

Gauss Sums and the Pólya–Vinogradov Inequality

Rodrigo Raya and Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This article provides a full formalisation of Chapter 8 of Apostol’s *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory* [1]. Subjects that are covered are:

- periodic arithmetic functions and their finite Fourier series
- (generalised) Ramanujan sums
- Gauss sums and separable characters
- induced moduli and primitive characters
- the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality

Contents

1	Auxiliary material	3
1.1	Various facts	3
1.2	Neutral element of the Dirichlet product	4
1.3	Multiplicative functions	5
2	Periodic arithmetic functions	6
3	Complex roots of unity	8
4	Geometric sums of roots of unity	10
5	Finite Fourier series	10
5.1	Auxiliary facts	11
5.2	Definition and uniqueness	11
5.3	Expansion of an arithmetical function	13
6	Ramanujan sums	13
6.1	Basic sums	13
6.2	Generalised sums	14

7	Gauss sums	17
7.1	Definition and basic properties	17
7.2	Separability	18
7.3	Induced moduli and primitive characters	19
7.4	The conductor of a character	21
7.5	The connection between primitivity and separability	22
8	The Pólya–Vinogradov Inequality	23
8.1	The case of primitive characters	24
8.2	General case	25

1 Auxiliary material

```
theory Gauss-Sums-Auxiliary
```

```
imports
```

```
  Dirichlet-L.Dirichlet-Characters
```

```
  Dirichlet-Series.Moebius-Mu
```

```
  Dirichlet-Series.More-Totient
```

```
begin
```

1.1 Various facts

```
lemma sum-div-reduce:
```

```
  fixes d :: nat and f :: nat ⇒ complex
```

```
  assumes d dvd k d > 0
```

```
  shows (∑ n | n ∈ {1..k} ∧ d dvd n. f n) = (∑ c ∈ {1..k div d}. f (c*d))
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma prod-div-sub:
```

```
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ complex
```

```
  assumes finite A B ⊆ A ∀ b ∈ B. f b ≠ 0
```

```
  shows (∏ i ∈ A - B. f i) = ((∏ i ∈ A. f i) div (∏ i ∈ B. f i))
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma linear-gcd:
```

```
  fixes a b c d :: nat
```

```
  assumes a > 0 b > 0 c > 0 d > 0
```

```
  assumes coprime a c coprime b d
```

```
  shows gcd (a*b) (c*d) = (gcd a d) * (gcd b c)
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma reindex-product-bij:
```

```
  fixes a b m k :: nat
```

```
  defines S ≡ {(d1,d2). d1 dvd gcd a m ∧ d2 dvd gcd k b}
```

```
  defines T ≡ {d. d dvd (gcd a m) * (gcd k b)}
```

```
  defines f ≡ (λ(d1,d2). d1 * d2)
```

```
  assumes coprime a k
```

```
  shows bij-betw f S T
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma p-div-set:
```

```
  shows {p. p ∈ prime-factors a ∧ ¬ p dvd N} =
```

```
    ({p. p ∈ prime-factors (a*N)} - {p. p ∈ prime-factors N})
```

```
  (is ?A = ?B)
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma coprime-iff-prime-factors-disjoint:
```

```
  fixes x y :: 'a :: factorial-semiring
```

```
  assumes x ≠ 0 y ≠ 0
```

```
  shows coprime x y ↔ prime-factors x ∩ prime-factors y = {}
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma coprime-cong-prime-factors:
  fixes x y :: 'a :: factorial-semiring-gcd
  assumes x ≠ 0 y ≠ 0 x' ≠ 0 y' ≠ 0
  assumes prime-factors x = prime-factors x'
  assumes prime-factors y = prime-factors y'
  shows coprime x y ↔ coprime x' y'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma moebius-prod-not-coprime:
  assumes ¬ coprime N d
  shows moebius-mu (N*d) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Theorem 2.18

```

lemma sum-divisors-moebius-mu-times-multiplicative:
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {comm-ring-1}
  assumes multiplicative-function f and n > 0
  shows (∑ d | d dvd n. moebius-mu d * f d) = (∏ p∈prime-factors n. 1 - f p)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma multiplicative-ind-coprime [intro]: multiplicative-function (ind (coprime N))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum-divisors-moebius-mu-times-multiplicative-revisited:
  fixes f :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {comm-ring-1}
  assumes multiplicative-function f n > 0 N > 0
  shows (∑ d | d dvd n ∧ coprime N d. moebius-mu d * f d) =
    (∏ p∈{p. p ∈ prime-factors n ∧ ¬(p dvd N)}. 1 - f p)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

1.2 Neutral element of the Dirichlet product

```

definition dirichlet-prod-neutral n = (if n = 1 then 1 else 0) for n :: nat

