## Fundamental Theorem of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

## Joseph Thommes, Manuel Eberl

## March 17, 2025

#### Abstract

This article deals with the formalisation of some group-theoretic results including the fundamental theorem of finitely generated abelian groups characterising the structure of these groups as a uniquely determined product of cyclic groups. Both the invariant factor decomposition and the primary decomposition are covered.

Additional work includes results about the direct product, the internal direct product and more group-theoretic lemmas.

## Contents

Miscellaneous group facts Generated Groups	3	
Generated Groups	6	
	6	
Auxiliary lemmas		
Internal direct product  5.1 Complementarity		
Finite Product		
Group Homomorphisms		
Finite and cyclic groups  8.1 Finite groups	22 22 23	
	Auxiliary lemmas  Internal direct product  5.1 Complementarity	

10	0 Group relations			
9	Dire	ect group product	27	
	8.6	Integer modular groups	27	
	8.5	$\verb"get_exp-discrete logarithm$	26	
	8.4	Finite cyclic groups	25	
	8.3	Cyclic groups	24	

## 11 Fundamental Theorem of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups 34

## 1 Set Multiplication

```
theory Set_Multiplication
  imports "HOL-Algebra.Multiplicative_Group"
begin
```

This theory/section is of auxiliary nature and is mainly used to establish a connection between the set multiplication and the multiplication of subgroups via the <code>IDirProd</code> (although this particular notion is introduced later). However, as in every section of this entry, there are some lemmas that do not have any further usage in this entry, but are of interest just by themselves.

```
lemma (in group) set_mult_union:
  "A <#> (B ∪ C) = (A <#> B) ∪ (A <#> C)"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in group) set_mult_card_single_el_eq:
  assumes "J ⊆ carrier G" "x ∈ carrier G"
  shows "card (l_coset G x J) = card J" ⟨proof⟩

We find an upper bound for the cardinality of a set product.

lemma (in group) set_mult_card_le:
  assumes "finite H" "H ⊆ carrier G" "J ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "card (H <#> J) ≤ card H * card J"
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in group) set_mult_finite:
  assumes "finite H" "finite J" "H ⊆ carrier G" "J ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "finite (H <#> J)"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

The next lemma allows us to later to derive that two finite subgroups J and H are complementary if and only if their product has the cardinality  $|J| \cdot |H|$ .

```
lemma (in group) set_mult_card_eq_impl_empty_inter: assumes "finite H" "finite J" "H \subseteq carrier G" "J \subseteq carrier G" "card (H < *> J) = card H * card J"
```

```
shows "\landa b. \llbracketa \in H; b \in H; a \neq b\rrbracket \Longrightarrow ((\otimes) a 'J) \cap ((\otimes) b 'J)
= {}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) set_mult_card_eq_impl_empty_inter':
  assumes "finite H" "finite J" "H \subseteq carrier G" "J \subseteq carrier G" "card
(H < \# > J) = card H * card J"
  shows "\( a \) b. [a \in H; b \in H; a \neq b] \implies (1\_coset G a J) \cap (1\_coset G a J)
G \ b \ J) = \{\}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) set_mult_comm:
  assumes "H \subseteq carrier G" "J \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "(H < \# > J) = (J < \# > H)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) set_mult_one_imp_inc:
  assumes "1 \in A" "A \subseteq carrier G" "B \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "B \subseteq (B <#> A)"
\langle proof \rangle
```

In all cases, we know that the product of two sets is always contained in the subgroup generated by them.

```
lemma (in group) set_mult_subset_generate: assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "B \subseteq carrier G" shows "A <#> B \subseteq generate G (A \cup B)" \langle proof \rangle
```

In the case of subgroups, the set product is just the subgroup generated by both of the subgroups.

```
lemma (in comm_group) set_mult_eq_generate_subgroup: assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G" shows "generate G (H \cup J) = H <#> J" (is "?L = ?R") \langle proof \rangle
```

end

## 2 Miscellaneous group facts

```
theory Miscellaneous_Groups
  imports Set_Multiplication
begin
```

As the name suggests, this section contains several smaller lemmas about groups.

```
lemma (in subgroup) nat_pow_closed [simp,intro]: "a \in H \Longrightarrow pow G a (n::nat) \in H" \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma nat_pow_modify_carrier: "a  [ ]_{G(carrier := H)}  b = a  [ ]_{G}  (b::nat)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) subgroup_card_dvd_group_ord:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "card H dvd order G"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) subgroup_card_eq_order:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "card H = order (G(carrier := H))"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) finite_subgroup_card_neq_0:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "finite H"
  shows "card H \neq 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) subgroup_order_dvd_group_order:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "order (G(carrier := H)) dvd order G"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) sub_subgroup_dvd_card:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G" "J \subseteq H"
  shows "card J dvd card H"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) inter_subgroup_dvd_card:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G"
  shows "card (H \cap J) dvd card H"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) subgroups_card_coprime_inter_card_one:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G" "coprime (card H) (card J)"
  shows "card (H \cap J) = 1"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) coset_neq_imp_empty_inter:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "a \in carrier G" "b \in carrier G"
  shows "H #> a \neq H #> b \Longrightarrow (H #> a) \cap (H #> b) = {}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) subgroup_is_comm_group:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "comm_group (G(carrier := H))" \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (in group) pow_int_mod_ord:
  assumes [simp]:"a \in carrier G" "ord a \neq 0"
  shows "a [^] (n::int) = a [^] (n mod ord a)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) pow_nat_mod_ord:
  assumes [simp]:"a \in carrier G" "ord a \neq 0"
  shows "a [^] (n::nat) = a [^] (n mod ord a)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) ord_min:
  assumes "m \ge 1" "x \in carrier G" "x [^] m = 1"
  shows
            "ord x \leq m"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) bij_betw_mult_left[intro]:
  assumes [simp]: "x \in carrier G"
  shows "bij_betw (\lambda y. x \otimes y) (carrier G) (carrier G)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in subgroup) inv_in_iff:
  assumes "x \in carrier G" "group G"
           "inv x \in H \longleftrightarrow x \in H"
  shows
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in subgroup) mult_in_cancel_left:
  assumes "y \in carrier G" "x \in H" "group G"
           "x \otimes y \in H \longleftrightarrow y \in H"
  \mathbf{shows}
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in subgroup) mult_in_cancel_right:
  assumes "x \in carrier G" "y \in H" "group G"
  shows
           "x \otimes y \in H \longleftrightarrow x \in H"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group)
  assumes "x \in carrier G" and "x [^] n = 1" and "n > 0"
  shows ord_le: "ord x \le n" and ord_pos: "ord x > 0"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) ord_conv_Least:
  assumes "x \in carrier G" "\exists n :: nat > 0. x [^] n = 1"
           "ord x = (LEAST \ n :: nat. \ 0 < n \land x \ [^] \ n = 1)"
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (in group) ord_conv_Gcd:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ "x \ \in \ \mathit{carrier} \ \mathit{G"}
           "ord x = Gcd \{n. x [^] n = 1\}"
  shows
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) subgroup_ord_eq:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "x \in H"
  shows "group.ord (G(carrier := H)) x = ord x"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) ord_FactGroup:
  assumes "subgroup P G" "group (G Mod P)"
  shows "order (G Mod P) * card P = order G"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) one_is_same:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "1_{G(|carrier|:=|H|)} = 1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) kernel_FactGroup:
  assumes "P ⊲ G"
  shows "kernel G (G Mod P) (\lambda x. P \#> x) = P"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) sub_subgroup_coprime:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G" "coprime (card H) (card J)"
  and "subgroup sH G" "subgroup sJ G" "sH \subseteq H" "sJ \subseteq J"
shows "coprime (card sH) (card sJ)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) pow_eq_nat_mod:
  assumes "a \in carrier G" "a [^] n = a [^] m"
  shows "n mod (ord a) = m mod (ord a)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) pow_eq_int_mod:
  fixes n m::int
  assumes "a \in carrier G" "a [^] n = a [^] m"
  shows "n mod (ord a) = m mod (ord a)"
\langle proof \rangle
end
```

## 3 Generated Groups

theory Generated\_Groups\_Extend
 imports Miscellaneous\_Groups
begin

This section extends the lemmas and facts about generate. Starting with a basic fact.

