

Formal Puiseux Series

Manuel Eberl

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Formal Puiseux series are generalisations of formal power series and formal Laurent series that also allow for fractional exponents. They have the following general form:

$$\sum_{i=N}^{\infty} a_{i/d} X^{i/d}$$

where N is an integer and d is a positive integer.

This entry defines these series including their basic algebraic properties. Furthermore, it proves the Newton–Puiseux Theorem, namely that the Puiseux series over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 are also algebraically closed.

Contents

1 Auxiliary material	3
1.1 Facts about polynomials	3
1.2 A typeclass for algebraically closed fields	3
2 Hensel's lemma for formal power series	4
3 Formal Puiseux Series	7
3.1 Auxiliary facts and definitions	7
3.2 Definition	8
3.3 Basic algebraic typeclass instances	9
3.4 The substitution $X \mapsto X^r$	12
3.5 Multiplication and ring properties	13
3.6 Constant Puiseux series and the series X	14
3.7 More algebraic typeclass instances	15
3.8 Valuation	15
3.9 Powers of X and shifting	17
3.10 The n -th root of a Puiseux series	19
3.11 Algebraic closedness	20
3.12 Metric and topology	21

1 Auxiliary material

1.1 Facts about polynomials

theory Puiseux-Polynomial-Library

imports HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra.Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly
begin

lemma inj-idom-hom-compose [intro]:

assumes inj-idom-hom f inj-idom-hom g
shows inj-idom-hom (f ∘ g)
{proof}

lemma (in inj-idom-hom) inj-idom-hom-map-poly [intro]: inj-idom-hom (map-poly hom)
{proof}

lemma inj-idom-hom-pcompose [intro]:

assumes [simp]: degree (p :: 'a :: idom poly) ≠ 0
shows inj-idom-hom (λq. pcompose q p)
{proof}

1.2 A typeclass for algebraically closed fields

Since the required sort constraints are not available inside the class, we have to resort to a somewhat awkward way of writing the definition of algebraically closed fields:

class alg-closed-field = field +
assumes alg-closed: n > 0 ⇒ f n ≠ 0 ⇒ ∃x. (∑ k≤n. f k * x ^ k) = 0

We can then however easily show the equivalence to the proper definition:

lemma alg-closed-imp-poly-has-root:
assumes degree (p :: 'a :: alg-closed-field poly) > 0
shows ∃x. poly p x = 0
{proof}

lemma alg-closedI [Pure.intro]:
assumes ⋀p :: 'a poly. degree p > 0 ⇒ lead-coeff p = 1 ⇒ ∃x. poly p x = 0
shows OFCLASS('a :: field, alg-closed-field-class)
{proof}

We can now prove by induction that every polynomial of degree n splits into a product of n linear factors:

lemma alg-closed-imp-factorization:
fixes p :: 'a :: alg-closed-field poly
assumes p ≠ 0
shows ∃A. size A = degree p ∧ p = smult (lead-coeff p) (∏ x∈#A. [-x, 1:])
{proof}

As an alternative characterisation of algebraic closure, one can also say that any polynomial of degree at least 2 splits into non-constant factors:

```
lemma alg-closed-imp-reducible:
  assumes degree (p :: 'a :: alg-closed-field poly) > 1
  shows ~irreducible p
⟨proof⟩
```

When proving algebraic closure through reducibility, we can assume w.l.o.g. that the polynomial is monic and has a non-zero constant coefficient:

```
lemma alg-closedI-reducible:
  assumes ⋀p :: 'a poly. degree p > 1 ==> lead-coeff p = 1 ==> coeff p 0 ≠ 0 ==>
    ~irreducible p
  shows OFCLASS('a :: field, alg-closed-field-class)
⟨proof⟩
```

Using a clever Tschirnhausen transformation mentioned e.g. in the article by Nowak [2], we can also assume w.l.o.g. that the coefficient a_{n-1} is zero.

```
lemma alg-closedI-reducible-coeff-deg-minus-one-eq-0:
  assumes ⋀p :: 'a poly. degree p > 1 ==> lead-coeff p = 1 ==> coeff p (degree p - 1) = 0 ==>
    coeff p 0 ≠ 0 ==> ~irreducible p
  shows OFCLASS('a :: field-char-0, alg-closed-field-class)
⟨proof⟩
```

As a consequence of the full factorisation lemma proven above, we can also show that any polynomial with at least two different roots splits into two non-constant coprime factors:

```
lemma alg-closed-imp-poly-splits-coprime:
  assumes degree (p :: 'a :: {alg-closed-field} poly) > 1
  assumes poly p x = 0 poly p y = 0 x ≠ y
  obtains r s where degree r > 0 degree s > 0 coprime r s p = r * s
⟨proof⟩
```

```
instance complex :: alg-closed-field
⟨proof⟩
```

end

2 Hensel's lemma for formal power series

```
theory FPS-Hensel
  imports HOL-Computational-Algebra.Computational-Algebra Puiseux-Polynomial-Library
begin
```

