

A Probabilistic Proof of the Girth-Chromatic Number Theorem

Lars Noschinski

February 23, 2021

Abstract

This work presents a formalization of the Girth-Chromatic number theorem in graph theory, stating that graphs with arbitrarily large girth and chromatic number exist. The proof uses the theory of Random Graphs to prove the existence with probabilistic arguments and is based on [1].

Contents

1	Auxiliary lemmas and setup	2
1.1	Numbers	2
1.2	Lists and Sets	3
1.3	Limits and eventually	3
2	Undirected Simple Graphs	4
2.1	Basic Properties	5
2.2	Girth, Independence and Vertex Colorings	6
3	Probability Space on Sets of Edges	7
3.1	Graph Probabilities outside of <i>Edge-Space</i> locale	9
4	Short cycles	9
5	The Chromatic-Girth Theorem	11
	<code>theory Girth-Chromatic-Misc</code>	
	<code>imports</code>	
	<code>Main</code>	
	<code>HOL-Library.Extended-Real</code>	
	<code>begin</code>	

1 Auxilliary lemmas and setup

This section contains facts about general concepts which are not directly connected to the proof of the Chromatic-Girth theorem. At some point in time, most of them could be moved to the Isabelle base library.

Also, a little bit of setup happens.

1.1 Numbers

lemma *enat-in-Inf*:

fixes $S :: \text{enat set}$

assumes $\text{Inf } S \neq \text{top}$

shows $\text{Inf } S \in S$

<proof>

lemma *enat-in-INF*:

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{enat}$

assumes $(\text{INF } x \in S. f x) \neq \text{top}$

obtains x **where** $x \in S$ **and** $(\text{INF } x \in S. f x) = f x$

<proof>

lemma *enat-less-INF-I*:

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{enat}$

assumes *not-inf*: $x \neq \infty$ **and** *less*: $\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies x < f y$

shows $x < (\text{INF } y \in S. f y)$

<proof>

lemma *enat-le-Sup-iff*:

$\text{enat } k \leq \text{Sup } M \iff k = 0 \vee (\exists m \in M. \text{enat } k \leq m)$ (**is** $?L \iff ?R$)

<proof>

lemma *enat-neq-zero-cancel-iff[simp]*:

$0 \neq \text{enat } n \iff 0 \neq n$

$\text{enat } n \neq 0 \iff n \neq 0$

<proof>

lemma *natceiling-lessD*: $\text{nat}(\text{ceiling } x) < n \implies x < \text{real } n$

<proof>

lemma *le-natceiling-iff*:

fixes $n :: \text{nat}$ **and** $r :: \text{real}$

shows $n \leq r \implies n \leq \text{nat}(\text{ceiling } r)$

<proof>

lemma *natceiling-le-iff*:

fixes $n :: \text{nat}$ **and** $r :: \text{real}$

shows $r \leq n \implies \text{nat}(\text{ceiling } r) \leq n$

<proof>

lemma *dist-real-noabs-less*:

fixes $a\ b\ c :: \text{real}$ **assumes** $\text{dist } a\ b < c$ **shows** $a - b < c$
<proof>

lemma *n-choose-2-nat*:

fixes $n :: \text{nat}$ **shows** $(n \text{ choose } 2) = (n * (n - 1)) \text{ div } 2$
<proof>

lemma *powr-less-one*:

fixes $x :: \text{real}$
assumes $1 < x\ y < 0$
shows $x \text{ powr } y < 1$
<proof>

lemma *powr-le-one-le*: $\bigwedge x\ y :: \text{real}. 0 < x \implies x \leq 1 \implies 1 \leq y \implies x \text{ powr } y \leq x$
<proof>

1.2 Lists and Sets

lemma *list-set-tl*: $x \in \text{set } (\text{tl } xs) \implies x \in \text{set } xs$
<proof>

lemma *list-exhaust3*:

obtains $xs = [] \mid x \text{ where } xs = [x] \mid x\ y\ ys \text{ where } xs = x \# y \# ys$
<proof>

lemma *card-Ex-subset*:

$k \leq \text{card } M \implies \exists N. N \subseteq M \wedge \text{card } N = k$
<proof>

1.3 Limits and eventually

We employ filters and the *eventually* predicate to deal with the $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. P\ n$ cases. To make this more convenient, introduce a shorter syntax.

