

Syntax and semantics of a GPU kernel programming language

John Wickerson

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This document accompanies the article *The Design and Implementation of a Verification Technique for GPU Kernels* by Adam Betts, Nathan Chong, Alastair F. Donaldson, Jeroen Ketema, Shaz Qadeer, Paul Thomson and John Wickerson [1]. It formalises all of the definitions provided in Sections 3 and 4 of the article.

Contents

1 General purpose definitions and lemmas	1
2 Syntax of KPL	2
3 Well-formedness of KPL kernels	3
4 Thread, group and kernel states	5
5 Execution rules for threads	7
6 Execution rules for groups	8
7 Execution rules for kernels	10

1 General purpose definitions and lemmas

theory *Misc imports*

Main

begin

A handy abbreviation when working with maps

abbreviation *make-map* :: '*a* set \Rightarrow '*b* \Rightarrow ('*a* \rightarrow '*b*) (\langle [- $|=>$ -] \rangle)
where

[*ks* $|=>$ *v*] \equiv $\lambda k. \text{if } k \in \text{ks} \text{ then Some } v \text{ else None}$

Projecting the components of a triple

```
definition fst3 ≡ fst
definition snd3 ≡ fst ∘ snd
definition thd3 ≡ snd ∘ snd

lemma fst3-simp [simp]: fst3 (a,b,c) = a ⟨proof⟩
lemma snd3-simp [simp]: snd3 (a,b,c) = b ⟨proof⟩
lemma thd3-simp [simp]: thd3 (a,b,c) = c ⟨proof⟩

end
```

2 Syntax of KPL

theory *KPL-syntax* imports

Misc

begin

Locations of local variables

typedecl *V*

C strings

typedecl *name*

Procedure names

typedecl *proc-name*

Local-id, group-id

type-synonym *lid* = *nat*

type-synonym *gid* = *nat*

Fully-qualified thread-id

type-synonym *tid* = *gid* × *lid*

Let (*G*, *T*) range over threadsets

type-synonym *threadset* = *gid set* × (*gid* ↣ *lid set*)

Returns the set of tids in a threadset

fun *tids* :: *threadset* ⇒ *tid set*

where

tids (*G,T*) = {(*i,j*) | *i j. i ∈ G ∧ j ∈ the (T i)*}

type-synonym *word* = *nat*

datatype *loc* =

Name name

| *Var V*

Local expressions

```
datatype local-expr =
  Loc loc
  | Gid
  | Lid
  | eTrue
  | eConj local-expr local-expr (infixl <*> 50)
  | eNot local-expr           (<-*>)
```

Basic statements

```
datatype basic-stmt =
  Assign loc local-expr
  | Read loc local-expr
  | Write local-expr local-expr
```

Statements

```
datatype stmt =
  Basic basic-stmt
  | Seq stmt stmt (infixl <;> 50)
  | Local name stmt
  | If local-expr stmt stmt
  | While local-expr stmt
  | WhileDyn local-expr stmt
  | Call proc-name local-expr
  | Barrier
  | Break
  | Continue
  | Return
```

Procedures comprise a procedure name, parameter name, and a body statement

```
record proc =
  proc-name :: proc-name
  param :: name
  body :: stmt
```

Kernels

```
record kernel =
  groups :: nat
  threads :: nat
  procs :: proc list
  main :: stmt
```

end

3 Well-formedness of KPL kernels

theory *KPL-wellformedness imports*

KPL-syntax
begin

Well-formed local expressions. $wf\text{-local}\text{-expr } ns \ e$ means that

- e does not mention any internal locations, and
- any name mentioned by e is in the set ns .

```
fun wf-local-expr :: name set  $\Rightarrow$  local-expr  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  wf-local-expr ns (Loc (Var j)) = False
  | wf-local-expr ns (Loc (Name n)) = (n  $\in$  ns)
  | wf-local-expr ns (e1  $\wedge^*$  e2) =
    (wf-local-expr ns e1  $\wedge$  wf-local-expr ns e2)
  | wf-local-expr ns ( $\neg^*$  e) = wf-local-expr ns e
  | wf-local-expr ns - = True
```