The following proof of Hensel's lemma for formal power series follows the book “Algebraic Geometry for Scientists and Engineers” by Abhyankar [1, p. 90–92].

```

definition fps-poly-swap1 :: 'a :: zero fps poly ⇒ 'a poly fps where
  fps-poly-swap1 p = Abs-fps (λm. Abs-poly (λn. fps-nth (coeff p n) m))

lemma coeff-fps-nth-fps-poly-swap1 [simp]:
  coeff (fps-nth (fps-poly-swap1 p) m) n = fps-nth (coeff p n) m
⟨proof⟩

definition fps-poly-swap2 :: 'a :: zero poly fps ⇒ 'a poly where
  fps-poly-swap2 p = Abs-poly (λm. Abs-fps (λn. coeff (fps-nth p n) m))

lemma fps-nth-coeff-fps-poly-swap2:
  assumes ∀n. degree (fps-nth p n) ≤ d
  shows   fps-nth (coeff (fps-poly-swap2 p) m) n = coeff (fps-nth p n) m
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-fps-poly-swap2-le:
  assumes ∀n. degree (fps-nth p n) ≤ d
  shows   degree (fps-poly-swap2 p) ≤ d
⟨proof⟩

lemma degree-fps-poly-swap2-eq:
  assumes ∀n. degree (fps-nth p n) ≤ d
  assumes d > 0 ∨ fps-nth p n ≠ 0
  assumes degree (fps-nth p n) = d
  shows   degree (fps-poly-swap2 p) = d
⟨proof⟩

definition reduce-fps-poly :: 'a :: zero fps poly ⇒ 'a poly where
  reduce-fps-poly F = fps-nth (fps-poly-swap1 F) 0

lemma
  fixes F :: 'a :: field fps poly
  assumes lead-coeff F = 1
  shows   degree-reduce-fps-poly-monic: degree (reduce-fps-poly F) = degree F
  and     reduce-fps-poly-monic: lead-coeff (reduce-fps-poly F) = 1
⟨proof⟩

locale fps-hensel-aux =
  fixes F :: 'a :: field-gcd poly fps
  fixes g h :: 'a poly
  assumes coprime: coprime g h and deg-g: degree g > 0 and deg-h: degree h > 0
begin

context
  fixes g' h' :: 'a poly
  defines h' ≡ fst (bezout-coefficients g h) and g' ≡ snd (bezout-coefficients g h)
begin

fun hensel-fpxs-aux :: nat ⇒ 'a poly × 'a poly where

```

```

hensel-fpxs-aux n = (if n = 0 then (g, h) else
  (let
    U = fps-nth F n -
      ( $\sum_{(i,j)} | i < n \wedge j < n \wedge i + j = n$ . fst (hensel-fpxs-aux i) * snd
      (hensel-fpxs-aux j))
    in (U * g' + g * ((U * h') div h), (U * h') mod h)))

lemmas [simp del] = hensel-fpxs-aux.simps

lemma hensel-fpxs-aux-0 [simp]: hensel-fpxs-aux 0 = (g, h)
⟨proof⟩

definition hensel-fpxs1 :: 'a poly fps
  where hensel-fpxs1 = Abs-fps (fst ∘ hensel-fpxs-aux)

definition hensel-fpxs2 :: 'a poly fps
  where hensel-fpxs2 = Abs-fps (snd ∘ hensel-fpxs-aux)

lemma hensel-fpxs1-0 [simp]: hensel-fpxs1 $ 0 = g
⟨proof⟩

lemma hensel-fpxs2-0 [simp]: hensel-fpxs2 $ 0 = h
⟨proof⟩

theorem fps-hensel-aux:
  defines f ≡ fps-nth F 0
  assumes f = g * h
  assumes  $\forall n > 0$ . degree (fps-nth F n) < degree f
  defines G ≡ hensel-fpxs1 and H ≡ hensel-fpxs2
  shows F = G * H
  fps-nth G 0 = g
  fps-nth H 0 = h
   $\forall n > 0$ . degree (fps-nth G n) < degree g
   $\forall n > 0$ . degree (fps-nth H n) < degree h
⟨proof⟩

end

end

locale fps-hensel =
  fixes F :: 'a :: field-gcd fps poly and f g h :: 'a poly
  assumes monic: lead-coeff F = 1
  defines f ≡ reduce-fps-poly F
  assumes f-splits: f = g * h
  assumes coprime: coprime g h and deg-g: degree g > 0 and deg-h: degree h > 0
begin

definition F' where F' = fps-poly-swap1 F

