

First-Order Terms*

Christian Sternagel René Thiemann

April 13, 2025

Abstract

We formalize basic results on first-order terms, including a first-order unification algorithm, as well as well-foundedness of the subsumption order. This entry is part of the *Isabelle Formalization of Rewriting IsaFoR* [2], where first-order terms are omni-present: the unification algorithm is used to certify several confluence and termination techniques, like critical-pair computation and dependency graph approximations; and the subsumption order is a crucial ingredient for completion.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Auxiliary Results	3
2.1	Reflexive Transitive Closures of Orders	3
2.2	Rename names in two ways.	3
2.3	Make lists instances of the infinite-class.	3
2.4	Renaming strings apart	4
2.5	Results on Infinite Sequences	4
2.6	Results on Bijections	4
2.7	Merging Functions	5
2.8	The Option Monad	5
3	First-Order Terms	8
3.1	Restrict the Domain of a Substitution	15
3.2	Rename the Domain of a Substitution	16
3.3	Rename the Domain and Range of a Substitution	16
3.4	Multisets of Pairs of Terms	18
3.5	Size	19
3.5.1	Substitutions	20
3.5.2	Variables	20

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) projects Y757 and P27502

4 Abstract Matching	21
5 Unification	25
5.1 Unifiers	25
5.1.1 Properties of sets of unifiers	26
5.1.2 Properties of unifiability	28
5.1.3 Properties of <i>is-mgu</i>	28
5.1.4 Properties of <i>is-imgu</i>	29
5.2 Abstract Unification	29
5.2.1 Inference Rules	30
5.2.2 Termination of the Inference Rules	31
5.2.3 Soundness of the Inference Rules	33
5.2.4 Completeness of the Inference Rules	33
5.3 A Concrete Unification Algorithm	35
5.3.1 Unification of two terms where variables should be considered disjoint	40
5.3.2 A variable disjoint unification algorithm without chang- ing the type	41
6 Matching	41
6.1 A variable disjoint unification algorithm for terms with string variables	43
7 Subsumption	44
7.1 Equality of terms modulo variables	47
7.2 Well-foundedness	48
8 Subterms and Contexts	49
8.1 Subterms	49
8.1.1 Syntactic Sugar	49
8.1.2 Transitivity Reasoning for Subterms	50
8.2 Contexts	54
8.3 The Connection between Contexts and the Superterm Relation	56
9 Positions (of terms, contexts, etc.)	58
10 More Results on Terms	65

1 Introduction

We define first-order terms, substitutions, the subsumption order, and a unification algorithm. In all these definitions type-parameters are used to specify variables and function symbols, but there is no explicit signature.

The unification algorithm has been formalized following a textbook on term rewriting [1].

The complete IsaFoR library is available at:

<http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/isafor/>

2 Auxiliary Results

2.1 Reflexive Transitive Closures of Orders

```
theory Transitive-Closure-More
  imports Main
begin

end
```

2.2 Rename names in two ways.

```
theory Renaming2
  imports
    Fresh-Identifiers.Fresh
begin

typedef ('v :: infinite) renaming2 = { (v1 :: 'v => 'v, v2 :: 'v => 'v) | v1 v2. inj
v1 ∧ inj v2 ∧ range v1 ∩ range v2 = {} }
⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting type-definition-renaming2

lift-definition rename-1 :: 'v :: infinite renaming2 => 'v => 'v is fst ⟨proof⟩
lift-definition rename-2 :: 'v :: infinite renaming2 => 'v => 'v is snd ⟨proof⟩

lemma rename-12: inj (rename-1 r) inj (rename-2 r) range (rename-1 r) ∩ range
(rename-2 r) = {}
⟨proof⟩

end
```

2.3 Make lists instances of the infinite-class.

```
theory Lists-are-Infinite
  imports Fresh-Identifiers.Fresh
begin

instance list :: (type) infinite
⟨proof⟩

end
```

2.4 Renaming strings apart

```
theory Renaming2-String
imports
  Renaming2
  Lists-are-Infinite
begin

lift-definition string-rename :: string renaming2 is (Cons (CHR "x"), Cons (CHR
"y"))
  ⟨proof⟩

end
```

2.5 Results on Infinite Sequences

```
theory Seq-More
imports
  Abstract-Rewriting.Seq
  Transitive-Closure-More
begin

lemma down-chain-imp-eq:
  fixes f :: nat seq
  assumes ∀ i. f i ≥ f (Suc i)
  shows ∃ N. ∀ i > N. f i = f (Suc i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inc-seq-greater:
  fixes f :: nat seq
  assumes ∀ i. f i < f (Suc i)
  shows ∃ i. f i > N
  ⟨proof⟩

end
```

2.6 Results on Bijections

```
theory Fun-More imports Main begin

lemma finite-card-eq-imp-bij-betw:
  assumes finite A
  and card (f ` A) = card A
  shows bij-betw f A (f ` A)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Every bijective function between two subsets of a set can be turned into a compatible renaming (with finite domain) on the full set.

```
lemma bij-betw-extend:
  assumes *: bij-betw f A B
```

```

and  $A \subseteq V$ 
and  $B \subseteq V$ 
and  $\text{finite } A$ 
shows  $\exists g. \text{finite } \{x. g x \neq x\} \wedge$ 
 $(\forall x \in \text{UNIV} - (A \cup B). g x = x) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall x \in A. g x = f x) \wedge$ 
bij-betw  $g V V$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

2.7 Merging Functions

```

definition fun-merge :: ('a ⇒ 'b)list ⇒ 'a set list ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b
where
  fun-merge fs as a = (fs ! (LEAST i. i < length as ∧ a ∈ as ! i)) a

```

```

lemma fun-merge-eq-nth:
  assumes i:  $i < \text{length as}$ 
  and a:  $a \in \text{as} ! i$ 
  and ident:  $\bigwedge i j. i < \text{length as} \implies j < \text{length as} \implies a \in \text{as} ! i \implies a \in \text{as} ! j \implies (\text{fs} ! i) a = (\text{fs} ! j) a$ 
  shows fun-merge fs as a = (fs ! i) a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fun-merge-part:
  assumes  $\forall i < \text{length as}. \forall j < \text{length as}. i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{as} ! i \cap \text{as} ! j = \{\}$ 
  and  $i < \text{length as}$ 
  and a:  $a \in \text{as} ! i$ 
  shows fun-merge fs as a = (fs ! i) a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fun-merge:
  assumes part:  $\forall i < \text{length Xs}. \forall j < \text{length Xs}. i \neq j \longrightarrow \text{Xs} ! i \cap \text{Xs} ! j = \{\}$ 
  shows  $\exists \sigma. \forall i < \text{length Xs}. \forall x \in \text{Xs} ! i. \sigma x = \tau i x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

2.8 The Option Monad

```

theory Option-Monad
  imports HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
begin

```

```
declare Option.bind-cong [fundef-cong]
```

```

definition guard :: bool ⇒ unit option
where
  guard b = (if b then Some () else None)

```

```
lemma guard-cong [fundef-cong]:
```

$b = c \implies (c \implies m = n) \implies \text{guard } b >> m = \text{guard } c >> n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *guard-simps*:

$\text{guard } b = \text{Some } x \longleftrightarrow b$
 $\text{guard } b = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \neg b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *guard-elims[elim]*:

$\text{guard } b = \text{Some } x \implies (b \implies P) \implies P$
 $\text{guard } b = \text{None} \implies (\neg b \implies P) \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *guard-intros [intro, simp]*:

$b \implies \text{guard } b = \text{Some } ()$
 $\neg b \implies \text{guard } b = \text{None}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *guard-True [simp]*: $\text{guard } \text{True} = \text{Some } () \langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma *guard-False [simp]*: $\text{guard } \text{False} = \text{None} \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *guard-and-to-bind*: $\text{guard } (a \wedge b) = \text{guard } a \gg= (\lambda _. \text{guard } b) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun *zip-option* :: '*a* list \Rightarrow '*b* list \Rightarrow ('*a* \times '*b*) list option

where

$\text{zip-option } [] [] = \text{Some } []$
 $\mid \text{zip-option } (x \# xs) (y \# ys) = \text{do } \{ zs \leftarrow \text{zip-option } xs ys; \text{Some } ((x, y) \# zs) \}$
 $\mid \text{zip-option } (x \# xs) [] = \text{None}$
 $\mid \text{zip-option } [] (y \# ys) = \text{None}$

induction scheme for zip

lemma *zip-induct [case-names Cons-Cons Nil1 Nil2]*:

assumes $\bigwedge x xs y ys. P xs ys \implies P (x \# xs) (y \# ys)$
and $\bigwedge ys. P [] ys$
and $\bigwedge xs. P xs []$
shows $P xs ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-option-same[simp]*:

$\text{zip-option } xs xs = \text{Some } (\text{zip } xs xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-option-zip-conv*:

$\text{zip-option } xs ys = \text{Some } zs \longleftrightarrow \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs \wedge \text{length } zs = \text{length } xs \wedge$
 $zs = \text{zip } xs ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-option-None*:

$\text{zip-option } xs ys = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow \text{length } xs \neq \text{length } ys$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare zip-option.simps [simp del]

lemma zip-option-intros [intro]:

$\llbracket \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs; \text{length } zs = \text{length } xs; zs = \text{zip } xs \text{ } ys \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{zip-option } xs \text{ } ys = \text{Some } zs$
 $\text{length } xs \neq \text{length } ys \implies \text{zip-option } xs \text{ } ys = \text{None}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma zip-option-elims [elim]:

$\text{zip-option } xs \text{ } ys = \text{Some } zs \implies (\llbracket \text{length } ys = \text{length } xs; \text{length } zs = \text{length } xs; zs = \text{zip } xs \text{ } ys \rrbracket \implies P)$
 $\implies P$
 $\text{zip-option } xs \text{ } ys = \text{None} \implies (\text{length } xs \neq \text{length } ys \implies P) \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma zip-option-simps [simp]:

$\text{zip-option } xs \text{ } ys = \text{None} \implies \text{length } xs = \text{length } ys \implies \text{False}$
 $\text{zip-option } xs \text{ } ys = \text{None} \implies \text{length } xs \neq \text{length } ys$
 $\text{zip-option } xs \text{ } ys = \text{Some } zs \implies zs = \text{zip } xs \text{ } ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun mapM :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b option) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'b list option
where

$\text{mapM } f \llbracket = \text{Some } \llbracket$
 $| \text{mapM } f (x \# xs) = \text{do } \{$
 $y \leftarrow f x;$
 $ys \leftarrow \text{mapM } f xs;$
 $\text{Some } (y \# ys)$
 $\}$

lemma mapM-None:

$\text{mapM } f xs = \text{None} \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in \text{set } xs. f x = \text{None})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mapM-Some:

$\text{mapM } f xs = \text{Some } ys \implies ys = \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{the } (f x)) \text{ } xs \wedge (\forall x \in \text{set } xs. f x \neq \text{None})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mapM-Some-idx:

assumes some: $\text{mapM } f xs = \text{Some } ys$ **and** i: $i < \text{length } xs$
shows $\exists y. f (xs ! i) = \text{Some } y \wedge ys ! i = y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma mapM-cong [fundef-cong]:

assumes xs = ys **and** $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } ys \implies f x = g x$
shows $\text{mapM } f xs = \text{mapM } g ys$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma mapM-map:
  mapM f xs = (if (∀ x∈set xs. f x ≠ None) then Some (map (λx. the (f x)) xs)
  else None)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mapM-mono [partial-function-mono]:
  fixes C :: 'a ⇒ ('b ⇒ 'c option) ⇒ 'd option
  assumes C: ∀y. mono-option (C y)
  shows mono-option (λf. mapM (λy. C y f) B)
⟨proof⟩

end
```

3 First-Order Terms

```
theory Term
imports
  Main
  HOL-Library.Multiset
begin

datatype (fun-term : 'f, vars-term : 'v) term =
  is-Var: Var (the-Var: 'v) |
  Fun 'f (args : ('f, 'v) term list)
where
  args (Var -) = []

lemmas is-VarI = term.disc(1)
lemmas is-FunI = term.disc(2)

abbreviation is-Fun t ≡ ¬ is-Var t

lemma is-VarE [elim]:
  is-Var t ⇒ (∀x. t = Var x ⇒ P) ⇒ P
⟨proof⟩

lemma is-FunE [elim]:
  is-Fun t ⇒ (∀f ts. t = Fun f ts ⇒ P) ⇒ P
⟨proof⟩

lemma inj-on-Var[simp]:
  inj-on Var A
⟨proof⟩

lemma
  inj-on-Fun-fun[simp]: ∀A ts. inj-on (λf. Fun f ts) A and
  inj-on-Fun-args[simp]: ∀A f. inj-on (λts. Fun f ts) A and
```

```

inj-on-Fun[simp]:  $\bigwedge A. \text{inj-on Fun } A$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma member-image-the-Var-image-subst:
assumes is-var-σ:  $\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\sigma x)$ 
shows  $x \in \text{the-Var } \sigma \cdot V \longleftrightarrow \text{Var } x \in \sigma \cdot V$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma image-the-Var-image-subst-renaming-eq:
assumes is-var-ρ:  $\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\rho x)$ 
shows  $\text{the-Var } \rho \cdot V = (\bigcup_{x \in V} \text{vars-term } (\rho x))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

The variables of a term as multiset.

```

fun vars-term-ms :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  'v multiset
where
  vars-term-ms (Var x) = {#x#} |
  vars-term-ms (Fun f ts) =  $\sum \# (\text{mset } (\text{map vars-term-ms ts}))$ 

```

```

lemma set-mset-vars-term-ms [simp]:
set-mset (vars-term-ms t) = vars-term t
⟨proof⟩

```

Reorient equations of the form $\text{Var } x = t$ and $\text{Fun } f ss = t$ to facilitate simplification.

$\langle ML \rangle$

The *root symbol* of a term is defined by:

```

fun root :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f  $\times$  nat) option
where
  root (Var x) = None |
  root (Fun f ts) = Some (f, length ts)

```

```

lemma finite-vars-term [simp]:
finite (vars-term t)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma finite-Union-vars-term:
finite ( $\bigcup t \in \text{set ts}. \text{vars-term } t$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

We define the evaluation of terms, under interpretation of function symbols and assignment of variables, as follows:

```

fun eval-term ( $\langle -[(2)] \rangle \rightarrow [999, 1, 100] 100$ ) where
   $I[\![\text{Var } x]\!] \alpha = \alpha x$ 
   $| I[\![\text{Fun } f ss]\!] \alpha = I f [I[\![s]\!] \alpha. s \leftarrow ss]$ 

```

```

notation eval-term ( $\langle -[(2)] \rangle \rightarrow [999, 1] 100$ )
notation eval-term ( $\langle -[(2)] \rangle \rightarrow [999, 1, 100] 100$ )

```

```

lemma eval-same-vars:
  assumes  $\forall x \in \text{vars-term } s. \alpha x = \beta x$ 
  shows  $I[s]\alpha = I[s]\beta$ 
  {proof}

lemma eval-same-vars-cong:
  assumes  $\text{ref: } s = t \text{ and } v: \bigwedge x. x \in \text{vars-term } s \implies \alpha x = \beta x$ 
  shows  $I[s]\alpha = I[t]\beta$ 
  {proof}

lemma eval-with-fresh-var:  $x \notin \text{vars-term } s \implies I[s]\alpha(x:=a) = I[s]\alpha$ 
  {proof}

lemma eval-map-term:  $I[\text{map-term } ff fv s]\alpha = (I \circ ff)[s](\alpha \circ fv)$ 
  {proof}

```

A substitution is a mapping σ from variables to terms. We call a substitution that alters the type of variables a generalized substitution, since it does not have all properties that are expected of (standard) substitutions (e.g., there is no empty substitution).

```

type-synonym ('f, 'v, 'w) gsubst = 'v  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'w) term
type-synonym ('f, 'v) subst = ('f, 'v, 'v) gsubst

```

```

abbreviation subst-apply-term :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v, 'w) gsubst  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'w)
term (infixl  $\leftrightarrow$  67)
where subst-apply-term  $\equiv$  eval-term Fun

```

```

definition eval-subst ( $\langle - \rangle_s \rightarrow [999, 1, 100] 100$ ) where
   $(I[\vartheta]_s \alpha) \equiv \lambda x. I[\vartheta x]\alpha$ 

```

```

lemma eval-subst:  $I[s.\vartheta]\alpha = I[s] I[\vartheta]_s \alpha$ 
  {proof}

```

```

abbreviation
  subst-compose :: ('f, 'u, 'v) gsubst  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v, 'w) gsubst  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'u, 'w) gsubst
  (infixl  $\circ_s$  75)
  where
     $\sigma \circ_s \vartheta \equiv \text{Fun}[\sigma]_s \vartheta$ 

```

```

lemmas subst-compose-def = eval-subst-def[of Fun]

```

```

lemma subst-subst-compose [simp]:
   $t \cdot (\sigma \circ_s \tau) = t \cdot \sigma \cdot \tau$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma subst-compose-assoc:
   $\sigma \circ_s \tau \circ_s \mu = \sigma \circ_s (\tau \circ_s \mu)$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma subst-apply-term-empty [simp]:
   $t \cdot \text{Var} = t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation subst-monoid-mult: monoid-mult Var ( $\circ_s$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma term-subst-eq:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{vars-term } t \implies \sigma x = \tau x$ 
  shows  $t \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \tau$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma term-subst-eq-rev:
   $t \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \tau \implies \forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. \sigma x = \tau x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma term-subst-eq-conv:
   $t \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \tau \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. \sigma x = \tau x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-term-eqI:
  assumes  $(\bigwedge t. t \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \tau)$ 
  shows  $\sigma = \tau$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition subst-domain :: ('f, 'v) subst  $\Rightarrow$  'v set
  where
    subst-domain  $\sigma = \{x. \sigma x \neq \text{Var } x\}$ 

fun subst-range :: ('f, 'v) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term set
  where
    subst-range  $\sigma = \sigma` \text{subst-domain } \sigma$ 

lemma vars-term-ms-subst [simp]:
  vars-term-ms  $(t \cdot \sigma) =$ 
   $(\sum x \in \# \text{vars-term-ms } t. \text{vars-term-ms } (\sigma x))$  (is - = ?V t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-term-ms-subst-mono:
  assumes vars-term-ms  $s \subseteq \# \text{vars-term-ms } t$ 
  shows vars-term-ms  $(s \cdot \sigma) \subseteq \# \text{vars-term-ms } (t \cdot \sigma)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The variables introduced by a substitution.

```

definition range-vars :: ('f, 'v) subst  $\Rightarrow$  'v set
where
  range-vars  $\sigma = \bigcup (\text{vars-term}` \text{subst-range } \sigma)$ 

```

```

lemma mem-range-varsI:
  assumes  $\sigma x = \text{Var } y$  and  $x \neq y$ 
  shows  $y \in \text{range-vars } \sigma$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma subst-domain-Var [simp]:
  subst-domain Var = {}
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma subst-range-Var[simp]:
  subst-range Var = {}
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma range-vars-Var[simp]:
  range-vars Var = {}
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma subst-apply-term-ident:
  vars-term  $t \cap$  subst-domain  $\sigma = \{\}$   $\implies t \cdot \sigma = t$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma vars-term-subst-apply-term:
  vars-term  $(t \cdot \sigma) = (\bigcup_{x \in \text{vars-term } t} \text{vars-term } (\sigma x))$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

corollary vars-term-subst-apply-term-subset:
  vars-term  $(t \cdot \sigma) \subseteq \text{vars-term } t - \text{subst-domain } \sigma \cup \text{range-vars } \sigma$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

definition is-renaming :: ('f, 'v) subst  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where
    is-renaming  $\sigma \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\sigma x)) \wedge \text{inj-on } \sigma (\text{subst-domain } \sigma)$ 

lemma inv-renaming-sound:
  assumes is-var- $\varrho$ :  $\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\varrho x)$  and inj  $\varrho$ 
  shows  $\varrho \circ_s (\text{Var} \circ (\text{inv } (\text{the-Var} \circ \varrho))) = \text{Var}$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma ex-inverse-of-renaming:
  assumes  $\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\varrho x)$  and inj  $\varrho$ 
  shows  $\exists \tau. \varrho \circ_s \tau = \text{Var}$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma vars-term-subst:
  vars-term  $(t \cdot \sigma) = \bigcup (\text{vars-term } ' \sigma ' \text{ vars-term } t)$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma range-varsE [elim]:
  assumes  $x \in \text{range-vars } \sigma$ 

```

and $\bigwedge t. x \in \text{vars-term } t \implies t \in \text{subst-range } \sigma \implies P$
shows P
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-vars-subst-compose-subset*:

range-vars ($\sigma \circ_s \tau$) $\subseteq (\text{range-vars } \sigma - \text{subst-domain } \tau) \cup \text{range-vars } \tau$ (**is** $?L \subseteq ?R$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *subst* $x t = \text{Var } (x := t)$

lemma *subst-simps* [*simp*]:

subst $x t = t$
subst $x (\text{Var } x) = \text{Var}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-subst-domain* [*simp*]:

subst-domain (*subst* $x t$) = (if $t = \text{Var } x$ then {} else { x })
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-subst-range* [*simp*]:

subst-range (*subst* $x t$) = (if $t = \text{Var } x$ then {} else { t })
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-apply-left-idemp* [*simp*]:

assumes $\sigma x = t \cdot \sigma$
shows $s \cdot \text{subst } x t \cdot \sigma = s \cdot \sigma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-compose-left-idemp* [*simp*]:

assumes $\sigma x = t \cdot \sigma$
shows $\text{subst } x t \circ_s \sigma = \sigma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-ident* [*simp*]:

assumes $x \notin \text{vars-term } t$
shows $t \cdot \text{subst } x u = t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-self-idemp* [*simp*]:

$x \notin \text{vars-term } t \implies \text{subst } x t \circ_s \text{subst } x t = \text{subst } x t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

type-synonym ('f, 'v) terms = ('f, 'v) term set

Applying a substitution to every term of a given set.

abbreviation

subst-apply-set :: ('f, 'v) terms \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 'w) gsubst \Rightarrow ('f, 'w) terms (**infixl**
 $\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle$ 60)

where

$$T \cdot_{set} \sigma \equiv (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma) \cdot T$$

Composition of substitutions

lemma *subst-compose*: $(\sigma \circ_s \tau) x = \sigma x \cdot \tau$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *subst-subst* = *subst-subst-compose* [symmetric]

lemma *subst-apply-eq-Var*:

assumes $s \cdot \sigma = Var x$

obtains y **where** $s = Var y$ **and** $\sigma y = Var x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-domain-subst-compose*:

subst-domain $(\sigma \circ_s \tau) =$

$(subst-domain \sigma - \{x. \exists y. \sigma x = Var y \wedge \tau y = Var x\}) \cup$

$(subst-domain \tau - subst-domain \sigma)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

A substitution is idempotent iff the variables in its range are disjoint from its domain. (See also "Term Rewriting and All That" [1, Lemma 4.5.7].)

lemma *subst-idemp-iff*:

$\sigma \circ_s \sigma = \sigma \longleftrightarrow subst-domain \sigma \cap range-vars \sigma = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-compose-apply-eq-apply-lhs*:

assumes

$range-vars \sigma \cap subst-domain \delta = \{\}$

$x \notin subst-domain \delta$

shows $(\sigma \circ_s \delta) x = \sigma x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-apply-term-subst-apply-term-eq-subst-apply-term-lhs*:

assumes $range-vars \sigma \cap subst-domain \delta = \{\}$ **and** $vars-term t \cap subst-domain \delta = \{\}$

shows $t \cdot \sigma \cdot \delta = t \cdot \sigma$

$\langle proof \rangle$

fun *num-funs* :: ('f, 'v) term \Rightarrow nat

where

num-funs ($Var x$) = 0 |

num-funs ($Fun f ts$) = $Suc (sum-list (map num-funs ts))$

lemma *num-funs-0*:

assumes *num-funs* $t = 0$

obtains x **where** $t = Var x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *num-funs-subst*:

```

num-funs ( $t \cdot \sigma$ )  $\geq$  num-funs  $t$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-list-map-num-funs-subst:
  assumes sum-list (map (num-funs  $\circ$  ( $\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma$ ))  $ts$ ) = sum-list (map num-funs  $ts$ )
  shows  $\forall i < \text{length } ts. \text{num-funs} (ts ! i \cdot \sigma) = \text{num-funs} (ts ! i)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-Fun-num-funs-less:
  assumes  $x \in \text{vars-term } t$  and is-Fun  $t$ 
  shows num-funs ( $\sigma x$ )  $<$  num-funs ( $t \cdot \sigma$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-subst-domain-subst:
  finite (subst-domain (subst  $x y$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-domain-compose:
  subst-domain ( $\sigma \circ_s \tau$ )  $\subseteq$  subst-domain  $\sigma \cup$  subst-domain  $\tau$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-term-disjoint-imp-unifier:
  fixes  $\sigma :: ('f, 'v, 'w) \text{ gsubst}$ 
  assumes vars-term  $s \cap$  vars-term  $t = \{\}$ 
  and  $s \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \tau$ 
  shows  $\exists \mu :: ('f, 'v, 'w) \text{ gsubst}. s \cdot \mu = t \cdot \mu$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vars-term-subset-subst-eq:
  assumes vars-term  $t \subseteq$  vars-term  $s$ 
  and  $s \cdot \sigma = s \cdot \tau$ 
  shows  $t \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \tau$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.1 Restrict the Domain of a Substitution

definition restrict-subst-domain **where**

restrict-subst-domain $V \sigma x \equiv (\text{if } x \in V \text{ then } \sigma x \text{ else } \text{Var } x)$

```

lemma restrict-subst-domain-empty[simp]:
  restrict-subst-domain {}  $\sigma = \text{Var}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma restrict-subst-domain-Var[simp]:
  restrict-subst-domain  $V \text{ Var} = \text{Var}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subst-domain-restrict-subst-domain[simp]:

```

subst-domain (restrict-subst-domain $V \sigma$) = $V \cap \text{subst-domain } \sigma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-apply-term-restrict-subst-domain*:
vars-term $t \subseteq V \implies t \cdot \text{restrict-subst-domain } V \sigma = t \cdot \sigma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.2 Rename the Domain of a Substitution

definition *rename-subst-domain* where

rename-subst-domain $\varrho \sigma x =$
(if $\text{Var } x \in \varrho` \text{subst-domain } \sigma$ then
 $\sigma (\text{the-inv } \varrho (\text{Var } x))$
else
 $\text{Var } x$)

lemma *rename-subst-domain-Var-lhs[simp]*:
rename-subst-domain Var $\sigma = \sigma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rename-subst-domain-Var-rhs[simp]*:
rename-subst-domain $\varrho \text{Var} = \text{Var}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-domain-rename-subst-domain-subset*:
assumes *is-var- ϱ : $\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\varrho x)$*
shows *subst-domain (rename-subst-domain $\varrho \sigma$) \subseteq the-Var` $\varrho` \text{subst-domain } \sigma$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-range-rename-subst-domain-subset*:
assumes *inj ϱ*
shows *subst-range (rename-subst-domain $\varrho \sigma$) \subseteq subst-range σ*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-vars-rename-subst-domain-subset*:
assumes *inj ϱ*
shows *range-vars (rename-subst-domain $\varrho \sigma$) \subseteq range-vars σ*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *renaming-cancels-rename-subst-domain*:
assumes *is-var- ϱ : $\forall x. \text{is-Var } (\varrho x)$ and inj ϱ and vars-t: vars-term $t \subseteq \text{subst-domain } \sigma$*
shows *$t \cdot \varrho \cdot \text{rename-subst-domain } \varrho \sigma = t \cdot \sigma$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3 Rename the Domain and Range of a Substitution

definition *rename-subst-domain-range* where

rename-subst-domain-range $\varrho \sigma x =$
(if $\text{Var } x \in \varrho` \text{subst-domain } \sigma$ then

```

(( Var o the-inv  $\varrho$ )  $\circ_s$   $\sigma$   $\circ_s$   $\varrho$ ) ( Var x)
else
  Var x)

lemma rename-subst-domain-range-Var-lhs[simp]:
  rename-subst-domain-range Var  $\sigma$  =  $\sigma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rename-subst-domain-range-Var-rhs[simp]:
  rename-subst-domain-range  $\varrho$  Var = Var
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-compose-renaming-rename-subst-domain-range:
  fixes  $\sigma$   $\varrho$  :: ('f, 'v) subst
  assumes is-var- $\varrho$ :  $\forall x$ . is-Var ( $\varrho$  x) and inj  $\varrho$ 
  shows  $\varrho$   $\circ_s$  rename-subst-domain-range  $\varrho$   $\sigma$  =  $\sigma$   $\circ_s$   $\varrho$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary subst-apply-term-renaming-rename-subst-domain-range:
  — This might be easier to find with find-theorems.
  fixes  $t$  :: ('f, 'v) term and  $\sigma$   $\varrho$  :: ('f, 'v) subst
  assumes is-var- $\varrho$ :  $\forall x$ . is-Var ( $\varrho$  x) and inj  $\varrho$ 
  shows  $t \cdot \varrho \cdot$  rename-subst-domain-range  $\varrho$   $\sigma$  =  $t \cdot \sigma \cdot \varrho$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

A term is called *ground* if it does not contain any variables.

```

fun ground :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where
    ground ( Var x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False |
    ground (Fun f ts)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall t \in$  set ts. ground t)

lemma ground-vars-term-empty:
  ground t  $\longleftrightarrow$  vars-term t = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ground-subst [simp]:
  ground (t ·  $\sigma$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x \in$  vars-term t. ground ( $\sigma$  x))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ground-subst-apply:
  assumes ground t
  shows t ·  $\sigma$  = t
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Just changing the variables in a term

abbreviation map-vars-term $f \equiv$ term.map-term (λx . x) f

```

lemma map-vars-term-as-subst:
  map-vars-term  $f$  t = t · ( $\lambda x$ . Var (f x))

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma map-vars-term-eq:
  map-vars-term f s = s · (Var ∘ f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma ground-map-vars-term [simp]:
  ground (map-vars-term f t) = ground t
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-vars-term-subst [simp]:
  map-vars-term f (t · σ) = t · (λ x. map-vars-term f (σ x))
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-vars-term-compose:
  map-vars-term m1 (map-vars-term m2 t) = map-vars-term (m1 o m2) t
⟨proof⟩

lemma map-vars-term-id [simp]:
  map-vars-term id t = t
⟨proof⟩

lemma eval-map-vars: I[map-vars-term f t]α = I[t](α ∘ f)
⟨proof⟩

lemma apply-subst-map-vars-term:
  map-vars-term m t · σ = t · (σ ∘ m)
⟨proof⟩

lemmas eval-o = eval-map-vars[symmetric]

lemma eval-eq-map-vars:
  assumes 1: t = map-vars-term f s and 2: ∀x. x ∈ vars-term s ⇒ α x = β (f x)
  shows I[s]α = I[t]β
⟨proof⟩

lemma ground-term-subst: vars-term t = {} ⇒ t · θ = t
⟨proof⟩

end

```

3.4 Multisets of Pairs of Terms

```

theory Term-Pair-Multiset
  imports
    Term
    HOL-Library.Multiset
begin

```

Multisets of pairs of terms are used in abstract inference systems for matching and unification.

3.5 Size

Make sure that every pair has size at least 1.

definition $\text{pair-size } p = \text{size}(\text{fst } p) + \text{size}(\text{snd } p) + 1$

Compute the number of symbols in a multiset of term pairs.

definition $\text{size-mset } M = \text{fold-mset } ((+) \circ \text{pair-size}) 0 M$

interpretation $\text{size-mset-fun}:$

$\text{comp-fun-commute } (+) \circ \text{pair-size}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{fold-pair-size-plus}:$

$\text{fold-mset } ((+) \circ \text{pair-size}) 0 M + n = \text{fold-mset } ((+) \circ \text{pair-size}) n M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{size-mset-union} [\text{simp}]:$

$\text{size-mset } (M + N) = \text{size-mset } N + \text{size-mset } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{size-mset-add-mset} [\text{simp}]:$

$\text{size-mset } (\text{add-mset } x M) = \text{pair-size } x + (\text{size-mset } M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nonempty-size-mset} [\text{simp}]:$

assumes $M \neq \{\#\}$
shows $\text{size-mset } M > 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{size-mset-singleton} [\text{simp}]:$

$\text{size-mset } \{\#(l, r)\#} = \text{size } l + \text{size } r + 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{size-mset-empty} [\text{simp}]:$

$\text{size-mset } \{\#\} = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{size-mset-set-zip-leq}:$

$\text{size-mset } (\text{mset } (\text{zip } ss ts)) \leq \text{size-list size } ss + \text{size-list size } ts$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{size-mset-Fun-less}:$

$\text{size-mset } \{\#(\text{Fun } f ss, \text{Fun } g ts)\#} > \text{size-mset } (\text{mset } (\text{zip } ss ts))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma decomp-size-mset-less:
  assumes length ss = length ts
  shows size-mset (M + mset (zip ss ts)) < size-mset (M + {#(Fun f ss, Fun f
ts)#{}})
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.5.1 Substitutions

Applying a substitution to a multiset of term pairs.

```

definition subst-mset σ M = image-mset (λp. (fst p · σ, snd p · σ)) M
lemma subst-mset-empty [simp]:
  subst-mset σ {#} = {#}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subst-mset-union:
  subst-mset σ (M + N) = subst-mset σ M + subst-mset σ N
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subst-mset-Var [simp]:
  subst-mset Var M = M
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subst-mset-subst-compose [simp]:
  subst-mset (σ ∘s τ) M = subst-mset τ (subst-mset σ M)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

3.5.2 Variables

Compute the set of variables occurring in a multiset of term pairs.

```

definition vars-mset M = ∪ (set-mset (image-mset (λr. vars-term (fst r) ∪ vars-term
(snd r)) M))

```

```

lemma vars-mset-singleton [simp]:
  vars-mset {#p#} = vars-term (fst p) ∪ vars-term (snd p)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vars-mset-union [simp]:
  vars-mset (A + B) = vars-mset A ∪ vars-mset B
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vars-mset-add-mset [simp]:
  vars-mset (add-mset x M) = vars-term (fst x) ∪ vars-term (snd x) ∪ vars-mset
M
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma vars-mset-set-zip [simp]:
  assumes length xs = length ys
  shows vars-mset (mset (zip xs ys)) = (∪ x ∈ set xs ∪ set ys. vars-term x)

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma not-in-vars-mset-subst-mset [simp]:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{vars-term } t$ 
  shows  $x \notin \text{vars-mset}(\text{subst-mset}(\text{subst } x \ t) \ M)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-mset-subst-mset-subset:
   $\text{vars-mset}(\text{subst-mset}(\text{subst } x \ t) \ M) \subseteq \text{vars-mset } M \cup \text{vars-term } t \cup \{x\}$  (is ?L
   $\subseteq$  ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Var-left-vars-mset-less:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{vars-term } t$ 
  shows  $\text{vars-mset}(\text{subst-mset}(\text{subst } x \ t) \ M) \subset \text{vars-mset}(\text{add-mset}(\text{Var } x, \ t) \ M)$  (is ?L  $\subset$  ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Var-right-vars-mset-less:
  assumes  $x \notin \text{vars-term } t$ 
  shows  $\text{vars-mset}(\text{subst-mset}(\text{subst } x \ t) \ M) \subset \text{vars-mset}(\text{add-mset}(t, \ \text{Var } x) \ M)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mem-vars-mset-subst-mset:
  assumes  $y \in \text{vars-mset}(\text{subst-mset}(\text{subst } x \ t) \ M)$ 
  and  $y \neq x$ 
  and  $y \notin \text{vars-term } t$ 
  shows  $y \in \text{vars-mset } M$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-vars-mset:
   $\text{finite}(\text{vars-mset } A)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 Abstract Matching

```

theory Abstract-Matching
imports
  Term-Pair-Multiset
  Abstract-Rewriting.Abstract-Rewriting
begin

```

```

lemma singleton-eq-union-iff [iff]:
   $\{\#x\#\} = M + \{\#y\#\} \longleftrightarrow M = \{\#\} \wedge x = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Turning functional maps into substitutions.

```

definition subst-of-map d σ x =
  (case σ x of
    None ⇒ d x
    | Some t ⇒ t)

lemma size-mset-mset-less [simp]:
  assumes length ss = length ts
  shows size-mset (mset (zip ss ts)) < 3 + (size-list size ss + size-list size ts)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition matchers :: (('f, 'v) term × ('f, 'w) term) set ⇒ ('f, 'v, 'w) gsubst set
  where
    matchers P = {σ. ∀ e ∈ P. fst e · σ = snd e}

lemma matchers-vars-term-eq:
  assumes σ ∈ matchers P and τ ∈ matchers P
  and (s, t) ∈ P
  shows ∀ x ∈ vars-term s. σ x = τ x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matchers-empty [simp]:
  matchers {} = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matchers-insert [simp]:
  matchers (insert e P) = {σ. fst e · σ = snd e} ∩ matchers P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matchers-Un [simp]:
  matchers (P ∪ P') = matchers P ∩ matchers P'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matchers-set-zip [simp]:
  assumes length ss = length ts
  shows matchers (set (zip ss ts)) = {σ. map (λt. t · σ) ss = ts}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition matchers-map m = matchers ((λx. (Var x, the (m x))) ` Map.dom m)

lemma matchers-map-empty [simp]:
  matchers-map Map.empty = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matchers-map-upd [simp]:
  assumes σ x = None ∨ σ x = Some t
  shows matchers-map (λy. if y = x then Some t else σ y) =
    matchers-map σ ∩ {τ. τ x = t} (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma matchers-map-upd' [simp]:
  assumes  $\sigma x = \text{None} \vee \sigma x = \text{Some } t$ 
  shows matchers-map ( $\sigma (x \mapsto t)$ ) = matchers-map  $\sigma \cap \{\tau. \tau x = t\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

inductive MATCH1 where
  Var [intro!, simp]:  $\sigma x = \text{None} \vee \sigma x = \text{Some } t \implies$ 
    MATCH1 ( $P + \{\#(\text{Var } x, t)\#\}, \sigma$ ) ( $P, \sigma (x \mapsto t)$ ) |
  Fun [intro]:  $\text{length } ss = \text{length } ts \implies$ 
    MATCH1 ( $P + \{\#(\text{Fun } f ss, \text{Fun } f ts)\#\}, \sigma$ ) ( $P + \text{mset } (\text{zip } ss ts), \sigma$ )

lemma MATCH1-matchers [simp]:
  assumes MATCH1  $x y$ 
  shows matchers-map (snd  $x$ )  $\cap$  matchers (set-mset (fst  $x$ )) =
    matchers-map (snd  $y$ )  $\cap$  matchers (set-mset (fst  $y$ ))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition matchrel =  $\{(x, y). \text{MATCH1 } x y\}$ 

lemma MATCH1-matchrel-conv:
  MATCH1  $x y \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in \text{matchrel}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma matchrel-rtranc1-matchers [simp]:
  assumes  $(x, y) \in \text{matchrel}^*$ 
  shows matchers-map (snd  $x$ )  $\cap$  matchers (set-mset (fst  $x$ )) =
    matchers-map (snd  $y$ )  $\cap$  matchers (set-mset (fst  $y$ ))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma subst-of-map-in-matchers-map [simp]:
  subst-of-map  $d m \in \text{matchers-map } m$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma matchrel-sound:
  assumes  $((P, \text{Map.empty}), (\{\#\}, \sigma)) \in \text{matchrel}^*$ 
  shows subst-of-map  $d \sigma \in \text{matchers } (\text{set-mset } P)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma MATCH1-size-mset:
  assumes MATCH1  $x y$ 
  shows size-mset (fst  $x$ ) > size-mset (fst  $y$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition matchless = inv-image (measure size-mset) fst

lemma wf-matchless:
  wf matchless
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma MATCH1-matchless:
  assumes MATCH1 x y
  shows (y, x) ∈ matchless
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma converse-matchrel-subset-matchless:
  matchrel-1 ⊆ matchless
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf-converse-matchrel:
  wf (matchrel-1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma MATCH1-singleton-Var [intro]:
  σ x = None ⇒ MATCH1 ({#(Var x, t)#}, σ) ({#}, σ (x ↦ t))
  σ x = Some t ⇒ MATCH1 ({#(Var x, t)#}, σ) ({#}, σ (x ↦ t))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma MATCH1-singleton-Fun [intro]:
  length ss = length ts ⇒ MATCH1 ({#(Fun f ss, Fun f ts)#}, σ) (mset (zip ss
  ts), σ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma not-MATCH1-singleton-Var [dest]:
  ¬ MATCH1 ({#(Var x, t)#}, σ) ({#}, σ (x ↦ t)) ⇒ σ x ≠ None ∧ σ x ≠
  Some t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma not-matchrelD:
  assumes ¬ (∃ y. (({#e#}, σ), y) ∈ matchrel)
  shows (∃ f ss x. e = (Fun f ss, Var x)) ∨
    (∃ x t. e = (Var x, t) ∧ σ x ≠ None ∧ σ x ≠ Some t) ∨
    (∃ f g ss ts. e = (Fun f ss, Fun g ts) ∧ (f ≠ g ∨ length ss ≠ length ts))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ne-matchers-imp-matchrel:
  assumes matchers-map σ ∩ matchers {e} ≠ {}
  shows ∃ y. (({#e#}, σ), y) ∈ matchrel
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma MATCH1-mono:
  assumes MATCH1 (P, σ) (P', σ')
  shows MATCH1 (P + M, σ) (P' + M, σ')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matchrel-mono:
  assumes (x, y) ∈ matchrel
  shows ((fst x + M, snd x), (fst y + M, snd y)) ∈ matchrel

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma matchrel-rtrancl-mono:
  assumes  $(x, y) \in \text{matchrel}^*$ 
  shows  $((\text{fst } x + M, \text{snd } x), (\text{fst } y + M, \text{snd } y)) \in \text{matchrel}^*$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ne-matchers-imp-empty-or-matchrel:
  assumes  $\text{matchers-map } \sigma \cap \text{matchers}(\text{set-mset } P) \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $P = \{\#\} \vee (\exists y. ((P, \sigma), y) \in \text{matchrel})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matchrel-imp-converse-matchless [dest]:
   $(x, y) \in \text{matchrel} \implies (y, x) \in \text{matchless}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ne-matchers-imp-empty:
  fixes  $P :: (('f, 'v) \text{ term} \times ('f, 'w) \text{ term}) \text{ multiset}$ 
  assumes  $\text{matchers-map } \sigma \cap \text{matchers}(\text{set-mset } P) \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $\exists \sigma'. ((P, \sigma), (\{\#\}, \sigma')) \in \text{matchrel}^*$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma empty-not-reachable-imp-matchers-empty:
  assumes  $\bigwedge \sigma'. ((P, \sigma), (\{\#\}, \sigma')) \notin \text{matchrel}^*$ 
  shows  $\text{matchers-map } \sigma \cap \text{matchers}(\text{set-mset } P) = \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma irreducible-reachable-imp-matchers-empty:
  assumes  $((P, \sigma), y) \in \text{matchrel}^! \text{ and } \text{fst } y \neq \{\#\}$ 
  shows  $\text{matchers-map } \sigma \cap \text{matchers}(\text{set-mset } P) = \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matchers-map-not-empty [simp]:
   $\text{matchers-map } \sigma \neq \{\}$ 
   $\{\} \neq \text{matchers-map } \sigma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matchers-empty-imp-not-empty-NF:
  assumes  $\text{matchers}(\text{set-mset } P) = \{\}$ 
  shows  $\exists y. \text{fst } y \neq \{\#} \wedge ((P, \text{Map.empty}), y) \in \text{matchrel}^!$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

5 Unification

5.1 Unifiers

Definition and properties of (most general) unifiers

```

theory Unifiers
  imports Term
begin

lemma map-eq-set-zipD [dest]:
  assumes map f xs = map f ys
  and (x, y) ∈ set (zip xs ys)
  shows f x = f y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

type-synonym ('f, 'v) equation = ('f, 'v) term × ('f, 'v) term
type-synonym ('f, 'v) equations = ('f, 'v) equation set

The set of unifiers for a given set of equations.

```

definition unifiers :: ('f, 'v) equations ⇒ ('f, 'v) subst set
  where
    unifiers E = {σ. ∀ p ∈ E. fst p · σ = snd p · σ}

```

Check whether a set of equations is unifiable.