Well-formed basic statements. $wf\text{-basic}\text{-stmt } ns \ b$ means that

- b does not mention any internal locations, and
- any name mentioned by b is in the set ns .

```
fun wf-basic-stmt :: name set  $\Rightarrow$  basic-stmt  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  wf-basic-stmt ns (Assign x e) = wf-local-expr ns e
  | wf-basic-stmt ns (Read x e) = wf-local-expr ns e
  | wf-basic-stmt ns (Write e1 e2) =
    (wf-local-expr ns e1  $\wedge$  wf-local-expr ns e2)
```

Well-formed statements. $wf\text{-stmt } ns \ F \ S$ means:

- S only calls procedures whose name is in F ,
- S does not contain *WhileDyn*,
- S does not mention internal variables,
- S only mentions names in ns , and
- S does not declare the same name twice, e.g. *Local x* (*Local x foo*).

```
fun wf-stmt :: name set  $\Rightarrow$  proc-name set  $\Rightarrow$  stmt  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  wf-stmt ns F (Basic b) = wf-basic-stmt ns b
  | wf-stmt ns F (S1 ;; S2) = (wf-stmt ns F S1  $\wedge$  wf-stmt ns F S2)
  | wf-stmt ns F (Local n S) = (n  $\notin$  ns  $\wedge$  wf-stmt ( $\{n\} \cup ns$ ) F S)
  | wf-stmt ns F (If e S1 S2) =
    (wf-local-expr ns e  $\wedge$  wf-stmt ns F S1  $\wedge$  wf-stmt ns F S2)
```

```

| wf-stmt ns F (While e S) =
  (wf-local-expr ns e ∧ wf-stmt ns F S)
| wf-stmt ns F (WhileDyn - -) = False
| wf-stmt ns F (Call f e) = (f ∈ F ∧ wf-local-expr ns e)
| wf-stmt - - - = True

```

no-return S holds if *S* does not contain a *Return* statement

```

fun no-return :: stmt ⇒ bool
where
  no-return (S1 ;; S2) = (no-return S1 ∧ no-return S2)
  | no-return (Local n S) = no-return S
  | no-return (If e S1 S2) = (no-return S1 ∧ no-return S2)
  | no-return (While e S) = (no-return S)
  | no-return Return = False
  | no-return - = True

```

Well-formed kernel

```

definition wf-kernel :: kernel ⇒ bool
where
  wf-kernel P ≡
    let F = set (map proc-name (procs P)) in

```

— The main statement must not refer to *any* variable, except those it locally defines.

wf-stmt {} F (main P)

— The main statement contains no return statement.
 \wedge *no-return (main P)*

— A procedure body may refer only to its argument.
 \wedge *list-all* ($\lambda f. wf-stmt \{param f\} F (body f)$) (*procs P*)

end

4 Thread, group and kernel states

```

theory KPL-state imports
  KPL-syntax
begin

```

Thread state

record thread-state =

```

  l :: V + bool ⇒ word
  sh :: nat ⇒ word
  R :: nat set
  W :: nat set

```

```
abbreviation GID ≡ Inr True
abbreviation LID ≡ Inr False
```

Group state

```
record group-state =
  thread-states :: lid → thread-state (<-  $t_s$  > [1000] 1000)
  R-group :: (lid × nat) set
  W-group :: (lid × nat) set
```

Valid group state

```
fun valid-group-state :: (gid → lid set) ⇒ gid ⇒ group-state ⇒ bool
where
  valid-group-state T i γ = (
    dom ( $\gamma_{ts}$ ) = the (T i) ∧
    (forall j ∈ the (T i).
      l (the ( $\gamma_{ts} j$ )) GID = i ∧
      l (the ( $\gamma_{ts} j$ )) LID = j))
```

