Finite Fields

Emin Karayel

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This entry formalizes the classification of the finite fields (also called Galois fields): For each prime power p^n there exists exactly one (up to isomorphisms) finite field of that size and there are no other finite fields. The derivation includes a formalization of the characteristic of rings, the Frobenius endomorphism, formal differentiation for polynomials in HOL-Algebra, Rabin's test for the irreducibility of polynomials and Gauss' formula for the number of monic irreducible polynomials over finite fields:

 $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) p^{n/d}.$

The proofs are based on the books and publications from Ireland and Rosen [3], Rabin [5] as well as, Lidl and Niederreiter [4].

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminary Results	•
	2.1 Summation in the discrete topology	
	2.2 Polynomials	ţ
	2.3 Ring Isomorphisms	11
	2.4 Divisibility	21
	2.5 Factorization	25
3	Characteristic of Rings	34
4	Formal Derivatives	55
5	Factorization into Monic Polynomials	63
6	Counting Irreducible Polynomials	77
	6.1 The polynomial $X^n - X$	77
	6.2 Gauss Formula	96

7	Isomorphism between Finite Fields	101
8	Rabin's test for irreducible polynomials	108
9	Executable Structures	116
10	Executable Polynomial Rings	12 0
11	Executable Factor Rings	136
12	Executable Code for Rabin's Irreducibility Test	143
13	Additional results about Bijections and Digit Representations	- 151
14	Additional results about PMFs	156
15	Executable Polynomial Factor Rings	157
16	Algorithms for finding irreducible polynomials	174

1 Introduction

The following section starts with preliminary results. Section 3 introduces the characteristic of rings with the Frobenius endomorphism. Whenever it makes sense, the definitions and facts do not assume the finiteness of the fields or rings. For example the characteristic is defined over arbitrary rings (and also fields). While formal derivatives do exist for type-class based structures in HOL-Computational_Algebra, as far as I can tell, they do not exist for the structure based polynomials in HOL-Algebra. These are introduced in Section 4.

A cornerstone of the proof is the derivation of Gauss' formula for the number of monic irreducible polynomials over a finite field Rin Section 6.2. The proof follows the derivation by Ireland and Rosen [3, §7] closely, with the caveat that it does not assume that R is a simple prime field, but that it is just a finite field. This works by adjusting a proof step with the information that the order of a finite field must be of the form p^n , where p is the characteristic of the field, derived in Section 3. The final step relies on the Möbius inversion theorem formalized by Eberl [2].¹ With Gauss' formula it is possible to show the existence of the finite fields of order p^n where p is a prime and n > 0. During

¹Thanks to Katharina Kreuzer for discovering that formalization.

the proof the fact that the polynomial $X^n - X$ splits in a field of order n is also derived, which is necessary for the uniqueness result as well.

The uniqueness proof is inspired by the derivation of the same result in Lidl and Niederreiter [4], but because of the already derived existence proof for irreducible polynomials, it was possible to reduce its complexity.

The classification consists of three theorems:

- Existence: For each prime power p^n there exists a finite field of that size. This is shown at the conclusion of Section 6.2.
- *Uniqueness*: Any two finite fields of the same size are isomorphic. This is shown at the conclusion of Section 7.
- Completeness: Any finite fields' size must be a prime power. This is shown at the conclusion of Section 3.

2 Preliminary Results

theory Finite-Fields-Preliminary-Results imports HOL-Algebra.Polynomial-Divisibility begin

2.1 Summation in the discrete topology

The following lemmas transfer the corresponding result from the summation over finite sets to summation over functions which vanish outside of a finite set.

```
lemma sum'-subtractf-nat:
 fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow nat
 assumes finite \{i \in A. f i \neq 0\}
 assumes \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow g \ i \leq f \ i
 shows sum'(\lambda i. f i - g i) A = sum' f A - sum' g A
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 have c:finite \{i \in A. \ q \ i \neq 0\}
   using assms(2)
   by (intro\ finite\text{-}subset[OF - assms(1)]\ subset[,\ force)
 let ?B = \{i \in A. \ f \ i \neq 0 \lor g \ i \neq 0\}
 have b:?B = \{i \in A. \ f \ i \neq 0\} \cup \{i \in A. \ g \ i \neq 0\}
   by (auto simp add:set-eq-iff)
 have a:finite ?B
   using assms(1) c by (subst\ b,\ simp)
 have ?lhs = sum' (\lambda i. f i - g i) ?B
   by (intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-right', simp-all)
```

```
also have ... = sum (\lambda i. f i - g i) ?B
   by (intro sum.eq-sum a)
 also have ... = sum f ?B - sum g ?B
   using assms(2) by (subst sum-subtractf-nat, auto)
 also have ... = sum' f ?B - sum' g ?B
   by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(-)] sum.eq-sum[symmetric] a)
 also have \dots = ?rhs
   by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(-)] sum.mono-neutral-cong-left')
     simp-all
 finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
lemma sum'-nat-eq-0-iff:
 fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow nat
 assumes finite \{i \in A. f i \neq 0\}
 assumes sum' f A = 0
 shows \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow f i = 0
proof -
 let ?B = \{i \in A. \ f \ i \neq 0\}
 have sum f ?B = sum' f ?B
   by (intro\ sum.eq-sum[symmetric]\ assms(1))
 also have \dots = sum' f A
   by (intro sum.non-neutral')
 also have ... = \theta using assms(2) by simp
 finally have a:sum \ f ?B = 0 by simp
 have \bigwedge i. i \in ?B \Longrightarrow f i = 0
   using sum-nonneg-0[OF assms(1) - a] by blast
 thus \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow f i = 0
   \mathbf{by} blast
qed
lemma sum'-eq-iff:
 fixes f :: 'a \Rightarrow nat
 assumes finite \{i \in A. f i \neq 0\}
 assumes \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow f i \geq g i
 assumes sum' f A \leq sum' g A
 shows \forall i \in A. f i = g i
proof -
 have \{i \in A. \ g \ i \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq \{i \in A. \ f \ i \neq \emptyset\}
   using assms(2) order-less-le-trans
   by (intro subsetI, auto)
 hence a:finite \{i \in A. g i \neq 0\}
   by (rule finite-subset, intro assms(1))
 \mathbf{have} \ \{i \in A.\ f\ i - g\ i \neq \emptyset\} \subseteq \{i \in A.\ f\ i \neq \emptyset\}
   by (intro subsetI, simp-all)
 hence b: finite \{i \in A. \ fi - gi \neq 0\}
   by (rule finite-subset, intro assms(1))
```

```
have sum' (\lambda i. f i - g i) A = sum' f A - sum' g A using assms(1,2) a by (subst\ sum'-subtractf-nat, auto) also have ... = 0 using assms(3) by simp finally have sum' (\lambda i. f i - g i) A = 0 by simp hence \bigwedge i. i \in A \Longrightarrow f i - g i = 0 using sum'-nat-eq-0-iff[OF\ b] by simp thus ?thesis using assms(2) diff-is-0-eq' diffs0-imp-equal by blast qed
```

2.2 Polynomials

The embedding of the constant polynomials into the polynomials is injective:

```
lemma (in ring) poly-of-const-inj:
 inj poly-of-const
proof -
 have coeff (poly-of-const x) \theta = x \text{ for } x
   unfolding poly-of-const-def normalize-coeff[symmetric]
   by simp
 thus ?thesis by (metis injI)
qed
lemma (in domain) embed-hom:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 shows ring-hom-ring (K[X]) (poly-ring R) id
proof (rule ring-hom-ringI)
 show ring (K[X])
   using univ-poly-is-ring[OF assms(1)] by simp
 show ring (poly-ring R)
   using univ-poly-is-ring[OF carrier-is-subring] by simp
 have K \subseteq carrier R
   using subringE(1)[OF\ assms(1)] by simp
 thus \bigwedge x. x \in carrier(K[X]) \Longrightarrow id \ x \in carrier(poly-ring R)
   unfolding univ-poly-carrier[symmetric] polynomial-def by auto
 show id (x \otimes_{K[X]} y) = id x \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring} R id y if x \in carrier (K[X]) y \in carrier (K[X]) for x y
   unfolding univ-poly-mult by simp
 show id (x \oplus_{K [X]} y) = id x \oplus_{poly-ring R} id y
   if x \in carrier(K[X]) y \in carrier(K[X]) for x y
   unfolding univ-poly-add by simp
 show id \mathbf{1}_{K [X]} = \mathbf{1}_{poly-ring R}
   unfolding univ-poly-one by simp
qed
```

The following are versions of the properties of the degrees of polynomials, that abstract over the definition of the polynomial ring

structure. In the theories HOL-Algebra.Polynomials and also HOL-Algebra.Polynomial-Divisibility these abstract version are usually indicated with the suffix "shell", consider for example: domain.pdivides-iff-shell.

```
lemma (in ring) degree-add-distinct:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes f \in carrier(K[X]) - \{\mathbf{0}_{K[X]}\}
 assumes g \in carrier(K[X]) - \{\mathbf{0}_{K[X]}\}
 assumes degree f \neq degree g
 shows degree (f \oplus_{K[X]} g) = max (degree f) (degree g)
 unfolding univ-poly-add using assms(2,3,4)
 by (subst\ poly-add-degree-eq[OF\ assms(1)])
   (auto simp:univ-poly-carrier univ-poly-zero)
lemma (in ring) degree-add:
 degree (f \oplus_{K[X]} g) \leq max (degree f) (degree g)
 unfolding univ-poly-add by (intro poly-add-degree)
lemma (in domain) degree-mult:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes f \in carrier(K[X]) - \{\mathbf{0}_{K[X]}\}
 assumes g \in carrier(K[X]) - \{\mathbf{0}_{K[X]}\}
 shows degree (f \otimes_{K[X]} g) = degree f + degree g
 unfolding univ-poly-mult using assms(2,3)
 by (subst\ poly-mult-degree-eq[OF\ assms(1)])
   (auto simp:univ-poly-carrier univ-poly-zero)
lemma (in ring) degree-one:
 degree\ (\mathbf{1}_{K[X]}) = \theta
 unfolding univ-poly-one by simp
lemma (in domain) pow-non-zero:
 using integral by (induction n, auto)
lemma (in domain) degree-pow:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes f \in carrier(K[X]) - \{\mathbf{0}_{K[X]}\}
 shows degree (f [ ]_{K[X]} n) = degree f * n
proof -
 interpret p:domain K[X]
   using univ-poly-is-domain[OF assms(1)] by simp
 show ?thesis
 proof (induction \ n)
   case \theta
   then show ?case by (simp add:univ-poly-one)
```

```
next
   case (Suc \ n)
   have degree (f [ ]_{K [X]} Suc n) = degree (f [ ]_{K [X]} n \otimes_{K [X]} f)
   also have ... = degree\ (f\ [\widehat{\ \ }]_{K\ [X]}\ n) + degree\ f
     using p.pow-non-zero \ assms(2)
     by (subst\ degree-mult[OF\ assms(1)],\ auto)
   also have \dots = degree \ f * Suc \ n
     by (subst Suc, simp)
   finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) degree-var:
 degree(X_R) = 1
 unfolding var-def by simp
lemma (in domain) var-carr:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 shows X_R \in carrier(K[X]) - \{\mathbf{0}_{K[X]}\}
proof -
 have X_R \in carrier(K[X])
   using var\text{-}closed[OF\ assms(1)] by simp
 moreover have X \neq \mathbf{0}_{K[X]}
   unfolding var-def univ-poly-zero by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) var-pow-carr:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 shows X_R [ ]_{K[X]} n \in carrier (K[X]) - \{ \mathbf{0}_{K[X]} \}
proof -
 interpret p:domain K[X]
   using univ-poly-is-domain[OF assms(1)] by simp
 have X_R [ \widehat{\ }]_{K[X]} n \in carrier (K[X])
   using var\text{-}pow\text{-}closed[OF\ assms(1)] by simp
 moreover have X \neq \mathbf{0}_{K[X]}
   unfolding var-def univ-poly-zero by simp
 hence X_R [ \widehat{\ }]_{K [X]} n \neq \mathbf{0}_{K [X]}
   using var-closed(1)[OF assms(1)]
   by (intro p.pow-non-zero, auto)
 ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) var-pow-degree:
```

```
fixes n :: nat
 \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{subring}\ \mathit{K}\ \mathit{R}
 shows degree (X_R [\widehat{\ }]_{K [X]} n) = n
 using var\text{-}carr[OF\ assms(1)]\ degree\text{-}var
 by (subst\ degree-pow[OF\ assms(1)],\ auto)
lemma (in domain) finprod-non-zero:
 assumes finite A
 assumes f \in A \rightarrow carrier R - \{0\}
 shows (\bigotimes i \in A. \ f \ i) \in carrier \ R - \{0\}
 using assms
proof (induction A rule:finite-induct)
 case empty
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (insert x F)
 have finprod R f (insert x F) = f x \otimes finprod R f F
   \mathbf{using}\ insert\ \mathbf{by}\ (subst\ finprod\text{-}insert,\ simp\text{-}all\ add\text{:}Pi\text{-}def)
 also have ... \in carrier R - \{0\}
   using integral insert by auto
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) degree-prod:
 assumes finite A
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes f \in A \rightarrow carrier\ (K[X]) - \{\mathbf{0}_{K[X]}\}
 shows degree (\bigotimes_{K[X]} i \in A. \ f \ i) = (\sum_{i \in A. \ degree} (f \ i))
 using assms
proof -
 interpret p:domain K[X]
   using univ-poly-is-domain [OF assms(2)] by simp
 show ?thesis
   using assms(1,3)
 proof (induction A rule: finite-induct)
   case empty
   then show ?case by (simp add:univ-poly-one)
 next
   case (insert x F)
   have degree (finprod (K[X]) f (insert x F)) =
     degree (f \ x \otimes_{K[X]} finprod (K[X]) \ f \ F)
     using insert by (subst p.finprod-insert, auto)
   also have ... = degree(f x) + degree(finprod(K[X]) f F)
     using insert p.finprod-non-zero[OF\ insert(1)]
     by (subst\ degree-mult[OF\ assms(2)],\ simp-all)
   also have ... = degree (f x) + (\sum i \in F. degree (f i))
     using insert by (subst\ insert(3),\ auto)
   also have ... = (\sum i \in insert \ x \ F. \ degree \ (f \ i))
```

```
using insert by simp
   finally show ?case by simp
 qed
qed
lemma (in ring) coeff-add:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes f \in carrier(K[X]) g \in carrier(K[X])
 shows coeff (f \oplus_{K[X]} g) i = coeff f i \oplus_R coeff g i
proof -
 have a:set f \subseteq carrier R
   using assms(1,2) univ-poly-carrier
   using subringE(1)[OF\ assms(1)]\ polynomial-incl
   by blast
 \mathbf{have}\ b{:}set\ g\subseteq\mathit{carrier}\ R
   using assms(1,3) univ-poly-carrier
   using subringE(1)[OF\ assms(1)]\ polynomial-incl
   by blast
 show ?thesis
   unfolding univ-poly-add poly-add-coeff[OF a b] by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) coeff-a-inv:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes f \in carrier(K[X])
 shows coeff\ (\ominus_{K[X]}\ f)\ i=\ominus\ (coeff\ f\ i)\ ({\bf is}\ ?L=?R)
proof -
 have ?L = coeff (map (a-inv R) f) i
   unfolding univ-poly-a-inv-def'[OF\ assms(1,2)] by simp
 also have \dots = ?R by (induction f) auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
This is a version of geometric sums for commutative rings:
lemma (in cring) geom:
 fixes q:: nat
 assumes [simp]: a \in carrier R
 shows (a \ominus 1) \otimes (\bigoplus i \in \{... < q\}. \ a [ ] \ i) = (a [ ] \ q \ominus 1)
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 have [simp]: a \cap i \in carrier R for i :: nat
   by (intro nat-pow-closed assms)
 have [simp]: \ominus \mathbf{1} \otimes x = \ominus x \text{ if } x \in carrier R \text{ for } x
   using l-minus l-one one-closed that by presburger
 let ?cterm = (\bigoplus i \in \{1..< q\}. \ a \cap i)
 have ?lhs = a \otimes (\bigoplus i \in \{... < q\}. \ a \uparrow i) \ominus (\bigoplus i \in \{... < q\}. \ a \uparrow i)
```

```
unfolding a-minus-def by (subst l-distr, simp-all add:Pi-def)
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \in \{..< q\}. \ a \otimes a \cap i) \ominus (\bigoplus i \in \{..< q\}. \ a \cap i)
    by (subst finsum-rdistr, simp-all add:Pi-def)
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \in \{... < q\}, a \cap (Suc i)) \ominus (\bigoplus i \in \{... < q\}, a \cap i)
    by (subst nat-pow-Suc, simp-all add:m-comm)
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \in Suc ` \{.. < q\}. \ a \upharpoonright i) \ominus (\bigoplus i \in \{.. < q\}. \ a \upharpoonright i)
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst}\ \mathit{finsum-reindex},\ \mathit{simp-all})
  also have \dots =
     (\bigoplus i \in insert \ q \ \{1... < q\}. \ a \ [\widehat{\ }] \ i) \ominus
    \bigoplus i \in insert \ 0 \ \{1..< q\}. \ a \ [\widehat{\phantom{a}}] \ i)
  proof (cases q > \theta)
    case True
    moreover have Suc ` \{.. < q\} = insert \ q \ \{Suc \ \theta .. < q\}
       using True lessThan-atLeast0 by fastforce
    moreover have \{..< q\} = insert \ \theta \ \{Suc \ \theta..< q\}
       using True by (auto simp add:set-eq-iff)
    ultimately show ?thesis
       by (intro arg-cong2[where f=\lambda x \ y. \ x\ominus y] finsum-cong)
         simp-all
  next
    case False
    then show ?thesis by (simp, algebra)
  also have ... = (a \ [ \ ] \ q \oplus ?cterm) \ominus (1 \oplus ?cterm)
  also have ... = a \uparrow q \oplus ?cterm \oplus (\ominus 1 \oplus \ominus ?cterm)
    unfolding a-minus-def by (subst minus-add, simp-all)
  also have ... = a \uparrow q \oplus (?cterm \oplus (\ominus \mathbf{1} \oplus \ominus ?cterm))
    by (subst\ a\text{-}assoc,\ simp\text{-}all)
  also have ... = a [\uparrow] q \oplus (?cterm \oplus (\ominus ?cterm \oplus \ominus \mathbf{1}))
    by (subst\ a\text{-}comm[\mathbf{where}\ x=\ominus\ \mathbf{1}],\ simp\text{-}all)
  also have ... = a [\uparrow] q \oplus ((?cterm \oplus (\ominus ?cterm)) \oplus \ominus 1)
    by (subst\ a\text{-}assoc,\ simp\text{-}all)
  also have ... = a [ \uparrow] q \oplus (\mathbf{0} \oplus \ominus \mathbf{1})
    by (subst\ r\text{-}neg,\ simp\text{-}all)
  also have ... = a \cap q \ominus 1
    unfolding a-minus-def by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) rupture-eq-0-iff:
  \textbf{assumes} \ \textit{subfield} \ K \ R \ p \in \textit{carrier} \ (K[X]) \ q \in \textit{carrier} \ (K[X])
  \mathbf{shows} \ \mathit{rupture\text{-}\mathit{surj}} \ \mathit{K} \ \mathit{p} \ \mathit{q} = \mathbf{0}_{\mathit{Rupt} \ \mathit{K} \ \mathit{p}} \ \longleftrightarrow \ \mathit{p} \ \mathit{pdivides} \ \mathit{q}
    (is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
proof -
  interpret h:ring-hom-ring K[X] (Rupt K p) (rupture-surj K p)
    using assms subfieldE by (intro rupture-surj-hom) auto
  have a: q \ pmod \ p \in (\lambda q. \ q \ pmod \ p) ' carrier (K \ [X])
```

```
using assms(3) by simp
 have \mathbf{0}_{K[X]} = \mathbf{0}_{K[X]} \ pmod \ p
    using assms(1,2) long-division-zero(2)
    by (simp add:univ-poly-zero)
 hence b: \mathbf{0}_{K[X]} \in (\lambda q. \ q \ pmod \ p) ' carrier (K[X])
    by (simp add:image-iff) auto
 have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow rupture\text{-}surj\ K\ p\ (q\ pmod\ p) =
    rupture-surj K p (\mathbf{0}_{K[X]})
    \mathbf{by}\ (subst\ rupture\text{-}surj\text{-}composed\text{-}with\text{-}pmod[OF\ assms])\ simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow q \ pmod \ p = \mathbf{0}_{K[X]}
    using assms(3)
   by (intro inj-on-eq-iff[OF rupture-surj-inj-on[OF assms(1,2)]] a\ b)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?rhs
    unfolding univ-poly-zero
    by (intro pmod-zero-iff-pdivides [OF \ assms(1)] \ assms(2,3))
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

2.3 Ring Isomorphisms

The following lemma shows that an isomorphism between domains also induces an isomorphism between the corresponding polynomial rings.

```
lemma lift-iso-to-poly-ring:
 assumes h \in ring-iso R S domain R domain S
 shows map \ h \in ring\text{-}iso \ (poly\text{-}ring \ R) \ (poly\text{-}ring \ S)
proof (rule ring-iso-memI)
 interpret dr: domain R using assms(2) by blast
 interpret ds: domain \ S \ using \ assms(3) by blast
 interpret pdr: domain poly-ring R
   using dr.univ-poly-is-domain[OF dr.carrier-is-subring] by simp
 interpret pds: domain poly-ring S
   using ds.univ-poly-is-domain[OF ds.carrier-is-subring] by simp
 interpret h: ring-hom-ring R S h
   using dr.ring-axioms ds.ring-axioms assms(1)
   by (intro ring-hom-ringI2, simp-all add:ring-iso-def)
 let ?R = poly\text{-}ring R
 let ?S = poly\text{-}ring S
 have h-img: h '(carrier R) = carrier S
   using assms(1) unfolding ring-iso-def bij-betw-def by auto
 have h-inj: inj-on h (carrier R)
   using assms(1) unfolding ring-iso-def bij-betw-def by auto
 hence h-non-zero-iff: h \ x \neq \mathbf{0}_S
   if x \neq \mathbf{0}_R x \in carrier R for x
   using h.hom-zero dr.zero-closed inj-onD that by metis
```

```
have norm-elim: ds.normalize (map \ h \ x) = map \ h \ x
  if x \in carrier (poly-ring R) for x
proof (cases x)
  case Nil then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case (Cons xh xt)
  have xh \in carrier R \ xh \neq \mathbf{0}_R
   using that unfolding Cons univ-poly-carrier[symmetric]
   unfolding polynomial-def by auto
  hence h xh \neq \mathbf{0}_S using h-non-zero-iff by simp
  then show ?thesis unfolding Cons by simp
qed
show t-1: map \ h \ x \in carrier ?S
  if x \in carrier ?R for x
  using that hd-in-set h-non-zero-iff hd-map
  unfolding univ-poly-carrier[symmetric] polynomial-def
  by (cases x, auto)
show map \ h \ (x \otimes_{?R} \ y) = map \ h \ x \otimes_{?S} map \ h \ y
  if x \in carrier ?R y \in carrier ?R for x y
proof -
  have map h(x \otimes_{?R} y) = ds.normalize (map <math>h(x \otimes_{?R} y))
   using that by (intro norm-elim[symmetric], simp)
  also have ... = map \ h \ x \otimes_{?S} map \ h \ y
  using that unfolding univ-poly-mult univ-poly-carrier[symmetric]
   unfolding polynomial-def
   by (intro h.poly-mult-hom'[of x y], auto)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
show map \ h \ (x \oplus_{?R} \ y) = map \ h \ x \oplus_{?S} \ map \ h \ y
  if x \in carrier ?R \ y \in carrier ?R \ for \ x \ y
  have map h(x \oplus_{?R} y) = ds.normalize (map h(x \oplus_{?R} y))
   using that by (intro norm-elim[symmetric],simp)
  also have \dots = map \ h \ x \oplus_{?S} map \ h \ y
   using that
   unfolding univ-poly-add univ-poly-carrier[symmetric]
   unfolding polynomial-def
   by (intro\ h.poly-add-hom'[of\ x\ y],\ auto)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
show map h \mathbf{1}_{?R} = \mathbf{1}_{?S}
  unfolding univ-poly-one by simp
let ?hinv = map (the-inv-into (carrier R) h)
```

```
have map \ h \in carrier ?R \rightarrow carrier ?S
 using t-1 by simp
moreover have ?hinv x \in carrier ?R
 if x \in carrier ?S for x
proof (cases x = [])
 case True
 then show ?thesis
   by (simp add:univ-poly-carrier[symmetric] polynomial-def)
next
 case False
 have set-x: set x \subseteq h ' carrier R
   using that h-img unfolding univ-poly-carrier[symmetric]
   unfolding polynomial-def by auto
 have lead-coeff x \neq \mathbf{0}_S lead-coeff x \in carrier S
   using that False unfolding univ-poly-carrier[symmetric]
   unfolding polynomial-def by auto
 hence the-inv-into (carrier R) h (lead-coeff x) \neq
   the-inv-into (carrier R) h \mathbf{0}_S
   using inj-on-the-inv-into[OF\ h-inj]\ inj-onD
   using ds.zero-closed h-img by metis
 hence the-inv-into (carrier R) h (lead-coeff x) \neq \mathbf{0}_R
   unfolding h.hom\text{-}zero[symmetric]
   unfolding the-inv-into-f-f[OF h-inj dr.zero-closed] by simp
 hence lead-coeff (?hinv x) \neq \mathbf{0}_R
   using False by (simp add:hd-map)
 moreover have the-inv-into (carrier R) h 'set x \subseteq carrier R
   using the-inv-into-into [OF h-inj] set-x
   by (intro image-subsetI) auto
 hence set (?hinv x) \subseteq carrier R by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (simp add:univ-poly-carrier[symmetric] polynomial-def)
qed
moreover have ?hinv(map\ h\ x) = x \ \textbf{if}\ x \in carrier\ ?R \ \textbf{for}\ x
proof -
 have set-x: set x \subseteq carrier R
   \mathbf{using} \ that \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ univ\text{-}poly\text{-}carrier[symmetric]
   unfolding polynomial-def by auto
 have ?hinv (map \ h \ x) =
   map (\lambda y. the-inv-into (carrier R) h (h y)) x
   by simp
 also have \dots = map \ id \ x
   using set-x by (intro\ map\text{-}cong)
     (auto\ simp\ add:the-inv-into-f-f[OF\ h-inj])
 also have \dots = x by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
moreover have map h (?hinv x) = x
 if x \in carrier ?S for x
proof -
```

```
have set-x: set x \subseteq h 'carrier R
    using that h-img unfolding univ-poly-carrier[symmetric]
    unfolding polynomial-def by auto
   have map \ h \ (?hinv \ x) =
     map (\lambda y. h (the-inv-into (carrier R) h y)) x
    by simp
   also have \dots = map \ id \ x
     using set-x by (intro map-cong)
      (auto simp add:f-the-inv-into-f[OF h-inj])
   also have \dots = x by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 ultimately show bij-betw (map h) (carrier ?R) (carrier ?S)
   by (intro bij-betwI[where g=?hinv], auto)
qed
lemma carrier-hom:
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes h \in ring-iso R S domain R domain S
 shows map h f \in carrier (poly-ring S)
proof -
 note poly-iso = lift-iso-to-poly-ring[OF <math>assms(2,3,4)]
 show ?thesis
   using ring-iso-memE(1)[OF\ poly-iso assms(1)] by simp
qed
lemma carrier-hom':
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes h \in ring\text{-}hom R S
 assumes domain R domain S
 assumes inj-on h (carrier R)
 shows map h f \in carrier (poly-ring S)
proof -
 let ?S = S \mid carrier := h \cdot carrier R \mid
 interpret dr: domain R using assms(3) by blast
 interpret ds: domain S using <math>assms(4) by blast
 interpret h1: ring-hom-ring R S h
   using assms(2) ring-hom-ringI2 dr.ring-axioms
   using ds.ring-axioms by blast
 have subr: subring (h \cdot carrier R) S
   using h1.img-is-subring[OF dr.carrier-is-subring] by blast
 interpret h: ring-hom-ring ((h \text{ '} carrier R)[X]_S) poly-ring S id
   using ds.embed-hom[OF\ subr] by simp
 let ?S = S  ( carrier := h  ' carrier R )
 have h \in ring\text{-}hom R ?S
   using assms(2) unfolding ring-hom-def by simp
 moreover have bij-betw h (carrier R) (carrier ?S)
```

```
using assms(5) bij-betw-def by auto
 ultimately have h-iso: h \in ring-iso R ?S
   unfolding ring-iso-def by simp
 have dom-S: domain ?S
   using ds.subring-is-domain[OF subr] by simp
 note poly-iso = lift-iso-to-poly-ring[OF h-iso assms(3) dom-S]
 have map \ h \ f \in carrier \ (poly-ring ?S)
   using ring-iso-memE(1)[OF\ poly-iso assms(1)] by simp
 also have carrier (poly-ring ?S) =
   carrier (univ-poly S (h 'carrier R))
   using ds.univ-poly-consistent[OF subr] by simp
 also have ... \subseteq carrier (poly-ring S)
   using h.hom\text{-}closed by auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
The following lemmas transfer properties like divisibility, irre-
ducibility etc. between ring isomorphisms.
lemma divides-hom:
 assumes h \in ring-iso R S
 assumes domain R domain S
 assumes x \in carrier R \ y \in carrier R
 shows x \ divides_R \ y \longleftrightarrow (h \ x) \ divides_S \ (h \ y) \ (\textbf{is} \ ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
proof -
 interpret dr: domain R using assms(2) by blast
 interpret ds: domain \ S \ using \ assms(3) by blast
 interpret pdr: domain poly-ring R
   using dr.univ-poly-is-domain[OF dr.carrier-is-subring] by simp
 interpret pds: domain poly-ring S
   using ds.univ-poly-is-domain[OF ds.carrier-is-subring] by simp
 interpret h: ring-hom-ring R S h
   using dr.ring-axioms ds.ring-axioms assms(1)
   by (intro ring-hom-ringI2, simp-all add:ring-iso-def)
 have h-inj-on: inj-on h (carrier R)
   using assms(1) unfolding ring-iso-def bij-betw-def by auto
 have h-img: h ' (carrier R) = carrier S
   using assms(1) unfolding ring-iso-def bij-betw-def by auto
 have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow (\exists c \in carrier R. \ y = x \otimes_R c)
   unfolding factor-def by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (\exists c \in carrier R. h y = h x \otimes_S h c)
   using assms(4,5) inj-onD[OF h-inj-on]
   by (intro bex-cong, auto simp flip:h.hom-mult)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (\exists c \in carrier S. \ h \ y = h \ x \otimes_S c)
   unfolding h-img[symmetric] by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?rhs
```

```
unfolding factor-def by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma properfactor-hom:
 assumes h \in ring-iso R S
 assumes domain R domain S
 assumes x \in carrier R \ b \in carrier R
 shows properfactor R b x \longleftrightarrow properfactor S (h b) (h x)
 using divides-hom[OF\ assms(1,2,3)]\ assms(4,5)
 unfolding properfactor-def by simp
lemma Units-hom:
 assumes h \in ring-iso R S
 assumes domain R domain S
 assumes x \in carrier R
 shows x \in Units R \longleftrightarrow h x \in Units S
proof -
 interpret dr: domain R using assms(2) by blast
 interpret ds: domain S using <math>assms(3) by blast
 interpret pdr: domain poly-ring R
    using dr.univ-poly-is-domain[OF dr.carrier-is-subring] by simp
 interpret pds: domain poly-ring S
    using ds.univ-poly-is-domain[OF ds.carrier-is-subring] by simp
 interpret h: ring-hom-ring R S h
    using dr.ring-axioms ds.ring-axioms assms(1)
    by (intro ring-hom-ringI2, simp-all add:ring-iso-def)
 have h-img: h '(carrier R) = carrier S
    using assms(1) unfolding ring-iso-def bij-betw-def by auto
 have h-inj-on: inj-on h (carrier R)
    \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{assms}(1) \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ \mathit{ring-iso-def} \ \mathit{bij-betw-def} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
 hence h-one-iff: h \ x = \mathbf{1}_S \longleftrightarrow x = \mathbf{1}_R \ \mathbf{if} \ x \in carrier \ R \ \mathbf{for} \ x
    using h.hom-one that by (metis dr.one-closed inj-onD)
 have x \in Units R \longleftrightarrow
    (\exists y \in carrier \ R. \ x \otimes_R \ y = \mathbf{1}_R \land y \otimes_R x = \mathbf{1}_R)
    using assms unfolding Units-def by auto
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow
    (\exists y \in carrier \ R. \ h \ x \otimes_S h \ y = h \ \mathbf{1}_R \land h \ y \otimes_S h \ x = h \ \mathbf{1}_R)
   \mathbf{using}\ h\text{-}one\text{-}iff\ assms\ \mathbf{by}\ (intro\ bex\text{-}cong,\ simp\text{-}all\ flip:h.hom\text{-}mult)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow
    (\exists y \in carrier \ S. \ h \ x \otimes_S y = h \ \mathbf{1}_R \land y \otimes_S h \ x = \mathbf{1}_S)
    unfolding h-img[symmetric] by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow h \ x \in Units \ S
    using assms h.hom-closed unfolding Units-def by auto
```

```
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma irreducible-hom:
 assumes h \in ring-iso R S
 assumes domain R domain S
 assumes x \in carrier R
 shows irreducible R x = irreducible S (h x)
proof -
 have h-img: h '(carrier R) = carrier S
   using assms(1) unfolding ring-iso-def bij-betw-def by auto
 have irreducible R \ x \longleftrightarrow (x \notin Units \ R \ \land)
   (\forall b \in carrier \ R. \ properfactor \ R \ b \ x \longrightarrow b \in Units \ R))
   {\bf unfolding} \ {\it Divisibility.irreducible-def} \ {\bf by} \ {\it simp}
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (x \notin Units R \land
   (\forall b \in carrier \ R. \ properfactor \ S \ (h \ b) \ (h \ x) \longrightarrow b \in Units \ R))
   using properfactor-hom[OF assms(1,2,3)] assms(4) by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (h x \notin Units S \wedge \)
   (\forall b \in carrier \ R. \ properfactor \ S \ (h \ b) \ (h \ x) \longrightarrow h \ b \in Units \ S))
   using assms(4) Units-hom[OF\ assms(1,2,3)] by simp
 also have ...\longleftrightarrow (h x \notin Units S \land
   (\forall b \in h \text{ '} carrier R. properfactor } S \ b \ (h \ x) \longrightarrow b \in Units \ S))
   by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow irreducible S(h x)
   unfolding h-img Divisibility.irreducible-def by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma pirreducible-hom:
 assumes h \in ring-iso R S
 assumes domain R domain S
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 shows pirreducible_R (carrier R) f =
   pirreducible_S (carrier S) (map h f)
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
 note lift-iso = lift-iso-to-poly-ring[OF assms(1,2,3)]
 interpret dr: domain R using assms(2) by blast
 interpret ds: domain \ S \ using \ assms(3) by blast
 interpret pdr: domain poly-ring R
   using dr.univ-poly-is-domain[OF dr.carrier-is-subring] by simp
 interpret pds: domain poly-ring S
   using ds.univ-poly-is-domain[OF ds.carrier-is-subring] by simp
 have mh-inj-on: inj-on (map h) (carrier (poly-ring R))
   using lift-iso unfolding ring-iso-def bij-betw-def by auto
 moreover have map h \ \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring} \ R = \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring} \ S
   by (simp add:univ-poly-zero)
```

```
map \ h \ f = \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring} \ S \longleftrightarrow f = \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring} \ R
    using assms(4) by (metis\ pdr.zero-closed\ inj-onD)
 have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow (f \neq \mathbf{0}_{poly\text{-}ring\ R} \land irreducible\ (poly\text{-}ring\ R)\ f)
    unfolding ring-irreducible-def by simp
  also have \dots \longleftrightarrow
    (f \neq \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring \ R} \land irreducible (poly-ring \ S) \ (map \ h \ f))
    using irreducible-hom[OF lift-iso] pdr.domain-axioms
    using assms(4) pds.domain-axioms by simp
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow
    (\mathit{map}\ \mathit{h}\ \mathit{f} \neq \mathbf{0}_{\mathit{poly-ring}}\ \mathit{S} \land \mathit{irreducible}\ (\mathit{poly-ring}\ \mathit{S})\ (\mathit{map}\ \mathit{h}\ \mathit{f}))
    using mh-zero-iff by simp
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?rhs
    unfolding ring-irreducible-def by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma ring-hom-cong:
  assumes \bigwedge x. x \in carrier R \Longrightarrow f' x = f x
  assumes ring R
  assumes f \in ring\text{-}hom \ R \ S
 shows f' \in ring\text{-}hom \ R \ S
proof -
 interpret ring R using assms(2) by simp
 show ?thesis
    using assms(1) ring-hom-memE[OF \ assms(3)]
    by (intro\ ring-hom-mem I,\ auto)
qed
The natural homomorphism between factor rings, where one
ideal is a subset of the other.
lemma (in ring) quot-quot-hom:
 assumes ideal\ I\ R
 assumes ideal\ J\ R
 \mathbf{assumes}\ I\subseteq J
  shows (\lambda x. (J < +>_R x)) \in ring\text{-}hom (R Quot I) (R Quot J)
proof (rule ring-hom-memI)
  interpret ji: ideal J R
    using assms(2) by simp
  interpret ii: ideal I R
    using assms(1) by simp
 have a:J <+>_R I = J
    using assms(3) unfolding set-add-def set-mult-def by auto
 show J <+>_R x \in carrier (R \ Quot \ J)
   if x \in carrier (R \ Quot \ I) for x
 proof -
```

ultimately have *mh-zero-iff*:

```
have \exists y \in carrier R. x = I +> y
   using that unfolding FactRing-def A-RCOSETS-def' by simp
  then obtain y where y-def: y \in carrier R \ x = I +> y
   by auto
  have J <+>_R (I +> y) = (J <+>_R I) +> y
   using y-def(1) by (subst\ a-setmult-rcos-assoc) auto
  also have \dots = J +> y using a by simp
  finally have J <+>_R (I +> y) = J +> y by simp
  thus ?thesis
   using y-def unfolding FactRing-def A-RCOSETS-def' by auto
qed
\mathbf{show}\ J <+>_R x \otimes_R \mathit{Quot}\ I\ y =
  (J <+>_R x) \otimes_R Quot J (J <+>_R y)
  if x \in carrier (R \ \dot{Q}uot \ I) \ y \in carrier (R \ Quot \ I)
  for x y
proof -
  have \exists x1 \in carrier R. \ x = I +> x1 \ \exists y1 \in carrier R. \ y = I +> y1
   using that unfolding FactRing-def A-RCOSETS-def' by auto
  then obtain x1 y1
   where x1-def: x1 \in carrier R \ x = I +> x1
     and y1-def: y1 \in carrier R \ y = I +> y1
   by auto
  have J <+>_R x \otimes_R q_{uot\ I} y = J <+>_R (I +> x1 \otimes y1)
   using x1-def y1-def
   by (simp add: FactRing-def ii.rcoset-mult-add)
  also have ... = (J <+>_R I) +> x1 \otimes y1
   using x1-def(1) y1-def(1)
   by (subst a-setmult-rcos-assoc) auto
  also have ... = J +> x1 \otimes y1
   using a by simp
  also have ... = [mod \ J:] \ (J +> x1) \ \bigotimes \ (J +> y1)
   using x1-def(1) y1-def(1) by (subst ji.rcoset-mult-add, auto)
  also have ... =
   [mod \ J:] \ ((J < +>_R I) \ +> \ x1) \ \bigotimes \ ((J < +>_R I) \ +> \ y1)
   using a by simp
  also have ... =
   [mod \ J:] \ (J <+>_R \ (I \ +> \ x1)) \ \bigotimes \ (J <+>_R \ (I \ +> \ y1))
   using x1-def(1) y1-def(1)
   by (subst (1 2) a-setmult-rcos-assoc) auto
  also have ... = (J <+>_R x) \otimes_R Quot J (J <+>_R y)
   using x1-def y1-def by (simp add: FactRing-def)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{qed}
show J <+>_R x \oplus_R Quot\ I\ y =
  (J <+>_R x) \oplus_R Quot J (J <+>_R y)
  if x \in carrier (R \ Quot \ I) \ y \in carrier (R \ Quot \ I)
  for x y
```

```
proof -
   have \exists x1 \in carrier R. \ x = I +> x1 \ \exists y1 \in carrier R. \ y = I +> y1
     using that unfolding FactRing-def A-RCOSETS-def' by auto
   then obtain x1 y1
     where x1-def: x1 \in carrier R \ x = I +> x1
      and y1-def: y1 \in carrier R \ y = I +> y1
     by auto
   have J <+>_R x \oplus_R Quot\ I\ y =
     J <+>_R ((I +> x1) <+>_R (I +> y1))
     using x1-def y1-def by (simp\ add:FactRing-def)
   also have ... = J <+>_R (I +> (x1 \oplus y1))
     using x1-def y1-def ii.a-rcos-sum by simp
   also have ... = (J < +>_R I) +> (x1 \oplus y1)
     using x1-def y1-def by (subst a-setmult-rcos-assoc) auto
   also have ... = J +> (x1 \oplus y1)
     using a by simp
   also have ... =
     ((J < +>_R I) +> x1) < +>_R ((J < +>_R I) +> y1)
     using x1-def y1-def ji.a-rcos-sum a by simp
   also have ... =
     J <+>_R (I +> x1) <+>_R (J <+>_R (I +> y1))
     using x1-def y1-def by (subst (1 2) a-set mult-rcos-assoc) auto
   also have ... = (J <+>_R x) \oplus_R Quot J (J <+>_R y)
     using x1-def y1-def by (simp add:FactRing-def)
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 have J <+>_R \mathbf{1}_{R\ Quot\ I} = J <+>_R (I\ +>\mathbf{1})
   unfolding FactRing-def by simp
 also have ... = (J < +>_R I) +> 1
   \mathbf{by}\ (subst\ a\text{-}setmult\text{-}rcos\text{-}assoc)\ auto
 also have ... = J +> 1 using a by simp
 also have ... = \mathbf{1}_{R \ Quot \ J}
unfolding FactRing-def by simp
 finally show J <+>_R \mathbf{1}_{R\ Quot\ I} = \mathbf{1}_{R\ Quot\ J}
   by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma (in ring) quot-carr:
 assumes ideal\ I\ R
 assumes y \in carrier (R \ Quot \ I)
 shows y \subseteq carrier R
proof -
 interpret ideal\ I\ R\ using\ assms(1)\ by\ simp
 have y \in a-rcosets I
   using assms(2) unfolding FactRing-def by simp
 then obtain v where y-def: y = I +> v \ v \in carrier R
   unfolding A-RCOSETS-def' by auto
 have I +> v \subseteq carrier R
```

```
using y-def(2) a-r-coset-subset-G a-subset by presburger
 thus y \subseteq carrier R unfolding y-def by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) set-add-zero:
 assumes A \subseteq carrier R
 shows \{0\} <+>_R A = A
proof -
 have \{0\} <+>_R A = (\bigcup x \in A. \{0 \oplus x\})
   using assms unfolding set-add-def set-mult-def by simp
 also have ... = (\bigcup x \in A. \{x\})
  using assms by (intro arg-cong[where f = Union] image-cong, auto)
 also have \dots = A by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
Adapted from the proof of domain.polynomial-rupture
lemma (in domain) rupture-surj-as-eval:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes p \in carrier(K[X]) q \in carrier(K[X])
 shows rupture-surj K p q =
   ring.eval\ (Rupt\ K\ p)\ (map\ ((rupture-surj\ K\ p)\ \circ\ poly-of-const)\ q)
   (rupture-surj\ K\ p\ X)
proof -
 let ?surj = rupture - surj K p
 interpret UP: domain K[X]
   using univ-poly-is-domain[OF assms(1)].
 interpret h: ring-hom-ring K[X] Rupt K p ?surj
   using rupture-surj-hom(2)[OF assms(1,2)].
 have (h.S.eval) (map\ (?surj\ \circ\ poly-of-const)\ q)\ (?surj\ X) =
   ?surj ((UP.eval) (map\ poly-of-const\ q) X)
  using h.eval-hom[OF\ UP.carrier-is-subring\ var-closed(1)[OF\ assms(1)]
        map-norm-in-poly-ring-carrier[OF\ assms(1,3)]] by simp
 also have \dots = ?surj q
   unfolding sym[OF\ eval\text{-}rewrite[OF\ assms(1,3)]] ..
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
      Divisibility
2.4
lemma (in field) f-comm-group-1:
 assumes x \in carrier R \ y \in carrier R
 assumes x \neq 0 y \neq 0
 assumes x \otimes y = \mathbf{0}
 shows False
 using integral assms by auto
```

```
lemma (in field) f-comm-group-2:
 assumes x \in carrier R
 assumes x \neq 0
 shows \exists y \in carrier R - \{0\}. y \otimes x = 1
proof -
 have x-unit: x \in Units R using field-Units assms by simp
 thus ?thesis unfolding Units-def by auto
qed
sublocale field < mult-of: comm-group mult-of R
 rewrites mult (mult-of R) = mult R
     and one (mult-of R) = one R
 using f-comm-group-1 f-comm-group-2
 by (auto intro!:comm-groupI m-assoc m-comm)
lemma (in domain) div-neg:
 assumes a \in carrier R \ b \in carrier R
 assumes a divides b
 shows a divides (\ominus b)
proof -
 obtain r1 where r1-def: r1 \in carrier R \ a \otimes r1 = b
   using assms by (auto simp:factor-def)
 have a \otimes (\ominus r1) = \ominus (a \otimes r1)
   using assms(1) r1-def(1) by algebra
 also have \dots = \ominus b
   using r1-def(2) by simp
 finally have \ominus b = a \otimes (\ominus r1) by simp
 moreover have \ominus r1 \in carrier R
   using r1-def(1) by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (auto simp:factor-def)
qed
lemma (in domain) div-sum:
 assumes a \in carrier R \ b \in carrier R \ c \in carrier R
 assumes a divides b
 assumes a divides c
 shows a divides (b \oplus c)
proof -
 obtain r1 where r1-def: r1 \in carrier R \ a \otimes r1 = b
   using assms by (auto simp:factor-def)
 obtain r2 where r2-def: r2 \in carrier R \ a \otimes r2 = c
   using assms by (auto simp:factor-def)
 have a \otimes (r1 \oplus r2) = (a \otimes r1) \oplus (a \otimes r2)
   using assms(1) r1-def(1) r2-def(1) by algebra
 also have \dots = b \oplus c
```

```
using r1-def(2) r2-def(2) by simp
 finally have b \oplus c = a \otimes (r1 \oplus r2) by simp
 moreover have r1 \oplus r2 \in carrier R
   using r1-def(1) r2-def(1) by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (auto simp:factor-def)
qed
lemma (in domain) div-sum-iff:
 assumes a \in carrier R \ b \in carrier R \ c \in carrier R
 \mathbf{assumes}\ a\ divides\ b
 shows a divides (b \oplus c) \longleftrightarrow a divides c
proof
 assume a divides (b \oplus c)
 moreover have a divides (\ominus b)
   using div\text{-}neq \ assms(1,2,4) by simp
 ultimately have a divides ((b \oplus c) \oplus (\ominus b))
   using div-sum assms by simp
 also have ... = c using assms(1,2,3) by algebra
 finally show a divides c by simp
next
 assume a divides c
 thus a divides (b \oplus c)
   using assms by (intro div-sum) auto
qed
lemma (in comm-monoid) irreducible-prod-unit:
 assumes f \in carrier \ G \ x \in Units \ G
 shows irreducible G f = irreducible G (x \otimes f) (is ?L = ?R)
proof
 assume ?L
 thus ?R using irreducible-prod-lI assms by auto
next
 have inv \ x \otimes (x \otimes f) = (inv \ x \otimes x) \otimes f
   using assms by (intro m-assoc[symmetric]) auto
 also have \dots = f using assms by simp
 finally have \theta: inv \ x \otimes (x \otimes f) = f by simp
 assume ?R
  hence irreducible G (inv x \otimes (x \otimes f) ) using irreducible-prod-lI
assms by blast
 thus ?L using \theta by simp
qed
end
```

2.5 Factorization

theory Finite-Fields-Factorization-Ext imports Finite-Fields-Preliminary-Results

begin

This section contains additional results building on top of the development in HOL-Algebra.Divisibility about factorization in a factorial-monoid.

```
definition factor-mset where factor-mset G x = (THE f. (\exists as. f = fmset G as <math>\land wfactors G as x \land set as \subseteq carrier G))
```

In HOL-Algebra.Divisibility it is already verified that the multiset representing the factorization of an element of a factorial monoid into irreducible factors is well-defined. With these results it is then possible to define factor-mset and show its properties, without referring to a factorization in list form first.