```

```

sublocale fps-hensel-aux F' g h
  ⟨proof⟩

definition G where
  G = fps-poly-swap2 hensel-fpxs1

definition H where
  H = fps-poly-swap2 hensel-fpxs2

lemma deg-f: degree f = degree F
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma
  F-splits: F = G * H and
  reduce-G: reduce-fps-poly G = g and
  reduce-H: reduce-fps-poly H = h and
  deg-G: degree G = degree g and
  deg-H: degree H = degree h and
  lead-coeff-G: lead-coeff G = fps-const (lead-coeff g) and
  lead-coeff-H: lead-coeff H = fps-const (lead-coeff h)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

3 Formal Puiseux Series

```

theory Formal-Puiseux-Series
  imports FPS-Hensel
  begin

```

3.1 Auxiliary facts and definitions

```

lemma div-dvd-self:
  fixes a b :: 'a :: {semidom-divide}
  shows b dvd a  $\implies$  a div b dvd a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma quotient-of-int [simp]: quotient-of (of-int n) = (n, 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma of-int-div-of-int-in-Ints-iff:
  (of-int n / of-int m :: 'a :: field-char-0)  $\in$   $\mathbb{Z} \longleftrightarrow$  m = 0  $\vee$  m dvd n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rat-eq-quotientD:
  assumes r = rat-of-int a / rat-of-int b b  $\neq$  0

```

```

shows fst (quotient-of r) dvd a snd (quotient-of r) dvd b
⟨proof⟩

lemma quotient-of-denom-add-dvd:
  snd (quotient-of (x + y)) dvd snd (quotient-of x) * snd (quotient-of y)
⟨proof⟩

lemma quotient-of-denom-diff-dvd:
  snd (quotient-of (x - y)) dvd snd (quotient-of x) * snd (quotient-of y)
⟨proof⟩

definition supp :: ('a ⇒ ('b :: zero)) ⇒ 'a set where
  supp f = f -` (-{0})

lemma supp-0 [simp]: supp (λ_. 0) = {}
and supp-const: supp (λ_. c) = (if c = 0 then {} else UNIV)
and supp-singleton [simp]: c ≠ 0 ⇒ supp (λx. if x = d then c else 0) = {d}
⟨proof⟩

lemma supp-uminus [simp]: supp (λx. -f x :: 'a :: group-add) = supp f
⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 Definition

Similarly to formal power series $R[[X]]$ and formal Laurent series $R((X))$, we define the ring of formal Puiseux series $R\{\{X\}\}$ as functions from the rationals into a ring such that

1. the support is bounded from below, and
2. the denominators of the numbers in the support have a common multiple other than 0

One can also think of a formal Puiseux series in the parameter X as a formal Laurent series in the parameter $X^{1/d}$ for some positive integer d . This is often written in the following suggestive notation:

$$R\{\{X\}\} = \bigcup_{d \geq 1} R((X^{1/d}))$$

Many operations will be defined in terms of this correspondence between Puiseux and Laurent series, and many of the simple properties proven that way.

```

definition is-fpxs :: (rat ⇒ 'a :: zero) ⇒ bool where
  is-fpxs f ←→ bdd-below (supp f) ∧ (LCM r∈supp f. snd (quotient-of r)) ≠ 0

```

```

typedef (overloaded) 'a fpxs = {f::rat ⇒ 'a :: zero. is-fpxs f}
morphisms fpxs-nth Abs-fpxs
⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-fpxs

lemma fpxs-ext: ( $\bigwedge r. \text{fpxs-nth } f r = \text{fpxs-nth } g r \implies f = g$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-eq-iff:  $f = g \longleftrightarrow (\forall r. \text{fpxs-nth } f r = \text{fpxs-nth } g r)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lift-definition fpxs-supp :: 'a :: zero fpxs ⇒ rat set is supp ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-supp-altdef:  $\text{fpxs-supp } f = \{x. \text{fpxs-nth } f x \neq 0\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

The following gives us the “root order” of f_i , i.e. the smallest positive integer d such that f is in $R((X^{1/p}))$.

```

lift-definition fpxs-root-order :: 'a :: zero fpxs ⇒ nat is
 $\lambda f. \text{nat}(\text{LCM } r \in \text{supp } f. \text{snd}(\text{quotient-of } r))$  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fpxs-root-order-pos [simp]:  $\text{fpxs-root-order } f > 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fpxs-root-order-nonzero [simp]:  $\text{fpxs-root-order } f \neq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Let d denote the root order of a Puiseux series f , i.e. the smallest number d such that all monomials with non-zero coefficients can be written in the form $X^{n/d}$ for some n . Then f can be written as a Laurent series in $X^{\wedge\{1/d\}}$. The following operation gives us this Laurent series.

```

lift-definition fls-of-fpxs :: 'a :: zero fpxs ⇒ 'a fls is
 $\lambda f n. f(\text{of-int } n / \text{of-int}(\text{LCM } r \in \text{supp } f. \text{snd}(\text{quotient-of } r)))$  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fls-nth-of-fpxs:
 $\text{fls-nth}(\text{fls-of-fpxs } f) n = \text{fpxs-nth } f (\text{of-int } n / \text{of-nat}(\text{fpxs-root-order } f))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

3.3 Basic algebraic typeclass instances

