

An Isabelle/HOL formalisation of Green's Theorem

Mohammad Abdulaziz and Lawrence C. Paulson

September 13, 2023

Abstract

We formalise a statement of Greens theorem—the first formalisation to our knowledge—in Isabelle/HOL. The theorem statement that we formalise is enough for most applications, especially in physics and engineering. Our formalisation is made possible by a novel proof that avoids the ubiquitous line integral cancellation argument. This eliminates the need to formalise orientations and region boundaries explicitly with respect to the outwards-pointing normal vector. Instead we appeal to a homological argument about equivalences between paths.

1 Acknowledgements

Paulson was supported by the ERC Advanced Grant ALEXANDRIA (Project 742178) funded by the European Research Council at the University of Cambridge, UK.

```
theory General_Utils
  imports HOL-Analysis.Analysis
begin
```

```
lemma lambda-skolem-gen: ( $\forall i. \exists f'::('a \wedge 'n) \Rightarrow 'a. P i f') \longleftrightarrow (\exists f'::('a \wedge 'n) \Rightarrow ('a \wedge 'n). \forall i. P i ((\lambda x. (f' x) \$ i)))$ ) (is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma lambda-skolem-euclidean: ( $\forall i \in Basis. \exists f'::('a::{euclidean-space} \Rightarrow real). P i f') \longleftrightarrow (\exists f'::('a::euclidean-space \Rightarrow 'b::euclidean-space). \forall i \in Basis. P i ((\lambda x. (f' x) \cdot i)))$ ) (is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma lambda-skolem-euclidean-explicit: ( $\forall i \in Basis. \exists f'::('a::{euclidean-space} \Rightarrow real). P i f') \longleftrightarrow (\exists f'::('a::{euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'a). \forall i \in Basis. P i ((\lambda x. (f' x) \cdot i)))$ ) (is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *indic-ident*:

$\bigwedge (f::'a \Rightarrow real) s. (\lambda x. (f x) * indicator s x) = (\lambda x. if x \in s then f x else 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *real-pair-basis*: *Basis* = {(1::real,0::real), (0::real,1::real)}

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *real-singleton-in-borel*:

shows {*a*::real} ∈ sets borel
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *real-singleton-in-lborel*:

shows {*a*::real} ∈ sets lborel
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cbox-diff*:

shows {0::real..1} – {0,1} = box 0 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-bij*:

assumes bij *F*
 $\forall x \in s. f x = g (F x)$
shows $\bigwedge t. F ' s = t \implies \text{sum } f s = \text{sum } g t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *surj-on* where

surj-on *s f* ≡ *s* ⊆ range *f*

lemma *surj-on-image-vimage-eq*: *surj-on* *s f* $\implies f ' (f - ' s) = s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *Derivs*

imports *General-Utils*

begin

lemma *field-simp-has-vector-derivative* [*derivative-intros*]:

(*f has-field-derivative* *y*) *F* \implies (*f has-vector-derivative* *y*) *F*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *continuous-on-cases-empty* [*continuous-intros*]:

[closed *S*; continuous-on *S f*; $\bigwedge x. [x \in S; \neg P x] \implies f x = g x$] \implies
continuous-on *S* ($\lambda x. if P x then f x else g x$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma inj-on-cases:

assumes inj-on f ($\text{Collect } P \cap S$) inj-on g ($\text{Collect } (\text{Not } \circ P) \cap S$)
 $f' (\text{Collect } P \cap S) \cap g' (\text{Collect } (\text{Not } \circ P) \cap S) = \{\}$
shows inj-on $(\lambda x. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x \text{ else } g x)$ S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma inj-on-arccos: $S \subseteq \{-1..1\} \implies \text{inj-on arccos } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma has-vector-derivative-componentwise-within:

$(f \text{ has-vector-derivative } f') \text{ (at } a \text{ within } S) \iff$
 $(\forall i \in \text{Basis}. ((\lambda x. f x \cdot i) \text{ has-vector-derivative } (f' \cdot i)) \text{ (at } a \text{ within } S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma has-vector-derivative-pair-within:

fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{euclidean-space}$ and $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{euclidean-space}$
assumes $\bigwedge u. u \in \text{Basis} \implies ((\lambda x. f x \cdot u) \text{ has-vector-derivative } f' \cdot u) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } S)$
 $\bigwedge u. u \in \text{Basis} \implies ((\lambda x. g x \cdot u) \text{ has-vector-derivative } g' \cdot u) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } S)$
shows $((\lambda x. (f x, g x)) \text{ has-vector-derivative } (f', g')) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } S)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma piecewise-C1-differentiable-const:

shows $(\lambda x. c) \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare piecewise-C1-differentiable-const [simp, derivative-intros]

declare piecewise-C1-differentiable-neg [simp, derivative-intros]

declare piecewise-C1-differentiable-add [simp, derivative-intros]

declare piecewise-C1-differentiable-diff [simp, derivative-intros]

lemma piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-ident [simp, derivative-intros]:

fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{real-normed-vector}$
shows $(\lambda x. x) \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-mult [simp, derivative-intros]:

fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{real-normed-algebra}$
assumes $f \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } S$ $g \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } S$
shows $(\lambda x. f x * g x) \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma C1-differentiable-on-cdiv [simp, derivative-intros]:

fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{real-normed-field}$
shows $f \text{ C1-differentiable-on } S \implies (\lambda x. f x / c) \text{ C1-differentiable-on } S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-cdiv [simp, derivative-intros]:
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::real-normed-field
  assumes f piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S
  shows  $(\lambda x. f x / c)$  piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sqrt-C1-differentiable [simp, derivative-intros]:
  assumes f: f C1-differentiable-on S and fim:  $f' S \subseteq \{0 <..\}$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. \sqrt{f x})$  C1-differentiable-on S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sqrt-piecewise-C1-differentiable [simp, derivative-intros]:
  assumes f: f piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S and fim:  $f' S \subseteq \{0 <..\}$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. \sqrt{f x})$  piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a:{banach,real-normed-field}
  assumes f: f C1-differentiable-on S
  shows sin-C1-differentiable [simp, derivative-intros]:  $(\lambda x. \sin(f x))$  C1-differentiable-on S
  and cos-C1-differentiable [simp, derivative-intros]:  $(\lambda x. \cos(f x))$  C1-differentiable-on S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma has-derivative-abs:
  fixes a::real
  assumes a  $\neq 0$ 
  shows (abs has-derivative ((*) (sgn a))) (at a)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma abs-C1-differentiable [simp, derivative-intros]:
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real
  assumes f: f C1-differentiable-on S and 0  $\notin f' S$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. \text{abs}(f x))$  C1-differentiable-on S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma C1-differentiable-on-pair [simp, derivative-intros]:
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::euclidean-space and g :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'b::euclidean-space
  assumes f C1-differentiable-on S g C1-differentiable-on S
  shows  $(\lambda x. (f x, g x))$  C1-differentiable-on S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-pair [simp, derivative-intros]:
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::euclidean-space and g :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'b::euclidean-space
  assumes f piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S g piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S
  shows  $(\lambda x. (f x, g x))$  piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *test2*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \{0..1\} - s \implies g$ differentiable at x
and fs : finite s **and** uv : $u \in \{0..1\}$ $v \in \{0..1\}$ $u \leq v$
and $x \in \{0..1\}$ $x \notin (\lambda t. (v-u) *_R t + u) - ' s$
shows vector-derivative $(\lambda x. g ((v-u) * x + u))$ (at x within $\{0..1\}$) = $(v-u)$
 $*_R$ vector-derivative g (at $((v-u) * x + u)$ within $\{0..1\}$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *C1-differentiable-on-components*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in Basis \implies (\lambda x. f x \cdot i)$ C1-differentiable-on s
shows f C1-differentiable-on s
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-components*:

assumes finite t
 $\bigwedge i. i \in Basis \implies (\lambda x. f x \cdot i)$ C1-differentiable-on $s - t$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in Basis \implies$ continuous-on s $(\lambda x. f x \cdot i)$
shows f piecewise-C1-differentiable-on s
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *all-components-smooth-one-pw-smooth-is-pw-smooth*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in Basis - \{j\} \implies (\lambda x. f x \cdot i)$ C1-differentiable-on s
assumes $(\lambda x. f x \cdot j)$ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on s
shows f piecewise-C1-differentiable-on s
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *derivative-component-fun-component*:

fixes $i::'a::euclidean-space$
assumes f differentiable (at x)
shows $((\text{vector-derivative } f \text{ (at } x)) \cdot i) = ((\text{vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (f x) \cdot i) \text{ (at } x)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gamma-deriv-at-within*:

assumes $a \leq b$: $a < b$ **and**
 x -within-bounds: $x \in \{a..b\}$ **and**
 γ -differentiable: $\forall x \in \{a .. b\}. \gamma$ differentiable at x
shows vector-derivative γ (at x within $\{a..b\}$) = vector-derivative γ (at x)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *islimpt-diff-finite*:

assumes finite $(t::'a::t1\text{-space set})$
shows x islimpt $s - t = x$ islimpt s
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ivl-limpt-diff*:

assumes finite s $a < b$ ($x::real \in \{a..b\} - s$)
shows x islimpt $\{a..b\} - s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ivl-closure-diff-del:

assumes finite s $a < b$ $(x::real) \in \{a..b\} - s$
shows $x \in closure((\{a..b\} - s) - \{x\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ivl-not-trivial-limit-within:

assumes finite s
 $a < b$
 $(x::real) \in \{a..b\} - s$
shows at x within $\{a..b\} - s \neq bot$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma vector-derivative-at-within-non-trivial-limit:

at x within $s \neq bot \wedge (f \text{ has-vector-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x\text{)}$ \implies
vector-derivative f (at x within s) = f'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma vector-derivative-at-within-ivl-diff:

finite $s \wedge a < b \wedge (x::real) \in \{a..b\} - s \wedge (f \text{ has-vector-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x\text{)}$ \implies
vector-derivative f (at x within $\{a..b\} - s$) = f'
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma gamma-deriv-at-within-diff:

assumes a-leq-b: $a < b$ **and**
x-within-bounds: $x \in \{a..b\} - s$ **and**
gamma-differentiable: $\forall x \in \{a .. b\} - s. \gamma$ differentiable at x **and**
s-subset: $s \subseteq \{a..b\}$ **and**
finite-s: finite s
shows vector-derivative γ (at x within $\{a..b\} - s$)
= vector-derivative γ (at x)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma gamma-deriv-at-within-gen:

assumes a-leq-b: $a < b$ **and**
x-within-bounds: $x \in s$ **and**
s-subset: $s \subseteq \{a..b\}$ **and**
gamma-differentiable: $\forall x \in s. \gamma$ differentiable at x
shows vector-derivative γ (at x within $(\{a..b\})$) = vector-derivative γ (at x)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma derivative-component-fun-component-at-within-gen:

assumes gamma-differentiable: $\forall x \in s. \gamma$ differentiable at x **and** s-subset: $s \subseteq \{0..1\}$
shows $\forall x \in s. \text{vector-derivative } (\lambda x. \gamma x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{0..1\}) \cdot (i::'a:: \text{eu-clidean-space})$
= vector-derivative $(\lambda x. \gamma x \cdot i)$ (at x within $\{0..1\}$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma derivative-component-fun-component-at-within:
  assumes gamma-differentiable:  $\forall x \in \{0..1\}. \gamma$  differentiable at  $x$ 
  shows  $\forall x \in \{0..1\}. \text{vector-derivative } (\lambda x. \gamma x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{0..1\}) \cdot (i : 'a :: \text{euclidean-space}) = \text{vector-derivative } (\lambda x. \gamma x \cdot i) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{0..1\})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma straight-path-differentiable-x:
  fixes  $b :: \text{real}$  and  $y1 :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes gamma-def:  $\gamma = (\lambda x. (b, y2 + y1 * x))$ 
  shows  $\forall x. \gamma$  differentiable at  $x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma straight-path-differentiable-y:
  fixes  $b :: \text{real}$  and
     $y1 y2 :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes gamma-def:  $\gamma = (\lambda x. (y2 + y1 * x, b))$ 
  shows  $\forall x. \gamma$  differentiable at  $x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-imp-continuous-on:
  assumes  $f$  piecewise-C1-differentiable-on  $s$ 
  shows continuous-on  $s$   $f$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma boring-lemma1:
  fixes  $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  assumes ( $f$  has-vector-derivative  $D$ ) (at  $x$ )
  shows (( $\lambda x. (f x, 0)$ ) has-vector-derivative (( $D, 0 :: \text{real}$ ))) (at  $x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma boring-lemma2:
  fixes  $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  assumes ( $f$  has-vector-derivative  $D$ ) (at  $x$ )
  shows (( $\lambda x. (0, f x)$ ) has-vector-derivative (( $0, D$ ))) (at  $x$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pair-prod-smooth-pw-smooth:
  assumes ( $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ ) C1-differentiable-on  $s$  ( $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ ) piecewise-C1-differentiable-on  $s$ 
  shows ( $\lambda x. (f x, g x)$ ) piecewise-C1-differentiable-on  $s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scale-shift-smooth:
  shows ( $\lambda x. a + b * x$ ) C1-differentiable-on  $s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma open-diff:

```

```

assumes finite (t::'a::t1-space set)
  open (s::'a set)
shows open (s - t)
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-derivative-transform-within:
assumes 0 < d
  and x ∈ s
  and ∀x'∈s. dist x' x < d → f x' = g x'
  and (f has-derivative f') (at x within s)
shows (g has-derivative f') (at x within s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-derivative-transform-within-ivl:
assumes (0::real) < b
  and ∀x∈{a..b} − s. f x = g x
  and x ∈ {a..b} − s
  and (f has-derivative f') (at x within {a..b} − s)
shows (g has-derivative f') (at x within {a..b} − s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-vector-derivative-transform-within-ivl:
assumes (0::real) < b
  and ∀x∈{a..b} − s . f x = g x
  and x ∈ {a..b} − s
  and (f has-vector-derivative f') (at x within {a..b} − s)
shows (g has-vector-derivative f') (at x within {a..b} − s)
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-derivative-transform-at:
assumes 0 < d
  and ∀x'. dist x' x < d → f x' = g x'
  and (f has-derivative f') (at x)
shows (g has-derivative f') (at x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma has-vector-derivative-transform-at:
assumes 0 < d
  and ∀x'. dist x' x < d → f x' = g x'
  and (f has-vector-derivative f') (at x)
shows (g has-vector-derivative f') (at x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma C1-diff-components-2:
assumes b ∈ Basis
assumes f C1-differentiable-on s
shows (λx. f x + b) C1-differentiable-on s
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma eq-smooth:
  assumes  $0 < d$ 
   $\forall x \in s. \forall y. dist x y < d \longrightarrow f y = g y$ 
   $f C1\text{-differentiable-on } s$ 
  shows  $g C1\text{-differentiable-on } s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-pw-smooth:
  assumes  $0 < d$ 
   $\forall x \in s. \forall y. dist x y < d \longrightarrow f y = g y$ 
   $\forall x \in s. f x = g x$ 
   $f \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } s$ 
  shows  $g \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scale-piecewise-C1-differentiable-on:
  assumes  $f \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } s$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. (c::real) * (f x)) \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-smooth-gen:
  assumes  $f C1\text{-differentiable-on } s$ 
   $\forall x. f x = g x$ 
  shows  $g C1\text{-differentiable-on } s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subpath-compose:
  shows  $(\text{subpath } a b \gamma) = \gamma \circ (\lambda x. (b - a) * x + a)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subpath-smooth:
  assumes  $\gamma C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$   $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ 
  shows  $(\text{subpath } a b \gamma) C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has-vector-derivative-divide[derivative-intros]:
  fixes  $a :: 'a::real-normed-field$ 
  shows  $(f \text{ has-vector-derivative } x) F \implies ((\lambda x. f x / a) \text{ has-vector-derivative } (x / a)) F$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Integrals
  imports HOL-Analysis.Analysis General-Utils
begin

lemma gauge-integral-Fubini-universe-x:
  fixes  $f :: ('a::euclidean-space * 'b::euclidean-space) \Rightarrow 'c::euclidean-space$ 
  assumes fun-lesbegue-integrable: integrable lborel  $f$  and