```
definition multiplicity where multiplicity G d g = Max \{(n::nat). (d \ \cap G \ n) divides G g\} definition canonical-irreducibles where canonical-irreducibles G A = (A \subseteq \{a. \ a \in carrier \ G \land irreducible \ G \ a\} \land (\forall x \ y. \ x \in A \longrightarrow y \in A \longrightarrow x \sim_G y \longrightarrow x = y) \land (\forall x \in carrier \ G. \ irreducible \ G \ x \longrightarrow (\exists \ y \in A. \ x \sim_G y)))
```

A set of irreducible elements that contains exactly one element from each equivalence class of an irreducible element formed by association, is called a set of *canonical-irreducibles*. An example is the set of monic irreducible polynomials as representatives of all irreducible polynomials.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{context} \ \textit{factorial-monoid} \\ \textbf{begin} \end{array}
```

```
lemma assoc-as-fmset-eq:
 assumes wfactors G as a
   and wfactors G bs b
   and a \in carrier G
   and b \in carrier G
   and set as \subseteq carrier G
   and set bs \subseteq carrier G
 shows a \sim b \longleftrightarrow (fmset \ G \ as = fmset \ G \ bs)
proof -
 have a \sim b \longleftrightarrow (a \ divides \ b \land b \ divides \ a)
   by (simp add:associated-def)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow
   (fmset G as \subseteq \# fmset G bs \land fmset G bs \subseteq \# fmset G as)
   using divides-as-fmsubset assms by blast
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (fmset G as = fmset G bs) by auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ factor\text{-}mset\text{-}aux\text{-}1:
 assumes a \in carrier \ G \ set \ as \subseteq carrier \ G \ wfactors \ G \ as \ a
 shows factor-mset G a = fmset G as
proof -
 define H where H = \{as. \ wfactors \ G \ as \ a \land set \ as \subseteq carrier \ G\}
 have b:as \in H
   using H-def assms by simp
 have c: x \in H \Longrightarrow y \in H \Longrightarrow fmset \ G \ x = fmset \ G \ y \ \textbf{for} \ x \ y
   unfolding H-def using assoc-as-fmset-eq
   using associated-refl assms by blast
 have factor-mset G a = (THE f. \exists as \in H. f = fmset G as)
   by (simp add:factor-mset-def H-def, metis)
 also have \dots = fmset \ G \ as
   using b c
   by (intro the 1-equality) blast+
 finally have factor-mset G a = fmset G as by simp
 thus ?thesis
   using b unfolding H-def by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma factor-mset-aux:
 assumes a \in carrier G
 shows \exists as. factor-mset G a = fmset G as \land wfactors G as a \land
   set \ as \subseteq carrier \ G
proof -
 obtain as where as-def: wfactors G as a set as \subseteq carrier G
   using wfactors-exist assms by blast
 thus ?thesis using factor-mset-aux-1 assms by blast
qed
lemma factor-mset-set:
 assumes a \in carrier G
 \mathbf{assumes}\ x\in\#\ factor\text{-}mset\ G\ a
 obtains y where
   y \in carrier G
   irreducible G y
   assocs G y = x
proof -
 obtain as where as-def:
   factor\text{-}mset\ G\ a=fmset\ G\ as
   wfactors G as a set as \subseteq carrier G
   using factor-mset-aux assms by blast
 hence x \in \# fmset G as
```

```
using assms by simp
    hence x \in assocs G 'set as
        using assms as-def by (simp add:fmset-def)
    hence \exists y. y \in set \ as \land x = assocs \ G \ y
        by auto
    moreover have y \in carrier \ G \land irreducible \ G \ y
        if y \in set \ as \ for \ y
        using as-def that wfactors-def
        by (simp add: wfactors-def) auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
        using that by blast
qed
lemma factor-mset-mult:
    assumes a \in carrier \ G \ b \in carrier \ G
   shows factor-mset G(a \otimes b) = factor-mset G(a + b) = factor-mset 
proof -
    obtain as where as-def:
        factor\text{-}mset\ G\ a=fmset\ G\ as
        wfactors G as a set as \subseteq carrier G
        using factor-mset-aux assms by blast
    obtain bs where bs-def:
        factor\text{-}mset\ G\ b=fmset\ G\ bs
        wfactors G bs b set bs \subseteq carrier <math>G
        using factor-mset-aux \ assms(2) by blast
   have a \otimes b \in carrier \ G \ using \ assms \ by \ auto
    then obtain cs where cs-def:
        factor\text{-}mset\ G\ (a\otimes b)=fmset\ G\ cs
        wfactors G cs (a \otimes b)
        set \ cs \subseteq carrier \ G
        using factor-mset-aux assms by blast
    have fmset \ G \ cs = fmset \ G \ as + fmset \ G \ bs
        using as-def bs-def cs-def assms
        by (intro mult-wfactors-fmset[where a=a and b=b]) auto
    thus ?thesis
        using as-def bs-def cs-def by auto
qed
lemma factor-mset-unit: factor-mset G \mathbf{1} = \{\#\}
proof -
   have factor-mset G 1 = factor-mset G (1 \otimes 1)
        by simp
   also have ... = factor-mset G \mathbf{1} + factor-mset G \mathbf{1}
        by (intro factor-mset-mult, auto)
    finally show factor-mset G \mathbf{1} = \{\#\}
        by simp
qed
lemma factor-mset-irred:
```

```
assumes x \in carrier\ G\ irreducible\ G\ x
 shows factor-mset G x = image\text{-mset} (assocs G) \{\#x\#\}
proof -
 have wfactors G[x] x
   using assms by (simp add:wfactors-def)
 hence factor\text{-}mset\ G\ x = fmset\ G\ [x]
   using factor-mset-aux-1 assms by simp
 also have ... = image-mset (assocs G) {\#x\#}
   by (simp add:fmset-def)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma factor-mset-divides:
 assumes a \in carrier \ G \ b \in carrier \ G
 shows a divides b \longleftrightarrow factor\text{-mset } G \ a \subseteq \# \ factor\text{-mset } G \ b
proof -
 obtain as where as-def:
   factor\text{-}mset\ G\ a=fmset\ G\ as
   wfactors G as a set as \subseteq carrier G
   using factor-mset-aux assms by blast
 obtain bs where bs-def:
   factor\text{-}mset\ G\ b=fmset\ G\ bs
   wfactors G bs b set bs \subseteq carrier <math>G
   using factor-mset-aux \ assms(2) by blast
 hence a divides b \longleftrightarrow fmset \ G \ as \subseteq \# \ fmset \ G \ bs
   using as-def bs-def assms
   by (intro divides-as-fmsubset) auto
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow factor-mset G a \subseteq \# factor-mset G b
   using as-def bs-def by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma factor-mset-sim:
 assumes a \in carrier \ G \ b \in carrier \ G
 shows a \sim b \longleftrightarrow factor\text{-mset } G \ a = factor\text{-mset } G \ b
 using factor-mset-divides assms
 by (simp add:associated-def) auto
lemma factor-mset-prod:
 assumes finite A
 assumes f ' A \subseteq carrier G
 shows factor-mset G (\bigotimes a \in A. f a) =
   (\sum a \in A. factor\text{-mset } G (f a))
 using assms
proof (induction A rule:finite-induct)
 then show ?case by (simp add:factor-mset-unit)
next
 case (insert x F)
```

```
have factor-mset G (finprod G f (insert x F)) =
   factor-mset G (f x \otimes finprod G f F)
   using insert by (subst finprod-insert) auto
 also have ... = factor\text{-}mset\ G\ (f\ x) + factor\text{-}mset\ G\ (finprod\ G\ f\ F)
   using insert by (intro factor-mset-mult finprod-closed) auto
   \ldots = \textit{factor-mset} \ G \ (\textit{f} \ \textit{x}) \ + \ (\textstyle \sum a \in \textit{F. factor-mset} \ G \ (\textit{f} \ \textit{a}))
   using insert by simp
 also have ... = (\sum a \in insert \ x \ F. \ factor-mset \ G \ (f \ a))
   using insert by simp
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma factor-mset-pow:
 assumes a \in carrier G
 shows factor-mset G(a \cap n) = repeat-mset n (factor-mset G(a)
proof (induction \ n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case by (simp add:factor-mset-unit)
 case (Suc \ n)
 also have \dots = factor\text{-}mset\ G\ (a\ [\widehat{\ \ }]\ n) + factor\text{-}mset\ G\ a
   using assms by (intro factor-mset-mult) auto
 also have ... = repeat-mset n (factor-mset G a) + factor-mset G a
   using Suc by simp
 also have ... = repeat-mset (Suc n) (factor-mset G a)
   by simp
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma image-mset-sum:
 assumes finite F
 shows
   image-mset h (\sum x \in F. fx) = (\sum x \in F. image-mset h (fx))
 by (induction F rule:finite-induct, simp, simp)
lemma decomp-mset:
 (\sum x \in set\text{-}mset\ R.\ replicate\text{-}mset\ (count\ R\ x)\ x) = R
 by (rule multiset-eqI, simp add:count-sum count-eq-zero-iff)
lemma factor-mset-count:
 assumes a \in carrier \ G \ d \in carrier \ G \ irreducible \ G \ d
 shows count (factor-mset G a) (assocs G d) = multiplicity G d a
proof -
 have a:
   count\ (factor\text{-}mset\ G\ a)\ (assocs\ G\ d) \geq m \longleftrightarrow d\ [\widehat{\ }]\ m\ divides\ a
```

```
(is ?lhs \leftrightarrow ?rhs) for m
 proof -
   have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow replicate-mset m (assocs G d) \subseteq# factor-mset G a
     by (simp add:count-le-replicate-mset-subset-eq)
   also have ... \longleftrightarrow factor-mset G (d \cap m) \subseteq \# factor-mset G a
   using assms(2,3) by (simp\ add:factor-mset-pow\ factor-mset-irred)
   also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?rhs
     using assms(1,2) by (subst factor-mset-divides) auto
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 define M where M = \{(m::nat). d \mid \uparrow m \text{ divides } a\}
 have M-alt: M = \{m. \ m \leq count \ (factor\text{-mset } G \ a) \ (assocs \ G \ d)\}
   using a by (simp add:M-def)
 hence Max M = count (factor-mset G a) (assocs G d)
   by (intro Max-eqI, auto)
 thus ?thesis
   unfolding multiplicity-def M-def by auto
qed
lemma multiplicity-ge-iff:
 assumes d \in carrier\ G\ irreducible\ G\ d\ a \in carrier\ G
 shows multiplicity G d a \ge k \longleftrightarrow d \lceil \rceil k divides a
   (is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
proof -
 have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow count (factor-mset G a) (assocs G d) \geq k
   using factor-mset-count[OF\ assms(3,1,2)] by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow replicate-mset k (assocs G d) \subseteq \# factor-mset G a
   by (subst count-le-replicate-mset-subset-eq, simp)
 also have \dots \longleftrightarrow
   repeat-mset k (factor-mset G d) \subseteq \# factor-mset G a
   by (subst\ factor-mset-irred\ [OF\ assms(1,2)],\ simp)
 by (subst\ factor-mset-pow[OF\ assms(1)],\ simp)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (d \upharpoonright k) \ divides_G \ a
   using assms(1) factor-mset-divides[OF - assms(3)] by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma multiplicity-gt-0-iff:
 assumes d \in carrier\ G\ irreducible\ G\ d\ a \in carrier\ G
 shows multiplicity G \ d \ a > 0 \longleftrightarrow d \ divides \ a
 using multiplicity-ge-iff[OF assms(1,2,3),  where k=1] assms
 by auto
lemma factor-mset-count-2:
 assumes a \in carrier G
```

```
assumes \bigwedge z. z \in carrier \ G \Longrightarrow irreducible \ G \ z \Longrightarrow y \neq assocs \ G \ z
 shows count (factor-mset G a) y = 0
 using factor-mset-set [OF \ assms(1)] \ assms(2) by (metis \ count-inI)
lemma factor-mset-choose:
 assumes a \in carrier \ G \ set\text{-mset} \ R \subseteq carrier \ G
 assumes image-mset (assocs G) R = factor-mset G a
 shows a \sim (\bigotimes x \in set\text{-mset } R. \ x \cap count \ R \ x) (is a \sim ?rhs)
proof -
 have b:irreducible G x if a:x \in \# R for x
 proof -
   have x-carr: x \in carrier G
     using a \ assms(2) by auto
   have assocs G x \in assocs G 'set-mset R
     using a by simp
   hence assocs G x \in \# factor-mset G a
     using assms(3) a in-image-mset by metis
   then obtain z where z-def:
     z \in carrier\ G\ irreducible\ G\ z\ assocs\ G\ x = assocs\ G\ z
     using factor-mset-set assms(1) by metis
   have z \sim x using z-def(1,3) assocs-eqD x-carr by simp
   thus ?thesis using z-def(1,2) x-carr irreducible-cong by simp
 qed
 have factor-mset G?rhs =
   (\sum x \in set\text{-}mset\ R.\ factor\text{-}mset\ G\ (x\ [\ ]\ count\ R\ x))
   using assms(2) by (subst factor-mset-prod, auto)
 also have ... =
   (\sum x \in set\text{-}mset\ R.\ repeat\text{-}mset\ (count\ R\ x)\ (factor\text{-}mset\ G\ x))
  using assms(2) by (intro\ sum.cong,\ auto\ simp\ add:factor-mset-pow)
 also have ... = (\sum x \in set\text{-}mset\ R.
   repeat-mset (count R x) (image-mset (assocs G) {\#x\#}))
  using assms(2) b by (intro sum.cong, auto simp add:factor-mset-irred)
 also have ... = (\sum x \in set\text{-}mset\ R.
   image-mset (assocs G) (replicate-mset (count R x) x))
   by simp
 also have \dots = image\text{-}mset \ (assocs \ G)
   (\sum x \in set\text{-}mset\ R.\ (replicate\text{-}mset\ (count\ R\ x)\ x))
   by (simp add: image-mset-sum)
 also have ... = image-mset (assocs G) R
   by (simp\ add:decomp\text{-}mset)
 also have \dots = factor\text{-}mset\ G\ a
   using assms by simp
 finally have factor-mset G?rhs = factor-mset G a by simp
 moreover have (\bigotimes x \in set\text{-}mset\ R.\ x \cap count\ R\ x) \in carrier\ G
   using assms(2) by (intro finprod-closed, auto)
 ultimately show ?thesis
   using assms(1) by (subst factor-mset-sim) auto
qed
```

```
lemma divides-iff-mult-mono:
 assumes a \in carrier \ G \ b \in carrier \ G
 assumes canonical-irreducibles G R
 assumes \bigwedge d. \ d \in R \Longrightarrow multiplicity \ G \ d \ a \leq multiplicity \ G \ d \ b
 shows a divides b
proof -
 have count (factor-mset G a) d \leq count (factor-mset G b) d for d
 proof (cases \exists y \in carrier \ G. \ irreducible \ G \ y \land d = assocs \ G \ y)
   then obtain y where y-def:
     irreducible G y y \in carrier G d = assocs G y
     by blast
   then obtain z where z-def: z \in R y \sim z
     using assms(3) unfolding canonical-irreducibles-def by metis
   have z-more: irreducible G z z \in carrier G
     using z-def(1) assms(3)
     unfolding canonical-irreducibles-def by auto
   have y \in assocs \ G \ z \ using \ z\text{-}def(2) \ z\text{-}more(2) \ y\text{-}def(2)
     by (simp add: closure-ofI2)
   hence d-def: d = assocs G z
     using y-def(2,3) z-more(2) assocs-repr-independence
     by blast
   have count (factor-mset G a) d = multiplicity G z a
     unfolding d-def
     by (intro\ factor-mset-count[OF\ assms(1)\ z-more(2,1)])
   also have \dots \leq multiplicity \ G \ z \ b
     using assms(4) z-def(1) by simp
   also have \dots = count (factor-mset \ G \ b) \ d
     unfolding d-def
   by (intro\ factor-mset-count[symmetric,\ OF\ assms(2)\ z-more(2,1)])
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 next
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   have count (factor-mset G a) d = 0 using False
     by (intro factor-mset-count-2[OF assms(1)], simp)
   moreover have count (factor-mset G b) d = 0 using False
     by (intro\ factor-mset-count-2[OF\ assms(2)],\ simp)
   ultimately show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 hence factor\text{-}mset\ G\ a\subseteq \#\ factor\text{-}mset\ G\ b
   unfolding subseteq-mset-def by simp
 thus ?thesis using factor-mset-divides assms(1,2) by simp
qed
lemma count-image-mset-inj:
 assumes inj-on f R x \in R set-mset A \subseteq R
 shows count (image-mset f A) (f x) = count A x
```

```
proof (cases \ x \in \# \ A)
 {\bf case}\ {\it True}
 hence (f y = f x \land y \in \# A) = (y = x) for y
   by (meson \ assms(1) \ assms(3) \ inj\text{-}onD \ subsetD)
 hence (f - `\{f x\} \cap set\text{-}mset A) = \{x\}
   by (simp add:set-eq-iff)
 thus ?thesis
   by (subst count-image-mset, simp)
next
 case False
 hence x \notin set\text{-}mset \ A \ \text{by} \ simp
 hence f x \notin f 'set-mset A using assms
   by (simp add: inj-on-image-mem-iff)
 hence count (image-mset f(A)) (f(x) = 0)
   by (simp add:count-eq-zero-iff)
 thus ?thesis by (metis count-inI False)
qed
Factorization of an element from a factorial-monoid using a se-
lection of representatives from each equivalence class formed by
(\sim).
lemma split-factors:
 assumes canonical-irreducibles G R
 assumes a \in carrier G
 shows
   finite \{d. d \in R \land multiplicity \ G \ d \ a > 0\}
   a \sim (\bigotimes d \in \{d. \ d \in R \land multiplicity \ G \ d \ a > 0\}.
         d \cap multiplicity G d a) (is a \sim ?rhs)
proof -
 have r-1: R \subseteq \{x. \ x \in carrier \ G \land irreducible \ G \ x\}
   using assms(1) unfolding canonical-irreducibles-def by simp
 have r-2: \bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in R \Longrightarrow y \in R \Longrightarrow x \sim y \Longrightarrow x = y
   using assms(1) unfolding canonical-irreducibles-def by simp
 have assocs-inj: inj-on (assocs G) R
   using r-1 r-2 assocs-eqD by (intro inj-onI, blast)
 define R' where
   R' = (\sum d \in \{d. \ d \in R \land multiplicity \ G \ d \ a > 0\}.
   replicate-mset (multiplicity G d a) d)
 have count (factor-mset G a) (assocs G(x) > 0
   if x \in R \ \theta < multiplicity \ G \ x \ a \ for \ x
   using assms r-1 r-2 that
   by (subst\ factor-mset-count[OF\ assms(2)])\ auto
 hence assocs G ' \{d \in R. \ 0 < multiplicity \ G \ d \ a\}
   \subseteq set-mset (factor-mset G a)
   by (intro\ image-subset I,\ simp)
 hence a:finite (assocs G '\{d \in R. \ 0 < multiplicity \ G \ d \ a\})
```

```
using finite-subset by auto
show finite \{d \in R. \ 0 < multiplicity \ G \ d \ a\}
  using assocs-inj inj-on-subset[OF assocs-inj]
  by (intro\ finite-imageD[OF\ a],\ simp)
hence count-R':
  count R' d = (if d \in R \text{ then multiplicity } G \text{ } d \text{ } a \text{ else } \theta)
  by (auto simp add:R'-def count-sum)
have set-R': set-mset R' = \{d \in R. \ 0 < multiplicity \ G \ d \ a\}
  unfolding set-mset-def using count-R' by auto
have count (image-mset (assocs G) R') x =
  count (factor-mset G a) x for x
proof (cases \exists x'. x' \in R \land x = assocs G x')
  case True
  then obtain x' where x'-def: x' \in R x = assocs G x'
   by blast
  have count (image-mset (assocs G) R') x = count R' x'
   using assocs-inj\ inj-on-subset[OF\ assocs-inj]\ x'-def
   by (subst\ x'-def(2),\ subst\ count-image-mset-inj[OF\ assocs-inj])
     (auto simp:set-R')
  also have ... = multiplicity G x' a
   using count-R' x'-def by simp
  also have ... = count (factor-mset \ G \ a) (assocs \ G \ x')
   using x'-def(1) r-1
   by (subst\ factor-mset-count[OF\ assms(2)])\ auto
  also have ... = count (factor-mset \ G \ a) \ x
   using x'-def(2) by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
next
  {f case}\ {\it False}
  have a:x \neq assocs G z
   if a1: z \in carrier\ G and a2: irreducible\ G\ z for z
 proof -
   obtain v where v-def: v \in R z \sim v
     using a1 \ a2 \ assms(1)
     unfolding canonical-irreducibles-def by auto
   hence z \in assocs \ G \ v
     using a 1 \text{ } r\text{-}1 \text{ } v\text{-}def(1) by (simp \ add: \ closure\text{-}ofI2)
   hence assocs G z = assocs G v
     using a tr-1 v-def(t) assocs-repr-independence
     by auto
   moreover have x \neq assocs G v
     using False v-def(1) by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
```

```
have count (image-mset (assocs G) R') x = 0
    using False count-R' by (simp add: count-image-mset) auto
   also have ... = count (factor-mset G a) x
    by (intro factor-mset-count-2[OF assms(2), symmetric]) auto
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 hence image-mset (assocs G) R' = factor\text{-mset } G a
   by (rule\ multiset-eqI)
 moreover have set-mset R' \subseteq carrier G
   using r-1 by (auto simp\ add:set-R')
 ultimately have a \sim (\bigotimes x \in set\text{-mset } R'. x \cap count R' x)
   using assms(2) by (intro factor-mset-choose, auto)
 also have \dots = ?rhs
   using set-R' assms r-1 r-2
   by (intro finprod-cong', auto simp add:count-R')
 finally show a \sim ?rhs by simp
qed
end
end
```

3 Characteristic of Rings

```
theory Ring-Characteristic
 imports
    Finite	ext{-}Fields	ext{-}Factorization	ext{-}Ext
    HOL-Algebra.IntRing
    HOL-Algebra.\ Embedded-Algebras
begin
locale finite-field = field +
  assumes finite-carrier: finite (carrier R)
begin
lemma finite-field-min-order:
  order R > 1
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume a:\neg(1 < order R)
 have \{\mathbf{0}_R, \mathbf{1}_R\} \subseteq carrier R by auto
  \mathbf{hence} \ \mathit{card} \ \{\mathbf{0}_R,\!\mathbf{1}_R\} \leq \mathit{card} \ (\mathit{carrier} \ R)
    using card-mono finite-carrier by blast
 also have \dots \le 1 using a by (simp \ add:order-def)
 finally have card \{\mathbf{0}_R, \mathbf{1}_R\} \leq 1 by blast
  thus False by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma (in finite-field) order-pow-eq-self:
 assumes x \in carrier R
 shows x \cap (order R) = x
proof (cases x = \mathbf{0})
 {f case}\ {\it True}
 have order R > 0
   using assms(1) order-gt-0-iff-finite finite-carrier by simp
 then obtain n where n-def:order R = Suc n
   using lessE by blast
 have x \cap (order R) = 0
   unfolding n-def using True by (subst nat-pow-Suc, simp)
 thus ?thesis using True by simp
next
 case False
 have x-carr:x \in carrier (mult-of R)
   using False assms by simp
 have carr-non-empty: card (carrier R) > 0
   \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{order-gt-0-iff-finite} \ \mathit{finite-carrier}
   unfolding order-def by simp
 have x [ ] (order R) = x [ ]_{mult-of R} (order R)
   by (simp add:nat-pow-mult-of)
 also have ... = x [ ]_{mult-of R} (order (mult-of R)+1)
   using carr-non-empty unfolding order-def
   by (intro arg-cong[where f=\lambda t. x [ ]_{mult-of R} t]) (simp)
 also have \dots = x
   using x-carr
   by (simp add:mult-of.pow-order-eq-1)
 finally show x \cap (order R) = x
   by simp
qed
lemma (in finite-field) order-pow-eq-self':
 assumes x \in carrier R
 shows x \cap (order R \cap d) = x
proof (induction d)
 case \theta
 then show ?case using assms by simp
next
 case (Suc \ d)
 have x \cap \operatorname{order} R \cap (\operatorname{Suc} d) = x \cap \operatorname{order} R \cap d * \operatorname{order} R
   by (simp add:mult.commute)
 also have ... = (x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (order \ R \ \widehat{\ } d)) \ [\widehat{\ }] \ order \ R
   using assms by (simp add: nat-pow-pow)
 also have ... = (x \cap (order R \cap d))
   using order-pow-eq-self assms by simp
 also have \dots = x
```

```
using Suc by simp
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
end
lemma finite-fieldI:
 assumes field R
 assumes finite (carrier R)
 shows finite-field R
 using assms
 unfolding finite-field-def finite-field-axioms-def
 by auto
lemma (in domain) finite-domain-units:
 assumes finite (carrier R)
 shows Units R = carrier R - \{0\} (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
 have Units R \subseteq carrier R by (simp \ add: Units-def)
 moreover have 0 \notin Units R
   by (meson\ zero-is-prime(1)\ primeE)
 ultimately show Units R \subseteq carrier R - \{0\} by blast
next
 have x \in Units R if a: x \in carrier R - \{0\} for x
 proof -
   have x-carr: x \in carrier R using a by blast
   define f where f = (\lambda y, y \otimes_R x)
   have inj-on f (carrier R) unfolding f-def
    by (rule inj-onI, metis DiffD1 DiffD2 a m-rcancel insertI1)
   hence card (carrier R) = card (f `carrier R)
    by (metis card-image)
   moreover have f 'carrier R \subseteq carrier R unfolding f-def
    by (rule image-subsetI, simp add: ring.ring-simprules x-carr)
   ultimately have f ' carrier R = carrier R
     using card-subset-eq assms by metis
   moreover have \mathbf{1}_R \in carrier R by simp
   ultimately have \exists y \in carrier R. f y = \mathbf{1}_R
     by (metis image-iff)
   then obtain y
     where y-carrier: y \in carrier R
      and y-left-inv: y \otimes_R x = \mathbf{1}_R
     using f-def by blast
   \mathbf{hence} \ \ \textit{y-right-inv:} \ x \otimes_R y = \mathbf{1}_R
    by (metis DiffD1 a cring-simprules(14))
   \mathbf{show}\ x\in\ \mathit{Units}\ R
     using y-carrier y-left-inv y-right-inv
     by (metis DiffD1 a divides-one factor-def)
 qed
 thus ?rhs \subseteq ?lhs by auto
```

```
qed
The following theorem can be found in Lidl and Niederreiter [4,
Theorem 1.31].
theorem finite-domains-are-fields:
 assumes domain R
 assumes finite (carrier R)
 shows finite-field R
proof -
 interpret domain R using assms by auto
 have Units R = carrier R - \{\mathbf{0}_R\}
   using finite-domain-units[OF assms(2)] by simp
 then have field R
   by (simp add: assms(1) field.intro field-axioms.intro)
 \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
   using assms(2) finite-field by auto
qed
definition zfact-iso :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow int set where
 zfact-iso p \ k = Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{int \ p\} +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int \ k)
context
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes n-gt-\theta: n > \theta
begin
private abbreviation I where I \equiv Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{int \ n\}
private lemma ideal-I: ideal I Z
 by (simp add: int.genideal-ideal)
lemma int-cosetI:
 assumes u \mod (int \ n) = v \mod (int \ n)
 shows Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{int \ n\} +>_{\mathcal{Z}} u = Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{int \ n\} +>_{\mathcal{Z}} v
proof -
 have u - v \in I
  by (metis Idl-subset-eq-dvd assms int-Idl-subset-ideal mod-eq-dvd-iff)
 thus ?thesis
   using ideal-I int.quotient-eq-iff-same-a-r-cos by simp
qed
lemma zfact-iso-inj:
 inj-on (zfact-iso\ n)\ \{..< n\}
proof (rule inj-onI)
```

 $\mathbf{fix} \ x \ y$

assume $a:x \in \{..< n\}$ $y \in \{..< n\}$ assume z fact-iso n x = z fact-iso n y hence $I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int \ x) = I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int \ y)$

by (simp add:zfact-iso-def)

```
hence int \ x - int \ y \in I
   by (subst int.quotient-eq-iff-same-a-r-cos[OF ideal-I], auto)
 hence int \ x \ mod \ int \ n = int \ y \ mod \ int \ n
   by (meson Idl-subset-eq-dvd int-Idl-subset-ideal mod-eq-dvd-iff)
 thus x = y
   using a by simp
qed
lemma zfact-iso-ran:
  zfact-iso n '\{..< n\} = carrier (ZFact (int n))
proof -
 have zfact-iso n 	ext{ `} \{..< n\} \subseteq carrier (ZFact (int n))
   unfolding zfact-iso-def ZFact-def FactRing-simps
   using int.a-rcosetsI by auto
 moreover have x \in z fact - iso n ` \{.. < n\}
   if a:x \in carrier\ (ZFact\ (int\ n)) for x
 proof -
   obtain y where y-def: x = I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} y
     using a unfolding ZFact-def FactRing-simps by auto
   define z where \langle z = nat \ (y \ mod \ int \ n) \rangle
   with n-gt-0 have z-def: \langle int \ z \ mod \ int \ n = y \ mod \ int \ n \rangle \ \langle z < n \rangle
     by (simp-all add: z-def nat-less-iff)
   have x = I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} y
     by (simp\ add:y-def)
   also have ... = I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int z)
     by (intro int-cosetI, simp add:z-def)
   also have ... = zfact-iso n z
     by (simp add:zfact-iso-def)
   finally have x = z fact-iso n z
     by simp
   thus x \in z fact-iso n ` \{ ... < n \}
     using z-def(2) by blast
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma zfact-iso-bij:
  bij-betw (zfact-iso\ n) {..<n} (carrier\ (ZFact\ (int\ n)))
 using bij-betw-def zfact-iso-inj zfact-iso-ran by blast
lemma card-zfact-carr: card (carrier (ZFact (int n))) = n
 using bij-betw-same-card[OF zfact-iso-bij] by simp
lemma fin-zfact: finite (carrier (ZFact (int n)))
 using card-zfact-carr n-gt-0 card-ge-0-finite by force
end
lemma zfact-prime-is-finite-field:
```

```
assumes Factorial-Ring.prime p
 shows finite-field (ZFact (int p))
proof -
 have p-gt-\theta: p > \theta using assms(1) prime-gt-\theta-nat by simp
 have Factorial-Ring.prime (int p)
   using assms by simp
 moreover have finite (carrier (ZFact (int p)))
   using fin-zfact[OF p-gt-\theta] by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (intro finite-domains-are-fields ZFact-prime-is-domain, auto)
qed
definition int\text{-}embed :: - \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow -  where
 int-embed R \ k = add-pow R \ k \ \mathbf{1}_R
lemma (in ring) add-pow-consistent:
 fixes i :: int
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes k \in K
 shows add-pow R i k = add-pow (R (| carrier := K |)) i k
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 have a:subgroup\ K\ (add-monoid\ R)
   using assms(1) subring.axioms by auto
 have add-pow R i k = k [ \cap add-monoid R(| carrier := K|) i
   using add.int-pow-consistent[OF a assms(2)] by simp
 also have \dots = ?rhs
   unfolding add-pow-def by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) int-embed-consistent:
 assumes subring K R
 shows int-embed R i = int-embed (R (| carrier := K |)) i
proof -
 have a:1 = \mathbf{1}_{R \ (| \ carrier := K \ |)} by simp
 have b:1_{R[carrier := K]} \in K
   using assms\ subring E(3) by auto
 show ?thesis
  unfolding int-embed-def a using b add-pow-consistent[OF assms(1)]
by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) int-embed-closed:
 int-embed R k \in carrier R
 unfolding int-embed-def using add.int-pow-closed by simp
lemma (in ring) int-embed-range:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
```

```
shows int-embed R \ k \in K
proof -
 let ?R' = R (| carrier := K |)
 interpret x:ring ?R'
   using subring-is-ring[OF assms] by simp
 have int-embed R k = int-embed R' k
   \mathbf{using} \ int\text{-}embed\text{-}consistent[\mathit{OF} \ assms] \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
 also have ... \in K
   using x.int-embed-closed by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) int-embed-zero:
 int-embed R \ \theta = \mathbf{0}_R
 by (simp add:int-embed-def add-pow-def)
lemma (in ring) int-embed-one:
 int-embed R 1 = \mathbf{1}_R
 by (simp add:int-embed-def)
lemma (in ring) int-embed-add:
 int\text{-}embed\ R\ (x+y) = int\text{-}embed\ R\ x \oplus_R int\text{-}embed\ R\ y
 by (simp add:int-embed-def add.int-pow-mult)
lemma (in ring) int-embed-inv:
 int\text{-}embed\ R\ (-x) = \ominus_R\ int\text{-}embed\ R\ x\ (\mathbf{is}\ ?lhs = ?rhs)
 have ?lhs = int-embed R (-x) \oplus (int\text{-embed } R \ x \ominus int\text{-embed } R \ x)
   using int-embed-closed by simp
 also have
   ... = int-embed R (-x) \oplus int-embed R x \oplus (\ominus int-embed R x)
   using int-embed-closed by (subst a-minus-def, subst a-assoc, auto)
 also have ... = int-embed\ R\ (-x + x) \oplus (\ominus\ int-embed\ R\ x)
   by (subst int-embed-add, simp)
 also have \dots = ?rhs
   using int-embed-closed
   by (simp add:int-embed-zero)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) int-embed-diff:
 int\text{-}embed\ R\ (x-y) = int\text{-}embed\ R\ x \ominus_R\ int\text{-}embed\ R\ y
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 have ?lhs = int\text{-}embed\ R\ (x + (-y)) by simp
 also have \dots = ?rhs
   by (subst int-embed-add, simp add:a-minus-def int-embed-inv)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

```
lemma (in ring) int-embed-mult-aux:
       int\text{-}embed\ R\ (x*int\ y) = int\text{-}embed\ R\ x\otimes int\text{-}embed\ R\ y
proof (induction y)
      case \theta
      then show ?case by (simp add:int-embed-closed int-embed-zero)
next
       case (Suc\ y)
      have int-embed R (x * int (Suc y)) = int\text{-}embed R (x + x * int y)
             by (simp\ add:algebra-simps)
      also have ... = int-embed R x \oplus int-embed R (x * int y)
             by (subst int-embed-add, simp)
      also have
             \dots = int\text{-}embed\ R\ x\otimes \mathbf{1} \oplus int\text{-}embed\ R\ x\otimes int\text{-}embed\ R\ y
             using int-embed-closed
             by (subst Suc, simp)
      also have ... = int-embed R \ x \otimes (int\text{-embed} \ R \ 1 \oplus int\text{-embed} \ R \ y)
         using int-embed-closed by (subst r-distr, simp-all add:int-embed-one)
      also have ... = int-embed R x \otimes int-embed R (1+int y)
             by (subst int-embed-add, simp)
      also have ... = int-embed R \times x \otimes int-embed R \times y \otimes int-embed
             by simp
      finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) int-embed-mult:
       int\text{-}embed\ R\ (x*y) = int\text{-}embed\ R\ x \otimes_R int\text{-}embed\ R\ y
proof (cases y \ge \theta)
      case True
      then obtain y' where y-def: y = int y'
             using nonneg-int-cases by auto
      have int-embed R(x * y) = int\text{-embed } R(x * int y')
             unfolding y-def by simp
      also have ... = int-embed R x \otimes int-embed R y'
             by (subst\ int\text{-}embed\text{-}mult\text{-}aux,\ simp)
      also have ... = int-embed R \times int-embed 
             unfolding y-def by simp
      finally show ?thesis by simp
next
      case False
      then obtain y' where y-def: y = -int y'
             by (meson nle-le nonpos-int-cases)
      have int-embed R(x * y) = int\text{-embed } R(-(x * int y'))
             unfolding y-def by simp
      also have ... = \ominus (int-embed R (x * int y'))
             by (subst\ int\text{-}embed\text{-}inv,\ simp)
      also have ... = \ominus (int-embed R \ x \otimes int-embed R \ y')
             by (subst int-embed-mult-aux, simp)
      also have ... = int-embed\ R\ x\otimes\ominus int-embed\ R\ y'
```

```
using int-embed-closed by algebra
 also have ... = int-embed R \times x \otimes int-embed R \cdot (-y')
   by (subst int-embed-inv, simp)
 also have ... = int-embed R x \otimes int-embed R y
   unfolding y-def by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) int-embed-ring-hom:
  ring-hom-ring int-ring R (int-embed R)
proof (rule\ ring-hom-ringI)
 show ring int-ring using int.ring-axioms by simp
 show ring R using ring-axioms by simp
 show int-embed R x \in carrier R if x \in carrier \mathcal{Z} for x
   using int-embed-closed by simp
 show int-embed R (x \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} y) = int-embed R x \otimes int-embed R y
   if x \in carrier \mathcal{Z} \ y \in carrier \mathcal{Z} \ for \ x \ y
   using int-embed-mult by simp
 show int-embed R (x \oplus zy) = int-embed R x \oplus int-embed R y
   if x \in carrier \mathcal{Z} \ y \in carrier \mathcal{Z} \ for \ x \ y
   using int-embed-add by simp
 show int-embed R \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \mathbf{1}
   by (simp add:int-embed-one)
qed
abbreviation char-subring where
 char-subring R \equiv int-embed R ' UNIV
definition char where
 char R = card (char-subring R)
```

This is a non-standard definition for the characteristic of a ring. Commonly [4, Definition 1.43] it is defined to be the smallest natural number n such that n-times repeated addition of any number is zero. If no such number exists then it is defined to be 0. In the case of rings with unit elements — not that the locale Ring.ring requires unit elements — the above definition can be simplified to the number of times the unit elements needs to be repeatedly added to reach 0.

The following three lemmas imply that the definition of the characteristic here coincides with the latter definition.

```
lemma (in ring) char-bound:

assumes x > 0

assumes int-embed R (int x) = \mathbf{0}

shows char R \le x char R > 0

proof —

have char-subring R \subseteq int-embed R '(\{0...<int\ x\})

proof (rule image-subsetI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ y :: int
   assume y \in UNIV
   define u where u = y div (int x)
   define v where v = y \mod (int x)
   have int x > 0 using assms by simp
   hence y-exp: y = u * int x + v v \ge 0 v < int x
    unfolding u-def v-def by simp-all
   have int-embed R y = int-embed R v
    using int-embed-closed unfolding y-exp
    by (simp\ add:int-embed-mult\ int-embed-add\ assms(2))
   also have ... \in int\text{-}embed\ R '(\{0... < int\ x\})
    using y-exp(2,3) by simp
   finally show int-embed R y \in int-embed R '\{0..< int x\}
    by simp
 qed
 hence a:char-subring R = int-embed R ` \{0..< int x\}
   by auto
 hence char R = card (int-embed R '(\{0..<int x\}))
   unfolding char-def a by simp
 also have ... \leq card \{\theta ... < int x\}
   by (intro card-image-le, simp)
 also have \dots = x by simp
 finally show char R \leq x by simp
 have 1 = card \{int\text{-}embed \ R \ \theta\} by simp
 also have ... \leq card (int\text{-}embed R ' \{0... < int x\})
   using assms(1) by (intro card-mono finite-imageI, simp-all)
 also have \dots = char R
   unfolding char-def a by simp
 finally show char R > 0 by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma (in ring) embed-char-eq-\theta:
 int-embed R (int (char R)) = \mathbf{0}
proof (cases finite (char-subring R))
 case True
 interpret h: ring-hom-ring int-ring R (int-embed R)
   using int-embed-ring-hom by simp
 define A where A = \{0..int (char R)\}
 have card (int-embed R 'A) \leq card (char-subring R)
   by (intro card-mono[OF True] image-subsetI, simp)
 also have \dots = char R
   unfolding char-def by simp
 also have \dots < card A
   unfolding A-def by simp
 finally have card (int-embed R ' A) < card A by simp
 hence \neg ini-on (int-embed R) A
   using pigeonhole by simp
 then obtain x y where xy:
```

```
x \in A \ y \in A \ x \neq y \ int\text{-embed} \ R \ x = int\text{-embed} \ R \ y
   unfolding inj-on-def by auto
 define v where v = nat (max x y - min x y)
 have a:int-embed R \ v = 0
   using xy int-embed-closed
   by (cases x < y, simp-all add:int-embed-diff v-def)
 moreover have v > \theta
   using xy by (cases x < y, simp-all add: v-def)
 ultimately have char R \leq v using char-bound by simp
 moreover have v \leq char R
   using xy \ v\text{-}def \ A\text{-}def \ by \ (cases \ x < y, \ simp\text{-}all)
 ultimately have char R = v by simp
 then show ?thesis using a by simp
next
 case False
 hence char R = 0
   unfolding char-def by simp
 then show ?thesis by (simp add:int-embed-zero)
qed
lemma (in ring) embed-char-eq-0-iff:
 fixes n :: int
 shows int-embed R n = \mathbf{0} \longleftrightarrow char R \ dvd \ n
proof (cases char R > 0)
 case True
 define r where r = n \mod char R
 define s where s = n div char R
 have rs: r < char R r > 0 n = r + s * char R
   using True by (simp-all add:r-def s-def)
 have int-embed R n = int-embed R r
   using int-embed-closed unfolding rs(3)
   by (simp add: int-embed-add int-embed-mult embed-char-eq-0)
 moreover have nat r < char R using rs by simp
 hence int-embed R (nat r) \neq \mathbf{0} \vee nat r = 0
   using True char-bound not-less by blast
 hence int-embed R \ r \neq \mathbf{0} \lor r = 0
   using rs by simp
 ultimately have int-embed R n = 0 \longleftrightarrow r = 0
   using int-embed-zero by auto
 also have r = 0 \longleftrightarrow char R \ dvd \ n
   using r-def by auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
next
 case False
 hence char R = 0 by simp
 hence a:x > 0 \implies int\text{-}embed\ R\ (int\ x) \neq \mathbf{0} for x
```

```
using char-bound by auto
 have c:int-embed R (abs x) \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow int-embed R x \neq 0 for x
   using int-embed-closed
   by (cases x > 0, simp, simp add:int-embed-inv)
 have int-embed R \ x \neq \mathbf{0} if b: x \neq \theta for x
 proof -
   have nat (abs x) > 0 using b by simp
   hence int-embed R (nat (abs x)) \neq 0
    using a by blast
   hence int-embed R (abs x) \neq 0 by simp
   thus ?thesis using c by simp
 qed
 hence int-embed R n = \mathbf{0} \longleftrightarrow n = 0
   using int-embed-zero by auto
 also have n = 0 \longleftrightarrow char R \ dvd \ n \ using \ False by \ simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
This result can be found in [4, Theorem 1.44].
lemma (in domain) characteristic-is-prime:
 assumes char R > 0
 shows prime (char R)
proof (rule ccontr)
 have \neg(char\ R=1)
   using embed-char-eq-0 int-embed-one by auto
 hence \neg(char \ R \ dvd \ 1) using assms(1) by simp
 moreover assume \neg(prime\ (char\ R))
 hence \neg(irreducible (char R))
   using irreducible-imp-prime-elem-gcd prime-elem-nat-iff by blast
 ultimately obtain p \ q where pq-def: p * q = char R \ p > 1 \ q > 1
   using assms
   unfolding Factorial-Ring.irreducible-def by auto
 have int-embed R p \otimes int-embed R q = \mathbf{0}
   using embed-char-eq-0 pq-def
   by (subst int-embed-mult[symmetric]) (metis of-nat-mult)
 hence int-embed R p = 0 \lor int-embed R q = 0
   using integral int-embed-closed by simp
 hence p*q \leq p \vee p*q \leq q
   using char-bound pq-def by auto
 thus False
   using pq-def(2,3) by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) char-ring-is-subring:
 subring (char-subring R) R
```

have subring (int-embed R ' carrier int-ring) R

proof -

```
by (intro ring.carrier-is-subring int.ring-axioms
       ring-hom-ring.img-is-subring[OF int-embed-ring-hom])
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in cring) char-ring-is-subcring:
 subcring\ (char-subring\ R)\ R
 using subcringI'[OF char-ring-is-subring] by auto
lemma (in domain) char-ring-is-subdomain:
 subdomain (char-subring R) R
 using subdomainI'[OF\ char-ring-is-subring] by auto
lemma image-set-eqI:
 assumes \bigwedge x. x \in A \Longrightarrow f x \in B
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in B \Longrightarrow g \ x \in A \land f \ (g \ x) = x
 shows f'A = B
 using assms by force
This is the binomial expansion theorem for commutative rings.
lemma (in cring) binomial-expansion:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes [simp]: x \in carrier R \ y \in carrier R
 shows (x \oplus y) \cap n =
   \bigoplus k \in \{..n\}. int-embed R (n choose k) \otimes x \cap k \otimes y \cap (n-k)
proof -
 define A where A = (\lambda k. \{A. A \subseteq \{... < n\} \land card A = k\})
 have fin-A: finite (A i) for i
   unfolding A-def by simp
 have disj-A: pairwise (\lambda i \ j. \ disjnt \ (A \ i) \ (A \ j)) \ \{..n\}
   unfolding pairwise-def disjnt-def A-def by auto
 have card-A: B \in A \ i \Longrightarrow card \ B = i \ if \ i \in \{..n\} \ for \ i \ B
   unfolding A-def by simp
 have card-A2: card (A \ i) = (n \ choose \ i) if i \in \{..n\} for i
   unfolding A-def using n-subsets[where A=\{...< n\}] by simp
 have card-bound: card A < n
   if A \subseteq \{... < n\} for n A
   by (metis card-lessThan finite-lessThan card-mono that)
 have card-insert: card (insert n A) = card A + 1
   if A \subseteq \{..<(n::nat)\} for n A
   using finite-subset that by (subst card-insert-disjoint, auto)
 have embed-distr: [m] \cdot y = int\text{-embed } R \text{ (int } m) \otimes y
   if y \in carrier R for m y
   unfolding int-embed-def add-pow-def using that
   by (simp add:add-pow-def[symmetric] int-pow-int add-pow-ldistr)
```

```
have (x \oplus y) [ \widehat{\ } n =
  (\bigoplus A \in Pow \{...< n\}. \ x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (card \ A) \otimes y \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (n-card \ A))
proof (induction \ n)
  case \theta
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc\ n)
  have s1:
    insert n 'Pow \{..< n\} = \{A. \ A \subseteq \{..< n+1\} \land n \in A\}
    by (intro image-set-eqI[where g=\lambda x. x \cap \{..< n\}], auto)
  have s2:
    Pow \{... < n\} = \{A. A \subseteq \{... < n+1\} \land n \notin A\}
    using lessThan-Suc by auto
  also have ... =
    \bigoplus A \in Pow \{... < n\}. \ x [ ] (card A) \otimes y [ ] (n-card A)) \otimes
    (x \oplus y)
    by (subst\ Suc,\ simp)
  also have \dots =
    (\bigoplus A \in Pow \{... < n\}. \ x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (card \ A) \otimes y \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (n-card \ A)) \otimes x \oplus 
    (\bigoplus A \in Pow \{..< n\}. \ x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (card \ A) \otimes y \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (n-card \ A)) \otimes y
    by (subst r-distr, auto)
  also have ... =
    (\bigoplus A \in Pow \{...< n\}. \ x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (card \ A) \otimes y \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (n-card \ A) \otimes x) \oplus
    (\bigoplus A \in Pow \{...< n\}. \ x \ \lceil \ \rceil \ (card \ A) \otimes y \ \lceil \ \rceil \ (n-card \ A) \otimes y)
    by (simp add:finsum-ldistr)
  also have ... =
    \bigoplus A \in Pow \{... < n\}. \ x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (card \ A+1) \otimes y \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (n-card \ A)) \oplus
    (\bigoplus A \in Pow \{..< n\}. \ x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (card \ A) \otimes y \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (n-card \ A+1))
    using m-assoc m-comm
    by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(\oplus)] finsum-cong', auto)
  also have ... =
    \bigoplus A \in Pow \{... < n\}. \ x [ \cap (card (insert \ n \ A))]
      \otimes y \cap (n+1-card (insert \ n \ A))) \oplus
    \bigoplus A \in Pow \{... < n\}. \ x \cap (card A) \otimes y \cap (n+1-card A)
    using finite-subset card-bound card-insert Suc-diff-le
    by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(\oplus)] finsum-cong', simp-all)
  also have \dots =
    \otimes y [ \cap (n+1-card A)) \oplus
    (\bigoplus A \in Pow \{... < n\}. \ x \cap (card A) \otimes y \cap (n+1-card A))
    by (subst finsum-reindex, auto simp add:inj-on-def)
  also have \dots =
    (\bigoplus A \in \{A. \ A \subseteq \{... < n+1\} \land n \in A\}.
      x \upharpoonright (card A) \otimes y \upharpoonright (n+1-card A)) \oplus
    (\bigoplus A \in \{A. \ A \subseteq \{... < n+1\} \land n \notin A\}.
      x \upharpoonright (card A) \otimes y \upharpoonright (n+1-card A))
    by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(\oplus)] finsum-cong' s1 s2, simp-all)
```

```
also have ... = (\bigoplus A \in
     {A. A \subseteq {... < n+1} \land n \in A} \cup {A. A \subseteq {... < n+1} \land n \notin A}.
       x \cap (card A) \otimes y \cap (n+1-card A)
     by (subst finsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
   also have ... =
     (\bigoplus A \in Pow \{..< n+1\}. \ x [\widehat{\ }] \ (card \ A) \otimes y [\widehat{\ }] \ (n+1-card \ A))
     by (intro finsum-cong', auto)
   finally show ?case by simp
 qed
 also have \dots =
   (\bigoplus A \in (\bigcup (A ` \{..n\})). x [ ] (card A) \otimes y [ ] (n-card A))
   using card-bound by (intro finsum-cong', auto simp add:A-def)
 also have ... =
   (\bigoplus k \in \{..n\}. (\bigoplus A \in A \ k. \ x \ \lceil \ \rceil \ (card \ A) \otimes y \ \lceil \ \rceil \ (n-card \ A)))
   using fin-A disj-A by (subst add.finprod-UN-disjoint, auto)
 also have ... = (\bigoplus k \in \{..n\}, (\bigoplus A \in A \ k. \ x \cap k \otimes y \cap (n-k)))
   using card-A by (intro finsum-cong', auto)
 also have ... =
   (\bigoplus k \in \{..n\}. int\text{-}embed \ R \ (card \ (A \ k)) \otimes x \ [ ] \ k \otimes y \ [ ] \ (n-k))
   using int-embed-closed
   by (subst add.finprod-const, simp-all add:embed-distr m-assoc)
 also have \dots =
   (\bigoplus k \in \{..n\}. int\text{-}embed\ R\ (n\ choose\ k) \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }\ k \otimes y \ [\widehat{\ }\ (n-k))
   using int-embed-closed card-A2 by (intro finsum-cong', simp-all)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma bin-prime-factor:
 assumes prime p
 assumes k > 0 \ k < p
 shows p \ dvd \ (p \ choose \ k)
proof -
 have p dvd fact p
   using assms(1) prime-dvd-fact-iff by auto
 hence p dvd fact k * fact (p - k) * (p choose k)
   using binomial-fact-lemma assms by simp
 hence p dvd fact k \lor p dvd fact (p-k) \lor p dvd (p choose k)
   by (simp add: assms(1) prime-dvd-mult-eq-nat)
 thus p \ dvd \ (p \ choose \ k)
   using assms(1,2,3) prime-dvd-fact-iff by auto
qed
theorem (in domain) freshmans-dream:
 assumes char R > 0
 assumes [simp]: x \in carrier R y \in carrier R
 shows (x \oplus y) \cap (char R) = x \cap char R \oplus y \cap char R
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 have c:prime\ (char\ R)
```

```
using assms(1) characteristic-is-prime by auto
 have a:int-embed R (char R choose i) = \mathbf{0}
   if i \in \{...char R\} - \{0, char R\} for i
 proof -
   have i > 0 i < char R using that by auto
   hence char R dvd char R choose i
     using c bin-prime-factor by simp
   thus ?thesis using embed-char-eq-0-iff by simp
 qed
 have ?lhs = (\bigoplus k \in \{..char R\}. int-embed R (char R choose k)
   \otimes x \upharpoonright k \otimes y \upharpoonright (char R-k)
   using binomial-expansion[OF\ assms(2,3)] by simp
 also have ... = (\bigoplus k \in \{0, char R\}.int\text{-}embed R (char R choose k)
   \otimes x \upharpoonright k \otimes y \upharpoonright (char R-k)
   using a int-embed-closed
   by (intro add.finprod-mono-neutral-cong-right, simp, simp-all)
 also have \dots = ?rhs
  using int-embed-closed assms(1) by (simp add:int-embed-one a-comm)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
The following theorem is somtimes called Freshman's dream for
obvious reasons, it can be found in Lidl and Niederreiter [4, The-
orem 1.46].
lemma (in domain) freshmans-dream-ext:
 fixes m
 assumes char R > 0
 assumes [simp]: x \in carrier R \ y \in carrier R
 defines n \equiv char R^{\hat{}} m
 shows (x \oplus y) [ \ ] n = x [ \ ] n \oplus y [ \ ] n
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 unfolding n-def
proof (induction m)
 case \theta
 then show ?case by simp
 case (Suc\ m)
 have (x \oplus y) [ \widehat{\ } ] (char\ R\widehat{\ }(m+1)) =
   (x \oplus y) \cap (char R \cap m * char R)
   by (simp add:mult.commute)
 also have ... = ((x \oplus y) \cap (char R \cap m)) \cap char R
   using nat-pow-pow by simp
 also have ... = (x \uparrow (char R \uparrow m) \oplus y \uparrow (char R \uparrow m)) \uparrow char R
   by (subst\ Suc,\ simp)
 also have ... =
   (x \upharpoonright (char R \cap m)) \upharpoonright char R \oplus (y \upharpoonright (char R \cap m)) \upharpoonright char R
   by (subst\ freshmans-dream[OF\ assms(1),\ symmetric],\ simp-all)
 also have ... =
```

```
x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (char \ R\widehat{\ }m * char \ R) \oplus y \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (char \ R\widehat{\ }m * char \ R)
   by (simp add:nat-pow-pow)
 also have ... = x [ ] (char R Suc m) \oplus y [ ] (char R Suc m)
   by (simp add:mult.commute)
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
The following is a generalized version of the Frobenius homo-
morphism. The classic version of the theorem is the case where
k=1.
theorem (in domain) frobenius-hom:
 assumes char R > 0
 assumes m = char R \hat{k}
 shows ring-hom-cring R R (\lambda x. x \lceil \gamma \rceil m)
proof -
 have a:(x \otimes y) \ [\widehat{\ }] \ m = x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ m \otimes y \ [\widehat{\ }] \ m
   if b:x \in carrier R \ y \in carrier R \ for \ x \ y
   using b nat-pow-distrib by simp
 have b:(x \oplus y) \upharpoonright m = x \upharpoonright m \oplus y \upharpoonright m
   if b:x \in carrier R \ y \in carrier R \ for \ x \ y
   unfolding assms(2) freshmans-dream-ext[OF assms(1) b]
 have ring-hom-ring R R (\lambda x. x [ ] m)
   by (intro ring-hom-ringI a b ring-axioms, simp-all)
 thus ?thesis
   using RingHom.ring-hom-cringI is-cring by blast
lemma (in domain) char-ring-is-subfield:
 assumes char R > 0
 shows subfield (char-subring R) R
proof -
 interpret d:domain R ( carrier := char-subring R )
   using char-ring-is-subdomain subdomain-is-domain by simp
 have finite (char-subring R)
   using char-def assms by (metis card-ge-0-finite)
 hence Units (R \mid carrier := char-subring R \mid)
   = char-subring R - \{0\}
   using d.finite-domain-units by simp
 thus ?thesis
   using subfieldI[OF char-ring-is-subcring] by simp
lemma card-lists-length-eq':
 fixes A :: 'a \ set
```