```

instantiation fpxs :: (zero) zero
begin

lift-definition zero-fpxs :: 'a fpxs is  $\lambda r::\text{rat}. 0 :: 'a$ 
⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

instantiation fpxs :: ({one, zero}) one
begin

lift-definition one-fpxs :: 'a fpxs is  $\lambda r:\text{rat}.$  if  $r = 0$  then 1 else 0 :: 'a
  ⟨proof⟩

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma fls-of-fpxs-0 [simp]: fls-of-fpxs 0 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-nth-0 [simp]: fpxs-nth 0 r = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-nth-1: fpxs-nth 1 r = (if  $r = 0$  then 1 else 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-nth-1': fpxs-nth 1 0 = 1  $r \neq 0 \implies$  fpxs-nth 1 r = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation fpxs :: (monoid-add) monoid-add
begin

lift-definition plus-fpxs :: 'a fpxs  $\Rightarrow$  'a fpxs  $\Rightarrow$  'a fpxs is
   $\lambda f g x.$   $f x + g x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instance fpxs :: (comm-monoid-add) comm-monoid-add
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-nth-add [simp]: fpxs-nth ( $f + g$ ) r = fpxs-nth f r + fpxs-nth g r
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition fpxs-of-fls :: 'a :: zero fls  $\Rightarrow$  'a fpxs is
   $\lambda f r.$  if  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $f \lfloor r \rfloor$  else 0
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation fpxs :: (group-add) group-add
begin

```

```

lift-definition uminus-fpxs :: 'a fpxs ⇒ 'a fpxs is λf x. -f x
⟨proof⟩

definition minus-fpxs :: 'a fpxs ⇒ 'a fpxs ⇒ 'a fpxs where
minus-fpxs f g = f + (-g)

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma fpxs-nth-uminus [simp]: fpxs-nth (-f) r = -fpxs-nth f r
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-nth-minus [simp]: fpxs-nth (f - g) r = fpxs-nth f r - fpxs-nth g r
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-of-fls-eq-iff [simp]: fpxs-of-fls f = fpxs-of-fls g ↔ f = g
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-of-fls-0 [simp]: fpxs-of-fls 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-of-fls-1 [simp]: fpxs-of-fls 1 = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-of-fls-add [simp]: fpxs-of-fls (f + g) = fpxs-of-fls f + fpxs-of-fls g
⟨proof⟩

lemma fps-to-fls-sum [simp]: fps-to-fls (sum f A) = (∑ x∈A. fps-to-fls (f x))
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-of-fls-sum [simp]: fpxs-of-fls (sum f A) = (∑ x∈A. fpxs-of-fls (f x))
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-nth-of-fls:
fpxs-nth (fpxs-of-fls f) r = (if r ∈ ℤ then fls-nth f ⌊r⌋ else 0)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-of-fls-eq-0-iff [simp]: fpxs-of-fls f = 0 ↔ f = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-of-fls-eq-1-iff [simp]: fpxs-of-fls f = 1 ↔ f = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-root-order-of-fls [simp]: fpxs-root-order (fpxs-of-fls f) = 1
⟨proof⟩

```

3.4 The substitution $X \mapsto X^r$

This operation turns a formal Puiseux series $f(X)$ into $f(X^r)$, where r can be any positive rational number:

```
lift-definition fpxs-compose-power :: 'a :: zero fpxs  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  'a fpxs is
 $\lambda f r x. \text{if } r > 0 \text{ then } f(x / r) \text{ else } 0$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma fpxs-as-fls:

```
fpxs-compose-power (fpxs-of-fls (fls-of-fpxs f)) (1 / of-nat (fpxs-root-order f)) =
f
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma fpxs-compose-power-0 [simp]: fpxs-compose-power 0 r = 0
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-compose-power-1 [simp]: $r > 0 \Rightarrow$ fpxs-compose-power 1 r = 1
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fls-of-fpxs-eq-0-iff [simp]: fls-of-fpxs x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-of-fls-compose-power [simp]:
 $fpxs\text{-of}\text{-fls} (\text{fls}\text{-compose}\text{-power } f d) = fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power} (fpxs\text{-of}\text{-fls } f) (\text{of}\text{-nat } d)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-compose-power-add [simp]:
 $fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power} (f + g) r = fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power } f r + fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power } g r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-compose-power-distrib:
 $r1 > 0 \vee r2 > 0 \Rightarrow$
 $fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power} (fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power } f r1) r2 = fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power } f (r1 * r2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-compose-power-divide-right:
 $r1 > 0 \Rightarrow r2 > 0 \Rightarrow$
 $fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power } f (r1 / r2) = fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power} (fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power } f r1) (\text{inverse } r2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-compose-power-1-right [simp]: fpxs-compose-power f 1 = f
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-compose-power-eq-iff [simp]:
assumes $r > 0$
shows $fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power } f r = fpxs\text{-compose}\text{-power } g r \longleftrightarrow f = g$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-compose-power-eq-1-iff [simp]:
  assumes  $l > 0$ 
  shows fpxs-compose-power p l = 1  $\longleftrightarrow p = 1$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-compose-power-eq-0-iff [simp]:
  assumes  $r > 0$ 
  shows fpxs-compose-power f r = 0  $\longleftrightarrow f = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma fls-of-fpxs-of-fls [simp]: fls-of-fpxs (fpxs-of-fls f) = f
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-as-fls':
  assumes fpxs-root-order f dvd d d > 0
  obtains  $f'$  where  $f = \text{fpxs-compose-power} (\text{fpxs-of-fls } f') (1 / \text{of-nat } d)$ 
⟨proof⟩

3.5 Mutiplication and ring properties

instantiation fpxs :: (comm-semiring-1) comm-semiring-1
begin

  lift-definition times-fpxs :: 'a fpxs  $\Rightarrow$  'a fpxs  $\Rightarrow$  'a fpxs is
     $\lambda f g x. (\sum (y,z) \mid y \in \text{supp } f \wedge z \in \text{supp } g \wedge x = y + z. f y * g z)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma fpxs-nth-mult:
    fpxs-nth ( $f * g$ )  $r =$ 
     $(\sum (y,z) \mid y \in \text{fpxs-supp } f \wedge z \in \text{fpxs-supp } g \wedge r = y + z. \text{fpxs-nth } f y * \text{fpxs-nth } g z)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

  lemma fpxs-compose-power-mult [simp]:
    fpxs-compose-power ( $f * g$ )  $r = \text{fpxs-compose-power } f r * \text{fpxs-compose-power } g r$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma fpxs-supp-of-fls: fpxs-supp (fpxs-of-fls f) = of-int ‘ supp (fls-nth f)
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma fpxs-of-fls-mult [simp]: fpxs-of-fls (f * g) = fpxs-of-fls f * fpxs-of-fls g
  ⟨proof⟩

  instance ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

instance fpxs :: (comm-ring-1) comm-ring-1
  ⟨proof⟩

instance fpxs :: ({comm-semiring-1,semiring-no-zero-divisors}) semiring-no-zero-divisors
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-of-fls-power [simp]: fpxs-of-fls (f  $\wedge$  n) = fpxs-of-fls f  $\wedge$  n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-compose-power-power [simp]:
  r > 0  $\implies$  fpxs-compose-power (f  $\wedge$  n) r = fpxs-compose-power f r  $\wedge$  n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.6 Constant Puiseux series and the series X

```

lift-definition fpxs-const :: 'a :: zero  $\Rightarrow$  'a fpxs is
   $\lambda c\ n.$  if n = 0 then c else 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-const-0 [simp]: fpxs-const 0 = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-const-1 [simp]: fpxs-const 1 = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-of-fls-const [simp]: fpxs-of-fls (fls-const c) = fpxs-const c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fls-of-fpxs-const [simp]: fls-of-fpxs (fpxs-const c) = fls-const c
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fls-of-fpxs-1 [simp]: fls-of-fpxs 1 = 1
  ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition fpxs-X :: 'a :: {one, zero} fpxs is
   $\lambda x.$  if x = 1 then (1::'a) else 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-const-altdef: fpxs-const x = fpxs-of-fls (fls-const x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-const-add [simp]: fpxs-const (x + y) = fpxs-const x + fpxs-const y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-const-mult [simp]:
  fixes x y :: 'a:{comm-semiring-1}
  shows fpxs-const (x * y) = fpxs-const x * fpxs-const y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fpxs-const-eq-iff [simp]:
  fpxs-const  $x = fpxs\text{-const } y \longleftrightarrow x = y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma of-nat-fpxs-eq: of-nat  $n = fpxs\text{-const } (\text{of-nat } n)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-const-uminus [simp]: fpxs-const  $(-x) = -fpxs\text{-const } x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-const-diff [simp]: fpxs-const  $(x - y) = fpxs\text{-const } x - fpxs\text{-const } y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma of-int-fpxs-eq: of-int  $n = fpxs\text{-const } (\text{of-int } n)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

