

A Probabilistic Proof of the Girth-Chromatic Number Theorem

Lars Noschinski

February 23, 2021

Abstract

This work presents a formalization of the Girth-Chromatic number theorem in graph theory, stating that graphs with arbitrarily large girth and chromatic number exist. The proof uses the theory of Random Graphs to prove the existence with probabilistic arguments and is based on [1].

Contents

1	Auxilliary lemmas and setup	2
1.1	Numbers	2
1.2	Lists and Sets	4
1.3	Limits and eventually	4
2	Undirected Simple Graphs	5
2.1	Basic Properties	6
2.2	Girth, Independence and Vertex Colorings	9
3	Probability Space on Sets of Edges	11
3.1	Graph Probabilities outside of <i>Edge-Space</i> locale	14
4	Short cycles	15
5	The Chromatic-Girth Theorem	17
	<code>theory Girth-Chromatic-Misc</code>	
	<code>imports</code>	
	<code>Main</code>	
	<code>HOL-Library.Extended-Real</code>	
	<code>begin</code>	

1 Auxilliary lemmas and setup

This section contains facts about general concepts which are not directly connected to the proof of the Chromatic-Girth theorem. At some point in time, most of them could be moved to the Isabelle base library.

Also, a little bit of setup happens.

1.1 Numbers

lemma *enat-in-Inf*:

fixes $S :: \text{enat set}$

assumes $\text{Inf } S \neq \text{top}$

shows $\text{Inf } S \in S$

proof (*rule ccontr*)

assume $A: \sim ?thesis$

obtain n **where** $\text{Inf-conv}: \text{Inf } S = \text{enat } n$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp: top-enat-def*)

{ **fix** s **assume** $s \in S$

then have $\text{Inf } S \leq s$ **by** (*rule complete-lattice-class.Inf-lower*)

moreover have $\text{Inf } S \neq s$ **using** $A \langle s \in S \rangle$ **by** *auto*

ultimately have $\text{Inf } S < s$ **by** *simp*

with Inf-conv **have** $\text{enat } (\text{Suc } n) \leq s$ **by** (*cases s*) *auto*

}

then have $\text{enat } (\text{Suc } n) \leq \text{Inf } S$ **by** (*simp add: le-Inf-iff*)

with Inf-conv **show** *False* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *enat-in-INF*:

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{enat}$

assumes $(\text{INF } x \in S. f x) \neq \text{top}$

obtains x **where** $x \in S$ **and** $(\text{INF } x \in S. f x) = f x$

proof –

from *assms* **have** $(\text{INF } x \in S. f x) \in f ' S$

using *enat-in-Inf* [*of f ' S*] **by** *auto*

then obtain x **where** $x \in S$ $(\text{INF } x \in S. f x) = f x$ **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis* ..

qed

lemma *enat-less-INF-I*:

fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{enat}$

assumes *not-inf*: $x \neq \infty$ **and** *less*: $\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies x < f y$

shows $x < (\text{INF } y \in S. f y)$

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: Suc-ile-eq[symmetric] INF-greatest*)

lemma *enat-le-Sup-iff*:

$\text{enat } k \leq \text{Sup } M \iff k = 0 \vee (\exists m \in M. \text{enat } k \leq m)$ (**is** $?L \iff ?R$)

proof *cases*

assume $k = 0$ **then show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp: enat-0*)

```

next
  assume  $k \neq 0$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof
    assume ?L
    then have  $\llbracket \text{enat } k \leq (\text{if finite } M \text{ then Max } M \text{ else } \infty); M \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \exists m \in M.$ 
  enat  $k \leq m$ 
    by (metis Max-in Sup-enat-def finite-enat-bounded linorder-linear)
  with  $\langle k \neq 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle ?L \rangle$  show ?R
    unfolding Sup-enat-def
    by (cases  $M = \{\}$ ) (auto simp add: enat-0[symmetric])
  next
    assume ?R then show ?L
      by (auto simp: enat-0 intro: complete-lattice-class.Sup-upper2)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma enat-neq-zero-cancel-iff[simp]:
   $0 \neq \text{enat } n \iff 0 \neq n$ 
   $\text{enat } n \neq 0 \iff n \neq 0$ 
  by (auto simp: enat-0[symmetric])

```

```

lemma natceiling-lessD:  $\text{nat}(\text{ceiling } x) < n \implies x < \text{real } n$ 
  by linarith

```

```

lemma le-natceiling-iff:
  fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$  and  $r :: \text{real}$ 
  shows  $n \leq r \implies n \leq \text{nat}(\text{ceiling } r)$ 
  by linarith

```

```

lemma natceiling-le-iff:
  fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$  and  $r :: \text{real}$ 
  shows  $r \leq n \implies \text{nat}(\text{ceiling } r) \leq n$ 
  by linarith

```

```

lemma dist-real-noabs-less:
  fixes  $a b c :: \text{real}$  assumes  $\text{dist } a b < c$  shows  $a - b < c$ 
  using assms by (simp add: dist-real-def)

```

```

lemma n-choose-2-nat:
  fixes  $n :: \text{nat}$  shows  $(n \text{ choose } 2) = (n * (n - 1)) \text{ div } 2$ 
  proof -
    show ?thesis
  proof (cases  $2 \leq n$ )
    case True
      then obtain  $m$  where  $n = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m)$ 
        by (metis add-Suc le-Suc-ex numeral-2-eq-2)
      moreover have  $(n \text{ choose } 2) = (\text{fact } n \text{ div fact } (n - 2)) \text{ div } 2$ 

```

```

    using ⟨2 ≤ n⟩ by (simp add: binomial-altdef-nat
      div-mult2-eq[symmetric] mult.commute numeral-2-eq-2)
    ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: algebra-simps)
  qed (auto simp: binomial-eq-0)
qed

```

```

lemma powr-less-one:
  fixes x::real
  assumes 1 < x y < 0
  shows x powr y < 1
using assms less-log-iff by force

```

```

lemma powr-le-one-le:  $\bigwedge x y :: \text{real}. 0 < x \implies x \leq 1 \implies 1 \leq y \implies x \text{ powr } y \leq x$ 
proof -
  fix x y :: real
  assume 0 < x x ≤ 1 1 ≤ y
  have x powr y = (1 / (1 / x)) powr y using ⟨0 < x⟩ by (simp add: field-simps)
  also have ... = 1 / (1 / x) powr y using ⟨0 < x⟩ by (simp add: powr-divide)
  also have ... ≤ 1 / (1 / x) powr 1 proof -
    have 1 ≤ 1 / x using ⟨0 < x⟩ ⟨x ≤ 1⟩ by (auto simp: field-simps)
    then have (1 / x) powr 1 ≤ (1 / x) powr y using ⟨0 < x⟩
      using ⟨1 ≤ y⟩ by (simp only: powr-mono)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis ⟨1 ≤ 1 / x⟩ ⟨1 ≤ y⟩ neg-le-iff-le powr-minus-divide powr-mono)
  qed
  also have ... ≤ x using ⟨0 < x⟩ by (auto simp: field-simps)
  finally show ?thesis x y .
qed

```

1.2 Lists and Sets

```

lemma list-set-tl:  $x \in \text{set } (\text{tl } xs) \implies x \in \text{set } xs$ 
by (cases xs) auto

```

```

lemma list-exhaust3:
  obtains  $xs = [] \mid x \text{ where } xs = [x] \mid x y \text{ ys where } xs = x \# y \# ys$ 
by (metis list.exhaust)

```

```

lemma card-Ex-subset:
   $k \leq \text{card } M \implies \exists N. N \subseteq M \wedge \text{card } N = k$ 
by (induct rule: inc-induct) (auto simp: card-Suc-eq)

```

1.3 Limits and eventually

We employ filters and the *eventually* predicate to deal with the $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. P n$ cases. To make this more convenient, introduce a shorter syntax.

```

abbreviation evseq :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  bool (binder  $\forall^\infty 10$ ) where
  evseq P  $\equiv$  eventually P sequentially

```

```

lemma eventually-le-le:
  fixes  $P :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b :: preorder)$ 
  assumes eventually  $(\lambda x. P x \leq Q x)$  net
  assumes eventually  $(\lambda x. Q x \leq R x)$  net
  shows eventually  $(\lambda x. P x \leq R x)$  net
using assms by eventually-elim (rule order-trans)

lemma LIMSEQ-neg-powr:
  assumes  $s: s < 0$ 
  shows  $(\%x. (real\ x)\ powr\ s) \longrightarrow 0$ 
by (rule tendsto-neg-powr[OF assms filterlim-real-sequentially])

lemma LIMSEQ-inv-powr:
  assumes  $0 < c < d$ 
  shows  $(\lambda n :: nat. (c / n)\ powr\ d) \longrightarrow 0$ 
proof (rule tendsto-zero-powrI)
  from  $0 < c$  have  $\bigwedge x. 0 < x \implies 0 < c / x$  by simp
  then show  $\forall^\infty n. 0 \leq c / real\ n$ 
    using assms(1) by auto
  show  $(\lambda x. c / real\ x) \longrightarrow 0$ 
    by (intro tendsto-divide-0[OF tendsto-const] filterlim-at-top-imp-at-infinity
      filterlim-real-sequentially tendsto-divide-0)
  show  $0 < d$  by (rule assms)
  show  $(\lambda x. d) \longrightarrow d$  by auto
qed

end
theory Ugraphs
imports
  Girth-Chromatic-Misc
begin

```

2 Undirected Simple Graphs

In this section, we define some basics of graph theory needed to formalize the Chromatic-Girth theorem.

