

# Given Clause Loops

Jasmin Blanchette

Qi Qiu

Sophie Tourret

March 17, 2025

## Abstract

This Isabelle/HOL formalization extends the `Saturation_Framework` and `Saturation_Framework_Extensions` entries of the *Archive of Formal Proofs* with the specification and verification of four semiabstract given clause procedures, or “loops”: the DISCOUNT, Otter, iProver, and Zipperposition loops. For each loop, (dynamic) refutational completeness is proved under the assumption that the underlying calculus is (statically) refutationally complete and that the used queue data structures are fair.

The formalization is inspired by the proof sketches found in the article “A comprehensive framework for saturation theorem proving” by Uwe Waldmann, Sophie Tourret, Simon Robillard, and Jasmin Blanchette (*Journal of Automated Reasoning* **66**(4): 499–539, 2022). A paper titled “Verified given clause procedures” about the present formalization is in the works.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Utilities for Given Clause Loops</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>More Lemmas about Given Clause Architectures</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Inference System . . . . .	5
2.2	Given Clause Procedure Basis . . . . .	5
2.3	Given Clause Procedure . . . . .	7
2.4	Lazy Given Clause Procedure . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>DISCOUNT Loop</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Locale . . . . .	11
3.2	Basic Definitions and Lemmas . . . . .	12
3.3	Refinement . . . . .	13
3.4	Completeness . . . . .	17
<b>4</b>	<b>Prover Queues and Fairness</b>	<b>17</b>
4.1	Basic Lemmas . . . . .	18
4.2	More on Relational Chains over Lazy Lists . . . . .	18
4.3	Locales . . . . .	19
4.4	Instantiation with FIFO Queue . . . . .	20
<b>5</b>	<b>Fair DISCOUNT Loop</b>	<b>25</b>
5.1	Locale . . . . .	25
5.2	Basic Definitions and Lemmas . . . . .	26
5.3	Initial State and Invariant . . . . .	29
5.4	Final State . . . . .	30

5.5	Refinement	31
5.6	Completeness	33
5.7	Specialization with FIFO Queue	41
<b>6</b>	<b>Otter Loop</b>	<b>42</b>
6.1	Basic Definitions and Lemmas	43
6.2	Refinement	44
6.3	Completeness	50
<b>7</b>	<b>Definition of Fair Otter Loop</b>	<b>50</b>
7.1	Locale	50
7.2	Basic Definitions and Lemmas	51
7.3	Initial State and Invariant	53
7.4	Final State	54
7.5	Refinement	55
<b>8</b>	<b>iProver Loop</b>	<b>57</b>
8.1	Definition	57
8.2	Refinement	57
8.3	Completeness	58
<b>9</b>	<b>Fair iProver Loop</b>	<b>59</b>
9.1	Locale	59
9.2	Basic Definition	59
9.3	Initial State and Invariant	60
9.4	Final State	61
9.5	Refinement	61
9.6	Completeness	62
<b>10</b>	<b>Completeness of Fair Otter Loop</b>	<b>75</b>
10.1	Completeness	75
10.2	Specialization with FIFO Queue	76
<b>11</b>	<b>Zipperposition Loop with Ghost State</b>	<b>77</b>
11.1	Basic Definitions and Lemmas	77
11.2	Refinement	78
11.3	Completeness	82
<b>12</b>	<b>Prover Lazy List Queues and Fairness</b>	<b>83</b>
12.1	Basic Lemmas	83
12.2	Locales	84
12.3	Instantiation with FIFO Queue	86
<b>13</b>	<b>Fair Zipperposition Loop with Ghosts</b>	<b>97</b>
13.1	Locale	97
13.2	Basic Definitions and Lemmas	98
13.3	Initial State and Invariant	100
13.4	Final State	102
13.5	Refinement	104
13.6	Completeness	105

<b>14 Fair Zipperposition Loop without Ghosts</b>	<b>116</b>
14.1 Locale . . . . .	116
14.2 Basic Definitions and Lemmas . . . . .	118
14.3 Initial States and Invariants . . . . .	118
14.4 Abstract Nonsense for Ghost–Ghostless Conversion . . . . .	119
14.5 Ghost–Ghostless Conversions, the Concrete Version . . . . .	121
14.6 Completeness . . . . .	125
14.7 Specialization with FIFO Queue . . . . .	126
<b>15 Given Clause Loops</b>	<b>127</b>

## 1 Utilities for Given Clause Loops

This section contains various lemmas used by the rest of the formalization of given clause procedures.

```

theory Given-Clause-Loops-Util
imports
  HOL-Library.FSet
  HOL-Library.Multiset
  Ordered-Resolution-Prover.Lazy-List-Chain
  Weighted-Path-Order.Multiset-Extension-Pair
  Lambda-Free-RPOs.Lambda-Free-Util
begin

hide-const (open) Seq.chain

hide-fact (open) Abstract-Rewriting.chain-mono

declare fset-of-list.rep-eq [simp]

instance bool :: wellorder
proof
  fix P and b :: bool
  assume  $(\bigwedge y. y < b \implies P y) \implies P b$  for b :: bool
  hence  $\bigwedge q. q \leq b \implies P q$ 
    using less-bool-def by presburger
  then show P b
    by auto
qed

lemma finite-imp-set-eq:
  assumes fin: finite A
  shows  $\exists xs. \text{set } xs = A$ 
  using fin
proof (induct A rule: finite-induct)
  case empty
  then show ?case
    by auto
next
  case (insert x B)
  then obtain xs :: 'a list where
    set xs = B
    by blast

```

**then have**  $set (x \# xs) = insert\ x\ B$   
**by** *auto*  
**then show** *?case*  
**by** *blast*  
**qed**

**lemma** *Union-Setcompr-member-mset-mono*:  
**assumes**  $sub: P \subseteq\# Q$   
**shows**  $\bigcup \{f\ x \mid x. x \in\# P\} \subseteq \bigcup \{f\ x \mid x. x \in\# Q\}$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\{f\ x \mid x. x \in\# P\} \subseteq \{f\ x \mid x. x \in\# Q\}$   
**by** (*rule Collect-mono*) (*metis sub mset-subset-eqD*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** (*simp add: Sup-subset-mono*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *singletons-in-mult1*:  $(x, y) \in R \implies (\{x\}, \{y\}) \in mult1\ R$   
**by** (*metis add-mset-add-single insert-DiffM mult1I single-eq-add-mset*)

**lemma** *singletons-in-mult*:  $(x, y) \in R \implies (\{x\}, \{y\}) \in mult\ R$   
**by** (*simp add: mult-def singletons-in-mult1 trancl.intros(1)*)

**lemma** *multiset-union-diff-assoc*:  
**fixes**  $A\ B\ C :: 'a\ multiset$   
**assumes**  $A \cap\# C = \{\#\}$   
**shows**  $A + B - C = A + (B - C)$   
**by** (*metis assms multiset-union-diff-commute union-commute*)

**lemma** *Liminf-llist-subset*:  
**assumes**  
 $llength\ Xs = llength\ Ys$  **and**  
 $\forall i < llength\ Xs. lnth\ Xs\ i \subseteq lnth\ Ys\ i$   
**shows**  $Liminf\text{-}llist\ Xs \subseteq Liminf\text{-}llist\ Ys$   
**unfolding** *Liminf-llist-def* **using** *assms*  
**by** (*smt INT-iff SUP-mono mem-Collect-eq subsetD subsetI*)

**lemma** *countable-imp-lset*:  
**assumes**  $count: countable\ A$   
**shows**  $\exists as. lset\ as = A$   
**proof** (*cases finite A*)  
**case** *fin: True*  
**have**  $\exists as. set\ as = A$   
**by** (*simp add: fin finite-imp-set-eq*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** (*meson lset-llist-of*)  
**next**  
**case** *inf: False*

**let**  $?as = inf\text{-}llist\ (from\text{-}nat\text{-}into\ A)$

**have**  $lset\ ?as = A$   
**by** (*simp add: inf infinite-imp-nonempty count*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** *blast*  
**qed**

**lemma** *distinct-imp-notin-set-drop-Suc*:

**assumes**

*distinct xs*

*i < length xs*

*xs ! i = x*

**shows**  $x \notin \text{set } (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) \text{ } xs)$

**by** (*metis Cons-nth-drop-Suc assms distinct.simps(2) distinct-drop*)

**lemma** *distinct-set-drop-removeAll-hd*:

**assumes**

*distinct xs*

*xs  $\neq$  []*

**shows**  $\text{set } (\text{drop } n \text{ } (\text{removeAll } (\text{hd } xs) \text{ } xs)) = \text{set } (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ } xs)$

**using** *assms*

**by** (*metis distinct.simps(2) drop-Suc list.exhaust-sel removeAll.simps(2) removeAll-id*)

**lemma** *set-drop-removeAll*:  $\text{set } (\text{drop } n \text{ } (\text{removeAll } y \text{ } xs)) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{drop } n \text{ } xs)$

**proof** (*induct n arbitrary: xs*)

**case** 0

**then show** *?case*

**by** *auto*

**next**

**case** (*Suc n*)

**then show** *?case*

**proof** (*cases xs*)

**case** *Nil*

**then show** *?thesis*

**by** *auto*

**next**

**case** (*Cons x xs'*)

**then show** *?thesis*

**by** (*metis Suc Suc-n-not-le-n drop-Suc-Cons nat-le-linear removeAll.simps(2) set-drop-subset-set-drop subset-code(1)*)

**qed**

**qed**

**lemma** *set-drop-fold-removeAll*:  $\text{set } (\text{drop } k \text{ } (\text{fold } \text{removeAll } ys \text{ } xs)) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{drop } k \text{ } xs)$

**proof** (*induct ys arbitrary: xs*)

**case** (*Cons y ys*)

**note** *ih = this(1)*

**have**  $\text{set } (\text{drop } k \text{ } (\text{fold } \text{removeAll } ys \text{ } (\text{removeAll } y \text{ } xs))) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{drop } k \text{ } (\text{removeAll } y \text{ } xs))$

**using** *ih[of removeAll y xs]* .

**also have**  $\dots \subseteq \text{set } (\text{drop } k \text{ } xs)$

**by** (*meson set-drop-removeAll*)

**finally show** *?case*

**by** *simp*

**qed** *simp*

**lemma** *set-drop-append-subseteq*:  $\text{set } (\text{drop } n \text{ } (xs @ ys)) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{drop } n \text{ } xs) \cup \text{set } ys$

**by** (*metis drop-append set-append set-drop-subset sup.idem sup.orderI sup-mono*)

**lemma** *distinct-fold-removeAll*:

**assumes** *dist: distinct xs*

```

shows distinct (fold removeAll ys xs)
using dist
proof (induct ys arbitrary: xs)
  case Nil
  then show ?case
    using dist by simp
next
  case (Cons y ys)
  note ih = this(1) and dist-xs = this(2)

  have dist-yxs: distinct (removeAll y xs)
    using dist-xs by (simp add: distinct-removeAll)

  show ?case
    by simp (rule ih[OF dist-yxs])
qed

lemma set-drop-append-cons: set (drop n (xs @ ys)) ⊆ set (drop n (xs @ y # ys))
proof (induct n arbitrary: xs)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  note ih = this(1)

  show ?case
  proof (cases xs)
    case Nil
    then show ?thesis
      using set-drop-subset-set-drop[of n Suc n] by force
  next
    case (Cons x xs')
    note xs = this(1)

    have set (drop n (xs' @ ys)) ⊆ set (drop n (xs' @ y # ys))
      using ih .
    thus ?thesis
      unfolding xs by auto
  qed
qed

lemma chain-ltl: chain R sts ⇒ ¬ lnull (ltl sts) ⇒ chain R (ltl sts)
by (metis chain.simps eq-LConsD lnull-def)

end

```

## 2 More Lemmas about Given Clause Architectures

This section proves lemmas about Tourret's formalization of the abstract given clause procedures *GC* and *LGC*.

```

theory More-Given-Clause-Architectures
imports Saturation-Framework.Given-Clause-Architectures
begin

```

## 2.1 Inference System

**context** *inference-system*

**begin**

**lemma** *Inf-from-empty*:  $\text{Inf-from } \{\} = \{\iota \in \text{Inf}. \text{prems-of } \iota = \{\}\}$   
**using** *Inf-from-def* **by** *auto*

**end**

## 2.2 Given Clause Procedure Basis

**context** *given-clause-basis*

**begin**

**lemma** *no-labels-entails-mono-left*:  $M \subseteq N \implies M \models_{\text{NG}} P \implies N \models_{\text{NG}} P$   
**using** *no-labels.entails-trans* *no-labels.subset-entailed* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *no-labels-Red-F-imp-Red-F*:  
**assumes**  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } (\text{fst } \mathcal{N})$   
**shows**  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } \mathcal{N}$

**proof** –

**let**  $?N = \text{fst } \mathcal{N}$

**have** *c-in-red-f-g-q*:  $\forall q \in Q. C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-G-q } q ?N$

**using** *no-labels.Red-F-def* **assms** **by** *auto*

**moreover** **have** *redfgq-eq-redfeq*:

$\forall q \in Q. \text{no-labels.Red-F-G-q } q ?N = \text{no-labels.Red-F-G-empty-q } q ?N$

**using** *no-labels.Red-F-G-empty-q-def* *no-labels.Red-F-G-q-def* **by** *auto*

**ultimately** **have**  $\forall q \in Q. C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-G-empty-q } q ?N$

**by** *simp*

**then** **have**  $\forall q \in Q. \mathcal{G}\text{-F-q } q C \subseteq \text{Red-F-q } q (\text{no-labels.G-Fset-q } q ?N)$

**using** *redfgq-eq-redfeq* *no-labels.Red-F-G-q-def* **by** *auto*

**moreover** **have**  $\forall q \in Q. \mathcal{G}\text{-F-L-q } q (C, l) = \mathcal{G}\text{-F-q } q C$

**by** *simp*

**moreover** **have**  $\forall q \in Q. \text{no-labels.G-Fset-q } q ?N = \mathcal{G}\text{-Fset-q } q \mathcal{N}$

**by** *auto*

**ultimately** **have**  $\forall q \in Q. \mathcal{G}\text{-F-L-q } q (C, l) \subseteq \text{Red-F-q } q (\mathcal{G}\text{-Fset-L-q } q \mathcal{N})$

**by** *auto*

**then** **have**  $\forall q \in Q. (C, l) \in \text{Red-F-G-q } q \mathcal{N}$

**using** *c-in-red-f-g-q* *Red-F-G-q-def* **by** *force*

**then** **show**  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } \mathcal{N}$

**using** *Red-F-def* **by** *simp*

**qed**

**lemma** *succ-F-imp-Red-F*:

**assumes**

$C' \in \text{fst } \mathcal{N}$  **and**

$C' \prec \cdot C$

**shows**  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } \mathcal{N}$

**proof** –

**have**  $\exists l'. (C', l') \in \mathcal{N}$

**using** *assms* **by** *auto*

**then** **obtain**  $l'$  **where**

*c'-l'-in*:  $(C', l') \in \mathcal{N}$

**by** *auto*

**then** **have** *c'-l'-ls-c-l*:  $(C', l') \sqsubset (C, l)$

using *assms Prec-FL-def* by *simp*  
 moreover have *g-f-q-included*:  $\forall q \in Q. \mathcal{G}\text{-F-q } q \ C \subseteq \mathcal{G}\text{-F-q } q \ C'$   
 using *assms prec-F-grounding* by *simp*  
 ultimately have  $\forall q \in Q. \mathcal{G}\text{-F-L-q } q \ (C, l) \subseteq \mathcal{G}\text{-F-L-q } q \ (C, l)$   
 by *auto*  
 then have  $\forall q \in Q. (C, l) \in \text{Red-F-}\mathcal{G}\text{-q } q \ \mathcal{N}$   
 using *c'-l'-in c'-l'-ls-c-l g-f-q-included Red-F-}\mathcal{G}\text{-q-def* by *fastforce*  
 thus  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } \mathcal{N}$   
 using *Red-F-def* by *auto*  
 qed

**lemma** *succ-L-imp-Red-F*:

**assumes**

$(C', l') \in \mathcal{N}$  **and**

$C' \preceq C$  **and**

$l' \sqsubset_L l$

**shows**  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } \mathcal{N}$

**proof** –

**have** *c'-l'-ls-c-l*:  $(C', l') \sqsubset (C, l)$

using *Prec-FL-def assms* by *auto*

**have** *c'-le-c*:  $C' \preceq C$

using *assms* by *simp*

**then show**  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } \mathcal{N}$

**proof**

**assume** *c'-ls-c*:  $C' \prec C$

**have**  $C' \in \text{fst } \mathcal{N}$

by (*metis assms(1) eq-fst-iff rev-image-eqI*)

**then show** *?thesis*

using *c'-ls-c succ-F-imp-Red-F* by *blast*

**next**

**assume** *c'-eq-c*:  $C' \doteq C$

**have** *c-eq-c'*:  $C \doteq C'$

using *c'-eq-c equiv-equiv-F equivp-symp* by *force*

**have**  $\forall q \in Q. \mathcal{G}\text{-F-q } q \ C' = \mathcal{G}\text{-F-q } q \ C$

using *c'-eq-c c-eq-c' equiv-F-grounding subset-antisym* by *auto*

**then have**  $\forall q \in Q. \mathcal{G}\text{-F-L-q } q \ (C, l) = \mathcal{G}\text{-F-L-q } q \ (C', l')$  by *auto*

**then have**  $\forall q \in Q. (C, l) \in \text{Red-F-}\mathcal{G}\text{-q } q \ \mathcal{N}$

using *assms(1) c'-l'-ls-c-l Red-F-}\mathcal{G}\text{-q-def* by *auto*

**then show** *?thesis*

using *Red-F-def* by *auto*

qed

qed

**lemma** *prj-fl-set-to-f-set-distr-union* [*simp*]:  $\text{fst } \mathcal{C} \ (M \cup N) = \text{fst } \mathcal{C} \ M \cup \text{fst } \mathcal{C} \ N$   
 by (*rule Set.image-Un*)

**lemma** *prj-labeledN-eq-N* [*simp*]:  $\text{fst } \mathcal{C} \ \{(C, l) \mid C. C \in N\} = N$

**proof** –

**let**  $?N = \{(C, l) \mid C. C \in N\}$

**have**  $\text{fst } \mathcal{C} \ ?N = N$

**proof**

**show**  $\text{fst } \mathcal{C} \ ?N \subseteq N$

by *fastforce*

**next**

**show**  $\text{fst } \mathcal{C} \ ?N \supseteq N$



```

proof
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in N$ 
  then have  $(x, l) \in ?\mathcal{N}$ 
    by auto
  then show  $x \in \text{fst}' ?\mathcal{N}$ 
    by force
  qed
qed
then show  $\text{fst}' ?\mathcal{N} = N$ 
  by simp
qed

end

```

### 2.3 Given Clause Procedure

```

context given-clause
begin

```

```

lemma remove-redundant:
  assumes  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } \mathcal{N}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \mathcal{N}$ 
proof –
  have  $\{(C, l)\} \subseteq \text{Red-F } (\mathcal{N} \cup \{\})$ 
    using assms by simp
  moreover have active-subset  $\{\} = \{\}$ 
    using active-subset-def by simp
  ultimately show  $\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \mathcal{N}$ 
    by (metis process sup-bot-right)
qed

```

```

lemma remove-redundant-no-label:
  assumes  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } (\text{fst}' \mathcal{N})$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \mathcal{N}$ 
proof –
  have  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } \mathcal{N}$ 
    using no-labels.Red-F-imp-Red-F assms by simp
  then show ?thesis
    using remove-redundant by auto
qed

```

```

lemma add-inactive:
  assumes  $l \neq \text{active}$ 
  shows  $\mathcal{N} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\}$ 
proof –
  have active-subset-C-l: active-subset  $\{(C, l)\} = \{\}$ 
    using active-subset-def assms by simp
  also have  $\{\} \subseteq \text{Red-F } (\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\})$ 
    by simp
  finally show  $\mathcal{N} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\}$ 
    by (metis active-subset-C-l process sup-bot.right-neutral)
qed

```

```

lemma remove-succ-F:
  assumes

```

$(C', l') \in \mathcal{N}$  and  
 $C' \prec \cdot C$   
**shows**  $\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \mathcal{N}$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $C' \in \text{fst } \cdot \mathcal{N}$   
**by** (*metis assms(1) fst-conv rev-image-eqI*)  
**then have**  $\{(C, l)\} \subseteq \text{Red-F } (\mathcal{N})$   
**using** *assms succ-F-imp-Red-F* **by** *auto*  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**using** *remove-redundant* **by** *simp*  
**qed**

**lemma** *remove-succ-L*:  
**assumes**  
 $(C', l') \in \mathcal{N}$  and  
 $C' \preceq \cdot C$  and  
 $l' \sqsubset_L l$   
**shows**  $\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \mathcal{N}$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } \mathcal{N}$   
**using** *assms succ-L-imp-Red-F* **by** *auto*  
**then show**  $\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \mathcal{N}$   
**using** *remove-redundant* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma** *relabel-inactive*:  
**assumes**  
 $l' \sqsubset_L l$  and  
 $l' \neq \text{active}$   
**shows**  $\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\}$   
**proof** –  
**have** *active-subset-c-l'*: *active-subset*  $\{(C, l')\} = \{\}$   
**using** *active-subset-def assms* **by** *auto*  
  
**have**  $C \doteq C$   
**by** (*simp add: equiv-equiv-F equivp-reflp*)  
**moreover have**  $(C, l') \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\}$   
**by** *auto*  
**ultimately have**  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } (\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\})$   
**using** *assms succ-L-imp-Red-F[of - -  $\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\}$ ]* **by** *auto*  
**then have**  $\{(C, l)\} \subseteq \text{Red-F } (\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\})$   
**by** *auto*  
  
**then show**  $\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\}$   
**using** *active-subset-c-l' process[of - -  $\{(C, l)\} - \{(C, l')\}$ ]* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**end**

## 2.4 Lazy Given Clause Procedure

**context** *lazy-given-clause*  
**begin**

**lemma** *remove-redundant*:  
**assumes**  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } \mathcal{N}$

**shows**  $(T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\}) \rightsquigarrow LGC (T, \mathcal{N})$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\{(C, l)\} \subseteq Red-F \mathcal{N}$   
**using** *assms* **by** *simp*  
**moreover have** *active-subset*  $\{\} = \{\}$   
**using** *active-subset-def* **by** *simp*  
**ultimately show**  $(T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\}) \rightsquigarrow LGC (T, \mathcal{N})$   
**by** (*metis process sup-bot-right*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *remove-redundant-no-label*:  
**assumes**  $C \in no-labels.Red-F (fst \text{ ' } \mathcal{N})$   
**shows**  $(T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\}) \rightsquigarrow LGC (T, \mathcal{N})$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $(C, l) \in Red-F \mathcal{N}$   
**using** *no-labels-Red-F-imp-Red-F assms* **by** *simp*  
**then show**  $(T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\}) \rightsquigarrow LGC (T, \mathcal{N})$   
**using** *remove-redundant* **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma** *add-inactive*:  
**assumes**  $l \neq active$   
**shows**  $(T, \mathcal{N}) \rightsquigarrow LGC (T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\})$   
**proof** –  
**have** *active-subset-C-l*: *active-subset*  $\{(C, l)\} = \{\}$   
**using** *active-subset-def assms* **by** *simp*  
**also have**  $\{\} \subseteq Red-F (\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\})$   
**by** *simp*  
**finally show**  $(T, \mathcal{N}) \rightsquigarrow LGC (T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\})$   
**by** (*metis active-subset-C-l process sup-bot.right-neutral*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *remove-succ-F*:  
**assumes**  
 $(C', l') \in \mathcal{N}$  **and**  
 $C' \prec \cdot C$   
**shows**  $(T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\}) \rightsquigarrow LGC (T, \mathcal{N})$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $C' \in fst \text{ ' } \mathcal{N}$   
**by** (*metis assms(1) fst-conv rev-image-eqI*)  
**then have**  $\{(C, l)\} \subseteq Red-F (\mathcal{N})$   
**using** *assms succ-F-imp-Red-F* **by** *auto*  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**using** *remove-redundant* **by** *simp*  
**qed**

**lemma** *remove-succ-L*:  
**assumes**  
 $(C', l') \in \mathcal{N}$  **and**  
 $C' \preceq \cdot C$  **and**  
 $l' \sqsubset_L l$   
**shows**  $(T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\}) \rightsquigarrow LGC (T, \mathcal{N})$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $(C, l) \in Red-F \mathcal{N}$   
**using** *assms succ-L-imp-Red-F* **by** *auto*

```

then show  $(T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\}) \sim LGC (T, \mathcal{N})$ 
  using remove-redundant by auto
qed

lemma relabel-inactive:
  assumes
     $l' \sqsubset_L l$  and
     $l' \neq \text{active}$ 
  shows  $(T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\}) \sim LGC (T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\})$ 
proof -
  have active-subset-c-l': active-subset  $\{(C, l')\} = \{\}$ 
    using active-subset-def assms by auto

  have  $C \doteq C$ 
    by (simp add: equiv-equiv-F equivp-reflp)
  moreover have  $(C, l') \in \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\}$ 
    by auto
  ultimately have  $(C, l) \in \text{Red-F } (\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\})$ 
    using assms succ-L-imp-Red-F[of - -  $\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\}$ ] by auto
  then have  $\{(C, l)\} \subseteq \text{Red-F } (\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\})$ 
    by auto

  then show  $(T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l)\}) \sim LGC (T, \mathcal{N} \cup \{(C, l')\})$ 
    using active-subset-c-l' process[of - -  $\{(C, l)\} - \{(C, l')\}$ ] by auto
qed

end

end

```

### 3 DISCOUNT Loop

The DISCOUNT loop is one of the two best-known given clause procedures. It is formalized as an instance of the abstract procedure *LGC*.

```

theory DISCOUNT-Loop
  imports
    Given-Clause-Loops-Util
    More-Given-Clause-Architectures
begin

```

#### 3.1 Locale

```

datatype DL-label =
  Passive | YY | Active

```

```

primrec nat-of-DL-label :: DL-label  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  nat-of-DL-label Passive = 2
| nat-of-DL-label YY = 1
| nat-of-DL-label Active = 0

```

```

definition DL-Prec-L :: DL-label  $\Rightarrow$  DL-label  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \sqsubset_L \rangle$  50) where
  DL-Prec-L l l'  $\longleftrightarrow$  nat-of-DL-label l < nat-of-DL-label l'

```

```

locale discount-loop = labeled-lifting-intersection Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q

```

$Red-F-q \mathcal{G}-F-q \mathcal{G}-I-q$   
 $\{\iota_{FL} :: (f \times l) \text{ inference. Infer (map fst (prems-of } \iota_{FL})) (fst (concl-of } \iota_{FL})) \in Inf-F\}$   
**for**  
*Bot-F* :: 'f set  
**and** *Inf-F* :: 'f inference set  
**and** *Bot-G* :: 'g set  
**and** *Q* :: 'q set  
**and** *entails-q* :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
**and** *Inf-G-q* :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set  
**and** *Red-I-q* :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set  
**and** *Red-F-q* :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  
**and** *G-F-q* :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  
**and** *G-I-q* :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f inference  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set option  
**+ fixes**  
*Equiv-F* :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (**infix**  $\langle \doteq \rangle$  50) **and**  
*Prec-F* :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (**infix**  $\langle \prec \cdot \rangle$  50)  
**assumes**  
*equiv-equiv-F*: *equivp* ( $\doteq$ ) **and**  
*wf-prec-F*: *wfp* ( $\prec \cdot$ ) *transp* ( $\prec \cdot$ ) **and**  
*compat-equiv-prec*:  $C1 \doteq D1 \Longrightarrow C2 \doteq D2 \Longrightarrow C1 \prec \cdot C2 \Longrightarrow D1 \prec \cdot D2$  **and**  
*equiv-F-grounding*:  $q \in Q \Longrightarrow C1 \doteq C2 \Longrightarrow \mathcal{G}-F-q \ q \ C1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}-F-q \ q \ C2$  **and**  
*prec-F-grounding*:  $q \in Q \Longrightarrow C2 \prec \cdot C1 \Longrightarrow \mathcal{G}-F-q \ q \ C1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}-F-q \ q \ C2$  **and**  
*static-ref-comp*: *statically-complete-calculus Bot-F Inf-F* ( $\models \cap \mathcal{G}$ )  
*no-labels.Red-I-G no-labels.Red-F-G-empty* **and**  
*inf-have-prems*:  $\iota F \in Inf-F \Longrightarrow \text{prems-of } \iota F \neq []$   
**begin**  
**lemma** *po-DL-Prec-L*: *transp* ( $\sqsubset L$ ) *asympt* ( $\sqsubset L$ )  
**unfolding** *DL-Prec-L-def transp-def*  
**by** *auto*  
**lemma** *wfp-DL-Prec-L*: *wfp* ( $\sqsubset L$ )  
**unfolding** *DL-Prec-L-def*  
**by**(*simp add: wfP-app*)  
**lemma** *Active-minimal*:  $l2 \neq \text{Active} \Longrightarrow \text{Active} \sqsubset L \ l2$   
**by** (*cases l2*) (*auto simp: DL-Prec-L-def*)  
**lemma** *at-least-two-labels*:  $\exists l2. \text{Active} \sqsubset L \ l2$   
**using** *Active-minimal* **by** *blast*  
**sublocale** *lgc?*: *lazy-given-clause Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q Red-F-q G-F-q G-I-q*  
*Equiv-F Prec-F DL-Prec-L Active*  
**proof** *unfold-locales*  
**show**  $\bigwedge B \ N. \llbracket B \in \text{Bot-F}; \text{no-labels.empty-ord.saturated } N; N \models \cap \mathcal{G} \ \{B\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \exists B' \in \text{Bot-F}. B' \in N$   
**using** *static-ref-comp statically-complete-calculus.statically-complete*  
**by** *meson*  
**qed** (*simp-all add: equiv-equiv-F wf-prec-F po-DL-Prec-L wfp-DL-Prec-L compat-equiv-prec*  
*prec-F-grounding Active-minimal at-least-two-labels equiv-F-grounding*)  
**notation** *lgc.step* (**infix**  $\langle \rightsquigarrow LGC \rangle$  50)

### 3.2 Basic Definitions and Lemmas

**abbreviation** *c-dot-succ* :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (**infix**  $\langle \cdot \succ \rangle$  50) **where**  
 $C \cdot \succ C' \equiv C' \prec \cdot C$

**abbreviation**  $sqsupset :: DL\text{-label} \Rightarrow DL\text{-label} \Rightarrow bool$  (**infix**  $\langle \sqsupset L \rangle$  50) **where**  
 $l \sqsupset L l' \equiv l' \sqsubset L l$

**fun**  $labeled\text{-formulas-of} :: 'f\ set \times 'f\ set \times 'f\ set \Rightarrow ('f \times DL\text{-label})\ set$  **where**  
 $labeled\text{-formulas-of} (P, Y, A) = \{(C, Passive) \mid C. C \in P\} \cup \{(C, YY) \mid C. C \in Y\} \cup$   
 $\{(C, Active) \mid C. C \in A\}$

**lemma**  $labeled\text{-formulas-of-alt-def}$ :  
 $labeled\text{-formulas-of} (P, Y, A) =$   
 $(\lambda C. (C, Passive)) \text{ ` } P \cup (\lambda C. (C, YY)) \text{ ` } Y \cup (\lambda C. (C, Active)) \text{ ` } A$   
**by auto**

**fun**  
 $state :: 'f\ inference\ set \times 'f\ set \times 'f\ set \times 'f\ set \Rightarrow 'f\ inference\ set \times ('f \times DL\text{-label})\ set$   
**where**  
 $state (T, P, Y, A) = (T, labeled\text{-formulas-of} (P, Y, A))$

**lemma**  $state\text{-alt-def}$ :  
 $state (T, P, Y, A) = (T, (\lambda C. (C, Passive)) \text{ ` } P \cup (\lambda C. (C, YY)) \text{ ` } Y \cup (\lambda C. (C, Active)) \text{ ` } A)$   
**by auto**

**inductive**  
 $DL :: 'f\ inference\ set \times ('f \times DL\text{-label})\ set \Rightarrow 'f\ inference\ set \times ('f \times DL\text{-label})\ set \Rightarrow bool$   
(**infix**  $\langle \rightsquigarrow DL \rangle$  50)  
**where**

$compute\text{-infer}: \iota \in no\text{-labels.Red-I} (A \cup \{C\}) \Longrightarrow$   
 $state (T \cup \{\iota\}, P, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow DL state (T, P, \{C\}, A)$   
 $| choose\text{-p}: state (T, P \cup \{C\}, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow DL state (T, P, \{C\}, A)$   
 $| delete\text{-fwd}: C \in no\text{-labels.Red-F} A \vee (\exists C' \in A. C' \preceq C) \Longrightarrow$   
 $state (T, P, \{C\}, A) \rightsquigarrow DL state (T, P, \{\}, A)$   
 $| simplify\text{-fwd}: C \in no\text{-labels.Red-F} (A \cup \{C'\}) \Longrightarrow$   
 $state (T, P, \{C\}, A) \rightsquigarrow DL state (T, P, \{C'\}, A)$   
 $| delete\text{-bwd}: C' \in no\text{-labels.Red-F} \{C\} \vee C' \succ C \Longrightarrow$   
 $state (T, P, \{C\}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow DL state (T, P, \{C\}, A)$   
 $| simplify\text{-bwd}: C' \in no\text{-labels.Red-F} \{C, C''\} \Longrightarrow$   
 $state (T, P, \{C\}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow DL state (T, P \cup \{C''\}, \{C\}, A)$   
 $| schedule\text{-infer}: T' = no\text{-labels.Inf-between} A \{C\} \Longrightarrow$   
 $state (T, P, \{C\}, A) \rightsquigarrow DL state (T \cup T', P, \{\}, A \cup \{C\})$   
 $| delete\text{-orphan-infers}: T' \cap no\text{-labels.Inf-from} A = \{\} \Longrightarrow$   
 $state (T \cup T', P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow DL state (T, P, Y, A)$

**lemma**  $If\text{-f-in-A-then-fl-in-PYA}: C' \in A \Longrightarrow (C', Active) \in labeled\text{-formulas-of} (P, Y, A)$   
**by auto**

**lemma**  $PYA\text{-add-passive-formula[simp]}$ :  
 $labeled\text{-formulas-of} (P, Y, A) \cup \{(C, Passive)\} = labeled\text{-formulas-of} (P \cup \{C\}, Y, A)$   
**by auto**

**lemma**  $P0A\text{-add-y-formula[simp]}$ :  
 $labeled\text{-formulas-of} (P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, YY)\} = labeled\text{-formulas-of} (P, \{C\}, A)$   
**by auto**

**lemma**  $PYA\text{-add-active-formula[simp]}$ :  
 $labeled\text{-formulas-of} (P, Y, A) \cup \{(C', Active)\} = labeled\text{-formulas-of} (P, Y, A \cup \{C'\})$   
**by auto**

**lemma** *prj-active-subset-of-state*:  $\text{fst} \text{ ' active-subset (labeled-formulas-of (P, Y, A)) = A}$   
**proof** –

**have** *active-subset*  $\{(C, YY) \mid C. C \in Y\} = \{\}$  **and**  
*active-subset*  $\{(C, Passive) \mid C. C \in P\} = \{\}$   
**using** *active-subset-def* **by** *auto*  
**moreover have** *active-subset*  $\{(C, Active) \mid C. C \in A\} = \{(C, Active) \mid C. C \in A\}$   
**using** *active-subset-def* **by** *fastforce*  
**ultimately have**  $\text{fst} \text{ ' active-subset (labeled-formulas-of (P, Y, A)) =}$   
 $\text{fst} \text{ ' } (\{(C, Active) \mid C. C \in A\})$   
**by** *simp*  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**by** *simp*

**qed**

**lemma** *active-subset-of-setOfFormulasWithLabelDiffActive*:

$l \neq \text{Active} \implies \text{active-subset} \{(C', l)\} = \{\}$   
**using** *active-subset-def* **by** *auto*

### 3.3 Refinement

**lemma** *dl-compute-infer-in-lgc*:

**assumes**  $\iota \in \text{no-labels.Red-I-G} (A \cup \{C\})$   
**shows**  $\text{state} (T \cup \{\iota\}, P, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{LGC state} (T, P, \{C\}, A)$

**proof** –

**let**  $?N = \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P, \{\}, A)$   
**and**  $?M = \{(C, YY)\}$   
**have**  $A \cup \{C\} \subseteq \text{fst} \text{ ' (labeled-formulas-of (P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, YY)\})}$   
**by** *auto*  
**then have**  $\iota \in \text{no-labels.Red-I-G} (\text{fst} \text{ ' } (?N \cup ?M))$   
**by** (*meson assms no-labels.empty-ord.Red-I-of-subset subsetD*)  
**also have** *active-subset*  $?M = \{\}$   
**using** *active-subset-of-setOfFormulasWithLabelDiffActive* **by** *auto*  
**then have**  $(T \cup \{\iota\}, ?N) \rightsquigarrow \text{LGC} (T, ?N \cup ?M)$   
**using** *calculation lgc.step.compute-infer* **by** *blast*  
**moreover have**  $?N \cup ?M = \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P, \{C\}, A)$   
**by** *simp*  
**ultimately show** *?thesis*  
**by** *auto*

**qed**

**lemma** *dl-choose-p-in-lgc*:  $\text{state} (T, P \cup \{C\}, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{LGC state} (T, P, \{C\}, A)$

**proof** –

**let**  $?N = \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P, \{\}, A)$   
**have** *Passive*  $\sqsupseteq L$  *YY*  
**by** (*simp add: DL-Prec-L-def*)  
**then have**  $(T, ?N \cup \{(C, Passive)\}) \rightsquigarrow \text{LGC} (T, ?N \cup \{(C, YY)\})$   
**using** *relabel-inactive* **by** *blast*  
**then have**  $(T, \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P \cup \{C\}, \{\}, A)) \rightsquigarrow \text{LGC} (T, \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P, \{C\}, A))$   
**by** (*metis PYA-add-passive-formula P0A-add-y-formula*)  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**by** *auto*

**qed**

**lemma** *dl-delete-fwd-in-lgc*:

**assumes**  $(C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } A) \vee (\exists C' \in A. C' \preceq C)$

**shows**  $state (T, P, \{C\}, A) \rightsquigarrow LGC \ state (T, P, \{\}, A)$   
**using** *assms*  
**proof**  
**assume** *c-in*:  $C \in no\text{-labels.Red-F } A$   
**then have**  $A \subseteq fst \ ' (labeled\text{-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A))$   
**by** *simp*  
**then have**  $C \in no\text{-labels.Red-F } (fst \ ' (labeled\text{-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A)))$   
**by** (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *c-in in-mono no-labels.Red-F-of-subset*)  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**using** *remove-redundant-no-label* **by** *auto*  
**next**  
**assume**  $\exists C' \in A. C' \preceq C$   
**then obtain**  $C'$  **where** *c'-in-and-c'-ls-c*:  $C' \in A \wedge C' \preceq C$   
**by** *auto*  
**then have**  $(C', Active) \in labeled\text{-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A)$   
**by** *auto*  
**then have**  $YY \sqsupseteq L \ Active$   
**by** (*simp add*: *DL-Prec-L-def*)  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*metis* *c'-in-and-c'-ls-c remove-succ-L state.simps P0A-add-y-formula*  
*If-f-in-A-then-fl-in-PYA*)

**qed**

**lemma** *dl-simplify-fwd-in-lgc*:

**assumes**  $C \in no\text{-labels.Red-F-}\mathcal{G} (A \cup \{C'\})$   
**shows**  $state (T, P, \{C\}, A) \rightsquigarrow LGC \ state (T, P, \{C'\}, A)$   
**proof** –  
**let**  $?N = labeled\text{-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A)$   
**and**  $?M = \{(C, YY)\}$   
**and**  $?M' = \{(C', YY)\}$   
**have**  $A \cup \{C'\} \subseteq fst \ ' (?N \cup ?M')$   
**by** *auto*  
**then have**  $C \in no\text{-labels.Red-F-}\mathcal{G} (fst \ ' (?N \cup ?M'))$   
**by** (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) *assms no-labels.Red-F-of-subset subset-iff*)  
**then have**  $(C, YY) \in Red-F (?N \cup ?M')$   
**using** *no-labels.Red-F-imp-Red-F* **by** *simp*  
**then have**  $?M \subseteq Red-F\text{-}\mathcal{G} (?N \cup ?M')$   
**by** *simp*  
**moreover have** *active-subset*  $?M' = \{\}$   
**using** *active-subset-of-setOfFormulasWithLabelDiffActive* **by** *blast*  
**ultimately have**  $(T, labeled\text{-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, YY)\}) \rightsquigarrow LGC$   
 $(T, labeled\text{-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C', YY)\})$   
**using** *process*[*of* - -  $?M$  -  $?M'$ ] **by** *auto*  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**by** *simp*

**qed**

**lemma** *dl-delete-bwd-in-lgc*:

**assumes**  $C' \in no\text{-labels.Red-F-}\mathcal{G} \{C\} \vee C' \succ C$   
**shows**  $state (T, P, \{C\}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow LGC \ state (T, P, \{C\}, A)$   
**using** *assms*  
**proof**  
**let**  $?N = labeled\text{-formulas-of } (P, \{C\}, A)$   
**assume** *c'-in*:  $C' \in no\text{-labels.Red-F-}\mathcal{G} \{C\}$   
**have**  $\{C\} \subseteq fst \ ' ?N$



by *simp*  
**then have**  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-}\mathcal{G} (\text{fst}' \ ?\mathcal{N})$   
 by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *c'-in insert-Diff insert-subset no-labels.Red-F-of-subset*)  
**then have**  $(T, \ ?\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C', \text{Active})\}) \sim \text{LGC} (T, \ ?\mathcal{N})$   
 using *remove-redundant-no-label* **by** *auto*  
**then show** *?thesis*  
 by (*metis state.simps PYA-add-active-formula*)  
**next**  
**assume**  $C' \succ C$   
**moreover have**  $(C, YY) \in \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P, \{C\}, A)$   
 by *simp*  
**ultimately show** *?thesis*  
 by (*metis remove-succ-F state.simps PYA-add-active-formula*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *dl-simplify-bwd-in-lgc:*

**assumes**  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-}\mathcal{G} \{C, C''\}$   
**shows** *state*  $(T, P, \{C\}, A \cup \{C''\}) \sim \text{LGC}$  *state*  $(T, P \cup \{C''\}, \{C\}, A)$   
**proof** –  
**let**  $\ ?\mathcal{M} = \{(C', \text{Active})\}$   
**and**  $\ ?\mathcal{M}' = \{(C'', \text{Passive})\}$   
**and**  $\ ?\mathcal{N} = \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P, \{C\}, A)$

**have**  $\{C, C''\} \subseteq \text{fst}' (\ ?\mathcal{N} \cup \ ?\mathcal{M}' )$   
 by *simp*  
**then have**  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-}\mathcal{G} (\text{fst}' (\ ?\mathcal{N} \cup \ ?\mathcal{M}' ))$   
 by (*smt* (*z3*) *DiffI Diff-eq-empty-iff assms empty-iff no-labels.Red-F-of-subset*)  
**then have**  $\mathcal{M}$ -*included*:  $\ ?\mathcal{M} \subseteq \text{Red-F-}\mathcal{G} (\ ?\mathcal{N} \cup \ ?\mathcal{M}' )$   
 using *no-labels-Red-F-imp-Red-F* **by** *auto*  
**then have** *active-subset*  $\ ?\mathcal{M}' = \{\}$   
 using *active-subset-def* **by** *auto*  
**then have**  $(T, \ ?\mathcal{N} \cup \ ?\mathcal{M}) \sim \text{LGC} (T, \ ?\mathcal{N} \cup \ ?\mathcal{M}' )$   
 using  $\mathcal{M}$ -*included process*[*of - - ?\mathcal{M} - ?\mathcal{M}'*] **by** *auto*  
**moreover have**  $\ ?\mathcal{N} \cup \ ?\mathcal{M} = \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P, \{C\}, A \cup \{C''\})$   
**and**  $\ ?\mathcal{N} \cup \ ?\mathcal{M}' = \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P \cup \{C''\}, \{C\}, A)$   
 by *auto*  
**ultimately show** *?thesis*  
 by *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma** *dl-schedule-infer-in-lgc:*

**assumes**  $T' = \text{no-labels.Inf-between} A \{C\}$   
**shows** *state*  $(T, P, \{C\}, A) \sim \text{LGC}$  *state*  $(T \cup T', P, \{\}, A \cup \{C\})$   
**proof** –  
**let**  $\ ?\mathcal{N} = \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P, \{\}, A)$   
**have**  $\text{fst}' (\text{active-subset } \ ?\mathcal{N}) = A$   
 using *prj-active-subset-of-state* **by** *blast*  
**then have**  $T' = \text{no-labels.Inf-between} (\text{fst}' (\text{active-subset } \ ?\mathcal{N})) \{C\}$   
 using *assms* **by** *auto*  
**then have**  $(T, \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, YY)\}) \sim \text{LGC}$   
 $(T \cup T', \text{labeled-formulas-of} (P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, \text{Active})\})$   
 using *lgc.step.schedule-infer* **by** *blast*  
**then show** *?thesis*  
 by (*metis state.simps P0A-add-y-formula PYA-add-active-formula*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *dl-delete-orphan-infers-in-lgc*:  
**assumes**  $T' \cap \text{no-labels.Inf-from } A = \{\}$   
**shows**  $\text{state } (T \cup T', P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow_{LGC} \text{state } (T, P, Y, A)$

**proof** –  
**let**  $?N = \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, Y, A)$   
**have**  $\text{fst } ' (\text{active-subset } ?N) = A$   
**using** *prj-active-subset-of-state* **by** *blast*  
**then have**  $T' \cap \text{no-labels.Inf-from } (\text{fst } ' (\text{active-subset } ?N)) = \{\}$   
**using** *assms* **by** *simp*  
**then have**  $(T \cup T', ?N) \rightsquigarrow_{LGC} (T, ?N)$   
**using** *lgc.step.delete-orphan-infers* **by** *blast*  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**by** *simp*

**qed**

**theorem** *DL-step-imp-LGC-step*:  $TM \rightsquigarrow_{DL} TM' \implies TM \rightsquigarrow_{LGC} TM'$

**proof** (*induction rule: DL.induct*)  
**case** (*compute-infer*  $\iota A C T P$ )  
**then show** *?case*  
**using** *dl-compute-infer-in-lgc* **by** *blast*

**next**  
**case** (*choose-p*  $T P C A$ )  
**then show** *?case*  
**using** *dl-choose-p-in-lgc* **by** *auto*

**next**  
**case** (*delete-fwd*  $C A T P$ )  
**then show** *?case*  
**using** *dl-delete-fwd-in-lgc* **by** *auto*

**next**  
**case** (*simplify-fwd*  $C A C' T P$ )  
**then show** *?case*  
**using** *dl-simplify-fwd-in-lgc* **by** *blast*

**next**  
**case** (*delete-bwd*  $C' C T P A$ )  
**then show** *?case*  
**using** *dl-delete-bwd-in-lgc* **by** *blast*

**next**  
**case** (*simplify-bwd*  $C' C C'' T P A$ )  
**then show** *?case*  
**using** *dl-simplify-bwd-in-lgc* **by** *blast*

**next**  
**case** (*schedule-infer*  $T' A C T P$ )  
**then show** *?case*  
**using** *dl-schedule-infer-in-lgc* **by** *blast*

**next**  
**case** (*delete-orphan-infers*  $T' A T P Y$ )  
**then show** *?case*  
**using** *dl-delete-orphan-infers-in-lgc* **by** *blast*

**qed**

### 3.4 Completeness

**theorem**  
**assumes**  
*dl-chain*:  $\text{chain } (\rightsquigarrow_{DL}) \text{ } Sts$  **and**

```

act: active-subset (snd (lhd Sts)) = {} and
pas: passive-subset (Liminf-llist (lmap snd Sts)) = {} and
no-prems-init:  $\forall \iota \in \text{Inf-}F. \text{prems-of } \iota = [] \longrightarrow \iota \in \text{fst } (\text{lhd } Sts)$  and
final-sched: Liminf-llist (lmap fst Sts) = {}
shows
  DL-Liminf-saturated: saturated (Liminf-llist (lmap snd Sts)) and
  DL-complete-Liminf:  $B \in \text{Bot-}F \implies \text{fst } ' \text{snd } (\text{lhd } Sts) \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$ 
     $\exists BL \in \text{Bot-}FL. BL \in \text{Liminf-llist } (lmap \text{snd } Sts)$  and
  DL-complete:  $B \in \text{Bot-}F \implies \text{fst } ' \text{snd } (\text{lhd } Sts) \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$ 
     $\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts \wedge (\exists BL \in \text{Bot-}FL. BL \in \text{snd } (\text{lnth } Sts \ i))$ 
proof –
  have lgc-chain: chain ( $\sim$ LGC) Sts
    using dl-chain DL-step-imp-LGC-step chain-mono by blast

  show saturated (Liminf-llist (lmap snd Sts))
    using act final-sched lgc.fair-implies-Liminf-saturated lgc-chain lgc-fair lgc-to-red
    no-prems-init pas by blast
  {
    assume
      bot:  $B \in \text{Bot-}F$  and
      unsat:  $\text{fst } ' \text{snd } (\text{lhd } Sts) \not\models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\}$ 

      show  $\exists BL \in \text{Bot-}FL. BL \in \text{Liminf-llist } (lmap \text{snd } Sts)$ 
        by (rule lgc-complete-Liminf[OF lgc-chain act pas no-prems-init final-sched bot unsat])
      then show  $\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts \wedge (\exists BL \in \text{Bot-}FL. BL \in \text{snd } (\text{lnth } Sts \ i))$ 
        unfolding Liminf-llist-def by auto
    }
  qed

end

end

```

## 4 Prover Queues and Fairness

This section covers the passive set data structure that arises in different prover loops in the literature (e.g., DISCOUNT, Otter).

```

theory Prover-Queue
  imports
    Given-Clause-Loops-Util
    Ordered-Resolution-Prover.Lazy-List-Chain
begin

```

### 4.1 Basic Lemmas

**lemma** *set-drop-fold-maybe-append-singleton*:

$\text{set } (\text{drop } k \ (\text{fold } (\lambda y \ xs. \text{if } y \in \text{set } xs \ \text{then } xs \ \text{else } xs \ @ \ [y]) \ ys \ xs)) \subseteq \text{set } (\text{drop } k \ (xs \ @ \ ys))$

**proof** (*induct ys arbitrary: xs*)

**case** (*Cons y ys*)

**note** *ih* = *this*(1)

**show** *?case*

**proof** (*cases y ∈ set xs*)

**case** *True*

**thus** *?thesis*

```

    using ih[of xs] set-drop-append-cons[of k xs ys y] by auto
next
case False
then show ?thesis
  using ih[of xs @ [y]]
  by simp
qed
qed simp

```

**lemma** *fold-maybe-append-removeAll*:

```

assumes  $y \in \text{set } xs$ 
shows  $\text{fold } (\lambda y xs. \text{if } y \in \text{set } xs \text{ then } xs \text{ else } xs @ [y]) (\text{removeAll } y \text{ } ys) xs =$ 
 $\text{fold } (\lambda y xs. \text{if } y \in \text{set } xs \text{ then } xs \text{ else } xs @ [y]) ys xs$ 
using assms by (induct ys arbitrary: xs) auto

```

## 4.2 More on Relational Chains over Lazy Lists

**definition** *finitely-often* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

```

finitely-often  $R \text{ } xs \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists i. \forall j. i \leq j \longrightarrow \text{enat } (\text{Suc } j) < \text{llength } xs \longrightarrow \neg R (\text{lnth } xs \ j) (\text{lnth } xs \ (\text{Suc } j)))$ 

```

**abbreviation** *infinitely-often* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ llist} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

```

infinitely-often  $R \text{ } xs \equiv \neg \text{finitely-often } R \text{ } xs$ 

```

**lemma** *infinitely-often-alt-def*:

```

infinitely-often  $R \text{ } xs \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall i. \exists j. i \leq j \wedge \text{enat } (\text{Suc } j) < \text{llength } xs \wedge R (\text{lnth } xs \ j) (\text{lnth } xs \ (\text{Suc } j)))$ 
unfolding finitely-often-def by blast

```

**lemma** *infinitely-often-lifting*:

```

assumes
  r-imp-s:  $\forall x x'. R (f \ x) (f \ x') \longrightarrow S (g \ x) (g \ x')$  and
  inf-r: infinitely-often  $R (lmap \ f \ xs)$ 
shows infinitely-often  $S (lmap \ g \ xs)$ 
using inf-r unfolding infinitely-often-alt-def
by (metis Suc-ile-eq llength-lmap lnth-lmap order-less-imp-le r-imp-s)

```

## 4.3 Locales

The passive set of a given clause prover can be organized in different ways—e.g., as a priority queue or as a list of queues. This locale abstracts over the specific data structure.