abbreviation *evseq* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**binder** $\forall^\infty 10$) **where**
 $\text{evseq } P \equiv \text{eventually } P \text{ sequentially}$

lemma *eventually-le-le*:

fixes $P :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b :: \text{preorder})$
assumes *eventually* $(\lambda x. P\ x \leq Q\ x)$ *net*
assumes *eventually* $(\lambda x. Q\ x \leq R\ x)$ *net*
shows *eventually* $(\lambda x. P\ x \leq R\ x)$ *net*
<proof>

lemma *LIMSEQ-neg-powr*:

assumes $s: s < 0$
shows $(\%x. (\text{real } x) \text{ powr } s) \longrightarrow 0$

<proof>

lemma *LIMSEQ-inv-powr*:
 assumes $0 < c \ 0 < d$
 shows $(\lambda n :: nat. (c / n) \text{ powr } d) \longrightarrow 0$
<proof>

end
theory *Ugraphs*
imports
 Girth-Chromatic-Misc
begin

2 Undirected Simple Graphs

In this section, we define some basics of graph theory needed to formalize the Chromatic-Girth theorem.

For readability, we introduce synonyms for the types of vertexes, edges, graphs and walks.

type-synonym *uvert* = *nat*
type-synonym *uedge* = *nat set*
type-synonym *ugraph* = *uvert set* \times *uedge set*
type-synonym *uwalk* = *uvert list*

abbreviation *uedges* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *uedge set* **where**
 uedges *G* \equiv *snd* *G*

abbreviation *uverts* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *uvert set* **where**
 uverts *G* \equiv *fst* *G*

fun *mk-uedge* :: *uvert* \times *uvert* \Rightarrow *uedge* **where**
 mk-uedge (*u,v*) = {*u,v*}

All edges over a set of vertexes *S*:

definition *all-edges* *S* \equiv *mk-uedge* ‘ {*uv* \in *S* \times *S*. *fst* *uv* \neq *snd* *uv*}

definition *uwellformed* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 uwellformed *G* \equiv $(\forall e \in \text{uedges } G. \text{card } e = 2 \wedge (\forall u \in e. u \in \text{uverts } G))$

fun *uwalk-edges* :: *uwalk* \Rightarrow *uedge list* **where**
 uwalk-edges [] = []
 | *uwalk-edges* [*x*] = []
 | *uwalk-edges* (*x* # *y* # *ys*) = {*x,y*} # *uwalk-edges* (*y* # *ys*)

definition *uwalk-length* :: *uwalk* \Rightarrow *nat* **where**
 uwalk-length *p* \equiv *length* (*uwalk-edges* *p*)

definition *uwalks* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *uwalk set* **where**

uwalks $G \equiv \{p. \text{set } p \subseteq \text{uverts } G \wedge \text{set } (\text{uwalk-edges } p) \subseteq \text{uedges } G \wedge p \neq []\}$

definition *ucycles* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *uwalk set* **where**

ucycles $G \equiv \{p. \text{uwalk-length } p \geq 3 \wedge p \in \text{uwalks } G \wedge \text{distinct } (\text{tl } p) \wedge \text{hd } p = \text{last } p\}$

definition *remove-vertex* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *ugraph* (- -- - [60,60] 60) **where**

remove-vertex $G \ u \equiv (\text{uverts } G - \{u\}, \text{uedges } G - \{A \in \text{uedges } G. u \in A\})$

2.1 Basic Properties

lemma *uwalk-length-conv*: *uwalk-length* $p = \text{length } p - 1$

<proof>

lemma *all-edges-mono*:

$vs \subseteq ws \implies \text{all-edges } vs \subseteq \text{all-edges } ws$

<proof>

lemma *all-edges-subset-Pow*: *all-edges* $A \subseteq \text{Pow } A$

<proof>

lemma *in-mk-uedge-img*: $(a,b) \in A \vee (b,a) \in A \implies \{a,b\} \in \text{mk-uedge } A$

<proof>

lemma *distinct-edgesI*:

assumes *distinct* p **shows** *distinct* (*uwalk-edges* p)

<proof>

lemma *finite-ucycles*:

assumes *finite* (*uverts* G)

shows *finite* (*ucycles* G)

<proof>

lemma *ucycles-distinct-edges*:

assumes $c \in \text{ucycles } G$ **shows** *distinct* (*uwalk-edges* c)