```

definition unifiable E ←→ (∃ σ. σ ∈ unifiers E)

```

```

lemma in-unifiersE [elim]:
  [σ ∈ unifiers E; (∀ e. e ∈ E ⇒ fst e · σ = snd e · σ) ⇒ P] ⇒ P
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Applying a substitution to a set of equations.

```

definition subst-set :: ('f, 'v) subst ⇒ ('f, 'v) equations ⇒ ('f, 'v) equations
  where
    subst-set σ E = (λ e. (fst e · σ, snd e · σ)) ` E

```

Check whether a substitution is a most-general unifier (mgu) of a set of equations.

```

definition is-mgu :: ('f, 'v) subst ⇒ ('f, 'v) equations ⇒ bool
  where
    is-mgu σ E ←→ σ ∈ unifiers E ∧ (∀ τ ∈ unifiers E. (∃ γ. τ = σ ∘s γ))

```

The following property characterizes idempotent mgus, that is, mgus σ for which $\sigma \circ_s \sigma = \sigma$ holds.

```

definition is-imgu :: ('f, 'v) subst ⇒ ('f, 'v) equations ⇒ bool
  where
    is-imgu σ E ←→ σ ∈ unifiers E ∧ (∀ τ ∈ unifiers E. τ = σ ∘s τ)

```

5.1.1 Properties of sets of unifiers

```

lemma unifiers-Un [simp]:
  unifiers (s ∪ t) = unifiers s ∩ unifiers t
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unifiers-empty [simp]:
  unifiers {} = UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiers-insert:
  unifiers (insert p t) = {σ. fst p · σ = snd p · σ} ∩ unifiers t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiers-insert-ident [simp]:
  unifiers (insert (t, t) E) = unifiers E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiers-insert-swap:
  unifiers (insert (s, t) E) = unifiers (insert (t, s) E)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiers-insert-Var-swap [simp]:
  unifiers (insert (t, Var x) E) = unifiers (insert (Var x, t) E)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiers-subst-set [simp]:
  τ ∈ unifiers (subst-set σ E) ↔ σ ∘s τ ∈ unifiers E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiers-insert-VarD:
  shows σ ∈ unifiers (insert (Var x, t) E) ⇒ subst x t ∘s σ = σ
  and σ ∈ unifiers (insert (t, Var x) E) ⇒ subst x t ∘s σ = σ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiers-insert-Var-left:
  σ ∈ unifiers (insert (Var x, t) E) ⇒ σ ∈ unifiers (subst-set (subst x t) E)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiers-set-zip [simp]:
  assumes length ss = length ts
  shows unifiers (set (zip ss ts)) = {σ. map (λt. t · σ) ss = map (λt. t · σ) ts}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiers-Fun [simp]:
  σ ∈ unifiers {(Fun f ss, Fun g ts)} ↔
    length ss = length ts ∧ f = g ∧ σ ∈ unifiers (set (zip ss ts))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiers-occur-left-is-Fun:
  fixes t :: ('f, 'v) term
  assumes x ∈ vars-term t and is-Fun t
  shows unifiers (insert (Var x, t) E) = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unifiers-occur-left-not-Var:
   $x \in \text{vars-term } t \implies t \neq \text{Var } x \implies \text{unifiers}(\text{insert}(\text{Var } x, t) E) = \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiers-occur-left-Fun:
   $x \in (\bigcup t \in \text{set ts}. \text{vars-term } t) \implies \text{unifiers}(\text{insert}(\text{Var } x, \text{Fun } f ts) E) = \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas unifiers-occur-left-simps [simp] =
  unifiers-occur-left-is-Fun
  unifiers-occur-left-not-Var
  unifiers-occur-left-Fun

```

5.1.2 Properties of unifiability

```

lemma in-vars-is-Fun-not-unifiable:
  assumes  $x \in \text{vars-term } t$  and  $\text{is-Fun } t$ 
  shows  $\neg \text{unifiable}\{(\text{Var } x, t)\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unifiable-insert-swap:
   $\text{unifiable}(\text{insert}(s, t) E) = \text{unifiable}(\text{insert}(t, s) E)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subst-set-reflects-unifiable:
  fixes  $\sigma :: ('f, 'v) \text{subst}$ 
  assumes  $\text{unifiable}(\text{subst-set } \sigma E)$ 
  shows  $\text{unifiable } E$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.1.3 Properties of is-mgu

```

lemma is-mgu-empty [simp]:
   $\text{is-mgu } \text{Var } \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma is-mgu-insert-trivial [simp]:
   $\text{is-mgu } \sigma(\text{insert}(t, t) E) = \text{is-mgu } \sigma E$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma is-mgu-insert-decomp [simp]:
  assumes  $\text{length } ss = \text{length } ts$ 
  shows  $\text{is-mgu } \sigma(\text{insert}(\text{Fun } f ss, \text{Fun } f ts) E) \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $\text{is-mgu } \sigma(E \cup \text{set}(\text{zip } ss ts))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma is-mgu-insert-swap:
   $\text{is-mgu } \sigma(\text{insert}(s, t) E) = \text{is-mgu } \sigma(\text{insert}(t, s) E)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma is-mgu-insert-Var-swap [simp]:
  is-mgu σ (insert (t, Var x) E) = is-mgu σ (insert (Var x, t) E)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-mgu-subst-set-subst:
  assumes x ∉ vars-term t
  and is-mgu σ (subst-set (subst x t) E) (is is-mgu σ ?E)
  shows is-mgu (subst x t os σ) (insert (Var x, t) E) (is is-mgu ?σ ?E')
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-imgu-imp-is-mgu:
  assumes is-imgu σ E
  shows is-mgu σ E
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.1.4 Properties of is-imgu

```

lemma rename-subst-domain-range-preserves-is-imgu:
  fixes E :: ('f, 'v) equations and μ ρ :: ('f, 'v) subst
  assumes imgu-μ: is-imgu μ E and is-var-ρ: ∀ x. is-Var (ρ x) and inj ρ
  shows is-imgu (rename-subst-domain-range ρ μ) (subst-set ρ E)
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary rename-subst-domain-range-preserves-is-imgu-singleton:
  fixes t u :: ('f, 'v) term and μ ρ :: ('f, 'v) subst
  assumes imgu-μ: is-imgu μ {(t, u)} and is-var-ρ: ∀ x. is-Var (ρ x) and inj ρ
  shows is-imgu (rename-subst-domain-range ρ μ) {(t · ρ, u · ρ)}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

5.2 Abstract Unification

We formalize an inference system for unification.

```

theory Abstract-Unification
  imports
    Unifiers
    Term-Pair-Multiset
    Abstract-Rewriting.Abstract-Rewriting
  begin

```

```

lemma foldr-assoc:
  assumes ⋀ f g h. b (b f g) h = b f (b g h)
  shows foldr b xs (b y z) = b (foldr b xs y) z
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *union-commutes*:

$$\begin{aligned} M + \{\#x\#} + N &= M + N + \{\#x\#} \\ M + mset\ xs + N &= M + N + mset\ xs \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

5.2.1 Inference Rules

Inference rules with explicit substitutions.

inductive

$$UNIF1 :: ('f, 'v) subst \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) equation multiset \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) equation multiset$$

\Rightarrow bool

where

$$\begin{aligned} trivial [simp]: UNIF1\ Var\ (add-mset\ (t, t)\ E) E &| \\ decomp: [\![length\ ss = length\ ts]\!] \Rightarrow & \\ UNIF1\ Var\ (add-mset\ (Fun\ f\ ss, Fun\ f\ ts)\ E) (E + mset\ (zip\ ss\ ts)) &| \\ Var-left: [\![x \notin vars-term\ t]\!] \Rightarrow & \\ UNIF1\ (subst\ x\ t) (add-mset\ (Var\ x, t)\ E) (subst-mset\ (subst\ x\ t)\ E) &| \\ Var-right: [\![x \notin vars-term\ t]\!] \Rightarrow & \\ UNIF1\ (subst\ x\ t) (add-mset\ (t, Var\ x)\ E) (subst-mset\ (subst\ x\ t)\ E) & \end{aligned}$$

Relation version of *UNIF1* with implicit substitutions.

$$\text{definition } unif = \{(x, y). \exists \sigma. UNIF1\ \sigma\ x\ y\}$$

lemma *unif-UNIF1-conv*:

$$(E, E') \in unif \longleftrightarrow (\exists \sigma. UNIF1\ \sigma\ E\ E')$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UNIF1-unifD*:

$$UNIF1\ \sigma\ E\ E' \Rightarrow (E, E') \in unif$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

A termination order for *UNIF1*.

definition *unifless* :: $(('f, 'v) equation multiset \times ('f, 'v) equation multiset)$ set
where

$$unifless = \text{inv-image}\ (\text{finite-psubset}\ \langle *lex* \rangle\ \text{measure size-mset})\ (\lambda x. (vars-mset\ x, x))$$

lemma *wf-unifless*:

wf unifless

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UNIF1-vars-mset-leg*:

assumes *UNIF1 σ E E'*

shows *vars-mset E' ⊆ vars-mset E*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vars-mset-subset-size-mset-uniflessI* [*intro*]:

$$\text{vars-mset } M \subseteq \text{vars-mset } N \Rightarrow \text{size-mset } M < \text{size-mset } N \Rightarrow (M, N) \in unifless$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vars-mset-psubset-uniflessI* [*intro*]:
vars-mset M ⊂ vars-mset N \implies $(M, N) \in \text{unifless}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UNIF1-unifless*:
assumes *UNIF1 σ E E'*
shows $(E', E) \in \text{unifless}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse-unif-subset-unifless*:
 $\text{unif}^{-1} \subseteq \text{unifless}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2.2 Termination of the Inference Rules

lemma *wf-converse-unif*:
wf (unif⁻¹)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Reflexive and transitive closure of *UNIF1* collecting substitutions produced by single steps.

inductive
UNIF :: ('f, 'v) subst list \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) equation multiset \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) equation multiset
 \Rightarrow *bool*
where
empty [*simp, intro!*]: *UNIF [] E E |*
step [*intro*]: *UNIF1 σ E E' \implies UNIF ss E' E'' \implies UNIF (σ # ss) E E''*

lemma *unif-rtrancl-UNIF-conv*:
 $(E, E') \in \text{unif}^* \longleftrightarrow (\exists ss. \text{UNIF } ss E E')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Compose a list of substitutions.

definition *compose :: ('f, 'v) subst list \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) subst*
where
compose ss = List.foldr (o_s) ss Var

lemma *compose-simps* [*simp*]:
compose [] = Var
compose (Var # ss) = compose ss
compose (σ # ss) = σ o_s compose ss
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compose-append* [*simp*]:
compose (ss @ ts) = compose ss o_s compose ts
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma set-mset-subst-mset [simp]:
  set-mset (subst-mset  $\sigma$  E) = subst-set  $\sigma$  (set-mset E)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma UNIF1-subst-domain-Int:
  assumes UNIF1  $\sigma$  E E'
  shows subst-domain  $\sigma \cap$  vars-mset E' = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma UNIF1-subst-domain-subset:
  assumes UNIF1  $\sigma$  E E'
  shows subst-domain  $\sigma \subseteq$  vars-mset E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma UNIF-subst-domain-subset:
  assumes UNIF ss E E'
  shows subst-domain (compose ss)  $\subseteq$  vars-mset E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma UNIF1-range-vars-subset:
  assumes UNIF1  $\sigma$  E E'
  shows range-vars  $\sigma \subseteq$  vars-mset E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma UNIF1-subst-domain-range-vars-Int:
  assumes UNIF1  $\sigma$  E E'
  shows subst-domain  $\sigma \cap$  range-vars  $\sigma = \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma UNIF-range-vars-subset:
  assumes UNIF ss E E'
  shows range-vars (compose ss)  $\subseteq$  vars-mset E
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma UNIF-subst-domain-range-vars-Int:
  assumes UNIF ss E E'
  shows subst-domain (compose ss)  $\cap$  range-vars (compose ss) = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

The inference rules generate idempotent substitutions.

lemma UNIF-idemp:
  assumes UNIF ss E E'
  shows compose ss  $\circ_s$  compose ss = compose ss
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma UNIF1-mono:
  assumes UNIF1  $\sigma$  E E'
  shows UNIF1  $\sigma$  (E + M) (E' + subst-mset  $\sigma$  M)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unif-mono:
  assumes  $(E, E') \in \text{unif}$ 
  shows  $\exists \sigma. (E + M, E' + \text{subst-mset } \sigma M) \in \text{unif}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma unif-rtranc1-mono:
  assumes  $(E, E') \in \text{unif}^*$ 
  shows  $\exists \sigma. (E + M, E' + \text{subst-mset } \sigma M) \in \text{unif}^*$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

5.2.3 Soundness of the Inference Rules

The inference rules of unification are sound in the sense that when the empty set of equations is reached, a most general unifier is obtained.

```

lemma UNIF-empty-imp-is-mgu-compose:
  fixes  $E :: ('f, 'v)$  equation multiset
  assumes UNIF ss  $E \{\#\}$ 
  shows is-mgu (compose ss) (set-mset  $E$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

5.2.4 Completeness of the Inference Rules

```

lemma UNIF1-singleton-decomp [intro]:
  assumes length ss = length ts
  shows UNIF1 Var {#(Fun f ss, Fun f ts)#} (mset (zip ss ts))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma UNIF1-singleton-Var-left [intro]:
   $x \notin \text{vars-term } t \implies \text{UNIF1 } (\text{subst } x t) \{ \#(\text{Var } x, t) \# \} \{ \# \}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma UNIF1-singleton-Var-right [intro]:
   $x \notin \text{vars-term } t \implies \text{UNIF1 } (\text{subst } x t) \{ \#(t, \text{Var } x) \# \} \{ \# \}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma not-UNIF1-singleton-Var-right [dest]:
   $\neg \text{UNIF1 Var } \{ \#(\text{Var } x, \text{Var } y) \# \} \{ \# \} \implies x \neq y$ 
   $\neg \text{UNIF1 } (\text{subst } x (\text{Var } y)) \{ \#(\text{Var } x, \text{Var } y) \# \} \{ \# \} \implies x = y$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma not-unifD:
  assumes  $\neg (\exists E'. (\{ \#e \# \}, E') \in \text{unif})$ 
  shows  $(\exists x t. (e = (\text{Var } x, t) \vee e = (t, \text{Var } x)) \wedge x \in \text{vars-term } t \wedge \text{is-Fun } t) \vee$ 
     $(\exists f g ss ts. e = (\text{Fun } f ss, \text{Fun } g ts) \wedge (f \neq g \vee \text{length } ss \neq \text{length } ts))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma unifiable-imp-unif:
  assumes unifiable {e}

```

```

shows  $\exists E'. (\{\#e\}, E') \in \text{unif}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unifiable-imp-empty-or-unif:
assumes unifiable (set-mset E)
shows  $E = \{\#\} \vee (\exists E'. (E, E') \in \text{unif})$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma UNIF1-preserves-unifiers:
assumes UNIF1 σ E E' and τ ∈ unifiers (set-mset E)
shows  $(\sigma \circ_s \tau) \in \text{unifiers (set-mset E')}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unif-preserves-unifiable:
assumes  $(E, E') \in \text{unif}$  and unifiable (set-mset E)
shows unifiable (set-mset E')
⟨proof⟩

lemma unif-imp-converse-unifless [dest]:
 $(x, y) \in \text{unif} \implies (y, x) \in \text{unifless}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Every unifiable set of equations can be reduced to the empty set by applying the inference rules of unification.

```

lemma unifiable-imp-empty:
assumes unifiable (set-mset E)
shows  $(E, \{\#\}) \in \text{unif}^*$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma unif-rtrancl-empty-imp-unifiable:
assumes  $(E, \{\#\}) \in \text{unif}^*$ 
shows unifiable (set-mset E)
⟨proof⟩

lemma not-unifiable-imp-not-empty-NF:
assumes  $\neg \text{unifiable (set-mset E)}$ 
shows  $\exists E'. E' \neq \{\#\} \wedge (E, E') \in \text{unif}^!$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unif-rtrancl-preserves-unifiable:
assumes  $(E, E') \in \text{unif}^*$  and unifiable (set-mset E)
shows unifiable (set-mset E')
⟨proof⟩

```

The inference rules for unification are complete in the sense that whenever it is not possible to reduce a set of equations E to the empty set, then E is not unifiable.

```

lemma empty-not-reachable-imp-not-unifiable:
assumes  $(E, \{\#\}) \notin \text{unif}^*$ 

```

```

shows  $\neg \text{unifiable}(\text{set-mset } E)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

It is enough to reach an irreducible set of equations to conclude non-unifiability.

```

lemma irreducible-reachable-imp-not-unifiable:
  assumes  $(E, E') \in \text{unif}^!$  and  $E' \neq \{\#\}$ 
  shows  $\neg \text{unifiable}(\text{set-mset } E)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

5.3 A Concrete Unification Algorithm

```

theory Unification
imports
  Abstract-Unification
  Option-Monad
  Renaming2
begin

```

```
definition
```

```

  decompose  $s t =$ 
    (case  $(s, t)$  of
       $(\text{Fun } f ss, \text{Fun } g ts) \Rightarrow$  if  $f = g$  then zip-option  $ss ts$  else None
       $| - \Rightarrow \text{None}$ )

```

```

lemma decompose-same-Fun[simp]:
  decompose  $(\text{Fun } f ss) (\text{Fun } f ss) = \text{Some}(\text{zip } ss ss)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma decompose-Some [dest]:

```

```

  decompose  $(\text{Fun } f ss) (\text{Fun } g ts) = \text{Some } E \implies$ 
     $f = g \wedge \text{length } ss = \text{length } ts \wedge E = \text{zip } ss ts$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma decompose-None [dest]:

```

```

  decompose  $(\text{Fun } f ss) (\text{Fun } g ts) = \text{None} \implies f \neq g \vee \text{length } ss \neq \text{length } ts$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

Applying a substitution to a list of equations.

```
definition
```

```

  subst-list ::  $('f, 'v)$  subst  $\Rightarrow ('f, 'v)$  equation list  $\Rightarrow ('f, 'v)$  equation list
where
  subst-list  $\sigma$   $ys = \text{map } (\lambda p. (\text{fst } p \cdot \sigma, \text{snd } p \cdot \sigma)) ys$ 

```

```

lemma mset-subst-list [simp]:

```

```

  mset  $(\text{subst-list } (\text{subst } x t) ys) = \text{subst-mset } (\text{subst } x t) (\text{mset } ys)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma subst-list-append:
  subst-list σ (xs @ ys) = subst-list σ xs @ subst-list σ ys
  ⟨proof⟩

function (sequential)
  unify :: ('f, 'v) equation list ⇒ ('v × ('f, 'v) term) list ⇒ ('v × ('f, 'v) term) list option
where
  unify [] bs = Some bs
  | unify ((Fun f ss, Fun g ts) # E) bs =
    (case decompose (Fun f ss) (Fun g ts) of
     None ⇒ None
     | Some us ⇒ unify (us @ E) bs)
  | unify ((Var x, t) # E) bs =
    (if t = Var x then unify E bs
     else if x ∈ vars-term t then None
     else unify (subst-list (subst x t) E) ((x, t) # bs))
  | unify ((t, Var x) # E) bs =
    (if x ∈ vars-term t then None
     else unify (subst-list (subst x t) E) ((x, t) # bs))
  ⟨proof⟩

termination
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unify-append-prefix-same:
  (∀ e ∈ set es1. fst e = snd e) ⇒ unify (es1 @ es2) bs = unify es2 bs
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary unify-Cons-same:
  fst e = snd e ⇒ unify (e # es) bs = unify es bs
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary unify-same:
  (∀ e ∈ set es. fst e = snd e) ⇒ unify es bs = Some bs
  ⟨proof⟩

definition subst-of :: ('v × ('f, 'v) term) list ⇒ ('f, 'v) subst
where
  subst-of ss = List.foldr (λ(x, t) σ. σ ∘s subst x t) ss Var

Computing the mgu of two terms.

definition mgu :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ ('f, 'v) term ⇒ ('f, 'v) subst option where
  mgu s t =
  (case unify [(s, t)] [] of
   None ⇒ None
   | Some res ⇒ Some (subst-of res))

lemma subst-of-simps [simp]:
  subst-of [] = Var

```

```

subst-of ((x, Var x) # ss) = subst-of ss
subst-of (b # ss) = subst-of ss os subst (fst b) (snd b)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subst-of-append [simp]:
  subst-of (ss @ ts) = subst-of ts os subst-of ss
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The concrete algorithm *unify* can be simulated by the inference rules of *UNIF*.

```

lemma unify-Some-UNIF:
  assumes unify E bs = Some cs
  shows ∃ ds ss. cs = ds @ bs ∧ subst-of ds = compose ss ∧ UNIF ss (mset E)
{#}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unify-sound:
  assumes unify E [] = Some cs
  shows is-imgu (subst-of cs) (set E)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mgu-sound:
  assumes mgu s t = Some σ
  shows is-imgu σ {(s, t)}
⟨proof⟩

```

If *unify* gives up, then the given set of equations cannot be reduced to the empty set by *UNIF*.

```

lemma unify-None:
  assumes unify E ss = None
  shows ∃ E'. E' ≠ {} ∧ (mset E, E') ∈ unif!
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unify-complete:
  assumes unify E bs = None
  shows unifiers (set E) = {}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary ex-unify-if-unifiers-not-empty:
  unifiers es ≠ {} ⇒ set xs = es ⇒ ∃ ys. unify xs [] = Some ys
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma mgu-complete:
  mgu s t = None ⇒ unifiers {(s, t)} = {}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary ex-mgu-if-unifiers-not-empty:
  unifiers {(t,u)} ≠ {} ⇒ ∃ μ. mgu t u = Some μ
⟨proof⟩

```

```

corollary ex-mgu-if-subst-apply-term-eq-subst-apply-term:
  fixes t u :: ('f, 'v) Term.term and σ :: ('f, 'v) subst
  assumes t-eq-u: t · σ = u · σ
  shows ∃μ :: ('f, 'v) subst. Unification.mgu t u = Some μ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma finite-subst-domain-subst-of:
  finite (subst-domain (subst-of xs))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unify-subst-domain:
  assumes unif: unify E [] = Some xs
  shows subst-domain (subst-of xs) ⊆ (⋃ e ∈ set E. vars-term (fst e) ∪ vars-term
  (snd e))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mgu-subst-domain:
  assumes mgu s t = Some σ
  shows subst-domain σ ⊆ vars-term s ∪ vars-term t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mgu-finite-subst-domain:
  mgu s t = Some σ ⇒ finite (subst-domain σ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unify-range-vars:
  assumes unif: unify E [] = Some xs
  shows range-vars (subst-of xs) ⊆ (⋃ e ∈ set E. vars-term (fst e) ∪ vars-term
  (snd e))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mgu-range-vars:
  assumes mgu s t = Some μ
  shows range-vars μ ⊆ vars-term s ∪ vars-term t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unify-subst-domain-range-vars-disjoint:
  assumes unif: unify E [] = Some xs
  shows subst-domain (subst-of xs) ∩ range-vars (subst-of xs) = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mgu-subst-domain-range-vars-disjoint:
  assumes mgu s t = Some μ
  shows subst-domain μ ∩ range-vars μ = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary subst-apply-term-eq-subst-apply-term-if-mgu:
  assumes mgu t u = Some μ