**locale** *prover-queue* =

**fixes**

```

empty :: 'q and
select :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'e and
add :: 'e  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\Rightarrow$  'q and
remove :: 'e  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\Rightarrow$  'q and
felems :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'e fset

```

**assumes**

```

felems-empty[simp]: felems empty =  $\{\}\}$  and
felems-not-empty:  $Q \neq \text{empty} \Longrightarrow \text{felems } Q \neq \{\}\}$  and
select-in-felems[simp]:  $Q \neq \text{empty} \Longrightarrow \text{select } Q \in \text{felems } Q$  and
felems-add[simp]: felems (add e Q) =  $\{e\} \cup \text{felems } Q$  and
felems-remove[simp]: felems (remove e Q) = felems Q  $\setminus \{e\}$  and
add-again:  $e \in \text{felems } Q \Longrightarrow \text{add } e \ Q = Q$ 

```

**begin**

**abbreviation**  $elems :: 'q \Rightarrow 'e \text{ set}$  **where**  
 $elems\ Q \equiv fset\ (felems\ Q)$

**lemma**  $elems\ empty$ :  $elems\ empty = \{\}$   
**by**  $simp$

**lemma**  $formula\ not\ empty[simp]$ :  $Q \neq empty \implies elems\ Q \neq \{\}$   
**by**  $(metis\ bot\ fset.rep\ eq\ felems\ not\ empty\ fset\ cong)$

**lemma**  
 $elems\ add$ :  $elems\ (add\ e\ Q) = \{e\} \cup elems\ Q$  **and**  
 $elems\ remove$ :  $elems\ (remove\ e\ Q) = elems\ Q - \{e\}$   
**by**  $simp+$

**lemma**  $elems\ fold\ add[simp]$ :  $elems\ (fold\ add\ es\ Q) = set\ es \cup elems\ Q$   
**by**  $(induct\ es\ arbitrary:\ Q)\ auto$

**lemma**  $elems\ fold\ remove[simp]$ :  $elems\ (fold\ remove\ es\ Q) = elems\ Q - set\ es$   
**by**  $(induct\ es\ arbitrary:\ Q)\ auto$

**inductive**  $queue\ step :: 'q \Rightarrow 'q \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $queue\ step\ fold\ addI$ :  $queue\ step\ Q\ (fold\ add\ es\ Q)$   
 $| queue\ step\ fold\ removeI$ :  $queue\ step\ Q\ (fold\ remove\ es\ Q)$

**lemma**  $queue\ step\ idleI$ :  $queue\ step\ Q\ Q$   
**using**  $queue\ step\ fold\ addI[of\ -\ [],\ simplified]$  .

**lemma**  $queue\ step\ addI$ :  $queue\ step\ Q\ (add\ e\ Q)$   
**using**  $queue\ step\ fold\ addI[of\ -\ [e],\ simplified]$  .

**lemma**  $queue\ step\ removeI$ :  $queue\ step\ Q\ (remove\ e\ Q)$   
**using**  $queue\ step\ fold\ removeI[of\ -\ [e],\ simplified]$  .

**inductive**  $select\ queue\ step :: 'q \Rightarrow 'q \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $select\ queue\ stepI$ :  $Q \neq empty \implies select\ queue\ step\ Q\ (remove\ (select\ Q)\ Q)$

**end**

**locale**  $fair\ prover\ queue = prover\ queue\ empty\ select\ add\ remove\ felems$   
**for**

$empty :: 'q$  **and**

$select :: 'q \Rightarrow 'e$  **and**

$add :: 'e \Rightarrow 'q \Rightarrow 'q$  **and**

$remove :: 'e \Rightarrow 'q \Rightarrow 'q$  **and**

$felems :: 'q \Rightarrow 'e\ fset$  +

**assumes**  $fair$ :  $chain\ queue\ step\ Qs \implies infinitely\ often\ select\ queue\ step\ Qs \implies$   
 $lhd\ Qs = empty \implies Liminf\ llist\ (lmap\ elems\ Qs) = \{\}$

**begin**

**end**

#### 4.4 Instantiation with FIFO Queue

As a proof of concept, we show that a FIFO queue can serve as a fair prover queue.

```

locale fifo-prover-queue
begin

sublocale prover-queue [] hd  $\lambda y$  xs. if  $y \in \text{set } xs$  then xs else  $xs @ [y]$  removeAll fset-of-list
proof
  show  $\bigwedge Q. Q \neq [] \implies \text{fset-of-list } Q \neq \{[]\}$ 
    by (metis fset-of-list.rep-eq fset-simps(1) set-empty)
qed (auto simp: fset-of-list-elem)

lemma queue-step-preserves-distinct:
  assumes
    dist: distinct Q and
    step: queue-step Q Q'
  shows distinct Q'
  using step
proof cases
  case (queue-step-fold-addI es)
  note  $p' = \text{this}(1)$ 
  show ?thesis
    unfolding  $p'$ 
    using dist
  proof (induct es arbitrary: Q)
  case Nil
  then show ?case
    using dist by auto
  next
  case (Cons e es)
  note  $ih = \text{this}(1)$  and  $dist-p = \text{this}(2)$ 

  show ?case
  proof (cases  $e \in \text{set } Q$ )
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    using  $ih[OF \text{dist-}p]$  by simp
  next
  case c-ni: False
  have  $dist-pc: \text{distinct } (Q @ [e])$ 
    using c-ni  $dist-p$  by auto
  show ?thesis
    using c-ni using  $ih[OF \text{dist-}pc]$  by simp
  qed
qed
next
  case (queue-step-fold-removeI es)
  note  $p' = \text{this}(1)$ 
  show ?thesis
    unfolding  $p'$  using dist by (simp add: distinct-fold-removeAll)
qed

lemma chain-queue-step-preserves-distinct:
  assumes
    chain: chain queue-step Qs and
    dist-hd: distinct (lhd Qs) and
    i-lt: enat  $i < \text{llength } Qs$ 
  shows distinct (lnth Qs i)

```

```

using i-lt
proof (induct i)
  case 0
  then show ?case
    using dist-hd chain-length-pos[OF chain] by (simp add: lhd-conv-lnth)
next
  case (Suc i)

  have ih: distinct (lnth Qs i)
    using Suc.hyps Suc.prem1 Suc-ile-eq order-less-imp-le by blast

  have queue-step (lnth Qs i) (lnth Qs (Suc i))
    by (rule chain-lnth-rel[OF chain Suc.prem1])
  then show ?case
    using queue-step-preserves-distinct ih by blast
qed

sublocale fair-prover-queue [] hd λy xs. if y ∈ set xs then xs else xs @ [y] removeAll
  fset-of-list
proof
  fix Qs :: 'e list llist
  assume
    chain: chain queue-step Qs and
    inf-sel: infinitely-often select-queue-step Qs and
    hd-emp: lhd Qs = []

  show Liminf-llist (lmap elems Qs) = {}
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume lim-nemp: Liminf-llist (lmap elems Qs) ≠ {}

    obtain i :: nat where
      i-lt: enat i < llength Qs and
      inter-nemp: ⋂ ((set ∘ lnth Qs) ‘ {j. i ≤ j ∧ enat j < llength Qs}) ≠ {}
      using lim-nemp unfolding Liminf-llist-def by auto

    from inter-nemp obtain e :: 'e where
       $\forall Q \in \text{lnth } Qs \text{ ‘ } \{j. i \leq j \wedge \text{enat } j < \text{llength } Qs\}. e \in \text{set } Q$ 
      by auto
    hence c-in:  $\forall j \geq i. \text{enat } j < \text{llength } Qs \longrightarrow e \in \text{set } (\text{lnth } Qs j)$ 
      by auto

    have ps-inf: llength Qs = ∞
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume llength Qs ≠ ∞
      obtain n :: nat where
        n: enat n = llength Qs
        using  $\langle \text{llength } Qs \neq \infty \rangle$  by force

      show False
        using inf-sel[unfolded infinitely-often-alt-def]
        by (metis Suc-lessD enat-ord-simps(2) less-le-not-le n)
    qed

    have c-in':  $\forall j \geq i. e \in \text{set } (\text{lnth } Qs j)$ 
      by (simp add: c-in ps-inf)

```

```

then obtain  $k :: nat$  where
   $k$ -lt:  $k < length (l\text{nth } Qs\ i)$  and
  at- $k$ :  $l\text{nth } Qs\ i\ !\ k = e$ 
  by (meson in-set-conv-nth le-refl)

have dist: distinct (l\text{nth } Qs\ i)
  by (simp add: chain-queue-step-preserves-distinct hd-emp i-lt chain)

have  $\forall k' \leq k + 1. \exists i' \geq i. e \notin set (drop\ k'\ (l\text{nth } Qs\ i'))$ 
proof –
  have  $\exists i' \geq i. e \notin set (drop\ (k + 1 - l)\ (l\text{nth } Qs\ i'))$  for  $l$ 
  proof (induct l)
    case 0
    have  $e \notin set (drop\ (k + 1)\ (l\text{nth } Qs\ i))$ 
      by (simp add: at-k dist distinct-imp-notin-set-drop-Suc k-lt)
    then show ?case
      by auto
  next
  case (Suc l)
  then obtain  $i' :: nat$  where
     $i'$ -ge:  $i' \geq i$  and
     $c$ -ni- $i'$ :  $e \notin set (drop\ (k + 1 - l)\ (l\text{nth } Qs\ i'))$ 
    by blast

  obtain  $i'' :: nat$  where
     $i''$ -ge:  $i'' \geq i'$  and
     $i''$ -lt:  $enat (Suc\ i'') < llength\ Qs$  and
    sel-step: select-queue-step (l\text{nth } Qs\ i'') (l\text{nth } Qs\ (Suc\ i''))
    using inf-sel[unfolded infinitely-often-alt-def] by blast

  have  $c$ -ni- $i'$ - $i''$ :  $e \notin set (drop\ (k + 1 - l)\ (l\text{nth } Qs\ j))$ 
    if  $j$ -ge:  $j \geq i'$  and  $j$ -le:  $j \leq i''$  for  $j$ 
    using  $j$ -ge  $j$ -le
  proof (induct j rule: less-induct)
    case (less d)
    note  $ih = this(1)$ 

    show ?case
    proof (cases d < i')
      case True
      then show ?thesis
        using less.prem(1) by linarith
    next
    case False
    hence  $d$ -ge:  $d \geq i'$ 
      by simp
    then show ?thesis
    proof (cases d > i'')
      case True
      then show ?thesis
        using less.prem(2) linorder-not-less by blast
    next
    case False
    hence  $d$ -le:  $d \leq i''$ 
      by simp

```



```

show ?thesis
proof (cases d = i')
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    using c-ni-i' by blast
next
case False
note d-ne-i' = this(1)

have dm1-bounds:
  d - 1 < d
  i' ≤ d - 1
  d - 1 ≤ i''
  using d-ge d-le d-ne-i' by auto
have ih-dm1: e ∉ set (drop (k + 1 - l) (lnth Qs (d - 1)))
  by (rule ih[OF dm1-bounds])

have queue-step (lnth Qs (d - 1)) (lnth Qs d)
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) One-nat-def add-diff-inverse-nat
    bot-nat-0.extremum-unique chain chain-lnth-rel d-ge d-ne-i' dm1-bounds(2)
    enat-ord-code(4) le-less-Suc-eq nat-diff-split plus-1-eq-Suc ps-inf)
then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case (queue-step-fold-addI es)

  note at-d = this(1)

  have c-in: e ∈| fset-of-list (lnth Qs (d - 1))
    by (meson c-in' dm1-bounds(2) fset-of-list-elem i'-ge order-trans)
  hence e ∉ set (drop (k + 1 - l)
    (fold (λy xs. if y ∈ set xs then xs else xs @ [y]) (removeAll e es)
      (lnth Qs (d - 1))))
  proof -
    have set (drop (k + 1 - l)
      (fold (λy xs. if y ∈ set xs then xs else xs @ [y]) (removeAll e es)
        (lnth Qs (d - 1)))) ⊆
      set (drop (k + 1 - l) (lnth Qs (d - 1) @ removeAll e es))
    using set-drop-fold-maybe-append-singleton .
    have e ∉ set (drop (k + 1 - l) (lnth Qs (d - 1)))
      using ih-dm1 by blast
    hence e ∉ set (drop (k + 1 - l) (lnth Qs (d - 1) @ removeAll e es))
      using set-drop-append-subseteq by force
    thus ?thesis
      using set-drop-fold-maybe-append-singleton by force
  qed
  hence e ∉ set (drop (k + 1 - l)
    (fold (λy xs. if y ∈ set xs then xs else xs @ [y]) es (lnth Qs (d - 1))))
    using c-in fold-maybe-append-removeAll
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) fset-of-list-elem)
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding at-d by fastforce
next
case (queue-step-fold-removeI es)
note at-d = this(1)

```

```

    show ?thesis
      unfolding at-d using ih-dm1 set-drop-fold-removeAll by fastforce
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

  have Suc i'' > i
    using i''-ge i'-ge by linarith
  moreover have e ∉ set (drop (k + 1 - Suc l) (lnth Qs (Suc i'')))
    using sel-step
  proof cases
    case select-queue-stepI
      note at-si'' = this(1) and at-i''-nemp = this(2)

      have at-i''-nnil: lnth Qs i'' ≠ []
        using at-i''-nemp by auto

      have dist-i'': distinct (lnth Qs i'')
        by (simp add: chain-queue-step-preserves-distinct hd-emp chain ps-inf)

      have c-ni-i'': e ∉ set (drop (k + 1 - l) (lnth Qs i''))
        using c-ni-i'-i'' i''-ge by blast

      show ?thesis
        unfolding at-si''
        by (subst distinct-set-drop-removeAll-hd[OF dist-i'' at-i''-nnil])
          (metis Suc-diff-Suc bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum c-ni-i'' drop0 in-set-dropD
            zero-less-diff)
    qed
  ultimately show ?case
    by (rule-tac x = Suc i'' in exI) auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis diff-add-zero drop0 in-set-dropD)
  qed
  then obtain i' :: nat where
    i' ≥ i
    e ∉ set (lnth Qs i')
    by fastforce
  then show False
    using c-in' by auto
  qed
  qed
end

end

```

## 5 Fair DISCOUNT Loop

The fair DISCOUNT loop assumes that the passive queue is fair and ensures (dynamic) refutational completeness under that assumption.

**theory** *Fair-DISCOUNT-Loop*

**imports**

*Given-Clause-Loops-Util*

*DISCOUNT-Loop*

*Prover-Queue*

**begin**

## 5.1 Locale

**type-synonym** ('p, 'f) *DLf-state* = 'p × 'f option × 'f fset

**datatype** 'f *passive-elem* =

*is-passive-inference*: *Passive-Inference* (*passive-inference*: 'f *inference*)

| *is-passive-formula*: *Passive-Formula* (*passive-formula*: 'f)

**lemma** *passive-inference-filter*:

*passive-inference* ' Set.filter *is-passive-inference* N = {ι. *Passive-Inference* ι ∈ N}

**by** *force*

**lemma** *passive-formula-filter*:

*passive-formula* ' Set.filter *is-passive-formula* N = {C. *Passive-Formula* C ∈ N}

**by** *force*

**locale** *fair-discount-loop* =

*discount-loop* *Bot-F* *Inf-F* *Bot-G* *Q* *entails-q* *Inf-G-q* *Red-I-q* *Red-F-q* *G-F-q* *G-I-q* *Equiv-F* *Prec-F* +  
*fair-prover-queue* *empty* *select* *add* *remove* *felems*

**for**

*Bot-F* :: 'f set **and**

*Inf-F* :: 'f *inference* set **and**

*Bot-G* :: 'g set **and**

*Q* :: 'q set **and**

*entails-q* :: 'q ⇒ 'g set ⇒ 'g set ⇒ bool **and**

*Inf-G-q* :: 'q ⇒ 'g *inference* set **and**

*Red-I-q* :: 'q ⇒ 'g set ⇒ 'g *inference* set **and**

*Red-F-q* :: 'q ⇒ 'g set ⇒ 'g set **and**

*G-F-q* :: 'q ⇒ 'f ⇒ 'g set **and**

*G-I-q* :: 'q ⇒ 'f *inference* ⇒ 'g *inference* set option **and**

*Equiv-F* :: 'f ⇒ 'f ⇒ bool (**infix** <=> 50) **and**

*Prec-F* :: 'f ⇒ 'f ⇒ bool (**infix** <<·> 50) **and**

*empty* :: 'p **and**

*select* :: 'p ⇒ 'f *passive-elem* **and**

*add* :: 'f *passive-elem* ⇒ 'p ⇒ 'p **and**

*remove* :: 'f *passive-elem* ⇒ 'p ⇒ 'p **and**

*felems* :: 'p ⇒ 'f *passive-elem* fset +

**fixes**

*Prec-S* :: 'f ⇒ 'f ⇒ bool (**infix** <<S> 50)

**assumes**

*wfp-Prec-S*: *wfp* (<S) **and**

*transp-Prec-S*: *transp* (<S) **and**

*finite-Inf-between*: *finite* A ⇒ *finite* (*no-labels.Inf-between* A {C})

**begin**

**lemma** *trans-Prec-S*: *trans* {(x, y). x <S y}

**using** *transp-Prec-S* *transp-trans* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *irreflp-Prec-S*: *irreflp* (<S)

by (simp add: wfp-Prec-S wfp-imp-irreflp)

**lemma** *irreflp-Prec-S*: *irreflp*  $\{(x, y). x \prec_S y\}$

by (metis CollectD case-prod-conv irreflp-def irreflp-Prec-S irreflp-def)

## 5.2 Basic Definitions and Lemmas

**abbreviation** *passive-of* ::  $('p, 'f)$  *DLf-state*  $\Rightarrow$   $'p$  **where**

*passive-of St*  $\equiv$  *fst St*

**abbreviation** *yy-of* ::  $('p, 'f)$  *DLf-state*  $\Rightarrow$   $'f$  *option* **where**

*yy-of St*  $\equiv$  *fst (snd St)*

**abbreviation** *active-of* ::  $('p, 'f)$  *DLf-state*  $\Rightarrow$   $'f$  *fset* **where**

*active-of St*  $\equiv$  *snd (snd St)*

**definition** *passive-inferences-of* ::  $'p \Rightarrow 'f$  *inference set* **where**

*passive-inferences-of P* =  $\{\iota. \text{Passive-Inference } \iota \in \text{elems } P\}$

**definition** *passive-formulas-of* ::  $'p \Rightarrow 'f$  *set* **where**

*passive-formulas-of P* =  $\{C. \text{Passive-Formula } C \in \text{elems } P\}$

**lemma** *finite-passive-inferences-of*: *finite* (*passive-inferences-of P*)

**proof** –

have *inj-pi*: *inj Passive-Inference*

unfolding *inj-on-def* by *auto*

show *?thesis*

unfolding *passive-inferences-of-def* by (auto intro: *finite-inverse-image[OF - inj-pi]*)

qed

**lemma** *finite-passive-formulas-of*: *finite* (*passive-formulas-of P*)

**proof** –

have *inj-pi*: *inj Passive-Formula*

unfolding *inj-on-def* by *auto*

show *?thesis*

unfolding *passive-formulas-of-def* by (auto intro: *finite-inverse-image[OF - inj-pi]*)

qed

**abbreviation** *all-formulas-of* ::  $('p, 'f)$  *DLf-state*  $\Rightarrow$   $'f$  *set* **where**

*all-formulas-of St*  $\equiv$  *passive-formulas-of* (*passive-of St*)  $\cup$  *set-option* (*yy-of St*)  $\cup$  *fset* (*active-of St*)

**lemma** *passive-inferences-of-empty[simp]*: *passive-inferences-of empty* =  $\{\}$

unfolding *passive-inferences-of-def* by *simp*

**lemma** *passive-inferences-of-add-Passive-Inference[simp]*:

*passive-inferences-of* (*add* (*Passive-Inference*  $\iota$ ) *P*) =  $\{\iota\} \cup$  *passive-inferences-of P*

unfolding *passive-inferences-of-def* by *auto*

**lemma** *passive-inferences-of-add-Passive-Formula[simp]*:

*passive-inferences-of* (*add* (*Passive-Formula* *C*) *P*) = *passive-inferences-of P*

unfolding *passive-inferences-of-def* by *auto*

**lemma** *passive-inferences-of-fold-add-Passive-Inference[simp]*:

*passive-inferences-of* (*fold* (*add*  $\circ$  *Passive-Inference*) *is P*) = *passive-inferences-of P*  $\cup$  *set is*

by (*induct is arbitrary: P*) *auto*

**lemma** *passive-inferences-of-fold-add-Passive-Formula[simp]*:

*passive-inferences-of* (*fold* (*add*  $\circ$  *Passive-Formula*) *Cs P*) = *passive-inferences-of P*

by (induct Cs arbitrary: P) auto

**lemma** *passive-inferences-of-remove-Passive-Inference*[simp]:  
passive-inferences-of (remove (Passive-Inference  $\iota$ ) P) = passive-inferences-of P - { $\iota$ }  
**unfolding** *passive-inferences-of-def* **by** auto

**lemma** *passive-inferences-of-remove-Passive-Formula*[simp]:  
passive-inferences-of (remove (Passive-Formula C) P) = passive-inferences-of P  
**unfolding** *passive-inferences-of-def* **by** auto

**lemma** *passive-inferences-of-fold-remove-Passive-Inference*[simp]:  
passive-inferences-of (fold (remove  $\circ$  Passive-Inference)  $\iota$ s P) = passive-inferences-of P - set  $\iota$ s  
**by** (induct  $\iota$ s arbitrary: P) auto

**lemma** *passive-inferences-of-fold-remove-Passive-Formula*[simp]:  
passive-inferences-of (fold (remove  $\circ$  Passive-Formula) Cs P) = passive-inferences-of P  
**by** (induct Cs arbitrary: P) auto

**lemma** *passive-formulas-of-empty*[simp]: passive-formulas-of empty = {}  
**unfolding** *passive-formulas-of-def* **by** simp

**lemma** *passive-formulas-of-add-Passive-Inference*[simp]:  
passive-formulas-of (add (Passive-Inference  $\iota$ ) P) = passive-formulas-of P  
**unfolding** *passive-formulas-of-def* **by** auto

**lemma** *passive-formulas-of-add-Passive-Formula*[simp]:  
passive-formulas-of (add (Passive-Formula C) P) = {C}  $\cup$  passive-formulas-of P  
**unfolding** *passive-formulas-of-def* **by** auto

**lemma** *passive-formulas-of-fold-add-Passive-Inference*[simp]:  
passive-formulas-of (fold (add  $\circ$  Passive-Inference)  $\iota$ s P) = passive-formulas-of P  
**by** (induct  $\iota$ s arbitrary: P) auto

**lemma** *passive-formulas-of-fold-add-Passive-Formula*[simp]:  
passive-formulas-of (fold (add  $\circ$  Passive-Formula) Cs P) = passive-formulas-of P  $\cup$  set Cs  
**by** (induct Cs arbitrary: P) auto

**lemma** *passive-formulas-of-remove-Passive-Inference*[simp]:  
passive-formulas-of (remove (Passive-Inference  $\iota$ ) P) = passive-formulas-of P  
**unfolding** *passive-formulas-of-def* **by** auto

**lemma** *passive-formulas-of-remove-Passive-Formula*[simp]:  
passive-formulas-of (remove (Passive-Formula C) P) = passive-formulas-of P - {C}  
**unfolding** *passive-formulas-of-def* **by** auto

**lemma** *passive-formulas-of-fold-remove-Passive-Inference*[simp]:  
passive-formulas-of (fold (remove  $\circ$  Passive-Inference)  $\iota$ s P) = passive-formulas-of P  
**by** (induct  $\iota$ s arbitrary: P) auto

**lemma** *passive-formulas-of-fold-remove-Passive-Formula*[simp]:  
passive-formulas-of (fold (remove  $\circ$  Passive-Formula) Cs P) = passive-formulas-of P - set Cs  
**by** (induct Cs arbitrary: P) auto

**fun** *fstate* :: ('p, 'f) DLf-state  $\Rightarrow$  'f inference set  $\times$  ('f  $\times$  DL-label) set **where**  
*fstate* (P, Y, A) = state (passive-inferences-of P, passive-formulas-of P, set-option Y, fset A)

**lemma** *fstate-alt-def*:

*fstate* *St* = *state* (*passive-inferences-of* (*fst St*), *passive-formulas-of* (*fst St*),  
*set-option* (*fst (snd St)*), *fset* (*snd (snd St)*))

**by** (*cases St*) *auto*

**definition** *Liminf-fstate* :: ('*p*, '*f*) *DLf-state llist* ⇒ '*f set* × '*f set* × '*f set* **where**

*Liminf-fstate Sts* =  
(*Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*passive-formulas-of* ∘ *passive-of*) *Sts*),  
*Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*set-option* ∘ *yy-of*) *Sts*),  
*Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*fset* ∘ *active-of*) *Sts*))

**lemma** *Liminf-fstate-commute*:

*Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*snd* ∘ *fstate*) *Sts*) = *labeled-formulas-of* (*Liminf-fstate Sts*)

**proof** –

**have** *Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*snd* ∘ *fstate*) *Sts*) =  
(λ*C*. (*C*, *Passive*)) ‘ *Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*passive-formulas-of* ∘ *passive-of*) *Sts*) ∪  
(λ*C*. (*C*, *YY*)) ‘ *Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*set-option* ∘ *yy-of*) *Sts*) ∪  
(λ*C*. (*C*, *Active*)) ‘ *Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*fset* ∘ *active-of*) *Sts*)  
**unfolding** *fstate-alt-def state-alt-def*  
**apply** *simp*  
**apply** (*subst Liminf-llist-lmap-union*, *fast*)  
**apply** (*subst Liminf-llist-lmap-image*, *simp add: inj-on-convol-ident*)  
**by** *auto*

**thus** *?thesis*

**unfolding** *Liminf-fstate-def* **by** *fastforce*

**qed**

**fun** *formulas-union* :: '*f set* × '*f set* × '*f set* ⇒ '*f set* **where**

*formulas-union* (*P*, *Y*, *A*) = *P* ∪ *Y* ∪ *A*

**inductive** *fair-DL* :: ('*p*, '*f*) *DLf-state* ⇒ ('*p*, '*f*) *DLf-state* ⇒ *bool* (**infix** <~DLf> 50) **where**

*compute-infer*: *P* ≠ *empty* ⇒ *select P* = *Passive-Inference* *ι* ⇒

*ι* ∈ *no-labels.Red-I* (*fset A* ∪ {*C*}) ⇒  
(*P*, *None*, *A*) ~DLf (*remove* (*select P*) *P*, *Some C*, *A*)

| *choose-p*: *P* ≠ *empty* ⇒ *select P* = *Passive-Formula C* ⇒

(*P*, *None*, *A*) ~DLf (*remove* (*select P*) *P*, *Some C*, *A*)

| *delete-fwd*: *C* ∈ *no-labels.Red-F* (*fset A*) ∨ (∃ *C'* ∈ *fset A*. *C'* ≼ *C*) ⇒

(*P*, *Some C*, *A*) ~DLf (*P*, *None*, *A*)

| *simplify-fwd*: *C'* <*S* *C* ⇒ *C* ∈ *no-labels.Red-F* (*fset A* ∪ {*C'*}) ⇒

(*P*, *Some C*, *A*) ~DLf (*P*, *Some C'*, *A*)

| *delete-bwd*: *C'* |≠| *A* ⇒ *C'* ∈ *no-labels.Red-F* {*C*} ∨ *C'* ·> *C* ⇒

(*P*, *Some C*, *A* |∪| {*C'*}) ~DLf (*P*, *Some C*, *A*)

| *simplify-bwd*: *C'* |≠| *A* ⇒ *C''* <*S* *C'* ⇒ *C'* ∈ *no-labels.Red-F* {*C*, *C''*} ⇒

(*P*, *Some C*, *A* |∪| {*C'*}) ~DLf (*add* (*Passive-Formula C''*) *P*, *Some C*, *A*)

| *schedule-infer*: *set ιs* = *no-labels.Inf-between* (*fset A*) {*C*} ⇒

(*P*, *Some C*, *A*) ~DLf (*fold* (*add* ∘ *Passive-Inference*) *ιs P*, *None*, *A* |∪| {*C*})

| *delete-orphan-infers*: *ιs* ≠ [] ⇒ *set ιs* ⊆ *passive-inferences-of P* ⇒

*set ιs* ∩ *no-labels.Inf-from* (*fset A*) = {} ⇒

(*P*, *Y*, *A*) ~DLf (*fold* (*remove* ∘ *Passive-Inference*) *ιs P*, *Y*, *A*)

### 5.3 Initial State and Invariant

**inductive** *is-initial-DLf-state* :: ('*p*, '*f*) *DLf-state* ⇒ *bool* **where**

*is-initial-DLf-state* (*empty*, *None*, {||})

**inductive** *DLf-invariant* :: (*'p, 'f*) *DLf-state*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*passive-inferences-of* *P*  $\subseteq$  *Inf-F*  $\Longrightarrow$  *DLf-invariant* (*P, Y, A*)

**lemma** *initial-DLf-invariant*: *is-initial-DLf-state* *St*  $\Longrightarrow$  *DLf-invariant* *St*  
**unfolding** *is-initial-DLf-state.simps* *DLf-invariant.simps* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *step-DLf-invariant*:

**assumes**

*inv*: *DLf-invariant* *St* **and**

*step*: *St*  $\rightsquigarrow$  *DLf* *St'*

**shows** *DLf-invariant* *St'*

**using** *step inv*

**proof** *cases*

**case** (*schedule-infer*  $\iota$  *s A C P*)

**note** *defs* = *this*(1,2) **and**  $\iota$  *s-inf-betw* = *this*(3)

**have** *set*  $\iota$   $\subseteq$  *Inf-F*

**using**  $\iota$  *s-inf-betw* **unfolding** *no-labels.Inf-between-def no-labels.Inf-from-def* **by** *auto*

**thus** *?thesis*

**using** *inv* **unfolding** *defs*

**by** (*auto simp*: *DLf-invariant.simps passive-inferences-of-def fold-map[symmetric]*)

**qed** (*auto simp*: *DLf-invariant.simps passive-inferences-of-def fold-map[symmetric]*)

**lemma** *chain-DLf-invariant-lnth*:

**assumes**

*chain*: *chain* ( $\rightsquigarrow$  *DLf*) *Sts* **and**

*fair-hd*: *DLf-invariant* (*lhd* *Sts*) **and**

*i-lt*: *enat* *i* < *llength* *Sts*

**shows** *DLf-invariant* (*lnth* *Sts* *i*)

**using** *i-lt*

**proof** (*induct* *i*)

**case** 0

**thus** *?case*

**using** *fair-hd lhd-conv-lnth zero-enat-def* **by** *fastforce*

**next**

**case** (*Suc* *i*)

**note** *ih* = *this*(1) **and** *si-lt* = *this*(2)

**have** *enat* *i* < *llength* *Sts*

**using** *si-lt Suc-ile-eq nless-le* **by** *blast*

**hence** *inv-i*: *DLf-invariant* (*lnth* *Sts* *i*)

**by** (*rule* *ih*)

**have** *step*: *lnth* *Sts* *i*  $\rightsquigarrow$  *DLf* *lnth* *Sts* (*Suc* *i*)

**using** *chain chain-lnth-rel si-lt* **by** *blast*

**show** *?case*

**by** (*rule* *step-DLf-invariant[OF inv-i step]*)

**qed**

**lemma** *chain-DLf-invariant-llast*:

**assumes**

*chain*: *chain* ( $\rightsquigarrow$  *DLf*) *Sts* **and**

*fair-hd*: *DLf-invariant* (*lhd* *Sts*) **and**

*fin*: *lfinite* *Sts*

**shows** *DLf-invariant* (*llast* *Sts*)

**proof** –

```

obtain  $i :: \text{nat}$  where
   $i: \text{llength } Sts = \text{enat } i$ 
  using  $\text{lfinite-llength-enat}[OF \text{ fin}]$  by  $\text{blast}$ 

have  $\text{im1-lt}: \text{enat } (i - 1) < \text{llength } Sts$ 
  by  $(\text{metis chain chain-length-pos diff-less enat-ord-simps}(2) \text{ i zero-enat-def zero-less-one})$ 

show  $?thesis$ 
  using  $\text{chain-DLf-invariant-lnth}[OF \text{ chain fair-hd im1-lt}]$ 
  by  $(\text{metis Suc-diff-1 chain chain-length-pos eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps}(2) \text{ i llast-conv-lnth zero-enat-def})$ 
qed

```

## 5.4 Final State

```

inductive  $\text{is-final-DLf-state} :: ('p, 'f) \text{DLf-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
   $\text{is-final-DLf-state } (\text{empty}, \text{None}, A)$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{is-final-DLf-state-iff-no-DLf-step}$ :
  assumes  $\text{inv}: \text{DLf-invariant } St$ 
  shows  $\text{is-final-DLf-state } St \longleftrightarrow (\forall St'. \neg St \rightsquigarrow \text{DLf } St')$ 

```

```

proof
  assume  $\text{is-final-DLf-state } St$ 
  then obtain  $A :: 'f \text{fset}$  where
     $st: St = (\text{empty}, \text{None}, A)$ 
  by  $(\text{auto simp: is-final-DLf-state.simps})$ 
  show  $\forall St'. \neg St \rightsquigarrow \text{DLf } St'$ 
  unfolding  $st$ 
  proof  $(\text{intro allI notI})$ 
    fix  $St'$ 
    assume  $(\text{empty}, \text{None}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{DLf } St'$ 
    thus  $\text{False}$ 
    by  $\text{cases auto}$ 

```

```

qed
next
assume  $\text{no-step}: \forall St'. \neg St \rightsquigarrow \text{DLf } St'$ 
show  $\text{is-final-DLf-state } St$ 
proof  $(\text{rule ccontr})$ 
  assume  $\text{not-fin}: \neg \text{is-final-DLf-state } St$ 

```

```

obtain  $P :: 'p$  and  $Y :: 'f \text{option}$  and  $A :: 'f \text{fset}$  where
   $st: St = (P, Y, A)$ 
  by  $(\text{cases } St)$ 

```

```

have  $P \neq \text{empty} \vee Y \neq \text{None}$ 
  using  $\text{not-fin}$  unfolding  $st$   $\text{is-final-DLf-state.simps}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
moreover {
  assume
     $p: P \neq \text{empty}$  and
     $y: Y = \text{None}$ 

```

```

have  $\exists St'. St \rightsquigarrow \text{DLf } St'$ 
proof  $(\text{cases select } P)$ 
  case  $\text{sel}: (\text{Passive-Inference } \iota)$ 
  hence  $\iota\text{-inf}: \iota \in \text{Inf-F}$ 
  using  $\text{inv } p$  unfolding  $st$  by  $(\text{metis DLf-invariant.cases fst-conv mem-Collect-eq})$ 

```



```

    passive-inferences-of-def select-in-felems subset-iff)
  have  $\iota$ -red:  $\iota \in \text{no-labels.Red-I-}\mathcal{G}$  (fset  $A \cup \{\text{concl-of } \iota\}$ )
    using  $\iota$ -inf no-labels.empty-ord.Red-I-of-Inf-to-N by auto
  show ?thesis
    using fair-DL.compute-infer[OF  $p$  sel  $\iota$ -red] unfolding  $st$   $p$   $y$  by blast
next
  case (Passive-Formula  $C$ )
  then show ?thesis
    using fair-DL.choose-p[OF  $p$ ] unfolding  $st$   $p$   $y$  by fast
qed
} moreover {
  assume  $Y \neq \text{None}$ 
  then obtain  $C :: 'f$  where
     $y: Y = \text{Some } C$ 
    by blast

  have  $fin$ : finite (no-labels.Inf-between (fset  $A$ )  $\{C\}$ )
    by (rule finite-Inf-between[of fset  $A$ , simplified])
  obtain  $\iota s :: 'f$  inference list where
     $\iota s$ : set  $\iota s = \text{no-labels.Inf-between (fset } A) \{C\}$ 
    using finite-imp-set-eq[OF  $fin$ ] by blast

  have  $\exists St'. St \rightsquigarrow_{DLf} St'$ 
    using fair-DL.schedule-infer[OF  $\iota s$ ] unfolding  $st$   $y$  by fast
  } ultimately show False
  using no-step by force
qed
qed

```

## 5.5 Refinement

lemma fair-DL-step-imp-DL-step:

```

  assumes  $dlf: (P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow_{DLf} (P', Y', A')$ 
  shows  $fstate (P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow_{DL} fstate (P', Y', A')$ 
  using  $dlf$ 

```

proof cases

```

  case (compute-infer  $\iota$   $C$ )

```

```

  note  $defs = \text{this}(1-4)$  and  $p$ -nemp =  $\text{this}(5)$  and  $sel = \text{this}(6)$  and  $\iota$ -red =  $\text{this}(7)$ 

```

```

  have  $pas$ -min- $\iota$ -uni- $\iota$ :  $\text{passive-inferences-of } P - \{\iota\} \cup \{\iota\} = \text{passive-inferences-of } P$ 
  by (metis Un-insert-right insert-Diff-single insert-absorb mem-Collect-eq  $p$ -nemp
    passive-inferences-of-def sel select-in-felems sup-bot.right-neutral)

```

```

  show ?thesis

```

```

  unfolding  $defs$   $fstate$ -alt-def

```

```

  using DL.compute-infer[OF  $\iota$ -red,

```

```

    of passive-inferences-of (remove (select  $P$ )  $P$ ) passive-formulas-of  $P$ ]

```

```

  by (simp only: sel prod.sel option.set passive-inferences-of-remove-Passive-Inference
    passive-formulas-of-remove-Passive-Inference  $pas$ -min- $\iota$ -uni- $\iota$ )

```

next

```

  case (choose-p  $C$ )

```

```

  note  $defs = \text{this}(1-4)$  and  $p$ -nemp =  $\text{this}(5)$  and  $sel = \text{this}(6)$ 

```

```

  have  $pas$ -min- $c$ -uni- $c$ :  $\text{passive-formulas-of } P - \{C\} \cup \{C\} = \text{passive-formulas-of } P$ 

```

```

  by (metis Un-insert-right insert-Diff mem-Collect-eq  $p$ -nemp passive-formulas-of-def sel
    select-in-felems sup-bot.right-neutral)

```

```

show ?thesis
  unfolding defs fstate-alt-def
  using DL.choose-p[of passive-inferences-of P passive-formulas-of (remove (select P) P) C
    fset A]
  unfolding sel by (simp only: prod.sel option.set passive-formulas-of-remove-Passive-Formula
    passive-inferences-of-remove-Passive-Formula pas-min-c-uni-c)
next
  case (delete-fwd C)
  note defs = this(1-4) and c-red = this(5)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs fstate-alt-def using DL.delete-fwd[OF c-red] by simp
next
  case (simplify-fwd C' C)
  note defs = this(1-4) and c-red = this(6)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs fstate-alt-def using DL.simplify-fwd[OF c-red] by simp
next
  case (delete-bwd C' C)
  note defs = this(1-4) and c'-red = this(6)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs fstate-alt-def using DL.delete-bwd[OF c'-red] by simp
next
  case (simplify-bwd C'' C' C)
  note defs = this(1-4) and c''-red = this(7)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs fstate-alt-def using DL.simplify-bwd[OF c''-red] by simp
next
  case (schedule-infer  $\iota$  C)
  note defs = this(1-4) and  $\iota$ s = this(5)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs fstate-alt-def
    using DL.schedule-infer[OF  $\iota$ s, of passive-inferences-of P passive-formulas-of P] by simp
next
  case (delete-orphan-infers  $\iota$ s)
  note defs = this(1-3) and  $\iota$ s-ne = this(4) and  $\iota$ s-pas = this(5) and inter = this(6)

have pas-min- $\iota$ s-uni- $\iota$ s: passive-inferences-of P - set  $\iota$ s  $\cup$  set  $\iota$ s = passive-inferences-of P
  by (simp add:  $\iota$ s-pas set-eq-subset)

show ?thesis
  unfolding defs fstate-alt-def
  using DL.delete-orphan-infers[OF inter,
    of passive-inferences-of (fold (remove  $\circ$  Passive-Inference)  $\iota$ s P)
    passive-formulas-of P set-option Y]
  by (simp only: prod.sel passive-inferences-of-fold-remove-Passive-Inference
    passive-formulas-of-fold-remove-Passive-Inference pas-min- $\iota$ s-uni- $\iota$ s)
qed

lemma fair-DL-step-imp-GC-step:
  (P, Y, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$ DLf (P', Y', A')  $\implies$  fstate (P, Y, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$ LGC fstate (P', Y', A')
  by (rule DL-step-imp-LGC-step[OF fair-DL-step-imp-DL-step])