<proof>

lemma *card-left-less-pair*:

fixes $A :: ('a :: \text{linorder}) \text{ set}$

assumes *finite* A

shows *card* $\{(a,b). a \in A \wedge b \in A \wedge a < b\}$

$= (\text{card } A * (\text{card } A - 1)) \text{ div } 2$

<proof>

lemma *card-all-edges*:

assumes *finite* A

shows *card* (*all-edges* A) = *card* $A \text{ choose } 2$

<proof>

lemma *verts-Gu*: $uverts (G -- u) = uverts G - \{u\}$
<proof>

lemma *edges-Gu*: $uedges (G -- u) \subseteq uedges G$
<proof>

2.2 Girth, Independence and Vertex Colorings

definition *girth* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *enat* **where**
girth $G \equiv INF\ p \in\ ucycles\ G.\ enat\ (uwalk\text{-}length\ p)$

definition *independent-sets* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *uvert set set* **where**
independent-sets $Gr \equiv \{vs.\ vs \subseteq uverts\ Gr \wedge all\text{-}edges\ vs \cap uedges\ Gr = \{\}\}$

definition α :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *enat* **where**
 $\alpha\ G \equiv SUP\ vs \in\ independent\text{-}sets\ G.\ enat\ (card\ vs)$

definition *vertex-colorings* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *uvert set set set* **where**
vertex-colorings $G \equiv \{C.\ \bigcup C = uverts\ G \wedge (\forall c1 \in C.\ \forall c2 \in C.\ c1 \neq c2 \longrightarrow c1 \cap c2 = \{\}) \wedge (\forall c \in C.\ c \neq \{\} \wedge (\forall u \in c.\ \forall v \in c.\ \{u,v\} \notin uedges\ G))\}$

The chromatic number χ :

definition *chromatic-number* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *enat* **where**
chromatic-number $G \equiv INF\ c \in (vertex\text{-}colorings\ G).\ enat\ (card\ c)$

lemma *independent-sets-mono*:
 $vs \in independent\text{-}sets\ G \Longrightarrow us \subseteq vs \Longrightarrow us \in independent\text{-}sets\ G$
<proof>

lemma *le- α -iff*:
assumes $0 < k$
shows $k \leq \alpha\ Gr \longleftrightarrow k \in card\ ' independent\text{-}sets\ Gr$ (**is** ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R)
<proof>

lemma *zero-less- α* :
assumes $uverts\ G \neq \{\}$
shows $0 < \alpha\ G$
<proof>

lemma *α -le-card*:
assumes *finite* (*uverts* G)
shows $\alpha\ G \leq card(uverts\ G)$
<proof>

lemma *α -fin*: *finite* (*uverts* G) $\Longrightarrow \alpha\ G \neq \infty$
<proof>

lemma *α-remove-le*:
shows $\alpha (G -- u) \leq \alpha G$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

A lower bound for the chromatic number of a graph can be given in terms of the independence number

lemma *chromatic-lb*:
assumes *wf-G*: *uwellformed G*
and *fin-G*: *finite (uverts G)*
and *neG*: *uverts G ≠ {}*
shows $\text{card } (\text{uverts } G) / \alpha G \leq \text{chromatic-number } G$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end
theory *Girth-Chromatic*
imports
Ugraphs
Girth-Chromatic-Misc
HOL-Probability.Probability
HOL-Decision-Proc.s.Approximation
begin

3 Probability Space on Sets of Edges

definition *cylinder* :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set set **where**
cylinder S A B = {*T* ∈ *Pow S*. *A* ⊆ *T* ∧ *B* ∩ *T* = {}}

lemma *full-sum*:
fixes *p* :: *real*
assumes *finite S*
shows $(\sum A \in \text{Pow } S. p^{\text{card } A} * (1 - p)^{\text{card } (S - A)}) = 1$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Definition of the probability space on edges:

locale *edge-space* =
fixes *n* :: *nat* **and** *p* :: *real*
assumes *p-prob*: $0 \leq p \leq 1$
begin

definition *S-verts* :: *nat set* **where**
S-verts ≡ {1..*n*}

definition *S-edges* :: *uedge set* **where**
S-edges = *all-edges S-verts*

definition *edge-ugraph* :: *uedge set* ⇒ *ugraph* **where**
edge-ugraph es ≡ (*S-verts*, *es* ∩ *S-edges*)

definition $P = \text{point-measure } (Pow \ S\text{-edges}) (\lambda s. p^{\widehat{\text{card}} s} * (1 - p)^{\widehat{\text{card}} (S\text{-edges} - s)})$

