

A Generalization of the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem

Mantas Bakšys
University of Cambridge
`mb2412@cam.ac.uk`

March 17, 2025

Abstract

The Cauchy–Davenport theorem is a fundamental result in additive combinatorics. It was originally independently discovered by Cauchy [2] and Davenport [3] and has been formalized in the AFP entry [1] as a corollary of Kneser’s theorem. More recently, many generalizations of this theorem have been found. In this entry, we formalise a generalization due to DeVos [4], which proves the theorem in a non-abelian setting.

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1 Preliminaries on well-orderings, groups, and sumsets | 3 |
| 1.1 Well-ordering lemmas | 3 |
| 1.2 Pointwise set multiplication in a monoid: definition and key lemmas | 4 |
| 1.3 Exponentiation in a monoid: definitions and lemmas | 6 |
| 1.4 Definition of the order of an element in a monoid | 11 |
| 1.5 Sumset scalar multiplication cardinality lemmas | 11 |
| 1.6 Pointwise set multiplication in a group: auxiliary lemmas . . | 14 |
| 1.7 <i>ecard</i> – extended definition of cardinality of a set | 16 |
| 2 Generalized Cauchy–Davenport theorem: main proof | 16 |
| 2.1 The counterexample pair relation in [4] | 16 |
| 2.2 $p(G)$ – the order of the smallest nontrivial finite subgroup of a group: definition and lemmas | 18 |
| 2.3 Proof of the generalized Cauchy–Davenport theorem for (non- abelian) groups | 18 |

1 Preliminaries on well-orderings, groups, and sum-sets

```

theory Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-preliminaries
imports
  Complex-Main
  Jacobson-Basic-Algebra.Group-Theory
  HOL-Library.Extended-Nat

begin

1.1 Well-ordering lemmas

lemma wf-prod-lex-fibers-inter:
  fixes r :: ('a × 'a) set and s :: ('b × 'b) set and f :: 'c ⇒ 'a and g :: 'c ⇒ 'b
  and t :: ('c × 'c) set
  assumes h1: wf ((inv-image r f) ∩ t) and
  h2: ∀ a. a ∈ range f ⇒ wf (({x. f x = a} × {x. f x = a}) ∩ (inv-image s g))
  ∩ t) and
  h3: trans t
  shows wf ((inv-image (r <*lex*> s) (λ c. (f c, g c))) ∩ t)
proof-
  have h4: (∪ a ∈ range f. ({x. f x = a} × {x. f x = a}) ∩ (inv-image s g)) ∩ t)
  =
    (∪ a ∈ range f. ({x. f x = a} × {x. f x = a}) ∩ (inv-image s g))) ∩ t by blast
  have (inv-image (r <*lex*> s) (λ c. (f c, g c))) ∩ t = (inv-image r f ∩ t) ∪
    (∪ a ∈ range f. {x. f x = a} × {x. f x = a} ∩ (inv-image s g) ∩ t)
  proof
    show inv-image (r <*lex*> s) (λ c. (f c, g c)) ∩ t
      ⊆ inv-image r f ∩ t ∪ (∪ a ∈ range f. {x. f x = a} × {x. f x = a} ∩ inv-image
      s g ∩ t)
    proof
      fix y assume hy: y ∈ inv-image (r <*lex*> s) (λ c. (f c, g c)) ∩ t
      then obtain a b where hx: y = (a, b) and (f a, f b) ∈ r ∨ (f a = f b ∧ (g
      a, g b) ∈ s)
      using in-inv-image in-lex-prod Int-iff SigmaE UNIV-Times-UNIV inf-top-right
      by (smt (z3))
      then show y ∈ inv-image r f ∩ t ∪ (∪ a ∈ range f. {x. f x = a} × {x. f x =
      a} ∩ inv-image s g ∩ t)
        using hy by auto
      qed
      show inv-image r f ∩ t ∪ (∪ a ∈ range f. {x. f x = a} × {x. f x = a} ∩ inv-image
      s g ∩ t) ⊆
        inv-image (r <*lex*> s) (λ c. (f c, g c)) ∩ t using Int-iff SUP-le-iff SigmaD1
      SigmaD2
        in-inv-image in-lex-prod mem-Collect-eq subrelI by force
      qed
      moreover have ((inv-image r f) ∩ t) O
    qed
  qed
end

```

```


$$(\bigcup a \in \text{range } f. (\{x. f x = a\} \times \{x. f x = a\} \cap (\text{inv-image } s g)) \cap t) \subseteq$$


$$(\text{inv-image } r f) \cap t$$

using h3 trans-O-subset by fastforce
moreover have wf  $(\bigcup a \in \text{range } f. \{x. f x = a\} \times \{x. f x = a\} \cap (\text{inv-image } s g) \cap t)$ 
apply(rule wf-UN, auto simp add: h2)
done
ultimately show wf  $(\text{inv-image } (r <*\text{lex*} s) (\lambda c. (f c, g c))) \cap t)$ 
using wf-union-compatible[OF h1] by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma wf-prod-lex-fibers:
  fixes r :: ('a × 'a) set and s :: ('b × 'b) set and f :: 'c ⇒ 'a and g :: 'c ⇒ 'b
  assumes h1: wf (inv-image r f) and
  h2:  $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{range } f \implies \text{wf } (\{x. f x = a\} \times \{x. f x = a\} \cap (\text{inv-image } s g))$ 
  shows wf (inv-image (r <*\text{lex*} s) ( $\lambda c. (f c, g c)$ ))
  using assms trans-def wf-prod-lex-fibers-inter[of r f UNIV s g] inf-top-right
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) iso-tuple-UNIV-I)

```

context monoid

begin

1.2 Pointwise set multiplication in a monoid: definition and key lemmas

```

inductive-set smul :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set for A B
  where
    smulI[intro]:  $\llbracket a \in A; a \in M; b \in B; b \in M \rrbracket \implies a \cdot b \in \text{smul } A B$ 

```

syntax smul :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set ((- ··· -))

```

lemma smul-eq: smul A B = {c.  $\exists a \in A \cap M. \exists b \in B \cap M. c = a \cdot b$ }
  by (auto simp: smul.simps elim!: smul.cases)

```

```

lemma smul: smul A B =  $(\bigcup a \in A \cap M. \bigcup b \in B \cap M. \{a \cdot b\})$ 
  by (auto simp: smul-eq)

```

```

lemma smul-subset-carrier: smul A B ⊆ M
  by (auto simp: smul-eq)