```

## 5.6 Completeness

**fun** mset-of-fstate :: ('p, 'f) DLf-state  $\Rightarrow$  'f multiset **where**

$mset\text{-of}\text{-fstate } (P, Y, A) =$   
 $image\text{-mset } concl\text{-of } (mset\text{-set } (passive\text{-inferences}\text{-of } P)) + mset\text{-set } (passive\text{-formulas}\text{-of } P) +$   
 $mset\text{-set } (set\text{-option } Y) + mset\text{-set } (fset } A)$

**abbreviation**  $Precprec\text{-}S :: 'f \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow 'f \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow bool$  (**infix**  $\prec\prec S$  50) **where**  
 $(\prec\prec S) \equiv multp } (\prec S)$

**lemma**  $wfP\text{-}Precprec\text{-}S: wfP } (\prec\prec S)$   
**by** ( $simp \text{ add: } wfp\text{-}Prec\text{-}S \text{ wfp}\text{-}multp$ )

**definition**  $Less\text{-}state :: ('p, 'f) \text{ DLf}\text{-}state \Rightarrow ('p, 'f) \text{ DLf}\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool$  (**infix**  $\sqsubset$  50) **where**  
 $St' \sqsubset St \iff$   
 $(yy\text{-of } St' = None \wedge yy\text{-of } St \neq None)$   
 $\vee ((yy\text{-of } St' = None \iff yy\text{-of } St = None) \wedge mset\text{-of}\text{-fstate } St' \prec\prec S \text{ mset}\text{-of}\text{-fstate } St)$

**lemma**  $wfP\text{-}Less\text{-}state: wfP } (\sqsubset)$

**proof** –

**let**  $?boolset = \{(b', b :: bool). b' < b\}$   
**let**  $?msetset = \{(M', M). M' \prec\prec S M\}$   
**let**  $?pair\text{-of} = \lambda St. (yy\text{-of } St \neq None, mset\text{-of}\text{-fstate } St)$

**have**  $wf\text{-}boolset: wf } ?boolset$   
**by** ( $rule \text{ Wellfounded.wellorder}\text{-}class.wf$ )  
**have**  $wf\text{-}msetset: wf } ?msetset$   
**using**  $wfP\text{-}Precprec\text{-}S \text{ wfp}\text{-}def$  **by**  $auto$   
**have**  $wf\text{-}lex\text{-}prod: wf } (?boolset <*\text{lex}*> ?msetset)$   
**by** ( $rule \text{ wf}\text{-}lex\text{-}prod[OF \text{ wf}\text{-}boolset \text{ wf}\text{-}msetset]$ )

**have**  $Less\text{-}state\text{-}alt\text{-}def:$   
 $\bigwedge St' St. St' \sqsubset St \iff (?pair\text{-of } St', ?pair\text{-of } St) \in ?boolset <*\text{lex}*> ?msetset$   
**unfolding**  $Less\text{-}state\text{-}def$  **by**  $auto$

**show**  $?thesis$

**unfolding**  $wfp\text{-}def \text{ Less}\text{-}state\text{-}alt\text{-}def$  **using**  $wf\text{-}app[of - ?pair\text{-of}] \text{ wf}\text{-}lex\text{-}prod$  **by**  $blast$   
**qed**

**lemma**  $non\text{-}compute\text{-}infer\text{-}choose\text{-}p\text{-}DLf\text{-}step\text{-}imp\text{-}Less\text{-}state:$

**assumes**  
 $step: St \rightsquigarrow DLf } St'$  **and**  
 $yy: yy\text{-of } St \neq None \vee yy\text{-of } St' = None$   
**shows**  $St' \sqsubset St$

**using**  $step$

**proof**  $cases$

**case** ( $compute\text{-}infer } P \iota A C$ )  
**note**  $defs = this(1,2)$   
**have**  $False$   
**using**  $step \text{ yy} \text{ unfolding } defs$  **by**  $simp$   
**thus**  $?thesis$   
**by**  $blast$

**next**

**case** ( $choose\text{-}p } P C A$ )  
**note**  $defs = this(1,2)$   
**have**  $False$   
**using**  $step \text{ yy} \text{ unfolding } defs$  **by**  $simp$   
**thus**  $?thesis$

```

  by blast
next
case (delete-fwd C A P)
note defs = this(1,2)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs Less-state-def by (auto intro!: subset-implies-multip)
next
case (simplify-fwd C' C A P)
note defs = this(1,2) and prec = this(3)

let ?new-bef = image-mset concl-of (mset-set (passive-inferences-of P)) +
  mset-set (passive-formulas-of P) + mset-set (fset A) + {#C#}
let ?new-aft = image-mset concl-of (mset-set (passive-inferences-of P)) +
  mset-set (passive-formulas-of P) + mset-set (fset A) + {#C'#}

have lt-new: ?new-aft <<S ?new-bef
  unfolding multp-def
proof (subst mult-cancelL[OF trans-Prec-S irrefl-Prec-S], fold multp-def)
  show {#C'#} <<S {#C#}
  unfolding multp-def using prec by (auto intro: singletons-in-mult)
qed
thus ?thesis
  unfolding defs Less-state-def by simp
next
case (delete-bwd C' A C P)
note defs = this(1,2) and c-ni = this(3)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs Less-state-def using c-ni
  by (auto intro!: subset-implies-multip)
next
case (simplify-bwd C' A C'' C P)
note defs = this(1,2) and c'-ni = this(3) and prec = this(4)

show ?thesis
proof (cases C'' ∈ passive-formulas-of P)
  case c''-in: True
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs Less-state-def using c'-ni
    by (auto simp: insert-absorb[OF c''-in] intro!: subset-implies-multip)
next
case c''-ni: False

have bef: add-mset C (image-mset concl-of (mset-set (passive-inferences-of P)) +
  mset-set (passive-formulas-of P) + mset-set (insert C' (fset A))) =
  add-mset C
  (image-mset concl-of (mset-set (passive-inferences-of P)) +
  mset-set (passive-formulas-of P) + mset-set (fset A)) + {#C'#} (is ?old-bef = ?new-bef)
  using c'-ni by auto
have aft: add-mset C
  (image-mset concl-of (mset-set (passive-inferences-of P)) +
  mset-set (insert C'' (passive-formulas-of P)) + mset-set (fset A)) =
  add-mset C
  (image-mset concl-of (mset-set (passive-inferences-of P)) +
  mset-set (passive-formulas-of P) + mset-set (fset A)) + {#C''#} (is ?old-aft = ?new-aft)
  using c''-ni by (simp add: finite-passive-formulas-of)

```

```

have lt-new: ?new-aft <<S ?new-bef
  unfolding multp-def
proof (subst mult-cancelL[OF trans-Prec-S irreft-Prec-S], fold multp-def)
  show {#C''#} <<S {#C'#}
    unfolding multp-def using prec by (auto intro: singletons-in-mult)
qed
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs Less-state-def by simp (simp only: bef aft lt-new)
qed
next
case (schedule-infer  $\iota$  S A C P)
note defs = this(1,2)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs Less-state-def by auto
next
case (delete-orphan-infers  $\iota$  S P A Y)
note defs = this(1,2) and  $\iota$ s-nnil = this(3) and  $\iota$ s-sub = this(4) and  $\iota$ s-inter = this(5)
have image-mset concl-of (mset-set (passive-inferences-of P - set  $\iota$ s))  $\subset$ #
  image-mset concl-of (mset-set (passive-inferences-of P))
by (metis Diff-empty Diff-subset  $\iota$ s-nnil  $\iota$ s-sub double-diff empty-subsetI
  finite-passive-inferences-of finite-subset image-mset-subset-mono mset-set-eq-iff set-empty
  subset-imp-msubset-mset-set subset-mset.nless-le)
thus ?thesis
  unfolding defs Less-state-def by (auto intro!: subset-implies-multp)
qed

lemma yy-nonempty-DLf-step-imp-Less-state:
  assumes
    step:  $St \rightsquigarrow DLf St'$  and
    yy:  $yy\text{-of } St \neq \text{None}$  and
    yy':  $yy\text{-of } St' \neq \text{None}$ 
  shows  $St' \sqsubset St$ 
proof -
  have  $yy\text{-of } St \neq \text{None} \vee yy\text{-of } St' = \text{None}$ 
  using yy by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using non-compute-infer-choose-p-DLf-step-imp-Less-state[OF step] by blast
qed

lemma fair-DL-Liminf-yy-empty:
  assumes
    len:  $l\text{length } Sts = \infty$  and
    full:  $\text{full-chain } (\rightsquigarrow DLf) Sts$  and
    inv:  $DLf\text{-invariant } (lhd Sts)$ 
  shows  $\text{Liminf-llist } (lmap (set\text{-option} \circ yy\text{-of}) Sts) = \{\}$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume lim-nemp:  $\text{Liminf-llist } (lmap (set\text{-option} \circ yy\text{-of}) Sts) \neq \{\}$ 

  obtain  $i :: nat$  where
    i-lt:  $enat\ i < l\text{length } Sts$  and
    inter-nemp:  $\bigcap \{(set\text{-option} \circ yy\text{-of} \circ lnth\ Sts) \text{ ' } \{j. i \leq j \wedge enat\ j < l\text{length } Sts\}\} \neq \{\}$ 
  using lim-nemp unfolding Liminf-llist-def by auto

  from inter-nemp obtain  $C :: 'f$  where

```

*c-in*:  $\forall P \in \text{lnth Sts } \{j. i \leq j \wedge \text{enat } j < \text{llength Sts}\}. C \in \text{set-option } (\text{yy-of } P)$   
**by auto**  
*hence c-in'*:  $\forall j \geq i. \text{enat } j < \text{llength Sts} \longrightarrow C \in \text{set-option } (\text{yy-of } (\text{lnth Sts } j))$   
**by auto**

**have si-lt**:  $\text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) < \text{llength Sts}$   
**unfolding len by auto**

**have yy-j**:  $\text{yy-of } (\text{lnth Sts } j) \neq \text{None}$  **if**  $j\text{-ge}$ :  $j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using c-in' len j-ge by auto**  
**hence yy-sj**:  $\text{yy-of } (\text{lnth Sts } (\text{Suc } j)) \neq \text{None}$  **if**  $j\text{-ge}$ :  $j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using le-Suc-eq that by presburger**  
**have step**:  $\text{lnth Sts } j \rightsquigarrow \text{DLf } \text{lnth Sts } (\text{Suc } j)$  **if**  $j\text{-ge}$ :  $j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using full-chain-imp-chain[OF full] infinite-chain-lnth-rel len llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite**  
**by blast**

**have lnth Sts (Suc j)  $\sqsubseteq$  lnth Sts j** **if**  $j\text{-ge}$ :  $j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using yy-nonempty-DLf-step-imp-Less-state by (meson step j-ge yy-j yy-sj)**  
**hence  $(\sqsubseteq)^{-1-1}$  (lnth Sts j) (lnth Sts (Suc j))** **if**  $j\text{-ge}$ :  $j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using j-ge by blast**  
**hence inf-down-chain**:  $\text{chain } (\sqsubseteq)^{-1-1} (\text{ldropn } i \text{ Sts})$   
**by (simp add: chain-ldropnI si-lt)**

**have inf-i**:  $\neg \text{lfinite } (\text{ldropn } i \text{ Sts})$   
**using len by (simp add: llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite)**

**show False**  
**using inf-i inf-down-chain wfP-iff-no-infinite-down-chain-llist[of  $(\sqsubseteq)$ ] wfP-Less-state**  
**by metis**

**qed**

**lemma DLf-step-imp-queue-step**:  
**assumes**  $St \rightsquigarrow \text{DLf } St'$   
**shows**  $\text{queue-step } (\text{passive-of } St) (\text{passive-of } St')$   
**using assms**  
**by cases (auto simp: fold-map[symmetric] intro: queue-step-idleI queue-step-addI queue-step-removeI queue-step-fold-addI queue-step-fold-removeI)**

**lemma fair-DL-Liminf-passive-empty**:  
**assumes**  
*len*:  $\text{llength Sts} = \infty$  **and**  
*full*:  $\text{full-chain } (\rightsquigarrow \text{DLf}) \text{ Sts}$  **and**  
*init*:  $\text{is-initial-DLf-state } (\text{lhs Sts})$   
**shows**  $\text{Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{elems } \circ \text{passive-of}) \text{ Sts}) = \{\}$

**proof** –  
**have chain-step**:  $\text{chain } \text{queue-step } (\text{lmap } \text{passive-of } \text{Sts})$   
**using DLf-step-imp-queue-step chain-lmap full-chain-imp-chain[OF full]**  
**by (metis (no-types, lifting))**

**have inf-oft**:  $\text{infinitely-often } \text{select-queue-step } (\text{lmap } \text{passive-of } \text{Sts})$   
**proof**  
**assume**  $\text{finitely-often } \text{select-queue-step } (\text{lmap } \text{passive-of } \text{Sts})$   
**then obtain**  $i :: \text{nat}$  **where**  
*no-sel*:  
 $\forall j \geq i. \neg \text{select-queue-step } (\text{passive-of } (\text{lnth Sts } j)) (\text{passive-of } (\text{lnth Sts } (\text{Suc } j)))$

```

by (metis (no-types, lifting) enat-ord-code(4) finitely-often-def len llength-lmap lnth-lmap)

have si-lt: enat (Suc i) < llength Sts
  unfolding len by auto

have step: lnth Sts j  $\rightsquigarrow$ DLf lnth Sts (Suc j) if j-ge: j  $\geq$  i for j
  using full-chain-imp-chain[OF full] infinite-chain-lnth-rel len llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite
  by blast

have yy: yy-of (lnth Sts j)  $\neq$  None  $\vee$  yy-of (lnth Sts (Suc j)) = None if j-ge: j  $\geq$  i for j
  using step[OF j-ge]
proof cases
  case (compute-infer P  $\iota$  A C)
  note defs = this(1,2) and p-ne = this(3)
  have False
    using no-sel defs p-ne select-queue-stepI that by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    by blast
next
  case (choose-p P C A)
  note defs = this(1,2) and p-ne = this(3)
  have False
    using no-sel defs p-ne select-queue-stepI that by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    by blast
qed auto

have lnth Sts (Suc j)  $\sqsubset$  lnth Sts j if j-ge: j  $\geq$  i for j
  by (rule non-compute-infer-choose-p-DLf-step-imp-Less-state[OF step[OF j-ge] yy[OF j-ge]])
hence ( $\sqsubset$ )-1-1 (lnth Sts j) (lnth Sts (Suc j)) if j-ge: j  $\geq$  i for j
  using j-ge by blast
hence inf-down-chain: chain ( $\sqsubset$ )-1-1 (ldropn i Sts)
  using chain-ldropn-lmapI[OF - si-lt, of - id, simplified llist.map-id] by simp

have inf-i:  $\neg$  lfinite (ldropn i Sts)
  using len lfinite-ldropn llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite by blast

show False
  using inf-i inf-down-chain wfP-iff-no-infinite-down-chain-llist[of ( $\sqsubset$ )] wfP-Less-state
  by blast
qed

have hd-emp: lhd (lmap passive-of Sts) = empty
  using init full full-chain-not-lnull unfolding is-initial-DLf-state.simps by fastforce

have Liminf-llist (lmap elems (lmap passive-of Sts)) = {}
  by (rule fair[of lmap passive-of Sts, OF chain-step inf-of hd-emp])
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: llist.map-comp)
qed

lemma fair-DL-Liminf-passive-formulas-empty:
assumes
  len: llength Sts =  $\infty$  and
  full: full-chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ DLf) Sts and

```

*init: is-initial-DLf-state (lhd Sts)*  
**shows**  $\text{Liminf-llist} (\text{lmap} (\text{passive-formulas-of} \circ \text{passive-of}) \text{ Sts}) = \{\}$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\text{lim-filt: Liminf-llist} (\text{lmap} (\text{Set.filter is-passive-formula} \circ \text{elems} \circ \text{passive-of}) \text{ Sts}) = \{\}$   
**using** *fair-DL-Liminf-passive-empty Liminf-llist-subset*  
**by** (*metis (no-types) empty-iff full init len llength-lmap llist.map-comp lnth-lmap member-filter subsetI subset-antisym*)  
  
**let**  $?g = \text{Set.filter is-passive-formula} \circ \text{elems} \circ \text{passive-of}$   
  
**have**  $\text{inj-on passive-formula} (\text{Set.filter is-passive-formula} (\text{UNIV} :: 'f \text{ passive-elem set}))$   
**unfolding** *inj-on-def* **by** (*metis member-filter passive-elem.collapse(2)*)  
**moreover have**  $\text{Sup-llist} (\text{lmap} ?g \text{ Sts}) \subseteq \text{Set.filter is-passive-formula UNIV}$   
**unfolding** *Sup-llist-def* **by** *auto*  
**ultimately have**  $\text{inj-pi: inj-on passive-formula} (\text{Sup-llist} (\text{lmap} ?g \text{ Sts}))$   
**using** *inj-on-subset* **by** *blast*  
  
**have**  $\text{lim-pass: Liminf-llist} (\text{lmap} (\lambda x. \text{passive-formula } ' (\text{Set.filter is-passive-formula} \circ \text{elems} \circ \text{passive-of}) x) \text{ Sts}) = \{\}$   
**using** *Liminf-llist-lmap-image[OF inj-pi] lim-filt* **by** *simp*  
  
**have**  $\text{Liminf-llist} (\text{lmap} (\lambda \text{St}. \{C. \text{Passive-Formula } C \in \text{elems} (\text{passive-of } \text{St})\}) \text{ Sts}) = \{\}$   
**using** *lim-pass passive-formula-filter* **by** (*smt (verit) Collect-cong comp-apply llist.map-cong*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**unfolding** *passive-formulas-of-def comp-apply* .  
**qed**

**lemma** *fair-DL-Liminf-passive-inferences-empty:*

**assumes**  
*len: llength Sts =  $\infty$  and*  
*full: full-chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ DLf) Sts and*  
*init: is-initial-DLf-state (lhd Sts)*  
**shows**  $\text{Liminf-llist} (\text{lmap} (\text{passive-inferences-of} \circ \text{passive-of}) \text{ Sts}) = \{\}$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\text{lim-filt: Liminf-llist} (\text{lmap} (\text{Set.filter is-passive-inference} \circ \text{elems} \circ \text{passive-of}) \text{ Sts}) = \{\}$   
**using** *fair-DL-Liminf-passive-empty Liminf-llist-subset*  
**by** (*metis (no-types) empty-iff full init len llength-lmap llist.map-comp lnth-lmap member-filter subsetI subset-antisym*)

**let**  $?g = \text{Set.filter is-passive-inference} \circ \text{elems} \circ \text{passive-of}$

**have**  $\text{inj-on passive-inference} (\text{Set.filter is-passive-inference} (\text{UNIV} :: 'f \text{ passive-elem set}))$   
**unfolding** *inj-on-def* **by** (*metis member-filter passive-elem.collapse(1)*)  
**moreover have**  $\text{Sup-llist} (\text{lmap} ?g \text{ Sts}) \subseteq \text{Set.filter is-passive-inference UNIV}$   
**unfolding** *Sup-llist-def* **by** *auto*  
**ultimately have**  $\text{inj-pi: inj-on passive-inference} (\text{Sup-llist} (\text{lmap} ?g \text{ Sts}))$   
**using** *inj-on-subset* **by** *blast*

**have**  $\text{lim-pass: Liminf-llist} (\text{lmap} (\lambda x. \text{passive-inference } ' (\text{Set.filter is-passive-inference} \circ \text{elems} \circ \text{passive-of}) x) \text{ Sts}) = \{\}$   
**using** *Liminf-llist-lmap-image[OF inj-pi] lim-filt* **by** *simp*

**have**  $\text{Liminf-llist} (\text{lmap} (\lambda \text{St}. \{\iota. \text{Passive-Inference } \iota \in \text{elems} (\text{passive-of } \text{St})\}) \text{ Sts}) = \{\}$   
**using** *lim-pass passive-inference-filter* **by** (*smt (verit) Collect-cong comp-apply llist.map-cong*)  
**thus** *?thesis*



**unfolding** *passive-inferences-of-def comp-apply* .  
**qed**

**theorem**

**assumes**

*full*: *full-chain* ( $\rightsquigarrow$ DLf) *Sts* **and**

*init*: *is-initial-DLf-state* (*lhd Sts*)

**shows**

*fair-DL-Liminf-saturated*: *saturated* (*labeled-formulas-of* (*Liminf-fstate Sts*)) **and**

*fair-DL-complete-Liminf*:  $B \in \text{Bot-}F \implies \text{passive-formulas-of} (\text{passive-of} (\text{lhd } Sts)) \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$

$\exists B' \in \text{Bot-}F. B' \in \text{formulas-union} (\text{Liminf-fstate } Sts)$  **and**

*fair-DL-complete*:  $B \in \text{Bot-}F \implies \text{passive-formulas-of} (\text{passive-of} (\text{lhd } Sts)) \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$

$\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts \wedge (\exists B' \in \text{Bot-}F. B' \in \text{all-formulas-of} (\text{lth } Sts \ i))$

**proof** –

**have** *chain*: *chain* ( $\rightsquigarrow$ DLf) *Sts*

**by** (*rule full-chain-imp-chain*[OF *full*])

**hence** *dl-chain*: *chain* ( $\rightsquigarrow$ DL) (*lmap fstate Sts*)

**by** (*smt* (*verit*, *del-insts*) *chain-lmap fair-DL-step-imp-DL-step mset-of-fstate.cases*)

**have** *inv*: *DLf-invariant* (*lhd Sts*)

**using** *init initial-DLf-invariant* **by** *auto*

**have** *nnul*:  $\neg \text{lnull } Sts$

**using** *chain chain-not-lnull* **by** *blast*

**hence** *lhd-lmap*:  $\bigwedge f. \text{lhd} (\text{lmap } f \ Sts) = f (\text{lhd } Sts)$

**by** (*rule llist.map-sel*(1))

**have** *active-of* (*lhd Sts*) =  $\{\|\}$

**by** (*metis is-initial-DLf-state.cases init snd-conv*)

**hence** *act*: *active-subset* (*snd* (*lhd* (*lmap fstate Sts*))) =  $\{\}$

**unfolding** *active-subset-def lhd-lmap* **by** (*cases lhd Sts*) *auto*

**have** *pas-fml-and-t-inf*: *passive-subset* (*Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*snd*  $\circ$  *fstate*) *Sts*)) =  $\{\}$   $\wedge$

*Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*fst*  $\circ$  *fstate*) *Sts*) =  $\{\}$  (**is** *?pas-fml*  $\wedge$  *?t-inf*)

**proof** (*cases lfinite Sts*)

**case** *fin*: *True*

**have** *lim-fst*: *Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*fst*  $\circ$  *fstate*) *Sts*) = *fst* (*fstate* (*llast Sts*)) **and**

*lim-snd*: *Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*snd*  $\circ$  *fstate*) *Sts*) = *snd* (*fstate* (*llast Sts*))

**using** *lfinite-Liminf-llist fin nnul*

**by** (*metis comp-eq-dest-lhs lfinite-lmap llast-lmap llist.map-disc-iff*)+

**have** *last-inv*: *DLf-invariant* (*llast Sts*)

**by** (*rule chain-DLf-invariant-llast*[OF *chain inv fin*])

**have**  $\forall St'. \neg \text{llast } Sts \rightsquigarrow \text{DLf } St'$

**using** *full-chain-lnth-not-rel*[OF *full*] **by** (*metis fin full-chain-iff-chain full*)

**hence** *is-final-DLf-state* (*llast Sts*)

**unfolding** *is-final-DLf-state-iff-no-DLf-step*[OF *last-inv*] .

**then obtain** *A* :: '*f* *fset* **where**

*at-l*: *llast Sts* = (*empty*, *None*, *A*)

**unfolding** *is-final-DLf-state.simps* **by** *blast*

**have** *?pas-fml*

**unfolding** *passive-subset-def lim-snd at-l* **by** *auto*

```

moreover have ?t-inf
  unfolding lim-fst at-l by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
  by blast
next
case False
hence len: llength Sts = ∞
  by (simp add: not-lfinite-llength)

have ?pas-fml
  unfolding Liminf-fstate-commute passive-subset-def Liminf-fstate-def
  using fair-DL-Liminf-passive-formulas-empty[OF len full init]
    fair-DL-Liminf-yy-empty[OF len full inv]
  by simp
moreover have ?t-inf
  unfolding fstate-alt-def using fair-DL-Liminf-passive-inferences-empty[OF len full init]
  by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
  by blast
qed
note pas-fml = pas-fml-and-t-inf[THEN conjunct1] and
  t-inf = pas-fml-and-t-inf[THEN conjunct2]

have pas-fml': passive-subset (Liminf-llist (lmap snd (lmap fstate Sts))) = {}
  using pas-fml by (simp add: llist.map-comp)
have t-inf': Liminf-llist (lmap fst (lmap fstate Sts)) = {}
  using t-inf by (simp add: llist.map-comp)

have no-prems-init: ∀ ι ∈ Inf-F. prems-of ι = [] → ι ∈ fst (lhd (lmap fstate Sts))
  using inf-have-prems by blast

show saturated (labeled-formulas-of (Liminf-fstate Sts))
  using DL-Liminf-saturated[OF dl-chain act pas-fml' no-prems-init t-inf']
  unfolding Liminf-fstate-commute[folded llist.map-comp] .

{
assume
  bot: B ∈ Bot-F and
  unsat: passive-formulas-of (passive-of (lhd Sts)) ⊨∩G {B}

have unsat': fst ' snd (lhd (lmap fstate Sts)) ⊨∩G {B}
  using unsat unfolding lhd-lmap by (cases lhd Sts) (auto intro: no-labels-entails-mono-left)

show ∃ B' ∈ Bot-F. B' ∈ formulas-union (Liminf-fstate Sts)
  using DL-complete-Liminf[OF dl-chain act pas-fml' no-prems-init t-inf' bot unsat']
  unfolding Liminf-fstate-commute[folded llist.map-comp]
  by (cases Liminf-fstate Sts) auto
thus ∃ i. enat i < llength Sts ∧ (∃ B' ∈ Bot-F. B' ∈ all-formulas-of (lnth Sts i))
  unfolding Liminf-fstate-def Liminf-llist-def by auto
}
qed

end

```

## 5.7 Specialization with FIFO Queue

As a proof of concept, we specialize the passive set to use a FIFO queue, thereby eliminating the locale assumptions about the passive set.

```

locale fifo-discount-loop =
  discount-loop Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q Red-F-q G-F-q G-I-q Equiv-F Prec-F
for
  Bot-F :: 'f set and
  Inf-F :: 'f inference set and
  Bot-G :: 'g set and
  Q :: 'q set and
  entails-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  Inf-G-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set and
  Red-I-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set and
  Red-F-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set and
  G-F-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  'g set and
  G-I-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f inference  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set option and
  Equiv-F :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \dot{=} \rangle$  50) and
  Prec-F :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \prec \cdot \rangle$  50) +
fixes
  Prec-S :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \prec S \rangle$  50)
assumes
  wfp-Prec-S: wfp ( $\prec S$ ) and
  transp-Prec-S: transp ( $\prec S$ ) and
  finite-Inf-between: finite A  $\implies$  finite (no-labels.Inf-between A {C})
begin

sublocale fifo-prover-queue
  .

sublocale fair-discount-loop Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q Red-F-q G-F-q G-I-q
  Equiv-F Prec-F [] hd  $\lambda y$  xs. if  $y \in$  set xs then xs else xs @ [y] removeAll fset-of-list Prec-S
proof
  show wfp ( $\prec S$ )
    using wfp-Prec-S .
next
  show transp ( $\prec S$ )
    by (rule transp-Prec-S)
next
  show  $\bigwedge$  A C. finite A  $\implies$  finite (no-labels.Inf-between A {C})
    by (fact finite-Inf-between)
qed

end

end

```

## 6 Otter Loop

The Otter loop is one of the two best-known given clause procedures. It is formalized as an instance of the abstract procedure *GC*.

```

theory Otter-Loop
imports
  More-Given-Clause-Architectures

```

*Given-Clause-Loops-Util*

**begin**

**datatype** *OL-label* =

*New* | *XX* | *Passive* | *YY* | *Active*

**primrec** *nat-of-OL-label* :: *OL-label*  $\Rightarrow$  *nat* **where**

*nat-of-OL-label New* = 4

| *nat-of-OL-label XX* = 3

| *nat-of-OL-label Passive* = 2

| *nat-of-OL-label YY* = 1

| *nat-of-OL-label Active* = 0

**definition** *OL-Prec-L* :: *OL-label*  $\Rightarrow$  *OL-label*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* (**infix**  $\langle \square L \rangle$  50) **where**

*OL-Prec-L l l'*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *nat-of-OL-label l* < *nat-of-OL-label l'*

**locale** *otter-loop* = *labeled-lifting-intersection Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q*

*Red-F-q G-F-q G-I-q*

{ $\iota_{FL}$  :: (*f*  $\times$  *OL-label*) *inference*. *Infer* (*map fst* (*prems-of*  $\iota_{FL}$ )) (*fst* (*concl-of*  $\iota_{FL}$ ))  $\in$  *Inf-F*}

**for**

*Bot-F* :: '*f* set

**and** *Inf-F* :: '*f* *inference* set

**and** *Bot-G* :: '*g* set

**and** *Q* :: '*q* set

**and** *entails-q* :: '*q*  $\Rightarrow$  '*g* set  $\Rightarrow$  '*g* set  $\Rightarrow$  *bool*

**and** *Inf-G-q* :: '*q*  $\Rightarrow$  '*g* *inference* set

**and** *Red-I-q* :: '*q*  $\Rightarrow$  '*g* set  $\Rightarrow$  '*g* *inference* set

**and** *Red-F-q* :: '*q*  $\Rightarrow$  '*g* set  $\Rightarrow$  '*g* set

**and** *G-F-q* :: '*q*  $\Rightarrow$  '*f*  $\Rightarrow$  '*g* set

**and** *G-I-q* :: '*q*  $\Rightarrow$  '*f* *inference*  $\Rightarrow$  '*g* *inference* set option

+ **fixes**

*Equiv-F* :: '*f*  $\Rightarrow$  '*f*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* (**infix**  $\langle \doteq \rangle$  50) **and**

*Prec-F* :: '*f*  $\Rightarrow$  '*f*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* (**infix**  $\langle \prec \cdot \rangle$  50)

**assumes**

*equiv-equiv-F*: *equivp* ( $\doteq$ ) **and**

*wfp-prec-F*: *wfp* ( $\prec \cdot$ ) *transp* ( $\prec \cdot$ ) **and**

*compat-equiv-prec*:  $C1 \doteq D1 \Longrightarrow C2 \doteq D2 \Longrightarrow C1 \prec \cdot C2 \Longrightarrow D1 \prec \cdot D2$  **and**

*equiv-F-grounding*:  $q \in Q \Longrightarrow C1 \doteq C2 \Longrightarrow \mathcal{G}\text{-F}\text{-}q\ q\ C1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}\text{-F}\text{-}q\ q\ C2$  **and**

*prec-F-grounding*:  $q \in Q \Longrightarrow C2 \prec \cdot C1 \Longrightarrow \mathcal{G}\text{-F}\text{-}q\ q\ C1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}\text{-F}\text{-}q\ q\ C2$  **and**

*static-ref-comp*: *statically-complete-calculus Bot-F Inf-F* ( $\models \cap \mathcal{G}$ )

*no-labels.Red-I-G no-labels.Red-F-G-empty* **and**

*inf-have-prems*:  $\iota F \in \text{Inf-F} \Longrightarrow \text{prems-of } \iota F \neq []$

**begin**

**lemma** *transp-OL-Prec-L*: *transp* ( $\square L$ )

**unfolding** *OL-Prec-L-def transp-def* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *wfp-OL-Prec-L*: *wfp* ( $\square L$ )

**unfolding** *OL-Prec-L-def* **by** (*simp add: wfp-app*)

**lemma** *Active-minimal*:  $l2 \neq \text{Active} \Longrightarrow \text{Active} \square L\ l2$

**by** (*cases l2*) (*auto simp: OL-Prec-L-def*)

**lemma** *at-least-two-labels*:  $\exists l2. \text{Active} \square L\ l2$

**using** *Active-minimal* **by** *blast*

**sublocale** *gc?*: *given-clause Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q Red-F-q G-F-q G-I-q*  
*Equiv-F Prec-F OL-Prec-L Active*

**proof** *unfold-locales*

**show**  $\bigwedge B N. \llbracket B \in \text{Bot-F}; \text{no-labels.empty-ord.saturated } N; N \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\} \rrbracket \implies \exists B' \in \text{Bot-F}. B' \in N$   
**using** *static-ref-comp statically-complete-calculus.statically-complete* **by** *fastforce*

**qed** (*simp-all add: equiv-equiv-F wfp-prec-F wfp-OL-Prec-L transp-OL-Prec-L compat-equiv-prec*  
*equiv-F-grounding prec-F-grounding Active-minimal at-least-two-labels inf-have-prems*)

**notation** *gc.step* (**infix**  $\langle \rightsquigarrow GC \rangle$  50)

## 6.1 Basic Definitions and Lemmas

**fun** *state* :: *'f set*  $\times$  *'f set*  $\times$  *'f set*  $\times$  *'f set*  $\times$  *'f set*  $\Rightarrow$  (*'f*  $\times$  *OL-label*) *set* **where**

*state* (*N*, *X*, *P*, *Y*, *A*) =  
 $\{(C, \text{New}) \mid C. C \in N\} \cup \{(C, \text{XX}) \mid C. C \in X\} \cup \{(C, \text{Passive}) \mid C. C \in P\} \cup$   
 $\{(C, \text{YY}) \mid C. C \in Y\} \cup \{(C, \text{Active}) \mid C. C \in A\}$

**lemma** *state-alt-def*:

*state* (*N*, *X*, *P*, *Y*, *A*) =  
 $(\lambda C. (C, \text{New})) \text{ ' } N \cup (\lambda C. (C, \text{XX})) \text{ ' } X \cup (\lambda C. (C, \text{Passive})) \text{ ' } P \cup (\lambda C. (C, \text{YY})) \text{ ' } Y \cup$   
 $(\lambda C. (C, \text{Active})) \text{ ' } A$

**by** *auto*

**inductive** *OL* :: (*'f*  $\times$  *OL-label*) *set*  $\Rightarrow$  (*'f*  $\times$  *OL-label*) *set*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* (**infix**  $\langle \rightsquigarrow OL \rangle$  50) **where**

*choose-n*:  $C \notin N \implies \text{state } (N \cup \{C\}, \{\}, P, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{OL} \text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

| *delete-fwd*:  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } (P \cup A) \vee (\exists C' \in P \cup A. C' \preceq C) \implies$

$\text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{OL} \text{state } (N, \{\}, P, \{\}, A)$

| *simplify-fwd*:  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } (P \cup A \cup \{C'\}) \implies$

$\text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{OL} \text{state } (N, \{C'\}, P, \{\}, A)$

| *delete-bwd-p*:  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C\} \vee C \prec C' \implies$

$\text{state } (N, \{C\}, P \cup \{C'\}, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{OL} \text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

| *simplify-bwd-p*:  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C, C''\} \implies$

$\text{state } (N, \{C\}, P \cup \{C'\}, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{OL} \text{state } (N \cup \{C''\}, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

| *delete-bwd-a*:  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C\} \vee C \prec C' \implies$

$\text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow_{OL} \text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

| *simplify-bwd-a*:  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } (\{C, C''\}) \implies$

$\text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow_{OL} \text{state } (N \cup \{C''\}, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

| *transfer*:  $\text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{OL} \text{state } (N, \{\}, P \cup \{C\}, \{\}, A)$

| *choose-p*:  $C \notin P \implies \text{state } (\{\}, \{\}, P \cup \{C\}, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{OL} \text{state } (\{\}, \{\}, P, \{C\}, A)$

| *infer*:  $\text{no-labels.Inf-between } A \{C\} \subseteq \text{no-labels.Red-I } (A \cup \{C\} \cup M) \implies$

$\text{state } (\{\}, \{\}, P, \{C\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{OL} \text{state } (M, \{\}, P, \{\}, A \cup \{C\})$

**lemma** *prj-state-union-sets* [*simp*]: *fst* ' *state* (*N*, *X*, *P*, *Y*, *A*) = *N*  $\cup$  *X*  $\cup$  *P*  $\cup$  *Y*  $\cup$  *A*

**using** *prj-fl-set-to-f-set-distr-union prj-labeledN-eq-N* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *active-subset-of-setOfFormulasWithLabelDiffActive*:

$l \neq \text{Active} \implies \text{active-subset } \{(C', l)\} = \{\}$

**by** (*simp add: active-subset-def*)

**lemma** *state-add-C-New*: *state* (*N*, *X*, *P*, *Y*, *A*)  $\cup$   $\{(C, \text{New})\} = \text{state } (N \cup \{C\}, X, P, Y, A)$

**by** *auto*

**lemma** *state-add-C-XX*: *state* (*N*, *X*, *P*, *Y*, *A*)  $\cup$   $\{(C, \text{XX})\} = \text{state } (N, X \cup \{C\}, P, Y, A)$

**by** *auto*

**lemma** *state-add-C-Passive*:  $state (N, X, P, Y, A) \cup \{(C, Passive)\} = state (N, X, P \cup \{C\}, Y, A)$   
**by** *auto*

**lemma** *state-add-C-YY*:  $state (N, X, P, Y, A) \cup \{(C, YY)\} = state (N, X, P, Y \cup \{C\}, A)$   
**by** *auto*

**lemma** *state-add-C-Active*:  $state (N, X, P, Y, A) \cup \{(C, Active)\} = state (N, X, P, Y, A \cup \{C\})$   
**by** *auto*

**lemma** *prj-ActiveSubset-of-state*:  $fst \text{ ' } active\text{-subset } (state (N, X, P, Y, A)) = A$   
**unfolding** *active-subset-def* **by** *force*

## 6.2 Refinement

**lemma** *chooseN-in-GC*:  $state (N \cup \{C\}, \{\}, P, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{GC} state (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**proof** –

**have** *XX-ls-New*:  $XX \sqsubset L \text{ New}$   
**by** (*simp add: OL-Prec-L-def*)

**hence** *almost-thesis*:

$state (N, \{\}, P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, New)\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} state (N, \{\}, P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, XX)\}$

**using** *relabel-inactive* **by** *blast*

**have** *rewrite-left*:  $state (N, \{\}, P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, New)\} = state (N \cup \{C\}, \{\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**using** *state-add-C-New* **by** *blast*

**moreover** **have** *rewrite-right*:  $state (N, \{\}, P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, XX)\} = state (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**using** *state-add-C-XX* **by** *auto*

**ultimately** **show** *?thesis*

**using** *almost-thesis rewrite-left rewrite-right* **by** *simp*

**qed**

**lemma** *deleteFwd-in-GC*:

**assumes**  $C \in no\text{-labels.Red-F } (P \cup A) \vee (\exists C' \in P \cup A. C' \preceq C)$

**shows**  $state (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{GC} state (N, \{\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**using** *assms*

**proof**

**assume** *c-in-redf-PA*:  $C \in no\text{-labels.Red-F } (P \cup A)$

**have**  $P \cup A \subseteq N \cup \{\} \cup P \cup \{\} \cup A$  **by** *auto*

**then** **have**  $no\text{-labels.Red-F } (P \cup A) \subseteq no\text{-labels.Red-F } (N \cup \{\} \cup P \cup \{\} \cup A)$

**using** *no-labels.Red-F-of-subset* **by** *simp*

**then** **have** *c-in-redf-NPA*:  $C \in no\text{-labels.Red-F } (N \cup \{\} \cup P \cup \{\} \cup A)$

**using** *c-in-redf-PA* **by** *auto*

**have** *NPA-eq-prj-state-NPA*:  $N \cup \{\} \cup P \cup \{\} \cup A = fst \text{ ' } state (N, \{\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**using** *prj-state-union-sets* **by** *simp*

**have**  $C \in no\text{-labels.Red-F } (fst \text{ ' } state (N, \{\}, P, \{\}, A))$

**using** *c-in-redf-NPA NPA-eq-prj-state-NPA* **by** *fastforce*

**then** **show** *?thesis*

**using** *remove-redundant-no-label* **by** *auto*

**next**

**assume**  $\exists C' \in P \cup A. C' \preceq C$

**then** **obtain**  $C'$  **where**  $C' \in P \cup A$  **and** *c'-le-c*:  $C' \preceq C$

**by** *auto*

**then** **have**  $C' \in P \vee C' \in A$

**by** *blast*

**then** **show** *?thesis*

**proof**

**assume**  $C' \in P$

**then** **have** *c'-Passive-in*:  $(C', Passive) \in state (N, \{\}, P, \{\}, A)$

```

    by simp
  have Passive  $\sqsubseteq$  L XX
    by (simp add: OL-Prec-L-def)
  then have state (N, {}, P, {}, A)  $\cup$  {(C, XX)}  $\rightsquigarrow$  GC state (N, {}, P, {}, A)
    using remove-succ-L c'-le-c c'-Passive-in by blast
  then show ?thesis
    by auto
next
assume C'  $\in$  A
then have c'-Active-in-state-NPA: (C', Active)  $\in$  state (N, {}, P, {}, A)
  by simp
also have Active-ls-x: Active  $\sqsubseteq$  L XX
  using Active-minimal by simp
then have state (N, {}, P, {}, A)  $\cup$  {(C, XX)}  $\rightsquigarrow$  GC state (N, {}, P, {}, A)
  using remove-succ-L c'-le-c Active-ls-x c'-Active-in-state-NPA by blast
then show ?thesis
  by auto
qed
qed

```

**lemma** *simplifyFwd-in-GC*:

$C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } (P \cup A \cup \{C'\}) \implies$   
 $\text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{GC state } (N, \{C'\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**proof** –

```

assume c-in: C  $\in$  no-labels.Red-F (P  $\cup$  A  $\cup$  {C'})
let ?N = state (N, {}, P, {}, A)
and ?M = {(C, XX)} and ?M' = {(C', XX)}

```

have  $P \cup A \cup \{C'\} \subseteq \text{fst}' (\text{?N} \cup \text{?M}')$

by auto

then have  $\text{no-labels.Red-F } (P \cup A \cup \{C'\}) \subseteq \text{no-labels.Red-F } (\text{fst}' (\text{?N} \cup \text{?M}'))$

using no-labels.Red-F-of-subset by auto

then have  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } (\text{fst}' (\text{?N} \cup \text{?M}'))$

using c-in by auto

then have c-x-in:  $(C, XX) \in \text{Red-F } (\text{?N} \cup \text{?M}')$

using no-labels-Red-F-imp-Red-F by auto

then have  $\text{?M} \subseteq \text{Red-F } (\text{?N} \cup \text{?M}')$

by auto

then have active-subset-of-m':  $\text{active-subset } \text{?M}' = \{\}$

using active-subset-of-setOfFormulasWithLabelDiffActive by auto

show ?thesis

using c-x-in active-subset-of-m' process[of - - ?M - ?M'] by auto

qed

**lemma** *deleteBwdP-in-GC*:

assumes  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C\} \vee C \prec \cdot C'$

shows  $\text{state } (N, \{C\}, P \cup \{C'\}, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{GC state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

using assms

**proof**

let ?N = state (N, {C}, P, {}, A)

assume c-ls-c':  $C \prec \cdot C'$

have  $(C, XX) \in \text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

by simp

then have  $\text{?N} \cup \{(C', \text{Passive})\} \rightsquigarrow \text{GC } \text{?N}$

**using** *c-ls-c' remove-succ-F* **by** *blast*  
**also have**  $?N \cup \{(C', \text{Passive})\} = \text{state } (N, \{C\}, P \cup \{C'\}, \{\}, A)$   
**by** *auto*  
**finally show** *?thesis*  
**by** *auto*  
**next**  
**let**  $?N = \text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$   
**assume** *c'-in-redf-c*:  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-G } \{C\}$   
**have**  $\{C\} \subseteq \text{fst}' ?N$  **by** *auto*  
**then have**  $\text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C\} \subseteq \text{no-labels.Red-F } (\text{fst}' ?N)$   
**using** *no-labels.Red-F-of-subset* **by** *auto*  
**then have**  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } (\text{fst}' ?N)$   
**using** *c'-in-redf-c* **by** *blast*  
**then have**  $?N \cup \{(C', \text{Passive})\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} ?N$   
**using** *remove-redundant-no-label* **by** *blast*  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*metis state-add-C-Passive*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *simplifyBwdP-in-GC*:

**assumes**  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C, C''\}$   
**shows**  $\text{state } (N, \{C\}, P \cup \{C'\}, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \text{state } (N \cup \{C''\}, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**proof** –

**let**  $?N = \text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$   
**and**  $?M = \{(C', \text{Passive})\}$   
**and**  $?M' = \{(C'', \text{New})\}$

**have**  $\{C, C''\} \subseteq \text{fst}' (?N \cup ?M')$   
**by** (*smt (z3) Un-commute Un-empty-left Un-insert-right insert-absorb2 subset-Un-eq state-add-C-New prj-state-union-sets*)  
**then have**  $\text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C, C''\} \subseteq \text{no-labels.Red-F } (\text{fst}' (?N \cup ?M'))$   
**using** *no-labels.Red-F-of-subset* **by** *auto*  
**then have**  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } (\text{fst}' (?N \cup ?M'))$   
**using** *assms* **by** *auto*  
**then have**  $(C', \text{Passive}) \in \text{Red-F } (?N \cup ?M')$   
**using** *no-labels-Red-F-imp-Red-F* **by** *auto*  
**then have**  $\mathcal{M}\text{-in-redf: } ?M \subseteq \text{Red-F } (?N \cup ?M')$  **by** *auto*