lemma $\text{finite-verts}[\text{intro!}]$: $\text{finite } S\text{-verts}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{finite-edges}[\text{intro!}]$: $\text{finite } S\text{-edges}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{finite-graph}[\text{intro!}]$: $\text{finite } (u\text{verts } (\text{edge-ugraph } es))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $u\text{verts-edge-ugraph}[\text{simp}]$: $u\text{verts } (\text{edge-ugraph } es) = S\text{-verts}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $u\text{edges-edge-ugraph}[\text{simp}]$: $u\text{edges } (\text{edge-ugraph } es) = es \cap S\text{-edges}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma space-eq : $\text{space } P = Pow \ S\text{-edges}$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sets-eq : $\text{sets } P = Pow \ (Pow \ S\text{-edges})$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma emeasure-eq :
 $\text{emeasure } P \ A = (\text{if } A \subseteq Pow \ S\text{-edges} \text{ then } (\sum \text{edges} \in A. p^{\widehat{\text{card}} \text{edges}} * (1 - p)^{\widehat{\text{card}} (S\text{-edges} - \text{edges})}) \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{integrable-P}[\text{intro}, \text{simp}]$: $\text{integrable } P \ (f::- \Rightarrow \text{real})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{borel-measurable-P}[\text{measurable}]$: $f \in \text{borel-measurable } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma prob-space-P : $\text{prob-space } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

sublocale $\text{edge-space} \subseteq \text{prob-space } P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context edge-space
begin

lemma prob-eq :
 $\text{prob } A = (\text{if } A \subseteq Pow \ S\text{-edges} \text{ then } (\sum \text{edges} \in A. p^{\widehat{\text{card}} \text{edges}} * (1 - p)^{\widehat{\text{card}} (S\text{-edges} - \text{edges})}) \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integral-finite-singleton*: $\text{integral}^L P f = (\sum_{x \in \text{Pow } S\text{-edges}} f x * \text{measure } P \{x\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

Probability of cylinder sets:

lemma *cylinder-prob*:

assumes $A \subseteq S\text{-edges } B \subseteq S\text{-edges } A \cap B = \{\}$
shows $\text{prob}(\text{cylinder } S\text{-edges } A B) = p^{\text{card } A} * (1 - p)^{\text{card } B}$ (is - =
 ?pp A B)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Markov-inequality*:

fixes $a :: \text{real}$ **and** $X :: \text{uedge set} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $0 < c \wedge x. 0 \leq f x$
shows $\text{prob} \{x \in \text{space } P. c \leq f x\} \leq (\int x. f x \partial P) / c$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

3.1 Graph Probabilities outside of *Edge-Space* locale

These abbreviations allow a compact expression of probabilities about random graphs outside of the *Edge-Space* locale. We also transfer a few of the lemmas we need from the locale into the toplevel theory.

abbreviation *MGn* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{uedge set}) \text{ measure}$ **where**
 $\text{MGn } p n \equiv (\text{edge-space}.P n (p n))$

abbreviation *probGn* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{uedge set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $\text{probGn } p n P \equiv \text{measure } (\text{MGn } p n) \{es \in \text{space } (\text{MGn } p n). P es\}$

lemma *probGn-le*:

assumes *p-prob*: $0 < p n p n < 1$
assumes *sub*: $\bigwedge n es. es \in \text{space } (\text{MGn } p n) \Longrightarrow P n es \Longrightarrow Q n es$
shows $\text{probGn } p n (P n) \leq \text{probGn } p n (Q n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

4 Short cycles

definition *short-cycles* :: $\text{ugraph} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{uwalk set}$ **where**
 $\text{short-cycles } G k \equiv \{p \in \text{ucycles } G. \text{uwalk-length } p \leq k\}$

obtains a vertex in a short cycle:

definition *choose-v* :: $\text{ugraph} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{uvert}$ **where**
 $\text{choose-v } G k \equiv \text{SOME } u. \exists p. p \in \text{short-cycles } G k \wedge u \in \text{set } p$

partial-function (*tailrec*) *kill-short* :: $\text{ugraph} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{ugraph}$ **where**
 $\text{kill-short } G k = (\text{if } \text{short-cycles } G k = \{\} \text{ then } G \text{ else } (\text{kill-short } (G -- (\text{choose-v } G k)) k))$

lemma *ksc-simps*[*simp*]:

short-cycles $G\ k = \{\}$ \implies *kill-short* $G\ k = G$

short-cycles $G\ k \neq \{\}$ \implies *kill-short* $G\ k = \text{kill-short } (G \text{ -- } (\text{choose-v } G\ k))\ k$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma

assumes *short-cycles* $G\ k \neq \{\}$

shows *choose-v-in-uverts*: *choose-v* $G\ k \in \text{uverts } G$ (**is** ?t1)

and *choose-v-in-short*: $\exists p. p \in \text{short-cycles } G\ k \wedge \text{choose-v } G\ k \in \text{set } p$ (**is** ?t2)

