \in s))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

5.9 Context *od-term*

```

context od-term
begin

lemmas red-wf = red-wf-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-zero subset-dgrad-p-set-zero]
lemmas Buchberger-criterion = Buchberger-criterion-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-zero subset-dgrad-p-set-zero]

end

end

```

6 A General Algorithm Schema for Computing Gröbner Bases

```

theory Algorithm-Schema
imports General Groebner-Bases
begin

```

This theory formalizes a general algorithm schema for computing Gröbner bases, generalizing Buchberger's original critical-pair/completion algorithm.

The algorithm schema depends on several functional parameters that can be instantiated by a variety of concrete functions. Possible instances yield Buchberger's algorithm, Faugère's F4 algorithm, and (as far as we can tell) even his F5 algorithm.

6.1 processed

```

definition minus-pairs (infixl  $\langle -_p \rangle$  65) where minus-pairs A B = A - (B  $\cup$  prod.swap ‘ B)
definition Int-pairs (infixl  $\langle \cap_p \rangle$  65) where Int-pairs A B = A  $\cap$  (B  $\cup$  prod.swap ‘ B)
definition in-pair (infix  $\langle \in_p \rangle$  50) where in-pair p A  $\longleftrightarrow$  (p  $\in$  A  $\cup$  prod.swap ‘ A)
definition subset-pairs (infix  $\langle \subseteq_p \rangle$  50) where subset-pairs A B  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x. x \in_p A \rightarrow x \in_p B$ )
abbreviation not-in-pair (infix  $\langle \notin_p \rangle$  50) where not-in-pair p A  $\equiv$   $\neg p \in_p A$ 

lemma in-pair-alt:  $p \in_p A \longleftrightarrow (p \in A \vee \text{prod.swap } p \in A)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-pair-iff:  $(a, b) \in_p A \longleftrightarrow ((a, b) \in A \vee (b, a) \in A)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-pair-minus-pairs [simp]:  $p \in_p A -_p B \longleftrightarrow (p \in_p A \wedge p \notin_p B)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-minus-pairs [simp]:  $p \in A -_p B \longleftrightarrow (p \in A \wedge p \notin B)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-pair-Int-pairs [simp]:  $p \in_p A \cap_p B \longleftrightarrow (p \in_p A \wedge p \in_p B)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-pair-Un [simp]:  $p \in_p A \cup B \longleftrightarrow (p \in_p A \vee p \in_p B)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-pair-trans [trans]:
    assumes  $p \in_p A$  and  $A \subseteq B$ 
    shows  $p \in_p B$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma in-pair-same [simp]:  $p \in_p A \times A \longleftrightarrow p \in A \times A$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma subset-pairsI [intro]:
    assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in_p A \implies x \in_p B$ 
    shows  $A \subseteq_p B$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma subset-pairsD [trans]:

```

```

assumes  $x \in_p A$  and  $A \subseteq_p B$ 
shows  $x \in_p B$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition processed ::  $('a \times 'a) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) list \Rightarrow bool$ 
where processed  $p$   $xs$   $ps \longleftrightarrow p \in set xs \times set xs \wedge p \notin_p set ps$ 

lemma processed-alt:
assumes processed  $(a, b)$   $xs$   $ps \longleftrightarrow ((a \in set xs) \wedge (b \in set xs) \wedge (a, b) \notin_p set ps)$ 
shows processed  $(a, b)$   $xs$   $ps$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma processedI:
assumes  $a \in set xs$  and  $b \in set xs$  and  $(a, b) \notin_p set ps$ 
shows processed  $(a, b)$   $xs$   $ps$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma processedD1:
assumes processed  $(a, b)$   $xs$   $ps$ 
shows  $a \in set xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma processedD2:
assumes processed  $(a, b)$   $xs$   $ps$ 
shows  $b \in set xs$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma processedD3:
assumes processed  $(a, b)$   $xs$   $ps$ 
shows  $(a, b) \notin_p set ps$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma processed-Nil: processed  $(a, b)$   $xs [] \longleftrightarrow (a \in set xs \wedge b \in set xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma processed-Cons:
assumes processed  $(a, b)$   $xs$   $ps$ 
and  $a1: a = p \implies b = q \implies thesis$ 
and  $a2: a = q \implies b = p \implies thesis$ 
and  $a3: processed (a, b) xs ((p, q) \# ps) \implies thesis$ 
shows thesis
⟨proof⟩

lemma processed-minus:
assumes processed  $(a, b)$   $xs$   $(ps -- qs)$ 
and  $a1: (a, b) \in_p set qs \implies thesis$ 
and  $a2: processed (a, b) xs ps \implies thesis$ 
shows thesis
⟨proof⟩

```

6.2 Algorithm Schema

6.2.1 *const-lt-component*

```

context ordered-term
begin

definition const-lt-component :: ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::zero)  $\Rightarrow$  'k option
  where const-lt-component p =
    (let v = lt p in if pp-of-term v = 0 then Some (component-of-term
v) else None)

lemma const-lt-component-SomeI:
  assumes lp p = 0 and component-of-term (lt p) = cmp
  shows const-lt-component p = Some cmp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma const-lt-component-SomeD1:
  assumes const-lt-component p = Some cmp
  shows lp p = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma const-lt-component-SomeD2:
  assumes const-lt-component p = Some cmp
  shows component-of-term (lt p) = cmp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma const-lt-component-subset:
  const-lt-component ` (B - {0}) - {None}  $\subseteq$  Some ` component-of-term ` Keys
B
⟨proof⟩

corollary card-const-lt-component-le:
  assumes finite B
  shows card (const-lt-component ` (B - {0}) - {None})  $\leq$  card (component-of-term
` Keys B)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

6.2.2 Type synonyms

```

type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata' = ('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\times$  'c
type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata = ('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\times$  nat  $\times$  'c
type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair = ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata  $\times$  ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata
type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) selT = ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list
 $\Rightarrow$ 
  ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\times$  'd  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'c)
pdata-pair list
type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) complT = ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata

```

```

list ⇒
      ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list
⇒
      nat × 'd ⇒ (('a, 'b, 'c) pdata' list × 'd)
type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) apT = ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list
⇒
      ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list ⇒
nat × 'd ⇒
      ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list
type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) abT = ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list
⇒
      ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list ⇒ nat × 'd ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list

```

6.2.3 Specification of the *selector* parameter

```

definition sel-spec :: ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) selT ⇒ bool
where sel-spec sel ←→
      (forall gs bs ps data. ps ≠ [] → (sel gs bs ps data ≠ [] ∧ set (sel gs bs ps data)
      ⊆ set ps))

```

```

lemma sel-specI:
assumes ∀gs bs ps data. ps ≠ [] ⇒ (sel gs bs ps data ≠ [] ∧ set (sel gs bs ps
data) ⊆ set ps)
shows sel-spec sel
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sel-specD1:
assumes sel-spec sel and ps ≠ []
shows sel gs bs ps data ≠ []
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sel-specD2:
assumes sel-spec sel and ps ≠ []
shows set (sel gs bs ps data) ⊆ set ps
⟨proof⟩

```

6.2.4 Specification of the *add-basis* parameter

```

definition ab-spec :: ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) abT ⇒ bool
where ab-spec ab ←→
      (forall gs bs ns data. ns ≠ [] → set (ab gs bs ns data) = set bs ∪ set ns) ∧
      (forall gs bs data. ab gs bs [] data = bs)

```

```

lemma ab-specI:
assumes ∀gs bs ns data. ns ≠ [] ⇒ set (ab gs bs ns data) = set bs ∪ set ns
and ∀gs bs data. ab gs bs [] data = bs
shows ab-spec ab
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ab-specD1:

```

```

assumes ab-spec ab
shows set (ab gs bs ns data) = set bs ∪ set ns
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ab-specD2:
assumes ab-spec ab
shows ab gs bs [] data = bs
⟨proof⟩

```

6.2.5 Specification of the *add-pairs* parameter

```

definition unique-idx :: ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list ⇒ (nat × 'd) ⇒ bool
where unique-idx bs data ←→
    (forall f ∈ set bs. forall g ∈ set bs. fst (snd f) = fst (snd g) → f = g) ∧
    (forall f ∈ set bs. fst (snd f) < fst data)

```

```

lemma unique-idxI:
assumes ∀f g. f ∈ set bs ⇒ g ∈ set bs ⇒ fst (snd f) = fst (snd g) ⇒ f = g
    and ∀f. f ∈ set bs ⇒ fst (snd f) < fst data
shows unique-idx bs data
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unique-idxD1:
assumes unique-idx bs data and f ∈ set bs and g ∈ set bs and fst (snd f) = fst
    (snd g)
shows f = g
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unique-idxD2:
assumes unique-idx bs data and f ∈ set bs
shows fst (snd f) < fst data
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unique-idx-Nil: unique-idx [] data
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unique-idx-subset:
assumes unique-idx bs data and set bs' ⊆ set bs
shows unique-idx bs' data
⟨proof⟩

```

```

context gd-term
begin

```

```

definition ap-spec :: ('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) apT ⇒ bool
where ap-spec ap ←→ (∀gs bs ps hs data.
    set (ap gs bs ps hs data) ⊆ set ps ∪ (set hs × (set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs))) ∧
    (∀B d m. ∀h ∈ set hs. ∀g ∈ set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs. dickson-grading d →
        set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs ⊆ B → fst ` B ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m →

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{set } ps \subseteq \text{set } bs \times (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \rightarrow \text{unique-idx } (gs @ bs @ hs) \text{ data} \rightarrow \\
& \text{is-Groebner-basis } (\text{fst } ' \text{set } gs) \rightarrow h \neq g \rightarrow \text{fst } h \neq 0 \rightarrow \text{fst } g \neq 0 \rightarrow \\
& (\forall a b. (a, b) \in_p \text{set } (ap \text{ gs } bs \text{ ps } hs \text{ data})) \rightarrow \text{fst } a \neq 0 \rightarrow \text{fst } b \neq 0 \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } a) (\text{fst } b)) \rightarrow \\
& (\forall a b. a \in \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \rightarrow b \in \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \rightarrow \text{fst } a \neq 0 \rightarrow \text{fst } b \neq \\
& 0 \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } a) (\text{fst } b)) \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } h) (\text{fst } g) \wedge \\
& (\forall B d m. \forall h g. \text{dickson-grading } d \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \cup \text{set } hs \subseteq B \rightarrow \text{fst } ' B \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{set } ps \subseteq \text{set } bs \times (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \rightarrow (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \cap \text{set } hs = \{\} \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{unique-idx } (gs @ bs @ hs) \text{ data} \rightarrow \text{is-Groebner-basis } (\text{fst } ' \text{set } gs) \rightarrow \\
& \quad h \neq g \rightarrow \text{fst } h \neq 0 \rightarrow \text{fst } g \neq 0 \rightarrow \\
& \quad (h, g) \in \text{set } ps -_p \text{set } (ap \text{ gs } bs \text{ ps } hs \text{ data}) \rightarrow \\
& \quad (\forall a b. (a, b) \in_p \text{set } (ap \text{ gs } bs \text{ ps } hs \text{ data})) \rightarrow (a, b) \in_p \text{set } hs \times (\text{set } gs \cup \\
& \quad \text{set } bs \cup \text{set } hs) \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{fst } a \neq 0 \rightarrow \text{fst } b \neq 0 \rightarrow \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } a) \\
& (\text{fst } b)) \rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } h) (\text{fst } g))
\end{aligned}$$

Informally, *ap-spec ap* means that, for suitable arguments *gs*, *bs*, *ps* and *hs*, the value of *ap gs bs ps hs* is a list of pairs *ps'* such that for every element (a, b) missing in *ps'* there exists a set of pairs *C* by reference to which (a, b) can be discarded, i.e. as soon as all critical pairs of the elements in *C* can be connected below some set *B*, the same is true for the critical pair of (a, b) .

lemma *ap-specI*:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{assumes } \bigwedge gs \text{ bs } ps \text{ hs } \text{data}. \text{set } (ap \text{ gs } bs \text{ ps } hs \text{ data}) \subseteq \text{set } ps \cup (\text{set } hs \times (\text{set } \\
& gs \cup \text{set } bs \cup \text{set } hs)) \\
& \text{assumes } \bigwedge gs \text{ bs } ps \text{ hs } \text{data } B \text{ d } m \text{ h } g. \text{dickson-grading } d \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \cup \text{set } hs \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{fst } ' B \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m \Rightarrow \\
& \quad h \in \text{set } hs \Rightarrow g \in \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \cup \text{set } hs \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{set } ps \subseteq \text{set } bs \times (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \Rightarrow \text{unique-idx } (gs @ bs @ hs) \text{ data} \\
& \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{is-Groebner-basis } (\text{fst } ' \text{set } gs) \Rightarrow h \neq g \Rightarrow \text{fst } h \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{fst } g \neq 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (\bigwedge a b. (a, b) \in_p \text{set } (ap \text{ gs } bs \text{ ps } hs \text{ data})) \Rightarrow \text{fst } a \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{fst } b \neq 0 \\
& \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } a) (\text{fst } b)) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad (\bigwedge a b. a \in \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \Rightarrow b \in \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \Rightarrow \text{fst } a \neq 0 \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{fst } b \neq 0 \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } a) (\text{fst } b)) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } h) (\text{fst } g) \\
& \text{assumes } \bigwedge gs \text{ bs } ps \text{ hs } \text{data } B \text{ d } m \text{ h } g. \text{dickson-grading } d \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \cup \text{set } hs \subseteq B \Rightarrow \text{fst } ' B \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{set } ps \subseteq \text{set } bs \times (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \Rightarrow (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \cap \text{set } hs = \{\} \\
& \Rightarrow \\
& \quad \text{unique-idx } (gs @ bs @ hs) \text{ data} \Rightarrow \text{is-Groebner-basis } (\text{fst } ' \text{set } gs) \Rightarrow \\
& \quad h \neq g \Rightarrow
\end{aligned}$$

$\text{fst } h \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } g \neq 0 \implies (h, g) \in \text{set } ps -_p \text{ set } (\text{ap } gs \text{ bs } ps \text{ hs } data)$
 \implies
 $(\bigwedge a b. (a, b) \in_p \text{set } (\text{ap } gs \text{ bs } ps \text{ hs } data) \implies (a, b) \in_p \text{set } hs \times (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \cup \text{set } hs)) \implies$
 $\text{fst } a \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } b \neq 0 \implies \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } a) (\text{fst } b) \implies$
 $\text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } h) (\text{fst } g)$
shows ap-spec ap
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ap-specD1:

assumes ap-spec ap
shows set (ap gs bs ps hs data) \subseteq set ps \cup (set hs \times (set gs \cup set bs \cup set hs))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ap-specD2:

assumes ap-spec ap **and** dickson-grading d **and** set gs \cup set bs \cup set hs \subseteq B
and fst ' B \subseteq dgrad-p-set d m **and** (h, g) \in_p set hs \times (set gs \cup set bs \cup set hs)
and set ps \subseteq set bs \times (set gs \cup set bs) **and** unique-idx (gs @ bs @ hs) data
and is-Groebner-basis (fst ' set gs) **and** h \neq g **and** fst h $\neq 0$ **and** fst g $\neq 0$
and $\bigwedge a b. (a, b) \in_p \text{set } (\text{ap } gs \text{ bs } ps \text{ hs } data) \implies \text{fst } a \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } b \neq 0 \implies$
 $\text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } a) (\text{fst } b)$
and $\bigwedge a b. a \in \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \implies b \in \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \implies \text{fst } a \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } b$
 $\neq 0 \implies$
 $\text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } a) (\text{fst } b)$
shows crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' B) (fst h) (fst g)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ap-specD3:

assumes ap-spec ap **and** dickson-grading d **and** set gs \cup set bs \cup set hs \subseteq B
and fst ' B \subseteq dgrad-p-set d m **and** set ps \subseteq set bs \times (set gs \cup set bs)
and (set gs \cup set bs) \cap set hs = {} **and** unique-idx (gs @ bs @ hs) data
and is-Groebner-basis (fst ' set gs) **and** h \neq g **and** fst h $\neq 0$ **and** fst g $\neq 0$
and (h, g) \in_p set ps $-_p$ set (ap gs bs ps hs data)
and $\bigwedge a b. a \in \text{set } hs \implies b \in \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \cup \text{set } hs \implies (a, b) \in_p \text{set } (\text{ap } gs$
 $bs \text{ ps } hs \text{ data}) \implies$
 $\text{fst } a \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } b \neq 0 \implies \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } ' B) (\text{fst } a)$
 $(\text{fst } b)$
shows crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' B) (fst h) (fst g)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ap-spec-Nil-subset:

assumes ap-spec ap
shows set (ap gs bs ps [] data) \subseteq set ps
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma ap-spec-fst-subset:

assumes ap-spec ap
shows fst ' set (ap gs bs ps hs data) \subseteq fst ' set ps \cup set hs

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ap-spec-snd-subset*:

assumes *ap-spec ap*

shows $\text{snd} \cdot \text{set}(\text{ap gs bs ps hs data}) \subseteq \text{snd} \cdot \text{set ps} \cup \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{set hs}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ap-spec-inE*:

assumes *ap-spec ap and* $(p, q) \in \text{set}(\text{ap gs bs ps hs data})$

assumes 1: $(p, q) \in \text{set ps} \Rightarrow \text{thesis}$

assumes 2: $p \in \text{set hs} \Rightarrow q \in \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{set hs} \Rightarrow \text{thesis}$

shows *thesis*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-Times-ap*:

assumes *ap-spec ap and ab-spec ab and* $\text{set ps} \subseteq \text{set bs} \times (\text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs})$

shows $\text{set}(\text{ap gs bs}(\text{ps} -- \text{sps})\text{ hs data}) \subseteq \text{set}(\text{ab gs bs hs data}) \times (\text{set gs} \cup \text{set}(\text{ab gs bs hs data}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.2.6 Function *args-to-set*

definition *args-to-set* :: $('t, 'b::field, 'c) \text{ pdata list} \times ('t, 'b, 'c) \text{ pdata list} \times ('t, 'b, 'c) \text{ pdata-pair list} \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \text{ set}$

where $\text{args-to-set } x = \text{fst} \cdot (\text{set}(\text{fst } x) \cup \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{snd } x)) \cup \text{fst} \cdot \text{set}(\text{snd}(\text{fst } x)) \cup \text{snd} \cdot \text{set}(\text{snd}(\text{snd } x)))$

lemma *args-to-set-alt*:

$\text{args-to-set}(gs, bs, ps) = \text{fst} \cdot \text{set gs} \cup \text{fst} \cdot \text{set bs} \cup \text{fst} \cdot \text{set ps} \cup \text{fst} \cdot \text{set hs}$

set ps

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *args-to-set-subset-Times*:

assumes $\text{set ps} \subseteq \text{set bs} \times (\text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs})$

shows $\text{args-to-set}(gs, bs, ps) = \text{fst} \cdot \text{set gs} \cup \text{fst} \cdot \text{set bs}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *args-to-set-subset*:

assumes *ap-spec ap and ab-spec ab*

shows $\text{args-to-set}(gs, ab gs bs hs data, ap gs bs ps hs data) \subseteq$

$\text{fst} \cdot (\text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{fst} \cdot \text{set ps} \cup \text{fst} \cdot \text{set hs})$ (**is** $?l \subseteq \text{fst} \cdot ?r$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *args-to-set-alt2*:

assumes *ap-spec ap and ab-spec ab and* $\text{set ps} \subseteq \text{set bs} \times (\text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs})$

shows $\text{args-to-set}(gs, ab gs bs hs data, ap gs bs}(\text{ps} -- \text{sps})\text{ hs data}) =$

$\text{fst} \cdot (\text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{set hs})$ (**is** $?l = \text{fst} \cdot ?r$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma args-to-set-subset1:
  assumes set gs1 ⊆ set gs2
  shows args-to-set (gs1, bs, ps) ⊆ args-to-set (gs2, bs, ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma args-to-set-subset2:
  assumes set bs1 ⊆ set bs2
  shows args-to-set (gs, bs1, ps) ⊆ args-to-set (gs, bs2, ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma args-to-set-subset3:
  assumes set ps1 ⊆ set ps2
  shows args-to-set (gs, bs, ps1) ⊆ args-to-set (gs, bs, ps2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

6.2.7 Functions *count-const-lt-components*, *count-rem-comps* and *full-gb*

```

definition rem-comps-spec :: ('t, 'b::zero, 'c) pdata list ⇒ nat × 'd ⇒ bool
  where rem-comps-spec bs data ←→ (card (component-of-term ` Keys (fst ` set bs)) =
                                         fst data + card (const-lt-component ` (fst ` set bs −
{0}) − {None}))

```

```

definition count-const-lt-components :: ('t, 'b::zero, 'c) pdata' list ⇒ nat
  where count-const-lt-components hs = length (remdups (filter (λx. x ≠ None)
(map (const-lt-component ∘ fst) hs)))

```

```

definition count-rem-components :: ('t, 'b::zero, 'c) pdata' list ⇒ nat
  where count-rem-components bs = length (remdups (map component-of-term
(Keys-to-list (map fst bs))) −
                                         count-const-lt-components [b←bs . fst b ≠ 0])

```

```

lemma count-const-lt-components-alt:
  count-const-lt-components hs = card (const-lt-component ` fst ` set hs − {None})
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma count-rem-components-alt:
  count-rem-components bs + card (const-lt-component ` (fst ` set bs − {0}) −
{None}) =
    card (component-of-term ` Keys (fst ` set bs))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rem-comps-spec-count-rem-components: rem-comps-spec bs (count-rem-components
bs, data)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition full-gb :: ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list ⇒ ('t, 'b::zero-neq-one, 'c::default) pdata
list

```

where $full\text{-}gb\ bs = map\ (\lambda k.\ (monomial\ 1\ (term\text{-}of\text{-}pair\ (0,\ k)),\ 0,\ default))\ (remdups\ (map\ component\text{-}of\text{-}term\ (Keys\text{-}to\text{-}list\ (map\ fst\ bs)))))$

lemma $fst\text{-}set\text{-}full\text{-}gb$:

$fst\ ' set\ (full\text{-}gb\ bs) = (\lambda v.\ monomial\ 1\ (term\text{-}of\text{-}pair\ (0,\ component\text{-}of\text{-}term\ v)))\ ' Keys\ (fst\ ' set\ bs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Keys\text{-}full\text{-}gb$:

$Keys\ (fst\ ' set\ (full\text{-}gb\ bs)) = (\lambda v.\ term\text{-}of\text{-}pair\ (0,\ component\text{-}of\text{-}term\ v))\ ' Keys\ (fst\ ' set\ bs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pps\text{-}full\text{-}gb$: $pp\text{-}of\text{-}term\ ' Keys\ (fst\ ' set\ (full\text{-}gb\ bs)) \subseteq \{0\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $components\text{-}full\text{-}gb$:

$component\text{-}of\text{-}term\ ' Keys\ (fst\ ' set\ (full\text{-}gb\ bs)) = component\text{-}of\text{-}term\ ' Keys\ (fst\ ' set\ bs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $full\text{-}gb\text{-}is\text{-}full\text{-}pmdl$: $is\text{-}full\text{-}pmdl\ (fst\ ' set\ (full\text{-}gb\ bs))$
for $bs::('t, 'b::field, 'c::default) pdata list$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

In fact, $is\text{-}full\text{-}pmdl\ (fst\ ' set\ (full\text{-}gb\ ?bs))$ also holds if ' b ' is no field.

lemma $full\text{-}gb\text{-}isGB$: $is\text{-}Groebner\text{-}basis\ (fst\ ' set\ (full\text{-}gb\ bs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2.8 Specification of the *completion* parameter

definition $compl\text{-}struct :: ('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) complT \Rightarrow bool$
where $compl\text{-}struct\ compl \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data.\ sps \neq [] \longrightarrow set\ sps \subseteq set\ ps \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall d.\ dickson\text{-}grading\ d \longrightarrow$

$dgrad\text{-}p\text{-}set\text{-}le\ d\ (fst\ ' (set\ (fst\ (compl\ gs\ bs\ (ps\ --\ sps)\ sps\ data))))\ (args\text{-}to\text{-}set\ (gs,\ bs,\ ps))) \wedge$

$component\text{-}of\text{-}term\ ' Keys\ (fst\ ' (set\ (fst\ (compl\ gs\ bs\ (ps\ --\ sps)\ sps\ data)))) \subseteq$
 $component\text{-}of\text{-}term\ ' Keys\ (args\text{-}to\text{-}set\ (gs,\ bs,\ ps)) \wedge$

$0 \notin fst\ ' set\ (fst\ (compl\ gs\ bs\ (ps\ --\ sps)\ sps\ data)) \wedge$

$(\forall h \in set\ (fst\ (compl\ gs\ bs\ (ps\ --\ sps)\ sps\ data))). \forall b \in set\ gs \cup set\ bs.$
 $fst\ b \neq 0 \longrightarrow \neg lt\ (fst\ b)\ adds_t\ lt\ (fst\ h))$

lemma $compl\text{-}structI$:

assumes $\wedge d\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data.\ dickson\text{-}grading\ d \Longrightarrow sps \neq [] \Longrightarrow set\ sps \subseteq set\ ps \Longrightarrow$
 $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-}set\text{-}le\ d\ (fst\ ' (set\ (fst\ (compl\ gs\ bs\ (ps\ --\ sps)\ sps\ data))))\ (args\text{-}to\text{-}set\ (gs,\ bs,\ ps))$

```

assumes  $\bigwedge gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data.\ sps \neq [] \implies set\ sps \subseteq set\ ps \implies$ 
 $\text{component-of-term} ` Keys (fst ` (set (fst (compl\ gs\ bs\ (ps -- sps)\ sps\ data)))) \subseteq$ 
 $\text{component-of-term} ` Keys (\text{args-to-set} (gs,\ bs,\ ps))$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data.\ sps \neq [] \implies set\ sps \subseteq set\ ps \implies 0 \notin fst ` set (fst$ 
 $(compl\ gs\ bs\ (ps -- sps)\ sps\ data))$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ h\ b\ data.\ sps \neq [] \implies set\ sps \subseteq set\ ps \implies h \in set (fst$ 
 $(compl\ gs\ bs\ (ps -- sps)\ sps\ data)) \implies$ 
 $b \in set\ gs \cup set\ bs \implies fst\ b \neq 0 \implies \neg lt (fst\ b) \ adds_t\ lt (fst\ h)$ 
shows compl-struct compl
⟨proof⟩

lemma compl-structD1:
assumes compl-struct compl and dickson-grading d and sps ≠ [] and set sps ⊆ set ps
shows dgrad-p-set-le d (fst ` (set (fst (compl gs bs (ps -- sps) sps data))))
⟨proof⟩

lemma compl-structD2:
assumes compl-struct compl and sps ≠ [] and set sps ⊆ set ps
shows component-of-term ` Keys (fst ` (set (fst (compl gs bs (ps -- sps) sps data))))
 $\subseteq$ 
 $\text{component-of-term} ` Keys (\text{args-to-set} (gs,\ bs,\ ps))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma compl-structD3:
assumes compl-struct compl and sps ≠ [] and set sps ⊆ set ps
shows  $0 \notin fst ` set (fst (compl gs bs (ps -- sps) sps data))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma compl-structD4:
assumes compl-struct compl and sps ≠ [] and set sps ⊆ set ps
and  $h \in set (fst (compl gs bs (ps -- sps) sps data))$  and  $b \in set\ gs \cup set\ bs$ 
and  $fst\ b \neq 0$ 
shows  $\neg lt (fst\ b) \ adds_t\ lt (fst\ h)$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition struct-spec :: ('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) selT  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) apT  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'b,
'c, 'd) abT  $\Rightarrow$ 
('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) complT  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where struct-spec sel ap ab compl  $\longleftrightarrow$  (sel-spec sel  $\wedge$  ap-spec ap  $\wedge$  ab-spec ab  $\wedge$ 
compl-struct compl)

lemma struct-specI:
assumes sel-spec sel and ap-spec ap and ab-spec ab and compl-struct compl
shows struct-spec sel ap ab compl
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma struct-specD1:
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl
  shows sel-spec sel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma struct-specD2:
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl
  shows ap-spec ap
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma struct-specD3:
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl
  shows ab-spec ab
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma struct-specD4:
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl
  shows compl-struct compl
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas struct-specD = struct-specD1 struct-specD2 struct-specD3 struct-specD4

definition compl-pmdl :: ('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) complT ⇒ bool
  where compl-pmdl compl ←→
    (forall gs bs ps sps data. is-Groebner-basis (fst ` set gs) —> sps ≠ [] —> set
    sps ⊆ set ps —>
      unique-idx (gs @ bs) data —>
      fst ` (set (fst (compl gs bs (ps -- sps) sps data))) ⊆ pmdl (args-to-set
    (gs, bs, ps)))

lemma compl-pmdlII:
  assumes ⋀ gs bs ps sps data. is-Groebner-basis (fst ` set gs) —> sps ≠ [] —> set
  sps ⊆ set ps —>
    unique-idx (gs @ bs) data —>
    fst ` (set (fst (compl gs bs (ps -- sps) sps data))) ⊆ pmdl (args-to-set
  (gs, bs, ps))
  shows compl-pmdl compl
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compl-pmdlD:
  assumes compl-pmdl compl and is-Groebner-basis (fst ` set gs)
  and sps ≠ [] and set sps ⊆ set ps and unique-idx (gs @ bs) data
  shows fst ` (set (fst (compl gs bs (ps -- sps) sps data))) ⊆ pmdl (args-to-set
  (gs, bs, ps))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition compl-conn :: ('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) complT ⇒ bool
  where compl-conn compl ←→
    (forall d m gs bs ps sps p q data. dickson-grading d —> fst ` set gs ⊆ dgrad-p-set
  )

```

```


$$d m \longrightarrow$$

  is-Groebner-basis ( $\text{fst} \cdot \text{set } gs$ )  $\longrightarrow$   $\text{fst} \cdot \text{set } bs \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m \longrightarrow$ 
   $\text{set } ps \subseteq \text{set } bs \times (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \longrightarrow \text{sps} \neq [] \longrightarrow \text{set } sps \subseteq \text{set } ps \longrightarrow$ 
  unique-idx ( $gs @ bs$ ) data  $\longrightarrow (p, q) \in \text{set } sps \longrightarrow \text{fst } p \neq 0 \longrightarrow \text{fst } q$ 
 $\neq 0 \longrightarrow$ 
  crit-pair-cbelow-on  $d m$  ( $\text{fst} \cdot (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \cup \text{fst} \cdot \text{set } (\text{fst} (\text{compl } gs$ 
 $bs (ps -- sps) sps \text{ data}))$  ( $\text{fst } p$ ) ( $\text{fst } q$ ))

```

Informally, *compl-conn compl* means that, for suitable arguments gs , bs , ps and sps , the value of $\text{compl } gs \text{ } bs \text{ } ps \text{ } sps$ is a list hs such that the critical pairs of all elements in sps can be connected modulo $\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \cup \text{set } hs$.

lemma *compl-connI*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge d m gs bs ps sps p q \text{ data}$ . dickson-grading  $d \implies \text{fst} \cdot \text{set } gs \subseteq$ 
 $\text{dgrad-p-set } d m \implies$ 
  is-Groebner-basis ( $\text{fst} \cdot \text{set } gs$ )  $\implies \text{fst} \cdot \text{set } bs \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m \implies$ 
   $\text{set } ps \subseteq \text{set } bs \times (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \implies sps \neq [] \implies \text{set } sps \subseteq \text{set } ps \implies$ 
  unique-idx ( $gs @ bs$ ) data  $\implies (p, q) \in \text{set } sps \implies \text{fst } p \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } q \neq$ 
 $0 \implies$ 
  crit-pair-cbelow-on  $d m$  ( $\text{fst} \cdot (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \cup \text{fst} \cdot \text{set } (\text{fst} (\text{compl } gs$ 
 $bs (ps -- sps) sps \text{ data}))$  ( $\text{fst } p$ ) ( $\text{fst } q$ )
shows compl-conn compl
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *compl-connD*:

```

assumes compl-conn compl and dickson-grading  $d$  and  $\text{fst} \cdot \text{set } gs \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set }$ 
 $d m$ 
and is-Groebner-basis ( $\text{fst} \cdot \text{set } gs$ ) and  $\text{fst} \cdot \text{set } bs \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$ 
and  $\text{set } ps \subseteq \text{set } bs \times (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs)$  and  $sps \neq []$  and  $\text{set } sps \subseteq \text{set } ps$ 
and unique-idx ( $gs @ bs$ ) data and  $(p, q) \in \text{set } sps$  and  $\text{fst } p \neq 0$  and  $\text{fst } q \neq$ 
 $0$ 
shows crit-pair-cbelow-on  $d m$  ( $\text{fst} \cdot (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \cup \text{fst} \cdot \text{set } (\text{fst} (\text{compl } gs$ 
 $bs (ps -- sps) sps \text{ data}))$  ( $\text{fst } p$ ) ( $\text{fst } q$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

6.2.9 Function *gb-schema-dummy*