For readability, we introduce synonyms for the types of vertexes, edges, graphs and walks.

```

type-synonym uvert = nat
type-synonym uedge = nat set
type-synonym ugraph = uvert set  $\times$  uedge set
type-synonym uwalk = uvert list

```

```

abbreviation uedges :: ugraph  $\Rightarrow$  uedge set where
  uedges  $G \equiv snd\ G$ 

```

abbreviation $uverts :: ugraph \Rightarrow uvert\ set$ **where**
 $uverts\ G \equiv fst\ G$

fun $mk-uedge :: uvert \times uvert \Rightarrow uedge$ **where**
 $mk-uedge\ (u,v) = \{u,v\}$

All edges over a set of vertexes S :

definition $all-edges\ S \equiv mk-uedge\ \{uv \in S \times S.\ fst\ uv \neq\ snd\ uv\}$

definition $uwellformed :: ugraph \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $uwellformed\ G \equiv (\forall e \in uedges\ G.\ card\ e = 2 \wedge (\forall u \in e.\ u \in uverts\ G))$

fun $uwalk-edges :: uwalk \Rightarrow uedge\ list$ **where**
 $uwalk-edges\ [] = []$
 $| uwalk-edges\ [x] = []$
 $| uwalk-edges\ (x \# y \# ys) = \{x,y\} \# uwalk-edges\ (y \# ys)$

definition $uwalk-length :: uwalk \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $uwalk-length\ p \equiv length\ (uwalk-edges\ p)$

definition $uwalks :: ugraph \Rightarrow uwalk\ set$ **where**
 $uwalks\ G \equiv \{p.\ set\ p \subseteq uverts\ G \wedge set\ (uwalk-edges\ p) \subseteq uedges\ G \wedge p \neq []\}$

definition $ucycles :: ugraph \Rightarrow uwalk\ set$ **where**
 $ucycles\ G \equiv \{p.\ uwalk-length\ p \geq 3 \wedge p \in uwalks\ G \wedge distinct\ (tl\ p) \wedge hd\ p = last\ p\}$

definition $remove-vertex :: ugraph \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ugraph\ (-\ _ - -\ [60,60]\ 60)$ **where**
 $remove-vertex\ G\ u \equiv (uverts\ G - \{u\}, uedges\ G - \{A \in uedges\ G.\ u \in A\})$

2.1 Basic Properties

lemma $uwalk-length-conv: uwalk-length\ p = length\ p - 1$
by ($induct\ p\ rule: uwalk-edges.induct$) ($auto\ simp: uwalk-length-def$)

lemma $all-edges-mono:$
 $vs \subseteq ws \implies all-edges\ vs \subseteq all-edges\ ws$
unfolding $all-edges-def$ **by** $auto$

lemma $all-edges-subset-Pow: all-edges\ A \subseteq Pow\ A$
by ($auto\ simp: all-edges-def$)

lemma $in-mk-uedge-img: (a,b) \in A \vee (b,a) \in A \implies \{a,b\} \in mk-uedge\ \{A$
by ($auto\ intro: rev-image-eqI$)

lemma $distinct-edgesI:$
assumes $distinct\ p$ **shows** $distinct\ (uwalk-edges\ p)$

proof –
from $assms$ **have** $?thesis \wedge u.\ u \notin set\ p \implies (\wedge v.\ u \neq v \implies \{u,v\} \notin set$

```

(uwalk-edges p)
  by (induct p rule: uwalk-edges.induct) auto
  then show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma finite-ucycles:
  assumes finite (uverts G)
  shows finite (ucycles G)
proof -
  have ucycles G  $\subseteq$   $\{xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \text{uverts } G \wedge \text{length } xs \leq \text{Suc } (\text{card } (\text{uverts } G))\}$ 
  proof (rule, simp)
    fix p assume p  $\in$  ucycles G
    then have distinct (tl p) and set p  $\subseteq$  uverts G
      unfolding ucycles-def uwalks-def by auto
    moreover
    then have set (tl p)  $\subseteq$  uverts G
      by (auto simp: list-set-tl)
    with assms have card (set (tl p))  $\leq$  card (uverts G)
      by (rule card-mono)
    then have length (p)  $\leq 1 + \text{card } (\text{uverts } G)$ 
      using distinct-card[OF distinct (tl p)] by auto
    ultimately show set p  $\subseteq$  uverts G  $\wedge$  length p  $\leq \text{Suc } (\text{card } (\text{uverts } G))$  by auto
  qed
  moreover
  have finite  $\{xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \text{uverts } G \wedge \text{length } xs \leq \text{Suc } (\text{card } (\text{uverts } G))\}$ 
    using assms by (rule finite-lists-length-le)
  ultimately
  show ?thesis by (rule finite-subset)
qed

lemma ucycles-distinct-edges:
  assumes c  $\in$  ucycles G shows distinct (uwalk-edges c)
proof -
  from assms have c-props: distinct (tl c) 4  $\leq$  length c hd c = last c
    by (auto simp add: ucycles-def uwalk-length-conv)
  then have  $\{hd\ c, hd\ (tl\ c)\} \notin \text{set } (\text{uwalk-edges } (tl\ c))$ 
  proof (induct c rule: uwalk-edges.induct)
    case ( $\exists\ x\ y\ ys$ )
    then have hd ys  $\neq$  last ys by (cases ys) auto
    moreover
    from  $\exists$  have uwalk-edges (y # ys) =  $\{y, hd\ ys\} \# \text{uwalk-edges } ys$ 
      by (cases ys) auto
    moreover
    { fix xs have set (uwalk-edges xs)  $\subseteq$  Pow (set xs)
      by (induct xs rule: uwalk-edges.induct) auto }
    ultimately
    show ?case using  $\exists$  by auto
  qed simp-all
  moreover

```

```

from assms have distinct (uwalk-edges (tl c))
  by (intro distinct-edgesI) (simp add: ucycles-def)
ultimately
show ?thesis by (cases c rule: list-exhaust3) auto
qed

```

lemma *card-left-less-pair*:

```

fixes A :: ('a :: linorder) set

```

```

assumes finite A

```

```

shows card {(a,b). a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A ∧ a < b}
  = (card A * (card A - 1)) div 2

```

```

using assms

```

```

proof (induct A)

```

```

  case (insert x A)

```

```

    show ?case

```

```

    proof (cases card A)

```

```

      case (Suc n)

```

```

        have {(a,b). a ∈ insert x A ∧ b ∈ insert x A ∧ a < b}

```

```

          = {(a,b). a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A ∧ a < b} ∪ (λa. if a < x then (a,x) else (x,a)) ‘ A

```

```

          using ⟨x ∉ A⟩ by (auto simp: order-less-le)

```

```

        moreover

```

```

          have finite {(a,b). a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A ∧ a < b}

```

```

          using insert by (auto intro: finite-subset[of - A × A])

```

```

        moreover

```

```

          have {(a,b). a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A ∧ a < b} ∩ (λa. if a < x then (a,x) else (x,a)) ‘

```

```

A = {}

```

```

          using ⟨x ∉ A⟩ by auto

```

```

        moreover have inj-on (λa. if a < x then (a, x) else (x, a)) A

```

```

          by (auto intro: inj-onI split: if-split-asm)

```

```

        ultimately show ?thesis using insert Suc

```

```

          by (simp add: card-Un-disjoint card-image del: if-image-distrib)

```

```

    qed (simp add: card-eq-0-iff insert)

```

```

qed simp

```

lemma *card-all-edges*:

```

assumes finite A

```

```

shows card (all-edges A) = card A choose 2

```

```

proof –

```

```

  have inj-on-mk-uedge: inj-on mk-uedge {(a,b). a < b}

```

```

    by (rule inj-onI) (auto simp: doubleton-eq-iff)

```

```

  have all-edges A = mk-uedge ‘ {(a,b). a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A ∧ a < b} (is ?L = ?R)

```

```

    by (auto simp: all-edges-def intro!: in-mk-uedge-img)

```

```

  then have card ?L = card ?R by simp

```

```

  also have ... = card {(a,b). a ∈ A ∧ b ∈ A ∧ a < b}

```

```

    using inj-on-mk-uedge by (blast intro: card-image subset-inj-on)

```

```

  also have ... = (card A * (card A - 1)) div 2

```

```

    using card-left-less-pair using assms by simp

```

```

  also have ... = (card A choose 2)

```


by (*simp add: n-choose-2-nat*)
 finally show *?thesis* .
 qed

lemma *verts-Gu*: $uverts (G -- u) = uverts G - \{u\}$
 unfolding *remove-vertex-def* by *simp*

lemma *edges-Gu*: $uedges (G -- u) \subseteq uedges G$
 unfolding *remove-vertex-def* by *auto*

2.2 Girth, Independence and Vertex Colorings

definition *girth* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *enat* **where**
girth $G \equiv \text{INF } p \in \text{ucycles } G. \text{enat } (uwalk\text{-length } p)$

definition *independent-sets* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *uvert set set* **where**
independent-sets $Gr \equiv \{vs. vs \subseteq uverts Gr \wedge \text{all-edges } vs \cap uedges Gr = \{\}\}$

definition α :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *enat* **where**
 $\alpha G \equiv \text{SUP } vs \in \text{independent-sets } G. \text{enat } (card vs)$

definition *vertex-colorings* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *uvert set set set* **where**
vertex-colorings $G \equiv \{C. \bigcup C = uverts G \wedge (\forall c1 \in C. \forall c2 \in C. c1 \neq c2 \longrightarrow c1 \cap c2 = \{\}) \wedge$
 $(\forall c \in C. c \neq \{\}) \wedge (\forall u \in c. \forall v \in c. \{u, v\} \notin uedges G)\}$