```

$x\text{-axis-integral-measurable}: (\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV} (\lambda y. f(x, y))) \in \text{borel-measurable}$
 lborel
shows $\text{integral UNIV } f = \text{integral UNIV} (\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV} (\lambda y. f(x, y)))$
 $(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV} (\lambda y. f(x, y))) \text{ integrable-on UNIV}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gauge-integral-Fubini-universe-y*:
fixes $f :: ('a::euclidean-space * 'b::euclidean-space) \Rightarrow 'c::euclidean-space$
assumes *fun-lesbegue-integrable*: $\text{integrable lborel } f$ **and**
 $y\text{-axis-integral-measurable}: (\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV} (\lambda y. f(y, x))) \in \text{borel-measurable}$
 lborel
shows $\text{integral UNIV } f = \text{integral UNIV} (\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV} (\lambda y. f(y, x)))$
 $(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV} (\lambda y. f(y, x))) \text{ integrable-on UNIV}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gauge-integral-Fubini-curve-bounded-region-x*:
fixes $f g :: ('a::euclidean-space * 'b::euclidean-space) \Rightarrow 'c::euclidean-space$ **and**
 $g1\ g2 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ **and**
 $s :: ('a * 'b) \text{ set}$
assumes *fun-lesbegue-integrable*: $\text{integrable lborel } f$ **and**
 $x\text{-axis-gauge-integrable}: \bigwedge x. (\lambda y. f(x, y)) \text{ integrable-on UNIV}$ **and**
 $x\text{-axis-integral-measurable}: (\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV} (\lambda y. f(x, y))) \in \text{borel-measurable}$
 lborel **and**
 $f\text{-is-g-indicator}: f = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in s \text{ then } g x \text{ else } 0)$ **and**
 $s\text{-is-bounded-by-g1-and-g2}: s = \{(x, y). (\forall i \in \text{Basis}. a \cdot i \leq x \cdot i \wedge x \cdot i \leq b \cdot i) \wedge (\forall i \in \text{Basis}. (g1 x) \cdot i \leq y \cdot i \wedge y \cdot i \leq (g2 x) \cdot i)\}$
shows $\text{integral } s g = \text{integral } (\text{cbox } a b) (\lambda x. \text{integral } (\text{cbox } (g1 x) (g2 x)) (\lambda y. g(x, y)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gauge-integral-Fubini-curve-bounded-region-y*:
fixes $f g :: ('a::euclidean-space * 'b::euclidean-space) \Rightarrow 'c::euclidean-space$ **and**
 $g1\ g2 :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a$ **and**
 $s :: ('a * 'b) \text{ set}$
assumes *fun-lesbegue-integrable*: $\text{integrable lborel } f$ **and**
 $y\text{-axis-gauge-integrable}: \bigwedge x. (\lambda y. f(y, x)) \text{ integrable-on UNIV}$ **and**
 $y\text{-axis-integral-measurable}: (\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV} (\lambda y. f(y, x))) \in \text{borel-measurable}$
 lborel **and**
 $f\text{-is-g-indicator}: f = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in s \text{ then } g x \text{ else } 0)$ **and**
 $s\text{-is-bounded-by-g1-and-g2}: s = \{(y, x). (\forall i \in \text{Basis}. a \cdot i \leq x \cdot i \wedge x \cdot i \leq b \cdot i) \wedge (\forall i \in \text{Basis}. (g1 x) \cdot i \leq y \cdot i \wedge y \cdot i \leq (g2 x) \cdot i)\}$
shows $\text{integral } s g = \text{integral } (\text{cbox } a b) (\lambda x. \text{integral } (\text{cbox } (g1 x) (g2 x)) (\lambda y. g(y, x)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma gauge-integral-by-substitution:
  fixes f::(real  $\Rightarrow$  real) and
    g::(real  $\Rightarrow$  real) and
    g'::real  $\Rightarrow$  real and
    a::real and
    b::real
  assumes a-le-b: a  $\leq$  b and
    ga-le-gb: g a  $\leq$  g b and
    g'-derivative:  $\forall x \in \{a..b\}.$  (g has-vector-derivative (g' x)) (at x within {a..b})
  and
    g'-continuous: continuous-on {a..b} g' and
    f-continuous: continuous-on (g ` {a..b}) f
  shows integral {g a..g b} (f) = integral {a..b} ( $\lambda x. f(g x) * (g' x)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma frontier-ic:
  assumes a < (b::real)
  shows frontier {a<..b} = {a,b}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma frontier-ci:
  assumes a < (b::real)
  shows frontier {a<..<b} = {a,b}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ic-not-closed:
  assumes a < (b::real)
  shows  $\neg$  closed {a<..b}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma closure-ic-union-ci:
  assumes a < (b::real) b < c
  shows closure ({a..<b}  $\cup$  {b<..c}) = {a .. c}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma interior-ic-ci-union:
  assumes a < (b::real) b < c
  shows b  $\notin$  (interior ({a..<b}  $\cup$  {b<..c}))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma frontier-ic-union-ci:
  assumes a < (b::real) b < c
  shows b  $\in$  frontier ({a..<b}  $\cup$  {b<..c})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ic-union-ci-not-closed:
  assumes a < (b::real) b < c
  shows  $\neg$  closed ({a..<b}  $\cup$  {b<..c})

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma integrable-continuous-:
  fixes f :: 'b::euclidean-space ⇒ 'a::banach
  assumes continuous-on (cbox a b) f
  shows f integrable-on cbox a b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma removing-singletons-from-div:
  assumes ∀ t∈S. ∃ c d::real. c < d ∧ {c..d} = t
    {x} ∪ ⋃ S = {a..b} a < x x < b
    finite S
  shows ∃ t∈S. x ∈ t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-singleton-from-division-of:
  assumes A division-of {a::real..b} a < b
  assumes x ∈ {a..b}
  shows ∃ c d. c < d ∧ {c..d} ∈ A ∧ x ∈ {c..d}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-singleton-from-tagged-division-of:
  assumes A tagged-division-of {a::real..b} a < b
  assumes x ∈ {a..b}
  shows ∃ k c d. c < d ∧ (k, {c..d}) ∈ A ∧ x ∈ {c..d}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tagged-div-wo-singletons:
  assumes p tagged-division-of {a::real..b} a < b
  shows (p - {xk. ∃ x y. xk = (x,{y})}) tagged-division-of cbox a b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tagged-div-wo-empty:
  assumes p tagged-division-of {a::real..b} a < b
  shows (p - {xk. ∃ x. xk = (x,{})}) tagged-division-of cbox a b
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fine-diff:
  assumes γ fine p
  shows γ fine (p - s)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma tagged-div-tage-notin-set:
  assumes finite (s::real set)
    p tagged-division-of {a..b}
    γ fine p (∀ (x, K)∈p. ∃ c d::real. c < d ∧ K = {c..d}) gauge γ
  shows ∃ p' γ'. p' tagged-division-of {a..b} ∧
    γ' fine p' ∧ (∀ (x, K)∈p'. x ∉ s) ∧ gauge γ'
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma has-integral-bound-spike-finite:
  fixes f :: 'a::euclidean-space  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector
  assumes 0  $\leq$  B and finite S
    and f: (f has-integral i) (cbox a b)
    and leB:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{cbox } a b - S \implies \text{norm } (f x) \leq B$ 
  shows norm i  $\leq B * \text{content} (\text{cbox } a b)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has-integral-bound-:
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::real-normed-vector
  assumes a < b
    and 0  $\leq$  B
    and f: (f has-integral i) (cbox a b)
    and finite s
    and  $\forall x \in (\text{cbox } a b) - s. \text{norm } (f x) \leq B$ 
  shows norm i  $\leq B * \text{content} (\text{cbox } a b)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary has-integral-bound-real':
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'b::real-normed-vector
  assumes 0  $\leq$  B
    and f: (f has-integral i) (cbox a b)
    and finite s
    and  $\forall x \in (\text{cbox } a b) - s. \text{norm } (f x) \leq B$ 
  shows norm i  $\leq B * \text{content } \{a..b\}$ 

  ⟨proof⟩

lemma integral-has-vector-derivative-continuous-at':
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::banach
  assumes finite s
    and f: integrable-on {a..b}
    and x:  $x \in \{a..b\} - s$ 
    and fx: continuous (at x within ( $\{a..b\} - s$ )) f
  shows (( $\lambda u. \text{integral } \{a..u\} f$ ) has-vector-derivative f x) (at x within ( $\{a..b\} - s$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma integral-has-vector-derivative':
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::banach
  assumes finite s
    f integrable-on {a..b}
    x  $\in \{a..b\} - s$ 
    continuous (at x within ( $\{a..b\} - s$ )) f
  shows (( $\lambda u. \text{integral } \{a .. u\} f$ ) has-vector-derivative f(x)) (at x within {a .. b} - s)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fundamental-theorem-of-calculus-interior-stronger:
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::banach
  assumes finite S
    and a  $\leq$  b  $\wedge$  x. x  $\in$  {a <..< b} – S  $\Rightarrow$  (f has-vector-derivative f'(x)) (at x)
    and continuous-on {a .. b} f
  shows (f' has-integral (f b – f a)) {a .. b}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma at-within-closed-interval-finite:
  fixes x::real
  assumes a < x x < b x  $\notin$  S finite S
  shows (at x within {a..b} – S) = at x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma at-within-cbox-finite:
  assumes x  $\in$  box a b x  $\notin$  S finite S
  shows (at x within cbox a b – S) = at x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fundamental-theorem-of-calculus-interior-stronger':
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::banach
  assumes finite S
    and a  $\leq$  b  $\wedge$  x. x  $\in$  {a <..< b} – S  $\Rightarrow$  (f has-vector-derivative f'(x)) (at x
    within {a..b} – S)
    and continuous-on {a .. b} f
  shows (f' has-integral (f b – f a)) {a .. b}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has-integral-substitution-general-:
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::euclidean-space and g :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real
  assumes s: finite s and le: a  $\leq$  b
    and subset: g ‘{a..b}  $\subseteq$  {c..d}
    and f: f integrable-on {c..d} continuous-on ({c..d} – (g ‘ s)) f

    and g : continuous-on {a..b} g inj-on g ({a..b}  $\cup$  s)
    and deriv [derivative-intros]:
       $\wedge$  x. x  $\in$  {a..b} – s  $\Rightarrow$  (g has-field-derivative g' x) (at x within {a..b})
    shows (( $\lambda$ x. g' x *R f (g x)) has-integral (integral {g a..g b} f – integral {g b..g
    a} f)) {a..b}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma has-integral-substitution-general--:
  fixes f :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::euclidean-space and g :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real
  assumes s: finite s and le: a  $\leq$  b and s-subset: s  $\subseteq$  {a..b}
    and subset: g ‘{a..b}  $\subseteq$  {c..d}
    and f: f integrable-on {c..d} continuous-on ({c..d} – (g ‘ s)) f

    and g : continuous-on {a..b} g inj-on g {a..b}
    and deriv [derivative-intros]:

```

$\bigwedge x. x \in \{a..b\} - s \implies (g \text{ has-field-derivative } g' x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{a..b\})$
shows $((\lambda x. g' x *_R f(g x)) \text{ has-integral } (\text{integral } \{g a..g b\} f - \text{integral } \{g b..g a\} f)) \{a..b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *has-integral-substitution-general-'*:
fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{euclidean-space}$ **and** $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $s: \text{finite } s$ **and** $le: a \leq b$ **and** $s': \text{finite } s'$
and $\text{subset}: g ' \{a..b\} \subseteq \{c..d\}$
and $f: f \text{ integrable-on } \{c..d\} \text{ continuous-on } (\{c..d\} - s') f$
and $g: \text{continuous-on } \{a..b\} g \forall x \in s'. \text{finite } (g - ' \{x\}) \text{ surj-on } s' g \text{ inj-on } g(\{a..b\} \cup ((s \cup g - ' s')))$
and $\text{deriv} [\text{derivative-intros}]:$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in \{a..b\} - s \implies (g \text{ has-field-derivative } g' x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{a..b\})$
shows $((\lambda x. g' x *_R f(g x)) \text{ has-integral } (\text{integral } \{g a..g b\} f - \text{integral } \{g b..g a\} f)) \{a..b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
theory *Paths*
imports *Derivs General-Utils Integrals*
begin

lemma *reverse-subpaths-join*:
shows $\text{subpath } 1 (1 / 2) p + + + \text{subpath } (1 / 2) 0 p = \text{reversepath } p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *line-integral*:: $('a::\text{euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow (('a) \text{ set}) \rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $\text{line-integral } F \text{ basis } g \equiv \text{integral } \{0 .. 1\} (\lambda x. \sum b \in \text{basis}. (F(g x) \cdot b) * (\text{vector-derivative } g \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{0..1\}) \cdot b))$

definition *line-integral-exists* **where**
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma \equiv (\lambda x. \sum b \in \text{basis}. F(\gamma x) \cdot b * (\text{vector-derivative } \gamma \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{0..1\}) \cdot b)) \text{ integrable-on } \{0..1\}$

lemma *line-integral-on-pair-straight-path*:
fixes $F: ('a::\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow 'a$ **and** $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **and** γ
assumes $\text{gamma-const}: \forall x. \gamma(x) \cdot i = a$
and $\text{gamma-smooth}: \forall x \in \{0 .. 1\}. \gamma \text{ differentiable at } x$
shows $(\text{line-integral } F \{i\} \gamma) = 0$ (*line-integral-exists* $F \{i\} \gamma)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *line-integral-on-pair-path-strong*:
fixes $F: ('a::\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow ('a)$ **and**
 $g::\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a$ **and**