```
lemma (in group) generate_sincl:
  "A \subseteq generate G A"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

The following lemmas reflect some of the idempotence characteristics of generate and have proved useful at several occasions.

```
lemma (in group) generate_idem:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "generate G (generate G A) = generate G A"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_idem':
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "B \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "generate G (generate G A \cup B) = generate G (A \cup B)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_idem'_right:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "B \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "generate G (A \cup generate G B) = generate G (A \cup B)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_idem_Un:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "generate G (\bigcup x \in A. generate G \{x\}) = generate G A"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_idem_fUn:
  assumes "f A \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "generate G (\bigcup {generate G {x} | x. x \in f A}) = generate G (f
A)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_idem_fim_Un:
  assumes "\bigcup (f ' A) \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "generate G (\bigcup S \in A. generate G (f S)) = generate G (\bigcup {generate
G \{x\} \mid x. x \in \bigcup (f `A)\})"
\langle proof \rangle
The following two rules allow for convenient proving of the equality of two
```

generated sets.

```
lemma (in group) generate_eqI:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "B \subseteq carrier G" "A \subseteq generate G B" "B \subseteq generate
  shows "generate G A = generate G B"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (in group) generate_one_switched_eqI:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "a \in A" "B = (A - {a}) \cup {b}"
  and "b \in generate G A" "a \in generate G B"
  shows "generate G A = generate G B"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_subset_eqI:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "B \subseteq A" "A - B \subseteq generate G B"
  shows "generate G A = generate G B"
\langle proof \rangle
Some smaller lemmas about generate.
lemma (in group) generate_subset_change_eqI:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "B \subseteq carrier G" "C \subseteq carrier G" "generate
G A = generate G B"
  shows "generate G (A \cup C) = generate G (B \cup C)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_subgroup_id:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "generate G H = H"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_consistent':
  assumes "subgroup H G" "A \subseteq H"
  shows "\forall x \in A. generate G \{x\} = generate (G\|carrier := H\|) \{x\}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_singleton_one:
  assumes "generate G {a} = {1}"
  shows "a = 1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_inv_eq:
  assumes "a \in carrier G"
  shows "generate G {a} = generate G {inv a}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_eq_imp_subset:
  assumes "generate G A = generate G B"
  shows "A \subseteq generate G B"
  \langle proof \rangle
The neutral element does not play a role when generating a subgroup.
lemma (in group) generate_one_irrel:
  "generate G A = generate G (A \cup {1})"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) generate_one_irrel':
```

```
"generate G A = generate G (A - \{1\})" \langle proof \rangle
```

Also, we can express the subgroup generated by a singleton with finite order using just its powers up to its order.

```
lemma (in group) generate_nat_pow: assumes "ord a \neq 0" "a \in carrier G" shows "generate G {a} = {a [^] k | k. k \in {0..ord a - 1}}" \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in group) generate_nat_pow': assumes "ord a \neq 0" "a \in carrier G" shows "generate G {a} = {a [^] k | k. k \in {1..ord a}}" \langle proof \rangle
```

## 4 Auxiliary lemmas

end

```
theory General_Auxiliary
  imports Complex_Main
             "{\it HOL-Algebra.IntRing"}
             "HOL.Rings"
begin
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{inter\_imp\_subset:} \ "A \ \cap \ B \ \texttt{=} \ A \ \Longrightarrow \ A \ \subseteq \ B"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma card_inter_eq:
  assumes "finite A" "card (A \cap B) = card A"
  shows "A \subseteq B"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma coprime_eq_empty_prime_inter:
  assumes "(n::nat) \neq 0" "m \neq 0"
  shows "coprime n m \longleftrightarrow (prime_factors n) \cap (prime_factors m) = {}"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma prime_factors_Prod:
  assumes "finite S" "\landa. a \in S \Longrightarrow f a \neq 0"
  shows "prime_factors (prod f S) = \bigcup (prime_factors ' f ' S)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma lcm_is_Min_multiple_nat:
  assumes "c \neq 0" "(a::nat) dvd c" "(b::nat) dvd c"
  shows "c \ge 1cm \ a \ b"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma diff\_prime\_power\_imp\_coprime:

```
assumes "p \neq q" "Factorial_Ring.prime (p::nat)" "Factorial_Ring.prime
  shows "coprime (p \hat{ } (n::nat)) (q \hat{ } m)"
lemma "finite (prime_factors x)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma card_ge_1_two_diff:
  assumes "card A > 1"
  obtains x y where "x \in A" "y \in A" "x \neq y"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma infinite_two_diff:
  assumes "infinite A"
  obtains x y where "x \in A" "y \in A" "x \neq y"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma Inf_le:
  "Inf A \leq x" if "x \in (A::nat set)" for x
\langle proof \rangle
lemma switch_elem_card_le:
  assumes "a \in A"
  shows "card (A - {a} \cup {b}) \leq card A"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma pairwise_coprime_dvd:
  assumes "finite A" "pairwise coprime A" "(n::nat) = prod id A" "\forall a \in A.
a dvd j"
  shows "n dvd j"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma pairwise_coprime_dvd':
  assumes "finite A" "\landi j. \llbracket i \in A; j \in A; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies coprime (f i)
(f j)"
            "(n::nat) = prod f A" "\forall a \in A. f a dvd j"
  shows "n dvd j"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma transp_successively_remove1:
  assumes "transp f" "successively f l"
  shows "successively f (remove1 a 1)" \langle proof \rangle
lemma exp_one_2pi_iff:
  fixes x::real shows "exp (2 * of_real pi * i * x) = 1 \longleftrightarrow x \in \mathbb{Z}"
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma of_int_divide_in_Ints_iff:
  assumes "b \neq 0"
  shows
           "(of_int a / of_int b :: 'a :: field_char_0) \in \mathbb{Z} \longleftrightarrow b dvd
\langle proof \rangle
lemma of_nat_divide_in_Ints_iff:
  assumes "b \neq 0"
  shows
            "(of_nat a / of_nat b :: 'a :: field_char_0) \in \mathbb{Z} \longleftrightarrow b dvd
a"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma true_nth_unity_root:
  fixes n::nat
  obtains x::complex where "x ^ n = 1" "\m . [0 \le m; m \le n] \implies x ^ m \neq 1"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma finite_bij_betwI:
  assumes "finite A" "finite B" "inj_on f A" "f \in A \rightarrow B" "card A = card
  shows "bij_betw f A B"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma powi_mod:
  "x powi m = x powi (m \mod n)" if "x ^ n = 1" "n > 0" for x::complex and
m::int
\langle proof \rangle
lemma Sigma\_insert: "Sigma (insert x A) B = (\lambda y. (x, y)) ' B x \cup Sigma
A B"
  \langle proof \rangle
end
```

## 5 Internal direct product

```
theory IDirProds
  imports Generated_Groups_Extend General_Auxiliary
begin
```

#### 5.1 Complementarity

We introduce the notion of complementarity, that plays a central role in the internal direct group product and prove some basic properties about it.