```

```

lemma dirichlet-prod-neutral-intro:
  fixes S :: nat ⇒ complex and f :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ complex
  defines S ≡ (λ(n::nat). (∑ k | k ∈ {1..n} ∧ coprime k n. (f k n)))
  shows S(n) = (∑ k ∈ {1..n}. f k n * dirichlet-prod-neutral (gcd k n))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dirichlet-prod-neutral-right-neutral:
  dirichlet-prod f dirichlet-prod-neutral n = f n if n > 0 for f :: nat ⇒ complex
  and n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dirichlet-prod-neutral-left-neutral:
  dirichlet-prod dirichlet-prod-neutral f n = f n
  if n > 0 for f :: nat ⇒ complex and n

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *I-right-neutral-0*:
 fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
 assumes $f 0 = 0$
 shows *dirichlet-prod f dirichlet-prod-neutral n = f n*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.3 Multiplicative functions

lemma *mult-id*: *multiplicative-function id*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-moebius*: *multiplicative-function moebius-mu*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-of-nat*: *multiplicative-function of-nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-of-nat-c*: *completely-multiplicative-function of-nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *completely-multiplicative-nonzero*:
 fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
 assumes *completely-multiplicative-function f*
 $d \neq 0$
 $\bigwedge p. \text{prime } p \implies f(p) \neq 0$
 shows $f(d) \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *multipl-div*:
 fixes $m k d1 d2 :: \text{nat}$ **and** $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
 assumes *multiplicative-function f d1 dvd m d2 dvd k coprime m k*
 shows $f((m*k) \text{ div } (d1*d2)) = f(m \text{ div } d1) * f(k \text{ div } d2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *multipl-div-mono*:
 fixes $m k d :: \text{nat}$ **and** $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
 assumes *completely-multiplicative-function f*
 $d \text{ dvd } k \text{ and } d > 0$
 $\bigwedge p. \text{prime } p \implies f(p) \neq 0$
 shows $f(k \text{ div } d) = f(k) \text{ div } f(d)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-to-mult*: *completely-multiplicative-function f* \implies
 multiplicative-function f
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

2 Periodic arithmetic functions

```

theory Periodic-Arithmetic
imports
  Complex-Main
  HOL-Number-Theory.Cong
begin

definition
  periodic-arithmetic f k = ( $\forall n. f(n+k) = f n$ )
  for  $n :: \text{int}$  and  $k :: \text{nat}$  and  $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ 

lemma const-periodic-arithmetic: periodic-arithmetic ( $\lambda x. y$ ) k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma add-periodic-arithmetic:
  fixes f g :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex
  assumes periodic-arithmetic f k
  assumes periodic-arithmetic g k
  shows periodic-arithmetic ( $\lambda n. f n + g n$ ) k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mult-periodic-arithmetic:
  fixes f g :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex
  assumes periodic-arithmetic f k
  assumes periodic-arithmetic g k
  shows periodic-arithmetic ( $\lambda n. f n * g n$ ) k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma scalar-mult-periodic-arithmetic:
  fixes f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex and a :: complex
  assumes periodic-arithmetic f k
  shows periodic-arithmetic ( $\lambda n. a * f n$ ) k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fin-sum-periodic-arithmetic-set:
  fixes f g :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex
  assumes  $\forall i \in A. \text{periodic-arithmetic } (h i) k$ 
  shows periodic-arithmetic ( $\lambda n. \sum i \in A. h i n$ ) k
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mult-period:
  assumes periodic-arithmetic g k
  shows periodic-arithmetic g (k*q)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unique-periodic-arithmetic-extension:
  assumes  $k > 0$ 
  assumes  $\forall j < k. g j = h j$ 

```

```

assumes periodic-arithmetic g k and periodic-arithmetic h k
shows g i = h i
⟨proof⟩

lemma periodic-arithmetic-sum-periodic-arithmetic:
assumes periodic-arithmetic f k
shows (∑ l ∈ {m..n}. f l) = (∑ l ∈ {m+k..n+k}. f l)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mod-periodic-arithmetic:
fixes n m :: nat
assumes periodic-arithmetic f k
assumes n mod k = m mod k
shows f n = f m
⟨proof⟩

lemma cong-periodic-arithmetic:
assumes periodic-arithmetic f k [a = b] (mod k)
shows f a = f b
⟨proof⟩

lemma cong-nat-imp-eq:
fixes m :: nat
assumes m > 0 x ∈ {a..<a+m} y ∈ {a..<a+m} [x = y] (mod m)
shows x = y
⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-on-mod-nat:
fixes m :: nat
assumes m > 0
shows inj-on (λx. x mod m) {a..<a+m}
⟨proof⟩

lemma bij-betw-mod-nat-atLeastLessThan:
fixes k d :: nat
assumes k > 0
defines g ≡ (λi. nat ((int i – int d) mod int k) + d)
shows bij-betw (λi. i mod k) {d..<d+k} {..<k}
⟨proof⟩

lemma periodic-arithmetic-sum-periodic-arithmetic-shift:
fixes k d :: nat
assumes periodic-arithmetic f k k > 0 d > 0
shows (∑ l ∈ {0..k-1}. f l) = (∑ l ∈ {d..d+k-1}. f l)
⟨proof⟩

lemma self-bij-0-k:
fixes a k :: nat
assumes coprime a k [a*i = 1] (mod k) k > 0

```

```

shows bij-betw ( $\lambda r. r*a \bmod k$ ) {0..k-1} {0..k-1}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma periodic-arithmetic-homothecy:
  assumes periodic-arithmetic f k
  shows periodic-arithmetic ( $\lambda l. f(l*a)$ ) k
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem periodic-arithmetic-remove-homothecy:
  assumes coprime a k periodic-arithmetic f k k > 0
  shows ( $\sum_{l=1..k} f l$ ) = ( $\sum_{l=1..k} f(l*a)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```

theory Complex-Roots-Of-Unity
imports
  HOL-Analysis.Analysis
  Periodic-Arithmetic
begin

```

3 Complex roots of unity