Predicated statements

```
type-synonym pred-stmt = stmt × local-expr
type-synonym pred-basic-stmt = basic-stmt × local-expr
```

Kernel state

```
type-synonym kernel-state =
  (gid → group-state) × pred-stmt list × V list
```

Valid kernel state

```
fun valid-kernel-state :: threadset ⇒ kernel-state ⇒ bool
where
  valid-kernel-state (G, T) (κ, ss, -) = (
    dom κ = G ∧
    (forall i ∈ G. valid-group-state T i (the (κ i))))
```

Valid initial kernel state

```
fun valid-initial-kernel-state :: stmt ⇒ threadset ⇒ kernel-state ⇒ bool
where
  valid-initial-kernel-state S (G, T) (κ, ss, vs) = (
    valid-kernel-state (G, T) (κ, ss, vs) ∧
    (ss = [(S, eTrue)]) ∧
    (forall i ∈ G. R-group (the (κ i)) = {} ∧ W-group (the (κ i)) = {}) ∧
    (forall i ∈ G. forall j ∈ the (T i). R (the ((the (κ i))ts j)) = {}
      ∧ W (the ((the (κ i))ts j)) = {}) ∧
    (forall i ∈ G. forall j ∈ the (T i). forall v :: V.
      l (the ((the (κ i))ts j)) (Inl v) = 0) ∧
    (forall i ∈ G. forall i' ∈ G. forall j ∈ the (T i). forall j' ∈ the (T i').
      sh (the ((the (κ i))ts j)) =
      sh (the ((the (κ i'))ts j')))) ∧
```

```
(vs = []))
```

```
end
```

5 Execution rules for threads

```
theory KPL-execution-thread imports
```

```
  KPL-state
```

```
begin
```

Evaluate a local expression down to a word

```
fun eval-word :: local-expr ⇒ thread-state ⇒ word
```

```
where
```

```
  eval-word (Loc (Var v)) τ = l τ (Inl v)
```

```
| eval-word Lid τ = l τ LID
```

```
| eval-word Gid τ = l τ GID
```

```
| eval-word eTrue τ = 1
```

```
| eval-word (e1 ∧* e2) τ =
```

```
  (eval-word e1 τ * eval-word e2 τ)
```

```
| eval-word (¬* e) τ = (if eval-word e τ = 0 then 1 else 0)
```

Evaluate a local expression down to a boolean

```
fun eval-bool :: local-expr ⇒ thread-state ⇒ bool
```

```
where
```

```
  eval-bool e τ = (eval-word e τ ≠ 0)
```

Abstraction level: none, equality abstraction, or adversarial abstraction

```
datatype abs-level = No-Abst | Eq-Abst | Adv-Abst
```

The rules of Figure 4, plus two additional rules for adversarial abstraction (Fig 7b)

```
inductive step-t
```

```
  :: abs-level ⇒ (thread-state × pred-basic-stmt) ⇒ thread-state ⇒ bool
```

```
where
```

```
  T-Disabled:
```

```
  ¬ (eval-bool p τ) ⇒ step-t a (τ, (b, p)) τ
```

```
| T-Assign:
```

```
  [ eval-bool p τ ; l' = (l τ) (Inl v := eval-word e τ) ]
```

```
  ⇒ step-t a (τ, (Assign (Var v) e, p)) (τ (| l := l' |))
```

```
| T-Read:
```

```
  [ eval-bool p τ ; l' = (l τ) (Inl v := sh τ (eval-word e τ)) ;
```

```
  R' = R τ ∪ { eval-word e τ } ; a ∈ {No-Abst, Eq-Abst} ]
```

```
  ⇒ step-t a (τ, (Read (Var v) e, p)) (τ (| l := l', R := R' |))
```

```
| T-Write:
```

```
  [ eval-bool p τ ;
```

```
  sh' = (sh τ) (eval-word e1 τ := eval-word e2 τ) ;
```

```
  W' = W τ ∪ { eval-word e1 τ } ; a ∈ {No-Abst, Eq-Abst} ]
```

```

 $\implies \text{step-}t a (\tau, (\text{Write } e1 e2, p)) (\tau (| sh := sh', W := W' |))$ 
| T-Read-Adv:
 $\llbracket \text{eval-bool } p \tau ; l' = (l \tau) (\text{Inl } v := \text{asterisk}) ;$ 
 $R' = R \tau \cup \{ \text{eval-word } e \tau \} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{step-}t \text{Adv-Abst } (\tau, (\text{Read } (\text{Var } v) e, p)) (\tau (| l := l', R := R' |))$ 
| T-Write-Adv:
 $\llbracket \text{eval-bool } p \tau ; W' = W \tau \cup \{ \text{eval-word } e1 \tau \} \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{step-}t \text{Adv-Abst } (\tau, (\text{Write } e1 e2, p)) (\tau (| \cancel{\$b} \cancel{\$b} \cancel{\$W} W := W' |))$ 