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *kill-step-smaller*:

assumes *short-cycles* $G\ k \neq \{\}$

shows *short-cycles* $(G \text{ -- } (\text{choose-v } G\ k))\ k \subset \text{short-cycles } G\ k$

\langle *proof* \rangle

Induction rule for *kill-short*:

lemma *kill-short-induct*[*consumes 1, case-names empty kill-vert*]:

assumes *fin*: *finite* (*uverts* G)

assumes *a-empty*: $\bigwedge G. \text{short-cycles } G\ k = \{\} \implies P\ G\ k$

assumes *a-kill*: $\bigwedge G. \text{finite } (\text{short-cycles } G\ k) \implies \text{short-cycles } G\ k \neq \{\}$

$\implies P\ (G \text{ -- } (\text{choose-v } G\ k))\ k \implies P\ G\ k$

shows $P\ G\ k$

\langle *proof* \rangle

Large Girth (after *kill-short*):

lemma *kill-short-large-girth*:

assumes *finite* (*uverts* G)

shows $k < \text{girth } (\text{kill-short } G\ k)$

\langle *proof* \rangle

Order of graph (after *kill-short*):

lemma *kill-short-order-of-graph*:

assumes *finite* (*uverts* G)

shows $\text{card } (\text{uverts } G) - \text{card } (\text{short-cycles } G\ k) \leq \text{card } (\text{uverts } (\text{kill-short } G\ k))$

\langle *proof* \rangle

Independence number (after *kill-short*):

lemma *kill-short- α* :

assumes *finite* (*uverts* G)

shows $\alpha\ (\text{kill-short } G\ k) \leq \alpha\ G$

\langle *proof* \rangle

Wellformedness (after *kill-short*):

lemma *kill-short-uwellformed*:

assumes *finite* (*uverts* G) *uwellformed* G

shows *uwellformed* (*kill-short* G k)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

5 The Chromatic-Girth Theorem

Probability of Independent Edges:

lemma (*in edge-space*) *random-prob-independent*:
assumes $n \geq k$ $k \geq 2$
shows $\text{prob} \{es \in \text{space } P. k \leq \alpha \text{ (edge-ugraph } es)\}$
 $\leq (n \text{ choose } k) * (1-p)^{\wedge(k \text{ choose } 2)}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Almost never many independent edges:

lemma *almost-never-le-alpha*:
fixes $k :: \text{nat}$
and $p :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes *p-prob*: $\forall^\infty n. 0 < p \ n \wedge p \ n < 1$
assumes [*arith*]: $k > 0$
assumes *N-prop*: $\forall^\infty n. (6 * k * \ln n) / n \leq p \ n$
shows $(\lambda n. \text{prob } G n \ p \ n \ (\lambda es. 1 / 2 * n / k \leq \alpha \text{ (edge-space.edge-ugraph } n \ es))) \longrightarrow 0$
 (is $(\lambda n. \text{?prob-fun } n) \longrightarrow 0$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Mean number of k -cycles in a graph. (Or rather of paths describing a circle of length k):

lemma (*in edge-space*) *mean-k-cycles*:
assumes $3 \leq k$ $k < n$
shows $(\int es. \text{card} \{c \in \text{ucycles (edge-ugraph } es). \text{uwalk-length } c = k\} \partial P)$
 $= \text{of-nat (fact } n \ \text{div fact } (n - k)) * p^{\wedge k}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Girth-Chromatic number theorem:

theorem *girth-chromatic*:
fixes $l :: \text{nat}$
shows $\exists G. \text{uwellformed } G \wedge l < \text{girth } G \wedge l < \text{chromatic-number } G$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

References

- [1] R. Diestel. *Graph Theory*, volume 173 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 4 edition, 2010. <http://diestel-graph-theory.com>.