**have** *active-subset-M'*:  $\text{active-subset } ?M' = \{\}$   
**using** *active-subset-of-setOfFormulasWithLabelDiffActive* **by** *auto*

**have**  $?N \cup ?M \rightsquigarrow_{GC} ?N \cup ?M'$   
**using** *M-in-redf active-subset-M' process[of - - ?M - ?M']* **by** *auto*  
**also have**  $?N \cup \{(C', \text{Passive})\} = \text{state } (N, \{C\}, P \cup \{C'\}, \{\}, A)$   
**by** *force*  
**also have**  $?N \cup \{(C'', \text{New})\} = \text{state } (N \cup \{C''\}, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$   
**using** *state-add-C-New* **by** *blast*  
**finally show** *?thesis*  
**by** *auto*

**qed**

**lemma** *deleteBwdA-in-GC*:

**assumes**  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C\} \vee C \prec \cdot C'$   
**shows**  $\text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \text{state } (N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$   
**using** *assms*



**proof**

**let**  $?N = \text{state}(N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$   
**assume**  $c\text{-ls-}c'$ :  $C \prec \cdot C'$

**have**  $(C, XX) \in \text{state}(N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$   
**by** *simp*

**then have**  $?N \cup \{(C', \text{Active})\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} ?N$   
**using**  $c\text{-ls-}c'$  *remove-succ-F* **by** *blast*

**also have**  $?N \cup \{(C', \text{Active})\} = \text{state}(N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A \cup \{C'\})$   
**by** *auto*

**finally show**  $\text{state}(N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \text{state}(N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$   
**by** *auto*

**next**

**let**  $?N = \text{state}(N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**assume**  $c'\text{-in-redf-c}$ :  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-}\mathcal{G} \{C\}$

**have**  $\{C\} \subseteq \text{fst}' ?N$

**by** (*metis Un-commute Un-upper2 le-supI2 prj-state-union-sets*)

**then have**  $\text{no-labels.Red-F} \{C\} \subseteq \text{no-labels.Red-F} (\text{fst}' ?N)$

**using**  $\text{no-labels.Red-F-of-subset}$  **by** *auto*

**then have**  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F} (\text{fst}' ?N)$

**using**  $c'\text{-in-redf-c}$  **by** *blast*

**then have**  $?N \cup \{(C', \text{Active})\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} ?N$

**using** *remove-redundant-no-label* **by** *auto*

**then show** *?thesis*

**by** (*metis state-add-C-Active*)

**qed**

**lemma** *simplifyBwdA-in-GC*:

**assumes**  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F} \{C, C''\}$

**shows**  $\text{state}(N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \text{state}(N \cup \{C''\}, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**proof** –

**let**  $?N = \text{state}(N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A)$  **and**  $?M = \{(C', \text{Active})\}$  **and**  $?M' = \{(C'', \text{New})\}$

**have**  $\{C, C''\} \subseteq \text{fst}' (?N \cup ?M')$

**by** *simp*

**then have**  $\text{no-labels.Red-F} \{C, C''\} \subseteq \text{no-labels.Red-F} (\text{fst}' (?N \cup ?M'))$

**using**  $\text{no-labels.Red-F-of-subset}$  **by** *auto*

**then have**  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F} (\text{fst}' (?N \cup ?M'))$

**using** *assms* **by** *auto*

**then have**  $(C', \text{Active}) \in \text{Red-F} (?N \cup ?M')$

**using**  $\text{no-labels.Red-F-imp-Red-F}$  **by** *auto*

**then have**  $\mathcal{M}\text{-included}$ :  $?M \subseteq \text{Red-F} (?N \cup ?M')$

**by** *auto*

**have** *active-subset*  $?M' = \{\}$

**using** *active-subset-of-setOfFormulasWithLabelDiffActive* **by** *auto*

**then have**  $\text{state}(N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C', \text{Active})\} \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \text{state}(N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C'', \text{New})\}$

**using**  $\mathcal{M}\text{-included process}$  **where**  $?M = ?M$  **and**  $?M' = ?M'$  **by** *auto*

**then show** *?thesis*

**by** (*metis state-add-C-New state-add-C-Active*)

**qed**

**lemma** *transfer-in-GC*:  $\text{state}(N, \{C\}, P, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \text{state}(N, \{\}, P \cup \{C\}, \{\}, A)$

**proof** –

**let**  $?N = \text{state } (N, \{\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**have**  $Passive \sqsubseteq L XX$   
**by** (*simp add: OL-Prec-L-def*)

**then have**  $?N \cup \{(C, XX)\} \rightsquigarrow GC ?N \cup \{(C, Passive)\}$   
**using** *relabel-inactive* **by** *auto*

**then show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*metis sup-bot-left state-add-C-XX state-add-C-Passive*)

**qed**

**lemma** *chooseP-in-GC*:  $\text{state } (\{\}, \{\}, P \cup \{C\}, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow GC \text{state } (\{\}, \{\}, P, \{C\}, A)$

**proof** –

**let**  $?N = \text{state } (\{\}, \{\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**have**  $YY \sqsubseteq L Passive$   
**by** (*simp add: OL-Prec-L-def*)

**moreover have**  $YY \neq Active$   
**by** *simp*

**ultimately have**  $?N \cup \{(C, Passive)\} \rightsquigarrow GC ?N \cup \{(C, YY)\}$   
**using** *relabel-inactive* **by** *auto*

**then show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*metis sup-bot-left state-add-C-Passive state-add-C-YY*)

**qed**

**lemma** *infer-in-GC*:

**assumes** *no-labels.Inf-between*  $A \{C\} \subseteq \text{no-labels.Red-I } (A \cup \{C\} \cup M)$

**shows**  $\text{state } (\{\}, \{\}, P, \{C\}, A) \rightsquigarrow GC \text{state } (M, \{\}, P, \{\}, A \cup \{C\})$

**proof** –

**let**  $?M = \{(C', New) \mid C'. C' \in M\}$

**let**  $?N = \text{state } (\{\}, \{\}, P, \{\}, A)$

**have** *active-subset-of-M*:  $\text{active-subset } ?M = \{\}$   
**using** *active-subset-def* **by** *auto*

**have**  $A \cup \{C\} \cup M \subseteq (\text{fst}' ?N) \cup \{C\} \cup (\text{fst}' ?M)$   
**by** *fastforce*

**then have**  $\text{no-labels.Red-I } (A \cup \{C\} \cup M) \subseteq \text{no-labels.Red-I } ((\text{fst}' ?N) \cup \{C\} \cup (\text{fst}' ?M))$   
**using** *no-labels.empty-ord.Red-I-of-subset* **by** *auto*

**moreover have**  $\text{fst}' (\text{active-subset } ?N) = A$   
**using** *prj-ActiveSubset-of-state* **by** *blast*

**ultimately have**  $\text{no-labels.Inf-between } (\text{fst}' (\text{active-subset } ?N)) \{C\} \subseteq$   
 $\text{no-labels.Red-I } ((\text{fst}' ?N) \cup \{C\} \cup (\text{fst}' ?M))$   
**using** *assms* **by** *auto*

**then have**  $?N \cup \{(C, YY)\} \rightsquigarrow GC ?N \cup \{(C, Active)\} \cup ?M$   
**using** *active-subset-of-M prj-fl-set-to-f-set-distr-union step.infer* **by** *force*

**also have**  $?N \cup \{(C, YY)\} = \text{state } (\{\}, \{\}, P, \{C\}, A)$   
**by** *simp*

**also have**  $?N \cup \{(C, Active)\} \cup ?M = \text{state } (M, \{\}, P, \{\}, A \cup \{C\})$   
**by** *force*

**finally show** *?thesis*  
**by** *simp*

**qed**

**theorem** *OL-step-imp-GC-step*:  $M \rightsquigarrow OL M' \implies M \rightsquigarrow GC M'$

```

proof (induction rule: OL.induct)
  case (choose-n N C P A)
  then show ?case
    using chooseN-in-GC by auto
next
  case (delete-fwd C P A N)
  then show ?case
    using deleteFwd-in-GC by auto
next
  case (simplify-fwd C P A C' N)
  then show ?case
    using simplifyFwd-in-GC by auto
next
  case (delete-bwd-p C' C N P A)
  then show ?case
    using deleteBwdP-in-GC by auto
next
  case (simplify-bwd-p C' C C'' N P A)
  then show ?case
    using simplifyBwdP-in-GC by auto
next
  case (delete-bwd-a C' C N P A)
  then show ?case
    using deleteBwdA-in-GC by auto
next
  case (simplify-bwd-a C' C N P A C'')
  then show ?case
    using simplifyBwdA-in-GC by blast
next
  case (transfer N C P A)
  then show ?case
    using transfer-in-GC by auto
next
  case (choose-p P C A)
  then show ?case
    using chooseP-in-GC by auto
next
  case (infer A C M P)
  then show ?case
    using infer-in-GC by auto
qed

```

### 6.3 Completeness

**theorem**

**assumes**

*ol-chain*:  $\text{chain } (\sim OL) \text{ } Sts$  **and**

*act*:  $\text{active-subset } (lhd \text{ } Sts) = \{\}$  **and**

*pas*:  $\text{passive-subset } (Liminf\text{-}lhd \text{ } Sts) = \{\}$

**shows**

*OL-Liminf-saturated*:  $\text{saturated } (Liminf\text{-}lhd \text{ } Sts)$  **and**

*OL-complete-Liminf*:  $B \in Bot\text{-}F \implies \text{fst } 'lhd \text{ } Sts \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$

$\exists BL \in Bot\text{-}FL. BL \in Liminf\text{-}lhd \text{ } Sts$  **and**

*OL-complete*:  $B \in Bot\text{-}F \implies \text{fst } 'lhd \text{ } Sts \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$

$\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts \wedge (\exists BL \in Bot\text{-}FL. BL \in lnth \text{ } Sts \ i)$

**proof** –

```

have gc-chain: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow GC$ ) Sts
  using ol-chain OL-step-imp-GC-step chain-mono by blast

show saturated (Liminf-list Sts)
  using assms(2) gc.fair-implies-Liminf-saturated gc-chain gc-fair gc-to-red pas by blast

{
  assume
    bot:  $B \in Bot-F$  and
    unsat: fst ‘ lhd Sts  $\models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\}$ 

  show  $\exists BL \in Bot-FL. BL \in Liminf-list\ Sts$ 
    by (rule gc-complete-Liminf[OF gc-chain act pas bot unsat])
  then show  $\exists i. enat\ i < llength\ Sts \wedge (\exists BL \in Bot-FL. BL \in lnth\ Sts\ i)$ 
    unfolding Liminf-list-def by auto
}
qed

end

end

```

## 7 Definition of Fair Otter Loop

The fair Otter loop assumes that the passive queue is fair and ensures (dynamic) refutational completeness under that assumption. This section contains only the loop’s definition.

```

theory Fair-Otter-Loop-Def
  imports
    Otter-Loop
    Prover-Queue
begin

```

### 7.1 Locale

```

type-synonym ('p, 'f) OLf-state = 'f fset  $\times$  'f option  $\times$  'p  $\times$  'f option  $\times$  'f fset

```

```

locale fair-otter-loop =
  otter-loop Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q Red-F-q G-F-q G-I-q Equiv-F Prec-F +
  fair-prover-queue empty select add remove felems
for
  Bot-F :: 'f set and
  Inf-F :: 'f inference set and
  Bot-G :: 'g set and
  Q :: 'q set and
  entails-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  Inf-G-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set and
  Red-I-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set and
  Red-F-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set and
  G-F-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  'g set and
  G-I-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f inference  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set option and
  Equiv-F :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \Rightarrow \rangle$  50) and
  Prec-F :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \prec \cdot \rangle$  50) and
  empty :: 'p and
  select :: 'p  $\Rightarrow$  'f and

```

$add :: 'f \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'p$  **and**  
 $remove :: 'f \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow 'p$  **and**  
 $felems :: 'p \Rightarrow 'f fset +$   
**fixes**  
 $Prec-S :: 'f \Rightarrow 'f \Rightarrow bool$  (**infix**  $\prec S$  50)  
**assumes**  
 $wfp-Prec-S: wfp (\prec S)$  **and**  
 $transp-Prec-S: transp (\prec S)$  **and**  
 $finite-Inf-between: finite A \Longrightarrow finite (no-labels.Inf-between A \{C\})$   
**begin**

**lemma**  $trans-Prec-S: trans \{(x, y). x \prec S y\}$   
**using**  $transp-Prec-S transp-trans$  **by**  $blast$

**lemma**  $irreflp-Prec-S: irreflp (\prec S)$   
**using**  $wfp-imp-irreflp wfp-Prec-S$  **by**  $blast$

**lemma**  $irrefl-Prec-S: irrefl \{(x, y). x \prec S y\}$   
**by** ( $metis CollectD case-prod-conv irrefl-def irreflp-Prec-S irreflp-def$ )

## 7.2 Basic Definitions and Lemmas

**abbreviation**  $new-of :: ('p, 'f) OLf-state \Rightarrow 'f fset$  **where**  
 $new-of St \equiv fst St$

**abbreviation**  $xx-of :: ('p, 'f) OLf-state \Rightarrow 'f option$  **where**  
 $xx-of St \equiv fst (snd St)$

**abbreviation**  $passive-of :: ('p, 'f) OLf-state \Rightarrow 'p$  **where**  
 $passive-of St \equiv fst (snd (snd St))$

**abbreviation**  $yy-of :: ('p, 'f) OLf-state \Rightarrow 'f option$  **where**  
 $yy-of St \equiv fst (snd (snd (snd St)))$

**abbreviation**  $active-of :: ('p, 'f) OLf-state \Rightarrow 'f fset$  **where**  
 $active-of St \equiv snd (snd (snd (snd St)))$

**abbreviation**  $all-formulas-of :: ('p, 'f) OLf-state \Rightarrow 'f set$  **where**  
 $all-formulas-of St \equiv fset (new-of St) \cup set-option (xx-of St) \cup elems (passive-of St) \cup$   
 $set-option (yy-of St) \cup fset (active-of St)$

**fun**  $fstate :: 'f fset \times 'f option \times 'p \times 'f option \times 'f fset \Rightarrow ('f \times OL-label) set$  **where**  
 $fstate (N, X, P, Y, A) = state (fset N, set-option X, elems P, set-option Y, fset A)$

**lemma**  $fstate-alt-def:$   
 $fstate St =$   
 $state (fset (fst St), set-option (fst (snd St)), elems (fst (snd (snd St))),$   
 $set-option (fst (snd (snd (snd St))), fset (snd (snd (snd (snd St))))))$   
**by** ( $cases St$ )  $auto$

**definition**  
 $Liminf-fstate :: ('p, 'f) OLf-state llist \Rightarrow 'f set \times 'f set \times 'f set \times 'f set \times 'f set$   
**where**

$Liminf-fstate Sts =$   
 $(Liminf-llist (lmap (fset \circ new-of) Sts),$   
 $Liminf-llist (lmap (set-option \circ xx-of) Sts),$   
 $Liminf-llist (lmap (elems \circ passive-of) Sts),$   
 $Liminf-llist (lmap (set-option \circ yy-of) Sts),$   
 $Liminf-llist (lmap (fset \circ active-of) Sts))$

**lemma** *Liminf-fstate-commute*:  $\text{Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap fstate } Sts) = \text{state } (\text{Liminf-fstate } Sts)$

**proof** –

**have** *Liminf-llist* ( $\text{lmap fstate } Sts$ ) =  
 $(\lambda C. (C, \text{New})) \text{ ‘Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{fset} \circ \text{new-of}) Sts) \cup$   
 $(\lambda C. (C, \text{XX})) \text{ ‘Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{set-option} \circ \text{xx-of}) Sts) \cup$   
 $(\lambda C. (C, \text{Passive})) \text{ ‘Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{elems} \circ \text{passive-of}) Sts) \cup$   
 $(\lambda C. (C, \text{YY})) \text{ ‘Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{set-option} \circ \text{yy-of}) Sts) \cup$   
 $(\lambda C. (C, \text{Active})) \text{ ‘Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{fset} \circ \text{active-of}) Sts)$   
**unfolding** *fstate-alt-def state-alt-def*  
**apply** (*subst Liminf-llist-lmap-union, fast*) +  
**apply** (*subst Liminf-llist-lmap-image, simp add: inj-on-convol-ident*) +  
**by** *auto*  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**unfolding** *Liminf-fstate-def* **by** *fastforce*  
**qed**

**fun** *state-union* ::  $'f \text{ set} \times 'f \text{ set} \times 'f \text{ set} \times 'f \text{ set} \times 'f \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'f \text{ set}$  **where**  
*state-union* ( $N, X, P, Y, A$ ) =  $N \cup X \cup P \cup Y \cup A$

**inductive** *fair-OL* ::  $(p, f) \text{ OLf-state} \Rightarrow (p, f) \text{ OLf-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (**infix**  $\langle \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf} \rangle$  50) **where**  
*choose-n*:  $C \notin N \Longrightarrow (N \cup \{C\}, \text{None}, P, \text{None}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (N, \text{Some } C, P, \text{None}, A)$   
| *delete-fwd*:  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } (\text{elems } P \cup \text{fset } A) \vee (\exists C' \in \text{elems } P \cup \text{fset } A. C' \preceq C) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(N, \text{Some } C, P, \text{None}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (N, \text{None}, P, \text{None}, A)$   
| *simplify-fwd*:  $C' \prec_S C \Longrightarrow C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } (\text{elems } P \cup \text{fset } A \cup \{C'\}) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(N, \text{Some } C, P, \text{None}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (N, \text{Some } C', P, \text{None}, A)$   
| *delete-bwd-p*:  $C' \in \text{elems } P \Longrightarrow C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C\} \vee C \prec C' \Longrightarrow$   
 $(N, \text{Some } C, P, \text{None}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (N, \text{Some } C, \text{remove } C' P, \text{None}, A)$   
| *simplify-bwd-p*:  $C'' \prec_S C' \Longrightarrow C' \in \text{elems } P \Longrightarrow C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C, C''\} \Longrightarrow$   
 $(N, \text{Some } C, P, \text{None}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (N \cup \{C''\}, \text{Some } C, \text{remove } C' P, \text{None}, A)$   
| *delete-bwd-a*:  $C' \notin A \Longrightarrow C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C\} \vee C \prec C' \Longrightarrow$   
 $(N, \text{Some } C, P, \text{None}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (N, \text{Some } C, P, \text{None}, A)$   
| *simplify-bwd-a*:  $C'' \prec_S C' \Longrightarrow C' \notin A \Longrightarrow C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C, C''\} \Longrightarrow$   
 $(N, \text{Some } C, P, \text{None}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (N \cup \{C''\}, \text{Some } C, P, \text{None}, A)$   
| *transfer*:  $(N, \text{Some } C, P, \text{None}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (N, \text{None}, \text{add } C P, \text{None}, A)$   
| *choose-p*:  $P \neq \text{empty} \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\{\}, \text{None}, P, \text{None}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (\{\}, \text{None}, \text{remove } (\text{select } P) P, \text{Some } (\text{select } P), A)$   
| *infer*:  $\text{no-labels.Inf-between } (\text{fset } A) \{C\} \subseteq \text{no-labels.Red-I } (\text{fset } A \cup \{C\} \cup \text{fset } M) \Longrightarrow$   
 $(\{\}, \text{None}, P, \text{Some } C, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (M, \text{None}, P, \text{None}, A \cup \{C\})$

### 7.3 Initial State and Invariant

**inductive** *is-initial-OLf-state* ::  $(p, f) \text{ OLf-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
*is-initial-OLf-state* ( $N, \text{None}, \text{empty}, \text{None}, \{\}$ )

**inductive** *OLf-invariant* ::  $(p, f) \text{ OLf-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $(N = \{\} \wedge X = \text{None}) \vee Y = \text{None} \Longrightarrow \text{OLf-invariant } (N, X, P, Y, A)$

**lemma** *initial-OLf-invariant*:  $\text{is-initial-OLf-state } St \Longrightarrow \text{OLf-invariant } St$   
**unfolding** *is-initial-OLf-state.simps OLf-invariant.simps* **by** *auto*

**lemma** *step-OLf-invariant*:  
**assumes** *step*:  $St \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } St'$   
**shows** *OLf-invariant*  $St'$   
**using** *step* **by** *cases (auto intro: OLf-invariant.intros)*

**lemma** *chain-OLf-invariant-lnth*:

```

assumes
  chain: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ OLf) Sts and
  fair-hd: OLf-invariant (lhd Sts) and
  i-lt: enat i < llength Sts
shows OLf-invariant (lnth Sts i)
using i-lt
proof (induct i)
  case 0
  thus ?case
    using fair-hd lhd-conv-lnth zero-enat-def by fastforce
next
  case (Suc i)
  thus ?case
    using chain chain-lnth-rel step-OLf-invariant by blast
qed

```

**lemma** chain-OLf-invariant-llast:

```

assumes
  chain: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ OLf) Sts and
  fair-hd: OLf-invariant (lhd Sts) and
  fin: lfinite Sts
shows OLf-invariant (llast Sts)
proof –
  obtain i :: nat where
    i: llength Sts = enat i
    using lfinite-llength-enat[OF fin] by blast

  have im1-lt: enat (i - 1) < llength Sts
    using i by (metis chain chain-length-pos diff-less enat-ord-simps(2) less-numeral-extra(1)
      zero-enat-def)

  show ?thesis
    using chain-OLf-invariant-lnth[OF chain fair-hd im1-lt]
    by (metis Suc-diff-1 chain chain-length-pos eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(2) i llast-conv-lnth
      zero-enat-def)
qed

```

## 7.4 Final State

**inductive** is-final-OLf-state :: ('p, 'f) OLf-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 is-final-OLf-state ({}|}, None, empty, None, A)

**lemma** is-final-OLf-state-iff-no-OLf-step:

```

assumes inv: OLf-invariant St
shows is-final-OLf-state St  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall$  St'.  $\neg$  St  $\rightsquigarrow$ OLf St')
proof
  assume is-final-OLf-state St
  then obtain A :: 'f fset where
    st: St = ({}|}, None, empty, None, A)
    by (auto simp: is-final-OLf-state.simps)
  show  $\forall$  St'.  $\neg$  St  $\rightsquigarrow$ OLf St'
    unfolding st
  proof (intro allI notI)
    fix St'
    assume ({}|}, None, empty, None, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$ OLf St'
    thus False

```

```

    by cases auto
qed
next
assume no-step:  $\forall St'. \neg St \rightsquigarrow OLf St'$ 
show is-final-OLf-state St
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume not-fin:  $\neg is-final-OLf-state St$ 

  obtain N A :: 'f fset and X Y :: 'f option and P :: 'p where
    st:  $St = (N, X, P, Y, A)$ 
  by (cases St)

  have inv':  $(N = \{\}\ \wedge X = None) \vee Y = None$ 
  using inv st OLf-invariant.simps by simp

  have  $N \neq \{\} \vee X \neq None \vee P \neq empty \vee Y \neq None$ 
  using not-fin unfolding st is-final-OLf-state.simps by auto
  moreover {
    assume
      n:  $N \neq \{\}$  and
      x:  $X = None$ 

    obtain N' :: 'f fset and C :: 'f where
      n':  $N = N' \cup \{C\}$  and
      c-ni:  $C \notin N'$ 
    using n finset-is-union by blast
    have y:  $Y = None$ 
    using n x inv' by meson

    have  $\exists St'. St \rightsquigarrow OLf St'$ 
    using fair-OL.choose-n[OF c-ni] unfolding st n' x y by fast
  } moreover {
    assume  $X \neq None$ 
    then obtain C :: 'f where
      x:  $X = Some C$ 
    by blast

    have y:  $Y = None$ 
    using x inv' by auto

    have  $\exists St'. St \rightsquigarrow OLf St'$ 
    using fair-OL.transfer unfolding st x y by fast
  } moreover {
    assume
      p:  $P \neq empty$  and
      n:  $N = \{\}$  and
      x:  $X = None$  and
      y:  $Y = None$ 

    have  $\exists St'. St \rightsquigarrow OLf St'$ 
    using fair-OL.choose-p[OF p] unfolding st n x y by fast
  } moreover {
    assume  $Y \neq None$ 
    then obtain C :: 'f where
      y:  $Y = Some C$ 

```



```

by blast

have  $n: N = \{\|\}$  and
   $x: X = \text{None}$ 
  using  $y \text{ inv}'$  by blast+

let  $?M = \text{concl-of } \text{'no-labels.Inf-between (fset A) \{C\}}$ 

have  $\text{fin}: \text{finite } ?M$ 
  by (simp add: finite-Inf-between)
have  $\text{fset-abs-m}: \text{fset (Abs-fset } ?M) = ?M$ 
  by (rule Abs-fset-inverse[simplified, OF fin])

have  $\text{inf-red}: \text{no-labels.Inf-between (fset A) \{C\}}$ 
   $\subseteq \text{no-labels.Red-I-}\mathcal{G} (\text{fset A} \cup \{C\} \cup \text{fset (Abs-fset } ?M))$ 
  by (simp add: fset-abs-m no-labels.Inf-if-Inf-between no-labels.empty-ord.Red-I-of-Inf-to-N subsetI)

have  $\exists St'. St \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } St'$ 
  using fair-OL.infer[OF inf-red] unfolding  $st \ n \ x \ y$  by fast
} ultimately show False
  using no-step by force
qed
qed

```

## 7.5 Refinement

**lemma** *fair-OL-step-imp-OL-step*:

```

assumes  $\text{olf}: (N, X, P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (N', X', P', Y', A')$ 
shows  $\text{fstate } (N, X, P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{OL fstate } (N', X', P', Y', A')$ 
using olf
proof cases
  case (choose-n C)
    note  $\text{defs} = \text{this}(1-\gamma)$  and  $c\text{-ni} = \text{this}(8)$ 
    show  $?thesis$ 
    unfolding  $\text{defs fstate.simps option.set}$  using OL.choose-n c-ni by simp
  next
    case (delete-fwd C)
      note  $\text{defs} = \text{this}(1-\gamma)$  and  $c\text{-red} = \text{this}(8)$ 
      show  $?thesis$ 
      unfolding  $\text{defs fstate.simps option.set}$  by (rule OL.delete-fwd[OF c-red])
    next
      case (simplify-fwd C' C)
        note  $\text{defs} = \text{this}(1-\gamma)$  and  $c\text{-red} = \text{this}(9)$ 
        show  $?thesis$ 
        unfolding  $\text{defs fstate.simps option.set}$  by (rule OL.simplify-fwd[OF c-red])
      next
        case (delete-bwd-p C' C)
          note  $\text{defs} = \text{this}(1-\gamma)$  and  $c'\text{-in-p} = \text{this}(8)$  and  $c'\text{-red} = \text{this}(9)$ 

have  $p\text{-rm-}c'\text{-uni-}c': \text{elems (remove } C' P) \cup \{C'\} = \text{elems } P$ 
  unfolding felems-remove by (auto intro: c'-in-p)
have  $p\text{-mns-}c': \text{elems } P - \{C'\} = \text{elems (remove } C' P)$ 
  unfolding felems-remove by auto

show  $?thesis$ 

```

```

    unfolding defs fstate.simps option.set
    by (rule OL.delete-bwd-p[OF c'-red, of - elems P - {C'}],
        unfolded p-rm-c'-uni-c' p-mns-c')
next
case (simplify-bwd-p C'' C' C)
note defs = this(1-7) and c'-in-p = this(9) and c'-red = this(10)

have p-rm-c'-uni-c': elems (remove C' P) ∪ {C'} = elems P
  unfolding felems-remove by (auto intro: c'-in-p)
have p-mns-c': elems P - {C'} = elems (remove C' P)
  unfolding felems-remove by auto

show ?thesis
  unfolding defs fstate.simps option.set
  using OL.simplify-bwd-p[OF c'-red, of fset N elems P - {C'}],
    unfolded p-rm-c'-uni-c' p-mns-c']
  by simp
next
case (delete-bwd-a C' C)
note defs = this(1-7) and c'-red = this(9)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs fstate.simps option.set using OL.delete-bwd-a[OF c'-red] by simp
next
case (simplify-bwd-a C' C'' C)
note defs = this(1-7) and c'-red = this(10)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs fstate.simps option.set using OL.simplify-bwd-a[OF c'-red] by simp
next
case (transfer C)
note defs = this(1-7)

have p-uni-c: elems P ∪ {C} = elems (add C P)
  using felems-add by auto

show ?thesis
  unfolding defs fstate.simps option.set
  by (rule OL.transfer[of - C elems P, unfolded p-uni-c])
next
case choose-p
note defs = this(1-8) and p-nemp = this(9)

have sel-ni-rm: select P ∉ elems (remove (select P) P)
  unfolding felems-remove by auto

have rm-sel-uni-sel: elems (remove (select P) P) ∪ {select P} = elems P
  unfolding felems-remove using p-nemp select-in-felems
  by (metis Un-insert-right finsert.rep-eq finsert-fminus sup-bot-right)

show ?thesis
  unfolding defs fstate.simps option.set
  using OL.choose-p[of select P elems (remove (select P) P), OF sel-ni-rm,
    unfolded rm-sel-uni-sel]
  by simp
next
case (infer C)

```

```

note defs = this(1-7) and infers = this(8)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs fstate.simps option.set using OL.infer[OF infers] by simp
qed

```

```

lemma fair-OL-step-imp-GC-step:
  (N, X, P, Y, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$  OLf (N', X', P', Y', A')  $\implies$ 
  fstate (N, X, P, Y, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$  GC fstate (N', X', P', Y', A')
  by (rule OL-step-imp-GC-step[OF fair-OL-step-imp-OL-step])

```

**end**

**end**

## 8 iProver Loop

The iProver loop is a variant of the Otter loop that supports the elimination of clauses that are made redundant by their own children.

```

theory iProver-Loop
  imports Otter-Loop
begin

```

```

context otter-loop
begin

```

### 8.1 Definition

```

inductive IL :: ('f  $\times$  OL-label) set  $\Rightarrow$  ('f  $\times$  OL-label) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \rightsquigarrow$  IL  $\rangle$  50)
where
  ol: St  $\rightsquigarrow$  OL St'  $\implies$  St  $\rightsquigarrow$  IL St'
| red-by-children: C  $\in$  no-labels.Red-F (A  $\cup$  M)  $\vee$  (M = {C'}  $\wedge$  C'  $\prec$  C)  $\implies$ 
  state ({}, {}, P, {C}, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$  IL state (M, {}, P, {}, A)

```

### 8.2 Refinement

```

lemma red-by-children-in-GC:
  assumes C  $\in$  no-labels.Red-F (A  $\cup$  M)  $\vee$  (M = {C'}  $\wedge$  C'  $\prec$  C)
  shows state ({}, {}, P, {C}, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$  GC state (M, {}, P, {}, A)

```

**proof** –

```

let ?N = state ({}, {}, P, {}, A)
and ?St = {(C, YY)}
and ?St' = {(x, New) | x. x  $\in$  M}

```

```

have (C, YY)  $\in$  Red-F (?N  $\cup$  ?St')
  using assms

```

**proof**

```

assume c-in: C  $\in$  no-labels.Red-F (A  $\cup$  M)

```

```

have A  $\cup$  M  $\subseteq$  A  $\cup$  M  $\cup$  P by auto

```

```

also have fst ' (?N  $\cup$  ?St') = A  $\cup$  M  $\cup$  P

```

```

  by auto

```

```

then have C  $\in$  no-labels.Red-F (fst ' (?N  $\cup$  ?St'))

```

```

  by (metis (no-types, lifting) c-in calculation in-mono no-labels.Red-F-of-subset)

```

```

then show (C, YY)  $\in$  Red-F (?N  $\cup$  ?St')

```

```

  using no-labels.Red-F-imp-Red-F by blast

```

**next**  
**assume**  $assm: M = \{C'\} \wedge C' \prec C$   
**then have**  $C' \in fst \text{ ' } (?N \cup ?St')$   
**by** *simp*  
**then show**  $(C, YY) \in Red-F (?N \cup ?St')$   
**by** (*metis (mono-tags) assm succ-F-imp-Red-F*)  
**qed**  
**then have** *St-included-in*:  $?St \subseteq Red-F (?N \cup ?St')$   
**by** *auto*

**have** *prj-of-active-subset-of-St'*:  $fst \text{ ' } (active-subset ?St') = \{\}$   
**by** (*simp add: active-subset-def*)

**have**  $?N \cup ?St \rightsquigarrow_{GC} ?N \cup ?St'$   
**using** *process[of - ?N ?St - ?St'] St-included-in prj-of-active-subset-of-St'* **by** *auto*  
**moreover have**  $?N \cup ?St = state (\{\}, \{\}, P, \{C\}, A)$   
**by** *simp*  
**moreover have**  $?N \cup ?St' = state (M, \{\}, P, \{\}, A)$   
**by** *auto*  
**ultimately show**  $state (\{\}, \{\}, P, \{C\}, A) \rightsquigarrow_{GC} state (M, \{\}, P, \{\}, A)$   
**by** *simp*  
**qed**

**theorem** *IL-step-imp-GC-step*:  $M \rightsquigarrow_{IL} M' \implies M \rightsquigarrow_{GC} M'$   
**proof** (*induction rule: IL.induct*)  
**case** (*ol St St'*)  
**then show** *?case*  
**by** (*simp add: OL-step-imp-GC-step*)  
**next**  
**case** (*red-by-children C A M C' P*)  
**then show** *?case* **using** *red-by-children-in-GC*  
**by** *auto*  
**qed**

### 8.3 Completeness

**theorem**  
**assumes**  
*il-chain*:  $chain (\rightsquigarrow_{IL}) Sts$  **and**  
*act*:  $active-subset (lhd Sts) = \{\}$  **and**  
*pas*:  $passive-subset (Liminf-list Sts) = \{\}$   
**shows**  
*IL-Liminf-saturated*:  $saturated (Liminf-list Sts)$  **and**  
*IL-complete-Liminf*:  $B \in Bot-F \implies fst \text{ ' } lhd Sts \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$   
 $\exists BL \in Bot-FL. BL \in Liminf-list Sts$  **and**  
*IL-complete*:  $B \in Bot-F \implies fst \text{ ' } lhd Sts \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$   
 $\exists i. enat i < llength Sts \wedge (\exists BL \in Bot-FL. BL \in lnth Sts i)$   
**proof** –  
**have** *gc-chain*:  $chain (\rightsquigarrow_{GC}) Sts$   
**using** *il-chain IL-step-imp-GC-step chain-mono* **by** *blast*

**show** *saturated (Liminf-list Sts)*  
**using** *gc.fair-implies-Liminf-saturated gc-chain gc-fair gc-to-red act pas* **by** *blast*

**{**  
**assume**

```

    bot:  $B \in \text{Bot-}F$  and
    unsat:  $\text{fst } \text{‘ lhd } Sts \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\}$ 

    show  $\exists BL \in \text{Bot-}FL. BL \in \text{Liminf-llist } Sts$ 
    by (rule gc-complete-Liminf[OF gc-chain act pas bot unsat])
    then show  $\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts \wedge (\exists BL \in \text{Bot-}FL. BL \in \text{lth } Sts \ i)$ 
    unfolding Liminf-llist-def by auto
  }
qed

end

end

```

## 9 Fair iProver Loop

The fair iProver loop assumes that the passive queue is fair and ensures (dynamic) refutational completeness under that assumption. From this completeness proof, we also easily derive (in a separate section) the completeness of the Otter loop.

```

theory Fair-iProver-Loop
imports
  Given-Clause-Loops-Util
  Fair-Otter-Loop-Def
  iProver-Loop
begin

```

### 9.1 Locale

```

context fair-otter-loop
begin

```

### 9.2 Basic Definition

```

inductive fair-IL :: ('p, 'f) OLf-state  $\Rightarrow$  ('p, 'f) OLf-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \rightsquigarrow ILf \rangle$  50) where
  ol:  $St \rightsquigarrow OLf St' \Longrightarrow St \rightsquigarrow ILf St'$ 
| red-by-children:  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-}F \ (fset \ A \cup fset \ M) \vee fset \ M = \{C'\} \wedge C' \prec \cdot C \Longrightarrow$ 
   $(\{\|\}, None, P, \text{Some } C, A) \rightsquigarrow ILf (M, None, P, None, A)$ 

```

### 9.3 Initial State and Invariant

```

lemma step-ILf-invariant:
  assumes  $St \rightsquigarrow ILf St'$ 
  shows OLf-invariant  $St'$ 
  using assms
proof cases
  case ol
  then show ?thesis
    using step-OLf-invariant by auto
next
  case (red-by-children  $C \ A \ M \ C' \ P$ )
  then show ?thesis
    using OLf-invariant.intros by presburger
qed

```

**lemma** *chain-ILf-invariant-lnth*:  
**assumes**  
*chain*:  $\text{chain } (\rightsquigarrow \text{ILf}) \text{ } Sts$  **and**  
*fair-hd*:  $\text{OLf-invariant } (\text{lhd } Sts)$  **and**  
*i-lt*:  $\text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts$   
**shows**  $\text{OLf-invariant } (\text{lnth } Sts \ i)$   
**using** *i-lt*  
**proof** (*induct i*)  
**case** *0*  
**thus** *?case*  
**using** *fair-hd lhd-conv-lnth zero-enat-def* **by** *fastforce*  
**next**  
**case** (*Suc i*)  
**thus** *?case*  
**using** *chain chain-lnth-rel step-ILf-invariant* **by** *blast*  
**qed**

**lemma** *chain-ILf-invariant-llast*:  
**assumes**  
*chain*:  $\text{chain } (\rightsquigarrow \text{ILf}) \text{ } Sts$  **and**  
*fair-hd*:  $\text{OLf-invariant } (\text{lhd } Sts)$  **and**  
*fin*:  $\text{lfinite } Sts$   
**shows**  $\text{OLf-invariant } (\text{llast } Sts)$   
**proof** –  
**obtain** *i :: nat* **where**  
*i*:  $\text{llength } Sts = \text{enat } i$   
**using** *lfinite-llength-enat[OF fin]* **by** *blast*  
  
**have** *im1-lt*:  $\text{enat } (i - 1) < \text{llength } Sts$   
**using** *i* **by** (*metis chain chain-length-pos diff-less enat-ord-simps(2) less-numeral-extra(1) zero-enat-def*)  
  
**show** *?thesis*  
**using** *chain-ILf-invariant-lnth[OF chain fair-hd im1-lt]*  
**by** (*metis Suc-diff-1 chain chain-length-pos eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(2) i llast-conv-lnth zero-enat-def*)  
**qed**

## 9.4 Final State

**lemma** *is-final-OLf-state-iff-no-ILf-step*:  
**assumes** *inv*:  $\text{OLf-invariant } St$   
**shows**  $\text{is-final-OLf-state } St \iff (\forall St'. \neg St \rightsquigarrow \text{ILf } St')$   
**proof**  
**assume** *final*:  $\text{is-final-OLf-state } St$   
**then obtain** *A :: 'f fset* **where**  
*st*:  $St = (\{\!\|\}, \text{None}, \text{empty}, \text{None}, A)$   
**by** (*auto simp: is-final-OLf-state.simps*)  
**show**  $\forall St'. \neg St \rightsquigarrow \text{ILf } St'$   
**unfolding** *st*  
**proof** (*intro allI notI*)  
**fix** *St'*  
**assume**  $(\{\!\|\}, \text{None}, \text{empty}, \text{None}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{ILf } St'$   
**thus** *False*  
**proof** *cases*  
**case** *ol*

```

    then show False
      using final st is-final-OLf-state-iff-no-OLf-step[OF inv] by blast
  qed
qed
next
  assume  $\forall St'. \neg St \rightsquigarrow_{ILf} St'$ 
  hence  $\forall St'. \neg St \rightsquigarrow_{OLf} St'$ 
    using fair-IL.ol by blast
  thus is-final-OLf-state St
    using inv is-final-OLf-state-iff-no-OLf-step by blast
qed

```

## 9.5 Refinement

**lemma** *fair-IL-step-imp-IL-step*:

```

  assumes ilf:  $(N, X, P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow_{ILf} (N', X', P', Y', A')$ 
  shows fstate  $(N, X, P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow_{IL} \text{fstate } (N', X', P', Y', A')$ 
  using ilf

```

**proof** *cases*

**case** *ol*

**note** *olf* = *this(1)*

```

  have ol: fstate  $(N, X, P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow_{OL} \text{fstate } (N', X', P', Y', A')$ 
    by (rule fair-OL-step-imp-OL-step[OF olf])

```

**show** *?thesis*

by (rule *IL.ol[OF ol]*)

**next**

**case** (*red-by-children C C'*)

**note** *defs* = *this(1-7)* **and** *c-in* = *this(8)*

```

  have il: state  $(\{\}, \{\}, \text{elems } P, \{C\}, \text{fset } A) \rightsquigarrow_{IL} \text{state } (\text{fset } N', \{\}, \text{elems } P, \{\}, \text{fset } A)$ 
    by (rule IL.red-by-children[OF c-in])

```

**show** *?thesis*

unfolding *defs* using *il* by *auto*

**qed**

**lemma** *fair-IL-step-imp-GC-step*:

```

 $(N, X, P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow_{ILf} (N', X', P', Y', A') \implies$ 
fstate  $(N, X, P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow_{GC} \text{fstate } (N', X', P', Y', A')$ 
  by (rule IL-step-imp-GC-step[OF fair-IL-step-imp-IL-step])

```

## 9.6 Completeness

**fun** *mset-of-fstate* ::  $(p, 'f)$  *OLf-state*  $\Rightarrow$   $'f$  *multiset* **where**

```

mset-of-fstate  $(N, X, P, Y, A) =$ 
  mset-set  $(\text{fset } N) + \text{mset-set } (\text{set-option } X) + \text{mset-set } (\text{elems } P) + \text{mset-set } (\text{set-option } Y) +$ 
  mset-set  $(\text{fset } A)$ 

```

**abbreviation** *Precprec-S* ::  $'f$  *multiset*  $\Rightarrow$   $'f$  *multiset*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* (**infix**  $\prec\prec S$  50) **where**

$\prec\prec S \equiv \text{multp } (\prec S)$

**lemma** *wfP-Precprec-S*: *wfP*  $(\prec\prec S)$

using *wfp-multp wfp-Prec-S* by *blast*

**definition** *Less1-state* ::  $(p, 'f)$  *OLf-state*  $\Rightarrow$   $(p, 'f)$  *OLf-state*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* (**infix**  $\sqsubset 1$  50) **where**

$St' \sqsubset 1 St \iff$

```

mset-of-fstate  $St' \prec\prec S \text{mset-of-fstate } St$ 
 $\vee (\text{mset-of-fstate } St' = \text{mset-of-fstate } St)$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned} & \wedge (mset\text{-}set (fset (new\text{-}of St')) \prec\prec S mset\text{-}set (fset (new\text{-}of St)) \\ & \quad \vee (mset\text{-}set (fset (new\text{-}of St')) = mset\text{-}set (fset (new\text{-}of St)) \\ & \quad \wedge mset\text{-}set (set\text{-}option (xx\text{-}of St')) \prec\prec S mset\text{-}set (set\text{-}option (xx\text{-}of St)))) \end{aligned}$$

**lemma** *wfP-Less1-state*: *wfP* ( $\square 1$ )

**proof** –

**let** *?msetset* =  $\{(M', M). M' \prec\prec S M\}$

**let** *?triple-of* =

$\lambda St. (mset\text{-}of\text{-}fst\text{-}state St, mset\text{-}set (fset (new\text{-}of St)), mset\text{-}set (set\text{-}option (xx\text{-}of St)))$

**have** *wf-msetset*: *wf* *?msetset*

**using** *wfP-Precprec-S wfp-def* **by** *auto*

**have** *wf-lex-prod*: *wf* (*?msetset*  $\langle *lex* \rangle$  *?msetset*  $\langle *lex* \rangle$  *?msetset*)

**by** (*rule wfp-lex-prod[OF wf-msetset wf-lex-prod[OF wf-msetset wf-msetset]]*)

**have** *Less1-state-alt-def*:  $\bigwedge St' St. St' \square 1 St \longleftrightarrow$

$(?triple\text{-}of St', ?triple\text{-}of St) \in ?msetset \langle *lex* \rangle ?msetset \langle *lex* \rangle ?msetset$

**unfolding** *Less1-state-def* **by** *simp*

**show** *?thesis*

**unfolding** *wfp-def Less1-state-alt-def* **using** *wf-app[of - ?triple-of]* *wf-lex-prod* **by** *blast*

**qed**

**definition** *Less2-state* ::  $(p, f)$  *OLf-state*  $\Rightarrow$   $(p, f)$  *OLf-state*  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* (**infix**  $\langle \square 2 \rangle$  50) **where**

*St'  $\square 2$  St*  $\equiv$

$mset\text{-}set (set\text{-}option (yy\text{-}of St')) \prec\prec S mset\text{-}set (set\text{-}option (yy\text{-}of St))$

$\vee (mset\text{-}set (set\text{-}option (yy\text{-}of St')) = mset\text{-}set (set\text{-}option (yy\text{-}of St))$

$\wedge St' \square 1 St)$

**lemma** *wfP-Less2-state*: *wfP* ( $\square 2$ )

**proof** –

**let** *?msetset* =  $\{(M', M). M' \prec\prec S M\}$

**let** *?stateset* =  $\{(St', St). St' \square 1 St\}$

**let** *?pair-of* =  $\lambda St. (mset\text{-}set (set\text{-}option (yy\text{-}of St)), St)$

**have** *wf-msetset*: *wf* *?msetset*

**using** *wfP-Precprec-S wfp-def* **by** *auto*

**have** *wf-stateset*: *wf* *?stateset*

**using** *wfP-Less1-state wfp-def* **by** *auto*

**have** *wf-lex-prod*: *wf* (*?msetset*  $\langle *lex* \rangle$  *?stateset*)

**by** (*rule wfp-lex-prod[OF wf-msetset wf-stateset]*)

**have** *Less2-state-alt-def*:

$\bigwedge St' St. St' \square 2 St \longleftrightarrow (?pair\text{-}of St', ?pair\text{-}of St) \in ?msetset \langle *lex* \rangle ?stateset$

**unfolding** *Less2-state-def* **by** *simp*

**show** *?thesis*

**unfolding** *wfp-def Less2-state-alt-def* **using** *wf-app[of - ?pair-of]* *wf-lex-prod* **by** *blast*

**qed**

**lemma** *fair-IL-Liminf-yy-empty*:

**assumes**

*full*: *full-chain*  $(\rightsquigarrow ILf)$  *Sts* **and**

*inv*: *OLf-invariant* (*lhd Sts*)

**shows** *Liminf-llist* (*lmap* (*set-option*  $\circ$  *yy-of*) *Sts*) =  $\{\}$