```

Rephrasing *T-Assign* to make it more usable

lemma *T-Assign-helper*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{eval-bool } p \tau ; l' = (l \tau) (\text{Inl } v := \text{eval-word } e \tau) ; \tau' = \tau (| l := l' |) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{step-}t a (\tau, (\text{Assign } (\text{Var } v) e, p)) \tau'$ 

```

(proof)

Rephrasing *T-Read* to make it more usable

lemma *T-Read-helper*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{eval-bool } p \tau ; l' = (l \tau) (\text{Inl } v := sh \tau (\text{eval-word } e \tau)) ;$ 
 $R' = R \tau \cup \{ \text{eval-word } e \tau \} ; a \in \{\text{No-Abst}, \text{Eq-Abst}\} ;$ 
 $\tau' = \tau (| l := l', R := R' |) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{step-}t a (\tau, (\text{Read } (\text{Var } v) e, p)) \tau'$ 

```

(proof)

Rephrasing *T-Write* to make it more usable

lemma *T-Write-helper*:

```

 $\llbracket \text{eval-bool } p \tau ;$ 
 $sh' = (sh \tau) (\text{eval-word } e1 \tau := \text{eval-word } e2 \tau) ;$ 
 $W' = W \tau \cup \{ \text{eval-word } e1 \tau \} ; a \in \{\text{No-Abst}, \text{Eq-Abst}\} ;$ 
 $\tau' = \tau (| sh := sh', W := W' |) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{step-}t a (\tau, (\text{Write } e1 e2, p)) \tau'$ 

```

(proof)

end

6 Execution rules for groups

theory *KPL-execution-group imports*

KPL-execution-thread

begin

Intra-group race detection

definition *group-race*

$:: \text{lid set} \Rightarrow (\text{lid} \rightarrow \text{thread-state}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where *group-race* $T \gamma \equiv$

$\exists j \in T. \exists k \in T. j \neq k \wedge$

$W(\text{the } (\gamma j)) \cap (R(\text{the } (\gamma k)) \cup W(\text{the } (\gamma k))) \neq \{\}$

The constraints for the *merge* map

```

inductive pre-merge
  :: lid set ⇒ (lid → thread-state) ⇒ nat ⇒ word ⇒ bool
where
  [ j ∈ T ; z ∈ W (the (γ j)) ; dom γ = T ] ⇒
    pre-merge T γ z (sh (the (γ j)) z)
  | [ ∀j ∈ T. z ∉ W (the (γ j)) ; dom γ = T ] ⇒
    pre-merge T γ z (sh (the (γ 0)) z)

```

inductive-cases pre-merge-inv [elim!]: pre-merge P γ z z'

The *merge* map maps each nat to the word that satisfies the above constraints. The *merge-is-unique* lemma shows that there exists exactly one such word per nat, provided there are no group races.

```

definition merge :: lid set ⇒ (lid → thread-state) ⇒ nat ⇒ word
where merge T γ ≡ λz. The (pre-merge T γ z)