3.7 More algebraic typeclass instances

```

instance fpxs :: ( $\{\text{comm-semiring-1}, \text{semiring-char-0}\}$ ) semiring-char-0
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance fpxs :: ( $\{\text{comm-ring-1}, \text{ring-char-0}\}$ ) ring-char-0  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instance fpxs :: (idom) idom  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

instantiation fpxs :: (field) field
begin

  definition inverse-fpxs :: 'a fpxs  $\Rightarrow$  'a fpxs where
    inverse-fpxs  $f =$ 
      fpxs-compose-power (fpxs-of-fls (inverse (fls-of-fpxs  $f$ ))) (1 / of-nat (fpxs-root-order  $f$ )))

  definition divide-fpxs :: 'a fpxs  $\Rightarrow$  'a fpxs  $\Rightarrow$  'a fpxs where
    divide-fpxs  $f g = f * \text{inverse } g$ 

  instance  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

instance fpxs :: (field-char-0) field-char-0  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

3.8 Valuation

```

definition fpxs-val :: 'a :: zero fpxs  $\Rightarrow$  rat where
  fpxs-val  $f =$ 
    of-int (fls-subdegree (fls-of-fpxs  $f$ )) / rat-of-nat (fpxs-root-order  $f$ )

lemma fpxs-val-of-fls [simp]: fpxs-val (fpxs-of-fls  $f$ ) = of-int (fls-subdegree  $f$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma fpxs-nth-compose-power [simp]:
  assumes  $r > 0$ 
  shows  $\text{fpxs-nth}(\text{fpxs-compose-power } f \ r) \ n = \text{fpxs-nth } f \ (n / r)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-of-fpxs-uminus [simp]:  $\text{fpxs-of-fpxs } (-f) = -\text{fpxs-of-fpxs } f$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-root-order-uminus [simp]:  $\text{fpxs-root-order } (-f) = \text{fpxs-root-order } f$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-val-uminus [simp]:  $\text{fpxs-val } (-f) = \text{fpxs-val } f$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-val-minus-commute:  $\text{fpxs-val } (f - g) = \text{fpxs-val } (g - f)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-val-const [simp]:  $\text{fpxs-val } (\text{fpxs-const } c) = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-val-1 [simp]:  $\text{fpxs-val } 1 = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma of-int-fls-subdegree-of-fpxs:
   $\text{rat-of-int } (\text{fls-subdegree } (\text{fls-of-fpxs } f)) = \text{fpxs-val } f * \text{of-nat } (\text{fpxs-root-order } f)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-nth-val-nonzero:
  assumes  $f \neq 0$ 
  shows  $\text{fpxs-nth } f \ (\text{fpxs-val } f) \neq 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-nth-below-val:
  assumes  $n: n < \text{fpxs-val } f$ 
  shows  $\text{fpxs-nth } f \ n = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-val-leI:  $\text{fpxs-nth } f \ r \neq 0 \implies \text{fpxs-val } f \leq r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-val-0 [simp]:  $\text{fpxs-val } 0 = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma fpxs-val-geI:
  assumes  $f \neq 0 \wedge r. \ r < r' \implies \text{fpxs-nth } f \ r = 0$ 
  shows  $\text{fpxs-val } f \geq r'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma fpxs-val-compose-power [simp]:
  assumes r > 0
  shows fpxs-val (fpxs-compose-power f r) = fpxs-val f * r
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-val-add-ge:
  assumes f + g ≠ 0
  shows fpxs-val (f + g) ≥ min (fpxs-val f) (fpxs-val g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-val-diff-ge:
  assumes f ≠ g
  shows fpxs-val (f - g) ≥ min (fpxs-val f) (fpxs-val g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-nth-mult-val:
  fpxs-nth (f * g) (fpxs-val f + fpxs-val g) = fpxs-nth f (fpxs-val f) * fpxs-nth g
  (fpxs-val g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-val-mult [simp]:
  fixes f g :: 'a :: {comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors} fpxs
  assumes f ≠ 0 g ≠ 0
  shows fpxs-val (f * g) = fpxs-val f + fpxs-val g
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-val-power [simp]:
  fixes f :: 'a :: {comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors} fpxs
  assumes f ≠ 0 ∨ n > 0
  shows fpxs-val (f ^ n) = of-nat n * fpxs-val f
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpxs-nth-power-val [simp]:
  fixes f :: 'a :: {comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors} fpxs
  shows fpxs-nth (f ^ r) (rat-of-nat r * fpxs-val f) = fpxs-nth f (fpxs-val f) ^ r
⟨proof⟩

```

3.9 Powers of X and shifting