```
definition
```

```
unity-root k n = cis (2 * pi * of-int n / of-nat k)
```

```
lemma
```

```
  unity-root-k-0 [simp]: unity-root k 0 = 1 and
  unity-root-0-n [simp]: unity-root 0 n = 1
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma unity-root-conv-exp:
```

```
  unity-root k n = exp (of-real (2*pi*n/k) * i)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma unity-root-mod:
```

```
  unity-root k (n mod int k) = unity-root k n
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma unity-root-cong:
```

```
  assumes [m = n] (mod int k)
  shows unity-root k m = unity-root k n
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma unity-root-mod-nat:
```

```
  unity-root k (nat (n mod int k)) = unity-root k n
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma unity-root-eqD:
```

```

assumes gr:  $k > 0$ 
assumes eq:  $\text{unity-root } k \ i = \text{unity-root } k \ j$ 
shows  $i \ \text{mod } k = j \ \text{mod } k$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-root-eq-1-iff:
  fixes  $k \ n :: \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $k > 0$ 
  shows  $\text{unity-root } k \ n = 1 \longleftrightarrow k \ \text{dvd } n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-root-pow:  $\text{unity-root } k \ n \ ^ m = \text{unity-root } k \ (n * m)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-root-add:  $\text{unity-root } k \ (m + n) = \text{unity-root } k \ m * \text{unity-root } k \ n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-root-uminus:  $\text{unity-root } k \ (-m) = \text{cnj} \ (\text{unity-root } k \ m)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma inverse-unity-root:  $\text{inverse} \ (\text{unity-root } k \ m) = \text{cnj} \ (\text{unity-root } k \ m)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-root-diff:  $\text{unity-root } k \ (m - n) = \text{unity-root } k \ m * \text{cnj} \ (\text{unity-root } k \ n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-root-eq-1-iff-int:
  fixes  $k :: \text{nat}$  and  $n :: \text{int}$ 
  assumes  $k > 0$ 
  shows  $\text{unity-root } k \ n = 1 \longleftrightarrow k \ \text{dvd } n$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-root-eq-1 [simp]:  $\text{int } k \ \text{dvd } n \implies \text{unity-root } k \ n = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-periodic-arithmetic:
   $\text{periodic-arithmetic} \ (\text{unity-root } k) \ k$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-periodic-arithmetic-mult:
   $\text{periodic-arithmetic} \ (\lambda n. \text{unity-root } k \ (m * \text{int } n)) \ k$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-root-periodic-arithmetic-mult-minus:
  shows  $\text{periodic-arithmetic} \ (\lambda i. \text{unity-root } k \ (-\text{int } i * \text{int } m)) \ k$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-div:

```

```

fixes a :: int and d :: nat
assumes d dvd k
shows unity-root k (a*d) = unity-root (k div d) a
⟨proof⟩

lemma unity-div-num:
assumes k > 0 d > 0 d dvd k
shows unity-root k (x * (k div d)) = unity-root d x
⟨proof⟩

```

4 Geometric sums of roots of unity

Apostol calls these ‘geometric sums’, which is a bit too generic. We therefore decided to refer to them as ‘sums of roots of unity’.

definition unity-root-sum k n = ($\sum m < k. \text{unity-root } k (n * \text{of-nat } m)$)

```

lemma unity-root-sum-0-left [simp]: unity-root-sum 0 n = 0 and
    unity-root-sum-0-right [simp]: k > 0  $\implies$  unity-root-sum k 0 = k
⟨proof⟩

```

Theorem 8.1

```

theorem unity-root-sum:
fixes k :: nat and n :: int
assumes gr: k  $\geq$  1
shows k dvd n  $\implies$  unity-root-sum k n = k
and  $\neg$ k dvd n  $\implies$  unity-root-sum k n = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary unity-root-sum-periodic-arithmetic:
    periodic-arithmetic (unity-root-sum k) k
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unity-root-sum-nonzero-iff:
fixes r :: int
assumes k  $\geq$  1 and r  $\in \{-k <.. < k\}$ 
shows unity-root-sum k r  $\neq$  0  $\longleftrightarrow$  r = 0
⟨proof⟩

```

end

5 Finite Fourier series