```

proof (rule ccontr)
  assume lim-nemp: Liminf-llist (lmap (set-option  $\circ$  yy-of) Sts)  $\neq$  {}

  have chain: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ILf) Sts
    by (rule full-chain-imp-chain[OF full])

  obtain i :: nat where
    i-lt: enat i < llength Sts and
    inter-nemp:  $\bigcap$  ((set-option  $\circ$  yy-of  $\circ$  lnth Sts) ‘ {j. i  $\leq$  j  $\wedge$  enat j < llength Sts})  $\neq$  {}
    using lim-nemp unfolding Liminf-llist-def by auto

  have inv-at-i: OLf-invariant (lnth Sts i)
    by (simp add: chain chain-ILf-invariant-lnth i-lt inv)

  from inter-nemp obtain C :: 'f where
    c-in:  $\forall P \in$  lnth Sts ‘ {j. i  $\leq$  j  $\wedge$  enat j < llength Sts}. C  $\in$  set-option (yy-of P)
    by auto
  hence c-in':  $\forall j \geq i$ . enat j < llength Sts  $\longrightarrow$  C  $\in$  set-option (yy-of (lnth Sts j))
    by auto

  have yy-at-i: yy-of (lnth Sts i) = Some C
    using c-in' i-lt by blast
  have new-at-i: new-of (lnth Sts i) = {} and
    xx-at-i: new-of (lnth Sts i) = {}
    using yy-at-i chain-ILf-invariant-lnth[OF chain inv i-lt]
    by (force simp: OLf-invariant.simps)+

  have  $\exists St'$ . lnth Sts i  $\rightsquigarrow$ ILf St'
    using is-final-OLf-state-iff-no-ILf-step[OF inv-at-i]
    by (metis fst-conv is-final-OLf-state.cases option.simps(3) snd-conv yy-at-i)
  hence si-lt: enat (Suc i) < llength Sts
    by (metis Suc-ile-eq full full-chain-lnth-not-rel i-lt order-le-imp-less-or-eq)

  obtain P :: 'p and A :: 'f fset where
    at-i: lnth Sts i = ({} , None, P, Some C, A)
    using OLf-invariant.simps inv-at-i yy-at-i by auto

  have lnth Sts i  $\rightsquigarrow$ ILf lnth Sts (Suc i)
    by (simp add: chain chain-lnth-rel si-lt)
  hence ({} , None, P, Some C, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$ ILf lnth Sts (Suc i)
    unfolding at-i .
  hence yy-of (lnth Sts (Suc i)) = None
  proof cases
    case ol
      then show ?thesis
        by cases simp
    next
      case (red-by-children M C')
        then show ?thesis
          by simp
  qed
  thus False
    using c-in' si-lt by simp
  qed

```

**lemma** *xx-nonempty-OLf-step-imp-Precprec-S*:

**assumes**

*step*:  $St \rightsquigarrow OLf\ St'$  **and**

*xx*:  $xx\text{-of}\ St \neq None$  **and**

*xx'*:  $xx\text{-of}\ St' \neq None$

**shows**  $mset\text{-of}\text{-fstate}\ St' \prec\prec_S mset\text{-of}\text{-fstate}\ St$

**using** *step*

**proof** *cases*

**case** (*simplify-fwd*  $C' C P A N$ )

**note** *defs* = *this*(1,2) **and** *prec* = *this*(3)

**have** *aft*:  $add\text{-mset}\ C' (mset\text{-set}\ (fset\ N) + mset\text{-set}\ (elems\ P) + mset\text{-set}\ (fset\ A)) =$   
 $mset\text{-set}\ (fset\ N) + mset\text{-set}\ (elems\ P) + mset\text{-set}\ (fset\ A) + \{\#C'\#\}$

(**is** *?old-aft* = *?new-aft*)

**by** *auto*

**have** *bef*:  $add\text{-mset}\ C (mset\text{-set}\ (fset\ N) + mset\text{-set}\ (elems\ P) + mset\text{-set}\ (fset\ A)) =$   
 $mset\text{-set}\ (fset\ N) + mset\text{-set}\ (elems\ P) + mset\text{-set}\ (fset\ A) + \{\#C\#\}$

(**is** *?old-bef* = *?new-bef*)

**by** *auto*

**have** *?new-aft*  $\prec\prec_S$  *?new-bef*

**unfolding** *multp-def*

**proof** (*subst mult-cancelL*[*OF trans-Prec-S irrefl-Prec-S*], *fold multp-def*)

**show**  $\{\#C'\#\} \prec\prec_S \{\#C\#\}$

**by** (*simp add: multp-def prec singletons-in-mult*)

**qed**

**hence** *?old-aft*  $\prec\prec_S$  *?old-bef*

**unfolding** *bef aft* .

**thus** *?thesis*

**unfolding** *defs* **by** *auto*

**next**

**case** (*delete-bwd-p*  $C' P C N A$ )

**note** *defs* = *this*(1,2) **and** *c'-in* = *this*(3)

**have**  $mset\text{-set}\ (elems\ P - \{C'\}) \subset\# mset\text{-set}\ (elems\ P)$

**by** (*metis Diff-iff c'-in finite-fset finite-set-mset-mset-set elems-remove insertCI*

*insert-Diff subset-imp-msubset-mset-set subset-insertI subset-mset.less-le*)

**thus** *?thesis*

**unfolding** *defs* **using** *c'-in*

**by** (*auto simp: elems-remove intro!: subset-implies-multp*)

**next**

**case** (*simplify-bwd-p*  $C'' C' P C N A$ )

**note** *defs* = *this*(1,2) **and** *prec* = *this*(3) **and** *c'-in* = *this*(4)

**let** *?old-aft* =  $add\text{-mset}\ C (mset\text{-set}\ (insert\ C'' (fset\ N)) + mset\text{-set}\ (elems\ (remove\ C'\ P)) +$   
 $mset\text{-set}\ (fset\ A))$

**let** *?old-bef* =  $add\text{-mset}\ C (mset\text{-set}\ (fset\ N) + mset\text{-set}\ (elems\ P) + mset\text{-set}\ (fset\ A))$

**have** *?old-aft*  $\prec\prec_S$  *?old-bef*

**proof** (*cases*  $C'' \in fset\ N$ )

**case** *c''-in*: *True*

**have**  $mset\text{-set}\ (elems\ P - \{C'\}) \subset\# mset\text{-set}\ (elems\ P)$

**by** (*metis c'-in finite-fset mset-set.remove multi-psub-of-add-self*)

**thus** *?thesis*

**unfolding** *defs*

```

  by (auto simp: elems-remove insert-absorb[OF c''-in] intro!: subset-implies-multip)
next
case c''-ni: False

have aft: ?old-aft = add-mset C (mset-set (fset N) + mset-set (elems (remove C' P)) +
  mset-set (fset A)) + {#C''#}
  (is - = ?new-aft)
  using c''-ni by auto
have bef: ?old-bef = add-mset C (mset-set (fset N) + mset-set (elems (remove C' P)) +
  mset-set (fset A)) + {#C'#}
  (is - = ?new-bef)
  using c'-in by (auto simp: elems-remove mset-set.remove)

have ?new-aft <-<S ?new-bef
  unfolding multp-def
proof (subst mult-cancelL[OF trans-Prec-S irrefl-Prec-S], fold multp-def)
  show {#C''#} <-<S {#C'#}
    unfolding multp-def using prec by (auto intro: singletons-in-mult)
qed
thus ?thesis
  unfolding bef aft .
qed
thus ?thesis
  unfolding defs by auto
next
case (delete-bwd-a C' A C N P)
note defs = this(1,2) and c'-ni = this(3)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs using c'-ni by (auto intro!: subset-implies-multip)
next
case (simplify-bwd-a C'' C' A C N P)
note defs = this(1,2) and prec = this(3) and c'-ni = this(4)

have aft:
  add-mset C (mset-set (insert C'' (fset N)) + mset-set (elems P) + mset-set (fset A)) =
  {#C#} + mset-set (elems P) + mset-set (fset A) + mset-set (insert C'' (fset N))
  (is ?old-aft = ?new-aft)
  by auto
have bef:
  add-mset C' (add-mset C (mset-set (fset N) + mset-set (elems P) + mset-set (fset A))) =
  {#C#} + mset-set (elems P) + mset-set (fset A) + ({#C'#} + mset-set (fset N))
  (is ?old-bef = ?new-bef)
  by auto

have ?new-aft <-<S ?new-bef
  unfolding multp-def
proof (subst mult-cancelL[OF trans-Prec-S irrefl-Prec-S], fold multp-def)
  show mset-set (insert C'' (fset N)) <-<S {#C'#} + mset-set (fset N)
  proof (cases C'' ∈ fset N)
    case True
    hence ins: insert C'' (fset N) = fset N
      by blast
    show ?thesis
      unfolding ins by (auto intro!: subset-implies-multip)
  next

```

```

case  $c''$ -ni: False

have aft:  $mset$ -set (insert  $C''$  (fset  $N$ )) =  $mset$ -set (fset  $N$ ) + {# $C''$ #}
  using  $c''$ -ni by auto
have bef: {# $C'$ #} +  $mset$ -set (fset  $N$ ) =  $mset$ -set (fset  $N$ ) + {# $C'$ #}
  by auto

show ?thesis
  unfolding aft bef multp-def
proof (subst mult-cancelL[OF trans-Prec-S irreftl-Prec-S], fold multp-def)
  show {# $C''$ #}  $\prec\prec_S$  {# $C'$ #}
    unfolding multp-def using prec by (auto intro: singletons-in-mult)
  qed
qed
qed
hence ?old-aft  $\prec\prec_S$  ?old-bef
  unfolding bef aft .
thus ?thesis
  unfolding defs using  $c'$ -ni by auto
qed (use  $xx$   $xx'$  in auto)

```

```

lemma xx-nonempty-ILf-step-imp-Precprec-S:
assumes
  step:  $St \rightsquigarrow ILf$   $St'$  and
  xx:  $xx$ -of  $St \neq None$  and
  xx':  $xx$ -of  $St' \neq None$ 
shows  $mset$ -of-fstate  $St' \prec\prec_S$   $mset$ -of-fstate  $St$ 
using step
proof cases
case ol
then show ?thesis
  using xx-nonempty-OLf-step-imp-Precprec-S[OF - xx xx'] by blast
next
case (red-by-children  $C$   $A$   $M$   $C'$   $P$ )
note defs = this(1,2)
have False
  using  $xx$  unfolding defs by simp
thus ?thesis
  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma fair-IL-Liminf-xx-empty:
assumes
  len:  $llength$   $Sts = \infty$  and
  full: full-chain ( $\rightsquigarrow ILf$ )  $Sts$  and
  inv: OLf-invariant (lhd  $Sts$ )
shows  $Liminf$ -l $list$  (lmap (set-option  $\circ$   $xx$ -of)  $Sts$ ) = {}
proof (rule ccontr)
assume lim-nemp:  $Liminf$ -l $list$  (lmap (set-option  $\circ$   $xx$ -of)  $Sts$ )  $\neq \{\}$ 

```

```

obtain  $i :: nat$  where
  i-lt:  $enat$   $i < llength$   $Sts$  and
  inter-nemp:  $\bigcap$  ((set-option  $\circ$   $xx$ -of  $\circ$  lnth  $Sts$ ) ' { $j. i \leq j \wedge enat$   $j < llength$   $Sts$ })  $\neq \{\}$ 
using lim-nemp unfolding Liminf-l $list$ -def by auto

```

**from** *inter-nemp* **obtain**  $C :: 'f$  **where**  
 $c\text{-in}: \forall P \in \text{lntH Sts} \text{ ' } \{j. i \leq j \wedge \text{enat } j < \text{llength Sts}\}. C \in \text{set-option } (xx\text{-of } P)$   
**by** *auto*  
**hence**  $c\text{-in}' : \forall j \geq i. \text{enat } j < \text{llength Sts} \longrightarrow C \in \text{set-option } (xx\text{-of } (\text{lntH Sts } j))$   
**by** *auto*

**have**  $si\text{-lt}: \text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) < \text{llength Sts}$   
**unfolding** *len* **by** *auto*

**have**  $xx\text{-j}: xx\text{-of } (\text{lntH Sts } j) \neq \text{None}$  **if**  $j\text{-ge}: j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using**  $c\text{-in}'$  *len*  $j\text{-ge}$  **by** *auto*  
**hence**  $xx\text{-sj}: xx\text{-of } (\text{lntH Sts } (\text{Suc } j)) \neq \text{None}$  **if**  $j\text{-ge}: j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using** *le-Suc-eq* **that** **by** *presburger*  
**have**  $step: \text{lntH Sts } j \rightsquigarrow \text{ILf } \text{lntH Sts } (\text{Suc } j)$  **if**  $j\text{-ge}: j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using** *full-chain-imp-chain[OF full]* *infinite-chain-lntH-rel* *len* *llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite*  
**by** *blast*

**have**  $mset\text{-of-fstate } (\text{lntH Sts } (\text{Suc } j)) \prec\prec S mset\text{-of-fstate } (\text{lntH Sts } j)$  **if**  $j\text{-ge}: j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using** *xx-nonempty-ILf-step-imp-Precprec-S* **by** (*meson* *step*  $j\text{-ge}$   $xx\text{-j}$   $xx\text{-sj}$ )  
**hence**  $(\prec\prec S)^{-1-1} (mset\text{-of-fstate } (\text{lntH Sts } j)) (mset\text{-of-fstate } (\text{lntH Sts } (\text{Suc } j)))$   
**if**  $j\text{-ge}: j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using**  $j\text{-ge}$  **by** *blast*  
**hence**  $inf\text{-down-chain}: \text{chain } (\prec\prec S)^{-1-1} (\text{ldropn } i (\text{lmap } mset\text{-of-fstate } \text{Sts}))$   
**using** *chain-ldropn-lmapI[OF - si-lt]* **by** *blast*

**have**  $inf\text{-i}: \neg \text{lfinite } (\text{ldropn } i \text{Sts})$   
**using** *len* **by** (*simp* *add: llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite*)

**show** *False*  
**using**  $inf\text{-i}$   $inf\text{-down-chain}$   $wfP\text{-iff-no-infinite-down-chain-llist[of } (\prec\prec S)]$   $wfP\text{-Precprec-S}$   
**by** (*metis* *lfinite-ldropn* *lfinite-lmap*)

**qed**

**lemma** *xx-nonempty-OLf-step-imp-Less1-state*:  
**assumes**  $step: (N, \text{Some } C, P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } (N', \text{Some } C', P', Y', A)$  (**is**  $?bef \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } ?aft$ )  
**shows**  $?aft \sqsubset 1 ?bef$

**proof** –  
**have**  $mset\text{-of-fstate } ?aft \prec\prec S mset\text{-of-fstate } ?bef$   
**using** *xx-nonempty-OLf-step-imp-Precprec-S*  
**by** (*metis* *fst-conv* *local.step* *option.distinct(1)* *snd-conv*)  
**thus**  $?thesis$   
**unfolding** *Less1-state-def* **by** *blast*

**qed**

**lemma** *yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less1-state*:  
**assumes**  
 $step: St \rightsquigarrow \text{OLf } St'$  **and**  
 $yy: yy\text{-of } St = \text{None}$  **and**  
 $yy': yy\text{-of } St' = \text{None}$   
**shows**  $St' \sqsubset 1 St$   
**using** *step*

**proof** *cases*  
**case** (*choose-n*  $C N P A$ )  
**note**  $defs = \text{this}(1,2)$  **and**  $c\text{-ni} = \text{this}(3)$

```

have mset-eq: mset-of-fstate St' = mset-of-fstate St
  unfolding defs using c-ni by fastforce
have new-lt: mset-set (fset (new-of St')) <<S mset-set (fset (new-of St))
  unfolding defs using c-ni
  by (auto intro!: subset-implies-multp)

show ?thesis
  unfolding Less1-state-def using mset-eq new-lt by blast
next
case (delete-fwd C P A N)
note defs = this(1,2)
have mset-of-fstate St' <<S mset-of-fstate St
  unfolding defs by (auto intro: subset-implies-multp)
thus ?thesis
  unfolding Less1-state-def by blast
next
case (simplify-fwd C' C P A N)
note defs = this(1,2)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs by (rule xx-nonempty-OLf-step-imp-Less1-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
next
case (delete-bwd-p C' P C N A)
note defs = this(1,2)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs by (rule xx-nonempty-OLf-step-imp-Less1-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
next
case (simplify-bwd-p C'' C' P C N A)
note defs = this(1,2)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs by (rule xx-nonempty-OLf-step-imp-Less1-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
next
case (delete-bwd-a C' A C N P)
note defs = this(1,2)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs by (rule xx-nonempty-OLf-step-imp-Less1-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
next
case (simplify-bwd-a C'' C' A C N P)
note defs = this(1,2)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs by (rule xx-nonempty-OLf-step-imp-Less1-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
next
case (transfer N C P A)
note defs = this(1,2)
show ?thesis
proof (cases C ∈ elems P)
case c-in: True
have mset-of-fstate St' <<S mset-of-fstate St
  unfolding defs using c-in add-again
  by (auto intro!: subset-implies-multp)
thus ?thesis
  unfolding Less1-state-def by blast
next
case c-ni: False

have mset-eq: mset-of-fstate St' = mset-of-fstate St

```

```

  unfolding defs using c-ni by (auto simp: elems-add)
  have new-mset-eq: mset-set (fset (new-of St')) = mset-set (fset (new-of St))
  unfolding defs using c-ni by auto
  have xx-lt: mset-set (set-option (xx-of St')) <-<S mset-set (set-option (xx-of St))
  unfolding defs using c-ni by (auto intro!: subset-implies-multp)

  show ?thesis
  unfolding Less1-state-def using mset-eq new-mset-eq xx-lt by blast
qed
qed (use yy yy' in auto)

```

```

lemma yy-empty-ILf-step-imp-Less1-state:
  assumes
    step: St ~ILf St' and
    yy: yy-of St = None and
    yy': yy-of St' = None
  shows St'  $\sqsubset$  1 St
  using step
proof cases
  case ol
  then show ?thesis
  using yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less1-state[OF - yy yy'] by blast
next
  case (red-by-children C A M C' P)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  have False
  using yy unfolding defs by simp
  then show ?thesis
  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma fair-IL-Liminf-new-empty:
  assumes
    len: llength Sts =  $\infty$  and
    full: full-chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ILf) Sts and
    inv: OLf-invariant (lhd Sts)
  shows Liminf-llist (lmap (fset  $\circ$  new-of) Sts) = {}
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume lim-nemp: Liminf-llist (lmap (fset  $\circ$  new-of) Sts)  $\neq$  {}

```

```

  obtain i :: nat where
    i-lt: enat i < llength Sts and
    inter-nemp:  $\bigcap$  ((fset  $\circ$  new-of  $\circ$  lnth Sts) ' {j. i  $\leq$  j  $\wedge$  enat j < llength Sts})  $\neq$  {}
  using lim-nemp unfolding Liminf-llist-def by auto

```

```

  from inter-nemp obtain C :: 'f where
    c-in:  $\forall P \in$  lnth Sts ' {j. i  $\leq$  j  $\wedge$  enat j < llength Sts}. C  $\in$  fset (new-of P)
  by auto
  hence c-in':  $\forall j \geq i$ . enat j < llength Sts  $\longrightarrow$  C  $\in$  fset (new-of (lnth Sts j))
  by auto

```

```

  have si-lt: enat (Suc i) < llength Sts
  by (simp add: len)

```

```

  have new-j: new-of (lnth Sts j)  $\neq$  {} if j-ge: j  $\geq$  i for j

```

```

using c-in' len that by fastforce

have yy: yy-of (lnth Sts j) = None if j-ge: j ≥ i for j
  by (smt (z3) chain-ILf-invariant-lnth enat-ord-code(4) OLf-invariant.cases fst-conv full
    full-chain-imp-chain inv len new-j snd-conv j-ge)
hence yy': yy-of (lnth Sts (Suc j)) = None if j-ge: j ≥ i for j
  using j-ge by auto
have step: lnth Sts j ~>ILf lnth Sts (Suc j) if j-ge: j ≥ i for j
  using full-chain-imp-chain[OF full] infinite-chain-lnth-rel len llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite
  by blast

have lnth Sts (Suc j) ⊆1 lnth Sts j if j-ge: j ≥ i for j
  by (rule yy-empty-ILf-step-imp-Less1-state[OF step[OF j-ge] yy[OF j-ge] yy'[OF j-ge]])
hence (⊆1)-1-1 (lnth Sts j) (lnth Sts (Suc j)) if j-ge: j ≥ i for j
  using j-ge by blast
hence inf-down-chain: chain (⊆1)-1-1 (ldropn i Sts)
  using chain-ldropn-lmapI[OF - si-lt, of - id, simplified llist.map-id] by simp

have inf-i: ¬ lfinite (ldropn i Sts)
  using len lfinite-ldropn llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite by blast

show False
  using inf-i inf-down-chain wfP-iff-no-infinite-down-chain-llist[of (⊆1)] wfP-Less1-state
  by blast
qed

lemma yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less2-state:
  assumes step: (N, X, P, None, A) ~>OLf (N', X', P', None, A) (is ?bef ~>OLf ?aft)
  shows ?aft ⊆2 ?bef
proof -
  have ?aft ⊆1 ?bef
    using yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less1-state by (simp add: step)
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding Less2-state-def by force
qed

lemma non-choose-p-OLf-step-imp-Less2-state:
  assumes
    step: St ~>OLf St' and
    yy: yy-of St' = None
  shows St' ⊆2 St
  using step
proof cases
  case (choose-n C N P A)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs by (rule yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less2-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
next
  case (delete-fwd C P A N)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs by (rule yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less2-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
next
  case (simplify-fwd C' C P A N)
  note defs = this(1,2)

```



```

show ?thesis
  unfolding defs by (rule yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less2-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
next
  case (delete-bwd-p C' P C N A)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs by (rule yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less2-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
  next
  case (simplify-bwd-p C'' C' P C N A)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs by (rule yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less2-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
  next
  case (delete-bwd-a C' A C N P)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs by (rule yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less2-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
  next
  case (simplify-bwd-a C'' C' A C N P)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs by (rule yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less2-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
  next
  case (transfer N C P A)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs by (rule yy-empty-OLf-step-imp-Less2-state[OF step[unfolded defs]])
  next
  case (choose-p P A)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  have False
    using step yy unfolding defs by simp
  thus ?thesis
    by blast
  next
  case (infer A C M P)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  have mset-set (set-option (yy-of St'))  $\prec\prec_S$  mset-set (set-option (yy-of St))
    unfolding defs by (auto intro!: subset-implies-multp)
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding Less2-state-def by blast
qed

```

**lemma** non-choose-p-ILf-step-imp-Less2-state:

```

assumes
  step: St  $\rightsquigarrow$  ILf St' and
  yy: yy-of St' = None
shows St'  $\sqsubset_2$  St
using step
proof cases
  case ol
  then show ?thesis
    using non-choose-p-OLf-step-imp-Less2-state[OF - yy] by blast
  next
  case (red-by-children C A M C' P)

```

```

note defs = this(1,2)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs Less2-state-def by (simp add: subset-implies-multip)
qed

lemma OLf-step-imp-queue-step:
  assumes St  $\rightsquigarrow$  OLf St'
  shows queue-step (passive-of St) (passive-of St')
  using assms by cases (auto intro: queue-step-idleI queue-step-addI queue-step-removeI)

lemma ILf-step-imp-queue-step:
  assumes step: St  $\rightsquigarrow$  ILf St'
  shows queue-step (passive-of St) (passive-of St')
  using step
proof cases
  case ol
  then show ?thesis
    using OLf-step-imp-queue-step by blast
next
  case (red-by-children C A M C' P)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs by (auto intro: queue-step-idleI)
qed

lemma fair-IL-Liminf-passive-empty:
  assumes
    len: llength Sts =  $\infty$  and
    full: full-chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ILf) Sts and
    init: is-initial-OLf-state (lhd Sts)
  shows Liminf-llist (lmap (elems  $\circ$  passive-of) Sts) = {}
proof -
  have chain-step: chain queue-step (lmap passive-of Sts)
    using ILf-step-imp-queue-step chain-lmap full-chain-imp-chain[OF full]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting))

  have inf-oft: infinitely-often select-queue-step (lmap passive-of Sts)
proof
  assume finitely-often select-queue-step (lmap passive-of Sts)
  then obtain i :: nat where
    no-sel:
       $\forall j \geq i. \neg \text{select-queue-step (passive-of (lnth Sts } j)) \text{ (passive-of (lnth Sts (Suc } j)))}$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) enat-ord-code(4) finitely-often-def len llength-lmap lnth-lmap)

  have si-lt: enat (Suc i) < llength Sts
    unfolding len by auto

  have step: lnth Sts j  $\rightsquigarrow$ ILf lnth Sts (Suc j) if j-ge: j  $\geq$  i for j
    using full-chain-imp-chain[OF full] infinite-chain-lnth-rel len llength-eq-inf-conv-lfinite
    by blast

  have yy: yy-of (lnth Sts (Suc j)) = None if j-ge: j  $\geq$  i for j
    using step[OF j-ge]
proof cases
  case ol

```

```

then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case (choose-p P A)
  note defs = this(1,2) and p-ne = this(3)
  have False
    using no-sel defs p-ne select-queue-stepI that by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    by blast
qed auto
next
  case (red-by-children C A M C' P)
  then show ?thesis
    by simp
qed

have lnth Sts (Suc j)  $\sqsubset^2$  lnth Sts j if j-ge: j  $\geq$  i for j
  by (rule non-choose-p-ILf-step-imp-Less2-state[OF step[OF j-ge] yy[OF j-ge]])
hence ( $\sqsubset^2$ )-1-1 (lnth Sts j) (lnth Sts (Suc j)) if j-ge: j  $\geq$  i for j
  using j-ge by blast
hence inf-down-chain: chain ( $\sqsubset^2$ )-1-1 (ldroptn i Sts)
  using chain-ldroptn-lmapI[OF - si-lt, of - id, simplified llist.map-id] by simp

have inf-i:  $\neg$  lfinite (ldroptn i Sts)
  using len lfinite-ldroptn llength-eq-infnty-conv-lfinite by blast

show False
  using inf-i inf-down-chain wfP-iff-no-infinite-down-chain-llist[of ( $\sqsubset^2$ )] wfP-Less2-state
  by blast
qed

have hd-emp: lhd (lmap passive-of Sts) = empty
  using init full full-chain-not-lnull unfolding is-initial-OLf-state.simps by fastforce

thm fair

have Liminf-llist (lmap elems (lmap passive-of Sts)) = {}
  by (rule fair[of lmap passive-of Sts, OF chain-step inf-oft hd-emp])
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: llist.map-comp)
qed

theorem
assumes
  full: full-chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ILf) Sts and
  init: is-initial-OLf-state (lhd Sts)
shows
  fair-IL-Liminf-saturated: saturated (state (Liminf-fstate Sts)) and
  fair-IL-complete-Liminf: B  $\in$  Bot-F  $\implies$  fset (new-of (lhd Sts))  $\models_{\cap\mathcal{G}}$  {B}  $\implies$ 
     $\exists B' \in$  Bot-F. B'  $\in$  state-union (Liminf-fstate Sts) and
  fair-IL-complete: B  $\in$  Bot-F  $\implies$  fset (new-of (lhd Sts))  $\models_{\cap\mathcal{G}}$  {B}  $\implies$ 
     $\exists i$ . enat i < llength Sts  $\wedge$  ( $\exists B' \in$  Bot-F. B'  $\in$  all-formulas-of (lnth Sts i))
proof –
  have chain: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ILf) Sts
    by (rule full-chain-imp-chain[OF full])
  have il-chain: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ IL) (lmap fstate Sts)

```

by (rule chain-lmap[OF - chain]) (use fair-IL-step-imp-IL-step in force)

**have** *inv*: *OLf-invariant* (lhd *Sts*)  
 using *init initial-OLf-invariant* by blast

**have** *nnul*:  $\neg$  *lnull* *Sts*  
 using *chain chain-not-lnull* by blast

**hence** *lhd-lmap*:  $\bigwedge f. \text{lhd} (\text{lmap } f \text{ } Sts) = f (\text{lhd } Sts)$   
 by (rule *lmap.sel(1)*)

**have** *active-of* (lhd *Sts*) =  $\{\{\}\}$   
 by (*metis is-initial-OLf-state.cases init snd-conv*)

**hence** *act*: *active-subset* (lhd (lmap *fstate* *Sts*)) =  $\{\}$   
 unfolding *active-subset-def lhd-lmap* by (*cases lhd Sts*) auto

**have** *pas*: *passive-subset* (*Liminf-llist* (lmap *fstate* *Sts*)) =  $\{\}$   
**proof** (*cases lfinite Sts*)  
 case *fin*: *True*

**have** *lim*: *Liminf-llist* (lmap *fstate* *Sts*) = *fstate* (*llast* *Sts*)  
 using *lfinite-Liminf-llist fin nnul*  
 by (*metis chain-not-lnull il-chain lfinite-lmap llast-lmap*)

**have** *last-inv*: *OLf-invariant* (*llast* *Sts*)  
 by (rule *chain-ILf-invariant-llast*[OF *chain inv fin*])

**have**  $\forall St'. \neg \text{llast } Sts \rightsquigarrow \text{ILf } St'$   
 using *full-chain-lnth-not-rel*[OF *full*] by (*metis fin full-chain-iff-chain full*)

**hence** *is-final-OLf-state* (*llast* *Sts*)  
 unfolding *is-final-OLf-state-iff-no-ILf-step*[OF *last-inv*] .

**then obtain** *A* :: '*f* *fset* **where**  
*at-l*: *llast* *Sts* = ( $\{\{\}\}$ , *None*, *empty*, *None*, *A*)  
 unfolding *is-final-OLf-state.simps* by blast

**show** *?thesis*  
 unfolding *is-final-OLf-state.simps passive-subset-def lim at-l* by auto

**next**  
 case *False*  
**hence** *len*: *llength* *Sts* =  $\infty$   
 by (*simp add: not-lfinite-llength*)

**show** *?thesis*  
 unfolding *Liminf-fstate-commute passive-subset-def Liminf-fstate-def*  
 using *fair-IL-Liminf-new-empty*[OF *len full inv*]  
*fair-IL-Liminf-xx-empty*[OF *len full inv*]  
*fair-IL-Liminf-passive-empty*[OF *len full init*]  
*fair-IL-Liminf-yy-empty*[OF *full inv*]  
 by *simp*

**qed**

**show** *saturated* (*state* (*Liminf-fstate* *Sts*))  
 using *IL-Liminf-saturated act Liminf-fstate-commute il-chain pas* by *fastforce*

**{**  
**assume**  
*bot*:  $B \in \text{Bot-}F$  **and**  
*unsat*: *fset* (*new-of* (lhd *Sts*))  $\models \cap \mathcal{G} \{B\}$

```

have unsat': fst ' lhd (lmap fstate Sts)  $\models \cap \mathcal{G} \{B\}$ 
  using unsat unfolding lhd-lmap by (cases lhd Sts) (auto intro: no-labels-entails-mono-left)

have  $\exists BL \in Bot-FL. BL \in Liminf-llist (lmap fstate Sts)$ 
  using IL-complete-Liminf[OF il-chain act pas bot unsat'] .
thus  $\exists B' \in Bot-F. B' \in state-union (Liminf-fstate Sts)$ 
  unfolding Liminf-fstate-def Liminf-fstate-commute by auto
thus  $\exists i. enat i < llength Sts \wedge (\exists B' \in Bot-F. B' \in all-formulas-of (lth Sts i))$ 
  unfolding Liminf-fstate-def Liminf-llist-def by auto
}
qed

end

end

```

## 10 Completeness of Fair Otter Loop

The Otter loop is a special case of the iProver loop, with fewer rules. We can therefore reuse the fair iProver loop's completeness result to derive the (dynamic) refutational completeness of the fair Otter loop.

```

theory Fair-Otter-Loop-Complete
  imports Fair-iProver-Loop
begin

```

### 10.1 Completeness

```

context fair-otter-loop
begin

```

**theorem**

**assumes**

*full*: *full-chain* ( $\rightsquigarrow OLf$ ) *Sts* **and**  
*init*: *is-initial-OLf-state* (*lhd Sts*)

**shows**

*fair-OL-Liminf-saturated*: *saturated* (*state* (*Liminf-fstate Sts*)) **and**  
*fair-OL-complete-Liminf*:  $B \in Bot-F \implies fset (new-of (lhd Sts)) \models \cap \mathcal{G} \{B\} \implies$   
 $\exists B' \in Bot-F. B' \in state-union (Liminf-fstate Sts)$  **and**  
*fair-OL-complete*:  $B \in Bot-F \implies fset (new-of (lhd Sts)) \models \cap \mathcal{G} \{B\} \implies$   
 $\exists i. enat i < llength Sts \wedge (\exists B' \in Bot-F. B' \in all-formulas-of (lth Sts i))$

**proof** –

**have** *ilf-chain*: *chain* ( $\rightsquigarrow ILf$ ) *Sts*

**using** *Lazy-List-Chain.chain-mono fair-IL.ol full-chain-imp-chain full* by *blast*

**hence** *ilf-full*: *full-chain* ( $\rightsquigarrow ILf$ ) *Sts*

**by** (*metis chain-ILf-invariant-llast full-chain-iff-chain initial-OLf-invariant*  
*is-final-OLf-state-iff-no-ILf-step is-final-OLf-state-iff-no-OLf-step full init*)

**show** *saturated* (*state* (*Liminf-fstate Sts*))

**by** (*rule fair-IL-Liminf-saturated*[*OF ilf-full init*])

{

**assume**

*bot*:  $B \in Bot-F$  **and**

```

    unsat: fset (new-of (lhd Sts))  $\models \cap \mathcal{G} \{B\}$ 

    show  $\exists B' \in \text{Bot-F}. B' \in \text{state-union} (\text{Liminf-fstate } Sts)$ 
      by (rule fair-IL-complete-Liminf[OF ilf-full init bot unsat])
    show  $\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts \wedge (\exists B' \in \text{Bot-F}. B' \in \text{all-formulas-of } (\text{lth } Sts \ i))$ 
      by (rule fair-IL-complete[OF ilf-full init bot unsat])
  }
qed

end

```

## 10.2 Specialization with FIFO Queue

As a proof of concept, we specialize the passive set to use a FIFO queue, thereby eliminating the locale assumptions about the passive set.

```

locale fifo-otter-loop =
  otter-loop Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q Red-F-q G-F-q G-I-q Equiv-F Prec-F
for
  Bot-F :: 'f set and
  Inf-F :: 'f inference set and
  Bot-G :: 'g set and
  Q :: 'q set and
  entails-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  Inf-G-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set and
  Red-I-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set and
  Red-F-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set and
  G-F-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  'g set and
  G-I-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f inference  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set option and
  Equiv-F :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \simeq \rangle$  50) and
  Prec-F :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \prec \cdot \rangle$  50) +
fixes
  Prec-S :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \prec S \rangle$  50)
assumes
  wfp-Prec-S: wfp ( $\prec S$ ) and
  transp-Prec-S: transp ( $\prec S$ ) and
  finite-Inf-between: finite A  $\implies$  finite (no-labels.Inf-between A {C})
begin

sublocale fifo-prover-queue
  .

sublocale fair-otter-loop Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q Red-F-q G-F-q G-I-q
  Equiv-F Prec-F [] hd  $\lambda y \ xs. \text{if } y \in \text{set } xs \text{ then } xs \text{ else } xs @ [y]$  removeAll fset-of-list Prec-S
proof
  show wfp ( $\prec S$ )
    by (rule wfp-Prec-S)
next
  show transp ( $\prec S$ )
    by (rule transp-Prec-S)
next
  show  $\bigwedge A \ C. \text{finite } A \implies \text{finite} (\text{no-labels.Inf-between } A \ \{C\})$ 
    by (fact finite-Inf-between)
qed

end

```

end

## 11 Zipperposition Loop with Ghost State

The Zipperposition loop is a variant of the DISCOUNT loop that can cope with inferences generating (countably) infinitely many conclusions. The version formalized here has an additional ghost component  $D$  in its state tuple, which is used in the refinement proof from the abstract procedure  $LGC$ .

```
theory Zipperposition-Loop
  imports DISCOUNT-Loop
begin
```

```
context discount-loop
begin
```

### 11.1 Basic Definitions and Lemmas

```
fun flat-inferences-of :: 'f inference llist multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'f inference set where
  flat-inferences-of T =  $\bigcup$  {lset  $\iota$  |  $\iota$ .  $\iota \in \#$  T}
```

```
fun
  zl-state :: 'f inference llist multiset  $\times$  'f inference set  $\times$  'f set  $\times$  'f set  $\times$  'f set  $\Rightarrow$ 
  'f inference set  $\times$  ('f  $\times$  DL-label) set
```

```
where
  zl-state (T, D, P, Y, A) = (flat-inferences-of T - D, labeled-formulas-of (P, Y, A))
```

```
lemma zl-state-alt-def:
  zl-state (T, D, P, Y, A) =
    (flat-inferences-of T - D, ( $\lambda C$ . (C, Passive)) ' P  $\cup$  ( $\lambda C$ . (C, YY)) ' Y  $\cup$  ( $\lambda C$ . (C, Active)) ' A)
  by auto
```

```
inductive
  ZL :: 'f inference set  $\times$  ('f  $\times$  DL-label) set  $\Rightarrow$  'f inference set  $\times$  ('f  $\times$  DL-label) set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  (infix  $\langle \rightsquigarrow ZL \rangle$  50)
```

```
where
  compute-infer:  $\iota 0 \in$  no-labels.Red-I (A  $\cup$  {C})  $\Longrightarrow$ 
    zl-state (T + {#LCons  $\iota 0$   $\iota s$ #}, D, P, {C}, A)  $\rightsquigarrow ZL$  zl-state (T + {# $\iota s$ #}, D  $\cup$  { $\iota 0$ }, P  $\cup$  {C}, {C},
  A)
| choose-p: zl-state (T, D, P  $\cup$  {C}, {C}, A)  $\rightsquigarrow ZL$  zl-state (T, D, P, {C}, A)
| delete-fwd: C  $\in$  no-labels.Red-F A  $\vee$  ( $\exists C' \in$  A. C'  $\preceq$  C)  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  zl-state (T, D, P, {C}, A)  $\rightsquigarrow ZL$  zl-state (T, D, P, {C}, A)
| simplify-fwd: C  $\in$  no-labels.Red-F (A  $\cup$  {C'})  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  zl-state (T, D, P, {C}, A)  $\rightsquigarrow ZL$  zl-state (T, D, P, {C'}, A)
| delete-bwd: C'  $\in$  no-labels.Red-F {C}  $\vee$  C'  $\succ$  C  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  zl-state (T, D, P, {C}, A  $\cup$  {C'})  $\rightsquigarrow ZL$  zl-state (T, D, P, {C}, A)
| simplify-bwd: C'  $\in$  no-labels.Red-F {C, C''}  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  zl-state (T, D, P, {C}, A  $\cup$  {C'})  $\rightsquigarrow ZL$  zl-state (T, D, P  $\cup$  {C''}, {C}, A)
| schedule-infer: flat-inferences-of T' = no-labels.Inf-between A {C}  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  zl-state (T, D, P, {C}, A)  $\rightsquigarrow ZL$  zl-state (T + T', D - flat-inferences-of T', P, {C}, A  $\cup$  {C})
| delete-orphan-infers: lset  $\iota s \cap$  no-labels.Inf-from A = {C}  $\Longrightarrow$ 
  zl-state (T + {# $\iota s$ #}, D, P, Y, A)  $\rightsquigarrow ZL$  zl-state (T, D  $\cup$  lset  $\iota s$ , P, Y, A)
```

## 11.2 Refinement

**lemma** *zl-compute-infer-in-lgc*:

**assumes**  $\iota 0 \in \text{no-labels.Red-I } (A \cup \{C\})$

**shows**  $\text{zl-state } (T + \{\#LCons \iota 0 \iota s\# \}, D, P, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow LGC$

$\text{zl-state } (T + \{\#\iota s\# \}, D \cup \{\iota 0\}, P \cup \{C\}, \{\}, A)$

**proof** –

**show** *?thesis*

**proof** (*cases*  $\iota 0 \in D$ )

**case** *True*

**hence** *infs*:  $\text{flat-inferences-of } (T + \{\#LCons \iota 0 \iota s\# \}) - D =$

$\text{flat-inferences-of } (T + \{\#\iota s\# \}) - (D \cup \{\iota 0\})$

**by** *fastforce*

**show** *?thesis*

**unfolding** *zl-state.simps infs*

**by** (*rule step.process[of - labeled-formulas-of*  $(P, \{\}, A) \{\} - \{(C, Passive)\}$ )

(*auto simp: active-subset-def*)

**next**

**case** *i0-ni: False*

**show** *?thesis*

**unfolding** *zl-state.simps*

**proof** (*rule step.compute-infer[of - -  $\iota 0$  - -  $\{(C, Passive)\}$ ]*)

**show**  $\text{flat-inferences-of } (T + \{\#LCons \iota 0 \iota s\# \}) - D =$

$\text{flat-inferences-of } (T + \{\#\iota s\# \}) - (D \cup \{\iota 0\}) \cup \{\iota 0\}$

**using** *i0-ni by fastforce*

**next**

**show**  $\text{labeled-formulas-of } (P \cup \{C\}, \{\}, A) = \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, Passive)\}$

**by** *auto*

**next**

**show**  $\text{active-subset } \{(C, Passive)\} = \{\}$

**by** (*auto simp: active-subset-def*)

**next**

**show**  $\iota 0 \in \text{no-labels.Red-I-G } (\text{fst } ' (\text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, Passive)\}))$

**by** *simp (metis (no-types) Un-commute Un-empty-right Un-insert-right Un-upper1 assms no-labels.empty-ord.Red-I-of-subset subset-iff)*

**qed**

**qed**

**qed**

**lemma** *zl-choose-p-in-lgc*:  $\text{zl-state } (T, D, P \cup \{C\}, \{\}, A) \rightsquigarrow LGC \text{zl-state } (T, D, P, \{C\}, A)$

**proof** –

**let**  $?N = \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A)$

**and**  $?T = \text{flat-inferences-of } T - D$

**have** *Passive*  $\sqsupset L YY$

**by** (*simp add: DL-Prec-L-def*)

**hence**  $(?T, ?N \cup \{(C, Passive)\}) \rightsquigarrow LGC (?T, ?N \cup \{(C, YY)\})$

**using** *relabel-inactive by blast*

**hence**  $(?T, \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P \cup \{C\}, \{\}, A)) \rightsquigarrow LGC (?T, \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{C\}, A))$

**by** (*metis PYA-add-passive-formula P0A-add-y-formula*)

**thus** *?thesis*

**by** *auto*

**qed**

**lemma** *zl-delete-fwd-in-lgc*:

**assumes**  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } A \vee (\exists C' \in A. C' \preceq C)$

**shows**  $\text{zl-state } (T, D, P, \{C\}, A) \rightsquigarrow LGC \text{zl-state } (T, D, P, \{\}, A)$



**using** *assms*  
**proof**  
**assume** *c-in*:  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } A$   
**hence**  $A \subseteq \text{fst ' labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A)$   
**by** *simp*  
**hence**  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F (fst ' labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A))$   
**by** (*metis (no-types, lifting) c-in in-mono no-labels.Red-F-of-subset*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**using** *remove-redundant-no-label* **by** *auto*  
**next**  
**assume**  $\exists C' \in A. C' \preceq C$   
**then obtain**  $C'$  **where** *c'-in-and-c'-ls-c*:  $C' \in A \wedge C' \preceq C$   
**by** *auto*  
**hence**  $(C', \text{Active}) \in \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A)$   
**by** *auto*  
**moreover have**  $YY \sqsupset L \text{Active}$   
**by** (*simp add: DL-Prec-L-def*)  
**ultimately show** *?thesis*  
**by** (*metis P0A-add-y-formula remove-succ-L c'-in-and-c'-ls-c zl-state.simps*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *zl-simplify-fwd-in-lgc*:  
**assumes**  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-G } (A \cup \{C'\})$   
**shows**  $\text{zl-state } (T, D, P, \{C\}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{LGC } \text{zl-state } (T, D, P, \{C'\}, A)$

**proof** –  
**let**  $?N = \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A)$   
**and**  $?M = \{(C, YY)\}$   
**and**  $?M' = \{(C', YY)\}$   
**have**  $A \cup \{C'\} \subseteq \text{fst ' } (?N \cup ?M')$   
**by** *auto*  
**hence**  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-G (fst ' } (?N \cup ?M'))$   
**by** (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) assms no-labels.Red-F-of-subset subset-iff*)  
**hence**  $(C, YY) \in \text{Red-F } (?N \cup ?M')$   
**using** *no-labels.Red-F-imp-Red-F* **by** *simp*  
**hence**  $?M \subseteq \text{Red-F-G } (?N \cup ?M')$   
**by** *simp*  
**moreover have** *active-subset*  $?M' = \{\}$   
**using** *active-subset-def* **by** *auto*  
**ultimately have** (*flat-inferences-of*  $T - D$ , *labeled-formulas-of*  $(P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C, YY)\}$ )  $\rightsquigarrow \text{LGC}$   
*(flat-inferences-of*  $T - D$ , *labeled-formulas-of*  $(P, \{\}, A) \cup \{(C', YY)\}$ )  
**using** *process[of - - ?M - ?M']* **by** *auto*  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**by** *simp*  
**qed**

**lemma** *zl-delete-bwd-in-lgc*:  
**assumes**  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-G } \{C\} \vee C' \succ C$   
**shows**  $\text{zl-state } (T, D, P, \{C\}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow \text{LGC } \text{zl-state } (T, D, P, \{C\}, A)$   
**using** *assms*

**proof**  
**let**  $?N = \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{C\}, A)$   
**assume** *c'-in*:  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-G } \{C\}$

**have**  $\{C\} \subseteq \text{fst ' } ?N$   
**by** *simp*

hence  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-G } (fst' \ ?\mathcal{N})$   
 by (metis (no-types, lifting) c'-in insert-Diff insert-subset no-labels.Red-F-of-subset)  
 hence (flat-inferences-of  $T - D$ ,  $?\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C', \text{Active})\}$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$  LGC (flat-inferences-of  $T - D$ ,  $?\mathcal{N}$ )  
 using remove-redundant-no-label by auto

moreover have  $?\mathcal{N} \cup \{(C', \text{Active})\} = \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{C\}, A \cup \{C'\})$   
 using PYA-add-active-formula by blast  
 ultimately have (flat-inferences-of  $T - D$ , labeled-formulas-of  $(P, \{C\}, A \cup \{C'\})$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$  LGC  
 zl-state  $(T, D, P, \{C\}, A)$   
 by simp  
 thus ?thesis  
 by auto

next

assume  $C' \cdot > C$   
 moreover have  $(C, YY) \in \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{C\}, A)$   
 by simp  
 ultimately show ?thesis  
 by (metis remove-succ-F PYA-add-active-formula zl-state.simps)

qed

lemma *zl-simplify-bwd-in-lgc*:

assumes  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-G } \{C, C''\}$   
 shows zl-state  $(T, D, P, \{C\}, A \cup \{C'\}) \rightsquigarrow$  LGC zl-state  $(T, D, P \cup \{C''\}, \{C\}, A)$

proof –

let  $?\mathcal{M} = \{(C', \text{Active})\}$   
 and  $?\mathcal{M}' = \{(C'', \text{Passive})\}$   
 and  $?\mathcal{N} = \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{C\}, A)$   
 have  $\{C, C''\} \subseteq fst' (?\mathcal{N} \cup ?\mathcal{M}')$   
 by simp  
 hence  $C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F-G } (fst' (?\mathcal{N} \cup ?\mathcal{M}'))$   
 by (smt (z3) DiffI Diff-eq-empty-iff assms empty-iff no-labels.Red-F-of-subset)  
 hence  $\mathcal{M}$ -included:  $?\mathcal{M} \subseteq \text{Red-F-G } (?\mathcal{N} \cup ?\mathcal{M}')$   
 using no-labels-Red-F-imp-Red-F by auto  
 have active-subset  $?\mathcal{M}' = \{\}$   
 using active-subset-def by auto  
 hence (flat-inferences-of  $T - D$ ,  $?\mathcal{N} \cup ?\mathcal{M}$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$  LGC (flat-inferences-of  $T - D$ ,  $?\mathcal{N} \cup ?\mathcal{M}'$ )  
 using  $\mathcal{M}$ -included process[of - -  $?\mathcal{M} - ?\mathcal{M}'$ ] by auto  
 moreover have  $?\mathcal{N} \cup ?\mathcal{M} = \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{C\}, A \cup \{C'\})$  and  
 $?\mathcal{N} \cup ?\mathcal{M}' = \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P \cup \{C''\}, \{C\}, A)$   
 by auto  
 ultimately show ?thesis  
 by auto

qed

lemma *zl-schedule-infer-in-lgc*:

assumes flat-inferences-of  $T' = \text{no-labels.Inf-between } A \{C\}$   
 shows zl-state  $(T, D, P, \{C\}, A) \rightsquigarrow$  LGC  
 zl-state  $(T + T', D - \text{flat-inferences-of } T', P, \{\}, A \cup \{C\})$

proof –

let  $?\mathcal{N} = \text{labeled-formulas-of } (P, \{\}, A)$   
 have  $fst' \text{ active-subset } ?\mathcal{N} = A$   
 by (meson prj-active-subset-of-state)  
 hence infs: flat-inferences-of  $T' = \text{no-labels.Inf-between } (fst' \text{ active-subset } ?\mathcal{N}) \{C\}$   
 using assms by simp

**have** *inf*: (flat-inferences-of  $T - D$ ,  $?N \cup \{(C, YY)\}$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$  LGC  
 ((flat-inferences-of  $T - D$ )  $\cup$  flat-inferences-of  $T'$ ,  $?N \cup \{(C, Active)\}$ )  
**by** (rule step.schedule-infer[of - - flat-inferences-of  $T' - ?N C YY$ ]) (use *infs* **in** auto)

**have** *m-bef*: labeled-formulas-of ( $P, \{C\}, A$ ) =  $?N \cup \{(C, YY)\}$   
**by** auto

**have** *t-aft*: flat-inferences-of ( $T + T'$ ) - ( $D -$  flat-inferences-of  $T'$ ) =  
 (flat-inferences-of  $T - D$ )  $\cup$  flat-inferences-of  $T'$   
**by** auto

**have** *m-aft*: labeled-formulas-of ( $P, \{\}, A \cup \{C\}$ ) =  $?N \cup \{(C, Active)\}$   
**by** auto

**show** *?thesis*  
**unfolding** *zl-state.simps m-bef t-aft m-aft* **using** *inf* .

qed

**lemma** *zl-delete-orphan-infers-in-lgc*:  
**assumes** *inter*: lset  $\iota s \cap$  no-labels.Inf-from  $A = \{\}$   
**shows** *zl-state* ( $T + \{\#\iota s\#$ ),  $D, P, Y, A$ )  $\rightsquigarrow$  LGC *zl-state* ( $T, D \cup$  lset  $\iota s, P, Y, A$ )

**proof** -  
**let**  $?N =$  labeled-formulas-of ( $P, Y, A$ )

**have** *inf*: (flat-inferences-of  $T \cup$  lset  $\iota s - D$ ,  $?N$ )  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  LGC (flat-inferences-of  $T - (D \cup$  lset  $\iota s)$ ,  $?N$ )  
**by** (rule step.delete-orphan-infers[of - - lset  $\iota s - D$ ])  
 (use *inter prj-active-subset-of-state* **in** auto)

**have** *t-bef*: flat-inferences-of ( $T + \{\#\iota s\#$ ) -  $D =$  flat-inferences-of  $T \cup$  lset  $\iota s - D$   
**by** auto

**show** *?thesis*  
**unfolding** *zl-state.simps t-bef* **using** *inf* .

qed

**theorem** *ZL-step-imp-LGC-step*:  $St \rightsquigarrow$  ZL  $St' \implies St \rightsquigarrow$  LGC  $St'$

**proof** (induction rule: *ZL.induct*)

**case** (*compute-infer*  $\iota 0 A C T \iota s D P$ )  
**thus** *?case*  
**using** *zl-compute-infer-in-lgc* **by** auto

**next**

**case** (*choose-p*  $T D P C A$ )  
**thus** *?case*  
**using** *zl-choose-p-in-lgc* **by** auto

**next**

**case** (*delete-fwd*  $C A T D P$ )  
**thus** *?case*  
**using** *zl-delete-fwd-in-lgc* **by** auto

**next**

**case** (*simplify-fwd*  $C A C' T D P$ )  
**thus** *?case*  
**using** *zl-simplify-fwd-in-lgc* **by** blast

**next**

**case** (*delete-bwd*  $C' C T D P A$ )  
**thus** *?case*  
**using** *zl-delete-bwd-in-lgc* **by** blast

**next**

**case** (*simplify-bwd*  $C' C C'' T D P A$ )