```

```

lemma smul-Int-carrier [simp]: smul A B ∩ M = smul A B
  by (simp add: Int-absorb2 smul-subset-carrier)

```

```

lemma smul-mono:  $\llbracket A' \subseteq A; B' \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies \text{smul } A' B' \subseteq \text{smul } A B$ 
  by (auto simp: smul-eq)

```

```

lemma smul-insert1: NO-MATCH {} A ⇒ smul (insert x A) B = smul {x} B
   $\cup$  smul A B

```

```

by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-insert2: NO-MATCH {} B ==> smul A (insert x B) = smul A {x}
 $\cup$  smul A B
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-subset-Un1: smul (A  $\cup$  A') B = smul A B  $\cup$  smul A' B
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-subset-Un2: smul A (B  $\cup$  B') = smul A B  $\cup$  smul A B'
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-subset-Union1: smul ( $\bigcup$  A) B = ( $\bigcup$  a  $\in$  A. smul a B)
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-subset-Union2: smul A ( $\bigcup$  B) = ( $\bigcup$  b  $\in$  B. smul A b)
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-subset-insert: smul A B  $\subseteq$  smul A (insert x B) smul A B  $\subseteq$  smul
(insert x A) B
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-subset-Un: smul A B  $\subseteq$  smul A (B  $\cup$  C) smul A B  $\subseteq$  smul (A  $\cup$  C) B
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-empty [simp]: smul A {} = {} smul {} A = {}
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-empty':
 $\text{assumes } A \cap M = \{\}$ 
 $\text{shows } smul B A = \{} smul A B = \{$ 
 $\text{using assms by (auto simp: smul-eq)}$ 

lemma smul-is-empty-iff [simp]: smul A B = {}  $\longleftrightarrow$  A  $\cap$  M = {}  $\vee$  B  $\cap$  M =
{}
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-D [simp]: smul A {1} = A  $\cap$  M smul {1} A = A  $\cap$  M
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-Int-carrier-eq [simp]: smul A (B  $\cap$  M) = smul A B smul (A  $\cap$  M) B
= smul A B
by (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma smul-assoc:
 $\text{shows } smul (smul A B) C = smul A (smul B C)$ 
by (fastforce simp add: smul-eq associative Bex-def)

lemma finite-smul:

```

```

assumes finite A finite B shows finite (smul A B)
using assms by (auto simp: smul-eq)

```

```

lemma finite-smul':
  assumes finite (A ∩ M) finite (B ∩ M)
  shows finite (smul A B)
  using assms by (auto simp: smul-eq)

```

1.3 Exponentiation in a monoid: definitions and lemmas

```

primrec power :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a (infix  $\wedge$  100)
  where
    power0: power g 0 = 1
  | power-suc: power g (Suc n) = power g n · g

```

```

lemma power-one:
  assumes g ∈ M
  shows power g 1 = g using power-def power0 assms by simp

```

```

lemma power-mem-carrier:
  fixes n
  assumes g ∈ M
  shows g  $\wedge$  n ∈ M
  apply (induction n, auto simp add: assms power-def)
  done

```

```

lemma power-mult:
  assumes g ∈ M
  shows g  $\wedge$  n · g  $\wedge$  m = g  $\wedge$  (n + m)
  proof(induction m)
    case 0
    then show ?case using assms power0 right-unit power-mem-carrier by simp
  next
    case (Suc m)
    assume g  $\wedge$  n · g  $\wedge$  m = g  $\wedge$  (n + m)
    then show ?case using power-def by (smt (verit) add-Suc-right assms associative
      power-mem-carrier power-suc)
  qed

```

```

lemma mult-inverse-power:
  assumes g ∈ M and invertible g
  shows g  $\wedge$  n · ((inverse g)  $\wedge$  n) = 1
  proof(induction n)
    case 0
    then show ?case using power-0 by auto
  next
    case (Suc n)
    assume hind: g  $\wedge$  n · local.inverse g  $\wedge$  n = 1

```

```

then have  $g \wedge \text{Suc } n \cdot \text{inverse } g \wedge \text{Suc } n = (g \cdot g \wedge n) \cdot (\text{inverse } g \wedge n \cdot \text{inverse } g)$ 
using power-def power-mult assms by (smt (z3) add.commute invertible-inverse-closed
invertible-right-inverse left-unit monoid.associative monoid-axioms power-mem-carrier
power-suc)
then show ?case using associative power-mem-carrier assms hind by (smt
(vert, del-insts)
composition-closed invertible-inverse-closed invertible-right-inverse right-unit)
qed

lemma inverse-mult-power:
assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible  $g$ 
shows  $((\text{inverse } g) \wedge n) \cdot g \wedge n = \mathbf{1}$  using assms by (metis invertible-inverse-closed
invertible-inverse-inverse invertible-inverse-invertible mult-inverse-power)

lemma inverse-mult-power-eq:
assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible  $g$ 
shows  $\text{inverse } (g \wedge n) = (\text{inverse } g) \wedge n$ 
using assms inverse-equality by (simp add: inverse-mult-power mult-inverse-power
power-mem-carrier)

definition power-int :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infixr powi 80) where
power-int  $g n = (\text{if } n \geq 0 \text{ then } g \wedge (\text{nat } n) \text{ else } (\text{inverse } g) \wedge (\text{nat } (-n)))$ 

definition nat-powers :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where nat-powers  $g = ((\lambda n. g \wedge n) ` \text{UNIV})$ 

lemma nat-powers-eq-Union: nat-powers  $g = (\bigcup n. \{g \wedge n\})$  using nat-powers-def
by auto

definition powers :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where powers  $g = ((\lambda n. g \text{ powi } n) ` \text{UNIV})$ 

lemma nat-powers-subset:
nat-powers  $g \subseteq$  powers  $g$ 
proof
fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{nat-powers } g$ 
then obtain  $n$  where  $x = g \wedge n$  and nat  $n = n$  using nat-powers-def by auto
then show  $x \in \text{powers } g$  using powers-def power-int-def
by (metis UNIV-I image-iff of-nat-0-le-iff)
qed

lemma inverse-nat-powers-subset:
nat-powers ( $\text{inverse } g$ )  $\subseteq$  powers  $g$ 
proof
fix  $x$  assume  $x \in \text{nat-powers } (\text{inverse } g)$ 
then obtain  $n$  where  $hx: x = (\text{inverse } g) \wedge n$  using nat-powers-def by blast
then show  $x \in \text{powers } g$ 
proof(cases  $n = 0$ )