```
shows card \{xs. \ set \ xs \subseteq A \land length \ xs = n\} = card \ A \cap n
proof (cases finite A)
 {\bf case}\ {\it True}
 then show ?thesis using card-lists-length-eq by auto
next
 case False
 hence inf-A: infinite A by simp
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases n = \theta)
   case True
   hence card \{xs. \ set \ xs \subseteq A \land length \ xs = n\} = card \{([] :: 'a \ list)\}
     by (intro arg-cong[where f = card], auto simp add:set-eq-iff)
   also have \dots = 1 by simp
   also have \dots = card \ A \hat{\ } n  using True \ inf-A by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 next
   case False
   hence inj (replicate n)
     by (meson inj-onI replicate-eq-replicate)
   hence inj-on (replicate n) A using inj-on-subset
     by (metis subset-UNIV)
   hence infinite (replicate n 'A)
     using inf-A finite-image-iff by auto
   moreover have
     replicate n 'A \subseteq \{xs. \ set \ xs \subseteq A \land length \ xs = n\}
     by (intro image-subsetI, auto)
   ultimately have infinite \{xs. \ set \ xs \subseteq A \land length \ xs = n\}
     using infinite-super by auto
   hence card \{xs. \ set \ xs \subseteq A \land length \ xs = n\} = 0 \ \textbf{by} \ simp
   then show ?thesis using inf-A False by simp
 qed
qed
lemma (in ring) card-span:
 assumes subfield K R
 assumes independent K w
 assumes set \ w \subseteq carrier \ R
 shows card (Span K w) = card K^{\hat{}}(length w)
proof -
 define A where A = \{x. \ set \ x \subseteq K \land length \ x = length \ w\}
 define f where f = (\lambda x. \ combine \ x \ w)
 have x \in f ' A if a:x \in Span \ K \ w for x
 proof -
   obtain y where y \in A x = f y
     unfolding A-def f-def
     using unique-decomposition [OF assms(1,2) a] by auto
   thus ?thesis by simp
 qed
```

```
moreover have f x \in Span \ K \ w \ \text{if} \ a : x \in A \ \text{for} \ x
   using Span-eq-combine-set[OF\ assms(1,3)]\ a
   unfolding A-def f-def by auto
 ultimately have b:Span\ K\ w=f ' A by auto
 have False if a: x \in A y \in A f x = f y x \neq y for x y
 proof -
   have f x \in Span \ K \ w  using b \ a  by simp
   thus False
     using a unique-decomposition [OF \ assms(1,2)]
     unfolding f-def A-def by blast
 hence f-inj: inj-on f A
   unfolding inj-on-def by auto
 have card (Span K w) = card (f 'A) using b by simp
 also have \dots = card A by (intro card-image f-inj)
 also have \dots = card \ K \hat{\ } length \ w
   unfolding A-def by (intro card-lists-length-eq')
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) finite-carr-imp-char-ge-\theta:
 assumes finite (carrier R)
 shows char R > 0
proof -
 have char-subring R \subseteq carrier R
   using int-embed-closed by auto
 hence finite (char-subring R)
   using finite-subset assms by auto
 hence card (char-subring R) > 0
   using card-range-greater-zero by simp
 thus char R > 0
   unfolding char-def by simp
qed
lemma (in ring) char-consistent:
 assumes subring\ H\ R
 shows char (R \mid carrier := H \mid) = char R
proof -
 show ?thesis
   using int-embed-consistent[OF assms(1)]
   unfolding char-def by simp
qed
lemma (in ring-hom-ring) char-consistent:
 assumes inj-on h (carrier R)
 shows char R = char S
proof -
```

```
have a:h (int-embed R (int n)) = int-embed S (int n) for n
   using R.int-embed-range [OF R.carrier-is-subring]
   using R.int-embed-range [OF R.carrier-is-subring]
   using S.int-embed-one R.int-embed-one
   using S.int-embed-zero R.int-embed-zero
   using S.int-embed-add R.int-embed-add
   by (induction n, simp-all)
 have b:h (int-embed R (-(int n))) = int-embed S (-(int n)) for n
   using R.int-embed-range [OF R.carrier-is-subring]
   \mathbf{using}\ S.int\text{-}embed\text{-}range[OF\ S.carrier\text{-}is\text{-}subring]\ a
   by (simp\ add:R.int-embed-inv\ S.int-embed-inv)
 have c:h (int-embed R n) = int-embed S n for n
 proof (cases n > 0)
   case True
   then obtain m where n = int m
    using nonneg-int-cases by auto
   then show ?thesis
    by (simp \ add:a)
 next
   case False
   hence n \leq \theta by simp
   then obtain m where n = -int m
    using nonpos-int-cases by auto
   then show ?thesis by (simp add:b)
 qed
 have char S = card (h ' char-subring R)
   unfolding char-def image-image c by simp
 also have \dots = card (char\text{-subring } R)
   using R.int-embed-range [OF R.carrier-is-subring]
   by (intro\ card\text{-}image\ inj\text{-}on\text{-}subset[OF\ assms(1)])\ auto
 also have \dots = char R unfolding char-def by simp
 finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
definition char-iso :: - \Rightarrow int \ set \Rightarrow 'a
 where char-iso R x = the-elem (int-embed R 'x)
The function char-iso R denotes the isomorphism between ZFact
(int\ (char\ R)) and the characteristic subring.
lemma (in ring) char-iso: char-iso R \in
 ring-iso\ (ZFact\ (char\ R))\ (R(carrier:=char-subring\ R))
proof -
 interpret h: ring-hom-ring int-ring R int-embed R
   using int-embed-ring-hom by simp
```

```
have a-kernel \mathcal{Z} R (int-embed R) = \{x. int\text{-embed } R | x = 0\}
   unfolding a-kernel-def kernel-def by simp
 also have \dots = \{x. \ char \ R \ dvd \ x\}
   using embed-char-eq-0-iff by simp
 also have ... = PIdl_{\mathcal{Z}} (int (char R))
   {\bf unfolding} \ \textit{cgenideal-def} \ {\bf by} \ \textit{auto}
 also have ... = Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{int (char R)\}
   using int.cgenideal-eq-genideal by simp
 finally have a:a-kernel \mathcal{Z} R (int-embed R) = Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} {int (char R)}
   by simp
 show ?thesis
   unfolding char-iso-def ZFact-def a[symmetric]
   by (intro\ h.FactRing-iso-set-aux)
qed
The size of a finite field must be a prime power. This can be
found in Ireland and Rosen [3, Proposition 7.1.3].
theorem (in finite-field) finite-field-order:
 \exists n. order R = char R \cap n \wedge n > 0
proof -
 have a:char R > 0
   using finite-carr-imp-char-ge-0[OF finite-carrier]
   by simp
 let ?CR = char\text{-subring } R
 obtain v where v-def: set v = carrier R
   using finite-carrier finite-list by auto
 hence b:set v \subseteq carrier R by auto
 have carrier R = set \ v \ using \ v\text{-}def \ by \ simp
 also have ... \subseteq Span \ ?CR \ v
   using Span-base-incl[OF char-ring-is-subfield[OF a] b] by simp
 finally have carrier R \subseteq Span ?CR \ v \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
 moreover have Span ?CR v \subseteq carrier R
   using int-embed-closed v-def by (intro Span-in-carrier, auto)
 ultimately have Span-v: Span ?CR \ v = carrier \ R by simp
 obtain w where w-def:
   set \ w \subseteq carrier \ R
   independent ?CR w
   Span ?CR v = Span ?CR w
   using b filter-base[OF char-ring-is-subfield[OF a]]
   by metis
 have Span-w: Span ?CR w = carrier R
   using w-def(3) Span-v by simp
 hence order R = card (Span ?CR w) by (simp add:order-def)
 also have ... = card ?CR \cap bm w
```

```
by (intro card-span char-ring-is-subfield [OF\ a]\ w-def(1,2))
 finally have c:
   order R = char R (length w)
   by (simp add:char-def)
 have length w > 0
   using finite-field-min-order c by auto
 thus ?thesis using c by auto
qed
end
      Formal Derivatives
4
theory Formal-Polynomial-Derivatives
 \mathbf{imports}\ \mathit{HOL-Algebra.Polynomial-Divisibility}\ \mathit{Ring-Characteristic}
begin
definition pderiv (\langle pderiv_1 \rangle) where
 pderiv_R x = ring.normalize R (
   map\ (\lambda i.\ int\text{-}embed\ R\ i\otimes_R\ ring.coeff\ R\ x\ i)\ (rev\ [1..<length\ x]))
context domain
begin
lemma coeff-range:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes f \in carrier(K[X])
 shows coeff f i \in K
proof -
 have coeff f i \in set f \cup \{0\}
   using coeff-img(3) by auto
 also have ... \subseteq K \cup \{0\}
   using assms(2) univ-poly-carrier polynomial-incl by blast
 also have ... \subseteq K
   using subringE[OF\ assms(1)] by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{pderiv\text{-}carr} \colon
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes f \in carrier(K[X])
 shows pderiv f \in carrier (K[X])
proof -
```

unfolding pderiv-def by (intro normalize-gives-polynomial, auto)

using coeff-range[OF assms] int-embed-range[OF assms(1)]

have int-embed R $i \otimes coeff f$ $i \in K$ for i

using $subringE[OF\ assms(1)]$ by simp

hence $polynomial\ K\ (pderiv\ f)$

thus ?thesis

```
using univ-poly-carrier by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma pderiv-coeff:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes f \in carrier(K[X])
 shows coeff (pderiv f) k = int\text{-}embed\ R\ (Suc\ k) \otimes coeff\ f\ (Suc\ k)
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof (cases k + 1 < length f)
 {\bf case}\ {\it True}
 define j where j = length f - k - 2
 define d where
   d = map \ (\lambda i. \ int\text{-}embed \ R \ i \otimes coeff \ f \ i) \ (rev \ [1..< length \ f])
 have a: j+1 < length f
   using True unfolding j-def by simp
 hence b: j < length [1..< length f]
   by simp
 have c: k < length d
   unfolding d-def using True by simp
 have d: degree d - k = j
   unfolding d-def j-def by simp
 have e: rev [Suc 0..<length f] ! j = length f - 1 - j
   using b by (subst\ rev-nth, auto)
 have f: length f - j - 1 = k+1
   unfolding j-def using True by simp
 have coeff (pderiv f) k = coeff (normalize d) k
   unfolding pderiv-def d-def by simp
 also have \dots = coeff d k
   using normalize-coeff by simp
 also have \dots = d ! j
   using c d by (subst coeff-nth, auto)
 also have
   ... = int-embed R (length f - j - 1) \otimes coeff f (length f - j - 1)
   using b e unfolding d-def by simp
 also have \dots = ?rhs
   using f by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
next
 {f case}\ {\it False}
 hence Suc\ k \ge length\ f
   by simp
 hence a:coeff f (Suc k) = \mathbf{0}
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{coeff}\text{-}\mathit{img}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{blast}
 have b: coeff (pderiv f) k = 0
   unfolding pderiv-def normalize-coeff[symmetric] using False
   by (intro coeff-length, simp)
 show ?thesis
```

```
using int-embed-range[OF carrier-is-subring] by (simp add: a b)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma pderiv-const:
 assumes degree x = 0
 shows pderiv \ x = \mathbf{0}_{K[X]}
proof (cases length x = 0)
 {\bf case}\ {\it True}
 then show ?thesis by (simp add:univ-poly-zero pderiv-def)
next
 case False
 hence length x = 1 using assms by linarith
 then obtain y where x = [y] by (cases x, auto)
 then show ?thesis by (simp add:univ-poly-zero pderiv-def)
qed
lemma pderiv-var:
 shows pderiv X = \mathbf{1}_{K[X]}
 unfolding var-def pderiv-def
 by (simp add:univ-poly-one int-embed-def)
lemma pderiv-zero:
 shows pderiv \ \mathbf{0}_{K[X]} = \mathbf{0}_{K[X]}
 unfolding pderiv-def univ-poly-zero by simp
lemma pderiv-add:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes [simp]: f \in carrier(K[X]) \ g \in carrier(K[X])
 shows pderiv (f \oplus_{K[X]} g) = pderiv f \oplus_{K[X]} pderiv g
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 interpret p: ring(K[X])
   using univ-poly-is-ring[OF\ assms(1)] by simp
 let ?n = (\lambda i. int\text{-}embed R i)
 have a[simp]: ?n \ k \in carrier \ R \ \mathbf{for} \ k
   using int-embed-range[OF carrier-is-subring] by auto
 have b[simp]:coeff f k \in carrier R \text{ if } f \in carrier (K[X]) \text{ for } k f
   using coeff-range[OF assms(1)] that
   using subring E(1)[OF\ assms(1)] by auto
 have coeff?lhs\ i = coeff?rhs\ i for i
 proof -
   have coeff ?lhs i = ?n (i+1) \otimes coeff (f \oplus_{K [X]} g) (i+1)
     by (simp add: pderiv-coeff[OF assms(1)])
   also have ... = ?n (i+1) \otimes (coeff f (i+1) \oplus coeff g (i+1))
     by (subst coeff-add[OF assms], simp)
```

```
also have ... = ?n(i+1) \otimes coeff f(i+1)
      \oplus int-embed R (i+1) \otimes coeff g (i+1)
     by (subst\ r\text{-}distr,\ simp\text{-}all)
    also have ... = coeff(pderiv f) i \oplus coeff(pderiv g) i
      by (simp\ add:\ pderiv\text{-}coeff[OF\ assms(1)])
    also have ... = coeff (pderiv f \oplus_{K [X]} pderiv g) i
      using pderiv\text{-}carr[OF\ assms(1)]
      by (subst\ coeff-add[OF\ assms(1)],\ auto)
    finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 hence coeff ?lhs = coeff ?rhs by auto
 thus ?lhs = ?rhs
    using pderiv-carr[OF\ assms(1)]
    by (subst coeff-iff-polynomial-cond[where K=K])
      (simp-all\ add:univ-poly-carrier)+
qed
lemma pderiv-inv:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes [simp]: f \in carrier(K[X])
 shows pderiv (\ominus_{K[X]} f) = \ominus_{K[X]} pderiv f (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof
 interpret p: cring(K[X])
    using univ-poly-is-cring[OF\ assms(1)] by simp
 have pderiv (\ominus_{K[X]} f) = pderiv (\ominus_{K[X]} f) \oplus_{K[X]} \mathbf{0}_{K[X]}
    using pderiv-carr[OF\ assms(1)]
    by (subst\ p.r-zero,\ simp-all)
 also have ... = pderiv (\ominus_{K[X]} f) \oplus_{K[X]} (pderiv f \ominus_{K[X]} pderiv f)
    using pderiv-carr[OF\ assms(1)] by simp
 also have ... = pderiv \ (\ominus_{K[X]} \ f) \ \oplus_{K[X]} \ pderiv \ f \ \ominus_{K[X]} \ pderiv \ f
    using pderiv\text{-}carr[OF\ assms(1)]
    unfolding a-minus-def by (simp add:p.a-assoc)
 also have ... = pderiv (\bigoplus_{K[X]} f \bigoplus_{K[X]} f) \bigoplus_{K[X]} pderiv f
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst}\ \mathit{pderiv-add}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{assms}(1)],\ \mathit{simp-all})
 also have \dots = pderiv \ \mathbf{0}_{K\lceil X \rceil} \ominus_{K\lceil X \rceil} pderiv f
    by (subst\ p.l-neg,\ simp-all)
 also have ... = \mathbf{0}_{K[X]} \ominus_{K[X]} pderiv f
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst\ pderiv\text{-}zero},\ \mathit{simp})
 also have \dots = \bigoplus_{K[X]} pderiv f
    unfolding a-minus-def using pderiv-carr[OF assms(1)]
    by (subst\ p.l-zero,\ simp-all)
 \textbf{finally show} \ \textit{pderiv} \ (\ominus_{K[X]} \ f) = \ominus_{K[X]} \ \textit{pderiv} \ f
    by simp
qed
```

lemma coeff-mult:

```
\mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{subring}\ \mathit{K}\ \mathit{R}
 assumes f \in carrier(K[X]) g \in carrier(K[X])
 shows coeff (f \otimes_{K[X]} g) i =
   (\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. (coeff f) \ k \otimes (coeff g) \ (i - k))
proof -
 have a:set <math>f \subseteq carrier R
   using assms(1,2) univ-poly-carrier
   using subring E(1)[OF\ assms(1)]\ polynomial-incl by blast
 have b:set g \subseteq carrier R
   using assms(1,3) univ-poly-carrier
   using subring E(1)[OF\ assms(1)]\ polynomial-incl by blast
 show ?thesis
   unfolding univ-poly-mult poly-mult-coeff [OF a b] by simp
qed
lemma pderiv-mult:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes [simp]: f \in carrier(K[X]) g \in carrier(K[X])
 \mathbf{shows}\ \mathit{pderiv}\ (f\otimes_{K\lceil X\rceil}\ g) =
   pderiv \ f \otimes_{K[X]} g \oplus_{K[X]} f \otimes_{K[X]} pderiv \ g
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 interpret p: cring(K[X])
   using univ-poly-is-cring[OF\ assms(1)] by simp
 let ?n = (\lambda i. int\text{-}embed R i)
 have a[simp]: ?n \ k \in carrier \ R \ for \ k
   using int-embed-range[OF carrier-is-subring] by auto
 have b[simp]:coeff f k \in carrier R \text{ if } f \in carrier (K[X]) \text{ for } k f
   using coeff-range[OF assms(1)]
   using subring E(1)[OF\ assms(1)]\ that\ by\ auto
 have coeff?lhs\ i = coeff?rhs\ i for i
 proof -
   have coeff ?lhs i = ?n (i+1) \otimes coeff (f \otimes_{K [X]} g) (i+1)
     using assms(2,3) by (simp \ add: \ pderiv\text{-}coeff[OF \ assms(1)])
   also have ... = ?n(i+1) \otimes
     \bigoplus k \in \{..i+1\}. \ coeff \ f \ k \otimes (coeff \ g \ (i+1-k)))
     by (subst coeff-mult[OF assms], simp)
   also have \dots =
     \bigoplus k \in \{..i+1\}. ?n (i+1) \otimes (coeff f k \otimes coeff g (i+1-k)))
     by (intro finsum-rdistr, simp-all add:Pi-def)
   also have \dots =
     (\bigoplus k \in \{..i+1\}. ?n \ k \otimes (\mathit{coeff} \ f \ k \otimes \mathit{coeff} \ g \ (i+1-k)) \oplus
      ?n (i+1-k) \otimes (coeff f k \otimes coeff g (i+1-k)))
     using int-embed-add[symmetric] of-nat-diff
     by (intro finsum-cong')
       (simp-all add:l-distr[symmetric] of-nat-diff)
```

```
also have \dots =
      \bigoplus k \in \{..i+1\}. ?n k \otimes coeff f k \otimes coeff g (i+1-k) \oplus
      coeff f k \otimes (?n (i+1-k) \otimes coeff g (i+1-k)))
      using Pi-def a b m-assoc m-comm
      by (intro finsum-cong' arg-cong2[where f=(\oplus)], simp-all)
    also have ... =
      \bigoplus k \in \{..i+1\}. ?n k \otimes coeff f k \otimes coeff g (i+1-k)) \oplus
      (\bigoplus k \in \{..i+1\}. \ coeff \ f \ k \otimes (?n \ (i+1-k) \otimes coeff \ g \ (i+1-k)))
      by (subst finsum-addf[symmetric], simp-all add:Pi-def)
    also have \dots =
      (\bigoplus k{\in}\mathit{insert}\ 0\ \{1..i{+}1\}.\ ?n\ k\ \otimes\ \mathit{coeff}\ f\ k\ \otimes\ \mathit{coeff}\ g\ (i{+}1{-}k))\ \oplus\\
        \bigoplus k \in insert \ (i+1) \ \{..i\}. \ coeff \ f \ k \otimes (?n \ (i+1-k) \otimes coeff \ g
(i+1-k)))
      using subringE(1)[OF\ assms(1)]
      by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(\oplus)] finsum-cong')
        (auto simp:set-eq-iff)
    also have ... =
      \bigoplus k \in \{1..i+1\}. ?n k \otimes coeff f k \otimes coeff g (i+1-k)) \oplus
      \bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. \ coeff \ f \ k \otimes (?n \ (i+1-k) \otimes coeff \ g \ (i+1-k)))
      by (subst (12) finsum-insert, auto simp add:int-embed-zero)
    also have \dots =
      \bigoplus k \in Suc \ (i+1-k) \oplus coeff \ (k) \otimes coeff \ g \ (i+1-k) \oplus coeff \ g \ (i+1-k)
      \bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. \ coeff \ f \ k \otimes (?n \ (i+1-k) \otimes coeff \ g \ (i+1-k)))
      by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(\oplus)] finsum-cong')
        (simp-all add:Pi-def atMost-atLeast0)
    also have ... =
      (\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. ?n (k+1) \otimes coeff f (k+1) \otimes coeff g (i-k)) \oplus
      (\bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. \ coeff \ f \ k \otimes (?n \ (i+1-k) \otimes coeff \ g \ (i+1-k)))
     \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst}\ \mathit{finsum-reindex},\ \mathit{auto})
    also have ... =
      \bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. coeff (pderiv\ f)\ k \otimes coeff\ g\ (i-k)) \oplus
      \bigoplus k \in \{..i\}. coeff f k \otimes coeff (pderiv g) (i-k)
      using Suc\text{-}diff\text{-}le
      by (subst (1 2) pderiv-coeff[OF assms(1)])
        (auto intro!: finsum-cong')
    also have ... =
      coeff \ (pderiv \ f \otimes_{K[X]} g) \ i \oplus coeff \ (f \otimes_{K[X]} pderiv \ g) \ i
      using pderiv\text{-}carr[OF\ assms(1)]
      by (subst\ (1\ 2)\ coeff-mult[OF\ assms(1)],\ auto)
    also have ... = coeff ?rhs i
      using pderiv-carr[OF\ assms(1)]
      by (subst\ coeff-add[OF\ assms(1)],\ auto)
    finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 hence coeff?lhs = coeff?rhs by auto
 thus ?lhs = ?rhs
    using pderiv-carr[OF\ assms(1)]
    by (subst coeff-iff-polynomial-cond[where K=K])
```

```
(simp-all\ add:univ-poly-carrier)
qed
lemma pderiv-pow:
 assumes n > (\theta :: nat)
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes [simp]: f \in carrier(K[X])
 shows pderiv (f [ ]_{K[X]} n) =
   int-embed (K[X]) n \otimes_{K[X]} f [ ]_{K[X]} (n-1) \otimes_{K[X]} pderiv f
   (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 interpret p: cring (K[X])
   using univ-poly-is-cring[OF\ assms(2)] by simp
 let ?n = \lambda n. int-embed (K[X]) n
 have [simp]: ?n i \in carrier(K[X]) for i
   using p.int-embed-range [OF p.carrier-is-subring] by simp
 obtain m where n-def: n = Suc \ m using assms(1) lessE by blast
 have pderiv (f [ \widehat{\ }]_{K[X]} (m+1)) =
   ?n\ (m+1)\otimes_{K[X]}f\ [\ ]_{K[X]}\ m\otimes_{K[X]}\ pderiv\ f
 \mathbf{proof}\ (induction\ m)
   case \theta
   then show ?case
     using pderiv-carr[OF\ assms(2)]\ assms(3)
     using p.int-embed-one by simp
   case (Suc \ m)
   have pderiv (f \cap_{K X} (Suc m + 1)) =
     pderiv (f [ ]_{K [X]} (m+1) \otimes_{K [X]} f)
     by simp
   also have ... =
     pderiv (f [ ]_{K [X]} (m+1)) \otimes_{K [X]} f \oplus_{K [X]}
     f \left[ \uparrow \right]_{K [X]} (m+1) \otimes_{K[X]} pderiv f
     using assms(3) by (subst\ pderiv-mult[OF\ assms(2)],\ auto)
   also have ... =
     (?n (m+1) \otimes_{K [X]} f [^{\uparrow}]_{K [X]} m \otimes_{K [X]} pderiv f) \otimes_{K [X]} f
     \bigoplus_{K[X]} f \left[ \uparrow \right]_{K[X]} (m+1) \otimes_{K[X]} pderiv f
     by (subst\ Suc(1),\ simp)
   also have
     ... = ?n (m+1) \otimes_{K[X]} (f [ ]_{K[X]} (m+1) \otimes_{K[X]} pderiv f)
     \bigoplus_{K[X]} \mathbf{1}_{K[X]} \otimes_{K[X]} (f [ ]_{K[X]} (m+1) \otimes_{K[X]} pderiv f)
     \mathbf{using} \ assms(3) \ pderiv\text{-}carr[OF \ assms(2)]
     \mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{intro}\ \mathit{arg\text{-}cong2}[\mathbf{where}\ f{=}(\oplus_{K[X]})])
     apply (simp add:p.m-assoc)
      apply (simp add:p.m-comm)
```

```
by simp
   also have
     \dots = (?n (m+1) \oplus_{K[X]} \mathbf{1}_{K[X]}) \otimes_{K[X]}
     (f \upharpoonright _{K \upharpoonright X} (m+1) \otimes _{K \upharpoonright X} pderiv f)
     using assms(3) pderiv-carr[OF \ assms(2)]
     by (subst\ p.l-distr[symmetric],\ simp-all)
   also have ... =
     (\mathbf{1}_{K\ [X]}\oplus_{K[X]}?n\ (m+1))\otimes_{K\ [X]}
     (f \upharpoonright _{K \upharpoonright X} (m+1) \otimes_{K \upharpoonright X} pderiv f)
     using assms(3) pderiv-carr[OF assms(2)]
     by (subst\ p.a-comm,\ simp-all)
   also have ... = ?n (1 + Suc m)
      \otimes_{K \ [X]} f \ [ ]_{K \ [X]} \ (Suc \ m) \otimes_{K \ [X]} pderiv f
     using assms(3) pderiv-carr[OF assms(2)] of-nat-add
     \mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{subst}\ (2)\ \mathit{of}\text{-}\mathit{nat}\text{-}\mathit{add},\ \mathit{subst}\ \mathit{p}.\mathit{int}\text{-}\mathit{embed}\text{-}\mathit{add})
     by (simp add:p.m-assoc p.int-embed-one)
   finally show ?case by simp
 qed
 thus ?thesis using n-def by auto
qed
lemma pderiv-var-pow:
 assumes n > (0::nat)
 \mathbf{assumes}\ \mathit{subring}\ \mathit{K}\ \mathit{R}
 shows pderiv (X [ ]_{K[X]} n) =
   int-embed (K[X]) n \otimes_{K[X]} X [ ]_{K[X]} (n-1)
proof -
 interpret p: cring(K[X])
   using univ-poly-is-cring[OF\ assms(2)] by simp
 have [simp]: int-embed (K[X]) i \in carrier (K[X]) for i
   using p.int-embed-range[OF p.carrier-is-subring] by simp
 show ?thesis
   using var-closed [OF assms(2)]
   using pderiv-var[where K=K] pderiv-carr[OF\ assms(2)]
   by (subst\ pderiv\text{-}pow[OF\ assms(1,2)],\ simp\text{-}all)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma int-embed-consistent-with-poly-of-const:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 shows int-embed (K[X]) m = poly-of-const (int-embed R m)
proof -
 define K' where K' = R (| carrier := K |)
 interpret p: cring(K[X])
   using univ-poly-is-cring[OF assms] by simp
 interpret d: domain K'
   unfolding K'-def
```

```
using assms(1) subdomain subdomain-is-domain by simp
 \mathbf{interpret}\ h\hbox{:}\ ring\hbox{-}hom\hbox{-}ring\ K'\ K[X]\ poly\hbox{-}of\hbox{-}const
   unfolding K'-def
   using canonical-embedding-ring-hom[OF assms(1)] by simp
 define n where n=nat (abs m)
 have a1: int-embed (K[X]) (int n) = poly-of-const (int-embed K' n)
 proof (induction \ n)
   case \theta
   then show ?case by (simp add:d.int-embed-zero p.int-embed-zero)
 next
   case (Suc \ n)
   then show ?case
     using d.int-embed-closed d.int-embed-add d.int-embed-one
     by (simp add:p.int-embed-add p.int-embed-one)
 \mathbf{qed}
 also have ... = poly-of-const (int-embed R n)
   unfolding K'-def using int-embed-consistent [OF assms] by simp
 finally have a:
   int\text{-}embed\ (K[X])\ (int\ n) = poly\text{-}of\text{-}const\ (int\text{-}embed\ R\ (int\ n))
   by simp
 have int-embed (K[X]) (-(int n)) =
   poly-of-const\ (int-embed\ K'\ (-\ (int\ n)))
  using d.int-embed-closed a1 by (simp add: p.int-embed-inv d.int-embed-inv)
 also have ... = poly-of-const (int-embed R (- (int n)))
   unfolding K'-def using int-embed-consistent[OF assms] by simp
 finally have b:
   int-embed (K[X]) (-int \ n) = poly-of-const (int-embed R (-int \ n))
   by simp
 show ?thesis
   using a b n-def by (cases m \ge 0, simp, simp)
qed
end
end
```

5 Factorization into Monic Polynomials

```
theory Monic-Polynomial-Factorization
imports
Finite-Fields-Factorization-Ext
Formal-Polynomial-Derivatives
begin
```

 ${\bf hide\text{-}const}\ \textit{Factorial-Ring.multiplicity}$

```
{f hide-const} Factorial-Ring.irreducible
lemma (in domain) finprod-mult-of:
 assumes finite A
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow f \ x \in carrier \ (mult-of \ R)
 shows finprod R f A = finprod (mult-of R) f A
 using assms by (induction A rule:finite-induct, auto)
lemma (in ring) finite-poly:
 assumes subring\ K\ R
 assumes finite K
 shows
   finite \{f. f \in carrier (K[X]) \land degree f = n\} (is finite ?A)
   finite \{f. f \in carrier (K[X]) \land degree f \leq n\} (is finite ?B)
proof -
 have finite \{f. \ set \ f \subseteq K \land \ length \ f \le n+1\} (is finite ?C)
   using assms(2) finite-lists-length-le by auto
 moreover have ?B \subseteq ?C
   by (intro subsetI)
     (auto simp:univ-poly-carrier[symmetric] polynomial-def)
 ultimately show a: finite ?B
   using finite-subset by auto
 moreover have ?A \subseteq ?B
   by (intro subsetI, simp)
 ultimately show finite ?A
   using finite-subset by auto
qed
definition pmult :: - \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow nat (\langle pmult_1 \rangle)
 where pmult_R d p = multiplicity (mult-of (poly-ring R)) d p
definition monic\text{-}poly :: - \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow bool
 where monic-poly R f =
   (f \neq [] \land lead\text{-}coeff f = \mathbf{1}_R \land f \in carrier (poly\text{-}ring R))
definition monic-irreducible-poly where
 monic-irreducible-poly R f =
   (monic\text{-}poly\ R\ f\ \land\ pirreducible\ R\ (carrier\ R)\ f)
abbreviation m-i-p \equiv monic-irreducible-poly
locale polynomial-ring = field +
 fixes K
 assumes polynomial-ring-assms: subfield KR
begin
```

using polynomial-ring-assms subfieldE(1) by auto

lemma K-subring: subring K R

abbreviation P where $P \equiv K[X]$

This locale is used to specialize the following lemmas for a fixed coefficient ring. It can be introduced in a context as an interpretation to be able to use the following specialized lemmas. Because it is not (and should not) introduced as a sublocale it has no lasting effect for the field locale itself.

lemmas

```
poly-mult-lead-coeff = poly-mult-lead-coeff [OF K-subring]
\mathbf{and}\ \mathit{degree-add-distinct} = \mathit{degree-add-distinct}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{K-subring}]
and coeff-add = coeff-add[OF K-subring]
and var\text{-}closed = var\text{-}closed[OF K\text{-}subring]
and degree-prod = degree-prod[OF - K-subring]
and degree-pow = degree-pow[OF K-subring]
{\bf and}\ pirreducible-degree = pirreducible-degree [OF\ polynomial-ring-assms]
and degree-one-imp-pirreducible =
   degree-one-imp-pirreducible[OF polynomial-ring-assms]
and var\text{-}pow\text{-}closed = var\text{-}pow\text{-}closed[OF K\text{-}subring]
and var\text{-}pow\text{-}carr = var\text{-}pow\text{-}carr[OF K\text{-}subring]
and univ-poly-a-inv-degree = univ-poly-a-inv-degree [OF K-subring]
and var\text{-}pow\text{-}degree = var\text{-}pow\text{-}degree[OF K\text{-}subring]
and pdivides-zero = pdivides-zero [OF K-subring]
and pdivides-imp-degree-le = pdivides-imp-degree-le [OF K-subring]
and var\text{-}carr = var\text{-}carr[OF K\text{-}subring]
and rupture-eq-0-iff = rupture-eq-0-iff[OF polynomial-ring-assms]
{\bf and} \ \mathit{rupture-is-field-iff-pirreducible} =
   rupture-is-field-iff-pirreducible[OF polynomial-ring-assms]
and rupture-surj-hom = rupture-surj-hom[OF K-subring]
and canonical-embedding-ring-hom =
    canonical-embedding-ring-hom[OF K-subring]
and rupture-surj-norm-is-hom = rupture-surj-norm-is-hom [OF K-subring]
and rupture-surj-as-eval = rupture-surj-as-eval [OF K-subring]
and eval-cring-hom = eval-cring-hom[OF K-subring]
\mathbf{and}\ \mathit{coeff\text{-}range} = \mathit{coeff\text{-}range}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{K\text{-}subring}]
and finite-poly = finite-poly[OF K-subring]
and int-embed-consistent-with-poly-of-const =
   int\text{-}embed\text{-}consistent\text{-}with\text{-}poly\text{-}of\text{-}const[OF\ K\text{-}subring]}
and pderiv-var-pow = pderiv-var-pow[OF - K-subring]
and pderiv-add = pderiv-add[OF K-subring]
and pderiv-inv = pderiv-inv[OF K-subring]
and pderiv-mult = pderiv-mult[OF K-subring]
and pderiv\text{-}pow = pderiv\text{-}pow[OF - K\text{-}subring]
and pderiv-carr = pderiv-carr[OF K-subring]
sublocale p:principal-domain poly-ring R
 by (simp add: carrier-is-subfield univ-poly-is-principal)
```

```
context field
begin
interpretation polynomial-ring R carrier R
 using carrier-is-subfield field-axioms
 by (simp add:polynomial-ring-def polynomial-ring-axioms-def)
lemma pdivides-mult-r:
 assumes a \in carrier (mult-of P)
 assumes b \in carrier (mult-of P)
 assumes c \in carrier (mult-of P)
 shows a \otimes_P c pdivides b \otimes_P c \longleftrightarrow a pdivides b
   (is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
proof -
 have a:b\otimes_P c\in carrier\ P-\{\mathbf{0}_P\}
   using assms p.mult-of.m-closed by force
 have b:a\otimes_P c\in carrier\ P
   using assms by simp
 have c:b \in carrier\ P - \{\mathbf{0}_P\}
   using assms p.mult-of.m-closed by force
 have d:a \in carrier\ P using assms by simp
 \mathbf{have} \ ?lhs \longleftrightarrow a \otimes_P \ c \ divides_{mult-of} \ P \ b \otimes_P \ c
   unfolding pdivides-def using p.divides-imp-divides-mult a b
   by (meson divides-mult-imp-divides)
 also have \dots \longleftrightarrow a \ divides_{mult-of} \ P \ b
   using p.mult-of.divides-mult-r[OF\ assms] by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?rhs
   \mathbf{unfolding}\ pdivides\text{-}def\ \mathbf{using}\ p.divides\text{-}imp\text{-}divides\text{-}mult\ c\ d
   by (meson divides-mult-imp-divides)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma lead-coeff-carr:
 assumes x \in carrier (mult-of P)
 shows lead-coeff x \in carrier R - \{0\}
proof (cases x)
 case Nil
 then show ?thesis using assms by (simp add:univ-poly-zero)
next
 case (Cons a list)
 hence a: polynomial (carrier R) (a \# list)
   using assms univ-poly-carrier by auto
 have lead\text{-}coeff x = a
   using Cons by simp
 also have a \in carrier R - \{0\}
   using lead-coeff-not-zero a by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

```
lemma lead-coeff-poly-of-const:
 assumes r \neq 0
 shows lead-coeff (poly-of-const r) = r
 using assms
 by (simp add:poly-of-const-def)
lemma lead-coeff-mult:
 assumes f \in carrier (mult-of P)
 assumes g \in carrier (mult-of P)
 shows lead-coeff (f \otimes_P g) = lead\text{-}coeff f \otimes lead\text{-}coeff g
 unfolding univ-poly-mult using assms
 using univ-poly-carrier[where R=R and K=carrier R]
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst\ poly-mult-lead-coeff})\ (\mathit{simp-all\ add:univ-poly-zero})
lemma monic-poly-carr:
 assumes monic-poly R f
 shows f \in carrier P
 using assms unfolding monic-poly-def by simp
lemma monic-poly-add-distinct:
 assumes monic-poly R f
 assumes g \in carrier\ P\ degree\ g < degree\ f
 shows monic-poly R (f \oplus_P g)
proof (cases g \neq \mathbf{0}_P)
 {\bf case}\ {\it True}
 define n where n = degree f
 have f \in carrier\ P - \{\mathbf{0}_P\}
   using assms(1) univ-poly-zero
   unfolding monic-poly-def by auto
 hence degree (f \oplus_{P} g) = max (degree f) (degree g)
   using assms(2,3) True
   by (subst degree-add-distinct, simp-all)
 also have \dots = degree f
   using assms(3) by simp
 finally have b: degree (f \oplus_P g) = n
   unfolding n-def by simp
 moreover have n > 0
   using assms(3) unfolding n-def by simp
 ultimately have degree (f \oplus_P g) \neq degree ([])
   by simp
 hence a:f \oplus_P g \neq [] by auto
 have degree [] = \theta by simp
 also have \dots < degree f
   using assms(3) by simp
 finally have degree f \neq degree [] by simp
 hence c: f \neq [] by auto
 have d: length g \leq n
```

```
using assms(3) unfolding n-def by simp
 have lead-coeff (f \oplus_P g) = coeff (f \oplus_P g) n
   using a b by (cases f \oplus_P g, auto)
 also have ... = coeff f n \oplus coeff g n
   \mathbf{using}\ monic\text{-}poly\text{-}carr\ assms
   by (subst coeff-add, auto)
 also have \dots = lead\text{-}coeff \ f \oplus coeff \ g \ n
   using c unfolding n-def by (cases f, auto)
 also have \dots = 1 \oplus 0
   using assms(1) unfolding monic-poly-def
   unfolding subst coeff-length[OF d] by simp
 also have \dots = 1
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
 finally have lead-coeff (f \oplus_P g) = 1 by simp
 moreover have f \oplus_P g \in carrier P
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{monic\text{-}poly\text{-}carr}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{simp}
 ultimately show ?thesis
   using a unfolding monic-poly-def by auto
next
 case False
 then show ?thesis using assms monic-poly-carr by simp
qed
lemma monic-poly-one: monic-poly R 1<sub>P</sub>
proof -
 have \mathbf{1}_P \in carrier\ P
   by simp
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add:univ-poly-one monic-poly-def)
qed
lemma monic-poly-var: monic-poly R X
proof -
 have X \in carrier P
   using var-closed by simp
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add:var-def monic-poly-def)
qed
lemma monic-poly-carr-2:
 assumes monic-poly R f
 shows f \in carrier (mult-of P)
 using assms unfolding monic-poly-def
 by (simp add:univ-poly-zero)
lemma monic-poly-mult:
 assumes monic-poly R f
 assumes monic-poly R g
```

```
shows monic-poly R (f \otimes_P g)
proof -
 have lead-coeff (f \otimes_P g) = lead\text{-}coeff \ f \otimes_R lead\text{-}coeff \ g
   using assms monic-poly-carr-2
   by (subst lead-coeff-mult) auto
 also have \dots = 1
   using assms unfolding monic-poly-def by simp
 finally have lead-coeff (f \otimes_P g) = \mathbf{1}_R by simp
 moreover have (f \otimes_P g) \in carrier (mult-of P)
   using monic-poly-carr-2 assms by blast
 {\bf ultimately \ show} \ \textit{?thesis}
   by (simp add:monic-poly-def univ-poly-zero)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma monic-poly-pow:
 assumes monic-poly R f
 shows monic-poly R (f [ \widehat{\ }]_P (n::nat))
 using assms monic-poly-one monic-poly-mult
 by (induction n, auto)
{\bf lemma}\ monic\text{-}poly\text{-}prod\text{:}
 assumes finite A
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow monic\text{-poly} \ R \ (f \ x)
 shows monic-poly R (finprod P f A)
 using assms
proof (induction A rule:finite-induct)
 case empty
 then show ?case by (simp add:monic-poly-one)
next
 case (insert x F)
 have a: f \in F \rightarrow carrier P
   using insert monic-poly-carr by simp
 have b: f x \in carrier P
   using insert monic-poly-carr by simp
 have monic-poly R (f x \otimes_P finprod P f F)
   using insert by (intro monic-poly-mult) auto
 thus ?case
   using insert a b by (subst p.finprod-insert, auto)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ monic\text{-}poly\text{-}not\text{-}assoc\text{:}
 assumes monic-poly R f
 assumes monic-poly R g
 assumes f \sim_{(mult-of\ P)} g
 shows f = g
proof -
 obtain u where u-def: f = g \otimes_P u u \in Units (mult-of P)
   using p.mult-of.associatedD2 assms monic-poly-carr-2
   by blast
```

```
hence u \in Units P by simp
 then obtain v where v-def: u = [v] v \neq \mathbf{0}_R v \in carrier R
   using univ-poly-carrier-units by auto
 have 1 = lead\text{-}coeff f
   using assms(1) by (simp add:monic-poly-def)
 also have ... = lead-coeff (g \otimes_P u)
   by (simp\ add:u-def)
 also have ... = lead\text{-}coeff\ g \otimes lead\text{-}coeff\ u
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{assms}(2)\ \mathit{monic-poly-carr-2}\ \mathit{v-def}\ \mathit{u-def}(2)
   by (subst lead-coeff-mult, auto simp add:univ-poly-zero)
 also have ... = lead\text{-}coeff\ g\otimes v
   using v-def by simp
 also have \dots = v
   using assms(2) v-def(3) by (simp add:monic-poly-def)
 finally have 1 = v by simp
 hence u = \mathbf{1}_P
   using v-def by (simp add:univ-poly-one)
 thus f = g
   using u-def assms monic-poly-carr by simp
qed
lemma monic-poly-span:
 assumes x \in carrier (mult-of P) irreducible (mult-of P) x
 shows \exists y. monic-irreducible-poly R \ y \land x \sim_{(mult-of \ P)} y
proof -
 define z where z = poly-of-const (inv (lead-coeff x))
 define y where y = x \otimes_P z
 have x-carr: x \in carrier (mult-of P) using assms by simp
 hence lx-ne-\theta: lead-coeff x \neq 0
   and lx-unit: lead-coeff x \in Units R
   using lead-coeff-carr[OF x-carr] by (auto simp add:field-Units)
 have lx-inv-ne-\theta: inv (lead-coeff x) <math>\neq 0
   using lx-unit
   by (metis Units-closed Units-r-inv r-null zero-not-one)
 have lx-inv-carr: inv (lead-coeff x) \in carrier R
   using lx-unit by simp
 have z \in carrier P
   using lx-inv-carr poly-of-const-over-carrier
   unfolding z-def by auto
 moreover have z \neq \mathbf{0}_P
   using lx-inv-ne-0
   by (simp add:z-def poly-of-const-def univ-poly-zero)
 ultimately have z-carr: z \in carrier (mult-of P) by simp
 have z-unit: z \in Units (mult-of P)
```

```
using lx-inv-ne-0 lx-inv-carr
   by (simp add:univ-poly-carrier-units z-def poly-of-const-def)
 have y-exp: y = x \otimes_{(mult-of\ P)} z
   by (simp\ add:y-def)
 hence y-carr: y \in carrier (mult-of P)
   using x-carr z-carr p.mult-of.m-closed by simp
 have irreducible (mult-of P) y
   unfolding y-def using assms z-unit z-carr
   by (intro p.mult-of.irreducible-prod-rI, auto)
 moreover have lead-coeff y = \mathbf{1}_R
   unfolding y-def using x-carr z-carr lx-inv-ne-0 lx-unit
   by (simp add: lead-coeff-mult z-def lead-coeff-poly-of-const)
 hence monic-poly R y
   using y-carr unfolding monic-poly-def
   by (simp add:univ-poly-zero)
 ultimately have monic-irreducible-poly R y
   using p.irreducible-mult-imp-irreducible y-carr
   by (simp add:monic-irreducible-poly-def ring-irreducible-def)
 moreover have y \sim_{(mult-of\ P)} x
   by (intro p.mult-of.associatedI2[OF z-unit] y-def x-carr)
 hence x \sim_{(mult-of P)} y
   using x-carr y-carr by (simp add:p.mult-of.associated-sym)
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma monic-polys-are-canonical-irreducibles:
 canonical\text{-}irreducibles\ (mult-of\ P)\ \{d.\ monic\text{-}irreducible\text{-}poly\ R\ d\}
 (is canonical-irreducibles (mult-of P) ?S)
proof -
 have sp-1:
   ?S \subseteq \{x \in carrier \ (mult-of \ P). \ irreducible \ (mult-of \ P) \ x\}
   {\bf unfolding} \ monic-irreducible-poly-def \ ring-irreducible-def
   using monic-poly-carr
   by (intro subset1, simp add: p.irreducible-imp-irreducible-mult)
 have sp-2: x = y
    if x \in ?S \ y \in ?S \ x \sim_{(mult-of \ P)} y for x \ y
   using that monic-poly-not-assoc
   by (simp add:monic-irreducible-poly-def)
 have sp-3: \exists y \in ?S. \ x \sim_{(mult-of \ P)} y
   if x \in carrier (mult-of P) irreducible (mult-of P) x for x
   using that monic-poly-span by simp
 thus ?thesis using sp-1 sp-2 sp-3
   unfolding canonical-irreducibles-def by simp
qed
```

```
lemma
 assumes monic-poly R a
 shows factor-monic-poly:
   a = (\bigotimes_{P} d \in \{d. monic-irreducible-poly R \ d \land pmult \ d \ a > 0\}.
     d \upharpoonright P pmult d a) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
   and factor-monic-poly-fin:
     finite \{d. monic-irreducible-poly R d \land pmult d a > 0\}
proof -
 let ?S = \{d. monic-irreducible-poly R d\}
 let ?T = \{d. monic-irreducible-poly R \ d \land pmult \ d \ a > 0\}
 let ?mip = monic-irreducible-poly R
 have sp-4: a \in carrier (mult-of P)
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{monic\text{-}poly\text{-}carr\text{-}2}
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def by simp
 have b-1: x \in carrier (mult-of P) if ?mip x for x
   using that monic-poly-carr-2
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def by simp
 have b-2:irreducible (mult-of P) x if ?mip x for x
   using that
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def ring-irreducible-def
   by (simp add: monic-poly-carr p.irreducible-imp-irreducible-mult)
 have b-3:x \in carrier\ P if ?mip\ x for x
   using that monic-poly-carr
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def
   by simp
 have a-carr: a \in carrier\ P - \{\mathbf{0}_P\}
   using sp-4 by simp
 have ?T = \{d. ?mip \ d \land multiplicity (mult-of P) \ d \ a > 0\}
   by (simp add:pmult-def)
 also have ... = \{d \in ?S. \ multiplicity \ (mult-of \ P) \ d \ a > 0\}
   using p.mult-of.multiplicity-gt-0-iff[OF b-1 b-2 sp-4]
   by (intro order-antisym subsetI, auto)
 finally have t:?T = \{d \in ?S. multiplicity (mult-of P) | d | a > 0\}
   by simp
 show fin-T: finite ?T
   unfolding t
   using p.mult-of.split-factors(1)
     [OF monic-polys-are-canonical-irreducibles]
   using sp-4 by auto
 have a:x \mid \cap_P (n::nat) \in carrier (mult-of P) if ?mip x for x n
   have monic-poly R (x \mid \widehat{\ } \mid_P n)
     using that monic-poly-pow
```

```
unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def by auto
   thus ?thesis
     using monic-poly-carr-2 by simp
 have ?lhs \sim_{(mult-of\ P)}
   finprod (mult-of P)
     (\lambda d. \ d \ [ ]_{(mult-of \ P)} \ (multiplicity \ (mult-of \ P) \ d \ a)) \ ?T
   unfolding t
   by (intro\ p.mult-of.split-factors(2))
       [OF monic-polys-are-canonical-irreducibles sp-4])
 also have ... =
   finprod (mult-of P) (\lambda d.\ d\ [\ ]_P (multiplicity (mult-of P) d\ a)) ?T
   by (simp add:nat-pow-mult-of)
 also have \dots = ?rhs
   using fin-T a
   by (subst p.finprod-mult-of, simp-all add:pmult-def)
 finally have ?lhs \sim_{(mult-of\ P)} ?rhs\ by\ simp
 moreover have monic-poly R ?rhs
   using fin-T
   by (intro monic-poly-prod monic-poly-pow)
     (auto simp:monic-irreducible-poly-def)
 ultimately show ?lhs = ?rhs
   using monic-poly-not-assoc assms monic-irreducible-poly-def
   \mathbf{by} blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma degree-monic-poly':
 assumes monic-poly R f
 shows
   sum'(\lambda d. \ pmult \ d \ f * degree \ d) \ \{d. \ monic-irreducible-poly \ R \ d\} =
   degree f
proof -
 let ?mip = monic-irreducible-poly R
 have b: d \in carrier P - \{\mathbf{0}_P\} if ?mip d for d
   using that monic-poly-carr-2
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def by simp
 have a: d \cap P \in carrier P - \{0_P\} if ?mip d for d and n :: nat
   using b that monic-poly-pow
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def
   by (simp add: p.pow-non-zero)
 have degree f =
   degree (\bigotimes_{P} d \in \{d. ? mip \ d \land pmult \ d \ f > 0\}. \ d \cap_{P} pmult \ d \ f)
   using factor-monic-poly[OF\ assms(1)] by simp
 also have \dots =
   (\sum i \in \{d. ? mip \ d \land 0 < pmult \ d f\}. \ degree \ (i \ [ ]_P \ pmult \ i f))
   using a \ assms(1)
```

```
by (subst degree-prod[OF factor-monic-poly-fin])
    (simp-all add:Pi-def)
 also have ... =
   (\sum i \in \{d. ? mip \ d \land 0 < pmult \ d f\}. \ degree \ i * pmult \ i f)
   using b degree-pow by (intro sum.cong, auto)
 also have ... =
   (\sum d \in \{d. ? mip \ d \land 0 < pmult \ d f\}. pmult \ d f * degree \ d)
   by (simp add:mult.commute)
 also have ... =
   sum'(\lambda d. pmult d f * degree d) \{d. ?mip d \land 0 < pmult d f\}
   using sum.eq-sum\ factor-monic-poly-fin[OF\ assms(1)] by simp
 also have ... = sum' (\lambda d. pmult\ d\ f * degree\ d) {d. ?mip\ d}
   by (intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-left' subsetI, auto)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma monic-poly-min-degree:
 assumes monic-irreducible-poly R f
 shows degree f \ge 1
 using assms unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def monic-poly-def
 by (intro pirreducible-degree) auto
lemma degree-one-monic-poly:
 monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f = 1 \longleftrightarrow
 (\exists x \in carrier R. f = [1, \ominus x])
proof
 assume monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f = 1
 hence a:monic-poly R f length f = 2
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def by auto
 then obtain u v where f-def: f = [u,v]
   by (cases f, simp, cases tl f, auto)
 have u = 1 using a unfolding monic-poly-def f-def by simp
 moreover have v \in carrier R
   using a unfolding monic-poly-def univ-poly-carrier[symmetric]
   unfolding polynomial-def f-def by simp
 ultimately have f = [1, \ominus(\ominus v)] (\ominus v) \in carrier R
   using a-inv-closed f-def by auto
 thus (\exists x \in carrier R. f = [\mathbf{1}_R, \ominus_R x]) by auto
 assume (\exists x \in carrier \ R. \ f = [1, \ominus x])
 then obtain x where f-def: f = [1, \ominus x] x \in carrier R by auto
 have a: degree f = 1 using f-def(2) unfolding f-def by simp
 have b:f \in carrier P
   using f-def(2) unfolding univ-poly-carrier[symmetric]
   unfolding f-def polynomial-def by simp
 have c: pirreducible (carrier R) f
   by (intro degree-one-imp-pirreducible a b)
 have d: lead-coeff f = 1 unfolding f-def by simp
```

```
show monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f = 1
   using a \ b \ c \ d
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def monic-poly-def
   by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma multiplicity-ge-iff:
 assumes monic-irreducible-poly R d
 assumes f \in carrier\ P - \{\mathbf{0}_P\}
 shows pmult d f \geq k \longleftrightarrow d [ ]_P k pdivides f
proof -
 have a:f \in carrier (mult-of P)
   using assms(2) by simp
 have b: d \in carrier (mult-of P)
   using assms(1) monic-poly-carr-2
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def by simp
 have c: irreducible (mult-of P) d
   using assms(1) monic-poly-carr-2
   using p.irreducible-imp-irreducible-mult
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def
   unfolding ring-irreducible-def monic-poly-def
   by simp
 have d: d [ ]_P k \in carrier P using b by simp
 have pmult d f \geq k \longleftrightarrow d \left[ \gamma_{(mult-of P)} \ k \ divides_{(mult-of P)} \ f \right]
   unfolding pmult-def
   by (intro p.mult-of.multiplicity-ge-iff a b c)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow d \upharpoonright P k \ pdivides_R f
   using p.divides-imp-divides-mult[OF\ d\ assms(2)]
   using divides-mult-imp-divides
   unfolding pdivides-def nat-pow-mult-of
   by auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma multiplicity-ge-1-iff-pdivides:
 assumes monic-irreducible-poly R d f \in carrier P - \{\mathbf{0}_P\}
 shows pmult d f \geq 1 \longleftrightarrow d \text{ pdivides } f
proof -
 have d \in carrier P
   using assms(1) monic-poly-carr
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def
   by simp
 thus ?thesis
   using multiplicity-ge-iff[OF assms, where k=1]
   by simp
qed
lemma divides-monic-poly:
```

```
assumes monic-poly R f monic-poly R g
 assumes \bigwedge d. monic-irreducible-poly R d
   \implies pmult\ d\ f \leq pmult\ d\ g
 shows f pdivides g
proof -
 have a:f \in carrier (mult-of P) g \in carrier (mult-of P)
   using monic-poly-carr-2 assms(1,2) by auto
 have f \ divides_{(mult-of \ P)} \ g
   using assms(3) unfolding pmult-def
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{intro}\ p.\mathit{mult-of}.\mathit{divides-iff-mult-mono}
      [OF\ a\ monic-polys-are-canonical-irreducibles])\ simp
 thus ?thesis
   unfolding pdivides-def using divides-mult-imp-divides by simp
\mathbf{qed}
end
lemma monic-poly-hom:
 assumes monic-poly R f
 assumes h \in ring-iso R S domain R domain S
 shows monic-poly S (map h f)
proof -
 have c: h \in ring\text{-}hom \ R \ S
   using assms(2) ring-iso-def by auto
 have e: f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
   using assms(1) unfolding monic-poly-def by simp
 have a:f \neq []
   using assms(1) unfolding monic-poly-def by simp
 hence map h f \neq [] by simp
 moreover have lead\text{-}coeff f = \mathbf{1}_R
   using assms(1) unfolding monic-poly-def by simp
 hence lead-coeff (map h f) = \mathbf{1}_S
   using ring-hom-one[OF c] by (simp add: hd-map[OF a])
 ultimately show ?thesis
   using carrier-hom[OF\ e\ assms(2-4)]
   unfolding monic-poly-def by simp
qed
lemma monic-irreducible-poly-hom:
 assumes monic-irreducible-poly R f
 assumes h \in ring-iso R S domain R domain S
 shows monic-irreducible-poly S (map <math>h f)
proof -
 have a:
   pirreducible_R (carrier R) f
   f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
   monic-poly R f
```

```
using assms(1)
   unfolding monic-poly-def monic-irreducible-poly-def
   by auto
 have pirreducible_S (carrier S) (map h f)
   using a pirreducible-hom assms by auto
 moreover have monic-poly S (map h f)
   using a monic-poly-hom[OF - assms(2,3,4)] by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def by simp
qed
end
6
     Counting Irreducible Polynomials
6.1
      The polynomial X^n - X
theory Card-Irreducible-Polynomials-Aux
imports
 HOL-Algebra. Multiplicative-Group
 Formal-Polynomial-Derivatives
 Monic-Polynomial-Factorization
begin
lemma (in domain)
 assumes subfield K R
 assumes f \in carrier(K[X]) degree f > 0
 shows embed-inj: inj-on (rupture-surj K f \circ poly-of-const) K
   and rupture-order: order (Rupt K f) = card K \hat{degree} f
   and rupture-char: char(Rupt K f) = char R
proof -
 interpret p: principal-domain K[X]
   using univ-poly-is-principal [OF assms(1)] by simp
 \mathbf{interpret}\ I{:}\ ideal\ PIdl_{K[X]}\ f\ K[X]
   using p.cgenideal-ideal[OF\ assms(2)] by simp
 interpret d: ring Rupt K f
   unfolding rupture-def using I.quotient-is-ring by simp
 have e: subring K R
   using assms(1) subfieldE(1) by auto
 interpret h:
   ring-hom-ring R ( carrier := K )
    Rupt K f rupture-surj K f \circ poly-of-const
   using rupture-surj-norm-is-hom[OF\ e\ assms(2)]
```