```

thus ?case
  using zl-simplify-bwd-in-lgc by blast
next
case (schedule-infer T' A C T D P)
thus ?case
  using zl-schedule-infer-in-lgc by blast
next
case (delete-orphan-infers ιs A T D P Y)
thus ?case
  using zl-delete-orphan-infers-in-lgc by auto
qed

```

### 11.3 Completeness

**theorem**

**assumes**

*zl-chain*:  $\text{chain } (\rightsquigarrow ZL) \text{ } Sts$  **and**

*act*:  $\text{active-subset } (\text{snd } (\text{lhd } Sts)) = \{\}$  **and**

*pas*:  $\text{passive-subset } (\text{Liminf-list } (\text{lmap } \text{snd } Sts)) = \{\}$  **and**

*no-prems-init*:  $\forall \iota \in \text{Inf-F}. \text{prems-of } \iota = \square \longrightarrow \iota \in \text{fst } (\text{lhd } Sts)$  **and**

*final-sched*:  $\text{Liminf-list } (\text{lmap } \text{fst } Sts) = \{\}$

**shows**

*ZL-Liminf-saturated*:  $\text{saturated } (\text{Liminf-list } (\text{lmap } \text{snd } Sts))$  **and**

*ZL-complete-Liminf*:  $B \in \text{Bot-F} \implies \text{fst } ' \text{snd } (\text{lhd } Sts) \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$

$\exists BL \in \text{Bot-FL}. BL \in \text{Liminf-list } (\text{lmap } \text{snd } Sts)$  **and**

*ZL-complete*:  $B \in \text{Bot-F} \implies \text{fst } ' \text{snd } (\text{lhd } Sts) \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$

$\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts \wedge (\exists BL \in \text{Bot-FL}. BL \in \text{snd } (\text{lth } Sts \ i))$

**proof** –

**have** *lgc-chain*:  $\text{chain } (\rightsquigarrow LGC) \text{ } Sts$

**using** *zl-chain ZL-step-imp-LGC-step chain-mono* **by** *blast*

**show** *saturated* ( $\text{Liminf-list } (\text{lmap } \text{snd } Sts)$ )

**using** *act final-sched lgc.fair-implies-Liminf-saturated lgc-chain lgc-fair lgc-to-red*

*no-prems-init pas* **by** *blast*

{

**assume**

*bot*:  $B \in \text{Bot-F}$  **and**

*unsat*:  $\text{fst } ' \text{snd } (\text{lhd } Sts) \models_{\cap \mathcal{G}} \{B\}$

**show** *ZL-complete-Liminf*:  $\exists BL \in \text{Bot-FL}. BL \in \text{Liminf-list } (\text{lmap } \text{snd } Sts)$

**by** (*rule lgc-complete-Liminf*[*OF lgc-chain act pas no-prems-init final-sched bot unsat*])

**thus** *OL-complete*:  $\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts \wedge (\exists BL \in \text{Bot-FL}. BL \in \text{snd } (\text{lth } Sts \ i))$

**unfolding** *Liminf-list-def* **by** *auto*

}

**qed**

**end**

**end**

## 12 Prover Lazy List Queues and Fairness

This section covers the to-do data structure that arises in the Zipperposition loop.

```

theory Prover-Lazy-List-Queue
  imports Prover-Queue
begin

```

## 12.1 Basic Lemmas

```

lemma ne-and-in-set-take-imp-in-set-take-remove1:
  assumes
     $z \neq y$  and
     $z \in \text{set } (\text{take } m \text{ } xs)$ 
  shows  $z \in \text{set } (\text{take } m \text{ } (\text{remove1 } y \text{ } xs))$ 
  using assms
proof (induct xs arbitrary: m)
  case (Cons x xs)
  note ih = this(1) and z-ne-y = this(2) and z-in-take-xs = this(3)

  show ?case
  proof (cases z = x)
    case True
    thus ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) List.hd-in-set gr-zeroI hd-take in-set-remove1 list.sel(1)
        remove1.simps(2) take-eq-Nil z-in-take-xs z-ne-y)
  next
    case z-ne-x: False

    have z-in-take-xs:  $z \in \text{set } (\text{take } m \text{ } xs)$ 
      using z-in-take-xs z-ne-x
      by (smt (verit, del-insts) butlast-take in-set-butlastD in-set-takeD le-cases3 set-ConsD
        take-Cons' take-all)

    show ?thesis
    proof (cases y = x)
      case y-eq-x: True
      show ?thesis
        using y-eq-x by (simp add: z-in-take-xs)
    next
      case y-ne-x: False

      have  $m > 0$ 
        by (metis gr0I list.set-cases list.simps(3) take-Cons' z-in-take-xs)
      then obtain  $m' :: \text{nat}$  where
         $m = \text{Suc } m'$ 
        using gr0-implies-Suc by presburger

      have z-in-take-xs':  $z \in \text{set } (\text{take } m' \text{ } xs)$ 
        using z-in-take-xs z-in-take-xs z-ne-x by (simp add: m)
      note ih = ih[OF z-ne-y z-in-take-xs']

      show ?thesis
        using y-ne-x ih unfolding m by simp
    qed
  qed
qed simp

```

## 12.2 Locales

**locale** *prover-lazy-list-queue* =

**fixes**

*empty* :: 'q **and**  
*add-llist* :: 'e llist  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\Rightarrow$  'q **and**  
*remove-llist* :: 'e llist  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\Rightarrow$  'q **and**  
*pick-elem* :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'e  $\times$  'q **and**  
*llists* :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'e llist multiset

**assumes**

*llists-empty[simp]*: *llists empty* = {#} **and**  
*llists-not-empty*: *Q*  $\neq$  *empty*  $\Longrightarrow$  *llists Q*  $\neq$  {#} **and**  
*llists-add[simp]*: *llists (add-llist es Q)* = *llists Q* + {#es#} **and**  
*llist-remove[simp]*: *llists (remove-llist es Q)* = *llists Q* - {#es#} **and**  
*llists-pick-elem*:  $(\exists es \in\# \text{llists } Q. es \neq LNil) \Longrightarrow$   
 $\exists e es. LCons e es \in\# \text{llists } Q \wedge \text{fst (pick-elem } Q) = e$   
 $\wedge \text{llists (snd (pick-elem } Q)) = \text{llists } Q - \{\#LCons e es\# \} + \{\#es\# \}$

**begin**

**abbreviation** *has-elem* :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*has-elem Q*  $\equiv$   $\exists es \in\# \text{llists } Q. es \neq LNil$

**inductive** *lqueue-step* :: 'q  $\times$  'e set  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\times$  'e set  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*lqueue-step-fold-add-llistI*:  
*lqueue-step* (*Q*, *D*) (fold add-llist *ess Q*, *D* -  $\bigcup$  {lset *es* | *es. es*  $\in$  set *ess*})  
| *lqueue-step-fold-remove-llistI*:  
*lqueue-step* (*Q*, *D*) (fold remove-llist *ess Q*, *D*  $\cup$   $\bigcup$  {lset *es* | *es. es*  $\in$  set *ess*})  
| *lqueue-step-pick-elemI*: *has-elem Q*  $\Longrightarrow$   
*lqueue-step* (*Q*, *D*) (snd (pick-elem *Q*), *D*  $\cup$  {fst (pick-elem *Q*)})

**lemma** *lqueue-step-idleI*: *lqueue-step QD QD*

**using** *lqueue-step-fold-add-llistI*[of fst *QD* snd *QD* [], *simplified*] .

**lemma** *lqueue-step-add-llistI*: *lqueue-step (Q, D) (add-llist es Q, D - lset es)*

**using** *lqueue-step-fold-add-llistI*[of - - [es], *simplified*] .

**lemma** *lqueue-step-remove-llistI*: *lqueue-step (Q, D) (remove-llist es Q, D  $\cup$  lset es)*

**using** *lqueue-step-fold-remove-llistI*[of - - [es], *simplified*] .

**lemma** *llists-fold-add-llist[simp]*: *llists (fold add-llist es Q)* = *mset es* + *llists Q*

**by** (induct *es* arbitrary: *Q*) *auto*

**lemma** *llists-fold-remove-llist[simp]*: *llists (fold remove-llist es Q)* = *llists Q* - *mset es*

**by** (induct *es* arbitrary: *Q*) *auto*

**inductive** *pick-lqueue-step-w-details* :: 'q  $\times$  'e set  $\Rightarrow$  'e  $\Rightarrow$  'e llist  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\times$  'e set  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*pick-lqueue-step-w-detailsI*: *LCons e es*  $\in\# \text{llists } Q \Longrightarrow \text{fst (pick-elem } Q) = e \Longrightarrow$   
*llists (snd (pick-elem } Q)) = \text{llists } Q - \{\#LCons e es\# \} + \{\#es\# \} \Longrightarrow  
*pick-lqueue-step-w-details (Q, D) e es (snd (pick-elem } Q), D  $\cup$  \{e\}**

**inductive** *pick-lqueue-step* :: 'q  $\times$  'e set  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\times$  'e set  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

*pick-lqueue-stepI*: *pick-lqueue-step-w-details QD e es QD'  $\Longrightarrow$  pick-lqueue-step QD QD'*

**inductive**

*remove-lqueue-step-w-details* :: 'q  $\times$  'e set  $\Rightarrow$  'e llist list  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\times$  'e set  $\Rightarrow$  bool

**where**

```

remove-lqueue-step-w-detailsI:
  remove-lqueue-step-w-details (Q, D) ess
    (fold remove-llist ess Q, D  $\cup \cup$  {lset es | es. es  $\in$  set ess})

end

locale fair-prover-lazy-list-queue =
  prover-lazy-list-queue empty add-llist remove-llist pick-elem llists
  for
    empty :: 'q and
    add-llist :: 'e llist  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\Rightarrow$  'q and
    remove-llist :: 'e llist  $\Rightarrow$  'q  $\Rightarrow$  'q and
    pick-elem :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'e  $\times$  'q and
    llists :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'e llist multiset +
  assumes fair: chain lqueue-step QDs  $\Longrightarrow$  infinitely-often pick-lqueue-step QDs  $\Longrightarrow$ 
    LCons e es  $\in$  # llists (fst (lnth QDs i))  $\Longrightarrow$ 
     $\exists j \geq i. (\exists \text{ess. LCons e es} \in \text{set ess}$ 
       $\wedge$  remove-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) ess (lnth QDs (Suc j)))
       $\vee$  pick-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) e es (lnth QDs (Suc j))
  begin

lemma fair-strong:
  assumes
    chain: chain lqueue-step QDs and
    inf: infinitely-often pick-lqueue-step QDs and
    es-in: es  $\in$  # llists (fst (lnth QDs i)) and
    k-lt: enat k < llength es
  shows  $\exists j \geq i.$ 
    ( $\exists k' \leq k. \exists \text{ess. ldrop } k' \text{ es} \in \text{set ess}$ 
       $\wedge$  remove-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) ess (lnth QDs (Suc j)))
       $\vee$  pick-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) (lnth es k) (ldrop (Suc k) es) (lnth QDs (Suc j))
  using k-lt
proof (induct k)
  case 0
  note zero-lt = this
  have es-in': LCons (lnth es 0) (ldrop (Suc 0) es)  $\in$  # llists (fst (lnth QDs i))
    using es-in by (metis zero-lt ldrop-0 ldrop-enat ldropsn-Suc-conv-ldropsn zero-enat-def)
  show ?case
    using fair[OF chain inf es-in']
    by (metis dual-order.refl ldrop-enat ldropsn-Suc-conv-ldropsn zero-lt)
next
  case (Suc k)
  note ih = this(1) and sk-lt = this(2)

  have k-lt: enat k < llength es
    using sk-lt Suc-ile-eq order-less-imp-le by blast

  obtain j :: nat where
    j-ge: j  $\geq$  i and
    rem-or-pick-step: ( $\exists k' \leq k. \exists \text{ess. ldrop } (enat k') \text{ es} \in \text{set ess}$ 
       $\wedge$  remove-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) ess (lnth QDs (Suc j)))
       $\vee$  pick-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) (lnth es k) (ldrop (enat (Suc k)) es)
      (lnth QDs (Suc j))
    using ih[OF k-lt] by blast

```

```

{
  assume  $\exists k' \leq k. \exists \text{ess}. \text{ldrop} (\text{enat } k') \text{ es} \in \text{set } \text{ess}$ 
     $\wedge \text{remove-lqueue-step-w-details} (\text{lnth } QDs \ j) \ \text{ess} \ (\text{lnth } QDs \ (\text{Suc } j))$ 
  hence ?case
    using j-ge le-SucI by blast
}
moreover
{
  assume pick-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) (lnth es k) (ldrop (enat (Suc k)) es)
    (lnth QDs (Suc j))
  hence cons-in: LCons (lnth es (Suc k)) (ldrop (enat (Suc (Suc k))) es)
     $\in \# \text{llists} (\text{fst} (\text{lnth } QDs \ (\text{Suc } j)))$ 
  unfolding pick-lqueue-step-w-details.simps using sk-lt
  by (metis fst-conv ldrop-enat ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn union-mset-add-mset-right
    union-single-eq-member)

  have ?case
    using fair[OF chain inf cons-in] j-ge
    by (smt (z3) dual-order.trans ldrop-enat ldropn-Suc-conv-ldropn le-Suc-eq sk-lt)
}
ultimately show ?case
  using rem-or-pick-step by blast
qed
end

```

### 12.3 Instantiation with FIFO Queue

As a proof of concept, we show that a FIFO queue can serve as a fair prover lazy list queue.

**type-synonym**  $'e \text{ fifo} = \text{nat} \times ('e \times 'e \text{ llist}) \text{ list}$

**locale** *fifo-prover-lazy-list-queue*  
**begin**

**definition** *empty* ::  $'e \text{ fifo}$  **where**  
*empty* = (0, [])

**fun** *add-llist* ::  $'e \text{ llist} \Rightarrow 'e \text{ fifo} \Rightarrow 'e \text{ fifo}$  **where**  
*add-llist* LNil (num-nils, ps) = (num-nils + 1, ps)  
| *add-llist* (LCons e es) (num-nils, ps) = (num-nils, ps @ [(e, es)])

**fun** *remove-llist* ::  $'e \text{ llist} \Rightarrow 'e \text{ fifo} \Rightarrow 'e \text{ fifo}$  **where**  
*remove-llist* LNil (num-nils, ps) = (num-nils - 1, ps)  
| *remove-llist* (LCons e es) (num-nils, ps) = (num-nils, remove1 (e, es) ps)

**fun** *pick-elem* ::  $'e \text{ fifo} \Rightarrow 'e \times 'e \text{ fifo}$  **where**  
*pick-elem* (-, []) = undefined  
| *pick-elem* (num-nils, (e, es) # ps) =  
(e,  
(case es of  
 LNil  $\Rightarrow$  (num-nils + 1, ps)  
 | LCons e' es'  $\Rightarrow$  (num-nils, ps @ [(e', es')]))))

**fun** *llists* ::  $'e \text{ fifo} \Rightarrow 'e \text{ llist multiset}$  **where**  
*llists* (num-nils, ps) = replicate-mset num-nils LNil + mset (map ( $\lambda(e, es). \text{LCons } e \text{ es}$ ) ps)



```

sublocale prover-lazy-list-queue empty add-llist remove-llist pick-elem llists
proof
  show llists empty = {#}
    unfolding empty-def by simp
next
  fix Q :: 'e fifo
  assume nemp: Q ≠ empty
  thus llists Q ≠ {#}
  proof (cases Q)
    case q: (Pair num-nils ps)
    show ?thesis
    using nemp unfolding q empty-def by auto
  qed
next
  fix es :: 'e llist and Q :: 'e fifo
  show llists (add-llist es Q) = llists Q + {#es#}
    by (cases Q, cases es) auto
next
  fix es :: 'e llist and Q :: 'e fifo
  show llists (remove-llist es Q) = llists Q - {#es#}
  proof (cases Q)
    case q: (Pair num-nils ps)
    show ?thesis
  proof (cases es)
    case LNil
    note es = this
    have inter-emp: {#LCons x y. (x, y) ∈# mset ps#} ∩# {#LNil#} = {#}
      by auto
    show ?thesis
  proof (cases num-nils)
    case num-nils: 0
    have nil-ni: LNil ∉# {#LCons x y. (x, y) ∈# mset ps#}
      by auto
    show ?thesis
    unfolding q es num-nils by (auto simp: diff-single-trivial[OF nil-ni])
  next
    case num-nils: (Suc n)
    show ?thesis
    unfolding q es num-nils by auto
  qed
next
  case (LCons e es')
  note es = this
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases (e, es') ∈# mset ps)
    case pair-in: True
    show ?thesis
    unfolding q es using pair-in by (auto simp: multiset-union-diff-assoc image-mset-Diff)
  next
    case pair-ni: False
    have cons-ni:
      LCons e es' ∉# replicate-mset num-nils LNil + {#LCons x y. (x, y) ∈# mset ps#}
    using pair-ni by auto
    show ?thesis

```

```

    unfolding q es using pair-ni cons-ni by (auto simp: diff-single-trivial)
  qed
  qed
  qed
next
fix Q :: 'e fifo
assume nnil:  $\exists es \in\# \text{llists } Q. es \neq \text{LNil}$ 
show  $\exists e es. \text{LCons } e es \in\# \text{llists } Q \wedge \text{fst } (\text{pick-elem } Q) = e \wedge \text{llists } (\text{snd } (\text{pick-elem } Q)) = \text{llists } Q$ 
-  $\{\#\text{LCons } e es\# \} + \{\#es\# \}$ 
  using nnil
  proof (cases Q)
    case q: (Pair num-nils ps)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases ps)
      case ps: Nil
      have False
      using nnil unfolding q ps by (cases num-nils = 0) auto
    thus ?thesis
    by blast
  next
    case ps: (Cons p ps')
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule exI[of - fst p], rule exI[of - snd p]; intro conjI)
      show  $\text{LCons } (\text{fst } p) (\text{snd } p) \in\# \text{llists } Q$ 
      unfolding q ps by (cases p) auto
    next
      show  $\text{fst } (\text{pick-elem } Q) = \text{fst } p$ 
      unfolding q ps by (cases p) auto
    next
      show  $\text{llists } (\text{snd } (\text{pick-elem } Q)) = \text{llists } Q - \{\#\text{LCons } (\text{fst } p) (\text{snd } p)\# \} + \{\#\text{snd } p\# \}$ 
      proof (cases p)
        case p: (Pair e es)
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases es)
          case es: LNil
          show ?thesis
          unfolding q ps p es by simp
        next
          case es: (LCons e' es')
          show ?thesis
          unfolding q ps p es by simp
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

```

sublocale fair-prover-lazy-list-queue empty add-llist remove-llist pick-elem llists
proof
  fix
    QDs :: ('e fifo  $\times$  'e set) llist and
    e :: 'e and
    es :: 'e llist and
    i :: nat

```

```

assume
  chain: chain lqueue-step QDs and
  inf-pick: infinitely-often pick-lqueue-step QDs and
  cons-in: LCons e es ∈# llists (fst (lnth QDs i))

have len: llength QDs = ∞
using inf-pick unfolding infinitely-often-alt-def
by (metis Suc-ile-eq dual-order.strict-implies-order enat.exhaust enat-ord-simps(2)
      verit-comp-simplify1(3))

{
assume not-rem-step: ¬ (∃ j ≥ i. ∃ ess. LCons e es ∈ set ess
  ∧ remove-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) ess (lnth QDs (Suc j)))

obtain num-nils :: nat and ps :: ('e × 'e llist) list where
  fst-at-i: fst (lnth QDs i) = (num-nils, ps)
by fastforce

obtain k :: nat where
  k-lt: k < length (snd (fst (lnth QDs i))) and
  at-k: snd (fst (lnth QDs i)) ! k = (e, es)
using cons-in unfolding fst-at-i
by simp (smt (verit) empty-iff imageE in-set-conv-nth llist.distinct(1) llist.inject
  prod.collapse singleton-iff split-beta)

have ∀ k' ≤ k. ∃ i' ≥ i. (e, es) ∈ set (take (Suc k') (snd (fst (lnth QDs i'))))
proof –
  have ∃ i' ≥ i. (e, es) ∈ set (take (k + 1 - l) (snd (fst (lnth QDs i'))))
    if l-le: l ≤ k for l
    using l-le
  proof (induct l)
    case 0
    show ?case
    proof (rule exI[of - i]; simp)
      show (e, es) ∈ set (take (Suc k) (snd (fst (lnth QDs i))))
        by (simp add: at-k k-lt take-Suc-conv-app-nth)
    qed
  next
    case (Suc l)
    note ih = this(1) and sl-le = this(2)

    have l-le-k: l ≤ k
      using sl-le by linarith
    note ih = ih[OF l-le-k]

    obtain i' :: nat where
      i'-ge: i' ≥ i and
      cons-at-i': (e, es) ∈ set (take (k + 1 - l) (snd (fst (lnth QDs i'))))
      using ih by blast
    then obtain j0 :: nat where
      j0 ≥ i' and
      pick-lqueue-step (lnth QDs j0) (lnth QDs (Suc j0))
      using inf-pick unfolding infinitely-often-alt-def by auto
    then obtain j :: nat where
      j-ge: j ≥ i' and

```

*pick-step*: *pick-lqueue-step* (*lnth QDs j*) (*lnth QDs (Suc j)*) **and**  
*pick-step-min*:  
 $\forall j'. j' \geq i' \longrightarrow j' < j \longrightarrow \neg \text{pick-lqueue-step } (\text{lnth QDs } j') (\text{lnth QDs } (\text{Suc } j'))$   
**using** *wfP-exists-minimal*[*OF wfp-on-less*, of  
 $\lambda j. j \geq i' \wedge \text{pick-lqueue-step } (\text{lnth QDs } j) (\text{lnth QDs } (\text{Suc } j)) j0 \lambda j. j]$   
**by** *blast*

**have** *cons-at-le-j*:  $(e, es) \in \text{set } (\text{take } (k + 1 - l) (\text{snd } (\text{fst } (\text{lnth QDs } j'))))$   
**if** *j'-ge*:  $j' \geq i'$  **and** *j'-le*:  $j' \leq j$  **for** *j'*

**proof** –

**have**  $(e, es) \in \text{set } (\text{take } (k + 1 - l) (\text{snd } (\text{fst } (\text{lnth QDs } (i' + m)))))$   
**if** *i'm-le*:  $i' + m \leq j$  **for** *m*  
**using** *i'm-le*

**proof** (*induct m*)

**case** 0

**then show** *?case*

**using** *cons-at-i'* **by** *fastforce*

**next**

**case** (*Suc m*)

**note** *ih* = *this(1)* **and** *i'sm-le* = *this(2)*

**have** *i'm-lt*:  $i' + m < j$

**using** *i'sm-le* **by** *linarith*

**have** *i'm-le*:  $i' + m \leq j$

**using** *i'sm-le* **by** *linarith*

**note** *ih* = *ih*[*OF i'm-le*]

**have** *step*: *lqueue-step* (*lnth QDs (i' + m)*) (*lnth QDs (i' + Suc m)*)

**by** (*simp add: chain chain-lnth-rel len*)

**show** *?case*

**using** *step*

**proof** *cases*

**case** (*lqueue-step-fold-add-llistI Q D ess*)

**note** *defs* = *this*

**have** *in-set-fold-add*:  $(e, es) \in \text{set } (\text{take } n (\text{snd } (\text{fold } \text{add-llist } \text{ess } Q)))$

**if**  $(e, es) \in \text{set } (\text{take } n (\text{snd } Q))$  **for** *n*

**using** *that*

**proof** (*induct ess arbitrary: Q*)

**case** (*Cons es' ess'*)

**note** *ih* = *this(1)* **and** *in-q* = *this(2)*

**have** *in-add*:  $(e, es) \in \text{set } (\text{take } n (\text{snd } (\text{add-llist } \text{es}' Q)))$

**proof** (*cases Q*)

**case** *q*: (*Pair num-nils ps*)

**show** *?thesis*

**proof** (*cases es'*)

**case** *es'*: *LNil*

**show** *?thesis*

**using** *in-q unfolding q es'* **by** *simp*

**next**

**case** *es'*: (*LCons e'' es''*)

**show** *?thesis*

**using** *in-q unfolding q es'* **by** *simp*

```

    qed
  qed

  show ?case
    using ih[OF in-add] by simp
  qed simp

  show ?thesis
    using ih unfolding defs by (auto intro: in-set-fold-add)
next
case (lqueue-step-fold-remove-llistI Q D ess)
note defs = this

have notin-set-remove:  $(e, es) \in \text{set } (\text{take } n \text{ (snd (fold remove-llist ess Q))})$ 
  if  $LCons\ e\ es \notin \text{set } ess$  and  $(e, es) \in \text{set } (\text{take } n \text{ (snd Q)})$  for  $n$ 
  using that
proof (induct ess arbitrary: Q)
  case (Cons es' ess')
  note ih = this(1) and ni-es'ess' = this(2) and in-q = this(3)
  have ni-ess':  $LCons\ e\ es \notin \text{set } ess'$ 
    using ni-es'ess' by auto
  have in-rem:  $(e, es) \in \text{set } (\text{take } n \text{ (snd (remove-llist es' Q))})$ 
    by (smt (verit, best) fifo-prover-lazy-list-queue.remove-llist.elims fst-conv in-q
      list.set-intros(1) ne-and-in-set-take-imp-in-set-take-remove1 ni-es'ess'
      snd-conv)
  show ?case
    using ih[OF ni-ess' in-rem] by auto
  qed simp

  have remove-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs (i' + m)) ess (lnth QDs (i' + Suc m))
    unfolding defs by (rule remove-lqueue-step-w-detailsI)
  hence  $LCons\ e\ es \notin \text{set } ess$ 
    using not-rem-step i'-ge by force
  thus ?thesis
    using ih unfolding defs by (auto intro: notin-set-remove)
next
case (lqueue-step-pick-elimI Q D)
note defs = this(1,2) and rest = this(3)

have pick-lqueue-step (lnth QDs (i' + m)) (lnth QDs (i' + Suc m))
proof -
  have  $\exists e\ es. \text{pick-lqueue-step-w-details } (\text{lnth } QDs\ (i' + m))\ e\ es$ 
    (lnth QDs (i' + Suc m))
    unfolding defs using pick-lqueue-step-w-detailsI
    by (metis add-Suc-right llists-pick-elim lqueue-step-pick-elimI(2) rest)
  thus ?thesis
    using pick-lqueue-stepI by fast
qed
moreover have  $\neg \text{pick-lqueue-step } (\text{lnth } QDs\ (i' + m))\ (\text{lnth } QDs\ (i' + Suc\ m))$ 
  using pick-step-min[rule-format, OF le-add1 i'm-lt] by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
  by blast
qed
qed
thus ?thesis

```

```

    by (metis j'-ge j'-le nat-le-iff-add)
qed

show ?case
proof (cases hd (snd (fst (lnth QDs j))) = (e, es))
  case eq-ees: True
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule exI[of - j]; intro conjI)
    show  $i \leq j$ 
    using i'-ge j-ge le-trans by blast
  next
  show  $(e, es) \in \text{set } (\text{take } (k + 1 - \text{Suc } l) (\text{snd } (\text{fst } (\text{lnth } QDs j))))$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) List.hd-in-set Suc-eq-plus1 cons-at-le-j diff-is-0-eq
    eq-ees hd-take j-ge le-imp-less-Suc nle-le not-less-eq-eq sl-le take-eq-Nil2
    zero-less-diff)
qed
next
case ne-ees: False
show ?thesis
proof (rule exI[of - Suc j], intro conjI)
  show  $i \leq \text{Suc } j$ 
  using i'-ge j-ge by linarith
next
obtain  $Q :: 'e \text{ fifo}$  and  $D :: 'e \text{ set}$  and  $e' :: 'e$  and  $es' :: 'e \text{ llist}$  where
  at-j:  $\text{lnth } QDs j = (Q, D)$  and
  at-sj:  $\text{lnth } QDs (\text{Suc } j) = (\text{snd } (\text{pick-elem } Q), D \cup \{e'\})$  and
  pair-in:  $LCons e' es' \in \# \text{ llists } Q$  and
  fst:  $\text{fst } (\text{pick-elem } Q) = e'$  and
  snd:  $\text{llists } (\text{snd } (\text{pick-elem } Q)) = \text{llists } Q - \{\#LCons e' es'\} + \{\#es'\}$ 
  using pick-step unfolding pick-lqueue-step.simps pick-lqueue-step-w-details.simps
  by blast

have cons-at-j:  $(e, es) \in \text{set } (\text{take } (k + 1 - l) (\text{snd } (\text{fst } (\text{lnth } QDs j))))$ 
  using cons-at-le-j[of j] j-ge by blast

show  $(e, es) \in \text{set } (\text{take } (k + 1 - \text{Suc } l) (\text{snd } (\text{fst } (\text{lnth } QDs (\text{Suc } j))))$ 
proof (cases Q)
  case q: (Pair num-nils ps)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases ps)
    case Nil
    hence False
    using at-j cons-at-j q by force
  thus ?thesis
  by blast
next
case ps: (Cons p' ps')
show ?thesis
proof (cases p')
  case p': (Pair e' es')

  have hd-at-j:  $\text{hd } (\text{snd } (\text{fst } (\text{lnth } QDs j))) = (e', es')$ 
  by (simp add: at-j p' ps q)

  show ?thesis

```

```

proof (cases es')
  case es': LNil
    show ?thesis
      using cons-at-j ne-ees Suc-diff-le l-le-k
      unfolding q ps p' es' at-j at-sj hd-at-j by force
  next
    case es': (LCons e'' es'')
      show ?thesis
        using cons-at-j ne-ees Suc-diff-le l-le-k
        unfolding q ps p' es' at-j at-sj hd-at-j by force
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
thus ?thesis
  by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add-right-mono diff-Suc-Suc diff-diff-cancel diff-le-self)
qed
then obtain i' :: nat where
  i'-ge: i' ≥ i and
  cons-at-i': (e, es) ∈ set (take 1 (snd (fst (lnth QDs i'))))
  by auto
then obtain j0 :: nat where
  j0 ≥ i' and
  pick-lqueue-step (lnth QDs j0) (lnth QDs (Suc j0))
  using inf-pick unfolding infinitely-often-alt-def by auto
then obtain j :: nat where
  j-ge: j ≥ i' and
  pick-step: pick-lqueue-step (lnth QDs j) (lnth QDs (Suc j)) and
  pick-step-min:
    ∀ j'. j' ≥ i' → j' < j → ¬ pick-lqueue-step (lnth QDs j') (lnth QDs (Suc j'))
  using wfp-exists-minimal[OF wfp-on-less, of
    λj. j ≥ i' ∧ pick-lqueue-step (lnth QDs j) (lnth QDs (Suc j)) j0 λj. j]
  by blast
hence pick-step-det: ∃ e es. pick-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) e es (lnth QDs (Suc j))
  unfolding pick-lqueue-step.simps by simp
have pick-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) e es (lnth QDs (Suc j))
proof –
  have cons-at-j: (e, es) ∈ set (take 1 (snd (fst (lnth QDs j))))
  proof –
    have (e, es) ∈ set (take 1 (snd (fst (lnth QDs (i' + l))))) if i'l-le: i' + l ≤ j for l
      using i'l-le
    proof (induct l)
      case (Suc l)
      note ih = this(1) and i'sl-le = this(2)

      have i'l-lt: i' + l < j
        using i'sl-le by linarith
      have i'l-le: i' + l ≤ j
        using i'sl-le by linarith
      note ih = ih[OF i'l-le]

      have step: lqueue-step (lnth QDs (i' + l)) (lnth QDs (i' + Suc l))

```

```

by (simp add: chain chain-lnth-rel len)

show ?case
  using step
proof cases
  case (lqueue-step-fold-add-llistI Q D ess)
  note defs = this

  have len-q: length (snd Q) ≥ 1
    using ih by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add.commute empty-iff le-add1 length-0-conv
      list.set(1) list-decode.cases local.lqueue-step-fold-add-llistI(1) prod.sel(1)
      take.simps(1))

  have take: take (Suc 0) (snd (fold add-llist ess Q)) = take (Suc 0) (snd Q)
    using len-q
  proof (induct ess arbitrary: Q)
    case Nil
    show ?case
      by (cases Q) auto
  next
    case (Cons es' ess')
    note ih = this(1) and len-q = this(2)

    have len-add: length (snd (add-llist es' Q)) ≥ 1
    proof (cases Q)
      case q: (Pair num-nils ps)
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases es')
        case es': LNil
        show ?thesis
          using len-q unfolding q es' by simp
      next
        case es': (LCons e'' es'')
        show ?thesis
          using len-q unfolding q es' by simp
      qed
    qed

    note ih = ih[OF len-add]

    show ?case
      using len-q by (simp add: ih, cases Q, cases es', auto)
  qed

  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs using ih take
    by simp (metis local.lqueue-step-fold-add-llistI(1) prod.sel(1))
next
  case (lqueue-step-fold-remove-llistI Q D ess)
  note defs = this

  have remove-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs (i' + l)) ess (lnth QDs (i' + Suc l))
    unfolding defs by (rule remove-lqueue-step-w-detailsI)
  moreover have ¬ (∃ ess. LCons e es ∈ set ess
    ∧ remove-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs (i' + l)) ess (lnth QDs (i' + Suc l)))

```



**using** *not-rem-step add-Suc-right i'-ge trans-le-add1* **by** *presburger*  
**ultimately have** *ees-ni: LCons e es  $\notin$  set ess*  
**by** *blast*

**obtain** *ps' :: ('e  $\times$  'e llist) list* **where**  
*snd-q: snd Q = (e, es) # ps'*  
**using** *ih* **by** (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) One-nat-def fst-eqD in-set-member*  
*in-set-takeD length-pos-if-in-set list.exhaust-sel*  
*lqueue-step-fold-remove-llistI(1) member-rec(1) member-rec(2) nth-Cons-0 take0*  
*take-Suc-conv-app-nth*)

**obtain** *num-nils' :: nat* **where**  
*q: Q = (num-nils', (e, es) # ps')*  
**by** (*metis prod.collapse snd-q*)

**have** *take-1: take 1 (snd (fold remove-llist ess Q)) = take 1 (snd Q)*  
**unfolding** *q* **using** *ees-ni*  
**proof** (*induct ess arbitrary: num-nils' ps'*)  
**case** (*Cons es' ess'*)  
**note** *ih = this(1)* **and** *ees-ni = this(2)*

**have** *ees-ni': LCons e es  $\notin$  set ess'*  
**using** *ees-ni* **by** *simp*  
**note** *ih = ih[OF ees-ni']*

**have** *es'-ne: es'  $\neq$  LCons e es*  
**using** *ees-ni* **by** *auto*

**show** *?case*  
**proof** (*cases es'*)  
**case** *LNil*  
**then show** *?thesis*  
**using** *ih* **by** *auto*  
**next**  
**case** *es': (LCons e'' es'')*  
**show** *?thesis*  
**using** *ih es'-ne unfolding es'* **by** *auto*  
**qed**  
**qed** *auto*

**show** *?thesis*  
**unfolding** *defs* **using** *ih take-1*  
**by** *simp (metis lqueue-step-fold-remove-llistI(1) prod.sel(1))*  
**next**  
**case** (*lqueue-step-pick-elemI Q D*)  
**note** *defs = this(1,2)* **and** *rest = this(3)*

**have** *pick-lqueue-step (lnth QDs (i' + l)) (lnth QDs (Suc (i' + l)))*  
**proof** –  
**have**  $\exists e$  *es. pick-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs (i' + l)) e es*  
*(lnth QDs (Suc (i' + l)))*  
**unfolding** *defs* **using** *pick-lqueue-step-w-detailsI*  
**by** (*metis add-Suc-right llists-pick-elem lqueue-step-pick-elemI(2) rest*)  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**using** *pick-lqueue-stepI* **by** *fast*

```

qed
moreover have  $\neg$  pick-lqueue-step (lnth QDs (i' + l)) (lnth QDs (Suc (i' + l)))
  using pick-step-min[rule-format, OF le-add1 i'!l].
ultimately show ?thesis
  by blast
qed
qed (use cons-at-i' in auto)
thus ?thesis
  by (metis dual-order.refl j-ge nat-le-iff-add)
qed
hence cons-in-fst: (e, es)  $\in$  set (snd (fst (lnth QDs j)))
  using in-set-takeD by force

obtain ps' :: ('e  $\times$  'e llist) list where
  fst-at-j: snd (fst (lnth QDs j)) = (e, es) # ps'
  using cons-at-j by (metis One-nat-def cons-in-fst empty-iff empty-set length-pos-if-in-set
    list.set-cases nth-Cons-0 self-append-conv2 set-ConsD take0 take-Suc-conv-app-nth)

have fst-pick: fst (pick-elem (fst (lnth QDs j))) = e
  using fst-at-j by (metis fst-conv pick-elem.simps(2) surjective-pairing)
have snd-pick: llists (snd (pick-elem (fst (lnth QDs j)))) =
  llists (fst (lnth QDs j)) - {#LCons e es#} + {#es#}
  by (subst (1 2) surjective-pairing[of fst (lnth QDs j)], unfold fst-at-j, cases es, auto)

obtain Q :: 'e fifo and D :: 'e set where
  at-j: lnth QDs j = (Q, D)
  by fastforce

show ?thesis
  unfolding pick-lqueue-step-w-details.simps
proof (rule exI[of - e], rule exI[of - es], rule exI[of - Q], rule exI[of - D], intro conjI)
  show lnth QDs (Suc j) = (snd (pick-elem Q), D  $\cup$  {e})
    by (smt (verit, best) at-j fst-conv fst-pick pick-lqueue-step-w-details.simps
      pick-step-det snd-conv)
next
  have LCons e es  $\in$  # llists (fst (lnth QDs j))
    by (subst surjective-pairing) (auto simp: fst-at-j)
  thus LCons e es  $\in$  # llists Q
    unfolding at-j by simp
next
  show fst (pick-elem Q) = e
    using at-j fst-pick by force
next
  show llists (snd (pick-elem Q)) = llists Q - {#LCons e es#} + {#es#}
    using at-j snd-pick by fastforce
qed (rule refl at-j)+
qed
hence  $\exists j \geq i$ . pick-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) e es (lnth QDs (Suc j))
  using i'-ge j-ge le-trans by blast
}
thus  $\exists j \geq i$ .
  ( $\exists$  ess. LCons e es  $\in$  set ess  $\wedge$  remove-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) ess (lnth QDs (Suc j)))
 $\vee$  pick-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth QDs j) e es (lnth QDs (Suc j))
  by blast
qed

```

end

end

## 13 Fair Zipperposition Loop with Ghosts

**theory** *Fair-Zipperposition-Loop*

**imports**

*Given-Clause-Loops-Util*

*Zipperposition-Loop*

*Prover-Lazy-List-Queue*

**begin**

The fair Zipperposition loop makes assumptions about the scheduled inference queue and the passive clause queue and ensures (dynamic) refutational completeness under these assumptions. This version inherits the ghost state component from the “unfair” version of the loop.