```

theory Finite-Fourier-Series
imports
    Polynomial-Interpolation.Lagrange-Interpolation
    Complex-Roots-Of-Unity
begin

```

5.1 Auxiliary facts

lemma *lagrange-exists*:

assumes d : distinct (map fst zs-ws)

defines e : $(p :: \text{complex poly}) \equiv \text{lagrange-interpolation-poly} \text{ zs-ws}$

shows degree $p \leq (\text{length zs-ws}) - 1$

$$(\forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set zs-ws} \longrightarrow \text{poly } p x = y)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lagrange-unique*:

assumes o : length zs-ws > 0

assumes d : distinct (map fst zs-ws)

assumes 1 : degree $(p1 :: \text{complex poly}) \leq (\text{length zs-ws}) - 1 \wedge$

$$(\forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set zs-ws} \longrightarrow \text{poly } p1 x = y)$$

assumes 2 : degree $(p2 :: \text{complex poly}) \leq (\text{length zs-ws}) - 1 \wedge$

$$(\forall x y. (x,y) \in \text{set zs-ws} \longrightarrow \text{poly } p2 x = y)$$

shows $p1 = p2$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorem 8.2

corollary *lagrange*:

assumes length zs-ws > 0 distinct (map fst zs-ws)

shows $(\exists! (p :: \text{complex poly}).$

$$\text{degree } p \leq \text{length zs-ws} - 1 \wedge$$

$$(\forall x y. (x, y) \in \text{set zs-ws} \longrightarrow \text{poly } p x = y))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *poly-altdef'*:

assumes gr : $k \geq \text{degree } p$

shows $\text{poly } p (z :: \text{complex}) = (\sum i \leq k. \text{coeff } p i * z^i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.2 Definition and uniqueness

definition *finite-fourier-poly* :: complex list \Rightarrow complex poly **where**

finite-fourier-poly ws =

let $k = \text{length ws}$

in $\text{poly-of-list} [1 / k * (\sum m < k. ws ! m * \text{unity-root } k (-n*m)). n \leftarrow [0..<k]]$

lemma *degree-poly-of-list-le*: degree (*poly-of-list ws*) $\leq \text{length ws} - 1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *degree-finite-fourier-poly*: degree (*finite-fourier-poly ws*) $\leq \text{length ws} - 1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *coeff-finite-fourier-poly*:

assumes $n < \text{length ws}$

defines $k \equiv \text{length ws}$

shows $\text{coeff} (\text{finite-fourier-poly ws}) n =$

$$(1/k) * (\sum m < k. ws ! m * \text{unity-root } k (-n*m))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma poly-finite-fourier-poly:
  fixes m :: int and ws
  defines k ≡ length ws
  assumes m ∈ {0..<k}
  assumes m < length ws
  shows poly (finite-fourier-poly ws) (unity-root k m) = ws ! (nat m)
⟨proof⟩

```

Theorem 8.3

```

theorem finite-fourier-poly-unique:
  assumes length ws > 0
  defines k ≡ length ws
  assumes (degree p ≤ k - 1)
  assumes (∀ m ≤ k-1. (ws ! m) = poly p (unity-root k m))
  shows p = finite-fourier-poly ws
⟨proof⟩

```

The following alternative formulation returns a coefficient

```

definition finite-fourier-poly' :: (nat ⇒ complex) ⇒ nat ⇒ complex poly where
  finite-fourier-poly' ws k =
    (poly-of-list [1 / k * (∑ m < k. (ws m) * unity-root k (-n*m)). n ← [0..<k]])
```

```

lemma finite-fourier-poly'-conv-finite-fourier-poly:
  finite-fourier-poly' ws k = finite-fourier-poly [ws n. n ← [0..<k]]
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma coeff-finite-fourier-poly':
  assumes n < k
  shows coeff (finite-fourier-poly' ws k) n =
    (1/k) * (∑ m < k. (ws m) * unity-root k (-n*m))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma degree-finite-fourier-poly': degree (finite-fourier-poly' ws k) ≤ k - 1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-finite-fourier-poly':
  fixes m :: int and k
  assumes m ∈ {0..<k}
  shows poly (finite-fourier-poly' ws k) (unity-root k m) = ws (nat m)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma finite-fourier-poly'-unique:
  assumes k > 0
  assumes degree p ≤ k - 1
  assumes ∀ m ≤ k-1. ws m = poly p (unity-root k m)
  shows p = finite-fourier-poly' ws k
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fourier-unity-root:
  fixes k :: nat
  assumes k > 0
  shows poly (finite-fourier-poly' f k) (unity-root k m) =
    ( $\sum n < k. 1/k * (\sum m < k. (f m) * \text{unity-root } k (-n * m)) * \text{unity-root } k (m * n)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

5.3 Expansion of an arithmetical function

Theorem 8.4

```

theorem fourier-expansion-periodic-arithmetic:
  assumes k > 0
  assumes periodic-arithmetic f k
  defines g ≡ ( $\lambda n. (1 / k) * (\sum m < k. f m * \text{unity-root } k (-n * m))$ )
  shows periodic-arithmetic g k
    and f m = ( $\sum n < k. g n * \text{unity-root } k (m * n)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem fourier-expansion-periodic-arithmetic-unique:
  fixes f g :: nat ⇒ complex
  assumes k > 0
  assumes periodic-arithmetic f k and periodic-arithmetic g k
  assumes  $\bigwedge m. m < k \implies f m = (\sum n < k. g n * \text{unity-root } k (\text{int } (m * n)))$ 
  shows g n = ( $1 / k) * (\sum m < k. f m * \text{unity-root } k (-n * m))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

6 Ramanujan sums

```

theory Ramanujan-Sums
imports
  Dirichlet-Series.Moebius-Mu
  Gauss-Sums-Auxiliary
  Finite-Fourier-Series

```

begin

6.1 Basic sums

```

definition ramanujan-sum :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ complex
  where ramanujan-sum k n = ( $\sum m \mid m \in \{1..k\} \wedge \text{coprime } m \text{ k. } \text{unity-root } k (m * n)$ )

```

notation ramanujan-sum (⟨c⟩)

```

lemma ramanujan-sum-0-n [simp]: c 0 n = 0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sum-coprime-conv-dirichlet-prod-moebius-mu:
  fixes F S :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex and f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex
  defines F  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda n.$  ( $\sum k \in \{1..n\}.$  f k n))
  defines S  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda n.$  ( $\sum k \mid k \in \{1..n\} \wedge \text{coprime } k n .$  f k n))
  assumes  $\bigwedge a b d. d \text{ dvd } a \implies d \text{ dvd } b \implies f(a \text{ div } d)(b \text{ div } d) = f a b$ 
  shows S n = dirichlet-prod moebius-mu F n
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma dirichlet-prod-neutral-sum:
  dirichlet-prod-neutral n = ( $\sum k = 1..n.$  unity-root n k) for n :: nat
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma moebius-coprime-sum:
  moebius-mu n = ( $\sum k \mid k \in \{1..n\} \wedge \text{coprime } k n .$  unity-root n (int k))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

corollary ramanujan-sum-1-right [simp]: c k (Suc 0) = moebius-mu k
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ramanujan-sum-dvd-eq-totient:
  assumes k dvd n
  shows c k n = totient k
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

6.2 Generalised sums