using ring-hom-ringI2 subring-is-ring d.ring-axioms e

```
by blast
have field (R (carrier := K))
 using assms(1) subfield-iff(2) by simp
hence subfield K (R(carrier := K))
 using ring.subfield-iff[OF\ subring-is-ring[OF\ e]] by simp
hence b: subfield (rupture-surj K f 'poly-of-const' K) (Rupt K f)
 unfolding image-image comp-def[symmetric]
 by (intro h.img-is-subfield rupture-one-not-zero assms, simp)
have inj-on poly-of-const K
 using poly-of-const-inj inj-on-subset by auto
moreover have
 poly-of-const 'K \subseteq ((\lambda q. \ q \ pmod \ f)' carrier (K \ [X]))
proof (rule image-subsetI)
 fix x assume x \in K
 hence f:
   poly-of-const \ x \in carrier \ (K[X])
   degree (poly-of-const x) = 0
   using poly-of-const-over-subfield[OF assms(1)] by auto
 moreover
 have degree (poly-of-const x) < degree f
   using f(2) assms by simp
 hence poly-of-const\ x\ pmod\ f=poly-of-const\ x
   by (intro\ pmod-const(2)[OF\ assms(1)]\ f\ assms(2),\ simp)
 ultimately show
   poly-of-const\ x \in ((\lambda q.\ q\ pmod\ f)\ `carrier\ (K\ [X]))
   by force
qed
hence inj-on (rupture-surj K f) (poly-of-const 'K)
 using rupture-surj-inj-on [OF \ assms(1,2)] inj-on-subset by blast
ultimately show d: inj-on (rupture-surj K f \circ poly-of-const) K
 using comp-inj-on by auto
have a: d.dimension (degree f) (rupture-surj K f 'poly-of-const 'K)
 (carrier\ (Rupt\ K\ f))
 using rupture-dimension[OF assms(1-3)] by auto
then obtain base where base-def:
 set\ base \subseteq carrier\ (Rupt\ K\ f)
 d.independent (rupture-surj K f 'poly-of-const 'K) base
 length\ base = degree\ f
 d.Span (rupture-surj K f 'poly-of-const 'K) base =
   carrier (Rupt K f)
 using d.exists-base[OF\ b\ a] by auto
have order (Rupt K f) =
  card\ (d.Span\ (rupture-surj\ K\ f\ `poly-of-const\ `K)\ base)
 unfolding order-def base-def(4) by simp
also have ... =
  card\ (rupture\text{-}surj\ K\ f\ `poly\text{-}of\text{-}const\ `K)\ ^length\ base
```

```
using d.card-span[OF b base-def(2,1)] by simp
 also have ...
   = card ((rupture-surj K f \circ poly-of-const) `K) `degree f
   using base-def(3) image-image unfolding comp-def by metis
 also have ... = card \ K^{\hat{}} degree \ f
   by (subst\ card\text{-}image[OF\ d],\ simp)
 finally show order (Rupt \ K f) = card \ K^{\hat{}} degree \ f \ by \ simp
 have char(Rupt K f) = char(R (| carrier := K |))
   using h.char-consistent d by simp
 also have \dots = char R
   using char-consistent[OF\ subfieldE(1)[OF\ assms(1)]] by simp
 finally show char (Rupt K f) = char R by simp
qed
definition gauss-poly where
 gauss-poly K n = X_K [ ]_{poly-ring K} (n::nat) \ominus_{poly-ring K} X_K
context field
begin
interpretation polynomial-ring R carrier R
 unfolding polynomial-ring-def polynomial-ring-axioms-def
 using field-axioms carrier-is-subfield by simp
The following lemma can be found in Ireland and Rosen [3, §7.1,
Lemma 2].
lemma gauss-poly-div-gauss-poly-iff-1:
 fixes l m :: nat
 assumes l > 0
 shows (X \upharpoonright P l \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) pdivides (X \upharpoonright P m \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) \longleftrightarrow l \ dvd \ m
   (is ?lhs \leftrightarrow ?rhs)
proof -
 define q where q = m \ div \ l
 define r where r = m \mod l
 have m-def: m = q * l + r and r-range: r < l
   using assms by (auto simp add:q-def r-def)
 have pow-sum-carr: \bigoplus pi \in \{... < q\}. (X [ ]_P l)[ ]_P i) \in carrier P
   using var-pow-closed
   by (intro\ p.finsum\text{-}closed,\ simp)
 have (X \upharpoonright P (q*l) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) = ((X \upharpoonright P l) \upharpoonright P q) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P
   using var-closed
   by (subst p.nat-pow-pow, simp-all add:algebra-simps)
 also have \dots =
   (X \upharpoonright P l \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) \otimes_P (\bigoplus_{P} i \in \{..< q\}. (X \upharpoonright P l) \upharpoonright P i)
   using var-pow-closed
   by (subst\ p.geom[symmetric],\ simp-all)
```

```
finally have pow-sum-fact: (X [ ]_P (q*l) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) =
    (X [ ]_P l \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) \otimes_P (\bigoplus_P i \in \{.. < q\}. (X_R [ ]_P l) [ ]_P i)
    by simp
  have (X \upharpoonright P l \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) divides P (X \upharpoonright P (q*l) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
    by (rule dividesI[OF pow-sum-carr pow-sum-fact])
  hence c:(X \upharpoonright P l \ominus_P 1_P) divides P X \upharpoonright P r \otimes_P (X \upharpoonright P (q * l))
\ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
    using var-pow-closed
    by (intro p.divides-prod-l, auto)
  have (X [ ]_P m \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) = X [ ]_P (r + q * l) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P
    unfolding m-def using add.commute by metis
  also have ... = (X \upharpoonright P r) \otimes_P (X \upharpoonright P (q*l)) \oplus_P (\ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
    using var-closed
    by (subst p.nat-pow-mult, auto simp add:a-minus-def)
  also have ... = ((X [ ]_P r) \otimes_P (X [ ]_P (q*l) \oplus_P (\ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P))
    \bigoplus_P (X [\widehat{\ }]_P r)) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P
    using var-pow-closed
    by algebra
  also have ... = (X [ ]_P r) \otimes_P (X [ ]_P (q*l) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
    \bigoplus_P (X [\widehat{\ }]_P r) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P
    by algebra
  also have ... = (X \upharpoonright P r) \otimes_P (X \upharpoonright P (q*l) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
    \bigoplus_P ((X \upharpoonright P r) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
    unfolding a-minus-def using var-pow-closed
    by (subst\ p.a-assoc,\ auto)
  finally have a:(X [ \widehat{\ \ }]_P \ m \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) = (X [ \widehat{\ \ }]_P \ r) \otimes_P (X [ \widehat{\ \ }]_P \ (q*l) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) \oplus_P (X [ \widehat{\ \ }]_P \ r \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
    (\mathbf{is} - = ?x)
    by simp
  have xn-m-1-deg': degree(X [ ]_P n \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) = n
    if n > 0 for n :: nat
  proof -
    have degree (X \cap_P n \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) = degree (X \cap_P n \oplus_P \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
       by (simp\ add:a\text{-}minus\text{-}def)
    also have ... = max (degree (X [ ]_P n)) (degree ( \ominus_P 1_P ))
       using var-pow-closed var-pow-carr var-pow-degree
       using univ-poly-a-inv-degree degree-one that
      by (subst degree-add-distinct, auto)
    also have \dots = n
       using var-pow-degree degree-one univ-poly-a-inv-degree
       by simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  have xn-m-1-deg: degree (X [\widehat{\ }]_P \ n \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) = n \text{ for } n :: nat
```

```
proof (cases n > 0)
    case True
    then show ?thesis using xn-m-1-deg' by auto
    case False
    hence n = \theta by simp
    hence degree (X \upharpoonright P n \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) = degree (\mathbf{0}_P)
     by (intro arg-cong[where f=degree], simp)
    then show ?thesis using False by (simp add:univ-poly-zero)
  qed
 have b: degree (X [ ]_P l \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) > degree (X_R [ ]_P r \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
    using r-range unfolding xn-m-1-deg by simp
 have xn\text{-}m\text{-}1\text{-}carr: X \cap_P n \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P \in carrier\ P \text{ for } n :: nat
    unfolding a-minus-def
    by (intro p.a-closed var-pow-closed, simp)
  have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow (X [ ]_P l \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) pdivides ?x
    by (subst\ a,\ simp)
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow (X [ ]_P l \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) pdivides (X [ ]_P r \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
    unfolding pdivides-def
    by (intro p.div-sum-iff c var-pow-closed
        xn-m-1-carr p.a-closed p.m-closed)
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow r = 0
  proof (cases r = \theta)
    {\bf case}\ {\it True}
    have (X \upharpoonright P l \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) pdivides \mathbf{0}_P
      unfolding univ-poly-zero
     by (intro pdivides-zero xn-m-1-carr)
    also have \dots = (X [\widehat{\ }]_P \ r \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
     by (simp add:a-minus-def True) algebra
    finally show ?thesis using True by simp
  next
    {f case} False
    hence degree (X [ ]_P r \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) > 0 using xn-m-1-deg by simp
    hence X [ ]_P r \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P \neq [] by auto
    hence \neg(X [ ]_P l \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) pdivides (X [ ]_P r \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
      using pdivides-imp-degree-le b xn-m-1-carr
      by (metis le-antisym less-or-eq-imp-le nat-neq-iff)
    thus ?thesis using False by simp
  qed
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow l \ dvd \ m
    unfolding m-def using r-range assms by auto
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
lemma gauss-poly-factor:
```

```
assumes n > 0
 shows gauss-poly R n = (X [ ]_P (n-1) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) \otimes_P X (\mathbf{is} -= ?rhs)
proof -
 have a:1 + (n-1) = n
   using assms by simp
 have gauss-poly R n = X [ ]_P (1+(n-1)) \ominus_P X
   unfolding gauss-poly-def by (subst a, simp)
 also have ... = (X [ ]_P (n-1)) \otimes_P X \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P \otimes_P X
   using var-closed by simp
 also have \dots = ?rhs
   unfolding a-minus-def using var-closed l-one
   by (subst p.l-distr, auto, algebra)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma var-neg-zero: X \neq \mathbf{0}_P
 by (simp add:var-def univ-poly-zero)
lemma var-pow-eq-one-iff: X \cap_P k = \mathbf{1}_P \longleftrightarrow k = (0::nat)
proof (cases k=0)
 case True
 then show ?thesis using var\text{-}closed(1) by simp
next
 {f case}\ {\it False}
 have degree (X_R [ ]_P k) = k
   using var-pow-degree by simp
 also have ... \neq degree (1_P) using False degree-one by simp
 finally have degree (X_R [ ]_P k) \neq degree \mathbf{1}_P by simp
 then show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma gauss-poly-carr: gauss-poly R n \in carrier P
 using var-closed(1)
 unfolding gauss-poly-def by simp
lemma gauss-poly-degree:
 assumes n > 1
 shows degree (gauss-poly\ R\ n)=n
proof -
 have degree (gauss-poly R n) = max n 1
   unfolding gauss-poly-def a-minus-def
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{var-pow-carr}\ \mathit{var-carr}\ \mathit{degree-var}
   using var-pow-degree univ-poly-a-inv-degree
   using assms by (subst degree-add-distinct, auto)
 also have \dots = n using assms by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma gauss-poly-not-zero:
```

```
assumes n > 1
 shows gauss-poly R \ n \neq \mathbf{0}_P
proof -
 have degree (gauss-poly R n) \neq degree ( \mathbf{0}_{P})
  using assms by (subst gauss-poly-degree, simp-all add:univ-poly-zero)
 thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma gauss-poly-monic:
 assumes n > 1
 shows monic-poly R (gauss-poly R n)
proof -
 have monic-poly R (X [\widehat{\ }]_{P} n)
   by (intro monic-poly-pow monic-poly-var)
 moreover have \ominus_P X \in carrier P
   using var-closed by simp
 moreover have degree (\ominus_P X) < degree (X [\widehat{\ }]_P n)
   using assms univ-poly-a-inv-degree var-closed
   using degree-var
   unfolding var-pow-degree by (simp)
 ultimately show ?thesis
   unfolding gauss-poly-def a-minus-def
   by (intro monic-poly-add-distinct, auto)
qed
lemma geom-nat:
 fixes q :: nat
 fixes x :: - :: \{comm-ring, monoid-mult\}
 shows (x-1) * (\sum i \in \{... < q\}. \ x^i) = x^q-1
 by (induction\ q, auto\ simp:algebra-simps)
The following lemma can be found in Ireland and Rosen [3, §7.1,
Lemma 3].
lemma gauss-poly-div-gauss-poly-iff-2:
 fixes a :: int
 fixes l m :: nat
 assumes l > 0 a > 1
 shows (a \hat{l} - 1) dvd (a \hat{m} - 1) \longleftrightarrow l dvd m
   (is ?lhs \longleftrightarrow ?rhs)
proof -
 define q where q = m \ div \ l
 define r where r = m \mod l
 have m-def: m = q * l + r and r-range: r < l r \ge 0
   using assms by (auto simp add:q-def r-def)
 have a (l * q) - 1 = (a l) q - 1
   by (simp add: power-mult)
 also have ... = (a^{\hat{}} - 1) * (\sum i \in \{.. < q\}. (a^{\hat{}})^{\hat{}} i)
   by (subst\ geom\text{-}nat[symmetric],\ simp)
```

```
finally have a (l * q) - 1 = (a(l - 1) * (\sum i \in \{.. < q\}. (a(l)))
   by simp
 hence c:a \hat{l} - 1 dvd a \hat{r} * (a \hat{q} * l) - 1) by (simp \ add:mult.commute)
 have a \cap m - 1 = a \cap (r + q * l) - 1
   unfolding m-def using add.commute by metis
 also have ... = (a \hat{r}) * (a (q*l)) -1
   by (simp add: power-add)
 also have ... = ((a \hat{r}) * (a \hat{r}(q*l) -1)) + (a \hat{r}) - 1
   by (simp add: right-diff-distrib)
 also have ... = (a \hat{r}) * (a \hat{q}*l) - 1) + ((a \hat{r}) - 1)
   by simp
 finally have a:
   a \cap m - 1 = (a \cap r) * (a \cap (q*l) - 1) + ((a \cap r) - 1)
   (is - ?x)
   by simp
 have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow (a\widehat{\ }l-1) \ dvd \ ?x
   by (subst\ a,\ simp)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (a\hat{l}-1) dvd (a\hat{r}-1)
   using c dvd-add-right-iff by auto
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow r = \theta
 proof
   assume a \hat{l} - 1 dvd a \hat{r} - 1
   hence a \hat{l} - 1 \le a \hat{r} - 1 \lor r = 0
     using assms r-range zdvd-not-zless by force
   moreover have a \hat{r} < a\hat{l} using assms r-range by simp
   ultimately show r = \theta by simp
 next
   assume r = \theta
   thus a \hat{l} - 1 dvd a \hat{r} - 1 by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow l \ dvd \ m
   using r-def by auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma gauss-poly-div-gauss-poly-iff:
 assumes m > 0 n > 0 a > 1
 shows gauss-poly R (a^n) pdivides_R gauss-poly R (a^m)
   \longleftrightarrow n dvd m (is ?lhs=?rhs)
proof -
 have a:a \hat{m} > 1 using assms one-less-power by blast
 hence a1: a \hat{m} > 0 by linarith
 have b:a \hat{\ } n > 1 using assms one-less-power by blast
 hence b1:a^n > 0 by linarith
 have ?lhs \longleftrightarrow
   (X \upharpoonright P (a \cap -1) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) \otimes_P X pdivides
```

```
(X \ \widehat{\ } P \ (a \widehat{\ } m-1) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) \otimes_P X
   using gauss-poly-factor a1 b1 by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow
   (X [\widehat{\ }]_P (a\widehat{\ }n-1) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P) \ pdivides
   (X \upharpoonright P (a \cap m-1) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
   using var-closed a b var-neq-zero
   by (subst pdivides-mult-r, simp-all add:var-pow-eq-one-iff)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow a^n-1 \ dvd \ a^m-1
   using b
   by (subst gauss-poly-div-gauss-poly-iff-1) simp-all
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow int (a\widehat{n}-1) dvd int (a\widehat{m}-1)
   by (subst\ of\text{-}nat\text{-}dvd\text{-}iff,\ simp)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow int a^n-1 dvd int a^m-1
   using a b by (simp add:of-nat-diff)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow n dvd m
   using assms
   by (subst gauss-poly-div-gauss-poly-iff-2) simp-all
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
end
context finite-field
begin
interpretation polynomial-ring R carrier R
 unfolding polynomial-ring-def polynomial-ring-axioms-def
 using field-axioms carrier-is-subfield by simp
lemma div-gauss-poly-iff:
 assumes n > 0
 assumes monic-irreducible-poly R f
 shows f pdivides_R gauss-poly R (order R^n) \longleftrightarrow degree f dvd n
proof -
 have f-carr: f \in carrier P
   using assms(2) unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def
   unfolding monic-poly-def by simp
 have f-deg: degree f > 0
   using assms(2) monic-poly-min-degree by fastforce
 define K where K = Rupt_R (carrier R) f
 have field-K: field K
   using assms(2) unfolding K-def monic-irreducible-poly-def
   unfolding monic-poly-def
   by (subst rupture-is-field-iff-pirreducible) auto
 have a: order K = order R^{\hat{}}degree f
   using rupture-order[OF carrier-is-subfield] f-carr f-deg
   unfolding K-def order-def by simp
 have char - K: char K = char R
```

```
unfolding K-def by simp
have card (carrier K) > 0
  using a f-deg finite-field-min-order unfolding order-def by simp
hence d: finite (carrier K) using card-ge-0-finite by auto
interpret f: finite-field K
  using field-K d by (intro finite-fieldI, simp-all)
interpret fp: polynomial-ring K (carrier K)
  unfolding polynomial-ring-def polynomial-ring-axioms-def
  using f.field-axioms f.carrier-is-subfield by simp
define \varphi where \varphi = rupture-surj (carrier R) f
interpret h:ring-hom-ring P K \varphi
  unfolding K-def \varphi-def using f-carr rupture-surj-hom by simp
have embed-inj: inj-on (\varphi \circ poly\text{-of-const}) (carrier R)
  unfolding \varphi-def
  using embed-inj[OF carrier-is-subfield f-carr f-deg] by simp
interpret r: ring-hom-ring R P poly-of-const
  using canonical-embedding-ring-hom by simp
obtain rn where order R = char K^r n rn > 0
  unfolding char-K using finite-field-order by auto
hence ord-rn: order R \cap n = char K \cap (rn * n) using assms(1)
  by (simp add: power-mult)
interpret q:ring-hom-cring K K \lambda x. x [ ]_K \text{ order } R \hat{}_n
  using ord-rn
  by (intro f.frobenius-hom f.finite-carr-imp-char-ge-0 d, simp)
have o1: order R^degree f > 1
  using f-deg finite-field-min-order one-less-power
  by blast
hence o11: order R^degree f > 0 by linarith
have o2: order R^n > 1
  using assms(1) finite-field-min-order one-less-power
  bv blast
hence o21: order R \hat{n} > 0 by linarith
let ?g1 = gauss\text{-poly } K \text{ (order } R^{\text{-}}degree f)
let ?g2 = gauss\text{-poly } K \text{ (order } R \hat{n})
have g1-monic: monic-poly K ?g1
  using f.gauss-poly-monic[OF\ o1] by simp
have c:x [^{\hat{}}]_K (order R^{\hat{}} degree f) = x \text{ if } b:x \in carrier K \text{ for } x
  using b d order-pow-eq-self
  unfolding a[symmetric]
```

using rupture-char[OF carrier-is-subfield] f-carr f-deg

```
by (intro f.order-pow-eq-self, auto)
have k-cycle:
  \varphi (poly-of-const x) [\widehat{\ }]_K (order R\widehat{\ }n) = \varphi(poly-of-const x)
  if k-cycle-1: x \in carrier R for x
proof -
  have \varphi (poly-of-const x) \lceil \rceil_K (order R \rceil_n) =
    \varphi \ (poly\text{-}of\text{-}const \ (x \ [\widehat{\ }]_R \ (order \ R\widehat{\ }n)))
    using k-cycle-1 by (simp\ add: h.hom-nat-pow\ r.hom-nat-pow)
  also have ... = \varphi (poly-of-const x)
    using order-pow-eq-self' k-cycle-1 by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
have roots-g1: pmult_K d ?g1 \ge 1
  if roots-g1-assms: degree d = 1 monic-irreducible-poly K d for d
proof -
  obtain x where x-def: x \in carrier \ K \ d = [\mathbf{1}_K, \ominus_K x]
    using f.degree-one-monic-poly\ roots-g1-assms by auto
  interpret x:ring-hom-cring poly-ring K K (\lambda p. f.eval p x)
    by (intro fp.eval-cring-hom x-def)
  have ring.eval K ?g1 x = \mathbf{0}_K
    unfolding gauss-poly-def a-minus-def
    using fp.var-closed f.eval-var x-def c
    by (simp, algebra)
  hence f.is-root ?g1 x
    using x-def f. gauss-poly-not-zero [OF o1]
    unfolding f.is-root-def univ-poly-zero by simp
  hence [\mathbf{1}_K,\ominus_Kx] pdivides _K? g1
    using f.is-root-imp-pdivides f.gauss-poly-carr by simp
  hence d pdivides_K ?g1 by (simp \ add:x-def)
  thus pmult_K d ?g1 \ge 1
    using that f.gauss-poly-not-zero f.gauss-poly-carr o1
    by (subst\ f.multiplicity-ge-1-iff-pdivides,\ simp-all)
qed
show ?thesis
proof
  assume f:f \ pdivides_R \ gauss-poly \ R \ (order \ R^n)
  have (\varphi X) [ \widehat{\ }]_K (order R \widehat{\ } n) \ominus_K (\varphi X_R) =
    \varphi (gauss-poly R (order R^n))
    unfolding gauss-poly-def a-minus-def using var-closed
    by (simp add: h.hom-nat-pow)
  also have \dots = \mathbf{0}_K
    unfolding K-def \varphi-def using f-carr gauss-poly-carr f
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst\ rupture-eq-0-iff},\ \mathit{simp-all})
  finally have (\varphi X_R) [\mathring{\ }_K (order R \hat{\ } n) \ominus_K (\varphi X_R) = \mathbf{0}_K
    by simp
  hence g:(\varphi X) \ [\widehat{\ }]_K \ (order R\widehat{\ } n) = (\varphi X)
```

```
using var-closed by simp
```

```
have roots-g2: pmult_K d ?g2 \ge 1
 if roots-g2-assms: degree d = 1 monic-irreducible-poly K d for d
proof -
 obtain y where y-def: y \in carrier K d = [\mathbf{1}_K, \ominus_K y]
    using f.degree-one-monic-poly\ roots-g2-assms by auto
 interpret x:ring-hom-cring poly-ring K K (\lambda p. f.eval p y)
    by (intro fp.eval-cring-hom y-def)
 obtain x where x-def: x \in carrier P y = \varphi x
    using y-def unfolding \varphi-def K-def rupture-def
    unfolding FactRing-def A-RCOSETS-def'
   by auto
 let ?\tau = \lambda i. poly-of-const (coeff x i)
 have test: ?\tau \ i \in carrier \ P \ for \ i
   by (intro r.hom-closed coeff-range x-def)
 have test-2: coeff x i \in carrier R for i
   by (intro coeff-range x-def)
 \mathbf{have}\ x\text{-}coeff\text{-}carr\ i\in set\ x\Longrightarrow i\in carrier\ R\ \ \mathbf{for}\ i
   using x-def(1)
  by (auto simp add:univ-poly-carrier[symmetric] polynomial-def)
 have a:map (\varphi \circ poly\text{-}of\text{-}const) \ x \in carrier \ (poly\text{-}ring \ K)
    using rupture-surj-norm-is-hom[OF f-carr]
    using domain-axioms f.domain-axioms embed-inj
   by (intro carrier-hom'[OF \ x\text{-}def(1)])
    (simp-all\ add:\varphi-def\ K-def)
 have (\varphi x) [\widehat{\ }]_K (order R\widehat{\ } n) =
   f.eval\ (map\ (\varphi \circ poly-of-const)\ x)\ (\varphi\ X)\ [\urcorner_K\ (order\ R\widehat{\ } n)
   unfolding \varphi-def K-def
   by (subst\ rupture-surj-as-eval[OF\ f-carr\ x-def(1)],\ simp)
  also have ... =
   f.eval (map (\lambda x. \varphi (poly-of-const x) [^{\uparrow}_{K} order R ^{\hat{}} n) x) (\varphi X)
   using a h.hom\text{-}closed\ var\text{-}closed(1)
   by (subst q.ring.eval-hom[OF f.carrier-is-subring])
      (simp-all\ add:comp-def\ g)
 also have ... = f.eval \ (map \ (\lambda x. \ \varphi \ (poly-of\text{-}const \ x)) \ x) \ (\varphi \ X)
    using k-cycle x-coeff-carr
   by (intro arg-cong2[where f=f.eval] map-cong, simp-all)
 also have ... = (\varphi x)
    unfolding \varphi-def K-def
 by (subst\ rupture\ -surj\ -as\ -eval[OFf\ -carr\ x\ -def(1)],\ simp\ add\ :comp\ -def)
 finally have \varphi x [\widehat{\ }]_K \ order R \widehat{\ } n = \varphi x  by simp
 hence y \ [\widehat{\ }]_K \ (order \ R\widehat{\ } n) = y \ using \ x-def \ by \ simp
 hence ring.eval\ K\ ?g2\ y = \mathbf{0}_K
```

```
unfolding qauss-poly-def a-minus-def
       using fp.var-closed f.eval-var y-def
       by (simp, algebra)
     hence f.is-root ?g2 y
       using y-def f.gauss-poly-not-zero[OF o2]
       unfolding f.is-root-def univ-poly-zero by simp
     hence d pdivides_K ?g2
       unfolding y-def
       by (intro f.is-root-imp-pdivides f.gauss-poly-carr, simp)
     thus pmult_K d ?g2 \ge 1
       using that f.gauss-poly-carr f.gauss-poly-not-zero o2
       by (subst f.multiplicity-ge-1-iff-pdivides, auto)
   qed
   have inv-k-inj: inj-on (\lambda x. \ominus_K x) (carrier K)
     by (intro inj-onI, metis f.minus-minus)
   let ?mip = monic-irreducible-poly K
   \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{sum'} \ (\lambda \mathit{d}. \ \mathit{pmult}_K \ \mathit{d} \ ?\mathit{g1} \ * \ \mathit{degree} \ \mathit{d}) \ \{\mathit{d}. \ ?\mathit{mip} \ \mathit{d}\} = \mathit{degree}
?q1
     using f.gauss-poly-monic o1
     by (subst\ f.degree-monic-poly',\ simp-all)
   also have \dots = order K
     using f.gauss-poly-degree of a by simp
   also have ... = card ((\lambda k. [\mathbf{1}_K, \ominus_K k]) ' carrier K)
     unfolding order-def using inj-onD[OF inv-k-inj]
     by (intro card-image[symmetric] inj-onI) (simp-all)
   also have ... = card \{d. ?mip \ d \land degree \ d = 1\}
     using f.degree-one-monic-poly
     by (intro arg-cong[where f = card], simp add:set-eq-iff image-iff)
   also have ... = sum(\lambda d. 1) \{d. ?mip d \land degree d = 1\}
     by simp
   also have ... = sum'(\lambda d. 1) \{d. ?mip \ d \land degree \ d = 1\}
     by (intro sum.eq-sum[symmetric]
         finite-subset[OF - fp.finite-poly(1)[OF d]])
      (auto simp:monic-irreducible-poly-def monic-poly-def)
   also have ... = sum'(\lambda d. of\text{-}bool(degree d = 1)) \{d. ?mip d\}
     by (intro sum.mono-neutral-cong-left' subsetI, simp-all)
   also have ... \leq sum' (\lambda d. \ of\text{-bool} \ (degree \ d = 1)) \{d. \ ?mip \ d\}
   finally have sum' (\lambda d. pmult_K d ?g1 * degree d) {d. ?mip d}
     \leq sum' (\lambda d. \ of\text{-bool} \ (degree \ d = 1)) \ \{d. \ ?mip \ d\}
     by simp
   moreover have
     pmult_K \ d \ ?g1 * degree \ d \ge of\text{-bool} \ (degree \ d = 1)
     if v:monic-irreducible-poly K d for d
   proof (cases degree d = 1)
     case True
     then obtain x where x \in carrier K d = [\mathbf{1}_K, \ominus_K x]
```

```
using f.degree-one-monic-poly\ v by auto
 hence pmult_K d ?g1 \ge 1
   using roots-g1 v by simp
 then show ?thesis using True by simp
next
 {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
 then show ?thesis by simp
moreover have
 finite \{d. ?mip \ d \land pmult_K \ d ?g1 * degree \ d > 0\}
by (intro finite-subset[OF - f.factor-monic-poly-fin[OF g1-monic]]
     subsetI) simp
ultimately have v2:
 \forall d \in \{d. ?mip d\}. pmult_K d ?g1 * degree d =
 of-bool (degree d = 1)
 by (intro sum'-eq-iff, simp-all add:not-le)
have pmult_K d ?g1 \le pmult_K d ?g2 if ?mip d for d
proof (cases degree d = 1)
 case True
 hence pmult_K d ?g1 = 1 using v2 that by auto
 also have \dots \leq pmult_K d ?g2
   by (intro roots-g2 True that)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
next
 {f case}\ {\it False}
 hence degree d > 1
   using f.monic-poly-min-degree [OF that] by simp
 hence pmult_K d ?g1 = 0 using v2 that by force
 then show ?thesis by simp
qed
hence ?g1 \ pdivides_K \ ?g2
 using of of f.divides-monic-poly f.gauss-poly-monic by simp
thus degree f dvd n
 by (subst (asm) f.gauss-poly-div-gauss-poly-iff
     [OF\ assms(1)\ f\text{-}deg\ finite\text{-}field\text{-}min\text{-}order],\ simp)
have d:\varphi X_R \in carrier K
 by (intro h.hom-closed var-closed)
have \varphi (gauss-poly R (order R^degree f)) =
 (\varphi X_R) \ [\widehat{\ }]_K \ (order \ R\widehat{\ } degree \ f) \ominus_K \ (\varphi X_R)
 unfolding gauss-poly-def a-minus-def using var-closed
 by (simp add: h.hom-nat-pow)
also have \dots = \mathbf{0}_K
 using c \ d by simp
finally have \varphi (gauss-poly R (order R^degree f)) = \mathbf{0}_K by simp
hence f pdivides_R gauss-poly R (order R ^*degree f)
 unfolding K-def \varphi-def using f-carr gauss-poly-carr
 by (subst (asm) rupture-eq-0-iff, simp-all)
```

```
moreover assume degree f dvd n
   hence gauss-poly R (order R^degree f) pdivides
     (gauss-poly\ R\ (order\ R\widehat{n}))
    using gauss-poly-div-gauss-poly-iff
      [OF assms(1) f-deg finite-field-min-order]
    by simp
   ultimately show f pdivides R gauss-poly R (order R \hat{n})
     using f-carr a p.divides-trans unfolding pdivides-def by blast
 qed
qed
lemma gauss-poly-splitted:
 splitted (gauss-poly R (order R))
proof -
 have degree q < 1 if
   g \in carrier P
   pirreducible (carrier R) q
   q pdivides gauss-poly R (order R) for q
 proof -
   have q-carr: q \in carrier (mult-of P)
    using that unfolding ring-irreducible-def by simp
   moreover have irreducible (mult-of P) q
    using that unfolding ring-irreducible-def
    by (intro p.irreducible-imp-irreducible-mult that, simp-all)
   ultimately obtain p where p-def:
     monic\text{-}irreducible\text{-}poly\ R\ p\ q \sim_{mult\text{-}of\ P} p
    using monic-poly-span by auto
   have p-carr: p \in carrier P p \neq []
    using p-def(1)
    unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def monic-poly-def
    by auto
   moreover have p divides<sub>mult-of P</sub> q
    using associatedE[OF \ p\text{-}def(2)] by auto
   hence p pdivides q
    unfolding pdivides-def using divides-mult-imp-divides by simp
   moreover have q pdivides gauss-poly R (order R^1)
    using that by simp
   ultimately have p pdivides gauss-poly R (order R^1)
    unfolding pdivides-def using p.divides-trans by blast
   hence degree p dvd 1
    using div-gauss-poly-iff[where n=1] p-def(1) by simp
   hence degree p = 1 by simp
   moreover have q divides_{mult-of} p
    using associatedE[OF \ p\text{-}def(2)] by auto
   hence q pdivides p
    unfolding pdivides-def using divides-mult-imp-divides by simp
```

hence $degree \ q \leq degree \ p$ **using** $that \ p\text{-}carr$

```
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 thus ?thesis
   using gauss-poly-carr
   by (intro trivial-factors-imp-splitted, auto)
qed
The following lemma, for the case when R is a simple prime field,
can be found in Ireland and Rosen [3, §7.1, Theorem 2]. Here
the result is verified even for arbitrary finite fields.
{f lemma} multiplicity-of-factor-of-gauss-poly:
 assumes n > 0
 assumes monic-irreducible-poly R f
 shows
   pmult_R f (gauss-poly R (order R^n)) = of-bool (degree f dvd n)
proof (cases degree f \ dvd \ n)
 case True
 let ?g = gauss\text{-poly } R \text{ (order } R \hat{n})
 have f-carr: f \in carrier\ P\ f \neq []
   using assms(2)
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def monic-poly-def
   by auto
 have o2: order R^n > 1
   using finite-field-min-order assms(1) one-less-power by blast
 hence o21: order R \hat{n} > 0 by linarith
 obtain d :: nat where order-dim: order R = char R \cap d d > 0
   using finite-field-order by blast
 have d * n > 0 using order-dim assms by simp
 hence char-dvd-order: int (char R) dvd int (order R \cap n)
   unfolding order-dim
   using finite-carr-imp-char-ge-0[OF finite-carrier]
   by (simp add:power-mult[symmetric])
 interpret h: ring-hom-ring R P poly-of-const
   \mathbf{using} \ canonical\text{-}embedding\text{-}ring\text{-}hom \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
 have f pdivides_R ?g
   using True div-gauss-poly-iff[OF assms] by simp
 hence pmult_R f ?g \ge 1
   using multiplicity-ge-1-iff-pdivides[OF assms(2)]
   using gauss-poly-carr gauss-poly-not-zero[OF o2]
   by auto
 moreover have pmult_R f ?g < 2
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume \neg pmult_R f ?g < 2
```

by (intro pdivides-imp-degree-le) auto

```
hence pmult_R f ?g \ge 2 by simp
hence (f \ [ \ ]_P \ (2::nat)) \ pdivides_R \ ?g
 using gauss-poly-carr gauss-poly-not-zero[OF o2]
 by (subst\ (asm)\ multiplicity-ge-iff[OF\ assms(2)])\ simp-all
hence (f [ ]_P (2::nat)) divides_{mult-of P} ?g
  unfolding pdivides-def
 using f-carr gauss-poly-not-zero o2 gauss-poly-carr
 by (intro p.divides-imp-divides-mult) simp-all
then obtain h where h-def:
 h \in carrier (mult-of P)
  ?g = f \ [ \ ]_P \ (2::nat) \otimes_P h
 using dividesD by auto
have \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P = int\text{-}embed\ P\ (order\ R\ \widehat{\ } n)
  \otimes_P (X_R [ \widehat{\ }]_P (order R \widehat{\ } n-1)) \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P
 using var-closed
 apply (subst int-embed-consistent-with-poly-of-const)
 apply (subst iffD2[OF embed-char-eq-0-iff char-dvd-order])
 by (simp add:a-minus-def)
also have ... = pderiv_R (X_R [^{\hat{}}] P order R ^{\hat{}} n) \ominus_P pderiv_R X_R
  using pderiv-var
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst\ pderiv-var-pow}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{o21}],\ \mathit{simp})
also have ... = pderiv_R ?g
  unfolding gauss-poly-def a-minus-def using var-closed
 by (subst pderiv-add, simp-all add:pderiv-inv)
also have ... = pderiv_R (f \cap_P (2::nat) \otimes_P h)
 using h-def(2) by simp
also have ... = pderiv_R (f [^{\uparrow}]_P (2::nat)) \otimes_P h
 \bigoplus_{P} (f \ [\widehat{\ }]_{P} \ (2::nat)) \otimes_{P} pderiv_{R} \ h
 using f-carr h-def
 by (intro pderiv-mult, simp-all)
also have ... = int-embed\ P\ 2\ \otimes_P f\ \otimes_P\ pderiv_R\ f\ \otimes_P\ h
 \bigoplus_P f \otimes_P f \otimes_P pderiv_R h
 \mathbf{using}\ f\text{-}carr
 by (subst pderiv-pow, simp-all add:numeral-eq-Suc)
also have ... = f \otimes_P (int\text{-}embed\ P\ 2 \otimes_P pderiv_R\ f \otimes_P h)
  \bigoplus_P f \otimes_P (f \otimes_P pderiv_R h)
 using f-carr pderiv-carr h-def p.int-embed-closed
 apply (intro arg-cong2[where f=(\oplus_P)])
 by (subst p.m-comm, simp-all add:p.m-assoc)
also have \dots = f \otimes_P
 (int-embed P \ 2 \otimes_P pderiv_R f \otimes_P h \oplus_P f \otimes_P pderiv_R h)
 using f-carr pderiv-carr h-def p.int-embed-closed
 by (subst\ p.r-distr,\ simp-all)
finally have \ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P = f \otimes_P
  (\mathit{int\text{-}embed}\ P\ 2\ \otimes_{P}\ \mathit{pderiv}_{R}\ f \otimes_{P}\ h \oplus_{P} f \otimes_{P}\ \mathit{pderiv}_{R}\ h)
  (\mathbf{is} - = f \otimes_P ?q)
 by simp
```

hence $f pdivides_R \oplus_P \mathbf{1}_P$

```
unfolding factor-def pdivides-def
     using f-carr pderiv-carr h-def p.int-embed-closed
     by auto
   moreover have \bigoplus_P \mathbf{1}_P \neq \mathbf{0}_P by simp
   ultimately have degree f \leq degree \ (\ominus_P \mathbf{1}_P)
     using f-carr
     by (intro pdivides-imp-degree-le, simp-all add:univ-poly-zero)
   also have \dots = \theta
     by (subst univ-poly-a-inv-degree, simp)
     (simp add:univ-poly-one)
   finally have degree f = \theta by simp
   then show False
     using pirreducible-degree assms(2)
     unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def monic-poly-def
     by fastforce
 qed
 ultimately have pmult_R f ? g = 1 by simp
 then show ?thesis using True by simp
next
 case False
 have o2: order R^n > 1
   using finite-field-min-order assms(1) one-less-power by blast
 have \neg(f \ pdivides_R \ gauss-poly \ R \ (order \ R \hat{\ } n))
   using div-gauss-poly-iff[OF assms] False by simp
 hence pmult_R f (gauss-poly R (order R^n)) = 0
   using multiplicity-ge-1-iff-pdivides[OF assms(2)]
   using gauss-poly-carr gauss-poly-not-zero[OF o2] leI less-one
   by blast
 then show ?thesis using False by simp
qed
The following lemma, for the case when R is a simple prime field,
can be found in Ireland and Rosen [3, §7.1, Corollary 1]. Here
the result is verified even for arbitrary finite fields.
lemma card-irred-aux:
 assumes n > \theta
 shows order R \hat{\ } n = (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ d *
   card \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f = d\})
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
 let ?G = \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f dvd n\}
 let ?D = \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f\}
 have a: finite \{d.\ d\ dvd\ n\} using finite-divisors-nat assms by simp
 have b: finite \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f = k\} for k
 proof -
   have \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f = k\} \subseteq
```

```
\{f.\ f\in carrier\ P\land degree\ f\leq k\}
     unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def monic-poly-def by auto
   moreover have finite \{f. f \in carrier\ P \land degree\ f \leq k\}
     using finite-poly[OF finite-carrier] by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using finite-subset by simp
 qed
 have G-split: ?G =
   \bigcup \{\{f. \ monic-irreducible-poly \ R \ f \land degree \ f = d\} \mid d. \ d \ dvd \ n\}
   by auto
 have c: finite ?G
   using a b by (subst G-split, auto)
 have d: order R^n > 1
   using assms finite-field-min-order one-less-power by blast
 have ?lhs = degree (qauss-poly R (order R^n))
   using d
   by (subst gauss-poly-degree, simp-all)
 also have ... =
   sum'(\lambda d. pmult_R d (gauss-poly R (order R^n)) * degree d) ?D
   by (intro degree-monic-poly'[symmetric] gauss-poly-monic)
 also have ... = sum'(\lambda d. of\text{-}bool (degree d dvd n) * degree d) ?D
   using multiplicity-of-factor-of-gauss-poly[OF assms]
   by (intro sum.cong', auto)
 also have ... = sum'(\lambda d. degree d) ?G
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{intro}\ \mathit{sum}.\mathit{mono-neutral-cong-right'}\ \mathit{subset}I,\ \mathit{auto})
 also have ... = (\sum d \in ?G. degree d)
   using c by (intro\ sum.eq\text{-}sum,\ simp)
 also have ... =
   (\sum f \in (\bigcup d \in \{d. \ d \ dvd \ n\}.
   \{f.\ monic-irreducible-poly\ R\ f\ \land\ degree\ f=d\}).\ degree\ f)
   by (intro sum.cong, auto simp add:set-eq-iff)
 also have ... = (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ sum \ degree
   \{f.\ monic-irreducible-poly\ R\ f\ \land\ degree\ f=d\})
   using a b by (subst sum. UNION-disjoint, auto simp add:set-eq-iff)
 also have ... = (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ sum \ (\lambda -. \ d)
   \{f.\ monic-irreducible-poly\ R\ f\ \land\ degree\ f=d\}\}
   by (intro sum.cong, simp-all)
 also have \dots = ?rhs
   by (simp add:mult.commute)
 finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
end
```

end

6.2 Gauss Formula

```
theory Card-Irreducible-Polynomials
imports
Dirichlet-Series.Moebius-Mu
Card-Irreducible-Polynomials-Aux
begin
```

hide-const Polynomial.order

The following theorem is a slightly generalized form of the formula discovered by Gauss for the number of monic irreducible polynomials over a finite field. He originally verified the result for the case when R is a simple prime field. The version of the formula here for the case where R may be an arbitrary finite field can be found in Chebolu and Mináč [1].

```
theorem (in finite-field) card-irred:
assumes n > 0
shows n * card \{f. monic-irreducible-poly <math>R f \land degree f = n\} = (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. moebius-mu \ d * (order R^n \ div \ d)))
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof —
have ?lhs = dirichlet-prod \ moebius-mu \ (\lambda x. \ int \ (order \ R)^n x) \ n
using card-irred-aux
by (intro moebius-inversion assms) (simp flip:of-nat-power)
also have ... = ?rhs
by (simp add:dirichlet-prod-def)
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

In the following an explicit analytic lower bound for the cardinality of monic irreducible polynomials is shown, with which existence follows. This part deviates from the classic approach, where existence is verified using a divisibility argument. The reason for the deviation is that an analytic bound can also be used to estimate the runtime of a randomized algorithm selecting an irreducible polynomial, by randomly sampling monic polynomials.