```

```

case True
then show ?thesis using hx power0 powers-def
by (metis nat-powers-def nat-powers-subset rangeI subsetD)
next
case False
then have hpos:  $\neg (- \text{ int } n) \geq 0$  by auto
then have x = g powi  $(- \text{ int } n)$  using hx hpos power-int-def by simp
then show ?thesis using powers-def by auto
qed
qed

lemma powers-eq-union-nat-powers:
powers g = nat-powers g  $\cup$  nat-powers (inverse g)
proof
show powers g  $\subseteq$  nat-powers g  $\cup$  nat-powers (local.inverse g)
using powers-def nat-powers-def power-int-def by auto
next
show nat-powers g  $\cup$  nat-powers (inverse g)  $\subseteq$  powers g
by (simp add: inverse-nat-powers-subset nat-powers-subset)
qed

lemma one-mem-nat-powers: 1  $\in$  nat-powers g
using rangeI power0 nat-powers-def by metis

lemma nat-powers-subset-carrier:
assumes g ∈ M
shows nat-powers g ⊆ M
using nat-powers-def power-mem-carrier assms by auto

lemma nat-powers-mult-closed:
assumes g ∈ M
shows  $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{nat-powers } g \implies y \in \text{nat-powers } g \implies x \cdot y \in \text{nat-powers } g$ 
using nat-powers-def power-mult assms by auto

lemma nat-powers-inv-mult:
assumes g ∈ M and invertible g
shows  $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{nat-powers } g \implies y \in \text{nat-powers } (\text{inverse } g) \implies x \cdot y \in \text{powers } g$ 
proof-
fix x y assume x ∈ nat-powers g and y ∈ nat-powers (inverse g)
then obtain n m where hx: x = g ^ n and hy: y = (inverse g) ^ m using
nat-powers-def by blast
show x · y ∈ powers g
proof(cases n ≥ m)
case True
then obtain k where n = k + m using add.commute le-Suc-ex by blast
then have g ^ n · (inverse g) ^ m = g ^ k using mult-inverse-power assms associative
by (smt (verit) invertible-inverse-closed local.power-mult power-mem-carrier

```

```

right-unit)
  then show ?thesis using hx hy powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-def by
auto
next
  case False
  then obtain k where m = n + k by (metis leI less-imp-add-positive)
  then have g ^ n · (inverse g) ^ m = (inverse g) ^ k using inverse-mult-power
assms associative
    by (smt (verit) left-unit local.power-mult monoid.invertible-inverse-closed
monoid-axioms
      mult-inverse-power power-mem-carrier)
  then show ?thesis using hx hy powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-def by
auto
qed
qed

lemma inv-nat-powers-mult:
  assumes g ∈ M and invertible g
  shows ∀ x y. x ∈ nat-powers (inverse g) ⇒ y ∈ nat-powers g ⇒ x · y ∈
powers g
  by (metis assms invertible-inverse-closed invertible-inverse invertible-inverse-inverse
nat-powers-inv-mult powers-eq-union-nat-powers sup-commute)

lemma powers-mult-closed:
  assumes g ∈ M and invertible g
  shows ∀ x y. x ∈ powers g ⇒ y ∈ powers g ⇒ x · y ∈ powers g
  using powers-eq-union-nat-powers assms
    nat-powers-mult-closed nat-powers-inv-mult inv-nat-powers-mult by fastforce

lemma nat-powers-submonoid:
  assumes g ∈ M
  shows submonoid (nat-powers g) M (·) 1
  apply(unfold-locales)
  apply(auto simp add: assms nat-powers-mult-closed one-mem-nat-powers nat-powers-subset-carrier)
  done

lemma nat-powers-monoid:
  assumes g ∈ M
  shows Group-Theory.monoid (nat-powers g) (·) 1
  using nat-powers-submonoid assms by (smt (verit) monoid.intro associative
left-unit
  one-mem-nat-powers nat-powers-mult-closed right-unit submonoid.sub)

lemma powers-submonoid:
  assumes g ∈ M and invertible g
  shows submonoid (powers g) M (·) 1
proof
  show powers g ⊆ M using powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-subset-carrier
assms by auto

```

```

next
  show  $\bigwedge a b. a \in \text{powers } g \implies b \in \text{powers } g \implies a \cdot b \in \text{powers } g$ 
    using powers-mult-closed assms by auto
next
  show  $\mathbf{1} \in \text{powers } g$  using powers-eq-union-nat-powers one-mem-nat-powers by
  auto
qed

lemma powers-monoid:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible  $g$ 
  shows Group-Theory.monoid (powers  $g$ ) ( $\cdot$ )  $\mathbf{1}$ 
  by (smt (verit) monoid.intro Un-iff assms associative in-mono invertible-inverse-closed
    monoid.left-unit monoid.right-unit nat-powers-monoid powers-eq-union-nat-powers
    powers-mult-closed powers-submonoid submonoid.sub-unit-closed submonoid.subset)

lemma mem-nat-powers-invertible:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible  $g$  and  $u \in \text{nat-powers } g$ 
  shows monoid.invertible (powers  $g$ ) ( $\cdot$ )  $\mathbf{1}$   $u$ 
proof-
  obtain  $n$  where  $hu: u = g^{\wedge n}$  using assms nat-powers-def by blast
  then have inverse  $u \in \text{powers } g$  using assms inverse-mult-power-eq
    powers-eq-union-nat-powers nat-powers-def by auto
  then show ?thesis using hu assms by (metis in-mono inverse-mult-power in-
    verse-mult-power-eq
    monoid.invertibleI monoid.nat-powers-subset monoid.powers-monoid monoid-axioms
    mult-inverse-power)
qed

lemma mem-nat-inv-powers-invertible:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible  $g$  and  $u \in \text{nat-powers } (\text{inverse } g)$ 
  shows monoid.invertible (powers  $g$ ) ( $\cdot$ )  $\mathbf{1}$   $u$ 
  using assms by (metis inf-sup-aci(5) invertible-inverse-closed invertible-inverse
    invertible-inverse-invertible mem-nat-powers-invertible powers-eq-union-nat-powers)

lemma powers-group:
  assumes  $g \in M$  and invertible  $g$ 
  shows Group-Theory.group (powers  $g$ ) ( $\cdot$ )  $\mathbf{1}$ 
proof-
  have  $\bigwedge u. u \in \text{powers } g \implies \text{monoid.invertible } (\text{powers } g) (\cdot) \mathbf{1} u$  using assms
    mem-nat-inv-powers-invertible mem-nat-powers-invertible powers-eq-union-nat-powers
  by auto
  then show ?thesis using group-def Group-Theory.group-axioms-def assms pow-
    ers-monoid by metis
qed

lemma nat-powers-ne-one:

```

```

assumes  $g \in M$  and  $g \neq 1$ 
shows  $\text{nat-powers } g \neq \{1\}$ 
proof-
  have  $g \in \text{nat-powers } g$  using  $\text{power-one nat-powers-def assms rangeI by metis}$ 
  then show ?thesis using  $\text{assms by blast}$ 
qed

lemma  $\text{powers-ne-one}:$ 
  assumes  $g \in M$  and  $g \neq 1$ 
  shows  $\text{powers } g \neq \{1\}$  using  $\text{assms nat-powers-ne-one}$ 
  by (metis all-not-in-conv nat-powers-subset one-mem-nat-powers subset-singleton-iff)

end

context group

begin

lemma  $\text{powers-subgroup}:$ 
  assumes  $g \in G$ 
  shows  $\text{subgroup } (\text{powers } g) G (\cdot) 1$ 
  by (simp add:  $\text{assms powers-group powers-submonoid subgroup.intro}$ )

end

context monoid

begin

```

1.4 Definition of the order of an element in a monoid

```

definition  $\text{order}$ 
  where  $\text{order } g = (\text{if } (\exists n. n > 0 \wedge g ^ n = 1) \text{ then } \text{Min } \{n. g ^ n = 1 \wedge n > 0\} \text{ else } \infty)$ 

definition  $\text{min-order}$  where  $\text{min-order} = \text{Min } ((\text{order} ` M) - \{0\})$ 

end

```

1.5 Sumset scalar multiplication cardinality lemmas

```
context group
```

```
begin
```

```

lemma  $\text{card-smul-singleton-right-eq}:$ 
  assumes finite  $A$  shows  $\text{card } (\text{smul } A \{a\}) = (\text{if } a \in G \text{ then } \text{card } (A \cap G) \text{ else } 0)$ 
  proof (cases  $a \in G$ )
    case True

```

```

then have smul A {a} = ( $\lambda x. x \cdot a$ ) ` (A ∩ G)
  by (auto simp: smul-eq)
moreover have inj-on ( $\lambda x. x \cdot a$ ) (A ∩ G)
  by (auto simp: inj-on-def True)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (metis True card-image)
qed (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma card-smul-singleton-left-eq:
  assumes finite A shows card (smul {a} A) = (if a ∈ G then card (A ∩ G) else 0)
proof (cases a ∈ G)
  case True
  then have smul {a} A = ( $\lambda x. a \cdot x$ ) ` (A ∩ G)
  by (auto simp: smul-eq)
moreover have inj-on ( $\lambda x. a \cdot x$ ) (A ∩ G)
  by (auto simp: inj-on-def True)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (metis True card-image)
qed (auto simp: smul-eq)

lemma card-smul-sing-right-le:
  assumes finite A shows card (smul A {a}) ≤ card A
  by (simp add: assms card-mono card-smul-singleton-right-eq)

lemma card-smul-sing-left-le:
  assumes finite A shows card (smul {a} A) ≤ card A
  by (simp add: assms card-mono card-smul-singleton-left-eq)

lemma card-le-smul-right:
  assumes A: finite A a ∈ A a ∈ G
  and B: finite B B ⊆ G
  shows card B ≤ card (smul A B)
proof –
  have B ⊆ ( $\lambda x. (\text{inverse } a) \cdot x$ ) ` smul A B
  using A B
  apply (clar simp simp: smul image-iff)
  using Int-absorb2 Int-iff invertible invertible-left-inverse2 by metis
  with A B show ?thesis
  by (meson finite-smul surj-card-le)
qed

lemma card-le-smul-left:
  assumes A: finite A b ∈ B b ∈ G
  and B: finite B A ⊆ G
  shows card A ≤ card (smul A B)
proof –
  have A ⊆ ( $\lambda x. x \cdot (\text{inverse } b)$ ) ` smul A B
  using A B

```

```

apply (clar simp simp: smul image-iff associative)
using Int-absorb2 Int-iff invertible invertible-right-inverse assms(5) by (metis
right-unit)
with A B show ?thesis
  by (meson finite-smul surj-card-le)
qed

```

lemma infinite-smul-right:

assumes $A \cap G \neq \{\}$ and infinite ($B \cap G$)
 shows infinite ($A \cdots B$)

proof

assume hfin: finite (smul A B)

obtain a where ha: $a \in A \cap G$ using assms by auto

then have finite (smul {a} B) using hfin by (metis Int-Un-eq(1) finite-subset
 insert-is-Un

mk-disjoint-insert smul-subset-Un(2))

moreover have $B \cap G \subseteq (\lambda x. \text{inverse } a \cdot x) \cdot \text{smul } \{a\} B$

proof

fix b assume hb: $b \in B \cap G$

then have $b = \text{inverse } a \cdot (a \cdot b)$ using associative ha by (simp add: invertible-left-inverse2)

then show $b \in (\lambda x. \text{inverse } a \cdot x) \cdot \text{smul } \{a\} B$ using smul.simps hb ha by
 blast

qed

ultimately show False using assms using finite-surj by blast

qed

lemma infinite-smul-left:

assumes $B \cap G \neq \{\}$ and infinite ($A \cap G$)
 shows infinite ($A \cdots B$)

proof

assume hfin: finite (smul A B)

obtain b where hb: $b \in B \cap G$ using assms by auto

then have finite (smul A {b}) using hfin by (simp add: rev-finite-subset smul-mono)

moreover have $A \cap G \subseteq (\lambda x. x \cdot \text{inverse } b) \cdot \text{smul } A \{b\}$

proof

fix a assume ha: $a \in A \cap G$

then have $a = (a \cdot b) \cdot \text{inverse } b$ using associative hb

by (metis IntD2 invertible invertible-inverse-closed invertible-right-inverse
 right-unit)

then show $a \in (\lambda x. x \cdot \text{inverse } b) \cdot \text{smul } A \{b\}$ using smul.simps hb ha by
 blast