```

lemma no-races-imp-no-write-overlap:
 $\neg (\text{group-race } T \gamma) \Rightarrow$
 $\forall i \in T. \forall j \in T.$
 $i \neq j \rightarrow W(\text{the } (\gamma i)) \cap W(\text{the } (\gamma j)) = \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma merge-is-unique:
assumes dom γ = T
assumes $\neg (\text{group-race } T \gamma)$
shows $\exists !z'. \text{pre-merge } T \gamma z z'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The rules of Figure 5, plus an additional rule for equality abstraction (Fig 7a), plus an additional rule for adversarial abstraction (Fig 7b)

```

inductive step-g
  :: abs-level ⇒ gid ⇒ (gid → lid set) ⇒ (group-state × pred-stmt) ⇒ group-state
  option ⇒ bool
where
  G-Race:
  [ ∀j ∈ the (T i). step-t a (the (γts j), (s, p)) (the (γ'ts j)) ;
    group-race (the (T i)) ((γ' :: group-state)ts) ]
  ⇒ step-g a i T (γ, (Basic s, p)) None
  | G-Basic:
  [ ∀j ∈ the (T i). step-t a (the (γts j), (s, p)) (the (γ'ts j)) ;
     $\neg (\text{group-race } (\text{the } (T i)) (\gamma'_{ts}))$  ;
    R-group  $\gamma' = R\text{-group } \gamma \cup (\bigcup j \in \text{the } (T i). (\{j\} \times R(\text{the } (\gamma'_{ts} j))))$  ;
    W-group  $\gamma' = W\text{-group } \gamma \cup (\bigcup j \in \text{the } (T i). (\{j\} \times W(\text{the } (\gamma'_{ts} j))))$  ]
  ⇒ step-g a i T (γ, (Basic s, p)) (Some γ')
  | G-No-Op:
  ∀j ∈ the (T i).  $\neg (\text{eval-bool } p (\text{the } (\gamma_{ts} j)))$ 
  ⇒ step-g a i T (γ, (Barrier, p)) (Some γ)
  | G-Divergence:
  [ j ≠ k ; j ∈ the (T i) ; k ∈ the (T i) ;

```

```

eval-bool p (the ( $\gamma_{ts}$  j)) ;  $\neg$  (eval-bool p (the ( $\gamma_{ts}$  k))) ]]
 $\implies$  step-g a i T ( $\gamma$ , (Barrier, p)) None
| G-Sync:
  [ [  $\forall j \in \text{the } (T i)$ . eval-bool p (the ( $\gamma_{ts}$  j)) ;
     $\forall j \in \text{the } (T i)$ . the ( $\gamma'_{ts}$  j) = (the ( $\gamma_{ts}$  j)) (|
      sh := merge P ( $\gamma_{ts}$ ), R := {}, W := {} |) ]
     $\implies$  step-g No-Abst i T ( $\gamma$ , (Barrier, p)) (Some  $\gamma'$ )
| G-Sync-Eq:
  [ [  $\forall j \in \text{the } (T i)$ . eval-bool p (the ( $\gamma_{ts}$  j)) ;
     $\forall j \in \text{the } (T i)$ . the ( $\gamma'_{ts}$  j) = (the ( $\gamma_{ts}$  j)) (|
      sh := sh', R := {}, W := {} |) ]
     $\implies$  step-g Eq-Abst i T ( $\gamma$ , (Barrier, p)) (Some  $\gamma'$ )
| G-Sync-Adv:
  [ [  $\forall j \in \text{the } (T i)$ . eval-bool p (the ( $\gamma_{ts}$  j)) ;
     $\forall j \in \text{the } (T i)$ .  $\exists sh'$ . the ( $\gamma'_{ts}$  j) = (the ( $\gamma_{ts}$  j)) (|
      sh := sh', R := {}, W := {} |) ]
     $\implies$  step-g Adv-Abst i T ( $\gamma$ , (Barrier, p)) (Some  $\gamma'$ )