### 13.1 Locale

**type-synonym** (*'t*, *'p*, *'f*) *ZLf-state* = *'t* × *'f inference set* × *'p* × *'f option* × *'f fset*

**locale** *fair-zipperposition-loop* =

*discount-loop Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q Red-F-q G-F-q G-I-q Equiv-F Prec-F +*

*todo: fair-prover-lazy-list-queue t-empty t-add-llist t-remove-llist t-pick-elem t-llists +*

*passive: fair-prover-queue p-empty p-select p-add p-remove p-felems*

**for**

*Bot-F* :: *'f set* **and**

*Inf-F* :: *'f inference set* **and**

*Bot-G* :: *'g set* **and**

*Q* :: *'q set* **and**

*entails-q* :: *'q* ⇒ *'g set* ⇒ *'g set* ⇒ *bool* **and**

*Inf-G-q* :: *'q* ⇒ *'g inference set* **and**

*Red-I-q* :: *'q* ⇒ *'g set* ⇒ *'g inference set* **and**

*Red-F-q* :: *'q* ⇒ *'g set* ⇒ *'g set* **and**

*G-F-q* :: *'q* ⇒ *'f* ⇒ *'g set* **and**

*G-I-q* :: *'q* ⇒ *'f inference* ⇒ *'g inference set option* **and**

*Equiv-F* :: *'f* ⇒ *'f* ⇒ *bool* (**infix**  $\langle \doteq \rangle$  50) **and**

*Prec-F* :: *'f* ⇒ *'f* ⇒ *bool* (**infix**  $\langle \prec \cdot \rangle$  50) **and**

*t-empty* :: *'t* **and**

*t-add-llist* :: *'f inference llist* ⇒ *'t* ⇒ *'t* **and**

*t-remove-llist* :: *'f inference llist* ⇒ *'t* ⇒ *'t* **and**

*t-pick-elem* :: *'t* ⇒ *'f inference* × *'t* **and**

*t-llists* :: *'t* ⇒ *'f inference llist multiset* **and**

*p-empty* :: *'p* **and**

*p-select* :: *'p* ⇒ *'f* **and**

*p-add* :: *'f* ⇒ *'p* ⇒ *'p* **and**

*p-remove* :: *'f* ⇒ *'p* ⇒ *'p* **and**

*p-felems* :: *'p* ⇒ *'f fset* +

**fixes**

*Prec-S* :: *'f* ⇒ *'f* ⇒ *bool* (**infix**  $\langle \prec S \rangle$  50)

**assumes**

*wfp-Prec-S*: *wfp* ( $\prec S$ ) **and**

*transp-Prec-S*: *transp* ( $\prec S$ ) **and**

*countable-Inf-between*: *finite* *A* ⇒ *countable* (*no-labels.Inf-between* *A* {*C*})

**begin**

**lemma** *trans-Prec-S*:  $\text{trans } \{(x, y). x \prec_S y\}$   
**using** *transp-Prec-S transp-trans* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *irreflp-Prec-S*:  $\text{irreflp } (\prec_S)$   
**using** *wfp-imp-irreflp wfp-Prec-S* **by** *blast*

**lemma** *irrefl-Prec-S*:  $\text{irrefl } \{(x, y). x \prec_S y\}$   
**by** (*metis CollectD case-prod-conv irrefl-def irreflp-Prec-S irreflp-def*)

## 13.2 Basic Definitions and Lemmas

**abbreviation** *todo-of* ::  $(t, 'p, 'f)$  ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$   $'t$  **where**  
 $\text{todo-of } St \equiv \text{fst } St$

**abbreviation** *done-of* ::  $(t, 'p, 'f)$  ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$   $'f$  inference set **where**  
 $\text{done-of } St \equiv \text{fst } (\text{snd } St)$

**abbreviation** *passive-of* ::  $(t, 'p, 'f)$  ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$   $'p$  **where**  
 $\text{passive-of } St \equiv \text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } St))$

**abbreviation** *yy-of* ::  $(t, 'p, 'f)$  ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$   $'f$  option **where**  
 $\text{yy-of } St \equiv \text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } St)))$

**abbreviation** *active-of* ::  $(t, 'p, 'f)$  ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$   $'f$  fset **where**  
 $\text{active-of } St \equiv \text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } St)))$

**abbreviation** *all-formulas-of* ::  $(t, 'p, 'f)$  ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$   $'f$  set **where**  
 $\text{all-formulas-of } St \equiv \text{passive.elems } (\text{passive-of } St) \cup \text{set-option } (\text{yy-of } St) \cup \text{fset } (\text{active-of } St)$

**fun** *zl-fstate* ::  $(t, 'p, 'f)$  ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$   $'f$  inference set  $\times$   $(f \times \text{DL-label})$  set **where**  
 $\text{zl-fstate } (T, D, P, Y, A) = \text{zl-state } (t\text{-llists } T, D, \text{passive.elems } P, \text{set-option } Y, \text{fset } A)$

**lemma** *zl-fstate-alt-def*:  
 $\text{zl-fstate } St = \text{zl-state } (t\text{-llists } (\text{fst } St), \text{fst } (\text{snd } St), \text{passive.elems } (\text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } St))),$   
 $\text{set-option } (\text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } St)))), \text{fset } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } St))))))$   
**by** (*cases St*) *auto*

**definition**

$\text{Liminf-zl-fstate} :: (t, 'p, 'f)$  ZLf-state  $\text{llist} \Rightarrow 'f$  set  $\times 'f$  set  $\times 'f$  set  
**where**  
 $\text{Liminf-zl-fstate } Sts =$   
 $(\text{Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{passive.elems} \circ \text{passive-of}) Sts),$   
 $\text{Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{set-option} \circ \text{yy-of}) Sts),$   
 $\text{Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{fset} \circ \text{active-of}) Sts))$

**lemma** *Liminf-zl-fstate-commute*:

$\text{Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{snd} \circ \text{zl-fstate}) Sts) = \text{labeled-formulas-of } (\text{Liminf-zl-fstate } Sts)$

**proof** –

**have**  $\text{Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{snd} \circ \text{zl-fstate}) Sts) =$   
 $(\lambda C. (C, \text{Passive})) \text{ 'Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{passive.elems} \circ \text{passive-of}) Sts) \cup$   
 $(\lambda C. (C, \text{YY})) \text{ 'Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{set-option} \circ \text{yy-of}) Sts) \cup$   
 $(\lambda C. (C, \text{Active})) \text{ 'Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{fset} \circ \text{active-of}) Sts)$   
**unfolding** *zl-fstate-alt-def zl-state-alt-def*  
**apply** *simp*  
**apply** (*subst Liminf-llist-lmap-union, fast*)  
**apply** (*subst Liminf-llist-lmap-image, simp add: inj-on-convol-ident*)  
**by** *auto*

**thus** *?thesis*

**unfolding** *Liminf-zl-fstate-def* by *fastforce*  
**qed**

**fun** *formulas-union* :: 'f set × 'f set × 'f set ⇒ 'f set **where**  
*formulas-union* (P, Y, A) = P ∪ Y ∪ A

**inductive**

*fair-ZL* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state ⇒ ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state ⇒ bool (**infix** ⟨*∼*ZLf⟩ 50)  
**where**

*compute-infer*: (∃ *ιs* ∈# *t-llists* T. *ιs* ≠ LNil) ⇒ *t-pick-elem* T = (*ι0*, T') ⇒  
*ι0* ∈ *no-labels.Red-I* (fset A ∪ {C}) ⇒  
(T, D, P, None, A) *∼*ZLf (T', D ∪ {*ι0*}, *p-add* C P, None, A)  
| *choose-p*: P ≠ *p-empty* ⇒  
(T, D, P, None, A) *∼*ZLf (T, D, *p-remove* (*p-select* P) P, Some (*p-select* P), A)  
| *delete-fwd*: C ∈ *no-labels.Red-F* (fset A) ∨ (∃ C' ∈ fset A. C' ⋖ C) ⇒  
(T, D, P, Some C, A) *∼*ZLf (T, D, P, None, A)  
| *simplify-fwd*: C' ⋖ S C ⇒ C ∈ *no-labels.Red-F* (fset A ∪ {C'}) ⇒  
(T, D, P, Some C, A) *∼*ZLf (T, D, P, Some C', A)  
| *delete-bwd*: C' |∉| A ⇒ C' ∈ *no-labels.Red-F* {C} ∨ C' ⋗ C ⇒  
(T, D, P, Some C, A |∪| {C'}) *∼*ZLf (T, D, P, Some C, A)  
| *simplify-bwd*: C' |∉| A ⇒ C'' ⋖ S C' ⇒ C' ∈ *no-labels.Red-F* {C, C''} ⇒  
(T, D, P, Some C, A |∪| {C'}) *∼*ZLf (T, D, *p-add* C'' P, Some C, A)  
| *schedule-infer*: *flat-inferences-of* (mset *ιss*) = *no-labels.Inf-between* (fset A) {C} ⇒  
(T, D, P, Some C, A) *∼*ZLf  
(fold *t-add-llist* *ιss* T, D - *flat-inferences-of* (mset *ιss*), P, None, A |∪| {C})  
| *delete-orphan-infers*: *ιs* ∈# *t-llists* T ⇒ *lset* *ιs* ∩ *no-labels.Inf-from* (fset A) = {} ⇒  
(T, D, P, Y, A) *∼*ZLf (*t-remove-llist* *ιs* T, D ∪ *lset* *ιs*, P, Y, A)

**inductive** *compute-infer-step* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state ⇒ ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state ⇒ bool **where**  
(∃ *ιs* ∈# *t-llists* T. *ιs* ≠ LNil) ⇒ *t-pick-elem* T = (*ι0*, T') ⇒  
*ι0* ∈ *no-labels.Red-I* (fset A ∪ {C}) ⇒  
*compute-infer-step* (T, D, P, None, A) (T', D ∪ {*ι0*}, *p-add* C P, None, A)

The step below is slightly more general than the corresponding step in (*∼*ZLf), in the way it handles the *D* component. The extra generality simplifies an argument later, when we erase the *D* “ghost” component of the state.

**inductive** *choose-p-step* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state ⇒ ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state ⇒ bool **where**  
P ≠ *p-empty* ⇒  
*choose-p-step* (T, D, P, None, A) (T, D', *p-remove* (*p-select* P) P, Some (*p-select* P), A)

### 13.3 Initial State and Invariant

**inductive** *is-initial-ZLf-state* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state ⇒ bool **where**  
*flat-inferences-of* (mset *ιss*) = *no-labels.Inf-from* {} ⇒  
*is-initial-ZLf-state* (fold *t-add-llist* *ιss* *t-empty*, {}, *p-empty*, None, {||})

**inductive** *ZLf-invariant* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state ⇒ bool **where**  
*flat-inferences-of* (*t-llists* T) ⊆ *Inf-F* ⇒ *ZLf-invariant* (T, D, P, Y, A)

**lemma** *initial-ZLf-invariant*:  
**assumes** *is-initial-ZLf-state* St  
**shows** *ZLf-invariant* St  
**using** *assms*

**proof**  
**fix** *ιss*

**assume**  
*st*:  $St = (\text{fold } t\text{-add-llist } \text{iss } t\text{-empty}, \{\}, p\text{-empty}, \text{None}, \{\})$  **and**  
*iss*:  $\text{flat-inferences-of } (\text{mset } \text{iss}) = \text{no-labels.Inf-from } \{\}$

**have**  $\text{flat-inferences-of } (t\text{-llists } (\text{fold } t\text{-add-llist } \text{iss } t\text{-empty})) \subseteq \text{Inf-F}$   
**using** *iss no-labels.Inf-if-Inf-from* **by force**  
**thus** *ZLf-invariant St*  
**unfolding** *st* **using** *ZLf-invariant.intros* **by blast**  
**qed**

**lemma** *step-ZLf-invariant*:

**assumes**  
*inv*: *ZLf-invariant St* **and**  
*step*:  $St \sim_{\text{ZLf}} St'$   
**shows** *ZLf-invariant St'*  
**using** *step inv*

**proof** *cases*

**case** (*compute-infer T ι0 T' A C D P*)  
**note** *defs = this(1,2)* **and** *has-el = this(3)* **and** *pick = this(4)*

**have**  $t': T' = \text{snd } (t\text{-pick-elem } T)$   
**using** *pick* **by simp**

**obtain**  $\text{ιs}'$  **where**

$\text{ι0}\text{ιs}'\text{-in}$ :  $L\text{Cons } \text{ι0 } \text{ιs}' \in\# t\text{-llists } T$  **and**  
*lists-t'*:  $t\text{-llists } T' = t\text{-llists } T - \{\#L\text{Cons } \text{ι0 } \text{ιs}'\#\} + \{\#\text{ιs}'\#\}$   
**using** *todo.llists-pick-elem[OF has-el, folded t'] pick* **by auto**

**let**  $?II = \{\text{lset } \text{ιs} \mid \text{ιs. } \text{ιs} \in\# t\text{-llists } T\}$   
**let**  $?I = \bigcup ?II$

**have**  $\bigcup \{\text{lset } \text{ιs} \mid \text{ιs. } \text{ιs} \in\# t\text{-llists } T - \{\#L\text{Cons } \text{ι0 } \text{ιs}'\#\} + \{\#\text{ιs}'\#\}\} =$   
 $(\bigcup \{\text{lset } \text{ιs} \mid \text{ιs. } \text{ιs} \in\# t\text{-llists } T - \{\#L\text{Cons } \text{ι0 } \text{ιs}'\#\}\}) \cup \text{lset } \text{ιs}'$   
**by auto**

**also have**  $\dots \subseteq (\bigcup \{\text{lset } \text{ιs} \mid \text{ιs. } \text{ιs} \in\# t\text{-llists } T - \{\#L\text{Cons } \text{ι0 } \text{ιs}'\#\}\}) \cup \{\text{ι0}\} \cup \text{lset } \text{ιs}'$   
**unfolding** *lists-t'*  
**by auto**

**also have**  $\dots \subseteq ?I \cup \{\text{ι0}\} \cup \text{lset } \text{ιs}'$

**proof** –

**have**  $\bigcup \{\text{lset } \text{ιs} \mid \text{ιs. } \text{ιs} \in\# t\text{-llists } T - \{\#L\text{Cons } \text{ι0 } \text{ιs}'\#\}\} \subseteq \bigcup \{\text{lset } \text{ιs} \mid \text{ιs. } \text{ιs} \in\# t\text{-llists } T\}$   
**using** *Union-Setcompr-member-mset-mono[of t-llists T - {#LCons ι0 ιs'#} t-llists T lset]*  
**by auto**

**thus** *?thesis*  
**by blast**

**qed**

**also have**  $\dots \subseteq ?I$

**proof** –

**have**  $\text{ι0} \in ?I$   
**using** *todo.llists-pick-elem[OF has-el, folded t'] pick* **by auto**

**moreover have**  $\text{lset } \text{ιs}' \subseteq ?I$   
**using** *todo.llists-pick-elem[OF has-el, folded t'] pick ι0ιs'-in* **by auto**

**ultimately show** *?thesis*  
**by blast**

**qed**

**finally show** *?thesis*

```

    using inv unfolding defs ZLf-invariant.simps by (simp add: lists-t')
next
case (schedule-infer  $\iota$ ss A C T D P)
note defs = this(1,2) and  $\iota$ ss-inf-betw = this(3)
have  $\bigcup \{lset \iota \mid \iota. \iota \in set \iota ss\} \subseteq Inf-F$ 
    using  $\iota$ ss-inf-betw unfolding no-labels.Inf-between-def no-labels.Inf-from-def by auto
thus ?thesis
    using inv unfolding defs ZLf-invariant.simps by simp blast
next
case (delete-orphan-infers  $\iota$ s T A D P Y)
note defs = this(1,2)
have  $\bigcup \{lset \iota \mid \iota. \iota \in \# t\text{-llists } T - \{\#\iota s\#\}\} \subseteq \bigcup \{lset \iota \mid \iota. \iota \in \# t\text{-llists } T\}$ 
    using Union-Setcompr-member-mset-mono[of t-llists T -  $\{\#\iota s\#\}$  t-llists T lset] by auto
thus ?thesis
    using inv unfolding defs ZLf-invariant.simps by simp
qed (auto simp: ZLf-invariant.simps)

```

```

lemma chain-ZLf-invariant-lnth:
  assumes
    chain: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ZLf) Sts and
    fair-hd: ZLf-invariant (lhd Sts) and
    i-lt: enat i < llength Sts
  shows ZLf-invariant (lnth Sts i)
  using i-lt
proof (induct i)
  case 0
  thus ?case
    using fair-hd lhd-conv-lnth zero-enat-def by fastforce
next
case (Suc i)
note ih = this(1) and si-lt = this(2)

  have enat i < llength Sts
    using si-lt Suc-ile-eq nless-le by blast
  hence inv-i: ZLf-invariant (lnth Sts i)
    by (rule ih)
  have step: lnth Sts i  $\rightsquigarrow$ ZLf lnth Sts (Suc i)
    using chain chain-lnth-rel si-lt by blast

  show ?case
    by (rule step-ZLf-invariant[OF inv-i step])
qed

```

```

lemma chain-ZLf-invariant-llast:
  assumes
    chain: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ZLf) Sts and
    fair-hd: ZLf-invariant (lhd Sts) and
    fin: lfinite Sts
  shows ZLf-invariant (llast Sts)
proof -
  obtain i :: nat where
    i: llength Sts = enat i
    using lfinite-llength-enat[OF fin] by blast

  have im1-lt: enat (i - 1) < llength Sts

```

**using**  $i$  **by** (*metis chain chain-length-pos diff-less enat-ord-simps(2) less-numeral-extra(1)*  
*zero-enat-def*)

**show** *?thesis*

**using** *chain-ZLf-invariant-lnth[OF chain fair-hd im1-lt]*

**by** (*metis Suc-diff-1 chain chain-length-pos eSuc-enat enat-ord-simps(2) i llast-conv-lnth*  
*zero-enat-def*)

**qed**

### 13.4 Final State

**inductive** *is-final-ZLf-state* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*is-final-ZLf-state (t-empty, D, p-empty, None, A)*

**lemma** *is-final-ZLf-state-iff-no-ZLf-step*:

**assumes** *inv: ZLf-invariant St*

**shows** *is-final-ZLf-state St*  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall St'. \neg St \rightsquigarrow ZLf St'$ )

**proof**

**assume** *is-final-ZLf-state St*

**then obtain**  $D :: 'f$  *inference set* **and**  $A :: 'f$  *fset* **where**

*st: St = (t-empty, D, p-empty, None, A)*

**by** (*auto simp: is-final-ZLf-state.simps*)

**show**  $\forall St'. \neg St \rightsquigarrow ZLf St'$

**unfolding** *st*

**proof** (*intro allI notI*)

**fix**  $St'$

**assume** (*t-empty, D, p-empty, None, A*)  $\rightsquigarrow ZLf St'$

**thus** *False*

**by** *cases auto*

**qed**

**next**

**assume** *no-step:  $\forall St'. \neg St \rightsquigarrow ZLf St'$*

**show** *is-final-ZLf-state St*

**proof** (*rule ccontr*)

**assume** *not-fin:  $\neg is-final-ZLf-state St$*

**obtain**  $T :: 't$  **and**  $D :: 'f$  *inference set* **and**  $P :: 'p$  **and**  $Y :: 'f$  *option* **and**  
 $A :: 'f$  *fset* **where**

*st: St = (T, D, P, Y, A)*

**by** (*cases St*)

**have**  $T \neq t\text{-empty} \vee P \neq p\text{-empty} \vee Y \neq None$

**using** *not-fin unfolding st is-final-ZLf-state.simps* **by** *auto*

**moreover** {

**assume**

*t: T  $\neq$  t-empty* **and**

*y: Y = None*

**have**  $\exists St'. St \rightsquigarrow ZLf St'$

**proof** (*cases todo.has-elem T*)

**case** *has-el: True*

**obtain**  $\iota 0 :: 'f$  *inference* **and**  $T' :: 't$  **where**

*pick: t-pick-elem T = ( $\iota 0, T'$ )*

**by** *fastforce*



```

obtain  $\iota s'$  where
   $\iota 0 \iota s'$ -in:  $LCons \iota 0 \iota s' \in \# t\text{-llists } T$  and
  lists-t':  $t\text{-llists } T' = t\text{-llists } T - \{\#LCons \iota 0 \iota s'\#\} + \{\#\iota s'\#\}$ 
  using todo.llists-pick-elim[OF has-el] pick by auto

have  $\iota 0 \in \bigcup \{lset \iota \mid \iota. \iota \in \# t\text{-llists } T\}$ 
  using  $\iota 0 \iota s'$ -in by auto
hence  $\iota 0 \in Inf\text{-}F$ 
  using inv t unfolding st ZLf-invariant.simps by auto
hence  $\iota 0\text{-red}: \iota 0 \in no\text{-labels.Red-I-}\mathcal{G} (fset A \cup \{concl\text{-of } \iota 0\})$ 
  by (simp add: no-labels.empty-ord.Red-I-of-Inf-to-N)

show ?thesis
  using fair-ZL.compute-infer[OF has-el pick \iota 0-red] unfolding st y by blast
next
case has-no-el: False

have nil-in: LNil  $\in \# t\text{-llists } T$ 
  by (metis has-no-el multiset-nonemptyE t todo.llists-not-empty)
have nil-inter: lset LNil  $\cap no\text{-labels.Inf-from } (fset A) = \{\}$ 
  by simp

show ?thesis
  using fair-ZL.delete-orphan-infers[OF nil-in nil-inter] unfolding st t y by fast
qed
}
moreover
{
  assume
     $p: P \neq p\text{-empty}$  and
     $y: Y = None$ 

  have  $\exists St'. St \rightsquigarrow_{ZLf} St'$ 
    using fair-ZL.choose-p[OF p] unfolding st p y by fast
}
moreover
{
  assume  $Y \neq None$ 
  then obtain  $C :: 'f$  where
     $y: Y = Some C$ 
    by blast

  obtain  $\iota s :: 'f$  inference llist where
     $\iota ss: flat\text{-inferences-of } (mset [\iota s]) = no\text{-labels.Inf-between } (fset A) \{C\}$ 
    using countable-imp-lset[OF countable-Inf-between [OF finite-fset]] by force

  have  $\exists St'. St \rightsquigarrow_{ZLf} St'$ 
    using fair-ZL.schedule-infer[OF \iota ss] unfolding st y by fast
} ultimately show False
  using no-step by force
qed
qed

```

## 13.5 Refinement

**lemma** *fair-ZL-step-imp-ZL-step*:

```

assumes zlf: (T, D, P, Y, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$  ZLf (T', D', P', Y', A')
shows zlfstate (T, D, P, Y, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$  ZL zlfstate (T', D', P', Y', A')
using zlf
proof cases
  case (compute-infer  $\iota 0$  C)
  note defs = this(1-5) and has-el = this(6) and pick = this(7) and  $\iota$ -red = this(8)

  obtain  $\iota s'$  where
     $\iota 0 \iota s'$ -in: LCons  $\iota 0$   $\iota s' \in \#$  t-llists T and
    lists-t': t-llists T' = t-llists T - {#LCons  $\iota 0$   $\iota s' \#$ } + {# $\iota s' \#$ }
    using todo.llists-pick-elem[OF has-el] pick by auto

  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs zlfstate-alt-def prod.sel option.set lists-t'
    using ZL.compute-infer[OF  $\iota$ -red, of t-llists T - {#LCons  $\iota 0$   $\iota s' \#$ }  $\iota s'$  D passive elems P]
       $\iota 0 \iota s'$ -in
    by auto
  next
  case choose-p
  note defs = this(1-6) and p-nemp = this(7)

  have elems-rem-sel-uni-sel:
    passive.elems (p-remove (p-select P) P)  $\cup$  {p-select P} = passive.elems P
    using p-nemp by force

  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs zlfstate-alt-def prod.sel option.set
    using ZL.choose-p[of t-llists T D passive.elems (p-remove (p-select P) P) p-select P
      fset A]
    by (metis elems-rem-sel-uni-sel)
  next
  case (delete-fwd C)
  note defs = this(1-6) and c-red = this(7)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs zlfstate-alt-def using ZL.delete-fwd[OF c-red] by simp
  next
  case (simplify-fwd C' C)
  note defs = this(1-6) and c-red = this(8)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs zlfstate-alt-def using ZL.simplify-fwd[OF c-red] by simp
  next
  case (delete-bwd C' C)
  note defs = this(1-6) and c'-red = this(8)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs zlfstate-alt-def using ZL.delete-bwd[OF c'-red] by simp
  next
  case (simplify-bwd C' C'' C)
  note defs = this(1-6) and c''-red = this(9)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs zlfstate-alt-def using ZL.simplify-bwd[OF c''-red] by simp
  next
  case (schedule-infer  $\iota s s$  C)
  note defs = this(1-6) and  $\iota s s$  = this(7)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs zlfstate-alt-def prod.sel option.set

```

```

    using ZL.schedule-infer[OF  $\iota$ ss, of t-llists T D passive.elems P]
    by (simp add: Un-commute)
next
case (delete-orphan-infers  $\iota$ s)
note defs = this(1-5) and  $\iota$ s-in = this(6) and inter = this(7)

show ?thesis
  unfolding defs zl-fstate-alt-def todo.llist-remove prod.sel option.set
  using ZL.delete-orphan-infers[OF inter, of t-llists T - {# $\iota$ s#} D passive.elems P
    set-option Y]
     $\iota$ s-in
  by simp
qed

```

**lemma** fair-ZL-step-imp-GC-step:

```

(T, D, P, Y, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$  ZLf (T', D', P', Y', A')  $\implies$ 
zl-fstate (T, D, P, Y, A)  $\rightsquigarrow$  LGC zl-fstate (T', D', P', Y', A')
by (rule ZL-step-imp-LGC-step[OF fair-ZL-step-imp-ZL-step])

```

### 13.6 Completeness

**fun** mset-of-zl-fstate :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$  'f multiset **where**  
 mset-of-zl-fstate (T, D, P, Y, A) =  
 mset-set (passive.elems P) + mset-set (set-option Y) + mset-set (fset A)

**abbreviation** Precprec-S :: 'f multiset  $\Rightarrow$  'f multiset  $\Rightarrow$  bool (**infix**  $\prec\prec S$  50) **where**  
 $\prec\prec S \equiv \text{multp } (\prec S)$

**lemma** wfP-Precprec-S: wfP  $(\prec\prec S)$   
 using wfp-multp wfp-Prec-S by blast

**definition** Less-state :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool (**infix**  $\sqsubset$  50)  
**where**

```

St'  $\sqsubset$  St  $\iff$ 
  mset-of-zl-fstate St'  $\prec\prec S$  mset-of-zl-fstate St
 $\vee$  (mset-of-zl-fstate St' = mset-of-zl-fstate St
 $\wedge$  (mset-set (passive.elems (passive-of St'))  $\prec\prec S$  mset-set (passive.elems (passive-of St))
 $\vee$  (passive.elems (passive-of St') = passive.elems (passive-of St)
 $\wedge$  (mset-set (set-option (yy-of St'))  $\prec\prec S$  mset-set (set-option (yy-of St))
 $\vee$  (mset-set (set-option (yy-of St')) = mset-set (set-option (yy-of St))
 $\wedge$  size (t-llists (todo-of St')) < size (t-llists (todo-of St))))))

```

**lemma** wfP-Less-state: wfP  $(\sqsubset)$

**proof** –

```

let ?msetset = {(M', M). M'  $\prec\prec S$  M}
let ?natset = {(n', n :: nat). n' < n}
let ?quad-of =  $\lambda$ St. (mset-of-zl-fstate St, mset-set (passive.elems (passive-of St)),
  mset-set (set-option (yy-of St)), size (t-llists (todo-of St)))

```

**have** wf-msetset: wf ?msetset

using wfP-Precprec-S wfp-def by auto

**have** wf-natset: wf ?natset

by (rule Wellfounded.wellorder-class.wf)

**have** wf-lex-prod: wf (?msetset  $\langle *lex* \rangle$  ?msetset  $\langle *lex* \rangle$  ?msetset  $\langle *lex* \rangle$  ?natset)

by (rule wf-lex-prod[OF wf-msetset wf-lex-prod[OF wf-msetset  
 wf-lex-prod[OF wf-msetset wf-natset]]])

```

have Less-state-alt-def:  $\bigwedge St' St. St' \sqsubset St \longleftrightarrow$ 
  (?quad-of St', ?quad-of St)  $\in$  ?msetset <*lex*> ?msetset <*lex*> ?msetset <*lex*> ?natset
  unfolding Less-state-def by auto

show ?thesis
  unfolding wfp-def Less-state-alt-def using wf-app[of - ?quad-of] wf-lex-prod by blast
qed

lemma non-compute-infer-ZLf-step-imp-Less-state:
  assumes
    step:  $St \sim ZLf St'$  and
    non-ci:  $\neg$  compute-infer-step St St'
  shows  $St' \sqsubset St$ 
  using step
proof cases
  case (compute-infer T ι0 ιs A C D P)
  hence False
    using non-ci[unfolded compute-infer-step.simps] by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by blast
next
  case (choose-p P T D A)
  note defs = this(1,2)

  have all:  $add\text{-}mset\ (p\text{-}select\ P)\ (mset\text{-}set\ (passive.\text{elems}\ P - \{p\text{-}select\ P\})) =$ 
     $mset\text{-}set\ (passive.\text{elems}\ P)$ 
    by (metis finite-fset local.choose-p(3) mset-set.remove passive.select-in-felems)
  have pas:  $mset\text{-}set\ (passive.\text{elems}\ P - \{p\text{-}select\ P\}) \prec\prec_S mset\text{-}set\ (passive.\text{elems}\ P)$ 
    by (metis all multi-psub-of-add-self subset-implies-multp)

  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs Less-state-def by (simp add: all pas)
next
  case (delete-fwd C A T D P)
  note defs = this(1,2)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs Less-state-def by (auto intro!: subset-implies-multp)
next
  case (simplify-fwd C' C A T D P)
  note defs = this(1,2) and prec = this(3)

  let ?new-bef =  $mset\text{-}set\ (passive.\text{elems}\ P) + mset\text{-}set\ (fset\ A) + \{\#C\#\}$ 
  let ?new-aft =  $mset\text{-}set\ (passive.\text{elems}\ P) + mset\text{-}set\ (fset\ A) + \{\#C'\#\}$ 

  have ?new-aft  $\prec\prec_S$  ?new-bef
    unfolding multp-def
  proof (subst mult-cancelL[OF trans-Prec-S irrefl-Prec-S], fold multp-def)
    show  $\{\#C'\#\} \prec\prec_S \{\#C\#\}$ 
      unfolding multp-def using prec by (auto intro: singletons-in-mult)
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding defs Less-state-def by simp
next
  case (delete-bwd C' A C T D P)

```

```

note defs = this(1,2) and c-ni = this(3)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs Less-state-def using c-ni
  by (auto intro!: subset-implies-multp)
next
case (simplify-bwd C' A C'' C T D P)
note defs = this(1,2) and c'-ni = this(3) and prec = this(4)

show ?thesis
proof (cases C'' ∈ passive.elms P)
  case c''-in: True
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs Less-state-def using c'-ni
    by (auto simp: insert-absorb[OF c''-in] intro!: subset-implies-multp)
next
case c''-ni: False

have bef: add-mset C (mset-set (passive.elms P) + mset-set (insert C' (fset A))) =
  add-mset C (mset-set (passive.elms P) + mset-set (fset A)) + {#C'#}
  (is ?old-bef = ?new-bef)
  using c'-ni by auto
have aft: add-mset C (mset-set (insert C'' (passive.elms P)) + mset-set (fset A)) =
  add-mset C (mset-set (passive.elms P) + mset-set (fset A)) + {#C''#}
  (is ?old-aft = ?new-aft)
  using c''-ni by simp

have ?new-aft <-<S ?new-bef
  unfolding multp-def
proof (subst mult-cancelL[OF trans-Prec-S irreftl-Prec-S], fold multp-def)
  show {#C''#} <-<S {#C'#}
  unfolding multp-def using prec by (auto intro: singletons-in-mult)
qed
thus ?thesis
  unfolding defs Less-state-def by (simp add: bef aft)
qed
next
case (schedule-infer ιs A C T D P)
note defs = this(1,2)
show ?thesis
  unfolding defs Less-state-def
  by simp (metis finite-fset insert-absorb mset-set.insert multi-psub-of-add-self
    subset-implies-multp)
next
case (delete-orphan-infers ιs T A D P Y)
note defs = this(1,2) and ιs = this(3)
have size (t-llists T - {#ιs#}) < size (t-llists T)
  using ιs by (simp add: size-Diff1-less)
thus ?thesis
  unfolding defs Less-state-def by simp
qed

lemma yy-nonempty-ZLf-step-imp-Less-state:
assumes
  step: St ≈ ZLf St' and
  yy: yy-of St ≠ None

```

**shows**  $St' \sqsubset St$   
**proof** –  
**have**  $\neg \text{compute-infer-step } St \ St'$   
**using** *yy unfolding compute-infer-step.simps* **by** *auto*  
**thus** *?thesis*  
**using** *non-compute-infer-ZLf-step-imp-Less-state[OF step]* **by** *blast*  
**qed**

**lemma** *fair-ZL-Liminf-yy-empty*:  
**assumes**  
*len*:  $\text{llength } Sts = \infty$  **and**  
*full*: *full-chain*  $(\rightsquigarrow \text{ZLf}) \ Sts$  **and**  
*inv*: *ZLf-invariant*  $(\text{lhd } Sts)$   
**shows**  $\text{Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{set-option} \circ \text{yy-of}) \ Sts) = \{\}$   
**proof** (*rule ccontr*)  
**assume** *lim-nemp*:  $\text{Liminf-llist } (\text{lmap } (\text{set-option} \circ \text{yy-of}) \ Sts) \neq \{\}$

**obtain**  $i :: \text{nat}$  **where**  
*i-lt*:  $\text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts$  **and**  
*inter-nemp*:  $\bigcap ((\text{set-option} \circ \text{yy-of} \circ \text{lnth } Sts) \ ' \ \{j. i \leq j \wedge \text{enat } j < \text{llength } Sts\}) \neq \{\}$   
**using** *lim-nemp unfolding Liminf-llist-def* **by** *auto*

**from** *inter-nemp* **obtain**  $C :: 'f$  **where**  
*c-in*:  $\forall P \in \text{lnth } Sts \ ' \ \{j. i \leq j \wedge \text{enat } j < \text{llength } Sts\}. C \in \text{set-option } (\text{yy-of } P)$   
**by** *auto*  
**hence** *c-in'*:  $\forall j \geq i. \text{enat } j < \text{llength } Sts \longrightarrow C \in \text{set-option } (\text{yy-of } (\text{lnth } Sts \ j))$   
**by** *auto*

**have** *si-lt*:  $\text{enat } (\text{Suc } i) < \text{llength } Sts$   
**unfolding** *len* **by** *auto*

**have** *yy-j*:  $\text{yy-of } (\text{lnth } Sts \ j) \neq \text{None}$  **if** *j-ge*:  $j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using** *c-in' len j-ge* **by** *auto*  
**have** *step*:  $\text{lnth } Sts \ j \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLf } \text{lnth } Sts \ (\text{Suc } j)$  **if** *j-ge*:  $j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using** *full-chain-imp-chain[OF full] infinite-chain-lnth-rel len llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite*  
**by** *blast*

**have**  $\text{lnth } Sts \ (\text{Suc } j) \sqsubset \text{lnth } Sts \ j$  **if** *j-ge*:  $j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using** *yy-nonempty-ZLf-step-imp-Less-state* **by** (*meson step j-ge yy-j*)  
**hence**  $(\sqsubset)^{-1-1} (\text{lnth } Sts \ j) (\text{lnth } Sts \ (\text{Suc } j))$   
**if** *j-ge*:  $j \geq i$  **for**  $j$   
**using** *j-ge* **by** *blast*  
**hence** *inf-down-chain*:  $\text{chain } (\sqsubset)^{-1-1} (\text{ldropn } i \ Sts)$   
**by** (*simp add: chain-ldropnI si-lt*)

**have** *inf-i*:  $\neg \text{lfinite } (\text{ldropn } i \ Sts)$   
**using** *len* **by** (*simp add: llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite*)

**show** *False*  
**using** *inf-i inf-down-chain wfP-iff-no-infinite-down-chain-llist[of (\sqsubset)] wfP-Less-state*  
**by** *metis*  
**qed**

**lemma** *ZLf-step-imp-passive-queue-step*:  
**assumes**  $St \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLf } St'$

**shows** *passive.queue-step* (*passive-of St*) (*passive-of St'*)  
**using** *assms*  
**by cases** (*auto intro: passive.queue-step-idleI passive.queue-step-addI*  
*passive.queue-step-removeI*)

**lemma** *choose-p-step-imp-select-passive-queue-step*:  
**assumes** *choose-p-step St St'*  
**shows** *passive.select-queue-step* (*passive-of St*) (*passive-of St'*)  
**using** *assms*  
**proof cases**  
**case** (*1 P T D A*)  
**note** *defs = this(1,2)* **and** *p-nemp = this(3)*  
**show** *?thesis*  
**unfolding** *defs prod.sel* **by** (*rule passive.select-queue-stepI[OF p-nemp]*)  
**qed**

**lemma** *fair-ZL-Liminf-passive-empty*:  
**assumes**  
*len: llength Sts = ∞* **and**  
*full: full-chain (↪ZLf) Sts* **and**  
*init: is-initial-ZLf-state (lhd Sts)* **and**  
*fair: infinitely-often compute-infer-step Sts → infinitely-often choose-p-step Sts*  
**shows** *Liminf-llist (lmap (passive.elms ∘ passive-of) Sts) = {}*  
**proof** –  
**have** *chain-step: chain passive.queue-step (lmap passive-of Sts)*  
**using** *ZLf-step-imp-passive-queue-step chain-lmap full-chain-imp-chain[OF full]*  
**by** (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)

**have** *inf-oft: infinitely-often passive.select-queue-step (lmap passive-of Sts)*

**proof**  
**assume** *finitely-often passive.select-queue-step (lmap passive-of Sts)*  
**hence** *fin-cp: finitely-often choose-p-step Sts*  
**unfolding** *finitely-often-def choose-p-step-imp-select-passive-queue-step*  
**by** (*smt choose-p-step-imp-select-passive-queue-step enat-ord-code(4) len llength-lmap*  
*lnth-lmap*)  
**hence** *fin-ci: finitely-often compute-infer-step Sts*  
**using** *fair* **by** *blast*

**obtain** *i :: nat* **where**  
*i: ∀ j ≥ i. ¬ compute-infer-step (lnth Sts j) (lnth Sts (Suc j))*  
**using** *fin-ci len* **unfolding** *finitely-often-def* **by** *auto*

**have** *si-lt: enat (Suc i) < llength Sts*  
**unfolding** *len* **by** *auto*

**have** *not-ci: ¬ compute-infer-step (lnth Sts j) (lnth Sts (Suc j))* **if** *j-ge: j ≥ i* **for** *j*  
**using** *i j-ge* **by** *auto*

**have** *step: lnth Sts j ↪ZLf lnth Sts (Suc j)* **if** *j-ge: j ≥ i* **for** *j*  
**by** (*simp add: full-chain-lnth-rel[OF full] len*)

**have** *lnth Sts (Suc j) ⊆ lnth Sts j* **if** *j-ge: j ≥ i* **for** *j*  
**by** (*rule non-compute-infer-ZLf-step-imp-Less-state[OF step[OF j-ge] not-ci[OF j-ge]]*)  
**hence** ( $\sqsupset$ )<sup>-1-1</sup> (*lnth Sts j*) (*lnth Sts (Suc j)*) **if** *j-ge: j ≥ i* **for** *j*  
**using** *j-ge* **by** *blast*

```

hence inf-down-chain: chain ( $\square$ )-1-1 (ldropn i Sts)
  using chain-ldropn-lmapI[OF - si-lt, of - id, simplified llist.map-id] by simp
have inf-i:  $\neg$  lfinite (ldropn i Sts)
  using len lfinite-ldropn llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite by blast
show False
  using inf-i inf-down-chain wfP-iff-no-infinite-down-chain-llist[of ( $\square$ )] wfP-Less-state
  by blast
qed

have hd-emp: lhd (lmap passive-of Sts) = p-empty
  using init full full-chain-not-lnull unfolding is-initial-ZLf-state.simps by fastforce

have Liminf-llist (lmap passive.elms (lmap passive-of Sts)) = {}
  by (rule passive.fair[OF chain-step inf-oft hd-emp])
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: llist.map-comp)
qed

lemma ZLf-step-imp-todo-queue-step:
  assumes St  $\rightsquigarrow$  ZLf St'
  shows todo.lqueue-step (todo-of St, done-of St) (todo-of St', done-of St')
  using assms
proof cases
  case (compute-infer T  $\iota$ 0 T' A C D P)
  note defs = this(1,2) and has-el = this(3) and pick = this(4)
  have t': T' = snd (t-pick-elem T)
    using pick by simp
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs prod.sel t' using todo.lqueue-step-pick-elemI[OF has-el] by (simp add: pick)
next
  case (schedule-infer  $\iota$ ss A C T D P)
  note defs = this(1,2) and betw = this(3)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding defs prod.sel using todo.lqueue-step-fold-add-llistI[of T D  $\iota$ ss] by simp
qed (auto intro: todo.lqueue-step-idleI todo.lqueue-step-fold-add-llistI
  todo.lqueue-step-remove-llistI)

lemma fair-ZL-Liminf-todo-empty:
  assumes
    len: llength Sts =  $\infty$  and
    full: full-chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$  ZLf) Sts and
    init: is-initial-ZLf-state (lhd Sts)
  shows Liminf-llist (lmap ( $\lambda$ St. flat-inferences-of (t-llists (todo-of St)) - done-of St) Sts) =
    {}
proof -
  define Infs where
    Infs = lmap ( $\lambda$ St. flat-inferences-of (t-llists (todo-of St)) - done-of St) Sts
  define flat-Ts where
    flat-Ts = lmap ( $\lambda$ St. flat-inferences-of (t-llists (todo-of St))) Sts
  define TDs where
    TDs = lmap ( $\lambda$ St. (todo-of St, done-of St)) Sts

{
  fix i  $\iota$ 
  assume  $\iota$ -in-infs:  $\iota \in$  lnth Infs i

```



```

have lt-sts: enat n < llength Sts for n
  by (simp add: len)
have lt-tds: enat n < llength TDs for n
  by (simp add: TDs-def len)

have chain-ts: chain todo.lqueue-step TDs
proof -
  have fst-tds: lmap fst TDs = lmap todo-of Sts
    unfolding TDs-def by (simp add: llist.map-comp)
  have snd-tds: lmap snd TDs = lmap done-of Sts
    unfolding TDs-def by (simp add: llist.map-comp)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding fst-tds
    using TDs-def ZLf-step-imp-todo-queue-step chain-lmap full full-chain-imp-chain
    by (metis (lifting))
qed

have inf-of-t: infinitely-often todo.pick-lqueue-step TDs
proof
  assume finitely-often todo.pick-lqueue-step TDs
  then obtain i :: nat where
    no-pick:  $\forall j \geq i. \neg \text{todo.pick-lqueue-step } (\text{lnth TDs } j) (\text{lnth TDs } (\text{Suc } j))$ 
    by (metis infinitely-often-alt-def lt-tds)

  have si-lt: enat (Suc i) < llength Sts
    unfolding len by auto

  have step:  $\text{lnth Sts } j \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLf lnth Sts } (\text{Suc } j)$  if j-ge:  $j \geq i$  for j
    using full-chain-imp-chain[OF full] infinite-chain-lnth-rel len
    llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite
    by blast

  have non-ci:  $\neg \text{compute-infer-step } (\text{lnth Sts } j) (\text{lnth Sts } (\text{Suc } j))$  if j-ge:  $j \geq i$  for j
  proof -
    {
      assume compute-infer-step (lnth Sts j) (lnth Sts (Suc j))
      hence  $\exists j \geq i. \text{todo.pick-lqueue-step } (\text{lnth TDs } j) (\text{lnth TDs } (\text{Suc } j))$ 
        using assms
      proof cases
        case (1 T  $\iota 0$  T' A C D P)
        note sts-at-j = this(1) and sts-at-sj = this(2) and has-el = this(3) and pick = this(4)

        obtain  $\iota 0' :: 'f$  inference and  $\iota s :: 'f$  inference llist where
          cons-in0:  $LCons \iota 0' \iota s \in \# t\text{-llists } T$  and
          fst0:  $\text{fst } (t\text{-pick-elem } T) = \iota 0'$  and
          snd0:  $t\text{-llists } (\text{snd } (t\text{-pick-elem } T)) = t\text{-llists } T - \{\#LCons \iota 0' \iota s\# \} + \{\#\iota s\# \}$ 
          using todo.llists-pick-elem[OF has-el] by blast

        have  $\iota 0': \iota 0' = \iota 0$ 
          using pick fst0 by auto

        have
          cons-in:  $LCons \iota 0 \iota s \in \# t\text{-llists } T$  and
          fst:  $\text{fst } (t\text{-pick-elem } T) = \iota 0$  and

```

```

    snd: t-llists (snd (t-pick-elem T)) = t-llists T - {#LCons ι0 ιs#} + {#ιs#}
    unfolding ι0'[symmetric] by (auto simp: cons-in0 fst0 snd0)

  have td-at-j: lnth TDs j = (T, D)
    using sts-at-j TDs-def lt-tds by auto
  have td-at-sj: lnth TDs (Suc j) = (snd (t-pick-elem T), insert ι0 D)
    using sts-at-sj TDs-def lt-tds pick by force

  have todo.pick-lqueue-step (lnth TDs j) (lnth TDs (Suc j))
    by (simp add: todo.pick-lqueue-step.simps todo.pick-lqueue-step-w-details.simps,
        rule exI[of - ιs], rule exI[of - T], rule exI[of - D],
        simp add: td-at-j td-at-sj cons-in fst snd)
  thus ?thesis
    using j-ge by blast
qed
}
thus ?thesis
  using no-pick by blast
qed

  have lnth Sts (Suc j)  $\sqsubset$  lnth Sts j if j-ge: j  $\geq$  i for j
    by (rule non-compute-infer-ZLf-step-imp-Less-state[OF step[OF j-ge] non-ci[OF j-ge]])
  hence ( $\sqsubset$ )-1-1 (lnth Sts j) (lnth Sts (Suc j)) if j-ge: j  $\geq$  i for j
    using j-ge by blast
  hence inf-down-chain: chain ( $\sqsubset$ )-1-1 (ldropn i Sts)
    using chain-ldropn-lmapI[OF - si-lt, of - id, simplified llist.map-id] by simp

  have inf-i:  $\neg$  lfinite (ldropn i Sts)
    using len lfinite-ldropn llength-eq-infty-conv-lfinite by blast

  show False
    using inf-i inf-down-chain wfP-iff-no-infinite-down-chain-llist[of ( $\sqsubset$ )] wfP-Less-state
    by blast
qed

  have ι  $\in$  lnth flat-Ts i
    using ι-in-infs unfolding Infs-def flat-Ts-def by (simp add: lt-sts)
  then obtain ιs :: 'f inference llist where
    ιs-in: ιs  $\in$  # t-llists (fst (lnth TDs i)) and
    ι-in-ιs: ι  $\in$  lset ιs
    using lnth-lmap[OF lt-sts] unfolding flat-Ts-def TDs-def
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Union-iff flat-inferences-of.simps fst-conv mem-Collect-eq)

  obtain k :: nat where
    k-lt: enat k < llength ιs and
    at-k: lnth ιs k = ι
    using ι-in-ιs by (meson in-lset-conv-lnth)

  obtain j :: nat where
    j-ge: j  $\geq$  i and
    rem-or-pick-step: ( $\exists$  k'  $\leq$  k.  $\exists$  ιss.
      ldrop (enat k') ιs  $\in$  set ιss  $\wedge$  todo.remove-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth TDs j) ιss
      (lnth TDs (Suc j)))
     $\vee$  todo.pick-lqueue-step-w-details (lnth TDs j) (lnth ιs k) (ldrop (enat (Suc k)) ιs)
      (lnth TDs (Suc j))