```
definition (in group) complementary :: "'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool" where
```

```
"complementary H1 H2 \longleftrightarrow H1 \cap H2 = {1}"
\operatorname{lemma} (in group) complementary_symm: "complementary A B \longleftrightarrow complementary
B A"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) subgroup_carrier_complementary:
  assumes "complementary H J" "subgroup I (G(carrier := H))" "subgroup
K (G(|carrier := J|))"
  shows "complementary I K"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) subgroup_subset_complementary:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G" "subgroup I G"
  and "I \subseteq J" "complementary H J"
shows "complementary H I"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) complementary_subgroup_iff:
  assumes "subgroup H G"
  shows "complementary A B \longleftrightarrow group.complementary (G(carrier := H))
A B"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) subgroups_card_coprime_imp_compl:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G" "coprime (card H) (card J)"
  shows "complementary H J" \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) prime_power_complementary_groups:
  assumes "Factorial_Ring.prime p" "Factorial_Ring.prime q" "p \neq q"
  and "subgroup P G" "card P = p \hat{x}"
  and "subgroup Q G" "card Q = q \hat{y}"
  shows "complementary P Q"
\langle proof \rangle
With the previous work from the theory about set multiplication we can
characterize complementarity of two subgroups in abelian groups by the
cardinality of their product.
lemma (in comm_group) compl_imp_diff_cosets:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G" "finite H" "finite J"
  and "complementary H J"
  shows "\landa b. [a \in J; b \in J; a \neq b] \implies (H \#> a) \neq (H \#> b)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) finite_sub_card_eq_mult_imp_comp:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G" "finite H" "finite J"
  and "card (H \ll J) = (card J * card H)"
  shows "complementary H J"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (in comm_group) finite_sub_comp_imp_card_eq_mult:
   assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G" "finite H" "finite J"
   and "complementary H J"
   shows "card (H <#> J) = card J * card H"
   ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm_group) finite_sub_comp_iff_card_eq_mult:
   assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G" "finite H" "finite J"
   shows "card (H <#> J) = card J * card H ←→ complementary H J"
   ⟨proof⟩
```

## 5.2 IDirProd - binary internal direct product

We introduce the internal direct product formed by two subgroups (so in its binary form).

```
definition <code>IDirProd</code> :: "('a, 'b) <code>monoid_scheme</code> \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set" where

"<code>IDirProd</code> <code>G</code> <code>Y</code> <code>Z</code> = <code>generate</code> <code>G</code> (Y \cup Z)"
```

Some trivial lemmas about the binary internal direct product.

```
lemma (in group) IDirProd\_empty\_right: assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" shows "IDirProd\ G\ A\ \{\} = generate G\ A" \langle proof \rangle
```

```
\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{lemma} & (\mathbf{in} \ group) \ IDirProd\_empty\_left: \\ \mathbf{assumes} \ "A \subseteq carrier \ G" \\ \mathbf{shows} \ "IDirProd \ G \ \{\} \ A = \mathbf{generate} \ G \ A" \\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}
```

```
lemma (in group) IDirProd\_one\_right: assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" shows "IDirProd\ G\ A\ \{1\} = generate G\ A" \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (in group) IDirProd_one_left:
  assumes "A ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "IDirProd G {1} A = generate G A"
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in group) IDirProd_is_subgroup:
  assumes "Y ⊆ carrier G" "Z ⊆ carrier G"
  shows "subgroup (IDirProd G Y Z) G"
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

Using the theory about set multiplication we can also show the connection of the underlying set in the internal direct product with the set multiplication in the case of an abelian group. Together with the facts about complementarity and the set multiplication we can characterize complementarity by the cardinality of the internal direct product and vice versa.

```
lemma (in comm_group) IDirProd_eq_subgroup_mult:
   assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G"
   shows "IDirProd G H J = H <#> J"
   ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm_group) finite_sub_comp_iff_card_eq_IDirProd:
   assumes "subgroup H G" "subgroup J G" "finite H" "finite J"
   shows "card (IDirProd G H J) = card J * card H ←→ complementary H
J"
   ⟨proof⟩
```

#### 5.3 IDirProds - indexed internal direct product

The indexed version of the internal direct product acting on a family of subgroups.

```
definition <code>IDirProds :: "('a, 'b) monoid_scheme</code> \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a set) \Rightarrow 'c set \Rightarrow 'a set" where "<code>IDirProds G S I = generate G (\( \subseteq (S ' I))" \)</code>
```

Lemmas about the indexed internal direct product.

```
lemma (in group) IDirProds_incl:
    assumes "i ∈ I"
    shows "S i ⊆ IDirProds G S I"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in group) IDirProds_empty:
    "IDirProds G S {} = {1}"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in group) IDirProds_is_subgroup:
    assumes "∪ (S ' I) ⊆ (carrier G)"
    shows "subgroup (IDirProds G S I) G"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in group) IDirProds_subgroup_id: "subgroup (S i) G ⇒ IDirProds G S {i} = S i"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm_group) IDirProds_Un:
```

assumes " $\forall i \in A$ . subgroup (S i) G" " $\forall j \in B$ . subgroup (S j) G"

```
"IDirProds G S (A \cup B) = IDirProds G S A <#> IDirProds G S
  shows
В"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) IDirProds_finite:
  assumes "finite I" "\forall i\inI. subgroup (S i) G" "\forall i\inI. finite (S i)"
  shows "finite (IDirProds G S I)" (proof)
lemma (in comm_group) IDirProds_compl_imp_compl:
  assumes "\forall i \in I. subgroup (S i) G" and "subgroup H G"
  assumes "complementary H (IDirProds G S I)" "i \in I"
            "complementary H (S i)"
\langle proof \rangle
```

Using the knowledge about the binary internal direct product, we can - in case that all subgroups in the family have coprime orders - also derive the cardinality of the indexed internal direct product.

```
lemma (in comm_group) IDirProds_card:
  assumes "finite I" "\forall i \in I. subgroup (S i) G"
            "\forall i \in I. finite (S i)" "pairwise (\lambdax y. coprime (card (S x))
(card (S y))) I"
  shows "card (IDirProds G S I) = (\prod i \in I. card (S i))" \langle proof \rangle
```

## Complementary family of subgroups

The notion of a complementary family is introduced. Note that the subgroups are complementary not only to the other subgroups but to the product of the other subgroups.

```
definition (in group) compl fam :: "('c \Rightarrow 'a set) \Rightarrow 'c set \Rightarrow bool" where
   "compl_fam S I = (\forall i \in I. \text{ complementary } (S i) \text{ (IDirProds } G S \text{ } (I - \{i\})))"
Some lemmas about compl_fam.
lemma (in group) compl_fam_empty[simp]: "compl_fam S {}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) compl_fam_cong:
  assumes "compl_fam (f \circ g) A" "inj_on g A"
  shows "compl_fam f (g ' A)"
\langle proof \rangle
We now connect compl_fam with generate as this will be its main applica-
```

tion.

```
lemma (in comm_group) compl_fam_imp_generate_inj:
  assumes "gs \subseteq carrier G" "compl_fam (\lambdag. generate G {g}) gs"
  shows "inj_on (\lambda g. generate G {g}) gs"
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (in comm_group) compl_fam_generate_subset: assumes "compl_fam (\lambda g. generate G {g}) gs" "gs \subseteq carrier G" "A \subseteq gs" shows "compl_fam (\lambda g. generate G {g}) A" \langle proof \rangle
```