```

Rephrasing *G-No-Op* to make it more usable

lemma *G-No-Op-helper*:

```

[ [  $\forall j \in \text{the } (T i)$ .  $\neg$  (eval-bool p (the ( $\gamma_{ts}$  j))) ;  $\gamma = \gamma'$  ]
 $\implies$  step-g a i T ( $\gamma$ , (Barrier, p)) (Some  $\gamma'$ )

```

{proof}

end

7 Execution rules for kernels

theory *KPL-execution-kernel imports*

KPL-execution-group

begin

Inter-group race detection

definition *kernel-race*

:: *gid set* \Rightarrow (*gid* \rightarrow *group-state*) \Rightarrow *bool*

where *kernel-race* *G* $\kappa \equiv$

```

 $\exists i \in G. \exists j \in G. i \neq j \wedge$ 
  (snd ` (W-group (the ( $\kappa$  i))))  $\cap$ 
  (snd ` (R-group (the ( $\kappa$  j))))  $\cup$  snd ` (W-group (the ( $\kappa$  j)))  $\neq \{ \}$ 

```

Replaces top-level *Break* with *v := true*

fun *belim* :: *stmt* \Rightarrow *V* \Rightarrow *stmt*

where

belim (*Basic b*) *v* = *Basic b*

| *belim* (*S1* ;; *S2*) *v* = (*belim S1 v* ;; *belim S2 v*)

| *belim* (*Local n S*) *v* = *Local n* (*belim S v*)

| *belim* (*If e S1 S2*) *v* = *If e* (*belim S1 v*) (*belim S2 v*)

```

| belim (While e S) v = While e S
| belim (Call f e) v = Call f e
| belim.Barrier v = Barrier
| belim.Break v = Basic (Assign (Var v) eTrue)
| belim.Continue v = Continue
| belim.Return v = Return

```

Replaces top-level *Continue* with *v* := *true*

fun *celim* :: *stmt* ⇒ *V* ⇒ *stmt*

where

```

celim (Basic b) v = Basic b
| celim (S1 ;; S2) v = (celim S1 v ;; celim S2 v)
| celim (Local n S) v = Local n (celim S v)
| celim (If e S1 S2) v = If e (celim S1 v) (celim S2 v)
| celim (While e S) v = While e S

| celim (Call f e) v = Call f e
| celim.Barrier v = Barrier
| celim.Break v = Break
| celim.Continue v = Basic (Assign (Var v) eTrue)
| celim.Return v = Return

```

subst-basic-stmt *n* *v* *loc* replaces *n* with *v* inside *loc*

fun *subst-loc* :: *name* ⇒ *V* ⇒ *loc* ⇒ *loc*

where

```

subst-loc n v (Var w) = Var w
| subst-loc n v (Name m) = (if n = m then Var v else Name m)

```

subst-local-expr *n* *v* *e* replaces *n* with *v* inside *e*

fun *subst-local-expr*

 :: *name* ⇒ *V* ⇒ *local-expr* ⇒ *local-expr*

where

```

subst-local-expr n v (Loc loc) = Loc (subst-loc n v loc)
| subst-local-expr n v Gid = Gid
| subst-local-expr n v Lid = Lid
| subst-local-expr n v eTrue = eTrue
| subst-local-expr n v (e1  $\wedge\ast$  e2) =
  (subst-local-expr n v e1  $\wedge\ast$  subst-local-expr n v e2)
| subst-local-expr n v ( $\neg\ast$  e) =  $\neg\ast$  (subst-local-expr n v e)

```

subst-basic-stmt *n* *v* *b* replaces *n* with *v* inside *b*

fun *subst-basic-stmt* :: *name* ⇒ *V* ⇒ *basic-stmt* ⇒ *basic-stmt*

where

```

subst-basic-stmt n v (Assign loc e) =
  Assign (subst-loc n v loc) (subst-local-expr n v e)
| subst-basic-stmt n v (Read loc e) =
  Read (subst-loc n v loc) (subst-local-expr n v e)