```

lift-definition fpxs-X-power :: rat ⇒ 'a :: {zero, one} fpxs is
  λr n :: rat. if n = r then 1 else (0 :: 'a)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fpxs-X-power-0 [simp]: fpxs-X-power 0 = 1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fpxs-X-power-add: fpxs-X-power (a + b) = fpxs-X-power a * fpxs-X-power
b
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *fpxs-X-power-mult*: *fpxs-X-power* (*rat-of-nat* $n * m$) = *fpxs-X-power* $m \wedge n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-of-fls-X-power* [*simp*]: *fpxs-of-fls* (*fls-shift* $n 1$) = *fpxs-X-power* (*-rat-of-int* n)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-X-power-neq-0* [*simp*]: *fpxs-X-power* $r \neq (0 :: 'a :: zero-neq-one fpxs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-X-power-eq-1-iff* [*simp*]: *fpxs-X-power* $r = (1 :: 'a :: zero-neq-one fpxs)$
 $\longleftrightarrow r = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition *fpxs-shift* :: *rat* \Rightarrow $'a :: zero fpxs \Rightarrow 'a fpxs$ is
 $\lambda r f n. f (n + r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-nth-shift* [*simp*]: *fpxs-nth* (*fpxs-shift* $r f$) n = *fpxs-nth* $f (n + r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-0-left* [*simp*]: *fpxs-shift* $0 f = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-add-left*: *fpxs-shift* ($m + n$) f = *fpxs-shift* m (*fpxs-shift* $n f$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-diff-left*: *fpxs-shift* ($m - n$) f = *fpxs-shift* m (*fpxs-shift* ($-n$) f)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-0* [*simp*]: *fpxs-shift* $r 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-add* [*simp*]: *fpxs-shift* $r (f + g) = fpxs-shift r f + fpxs-shift r g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-uminus* [*simp*]: *fpxs-shift* $r (-f) = -fpxs-shift r f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-shift-uminus* [*simp*]: *fpxs-shift* $r (fpxs-shift (-r) f) = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-shift-uminus'* [*simp*]: *fpxs-shift* ($-r$) (*fpxs-shift* $r f$) = f
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-diff* [*simp*]: *fpxs-shift* $r (f - g) = fpxs-shift r f - fpxs-shift r g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-compose-power* [*simp*]:

$$fpxs\text{-shift } r \ (fpxs\text{-compose-power } f \ s) = fpxs\text{-compose-power} \ (fpxs\text{-shift} \ (r / s) \ f)$$

$$s$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rat-of-int-div-dvd*: $d \text{ dvd } n \implies \text{rat-of-int} \ (n \text{ div } d) = \text{rat-of-int} \ n / \text{rat-of-int} \ d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-of-fls-shift* [*simp*]:

$$fpxs\text{-of-fls} \ (\text{fls-shift } n \ f) = fpxs\text{-shift} \ (\text{of-int } n) \ (fpxs\text{-of-fls } f)$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-mult*: $f * fpxs\text{-shift } r \ g = fpxs\text{-shift } r \ (f * g)$

$$fpxs\text{-shift } r \ f * g = fpxs\text{-shift } r \ (f * g)$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-1*: $fpxs\text{-shift } r \ 1 = fpxs\text{-X-power} \ (-r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-X-power-conv-shift*: $fpxs\text{-X-power } r = fpxs\text{-shift} \ (-r) \ 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-shift-power* [*simp*]: $fpxs\text{-shift } n \ x^m = fpxs\text{-shift} \ (\text{of-nat } m * n) \ (x^m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fpxs-compose-power-X-power* [*simp*]:
 $s > 0 \implies fpxs\text{-compose-power} \ (fpxs\text{-X-power } r) \ s = fpxs\text{-X-power} \ (r * s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.10 The n -th root of a Puiseux series

In this section, we define the formal root of a Puiseux series. This is done using the same concept for formal power series. There is still one interesting theorems that is missing here, e.g. the uniqueness (which could probably be lifted over from FPSs) somehow.

definition *fpxs-radical* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{field-char-0} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fpxs} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ fpxs}$ **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fpxs-radical } rt \ r \ f &= (\text{if } f = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else} \\ &\quad (\text{let } f' = \text{fls-base-factor-to-fpxs} \ (\text{fls-of-fpxs } f); \\ &\quad \quad f'' = \text{fpxs-of-fls} \ (\text{fps-to-fls} \ (\text{fps-radical } rt \ r \ f')) \\ &\quad \quad \text{in } \text{fpxs-shift} \ (-\text{fpxs-val } f / \text{rat-of-nat } r) \\ &\quad \quad \quad (\text{fpxs-compose-power } f'' \ (1 / \text{rat-of-nat} \ (\text{fpxs-root-order } f)))))) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *fpxs-radical-0* [*simp*]: $fpxs\text{-radical } rt \ r \ 0 = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma
  fixes r :: nat
  assumes r: r > 0
  shows fpzs-power-radical:
    rt r (fpzs-nth f (fpzs-val f)) ^ r = fpzs-nth f (fpzs-val f) ==> fpzs-radical rt
    r f ^ r = f
    and fpzs-radical-lead-coeff:
      f ≠ 0 ==> fpzs-nth (fpzs-radical rt r f) (fpzs-val f / rat-of-nat r) =
      rt r (fpzs-nth f (fpzs-val f))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fls-base-factor-power:
  fixes f :: 'a::semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors fls
  shows fls-base-factor (f ^ n) = fls-base-factor f ^ n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

hide-const (open) supp

3.11 Algebraic closedness

We will now show that the field of formal Puiseux series over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 is again algebraically closed.