```

definition (in  $-$ ) add-indices ::  $(('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ pdata}' \text{ list} \times 'd) \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times 'd) \Rightarrow (('a,$ 
 $'b, 'c) \text{ pdata list} \times \text{nat} \times 'd)$ 
where [code del]: add-indices ns data =
   $(\text{map-idx } (\lambda h i. (\text{fst } h, i, \text{snd } h)) (\text{fst } ns) (\text{fst } data), \text{fst } data + \text{length } (\text{fst }$ 
 $ns), \text{snd } ns)$ 

```

lemma (**in** $-$) *add-indices-code* [code]:

```

add-indices ( $ns, data$ ) ( $n, data'$ ) =  $(\text{map-idx } (\lambda(h, d) i. (h, i, d)) ns n, n + \text{length }$ 
 $ns, data)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lemma *fst-add-indices*: $\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{fst } (\text{add-indices } ns \text{ } data')) = \text{map } \text{fst } (\text{fst } ns)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *fst-set-add-indices*: $\text{fst} \cdot \text{set} (\text{fst} (\text{add-indices} \text{ ns } \text{data}')) = \text{fst} \cdot \text{set} (\text{fst} \text{ ns})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-set-add-indicesE*:

assumes $f \in \text{set} (\text{fst} (\text{add-indices aux data}))$

obtains i **where** $i < \text{length} (\text{fst aux})$ **and** $f = (\text{fst} ((\text{fst aux}) ! i), \text{fst data} + i, \text{snd} ((\text{fst aux}) ! i))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *gb-schema-aux-term1* :: $((('t, 'b::field, 'c) \text{pdata list} \times ('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata-pair list}) \times (((('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata list} \times ('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata-pair list})) \text{set}$
where $\text{gb-schema-aux-term1} = \{(a, b::('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata list}). (\text{fst} \cdot \text{set} a) \sqsupseteq p (\text{fst} \cdot \text{set} b)\} <*\text{lex}*>$
 $(\text{measure} (\text{card} \circ \text{set}))$

definition *gb-schema-aux-term2* ::

$('a \Rightarrow \text{nat}) \Rightarrow ('t, 'b::field, 'c) \text{pdata list} \Rightarrow (((('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata list} \times ('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata-pair list}) \times$
 $((('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata list} \times ('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata-pair list})) \text{set}$

where $\text{gb-schema-aux-term2} d \text{ gs} = \{(a, b). \text{dgrad-p-set-le } d (\text{args-to-set} (\text{gs}, a)) \wedge$
 $\text{args-to-set} (\text{gs}, b) \wedge$
 $\text{component-of-term} ' \text{Keys} (\text{args-to-set} (\text{gs}, a)) \subseteq \text{component-of-term}$
 $' \text{Keys} (\text{args-to-set} (\text{gs}, b))\}$

definition *gb-schema-aux-term* **where** $\text{gb-schema-aux-term } d \text{ gs} = \text{gb-schema-aux-term1}$
 $\cap \text{gb-schema-aux-term2 } d \text{ gs}$

gb-schema-aux-term is needed for proving termination of function *gb-schema-aux*.

lemma *gb-schema-aux-term1-wf-on*:

assumes *dickson-grading* d **and** *finite* K

shows *wfp-on* $(\lambda x y. (x, y) \in \text{gb-schema-aux-term1})$

$\{x::((('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata list}) \times (((('t, 'b::field, 'c) \text{pdata-pair list})).$

$\text{args-to-set} (\text{gs}, x) \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m \wedge \text{component-of-term} ' \text{Keys}$
 $(\text{args-to-set} (\text{gs}, x)) \subseteq K\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gb-schema-aux-term-wf*:

assumes *dickson-grading* d

shows *wf* $(\text{gb-schema-aux-term } d \text{ gs})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dgrad-p-set-le-args-to-set-ab*:

assumes *dickson-grading* d **and** *ap-spec* ap **and** *ab-spec* ab **and** *compl-struct* $compl$

assumes $sps \neq []$ **and** $\text{set } sps \subseteq \text{set } ps$ **and** $hs = \text{fst} (\text{add-indices} (\text{compl } gs \text{ bs}))$

```

(ps -- sps) sps data) data)
  shows dgrad-p-set-le d (args-to-set (gs, ab gs bs hs data', ap gs bs (ps -- sps)
hs data')) (args-to-set (gs, bs, ps))
    (is dgrad-p-set-le - ?l ?r)
⟨proof⟩

corollary dgrad-p-set-le-args-to-set-struct:
  assumes dickson-grading d and struct-spec sel ap ab compl and ps ≠ []
  assumes sps = sel gs bs ps data and hs = fst (add-indices (compl gs bs (ps --
sps) sps data) data)
  shows dgrad-p-set-le d (args-to-set (gs, ab gs bs hs data', ap gs bs (ps -- sps)
hs data')) (args-to-set (gs, bs, ps))
⟨proof⟩

lemma components-subset-ab:
  assumes ap-spec ap and ab-spec ab and compl-struct compl
  assumes sps ≠ [] and set sps ⊆ set ps and hs = fst (add-indices (compl gs bs
(ps -- sps) sps data) data)
  shows component-of-term ‘ Keys (args-to-set (gs, ab gs bs hs data', ap gs bs (ps
-- sps) hs data')) ⊆
          component-of-term ‘ Keys (args-to-set (gs, bs, ps)) (is ?l ⊆ ?r)
⟨proof⟩

corollary components-subset-struct:
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and ps ≠ []
  assumes sps = sel gs bs ps data and hs = fst (add-indices (compl gs bs (ps --
sps) sps data) data)
  shows component-of-term ‘ Keys (args-to-set (gs, ab gs bs hs data', ap gs bs (ps
-- sps) hs data')) ⊆
          component-of-term ‘ Keys (args-to-set (gs, bs, ps))
⟨proof⟩

corollary components-struct:
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and ps ≠ [] and set ps ⊆ set bs × (set gs
∪ set bs)
  assumes sps = sel gs bs ps data and hs = fst (add-indices (compl gs bs (ps --
sps) sps data) data)
  shows component-of-term ‘ Keys (args-to-set (gs, ab gs bs hs data', ap gs bs (ps
-- sps) hs data')) =
          component-of-term ‘ Keys (args-to-set (gs, bs, ps)) (is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma struct-spec-red-supset:
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and ps ≠ [] and sps = sel gs bs ps data
  and hs = fst (add-indices (compl gs bs (ps -- sps) sps data) data) and hs ≠ []
  shows (fst ‘ set (ab gs bs hs data')) ⊓ p (fst ‘ set bs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unique-idx-append:
  assumes unique-idx gs data and (hs, data') = add-indices aux data
  shows unique-idx (gs @ hs) data'
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary unique-idx-ab:
  assumes ab-spec ab and unique-idx (gs @ bs) data and (hs, data') = add-indices
  aux data
  shows unique-idx (gs @ ab gs bs hs data') data'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rem-comps-spec-struct:
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and rem-comps-spec (gs @ bs) data and ps
  ≠ []
  and set ps ⊆ (set bs) × (set gs ∪ set bs) and sps = sel gs bs ps (snd data)
  and aux = compl gs bs (ps -- sps) sps (snd data) and (hs, data') = add-indices
  aux (snd data)
  shows rem-comps-spec (gs @ ab gs bs hs data') (fst data - count-const-lt-components
  (fst aux), data')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pmdl-struct:
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and compl-pmdl compl and is-Groebner-basis
  (fst ‘set gs)
  and ps ≠ [] and set ps ⊆ (set bs) × (set gs ∪ set bs) and unique-idx (gs @ bs)
  (snd data)
  and sps = sel gs bs ps (snd data) and aux = compl gs bs (ps -- sps) sps (snd
  data)
  and (hs, data') = add-indices aux (snd data)
  shows pmdl (fst ‘set (gs @ ab gs bs hs data')) = pmdl (fst ‘set (gs @ bs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma discarded-subset:
  assumes ab-spec ab
  and D' = D ∪ (set hs × (set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs) ∪ set (ps -- sps) -p set
  (ap gs bs (ps -- sps) hs data'))
  and set ps ⊆ set bs × (set gs ∪ set bs) and D ⊆ (set gs ∪ set bs) × (set gs ∪
  set bs)
  shows D' ⊆ (set gs ∪ set (ab gs bs hs data')) × (set gs ∪ set (ab gs bs hs data'))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compl-struct-disjoint:
  assumes compl-struct compl and sps ≠ [] and set sps ⊆ set ps
  shows fst ‘set (fst (compl gs bs (ps -- sps) sps data)) ∩ fst ‘(set gs ∪ set bs)
  = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

context

```

fixes sel::('t, 'b::field, 'c::default, 'd) selT and ap::('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) apT
and ab::('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) abT and compl::('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) complT
and gs::('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list
begin

function (domintros) gb-schema-dummy :: nat × nat × 'd ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair
set ⇒
    ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list ⇒
        (('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list × ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair set)
where
    gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps =
        (if ps = [] then
            (gs @ bs, D)
        else
            (let sps = sel gs bs ps (snd data); ps0 = ps -- sps; aux = compl gs bs
            ps0 sps (snd data);
                remcomps = fst (data) - count-const-lt-components (fst aux) in
                (if remcomps = 0 then
                    (full-gb (gs @ bs), D)
                else
                    let (hs, data') = add-indices aux (snd data) in
                    gb-schema-dummy (remcomps, data')
                        (D ∪ ((set hs × (set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs) ∪ set (ps -- sps)) -_p
                        set (ap gs bs ps0 hs data'))))
                (ab gs bs hs data') (ap gs bs ps0 hs data')
            )
        )
    )
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma gb-schema-dummy-domI1: gb-schema-dummy-dom (data, D, bs, [])
⟨proof⟩

lemma gb-schema-dummy-domI2:
assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl
shows gb-schema-dummy-dom (data, D, args)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas gb-schema-dummy-simp = gb-schema-dummy.psimps[OF gb-schema-dummy-domI2]

lemma gb-schema-dummy-Nil [simp]: gb-schema-dummy data D bs [] = (gs @ bs,
D)
⟨proof⟩

lemma gb-schema-dummy-not-Nil:
assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and ps ≠ []
shows gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps =
    (let sps = sel gs bs ps (snd data); ps0 = ps -- sps; aux = compl gs bs
    ps0 sps (snd data));

```

```

remcomps = fst (data) - count-const-lt-components (fst aux) in
(if remcomps = 0 then
  (full-gb (gs @ bs), D)
else
  let (hs, data') = add-indices aux (snd data) in
    gb-schema-dummy (remcomps, data')
      (D ∪ ((set hs × (set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs) ∪ set (ps -- sps)) -_p
set (ap gs bs ps0 hs data'))) )
      (ab gs bs hs data') (ap gs bs ps0 hs data')
    )
)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *gb-schema-dummy-induct* [consumes 1, case-names base rec1 rec2]:
assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl
assumes base: $\bigwedge \text{bs } \text{data } D. P \text{ data } D \text{ bs } [] \text{ (gs @ bs, } D)$
and rec1: $\bigwedge \text{bs } \text{ps } \text{sps } \text{data } D. \text{ps } \neq [] \implies \text{sps} = \text{sel gs bs ps (snd data)} \implies$
 $\text{fst (data)} \leq \text{count-const-lt-components (fst (compl gs bs (ps -- sps))}$
 $\text{sps (snd data))} \implies$
 $P \text{ data } D \text{ bs } \text{ps } (\text{full-gb (gs @ bs), } D)$
and rec2: $\bigwedge \text{bs } \text{ps } \text{sps } \text{aux } \text{hs } \text{rc } \text{data } \text{data' } D \text{ D'}. \text{ps } \neq [] \implies \text{sps} = \text{sel gs bs ps}$
 $(\text{snd data}) \implies$
 $\text{aux} = \text{compl gs bs (ps -- sps)} \text{ sps (snd data)} \implies (\text{hs, data'}) =$
 $\text{add-indices aux (snd data)} \implies$
 $\text{rc} = \text{fst data} - \text{count-const-lt-components (fst aux)} \implies 0 < \text{rc} \implies$
 $D' = (D \cup ((\text{set hs} \times (\text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{set hs})) \cup \text{set (ps -- sps)})$
 $-_p \text{set (ap gs bs (ps -- sps) hs data'))} \implies$
 $P (\text{rc, data'}) D' (\text{ab gs bs hs data'}) (\text{ap gs bs (ps -- sps) hs data'})$
 $(\text{gb-schema-dummy (rc, data')} D' (\text{ab gs bs hs data'}) (\text{ap gs bs (ps -- sps) hs data'})) \implies$
 $P \text{ data } D \text{ bs } \text{ps } (\text{gb-schema-dummy (rc, data')} D' (\text{ab gs bs hs data'})$
 $(\text{ap gs bs (ps -- sps) hs data'}))$
shows $P \text{ data } D \text{ bs } \text{ps } (\text{gb-schema-dummy data } D \text{ bs } \text{ps})$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fst-gb-schema-dummy-dgrad-p-set-le*:
assumes dickson-grading d **and** struct-spec sel ap ab compl
shows dgrad-p-set-le d (fst ‘ set (fst (gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps))) (args-to-set
(gs, bs, ps))
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fst-gb-schema-dummy-components*:
assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl **and** set ps \subseteq (set bs) \times (set gs \cup set bs)
shows component-of-term ‘ Keys (fst ‘ set (fst (gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps)))
= component-of-term ‘ Keys (args-to-set (gs, bs, ps))
⟨proof⟩

lemma *fst-gb-schema-dummy-pmdl*:

```

assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and compl-pmdl compl and is-Groebner-basis
(fst ` set gs)
    and set ps ⊆ set bs × (set gs ∪ set bs) and unique-idx (gs @ bs) (snd data)
        and rem-comps-spec (gs @ bs) data
    shows pmdl (fst ` set (fst (gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps))) = pmdl (fst ` set
(gs @ bs))
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-gb-schema-dummy-subset:
assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and set ps ⊆ set bs × (set gs ∪ set bs)
    and D ⊆ (set gs ∪ set bs) × (set gs ∪ set bs) and res = gb-schema-dummy
data D bs ps
    shows snd res ⊆ set (fst res) × set (fst res) ∨ (∃ xs. fst (res) = full-gb xs)
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma gb-schema-dummy-connectible1:
assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and compl-conn compl and dickson-grading
d
    and fst ` set gs ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m and is-Groebner-basis (fst ` set gs)
    and fst ` set bs ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m
    and set ps ⊆ set bs × (set gs ∪ set bs)
    and unique-idx (gs @ bs) (snd data)
    and ⋀ p q. processed (p, q) (gs @ bs) ps ==> (p, q) ∉ p D ==> fst p ≠ 0 ==> fst
q ≠ 0 ==>
    crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` (set gs ∪ set bs)) (fst p) (fst q)
    and ¬(∃ xs. fst (gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps) = full-gb xs)
assumes f ∈ set (fst (gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps))
    and g ∈ set (fst (gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps))
    and (f, g) ∉ p snd (gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps)
    and fst f ≠ 0 and fst g ≠ 0
shows crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` set (fst (gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps)))
(fst f) (fst g)
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma gb-schema-dummy-connectible2:
assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and compl-conn compl and dickson-grading
d
    and fst ` set gs ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m and is-Groebner-basis (fst ` set gs)
    and fst ` set bs ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m
    and set ps ⊆ set bs × (set gs ∪ set bs) and D ⊆ (set gs ∪ set bs) × (set gs ∪
set bs)
    and set ps ∩ p D = {} and unique-idx (gs @ bs) (snd data)
    and ⋀ B a b. set gs ∪ set bs ⊆ B ==> fst ` B ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m ==> (a, b) ∈ p
D ==>
    fst a ≠ 0 ==> fst b ≠ 0 ==>
        (⋀ x y. x ∈ set gs ∪ set bs ==> y ∈ set gs ∪ set bs ==> ¬ (x, y) ∈ p D ==>
        fst x ≠ 0 ==> fst y ≠ 0 ==> crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` B) (fst x)
(fst y)) ==>
    crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` B) (fst a) (fst b)

```

and $\wedge x y. x \in set(fst(gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps)) \implies y \in set(fst(gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps)) \implies$
 $(x, y) \notin_p snd(gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps) \implies fst x \neq 0 \implies fst y \neq 0 \implies$
 $crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' set(fst(gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps)))$
 $(fst x) (fst y)$
and $\neg(\exists xs. fst(gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps) = full-gb xs)$
assumes $(f, g) \in_p snd(gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps)$
and $fst f \neq 0$ **and** $fst g \neq 0$
shows $crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' set(fst(gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps)))$
 $(fst f) (fst g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *gb-schema-dummy-connectible*:

assumes *struct-spec sel ap ab compl* **and** *compl-conn compl* **and** *dickson-grading d*
and $fst ' set gs \subseteq dgrad-p-set d m$ **and** *is-Groebner-basis* $(fst ' set gs)$
and $fst ' set bs \subseteq dgrad-p-set d m$
and $set ps \subseteq set bs \times (set gs \cup set bs)$ **and** $D \subseteq (set gs \cup set bs) \times (set gs \cup set bs)$
and $set ps \cap_p D = \{\}$ **and** *unique-idx* $(gs @ bs)$ $(snd data)$
and $\wedge p q. processed(p, q) (gs @ bs) ps \implies (p, q) \notin_p D \implies fst p \neq 0 \implies fst q \neq 0 \implies$
 $crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' (set gs \cup set bs)) (fst p) (fst q)$
and $\wedge B a b. set gs \cup set bs \subseteq B \implies fst ' B \subseteq dgrad-p-set d m \implies (a, b) \in_p$
 $D \implies$
 $fst a \neq 0 \implies fst b \neq 0 \implies$
 $(\wedge x y. x \in set gs \cup set bs \implies y \in set gs \cup set bs \implies \neg(x, y) \in_p D \implies$
 $fst x \neq 0 \implies fst y \neq 0 \implies crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' B) (fst x)$
 $(fst y)) \implies$
 $crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' B) (fst a) (fst b)$
assumes $f \in set(fst(gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps))$
and $g \in set(fst(gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps))$
and $fst f \neq 0$ **and** $fst g \neq 0$
shows $crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' set(fst(gb-schema-dummy data D bs ps)))$
 $(fst f) (fst g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fst-gb-schema-dummy-dgrad-p-set-le-init*:

assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** *struct-spec sel ap ab compl*
shows *dgrad-p-set-le d* $(fst ' set(fst(gb-schema-dummy data D (ab gs [] bs (snd data)))) (ap gs [] [] bs (snd data))))$
 $(fst ' (set gs \cup set bs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *fst-gb-schema-dummy-dgrad-p-set-init*:

assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** *struct-spec sel ap ab compl*
and $fst ' (set gs \cup set bs) \subseteq dgrad-p-set d m$
shows $fst ' set(fst(gb-schema-dummy (rc, data) D (ab gs [] bs data)) (ap gs [] []$

$bs \text{ data})) \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-set } d m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma fst-gb-schema-dummy-components-init:
  fixes bs data
  defines bs0 ≡ ab gs [] bs data
  defines ps0 ≡ ap gs [] [] bs data
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl
  shows component-of-term `Keys (fst `set (fst (gb-schema-dummy (rc, data) D
  bs0 ps0))) =
    component-of-term `Keys (fst `set (gs @ bs)) (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fst-gb-schema-dummy-pmdl-init:
  fixes bs data
  defines bs0 ≡ ab gs [] bs data
  defines ps0 ≡ ap gs [] [] bs data
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and compl-pmdl compl and is-Groebner-basis
  (fst `set gs)
    and unique-idx (gs @ bs0) data and rem-comps-spec (gs @ bs0) (rc, data)
  shows pmdl (fst `set (fst (gb-schema-dummy (rc, data) D bs0 ps0))) =
    pmdl (fst `set (gs @ bs)) (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fst-gb-schema-dummy-isGB-init:
  fixes bs data
  defines bs0 ≡ ab gs [] bs data
  defines ps0 ≡ ap gs [] [] bs data
  defines D0 ≡ set bs × (set gs ∪ set bs) −p set ps0
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and compl-conn compl and is-Groebner-basis
  (fst `set gs)
    and unique-idx (gs @ bs0) data and rem-comps-spec (gs @ bs0) (rc, data)
  shows is-Groebner-basis (fst `set (fst (gb-schema-dummy (rc, data) D0 bs0 ps0)))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

6.2.10 Function *gb-schema-aux*

```
function (domintros) gb-schema-aux :: nat × nat × 'd ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list ⇒
  ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list
where
  gb-schema-aux data bs ps =
    (if ps = [] then
      gs @ bs
    else
      (let sps = sel gs bs ps (snd data); ps0 = ps -- sps; aux = compl gs bs
      ps0 sps (snd data);
        remcomps = fst (data) − count-const-lt-components (fst aux) in
        (if remcomps = 0 then
          full-gb (gs @ bs)
```

```

else
let (hs, data') = add-indices aux (snd data) in
  gb-schema-aux (remcomps, data') (ab gs bs hs data') (ap gs bs ps0 hs
data')
)
)
)
)
⟨proof⟩

```

The *data* parameter of *gb-schema-aux* is a triple (c, i, d) , where c is the number of components *cmp* of the input list for which the current basis $gs @ bs$ does *not* yet contain an element whose leading power-product is 0 and has component *cmp*. As soon as c gets 0, the function can return a trivial Gröbner basis, since then the submodule generated by the input list is just the full module. This idea generalizes the well-known fact that if a set of scalar polynomials contains a non-zero constant, the ideal generated by that set is the whole ring. i is the total number of polynomials generated during the execution of the function so far; it is used to attach unique indices to the polynomials for fast equality tests. d , finally, is some arbitrary data-field that may be used by concrete instances of *gb-schema-aux* for storing information.

```
lemma gb-schema-aux-domI1: gb-schema-aux-dom (data, bs, [])
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gb-schema-aux-domI2:
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl
  shows gb-schema-aux-dom (data, args)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma gb-schema-aux-Nil [simp, code]: gb-schema-aux data bs [] = gs @ bs
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemmas gb-schema-aux-simps = gb-schema-aux.psimps[OF gb-schema-aux-domI2]
```

```
lemma gb-schema-aux-induct [consumes 1, case-names base rec1 rec2]:
  assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl
  assumes base:  $\bigwedge bs\ data.\ P\ data\ bs\ []\ (gs @ bs)$ 
  and rec1:  $\bigwedge bs\ ps\ sps\ data.\ ps \neq [] \implies sps = sel\ gs\ bs\ ps\ (snd\ data) \implies$ 
     $fst\ (data) \leq count\text{-}const\text{-}lt\text{-}components\ (fst\ (compl\ gs\ bs\ (ps -- sps)) \implies$ 
     $P\ data\ bs\ ps\ (full\text{-}gb\ (gs @ bs))$ 
  and rec2:  $\bigwedge bs\ ps\ sps\ aux\ hs\ rc\ data\ data'.$   $ps \neq [] \implies sps = sel\ gs\ bs\ ps\ (snd\ data) \implies$ 
     $aux = compl\ gs\ bs\ (ps -- sps)\ sps\ (snd\ data) \implies (hs, data') =$ 
     $add\text{-}indices\ aux\ (snd\ data) \implies$ 
     $rc = fst\ data - count\text{-}const\text{-}lt\text{-}components\ (fst\ aux) \implies 0 < rc \implies$ 
     $P\ (rc, data')\ (ab\ gs\ bs\ hs\ data')\ (ap\ gs\ bs\ (ps -- sps)\ hs\ data')$ 
     $(gb\text{-}schema\text{-}aux\ (rc, data')\ (ab\ gs\ bs\ hs\ data')\ (ap\ gs\ bs\ (ps -- sps))$ 
```

$hs \ data') \implies$
 $P \ data \ bs \ ps \ (gb\text{-}schema\text{-}aux \ (rc, \ data') \ (ab \ gs \ bs \ hs \ data') \ (ap \ gs \ bs \ (ps \ -- \ sps) \ hs \ data'))$
shows $P \ data \ bs \ ps \ (gb\text{-}schema\text{-}aux \ data \ bs \ ps)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gb-schema-dummy-eq-gb-schema-aux*:
assumes *struct-spec sel ap ab compl*
shows $fst \ (gb\text{-}schema\text{-}dummy \ data \ D \ bs \ ps) = gb\text{-}schema\text{-}aux \ data \ bs \ ps$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *gb-schema-aux-dgrad-p-set-le*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and struct-spec sel ap ab compl*
shows $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-}set\text{-}le \ d \ (fst \ ' \ set \ (gb\text{-}schema\text{-}aux \ data \ bs \ ps)) \ (args\text{-}to\text{-}set \ (gs, \ bs, \ ps))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *gb-schema-aux-components*:
assumes *struct-spec sel ap ab compl and set ps ⊆ set bs × (set gs ∪ set bs)*
shows $component\text{-}of\text{-}term \ ' \ Keys \ (fst \ ' \ set \ (gb\text{-}schema\text{-}aux \ data \ bs \ ps)) = component\text{-}of\text{-}term \ ' \ Keys \ (args\text{-}to\text{-}set \ (gs, \ bs, \ ps))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gb-schema-aux-pmdl*:
assumes *struct-spec sel ap ab compl and compl-pmdl compl and is-Groebner-basis (fst ' set gs)*
and $set \ ps \subseteq set \ bs \times (set \ gs \cup set \ bs)$ **and** *unique-idx (gs @ bs) (snd data)*
and *rem-comps-spec (gs @ bs) data*
shows $pmdl \ (fst \ ' \ set \ (gb\text{-}schema\text{-}aux \ data \ bs \ ps)) = pmdl \ (fst \ ' \ set \ (gs \ @ \ bs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *gb-schema-aux-dgrad-p-set-le-init*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and struct-spec sel ap ab compl*
shows $dgrad\text{-}p\text{-}set\text{-}le \ d \ (fst \ ' \ set \ (gb\text{-}schema\text{-}aux \ data \ (ab \ gs \ [] \ bs \ (snd \ data))) \ (ap \ gs \ [] \ [] \ bs \ (snd \ data))))$
 $\quad \quad \quad (fst \ ' \ (set \ gs \cup \ set \ bs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *gb-schema-aux-dgrad-p-set-init*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and struct-spec sel ap ab compl*
and $fst \ ' \ (set \ gs \cup \ set \ bs) \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-}set \ d \ m$
shows $fst \ ' \ set \ (gb\text{-}schema\text{-}aux \ (rc, \ data)) \ (ab \ gs \ [] \ bs \ data) \ (ap \ gs \ [] \ [] \ bs \ data))$
 $\subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-}set \ d \ m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *gb-schema-aux-components-init*:
assumes *struct-spec sel ap ab compl*
shows $component\text{-}of\text{-}term \ ' \ Keys \ (fst \ ' \ set \ (gb\text{-}schema\text{-}aux \ (rc, \ data)) \ (ab \ gs \ [] \ bs \ data)) \ (ap \ gs \ [] \ [] \ bs \ data)) =$

```

component-of-term ` Keys (fst ` set (gs @ bs))
⟨proof⟩

corollary gb-schema-aux-pmdl-init:
assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and compl-pmdl compl and is-Groebner-basis
(fst ` set gs)
and unique-idx (gs @ ab gs [] bs data) data and rem-comps-spec (gs @ ab gs []
bs data) (rc, data)
shows pmdl (fst ` set (gb-schema-aux (rc, data) (ab gs [] bs data) (ap gs [] [] bs
data))) =
      pmdl (fst ` (set (gs @ bs)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma gb-schema-aux-isGB-init:
assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and compl-conn compl and is-Groebner-basis
(fst ` set gs)
and unique-idx (gs @ ab gs [] bs data) data and rem-comps-spec (gs @ ab gs []
bs data) (rc, data)
shows is-Groebner-basis (fst ` set (gb-schema-aux (rc, data) (ab gs [] bs data)
(ap gs [] [] bs data)))
⟨proof⟩

end

6.2.11 Functions gb-schema-direct and term gb-schema-incr

definition gb-schema-direct :: ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) selT  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) apT  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'b,
'c, 'd) abT  $\Rightarrow$ 
      ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) complT  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata' list  $\Rightarrow$  'd  $\Rightarrow$ 
      ('t, 'b::field, 'c::default) pdata' list
where gb-schema-direct sel ap ab compl bs0 data0 =
      (let data = (length bs0, data0); bs1 = fst (add-indices (bs0, data0) (0,
data0));
      bs = ab [] [] bs1 data in
      map ( $\lambda$ (f, -, d). (f, d))
          (gb-schema-aux sel ap ab compl [] (count-rem-components bs, data)
bs (ap [] [] bs1 data)))
      )

primrec gb-schema-incr :: ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) selT  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) apT  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'b, 'c,
'd) abT  $\Rightarrow$ 
      ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) complT  $\Rightarrow$ 
      (((t, 'b, 'c) pdata list  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata  $\Rightarrow$  'd  $\Rightarrow$  'd)  $\Rightarrow$ 
      ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata' list  $\Rightarrow$  'd  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'b::field, 'c::default)
      )
      pdata' list
where
  gb-schema-incr - - - - [] - = []
  gb-schema-incr sel ap ab compl upd (b0 # bs) data =
  (let (gs, n, data') = add-indices (gb-schema-incr sel ap ab compl upd bs data,

```

```

data) (0, data);
      b = (fst b0, n, snd b0); data'' = upd gs b data' in
      map (λ(f, -, d). (f, d))
      (gb-schema-aux sel ap ab compl gs (count-rem-components (b # gs), Suc
n, data''))
      (ab gs [] [b] (Suc n, data'')) (ap gs [] [] [b] (Suc n, data''))
)

```

lemma (in -) fst-set-drop-indices:

```

fst ` (λ(f, -, d). (f, d)) ` A = fst ` A for A::('x × 'y × 'z) set
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma fst-gb-schema-direct:

```

fst ` set (gb-schema-direct sel ap ab compl bs0 data0) =
(let data = (length bs0, data0); bs1 = fst (add-indices (bs0, data0) (0, data0));
bs = ab [] [] bs1 data in
fst ` set (gb-schema-aux sel ap ab compl [] (count-rem-components bs, data)
bs (ap [] [] [] bs1 data))
)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma gb-schema-direct-dgrad-p-set:

```

assumes dickson-grading d and struct-spec sel ap ab compl and fst ` set bs ⊆
dgrad-p-set d m
shows fst ` set (gb-schema-direct sel ap ab compl bs data) ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem gb-schema-direct-isGB:

```

assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and compl-conn compl
shows is-Groebner-basis (fst ` set (gb-schema-direct sel ap ab compl bs data))
⟨proof⟩

```

theorem gb-schema-direct-pmdl:

```

assumes struct-spec sel ap ab compl and compl-pmdl compl
shows pmdl (fst ` set (gb-schema-direct sel ap ab compl bs data)) = pmdl (fst `
set bs)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma fst-gb-schema-incr:

```

fst ` set (gb-schema-incr sel ap ab compl upd (b0 # bs) data) =
(let (gs, n, data') = add-indices (gb-schema-incr sel ap ab compl upd bs data,
data) (0, data);
b = (fst b0, n, snd b0); data'' = upd gs b data' in
fst ` set (gb-schema-aux sel ap ab compl gs (count-rem-components (b # gs),
Suc n, data''))
(ab gs [] [b] (Suc n, data'')) (ap gs [] [] [b] (Suc n, data''))
)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *gb-schema-incr-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *dickson-grading d* **and** *struct-spec sel ap ab compl*
and *fst ‘ set bs ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
shows *fst ‘ set (gb-schema-incr sel ap ab compl upd bs data) ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
⟨proof⟩

theorem *gb-schema-incr-dgrad-p-set-isGB*:
assumes *struct-spec sel ap ab compl* **and** *compl-conn compl*
shows *is-Groebner-basis (fst ‘ set (gb-schema-incr sel ap ab compl upd bs data))*
⟨proof⟩

theorem *gb-schema-incr-pmdl*:
assumes *struct-spec sel ap ab compl* **and** *compl-conn compl compl-pmdl compl*
shows *pmdl (fst ‘ set (gb-schema-incr sel ap ab compl upd bs data)) = pmdl (fst ‘ set bs)*
⟨proof⟩

6.3 Suitable Instances of the *add-pairs* Parameter

6.3.1 Specification of the *crit* parameters

type-synonym (*in –*) $('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) icritT = nat \times 'd \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata \Rightarrow bool$

type-synonym (*in –*) $('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) ncritT = nat \times 'd \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow (bool \times ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair) list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata \Rightarrow bool$

type-synonym (*in –*) $('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) ocritT = nat \times 'd \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow (bool \times ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair) list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata \Rightarrow bool$

definition *icrit-spec* :: $('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) icritT \Rightarrow bool$
where *icrit-spec crit* \leftrightarrow
 $(\forall d m data gs bs hs p q. dickson-grading d \rightarrow$
 $fst ‘ (set gs \cup set bs \cup set hs) \subseteq dgrad-p-set d m \rightarrow unique-idx (gs @$
 $bs @ hs) data \rightarrow$
 $is-Groebner-basis (fst ‘ set gs) \rightarrow p \in set hs \rightarrow q \in set gs \cup set bs \cup$
 $set hs \rightarrow$
 $fst p \neq 0 \rightarrow fst q \neq 0 \rightarrow crit data gs bs hs p q \rightarrow$
 $crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ‘ (set gs \cup set bs \cup set hs)) (fst p) (fst q))$

Criteria satisfying *icrit-spec* can be used for discarding pairs *instantly*, without reference to any other pairs. The product criterion for scalar polyno-

mials satisfies *ncrit-spec*, and so does the component criterion (which checks whether the component-indices of the leading terms of two polynomials are identical).