```

definition gen-ramanujan-sum :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex)  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex)  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex where
  gen-ramanujan-sum f g = ( $\lambda k n.$   $\sum d \mid d \text{ dvd gcd } n k.$  f d * g (k div d))

```

notation gen-ramanujan-sum (⟨s⟩)

```

lemma gen-ramanujan-sum-k-1: s f g k 1 = f 1 * g k
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma gen-ramanujan-sum-1-n: s f g 1 n = f 1 * g 1
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma gen-ramanujan-sum-periodic: periodic-arithmetic (s f g k) k
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Theorem 8.5

```

theorem gen-ramanujan-sum-fourier-expansion:
  fixes f g :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex and a :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex
  assumes k > 0
  defines a  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda k m.$  (1/k) * ( $\sum d \mid d \text{ dvd gcd } m k.$  g d * f (k div d) * d))
  shows s f g k n = ( $\sum m \leq k-1.$  a k m * unity-root k (m*n))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Theorem 8.6

```
theorem ramanujan-sum-dirichlet-form:
  fixes k n :: nat
  assumes k > 0
  shows c k n = (∑ d | d dvd gcd n k. d * moebius-mu (k div d))
⟨proof⟩
```

corollary ramanujan-sum-conv-gen-ramanujan-sum:

```
k > 0 ⟹ c k n = s id moebius-mu k n
⟨proof⟩
```

Theorem 8.7

```
theorem gen-ramanujan-sum-distrib:
  fixes f g :: nat ⇒ complex
  assumes a > 0 b > 0 m > 0 k > 0
  assumes coprime a k coprime b m coprime k m
  assumes multiplicative-function f and
    multiplicative-function g
  shows s f g (m*k) (a*b) = s f g m a * s f g k b
⟨proof⟩
```

corollary gen-ramanujan-sum-distrib-right:

```
fixes f g :: nat ⇒ complex
assumes a > 0 and b > 0 and m > 0
assumes coprime b m
assumes multiplicative-function f and
  multiplicative-function g
shows s f g m (a * b) = s f g m a
⟨proof⟩
```

corollary gen-ramanujan-sum-distrib-left:

```
fixes f g :: nat ⇒ complex
assumes a > 0 and k > 0 and m > 0
assumes coprime a k and coprime k m
assumes multiplicative-function f and
  multiplicative-function g
shows s f g (m*k) a = s f g m a * g k
⟨proof⟩
```

corollary ramanujan-sum-distrib:

```
assumes a > 0 and k > 0 and m > 0 and b > 0
assumes coprime a k coprime b m coprime m k
shows c (m*k) (a*b) = c m a * c k b
⟨proof⟩
```

corollary ramanujan-sum-distrib-right:

```
assumes a > 0 and k > 0 and m > 0 and b > 0
assumes coprime b m
shows c m (a*b) = c m a
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

corollary ramanujan-sum-distrib-left:

assumes $a > 0$ $k > 0$ $m > 0$
assumes coprime a k coprime m k
shows $c(m*k) a = c m a * \text{moebius-mu } k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma dirichlet-prod-completely-multiplicative-left:

fixes $f h :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ **and** $k :: \text{nat}$
defines $g \equiv (\lambda k. \text{moebius-mu } k * h k)$
defines $F \equiv \text{dirichlet-prod } f g$
assumes $k > 0$
assumes completely-multiplicative-function f
multiplicative-function h
assumes $\bigwedge p. \text{prime } p \implies f(p) \neq 0 \wedge f(p) \neq h(p)$
shows $F k = f k * (\prod_{p \in \text{prime-factors } k} 1 - h p / f p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 8.8

theorem gen-ramanujan-sum-dirichlet-expr:

fixes $f h :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ **and** $n k :: \text{nat}$
defines $g \equiv (\lambda k. \text{moebius-mu } k * h k)$
defines $F \equiv \text{dirichlet-prod } f g$
defines $N \equiv k \text{ div gcd } n k$
assumes completely-multiplicative-function f
multiplicative-function h
assumes $\bigwedge p. \text{prime } p \implies f(p) \neq 0 \wedge f(p) \neq h(p)$
assumes $k > 0$ $n > 0$
shows $s f g k n = (F(k)*g(N)) \text{ div } (F(N))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma totient-conv-moebius-mu-of-nat:

$\text{of-nat}(\text{totient } n) = \text{dirichlet-prod moebius-mu of-nat } n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary ramanujan-sum-k-n-dirichlet-expr:

fixes $k n :: \text{nat}$
assumes $k > 0$ $n > 0$
shows $c k n = \text{of-nat}(\text{totient } k) *$
moebius-mu $(k \text{ div gcd } n k) \text{ div }$
 $\text{of-nat}(\text{totient } (k \text{ div gcd } n k))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

no-notation ramanujan-sum ($\langle c \rangle$)

no-notation gen-ramanujan-sum ($\langle s \rangle$)

end

```

theory Gauss-Sums
imports
  HOL-Algebra.Coset
  HOL-Real-Asymp.Real-Asymp
  Ramanujan-Sums
begin