```

shows $t \cdot \mu = u \cdot \mu$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mgu-same*: $mgu t t = Some\ Var$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mgu-is-Var-if-not-in-equations*:
fixes $\mu :: ('f, 'v)$ **subst and** $E :: ('f, 'v)$ **equations and** $x :: 'v$
assumes
 $mgu-\mu: is-mgu \mu E$ **and**
 $x-not-in: x \notin (\bigcup_{e \in E} vars-term (fst e) \cup vars-term (snd e))$
shows *is-Var* (μx)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *mgu-ball-is-Var*:
 $is-mgu \mu E \implies \forall x \in - (\bigcup_{e \in E} vars-term (fst e) \cup vars-term (snd e)). is-Var (\mu x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mgu-inj-on*:
fixes $\mu :: ('f, 'v)$ **subst and** $E :: ('f, 'v)$ **equations**
assumes *mgu-μ*: *is-mgu* μE
shows *inj-on* $\mu (- (\bigcup_{e \in E} vars-term (fst e) \cup vars-term (snd e)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imgu-subst-domain-subset*:
fixes $\mu :: ('f, 'v)$ **subst and** $E :: ('f, 'v)$ **equations and** $Evars :: 'v set$
assumes *imgu-μ*: *is-imgu* μE **and** *fin-E*: *finite* E
defines $Evars \equiv (\bigcup_{e \in E} vars-term (fst e) \cup vars-term (snd e))$
shows *subst-domain* $\mu \subseteq Evars$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imgu-range-vars-of-equations-vars-subset*:
fixes $\mu :: ('f, 'v)$ **subst and** $E :: ('f, 'v)$ **equations and** $Evars :: 'v set$
assumes *imgu-μ*: *is-imgu* μE **and** *fin-E*: *finite* E
defines $Evars \equiv (\bigcup_{e \in E} vars-term (fst e) \cup vars-term (snd e))$
shows $(\bigcup_{x \in Evars} vars-term (\mu x)) \subseteq Evars$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imgu-range-vars-subset*:
fixes $\mu :: ('f, 'v)$ **subst and** $E :: ('f, 'v)$ **equations**
assumes *imgu-μ*: *is-imgu* μE **and** *fin-E*: *finite* E
shows *range-vars* $\mu \subseteq (\bigcup_{e \in E} vars-term (fst e) \cup vars-term (snd e))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *the-mgu* :: $('f, 'v)$ *term* $\Rightarrow ('f, 'v)$ *term* $\Rightarrow ('f, 'v)$ *subst where*
 $the-mgu s t = (case mgu s t of None \Rightarrow Var \mid Some \delta \Rightarrow \delta)$

```

lemma the-mgu-is-imgu:
  fixes  $\sigma :: ('f, 'v) \text{ subst}$ 
  assumes  $s \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \sigma$ 
  shows is-imgu (the-mgu s t) {(s, t)}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma the-mgu:
  fixes  $\sigma :: ('f, 'v) \text{ subst}$ 
  assumes  $s \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \sigma$ 
  shows  $s \cdot \text{the-mgu } s t = t \cdot \text{the-mgu } s t \wedge \sigma = \text{the-mgu } s t \circ_s \sigma$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

5.3.1 Unification of two terms where variables should be considered disjoint

definition

```

mgu-var-disjoint-generic :: 
  ('v  $\Rightarrow$  'u)  $\Rightarrow$  ('w  $\Rightarrow$  'u)  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'w) term  $\Rightarrow$ 
  (('f, 'v, 'u) gsubst  $\times$  ('f, 'w, 'u) gsubst) option

```

where

```

mgu-var-disjoint-generic vuwu s t =
  (case mgu (map-vars-term vu s) (map-vars-term wu t) of
    None  $\Rightarrow$  None
  | Some  $\gamma$   $\Rightarrow$  Some ( $\gamma \circ vu, \gamma \circ wu$ ))

```

```

lemma mgu-var-disjoint-generic-sound:
  assumes unif: mgu-var-disjoint-generic vuwu s t = Some ( $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ )
  shows  $s \cdot \gamma_1 = t \cdot \gamma_2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mgu-var-disjoint-generic-complete:
  fixes  $\sigma :: ('f, 'v, 'u) \text{ gsubst}$  and  $\tau :: ('f, 'w, 'u) \text{ gsubst}$ 
  and  $vu :: 'v \Rightarrow 'u$  and  $wu :: 'w \Rightarrow 'u$ 
  assumes inj: inj vu inj wu
  and  $vwu: \text{range } vu \cap \text{range } wu = \{\}$ 
  and unif-disj:  $s \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \tau$ 
  shows  $\exists \mu_1 \mu_2 \delta. \text{mgu-var-disjoint-generic } vuwu s t = \text{Some } (\mu_1, \mu_2) \wedge$ 
     $\sigma = \mu_1 \circ_s \delta \wedge$ 
     $\tau = \mu_2 \circ_s \delta \wedge$ 
     $s \cdot \mu_1 = t \cdot \mu_2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

abbreviation mgu-var-disjoint-sum \equiv mgu-var-disjoint-generic Inl Inr

```

lemma mgu-var-disjoint-sum-sound:
  mgu-var-disjoint-sum s t = Some ( $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$ )  $\Rightarrow$   $s \cdot \gamma_1 = t \cdot \gamma_2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mgu-var-disjoint-sum-complete:
  fixes  $\sigma :: ('f, 'v, 'v + 'w) \text{ gsubst}$  and  $\tau :: ('f, 'w, 'v + 'w) \text{ gsubst}$ 
  assumes unif-disj:  $s \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \tau$ 
  shows  $\exists \mu_1 \mu_2 \delta. \text{mgu-var-disjoint-sum } s t = \text{Some } (\mu_1, \mu_2) \wedge$ 
     $\sigma = \mu_1 \circ_s \delta \wedge$ 
     $\tau = \mu_2 \circ_s \delta \wedge$ 
     $s \cdot \mu_1 = t \cdot \mu_2$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mgu-var-disjoint-sum-instance:
  fixes  $\sigma :: ('f, 'v) \text{ subst}$  and  $\delta :: ('f, 'v) \text{ subst}$ 
  assumes unif-disj:  $s \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \delta$ 
  shows  $\exists \mu_1 \mu_2 \tau. \text{mgu-var-disjoint-sum } s t = \text{Some } (\mu_1, \mu_2) \wedge$ 
     $\sigma = \mu_1 \circ_s \tau \wedge$ 
     $\delta = \mu_2 \circ_s \tau \wedge$ 
     $s \cdot \mu_1 = t \cdot \mu_2$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

5.3.2 A variable disjoint unification algorithm without changing the type

We pass the renaming function as additional argument

```

definition mgu-vd :: ' $v :: \text{infinite}$ '  $\Rightarrow \text{renaming2} \Rightarrow \text{renaming2}$  where
  mgu-vd  $r = \text{mgu-var-disjoint-generic } (\text{rename-1 } r) (\text{rename-2 } r)$ 

```

```

lemma mgu-vd-sound:  $\text{mgu-vd } r s t = \text{Some } (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \implies s \cdot \gamma_1 = t \cdot \gamma_2$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mgu-vd-complete:
  fixes  $\sigma :: ('f, 'v :: \text{infinite}) \text{ subst}$  and  $\tau :: ('f, 'v) \text{ subst}$ 
  assumes unif-disj:  $s \cdot \sigma = t \cdot \tau$ 
  shows  $\exists \mu_1 \mu_2 \delta. \text{mgu-vd } r s t = \text{Some } (\mu_1, \mu_2) \wedge$ 
     $\sigma = \mu_1 \circ_s \delta \wedge$ 
     $\tau = \mu_2 \circ_s \delta \wedge$ 
     $s \cdot \mu_1 = t \cdot \mu_2$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

end

6 Matching

```

theory Matching
imports
  Abstract-Matching
  Unification
begin

```

```

function match-term-list

```

```

where
  match-term-list [] σ = Some σ |
  match-term-list ((Var x, t) # P) σ =
    (if σ x = None ∨ σ x = Some t then match-term-list P (σ (x ↦ t)))
    else None) |
  match-term-list ((Fun f ss, Fun g ts) # P) σ =
    (case decompose (Fun f ss) (Fun g ts) of
      None ⇒ None
      | Some us ⇒ match-term-list (us @ P) σ) |
  match-term-list ((Fun f ss, Var x) # P) σ = None
  ⟨proof⟩
termination
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma match-term-list-Some-matchrel:
  assumes match-term-list P σ = Some τ
  shows ((mset P, σ), ({#}, τ)) ∈ matchrel*
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma match-term-list-None:
  assumes match-term-list P σ = None
  shows matchers-map σ ∩ matchers (set P) = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

Compute a matching substitution for a list of term pairs  $P$ , where left-hand sides are "patterns" against which the right-hand sides are matched.

definition match-list :: 
  ('v ⇒ ('f, 'w) term) ⇒ (('f, 'v) term × ('f, 'w) term) list ⇒ ('f, 'v, 'w) gsubst
option
where
  match-list d P = map-option (subst-of-map d) (match-term-list P Map.empty)

lemma match-list-sound:
  assumes match-list d P = Some σ
  shows σ ∈ matchers (set P)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma match-list-matches:
  assumes match-list d P = Some σ
  shows ⋀p t. (p, t) ∈ set P ⇒ p · σ = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma match-list-complete:
  assumes match-list d P = None
  shows matchers (set P) = {}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma match-list-None-conv:
  match-list d P = None ↔ matchers (set P) = {}

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $match\ t\ l = match\text{-}list\ Var\ [(l,\ t)]$

lemma $match\text{-sound}$:

assumes $match\ t\ p = Some\ \sigma$
shows $\sigma \in matchers\ \{(p,\ t)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $match\text{-matches}$:

assumes $match\ t\ p = Some\ \sigma$
shows $p \cdot \sigma = t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $match\text{-complete}$:

assumes $match\ t\ p = None$
shows $matchers\ \{(p,\ t)\} = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $matches :: ('f,\ 'w)\ term \Rightarrow ('f,\ 'v)\ term \Rightarrow bool$

where

$matches\ t\ p = (case\ match\text{-list}\ (\lambda\ .\ t)\ [(p,t)]\ of\ None \Rightarrow False\ |\ Some\ - \Rightarrow True)$

lemma $matches\text{-iff}$:

$matches\ t\ p \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ \sigma.\ p \cdot \sigma = t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $match\text{-complete}'$:

assumes $p \cdot \sigma = t$
shows $\exists\ \tau.\ match\ t\ p = Some\ \tau \wedge (\forall\ x \in vars\text{-term}\ p.\ \sigma\ x = \tau\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $lvars :: (('f,\ 'v)\ term \times ('f,\ 'w)\ term)\ list \Rightarrow 'v\ set$

where

$lvars\ P \equiv \bigcup\ ((vars\text{-term} \circ fst) \ ' set\ P)$

lemma $match\text{-list}\text{-complete}'$:

assumes $\bigwedge s\ t.\ (s,\ t) \in set\ P \implies s \cdot \sigma = t$
shows $\exists\ \tau.\ match\text{-list}\ d\ P = Some\ \tau \wedge (\forall\ x \in lvars\ P.\ \sigma\ x = \tau\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

6.1 A variable disjoint unification algorithm for terms with string variables

theory *Unification-String*

imports

Unification

```

Renaming2-String
begin
definition mgu-vd-string = mgu-vd string-rename

lemma mgu-vd-string-code[code]: mgu-vd-string = mgu-var-disjoint-generic (Cons
(CHR "x")) (Cons (CHR "y"))
⟨proof⟩

lemma mgu-vd-string-sound:
mgu-vd-string s t = Some (γ1, γ2)  $\implies$  s · γ1 = t · γ2
⟨proof⟩

lemma mgu-vd-string-complete:
fixes σ :: ('f, string) subst and τ :: ('f, string) subst
assumes s · σ = t · τ
shows ∃μ1 μ2 δ. mgu-vd-string s t = Some (μ1, μ2)  $\wedge$ 
σ = μ1 ∘s δ  $\wedge$ 
τ = μ2 ∘s δ  $\wedge$ 
s · μ1 = t · μ2
⟨proof⟩
end

```

7 Subsumption

We define the subsumption relation on terms and prove its well-foundedness.

```

theory Subsumption
imports
  Term
  Abstract-Rewriting.Seq
  Fun-More
  Seq-More
begin

consts
  SUBSUMESEQ :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infix ‹≤› 50)
  SUBSUMES :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infix ‹<› 50)
  LITSIM :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool (infix ‹=› 50)

abbreviation (input) INSTANCEQ (infix ‹·≥› 50)
  where
    x ·≥ y ≡ y ≤· x

abbreviation (input) INSTANCE (infix ‹·>› 50)
  where
    x ·> y ≡ y <· x

abbreviation INSTANCEEQ-SET (‐{·≥}‐)
  where

```

```

 $\{\cdot \geq\} \equiv \{(x, y). y \leq \cdot x\}$ 

abbreviation INSTANCE-SET ( $\langle\{\cdot >\}\rangle$ )
where
 $\{\cdot >\} \equiv \{(x, y). y < \cdot x\}$ 

abbreviation SUBSUMESEQ-SET ( $\langle\{\leq \cdot\}\rangle$ )
where
 $\{\leq \cdot\} \equiv \{(x, y). x \leq \cdot y\}$ 

abbreviation SUBSUMES-SET ( $\langle\{\leq \cdot\}\rangle$ )
where
 $\{\leq \cdot\} \equiv \{(x, y). x \leq \cdot y\}$ 

abbreviation LITSIM-SET ( $\langle\{\doteq\}\rangle$ )
where
 $\{\doteq\} \equiv \{(x, y). x \doteq y\}$ 

locale subsumable =
  fixes subsumeseq :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  assumes refl: subsumeseq x x
  and trans: subsumeseq x y  $\Longrightarrow$  subsumeseq y z  $\Longrightarrow$  subsumeseq x z
begin

adhoc-overloading
  SUBSUMESEQ  $\rightleftharpoons$  subsumeseq

definition subsumes t s  $\longleftrightarrow$  t  $\leq \cdot$  s  $\wedge$   $\neg$  s  $\leq \cdot$  t

definition litsim s t  $\longleftrightarrow$  s  $\leq \cdot$  t  $\wedge$  t  $\leq \cdot$  s

adhoc-overloading
  SUBSUMES  $\rightleftharpoons$  subsumes and
  LITSIM  $\rightleftharpoons$  litsim

lemma litsim-refl [simp]:
  s  $\doteq s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma litsim-sym:
  s  $\doteq t \Longrightarrow t \doteq s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma litsim-trans:
  s  $\doteq t \Longrightarrow t \doteq u \Longrightarrow s \doteq u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

```

sublocale subsumable ⊆ subsumption: preorder ( $\leq\cdot$ ) ( $<\cdot$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

inductive subsumeseq-term :: ('a, 'b) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b) term  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where
    [intro]:  $t = s \cdot \sigma \implies$  subsumeseq-term  $s t$ 

adhoc-overloading
  SUBSUMESEQ  $\Leftarrow$  subsumeseq-term

lemma subsumeseq-termE [elim]:
  assumes  $s \leq\cdot t$ 
  obtains  $\sigma$  where  $t = s \cdot \sigma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subsumeseq-term-refl:
  fixes  $t :: ('a, 'b)$  term
  shows  $t \leq\cdot t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subsumeseq-term-trans:
  fixes  $s t u :: ('a, 'b)$  term
  assumes  $s \leq\cdot t$  and  $t \leq\cdot u$ 
  shows  $s \leq\cdot u$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation term-subsumable: subsumable subsumeseq-term
  ⟨proof⟩

adhoc-overloading
  SUBSUMES  $\Leftarrow$  term-subsumable.subsumes and
  LITSIM  $\Leftarrow$  term-subsumable.litsim

lemma subsumeseq-term-iff:
   $s \geq t \longleftrightarrow (\exists \sigma. s = t \cdot \sigma)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

fun num-syms :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  where
    num-syms (Var x) = 1 |
    num-syms (Fun f ts) = Suc (sum-list (map num-syms ts))

fun num-vars :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  where
    num-vars (Var x) = 1 |
    num-vars (Fun f ts) = sum-list (map num-vars ts)

definition num-unique-vars :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  where

```

num-unique-vars $t = \text{card}(\text{vars-term } t)$

lemma *num-syms-1*: $\text{num-syms } t \geq 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *num-syms-subst*:
 $\text{num-syms}(t \cdot \sigma) \geq \text{num-syms } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.1 Equality of terms modulo variables

inductive *env* **where**

Var [*simp, intro!*]: $\text{env}(\text{Var } x)(\text{Var } y) \mid$
Fun [*intro*]: $\llbracket f = g; \text{length } ss = \text{length } ts; \forall i < \text{length } ts. \text{env}(ss ! i)(ts ! i) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{env}(\text{Fun } f ss)(\text{Fun } g ts)$

lemma *sum-list-map-num-syms-subst*:
assumes $\text{sum-list}(\text{map}(\text{num-syms} \circ (\lambda t. t \cdot \sigma)) ts) = \text{sum-list}(\text{map num-syms } ts)$
shows $\forall i < \text{length } ts. \text{num-syms}(ts ! i \cdot \sigma) = \text{num-syms}(ts ! i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-size-env*:
assumes $s = t \cdot \tau$ **and** $\text{num-syms } s = \text{num-syms } t$ **and** $\text{num-funs } s = \text{num-funs } t$
shows $\text{env } s t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subsumeseq-term-size-env*:
assumes $s \cdot \geq t$ **and** $\text{num-syms } s = \text{num-syms } t$ **and** $\text{num-funs } s = \text{num-funs } t$
shows $\text{env } s t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *env-subst-vars-term*:
assumes $\text{env } s t$
and $s = t \cdot \sigma$
shows $\text{vars-term } s = (\text{the-Var} \circ \sigma) \cdot \text{vars-term } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *env-subst-imp-num-unique-vars-le*:
assumes $\text{env } s t$
and $s = t \cdot \sigma$
shows $\text{num-unique-vars } s \leq \text{num-unique-vars } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *env-subsumeseq-term-imp-num-unique-vars-le*:
assumes $\text{env } s t$
and $s \cdot \geq t$

shows $\text{num-unique-vars } s \leq \text{num-unique-vars } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{num-syms-geq-num-vars}:$
 $\text{num-syms } t \geq \text{num-vars } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{num-unique-vars-Fun-Cons}:$
 $\text{num-unique-vars } (\text{Fun } f (t \# ts)) \leq \text{num-unique-vars } t + \text{num-unique-vars } (\text{Fun } f ts)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sum-list-map-unique-vars}:$
 $\text{sum-list } (\text{map num-unique-vars } ts) \geq \text{num-unique-vars } (\text{Fun } f ts)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{num-unique-vars-Var-1 [simp]}:$
 $\text{num-unique-vars } (\text{Var } x) = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{num-vars-geq-num-unique-vars}:$
 $\text{num-vars } t \geq \text{num-unique-vars } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{num-syms-geq-num-unique-vars}:$
 $\text{num-syms } t \geq \text{num-unique-vars } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{num-syms-num-unique-vars-clash}:$
assumes $\forall i. \text{num-syms } (f i) = \text{num-syms } (f (\text{Suc } i))$
and $\forall i. \text{num-unique-vars } (f i) < \text{num-unique-vars } (f (\text{Suc } i))$
shows False
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{env-subst-imp-is-Var}:$
assumes $\text{env } s$
and $s = t \cdot \sigma$
shows $\forall x \in \text{vars-term } t. \text{is-Var } (\sigma x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{bij-Var-subst-compose-Var}:$
assumes $\text{bij } g$
shows $(\text{Var } \circ g) \circ_s (\text{Var } \circ \text{inv } g) = \text{Var}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.2 Well-foundedness

lemma $\text{wf-subsumes}:$
 $\text{wf } (\{\langle \cdot \rangle :: ('f, 'v) \text{ term rel})$

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

8 Subterms and Contexts

We define the (proper) sub- and superterm relations on first order terms, as well as contexts (you can think of contexts as terms with exactly one hole, where we can plug-in another term). Moreover, we establish several connections between these concepts as well as to other concepts such as substitutions.

```
theory Subterm-and-Context
imports
  Term
  Abstract-Rewriting.Abstract-Rewriting
begin
```

8.1 Subterms

The *superterm* relation.

```
inductive-set
  supteq :: (('f, 'v) term × ('f, 'v) term) set
  where
    refl [simp, intro]: (t, t) ∈ supteq |
    subt [intro]: u ∈ set ss ⇒ (u, t) ∈ supteq ⇒ (Fun f ss, t) ∈ supteq
```

The *proper superterm* relation.

```
inductive-set
  supt :: (('f, 'v) term × ('f, 'v) term) set
  where
    arg [simp, intro]: s ∈ set ss ⇒ (Fun f ss, s) ∈ supt |
    subt [intro]: s ∈ set ss ⇒ (s, t) ∈ supt ⇒ (Fun f ss, t) ∈ supt

  hide-const suptp supteqp
  hide-fact
    suptp.arg suptp.cases suptp.induct suptp.intros suptp.subt suptp-supt-eq
  hide-fact
    supteqp.cases supteqp.induct supteqp.intros supteqp.refl supteqp.subt supteqp-supteq-eq

  hide-fact (open) supt.arg supt.subt supteq.refl supteq.subt
```

8.1.1 Syntactic Sugar

Infix syntax.

```
abbreviation supt-pred s t ≡ (s, t) ∈ supt
abbreviation supteq-pred s t ≡ (s, t) ∈ supteq
```

abbreviation (*input*) *subt-pred* *s t* \equiv *supt-pred* *t s*
abbreviation (*input*) *subteq-pred* *s t* \equiv *supteq-pred* *t s*

notation

supt ($\{\triangleright\}$) **and**
supt-pred ($\langle \neg \triangleright \rangle$) [56, 56] 55) **and**
subt-pred (**infix** \triangleleft 55) **and**
supteq ($\{\sqsupseteq\}$) **and**
supteq-pred ($\langle \neg \sqsupseteq \rangle$) [56, 56] 55) **and**
subteq-pred (**infix** \trianglelefteq 55)

abbreviation *subt* ($\{\triangleleft\}$) **where** $\{\triangleleft\} \equiv \{\triangleright\}^{-1}$
abbreviation *subteq* ($\{\trianglelefteq\}$) **where** $\{\trianglelefteq\} \equiv \{\sqsupseteq\}^{-1}$