```

 $\gamma:(real \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ and}$ 
 $i:'a$ 
assumes  $i\text{-norm-1: } norm\ i = 1 \text{ and}$ 
 $g\text{-orthogonal-to-}i: \forall x. g(x) \cdot i = 0 \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{gamma-is-in-terms-of-}i: \gamma = (\lambda x. f(x) *_R i + g(f(x))) \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{gamma-smooth: } \gamma \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{0 .. 1\} \text{ and}$ 
 $g\text{-continuous-on-}f: \text{continuous-on } (f ` \{0..1\}) g \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{path-start-le-path-end: } (\text{pathstart } \gamma) \cdot i \leq (\text{pathfinish } \gamma) \cdot i \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{field-}i\text{-comp-cont: continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma) (\lambda x. F x \cdot i)$ 
shows  $\text{line-integral } F \{i\} \gamma$ 
 $= \text{integral } (\text{cbox } ((\text{pathstart } \gamma) \cdot i) ((\text{pathfinish } \gamma) \cdot i)) (\lambda f\text{-var. } (F (f\text{-var} *_R i + g(f\text{-var})) \cdot i))$ 
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \{i\} \gamma$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{line-integral-on-pair-path:}$ 
fixes  $F:('a:\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow ('a) \text{ and}$ 
 $g:real \Rightarrow 'a \text{ and}$ 
 $\gamma:(real \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ and}$ 
 $i:'a$ 
assumes  $i\text{-norm-1: } norm\ i = 1 \text{ and}$ 
 $g\text{-orthogonal-to-}i: \forall x. g(x) \cdot i = 0 \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{gamma-is-in-terms-of-}i: \gamma = (\lambda x. f(x) *_R i + g(f(x))) \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{gamma-smooth: } \gamma \text{ C1-differentiable-on } \{0 .. 1\} \text{ and}$ 
 $g\text{-continuous-on-}f: \text{continuous-on } (f ` \{0..1\}) g \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{path-start-le-path-end: } (\text{pathstart } \gamma) \cdot i \leq (\text{pathfinish } \gamma) \cdot i \text{ and}$ 
 $\text{field-}i\text{-comp-cont: continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma) (\lambda x. F x \cdot i)$ 
shows  $(\text{line-integral } F \{i\} \gamma)$ 
 $= \text{integral } (\text{cbox } ((\text{pathstart } \gamma) \cdot i) ((\text{pathfinish } \gamma) \cdot i)) (\lambda f\text{-var. } (F (f\text{-var} *_R i + g(f\text{-var})) \cdot i))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{content-box-cases:}$ 
 $\text{content } (\text{box } a b) = (\text{if } \forall i \in \text{Basis}. a \cdot i \leq b \cdot i \text{ then } \text{prod } (\lambda i. b \cdot i - a \cdot i) \text{ Basis else } 0)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{content-box-cbox:}$ 
shows  $\text{content } (\text{box } a b) = \text{content } (\text{cbox } a b)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{content-eq-0: } \text{content } (\text{box } a b) = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists i \in \text{Basis}. b \cdot i \leq a \cdot i)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{content-pos-lt-eq: } 0 < \text{content } (\text{cbox } a (b:'a:\text{euclidean-space})) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in \text{Basis}. a \cdot i < b \cdot i)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{content-lt-nz: } 0 < \text{content } (\text{box } a b) \longleftrightarrow \text{content } (\text{box } a b) \neq 0$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *content-subset*: $cbox a b \subseteq box c d \implies content(cbox a b) \leq content(box c d)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-content-null*:
assumes $content(box a b) = 0$
and p tagged-division-of $(box a b)$
shows $sum(\lambda(x,k). content k *_R f x) p = (0 :: 'a :: real-normed-vector)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *has-integral-null* [intro]: $content(box a b) = 0 \implies (f \text{ has-integral } 0) (box a b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *line-integral-distrib*:
assumes $line-integral-exists f$ basis $g1$
 $line-integral-exists f$ basis $g2$
 $valid-path g1$ valid-path $g2$
shows $line-integral f$ basis $(g1 +++ g2) = line-integral f$ basis $g1 + line-integral f$ basis $g2$
 $line-integral-exists f$ basis $(g1 +++ g2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *line-integral-exists-joinD1*:
assumes $line-integral-exists f$ basis $(g1 +++ g2)$ valid-path $g1$
shows $line-integral-exists f$ basis $g1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *line-integral-exists-joinD2*:
assumes $line-integral-exists f$ basis $(g1 +++ g2)$ valid-path $g2$
shows $line-integral-exists f$ basis $g2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *has-line-integral-on-reverse-path*:
assumes g : valid-path g and int :
 $((\lambda x. \sum_{b \in basis} F(g x) \cdot b * (vector-derivative g (at x within \{0..1\}) \cdot b))$
 $has-integral c) \{0..1\}$
shows $((\lambda x. \sum_{b \in basis} F((reversepath g) x) \cdot b * (vector-derivative (reversepath g) (at x within \{0..1\}) \cdot b)) has-integral -c) \{0..1\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *line-integral-on-reverse-path*:
assumes $valid-path \gamma$ $line-integral-exists F$ basis γ
shows $line-integral F$ basis $\gamma = - (line-integral F$ basis $(reversepath \gamma))$
 $line-integral-exists F$ basis $(reversepath \gamma)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma line-integral-exists-on-degenerate-path:
  assumes finite basis
  shows line-integral-exists F basis ( $\lambda x. c$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma degenerate-path-is-valid-path: valid-path ( $\lambda x. c$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-degenerate-path:
  assumes finite basis
  shows line-integral F basis ( $\lambda x. c$ ) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

definition point-path where
  point-path  $\gamma \equiv \exists c. \gamma = (\lambda x. c)$ 

lemma line-integral-point-path:
  assumes point-path  $\gamma$ 
  assumes finite basis
  shows line-integral F basis  $\gamma = 0$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-exists-point-path:
  assumes finite basis point-path  $\gamma$ 
  shows line-integral-exists F basis  $\gamma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-exists-subpath:
  assumes f: line-integral-exists f basis g and g: valid-path g
  and uv:  $u \in \{0..1\}$   $v \in \{0..1\}$   $u \leq v$ 
  shows (line-integral-exists f basis (subpath u v g))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

type-synonym path = real  $\Rightarrow$  (real * real)
type-synonym one-cube = (real  $\Rightarrow$  (real * real))
type-synonym one-chain = (int * path) set
type-synonym two-cube = (real * real)  $\Rightarrow$  (real * real)
type-synonym two-chain = two-cube set

```

```

definition one-chain-line-integral :: ((real * real)  $\Rightarrow$  (real * real))  $\Rightarrow$  ((real * real) set)  $\Rightarrow$  one-chain  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  one-chain-line-integral F b C  $\equiv$  ( $\sum_{(k,g) \in C} k * (\text{line-integral } F b g)$ )

```

```

definition boundary-chain where
  boundary-chain s  $\equiv$  ( $\forall (k, \gamma) \in s. k = 1 \vee k = -1$ )

```

```

fun coeff-cube-to-path::(int * one-cube)  $\Rightarrow$  path
  where coeff-cube-to-path (k,  $\gamma$ ) = (if k = 1 then  $\gamma$  else (reversepath  $\gamma$ ))

fun rec-join :: (int*path) list  $\Rightarrow$  path where
  rec-join [] = ( $\lambda x.0$ ) |
  rec-join [oneC] = coeff-cube-to-path oneC |
  rec-join (oneC # xs) = coeff-cube-to-path oneC +++ (rec-join xs)

fun valid-chain-list where
  valid-chain-list [] = True |
  valid-chain-list [oneC] = True |
  valid-chain-list (oneC#l) = (pathfinish (coeff-cube-to-path (oneC)) = pathstart
(rec-join l)  $\wedge$  valid-chain-list l)

lemma joined-is-valid:
  assumes boundary-chain: boundary-chain (set l) and
    valid-path:  $\bigwedge k \gamma. (k, \gamma) \in \text{set } l \implies \text{valid-path } \gamma$  and
    valid-chain-list-ass: valid-chain-list l
  shows valid-path (rec-join l)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pathstart-rec-join-1:
  pathstart (rec-join ((1,  $\gamma$ ) # l)) = pathstart  $\gamma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pathstart-rec-join-2:
  pathstart (rec-join ((-1,  $\gamma$ ) # l)) = pathstart (reversepath  $\gamma$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pathstart-rec-join:
  pathstart (rec-join ((1,  $\gamma$ ) # l)) = pathstart  $\gamma$ 
  pathstart (rec-join ((-1,  $\gamma$ ) # l)) = pathstart (reversepath  $\gamma$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-exists-on-rec-join:
  assumes boundary-chain: boundary-chain (set l) and
    valid-chain-list: valid-chain-list l and
    valid-path:  $\bigwedge k \gamma. (k, \gamma) \in \text{set } l \implies \text{valid-path } \gamma$  and
    line-integral-exists:  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{set } l. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$ 
  shows line-integral-exists F basis (rec-join l)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-exists-rec-join-cons:
  assumes line-integral-exists F basis (rec-join ((1, $\gamma$ ) # l))
    ( $\bigwedge k' \gamma'. (k', \gamma') \in \text{set } ((1,\gamma) \# l) \implies \text{valid-path } \gamma'$ )
    finite basis
  shows line-integral-exists F basis ( $\gamma$  +++ (rec-join l))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma line-integral-exists-rec-join-cons-2:
  assumes line-integral-exists F basis (rec-join ((-1, $\gamma$ ) # l))
    ( $\bigwedge k' \gamma'. (k', \gamma') \in set ((1,\gamma) \# l) \implies valid-path \gamma'$ )
    finite basis
  shows line-integral-exists F basis ((reversepath  $\gamma$ ) +++ (rec-join l))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-exists-on-rec-join':
  assumes boundary-chain: boundary-chain (set l) and
    valid-chain-list: valid-chain-list l and
    valid-path:  $\bigwedge k \gamma. (k, \gamma) \in set l \implies valid-path \gamma$  and
    line-integral-exists: line-integral-exists F basis (rec-join l) and
    finite-basis: finite basis
  shows  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in set l. line-integral-exists F basis \gamma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

inductive chain-subdiv-path
  where I: chain-subdiv-path  $\gamma$  (set l) if distinct l rec-join l =  $\gamma$  valid-chain-list l

lemma valid-path-equiv-valid-chain-list:
  assumes path-eq-chain: chain-subdiv-path  $\gamma$  one-chain
    and boundary-chain one-chain  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in one-chain. valid-path \gamma$ 
  shows valid-path  $\gamma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-rec-join-cons:
  assumes line-integral-exists F basis  $\gamma$ 
    line-integral-exists F basis (rec-join ((l)))
    ( $\bigwedge k' \gamma'. (k', \gamma') \in set ((1,\gamma) \# l) \implies valid-path \gamma'$ )
    finite basis
  shows line-integral F basis (rec-join ((1, $\gamma$ ) # l)) = line-integral F basis ( $\gamma$  +++ (rec-join l))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-rec-join-cons-2:
  assumes line-integral-exists F basis  $\gamma$ 
    line-integral-exists F basis (rec-join ((l)))
    ( $\bigwedge k' \gamma'. (k', \gamma') \in set ((-1,\gamma) \# l) \implies valid-path \gamma'$ )
    finite basis
  shows line-integral F basis (rec-join ((-1, $\gamma$ ) # l)) = line-integral F basis ((reversepath  $\gamma$ ) +++ (rec-join l))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma one-chain-line-integral-rec-join:
  assumes l-props: set l = one-chain distinct l valid-chain-list l and
    boundary-chain: boundary-chain one-chain and
    line-integral-exists:  $\forall (k::int, \gamma) \in one-chain. line-integral-exists F basis \gamma$  and
    valid-path:  $\forall (k::int, \gamma) \in one-chain. valid-path \gamma$  and

```

finite-basis: finite basis
shows *line-integral F basis (rec-join l) = one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain*
(proof)

lemma *line-integral-on-path-eq-line-integral-on-equiv-chain:*
assumes *path-eq-chain: chain-subdiv-path γ one-chain and*
boundary-chain: boundary-chain one-chain and
line-integral-exists: ∀(k::int, γ) ∈ one-chain. line-integral-exists F basis γ and
valid-path: ∀(k::int, γ) ∈ one-chain. valid-path γ and
finite-basis: finite basis
shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain = line-integral F basis γ*
line-integral-exists F basis γ
valid-path γ
(proof)

lemma *line-integral-on-path-eq-line-integral-on-equiv-chain':*
assumes *path-eq-chain: chain-subdiv-path γ one-chain and*
boundary-chain: boundary-chain one-chain and
line-integral-exists: line-integral-exists F basis γ and
valid-path: ∀(k, γ) ∈ one-chain. valid-path γ and
finite-basis: finite basis
shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain = line-integral F basis γ*
 $\forall(k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$
(proof)

definition *chain-subdiv-chain where*
chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv
 $\equiv \exists f. (\bigcup(f ' \text{one-chain1})) = \text{subdiv} \wedge$
 $(\forall c \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{chain-subdiv-path}(\text{coeff-cube-to-path } c)(f c)) \wedge$
pairwise (λ p p'. fp ∩ fp' = {}) *one-chain1* \wedge
 $(\forall x \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{finite}(f x))$

lemma *chain-subdiv-chain-character:*
shows *chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv ↔*
 $(\exists f. \bigcup(f ' \text{one-chain1}) = \text{subdiv} \wedge$
 $(\forall(k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}.$
if k = 1
then chain-subdiv-path γ (f (k, γ))
else chain-subdiv-path (reversepath γ) (f (k, γ))) \wedge
 $(\forall p \in \text{one-chain1}.$
 $\forall p' \in \text{one-chain1}. p \neq p' \rightarrow fp \cap fp' = \{\}) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{finite}(f x)))$
(proof)

lemma *chain-subdiv-chain-imp-finite-subdiv:*
assumes *finite one-chain1*
chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv
shows *finite subdiv*
(proof)

```

lemma valid-subdiv-imp-valid-one-chain:
assumes chain1-eq-chain2: chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv and
boundary-chain1: boundary-chain one-chain1 and
boundary-chain2: boundary-chain subdiv and
valid-path:  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}.$  valid-path  $\gamma$ 
shows  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}.$  valid-path  $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma one-chain-line-integral-eq-line-integral-on-sudivision:
assumes chain1-eq-chain2: chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv and
boundary-chain1: boundary-chain one-chain1 and
boundary-chain2: boundary-chain subdiv and
line-integral-exists-on-chain2:  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}.$  line-integral-exists  $F$  basis  $\gamma$ 
and
valid-path:  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}.$  valid-path  $\gamma$  and
finite-chain1: finite one-chain1 and
finite-basis: finite basis
shows one-chain-line-integral  $F$  basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral  $F$ 
basis subdiv
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}.$  line-integral-exists  $F$  basis  $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma one-chain-line-integral-eq-line-integral-on-sudivision':
assumes chain1-eq-chain2: chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv and
boundary-chain1: boundary-chain one-chain1 and
boundary-chain2: boundary-chain subdiv and
line-integral-exists-on-chain1:  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}.$  line-integral-exists  $F$  basis
 $\gamma$  and
valid-path:  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}.$  valid-path  $\gamma$  and
finite-chain1: finite one-chain1 and
finite-basis: finite basis
shows one-chain-line-integral  $F$  basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral  $F$ 
basis subdiv
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}.$  line-integral-exists  $F$  basis  $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-sum-gen:
assumes finite-basis:
finite basis and
line-integral-exists:
line-integral-exists  $F$  basis1  $\gamma$ 
line-integral-exists  $F$  basis2  $\gamma$  and
basis-partition:
basis1  $\cup$  basis2 = basis basis1  $\cap$  basis2 = {}
shows line-integral  $F$  basis  $\gamma$  = (line-integral  $F$  basis1  $\gamma$ ) + (line-integral  $F$ 
basis2  $\gamma$ )
line-integral-exists  $F$  basis  $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

definition common-boundary-sudivision-exists **where**

common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain1 one-chain2 \equiv