```

7 Gauss sums

```

bundle vec-lambda-syntax
begin
notation vec-lambda (binder `χ` 10)
end

unbundle no vec-lambda-syntax

```

7.1 Definition and basic properties

```

context dcharacter
begin

```

```

lemma dir-periodic-arithmetic: periodic-arithmetic χ n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition gauss-sum k = (∑ m = 1..n . χ(m) * unity-root n (m*k))

```

```

lemma gauss-sum-periodic:
  periodic-arithmetic (λn. gauss-sum n) n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ramanujan-sum-conv-gauss-sum:
  assumes χ = principal-dchar n
  shows ramanujan-sum n k = gauss-sum k
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cnj-mult-self:
  assumes coprime k n
  shows cnj (χ k) * χ k = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Theorem 8.9

```

theorem gauss-sum-reduction:
  assumes coprime k n
  shows gauss-sum k = cnj (χ k) * gauss-sum 1
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The following variant takes an integer argument instead.

definition *gauss-sum-int* $k = (\sum m=1..n. \chi_m * \text{unity-root } n (\text{int } m*k))$

sublocale *gauss-sum-int*: *periodic-fun-simple gauss-sum-int int n*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gauss-sum-int-cong*:
assumes $[a = b] (\text{mod int } n)$
shows *gauss-sum-int a = gauss-sum-int b*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gauss-sum-conv-gauss-sum-int*:
gauss-sum k = gauss-sum-int (int k)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gauss-sum-int-conv-gauss-sum*:
gauss-sum-int k = gauss-sum (nat (k mod n))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gauss-int-periodic*: *periodic-arithmetic gauss-sum-int n*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

proposition *dcharacter-fourier-expansion*:
 $\chi_m = (\sum k=1..n. 1 / n * \text{gauss-sum-int } (-k) * \text{unity-root } n (m*k))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.2 Separability

definition *separable* $k \longleftrightarrow \text{gauss-sum } k = \text{cnj } (\chi_k) * \text{gauss-sum } 1$

corollary *gauss-coprime-separable*:
assumes *coprime k n*
shows *separable k*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorem 8.10

theorem *global-separability-condition*:
 $(\forall n > 0. \text{separable } n) \longleftrightarrow (\forall k > 0. \neg \text{coprime } k n \longrightarrow \text{gauss-sum } k = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of-real-moebius-mu [simp]*: *of-real (moebius-mu k) = moebius-mu k*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *principal-not-totally-separable*:
assumes $\chi = \text{principal-dchar } n$
shows $\neg(\forall k > 0. \text{separable } k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorem 8.11

theorem *gauss-sum-1-mod-square-eq-k*:

assumes $(\forall k. k > 0 \longrightarrow \text{separable } k)$
shows $\text{norm}(\text{gauss-sum } 1) \wedge 2 = \text{real } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorem 8.12

theorem *gauss-sum-nonzero-noncoprime-necessary-condition*:

assumes $\text{gauss-sum } k \neq 0 \neg \text{coprime } k n k > 0$
defines $d \equiv n \text{ div gcd } k n$
assumes $\text{coprime } a n [a = 1] (\text{mod } d)$
shows $d \text{ dvd } n d < n \chi a = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.3 Induced moduli and primitive characters

definition *induced-modulus* $d \longleftrightarrow d \text{ dvd } n \wedge (\forall a. \text{coprime } a n \wedge [a = 1] (\text{mod } d) \longrightarrow \chi a = 1)$

lemma *induced-modulus-dvd*: *induced-modulus* $d \implies d \text{ dvd } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *induced-modulusI* [*intro?*]:

$d \text{ dvd } n \implies (\bigwedge a. \text{coprime } a n \implies [a = 1] (\text{mod } d) \implies \chi a = 1) \implies \text{induced-modulus } d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *induced-modulusD*: *induced-modulus* $d \implies \text{coprime } a n \implies [a = 1] (\text{mod } d) \implies \chi a = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-not-ind-mod*: $\neg \text{induced-modulus } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-gcd-dvd1*: $(a :: 'a :: \text{semiring-gcd}) \text{ div gcd } a b \text{ dvd } a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-gcd-dvd2*: $(b :: 'a :: \text{semiring-gcd}) \text{ div gcd } a b \text{ dvd } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *g-non-zero-ind-mod*:

assumes $\text{gauss-sum } k \neq 0 \neg \text{coprime } k n k > 0$
shows $\text{induced-modulus } (n \text{ div gcd } k n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *induced-modulus-modulus*: *induced-modulus* n
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorem 8.13

theorem *one-induced-iff-principal*:

induced-modulus $1 \longleftrightarrow \chi = \text{principal-dchar } n$

```

⟨proof⟩

end

locale primitive-dchar = dcharacter +
assumes no-induced-modulus:  $\neg(\exists d < n. \text{induced-modulus } d)$ 

locale nonprimitive-dchar = dcharacter +
assumes induced-modulus:  $\exists d < n. \text{induced-modulus } d$ 

lemma (in nonprimitive-dchar) nonprimitive:  $\neg\text{primitive-dchar } n \chi$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in dcharacter) primitive-dchar-iff:
primitive-dchar  $n \chi \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists d < n. \text{induced-modulus } d)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in residues-nat) principal-not-primitive:
 $\neg\text{primitive-dchar } n (\text{principal-dchar } n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in dcharacter) not-primitive-imp-nonprimitive:
assumes  $\neg\text{primitive-dchar } n \chi$ 
shows nonprimitive-dchar  $n \chi$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Theorem 8.14