#### 5.5 is\_idirprod

In order to identify a group as the internal direct product of a family of subgroups, they all have to be normal subgroups, complementary to the product of the rest of the subgroups and generate all of the group - this is captured in the definition of <code>is\_idirprod</code>.

```
definition (in group) is_idirprod :: "'a set \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a set) \Rightarrow 'c set
⇒ bool" where
  "is_idirprod A S I = ((\forall i \in I. S i \lhd G) \wedge A = IDirProds G S I \wedge compl_fam
S I)"
Very basic lemmas about is_idirprod.
lemma (in comm_group) is_idirprod_subgroup_suffices:
  assumes "A = IDirProds G S I" "\forall i \in I. subgroup (S i) G" "compl_fam
  shows "is_idirprod A S I"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) is_idirprod_generate:
  assumes "A = generate G gs" "gs \subseteq carrier G" "compl_fam (\lambdag. generate
G {g}) gs"
  shows "is_idirprod A (\lambda g. generate G {g}) gs"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) is_idirprod_imp_compl_fam[simp]:
  assumes "is idirprod A S I"
  shows "compl_fam S I"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma \ (in \ \textit{comm\_group}) \ \textit{is\_idirprod\_generate\_imp\_generate[simp]}:
  assumes "is_idirprod A (\lambda g. generate G {g}) gs"
  shows "A = generate G gs"
\langle proof \rangle
```

### 6 Finite Product

end

theory Finite\_Product\_Extend
 imports IDirProds
begin

In this section, some general facts about *finprod* as well as some tailored for the rest of this entry are proven.

It is often needed to split a product in a single factor and the rest. Thus these two lemmas.

```
lemma (in comm_group) finprod_minus:
  assumes "a \in A" "f \in A \rightarrow carrier G" "finite A"
  shows "finprod G f A = f a \otimes finprod G f (A - {a})"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) finprod_minus_symm:
  assumes "a \in A" "f \in A \rightarrow carrier G" "finite A"
  shows "finprod G f A = finprod G f (A - {a}) \otimes f a"
\langle proof \rangle
This makes it very easy to show the following trivial fact.
lemma (in comm_group) finprod_singleton:
  assumes "f x \in carrier G" "finprod G f \{x\} = a"
  shows "f x = a"
\langle proof \rangle
The finite product is consistent and closed concerning subgroups.
lemma (in comm_group) finprod_subgroup:
  assumes "f \in S \rightarrow H" "subgroup H G"
  shows "finprod G f S = finprod (G(carrier := H)) f S"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) finprod_closed_subgroup:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "f \in A \rightarrow H"
  shows "finprod G f A \in H"
  \langle proof \rangle
It also does not matter if we exponentiate all elements taking part in the
product or the result of the product.
lemma (in comm_group) finprod_exp:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "f \in A \rightarrow carrier G"
  shows "(finprod G f A) [^] (k::int) = finprod G ((\lambdaa. a [^] k) \circ f)
  \langle proof \rangle
Some lemmas concerning different combinations of functions in the usage of
finprod.
lemma (in comm_group) finprod_cong_split:
  assumes "\landa. a \in A \Longrightarrow f a \otimes g a = h a"
  and "f \in A \rightarrow carrier G" "g \in A \rightarrow carrier G" "h \in A \rightarrow carrier G"
  shows "finprod G h A = finprod G f A \otimes finprod G g A" \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) finprod_comp:
```

```
assumes "inj_on g A" "(f \circ g) ' A \subseteq carrier G" shows "finprod G (f (g ' A) = finprod G (f \circ g) A" \langle proof \rangle
```

The subgroup generated by a set of generators (in an abelian group) is exactly the set of elements that can be written as a finite product using only powers of these elements.

```
lemma (in comm_group) generate_eq_finprod_PiE_image:
  assumes "finite gs" "gs \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "generate G gs = (\lambda x. \text{ finprod } G x \text{ gs}) ' \text{Pi}_E \text{ gs } (\lambda a. \text{ generate})
G \{a\})" (is "?g = ?fp")
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) generate_eq_finprod_Pi_image:
  assumes "finite gs" "gs \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "generate G gs = (\lambda x. \text{ finprod G x gs}) ' Pi gs (\lambda a. \text{ generate G})
\{a\})" (is "?g = ?fp")
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) generate_eq_finprod_Pi_int_image:
  assumes "finite gs" "gs \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "generate G gs = (\lambda x. \text{ finprod } G \ (\lambda g. g \ [^{-}] \ x \ g) \ gs) ' Pi gs (\lambda_{-}.
(UNIV::int set))"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) IDirProds_eq_finprod_PiE:
  assumes "finite I" "\landi. i \in I \Longrightarrow subgroup (S i) G"
  shows "IDirProds G S I = (\lambda x. finprod G x I) ' (Pi E I S)" (is "?DP
= ?fp")
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) IDirProds_eq_finprod_Pi:
  assumes "finite I" "\landi. i \in I \Longrightarrow subgroup (S i) G"
  shows "IDirProds G S I = (\lambda x. \text{ finprod G x I}) ' (Pi I S)" (is "?DP =
?fp")
\langle proof \rangle
If we switch one element from a set of generators, the generated set stays
```

If we switch one element from a set of generators, the generated set stays the same if both elements can be generated from the others together with the switched element respectively.

```
lemma (in comm_group) generate_one_switched_exp_eqI: assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "a \in A" "B = (A - {a}) \cup {b}" and "f \in A \rightarrow (UNIV::int set)" "g \in B \rightarrow (UNIV::int set)" and "a = finprod G (\lambdax. x [^] g x) B" "b = finprod G (\lambdax. x [^] f x) A" shows "generate G A = generate G B" \langle proof \rangle
```

We can characterize a complementary family of subgroups when the only way to form the neutral element as a product of picked elements from each subgroup is to pick the neutral element from each subgroup.

```
lemma (in comm_group) compl_fam_imp_triv_finprod:
  assumes "compl_fam S I" "finite I" "\setminusi. i \in I \implies subgroup (S i) G"
  and "finprod G f I = 1" "f \in Pi I S"
  shows "\forall i \in I. f i = 1"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) triv_finprod_imp_compl_fam:
  assumes "finite I" "\landi. i \in I \Longrightarrow subgroup (S i) G"
  and "\forall f \in Pi \ I \ S. \ finprod \ G \ f \ I = 1 \longrightarrow (\forall i \in I. \ f \ i = 1)"
  shows "compl_fam S I"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) triv_finprod_iff_compl_fam_Pi:
  assumes "finite I" "\landi. i \in I \Longrightarrow subgroup (S i) G"
  shows \ \textit{"compl\_fam S I} \longleftrightarrow \ (\forall \, \textit{f} \, \in \, \textit{Pi I S. finprod G f I = 1} \, \longrightarrow \, (\forall \, \textit{i} \, \in \textit{I}.
f i = 1))"
   \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) triv_finprod_iff_compl_fam_PiE:
  assumes "finite I" "\setminusi. i \in I \Longrightarrow subgroup (S i) G"
  shows "compl_fam S I \longleftrightarrow (\forall f \in Pi_E \ I \ S. \ finprod \ G \ f \ I = 1 \longrightarrow (\forall i \in I.
f i = 1))"
\langle proof \rangle
The finite product also distributes when nested.
lemma (in comm_monoid) finprod_Sigma:
  assumes "finite A" "\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies finite (B \ x)"
  assumes "\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in B x \implies g x y \in carrier G"
  shows "(\bigotimes x \in A. \bigotimes y \in B \ x. \ g \ x \ y) = (\bigotimes z \in Sigma \ A \ B. \ case \ z \ of \ (x, x) \in Sigma \ A \ B. \ case \ z \ of \ (x, x)
y) \Rightarrow g \times y"
  \langle proof \rangle
With the now proven facts, we are able to provide criterias to inductively
construct a group that is the internal direct product of a set of generators.
lemma (in comm_group) idirprod_generate_ind:
  assumes "finite gs" "gs \subseteq carrier G" "g \in carrier G"
              "is_idirprod (generate G gs) (\lambdag. generate G {g}) gs"
              "complementary (generate G \{g\}) (generate G gs)"
  shows "is_idirprod (generate G (gs \cup {g})) (\lambdag. generate G {g}) (gs
∪ {g})"
\langle proof \rangle
```

end

## 7 Group Homomorphisms

```
theory Group_Hom
  imports Set_Multiplication
begin
```

This section extends the already existing library about group homomorphisms in HOL-Algebra by some useful lemmas. These were mainly inspired by the needs that arised throughout the other proofs.

```
lemma (in group_hom) generate_hom:
   assumes "A ⊆ carrier G"
   shows "h ' (generate G A) = generate H (h ' A)"
   ⟨proof⟩
```