```

definition ncrit-spec :: ('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) ncritT ⇒ bool
where ncrit-spec crit ←→
    (forall d m data gs bs hs ps B q-in-bs p q. dickson-grading d → set gs ∪ set
    bs ∪ set hs ⊆ B →
        fst ` B ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m → snd ` set ps ⊆ set hs × (set gs ∪ set bs
    ∪ set hs) →
        unique-idx (gs @ bs @ hs) data → is-Groebner-basis (fst ` set gs) →
        (q-in-bs → (q ∈ set gs ∪ set bs)) →
        (forall p' q'. (p', q') ∈p snd ` set ps → fst p' ≠ 0 → fst q' ≠ 0 →
            crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` B) (fst p') (fst q')) →
        (forall p' q'. p' ∈ set gs ∪ set bs → q' ∈ set gs ∪ set bs → fst p' ≠ 0 →
            fst q' ≠ 0 →
            crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` B) (fst p') (fst q')) →
        p ∈ set hs → q ∈ set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs → fst p ≠ 0 → fst q ≠ 0
    →
        crit data gs bs hs q-in-bs ps p q →
        crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` B) (fst p) (fst q))

```

```

definition ocrit-spec :: ('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) ocritT ⇒ bool
where ocrit-spec crit ←→
    (forall d m data hs ps B p q. dickson-grading d → set hs ⊆ B → fst ` B ⊆
    dgrad-p-set d m →
        unique-idx (p # q # hs @ (map (fst ∘ snd) ps) @ (map (snd ∘ snd)
    ps)) data →
        (forall p' q'. (p', q') ∈p snd ` set ps → fst p' ≠ 0 → fst q' ≠ 0 →
            crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` B) (fst p') (fst q')) →
        p ∈ B → q ∈ B → fst p ≠ 0 → fst q ≠ 0 →
        crit data hs ps p q → crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` B) (fst p) (fst q))

```

Criteria satisfying *ncrit-spec* can be used for discarding new pairs by reference to new and old elements, whereas criteria satisfying *ocrit-spec* can be used for discarding old pairs by reference to new elements *only* (no existing ones!). The chain criterion satisfies both *ncrit-spec* and *ocrit-spec*.

```

lemma icrit-specI:
assumes ⋀ d m data gs bs hs p q.
    dickson-grading d ⇒ fst ` (set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs) ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m
⇒
    unique-idx (gs @ bs @ hs) data ⇒ is-Groebner-basis (fst ` set gs) ⇒
    p ∈ set hs ⇒ q ∈ set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs ⇒ fst p ≠ 0 ⇒ fst q ≠ 0
⇒
    crit data gs bs hs p q ⇒
    crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` (set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs)) (fst p) (fst q)
shows icrit-spec crit
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *icrit-specD*:

assumes *icrit-spec crit and dickson-grading d*
and $\text{fst} ' (\text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{set hs}) \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d \text{ m}$ **and** *unique-idx (gs @ bs @ hs) data*
and *is-Groebner-basis (fst ' set gs)* **and** $p \in \text{set hs}$ **and** $q \in \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{set hs}$
and $\text{fst } p \neq 0$ **and** $\text{fst } q \neq 0$ **and** *crit data gs bs hs p q*
shows *crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' (set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs)) (fst p) (fst q)*
{proof}

lemma *ncrit-specI*:

assumes $\bigwedge d m \text{ data gs bs hs ps } B \text{ q-in-bs } p \text{ q}$.
dickson-grading d $\implies \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{set hs} \subseteq B \implies$
 $\text{fst} ' B \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d \text{ m} \implies \text{snd} ' \text{set ps} \subseteq \text{set hs} \times (\text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{set hs}) \implies$
unique-idx (gs @ bs @ hs) data $\implies \text{is-Groebner-basis} (\text{fst} ' \text{set gs}) \implies$
 $(\text{q-in-bs} \rightarrow q \in \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs}) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge p' q'. (p', q') \in_p \text{snd} ' \text{set ps} \implies \text{fst } p' \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } q' \neq 0 \implies$
crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' B) (fst p') (fst q') \implies
 $(\bigwedge p' q'. p' \in \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \implies q' \in \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \implies \text{fst } p' \neq 0 \implies$
 $\text{fst } q' \neq 0 \implies$
crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' B) (fst p') (fst q') \implies
 $p \in \text{set hs} \implies q \in \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{set hs} \implies \text{fst } p \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } q \neq 0$
 \implies
crit data gs bs hs q-in-bs ps p q \implies
crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' B) (fst p) (fst q)
shows *ncrit-spec crit*
{proof}

lemma *ncrit-specD*:

assumes *ncrit-spec crit and dickson-grading d and set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs ⊆ B*
and $\text{fst} ' B \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d \text{ m}$ **and** *snd ' set ps ⊆ set hs × (set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs)*
and *unique-idx (gs @ bs @ hs) data and is-Groebner-basis (fst ' set gs)*
and *q-in-bs* $\implies q \in \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs}$
and $\bigwedge p' q'. (p', q') \in_p \text{snd} ' \text{set ps} \implies \text{fst } p' \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } q' \neq 0 \implies$
crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' B) (fst p') (fst q')
and $\bigwedge p' q'. p' \in \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \implies q' \in \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \implies \text{fst } p' \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } q' \neq 0 \implies$
crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' B) (fst p') (fst q')
and $p \in \text{set hs}$ **and** $q \in \text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{set hs}$ **and** $\text{fst } p \neq 0$ **and** $\text{fst } q \neq 0$
and *crit data gs bs hs q-in-bs ps p q*
shows *crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ' B) (fst p) (fst q)*
{proof}

lemma *ocrit-specI*:

assumes $\bigwedge d m \text{ data hs ps } B \text{ p q}$.
dickson-grading d $\implies \text{set hs} \subseteq B \implies \text{fst} ' B \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d \text{ m} \implies$
unique-idx (p # q # hs @ (map (fst ∘ snd) ps) @ (map (snd ∘ snd)

```


$$ps)) \text{ data} \implies$$


$$(\bigwedge p' q'. (p', q') \in_p \text{snd} \setminus \text{set } ps \implies \text{fst } p' \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } q' \neq 0 \implies$$


$$\text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } 'B) (\text{fst } p') (\text{fst } q')) \implies$$


$$p \in B \implies q \in B \implies \text{fst } p \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } q \neq 0 \implies$$


$$\text{crit data } hs \text{ ps } p \text{ q} \implies \text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst } 'B) (\text{fst } p) (\text{fst } q)$$

shows ocrit-spec crit  

(proof)

```

```

lemma ocrit-specD:
  assumes ocrit-spec crit and dickson-grading d and set hs ⊆ B and fst 'B ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m
    and unique-idx (p # q # hs @ (map (fst ∘ snd) ps) @ (map (snd ∘ snd) ps))
  data
    and  $\bigwedge p' q'. (p', q') \in_p \text{snd} \setminus \text{set } ps \implies \text{fst } p' \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } q' \neq 0 \implies$ 
      crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst 'B) (fst p') (fst q')
    and p ∈ B and q ∈ B and fst p ≠ 0 and fst q ≠ 0
    and crit data hs ps p q
  shows crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst 'B) (fst p) (fst q)  

(proof)

```

6.3.2 Suitable instances of the *crit* parameters

```

definition component-crit :: ('t, 'b::zero, 'c, 'd) icritT
  where component-crit data gs bs hs p q  $\longleftrightarrow$  (component-of-term (lt (fst p)) ≠ component-of-term (lt (fst q)))

```

```

lemma icrit-spec-component-crit: icrit-spec (component-crit::('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) icritT)  

(proof)

```

The product criterion is only applicable to scalar polynomials.

```

definition product-crit :: ('a, 'b::zero, 'c, 'd) icritT
  where product-crit data gs bs hs p q  $\longleftrightarrow$  (gcs (punit.lt (fst p)) (punit.lt (fst q)) = 0)

```

```

lemma (in gd-term) icrit-spec-product-crit: punit.icrit-spec (product-crit::('a, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) icritT)  

(proof)

```

component-crit and *product-crit* ignore the *data* parameter.

```

fun (in -) pair-in-list :: (bool × ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair) list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  pair-in-list []  $\dashv$  = False
  | pair-in-list ((-, (-, i', -), (-, j', -)) # ps) i j =
     $((i = i' \wedge j = j') \vee (i = j' \wedge j = i') \vee \text{pair-in-list } ps \ i \ j)$ 

```

```

lemma (in -) pair-in-listE:
  assumes pair-in-list ps i j
  obtains p q a b where  $((p, i, a), (q, j, b)) \in_p \text{snd} \setminus \text{set } ps$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition chain-ncrit :: ('t, 'b::zero, 'c, 'd) ncritT
  where chain-ncrit data gs bs hs q-in-bs ps p q  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    (let v = lt (fst p); l = term-of-pair (lcs (pp-of-term v) (lp (fst q)), component-of-term v);
     i = fst (snd p); j = fst (snd q) in
      ( $\exists r \in set\ gs$ . let k = fst (snd r) in
       k  $\neq$  i  $\wedge$  k  $\neq$  j  $\wedge$  lt (fst r) addst l  $\wedge$  pair-in-list ps i k  $\wedge$  (q-in-bs  $\vee$  pair-in-list ps j k)  $\wedge$  fst r  $\neq$  0)  $\vee$ 
      ( $\exists r \in set\ bs$ . let k = fst (snd r) in
       k  $\neq$  i  $\wedge$  k  $\neq$  j  $\wedge$  lt (fst r) addst l  $\wedge$  pair-in-list ps i k  $\wedge$  (q-in-bs  $\vee$  pair-in-list ps j k)  $\wedge$  fst r  $\neq$  0)  $\vee$ 
      ( $\exists h \in set\ hs$ . let k = fst (snd h) in
       k  $\neq$  i  $\wedge$  k  $\neq$  j  $\wedge$  lt (fst h) addst l  $\wedge$  pair-in-list ps i k  $\wedge$  pair-in-list ps j k  $\wedge$  fst h  $\neq$  0))
  
```

```

definition chain-ocrit :: ('t, 'b::zero, 'c, 'd) ocritT
  where chain-ocrit data hs ps p q  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
    (let v = lt (fst p); l = term-of-pair (lcs (pp-of-term v) (lp (fst q)), component-of-term v);
     i = fst (snd p); j = fst (snd q) in
      ( $\exists h \in set\ hs$ . let k = fst (snd h) in
       k  $\neq$  i  $\wedge$  k  $\neq$  j  $\wedge$  lt (fst h) addst l  $\wedge$  pair-in-list ps i k  $\wedge$  pair-in-list ps j k  $\wedge$  fst h  $\neq$  0))
  
```

chain-ncrit and *chain-ocrit* ignore the *data* parameter.

lemma chain-ncriteE:

```

assumes chain-ncrit data gs bs hs q-in-bs ps p q and snd `set ps  $\subseteq$  set hs  $\times$  (set gs  $\cup$  set bs  $\cup$  set hs)
and unique-idx (gs @ bs @ hs) data and p  $\in$  set hs and q  $\in$  set gs  $\cup$  set bs  $\cup$  set hs
obtains r where r  $\in$  set gs  $\cup$  set bs  $\cup$  set hs and fst r  $\neq$  0 and r  $\neq$  p and r  $\neq$  q
and lt (fst r) addst term-of-pair (lcs (lp (fst p)) (lp (fst q)), component-of-term (lt (fst p))))
and (p, r)  $\in_p$  snd `set ps and (r  $\in$  set gs  $\cup$  set bs  $\wedge$  q-in-bs)  $\vee$  (q, r)  $\in_p$  snd `set ps
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  
```

lemma chain-ocriteE:

```

assumes chain-ocrit data hs ps p q
and unique-idx (p # q # hs @ (map (fst  $\circ$  snd) ps) @ (map (snd  $\circ$  snd) ps))
  data (is unique-idx ?xs -)
obtains h where h  $\in$  set hs and fst h  $\neq$  0 and h  $\neq$  p and h  $\neq$  q
and lt (fst h) addst term-of-pair (lcs (lp (fst p)) (lp (fst q)), component-of-term (lt (fst p))))
and (p, h)  $\in_p$  snd `set ps and (q, h)  $\in_p$  snd `set ps
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
  
```

lemma *ncrit-spec-chain-ncrit*: *ncrit-spec* (*chain-ncrit*::('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) *ncritT*)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *ocrit-spec-chain-ocrit*: *ocrit-spec* (*chain-ocrit*::('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) *ocritT*)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *icrit-spec-no-crit*: *icrit-spec* (($\lambda \dots . False$)::('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) *icritT*)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *ncrit-spec-no-crit*: *ncrit-spec* (($\lambda \dots . False$)::('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) *ncritT*)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *ocrit-spec-no-crit*: *ocrit-spec* (($\lambda \dots . False$)::('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) *ocritT*)
⟨proof⟩

6.3.3 Creating Initial List of New Pairs

type-synonym (**in** −) ('t, 'b, 'c) *apsT* = *bool* ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c) *pdata list* ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c) *pdata list* ⇒
 \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) *pdata* ⇒ (*bool* × ('t, 'b, 'c) *pdata-pair*) *list*
 \Rightarrow (*bool* × ('t, 'b, 'c) *pdata-pair*) *list*

type-synonym (**in** −) ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) *npT* = ('t, 'b, 'c) *pdata list* ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c)
pdata list ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c) *pdata* ⇒ *nat* × 'd ⇒
 \Rightarrow (*bool* × ('t, 'b, 'c) *pdata-pair*) *list*

definition *np-spec* :: ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) *npT* ⇒ *bool*
where *np-spec np* \longleftrightarrow ($\forall gs bs hs data$.
 $snd \cdot set (np gs bs hs data) \subseteq set hs \times (set gs \cup set bs \cup set$
 $hs) \wedge$
 $set hs \times (set gs \cup set bs) \subseteq snd \cdot set (np gs bs hs data) \wedge$
 $(\forall a b. a \in set hs \longrightarrow b \in set hs \longrightarrow a \neq b \longrightarrow (a, b) \in_p$
 $snd \cdot set (np gs bs hs data)) \wedge$
 $(\forall p q. (True, p, q) \in set (np gs bs hs data) \longrightarrow q \in set gs$
 $\cup set bs))$

lemma *np-specI*:
assumes $\bigwedge gs bs hs data$.
 $set hs \times (set gs \cup set bs \cup set hs) \subseteq set hs \times (set gs \cup set bs \cup set hs) \wedge$
 $set hs \times (set gs \cup set bs) \subseteq snd \cdot set (np gs bs hs data) \wedge$
 $(\forall a b. a \in set hs \longrightarrow b \in set hs \longrightarrow a \neq b \longrightarrow (a, b) \in_p snd \cdot set (np$
 $gs bs hs data)) \wedge$
 $(\forall p q. (True, p, q) \in set (np gs bs hs data) \longrightarrow q \in set gs \cup set bs)$
shows *np-spec np*
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma np-specD1:
  assumes np-spec np
  shows snd ` set (np gs bs hs data) ⊆ set hs × (set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma np-specD2:
  assumes np-spec np
  shows set hs × (set gs ∪ set bs) ⊆ snd ` set (np gs bs hs data)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma np-specD3:
  assumes np-spec np and a ∈ set hs and b ∈ set hs and a ≠ b
  shows (a, b) ∈p snd ` set (np gs bs hs data)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma np-specD4:
  assumes np-spec np and (True, p, q) ∈ set (np gs bs hs data)
  shows q ∈ set gs ∪ set bs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma np-specE:
  assumes np-spec np and p ∈ set hs and q ∈ set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs and p ≠ q
  assumes 1: ⋀q-in-bs. (q-in-bs, p, q) ∈ set (np gs bs hs data) ==> thesis
  assumes 2: ⋀p-in-bs. (p-in-bs, q, p) ∈ set (np gs bs hs data) ==> thesis
  shows thesis
  ⟨proof⟩

definition add-pairs-single-naive :: 'd ⇒ ('t, 'b::zero, 'c) apsT
  where add-pairs-single-naive data flag gs bs h ps = ps @ (map (λg. (flag, h, g))
  gs) @ (map (λb. (flag, h, b)) bs)

lemma set-add-pairs-single-naive:
  set (add-pairs-single-naive data flag gs bs h ps) = set ps ∪ Pair flag ` ({h} × (set
  gs ∪ set bs))
  ⟨proof⟩

fun add-pairs-single-sorted :: ((bool × ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair) ⇒ (bool × ('t, 'b, 'c)
  pdata-pair) ⇒ bool) ⇒
  ('t, 'b::zero, 'c) apsT where
  add-pairs-single-sorted - - [] [] - ps = ps|
  add-pairs-single-sorted rel flag [] (b # bs) h ps =
    add-pairs-single-sorted rel flag [] bs h (insertr-wrt rel (flag, h, b) ps)|
  add-pairs-single-sorted rel flag (g # gs) bs h ps =
    add-pairs-single-sorted rel flag gs bs h (insertr-wrt rel (flag, h, g) ps)

lemma set-add-pairs-single-sorted:
  set (add-pairs-single-sorted rel flag gs bs h ps) = set ps ∪ Pair flag ` ({h} × (set
  gs ∪ set bs))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

primrec (**in** $-$) *pairs* :: $('t, 'b, 'c)$ *apsT* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow $('t, 'b, 'c)$ *pdata list* \Rightarrow (*bool* \times $('t, 'b, 'c)$ *pdata-pair*) *list*
where
pairs $- - [] = []$
pairs *aps flag* (*x* # *xs*) = *aps flag* [] *xs x* (*pairs* *aps flag* *xs*)

lemma *pairs-subset*:

assumes $\bigwedge gs bs h ps. set(aps flag gs bs h ps) = set ps \cup Pair flag ` (\{h\} \times (set gs \cup set bs))$
shows $set(pairs aps flag xs) \subseteq Pair flag ` (set xs \times set xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-pairsI*:

assumes $\bigwedge gs bs h ps. set(aps flag gs bs h ps) = set ps \cup Pair flag ` (\{h\} \times (set gs \cup set bs))$
and $a \neq b$ **and** $a \in set xs$ **and** $b \in set xs$
shows $(flag, a, b) \in set(pairs aps flag xs) \vee (flag, b, a) \in set(pairs aps flag xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *in-pairsI'*:

assumes $\bigwedge gs bs h ps. set(aps flag gs bs h ps) = set ps \cup Pair flag ` (\{h\} \times (set gs \cup set bs))$
and $a \in set xs$ **and** $b \in set xs$ **and** $a \neq b$
shows $(a, b) \in_p snd ` set(pairs aps flag xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *new-pairs-naive* :: $('t, 'b::zero, 'c, 'd)$ *npT*

where *new-pairs-naive* *gs bs hs data* =
 $fold(add-pairs-single-naive data True gs bs) hs (pairs(add-pairs-single-naive data) False hs)$

definition *new-pairs-sorted* :: $(nat \times 'd \Rightarrow (bool \times ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair) \Rightarrow (bool \times ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair)) \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow ('t, 'b::zero, 'c, 'd) npT$

where *new-pairs-sorted* *rel gs bs hs data* =
 $fold(add-pairs-single-sorted (rel data) True gs bs) hs (pairs(add-pairs-single-sorted (rel data)) False hs)$

lemma *set-fold-aps*:

assumes $\bigwedge gs bs h ps. set(aps flag gs bs h ps) = set ps \cup Pair flag ` (\{h\} \times (set gs \cup set bs))$
shows $set(fold(aps flag gs bs) hs ps) = Pair flag ` (set hs \times (set gs \cup set bs)) \cup set ps$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-new-pairs-naive*:

$set(new-pairs-naive gs bs hs data) =$

Pair True ‘(set hs × (set gs ∪ set bs)) ∪ set (pairs (add-pairs-single-naive data) False hs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma *set-new-pairs-sorted*:

set (new-pairs-sorted rel gs bs hs data) =
Pair True ‘(set hs × (set gs ∪ set bs)) ∪ set (pairs (add-pairs-single-sorted (rel data)) False hs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (*in –*) *fst-snd-Pair [simp]*:

shows *fst o Pair x = (λ-. x)* **and** *snd o Pair x = id*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *np-spec-new-pairs-naive: np-spec new-pairs-naive*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *np-spec-new-pairs-sorted: np-spec (new-pairs-sorted rel)*
⟨proof⟩

new-pairs-naive gs bs hs data and *new-pairs-sorted rel gs bs hs data* return lists of triples $(q\text{-in-}bs, p, q)$, where *q-in-bs* indicates whether *q* is contained in the list *gs @ bs* or in the list *hs*. *p* is always contained in *hs*.

definition *canon-pair-order-aux :: ('t, 'b::zero, 'c) pdata-pair ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair*
 \Rightarrow *bool*
where *canon-pair-order-aux p q* \longleftrightarrow
 $(lcs (lp (fst (fst p))) (lp (fst (snd p)))) \leq lcs (lp (fst (fst q))) (lp (fst (snd q))))$

abbreviation *canon-pair-order data p q ≡ canon-pair-order-aux (snd p) (snd q)*

abbreviation *canon-pair-comb ≡ merge-wrt canon-pair-order-aux*

6.3.4 Applying Criteria to New Pairs

definition *apply-icrit :: ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) icritT ⇒ (nat × 'd) ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list*
 \Rightarrow
 $('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow$
 $(bool \times ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair) list \Rightarrow$
 $(bool \times bool \times ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair) list$

where *apply-icrit crit data gs bs hs ps = (let c = crit data gs bs hs in map*
 $(\lambda(q\text{-in-}bs, p, q). (c p q, q\text{-in-}bs, p, q)) ps)$

lemma *fst-apply-icrit*:

assumes *icrit-spec crit and dickson-grading d*
and *fst ‘(set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs) ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m and unique-idx (gs @ bs @ hs) data*
and *is-Groebner-basis (fst ‘set gs)* **and** *p ∈ set hs* **and** *q ∈ set gs ∪ set bs ∪ set hs*

and $\text{fst } p \neq 0$ **and** $\text{fst } q \neq 0$ **and** $(\text{True}, \text{q-in-bs}, p, q) \in \text{set}(\text{apply-icrit crit data gs bs hs ps})$
shows $\text{crit-pair-cbelow-on } d m (\text{fst} ' (\text{set gs} \cup \text{set bs} \cup \text{set hs})) (\text{fst } p) (\text{fst } q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{snd-apply-icrit} [\text{simp}]: \text{map snd} (\text{apply-icrit crit data gs bs hs ps}) = ps$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{set-snd-apply-icrit} [\text{simp}]: \text{snd} ' \text{set} (\text{apply-icrit crit data gs bs hs ps}) = \text{set ps}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{apply-ncrit} :: ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) \text{ncritT} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \times 'd) \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata list} \Rightarrow$

$('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata list} \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata list} \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{bool} \times \text{bool} \times ('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata-pair}) \text{list} \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{bool} \times ('t, 'b, 'c) \text{pdata-pair}) \text{list}$

where $\text{apply-ncrit crit data gs bs hs ps} =$

$(\text{let } c = \text{crit data gs bs hs} \text{ in}$
 $\text{rev} (\text{fold} (\lambda(\text{ic}, \text{q-in-bs}, p, q). \lambda ps'. \text{if } \neg \text{ic} \wedge c \text{ q-in-bs ps' p q} \text{ then ps'}$
 $\text{else } (\text{ic}, p, q) \# ps') \text{ ps } []))$

lemma $\text{apply-ncrit-append}:$

$\text{apply-ncrit crit data gs bs hs} (xs @ ys) =$
 $\text{rev} (\text{fold} (\lambda(\text{ic}, \text{q-in-bs}, p, q). \lambda ps'. \text{if } \neg \text{ic} \wedge \text{crit data gs bs hs q-in-bs ps' p q}$
 $\text{then ps' else } (\text{ic}, p, q) \# ps') \text{ ys}$
 $\quad (\text{rev} (\text{apply-ncrit crit data gs bs hs xs})))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fold-superset}:$

$\text{set acc} \subseteq$
 $\text{set} (\text{fold} (\lambda(\text{ic}, \text{q-in-bs}, p, q). \lambda ps'. \text{if } \neg \text{ic} \wedge c \text{ q-in-bs ps' p q} \text{ then ps' else } (\text{ic},$
 $p, q) \# ps') \text{ ps acc})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{apply-ncrit-superset}:$

$\text{set} (\text{apply-ncrit crit data gs bs hs ps}) \subseteq \text{set} (\text{apply-ncrit crit data gs bs hs} (ps @$
 $qs))$ (**is** $?l \subseteq ?r$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{apply-ncrit-subset-aux}:$

assumes $(\text{ic}, p, q) \in \text{set} (\text{fold} (\lambda(\text{ic}, \text{q-in-bs}, p, q). \lambda ps'. \text{if } \neg \text{ic} \wedge c \text{ q-in-bs ps' p q} \text{ then ps' else } (\text{ic}, p,$
 $q) \# ps') \text{ ps acc})$
shows $(\text{ic}, p, q) \in \text{set acc} \vee (\exists \text{q-in-bs}. (\text{ic}, \text{q-in-bs}, p, q) \in \text{set ps})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary $\text{apply-ncrit-subset}:$

assumes $(\text{ic}, p, q) \in \text{set} (\text{apply-ncrit crit data gs bs hs ps})$

obtains $q\text{-in-}bs$ **where** $(ic, q\text{-in-}bs, p, q) \in set ps$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $apply\text{-}ncrit\text{-}subset'$: $snd \setminus set(apply\text{-}ncrit crit data gs bs hs ps) \subseteq snd \setminus set ps$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $not\text{-}in\text{-}apply\text{-}ncrit$:

assumes $(ic, p, q) \notin set(apply\text{-}ncrit crit data gs bs hs (xs @ ((ic, q\text{-in-}bs, p, q) \# ys)))$
shows $crit data gs bs hs q\text{-in-}bs (rev(apply\text{-}ncrit crit data gs bs hs xs)) p q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $(in _) setE$:

assumes $x \in set xs$
obtains $ys zs$ **where** $xs = ys @ (x \# zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $apply\text{-}ncrit\text{-}connectible$:

assumes $ncrit\text{-}spec crit \text{ and } dickson\text{-}grading d$
and $set gs \cup set bs \cup set hs \subseteq B \text{ and } fst \setminus B \subseteq dgrad\text{-}p\text{-}set d m$
and $snd \setminus snd \setminus set ps \subseteq set hs \times (set gs \cup set bs \cup set hs) \text{ and } unique\text{-}idx(gs @ bs @ hs) data$
and $is\text{-}Groebner\text{-}basis(fst \setminus set gs)$
and $\bigwedge p' q'. (p', q') \in snd \setminus set(apply\text{-}ncrit crit data gs bs hs ps) \implies$
 $fst p' \neq 0 \implies fst q' \neq 0 \implies crit\text{-}pair\text{-}cbelow\text{-}on d m (fst \setminus B) (fst p') (fst q')$
and $\bigwedge p' q'. p' \in set gs \cup set bs \implies q' \in set gs \cup set bs \implies fst p' \neq 0 \implies fst q' \neq 0 \implies$
 $crit\text{-}pair\text{-}cbelow\text{-}on d m (fst \setminus B) (fst p') (fst q')$
assumes $(ic, q\text{-in-}bs, p, q) \in set ps \text{ and } fst p \neq 0 \text{ and } fst q \neq 0$
and $q\text{-in-}bs \implies (q \in set gs \cup set bs)$
shows $crit\text{-}pair\text{-}cbelow\text{-}on d m (fst \setminus B) (fst p) (fst q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.3.5 Applying Criteria to Old Pairs

definition $apply\text{-}ocrit :: ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) ocritT \Rightarrow (nat \times 'd) \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list$
 \Rightarrow
 $\quad (bool \times ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata\text{-}pair) list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata\text{-}pair$
 $list \Rightarrow$
 $\quad ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata\text{-}pair list$
where $apply\text{-}ocrit crit data hs ps' ps = (let c = crit data hs ps' in [(p, q) \leftarrow ps .$
 $\neg c p q])$

lemma $set\text{-}apply\text{-}ocrit$:

$set(apply\text{-}ocrit crit data hs ps' ps) = \{(p, q) \mid p q. (p, q) \in set ps \wedge \neg crit data hs ps' p q\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *set-apply-ocrit-iff*:

$(p, q) \in \text{set}(\text{apply-ocrit crit data hs } ps' ps) \longleftrightarrow ((p, q) \in \text{set } ps \wedge \neg \text{crit data hs } ps' p q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *apply-ocrit-connectible*:

assumes *ocrit-spec crit and dickson-grading d and set hs ⊆ B and fst ` B ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
and *unique-idx (p # q # hs @ (map (fst ∘ snd) ps') @ (map (snd ∘ snd) ps'))*
data
and $\bigwedge p' q'. (p', q') \in \text{set } ps' \implies \text{fst } p' \neq 0 \implies \text{fst } q' \neq 0 \implies$
crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` B) (fst p') (fst q')
assumes *p ∈ B and q ∈ B and fst p ≠ 0 and fst q ≠ 0*
and *(p, q) ∈ set ps and (p, q) ∉ set (apply-ocrit crit data hs ps' ps)*
shows *crit-pair-cbelow-on d m (fst ` B) (fst p) (fst q)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.3.6 Creating Final List of Pairs

context

fixes *np::('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) npT*
and *icrit::('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) icritT*
and *ncrit::('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) ncritT*
and *ocrit::('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) ocritT*
and *comb::('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list ⇒ ('t, 'b, 'c)*
pdata-pair list
begin

definition *add-pairs :: ('t, 'b, 'c, 'd) apT*

where *add-pairs gs bs ps hs data =*

(let ps1 = apply-ncrit ncrit data gs bs hs (apply-icrit icrit data gs bs hs (np gs bs hs data));
 $ps2 = \text{apply-ocrit ocrit data hs } ps1 \text{ ps in comb} (\text{map snd } [x \leftarrow ps1 . \neg \text{fst } x]) \text{ ps2})$

lemma *set-add-pairs*:

assumes $\bigwedge xs ys. \text{set}(\text{comb } xs \text{ } ys) = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$
assumes *ps1 = apply-ncrit ncrit data gs bs hs (apply-icrit icrit data gs bs hs (np gs bs hs data))*
shows *set (add-pairs gs bs ps hs data) =*
 $\{(p, q) \mid p \neq q. (\text{False}, p, q) \in \text{set } ps1 \vee ((p, q) \in \text{set } ps \wedge \neg \text{ocrit data hs } ps1 \text{ } p \text{ } q)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-add-pairs-iff*:

assumes $\bigwedge xs ys. \text{set}(\text{comb } xs \text{ } ys) = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$
assumes *ps1 = apply-ncrit ncrit data gs bs hs (apply-icrit icrit data gs bs hs (np gs bs hs data))*

```

shows (( $p, q \in \text{set}(\text{add-pairs } gs \text{ } bs \text{ } ps \text{ } hs \text{ } data)$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
          ( $(\text{False}, p, q) \in \text{set } ps1 \vee ((p, q) \in \text{set } ps \wedge \neg \text{ocrit } data \text{ } hs \text{ } ps1 \text{ } p \text{ } q)$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ap-spec-add-pairs:
assumes np-spec np and icrit-spec icrit and ncrit-spec ncrit and ocrit-spec ocrit
and  $\bigwedge_{xs \text{ } ys} \text{set}(\text{comb } xs \text{ } ys) = \text{set } xs \cup \text{set } ys$ 
shows ap-spec add-pairs
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

abbreviation add-pairs-canonical  $\equiv$ 
add-pairs (new-pairs-sorted canon-pair-order) component-crit chain-ncrit chain-ocrit
canon-pair-comb

lemma ap-spec-add-pairs-canonical: ap-spec add-pairs-canonical
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

6.4 Suitable Instances of the *completion* Parameter

```

definition rcp-spec :: ('t, 'b::field, 'c, 'd) complT  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where rcp-spec rcp  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
         $(\forall gs \text{ } bs \text{ } ps \text{ } sps \text{ } data.$ 
            $0 \notin \text{fst} \text{'} \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{rcp } gs \text{ } bs \text{ } ps \text{ } sps \text{ } data)) \wedge$ 
            $(\forall h \text{ } b. \text{ } h \in \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{rcp } gs \text{ } bs \text{ } ps \text{ } sps \text{ } data)) \longrightarrow b \in \text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs \longrightarrow$ 
            $\text{fst } b \neq 0 \longrightarrow$ 
               $\neg \text{lt}(\text{fst } b) \text{addst } \text{lt}(\text{fst } h) \wedge$ 
               $(\forall d. \text{dickson-grading } d \longrightarrow$ 
                  $dgrad-p-set-le d (\text{fst} \text{'} \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{rcp } gs \text{ } bs \text{ } ps \text{ } sps \text{ } data))) (\text{args-to-set}$ 
            $(gs, bs, sps)) \wedge$ 
               $\text{component-of-term } \text{'Keys}(\text{fst} \text{'} (\text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{rcp } gs \text{ } bs \text{ } ps \text{ } sps \text{ } data)))) \subseteq$ 
               $\text{component-of-term } \text{'Keys}(\text{args-to-set}(gs, bs, sps)) \wedge$ 
               $(\text{is-Groebner-basis}(\text{fst} \text{'} \text{set } gs) \longrightarrow \text{unique-idx}(gs @ bs) \text{ } data \longrightarrow$ 
               $(\text{fst} \text{'} \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{rcp } gs \text{ } bs \text{ } ps \text{ } sps \text{ } data)) \subseteq \text{pmdl}(\text{args-to-set}(gs, bs, sps))$ 
         $\wedge$ 
         $(\forall (p, q) \in \text{set } sps. \text{ } \text{set } sps \subseteq \text{set } bs \times (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \longrightarrow$ 
            $(\text{red}(\text{fst} \text{'} (\text{set } gs \cup \text{set } bs) \cup \text{fst} \text{'} \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{rcp } gs \text{ } bs \text{ } ps \text{ } sps \text{ } data))))^{**}$ 
            $(\text{spoly}(\text{fst } p)(\text{fst } q)) \text{ } 0)))$ 

```

Informally, *rcp-spec rcp* expresses that, for suitable *gs*, *bs* and *sps*, the value of *rcp gs bs ps sps*

- is a list consisting exclusively of non-zero polynomials contained in the module generated by *set bs* \cup *set gs*, whose leading terms are not divisible by the leading term of any non-zero $b \in \text{set } bs$, and
- contains sufficiently many new polynomials such that all S-polynomials originating from *sps* can be reduced to 0 modulo the enlarged list of polynomials.

