

# Graph Theory

By Lars Noschinski

February 23, 2021

## Abstract

This development provides a formalization of directed graphs, supporting (labelled) multi-edges and infinite graphs. A polymorphic edge type allows edges to be treated as pairs of vertices, if multi-edges are not required. Formalized properties are i.a. walks (and related concepts), connectedness and subgraphs and basic properties of isomorphisms.

This formalization is used to prove characterizations of Euler Trails, Shortest Paths and Kuratowski subgraphs.

Definitions and nomenclature are based on [1].

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Reflexive-Transitive Closure on a Domain</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Additional theorems for base libraries</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	List . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>NOMATCH simproc</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Digraphs</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1	Reachability . . . . .	9
4.2	Degrees of vertices . . . . .	12
4.3	Graph operations . . . . .	13
<b>5</b>	<b>Bidirected Graphs</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Arc Walks</b>	<b>18</b>
6.1	Basic Lemmas . . . . .	19
6.2	Appending awalks . . . . .	22
6.3	Cycles . . . . .	25
6.4	Reachability . . . . .	26
6.5	Paths . . . . .	27

<b>7</b>	<b>Digraphs without Parallel Arcs</b>	<b>29</b>
7.1	Path reversal for Pair Digraphs . . . . .	33
7.2	Subdividing Edges . . . . .	33
7.3	Bidirected Graphs . . . . .	36
<b>8</b>	<b>Components of (Symmetric) Digraphs</b>	<b>37</b>
8.1	Compatible Graphs . . . . .	38
8.2	Basic lemmas . . . . .	39
8.3	The underlying symmetric graph of a digraph . . . . .	41
8.4	Subgraphs and Induced Subgraphs . . . . .	42
8.5	Induced subgraphs . . . . .	43
8.6	Unions of Graphs . . . . .	46
8.7	Maximal Subgraphs . . . . .	46
8.8	Connected and Strongly Connected Graphs . . . . .	47
8.9	Components . . . . .	51
<b>9</b>	<b>Walks Based on Vertices</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Lemmas for Vertex Walks</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Isomorphisms of Digraphs</b>	<b>61</b>
11.1	Graph Invariants . . . . .	68
<b>12</b>	<b>Auxiliary Lemmas about <math>(\sim)</math></b>	<b>69</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>Function-power distance between values</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Cyclic Permutations</b>	<b>70</b>
14.1	Orbits . . . . .	73
14.2	Decomposition of Arbitrary Permutations . . . . .	74
14.3	Funpow + Orbit . . . . .	75
14.4	Permutation Domains . . . . .	76
<b>15</b>	<b>Segments</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>16</b>	<b>Lists of Powers</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>17</b>	<b>Subdivision on Digraphs</b>	<b>79</b>
17.1	Subdivision on Pair Digraphs . . . . .	82
<b>18</b>	<b>Euler Trails in Digraphs</b>	<b>84</b>
18.1	Trails and Euler Trails . . . . .	84
18.2	Arc Balance of Walks . . . . .	85
18.3	Closed Euler Trails . . . . .	86
18.4	Open euler trails . . . . .	87

<b>19 Kuratowski Subgraphs</b>	<b>89</b>
19.1 Public definitions . . . . .	89
19.2 Inner vertices of a walk . . . . .	90
19.3 Progressing Walks . . . . .	91
19.4 Walks with Restricted Vertices . . . . .	92
19.5 Properties of subdivisions . . . . .	92
19.6 Pair Graphs . . . . .	93
19.7 Slim graphs . . . . .	94
19.8 Contraction Preserves Kuratowski-Subgraph-Property . . . . .	97
19.9 Final proof . . . . .	98
<b>20 Weighted Graphs</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>21 Shortest Paths</b>	<b>100</b>

```

theory Rtrancl-On
imports Main
begin

```

## 1 Reflexive-Transitive Closure on a Domain

In this section we introduce a variant of the reflexive-transitive closure of a relation which is useful to formalize the reachability relation on digraphs.

**inductive-set**

```

rtrancl-on :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel
for F :: 'a set and r :: 'a rel

```

**where**

```

rtrancl-on-refl [intro!, Pure.intro!, simp]:  $a \in F \Longrightarrow (a, a) \in \text{rtrancl-on } F \ r$ 
| rtrancl-on-into-rtrancl-on [Pure.intro]:
   $(a, b) \in \text{rtrancl-on } F \ r \Longrightarrow (b, c) \in r \Longrightarrow c \in F$ 
   $\Longrightarrow (a, c) \in \text{rtrancl-on } F \ r$ 

```

**definition** *symcl* :: 'a rel  $\Rightarrow$  'a rel ((-<sup>s</sup>) [1000] 999) **where**

```

symcl R =  $R \cup (\lambda(a,b). (b,a)) \text{ ` } R$ 

```

**lemma** *in-rtrancl-on-in-F*:

```

assumes  $(a,b) \in \text{rtrancl-on } F \ r$  shows  $a \in F \ b \in F$ 
<proof>

```

**lemma** *rtrancl-on-induct*[*consumes 1*, *case-names base step*, *induct set: rtrancl-on*]:

```

assumes  $(a, b) \in \text{rtrancl-on } F \ r$ 
and  $a \in F \Longrightarrow P \ a$ 
   $\bigwedge y \ z. \llbracket (a, y) \in \text{rtrancl-on } F \ r; (y,z) \in r; y \in F; z \in F; P \ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \ z$ 
shows  $P \ b$ 
<proof>

```

**lemma** *rtrancl-on-trans*:

**assumes**  $(a,b) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r$   $(b,c) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r$  **shows**  $(a,c) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *converse-rtrancl-on-into-rtrancl-on*:

**assumes**  $(a,b) \in r$   $(b,c) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r$   $a \in F$   
**shows**  $(a,c) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rtrancl-on-converseI*:

**assumes**  $(y,x) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r$  **shows**  $(x,y) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F (r^{-1})$   
*<proof>*

**theorem** *rtrancl-on-converseD*:

**assumes**  $(y,x) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F (r^{-1})$  **shows**  $(x,y) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *converse-rtrancl-on-induct*[*consumes 1, case-names base step, induct set: rtrancl-on*]:

**assumes** *major*:  $(a,b) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r$   
**and cases**:  $b \in F \implies P b$   
 $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket (x,y) \in r; (y,b) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r; x \in F; y \in F; P y \rrbracket \implies P x$   
**shows**  $P a$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *converse-rtrancl-on-cases*:

**assumes**  $(a,b) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r$   
**obtains** (*base*)  $a = b$   $b \in F$   
| (*step*)  $c$  **where**  $(a,c) \in r$   $(c,b) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rtrancl-on-sym*:

**assumes** *sym*  $r$  **shows** *sym*  $(rtrancl\text{-on } F r)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rtrancl-on-mono*:

**assumes**  $s \subseteq r$   $F \subseteq G$   $(a,b) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F s$  **shows**  $(a,b) \in rtrancl\text{-on } G r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rtrancl-consistent-rtrancl-on*:

**assumes**  $(a,b) \in r^*$   
**and**  $a \in F$   $b \in F$   
**and consistent**:  $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in F; (a,b) \in r \rrbracket \implies b \in F$   
**shows**  $(a,b) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rtrancl-on-rtranclI*:

$(a,b) \in rtrancl\text{-on } F r \implies (a,b) \in r^*$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *rtrancl-on-sub-rtrancl*:

*rtrancl-on F r*  $\subseteq$   $\widehat{r^*}$

*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *Stuff*

**imports**

*Main*

*HOL-Library.Extended-Real*

**begin**

## 2 Additional theorems for base libraries

This section contains lemmas unrelated to graph theory which might be interesting for the Isabelle distribution

**lemma** *ereal-Inf-finite-Min*:

**fixes** *S* :: *ereal set*

**assumes** *finite S* **and**  $S \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $\text{Inf } S = \text{Min } S$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *finite-INF-in*:

**fixes** *f* :: '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  *ereal*

**assumes** *finite S*

**assumes**  $S \neq \{\}$

**shows**  $(\text{INF } s \in S. f s) \in f ` S$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-mem-less-INF*:

**fixes** *f* :: '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*b* :: *complete-lattice*

**assumes**  $f x < (\text{INF } s \in S. f s)$

**assumes**  $x \in S$

**shows** *False*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *sym-diff*:

**assumes** *sym A* *sym B* **shows** *sym (A - B)*

*<proof>*

## 2.1 List

**lemmas** *list-exhaust2* = *list.exhaust*[*case-product list.exhaust*]

**lemma** *list-exhaust-NSC*:

**obtains** (*Nil*)  $xs = [] \mid$  (*Single*)  $x$  **where**  $xs = [x] \mid$  (*Cons-Cons*)  $x\ y\ ys$  **where**  
 $xs = x \# y \# ys$   
{*proof*}

**lemma** *tl-rev*:

$tl\ (rev\ p) = rev\ (butlast\ p)$   
{*proof*}

**lemma** *butlast-rev*:

$butlast\ (rev\ p) = rev\ (tl\ p)$   
{*proof*}

**lemma** *take-drop-take*:

$take\ n\ xs\ @\ drop\ n\ (take\ m\ xs) = take\ (max\ n\ m)\ xs$   
{*proof*}

**lemma** *drop-take-drop*:

$drop\ n\ (take\ m\ xs)\ @\ drop\ m\ xs = drop\ (min\ n\ m)\ xs$   
{*proof*}

**lemma** *not-distinct-decomp-min-prefix*:

**assumes**  $\neg\ distinct\ ws$   
**shows**  $\exists\ xs\ ys\ zs\ y.\ ws = xs\ @\ y\ \# \ ys\ @\ y\ \# \ zs \wedge\ distinct\ xs \wedge\ y \notin\ set\ xs \wedge\ y \notin\ set\ ys$   
{*proof*}

**lemma** *not-distinct-decomp-min-not-distinct*:

**assumes**  $\neg\ distinct\ ws$   
**shows**  $\exists\ xs\ y\ ys\ zs.\ ws = xs\ @\ y\ \# \ ys\ @\ y\ \# \ zs \wedge\ distinct\ (ys\ @\ [y])$   
{*proof*}

**lemma** *card-Ex-subset*:

$k \leq card\ M \implies \exists\ N.\ N \subseteq M \wedge card\ N = k$   
{*proof*}

**lemma** *list-set-tl*:  $x \in set\ (tl\ xs) \implies x \in set\ xs$

{*proof*}

## 3 NOMATCH simproc

The simplification procedure can be used to avoid simplification of terms of a certain form

**definition** *NOMATCH* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$  **where** *NOMATCH* *val pat*  $\equiv True$

**lemma** *NOMATCH-cong*[*cong*]: *NOMATCH val pat = NOMATCH val pat* *<proof>*

*<ML>*

This setup ensures that a rewrite rule of the form *NOMATCH val pat*  $\implies t$  is only applied, if the pattern *pat* does not match the value *val*.

**end**

**theory** *Digraph*

**imports**

*Main*

*Rtrancl-On*

*Stuff*

**begin**

## 4 Digraphs

**record** (*'a, 'b*) *pre-digraph* =

*verts* :: *'a set*

*arcs* :: *'b set*

*tail* :: *'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a*

*head* :: *'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a*

**definition** *arc-to-ends* :: (*'a, 'b*) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  *'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\times$  'a* **where**  
*arc-to-ends G e*  $\equiv$  (*tail G e, head G e*)

**locale** *pre-digraph* =

**fixes** *G* :: (*'a, 'b*) *pre-digraph* (**structure**)

**locale** *wf-digraph* = *pre-digraph* +

**assumes** *tail-in-verts*[*simp*]: *e  $\in$  arcs G  $\implies$  tail G e  $\in$  verts G*

**assumes** *head-in-verts*[*simp*]: *e  $\in$  arcs G  $\implies$  head G e  $\in$  verts G*

**begin**

**lemma** *wf-digraph*: *wf-digraph G* *<proof>*

**lemmas** *wellformed* = *tail-in-verts head-in-verts*

**end**

**definition** *arcs-ends* :: (*'a, 'b*) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  (*'a  $\times$  'a*) *set* **where**  
*arcs-ends G*  $\equiv$  *arc-to-ends G ' arcs G*

**definition** *symmetric* :: (*'a, 'b*) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*symmetric G*  $\equiv$  *sym (arcs-ends G)*

Matches "pseudo digraphs" from [1], except for allowing the null graph. For a discussion of that topic, see also [2].

**locale** *fin-digraph* = *wf-digraph* +  
**assumes** *finite-verts[simp]*: *finite (verts G)*  
**and** *finite-arcs[simp]*: *finite (arcs G)*

**locale** *loopfree-digraph* = *wf-digraph* +  
**assumes** *no-loops*:  $e \in \text{arcs } G \implies \text{tail } G \ e \neq \text{head } G \ e$

**locale** *nomulti-digraph* = *wf-digraph* +  
**assumes** *no-multi-arcs*:  $\bigwedge e1 \ e2. \llbracket e1 \in \text{arcs } G; e2 \in \text{arcs } G; \text{arc-to-ends } G \ e1 = \text{arc-to-ends } G \ e2 \rrbracket \implies e1 = e2$

**locale** *sym-digraph* = *wf-digraph* +  
**assumes** *sym-arcs[intro]*: *symmetric G*

**locale** *digraph* = *fin-digraph* + *loopfree-digraph* + *nomulti-digraph*

We model graphs as symmetric digraphs. This is fine for many purposes, but not for all. For example, the path  $a, b, a$  is considered to be a cycle in a digraph (and hence in a symmetric digraph), but not in an undirected graph.

**locale** *pseudo-graph* = *fin-digraph* + *sym-digraph*

**locale** *graph* = *digraph* + *pseudo-graph*

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *fin-digraphI[intro]*:  
**assumes** *finite (verts G)*  
**assumes** *finite (arcs G)*  
**shows** *fin-digraph G*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *sym-digraphI[intro]*:  
**assumes** *symmetric G*  
**shows** *sym-digraph G*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *digraph*) *graphI[intro]*:  
**assumes** *symmetric G*  
**shows** *graph G*  
*<proof>*

**definition** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *arc* ::  $'b \Rightarrow 'a \times 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{arc } e \ uv \equiv e \in \text{arcs } G \wedge \text{tail } G \ e = \text{fst } uv \wedge \text{head } G \ e = \text{snd } uv$

**lemma** (**in** *fin-digraph*) *fin-digraph*: *fin-digraph G*  
*<proof>*



**lemma** (in *nomulti-digraph*) *nomulti-digraph*: *nomulti-digraph*  $G$   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-ends-conv*: *arcs-ends*  $G = (\lambda e. (\text{tail } G \ e, \text{head } G \ e)) \text{ ` arcs } G$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** *symmetric-conv*: *symmetric*  $G \longleftrightarrow (\forall e1 \in \text{arcs } G. \exists e2 \in \text{arcs } G. \text{tail } G \ e1 = \text{head } G \ e2 \wedge \text{head } G \ e1 = \text{tail } G \ e2)$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** *arcs-ends-symmetric*:  
**assumes** *symmetric*  $G$   
**shows**  $(u,v) \in \text{arcs-ends } G \implies (v,u) \in \text{arcs-ends } G$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *nomulti-digraph*) *inj-on-arc-to-ends*:  
*inj-on* (*arc-to-ends*  $G$ ) (*arcs*  $G$ )  
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

## 4.1 Reachability

**abbreviation** *dominates* ::  $('a,'b)$  *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  ( $- \rightarrow_1 - [100,100]$   
40) **where**  
*dominates*  $G \ u \ v \equiv (u,v) \in \text{arcs-ends } G$

**abbreviation** *reachable1* ::  $('a,'b)$  *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  ( $- \rightarrow^{+1} - [100,100]$   
40) **where**  
*reachable1*  $G \ u \ v \equiv (u,v) \in (\text{arcs-ends } G)^{\wedge+}$

**definition** *reachable* ::  $('a,'b)$  *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  ( $- \rightarrow^{*1} - [100,100]$   
40) **where**  
*reachable*  $G \ u \ v \equiv (u,v) \in \text{rtrancl-on } (\text{verts } G) (\text{arcs-ends } G)$

**lemma** *reachableE[elim]*:  
**assumes**  $u \rightarrow_G v$   
**obtains**  $e$  **where**  $e \in \text{arcs } G \ \text{tail } G \ e = u \ \text{head } G \ e = v$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *loopfree-digraph*) *adj-not-same*:  
**assumes**  $a \rightarrow a$  **shows** *False*  
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** *reachable-in-vertsE*:  
**assumes**  $u \rightarrow^* G \ v$  **obtains**  $u \in \text{verts } G \ v \in \text{verts } G$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** *symmetric-reachable*:  
**assumes** *symmetric*  $G \ v \rightarrow^* G \ w$  **shows**  $w \rightarrow^* G \ v$   
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

**lemma** *reachable-rtranclI*:

$u \rightarrow^*_G v \implies (u, v) \in (\text{arcs-ends } G)^*$   
*<proof>*

**context** *wf-digraph begin*

**lemma** *adj-in-verts*:

**assumes**  $u \rightarrow_G v$  **shows**  $u \in \text{verts } G \ v \in \text{verts } G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *dominatesI*: **assumes**  $\text{arc-to-ends } G \ a = (u, v) \ a \in \text{arcs } G$  **shows**  $u \rightarrow_G v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *reachable-refl* [*intro!*, *Pure.intro!*, *simp*]:  $v \in \text{verts } G \implies v \rightarrow^* v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *adj-reachable-trans*[*trans*]:

**assumes**  $a \rightarrow_G b \ b \rightarrow^*_G c$  **shows**  $a \rightarrow^*_G c$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *reachable-adj-trans*[*trans*]:

**assumes**  $a \rightarrow^*_G b \ b \rightarrow_G c$  **shows**  $a \rightarrow^*_G c$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *reachable-adjI* [*intro*, *simp*]:  $u \rightarrow v \implies u \rightarrow^* v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *reachable-trans*[*trans*]:

**assumes**  $u \rightarrow^* v \ v \rightarrow^* w$  **shows**  $u \rightarrow^* w$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *reachable-induct*[*consumes 1*, *case-names base step*]:

**assumes** *major*:  $u \rightarrow^*_G v$   
**and cases**:  $u \in \text{verts } G \implies P \ u$   
 $\bigwedge x \ y. \llbracket u \rightarrow^*_G x; x \rightarrow_G y; P \ x \rrbracket \implies P \ y$   
**shows**  $P \ v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *converse-reachable-induct*[*consumes 1*, *case-names base step*, *induct pred*:  
*reachable*]:

**assumes** *major*:  $u \rightarrow^*_G v$   
**and cases**:  $v \in \text{verts } G \implies P \ v$   
 $\bigwedge x \ y. \llbracket x \rightarrow_G y; y \rightarrow^*_G v; P \ y \rrbracket \implies P \ x$   
**shows**  $P \ u$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in pre-digraph*) *converse-reachable-cases*:

**assumes**  $u \rightarrow^*_G v$

**obtains** (*base*)  $u = v$   $u \in \text{verts } G$   
 | (*step*)  $w$  **where**  $u \rightarrow_G w$   $w \rightarrow^* G v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *reachable-in-verts*:  
**assumes**  $u \rightarrow^* v$  **shows**  $u \in \text{verts } G$   $v \in \text{verts } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *reachable1-in-verts*:  
**assumes**  $u \rightarrow^+ v$  **shows**  $u \in \text{verts } G$   $v \in \text{verts } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *reachable1-reachable[intro]*:  
 $v \rightarrow^+ w \implies v \rightarrow^* w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *reachable1-reachableE[elim]* = *reachable1-reachable[elim-format]*

**lemma** *reachable-neq-reachable1[intro]*:  
**assumes** *reach*:  $v \rightarrow^* w$   
**and** *neq*:  $v \neq w$   
**shows**  $v \rightarrow^+ w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *reachable-neq-reachable1E[elim]* = *reachable-neq-reachable1[elim-format]*

**lemma** *reachable1-reachable-trans [trans]*:  
 $u \rightarrow^+ v \implies v \rightarrow^* w \implies u \rightarrow^+ w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *reachable-reachable1-trans [trans]*:  
 $u \rightarrow^* v \implies v \rightarrow^+ w \implies u \rightarrow^+ w$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *reachable-conv*:  
 $u \rightarrow^* v \iff (u,v) \in (\text{arcs-ends } G) \hat{*} \cap (\text{verts } G \times \text{verts } G)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *reachable-conv'*:  
**assumes**  $u \in \text{verts } G$   
**shows**  $u \rightarrow^* v \iff (u,v) \in (\text{arcs-ends } G)^*$  (**is** ?L = ?R)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** (**in** *sym-digraph*) *symmetric-reachable'*:  
**assumes**  $v \rightarrow^* G w$  **shows**  $w \rightarrow^* G v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.2 Degrees of vertices

**definition** *in-arcs* :: ('a, 'b) pre-digraph  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b set **where**  
*in-arcs* G v  $\equiv$  {e  $\in$  arcs G. head G e = v}

**definition** *out-arcs* :: ('a, 'b) pre-digraph  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b set **where**  
*out-arcs* G v  $\equiv$  {e  $\in$  arcs G. tail G e = v}

**definition** *in-degree* :: ('a, 'b) pre-digraph  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**  
*in-degree* G v  $\equiv$  card (in-arcs G v)

**definition** *out-degree* :: ('a, 'b) pre-digraph  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat **where**  
*out-degree* G v  $\equiv$  card (out-arcs G v)

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *finite-in-arcs*[intro]:  
*finite* (in-arcs G v)  
 <proof>

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *finite-out-arcs*[intro]:  
*finite* (out-arcs G v)  
 <proof>

**lemma** *in-in-arcs-conv*[simp]:  
 $e \in \text{in-arcs } G \ v \longleftrightarrow e \in \text{arcs } G \wedge \text{head } G \ e = v$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *in-out-arcs-conv*[simp]:  
 $e \in \text{out-arcs } G \ v \longleftrightarrow e \in \text{arcs } G \wedge \text{tail } G \ e = v$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *inout-arcs-arc-simps*[simp]:  
**assumes** e  $\in$  arcs G  
**shows** tail G e = u  $\implies$  out-arcs G u  $\cap$  insert e E = insert e (out-arcs G u  $\cap$  E)  
 tail G e  $\neq$  u  $\implies$  out-arcs G u  $\cap$  insert e E = out-arcs G u  $\cap$  E  
 out-arcs G u  $\cap$  {} = {}  
 head G e = u  $\implies$  in-arcs G u  $\cap$  insert e E = insert e (in-arcs G u  $\cap$  E)  
 head G e  $\neq$  u  $\implies$  in-arcs G u  $\cap$  insert e E = in-arcs G u  $\cap$  E  
 in-arcs G u  $\cap$  {} = {}  
 <proof>

**lemma** *in-arcs-int-arcs*[simp]: in-arcs G u  $\cap$  arcs G = in-arcs G u **and**  
*out-arcs-int-arcs*[simp]: out-arcs G u  $\cap$  arcs G = out-arcs G u  
 <proof>

**lemma** *in-arcs-in-arcs*: x  $\in$  in-arcs G u  $\implies$  x  $\in$  arcs G  
**and** *out-arcs-in-arcs*: x  $\in$  out-arcs G u  $\implies$  x  $\in$  arcs G  
 <proof>

### 4.3 Graph operations

**context** *pre-digraph* **begin**

**definition** *add-arc* :: 'b ⇒ ('a,'b) *pre-digraph* **where**

*add-arc* a = (| *verts* = *verts* G ∪ {*tail* G a, *head* G a}, *arcs* = *insert* a (*arcs* G),  
*tail* = *tail* G, *head* = *head* G |)

**definition** *del-arc* :: 'b ⇒ ('a,'b) *pre-digraph* **where**

*del-arc* a = (| *verts* = *verts* G, *arcs* = *arcs* G - {a}, *tail* = *tail* G, *head* = *head* G |)

**definition** *add-vert* :: 'a ⇒ ('a,'b) *pre-digraph* **where**

*add-vert* v = (| *verts* = *insert* v (*verts* G), *arcs* = *arcs* G, *tail* = *tail* G, *head* = *head* G |)

**definition** *del-vert* :: 'a ⇒ ('a,'b) *pre-digraph* **where**

*del-vert* v = (| *verts* = *verts* G - {v}, *arcs* = {a ∈ *arcs* G. *tail* G a ≠ v ∧ *head* G a ≠ v}, *tail* = *tail* G, *head* = *head* G |)

**lemma**

*verts-add-arc*: [| *tail* G a ∈ *verts* G; *head* G a ∈ *verts* G |] ⇒ *verts* (*add-arc* a) = *verts* G **and**

*verts-add-arc-conv*: *verts* (*add-arc* a) = *verts* G ∪ {*tail* G a, *head* G a} **and**

*arcs-add-arc*: *arcs* (*add-arc* a) = *insert* a (*arcs* G) **and**

*tail-add-arc*: *tail* (*add-arc* a) = *tail* G **and**

*head-add-arc*: *head* (*add-arc* a) = *head* G

⟨*proof*⟩

**lemmas** *add-arc-simps*[*simp*] = *verts-add-arc arcs-add-arc tail-add-arc head-add-arc*

**lemma**

*verts-del-arc*: *verts* (*del-arc* a) = *verts* G **and**

*arcs-del-arc*: *arcs* (*del-arc* a) = *arcs* G - {a} **and**

*tail-del-arc*: *tail* (*del-arc* a) = *tail* G **and**

*head-del-arc*: *head* (*del-arc* a) = *head* G

⟨*proof*⟩

**lemmas** *del-arc-simps*[*simp*] = *verts-del-arc arcs-del-arc tail-del-arc head-del-arc*

**lemma**

*verts-add-vert*: *verts* (*pre-digraph.add-vert* G u) = *insert* u (*verts* G) **and**

*arcs-add-vert*: *arcs* (*pre-digraph.add-vert* G u) = *arcs* G **and**

*tail-add-vert*: *tail* (*pre-digraph.add-vert* G u) = *tail* G **and**

*head-add-vert*: *head* (*pre-digraph.add-vert* G u) = *head* G

⟨*proof*⟩

**lemmas** *add-vert-simps* = *verts-add-vert arcs-add-vert tail-add-vert head-add-vert*

**lemma**

**verts-del-vert:**  $\text{verts } (\text{pre-digraph.del-vert } G \ u) = \text{verts } G - \{u\}$  **and**  
**arcs-del-vert:**  $\text{arcs } (\text{pre-digraph.del-vert } G \ u) = \{a \in \text{arcs } G. \text{tail } G \ a \neq u \wedge \text{head } G \ a \neq u\}$  **and**  
**tail-del-vert:**  $\text{tail } (\text{pre-digraph.del-vert } G \ u) = \text{tail } G$  **and**  
**head-del-vert:**  $\text{head } (\text{pre-digraph.del-vert } G \ u) = \text{head } G$  **and**  
**ends-del-vert:**  $\text{arc-to-ends } (\text{pre-digraph.del-vert } G \ u) = \text{arc-to-ends } G$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemmas**  $\text{del-vert-simps} = \text{verts-del-vert arcs-del-vert tail-del-vert head-del-vert}$