For two elements with the same image we can find an element in the kernel that maps one of the two elements on the other by multiplication.

```
lemma (in group_hom) kernel_assoc_elem: assumes "x \in carrier\ G" "y \in carrier\ G" "h\ x = h\ y" obtains z\ where\ "x = y\ \otimes_G\ z" "z \in kernel\ G\ H\ h" \langle proof \rangle
```

This can then be used to characterize the pre-image of a set A under homomorphism as a product of A itself with the kernel of the homomorphism.

```
lemma (in group_hom) vimage_eq_set_mult_kern_right:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "\{x \in carrier G. h x \in h 'A\} = A < \# > kernel G H h"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group_hom) vimage_subset_generate_kern:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "\{x \in carrier G. h x \in h 'A\} \subseteq generate G (A \cup kernel G H h)"
  \langle proof \rangle
The preimage of a subgroup under a homomorphism is also a subgroup.
lemma (in group_hom) subgroup_vimage_is_subgroup:
  assumes "subgroup I H"
  shows "subgroup \{x \in \text{carrier } G. \ h \ x \in I\} G" (is "subgroup ?J G")
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group_hom) iso_kernel:
  assumes "h \in iso G H"
  shows "kernel G H h = \{1_G\}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group_hom) induced_group_hom_same_group:
  assumes "subgroup I G"
```

shows "group\_hom (G (| carrier := I |)) H h"

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

The order of an element under a homomorphism divides the order of the element.

```
lemma (in group_hom) hom_ord_dvd_ord:
   assumes "a ∈ carrier G"
   shows "H.ord (h a) dvd G.ord a"
⟨proof⟩
```

In particular, this implies that the image of an element with a finite order also will have a finite order.

```
lemma (in group_hom) finite_ord_stays_finite: assumes "a \in carrier G" "G.ord a \neq 0" shows "H.ord (h a) \neq 0" \langle proof \rangle
```

For injective homomorphisms, the order stays the same.

```
lemma (in group_hom) inj_imp_ord_eq:
   assumes "a ∈ carrier G" "inj_on h (carrier G)" "G.ord a ≠ 0"
   shows "H.ord (h a) = G.ord a"
   ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in group_hom) one_in_kernel:
   "1 ∈ kernel G H h"
   ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma hom_in_carr: assumes "f \in hom G H" shows "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier G \Longrightarrow f x \in carrier H" \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma iso_in_carr:

assumes "f \in iso G H"

shows "\bigwedge x. x \in carrier G \Longrightarrow f x \in carrier H"

\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma triv_iso: assumes "group G" "group H" "carrier G = \{1_G\}" "carrier H = \{1_H\}" shows "G \cong H" \langle proof \rangle
```

The cardinality of the image of a group homomorphism times the cardinality of its kernel is equal to the group order. This is basically another form of Lagrange's theorem.

```
lemma (in group_hom) image_kernel_product: "card (h ' (carrier G)) * card (kernel G H h) = order G" \langle proof \rangle
```

end

## 8 Finite and cyclic groups

```
theory Finite_And_Cyclic_Groups
imports Group_Hom Generated_Groups_Extend General_Auxiliary
begin
```

#### 8.1 Finite groups

We define the notion of finite groups and prove some trivial facts about them.

```
locale finite_group = group +
  assumes fin[simp]: "finite (carrier G)"
lemma (in finite_group) ord_pos:
  assumes "x \in carrier G"
  shows
            "ord x > 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in finite_group) order_gt_0 [simp,intro]: "order G > 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in finite_group) finite_ord_conv_Least:
  assumes "x \in carrier G"
  shows "ord x = (LEAST \ n :: nat. \ 0 < n \land x \ [^] \ n = 1)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in finite_group) non_trivial_group_ord_gr_1:
  assumes "carrier G \neq \{1\}"
  shows "\exists e \in carrier G. ord e > 1"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in finite_group) max_order_elem:
  obtains a where "a \in carrier G" "\forall x \in carrier G. ord x \leq ord a"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in finite_group) iso_imp_finite:
  assumes "G \cong H" "group H"
  shows "finite group H"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in finite_group) finite_FactGroup:
  assumes "H ⊲ G"
  shows "finite_group (G Mod H)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in finite_group) bigger_subgroup_is_group:
  assumes "subgroup H G" "card H \geq order G"
```

```
shows "H = carrier G" \langle proof \rangle
```

All generated subgroups of a finite group are obviously also finite.

```
lemma (in finite_group) finite_generate: assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" shows "finite (generate G A)" \langle proof \rangle
```

We also provide an induction rule for finite groups inspired by Manuel Eberl's AFP entry "Dirichlet L-Functions and Dirichlet's Theorem" and the contained theory "Group\_Adjoin". A property that is true for a subgroup generated by some set and stays true when adjoining an element, is also true for the whole group.

```
lemma (in finite_group) generate_induct[consumes 1, case_names base adjoin]: assumes "A0 \subseteq carrier G" \Longrightarrow P (G(carrier := generate G A0))" assumes "\( A \) A. \[A \subseteq carrier G; a \in carrier G - generate G A; A0 \subseteq A; \]

P (G(carrier := generate G A))\[ \infty P (G(carrier := generate G A)) \]

shows "P G" \( \lambda proof \rangle \)
```

### 8.2 Finite abelian groups

Another trivial locale: the finite abelian group with some trivial facts.

```
locale finite_comm_group = finite_group + comm_group
```

```
lemma (in finite_comm_group) iso_imp_finite_comm:
   assumes "G \cong H" "group H"
   shows "finite_comm_group H"

   proof \rangle

lemma (in finite_comm_group) finite_comm_FactGroup:
   assumes "subgroup H G"
   shows "finite_comm_group (G Mod H)"
   \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in finite_comm_group) subgroup_imp_finite_comm_group:
   assumes "subgroup H G"
   shows "finite_comm_group (G(\langle carrier := H))"
   \langle proof \rangle
```