```

lemma rcp-specI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data.\ 0 \notin fst`set(fst(rcp\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data))$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ h\ b\ data.\ h \in set(fst(rcp\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data)) \implies b \in set$ 
   $gs \cup set\ bs \implies fst\ b \neq 0 \implies$ 
     $\neg lt(fst\ b)\ adds_t\ lt(fst\ h)$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ d\ data.\ dickson-grading\ d \implies$ 
     $dgrad-p-set-le\ d(fst`set(fst(rcp\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data)))\ (args-to-set(gs,\ bs,\ sps))$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data.\ component-of-term`\ Keys(fst`set(fst(rcp\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data)))) \subseteq$ 
     $component-of-term`\ Keys(args-to-set(gs,\ bs,\ sps))$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data.\ is-Groebner-basis(fst`set\ gs) \implies unique-idx(gs @\ bs)\ data \implies$ 
     $(fst`set(fst(rcp\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data))) \subseteq pmdl(args-to-set(gs,\ bs,\ sps))$ 
   $\wedge$ 
     $(\forall(p,\ q) \in set\ sps.\ set\ sps \subseteq set\ bs \times (set\ gs \cup set\ bs) \longrightarrow$ 
       $(red(fst`set\ gs \cup set\ bs) \cup fst`set(fst(rcp\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data))))^{**}$ 
   $(spoly(fst\ p)(fst\ q))\ 0))$ 
  shows rcp-spec rcp
  <proof>

lemma rcp-specD1:
  assumes rcp-spec rcp
  shows  $0 \notin fst`set(fst(rcp\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data))$ 
  <proof>

lemma rcp-specD2:
  assumes rcp-spec rcp
  and  $h \in set(fst(rcp\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data))$  and  $b \in set\ gs \cup set\ bs$  and  $fst\ b \neq 0$ 
  shows  $\neg lt(fst\ b)\ adds_t\ lt(fst\ h)$ 
  <proof>

lemma rcp-specD3:
  assumes rcp-spec rcp and dickson-grading d
  shows  $dgrad-p-set-le\ d(fst`set(fst(rcp\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data)))\ (args-to-set(gs,\ bs,\ sps))$ 
  <proof>

lemma rcp-specD4:
  assumes rcp-spec rcp
  shows  $component-of-term`\ Keys(fst`set(fst(rcp\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data)))) \subseteq$ 
     $component-of-term`\ Keys(args-to-set(gs,\ bs,\ sps))$ 
  <proof>

lemma rcp-specD5:
  assumes rcp-spec rcp and is-Groebner-basis(fst`set\ gs) and unique-idx(gs @\ bs)\ data
  shows  $fst`set(fst(rcp\ gs\ bs\ ps\ sps\ data)) \subseteq pmdl(args-to-set(gs,\ bs,\ sps))$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma rcp-specD6:
  assumes rcp-spec rcp and is-Groebner-basis (fst ` set gs) and unique-idx (gs @
  bs) data
  and set sps ⊆ set bs × (set gs ∪ set bs)
  and (p, q) ∈ set sps
  shows (red (fst ` (set gs ∪ set bs) ∪ fst ` set (fst (rcp gs bs ps sps data))))**  

  (spoly (fst p) (fst q)) 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compl-struct-rcp:
  assumes rcp-spec rcp
  shows compl-struct rcp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compl-pmdl-rcp:
  assumes rcp-spec rcp
  shows compl-pmdl rcp
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compl-conn-rcp:
  assumes rcp-spec rcp
  shows compl-conn rcp
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

6.5 Suitable Instances of the *add-basis* Parameter

```

definition add-basis-naive :: ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) abT
  where add-basis-naive gs bs ns data = bs @ ns

lemma ab-spec-add-basis-naive: ab-spec add-basis-naive
  ⟨proof⟩

definition add-basis-sorted :: (nat × 'd ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata
  ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) abT
  where add-basis-sorted rel gs bs ns data = merge-wrt (rel data) bs ns

lemma ab-spec-add-basis-sorted: ab-spec (add-basis-sorted rel)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition card-keys :: ('a ⇒₀ 'b::zero) ⇒ nat
  where card-keys = card ∘ keys

definition (in ordered-term) canon-basis-order :: 'd ⇒ ('t, 'b::zero, 'c) pdata ⇒
  ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata ⇒ bool
  where canon-basis-order data p q ←→
    (let cp = card-keys (fst p); cq = card-keys (fst q) in

```

$$cp < cq \vee (cp = cq \wedge lt(fst p) \prec_t lt(fst q)))$$

abbreviation (in *ordered-term*) *add-basis-canon* \equiv *add-basis-sorted canon-basis-order*

6.6 Special Case: Scalar Polynomials

context *gd-powerprod*
begin

```

lemma remdups-map-component-of-term-punit:
  remdups (map ( $\lambda$ -. ()) (punit.Keys-to-list (map fst bs))) =
    (if ( $\forall$  b $\in$ set bs. fst b = 0) then [] else [()])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-const-lt-components-punit [code]:
  punit.count-const-lt-components hs =
    (if ( $\exists$  h $\in$ set hs. punit.const-lt-component (fst h) = Some ()) then 1 else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-rem-components-punit [code]:
  punit.count-rem-components bs =
    (if ( $\forall$  b $\in$ set bs. fst b = 0) then 0
     else
       if ( $\exists$  b $\in$ set bs. fst b  $\neq$  0  $\wedge$  punit.const-lt-component (fst b) = Some ()) then
         0 else 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma full-gb-punit [code]:
  punit.full-gb bs = (if ( $\forall$  b $\in$ set bs. fst b = 0) then [] else [(1, 0, default)])
  ⟨proof⟩

```

abbreviation *add-pairs-punit-canonical* \equiv
punit.add-pairs (*punit.new-pairs-sorted punit.canon-pair-order*) *punit.product-crit*
punit.chain-ncrit
punit.chain-ocrit punit.canon-pair-comb

lemma ap-spec-add-pairs-punit-canonical: *punit.ap-spec add-pairs-punit-canonical*
 ⟨proof⟩

end

end

7 Buchberger's Algorithm

theory *Buchberger*
imports *Algorithm-Schema*
begin

context *gd-term*
begin

7.1 Reduction

definition *trdsp*::('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::field)
where *trdsp* bs p \equiv *trd* bs (*spoly* (*fst* p)) (*fst* (*snd* p)))

lemma *trdsp-alt*: *trdsp* bs (p, q) = *trd* bs (*spoly* (*fst* p)) (*fst* q))
{proof}

lemma *trdsp-in-pmdl*: *trdsp* bs (p, q) \in *pmdl* (*insert* (*fst* p)) (*insert* (*fst* q)) (*set* bs)))
{proof}

lemma *dgrad-p-set-le-trdsp*:
assumes *dickson-grading* d
shows *dgrad-p-set-le* d {*trdsp* bs (p, q)} (*insert* (*fst* p)) (*insert* (*fst* q)) (*set* bs)))
{proof}

lemma *components-trdsp-subset*:
component-of-term ' keys (*trdsp* bs (p, q)) \subseteq *component-of-term* ' Keys (*insert* (*fst* p)) (*insert* (*fst* q)) (*set* bs)))
{proof}

definition *gb-red-aux*::('t, 'b::field, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) list
where *gb-red-aux* bs ps =

$$(\text{let } bs' = \text{map } \text{fst} \text{ bs in } \text{filter } (\lambda h. h \neq 0) (\text{map } (\text{trdsp } bs') \text{ ps}))$$

Actually, *gb-red-aux* is only called on singleton lists.

lemma *set-gb-red-aux*: set (*gb-red-aux* bs ps) = (*trdsp* (*map* *fst* bs)) ' set ps - {0}
{proof}

lemma *in-set-gb-red-auxI*:
assumes (p, q) \in set ps **and** h = *trdsp* (*map* *fst* bs) (p, q) **and** h \neq 0
shows h \in set (*gb-red-aux* bs ps)
{proof}

lemma *in-set-gb-red-auxE*:
assumes h \in set (*gb-red-aux* bs ps)
obtains p q **where** (p, q) \in set ps **and** h = *trdsp* (*map* *fst* bs) (p, q)
{proof}

lemma *gb-red-aux-not-zero*: 0 \notin set (*gb-red-aux* bs ps)
{proof}

```

lemma gb-red-aux-irreducible:
  assumes  $h \in \text{set}(\text{gb-red-aux } bs \ ps)$  and  $b \in \text{set } bs$  and  $\text{fst } b \neq 0$ 
  shows  $\neg \text{lt}(\text{fst } b) \text{ adds}_t \text{lt } h$ 
  {proof}

lemma gb-red-aux-dgrad-p-set-le:
  assumes dickson-grading d
  shows dgrad-p-set-le d (set (gb-red-aux bs ps)) (args-to-set ([] , bs , ps))
  {proof}

lemma components-gb-red-aux-subset:
  component-of-term ‘ Keys (set (gb-red-aux bs ps)) ⊆ component-of-term ‘ Keys (args-to-set ([] , bs , ps))
  {proof}

lemma pmdl-gb-red-aux:  $\text{set}(\text{gb-red-aux } bs \ ps) \subseteq \text{pmdl}(\text{args-to-set}([] , bs , ps))$ 
  {proof}

lemma gb-red-aux-spoly-reducible:
  assumes  $(p, q) \in \text{set } ps$ 
  shows  $(\text{red}(\text{fst} \setminus \text{set } bs \cup \text{set}(\text{gb-red-aux } bs \ ps)))^{**} (\text{spoly}(\text{fst } p)(\text{fst } q)) = 0$ 
  {proof}

definition gb-red ::  $('t, 'b::field, 'c::default, 'd) \text{ complT}$ 
  where  $\text{gb-red } gs \ bs \ ps \ sps \ data = (\text{map}(\lambda h. (h, \text{default})) (\text{gb-red-aux} (gs @ bs) sps), \text{snd } data)$ 

lemma fst-set-fst-gb-red:  $\text{fst} \setminus \text{set}(\text{fst}(\text{gb-red } gs \ bs \ ps \ sps \ data)) = \text{set}(\text{gb-red-aux} (gs @ bs) sps)$ 
  {proof}

lemma rcp-spec-gb-red: rcp-spec gb-red
  {proof}

lemmas compl-struct-gb-red = compl-struct-rcp[OF rcp-spec-gb-red]
lemmas compl-pmdl-gb-red = compl-pmdl-rcp[OF rcp-spec-gb-red]
lemmas compl-conn-gb-red = compl-conn-rcp[OF rcp-spec-gb-red]

```

7.2 Pair Selection

```

primrec gb-sel ::  $('t, 'b::zero, 'c, 'd) \text{ selT where}$ 
  gb-sel gs bs [] data = []|
  gb-sel gs bs (p # ps) data = [p]

```

```

lemma sel-spec-gb-sel: sel-spec gb-sel
  {proof}

```

7.3 Buchberger's Algorithm

```

lemma struct-spec-gb: struct-spec gb-sel add-pairs-canonical add-basis-canonical gb-red

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $gb\text{-aux} :: ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow nat \times nat \times 'd \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow$

$('t, 'b, 'c) pdata\text{-pair list} \Rightarrow ('t, 'b\text{:field}, 'c\text{:default}) pdata list$

where $gb\text{-aux} = gb\text{-schema-aux } gb\text{-sel add-pairs-canon add-basis-canon } gb\text{-red}$

lemmas $gb\text{-aux-simps [code]} = gb\text{-schema-aux-simps[OF struct-spec-gb, folded } gb\text{-aux-def]}$

definition $gb :: ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata' list \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow ('t, 'b\text{:field}, 'c\text{:default}) pdata' list$

where $gb = gb\text{-schema-direct } gb\text{-sel add-pairs-canon add-basis-canon } gb\text{-red}$

lemmas $gb\text{-simp [code]} = gb\text{-schema-direct-def[of } gb\text{-sel add-pairs-canon add-basis-canon } gb\text{-red, folded } gb\text{-def } gb\text{-aux-def]}$

lemmas $gb\text{-isGB} = gb\text{-schema-direct-isGB[OF struct-spec-gb compl-conn-gb-red, folded } gb\text{-def]}$

lemmas $gb\text{-pmdl} = gb\text{-schema-direct-pmdl[OF struct-spec-gb compl-pmdl-gb-red, folded } gb\text{-def]}$

7.3.1 Special Case: $punit$

lemma (in gd-term) $struct\text{-spec-gb-}punit : punit.\text{struct-spec } punit.\text{gb-sel add-pairs-}punit\text{-canon }$
 $punit.\text{add-basis-canon } punit.\text{gb-red}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $gb\text{-aux-}punit :: ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow nat \times nat \times 'd \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c)$
 $pdata list \Rightarrow$

$('a, 'b, 'c) pdata\text{-pair list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b\text{:field}, 'c\text{:default}) pdata list$

where $gb\text{-aux-}punit = punit.\text{gb-schema-aux } punit.\text{gb-sel add-pairs-}punit\text{-canon }$
 $punit.\text{add-basis-canon } punit.\text{gb-red}$

lemmas $gb\text{-aux-}punit\text{-simp [code]} = punit.\text{gb-schema-aux-simps[OF struct-spec-gb-}punit,$
 $\text{folded } gb\text{-aux-}punit\text{-def]}$

definition $gb\text{-}punit :: ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata' list \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow ('a, 'b\text{:field}, 'c\text{:default}) pdata'$
 $list$

where $gb\text{-}punit = punit.\text{gb-schema-direct } punit.\text{gb-sel add-pairs-}punit\text{-canon } punit.\text{add-basis-canon }$
 $punit.\text{gb-red}$

lemmas $gb\text{-}punit\text{-simp [code]} = punit.\text{gb-schema-direct-def[of } punit.\text{gb-sel add-pairs-}punit\text{-canon }$
 $punit.\text{add-basis-canon } punit.\text{gb-red, folded } gb\text{-}punit\text{-def}$

lemmas $gb\text{-}punit\text{-isGB} = punit.\text{gb-schema-direct-isGB[OF struct-spec-gb-}punit.\text{compl-conn-gb-red, }$
 $\text{folded } gb\text{-}punit\text{-def]}$

lemmas $gb\text{-}punit\text{-pmdl} = punit.\text{gb-schema-direct-pmdl[OF struct-spec-gb-}punit.\text{compl-pmdl-gb-red, }$

```
folded gb-punit-def]
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

8 Benchmark Problems for Computing Gröbner Bases

```
theory Benchmarks
  imports Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Class-OAlist
begin
```

This theory defines various well-known benchmark problems for computing Gröbner bases. The actual tests of the different algorithms on these problems are contained in the theories whose names end with *-Examples*.

8.1 Cyclic

```
definition cycl-pp :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ (nat, nat) pp
  where cycl-pp n d i = sparse0 (map (λk. (modulo (k + i) n, 1)) [0..<d])
```



```
definition cyclic :: (nat, nat) pp nat-term-order ⇒ nat ⇒ ((nat, nat) pp ⇒₀
  'a::{zero,one,uminus}) list
  where cyclic to n =
    (let xs = [0..<n] in
      (map (λd. distr₀ to (map (λi. (cycl-pp n d i, 1)) xs)) [1..<n]) @
      [distr₀ to [(cycl-pp n n 0, 1), (0, -1)]])
    )
```

cyclic n is a system of *n* polynomials in *n* indeterminates, with maximum degree *n*.

8.2 Katsura

```
definition katsura-poly :: (nat, nat) pp nat-term-order ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ ((nat,
  nat) pp ⇒₀ 'a::comm-ring-1)
  where katsura-poly to n i =
    change-ord to ((∑ j:int=-int n..<n + 1. if abs (i - j) ≤ n then V₀
    (nat (abs j)) * V₀ (nat (abs (i - j))) else 0) - V₀ i)
```



```
definition katsura :: (nat, nat) pp nat-term-order ⇒ nat ⇒ ((nat, nat) pp ⇒₀
  'a::comm-ring-1) list
  where katsura to n =
    (let xs = [0..<n] in
      (distr₀ to ((sparse₀ [(0, 1)], 1) # (map (λi. (sparse₀ [(Suc i, 1)], 2))
      xs) @ [(0, -1)])) #
      (map (katsura-poly to n) xs))
```

)

For $1 \leq n$, *katsura n* is a system of $n + 1$ polynomials in $n + 1$ indeterminates, with maximum degree 2.

8.3 Eco

```
definition eco-poly :: (nat, nat) pp nat-term-order => nat => nat => ((nat, nat)
pp =>0 'a::comm-ring-1)
where eco-poly to m i =
  distr0 to ((sparse0 [(i, 1), (m, 1)], 1) # map (λj. (sparse0 [(j, 1), (j +
i + 1, 1), (m, 1)], 1)) [0..<m - i - 1])
definition eco :: (nat, nat) pp nat-term-order => nat => ((nat, nat) pp =>0 'a::comm-ring-1)
list
where eco to n =
  (let m = n - 1 in
    (distr0 to ((map (λj. (sparse0 [(j, 1)], 1)) [0..<m]) @ [(0, 1)])) #
    (distr0 to [(sparse0 [(m-1, 1), (m, 1)], 1), (0, - of-nat m)]) #
    (rev (map (eco-poly to m) [0..<m-1]))
  )
```

For $(2::'a) \leq n$, *eco n* is a system of n polynomials in n indeterminates, with maximum degree 3.

8.4 Noon

```
definition noon-poly :: (nat, nat) pp nat-term-order => nat => nat => ((nat, nat)
pp =>0 'a::comm-ring-1)
where noon-poly to n i =
  (let ten = of-nat 10; eleven = - of-nat 11 in
    distr0 to ((map (λj. if j = i then (sparse0 [(i, 1)], eleven) else (sparse0
[(j, 2), (i, 1)], ten)) [0..<n]) @
    [(0, ten)]))
definition noon :: (nat, nat) pp nat-term-order => nat => ((nat, nat) pp =>0
'a::comm-ring-1) list
where noon to n = (noon-poly to n 1) # (noon-poly to n 0) # (map (noon-poly
to n) [2..<n])
```

For $(2::'a) \leq n$, *noon n* is a system of n polynomials in n indeterminates, with maximum degree 3.

end

9 Code Equations Related to the Computation of Gröbner Bases

theory Algorithm-Schema-Impl

```

imports Algorithm-Schema Benchmarks
begin

lemma card-keys-MP-oalist [code]: card-keys (MP-oalist xs) = length (fst (list-of-oalist-ntm
xs))
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

theory Code-Target-Rat
  imports Complex-Main HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numerical
begin

```

Mapping type *rat* to type "Rat.rat" in Isabelle/ML. Serialization for other target languages will be provided in the future.

```

context includes integer.lifting begin

lift-definition rat-of-integer :: integer ⇒ rat is Rat.of-int ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition quotient-of' :: rat ⇒ integer × integer is quotient-of ⟨proof⟩

lemma [code]: Rat.of-int (int-of-integer x) = rat-of-integer x
⟨proof⟩

lemma [code-unfold]: quotient-of = (λx. map-prod int-of-integer int-of-integer (quotient-of'
x))
⟨proof⟩

end

code-printing
type-constructor rat →
(SML) Rat.rat |
constant plus :: rat ⇒ - ⇒ - →
(SML) Rat.add |
constant minus :: rat ⇒ - ⇒ - →
(SML) Rat.add ((-)) (Rat.neg ((-))) |
constant times :: rat ⇒ - ⇒ - →
(SML) Rat.mult |
constant inverse :: rat ⇒ - →
(SML) Rat.inv |
constant divide :: rat ⇒ - ⇒ - →
(SML) Rat.mult ((-)) (Rat.inv ((-))) |
constant rat-of-integer :: integer ⇒ rat →
(SML) Rat.of'-int |
constant abs :: rat ⇒ - →
(SML) Rat.abs |
constant 0 :: rat →

```

```

(SML) !(Rat.make (0, 1)) |
constant 1 :: rat →
(SML) !(Rat.make (1, 1)) |
constant uminus :: rat ⇒ rat →
(SML) Rat.neg |
constant HOL.equal :: rat ⇒ - →
(SML) !((- : Rat.rat) = -) |
constant quotient-of' →
(SML) Rat.dest

```

end

10 Sample Computations with Buchberger's Algorithm

```

theory Buchberger-Examples
imports Buchberger Algorithm-Schema-Impl Code-Target-Rat
begin

lemma (in gd-term) compute-trd-aux [code]:
trd-aux fs p r =
(if is-zero p then
 r
else
  case find-adds fs (lt p) of
   None ⇒ trd-aux fs (tail p) (plus-monomial-less r (lc p) (lt p))
   | Some f ⇒ trd-aux fs (tail p - monom-mult (lc p / lc f) (lp p - lp f) (tail
f)) r
)
⟨proof⟩

```

10.1 Scalar Polynomials

```

global-interpretation punit': gd-powerprod ord-pp-punit cmp-term ord-pp-strict-punit
cmp-term
rewrites punit.adds-term = (adds)
and punit.pp-of-term = (λx. x)
and punit.component-of-term = (λ-. ())
and punit.monom-mult = monom-mult-punit
and punit.mult-scalar = mult-scalar-punit
and punit'.punit.min-term = min-term-punit
and punit'.punit.lt = lt-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.lc = lc-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.tail = tail-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.ord-p = ord-p-punit cmp-term

```

```

and punit'.punit.ord-strict-p = ord-strict-p-punit cmp-term
for cmp-term :: ('a::nat, 'b:{nat,add-wellorder}) pp nat-term-order

defines find-adds-punit = punit'.punit.find-adds
and trd-aux-punit = punit'.punit.trd-aux
and trd-punit = punit'.punit.trd
and spoly-punit = punit'.punit.spoly
and count-const-lt-components-punit = punit'.punit.count-const-lt-components
and count-rem-components-punit = punit'.punit.count-rem-components
and const-lt-component-punit = punit'.punit.const-lt-component
and full-gb-punit = punit'.punit.full-gb
and add-pairs-single-sorted-punit = punit'.punit.add-pairs-single-sorted
and add-pairs-punit = punit'.punit.add-pairs
and canon-pair-order-aux-punit = punit'.punit.canon-pair-order-aux
and canon-basis-order-punit = punit'.punit.canon-basis-order
and new-pairs-sorted-punit = punit'.punit.new-pairs-sorted
and product-crit-punit = punit'.punit.product-crit
and chain-ncrit-punit = punit'.punit.chain-ncrit
and chain-ocrit-punit = punit'.punit.chain-ocrit
and apply-icrit-punit = punit'.punit.apply-icrit
and apply-ncrit-punit = punit'.punit.apply-ncrit
and apply-ocrit-punit = punit'.punit.apply-ocrit
and trdsp-punit = punit'.punit.trdsp
and gb-sel-punit = punit'.punit.gb-sel
and gb-red-aux-punit = punit'.punit.gb-red-aux
and gb-red-punit = punit'.punit.gb-red
and gb-aux-punit = punit'.punit.gb-aux-punit
and gb-punit = punit'.punit.gb-punit — Faster, because incorporates product
criterion.
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma compute-spoly-punit [code]:
spoly-punit to p q = (let t1 = lt-punit to p; t2 = lt-punit to q; l = lcs t1 t2 in
    (monom-mult-punit (1 / lc-punit to p) (l - t1) p) - (monom-mult-punit
(1 / lc-punit to q) (l - t2) q))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma compute-trd-punit [code]: trd-punit to fs p = trd-aux-punit to fs p (change-ord
to 0)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

experiment begin interpretation trivariate0-rat ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma
lt-punit DRLEX ( $X^2 * Z \wedge 3 + 3 * X^2 * Y$ ) = sparse0 [(0, 2), (2, 3)]
⟨proof⟩

lemma
lc-punit DRLEX ( $X^2 * Z \wedge 3 + 3 * X^2 * Y$ ) = 1

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

tail-punit DRLEX $(X^2 * Z \wedge 3 + 3 * X^2 * Y) = 3 * X^2 * Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

ord-strict-p-punit DRLEX $(X^2 * Z \wedge 4 - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2) (X^2 * Z \wedge 7 + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

trd-punit DRLEX $[Y^2 * Z + 2 * Y * Z \wedge 3] (X^2 * Z \wedge 4 - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z \wedge 3) =$
 $X^2 * Z \wedge 4 + Y \wedge 4 * Z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

spoly-punit DRLEX $(X^2 * Z \wedge 4 - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2) (Y^2 * Z + 2 * Z \wedge 3) =$
 $-2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 - (C_0 (1 / 2)) * X^2 * Y^2 * Z^2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

gb-punit DRLEX
[
 $(X^2 * Z \wedge 4 - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2, ())$,
 $(Y^2 * Z + 2 * Z \wedge 3, ())$
] () =
[
 $(-2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 - (C_0 (1 / 2)) * X^2 * Y^2 * Z^2, ())$,
 $(X^2 * Z \wedge 4 - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2, ())$,
 $(Y^2 * Z + 2 * Z \wedge 3, ())$,
 $(- (C_0 (1 / 2)) * X^2 * Y \wedge 4 * Z - 2 * Y \wedge 5 * Z, ())$
]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

gb-punit DRLEX
[
 $(X^2 * Z^2 - Y, ())$,
 $(Y^2 * Z - 1, ())$
] () =
[
 $(-(Y \wedge 3) + X^2 * Z, ())$,
 $(X^2 * Z^2 - Y, ())$,
 $(Y^2 * Z - 1, ())$
]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma
  gb-punit DRLEX
  [
    ( $X^3 - X * Y * Z^2$ , ()),
    ( $Y^2 * Z - 1$ , ())
  ] () =
  [
    (- ( $X^3 * Y$ ) +  $X * Z$ , ()),
    ( $X^3 - X * Y * Z^2$ , ()),
    ( $Y^2 * Z - 1$ , ()),
    (- ( $X * Z^3$ ) +  $X^5$ , ())
  ]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  gb-punit DRLEX
  [
    ( $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 - 1$ , ()),
    ( $X * Y - Z - 1$ , ()),
    ( $Y^2 + X$ , ()),
    ( $Z^2 + X$ , ())
  ] () =
  [
    (1, ())
  ]
  ⟨proof⟩

end

value [code] length (gb-punit DRLEX (map (λp. (p, ())) ((katsura DRLEX 2)::(- ⇒0 rat) list)) ())
value [code] length (gb-punit DRLEX (map (λp. (p, ())) ((cyclic DRLEX 5)::(- ⇒0 rat) list)) ())

```

10.2 Vector Polynomials

We must define the following four constants outside the global interpretation, since otherwise their types are too general.

```

definition splus-pprod :: ('a::nat, 'b::nat) pp ⇒ -
  where splus-pprod = pprod.splus

definition monom-mult-pprod :: 'c::semiring-0 ⇒ ('a::nat, 'b::nat) pp ⇒ -
  where monom-mult-pprod = pprod.monom-mult

definition mult-scalar-pprod :: (('a::nat, 'b::nat) pp ⇒0 'c::semiring-0) ⇒ -
  where mult-scalar-pprod = pprod.mult-scalar

definition adds-term-pprod :: (('a::nat, 'b::nat) pp × -) ⇒ -