**lemma**  $\text{add-add-arc-collapse[simp]}: \text{pre-digraph.add-arc } (\text{add-arc } a) \ a = \text{add-arc } a$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\text{add-del-arc-collapse[simp]}: \text{pre-digraph.add-arc } (\text{del-arc } a) \ a = \text{add-arc } a$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\text{del-add-arc-collapse[simp]}:$   
 $\llbracket \text{tail } G \ a \in \text{verts } G; \text{head } G \ a \in \text{verts } G \rrbracket \implies \text{pre-digraph.del-arc } (\text{add-arc } a) \ a$   
 $= \text{del-arc } a$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\text{del-del-arc-collapse[simp]}: \text{pre-digraph.del-arc } (\text{del-arc } a) \ a = \text{del-arc } a$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\text{add-arc-commute}: \text{pre-digraph.add-arc } (\text{add-arc } b) \ a = \text{pre-digraph.add-arc } (\text{add-arc } a) \ b$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\text{del-arc-commute}: \text{pre-digraph.del-arc } (\text{del-arc } b) \ a = \text{pre-digraph.del-arc } (\text{del-arc } a) \ b$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\text{del-arc-in}: a \notin \text{arcs } G \implies \text{del-arc } a = G$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\text{in-arcs-add-arc-iff}:$   
 $\text{in-arcs } (\text{add-arc } a) \ u = (\text{if } \text{head } G \ a = u \text{ then } \text{insert } a \ (\text{in-arcs } G \ u) \text{ else } \text{in-arcs } G \ u)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\text{out-arcs-add-arc-iff}:$   
 $\text{out-arcs } (\text{add-arc } a) \ u = (\text{if } \text{tail } G \ a = u \text{ then } \text{insert } a \ (\text{out-arcs } G \ u) \text{ else } \text{out-arcs } G \ u)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $\text{in-arcs-del-arc-iff}:$   
 $\text{in-arcs } (\text{del-arc } a) \ u = (\text{if } \text{head } G \ a = u \text{ then } \text{in-arcs } G \ u - \{a\} \text{ else } \text{in-arcs } G \ u)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *out-arcs-del-arc-iff*:

*out-arcs (del-arc a) u = (if tail G a = u then out-arcs G u - {a} else out-arcs G u)*  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *add-arc-in*:  $a \in \text{arcs } G \implies \text{add-arc } a = G$

⟨proof⟩

**end**

**context** *wf-digraph* **begin**

**lemma** *wf-digraph-add-arc[intro]*:

*wf-digraph (add-arc a) ⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *wf-digraph-del-arc[intro]*:

*wf-digraph (del-arc a) ⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *wf-digraph-del-vert*: *wf-digraph (del-vert u)*

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *wf-digraph-add-vert*: *wf-digraph (add-vert u)*

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *del-vert-add-vert*:

**assumes**  $u \notin \text{verts } G$

**shows** *pre-digraph.del-vert (add-vert u) u = G*

⟨proof⟩

**end**

**context** *fin-digraph* **begin**

**lemma** *in-degree-add-arc-iff*:

*in-degree (add-arc a) u = (if head G a = u  $\wedge$   $a \notin \text{arcs } G$  then in-degree G u + 1 else in-degree G u)*  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *out-degree-add-arc-iff*:

*out-degree (add-arc a) u = (if tail G a = u  $\wedge$   $a \notin \text{arcs } G$  then out-degree G u + 1 else out-degree G u)*  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *in-degree-del-arc-iff*:

*in-degree (del-arc a) u = (if head G a = u  $\wedge$   $a \in \text{arcs } G$  then in-degree G u - 1 else in-degree G u)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *out-degree-del-arc-iff*:

*out-degree (del-arc a) u = (if tail G a = u  $\wedge$  a  $\in$  arcs G then out-degree G u - 1 else out-degree G u)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *fin-digraph-del-vert*: *fin-digraph (del-vert u)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *fin-digraph-del-arc*: *fin-digraph (del-arc a)*

*<proof>*

**end**

**end**

**theory** *Bidirected-Digraph*

**imports**

*Digraph*

*HOL-Library.Permutations*

**begin**

## 5 Bidirected Graphs

**locale** *bidirected-digraph = wf-digraph G for G +*

*fixes arev :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b*

*assumes arev-dom:  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{arcs } G \longleftrightarrow \text{arev } a \neq a$*

*assumes arev-arev-raw:  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{arcs } G \Longrightarrow \text{arev } (\text{arev } a) = a$*

*assumes tail-arev[simp]:  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{arcs } G \Longrightarrow \text{tail } G (\text{arev } a) = \text{head } G a$*

**lemma** (*in wf-digraph*) *bidirected-digraphI*:

*assumes arev-eq:  $\bigwedge a. a \notin \text{arcs } G \Longrightarrow \text{arev } a = a$*

*assumes arev-neq:  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{arcs } G \Longrightarrow \text{arev } a \neq a$*

*assumes arev-arev-raw:  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{arcs } G \Longrightarrow \text{arev } (\text{arev } a) = a$*

*assumes tail-arev:  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{arcs } G \Longrightarrow \text{tail } G (\text{arev } a) = \text{head } G a$*

*shows bidirected-digraph G arev*

*<proof>*

**context** *bidirected-digraph begin*

**lemma** *bidirected-digraph[intro!]*: *bidirected-digraph G arev*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *arev-arev[simp]*: *arev (arev a) = a*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *arev-o-arev[simp]*: *arev o arev = id*

*<proof>*



**lemma** *arev-eq*:  $a \notin \text{arcs } G \implies \text{arev } a = a$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *arev-neq*:  $a \in \text{arcs } G \implies \text{arev } a \neq a$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *arev-in-arcs[simp]*:  $a \in \text{arcs } G \implies \text{arev } a \in \text{arcs } G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *head-arev[simp]*:  
**assumes**  $a \in \text{arcs } G$  **shows**  $\text{head } G (\text{arev } a) = \text{tail } G a$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ate-arev[simp]*:  
**assumes**  $a \in \text{arcs } G$  **shows**  $\text{arc-to-ends } G (\text{arev } a) = \text{prod.swap } (\text{arc-to-ends } G a)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *bij-arev*: *bij arev*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *arev-permutes-arcs*: *arev permutes arcs G*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *arev-eq-iff*:  $\bigwedge x y. \text{arev } x = \text{arev } y \iff x = y$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-arcs-eq*:  $\text{in-arcs } G w = \text{arev } ` \text{out-arcs } G w$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *inj-on-arev[intro!]*: *inj-on arev S*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *even-card-loops*:  
 $\text{even } (\text{card } (\text{in-arcs } G w \cap \text{out-arcs } G w))$  **(is even (card ?S))**  
*<proof>*

**end**

**sublocale** *bidirected-digraph*  $\subseteq$  *sym-digraph*  
*<proof>*

**end**

**theory** *Arc-Walk*

```

imports
  Digraph
begin

```

## 6 Arc Walks

We represent a walk in a graph by the list of its arcs.

```

type-synonym 'b awalk = 'b list

```

```

context pre-digraph begin

```

The list of vertices of a walk. The additional vertex argument is there to deal with the case of empty walks.

```

primrec awalk-verts :: 'a ⇒ 'b awalk ⇒ 'a list where
  awalk-verts u [] = [u]
  | awalk-verts u (e # es) = tail G e # awalk-verts (head G e) es

```

```

abbreviation awhd :: 'a ⇒ 'b awalk ⇒ 'a where
  awhd u p ≡ hd (awalk-verts u p)

```

```

abbreviation awlast:: 'a ⇒ 'b awalk ⇒ 'a where
  awlast u p ≡ last (awalk-verts u p)

```

Tests whether a list of arcs is a consistent arc sequence, i.e. a list of arcs, where the head G node of each arc is the tail G node of the following arc.

```

fun cas :: 'a ⇒ 'b awalk ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
  cas u [] v = (u = v) |
  cas u (e # es) v = (tail G e = u ∧ cas (head G e) es v)

```

**lemma** *cas-simp*:

```

assumes es ≠ []
shows cas u es v ⇔ tail G (hd es) = u ∧ cas (head G (hd es)) (tl es) v
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition awalk :: 'a ⇒ 'b awalk ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
  awalk u p v ≡ u ∈ verts G ∧ set p ⊆ arcs G ∧ cas u p v

```

```

definition (in pre-digraph) trail :: 'a ⇒ 'b awalk ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
  trail u p v ≡ awalk u p v ∧ distinct p

```

```

definition apath :: 'a ⇒ 'b awalk ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
  apath u p v ≡ awalk u p v ∧ distinct (awalk-verts u p)

```

```

end

```

## 6.1 Basic Lemmas

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *awalk-verts-conv*:

*awalk-verts*  $u\ p = (if\ p = []\ then\ [u]\ else\ map\ (tail\ G)\ p\ @\ [head\ G\ (last\ p)])$   
{proof}

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *awalk-verts-conv'*:

**assumes** *cas*  $u\ p\ v$

**shows** *awalk-verts*  $u\ p = (if\ p = []\ then\ [u]\ else\ tail\ G\ (hd\ p)\ \#\ map\ (head\ G)\ p)$

{proof}

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *length-awalk-verts*:

*length* (*awalk-verts*  $u\ p$ ) = *Suc* (*length*  $p$ )

{proof}

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *awalk-verts-ne-eq*:

**assumes**  $p \neq []$

**shows** *awalk-verts*  $u\ p = awalk-verts\ v\ p$

{proof}

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *awalk-verts-non-Nil[simp]*:

*awalk-verts*  $u\ p \neq []$

{proof}

**context** *wf-digraph* **begin**

**lemma**

**assumes** *cas*  $u\ p\ v$

**shows** *awhd-if-cas*: *awhd*  $u\ p = u$  **and** *awlast-if-cas*: *awlast*  $u\ p = v$

{proof}

**lemma** *awalk-verts-in-verts*:

**assumes**  $u \in verts\ G\ set\ p \subseteq arcs\ G\ v \in set\ (awalk-verts\ u\ p)$

**shows**  $v \in verts\ G$

{proof}

**lemma**

**assumes**  $u \in verts\ G\ set\ p \subseteq arcs\ G$

**shows** *awhd-in-verts*: *awhd*  $u\ p \in verts\ G$

**and** *awlast-in-verts*: *awlast*  $u\ p \in verts\ G$

{proof}

**lemma** *awalk-conv*:

*awalk*  $u\ p\ v = (set\ (awalk-verts\ u\ p) \subseteq verts\ G$

$\wedge\ set\ p \subseteq arcs\ G$

$\wedge\ awhd\ u\ p = u \wedge\ awlast\ u\ p = v \wedge\ cas\ u\ p\ v)$

{proof}

**lemma** *awalkI*:

**assumes**  $set\ (awalk-verts\ u\ p) \subseteq verts\ G\ set\ p \subseteq arcs\ G\ cas\ u\ p\ v$

**shows**  $awalk\ u\ p\ v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $awalkE[elim]$ :  
**assumes**  $awalk\ u\ p\ v$   
**obtains**  $set\ (awalk\text{-}verts\ u\ p) \subseteq verts\ G\ set\ p \subseteq arcs\ G\ cas\ u\ p\ v$   
 $awhd\ u\ p = u\ awlast\ u\ p = v$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $awalk\text{-}Nil\text{-}iff$ :  
 $awalk\ u\ []\ v \longleftrightarrow u = v \wedge u \in verts\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $trail\text{-}Nil\text{-}iff$ :  
 $trail\ u\ []\ v \longleftrightarrow u = v \wedge u \in verts\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $apath\text{-}Nil\text{-}iff$ :  $apath\ u\ []\ v \longleftrightarrow u = v \wedge u \in verts\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $awalk\text{-}hd\text{-}in\text{-}verts$ :  $awalk\ u\ p\ v \implies u \in verts\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $awalk\text{-}last\text{-}in\text{-}verts$ :  $awalk\ u\ p\ v \implies v \in verts\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $hd\text{-}in\text{-}awalk\text{-}verts$ :  
 $awalk\ u\ p\ v \implies u \in set\ (awalk\text{-}verts\ u\ p)$   
 $apath\ u\ p\ v \implies u \in set\ (awalk\text{-}verts\ u\ p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $awalk\text{-}Cons\text{-}iff$ :  
 $awalk\ u\ (e\ \#\ es)\ w \longleftrightarrow e \in arcs\ G \wedge u = tail\ G\ e \wedge awalk\ (head\ G\ e)\ es\ w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $trail\text{-}Cons\text{-}iff$ :  
 $trail\ u\ (e\ \#\ es)\ w \longleftrightarrow e \in arcs\ G \wedge u = tail\ G\ e \wedge e \notin set\ es \wedge trail\ (head\ G\ e)\ es\ w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $apath\text{-}Cons\text{-}iff$ :  
 $apath\ u\ (e\ \#\ es)\ w \longleftrightarrow e \in arcs\ G \wedge tail\ G\ e = u \wedge apath\ (head\ G\ e)\ es\ w$   
 $\wedge tail\ G\ e \notin set\ (awalk\text{-}verts\ (head\ G\ e)\ es)$  (**is**  $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $awalk\text{-}simps = awalk\text{-}Nil\text{-}iff\ awalk\text{-}Cons\text{-}iff$

**lemmas**  $trail\text{-}simps = trail\text{-}Nil\text{-}iff\ trail\text{-}Cons\text{-}iff$

**lemmas**  $apath\text{-}simps = apath\text{-}Nil\text{-}iff\ apath\text{-}Cons\text{-}iff$

**lemma** *arc-implies-awalk*:

$e \in \text{arcs } G \implies \text{awalk } (\text{tail } G \ e) \ [e] \ (\text{head } G \ e)$   
(proof)

**lemma** *apath-nonempty-ends*:

**assumes** *apath*  $u \ p \ v$   
**assumes**  $p \neq []$   
**shows**  $u \neq v$   
(proof)

**lemma** *awalk-ConsI*:

**assumes** *awalk*  $v \ es \ w$   
**assumes**  $e \in \text{arcs } G$  **and** *arc-to-ends*  $G \ e = (u, v)$   
**shows** *awalk*  $u \ (e \# \ es) \ w$   
(proof)

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *awalkI-apath*:

**assumes** *apath*  $u \ p \ v$  **shows** *awalk*  $u \ p \ v$   
(proof)

**lemma** *arcE*:

**assumes** *arc*  $e \ (u, v)$   
**assumes**  $\llbracket e \in \text{arcs } G; \text{tail } G \ e = u; \text{head } G \ e = v \rrbracket \implies P$   
**shows**  $P$   
(proof)

**lemma** *in-arcs-imp-in-arcs-ends*:

**assumes**  $e \in \text{arcs } G$   
**shows**  $(\text{tail } G \ e, \text{head } G \ e) \in \text{arcs-ends } G$   
(proof)

**lemma** *set-awalk-verts-cas*:

**assumes** *cas*  $u \ p \ v$   
**shows**  $\text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p) = \{u\} \cup \text{set } (\text{map } (\text{tail } G) \ p) \cup \text{set } (\text{map } (\text{head } G) \ p)$   
(proof)

**lemma** *set-awalk-verts-not-Nil-cas*:

**assumes** *cas*  $u \ p \ v \ p \neq []$   
**shows**  $\text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p) = \text{set } (\text{map } (\text{tail } G) \ p) \cup \text{set } (\text{map } (\text{head } G) \ p)$   
(proof)

**lemma** *set-awalk-verts*:

**assumes** *awalk*  $u \ p \ v$   
**shows**  $\text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p) = \{u\} \cup \text{set } (\text{map } (\text{tail } G) \ p) \cup \text{set } (\text{map } (\text{head } G) \ p)$   
(proof)

*<proof>*

**lemma** *set-awalk-verts-not-Nil*:

**assumes** *awalk u p v p ≠ []*

**shows**  $set (awalk-verts u p) = set (map (tail G) p) \cup set (map (head G) p)$

*<proof>*

**lemma**

*awhd-of-awalk*:  $awalk u p v \implies awhd u p = u$  **and**

*awlast-of-awalk*:  $awalk u p v \implies NOMATCH (awlast u p) v \implies awlast u p = v$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *awends-of-awalk[simp]* = *awhd-of-awalk awlast-of-awalk*

**lemma** *awalk-verts-arc1*:

**assumes**  $e \in set p$

**shows**  $tail G e \in set (awalk-verts u p)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-verts-arc2*:

**assumes**  $awalk u p v e \in set p$

**shows**  $head G e \in set (awalk-verts u p)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-induct-raw[case-names Base Cons]*:

**assumes** *awalk u p v*

**assumes**  $\bigwedge w1. w1 \in verts G \implies P w1 [] w1$

**assumes**  $\bigwedge w1 w2 e es. e \in arcs G \implies arc-to-ends G e = (w1, w2) \implies P w2 es v \implies P w1 (e \# es) v$

**shows**  $P u p v$

*<proof>*

## 6.2 Appending awalks

**lemma** (*in pre-digraph*) *cas-append-iff[simp]*:

$cas u (p @ q) v \longleftrightarrow cas u p (awlast u p) \wedge cas (awlast u p) q v$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cas-ends*:

**assumes**  $cas u p v cas u' p v'$

**shows**  $(p \neq [] \wedge u = u' \wedge v = v') \vee (p = [] \wedge u = v \wedge u' = v')$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-ends*:

**assumes**  $awalk u p v awalk u' p v'$

**shows**  $(p \neq [] \wedge u = u' \wedge v = v') \vee (p = [] \wedge u = v \wedge u' = v')$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-ends-eqD*:

**assumes**  $awalk u p u awalk v p w$

**shows**  $v = w$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-empty-ends*:  
**assumes**  $awalk\ u\ []\ v$   
**shows**  $u = v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *apath-ends*:  
**assumes**  $apath\ u\ p\ v$  **and**  $apath\ u'\ p\ v'$   
**shows**  $(p \neq [] \wedge u \neq v \wedge u = u' \wedge v = v') \vee (p = [] \wedge u = v \wedge u' = v')$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-append-iff[simp]*:  
 $awalk\ u\ (p\ @\ q)\ v \longleftrightarrow awalk\ u\ p\ (awlast\ u\ p) \wedge awalk\ (awlast\ u\ p)\ q\ v$  (**is** ?L  
 $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *awlast-append*:  
 $awlast\ u\ (p\ @\ q) = awlast\ (awlast\ u\ p)\ q$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *awhd-append*:  
 $awhd\ u\ (p\ @\ q) = awhd\ (awhd\ u\ q)\ p$   
*<proof>*

**declare** *awalkE*[rule del]

**lemma** *awalkE'[elim]*:  
**assumes**  $awalk\ u\ p\ v$   
**obtains**  $set\ (awalk\text{-}verts\ u\ p) \subseteq verts\ G$   $set\ p \subseteq arcs\ G$   $cas\ u\ p\ v$   
 $awhd\ u\ p = u$   $awlast\ u\ p = v$   $u \in verts\ G$   $v \in verts\ G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-appendI*:  
**assumes**  $awalk\ u\ p\ v$   
**assumes**  $awalk\ v\ q\ w$   
**shows**  $awalk\ u\ (p\ @\ q)\ w$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-verts-append-cas*:  
**assumes**  $cas\ u\ (p\ @\ q)\ v$   
**shows**  $awalk\text{-}verts\ u\ (p\ @\ q) = awalk\text{-}verts\ u\ p\ @\ tl\ (awalk\text{-}verts\ (awlast\ u\ p)\ q)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-verts-append*:  
**assumes**  $awalk\ u\ (p\ @\ q)\ v$   
**shows**  $awalk\text{-}verts\ u\ (p\ @\ q) = awalk\text{-}verts\ u\ p\ @\ tl\ (awalk\text{-}verts\ (awlast\ u\ p)\ q)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-verts-append2*:

**assumes** *awalk*  $u$  ( $p$  @  $q$ )  $v$

**shows** *awalk-verts*  $u$  ( $p$  @  $q$ ) = *butlast* (*awalk-verts*  $u$   $p$ ) @ *awalk-verts* (*awlast*  $u$   $p$ )  $q$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *apath-append-iff*:

*apath*  $u$  ( $p$  @  $q$ )  $v$   $\longleftrightarrow$  *apath*  $u$   $p$  (*awlast*  $u$   $p$ )  $\wedge$  *apath* (*awlast*  $u$   $p$ )  $q$   $v$   $\wedge$

*set* (*awalk-verts*  $u$   $p$ )  $\cap$  *set* (*tl* (*awalk-verts* (*awlast*  $u$   $p$ )  $q$ )) = {} (**is** ? $L$   $\longleftrightarrow$  ? $R$ )

*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *set-awalk-verts-append-cas*:

**assumes** *cas*  $u$   $p$   $v$  *cas*  $v$   $q$   $w$

**shows** *set* (*awalk-verts*  $u$  ( $p$  @  $q$ )) = *set* (*awalk-verts*  $u$   $p$ )  $\cup$  *set* (*awalk-verts*  $v$   $q$ )

*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *set-awalk-verts-append*:

**assumes** *awalk*  $u$   $p$   $v$  *awalk*  $v$   $q$   $w$

**shows** *set* (*awalk-verts*  $u$  ( $p$  @  $q$ )) = *set* (*awalk-verts*  $u$   $p$ )  $\cup$  *set* (*awalk-verts*  $v$   $q$ )

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cas-takeI*:

**assumes** *cas*  $u$   $p$   $v$  *awlast*  $u$  (*take*  $n$   $p$ ) =  $v'$

**shows** *cas*  $u$  (*take*  $n$   $p$ )  $v'$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cas-dropI*:

**assumes** *cas*  $u$   $p$   $v$  *awlast*  $u$  (*take*  $n$   $p$ ) =  $u'$

**shows** *cas*  $u'$  (*drop*  $n$   $p$ )  $v$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-verts-take-conv*:

**assumes** *cas*  $u$   $p$   $v$

**shows** *awalk-verts*  $u$  (*take*  $n$   $p$ ) = *take* (*Suc*  $n$ ) (*awalk-verts*  $u$   $p$ )

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-verts-drop-conv*:

**assumes** *cas*  $u$   $p$   $v$

**shows** *awalk-verts*  $u'$  (*drop*  $n$   $p$ ) = (*if*  $n$  < *length*  $p$  *then* *drop*  $n$  (*awalk-verts*  $u$   $p$ ) *else* [ $u'$ ])

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-decomp-verts*:

**assumes** *cas*: *cas*  $u$   $p$   $v$  **and** *ev-decomp*: *awalk-verts*  $u$   $p$  =  $xs$  @  $y$  #  $ys$

**obtains**  $q$   $r$  **where** *cas*  $u$   $q$   $y$  *cas*  $y$   $r$   $v$   $p$  =  $q$  @  $r$  *awalk-verts*  $u$   $q$  =  $xs$  @ [ $y$ ]  
*awalk-verts*  $y$   $r$  =  $y$  #  $ys$

*<proof>*



**lemma** *awalk-decomp*:

**assumes** *awalk* *u p v*

**assumes**  $w \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u p)$

**shows**  $\exists q r. p = q @ r \wedge \text{awalk } u q w \wedge \text{awalk } w r v$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-not-distinct-decomp*:

**assumes** *awalk* *u p v*

**assumes**  $\neg \text{distinct } (\text{awalk-verts } u p)$

**shows**  $\exists q r s. p = q @ r @ s \wedge \text{distinct } (\text{awalk-verts } u q)$

$\wedge 0 < \text{length } r$

$\wedge (\exists w. \text{awalk } u q w \wedge \text{awalk } w r w \wedge \text{awalk } w s v)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *apath-decomp-disjoint*:

**assumes** *apath* *u p v*

**assumes**  $p = q @ r$

**assumes**  $x \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u q) \ x \in \text{set } (\text{tl } (\text{awalk-verts } (\text{awlast } u q) r))$

**shows** *False*

*<proof>*

### 6.3 Cycles

**definition** *closed-w* :: 'b *awalk*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**

*closed-w*  $p \equiv \exists u. \text{awalk } u p u \wedge 0 < \text{length } p$

The definitions of cycles in textbooks vary w.r.t to the minimal length of a cycle.

The definition given here matches [?]. [1] excludes loops from being cycles. Volkmann (Lutz Volkmann: Graphen an allen Ecken und Kanten, 2006 (?)) places no restriction on the length in the definition, but later usage assumes cycles to be non-empty.