The chromatic number χ :

definition *chromatic-number* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *enat* **where**
chromatic-number $G \equiv \text{INF } c \in (\text{vertex-colorings } G). \text{enat } (card c)$

lemma *independent-sets-mono*:
 $vs \in \text{independent-sets } G \implies us \subseteq vs \implies us \in \text{independent-sets } G$
 using *Int-mono[OF all-edges-mono, of us vs uedges G uedges G]*
 unfolding *independent-sets-def* by *auto*

lemma *le- α -iff*:
 assumes $0 < k$
 shows $k \leq \alpha Gr \iff k \in \text{card } \text{'independent-sets } Gr \text{' (is ?L } \iff \text{ ?R)}$

proof
 assume *?L*
 then obtain *vs* **where** $vs \in \text{independent-sets } Gr$ **and** $k \leq \text{card } vs$
 using *assms* unfolding α -def *enat-le-Sup-iff* by *auto*
 moreover
 then obtain *us* **where** $us \subseteq vs$ **and** $k = \text{card } us$
 using *card-Ex-subset* by *auto*
 ultimately
 have $us \in \text{independent-sets } Gr$ **by** (*auto intro: independent-sets-mono*)
 then show *?R* using $\langle k = \text{card } us \rangle$ **by** *auto*
 qed (*auto intro: SUP-upper simp: α -def*)

lemma *zero-less- α* :
assumes *uverts* $G \neq \{\}$
shows $0 < \alpha G$
proof –
from *assms* **obtain** a **where** $a \in \text{uverts } G$ **by** *auto*
then have $0 < \text{enat } (\text{card } \{a\})$ $\{a\} \in \text{independent-sets } G$
by (*auto simp: independent-sets-def all-edges-def*)
then show *?thesis unfolding α -def less-SUP-iff ..*
qed

lemma *α -le-card*:
assumes *finite* (*uverts* G)
shows $\alpha G \leq \text{card}(\text{uverts } G)$
proof –
{ fix x **assume** $x \in \text{independent-sets } G$
then have $x \subseteq \text{uverts } G$ **by** (*auto simp: independent-sets-def*) **}**
with *assms* **show** *?thesis unfolding α -def*
by (*intro SUP-least*) (*auto intro: card-mono*)
qed

lemma *α -fin*: *finite* (*uverts* G) $\implies \alpha G \neq \infty$
using *α -le-card*[of G] **by** (*cases αG*) *auto*

lemma *α -remove-le*:
shows $\alpha (G -- u) \leq \alpha G$
proof –
have *independent-sets* ($G -- u$) \subseteq *independent-sets* G (**is** $?L \subseteq ?R$)
using *all-edges-subset-Pow* **by** (*simp add: independent-sets-def remove-vertex-def*)
blast
then show *?thesis unfolding α -def*
by (*rule SUP-subset-mono*) *simp*
qed

A lower bound for the chromatic number of a graph can be given in terms of the independence number

lemma *chromatic-lb*:
assumes *wf-G*: *uwellformed* G
and *fin-G*: *finite* (*uverts* G)
and *neG*: *uverts* $G \neq \{\}$
shows $\text{card } (\text{uverts } G) / \alpha G \leq \text{chromatic-number } G$
proof –
from *wf-G* **have** $(\lambda v. \{v\}) \text{ 'uverts } G \in \text{vertex-colorings } G$
by (*auto simp: vertex-colorings-def uwellformed-def*)
then have *chromatic-number* $G \neq \text{top}$
by (*simp add: chromatic-number-def*) (*auto simp: top-enat-def*)
then obtain vc **where** *vc-vc*: $vc \in \text{vertex-colorings } G$
and *vc-size*: *chromatic-number* $G = \text{card } vc$
unfolding *chromatic-number-def* **by** (*rule enat-in-INF*)

```

have fin-vc-elems:  $\bigwedge c. c \in vc \implies \text{finite } c$ 
using vc-vc by (intro finite-subset[OF - fin-G]) (auto simp: vertex-colorings-def)

have sum-vc-card:  $(\sum c \in vc. \text{card } c) = \text{card } (\text{uverts } G)$ 
using fin-vc-elems vc-vc unfolding vertex-colorings-def
by (simp add: card-Union-disjoint[symmetric] pairwise-def disjnt-def)

have  $\bigwedge c. c \in vc \implies c \in \text{independent-sets } G$ 
using vc-vc by (auto simp: vertex-colorings-def independent-sets-def all-edges-def)
then have  $\bigwedge c. c \in vc \implies \text{card } c \leq \alpha G$ 
using vc-vc fin-vc-elems by (subst le- $\alpha$ -iff) (auto simp add: vertex-colorings-def)
then have  $(\sum c \in vc. \text{card } c) \leq \text{card } vc * \alpha G$ 
using sum-bounded-above[of vc card  $\alpha G$ ]
by (simp add: of-nat-eq-enat[symmetric] of-nat-sum)
then have ereal-of-enat ( $\text{card } (\text{uverts } G)$ )  $\leq \text{ereal-of-enat } (\alpha G) * \text{ereal-of-enat}$ 
(card vc)
by (simp add: sum-vc-card eréal-of-enat-pushout ac-simps del: eréal-of-enat-simps)
with zero-less- $\alpha$ [OF neG]  $\alpha$ -fin[OF fin-G] vc-size show ?thesis
by (simp add: eréal-divide-le-pos)
qed

end
theory Girth-Chromatic
imports
  Ugraphs
  Girth-Chromatic-Misc
  HOL-Probability.Probability
  HOL-Decision-Proc.s.Approximation
begin

```

3 Probability Space on Sets of Edges

```

definition cylinder :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set set where
  cylinder S A B =  $\{T \in \text{Pow } S. A \subseteq T \wedge B \cap T = \{\}\}$ 

```

lemma *full-sum*:

```

  fixes p :: real
  assumes finite S
  shows  $(\sum A \in \text{Pow } S. p^{\text{card } A} * (1 - p)^{\text{card } (S - A)}) = 1$ 
using assms
proof induct
  case (insert s S)
  have inj-on (insert s) (Pow S)
    and  $\bigwedge x. S - \text{insert } s \ x = S - x$ 
    and  $\text{Pow } S \cap \text{insert } s \text{ ` Pow } S = \{\}$ 
    and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Pow } S \implies \text{card } (\text{insert } s \ S - x) = \text{Suc } (\text{card } (S - x))$ 
    using insert(1-2) by (auto simp: insert-Diff-if intro!: inj-onI)
  moreover have  $\bigwedge x. x \subseteq S \implies \text{card } (\text{insert } s \ x) = \text{Suc } (\text{card } x)$ 

```

```

    using insert(1-2) by (subst card.insert) (auto dest: finite-subset)
  ultimately show ?case
    by (simp add: sum.reindex sum-distrib-left[symmetric] ac-simps
        insert.hyps sum.union-disjoint Pow-insert)
qed simp

```

Definition of the probability space on edges:

```

locale edge-space =
  fixes n :: nat and p :: real
  assumes p-prob: 0 ≤ p p ≤ 1
begin

```

```

definition S-verts :: nat set where
  S-verts ≡ {1..n}

```

```

definition S-edges :: uedge set where
  S-edges = all-edges S-verts

```

```

definition edge-ugraph :: uedge set ⇒ ugraph where
  edge-ugraph es ≡ (S-verts, es ∩ S-edges)

```

```

definition P = point-measure (Pow S-edges) (λs. p ^ card s * (1 - p) ^ card (S-edges
- s))

```

```

lemma finite-verts[intro!]: finite S-verts
  by (auto simp: S-verts-def)

```

```

lemma finite-edges[intro!]: finite S-edges
  by (auto simp: S-edges-def all-edges-def finite-verts)

```

```

lemma finite-graph[intro!]: finite (uverts (edge-ugraph es))
  unfolding edge-ugraph-def by auto

```

```

lemma uverts-edge-ugraph[simp]: uverts (edge-ugraph es) = S-verts
  by (simp add: edge-ugraph-def)

```

```

lemma uedges-edge-ugraph[simp]: uedges (edge-ugraph es) = es ∩ S-edges
  unfolding edge-ugraph-def by simp

```

```

lemma space-eq: space P = Pow S-edges by (simp add: P-def space-point-measure)

```

```

lemma sets-eq: sets P = Pow (Pow S-edges) by (simp add: P-def sets-point-measure)

```

```

lemma emeasure-eq:
  emeasure P A = (if A ⊆ Pow S-edges then (∑ edges∈A. p ^ card edges * (1 -
p) ^ card (S-edges - edges)) else 0)
  using finite-edges p-prob
  by (simp add: P-def space-point-measure emeasure-point-measure-finite
sets-point-measure emeasure-notin-sets)