$\exists \text{subdiv. chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv} \wedge$

$\text{chain-subdiv-chain one-chain2 subdiv} \wedge$

$(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv. valid-path } \gamma) \wedge$

$\text{boundary-chain subdiv}$

lemma common-boundary-sudivision-commutative:

$(\text{common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain1 one-chain2}) = (\text{common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain2 one-chain1})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma common-subdivision-imp-eq-line-integral:

assumes (*common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain1 one-chain2*)

boundary-chain one-chain1

boundary-chain one-chain2

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1. line-integral-exists F basis } \gamma$

finite one-chain1

finite one-chain2

finite basis

shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain2*

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain2. line-integral-exists F basis } \gamma$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition common-sudiv-exists **where**

common-sudiv-exists one-chain1 one-chain2 \equiv

$\exists \text{subdiv ps1 ps2. chain-subdiv-chain (one-chain1 - ps1) subdiv} \wedge$

$\text{chain-subdiv-chain (one-chain2 - ps2) subdiv} \wedge$

$(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv. valid-path } \gamma) \wedge$

$(\text{boundary-chain subdiv}) \wedge$

$(\forall (k, \gamma) \in ps1. \text{point-path } \gamma) \wedge$

$(\forall (k, \gamma) \in ps2. \text{point-path } \gamma)$

lemma common-sudiv-exists-comm:

shows *common-sudiv-exists C1 C2 = common-sudiv-exists C2 C1*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma line-integral-degenerate-chain:

assumes $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{chain. point-path } \gamma)$

assumes *finite basis*

shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis chain = 0*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma gen-common-sudiv-imp-common-sudiv:

shows $(\text{common-sudiv-exists one-chain1 one-chain2}) = (\exists ps1 ps2. (\text{common-boundary-sudivision-exists (one-chain1 - ps1) (one-chain2 - ps2)}) \wedge (\forall (k, \gamma) \in ps1. \text{point-path } \gamma) \wedge (\forall (k, \gamma) \in ps2. \text{point-path } \gamma))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma common-subdiv-imp-gen-common-subdiv:
 assumes (common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain1 one-chain2)
 shows (common-sudiv-exists one-chain1 one-chain2)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma one-chain-line-integral-point-paths:
 assumes finite one-chain
 assumes finite basis
 assumes ($\forall (k, \gamma) \in ps. \text{point-path } \gamma$)
 shows one-chain-line-integral F basis (one-chain - ps) = one-chain-line-integral
F basis (one-chain)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma boundary-chain-diff:
 assumes boundary-chain one-chain
 shows boundary-chain (one-chain - s)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma gen-common-subdivision-imp-eq-line-integral:
 assumes (common-sudiv-exists one-chain1 one-chain2)
 boundary-chain one-chain1
 boundary-chain one-chain2
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$
 finite one-chain1
 finite one-chain2
 finite basis
 shows one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F
basis one-chain2
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain2}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma common-sudiv-exists-refl:
 assumes common-sudiv-exists C1 C2
 shows common-sudiv-exists C2 C1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma chain-subdiv-path-singleton:
 shows chain-subdiv-path $\gamma \{(1, \gamma)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma chain-subdiv-path-singleton-reverse:
 shows chain-subdiv-path (reversepath γ) $\{(-1, \gamma)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma chain-subdiv-chain-refl:
 assumes boundary-chain C
 shows chain-subdiv-chain C C

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *reparam-weak where*

reparam-weak $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \equiv \exists \varphi. (\forall x \in \{0..1\}. \gamma_1 x = (\gamma_2 o \varphi) x) \wedge \varphi$ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on $\{0..1\} \wedge \varphi(0) = 0 \wedge \varphi(1) = 1 \wedge \varphi^{-1}\{0..1\} = \{0..1\}$

definition *reparam where*

reparam $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \equiv \exists \varphi. (\forall x \in \{0..1\}. \gamma_1 x = (\gamma_2 o \varphi) x) \wedge \varphi$ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on $\{0..1\} \wedge \varphi(0) = 0 \wedge \varphi(1) = 1 \wedge$ bij-btw $\varphi \{0..1\} \{0..1\} \wedge \varphi^{-1}\{0..1\} \subseteq \{0..1\}$
 $\wedge (\forall x \in \{0..1\}. \text{finite } (\varphi^{-1}\{x\}))$

lemma *reparam-weak-eq-refl:*

shows *reparam-weak* $\gamma_1 \gamma_1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *line-integral-exists-smooth-one-base:*

assumes γ C1-differentiable-on $\{0..1\}$
 $\text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma) (\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$
shows *line-integral-exists* $F \{b\} \gamma$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *contour-integral-primitive-lemma:*

fixes $f :: \text{complex} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ **and** $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
assumes $a \leq b$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in s \implies (f \text{ has-field-derivative } f' x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s\text{)}$
and g piecewise-differentiable-on $\{a..b\} \quad \bigwedge x. x \in \{a..b\} \implies g x \in s$
shows $((\lambda x. f'(g x) * \text{vector-derivative } g \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{a..b\}))$
 $\text{has-integral } (f(g b) - f(g a)) \{a..b\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *line-integral-primitive-lemma:*

fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{euclidean-space}, \text{real-normed-field}\} \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{euclidean-space}, \text{real-normed-field}\}$
and
 $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes $\bigwedge (a :: 'a). a \in s \implies (f \text{ has-field-derivative } (f' a)) \text{ (at } a \text{ within } s\text{)}$
and g piecewise-differentiable-on $\{0..1\} \quad \bigwedge x. x \in \{0..1\} \implies g x \in s$
and $\text{base-vec} \in \text{Basis}$
shows $((\lambda x. ((f'(g x)) * (\text{vector-derivative } g \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{0..1\}))) \cdot \text{base-vec})$
 $\text{has-integral } (((f(g 1)) \cdot \text{base-vec} - (f(g 0)) \cdot \text{base-vec})) \{0..1\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reparam-eq-line-integrals:*

assumes *reparam: reparam* $\gamma_1 \gamma_2$ **and**
pw-smooth: γ_2 piecewise-C1-differentiable-on $\{0..1\}$ **and**
cont: continuous-on (path-image γ_2 *)* $(\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$ **and**
line-integral-ex: line-integral-exists $F \{b\} \gamma_2$
shows *line-integral* $F \{b\} \gamma_1 = \text{line-integral } F \{b\} \gamma_2$

```

line-integral-exists F {b} γ1
⟨proof⟩

lemma reparam-weak-eq-line-integrals:
assumes reparam-weak γ1 γ2
γ2 C1-differentiable-on {0..1}
continuous-on (path-image γ2) (λx. F x • b)
shows line-integral F {b} γ1 = line-integral F {b} γ2
line-integral-exists F {b} γ1
⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-sum-basis:
assumes finite (basis::('a::euclidean-space) set) ∀ b∈basis. line-integral-exists F
{b} γ
shows line-integral F basis γ = (∑ b∈basis. line-integral F {b} γ)
line-integral-exists F basis γ
⟨proof⟩

lemma reparam-weak-eq-line-integrals-basis:
assumes reparam-weak γ1 γ2
γ2 C1-differentiable-on {0..1}
∀ b∈basis. continuous-on (path-image γ2) (λx. F x • b)
finite basis
shows line-integral F basis γ1 = line-integral F basis γ2
line-integral-exists F basis γ1
⟨proof⟩

lemma reparam-eq-line-integrals-basis:
assumes reparam γ1 γ2
γ2 piecewise-C1-differentiable-on {0..1}
∀ b∈basis. continuous-on (path-image γ2) (λx. F x • b)
finite basis
∀ b∈basis. line-integral-exists F {b} γ2
shows line-integral F basis γ1 = line-integral F basis γ2
line-integral-exists F basis γ1
⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-exists-smooth:
assumes γ C1-differentiable-on {0..1}
∀ (b::'a::euclidean-space) ∈basis. continuous-on (path-image γ) (λx. F x • b)
finite basis
shows line-integral-exists F basis γ
⟨proof⟩

lemma smooth-path-imp-reverse:
assumes g C1-differentiable-on {0..1}
shows (reversepath g) C1-differentiable-on {0..1}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma piecewise-smooth-path-imp-reverse:
  assumes g piecewise-C1-differentiable-on {0..1}
  shows (reversepath g) piecewise-C1-differentiable-on {0..1}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition chain-reparam-weak-chain where
  chain-reparam-weak-chain one-chain1 one-chain2 ≡
    ∃f. bij f ∧ f ` one-chain1 = one-chain2 ∧ (∀(k,γ)∈one-chain1. if k = fst
      (f(k,γ)) then reparam-weak γ (snd (f(k,γ))) else reparam-weak γ (reversepath (snd
      (f(k,γ)))))

lemma chain-reparam-weak-chain-line-integral:
  assumes chain-reparam-weak-chain one-chain1 one-chain2
  ∀(k2,γ2)∈one-chain2. γ2 C1-differentiable-on {0..1}
  ∀(k2,γ2)∈one-chain2. ∀b∈basis. continuous-on (path-image γ2) (λx. F x • b)
  finite basis
  and bound1: boundary-chain one-chain1
  and bound2: boundary-chain one-chain2
  shows one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F
  basis one-chain2
  ∀(k, γ)∈one-chain1. line-integral-exists F basis γ
  ⟨proof⟩

definition chain-reparam-chain where
  chain-reparam-chain one-chain1 one-chain2 ≡
    ∃f. bij f ∧ f ` one-chain1 = one-chain2 ∧ (∀(k,γ)∈one-chain1. if k = fst
      (f(k,γ)) then reparam γ (snd (f(k,γ))) else reparam γ (reversepath (snd (f(k,γ)))))

definition chain-reparam-weak-path::((real) ⇒ (real * real)) ⇒ ((int * ((real) ⇒
  (real * real))) set) ⇒ bool where
  chain-reparam-weak-path γ one-chain
  ≡ ∃l. set l = one-chain ∧ distinct l ∧ reparam γ (rec-join l) ∧ valid-chain-list
  l ∧ l ≠ []

lemma chain-reparam-chain-line-integral:
  assumes chain-reparam-chain one-chain1 one-chain2
  ∀(k2,γ2)∈one-chain2. γ2 piecewise-C1-differentiable-on {0..1}
  ∀(k2,γ2)∈one-chain2. ∀b∈basis. continuous-on (path-image γ2) (λx. F x • b)
  finite basis
  and bound1: boundary-chain one-chain1
  and bound2: boundary-chain one-chain2
  and line: ∀(k2,γ2)∈one-chain2. (∀b∈basis. line-integral-exists F {b} γ2)
  shows one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F
  basis one-chain2
  ∀(k, γ)∈one-chain1. line-integral-exists F basis γ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma path-image-rec-join:
  fixes γ::real ⇒ (real × real)

```

```

fixes k::int
fixes l
shows  $\bigwedge k \gamma. (k, \gamma) \in \text{set } l \implies \text{valid-chain-list } l \implies \text{path-image } \gamma \subseteq \text{path-image}$   

 $(\text{rec-join } l)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma path-image-rec-join-2:
fixes l
shows  $l \neq [] \implies \text{valid-chain-list } l \implies \text{path-image } (\text{rec-join } l) \subseteq (\bigcup (k, \gamma) \in \text{set } l. \text{path-image } \gamma)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma continuous-on-closed-UN:
assumes finite S
shows  $((\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies \text{closed } s) \implies (\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies \text{continuous-on } s f) \implies$   

 $\text{continuous-on } (\bigcup S) f)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma chain-reparam-weak-path-line-integral:
assumes path-eq-chain: chain-reparam-weak-path  $\gamma$  one-chain and  

boundary-chain: boundary-chain one-chain and  

line-integral-exists:  $\forall b \in \text{basis}. \forall (k::int, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{b\}$   

 $\gamma$  and  

valid-path:  $\forall (k::int, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$  and  

finite-basis: finite basis and  

cont:  $\forall b \in \text{basis}. \forall (k, \gamma_2) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma_2) (\lambda x. F x \cdot$   

 $b)$  and  

finite-one-chain: finite one-chain
shows line-integral F basis  $\gamma = \text{one-chain-line-integral } F \text{ basis one-chain}$   

line-integral-exists F basis  $\gamma$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition chain-reparam-chain' where
chain-reparam-chain' one-chain1 subdiv  

 $\equiv \exists f. ((\bigcup (f \cdot \text{one-chain1})) = \text{subdiv}) \wedge$   

 $(\forall \text{cube} \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{chain-reparam-weak-path } (\text{rec-join } [\text{cube}]) (f \text{ cube}))$   

 $\wedge$   

 $(\forall p \in \text{one-chain1}. \forall p' \in \text{one-chain1}. p \neq p' \longrightarrow f p \cap f p' = \{\}) \wedge$   

 $(\forall x \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{finite } (f x))$ 

lemma chain-reparam-chain'-imp-finite-subdiv:
assumes finite one-chain1
chain-reparam-chain' one-chain1 subdiv
shows finite subdiv
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma chain-reparam-chain'-line-integral:
assumes chain1-eq-chain2: chain-reparam-chain' one-chain1 subdiv and