The typeclass constraint *field-gcd* is a technical constraint that mandates that the field has a (trivial) GCD operation defined on it. It comes from some peculiarities of Isabelle's typeclass system and can be considered unimportant, since any concrete type of class *field* can easily be made an instance of *field-gcd*.

It would be possible to get rid of this constraint entirely here, but it is not worth the effort.

The proof is a fairly standard one that uses Hensel's lemma. Some preliminary tricks are required to be able to use it, however, namely a number of non-obvious changes of variables to turn the polynomial with Puiseux coefficients into one with formal power series coefficients. The overall approach was taken from an article by Nowak [2].

Basically, what we need to show is this: Let

$$p(X, Z) = a_n(Z)X^n + a_{n-1}(Z)X^{n-1} + \dots + a_0(Z)$$

be a polynomial in X of degree at least 2 with coefficients that are formal Puiseux series in Z . Then p is reducible, i.e. it splits into two non-constant factors.

Due to work we have already done elsewhere, we may assume here that $a_n = 1$, $a_{n-1} = 0$, and $a_0 ≠ 0$, all of which will come in very useful.

```
instance fpzs :: ( $\{alg\text{-}closed\text{-}field, field\text{-}char\text{-}0, field\text{-}gcd\}$ ) alg-closed-field  

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

We do not actually show that this is the algebraic closure since this cannot be stated idiomatically in the typeclass setting and is probably not very useful either, but it can be motivated like this:

Suppose we have an algebraically closed extension L of the field of Laurent series. Clearly, $X^{a/b} \in L$ for any integer a and any positive integer b since $(X^{a/b})^b - X^a = 0$. But any Puiseux series $F(X)$ with root order b can be written as

$$F(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{b-1} X^{k/b} F_k(X)$$

where the Laurent series $F_k(X)$ are defined as follows:

$$F_k(X) := \sum_{n=n_{0,k}}^{\infty} [X^{n+k/b}] F(X) X^n$$

Thus, $F(X)$ can be written as a finite sum of products of elements in L and must therefore also be in L . Thus, the Puiseux series are all contained in L .

3.12 Metric and topology

Formal Puiseux series form a metric space with the usual metric for formal series: Two series are “close” to one another if they have many initial coefficients in common.

```
instantiation fpzs :: (zero) norm  

begin  
  

definition norm-fpz :: 'a fpzs  $\Rightarrow$  real where  

  norm f = (if f = 0 then 0 else 2 powr (-of-rat (fpzs-val f)))
```

```
instance  $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```
instantiation fpzs :: (group-add) dist  

begin  
  

definition dist-fpz :: 'a fpzs  $\Rightarrow$  'a fpzs  $\Rightarrow$  real where  

  dist f g = (if f = g then 0 else 2 powr (-of-rat (fpzs-val (f - g))))  
  

instance  $\langle proof \rangle$   
  

end
```

```

instantiation fpzs :: (group-add) metric-space
begin

definition uniformity-fpzs-def [code del]:
  (uniformity :: ('a fpzs × 'a fpzs) filter) = (INF e∈{0 <..}. principal {(x, y). dist
  x y < e})

definition open-fpzs-def [code del]:
  open (U :: 'a fpzs set)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in U$ . eventually ( $\lambda(x', y)$ .  $x' = x \longrightarrow y \in U$ )
  uniformity)

instance ⟨proof⟩

end

instance fpzs :: (group-add) dist-norm
⟨proof⟩

lemma fpzs-const-eq-0-iff [simp]: fpzs-const  $x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma semiring-char-fpzs [simp]: CHAR('a :: comm-semiring-1 fpzs) = CHAR('a)
⟨proof⟩

instance fpzs :: ({semiring-prime-char, comm-semiring-1}) semiring-prime-char
⟨proof⟩
instance fpzs :: ({comm-semiring-prime-char, comm-semiring-1}) comm-semiring-prime-char
⟨proof⟩
instance fpzs :: ({comm-ring-prime-char, comm-semiring-1}) comm-ring-prime-char
⟨proof⟩
instance fpzs :: ({idom-prime-char, comm-semiring-1}) idom-prime-char
⟨proof⟩
instance fpzs :: (field-prime-char) field-prime-char
⟨proof⟩

end

```

References

- [1] S. S. Abhyankar. *Algebraic Geometry for Scientists and Engineers*. Mathematical surveys and monographs. American Mathematical Society, 1990.
- [2] K. J. Nowak. Some elementary proofs of Puiseuxs theorems. *Univ. Iagel. Acta Math.*, 38:279–282, 2000.