Quantifier syntax.

syntax

-*All-supteq* :: [*idt*, 'a, *bool*] \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \forall \neg \sqsupseteq \cdot / \cdot \rangle$ [0, 0, 10] 10)
-*Ex-supteq* :: [*idt*, 'a, *bool*] \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \exists \neg \sqsupseteq \cdot / \cdot \rangle$ [0, 0, 10] 10)
-*All-supt* :: [*idt*, 'a, *bool*] \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \forall \neg \triangleright \cdot / \cdot \rangle$ [0, 0, 10] 10)
-*Ex-supt* :: [*idt*, 'a, *bool*] \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \exists \neg \triangleright \cdot / \cdot \rangle$ [0, 0, 10] 10)

-*All-subteq* :: [*idt*, 'a, *bool*] \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \forall \neg \trianglelefteq \cdot / \cdot \rangle$ [0, 0, 10] 10)
-*Ex-subteq* :: [*idt*, 'a, *bool*] \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \exists \neg \trianglelefteq \cdot / \cdot \rangle$ [0, 0, 10] 10)
-*All-subt* :: [*idt*, 'a, *bool*] \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \forall \neg \triangleleft \cdot / \cdot \rangle$ [0, 0, 10] 10)
-*Ex-subt* :: [*idt*, 'a, *bool*] \Rightarrow *bool* ($\langle \exists \neg \triangleleft \cdot / \cdot \rangle$ [0, 0, 10] 10)

syntax-consts

-*All-supteq* -*All-supt* -*All-subteq* -*All-subt* \Leftarrowtail *All* **and**
-*Ex-supteq* -*Ex-supt* -*Ex-subteq* -*Ex-subt* \Leftarrowtail *Ex*

translations

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \triangleright y. P &\rightarrow \forall x. x \triangleright y \rightarrow P \\ \exists x \triangleright y. P &\rightarrow \exists x. x \triangleright y \wedge P \\ \forall x \triangleright y. P &\rightarrow \forall x. x \triangleright y \rightarrow P \\ \exists x \triangleright y. P &\rightarrow \exists x. x \triangleright y \wedge P \\ \\ \forall x \sqsupseteq y. P &\rightarrow \forall x. x \sqsupseteq y \rightarrow P \\ \exists x \sqsupseteq y. P &\rightarrow \exists x. x \sqsupseteq y \wedge P \\ \forall x \triangleleft y. P &\rightarrow \forall x. x \triangleleft y \rightarrow P \\ \exists x \triangleleft y. P &\rightarrow \exists x. x \triangleleft y \wedge P \end{aligned}$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

8.1.2 Transitivity Reasoning for Subterms

lemma *supt-trans* [*trans*]:

$$s \triangleright t \implies t \triangleright u \implies s \triangleright u$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-supt*: *trans* $\{\triangleright\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supteq-trans* [*trans*]:

$s \triangleright t \implies t \triangleright u \implies s \triangleright u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Auxiliary lemmas about term size.

lemma *size-simp5*:

$s \in \text{set } ss \implies s \triangleright t \implies \text{size } t < \text{size } s \implies \text{size } t < \text{Suc}(\text{size-list size } ss)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size-simp6*:

$s \in \text{set } ss \implies s \triangleright t \implies \text{size } t \leq \text{size } s \implies \text{size } t \leq \text{Suc}(\text{size-list size } ss)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size-simp1*:

$t \in \text{set } ts \implies \text{size } t < \text{Suc}(\text{size-list size } ts)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size-simp2*:

$t \in \text{set } ts \implies \text{size } t < \text{Suc}(\text{Suc}(\text{size } s + \text{size-list size } ts))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size-simp3*:

assumes $(x, y) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs \ ys)$
shows $\text{size } x < \text{Suc}(\text{size-list size } xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *size-simp4*:

assumes $(x, y) \in \text{set}(\text{zip } xs \ ys)$
shows $\text{size } y < \text{Suc}(\text{size-list size } ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *size-simps* =

size-simp1 *size-simp2* *size-simp3* *size-simp4* *size-simp5* *size-simp6*

declare *size-simps* [*termination-simp*]

lemma *supt-size*:

$s \triangleright t \implies \text{size } s > \text{size } t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supteq-size*:

$s \triangleright t \implies \text{size } s \geq \text{size } t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Reflexivity and Asymmetry.

```

lemma reflcl-supteq [simp]:
  supteq= = supteq ⟨proof⟩

lemma trancl-supteq [simp]:
  supteq+ = supteq
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rtrancl-supteq [simp]:
  supteq* = supteq
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq-supteq:  $s = t \implies s \sqsupseteq t$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma supt-neqD:  $s \triangleright t \implies s \neq t$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma supteq-Var [simp]:
   $x \in \text{vars-term } t \implies t \sqsupseteq \text{Var } x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas vars-term-supteq = supteq-Var

lemma term-not-arg [iff]:
  Fun f ss  $\notin$  set ss (is ?t  $\notin$  set ss)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma supt-Fun [simp]:
  assumes  $s \triangleright \text{Fun } f ss$  (is  $s \triangleright ?t$ ) and  $s \in \text{set ss}$ 
  shows False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma supt-supteq-conv:  $s \triangleright t = (s \sqsupseteq t \wedge s \neq t)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma supt-not-sym:  $s \triangleright t \implies \neg (t \triangleright s)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma supt-irrefl[iff]:  $\neg t \triangleright t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma irrefl-subt: irrefl { $\triangleleft$ } ⟨proof⟩

lemma supt-imp-supteq:  $s \triangleright t \implies s \sqsupseteq t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma supt-supteq-not-supteq:  $s \triangleright t = (s \sqsupseteq t \wedge \neg (t \sqsupseteq s))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma supteq-supt-conv:  $(s \sqsupseteq t) = (s \triangleright t \vee s = t)$  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *supteq-antisym*:
assumes $s \sqsupseteq t$ **and** $t \sqsupseteq s$ **shows** $s = t$
(proof)

The subterm relation is an order on terms.

interpretation *subterm*: *order* (\sqsubseteq) (\sqsubset)
(proof)

More transitivity rules.

lemma *supt-supteq-trans[trans]*:
 $s \triangleright t \implies t \sqsupseteq u \implies s \triangleright u$
(proof)

lemma *supteq-supt-trans[trans]*:
 $s \sqsupseteq t \implies t \triangleright u \implies s \triangleright u$
(proof)

declare *subterm.le-less-trans[trans]*
declare *subterm.less-le-trans[trans]*

lemma *suptE [elim]*: $s \triangleright t \implies (s \sqsupseteq t \implies P) \implies (s \neq t \implies P) \implies P$
(proof)

lemmas *suptI [intro]* =
subterm.dual-order.not-eq-order-implies-strict

lemma *supt-supteq-set-conv*:
 $\{\triangleright\} = \{\sqsupseteq\} - Id$
(proof)

lemma *supteq-supt-set-conv*:
 $\{\sqsupseteq\} = \{\triangleright\}^=$
(proof)

lemma *supteq-imp-vars-term-subset*:
 $s \sqsupseteq t \implies \text{vars-term } t \subseteq \text{vars-term } s$
(proof)

lemma *set-supteq-into-supt [simp]*:
assumes $t \in \text{set ts}$ **and** $t \sqsupseteq s$
shows $\text{Fun } f \text{ ts} \triangleright s$
(proof)

The superterm relation is strongly normalizing.

lemma *SN-supt*:
SN $\{\triangleright\}$
(proof)

lemma *supt-not-refl[elim!]*:

```

assumes  $t \triangleright t$  shows False
⟨proof⟩

lemma supteq-not-supt [elim]:
assumes  $s \sqsupseteq t$  and  $\neg(s \triangleright t)$ 
shows  $s = t$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma supteq-not-supt-conv [simp]:
 $\{\sqsupseteq\} - \{\triangleright\} = Id$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma supteq-subst [simp, intro]:
assumes  $s \sqsupseteq t$  shows  $s \cdot \sigma \sqsupseteq t \cdot \sigma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma supt-subst [simp, intro]:
assumes  $s \triangleright t$  shows  $s \cdot \sigma \triangleright t \cdot \sigma$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subterm-induct:
assumes  $\bigwedge t. \forall s \triangleleft t. P s \implies P t$ 
shows [case-names subterm]:  $P t$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

8.2 Contexts

A *context* is a term containing exactly one *hole*.

We generalize contexts to *abstract contexts* so that arguments can be arbitrary elements.

```

datatype ('f,'a) actxt =
  Hole (⟨□⟩) | More 'f 'a list ('f,'a) actxt 'a list

declare actxt.map-ident[simp]

type-synonym ('f,'v) ctxt = ('f,('f,'v) term) actxt

fun map-ctxt where
  map-ctxt f v □ = □
  | map-ctxt f v (More g ls C rs) =
    More (f g) (map (map-term f v) ls) (map-ctxt f v C) (map (map-term f v) rs)

fun vars-ctxt where
  vars-ctxt □ = {}
  | vars-ctxt (More f ls C rs) =
    ⋃(vars-term ‘set ls) ⋃ vars-ctxt C ⋃ ⋃(vars-term ‘set rs)

fun functs-ctxt where

```

```


$$\begin{aligned} \text{functs-ctxt } \square &= \{\} \\ | \text{functs-ctxt } (\text{More } f \text{ ls } C \text{ rs}) &= \\ &\quad \text{insert } f (\bigcup (\text{funts-term} ` \text{set ls}) \cup \text{functs-ctxt } C \cup \bigcup (\text{funts-term} ` \text{set rs})) \end{aligned}$$


```

Interpretation of abstract context.

```

primrec intp-actxt ( $\langle\langle 1-\langle\langle -;-/\neg\rangle\rangle [999,0,0] 100\rangle$ ) where
   $I\langle\langle \text{Hole}; a\rangle\rangle = a$ 
  |  $I\langle\langle \text{More } f \text{ ls } C \text{ rs}; a\rangle\rangle = I f (\text{ls} @ I\langle\langle C; a\rangle\rangle \# \text{rs})$ 

```

We also say that we apply a context C to a term t when we replace the hole in a C by t .

```

abbreviation ctxt-apply-term ( $\langle\langle -\langle\langle -\rangle\rangle [1000, 0] 1000\rangle$ ) where
   $C\langle s\rangle \equiv \text{Fun}\langle C; s\rangle$ 

```

```

primrec actxt-compose (infixl  $\langle\circ_c\rangle 75$ ) where
   $\text{Hole } \circ_c D = D$ 
  |  $\text{More } f \text{ ls } C \text{ rs } \circ_c D = \text{More } f \text{ ls } (C \circ_c D) \text{ rs}$ 

```

```

lemma intp-actxt-compose:  $I\langle\langle C \circ_c D; a\rangle\rangle = I\langle\langle C; I\langle\langle D; a\rangle\rangle\rangle$ 
   $\langle\langle \text{proof}\rangle\rangle$ 

```

```

thm intp-actxt-compose [of Fun]
abbreviation map-args-actxt  $\equiv \text{map-actxt } (\lambda x. x)$ 

```

```

abbreviation eval-ctxt ( $\langle\langle 1-\llbracket - \rrbracket_c / - \rangle\rangle [999, 1, 100] 100$ ) where
   $I\llbracket C \rrbracket_c \alpha \equiv \text{map-args-actxt } (\lambda t. I\llbracket t \rrbracket \alpha) C$ 

```

```

lemma eval-ctxt-simps:
   $I\llbracket \square \rrbracket_c \alpha = \square$ 
   $I\llbracket \text{More } f \text{ ls } C \text{ rs} \rrbracket_c \alpha = \text{More } f [I\llbracket l \rrbracket \alpha. l \leftarrow \text{ls}] (I\llbracket C \rrbracket_c \alpha) [I\llbracket r \rrbracket \alpha. r \leftarrow \text{rs}]$ 
   $\langle\langle \text{proof}\rangle\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma eval-ctxt:  $I\llbracket C\langle s \rangle \rrbracket \alpha = I\langle\langle I\llbracket C \rrbracket_c \alpha; I\llbracket s \rrbracket \alpha\rangle\rangle$ 
   $\langle\langle \text{proof}\rangle\rangle$ 

```

Applying substitutions to contexts.

```

abbreviation subst-apply-actxt (infixl  $\langle\cdot_c\rangle 67$ ) where
   $C \cdot_c \vartheta \equiv \text{map-args-actxt } (\lambda t. t \cdot \vartheta) C$ 

```

```

lemma apply-ctxt-Var [simp]:  $C \cdot_c \text{Var} = C$ 
   $\langle\langle \text{proof}\rangle\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma eval-subst-ctxt:  $I\llbracket C \cdot_c \vartheta \rrbracket_c \varrho = I\llbracket C \rrbracket_c I\llbracket \vartheta \rrbracket_s \varrho$ 
   $\langle\langle \text{proof}\rangle\rangle$ 

```

```

lemmas ctxt-subst-subst [simp] = eval-subst-ctxt [of Fun]

```

```

lemma ctxt-eq [simp]:
   $(C\langle s \rangle = C\langle t \rangle) = (s = t)$   $\langle\langle \text{proof}\rangle\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma size-ctxt: size t ≤ size (C⟨t⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-ne-ctxt: C ≠ □ ⇒ size t < size (C⟨t⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation ctxt-monoid-mult: monoid-mult □ (∘c)
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation actxt :: (type, type) monoid-mult
begin
  definition [simp]: 1 = □
  definition [simp]: (*) = (∘c)
  instance ⟨proof⟩
end

lemmas ctxt-ctxt-compose[simp] = intp-actxt-compose[of Fun]

lemmas ctxt-ctxt = ctxt-ctxt-compose [symmetric]

lemmas subst-apply-term-ctxt-apply-distrib [simp] = eval-ctxt[of Fun]

lemma eval-ctxt-compose-distrib:
  I[ C ∘c D ]c σ = (I[ C ]c σ) ∘c (I[ D ]c σ)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas subst-compose-ctxt-compose-distrib [simp] =
  eval-ctxt-compose-distrib[of Fun]

lemma eval-ctxt-eval-subst:
  I[ C ]c (I[ σ ]s τ) = I[ C ∙c σ ]c τ
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas ctxt-compose-subst-compose-distrib [simp] =
  eval-ctxt-eval-subst[of Fun]

```

8.3 The Connection between Contexts and the Superterm Relation

```

lemma ctxt-imp-supreq [simp]:
  shows C⟨t⟩ ⊇ t ⟨proof⟩

lemma supreq-ctxtE[elim]:
  assumes s ⊇ t obtains C where s = C⟨t⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ctxt-supreq[intro]:
  assumes s = C⟨t⟩ shows s ⊇ t

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supteq-ctxt-conv*: $(s \sqsupseteq t) = (\exists C. s = C\langle t \rangle)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supt-ctxtE[elim]*:

assumes $s \triangleright t$ **obtains** C **where** $C \neq \square$ **and** $s = C\langle t \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ctxt-supt[intro 2]*:

assumes $C \neq \square$ **and** $s = C\langle t \rangle$ **shows** $s \triangleright t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supt-ctxt-conv*: $(s \triangleright t) = (\exists C. C \neq \square \wedge s = C\langle t \rangle)$ (**is** $- = ?rhs$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nectxt-imp-supt-ctxt*: $C \neq \square \implies C\langle t \rangle \triangleright t$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supt-var*: $\neg (Var x \triangleright u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supt-const*: $\neg (Fun f [] \triangleright u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supteq-var-imp-eq*:
 $(Var x \sqsupseteq t) = (t = Var x)$ (**is** $- = (- = ?x)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Var-supt [elim!]*:
assumes $Var x \triangleright t$ **shows** P
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fun-supt [elim]*:
assumes $Fun f ts \triangleright s$ **obtains** t **where** $t \in set ts$ **and** $t \sqsupseteq s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-ctxt-apply-term*: $inj (ctxt-apply-term C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ctxt-subst-eq*: $(\bigwedge x. x \in vars-ctxt C \implies \sigma x = \tau x) \implies C \cdot_c \sigma = C \cdot_c \tau$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A *signature* is a set of function symbol/arity pairs, where the arity of a function symbol, denotes the number of arguments it expects.

type-synonym $'f sig = ('f \times nat) set$

The set of all function symbol/ arity pairs occurring in a term.

fun *funas-term* :: $('f, 'v) term \Rightarrow 'f sig$
where
 $funas-term (Var -) = \{\} \mid$

funas-term (*Fun f ts*) = {(*f*, *length ts*)} \cup \bigcup (*set* (*map funas-term ts*))

lemma *finite-funas-term*:

finite (*funas-term t*)
{proof}

lemma *supt-imp-funas-term-subset*:

assumes *s* \triangleright *t*
shows *funas-term t* \subseteq *funas-term s*
{proof}

lemma *supreq-imp-funas-term-subset[simp]*:

assumes *s* \sqsupseteq *t*
shows *funas-term t* \subseteq *funas-term s*
{proof}

The set of all function symbol/arity pairs occurring in a context.

fun *funas-ctxt* :: ('*f*, '*v*) *ctxt* \Rightarrow '*f sig*

where
funas-ctxt Hole = {} |
funas-ctxt (More f ss1 D ss2) = {(*f*, *Suc (length (ss1 @ ss2))*)}
 \cup *funas-ctxt D* \cup \bigcup (*set (map funas-term (ss1 @ ss2))*)

lemma *funas-term-ctxt-apply [simp]*:

funas-term (C{t}) = *funas-ctxt C* \cup *funas-term t*
{proof}

lemma *funas-term-subst*:

funas-term (t · σ) = *funas-term t* \cup \bigcup (*funas-term σ* ‘ *vars-term t*)
{proof}

lemma *funas-ctxt-compose [simp]*:

funas-ctxt (C ∘c D) = *funas-ctxt C* \cup *funas-ctxt D*
{proof}

lemma *funas-ctxt-subst [simp]*:

funas-ctxt (C ·c σ) = *funas-ctxt C* \cup \bigcup (*funas-term σ* ‘ *vars-ctxt C*)
{proof}

end

9 Positions (of terms, contexts, etc.)

Positions are just list of natural numbers, and here we define standard notions such as the prefix-relation, parallel positions, left-of, etc. Note that we also instantiate lists in certain ways, such that we can write p^n for the

n-fold concatenation of the position p .

```

theory Position
  imports
    HOL-Library.Infinite-Set
    HOL-Library.Sublist
    Show.Shows-Literal
  begin

type-synonym pos = nat list

definition less-eq-pos :: pos ⇒ pos ⇒ bool (infix  $\leq_p$  50) where
   $p \leq_p q \longleftrightarrow (\exists r. p @ r = q)$ 

definition less-pos :: pos ⇒ pos ⇒ bool (infix  $<_p$  50) where
   $p <_p q \longleftrightarrow p \leq_p q \wedge p \neq q$ 

lemma less-eq-pos-eq-prefix:
  less-eq-pos = Sublist.prefix
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma less-pos-eq-strict-prefix:
  less-pos = Sublist.strict-prefix
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation order-pos: order less-eq-pos less-pos
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Nil-least [intro!, simp]:
   $\emptyset \leq_p p$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma less-eq-pos-simps [simp]:
   $p \leq_p p @ q$ 
   $p @ q1 \leq_p p @ q2 \longleftrightarrow q1 \leq_p q2$ 
   $i \# q1 \leq_p \emptyset \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
   $i \# q1 \leq_p j \# q2 \longleftrightarrow i = j \wedge q1 \leq_p q2$ 
   $p @ q \leq_p p \longleftrightarrow q = \emptyset$ 
   $p \leq_p \emptyset \longleftrightarrow p = \emptyset$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma less-eq-pos-code [code]:
   $(\emptyset :: pos) \leq_p p = \text{True}$ 
   $(i \# q1 \leq_p \emptyset) = \text{False}$ 
   $(i \# q1 \leq_p j \# q2) = (i = j \wedge q1 \leq_p q2)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma less-pos-simps[simp]:
   $(p <_p p @ q) = (q \neq \emptyset)$ 
   $(p @ q1 <_p p @ q2) = (q1 <_p q2)$ 

```

```

 $(p <_p []) = \text{False}$ 
 $(i \# q1 <_p j \# q2) = (i = j \wedge q1 <_p q2)$ 
 $(p @ q <_p p) = \text{False}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma prefix-smaller [simp]:
  assumes  $p <_p q$  shows size  $p < \text{size } q$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

instantiation list :: (type) one
begin
  definition one-list-def [simp]:  $1 = []$ 
  instance  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

instantiation list :: (type) times
begin
  definition times-list-def [simp]: times  $p q = p @ q$ 
  instance  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

instantiation list :: (type) semigroup-mult
begin
  instance  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

instantiation list :: (type) power
begin
  instance  $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

lemma power-append-distr:
   $p \wedge (m + n) = p \wedge m @ p \wedge n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma power-pos-Suc:  $p \wedge \text{Suc } n = p \wedge n @ p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma power-subtract-less-eq:
   $p \wedge (n - m) \leq_p p \wedge n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma power-size: fixes  $p :: \text{pos}$  shows size  $(p \wedge n) = \text{size } p * n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

fun remove-prefix :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list option
where
  remove-prefix [] ys = Some ys
  | remove-prefix (x#xs) (y#ys) = (if x = y then remove-prefix xs ys else None)

```