**definition** (**in** *pre-digraph*) *cycle* :: 'b *awalk*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**

*cycle*  $p \equiv \exists u. \text{awalk } u p u \wedge \text{distinct } (\text{tl } (\text{awalk-verts } u p)) \wedge p \neq []$

**lemma** *cycle-altdef*:

*cycle*  $p \iff \text{closed-w } p \wedge (\exists u. \text{distinct } (\text{tl } (\text{awalk-verts } u p)))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *distinct-tl-verts-imp-distinct*:

**assumes** *awalk* *u p v*

**assumes**  $\text{distinct } (\text{tl } (\text{awalk-verts } u p))$

**shows**  $\text{distinct } p$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *distinct-verts-imp-distinct*:

**assumes** *awalk* *u p v*

**assumes** *distinct* (*awalk-verts* *u p*)  
**shows** *distinct* *p*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *cycle-conv*:  
*cycle p*  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists u. \text{awalk } u \ p \ u \wedge \text{distinct } (\text{tl } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p)) \wedge \text{distinct } p \wedge p \neq []$ )  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (**in** *loopfree-digraph*) *cycle-digraph-conv*:  
*cycle p*  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists u. \text{awalk } u \ p \ u \wedge \text{distinct } (\text{tl } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p)) \wedge 2 \leq \text{length } p$ )  
**(is** *?L*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *?R*)  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *closed-w-imp-cycle*:  
**assumes** *closed-w p* **shows**  $\exists p. \text{cycle } p$   
⟨*proof*⟩

## 6.4 Reachability

**lemma** *reachable1-awalk*:  
 $u \rightarrow^+ v \longleftrightarrow (\exists p. \text{awalk } u \ p \ v \wedge p \neq [])$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *reachable-awalk*:  
 $u \rightarrow^* v \longleftrightarrow (\exists p. \text{awalk } u \ p \ v)$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *reachable-awalkI*[*intro?*]:  
**assumes** *awalk u p v*  
**shows**  $u \rightarrow^* v$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *reachable1-awalkI*:  
 $\text{awalk } v \ p \ w \implies p \neq [] \implies v \rightarrow^+ w$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *reachable-arc-trans*:  
**assumes**  $u \rightarrow^* v \text{ arc } e \ (v, w)$   
**shows**  $u \rightarrow^* w$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *awalk-verts-reachable-from*:  
**assumes**  $\text{awalk } u \ p \ v \ w \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p)$  **shows**  $u \rightarrow^*_G w$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *awalk-verts-reachable-to*:  
**assumes**  $\text{awalk } u \ p \ v \ w \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p)$  **shows**  $w \rightarrow^*_G v$

*<proof>*

## 6.5 Paths

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *length-apath-less*:

**assumes** *apath u p v*

**shows**  $\text{length } p < \text{card } (\text{verts } G)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *length-apath*:

**assumes** *apath u p v*

**shows**  $\text{length } p \leq \text{card } (\text{verts } G)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *apaths-finite-triple*:

**shows** *finite*  $\{(u,p,v). \text{apath } u \text{ } p \text{ } v\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *apaths-finite*:

**shows** *finite*  $\{p. \text{apath } u \text{ } p \text{ } v\}$

*<proof>*

**fun** *is-awalk-cyc-decomp* :: 'b awalk =>

(*'b awalk* × *'b awalk* × *'b awalk*) ⇒ *bool* **where**

*is-awalk-cyc-decomp*  $p (q,r,s) \longleftrightarrow p = q @ r @ s$

∧ (∃ *u v w. awalk u q v* ∧ *awalk v r v* ∧ *awalk v s w*)

∧  $0 < \text{length } r$

∧ (∃ *u. distinct (awalk-verts u q)*)

**definition** *awalk-cyc-decomp* :: 'b awalk

⇒ 'b awalk × 'b awalk × 'b awalk **where**

*awalk-cyc-decomp*  $p = (\text{SOME } qrs. \text{is-awalk-cyc-decomp } p \text{ } qrs)$

**function** *awalk-to-apath* :: 'b awalk ⇒ 'b awalk **where**

*awalk-to-apath*  $p = (\text{if } \neg(\exists u. \text{distinct } (\text{awalk-verts } u \text{ } p)) \wedge (\exists u \text{ } v. \text{awalk } u \text{ } p \text{ } v)$

*then* (let  $(q,r,s) = \text{awalk-cyc-decomp } p$  in *awalk-to-apath*  $(q @ s)$ )

*else*  $p$ )

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-cyc-decomp-has-prop*:

**assumes** *awalk u p v* **and**  $\neg \text{distinct } (\text{awalk-verts } u \text{ } p)$

**shows** *is-awalk-cyc-decomp*  $p (\text{awalk-cyc-decomp } p)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-cyc-decompE*:

**assumes** *dec: awalk-cyc-decomp*  $p = (q,r,s)$

**assumes** *p-props: awalk u p v*  $\neg \text{distinct } (\text{awalk-verts } u \text{ } p)$

**obtains**  $p = q @ r @ s$  *distinct*  $(\text{awalk-verts } u \text{ } q) \exists w. \text{awalk } u \text{ } q \text{ } w \wedge \text{awalk } w \text{ } r \text{ } w \wedge \text{awalk } w \text{ } s \text{ } v$  *closed-w*  $r$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-cyc-decompE'*:

**assumes** *p-props*: *awalk u p v*  $\neg$ *distinct (awalk-verts u p)*

**obtains** *q r s* **where**  $p = q @ r @ s$  *distinct (awalk-verts u q)  $\exists w. awalk u q w$*   
 $\wedge awalk w r w \wedge awalk w s v$  *closed-w r*

*<proof>*

**termination** *awalk-to-apath*

*<proof>*

**declare** *awalk-to-apath.simps[simp del]*

**lemma** *awalk-to-apath-induct[consumes 1, case-names path decomp]*:

**assumes** *awalk*: *awalk u p v*

**assumes** *dist*:  $\bigwedge p. awalk u p v \implies distinct (awalk-verts u p) \implies P p$

**assumes** *dec*:  $\bigwedge p q r s. \llbracket awalk u p v; awalk-cyc-decomp p = (q,r,s);$   
 $\neg distinct (awalk-verts u p); P (q @ s) \rrbracket \implies P p$

**shows**  $P p$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *step-awalk-to-apath*:

**assumes** *awalk*: *awalk u p v*

**and** *decomp*: *awalk-cyc-decomp p = (q, r, s)*

**and** *dist*:  $\neg distinct (awalk-verts u p)$

**shows** *awalk-to-apath p = awalk-to-apath (q @ s)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *apath-awalk-to-apath*:

**assumes** *awalk u p v*

**shows** *apath u (awalk-to-apath p) v*

*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *awalk-to-apath-subset*:

**assumes** *awalk u p v*

**shows** *set (awalk-to-apath p)  $\subseteq$  set p*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *reachable-apath*:

$u \rightarrow^* v \iff (\exists p. apath u p v)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *no-loops-in-apath*:

**assumes** *apath u p v*  $a \in set p$  **shows** *tail G a  $\neq$  head G a*

*<proof>*

**end**

**end**

```

theory Pair-Digraph
imports
  Digraph
  Bidirected-Digraph
  Arc-Walk
begin

```

## 7 Digraphs without Parallel Arcs

If no parallel arcs are desired, arcs can be accurately described as pairs of This is the natural representation for Digraphs without multi-arcs. and *head*  $G$ , making it easier to deal with multiple related graphs and to modify a graph by adding edges.

This theory introduces such a specialisation of digraphs.

```

record 'a pair-pre-digraph = pverts :: 'a set parcs :: 'a rel

```

```

definition with-proj :: 'a pair-pre-digraph  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'a  $\times$  'a) pre-digraph where
  with-proj  $G = (\text{verts} = \text{pverts } G, \text{arcs} = \text{parcs } G, \text{tail} = \text{fst}, \text{head} = \text{snd})$ 

```

```

declare [[coercion with-proj]]

```

```

primrec pawalk-verts :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'a) awalk  $\Rightarrow$  'a list where
  pawalk-verts  $u [] = [u]$  |
  pawalk-verts  $u (e \# es) = \text{fst } e \# \text{pawalk-verts } (\text{snd } e) \text{ es}$ 

```

```

fun pcas :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'a) awalk  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  pcas  $u [] v = (u = v)$  |
  pcas  $u (e \# es) v = (\text{fst } e = u \wedge \text{pcas } (\text{snd } e) \text{ es } v)$ 

```

```

lemma with-proj-simps[simp]:
  verts (with-proj  $G$ ) = pverts  $G$ 
  arcs (with-proj  $G$ ) = parcs  $G$ 
  arcs-ends (with-proj  $G$ ) = parcs  $G$ 
  tail (with-proj  $G$ ) = fst
  head (with-proj  $G$ ) = snd
  <proof>

```

```

lemma cas-with-proj-eq: pre-digraph.cas (with-proj  $G$ ) = pcas
  <proof>

```

```

lemma awalk-verts-with-proj-eq: pre-digraph.awalk-verts (with-proj  $G$ ) = pawalk-verts
  <proof>

```

```

locale pair-pre-digraph = fixes G :: 'a pair-pre-digraph
begin

lemmas [simp] = cas-with-proj-eq awalk-verts-with-proj-eq

end

locale pair-wf-digraph = pair-pre-digraph +
  assumes arc-fst-in-verts:  $\bigwedge e. e \in \text{parcs } G \implies \text{fst } e \in \text{pverts } G$ 
  assumes arc-snd-in-verts:  $\bigwedge e. e \in \text{parcs } G \implies \text{snd } e \in \text{pverts } G$ 
begin

lemma in-arcsD1:  $(u,v) \in \text{parcs } G \implies u \in \text{pverts } G$ 
  and in-arcsD2:  $(u,v) \in \text{parcs } G \implies v \in \text{pverts } G$ 
  <proof>

lemmas wellformed' = in-arcsD1 in-arcsD2

end

locale pair-fin-digraph = pair-wf-digraph +
  assumes pair-finite-verts: finite (pverts G)
  and pair-finite-arcs: finite (parcs G)

locale pair-sym-digraph = pair-wf-digraph +
  assumes pair-sym-arcs: symmetric G

locale pair-loopfree-digraph = pair-wf-digraph +
  assumes pair-no-loops:  $e \in \text{parcs } G \implies \text{fst } e \neq \text{snd } e$ 

locale pair-bidirected-digraph = pair-sym-digraph + pair-loopfree-digraph

locale pair-pseudo-graph = pair-fin-digraph + pair-sym-digraph

locale pair-digraph = pair-fin-digraph + pair-loopfree-digraph

locale pair-graph = pair-digraph + pair-pseudo-graph

sublocale pair-pre-digraph  $\subseteq$  pre-digraph with-proj G
  rewrites verts G = pverts G and arcs G = parcs G and tail G = fst and head
  G = snd
  and arcs-ends G = parcs G
  and pre-digraph.awalk-verts G = pawalk-verts
  and pre-digraph.cas G = pcas
  <proof>

sublocale pair-wf-digraph  $\subseteq$  wf-digraph with-proj G

```

**rewrites**  $verts\ G = pverts\ G$  **and**  $arcs\ G = parcs\ G$  **and**  $tail\ G = fst$  **and**  $head\ G = snd$   
**and**  $arcs-ends\ G = parcs\ G$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.awalk-verts\ G = pawalk-verts$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.cas\ G = pcas$   
*<proof>*

**sublocale**  $pair-fin-digraph \subseteq fin-digraph\ with-proj\ G$   
**rewrites**  $verts\ G = pverts\ G$  **and**  $arcs\ G = parcs\ G$  **and**  $tail\ G = fst$  **and**  $head\ G = snd$   
**and**  $arcs-ends\ G = parcs\ G$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.awalk-verts\ G = pawalk-verts$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.cas\ G = pcas$   
*<proof>*

**sublocale**  $pair-sym-digraph \subseteq sym-digraph\ with-proj\ G$   
**rewrites**  $verts\ G = pverts\ G$  **and**  $arcs\ G = parcs\ G$  **and**  $tail\ G = fst$  **and**  $head\ G = snd$   
**and**  $arcs-ends\ G = parcs\ G$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.awalk-verts\ G = pawalk-verts$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.cas\ G = pcas$   
*<proof>*

**sublocale**  $pair-pseudo-graph \subseteq pseudo-graph\ with-proj\ G$   
**rewrites**  $verts\ G = pverts\ G$  **and**  $arcs\ G = parcs\ G$  **and**  $tail\ G = fst$  **and**  $head\ G = snd$   
**and**  $arcs-ends\ G = parcs\ G$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.awalk-verts\ G = pawalk-verts$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.cas\ G = pcas$   
*<proof>*

**sublocale**  $pair-loopfree-digraph \subseteq loopfree-digraph\ with-proj\ G$   
**rewrites**  $verts\ G = pverts\ G$  **and**  $arcs\ G = parcs\ G$  **and**  $tail\ G = fst$  **and**  $head\ G = snd$   
**and**  $arcs-ends\ G = parcs\ G$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.awalk-verts\ G = pawalk-verts$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.cas\ G = pcas$   
*<proof>*

**sublocale**  $pair-digraph \subseteq digraph\ with-proj\ G$   
**rewrites**  $verts\ G = pverts\ G$  **and**  $arcs\ G = parcs\ G$  **and**  $tail\ G = fst$  **and**  $head\ G = snd$   
**and**  $arcs-ends\ G = parcs\ G$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.awalk-verts\ G = pawalk-verts$   
**and**  $pre-digraph.cas\ G = pcas$   
*<proof>*

**sublocale**  $pair-graph \subseteq graph\ with-proj\ G$   
**rewrites**  $verts\ G = pverts\ G$  **and**  $arcs\ G = parcs\ G$  **and**  $tail\ G = fst$  **and**  $head\ G = snd$

$G = \text{snd}$   
**and**  $\text{arcs-ends } G = \text{parcs } G$   
**and**  $\text{pre-digraph.awalk-verts } G = \text{pawalk-verts}$   
**and**  $\text{pre-digraph.cas } G = \text{pcas}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**sublocale**  $\text{pair-graph} \subseteq \text{pair-bidirected-digraph} \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{wf-digraph-wp-iff}$ :  $\text{wf-digraph } (\text{with-proj } G) = \text{pair-wf-digraph } G$  (**is**  $?L$   
 $\longleftrightarrow ?R$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in**  $\text{pair-fin-digraph}$ )  $\text{pair-fin-digraph}[\text{intro}]$ :  $\text{pair-fin-digraph } G \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**context**  $\text{pair-digraph}$  **begin**

**lemma**  $\text{pair-wf-digraph}[\text{intro}]$ :  $\text{pair-wf-digraph } G \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{pair-digraph}[\text{intro}]$ :  $\text{pair-digraph } G \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in**  $\text{pair-loopfree-digraph}$ )  $\text{no-loops}'$ :  
 $(u,v) \in \text{parcs } G \implies u \neq v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** (**in**  $\text{pair-wf-digraph}$ )  $\text{apath-succ-decomp}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{apath } u \text{ } p \text{ } v$   
**assumes**  $(x,y) \in \text{set } p$   
**assumes**  $y \neq v$   
**shows**  $\exists p1 \ z \ p2. p = p1 @ (x,y) \# (y,z) \# p2 \wedge x \neq z \wedge y \neq z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in**  $\text{pair-sym-digraph}$ )  $\text{arcs-symmetric}$ :  
 $(a,b) \in \text{parcs } G \implies (b,a) \in \text{parcs } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in**  $\text{pair-pseudo-graph}$ )  $\text{pair-pseudo-graph}[\text{intro}]$ :  $\text{pair-pseudo-graph } G \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in**  $\text{pair-graph}$ )  $\text{pair-graph}[\text{intro}]$ :  $\text{pair-graph } G \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in**  $\text{pair-graph}$ )  $\text{pair-graphD-graph}$ :  $\text{graph } G \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{pair-graphI-graph}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{graph } (\text{with-proj } G)$  **shows**  $\text{pair-graph } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{pair-loopfreeI-loopfree}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{loopfree-digraph } (\text{with-proj } G)$  **shows**  $\text{pair-loopfree-digraph } G$



*<proof>*

## 7.1 Path reversal for Pair Digraphs

This definition is only meaningful in *Pair-Digraph*

**primrec** *rev-path* :: ('a × 'a) *awalk* ⇒ ('a × 'a) *awalk* **where**  
  *rev-path* [] = [] |  
  *rev-path* (e # es) = *rev-path* es @ [(snd e, fst e)]

**lemma** *rev-path-append[simp]*: *rev-path* (p @ q) = *rev-path* q @ *rev-path* p  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rev-path-rev-path[simp]*:  
  *rev-path* (*rev-path* p) = p  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rev-path-empty[simp]*:  
  *rev-path* p = [] ⟷ p = []  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rev-path-eq*: *rev-path* p = *rev-path* q ⟷ p = q  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *pair-sym-digraph*)  
  **assumes** *awalk* u p v  
  **shows** *awalk-verts-rev-path*: *awalk-verts* v (*rev-path* p) = *rev* (*awalk-verts* u p)  
  **and** *awalk-rev-path'*: *awalk* v (*rev-path* p) u  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *pair-sym-digraph*) *awalk-rev-path[simp]*:  
  *awalk* v (*rev-path* p) u = *awalk* u p v (**is** ?L = ?R)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *pair-sym-digraph*) *apath-rev-path[simp]*:  
  *apath* v (*rev-path* p) u = *apath* u p v  
*<proof>*

## 7.2 Subdividing Edges

subdivide an edge (=two associated arcs) in graph

**fun** *subdivide* :: 'a *pair-pre-digraph* ⇒ 'a × 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a *pair-pre-digraph* **where**  
  *subdivide* G (u,v) w = ()  
  *pverts* = *pverts* G ∪ {w},  
  *parcs* = (*parcs* G - {(u,v),(v,u)}) ∪ {(u,w), (w,u), (w,v), (v,w)}

**declare** *subdivide.simps[simp del]*

subdivide an arc in a path

```

fun sd-path :: 'a × 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a × 'a) awalk ⇒ ('a × 'a) awalk where
  sd-path - - [] = []
  | sd-path (u,v) w (e # es) = (if e = (u,v)
                                then [(u,w),(w,v)]
                                else if e = (v,u)
                                then [(v,w),(w,u)]
                                else [e]) @ sd-path (u,v) w es

```

contract an arc in a path

```

fun co-path :: 'a × 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a × 'a) awalk ⇒ ('a × 'a) awalk where
  co-path - - [] = []
  | co-path - - [e] = [e]
  | co-path (u,v) w (e1 # e2 # es) = (if e1 = (u,w) ∧ e2 = (w,v)
                                        then (u,v) # co-path (u,v) w es
                                        else if e1 = (v,w) ∧ e2 = (w,u)
                                        then (v,u) # co-path (u,v) w es
                                        else e1 # co-path (u,v) w (e2 # es))