```

```

| subst-basic-stmt n v (Write e1 e2) =
  Write (subst-local-expr n v e1) (subst-local-expr n v e2)

subst-stmt n v s t holds if t is the result of replacing n with v inside s

inductive subst-stmt :: name  $\Rightarrow$  V  $\Rightarrow$  stmt  $\Rightarrow$  stmt  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  subst-stmt n v (Basic b) (Basic (subst-basic-stmt n v b))
  | [ subst-stmt n v S1 S1'; subst-stmt n v S2 S2' ]  $\Rightarrow$ 
    subst-stmt n v (S1;; S2) (S1';; S2')
  | [ m  $\neq$  n ; subst-stmt n v S S' ]  $\Rightarrow$ 
    subst-stmt n v (Local m S) (Local m S')
  | [ subst-stmt n v S1 S1'; subst-stmt n v S2 S2' ]  $\Rightarrow$ 
    subst-stmt n v (If e S1 S2) (If e S1' S2')
  | subst-stmt n v S S'  $\Rightarrow$  subst-stmt n v (While e S) (While e S')

  | subst-stmt n v (Call f e) (Call f e)
  | subst-stmt n v Barrier Barrier
  | subst-stmt n v Break Break
  | subst-stmt n v Continue Continue
  | subst-stmt n v Return Return

param-subst f u replaces f's parameter with u

definition param-subst :: proc list  $\Rightarrow$  proc-name  $\Rightarrow$  V  $\Rightarrow$  stmt
where param-subst fs f u  $\equiv$ 
  let proc = THE proc. proc  $\in$  set fs  $\wedge$  proc-name proc = f in
  THE S'. subst-stmt (param proc) u (body proc) S'

Replace Return with v := true

fun relim :: stmt  $\Rightarrow$  V  $\Rightarrow$  stmt
where
  relim (Basic b) v = Basic b

  | relim (S1;; S2) v = (relim S1 v;; relim S2 v)
  | relim (Local n S) v = Local n (relim S v)
  | relim (If e S1 S2) v = If e (relim S1 v) (relim S2 v)
  | relim (While e S) v = While e (relim S v)

  | relim (Call f e) v = Call f e
  | relim Barrier v = Barrier
  | relim Break v = Break
  | relim Continue v = Continue
  | relim Return v = Basic (Assign (Var v) eTrue)

Fresh variables

definition fresh :: V  $\Rightarrow$  V list  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where fresh v vs  $\equiv$  v  $\notin$  set vs