```

where $\text{adds-term-pprod} = \text{pprod.adds-term}$

```

global-interpretation pprod': gd-nat-term  $\lambda x:('a, 'b) pp \times 'c. x \lambda x. x$  cmp-term
rewrites pprod.pp-of-term = fst
and pprod.component-of-term = snd
and pprod.splus = splus-pprod
and pprod.monom-mult = monom-mult-pprod
and pprod.mult-scalar = mult-scalar-pprod
and pprod.adds-term = adds-term-pprod
for cmp-term :: (('a::nat, 'b::nat) pp  $\times$  'c::{nat,the-min}) nat-term-order
defines shift-map-keys-pprod = pprod'.shift-map-keys
and min-term-pprod = pprod'.min-term
and lt-pprod = pprod'.lt
and lc-pprod = pprod'.lc
and tail-pprod = pprod'.tail
and comp-opt-p-pprod = pprod'.comp-opt-p
and ord-p-pprod = pprod'.ord-p
and ord-strict-p-pprod = pprod'.ord-strict-p
and find-adds-pprod = pprod'.find-adds
and trd-aux-pprod = pprod'.trd-aux
and trd-pprod = pprod'.trd
and spoly-pprod = pprod'.spoly
and count-const-lt-components-pprod = pprod'.count-const-lt-components
and count-rem-components-pprod = pprod'.count-rem-components
and const-lt-component-pprod = pprod'.const-lt-component
and full-gb-pprod = pprod'.full-gb
and keys-to-list-pprod = pprod'.keys-to-list
and Keys-to-list-pprod = pprod'.Keys-to-list
and add-pairs-single-sorted-pprod = pprod'.add-pairs-single-sorted
and add-pairs-pprod = pprod'.add-pairs
and canon-pair-order-aux-pprod = pprod'.canon-pair-order-aux
and canon-basis-order-pprod = pprod'.canon-basis-order
and new-pairs-sorted-pprod = pprod'.new-pairs-sorted
and component-crit-pprod = pprod'.component-crit
and chain-ncrit-pprod = pprod'.chain-ncrit
and chain-ocrit-pprod = pprod'.chain-ocrit
and apply-icrit-pprod = pprod'.apply-icrit
and apply-ncrit-pprod = pprod'.apply-ncrit
and apply-ocrit-pprod = pprod'.apply-ocrit
and trdsp-pprod = pprod'.trdsp
and gb-sel-pprod = pprod'.gb-sel
and gb-red-aux-pprod = pprod'.gb-red-aux
and gb-red-pprod = pprod'.gb-red
and gb-aux-pprod = pprod'.gb-aux
and gb-pprod = pprod'.gb
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma compute-adds-term-pprod [code]:

$\text{adds-term-pprod } u \text{ v} = (\text{snd } u = \text{snd } v \wedge \text{adds-pp-add-linorder } (\text{fst } u) (\text{fst } v))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compute-splus-pprod* [code]: *splus-pprod t (s, i) = (t + s, i)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compute-shift-map-keys-pprod* [code abstract]:
list-of-oalist-ntm (shift-map-keys-pprod t f xs) = map-raw (λ(k, v). (splus-pprod t k, f v)) (list-of-oalist-ntm xs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compute-trd-pprod* [code]: *trd-pprod to fs p = trd-aux-pprod to fs p (change-ord to 0)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas [code] = *conversesep-iff*

definition *Vec₀ :: nat ⇒ (('a, nat) pp ⇒₀ 'b) ⇒ (('a::nat, nat) pp × nat) ⇒₀ 'b::semiring-1 where*
Vec₀ i p = mult-scalar-pprod p (Poly-Mapping.single (0, i) 1)

experiment begin interpretation *trivariate₀-rat* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
*ord-p-pprod (POT DRLEX) (Vec₀ 1 (X² * Z) + Vec₀ 0 (2 * Y ^ 3 * Z²)) (Vec₀ 1 (X² * Z² + 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z²))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
*tail-pprod (POT DRLEX) (Vec₀ 1 (X² * Z) + Vec₀ 0 (2 * Y ^ 3 * Z²)) = Vec₀ 0 (2 * Y ^ 3 * Z²)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
*lt-pprod (POT DRLEX) (Vec₀ 1 (X² * Z) + Vec₀ 0 (2 * Y ^ 3 * Z²)) = (sparse₀ [(0, 2), (2, 1)], 1)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
*keys (Vec₀ 0 (X² * Z ^ 3) + Vec₀ 1 (2 * Y ^ 3 * Z²)) = {sparse₀ [(0, 2), (2, 3)], 0}, (sparse₀ [(1, 3), (2, 2)], 1}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
*keys (Vec₀ 0 (X² * Z ^ 3) + Vec₀ 2 (2 * Y ^ 3 * Z²)) = {sparse₀ [(0, 2), (2, 3)], 0}, (sparse₀ [(1, 3), (2, 2)], 2}*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
*Vec₀ 1 (X² * Z ^ 7 + 2 * Y ^ 3 * Z²) + Vec₀ 3 (X² * Z ^ 4) + Vec₀ 1 (- 2*

* $Y \wedge 3 * Z^2) =$
 $Vec_0 1 (X^2 * Z \wedge 7) + Vec_0 3 (X^2 * Z \wedge 4)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$lookup (Vec_0 0 (X^2 * Z \wedge 7) + Vec_0 1 (2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 + 2)) (sparse_0 [(0, 2), (2, 7)], 0) = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$lookup (Vec_0 0 (X^2 * Z \wedge 7) + Vec_0 1 (2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2 + 2)) (sparse_0 [(0, 2), (2, 7)], 1) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$Vec_0 0 (0 * X \wedge 2 * Z \wedge 7) + Vec_0 1 (0 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$monom-mult-pprod 3 (sparse_0 [(1, 2::nat)]) (Vec_0 0 (X^2 * Z) + Vec_0 1 (2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2)) =$
 $Vec_0 0 (3 * Y^2 * Z * X^2) + Vec_0 1 (6 * Y \wedge 5 * Z^2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$trd-pprod DRLEX [Vec_0 0 (Y^2 * Z + 2 * Y * Z \wedge 3)] (Vec_0 0 (X^2 * Z \wedge 4 - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z \wedge 3)) =$
 $Vec_0 0 (X^2 * Z \wedge 4 + Y \wedge 4 * Z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$length (gb-pprod (POT DRLEX)$
 $[$
 $(Vec_0 0 (X^2 * Z \wedge 4 - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2), ()),$
 $(Vec_0 0 (Y^2 * Z + 2 * Z \wedge 3), ()),$
 $] () = 4$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

11 Further Properties of Multivariate Polynomials

theory *More-MPoly-Type-Class*
imports *Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Class-Ordered General*
begin

Some further general properties of (ordered) multivariate polynomials needed for Gröbner bases. This theory is an extension of *Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Class-Ordered*.

11.1 Modules and Linear Hulls

```
context module
begin

lemma span-listE:
  assumes p ∈ span (set bs)
  obtains qs where length qs = length bs and p = sum-list (map2 (*s) qs bs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma span-listI: sum-list (map2 (*s) qs bs) ∈ span (set bs)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in term-powerprod) monomial-1-in-pmdlI:
  assumes (f::- ⇒₀ 'b::field) ∈ pmdl F and keys f = {t}
  shows monomial 1 t ∈ pmdl F
  ⟨proof⟩
```

11.2 Ordered Polynomials

```
context ordered-term
begin
```

11.2.1 Sets of Leading Terms and -Coefficients

```
definition lt-set :: ('t, 'b::zero) poly-mapping set ⇒ 't set where
  lt-set F = lt ` (F - {0})
```

```
definition lc-set :: ('t, 'b::zero) poly-mapping set ⇒ 'b set where
  lc-set F = lc ` (F - {0})
```

```
lemma lt-setI:
  assumes f ∈ F and f ≠ 0
  shows lt f ∈ lt-set F
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lt-setE:
  assumes t ∈ lt-set F
  obtains f where f ∈ F and f ≠ 0 and lt f = t
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lt-set-iff:
  shows t ∈ lt-set F ↔ (∃ f ∈ F. f ≠ 0 ∧ lt f = t)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma lc-setI:
  assumes  $f \in F$  and  $f \neq 0$ 
  shows  $\text{lc } f \in \text{lc-set } F$ 
  (proof)

lemma lc-setE:
  assumes  $c \in \text{lc-set } F$ 
  obtains  $f$  where  $f \in F$  and  $f \neq 0$  and  $\text{lc } f = c$ 
  (proof)

lemma lc-set-iff:
  shows  $c \in \text{lc-set } F \longleftrightarrow (\exists f \in F. f \neq 0 \wedge \text{lc } f = c)$ 
  (proof)

lemma lc-set-nonzero:
  shows  $0 \notin \text{lc-set } F$ 
  (proof)

lemma lt-sum-distinct-eq-Max:
  assumes finite  $I$  and sum  $p|I \neq 0$ 
  and  $\bigwedge i_1 i_2. i_1 \in I \implies i_2 \in I \implies p|i_1 \neq 0 \implies p|i_2 \neq 0 \implies \text{lt}(p|i_1) = \text{lt}(p|i_2) \implies i_1 = i_2$ 
  shows  $\text{lt}(\text{sum } p|I) = \text{ord-term-lin.Max}(\text{lt-set}(p^I))$ 
  (proof)

```

```

lemma lt-sum-distinct-in-lt-set:
  assumes finite  $I$  and sum  $p|I \neq 0$ 
  and  $\bigwedge i_1 i_2. i_1 \in I \implies i_2 \in I \implies p|i_1 \neq 0 \implies p|i_2 \neq 0 \implies \text{lt}(p|i_1) = \text{lt}(p|i_2) \implies i_1 = i_2$ 
  shows  $\text{lt}(\text{sum } p|I) \in \text{lt-set}(p^I)$ 
  (proof)

```

11.2.2 Monicity

```

definition monic ::  $('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b:\text{field})$  where
  monic  $p = \text{monom-mult}(1 / \text{lc } p) 0 p$ 

```

```

definition ismonic-set ::  $('t \Rightarrow_0 'b:\text{field}) \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  ismonic-set  $B \equiv (\forall b \in B. b \neq 0 \longrightarrow \text{lc } b = 1)$ 

```

```

lemma lookup-monic:  $\text{lookup}(\text{monic } p) v = (\text{lookup } p v) / \text{lc } p$ 
  (proof)

```

```

lemma lookup-monic-lt:
  assumes  $p \neq 0$ 
  shows  $\text{lookup}(\text{monic } p)(\text{lt } p) = 1$ 
  (proof)

```

```

lemma monic-0 [simp]: monic 0 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma monic-0-iff: (monic p = 0)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (p = 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-monic [simp]: keys (monic p) = keys p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-monic [simp]: lt (monic p) = lt p
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lc-monic:
  assumes p ≠ 0
  shows lc (monic p) = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mult-lc-monic:
  assumes p ≠ 0
  shows monom-mult (lc p) 0 (monic p) = p (is ?q = p)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-monic-setI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies b \neq 0 \implies \text{lc } b = 1$ 
  shows is-monic-set B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-monic-setD:
  assumes is-monic-set B and b ∈ B and b ≠ 0
  shows lc b = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Keys-image-monic [simp]: Keys (monic ` A) = Keys A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma image-monic-is-monic-set: is-monic-set (monic ` A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pmdl-image-monic [simp]: pmdl (monic ` B) = pmdl B
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

12 Auto-reducing Lists of Polynomials

```

theory Auto-Reduction
  imports Reduction More-MPoly-Type-Class

```

```
begin
```

12.1 Reduction and Monic Sets

```
context ordered-term
begin
```

```
lemma is-red-monic: is-red B (monic p)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-red B p
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma red-image-monic [simp]: red (monic ` B) = red B
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma is-red-image-monic [simp]: is-red (monic ` B) p  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-red B p
  ⟨proof⟩
```

12.2 Minimal Bases and Auto-reduced Bases

```
definition is-auto-reduced :: ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::field) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-auto-reduced B  $\equiv$  ( $\forall b \in B$ .  $\neg$  is-red (B - {b}) b)
```

```
definition is-minimal-basis :: ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::zero) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-minimal-basis B  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $0 \notin B$   $\wedge$  ( $\forall p q$ .  $p \in B \rightarrow q \in B \rightarrow p \neq q \rightarrow \neg lt$ 
  p addst lt q))
```

```
lemma is-auto-reducedD:
  assumes is-auto-reduced B and b  $\in$  B
  shows  $\neg$  is-red (B - {b}) b
  ⟨proof⟩
```

The converse of the following lemma is only true if B is minimal!

```
lemma image-monic-is-auto-reduced:
  assumes is-auto-reduced B
  shows is-auto-reduced (monic ` B)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma is-minimal-basisI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge p$ .  $p \in B \Rightarrow p \neq 0$  and  $\bigwedge p q$ .  $p \in B \Rightarrow q \in B \Rightarrow p \neq q \Rightarrow \neg$ 
  lt p addst lt q
  shows is-minimal-basis B
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma is-minimal-basisD1:
  assumes is-minimal-basis B and p  $\in$  B
  shows p  $\neq 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma is-minimal-basisD2:
  assumes is-minimal-basis B and p  $\in$  B and q  $\in$  B and p  $\neq$  q
```

shows $\neg \text{lt } p \text{ addst } \text{lt } q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-minimal-basisD3*:
 assumes *is-minimal-basis* B **and** $p \in B$ **and** $q \in B$ **and** $p \neq q$
 shows $\neg \text{lt } q \text{ addst } \text{lt } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-minimal-basis-subset*:
 assumes *is-minimal-basis* B **and** $A \subseteq B$
 shows *is-minimal-basis* A
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nadds-red*:
 assumes *nadds*: $\bigwedge q. q \in B \implies \neg \text{lt } q \text{ addst } \text{lt } p$ **and** *red*: $\text{red } B \text{ p r}$
 shows $r \neq 0 \wedge \text{lt } r = \text{lt } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nadds-red-nonzero*:
 assumes *nadds*: $\bigwedge q. q \in B \implies \neg \text{lt } q \text{ addst } \text{lt } p$ **and** *red*: $\text{red } B \text{ p r}$
 shows $r \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nadds-red-lt*:
 assumes *nadds*: $\bigwedge q. q \in B \implies \neg \text{lt } q \text{ addst } \text{lt } p$ **and** *red*: $\text{red } B \text{ p r}$
 shows $\text{lt } r = \text{lt } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nadds-red-rtrancl-lt*:
 assumes *nadds*: $\bigwedge q. q \in B \implies \neg \text{lt } q \text{ addst } \text{lt } p$ **and** *rtrancl*: $(\text{red } B)^{**} \text{ p r}$
 shows $\text{lt } r = \text{lt } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nadds-red-rtrancl-nonzero*:
 assumes *nadds*: $\bigwedge q. q \in B \implies \neg \text{lt } q \text{ addst } \text{lt } p$ **and** $p \neq 0$ **and** *rtrancl*: $(\text{red } B)^{**} \text{ p r}$
 shows $r \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minimal-basis-red-rtrancl-nonzero*:
 assumes *is-minimal-basis* B **and** $p \in B$ **and** $(\text{red } (B - \{p\}))^{**} \text{ p r}$
 shows $r \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minimal-basis-red-rtrancl-lt*:
 assumes *is-minimal-basis* B **and** $p \in B$ **and** $(\text{red } (B - \{p\}))^{**} \text{ p r}$
 shows $\text{lt } r = \text{lt } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma is-minimal-basis-replace:
  assumes major: is-minimal-basis  $B$  and  $p \in B$  and red:  $(\text{red } (B - \{p\}))^{**} p r$ 
  shows is-minimal-basis (insert  $r$   $(B - \{p\})$ )
  {proof}

```

12.3 Computing Minimal Bases

```

definition comp-min-basis ::  $('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{zero}) \text{ list}$  where
  comp-min-basis  $xs = \text{filter-min} (\lambda x y. \text{lt } x \text{ addst } \text{lt } y) (\text{filter} (\lambda x. x \neq 0) xs)$ 

```

```

lemma comp-min-basis-subset':  $\text{set } (\text{comp-min-basis} xs) \subseteq \{x \in \text{set } xs. x \neq 0\}$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma comp-min-basis-subset:  $\text{set } (\text{comp-min-basis} xs) \subseteq \text{set } xs$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma comp-min-basis-nonzero:  $p \in \text{set } (\text{comp-min-basis} xs) \implies p \neq 0$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma comp-min-basis-adds:
  assumes  $p \in \text{set } xs$  and  $p \neq 0$ 
  obtains  $q$  where  $q \in \text{set } (\text{comp-min-basis} xs)$  and  $\text{lt } q \text{ addst } \text{lt } p$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma comp-min-basis-is-red:
  assumes is-red ( $\text{set } xs$ )  $f$ 
  shows is-red ( $\text{set } (\text{comp-min-basis} xs)$ )  $f$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma comp-min-basis-nadds:
  assumes  $p \in \text{set } (\text{comp-min-basis} xs)$  and  $q \in \text{set } (\text{comp-min-basis} xs)$  and  $p \neq q$ 
  shows  $\neg \text{lt } q \text{ addst } \text{lt } p$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma comp-min-basis-is-minimal-basis: is-minimal-basis ( $\text{set } (\text{comp-min-basis} xs)$ )
{proof}

```

```

lemma comp-min-basis-distinct: distinct ( $\text{comp-min-basis} xs$ )
{proof}

```

```

end

```

12.4 Auto-Reduction

```

context gd-term
begin

```

```

lemma is-minimal-basis-trd-is-minimal-basis:
  assumes is-minimal-basis ( $\text{set } (x \# xs)$ ) and  $x \notin \text{set } xs$ 

```

```

shows is-minimal-basis (set ((trd xs x) # xs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-minimal-basis-trd-distinct:
  assumes min: is-minimal-basis (set (x # xs)) and dist: distinct (x # xs)
  shows distinct ((trd xs x) # xs)
⟨proof⟩

primrec comp-red-basis-aux :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b) list ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b) list ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b::field)
list where
  comp-red-basis-aux-base: comp-red-basis-aux Nil ys = ys|
  comp-red-basis-aux-rec: comp-red-basis-aux (x # xs) ys = comp-red-basis-aux xs
((trd (xs @ ys) x) # ys)

lemma subset-comp-red-basis-aux: set ys ⊆ set (comp-red-basis-aux xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-red-basis-aux-nonzero:
  assumes is-minimal-basis (set (xs @ ys)) and distinct (xs @ ys) and p ∈ set
(comp-red-basis-aux xs ys)
  shows p ≠ 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-red-basis-aux-lt:
  assumes is-minimal-basis (set (xs @ ys)) and distinct (xs @ ys)
  shows lt ` set (xs @ ys) = lt ` set (comp-red-basis-aux xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-red-basis-aux-pmdl:
  assumes is-minimal-basis (set (xs @ ys)) and distinct (xs @ ys)
  shows pmdl (set (comp-red-basis-aux xs ys)) ⊆ pmdl (set (xs @ ys))
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-red-basis-aux-irred:
  assumes is-minimal-basis (set (xs @ ys)) and distinct (xs @ ys)
  and ⋀y. y ∈ set ys ⇒ ¬ is-red (set (xs @ ys) - {y}) y
  and p ∈ set (comp-red-basis-aux xs ys)
  shows ¬ is-red (set (comp-red-basis-aux xs ys) - {p}) p
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-red-basis-aux-dgrad-p-set-le:
  assumes dickson-grading d
  shows dgrad-p-set-le d (set (comp-red-basis-aux xs ys)) (set xs ∪ set ys)
⟨proof⟩

definition comp-red-basis :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b) list ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b::field) list
where comp-red-basis xs = comp-red-basis-aux (comp-min-basis xs) []

lemma comp-red-basis-nonzero:

```

```

assumes  $p \in \text{set}(\text{comp-red-basis } xs)$ 
shows  $p \neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{pmdl-comp-red-basis-subset}: \text{pmdl}(\text{set}(\text{comp-red-basis } xs)) \subseteq \text{pmdl}(\text{set } xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{comp-red-basis-adds}:$ 
assumes  $p \in \text{set } xs \text{ and } p \neq 0$ 
obtains  $q \text{ where } q \in \text{set}(\text{comp-red-basis } xs) \text{ and } \text{lt } q \text{ adds}_t \text{ lt } p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{comp-red-basis-lt}:$ 
assumes  $p \in \text{set}(\text{comp-red-basis } xs)$ 
obtains  $q \text{ where } q \in \text{set } xs \text{ and } q \neq 0 \text{ and } \text{lt } q = \text{lt } p$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{comp-red-basis-is-red}: \text{is-red}(\text{set}(\text{comp-red-basis } xs)) f \longleftrightarrow \text{is-red}(\text{set } xs)$ 
 $f$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $\text{comp-red-basis-is-auto-reduced}: \text{is-auto-reduced}(\text{set}(\text{comp-red-basis } xs))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{comp-red-basis-dgrad-p-set-le}:$ 
assumes  $\text{dickson-grading } d$ 
shows  $\text{dgrad-p-set-le } d(\text{set}(\text{comp-red-basis } xs)) (\text{set } xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

12.5 Auto-Reduction and Monicity

```

definition  $\text{comp-red-monnic-basis} :: ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \text{list} \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{field}) \text{list}$  where
 $\text{comp-red-monnic-basis } xs = \text{map monic}(\text{comp-red-basis } xs)$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{set-comp-red-monnic-basis}: \text{set}(\text{comp-red-monnic-basis } xs) = \text{monic} ` (\text{set}(\text{comp-red-basis } xs))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{comp-red-monnic-basis-nonzero}:$ 
assumes  $p \in \text{set}(\text{comp-red-monnic-basis } xs)$ 
shows  $p \neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{comp-red-monnic-basis-is-monnic-set}: \text{is-monnic-set}(\text{set}(\text{comp-red-monnic-basis } xs))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\text{pmdl-comp-red-monnic-basis-subset}: \text{pmdl}(\text{set}(\text{comp-red-monnic-basis } xs))$ 

```

```

 $\subseteq pmdl (\text{set } xs)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma comp-red-monic-basis-is-auto-reduced: is-auto-reduced (set (comp-red-monic-basis
xs))
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma comp-red-monic-basis-dgrad-p-set-le:
  assumes dickson-grading d
  shows dgrad-p-set-le d (set (comp-red-monic-basis xs)) (set xs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

13 Reduced Gröbner Bases

```

theory Reduced-GB
  imports Groebner-Bases Auto-Reduction
begin

lemma (in gd-term) GB-image-monic: is-Groebner-basis (monic ` G)  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-Groebner-basis
G
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

13.1 Definition and Uniqueness of Reduced Gröbner Bases

```

context ordered-term
begin

definition is-reduced-GB :: ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::field) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-reduced-GB B  $\equiv$  is-Groebner-basis B  $\wedge$  is-auto-reduced B  $\wedge$  is-monic-set B  $\wedge$ 
  0  $\notin$  B

lemma reduced-GB-D1:
  assumes is-reduced-GB G
  shows is-Groebner-basis G
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reduced-GB-D2:
  assumes is-reduced-GB G
  shows is-auto-reduced G
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma reduced-GB-D3:
  assumes is-reduced-GB G
  shows is-monic-set G
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma reduced-GB-D4:
  assumes is-reduced-GB G and g ∈ G
  shows g ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reduced-GB-lc:
  assumes major: is-reduced-GB G and g ∈ G
  shows lc g = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context gd-term
begin

lemma is-reduced-GB-subsetI:
  assumes Ared: is-reduced-GB A and BGB: is-Groebner-basis B and Bmon:
  is-monic-set B
  and *:  $\bigwedge a b. a \in A \implies b \in B \implies a \neq 0 \implies b \neq 0 \implies a - b \neq 0 \implies lt(a - b) \in keys b \implies lt(a - b) \prec_t lt b \implies False$ 
  and id-eq: pmdl A = pmdl B
  shows A ⊆ B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-reduced-GB-unique':
  assumes Ared: is-reduced-GB A and Bred: is-reduced-GB B and id-eq: pmdl A
  = pmdl B
  shows A ⊆ B
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem is-reduced-GB-unique:
  assumes Ared: is-reduced-GB A and Bred: is-reduced-GB B and id-eq: pmdl A
  = pmdl B
  shows A = B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

13.2 Computing Reduced Gröbner Bases by Auto-Reduction

13.2.1 Minimal Bases

```

lemma minimal-basis-is-reduced-GB:
  assumes is-minimal-basis B and is-monic-set B and is-reduced-GB G and G
  ⊆ B
  and pmdl B = pmdl G
  shows B = G
  ⟨proof⟩

```

13.2.2 Computing Minimal Bases

```

lemma comp-min-basis-pmdl:
  assumes is-Groebner-basis (set xs)
  shows pmdl (set (comp-min-basis xs)) = pmdl (set xs) (is pmdl (set ?ys) = -)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-min-basis-GB:
  assumes is-Groebner-basis (set xs)
  shows is-Groebner-basis (set (comp-min-basis xs)) (is is-Groebner-basis (set ?ys))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

13.2.3 Computing Reduced Bases

```

lemma comp-red-basis-pmdl:
  assumes is-Groebner-basis (set xs)
  shows pmdl (set (comp-red-basis xs)) = pmdl (set xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-red-basis-GB:
  assumes is-Groebner-basis (set xs)
  shows is-Groebner-basis (set (comp-red-basis xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

13.2.4 Computing Reduced Gröbner Bases

```

lemma comp-red-monic-basis-pmdl:
  assumes is-Groebner-basis (set xs)
  shows pmdl (set (comp-red-monic-basis xs)) = pmdl (set xs)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-red-monic-basis-GB:
  assumes is-Groebner-basis (set xs)
  shows is-Groebner-basis (set (comp-red-monic-basis xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comp-red-monic-basis-is-reduced-GB:
  assumes is-Groebner-basis (set xs)
  shows is-reduced-GB (set (comp-red-monic-basis xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ex-finite-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set:
  assumes dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆
  dgrad-p-set d m
  obtains G where G ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m and finite G and is-reduced-GB G and
  pmdl G = pmdl F
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem ex-unique-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set:
  assumes dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆

```

dgrad-p-set d m
shows $\exists!G. G \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d \text{ } m \wedge \text{finite } G \wedge \text{is-reduced-GB } G \wedge \text{pmdl } G = \text{pmdl } F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *ex-unique-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set'*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
shows $\exists!G. \text{finite } G \wedge \text{is-reduced-GB } G \wedge \text{pmdl } G = \text{pmdl } F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *reduced-GB :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b) set ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b::field) set*
where *reduced-GB B = (THE G. finite G ∧ is-reduced-GB G ∧ pmdl G = pmdl B)*

reduced-GB returns the unique reduced Gröbner basis of the given set, provided its Dickson grading is bounded. Combining *comp-red-monic-basis* with any function for computing Gröbner bases, e.g. *gb* from theory "Buchberger", makes *reduced-GB* computable.

lemma *finite-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
shows *finite (reduced-GB F)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduced-GB-is-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
shows *is-reduced-GB (reduced-GB F)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduced-GB-is-GB-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
shows *is-Groebner-basis (reduced-GB F)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduced-GB-is-auto-reduced-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
shows *is-auto-reduced (reduced-GB F)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduced-GB-is-monic-set-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
shows *is-monic-set (reduced-GB F)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reduced-GB-nonzero-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
shows $0 \notin \text{reduced-GB } F$
(proof)

lemma *reduced-GB-pmdl-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
shows $\text{pmdl}(\text{reduced-GB } F) = \text{pmdl } F$
(proof)

lemma *reduced-GB-unique-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
and *is-reduced-GB G and pmdl G = pmdl F*
shows $\text{reduced-GB } F = G$
(proof)

lemma *reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set*:
assumes *dickson-grading d and finite (component-of-term ` Keys F) and F ⊆ dgrad-p-set d m*
shows $\text{reduced-GB } F \subseteq \text{dgrad-p-set } d m$
(proof)

lemma *reduced-GB-unique*:
assumes *finite G and is-reduced-GB G and pmdl G = pmdl F*
shows $\text{reduced-GB } F = G$
(proof)

lemma *is-reduced-GB-empty*: *is-reduced-GB {}*
(proof)

lemma *is-reduced-GB-singleton*: *is-reduced-GB {f} ↔ lc f = 1*
(proof)

lemma *reduced-GB-empty*: *reduced-GB {} = {}*
(proof)

lemma *reduced-GB-singleton*: *reduced-GB {f} = (if f = 0 then {} else {monic f})*
(proof)

lemma *ex-unique-reduced-GB-finite*: *finite F ⇒ (exists! G. finite G ∧ is-reduced-GB G ∧ pmdl G = pmdl F)*
(proof)

lemma *finite-reduced-GB-finite*: *finite F ⇒ finite (reduced-GB F)*
(proof)

```

lemma reduced-GB-is-reduced-GB-finite: finite F  $\implies$  is-reduced-GB (reduced-GB F)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reduced-GB-is-GB-finite: finite F  $\implies$  is-Groebner-basis (reduced-GB F)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reduced-GB-is-auto-reduced-finite: finite F  $\implies$  is-auto-reduced (reduced-GB F)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reduced-GB-is-monic-set-finite: finite F  $\implies$  is-monic-set (reduced-GB F)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reduced-GB-nonzero-finite: finite F  $\implies$  0  $\notin$  reduced-GB F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reduced-GB-pmdl-finite: finite F  $\implies$  pmdl (reduced-GB F) = pmdl F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma reduced-GB-unique-finite: finite F  $\implies$  is-reduced-GB G  $\implies$  pmdl G =
  pmdl F  $\implies$  reduced-GB F = G
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

13.2.5 Properties of the Reduced Gröbner Basis of an Ideal

```

context gd-powerprod
begin

lemma ideal-eq-UNIV-iff-reduced-GB-eq-one-dgrad-p-set:
  assumes dickson-grading d and F ⊆ punit.dgrad-p-set d m
  shows ideal F = UNIV  $\longleftrightarrow$  punit.reduced-GB F = {1}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas ideal-eq-UNIV-iff-reduced-GB-eq-one-finite =
  ideal-eq-UNIV-iff-reduced-GB-eq-one-dgrad-p-set'[OF dickson-grading-dgrad-dummy
  punit.dgrad-p-set-exhaust-expl]

end

```

13.2.6 Context od-term

```

context od-term
begin

lemmas ex-unique-reduced-GB =
  ex-unique-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set'[OF dickson-grading-zero - subset-dgrad-p-set-zero]
lemmas finite-reduced-GB =

```

```

finite-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-zero - subset-dgrad-p-set-zero]
 $\text{lemmas } \text{reduced-GB-is-reduced-GB} =$ 
reduced-GB-is-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-zero - subset-dgrad-p-set-zero]
 $\text{lemmas } \text{reduced-GB-is-GB} =$ 
reduced-GB-is-GB-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-zero - subset-dgrad-p-set-zero]
 $\text{lemmas } \text{reduced-GB-is-auto-reduced} =$ 
reduced-GB-is-auto-reduced-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-zero - subset-dgrad-p-set-zero]
 $\text{lemmas } \text{reduced-GB-is-monic-set} =$ 
reduced-GB-is-monic-set-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-zero - subset-dgrad-p-set-zero]
 $\text{lemmas } \text{reduced-GB-nonzero} =$ 
reduced-GB-nonzero-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-zero - subset-dgrad-p-set-zero]
 $\text{lemmas } \text{reduced-GB-pmdl} =$ 
reduced-GB-pmdl-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-zero - subset-dgrad-p-set-zero]
 $\text{lemmas } \text{reduced-GB-unique} =$ 
reduced-GB-unique-dgrad-p-set[OF dickson-grading-zero - subset-dgrad-p-set-zero]

end

end

```

14 Sample Computations of Reduced Gröbner Bases

```

theory Reduced-GB-Examples
imports Buchberger Reduced-GB Polynomials MPoly Type-Class-OAlist Code-Target-Rat
begin

context gd-term
begin

definition rgb :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b) list ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b::field) list
where rgb bs = comp-red-monic-basis (map fst (gb (map (λb. (b, ())) bs) ())))

definition rgb-punit :: ('a ⇒₀ 'b) list ⇒ ('a ⇒₀ 'b::field) list
where rgb-punit bs = punit.comp-red-monic-basis (map fst (gb-punit (map (λb. (b, ())) bs) ())))

lemma compute-trd-aux [code]:
trd-aux fs p r =
(if is-zero p then
r
else
case find-adds fs (lt p) of
None ⇒ trd-aux fs (tail p) (plus-monomial-less r (lc p) (lt p))
| Some f ⇒ trd-aux fs (tail p - monom-mult (lc p / lc f) (lp p - lp f) (tail
f)) r
)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

We only consider scalar polynomials here, but vector-polynomials could be handled, too.

```

global-interpretation punit': gd-powerprod ord-pp-punit cmp-term ord-pp-strict-punit
cmp-term

rewrites punit.adds-term = (adds)
and punit.pp-of-term = ( $\lambda x. x$ )
and punit.component-of-term = ( $\lambda -. ()$ )
and punit.monom-mult = monom-mult-punit
and punit.mult-scalar = mult-scalar-punit
and punit'.punit.min-term = min-term-punit
and punit'.punit.lt = lt-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.lc = lc-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.tail = tail-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.ord-p = ord-p-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.ord-strict-p = ord-strict-p-punit cmp-term
for cmp-term :: ('a::nat, 'b:{nat,add-wellorder}) pp nat-term-order

defines find-adds-punit = punit'.punit.find-adds
and trd-aux-punit = punit'.punit.trd-aux
and trd-punit = punit'.punit.trd
and spoly-punit = punit'.punit.spoly
and count-const-lt-components-punit = punit'.punit.count-const-lt-components
and count-rem-components-punit = punit'.punit.count-rem-components
and const-lt-component-punit = punit'.punit.const-lt-component
and full-gb-punit = punit'.punit.full-gb
and add-pairs-single-sorted-punit = punit'.punit.add-pairs-single-sorted
and add-pairs-punit = punit'.punit.add-pairs
and canon-pair-order-aux-punit = punit'.punit.canon-pair-order-aux
and canon-basis-order-punit = punit'.punit.canon-basis-order
and new-pairs-sorted-punit = punit'.punit.new-pairs-sorted
and product-crit-punit = punit'.punit.product-crit
and chain-ncrit-punit = punit'.punit.chain-ncrit
and chain-ocrit-punit = punit'.punit.chain-ocrit
and apply-icrit-punit = punit'.punit.apply-icrit
and apply-ncrit-punit = punit'.punit.apply-ncrit
and apply-ocrit-punit = punit'.punit.apply-ocrit
and trdsp-punit = punit'.punit.trdsp
and gb-sel-punit = punit'.punit.gb-sel
and gb-red-aux-punit = punit'.punit.gb-red-aux
and gb-red-punit = punit'.punit.gb-red
and gb-aux-punit = punit'.punit.gb-aux-punit
and gb-punit = punit'.punit.gb-punit — Faster, because incorporates product
criterion.
and comp-min-basis-punit = punit'.punit.comp-min-basis
and comp-red-basis-aux-punit = punit'.punit.comp-red-basis-aux
and comp-red-basis-punit = punit'.punit.comp-red-basis
and monic-punit = punit'.punit.monic
and comp-red-monic-basis-punit = punit'.punit.comp-red-monic-basis
and rgb-punit = punit'.punit.rgb-punit

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compute-spoly-punit* [code]:
spoly-punit to $p q = (\text{let } t1 = \text{lt-punit to } p; t2 = \text{lt-punit to } q; l = \text{lcs } t1 t2 \text{ in}$
 $(\text{monom-mult-punit } (1 / \text{lc-punit to } p) (l - t1) p) - (\text{monom-mult-punit}$
 $(1 / \text{lc-punit to } q) (l - t2) q)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compute-trd-punit* [code]: trd-punit to $fs p = \text{trd-aux-punit to } fs p$ (*change-ord*
to 0)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

experiment begin interpretation *trivariate₀-rat* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
rgb-punit DRLEX
[
 $X^3 - X * Y * Z^2,$
 $Y^2 * Z - 1$
] =
[
 $X^3 * Y - X * Z,$
 $- (X^3) + X * Y * Z^2,$
 $Y^2 * Z - 1,$
 $- (X * Z^3) + X^5$
]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
rgb-punit DRLEX
[
 $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 - 1,$
 $X * Y - Z - 1,$
 $Y^2 + X,$
 $Z^2 + X$
] =
[
1
]
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Note: The above computations have been cross-checked with Mathematica 11.1.

end

end

15 Macaulay Matrices

```
theory Macaulay-Matrix
imports More-MPoly-Type-Class Jordan-Normal-Form.Gauss-Jordan-Elimination
begin
```

We build upon vectors and matrices represented by dimension and characteristic function, because later on we need to quantify the dimensions of certain matrices existentially. This is not possible (at least not easily possible) with a type-based approach, as in HOL-Multivariate Analysis.