```

```

boundary-chain1: boundary-chain one-chain1 and
boundary-chain2: boundary-chain subdiv and
line-integral-exists-on-chain2:  $\forall b \in basis. \forall (k::int, \gamma) \in subdiv. line-integral-exists$ 
 $F \{b\} \gamma$  and
valid-path:  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in subdiv. valid-path \gamma$  and
valid-path-2:  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in one-chain1. valid-path \gamma$  and
finite-chain1: finite one-chain1 and
finite-basis: finite basis and
cont-field:  $\forall b \in basis. \forall (k, \gamma) \in subdiv. continuous-on (path-image \gamma) (\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$ 
shows one-chain-line-integral  $F$  basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral  $F$  basis subdiv
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in one-chain1. line-integral-exists F basis \gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma chain-reparam-chain'-line-integral-smooth-cubes:
assumes chain-reparam-chain' one-chain1 one-chain2
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in one-chain2. \gamma C1-differentiable-on \{0..1\}$ 
 $\forall b \in basis. \forall (k, \gamma) \in one-chain2. continuous-on (path-image \gamma) (\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$ 
finite basis
finite one-chain1
boundary-chain one-chain1
boundary-chain one-chain2
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in one-chain1. valid-path \gamma$ 
shows one-chain-line-integral  $F$  basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral  $F$  basis one-chain2
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in one-chain1. line-integral-exists F basis \gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma chain-subdiv-path-pathimg-subset:
assumes chain-subdiv-path γ subdiv
shows  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in subdiv. (path-image \gamma) \subseteq path-image \gamma'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma reparam-path-image:
assumes reparam γ1 γ2
shows path-image γ1 = path-image γ2
⟨proof⟩

lemma chain-reparam-weak-path-pathimg-subset:
assumes chain-reparam-weak-path γ subdiv
shows  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in subdiv. (path-image \gamma) \subseteq path-image \gamma'$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma chain-subdiv-chain-pathimg-subset':
assumes chain-subdiv-chain one-chain subdiv
assumes  $(k, \gamma) \in subdiv$ 
shows  $\exists k'. (k', \gamma') \in one-chain \wedge path-image \gamma \subseteq path-image \gamma'$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma chain-subdiv-chain-pathimg-subset:
  assumes chain-subdiv-chain one-chain subdiv
  shows  $\bigcup (\text{path-image} \cdot \{\gamma. \exists k. (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}\}) \subseteq \bigcup (\text{path-image} \cdot \{\gamma. \exists k. (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}\})$ 
  (proof)

lemma chain-reparam-chain'-pathimg-subset':
  assumes chain-reparam-chain' one-chain subdiv
  assumes  $(k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}$ 
  shows  $\exists k'. \gamma'. (k', \gamma') \in \text{one-chain} \wedge \text{path-image } \gamma \subseteq \text{path-image } \gamma'$ 
  (proof)

definition common-reparam-exists::  $(\text{int} \times (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real})) \text{ set} \Rightarrow (\text{int} \times (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real})) \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  common-reparam-exists one-chain1 one-chain2  $\equiv$ 
   $(\exists \text{subdiv } ps1 ps2.$ 
   $\text{chain-reparam-chain}'(\text{one-chain1} - ps1) \text{ subdiv} \wedge$ 
   $\text{chain-reparam-chain}'(\text{one-chain2} - ps2) \text{ subdiv} \wedge$ 
   $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}. \gamma \text{ C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}) \wedge$ 
   $\text{boundary-chain subdiv} \wedge$ 
   $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in ps1. \text{point-path } \gamma) \wedge$ 
   $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in ps2. \text{point-path } \gamma))$ 

lemma common-reparam-exists-imp-eq-line-integral:
  assumes finite-basis: finite basis and
  finite one-chain1
  finite one-chain2
  boundary-chain (one-chain1::( $\text{int} \times (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real})$ ) set)
  boundary-chain (one-chain2::( $\text{int} \times (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real})$ ) set)
   $\forall (k2, \gamma2) \in \text{one-chain2}. \forall b \in \text{basis}. \text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma2) (\lambda x. F x \cdot$ 
  b)
  (common-reparam-exists one-chain1 one-chain2)
   $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{valid-path } \gamma)$ 
   $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain2}. \text{valid-path } \gamma)$ 
  shows one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F
  basis one-chain2
   $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$ 
  (proof)

definition subcube ::  $\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow (\text{int} \times (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real})) \Rightarrow (\text{int} \times (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real}))$  where
  subcube a b cube = (fst cube, subpath a b (snd cube))

lemma subcube-valid-path:
  assumes valid-path (snd cube)  $a \in \{0..1\}$   $b \in \{0..1\}$ 
  shows valid-path (snd (subcube a b cube))
  (proof)

```

```

end
theory Green
  imports Paths Derivs Integrals General-Utils
begin

lemma frontier-Un-subset-Un-frontier:
  frontier (s ∪ t) ⊆ (frontier s) ∪ (frontier t)
  {proof}

definition has-partial-derivative:: (('a::euclidean-space) ⇒ 'b::euclidean-space) ⇒
'a ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ ('a) ⇒ bool where
  has-partial-derivative F base-vec F' a
  ≡ ((λx:'a::euclidean-space. F( (a - ((a · base-vec) *R base-vec)) + (x ·
base-vec) *R base-vec ))  

has-derivative F') (at a)

definition has-partial-vector-derivative:: (('a::euclidean-space) ⇒ 'b::euclidean-space) ⇒
'a ⇒ ('b) ⇒ ('a) ⇒ bool where
  has-partial-vector-derivative F base-vec F' a
  ≡ ((λx. F( (a - ((a · base-vec) *R base-vec)) + x *R base-vec ))  

has-vector-derivative F') (at (a · base-vec))

definition partially-vector-differentiable where
  partially-vector-differentiable F base-vec p ≡ (exists F'. has-partial-vector-derivative F
base-vec F' p)

definition partial-vector-derivative:: (('a::euclidean-space) ⇒ 'b::euclidean-space) ⇒
'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b where
  partial-vector-derivative F base-vec a
  ≡ (vector-derivative (λx. F( (a - ((a · base-vec) *R base-vec)) + x *R
base-vec)) (at (a · base-vec)))

lemma partial-vector-derivative-works:
  assumes partially-vector-differentiable F base-vec a
  shows has-partial-vector-derivative F base-vec (partial-vector-derivative F base-vec
a) a
  {proof}

lemma fundamental-theorem-of-calculus-partial-vector:
  fixes a b:: real and
  F:: ('a::euclidean-space ⇒ 'b::euclidean-space) and
  i:: 'a and
  j:: 'b and
  F-j-i:: ('a::euclidean-space ⇒ real)
  assumes a-leq-b: a ≤ b and
  Base-vecs: i ∈ Basis j ∈ Basis and
  no-i-component: c · i = 0 and
  has-partial-deriv: ∀ p ∈ D. has-partial-vector-derivative (λx. (F x) · j) i (F-j-i
p) p and

```

$\text{domain-subset-of-}D: \{x *_R i + c \mid x. a \leq x \wedge x \leq b\} \subseteq D$
shows $((\lambda x. F \cdot i(x *_R i + c)) \text{ has-integral}$
 $F(b *_R i + c) \cdot j - F(a *_R i + c) \cdot j) (\text{cbox } a b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fundamental-theorem-of-calculus-partial-vector-gen*:
fixes $k1 k2 :: \text{real}$ **and**
 $F :: ('a :: \text{euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{euclidean-space})$ **and**
 $i :: 'a$ **and**
 $F \cdot i :: ('a :: \text{euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'b)$
assumes $a \leq b$ **and**
 $\text{unit-len}: i \cdot i = 1$ **and**
 $\text{no-i-component}: c \cdot i = 0$ **and**
 $\text{has-partial-deriv}: \forall p \in D. \text{has-partial-vector-derivative } F \cdot i (F \cdot i p) p$ **and**
 $\text{domain-subset-of-}D: \{v. \exists x. k1 \leq x \wedge x \leq k2 \wedge v = x *_R i + c\} \subseteq D$
shows $((\lambda x. F \cdot i(x *_R i + c)) \text{ has-integral}$
 $F(k2 *_R i + c) - F(k1 *_R i + c)) (\text{cbox } k1 k2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-scale-img*:
assumes $a < b$ **shows** $(\lambda x :: \text{real}. a + (b - a) * x) ` \{0 .. 1\} = \{a .. b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-scale-img'*:
assumes $a \leq b$
shows $(\lambda x :: \text{real}. a + (b - a) * x) ` \{0 .. 1\} = \{a .. b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *analytically-valid*:: $'a :: \text{euclidean-space}$ set $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{euclidean-space}, \text{times}, \text{zero-neq-one}\})$
 $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{analytically-valid } s F i \equiv$
 $(\forall a \in s. \text{partially-vector-differentiable } F \cdot i a) \wedge$
 $\text{continuous-on } s F \wedge$ — TODO: should we replace this with saying that F is
partially differentiable on Dy ,
— i.e. there is a partial derivative on every dimension
 $\text{integrable lborel } (\lambda p. (\text{partial-vector-derivative } F \cdot i) p * \text{indicator } s p) \wedge$
 $(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. (\text{partial-vector-derivative } F \cdot i (y *_R i + x *_R (\sum b \in (\text{Basis} - \{i\}). b))))$
 $* (\text{indicator } s (y *_R i + x *_R (\sum b \in (\text{Basis} - \{i\}). b))) \in \text{borel-measurable}$
 lborel

lemma *analytically-valid-imp-part-deriv-integrable-on*:
assumes *analytically-valid* ($s :: (\text{real} * \text{real})$ set) ($f :: (\text{real} * \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$) i
shows $(\text{partial-vector-derivative } f \cdot i) \text{ integrable-on } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

definition typeII-twoCube :: ((real * real)  $\Rightarrow$  (real * real))  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  typeII-twoCube twoC
     $\equiv \exists a b g1 g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a..b\}. g2 x \leq g1 x) \wedge$ 
    twoC =  $(\lambda(y, x). ((1 - y) * (g2 ((1-x)*a + x*b)) + y * (g1$ 
   $((1-x)*a + x*b)),$ 
     $(1-x)*a + x*b)) \wedge$ 
     $g1 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\} \wedge$ 
     $g2 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\}$ 

abbreviation unit-cube where unit-cube  $\equiv$  cbox (0,0) (1::real,1::real)

definition cubeImage:: two-cube  $\Rightarrow$  ((real*real) set) where
  cubeImage twoC  $\equiv$  (twoC ` unit-cube)

lemma typeII-twoCubeImg:
  assumes typeII-twoCube twoC
  shows  $\exists a b g1 g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a .. b\}. g2 x \leq g1 x) \wedge$ 
    cubeImage twoC =  $\{(y, x). x \in \{a..b\} \wedge y \in \{g2 x .. g1 x\}\}$ 
     $\wedge \text{twoC} = (\lambda(y, x). ((1 - y) * g2 ((1 - x) * a + x * b) + y * g1 ((1 - x) * a + x * b), (1 - x) * a + x * b))$ 
     $\wedge g1 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\} \wedge g2 \text{ piece-}$ 
    wise- $C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition horizontal-boundary :: two-cube  $\Rightarrow$  one-chain where
  horizontal-boundary twoC  $\equiv \{(1, (\lambda x. \text{twoC}(x,0))), (-1, (\lambda x. \text{twoC}(x,1)))\}$ 

definition vertical-boundary :: two-cube  $\Rightarrow$  one-chain where
  vertical-boundary twoC  $\equiv \{(-1, (\lambda y. \text{twoC}(0,y))), (1, (\lambda y. \text{twoC}(1,y)))\}$ 

definition boundary :: two-cube  $\Rightarrow$  one-chain where
  boundary twoC  $\equiv$  horizontal-boundary twoC  $\cup$  vertical-boundary twoC

definition valid-two-cube where
  valid-two-cube twoC  $\equiv$  card (boundary twoC) = 4

definition two-chain-integral:: two-chain  $\Rightarrow$  ((real*real) $\Rightarrow$ (real))  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  two-chain-integral twoChain F  $\equiv \sum C \in \text{twoChain}. (\text{integral} (\text{cubeImage} C) F)$ 

definition valid-two-chain where
  valid-two-chain twoChain  $\equiv (\forall \text{twoCube} \in \text{twoChain}. \text{valid-two-cube twoCube})$ 
   $\wedge \text{pairwise } (\lambda c1 c2. ((\text{boundary } c1) \cap (\text{boundary } c2)) = \{\}) \text{ twoChain} \wedge \text{inj-on}$ 
  cubeImage twoChain

definition two-chain-boundary:: two-chain  $\Rightarrow$  one-chain where
  two-chain-boundary twoChain ==  $\bigcup (\text{boundary} ` \text{twoChain})$ 

definition gen-division where

```

gen-division s $S \equiv (\text{finite } S \wedge (\bigcup S = s) \wedge \text{pairwise } (\lambda X Y. \text{negligible } (X \cap Y))$
 $S)$

definition *two-chain-horizontal-boundary*:: *two-chain* \Rightarrow *one-chain* **where**
 $\text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary } \text{twoChain} \equiv \bigcup (\text{horizontal-boundary} \ ' \text{twoChain})$

definition *two-chain-vertical-boundary*:: *two-chain* \Rightarrow *one-chain* **where**
 $\text{two-chain-vertical-boundary } \text{twoChain} \equiv \bigcup (\text{vertical-boundary} \ ' \text{twoChain})$

definition *only-horizontal-division* **where**
 $\text{only-horizontal-division } \text{one-chain } \text{two-chain}$
 $\equiv \exists \mathcal{H} \mathcal{V}. \text{finite } \mathcal{H} \wedge \text{finite } \mathcal{V} \wedge$
 $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \mathcal{H}. (\exists (k', \gamma') \in \text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain}. (\exists a \in \{0..1\}. \exists b \in \{0..1\}. a \leq b \wedge \text{subpath } a b \gamma' = \gamma))) \wedge$
 $(\text{common-sudiv-exists } (\text{two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain}) \mathcal{V} \vee \text{common-reparam-exists } \mathcal{V} (\text{two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain}))$
 \wedge
 $\text{boundary-chain } \mathcal{V} \wedge$
 $\text{one-chain} = \mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{V} \wedge (\forall (k, \gamma) \in \mathcal{V}. \text{valid-path } \gamma)$

lemma *sum-zero-set*:
assumes $\forall x \in s. f x = 0$ *finite* s *finite* t
shows $\text{sum } f (s \cup t) = \text{sum } f t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation *valid-typeII-division* s $\text{twoChain} \equiv ((\forall \text{twoCube} \in \text{twoChain}. \text{typeII-twoCube twoCube}) \wedge$
 $(\text{gen-division } s (\text{cubeImage} \ ' \text{twoChain})) \wedge$
 $(\text{valid-two-chain } \text{twoChain}))$

lemma *two-chain-vertical-boundary-is-boundary-chain*:
shows *boundary-chain* (*two-chain-vertical-boundary* twoChain)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *two-chain-horizontal-boundary-is-boundary-chain*:
shows *boundary-chain* (*two-chain-horizontal-boundary* twoChain)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *typeI-twoCube* :: *two-cube* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $\text{typeI-twoCube } (\text{twoC::two-cube})$
 $\equiv \exists a b g1 g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a..b\}. g2 x \leq g1 x) \wedge$
 $\text{twoC} = (\lambda(x, y). ((1-x)*a + x*b,$
 $(1 - y) * (g2 ((1-x)*a + x*b)) + y * (g1$
 $((1-x)*a + x*b)))) \wedge$
 $g1 \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{a..b\} \wedge$
 $g2 \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{a..b\}$