```

| remove-prefix xs ys = None

lemma remove-prefix [simp]:
remove-prefix (x # xs) ys =
(case ys of
[] => None
| z # zs => if x = z then remove-prefix xs zs else None)
⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-prefix-Some [simp]:
remove-prefix xs ys = Some zs <→ ys = xs @ zs
⟨proof⟩

lemma remove-prefix-append [simp]:
remove-prefix xs (xs @ ys) = Some ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma less-eq-pos-remove-prefix:
 $\text{assumes } p \leq_p q$ 
 $\text{obtains } r \text{ where } q = p @ r \text{ and } \text{remove-prefix } p q = \text{Some } r$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma suffix-exists:
 $\text{assumes } p \leq_p q$ 
 $\text{shows } \exists r. p @ r = q \wedge \text{remove-prefix } p q = \text{Some } r$ 
⟨proof⟩

fun remove-suffix :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list option
where
remove-suffix p q =
(case remove-prefix (rev p) (rev q) of
None => None
| Some r => Some (rev r))

lemma remove-suffix-Some [simp]:
remove-suffix xs ys = Some zs <→ ys = zs @ xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma Nil-power [simp]: [] ^ n = [] ⟨proof⟩

fun parallel-pos :: pos ⇒ pos ⇒ bool (infixr ‹⊥› 64)
where
[] ⊥ - <→ False
| - ⊥ [] <→ False
| i # p ⊥ j # q <→ i ≠ j ∨ p ⊥ q

lemma parallel-pos-eq-parallel:
parallel-pos = Sublist.parallel
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma parallel-pos:  $p \perp q = (\neg p \leq_p q \wedge \neg q \leq_p p)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma parallel-remove-prefix:  $p1 \perp p2 \implies \exists p i j q1 q2. p1 = p @ i \# q1 \wedge p2 = p @ j \# q2 \wedge i \neq j$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-cases:  $p \leq_p q \vee q <_p p \vee p \perp q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma parallel-pos-sym:  $p1 \perp p2 \implies p2 \perp p1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma less-pos-def':  $(p <_p q) = (\exists r. q = p @ r \wedge r \neq [])$  (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-append-cases:
   $p1 @ p2 = q1 @ q2 \implies (\exists q3. p1 = q1 @ q3 \wedge q2 = q3 @ p2) \vee (\exists p3. q1 = p1 @ p3 \wedge p2 = p3 @ q2)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos-less-eq-append-not-parallel:
  assumes  $q \leq_p p @ q'$ 
  shows  $\neg (q \perp p)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma less-pos-power-split:  $q <_p p \wedge m \implies \exists p' k. q = p \wedge k @ p' \wedge p' <_p p \wedge k < m$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition showsl-pos :: pos  $\Rightarrow$  showsl where
  showsl-pos = showsl-list-gen ( $\lambda i. \text{shows}l (\text{Suc } i)$ ) (STR "empty") (STR "") (STR ".") (STR "")

fun proper-prefix-list :: pos  $\Rightarrow$  pos list
  where
    proper-prefix-list [] = []
    proper-prefix-list (i # p) = [] # map (Cons i) (proper-prefix-list p)

lemma proper-prefix-list [simp]: set (proper-prefix-list p) = {q. q <_p p}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition prefix-list :: pos  $\Rightarrow$  pos list
  where
    prefix-list p = p # proper-prefix-list p

lemma proper-prefix-list-append-self-eq-prefixes:

```

proper-prefix-list xs @ [xs] = Sublist.prefixes xs
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rotate1-prefix-list-eq-prefixes*:
rotate1 (prefix-list xs) = Sublist.prefixes xs
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prefix-list [simp]*: *set (prefix-list p) = { q. q \leq_p p }*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *bounded-postfixes :: pos \Rightarrow pos list \Rightarrow pos list*
where
bounded-postfixes p ps = map the [opt \leftarrow map (remove-prefix p) ps . opt \neq None]

lemma *bounded-postfixes [simp]*:
set (bounded-postfixes p ps) = { r. p @ r \in set ps } (is ?l = ?r)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *left-of-pos :: pos \Rightarrow pos \Rightarrow bool*
where
left-of-pos p q = ($\exists r i j. r @ [i] \leq_p p \wedge r @ [j] \leq_p q \wedge i < j$)

lemma *left-of-pos-append*:
left-of-pos p q \implies left-of-pos (p @ p') (q @ q')
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-left-of-pos*:
left-of-pos p q = left-of-pos (p' @ p) (p' @ q)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-pos-parallel*: *left-of-pos p q \implies q \perp p* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-of-append-cases*: *left-of-pos (p0 @ p1) q \implies p0 $<_p$ q \vee left-of-pos p0 q*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-left-of-cases*:
assumes *left: left-of-pos q (p0 @ p1)*
shows *p0 $<_p$ q \vee left-of-pos q p0*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *parallel-imp-right-or-left-of*:
assumes *par:p \perp q shows left-of-pos p q \vee left-of-pos q p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-of-imp-not-right-of*:
assumes *l:left-of-pos p q shows \neg left-of-pos q p*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

primrec is-left-of :: pos  $\Rightarrow$  pos  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  left-Nil: is-left-of [] q = False
  | left-Cons: is-left-of (i # p) q =
    (case q of
      []  $\Rightarrow$  False
      | j # q'  $\Rightarrow$  if i < j then True else if i > j then False else is-left-of p q')

lemma is-left-of: is-left-of p q = left-of-pos p q
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

abbreviation right-of-pos :: pos  $\Rightarrow$  pos  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where
  right-of-pos p q  $\equiv$  left-of-pos q p

lemma remove-prefix-same [simp]:
  remove-prefix p p = Some []
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition pos-diff p q = the (remove-prefix q p)

lemma prefix-pos-diff [simp]:
  assumes p  $\leq_p$  q
  shows p @ pos-diff q p = q
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pos-diff-Nil2 [simp]:
  pos-diff p [] = p
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma inj-nat-to-pos: inj (rec-nat [] Cons) (is inj ?f)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma infinite-UNIV-pos[simp]: infinite (UNIV :: pos set)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma less-pos-right-mono:
  p @ q  $<_p$  r @ q  $\Longrightarrow$  p  $<_p$  r
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma less-pos-left-mono:
  p @ q  $<_p$  p @ r  $\Longrightarrow$  q  $<_p$  r
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

10 More Results on Terms

In this theory we introduce many more concepts of terms, we provide several results that link various notions, e.g., positions, subterms, contexts, substitutions, etc.

```

theory Term-More
imports
  Position
  Subterm-and-Context
  Polynomial-Factorization.Missing-List
begin

  showl-Instance for Terms

fun showsl-term' :: ('f ⇒ showsl) ⇒ ('v ⇒ showsl) ⇒ ('f, 'v) term ⇒ showsl
where
  showsl-term' fun var (Var x) = var x |
  showsl-term' fun var (Fun f ts) =
    fun f o showsl-list-gen id (STR "") (STR "(") (STR ", ") (STR ")") (map
  (showsL-term' fun var) ts)

abbreviation showsl-nat-var :: nat ⇒ showsl
where
  showsl-nat-var i ≡ showsl-lit (STR "x") o showsl i

abbreviation showsl-nat-term :: ('f::showl, nat) term ⇒ showsl
where
  showsl-nat-term ≡ showsl-term' showsl showsl-nat-var

instantiation term :: (showl, showl) showl
begin
  definition showsl (t :: ('a, 'b) term) = showsl-term' showsl showsl t
  definition showsl-list (xs :: ('a, 'b) term list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
  instance ⟨proof⟩
end

  showl-Instance for Contexts

fun showsl-actxt' :: ('f ⇒ showsl) ⇒ ('a ⇒ showsl) ⇒ ('f, 'a) actxt ⇒ showsl
where
  showsl-actxt' fun arg (Hole) = showsl-lit (STR "[]")
  | showsl-actxt' fun arg (More f ss1 D ss2) = (
    fun f o showsl (STR "(") o
    showsl-list-gen arg (STR "") (STR "") (STR ", ") (STR ", ") ss1 o
    showsl-actxt' fun arg D o
    showsl-list-gen arg (STR ")") (STR ", ") (STR ", ") (STR ")") ss2
  )

instantiation actxt :: (showl, showl) showl
begin
```

```

definition showsl (t :: ('a,'b)actxt) = showsl-actxt' showsl showsl t
definition showsl-list (xs :: ('a,'b)actxt list) = default-showsl-list showsl xs
instance ⟨proof⟩
end

General Folds on Terms

context
begin
qualified fun
  fold :: ('v ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('f ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('f, 'v) term ⇒ 'a
  where
    fold var fun (Var x) = var x |
    fold var fun (Fun f ts) = fun f (map (fold var fun) ts)
end

declare term.disc [intro]

abbreviation num-args t ≡ length (args t)

definition funas-args-term :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ 'f sig
  where
    funas-args-term t = ∪(set (map funas-term (args t)))

fun eroot :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ ('f × nat) + 'v
  where
    eroot (Fun f ts) = Inl (f, length ts) |
    eroot (Var x) = Inr x

abbreviation root-set ≡ set-option ∘ root

lemma funas-term-conv:
  funas-term t = set-option (root t) ∪ funas-args-term t
  ⟨proof⟩

The depth of a term is defined as follows:

fun depth :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ nat
  where
    depth (Var -) = 0 |
    depth (Fun - []) = 0 |
    depth (Fun - ts) = 1 + Max (set (map depth ts))

declare conj-cong [fundef-cong]

The positions of a term

fun poss :: ('f, 'v) term ⇒ pos set where
  poss (Var x) = {[]} |
  poss (Fun f ss) = {[]} ∪ {i # p | i p. i < length ss ∧ p ∈ poss (ss ! i)}
declare conj-cong [fundef-cong del]

```

lemma *Cons-poss-Var* [*simp*]:
 $i \# p \in poss (\text{Var } x) \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *elem-size-size-list-size* [*termination-simp*]:
 $x \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{size } x < \text{size-list size } xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The set of function positions of a term

fun *fun-poss* :: ('f, 'v) term \Rightarrow pos set
where
 $\text{fun-poss} (\text{Var } x) = \{\} \mid$
 $\text{fun-poss} (\text{Fun } f ts) = \{\} \cup (\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{fun-poss} (ts ! i)\})$

lemma *fun-poss-imp-poss*:
assumes $p \in \text{fun-poss } t$
shows $p \in \text{poss } t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-fun-poss*:
 $\text{finite} (\text{fun-poss } t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The set of variable positions of a term

fun *var-poss* :: ('f, 'v) term \Rightarrow pos set
where
 $\text{var-poss} (\text{Var } x) = \{\} \mid$
 $\text{var-poss} (\text{Fun } f ts) = (\bigcup_{i < \text{length } ts} \{i \# p \mid p. p \in \text{var-poss} (ts ! i)\})$

lemma *var-poss-imp-poss*:
assumes $p \in \text{var-poss } t$
shows $p \in \text{poss } t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-var-poss*:
 $\text{finite} (\text{var-poss } t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *poss-simps* [*symmetric, simp*]:
 $\text{poss } t = \text{fun-poss } t \cup \text{var-poss } t$
 $\text{poss } t = \text{var-poss } t \cup \text{fun-poss } t$
 $\text{fun-poss } t = \text{poss } t - \text{var-poss } t$
 $\text{var-poss } t = \text{poss } t - \text{fun-poss } t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *finite-poss* [*simp*]:
 $\text{finite} (\text{poss } t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The subterm of a term s at position p is defined as follows:

```
fun subt-at :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  pos  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term (infixl  $\langle|\rightarrow|$  67)
where
```

$$\begin{aligned} s \mid\!- [] &= s \\ \text{Fun } f \text{ ss} \mid\!- (i \# p) &= (ss ! i) \mid\!- p \end{aligned}$$

lemma var-poss-iff:

$$p \in \text{var-poss } t \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. p \in \text{poss } t \wedge t \mid\!- p = \text{Var } x)$$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma fun-poss-fun-conv:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes } p &\in \text{fun-poss } t \\ \text{shows } \exists f \text{ ts}. t \mid\!- p &= \text{Fun } f \text{ ts} \end{aligned}$$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma pos-append-poss:

$$p \in \text{poss } t \implies q \in \text{poss } (t \mid\!- p) \implies p @ q \in \text{poss } t$$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Creating a context from a term by adding a hole at a specific position.

fun

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ctxt-of-pos-term} :: pos &\Rightarrow ('f, 'v) term \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) ctxt \\ \text{where} \\ \text{ctxt-of-pos-term} [] t &= \square \\ \text{ctxt-of-pos-term} (i \# ps) (\text{Fun } f \text{ ts}) &= \\ \text{More } f \text{ (take } i \text{ ts)} (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } ps (ts!i)) (\text{drop } (\text{Suc } i) \text{ ts}) \end{aligned}$$

lemma ctxt-supt-id:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes } p &\in \text{poss } t \\ \text{shows } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p t) \langle t \mid\!- p \rangle &= t \end{aligned}$$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

Let s and t be terms. The following three statements are equivalent:

1. $s \supseteq t$
2. $\exists p \in \text{poss } s. s \mid\!- p = t$
3. $\exists C. s = C \langle t \rangle$

The position of the hole in a context is uniquely determined.

fun

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hole-pos} :: ('f, 'v) ctxt &\Rightarrow pos \\ \text{where} \\ \text{hole-pos } \square &= [] \\ \text{hole-pos } (\text{More } f \text{ ss } D \text{ ts}) &= \text{length } ss \# \text{hole-pos } D \end{aligned}$$

lemma hole-pos-ctxt-of-pos-term [simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes } p &\in \text{poss } t \\ \text{shows } \text{hole-pos } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p t) &= p \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *hole-pos-id-ctxt*:
 assumes $C\langle s \rangle = t$
 shows *ctxt-of-pos-term* (*hole-pos C*) $t = C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *supteq-imp-subt-at*:
 assumes $s \triangleright t$
 shows $\exists p \in poss\ s. s|_p = t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subt-at-imp-ctxt*:
 assumes $p \in poss\ s$
 shows $\exists C. C\langle s|_p \rangle = s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subt-at-imp-supteq'*:
 assumes $p \in poss\ s$ **and** $s|_p = t$
 shows $s \triangleright t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subt-at-imp-supteq*: $p \in poss\ s \implies s \triangleright s|_p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-poss-ctxt-apply-term*:
 assumes $p \in fun-poss\ C\langle s \rangle$
 shows $(\forall t. p \in fun-poss\ C\langle t \rangle) \vee (\exists q. p = (hole-pos\ C) @ q \wedge q \in fun-poss\ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Conversions between contexts and proper subterms.

By adding *non-empty* to statements 2 and 3 a similar characterisation for proper subterms is obtained:

1. $s \triangleright t$
2. $\exists i\ p. i \# p \in poss\ s \wedge s|_{(i \# p)} = t$
3. $\exists C. C \neq \square \wedge s = C\langle t \rangle$

lemma *supt-imp-subt-at-nepos*:
 assumes $s \triangleright t$ **shows** $\exists i\ p. i \# p \in poss\ s \wedge s|_{(i \# p)} = t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arg-neq*:
 assumes $i < length\ ss$ **and** $ss!i = Fun\ f\ ss$ **shows** *False*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma subt-at-nepos-neq:
  assumes  $i \# p \in poss s$  shows  $s |-(i \# p) \neq s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subt-at-nepos-imp-supt:
  assumes  $i \# p \in poss s$  shows  $s \triangleright s |-(i \# p)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subt-at-nepos-imp-nectxt:
  assumes  $i \# p \in poss s$  and  $s |-(i \# p) = t$  shows  $\exists C. C \neq \square \wedge C \langle t \rangle = s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma supteq-subst-cases':
   $s \cdot \sigma \triangleright t \implies (\exists u. s \triangleright u \wedge \text{is-Fun } u \wedge t = u \cdot \sigma) \vee (\exists x. x \in \text{vars-term } s \wedge \sigma x \triangleright t)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma size-const-subst[simp]:  $\text{size}(t \cdot (\lambda \_ . \text{Fun } f [])) = \text{size } t$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

type-synonym ('f, 'v) terms = ('f, 'v) term set

lemma supteq-subst-cases [consumes 1, case-names in-term in-subst]:
   $s \cdot \sigma \triangleright t \implies$ 
   $(\bigwedge u. s \triangleright u \implies \text{is-Fun } u \implies t = u \cdot \sigma \implies P) \implies$ 
   $(\bigwedge x. x \in \text{vars-term } s \implies \sigma x \triangleright t \implies P) \implies$ 
   $P$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma poss-subst-apply-term:
  assumes  $p \in poss(t \cdot \sigma)$  and  $p \notin \text{fun-poss } t$ 
  obtains  $q r x$  where  $p = q @ r$  and  $q \in poss t$  and  $t |- q = \text{Var } x$  and  $r \in poss(\sigma x)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subt-at-subst [simp]:
  assumes  $p \in poss t$  shows  $(t \cdot \sigma) |- p = (t |- p) \cdot \sigma$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma vars-term-size:
  assumes  $x \in \text{vars-term } t$ 
  shows  $\text{size}(\sigma x) \leq \text{size}(t \cdot \sigma)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Restrict a substitution to a set of variables.

```

definition
  subst-restrict :: ('f, 'v) subst  $\Rightarrow$  'v set  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) subst
  (infix <|s> 67)
  where

```

$$\sigma | s \ V = (\lambda x. \text{ if } x \in V \text{ then } \sigma(x) \text{ else } Var \ x)$$

lemma *subst-restrict-Int* [simp]:
 $(\sigma | s \ V) | s \ W = \sigma | s \ (V \cap W)$
{proof}

lemma *subst-domain-Var-conv* [iff]:
 $\text{subst-domain } \sigma = \{\} \longleftrightarrow \sigma = Var$
{proof}

lemma *subst-compose-Var*[simp]: $\sigma \circ_s Var = \sigma$ *{proof}*

lemma *Var-subst-compose*[simp]: $Var \circ_s \sigma = \sigma$ *{proof}*

We use the same logical constant as for the power operations on functions and relations, in order to share their syntax.

overloading

substpow \equiv *compow* :: *nat* \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) *subst* \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) *subst*
begin

primrec *substpow* :: *nat* \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) *subst* \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) *subst* **where**
 $\text{substpow } 0 \ \sigma = Var$
 $| \text{substpow } (\text{Suc } n) \ \sigma = \sigma \circ_s \text{substpow } n \ \sigma$

end

lemma *subst-power-compose-distrib*:
 $\mu \ \widehat{\wedge} \ (m + n) = (\mu \ \widehat{\wedge} \ m \circ_s \mu \ \widehat{\wedge} \ n)$ *{proof}*

lemma *subst-power-Suc*: $\mu \ \widehat{\wedge} \ (\text{Suc } i) = \mu \ \widehat{\wedge} \ i \circ_s \mu$
{proof}

lemma *subst-pow-mult*: $((\sigma :: ('f, 'v) \text{subst}) \ \widehat{\wedge} \ n) \ \widehat{\wedge} \ m = \sigma \ \widehat{\wedge} \ (n * m)$
{proof}

lemma *subst-domain-pow*: *subst-domain* ($\sigma \ \widehat{\wedge} \ n$) \subseteq *subst-domain* σ
{proof}

lemma *subst-at-Cons-distr* [simp]:
assumes $i \ # \ p \in poss \ t$ **and** $p \neq []$
shows $t \ |- (i \ # \ p) = (t \ |- [i]) \ |- p$
{proof}

lemma *subst-at-append* [simp]:
 $p \in poss \ t \implies t \ |- (p @ q) = (t \ |- p) \ |- q$
{proof}

lemma *subst-at-pos-diff*:
assumes $p <_p q$ **and** $p: p \in poss \ s$

shows $s \dashv p \dashv pos\text{-}diff q p = s \dashv q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $empty\text{-}pos\text{-}in\text{-}poss[simp]: [] \in poss t \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poss\text{-}append\text{-}poss[simp]: (p @ q \in poss t) = (p \in poss t \wedge q \in poss (t \dashv p)) \text{ (is } ?l = ?r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subterm\text{-}poss\text{-}conv:$
assumes $p \in poss t \text{ and } [simp]: p = q @ r \text{ and } t \dashv q = s$
shows $t \dashv p = s \dashv r \wedge r \in poss s \text{ (is } ?A \wedge ?B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poss\text{-}imp\text{-}subst\text{-}poss [simp]:$
assumes $p \in poss t$
shows $p \in poss (t \cdot \sigma)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $iterate\text{-}term:$
assumes $id: t \cdot \sigma \dashv p = t \text{ and } pos: p \in poss (t \cdot \sigma)$
shows $t \cdot \sigma \wedge n \dashv (p \wedge n) = t \wedge p \wedge n \in poss (t \cdot \sigma \wedge n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $hole\text{-}pos\text{-}poss [simp]: hole\text{-}pos C \in poss (C\langle t \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $hole\text{-}pos\text{-}poss\text{-}conv: (hole\text{-}pos C @ q) \in poss (C\langle t \rangle) \longleftrightarrow q \in poss t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subt\text{-}at\text{-}hole\text{-}pos [simp]: C\langle t \rangle \dashv hole\text{-}pos C = t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $hole\text{-}pos\text{-}power\text{-}poss [simp]: (hole\text{-}pos C) \wedge (n::nat) \in poss ((C \wedge n)\langle t \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poss\text{-}imp\text{-}ctxt\text{-}subst\text{-}poss [simp]:$
assumes $p \in poss (C\langle t \rangle)$
shows $p \in poss ((C \cdot_c \sigma)\langle t \cdot \sigma \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $poss\text{-}Cons\text{-}poss[simp]: (i \# p \in poss t) = (i < length (args t) \wedge p \in poss (args t ! i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $less\text{-}pos\text{-}imp\text{-}supt:$
assumes $less: p' <_p p \text{ and } p: p \in poss t$
shows $t \dashv p \triangleleft t \dashv p'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma less-eq-pos-imp-supt-eq:
  assumes less-eq:  $p' \leq_p p$  and  $p: p \in poss t$ 
  shows  $t |- p \leq t |- p'$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma funas-term-poss-conv:
   $funas-term t = \{(f, length ts) \mid p f ts. p \in poss t \wedge t|-p = Fun f ts\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

inductive
  subst-instance :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  bool ( $\langle - / \preceq - \rangle$ ) [56, 56] 55)
  where
    subst-instanceI [intro]:
     $s \cdot \sigma = t \implies s \preceq t$ 

lemma subst-instance-trans[trans]:
  assumes  $s \preceq t$  and  $t \preceq u$  shows  $s \preceq u$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subst-instance-refl:  $s \preceq s$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subst-neutral: subst-domain  $\sigma \subseteq V \implies (\text{Var } x) \cdot (\sigma | s (V - \{x\})) = (\text{Var } x)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subst-restrict-domain[simp]:  $\sigma | s$  subst-domain  $\sigma = \sigma$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma notin-subst-domain-imp-Var:
  assumes  $x \notin$  subst-domain  $\sigma$ 
  shows  $\sigma x = \text{Var } x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subst-domain-neutral[simp]:
  assumes subst-domain  $\sigma \subseteq V$ 
  shows  $(\sigma | s V) = \sigma$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subst-restrict-UNIV[simp]:  $\sigma | s UNIV = \sigma$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma subst-restrict-empty[simp]:  $\sigma | s \{\} = \text{Var}$   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma vars-term-subst-pow:
  vars-term  $(t \cdot \sigma^{\sim n}) \subseteq$  vars-term  $t \cup \bigcup (\text{vars-term } ` \text{subst-range } \sigma)$  (is  $- \subseteq ?R t$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma coincidence-lemma:

```

$t \cdot \sigma = t \cdot (\sigma | s \text{ vars-term } t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-domain-vars-term-subset*:
 $\text{subst-domain } (\sigma | s \text{ vars-term } t) \subseteq \text{vars-term } t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-restrict-single-Var* [*simp*]:
assumes $x \notin \text{subst-domain } \sigma$ **shows** $\sigma | s \{x\} = \text{Var}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-restrict-single-Var'*:
assumes $x \notin \text{subst-domain } \sigma$ **and** $\sigma | s V = \text{Var}$ **shows** $\sigma | s (\{x\} \cup V) = \text{Var}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-restrict-empty-set*:
 $\text{finite } V \implies V \cap \text{subst-domain } \sigma = \{\} \implies \sigma | s V = \text{Var}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-restrict-Var*: $x \neq y \implies \text{Var } y \cdot (\sigma | s (\text{UNIV} - \{x\})) = \text{Var } y \cdot \sigma$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *var-cond-stable*:
assumes $\text{vars-term } r \subseteq \text{vars-term } l$
shows $\text{vars-term } (r \cdot \mu) \subseteq \text{vars-term } (l \cdot \mu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *instance-no-supt-imp-no-supt*:
assumes $\neg s \cdot \sigma \triangleright t \cdot \sigma$
shows $\neg s \triangleright t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-image-subterm*:
assumes $x \in \text{vars-term } (\text{Fun } f ss)$
shows $\text{Fun } f ss \cdot \sigma \triangleright \sigma x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *funas-term-subst-pow*:
 $\text{funas-term } (t \cdot \sigma^{\sim n}) \subseteq \text{funas-term } t \cup \bigcup (\text{funas-term} ` \text{subst-range } \sigma)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *funas-term-subterm-args*:
assumes $sF: \text{funas-term } s \subseteq F$
and $q: q \in \text{poss } s$
shows $\bigcup (\text{funas-term} ` \text{set } (\text{args } (s |- q))) \subseteq F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *get-var-or-const*: $\exists C t. s = C\langle t \rangle \wedge \text{args } t = []$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma supteq-Var-id [simp]:
  assumes Var x ⊇ s shows s = Var x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arg-not-term [simp]:
  assumes t ∈ set ts shows Fun f ts ≠ t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arg-subteq [simp]: t ∈ set ts  $\implies$  Fun f ts ⊇ t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma supt-imp-args:
  assumes  $\forall t. s \triangleright t \implies P t$ 
  shows  $\forall t \in \text{set}(\text{args } s). P t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ctxt-apply-eq-False[simp]: (More f ss1 D ss2)⟨t⟩ ≠ t (is ?C⟨-⟩ ≠ -)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma supteq-imp-funs-term-subset: t ⊇ s  $\implies$  funs-term s ⊆ funs-term t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma funs-term-subst: funs-term (t · σ) = funs-term t  $\cup$  (( $\lambda x. \text{funs-term } (\sigma x)$ ) ` (vars-term t))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-set-cons:
  assumes P x and  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{set } xs \implies P y$ 
  shows y ∈ set (x # xs)  $\implies$  P y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ctxt-power-compose-distr: C  $\wedge$  (m + n) = C  $\wedge$  m  $\circ_c$  C  $\wedge$  n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-apply-id':
  assumes vars-term t  $\cap$  V = {}
  shows t · (σ |s V) = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-apply-ctxt-id:
  assumes vars-ctxt C  $\cap$  V = {}
  shows C ·c (σ |s V) = C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-term-Var-id: vars-term o Var = ( $\lambda x. \{x\}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ctxt-exhaust-rev[case-names Hole More]:

```

```

assumes  $C = \square \implies P$  and
 $\bigwedge D f ss1 ss2. C = D \circ_c (\text{More } f ss1 \square ss2) \implies P$ 
shows  $P$ 
⟨proof⟩

fun
subst-extend :: ('f, 'v, 'w) gsubst  $\Rightarrow$  ('v  $\times$  ('f, 'w) term) list  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v, 'w) gsubst
where
subst-extend  $\sigma$  vts = ( $\lambda x.$ 
(case map-of vts  $x$  of
Some  $t \Rightarrow t$ 
| None  $\Rightarrow \sigma(x)$ ))
proof

lemma subst-extend-id:
assumes  $V \cap \text{set } vs = \{\}$  and vars-term  $t \subseteq V$ 
shows  $t \cdot \text{subst-extend } \sigma (\text{zip } vs ts) = t \cdot \sigma$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma funas-term-args:
 $\bigcup (\text{funas-term} ` \text{set } (\text{args } t)) \subseteq \text{funas-term } t$ 
proof

lemma subst-extend-absorb:
assumes distinct  $vs$  and length  $vs = \text{length } ss$ 
shows  $\text{map } (\lambda t. t \cdot \text{subst-extend } \sigma (\text{zip } vs ss)) (\text{map } \text{Var } vs) = ss$  (is ? $ss = -$ )
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation map-funs-term  $f \equiv \text{term.map-term } f$  ( $\lambda x. x$ )
abbreviation map-funs-ctxt  $f \equiv \text{map-ctxt } f$  ( $\lambda x. x$ )
proof

lemma funas-term-map-funs-term-id:
 $(\bigwedge f. f \in \text{funas-term } t \implies h f = f) \implies$ 
 $\text{map-funs-term } h t = t$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma funas-term-map-funs-term:
 $\text{funas-term } (\text{map-funs-term } h t) \subseteq \text{range } h$ 
proof

fun map-funs-subst :: ('f  $\Rightarrow$  'g)  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('g, 'v) subst where
map-funs-subst  $fg \sigma = (\lambda x. \text{map-funs-term } fg (\sigma x))$ 
proof

lemma map-funs-term-comp:
 $\text{map-funs-term } fg (\text{map-funs-term } gh t) = \text{map-funs-term } (fg \circ gh) t$ 
proof

lemma map-funs-subst-distrib [simp]:
 $\text{map-funs-term } fg (t \cdot \sigma) = \text{map-funs-term } fg t \cdot \text{map-funs-subst } fg \sigma$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma size-map-funs-term [simp]:
  size (map-funs-term fg t) = size t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fold-ident [simp]: Term-More.fold Var Fun t = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-funs-term-ident [simp]:
  map-funs-term id t = t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ground-map-funs-term [simp]:
  ground (map-funs-term fg t) = ground t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-funs-term-power:
  fixes f :: 'f ⇒ 'f
  shows ((map-funs-term f) ^ n) = map-funs-term (f ^ n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma map-funs-term-ctxt-distrib [simp]:
  map-funs-term fg (C⟨t⟩) = (map-funs-ctxt fg C)⟨map-funs-term fg t⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

mapping function symbols (w)ith (a)rities taken into account (wa)

fun map-funs-term-wa :: ('f × nat ⇒ 'g) ⇒ ('f, 'v) term ⇒ ('g, 'v) term
  where
    map-funs-term-wa fg (Var x) = Var x |
    map-funs-term-wa fg (Fun f ts) = Fun (fg (f, length ts)) (map (map-funs-term-wa fg) ts)

lemma map-funs-term-map-funs-term-wa:
  map-funs-term (fg :: ('f ⇒ 'g)) = map-funs-term-wa (λ (f,n). (fg f))
  ⟨proof⟩

fun map-funs-ctxt-wa :: ('f × nat ⇒ 'g) ⇒ ('f, 'v) ctxt ⇒ ('g, 'v) ctxt
  where
    map-funs-ctxt-wa fg □ = □ |
    map-funs-ctxt-wa fg (More f bef C aft) =
      More (fg (f, Suc (length bef + length aft))) (map (map-funs-term-wa fg) bef)
      (map-funs-ctxt-wa fg C) (map (map-funs-term-wa fg) aft)

abbreviation map-funs-subst-wa :: ('f × nat ⇒ 'g) ⇒ ('f, 'v) subst ⇒ ('g, 'v)
  subst where
    map-funs-subst-wa fg σ ≡ (λx. map-funs-term-wa fg (σ x))

lemma map-funs-term-wa-subst [simp]:
  map-funs-term-wa fg (t · σ) = map-funs-term-wa fg t · map-funs-subst-wa fg σ

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-funs-term-wa-ctxt* [*simp*]:
map-funs-term-wa fg (C⟨t⟩) = (map-funs-ctxt-wa fg C) ⟨map-funs-term-wa fg t⟩
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-funs-term-wa-funas-term-id*:
assumes *t: funas-term t ⊆ F*
and *id: ⋀ f n. (f,n) ∈ F ⇒ fg (f,n) = f*
shows *map-funs-term-wa fg t = t*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *funas-term-map-funs-term-wa*:
funas-term (map-funs-term-wa fg t) = (λ (f,n). (fg (f,n),n)) ` (funas-term t)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *notin-subst-restrict* [*simp*]:
assumes *x ∉ V shows (σ |s V) x = Var x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in-subst-restrict* [*simp*]:
assumes *x ∈ V shows (σ |s V) x = σ x*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coincidence-lemma'*:
assumes *vars-term t ⊆ V*
shows *t · (σ |s V) = t · σ*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vars-term-map-funs-term* [*simp*]:
vars-term o map-funs-term (f :: ('f ⇒ 'g)) = vars-term
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vars-term-map-funs-term2* [*simp*]:
vars-term (map-funs-term f t) = vars-term t
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-funs-term-wa-ctxt-split*:
assumes *map-funs-term-wa fg s = lC⟨lt⟩*
shows *∃ C t. s = C⟨t⟩ ∧ map-funs-term-wa fg t = lt ∧ map-funs-ctxt-wa fg C = lC*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-extend-flat-ctxt*:
assumes *dist: distinct vs*
and *len1: length(take i (map Var vs)) = length ss1*
and *len2: length(drop (Suc i) (map Var vs)) = length ss2*
and *i: i < length vs*
shows *More f (take i (map Var vs)) □ (drop (Suc i) (map Var vs)) ·c subst-extend*

$\sigma (\text{zip} (\text{take } i \text{ vs}@\text{drop} (\text{Suc } i) \text{ vs}) (\text{ss1}@ss2)) = \text{More } f \text{ ss1 } \square \text{ss2}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-extend-flat-ctxt''*:
assumes *dist*: *distinct* *vs*
and *len1*: *length*(*take* *i* (*map* *Var* *vs*)) = *length* *ss1*
and *len2*: *length*(*drop* *i* (*map* *Var* *vs*)) = *length* *ss2*
and *i*: *i* < *length* *vs*
shows *More* *f* (*take* *i* (*map* *Var* *vs*)) \square (*drop* *i* (*map* *Var* *vs*)) \cdot_c *subst-extend* *σ*
 $(\text{zip} (\text{take } i \text{ vs}@\text{drop } i \text{ vs}) (\text{ss1}@ss2)) = \text{More } f \text{ ss1 } \square \text{ss2}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-map-Var*:
assumes *distinct* *xs* **shows** *distinct* (*map* *Var* *xs*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *variants-imp-is-Var*:
assumes *s* · *σ* = *t* **and** *t* · *τ* = *s*
shows $\forall x \in \text{vars-term } s. \text{is-Var } (\sigma x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The range (in a functional sense) of a substitution.

definition *subst-fun-range* :: ('f, 'v, 'w) *gsubst* \Rightarrow 'w set
where
subst-fun-range *σ* = $\bigcup (\text{vars-term} \setminus \text{range } \sigma)$

lemma *subst-variants-imp-is-Var*:
assumes *σ* \circ_s *σ'* = *τ* **and** *τ* \circ_s *τ'* = *σ*
shows $\forall x \in \text{subst-fun-range } \sigma. \text{is-Var } (\sigma' x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *variants-imp-image-vars-term-eq*:
assumes *s* · *σ* = *t* **and** *t* · *τ* = *s*
shows (*the-Var* $\circ \sigma$) \setminus *vars-term* *s* = *vars-term* *t*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *terms-to-vars*:
assumes $\forall t \in \text{set } ts. \text{is-Var } t$
shows $\bigcup (\text{set} (\text{map vars-term } ts)) = \text{set} (\text{map the-Var } ts)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Var-the-Var-id*:
assumes $\forall t \in \text{set } ts. \text{is-Var } t$
shows *map Var* (*map the-Var* *ts*) = *ts*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *distinct-the-vars*:
assumes $\forall t \in \text{set } ts. \text{is-Var } t$
and *distinct* *ts*

shows *distinct (map the-Var ts)*
(proof)

lemma *map-funs-term-eq-imp-map-funs-term-map-vars-term-eq*:
map-funs-term fg s = map-funs-term fg t \implies map-funs-term fg (map-vars-term vw s) = map-funs-term fg (map-vars-term vw t)
(proof)

lemma *var-type-conversion*:
assumes *inf: infinite (UNIV :: 'v set)*
and *fin: finite (T :: ('f, 'w) terms)*
shows $\exists (\sigma :: ('f, 'w, 'v) gsubst) \tau. \forall t \in T. t = t \cdot \sigma \cdot \tau$
(proof)

combine two substitutions via sum-type

fun
merge-substs :: ('f, 'u, 'v) gsubst \Rightarrow ('f, 'w, 'v) gsubst \Rightarrow ('f, 'u + 'w, 'v) gsubst
where
*merge-substs σ τ = ($\lambda x.$
(*case* x *of*
*Inl y \Rightarrow σ y
 $| Inr y \Rightarrow τ y)$*)*

lemma *merge-substs-left*:
map-vars-term Inl s \cdot (merge-substs σ δ) = s \cdot σ
(proof)

lemma *merge-substs-right*:
map-vars-term Inr s \cdot (merge-substs σ δ) = s \cdot δ
(proof)

fun *map-vars-subst-ran :: ('w \Rightarrow 'u) \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 'w) gsubst \Rightarrow ('f, 'v, 'u) gsubst*
where
*map-vars-subst-ran m σ = ($\lambda v.$ *map-vars-term* m (σ v))*

lemma *map-vars-subst-ran*:
shows *map-vars-term m (t \cdot σ) = t \cdot map-vars-subst-ran m σ*
(proof)

lemma *size-subst*: *size t \leq size (t \cdot σ)*
(proof)

lemma *eq-ctxt-subst-iff [simp]*:
 $(t = C \langle t \cdot \sigma \rangle) \longleftrightarrow C = \square \wedge (\forall x \in \text{vars-term} t. \sigma x = \text{Var } x)$ (**is** ?L = ?R)
(proof)

lemma *Fun-Nil-supt[elim!]: Fun f [] \triangleright t \implies P* *(proof)*

lemma *map-vars-term-vars-term*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{vars-term } t \implies f x = g x$ 
shows  $\text{map-vars-term } f t = \text{map-vars-term } g t$ 
<proof>

lemma map-funs-term-ctxt-decomp:
assumes  $\text{map-funs-term } fg t = C\langle s \rangle$ 
shows  $\exists D u. C = \text{map-funs-ctxt } fg D \wedge s = \text{map-funs-term } fg u \wedge t = D\langle u \rangle$ 
<proof>

lemma funas-term-map-vars-term [simp]:
 $\text{funas-term}(\text{map-vars-term } \tau t) = \text{funas-term } t$ 
<proof>

lemma funas-term-funas-term:
 $\text{funas-term } t = \text{fst}^{\cdot}(\text{funas-term } t)$ 
<proof>

lemma funas-term-map-funs-term:
 $\text{funas-term}(\text{map-funs-term } fg t) = (\lambda(f, n). (fg f, n))^{\cdot}(\text{funas-term } t)$ 
<proof>

lemma supt-imp-arg-or-supt-of-arg:
assumes  $\text{Fun } f ss \triangleright t$ 
shows  $t \in \text{set } ss \vee (\exists s \in \text{set } ss. s \triangleright t)$ 
<proof>

lemma supt-Fun-imp-arg-supteq:
assumes  $\text{Fun } f ss \triangleright t$  shows  $\exists s \in \text{set } ss. s \sqsupseteq t$ 
<proof>

lemma subst-iff-eq-or-subt-of-arg:
assumes  $s = \text{Fun } f ss$ 
shows  $\{t. s \sqsupseteq t\} = ((\bigcup u \in \text{set } ss. \{t. u \sqsupseteq t\}) \cup \{s\})$ 
<proof>

```

The set of subterms of a term is finite.

```

lemma finite-subterms: finite {s. t ⊇ s}
<proof>

```

```

lemma Fun-supteq: Fun f ts ⊇ u ↔ Fun f ts = u ∨ (exists t in set ts. t ⊇ u)
<proof>

```

```

lemma subst-ctxt-distr: s = C⟨t⟩·σ ⇒ ∃ D. s = D⟨t·σ⟩
<proof>

```

```

lemma ctxt-of-pos-term-subst:
assumes  $p \in \text{poss } t$ 
shows  $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p(t \cdot \sigma) = \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p t \cdot_c \sigma$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma subst-at-ctxt-of-pos-term:
  assumes  $t: (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p \ t) \langle u \rangle = t$  and  $p: p \in \text{poss } t$ 
  shows  $t \ |- p = u$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma subst-ext:
  assumes  $\forall x \in V. \sigma \ x = \tau \ x$  shows  $\sigma \ |s \ V = \tau \ |s \ V$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

abbreviation map-vars-ctxt f  $\equiv$  map-ctxt ( $\lambda x. \ x$ ) f

lemma map-vars-term-ctxt-commute:
  map-vars-term m ( $c \langle t \rangle$ )  $=$  (map-vars-ctxt m c)  $\langle$  map-vars-term m t  $\rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma map-vars-term-inj-compose:
  assumes  $\text{inj}: \bigwedge x. n \ (m \ x) = x$ 
  shows map-vars-term n (map-vars-term m t)  $= t$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inj-map-vars-term-the-inv:
  assumes  $\text{inj } f$ 
  shows map-vars-term (the-inv f) (map-vars-term f t)  $= t$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma map-vars-ctxt-subst:
  map-vars-ctxt m ( $C \cdot_c \sigma$ )  $= C \cdot_c \text{map-vars-subst-ran } m \ \sigma$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma poss-map-vars-term [simp]:
  poss (map-vars-term f t)  $=$  poss t
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma map-vars-term-subt-at [simp]:
   $p \in \text{poss } t \implies \text{map-vars-term } f \ (t \ |- p) = (\text{map-vars-term } f \ t) \ |- p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma hole-pos-subst[simp]: hole-pos ( $C \cdot_c \sigma$ )  $=$  hole-pos  $C$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma hole-pos-ctxt-compose[simp]: hole-pos ( $C \circ_c D$ )  $=$  hole-pos  $C @ \text{hole-pos } D$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma subst-left-right:  $t \cdot \mu \widehat{\cdot} n \cdot \mu = t \cdot \mu \cdot \mu \widehat{\cdot} n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma subst-right-left:  $t \cdot \mu \cdot \mu \widehat{\cdot} n = t \cdot \mu \widehat{\cdot} n \cdot \mu$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma subt-at-id-imp-eps:
  assumes  $p: p \in poss t$  and  $id: t \mid\!- p = t$ 
  shows  $p = []$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma pos-into-subst:
  assumes  $t: t \cdot \sigma = s$  and  $p: p \in poss s$  and  $nt: \neg(p \in poss t \wedge is-Fun(t \mid\!- p))$ 
  shows  $\exists q q' x. p = q @ q' \wedge q \in poss t \wedge t \mid\!- q = Var x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

abbreviation (input) replace-at t p s  $\equiv$  (ctxt-of-pos-term p t) $\langle s \rangle$ 

lemma replace-at-ident:
  assumes  $p \in poss t$  and  $t \mid\!- p = s$ 
  shows replace-at t p s  $= t$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ctxt-of-pos-term-append:
  assumes  $p \in poss t$ 
  shows ctxt-of-pos-term (p @ q) t  $= ctxt-of-pos-term p t \circ_c ctxt-of-pos-term q (t \mid\!- p)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma parallel-replace-at:
  fixes  $p1 :: pos$ 
  assumes parallel:  $p1 \perp p2$ 
  and  $p1: p1 \in poss t$ 
  and  $p2: p2 \in poss t$ 
  shows replace-at (replace-at t p1 s1) p2 s2  $= replace-at (replace-at t p2 s2) p1 s1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma parallel-replace-at-subt-at:
  fixes  $p1 :: pos$ 
  assumes parallel:  $p1 \perp p2$ 
  and  $p1: p1 \in poss t$ 
  and  $p2: p2 \in poss t$ 
  shows  $(replace-at t p1 s1) \mid\!- p2 = t \mid\!- p2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma parallel-pos-replace-at:
  fixes  $p1 :: pos$ 
  assumes parallel:  $p1 \perp p2$ 
  and  $p1: p1 \in poss t$ 
  shows  $(p2 \in poss (replace-at t p1 s1)) = (p2 \in poss t)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma replace-at-subt-at:  $p \in poss t \implies (replace-at t p s) \vdash p = s$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma replace-at-below-poss:
  assumes  $p: p' \in poss t$  and  $le: p \leq_p p'$ 
  shows  $p \in poss (replace-at t p' s)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ctxt-of-pos-term-replace-at-below:
  assumes  $p: p \in poss t$  and  $le: p \leq_p p'$ 
  shows  $ctxt-of-pos-term p (replace-at t p' u) = ctxt-of-pos-term p t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ctxt-of-pos-term-hole-pos[simp]:
   $ctxt-of-pos-term (hole-pos C) (C\langle t \rangle) = C$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ctxt-poss-imp-ctxt-subst-poss:
  assumes  $p:p' \in poss C\langle t \rangle$  shows  $p' \in poss C\langle t \cdot \mu \rangle$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma var-pos-maximal:
  assumes  $pt:p \in poss t$  and  $x:t \vdash p = Var x$  and  $q \neq []$ 
  shows  $p @ q \notin poss t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

Positions in a context

definition possc :: ( $'f, 'v$ ) ctxt  $\Rightarrow$  pos set where possc  $C \equiv \{p \mid p. \forall t. p \in poss C\langle t \rangle\}$ 

lemma poss-imp-posscc:  $p \in possc C \implies p \in poss C\langle t \rangle$  ⟨proof⟩

lemma less-eq-hole-pos-in-posscc:
  assumes  $pleq:p \leq_p hole-pos C$  shows  $p \in possc C$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma hole-pos-in-posscc:  $hole-pos C \in possc C$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma par-hole-pos-in-posscc:
  assumes  $par:hole-pos C \perp p$  and  $ex:p \in poss C\langle t \rangle$  shows  $p \in possc C$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma possc-not-below-hole-pos:
  assumes  $p \in possc (C::('a,'b) ctxt)$  shows  $\neg (hole-pos C <_p p)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma possc-subst-not-posscc-not-poss:
  assumes  $y:p \in possc (C \cdot_c \sigma)$  and  $n:p \notin possc C$  shows  $p \notin poss C\langle t \rangle$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