```

lemma *integrable-P*[*intro, simp*]: *integrable P (f::- => real)*
using *finite-edges* **by** (*simp add: integrable-point-measure-finite P-def*)

lemma *borel-measurable-P*[*measurable*]: *f ∈ borel-measurable P*
unfolding *P-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *prob-space-P*: *prob-space P*

proof

show *emeasure P (space P) = 1* — Sum of probabilities equals 1

using *finite-edges* **by** (*simp add: emeasure-eq full-sum one-ereal-def space-eq*)

qed

end

sublocale *edge-space* \subseteq *prob-space P*

by (*rule prob-space-P*)

context *edge-space*

begin

lemma *prob-eq*:

*prob A = (if A ⊆ Pow S-edges then (∑ edges∈A. p^{card edges} * (1 - p)^{card (S-edges - edges)}) else 0)*

using *emeasure-eq[of A]* *p-prob* **unfolding** *emeasure-eq-measure* **by** (*simp add: sum-nonneg*)

lemma *integral-finite-singleton*: *integral^L P f = (∑ x∈Pow S-edges. f x * measure P {x})*

using *p-prob prob-eq* **unfolding** *P-def*

by (*subst lebesgue-integral-point-measure-finite*) (*auto intro!: sum.cong*)

Probability of cylinder sets:

lemma *cylinder-prob*:

assumes *A ⊆ S-edges B ⊆ S-edges A ∩ B = {}*

shows *prob (cylinder S-edges A B) = p^{card A} * (1 - p)^{card B}* (*is - = ?pp A B*)

proof —

have *Pow S-edges ∩ cylinder S-edges A B = cylinder S-edges A B*

$\bigwedge x. x \in \text{cylinder } S\text{-edges } A B \implies A \cup x = x$

$\bigwedge x. x \in \text{cylinder } S\text{-edges } A B \implies \text{finite } x$

$\bigwedge x. x \in \text{cylinder } S\text{-edges } A B \implies B \cap (S\text{-edges} - B - x) = \{\}$

$\bigwedge x. x \in \text{cylinder } S\text{-edges } A B \implies B \cup (S\text{-edges} - B - x) = S\text{-edges} - x$
finite A finite B

using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: cylinder-def intro: finite-subset*)

then have $(\sum T \in \text{cylinder } S\text{-edges } A B. ?pp T (S\text{-edges} - T))$

$= (\sum T \in \text{cylinder } S\text{-edges } A B. p^{\text{card } A + \text{card } (T - A)} * (1 - p)^{\text{card } B + \text{card } ((S\text{-edges} - B) - T)})$

using *finite-edges* **by** (*simp add: card-Un-Int*)

also have $\dots = ?pp\ A\ B * (\sum T \in cylinder\ S\text{-edges}\ A\ B. ?pp\ (T - A)\ (S\text{-edges} - B - T))$
by (*simp add: power-add sum-distrib-left ac-simps*)
also have $\dots = ?pp\ A\ B$
proof –
have $\bigwedge T. T \in cylinder\ S\text{-edges}\ A\ B \implies S\text{-edges} - B - T = (S\text{-edges} - A) - B - (T - A)$
 $Pow\ (S\text{-edges} - A - B) = (\lambda x. x - A) \text{ ‘ } cylinder\ S\text{-edges}\ A\ B$
 $inj\text{-on}\ (\lambda x. x - A)\ (cylinder\ S\text{-edges}\ A\ B)$
 $finite\ (S\text{-edges} - A - B)$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: cylinder-def intro!: inj-onI*)
with *full-sum*[of $S\text{-edges} - A - B$] **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: sum.reindex*)
qed
finally show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp add: prob-eq cylinder-def*)
qed

lemma *Markov-inequality*:

fixes $a :: real$ **and** $X :: uedge\ set \Rightarrow real$
assumes $0 < c \wedge x. 0 \leq f\ x$
shows $prob\ \{x \in space\ P. c \leq f\ x\} \leq (\int x. f\ x\ \partial P) / c$
proof –
from *assms* **have** $(\int^+ x. ennreal\ (f\ x)\ \partial P) = (\int x. f\ x\ \partial P)$
by (*intro nn-integral-eq-integral auto*)
with *assms* **show** *?thesis*
using *nn-integral-Markov-inequality*[of $f\ P\ space\ P\ 1 / c$]
by (*simp cong: nn-integral-cong add: emeasure-eq-measure ennreal-mult[symmetric]*)
qed
end

3.1 Graph Probabilities outside of *Edge-Space* locale

These abbreviations allow a compact expression of probabilities about random graphs outside of the *Edge-Space* locale. We also transfer a few of the lemmas we need from the locale into the toplevel theory.

abbreviation $MGn :: (nat \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (uedge\ set)\ measure$ **where**

$MGn\ p\ n \equiv (edge\text{-space}.\ P\ n\ (p\ n))$

abbreviation $probGn :: (nat \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (uedge\ set \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow real$ **where**

$probGn\ p\ n\ P \equiv measure\ (MGn\ p\ n)\ \{es \in space\ (MGn\ p\ n). P\ es\}$

lemma *probGn-le*:

assumes *p-prob*: $0 < p\ n\ p\ n < 1$
assumes *sub*: $\bigwedge n\ es. es \in space\ (MGn\ p\ n) \implies P\ n\ es \implies Q\ n\ es$
shows $probGn\ p\ n\ (P\ n) \leq probGn\ p\ n\ (Q\ n)$
proof –
from *p-prob* **interpret** $E: edge\text{-space}\ n\ p\ n$ **by** *unfold-locales auto*
show *?thesis*
by (*auto intro!: E.finite-measure-mono sub simp: E.space-eq E.sets-eq*)
qed

4 Short cycles

definition *short-cycles* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *uwalk set* **where**

short-cycles G $k \equiv \{p \in \text{ucycles } G. \text{uwalk-length } p \leq k\}$

obtains a vertex in a short cycle:

definition *choose-v* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *uvert* **where**

choose-v G $k \equiv \text{SOME } u. \exists p. p \in \text{short-cycles } G$ $k \wedge u \in \text{set } p$

partial-function (*tailrec*) *kill-short* :: *ugraph* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *ugraph* **where**

kill-short G $k = (\text{if } \text{short-cycles } G$ $k = \{\} \text{ then } G \text{ else } (\text{kill-short } (G \text{ -- } (\text{choose-v } G$ $k))$ $k))$

lemma *ksc-simps*[*simp*]:

short-cycles G $k = \{\} \implies \text{kill-short } G$ $k = G$

short-cycles G $k \neq \{\} \implies \text{kill-short } G$ $k = \text{kill-short } (G \text{ -- } (\text{choose-v } G$ $k))$ k

by (*auto simp: kill-short.simps*)

lemma

assumes *short-cycles* G $k \neq \{\}$

shows *choose-v-in-uverts*: *choose-v* G $k \in \text{uverts } G$ (**is** *?t1*)

and *choose-v-in-short*: $\exists p. p \in \text{short-cycles } G$ $k \wedge \text{choose-v } G$ $k \in \text{set } p$ (**is** *?t2*)

proof –

from *assms* **obtain** p **where** $p \in \text{ucycles } G$ *uwalk-length* $p \leq k$

unfolding *short-cycles-def* **by** *auto*

moreover

then obtain u **where** $u \in \text{set } p$ **unfolding** *ucycles-def*

by (*cases p*) (*auto simp: uwalk-length-conv*)

ultimately have $\exists u p. p \in \text{short-cycles } G$ $k \wedge u \in \text{set } p$

by (*auto simp: short-cycles-def*)

then show *?t2* **by** (*auto simp: choose-v-def intro!: someI-ex*)

then show *?t1* **by** (*auto simp: short-cycles-def ucycles-def uwalks-def*)

qed

lemma *kill-step-smaller*:

assumes *short-cycles* G $k \neq \{\}$

shows *short-cycles* $(G \text{ -- } (\text{choose-v } G$ $k))$ $k \subseteq \text{short-cycles } G$ k

proof –

let $?cv = \text{choose-v } G$ k

from *assms* **obtain** p **where** $p \in \text{short-cycles } G$ k $?cv \in \text{set } p$

by *atomize-elim* (*rule choose-v--in-short*)

have *short-cycles* $(G \text{ -- } ?cv)$ $k \subseteq \text{short-cycles } G$ k

proof

fix p **assume** $p \in \text{short-cycles } (G \text{ -- } ?cv)$ k

then show $p \in \text{short-cycles } G$ k

unfolding *short-cycles-def ucycles-def uwalks-def*

using *edges-Gu*[*of G ?cv*] **by** (*auto simp: verts-Gu*)

qed
moreover have $p \notin \text{short-cycles } (G \text{ -- } ?cv) k$
using $\langle ?cv \in \text{set } p \rangle$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: short-cycles-def ucycles-def uwalks-def}$
 $\text{verts-Gu})$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **using** $\langle p \in \text{short-cycles } G k \rangle$ **by auto**
qed

Induction rule for *kill-short*:

lemma *kill-short-induct*[*consumes 1, case-names empty kill-vert*]:
assumes $\text{fin: finite } (uverts \ G)$
assumes $a\text{-empty: } \bigwedge G. \text{short-cycles } G \ k = \{\} \implies P \ G \ k$
assumes $a\text{-kill: } \bigwedge G. \text{finite } (\text{short-cycles } G \ k) \implies \text{short-cycles } G \ k \neq \{\}$
 $\implies P \ (G \text{ -- } (\text{choose-v } G \ k)) \ k \implies P \ G \ k$
shows $P \ G \ k$
proof –
have $\text{finite } (\text{short-cycles } G \ k)$
using $\text{finite-ucycles}[OF \ \text{fin}]$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: short-cycles-def})$
then show $?thesis$
by $(\text{induct short-cycles } G \ k \ \text{arbitrary: } G \ \text{rule: finite-psubset-induct})$
 $(\text{metis kill-step-smaller a-kill a-empty})$
qed