```

lemma typeI-twoCubeImg:
  assumes typeI-twoCube twoC
  shows  $\exists a b g1 g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a .. b\}. g2 x \leq g1 x) \wedge$ 
     $cubeImage\ twoC = \{(x,y). x \in \{a..b\} \wedge y \in \{g2 x .. g1 x\}\} \wedge$ 
     $twoC = (\lambda(x, y). ((1 - x) * a + x * b, (1 - y) * g2 ((1 - x) *$ 
 $a + x * b) + y * g1 ((1 - x) * a + x * b))) \wedge$ 
     $g1 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\} \wedge g2 \text{ piece-}$ 
     $\text{wise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma typeI-cube-explicit-spec:
  assumes typeI-twoCube twoC
  shows  $\exists a b g1 g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a .. b\}. g2 x \leq g1 x) \wedge$ 
     $cubeImage\ twoC = \{(x,y). x \in \{a..b\} \wedge y \in \{g2 x .. g1 x\}\}$ 
     $\wedge twoC = (\lambda(x, y). ((1 - x) * a + x * b, (1 - y) * g2 ((1 -$ 
 $x) * a + x * b) + y * g1 ((1 - x) * a + x * b))) \wedge$ 
     $g1 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\} \wedge g2 \text{ piece-}$ 
     $\text{wise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\}$ 
     $\wedge (\lambda x. twoC(x, 0)) = (\lambda x. (a + (b - a) * x, g2 (a + (b - a) *$ 
 $x)))$ 
     $\wedge (\lambda y. twoC(1, y)) = (\lambda x. (b, g2 b + x *_R (g1 b - g2 b)))$ 
     $\wedge (\lambda x. twoC(x, 1)) = (\lambda x. (a + (b - a) * x, g1 (a + (b - a) *$ 
 $x)))$ 
     $\wedge (\lambda y. twoC(0, y)) = (\lambda x. (a, g2 a + x *_R (g1 a - g2 a)))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma typeI-twoCube-smooth-edges:
  assumes typeI-twoCube twoC
   $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary } twoC$ 
  shows  $\gamma \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma two-chain-integral-eq-integral-divisible:
  assumes f-integrable:  $\forall twoCube \in twoChain. F \text{ integrable-on } cubeImage\ twoCube$ 
  and
  gen-division:  $\text{gen-division } s \ (cubeImage\ 'twoChain)$  and
  valid-two-chain:  $\text{valid-two-chain } twoChain$ 
  shows integral s F = two-chain-integral twoChain F
  ⟨proof⟩

definition only-vertical-division where
  only-vertical-division one-chain two-chain ≡
   $\exists \mathcal{V} \mathcal{H}. \text{finite } \mathcal{H} \wedge \text{finite } \mathcal{V} \wedge$ 
   $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \mathcal{V}. \exists (k', \gamma') \in \text{two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain}.$ 
   $(\exists a \in \{0..1\}. \exists b \in \{0..1\}. a \leq b \wedge \text{subpath } a b \ \gamma' = \gamma))) \wedge$ 
   $(\text{common-sudiv-exists } (\text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain}) \ \mathcal{H}$ 
   $\vee \text{common-reparam-exists } \mathcal{H} (\text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain}))$ 
  ∧

```

boundary-chain \mathcal{H} \wedge *one-chain* $= \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{H}$ \wedge
 $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \mathcal{H}. \text{valid-path } \gamma)$

abbreviation *valid-typeI-division* s *twoChain*
 $\equiv (\forall \text{twoCube} \in \text{twoChain}. \text{typeI-twoCube twoCube}) \wedge$
 $\text{gen-division } s (\text{cubeImage} ` \text{twoChain}) \wedge \text{valid-two-chain twoChain}$

lemma *field-cont-on-typeI-region-cont-on-edges*:
assumes *typeI-twoC*: *typeI-twoCube twoC*
and *field-cont*: *continuous-on* (*cubeImage twoC*) F
and *member-of-boundary*: $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}$
shows *continuous-on* ($\gamma ` \{0 .. 1\}$) F
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *typeII-cube-explicit-spec*:
assumes *typeII-twoCube twoC*
shows $\exists a b g1 g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a .. b\}. g2 x \leq g1 x) \wedge$
 $\text{cubeImage twoC} = \{(y, x). x \in \{a..b\} \wedge y \in \{g2 x .. g1 x\}\}$
 $\wedge \text{twoC} = (\lambda(y, x). ((1 - y) * g2 ((1 - x) * a + x * b) + y * g1$
 $((1 - x) * a + x * b), (1 - x) * a + x * b))$
 $\wedge g1 \text{piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\} \wedge g2 \text{piecewise-C1-differentiable-on }$
 $\{a .. b\}$
 $\wedge (\lambda x. \text{twoC}(0, x)) = (\lambda x. (g2 (a + (b - a) * x), a + (b - a) * x))$
 $\wedge (\lambda y. \text{twoC}(y, 1)) = (\lambda x. (g2 b + x * R (g1 b - g2 b), b))$
 $\wedge (\lambda x. \text{twoC}(1, x)) = (\lambda x. (g1 (a + (b - a) * x), a + (b - a) * x))$
 $\wedge (\lambda y. \text{twoC}(y, 0)) = (\lambda x. (g2 a + x * R (g1 a - g2 a), a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *typeII-twoCube-smooth-edges*:
assumes *typeII-twoCube twoC* $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}$
shows $\gamma \text{piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-cont-on-typeII-region-cont-on-edges*:
assumes *typeII-twoC*:
typeII-twoCube twoC **and**
field-cont:
continuous-on (*cubeImage twoC*) F **and**
member-of-boundary:
 $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}$
shows *continuous-on* ($\gamma ` \{0 .. 1\}$) F
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-cube-boundary-is-boundary*: *boundary-chain* (*boundary C*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *common-boundary-subdiv-exists-refl*:
assumes $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$

```

shows common-boundary-sudiv-exists (boundary twoC) (boundary twoC)
⟨proof⟩

lemma common-boundary-subdiv-exists-refl':
assumes ∀(k,γ)∈C. valid-path γ
    boundary-chain (C::(int × (real ⇒ real × real)) set)
shows common-boundary-sudiv-exists (C) (C)
⟨proof⟩

lemma gen-common-boundary-subdiv-exists-refl-twochain-boundary:
assumes ∀(k,γ)∈C. valid-path γ
    boundary-chain (C::(int × (real ⇒ real × real)) set)
shows common-sudiv-exists (C) (C)
⟨proof⟩

lemma two-chain-boundary-is-boundary-chain:
shows boundary-chain (two-chain-boundary twoChain)
⟨proof⟩

lemma typeI-edges-are-valid-paths:
assumes typeI-twoCube twoC (k,γ) ∈ boundary twoC
shows valid-path γ
⟨proof⟩

lemma typeII-edges-are-valid-paths:
assumes typeII-twoCube twoC (k,γ) ∈ boundary twoC
shows valid-path γ
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-two-chain-vertical-boundary:
assumes finite two-chain
shows finite (two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain)
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-two-chain-horizontal-boundary:
assumes finite two-chain
shows finite (two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain)
⟨proof⟩

locale R2 =
  fixes i j
  assumes i-is-x-axis: i = (1::real, 0::real) and
    j-is-y-axis: j = (0::real, 1::real)
begin

lemma analytically-valid-y:
assumes analytically-valid s F i
shows (λx. integral UNIV (λy. (partial-vector-derivative F i) (y, x) * (indicator
s (y, x)))) ∈ borel-measurable lborel

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *analytically-valid-x*:

assumes *analytically-valid s F j*
shows $(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. ((\text{partial-vector-derivative } F j) (x, y)) * (\text{indicator } s (x, y)))) \in \text{borel-measurable lborel}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Greens-thm-type-I*:

fixes $F :: ((\text{real} * \text{real}) \Rightarrow (\text{real} * \text{real})) \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4 :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow (\text{real} * \text{real})) \text{ and}$
 $a :: \text{real} \text{ and } b :: \text{real} \text{ and}$
 $g1 :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \text{ and } g2 :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})$
assumes $Dy\text{-def: } Dy\text{-pair} = \{(x :: \text{real}, y) . x \in \text{cbox } a b \wedge y \in \text{cbox } (g2 x) (g1 x)\}$
and
 $\gamma_1\text{-def: } \gamma_1 = (\lambda x. (a + (b - a) * x, g2(a + (b - a) * x))) \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_1\text{-smooth: } \gamma_1 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{0..1\} \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_2\text{-def: } \gamma_2 = (\lambda x. (b, g2(b) + x * R (g1(b) - g2(b)))) \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_3\text{-def: } \gamma_3 = (\lambda x. (a + (b - a) * x, g1(a + (b - a) * x))) \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_3\text{-smooth: } \gamma_3 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{0..1\} \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_4\text{-def: } \gamma_4 = (\lambda x. (a, g2(a) + x * R (g1(a) - g2(a)))) \text{ and}$
 $F\text{-analytically-valid: } \text{analytically-valid } Dy\text{-pair } (\lambda p. F(p) * i) j \text{ and}$
 $g2\text{-leq-g1: } \forall x \in \text{cbox } a b. (g2 x) \leq (g1 x) \text{ and}$
 $a\text{-lt-b: } a < b$
shows $(\text{line-integral } F \{i\} \gamma_1) +$
 $(\text{line-integral } F \{i\} \gamma_2) -$
 $(\text{line-integral } F \{i\} \gamma_3) -$
 $(\text{line-integral } F \{i\} \gamma_4)$
 $= (\text{integral } Dy\text{-pair } (\lambda a. -(\text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda p. F(p) * i) j$
 $a)))$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \{i\} \gamma_4$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \{i\} \gamma_3$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \{i\} \gamma_2$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \{i\} \gamma_1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Greens-thm-type-II*:

fixes $F :: ((\text{real} * \text{real}) \Rightarrow (\text{real} * \text{real})) \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_4 \gamma_3 \gamma_2 \gamma_1 :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow (\text{real} * \text{real})) \text{ and}$
 $a :: \text{real} \text{ and } b :: \text{real} \text{ and}$
 $g1 :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \text{ and } g2 :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})$
assumes $Dx\text{-def: } Dx\text{-pair} = \{(x :: \text{real}, y) . y \in \text{cbox } a b \wedge x \in \text{cbox } (g2 y) (g1 y)\}$
and
 $\gamma_4\text{-def: } \gamma_4 = (\lambda x. (g2(a + (b - a) * x), a + (b - a) * x)) \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_4\text{-smooth: } \gamma_4 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{0..1\} \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_3\text{-def: } \gamma_3 = (\lambda x. (g2(b) + x * R (g1(b) - g2(b)), b)) \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_2\text{-def: } \gamma_2 = (\lambda x. (g1(a + (b - a) * x), a + (b - a) * x)) \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_2\text{-smooth: } \gamma_2 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{0..1\} \text{ and}$
 $\gamma_1\text{-def: } \gamma_1 = (\lambda x. (g2(a) + x * R (g1(a) - g2(a)), a)) \text{ and}$

```

F-j-analytically-valid: analytically-valid Dx-pair  $(\lambda p. F(p) \cdot j) i$  and
g2-leq-g1:  $\forall x \in \text{cbox } a \ b. (g2 \ x) \leq (g1 \ x)$  and
a-lt-b:  $a < b$ 
shows  $-(\text{line-integral } F \{j\} \ \text{gamma4}) -$ 
     $(\text{line-integral } F \{j\} \ \text{gamma3}) +$ 
     $(\text{line-integral } F \{j\} \ \text{gamma2}) +$ 
     $(\text{line-integral } F \{j\} \ \text{gamma1})$ 
     $= (\text{integral } \text{Dx-pair } (\lambda a. (\text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda a. (F \ a) \cdot j) \ i$ 
     $a)))$ 
     $\text{line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \ \text{gamma4}$ 
     $\text{line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \ \text{gamma3}$ 
     $\text{line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \ \text{gamma2}$ 
     $\text{line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \ \text{gamma1}$ 
<proof>

end

locale green-typeII-cube = R2 +
  fixes twoC F
  assumes
    two-cube: typeII-twoCube twoC and
    valid-two-cube: valid-two-cube twoC and
    f-analytically-valid: analytically-valid (cubeImage twoC) (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i
begin

lemma GreenThm-typeII-twoCube:
  shows integral (cubeImage twoC) (\lambda a. partial-vector-derivative (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i a) = one-chain-line-integral F {j} (boundary twoC)
   $\forall (k,\gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \ \gamma$ 
<proof>

lemma line-integral-exists-on-typeII-Cube-boundaries':
  assumes  $(k,\gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}$ 
  shows line-integral-exists F {j} \gamma
<proof>

end

locale green-typeII-chain = R2 +
  fixes F two-chain s
  assumes valid-typeII-div: valid-typeII-division s two-chain and
    F-anal-valid:  $\forall \text{twoC} \in \text{two-chain}. \text{analytically-valid (cubeImage twoC) } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) \ i$ 
begin

lemma two-chain-valid-valid-cubes:  $\forall \text{two-cube} \in \text{two-chain}. \text{valid-two-cube two-cube}$ 
<proof>

lemma typeII-chain-line-integral-exists-boundary':

```

shows $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *typeII-chain-line-integral-exists-boundary''*:
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *typeII-cube-line-integral-exists-boundary*:
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-boundary two-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *type-II-chain-horiz-bound-valid*:
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *type-II-chain-vert-bound-valid*:
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *members-of-only-horiz-div-line-integrable'*:
assumes *only-horizontal-division one-chain two-chain*
 $(k::\text{int}, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}$
 $(k::\text{int}, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}$
 finite two-chain
 $\forall \text{two-cube} \in \text{two-chain}. \text{valid-two-cube two-cube}$
shows *line-integral-exists F {j} γ*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GreenThm-typeII-twoChain*:
shows *two-chain-integral two-chain (partial-vector-derivative ($\lambda a. (F a) \cdot j$) i) = one-chain-line-integral F {j} (two-chain-boundary two-chain)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GreenThm-typeII-divisible*:
assumes
gen-division: gen-division s (cubeImage ` two-chain)
shows *integral s (partial-vector-derivative ($\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j$) i) = one-chain-line-integral F {j} (two-chain-boundary two-chain)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GreenThm-typeII-divisible-region-boundary-gen*:
assumes *only-horizontal-division: only-horizontal-division γ two-chain*
shows *integral s (partial-vector-derivative ($\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j$) i) = one-chain-line-integral F {j} γ*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GreenThm-typeII-divisible-region-boundary*:
assumes

```

two-cubes-trace-vertical-boundaries:
two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain  $\subseteq \gamma$  and
boundary-of-region-is-subset-of-partition-boundary:
 $\gamma \subseteq$  two-chain-boundary two-chain
shows integral s (partial-vector-derivative ( $\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j$ ) i) = one-chain-line-integral
F {j}  $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

locale green-typeI-cube = R2 +
fixes twoC F
assumes
two-cube: typeI-twoCube twoC and
valid-two-cube: valid-two-cube twoC and
f-analytically-valid: analytically-valid (cubeImage twoC) ( $\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i$ ) j
begin

lemma GreenThm-typeI-twoCube:
shows integral (cubeImage twoC) ( $\lambda a. -$  partial-vector-derivative ( $\lambda p. F p \cdot i$ ) j
a) = one-chain-line-integral F {i} (boundary twoC)
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in$  boundary twoC. line-integral-exists F {i}  $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma line-integral-exists-on-typeI-Cube-boundaries':
assumes (k,  $\gamma$ )  $\in$  boundary twoC
shows line-integral-exists F {i}  $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

locale green-typeI-chain = R2 +
fixes F two-chain s
assumes valid-typeI-div: valid-typeI-division s two-chain and
F-anal-valid:  $\forall$  twoC  $\in$  two-chain. analytically-valid (cubeImage twoC) ( $\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i$ ) j
begin

lemma two-chain-valid-valid-cubes:  $\forall$  two-cube  $\in$  two-chain. valid-two-cube two-cube
⟨proof⟩

lemma typeI-cube-line-integral-exists-boundary':
assumes  $\forall$  two-cube  $\in$  two-chain. typeI-twoCube two-cube
assumes  $\forall$  twoC  $\in$  two-chain. analytically-valid (cubeImage twoC) ( $\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i$ ) j
assumes  $\forall$  two-cube  $\in$  two-chain. valid-two-cube two-cube
shows  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in$  two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain. line-integral-exists F {i}
 $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *typeI-cube-line-integral-exists-boundary*:

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{i\} \gamma$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *typeI-cube-line-integral-exists-boundary*:

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-boundary two-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{i\} \gamma$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *type-I-chain-horiz-bound-valid*:

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *type-I-chain-vert-bound-valid*:

assumes $\forall \text{two-cube} \in \text{two-chain}. \text{typeI-twoCube two-cube}$

shows $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *members-of-only-vertical-div-line-integrable'*:

assumes *only-vertical-division one-chain two-chain*

$(k::\text{int}, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}$

$(k::\text{int}, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}$

finite two-chain

shows *line-integral-exists F {i} γ*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-two-chain*:

two-chain-integral two-chain $(\lambda a. - \text{partial-vector-derivative} (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j a)$

$= \text{one-chain-line-integral } F \{i\} \text{ (two-chain-boundary two-chain)}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-divisible*:

assumes *gen-division: gen-division s (cubeImage ' two-chain)*

shows *integral s (λx. - partial-vector-derivative (λa. F(a) · i) j x) = one-chain-line-integral F {i} (two-chain-boundary two-chain)*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-divisible-region-boundary*:

assumes

gen-division: gen-division s (cubeImage ' two-chain) and

two-cubes-trace-horizontal-boundaries:

two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain ⊆ γ and

boundary-of-region-is-subset-of-partition-boundary:

$\gamma \subseteq \text{two-chain-boundary two-chain}$

shows *integral s (λx. - partial-vector-derivative (λa. F(a) · i) j x) = one-chain-line-integral F {i} γ*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-divisible-region-boundary-gen*:

```

assumes valid-typeI-div: valid-typeI-division s two-chain and
          f-analytically-valid:  $\forall \text{twoC} \in \text{two-chain. analytically-valid } (\text{cubeImage twoC})$ 
( $\lambda a. F(a) \cdot i) j$  and
  only-vertical-division:
    only-vertical-division  $\gamma$  two-chain
shows integral s ( $\lambda x. -\text{partial-vector-derivative}(\lambda a. F(a) \cdot i) j x$ ) = one-chain-line-integral
F {i}  $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

locale green-typeI-typeII-chain = R2: R2 i j + T1: green-typeI-chain i j F two-chain-typeI
+ T2: green-typeII-chain i j F two-chain-typeII for i j F two-chain-typeI two-chain-typeII
begin

lemma GreenThm-typeI-typeII-divisible-region-boundary:
assumes
  gen-divisions: gen-division s (cubeImage ‘two-chain-typeI)
  gen-division s (cubeImage ‘two-chain-typeII) and
  typeI-two-cubes-trace-horizontal-boundaries:
    two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain-typeI  $\subseteq \gamma$  and
  typeII-two-cubes-trace-vertical-boundaries:
    two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain-typeII  $\subseteq \gamma$  and
  boundary-of-region-is-subset-of-partition-boundaries:
     $\gamma \subseteq$  two-chain-boundary two-chain-typeI
     $\gamma \subseteq$  two-chain-boundary two-chain-typeII
shows integral s ( $\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative}(\lambda a. F a \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative}$ 
( $\lambda a. F a \cdot i) j x$ )
  = one-chain-line-integral F {i, j}  $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma GreenThm-typeI-typeII-divisible-region':
assumes
  only-vertical-division:
  only-vertical-division one-chain-typeI two-chain-typeI
  boundary-chain one-chain-typeI and
  only-horizontal-division:
  only-horizontal-division one-chain-typeII two-chain-typeII
  boundary-chain one-chain-typeII and
  typeI-and-typII-one-chains-have-gen-common-subdiv:
    common-sudiv-exists one-chain-typeI one-chain-typeII
shows integral s ( $\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative}(\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative}$ 
( $\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j x$ ) = one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeI
  integral s ( $\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative}(\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative}$ 
( $\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j x$ ) = one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeII
⟨proof⟩

lemma GreenThm-typeI-typeII-divisible-region:
assumes only-vertical-division:

```

```

only-vertical-division one-chain-typeI two-chain-typeI
boundary-chain one-chain-typeI and
only-horizontal-division:
only-horizontal-division one-chain-typeII two-chain-typeII
boundary-chain one-chain-typeII and
typeI-and-typII-one-chains-have-common-subdiv:
common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain-typeI one-chain-typeII
shows integral s ( $\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative} (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative}$ 
 $(\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j x$ ) = one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeI
integral s ( $\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative} (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative}$ 
 $(\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j x$ ) = one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeII
⟨proof⟩

lemma GreenThm-typeI-typeII-divisible-region-finite-holes:
assumes valid-cube-boundary:  $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary } C. \text{valid-path } \gamma$  and
only-vertical-division:
only-vertical-division (boundary C) two-chain-typeI and
only-horizontal-division:
only-horizontal-division (boundary C) two-chain-typeII and
s-is-oneCube: s = cubeImage C
shows integral (cubeImage C) ( $\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative} (\lambda x. F x \cdot j) i x -$ 
 $\text{partial-vector-derivative} (\lambda x. F x \cdot i) j x$ ) =
one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} (boundary C)
⟨proof⟩

lemma GreenThm-typeI-typeII-divisible-region-equivallent-boundary:
assumes
gen-divisions: gen-division s (cubeImage ` two-chain-typeI)
gen-division s (cubeImage ` two-chain-typeII) and
typeI-two-cubes-trace-horizontal-boundaries:
two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain-typeI  $\subseteq$  one-chain-typeI and
typeII-two-cubes-trace-vertical-boundaries:
two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain-typeII  $\subseteq$  one-chain-typeII and
boundary-of-region-is-subset-of-partition-boundaries:
one-chain-typeI  $\subseteq$  two-chain-boundary two-chain-typeII and
one-chain-typeII  $\subseteq$  two-chain-boundary two-chain-typeII and
typeI-and-typII-one-chains-have-common-subdiv:
common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain-typeI one-chain-typeII
shows integral s ( $\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative} (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative}$ 
 $(\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j x$ ) = one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeI
integral s ( $\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative} (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative}$ 
 $(\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j x$ ) = one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeII
⟨proof⟩

end
end
theory SymmetricR2Shapes
imports Green
begin

```

```

context R2
begin

lemma valid-path-valid-swap:
  assumes valid-path ( $\lambda x::real. ((f x)::real, (g x)::real))$ 
  shows valid-path (prod.swap o ( $\lambda x. (f x, g x))$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pair-fun-components:  $C = (\lambda x. (C x \cdot i, C x \cdot j))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma swap-pair-fun:  $(\lambda y. prod.swap (C (y, 0))) = (\lambda x. (C (x, 0) \cdot j, C (x, 0) \cdot i))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma swap-pair-fun':  $(\lambda y. prod.swap (C (y, 1))) = (\lambda x. (C (x, 1) \cdot j, C (x, 1) \cdot i))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma swap-pair-fun'':  $(\lambda y. prod.swap (C (0, y))) = (\lambda x. (C (0,x) \cdot j, C (0,x) \cdot i))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma swap-pair-fun'''':  $(\lambda y. prod.swap (C (1, y))) = (\lambda x. (C (1,x) \cdot j, C (1,x) \cdot i))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma swap-valid-boundaries:
  assumes  $\forall (k,\gamma) \in \text{boundary } C. \text{ valid-path } \gamma$ 
  assumes  $(k,\gamma) \in \text{boundary } (\text{prod.swap} \circ C \circ \text{prod.swap})$ 
  shows valid-path  $\gamma$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma prod-comp-eq:
  assumes  $f = \text{prod.swap} \circ g$ 
  shows  $\text{prod.swap} \circ f = g$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma swap-typeI-is-typeII:
  assumes typeI-twoCube  $C$ 
  shows typeII-twoCube (prod.swap o  $C \circ \text{prod.swap}$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma valid-cube-valid-swap:
  assumes valid-two-cube  $C$ 
  shows valid-two-cube (prod.swap o  $C \circ \text{prod.swap}$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma twoChainVertDiv-of-itself:
  assumes finite C
     $\forall (k, \gamma) \in (\text{two-chain-boundary } C). \text{ valid-path } \gamma$ 
    shows only-vertical-division (two-chain-boundary C) C
  ⟨proof⟩

end

definition x-coord where x-coord ≡ ( $\lambda t : \text{real}. t - 1/2$ )

lemma x-coord-smooth: x-coord C1-differentiable-on {a..b}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma x-coord-bounds:
  assumes (0 : real) ≤ x x ≤ 1
  shows  $-1/2 \leq \text{x-coord } x \wedge \text{x-coord } x \leq 1/2$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma x-coord-img: x-coord ‘{(0 : real)..1} = {-1/2 .. 1/2}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma x-coord-back-img: finite ({0..1} ∩ x-coord –‘ {x : real})
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation rot-x t1 t2 ≡ (if  $(t1 - 1/2) \leq 0$  then  $(2 * t2 - 1) * t1 + 1/2$ 
  :real else  $2 * t2 - 2 * t1 * t2 + t1 - 1/2$  :real)

lemma rot-x-ivl:
  assumes 0 ≤ x
    x ≤ 1
    0 ≤ y
    y ≤ 1
  shows 0 ≤ rot-x x y ∧ rot-x x y ≤ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

2 The Circle Example

```

theory CircExample
  imports Green SymmetricR2Shapes

begin

locale circle = R2 +
  fixes d : real
  assumes d-gt-0: 0 < d

```

```

begin

definition circle-y where
  circle-y t = sqrt (1/4 - t * t)

definition circle-cube where
  circle-cube = (λ(x,y). ((x - 1/2) * d, (2 * y - 1) * d * sqrt (1/4 - (x - 1/2)*(x - 1/2)))))

lemma circle-cube-nice:
  shows circle-cube = (λ(x,y). (d * x-coord x, (2 * y - 1) * d * circle-y (x-coord x)))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition rot-circle-cube where
  rot-circle-cube = prod.swap ∘ (circle-cube) ∘ prod.swap

abbreviation rot-y t1 t2 ≡ ((t1 - 1/2)/(2 * circle-y (x-coord (rot-x t1 t2))) + 1/2)::real

definition x-coord-inv (x::real) = (1/2) + x

lemma x-coord-inv-1: x-coord-inv (x-coord (x::real)) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma x-coord-inv-2: x-coord (x-coord-inv (x::real)) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

definition circle-y-inv = circle-y

abbreviation rot-x'' (x::real) (y::real) ≡ (x-coord-inv ((2 * y - 1) * circle-y (x-coord x)))

lemma circle-y-bounds:
  assumes -1/2 ≤ (x::real) ∧ x ≤ 1/2
  shows 0 ≤ circle-y x ∧ circle-y x ≤ 1/2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma circle-y-x-coord-bounds:
  assumes 0 ≤ (x::real) x ≤ 1
  shows 0 ≤ circle-y (x-coord x) ∧ circle-y (x-coord x) ≤ 1/2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-x-ivl:
  assumes (0::real) ≤ x x ≤ 1 0 ≤ y y ≤ 1
  shows 0 ≤ rot-x'' x y ∧ rot-x'' x y ≤ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation rot-y'' (x::real) (y::real) ≡ (x-coord x)/(2 * (circle-y (x-coord (rot-x'' x y)))) + 1/2

```

```

lemma rot-y-ivl:
  assumes (0::real) ≤ x x ≤ 1 0 ≤ y y ≤ 1
  shows 0 ≤ rot-y'' x y ∧ rot-y'' x y ≤ 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma circle-eq-rot-circle:
  assumes 0 ≤ x x ≤ 1 0 ≤ y y ≤ 1
  shows (circle-cube (x, y)) = (rot-circle-cube (rot-y'' x y, rot-x'' x y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-eq-circle:
  assumes 0 ≤ x x ≤ 1 0 ≤ y y ≤ 1
  shows (rot-circle-cube (x, y)) = (circle-cube (rot-x'' y x, rot-y'' y x))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-img-eq:
  assumes 0 < d
  shows (cubeImage (circle-cube )) = (cubeImage (rot-circle-cube))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-div-circle:
  assumes 0 < (d::real)
  shows gen-division (cubeImage circle-cube) (cubeImage ` {rot-circle-cube})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma circle-cube-boundary-valid:
  assumes (k,γ) ∈ boundary circle-cube
  shows valid-path γ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-cube-boundary-valid:
  assumes (k,γ) ∈ boundary rot-circle-cube
  shows valid-path γ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diff-divide-cancel:
  fixes z::real shows z ≠ 0 ⇒ (a * z - a * (b * z)) / z = (a - a * b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma circle-cube-is-type-I:
  assumes 0 < d
  shows typeI-twoCube circle-cube
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-cube-is-type-II:
  shows typeII-twoCube rot-circle-cube
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition circle-bot-edge where
  circle-bot-edge = (1::int, λt. (x-coord t * d, - d * circle-y (x-coord t)))

definition circle-top-edge where
  circle-top-edge = (- 1::int, λt. (x-coord t * d, d * circle-y (x-coord t)))

definition circle-right-edge where
  circle-right-edge = (1::int, λy. (d/2, 0))

definition circle-left-edge where
  circle-left-edge = (- 1::int, λy. (- (d/2), 0))

lemma circle-cube-boundary-explicit:
  boundary circle-cube = {circle-left-edge,circle-right-edge,circle-bot-edge,circle-top-edge}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition rot-circle-right-edge where
  rot-circle-right-edge = (1::int, λt. (d * circle-y (x-coord t), x-coord t * d))

definition rot-circle-left-edge where
  rot-circle-left-edge = (- 1::int, λt. (- d * circle-y (x-coord t), x-coord t * d))

definition rot-circle-top-edge where
  rot-circle-top-edge = (- 1::int, λy. (0, d/2))

definition rot-circle-bot-edge where
  rot-circle-bot-edge = (1::int, λy. (0, - (d/2)))

lemma rot-circle-cube-boundary-explicit:
  boundary (rot-circle-cube) =
    {rot-circle-top-edge,rot-circle-bot-edge,rot-circle-right-edge,rot-circle-left-edge}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-cube-vertical-boundary-explicit:
  vertical-boundary rot-circle-cube = {rot-circle-right-edge,rot-circle-left-edge}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma circ-left-edge-neq-top:
  (- 1::int, λy::real. (- (d/2), 0)) ≠ (- 1, λx. ((x - 1/2) * d, d * sqrt (1/4 -
  (x - 1/2) * (x - 1/2))))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma circle-cube-valid-two-cube: valid-two-cube (circle-cube)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-cube-valid-two-cube:
  shows valid-two-cube rot-circle-cube
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition circle-arc-0 where circle-arc-0 = (1, λt::real. (0,0))

lemma circle-top-bot-edges-neq' [simp]:
  shows circle-top-edge ≠ circle-bot-edge
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-top-left-edges-neq [simp]: rot-circle-top-edge ≠ rot-circle-left-edge
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-bot-left-edges-neq [simp]: rot-circle-bot-edge ≠ rot-circle-left-edge
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-top-right-edges-neq [simp]: rot-circle-top-edge ≠ rot-circle-right-edge
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-bot-right-edges-neq [simp]: rot-circle-bot-edge ≠ rot-circle-right-edge
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-right-top-edges-neq' [simp]: rot-circle-right-edge ≠ rot-circle-left-edge
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-circle-left-bot-edges-neq [simp]: rot-circle-left-edge ≠ rot-circle-top-edge
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma circle-right-top-edges-neq [simp]: circle-right-edge ≠ circle-top-edge
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma circle-left-bot-edges-neq [simp]: circle-left-edge ≠ circle-bot-edge
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma circle-left-top-edges-neq [simp]: circle-left-edge ≠ circle-top-edge
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma circle-right-bot-edges-neq [simp]: circle-right-edge ≠ circle-bot-edge
  ⟨proof⟩

definition circle-polar where
  circle-polar t = ((d/2) * cos (2 * pi * t), (d/2) * sin (2 * pi * t))

lemma circle-polar-smooth: (circle-polar) C1-differentiable-on {0..1}
  ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation custom-arccos ≡ (λx. (if -1 ≤ x ∧ x ≤ 1 then arccos x else (if x < -1 then -x + pi else 1 - x)))

lemma cont-custom-arccos:
  assumes S ⊆ {-1..1}
  shows continuous-on S custom-arccos
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma custom-arccos-has-deriv:
  assumes  $-1 < x \leq 1$ 
  shows DERIV custom-arccos x :> inverse ( $-\sqrt{1 - x^2}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

declare
  custom-arccos-has-deriv[THEN DERIV-chain2, derivative-intros]
  custom-arccos-has-deriv[THEN DERIV-chain2, unfolded has-field-derivative-def,
  derivative-intros]

lemma circle-boundary-reparams:
  shows rot-circ-left-edge-reparam-polar-circ-split:
    reparam (rec-join [(rot-circle-left-edge)]) (rec-join [(subcube (1/4) (1/2) (1,
    circle-polar)), (subcube (1/2) (3/4) (1, circle-polar))])
    (is ?P1)
    and circ-top-edge-reparam-polar-circ-split:
    reparam (rec-join [(circle-top-edge)]) (rec-join [(subcube 0 (1/4) (1, circle-polar)),
    (subcube (1/4) (1/2) (1, circle-polar))])
    (is ?P2)
    and circ-bot-edge-reparam-polar-circ-split:
    reparam (rec-join [(circle-bot-edge)]) (rec-join [(subcube (1/2) (3/4) (1, circle-polar)),
    (subcube (3/4) 1 (1, circle-polar))])
    (is ?P3)
    and rot-circ-right-edge-reparam-polar-circ-split:
    reparam (rec-join [(rot-circle-right-edge)]) (rec-join [(subcube (3/4) 1 (1, circle-polar)),
    (subcube 0 (1/4) (1, circle-polar))])
    (is ?P4)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition circle-cube-boundary-to-polarcircle where
  circle-cube-boundary-to-polarcircle γ ≡
    if (γ = (circle-top-edge)) then
      {subcube 0 (1/4) (1, circle-polar), subcube (1/4) (1/2) (1, circle-polar)}
    else if (γ = (circle-bot-edge)) then
      {subcube (1/2) (3/4) (1, circle-polar), subcube (3/4) 1 (1, circle-polar)}
    else {}