#### 8.3 Cyclic groups

Now, the central notion of a cyclic group is introduced: a group generated by a single element.

```
locale cyclic_group = group +
  fixes gen :: "'a"
  assumes gen_closed[intro, simp]: "gen ∈ carrier G"
  assumes generator: "carrier G = generate G {gen}"
lemma (in cyclic_group) elem_is_gen_pow:
  assumes "x \in carrier G"
  shows "\exists n :: int. x = gen [^] n"
Every cyclic group is commutative/abelian.
sublocale cyclic_group ⊆ comm_group
\langle proof \rangle
Some trivial intro rules for showing that a group is cyclic.
lemma (in group) cyclic_groupI0:
  assumes "a \in carrier G" "carrier G = generate G {a}"
  shows "cyclic_group G a"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) cyclic_groupI1:
  assumes "a \in carrier G" "carrier G \subseteq generate G {a}"
  shows "cyclic_group G a"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) cyclic_groupI2:
  assumes "a \in carrier G"
  shows "cyclic_group (G(carrier := generate G \{a\})) a"
The order of the generating element is always the same as the group order.
lemma (in cyclic_group) ord_gen_is_group_order:
  shows "ord gen = order G"
\langle proof \rangle
In the case of a finite group, it is sufficient to have one element of group
order to know that the group is cyclic.
lemma (in finite_group) element_ord_generates_cyclic:
  assumes "a \in carrier G" "ord a = order G"
  shows "cyclic_group G a"
\langle proof \rangle
Another useful fact is that a group of prime order is also cyclic.
lemma (in group) prime_order_group_is_cyc:
```

```
assumes "Factorial_Ring.prime (order G)"
obtains g where "cyclic_group G g"
\( \langle proof \rangle \)
```

What follows is an induction principle for cyclic groups: a predicate is true for all elements of the group if it is true for all elements that can be formed by the generating element by just multiplication and if it also holds under the forming of the inverse (as we by this cover all elements of the group),

```
lemma (in cyclic_group) generator_induct [consumes 1, case_names generate
inv]:
```

```
assumes x: "x \in carrier G" assumes IH1: "\normalfont n::nat. P (gen [^] n)" assumes IH2: "\normalfont x. x \in carrier G \Longrightarrow P x \Longrightarrow P (inv x)" shows "P x" \normalfont proof
```

### 8.4 Finite cyclic groups

Additionally, the notion of the finite cyclic group is introduced.

```
locale finite_cyclic_group = finite_group + cyclic_group
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{sublocale} \  \, \mathit{finite\_cyclic\_group} \  \, \subseteq \  \, \mathit{finite\_comm\_group} \\ \langle \mathit{proof} \rangle \end{array}
```

```
lemma (in finite_cyclic_group) ord_gen_gt_zero:
  "ord gen > 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

In order to prove something about an element in a finite abelian group, it is possible to show this property for the neutral element or the generating element and inductively for the elements that are formed by multiplying with the generator.

```
lemma (in finite_cyclic_group) generator_induct0 [consumes 1, case_names
one step]:
  assumes x: "x \in carrier G"
  assumes IH1: "P 1"
  assumes IH2: "\bigwedge x. [x \in carrier G; P x] \Longrightarrow P (x \otimes gen)"
  shows
            "P x"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in finite_cyclic_group) generator_induct1 [consumes 1, case_names
gen step]:
  assumes x: "x \in carrier G"
  assumes IH1: "P gen"
  assumes IH2: "\bigwedge x. [x \in carrier G; P x] \implies P (x \otimes gen)"
            "P x"
  shows
\langle proof \rangle
```

### 8.5 get\_exp - discrete logarithm

What now follows is the discrete logarithm for groups. It is used at several times througout this entry and is initially used to show that two cyclic groups of the same order are isomorphic.

```
definition (in group) get_exp where
  "get_exp g = (λa. SOME k::int. a = g [^] k)"
```

For each element with itself as the basis the discrete logarithm indeed does what expected. This is not the strongest possible statement, but sufficient for our needs.

```
lemma (in group) get_exp_self_fulfills:
  assumes "a \in carrier G"
  shows "a = a [^] get_exp a a"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in group) get_exp_self:
  assumes "a \in carrier G"
  shows "get_exp a a mod ord a = (1::int) mod ord a"
  \langle proof \rangle
For cyclic groups, the discrete logarithm "works" for every element.
lemma (in cyclic_group) get_exp_fulfills:
  assumes "a \in carrier G"
  shows "a = gen [^] get_exp gen a"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in cyclic_group) get_exp_non_zero:
  assumes "b \in carrier G" "b \neq 1"
  shows "get_exp gen b \neq 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
One well-known logarithmic identity.
lemma (in cyclic_group) get_exp_mult_mod:
  assumes "a \in carrier G" "b \in carrier G"
  shows "get_exp gen (a \otimes b) mod (ord gen) = (get_exp gen a + get_exp
gen b) mod (ord gen)"
\langle proof \rangle
```

We now show that all functions from a group generated by 'a' to a group generated by 'b' that map elements from  $a^k$  to  $b^k$  in the other group are in fact isomorphisms between these two groups.

```
lemma (in group) iso_cyclic_groups_generate: assumes "a \in carrier G" "b \in carrier H" "group.ord G a = group.ord H b" "group H" shows "{f. \forall k \in (UNIV::int set). f (a [^] k) = b [^]_H k} \subseteq iso (G(carrier := generate G {a})) (H(carrier := generate H {b}))"
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

This is then used to derive the isomorphism of two cyclic groups of the same order as a direct consequence.

```
lemma (in cyclic_group) iso_cyclic_groups_same_order: assumes "cyclic_group H h" "order G = order H" shows "G \cong H" \langle proof \rangle
```

## 8.6 Integer modular groups

We show that  $integer\_mod\_group$  (written as Z n) is in fact a cyclic group. For  $n \neq 1$  it is generated by 1 and in the other case by 0.

```
notation integer_mod_group (<Z>)
lemma Zn_neq1_cyclic_group:
  assumes "n \neq 1"
  shows "cyclic_group (Z n) 1"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma Z1_cyclic_group: "cyclic_group (Z 1) 0"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma Zn_cyclic_group:
  obtains x where "cyclic_group (Z n) x"
  \langle proof \rangle
Moreover, its order is just n.
lemma Zn_order: "order (Z n) = n"
  \langle proof \rangle
Consequently, Z n is isomorphic to any cyclic group of order n.
lemma (in cyclic_group) Zn_iso:
  assumes "order G = n"
  shows "G \cong Z n"
  \langle proof \rangle
no_notation integer_mod_group (<Z>)
end
```

## 9 Direct group product

```
theory DirProds
  imports Finite_Product_Extend Group_Hom Finite_And_Cyclic_Groups
begin
notation integer_mod_group (<Z>)
```

```
The direct group product is defined component-wise and provided in an indexed way.
```

```
definition DirProds :: "('a \Rightarrow ('b, 'c) monoid_scheme) \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) monoid" where "DirProds G I = \{ carrier = Pi_E I (carrier \circ G), monoid.mult = \{(\lambda x \ y. \ restrict \ (\lambda i. \ x \ i \ \otimes_{G} \ i \ y \ i) \ I), one = restrict \ (\lambda i. \ 1_{G} \ i) \ I \ \}"
Basic lemmas about DirProds.

lemma DirProds_empty: "carrier (DirProds f \{\}) = \{1_{DirProds} \ f \ \}\}" \{proof\}
```

## lemma DirProds\_order:

```
assumes "finite I" shows "order (DirProds G I) = prod (order \circ G) I" \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma DirProds_in_carrI:
```

```
assumes "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies x \ i \in carrier (G \ i)" "\bigwedgei. i \notin I \implies x \ i = undefined" shows "x \in carrier (DirProds G \ I)"
```

```
lemma comp_in_carr:
```

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```
assumes "x \in carrier (DirProds G I)" "i \in I" shows "x i \in carrier (G i)" \langle proof \rangle
```

#### lemma comp\_mult:

```
assumes "i \in I" shows "(x \otimes_{DirProds\ G\ I} y) i = (x i \otimes_{G\ i} y i)" \langle proof \rangle
```

#### lemma comp\_exp\_nat:

```
fixes k::nat
  assumes "i ∈ I"
  shows "(x [^]DirProds G I k) i = x i [^]G i k"
⟨proof⟩
```

#### lemma DirProds\_m\_closed:

```
assumes "x \in carrier (DirProds G I)" "y \in carrier (DirProds G I)" "\bigwedgei. i \in I \Longrightarrow group (G i)" shows "x \otimes_{DirProds\ G\ I} y \in carrier (DirProds G I)" \langle proof \rangle
```

## lemma partial\_restr:

```
assumes "a \in carrier (DirProds G I)" "J \subseteq I" shows "restrict a J \in carrier (DirProds G J)"
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
lemma eq_parts_imp_eq:
      assumes "a \in carrier (DirProds G I)" "b \in carrier (DirProds G I)"
 "\landi. i \in I \Longrightarrow a i = b i"
      shows "a = b"
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma mult_restr:
      assumes "a \in carrier (DirProds G I)" "b \in carrier (DirProds G I)"
 "J \subseteq I"
      shows "a \otimes_{\text{DirProds }G\ J} b = restrict (a \otimes_{\text{DirProds }G\ I} b) J"
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_one:
      assumes "x \in carrier (DirProds G I)"
      shows "(\forall i \in I. x i = 1<sub>G i</sub>) \longleftrightarrow x = 1<sub>DirProds G I</sub>"
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_one':
        "i\inI \Longrightarrow 1_{	extit{DirProds }G} _{	extit{G}} _{	extit{I}} ^{	extit{I}} = 1_{	extit{G}} _{	extit{I}} "
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_one'':
        "1_{DirProds\ G\ I} = restrict (\lambdai. 1_{G\ i}) I"
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_mult:
        "(\otimes_{DirProds\ G\ I}) = (\lambdax y. restrict (\lambdai. x i \otimes_{G\ i} y i) I)"
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_one_iso: "(\lambdax. x G) \in iso (DirProds f {G}) (f G)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_one_cong: "(DirProds f \{G\}) \cong (f G)"
       \langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_one_iso_sym: "(\lambda x. (\lambda_{\in}\{G\}. x)) \in iso (f G) (DirProds_one_iso_sym: The content of the conte
f {G})"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma \ DirProds_one\_cong\_sym: "(f \ G) \cong (DirProds \ f \ \{G\})"
The direct product is a group iff all factors are groups.
lemma DirProds_is_group:
      assumes "\bigwedgei. i \in I \Longrightarrow group (G i)"
      shows "group (DirProds G I)"
\langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma DirProds_obtain_elem_carr:
  assumes "group (DirProds G I)" "i \in I" "x \in carrier (G i)"
  obtains k where "k \in carrier (DirProds G I)" "k i = x"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_group_imp_groups:
  assumes "group (DirProds G I)" and i: "i \in I"
  shows "group (G i)"
\langle proof \rangle
\operatorname{lemma} \operatorname{\textit{DirProds\_group\_iff:}} "group (\operatorname{\textit{DirProds}} G I) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in I. group (G
i))"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma comp inv:
  assumes "group (DirProds G I)" and x: "x \in carrier (DirProds G I)"
and i: "i \in I"
  shows "(inv_{(DirProds\ G\ I)}\ x) i = inv_{(G\ i)}\ (x\ i)"
\langle proof \rangle
The same is true for abelian groups.
lemma DirProds_is_comm_group:
  assumes "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies comm\_group (G i)"
  shows "comm_group (DirProds G I)" (is "comm_group ?DP")
\langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_comm_group_imp_comm_groups:
  assumes "comm_group (DirProds G I)" and i: "i \in I"
  shows "comm_group (G i)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma \ \textit{DirProds\_comm\_group\_iff: "comm\_group (DirProds \ \textit{G} \ \textit{I})} \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ i \in \textit{I}.
comm_group (G i))"
  \langle proof \rangle
And also for finite groups.
lemma DirProds_is_finite_group:
  assumes "\landi. i \in I \implies finite_group (G i)" "finite I"
  shows "finite_group (DirProds G I)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_finite_imp_finite_groups:
  assumes "finite_group (DirProds G I)" "finite I"
  shows "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies finite\_group (G i)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_finite_group_iff:
  assumes "finite I"
```

```
shows "finite_group (DirProds G I) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in I. finite_group (G i))"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_finite_comm_group_iff:
  assumes "finite I"
  shows "finite_comm_group (DirProds G I) \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in I. finite_comm_group
(G i))"
  \langle proof \rangle
If a group is an internal direct product of a family of subgroups, it is iso-
morphic to the direct product of these subgroups.
lemma (in comm_group) subgroup_iso_DirProds_IDirProds:
  assumes "subgroup J G" "is_idirprod J S I" "finite I"
  shows "(\lambda x. \bigotimes_{G} i \in I. x i) \in iso (DirProds (\lambda i. G(carrier := (S i)))
I) (G(carrier := J))"
(is "?fp \in iso ?DP ?J")
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) iso_DirProds_IDirProds:
  assumes "is idirprod (carrier G) S I" "finite I"
  shows "(\lambda x. \bigotimes_{G} i \in I. x i) \in iso (DirProds (\lambda i. G(carrier := (S i)))
I) G"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) cong_DirProds_IDirProds:
  assumes "is_idirprod (carrier G) S I" "finite I"
  shows "DirProds (\lambdai. G(carrier := (S i))) I \cong G"
  \langle proof \rangle
In order to prove the isomorphism between two direct products, the following
lemmas provide some criterias.
lemma DirProds_iso:
  assumes "bij_betw f I J" "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies Gs i \cong Hs (f i)"
             " \bigwedge \text{i. } i \in I \implies \text{group (Gs i)}" \ " \bigwedge \text{j. } j \in J \implies \text{group (Hs j)}"
  shows "DirProds Gs I \cong DirProds Hs J"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_iso1:
  assumes "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies \mathit{Gs}\ i \cong (f \circ \mathit{Gs})\ i" "\bigwedgei. i \in I \implies \mathit{group}\ (\mathit{Gs})
i)" "\landi. i\inI \Longrightarrow group ((f \circ Gs) i)"
  shows "DirProds Gs I \cong DirProds (f \circ Gs) I"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma DirProds_iso2:
  assumes "inj_on f A" "group (DirProds g (f ' A))"
  shows "DirProds (g \circ f) A \cong DirProds g (f ' A)"
\langle proof \rangle
```

The direct group product distributes when nested.

```
lemma DirProds_Sigma:

"DirProds (\lambdai. DirProds (Gi) (Ji)) I \cong DirProds (\lambda(i,j). Gi j) (Sigma I J)" (is "?L \cong ?R")

\langle proof \rangle

no_notation integer_mod_group (\langle Z \rangle)
end
```

## 10 Group relations

```
theory Group_Relations
  imports Finite_Product_Extend
begin
```

We introduce the notion of a relation of a set of elements: a way to express the neutral element by using only powers of said elements. The following predicate describes the set of all the relations that one can construct from a set of elements.

```
definition (in comm_group) relations :: "'a set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow int) set" where "relations A = {f. finprod G (\lambdaa. a [^] f a) A = 1} \cap extensional A"
```

Now some basic lemmas about relations.