```

theorem (in dcharacter) prime-nonprincipal-is-primitive:
assumes prime  $n$ 
assumes  $\chi \neq \text{principal-dchar } n$ 
shows primitive-dchar  $n \chi$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Theorem 8.15

```

corollary (in primitive-dchar) primitive-encoding:
 $\forall k > 0. \neg\text{coprime } k n \longrightarrow \text{gauss-sum } k = 0$ 
 $\forall k > 0. \text{separable } k$ 
 $\text{norm} (\text{gauss-sum } 1) \wedge 2 = n$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Theorem 8.16

```

lemma (in dcharacter) induced-modulus-altdef1:
induced-modulus  $d \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $d \text{ dvd } n \wedge (\forall a b. \text{coprime } a n \wedge \text{coprime } b n \wedge [a = b] \pmod{d} \longrightarrow \chi a = \chi b)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Exercise 8.4

```

lemma induced-modulus-altdef2-lemma:

```

```

fixes n a d q :: nat
defines q ≡ ( $\prod p \mid prime p \wedge p \text{ dvd } n \wedge \neg (p \text{ dvd } a)$ ). p
defines m ≡ a + q * d
assumes n > 0 coprime a d
shows [m = a] (mod d) and coprime m n
⟨proof⟩

```

Theorem 8.17

The case $d = 1$ is exactly the case described in *dcharacter* $?n ?\chi \implies dcharacter.induced-modulus ?n ?\chi 1 = (?\chi = principal-dchar ?n)$.

```

theorem (in dcharacter) induced-modulus-altdef2:
assumes d dvd n d ≠ 1
defines  $\chi_1 \equiv principal-dchar n$ 
shows induced-modulus d  $\longleftrightarrow (\exists \Phi. dcharacter d \Phi \wedge (\forall k. \chi k = \Phi k * \chi_1 k))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

7.4 The conductor of a character

```

context dcharacter
begin

```

```
definition conductor = Min {d. induced-modulus d}
```

```
lemma conductor-fin: finite {d. induced-modulus d}
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma conductor-induced: induced-modulus conductor
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma conductor-le-iff: conductor ≤ a  $\longleftrightarrow (\exists d \leq a. induced-modulus d)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma conductor-ge-iff: conductor ≥ a  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall d. induced-modulus d \rightarrow d \geq a)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma conductor-leI: induced-modulus d  $\implies$  conductor ≤ d
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma conductor-geI: ( $\bigwedge d. induced-modulus d \implies d \geq a$ )  $\implies$  conductor ≥ a
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma conductor-dvd: conductor dvd n
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma conductor-le-modulus: conductor ≤ n
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma conductor-gr-0: conductor > 0
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conductor-eq-1-iff-principal*: $conductor = 1 \longleftrightarrow \chi = principal-dchar n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *conductor-principal [simp]*: $\chi = principal-dchar n \implies conductor = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nonprimitive-imp-conductor-less*:
 assumes $\neg primitive-dchar n \chi$
 shows $conductor < n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in nonprimitive-dchar) *conductor-less-modulus*: $conductor < n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Theorem 8.18

theorem *primitive-principal-form*:
 defines $\chi_1 \equiv principal-dchar n$
 assumes $\chi \neq principal-dchar n$
 shows $\exists \Phi. primitive-dchar conductor \Phi \wedge (\forall n. \chi(n) = \Phi(n) * \chi_1(n))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *primitive-extension* :: $nat \Rightarrow complex$ **where**
 primitive-extension =
 $(SOME \Phi. primitive-dchar conductor \Phi \wedge (\forall k. \chi k = \Phi k * principal-dchar n k))$

lemma
 assumes *nonprincipal*: $\chi \neq principal-dchar n$
 shows *primitive-primitive-extension*: $primitive-dchar conductor primitive-extension$
 and *principal-decomposition*: $\chi k = primitive-extension k * principal-dchar n k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7.5 The connection between primitivity and separability

lemma *residue-mult-group-coset*:
 fixes $m n m1 m2 :: nat$ **and** $f :: nat \Rightarrow nat$ **and** $G H$
 defines $G \equiv residue-mult-group n$
 defines $H \equiv residue-mult-group m$
 defines $f \equiv (\lambda k. k \bmod m)$
 assumes $b \in (rcosets_G kernel G H f)$
 assumes $m1 \in b$ $m2 \in b$
 assumes $n > 1$ $m \bmod n$
 shows $m1 \bmod m = m2 \bmod m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma residue-mult-group-kernel-partition:
  fixes m n :: nat and f :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat and G H
  defines G  $\equiv$  residue-mult-group n
  defines H  $\equiv$  residue-mult-group m
  defines f  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda k. k \bmod m$ )
  assumes m > 1 n > 0 m dvd n
  shows partition (carrier G) (rcosetsG kernel G H f)
    and card (rcosetsG kernel G H f) = totient m
    and card (kernel G H f) = totient n div totient m
    and b  $\in$  (rcosetsG kernel G H f)  $\implies$  b  $\neq$  {}
    and b  $\in$  (rcosetsG kernel G H f)  $\implies$  card (kernel G H f) = card b
    and bij-betw ( $\lambda b. (\text{the-elem} (f' b))$ ) (rcosetsG kernel G H f) (carrier H)
  (proof)

```