```

**lemma** co-path-simps[simp]:

```

[[e1 ≠ (fst e, w); e1 ≠ (snd e, w)]] ⇒ co-path e w (e1 # es) = e1 # co-path e w es
[[e1 = (fst e, w); e2 = (w, snd e)]] ⇒ co-path e w (e1 # e2 # es) = e # co-path e w es
[[e1 = (snd e, w); e2 = (w, fst e)]]
  ⇒ co-path e w (e1 # e2 # es) = (snd e, fst e) # co-path e w es
[[e1 ≠ (fst e, w) ∨ e2 ≠ (w, snd e); e1 ≠ (snd e, w) ∨ e2 ≠ (w, fst e)]]
  ⇒ co-path e w (e1 # e2 # es) = e1 # co-path e w (e2 # es)
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** co-path-nonempty[simp]: co-path e w p = [] ⟷ p = []

⟨proof⟩

**declare** co-path.simps(3)[simp del]

**lemma** verts-subdivide[simp]: pverts (subdivide G e w) = pverts G ∪ {w}

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** arcs-subdivide[simp]:

**shows** parcs (subdivide G (u,v) w) = (parcs G - {(u,v),(v,u)}) ∪ {(u,w), (w,u), (w,v), (v,w)}

⟨proof⟩

**lemmas** subdivide-simps = verts-subdivide arcs-subdivide

**lemma** sd-path-induct[case-names empty pass sd sdrev]:

**assumes** A: P e []

**and** B:  $\bigwedge e' es. e' \neq e \implies e' \neq (snd e, fst e) \implies P e es \implies P e (e' \# es)$

$\bigwedge es. P e es \implies P e (e \# es)$

$\bigwedge es. fst e \neq snd e \implies P e es \implies P e ((snd e, fst e) \# es)$

**shows**  $P e es$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *co-path-induct*[*case-names empty single co corev pass*]:

**fixes**  $e :: 'a \times 'a$

**and**  $w :: 'a$

**and**  $p :: ('a \times 'a) \text{ awalk}$

**assumes** *Nil*:  $P e w []$

**and** *ConsNil*:  $\bigwedge e'. P e w [e']$

**and** *ConsCons1*:  $\bigwedge e1 e2 es. e1 = (fst e, w) \wedge e2 = (w, snd e) \implies P e w es$

$\implies$

$P e w (e1 \# e2 \# es)$

**and** *ConsCons2*:  $\bigwedge e1 e2 es. \neg(e1 = (fst e, w) \wedge e2 = (w, snd e)) \wedge$   
 $e1 = (snd e, w) \wedge e2 = (w, fst e) \implies P e w es \implies$

$P e w (e1 \# e2 \# es)$

**and** *ConsCons3*:  $\bigwedge e1 e2 es.$

$\neg(e1 = (fst e, w) \wedge e2 = (w, snd e)) \implies$

$\neg(e1 = (snd e, w) \wedge e2 = (w, fst e)) \implies P e w (e2 \# es) \implies$

$P e w (e1 \# e2 \# es)$

**shows**  $P e w p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *co-sd-id*:

**assumes**  $(u,w) \notin set p \ (v,w) \notin set p$

**shows**  $co\text{-}path (u,v) w (sd\text{-}path (u,v) w p) = p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sd-path-id*:

**assumes**  $(x,y) \notin set p \ (y,x) \notin set p$

**shows**  $sd\text{-}path (x,y) w p = p$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *pair-wf-digraph*) *pair-wf-digraph-subdivide*:

**assumes** *props*:  $e \in \text{parcs } G \ w \notin \text{pverts } G$

**shows**  $pair\text{-}wf\text{-}digraph (subdivide G e w) (\text{is } pair\text{-}wf\text{-}digraph ?sG)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *pair-sym-digraph*) *pair-sym-digraph-subdivide*:

**assumes** *props*:  $e \in \text{parcs } G \ w \notin \text{pverts } G$

**shows**  $pair\text{-}sym\text{-}digraph (subdivide G e w) (\text{is } pair\text{-}sym\text{-}digraph ?sG)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *pair-loopfree-digraph*) *pair-loopfree-digraph-subdivide*:

**assumes** *props*:  $e \in \text{parcs } G \ w \notin \text{pverts } G$

**shows**  $pair\text{-}loopfree\text{-}digraph (subdivide G e w) (\text{is } pair\text{-}loopfree\text{-}digraph ?sG)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *pair-bidirected-digraph*) *pair-bidirected-digraph-subdivide*:

**assumes** *props*:  $e \in \text{parcs } G \ w \notin \text{pverts } G$

**shows** *pair-bidirected-digraph* (*subdivide*  $G$   $e$   $w$ ) (**is** *pair-bidirected-digraph*  $?sG$ )  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (**in** *pair-pseudo-graph*) *pair-pseudo-graph-subdivide*:  
**assumes** *props*:  $e \in \text{parcs } G$   $w \notin \text{pverts } G$   
**shows** *pair-pseudo-graph* (*subdivide*  $G$   $e$   $w$ ) (**is** *pair-pseudo-graph*  $?sG$ )  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (**in** *pair-graph*) *pair-graph-subdivide*:  
**assumes**  $e \in \text{parcs } G$   $w \notin \text{pverts } G$   
**shows** *pair-graph* (*subdivide*  $G$   $e$   $w$ ) (**is** *pair-graph*  $?sG$ )  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *arcs-subdivideD*:  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{parcs } (subdivide\ G\ e\ w)$   $\text{fst } x \neq w$   $\text{snd } x \neq w$   
**shows**  $x \in \text{parcs } G$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**context** *pair-sym-digraph* **begin**

**lemma**  
**assumes** *path*: *apath*  $u$   $p$   $v$   
**assumes** *elems*:  $e \in \text{parcs } G$   $w \notin \text{pverts } G$   
**shows** *apath-sd-path*: *pre-digraph.apath* (*subdivide*  $G$   $e$   $w$ )  $u$  (*sd-path*  $e$   $w$   $p$ )  $v$  (**is**  $?A$ )  
**and** *set-awalk-verts-sd-path*: *set* (*awalk-verts*  $u$  (*sd-path*  $e$   $w$   $p$ ))  
 $\subseteq$  *set* (*awalk-verts*  $u$   $p$ )  $\cup$   $\{w\}$  (**is**  $?B$ )  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma**  
**assumes** *elems*:  $e \in \text{parcs } G$   $w \notin \text{pverts } G$   $u \in \text{pverts } G$   $v \in \text{pverts } G$   
**assumes** *path*: *pre-digraph.apath* (*subdivide*  $G$   $e$   $w$ )  $u$   $p$   $v$   
**shows** *apath-co-path*: *apath*  $u$  (*co-path*  $e$   $w$   $p$ )  $v$  (**is**  $?thesis\text{-path}$ )  
**and** *set-awalk-verts-co-path*: *set* (*awalk-verts*  $u$  (*co-path*  $e$   $w$   $p$ )) = *set* (*awalk-verts*  $u$   $p$ ) -  $\{w\}$  (**is**  $?thesis\text{-set}$ )  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**end**

### 7.3 Bidirected Graphs

**definition** (**in**  $-$ ) *swap-in* ::  $('a \times 'a)$  *set*  $\Rightarrow 'a \times 'a \Rightarrow 'a \times 'a$  **where**  
*swap-in*  $S$   $x$  = (*if*  $x \in S$  *then* *prod.swap*  $x$  *else*  $x$ )

**lemma** *bidirected-digraph-rev-conv-pair*:  
**assumes** *bidirected-digraph* (*with-proj*  $G$ ) *rev-G*  
**shows** *rev-G* = *swap-in* (*parcs*  $G$ )  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (in *pair-bidirected-digraph*) *bidirected-digraph*:  
*bidirected-digraph* (*with-proj*  $G$ ) (*swap-in* (*parcs*  $G$ ))  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *pair-bidirected-digraph* *I-bidirected-digraph*:  
**assumes** *bidirected-digraph* (*with-proj*  $G$ ) (*swap-in* (*parcs*  $G$ ))  
**shows** *pair-bidirected-digraph*  $G$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**end**

**theory** *Digraph-Component*

**imports**

*Digraph*

*Arc-Walk*

*Pair-Digraph*

**begin**

## 8 Components of (Symmetric) Digraphs

**definition** *compatible* :: ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*compatible*  $G H \equiv \text{tail } G = \text{tail } H \wedge \text{head } G = \text{head } H$

**definition** *subgraph* :: ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*subgraph*  $H G \equiv \text{verts } H \subseteq \text{verts } G \wedge \text{arcs } H \subseteq \text{arcs } G \wedge \text{wf-digraph } G \wedge$   
*wf-digraph*  $H \wedge \text{compatible } G H$

**definition** *induced-subgraph* :: ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  
**where**  
*induced-subgraph*  $H G \equiv \text{subgraph } H G \wedge \text{arcs } H = \{e \in \text{arcs } G. \text{tail } G e \in \text{verts } H \wedge \text{head } G e \in \text{verts } H\}$

**definition** *spanning* :: ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*spanning*  $H G \equiv \text{subgraph } H G \wedge \text{verts } G = \text{verts } H$

**definition** *strongly-connected* :: ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*strongly-connected*  $G \equiv \text{verts } G \neq \{\} \wedge (\forall u \in \text{verts } G. \forall v \in \text{verts } G. u \rightarrow^* G v)$

The following function computes underlying symmetric graph of a digraph and removes parallel arcs.

**definition** *mk-symmetric* :: ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$   $'a$  *pair-pre-digraph* **where**  
*mk-symmetric*  $G \equiv (\text{pverts} = \text{verts } G, \text{parcs} = \bigcup_{e \in \text{arcs } G} \{(tail\ G\ e, head\ G\ e), (head\ G\ e, tail\ G\ e)\})$

**definition** *connected* :: ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*connected*  $G \equiv \text{strongly-connected } (\text{mk-symmetric } G)$

**definition** *forest* :: ('a,'b) pre-digraph ⇒ bool **where**  
*forest* *G* ≡ ¬(∃ *p*. pre-digraph.cycle *G* *p*)

**definition** *tree* :: ('a,'b) pre-digraph ⇒ bool **where**  
*tree* *G* ≡ connected *G* ∧ forest *G*

**definition** *spanning-tree* :: ('a,'b) pre-digraph ⇒ ('a,'b) pre-digraph ⇒ bool **where**  
*spanning-tree* *H* *G* ≡ tree *H* ∧ spanning *H* *G*

**definition** (in pre-digraph) *max-subgraph* :: (('a,'b) pre-digraph ⇒ bool) ⇒ ('a,'b) pre-digraph ⇒ bool  
**where**  
*max-subgraph* *P* *H* ≡ subgraph *H* *G* ∧ *P* *H* ∧ (∀ *H'*. *H'* ≠ *H* ∧ subgraph *H* *H'*  
 → ¬(subgraph *H'* *G* ∧ *P* *H'*))

**definition** (in pre-digraph) *sccs* :: ('a,'b) pre-digraph set **where**  
*sccs* ≡ {*H*. induced-subgraph *H* *G* ∧ strongly-connected *H* ∧ ¬(∃ *H'*. induced-subgraph  
*H'* *G*  
 ∧ strongly-connected *H'* ∧ verts *H* ⊂ verts *H'*)}

**definition** (in pre-digraph) *sccs-verts* :: 'a set set **where**  
*sccs-verts* = {*S*. *S* ≠ {} ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *S*. ∀ *v* ∈ *S*. *u* →\*<sub>*G*</sub> *v*) ∧ (∀ *u* ∈ *S*. ∀ *v*. *v* ∉ *S*  
 → ¬*u* →\*<sub>*G*</sub> *v* ∨ ¬*v* →\*<sub>*G*</sub> *u*)}

**definition** (in pre-digraph) *scc-of* :: 'a ⇒ 'a set **where**  
*scc-of* *u* ≡ {*v*. *u* →\* *v* ∧ *v* →\* *u*}

**definition** *union* :: ('a,'b) pre-digraph ⇒ ('a,'b) pre-digraph ⇒ ('a,'b) pre-digraph  
**where**  
*union* *G* *H* ≡ (∅ verts = verts *G* ∪ verts *H*, arcs = arcs *G* ∪ arcs *H*, tail = tail  
*G*, head = head *G*)

**definition** (in pre-digraph) *Union* :: ('a,'b) pre-digraph set ⇒ ('a,'b) pre-digraph  
**where**  
*Union* *gs* = (∅ verts = (∪ *G* ∈ *gs*. verts *G*), arcs = (∪ *G* ∈ *gs*. arcs *G*),  
 tail = tail *G*, head = head *G*)

## 8.1 Compatible Graphs

**lemma** *compatible-tail*:  
**assumes** *compatible* *G* *H* **shows** tail *G* = tail *H*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *compatible-head*:  
**assumes** *compatible* *G* *H* **shows** head *G* = head *H*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *compatible-cas*:

**assumes** *compatible*  $G H$  **shows** *pre-digraph.cas*  $G = \text{pre-digraph.cas } H$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *compatible-awalk-verts*:

**assumes** *compatible*  $G H$  **shows** *pre-digraph.awalk-verts*  $G = \text{pre-digraph.awalk-verts } H$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *compatibleI-with-proj[intro]*:

**shows** *compatible* (*with-proj*  $G$ ) (*with-proj*  $H$ )  
(*proof*)

## 8.2 Basic lemmas

**lemma** (*in sym-digraph*) *graph-symmetric*:

**shows**  $(u,v) \in \text{arcs-ends } G \implies (v,u) \in \text{arcs-ends } G$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *strongly-connectedI[intro]*:

**assumes**  $\text{verts } G \neq \{\}$   $\bigwedge u v. u \in \text{verts } G \implies v \in \text{verts } G \implies u \rightarrow^*_G v$   
**shows** *strongly-connected*  $G$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *strongly-connectedE[elim]*:

**assumes** *strongly-connected*  $G$   
**assumes**  $(\bigwedge u v. u \in \text{verts } G \wedge v \in \text{verts } G \implies u \rightarrow^*_G v) \implies P$   
**shows**  $P$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *subgraph-imp-subverts*:

**assumes** *subgraph*  $H G$   
**shows**  $\text{verts } H \subseteq \text{verts } G$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *induced-imp-subgraph*:

**assumes** *induced-subgraph*  $H G$   
**shows** *subgraph*  $H G$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** (*in pre-digraph*) *in-sccs-imp-induced*:

**assumes**  $c \in \text{sccs}$   
**shows** *induced-subgraph*  $c G$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *spanning-tree-imp-tree[dest]*:

**assumes** *spanning-tree*  $H G$   
**shows** *tree*  $H$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** *tree-imp-connected*[*dest*]:

**assumes** *tree G*  
**shows** *connected G*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *spanning-treeI*[*intro*]:

**assumes** *spanning H G*  
**assumes** *tree H*  
**shows** *spanning-tree H G*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *spanning-treeE*[*elim*]:

**assumes** *spanning-tree H G*  
**assumes** *tree H  $\wedge$  spanning H G  $\implies$  P*  
**shows** *P*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *spanningE*[*elim*]:

**assumes** *spanning H G*  
**assumes** *subgraph H G  $\wedge$  verts G = verts H  $\implies$  P*  
**shows** *P*

*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *pre-digraph*) *in-sccsI*[*intro*]:

**assumes** *induced-subgraph c G*  
**assumes** *strongly-connected c*  
**assumes**  $\neg(\exists c'. \text{induced-subgraph } c' G \wedge \text{strongly-connected } c' \wedge$   
*verts c  $\subset$  verts c')*  
**shows** *c  $\in$  sccs*

*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *pre-digraph*) *in-sccsE*[*elim*]:

**assumes** *c  $\in$  sccs*  
**assumes** *induced-subgraph c G  $\implies$  strongly-connected c  $\implies$   $\neg(\exists d.$   
*induced-subgraph d G  $\wedge$  strongly-connected d  $\wedge$  verts c  $\subset$  verts d)  $\implies$  P*  
**shows** *P**

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subgraphI*:

**assumes** *verts H  $\subseteq$  verts G*  
**assumes** *arcs H  $\subseteq$  arcs G*  
**assumes** *compatible G H*  
**assumes** *wf-digraph H*  
**assumes** *wf-digraph G*  
**shows** *subgraph H G*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subgraphE*[*elim*]:

**assumes** *subgraph H G*



**obtains**  $\text{verts } H \subseteq \text{verts } G$   $\text{arcs } H \subseteq \text{arcs } G$  *compatible*  $G \ H$  *wf-digraph*  $H$   
*wf-digraph*  $G$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *induced-subgraphI*[*intro*]:  
**assumes** *subgraph*  $H \ G$   
**assumes**  $\text{arcs } H = \{e \in \text{arcs } G. \text{tail } G \ e \in \text{verts } H \wedge \text{head } G \ e \in \text{verts } H\}$   
**shows** *induced-subgraph*  $H \ G$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *induced-subgraphE*[*elim*]:  
**assumes** *induced-subgraph*  $H \ G$   
**assumes**  $\llbracket \text{subgraph } H \ G; \text{arcs } H = \{e \in \text{arcs } G. \text{tail } G \ e \in \text{verts } H \wedge \text{head } G \ e \in \text{verts } H\} \rrbracket \implies P$   
**shows**  $P$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *pverts-mk-symmetric*[*simp*]:  $\text{pverts } (\text{mk-symmetric } G) = \text{verts } G$   
**and** *parcs-mk-symmetric*:  
 $\text{parcs } (\text{mk-symmetric } G) = (\bigcup e \in \text{arcs } G. \{(tail \ G \ e, head \ G \ e), (head \ G \ e, tail \ G \ e)\})$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *arcs-ends-mono*:  
**assumes** *subgraph*  $H \ G$   
**shows** *arcs-ends*  $H \subseteq \text{arcs-ends } G$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (*in wf-digraph*) *subgraph-refl*: *subgraph*  $G \ G$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (*in wf-digraph*) *induced-subgraph-refl*: *induced-subgraph*  $G \ G$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

### 8.3 The underlying symmetric graph of a digraph

**lemma** (*in wf-digraph*) *wellformed-mk-symmetric*[*intro*]: *pair-wf-digraph*  $(\text{mk-symmetric } G)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (*in fin-digraph*) *pair-fin-digraph-mk-symmetric*[*intro*]: *pair-fin-digraph*  $(\text{mk-symmetric } G)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (*in digraph*) *digraph-mk-symmetric*[*intro*]: *pair-digraph*  $(\text{mk-symmetric } G)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (*in wf-digraph*) *reachable-mk-symmetricI*:  
**assumes**  $u \rightarrow^* v$  **shows**  $u \rightarrow^* \text{mk-symmetric } G \ v$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *adj-mk-symmetric-eq*:  
*symmetric G*  $\implies$  *parcs (mk-symmetric G) = arcs-ends G*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *reachable-mk-symmetric-eq*:  
**assumes** *symmetric G* **shows**  $u \rightarrow^* \text{mk-symmetric } G \ v \longleftrightarrow u \rightarrow^* v$  (is ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *mk-symmetric-awalk-imp-awalk*:  
**assumes** *sym: symmetric G*  
**assumes** *walk: pre-digraph.awalk (mk-symmetric G) u p v*  
**obtains** *q where awalk u q v*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *symmetric-mk-symmetric*:  
*symmetric (mk-symmetric G)*  
*<proof>*

## 8.4 Subgraphs and Induced Subgraphs

**lemma** *subgraph-trans*:  
**assumes** *subgraph G H subgraph H I* **shows** *subgraph G I*  
*<proof>*

The *digraph* and *fin-digraph* properties are preserved under the (inverse) subgraph relation

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *fin-digraph-subgraph*:  
**assumes** *subgraph H G* **shows** *fin-digraph H*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *digraph*) *digraph-subgraph*:  
**assumes** *subgraph H G* **shows** *digraph H*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *adj-mono*:  
**assumes**  $u \rightarrow_H v$  *subgraph H G*  
**shows**  $u \rightarrow v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *reachable-mono*:  
**assumes** *walk: u  $\rightarrow^*_H$  v* **and** *sub: subgraph H G*  
**shows**  $u \rightarrow^* v$   
*<proof>*

Arc walks and paths are preserved under the subgraph relation.

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *subgraph-awalk-imp-awalk*:

**assumes** *walk*: *pre-digraph.awalk*  $H\ u\ p\ v$   
**assumes** *sub*: *subgraph*  $H\ G$   
**shows** *awalk*  $u\ p\ v$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *subgraph-apath-imp-apath*:  
**assumes** *path*: *pre-digraph.apath*  $H\ u\ p\ v$   
**assumes** *sub*: *subgraph*  $H\ G$   
**shows** *apath*  $u\ p\ v$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *subgraph-mk-symmetric*:  
**assumes** *subgraph*  $H\ G$   
**shows** *subgraph* (*mk-symmetric*  $H$ ) (*mk-symmetric*  $G$ )  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *fin-digraph*) *subgraph-in-degree*:  
**assumes** *subgraph*  $H\ G$   
**shows** *in-degree*  $H\ v \leq$  *in-degree*  $G\ v$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *subgraph-cycle*:  
**assumes** *subgraph*  $H\ G$  *pre-digraph.cycle*  $H\ p$  **shows** *cycle*  $p$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *subgraph-del-vert*: *subgraph* (*del-vert*  $u$ )  $G$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *subgraph-del-arc*: *subgraph* (*del-arc*  $a$ )  $G$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

## 8.5 Induced subgraphs

**lemma** *wf-digraphI-induced*:  
**assumes** *induced-subgraph*  $H\ G$   
**shows** *wf-digraph*  $H$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *digraph*) *digraphI-induced*:  
**assumes** *induced-subgraph*  $H\ G$   
**shows** *digraph*  $H$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

Computes the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $vs$

**definition** *induce-subgraph*  $::$  ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$   $'a$  *set*  $\Rightarrow$  ( $'a, 'b$ ) *pre-digraph*  
**(infix  $\uparrow$  67) where**  
 $G \uparrow vs = (\downarrow$  *verts*  $= vs,$  *arcs*  $= \{e \in$  *arcs*  $G.$  *tail*  $G\ e \in vs \wedge$  *head*  $G\ e \in vs\},$   
 $\textit{tail} = \textit{tail}\ G,$  *head*  $= \textit{head}\ G\ \downarrow)$

**lemma** *induce-subgraph-verts*[simp]:

$verts (G \upharpoonright vs) = vs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *induce-subgraph-arcs*[simp]:

$arcs (G \upharpoonright vs) = \{e \in arcs\ G. tail\ G\ e \in vs \wedge head\ G\ e \in vs\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *induce-subgraph-tail*[simp]:

$tail (G \upharpoonright vs) = tail\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *induce-subgraph-head*[simp]:

$head (G \upharpoonright vs) = head\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *compatible-induce-subgraph*: *compatible*  $(G \upharpoonright S)\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *induced-induce*[intro]:

**assumes**  $vs \subseteq verts\ G$

**shows** *induced-subgraph*  $(G \upharpoonright vs)\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *wellformed-induce-subgraph*[intro]:

*wf-digraph*  $(G \upharpoonright vs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *induced-graph-imp-symmetric*:

**assumes** *symmetric*  $G$

**assumes** *induced-subgraph*  $H\ G$

**shows** *symmetric*  $H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *sym-digraph*) *induced-graph-imp-graph*:

**assumes** *induced-subgraph*  $H\ G$

**shows** *sym-digraph*  $H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *induce-reachable-preserves-paths*:

**assumes**  $u \rightarrow^*_{G} v$

**shows**  $u \rightarrow^*_{G \upharpoonright \{w. u \rightarrow^*_{G} w\}} v$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *induce-subgraph-ends*[simp]:

$arc-to-ends (G \upharpoonright S) = arc-to-ends\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *dominates-induce-subgraphD*:

**assumes**  $u \rightarrow_G \upharpoonright_S v$  **shows**  $u \rightarrow_G v$   
*<proof>*

**context** *wf-digraph begin*

**lemma** *reachable-induce-subgraphD*:  
**assumes**  $u \rightarrow^*_G \upharpoonright_S v$   $S \subseteq \text{verts } G$  **shows**  $u \rightarrow^*_G v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *dominates-induce-ss*:  
**assumes**  $u \rightarrow_G \upharpoonright_S v$   $S \subseteq T$  **shows**  $u \rightarrow_G \upharpoonright_T v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *reachable-induce-ss*:  
**assumes**  $u \rightarrow^*_G \upharpoonright_S v$   $S \subseteq T$  **shows**  $u \rightarrow^*_G \upharpoonright_T v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-verts-induce*:  
*pre-digraph.awalk-verts*  $(G \upharpoonright_S) = \text{awalk-verts}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in**  $-$ ) *cas-subset*:  
**assumes** *pre-digraph.cas*  $G$   $u$   $p$   $v$  *subgraph*  $G$   $H$   
**shows** *pre-digraph.cas*  $H$   $u$   $p$   $v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cas-induce*:  
**assumes** *cas*  $u$   $p$   $v$  *set*  $(\text{awalk-verts } u \ p) \subseteq S$   
**shows** *pre-digraph.cas*  $(G \upharpoonright_S)$   $u$   $p$   $v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-induce*:  
**assumes** *awalk*  $u$   $p$   $v$  *set*  $(\text{awalk-verts } u \ p) \subseteq S$   
**shows** *pre-digraph.awalk*  $(G \upharpoonright_S)$   $u$   $p$   $v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subgraph-induce-subgraphI*:  
**assumes**  $V \subseteq \text{verts } G$  **shows** *subgraph*  $(G \upharpoonright_V)$   $G$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**lemma** *induced-subgraphI'*:  
**assumes** *subg:subgraph*  $H$   $G$   
**assumes** *max*:  $\bigwedge H'. \text{subgraph } H' \ G \implies (\text{verts } H' \neq \text{verts } H \vee \text{arcs } H' \subseteq \text{arcs } H)$   
**shows** *induced-subgraph*  $H$   $G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *induced-subgraph-altdef*:  
*induced-subgraph*  $H\ G \longleftrightarrow \text{subgraph } H\ G \wedge (\forall H'. \text{subgraph } H'\ G \longrightarrow (\text{verts } H' \neq \text{verts } H \vee \text{arcs } H' \subseteq \text{arcs } H))$  (is ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)  
 ⟨proof⟩

## 8.6 Unions of Graphs

**lemma**  
*verts-union[simp]*:  $\text{verts } (\text{union } G\ H) = \text{verts } G \cup \text{verts } H$  **and**  
*arcs-union[simp]*:  $\text{arcs } (\text{union } G\ H) = \text{arcs } G \cup \text{arcs } H$  **and**  
*tail-union[simp]*:  $\text{tail } (\text{union } G\ H) = \text{tail } G$  **and**  
*head-union[simp]*:  $\text{head } (\text{union } G\ H) = \text{head } G$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *wellformed-union*:  
**assumes** *wf-digraph*  $G\ H$  *compatible*  $G\ H$   
**shows** *wf-digraph*  $(\text{union } G\ H)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *subgraph-union-iff*:  
**assumes** *wf-digraph*  $H1\ H2$  *compatible*  $H1\ H2$   
**shows**  $\text{subgraph } (\text{union } H1\ H2)\ G \longleftrightarrow \text{subgraph } H1\ G \wedge \text{subgraph } H2\ G$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *subgraph-union[intro]*:  
**assumes** *subgraph*  $H1\ G$  *compatible*  $H1\ G$   
**assumes** *subgraph*  $H2\ G$  *compatible*  $H2\ G$   
**shows** *subgraph*  $(\text{union } H1\ H2)\ G$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *union-fin-digraph*:  
**assumes** *fin-digraph*  $G\ H$  *compatible*  $G\ H$   
**shows** *fin-digraph*  $(\text{union } G\ H)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *subgraphs-of-union*:  
**assumes** *wf-digraph*  $G\ G'$  *compatible*  $G\ G'$   
**shows** *subgraph*  $G\ (\text{union } G\ G')$   
**and** *subgraph*  $G'\ (\text{union } G\ G')$   
 ⟨proof⟩

## 8.7 Maximal Subgraphs

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *max-subgraph-mp*:  
**assumes** *max-subgraph*  $Q\ x \wedge x. P\ x \implies Q\ x\ P\ x$  **shows** *max-subgraph*  $P\ x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *max-subgraph-prop*: *max-subgraph*  $P\ x \implies P\ x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *max-subgraph-subg-eq*:  
**assumes** *max-subgraph P H1 max-subgraph P H2 subgraph H1 H2*  
**shows**  $H1 = H2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subgraph-induce-subgraphI2*:  
**assumes** *subgraph H G* **shows** *subgraph H (G  $\upharpoonright$  verts H)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *arc-mono* :: ( $(a, b)$  *pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*)  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*arc-mono P*  $\equiv (\forall H1 H2. P H1 \wedge \text{subgraph } H1 H2 \wedge \text{verts } H1 = \text{verts } H2 \longrightarrow P H2)$

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *induced-subgraphI-arc-mono*:  
**assumes** *max-subgraph P H*  
**assumes** *arc-mono P*  
**shows** *induced-subgraph H G*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *induced-subgraph-altdef2*:  
*induced-subgraph H G*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *max-subgraph* ( $\lambda H'. \text{verts } H' = \text{verts } H$ ) *H* (**is** ?*L*  
 $\longleftrightarrow$  ?*R*)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *max-subgraphI*:  
**assumes**  $P x \text{ subgraph } x G \wedge y. \llbracket x \neq y; \text{subgraph } x y; \text{subgraph } y G \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \neg P y$   
**shows** *max-subgraph P x*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *subgraphI-max-subgraph*: *max-subgraph P x*  $\Longrightarrow$  *subgraph x G*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 8.8 Connected and Strongly Connected Graphs

**context** *wf-digraph begin*

**lemma** *in-sccs-verts-conv-reachable*:  
 $S \in \text{sccs-verts} \longleftrightarrow S \neq \{\} \wedge (\forall u \in S. \forall v \in S. u \rightarrow^*_G v) \wedge (\forall u \in S. \forall v. v \notin S \longrightarrow \neg u \rightarrow^*_G v \vee \neg v \rightarrow^*_G u)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sccs-verts-disjoint*:  
**assumes**  $S \in \text{sccs-verts } T \in \text{sccs-verts } S \neq T$  **shows**  $S \cap T = \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *strongly-connected-spanning-imp-strongly-connected*:  
**assumes** *spanning H G*

**assumes** *strongly-connected*  $H$   
**shows** *strongly-connected*  $G$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *strongly-connected-imp- induce-subgraph-strongly-connected*:  
**assumes** *subg*: *subgraph*  $H$   $G$   
**assumes** *sc*: *strongly-connected*  $H$   
**shows** *strongly-connected*  $(G \upharpoonright (\text{verts } H))$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *in-sccs-vertsI-sccs*:  
**assumes**  $S \in \text{verts}$  ‘ *sccs* **shows**  $S \in \text{sccs-verts}$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**end**

**lemma** *arc-mono-strongly-connected*[*intro,simp*]: *arc-mono* *strongly-connected*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (**in** *pre-digraph*) *sccs-altdef2*:  
 $\text{sccs} = \{H. \text{max-subgraph } \text{strongly-connected } H\}$  (**is**  $?L = ?R$ )  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**locale** *max-reachable-set* = *wf-digraph* +  
**fixes**  $S$  **assumes** *S-in-sv*:  $S \in \text{sccs-verts}$   
**begin**

**lemma** *reach-in*:  $\bigwedge u v. \llbracket u \in S; v \in S \rrbracket \implies u \rightarrow^*_G v$   
**and** *not-reach-out*:  $\bigwedge u v. \llbracket u \in S; v \notin S \rrbracket \implies \neg u \rightarrow^*_G v \vee \neg v \rightarrow^*_G u$   
**and** *not-empty*:  $S \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *reachable-induced*:  
**assumes** *conn*:  $u \in S$   $v \in S$   $u \rightarrow^*_G v$   
**shows**  $u \rightarrow^*_G \upharpoonright_S v$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *strongly-connected*:  
**shows** *strongly-connected*  $(G \upharpoonright S)$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *induced-in-sccs*:  $G \upharpoonright S \in \text{sccs}$   
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**end**

**context** *wf-digraph* **begin**

**lemma** *in-verts-sccsD-sccs*:  
**assumes**  $S \in \text{sccs-verts}$



**shows**  $G \upharpoonright S \in sccs$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sccs-verts-conv*:  $sccs\text{-}verts = verts \text{ ' } sccs$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *induce-eq-iff-induced*:  
**assumes** *induced-subgraph*  $H G$  **shows**  $G \upharpoonright \text{verts } H = H$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *sccs-conv-sccs-verts*:  $sccs = \text{induce-subgraph } G \text{ ' } sccs\text{-}verts$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**lemma** *connected-conv*:  
**shows**  $\text{connected } G \longleftrightarrow \text{verts } G \neq \{\} \wedge (\forall u \in \text{verts } G. \forall v \in \text{verts } G. (u,v) \in \text{rtranc1-on } (\text{verts } G) ((\text{arcs-ends } G)^s))$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *symmetric-connected-imp-strongly-connected*:  
**assumes** *symmetric*  $G$  *connected*  $G$   
**shows** *strongly-connected*  $G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *connected-spanning-imp-connected*:  
**assumes** *spanning*  $H G$   
**assumes** *connected*  $H$   
**shows** *connected*  $G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *spanning-tree-imp-connected*:  
**assumes** *spanning-tree*  $H G$   
**shows** *connected*  $G$   
*<proof>*

**term** *LEAST*  $x. P x$

**lemma** (**in** *sym-digraph*) *induce-reachable-is-in-sccs*:  
**assumes**  $u \in \text{verts } G$   
**shows**  $(G \upharpoonright \{v. u \rightarrow^* v\}) \in sccs$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *induced-eq-verts-imp-eq*:  
**assumes** *induced-subgraph*  $G H$   
**assumes** *induced-subgraph*  $G' H$   
**assumes**  $\text{verts } G = \text{verts } G'$   
**shows**  $G = G'$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *in-sccs-subset-imp-eq*:

**assumes**  $c \in \text{sccs}$

**assumes**  $d \in \text{sccs}$

**assumes**  $\text{verts } c \subseteq \text{verts } d$

**shows**  $c = d$

*<proof>*

**context** *wf-digraph* **begin**

**lemma** *connectedI*:

**assumes**  $\text{verts } G \neq \{\}$   $\bigwedge u v. u \in \text{verts } G \implies v \in \text{verts } G \implies u \rightarrow^* \text{mk-symmetric } G$

$v$

**shows** *connected*  $G$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *connected-awalkE*:

**assumes** *connected*  $G$   $u \in \text{verts } G$   $v \in \text{verts } G$

**obtains**  $p$  **where** *pre-digraph.awalk* (*mk-symmetric*  $G$ )  $u$   $p$   $v$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *inj-on-verts-sccs*: *inj-on*  $\text{verts sccs}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *card-sccs-verts*: *card sccs-verts* = *card sccs*

*<proof>*

**end**

**lemma** *strongly-connected-non-disj*:

**assumes** *wf*: *wf-digraph*  $G$  *wf-digraph*  $H$  *compatible*  $G$   $H$

**assumes** *sc*: *strongly-connected*  $G$  *strongly-connected*  $H$

**assumes** *not-disj*:  $\text{verts } G \cap \text{verts } H \neq \{\}$

**shows** *strongly-connected* (*union*  $G$   $H$ )

*<proof>*

**context** *wf-digraph* **begin**

**lemma** *scc-disj*:

**assumes** *scc*:  $c \in \text{sccs}$   $d \in \text{sccs}$

**assumes**  $c \neq d$

**shows**  $\text{verts } c \cap \text{verts } d = \{\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-sccs-verts-conv*:

$S \in \text{sccs-verts} \longleftrightarrow G \upharpoonright S \in \text{sccs}$

*<proof>*

**end**

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *in-scc-of-self*:  $u \in \text{verts } G \implies u \in \text{scc-of } u$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *scc-of-empty-conv*:  $\text{scc-of } u = \{\} \iff u \notin \text{verts } G$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *scc-of-in-sccs-verts*:  
**assumes**  $u \in \text{verts } G$  **shows**  $\text{scc-of } u \in \text{sccs-verts}$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *sccs-verts-subsets*:  $S \in \text{sccs-verts} \implies S \subseteq \text{verts } G$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *finite-sccs-verts*: *finite sccs-verts*  
(*proof*)

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *sccs-verts-conv-scc-of*:  
 $\text{sccs-verts} = \text{scc-of } \text{'verts } G$  (**is** ?*L* = ?*R*)  
(*proof*)

**lemma** (in *sym-digraph*) *scc-ofI-reachable*:  
**assumes**  $u \rightarrow^* v$  **shows**  $u \in \text{scc-of } v$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** (in *sym-digraph*) *scc-ofI-reachable'*:  
**assumes**  $v \rightarrow^* u$  **shows**  $u \in \text{scc-of } v$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** (in *sym-digraph*) *scc-ofI-awalk*:  
**assumes** *awalk*  $u$   $p$   $v$  **shows**  $u \in \text{scc-of } v$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** (in *sym-digraph*) *scc-ofI-apat*:  
**assumes** *apat*  $u$   $p$   $v$  **shows**  $u \in \text{scc-of } v$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *scc-of-eq*:  $u \in \text{scc-of } v \implies \text{scc-of } u = \text{scc-of } v$   
(*proof*)

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *strongly-connected-eq-iff*:  
*strongly-connected*  $G \iff \text{sccs} = \{G\}$  (**is** ?*L*  $\iff$  ?*R*)  
(*proof*)

## 8.9 Components

**lemma** (in *sym-digraph*) *exists-scc*:

**assumes**  $verts\ G \neq \{\}$  **shows**  $\exists c. c \in sccs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** (in *sym-digraph*) *graph-is-union-sccs*:  
**shows**  $Union\ sccs = G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in *sym-digraph*) *scc-for-vert-ex*:  
**assumes**  $u \in verts\ G$   
**shows**  $\exists c. c \in sccs \wedge u \in verts\ c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in *sym-digraph*) *scc-decomp-unique*:  
**assumes**  $S \subseteq sccs\ verts\ (Union\ S) = verts\ G$  **shows**  $S = sccs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory** *Vertex-Walk*  
**imports** *Arc-Walk*  
**begin**

## 9 Walks Based on Vertices

These definitions are here mainly for historical purposes, as they do not really work with multigraphs. Consider using Arc Walks instead.

**type-synonym**  $'a\ vwalk = 'a\ list$

Computes the list of arcs belonging to a list of nodes

**fun** *vwalk-arcs* ::  $'a\ vwalk \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a)\ list$  **where**  
 $vwalk\ arcs\ [] = []$   
 $| vwalk\ arcs\ [x] = []$   
 $| vwalk\ arcs\ (x\#\ y\#\ xs) = (x,y)\ \#\ vwalk\ arcs\ (y\#\ xs)$

**definition** *vwalk-length* ::  $'a\ vwalk \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $vwalk\ length\ p \equiv length\ (vwalk\ arcs\ p)$

**lemma** *vwalk-length-simp*[*simp*]:  
**shows**  $vwalk\ length\ p = length\ p - 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *vwalk* ::  $'a\ vwalk \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)\ pre\ digraph \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $vwalk\ p\ G \equiv set\ p \subseteq verts\ G \wedge set\ (vwalk\ arcs\ p) \subseteq arcs\ ends\ G \wedge p \neq []$

**definition**  $vpath :: 'a vwalk \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) pre-digraph \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $vpath\ p\ G \equiv vwalk\ p\ G \wedge distinct\ p$

For a given vwalk, compute a vpath with the same tail  $G$  and end

**function**  $vwalk-to-vpath :: 'a vwalk \Rightarrow 'a vwalk$  **where**

$vwalk-to-vpath\ [] = []$   
 $| vwalk-to-vpath\ (x \# xs) = (if\ (x \in set\ xs)$   
 $\quad then\ vwalk-to-vpath\ (dropWhile\ (\lambda y. y \neq x)\ xs)$   
 $\quad else\ x \# vwalk-to-vpath\ xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**termination**  $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalkI[intro]:$

**assumes**  $set\ p \subseteq verts\ G$   
**assumes**  $set\ (vwalk-arcs\ p) \subseteq arcs-ends\ G$   
**assumes**  $p \neq []$   
**shows**  $vwalk\ p\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalkE[elim]:$

**assumes**  $vwalk\ p\ G$   
**assumes**  $set\ p \subseteq verts\ G \Longrightarrow$   
 $\quad set\ (vwalk-arcs\ p) \subseteq arcs-ends\ G \wedge p \neq [] \Longrightarrow P$   
**shows**  $P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vpathI[intro]:$

**assumes**  $vwalk\ p\ G$   
**assumes**  $distinct\ p$   
**shows**  $vpath\ p\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vpathE[elim]:$

**assumes**  $vpath\ p\ G$   
**assumes**  $vwalk\ p\ G \Longrightarrow distinct\ p \Longrightarrow P$   
**shows**  $P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalk-consI:$

**assumes**  $vwalk\ p\ G$   
**assumes**  $a \in verts\ G$   
**assumes**  $(a, hd\ p) \in arcs-ends\ G$   
**shows**  $vwalk\ (a \# p)\ G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalk-consE:$

**assumes**  $vwalk\ (a \# p)\ G$

**assumes**  $p \neq []$   
**assumes**  $(a, \text{hd } p) \in \text{arcs-ends } G \implies \text{vwalk } p \ G \implies P$   
**shows**  $P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalk-induct*[*case-names Base Cons, induct pred: vwalk*]:  
**assumes**  $\text{vwalk } p \ G$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge u. u \in \text{verts } G \implies P \ [u]$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge u \ v \ \text{es}. (u, v) \in \text{arcs-ends } G \implies P \ (v \ \# \ \text{es}) \implies P \ (u \ \# \ v \ \# \ \text{es})$   
**shows**  $P \ p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalk-arcs-Cons*[*simp*]:  
**assumes**  $p \neq []$   
**shows**  $\text{vwalk-arcs } (u \ \# \ p) = (u, \text{hd } p) \ \# \ \text{vwalk-arcs } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalk-arcs-append*:  
**assumes**  $p \neq []$  **and**  $q \neq []$   
**shows**  $\text{vwalk-arcs } (p \ @ \ q) = \text{vwalk-arcs } p \ @ \ (\text{last } p, \text{hd } q) \ \# \ \text{vwalk-arcs } q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-vwalk-arcs-append1*:  
 $\text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } p) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } (p \ @ \ q))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-vwalk-arcs-append2*:  
 $\text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } q) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } (p \ @ \ q))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-vwalk-arcs-cons*:  
 $\text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } p) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } (u \ \# \ p))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-vwalk-arcs-snoc*:  
**assumes**  $p \neq []$   
**shows**  $\text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } (p \ @ \ [a]))$   
 $\quad = \text{insert } (\text{last } p, a) (\text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } p))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (*in wf-digraph*) *vwalk-wf-digraph-consI*:  
**assumes**  $\text{vwalk } p \ G$   
**assumes**  $(a, \text{hd } p) \in \text{arcs-ends } G$   
**shows**  $\text{vwalk } (a \ \# \ p) \ G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalkI-append-l*:  
**assumes**  $p \neq []$   
**assumes**  $\text{vwalk } (p \ @ \ q) \ G$

**shows**  $vwalk\ p\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalkI-append-r$ :  
**assumes**  $q \neq []$   
**assumes**  $vwalk\ (p @ q)\ G$   
**shows**  $vwalk\ q\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalk-to-vpath-hd$ :  $hd\ (vwalk-to-vpath\ xs) = hd\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalk-to-vpath-induct3$ [*consumes 0, case-names base in-set not-in-set*]:  
**assumes**  $P\ []$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x\ xs.\ x \in set\ xs \implies P\ (dropWhile\ (\lambda y.\ y \neq x)\ xs)$   
 $\implies P\ (x \# xs)$   
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x\ xs.\ x \notin set\ xs \implies P\ xs \implies P\ (x \# xs)$   
**shows**  $P\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalk-to-vpath-nonempty$ :  
**assumes**  $p \neq []$   
**shows**  $vwalk-to-vpath\ p \neq []$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalk-to-vpath-last$ :  
 $last\ (vwalk-to-vpath\ xs) = last\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalk-to-vpath-subset$ :  
**assumes**  $x \in set\ (vwalk-to-vpath\ xs)$   
**shows**  $x \in set\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalk-to-vpath-cons$ :  
**assumes**  $x \notin set\ xs$   
**shows**  $vwalk-to-vpath\ (x \# xs) = x \# vwalk-to-vpath\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalk-to-vpath-vpath$ :  
**assumes**  $vwalk\ p\ G$   
**shows**  $vpath\ (vwalk-to-vpath\ p)\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $vwalk-imp-ex-vpath$ :  
**assumes**  $vwalk\ p\ G$   
**assumes**  $hd\ p = u$   
**assumes**  $last\ p = v$   
**shows**  $\exists q.\ vpath\ q\ G \wedge hd\ q = u \wedge last\ q = v$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *vwalk-arcs-set-nil:*

**assumes**  $x \in \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } p)$

**shows**  $p \neq []$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-set-vwalk-arcs-append1:*

**assumes**  $x \in \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } p) \vee x \in \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } q)$

**shows**  $x \in \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } (p @ q))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-set-vwalk-arcs-append2:*

**assumes** *nonempty:*  $p \neq [] \ q \neq []$

**assumes** *disj:*  $x \in \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } p) \vee x = (\text{last } p, \text{hd } q)$   
 $\vee x \in \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } q)$

**shows**  $x \in \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } (p @ q))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *arcs-in-vwalk-arcs:*

**assumes**  $u \in \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } p)$

**shows**  $u \in \text{set } p \times \text{set } p$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *set-vwalk-arcs-rev:*

**set**  $(\text{vwalk-arcs } (\text{rev } p)) = \{(v, u). (u, v) \in \text{set } (\text{vwalk-arcs } p)\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *vpath-self:*

**assumes**  $u \in \text{verts } G$

**shows**  $\text{vpath } [u] \ G$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *vwalk-verts-in-verts:*

**assumes**  $\text{vwalk } p \ G$

**assumes**  $u \in \text{set } p$

**shows**  $u \in \text{verts } G$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *vwalk-arcs-tl:*

$\text{vwalk-arcs } (\text{tl } xs) = \text{tl } (\text{vwalk-arcs } xs)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *vwalk-arcs-butlast:*

$\text{vwalk-arcs } (\text{butlast } xs) = \text{butlast } (\text{vwalk-arcs } xs)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *vwalk-arcs-tl-empty:*



$vwalk\text{-}arcs\ xs = [] \implies vwalk\text{-}arcs\ (tl\ xs) = []$   
(proof)

**lemma** *vwalk-arcs-butlast-empty*:

$xs \neq [] \implies vwalk\text{-}arcs\ xs = [] \implies vwalk\text{-}arcs\ (butlast\ xs) = []$   
(proof)

**definition** *joinable* :: 'a *vwalk*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *vwalk*  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

$joinable\ p\ q \equiv last\ p = hd\ q \wedge p \neq [] \wedge q \neq []$

**definition** *vwalk-join* :: 'a *list*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *list*  $\Rightarrow$  'a *list*

(infixr  $\oplus$  65) **where**

$p \oplus q \equiv p @ tl\ q$

**lemma** *joinable-Nil-l-iff[simp]*:  $joinable\ []\ p = False$

**and** *joinable-Nil-r-iff[simp]*:  $joinable\ q\ [] = False$

(proof)

**lemma** *joinable-Cons-l-iff[simp]*:  $p \neq [] \implies joinable\ (v \# p)\ q = joinable\ p\ q$

**and** *joinable-Snoc-r-iff[simp]*:  $q \neq [] \implies joinable\ p\ (q @ [v]) = joinable\ p\ q$

(proof)

**lemma** *joinableI[intro,simp]*:

**assumes**  $last\ p = hd\ q\ p \neq []\ q \neq []$

**shows**  $joinable\ p\ q$

(proof)

**lemma** *vwalk-join-non-Nil[simp]*:

**assumes**  $p \neq []$

**shows**  $p \oplus q \neq []$

(proof)

**lemma** *vwalk-join-Cons[simp]*:

**assumes**  $p \neq []$

**shows**  $(u \# p) \oplus q = u \# p \oplus q$

(proof)

**lemma** *vwalk-join-def2*:

**assumes**  $joinable\ p\ q$

**shows**  $p \oplus q = butlast\ p @ q$

(proof)

**lemma** *vwalk-join-hd'*:

**assumes**  $p \neq []$

**shows**  $hd\ (p \oplus q) = hd\ p$

(proof)

**lemma** *vwalk-join-hd*:

**assumes** *joinable*  $p$   $q$   
**shows**  $hd (p \oplus q) = hd p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalk-join-last*:  
**assumes** *joinable*  $p$   $q$   
**shows**  $last (p \oplus q) = last q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalk-join-Nil[simp]*:  
 $p \oplus [] = p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalk-joinI-vwalk'*:  
**assumes** *vwalk*  $p$   $G$   
**assumes** *vwalk*  $q$   $G$   
**assumes**  $last p = hd q$   
**shows** *vwalk*  $(p \oplus q)$   $G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalk-joinI-vwalk*:  
**assumes** *vwalk*  $p$   $G$   
**assumes** *vwalk*  $q$   $G$   
**assumes** *joinable*  $p$   $q$   
**shows** *vwalk*  $(p \oplus q)$   $G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalk-join-split*:  
**assumes**  $u \in set p$   
**shows**  $\exists q r. p = q \oplus r$   
 $\wedge last q = u \wedge hd r = u \wedge q \neq [] \wedge r \neq []$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalkI-vwalk-join-l*:  
**assumes**  $p \neq []$   
**assumes** *vwalk*  $(p \oplus q)$   $G$   
**shows** *vwalk*  $p$   $G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalkI-vwalk-join-r*:  
**assumes** *joinable*  $p$   $q$   
**assumes** *vwalk*  $(p \oplus q)$   $G$   
**shows** *vwalk*  $q$   $G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalk-join-assoc'*:  
**assumes**  $p \neq []$   $q \neq []$   
**shows**  $(p \oplus q) \oplus r = p \oplus q \oplus r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *vwalk-join-assoc*:

**assumes** *joinable p q joinable q r*

**shows**  $(p \oplus q) \oplus r = p \oplus q \oplus r$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *joinable-vwalk-join-r-iff*:

*joinable p (q \oplus r) \longleftrightarrow joinable p q \vee (q = [] \wedge joinable p (tl r))*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *joinable-vwalk-join-l-iff*:

**assumes** *joinable p q*

**shows** *joinable (p \oplus q) r \longleftrightarrow joinable q r (is ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)*

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *joinable-simps =*

*joinable-vwalk-join-l-iff*

*joinable-vwalk-join-r-iff*

**lemma** *joinable-cyclic-omit*:

**assumes** *joinable p q joinable q r joinable q q*

**shows** *joinable p r*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *joinable-non-Nil*:

**assumes** *joinable p q*

**shows**  $p \neq [] \wedge q \neq []$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *vwalk-join-vwalk-length[simp]*:

**assumes** *joinable p q*

**shows**  $vwalk-length (p \oplus q) = vwalk-length p + vwalk-length q$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *vwalk-join-arcs*:

**assumes** *joinable p q*

**shows**  $vwalk-arcs (p \oplus q) = vwalk-arcs p @ vwalk-arcs q$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *vwalk-join-arcs1*:

**assumes**  $set (vwalk-arcs p) \subseteq E$

**assumes**  $p = q \oplus r$

**shows**  $set (vwalk-arcs q) \subseteq E$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *vwalk-join-arcs2*:

**assumes**  $set (vwalk-arcs p) \subseteq E$

**assumes** *joinable q r*

**assumes**  $p = q \oplus r$

**shows**  $set (vwalk\text{-}arcs\ r) \subseteq E$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**definition**  $concat\text{-}vpath :: 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$  **where**  
 $concat\text{-}vpath\ p\ q \equiv vwalk\text{-}to\text{-}vpath\ (p \oplus q)$

**lemma**  $concat\text{-}vpath\text{-}is\text{-}vpath$ :  
**assumes**  $p\text{-}props: vwalk\ p\ G\ hd\ p = u\ last\ p = v$   
**assumes**  $q\text{-}props: vwalk\ q\ G\ hd\ q = v\ last\ q = w$   
**shows**  $vpath\ (concat\text{-}vpath\ p\ q)\ G \wedge hd\ (concat\text{-}vpath\ p\ q) = u$   
 $\wedge last\ (concat\text{-}vpath\ p\ q) = w$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $concat\text{-}vpath\text{-}exists$ :  
**assumes**  $p\text{-}props: vwalk\ p\ G\ hd\ p = u\ last\ p = v$   
**assumes**  $q\text{-}props: vwalk\ q\ G\ hd\ q = v\ last\ q = w$   
**obtains**  $r$  **where**  $vpath\ r\ G\ hd\ r = u\ last\ r = w$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (**in**  $fin\text{-}digraph$ )  $vpaths\text{-}finite$ :  
**shows**  $finite\ \{p.\ vpath\ p\ G\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (**in**  $wf\text{-}digraph$ )  $reachable\text{-}vwalk\text{-}conv$ :  
 $u \xrightarrow{*} G v \iff (\exists p.\ vwalk\ p\ G \wedge hd\ p = u \wedge last\ p = v)$  (**is**  $?L \iff ?R$ )  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (**in**  $wf\text{-}digraph$ )  $reachable\text{-}vpath\text{-}conv$ :  
 $u \xrightarrow{*} G v \iff (\exists p.\ vpath\ p\ G \wedge hd\ p = u \wedge last\ p = v)$  (**is**  $?L \iff ?R$ )  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $in\text{-}set\text{-}vwalk\text{-}arcsE$ :  
**assumes**  $(u,v) \in set\ (vwalk\text{-}arcs\ p)$   
**obtains**  $u \in set\ p\ v \in set\ p$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $vwalk\text{-}rev\text{-}ex$ :  
**assumes**  $symmetric\ G$   
**assumes**  $vwalk\ p\ G$   
**shows**  $vwalk\ (rev\ p)\ G$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma**  $vwalk\text{-}singleton[simp]$ :  $vwalk\ [u]\ G = (u \in verts\ G)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (**in**  $wf\text{-}digraph$ )  $vwalk\text{-}Cons\text{-}Cons[simp]$ :  
 $vwalk\ (u \# v \# ws)\ G = ((u,v) \in arcs\text{-}ends\ G \wedge vwalk\ (v \# ws)\ G)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma (in wf-digraph) awalk-imp-vwalk:
  assumes awalk u p v shows vwalk (awalk-verts u p) G
  <proof>