qed

ultimately show False using assms using finite-surj by blast

qed

1.6 Pointwise set multiplication in a group: auxiliary lemmas

```

lemma set-inverse-composition-commute:
  assumes X ⊆ G and Y ⊆ G
  shows inverse ` (X ⋯ Y) = (inverse ` Y) ⋯ (inverse ` X)
proof
  show inverse ` (X ⋯ Y) ⊆ (inverse ` Y) ⋯ (inverse ` X)
  proof
    fix z assume z ∈ inverse ` (X ⋯ Y)
    then obtain x y where z = inverse (x · y) and x ∈ X and y ∈ Y
      by (smt (verit) image-iff smul.cases)
    then show z ∈ (inverse ` Y) ⋯ (inverse ` X)
      using inverse-composition-commute assms
        by (smt (verit) image-eqI in-mono inverse-equality invertible invertibleE
smul.simps)
    qed
    show (inverse ` Y) ⋯ (inverse ` X) ⊆ inverse ` (X ⋯ Y)
    proof
      fix z assume z ∈ (inverse ` Y) ⋯ (inverse ` X)
      then obtain x y where x ∈ X and y ∈ Y and z = inverse y · inverse x
        using smul.cases image-iff by blast
      then show z ∈ inverse ` (X ⋯ Y) using inverse-composition-commute assms
smul.simps
        by (smt (verit) image-iff in-mono invertible)
    qed
  qed

lemma smul-singleton-eq-contains-nat-powers:
  fixes n :: nat
  assumes X ⊆ G and g ∈ G and X ⋯ {g} = X
  shows X ⋯ {g ^ n} = X
proof(induction n)
  case 0
  then show ?case using power-def assms by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  assume hXn: X ⋯ {g ^ n} = X
  moreover have X ⋯ {g ^ Suc n} = (X ⋯ {g ^ n}) ⋯ {g}
  proof
    show X ⋯ {g ^ Suc n} ⊆ (X ⋯ {g ^ n}) ⋯ {g}
    proof
      fix z assume z ∈ X ⋯ {g ^ Suc n}
      then obtain x where z = x · (g ^ Suc n) and hx: x ∈ X using smul.simps
by auto
      then have z = (x · g ^ n) · g using assms associative by (simp add: in-mono
power-mem-carrier)
      then show z ∈ (X ⋯ {g ^ n}) ⋯ {g} using hx assms
        by (simp add: power-mem-carrier smul.smulI subsetD)
    qed
  next

```

```

show (X ⋯ {g ^ n}) ⋯ {g} ⊆ X ⋯ {g ^ Suc n}
proof
  fix z assume z ∈ (X ⋯ {g ^ n}) ⋯ {g}
  then obtain x where z = (x · g ^ n) · g and hx: x ∈ X using smul.simps
  by auto
  then have z = x · g ^ Suc n
  using power-def associative power-mem-carrier assms by (simp add: in-mono)
  then show z ∈ X ⋯ {g ^ Suc n} using hx assms
  by (simp add: power-mem-carrier smul.smullI subsetD)
qed
qed
ultimately show ?case using assms by simp
qed

lemma smul-singleton-eq-contains-inverse-nat-powers:
fixes n :: nat
assumes X ⊆ G and g ∈ G and X ⋯ {g} = X
shows X ⋯ {(inverse g) ^ n} = X
proof-
  have (X ⋯ {g}) ⋯ {inverse g} = X
  proof
    show (X ⋯ {g}) ⋯ {inverse g} ⊆ X
    proof
      fix z assume z ∈ (X ⋯ {g}) ⋯ {inverse g}
      then obtain y x where y ∈ X ⋯ {g} and z = y · inverse g and x ∈ X
      and y = x · g
      using assms smul.simps by (metis empty-if insert-if)
      then show z ∈ X using assms by (simp add: associative subset-eq)
    qed
  next
    show X ⊆ (X ⋯ {g}) ⋯ {inverse g}
    proof
      fix x assume hx: x ∈ X
      then have x = x · g · inverse g using assms by (simp add: associative
subset-if)
      then show x ∈ (X ⋯ {g}) ⋯ {inverse g} using assms smul.simps hx by
auto
    qed
  qed
  then have X ⋯ {inverse g} = X using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis using assms by (simp add: smul-singleton-eq-contains-nat-powers)
qed

lemma smul-singleton-eq-contains-powers:
fixes n :: nat
assumes X ⊆ G and g ∈ G and X ⋯ {g} = X
shows X ⋯ (powers g) = X using powers-eq-union-nat-powers smul-subset-Union2
  nat-powers-eq-Union smul-singleton-eq-contains-nat-powers

```

```

smul-singleton-eq-contains-inverse-nat-powers assms smul-subset-Un2 by auto
end

```

1.7 *ecard – extended definition of cardinality of a set*

ecard – definition of a cardinality of a set taking values in *enat* – extended natural numbers, defined to be ∞ for infinite sets

definition *ecard* **where** *ecard A* = (if finite *A* then *card A* else ∞)

```

lemma ecard-eq-card-finite:
  assumes finite A
  shows ecard A = card A
  using assms ecard-def by metis

```

```

context monoid
begin

  orderOf – abbreviation for the order of a monoid
  abbreviation orderOf where orderOf == ecard

end
end

```

2 Generalized Cauchy–Davenport theorem: main proof

```

theory Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-main-proof
  imports Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport-preliminaries
begin

context group

```

begin

2.1 The counterexample pair relation in [4]

definition *devos-rel* **where**

$$\text{devos-rel} = (\lambda (A, B). \text{card}(A \cdots B)) <*\text{mlex}*> (\text{inv-image } (\{(n, m). n > m\} <*\text{lex}*>$$

$$\text{measure } (\lambda (A, B). \text{card } A))) (\lambda (A, B). (\text{card } A + \text{card } B, (A, B)))$$

lemma *devos-rel-iff*:

$$((A, B), (C, D)) \in \text{devos-rel} \longleftrightarrow \text{card}(A \cdots B) < \text{card}(C \cdots D) \vee \\ (\text{card}(A \cdots B) = \text{card}(C \cdots D) \wedge \text{card } A + \text{card } B > \text{card } C + \text{card } D) \vee$$

$(\text{card}(A \cdots B) = \text{card}(C \cdots D) \wedge \text{card } A + \text{card } B = \text{card } C + \text{card } D \wedge \text{card } A < \text{card } C)$

using *devos-rel-def mlex-iff*[*of* - - $\lambda (A, B). \text{card}(A \cdots B)$] **by** *fastforce*

lemma *devos-rel-le-smul*:

$((A, B), (C, D)) \in \text{devos-rel} \implies \text{card}(A \cdots B) \leq \text{card}(C \cdots D)$

using *devos-rel-iff* **by** *fastforce*

Lemma stating that the above relation due to DeVos is well-founded

lemma *devos-rel-wf* : *wf* (*Restr devos-rel*)