```

The rules of Figure 6

```

inductive step-k
  :: abs-level  $\Rightarrow$  proc list  $\Rightarrow$  threadset  $\Rightarrow$  kernel-state  $\Rightarrow$  kernel-state option  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  K-Inter-Group-Race:
     $\llbracket \forall i \in G. \text{step-g } a \ i \ T \ (\text{the } (\kappa \ i), (\text{Basic } b, p)) \ (\text{Some } (\text{the } (\kappa' \ i))) ;$ 
     $\text{kernel-race } P \ \kappa' \rrbracket \implies$ 
    step-k a fs (G, T) ( $\kappa$ , (Basic b, p) # ss, vs) None
  | K-Intra-Group-Race:
     $\llbracket i \in G; \text{step-g } a \ i \ T \ (\text{the } (\kappa \ i), (\text{Basic } s, p)) \ None \rrbracket \implies$ 
    step-k a fs (G, T) ( $\kappa$ , (Basic s, p) # ss, vs) None
  | K-Basic:
     $\llbracket \forall i \in G. \text{step-g } a \ i \ T \ (\text{the } (\kappa \ i), (\text{Basic } b, p)) \ (\text{Some } (\text{the } (\kappa' \ i))) ;$ 
     $\neg (\text{kernel-race } G \ \kappa') \rrbracket \implies$ 
    step-k a fs (G, T) ( $\kappa$ , (Basic b, p) # ss, vs) (Some ( $\kappa', ss, vs$ ))
  | K-Divergence:
     $\llbracket i \in G; \text{step-g } a \ i \ T \ (\text{the } (\kappa \ i), (\text{Barrier}, p)) \ None \rrbracket \implies$ 
    step-k a fs (G, T) ( $\kappa$ , (Barrier, p) # ss, vs) None
  | K-Sync:
     $\llbracket \forall i \in G. \text{step-g } a \ i \ T \ (\text{the } (\kappa \ i), (\text{Barrier}, p)) \ (\text{Some } (\text{the } (\kappa' \ i))) ;$ 
     $\neg (\text{kernel-race } G \ \kappa') \rrbracket \implies$ 
    step-k a fs (G, T) ( $\kappa$ , (Barrier, p) # ss, vs) (Some ( $\kappa', ss, vs$ ))
  | K-Seq:
    step-k a fs (G, T) ( $\kappa$ , (S1 ; S2, p) # ss, vs)
    (Some ( $\kappa$ , (S1, p) # (S2, p) # ss, vs))
  | K-Var:
    fresh v vs  $\implies$ 
    step-k a fs (G, T) ( $\kappa$ , (Local n S, p) # ss, vs)
    (Some ( $\kappa$ , (THE S'. subst-stmt n v S S', p) # ss, v # vs))
  | K-If:
    fresh v vs  $\implies$ 
    step-k a fs (G, T) ( $\kappa$ , (If e S1 S2, p) # ss, vs) (Some ( $\kappa$ ,
    (Basic (Assign (Var v) e), p)
    # (S1, p  $\wedge*$  Loc (Var v))
    # (S2, p  $\wedge*$   $\neg*$  (Loc (Var v))) # ss, v # vs))
  | K-Open:
    fresh v vs  $\implies$ 
    step-k a fs (G, T) ( $\kappa$ , (While e S, p) # ss, vs) (Some ( $\kappa$ ,
    (WhileDyn e (belim S v), p  $\wedge*$   $\neg*$  (Loc (Var v))) # ss, v # vs))
  | K-Iter:
     $\llbracket i \in G ; j \in \text{the } (T \ i) ;$ 
    eval-bool (p  $\wedge*$  e) (the ((the ( $\kappa \ i$ ))ts j)) ;
    fresh u vs ; fresh v vs; u  $\neq$  v  $\rrbracket \implies$ 
    step-k a fs (G, T) ( $\kappa$ , (WhileDyn e S, p) # ss, vs) (Some ( $\kappa$ ,
    (Basic (Assign (Var u) e), p)
    # (celim S v, p  $\wedge*$  Loc (Var u)  $\wedge*$   $\neg*$  (Loc (Var v)))
    # (WhileDyn e S, p) # ss, u # v # vs))
  | K-Done:
     $\forall i \in G. \forall j \in \text{the } (T \ i).$ 
     $\neg (\text{eval-bool } (p \wedge* e) (\text{the } ((\text{the } (\kappa \ i))_{ts} \ j))) \implies$ 

```

```

step-k a fs (G,T) (κ, (WhileDyn e S, p) # ss, vs) (Some (κ, ss, vs))
| K-Call:
  [ fresh u vs ; fresh v vs ; u ≠ v ; s = param-subst fs f u ] ==>
  step-k a fs (G,T) (κ, (Call f e, p) # ss, vs )
  (Some (κ, (Basic (Assign (Var u) e) ;; relim s v,
  p ∧* ¬* (Loc (Var v))) # ss, u # v # vs))

```

end

theory *Kernel-programming-language* **imports**

Misc

KPL-syntax

KPL-wellformedness

KPL-state

KPL-execution-thread

KPL-execution-group

KPL-execution-kernel

begin

end

References

- [1] A. Betts, N. Chong, A. F. Donaldson, J. Ketema, S. Qadeer, P. Thomson, and J. Wickerson. The design and implementation of a verification technique for GPU kernels, 2014. Under submission.