15.1 More about Vectors

```
lemma vec-of-list-alt: vec-of-list xs = vec (length xs) (nth xs)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma vec-cong:
  assumes n = m and ∏ i. i < m ⟹ f i = g i
  shows vec n f = vec m g
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma scalar-prod-comm:
  assumes dim-vec v = dim-vec w
  shows v · w = w · (v :: a :: comm-semiring-0 vec)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma vec-scalar-mult-fun: vec n (λx. c * f x) = c ·v vec n f
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition mult-vec-mat :: 'a vec ⇒ 'a :: semiring-0 mat ⇒ 'a vec (infixl ⟨v*⟩ 70)
  where v v* A ≡ vec (dim-col A) (λj. v · col A j)
```

```
definition resize-vec :: nat ⇒ 'a vec ⇒ 'a vec
  where resize-vec n v = vec n (vec-index v)
```

```
lemma dim-resize-vec[simp]: dim-vec (resize-vec n v) = n
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma resize-vec-carrier: resize-vec n v ∈ carrier-vec n
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma resize-vec-dim[simp]: resize-vec (dim-vec v) v = v
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma resize-vec-index:
  assumes i < n
  shows resize-vec n v $ i = v $ i
  ⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *mult-mat-vec-resize*:

$$v \cdot v * A = (\text{resize-vec}(\text{dim-row } A) \cdot v) \cdot v * A$$

(proof)

lemma *assoc-mult-vec-mat*:

assumes $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n1$ **and** $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n1 \ n2$ **and** $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n2 \ n3$

shows $v \cdot v * (A * B) = (v \cdot v * A) \cdot v * B$

(proof)

lemma *mult-vec-mat-transpose*:

assumes $\text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-row } A$

shows $v \cdot v * A = (\text{transpose-mat } A) *_v (v :: 'a :: \text{comm-semiring-0 vec})$

(proof)

15.2 More about Matrices

definition *nzrows* :: $'a :: \text{zero mat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ vec list}$

where $\text{nzrows } A = \text{filter}(\lambda r. r \neq 0_v(\text{dim-col } A))(\text{rows } A)$

definition *row-space* :: $'a \text{ mat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{semiring-0 vec set}$

where $\text{row-space } A = (\lambda v. \text{mult-vec-mat } v A) ` (\text{carrier-vec}(\text{dim-row } A))$

definition *row-echelon* :: $'a \text{ mat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{field mat}$

where $\text{row-echelon } A = \text{fst}(\text{gauss-jordan } A (1_m(\text{dim-row } A)))$

15.2.1 nzrows

lemma *length-nzrows*: $\text{length}(\text{nzrows } A) \leq \text{dim-row } A$

(proof)

lemma *set-nzrows*: $\text{set}(\text{nzrows } A) = \text{set}(\text{rows } A) - \{0_v(\text{dim-col } A)\}$

(proof)

lemma *nzrows-nth-not-zero*:

assumes $i < \text{length}(\text{nzrows } A)$

shows $\text{nzrows } A ! i \neq 0_v(\text{dim-col } A)$

(proof)

15.2.2 row-space

lemma *row-spaceI*:

assumes $x = v \cdot v * A$

shows $x \in \text{row-space } A$

(proof)

lemma *row-spaceE*:

assumes $x \in \text{row-space } A$

obtains v **where** $v \in \text{carrier-vec}(\text{dim-row } A)$ **and** $x = v \cdot v * A$

(proof)

lemma *row-space-alt*: $\text{row-space } A = \text{range } (\lambda v. \text{mult-vec-mat } v A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *row-space-mult*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat nr nc}$ **and** $B \in \text{carrier-mat nr nr}$
shows $\text{row-space } (B * A) \subseteq \text{row-space } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *row-space-mult-unit*:
assumes $P \in \text{Units } (\text{ring-mat } \text{TYPE('a::semiring-1)})$ ($\text{dim-row } A$) b
shows $\text{row-space } (P * A) = \text{row-space } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

15.2.3 row-echelon

lemma *row-eq-zero-iff-pivot-fun*:
assumes $\text{pivot-fun } A f (\text{dim-col } A)$ **and** $i < \text{dim-row } (A :: 'a :: \text{zero-neq-one mat})$
shows $(\text{row } A i = 0_v (\text{dim-col } A)) \longleftrightarrow (f i = \text{dim-col } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *row-not-zero-iff-pivot-fun*:
assumes $\text{pivot-fun } A f (\text{dim-col } A)$ **and** $i < \text{dim-row } (A :: 'a :: \text{zero-neq-one mat})$
shows $(\text{row } A i \neq 0_v (\text{dim-col } A)) \longleftrightarrow (f i < \text{dim-col } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-fun-stabilizes*:
assumes $\text{pivot-fun } A f nc$ **and** $i1 \leq i2$ **and** $i2 < \text{dim-row } A$ **and** $nc \leq f i1$
shows $f i2 = nc$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-fun-mono-strict*:
assumes $\text{pivot-fun } A f nc$ **and** $i1 < i2$ **and** $i2 < \text{dim-row } A$ **and** $f i1 < nc$
shows $f i1 < f i2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pivot-fun-mono*:
assumes $\text{pivot-fun } A f nc$ **and** $i1 \leq i2$ **and** $i2 < \text{dim-row } A$
shows $f i1 \leq f i2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *row-echelon-carrier*:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat nr nc}$
shows $\text{row-echelon } A \in \text{carrier-mat nr nc}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dim-row-echelon[simp]*:
shows $\text{dim-row } (\text{row-echelon } A) = \text{dim-row } A$ **and** $\text{dim-col } (\text{row-echelon } A) = \text{dim-col } A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *row-echelon-transform*:

obtains P **where** $P \in \text{Units} (\text{ring-mat TYPE('a::field)}) (\dim\text{-row } A) b$ **and**
 $\text{row-echelon } A = P * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *row-space-row-echelon[simp]*: $\text{row-space} (\text{row-echelon } A) = \text{row-space } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *row-echelon-pivot-fun*:

obtains f **where** *pivot-fun* ($\text{row-echelon } A$) f ($\dim\text{-col} (\text{row-echelon } A)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distinct-nzrows-row-echelon*: $\text{distinct} (\text{nzrows} (\text{row-echelon } A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.3 Converting Between Polynomials and Macaulay Matrices

definition *poly-to-row* :: ' a list \Rightarrow (' $a \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero$) \Rightarrow ' b vec **where**
 $\text{poly-to-row } ts p = \text{vec-of-list} (\text{map} (\text{lookup } p) ts)$

definition *polys-to-mat* :: ' a list \Rightarrow (' $a \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero$) list \Rightarrow ' b mat **where**
 $\text{polys-to-mat } ts ps = \text{mat-of-rows} (\text{length } ts) (\text{map} (\text{poly-to-row } ts) ps)$

definition *list-to-fun* :: ' a list \Rightarrow (' $b::zero$) list \Rightarrow ' $a \Rightarrow 'b$ **where**
 $\text{list-to-fun } ts cs t = (\text{case map-of} (\text{zip } ts cs) t \text{ of Some } c \Rightarrow c \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow 0)$

definition *list-to-poly* :: ' a list \Rightarrow ' b list \Rightarrow (' $a \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero$) **where**
 $\text{list-to-poly } ts cs = \text{Abs-poly-mapping} (\text{list-to-fun } ts cs)$

definition *row-to-poly* :: ' a list \Rightarrow ' b vec \Rightarrow (' $a \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero$) **where**
 $\text{row-to-poly } ts r = \text{list-to-poly } ts (\text{list-of-vec } r)$

definition *mat-to-polys* :: ' a list \Rightarrow ' b mat \Rightarrow (' $a \Rightarrow_0 'b::zero$) list **where**
 $\text{mat-to-polys } ts A = \text{map} (\text{row-to-poly } ts) (\text{rows } A)$

lemma *dim-poly-to-row*: $\dim\text{-vec} (\text{poly-to-row } ts p) = \text{length } ts$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poly-to-row-index*:
assumes $i < \text{length } ts$
shows $\text{poly-to-row } ts p \$ i = \text{lookup } p (ts ! i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *term-powerprod*
begin

```

lemma poly-to-row-scalar-mult:
  assumes keys  $p \subseteq \text{set } ts$ 
  shows row-to-poly  $ts (c \cdot_v (\text{poly-to-row} ts p)) = c \cdot p$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma poly-to-row-to-poly:
  assumes keys  $p \subseteq \text{set } ts$ 
  shows row-to-poly  $ts (\text{poly-to-row} ts p) = (p :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{semiring-1})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lookup-list-to-poly:  $\text{lookup} (\text{list-to-poly} ts cs) = \text{list-to-fun} ts cs$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma list-to-fun-Nil [simp]:  $\text{list-to-fun} [] cs = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma list-to-poly-Nil [simp]:  $\text{list-to-poly} [] cs = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma row-to-poly-Nil [simp]:  $\text{row-to-poly} [] r = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lookup-row-to-poly:
  assumes distinct  $ts$  and dim-vec  $r = \text{length } ts$  and  $i < \text{length } ts$ 
  shows  $\text{lookup} (\text{row-to-poly} ts r) (ts ! i) = r \$ i$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma keys-row-to-poly:  $\text{keys} (\text{row-to-poly} ts r) \subseteq \text{set } ts$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lookup-row-to-poly-not-zeroE:
  assumes  $\text{lookup} (\text{row-to-poly} ts r) t \neq 0$ 
  obtains  $i$  where  $i < \text{length } ts$  and  $t = ts ! i$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma row-to-poly-zero [simp]:  $\text{row-to-poly} ts (0_v (\text{length } ts)) = (0 :: 't \Rightarrow_0 'b :: \text{zero})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma row-to-poly-zeroD:
  assumes distinct  $ts$  and dim-vec  $r = \text{length } ts$  and  $\text{row-to-poly} ts r = 0$ 
  shows  $r = 0_v (\text{length } ts)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma row-to-poly-inj:
  assumes distinct  $ts$  and dim-vec  $r1 = \text{length } ts$  and dim-vec  $r2 = \text{length } ts$ 
  and  $\text{row-to-poly} ts r1 = \text{row-to-poly} ts r2$ 
  shows  $r1 = r2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma row-to-poly-vec-plus:
  assumes distinct ts and length ts = n
  shows row-to-poly ts (vec n (f1 + f2)) = row-to-poly ts (vec n f1) + row-to-poly
  ts (vec n f2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma row-to-poly-vec-sum:
  assumes distinct ts and length ts = n
  shows row-to-poly ts (vec n (λj. ∑ i∈I. f i j)) = ((∑ i∈I. row-to-poly ts (vec n
  (f i)))::'t ⇒₀ 'b::comm-monoid-add)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma row-to-poly-smult:
  assumes distinct ts and dim-vec r = length ts
  shows row-to-poly ts (c ·v r) = c · (row-to-poly ts r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-to-row-Nil [simp]: poly-to-row [] p = vec 0 f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma polys-to-mat-Nil [simp]: polys-to-mat ts [] = mat 0 (length ts) f
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dim-row-polys-to-mat[simp]: dim-row (polys-to-mat ts ps) = length ps
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma dim-col-polys-to-mat[simp]: dim-col (polys-to-mat ts ps) = length ts
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma polys-to-mat-index:
  assumes i < length ps and j < length ts
  shows (polys-to-mat ts ps) $$ (i, j) = lookup (ps ! i) (ts ! j)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma row-polys-to-mat:
  assumes i < length ps
  shows row (polys-to-mat ts ps) i = poly-to-row ts (ps ! i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma col-polys-to-mat:
  assumes j < length ts
  shows col (polys-to-mat ts ps) j = vec-of-list (map (λp. lookup p (ts ! j)) ps)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma length-mat-to-polys[simp]: length (mat-to-polys ts A) = dim-row A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-to-polys-nth:
  assumes i < dim-row A

```

```

shows (mat-to-polys ts A) ! i = row-to-poly ts (row A i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Keys-mat-to-polys: Keys (set (mat-to-polys ts A)) ⊆ set ts
⟨proof⟩

lemma polys-to-mat-to-polys:
assumes Keys (set ps) ⊆ set ts
shows mat-to-polys ts (polys-to-mat ts ps) = (ps::('t ⇒₀ 'b::semiring-1) list)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mat-to-polys-to-mat:
assumes distinct ts and length ts = dim-col A
shows (polys-to-mat ts (mat-to-polys ts A)) = A
⟨proof⟩

```

15.4 Properties of Macaulay Matrices

```

lemma row-to-poly-vec-times:
assumes distinct ts and length ts = dim-col A
shows row-to-poly ts (v v* A) = ((sum i=0..<dim-row A. (v $ i) · (row-to-poly ts
(row A i)))::'t ⇒₀ 'b::comm-semiring-0)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vec-times-polys-to-mat:
assumes Keys (set ps) ⊆ set ts and v ∈ carrier-vec (length ps)
shows row-to-poly ts (v v* (polys-to-mat ts ps)) = (sum (c, p) ← zip (list-of-vec v)
ps. c · p)
(is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma row-space-subset-phull:
assumes Keys (set ps) ⊆ set ts
shows row-to-poly ts ` row-space (polys-to-mat ts ps) ⊆ phull (set ps)
(is ?r ⊆ ?h)
⟨proof⟩

lemma phull-subset-row-space:
assumes Keys (set ps) ⊆ set ts
shows phull (set ps) ⊆ row-to-poly ts ` row-space (polys-to-mat ts ps)
(is ?h ⊆ ?r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma row-space-eq-phull:
assumes Keys (set ps) ⊆ set ts
shows row-to-poly ts ` row-space (polys-to-mat ts ps) = phull (set ps)
⟨proof⟩

lemma row-space-row-echelon-eq-phull:

```

```

assumes Keys (set ps) ⊆ set ts
shows row-to-poly ts ` row-space (row-echelon (polys-to-mat ts ps)) = phull (set
ps)
⟨proof⟩

lemma phull-row-echelon:
assumes Keys (set ps) ⊆ set ts and distinct ts
shows phull (set (mat-to-polys ts (row-echelon (polys-to-mat ts ps)))) = phull
(set ps)
⟨proof⟩

lemma pmdl-row-echelon:
assumes Keys (set ps) ⊆ set ts and distinct ts
shows pmdl (set (mat-to-polys ts (row-echelon (polys-to-mat ts ps)))) = pmdl
(set ps)
(is ?l = ?r)
⟨proof⟩

end

context ordered-term
begin

lemma lt-row-to-poly-pivot-fun:
assumes card S = dim-col (A::'b::semiring-1 mat) and pivot-fun A f (dim-col
A)
and i < dim-row A and f i < dim-col A
shows lt ((mat-to-polys (pps-to-list S) A) ! i) = (pps-to-list S) ! (f i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lc-row-to-poly-pivot-fun:
assumes card S = dim-col (A::'b::semiring-1 mat) and pivot-fun A f (dim-col
A)
and i < dim-row A and f i < dim-col A
shows lc ((mat-to-polys (pps-to-list S) A) ! i) = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-row-to-poly-pivot-fun-less:
assumes card S = dim-col (A::'b::semiring-1 mat) and pivot-fun A f (dim-col
A)
and i1 < i2 and i2 < dim-row A and f i1 < dim-col A and f i2 < dim-col A
shows (pps-to-list S) ! (f i2) ≺t (pps-to-list S) ! (f i1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-row-to-poly-pivot-fun-eqD:
assumes card S = dim-col (A::'b::semiring-1 mat) and pivot-fun A f (dim-col
A)
and i1 < dim-row A and i2 < dim-row A and f i1 < dim-col A and f i2 <
dim-col A

```

```

and (pps-to-list S) ! (f i1) = (pps-to-list S) ! (f i2)
shows i1 = i2
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-row-to-pivot-in-keysD:
assumes card S = dim-col (A::'b::semiring-1 mat) and pivot-fun A f (dim-col A)
and i1 < dim-row A and i2 < dim-row A and f i1 < dim-col A
and (pps-to-list S) ! (f i1) ∈ keys ((mat-to-polys (pps-to-list S) A) ! i2)
shows i1 = i2
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt-row-space-pivot-fun:
assumes card S = dim-col (A::'b:{comm-semiring-0,semiring-1-no-zero-divisors} mat)
and pivot-fun A f (dim-col A) and p ∈ row-to-poly (pps-to-list S) ‘row-space A and p ≠ 0
shows lt p ∈ lt-set (set (mat-to-polys (pps-to-list S) A))
⟨proof⟩

```

15.5 Functions Macaulay-mat and Macaulay-list

```

definition Macaulay-mat :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b) list ⇒ 'b::field mat
where Macaulay-mat ps = polys-to-mat (Keys-to-list ps) ps

definition Macaulay-list :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b) list ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b::field) list
where Macaulay-list ps =
      filter (λp. p ≠ 0) (mat-to-polys (Keys-to-list ps) (row-echelon (Macaulay-mat ps)))

```

lemma dim-Macaulay-mat[simp]:
 $\text{dim-row}(\text{Macaulay-mat } ps) = \text{length } ps$
 $\text{dim-col}(\text{Macaulay-mat } ps) = \text{card}(\text{Keys}(\text{set } ps))$

⟨proof⟩

lemma Macaulay-list-Nil [simp]: Macaulay-list [] = ([]:('t ⇒₀ 'b::field) list) (**is** ?l = -)

⟨proof⟩

lemma set-Macaulay-list:
 $\text{set}(\text{Macaulay-list } ps) =$
 $\text{set}(\text{mat-to-polys}(\text{Keys-to-list } ps)(\text{row-echelon}(\text{Macaulay-mat } ps))) - \{0\}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma Keys-Macaulay-list: Keys (set (Macaulay-list ps)) ⊆ Keys (set ps)

⟨proof⟩

lemma in-Macaulay-listE:
assumes p ∈ set (Macaulay-list ps)

```

and pivot-fun (row-echelon (Macaulay-mat ps)) f (dim-col (row-echelon (Macaulay-mat
ps)))
obtains i where i < dim-row (row-echelon (Macaulay-mat ps))
and p = (mat-to-polys (Keys-to-list ps) (row-echelon (Macaulay-mat ps))) ! i
and f i < dim-col (row-echelon (Macaulay-mat ps))
⟨proof⟩

lemma phull-Macaulay-list: phull (set (Macaulay-list ps)) = phull (set ps)
⟨proof⟩

lemma pmdl-Macaulay-list: pmdl (set (Macaulay-list ps)) = pmdl (set ps)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Macaulay-list-is-monic-set: is-monic-set (set (Macaulay-list ps))
⟨proof⟩

lemma Macaulay-list-not-zero: 0 ∉ set (Macaulay-list ps)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Macaulay-list-distinct-lt:
assumes x ∈ set (Macaulay-list ps) and y ∈ set (Macaulay-list ps)
and x ≠ y
shows lt x ≠ lt y
⟨proof⟩

lemma Macaulay-list-lt:
assumes p ∈ phull (set ps) and p ≠ 0
obtains g where g ∈ set (Macaulay-list ps) and g ≠ 0 and lt p = lt g
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

16 Faugère’s F4 Algorithm

```

theory F4
imports Macaulay-Matrix Algorithm-Schema
begin

```

This theory implements Faugère’s F4 algorithm based on *gd-term.gb-schema-direct*.

16.1 Symbolic Preprocessing

```

context gd-term
begin

```

```

definition sym-preproc-aux-term1 :: ('a ⇒ nat) ⇒ ((('t ⇒₀ 'b) list × 't list × 't
list × ('t ⇒₀ 'b) list) ×

```

```

((('t ⇒₀ 'b) list × 't list × 't list × ('t ⇒₀
'b) list)) set
where sym-preproc-aux-term1 d =
{((gs1, ks1, ts1, fs1), (gs2:('t ⇒₀ 'b) list, ks2, ts2, fs2)). ∃ t2∈set ts2.
∀ t1∈set ts1. t1 ≺t t2}

definition sym-preproc-aux-term2 :: ('a ⇒ nat) ⇒ (((('t ⇒₀ 'b)::zero) list × 't list
× 't list × ('t ⇒₀ 'b) list) ×
((('t ⇒₀ 'b) list × 't list × 't list × ('t ⇒₀
'b) list)) set
where sym-preproc-aux-term2 d =
{((gs1, ks1, ts1, fs1), (gs2:('t ⇒₀ 'b) list, ks2, ts2, fs2)). gs1 = gs2 ∧
dgrad-set-le d (pp-of-term ‘ set ts1) (pp-of-term
‘ (Keys (set gs2) ∪ set ts2))}

definition sym-preproc-aux-term
where sym-preproc-aux-term d = sym-preproc-aux-term1 d ∩ sym-preproc-aux-term2
d

lemma wfp-on-ord-term-strict:
assumes dickson-grading d
shows wfp-on (≺t) (pp-of-term –‘ dgrad-set d m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sym-preproc-aux-term1-wf-on:
assumes dickson-grading d
shows wfp-on (λx y. (x, y) ∈ sym-preproc-aux-term1 d) {x. set (fst (snd (snd
x))) ⊆ pp-of-term –‘ dgrad-set d m}
⟨proof⟩

lemma sym-preproc-aux-term-wf:
assumes dickson-grading d
shows wf (sym-preproc-aux-term d)
⟨proof⟩

primrec sym-preproc-addnew :: ('t ⇒₀ 'b::semiring-1) list ⇒ 't list ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b)
list ⇒ 't ⇒
('t list × ('t ⇒₀ 'b) list) where
sym-preproc-addnew [] vs fs - = (vs, fs)
sym-preproc-addnew (g # gs) vs fs v =
(if lt g addst v then
(let f = monom-mult 1 (pp-of-term v - lp g) g in
sym-preproc-addnew gs (merge-wrt (≻t) vs (keys-to-list (tail f))) (insert-list
f fs) v
)
else
sym-preproc-addnew gs vs fs v
)

```

```

lemma fst-sym-preproc-addnew-less:
  assumes  $\bigwedge u. u \in set\ vs \implies u \prec_t v$ 
  and  $u \in set (fst (sym\text{-}preproc\text{-}addnew\ gs\ vs\ fs\ v))$ 
  shows  $u \prec_t v$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fst-sym-preproc-addnew-dgrad-set-le:
  assumes dickson-grading d
  shows dgrad-set-le d (pp-of-term `set (fst (sym\text{-}preproc\text{-}addnew\ gs\ vs\ fs\ v)))` (pp-of-term `Keys (set gs) \cup insert v (set vs)`))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma components-fst-sym-preproc-addnew-subset:
  component-of-term `set (fst (sym\text{-}preproc\text{-}addnew\ gs\ vs\ fs\ v)) \subseteq component\text{-}of\text{-}term` `Keys (set gs) \cup insert v (set vs)`
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fst-sym-preproc-addnew-superset: set vs  $\subseteq$  set (fst (sym\text{-}preproc\text{-}addnew\ gs\ vs\ fs\ v))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma snd-sym-preproc-addnew-superset: set fs  $\subseteq$  set (snd (sym\text{-}preproc\text{-}addnew\ gs\ vs\ fs\ v))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma in-snd-sym-preproc-addnewE:
  assumes  $p \in set (snd (sym\text{-}preproc\text{-}addnew\ gs\ vs\ fs\ v))$ 
  assumes 1:  $p \in set\ fs \implies thesis$ 
  assumes 2:  $\bigwedge g s. g \in set\ gs \implies p = monom\text{-}mult\ 1\ s\ g \implies thesis$ 
  shows thesis
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sym-preproc-addnew-pmdl:
  pmdl (set gs  $\cup$  set (snd (sym\text{-}preproc\text{-}addnew\ gs\ vs\ fs\ v))) = pmdl (set gs  $\cup$  set fs)
  (is pmdl (set gs  $\cup$  ?l) = ?r)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Keys-snd-sym-preproc-addnew:
  Keys (set (snd (sym\text{-}preproc\text{-}addnew\ gs\ vs\ fs\ v)))  $\cup$  insert v (set vs) =
  Keys (set fs)  $\cup$  insert v (set (fst (sym\text{-}preproc\text{-}addnew\ gs\ vs\ (fs::('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::semiring-1-no-zero-divisors) list)\ v)))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sym-preproc-addnew-complete:
  assumes  $g \in set\ gs$  and lt g addst v
  shows monom-mult 1 (pp-of-term v - lp g) g  $\in$  set (snd (sym\text{-}preproc\text{-}addnew\ gs\ vs\ fs\ v))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

function sym-preproc-aux :: ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::semiring-1) list  $\Rightarrow$  't list  $\Rightarrow$  ('t list  $\times$  ('t
 $\Rightarrow_0$  'b) list)  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('t list  $\times$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b) list) where
        sym-preproc-aux gs ks (vs, fs) =
            (if vs = [] then
                (ks, fs)
            else
                let v = ord-term-lin.max-list vs; vs' = removeAll v vs in
                    sym-preproc-aux gs (ks @ [v]) (sym-preproc-addnew gs vs' fs v)
            )
            <proof>
termination <proof>

lemma sym-preproc-aux-Nil: sym-preproc-aux gs ks ([] , fs) = (ks, fs)
<proof>

lemma sym-preproc-aux-sorted:
    assumes sorted-wrt ( $\succ_t$ ) (v # vs)
    shows sym-preproc-aux gs ks (v # vs, fs) = sym-preproc-aux gs (ks @ [v])
        (sym-preproc-addnew gs vs fs v)
<proof>

lemma sym-preproc-aux-induct [consumes 0, case-names base rec]:
    assumes base:  $\bigwedge$  ks fs. P ks [] fs (ks, fs)
    and rec:  $\bigwedge$  ks vs fs v vs'. vs  $\neq$  []  $\implies$  v = ord-term-lin.Max (set vs)  $\implies$  vs' =
        removeAll v vs  $\implies$ 
            P (ks @ [v]) (fst (sym-preproc-addnew gs vs' fs v)) (snd (sym-preproc-addnew
                gs vs' fs v))
            (sym-preproc-aux gs (ks @ [v]) (sym-preproc-addnew gs vs' fs v))
     $\implies$ 
        P ks vs fs (sym-preproc-aux gs (ks @ [v]) (sym-preproc-addnew gs vs'
            fs v))
    shows P ks vs fs (sym-preproc-aux gs ks (vs, fs))
<proof>

lemma fst-sym-preproc-aux-sorted-wrt:
    assumes sorted-wrt ( $\succ_t$ ) ks and  $\bigwedge$  k. k  $\in$  set ks  $\implies$  v  $\in$  set vs  $\implies$  v  $\prec_t$  k
    shows sorted-wrt ( $\succ_t$ ) (fst (sym-preproc-aux gs ks (vs, fs)))
<proof>

lemma fst-sym-preproc-aux-complete:
    assumes Keys (set (fs::('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::semiring-1-no-zero-divisors) list)) = set ks  $\cup$ 
        set vs
    shows set (fst (sym-preproc-aux gs ks (vs, fs))) = Keys (set (snd (sym-preproc-aux
        gs ks (vs, fs))))
<proof>

lemma snd-sym-preproc-aux-superset: set fs  $\subseteq$  set (snd (sym-preproc-aux gs ks (vs,

```

```

fs)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-snd-sym-preproc-auxE:
  assumes  $p \in \text{set} (\text{snd} (\text{sym-preproc-aux} \text{ gs } \text{ks} (\text{vs}, \text{fs})))$ 
  assumes 1:  $p \in \text{set} \text{fs} \implies \text{thesis}$ 
  assumes 2:  $\bigwedge g t. g \in \text{set} \text{gs} \implies p = \text{monom-mult} 1 t g \implies \text{thesis}$ 
  shows thesis
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-sym-preproc-aux-pmdl:
   $\text{pmdl} (\text{set} \text{gs} \cup \text{set} (\text{snd} (\text{sym-preproc-aux} \text{ gs } \text{ks} (\text{ts}, \text{fs})))) = \text{pmdl} (\text{set} \text{gs} \cup \text{set} \text{fs})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-sym-preproc-aux-dgrad-set-le:
  assumes dickson-grading d and  $\text{set} \text{vs} \subseteq \text{Keys} (\text{set} (\text{fs}::('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-1-no-zero-divisors) \text{list}))$ 
  shows dgrad-set-le d (pp-of-term ‘Keys ( $\text{set} (\text{snd} (\text{sym-preproc-aux} \text{ gs } \text{ks} (\text{vs}, \text{fs})))$ )’) (pp-of-term ‘Keys ( $\text{set} \text{gs} \cup \text{set} \text{fs}$ ))’)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma components-snd-sym-preproc-aux-subset:
  assumes  $\text{set} \text{vs} \subseteq \text{Keys} (\text{set} (\text{fs}::('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-1-no-zero-divisors) \text{list}))$ 
  shows component-of-term ‘Keys ( $\text{set} (\text{snd} (\text{sym-preproc-aux} \text{ gs } \text{ks} (\text{vs}, \text{fs})))$ )’  $\subseteq$ 
    component-of-term ‘Keys ( $\text{set} \text{gs} \cup \text{set} \text{fs}$ )’
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma snd-sym-preproc-aux-complete:
  assumes  $\bigwedge u' g'. u' \in \text{Keys} (\text{set} \text{fs}) \implies u' \notin \text{set} \text{vs} \implies g' \in \text{set} \text{gs} \implies \text{lt} g' \text{addst} u' \implies$ 
     $\text{monom-mult} 1 (\text{pp-of-term} u' - \text{lp} g') g' \in \text{set} \text{fs}$ 
  assumes  $u \in \text{Keys} (\text{set} (\text{snd} (\text{sym-preproc-aux} \text{ gs } \text{ks} (\text{vs}, \text{fs}))))$  and  $g \in \text{set} \text{gs}$ 
  and  $\text{lt} g \text{addst} u$ 
  shows  $\text{monom-mult} (1::'b::semiring-1-no-zero-divisors) (\text{pp-of-term} u - \text{lp} g) g \in$ 
     $\text{set} (\text{snd} (\text{sym-preproc-aux} \text{ gs } \text{ks} (\text{vs}, \text{fs})))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition sym-preproc ::  $('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-1) \text{list} \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \text{list} \Rightarrow ('t \text{list} \times ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) \text{list})$ 
  where sym-preproc gs fs = sym-preproc-aux gs [] (Keys-to-list fs, fs)

lemma sym-preproc-Nil [simp]: sym-preproc gs [] =  $([], [])$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fst-sym-preproc:
   $\text{fst} (\text{sym-preproc} \text{gs} \text{fs}) = \text{Keys-to-list} (\text{snd} (\text{sym-preproc} \text{gs} (\text{fs}::('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-1-no-zero-divisors) \text{list})))$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snd-sym-preproc-superset*: set $fs \subseteq set (snd (sym-preproc gs fs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-snd-sym-preprocE*:
 assumes $p \in set (snd (sym-preproc gs fs))$
 assumes $1: p \in set fs \implies thesis$
 assumes $2: \bigwedge g t. g \in set gs \implies p = monom-mult 1 t g \implies thesis$
 shows *thesis*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snd-sym-preproc-pmdl*: $pmdl (set gs \cup set (snd (sym-preproc gs fs))) = pmdl (set gs \cup set fs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snd-sym-preproc-dgrad-set-le*:
 assumes *dickson-grading d*
 shows *dgrad-set-le d (pp-of-term 'Keys (set (snd (sym-preproc gs fs))))*
 (pp-of-term 'Keys (set gs \cup set (fs::('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-1-no-zero-divisors) list)))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *snd-sym-preproc-dgrad-p-set-le*:
 assumes *dickson-grading d*
 shows *dgrad-p-set-le d (set (snd (sym-preproc gs fs))) (set gs \cup set (fs::('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-1-no-zero-divisors) list))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *components-snd-sym-preproc-subset*:
 component-of-term 'Keys (set (snd (sym-preproc gs fs))) \subseteq component-of-term 'Keys (set gs \cup set (fs::('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-1-no-zero-divisors) list))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snd-sym-preproc-complete*:
 assumes $v \in Keys (set (snd (sym-preproc gs fs)))$ **and** $g \in set gs$ **and** $lt g adds_t v$
 shows $monom-mult (1::'b::semiring-1-no-zero-divisors) (pp-of-term v - lp g) g \in set (snd (sym-preproc gs fs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

16.2 lin-red

context *ordered-term*
begin