Large Girth (after *kill-short*):

lemma *kill-short-large-girth*:
assumes $\text{finite } (uverts \ G)$
shows $k < \text{girth } (\text{kill-short } G \ k)$
using assms
proof $(\text{induct } G \ k \ \text{rule: kill-short-induct})$
case $(\text{empty } G)$
then have $\bigwedge p. p \in \text{ucycles } G \implies k < \text{enat } (uwalk\text{-length } p)$
by $(\text{auto simp: short-cycles-def})$
with empty show $?case$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: girth-def intro: enat-less-INF-I})$
qed simp

Order of graph (after *kill-short*):

lemma *kill-short-order-of-graph*:
assumes $\text{finite } (uverts \ G)$
shows $\text{card } (uverts \ G) - \text{card } (\text{short-cycles } G \ k) \leq \text{card } (uverts \ (\text{kill-short } G \ k))$
using assms assms
proof $(\text{induct } G \ k \ \text{rule: kill-short-induct})$
case $(\text{kill-vert } G)$
let $?oG = G \text{ -- } (\text{choose-v } G \ k)$

have $\text{finite } (uverts \ ?oG)$
using kill-vert **by** $(\text{auto simp: remove-vertex-def})$
moreover
have $uverts \ (\text{kill-short } G \ k) = uverts \ (\text{kill-short } ?oG \ k)$
using kill-vert **by** simp
moreover


```

have card (uverts G) = Suc (card (uverts ?oG))
  using choose-v--in-uverts kill-vert
  by (simp add: remove-vertex-def card-Suc-Diff1 del: card-Diff-insert)
moreover
have card (short-cycles ?oG k) < card (short-cycles G k)
  by (intro psubset-card-mono kill-vert.hyps kill-step-smaller)
ultimately show ?case using kill-vert.hyps by presburger
qed simp

```

Independence number (after *kill-short*):

```

lemma kill-short- $\alpha$ :
  assumes finite (uverts G)
  shows  $\alpha$  (kill-short G k)  $\leq$   $\alpha$  G
using assms
proof (induct G k rule: kill-short-induct)
  case (kill-vert G)
  note kill-vert(3)
  also have  $\alpha$  (G -- (choose-v G k))  $\leq$   $\alpha$  G by (rule  $\alpha$ -remove-le)
  finally show ?case using kill-vert by simp
qed simp

```

Wellformedness (after *kill-short*):

```

lemma kill-short-uwellformed:
  assumes finite (uverts G) uwellformed G
  shows uwellformed (kill-short G k)
using assms
proof (induct G k rule: kill-short-induct)
  case (kill-vert G)
  from kill-vert.premis have uwellformed (G -- (choose-v G k))
    by (auto simp: uwellformed-def remove-vertex-def)
  with kill-vert.hyps show ?case by simp
qed simp

```

5 The Chromatic-Girth Theorem

Probability of Independent Edges:

```

lemma (in edge-space) random-prob-independent:
  assumes  $n \geq k$   $k \geq 2$ 
  shows prob {es  $\in$  space P.  $k \leq \alpha$  (edge-ugraph es)}
     $\leq$  (n choose k) * (1-p)  $\wedge$  (k choose 2)
proof -
  let ?k-sets = {vs. vs  $\subseteq$  S-verts  $\wedge$  card vs = k}

  { fix vs assume A: vs  $\in$  ?k-sets
    then have B: all-edges vs  $\subseteq$  S-edges
      unfolding all-edges-def S-edges-def by blast

    have {es  $\in$  space P. vs  $\in$  independent-sets (edge-ugraph es)}

```

```

    = cylinder S-edges {} (all-edges vs) (is ?L = -)
  using A by (auto simp: independent-sets-def edge-ugraph-def space-eq cylinder-def)
  then have prob ?L = (1-p) ^ (k choose 2)
    using A B finite by (auto simp: cylinder-prob card-all-edges dest: finite-subset)
  }
  note prob-k-indep = this
  — probability that a fixed set of k vertices is independent in a random graph

  have {es ∈ space P. k ∈ card ‘ independent-sets (edge-ugraph es)}
    = (⋃ vs ∈ ?k-sets. {es ∈ space P. vs ∈ independent-sets (edge-ugraph es)}) (is
  ?L = ?R)
  unfolding image-def space-eq independent-sets-def by auto
  then have prob ?L ≤ (∑ vs ∈ ?k-sets. prob {es ∈ space P. vs ∈ independent-sets
  (edge-ugraph es)})
    by (auto intro!: finite-measure-subadditive-finite simp: space-eq sets-eq)
  also have ... = (n choose k) * ((1 - p) ^ (k choose 2))
    by (simp add: prob-k-indep S-verts-def n-subsets)
  finally show ?thesis using ⟨k ≥ 2⟩ by (simp add: le-α-iff)
qed

```

Almost never many independent edges:

lemma *almost-never-le-α*:

```

  fixes k :: nat
  and p :: nat ⇒ real
  assumes p-prob: ∀∞ n. 0 < p n ∧ p n < 1
  assumes [arith]: k > 0
  assumes N-prop: ∀∞ n. (6 * k * ln n) / n ≤ p n
  shows (λn. prob Gn p n (λes. 1/2*n/k ≤ α (edge-space.edge-ugraph n es))) ⟶ 0

```

```

  (is (λn. ?prob-fun n) ⟶ 0)

```

proof —

```

  let ?prob-fun-raw n = prob Gn p n (λes. nat(ceiling (1/2*n/k)) ≤ α (edge-space.edge-ugraph
  n es))

```

```

  define r where r n = 1 / 2 * n / k for n :: nat

```

```

  let ?nr = λn. nat(ceiling (r n))

```

```

  have r-pos: ∧n. 0 < n ⟹ 0 < r n by (auto simp: r-def field-simps)

```

```

  have nr-bounds: ∀∞ n. 2 ≤ ?nr n ∧ ?nr n ≤ n

```

```

  by (intro eventually-sequentiallyI[of 4 * k])
  (simp add: r-def nat-ceiling-le-eq le-natceiling-iff field-simps)

```

from *nr-bounds* *p-prob* **have** *ev-prob-fun-raw-le*:

```

  ∀∞ n. prob Gn p n (λes. ?nr n ≤ α (edge-space.edge-ugraph n es))

```

```

  ≤ (n * exp (- p n * (real (?nr n) - 1) / 2)) powr ?nr n

```

```

  (is ∀∞ n. ?prob-fun-raw-le n)

```

proof (*rule eventually-elim2*)

```

fix n :: nat assume A: 2 ≤ ?nr n ∧ ?nr n ≤ n 0 < p n ∧ p n < 1
then interpret pG: edge-space n p n by unfold-locales auto

have r: real (?nr n - Suc 0) = real (?nr n) - Suc 0 using A by auto

have [simp]: n>0 using A by linarith
have probGn p n (λes. ?nr n ≤ α (edge-space.edge-ugraph n es))
  ≤ (n choose ?nr n) * (1 - p n) ^ (?nr n choose 2)
  using A by (auto intro: pG.random-prob-independent)
also have ... ≤ n powr ?nr n * (1 - p n) powr (?nr n choose 2)
  using A by (simp add: powr-realpow of-nat-power [symmetric] binomial-le-pow
del: of-nat-power)
also have ... = n powr ?nr n * (1 - p n) powr (?nr n * (?nr n - 1) / 2)
  by (cases even (?nr n - 1))
  (auto simp add: n-choose-2-nat real-of-nat-div)
also have ... = n powr ?nr n * ((1 - p n) powr ((?nr n - 1) / 2)) powr ?nr n
  by (auto simp add: powr-powr r ac-simps)
also have ... ≤ (n * exp (- p n * (?nr n - 1) / 2)) powr ?nr n
proof -
  have (1 - p n) powr ((?nr n - 1) / 2) ≤ exp (- p n) powr ((?nr n - 1) / 2)
    using A by (auto simp: powr-mono2 diff-conv-add-uminus simp del:
add-uminus-conv-diff)
  also have ... = exp (- p n * (?nr n - 1) / 2) by (auto simp: powr-def)
  finally show ?thesis
    using A by (auto simp: powr-mono2 powr-mult)
qed
finally show probGn p n (λes. ?nr n ≤ α (edge-space.edge-ugraph n es))
  ≤ (n * exp (- p n * (real (?nr n) - 1) / 2)) powr ?nr n
  using A r by simp
qed

from p-prob N-prop
have ev-expr-bound: ∀∞ n. n * exp (-p n * (real (?nr n) - 1) / 2) ≤ (exp 1 /
n) powr (1 / 2)
proof (elim eventually-rev-mp, intro eventually-sequentiallyI conjI impI)
  fix n assume n-bound[arith]: 2 ≤ n
    and p-bound: 0 < p n ∧ p n < 1 (6 * k * ln n) / n ≤ p n
  have r-bound: r n ≤ ?nr n by (rule real-nat-ceiling-ge)

  have n * exp (-p n * (real (?nr n) - 1) / 2) ≤ n * exp (- 3 / 2 * ln n + p n
/ 2)
proof -
  have 0 < ln n using n-bound by auto
  then have (3 / 2) * ln n ≤ ((6 * k * ln n) / n) * (?nr n / 2)
    using r-bound le-of-int-ceiling[of n/2*k]
    by (simp add: r-def field-simps del: le-of-int-ceiling)
  also have ... ≤ p n * (?nr n / 2)
    using n-bound p-bound r-bound r-pos[of n] by (auto simp: field-simps)
  finally show ?thesis using r-bound by (auto simp: field-simps)