```
lemma (in finite-field) card-irred-1:
    card \{f.\ monic-irreducible-poly\ R\ f\ \land\ degree\ f=1\}=order\ R

proof —
    have int\ (1*\ card\ \{f.\ monic-irreducible-poly\ R\ f\ \land\ degree\ f=1\})
=int\ (order\ R)
    by (subst\ card-irred,\ auto)
    thus ?thesis\ by\ simp
qed
```

lemma (in finite-field) card-irred-2:

```
real (card \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f = 2\}) =
   (real (order R)^2 - order R) / 2
proof -
 have x \ dvd \ 2 \Longrightarrow x = 1 \lor x = 2 \ \mathbf{for} \ x :: nat
   using nat-dvd-not-less[where m=2]
   by (metis One-nat-def even-zero gcd-nat.strict-trans2
      less-2-cases nat-neq-iff pos2)
 hence a: \{d. \ d \ dvd \ 2\} = \{1,2::nat\}
   by (auto simp add:set-eq-iff)
 have 2*real (card \{f. monic-irreducible-poly <math>R f \land degree f = 2\})
   = of-int (2* card \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f = 2\})
   by simp
 also have \dots =
   of-int (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ 2. moebius-mu d * int \ (order \ R) \ \widehat{\ } (2 \ div \ d))
   by (subst card-irred, auto)
 also have ... = order R^2 - int (order R)
   by (subst\ a,\ simp)
 also have ... = real (order R)^2 - order R
   by simp
 finally have
   2 * real (card \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f = 2\}) =
   real (order R)^2 - order R
   by simp
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in finite-field) card-irred-gt-2:
 assumes n > 2
 shows real (order R) \hat{n} / (2*real n) \leq
   card \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f = n\}
   (is ?lhs \leq ?rhs)
proof -
 let ?m = real (order R)
 have a:?m \geq 2
   using finite-field-min-order by simp
 have b:moebius-mu n \geq -(1::real) for n::nat
   using abs-moebius-mu-le[where n=n]
   unfolding abs-le-iff by auto
 have c: n > 0 using assms by simp
 have d: x < n - 1 if d-assms: x \ dvd \ n \ x \neq n for x :: nat
 proof -
   have x < n
     using d-assms dvd-nat-bounds c by auto
   moreover have \neg (n-1 \ dvd \ n) using assms
    by (metis One-nat-def Suc-diff-Suc c diff-zero
        dvd-add-triv-right-iff nat-dvd-1-iff-1
```

```
nat-neq-iff numeral-2-eq-2 plus-1-eq-Suc)
   hence x \neq n-1 using d-assms by auto
   ultimately show x < n-1 by simp
 have ?m^n / 2 = ?m^n - ?m^n/2 by simp
 also have \dots \leq ?m^n - ?m^n/?m^1
   using a by (intro diff-mono divide-left-mono, simp-all)
 also have \dots \leq ?m^n - ?m^n(n-1)
   using a c by (subst power-diff, simp-all)
 also have ... \leq ?m^n - (?m^n - 1) - 1)/1 by simp
 also have ... \leq ?m^n - (?m^n(n-1)-1)/(?m-1)
   using a by (intro diff-left-mono divide-left-mono, simp-all)
 also have ... = ?m^n - (\sum i \in \{..< n-1\}. ?m^i)
   using a by (subst geometric-sum, simp-all)
 also have ... \leq ?m^n - (\sum i \in \{k. \ k \ dvd \ n \land k \neq n\}. \ ?m^i)
   using d
   by (intro diff-mono sum-mono2 subsetI, auto simp add:not-less)
 also have ... = ?m^n + (\sum i \in \{k. \ k \ dvd \ n \land k \neq n\}. \ (-1) * ?m^i)
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst\ sum-distrib-left}[\mathit{symmetric}],\ \mathit{simp})
 also have ... \leq moebius-mu\ 1 * ?m^n +
   (\sum i \in \{k. \ k \ dvd \ n \land k \neq n\}. \ moebius-mu \ (n \ div \ i) * ?m^i)
   using b
   by (intro add-mono sum-mono mult-right-mono)
     (simp-all\ add:not-less)
 also have ... = (\sum i \in insert \ n \ \{k. \ k \ dvd \ n \land k \neq n\}.
   moebius-mu \ (n \ div \ i) * ?m^i)
   using c by (subst\ sum.insert, auto)
 also have ... = (\sum i \in \{k. \ k \ dvd \ n\}. \ moebius-mu \ (n \ div \ i) * ?m^i)
   by (intro sum.cong, auto simp add:set-eq-iff)
 also have ... = dirichlet-prod(\lambda i. ?m^{\hat{i}}) moebius-mu n
   unfolding dirichlet-prod-def by (intro sum.cong, auto)
 also have ... = dirichlet-prod moebius-mu (\lambda i. ?m\hat{i}) n
   using dirichlet-prod-commutes by metis
 also have ... =
   of-int (\sum d \mid d \ dvd \ n. \ moebius-mu \ d * order \ R^n(n \ div \ d))
   unfolding dirichlet-prod-def by simp
 also have \dots = of\text{-}int (n *
   card \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f \land length f - 1 = n\}\}
   \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{card-irred}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{c}] \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{simp}
 also have \dots = n * ?rhs by simp
 finally have ?m^n / 2 \le n * ?rhs by simp
 hence ?m \cap n \leq 2 * n * ?rhs by simp
 hence ?m^n/(2*real\ n) \leq ?rhs
   using c by (subst pos-divide-le-eq, simp-all add:algebra-simps)
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in finite-field) card-irred-gt-0:
```

```
assumes d > 0
shows real(order\ R) \hat{\ } d / (2*real\ d) \leq real\ (card\ \{f.\ monic-irreducible-poly\})
R f \wedge degree f = d)
   (is ?L \le ?R)
proof -
 consider (a) d = 1 \mid (b) \ d = 2 \mid (c) \ d > 2 using assms by linarith
 thus ?thesis
 proof (cases)
   case a
   hence ?L = real (order R)/2 by simp
  also have ... \leq real \ (order \ R) using finite-field-min-order by simp
   also have \dots = ?R unfolding a card-irred-1 by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 next
   case b
   hence ?L = real (order R^2)/4 + 0 by simp
    also have ... \leq real (order R^2)/4 + real (order R)/2 * (real)
(order R)/2-1)
   using finite-field-min-order by (intro add-mono mult-nonneg-nonneg)
auto
   also have ... = (real \ (order \ R^2) - real \ (order \ R))/2
    by (simp add:algebra-simps power2-eq-square)
   also have \dots = ?R unfolding b card-irred-2 by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 next
   case c thus ?thesis by (rule card-irred-gt-2)
 qed
qed
lemma (in finite-field) exist-irred:
 assumes n > 0
 obtains f where monic-irreducible-poly R f degree f = n
proof -
 have 0 < real(order R)^n / (2*real n)
   using finite-field-min-order assms
   by (intro divide-pos-pos mult-pos-pos zero-less-power) auto
 also have ... \leq real (card \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f
= n
   (is - \leq real(card ?A))
   by (intro card-irred-gt-0 assms)
 finally have 0 < card \{f. monic-irreducible-poly R f \land degree f = f\}
n
   by auto
 hence ?A \neq \{\}
   by (metis card.empty nless-le)
 then obtain f where monic-irreducible-poly R f degree f = n
 thus ?thesis using that by simp
qed
```

```
theorem existence:
 assumes n > 0
 assumes Factorial-Ring.prime p
 shows \exists (F:: int \ set \ list \ set \ ring). finite-field <math>F \land order \ F = p \hat{\ } n
proof -
 interpret zf: finite-field ZFact (int p)
   using zfact-prime-is-finite-field assms by simp
 interpret zfp: polynomial-ring ZFact p carrier (ZFact p)
   unfolding polynomial-ring-def polynomial-ring-axioms-def
   using zf.field-axioms zf.carrier-is-subfield by simp
 have p-gt-\theta: p > \theta using prime-gt-\theta-nat assms(2) by simp
 obtain f where f-def:
   monic-irreducible-poly (ZFact (int p)) f
   degree f = n
   using zf.exist-irred assms by auto
 let ?F = Rupt_{(ZFact\ p)}\ (carrier\ (ZFact\ p))\ f
 have f \in carrier (poly-ring (ZFact (int p)))
   using f-def(1) zf.monic-poly-carr
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def
   by simp
 moreover have degree f > 0
   using assms(1) f-def by simp
 ultimately have order ?F = card (carrier (ZFact p))^degree f
   by (intro zf.rupture-order[OF zf.carrier-is-subfield]) auto
 hence a:order ?F = p \hat{n}
   unfolding f-def(2) card-zfact-carr[OF p-gt-0] by simp
 have field ?F
   using f-def(1) zf.monic-poly-carr monic-irreducible-poly-def
   by (subst zfp.rupture-is-field-iff-pirreducible) auto
 moreover have order ?F > 0
   unfolding a using assms(1,2) p-gt-0 by simp
 ultimately have b:finite-field ?F
   using card-ge-\theta-finite
   by (intro finite-fieldI, auto simp add: Coset.order-def)
 show ?thesis
   using a b
   by (intro exI[where x=?F], simp)
qed
end
```

7 Isomorphism between Finite Fields

```
theory Finite-Fields-Isomorphic
 imports
   {\it Card	ext{-}Irreducible	ext{-}Polynomials}
begin
lemma (in finite-field) eval-on-root-is-iso:
 defines p \equiv char R
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring (ZFact p))
 assumes pirreducible_{(ZFact\ p)}\ (carrier\ (ZFact\ p))\ f
 assumes order R = p^{\hat{}} degree f
 assumes x \in carrier R
 assumes eval (map (char-iso R) f) x = 0
 shows ring-hom-ring (Rupt_{(ZFact\ p)}\ (carrier\ (ZFact\ p))\ f)\ R
   (\lambda g. the\text{-}elem ((\lambda g'. eval (map (char\text{-}iso R) g') x) `g))
proof -
 let ?P = poly\text{-}ring (ZFact p)
 have char-pos: char R > 0
   using finite-carr-imp-char-ge-0[OF finite-carrier] by simp
 have p-prime: Factorial-Ring.prime p
   unfolding p-def
   using characteristic-is-prime[OF char-pos] by simp
 interpret zf: finite-field ZFact p
   using zfact-prime-is-finite-field p-prime by simp
 interpret pzf: principal-domain poly-ring (ZFact p)
   using zf.univ-poly-is-principal[OF zf.carrier-is-subfield] by simp
 interpret i: ideal (PIdl_{P} f) ?P
   by (intro\ pzf.cgenideal-ideal\ assms(2))
 have rupt-carr: y \subseteq carrier (poly-ring (ZFact p))
   if y \in carrier (Rupt_{ZFact \ p} (carrier (ZFact \ p)) \ f) for y
   using that pzf.quot-carr i.ideal-axioms by (simp add:rupture-def)
 have rupt-is-ring: ring (Rupt_{ZFact\ p}\ (carrier\ (ZFact\ p))\ f)
   unfolding rupture-def by (intro i.quotient-is-ring)
 have map (char-iso\ R) \in
   ring-iso ?P (poly-ring (R(|carrier := char-subring R)))
   using lift-iso-to-poly-ring[OF char-iso] zf.domain-axioms
   using char-ring-is-subdomain subdomain-is-domain
   by (simp\ add:p-def)
 moreover have (char\text{-}subring R)[X] =
   poly-ring (R (|carrier| := char-subring R))
   using univ-poly-consistent[OF char-ring-is-subring] by simp
 ultimately have
```

```
map\ (char\text{-}iso\ R) \in ring\text{-}hom\ ?P\ ((char\text{-}subring\ R)[X])
  by (simp add:ring-iso-def)
moreover have (\lambda p. \ eval \ p \ x) \in ring-hom ((char-subring \ R)[X]) \ R
  using eval-is-hom char-ring-is-subring assms(5) by simp
ultimately have
  (\lambda p. \ eval \ p \ x) \circ map \ (char-iso \ R) \in ring-hom \ ?P \ R
  using ring-hom-trans by blast
hence a:(\lambda p.\ eval\ (map\ (char-iso\ R)\ p)\ x)\in ring-hom\ ?P\ R
  by (simp add:comp-def)
interpret h:ring-hom-ring ?P R (\lambda p. eval (map (char-iso R) p) x)
  by (intro ring-hom-ringI2 pzf.ring-axioms a ring-axioms)
let ?h = (\lambda p. \ eval \ (map \ (char-iso \ R) \ p) \ x)
let ?J = a-kernel (poly-ring (ZFact (int p))) R ?h
have ?h 'a-kernel (poly-ring (ZFact (int p))) R ?h \subseteq \{0\}
  by auto
moreover have
  \mathbf{0}_{P} \in a\text{-kernel (poly-ring (ZFact (int p)))} R ?h
  ?h \ \mathbf{0}_{?P} = \mathbf{0}
  unfolding a-kernel-def' by simp-all
hence \{0\} \subseteq ?h 'a-kernel (poly-ring (ZFact (int p))) R ?h
  by simp
ultimately have c:
  ?h 'a-kernel (poly-ring (ZFact (int p))) R ?h = \{0\}
  by auto
have d: PIdl_{PP} f \subseteq a-kernel PR ?h
proof (rule subsetI)
  fix y assume y \in PIdl_{\mathcal{Q}P} f
  then obtain y' where y'-def: y' \in carrier ?P y = y' \otimes_{?P} f
   unfolding cgenideal-def by auto
  have ?h \ y = ?h \ (y' \otimes_{?P} f) by (simp \ add: y'-def)
  also have ... = ?h y' \otimes ?h f
   using y'-def assms(2) by simp
  also have ... = ?h y' \otimes 0
   using assms(6) by simp
  also have \dots = 0
    using y'-def by simp
  finally have ?h y = 0 by simp
  moreover have y \in carrier ?P \text{ using } y'\text{-}def \ assms(2) \text{ by } simp
  ultimately show y \in a-kernel ?P R ?h
   unfolding a-kernel-def kernel-def by simp
\mathbf{qed}
have (\lambda y. the\text{-}elem ((\lambda p. eval (map (char-iso R) p) x) 'y))
  \in ring-hom (?P Quot ?J) R
  using h.the-elem-hom by simp
moreover have (\lambda y. ?J <+>_{?P} y)
```

```
\in ring-hom\ (Rupt_{(ZFact\ p)}\ (carrier\ (ZFact\ p))\ f)\ (?P\ Quot\ ?J)
   unfolding rupture-def using h.kernel-is-ideal d assms(2)
   by (intro pzf.quot-quot-hom pzf.cgenideal-ideal) auto
  ultimately have (\lambda y. the\text{-}elem (?h 'y)) \circ (\lambda y. ?J <+>_{?P} y)
   \in ring\text{-}hom \ (Rupt_{(ZFact \ p)} \ (carrier \ (ZFact \ p)) \ f) \ R
   using ring-hom-trans by blast
 hence b: (\lambda y. the\text{-}elem (?h `(?J <+>_{?P} y))) \in
   ring-hom\ (Rupt_{(ZFact\ p)}\ (carrier\ (ZFact\ p))\ f)\ R
   by (simp add:comp-def)
 have ?h 'y = ?h '(?J <+>_{?P} y)
   if y \in carrier (Rupt_{ZFact\ p} (carrier (ZFact\ p))\ f)
   for y
 proof -
   have y-range: y \subseteq carrier ?P
     using rupt-carr that by simp
   have ?h 'y = \{0\} <+>_R ?h 'y
     using y-range h.hom-closed by (subst set-add-zero, auto)
   also have \dots = ?h \cdot ?J <+>_R ?h \cdot y
     by (subst\ c,\ simp)
   also have ... = ?h '(?J <+>_{?P} y)
     by (subst set-add-hom[OF a - y-range], subst a-kernel-def') auto
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 hence (\lambda y. the\text{-}elem (?h 'y)) \in
   ring-hom\ (Rupt_{(ZFact\ p)}\ (carrier\ (ZFact\ p))\ f)\ R
   by (intro ring-hom-cong[OF - rupt-is-ring b]) simp
 thus ?thesis
   by (intro ring-hom-ringI2 rupt-is-ring ring-axioms, simp)
qed
lemma (in domain) pdivides-consistent:
 assumes subfield K R f \in carrier(K[X]) g \in carrier(K[X])
 \mathbf{shows}\ f\ pdivides\ g \longleftrightarrow f\ pdivides_R\ (\ \mathit{carrier} := K\ )\ g
proof -
 have a: subring\ K\ R
   using assms(1) subfieldE(1) by auto
 let ?S = R (| carrier := K |)
 have f pdivides g \longleftrightarrow f divides K[X] g
   using pdivides-iff-shell[OF assms] by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in carrier (K[X]). f \otimes_{K[X]} x = g)
   unfolding pdivides-def factor-def by auto
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow
   (\exists \, x \in \mathit{carrier} \,\, (\mathit{poly-ring} \,\, ?S). \,\, f \, \otimes_{\mathit{poly-ring}} \, ?S \,\, x = \, g)
   using univ-poly-consistent [OF a] by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow f \ divides_{poly-ring} \ ?S \ g
   unfolding pdivides-def factor-def by auto
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow f \ pdivides_{?S} \ g
   unfolding pdivides-def by simp
```

```
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma (in finite-field) find-root:
 assumes subfield \ K \ R
 assumes monic-irreducible-poly (R \parallel carrier := K \parallel) f
 assumes order R = card \ K^{\hat{}} degree f
 obtains x where eval f x = 0 x \in carrier R
proof -
 define \tau :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list where \tau = id
 let ?K = R (| carrier := K |)
 have finite K
   using assms(1) by (intro finite-subset[OF - finite-carrier], simp)
 hence fin	ext{-}K: finite\ (carrier\ (?K))
   by simp
 interpret f: finite-field ?K
   using assms(1) subfield-iff fin-K finite-fieldI by blast
 have b:subring K R
   using assms(1) subfieldE(1) by blast
 interpret e: ring-hom-ring (K[X]) (poly-ring R) \tau
   using embed-hom[OF\ b] by (simp\ add:\tau-def)
 have a: card\ K^{\hat{}}degree\ f > 1
   using assms(3) finite-field-min-order by simp
 have f \in carrier (poly-ring ?K)
   using f.monic-poly-carr\ assms(2)
   unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def by simp
 hence f-carr-2: f \in carrier(K[X])
   using univ-poly-consistent[OF b] by simp
 have f-carr: f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
   using e.hom-closed[OF f-carr-2] unfolding \tau-def by simp
 have gp\text{-}carr: gauss\text{-}poly ?K (order ?K^degree f) \in carrier (K[X])
   using f.gauss-poly-carr\ univ-poly-consistent[OF\ b] by simp
 have gauss-poly ?K (order ?K^degree f) =
   gauss-poly ?K (card K^degree f)
   by (simp add:Coset.order-def)
 also have \dots =
   X_{?K} [ \uparrow]_{poly-ring ?K} card K \uparrow degree f \ominus_{poly-ring ?K} X_{?K}
   unfolding gauss-poly-def by simp
 also have ... = X_R [ ]_{K[X]} card K \cap degree f \ominus_{K[X]} X_R
   unfolding var-def using univ-poly-consistent [OF b] by simp
 also have ... = \tau (X_R [\uparrow]_{K[X]} card K \uparrow degree f \ominus_{K[X]} X_R)
   unfolding \tau-def by simp
 also have ... = qauss-poly R (card\ K^{\hat{}}degree\ f)
   unfolding gauss-poly-def a-minus-def using var-closed[OF b]
   by (simp add:e.hom-nat-pow, simp add:\tau-def)
 finally have gp-consistent: gauss-poly ?K (order ?K^degree f) =
```

```
gauss-poly R (card K^{\hat{}}degree f)
   by simp
 have deg-f: degree f > 0
   using f.monic-poly-min-degree [OF assms(2)] by simp
 have splitted f
 proof (cases degree f > 1)
   case True
   have f pdivides_{?K} gauss-poly ?K (order\ ?K^degree\ f)
    using f.div-gauss-poly-iff [OF deg-f assms(2)] by simp
   hence f pdivides gauss-poly ?K (order ?K^degree f)
    using pdivides-consistent[OF assms(1)] f-carr-2 gp-carr by simp
   hence f pdivides gauss-poly R (card K degree f)
    using qp-consistent by simp
   moreover have splitted (gauss-poly R (card K^degree f))
   unfolding assms(3)[symmetric] using gauss-poly-splitted by simp
   moreover have gauss-poly R (card K^{\hat{}}degree f) \neq []
    using gauss-poly-not-zero a by (simp add: univ-poly-zero)
   ultimately show splitted f
    using pdivides-imp-splitted f-carr gauss-poly-carr by auto
 next
   case False
   hence degree f = 1 using deg-f by simp
   thus ?thesis using f-carr degree-one-imp-splitted by auto
 qed
 hence size (roots f) > 0
   using deg-f unfolding splitted-def by simp
 then obtain x where x-def: x \in carrier R is-root f x
   using roots-mem-iff-is-root[OF f-carr]
   by (metis f-carr nonempty-has-size not-empty-rootsE)
 have eval f x = 0
   using x-def is-root-def by blast
 thus ?thesis using x-def using that by simp
qed
lemma (in finite-field) find-iso-from-zfact:
 defines p \equiv int (char R)
 assumes monic-irreducible-poly (ZFact p) f
 assumes order R = char R^{\hat{}} degree f
 shows \exists \varphi. \varphi \in ring\text{-}iso (Rupt_{(ZFact p)} (carrier (ZFact p)) f) R
proof -
 have char-pos: char R > 0
   using finite-carr-imp-char-ge-0[OF finite-carrier] by simp
 interpret zf: finite-field ZFact p
   unfolding p-def using zfact-prime-is-finite-field
   using characteristic-is-prime[OF char-pos] by simp
```

```
interpret zfp: polynomial-ring ZFact p carrier (ZFact p)
 unfolding polynomial-ring-def polynomial-ring-axioms-def
 using zf.field-axioms zf.carrier-is-subfield by simp
let ?f' = map (char-iso R) f
let ?F = Rupt_{(ZFact\ p)}\ (carrier\ (ZFact\ p))\ f
have domain (R(|carrier| := char-subring R))
 using char-ring-is-subdomain subdomain-is-domain by simp
hence monic-irreducible-poly (R \mid carrier := char-subring R \mid) ?f'
 using char-iso p-def zf.domain-axioms
 by (intro\ monic-irreducible-poly-hom[OF\ assms(2)])\ auto
moreover have order R = card (char-subring R) ^{\circ}degree ?f'
 using assms(3) unfolding char-def by simp
ultimately obtain x where x-def: eval ?f' x = 0 x \in carrier R
 using find-root[OF char-ring-is-subfield[OF char-pos]] by blast
let ?\varphi = (\lambda g. \ the\text{-}elem\ ((\lambda g'.\ eval\ (map\ (char\text{-}iso\ R)\ g')\ x)\ `g))
interpret r: ring-hom-ring ?F R ?\varphi
 using assms(2,3)
 unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def monic-poly-def p-def
 by (intro eval-on-root-is-iso x-def, auto)
have a: ?\varphi \in ring\text{-}hom ?F R
 using r.homh by auto
have field (Rupt_{ZFact\ p}\ (carrier\ (ZFact\ p))\ f)
 using assms(2)
 unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def monic-poly-def
 by (subst zfp.rupture-is-field-iff-pirreducible, simp-all)
hence b:inj-on ?\varphi (carrier ?F)
 using non-trivial-field-hom-is-inj[OF a - field-axioms] by simp
have card (?\varphi ' carrier ?F) = order ?F
 using card-image[OF b] unfolding Coset.order-def by simp
also have ... = card (carrier (ZFact p))^{\hat{}} degree f
 using assms(2) zf.monic-poly-min-degree[OF <math>assms(2)]
 unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def monic-poly-def
 by (intro zf.rupture-order[OF zf.carrier-is-subfield]) auto
also have ... = char R \ \hat{degree} f
 unfolding p-def by (subst card-zfact-carr[OF char-pos], simp)
also have \dots = card (carrier R)
 using assms(3) unfolding Coset.order-def by simp
finally have card (?\varphi 'carrier ?F) = card (carrier R) by simp
moreover have ?\varphi ' carrier ?F \subseteq carrier R
 by (intro image-subsetI, simp)
ultimately have ?\varphi 'carrier ?F = carrier R
 by (intro card-seteq finite-carrier, auto)
hence bij-betw ?\varphi (carrier ?F) (carrier R)
```

```
using b bij-betw-imageI by auto
 thus ?thesis
   unfolding ring-iso-def using a b by auto
qed
theorem uniqueness:
 assumes finite-field F_1
 assumes finite-field F_2
 assumes order F_1 = order F_2
 shows F_1 \simeq F_2
proof -
 obtain n where o1: order F_1 = char F_1 \hat{n} n > 0
   using finite-field.finite-field-order[OF assms(1)] by auto
 obtain m where o2: order F_2 = char F_2 \hat{m} m > 0
   using finite-field.finite-field-order [OF assms(2)] by auto
 interpret f1: finite-field F_1 using assms(1) by simp
 interpret f2: finite-field F_2 using assms(2) by simp
 have char-pos: char F_1 > 0 char F_2 > 0
   {\bf using} \ f1. finite-carrier \ f1. finite-carr-imp-char-ge-0
   using f2.finite-carrier f2.finite-carr-imp-char-ge-0 by auto
 hence char-prime:
   Factorial-Ring.prime (char F_1)
   Factorial-Ring.prime (char F_2)
   using f1.characteristic-is-prime f2.characteristic-is-prime
   by auto
 have char F_1 \hat{n} = char F_2 \hat{m}
   using of of assms(3) by simp
 hence eq: n = m \ char \ F_1 = char \ F_2
   using char-prime char-pos o1(2) o2(2) prime-power-inj' by auto
 obtain p where p-def: p = char F_1 p = char F_2
   using eq by simp
 have p-prime: Factorial-Ring.prime p
   unfolding p-def(1)
   using f1.characteristic-is-prime char-pos by simp
 interpret zf: finite-field ZFact (int p)
   using zfact-prime-is-finite-field p-prime o1(2)
   using prime-nat-int-transfer by blast
 interpret zfp: polynomial-ring ZFact p carrier (ZFact p)
   unfolding polynomial-ring-def polynomial-ring-axioms-def
   using zf.field-axioms zf.carrier-is-subfield by simp
```

```
monic-irreducible-poly (ZFact (int p)) f degree f = n
   using zf.exist-irred \ o1(2) by auto
 let ?F_0 = Rupt_{(ZFact\ p)} (carrier\ (ZFact\ p))\ f
 obtain \varphi_1 where \varphi_1-def: \varphi_1 \in ring-iso ?F_0 F_1
   using f1.find-iso-from-zfact f-def o1
   unfolding p-def by auto
 obtain \varphi_2 where \varphi_2-def: \varphi_2 \in ring-iso ?F_0 F_2
   using f2.find-iso-from-zfact f-def o2
   unfolding p-def(2) eq(1) by auto
 have ?F_0 \simeq F_1 using \varphi_1-def is-ring-iso-def by auto
 moreover have ?F_0 \simeq F_2 using \varphi_2-def is-ring-iso-def by auto
 moreover have field ?F_0
   using f-def(1) zf.monic-poly-carr monic-irreducible-poly-def
   by (subst zfp.rupture-is-field-iff-pirreducible) auto
 hence ring ?F_0 using field.is-ring by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis
   using ring-iso-trans ring-iso-sym by blast
qed
end
8
      Rabin's test for irreducible polynomials
theory Rabin-Irreducibility-Test
 imports Card-Irreducible-Polynomials-Aux
begin
This section introduces an effective test for irreducibility of poly-
nomials (in finite fields) based on Rabin [5].
definition pcoprime :: - \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow bool (\langle pcoprime_1 \rangle)
 where pcoprime_R p q =
    (\forall r \in carrier \ (poly\text{-}ring \ R). \ r \ pdivides_R \ p \land r \ pdivides_R \ q \longrightarrow
degree \ r = 0)
lemma pcoprimeI:
  assumes \bigwedge r. r \in carrier (poly-ring R) \Longrightarrow r pdivides R p \Longrightarrow r
pdivides_R \ q \Longrightarrow degree \ r = 0
 shows pcoprime_R p q
 using assms unfolding pcoprime-def by auto
context field
begin
interpretation r:polynomial-ring\ R\ (carrier\ R)
```

obtain f where f-def:

```
unfolding polynomial-ring-def polynomial-ring-axioms-def
 using carrier-is-subfield field-axioms by force
lemma pcoprime-one: pcoprime_R p \mathbf{1}_{poly\text{-}ring} _R
proof (rule pcoprimeI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ r
 assume r-carr: r \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 moreover assume r pdivides _R \mathbf{1}_{poly\text{-}ring} _R
 moreover have \mathbf{1}_{poly-ring} \ R \neq [] by (simp\ add:univ-poly-one)
 ultimately have degree r \leq degree \ \mathbf{1}_{poly-ring} \ R
   \mathbf{by}\ (intro\ pdivides-imp-degree-le[OF\ carrier-is-subring]\ r\text{-}carr)\ auto
 also have \dots = 0 by (simp\ add:univ-poly-one)
 finally show degree r = \theta by auto
qed
lemma pcoprime-left-factor:
 assumes x \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes y \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes z \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes pcoprime_R (x \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring} R y) z
 \mathbf{shows}\ \mathit{pcoprime}_R\ \mathit{x}\ \mathit{z}
proof (rule pcoprimeI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ r
 assume r-carr: r \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assume r pdivides R x
 \mathbf{hence}\ r\ pdivides\ _{R}\ (x\otimes_{poly\text{-}ring}\ _{R}\ y)
   using assms(1,2) r-carr r.p.divides-prod-r unfolding pdivides-def
 moreover assume r pdivides R z
 ultimately show degree r = 0 using assms(4) r-carr unfolding
pcoprime-def by simp
qed
lemma pcoprime-sym:
 shows pcoprime \ x \ y = pcoprime \ y \ x
 unfolding pcoprime-def by auto
lemma pcoprime-left-assoc-cong-aux:
 assumes x1 \in carrier (poly-ring R) \ x2 \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes x2 \sim_{poly-ring} R x1
 assumes y \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes pcoprime x1 y
 shows pcoprime x2 y
 using assms\ r.p.divides-conq-r[OF-assms(3)] unfolding pcoprime-def
pdivides-def by simp
lemma pcoprime-left-assoc-cong:
 assumes x1 \in carrier (poly-ring R) x2 \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes x1 \sim_{poly-ring} R x2
```

```
assumes y \in carrier (poly-ring R)
   shows pcoprime x1 \ y = pcoprime \ x2 \ y
   using assms pcoprime-left-assoc-cong-aux r.p. associated-sym by metis
lemma pcoprime-right-assoc-cong:
    assumes x1 \in carrier (poly-ring R) \ x2 \in carrier (poly-ring R)
    assumes x1 \sim_{poly-ring} x2
   assumes y \in carrier (poly-ring R)
   shows pcoprime y x1 = pcoprime y x2
    using assms peoprime-sym peoprime-left-assoc-eng by metis
lemma pcoprime-step:
    assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
   assumes g \in carrier (poly-ring R)
   shows pcoprime f g \longleftrightarrow pcoprime g (f pmod g)
proof -
   have d pdivides f \longleftrightarrow d pdivides (f pmod g) if d \in carrier (poly-ring)
R) d pdivides g for d
   proof -
        have d pdivides f \longleftrightarrow d pdivides (g \otimes_{r,P} (f pdiv g) \oplus_{r,P} (f pmod g) \oplus_{r,
g))
            using pdiv-pmod[OF carrier-is-subfield assms] by simp
        also have ... \longleftrightarrow d pdivides ((f pmod g))
        using that assms long-division-closed [OF carrier-is-subfield] r.p.divides-prod-r
            unfolding pdivides-def by (intro r.p.div-sum-iff) simp-all
        finally show ?thesis by simp
    qed
    hence d pdivides f \wedge d pdivides g \leftrightarrow d pdivides g \wedge d pdivides (f
pmod \ q)
        if d \in carrier (poly-ring R) for d
        using that by auto
    thus ?thesis
        unfolding pcoprime-def by auto
qed
lemma pcoprime-zero-iff:
   assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
    shows pcoprime f [] \longleftrightarrow length f = 1
proof -
    consider (i) length f = 0 \mid (ii) length f = 1 \mid (iii) length f > 1
        by linarith
    thus ?thesis
    proof (cases)
        case i
        hence f = [] by simp
       moreover have X pdivides [] using r.pdivides-zero r.var-closed(1)
        moreover have degree X = 1 using degree-var by simp
        ultimately have \neg pcoprime f [] using r.var-closed(1) unfolding
```

```
pcoprime-def by auto
   then show ?thesis using i by auto
 next
   case ii
   hence f \neq [] degree f = 0 by auto
   hence degree d = 0 if d pdivides f d \in carrier (poly-ring R) for d
    \mathbf{using}\ that (1)\ pdivides\text{-}imp\text{-}degree\text{-}le[OF\ carrier\text{-}is\text{-}subring\ that (2)
   hence pcoprime f [] unfolding pcoprime-def by auto
   then show ?thesis using ii by simp
 next
   case iii
   have f pdivides f using assms unfolding pdivides-def by simp
   moreover have f pdivides [] using assms r.pdivides-zero by blast
   moreover have degree f > 0 using iii by simp
    ultimately have \neg pcoprime f [] using assms unfolding pco-
prime-def by auto
   then show ?thesis using iii by auto
qed
end
context finite-field
begin
interpretation r:polynomial-ring R (carrier R)
 unfolding polynomial-ring-def polynomial-ring-axioms-def
 using carrier-is-subfield field-axioms by force
lemma exists-irreducible-proper-factor:
 assumes monic-poly R f degree f > 0 \neg monic-irreducible-poly <math>R f
 shows \exists g. monic-irreducible-poly R g \land g pdivides_R f \land degree g <
degree f
proof -
 define S where S = \{d. monic-irreducible-poly R d \land 0 < pmult d\}
 \mathbf{have}\ \textit{f-carr:}\ f \in \textit{carrier}\ (\textit{poly-ring}\ \textit{R})\ f \neq \mathbf{0}_{\textit{poly-ring}\ \textit{R}}
   using assms(1) unfolding monic-poly-def univ-poly-zero by auto
 have S \neq \{\}
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume S-empty: \neg(S \neq \{\})
   have f = (\bigotimes_{poly-ring} {}_{R}d \in S. \ d \ [ ]_{poly-ring} \ {}_{R} \ pmult \ d \ f)
     unfolding S-def by (intro factor-monic-poly assms(1))
   also have \dots = \mathbf{1}_{poly-ring\ R} using S-empty by simp
   finally have f = \mathbf{1}_{poly-ring \ R} by simp
   hence degree f = 0 using degree-one by simp
```

```
thus False using assms(2) by simp
 qed
 then obtain g where g-irred: monic-irreducible-poly R g and \theta <
pmult \ g \ f
   unfolding S-def by auto
 hence 1 \leq pmult \ g \ f \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
  hence g-div: g pdivides f using multiplicity-ge-1-iff-pdivides f-carr
g-irred by blast
 then obtain h where f-def: f = g \otimes_{poly-ring} R h and h-carr:h \in
carrier (poly-ring R)
   unfolding pdivides-def by auto
 have g-nz: g \neq \mathbf{0}_{poly\text{-}ring\ R} and h-nz: h \neq \mathbf{0}_{poly\text{-}ring\ R}
   and g-carr: g \in carrier (poly-ring R)
  using f-carr(2) h-carr g-irred unfolding f-def monic-irreducible-poly-def
monic-poly-def
   by auto
 have degree\ f = degree\ g + degree\ h
     using g-nz h-nz g-carr h-carr unfolding f-def by (intro de-
gree-mult[OF\ r.K-subring])\ auto
 moreover have degree h > 0
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume \neg(degree \ h > 0)
   hence degree h = 0 by simp
   hence h \in Units (poly-ring R)
   using h-carr h-nz by (simp add: carrier-is-subfield univ-poly-units'
univ-poly-zero)
   hence f \sim_{poly\text{-}ring} R g
     unfolding f-def using g-carr r.p.associatedI2' by force
   hence f \sim_{mult-of (poly-ring R)} g
     using f-carr g-nz g-carr by (simp add: r.p.assoc-iff-assoc-mult)
   hence f = g
   \mathbf{using}\ monic\text{-}poly\text{-}not\text{-}assoc\ assms(1)\ g\text{-}irred\ \mathbf{unfolding}\ monic\text{-}irreducible\text{-}poly\text{-}def
by simp
   hence monic-irreducible-poly R f
     using g-irred by simp
   thus False
     using assms(3) by auto
 ultimately have degree q < degree f by simp
 thus ?thesis using g-irred g-div by auto
qed
theorem rabin-irreducibility-condition:
 assumes monic-poly R f degree f > 0
```

```
defines N \equiv \{degree \ f \ div \ p \mid p \ . \ Factorial - Ring. prime \ p \land p \ dvd \}
degree f
 shows monic-irreducible-poly R f \longleftrightarrow
    (f pdivides gauss-poly R (order R^degree f) \land (\forall n \in N. pcoprime
(qauss-poly \ R \ (order \ R^n)) \ f))
   (is ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R1 \land ?R2)
proof -
 have f-carr: f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
   \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{assms}(1) \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ \mathit{monic-poly-def} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{blast}
 have ?R1 if ?L
   using div-gauss-poly-iff[where n=degree f] that assms(2) by simp
 moreover have False if cthat:\neg pcoprime (gauss-poly R (order R^n))
f ?L n \in N  for n
 proof -
   obtain d where d-def:
     d pdivides f
      d pdivides (gauss-poly R (order R^n)) degree d > 0 d \in carrier
(poly-ring R)
     using cthat(1) unfolding pcoprime-def by auto
   obtain p where p-def:
     n = degree \ f \ div \ p \ Factorial-Ring.prime p \ p \ dvd \ degree \ f
     using cthat(3) unfolding N-def by auto
   have n-gt-\theta: n > \theta
     using p-def assms(2) by (metis\ dvd-div-eq-0-iff gr\theta I)
   have d \notin Units (poly-ring R)
    using d-def(3,4) univ-poly-units' [OF carrier-is-subfield] by simp
   hence f pdivides d
     using cthat(2) d-def(1,4) unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def
ring-irreducible-def
      Divisibility.irreducible-def properfactor-def pdivides-def f-carr by
auto
   hence f pdivides (qauss-poly R (order <math>R^n))
     using d-def(2,4) f-carr r.p. divides-trans unfolding pdivides-def
by metis
   hence degree f dvd n
     using n-gt-0 div-gauss-poly-iff[OF - cthat(2)] by auto
   thus False
   using p-def by (metis assms(2) div-less-dividend n-gt-0 nat-dvd-not-less
prime-gt-1-nat)
 qed
 moreover have False if not-l:\neg?L and r1:?R1 and r2: ?R2
 proof -
  obtain g where g-def: g pdivides f degree g < degree f monic-irreducible-poly
R g
    using r1 not-l exists-irreducible-proper-factor assms(1,2) by auto
```

```
have g-carr: g \in carrier (poly-ring R) and g-nz: g \neq \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring R}
   using q-def(3) unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def monic-poly-def
by (auto simp:univ-poly-zero)
   have g pdivides gauss-poly R (order R degree f)
   using g-carr r1 g-def(1) unfolding pdivides-def using r.p.divides-trans
by blast
   hence degree g dvd degree f
    using div-gauss-poly-iff [OF\ assms(2)\ g-def(3)] by auto
   then obtain t where deg-f-def:degree <math>f = t * degree g
    by fastforce
   hence t > 1 using g\text{-}def(2) by simp
   then obtain p where p-prime: Factorial-Ring.prime p p dvd t
    by (metis order-less-irrefl prime-factor-nat)
   hence p-div-deg-f: p dvd degree f
    unfolding deg-f-def by simp
   define n where n = degree f div p
   have n-in-N: n \in N
    unfolding N-def n-def using p-prime(1) p-div-deg-f by auto
   have deg-g-dvd-n: degree g dvd n
    using p-prime(2) unfolding n-def deg-f-def by auto
   have n-qt-\theta: n > \theta
    using p-div-deg-f assms(2) p-prime(1) unfolding n-def
    by (metis\ dvd-div-eq-0-iff\ gr0I)
   have deg-g-gt-\theta: degree g > \theta
    using monic-poly-min-degree[OF\ g-def(3)] by simp
   have \theta: q pdivides gauss-poly R (order R^n)
    using deg-g-dvd-n div-gauss-poly-iff[OF n-gt-0 g-def(3)] by simp
   have pcoprime (gauss-poly R (order R^n)) f
     using n-in-N r2 by simp
   thus False
     using \theta g-def(1) g-carr deg-g-gt-\theta unfolding pcoprime-def by
simp
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by auto
A more general variant of the previous theorem for non-monic
polynomials. The result is from Lemma 1 [5].
```

 $\textbf{theorem} \ \textit{rabin-irreducibility-condition-2}:$

```
assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring R) degree <math>f > 0
  defines N \equiv \{ degree \ f \ div \ p \mid p \ . \ Factorial-Ring.prime \ p \land p \ dvd \}
degree f
 shows pirreducible (carrier R) f \longleftrightarrow
    (f pdivides gauss-poly R (order R^degree f) \land (\forall n \in N. pcoprime
(gauss-poly\ R\ (order\ R^n))\ f))
   (is ?L \longleftrightarrow ?R1 \land ?R2)
proof -
 define \alpha where \alpha = [inv (hd f)]
 let ?g = (\lambda x. \ gauss-poly \ R \ (order \ R^x))
 let ?h = \alpha \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring} R f
have f-nz: f \neq \mathbf{0}_{poly\text{-}ring\ R} unfolding univ-poly-zero using assms(2)
by auto
  hence hd f \in carrier R - \{0\} using assms(1) lead-coeff-carr by
 hence inv\ (hd\ f)\in carrier\ R-\{\mathbf{0}\}\ using\ field\ Units\ by\ auto
 hence \alpha-unit: \alpha \in Units (poly-ring R)
   unfolding \alpha-def using univ-poly-carrier-units by simp
  have \alpha-nz: \alpha \neq \mathbf{0}_{poly\text{-ring }R} unfolding univ-poly-zero \alpha-def by
simp
 have hd ?h = hd \alpha \otimes hd f
   using \alpha-nz f-nz assms(1) \alpha-unit by (intro lead-coeff-mult) auto
 also have ... = inv (hd f) \otimes hd f unfolding \alpha-def by simp
 also have ... = 1 using lead-coeff-carr f-nz assms(1) by (simp add:
field-Units)
 finally have hd ?h = 1 by simp
 moreover have ?h \neq []
  using \alpha-nz f-nz univ-poly-zero by (metis \alpha-unit assms(1) r.p. Units-closed
r.p.integral)
 ultimately have h-monic: monic-poly R?h
  using r.p. Units-closed [OF \alpha-unit] assms(1) unfolding monic-poly-def
by auto
 have degree ?h = degree \alpha + degree f
    using assms(1) f-nz \alpha-unit \alpha-nz by (intro degree-mult[OF car-
rier-is-subring]) auto
 also have ... = degree f unfolding \alpha-def by simp
 finally have deg-f: degree\ f = degree\ ?h by simp
 have hf-cong:?h \sim_{r.P} f
  using assms(1) \alpha-unit by (simp \ add: r.p.\ Units-closed\ r.p.\ associated\ I2)
r.p.m-comm)
 hence \theta: f pdivides g (degree f) \longleftrightarrow h pdivides g (degree f)
   unfolding pdivides-def using r.p.divides-conq-l r.p.associated-sym
    using r.p. Units-closed [OF \alpha-unit] assms(1) gauss-poly-carr by
blast
```

```
have 1: pcoprime (?g n) f \longleftrightarrow pcoprime (?g n) ?h for n
  using hf-cong r.p. associated-sym r.p. Units-closed [OF \alpha-unit] assms(1)
   by (intro peoprime-right-assoc-cong gauss-poly-carr) auto
 have ?L \longleftrightarrow pirreducible\ (carrier\ R)\ (\alpha \otimes_{poly-ring\ R} f)
  using \alpha-unit \alpha-nz assms(1) f-nz r.p.integral unfolding ring-irreducible-def
   by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(\land)] r.p.irreducible-prod-unit assms)
auto
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow monic-irreducible-poly R (\alpha \otimes_{poly\text{-ring }R} f)
   using h-monic unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def by auto
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?h pdivides ?g (degree f) \land (\forall n \in N. pcoprime
(?g \ n) \ ?h)
  using assms(2) unfolding N-def deg-f by (intro rabin-irreducibility-condition
h-monic) auto
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow f pdivides ?g (degree f) \land (\forall n \in N. pcoprime (?g
   using 0.1 by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
end
end
```

9 Executable Structures

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \bf theory \ \it Finite-Fields-\it Indexed-\it Algebra-\it Code \\ \bf imports \ \it HOL-\it Algebra.\it Ring \ \it HOL-\it Algebra.\it Coset \\ \bf begin \\ \end{tabular}$

In the following, we introduce records for executable operations for algebraic structures, which can be used for code-generation and evaluation. These are then shown to be equivalent to the (not-necessarily constructive) definitions using HOL-Algebra. A more direct approach, i.e., instantiating the structures in the framework with effective operations fails. For example the structure records represent the domain of the algebraic structure as a set, which implies the evaluation of $\bigoplus_{residue-ring} (10::'c)^{100}$ requires the construction of $\{0..(10::'a)^{100}-1\}$. This is technically constructive but very impractical. Moreover, the additive/multiplicative inverse is defined non-constructively using the description operator THE in HOL-Algebra.

The above could be avoided, if it were possible to introduce code equations conditionally, e.g., for example for $(\bigoplus_{residue-ring} n \ x) \ y$ (if $x \ y$ are in the carrier of the structure, but this does not seem

to be possible.

Note that, the algebraic structures defined in HOL-Computational_Algebra are type-based, which prevents using them in some algorithmic settings. For example, choosing an irreducible polynomial dynamically and performing operations in the factoring ring with respect to it is not possible in the type-based approach.

```
record 'a idx-ring =
  idx-pred :: 'a \Rightarrow bool
  idx-uminus :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a
  idx-plus :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
  idx-udivide :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a
  idx-mult :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
  idx-zero :: 'a
  idx-one :: 'a
\mathbf{record} 'a idx-ring-enum = 'a idx-ring +
  idx-size :: nat
  idx-enum :: nat \Rightarrow 'a
  idx-enum-inv :: 'a \Rightarrow nat
fun idx-pow :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-scheme <math>\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a where
  idx-pow E \times \theta = idx-one E \mid
  idx-pow E \times (Suc \ n) = idx-mult E \ (idx-pow E \times n) \times n
open-bundle index-algebra-syntax
begin
notation idx-zero (\langle \theta_C 1 \rangle)
notation idx-one (\langle 1_{C^{1}} \rangle)
notation idx-plus (infixl \langle +_{C^{1}} \rangle 65)
notation idx-mult (infixl \langle *_{C^{1}} \rangle 70)
notation idx-uminus (\langle -C1 \rangle [81] 80)
notation idx-udivide \left( \langle -\frac{1}{C1} \rangle [81] 80 \right)
notation idx-pow (infixr \langle \hat{C} \rangle 75)
end
definition ring-of :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a ring
  where ring-of A = (
    carrier = \{x. \ idx\text{-}pred \ A \ x\},\
    mult = (\lambda \ x \ y. \ x *_{CA} y),
    one = 1_{CA},
    zero = \theta_{CA},
    add = (\lambda \ x \ y. \ x +_{CA} y) \ )
definition ring_C where
   ring_C A = (ring \ (ring - of \ A) \land (\forall x. \ idx - pred \ A \ x \longrightarrow -_{CA} \ x =
\ominus_{ring-of\ A} x) \land
    (\forall x. \ x \in Units \ (ring\text{-}of \ A) \longrightarrow x^{-1}{}_{CA} = inv_{ring\text{-}of \ A} \ x))
```

```
lemma ring-cD-aux:
  x \cap_{CA} n = x \cap_{ring\text{-}of A} n
  by (induction \ n) (auto \ simp:ring-of-def)
lemma ring-cD:
  assumes ring_C A
  shows
    \theta_{CA} = \mathbf{0}_{ring\text{-}of\ A}
    1_{CA} = \mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of\ A}
    \bigwedge x \ y. \ x *_{CA} y = x \otimes_{ring\text{-}of} A \ y
    \bigwedge x \ y. \ x +_{CA} y = x \oplus_{ring\text{-}of \ A} y
    \bigwedge x. \ x \in carrier \ (ring-of \ A) \implies -CA \ x = \ominus_{ring-of \ A} \ x
    \bigwedge x. \ x \in Units \ (ring-of \ A) \implies \ x^{-1}_{C \ A} = inv_{ring-of \ A} \ x
    \bigwedge x. \ x \cap_{CA} n = x \cap_{ring-of} A n
  using assms ring-cD-aux unfolding ring_-def ring-of-def by auto
lemma ring-cI:
  assumes ring (ring-of A)
  assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in carrier \ (ring\text{-}of \ A) \implies -_{CA} \ x = \ominus_{ring\text{-}of \ A} \ x
  assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in Units \ (ring-of \ A) \implies x^{-1}_{CA} = inv_{ring-of \ A} \ x
 shows ring_C A
proof -
  have x \in carrier (ring-of A) \longleftrightarrow idx-pred A x \text{ for } x \text{ unfolding}
ring-of-def by auto
  thus ?thesis using assms unfolding ring_C-def by auto
qed
definition cring_C where cring_C A = (ring_C A \land cring (ring\text{-}of A))
lemma cring-cI:
  assumes cring\ (ring\text{-}of\ A)
  assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in carrier \ (ring-of \ A) \Longrightarrow -_{CA} \ x = \ominus_{ring-of \ A} \ x
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in Units \ (ring\text{-}of \ A) \Longrightarrow x^{-1}{}_{CA} = inv_{ring\text{-}of \ A} \ x
 shows cring_C A
 unfolding cring_C-def by (intro\ ring-cI\ conjI\ assms\ cring.axioms(1))
lemma cring-c-imp-ring: cring_C A \Longrightarrow ring_C A
  unfolding crinq_C-def by simp
lemmas cring-cD = ring-cD[OF\ cring-c-imp-ring]
definition domain_C where domain_C A = (cring_C A \land domain (ring-of
A))
lemma domain-cI:
  assumes domain (ring-of A)
  assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in carrier \ (ring-of \ A) \Longrightarrow -_{CA} \ x = \ominus_{ring-of \ A} \ x
  assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in Units \ (ring\text{-}of \ A) \Longrightarrow x^{-1}{}_{CA} = inv_{ring\text{-}of \ A} \ x
```

```
shows domain_C A
 unfolding domain_C-def by (intro\ conjI\ cring-cI\ assms\ domain.axioms(1))
lemma domain-c-imp-ring: domain<sub>C</sub> A \Longrightarrow ring_C A
 unfolding cring_C-def domain_C-def by simp
lemmas domain-cD = ring-cD[OF\ domain-c-imp-ring]
definition field_C where field_C A = (domain_C A \land field (ring-of A))
lemma field-cI:
 assumes field (ring-of A)
 assumes \bigwedge x. x \in carrier\ (ring \circ f\ A) \Longrightarrow -CA\ x = \ominus_{ring \circ f\ A}\ x
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in \mathit{Units}\ (\mathit{ring-of}\ A) \Longrightarrow \ x^{-1}{}_{CA} = \mathit{inv}_{\mathit{ring-of}\ A}\ x
 shows field_C A
 unfolding field_C-def by (intro\ conjI\ domain-cI\ assms\ field\ .axioms(1))
lemma field-c-imp-ring: field<sub>C</sub> A \Longrightarrow ring_C A
 unfolding field_C-def cring_C-def domain_C-def by simp
lemmas field-cD = ring-cD[OF field-c-imp-ring]
definition enum_C where enum_C A = (
 finite (carrier (ring-of A)) \wedge
 idx-size A = order (ring-of A) \land
 bij-betw (idx-enum A) {..<order (ring-of A)} (carrier (ring-of A)) \land
 (\forall x < order (ring-of A). idx-enum-inv A (idx-enum A x) = x))
lemma enum-cI:
 assumes finite (carrier (ring-of A))
 assumes idx-size A = order (ring-of A)
 assumes bij-betw (idx-enum A) {..<order (ring-of A)} (carrier (ring-of
 assumes \bigwedge x. x < order (ring-of A) \Longrightarrow idx-enum-inv A (idx-enum)
A x) = x
 shows enum_C A
 using assms unfolding enum_C-def by auto
lemma enum-cD:
 assumes enum_C R
 shows finite (carrier (ring-of R))
   and idx-size R = order (ring-of R)
   and bij-betw (idx-enum R) {..<order (ring-of R)} (carrier (ring-of
R))
     and bij-betw (idx-enum-inv R) (carrier (ring-of R)) {..<order
(ring-of R)
   and \bigwedge x. x < order (ring-of R) \Longrightarrow idx-enum-inv R (idx-enum R)
x) = x
   and \bigwedge x. \ x \in carrier \ (ring\text{-}of \ R) \Longrightarrow idx\text{-}enum \ R \ (idx\text{-}enum\text{-}inv \ R
```

```
x) = x
 using assms
proof -
 let ?n = order (ring-of R)
 have a:idx-enum-inv R x = the-inv-into {..<?n} (idx-enum R) x
   if x-carr: x \in carrier (ring-of R) for x
 proof -
   have idx-enum R '\{... < order (ring-of R)\} = carrier (ring-of R)
    using assms unfolding bij-betw-def enum<sub>C</sub>-def by simp
   then obtain y where y-carr: y \in \{..< order (ring-of R)\} and
x-def: x = idx-enum R y
    using x-carr by auto
   have idx-enum-inv R x = y using assms y-carr unfolding x-def
enum_C-def by simp
   also have ... = the-inv-into {..<?n} (idx-enum R) x
    using assms unfolding bij-betw-def enum<sub>C</sub>-def unfolding x-def
    by (intro the-inv-into-f-f[symmetric] y-carr) auto
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 have bij-betw (the-inv-into \{..<?n\} (idx-enum R)) (carrier (ring-of
R)) \{ .. < ?n \}
  using assms unfolding enum_C-def by (intro bij-betw-the-inv-into)
thus bij-betw (idx-enum-inv R) (carrier (ring-of R)) \{... < order (ring-of R)\}
R)
   by (subst\ bij-betw-cong[OF\ a])\ auto
 show idx-enum R (idx-enum-inv R x) = x if x \in carrier (ring-of R)
for x
  using that assms unfolding a[OF that] enum<sub>C</sub>-def bij-betw-def by
(intro f-the-inv-into-f) auto
qed (use assms enum_C-def in auto)
end
```

10 Executable Polynomial Rings

```
theory Finite-Fields-Poly-Ring-Code imports
   Finite-Fields-Indexed-Algebra-Code
   HOL-Algebra.Polynomials
   Finite-Fields.Card-Irreducible-Polynomials-Aux
begin

fun o-normalize :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list
   where
   o-normalize E \ [] = \ []
   | o-normalize E \ p = (if \ lead-coeff \ p \neq \theta_{CE} \ then \ p \ else \ o-normalize \ E \ (tl \ p))
```

```
fun o-poly-add :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list
where
  o-poly-add E p1 p2 = (
   if length p1 \ge length p2
     then o-normalize E (map2 (idx-plus E) p1 ((replicate (length p1
- length p2) \theta_{CE} ) @ p2))
     else o-poly-add E p2 p1)
fun o-poly-mult :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list
 where
    o-poly-mult E [] p2 = []
 \mid o-poly-mult E p1 p2 =
      o-poly-add E ((map (idx-mult E (hd p1)) p2) @
     (replicate (degree p1) \theta_{CE})) (o-poly-mult E (tl p1) p2)
definition poly :: ('a,'b) idx\text{-}ring\text{-}scheme \Rightarrow 'a list idx\text{-}ring
 where poly E = \emptyset
   idx-pred = (\lambda x. (x = [] \lor hd \ x \ne 0_{CE}) \land list-all (idx-pred E) \ x),
   idx-uminus = (\lambda x. map (idx-uminus E) x),
   idx-plus = o-poly-add E,
   idx-udivide = (\lambda x. [idx-udivide E (hd x)]),
   idx-mult = o-poly-mult E,
   idx-zero = [],
   idx-one = [idx-one E]
definition poly-var :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a list (\langle X_{C^1} \rangle)
  where poly-var E = [idx-one E, idx-zero E]
lemma poly-var: poly-var R = X_{rinq-of R}
 unfolding var-def poly-var-def by (simp add:ring-of-def)
fun poly-eval :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
 where poly-eval R fs x = fold (\lambda a \ b. \ b *_{CR} x +_{CR} a) fs \theta_{CR}
lemma ring-of-poly:
 assumes ring_C A
 shows ring-of (poly\ A) = poly-ring\ (ring-of A)
proof (intro ring.equality)
 interpret ring ring-of A using assms unfolding ring<sub>C</sub>-def by auto
 have b: \mathbf{0}_{rinq\text{-}of\ A} = \theta_{CA} unfolding ring-of-def by simp
 have c: (\otimes_{ring\text{-}of\ A}) = (*_{C\ A}) unfolding ring-of-def by simp
 have d: (\bigoplus_{ring\text{-}of\ A}) = (+_{CA}) unfolding ring\text{-}of\text{-}def by simp
 have o-normalize A x = normalize x for x
   using b by (induction x) simp-all
```