$\{(A, B). \text{finite } A \wedge A \neq \{\} \wedge A \subseteq G \wedge \text{finite } B \wedge B \neq \{\} \wedge B \subseteq G\}$ (**is wf** (*Restr devos-rel ?fin*))

proof –

define *f* **where** *f* = $(\lambda (A, B). \text{card}(A \cdots B))$

define *g* **where** *g* = $(\lambda (A :: 'a \text{ set}, B :: 'a \text{ set}). (\text{card } A + \text{card } B, (A, B)))$

define *h* **where** *h* = $(\lambda (A :: 'a \text{ set}, B :: 'a \text{ set}). \text{card } A + \text{card } B)$

define *s* **where** *s* = $(\{(n :: \text{nat}, m :: \text{nat}). n > m\} <*\text{lex*}> \text{measure } (\lambda (A :: 'a \text{ set}, B :: 'a \text{ set}). \text{card } A))$

have *hle2f*: $\bigwedge x. x \in ?\text{fin} \implies h x \leq 2 * f x$

proof –

fix *x* **assume** *hx*: $x \in ?\text{fin}$

then obtain *A B* **where** *hxA B*: $x = (A, B)$ **by** *blast*

then have $\text{card } A \leq \text{card } (A \cdots B)$ **and** $\text{card } B \leq \text{card } (A \cdots B)$

using *card-le-smul-left card-le-smul-right hx* **by** *auto*

then show $h x \leq 2 * f x$ **using** *hxA B h-def f-def* **by** *force*

qed

have *wf* (*Restr (measure f) ?fin*) **by** (*simp add: wf-Int1*)

moreover have $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{range } f \implies \text{wf } (\text{Restr } (\text{Restr } (\text{inv-image } s g) \{x. f x = a\}) ?\text{fin})$

proof –

fix *y* **assume** *y* ∈ *range f*

then show *wf* (*Restr (Restr (inv-image s g) {x. f x = y}) ?fin*)

proof –

have *Restr ({x. f x = y} × {x. f x = y} ∩ (inv-image s g)) ?fin ⊆*

*Restr (((λ x. 2 * f x - h x) <*mlex*> measure (λ (A :: 'a set, B :: 'a set). card A)) ∩*

{x. f x = y} × {x. f x = y}) ?fin

proof –

fix *z* **assume** *hz*: $z \in \text{Restr } (\{x. f x = y\} \times \{x. f x = y\} \cap (\text{inv-image } s g))$

?fin

then obtain *a b* **where** *hzab*: $z = (a, b)$ **and** $f a = y$ **and** $f b = y$ **and**

$h a > h b \vee h a = h b \wedge (a, b) \in \text{measure } (\lambda (A, B). \text{card } A)$ **and**

$a \in ?\text{fin}$ **and** $b \in ?\text{fin}$

using *s-def g-def h-def* **by** *force*

then obtain $2 * f a - h a < 2 * f b - h b \vee$

$2 * f a - h a = 2 * f b - h b \wedge (a, b) \in \text{measure } (\lambda (A, B). \text{card } A)$

using *hle2f* **by** (*smt (verit) diff-less-mono2 le-antisym nat-less-le*)

then show $z \in \text{Restr } (((\lambda x. 2 * f x - h x) <*mlex*> \text{measure } (\lambda (A, B). \text{card } A)) \cap$

```

 $\{x. f x = y\} \times \{x. f x = y\})$  ?fin using hzab hz by (simp add: mlex-iff)
qed
moreover have wf (( $\lambda x. 2 * f x - h x$ ) <*mlex*> measure ( $\lambda (A, B). card$ 
A))
by (simp add: wf-mlex)
ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: Int-commute wf-Int1 wf-subset)
qed
qed
moreover have trans (?fin  $\times$  ?fin) using trans-def by fast
ultimately have wf (Restr (inv-image (less-than <*lex*> s)) ( $\lambda c. (f c, g c)$ ))
?fin)
using wf-prod-lex-fibers-inter[of less-than f ?fin  $\times$  ?fin s g] measure-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting) inf-sup-aci(1))
moreover have (inv-image (less-than <*lex*> s)) ( $\lambda c. (f c, g c)$ ) = devos-rel
using s-def f-def g-def devos-rel-def mlex-prod-def by fastforce
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

2.2 $p(G)$ – the order of the smallest nontrivial finite subgroup of a group: definition and lemmas

$p(G)$ – the size of the smallest nontrivial finite subgroup of G , set to ∞ if none exist

```
definition p :: enat where p = Inf (orderOf ` {H. subgroup H G () 1  $\wedge$  H  $\neq$  {1}})
```

```
lemma subgroup-finite-ge:
assumes subgroup H G () 1 and H  $\neq$  {1} and finite H
shows card H  $\geq$  p
using assms p-def wellorder-Inf-le1 ecard-eq-card-finite
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) image-eqI mem-Collect-eq)
```

```
lemma subgroup-infinite-or-card-ge:
assumes subgroup H G () 1 and H  $\neq$  {1}
shows infinite H  $\vee$  card H  $\geq$  p using subgroup-finite-ge assms by auto
```

end

2.3 Proof of the generalized Cauchy–Davenport theorem for (non-abelian) groups

Generalized Cauchy–Davenport theorem for (non-abelian) groups due to Matt DeVos [4]

```
theorem (in group) Generalized-Cauchy-Davenport:
assumes hAne: A  $\neq$  {} and hBne: B  $\neq$  {} and hAG: A  $\subseteq$  G and hBG: B  $\subseteq$ 
G and
hAfin: finite A and hBfin: finite B
shows card (A  $\cdots$  B)  $\geq$  min p (card A + card B - 1)
```

proof(rule ccontr)

We will prove the theorem by contradiction

```
assume hcontr:  $\neg \min p (\text{card } A + \text{card } B - 1) \leq \text{card } (A \cdots B)$ 
let ?fin =  $\{(A, B). \text{finite } A \wedge A \neq \{\} \wedge A \subseteq G \wedge \text{finite } B \wedge B \neq \{\} \wedge B \subseteq G\}$ 
define M where  $M = \{(A, B). \text{card } (A \cdots B) < \min p (\text{card } A + \text{card } B - 1)\} \cap ?fin$ 
have hmemM:  $(A, B) \in M$  using assms hcontr M-def not-le by blast
```

Firstly, extract sets X and Y , which are minimal counterexamples of the DeVos relation defined above