```

```

qed
also have ... ≤ n * n powr (- 3 / 2) * exp 1 powr (1 / 2)
  using p-bound by (simp add: powr-def exp-add [symmetric])
  also have ... ≤ n powr (-1 / 2) * exp 1 powr (1 / 2) by (simp add:
powr-mult-base)
  also have ... = (exp 1 / n) powr (1/2)
  by (simp add: powr-divide powr-minus-divide)
  finally show n * exp (- p n * (real (?nr n) - 1) / 2) ≤ (exp 1 / n) powr (1
/ 2) .
qed

have ceil-bound:  $\bigwedge G n. 1/2*n/k \leq \alpha G \longleftrightarrow \text{nat}(\text{ceiling } (1/2*n/k)) \leq \alpha G$ 
  by (case-tac  $\alpha G$ ) (auto simp: nat-ceiling-le-eq)

show ?thesis
proof (unfold ceil-bound, rule real-tendsto-sandwich)
  show ( $\lambda n. 0$ )  $\longrightarrow 0$ 
    ( $\lambda n. (\text{exp } 1 / n) \text{ powr } (1 / 2)$ )  $\longrightarrow 0$ 
     $\forall^\infty n. 0 \leq ?\text{prob-fun-raw } n$ 
  using p-prob by (auto intro: measure-nonneg LIMSEQ-inv-powr elim: eventually-mono)
next
from nr-bounds ev-expr-bound ev-prob-fun-raw-le
show  $\forall^\infty n. ?\text{prob-fun-raw } n \leq (\text{exp } 1 / n) \text{ powr } (1 / 2)$ 
proof (elim eventually-rew-mp, intro eventually-sequentiallyI impI conjI)
  fix n assume A:  $3 \leq n$ 
  and nr-bounds:  $2 \leq ?nr n \wedge ?nr n \leq n$ 
  and prob-fun-raw-le:  $?\text{prob-fun-raw-le } n$ 
  and expr-bound:  $n * \text{exp } (- p n * (\text{real } (\text{nat}(\text{ceiling } (r n)))) - 1) / 2 \leq$ 
 $(\text{exp } 1 / n) \text{ powr } (1 / 2)$ 

  have  $\text{exp } 1 < (3 :: \text{real})$  by (approximation 6)
  then have  $(\text{exp } 1 / n) \text{ powr } (1 / 2) \leq 1 \text{ powr } (1 / 2)$ 
    using A by (intro powr-mono2) (auto simp: field-simps)
  then have ep-bound:  $(\text{exp } 1 / n) \text{ powr } (1 / 2) \leq 1$  by simp

  have  $?\text{prob-fun-raw } n \leq (n * \text{exp } (- p n * (\text{real } (?nr n) - 1) / 2)) \text{ powr } (?nr$ 
 $n)$ 
    using prob-fun-raw-le by (simp add: r-def)
  also have ...  $\leq ((\text{exp } 1 / n) \text{ powr } (1 / 2)) \text{ powr } ?nr n$ 
    using expr-bound A by (auto simp: powr-mono2)
  also have ...  $\leq ((\text{exp } 1 / n) \text{ powr } (1 / 2))$ 
    using nr-bounds ep-bound A by (auto simp: powr-le-one-le)
  finally show  $?\text{prob-fun-raw } n \leq (\text{exp } 1 / n) \text{ powr } (1 / 2)$  .
qed
qed
qed

```

Mean number of k-cycles in a graph. (Or rather of paths describing a circle

of length k):

lemma (in *edge-space*) *mean-k-cycles*:

assumes $3 \leq k < n$

shows $(\int es. \text{card } \{c \in \text{ucycles } (\text{edge-ugraph } es). \text{uwalk-length } c = k\} \partial P)$
 $= \text{of-nat } (\text{fact } n \text{ div fact } (n - k)) * p \wedge k$

proof –

let $?k\text{-cycle} = \lambda es\ c\ k. c \in \text{ucycles } (\text{edge-ugraph } es) \wedge \text{uwalk-length } c = k$

define C **where** $C\ k = \{c. ?k\text{-cycle } S\text{-edges } c\ k\}$ **for** k

– $C\ k$ is the set of all possible cycles of size k in *edge-ugraph* S -edges

define XG **where** $XG\ es = \{c. ?k\text{-cycle } es\ c\ k\}$ **for** es

– $XG\ es$ is the set of cycles contained in a *edge-ugraph* es

define XC **where** $XC\ c = \{es \in \text{space } P. ?k\text{-cycle } es\ c\ k\}$ **for** c

– " $XC\ c$ is the set of graphs (edge sets) containing a cycle c "

then have $XC\text{-in-sets}$: $\bigwedge c. XC\ c \in \text{sets } P$

and $XC\text{-cyl}$: $\bigwedge c. c \in C\ k \implies XC\ c = \text{cylinder } S\text{-edges } (\text{set } (\text{uwalk-edges } c))$

$\{\}$

by (*auto simp: ucycles-def space-eq uwalks-def C-def cylinder-def sets-eq*)

have $(\int es. \text{card } \{c \in \text{ucycles } (\text{edge-ugraph } es). \text{uwalk-length } c = k\} \partial P)$
 $= (\sum x \in \text{space } P. \text{card } (XG\ x) * \text{prob } \{x\})$

by (*simp add: XG-def integral-finite-singleton space-eq*)

also have $\dots = (\sum c \in C\ k. \text{prob } (\text{cylinder } S\text{-edges } (\text{set } (\text{uwalk-edges } c)) \{\}))$

proof –

have $XG\text{-Int-C}$: $\bigwedge s. s \in \text{space } P \implies C\ k \cap XG\ s = XG\ s$

unfolding $XG\text{-def } C\text{-def } \text{ucycles-def } \text{uwalks-def } \text{edge-ugraph-def}$ **by** *auto*

have fin-XC : $\bigwedge k. \text{finite } (XC\ k)$ **and** fin-C : $\text{finite } (C\ k)$

unfolding $C\text{-def } XC\text{-def}$ **by** (*auto simp: finite-edges space-eq intro!: finite-ucycles*)

have $(\sum x \in \text{space } P. \text{card } (XG\ x) * \text{prob } \{x\}) = (\sum x \in \text{space } P. (\sum c \in XG\ x. \text{prob } \{x\}))$

by *simp*

also have $\dots = (\sum x \in \text{space } P. (\sum c \in C\ k. \text{if } c \in XG\ x \text{ then } \text{prob } \{x\} \text{ else } 0))$

using fin-C **by** (*simp add: sum.If-cases*) (*simp add: XG-Int-C*)

also have $\dots = (\sum c \in C\ k. (\sum x \in \text{space } P \cap XC\ c. \text{prob } \{x\}))$

using finite-edges **by** (*subst sum.swap*) (*simp add: sum.inter-restrict XG-def XC-def space-eq*)

also have $\dots = (\sum c \in C\ k. \text{prob } (XC\ c))$

using $\text{fin-XC } XC\text{-in-sets}$

by (*auto simp add: prob-eq sets-eq space-eq intro!: sum.cong*)

finally show $?thesis$ **by** (*simp add: XC-cyl*)

qed

also have $\dots = (\sum c \in C\ k. p \wedge k)$

proof –

have $\bigwedge x. x \in C\ k \implies \text{card } (\text{set } (\text{uwalk-edges } x)) = \text{uwalk-length } x$

by (*auto simp: uwalk-length-def C-def ucycles-distinct-edges intro: distinct-card*)

then show $?thesis$ **by** (*auto simp: C-def ucycles-def uwalks-def cylinder-prob*)

qed

also have $\dots = \text{of-nat } (\text{fact } n \text{ div fact } (n - k)) * p \wedge k$

proof –

have *inj-last-Cons*: $\bigwedge A. \text{inj-on } (\lambda es. \text{last } es \# es) A$ **by** (rule *inj-onI*) *simp*