```
hence o-poly-add A x y = poly-add x y if length y \leq length x for x
   using that by (subst o-poly-add.simps, subst poly-add.simps) (simp
add: b d
 hence a:o-poly-add A x y = poly-add x y for x y
   by (subst o-poly-add.simps, subst poly-add.simps) simp
 hence x \oplus_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\ A)} y = x \oplus_{poly\text{-}ring\ (ring\text{-}of\ A)} y for x\ y
   by (simp add:univ-poly-def poly-def ring-of-def)
 thus (\bigoplus_{rinq\text{-}of\ (poly\ A)}) = (\bigoplus_{poly\text{-}rinq\ (rinq\text{-}of\ A)}) by (intro\ ext)
 show carrier (ring-of (poly A)) = carrier (poly-ring (ring-of A))
   by (auto simp add: ring-of-def poly-def univ-poly-def polynomial-def
list-all-iff)
 have o-poly-mult A x y = poly-mult x y for x y
 proof (induction x)
   case Nil then show ?case by simp
 next
   case (Cons a x) then show ?case
     by (subst o-poly-mult.simps, subst poly-mult.simps)
       (simp add:a b c del:poly-add.simps o-poly-add.simps)
 hence x \otimes_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\ A)} y = x \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring\ (ring\text{-}of\ A)} y for x\ y
   by (simp add: univ-poly-def poly-def ring-of-def)
 thus (\bigotimes_{rinq\text{-}of\ (poly\ A)}) = (\bigotimes_{poly\text{-}rinq\ (rinq\text{-}of\ A)}) by (intro\ ext)
qed (simp-all add:ring-of-def poly-def univ-poly-def)
lemma poly-eval:
 assumes ring_C R
  assumes fsc:fs \in carrier \ (ring-of \ (poly \ R)) and xc:x \in carrier
(ring-of R)
 shows poly-eval R fs x = ring.eval (ring-of R) fs x
proof -
 interpret ring ring - of R using assms unfolding ring_C - def by auto
have fs-carr: fs \in carrier\ (poly-ring\ (ring-of R)) using ring-of-poly[OF]
assms(1)] fsc by auto
  hence set fs \subseteq carrier (ring-of R) by (simp add: polynomial-incl)
univ-poly-carrier)
 thus ?thesis
 proof (induction rule:rev-induct)
   case Nil thus ?case by simp (simp add:ring-of-def)
 next
   case (snoc ft fh)
    have poly-eval R (fh @ [ft]) x = poly-eval R fh x *_{CR} x +_{CR} ft
```

```
by simp
  also have ... = eval fh \ x *_{CR} x +_{CR} ft  using snoc  by (subst snoc)
auto
    also have ... = eval fh x \otimes_{rinq-of R} x \oplus_{rinq-of R} ft by (simp)
add:ring-of-def)
  also have ... = eval (fh@[ft]) x using snoc by (intro\ eval-append-aux[symmetric]
xc) auto
   finally show ?case by auto
 qed
qed
lemma poly-domain:
 assumes domain_C A
 shows domain_C (poly A)
proof -
  interpret domain ring-of A using assms unfolding domain<sub>C</sub>-def
by auto
 have a:\ominus_{ring-of\ A} x = -_{C\ A} x if x \in carrier\ (ring-of\ A) for x
   using that by (intro domain-cD[symmetric] assms)
 have ring_C A
   using assms unfolding domain_C-def cring_C-def by auto
 hence b:ring-of (poly\ A) = poly-ring (ring-of A)
   by (subst ring-of-poly) auto
 have c:domain\ (ring-of\ (poly\ A))
   unfolding b by (rule univ-poly-is-domain[OF carrier-is-subring])
 interpret d: domain poly-ring (ring-of A)
   using c unfolding b by simp
 have -C_{poly\ A} \ x = \bigoplus_{rinq\text{-}of\ (poly\ A)} x \text{ if } x \in carrier\ (ring\text{-}of\ (poly\ A))
A)) for x
 proof -
   have \ominus_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\ A)} x = map\ (a\text{-}inv\ (ring\text{-}of\ A)) x
      using that unfolding b by (subst univ-poly-a-inv-def'[OF car-
rier-is-subring]) auto
   also have ... = map (\lambda r. -_{CA} r) x
      using that unfolding b univ-poly-carrier[symmetric] polyno-
mial-def
     by (intro map-cong refl a) auto
   also have ... = -_{C poly A} x
     unfolding poly-def by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 moreover have x^{-1}_{Cpoly\ A}=inv_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\ A)}\ x if x\in\mathit{Units}
(ring-of (poly A)) for x
 proof -
   have x \in \{[k] \mid k. \ k \in carrier \ (ring\text{-}of \ A) - \{\mathbf{0}_{ring\text{-}of \ A}\}\}
```

```
using that univ-poly-carrier-units-incl unfolding b by auto
   then obtain k where x-eq: k \in carrier\ (ring\text{-}of\ A) - \{\mathbf{0}_{ring\text{-}of\ A}\}
x = [k] by auto
    \mathbf{have} \ inv_{ring\text{-}of \ (poly \ A)} \ x \in \textit{Units} \ (poly\text{-}ring \ (ring\text{-}of \ A))
      using that unfolding b by simp
    hence inv_{ring-of\ (poly\ A)}\ x\in\{[k]\ | k.\ k\in carrier\ (ring-of\ A)\ -
\{\mathbf{0}_{ring\text{-}of\ A}\}\}
      using that univ-poly-carrier-units-incl unfolding b by auto
   then obtain v where x-inv-eq: v \in carrier\ (ring\text{-}of\ A) - \{\mathbf{0}_{ring\text{-}of\ A}\}
      inv_{rinq-of\ (poly\ A)}\ x = [v]\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
     have poly-mult [k] [v] = [k] \otimes_{ring-of\ (poly\ A)} [v] unfolding b
univ-poly-mult by simp
     also have ... = x \otimes_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\ A)} inv_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\ A)} x using
x-inv-eq x-eq by auto
    also have ... = \mathbf{1}_{rinq\text{-}of\ (poly\ A)} using that unfolding b by simp
    also have ... = [\mathbf{1}_{rinq\text{-}of\ A}] unfolding b\ univ\text{-}poly\text{-}one by (simp\ 
add:ring-of-def)
    finally have poly-mult [k] [v] = [\mathbf{1}_{rinq-of A}] by simp
    hence k \otimes_{ring\text{-}of A} v \oplus_{ring\text{-}of A} \mathbf{0}_{ring\text{-}of A} = \mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of A}
      by (simp add:if-distribR if-distrib) (simp cong:if-cong, metis)
    hence e: k \otimes_{ring\text{-}of A} v = \mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of A} \text{ using } x\text{-}eq(1) x\text{-}inv\text{-}eq(1)
by simp
    hence f: v \otimes_{ring-of A} k = \mathbf{1}_{ring-of A} using x\text{-}eq(1) x\text{-}inv\text{-}eq(1)
m-comm by simp
    have g: v = inv_{ring-of A} k
     using e \ x\text{-}eq(1) \ x\text{-}inv\text{-}eq(1) by (intro\ comm\text{-}inv\text{-}char[symmetric])
     hence h: k \in Units (ring-of A) unfolding Units-def using e f
x-eq(1) x-inv-eq(1) by blast
    have x^{-1}_{Cpoly\ A} = [k]^{-1}_{Cpoly\ A} unfolding x-eq by simp
    also have ... = [k^{-1}_{CA}] unfolding poly-def by simp
    also have \dots = [v]
    unfolding g by (intro\ domain-cD[OF\ assms(1)]\ arg-cong2[where
f=(\#)|h|refl|
    also have ... = inv_{ring-of\ (poly\ A)}\ x unfolding x-inv-eq by simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using c by (intro domain-cI)
qed
function long-division_C :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-scheme <math>\Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list
\Rightarrow 'a list \times 'a list
  where long-division<sub>C</sub> F f g = (
    if (length g = 0 \lor length f < length g)
      then ([], f)
      else (
```

```
let k = length f - length g;
          \alpha = -_{CF} (hd f *_{CF} (hd g)^{-1}_{CF});
          h = [\alpha] *_{Cpoly} F X_{CF} \cap_{Cpoly} F k;
          f' = f +_{Cpoly} F (h *_{Cpoly} F g);
          f'' = take (length f - 1) f'
       in apfst (\lambda x. \ x +_{Cpoly} F -_{Cpoly} F \ h) \ (long-division_C \ F f'' \ g)))
 by pat-completeness auto
lemma pmod-termination-helper:
 g \neq [] \Longrightarrow \neg length \ f < length \ g \Longrightarrow min \ x \ (length \ f - 1) < length \ f
by (metis diff-less length-greater-0-conv list.size(3) min.strict-coboundedI2
zero-less-one)
termination by (relation measure (\lambda(-, f, -), length f)) (use pmod-termination-helper
in auto)
declare long-division_C.simps[simp\ del]
lemma long-division-c-length:
 assumes length g > 0
 shows length (snd (long-division_C R f g)) < length g
\mathbf{proof} (induction length f arbitrary: f rule: nat-less-induct)
 have \theta:length (snd (long-division<sub>C</sub> R x g)) < length g
   if length x < length f for x using 1 that by blast
 show length (snd (long-division<sub>C</sub> R f g)) < length g
 proof (cases length f < length g)
  case True then show ?thesis by (subst long-division_C.simps) simp
 next
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   hence length f > 0 using assms by auto
   thus ?thesis using assms by (subst long-division_C.simps)
     (auto intro!:0 simp: min.commute min.strict-coboundedI1 Let-def)
 qed
qed
context field
begin
interpretation r:polynomial-ring R (carrier R)
   unfolding polynomial-ring-def polynomial-ring-axioms-def
   using carrier-is-subfield field-axioms by force
lemma poly-length-from-coeff:
 assumes p \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes \bigwedge i. i \geq k \implies coeff \ p \ i = \mathbf{0}
 shows length p \leq k
```

```
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume a:\neg length \ p \leq k
 hence p-nz: p \neq [] by auto
  have k < length p using a by simp
 hence k \leq length \ p - 1 \ \text{by } simp
 hence 0 = coeff \ p \ (degree \ p) by (intro \ assms(2)[symmetric])
 also have ... = lead\text{-}coeff\ p\ by (intro\ lead\text{-}coeff\text{-}simp[OF\ p\text{-}nz])
  finally have 0 = lead\text{-}coeff p by simp
  thus False
    using p-nz assms(1) unfolding univ-poly-def polynomial-def by
simp
qed
lemma poly-add-cancel-len:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \widetilde{f} \in \mathit{carrier} \ (\mathit{poly-ring} \ R) - \{\mathbf{0}_{\mathit{poly-ring}} \ R\}
  assumes g \in carrier (poly-ring R) - \{\mathbf{0}_{poly-ring R}\}
  assumes hd f = \ominus hd g degree f = degree g
 shows length (f \oplus_{poly-ring} R g) < length f
 have f-ne: f \neq [] using assms(1) unfolding univ-poly-zero by simp
 have g-ne: g \neq [] using assms(2) unfolding univ-poly-zero by simp
  have coeff f i = \ominus coeff g i \text{ if } i \geq degree f \text{ for } i
  proof (cases i = degree f)
    case True
   have coeff f i = hd f unfolding True by (subst lead-coeff-simp[OF]
f-ne]) simp
    also have ... = \ominus hd\ g\ using\ assms(3) by simp
    also have ... = \ominus coeff \ g \ i \ unfolding \ True \ assms(4) by (subst
lead-coeff-simp[OF\ g-ne])\ simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    hence i > degree \ f \ i > degree \ g \ using \ assms(4) \ that \ by \ auto
    thus coeff f i = \ominus coeff g i using coeff-degree by simp
 hence \textit{coeff}\ (f \oplus_{\textit{poly-ring}\ R}\ g)\ i = \mathbf{0}\ \text{if}\ i \geq \textit{degree}\ f\ \text{for}\ i
   using assms(1,2) that by (subst r.coeff-add) (auto intro:l-neg simp:
r.coeff-range)
  hence length (f \oplus_{poly-ring} R g) \leq length f - 1
    using assms(1,2) by (intro poly-length-from-coeff) auto
  also have \dots < length f using f-ne by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma pmod-mult-left:
  assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes g \in carrier (poly-ring R)
```

```
assumes h \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 shows (f \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring} R g) \ pmod \ h = ((f \ pmod \ h) \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring} R g) \ pmod
h (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 have h pdivides (h \otimes_{poly-ring} R \ (f \ pdiv \ h)) \otimes_{poly-ring} R \ g
    using assms long-division-closed[OF carrier-is-subfield]
    by (simp add: dividesI' pdivides-def r.p.m-assoc)
 hence \theta:(h\otimes_{poly-ring} R\ (f\ pdiv\ h))\otimes_{poly-ring} R\ g\ pmod\ h=\mathbf{0}_{poly-ring}\ R
    using pmod-zero-iff-pdivides[OF carrier-is-subfield] assms
     long-division-closed [OF\ carrier-is-subfield]\ univ-poly-zero
    by (metis\ (no\text{-}types,\ opaque\text{-}lifting)\ r.p.m\text{-}closed)
  \mathbf{have} \ ?L \ = \ (h \ \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring} \ R \ \ (f \ pdiv \ h) \ \oplus_{poly\text{-}ring} \ R \ \ (f \ pmod \ h))
\otimes_{poly\text{-}ring} R \ g \ pmod \ h
  using assms by (intro arg-cong2[where f = (\bigotimes_{poly-rinq} R)] arg-cong2[where
f = (pmod)
     pdiv-pmod[OF carrier-is-subfield]) auto
 also have ... = ((h \otimes_{poly-rinq} R (f p div h)) \otimes_{poly-rinq} R g \oplus_{poly-rinq} R
    (f \ pmod \ h) \otimes_{poly-ring \ R} g) \ pmod \ h
    using assms long-division-closed [OF carrier-is-subfield]
    by (intro r.p.l-distr arg-cong2[where f=(pmod)]) auto
  also have ... = ((h \otimes_{poly-ring} R (f pdiv h)) \otimes_{poly-ring} R g) pmod h
\oplus_{poly\text{-}ring} R
    ((f \ pmod \ h) \otimes_{poly-ring \ R} g \ pmod \ h)
    using assms long-division-closed[OF carrier-is-subfield]
    by (intro long-division-add[OF carrier-is-subfield]) auto
 also have \dots = ?R
   using assms long-division-closed [OF carrier-is-subfield] unfolding
\theta by auto
 finally show ?thesis
    by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma pmod-mult-right:
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes g \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes h \in carrier (poly-ring R)
  shows (f \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring} R \ g) \ pmod \ h = (f \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring} R \ (g \ pmod \ h))
pmod \ h \ (is \ ?L = ?R)
proof -
 have ?L = (g \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring} R f) \ pmod \ h \ using \ assms \ by \ algebra
  also have ... = ((g \ pmod \ h) \otimes_{poly-ring \ R} f) \ pmod \ h by (intro
pmod-mult-left assms)
 also have \dots = ?R using assms\ long-division-closed[OF\ carrier-is-subfield]
by algebra
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

```
lemma pmod-mult-both:
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes g \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes h \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 shows (f \otimes_{poly\text{-}rinq} R g) \ pmod \ h = ((f \ pmod \ h) \otimes_{poly\text{-}rinq} R \ (g \ pmod \ h))
h)) pmod h
   (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 have (f \otimes_{poly-ring} R g) \ pmod \ h = ((f \ pmod \ h) \otimes_{poly-ring} R g) \ pmod
   by (intro pmod-mult-left assms)
 also have \dots = ?R
   using assms long-division-closed[OF carrier-is-subfield] by (intro
pmod-mult-right) auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma field-Unit-minus-closed:
 assumes x \in Units R
 \mathbf{shows} \ominus x \in \mathit{Units} \ R
 using assms mult-of. Units-eq by auto
end
lemma long-division-c:
 assumes field_C R
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
 assumes g \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
 shows long-division_C R f g = (ring.pdiv (ring-of R) f g, ring.pmod)
(ring-of R) f g
proof -
 let ?P = poly\text{-}ring (ring\text{-}of R)
let ?result = (\lambda f r. f = snd r \oplus_{poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R)} (fst r \otimes_{poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R)})
g))
 define r where r = long\text{-}division_C R f g
 interpret field ring-of R using assms(1) unfolding field<sub>C</sub>-def by
auto
 interpret d-poly-ring: domain poly-ring (ring-of R)
   by (rule univ-poly-is-domain[OF carrier-is-subring])
  have ring-c: ring_C R using assms(1) unfolding field_C-def do-
main_C-def cring_C-def by auto
have d-poly: domain_C (poly R) using assms (1) unfolding field_C-def
by (intro poly-domain) auto
 have r = long\text{-}division_C \ R \ f \ g \Longrightarrow \text{?}result \ f \ r \ \land \ \{fst \ r, \ snd \ r\} \subseteq
carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
```

```
using assms(2)
  proof (induction length f arbitrary: f r rule:nat-less-induct)
    case 1
     have ind: x = snd \ q \oplus_{P} fst \ q \otimes_{P} g \{fst \ q, snd \ q\} \subseteq carrier
(poly-ring\ (ring-of\ R))
        if length x < length f q = long-division_C R x g x \in carrier
(poly-ring\ (ring-of\ R))
      for x \neq using 1(1) that by auto
    show ?case
    proof (cases length g = 0 \vee length f < length g)
      {\bf case}\ {\it True}
      hence r = (\mathbf{0}_{poly\text{-}ring\ (ring\text{-}of\ R)}, f)
        unfolding I(2) univ-poly-zero by (subst long-division<sub>C</sub>.simps)
simp
      then show ?thesis using assms(3) 1(3) by simp
    next
      {f case}\ {\it False}
      hence length g > 0 length f \ge length g by auto
      hence f \neq [] g \neq [] by auto
      hence f-carr: f \in carrier ?P - \{0_{?P}\} and g-carr: g \in carrier
P - \{0_{P}\}
         using 1(3) assms(3) univ-poly-zero by auto
      define k where k = length f - length g
      define \alpha where \alpha = -_{CR} (hd f *_{CR} (hd g) ^{-1}_{CR})
      define h where h = [\alpha] *_{C poly \ R} X_{CR} \hat{\ }_{C poly \ R} k
      \mathbf{define}\;f'\;\mathbf{where}\;f'=f\;+_{C\;poly\;R}\;(h\;*_{C\;poly\;R}\;g)
      define f'' where f'' = take (length f - 1) f'
     obtain s t where st-def: (s,t) = long\text{-}division_C R f'' g by (metis
surj-pair)
      have r = apfst (\lambda x. x +_{Cpolu R} -_{Cpolu R} h) (long-division_{C} R)
f''(g)
        using False unfolding 1(2)
        by (subst\ long-division_C.simps) (simp\ add:Let-def\ f''-def\ f''-def
h-def \alpha-def k-def)
      \mathbf{hence}\ r\text{-}\mathit{def}\colon r=(s+_{C\,poly}{_R}\ -_{C\,poly}{_R}\ h,\ t)
        unfolding st-def[symmetric] by simp
      \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{monic\text{-}poly}\ (\mathit{ring\text{-}of}\ R)\ (X_{\mathit{ring\text{-}of}\ R}\ [\, \widehat{\ }]_{\mathit{poly\text{-}ring}\ (\mathit{ring\text{-}of}\ R)}
k
        \mathbf{by}\ (intro\ monic\text{-}poly\text{-}pow\ monic\text{-}poly\text{-}var)
      hence [simp]: lead-coeff (X_{ring-of\ R}\ [\ ]_{poly-ring\ (ring-of\ R)}\ k) =
\mathbf{1}_{ring-of\ R}
        unfolding monic-poly-def by simp
```

```
have hd-f-unit: hd f \in Units (ring-of R) and hd-g-unit: hd g \in
Units (ring-of R)
       using f-carr g-carr lead-coeff-carr field-Units by auto
      hence hd-f-carr: hd f \in carrier (ring-of R) and hd-g-carr: hd g
\in carrier (ring-of R)
       by auto
    have k-def': k = degree \ f - degree \ g  using False unfolding k-def
     have \alpha-def': \alpha = \bigoplus_{rinq\text{-of }R} (hd f \otimes_{rinq\text{-of }R} inv_{rinq\text{-of }R} hd g)
     unfolding \alpha-def using hd-g-unit hd-f-carr field-cD[OF\ assms(1)]
by simp
       have \alpha-unit: \alpha \in Units \ (ring\text{-}of \ R) unfolding \alpha-def' using
hd-f-unit hd-q-unit
       by (intro field-Unit-minus-closed) simp
     hence \alpha-carr: \alpha \in carrier \ (ring\text{-}of \ R) - \{\mathbf{0}_{ring\text{-}of \ R}\} unfolding
field-Units by simp
        hence \alpha-poly-carr: [\alpha] \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R)) -
\{\mathbf{0}_{poly-ring\ (ring-of\ R)}\}
       by (simp add: univ-poly-carrier[symmetric] univ-poly-zero poly-
nomial-def)
     have h-def': h = [\alpha] \otimes_{P} X_{ring\text{-}of R} [\widehat{\ }]_{P} k
           unfolding h-def poly-var domain-cD[OF d-poly] by (simp
add:ring-of-poly[OF ring-c])
     have f'-def': f' = f \oplus_{?P} (h \otimes_{?P} g)
     unfolding f'-def domain-cD[OF d-poly] by (simp add:ring-of-poly[OF
ring-c])
   \mathbf{have}\ h\text{-}carr\text{:}\ h\in carrier\ (poly\text{-}ring\ (ring\text{-}of\ R)) - \{\mathbf{0}_{poly\text{-}ring\ (ring\text{-}of\ R)}\}
      using d-poly-ring.mult-of.m-closed \alpha-poly-carr var-pow-carr OF
carrier-is-subring
       unfolding h-def' by auto
      have degree f = k + degree g using False unfolding k-def by
linarith
     also have ... = degree [\alpha] + degree (X_{ring-of\ R} [\widehat{\ }]_{?P} k) + degree
g
       unfolding var-pow-degree[OF carrier-is-subring] by simp
     also have ... = degree \ h + degree \ g unfolding h-def'
       by (intro arg-cong2 [where f=(+)] degree-mult[symmetric]
            carrier-is-subring \alpha-poly-carr var-pow-carr refl)
     \textbf{also have} \ ... = \textit{degree} \ (h \otimes_{\textit{poly-ring (ring-of } R)} \ \textit{g})
     by (intro degree-mult[symmetric] carrier-is-subring h-carr g-carr)
     finally have deg-f: degree f = degree \ (h \otimes_{poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R)} g)
by simp
```

```
have f'-carr: f' \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
        using f-carr h-carr g-carr unfolding f'-def' by auto
      have hd f = \bigoplus_{rinq\text{-}of R} (\alpha \otimes_{rinq\text{-}of R} lead\text{-}coeff g)
         using hd-g-unit hd-f-carr hd-g-carr \alpha-unit \alpha-carr unfolding
\alpha-def'
        by (simp add: m-assoc l-minus)
      also have ... = \ominus_{rinq\text{-}of\ R} (hd h \otimes_{rinq\text{-}of\ R} hd g)
      using hd-f-carr <math>\alpha-carr \alpha-poly-carr var-pow-carr [OF carrier-is-subring]
unfolding h-def'
        \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst\ lead\text{-}coeff\text{-}mult})\ (\mathit{simp\text{-}all\ add\text{:}algebra\text{-}simps})
      also have \dots = \ominus_{ring\text{-}of\ R}\ hd\ (h\otimes_{poly\text{-}ring\ (ring\text{-}of\ R)}\ g)
        using h-carr g-carr by (subst lead-coeff-mult) auto
      \textbf{finally have } \textit{hd} \; f = \ominus_{\textit{ring-of} \; R} \; \textit{hd} \; (\textit{h} \; \otimes_{\textit{poly-ring} \; (\textit{ring-of} \; R)} \; \textit{g})
        by simp
        hence len-f': length f' < length f using deg-f h-carr g-carr
d-poly-ring.integral
        unfolding f'-def' by (intro poly-add-cancel-len f-carr) auto
      hence f''-def': f'' = f' unfolding f''-def by simp
      have \{fst\ (s,t), snd\ (s,t)\}\subseteq carrier\ (poly-ring\ (ring-of\ R))
           using len-f' f''-def' f'-carr by (intro ind(2))[where x=f'']
st-def) auto
      hence s-carr: s \in carrier ?P and t-carr: t \in carrier ?P by auto
     \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{r-def'}\!\!:\ r = (s\ominus_{\mathit{poly-ring}\ (\mathit{ring-of}\ R)}\ \mathit{h},\ \mathit{t})
      using h-carr domain-cD[OF d-poly] unfolding r-def a-minus-def
        using ring-of-poly[OF ring-c,symmetric] by simp
      have r-carr: {fst \ r, \ snd \ r} \subseteq carrier \ (poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R))
        using s-carr t-carr h-carr unfolding r-def' by auto
      have f = f'' \ominus_{\varrho P} h \otimes_{\varrho P} g
         using h-carr q-carr f-carr unfolding f"-def' f'-def' by simp
algebra
      also have ... = (snd (s,t) \oplus_{P} fst (s,t) \otimes_{P} g) \ominus_{P} h \otimes_{P} g
        using f'-carr f''-def' len-f
         by (intro arg-cong2[where f=\lambda x \ y. \ x \ominus_{P} \ y] ind(1) st-def)
auto
      also have ... = t \oplus_{P} (s \ominus_{P} h) \otimes_{P} g
        using s-carr t-carr h-carr g-carr by simp algebra
    also have ... = snd \ r \oplus_{poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R)} fst \ r \otimes_{poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R)}
        unfolding r-def' by simp
    finally have f = snd \ r \oplus_{poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R)} fst \ r \otimes_{poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R)}
g by simp
      thus ?thesis using r-carr by auto
    qed
  qed
```

```
hence result: ?result f r \{fst r, snd r\} \subseteq carrier (poly-ring (ring-of poly-ring)) | figure | figu
       using r-def by auto
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases q = [])
        case True then show ?thesis by (simp add:long-division_C.simps
pmod-def pdiv-def)
   next
       case False
       hence snd \ r = [] \lor degree \ (snd \ r) < degree \ g
           using long-division-c-length unfolding r-def
          by (metis One-nat-def Suc-pred length-greater-0-conv not-less-eq)
       moreover have f = g \otimes_{?P} (\textit{fst } r) \oplus_{\textit{poly-ring (ring-of } R)} (\textit{snd } r)
           using result(1,2) assms(2,3) by simp algebra
       ultimately have long-divides f g (fst r, snd r)
       using result(2) unfolding long-divides-def by (auto simp:mem-Times-iff)
       hence (fst \ r, snd \ r) = (pdiv \ f \ g, pmod \ f \ g)
           by (intro long-divisionI[OF carrier-is-subfield] False assms)
       then show ?thesis unfolding r-def by simp
   qed
qed
definition pdiv_C :: ('a,'b) \ idx\text{-}ring\text{-}scheme \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a
list where
   pdiv_C R f q = fst (long-division_C R f q)
lemma pdiv-c:
   assumes field_C R
   assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
   assumes g \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
   shows pdiv_C R f g = ring.pdiv (ring-of R) f g
   unfolding pdiv_C-def long-division-c[OF \ assms] by simp
definition pmod_C :: ('a,'b) \ idx\text{-}ring\text{-}scheme \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a
list where
   pmod_C R f g = snd (long-division_C R f g)
lemma pmod-c:
   assumes field_C R
   assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
   assumes g \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
   shows pmod_C R f g = ring.pmod (ring-of R) f g
   unfolding pmod_C-def long-division-c[OF \ assms] by simp
\mathbf{function} \ \mathit{ext-euclidean} ::
    ('a,'b) idx-ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow ('a list \times 'a list) \times 'a
   where ext-euclidean F f g = (
       \mathit{if}\, f = [] \, \vee \, g = [] \, \mathit{then}
```

```
((1_{C\,poly\,\,F},\,1_{C\,poly\,\,F}),f+_{C\,poly\,\,F}\,g)
     let (p,q) = long-division_C F f q;
         ((u,v),r) = ext\text{-}euclidean \ F \ g \ q
      in\ ((v,u+_{C\,poly}\ F\ (-_{C\,poly}\ F\ (p*_{C\,poly}\ F\ v))),r)))
 by pat-completeness auto
termination
 apply (relation measure (\lambda(-, -, f). length f))
 subgoal by simp
 by (metis case-prod-conv in-measure length-greater-0-conv long-division-c-length
prod.sel(2)
lemma (in domain) pdivides-self:
 assumes x \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 shows x pdivides x
proof -
  interpret d:domain poly-ring R by (rule univ-poly-is-domain[OF]
carrier-is-subring])
 show ?thesis
   using assms unfolding pdivides-def
   by (intro\ dividesI[\mathbf{where}\ c=\mathbf{1}_{poly-ring}\ R])\ simp-all
qed
declare ext-euclidean.simps[simp del]
lemma ext-euclidean:
 assumes field_C R
 defines P \equiv poly\text{-}ring \ (ring\text{-}of \ R)
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
 assumes g \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
 defines r \equiv ext-euclidean R f g
 shows snd \ r = f \otimes_P (fst \ (fst \ r)) \oplus_P g \otimes_P (snd \ (fst \ r))  (is ?T1)
   and snd\ r\ pdivides_{ring-of\ R}\ f\ (is\ ?T2)\ snd\ r\ pdivides_{ring-of\ R}\ g\ (is\ ring-of\ R)
   and \{snd\ r,\ fst\ (fst\ r),\ snd\ (fst\ r)\}\subseteq carrier\ P\ (is\ ?T4)
   and snd \ r = [] \longrightarrow f = [] \land g = [] \ (is \ ?T5)
proof -
 let ?P = poly-ring (ring-of R)
 interpret field ring-of R using assms(1) unfolding field<sub>C</sub>-def by
 interpret d-poly-ring: domain poly-ring (ring-of R)
   by (rule univ-poly-is-domain[OF carrier-is-subring])
  have ring-c: ring_C R using assms(1) unfolding field_C-def do-
main_C-def cring_C-def by auto
 have d-poly: domain_C (poly R) using assms (1) unfolding field_C-def
```

```
have pdiv\text{-}zero: x pdivides_{ring\text{-}of} R \mathbf{0}_{?P} if x \in carrier ?P for x
    using that unfolding univ-poly-zero by (intro pdivides-zero[OF
carrier-is-subring])
 have snd \ r = f \otimes_{P} (fst \ (fst \ r)) \oplus_{P} g \otimes_{P} (snd \ (fst \ r)) \wedge
    snd\ r\ pdivides_{rinq-of\ R}\ f\ \land\ snd\ r\ pdivides_{rinq-of\ R}\ g\ \land
    \{snd\ r,\ fst\ (fst\ r),\ snd\ (fst\ r)\}\subseteq carrier\ ?P\ \land
    (snd \ r = [] \longrightarrow f = [] \land g = [])
   if r = ext-euclidean R f g \{f,g\} \subseteq carrier ?P
    using that
 proof (induction length q arbitrary: f q r rule:nat-less-induct)
    case 1
    have ind:
      snd \ s = x \otimes_{P} fst \ (fst \ s) \oplus_{P} y \otimes_{P} snd \ (fst \ s)
      snd \ s \ pdivides_{rinq-of \ R} \ x \ snd \ s \ pdivides_{rinq-of \ R} \ y
      \{snd\ s,\ fst\ (fst\ s),\ snd\ (fst\ s)\}\subseteq carrier\ ?P
      (snd \ s = [] \longrightarrow x = [] \land y = [])
      if length y < length g s = ext-euclidean R x y \{x, y\} \subseteq carrier
?P
     for x \ y \ s using that 1(1) by metis+
    show ?case
    proof (cases f = [] \lor g = [])
     case True
     hence r-def: r = ((\mathbf{1}_{P}, \mathbf{1}_{P}), f \oplus_{P} g) unfolding I(2)
     by (simp\ add:ext-euclidean.simps\ domain-cD[OF\ d-poly]\ ring-of-poly[OF\ d-poly])
ring-c])
     consider f = \mathbf{0}_{P} \mid g = \mathbf{0}_{P}
        using True unfolding univ-poly-zero by auto
     hence snd \ r \ pdivides_{ring-of \ R} \ f \land snd \ r \ pdivides_{ring-of \ R} \ g
         using 1(3) pdiv-zero pdivides-self unfolding r-def by cases
auto
      moreover have snd r = f \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}P} fst (fst \ r) \oplus_{\mathcal{Q}P} g \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}P} snd (fst
r)
        using 1(3) unfolding r-def by simp
     moreover have \{snd \ r, fst \ (fst \ r), snd \ (fst \ r)\} \subseteq carrier \ ?P
       using 1(3) unfolding r-def by auto
     moreover have snd \ r = [] \longrightarrow f = [] \land g = []
      using 1(3) True unfolding r-def by (auto simp:univ-poly-zero)
      ultimately show ?thesis by (intro conjI) metis+
    next
     case False
     obtain p q where pq-def: (p,q) = long-division_C R f g
        by (metis surj-pair)
     obtain u \ v \ s where uvs-def: ((u,v),s) = ext-euclidean \ R \ g \ q
       by (metis surj-pair)
```

by (intro poly-domain) auto

```
have (p,q) = (pdiv f g, pmod f g)
         using 1(3) unfolding pq-def by (intro long-division-c[OF
assms(1)]) auto
     hence p-def: p = pdiv f g and q-def: q = pmod f g by auto
     have p-carr: p \in carrier ?P and q-carr: q \in carrier ?P
       using 1(3) long-division-closed[OF carrier-is-subfield] unfold-
ing p-def q-def by auto
     have length g > 0 using False by auto
      hence len-q: length q < length g using long-division-c-length
pq-def by (metis snd-conv)
     have s-eq: s = g \otimes_{P} u \oplus_{P} q \otimes_{P} v
       and s-div-g: s pdivides_{ring-of R} g
       and s-div-q: s pdivides ring-of R q
       and suv-carr: \{s,u,v\}\subseteq carrier\ ?P
       and s-zero-iff: s = [] \longrightarrow g = [] \land q = []
       using ind[OF len-q uvs-def -] q-carr 1(3) by auto
    have r = ((v, u +_{Cpoly\ R} (-_{Cpoly\ R} (p *_{Cpoly\ R} v))), s) unfolding
1(2) using False
         by (subst ext-euclidean.simps) (simp add: pq-def[symmetric]
uvs-def[symmetric])
     also have ... = ((v, u \ominus_{?P} (p \otimes_{?P} v)), s) using p-carr suv-carr
domain-cD[OF\ d-poly]
     unfolding a-minus-def ring-of-poly[OF ring-c] by (intro arg-cong2[where
f=Pair[refl] simp
     finally have r-def: r = ((v, u \ominus_{P} (p \otimes_{P} v)), s) by simp
      have snd \ r = g \otimes_{P} u \oplus_{P} q \otimes_{P} v unfolding r-def s-eq by
simp
     also have ... = g \otimes_{P} u \oplus_{P} (f \ominus_{P} g \otimes_{P} p) \otimes_{P} v
       using 1(3) p-carr q-carr suv-carr
       by (subst\ pdiv\text{-}pmod[OF\ carrier\text{-}is\text{-}subfield,\ of\ f\ g])
        (simp-all add:p-def[symmetric] q-def[symmetric], algebra)
     also have ... = f \otimes_{P} v \oplus_{P} g \otimes_{P} (u \ominus_{P} ((p \otimes_{P} v)))
       using 1(3) p-carr q-carr suv-carr by simp algebra
     finally have r1: snd r = f \otimes_{P} fst (fst r) \oplus_{P} g \otimes_{P} snd (fst r)
r)
       unfolding r-def by simp
     have pmod f s = pmod (g \otimes_{P} p \oplus_{P} q) s using 1(3)
       by (subst\ pdiv\text{-}pmod[OF\ carrier\text{-}is\text{-}subfield,\ of\ f\ g])
         (simp-all add:p-def[symmetric] q-def[symmetric])
     also have ... = pmod (g \otimes_{QP} p) s \oplus_{QP} pmod q s
       using 1(3) p-carr q-carr suv-carr
       by (subst long-division-add[OF carrier-is-subfield]) simp-all
     also have ... = pmod (pmod g \ s \otimes_{P} p) \ s \oplus_{P} []
       using 1(3) p-carr q-carr suv-carr s-div-q
       by (intro arg-cong2 [where f=(\oplus_{P})] pmod-mult-left)
         (simp-all add: pmod-zero-iff-pdivides[OF carrier-is-subfield])
```

```
also have ... = pmod (\mathbf{0}_{P} \otimes_{P} p) s \oplus_{P} \mathbf{0}_{P} unfolding
univ	ext{-}poly	ext{-}zero
     using 1(3) p-carr q-carr suv-carr s-div-g by (intro arg-cong2 where
f=(\oplus_{\mathcal{Q}P})
            arg\text{-}cong2[\mathbf{where}\ f = (\otimes_{P})]\ arg\text{-}cong2[\mathbf{where}\ f = pmod])
          (simp-all add: pmod-zero-iff-pdivides[OF carrier-is-subfield])
     also have ... = pmod \ \mathbf{0}_{P} \ s
      using p-carr suv-carr long-division-closed [OF carrier-is-subfield]
by simp
     also have ... = [] unfolding univ-poly-zero
        using suv\text{-}carr\ long\text{-}division\text{-}zero(2)[OF\ carrier\text{-}is\text{-}subfield] by
     finally have pmod f s = [] by simp
     hence r2: snd \ r \ pdivides_{rinq-of \ R} \ f \ using \ suv-carr \ 1(3) \ unfold-
     by (subst pmod-zero-iff-pdivides[OF carrier-is-subfield,symmetric])
simp-all
     have r3: snd \ r \ pdivides_{ring-of \ R} \ g \ unfolding \ r\text{-}def \ using \ s\text{-}div\text{-}g
by auto
     have r_4: \{snd \ r, \ fst \ (fst \ r), \ snd \ (fst \ r)\} \subseteq carrier \ ?P
        using suv\text{-}carr p\text{-}carr unfolding r\text{-}def by simp\text{-}all
     have r5: f = [] \land g = [] if snd \ r = []
       have r5-a: g = [] \land q = [] using that s-zero-iff unfolding r-def
by simp
       hence pmod f \parallel = \parallel  unfolding q-def by auto
       hence f = [] using pmod\text{-}def by simp
        thus ?thesis using r5-a by auto
      qed
     show ?thesis using r1 r2 r3 r4 r5 by (intro conjI) metis+
    qed
  qed
  thus ?T1 ?T2 ?T3 ?T4 ?T5 using assms by auto
qed
end
11
        Executable Factor Rings
```