```
lemma (in comm_group) in_relationsI[intro]:
    assumes "finprod G (\lambda a. a [^] f a) A = 1" "f \in extensional A"
    shows "f \in relations A"
    \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in comm_group) triv_rel:
    "restrict (\lambda_. 0::int) A \in relations A"
    \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in comm_group) not_triv_relI:
    assumes "a \in A" "f a \neq (0::int)"
    shows "f \neq (\lambda_\in A. 0::int)"
    \langle proof \rangle

lemma (in comm_group) rel_in_carr:
    assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "r \in relations A"
    shows "(\lambda a. a [^] r a) \in A \rightarrow carrier G"
    \langle proof \rangle
```

The following lemmas are of importance when proving the fundamental theorem of finitely generated abelian groups in the case that there is just the trivial relation between a set of generators. They all build up to the last lemma that then is actually used in the proof.

lemma (in comm\_group) relations\_zero\_imp\_pow\_not\_one:

```
assumes "a \in A" "\forall f \in (relations A). f a = 0"
  shows "\forall z::int \neq 0. a [^] z \neq 1"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) relations_zero_imp_ord_zero:
  assumes "a \in A" "\forall f \in (relations A). f a = 0"
  and "a \in carrier G"
  shows "ord a = 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) finprod_relations_triv_harder_better_stronger:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "relations A = \{(\lambda_{\in}A. 0::int)\}"
  shows "\forallf \in Pi_E A (\lambdaa. generate G {a}). finprod G f A = 1 \longrightarrow (\foralla\inA.
f a = 1)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) stronger_PiE_finprod_imp:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "\forall f \in Pi_E A (\lambdaa. generate G {a}). finprod
G f A = 1 \longrightarrow (\forall a \in A. f a = 1)"
  shows "\forall f \in Pi_E ((\lambdaa. generate G {a}) 'A) id.
           finprod G f ((\lambdaa. generate G {a}) 'A) = 1 \longrightarrow (\forall H \in (\lambda a. generate G \in \{a\}))
G \{a\}) ' A. f H = 1)"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) finprod_relations_triv:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "relations A = {(\lambda_{\in}A. 0::int)}"
  shows "\forall f \in Pi_E ((\lambda a. generate G {a}) 'A) id.
           finprod G f ((\lambdaa. generate G {a}) 'A) = 1 \longrightarrow (\forall H \in (\lambda a. generate))
G \{a\}) ' A. f H = 1)"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) ord_zero_strong_imp_rel_triv:
  assumes "A \subseteq carrier G" "\forall a \in A. ord a = 0"
  and "\forall f \in Pi_E A (\lambda a. generate G {a}). finprod G f A = 1 \longrightarrow (\forall a \in A.
f a = 1)"
  shows "relations A = \{(\lambda \in A. \ 0::int)\}"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma (in comm_group) compl_fam_iff_relations_triv:
  assumes "finite gs" "gs \subseteq carrier G" "\forall g\ings. ord g = 0"
  shows "relations gs = \{(\lambda \in gs. \ 0::int)\} \longleftrightarrow compl_fam \ (\lambda g. \ generate
G {g}) gs"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

end

# 11 Fundamental Theorem of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups

```
theory Finitely_Generated_Abelian_Groups
  imports DirProds Group_Relations
begin
notation integer_mod_group (<Z>)
locale fin_gen_comm_group = comm_group +
  fixes gen :: "'a set"
  assumes gens_closed: "gen ⊆ carrier G"
            fin_gen: "finite gen"
  and
            generators: "carrier G = generate G gen"
  and
Every finite abelian group is also finitely generated.
sublocale\ finite\_comm\_group\ \subseteq\ fin\_gen\_comm\_group\ G "carrier G"
  \langle proof \rangle
This lemma contains the proof of Kemper from his lecture notes on alge-
bra [1]. However, the proof is not done in the context of a finitely generated
group but for a finitely generated subgroup in a commutative group.
lemma (in comm_group) ex_idirgen:
  fixes A :: "'a set"
  assumes "finite A" "A \subseteq carrier G"
  shows "\exists gs. set gs \subseteq generate G A \land distinct <math>gs \land is\_idirprod (generate
G A) (\lambda g. generate G {g}) (set gs)
             \land successively (dvd) (map ord gs) \land card (set gs) \le card
Α"
  (is "?t A")
  \langle proof \rangle
```

As every group is a subgroup of itself, the theorem follows directly. However, for reasons of convenience and uniqueness (although not completely proved), we strengthen the result by proving that the decomposition can be done without having the trivial factor in the product. We formulate the theorem in various ways: firstly, the invariant factor decomposition.

theorem (in fin\_gen\_comm\_group) invariant\_factor\_decomposition\_idirprod: obtains gs where

```
"set gs \subseteq carrier G" "distinct gs" "is_idirprod (carrier G) (\lambda g.
generate G {g}) (set gs)"
     "successively (dvd) (map ord gs)" "card (set gs) \leq card gen" "1 \notin
\langle proof \rangle
corollary (in fin_gen_comm_group) invariant_factor_decomposition_dirprod:
  obtains gs where
     "set gs \subseteq carrier G" "distinct gs"
     "DirProds (\lambda g. G(carrier := generate G \{g\})) (set gs) \cong G"
     "successively (dvd) (map ord gs)" "card (set gs) \leq card gen"
     "compl_fam (\lambdag. generate G {g}) (set gs)" "1 \notin set gs"
\langle proof \rangle
corollary (in fin_gen_comm_group) invariant_factor_decomposition_dirprod_fam:
  obtains Hs where
     "\landH. H \in set Hs \Longrightarrow subgroup H G" "distinct Hs"
     "DirProds (\lambdaH. G(carrier := H)) (set Hs) \cong G" "successively (dvd)
(map card Hs)"
    "card (set Hs) \leq card gen" "compl_fam id (set Hs)" "{1} \notin set Hs"
\langle proof \rangle
Here, the invariant factor decomposition in its classical form.
corollary (in fin_gen_comm_group) invariant_factor_decomposition_Zn:
  obtains ns where
     "DirProds (\lambdan. Z (ns!n)) {..<length ns} \cong G" "successively (dvd)
ns" "length ns \leq card gen"
\langle proof \rangle
As every integer_mod_group can be decomposed into a product of prime
power groups, we obtain (by using the fact that the direct product does not
care about nestedness) the primary decomposition.
lemma Zn_iso_DirProds_prime_powers:
  assumes "n \neq 0"
  shows "Z n \cong DirProds (\lambdap. Z (p \hat{} multiplicity p n)) (prime_factors
n)" (is "Z n \cong ?DP")
\langle proof \rangle
lemma Zn_iso_DirProds_prime_powers':
  assumes "n \neq 0"
  shows "Z n \cong DirProds (\lambdap. Z p) ((\lambdap. p \hat{} multiplicity p n) ' (prime_factors
n))" (is "Z n \cong ?DP")
\langle proof \rangle
corollary (in fin_gen_comm_group) primary_decomposition_Zn:
  obtains ns where
     "DirProds (\lambdan. Z (ns!n)) {..<length ns} \cong G"
     "\forall n \in set ns. n = 0 \lor (\exists p k. Factorial_Ring.prime p \land k \gt 0 \land n =
p ^ k)"
```

```
\langle proof \rangle
```

As every finite group is also finitely generated, it follows that a finite group can be decomposed in a product of finite cyclic groups.

```
lemma (in finite_comm_group) cyclic_product: obtains ns where "DirProds (\lambdan. Z (ns!n)) {..<length ns} \cong G" "\forall n \in set ns. n \neq 0" \langle proof \rangle
no_notation integer_mod_group (\langle Z \rangle) end
```

## References

[1] G. Kemper. Lecture notes of algebra. https://www.groups.ma.tum.de/fileadmin/w00ccg/algebra/people/kemper/lectureNotes/Algebra.pdf, 04 2020.