{ **fix** *xs* **assume** $\exists \leq \text{length } xs - \text{Suc } 0 \text{hd } xs = \text{last } xs$

then have $xs \in (\lambda xs. \text{last } xs \# xs) \text{ ‘ } A \longleftrightarrow \text{tl } xs \in A$

by (cases *xs*) (auto *simp*: *inj-image-mem-iff*[*OF inj-last-Cons*] *split*: *if-split-asm*) }

note *image-mem-iff-inst* = *this*

{ **fix** *xs* **have** $xs \in \text{uwalks } (\text{edge-ugraph } S\text{-edges}) \implies \text{set } (\text{tl } xs) \subseteq S\text{-verts}$

unfolding *uwalks-def* **by** (*induct xs*) *auto* }

moreover

{ **fix** *xs* **assume** $\text{set } xs \subseteq S\text{-verts } \exists \leq \text{length } xs \text{ distinct } xs$

then have $(\text{last } xs \# xs) \in \text{uwalks } (\text{edge-ugraph } S\text{-edges})$

proof (*induct xs* rule: *uwalk-edges.induct*)

case ($\exists x y ys$)

have *S-edges-memI*: $\bigwedge x y. x \in S\text{-verts} \implies y \in S\text{-verts} \implies x \neq y \implies \{x, y\} \in S\text{-edges}$

unfolding *S-edges-def all-edges-def image-def* **by** *auto*

have $ys \neq [] \implies \text{set } ys \subseteq S\text{-verts} \implies \text{last } ys \in S\text{-verts}$ **by** *auto*

with \exists **show** *?case*

by (auto *simp* *add*: *uwalks-def Suc-le-eq intro*: *S-edges-memI*)

qed *simp-all*}

moreover note ($\exists \leq k$)

ultimately

have $C k = (\lambda xs. \text{last } xs \# xs) \text{ ‘ } \{xs. \text{length } xs = k \wedge \text{distinct } xs \wedge \text{set } xs \subseteq S\text{-verts}\}$

by (auto *simp*: *C-def ucycles-def uwalk-length-conv image-mem-iff-inst*)

moreover have $\text{card } S\text{-verts} = n$ **by** (*simp* *add*: *S-verts-def*)

ultimately have $\text{card } (C k) = \text{fact } n \text{ div fact } (n - k)$

using ($k < n$)

by (*simp* *add*: *card-image*[*OF inj-last-Cons*] *card-lists-distinct-length-eq'* *fact-div-fact*)

then show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

Girth-Chromatic number theorem:

theorem *girth-chromatic*:

fixes $l :: \text{nat}$

shows $\exists G. \text{uwellformed } G \wedge l < \text{girth } G \wedge l < \text{chromatic-number } G$

proof –

define k **where** $k = \max \exists l$

define ε **where** $\varepsilon = 1 / (2 * k)$

define p **where** $p n = \text{real } n \text{ powr } (\varepsilon - 1)$ **for** $n :: \text{nat}$

let $?ug = \text{edge-space.edge-ugraph}$

define short-count where $short\text{-}count\ g = card\ (short\text{-}cycles\ g\ k)$ **for** g
— This random variable differs from the one used in the proof of theorem 11.2.2, as we count the number of paths describing a circle, not the circles themselves

from $k\text{-}def$ **have** $3 \leq k\ l \leq k$ **by** *auto*
from $\langle 3 \leq k \rangle$ **have** $\varepsilon\text{-}props: 0 < \varepsilon\ \varepsilon < 1 / k\ \varepsilon < 1$ **by** (*auto simp: $\varepsilon\text{-}def\ field\text{-}simps$*)

have $ev\text{-}p: \forall^\infty n. 0 < p\ n \wedge p\ n < 1$
proof (*rule eventually-sequentiallyI*)
fix $n :: nat$ **assume** $2 \leq n$
with $\langle \varepsilon < 1 \rangle$ **have** $n\ powr\ (\varepsilon - 1) < 1$ **by** (*auto intro!: powr-less-one*)
then show $0 < p\ n \wedge p\ n < 1$ **using** $\langle 2 \leq n \rangle$
by (*auto simp: p-def*)
qed
then
have $prob\text{-}short\text{-}count\text{-}le: \forall^\infty n. probGn\ p\ n\ (\lambda es. (real\ n/2) \leq short\text{-}count\ (?ug\ n\ es))$
 $\leq 2 * (k - 2) * n\ powr\ (\varepsilon * k - 1)$ (**is** $\forall^\infty n. ?P\ n$)
proof (*elim eventually-rew-mp, intro eventually-sequentiallyI impI*)
fix $n :: nat$ **assume** $A: Suc\ k \leq n\ 0 < p\ n \wedge p\ n < 1$
then interpret $pG: edge\text{-}space\ n\ p\ n$ **by** *unfold-locales auto*
have $1 \leq n$ **using** A **by** *auto*

define mean-short-count where $mean\text{-}short\text{-}count = (\int es. short\text{-}count\ (?ug\ n\ es)\ \partial\ pG.P)$

have $mean\text{-}short\text{-}count\text{-}le: mean\text{-}short\text{-}count \leq (k - 2) * n\ powr\ (\varepsilon * k)$
proof –
have $small\text{-}empty: \bigwedge es\ k. k \leq 2 \implies short\text{-}cycles\ (edge\text{-}space.edge\text{-}ugraph\ n\ es)\ k = \{\}$
by (*auto simp add: short-cycles-def ucycles-def*)
have $short\text{-}count\text{-}conv: \bigwedge es. short\text{-}count\ (?ug\ n\ es) = (\sum i=3..k. real\ (card\ \{c \in ucycles\ (?ug\ n\ es). uwalk\text{-}length\ c = i\}))$
proof (*unfold short-count-def, induct k*)
case 0 **with** $small\text{-}empty$ **show** $?case$ **by** *auto*
next
case $(Suc\ k)$
show $?case$ **proof** (*cases Suc k ≤ 2*)
case $True$ **with** $small\text{-}empty$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
next
case $False$
have $\{c \in ucycles\ (?ug\ n\ es). uwalk\text{-}length\ c \leq Suc\ k\}$
 $= \{c \in ucycles\ (?ug\ n\ es). uwalk\text{-}length\ c \leq k\} \cup \{c \in ucycles\ (?ug\ n\ es). uwalk\text{-}length\ c = Suc\ k\}$
by *auto*
moreover
have $finite\ (uverts\ (edge\text{-}space.edge\text{-}ugraph\ n\ es))$ **by** *auto*
ultimately
have $card\ \{c \in ucycles\ (?ug\ n\ es). uwalk\text{-}length\ c \leq Suc\ k\}$

$= \text{card} \{c \in \text{ucycles } (?ug \ n \ es). \text{uwalk-length } c \leq k\} + \text{card} \{c \in \text{ucycles } (?ug \ n \ es). \text{uwalk-length } c = \text{Suc } k\}$
using *finite-ucycles* **by** (*subst card-Un-disjoint[symmetric]*) *auto*
then show *?thesis*
using *Suc False unfolding short-cycles-def* **by** (*auto simp: not-le*)
qed
qed

have *mean-short-count* = $(\sum_{i=3..k} \int \text{es}. \text{card} \{c \in \text{ucycles } (?ug \ n \ es). \text{uwalk-length } c = i\} \partial \text{pG.P})$
unfolding *mean-short-count-def short-count-conv*
by (*subst Bochner-Integration.integral-sum*) (*auto intro: pG.integral-finite-singleton*)
also have $\dots = (\sum_{i \in \{3..k\}} \text{of-nat } (\text{fact } n \ \text{div } \text{fact } (n - i)) * p \ n \ ^i)$
using *A* **by** (*simp add: pG.mean-k-cycles*)
also have $\dots \leq (\sum_{i \in \{3..k\}} n^i * p \ n^i)$
apply (*rule sum-mono*)
by (*meson A fact-div-fact-le-pow Suc-leD atLeastAtMost-iff of-nat-le-iff order-trans mult-le-cancel-iff1 zero-less-power*)
also have $\dots \leq (\sum_{i \in \{3..k\}} n \ \text{powr } (\varepsilon * k))$
using $\langle 1 \leq n \rangle \langle 0 < \varepsilon \rangle A$
by (*intro sum-mono*) (*auto simp: p-def field-simps powr-mult-base powr-powr powr-realpow[symmetric] powr-mult[symmetric] powr-add[symmetric]*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

have $\text{pG}. \text{prob} \{ \text{es} \in \text{space } \text{pG.P}. \ n/2 \leq \text{short-count } (?ug \ n \ es) \} \leq \text{mean-short-count} / (n/2)$
unfolding *mean-short-count-def* **using** $\langle 1 \leq n \rangle$
by (*intro pG.Markov-inequality*) (*auto simp: short-count-def*)
also have $\dots \leq 2 * (k - 2) * n \ \text{powr } (\varepsilon * k - 1)$
proof –
have $\text{mean-short-count} / (n / 2) \leq 2 * (k - 2) * (1 / n \ \text{powr } 1) * n \ \text{powr } (\varepsilon * k)$
using *mean-short-count-le* $\langle 1 \leq n \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: field-simps*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: powr-diff algebra-simps*)
qed
finally show *?P n* .
qed

define *pf-short-count* *pf- α*
where *pf-short-count* $n = \text{probGn } p \ n \ (\lambda \text{es}. \ n/2 \leq \text{short-count } (?ug \ n \ es))$
and *pf- α* $n = \text{probGn } p \ n \ (\lambda \text{es}. \ 1/2 * n/k \leq \alpha \ (\text{edge-space}. \text{edge-ugraph } n \ \text{es}))$
for n

have *ev-short-count-le*: $\forall^\infty n. \ \text{pf-short-count } n < 1 / 2$
proof –
have $\varepsilon * k - 1 < 0$
using *ε -props* $\langle 3 \leq k \rangle$ **by** (*auto simp: field-simps*)
then have $(\lambda n. \ 2 * (k - 2) * n \ \text{powr } (\varepsilon * k - 1)) \longrightarrow 0$ (*is ?bound* \longrightarrow)

0)

- by (intro tendsto-mult-right-zero LIMSEQ-neg-powr)
- then have $\forall^\infty n. \text{dist } (?bound\ n) \ 0 < 1 / 2$
- by (rule tendstoD) simp
- with prob-short-count-le show ?thesis
- by (rule eventually-elim2) (auto simp: dist-real-def pf-short-count-def)

qed

have $\text{lim-}\alpha: \text{pf-}\alpha \longrightarrow 0$

proof –

- have $0 < k$ using $\langle 3 \leq k \rangle$ by simp

have $\forall^\infty n. (6*k) * \ln\ n / n \leq p\ n \iff (6*k) * \ln\ n * n^{\text{powr } - \varepsilon} \leq 1$

proof (rule eventually-sequentiallyI)