idx- $plus = (\lambda x \ y. \ (x+y) \ mod \ n),$

```
idx-udivide = (\lambda x. \ nat \ (fst \ (bezout-coefficients \ (int \ x) \ (int \ n)) \ mod
(int \ n))),
   idx-mult = (\lambda x \ y. \ (x*y) \ mod \ n),
   idx-zero = \theta,
   idx-one = 1,
   idx-size = n,
   idx-enum = id,
   idx-enum-inv = id
lemma zfact-iso-\theta:
 assumes n > \theta
 shows zfact-iso n \theta = \mathbf{0}_{ZFact (int n)}
proof -
 let ?I = Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{int \ n\}
 have ideal-I: ideal ?I Z
   by (simp add: int.genideal-ideal)
 interpret i:ideal ?I Z using ideal-I by simp
 interpret s:ring-hom-ring Z ZFact (int n) (+>Z) ?I
  using i.rcos-ring-hom-ring ZFact-def by auto
 show ?thesis
   by (simp add:zfact-iso-def ZFact-def)
qed
lemma zfact-prime-is-field:
 assumes Factorial-Ring.prime (p :: nat)
 shows field (ZFact (int p))
 using zfact-prime-is-finite-field[OF assms] finite-field-def by auto
definition zfact-iso-inv :: nat \Rightarrow int set \Rightarrow nat where
 zfact-iso-inv p = the-inv-into {...<p} (zfact-iso p)
lemma zfact-iso-inv-\theta:
 assumes n-ge-\theta: n > \theta
 shows zfact-iso-inv n \mathbf{0}_{ZFact\ (int\ n)} = \theta
 unfolding zfact-iso-inv-def zfact-iso-0[OF n-ge-0, symmetric] using
n-qe-0
 by (rule the-inv-into-f-f[OF zfact-iso-inj], simp add:mod-ring-def)
lemma zfact-coset:
 assumes n-ge-\theta: n > \theta
 assumes x \in carrier (ZFact (int n))
 defines I \equiv Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{int \ n\}
 shows x = I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int (zfact-iso-inv \ n \ x))
proof -
 have x \in z fact - iso n ` \{ .. < n \}
   using assms zfact-iso-ran by simp
```

```
hence zfact-iso n (zfact-iso-inv n x) = x
   unfolding zfact-iso-inv-def by (intro f-the-inv-into-f zfact-iso-inj)
 thus ?thesis unfolding zfact-iso-def I-def by blast
qed
lemma zfact-iso-inv-bij:
 assumes n > \theta
  shows bij-betw (zfact-iso-inv n) (carrier (ZFact (int n))) (carrier
(ring-of\ (mod-ring\ n)))
proof -
 have bij-betw (the-inv-into \{...< n\} (zfact-iso n)) (carrier (ZFact (int
n))) \{..< n\}
   by (intro bij-betw-the-inv-into zfact-iso-bij[OF assms])
 thus ?thesis
   unfolding zfact-iso-inv-def mod-ring-def ring-of-def lessThan-def
by simp
qed
lemma zfact-iso-inv-is-ring-iso:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes n-ge-1: n > 1
 shows zfact-iso-inv n \in ring-iso (ZFact (int n)) (ring-of (mod-ring)
n) (is ?f \in -)
proof (rule ring-iso-memI)
 interpret r:cring (ZFact (int n))
   using ZFact-is-cring by simp
 define I where I = Idl_{\mathcal{Z}} \{int \ n\}
 have n-ge-\theta: n > \theta using n-ge-1 by simp
 interpret i:ideal I Z
   unfolding I-def using int.genideal-ideal by simp
 interpret s:ring-hom-ring \mathcal{Z} ZFact (int n) (+>\varphi) I
  using i.rcos-ring-hom-ring ZFact-def I-def by auto
 show zfact-iso-inv n \ x \in carrier \ (ring-of \ (mod-ring \ n)) \ \textbf{if} \ x \in carrier
(ZFact\ (int\ n)) for x
 proof -
   have zfact-iso-inv n \ x \in \{... < n\}
     unfolding zfact-iso-inv-def using that zfact-iso-ran[OF n-ge-0]
     by (intro the-inv-into-into zfact-iso-inj n-ge-0) auto
   thus zfact-iso-inv n \ x \in carrier \ (ring-of \ (mod-ring \ n))
     by (simp add:ring-of-def mod-ring-def)
 show ?f(x \otimes_{ZFact\ (int\ n)} y) = ?fx \otimes_{ring-of\ (mod-ring\ n)} ?fy
```

```
if x-carr: x \in carrier(ZFact(int n)) and y-carr: y \in carrier(ZFact)
(int \ n)) for x \ y
 proof -
    define x' where x' = z fact-iso-inv n x
    define y' where y' = zfact-iso-inv n y
     have x \otimes_{ZFact (int n)} y = (I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int x')) \otimes_{ZFact (int n)} (I
+>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int \ y'))
     unfolding x'-def y'-def
     using x-carr y-carr zfact-coset[OF n-ge-0] I-def by simp
    also have ... = (I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int \ x' * int \ y'))
     by simp
    also have ... = (I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int ((x' * y') mod n)))
     unfolding I-def zmod-int by (rule int-cosetI[OF n-ge-0], simp)
   also have ... = (I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (x' \otimes_{ring\text{-}of \pmod{n}} y'))
      unfolding ring-of-def mod-ring-def by simp
    also have ... = zfact-iso n (x' \otimes_{ring\text{-}of (mod\text{-}ring n)} y')
      unfolding zfact-iso-def I-def by simp
  finally have a:x \otimes_{ZFact \ (int \ n)} y = zfact-iso n \ (x' \otimes_{rinq\text{-}of \ (mod\text{-}rinq \ n)}
y'
     by simp
    have b:x' \otimes_{ring\text{-}of \pmod{ring n}} y' \in \{..< n\}
     using mod-ring-def n-ge-0 by (auto simp:ring-of-def)
  have ?f(zfact\text{-}iso\ n\ (x' \otimes_{rinq\text{-}of\ (mod\text{-}rinq\ n)}\ y')) = x' \otimes_{rinq\text{-}of\ (mod\text{-}rinq\ n)}
      \mathbf{unfolding}\ \textit{zfact-iso-inv-def}
     by (rule the-inv-into-f-f[OF\ zfact-iso-inj[OF\ n-ge-0] b])
    thus
      z fact-iso-inv n (x \otimes_{ZFact (int n)} y) =
      zfact-iso-inv \ n \ x \otimes_{ring\text{-}of \ (mod\text{-}ring \ n)} zfact-iso-inv \ n \ y
     using a x'-def y'-def by simp
 qed
 show zfact-iso-inv n (x \oplus_{ZFact (int n)} y) =
    zfact-iso-inv \ n \ x \oplus_{rinq\text{-}of \ (mod\text{-}rinq \ n)} zfact-iso-inv \ n \ y
  if x-carr: x \in carrier(ZFact(int n)) and y-carr: y \in carrier(ZFact)
(int \ n)) for x \ y
 proof -
    define x' where x' = z fact-iso-inv n x
    define y' where y' = zfact-iso-inv n y
     have x \oplus_{ZFact (int n)} y = (I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int x')) \oplus_{ZFact (int n)} (I
+>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int y'))
     unfolding x'-def y'-def
      using x-carr y-carr zfact-coset[OF n-ge-0] I-def by simp
    also have ... = (I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int \ x' + int \ y'))
     by simp
    also have ... = (I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (int ((x' + y') mod n)))
     unfolding I-def zmod-int by (rule int-cosetI[OF n-ge-0], simp)
    also have ... = (I +>_{\mathcal{Z}} (x' \oplus_{ring-of \ (mod-ring \ n)} y'))
```

```
unfolding mod-ring-def ring-of-def by simp
    also have ... = zfact-iso n (x' \oplus_{rinq\text{-}of (mod\text{-}rinq n)} y')
      unfolding zfact-iso-def I-def by simp
   finally have a:x \oplus_{ZFact \ (int \ n)} y = zfact\text{-}iso \ n \ (x' \oplus_{ring\text{-}of \ (mod\text{-}ring \ n)}
y'
      \mathbf{by} \ simp
    have b:x' \oplus_{ring\text{-}of \pmod{ring }n} y' \in \{..< n\}
      using mod-ring-def n-ge-\ddot{\theta} by (auto\ simp:ring-of-def)
   have ?f(zfact\text{-}iso\ n\ (x' \oplus_{rinq\text{-}of\ (mod\text{-}rinq\ n)}\ y')) = x' \oplus_{rinq\text{-}of\ (mod\text{-}rinq\ n)}
y'
      unfolding zfact-iso-inv-def
      by (rule the-inv-into-f-f[OF zfact-iso-inj[OF n-ge-\theta] b])
    thus ?f(x \oplus_{ZFact\ (int\ n)} y) = ?fx \oplus_{rinq\text{-}of\ (mod\text{-}rinq\ n)} ?fy
      using a x'-def y'-def by simp
  qed
  \begin{array}{l} \textbf{have} \ \mathbf{1}_{ZFact \ (int \ n)} = \textit{zfact-iso} \ n \ (\mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of \ (mod\text{-}ring \ n)}) \\ \textbf{by} \ (\textit{simp add:zfact-iso-def ZFact-def I-def}[\textit{symmetric}] \ \textit{ring-of-def} \end{array} 
mod-ring-def)
 thus zfact-iso-inv n \mathbf{1}_{ZFact~(int~n)} = \mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of~(mod\text{-}ring~n)}
    unfolding zfact-iso-inv-def mod-ring-def ring-of-def
    using the-inv-into-f-f[OF zfact-iso-inj] n-ge-1 by simp
   show bij-betw (zfact-iso-inv n) (carrier (ZFact (int n))) (carrier
(ring-of\ (mod-ring\ n)))
    by (intro zfact-iso-inv-bij n-ge-0)
qed
lemma mod-ring-finite:
  finite\ (carrier\ (ring-of\ (mod-ring\ n)))
  by (simp add:mod-ring-def ring-of-def)
lemma mod-ring-carr:
  x \in carrier (ring-of (mod-ring n)) \longleftrightarrow x < n
 by (simp add:mod-ring-def ring-of-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{mod\text{-}ring\text{-}is\text{-}cring}\text{:}
  assumes n-ge-1: n > 1
  shows cring (ring-of (mod-ring n))
proof -
  have n-ge-\theta: n > \theta using n-ge-1 by simp
  interpret cring ZFact (int n)
    using ZFact-is-cring by simp
 \mathbf{have}\ cring\ ((\mathit{ring-of}\ (\mathit{mod-ring}\ n))\ (|\ \mathit{zero} := \mathit{zfact-iso-inv}\ n\ \mathbf{0}_{\mathit{ZFact}\ (\mathit{int}\ n)})
))
```

```
by (rule ring-iso-imp-img-cring[OF zfact-iso-inv-is-ring-iso[OF n-ge-1]])
 moreover have
   ring-of (mod-ring n) (| zero := zfact-iso-inv n \mathbf{0}_{ZFact (int n)} | =
ring-of \ (mod-ring \ n)
  using zfact-iso-inv-0[OF n-ge-0] by (simp add:mod-ring-def ring-of-def)
 ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma zfact-iso-is-ring-iso:
 assumes n-qe-1: n > 1
 shows zfact-iso n \in ring-iso (ring-of (mod-ring n)) (ZFact (int n))
proof -
 have r:ring\ (ZFact\ (int\ n))
   using ZFact-is-cring cring.axioms(1) by blast
 interpret s: ring (ring-of (mod-ring n))
   using mod-ring-is-cring cring.axioms(1) n-ge-1 by blast
 have n-ge-\theta: n > \theta using n-ge-1 by linarith
 have inv-into (carrier (ZFact (int n))) (zfact-iso-inv n)
     \in ring\text{-}iso\ (ring\text{-}of\ (mod\text{-}ring\ n))\ (ZFact\ (int\ n))
    using ring-iso-set-sym[OF r zfact-iso-inv-is-ring-iso[OF n-ge-1]]
by simp
 moreover have inv-into (carrier (ZFact (int n))) (zfact-iso-inv n)
x = z fact-iso n x
   if x \in carrier (ring-of (mod-ring n)) for x
 proof -
  have x \in \{... < n\} using that by (simp add:mod-ring-def ring-of-def)
  thus inv-into (carrier (ZFact (int n))) (zfact-iso-inv n) x = zfact-iso
      using zfact-iso-inv-bij[OF n-ge-\theta] <math>zfact-iso-bij[OF n-ge-\theta] un-
folding zfact-iso-inv-def
       by (intro inv-into-f-eq bij-betw-apply[OF zfact-iso-inv-bij[OF
n-ge-\theta] the-inv-into-f-f)
      (auto intro:bij-betw-imp-inj-on simp:bij-betwE)
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis using s.ring-iso-restrict by blast
qed
If p is a prime than mod-ring p is a field:
lemma mod-ring-is-field:
 assumes Factorial-Ring.prime p
 shows field (ring-of (mod-ring p))
proof -
 have p-ge-\theta: p > \theta using assms prime-gt-\theta-nat by blast
 have p-ge-1: p > 1 using assms\ prime-gt-1-nat by blast
 interpret field ZFact (int p)
```

```
using zfact-prime-is-field[OF assms] by simp
have field ((ring-of (mod-ring p)) (| zero := zfact-iso-inv p \mathbf{0}_{ZFact \ (int \ p)}
  by (rule ring-iso-imp-img-field [OF zfact-iso-inv-is-ring-iso [OF p-ge-1]])
 moreover have
   (ring-of \ (mod-ring \ p)) \ (| \ zero := zfact-iso-inv \ p \ \mathbf{0}_{ZFact \ (int \ p)} \ ) =
ring-of \ (mod-ring \ p)
  using zfact-iso-inv-0[OF\ p-ge-0] by (simp\ add:mod-ring-def\ ring-of-def)
 ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma mod-ring-is-ring-c:
 assumes n > 1
 shows cring_C (mod\text{-}ring \ n)
proof (intro cring-cI mod-ring-is-cring assms)
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume a:x \in carrier (ring-of (mod-ring n))
 hence x-le-n: x < n unfolding mod-ring-def ring-of-def by simp
 interpret cring (ring-of (mod-ring n)) by (intro mod-ring-is-cring
assms)
 show -c_{mod-ring\ n}\ x = \ominus_{ring-of\ (mod-ring\ n)}\ x using x-le-n
    by (intro minus-equality[symmetric] a) (simp-all add:ring-of-def
mod-ring-def mod-simps)
next
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume a:x \in Units (ring-of (mod-ring n))
 let ?l = fst (bezout\text{-}coefficients (int x) (int n))
 let ?r = snd (bezout\text{-}coefficients (int x) (int n))
  interpret cring ring-of (mod-ring n) by (intro mod-ring-is-cring
assms)
 obtain y where x \otimes_{ring\text{-}of \pmod{ring n}} y = \mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of \pmod{ring n}}
   using a by (meson Units-r-inv-ex)
 hence x * y \mod n = 1 by (simp-all add:mod-ring-def ring-of-def)
 hence gcd \ x \ n = 1 by (metis dvd-triv-left gcd. assoc \ gcd-1-nat gcd-nat. absorb-iff1
gcd-red-nat)
 hence \theta: gcd (int x) (int n) = 1 unfolding gcd-int-int-eq by simp
 have int x * ?l \mod int n = (?l * int x + ?r * int n) \mod int n
   using assms by (simp add:mod-simps algebra-simps)
 also have ... = (gcd (int x) (int n)) mod int n
   by (intro arg-cong2 [where f=(mod)] refl bezout-coefficients) simp
 also have ... = 1 unfolding \theta using assms by simp
```

```
finally have int x * ?l \mod int \ n = 1 by simp
  hence int x * nat (fst (bezout-coefficients (int x) (int n)) mod int
n) \mod n = 1
    using assms by (simp add:mod-simps)
  hence x * nat (fst (bezout-coefficients (int x) (int n)) mod int n)
mod n = 1
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{nat\text{-}mod\text{-}as\text{-}int}\ \mathit{nat\text{-}one\text{-}as\text{-}int}\ \mathit{of\text{-}nat\text{-}mult})
 hence x \otimes_{rinq\text{-}of \pmod{rinq}} x^{-1} C_{mod\text{-}ring} n = \mathbf{1}_{rinq\text{-}of \pmod{rinq}}
    using assms unfolding mod-ring-def ring-of-def by simp
 moreover have nat (fst (bezout-coefficients (int x) (int n)) mod int
n) < n
    using assms by (subst nat-less-iff) auto
 hence x^{-1}_{C \bmod ring \ n} \in carrier \ (ring of \ (mod ring \ n))
    using assms unfolding mod-ring-def ring-of-def by simp
 moreover have x \in carrier (ring-of (mod-ring n)) using a by auto
 ultimately show x^{-1}_{C \bmod -ring \ n} = inv_{ring - of \ (mod -ring \ n)} \ x
    by (intro comm-inv-char[symmetric])
qed
{f lemma}\ mod\mbox{-}ring\mbox{-}is\mbox{-}field\mbox{-}c:
 assumes Factorial-Ring.prime p
 shows field_C \pmod{-ring p}
 unfolding field_C-def domain_C-def
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{intro}\ \mathit{conjI}\ \mathit{mod\text{-}ring\text{-}}\mathit{is\text{-}ring\text{-}}\mathit{c}\ \mathit{mod\text{-}ring\text{-}}\mathit{is\text{-}field}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{prime\text{-}}\mathit{gt\text{-}}\mathit{1\text{-}}\mathit{nat}
      domain.axioms(1)\ field.axioms(1))
lemma mod-ring-is-enum-c:
 shows enum_C \pmod{-ring n}
 by (intro enum-cI) (simp-all add:mod-ring-def ring-of-def Coset.order-def
lessThan-def)
end
         Executable Code for Rabin's Irreducibil-
12
ity Test
theory Rabin-Irreducibility-Test-Code
 imports
    Finite-Fields-Poly-Ring-Code
    Finite	ext{-}Fields	ext{-}Mod	ext{-}Ring	ext{-}Code
    Rabin-Irreducibility-Test
begin
fun pcoprime_C :: ('a, 'b) idx-ring-scheme <math>\Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool
 where pcoprime_C \ R \ f \ g = (length \ (snd \ (ext\text{-}euclidean \ R \ f \ g)) = 1)
declare pcoprime_C.simps[simp\ del]
```

```
lemma pcoprime-c:
 assumes field_C R
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
 assumes g \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
 shows pcoprime_C \ R \ f \ g \longleftrightarrow pcoprime_{ring-of} \ R \ f \ g \ (is ?L = ?R)
proof (cases f = [] \land g = [])
 case True
 interpret field ring-of R
   using assms(1) unfolding field_C-def by simp
 interpret d-poly-ring: domain poly-ring (ring-of R)
   by (rule univ-poly-is-domain[OF carrier-is-subring])
 have ?L = False \text{ using } True \text{ by } (simp add: pcoprime_C.simps ext-euclidean.simps)
poly-def)
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow (length \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring\ (ring-of\ R)}=1) by (simp
add:univ-poly-zero)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow pcoprime<sub>ring-of R</sub> \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R)}
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst\ pcoprime-zero-iff})\ (\mathit{simp-all})
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?R using True by (simp add: univ-poly-zero)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
next
 case False
 let ?P = poly\text{-}ring (ring\text{-}of R)
 interpret field ring-of R
   using assms(1) unfolding field_C-def by simp
 interpret d-poly-ring: domain poly-ring (ring-of R)
   by (rule univ-poly-is-domain[OF carrier-is-subring])
  obtain s \ u \ v where suv\text{-}def: ((u,v),s) = ext\text{-}euclidean \ R \ f \ g by
(metis surj-pair)
 have s-eq:s = f \otimes_{P} u \oplus_{P} g \otimes_{P} v (is ?T1)
   and s-div-f: s pdivides ring-of R f and s-div-g: s pdivides ring-of R
(is ?T3)
   and suv-carr: \{s, u, v\} \subseteq carrier ?P
   and s-nz: s \neq []
   using False suv-def[symmetric] ext-euclidean[OF assms(1,2,3)] by
  have ?L \longleftrightarrow length \ s = 1 \ \mathbf{using} \ suv\text{-}def[symmetric] \ \mathbf{by} \ (simp
add:pcoprime_C.simps)
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?R
   unfolding pcoprime-def
 proof (intro iffI impI ballI)
   fix r assume len-s: length s = 1
   assume r-carr:r \in carrier ?P
     and r p divides_{ring-of\ R} f \land r p divides_{ring-of\ R} g
     hence r-div: pmod \ f \ r = \mathbf{0}_{?P} \quad pmod \ g \ r = \mathbf{0}_{?P} \quad \mathbf{unfolding}
```

```
univ-poly-zero
       using assms(2,3) pmod-zero-iff-pdivides[OF carrier-is-subfield]
by auto
   have pmod\ s\ r=pmod\ (f\otimes_{?P}u)\ r\oplus_{?P}pmod\ (g\otimes_{?P}v)\ r
     using r-carr suv-carr assms unfolding s-eq
     by (intro long-division-add[OF carrier-is-subfield]) auto
   also have ... = pmod (pmod f r \otimes_{P} u) r \oplus_{P} pmod (pmod g r)
\otimes_{P} v) r
    using r-carr suv-carr assms by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(\oplus_{P})]
pmod-mult-left) auto
   also have ... = pmod \ \mathbf{0}_{P} \ r \oplus_{P} \ pmod \ \mathbf{0}_{P} \ r
     using suv-carr unfolding r-div by simp
   also have ... = [] using r-carr unfolding univ-poly-zero
   by (simp add: long-division-zero[OF carrier-is-subfield] univ-poly-add)
   finally have pmod \ s \ r = [] by simp
   hence r pdivides_{rinq-of R} s
    using r-carr suv-carr pmod-zero-iff-pdivides[OF carrier-is-subfield]
   hence degree \ r \leq degree \ s
       using s-nz r-carr suv-carr by (intro pdivides-imp-degree-le[OF
carrier-is-subring]) auto
   thus degree r = 0 using len-s by simp
 next
   assume \forall r \in carrier ?P. \ r \ pdivides_{ring-of} \ R \ f \land r \ pdivides_{ring-of} \ R
g \longrightarrow degree \ r = 0
   hence degree s = 0 using s-div-f s-div-g suv-carr by simp
   thus length \ s = 1  using s-nz
        by (metis diff-is-0-eq diffs0-imp-equal length-0-conv less-one
linorder-le-less-linear)
 qed
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
The following is a fast version of pmod for polynomials (to a high
power) that need to be reduced, this is used for the higher order
term of the Gauss polynomial.
fun pmod\text{-}pow_C :: ('a,'b) idx\text{-}ring\text{-}scheme \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a list
\Rightarrow 'a list
 where pmod\text{-}pow_C F f n g = (
   let \ r = (\mathit{if} \ n \geq \mathit{2} \ \mathit{then} \ \mathit{pmod\text{-}pow}_{C} \ \mathit{F} \ \mathit{f} \ (\mathit{n} \ \mathit{div} \ \mathit{2}) \ \mathit{g} \ \widehat{\ \ }_{C \ \mathit{poly} \ \mathit{F}} \ \mathit{2} \ \mathit{else}
1_{C poly F}
   in \ pmod_C \ F \ (r *_{C \ poly \ F} (f \cap_{C \ poly \ F} (n \ mod \ 2))) \ g)
declare pmod\text{-}pow_C.simps[simp\ del]
lemma pmod-pow-c:
 assumes field_C R
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
```

```
assumes g \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
 shows pmod\text{-}pow_C\ R\ f\ n\ g = ring.pmod\ (ring\text{-}of\ R)\ (f\ [\ ]_{poly\text{-}ring\ (ring\text{-}of\ R)}
n) g
proof (induction n rule:nat-less-induct)
 case (1 n)
 let ?P = poly\text{-}ring (ring\text{-}of R)
 interpret field ring-of R
   using assms(1) unfolding field_C-def by simp
 interpret d-poly-ring: domain poly-ring (ring-of R)
   by (rule univ-poly-is-domain[OF carrier-is-subring])
  have ring-c: ring_C R using assms(1) unfolding field_C-def do-
main_C-def cring_C-def by auto
 have d-poly: domain_C (poly R) using assms (1) unfolding field_C-def
by (intro poly-domain) auto
 have ind: pmod\text{-}pow_C \ R \ f \ m \ g = pmod \ (f \ \lceil \ \rceil \wr P \ m) \ g \ \text{if} \ m < n \ \text{for}
   using 1 that by auto
  define r where r = (if \ n \ge 2 \ then \ pmod\text{-}pow_C \ R \ f \ (n \ div \ 2) \ g
\widehat{\ \ \ }_{C\ poly\ R}\ 2\ else\ 1_{C\ poly\ R})
 have pmod \ r \ g = pmod \ (f \ [ ]_{PP} \ (n - (n \ mod \ 2))) \ g \land r \in carrier
 proof (cases n \geq 2)
   case True
   hence r = pmod\text{-}pow_C \ R \ f \ (n \ div \ 2) \ g \ [ ]_{P} \ (2 :: nat)
   unfolding r-def domain-cD[OF d-poly] by (simp add:ring-of-poly[OF
ring-c])
   also have ... = pmod (f ) ?P (n \ div \ 2)) g ]?P (2 :: nat)
     using True by (intro arg-cong2[where f=([\ ]_{?P})] refl ind) auto
   finally have r-alt: r = pmod (f \cap_{P} (n \ div \ 2)) g \cap_{P} (2 :: nat)
     by simp
   have pmod \ r \ g = pmod \ (pmod \ (f \ ) \ P \ (n \ div \ 2)) \ g \otimes_{P} \ pmod \ (f \ )
\lceil \rceil_{P} (n \ div \ 2)) \ g) \ g
      unfolding r-alt using assms(2,3) long-division-closed [OF car-
rier-is-subfield]
     by (simp add:numeral-eq-Suc) algebra
   also have ... = pmod (f ) ?_P (n \ div \ 2) \otimes ?_P f ) ?_P (n \ div \ 2)) g
     using assms(2,3) by (intro pmod-mult-both[symmetric]) auto
   also have ... = pmod (f ) (n div 2) + (n div 2)) g
     using assms(2,3) by (subst\ d\text{-}poly\text{-}ring.nat\text{-}pow\text{-}mult) auto
   also have ... = pmod (f [ ] _{QP} (n - (n mod 2))) g
   by (intro arg-cong2 [where f=pmod] refl arg-cong2 [where f=([\ ]_{PP})])
presburger
   finally have pmod \ r \ g = pmod \ (f \ [ \ ] \ P \ (n - (n \ mod \ 2))) \ g
```

```
by simp
   moreover have r \in carrier ?P
    using assms(2,3) long-division-closed[OF carrier-is-subfield] un-
folding r-alt by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 next
   case False
   hence r = 1_{\mathcal{Q}P}
      unfolding r-def using domain-cD[OF d-poly] ring-of-poly[OF
ring-c] by simp
   also have ... = f \left[ \widehat{\ } \right]_{P} \left( \theta :: nat \right) by simp
   also have ... = f [ \widehat{\ }]_{?P} (n - (n \mod 2))
     using False by (intro arg-cong2[where f=([\widehat{\ }]_{?P})] reft) auto
   finally have r = f \left[ \gamma \right] P \left( n - (n \mod 2) \right) by simp
   then show ?thesis using assms(2) by simp
 qed
 hence r-exp: pmod\ r\ g=pmod\ (f\ [\ ]_{PP}\ (n-(n\ mod\ 2)))\ g and
r-carr: r \in carrier ?P
   by auto
  have pmod\text{-}pow_C \ R \ f \ n \ g = pmod_C \ R \ (r *_{Cpoly} R \ (f \ \hat{}_{Cpoly} R \ (n \ ))
mod \ 2))) \ q
   by (subst\ pmod\text{-}pow_C.simps) (simp\ add:r\text{-}def[symmetric])
 also have ... = pmod_C R (r \otimes_{P} (f ) ?_P (n mod 2))) g
    unfolding domain-cD[OF d-poly] by (simp add:ring-of-poly[OF
ring-c]
 also have ... = pmod (r \otimes_{P} (f ) ?_{P} (n mod 2))) g
   using r-carr assms(2,3) by (intro\ pmod-c[OF\ assms(1)]) auto
 also have ... = pmod (pmod \ r \ g \otimes_{P} (f \ [ ]_{P} (n \ mod \ 2))) \ g
   using r-carr assms(2,3) by (intro\ pmod-mult-left) auto
  also have ... = pmod (f ) ?P (n - (n mod 2)) \otimes ?P (f ) ?P (n
mod \ 2))) \ g
  using assms(2,3) unfolding r-exp by (intro\ pmod-mult-left[symmetric])
 also have ... = pmod (f [ \widehat{\ }]_{?P} ((n - (n \mod 2)) + (n \mod 2))) g
  using assms(2,3) by (intro arg\text{-}cong2[where f=pmod] refl d\text{-}poly\text{-}ring.nat\text{-}pow\text{-}mult)
 also have ... = pmod (f [ ] ?P n) g  by simp
 finally show pmod\text{-}pow_C R f n g = pmod (f [ ] ?P n) g by <math>simp
The following function checks whether a given polynomial is co-
prime with the Gauss polynomial X^n - X.
definition pcoprime-with-gauss-poly :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a
list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
 where pcoprime-with-gauss-poly F p n =
     (pcoprime_C \ F \ p \ (pmod\text{-}pow_C \ F \ X_{CF} \ n \ p +_{Cpoly \ F} (-_{Cpoly \ F}
pmod_C \ F \ X_{CF} \ p)))
```

```
definition divides-gauss-poly :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-scheme \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow
nat \Rightarrow bool
 where divides-gauss-poly F p n =
   (pmod - pow_C \ F \ X_{CF} \ n \ p +_{Cpolu} F \ (-_{Cpolu} F \ pmod_C \ F \ X_{CF} \ p) =
[]
lemma mod-gauss-poly:
 assumes field_C R
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
 shows pmod\text{-}pow_C \ R \ X_{CR} \ n \ f +_{Cpolu \ R} (-_{Cpolu \ R} \ pmod_C \ R \ X_{CR}
   ring.pmod\ (ring-of\ R)\ (gauss-poly\ (ring-of\ R)\ n)\ f\ (is\ ?L = ?R)
proof -
 interpret field ring-of R
   using assms(1) unfolding field_C-def by simp
 interpret d-poly-ring: domain poly-ring (ring-of R)
   by (rule univ-poly-is-domain[OF carrier-is-subring])
  have ring-c: ring_C R using assms(1) unfolding field_C-def do-
main_C-def cring_C-def by auto
have d-poly: domain_C (poly R) using assms (1) unfolding field_C-def
by (intro poly-domain) auto
 let ?P = poly-ring (ring-of R)
 have ?L = pmod - pow_C R X_{ring - of R} n f \oplus ?P - C_{poly R} pmod_C R
X_{ring-of\ R}\ f
     by (simp\ add:\ poly\ var\ domain\ cD[OF\ d\ poly]\ ring\ of\ poly[OF\ d\ poly]
ring-c])
  also have ...= pmod\ (X_{ring-of\ R}[\widehat{\ }]_{?P}\ n)\ f \oplus_{?P}\ -_{C\ poly\ R}\ pmod
X_{ring-of\ R} f
  using assms var-carr[OF carrier-is-subring] by (intro refl arg-cong2[where
f=(\oplus_{P})
      pmod\text{-}pow\text{-}c \ arg\text{-}cong[\mathbf{where} \ f = \lambda x. \ (-_{C \ poly \ R} \ x)] \ pmod\text{-}c) \ auto
 also have ... = pmod (X_{rinq-of R} [ \widehat{\ }]_{?P} n) f \ominus_{?P} pmod X_{rinq-of R} f
  unfolding a-minus-def using assms(1,2) var-carr[OF carrier-is-subring]
    ring-of-poly[OF ring-c] long-division-closed[OF carrier-is-subfield]
   by (subst\ domain\text{-}cD[OF\ d\text{-}poly]) auto
also have ... = pmod(X_{ring-of R}[\widehat{\ }] \otimes_P n) f \oplus_{P} pmod(\bigoplus_{P} X_{ring-of R})
   using assms(2) var-carr[OF carrier-is-subring]
  unfolding a-minus-def by (subst long-division-a-inv[OF carrier-is-subfield])
auto
 also have \dots = pmod (gauss-poly (ring-of R) n) f
    using assms(2) var-carr[OF carrier-is-subring] var-pow-carr[OF
carrier-is-subring]
  unfolding gauss-poly-def a-minus-def by (subst long-division-add[OF
carrier-is-subfield]) auto
```

```
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma pcoprime-with-gauss-poly:
 assumes field_C R
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
 shows pcoprime-with-gauss-poly R f n \longleftrightarrow pcoprime_{rinq\text{-}of} R (gauss-poly
(ring-of R) n) f
   (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 interpret field ring-of R
   using assms(1) unfolding field_C-def by simp
 have ?L \longleftrightarrow pcoprime_C \ R \ f \ (pmod \ (gauss-poly \ (ring-of \ R) \ n) \ f)
    unfolding pcoprime-with-gauss-poly-def using assms by (subst
mod-gauss-poly) auto
 also have ... = pcoprime_{ring-of\ R} f \ (pmod\ (gauss-poly\ (ring-of\ R)
n) f)
  using assms gauss-poly-carr long-division-closed [OF carrier-is-subfield]
   by (intro pcoprime-c) auto
 also have \dots = pcoprime_{ring-of\ R}\ (gauss-poly\ (ring-of\ R)\ n)\ f
   by (intro pcoprime-step[symmetric] gauss-poly-carr assms)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma divides-gauss-poly:
 assumes field_C R
 assumes f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
  shows divides-gauss-poly R f n \longleftrightarrow f p divides_{ring-of R} (gauss-poly
(ring-of R) n
   (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 interpret field ring-of R
   using assms(1) unfolding field_C-def by simp
 have ?L \longleftrightarrow (pmod (gauss-poly (ring-of R) n) f = [])
  unfolding divides-gauss-poly-def using assms by (subst mod-gauss-poly)
auto
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?R
    using assms gauss-poly-carr by (intro pmod-zero-iff-pdivides[OF
carrier-is-subfield]) auto
 finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
fun rabin-test-powers :: ('a, 'b) idx-ring-enum-scheme <math>\Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat
 where rabin-test-powers F n =
    map\ (\lambda p.\ idx\text{-}size\ F^{n}(n\ div\ p))\ (filter\ (\lambda p.\ prime\ p\ \wedge\ p\ dvd\ n)
```

```
Given a monic polynomial with coefficients over a finite field
returns true, if it is irreducible
fun rabin-test :: ('a, 'b) idx-ring-enum-scheme <math>\Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool
 where rabin-test F f = (
   if degree f = 0 then
     False
   else (list-all (pcoprime-with-gauss-poly F f) (rabin-test-powers F
(degree f)))))
\mathbf{declare}\ \mathit{rabin-test.simps}[\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{del}]
context
 fixes R
 assumes field-R: field_C R
 assumes enum-R: enum_C R
begin
interpretation finite-field (ring-of R)
 using field-R enum-cD[OF enum-R] unfolding field<sub>C</sub>-def
 by (simp add:finite-field-def finite-field-axioms-def)
lemma rabin-test-powers:
 assumes n > 0
 shows set (rabin-test-powers R n) =
    \{order\ (ring\text{-}of\ R) \cap (n\ div\ p) \mid p\ .\ Factorial\text{-}Ring.prime\ p \land p\ dvd
n
   (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 let ?f = (\lambda x. \ order \ (ring-of \ R) \ \widehat{\ } (n \ div \ x))
 have \theta:p\in\{2..n\} if Factorial-Ring.prime p p dvd n for p
   using assms that by (simp add: dvd-imp-le prime-ge-2-nat)
 have ?L = ?f ` \{ p \in \{2..n\} \}. Factorial-Ring.prime p \land p \ dvd \ n \}
   using enum-cD[OF\ enum-R] by auto
 also have ... = ?f '\{p. Factorial\text{-}Ring.prime } p \land p \ dvd \ n\}
   using \theta by (intro image-cong Collect-cong) auto
 also have \dots = ?R
   by auto
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma rabin-test:
 assumes monic-poly (ring-of R) f
  shows rabin-test R f \longleftrightarrow monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of R) f (is
```

[2..<(n+1)]

```
?L = ?R)
proof (cases degree f = \theta)
   {\bf case}\  \, True
  thus ?thesis unfolding rabin-test.simps using monic-poly-min-degree
by fastforce
next
    {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   define N where N = \{ degree \ f \ div \ p \mid p \ . \ Factorial-Ring.prime \ p \land \}
p \ dvd \ degree \ f
   have f-carr: f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of <math>R))
         using assms(1) unfolding monic-poly-def by auto
   have deg-f-gt-\theta: degree <math>f > \theta
         using False by auto
     have rt-powers: set (rabin-test-powers R (degree f)) = (\lambda x. order
(ring-of R)^x 'N
         unfolding rabin-test-powers[OF deg-f-gt-0] N-def by auto
   have ?L \longleftrightarrow divides-gauss-poly R \ f \ (idx-size R \ \widehat{\ } degree \ f) \land
      (\forall n \in set (rabin-test-powers R (degree f)). (pcoprime-with-gauss-poly))
R f n)
      using False by (simp add: list-all-def rabin-test.simps del:rabin-test-powers.simps)
    also have \dots \longleftrightarrow f \ pdivides_{ring-of} \ R \ (gauss-poly \ (ring-of \ R) \ (order
(ring-of R) \cap degree f)
      \land (\forall n \in N. \ pcoprime_{ring-of} \ R \ (gauss-poly \ (ring-of R) \ (order \ (ring-of R) \
R) (n) f
      unfolding divides-gauss-poly[OF field-R f-carr] pcoprime-with-gauss-poly[OF
field-R f-carr
             rt-powers enum-cD[OF\ enum-R] by simp
    also have ... \longleftrightarrow ?R
      using False unfolding N-def by (intro rabin-irreducibility-condition[symmetric]
assms(1)) auto
    finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
end
end
```

13 Additional results about Bijections and Digit Representations

```
theory Finite-Fields-More-Bijections
imports HOL-Library.FuncSet Digit-Expansions.Bits-Digits
begin
```

lemma nth-digit-0:

```
assumes x < b^k
 shows nth-digit x k b = 0
 using assms unfolding nth-digit-def by auto
lemma nth-digit-bounded':
 assumes b > \theta
 \mathbf{shows} \ \mathit{nth-digit} \ v \ x \ b < \ b
  using assms by (simp add: nth-digit-def)
lemma digit-gen-sum-repr':
 assumes n < b\hat{c}
 shows n = (\sum k < c. \ nth\text{-}digit \ n \ k \ b * b \ \widehat{\phantom{a}} k)
proof -
  consider (a) b = 0 c = 0 | (b) b = 0 c > 0 | (c) b = 1 | (d) b>1
by linarith
 thus ?thesis
 proof (cases)
    case a thus ?thesis using assms by simp
    case b thus ?thesis using assms by (simp add: zero-power)
  next
    case c thus ?thesis using assms by (simp add:nth-digit-def)
    case d thus ?thesis by (intro digit-gen-sum-repr assms d)
 qed
qed
lemma
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow f(g \ x) = x
 shows \bigwedge y. y \in g ' A \Longrightarrow g(fy) = y
proof -
 show g(fy) = y if \theta: y \in g'A for y
 proof -
    obtain x where x-dom: x \in A and y-def: y = g x using \theta by
    hence g(f y) = g(f(g x)) by simp
     also have ... = g \times y (intro arg-cong[where f=g] assms(1)
    also have \dots = y unfolding y-def by simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
qed
lemma nth-digit-bij:
  \textit{bij-betw} \ (\lambda \textit{v.} \ (\lambda \textit{x} \in \{.. < n\}. \ \textit{nth-digit} \ \textit{v} \ \textit{x} \ \textit{b})) \ \{.. < b \ \hat{} \ n\} \ (\{.. < n\} \ \rightarrow_E \ \text{otherwise})
\{...< b\}
  (is bij-betw ?f ?A ?B)
proof -
 have inj-f: inj-on ?f ?A
```

```
using digit-gen-sum-repr' by (intro inj-on-inverseI[where g=(\lambda x.
(\sum k < n. \ x \ k * b^k))]) auto
 consider (a) b = 0 n = 0 | (b) b = 0 n > 0 | (c) b > 0 by linarith
 hence nth-digit x i b \in \{... < b\} if i < n x < b \hat{n} for i x
 proof (cases)
   case a then show ?thesis using that by auto
 next
   case b thus ?thesis using that by (simp add:zero-power)
 next
   case c thus ?thesis using that by (simp add:nth-digit-def)
 hence ?f x \in ?B \text{ if } x \in ?A \text{ for } x \text{ using } that \text{ unfolding } restrict\text{-}PiE\text{-}iff
\mathbf{by} auto
 hence ?f \cdot ?A = ?B
     using card-image[OF inj-f] by (intro card-seteq finite-PiE im-
age\text{-}subsetI) (auto simp:card\text{-}PiE)
 thus ?thesis using inj-f unfolding bij-betw-def by auto
qed
lemma nth-digit-sum:
 assumes \bigwedge i. i < l \Longrightarrow f i < b
 shows \bigwedge k. k < l \Longrightarrow nth\text{-}digit (\sum i < l. \ f \ i * b \hat{\ i}) \ k \ b = f \ k
   and (\sum i < l. \ f \ i * b \hat{i}) < b \hat{l}
proof -
 define n where n = (\sum i < l. f i * b^{\hat{i}})
 have restrict f \{...< l\} \in \{...< l\} \rightarrow_E \{...< b\} using assms(1) by auto
  then obtain m where a:(\lambda x \in \{... < l\}). nth-digit m x b) = restrict f
\{..< l\} \text{ and } b: m \in \{..< b\hat{\ } l\}
   using bij-betw-imp-surj-on[OF nth-digit-bij[where n=l and b=b]]
   by (metis (no-types, lifting) image-iff)
 have m = (\sum i < l. \ nth\text{-}digit \ m \ i \ b * b \hat{\ } i)
   using b by (intro digit-gen-sum-repr') auto
 also have ... = (\sum i < l. f i * b \hat{i})
    using a by (intro sum.cong arg-cong2[where f=(*)] refl) (metis
restrict-apply')
 also have \dots = n unfolding n-def by simp
 finally have c:n = m by simp
 show (\sum i < l. \ f \ i * b \hat{i}) < b \hat{l} unfolding n\text{-}def[symmetric] \ c using
b by auto
 show nth-digit (\sum i < l. \ f \ i * b \hat{\ } i) \ k \ b = f \ k \ \text{if} \ k < l \ \text{for} \ k
 proof -
   have nth-digit (\sum i < l. f i * b \hat{i}) k b = nth-digit m k b unfolding
n-def[symmetric] c by simp
     also have \dots = f \ k \ using \ a \ that \ by \ (metis \ lessThan-iff \ re-
strict-apply')
   finally show ?thesis by simp
```

```
qed
qed
lemma bij-betw-reindex:
  assumes bij-betw f I J
 shows bij-betw (\lambda x. \ \lambda i \in I. \ x \ (f \ i)) (J \rightarrow_E S) (I \rightarrow_E S)
proof (rule bij-betwI[where g=(\lambda x. \ \lambda i \in J. \ x \ (the\text{-inv-into} \ I \ f \ i))])
  have \theta:bij-betw (the-inv-into I f) JI
    using assms bij-betw-the-inv-into by auto
 show (\lambda x. \ \lambda i \in I. \ x \ (f \ i)) \in (J \rightarrow_E S) \rightarrow I \rightarrow_E S
    using bij-betw-apply[OF assms] by auto
 show (\lambda x. \ \lambda i \in J. \ x \ (the -inv -into \ If \ i)) \in (I \to_E S) \to J \to_E S
    using bij-betw-apply[OF 0] by auto
 show (\lambda j \in J. \ (\lambda i \in I. \ x \ (f \ i)) \ (the -inv - into \ I \ f \ j)) = x \ \textbf{if} \ x \in J \rightarrow_E S
for x
 proof
    have (\lambda i \in I. \ x \ (f \ i)) \ (the -inv -into \ I \ f \ j) = x \ j \ \mathbf{if} \ j \in J \ \mathbf{for} \ j
        using 0 assms f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw bij-betw-apply that by
fastforce
    thus ?thesis using PiE-arb[OF that] by auto
  show (\lambda i \in I. \ (\lambda j \in J. \ y \ (the -inv -into \ I \ f \ j)) \ (f \ i)) = y \ \textbf{if} \ y \in I \rightarrow_E
S for y
  proof -
    have (\lambda j \in J. \ y \ (the\text{-}inv\text{-}into\ If\ j))\ (f\ i) = y\ i \ \text{if}\ i \in I \ \text{for}\ i
       using assms 0 that the-inv-into-f-f[OF bij-betw-imp-inj-on[OF
assms]] bij-betw-apply by force
    thus ?thesis using PiE-arb[OF that] by auto
  qed
qed
lemma lift-bij-betw:
 assumes bij-betw f S T
 shows bij-betw (\lambda x. \ \lambda i \in I. \ f \ (x \ i)) (I \rightarrow_E S) (I \rightarrow_E T)
proof -
 let ?g = the\text{-}inv\text{-}into S f
  have bij-g: bij-betw ?q T S using bij-betw-the-inv-into[OF assms]
by simp
  have 0: ?g(f x) = x if x \in S for x by (intro the-inv-into-f-f that
bij-betw-imp-inj-on[OF\ assms])
 have 1:f(?g x)=x if x \in T for x by (intro f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw[OF
assms] that)
 have (\lambda i \in I. f(x i)) \in I \to_E T if x \in (I \to_E S) for x \in I
    using bij-betw-apply[OF assms] that by (auto simp: Pi-def)
 moreover have (\lambda i \in I. ?g(x i)) \in I \rightarrow_E S if x \in (I \rightarrow_E T) for x \in I
    using bij-betw-apply[OF bij-g] that by (auto simp: Pi-def)
```

```
moreover have (\lambda i \in I. ?g ((\lambda i \in I. f (x i)) i)) = x \text{ if } x \in (I \rightarrow_E S)
for x
 proof -
   have (\lambda i \in I. ?g ((\lambda i \in I. f (x i)) i)) i = x i for i
     using PiE-mem[OF\ that] using PiE-arb[OF\ that] by (cases\ i \in
I) (simp add:0)+
   thus ?thesis by auto
 moreover have (\lambda i \in I. f((\lambda i \in I. ?g(x i)) i)) = x \text{ if } x \in (I \rightarrow_E T)
for x
 proof -
   have (\lambda i \in I. f((\lambda i \in I. ?g(x i)) i)) i = x i for i
     using PiE-mem[OF\ that] using PiE-arb[OF\ that] by (cases\ i \in
I) (simp add:1)+
   thus ?thesis by auto
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis
   by (intro bij-betwI[where g=(\lambda x. \ \lambda i \in I. \ ?g \ (x \ i))]) simp-all
lemma lists-bij:
    bij-betw (\lambda x. \ map \ x \ [ \ 0..< d] ) (\{..< d\} \rightarrow_E S) \{x. \ set \ x \subseteq S \land A\}
length x = d
proof (intro bij-betwI[where g=(\lambda x. \lambda i \in \{... < d\}. x! i)] funcsetI Col-
lectI, goal-cases)
 case (1 x)
 hence x \in \{0..< d\} \subseteq S by (intro image-subsetI) auto
 thus ?case by simp
next
 case (2 x) thus ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
 case (3 x)
 have restrict ((!) (map \ x \ [ \ 0...< d])) \{...< d\}\ j = x\ j for j
   using PiE-arb[OF 3] by (cases j \in \{... < d\}) auto
 thus ?case by auto
 case (4 y)
 have map (restrict ((!) y) {..<d}) [0..<d] = map(((!) y)) [0..<d]
by (intro map-cong) auto
 also have \dots = y using 4 map-nth by blast
 finally show ?case by auto
qed
lemma bij-betw-prod: bij-betw (\lambda x. (x \mod s, x \operatorname{div} s)) \{... < s * t\}
(\{..<(s::nat)\} \times \{..< t\})
proof -
 have bij-betw-aux: x + s * y < s * t if x < s y < t for x y :: nat
 proof -
   have x + s * y < s + s * y using that by simp
```

```
also have ... = s*(y+1) by simp also have ... \le s*t using that by (intro mult-left-mono) auto finally show ?thesis by simp qed

show ?thesis proof (cases s>0 \land t>0)
   case True then show ?thesis using less-mult-imp-div-less bij-betw-aux by (intro bij-betwI[where g=(\lambda x.\ fst\ x+s*snd\ x)]) (auto simp:mult.commute)
   next case False then show ?thesis by (auto simp:bij-betw-def) qed qed
```

14 Additional results about PMFs

```
theory Finite-Fields-More-PMF
 imports HOL-Probability.Probability-Mass-Function
begin
lemma powr-mono-rev:
 fixes x :: real
 assumes a \le b and x > 0 x \le 1
 shows x powr b \le x powr a
proof -
  have x powr b = (1/x) powr (-b) using assms by (simp add:
powr-divide powr-minus-divide)
 also have ... \leq (1/x) \ powr(-a) using assms by (intro powr-mono)
auto
  also have \dots = x powr \ a using \ assms by (simp add: powr-divide
powr-minus-divide)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ integral\text{-}bind\text{-}pmf\colon
 fixes f :: - \Rightarrow real
 assumes bounded (f \cdot set\text{-pm} f (bind\text{-pm} f p q))
 shows (\int x. f x \partial bind-pmf p q) = (\int x. \int y. f y \partial q x \partial p) (is ?L =
?R)
proof -
 obtain M where a:|f|x| \leq M if x \in set\text{-pmf} (bind-pmf p q) for x
   using assms(1) unfolding bounded-iff by auto
 define clamp where clamp x = (if |x| > M \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } x) for x = (if |x| > M \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } x)
obtain x where x \in set-pmf (bind-pmf p q) using set-pmf-not-empty
```

```
have a: \land x \ y. \ x \in set\text{-pmf} \ p \Longrightarrow y \in set\text{-pmf} \ (q \ x) \Longrightarrow \neg |f \ y| > M
   using a by fastforce
 hence (\int x. f x \partial bind-pmf p q) = (\int x. clamp (f x) \partial bind-pmf p q)
   unfolding clamp-def by (intro integral-cong-AE AE-pmfI) auto
  also have ... = (\int x. \int y. clamp (f y) \partial q x \partial p) unfolding mea-
sure-pmf-bind
    by (subst integral-bind[where K=count-space UNIV and B'=1
and B=M
     (simp-all\ add:measure-subprob\ clamp-def\ M-ge-0)
  also have ... = ?R unfolding clamp-def using a by (intro inte-
gral-cong-AE AE-pmfI) simp-all
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma measure-bind-pmf:
 measure (bind-pmf m f) s = (\int x. \text{ measure } (f x) s \partial m) (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 have ?L = (\int x. indicator s \ x \ \partial bind-pmf \ m \ f) by simp
 also have ... = (\int x. (\int y. indicator \ s \ y \ \partial f \ x) \ \partial m)
   by (intro integral-bind-pmf) (auto intro!:boundedI)
 also have \dots = ?R by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
end
15
        Executable Polynomial Factor Rings
{\bf theory}\ {\it Finite-Fields-Poly-Factor-Ring-Code}
 imports
   Finite-Fields-Poly-Ring-Code
   Rabin-Irreducibility-Test-Code
   Finite-Fields-More-Bijections
begin
Enumeration of the polynomials with a given degree:
definition poly-enum :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-enum-scheme \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat
\Rightarrow 'a list
 where poly-enum R l n =
   drop While ((=) \theta_{CR}) (map (\lambda p. idx-enum R (nth-digit n (l-1-p)
(idx\text{-}size\ R)))\ [0..< l])
lemma replicate-drop-while-cancel:
 assumes k = length (takeWhile ((=) x) y)
 shows replicate k \times @ drop While ((=) \times) y = y \text{ (is } ?L = ?R)
proof -
```

by fast

hence M-ge- θ : $M \geq \theta$ using a by fastforce

```
have replicate k x = takeWhile ((=) x) y
  using assms by (metis (full-types) replicate-length-same set-takeWhileD)
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma arg-cong3:
 assumes x = u \ y = v \ z = w
 shows f x y z = f u v w
 using assms by simp
lemma list-all-dropwhile: list-all p xs \Longrightarrow list-all p (drop While q xs)
 by (induction xs) auto
lemma bij-betw-poly-enum:
 assumes enum_C R ring_C R
 shows bij-betw (poly-enum R l) {..<idx-size R ^{\uparrow}}
 \{xs.\ xs \in carrier\ (poly-ring\ (ring-of\ R)) \land length\ xs \leq l\}
proof -
 let ?b = idx-size R
 let ?S0 = \{..< l\} \rightarrow_E \{..< order (ring-of R)\}
 let ?S1 = {... < l} \rightarrow_E {x. idx-pred R x}
 let ?S2 = \{xs. \ list-all \ (idx-pred \ R) \ xs \land length \ xs = l\}
 let ?S3 = \{xs. (xs = [] \lor hd \ xs \neq \theta_{CR}) \land list-all \ (idx-pred \ R) \ xs \land \}
length xs \leq l
 let ?S4 = \{xs. \ xs \in carrier \ (poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R)) \land length \ xs \leq l\}
 interpret ring \ ring - of \ R \ using \ assms(2) \ unfolding \ ring_C - def \ by
simp
  have 0 < order (ring-of R) using enum-cD(1)[OF \ assms(1)] or-
der-gt-0-iff-finite by metis
 also have ... = ?b using enum-cD[OF\ assms(1)] by auto
 finally have b-gt-\theta: ?b > \theta by simp
 note bij0 = lift-bij-betw[OF\ enum-cD(3)[OF\ assms(1)], where I = \{... < l\}]
 note bij1 = lists-bij[where d=l and S=\{x. idx-pred R x\}]
 have bij-betw (drop While ((=) \theta_{CR})) ?S2 ?S3
  proof (rule bij-betwI[where g=\lambda xs. replicate (l - length xs) \theta_{CR}
@xs])
   have drop While ((=) \theta_{CR}) xs \in ?S3 \text{ if } xs \in ?S2 \text{ for } xs
   proof -
     have drop While ((=) \theta_{CR}) xs = [] \lor hd (drop While ((=) \theta_{CR}))
xs) \neq \theta_{CR}
       using hd-dropWhile by (metis (full-types))
     moreover have length (drop While ((=) \theta_{CR}) xs) \leq l
     by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) mem-Collect-eq length-drop While-le
that)
    ultimately show ?thesis using that by (auto simp:list-all-dropwhile)
```

```
qed
   thus drop While ((=) \theta_{CR}) \in ?S2 \rightarrow ?S3 by auto
   have replicate (l - length \ xs) \ \theta_{CR} @ xs \in ?S2 \ \text{if} \ xs \in ?S3 \ \text{for} \ xs
   have idx-pred R \cap R using add.one-closed by (simp \ add:ring-of-def)
     moreover have length (replicate (l - length \ xs) \ \theta_{CR} \ @ \ xs) = l
using that by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis using that by (auto simp:list-all-iff)
   qed
   thus (\lambda xs. replicate (l-length\ xs) \theta_{CR} @ xs) \in ?S3 \rightarrow ?S2 by
auto
    show replicate (l - length (drop While ((=) \theta_{CR}) x)) \theta_{CR} @
drop While ((=) \theta_{CR}) x = x
     if x \in ?S2 for x
   proof -
     have length (take While ((=) \theta_{CR}) x) + length (drop While ((=)
\theta_{CR}(x) = length(x)
       unfolding length-append[symmetric] by simp
       thus ?thesis using that by (intro replicate-drop-while-cancel)
auto
   qed
   show drop While ((=) \theta_{CR}) (replicate (l - length y) \theta_{CR} @ y) =
y
     if y \in ?S3 for y
   proof -
     have drop While ((=) \theta_{CR}) (replicate (l - length y) \theta_{CR} @ y)
= drop While ((=) \theta_{CR}) y
       by (intro drop While-append2) simp
   also have ... = y using that by (intro iffD2[OF drop While-eq-self-iff])
     finally show ?thesis by simp
   qed
 qed
 moreover have ?S3 = ?S4
  unfolding ring-of-poly[OF assms(2),symmetric] by (simp add:ring-of-def
poly-def)
 ultimately have bij2: bij-betw (drop While ((=) \theta_{CR})) ?S2 ?S4 by
simp
 have bij3: bij-betw (\lambda x. l-1-x) {..<l} {..<l}
   by (intro bij-betwI|where g=\lambda x. l-1-x|) auto
 note bij4 = bij-betw-reindex[OF\ bij3], where S=\{...< order\ (ring-of\ bij4)\}
R)\}]
 have bij5: bij-betw (\lambda n. (\lambda p \in \{... < l\}. nth-digit n p ?b)) \{... < ?b^l\} ?S0
   using nth-digit-bij[where n=l] enum-cD[OF\ assms(1)] by simp
have bij6: bij-betw (\lambda n. (\lambda p \in \{... < l\}). nth-digit n (l-1-p) ?b)) \{... < ?b^{\gamma}\}
2S0
   by (intro iffD2[OF arg-cong3[where f=bij-betw] bij-betw-trans[OF]
```

```
bij5 bij4]]) force+
 have carrier (ring\text{-}of\ R) = \{x.\ idx\text{-}pred\ R\ x\} unfolding ring\text{-}of\text{-}def
by auto
 hence bij7: bij-betw (\lambda n. (\lambda p \in \{... < l\}. idx-enum R (nth-digit n (l-1-p))
(b))) \{...< (b^{l}) ?S1
   by (intro\ iff D2[OF\ arg\text{-}cong3[\mathbf{where}\ f=bij\text{-}betw]\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}trans[OF\ arg\text{-}cong3])
bij6\ bij0]])\ fastforce+
 have bij8: bij-betw (\lambda n. map (\lambda p. idx-enum R (nth-digit n (l-1-p)
(a,b)) [a,c]) \{a,c]
   by (intro iffD2[OF\ arg\text{-}cong3[\text{where}\ f=bij\text{-}betw]\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}trans[OF]
bij7 bij1]])
       (auto simp:comp-def list-all-iff atLeast0LessThan[symmetric])
 thus bij-betw (poly-enum R l) {..<idx-size R ^{\circ} l} ?S4
  \textbf{using} \ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}trans[\textit{OF} \ bij\textit{8} \ bij\textit{2}] \ \textbf{unfolding} \ poly\text{-}enum\text{-}def \ comp\text{-}def
by simp
qed
definition poly-enum-inv :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-enum-scheme \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a
list \Rightarrow nat
 where poly-enum-inv R l f =
   (let f' = replicate (l - length f) \theta_{CR} @ f in
   (\sum i < l. idx-enum-inv R(f'!(l-1-i)) * idx-size R \hat{i})
find-theorems (\sum i < ?l. ?f i * ?x^i) < ?x^?l
lemma poly-enum-inv:
 assumes enum_C R ring_C R
 assumes x \in \{xs. \ xs \in carrier \ (poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R)) \land length \ xs \}
 shows the-inv-into {..<idx-size R^{\uparrow}} (poly-enum R l) x = poly-enum-inv
R l x
proof -
 define f where f = replicate (l - length x) \theta_{CR} @ x
 let ?b = idx-size R
 let ?d = drop While ((=) \theta_{CR})
 have len-f: length f = l using assms(3) unfolding f-def by auto
 note enum-c = enum-cD[OF \ assms(1)]
 interpret ring ring-of R using assms(2) unfolding ring_C-def by
simp
 have \theta: idx-enum-inv R y < ?b if y \in carrier (ring-of R) for y
```