```

```

using todo.fair-strong[OF chain-ts inf-oft  $\iota$ -in k-lt] by blast

have  $\exists j. j \geq i \wedge j < \text{llength } \text{Sts} \wedge \iota \notin \text{lnth } \text{Infs } j$ 
proof (rule exI[of - Suc j], intro conjI)
{
  assume  $\exists k' \leq k. \exists \iota_{ss}. \text{ldrop } (\text{enat } k') \iota_{ss} \in \text{set } \iota_{ss}$ 
     $\wedge \text{todo.remove-lqueue-step-w-details } (\text{lnth } \text{TDs } j) \iota_{ss} (\text{lnth } \text{TDs } (\text{Suc } j))$ 
  then obtain  $k' :: \text{nat}$  and  $\iota_{ss} :: 'f \text{ inference llist list}$  where
     $k'-le: k' \leq k$  and
     $in-\iota_{ss}: \text{ldrop } (\text{enat } k') \iota_{ss} \in \text{set } \iota_{ss}$  and
     $rem\text{-step}: \text{todo.remove-lqueue-step-w-details } (\text{lnth } \text{TDs } j) \iota_{ss} (\text{lnth } \text{TDs } (\text{Suc } j))$ 
  by blast

  have  $\iota \notin \text{lnth } \text{Infs } (\text{Suc } j)$ 
    using rem-step
  proof cases
    case (remove-lqueue-step-w-detailsI Q D)
      note  $at\text{-}j = \text{this}(1)$  and  $at\text{-}sj = \text{this}(2)$ 

      have  $don: \text{done-of } (\text{lnth } \text{Sts } (\text{Suc } j)) = D \cup \bigcup \{lset \iota_{ss} \mid \iota_{ss}. \iota_{ss} \in \text{set } \iota_{ss}\}$ 
        unfolding  $at\text{-}sj$  using TDs-def at-sj len by auto

      have  $\iota \in lset (\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } k') \iota_{ss})$ 
      proof -
        have  $nth\text{-drop}: \text{lnth } (\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } k') \iota_{ss}) (k - k') = \iota$ 
          by (simp add: at-k k'-le k-lt)
        thus ?thesis
          using  $at\text{-}k k'-le k-lt$  by (smt (verit, del-insts) enat.distinct(1)
            enat-diff-cancel-left enat-minus-mono1 enat-ord-simps(1) idiff-enat-enat
            in-lset-conv-lnth llength-ldrop nless-le order-le-less-subst2)
        qed
      hence  $\iota \in \bigcup \{lset \iota_{ss} \mid \iota_{ss}. \iota_{ss} \in \text{set } \iota_{ss}\}$ 
        using  $in-\iota_{ss}$  by blast
      thus ?thesis
        unfolding Infs-def lnth-lmap[OF lt-sts]  $don$  by auto
      qed
    }
  moreover
  {
    assume  $\text{todo.pick-lqueue-step-w-details } (\text{lnth } \text{TDs } j) (\text{lnth } \iota_{ss} k) (\text{ldrop } (\text{enat } (\text{Suc } k)) \iota_{ss})$ 
      ( $\text{lnth } \text{TDs } (\text{Suc } j)$ )
    hence  $\iota \notin \text{lnth } \text{Infs } (\text{Suc } j)$ 
    proof cases
      case (pick-lqueue-step-w-detailsI Q D)
        note  $at\text{-}j = \text{this}(1)$  and  $at\text{-}sj = \text{this}(2)$ 

        have  $don: \text{done-of } (\text{lnth } \text{Sts } (\text{Suc } j)) = D \cup \{\iota\}$ 
          using  $at\text{-}sj at\text{-}k$  by (simp add: TDs-def len)

        show ?thesis
          unfolding Infs-def lnth-lmap[OF lt-sts]  $don$  by auto
        qed
      }
    ultimately show  $\iota \notin \text{lnth } \text{Infs } (\text{Suc } j)$ 
      using rem-or-pick-step by blast
  }

```

```

    qed (use j-ge lt-sts in auto)
  }
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding Infs-def[symmetric] Liminf-llist-def
    by clarsimp (smt Infs-def Collect-empty-eq INT-iff Inf-set-def dual-order.refl llength-lmap
      mem-Collect-eq)
qed

theorem
  assumes
    full: full-chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ZLf) Sts and
    init: is-initial-ZLf-state (lhd Sts) and
    fair: infinitely-often compute-infer-step Sts  $\longrightarrow$  infinitely-often choose-p-step Sts
  shows
    fair-ZL-Liminf-saturated: saturated (labeled-formulas-of (Liminf-zl-fstate Sts)) and
    fair-ZL-complete-Liminf:  $B \in \text{Bot-F} \implies \text{passive.elems (passive-of (lhd Sts))} \models_{\cap\mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$ 
       $\exists B' \in \text{Bot-F}. B' \in \text{formulas-union (Liminf-zl-fstate Sts)}$  and
    fair-ZL-complete:  $B \in \text{Bot-F} \implies \text{passive.elems (passive-of (lhd Sts))} \models_{\cap\mathcal{G}} \{B\} \implies$ 
       $\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength Sts} \wedge (\exists B' \in \text{Bot-F}. B' \in \text{all-formulas-of (lnth Sts } i))$ 
proof -
  have chain: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ZLf) Sts
    by (rule full-chain-imp-chain[OF full])
  have zl-chain: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ZL) (lmap zl-fstate Sts)
    using chain fair-ZL-step-imp-ZL-step chain-lmap by (smt (verit) zl-fstate.cases)

  have inv: ZLf-invariant (lhd Sts)
    using init initial-ZLf-invariant by auto

  have nnul:  $\neg \text{lnull Sts}$ 
    using chain chain-not-lnull by blast
  hence lhd-lmap:  $\bigwedge f. \text{lhd (lmap } f \text{ Sts)} = f \text{ (lhd Sts)}$ 
    by (rule llist.map-sel(1))

  have active-of (lhd Sts) =  $\{\|\}$ 
    by (metis is-initial-ZLf-state.cases init snd-conv)
  hence act: active-subset (snd (lhd (lmap zl-fstate Sts))) =  $\{\}$ 
    unfolding active-subset-def lhd-lmap by (cases lhd Sts) auto

  have pas-fml-and-t-inf: passive-subset (Liminf-llist (lmap (snd  $\circ$  zl-fstate) Sts)) =  $\{\}$   $\wedge$ 
    Liminf-llist (lmap (fst  $\circ$  zl-fstate) Sts) =  $\{\}$  (is ?pas-fml  $\wedge$  ?t-inf)
  proof (cases lfinite Sts)
    case fin: True

    have lim-fst: Liminf-llist (lmap (fst  $\circ$  zl-fstate) Sts) = fst (zl-fstate (llast Sts)) and
      lim-snd: Liminf-llist (lmap (snd  $\circ$  zl-fstate) Sts) = snd (zl-fstate (llast Sts))
      using lfinite-Liminf-llist fin nnul
      by (metis comp-eq-dest-lhs lfinite-lmap llast-lmap llist.map-disc-iff)+

    have last-inv: ZLf-invariant (llast Sts)
      by (rule chain-ZLf-invariant-llast[OF chain inv fin])

    have  $\forall St'. \neg \text{llast Sts} \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLf } St'$ 
      using full-chain-lnth-not-rel[OF full] by (metis fin full-chain-iff-chain full)
    hence is-final-ZLf-state (llast Sts)
      unfolding is-final-ZLf-state-iff-no-ZLf-step[OF last-inv] .
  end
end

```

**then obtain**  $D :: 'f \text{ inference set}$  **and**  $A :: 'f \text{ fset}$  **where**  
 $at-l: llast \ Sts = (t\text{-empty}, D, p\text{-empty}, None, A)$   
**unfolding**  $is\text{-final-ZLf-state.simps}$  **by**  $blast$

**have**  $?pas\text{-fml}$   
**unfolding**  $passive\text{-subset-def lim-snd at-l}$  **by**  $auto$   
**moreover have**  $?t\text{-inf}$   
**unfolding**  $lim\text{-fst at-l}$  **by**  $simp$   
**ultimately show**  $?thesis$   
**by**  $blast$

**next**  
**case**  $False$   
**hence**  $len: llength \ Sts = \infty$   
**by**  $(simp \ add: not\text{-lfinite-llength})$

**have**  $?pas\text{-fml}$   
**unfolding**  $Liminf\text{-zl-fstate-commute passive-subset-def Liminf-zl-fstate-def}$   
**using**  $fair\text{-ZL-Liminf-passive-empty}[OF \ len \ full \ init \ fair]$   
 $fair\text{-ZL-Liminf-yy-empty}[OF \ len \ full \ inv]$   
**by**  $simp$   
**moreover have**  $?t\text{-inf}$   
**unfolding**  $zl\text{-fstate-alt-def comp-def zl-state.simps prod.sel}$   
**using**  $fair\text{-ZL-Liminf-todo-empty}[OF \ len \ full \ init]$  .  
**ultimately show**  $?thesis$   
**by**  $blast$

**qed**  
**note**  $pas\text{-fml} = pas\text{-fml-and-t-inf}[THEN \ conjunct1]$  **and**  
 $t\text{-inf} = pas\text{-fml-and-t-inf}[THEN \ conjunct2]$

**obtain**  $\iota ss :: 'f \text{ inference llist list}$  **where**  
 $hd: lhd \ Sts = (fold \ t\text{-add-llist} \ \iota ss \ t\text{-empty}, \{\}, p\text{-empty}, None, \{\{\}\})$  **and**  
 $infs: flat\text{-inferences-of} \ (mset \ \iota ss) = \{\iota \in Inf\text{-F}. \ prems\text{-of} \ \iota = \{\}\}$   
**using**  $init[unfolded \ is\text{-initial-ZLf-state.simps no-labels.Inf-from-empty}]$  **by**  $blast$

**have**  $hd': lhd \ (lmap \ zl\text{-fstate} \ Sts) =$   
 $zl\text{-fstate} \ (fold \ t\text{-add-llist} \ \iota ss \ t\text{-empty}, \{\}, p\text{-empty}, None, \{\{\}\})$   
**using**  $hd$  **by**  $(simp \ add: lhd\text{-lmap})$

**have**  $no\text{-prems-init}: \forall \iota \in Inf\text{-F}. \ prems\text{-of} \ \iota = \{\} \longrightarrow \iota \in fst \ (lhd \ (lmap \ zl\text{-fstate} \ Sts))$   
**unfolding**  $zl\text{-fstate-alt-def hd}' \ zl\text{-state-alt-def prod.sel}$  **using**  $infs$  **by**  $simp$

**show**  $saturated \ (labeled\text{-formulas-of} \ (Liminf\text{-zl-fstate} \ Sts))$   
**using**  $ZL\text{-Liminf-saturated}[of \ lmap \ zl\text{-fstate} \ Sts, \ unfolded \ llist.map\text{-comp},$   
 $OF \ zl\text{-chain} \ act \ pas\text{-fml} \ no\text{-prems-init} \ t\text{-inf}]$   
**unfolding**  $Liminf\text{-zl-fstate-commute}$  .

**{**  
**assume**  
 $bot: B \in Bot\text{-F}$  **and**  
 $unsat: passive.\text{elems} \ (passive\text{-of} \ (lhd \ Sts)) \models \cap \mathcal{G} \ \{B\}$

**have**  $unsat': fst \ ' \ snd \ (lhd \ (lmap \ zl\text{-fstate} \ Sts)) \models \cap \mathcal{G} \ \{B\}$   
**using**  $unsat$  **unfolding**  $lhd\text{-lmap}$  **by**  $(cases \ lhd \ Sts) \ (auto \ intro: no\text{-labels-entails-mono-left})$

**have**  $\exists BL \in Bot\text{-FL}. BL \in Liminf\text{-llist} \ (lmap \ (snd \circ \ zl\text{-fstate}) \ Sts)$

```

    using ZL-complete-Liminf[of lmap zl-fstate Sts, unfolded llist.map-comp,
      OF zl-chain act pas-fml no-prems-init t-inf bot unsat] .
  thus  $\exists B' \in \text{Bot-F}. B' \in \text{formulas-union } (\text{Liminf-zl-fstate } Sts)$ 
    unfolding Liminf-zl-fstate-def Liminf-zl-fstate-commute by auto
  thus  $\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts \wedge (\exists B' \in \text{Bot-F}. B' \in \text{all-formulas-of } (\text{lth } Sts \ i))$ 
    unfolding Liminf-zl-fstate-def Liminf-llist-def by auto
}
qed

end

end

```

## 14 Fair Zipperposition Loop without Ghosts

This version of the fair Zipperposition loop eliminates the ghost state component  $D$ , thus confirming that  $D$  is indeed a ghost.

```

theory Fair-Zipperposition-Loop-without-Ghosts
  imports Fair-Zipperposition-Loop
begin

```

### 14.1 Locale

```

type-synonym ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state = 't  $\times$  'p  $\times$  'f option  $\times$  'f fset

```

```

locale fair-zipperposition-loop-wo-ghosts =
  w-ghosts?: fair-zipperposition-loop Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q Red-F-q G-F-q
  G-I-q Equiv-F Prec-F t-empty t-add-llist t-remove-llist t-pick-elem t-llists p-empty p-select
  p-add p-remove p-felems Prec-S
for
  Bot-F :: 'f set and
  Inf-F :: 'f inference set and
  Bot-G :: 'g set and
  Q :: 'q set and
  entails-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  Inf-G-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set and
  Red-I-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set and
  Red-F-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set and
  G-F-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  'g set and
  G-I-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f inference  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set option and
  Equiv-F :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \Rightarrow \rangle$  50) and
  Prec-F :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \prec \cdot \rangle$  50) and
  t-empty :: 't and
  t-add-llist :: 'f inference llist  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  't and
  t-remove-llist :: 'f inference llist  $\Rightarrow$  't  $\Rightarrow$  't and
  t-pick-elem :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'f inference  $\times$  't and
  t-llists :: 't  $\Rightarrow$  'f inference llist multiset and
  p-empty :: 'p and
  p-select :: 'p  $\Rightarrow$  'f and
  p-add :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  'p and
  p-remove :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'p  $\Rightarrow$  'p and
  p-felems :: 'p  $\Rightarrow$  'f fset and
  Prec-S :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \prec S \rangle$  50)
begin

```

**fun** *wo-ghosts-of* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state **where**  
*wo-ghosts-of* (T, D, P, Y, A) = (T, P, Y, A)

**inductive**

*fair-ZL-wo-ghosts* ::

('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool

(**infix**  $\langle \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLfw} \rangle$  50)

**where**

*compute-infer*:  $(\exists \iota s \in \# \text{ t-llists } T. \iota s \neq \text{LNil}) \Longrightarrow \text{t-pick-elem } T = (\iota 0, T') \Longrightarrow$

$\iota 0 \in \text{no-labels.Red-I (fset } A \cup \{C\}) \Longrightarrow$

$(T, P, \text{None}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLfw } (T', \text{p-add } C \text{ } P, \text{None}, A)$

| *choose-p*:  $P \neq \text{p-empty} \Longrightarrow$

$(T, P, \text{None}, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLfw } (T, \text{p-remove (p-select } P) \text{ } P, \text{Some (p-select } P), A)$

| *delete-fwd*:  $C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F (fset } A) \vee (\exists C' \in \text{fset } A. C' \preceq C) \Longrightarrow$

$(T, P, \text{Some } C, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLfw } (T, P, \text{None}, A)$

| *simplify-fwd*:  $C' \prec_S C \Longrightarrow C \in \text{no-labels.Red-F (fset } A \cup \{C'\}) \Longrightarrow$

$(T, P, \text{Some } C, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLfw } (T, P, \text{Some } C', A)$

| *delete-bwd*:  $C' \not\in A \Longrightarrow C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C\} \vee C' \succ C \Longrightarrow$

$(T, P, \text{Some } C, A \mid \cup \{|C'\}) \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLfw } (T, P, \text{Some } C, A)$

| *simplify-bwd*:  $C' \not\in A \Longrightarrow C'' \prec_S C' \Longrightarrow C' \in \text{no-labels.Red-F } \{C, C''\} \Longrightarrow$

$(T, P, \text{Some } C, A \mid \cup \{|C'\}) \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLfw } (T, \text{p-add } C'' \text{ } P, \text{Some } C, A)$

| *schedule-infer*:  $\text{flat-inferences-of (mset } \iota s) = \text{no-labels.Inf-between (fset } A) \{C\} \Longrightarrow$

$(T, P, \text{Some } C, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLfw (fold t-add-llist } \iota s \text{ } T, P, \text{None}, A \mid \cup \{|C|\})$

| *delete-orphan-infers*:  $\iota s \in \# \text{ t-llists } T \Longrightarrow \text{lset } \iota s \cap \text{no-labels.Inf-from (fset } A) = \{\} \Longrightarrow$

$(T, P, Y, A) \rightsquigarrow \text{ZLfw (t-remove-llist } \iota s \text{ } T, P, Y, A)$

**inductive**

*compute-infer-step* ::

('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool

**where**

$(\exists \iota s \in \# \text{ t-llists } T. \iota s \neq \text{LNil}) \Longrightarrow \text{t-pick-elem } T = (\iota 0, T') \Longrightarrow$

$\iota 0 \in \text{no-labels.Red-I (fset } A \cup \{C\}) \Longrightarrow$

*compute-infer-step* (T, P, None, A) (T', p-add C P, None, A)

**inductive**

*choose-p-step* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  bool

**where**

$P \neq \text{p-empty} \Longrightarrow$

*choose-p-step* (T, P, None, A) (T, p-remove (p-select P) P, Some (p-select P), A)

**lemma** *w-ghosts-compute-infer-step-imp-compute-infer-step*:

**assumes** *w-ghosts.compute-infer-step* St St'

**shows** *compute-infer-step* (wo-ghosts-of St) (wo-ghosts-of St')

**using** *assms* **by cases** (*simp* *add*: *compute-infer-step.intros*)

**lemma** *choose-p-step-imp-w-ghosts-choose-p-step*:

**assumes** *choose-p-step* (wo-ghosts-of St) (wo-ghosts-of St')

**shows** *w-ghosts.choose-p-step* St St'

**using** *assms*

**proof** *cases*

**case** (1 P T A)

**note** *wg-st* = *this*(1) **and** *wg-st'* = *this*(2) **and** *rest* = *this*(3)

**have** *st*: St = (T, done-of St, P, None, A)

```

using wg-st by (smt (verit) fst-conv snd-conv wo-ghosts-of.elims)
have st': St' = (T, done-of St', p-remove (p-select P) P, Some (p-select P), A)
using wg-st' by (smt (verit) fst-conv snd-conv wo-ghosts-of.elims)

```

```

show ?thesis
by (subst st, subst st', simp add: rest w-ghosts.choose-p-step.intros)
qed

```

## 14.2 Basic Definitions and Lemmas

**abbreviation** *todo-of* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  't **where**  
*todo-of St*  $\equiv$  *fst St*

**abbreviation** *passive-of* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  'p **where**  
*passive-of St*  $\equiv$  *fst (snd St)*

**abbreviation** *yy-of* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  'f *option* **where**  
*yy-of St*  $\equiv$  *fst (snd (snd St))*

**abbreviation** *active-of* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  'f *fset* **where**  
*active-of St*  $\equiv$  *snd (snd (snd St))*

**abbreviation** *all-formulas-of* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  'f *set* **where**  
*all-formulas-of St*  $\equiv$  *passive.elms (passive-of St)  $\cup$  set-option (yy-of St)  $\cup$  fset (active-of St)*

### definition

*Liminf-zl-fstate* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state *llist*  $\Rightarrow$  'f *set*  $\times$  'f *set*  $\times$  'f *set*

### where

```

Liminf-zl-fstate Sts =
  (Liminf-llist (lmap (passive.elms  $\circ$  passive-of) Sts),
   Liminf-llist (lmap (set-option  $\circ$  yy-of) Sts),
   Liminf-llist (lmap (fset  $\circ$  active-of) Sts))

```

## 14.3 Initial States and Invariants

**inductive** *is-initial-ZLf-wo-ghosts-state* :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-wo-ghosts-state  $\Rightarrow$  *bool* **where**  
*flat-inferences-of (mset  $\iota$ ss) = no-labels.Inf-from {}  $\implies$*   
*is-initial-ZLf-wo-ghosts-state (fold t-add-llist  $\iota$ ss t-empty, p-empty, None, {||})*

**lemma** *is-initial-ZLf-state-imp-is-initial-ZLf-wo-ghosts-state*:

```

assumes is-initial-ZLf-state St
shows is-initial-ZLf-wo-ghosts-state (wo-ghosts-of St)
using assms by cases (auto intro: is-initial-ZLf-wo-ghosts-state.intros)

```

**lemma** *is-initial-ZLf-wo-ghosts-state-imp-is-initial-ZLf-state*:

```

assumes
  init: is-initial-ZLf-wo-ghosts-state (wo-ghosts-of St) and
  don: done-of St = {}
shows is-initial-ZLf-state St
using init
by cases (smt don is-initial-ZLf-state.simps prod.inject prod.exhaust-sel wo-ghosts-of.elims)

```

**end**

## 14.4 Abstract Nonsense for Ghost–Ghostless Conversion

This subsection was originally contributed by Andrei Popescu.

**locale** *bisim* =



```

fixes erase :: 'state0 ⇒ 'state
and R :: 'state ⇒ 'state ⇒ bool (infix ⟨ $\rightsquigarrow$ ⟩ 60)
and R0 :: 'state0 ⇒ 'state0 ⇒ bool (infix ⟨ $\rightsquigarrow 0$ ⟩ 60)
assumes simul:  $\bigwedge St0\ St'.\ erase\ St0\ \rightsquigarrow\ St' \implies \exists St0'.\ erase\ St0' = St' \wedge St0\ \rightsquigarrow 0\ St0'$ 
begin

definition lift :: 'state0 ⇒ 'state ⇒ 'state0 where
  lift St0 St' = (SOME St0'. erase St0' = St'  $\wedge$  St0  $\rightsquigarrow 0$  St0')

lemma lift: erase St0  $\rightsquigarrow$  St'  $\implies$  erase (lift St0 St') = St'  $\wedge$  St0  $\rightsquigarrow 0$  lift St0 St'
  by (smt (verit) lift-def simul someI)

lemmas erase-lift = lift[THEN conjunct1]
lemmas R0-lift = lift[THEN conjunct2]

primcorec theSts0 :: 'state0 ⇒ 'state llist ⇒ 'state0 llist where
  theSts0 St0 Sts =
    (case Sts of
      LNil ⇒ LCons St0 LNil
    | LCons St Sts' ⇒ LCons St0 (theSts0 (lift St0 St) Sts'))

lemma theSts0-LNil[simp]: theSts0 St0 LNil = LCons St0 LNil
  by (subst theSts0.code) auto

lemma theSts0-LCons[simp]: theSts0 St0 (LCons St Sts') = LCons St0 (theSts0 (lift St0 St) Sts')
  by (subst theSts0.code) auto

lemma simul-chain0:
  assumes chain: lnull Sts  $\vee$  (chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ) Sts  $\wedge$  erase St0  $\rightsquigarrow$  lhd Sts)
  shows  $\exists Sts0.\ lhd\ Sts0 = St0 \wedge lmap\ erase\ (ltl\ Sts0) = Sts \wedge chain\ (\rightsquigarrow 0)\ Sts0$ 
proof(rule exI[of - theSts0 St0 Sts], safe)
  show lhd (theSts0 St0 Sts) = St0
    by (simp add: llist.case-eq-if)
next
  show lmap erase (ltl (theSts0 St0 Sts)) = Sts
    using chain
    apply (coinduction arbitrary: Sts St0)
    using lift by (auto simp: llist.case-eq-if) (metis chain.simps eq-LConsD lnull-def)
next
  {
    fix Sts'
    assume  $\exists St0\ Sts.\ (lnull\ Sts \vee chain\ (\rightsquigarrow)\ Sts \wedge erase\ St0\ \rightsquigarrow\ lhd\ Sts) \wedge Sts' = theSts0\ St0\ Sts$ 
    hence chain ( $\rightsquigarrow 0$ ) Sts'
    apply (coinduct rule: chain.coinduct)
    apply clarsimp
    apply (erule disjE)
    apply (metis lnull-def theSts0-LNil)
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) R0-lift chain.simps erase-lift lhd-LCons theSts0-LCons theSts0-LNil)
  }
  thus chain ( $\rightsquigarrow 0$ ) (theSts0 St0 Sts)
    using assms by auto
qed

lemma simul-chain:

```

```

assumes
  chain: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ) Sts and
  hd: lhd Sts = erase St0
shows  $\exists$  Sts0. lhd Sts0 = St0  $\wedge$  lmap erase Sts0 = Sts  $\wedge$  chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ 0) Sts0
proof -
{
  assume nnul:  $\neg$  lnull (ltl Sts)
  have chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ) (ltl Sts)  $\wedge$  erase St0  $\rightsquigarrow$  lhd (ltl Sts)
    (is ?thesis1  $\wedge$  ?thesis2)
  proof
    show ?thesis1
      by (simp add: nnul chain chain-ltl)
    next
      show ?thesis2
        by (metis chain chain-consE hd lhd-LCons-ltl lnull-def lnull-ltlI nnul)
    qed
}
hence nil-or-chain: lnull (ltl Sts)  $\vee$  (chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ ) (ltl Sts)  $\wedge$  erase St0  $\rightsquigarrow$  lhd (ltl Sts))
  by blast

obtain Sts0 where
  hd-sts0: lhd Sts0 = St0 and
  erase-tl-sts0: lmap erase (ltl Sts0) = ltl Sts and
  chain-sts0: chain ( $\rightsquigarrow$ 0) Sts0
  using simul-chain0[OF nil-or-chain] by blast

have erase-hd-sts0: erase (lhd Sts0) = lhd Sts
  by (simp add: hd hd-sts0)

have erase-sts0: lmap erase Sts0 = Sts
proof (cases Sts0 rule: llist.exhaust-sel)
  case LNil
  hence False
    using chain-LNil chain-sts0 by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by blast
next
  case LCons
  note sts0 = this
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases Sts rule: llist.exhaust-sel)
    case LNil
    hence False
      using chain chain-LNil by blast
    thus ?thesis
      by blast
  next
    case LCons
    note sts = this
    show ?thesis
      by (subst sts0, subst sts, simp add: erase-hd-sts0 erase-tl-sts0)
  qed
qed

show ?thesis

```

by (rule exI[of - Sts0]) (use hd-sts0 erase-sts0 chain-sts0 in blast)  
qed  
end

## 14.5 Ghost–Ghostless Conversions, the Concrete Version

context fair-zipperposition-loop-wo-ghosts  
begin

lemma

todo-of-wo-ghosts-of[simp]: todo-of (wo-ghosts-of St) = w-ghosts.todo-of St and  
passive-of-wo-ghosts-of[simp]: passive-of (wo-ghosts-of St) = w-ghosts.passive-of St and  
yy-of-wo-ghosts-of[simp]: yy-of (wo-ghosts-of St) = w-ghosts.yy-of St and  
active-of-wo-ghosts-of[simp]: active-of (wo-ghosts-of St) = w-ghosts.active-of St  
by (cases St; simp)+

lemma fair-ZL-step-imp-fair-ZL-wo-ghosts-step:

assumes St  $\rightsquigarrow$  ZLf St'  
shows wo-ghosts-of St  $\rightsquigarrow$  ZLfw wo-ghosts-of St'  
using assms by cases (use fair-ZL-wo-ghosts.intros in auto)

lemma fair-ZL-wo-ghosts-step-imp-fair-ZL-step:

assumes wo-ghosts-of St0  $\rightsquigarrow$  ZLfw St'  
shows  $\exists$  St0'. wo-ghosts-of St0' = St'  $\wedge$  St0  $\rightsquigarrow$  ZLf St0'  
using assms

proof cases

case (compute-infer T  $\iota$ 0 T' A C P)  
note wo-st0 = this(1) and st' = this(2) and rest = this(3–5)

define D :: 'f inference set where

D = done-of St0

define St0' :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state where

St0' = (T', D  $\cup$  { $\iota$ 0}, p-add C P, None, A)

have wo-st0': wo-ghosts-of St0' = St'

unfolding St0'-def st' by simp

have st0: St0 = (T, D, P, None, A)

using wo-st0 by (smt (verit) D-def fst-conv snd-conv wo-ghosts-of.elims)

have step0: St0  $\rightsquigarrow$  ZLf St0'

unfolding st0 St0'-def by (rule fair-ZL.compute-infer[OF rest])

show ?thesis

by (rule exI[of - St0']) (use wo-st0' step0 in blast)

next

case (choose-p P T A)

note wo-st0 = this(1) and st' = this(2) and rest = this(3)

define D :: 'f inference set where

D = done-of St0

define St0' :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state where

St0' = (T, D, p-remove (p-select P) P, Some (p-select P), A)

have wo-st0': wo-ghosts-of St0' = St'

unfolding St0'-def st' by simp

```

have st0: St0 = (T, D, P, None, A)
  using wo-st0 by (smt (verit) D-def fst-conv snd-conv wo-ghosts-of.elims)
have step0: St0  $\sim$ ZLf St0'
  unfolding st0 St0'-def by (rule fair-ZL.choose-p[OF rest])

show ?thesis
  by (rule exI[of - St0']) (use wo-st0' step0 in blast)
next
case (delete-fwd C A T P)
note wo-st0 = this(1) and st' = this(2) and rest = this(3)

define D :: 'f inference set where
  D = done-of St0
define St0' :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state where
  St0' = (T, D, P, None, A)

have wo-st0': wo-ghosts-of St0' = St'
  unfolding St0'-def st' by simp

have st0: St0 = (T, D, P, Some C, A)
  using wo-st0 by (smt (verit) D-def fst-conv snd-conv wo-ghosts-of.elims)
have step0: St0  $\sim$ ZLf St0'
  unfolding st0 St0'-def by (rule fair-ZL.delete-fwd[OF rest])

show ?thesis
  by (rule exI[of - St0']) (use wo-st0' step0 in blast)
next
case (simplify-fwd C' C A T P)
note wo-st0 = this(1) and st' = this(2) and rest = this(3,4)

define D :: 'f inference set where
  D = done-of St0
define St0' :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state where
  St0' = (T, D, P, Some C', A)

have wo-st0': wo-ghosts-of St0' = St'
  unfolding St0'-def st' by simp

have st0: St0 = (T, D, P, Some C, A)
  using wo-st0 by (smt (verit) D-def fst-conv snd-conv wo-ghosts-of.elims)
have step0: St0  $\sim$ ZLf St0'
  unfolding st0 St0'-def by (rule fair-ZL.simplify-fwd[OF rest])

show ?thesis
  by (rule exI[of - St0']) (use wo-st0' step0 in blast)
next
case (delete-bwd C' A C T P)
note wo-st0 = this(1) and st' = this(2) and rest = this(3,4)

define D :: 'f inference set where
  D = done-of St0
define St0' :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf-state where
  St0' = (T, D, P, Some C, A)

```

```

have wo-st0': wo-ghosts-of St0' = St'
  unfolding St0'-def st' by simp

have st0: St0 = (T, D, P, Some C, A |∪| {|C'|})
  using wo-st0 by (smt (verit) D-def fst-conv snd-conv wo-ghosts-of.elims)
have step0: St0 ~>ZLf St0'
  unfolding st0 St0'-def by (rule fair-ZL.delete-bwd[OF rest])

show ?thesis
  by (rule exI[of - St0']) (use wo-st0' step0 in blast)
next
case (simplify-bwd C' A C'' C T P)
note wo-st0 = this(1) and st' = this(2) and rest = this(3-5)

define D :: 'f inference set where
  D = done-of St0
define St0' :: ('t, 'p', 'f') ZLf-state where
  St0' = (T, D, p-add C'' P, Some C, A)

have wo-st0': wo-ghosts-of St0' = St'
  unfolding St0'-def st' by simp

have st0: St0 = (T, D, P, Some C, A |∪| {|C'|})
  using wo-st0 by (smt (verit) D-def fst-conv snd-conv wo-ghosts-of.elims)
have step0: St0 ~>ZLf St0'
  unfolding st0 St0'-def by (rule fair-ZL.simplify-bwd[OF rest])

show ?thesis
  by (rule exI[of - St0']) (use wo-st0' step0 in blast)
next
case (schedule-infer lss A C T P)
note wo-st0 = this(1) and st' = this(2) and rest = this(3)

define D :: 'f inference set where
  D = done-of St0
define St0' :: ('t, 'p', 'f') ZLf-state where
  St0' = (fold t-add-llist lss T, D - flat-inferences-of (mset lss), P, None, A |∪| {|C'|})

have wo-st0': wo-ghosts-of St0' = St'
  unfolding St0'-def st' by simp

have st0: St0 = (T, D, P, Some C, A)
  using wo-st0 by (smt (verit) D-def fst-conv snd-conv wo-ghosts-of.elims)
have step0: St0 ~>ZLf St0'
  unfolding st0 St0'-def by (rule fair-ZL.schedule-infer[OF rest])

show ?thesis
  by (rule exI[of - St0']) (use wo-st0' step0 in blast)
next
case (delete-orphan-infers lss T A P Y)
note wo-st0 = this(1) and st' = this(2) and rest = this(3,4)

define D :: 'f inference set where
  D = done-of St0
define St0' :: ('t, 'p', 'f') ZLf-state where

```

$St0' = (t\text{-remove-llist } \iota s \ T, D \cup \text{lset } \iota s, P, Y, A)$

**have**  $wo\text{-}st0'$ :  $wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-of } St0' = St'$   
**unfolding**  $St0'\text{-def } st'$  **by**  $simp$

**have**  $st0$ :  $St0 = (T, D, P, Y, A)$   
**using**  $wo\text{-}st0$  **by**  $(smt (verit) D\text{-def } fst\text{-conv } snd\text{-conv } wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-of.elims})$   
**have**  $step0$ :  $St0 \rightsquigarrow_{ZLf} St0'$   
**unfolding**  $st0 St0'\text{-def}$  **by**  $(rule fair\text{-}ZL.delete\text{-orphan}\text{-}infers[OF rest])$

**show**  $?thesis$   
**by**  $(rule exI[of - St0']) (use wo\text{-}st0' step0 \text{ in } blast)$

**qed**

**interpretation**  $bisim$ :  $bisim\ wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-of } (\rightsquigarrow_{ZLfw}) (\rightsquigarrow_{ZLf})$

**proof** **qed**  $(fact fair\text{-}ZL\text{-}wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-}step\text{-}imp\text{-}fair\text{-}ZL\text{-}step)$

**lemma**  $chain\text{-}fair\text{-}ZL\text{-}step\text{-}wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-}imp\text{-}chain\text{-}fair\text{-}ZL\text{-}step$ :

**assumes**  $chain$ :  $chain (\rightsquigarrow_{ZLfw}) Sts$

**shows**  $\exists Sts0. \text{lmap } wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-of } Sts0 = Sts \wedge chain (\rightsquigarrow_{ZLf}) Sts0 \wedge done\text{-of } (lhd Sts0) = \{\}$

**proof**  $-$

**define**  $St0 :: ('t, 'p, 'f) ZLf\text{-}state$  **where**

$St0 = (todo\text{-of } (lhd Sts), \{\}, passive\text{-of } (lhd Sts), yy\text{-of } (lhd Sts), active\text{-of } (lhd Sts))$

**have**  $hd$ :  $lhd Sts = wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-of } St0$

**unfolding**  $St0\text{-def}$  **by**  $(cases lhd Sts) auto$

**obtain**  $Sts0$  **where**

$wog0$ :  $\text{lmap } wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-of } Sts0 = Sts$  **and**

$chain0$ :  $chain (\rightsquigarrow_{ZLf}) Sts0$  **and**

$hd0$ :  $lhd Sts0 = St0$

**using**  $bisim.simul\text{-}chain[OF chain hd]$  **by**  $blast$

**have**  $don0$ :  $done\text{-of } (lhd Sts0) = \{\}$

**unfolding**  $hd0 St0\text{-def}$  **by**  $simp$

**show**  $?thesis$

**using**  $wog0 chain0 don0$  **by**  $blast$

**qed**

**lemma**  $full\text{-}chain\text{-}fair\text{-}ZL\text{-}step\text{-}wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-}imp\text{-}full\text{-}chain\text{-}fair\text{-}ZL\text{-}step$ :

**assumes**  $full\text{-}chain$   $(\rightsquigarrow_{ZLfw}) Sts$

**shows**  $\exists Sts0. Sts = \text{lmap } wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-of } Sts0 \wedge full\text{-}chain (\rightsquigarrow_{ZLf}) Sts0 \wedge done\text{-of } (lhd Sts0) = \{\}$

**by**  $(smt (verit) assms chain\text{-}fair\text{-}ZL\text{-}step\text{-}wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-}imp\text{-}chain\text{-}fair\text{-}ZL\text{-}step empty\text{-}def$

$fair\text{-}ZL\text{-}step\text{-}imp\text{-}fair\text{-}ZL\text{-}wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-}step full\text{-}chain\text{-}iff\text{-}chain full\text{-}chain\text{-}not\text{-}lnull \text{ lfinite}\text{-}lmap$

$llast\text{-}lmap \text{ llist.map}\text{-}disc\text{-}iff passive.felems\text{-}empty todo.llists\text{-}empty)$

## 14.6 Completeness

**theorem**

**assumes**

$full$ :  $full\text{-}chain (\rightsquigarrow_{ZLfw}) Sts$  **and**

$init$ :  $is\text{-}initial\text{-}ZLf\text{-}wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-}state (lhd Sts)$  **and**

$fair$ :  $infinitely\text{-}often\ compute\text{-}infer\text{-}step Sts \longrightarrow infinitely\text{-}often\ choose\text{-}p\text{-}step Sts$

**shows**

$fair\text{-}ZL\text{-}wo\text{-}ghosts\text{-}Liminf\text{-}saturated$ :  $saturated (labeled\text{-}formulas\text{-of } (Liminf\text{-}zl\text{-}fstate Sts))$  **and**

*fair-ZL-wo-ghosts-complete-Liminf*:  $B \in \text{Bot-F} \implies$   
*passive.elems* (*passive-of* (*lhd Sts*))  $\models \cap \mathcal{G} \{B\} \implies$   
 $\exists B' \in \text{Bot-F}. B' \in \text{formulas-union} (\text{Liminf-zl-fstate } Sts) \text{ and}$   
*fair-ZL-wo-ghosts-complete*:  $B \in \text{Bot-F} \implies \text{passive.elems} (\text{passive-of} (\text{lhd } Sts)) \models \cap \mathcal{G} \{B\} \implies$   
 $\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } Sts \wedge (\exists B \in \text{Bot-F}. B \in \text{all-formulas-of} (\text{lth } Sts \ i))$

**proof** –

**obtain** *Sts0* :: (*t*, *p*, *f*) *ZLf-state llist* **where**

*full0*: *full-chain* ( $\rightsquigarrow \text{ZLf}$ ) *Sts0* **and**

*sts0*: *lmap wo-ghosts-of* *Sts0* = *Sts* **and**

*don0*: *done-of* (*lhd Sts0*) = {}

**using** *full-chain-fair-ZL-step-wo-ghosts-imp-full-chain-fair-ZL-step*[*OF full*] **by** *blast*

**have** *init0*: *is-initial-ZLf-state* (*lhd Sts0*)

**proof** –

**have** *hd*: *lhd* (*lmap wo-ghosts-of* *Sts0*) = *wo-ghosts-of* (*lhd Sts0*)

**using** *full0 full-chain-not-lnull llist.map-sel(1)* **by** *blast*

**show** *?thesis*

**by** (*rule is-initial-ZLf-wo-ghosts-state-imp-is-initial-ZLf-state*[*OF*  
*init[unfolded sts0[symmetric] hd] don0*])

**qed**

**have** *fair0*: *infinitely-often w-ghosts.compute-infer-step* *Sts0*  $\longrightarrow$   
*infinitely-often w-ghosts.choose-p-step* *Sts0*

**proof**

**assume** *inf-ci0*: *infinitely-often w-ghosts.compute-infer-step* *Sts0*

**have** *infinitely-often compute-infer-step* *Sts*

**unfolding** *sts0[symmetric]*

**by** (*rule infinitely-often-lifting*[*of -  $\lambda x. x$ , unfolded llist.map-ident, OF - inf-ci0*])  
*(use w-ghosts.compute-infer-step-imp-compute-infer-step in auto)*

**hence** *inf-cp*: *infinitely-often choose-p-step* *Sts*

**by** (*simp add: fair*)

**show** *infinitely-often w-ghosts.choose-p-step* *Sts0*

**by** (*rule infinitely-often-lifting*[*of - - -  $\lambda x. x$ , unfolded llist.map-ident,*  
*OF - inf-cp[unfolded sts0[symmetric]]*])

*(use choose-p-step-imp-w-ghosts.choose-p-step in auto)*

**qed**

**have** *saturated* (*labeled-formulas-of* (*w-ghosts.Liminf-zl-fstate* *Sts0*))

**using** *fair-ZL-Liminf-saturated*[*OF full0 init0 fair0*].

**thus** *saturated* (*labeled-formulas-of* (*Liminf-zl-fstate* *Sts*))

**unfolding** *w-ghosts.Liminf-zl-fstate-def Liminf-zl-fstate-def sts0[symmetric]*

**by** (*simp add: llist.map-comp*)

{

**assume**

*bot*:  $B \in \text{Bot-F}$  **and**

*unsat*: *passive.elems* (*passive-of* (*lhd Sts*))  $\models \cap \mathcal{G} \{B\}$

**have** *unsat0*: *passive.elems* (*w-ghosts.passive-of* (*lhd Sts0*))  $\models \cap \mathcal{G} \{B\}$

**proof** –

**have** *lhd* (*lmap wo-ghosts-of* *Sts0*) = *wo-ghosts-of* (*lhd Sts0*)

**using** *full0 full-chain-not-lnull llist.map-sel(1)* **by** *blast*

**hence** *passive-of* (*lhd* (*lmap wo-ghosts-of* *Sts0*)) = *w-ghosts.passive-of* (*lhd Sts0*)

```

    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using unsat unfolding sts0[symmetric] by auto
qed

have  $\exists B' \in \text{Bot-F}. B' \in \text{formulas-union } (w\text{-ghosts.Liminf-zl-fstate } \text{Sts0})$ 
  by (rule fair-ZL-complete-Liminf[OF full0 init0 fair0 bot unsat0])
thus  $\exists B' \in \text{Bot-F}. B' \in \text{formulas-union } (\text{Liminf-zl-fstate } \text{Sts})$ 
  unfolding w-ghosts.Liminf-zl-fstate-def Liminf-zl-fstate-def sts0[symmetric]
  by (simp add: llist.map-comp)
thus  $\exists i. \text{enat } i < \text{llength } \text{Sts} \wedge (\exists B \in \text{Bot-F}. B \in \text{all-formulas-of } (\text{lth } \text{Sts } i))$ 
  unfolding Liminf-zl-fstate-def Liminf-llist-def by auto
}
qed

end

```

## 14.7 Specialization with FIFO Queue

As a proof of concept, we specialize the passive set to use a FIFO queue, thereby eliminating the locale assumptions about the passive set.

```

locale fifo-zipperposition-loop =
  discount-loop Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q Red-F-q G-F-q G-I-q Equiv-F Prec-F
for
  Bot-F :: 'f set and
  Inf-F :: 'f inference set and
  Bot-G :: 'g set and
  Q :: 'q set and
  entails-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  Inf-G-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set and
  Red-I-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set and
  Red-F-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'g set  $\Rightarrow$  'g set and
  G-F-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  'g set and
  G-I-q :: 'q  $\Rightarrow$  'f inference  $\Rightarrow$  'g inference set option and
  Equiv-F :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \doteq \rangle$  50) and
  Prec-F :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \prec \cdot \rangle$  50) +
fixes
  Prec-S :: 'f  $\Rightarrow$  'f  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\langle \prec S \rangle$  50)
assumes
  wfp-Prec-S: wfp ( $\prec S$ ) and
  transp-Prec-S: transp ( $\prec S$ ) and
  countable-Inf-between: finite A  $\implies$  countable (no-labels.Inf-between A {C})
begin

sublocale fifo-prover-queue
  .

sublocale fifo-prover-lazy-list-queue
  .

sublocale fair-zipperposition-loop Bot-F Inf-F Bot-G Q entails-q Inf-G-q Red-I-q Red-F-q G-F-q G-I-q
  Equiv-F Prec-F empty add-llist remove-llist pick-elem llists [] hd
   $\lambda y xs. \text{if } y \in \text{set } xs \text{ then } xs \text{ else } xs @ [y]$  removeAll fset-of-list Prec-S
proof
  show wfp ( $\prec S$ )

```



```

    by (rule wfp-Prec-S)
next
show transp ( $\prec$ S)
  by (rule transp-Prec-S)
next
show  $\bigwedge A C. \text{finite } A \implies \text{countable } (\text{no-labels.Inf-between } A \{C\})$ 
  by (fact countable-Inf-between)
qed

end

end

```

## 15 Given Clause Loops

This section imports all the theory files of the given clause procedure formalization.

```

theory Given-Clause-Loops
imports
  Fair-DISCOUNT-Loop
  Fair-Otter-Loop-Complete
  Fair-Zipperposition-Loop-without-Ghosts
begin
end

```