```

```

end

```

```

theory Digraph-Component-Vwalk
imports
  Digraph-Component
  Vertex-Walk
begin

```

## 10 Lemmas for Vertex Walks

```

lemma vwalkI-subgraph:
  assumes vwalk p H
  assumes subgraph H G
  shows vwalk p G
  <proof>

```

```

lemma vpathI-subgraph:
  assumes vpath p G
  assumes subgraph G H
  shows vpath p H
  <proof>

```

```

lemma (in loopfree-digraph) vpathI-arc:
  assumes (a,b) ∈ arcs-ends G
  shows vpath [a,b] G
  <proof>

```

```

end
theory Digraph-Isomorphism imports
  Arc-Walk
  Digraph
  Digraph-Component
begin

```

## 11 Isomorphisms of Digraphs

```

record ('a,'b,'aa,'bb) digraph-isomorphism =
  iso-verts :: 'a ⇒ 'aa
  iso-arcs :: 'b ⇒ 'bb
  iso-head :: 'bb ⇒ 'aa
  iso-tail :: 'bb ⇒ 'aa

```

**definition** (in *pre-digraph*) *digraph-isomorphism* :: ('a,'b,'aa,'bb) *digraph-isomorphism* ⇒ *bool* **where**

*digraph-isomorphism* *hom* ≡  
*wf-digraph* *G* ∧  
*inj-on* (*iso-verts* *hom*) (*verts* *G*) ∧  
*inj-on* (*iso-arcs* *hom*) (*arcs* *G*) ∧  
(∀ *a* ∈ *arcs* *G*.  
*iso-verts* *hom* (*tail* *G* *a*) = *iso-tail* *hom* (*iso-arcs* *hom* *a*) ∧  
*iso-verts* *hom* (*head* *G* *a*) = *iso-head* *hom* (*iso-arcs* *hom* *a*))

**definition** (in *pre-digraph*) *inv-iso* :: ('a,'b,'aa,'bb) *digraph-isomorphism* ⇒ ('aa,'bb,'a,'b) *digraph-isomorphism* **where**

*inv-iso* *hom* ≡ (  
*iso-verts* = *the-inv-into* (*verts* *G*) (*iso-verts* *hom*),  
*iso-arcs* = *the-inv-into* (*arcs* *G*) (*iso-arcs* *hom*),  
*iso-head* = *head* *G*,  
*iso-tail* = *tail* *G*  
>)

**definition** *app-iso*

:: ('a,'b,'aa,'bb) *digraph-isomorphism* ⇒ ('a,'b) *pre-digraph* ⇒ ('aa,'bb) *pre-digraph*

**where**

*app-iso* *hom* *G* ≡ (  
*verts* = *iso-verts* *hom* ' *verts* *G*, *arcs* = *iso-arcs* *hom* ' *arcs* *G*,  
*tail* = *iso-tail* *hom*, *head* = *iso-head* *hom* )

**definition** *digraph-iso* :: ('a,'b) *pre-digraph* ⇒ ('c,'d) *pre-digraph* ⇒ *bool* **where**  
*digraph-iso* *G* *H* ≡ ∃ *f*. *pre-digraph.digraph-isomorphism* *G* *f* ∧ *H* = *app-iso* *f* *G*

**lemma** *verts-app-iso*: *verts* (*app-iso* *hom* *G*) = *iso-verts* *hom* ' *verts* *G*

**and** *arcs-app-iso*: *arcs* (*app-iso* *hom* *G*) = *iso-arcs* *hom* ' *arcs* *G*

**and** *tail-app-iso*: *tail* (*app-iso* *hom* *G*) = *iso-tail* *hom*

**and** *head-app-iso*: *head* (*app-iso* *hom* *G*) = *iso-head* *hom*

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *app-iso-simps*[*simp*] = *verts-app-iso* *arcs-app-iso* *tail-app-iso* *head-app-iso*

**context** *pre-digraph* **begin**

**lemma**

**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism* *hom*

**shows** *iso-verts-inv-iso*: ∧ *u*. *u* ∈ *verts* *G* ⇒ *iso-verts* (*inv-iso* *hom*) (*iso-verts* *hom* *u*) = *u*

**and** *iso-arcs-inv-iso*: ∧ *a*. *a* ∈ *arcs* *G* ⇒ *iso-arcs* (*inv-iso* *hom*) (*iso-arcs* *hom* *a*) = *a*

**and** *iso-verts-iso-inv*: ∧ *u*. *u* ∈ *verts* (*app-iso* *hom* *G*) ⇒ *iso-verts* *hom* (*iso-verts* (*inv-iso* *hom*) *u*) = *u*

**and** *iso-arcs-iso-inv*: ∧ *a*. *a* ∈ *arcs* (*app-iso* *hom* *G*) ⇒ *iso-arcs* *hom* (*iso-arcs* (*inv-iso* *hom*) *a*) = *a*

**and** *iso-tail-inv-iso*:  $iso\text{-tail } (inv\text{-iso } hom) = tail\ G$   
**and** *iso-head-inv-iso*:  $iso\text{-head } (inv\text{-iso } hom) = head\ G$   
**and** *verts-app-inv-iso*:  $iso\text{-verts } (inv\text{-iso } hom) \text{ ' } iso\text{-verts } hom \text{ ' } verts\ G = verts\ G$   
**and** *arcs-app-inv-iso*:  $iso\text{-arcs } (inv\text{-iso } hom) \text{ ' } iso\text{-arcs } hom \text{ ' } arcs\ G = arcs\ G$   
*<proof>*

**lemmas** *iso-inv-simps*[*simp*] =  
*iso-verts-inv-iso iso-verts-iso-inv*  
*iso-arcs-inv-iso iso-arcs-iso-inv*  
*verts-app-inv-iso arcs-app-inv-iso*  
*iso-tail-inv-iso iso-head-inv-iso*

**lemma** *app-iso-inv*[*simp*]:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**shows**  $app\text{-iso } (inv\text{-iso } hom) (app\text{-iso } hom\ G) = G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *iso-verts-eq-iff*[*simp*]:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom u ∈ verts G v ∈ verts G*  
**shows**  $iso\text{-verts } hom\ u = iso\text{-verts } hom\ v \longleftrightarrow u = v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *iso-arcs-eq-iff*[*simp*]:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom e1 ∈ arcs G e2 ∈ arcs G*  
**shows**  $iso\text{-arcs } hom\ e1 = iso\text{-arcs } hom\ e2 \longleftrightarrow e1 = e2$   
*<proof>*

**lemma**  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom e ∈ arcs G*  
**shows** *iso-verts-tail*:  $iso\text{-tail } hom (iso\text{-arcs } hom\ e) = iso\text{-verts } hom (tail\ G\ e)$   
**and** *iso-verts-head*:  $iso\text{-head } hom (iso\text{-arcs } hom\ e) = iso\text{-verts } hom (head\ G\ e)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *digraph-isomorphism-inj-on-arcs*:  
*digraph-isomorphism hom*  $\implies inj\text{-on } (iso\text{-arcs } hom) (arcs\ G)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *digraph-isomorphism-inj-on-verts*:  
*digraph-isomorphism hom*  $\implies inj\text{-on } (iso\text{-verts } hom) (verts\ G)$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**lemma** (**in** *wf-digraph*) *wf-digraphI-app-iso*[*intro?*]:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**shows** *wf-digraph* ( $app\text{-iso } hom\ G$ )  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *fin-digraphI-app-iso*[intro?]:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**shows** *fin-digraph (app-iso hom G)*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**context** *wf-digraph begin*

**lemma** *digraph-isomorphism-invI*:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom* **shows** *pre-digraph.digraph-isomorphism (app-iso hom G) (inv-iso hom)*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *awalk-app-isoI*:  
**assumes** *awalk u p v* **and** *hom: digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**shows** *pre-digraph.awalk (app-iso hom G) (iso-verts hom u) (map (iso-arcs hom) p) (iso-verts hom v)*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *awalk-app-isoD*:  
**assumes** *w: pre-digraph.awalk (app-iso hom G) u p v* **and** *hom: digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**shows** *awalk (iso-verts (inv-iso hom) u) (map (iso-arcs (inv-iso hom)) p) (iso-verts (inv-iso hom) v)*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *awalk-verts-app-iso-eq*:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom* **and** *awalk u p v*  
**shows** *pre-digraph.awalk-verts (app-iso hom G) (iso-verts hom u) (map (iso-arcs hom) p)*  
 $= \text{map } (iso-verts \text{ hom}) (awalk-verts u p)$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *arcs-ends-app-iso-eq*:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**shows** *arcs-ends (app-iso hom G) =  $(\lambda(u,v). (iso-verts hom u, iso-verts hom v))$*   
 $\text{' arcs-ends } G$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *in-arcs-app-iso-eq*:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom* **and**  $u \in \text{verts } G$   
**shows** *in-arcs (app-iso hom G) (iso-verts hom u) = iso-arcs hom ' in-arcs G u*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *out-arcs-app-iso-eq*:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom* **and**  $u \in \text{verts } G$   
**shows** *out-arcs (app-iso hom G) (iso-verts hom u) = iso-arcs hom ' out-arcs G u*



*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-degree-app-iso-eq*:

**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom* **and**  $u \in \text{verts } G$

**shows**  $\text{in-degree } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G) (\text{iso-verts } \text{hom } u) = \text{in-degree } G u$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *out-degree-app-iso-eq*:

**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom* **and**  $u \in \text{verts } G$

**shows**  $\text{out-degree } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G) (\text{iso-verts } \text{hom } u) = \text{out-degree } G u$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-arcs-app-iso-eq'*:

**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom* **and**  $u \in \text{verts } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G)$

**shows**  $\text{in-arcs } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G) u = \text{iso-arcs } \text{hom } ' \text{in-arcs } G (\text{iso-verts } (\text{inv-iso } \text{hom}) u)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *out-arcs-app-iso-eq'*:

**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom* **and**  $u \in \text{verts } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G)$

**shows**  $\text{out-arcs } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G) u = \text{iso-arcs } \text{hom } ' \text{out-arcs } G (\text{iso-verts } (\text{inv-iso } \text{hom}) u)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-degree-app-iso-eq'*:

**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom* **and**  $u \in \text{verts } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G)$

**shows**  $\text{in-degree } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G) u = \text{in-degree } G (\text{iso-verts } (\text{inv-iso } \text{hom}) u)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *out-degree-app-iso-eq'*:

**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom* **and**  $u \in \text{verts } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G)$

**shows**  $\text{out-degree } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G) u = \text{out-degree } G (\text{iso-verts } (\text{inv-iso } \text{hom}) u)$

*<proof>*

**lemmas** *app-iso-eq =*

*awalk-verts-app-iso-eq*

*arcs-ends-app-iso-eq*

*in-arcs-app-iso-eq'*

*out-arcs-app-iso-eq'*

*in-degree-app-iso-eq'*

*out-degree-app-iso-eq'*

**lemma** *reachableI-app-iso*:

**assumes**  $r: u \rightarrow^* v$  **and** *digraph-isomorphism hom*

**shows**  $(\text{iso-verts } \text{hom } u) \rightarrow^* \text{app-iso } \text{hom } G (\text{iso-verts } \text{hom } v)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-app-iso-eq*:

**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom*

**assumes**  $u \in \text{iso-verts } \text{hom} \text{ ' } \text{verts } G \ v \in \text{iso-verts } \text{hom} \text{ ' } \text{verts } G \ \text{set } p \subseteq \text{iso-arcs } \text{hom} \text{ ' } \text{arcs } G$   
**shows**  $\text{pre-digraph.awalk } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G) \ u \ p \ v$   
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{awalk } (\text{iso-verts } (\text{inv-iso } \text{hom}) \ u) \ (\text{map } (\text{iso-arcs } (\text{inv-iso } \text{hom})) \ p) \ (\text{iso-verts } (\text{inv-iso } \text{hom}) \ v)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *reachable-app-iso-eq*:

**assumes**  $\text{hom}: \text{digraph-isomorphism } \text{hom}$   
**assumes**  $u \in \text{iso-verts } \text{hom} \text{ ' } \text{verts } G \ v \in \text{iso-verts } \text{hom} \text{ ' } \text{verts } G$   
**shows**  $u \rightarrow^* \text{app-iso } \text{hom } G \ v \longleftrightarrow \text{iso-verts } (\text{inv-iso } \text{hom}) \ u \rightarrow^* \text{iso-verts } (\text{inv-iso } \text{hom}) \ v$  **(is ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)**  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *connectedI-app-iso*:

**assumes**  $c: \text{connected } G$  **and**  $\text{hom}: \text{digraph-isomorphism } \text{hom}$   
**shows**  $\text{connected } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**lemma** *digraph-iso-swap*:

**assumes**  $\text{wf-digraph } G \ \text{digraph-iso } G \ H$  **shows**  $\text{digraph-iso } H \ G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**

$o\text{-iso} :: ('c, 'd, 'e, 'f) \text{ digraph-isomorphism} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c, 'd) \text{ digraph-isomorphism} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'e, 'f) \text{ digraph-isomorphism}$

**where**

$o\text{-iso } \text{hom2 } \text{hom1} = \langle$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \text{iso-verts} = \text{iso-verts } \text{hom2} \ o \ \text{iso-verts } \text{hom1},$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \text{iso-arcs} = \text{iso-arcs } \text{hom2} \ o \ \text{iso-arcs } \text{hom1},$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \text{iso-head} = \text{iso-head } \text{hom2},$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \text{iso-tail} = \text{iso-tail } \text{hom2}$   
 $\ \ \ \ \ \rangle$

**lemma** *digraph-iso-trans[trans]*:

**assumes**  $\text{digraph-iso } G \ H \ \text{digraph-iso } H \ I$  **shows**  $\text{digraph-iso } G \ I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** **(in** *pre-digraph*) *digraph-isomorphism-subgraphI*:

**assumes**  $\text{digraph-isomorphism } \text{hom}$   
**assumes**  $\text{subgraph } H \ G$   
**shows**  $\text{pre-digraph.digraph-isomorphism } H \ \text{hom}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** **(in** *wf-digraph*) *verts-app-inv-iso-subgraph*:

**assumes**  $\text{hom}: \text{digraph-isomorphism } \text{hom}$  **and**  $V \subseteq \text{verts } G$

**shows** *iso-verts* (*inv-iso hom*) ‘ *iso-verts hom* ‘  $V = V$   
{proof}

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *arcs-app-inv-iso-subgraph*:  
**assumes** *hom*: *digraph-isomorphism hom* **and**  $A \subseteq \text{arcs } G$   
**shows** *iso-arcs* (*inv-iso hom*) ‘ *iso-arcs hom* ‘  $A = A$   
{proof}

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *app-iso-inv-subgraph[simp]*:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom subgraph H G*  
**shows** *app-iso* (*inv-iso hom*) (*app-iso hom H*) =  $H$   
{proof}

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *app-iso-iso-inv-subgraph[simp]*:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**assumes** *subg*: *subgraph H (app-iso hom G)*  
**shows** *app-iso hom* (*app-iso (inv-iso hom) H*) =  $H$   
{proof}

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *subgraph-app-isoI'*:  
**assumes** *hom*: *digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**assumes** *subg*: *subgraph H H' subgraph H' G*  
**shows** *subgraph* (*app-iso hom H*) (*app-iso hom H'*)  
{proof}

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *subgraph-app-isoI*:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**assumes** *subgraph H G*  
**shows** *subgraph* (*app-iso hom H*) (*app-iso hom G*)  
{proof}

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *app-iso-eq-conv*:  
**assumes** *digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**assumes** *subgraph H1 G subgraph H2 G*  
**shows** *app-iso hom H1 = app-iso hom H2*  $\longleftrightarrow$   $H1 = H2$  (is ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)  
{proof}

**lemma** *in-arcs-app-iso-cases*:  
**assumes**  $a \in \text{arcs } (\text{app-iso hom } G)$   
**obtains**  $a0$  **where**  $a = \text{iso-arcs hom } a0$   $a0 \in \text{arcs } G$   
{proof}

**lemma** *in-verts-app-iso-cases*:  
**assumes**  $v \in \text{verts } (\text{app-iso hom } G)$   
**obtains**  $v0$  **where**  $v = \text{iso-verts hom } v0$   $v0 \in \text{verts } G$   
{proof}

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *max-subgraph-iso*:  
**assumes** *hom*: *digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**assumes** *subg*: *subgraph H (app-iso hom G)*  
**shows** *pre-digraph.max-subgraph (app-iso hom G) P H*  
 $\longleftrightarrow$  *max-subgraph (P o app-iso hom) (app-iso (inv-iso hom) H)*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *max-subgraph-cong*:  
**assumes**  $H = H' \wedge H''$ . *subgraph H' H''  $\implies$  subgraph H'' G  $\implies$  P H'' = P' H''*  
**shows** *max-subgraph P H = max-subgraph P' H'*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *inj-on-app-iso*:  
**assumes** *hom*: *digraph-isomorphism hom*  
**assumes**  $S \subseteq \{H. \text{subgraph } H \ G\}$   
**shows** *inj-on (app-iso hom) S*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

## 11.1 Graph Invariants

**context**

**fixes** *G hom* **assumes** *hom*: *pre-digraph.digraph-isomorphism G hom*  
**begin**

**interpretation** *wf-digraph G*  $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *card-verts-iso[simp]*: *card (iso-verts hom ' verts G) = card (verts G)*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *card-arcs-iso[simp]*: *card (iso-arcs hom ' arcs G) = card (arcs G)*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *strongly-connected-iso[simp]*: *strongly-connected (app-iso hom G)  $\longleftrightarrow$  strongly-connected G*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *subgraph-strongly-connected-iso*:  
**assumes** *subgraph H G*  
**shows** *strongly-connected (app-iso hom H)  $\longleftrightarrow$  strongly-connected H*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *sccs-iso[simp]*: *pre-digraph.sccs (app-iso hom G) = app-iso hom ' sccs (is ?L = ?R)*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**lemma** *card-sccs-iso[simp]*: *card (app-iso hom ' sccs) = card sccs*  
 $\langle$ *proof* $\rangle$

**end**

**end**

**theory** *Funpow*

**imports**

*HOL-Library.FuncSet*

*HOL-Library.Permutations*

**begin**

## 12 Auxiliary Lemmas about $(\sim)$

**lemma** *funpow-simp-l*:  $f ((f \sim n) x) = (f \sim \text{Suc } n) x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *funpow-add-app*:  $(f \sim n) ((f \sim m) x) = (f \sim (n + m)) x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *funpow-mod-eq*:

**assumes**  $(f \sim n) x = x$   $0 < n$  **shows**  $(f \sim (m \bmod n)) x = (f \sim m) x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *id-funpow-id*:

**assumes**  $f x = x$  **shows**  $(f \sim n) x = x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *inv-id-abs[simp]*:  $\text{inv } (\lambda a. a) = \text{id}$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *inj-funpow*:

**fixes**  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$

**assumes** *inj*  $f$  **shows** *inj*  $(f \sim n)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *funpow-inj-finite*:

**assumes** *inj*  $p$  *finite*  $\{(p \sim n) x \mid n. \text{True}\}$

**shows**  $\exists n > 0. (p \sim n) x = x$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *permutes-in-funpow-image*:

**assumes**  $f$  *permutes*  $S$   $x \in S$

**shows**  $(f \sim n) x \in S$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *permutation-self*:

**assumes** *permutation*  $p$  **shows**  $\exists n > 0. (p \sim n) x = x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in**  $-$ ) *funpow-invs*:

**assumes**  $m \leq n$  **and** *inv*:  $\bigwedge x. f (g x) = x$   
**shows**  $(f \overset{\sim}{\sim} m) ((g \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x) = (g \overset{\sim}{\sim} (n - m)) x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 13 Function-power distance between values

**definition** *funpow-dist* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  **where**  
*funpow-dist*  $f x y \equiv \text{LEAST } n. (f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x = y$

**abbreviation** *funpow-dist1* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  **where**  
*funpow-dist1*  $f x y \equiv \text{Suc } (\text{funpow-dist } f (f x) y)$

**lemma** *funpow-dist-0*:  
**assumes**  $x = y$  **shows** *funpow-dist*  $f x y = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *funpow-dist-least*:  
**assumes**  $n < \text{funpow-dist } f x y$  **shows**  $(f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x \neq y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *funpow-dist1-least*:  
**assumes**  $0 < n < \text{funpow-dist1 } f x y$  **shows**  $(f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x \neq y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 14 Cyclic Permutations

**inductive-set** *orbit* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  **set for**  $f x$  **where**  
*base*:  $f x \in \text{orbit } f x$  |  
*step*:  $y \in \text{orbit } f x \implies f y \in \text{orbit } f x$

**definition** *cyclic-on* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a$  **set**  $\Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
*cyclic-on*  $f S \longleftrightarrow (\exists s \in S. S = \text{orbit } f s)$

**lemma** *orbit-altdef*:  $\text{orbit } f x = \{(f \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x \mid n. 0 < n\}$  (**is**  $?L = ?R$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *orbit-trans*:  
**assumes**  $s \in \text{orbit } f t$   $t \in \text{orbit } f u$  **shows**  $s \in \text{orbit } f u$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *orbit-subset*:  
**assumes**  $s \in \text{orbit } f (f t)$  **shows**  $s \in \text{orbit } f t$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *orbit-sim-step*:  
**assumes**  $s \in \text{orbit } f t$  **shows**  $f s \in \text{orbit } f (f t)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *orbit-step*:

**assumes**  $y \in \text{orbit } f \ x \ f \ x \neq y$  **shows**  $y \in \text{orbit } f \ (f \ x)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *self-in-orbit-trans*:

**assumes**  $s \in \text{orbit } f \ s \ t \in \text{orbit } f \ s$  **shows**  $t \in \text{orbit } f \ t$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *orbit-swap*:

**assumes**  $s \in \text{orbit } f \ s \ t \in \text{orbit } f \ s$  **shows**  $s \in \text{orbit } f \ t$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *permutation-self-in-orbit*:

**assumes** *permutation*  $f$  **shows**  $s \in \text{orbit } f \ s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *orbit-altdef-self-in*:

**assumes**  $s \in \text{orbit } f \ s$  **shows**  $\text{orbit } f \ s = \{(f \ \sim^n) \ s \mid n. \text{True}\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *orbit-altdef-permutation*:

**assumes** *permutation*  $f$  **shows**  $\text{orbit } f \ s = \{(f \ \sim^n) \ s \mid n. \text{True}\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *orbit-altdef-bounded*:

**assumes**  $(f \ \sim^n) \ s = s \ 0 < n$  **shows**  $\text{orbit } f \ s = \{(f \ \sim^m) \ s \mid m. m < n\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *funpow-in-orbit*:

**assumes**  $s \in \text{orbit } f \ t$  **shows**  $(f \ \sim^n) \ s \in \text{orbit } f \ t$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *finite-orbit*:

**assumes**  $s \in \text{orbit } f \ s$  **shows** *finite*  $(\text{orbit } f \ s)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *self-in-orbit-step*:

**assumes**  $s \in \text{orbit } f \ s$  **shows**  $\text{orbit } f \ (f \ s) = \text{orbit } f \ s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *permutation-orbit-step*:

**assumes** *permutation*  $f$  **shows**  $\text{orbit } f \ (f \ s) = \text{orbit } f \ s$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *orbit-nonempty*:

$\text{orbit } f \ s \neq \{\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *orbit-inv-eq*:

**assumes** *permutation f*  
**shows**  $\text{orbit } (\text{inv } f) \ x = \text{orbit } f \ x$  (**is** ?L = ?R)  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *cyclic-on-alldef*:  
*cyclic-on f S*  $\longleftrightarrow S \neq \{\}$   $\wedge (\forall s \in S. S = \text{orbit } f \ s)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *cyclic-on-funpow-in*:  
**assumes** *cyclic-on f S*  $s \in S$  **shows**  $(f \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} \ n) \ s \in S$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *finite-cyclic-on*:  
**assumes** *cyclic-on f S* **shows** *finite S*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *cyclic-on-singleI*:  
**assumes**  $s \in S$   $S = \text{orbit } f \ s$  **shows** *cyclic-on f S*  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *inj-on-funpow-least*:  
**assumes**  $(f \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} \ n) \ s = s \ \wedge \ m. \llbracket m < n; 0 < m \rrbracket \implies (f \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} \ m) \ s \neq s$   
**shows** *inj-on*  $(\lambda k. (f \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} \ k) \ s) \ \{0..<n\}$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *cyclic-on-inI*:  
**assumes** *cyclic-on f S*  $s \in S$  **shows**  $f \ s \in S$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *bij-betw-funpow*:  
**assumes** *bij-betw f S S* **shows** *bij-betw*  $(f \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} \ n) \ S \ S$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *orbit-FOO*:  
**assumes** *self:a*  $\in \text{orbit } g \ a$   
**and** *eq*:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{orbit } g \ a \implies g' \ (f \ x) = f \ (g \ x)$   
**shows**  $f \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} \ \text{orbit } g \ a = \text{orbit } g' \ (f \ a)$  (**is** ?L = ?R)  
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *cyclic-on-FOO*:  
**assumes** *cyclic-on f S*  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies g \ (h \ x) = h \ (f \ x)$   
**shows** *cyclic-on g*  $(h \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} \ S)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *cyclic-on-f-in*:  
**assumes** *f permutes S* *cyclic-on f A*  $f \ x \in A$



**shows**  $x \in A$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *permutes-not-in*:  
**assumes**  $f$  permutes  $S$   $x \notin S$  **shows**  $f x = x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *orbit-cong0*:  
**assumes**  $x \in A$   $f \in A \rightarrow A$   $\wedge y. y \in A \implies f y = g y$  **shows**  $\text{orbit } f x = \text{orbit } g x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *orbit-cong*:  
**assumes** *self-in*:  $t \in \text{orbit } f t$  **and** *eq*:  $\wedge s. s \in \text{orbit } f t \implies g s = f s$   
**shows**  $\text{orbit } g t = \text{orbit } f t$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cyclic-cong*:  
**assumes**  $\wedge s. s \in S \implies f s = g s$  **shows**  $\text{cyclic-on } f S = \text{cyclic-on } g S$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *permutes-comp-preserves-cyclic1*:  
**assumes**  $g$  permutes  $B$  *cyclic-on*  $f C$   
**assumes**  $A \cap B = \{\}$   $C \subseteq A$   
**shows** *cyclic-on*  $(f \circ g) C$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *permutes-comp-preserves-cyclic2*:  
**assumes**  $f$  permutes  $A$  *cyclic-on*  $g C$   
**assumes**  $A \cap B = \{\}$   $C \subseteq B$   
**shows** *cyclic-on*  $(f \circ g) C$   
*<proof>*

## 14.1 Orbits

**lemma** *permutes-orbit-subset*:  
**assumes**  $f$  permutes  $S$   $x \in S$  **shows**  $\text{orbit } f x \subseteq S$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cyclic-on-orbit'*:  
**assumes** *permutation*  $f$  **shows** *cyclic-on*  $f (\text{orbit } f x)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cyclic-on-orbit*:  
**assumes**  $f$  permutes  $S$  *finite*  $S$  **shows** *cyclic-on*  $f (\text{orbit } f x)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *orbit-cyclic-eq3*:  
**assumes** *cyclic-on*  $f S$   $y \in S$  **shows**  $\text{orbit } f y = S$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *orbit-eq-singleton-iff*:  $\text{orbit } f \ x = \{x\} \longleftrightarrow f \ x = x$  (**is** ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *eq-on-cyclic-on-iff1*:  
**assumes** *cyclic-on*  $f \ S \ x \in S$   
**obtains**  $f \ x \in S \ f \ x = x \longleftrightarrow \text{card } S = 1$   
*<proof>*

## 14.2 Decomposition of Arbitrary Permutations

**definition** *perm-restrict* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$  **where**  
*perm-restrict*  $f \ S \ x \equiv \text{if } x \in S \ \text{then } f \ x \ \text{else } x$

**lemma** *perm-restrict-comp*:  
**assumes**  $A \cap B = \{\}$  *cyclic-on*  $f \ B$   
**shows** *perm-restrict*  $f \ A \ o$  *perm-restrict*  $f \ B = \text{perm-restrict } f \ (A \cup B)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *perm-restrict-simps*:  
 $x \in S \Longrightarrow \text{perm-restrict } f \ S \ x = f \ x$   
 $x \notin S \Longrightarrow \text{perm-restrict } f \ S \ x = x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *perm-restrict-perm-restrict*:  
*perm-restrict* (*perm-restrict*  $f \ A$ )  $B = \text{perm-restrict } f \ (A \cap B)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *perm-restrict-union*:  
**assumes** *perm-restrict*  $f \ A$  *permutes*  $A$  *perm-restrict*  $f \ B$  *permutes*  $B \ A \cap B = \{\}$   
**shows** *perm-restrict*  $f \ A \ o$  *perm-restrict*  $f \ B = \text{perm-restrict } f \ (A \cup B)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *perm-restrict-id[simp]*:  
**assumes**  $f$  *permutes*  $S$  **shows** *perm-restrict*  $f \ S = f$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cyclic-on-perm-restrict*:  
*cyclic-on* (*perm-restrict*  $f \ S$ )  $S \longleftrightarrow \text{cyclic-on } f \ S$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *perm-restrict-diff-cyclic*:  
**assumes**  $f$  *permutes*  $S$  *cyclic-on*  $f \ A$   
**shows** *perm-restrict*  $f \ (S - A)$  *permutes*  $(S - A)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *orbit-eq1*:

$y = f x \implies y \in \text{orbit } f x$   
 $z = f y \implies y \in \text{orbit } f x \implies z \in \text{orbit } f x$   
(proof)

**lemma** *permutes-decompose*:

**assumes**  $f$  permutes  $S$  finite  $S$   
**shows**  $\exists C. (\forall c \in C. \text{cyclic-on } f c) \wedge \bigcup C = S \wedge (\forall c1 \in C. \forall c2 \in C. c1 \neq c2 \implies c1 \cap c2 = \{\})$   
(proof)

### 14.3 Funpow + Orbit

**lemma** *funpow-dist-prop*:

$y \in \text{orbit } f x \implies (f \text{ ^^ } \text{funpow-dist } f x y) x = y$   
(proof)

**lemma** *funpow-dist-0-eq*:

**assumes**  $y \in \text{orbit } f x$  **shows**  $\text{funpow-dist } f x y = 0 \iff x = y$   
(proof)

**lemma** *funpow-dist-step*:

**assumes**  $x \neq y \in \text{orbit } f x$  **shows**  $\text{funpow-dist } f x y = \text{Suc } (\text{funpow-dist } f (f x) y)$   
(proof)

**lemma** *funpow-dist1-prop*:

**assumes**  $y \in \text{orbit } f x$  **shows**  $(f \text{ ^^ } \text{funpow-dist1 } f x y) x = y$   
(proof)

**lemma** *funpow-neq-less-funpow-dist*:

**assumes**  $y \in \text{orbit } f x$   $m \leq \text{funpow-dist } f x y$   $n \leq \text{funpow-dist } f x y$   $m \neq n$   
**shows**  $(f \text{ ^^ } m) x \neq (f \text{ ^^ } n) x$   
(proof)

**lemma** *funpow-neq-less-funpow-dist1*:

**assumes**  $y \in \text{orbit } f x$   $m < \text{funpow-dist1 } f x y$   $n < \text{funpow-dist1 } f x y$   $m \neq n$   
**shows**  $(f \text{ ^^ } m) x \neq (f \text{ ^^ } n) x$   
(proof)

**lemma** *inj-on-funpow-dist*:

**assumes**  $y \in \text{orbit } f x$  **shows**  $\text{inj-on } (\lambda n. (f \text{ ^^ } n) x) \{0.. \text{funpow-dist } f x y\}$   
(proof)

**lemma** *inj-on-funpow-dist1*:

**assumes**  $y \in \text{orbit } f x$  **shows**  $\text{inj-on } (\lambda n. (f \text{ ^^ } n) x) \{0.. \text{funpow-dist1 } f x y\}$   
(proof)

**lemma** *orbit-conv-funpow-dist1*:

**assumes**  $x \in \text{orbit } f \ x$

**shows**  $\text{orbit } f \ x = (\lambda n. (f \ \sim \ n) \ x) \ \cdot \ \{0..<\text{funpow-dist1 } f \ x \ x\}$  (is ?L = ?R)

*<proof>*

**lemma** *funpow-dist1-prop1*:

**assumes**  $(f \ \sim \ n) \ x = y \ 0 < n$  **shows**  $(f \ \sim \ \text{funpow-dist1 } f \ x \ y) \ x = y$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *funpow-dist1-dist*:

**assumes**  $\text{funpow-dist1 } f \ x \ y < \text{funpow-dist1 } f \ x \ z$

**assumes**  $\{y, z\} \subseteq \text{orbit } f \ x$

**shows**  $\text{funpow-dist1 } f \ x \ z = \text{funpow-dist1 } f \ x \ y + \text{funpow-dist1 } f \ y \ z$  (is ?L = ?R)

*<proof>*

**lemma** *funpow-dist1-le-self*:

**assumes**  $(f \ \sim \ m) \ x = x \ 0 < m \ y \in \text{orbit } f \ x$

**shows**  $\text{funpow-dist1 } f \ x \ y \leq m$

*<proof>*

## 14.4 Permutation Domains

**definition** *has-dom* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

$\text{has-dom } f \ S \equiv \forall s. s \notin S \longrightarrow f \ s = s$

**lemma** *permutes-conv-has-dom*:

$f \ \text{permutes } S \longleftrightarrow \text{bij } f \ \wedge \ \text{has-dom } f \ S$

*<proof>*

## 15 Segments

**inductive-set** *segment* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{set}$  **for**  $f \ a \ b$  **where**

*base*:  $f \ a \neq b \implies f \ a \in \text{segment } f \ a \ b \mid$

*step*:  $x \in \text{segment } f \ a \ b \implies f \ x \neq b \implies f \ x \in \text{segment } f \ a \ b$

**lemma** *segment-step-2D*:

**assumes**  $x \in \text{segment } f \ a \ (f \ b)$  **shows**  $x \in \text{segment } f \ a \ b \vee x = b$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-in-segment2D*:

**assumes**  $x \in \text{segment } f \ a \ b$  **shows**  $x \neq b$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *segment-altdef*:

**assumes**  $b \in \text{orbit } f \ a$

**shows**  $\text{segment } f \ a \ b = (\lambda n. (f \ \sim \ n) \ a) \ \cdot \ \{1..<\text{funpow-dist1 } f \ a \ b\}$  (is ?L = ?R)

*<proof>*

**lemma** *segmentD-orbit*:

**assumes**  $x \in \text{segment } f \ y \ z$  **shows**  $x \in \text{orbit } f \ y$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *segment1-empty*:  $\text{segment } f \ x \ (f \ x) = \{\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *segment-subset*:

**assumes**  $y \in \text{segment } f \ x \ z$   
**assumes**  $w \in \text{segment } f \ x \ y$   
**shows**  $w \in \text{segment } f \ x \ z$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-in-segment1*:

**assumes**  $y \in \text{orbit } f \ x$  **shows**  $x \notin \text{segment } f \ x \ y$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-in-segment2*:  $y \notin \text{segment } f \ x \ y$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-segmentE*:

**assumes**  $y \in \text{segment } f \ x \ z \ z \in \text{orbit } f \ x$   
**obtains**  $(f \ \overset{\sim}{\sim} \ \text{funpow-dist1 } f \ x \ y) \ x = y \ \text{funpow-dist1 } f \ x \ y < \text{funpow-dist1 } f \ x \ z$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cyclic-split-segment*:

**assumes**  $S$ : *cyclic-on*  $f \ S \ a \in S \ b \in S$  **and**  $a \neq b$   
**shows**  $S = \{a, b\} \cup \text{segment } f \ a \ b \cup \text{segment } f \ b \ a$  (**is**  $?L = ?R$ )  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *segment-split*:

**assumes**  $y$ -*in-seg*:  $y \in \text{segment } f \ x \ z$   
**shows**  $\text{segment } f \ x \ z = \text{segment } f \ x \ y \cup \{y\} \cup \text{segment } f \ y \ z$  (**is**  $?L = ?R$ )  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-segmentD-inv*:

**assumes**  $x \in \text{segment } f \ a \ b \ x \neq f \ a$   
**assumes** *inj*  $f$   
**shows**  $\text{inv } f \ x \in \text{segment } f \ a \ b$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-orbit-invI*:

**assumes**  $b \in \text{orbit } f \ a$   
**assumes** *inj*  $f$

**shows**  $a \in \text{orbit } (inv\ f)\ b$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *segment-step-2*:  
**assumes**  $A: x \in \text{segment } f\ a\ b\ b \neq a$  **and**  $inj\ f$   
**shows**  $x \in \text{segment } f\ a\ (f\ b)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *inv-end-in-segment*:  
**assumes**  $b \in \text{orbit } f\ a\ f\ a \neq b$   $bij\ f$   
**shows**  $inv\ f\ b \in \text{segment } f\ a\ b$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *segment-overlapping*:  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{orbit } f\ a\ x \in \text{orbit } f\ b\ bij\ f$   
**shows**  $\text{segment } f\ a\ x \subseteq \text{segment } f\ b\ x \vee \text{segment } f\ b\ x \subseteq \text{segment } f\ a\ x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *segment-disj*:  
**assumes**  $a \neq b\ bij\ f$   
**shows**  $\text{segment } f\ a\ b \cap \text{segment } f\ b\ a = \{\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *segment-x-x-eq*:  
**assumes**  $permutation\ f$   
**shows**  $\text{segment } f\ x\ x = \text{orbit } f\ x - \{x\}$  (**is**  $?L = ?R$ )  
*<proof>*

## 16 Lists of Powers

**definition** *iterate* ::  $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ list$  **where**  
 $iterate\ m\ n\ f\ x = \text{map } (\lambda n. (f \sim^n) x) [m..<n]$

**lemma** *set-iterate*:  
 $set\ (iterate\ m\ n\ f\ x) = (\lambda k. (f \sim^k) x) \text{ ' } \{m..<n\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *iterate-empty[simp]*:  $iterate\ n\ m\ f\ x = [] \iff m \leq n$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *iterate-length[simp]*:  
 $length\ (iterate\ m\ n\ f\ x) = n - m$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *iterate-nth[simp]*:  
**assumes**  $k < n - m$  **shows**  $iterate\ m\ n\ f\ x ! k = (f \sim^{m+k}) x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *iterate-applied*:

$iterate\ n\ m\ f\ (f\ x) = iterate\ (Suc\ n)\ (Suc\ m)\ f\ x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**end**  
**theory** *Subdivision*  
**imports**  
   *Arc-Walk*  
   *Digraph-Component*  
   *Pair-Digraph*  
   *Bidirected-Digraph*  
   *Funpow*  
**begin**

## 17 Subdivision on Digraphs

**definition**

$subdivision-step :: ('a, 'b)\ pre-digraph \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)\ pre-digraph \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \times 'a \times 'a \Rightarrow 'b \times 'b \times 'b \Rightarrow bool$