```

```

definition rot-circle-cube-boundary-to-polarcircle where
  rot-circle-cube-boundary-to-polarcircle γ ≡
    if (γ = (rot-circle-left-edge)) then
      {subcube (1/4) (1/2) (1, circle-polar), subcube (1/2) (3/4) (1, circle-polar)}
    else if (γ = (rot-circle-right-edge)) then
      {subcube (3/4) 1 (1, circle-polar), subcube 0 (1/4) (1, circle-polar)}
    else {}

```

lemma circle-arcs-neq:

```

assumes  $0 \leq k \leq 1$   $0 \leq n \leq 1$   $n < k$   $k + n < 1$ 
shows  $\text{subcube } k m (1, \text{circle-polar}) \neq \text{subcube } n q (1, \text{circle-polar})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma circle-arcs-neq-2:
assumes  $0 \leq k \leq 1$   $0 \leq n \leq 1$   $n < k$   $0 < n$  and  $kn12: 1/2 < k + n$  and
 $k + n < 3/2$ 
shows  $\text{subcube } k m (1, \text{circle-polar}) \neq \text{subcube } n q (1, \text{circle-polar})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma circle-cube-is-only-horizontal-div-of-rot:
shows only-horizontal-division (boundary (circle-cube)) {rot-circle-cube}
⟨proof⟩

lemma GreenThm-circlce:
assumes  $\forall \text{twoC} \in \{\text{circle-cube}\}$ . analytically-valid (cubeImage twoC)  $(\lambda x. F x \cdot i) j$ 
 $\forall \text{twoC} \in \{\text{rot-circle-cube}\}$ . analytically-valid (cubeImage twoC)  $(\lambda x. F x \cdot j) i$ 
shows integral (cubeImage (circle-cube))  $(\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative} (\lambda x. F x \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative} (\lambda x. F x \cdot i) j x) =$ 
one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} (boundary (circle-cube))
⟨proof⟩
end
end

```

3 The Diamond Example

```

theory DiamExample
imports Green SymmetricR2Shapes
begin

lemma abs-if':
fixes a :: 'a :: {abs-if, ordered-ab-group-add}
shows  $|a| = (\text{if } a \leq 0 \text{ then } -a \text{ else } a)$ 
⟨proof⟩

locale diamond = R2 +
fixes d::real
assumes d-gt-0:  $0 < d$ 
begin

definition diamond-y-gen :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real where
diamond-y-gen  $\equiv \lambda t. 1/2 - |t|$ 

definition diamond-cube-gen:: ((real * real)  $\Rightarrow$  (real * real)) where
diamond-cube-gen  $\equiv (\lambda(x,y). (d * x\text{-coord } x, (2 * y - 1) * (d * \text{diamond-y-gen}(x\text{-coord } x))))$ 

lemma diamond-y-gen-valid:

```

```

assumes  $a \leq 0 \leq b$ 
shows diamond-y-gen piecewise-C1-differentiable-on {a..b}
⟨proof⟩

lemma diamond-cube-gen-boundary-valid:
assumes  $(k,\gamma) \in \text{boundary}(\text{diamond-cube-gen})$ 
shows valid-path  $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition diamond-x where
diamond-x ≡  $\lambda t. (t - 1/2) * d$ 

definition diamond-y where
diamond-y ≡  $\lambda t. d/2 - |t|$ 

definition diamond-cube where
diamond-cube =  $(\lambda(x,y). (\text{diamond}-x\ x, (2 * y - 1) * (\text{diamond}-y\ (\text{diamond}-x\ x))))$ 

definition rot-diamond-cube where
rot-diamond-cube = prod.swap o (diamond-cube) o prod.swap

lemma diamond-eq-characterisations:
shows diamond-cube (x,y) = diamond-cube-gen (x,y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma diamond-eq-characterisations-fun: diamond-cube = diamond-cube-gen
⟨proof⟩

lemma diamond-y-valid:
shows diamond-y piecewise-C1-differentiable-on {-d/2..d/2} (is ?P)
 $(\lambda x. \text{diamond}-y\ x)$  piecewise-C1-differentiable-on {-d/2..d/2} (is ?Q)
⟨proof⟩

lemma diamond-cube-boundary-valid:
assumes  $(k,\gamma) \in \text{boundary}(\text{diamond-cube})$ 
shows valid-path  $\gamma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma diamond-cube-is-type-I:
shows typeI-twoCube (diamond-cube)
⟨proof⟩

lemma diamond-cube-valid-two-cube:
shows valid-two-cube (diamond-cube)
⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-diamond-cube-boundary-valid:
assumes  $(k,\gamma) \in \text{boundary}(\text{rot-diamond-cube})$ 

```

shows *valid-path* γ
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rot-diamond-cube-is-type-II*:
shows *typeII-twoCube* (*rot-diamond-cube*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rot-diamond-cube-valid-two-cube*: *valid-two-cube* (*rot-diamond-cube*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *diamond-top-edges* **where**
diamond-top-edges = $(- 1::int, \lambda x. (diamond-x x, diamond-y (diamond-x x)))$

definition *diamond-bot-edges* **where**
diamond-bot-edges = $(1::int, \lambda x. (diamond-x x, - diamond-y (diamond-x x)))$

lemma *diamond-cube-boundary-explicit*:
boundary (*diamond-cube*) =
 $\{ diamond-top-edges,$
 $diamond-bot-edges,$
 $(- 1::int, \lambda y. (diamond-x 0, (2 * y - 1) * diamond-y (diamond-x 0))),$
 $(1::int, \lambda y. (diamond-x 1, (2 * y - 1) * diamond-y (diamond-x 1))))\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *diamond-top-left-edge* **where**
diamond-top-left-edge = $(- 1::int, (\lambda x. (diamond-x (1/2 * x), (diamond-x (1/2 * x)) + d/2)))$

definition *diamond-top-right-edge* **where**
diamond-top-right-edge = $(- 1::int, (\lambda x. (diamond-x (1/2 * x + 1/2), (-(diamond-x (1/2 * x + 1/2)) + d/2))))$

definition *diamond-bot-left-edge* **where**
diamond-bot-left-edge = $(1::int, (\lambda x. (diamond-x (1/2 * x), -(diamond-x (1/2 * x) + d/2))))$

definition *diamond-bot-right-edge* **where**
diamond-bot-right-edge = $(1::int, (\lambda x. (diamond-x (1/2 * x + 1/2), -(-(diamond-x (1/2 * x + 1/2)) + d/2))))$

lemma *diamond-edges-are-valid*:
valid-path (*snd* (*diamond-top-left-edge*))
valid-path (*snd* (*diamond-top-right-edge*))
valid-path (*snd* (*diamond-bot-left-edge*))
valid-path (*snd* (*diamond-bot-right-edge*))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *diamond-cube-boundary-to-subdiv* **where**
diamond-cube-boundary-to-subdiv (*gamma*::(*int* × (*real* ⇒ *real* × *real*))) ≡

```

if (gamma = diamond-top-edges) then
  {diamond-top-left-edge, diamond-top-right-edge}
else if (gamma = diamond-bot-edges) then
  {diamond-bot-left-edge, diamond-bot-right-edge}
else {}

lemma rot-diam-edge-1:
  ( $1::int, \lambda x::real. ((x::real) * (2 * diamond-y (diamond-x 0)) - 1 * diamond-y (diamond-x 0), diamond-x 0)) =$ 
  ( $1, \lambda x. (x * (2 * diamond-y (diamond-x 0)) - (diamond-y (diamond-x 0)), diamond-x 0))$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

definition diamond-left-edges where
  diamond-left-edges = ( $-1, \lambda y. (-diamond-y (diamond-x y), diamond-x y))$ 

definition diamond-right-edges where
  diamond-right-edges = ( $1, \lambda y. (diamond-y (diamond-x y), diamond-x y))$ 

lemma rot-diamond-cube-boundary-explicit:
  boundary (rot-diamond-cube) = { $((2 * x - 1) * diamond-y (diamond-x 0), diamond-x 0)),$ 
   $(-1, \lambda x. ((2 * x - 1) * diamond-y (diamond-x 1), diamond-x 1)),$ 
  diamond-left-edges, diamond-right-edges}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rot-diamond-cube-vertical-boundary-explicit:
  vertical-boundary (rot-diamond-cube) = {diamond-left-edges, diamond-right-edges}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition rot-diamond-cube-boundary-to-subdiv where
  rot-diamond-cube-boundary-to-subdiv (gamma::( $int \times (real \Rightarrow real \times real)$ )) ≡
  if (gamma = diamond-left-edges) then {diamond-bot-left-edge, diamond-top-left-edge}
  else if (gamma = diamond-right-edges) then {diamond-bot-right-edge, diamond-top-right-edge}
  else {}

definition diamond-boundaries-reparam-map where
  diamond-boundaries-reparam-map ≡ id

lemma diamond-boundaries-reparam-map-bij:
  bij (diamond-boundaries-reparam-map)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diamond-bot-edges-neq-diamond-top-edges:
  diamond-bot-edges ≠ diamond-top-edges
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma diamond-top-left-edge-neq-diamond-top-right-edge:
diamond-top-left-edge \neq *diamond-top-right-edge*
{proof}

lemma neqs1:
shows $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x\ x, \text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ x))) \neq (\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x\ x, -\text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ x)))$
and $(\lambda y. (-\text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ y), \text{diamond-}x\ y)) \neq (\lambda y. (\text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ y), \text{diamond-}x\ y))$
and $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2), \text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2) - d/2)) \neq (\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2), -\text{diamond-}x(x/2) - d/2))$
and $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2), d/2 - \text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2))) \neq (\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2), \text{diamond-}x(x/2) + d/2))$
and $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2), -\text{diamond-}x(x/2) - d/2)) \neq (\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2), \text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2) - d/2))$
and $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2), \text{diamond-}x(x/2) + d/2)) \neq (\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2), d/2 - \text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2)))$
{proof}

lemma neqs2:
shows $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x\ x, \text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ x))) \neq (\lambda x. ((2 * x - 1) * \text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ 1), \text{diamond-}x\ 1))$
and $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x\ x, -\text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ x))) \neq (\lambda x. ((2 * x - 1) * \text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ 0), \text{diamond-}x\ 0))$
{proof}

lemma diamond-cube-is-only-horizontal-div-of-rot:
shows only-horizontal-division (boundary (diamond-cube)) {rot-diamond-cube}
{proof}

abbreviation rot-y t1 t2 $\equiv (t1 - 1/2) / (2 * \text{diamond-}y\text{-gen}\ (\text{x-coord}\ (\text{rot-}x\ t1\ t2))) + 1/2$

lemma rot-y-ivl:
assumes $0 :: \text{real} \leq x \leq 1 \ 0 \leq y \leq 1$
shows $0 \leq \text{rot-}y\ x\ y \wedge \text{rot-}y\ x\ y \leq 1$
{proof}

lemma diamond-gen-eq-rot-diamond:
assumes $0 \leq x \leq 1 \ 0 \leq y \leq 1$
shows $(\text{diamond-cube-gen}\ (x, y)) = (\text{rot-diamond-cube}\ (\text{rot-}y\ x\ y, \text{rot-}x\ x\ y))$
{proof}

lemma rot-diamond-eq-diamond-gen:
assumes $0 \leq x \leq 1 \ 0 \leq y \leq 1$
shows $\text{rot-diamond-cube}\ (x, y) = \text{diamond-cube-gen}\ (\text{rot-}x\ y\ x, \text{rot-}y\ y\ x)$
{proof}

lemma rot-img-eq: $\text{cubeImage}\ (\text{diamond-cube-gen}) = \text{cubeImage}\ (\text{rot-diamond-cube})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma rot-diamond-gen-div-diamond-gen:  
  shows gen-division (cubeImage (diamond-cube-gen)) (cubeImage ` {rot-diamond-cube})  
  ⟨proof⟩  
  
lemma rot-diamond-gen-div-diamond:  
  shows gen-division (cubeImage (diamond-cube)) (cubeImage ` {rot-diamond-cube})  
  ⟨proof⟩  
  
lemma GreenThm-diamond:  
  assumes analytically-valid (cubeImage (diamond-cube)) ( $\lambda x. F x \cdot i$ ) j  
        analytically-valid (cubeImage (diamond-cube)) ( $\lambda x. F x \cdot j$ ) i  
  shows integral (cubeImage (diamond-cube)) ( $\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative} (\lambda x. F$   
x  $\cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative} (\lambda x. F x \cdot i) j x$ ) =  
        one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} (boundary (diamond-cube))  
  ⟨proof⟩  
end  
end
```