```
then obtain X Y where hXYM:  $(X, Y) \in M$  and hmin:  $\bigwedge Z. Z \in M \implies (Z, X, Y) \notin \text{Restr devos-rel } ?fin$ 
using devos-rel-wf wfE-min by (smt (verit, del-insts) old.prod.exhaust)
have hXG:  $X \subseteq G$  and hYG:  $Y \subseteq G$  and hXfin: finite  $X$  and hYfin: finite  $Y$  and
hXYlt:  $\text{card } (X \cdots Y) < \min p (\text{card } X + \text{card } Y - 1)$  using hXYM M-def by auto
have hXY:  $\text{card } X \leq \text{card } Y$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
assume hcontr:  $\neg \text{card } X \leq \text{card } Y$ 
have hinvinj: inj-on inverse  $G$  using inj-onI invertible invertible-inverse-inverse by metis
let ?M = inverse `X
let ?N = inverse `Y
have ?N ... ?M = inverse `( $X \cdots Y$ ) using set-inverse-composition-commute hXYM M-def by auto
then have hNM:  $\text{card } (?N \cdots ?M) = \text{card } (X \cdots Y)$ 
using hinvinj card-image subset-inj-on smul-subset-carrier by metis
moreover have hM:  $\text{card } ?M = \text{card } X$ 
using hinvinj hXG hYG card-image subset-inj-on by metis
moreover have hN:  $\text{card } ?N = \text{card } Y$ 
using hinvinj hYG card-image subset-inj-on by metis
moreover have hNplusM:  $\text{card } ?N + \text{card } ?M = \text{card } X + \text{card } Y$  using hM hN by auto
ultimately have card (?N ... ?M) < min p (card ?N + card ?M - 1)
using hXYM M-def hXYlt by argo
then have (?N, ?M) ∈ M using M-def hXYM by blast
then have ((?N, ?M), (X, Y)) ∉ devos-rel using hmin hXYM M-def by blast
then have  $\neg \text{card } Y < \text{card } X$  using hN hNM hNplusM devos-rel-iff by simp
then show False using hcontr by simp
qed
```

Observe that X contains at least 2 elements, otherwise the proof is easy

```
have hX2:  $2 \leq \text{card } X$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
assume  $\neg 2 \leq \text{card } X$ 
moreover have  $\text{card } X > 0$  using hXYM M-def card-gt-0-iff by blast
ultimately have hX1:  $\text{card } X = 1$  by auto
```

```

then obtain x where  $X = \{x\}$  and  $x \in G$  using hXG by (metis card-1-singletonE
insert-subset)
then have card  $(X \cdots Y) = \text{card } X + \text{card } Y - 1$  using card-smul-singleton-left-eq
hYG hXYM M-def
by (simp add: Int-absorb2)
then show False using hXYlt by simp
qed
then obtain a b where habX:  $\{a, b\} \subseteq X$  and habne:  $a \neq b$  by (metis card-2-iff
obtain-subset-with-card-n)
moreover have  $b \in X \cdots \{\text{inverse } a \cdot b\}$  by (smt (verit) habX composition-closed
hXG insert-subset
invertible invertible-inverse-closed invertible-right-inverse2 singletonI smul.smulI
subsetD)

```

From this, obtain an element $g \in G$ such that $Xg \cap X \neq \emptyset$

```

then obtain g where hgG:  $g \in G$  and hg1:  $g \neq 1$  and hXgne:  $(X \cdots \{g\}) \cap$ 
 $X \neq \{\}$ 
using habne habX hXG by (metis composition-closed insert-disjoint(2) in-
sert-subset invertible
invertible-inverse-closed invertible-right-inverse2 mk-disjoint-insert right-unit)

```

Now we show that $Xg \cap X$ is strict subset of X

```

have hpsubX:  $(X \cdots \{g\}) \cap X \subset X$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
assume  $\neg (X \cdots \{g\}) \cap X \subset X$ 
then have hXsub:  $X \subseteq X \cdots \{g\}$  by auto
then have card  $X \cdots \{g\} = \text{card } X$  using card-smul-sing-right-le hXYM M-def

```

```

Int-absorb2 ‹g ∈ G› card.infinite card-smul-singleton-right-eq finite-Int hXG
by metis
moreover have hXfin: finite X using hXYM M-def by auto
ultimately have  $X \cdots \{g\} = X$  using hXsub card-subset-eq finite.emptyI
finite.insertI
finite-smul by metis
then have hXpow:  $X \cdots (\text{powers } g) = X$  by (simp add: hXG hgG smul-singleton-eq-contains-powers)
moreover have hfinpowers: finite  $(\text{powers } g)$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
assume infinite  $(\text{powers } g)$ 
then have infinite X using hXG hX2 hXpow by (metis Int-absorb1 hXgne
hXsub hgG
infinite-smul-right invertible le-iff-inf powers-submonoid submonoid.subset)
then show False using hXYM M-def by auto
qed
ultimately have card  $(\text{powers } g) \leq \text{card } X$  using card-le-smul-right
powers-submonoid submonoid.subset hXYM M-def habX hXG hXfin hgG in-
sert-subset invertible
subsetD by (metis (no-types, lifting))
then have p ≤ card X
using hfinpowers hg1 hgG le-trans powers-ne-one powers-subgroup subgroup-infinite-or-card-ge

```

```

by (smt (verit) enat-ile enat-ord-simps(1))
then have  $p \leq \text{card}(X \cdots Y)$  using card-le-smul-left hXYM M-def
  { $b \in \text{smul } X \{\text{inverse } a \cdot b\}$ } bot-nat-0-extremum-uniqueI card.infinite
  card-0-eq card-le-smul-right empty-iff hXY hXfin hYG le-trans smul.cases
  by (smt (verit) enat-ile enat-ord-simps(1))
then show False using hXYlt by auto
qed

```

Define auxiliary transformationms of sets X and Y to reach a contradiction