**where**

$subdivision-step\ G\ rev-G\ H\ rev-H \equiv \lambda(u, v, w)\ (uv, uw, vw).$

*bidirected-digraph*  $G\ rev-G$

$\wedge$  *bidirected-digraph*  $H\ rev-H$

$\wedge$  *perm-restrict*  $rev-H\ (arcs\ G) = perm-restrict\ rev-G\ (arcs\ H)$

$\wedge$  *compatible*  $G\ H$

$\wedge$  *verts*  $H = verts\ G \cup \{w\}$

$\wedge$   $w \notin verts\ G$

$\wedge$  *arcs*  $H = \{uw, rev-H\ uw, vw, rev-H\ vw\} \cup arcs\ G - \{uw, rev-G\ uw\}$

$\wedge$   $uw \in arcs\ G$

$\wedge$  *distinct*  $[uw, rev-H\ uw, vw, rev-H\ vw]$

$\wedge$  *arc-to-ends*  $G\ uw = (u, v)$

$\wedge$  *arc-to-ends*  $H\ uw = (u, w)$

$\wedge$  *arc-to-ends*  $H\ vw = (v, w)$

**inductive** *subdivision* ::  $('a, 'b)\ pre-digraph \times ('b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)\ pre-digraph \times ('b \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow bool$

**for** *biG* **where**

*base*: *bidirected-digraph*  $(fst\ biG)\ (snd\ biG) \Longrightarrow subdivision\ biG\ biG$

  | *divide*:  $\llbracket subdivision\ biG\ biI; subdivision-step\ (fst\ biI)\ (snd\ biI)\ (fst\ biH)\ (snd\ biH)\ (u, v, w)\ (uv, uw, vw) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow subdivision\ biG\ biH$

**lemma** *subdivision-induct*[*case-names base divide, induct pred: subdivision*]:

**assumes** *subdivision*  $(G, rev-G)\ (H, rev-H)$

**and** *bidirected-digraph*  $G\ rev-G \Longrightarrow P\ G\ rev-G$

**and**  $\wedge I\ rev-I\ H\ rev-H\ u\ v\ w\ uv\ uw\ vw.$

$subdivision\ (G, rev-G)\ (I, rev-I) \Longrightarrow P\ I\ rev-I \Longrightarrow subdivision-step\ I\ rev-I\ H\ rev-H\ (u, v, w)\ (uv, uw, vw) \Longrightarrow P\ H\ rev-H$

**shows**  $P \ H \ rev-H$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subdivision-base*:  
 $bidirected-digraph \ G \ rev-G \implies subdivision \ (G, rev-G) \ (G, rev-G)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subdivision-step-rev*:  
**assumes**  $subdivision-step \ G \ rev-G \ H \ rev-H \ (u, v, w) \ (uv, uw, vw) \ subdivision$   
 $(H, rev-H) \ (I, rev-I)$   
**shows**  $subdivision \ (G, rev-G) \ (I, rev-I)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subdivision-trans*:  
**assumes**  $subdivision \ (G, rev-G) \ (H, rev-H) \ subdivision \ (H, rev-H) \ (I, rev-I)$   
**shows**  $subdivision \ (G, rev-G) \ (I, rev-I)$   
*<proof>*

**locale** *subdiv-step* =  
**fixes**  $G \ rev-G \ H \ rev-H \ u \ v \ w \ uv \ uw \ vw$   
**assumes** *subdiv-step*:  $subdivision-step \ G \ rev-G \ H \ rev-H \ (u, v, w) \ (uv, uw, vw)$

**sublocale** *subdiv-step*  $\subseteq G$ :  $bidirected-digraph \ G \ rev-G$   
*<proof>*

**sublocale** *subdiv-step*  $\subseteq H$ :  $bidirected-digraph \ H \ rev-H$   
*<proof>*

**context** *subdiv-step* **begin**

**abbreviation** (*input*)  $vu \equiv rev-G \ uv$   
**abbreviation** (*input*)  $wu \equiv rev-H \ uw$   
**abbreviation** (*input*)  $wv \equiv rev-H \ vw$

**lemma** *subdiv-compat*:  $compatible \ G \ H$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *arc-to-ends-eq*:  $arc-to-ends \ H = arc-to-ends \ G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *head-eq*:  $head \ H = head \ G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *tail-eq*:  $tail \ H = tail \ G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *verts-H*:  $verts \ H = verts \ G \cup \{w\}$   
*<proof>*



**lemma** *verts-G*:  $verts\ G = verts\ H - \{w\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *arcs-H*:  $arcs\ H = \{uw, wu, vw, wv\} \cup arcs\ G - \{uv, vu\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-in-verts-G*:  $w \notin verts\ G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-arcs-G*:  $\{uv, vu\} \subseteq arcs\ G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-in-arcs-H*:  $\{uv, vu\} \cap arcs\ H = \{\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subdiv-ate*:  
 $arc\text{-to-ends}\ G\ uv = (u, v)$   
 $arc\text{-to-ends}\ H\ uv = (u, v)$   
 $arc\text{-to-ends}\ H\ uw = (u, w)$   
 $arc\text{-to-ends}\ H\ vw = (v, w)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subdiv-ends[simp]*:  
 $tail\ G\ uv = u\ head\ G\ uv = v\ tail\ H\ uv = u\ head\ H\ uv = v$   
 $tail\ H\ uw = u\ head\ H\ uw = w\ tail\ H\ vw = v\ head\ H\ vw = w$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subdiv-ends-G-rev[simp]*:  
 $tail\ G\ (vu) = v\ head\ G\ (vu) = u\ tail\ H\ (vu) = v\ head\ H\ (vu) = u$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subdiv-distinct-verts0*:  $u \neq w\ v \neq w$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-arcs-H*:  $\{uw, wu, vw, wv\} \subseteq arcs\ H$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subdiv-ends-H-rev[simp]*:  
 $tail\ H\ (wu) = w\ tail\ H\ (wv) = w$   
 $head\ H\ (wu) = u\ head\ H\ (wv) = v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-verts-G*:  $\{u, v\} \subseteq verts\ G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *not-in-arcs-G*:  $\{uw, wu, vw, wv\} \cap arcs\ G = \{\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subdiv-distinct-arcs*:  $distinct\ [uv, vu, uw, wu, vw, wv]$

*<proof>*

**lemma arcs-G:**  $\text{arcs } G = \text{arcs } H \cup \{uv, vu\} - \{uw, wu, vw, wv\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma subdiv-ate-H-rev:**  
*arc-to-ends*  $H (wu) = (w,u)$   
*arc-to-ends*  $H (wv) = (w,v)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma adj-with-w:**  $u \rightarrow_H w \ w \rightarrow_H u \ v \rightarrow_H w \ w \rightarrow_H v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma w-reach:**  $u \rightarrow^*_H w \ w \rightarrow^*_H u \ v \rightarrow^*_H w \ w \rightarrow^*_H v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma G-reach:**  $v \rightarrow^*_G u \ u \rightarrow^*_G v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma out-arcs-w:**  $\text{out-arcs } H \ w = \{wu, wv\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma out-degree-w:**  $\text{out-degree } H \ w = 2$   
*<proof>*

**end**

**lemma subdivision-compatible:**  
**assumes** *subdivision*  $(G, \text{rev-}G) (H, \text{rev-}H)$  **shows** *compatible*  $G \ H$   
*<proof>*

**lemma subdivision-bidir:**  
**assumes** *subdivision*  $(G, \text{rev-}G) (H, \text{rev-}H)$   
**shows** *bidirected-digraph*  $H \ \text{rev-}H$   
*<proof>*

**lemma subdivision-choose-rev:**  
**assumes** *subdivision*  $(G, \text{rev-}G) (H, \text{rev-}H)$  *bidirected-digraph*  $H \ \text{rev-}H'$   
**shows**  $\exists \text{rev-}G'. \text{subdivision } (G, \text{rev-}G') (H, \text{rev-}H')$   
*<proof>*

**lemma subdivision-verts-subset:**  
**assumes** *subdivision*  $(G, \text{rev-}G) (H, \text{rev-}H)$   $x \in \text{verts } G$   
**shows**  $x \in \text{verts } H$   
*<proof>*

## 17.1 Subdivision on Pair Digraphs

In this section, we introduce specialized rules for pair digraphs.

**abbreviation** *subdivision-pair*  $G H \equiv \text{subdivision } (\text{with-proj } G, \text{swap-in } (\text{parcs } G))$   
*(with-proj*  $H, \text{swap-in } (\text{parcs } H))$ )

**lemma** *arc-to-ends-with-proj[simp]*: *arc-to-ends*  $(\text{with-proj } G) = \text{id}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**context**  
**begin**

We use the **inductive** command to define an inductive definition *pair* graphs. This is proven to be equivalent to *subdivision*. This allows us to transfer the rules proven by **inductive** to *subdivision*. To spare the user confusion, we hide this new constant.

**private inductive** *pair-sd* :: '*a pair-pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a pair-pre-digraph*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*  
**for**  $G$  **where**  
*base*: *pair-bidirected-digraph*  $G \Longrightarrow \text{pair-sd } G G$   
 $|$  *divide*:  $\bigwedge e w H. \llbracket e \in \text{parcs } H; w \notin \text{pverts } H; \text{pair-sd } G H \rrbracket$   
 $\Longrightarrow \text{pair-sd } G (\text{subdivide } H e w)$

**private lemma** *bidirected-digraphI-pair-sd*:  
**assumes** *pair-sd*  $G H$  **shows** *pair-bidirected-digraph*  $H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$  **lemma** *subdivision-with-projI*:  
**assumes** *pair-sd*  $G H$   
**shows** *subdivision-pair*  $G H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$  **lemma** *subdivision-with-projD*:  
**assumes** *subdivision-pair*  $G H$   
**shows** *pair-sd*  $G H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$  **lemma** *subdivision-pair-conv*:  
*pair-sd*  $G H = \text{subdivision-pair } G H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemmas** *subdivision-pair-induct* = *pair-sd.induct*[  
*unfolded subdivision-pair-conv, case-names base divide, induct pred: pair-sd*]

**lemmas** *subdivision-pair-base* = *pair-sd.base*[*unfolded subdivision-pair-conv*]  
**lemmas** *subdivision-pair-divide* = *pair-sd.divide*[*unfolded subdivision-pair-conv*]

**lemmas** *subdivision-pair-intros* = *pair-sd.intros*[*unfolded subdivision-pair-conv*]  
**lemmas** *subdivision-pair-cases* = *pair-sd.cases*[*unfolded subdivision-pair-conv*]

**lemmas** *subdivision-pair-simps* = *pair-sd.simps*[*unfolded subdivision-pair-conv*]

**lemmas** *bidirected-digraphI-subdivision* = *bidirected-digraphI-pair-sd*[*unfolded sub-division-pair-conv*]

**end**

**lemma** **(in** *pair-graph*) *pair-graph-subdivision*:  
**assumes** *subdivision-pair*  $G H$

**shows** *pair-graph*  $H$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**end**

**theory** *Euler* **imports**  
*Arc-Walk*  
*Digraph-Component*  
*Digraph-Isomorphism*  
**begin**

## 18 Euler Trails in Digraphs

In this section we prove the well-known theorem characterizing the existence of an Euler Trail in an directed graph

### 18.1 Trails and Euler Trails

**definition** (in *pre-digraph*) *euler-trail* :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'b$  *awalk*  $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
*euler-trail*  $u\ p\ v \equiv trail\ u\ p\ v \wedge set\ p = arcs\ G \wedge set\ (awalk-verts\ u\ p) = verts\ G$

**context** *wf-digraph* **begin**

**lemma** *finite-distinct*:

**assumes** *finite*  $A$  **shows** *finite*  $\{p.\ distinct\ p \wedge set\ p \subseteq A\}$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *trails-finite*: *finite*  $\{p.\ \exists u\ v.\ trail\ u\ p\ v\}$

⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *rotate-awalkE*:

**assumes** *awalk*  $u\ p\ u\ w \in set\ (awalk-verts\ u\ p)$   
**obtains**  $q\ r$  **where**  $p = q @ r$  *awalk*  $w\ (r @ q)\ w \in set\ (awalk-verts\ w\ (r @ q)) =$   
 $set\ (awalk-verts\ u\ p)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *rotate-trailE*:

**assumes** *trail*  $u\ p\ u\ w \in set\ (awalk-verts\ u\ p)$   
**obtains**  $q\ r$  **where**  $p = q @ r$  *trail*  $w\ (r @ q)\ w \in set\ (awalk-verts\ w\ (r @ q)) =$   
 $set\ (awalk-verts\ u\ p)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *rotate-trailE'*:

**assumes** *trail*  $u\ p\ u\ w \in set\ (awalk-verts\ u\ p)$

**obtains**  $q$  where  $trail\ w\ q\ w\ set\ q = set\ p\ set\ (awalk\ -verts\ w\ q) = set\ (awalk\ -verts\ u\ p)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *sym-reachableI-in-awalk*:

**assumes** *walk*:  $awalk\ u\ p\ v$  **and**

$w1: w1 \in set\ (awalk\ -verts\ u\ p)$  **and**  $w2: w2 \in set\ (awalk\ -verts\ u\ p)$

**shows**  $w1 \rightarrow^*_{mk\ -symmetric\ G}\ w2$

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *euler-imp-connected*:

**assumes** *euler-trail*  $u\ p\ v$  **shows** *connected*  $G$

⟨proof⟩

**end**

## 18.2 Arc Balance of Walks

**context** *pre-digraph* **begin**

**definition** *arc-set-balance* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'b\ set \Rightarrow int$  **where**

$arc\ -set\ -balance\ w\ A = int\ (card\ (in\ -arcs\ G\ w \cap A)) - int\ (card\ (out\ -arcs\ G\ w \cap A))$

**definition** *arc-set-balanced* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'b\ set \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$  **where**

$arc\ -set\ -balanced\ u\ A\ v \equiv$

$if\ u = v\ then\ (\forall w \in verts\ G.\ arc\ -set\ -balance\ w\ A = 0)$

$else\ (\forall w \in verts\ G.\ (w \neq u \wedge w \neq v) \longrightarrow arc\ -set\ -balance\ w\ A = 0)$

$\wedge arc\ -set\ -balance\ u\ A = -1$

$\wedge arc\ -set\ -balance\ v\ A = 1$

**abbreviation** *arc-balance* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'b\ awalk \Rightarrow int$  **where**

$arc\ -balance\ w\ p \equiv arc\ -set\ -balance\ w\ (set\ p)$

**abbreviation** *arc-balanced* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'b\ awalk \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$  **where**

$arc\ -balanced\ u\ p\ v \equiv arc\ -set\ -balanced\ u\ (set\ p)\ v$

**lemma** *arc-set-balanced-all*:

$arc\ -set\ -balanced\ u\ (arcs\ G)\ v =$

$(if\ u = v\ then\ (\forall w \in verts\ G.\ in\ -degree\ G\ w = out\ -degree\ G\ w)$

$else\ (\forall w \in verts\ G.\ (w \neq u \wedge w \neq v) \longrightarrow in\ -degree\ G\ w = out\ -degree\ G\ w)$

$\wedge in\ -degree\ G\ u + 1 = out\ -degree\ G\ u$

$\wedge out\ -degree\ G\ v + 1 = in\ -degree\ G\ v)$

⟨proof⟩

**end**

**context** *wf-digraph* **begin**

**lemma** *arc-balance-Cons*:  
**assumes** *trail u (e # es) v*  
**shows**  $\text{arc-set-balance } w (\text{insert } e (\text{set } es)) = \text{arc-set-balance } w \{e\} + \text{arc-balance } w \text{ es}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *arc-balancedI-trail*:  
**assumes** *trail u p v* **shows** *arc-balanced u p v*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *trail-arc-balanceE*:  
**assumes** *trail u p v*  
**obtains**  $\bigwedge w. \llbracket u = v \vee (w \neq u \wedge w \neq v); w \in \text{verts } G \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{arc-balance } w \text{ p} = 0$   
**and**  $\llbracket u \neq v \rrbracket \implies \text{arc-balance } u \text{ p} = -1$   
**and**  $\llbracket u \neq v \rrbracket \implies \text{arc-balance } v \text{ p} = 1$   
*<proof>*

**end**

### 18.3 Closed Euler Trails

**lemma** (*in wf-digraph*) *awalk-vertex-props*:  
**assumes** *awalk u p v p ≠ []*  
**assumes**  $\bigwedge w. w \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \text{ p}) \implies P \text{ w} \vee Q \text{ w}$   
**assumes** *P u Q v*  
**shows**  $\exists e \in \text{set } p. P (\text{tail } G \text{ e}) \wedge Q (\text{head } G \text{ e})$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in wf-digraph*) *connected-verts*:  
**assumes** *connected G arcs G ≠ {}*  
**shows**  $\text{verts } G = \text{tail } G \text{ ' arcs } G \cup \text{head } G \text{ ' arcs } G$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in wf-digraph*) *connected-arcs-empty*:  
**assumes** *connected G arcs G = {}* **verts** *G ≠ {}* **obtains** *v* **where** *verts G = {v}*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in wf-digraph*) *euler-trail-conv-connected*:  
**assumes** *connected G*  
**shows** *euler-trail u p v*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *trail u p v*  $\wedge$  *set p = arcs G* (**is** *?L*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *?R*)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (*in wf-digraph*) *awalk-connected*:  
**assumes** *connected G awalk u p v set p ≠ arcs G*

**shows**  $\exists e. e \in \text{arcs } G - \text{set } p \wedge (\text{tail } G \ e \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p) \vee \text{head } G \ e \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p))$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *trail-connected*:

**assumes** *connected*  $G$  *trail*  $u \ p \ v$  *set*  $p \neq \text{arcs } G$   
**shows**  $\exists e. e \in \text{arcs } G - \text{set } p \wedge (\text{tail } G \ e \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p) \vee \text{head } G \ e \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p))$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**theorem** (in *fin-digraph*) *closed-euler1*:

**assumes** *con*: *connected*  $G$   
**assumes** *deg*:  $\bigwedge u. u \in \text{verts } G \implies \text{in-degree } G \ u = \text{out-degree } G \ u$   
**shows**  $\exists u \ p. \text{euler-trail } u \ p \ u$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *closed-euler-imp-eq-degree*:

**assumes** *euler-trail*  $u \ p \ u$   
**assumes**  $v \in \text{verts } G$   
**shows**  $\text{in-degree } G \ v = \text{out-degree } G \ v$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**theorem** (in *fin-digraph*) *closed-euler2*:

**assumes** *euler-trail*  $u \ p \ u$   
**shows** *connected*  $G$   
**and**  $\bigwedge u. u \in \text{verts } G \implies \text{in-degree } G \ u = \text{out-degree } G \ u$  (**is**  $\bigwedge u. - \implies ?\text{eq-deg } u$ )  
 ⟨proof⟩

**corollary** (in *fin-digraph*) *closed-euler*:

$(\exists u \ p. \text{euler-trail } u \ p \ u) \longleftrightarrow \text{connected } G \wedge (\forall u \in \text{verts } G. \text{in-degree } G \ u = \text{out-degree } G \ u)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

## 18.4 Open euler trails

Intuitively, a graph has an open euler trail if and only if it is possible to add an arc such that the resulting graph has a closed euler trail. However, this is not true in our formalization, as the arc type *'b* might be finite:

Consider for example the graph ( $\text{verts} = \{0::'a, 1::'a\}$ ,  $\text{arcs} = \{()\}$ ,  $\text{tail} = \lambda-. 0::'a$ ,  $\text{head} = \lambda-. 1::'a$ ). This graph obviously has an open euler trail, but we cannot add another arc, as we already exhausted the universe.

However, for each *fin-digraph*  $G$  there exist an isomorphic graph  $H$  with arc type  $'a \times \text{nat} \times 'a$ . Hence, we first characterize the existence of euler trail for the infinite arc type  $'a \times \text{nat} \times 'a$  and transfer that result back to arbitrary arc types.

**lemma** *open-euler-infinite-label*:

**fixes**  $G :: ('a, 'a \times \text{nat} \times 'a)$  *pre-digraph*

**assumes** *fin-digraph*  $G$

**assumes** [*simp*]:  $\text{tail } G = \text{fst head } G = \text{snd o snd}$

**assumes** *con*: *connected*  $G$

**assumes** *wv*:  $u \in \text{verts } G \ v \in \text{verts } G$

**assumes** *deg*:  $\bigwedge w. \llbracket w \in \text{verts } G; u \neq w; v \neq w \rrbracket \implies \text{in-degree } G \ w = \text{out-degree } G \ w$

**assumes** *deg-in*:  $\text{in-degree } G \ u + 1 = \text{out-degree } G \ u$

**assumes** *deg-out*:  $\text{out-degree } G \ v + 1 = \text{in-degree } G \ v$

**shows**  $\exists p. \text{pre-digraph.euler-trail } G \ u \ p \ v$

*<proof>*

**context** *wf-digraph* **begin**

**lemma** *trail-app-isoI*:

**assumes** *t*: *trail*  $u \ p \ v$

**and** *hom*: *digraph-isomorphism* *hom*

**shows**  $\text{pre-digraph.trail } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G) \ (\text{iso-verts } \text{hom } u) \ (\text{map } (\text{iso-arcs } \text{hom}) \ p) \ (\text{iso-verts } \text{hom } v)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *euler-trail-app-isoI*:

**assumes** *t*: *euler-trail*  $u \ p \ v$

**and** *hom*: *digraph-isomorphism* *hom*

**shows**  $\text{pre-digraph.euler-trail } (\text{app-iso } \text{hom } G) \ (\text{iso-verts } \text{hom } u) \ (\text{map } (\text{iso-arcs } \text{hom}) \ p) \ (\text{iso-verts } \text{hom } v)$

*<proof>*

**end**

**context** *fin-digraph* **begin**

**theorem** *open-euler1*:

**assumes** *connected*  $G$

**assumes**  $u \in \text{verts } G \ v \in \text{verts } G$

**assumes**  $\bigwedge w. \llbracket w \in \text{verts } G; u \neq w; v \neq w \rrbracket \implies \text{in-degree } G \ w = \text{out-degree } G \ w$

**assumes**  $\text{in-degree } G \ u + 1 = \text{out-degree } G \ u$

**assumes**  $\text{out-degree } G \ v + 1 = \text{in-degree } G \ v$

**shows**  $\exists p. \text{euler-trail } u \ p \ v$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *open-euler2*:

**assumes** *et*: *euler-trail*  $u \ p \ v$  **and**  $u \neq v$

**shows**  $\text{connected } G \ \wedge$

$(\forall w \in \text{verts } G. u \neq w \longrightarrow v \neq w \longrightarrow \text{in-degree } G \ w = \text{out-degree } G \ w) \ \wedge$

$\text{in-degree } G \ u + 1 = \text{out-degree } G \ u \ \wedge$



$out-degree\ G\ v + 1 = in-degree\ G\ v$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**corollary** *open-euler*:

$(\exists u\ p\ v.\ euler-trail\ u\ p\ v \wedge u \neq v) \longleftrightarrow$   
 $connected\ G \wedge (\exists u\ v.\ u \in\ verts\ G \wedge v \in\ verts\ G \wedge$   
 $(\forall w \in\ verts\ G.\ u \neq w \longrightarrow v \neq w \longrightarrow in-degree\ G\ w = out-degree\ G\ w) \wedge$   
 $in-degree\ G\ u + 1 = out-degree\ G\ u \wedge$   
 $out-degree\ G\ v + 1 = in-degree\ G\ v)$  (is ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)  
 ⟨proof⟩

**end**

**end**

**theory** *Kuratowski*

**imports**

*Arc-Walk*

*Digraph-Component*

*Subdivision*

*HOL-Library.Rewrite*

**begin**

## 19 Kuratowski Subgraphs

We consider the underlying undirected graphs. The underlying undirected graph is represented as a symmetric digraph.

### 19.1 Public definitions

**definition** *complete-digraph* ::  $nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)\ pre-digraph \Rightarrow bool$  ( $K_{\cdot}$ ) **where**  
 $complete-digraph\ n\ G \equiv graph\ G \wedge card\ (verts\ G) = n \wedge arcs-ends\ G = \{(u, v),$   
 $(u, v) \in\ verts\ G \times\ verts\ G \wedge u \neq v\}$

**definition** *complete-bipartite-digraph* ::  $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a, 'b)\ pre-digraph \Rightarrow bool$   
 $(K_{\cdot, \cdot})$  **where**  
 $complete-bipartite-digraph\ m\ n\ G \equiv graph\ G \wedge (\exists U\ V.\ verts\ G = U \cup V \wedge U$   
 $\cap V = \{\})$   
 $\wedge card\ U = m \wedge card\ V = n \wedge arcs-ends\ G = U \times V \cup V \times U)$

**definition** *kuratowski-planar* ::  $('a, 'b)\ pre-digraph \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $kuratowski-planar\ G \equiv \neg(\exists H.\ subgraph\ H\ G \wedge (\exists K\ rev-K\ rev-H.\ subdivision\ (K,$   
 $rev-K)\ (H, rev-H) \wedge (K_{3,3}\ K \vee K_5\ K)))$

**lemma** *complete-digraph-pair-def*:  $K_n$  (*with-proj*  $G$ )

$\longleftrightarrow finite\ (pverts\ G) \wedge card\ (pverts\ G) = n \wedge parcs\ G = \{(u, v).\ (u, v) \in\ (pverts$   
 $G \times pverts\ G) \wedge u \neq v\}$  (is - = ?R)

*<proof>*

**lemma** *complete-bipartite-digraph-pair-def*:  $K_{m,n}$  (with-proj  $G$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  finite (pverts  $G$ )

$\wedge (\exists U V. \text{pverts } G = U \cup V \wedge U \cap V = \{\} \wedge \text{card } U = m \wedge \text{card } V = n \wedge$   
*parcs*  $G = U \times V \cup V \times U)$  (is - = ?R)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *pair-graphI-complete*:

**assumes**  $K_n$  (with-proj  $G$ )

**shows** *pair-graph*  $G$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *pair-graphI-complete-bipartite*:

**assumes**  $K_{m,n}$  (with-proj  $G$ )

**shows** *pair-graph*  $G$

*<proof>*

## 19.2 Inner vertices of a walk

**context** *pre-digraph begin*

**definition** (in *pre-digraph*) *inner-verts* :: 'b awalk  $\Rightarrow$  'a list **where**  
*inner-verts*  $p \equiv \text{tl } (\text{map } (\text{tail } G) p)$

**lemma** *inner-verts-Nil[simp]*: *inner-verts* [] = [] *<proof>*

**lemma** *inner-verts-singleton[simp]*: *inner-verts* [x] = [] *<proof>*

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *inner-verts-Cons*:

**assumes** *awalk*  $u$  ( $e \# es$ )  $v$

**shows** *inner-verts* ( $e \# es$ ) = (if  $es \neq []$  then *head*  $G$   $e \#$  *inner-verts*  $es$  else [])

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in - ) *inner-verts-with-proj-def*:

*pre-digraph.inner-verts* (with-proj  $G$ )  $p = \text{tl } (\text{map } \text{fst } p)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *inner-verts-conv*: *inner-verts*  $p = \text{butlast } (\text{tl } (\text{awalk-verts } u p))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *pre-digraph*) *inner-verts-empty[simp]*:

**assumes**  $\text{length } p < 2$  **shows** *inner-verts*  $p = []$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *set-inner-verts*:

**assumes** *apath*  $u$   $p$   $v$

**shows** *set* (*inner-verts*  $p$ ) = *set* (*awalk-verts*  $u$   $p$ ) - { $u,v$ }

*<proof>*

**lemma** *in-set-inner-verts-append-l*:  
**assumes**  $u \in \text{set } (\text{inner-verts } p)$   
**shows**  $u \in \text{set } (\text{inner-verts } (p @ q))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *in-set-inner-verts-append-r*:  
**assumes**  $u \in \text{set } (\text{inner-verts } q)$   
**shows**  $u \in \text{set } (\text{inner-verts } (p @ q))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

### 19.3 Progressing Walks

We call a walk *progressing* if it does not contain the sequence  $[(x, y), (y, x)]$ . This concept is relevant in particular for *iapaths*: If all of the inner vertices have degree at most 2 this implies that such a walk is a trail and even a path.