- fix $n :: \text{nat}$ assume $1 \leq n$
- then have $(6 * k) * \ln\ n / n \leq p\ n \iff (6*k) * \ln\ n * (n^{\text{powr } - 1}) \leq n^{\text{powr } (\varepsilon - 1)}$
- by (subst powr-minus) (simp add: divide-inverse p-def)
- also have $\dots \iff (6*k) * \ln\ n * ((n^{\text{powr } - 1}) / (n^{\text{powr } (\varepsilon - 1)})) \leq n^{\text{powr } (\varepsilon - 1) / (n^{\text{powr } (\varepsilon - 1)})}$
- using $\langle 1 \leq n \rangle$ by (auto simp: field-simps)
- also have $\dots \iff (6*k) * \ln\ n * n^{\text{powr } - \varepsilon} \leq 1$
- by (simp add: powr-diff [symmetric])
- finally show $(6*k) * \ln\ n / n \leq p\ n \iff (6*k) * \ln\ n * n^{\text{powr } - \varepsilon} \leq 1$.

qed

then have $(\forall^\infty n. (6 * k) * \ln\ n / \text{real } n \leq p\ n)$

- $\iff (\forall^\infty n. (6*k) * \ln\ n * n^{\text{powr } - \varepsilon} \leq 1)$
- by (rule eventually-subst)

also have $\forall^\infty n. (6*k) * \ln\ n * n^{\text{powr } - \varepsilon} \leq 1$

proof –

- { fix $n :: \text{nat}$ assume $0 < n$
- have $\ln\ (\text{real } n) \leq n^{\text{powr } (\varepsilon/2)} / (\varepsilon/2)$
- using $\langle 0 < n \rangle \langle 0 < \varepsilon \rangle$ by (intro ln-powr-bound) auto
- also have $\dots \leq 2/\varepsilon * n^{\text{powr } (\varepsilon/2)}$ by (auto simp: field-simps)
- finally have $(6*k) * \ln\ n * (n^{\text{powr } - \varepsilon}) \leq (6*k) * (2/\varepsilon * n^{\text{powr } (\varepsilon/2)})$
- $* (n^{\text{powr } - \varepsilon})$
- using $\langle 0 < n \rangle \langle 0 < k \rangle$ by (intro mult-right-mono mult-left-mono) auto
- also have $\dots = 12*k/\varepsilon * n^{\text{powr } (-\varepsilon/2)}$
- unfolding divide-inverse
- by (auto simp: field-simps powr-minus[symmetric] powr-add[symmetric])
- finally have $(6*k) * \ln\ n * (n^{\text{powr } - \varepsilon}) \leq 12*k/\varepsilon * n^{\text{powr } (-\varepsilon/2)}$.
- }

then have $\forall^\infty n. (6*k) * \ln\ n * (n^{\text{powr } - \varepsilon}) \leq 12*k/\varepsilon * n^{\text{powr } (-\varepsilon/2)}$

- by (intro eventually-sequentiallyI[of 1]) auto

also have $\forall^\infty n. 12*k/\varepsilon * n^{\text{powr } (-\varepsilon/2)} \leq 1$

proof –

- have $(\lambda n. 12*k/\varepsilon * n^{\text{powr } (-\varepsilon/2)}) \longrightarrow 0$
- using $\langle 0 < \varepsilon \rangle$ by (intro tendsto-mult-right-zero LIMSEQ-neg-powr) auto

then show ?thesis

using $\langle 0 < \varepsilon \rangle$ **by** $-(\text{drule tendstoD}[\text{where } e=1], \text{auto elim: eventually-mono})$
qed
finally (*eventually-le-le*) **show** *?thesis* .
qed
finally have $\forall^\infty n. \text{real } (6 * k) * \ln(\text{real } n) / \text{real } n \leq p * n$.
with *ev-p* $\langle 0 < k \rangle$ **show** *?thesis unfolding pf- α -def* **by** (*rule almost-never-le- α*)
qed

from *ev-short-count-le lim- α [THEN tendstoD, of 1/2]* *ev-p*
have $\forall^\infty n. 0 < p * n \wedge p * n < 1 \wedge \text{pf-short-count } n < 1/2 \wedge \text{pf-}\alpha * n < 1/2$
by *simp (elim eventually-rev-mp, auto simp: eventually-sequentially-dist-real-def)*
then obtain *n* **where** $0 < p * n & p * n < 1$ **and** [*arith*]: $0 < n$
and *probs*: $\text{pf-short-count } n < 1/2$ $\text{pf-}\alpha * n < 1/2$
by (*auto simp: eventually-sequentially*)
then interpret *ES*: *edge-space n (p n)* **by** *unfold-locales auto*

have *rest-compl*: $\bigwedge A P. A - \{x \in A. P x\} = \{x \in A. \neg P x\}$ **by** *blast*

from *probs* **have** *ES.prob* ($\{es \in \text{space } ES.P. n/2 \leq \text{short-count } (?ug \ n \ es)\}$
 $\cup \{es \in \text{space } ES.P. 1/2 * n/k \leq \alpha \ (?ug \ n \ es)\}$) $\leq \text{pf-short-count } n + \text{pf-}\alpha * n$
unfolding *pf-short-count-def pf- α -def* **by** (*subst ES.finite-measure-subadditive*)
auto
also have $\dots < 1$ **using** *probs* **by** *auto*
finally have $0 < ES.\text{prob}(\text{space } ES.P - \{es \in \text{space } ES.P. n/2 \leq \text{short-count } (?ug \ n \ es)\}$
 $\cup \{es \in \text{space } ES.P. 1/2 * n/k \leq \alpha \ (?ug \ n \ es)\})$) (**is** $0 < ES.\text{prob } ?S$)
by (*subst ES.prob-compl*) *auto*
also have $?S = \{es \in \text{space } ES.P. \text{short-count } (?ug \ n \ es) < n/2 \wedge \alpha \ (?ug \ n \ es)$
 $< 1/2 * n/k\}$ (**is** $\dots = ?C$)
by (*auto simp: not-less rest-compl*)
finally have $?C \neq \{\}$ **by** (*intro notI*) (*simp only:, auto*)
then obtain *es* **where** *es-props*: $es \in \text{space } ES.P$
 $\text{short-count } (?ug \ n \ es) < n/2$ $\alpha \ (?ug \ n \ es) < 1/2 * n/k$
by *auto*
— now we obtained a high colored graph (few independent nodes) with almost no short cycles

define *G* **where** $G = ?ug \ n \ es$
define *H* **where** $H = \text{kill-short } G \ k$

have *G-props*: $\text{uverts } G = \{1..n\}$ *finite* ($\text{uverts } G$) $\text{short-count } G < n/2$ $\alpha \ G < 1/2 * n/k$
unfolding *G-def* **using** *es-props* **by** (*auto simp: ES.S-verts-def*)

have *uwellformed G* **by** (*auto simp: G-def uwellformed-def all-edges-def ES.S-edges-def*)
with *G-props* **have** *T1*: *uwellformed H* **unfolding** *H-def* **by** (*intro kill-short-uwellformed*)

have *enat l* $\leq \text{enat } k$ **using** $\langle l \leq k \rangle$ **by** *simp*
also have $\dots < \text{girth } H$ **using** *G-props* **by** (*auto simp: kill-short-large-girth*)

H-def)
finally have $T2: l < \text{girth } H$.

have $\text{card-}H: n/2 \leq \text{card } (uverts \ H)$
using $G\text{-props } es\text{-props } kill\text{-short-order-of-graph}[of \ G \ k]$ **by** (*simp add: short-count-def H-def*)

then have $uverts\text{-}H: uverts \ H \neq \{\} \ 0 < \text{card } (uverts \ H)$ **by** *auto*
then have $0 < \alpha \ H$ **using** $zero\text{-less-}\alpha \ uverts\text{-}H$ **by** *auto*

have $\alpha\text{-}HG: \alpha \ H \leq \alpha \ G$
unfolding $H\text{-def } G\text{-def}$ **by** (*auto intro: kill-short- α*)

have $enat \ l \leq \text{ereal } k$ **using** $\langle l \leq k \rangle$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots < (n/2) / \alpha \ G$ **using** $G\text{-props } \langle 3 \leq k \rangle$
by (*cases $\alpha \ G$*) (*auto simp: field-simps*)
also have $\dots \leq (n/2) / \alpha \ H$ **using** $\alpha\text{-}HG \ \langle 0 < \alpha \ H \rangle$
by (*auto simp: ereal-of-enat-pushout intro!: ereal-divide-left-mono*)
also have $\dots \leq \text{card } (uverts \ H) / \alpha \ H$ **using** $\text{card-}H \ \langle 0 < \alpha \ H \rangle$
by (*auto intro!: ereal-divide-right-mono*)
also have $\dots \leq \text{chromatic-number } H$ **using** $uverts\text{-}H \ T1$ **by** (*intro chromatic-lb*)
auto

finally have $T3: l < \text{chromatic-number } H$
by (*simp del: ereal-of-enat-simps*)

from $T1 \ T2 \ T3$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *fast*
qed

end

References

- [1] R. Diestel. *Graph Theory*, volume 173 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 4 edition, 2010. <http://diestel-graph-theory.com>.