```

let ?X1 = ( $X \cdots \{g\}$ )  $\cap X$ 
let ?X2 = ( $X \cdots \{g\}$ )  $\cup X$ 
let ?Y1 = ( $\{\text{inverse } g\} \cdots Y$ )  $\cup Y$ 
let ?Y2 = ( $\{\text{inverse } g\} \cdots Y$ )  $\cap Y$ 
have hY1G: ?Y1  $\subseteq G$  and hY1fin: finite ?Y1 and hX2G: ?X2  $\subseteq G$  and hX2fin:
finite ?X2
  using hYfin hYG hXG finite-smul hXfin smul-subset-carrier by auto
have hXY1: ?X1  $\cdots ?Y1 \subseteq X \cdots Y$ 
proof
  fix z assume  $z \in ?X1 \cdots ?Y1$ 
  then obtain x y where hz:  $z = x \cdot y$  and hx:  $x \in ?X1$  and hy:  $y \in ?Y1$  by
  (meson smul.cases)
  show  $z \in X \cdots Y$ 
  proof(cases y  $\in Y$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis using hz hx smulI hXG hYG by auto
  next
  case False
  then obtain w where  $y = \text{inverse } g \cdot w$  and  $w \in Y$  using hy smul.cases
  by (metis UnE singletonD)
  moreover obtain v where  $x = v \cdot g$  and  $v \in X$  using hx smul.cases by
  blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using hz hXG hYG hgG associative invertible-right-inverse2
  by (simp add: smul.smulI subsetD)
qed
qed
have hXY2: ?X2  $\cdots ?Y2 \subseteq X \cdots Y$ 
proof
  fix z assume  $z \in ?X2 \cdots ?Y2$ 
  then obtain x y where hz:  $z = x \cdot y$  and hx:  $x \in ?X2$  and hy:  $y \in ?Y2$  by
  (meson smul.cases)
  show  $z \in X \cdots Y$ 
  proof(cases x  $\in X$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis using hz hy smulI hXG hYG by auto
  next
  case False
  then obtain v where  $x = v \cdot g$  and  $v \in X$  using hx smul.cases by (metis
  UnE singletonD)

```

```

moreover obtain w where  $y = \text{inverse } g \cdot w$  and  $w \in Y$  using hy smul.cases
by blast
ultimately show ?thesis using hz hXG hYG hgG associative invertible-right-inverse2
  by (simp add: smul.smulI subsetD)
qed
qed
have hY2ne: ?Y2 ≠ {}
proof
  assume hY2: ?Y2 = {}
  have card X + card Y ≤ card Y + card Y by (simp add: hXY)
  also have ... = card ?Y1 using card-Un-disjoint hYfin hYG hgG finite-smul
inf.orderE invertible
  by (metis hY2 card-smul-singleton-left-eq finite.emptyI finite.insertI invertible-inverse-closed)
  also have ... ≤ card (?X1 … ?Y1) using card-le-smul-right[OF - - - hY1fin
hY1G]
    hXgne hXG Int-assoc Int-commute ex-in-conv finite-Int hXfin smul.simps
smul-D(2)
    smul-Int-carrier unit-closed by auto
  also have ... ≤ card (X … Y) using hXY1 finite-smul card-mono by (metis
hXfin hYfin)
    finally show False using hXYlt by auto
qed
have hXadd: card ?X1 + card ?X2 = 2 * card X
  using card-smul-singleton-right-eq hgG hXfin hXG card-Un-Int
  by (metis Un-Int-eq(3) add.commute finite.emptyI finite.insertI finite-smul
mult-2 subset-Un-eq)
have hYadd: card ?Y1 + card ?Y2 = 2 * card Y
  using card-smul-singleton-left-eq hgG hYfin hYG card-Un-Int finite-smul
  by (metis Int-lower1 Un-Int-eq(3) card-0-eq card-Un-le card-seteq finite.emptyI
finite.insert
hY2ne le-add-same-cancel1 mult-2 subset-Un-eq)

Split the contradiction proof into the cases based on whether  $|\text{?}X2| + |\text{?}Y2| > |X| + |Y|$  holds

show False
proof (cases card ?X2 + card ?Y2 > card X + card Y)
  case hcase: True
  then have h: card X + card Y - 1 ≤ card ?X2 + card ?Y2 - 1 by simp
  have hXY2le: enat (card (?X2 … ?Y2)) ≤ card (X … Y)
    using hXY2 finite-smul card-mono hXfin hYfin enat-ile by (metis enat-ord-simps(1))
  moreover have ... < min p (card X + card Y - 1) using hXYlt by auto
  moreover have ... ≤ min p (card ?X2 + card ?Y2 - 1)
    using h enat-ile enat-ord-simps(1) min-def
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) linorder-not-le order-le-less order-le-less-subst2)
  ultimately have card (?X2 … ?Y2) < min p (card ?X2 + card ?Y2 - 1)
by order
  then have hXY1M: (?X2, ?Y2) ∈ M using hY2ne hX2fin hX2G hXYM M-def
by blast

```

```

Show that ( $?X2, ?Y2$ ) is a smaller counterexample for the DeVos relation
moreover have  $((?X2, ?Y2), (X, Y)) \in \text{Restr devos-rel } ?fin \text{ using } hXYM$ 
 $M\text{-def } hXY1M \text{ } h \text{ } hXY2le$ 
  devos-rel-iff hcase by auto
  ultimately show False using hmin by blast
next
  case hcase: False
  then have  $h: \text{card } ?X1 + \text{card } ?Y1 - 1 \geq \text{card } X + \text{card } Y - 1$  using hXadd
  hYadd by linarith
  have  $hX1lt: \text{card } ?X1 < \text{card } X$  using hXfin by (simp add: hpsubX psub-
  set-card-mono)
  have  $hXY1le: \text{enat } (\text{card } (?X1 \dots ?Y1)) \leq \text{card } (X \dots Y)$ 
    using hXY1 finite-smul card-mono hYfin hXfin by (metis enat-ord-simps(1))
  also have  $\dots < \text{min } p (\text{card } X + \text{card } Y - 1)$  using hXY1lt by auto
  also have  $\dots \leq \text{min } p (\text{card } ?X1 + \text{card } ?Y1 - 1)$  using h enat-ile enat-ord-simps(1)
  min-def
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) linorder-le-less-linear order.asym order-le-less-trans)
    finally have  $hXY1M: (?X1, ?Y1) \in M$  using M-def hXgne hY1fin hY1G
  hXYM by blast

Show that ( $?X1, ?Y1$ ) is a smaller counterexample for the DeVos relation
moreover have  $((?X1, ?Y1), (X, Y)) \in \text{Restr devos-rel } ?fin \text{ using } hXYM$ 
 $M\text{-def } hXY1M \text{ } h \text{ } hXY1le$ 
  devos-rel-iff hX1lt hXY1le hcase by force
  ultimately show ?thesis using hmin by blast
qed
qed

end

```

References

- [1] M. Bakšys and A. Koutsoukou-Argyraiki. Kneser's Theorem and the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, November 2022. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Kneser_Cauchy_Davenport.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] A. L. B. Cauchy. Recherches sur les nombres. *J. École Polytech.*, 9:99–116, 1813.
- [3] H. Davenport. On the Addition of Residue Classes. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-10(1):30–32, 01 1935.
- [4] M. DeVos. On a Generalization of the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem. *Integers*, 16:A7, 2016.