**definition** *progressing* ::  $('a \times 'a) \text{ awalk} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{progressing } p \equiv \forall xs \ x \ y \ ys. p \neq xs @ (x,y) \# (y,x) \# ys$

**lemma** *progressing-Nil*: *progressing*  $\square$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *progressing-single*: *progressing*  $[e]$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *progressing-ConsD*:  
**assumes** *progressing*  $(e \# es)$  **shows** *progressing*  $es$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *progressing-Cons*:  
 $\text{progressing } (x \# xs) \longleftrightarrow (xs = \square \vee (xs \neq \square \wedge \neg(\text{fst } x = \text{snd } (\text{hd } xs) \wedge \text{snd } x = \text{fst } (\text{hd } xs)) \wedge \text{progressing } xs))$  (**is**  $?L = ?R$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *progressing-Cons-Cons*:  
 $\text{progressing } ((u,v) \# (v,w) \# es) \longleftrightarrow u \neq w \wedge \text{progressing } ((v,w) \# es)$  (**is**  $?L \longleftrightarrow ?R$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *progressing-appendD1*:  
**assumes** *progressing*  $(p @ q)$  **shows** *progressing*  $p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *progressing-appendD2*:  
**assumes** *progressing*  $(p @ q)$  **shows** *progressing*  $q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *progressing-rev-path*:

*progressing* (rev-path  $p$ ) = *progressing*  $p$  (is ?L = ?R)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *progressing-append-iff*:

**shows** *progressing* ( $xs @ ys$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  *progressing*  $xs \wedge$  *progressing*  $ys$

$\wedge$  ( $xs \neq [] \wedge ys \neq [] \longrightarrow$  (fst (last  $xs$ )  $\neq$  snd (hd  $ys$ )  $\vee$  snd (last  $xs$ )  $\neq$  fst (hd  $ys$ )))

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 19.4 Walks with Restricted Vertices

**definition** *verts3* :: ('a, 'b) pre-digraph  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**

*verts3*  $G \equiv \{v \in \text{verts } G. 2 < \text{in-degree } G v\}$

A path were only the end nodes may be in  $V$

**definition** (in pre-digraph) *gen-iapath* :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b awalk  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*gen-iapath*  $V u p v \equiv u \in V \wedge v \in V \wedge \text{apath } u p v \wedge \text{set } (\text{inner-verts } p) \cap V = \{\} \wedge p \neq []$

**abbreviation** (in pre-digraph) (*input*) *iapath* :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b awalk  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*iapath*  $u p v \equiv \text{gen-iapath } (\text{verts3 } G) u p v$

**definition** *gen-contr-graph* :: ('a,'b) pre-digraph  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a pair-pre-digraph **where**

*gen-contr-graph*  $G V \equiv ()$

*pverts* =  $V$ ,

*parcs* =  $\{(u,v). \exists p. \text{pre-digraph.gen-iapath } G V u p v\}$

$\}$

**abbreviation** (*input*) *contr-graph* :: 'a pair-pre-digraph  $\Rightarrow$  'a pair-pre-digraph **where**

*contr-graph*  $G \equiv \text{gen-contr-graph } G (\text{verts3 } G)$

## 19.5 Properties of subdivisions

**lemma** (in pair-sym-digraph) *verts3-subdivide*:

**assumes**  $e \in \text{parcs } G \wedge w \notin \text{pverts } G$

**shows**  $\text{verts3 } (\text{subdivide } G e w) = \text{verts3 } G$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sd-path-Nil-iff*:

*sd-path*  $e w p = [] \longleftrightarrow p = []$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in pair-sym-digraph) *gen-iapath-sd-path*:

**fixes**  $e :: 'a \times 'a$  **and**  $w :: 'a$   
**assumes**  $elems: e \in \text{parcs } G \ w \notin \text{pverts } G$   
**assumes**  $V: V \subseteq \text{pverts } G$   
**assumes**  $path: \text{gen-iapath } V \ u \ p \ v$   
**shows**  $\text{pre-digraph.gen-iapath } (\text{subdivide } G \ e \ w) \ V \ u \ (\text{sd-path } e \ w \ p) \ v$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (**in**  $\text{pair-sym-digraph}$ )  
**assumes**  $elems: e \in \text{parcs } G \ w \notin \text{pverts } G$   
**assumes**  $V: V \subseteq \text{pverts } G$   
**assumes**  $path: \text{pre-digraph.gen-iapath } (\text{subdivide } G \ e \ w) \ V \ u \ p \ v$   
**shows**  $\text{gen-iapath-co-path}: \text{gen-iapath } V \ u \ (\text{co-path } e \ w \ p) \ v$  (**is**  $?thesis\text{-path}$ )  
**and**  $\text{set-awalk-verts-co-path}' : \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ (\text{co-path } e \ w \ p)) = \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u \ p) - \{w\}$  (**is**  $?thesis\text{-set}$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 19.6 Pair Graphs

**context**  $\text{pair-sym-digraph}$  **begin**

**lemma**  $\text{gen-iapath-rev-path}$ :  
 $\text{gen-iapath } V \ v \ (\text{rev-path } p) \ u = \text{gen-iapath } V \ u \ p \ v$  (**is**  $?L = ?R$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{inner-verts-rev-path}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{awalk } u \ p \ v$   
**shows**  $\text{inner-verts } (\text{rev-path } p) = \text{rev } (\text{inner-verts } p)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**context**  $\text{pair-pseudo-graph}$  **begin**

**lemma**  $\text{apath-imp-progressing}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{apath } u \ p \ v$  **shows**  $\text{progressing } p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{awalk-Cons-deg2-unique}$ :  
**assumes**  $\text{awalk } u \ p \ v \ p \neq []$   
**assumes**  $\text{in-degree } G \ u \leq 2$   
**assumes**  $\text{awalk } u1 \ (e1 \ \# \ p) \ v \ \text{awalk } u2 \ (e2 \ \# \ p) \ v$   
**assumes**  $\text{progressing } (e1 \ \# \ p) \ \text{progressing } (e2 \ \# \ p)$   
**shows**  $e1 = e2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{same-awalk-by-same-end}$ :  
**assumes**  $V: \text{verts3 } G \subseteq V \ V \subseteq \text{pverts } G$   
**and**  $\text{walk}: \text{awalk } u \ p \ v \ \text{awalk } u \ q \ w \ \text{hd } p = \text{hd } q \ p \neq [] \ q \neq []$   
**and**  $\text{progress}: \text{progressing } p \ \text{progressing } q$

**and** *tail*:  $v \in V \ w \in V$   
**and** *inner-verts*:  $set (inner-verts \ p) \cap V = \{\}$   
 $set (inner-verts \ q) \cap V = \{\}$   
**shows**  $p = q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *same-awalk-by-common-arc*:

**assumes**  $V: \text{verts} \exists \ G \subseteq V \ V \subseteq \text{pverts} \ G$   
**assumes** *walk*:  $awalk \ u \ p \ v \ awalk \ w \ q \ x$   
**assumes** *progress*:  $progressing \ p \ progressing \ q$   
**assumes** *iw-not-in-V*:  $set (inner-verts \ p) \cap V = \{\}$   $set (inner-verts \ q) \cap V = \{\}$   
**assumes** *ends-in-V*:  $\{u, v, w, x\} \subseteq V$   
**assumes** *arcs*:  $e \in set \ p \ e \in set \ q$   
**shows**  $p = q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *same-gen-iapath-by-common-arc*:

**assumes**  $V: \text{verts} \exists \ G \subseteq V \ V \subseteq \text{pverts} \ G$   
**assumes** *path*:  $gen-iapath \ V \ u \ p \ v \ gen-iapath \ V \ w \ q \ x$   
**assumes** *arcs*:  $e \in set \ p \ e \in set \ q$   
**shows**  $p = q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

## 19.7 Slim graphs

We define the notion of a slim graph. The idea is that for a slim graph  $G$ ,  $G$  is a subdivision of *gen-contr-graph* (*with-proj*  $G$ ) (*verts* $\exists$  (*with-proj*  $G$ )).

**context** *pair-pre-digraph* **begin**

**definition** (**in** *pair-pre-digraph*) *is-slim* ::  $'a \ set \Rightarrow \ bool$  **where**

$is-slim \ V \equiv$   
 $(\forall v \in \text{pverts} \ G. \ v \in V \ \vee$   
 $in-degree \ G \ v \leq 2 \wedge (\exists x \ p \ y. \ gen-iapath \ V \ x \ p \ y \wedge v \in set (awalk-verts \ x \ p)))$   
 $\wedge$   
 $(\forall e \in \text{parcs} \ G. \ fst \ e \neq \ snd \ e \wedge (\exists x \ p \ y. \ gen-iapath \ V \ x \ p \ y \wedge e \in set \ p)) \wedge$   
 $(\forall u \ v \ p \ q. \ (gen-iapath \ V \ u \ p \ v \wedge gen-iapath \ V \ u \ q \ v) \longrightarrow p = q) \wedge$   
 $V \subseteq \text{pverts} \ G$

**definition** *direct-arc* ::  $'a \times 'a \Rightarrow 'a \times 'a$  **where**

$direct-arc \ uv \equiv \text{SOME } e. \{fst \ uv, \ snd \ uv\} = \{fst \ e, \ snd \ e\}$

**definition** *choose-iapath* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a)$  *awalk* **where**

$choose-iapath \ u \ v \equiv (let$   
 $chosen-path = (\lambda u \ v. \ \text{SOME } p. \ iapath \ u \ p \ v)$   
 $in \ if \ direct-arc \ (u, v) = (u, v) \ then \ chosen-path \ u \ v \ else \ rev-path \ (chosen-path \ v$   
 $u))$

**definition** *slim-paths* :: ('a × ('a × 'a) awalk × 'a) set **where**  
*slim-paths* ≡ (λe. (fst e, choose-iapath (fst e) (snd e), snd e)) ' parcs (contr-graph G)

**definition** *slim-verts* :: 'a set **where**  
*slim-verts* ≡ verts3 G ∪ (∪(u,p,-) ∈ *slim-paths*. set (awalk-verts u p))

**definition** *slim-arcs* :: 'a rel **where**  
*slim-arcs* ≡ ∪(-,p,-) ∈ *slim-paths*. set p

Computes a slim subgraph for an arbitrary *pair-digraph*

**definition** *slim* :: 'a pair-pre-digraph **where**  
*slim* ≡ (∣ pverts = *slim-verts*, parcs = *slim-arcs* ∣)

**end**

**lemma** (in *wf-digraph*) *iapath-dist-ends*:  $\bigwedge u p v. \text{iapath } u p v \implies u \neq v$   
⟨proof⟩

**context** *pair-sym-digraph* **begin**

**lemma** *choose-iapath*:  
**assumes**  $\exists p. \text{iapath } u p v$   
**shows** *iapath* u (choose-iapath u v) v  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *slim-simps*: *pverts slim* = *slim-verts parcs slim* = *slim-arcs*  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *slim-paths-in-G-E*:  
**assumes**  $(u,p,v) \in \text{slim-paths}$  **obtains** *iapath* u p v  $u \neq v$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *verts-slim-in-G*: *pverts slim* ⊆ *pverts G*  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *verts3-in-slim-G[simp]*:  
**assumes**  $x \in \text{verts3 } G$  **shows**  $x \in \text{pverts } \text{slim}$   
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *arcs-slim-in-G*: *parcs slim* ⊆ *parcs G*  
⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *slim-paths-in-slimG*:  
**assumes**  $(u,p,v) \in \text{slim-paths}$   
**shows** *pre-digraph.gen-iapath slim (verts3 G) u p v*  $\wedge p \neq []$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *direct-arc-swapped*:  
  *direct-arc* (u,v) = *direct-arc* (v,u)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *direct-arc-chooses*:  
  **fixes** u v :: 'a **shows** *direct-arc* (u,v) = (u,v)  $\vee$  *direct-arc* (u,v) = (v,u)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *rev-path-choose-iapath*:  
  **assumes** u  $\neq$  v  
  **shows** *rev-path* (*choose-iapath* u v) = *choose-iapath* v u  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *no-loops-in-iapath*: *gen-iapath* V u p v  $\implies$  a  $\in$  set p  $\implies$  fst a  $\neq$  snd a  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *pair-bidirected-digraph-slim*: *pair-bidirected-digraph slim*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** (**in** *pair-pseudo-graph*) *pair-graph-slim*: *pair-graph slim*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subgraph-slim*: *subgraph slim G*  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *giapath-if-slim-giapath*:  
  **assumes** *pre-digraph.gen-iapath slim* (verts3 G) u p v  
  **shows** *gen-iapath* (verts3 G) u p v  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *slim-giapath-if-giapath*:  
  **assumes** *gen-iapath* (verts3 G) u p v  
  **shows**  $\exists$  p. *pre-digraph.gen-iapath slim* (verts3 G) u p v (**is**  $\exists$  p. ?P p)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *contr-graph-slim-eq*:  
  *gen-contr-graph slim* (verts3 G) = *contr-graph G*  
*<proof>*

**end**

**context** *pair-pseudo-graph begin*

**lemma** *verts3-slim-in-verts3*:  
  **assumes** v  $\in$  verts3 slim **shows** v  $\in$  verts3 G  
*<proof>*



**lemma** *slim-is-slim*:  
*pair-pre-digraph.is-slim slim (verts3 G)*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**end**

**context** *pair-sym-digraph begin*

**lemma**  
**assumes** *p: gen-iapath (pverts G) u p v*  
**shows** *gen-iapath-triv-path: p = [(u,v)]*  
**and** *gen-iapath-triv-arc: (u,v) ∈ arcs G*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *gen-contr-triv*:  
**assumes** *is-slim V pverts G = V* **shows** *gen-contr-graph G V = G*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *is-slim-no-loops*:  
**assumes** *is-slim V a ∈ arcs G* **shows** *fst a ≠ snd a*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**end**

## 19.8 Contraction Preserves Kuratowski-Subgraph-Property

**lemma** (**in** *pair-pseudo-graph*) *in-degree-contr*:  
**assumes** *v ∈ V and V: verts3 G ⊆ V V ⊆ verts G*  
**shows** *in-degree (gen-contr-graph G V) v ≤ in-degree G v*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** (**in** *pair-graph*) *contracted-no-degree2-simp*:  
**assumes** *subd: subdivision-pair G H*  
**assumes** *two-less-deg2: verts3 G = pverts G*  
**shows** *contr-graph H = G*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *verts3-K33*:  
**assumes** *K<sub>3,3</sub> (with-proj G)*  
**shows** *verts3 G = verts G*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *verts3-K5*:  
**assumes** *K<sub>5</sub> (with-proj G)*  
**shows** *verts3 G = verts G*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *K33-contractedI*:  
**assumes** *subd*: *subdivision-pair*  $G H$   
**assumes** *k33*:  $K_{3,3} G$   
**shows**  $K_{3,3}$  (*contr-graph*  $H$ )  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *K5-contractedI*:  
**assumes** *subd*: *subdivision-pair*  $G H$   
**assumes** *k5*:  $K_5 G$   
**shows**  $K_5$  (*contr-graph*  $H$ )  
⟨*proof*⟩

## 19.9 Final proof

**context** *pair-sym-digraph* **begin**

**lemma** *gcg-subdivide-eq*:  
**assumes** *mem*:  $e \in \text{parcs } G \ w \notin \text{pverts } G$   
**assumes**  $V: V \subseteq \text{pverts } G$   
**shows** *gen-contr-graph* (*subdivide*  $G e w$ )  $V = \text{gen-contr-graph } G V$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *co-path-append*:  
**assumes**  $[\text{last } p1, \text{hd } p2] \notin \{[(\text{fst } e, w), (w, \text{snd } e)], [(\text{snd } e, w), (w, \text{fst } e)]\}$   
**shows** *co-path*  $e w (p1 @ p2) = \text{co-path } e w p1 @ \text{co-path } e w p2$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *exists-co-path-decomp1*:  
**assumes** *mem*:  $e \in \text{parcs } G \ w \notin \text{pverts } G$   
**assumes**  $p$ : *pre-digraph.apath* (*subdivide*  $G e w$ )  $u p v (\text{fst } e, w) \in \text{set } p \ w \neq v$   
**shows**  $\exists p1 p2. p = p1 @ (\text{fst } e, w) \# (w, \text{snd } e) \# p2$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *is-slim-if-subdivide*:  
**assumes** *pair-pre-digraph.is-slim* (*subdivide*  $G e w$ )  $V$   
**assumes** *mem1*:  $e \in \text{parcs } G \ w \notin \text{pverts } G$  **and** *mem2*:  $w \notin V$   
**shows** *is-slim*  $V$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**end**

**context** *pair-pseudo-graph* **begin**

**lemma** *subdivision-gen-contr*:  
**assumes** *is-slim*  $V$   
**shows** *subdivision-pair* (*gen-contr-graph*  $G V$ )  $G$   
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *contr-is-subgraph-subdivision:*  
**shows**  $\exists H. \text{subgraph (with-proj } H) G \wedge \text{subdivision-pair (contr-graph } G) H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *kuratowski-contr:*  
**fixes**  $K :: 'a \text{ pair-pre-digraph}$   
**assumes**  $\text{subgraph-}K: \text{subgraph } K G$   
**assumes**  $\text{spd-}K: \text{pair-pseudo-graph } K$   
**assumes**  $\text{kuratowski}: K_{3,3} (\text{contr-graph } K) \vee K_5 (\text{contr-graph } K)$   
**shows**  $\neg \text{kuratowski-planar } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *certificate-characterization:*  
**defines**  $\text{kuratowski} \equiv \lambda G :: 'a \text{ pair-pre-digraph. } K_{3,3} G \vee K_5 G$   
**shows**  $\text{kuratowski} (\text{contr-graph } G)$   
 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists H. \text{kuratowski } H \wedge \text{subdivision-pair } H \text{ slim} \wedge \text{verts3 } G = \text{verts3 } \text{slim})$   
**(is ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)**  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition** **(in** *pair-pre-digraph***)**  $\text{certify} :: 'a \text{ pair-pre-digraph} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{certify } \text{cert} \equiv \text{let } C = \text{contr-graph } \text{cert} \text{ in } \text{subgraph } \text{cert } G \wedge (K_{3,3} C \vee K_5 C)$

**theorem** *certify-complete:*  
**assumes**  $\text{pair-pseudo-graph } \text{cert}$   
**assumes**  $\text{subgraph } \text{cert } G$   
**assumes**  $\exists H. \text{subdivision-pair } H \text{ cert} \wedge (K_{3,3} H \vee K_5 H)$   
**shows**  $\text{certify } \text{cert}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *certify-sound:*  
**assumes**  $\text{pair-pseudo-graph } \text{cert}$   
**assumes**  $\text{certify } \text{cert}$   
**shows**  $\neg \text{kuratowski-planar } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *certify-characterization:*  
**assumes**  $\text{pair-pseudo-graph } \text{cert}$   
**shows**  $\text{certify } \text{cert} \longleftrightarrow \text{subgraph } \text{cert } G \wedge \text{verts3 } \text{cert} = \text{verts3} (\text{pair-pre-digraph.slim } \text{cert})$   
 $\wedge (\exists H. (K_{3,3} (\text{with-proj } H) \vee K_5 H) \wedge \text{subdivision-pair } H (\text{pair-pre-digraph.slim } \text{cert}))$   
**(is ?L  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?R)**  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**end**

```

theory Weighted-Graph
imports
  Digraph
  Arc-Walk
  Complex-Main
begin

```

## 20 Weighted Graphs

```

type-synonym 'b weight-fun = 'b  $\Rightarrow$  real

```

```

context wf-digraph begin

```

```

definition awalk-cost :: 'b weight-fun  $\Rightarrow$  'b awalk  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  awalk-cost f es = sum-list (map f es)

```

```

lemma awalk-cost-Nil[simp]: awalk-cost f [] = 0
  <proof>

```

```

lemma awalk-cost-Cons[simp]: awalk-cost f (x # xs) = f x + awalk-cost f xs
  <proof>

```

```

lemma awalk-cost-append[simp]:
  awalk-cost f (xs @ ys) = awalk-cost f xs + awalk-cost f ys
  <proof>

```

```

end

```

```

end

```

```

theory Shortest-Path imports
  Arc-Walk
  Weighted-Graph
  HOL-Library.Extended-Real
begin

```

## 21 Shortest Paths

```

context wf-digraph begin

```

```

definition  $\mu$  where
   $\mu$  f u v  $\equiv$  INF p  $\in$  {p. awalk u p v}. ereal (awalk-cost f p)

```

```

lemma shortest-path-inf:

```

**assumes**  $\neg(u \rightarrow^* v)$   
**shows**  $\mu f u v = \infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *min-cost-le-walk-cost*:  
**assumes** *awalk*  $u p v$   
**shows**  $\mu c u v \leq \text{awalk-cost } c p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-cost-pos-awalk-cost*:  
**assumes** *awalk*  $u p v$   
**assumes** *pos-cost*:  $\bigwedge e. e \in \text{arcs } G \implies c e \geq 0$   
**shows**  $\text{awalk-cost } c p \geq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** *mk-cycles-path* :: *nat*  
 $\Rightarrow 'b \text{ awalk} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ awalk}$  **where**  
*mk-cycles-path* 0  $c = []$   
| *mk-cycles-path* (Suc  $n$ )  $c = c @ (\text{mk-cycles-path } n c)$

**lemma** *mk-cycles-path-awalk*:  
**assumes** *awalk*  $u c u$   
**shows** *awalk*  $u (\text{mk-cycles-path } n c) u$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mk-cycles-awalk-cost*:  
**assumes** *awalk*  $u p u$   
**shows**  $\text{awalk-cost } c (\text{mk-cycles-path } n p) = n * \text{awalk-cost } c p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-over-nats*:  
**fixes**  $a c :: \text{real}$   
**assumes**  $c < 0$   
**shows**  $(\text{INF } (i :: \text{nat}). \text{ereal } (a + i * c)) = -\infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *neg-cycle-imp-inf- $\mu$* :  
**assumes** *walk-p*: *awalk*  $u p v$   
**assumes** *walk-c*: *awalk*  $w c w$   
**assumes** *w-in-p*:  $w \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u p)$   
**assumes**  $\text{awalk-cost } f c < 0$   
**shows**  $\mu f u v = -\infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *walk-cheaper-path-imp-neg-cyc*:  
**assumes** *p-props*: *awalk*  $u p v$   
**assumes** *less-path- $\mu$* :  $\text{awalk-cost } f p < (\text{INF } p \in \{p. \text{apath } u p v\}. \text{ereal } (\text{awalk-cost } f p))$   
**shows**  $\exists w c. \text{awalk } w c w \wedge w \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u p) \wedge \text{awalk-cost } f c < 0$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *neg-inf-imp-neg-cyc*:

**assumes** *inf-mu*:  $\mu f u v = -\infty$

**shows**  $\exists p. \text{awalk } u p v \wedge (\exists w c. \text{awalk } w c w \wedge w \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u p) \wedge \text{awalk-cost } f c < 0)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *no-neg-cyc-imp-no-neg-inf*:

**assumes** *no-neg-cyc*:  $\bigwedge p. \text{awalk } u p v$

$\implies \neg(\exists w c. \text{awalk } w c w \wedge w \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u p) \wedge \text{awalk-cost } f c < 0)$

**shows**  $-\infty < \mu f u v$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *mu-reach-conv*:

$\mu f u v < \infty \iff u \rightarrow^* v$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *awalk-to-path-no-neg-cyc-cost*:

**assumes** *p-props*:  $\text{awalk } u p v$

**assumes** *no-neg-cyc*:  $\neg(\exists w c. \text{awalk } w c w \wedge w \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u p) \wedge \text{awalk-cost } f c < 0)$

**shows**  $\text{awalk-cost } f (\text{awalk-to-apat} p) \leq \text{awalk-cost } f p$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *no-neg-cyc-reach-imp-path*:

**assumes** *reach*:  $u \rightarrow^* v$

**assumes** *no-neg-cyc*:  $\bigwedge p. \text{awalk } u p v$

$\implies \neg(\exists w c. \text{awalk } w c w \wedge w \in \text{set } (\text{awalk-verts } u p) \wedge \text{awalk-cost } f c < 0)$

**shows**  $\exists p. \text{apat} u p v \wedge \mu f u v = \text{awalk-cost } f p$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *min-cost-awalk*:

**assumes** *reach*:  $u \rightarrow^* v$

**assumes** *pos-cost*:  $\bigwedge e. e \in \text{arcs } G \implies c e \geq 0$

**shows**  $\exists p. \text{apat} u p v \wedge \mu c u v = \text{awalk-cost } c p$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *pos-cost-mu-triangle*:

**assumes** *pos-cost*:  $\bigwedge e. e \in \text{arcs } G \implies c e \geq 0$

**assumes** *e-props*:  $\text{arc-to-ends } G e = (u, v) \ e \in \text{arcs } G$

**shows**  $\mu c s v \leq \mu c s u + c e$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *mu-exact-triangle*:

**assumes**  $v \neq s$

**assumes**  $s \rightarrow^* v$

**assumes** *nonneg-arcs*:  $\bigwedge e. e \in \text{arcs } G \implies 0 \leq c e$

**obtains**  $u e$  **where**  $\mu c s v = \mu c s u + c e$  **and**  $\text{arc } e (u, v)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *mu-exact-triangle-Ex*:

**assumes**  $v \neq s$

**assumes**  $s \rightarrow^* v$

**assumes**  $\bigwedge e. e \in \text{arcs } G \implies 0 \leq c e$

**shows**  $\exists u e. \mu c s v = \mu c s u + c e \wedge \text{arc } e (u, v)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** (in *fin-digraph*) *mu-Inf-triangle*:

**assumes**  $v \neq s$

**assumes**  $\bigwedge e. e \in \text{arcs } G \implies 0 \leq c e$

**shows**  $\mu c s v = \text{Inf } \{ \mu c s u + c e \mid u e. \text{arc } e (u, v) \}$  (**is - = Inf ?S**)

*<proof>*

**end**

**end**

**theory** *Graph-Theory*

**imports**

*Digraph*

*Bidirected-Digraph*

*Arc-Walk*

*Digraph-Component*

*Digraph-Component-Vwalk*

*Digraph-Isomorphism*

*Pair-Digraph*

*Vertex-Walk*

*Subdivision*

*Euler*

*Kuratowski*

*Shortest-Path*

**begin**

**end**

## References

- [1] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Z. Gutin. *Digraphs: Theory, Algorithms and Applications*. Springer, 2 edition, 2009.
- [2] F. Harary and R. Read. Is the null-graph a pointless concept? In R. Bari and F. Harary, editors, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, volume 406 of *Lecture*

*Notes in Mathematics*, pages 37–44. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1974.