```
definition lin-red :: ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::field) set  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where lin-red F p q  $\equiv$  ( $\exists f \in F$ . red-single p q f 0)
```

lin-red is a restriction of *red*, where the reductor (*f*) may only be multiplied by a constant factor, i.e. where the power-product is 0.

lemma lin-redI:

```
assumes f  $\in F$  and red-single p q f 0
shows lin-red F p q
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma lin-redE:

```
assumes lin-red F p q
obtains f::'t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::field where f  $\in F$  and red-single p q f 0
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma lin-red-imp-red:

```
assumes lin-red F p q
shows red F p q
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma lin-red-Un: lin-red (F \cup G) p q = (lin-red F p q \vee lin-red G p q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lin-red-imp-red-rtrancI:

```
assumes (lin-red F)** p q
shows (red F)** p q
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma phull-closed-lin-red:

```
assumes phull B  $\subseteq$  phull A and p  $\in$  phull A and lin-red B p q
shows q  $\in$  phull A
⟨proof⟩
```

16.3 Reduction

definition Macaulay-red :: 't list \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) list \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b::field) list
where Macaulay-red vs fs =

```
(let lts = map lt (filter ( $\lambda p$ . p  $\neq$  0) fs) in
  filter ( $\lambda p$ . p  $\neq$  0  $\wedge$  lt p  $\notin$  set lts) (mat-to-polys vs (row-echelon (polys-to-mat
  vs fs)))
  )
```

Macaulay-red vs fs auto-reduces (w.r.t. *lin-red*) the given list *fs* and returns those non-zero polynomials whose leading terms are not in *lt-set* (*set fs*). Argument *vs* is expected to be *Keys-to-list fs*; this list is passed as an argument to *Macaulay-red*, because it can be efficiently computed by symbolic preprocessing.

lemma Macaulay-red-alt:

```

Macaulay-red (Keys-to-list fs) fs = filter ( $\lambda p. \text{lt } p \notin \text{lt-set} (\text{set } fs)$ ) (Macaulay-list fs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma set-Macaulay-red:
set (Macaulay-red (Keys-to-list fs) fs) = set (Macaulay-list fs) – {p. lt p ∈ lt-set (set fs)}
⟨proof⟩

lemma Keys-Macaulay-red: Keys (set (Macaulay-red (Keys-to-list fs) fs)) ⊆ Keys (set fs)
⟨proof⟩

end

context gd-term
begin

lemma Macaulay-red-reducible:
assumes f ∈ phull (set fs) and F ⊆ set fs and lt-set F = lt-set (set fs)
shows (lin-red (F ∪ set (Macaulay-red (Keys-to-list fs) fs)))** f 0
⟨proof⟩

primrec pdata-pairs-to-list :: ('t, 'b::field, 'c) pdata-pair list ⇒ ('t ⇒₀ 'b) list
where
pdata-pairs-to-list [] = []
pdata-pairs-to-list (p # ps) =
  (let f = fst (fst p); g = fst (snd p); lf = lp f; lg = lp g; l = lcs lf lg in
    (monom-mult (1 / lc f) (l – lf) f) # (monom-mult (1 / lc g) (l – lg) g) #
    (pdata-pairs-to-list ps)
  )
)

lemma in-pdata-pairs-to-listI1:
assumes (f, g) ∈ set ps
shows monom-mult (1 / lc (fst f)) ((lcs (lp (fst f)) (lp (fst g))) – (lp (fst f)))
  (fst f) ∈ set (pdata-pairs-to-list ps) (is ?m ∈ -)
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-pdata-pairs-to-listI2:
assumes (f, g) ∈ set ps
shows monom-mult (1 / lc (fst g)) ((lcs (lp (fst f)) (lp (fst g))) – (lp (fst g)))
  (fst g) ∈ set (pdata-pairs-to-list ps) (is ?m ∈ -)
⟨proof⟩

lemma in-pdata-pairs-to-listE:
assumes h ∈ set (pdata-pairs-to-list ps)
obtains f g where (f, g) ∈ set ps ∨ (g, f) ∈ set ps
  and h = monom-mult (1 / lc (fst f)) ((lcs (lp (fst f)) (lp (fst g))) – (lp (fst f))) (fst f)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $f4\text{-red-aux} :: ('t, 'b::field, 'c) pdata list \Rightarrow ('t, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list \Rightarrow ('t \Rightarrow_0 'b) list$
where $f4\text{-red-aux} bs ps =$
 $(let aux = sym-preproc (map fst bs) (pdata-pairs-to-list ps) in Macaulay-red (fst aux) (snd aux))$

$f4\text{-red-aux}$ only takes two arguments, since it does not distinguish between those elements of the current basis that are known to be a Gröbner basis (called gs in *Groebner-Bases.Algorithm-Schema*) and the remaining ones.

lemma $f4\text{-red-aux-not-zero}: 0 \notin set (f4\text{-red-aux} bs ps)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $f4\text{-red-aux-irreducible}:$
assumes $h \in set (f4\text{-red-aux} bs ps)$ **and** $b \in set bs$ **and** $fst b \neq 0$
shows $\neg lt (fst b) adds_t lt h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $f4\text{-red-aux-dgrad-p-set-le}:$
assumes *dickson-grading d*
shows $dgrad-p-set-le d (set (f4\text{-red-aux} bs ps)) (args-to-set ([]), bs, ps))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $components-f4\text{-red-aux-subset}:$
 $component-of-term ` Keys (set (f4\text{-red-aux} bs ps)) \subseteq component-of-term ` Keys (args-to-set ([]), bs, ps))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pmdl-f4\text{-red-aux}: set (f4\text{-red-aux} bs ps) \subseteq pmdl (args-to-set ([]), bs, ps))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $f4\text{-red-aux-phull-reducible}:$
assumes $set ps \subseteq set bs \times set bs$
and $f \in phull (set (pdata-pairs-to-list ps))$
shows $(red (fst ` set bs \cup set (f4\text{-red-aux} bs ps)))^{**} f 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary $f4\text{-red-aux-spoly-reducible}:$
assumes $set ps \subseteq set bs \times set bs$ **and** $(p, q) \in set ps$
shows $(red (fst ` set bs \cup set (f4\text{-red-aux} bs ps)))^{**} (spoly (fst p) (fst q)) 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $f4\text{-red} :: ('t, 'b::field, 'c::default, 'd) complT$
where $f4\text{-red} gs bs ps sps data = (map (\lambda h. (h, default)) (f4\text{-red-aux} (gs @ bs) sps), snd data)$

lemma $fst\text{-set}_fst\text{-}f4\text{-red}: fst ` set (fst (f4\text{-red} gs bs ps sps data)) = set (f4\text{-red-aux} (gs @ bs) sps)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rcp-spec-f4-red*: *rcp-spec f4-red*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *compl-struct-f4-red* = *compl-struct-rcp*[*OF rcp-spec-f4-red*]
lemmas *compl-pmdl-f4-red* = *compl-pmdl-rcp*[*OF rcp-spec-f4-red*]
lemmas *compl-conn-f4-red* = *compl-conn-rcp*[*OF rcp-spec-f4-red*]

16.4 Pair Selection

primrec *f4-sel-aux* :: '*a* \Rightarrow ('*t*, '*b*::zero, '*c*) *pdata-pair list* \Rightarrow ('*t*, '*b*, '*c*) *pdata-pair list* **where**
f4-sel-aux - [] = []
f4-sel-aux *t* (*p* # *ps*) =
 (if (*lcs* (*lp* (*fst* (*fst* *p*))) (*lp* (*fst* (*snd* *p*)))) = *t* then
 p # (*f4-sel-aux* *t* *ps*))
 else
 []
)

lemma *f4-sel-aux-subset*: *set* (*f4-sel-aux* *t* *ps*) \subseteq *set ps*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

primrec *f4-sel* :: ('*t*, '*b*::zero, '*c*, '*d*) *selT* **where**
f4-sel *gs* *bs* [] *data* = []
f4-sel *gs* *bs* (*p* # *ps*) *data* = *p* # (*f4-sel-aux* (*lcs* (*lp* (*fst* (*fst* *p*))) (*lp* (*fst* (*snd* *p*)))) *ps*)

lemma *sel-spec-f4-sel*: *sel-spec f4-sel*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

16.5 The F4 Algorithm

The F4 algorithm is just *gb-schema-direct* with parameters instantiated by suitable functions.

lemma *struct-spec-f4*: *struct-spec f4-sel add-pairs-canon add-basis-canon f4-red*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *f4-aux* :: ('*t*, '*b*, '*c*) *pdata list* \Rightarrow *nat* \times *nat* \times '*d* \Rightarrow ('*t*, '*b*, '*c*) *pdata list*
 \Rightarrow
 ('*t*, '*b*, '*c*) *pdata-pair list* \Rightarrow ('*t*, '*b*::field, '*c*::default) *pdata list*
where *f4-aux* = *gb-schema-aux f4-sel add-pairs-canon add-basis-canon f4-red*

lemmas *f4-aux-simps* [*code*] = *gb-schema-aux-simps*[*OF struct-spec-f4, folded f4-aux-def*]

definition *f4* :: ('*t*, '*b*, '*c*) *pdata' list* \Rightarrow '*d* \Rightarrow ('*t*, '*b*::field, '*c*::default) *pdata' list
where *f4* = *gb-schema-direct f4-sel add-pairs-canon add-basis-canon f4-red**

```
lemmas f4-simps [code] = gb-schema-direct-def[of f4-sel add-pairs-canon add-basis-canon
f4-red, folded f4-def f4-aux-def]
```

```
lemmas f4-isGB = gb-schema-direct-isGB[OF struct-spec-f4 compl-conn-f4-red,
folded f4-def]
```

```
lemmas f4-pmdl = gb-schema-direct-pmdl[OF struct-spec-f4 compl-pmdl-f4-red,
folded f4-def]
```

16.5.1 Special Case: *punit*

```
lemma (in gd-term) struct-spec-f4-punit: punit.struct-spec punit.f4-sel add-pairs-punit-canon
punit.add-basis-canon punit.f4-red
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition f4-aux-punit :: ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata list ⇒ nat × nat × 'd ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c)
pdata list ⇒
```

```
          ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata-pair list ⇒ ('a, 'b::field, 'c::default) pdata list
```

```
where f4-aux-punit = punit.gb-schema-aux punit.f4-sel add-pairs-punit-canon
punit.add-basis-canon punit.f4-red
```

```
lemmas f4-aux-punit-simps [code] = punit.gb-schema-aux-simps[OF struct-spec-f4-punit,
folded f4-aux-punit-def]
```

```
definition f4-punit :: ('a, 'b, 'c) pdata' list ⇒ 'd ⇒ ('a, 'b::field, 'c::default) pdata'
list
```

```
where f4-punit = punit.gb-schema-direct punit.f4-sel add-pairs-punit-canon punit.add-basis-canon
punit.f4-red
```

```
lemmas f4-punit-simps [code] = punit.gb-schema-direct-def[of punit.f4-sel add-pairs-punit-canon
punit.add-basis-canon punit.f4-red, folded f4-punit-def
f4-aux-punit-def]
```

```
lemmas f4-punit-isGB = punit.gb-schema-direct-isGB[OF struct-spec-f4-punit punit.compl-conn-f4-red,
folded f4-punit-def]
```

```
lemmas f4-punit-pmdl = punit.gb-schema-direct-pmdl[OF struct-spec-f4-punit punit.compl-pmdl-f4-red,
folded f4-punit-def]
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

17 Sample Computations with the F4 Algorithm

```
theory F4-Examples
```

```
imports F4-Algorithm-Schema-Impl Jordan-Normal-Form. Gauss-Jordan-IArray-Impl
Code-Target-Rat
```

```
begin
```

We only consider scalar polynomials here, but vector-polynomials could be handled, too.

17.1 Preparations

```

primrec remdups-wrt-rev :: ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'b list ⇒ 'a list where
  remdups-wrt-rev f [] vs = []
  remdups-wrt-rev f (x # xs) vs =
    (let fx = f x in if List.member vs fx then remdups-wrt-rev f xs vs else x #
      (remdups-wrt-rev f xs (fx # vs)))

lemma remdups-wrt-rev-notin: v ∈ set vs ⇒ v ∉ f ` set (remdups-wrt-rev f xs vs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma distinct-remdups-wrt-rev: distinct (map f (remdups-wrt-rev f xs vs))
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-of-remdups-wrt-rev':
  map-of (remdups-wrt-rev fst xs vs) k = map-of (filter (λx. fst x ∉ set vs) xs) k
⟨proof⟩

corollary map-of-remdups-wrt-rev: map-of (remdups-wrt-rev fst xs []) = map-of
xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in term-powerprod) compute-list-to-poly [code]:
  list-to-poly ts cs = distr0 DRLEX (remdups-wrt-rev fst (zip ts cs) [])
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in ordered-term) compute-Macaulay-list [code]:
  Macaulay-list ps =
    (let ts = Keys-to-list ps in
      filter (λp. p ≠ 0) (mat-to-polys ts (row-echelon (polys-to-mat ts ps))))
    )
⟨proof⟩

declare conversep-iff [code]

derive (eq) ceq poly-mapping
derive (no) ccompare poly-mapping
derive (dlist) set-impl poly-mapping
derive (no) cenum poly-mapping

derive (eq) ceq rat
derive (no) ccompare rat
derive (dlist) set-impl rat
derive (no) cenum rat

global-interpretation punit': gd-powerprod ord-pp-punit cmp-term ord-pp-strict-punit

```

```

cmp-term
rewrites punit.adds-term = (adds)
and punit.pp-of-term = ( $\lambda x. x$ )
and punit.component-of-term = ( $\lambda -. ()$ )
and punit.monom-mult = monom-mult-punit
and punit.mult-scalar = mult-scalar-punit
and punit'.punit.min-term = min-term-punit
and punit'.punit.lt = lt-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.lc = lc-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.tail = tail-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.ord-p = ord-p-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.ord-strict-p = ord-strict-p-punit cmp-term
and punit'.punit.keys-to-list = keys-to-list-punit cmp-term
for cmp-term :: ('a::nat, 'b::{nat,add-wellorder}) pp nat-term-order

defines max-punit = punit'.ordered-powerprod-lin.max
and max-list-punit = punit'.ordered-powerprod-lin.max-list
and find-adds-punit = punit'.punit.find-adds
and trd-aux-punit = punit'.punit.trd-aux
and trd-punit = punit'.punit.trd
and spoly-punit = punit'.punit.spoly
and count-const-lt-components-punit = punit'.punit.count-const-lt-components
and count-rem-components-punit = punit'.punit.count-rem-components
and const-lt-component-punit = punit'.punit.const-lt-component
and full-gb-punit = punit'.punit.full-gb
and add-pairs-single-sorted-punit = punit'.punit.add-pairs-single-sorted
and add-pairs-punit = punit'.punit.add-pairs
and canon-pair-order-aux-punit = punit'.punit.canon-pair-order-aux
and canon-basis-order-punit = punit'.punit.canon-basis-order
and new-pairs-sorted-punit = punit'.punit.new-pairs-sorted
and product-crit-punit = punit'.punit.product-crit
and chain-ncrit-punit = punit'.punit.chain-ncrit
and chain-ocrit-punit = punit'.punit.chain-ocrit
and apply-icrit-punit = punit'.punit.apply-icrit
and apply-ncrit-punit = punit'.punit.apply-ncrit
and apply-ocrit-punit = punit'.punit.apply-ocrit
and Keys-to-list-punit = punit'.punit.Keys-to-list
and sym-preproc-addnew-punit = punit'.punit.sym-preproc-addnew
and sym-preproc-aux-punit = punit'.punit.sym-preproc-aux
and sym-preproc-punit = punit'.punit.sym-preproc
and Macaulay-mat-punit = punit'.punit.Macaulay-mat
and Macaulay-list-punit = punit'.punit.Macaulay-list
and pdata-pairs-to-list-punit = punit'.punit.pdata-pairs-to-list
and Macaulay-red-punit = punit'.punit.Macaulay-red
and f4-sel-aux-punit = punit'.punit.f4-sel-aux
and f4-sel-punit = punit'.punit.f4-sel
and f4-red-aux-punit = punit'.punit.f4-red-aux
and f4-red-punit = punit'.punit.f4-red
and f4-aux-punit = punit'.punit.f4-aux-punit

```

and $f4\text{-punit} = punit'.punit.f4\text{-punit}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.2 Computations

experiment begin interpretation $trivariate_0\text{-rat}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$lt\text{-punit DRLEX } (X^2 * Z \wedge 3 + 3 * X^2 * Y) = sparse_0 [(0, 2), (2, 3)]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$lc\text{-punit DRLEX } (X^2 * Z \wedge 3 + 3 * X^2 * Y) = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$tail\text{-punit DRLEX } (X^2 * Z \wedge 3 + 3 * X^2 * Y) = 3 * X^2 * Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$ord\text{-strict-}p\text{-punit DRLEX } (X^2 * Z \wedge 4 - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2) (X^2 * Z \wedge 7 + 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$f4\text{-punit DRLEX}$
 $[$
 $(X^2 * Z \wedge 4 - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2, ()),$
 $(Y^2 * Z + 2 * Z \wedge 3, ())$
 $] () =$
 $[$
 $(X^2 * Y^2 * Z^2 + 4 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2, ()),$
 $(X^2 * Z \wedge 4 - 2 * Y \wedge 3 * Z^2, ()),$
 $(Y^2 * Z + 2 * Z \wedge 3, ()),$
 $(X^2 * Y \wedge 4 * Z + 4 * Y \wedge 5 * Z, ())$
 $]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

$f4\text{-punit DRLEX}$
 $[$
 $(X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 - 1, ()),$
 $(X * Y - Z - 1, ()),$
 $(Y^2 + X, ()),$
 $(Z^2 + X, ())$
 $] () =$
 $[$
 $(1, ())$
 $]$

```

⟨proof⟩

end

value [code] length (f4-punit DRLEX (map (λp. (p, ())) ((cyclic DRLEX 4)::(- ⇒₀
rat) list)) ())

value [code] length (f4-punit DRLEX (map (λp. (p, ())) ((katsura DRLEX 2)::(-
⇒₀ rat) list)) ())

end

```

18 Syzygies of Multivariate Polynomials

```

theory Syzygy
  imports Groebner-Bases More-MPoly-Type-Class
begin

```

In this theory we first introduce the general concept of *syzygies* in modules, and then provide a method for computing Gröbner bases of syzygy modules of lists of multivariate vector-polynomials. Since syzygies in this context are themselves represented by vector-polynomials, this method can be applied repeatedly to compute bases of syzygy modules of syzygies, and so on.

```
instance nat :: comm-powerprod ⟨proof⟩
```

18.1 Syzygy Modules Generated by Sets

```

context module
begin

```

```

definition rep :: ('b ⇒₀ 'a) ⇒ 'b
  where rep r = (Σ v ∈ keys r. lookup r v *s v)

```

```

definition represents :: 'b set ⇒ ('b ⇒₀ 'a) ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool
  where represents B r x ←→ (keys r ⊆ B ∧ local.rep r = x)

```

```

definition syzygy-module :: 'b set ⇒ ('b ⇒₀ 'a) set
  where syzygy-module B = {s. local.rep s 0}

```

```
end
```

```
hide-const (open) real-vector.rep real-vector.represents real-vector.syzygy-module
```

```

context module
begin

```

```

lemma rep-monomial [simp]: rep (monomial c x) = c *s x
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rep-zero [simp]: rep 0 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-uminus [simp]: rep (- r) = - rep r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-plus: rep (r + s) = rep r + rep s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-minus: rep (r - s) = rep r - rep s
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-smult: rep (monomial c 0 * r) = c *s rep r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rep-in-span: rep r ∈ span (keys r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma spanE-rep:
  assumes x ∈ span B
  obtains r where keys r ⊆ B and x = rep r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma representsI:
  assumes keys r ⊆ B and rep r = x
  shows represents B r x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma representsD1:
  assumes represents B r x
  shows keys r ⊆ B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma representsD2:
  assumes represents B r x
  shows x = rep r
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-mono:
  assumes represents B r x and B ⊆ A
  shows represents A r x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-self: represents {x} (monomial 1 x) x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-zero: represents B 0 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma represents-plus:
  assumes represents A r x and represents B s y
  shows represents (A  $\cup$  B) (r + s) (x + y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-uminus:
  assumes represents B r x
  shows represents B (− r) (− x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-minus:
  assumes represents A r x and represents B s y
  shows represents (A  $\cup$  B) (r − s) (x − y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-scale:
  assumes represents B r x
  shows represents B (monomial c 0 * r) (c *s x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma represents-in-span:
  assumes represents B r x
  shows x ∈ span B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma syzygy-module-iff: s ∈ syzygy-module B  $\longleftrightarrow$  represents B s 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma syzygy-moduleI:
  assumes represents B s 0
  shows s ∈ syzygy-module B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma syzygy-moduleD:
  assumes s ∈ syzygy-module B
  shows represents B s 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zero-in-syzygy-module: 0 ∈ syzygy-module B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma syzygy-module-closed-plus:
  assumes s1 ∈ syzygy-module B and s2 ∈ syzygy-module B
  shows s1 + s2 ∈ syzygy-module B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma syzygy-module-closed-minus:
  assumes s1 ∈ syzygy-module B and s2 ∈ syzygy-module B

```

```

shows  $s1 - s2 \in \text{syzygy-module } B$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma syzygy-module-closed-times-monomial:
  assumes  $s \in \text{syzygy-module } B$ 
  shows  $\text{monomial } c \ 0 * s \in \text{syzygy-module } B$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

context term-powerprod
begin

lemma keys-rep-subset:
  assumes  $u \in \text{keys } (\text{pmdl.rep } r)$ 
  obtains  $t \ v$  where  $t \in \text{Keys } (\text{Poly-Mapping.range } r)$  and  $v \in \text{Keys } (\text{keys } r)$  and
 $u = t \oplus v$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rep-mult-scalar:  $\text{pmdl.rep } (\text{punit.monom-mult } c \ 0 \ r) = c \odot \text{pmdl.rep } r$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma represents-mult-scalar:
  assumes  $\text{pmdl.represents } B \ r \ x$ 
  shows  $\text{pmdl.represents } B \ (\text{punit.monom-mult } c \ 0 \ r) \ (c \odot x)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma syzygy-module-closed-map-scale:  $s \in \text{pmdl.syzygy-module } B \implies c \cdot s \in \text{pmdl.syzygy-module } B$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma phull-syzygy-module:  $\text{phull } (\text{pmdl.syzygy-module } B) = \text{pmdl.syzygy-module } B$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

18.2 Polynomial Mappings on List-Indices

```

definition pm-of-idx-pm ::  $('a \text{ list}) \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{zero}$ 
  where  $\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f = \text{Abs-poly-mapping } (\lambda x. \text{lookup } f (\text{Min } \{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge xs ! \ i = x\}) \text{ when } x \in \text{set } xs)$ 

definition idx-pm-of-pm ::  $('a \text{ list}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow_0 'b::\text{zero}$ 
  where  $\text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ f = \text{Abs-poly-mapping } (\lambda i. \text{lookup } f (xs ! \ i) \text{ when } i < \text{length } xs)$ 

lemma lookup-pm-of-idx-pm:
   $\text{lookup } (\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f) = (\lambda x. \text{lookup } f (\text{Min } \{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge xs ! \ i = x\}))$ 

```

when $x \in \text{set } xs$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lookup-pm-of-idx-pm-distinct*:
 assumes *distinct xs and $i < \text{length } xs$*
 shows $\text{lookup}(\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f) (xs ! i) = \text{lookup } f \ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *keys-pm-of-idx-pm-subset*: $\text{keys}(\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f) \subseteq \text{set } xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-pm-of-idx-pm-subset*: $\text{Poly-Mapping.range}(\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f) \subseteq \text{lookup } f \ ' \{0..<\text{length } xs\} - \{0\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *range-pm-of-idx-pm-subset'*: $\text{Poly-Mapping.range}(\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f) \subseteq \text{Poly-Mapping.range } f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pm-of-idx-pm-zero [simp]*: $\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pm-of-idx-pm-plus*: $\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ (f + g) = \text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f + \text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pm-of-idx-pm-uminus*: $\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ (-f) = - \text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pm-of-idx-pm-minus*: $\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ (f - g) = \text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f - \text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pm-of-idx-pm-monom-mult*: $\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ (\text{punit.monom-mult } c \ 0 \ f) = \text{punit.monom-mult } c \ 0 \ (\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pm-of-idx-pm-monomial*:
 assumes *distinct xs*
 shows $\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ (\text{monomial } c \ i) = (\text{monomial } c \ (xs ! i))$ when $i < \text{length } xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pm-of-idx-pm-take*:
 assumes $\text{keys } f \subseteq \{0..<j\}$
 shows $\text{pm-of-idx-pm } (\text{take } j \ xs) \ f = \text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lookup-idx-pm-of-pm*: $\text{lookup}(\text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ f) = (\lambda i. \text{lookup } f \ (xs ! i))$

when $i < \text{length } xs$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *keys-idx-pm-of-pm-subset*: $\text{keys}(\text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ f) \subseteq \{0..<\text{length } xs\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *idx-pm-of-pm-zero* [*simp*]: $\text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *idx-pm-of-pm-plus*: $\text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ (f + g) = \text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ f + \text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *idx-pm-of-pm-minus*: $\text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ (f - g) = \text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ f - \text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pm-of-idx-pm-of-pm*:
assumes $\text{keys } f \subseteq \text{set } xs$
shows $\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ (\text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ f) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *idx-pm-of-pm-of-idx-pm*:
assumes $\text{distinct } xs \text{ and } \text{keys } f \subseteq \{0..<\text{length } xs\}$
shows $\text{idx-pm-of-pm } xs \ (\text{pm-of-idx-pm } xs \ f) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.3 POT Orders

context *ordered-term*
begin

definition *is-pot-ord* :: *bool*
where $\text{is-pot-ord} \longleftrightarrow (\forall u v. \text{component-of-term } u < \text{component-of-term } v \longrightarrow u \prec_t v)$

lemma *is-pot-ordI*:
assumes $\bigwedge u v. \text{component-of-term } u < \text{component-of-term } v \implies u \prec_t v$
shows *is-pot-ord*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-pot-ordD*:
assumes *is-pot-ord* **and** $\text{component-of-term } u < \text{component-of-term } v$
shows $u \prec_t v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-pot-ordD2*:
assumes *is-pot-ord* **and** $u \preceq_t v$
shows $\text{component-of-term } u \leq \text{component-of-term } v$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma is-pot-ord:
  assumes is-pot-ord
  shows u  $\preceq_t$  v  $\longleftrightarrow$  (component-of-term u < component-of-term v  $\vee$ 
    (component-of-term u = component-of-term v  $\wedge$  pp-of-term u  $\preceq$ 
pp-of-term v)) (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition map-component :: ('k  $\Rightarrow$  'k)  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  't
  where map-component f v = term-of-pair (pp-of-term v, f (component-of-term v))

lemma pair-of-map-component [term-simps]:
  pair-of-term (map-component f v) = (pp-of-term v, f (component-of-term v))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pp-of-map-component [term-simps]: pp-of-term (map-component f v) =
pp-of-term v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma component-of-map-component [term-simps]:
  component-of-term (map-component f v) = f (component-of-term v)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-component-term-of-pair [term-simps]:
  map-component f (term-of-pair (t, k)) = term-of-pair (t, f k)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-component-comp: map-component f (map-component g x) = map-component
( $\lambda k. f(g k)$ ) x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-component-id [term-simps]: map-component ( $\lambda k. k$ ) x = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-component-inj:
  assumes inj f and map-component f u = map-component f v
  shows u = v
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

18.4 Gröbner Bases of Syzygy Modules

```

locale gd-inf-term =
  gd-term pair-of-term term-of-pair ord ord-strict ord-term ord-term-strict
  for pair-of-term::'t  $\Rightarrow$  ('a::graded-dickson-powerprod  $\times$  nat)
  and term-of-pair::('ia  $\times$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  't

```

```

and ord::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\trianglelefteq$  50)
and ord-strict (infixl  $\prec$  50)
and ord-term::'t  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\trianglelefteq_t$  50)
and ord-term-strict::'t  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infixl  $\prec_t$  50)
begin

```

In order to compute a Gröbner basis of the syzygy module of a list *bs* of polynomials, one first needs to “lift” *bs* to a new list *bs'* by adding further components, compute a Gröbner basis *gs* of *bs'*, and then filter out those elements of *gs* whose only non-zero components are those that were newly added to *bs*. Function *init-syzygy-list* takes care of constructing *bs'*, and function *filter-syzygy-basis* does the filtering. Function *proj-orig-basis*, finally, projects the Gröbner basis *gs* of *bs'* to a Gröbner basis of the original list *bs*.

```

definition lift-poly-syz :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::semiring-1)
  where lift-poly-syz n b i = Abs-poly-mapping
    ( $\lambda x.$  if pair-of-term x = (0, i) then 1
     else if n  $\leq$  component-of-term x then lookup b (map-component ( $\lambda k.$ 
      k - n) x)
     else 0)

definition proj-poly-syz :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::semiring-1)
  where proj-poly-syz n b = Poly-Mapping.map-key ( $\lambda x.$  map-component ( $\lambda k.$  k +
    n) x) b

definition cofactor-list-syz :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::semiring-1) list
  where cofactor-list-syz n b = map ( $\lambda i.$  proj-poly i b) [0..<n]

definition init-syzygy-list :: ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::semiring-1) list
  where init-syzygy-list bs = map-idx (lift-poly-syz (length bs)) bs 0

definition proj-orig-basis :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::semiring-1) list
  where proj-orig-basis n bs = map (proj-poly-syz n) bs

definition filter-syzygy-basis :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::semiring-1) list
  where filter-syzygy-basis n bs = [ $b \leftarrow$  bs. component-of-term ' keys b  $\subseteq$  {0..<n}]

definition syzygy-module-list :: ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('t  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b::comm-ring-1) set
  where syzygy-module-list bs = atomize-poly 'idx-pm-of-pm bs 'pmdl.syzygy-module
  (set bs)

```

18.4.1 *lift-poly-syz*

```

lemma keys-lift-poly-syz-aux:
  {x. (if pair-of-term x = (0, i) then 1
   else if n  $\leq$  component-of-term x then lookup b (map-component ( $\lambda k.$  k - n)
   x)
   else 0}  $\neq$  0}  $\subseteq$  insert (term-of-pair (0, i)) (map-component ( $\lambda k.$  k + n) ' keys b)

```

(is $?l \subseteq ?r$) for $b::'t \Rightarrow_0 'b::semiring-1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lookup-lift-poly-syz*:
 $lookup (lift-poly-syz n b i) =$
 $(\lambda x. \text{if pair-of-term } x = (0, i) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else if } n \leq \text{component-of-term } x \text{ then}$
 $lookup b (\text{map-component } (\lambda k. k - n) x) \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *lookup-lift-poly-syz-alt*:
 $lookup (lift-poly-syz n b i) (\text{term-of-pair } (t, j)) =$
 $(\text{if } (t, j) = (0, i) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else if } n \leq j \text{ then } lookup b (\text{term-of-pair } (t, j - n)) \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *keys-lift-poly-syz*:
 $keys (lift-poly-syz n b i) = \text{insert} (\text{term-of-pair } (0, i)) (\text{map-component } (\lambda k. k + n) ` keys b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