```

lemma primitive-iff-separable-lemma:
  assumes prod: ( $\forall n. \chi n = \Phi n * \chi_1 n$ )  $\wedge$  primitive-dchar d  $\Phi$ 
  assumes  $\langle d > 1 \rangle \langle 0 < k \rangle \langle d \text{ dvd } k \rangle \langle k > 1 \rangle$ 
  shows ( $\sum m \mid m \in \{1..k\} \wedge \text{coprime } m \text{ k. } \Phi(m) * \text{unity-root } d m$ ) =
    (totient k div totient d) * ( $\sum m \mid m \in \{1..d\} \wedge \text{coprime } m \text{ d. } \Phi(m) * \text{unity-root } d m$ )
  (proof)

```

Theorem 8.19

```

theorem (in dcharacter) primitive-iff-separable:
  primitive-dchar n  $\chi \longleftrightarrow (\forall k > 0. \text{separable } k)$ 
  (proof)

```

Theorem 8.20

```

theorem (in primitive-dchar) fourier-primitive:
  includes no vec-lambda-syntax
  fixes  $\tau :: \text{complex}$ 
  defines  $\tau \equiv \text{gauss-sum } 1 / \text{sqrt } n$ 
  shows  $\chi m = \tau / \text{sqrt } n * (\sum_{k=1..n. \text{cnj}} (\chi k) * \text{unity-root } n (-m*k))$ 
  and norm  $\tau = 1$ 
  (proof)

```

unbundle vec-lambda-syntax

end

8 The Pólya–Vinogradov Inequality

```

theory Polya-Vinogradov
imports
  Gauss-Sums
  Dirichlet-Series.Divisor-Count

```

```

begin

unbundle no vec-lambda-syntax

```

8.1 The case of primitive characters

We first prove a stronger variant of the Pólya–Vinogradov inequality for primitive characters. The fully general variant will then simply be a corollary of this. First, we need some bounds on logarithms, exponentials, and the harmonic numbers:

```

lemma exp-1-less-power:
  assumes x > (0::real)
  shows exp 1 < (1 + 1 / x) powr (x+1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma harm-aux-ineq-1:
  fixes k :: real
  assumes k > 1
  shows 1 / k < ln (1 + 1 / (k - 1))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma harm-aux-ineq-2-lemma:
  assumes x ≥ (0::real)
  shows 1 < (x + 1) * ln (1 + 2 / (2 * x + 1))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma harm-aux-ineq-2:
  fixes k :: real
  assumes k ≥ 1
  shows 1 / (k + 1) < ln (1 + 2 / (2 * k + 1))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat-0-1-induct [case-names 0 1 step]:
  assumes P 0 P 1 ∧ n. n ≥ 1 ⇒ P n ⇒ P (Suc n)
  shows P n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma harm-less-ln:
  fixes m :: nat
  assumes m > 0
  shows harm m < ln (2 * m + 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Theorem 8.21

```

theorem (in primitive-dchar) polya-vinogradov-inequality-primitive:
  fixes x :: nat
  shows norm (∑ m=1..x. χ m) < sqrt n * ln n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

8.2 General case

We now first prove the inequality for the general case in terms of the divisor function:

```
theorem (in dcharacter) polya-vinogradov-inequality-explicit:
  assumes nonprincipal:  $\chi \neq \text{principal-dchar } n$ 
  shows norm (sum  $\chi \{1..x\}$ ) < sqrt conductor * ln conductor * divisor-count
  (n div conductor)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Next, we obtain a suitable upper bound on the number of divisors of n :

```
lemma divisor-count-upper-bound-aux:
  fixes n :: nat
  shows divisor-count n ≤ 2 * card {d. d dvd n ∧ d ≤ sqrt n}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma divisor-count-upper-bound:
  fixes n :: nat
  shows divisor-count n ≤ 2 * nat ⌊sqrt n⌋
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma divisor-count-upper-bound':
  fixes n :: nat
  shows real (divisor-count n) ≤ 2 * sqrt n
  ⟨proof⟩
```

We are now ready to prove the ‘regular’ Pólya–Vinogradov inequality.

Apostol formulates it in the following way (Theorem 13.15, notation adapted): ‘If χ is any nonprincipal character mod n , then for all $x \geq 2$ we have $\sum_{m \leq x} \chi(m) = O(\sqrt{n} \log n)$.’

The precondition $x \geq 2$ here is completely unnecessary. The ‘Big-O’ notation is somewhat problematic since it does not make explicit in what way the variables are quantified (in particular the x and the χ). The statement of the theorem in this way (for a fixed character χ) seems to suggest that n is fixed here, which would make the use of ‘Big-O’ completely vacuous, since it is an asymptotic statement about n .

We therefore decided to formulate the inequality in the following more explicit way, even giving an explicit constant factor:

```
theorem (in dcharacter) polya-vinogradov-inequality:
  assumes nonprincipal:  $\chi \neq \text{principal-dchar } n$ 
  shows norm (∑ m=1..x. χ m) < 2 * sqrt n * ln n
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
unbundle vec-lambda-syntax
```

```
end
```

References

- [1] T. M. Apostol. *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1976.