All proper terms in a context

```
fun ctxt-to-term-list :: ('f, 'v) ctxt => ('f, 'v) term list
  where
    ctxt-to-term-list Hole = [] |
    ctxt-to-term-list (More f bef C aft) = ctxt-to-term-list C @ bef @ aft
```

lemma ctxt-to-term-list-supt: $t \in \text{set } (\text{ctxt-to-term-list } C) \implies C\langle s \rangle \triangleright t$

lemma subteq-Var-imp-in-vars-term:
 $r \trianglerighteq \text{Var } x \implies x \in \text{vars-term } r$

```
fun instance-term :: ('f, 'v) term => ('f set, 'v) term => bool
  where
    instance-term (Var x) (Var y) <=> x = y |
    instance-term (Fun f ts) (Fun fs ss) <=>
      f \in fs \wedge \text{length } ts = \text{length } ss \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } ts. \text{instance-term } (ts ! i) (ss ! i)) |
    instance-term _ _ = False
```

```
fun subt-at-ctxt :: ('f, 'v) ctxt => pos => ('f, 'v) ctxt (infixl `|-c` 67)
  where
    C |-c [] = C |
    More f bef C aft |-c (i#p) = C |-c p
```

lemma subt-at-subt-at-ctxt:
assumes hole-pos C = p @ q
shows C⟨t⟩ |- p = (C |-c p)⟨t⟩

lemma hole-pos-subt-at-ctxt:
assumes hole-pos C = p @ q
shows hole-pos (C |-c p) = q

lemma subt-at-ctxt-compose[simp]: $(C \circ_c D) \mid\!- c \text{hole-pos } C = D$

lemma split-ctxt:
assumes hole-pos C = p @ q
shows $\exists D E. C = D \circ_c E \wedge \text{hole-pos } D = p \wedge \text{hole-pos } E = q \wedge E = C \mid\!- c p$

lemma ctxt-subst-id[simp]: $C \cdot_c \text{Var} = C$ $\langle proof \rangle$

the strict subterm relation between contexts and terms

inductive-set

$suptc :: (('f, 'v) ctxt \times ('f, 'v) term) set$
where
 arg: $s \in set bef \cup set aft \implies s \sqsupseteq t \implies (More f bef C aft, t) \in suptc$
 | ctxt: $(C, s) \in suptc \implies (D \circ_c C, s) \in suptc$

hide-const $suptcp$

abbreviation $suptc\text{-pred}$ **where** $suptc\text{-pred } C t \equiv (C, t) \in suptc$

notation

$suptc\text{-pred } ((/- \triangleright_c -) \langle [56, 56] \rangle 55)$

lemma $suptc\text{-subst}: C \triangleright_c s \implies C \cdot_c \sigma \triangleright_c s \cdot \sigma$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $suptc\text{-imp-supt}: C \triangleright_c s \implies C \langle t \rangle \triangleright s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $suptc\text{-supteq-trans}: C \triangleright_c s \implies s \sqsupseteq t \implies C \triangleright_c t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $supteq\text{-suptc-trans}: C = D \circ_c E \implies E \triangleright_c s \implies C \triangleright_c s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

hide-fact (open)

$suptcp.\text{arg} suptcp.\text{cases} suptcp.\text{induct} suptcp.\text{intros} suptc.\text{arg} suptc.\text{ctxt}$

lemma $supteq\text{-ctxt-cases}': C \langle t \rangle \sqsupseteq u \implies$
 $C \triangleright_c u \vee t \sqsupseteq u \vee (\exists D C'. C = D \circ_c C' \wedge u = C' \langle t \rangle \wedge C' \neq \square)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $supteq\text{-ctxt-cases}[consumes 1, case-names in-ctxt in-term sub-ctxt]: C \langle t \rangle \sqsupseteq u \implies$
 $(C \triangleright_c u \implies P) \implies$
 $(t \sqsupseteq u \implies P) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge D C'. C = D \circ_c C' \implies u = C' \langle t \rangle \implies C' \neq \square \implies P) \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $vars\text{-subst} :: ('f, 'v) subst \Rightarrow 'v set$

where

$vars\text{-subst } \sigma = subst\text{-domain } \sigma \cup \bigcup (vars\text{-term} ` subst\text{-range } \sigma)$

lemma $range\text{-vars-subst-compose-subset}:$

$range\text{-vars } (\sigma \circ_s \tau) \subseteq (range\text{-vars } \sigma - subst\text{-domain } \tau) \cup range\text{-vars } \tau$ (**is** $?L \subseteq ?R$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A substitution is idempotent iff the variables in its range are disjoint from its domain. See also "Term Rewriting and All That" Lemma 4.5.7.

```

lemma subst-idemp-iff:
   $\sigma \circ_s \sigma = \sigma \longleftrightarrow \text{subst-domain } \sigma \cap \text{range-vars } \sigma = \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition subst-compose' :: ('f, 'v) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) subst where
  subst-compose'  $\sigma$   $\tau$  =  $(\lambda x. \text{if } (x \in \text{subst-domain } \sigma) \text{ then } \sigma x \cdot \tau \text{ else } \text{Var } x)$ 

lemma vars-subst-compose':
  assumes vars-subst  $\tau \cap \text{subst-domain } \sigma = \{\}$ 
  shows  $\sigma \circ_s \tau = \tau \circ_s (\text{subst-compose}' \sigma \tau)$  (is ?l = ?r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-subst-compose'-pow:
  assumes vars-subst  $\tau \cap \text{subst-domain } \sigma = \{\}$ 
  shows  $\sigma \wedgeq n \circ_s \tau = \tau \circ_s (\text{subst-compose}' \sigma \tau) \wedgeq n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-pow-commute:
  assumes  $\sigma \circ_s \tau = \tau \circ_s \sigma$ 
  shows  $\sigma \circ_s (\tau \wedgeq n) = \tau \wedgeq n \circ_s \sigma$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-power-commute:
  assumes  $\sigma \circ_s \tau = \tau \circ_s \sigma$ 
  shows  $(\sigma \wedgeq n) \circ_s (\tau \wedgeq n) = (\sigma \circ_s \tau) \wedgeq n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-term-ctxt-apply:
  vars-term  $(C\langle t \rangle) = \text{vars-ctxt } C \cup \text{vars-term } t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-ctxt-pos-term:
  assumes  $p \in \text{poss } t$ 
  shows vars-term  $t = \text{vars-ctxt } (\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p t) \cup \text{vars-term } (t |- p)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-term-subt-at:
  assumes  $p \in \text{poss } t$ 
  shows vars-term  $(t |- p) \subseteq \text{vars-term } t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Var-pow-Var[simp]:  $\text{Var} \wedgeq n = \text{Var}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition is-inverse-renaming :: ('f, 'v) subst  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) subst where
  is-inverse-renaming  $\sigma$   $y = ($ 
    if  $\text{Var } y \in \text{subst-range } \sigma$  then  $\text{Var } (\text{the-inv-into } (\text{subst-domain } \sigma) \sigma (\text{Var } y))$ 
    else  $\text{Var } y$ 
   $)$ 

```

```

lemma is-renaming-inverse-domain:
  assumes ren: is-renaming  $\sigma$ 
  and  $x: x \in \text{subst-domain } \sigma$ 
  shows  $\text{Var } x \cdot \sigma \cdot \text{is-inverse-renaming } \sigma = \text{Var } x (\mathbf{is} \ - \cdot \ ?\sigma = -)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is-renaming-inverse-range:
  assumes varren: is-renaming  $\sigma$ 
  and  $x: \text{Var } x \notin \text{subst-range } \sigma$ 
  shows  $\text{Var } x \cdot \sigma \cdot \text{is-inverse-renaming } \sigma = \text{Var } x (\mathbf{is} \ - \cdot \ ?\sigma = -)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-subst-compose:
   $\text{vars-subst } (\sigma \circ_s \tau) \subseteq \text{vars-subst } \sigma \cup \text{vars-subst } \tau$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-subst-compose-update:
  assumes  $x: x \notin \text{vars-subst } \sigma$ 
  shows  $\sigma \circ_s \tau(x := t) = (\sigma \circ_s \tau)(x := t) (\mathbf{is} \ ?l = ?r)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subst-variants-imp-eq:
  assumes  $\sigma \circ_s \sigma' = \tau$  and  $\tau \circ_s \tau' = \sigma$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge x. \sigma \cdot \sigma' = \tau \cdot x \bigwedge x. \tau \cdot \tau' = \sigma \cdot x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poss-subst-choice: assumes  $p \in \text{poss } (t \cdot \sigma)$  shows
   $p \in \text{poss } t \wedge \text{is-Fun } (t |- p) \vee$ 
   $(\exists x q1 q2. q1 \in \text{poss } t \wedge q2 \in \text{poss } (\sigma x) \wedge t |- q1 = \text{Var } x \wedge x \in \text{vars-term } t$ 
   $\wedge p = q1 @ q2 \wedge t \cdot \sigma |- p = \sigma x |- q2) (\mathbf{is} \ - \vee (\exists x q1 q2. ?p x q1 q2 t p))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

fun vars-term-list :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  'v list
  where
    vars-term-list (Var x) = [x] |
    vars-term-list (Fun - ts) = concat (map vars-term-list ts)

lemma set-vars-term-list [simp]:
  set (vars-term-list t) = vars-term t
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unary-vars-term-list:
  assumes  $t: \text{funas-term } t \subseteq F$ 
  and unary:  $\bigwedge f n. (f, n) \in F \implies n \leq 1$ 
  shows vars-term-list t = []  $\vee (\exists x \in \text{vars-term } t. \text{vars-term-list } t = [x])$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

declare vars-term-list.simps [simp del]

```

The list of function symbols in a term (without removing duplicates).

```

fun fun-term-list :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  'f list
  where
    fun-term-list (Var -) = []
    fun-term-list (Fun f ts) = f # concat (map fun-term-list ts)

lemma set-fun-term-list [simp]:
  set (fun-term-list t) = fun-term t
  <proof>

declare fun-term-list.simps [simp del]

The list of function symbol and arity pairs in a term (without removing
duplicates).

fun funas-term-list :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f  $\times$  nat) list
  where
    funas-term-list (Var -) = []
    funas-term-list (Fun f ts) = (f, length ts) # concat (map funas-term-list ts)

lemma set-funas-term-list [simp]:
  set (funas-term-list t) = funas-term t
  <proof>

declare funas-term-list.simps [simp del]

definition funas-args-term-list :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f  $\times$  nat) list
  where
    funas-args-term-list t = concat (map funas-term-list (args t))

lemma set-funas-args-term-list [simp]:
  set (funas-args-term-list t) = funas-args-term t
  <proof>

lemma vars-term-list-map-funs-term:
  vars-term-list (map-funs-term fg t) = vars-term-list t
  <proof>

lemma fun-term-list-map-funs-term:
  fun-term-list (map-funs-term fg t) = map fg (fun-term-list t)
  <proof>

Next we provide some functions to compute multisets instead of sets of
function symbols, variables, etc. they may be helpful for non-duplicating
TRSs.

fun fun-term-ms :: ('f,'v)term  $\Rightarrow$  'f multiset
  where
    fun-term-ms (Var x) = {#}
    fun-term-ms (Fun f ts) = {#f#} +  $\sum_{\#}$  (mset (map fun-term-ms ts))

fun fun ctxt-ms :: ('f,'v)ctxt  $\Rightarrow$  'f multiset

```

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fun}s\text{-}ctx\text{-}ms \text{ Hole} &= \{\#\} \mid \\ \text{fun}s\text{-}ctx\text{-}ms (\text{More } f \text{ bef } C \text{ aft}) &= \\ \{\#f\#\} + \sum_{\#} (\text{mset} (\text{map funs-term-ms bef})) + \\ \text{fun}s\text{-}ctx\text{-}ms C + \sum_{\#} (\text{mset} (\text{map funs-term-ms aft})) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *fun*s-term-ms-*ctxt-apply*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fun}s\text{-term-ms } C\langle t \rangle &= \text{fun}s\text{-}ctx\text{-}ms C + \text{fun}s\text{-term-ms } t \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *fun*s-term-ms-*subst-apply*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fun}s\text{-term-ms } (t \cdot \sigma) &= \\ \text{fun}s\text{-term-ms } t + \sum_{\#} (\text{image-mset} (\lambda x. \text{fun}s\text{-term-ms } (\sigma x)) (\text{vars-term-ms } t)) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ground-vars-term-ms-empty*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ground } t &= (\text{vars-term-ms } t = \{\#\}) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *vars-term-ms-map-funs-term* [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vars-term-ms } (\text{map-funs-term } fg t) &= \text{vars-term-ms } t \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *fun*s-term-ms-*map-funs-term*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fun}s\text{-term-ms } (\text{map-funs-term } fg t) &= \text{image-mset } fg (\text{fun}s\text{-term-ms } t) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *supteq-imp-vars-term-ms-subset*:

$$\begin{aligned} s \supseteq t \implies \text{vars-term-ms } t &\subseteq_{\#} \text{vars-term-ms } s \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *mset-funs-term-list*:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mset } (\text{fun}s\text{-term-list } t) &= \text{fun}s\text{-term-ms } t \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Creating substitutions from lists

type-synonym ('f, 'v, 'w) gsubstL = ('v × ('f, 'w) term) list
type-synonym ('f, 'v) substL = ('f, 'v, 'v) gsubstL

definition *mk-subst* :: ('v ⇒ ('f, 'w) term) ⇒ ('f, 'v, 'w) gsubstL ⇒ ('f, 'v, 'w)
gsubst **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mk-subst } d \text{ xts} &\equiv \\ (\lambda x. \text{case map-of xts } x \text{ of} \\ &\quad \text{None} \Rightarrow d x \\ &\quad \mid \text{Some } t \Rightarrow t) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *mk-subst-not-mem*:

$$\text{assumes } x: x \notin \text{set xs}$$

```

shows mk-subst f (zip xs ts) x = f x
⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-subst-not-mem':
  assumes x: x ∉ set (map fst ss)
  shows mk-subst f ss x = f x
⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-subst-distinct:
  assumes dist: distinct xs
  and i: i < length xs i < length ls
  shows mk-subst f (zip xs ls) (xs ! i) = ls ! i
⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-subst-Nil [simp]:
  mk-subst d [] = d
⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-subst-concat:
  assumes x ∉ set (map fst xs)
  shows (mk-subst f (xs@ys)) x = (mk-subst f ys) x
⟨proof⟩

lemma mk-subst-concat-Cons:
  assumes x ∈ set (map fst ss)
  shows mk-subst f (concat (ss#ss')) x = mk-subst f ss x
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-term-var-poss-iff:
  x ∈ vars-term t ↔ (Ǝ p. p ∈ var-poss t ∧ Var x = t |- p) (is ?L ↔ ?R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-term-poss-subt-at:
  assumes x ∈ vars-term t
  obtains q where q ∈ poss t and t |- q = Var x
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-ctxt-subt-at':
  assumes x ∈ vars-ctxt C
  and p ∈ poss C⟨t⟩
  and hole-pos C = p
  shows Ǝ q. q ∈ poss C⟨t⟩ ∧ parallel-pos p q ∧ C⟨t⟩ |- q = Var x
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-ctxt-subt-at:
  assumes x ∈ vars-ctxt C
  and p ∈ poss C⟨t⟩
  and hole-pos C = p
  obtains q where q ∈ poss C⟨t⟩ and parallel-pos p q and C⟨t⟩ |- q = Var x

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma poss-is-Fun-fun-poss:
  assumes  $p \in \text{poss } t$ 
    and  $\text{is-Fun } (t |- p)$ 
  shows  $p \in \text{fun-poss } t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fun-poss-map-vars-term:
   $\text{fun-poss } (\text{map-vars-term } f t) = \text{fun-poss } t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fun-poss-append-poss:
  assumes  $p@q \in \text{poss } t$   $q \neq []$ 
  shows  $p \in \text{fun-poss } t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fun-poss-append-poss':
  assumes  $p@q \in \text{fun-poss } t$ 
  shows  $p \in \text{fun-poss } t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fun-poss-in-ctxt:
  assumes  $q@p \in \text{fun-poss } (C\langle t \rangle)$ 
    and  $\text{hole-pos } C = q$ 
  shows  $p \in \text{fun-poss } t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma args-poss:
  assumes  $i \# p \in \text{poss } t$ 
  obtains  $f ts$  where  $t = \text{Fun } f ts$   $p \in \text{poss } (ts!i)$   $i < \text{length } ts$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma var-poss-parallel:
  assumes  $p \in \text{var-poss } t$  and  $q \in \text{var-poss } t$  and  $p \neq q$ 
  shows  $p \perp q$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ctxt-comp-equals:
  assumes poss: $p \in \text{poss } s$   $p \in \text{poss } t$ 
    and  $\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p s \circ_c C = \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p t \circ_c D$ 
  shows  $C = D$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ctxt-subst-comp-pos:
  assumes  $q \in \text{poss } t$  and  $p \in \text{poss } (t \cdot \tau)$ 
    and  $(\text{ctxt-of-pos-term } q t \cdot_c \sigma) \circ_c C = \text{ctxt-of-pos-term } p (t \cdot \tau)$ 
  shows  $q \leq_p p$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Predicate whether a context is ground, i.e., whether the context contains no variables.

```
fun ground-ctxt :: ('f, 'v) ctxt  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  ground-ctxt Hole = True
  | ground-ctxt (More f ss1 C ss2) =
     $(\forall s \in set ss1. \text{ground } s) \wedge (\forall s \in set ss2. \text{ground } s) \wedge \text{ground-ctxt } C)$ 
```

```
lemma ground-ctxt-apply[simp]: ground (C⟨t⟩) = (ground-ctxt C  $\wedge$  ground t)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ground-ctxt-compose[simp]: ground-ctxt (C  $\circ_c$  D) = (ground-ctxt C  $\wedge$  ground-ctxt D)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Linearity of a term

```
fun linear-term :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where
    linear-term (Var -) = True |
    linear-term (Fun - ts) = (is-partition (map vars-term ts)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall t \in set ts. \text{linear-term } t$ ))
```

```
lemma subst-merge:
  assumes part: is-partition (map vars-term ts)
  shows  $\exists \sigma. \forall i < \text{length } ts. \forall x \in \text{vars-term } (ts ! i). \sigma x = \tau i x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Matching for linear terms

```
fun weak-match :: ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where
    weak-match - (Var -)  $\longleftrightarrow$  True |
    weak-match (Var -) (Fun - -)  $\longleftrightarrow$  False |
    weak-match (Fun f ts) (Fun g ss)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
       $f = g \wedge \text{length } ts = \text{length } ss \wedge (\forall i < \text{length } ts. \text{weak-match } (ts ! i) (ss ! i))$ 
```

```
lemma weak-match-refl: weak-match t t
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma weak-match-match: weak-match (t  $\cdot$  σ) t
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma weak-match-map-funs-term:
  weak-match t s  $\implies$  weak-match (map-funs-term g t) (map-funs-term g s)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma linear-weak-match:
  assumes linear-term l and weak-match t s and s = l  $\cdot$  σ
  shows  $\exists \tau. t = l \cdot \tau \wedge (\forall x \in \text{vars-term } l. \text{weak-match } (\text{Var } x \cdot \tau) (\text{Var } x \cdot \sigma))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma map-funs-subst-split:
  assumes map-funs-term  $fg t = s \cdot \sigma$ 
  and linear-term  $s$ 
  shows  $\exists u \tau. t = u \cdot \tau \wedge$  map-funs-term  $fg u = s \wedge (\forall x \in \text{vars-term } s. \text{map-funs-term}$ 
 $fg (\tau x) = (\sigma x))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma linear-map-funs-term [simp]:
  linear-term (map-funs-term  $f t$ ) = linear-term  $t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma linear-term-map-inj-on-linear-term:
  assumes linear-term  $l$ 
  and inj-on  $f$  (vars-term  $l$ )
  shows linear-term (map-vars-term  $f l$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma linear-term-replace-in-subst:
  assumes linear-term  $t$ 
  and  $p \in \text{poss } t$ 
  and  $t |- p = \text{Var } x$ 
  and  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{vars-term } t \implies y \neq x \implies \sigma y = \tau y$ 
  and  $\tau x = s$ 
  shows replace-at  $(t \cdot \sigma) p s = t \cdot \tau$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma var-in-linear-args:
  assumes linear-term (Fun  $f ts$ )
  and  $i < \text{length } ts$  and  $x \in \text{vars-term } (ts!i)$  and  $j < \text{length } ts \wedge j \neq i$ 
  shows  $x \notin \text{vars-term } (ts!j)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subt-at-linear:
  assumes linear-term  $t$  and  $p \in \text{poss } t$ 
  shows linear-term  $(t|-p)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma linear-subterms-disjoint-vars:
  assumes linear-term  $t$ 
  and  $p \in \text{poss } t$  and  $q \in \text{poss } t$  and  $p \perp q$ 
  shows vars-term  $(t|-p) \cap \text{vars-term } (t|-q) = \{\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ground-imp-linear-term [simp]: ground  $t \implies$  linear-term  $t$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma linear-vars-term-list:

```

```

assumes linear-term t
shows length (filter ((=) x) (vars-term-list t)) ≤ 1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma distinct-alt:
assumes ∀ x. length (filter ((=) x) xs) ≤ 1
shows distinct xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma linear-term-distinct-vars:
assumes linear-term t
shows distinct (vars-term-list t)
⟨proof⟩

```

exhaustively apply several maps on function symbols

```

fun map-funs-term-enum :: ('f ⇒ 'g list) ⇒ ('f, 'v) term ⇒ ('g, 'v) term list
where
  map-funs-term-enum fgs (Var x) = [Var x] |
  map-funs-term-enum fgs (Fun f ts) =
    let
      lts = map (map-funs-term-enum fgs) ts;
      ss = concat-lists lts;
      gs = fgs f
    in concat (map (λg. map (Fun g) ss) gs))

```

```

lemma map-funs-term-enum:
assumes gf: ⋀ f g. g ∈ set (fgs f) ⇒ gf g = f
shows set (map-funs-term-enum fgs t) = {u. map-funs-term gf u = t ∧ (⋀ g n.
(g,n) ∈ funas-term u → g ∈ set (fgs (gf g)))}
⟨proof⟩

```

```
declare map-funs-term-enum.simps[simp del]
```

```
end
```

References

- [1] F. Baader and T. Nipkow. *Term rewriting and all that*. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [2] R. Thiemann and C. Sternagel. Certification of termination proofs using CeTA. In *TPHOLs'09*, volume 5674 of *LNCS*, pages 452–468, 2009.