18.4.2 proj-poly-syz

lemma *inj-map-component-plus*: $\text{inj} (\text{map-component } (\lambda k. k + n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lookup-proj-poly-syz*: $lookup (\text{proj-poly-syz } n p) x = lookup p (\text{map-component } (\lambda k. k + n) x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lookup-proj-poly-syz-alt*:
 $lookup (\text{proj-poly-syz } n p) (\text{term-of-pair } (t, i)) = lookup p (\text{term-of-pair } (t, i + n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *keys-proj-poly-syz*: $keys (\text{proj-poly-syz } n p) = \text{map-component } (\lambda k. k + n) - ` keys p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *proj-poly-syz-zero* [simp]: $\text{proj-poly-syz } n 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *proj-poly-syz-plus*: $\text{proj-poly-syz } n (p + q) = \text{proj-poly-syz } n p + \text{proj-poly-syz } n q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *proj-poly-syz-sum*: $\text{proj-poly-syz } n (\sum f A) = (\sum a \in A. \text{proj-poly-syz } n (f a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *proj-poly-syz-sum-list*: $\text{proj-poly-syz } n (\text{sum-list } xs) = \text{sum-list} (\text{map} (\text{proj-poly-syz } n) xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *proj-poly-syz-monom-mult*:
 $\text{proj-poly-syz } n (\text{monom-mult } c t p) = \text{monom-mult } c t (\text{proj-poly-syz } n p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *proj-poly-syz-mult-scalar*:
 $\text{proj-poly-syz } n (\text{mult-scalar } q p) = \text{mult-scalar } q (\text{proj-poly-syz } n p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *proj-poly-syz-lift-poly-syz*:
assumes $i < n$
shows $\text{proj-poly-syz } n (\text{lift-poly-syz } n p i) = p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *proj-poly-syz-eq-zero-iff*: $\text{proj-poly-syz } n p = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\text{component-of-term}^{\text{'}} \text{keys } p \subseteq \{0..n\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *component-of-lt-ge*:
assumes *is-pot-ord* **and** $\text{proj-poly-syz } n p \neq 0$
shows $n \leq \text{component-of-term} (\text{lt } p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-proj-poly-syz*:
assumes *is-pot-ord* **and** $\text{proj-poly-syz } n p \neq 0$
shows $\text{lt} (\text{proj-poly-syz } n p) = \text{map-component} (\lambda k. k - n) (\text{lt } p) (\mathbf{is} \ - = ?l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *proj-proj-poly-syz*: $\text{proj-poly } k (\text{proj-poly-syz } n p) = \text{proj-poly} (k + n) p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-mapping-eqI-proj-syz*:
assumes $\text{proj-poly-syz } n p = \text{proj-poly-syz } n q$
and $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies \text{proj-poly } k p = \text{proj-poly } k q$
shows $p = q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.4.3 cofactor-list-syz

lemma *length-cofactor-list-syz [simp]*: $\text{length} (\text{cofactor-list-syz } n p) = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cofactor-list-syz-nth*:
assumes $i < n$
shows $(\text{cofactor-list-syz } n p) ! i = \text{proj-poly } i p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma cofactor-list-syz-zero [simp]: cofactor-list-syz n 0 = replicate n 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cofactor-list-syz-plus:
  cofactor-list-syz n (p + q) = map2 (+) (cofactor-list-syz n p) (cofactor-list-syz n q)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

18.4.4 init-syzygy-list

```

lemma length-init-syzygy-list [simp]: length (init-syzygy-list bs) = length bs
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma init-syzygy-list-nth:
  assumes i < length bs
  shows (init-syzygy-list bs) ! i = lift-poly-syz (length bs) (bs ! i) i
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Keys-init-syzygy-list:
  Keys (set (init-syzygy-list bs)) =
    map-component (λk. k + length bs) ` Keys (set bs) ∪ (λi. term-of-pair (0, i))
    {0..<length bs}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pp-of-Keys-init-syzygy-list-subset:
  pp-of-term ` Keys (set (init-syzygy-list bs)) ⊆ insert 0 (pp-of-term ` Keys (set bs))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pp-of-Keys-init-syzygy-list-superset:
  pp-of-term ` Keys (set bs) ⊆ pp-of-term ` Keys (set (init-syzygy-list bs))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pp-of-Keys-init-syzygy-list:
  assumes bs ≠ []
  shows pp-of-term ` Keys (set (init-syzygy-list bs)) = insert 0 (pp-of-term ` Keys (set bs))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma component-of-Keys-init-syzygy-list:
  component-of-term ` Keys (set (init-syzygy-list bs)) =
    (+) (length bs) ` component-of-term ` Keys (set bs) ∪ {0..<length bs}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma proj-lift-poly-syz:
  assumes j < n
  shows proj-poly j (lift-poly-syz n p i) = (1 when j = i)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

18.4.5 *proj-orig-basis*

lemma *length-proj-orig-basis* [*simp*]: *length* (*proj-orig-basis* *n* *bs*) = *length* *bs*

<proof>

lemma *proj-orig-basis-nth*:

assumes *i* < *length* *bs*

shows (*proj-orig-basis* *n* *bs*) ! *i* = *proj-poly-syz* *n* (*bs* ! *i*)

<proof>

lemma *proj-orig-basis-init-syzygy-list* [*simp*]:

proj-orig-basis (*length* *bs*) (*init-syzygy-list* *bs*) = *bs*

<proof>

lemma *set-proj-orig-basis*: *set* (*proj-orig-basis* *n* *bs*) = *proj-poly-syz* *n* ‘ *set* *bs*

<proof>

The following lemma could be generalized from *proj-poly-syz* to arbitrary module homomorphisms, i.e. functions respecting 0, addition and scalar multiplication.

lemma *pmdl-proj-orig-basis'*:

pmdl (*set* (*proj-orig-basis* *n* *bs*)) = *proj-poly-syz* *n* ‘ *pmdl* (*set* *bs*) (**is** ?*A* = ?*B*)

<proof>

18.4.6 *filter-syzygy-basis*

lemma *filter-syzygy-basis-alt*: *filter-syzygy-basis* *n* *bs* = [*b* ← *bs*. *proj-poly-syz* *n* *b* = 0]

<proof>

lemma *set-filter-syzygy-basis*:

set (*filter-syzygy-basis* *n* *bs*) = {*b* ∈ *set* *bs*. *proj-poly-syz* *n* *b* = 0}

<proof>

18.4.7 *syzygy-module-list*

lemma *syzygy-module-listI*:

assumes *s'* ∈ *pmdl.syzygy-module* (*set* *bs*) **and** *s* = *atomize-poly* (*idx-pm-of-pm* *bs* *s'*)

shows *s* ∈ *syzygy-module-list* *bs*

<proof>

lemma *syzygy-module-listE*:

assumes *s* ∈ *syzygy-module-list* *bs*

obtains *s'* **where** *s'* ∈ *pmdl.syzygy-module* (*set* *bs*) **and** *s* = *atomize-poly* (*idx-pm-of-pm* *bs* *s'*)

<proof>

lemma *monom-mult-atomize*:

```

monom-mult c t (atomize-poly p) = atomize-poly (MPoly-Type-Class.punit.monom-mult
(monomial c t) 0 p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma punit-monom-mult-monomial-idx-pm-of-pm:
  MPoly-Type-Class.punit.monom-mult (monomial c t) (0::nat) (idx-pm-of-pm bs)
s) =
  idx-pm-of-pm bs (MPoly-Type-Class.punit.monom-mult (monomial c t) (0::'t
⇒₀ 'b::ring-1) s)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma syzygy-module-list-closed-monom-mult:
  assumes s ∈ syzygy-module-list bs
  shows monom-mult c t s ∈ syzygy-module-list bs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pmdl-syzygy-module-list [simp]: pmdl (syzygy-module-list bs) = syzygy-module-list
bs
⟨proof⟩

```

The following lemma also holds without the distinctness constraint on bs , but then the proof becomes more difficult.

```

lemma syzygy-module-listI':
  assumes distinct bs and sum-list (map2 mult-scalar (cofactor-list-syz (length bs)
s) bs) = 0
  and component-of-term ` keys s ⊆ {0..<length bs}
  shows s ∈ syzygy-module-list bs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma component-of-syzygy-module-list:
  assumes s ∈ syzygy-module-list bs
  shows component-of-term ` keys s ⊆ {0..<length bs}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma map2-mult-scalar-proj-poly-syz:
  map2 mult-scalar xs (map (proj-poly-syz n) ys) =
    map (proj-poly-syz n o (λ(x, y). mult-scalar x y)) (zip xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma map2-times-proj:
  map2 (*) xs (map (proj-poly k) ys) = map (proj-poly k o (λ(x, y). x ⊕ y)) (zip
xs ys)
⟨proof⟩

```

Probably the following lemma also holds without the distinctness constraint on bs .

```

lemma syzygy-module-list-subset:
  assumes distinct bs
  shows syzygy-module-list bs ⊆ pmdl (set (init-syzygy-list bs))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

18.4.8 Cofactors

```
lemma map2-mult-scalar-plus:  
  map2 ( $\odot$ ) (map2 (+) xs ys) zs = map2 (+) (map2 ( $\odot$ ) xs zs) (map2 ( $\odot$ ) ys zs)  
  ⟨proof⟩  
  
lemma syz-cofactors:  
  assumes p ∈ pmdl (set (init-syzygy-list bs))  
  shows proj-poly-syz (length bs) p = sum-list (map2 mult-scalar (cofactor-list-syz  
(length bs) p) bs)  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

18.4.9 Modules

```
lemma pmdl-proj-orig-basis:  
  assumes pmdl (set gs) = pmdl (set (init-syzygy-list bs))  
  shows pmdl (set (proj-orig-basis (length bs) gs)) = pmdl (set bs)  
  ⟨proof⟩  
  
lemma pmdl-filter-syzygy-basis-subset:  
  assumes distinct bs and pmdl (set gs) = pmdl (set (init-syzygy-list bs))  
  shows pmdl (set (filter-syzygy-basis (length bs) gs)) ⊆ pmdl (syzygy-module-list  
bs)  
  ⟨proof⟩  
  
lemma ex-filter-syzygy-basis-adds-lt:  
  assumes is-pot-ord and distinct bs and is-Groebner-basis (set gs)  
  and pmdl (set gs) = pmdl (set (init-syzygy-list bs))  
  and f ∈ pmdl (syzygy-module-list bs) and f ≠ 0  
  shows ∃ g ∈ set (filter-syzygy-basis (length bs) gs). g ≠ 0 ∧ lt g addst lt f  
  ⟨proof⟩  
  
lemma pmdl-filter-syzygy-basis:  
  fixes bs::('t ⇒₀ 'b::field) list  
  assumes is-pot-ord and distinct bs and is-Groebner-basis (set gs) and  
  pmdl (set gs) = pmdl (set (init-syzygy-list bs))  
  shows pmdl (set (filter-syzygy-basis (length bs) gs)) = syzygy-module-list bs  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

18.4.10 Gröbner Bases

```
lemma proj-orig-basis-isGB:  
  assumes is-pot-ord and is-Groebner-basis (set gs) and pmdl (set gs) = pmdl  
(set (init-syzygy-list bs))  
  shows is-Groebner-basis (set (proj-orig-basis (length bs) gs))  
  ⟨proof⟩  
  
lemma filter-syzygy-basis-isGB:
```

```

assumes is-pot-ord and distinct bs and is-Groebner-basis (set gs)
  and pmdl (set gs) = pmdl (set (init-syzygy-list bs))
shows is-Groebner-basis (set (filter-syzygy-basis (length bs) gs))
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

19 Sample Computations of Syzygies

```

theory Syzygy-Examples
  imports Buchberger Algorithm-Schema-Impl Syzygy Code-Target-Rat
begin

```

19.1 Preparations

We must define the following four constants outside the global interpretation, since otherwise their types are too general.

```

definition splus-pprod :: ('a::nat, 'b::nat) pp ⇒ -
  where splus-pprod = pprod.splus

definition monom-mult-pprod :: 'c::semiring-0 ⇒ ('a::nat, 'b::nat) pp ⇒ ((('a, 'b)
  pp × nat) ⇒₀ 'c) ⇒ -
  where monom-mult-pprod = pprod.monom-mult

definition mult-scalar-pprod :: (('a::nat, 'b::nat) pp ⇒₀ 'c::semiring-0) ⇒ (((('a,
  'b) pp × nat) ⇒₀ 'c) ⇒ -
  where mult-scalar-pprod = pprod.mult-scalar

definition adds-term-pprod :: (('a::nat, 'b::nat) pp × -) ⇒ -
  where adds-term-pprod = pprod.adds-term

lemma (in gd-term) compute-trd-aux [code]:
  trd-aux fs p r =
    (if is-zero p then
      r
    else
      case find-adds fs (lt p) of
        None ⇒ trd-aux fs (tail p) (plus-monomial-less r (lc p) (lt p))
        | Some f ⇒ trd-aux fs (tail p - monom-mult (lc p / lc f) (lp p - lp f) (tail
          f)) r
    )
  ⟨proof⟩

locale gd-nat-inf-term = gd-nat-term pair-of-term term-of-pair cmp-term
  for pair-of-term::'t::nat-term ⇒ ('a::{nat-term,graded-dickson-powerprod} ×
  nat)

```

```

and term-of-pair::('a × nat) ⇒ 't
and cmp-term
begin

sublocale aux: gd-inf-term pair-of-term term-of-pair
  λs t. le-of-nat-term-order cmp-term (term-of-pair (s, the-min)) (term-of-pair
  (t, the-min))
    λs t. lt-of-nat-term-order cmp-term (term-of-pair (s, the-min)) (term-of-pair
  (t, the-min))
    le-of-nat-term-order cmp-term
    lt-of-nat-term-order cmp-term ⟨proof⟩

definition lift-keys :: nat ⇒ ('t, 'b) oalist-ntm ⇒ ('t, 'b::semiring-0) oalist-ntm
  where lift-keys i xs = oalist-of-list-ntm (map-raw (λkv. (map-component ((+) i)
  (fst kv), snd kv)) (list-of-oalist-ntm xs))

lemma list-of-oalist-lift-keys:
  list-of-oalist-ntm (lift-keys i xs) = (map-raw (λkv. (map-component ((+) i) (fst
  kv), snd kv)) (list-of-oalist-ntm xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

Regardless of whether the above lemma holds (which might be the case) or
not, we can use lift-keys in computations. Now, however, it is implemented
rather inefficiently, because the list resulting from the application of map-raw
is sorted again. That should not be a big problem though, since lift-keys is
applied only once to every input polynomial before computing syzygies.

lemma lookup-lift-keys-plus:
  lookup (MP-oalist (lift-keys i xs)) (term-of-pair (t, i + k)) = lookup (MP-oalist
  xs) (term-of-pair (t, k))
  (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma keys-lift-keys-subset:
  keys (MP-oalist (lift-keys i xs)) ⊆ (map-component ((+) i)) ` keys (MP-oalist xs)
  (is ?l ⊆ ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

global-interpretation pprod': gd-nat-inf-term λx::('a, 'b) pp × nat. x λx. x cmp-term
  rewrites pprod.pp-of-term = fst
  and pprod.component-of-term = snd
  and pprod.splus = splus-pprod
  and pprod.monom-mult = monom-mult-pprod
  and pprod.mult-scalar = mult-scalar-pprod
  and pprod.adds-term = adds-term-pprod
  for cmp-term :: (('a::nat, 'b::nat) pp × nat) nat-term-order
  defines shift-map-keys-pprod = pprod'.shift-map-keys
  and lift-keys-pprod = pprod'.lift-keys

```

```

and min-term-pprod = pprod'.min-term
and lt-pprod = pprod'.lt
and lc-pprod = pprod'.lc
and tail-pprod = pprod'.tail
and comp-opt-p-pprod = pprod'.comp-opt-p
and ord-p-pprod = pprod'.ord-p
and ord-strict-p-pprod = pprod'.ord-strict-p
and find-adds-pprod = pprod'.find-adds
and trd-aux-pprod = pprod'.trd-aux
and trd-pprod = pprod'.trd
and spoly-pprod = pprod'.spoly
and count-const-lt-components-pprod = pprod'.count-const-lt-components
and count-rem-components-pprod = pprod'.count-rem-components
and const-lt-component-pprod = pprod'.const-lt-component
and full-gb-pprod = pprod'.full-gb
and keys-to-list-pprod = pprod'.keys-to-list
and Keys-to-list-pprod = pprod'.Keys-to-list
and add-pairs-single-sorted-pprod = pprod'.add-pairs-single-sorted
and add-pairs-pprod = pprod'.add-pairs
and canon-pair-order-aux-pprod = pprod'.canon-pair-order-aux
and canon-basis-order-pprod = pprod'.canon-basis-order
and new-pairs-sorted-pprod = pprod'.new-pairs-sorted
and component-crit-pprod = pprod'.component-crit
and chain-ncrit-pprod = pprod'.chain-ncrit
and chain-oerit-pprod = pprod'.chain-oerit
and apply-icrit-pprod = pprod'.apply-icrit
and apply-ncrit-pprod = pprod'.apply-ncrit
and apply-oerit-pprod = pprod'.apply-oerit
and trdsp-pprod = pprod'.trdsp
and gb-sel-pprod = pprod'.gb-sel
and gb-red-aux-pprod = pprod'.gb-red-aux
and gb-red-pprod = pprod'.gb-red
and gb-aux-pprod = pprod'.gb-aux
and gb-pprod = pprod'.gb
and filter-syzygy-basis-pprod = pprod'.aux.filter-syzygy-basis
and init-syzygy-list-pprod = pprod'.aux.init-syzygy-list
and lift-poly-syz-pprod = pprod'.aux.lift-poly-syz
and map-component-pprod = pprod'.map-component
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma compute-adds-term-pprod [code]:
 $\text{adds-term-pprod } u \ v = (\text{snd } u = \text{snd } v \wedge \text{adds-pp-add-linorder } (\text{fst } u) (\text{fst } v))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma compute-splus-pprod [code]: $\text{splus-pprod } t \ (s, i) = (t + s, i)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma compute-shift-map-keys-pprod [code abstract]:
 $\text{list-of-oalist-ntm } (\text{shift-map-keys-pprod } t \ f \ xs) = \text{map-raw } (\lambda(k, v). \ (\text{splus-pprod }$

```

 $t k, f v))$  (list-of-oalist-ntm xs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma compute-trd-pprod [code]: trd-pprod to fs p = trd-aux-pprod to fs p (change-ord to 0)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas [code] = conversesep-iff

lemma POT-is-pot-ord: pprod'.is-pot-ord (TYPE('a::nat)) (TYPE('b::nat)) (POT to)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition Vec0 :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (('a, nat) pp  $\Rightarrow_0$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  (('a::nat, nat) pp  $\times$  nat)  $\Rightarrow_0$ 
'b::semiring-1 where
Vec0 i p = mult-scalar-pprod p (Poly-Mapping.single (0, i) 1)

definition syzygy-basis to bs =
 $\text{filter-syzygy-basis-pprod}(\text{length } bs) (\text{map } \text{fst} (\text{gb-pprod} (\text{POT to}) (\text{map} (\lambda p. (p, ())))) (\text{init-syzygy-list-pprod } bs)) ()$ 

thm pprod'.aux.filter-syzygy-basis-isGB[OF POT-is-pot-ord]

```

```

lemma lift-poly-syz-MP-oalist [code]:
lift-poly-syz-pprod n (MP-oalist xs) i = MP-oalist (Oalist-insert-ntm ((0, i), 1)
(lift-keys-pprod n xs))
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

19.2 Computations

```
experiment begin interpretation trivariate0-rat  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma
syzygy-basis DRLEX [Vec0 0 (X2 * Z ^ 3 + 3 * X2 * Y), Vec0 0 (X * Y * Z + 2 * Y2)] =
[Vec0 0 (C0 (1 / 3) * X * Y * Z + C0 (2 / 3) * Y2) + Vec0 1 (C0 (- 1 / 3) * X2 * Z ^ 3 - X2 * Y)]
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
value [code] syzygy-basis DRLEX [Vec0 0 (X2 * Z ^ 3 + 3 * X2 * Y), Vec0 0 (X * Y * Z + 2 * Y2), Vec0 0 (X - Y + 3 * Z)]
```

```

lemma
map fst (gb-pprod (POT DRLEX) (map (\lambda p. (p, ())) (init-syzygy-list-pprod
[Vec0 0 (X ^ 4 + 3 * X2 * Y), Vec0 0 (Y ^ 3 + 2 * X * Z), Vec0 0 (Z2 - X - Y)])()) =
 $[$ 
Vec0 0 1 + Vec0 3 (X ^ 4 + 3 * X2 * Y),
Vec0 1 1 + Vec0 3 (Y ^ 3 + 2 * X * Z),

```

```


$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Vec}_0 0 (Y^3 + 2 * X * Z) - \text{Vec}_0 1 (X^4 + 3 * X^2 * Y), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 2 1 + \text{Vec}_0 3 (Z^2 - X - Y), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 1 (Z^2 - X - Y) - \text{Vec}_0 2 (Y^3 + 2 * X * Z), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 0 (Z^2 - X - Y) - \text{Vec}_0 2 (X^4 + 3 * X^2 * Y), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 0 (- (Y^3 * Z^2) + Y^4 + X * Y^3 + 2 * X^2 * Z + 2 * X * Y * \\
& Z - 2 * X * Z^3) + \\
& \quad \text{Vec}_0 1 (X^4 * Z^2 - X^5 - X^4 * Y - 3 * X^3 * Y - 3 * X^2 * Y^2 \\
& + 3 * X^2 * Y * Z^2) \\
& ]
\end{aligned}$$


(proof)


```

lemma

syzygy-basis DRLEX [$\text{Vec}_0 0 (X^4 + 3 * X^2 * Y)$, $\text{Vec}_0 0 (Y^3 + 2 * X * Z)$, $\text{Vec}_0 0 (Z^2 - X - Y)$] =

$$\left[\begin{aligned}
& \text{Vec}_0 0 (Y^3 + 2 * X * Z) - \text{Vec}_0 1 (X^4 + 3 * X^2 * Y), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 1 (Z^2 - X - Y) - \text{Vec}_0 2 (Y^3 + 2 * X * Z), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 0 (Z^2 - X - Y) - \text{Vec}_0 2 (X^4 + 3 * X^2 * Y), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 0 (- (Y^3 * Z^2) + Y^4 + X * Y^3 + 2 * X^2 * Z + 2 * X * Y * \\
& Z - 2 * X * Z^3) + \\
& \quad \text{Vec}_0 1 (X^4 * Z^2 - X^5 - X^4 * Y - 3 * X^3 * Y - 3 * X^2 * Y^2 \\
& + 3 * X^2 * Y * Z^2) \\
&]
\end{aligned} \right]$$

(proof)

value [code] *syzygy-basis DRLEX* [$\text{Vec}_0 0 (X * Y - Z)$, $\text{Vec}_0 0 (X * Z - Y)$, $\text{Vec}_0 0 (Y * Z - X)$]

lemma

map fst (gb-prod (POT DRLEX) (map (λp. (p, ())) (init-syzygy-list-prod

$$[\text{Vec}_0 0 (X * Y - Z), \text{Vec}_0 0 (X * Z - Y), \text{Vec}_0 0 (Y * Z - X)])())()$$
 =

$$\left[\begin{aligned}
& \text{Vec}_0 0 1 + \text{Vec}_0 3 (X * Y - Z), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 1 1 + \text{Vec}_0 3 (X * Z - Y), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 2 1 + \text{Vec}_0 3 (Y * Z - X), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 0 (- X * Z + Y) + \text{Vec}_0 1 (X * Y - Z), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 0 (- Y * Z + X) + \text{Vec}_0 2 (X * Y - Z), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 1 (- Y * Z + X) + \text{Vec}_0 2 (X * Z - Y), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 1 (- Y) + \text{Vec}_0 2 (X) + \text{Vec}_0 3 (Y^2 - X^2), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 0 (Z) + \text{Vec}_0 2 (-X) + \text{Vec}_0 3 (X^2 - Z^2), \\
& \text{Vec}_0 0 (Y - Y * Z^2) + \text{Vec}_0 1 (Y^2 * Z - Z) + \text{Vec}_0 2 (Y^2 - Z^2), \\
& \quad \text{Vec}_0 0 (- Y) + \text{Vec}_0 1 (- (X * Y)) + \text{Vec}_0 2 (X^2 - 1) + \text{Vec}_0 3 (X - \\
& X^3) \\
&]
\end{aligned} \right]$$

(proof)

lemma

syzygy-basis DRLEX [$\text{Vec}_0 0 (X * Y - Z)$, $\text{Vec}_0 0 (X * Z - Y)$, $\text{Vec}_0 0 (Y * Z - X)$]

```

 $Z - X)] =$ 
 $[$ 
 $Vec_0 \ 0 \ (- X * Z + Y) + Vec_0 \ 1 \ (X * Y - Z),$ 
 $Vec_0 \ 0 \ (- Y * Z + X) + Vec_0 \ 2 \ (X * Y - Z),$ 
 $Vec_0 \ 1 \ (- Y * Z + X) + Vec_0 \ 2 \ (X * Z - Y),$ 
 $Vec_0 \ 0 \ (Y - Y * Z ^ 2) + Vec_0 \ 1 \ (Y ^ 2 * Z - Z) + Vec_0 \ 2 \ (Y ^ 2 - Z ^$ 
 $2)$ 
 $]$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

end

```

theory Groebner-PM
  imports Polynomials.MPoly-PM Reduced-GB
begin

```

We prove results that hold specifically for Gröbner bases in polynomial rings, where the polynomials really have *indeterminates*.

```

context pm-powerprod
begin

```

```

lemmas finite-reduced-GB-Polys =
  punit.finite-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set[simplified, OF dickson-grading-varnum, where
  m=0, simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum]
lemmas reduced-GB-is-reduced-GB-Polys =
  punit.reduced-GB-is-reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set[simplified, OF dickson-grading-varnum,
  where m=0, simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum]
lemmas reduced-GB-is-GB-Polys =
  punit.reduced-GB-is-GB-dgrad-p-set[simplified, OF dickson-grading-varnum, where
  m=0, simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum]
lemmas reduced-GB-is-auto-reduced-Polys =
  punit.reduced-GB-is-auto-reduced-dgrad-p-set[simplified, OF dickson-grading-varnum,
  where m=0, simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum]
lemmas reduced-GB-is-monic-set-Polys =
  punit.reduced-GB-is-monic-set-dgrad-p-set[simplified, OF dickson-grading-varnum,
  where m=0, simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum]
lemmas reduced-GB-nonzero-Polys =
  punit.reduced-GB-nonzero-dgrad-p-set[simplified, OF dickson-grading-varnum, where
  m=0, simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum]
lemmas reduced-GB-ideal-Polys =
  punit.reduced-GB-pmdl-dgrad-p-set[simplified, OF dickson-grading-varnum, where
  m=0, simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum]
lemmas reduced-GB-unique-Polys =
  punit.reduced-GB-unique-dgrad-p-set[simplified, OF dickson-grading-varnum, where
  m=0, simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum]
lemmas reduced-GB-Polys =

```

```

punit.reduced-GB-dgrad-p-set[simplified, OF dickson-grading-varnum, where m=0,
simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum]
lemmas ideal-eq-UNIV-iff-reduced-GB-eq-one-Polys =
ideal-eq-UNIV-iff-reduced-GB-eq-one-dgrad-p-set[simplified, OF dickson-grading-varnum,
where m=0, simplified dgrad-p-set-varnum]

```

19.3 Univariate Polynomials

```

lemma (in -) adds-univariate-linear:
assumes finite X and card X ≤ 1 and s ∈ .[X] and t ∈ .[X]
obtains s adds t | t adds s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

context
fixes X :: 'x set
assumes fin-X: finite X and card-X: card X ≤ 1
begin

```

```

lemma ord-iff-adds-univariate:
assumes s ∈ .[X] and t ∈ .[X]
shows s ⊣ t ↔ s adds t
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma adds-iff-deg-le-univariate:
assumes s ∈ .[X] and t ∈ .[X]
shows s adds t ↔ deg-pm s ≤ deg-pm t
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary ord-iff-deg-le-univariate: s ∈ .[X] ⇒ t ∈ .[X] ⇒ s ⊣ t ↔ deg-pm s
≤ deg-pm t
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-deg-univariate:
assumes p ∈ P[X]
shows poly-deg p = deg-pm (lpp p)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma reduced-GB-univariate-cases:
assumes F ⊆ P[X]
obtains g where g ∈ P[X] and g ≠ 0 and lcf g = 1 and punit.reduced-GB F
= {g} |
punit.reduced-GB F = {}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary deg-reduced-GB-univariate-le:
assumes F ⊆ P[X] and f ∈ ideal F and f ≠ 0 and g ∈ punit.reduced-GB F
shows poly-deg g ≤ poly-deg f
⟨proof⟩

```

end

19.4 Homogeneity

lemma *is-reduced-GB-homogeneous*:
 assumes $\bigwedge f. f \in F \implies \text{homogeneous } f$ **and** *punit.is-reduced-GB G and ideal G = ideal F*
 and $g \in G$
 shows *homogeneous g*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lp-dehomogenize*:
 assumes *is-hom-ord x and homogeneous p*
 shows *lpp (dehomogenize x p) = except (lpp p) {x}*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *isGB-dehomogenize*:
 assumes *is-hom-ord x and finite X and G ⊆ P[X] and punit.is-Groebner-basis G*
 and $\bigwedge g. g \in G \implies \text{homogeneous } g$
 shows *punit.is-Groebner-basis (dehomogenize x ` G)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *extended-ord-pm-powerprod*
begin

lemma *extended-ord-lp*:
 assumes *None ∉ indets p*
 shows *restrict-indets-pp (extended-ord.lpp p) = lpp (restrict-indets p)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-indets-reduced-GB*:
 assumes *finite X and F ⊆ P[X]*
 shows *punit.is-Groebner-basis (restrict-indets ` extended-ord.punit.reduced-GB (homogenize None ` extend-indets ` F))*
 (**is** ?thesis1)
 and *ideal (restrict-indets ` extended-ord.punit.reduced-GB (homogenize None ` extend-indets ` F)) = ideal F*
 (**is** ?thesis2)
 and *restrict-indets ` extended-ord.punit.reduced-GB (homogenize None ` extend-indets ` F) ⊆ P[X]*
 (**is** ?thesis3)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

References

- [1] W. W. Adams and P. Loustaunau. *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases*. American Mathematical Society, July 1994.
- [2] B. Buchberger. *Ein Algorithmus zum Auffinden der Basiselemente des Restklassenrings nach einem nulldimensionalen Polynomideal (An Algorithm for Finding the Basis Elements in the Residue Class Ring Modulo a Zero Dimensional Polynomial Ideal)*. PhD thesis, Mathematical Institute, University of Innsbruck, Austria, 1965. English translation in *Journal of Symbolic Computation* 41(3–4):475–511, Special Issue on Logic, Mathematics, and Computer Science: Interactions.
- [3] B. Buchberger. Ein algorithmisches Kriterium für die Lösbarkeit eines algebraischen Gleichungssystems (An Algorithmic Criterion for the Solvability of an Algebraic System of Equations). *Aequationes Mathematicae*, pages 374–383, 1970. (English translation in *Gröbner Bases and Applications (Proceedings of the International Conference “33 Years of Gröbner Bases”, 1998)*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 251, Cambridge University Press, 1998, pages 535–545).
- [4] B. Buchberger. A Criterion for Detecting Unnecessary Reductions in the Construction of Gröbner Bases. In E. W. Ng, editor, *Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (Proceedings of EUROSAM’79, Marseille, June 26–28)*, volume 72 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 3–21. Springer, 1979.
- [5] B. Buchberger. Introduction to Gröbner Bases. In B. Buchberger and F. Winkler, editors, *Gröbner Bases and Applications*, number 251 in London Mathematical Society Lectures Notes Series, pages 3 – 31. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [6] B. Buchberger. Gröbner Rings in Theorema: A Case Study in Functors and Categories. Technical Report 2003-49, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Spezialforschungsbereich F013, November 2003.
- [7] A. Chaieb and M. Wenzel. Context aware Calculation and Deduction: Ring Equalities via Gröbner Bases in Isabelle. In M. Kauers, M. Kerber, R. Miner, and W. Windsteiger, editors, *Towards Mechanized Mathematical Assistants (Proceedings of Calculemus’2007, Hagenberg, Austria, June 27–30)*, volume 4573 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 27–39. Springer, 2007.
- [8] J.-C. Faugère. A New Efficient Algorithm for Computing Gröbner Bases (F_4). *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 139(1):61–88, 1999.

- [9] J.-C. Faugère. A New Efficient Algorithm for Computing Gröbner Bases without Reduction to Zero (F_5). In T. Mora, editor, *Proceedings of ISSAC'02*, pages 61–88. ACM Press, 2002.
- [10] J. S. Jorge, V. M. Guillas, and J. L. Freire. Certifying properties of an efficient functional program for computing Gröbner bases. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 44(5):571–582, 2009.
- [11] M. Kreuzer and L. Robbiano. *Computational Commutative Algebra 1*. Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [12] A. Maletzky. *Computer-Assisted Exploration of Gröbner Bases Theory in Theorema*. PhD thesis, Research Institute for Symbolic Computation (RISC), Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria, May 2016. To appear.
- [13] I. Medina-Bulo, F. Palomo-Lozano, and J.-L. Ruiz-Reina. A verified COMMON LISP implementation of Buchberger’s algorithm in ACL2. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 45(1):96–123, 2010.
- [14] T. Mora. An Introduction to Commutative and Non-Commutative Gröbner Bases. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 134(1):131–173, 1994.
- [15] C. Schwarzweller. Gröbner Bases – Theory Refinement in the Mizar System. In M. Kohlhase, editor, *Mathematical Knowledge Management (4th International Conference, Bremen, Germany, July 15–17)*, volume 3863 of *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 299–314. Springer, 2006.
- [16] L. Théry. A Machine-Checked Implementation of Buchberger’s Algorithm. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 26(2):107–137, 2001.
- [17] F. Winkler and B. Buchberger. A Criterion for Eliminating Unnecessary Reductions in the Knuth-Bendix Algorithm. In J. Demetrovics, G. Katona, and A. Salomaa, editors, *Proceedings of Algebra and Logic in Computer Science, Győr, Hungary*, volume 42 of *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai*, pages 849–869. North Holland, 1983.