using bij-betw-imp-surj-on[OF enum-c(4)] enum-c(2) that by auto have 1: $(x = [] \lor lead\text{-}coeff \ x \neq 0_{CR}) \land list\text{-}all \ (idx\text{-}pred \ R) \ x \land$

```
length x < l
    using assms(3) unfolding ring-of-poly[OF\ assms(2), symmetric]
by (simp add:ring-of-def poly-def)
 moreover have \mathbf{0}_{ring\text{-}of\ R} \in carrier\ (ring\text{-}of\ R) by simp
 hence idx-pred R \theta_{CR} unfolding ring-of-def by simp
 ultimately have 2: set f \subseteq carrier (ring-of R)
   unfolding f-def by (auto simp add:ring-of-def list-all-iff)
 have poly-enum R l(poly-enum-inv R l x) = poly-enum R l <math>(\sum i < l.
idx\text{-}enum\text{-}inv\ R\ (f\ !\ (l-1-i))*?b\widehat{\ \ }i)
   unfolding poly-enum-inv-def f-def[symmetric] by simp
 also have ... = ?d (map (\lambda p. idx-enum R (idx-enum-inv R (f! (l –
1 - (l - 1 - p))))) [0..< l])
   unfolding poly-enum-def using 2 len-f by (intro arg-cong[where
f = ?d
       arg\text{-}cong[\mathbf{where}\ f=idx\text{-}enum\ R]\ map\text{-}cong\ refl\ nth\text{-}digit\text{-}sum\ \theta)
auto
 also have ... = ?d \ (map \ (\lambda p. \ (f! \ (l-1-(l-1-p))))) \ [0...< l])
     using 2 len-f by (intro arg-cong[where f = ?d] map-cong refl
enum-c) auto
 also have ... =?d \pmod{(\lambda p. (f!p))[\theta...< l]}
   by (intro arg-cong[where f = ?d] map-cong) auto
 also have ... = ?d f using len-f map-nth by (intro arg-cong[where
f = ?d]) auto
 also have ... = ?d x unfolding f-def by (intro drop While-append2)
auto
 also have ... = x using 1 by (intro iffD2[OF dropWhile-eq-self-iff])
auto
 finally have poly-enum R \ l \ (poly\text{-}enum\text{-}inv \ R \ l \ x) = x \ \text{by } simp
 moreover have poly-enum-inv R l x < idx-size R^{\uparrow}l
   unfolding poly-enum-inv-def Let-def f-def[symmetric] using len-f
2
   by (intro nth-digit-sum(2) 0) auto
 ultimately show ?thesis
  by (intro the-inv-into-f-eq bij-betw-imp-inj-on[OF bij-betw-poly-enum[OF
assms(1,2)]]) auto
qed
definition poly-mod-ring :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-enum-scheme \Rightarrow 'a list =>
'a list idx-ring-enum
 where poly-mod-ring R f = \emptyset
   idx-pred = (\lambda xs. idx-pred (poly R) xs \land length xs \leq degree f),
   idx-uminus = idx-uminus (poly R),
   idx-plus = (\lambda x \ y. \ pmod_C \ R \ (x +_{C \ poly \ R} \ y) \ f),
   idx-udivide = (\lambda x. let ((u,v),r) = ext-euclidean R x f in pmod_C R
(r^{-1}_{C poly} R *_{C poly} R u) f),
   idx-mult = (\lambda x \ y. \ pmod_C \ R \ (x *_{Cpoly} \ R \ y) \ f),
   idx-zero = \theta_{Cpoly\ R},
   idx-one = 1_{C poly R},
```

```
idx-size = idx-size R \cap degree f,
   idx-enum = poly-enum R (degree f),
   idx-enum-inv = poly-enum-inv R (degree f)
definition poly-mod-ring-iso :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-enum-scheme \Rightarrow 'a list
\Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list set
 \mathbf{where}\ poly-mod\text{-}ring\text{-}iso\ R\ f\ x = PIdl_{poly\text{-}ring\ (ring\text{-}of\ R)}\ f\ + >_{poly\text{-}ring\ (ring\text{-}of\ R)}
definition poly-mod-ring-iso-inv :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-enum-scheme \Rightarrow 'a
list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ list
 where poly-mod-ring-iso-inv R f =
  the-inv-into (carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring Rf))) (poly-mod-ring-iso
Rf
context
 fixes f
 fixes R :: ('a, 'b) idx-ring-enum-scheme
 assumes field-R: field_C R
 assumes f-carr: f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of R))
 assumes deg-f: degree f > 0
begin
private abbreviation P where P \equiv poly\text{-ring }(ring\text{-}of\ R)
private abbreviation I where I \equiv PIdl_{poly-ring (ring-of R)} f
interpretation field ring-of R
 using field-R unfolding field<sub>C</sub>-def by auto
interpretation d: domain P
 by (intro univ-poly-is-domain carrier-is-subring)
interpretation i: ideal IP
 using f-carr by (intro d.cgenideal-ideal) auto
interpretation s: ring-hom-ring P P Quot I (+>_P) I
 using i.rcos-ring-hom-ring by auto
interpretation cr: cring P Quot I
   by (intro i.quotient-is-cring d.cring-axioms)
lemma ring-c: ring_C R
 using field-R unfolding field_C-def domain_C-def cring_C-def by auto
lemma d-poly: domain_C (poly R) using field-R unfolding field_C-def
by (intro poly-domain) auto
lemma ideal-mod:
 assumes y \in carrier P
```

```
shows I +>_P (pmod\ y\ f) = I +>_P y
proof -
   have f \in I by (intro d.cgenideal-self f-carr)
   hence (f \otimes_P (pdiv \ y \ f)) \in I
       using long-division-closed[OF carrier-is-subfield] assms f-carr
       by (intro i.I-r-closed) (simp-all)
   hence y \in I +>_P (pmod \ y \ f)
       using assms f-carr unfolding a-r-coset-def'
       by (subst\ pdiv-pmod[OF\ carrier-is-subfield,\ \mathbf{where}\ q=f])\ auto
      by (intro i.a-repr-independence' assms long-division-closed[OF car-
rier-is-subfield f-carr)
qed
lemma poly-mod-ring-carr-1:
   carrier\ (ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f)) = \{xs.\ xs \in carrier\ P \land degree\ and\ carr
xs < degree f
   (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
   have ?L = \{xs. \ xs \in carrier \ (ring-of \ (poly \ R)) \land degree \ xs < degree \}
       using deg-f unfolding poly-mod-ring-def ring-of-def by auto
   also have ... = ?R unfolding ring-of-poly[OF\ ring-c] by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma poly-mod-ring-carr:
   assumes y \in carrier P
   shows pmod\ y\ f\in carrier\ (ring-of\ (poly-mod-ring\ R\ f))
proof -
   have f \neq [] using deg-f by auto
   hence pmod\ y\ f = [] \lor degree\ (pmod\ y\ f) < degree\ f
       by (intro pmod-degree[OF carrier-is-subfield] assms f-carr)
   hence degree (pmod\ y\ f) < degree\ f\ using\ deg-f\ by\ auto
   moreover have pmod \ y \ f \in carrier \ P
        using f-carr assms long-division-closed[OF carrier-is-subfield] by
auto
   ultimately show ?thesis unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1 by auto
qed
lemma poly-mod-ring-iso-ran:
   poly-mod-ring-iso\ R\ f\ `carrier\ (ring-of\ (poly-mod-ring\ R\ f)) = car-
rier (P Quot I)
proof -
   have poly-mod-ring-iso R f x \in carrier (P Quot I)
       if x \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f)) for x
   proof -
       have I \subseteq carrier P by auto
     moreover have x \in carrier\ P using that unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1
```

```
by auto
   ultimately have poly-mod-ring-iso R f x \in a-rcosets p I
      using that f-carr unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-def by (intro
d.a-rcosetsI) auto
   thus ?thesis unfolding FactRing-def by simp
 moreover have x \in poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\text{-}iso\ R\ f\ `carrier\ (ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ ))
   if x \in carrier (P \ Quot \ I) for x
 proof -
   have x \in a-rcosets<sub>P</sub> I using that unfolding FactRing-def by auto
   then obtain y where y-def: x = I +>_P y y \in carrier P
     using that unfolding A-RCOSETS-def' by auto
   define z where z = pmod y f
   have I +>_P z = I +>_P y unfolding z-def by (intro ideal-mod
y-def)
  hence poly-mod-ring-iso R f z = x unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-def
y-def by simp
   moreover have z \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
     unfolding z-def by (intro poly-mod-ring-carr y-def)
   ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma poly-mod-ring-iso-inj:
  inj-on (poly-mod-ring-iso R f) (carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R
f)))
proof (rule inj-onI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y
 assume x \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
 hence x:x \in carrier\ P\ degree\ x < degree\ f\ unfolding\ poly-mod-ring-carr-1
by auto
 assume y \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
 hence y:y \in carrier\ P\ degree\ y < degree\ f\ unfolding\ poly-mod-ring-carr-1
by auto
 have degree (x \ominus_P y) \leq max (degree x) (degree (\ominus_P y))
   unfolding a-minus-def by (intro degree-add)
 also have ... = max (degree x) (degree y)
    unfolding univ-poly-a-inv-degree[OF\ carrier-is-subring\ y(1)] by
simp
 also have ... < degree f using x(2) y(2) by simp
 finally have d: degree (x \ominus_P y) < degree f by simp
 assume poly-mod-ring-iso R f x = poly-mod-ring-iso R f y
  hence I +>_P x = I +>_P y unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-def by
simp
 hence x \ominus_P y \in I using x y by (subst d.quotient-eq-iff-same-a-r-cos[OF]
```

```
i.ideal-axioms]) auto
 \mathbf{hence}\ f\ pdivides_{ring-of\ R}\ (x\ominus_{P}\ y)
  using f-carr x(1) y d.m-comm unfolding cgenideal-def pdivides-def
factor-def by auto
 hence (x \ominus_P y) = [] \lor degree (x \ominus_P y) \ge degree f
  using x(1) y(1) f-carr pdivides-imp-degree-le[OF carrier-is-subring]
by (meson d.minus-closed)
 hence (x \ominus_P y) = \mathbf{0}_P unfolding univ-poly-zero using d by simp
 thus x = y using x(1) y(1) by simp
qed
lemma poly-mod-iso-ring-bij:
  bij-betw (poly-mod-ring-iso R f) (carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R
f))) (carrier (P Quot I))
 using poly-mod-ring-iso-ran poly-mod-ring-iso-inj unfolding bij-betw-def
by simp
lemma poly-mod-iso-ring-bij-2:
  bij-betw (poly-mod-ring-iso-inv R f) (carrier (P Quot I)) (carrier
(ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f)))
 unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-inv-def using poly-mod-iso-ring-bij bij-betw-the-inv-into
by blast
lemma poly-mod-ring-iso-inv-1:
 assumes x \in carrier (P \ Quot \ I)
 shows poly-mod-ring-iso R f (poly-mod-ring-iso-inv R f x) = x
 unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-inv-def using assms poly-mod-iso-ring-bij
 by (intro f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw) auto
lemma poly-mod-ring-iso-inv-2:
 assumes x \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
 shows poly-mod-ring-iso-inv R f (poly-mod-ring-iso R f x) = x
 unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-inv-def using assms
 by (intro the-inv-into-f-f poly-mod-ring-iso-inj)
lemma poly-mod-ring-add:
 assumes x \in carrier P
 assumes y \in carrier P
 shows x \oplus_{ring\text{-}of (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring \ R \ f)} y = pmod (x \oplus_P y) f (is ?L
= ?R
proof -
 have ?L = pmod_C R (x \oplus_{ring\text{-}of (poly R)} y) f
     unfolding poly-mod-ring-def ring-of-def using domain-cD[OF]
d-poly] by simp
 also have \dots = ?R
  using assms unfolding ring-of-poly[OF ring-c] by (intro pmod-c[OF
field-R] f-carr) auto
 finally show ?thesis
   by simp
```

```
\mathbf{qed}
```

```
lemma poly-mod-ring-zero: \mathbf{0}_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f)} = \mathbf{0}_P
 have \mathbf{0}_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f)} = \mathbf{0}_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\ R)}
  using domain-cD[OF d-poly] unfolding ring-of-def poly-mod-ring-def
by simp
 also have ... = \mathbf{0}_P unfolding ring-of-poly[OF ring-c] by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma poly-mod-ring-one: \mathbf{1}_{rinq\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f)} = \mathbf{1}_{P}
 have \mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f)} = \mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\ R)}
  using domain-cD[OF d-poly] unfolding ring-of-def poly-mod-ring-def
by simp
 also have ... = \mathbf{1}_P unfolding ring-of-poly[OF ring-c] by simp
 finally show \mathbf{1}_{ring-of\ (poly-mod-ring\ R\ f)} = \mathbf{1}_P by simp
qed
lemma poly-mod-ring-mult:
 \mathbf{assumes}\ x \in \mathit{carrier}\ P
 assumes y \in carrier P
 shows x \otimes_{ring\text{-}of (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring \ R \ f)} y = pmod (x \otimes_P y) \ f \ (is \ ?L
= ?R)
proof -
 have ?L = pmod_C R (x \otimes_{ring\text{-}of (poly R)} y) f
     unfolding poly-mod-ring-def ring-of-def using domain-cD[OF
d-poly] by simp
 also have \dots = ?R
  using assms unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1 ring-of-poly[OF ring-c]
   by (intro pmod-c[OF field-R] f-carr) auto
 finally show ?thesis
   by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma poly-mod-ring-iso-inv:
 poly-mod-ring-iso-inv\ R\ f\in ring-iso\ (P\ Quot\ I)\ (ring-of\ (poly-mod-ring
R(f)
 (is ?f \in ring\text{-}iso ?S ?T)
proof (rule\ ring-iso-mem I)
 fix x assume x \in carrier ?S
 thus ?fx \in carrier ?T using bij-betw-apply[OF poly-mod-iso-ring-bij-2]
by auto
next
 fix x y assume x:x \in carrier ?S and y: y \in carrier ?S
 have ?f x \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
   by (rule\ bij-betw-apply[OF\ poly-mod-iso-ring-bij-2\ x])
```

```
hence x': ?f x \in carrier P unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1 by simp
 have ?f y \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
   by (rule bij-betw-apply[OF poly-mod-iso-ring-bij-2 y])
 hence y': ?f y \in carrier P unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1 by simp
 have 0: ?f x \otimes_{?T} ?f y = pmod (?f x \otimes_{P} ?f y) f
   by (intro poly-mod-ring-mult x' y')
 also have ... \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
   using x' y' by (intro poly-mod-ring-carr) auto
 finally have xy: ?f x \otimes_{?T} ?f y \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R
f)) by simp
 have ?f(x \otimes_{?S} y) = ?f(poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\text{-}iso R f(?fx) \otimes_{?S} poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\text{-}iso
R f (?f y)
   using x y by (simp \ add:poly-mod-ring-iso-inv-1)
 also have ... = ?f((I +>_P (?f x)) \otimes_{?S} (I +>_P (?f y)))
   unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-def by simp
 also have ... = ?f(I +>_P (?f x \otimes_P ?f y))
   using x' y' by simp
 also have ... = ?f(I +>_P (pmod(?fx \otimes_P ?fy) f))
   using x' y' by (subst ideal-mod) auto
 also have ... = ?f(I +>_P (?fx \otimes_{?T} ?fy))
   unfolding \theta by simp
 also have ... = ?f (poly-mod-ring-iso R f (?f x \otimes_{?T} ?f y))
   unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-def by simp
 also have ... = ?f x \otimes_{?T} ?f y
   using xy by (intro poly-mod-ring-iso-inv-2)
 finally show ?f(x \otimes_{?S} y) = ?fx \otimes_{?T} ?fy by simp
next
 fix x y assume x:x \in carrier ?S and y: y \in carrier ?S
 have ?f x \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
   by (rule\ bij\mbox{-}betw\mbox{-}apply[OF\ poly\mbox{-}mod\mbox{-}iso\mbox{-}ring\mbox{-}bij\mbox{-}2\ x])
 hence x':?f x \in carrier P unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1 by simp
 have ?f y \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
   by (rule bij-betw-apply[OF poly-mod-iso-ring-bij-2 y])
 hence y':?f y \in carrier P unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1 by simp
 have 0: ?fx \oplus_{?T} ?fy = pmod (?fx \oplus_{P} ?fy) f by (intro poly-mod-ring-add
x'y'
 also have ... \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
   using x' y' by (intro poly-mod-ring-carr) auto
 finally have xy: ?f x \oplus ?T ?f y \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R))
f)) by simp
 have ?f(x \oplus ?S y) = ?f(poly-mod-ring-iso R f(?fx) \oplus ?S poly-mod-ring-iso
R f (?f y)
   using x \ y \ by (simp \ add:poly-mod-ring-iso-inv-1)
 also have ... = ?f((I +>_P (?fx)) \oplus_{?S} (I +>_P (?fy)))
   unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-def by simp
```

```
also have ... = ?f(I +>_P (?fx \oplus_P ?fy))
    using x' y' by simp
  also have ... = ?f(I +>_P (pmod(?fx \oplus_P ?fy) f))
    using x' y' by (subst ideal-mod) auto
  also have ... = ?f(I +>_P (?fx \oplus_{?T} ?fy))
    unfolding \theta by simp
  also have ... = ?f (poly-mod-ring-iso R f (?f x \oplus ?_T ?f y))
    unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-def by simp
  also have ... = ?f x \oplus ?T ?f y
    using xy by (intro poly-mod-ring-iso-inv-2)
  finally show ?f(x \oplus_{?S} y) = ?fx \oplus_{?T} ?fy by simp
  have poly-mod-ring-iso R f \mathbf{1}_{ring-of\ (poly-mod-ring\ R,\ f)} = (I +>_P
1 p)
    unfolding poly-mod-ring-one poly-mod-ring-iso-def by simp
  also have ... = 1_{P \ Ouot \ I} using s.hom-one by simp
  \textbf{finally have} \hspace{0.1cm} \textit{poly-mod-ring-iso} \hspace{0.1cm} R \hspace{0.1cm} f \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of} \hspace{0.1cm} (\textit{poly-mod-ring} \hspace{0.1cm} R \hspace{0.1cm} f) \hspace{0.1cm} = \hspace{0.1cm} \textbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of} \hspace{0.1cm} (\textit{poly-mod-ring} \hspace{0.1cm} R \hspace{0.1cm} f)
\mathbf{1}_{P\ Quot\ I} by simp
  moreover have degree \mathbf{1}_P < degree f
    using deg-f unfolding univ-poly-one by simp
 hence \mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f)} \in carrier\ (ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f)
R(f)
    unfolding poly-mod-ring-one poly-mod-ring-carr-1 by simp
  ultimately show ?f(1_{?S}) = 1_{?T}
      unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-inv-def by (intro the-inv-into-f-eq
poly-mod-ring-iso-inj)
 show bij-betw ?f (carrier ?S) (carrier ?T) by (rule poly-mod-iso-ring-bij-2)
qed
lemma cring-poly-mod-ring-1:
  shows ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f)(|zero := poly-mod-ring-iso-inv R
f \mathbf{0}_{P \ Quot \ I}) =
    ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f)
    and cring\ (ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f))
proof -
  let ?f = poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\text{-}iso\text{-}inv R f
  have poly-mod-ring-iso R f \mathbf{0}_P = \mathbf{0}_{P \ Quot \ PIdl_P \ f}
    unfolding poly-mod-ring-iso-def by simp
 moreover have [] \in carrier\ P\ using\ univ-poly-zero[where K=carrier
(ring-of R)] by auto
  ultimately have ?f \mathbf{0}_{P \ Quot \ I} = \mathbf{0}_{P}
    unfolding univ-poly-zero poly-mod-ring-iso-inv-def using deg-f
   by (intro the-inv-into-f-eq bij-betw-imp-inj-on[OF poly-mod-iso-ring-bij])
      (simp-all\ add:add:poly-mod-ring-carr-1)
 also have ... = \theta_{Cpoly\ R} using ring-of-poly[OF\ ring-c] domain-cD[OF\ ring-c]
d-poly] by auto
```

```
finally have ?f \ \mathbf{0}_{P \ Quot \ I} = \theta_{C \ poly \ R} \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
  thus ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f)(|zero| = ?f \mathbf{0}_{P \ Quot \ I}|) = ring-of
(poly-mod-ring R f)
   unfolding ring-of-def poly-mod-ring-def by auto
 thus cring\ (ring-of\ (poly-mod-ring\ R\ f))
   using cr.ring-iso-imp-imq-crinq[OF poly-mod-ring-iso-inv] by simp
qed
interpretation cr-p: cring (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
 by (rule cring-poly-mod-ring-1)
lemma cring-c-poly-mod-ring: cring_C (poly-mod-ring R f)
proof -
 let ?P = ring - of (poly - mod - ring R f)
 have -c_{poly-mod-ring\ R\ f} x = \ominus_{ring-of\ (poly-mod-ring\ R\ f)} x (is ?L
= ?R)
   if x \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f)) for x
 proof (rule cr-p.minus-equality[symmetric, OF - that])
  have -C_{poly-mod-rinq} R_f x = -C_{poly} R_f x unfolding poly-mod-ring-def
by simp
   also have ... = \ominus_P x using that unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1
      by (subst domain-cD[OF d-poly]) (simp-all add:ring-of-poly[OF
ring-c])
   finally have \theta:-_{C poly-mod-ring \ R \ f} x = \ominus_P x by simp
   have 1: \ominus_P x \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
     using that univ-poly-a-inv-degree[OF carrier-is-subring] unfold-
ing poly-mod-ring-carr-1
     by auto
   have -C_{polu-mod-ring} R f x \oplus P x = pmod (\Theta_P x \oplus_P x) f
   using that 1 unfolding 0 poly-mod-ring-carr-1 by (intro poly-mod-ring-add)
auto
   also have \dots = pmod \ \mathbf{0}_P f
     using that unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1 by simp algebra
   also have \dots = []
   unfolding univ-poly-zero using carrier-is-subfield f-carr long-division-zero(2)
by presburger
    also have ... = \mathbf{0}_{P} by (simp add:poly-mod-ring-def ring-of-def
poly-def)
   finally show -c_{poly-mod-rinq} R_f x \oplus P_r x = \mathbf{0} P_r \mathbf{by} simp
   show -_{C poly-mod-ring} R f x \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R))
f))
     unfolding \theta by (rule 1)
 moreover have x^{-1}_{C poly-mod-ring \ R \ f} = inv_{ring-of \ (poly-mod-ring \ R \ f)}
   if x-unit: x \in Units (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f)) for x
```

```
proof (rule cr-p.comm-inv-char[symmetric])
   show x-carr: x \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring <math>R f))
     using that unfolding Units-def by auto
  obtain y where y:x\otimes_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f)}y=\mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f)}
      and y-carr: y \in carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
     using x-unit unfolding Units-def by auto
   have pmod\ (x \otimes_P y)\ f = x \otimes_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f)} y
       using x-carr y-carr by (intro poly-mod-ring-mult[symmetric])
(auto simp:poly-mod-ring-carr-1)
   also have \dots = 1_P
     unfolding y poly-mod-ring-one by simp
   finally have 1:pmod (x \otimes_P y) f = \mathbf{1}_P by simp
    have pcoprime_{rinq-of\ R}\ (x\otimes_P\ y)\ f=pcoprime_{rinq-of\ R}\ f\ (pmod
(x \otimes_P y) f
      using x-carr y-carr f-carr unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1 by
(intro pcoprime-step) auto
   also have ... = pcoprime _{rinq-of R} f 1_P  unfolding 1 by simp
   also have ... = True using pcoprime-one by simp
   finally have pcoprime_{rinq-of R} (x \otimes_P y) f by simp
   hence pcoprime_{ring-of\ R} x f
   using x-carr y-carr f-carr pcoprime-left-factor unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1
by blast
   hence 2:length (snd (ext-euclidean R \times f)) = 1
   using f-carr x-carr pcoprime-c[OF field-R] unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1
pcoprime_C.simps
     by auto
   obtain u \ v \ r where uvr\text{-}def: ((u,v),r) = ext\text{-}euclidean \ R \ x \ f by
(metis surj-pair)
  have x-carr': x \in carrier\ P using x-carr unfolding poly-mod-ring-carr-1
by auto
   \mathbf{have}\ r\text{-}\mathit{eq}\text{:}r = x \otimes_{P} u \oplus_{P} f \otimes_{P} v \ \mathbf{and}\ \mathit{ruv\text{-}\mathit{carr}}\text{:} \{r,\,u,\,v\} \subseteq \mathit{carrier}
     using uvr-def[symmetric] ext-euclidean[OF field-R x-carr' f-carr]
by auto
   have length r = 1 using 2 uvr-def[symmetric] by simp
   hence 3:r = [hd \ r] by (cases \ r) auto
   hence r \neq \mathbf{0}_P unfolding univ-poly-zero by auto
   hence hd \ r \in carrier \ (ring-of \ R) - \{\mathbf{0}_{ring-of \ R}\}
     using ruv-carr by (intro lead-coeff-carr) auto
  hence r-unit: r \in Units P using 3 univ-poly-units [OF carrier-is-subfield]
   hence inv-r-carr: inv_P \ r \in carrier \ P \ by \ simp
```

```
have \theta: x^{-1}_{C poly-mod-rinq} R f = pmod_C R (r^{-1}_{C poly} R *_{C poly} R
u) f
     by (simp add:poly-mod-ring-def uvr-def[symmetric])
   also have ... = pmod_C R (inv_P r \otimes_P u) f
     using r-unit unfolding domain-cD[OF d-poly]
      by (subst domain-cD[OF d-poly]) (simp-all add:ring-of-poly[OF
ring-c]
   also have ... = pmod (inv_P \ r \otimes_P u) f
      using ruv-carr inv-r-carr by (intro pmod-c[OF field-R] f-carr)
   finally have \theta: x^{-1}_{C poly-mod-ring \ R \ f} = pmod \ (inv_P \ r \otimes_P u) \ f
     by simp
    show x^{-1}_{C \ poly-mod-ring} R f \in carrier \ (ring-of \ (poly-mod-ring \ R
f))
     using ruv-carr r-unit unfolding \theta by (intro\ poly-mod-ring-carr)
simp
   have 4: degree \mathbf{1}_P < degree f unfolding univ-poly-one using deg-f
by auto
   have f divides p inv p r \otimes_P f \otimes_P v
     \mathbf{using}\ inv\text{-}r\text{-}carr\ ruv\text{-}carr\ f\text{-}carr
     by (intro divides I [where c = inv_P \ r \otimes_P v]) (simp-all, algebra)
   hence 5: pmod\ (inv_P\ r\otimes_P f\otimes_P v)\ f=[]
     using f-carr ruv-carr inv-r-carr
    by (intro iffD2[OF pmod-zero-iff-pdivides[OF carrier-is-subfield]])
(auto simp:pdivides-def)
   have x \otimes_{P} x^{-1}_{C poly-mod-ring R f} = pmod (x \otimes_{P} pmod (inv_{P} r))
\otimes_P u) f) f
     using ruv-carr inv-r-carr f-carr unfolding \theta
     \mathbf{by}\ (intro\ poly-mod-ring-mult\ x\text{-}carr'\ long-division-closed}[OF\ car-
rier-is-subfield]) simp-all
   also have ... = pmod (x \otimes_P (inv_P r \otimes_P u)) f
    using ruv-carr inv-r-carr f-carr by (intro pmod-mult-right[symmetric]
x-carr') auto
   also have ... = pmod (inv_P r \otimes_P (x \otimes_P u)) f
       using x-carr' ruv-carr inv-r-carr by (intro arg-cong2[where
f=pmod | refl) (simp, algebra)
   also have ... = pmod\ (inv_P\ r\otimes_P (r\ominus_P f\otimes_P v))\ f\ using\ ruv\text{-}carr
f-carr x-carr'
     by (intro arg-cong2[where f=pmod] arg-cong2[where f=(\otimes_P)]
refl) (simp add:r-eq, algebra)
   also have ... = pmod\ (inv_P\ r\otimes_P r\ominus_P inv_P\ r\otimes_P f\otimes_P v)\ f
        using ruv-carr inv-r-carr f-carr by (intro arg-cong2[where
f=pmod | refl) (simp, algebra)
   also have ... = pmod \ \mathbf{1}_P f \oplus_P pmod \ (\ominus_P (inv_P \ r \otimes_P f \otimes_P v)) \ f
       using ruv-carr inv-r-carr f-carr unfolding d.Units-l-inv[OF
```

```
r-unit] a-minus-def
     by (intro long-division-add[OF carrier-is-subfield]) simp-all
   also have ... = \mathbf{1}_P \ominus_P pmod (inv_P r \otimes_P f \otimes_P v) f
     using ruv-carr f-carr inv-r-carr unfolding a-minus-def
    by (intro arg-cong2 [where f=(\oplus_P)] pmod-const[OF carrier-is-subfield]
         long-division-a-inv[OF carrier-is-subfield] 4) simp-all
   also have ... = \mathbf{1}_P \ominus_P \mathbf{0}_P unfolding 5 univ-poly-zero by simp
  also have ... = \mathbf{1}_{rinq\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}rinq\ R\ f)} unfolding poly-mod-ring-one
by algebra
   finally show x \otimes_{ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f)} x \overset{-1}{\sim}_{C\ poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ R\ f}
= \mathbf{1}_{P} \mathbf{by} simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using crinq-poly-mod-rinq-1 by (intro
cring-cI)
qed
end
lemma field-c-poly-mod-ring:
 assumes field-R: field_C R
 assumes monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of R) f
 shows field_C (poly-mod-ring R f)
proof -
  interpret field ring-of R using field-R unfolding field<sub>C</sub>-def by
auto
 have f-carr: f \in carrier (poly-ring (ring-of <math>R))
  using assms(2) monic-poly-carr unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def
by auto
 have deg-f: degree f > 0 using monic-poly-min-degree assms(2) by
fast force
 have f-irred: pirreducible_{ring-of\ R} (carrier (ring-of R)) f
   using assms(2) unfolding monic-irreducible-poly-def by auto
 interpret r:field poly-ring (ring-of R) Quot (PIdl<sub>poly-ring</sub> (ring-of R)
f)
     using f-irred f-carr iffD2[OF rupture-is-field-iff-pirreducible[OF
carrier-is-subfield]]
   unfolding rupture-def by blast
 have field (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
   using r.ring-iso-imp-img-field[OF\ poly-mod-ring-iso-inv[OF\ field-R]
f-carr deg-f]]
   using cring-poly-mod-ring-1(1)[OF field-R f-carr deg-f] by simp
 moreover have cring_C (poly-mod-ring R f)
   by (rule cring-c-poly-mod-ring[OF field-R f-carr deg-f])
```

```
lemma enum-c-poly-mod-ring:
 assumes enum_C R ring_C R
 shows enum_C (poly-mod-ring R f)
proof (rule enum-cI)
 let ?l = degree f
 let ?b = idx-size R
 let ?S = carrier (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
 note bij-0 = bij-betw-poly-enum[where l=degree\ f,\ OF\ assms(1,2)]
 have ?S = \{xs \in carrier \ (poly-ring \ (ring-of \ R)). \ length \ xs \le ?l\}
   unfolding ring-of-poly[OF assms(2),symmetric] poly-mod-ring-def
by (simp add:ring-of-def)
 hence bij-1:bij-betw (poly-enum R (degree f)) {..<idx-size R ^{^{\circ}} degree
   using bij-\theta by simp
  hence bij-2:bij-betw (idx-enum (poly-mod-ring R f)) {..<idx-size
R^{\hat{}}degree f ?S
   unfolding poly-mod-ring-def by simp
 have order (ring-of\ (poly-mod-ring\ R\ f)) = card\ ?S
   unfolding Coset.order-def by simp
 also have ... = card \{ .. < idx-size R \land degree f \} using bij-2 by (metis
bij-betw-same-card)
 finally have ord-poly-mod-ring: order (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f))
= idx-size R^{\hat{}}degree f
   by simp
 show finite ?S using bij-2 bij-betw-finite by blast
 show idx-size (poly-mod-ring R f) = order (ring-of (poly-mod-ring)
R(f)
   unfolding ord-poly-mod-ring by (simp add:poly-mod-ring-def)
  show bij-betw (idx-enum (poly-mod-ring R f)) {..<order (ring-of
(poly-mod-ring R f) ?S
   using bij-2 ord-poly-mod-ring by auto
 show idx-enum-inv (poly-mod-ring R f) (idx-enum (poly-mod-ring R
f(x) = x (is ?L = -)
   if x < order (ring-of (poly-mod-ring R f)) for x
 proof -
   have ?L = poly\text{-}enum\text{-}inv\ R\ (degree\ f)\ (poly\text{-}enum\ R\ (degree\ f)\ x)
     unfolding poly-mod-ring-def by simp
  also have ... = the-inv-into {..<?b ^?l} (poly-enum R ?l) (poly-enum
R ? l x
     using that ord-poly-mod-ring
   by (intro poly-enum-inv[OF assms(1,2), symmetric] bij-betw-apply[OF
```

ultimately show ?thesis unfolding field_C-def domain_C-def using

field.axioms(1) by blast

qed

```
bij-0]) auto
also have ... = x
using that ord-poly-mod-ring by (intro the-inv-into-f-f bij-betw-imp-inj-on[OF
bij-0]) auto
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
```

16 Algorithms for finding irreducible polynomials

```
theory Find-Irreducible-Poly
 imports
   Finite	ext{-}Fields	ext{-}More	ext{-}PMF
   Finite	ext{-}Fields	ext{-}Poly	ext{-}Factor	ext{-}Ring	ext{-}Code
   Rabin-Irreducibility-Test-Code
   Probabilistic-While. While-SPMF
   Card-Irreducible-Polynomials
   Executable\hbox{-}Randomized\hbox{-}Algorithms. Randomized\hbox{-}Algorithm
   HOL-Library.Log-Nat
begin
hide-const (open) Divisibility.prime
hide-const (open) Finite-Fields-Factorization-Ext.multiplicity
hide-const (open) Numeral-Type.mod-ring
hide-const (open) Polynomial.degree
hide-const (open) Polynomial.order
Enumeration of the monic polynomials in lexicographic order.
definition enum-monic-poly :: ('a,'b) idx-ring-enum-scheme \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow
nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list
  where enum-monic-poly A d i = 1_{CA} \# [idx-enum A (nth-digit i j
(idx\text{-}size\ A)).\ j \leftarrow rev\ [0..< d]]
lemma enum-monic-poly:
 assumes field_C R enum_C R
 shows bij-betw (enum-monic-poly R d) {..<order (ring-of R) ^{\hat{}}d}
   \{f. \ monic\text{-poly} \ (ring\text{-of} \ R) \ f \land degree \ f = d\}
proof -
 let ?f = (\lambda x. \ 1_{CR} \# map (\lambda j. \ idx-enum \ R (x j)) (rev [0..<d]))
 let ?R = ring - of R
 note select-bij = enum-cD(3)[OF assms(2)]
 \mathbf{note}\ \mathit{fin\text{-}carr} = \mathit{enum\text{-}cD}(1)[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{assms}(2)]
 note fo = field\text{-}cD[OF\ assms(1)]
```

```
interpret finite-field ring-of R
  using fin-carr assms(1) unfolding finite-field-def finite-field-axioms-def
field_C-def by auto
 have 1:enum-monic-poly R d = ?f \circ (\lambda v. \lambda x \in \{... < d\}). nth-digit v x
(order\ (ring-of\ R)))
    unfolding enum-monic-poly-def comp-def enum-cD[OF assms(2)]
    by (intro ext arg-cong2[where f=(\#)] refl map-cong) auto
 \mathbf{have} \ 2{:}?f = (\lambda x. \ 1_{CR} \ \# \ map \ x \ (rev \ [ \ \theta..{<}d \ ] \ )) \circ (\lambda x. \ \lambda i{\in}\{..{<}d\}.
idx-enum R(x i)
    unfolding comp-def by auto
 \mathbf{have} \ 3: (\lambda x. \ \mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of} \ _{R} \# map \ x \ (rev \ [\theta .. < d])) = (\lambda x. \ \mathbf{1}_{ring\text{-}of} \ _{R} \# x)
\circ rev \circ (\lambda x. \ map \ x \ [\theta..< d])
    unfolding comp-def by (intro ext) (simp add:rev-map)
 have ap-bij: bij-betw ((#) \mathbf{1}_{?R}) {x. set x\subseteq carrier ?R \land length \ x=d}
\{f.\ monic\text{-poly}\ ?R\ f\land degree\ f=d\}
  using list.collapse unfolding monic-poly-def univ-poly-carrier[symmetric]
polynomial-def
    by (intro bij-betwI[where g=tl]) (fastforce intro:in-set-tlD)+
 have rev-bij:
    bij-betw rev \{x. \text{ set } x \subseteq \text{ carrier } ?R \land \text{ length } x = d\} \{x. \text{ set } x \subseteq a\}
carrier ?R \land length \ x = d
    by (intro bij-betwI[where g=rev]) auto
 have bij-betw (\lambda x. \mathbf{1}_{R} \# map \ x \ (rev \ [0...< d])) (\{...< d\} \rightarrow_E carrier
?R) \{f. monic - poly ?R \ f \land degree \ f = d\}
   unfolding 3 by (intro bij-betw-trans[OF lists-bij] bij-betw-trans[OF
rev-bij] ap-bij)
 hence bij-betw ?f (\{..< d\} \rightarrow_E \{..< order ?R\}) {f. monic-poly ?R f
\land degree f = d
  unfolding 2 by (intro bij-betw-trans[OF lift-bij-betw[OF select-bij]])
(simp add:fo)
 thus ?thesis
    unfolding 1 by (intro bij-betw-trans[OF nth-digit-bij])
qed
abbreviation tick-spmf :: ('a \times nat) spmf \Rightarrow ('a \times nat) spmf
 where tick\text{-}spmf \equiv map\text{-}spmf \ (\lambda(x,c), (x,c+1))
Finds an irreducible polynomial in the finite field mod-ring p
with given degree n:
partial-function (spmf) sample-irreducible-poly :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (nat)
list \times nat) spmf
 where
    sample-irreducible-poly p n =
```

```
do {
    k \leftarrow spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \{...< p^n\};
    let\ poly = enum\text{-}monic\text{-}poly\ (mod\text{-}ring\ p)\ n\ k;
    if\ rabin\text{-}test\ (mod\text{-}ring\ p)\ poly
    then\ return\text{-}spmf\ (poly,1)
    else\ tick\text{-}spmf\ (sample\text{-}irreducible\text{-}poly\ p\ n)
}
```

The following is a deterministic version. It returns the lexicographically minimal monic irreducible polynomial. Note that contrary to the randomized algorithm, the run time of the deterministic algorithm may be exponential (w.r.t. to the size of the field and degree of the polynomial).

```
fun find-irreducible-poly :: nat <math>\Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \ list
  where find-irreducible-poly p n = (let f = enum\text{-}monic\text{-}poly (mod\text{-}ring))
p) n in
         f (while ((\lambda k. \neg rabin-test (mod-ring p) (f k))) (\lambda x. x + 1) \theta))
definition cost :: ('a \times nat) \ option \Rightarrow enat
    where cost \ x = (case \ x \ of \ None \ \Rightarrow \infty \mid Some \ (-,r) \ \Rightarrow \ enat \ r)
lemma cost-tick: cost (map-option (\lambda(x, c). (x, Suc c)) c) = eSuc
    by (cases c) (auto simp:cost-def eSuc-enat)
context
    fixes n p :: nat
    assumes p-prime: Factorial-Ring.prime p
    assumes n-gt-\theta: n > \theta
begin
private definition S where S = \{f. monic-poly (ring-of (mod-ring))\}
(p)) f \wedge degree f = n
private definition T where T = \{f. monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of example of exam
(mod\text{-}ring\ p))\ f \land degree\ f = n
lemmas field-c = mod-ring-is-field-c[OF p-prime]
lemmas enum-c = mod-ring-is-enum-c[where n=p[
interpretation finite-field ring-of (mod-ring p)
    unfolding finite-field-def finite-field-axioms-def
    by (intro mod-ring-is-field conjI mod-ring-finite p-prime)
private lemmas field-ops = field-cD[OF field-c]
private lemma S-fin: finite S
    unfolding S-def
    using enum-monic-poly[OF field-c enum-c, where d=n]
         bij-betw-finite by auto
```

```
private lemma T-sub-S: T \subseteq S
 unfolding S-def T-def monic-irreducible-poly-def by auto
private lemma T-card-gt-\theta: real (card T) > \theta
proof -
 have 0 < real (order (ring-of (mod-ring p))) ^n / (2 * real n)
  using n-gt-0 finite-field-min-order by (intro\ divide-pos-pos) (simp-all)
 also have ... \leq real (card T) unfolding T-def by (intro card-irred-gt-0
 finally show real (card T) > \theta by auto
qed
private lemma S-card-gt-0: real (card S) > 0
proof -
 have \theta < card T using T-card-qt-\theta by simp
 also have ... \leq card S by (intro card-mono T-sub-S S-fin)
 finally have 0 < card S by simp
 thus ?thesis by simp
qed
private lemma S-ne: S \neq \{\} using S-card-gt-0 by auto
{\bf private\ lemma\ } {\it sample-irreducible-poly-step-aux}:
  do \{
    k \leftarrow spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \{..< p\widehat{n}\};
     let \ poly = enum-monic-poly \ (mod-ring \ p) \ n \ k;
     if rabin-test (mod-ring p) poly then return-spmf (poly,c) else x
   } =
   do \{
     poly \leftarrow spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set S;
     if monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of (mod-ring p)) poly
        then return-spmf (poly,c)
        else x
 (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 have order (ring-of (mod-ring p)) = p
  unfolding Finite-Fields-Mod-Ring-Code.mod-ring-def Coset.order-def
ring-of-def by simp
 hence 0:spmf-of-set S = map-spmf (enum-monic-poly (mod-ring p)
n) (spmf-of-set \{..
  using enum-monic-poly[OF field-c enum-c, where d=n] unfolding
bij-betw-def S-def
   by (subst map-spmf-of-set-inj-on) auto
 have ?L = do \{f \leftarrow spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set S; if rabin\text{-}test (mod\text{-}ring p) f then \}
return-spmf (f,c) else x}
   unfolding 0 bind-map-spmf by (simp add:Let-def comp-def)
```

```
also have \dots = ?R
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}finite}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{S\text{-}fin}]
  by (intro bind-spmf-cong refl if-cong rabin-test field-c enum-c) (simp
add:S-def
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
private lemma sample-irreducible-poly-step:
 sample-irreducible-poly p n =
     do \{
       poly \leftarrow spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set S;
       if monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of (mod-ring p)) poly
         then return-spmf (poly,1)
         else\ tick-spmf\ (sample-irreducible-poly\ p\ n)
 by (subst sample-irreducible-poly.simps) (simp add:sample-irreducible-poly-step-aux)
private lemma sample-irreducible-poly-aux-1:
 ord-spmf (=) (map-spmf fst (sample-irreducible-poly p n)) <math>(spmf-of-set
T
proof (induction rule:sample-irreducible-poly.fixp-induct)
 case 1 thus ?case by simp
next
 case 2 thus ?case by simp
next
 case (3 rec)
 let ?f = monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of (mod-ring p))
 have real (card (S \cap -\{x. ? f x\})) = real (card (S - T))
  unfolding S-def T-def by (intro arg-cong[where f=card] arg-cong[where
f = of - nat) (auto)
 also have ... = real (card S - card T)
    by (intro arg-cong[where f = of-nat] card-Diff-subset T-sub-S fi-
nite-subset[OF T-sub-S S-fin])
 also have \dots = real (card S) - card T
   by (intro of-nat-diff card-mono S-fin T-sub-S)
 finally have 0:real (card\ (S \cap -\{x.\ ?f\ x\})) = real\ (card\ S) - card\ T
by simp
 have S-card-gt-0: real (card S) > 0 using S-ne S-fin by auto
 have do \{f \leftarrow spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \ S; if ?ff then return\text{-}spmff else spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \}
T} = spmf-of-set T
   (is ?L = ?R)
 proof (rule spmf-eqI)
   \mathbf{fix} i
    have spmf ?L i = spmf (pmf-of-set S \gg (\lambda x. if ?f x then re-
turn-spmf x else spmf-of-set T)) i
       unfolding spmf-of-pmf-pmf-of-set[OF S-fin S-ne, symmetric]
```

```
spmf-of-pmf-def
     by (simp add:bind-spmf-def bind-map-pmf)
  also have ... = (\int x. (if ? fx then of-bool (x=i) else spmf (spmf-of-set))
T) i) \partial pmf-of-set S)
     unfolding pmf-bind if-distrib if-distribR pmf-return-spmf indica-
tor-def by (simp cong:if-cong)
    also have ... = (\sum x \in S. \ (if ? f x \ then \ of bool \ (x = i) \ else \ spmf
(spmf-of-set \ T) \ i))/card \ S
     by (subst integral-pmf-of-set[OF S-ne S-fin]) simp
    also have ... = (of\text{-}bool\ (i \in T) + spmf\ (spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\ T)\ i*real
(card\ (S \cap -\{x.\ ?f\ x\}))/card\ S
     using S-fin S-ne
       by (subst sum.If-cases[OF S-fin]) (simp add:of-bool-def T-def
monic\text{-}irreducible\text{-}poly\text{-}def \ S\text{-}def)
     also have ... = (of\text{-}bool\ (i \in T)*(1 + real\ (card\ (S \cap -\{x.\ ?f
x}))/real (card T)))/card S
     unfolding spmf-of-set indicator-def by (simp add:algebra-simps)
  also have ... = (of\text{-}bool\ (i \in T)*(real\ (card\ S)/real\ (card\ T)))/card
S
     using T-card-gt-\theta unfolding \theta by (simp\ add:field-simps)
   also have ... = of-bool (i \in T)/real (card T)
     using S-card-gt-0 by (simp add:field-simps)
   also have ... = spmf ?R i
     unfolding spmf-of-set by simp
   finally show spmf ?L i = spmf ?R i
     by simp
 qed
 hence ord-spmf (=)
    (spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\ S \gg (\lambda x.\ if\ ?f\ x\ then\ return\text{-}spmf\ x\ else\ spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set
T)) (spmf-of-set T)
   by simp
 moreover have ord-spmf (=)
    (do { poly \leftarrow spmf-of-set S; if ?f poly then return-spmf poly else
map-spmf fst (rec p n) \})
    (do \{ poly \leftarrow spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set \ S; \ if \ ?f \ poly \ then \ return\text{-}spmf \ poly \ else
spmf-of-set T)
   using 3 by (intro bind-spmf-mono') simp-all
 ultimately have ord-spmf (=) (spmf-of-set S \gg
     (\lambda x. \ if \ ?f \ x \ then \ return-spmf \ x \ else \ map-spmf \ fst \ (rec \ p \ n)))
(spmf-of-set T)
   using spmf.leq-trans by force
 thus ?case unfolding sample-irreducible-poly-step-aux map-spmf-bind-spmf
  by (simp add:comp-def if-distribR if-distrib spmf.map-comp case-prod-beta
cong:if-cong)
qed
lemma cost-sample-irreducible-poly:
 (\int x \cdot \cos t \, x \, \partial sample - irreducible - poly \, p \, n) \leq 2 * real \, n \, (is ? L \leq ? R)
proof -
```

```
let ?f = monic-irreducible-poly\ (ring-of\ (mod-ring\ p))
 let ?a = (\lambda t. measure (sample-irreducible-poly p n) \{\omega. enat t < cost
\omega})
 let ?b = (\lambda t. measure (sample-irreducible-poly p n) \{\omega. enat t \geq cost\}
\omega})
 define \alpha where \alpha = measure (pmf-of-set S) \{x. ?f x\}
 have \alpha-le-1: \alpha \leq 1 unfolding \alpha-def by simp
 have 1 / (2* real n) = (card S / (2* real n)) / card S
   using S-card-gt-0 by (simp add:algebra-simps)
  also have ... = (real \ (order \ (ring-of \ (mod-ring \ p)))^n \ / \ (2 * real)^n
n)) / card S
  {f unfolding} \ S-def bij-betw-same-card [OF enum-monic-poly [OF field-c
enum-c, where d=n, symmetric
   by simp
 also have ... \leq card T / card S
  unfolding T-def by (intro divide-right-mono card-irred-gt-0 n-gt-0)
 also have ... = \alpha
   unfolding \alpha-def measure-pmf-of-set[OF S-ne S-fin]
   by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(/)] refl arg-cong[where f=of-nat]
arg-cong[where f=card])
    (auto simp: S-def T-def monic-irreducible-poly-def)
 finally have \alpha-lb: 1/(2*real n) \leq \alpha
   by simp
 have 0 < 1/(2*real n) using n-gt-0 by simp
 also have ... \leq \alpha using \alpha-lb by simp
 finally have \alpha-gt-\theta: \alpha > \theta by simp
 have a-step-aux: norm (a * b) \le 1 if norm a \le 1 norm b \le 1 for
a \ b :: real
   using that by (simp add:abs-mult mult-le-one)
 have b-eval: ?b t = (\int x. (if ?f x then of-bool(t \ge 1) else
   measure (sample-irreducible-poly p n) \{\omega . \text{ enat } t \geq eSuc (cost \ \omega)\}\)
\partial pmf-of-set S)
   (is ?L1 = ?R1) for t
 proof -
   have ?b t = measure (bind-spmf (spmf-of-set S) (\lambda x. if ?f x then
return-spmf(x,1) else
       tick-spmf (sample-irreducible-poly p(n))) \{\omega.\ enat\ t \geq cost\ \omega\}
     by (subst sample-irreducible-poly-step) simp
   also have ... = measure (bind-pmf (pmf-of-set S) (\lambda x. if ?f x then
return-spmf(x,1) else
       tick-spmf (sample-irreducible-poly p n))) {\omega. enat t \geq cost \omega}
     unfolding spmf-of-pmf-pmf-of-set[OF S-fin S-ne, symmetric]
     by (simp add:spmf-of-pmf-def bind-map-pmf bind-spmf-def)
   also have ... = (\int x. (if ?f x then of-bool(t \ge 1) else
```

```
measure (tick-spmf (sample-irreducible-poly p n)) \{\omega \text{ enat } t \geq 1\}
cost \ \omega}) \partial pmf-of-set S)
        {\bf unfolding}\ measure-bind-pmf\ if\ -distrib\ if\ -distribR\ emeasure-return-pmf
           by (simp add:indicator-def cost-def comp-def cong:if-cong)
       also have \dots = ?R1
           unfolding measure-map-pmf vimage-def
        by (intro arg-cong2 [where f=integral^L] refl ext if-cong arg-cong2 [where
             (auto simp add:vimage-def cost-tick eSuc-enat[symmetric])
       finally show ?thesis by simp
   qed
   have b-eval-2: ?b t = 1 - (1-\alpha)^t for t
   proof (induction \ t)
       case \theta
      have ?b \theta = \theta unfolding b-eval by (simp add:enat-\theta conq:if-conq
       thus ?case by simp
   next
       case (Suc\ t)
       have ?b (Suc t) = (\int x. (if ?f x then 1 else ?b t) \partial pmf-of-set S)
           unfolding b-eval[of Suc t]
         by (intro arg-cong2[where f=integral^L] if-cong arg-cong2[where
f = measure)
             (auto simp add: eSuc-enat[symmetric])
       also have ... = (\int x. indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b t * indicator \{x. ?f x\} x + ?b * indicator \{
\neg ?f x} x \partial pmf-of-set S)
       by (intro Bochner-Integration.integral-cong) (auto simp:algebra-simps)
       also have ... = (\int x. indicator \{x. ?f x\} x \partial pmf-of-set S) +
           (\int x. ?b \ t * indicator \{x. \neg ?f \ x\} \ x \ \partial pmf-of-set \ S)
       \textbf{by } (intro\ Bochner-Integration.integral-add\ measure-pmf.integrable-const-bound [\textbf{where}] \\
B=1
                   AE-pmfI a-step-aux) auto
         also have ... = \alpha + ?b \ t * measure (pmf-of-set S) \{x. \neg ?f \ x\}
unfolding \alpha-def by simp
       also have ... = \alpha + (1-\alpha) * ?b t
           unfolding \alpha-def
        by (subst measure-pmf.prob-compl[symmetric]) (auto simp:Compl-eq-Diff-UNIV
Collect-neg-eq)
       also have ... = 1 - (1-\alpha) Suc t
           unfolding Suc by (simp add:algebra-simps)
       finally show ?case by simp
   qed
   hence a-eval: ?a t = (1-\alpha)^t for t
   proof -
       have ?a \ t = 1 - ?b \ t
       by (simp add: measure-pmf.prob-compl[symmetric] Compl-eq-Diff-UNIV[symmetric]
                   Collect-neg-eq[symmetric] not-le)
```

```
also have ... = (1-\alpha)^{\hat{t}}
     unfolding b-eval-2 by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 have ?L = (\sum t. emeasure (sample-irreducible-poly p n) \{\omega. enat t
< cost \omega
   \mathbf{by}\ (subst\ nn\text{-}integral\text{-}enat\text{-}function)\ simp\text{-}all
 also have ... = (\sum t. \ ennreal \ (?a \ t))
   unfolding measure-pmf.emeasure-eq-measure by simp
 also have ... = (\sum t. ennreal ((1-\alpha)^{\hat{t}}))
   unfolding a-eval by (intro arg-cong[where f=suminf] ext) (simp
add: \alpha-def ennreal-mult')
 also have ... = ennreal (1 / (1-(1-\alpha)))
   using \alpha-le-1 \alpha-qt-0
    by (intro arg-cong2[where f=(*)] refl suminf-ennreal-eq geomet-
ric-sums) auto
 also have ... = ennreal (1 / \alpha) using \alpha-le-1 \alpha-gt-0 by auto
 also have \dots \leq ?R
  using \alpha-lb n-gt-0 \alpha-gt-0 by (intro ennreal-leI) (simp add:field-simps)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
private lemma weight-sample-irreducible-poly:
  weight-spmf (sample-irreducible-poly p(n) = 1 (is ?L = ?R)
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume ?L \neq 1
 hence ?L < 1 using less-eq-real-def weight-spmf-le-1 by blast
 hence (\infty::ennreal) = \infty * ennreal (1-?L) by simp
  also have ... = \infty * ennreal (pmf (sample-irreducible-poly p n)
None
   unfolding pmf-None-eq-weight-spmf[symmetric] by simp
 also have ... = (\int {}^{+}x. \infty * indicator \{None\} x \ \partial sample-irreducible-poly
   by (simp add:emeasure-pmf-single)
 also have ... \leq (\int {}^{+}x. \cos t \ x \ \partial sample-irreducible-poly \ p \ n)
  \mathbf{unfolding}\ cost\text{-}def\ \mathbf{by}\ (intro\ nn\text{-}integral\text{-}mono)\ (auto\ simp:indicator\text{-}def)
 also have ... \leq 2*real \ n by (intro cost-sample-irreducible-poly)
 finally have (\infty::ennreal) \leq 2 * real n by simp
 thus False using linorder-not-le by fastforce
qed
lemma sample-irreducible-poly-result:
 map-spmf fst (sample-irreducible-poly p n) =
    spmf-of-set \{f.\ monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of (mod-ring p))\ f \land f
degree f = n (is ?L = ?R)
proof -
 have ?L = spmf-of-set T using weight-sample-irreducible-poly
    by (intro eq-iff-ord-spmf sample-irreducible-poly-aux-1) (auto in-
```

```
tro:weight-spmf-le-1)
 thus ?thesis unfolding T-def by simp
qed
lemma find-irreducible-poly-result:
 defines res \equiv find\text{-}irreducible\text{-}poly p n
  shows monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of (mod-ring p)) res degree res
proof -
 let ?f = enum\text{-}monic\text{-}poly (mod\text{-}ring p) n
 have ex: \exists k. ?f k \in T \land k < order (ring-of (mod-ring p)) \hat{n}
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume \nexists k. ?f k \in T \land k < order (ring-of (mod-ring p)) <math>\widehat{\ } n
    hence ?f `{..<order (ring-of (mod-ring p)) ^ n} \cap T = {} by
auto
   hence S \cap T = \{\}
   unfolding S-def using bij-betw-imp-surj-on[OF enum-monic-poly[OF
field-c enum-c]] by auto
   hence T = \{\} using T-sub-S by auto
   thus False using T-card-qt-0 by simp
 qed
 then obtain k :: nat where k-def: ?f k \in T \ \forall j < k. ?f j \notin T
   using exists-least-iff[where P=\lambda x. ?f x \in T] by auto
 have k-ub: k < order (ring-of (mod-ring p)) \hat{n}
   using ex \ k-def(2) by (meson \ dual-order.strict-trans1 not-less)
 have a: monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of (mod-ring p)) (?f k)
   using k-def(1) unfolding T-def by simp
 have b: monic-poly (ring-of (mod-ring p)) (?fj) degree (?fj) = n if
j \leq k for j
 proof -
   have j < order (ring-of (mod-ring p)) \hat{n} using k-ub that by simp
  hence ?f j \in S unfolding S-def using bij-betw-apply [OF enum-monic-poly [OF
field-c enum-c]] by auto
    thus monic-poly (ring-of (mod-ring p)) (?f j) degree (?f j) = n
unfolding S-def by auto
 qed
 have c: \neg monic\text{-}irreducible\text{-}poly (ring\text{-}of (mod\text{-}ring p)) (?f j) if j
   using b[of j] that k-def(2) unfolding T-def by auto
  have 2: while ((\lambda k. \neg rabin-test (mod-ring p) (?f k))) (\lambda x. x + 1)
(k-i) = k if i < k for i
 using that proof (induction j)
   case \theta
```

```
have rabin-test (mod-ring p) (?f k) by (intro iffD2[OF rabin-test]
a b field-c enum-c) auto
   thus ?case by (subst while-unfold) simp
 next
   case (Suc \ j)
   hence \neg rabin\text{-}test \pmod{p} (?f (k-Suc j))
     using b\ c by (subst\ rabin-test[OF\ field-c\ enum-c]) auto
    moreover have Suc\ (Suc\ (k - Suc\ j)) = Suc\ (k-j) using Suc
by simp
  ultimately show ?case using Suc(1) by (subst while-unfold) simp
 qed
 have 3:while ((\lambda k. \neg rabin\text{-}test (mod\text{-}ring p) (?f k))) (\lambda x. x + 1) 0
   using 2[of k] by simp
 have ?f k \in T using a \ b unfolding T-def by auto
 hence res \in T unfolding res-def find-irreducible-poly.simps Let-def
3 by simp
 thus monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of (mod-ring p)) res degree res =
n unfolding T-def by auto
qed
lemma monic-irred-poly-set-nonempty-finite:
  \{f.\ monic-irreducible-poly\ (ring-of\ (mod-ring\ p))\ f\ \land\ degree\ f=n\}
\neq {} (is ?R1)
 finite \{f.\ monic-irreducible-poly\ (ring-of\ (mod-ring\ p))\ f\ \land\ degree\ f
= n (is ?R2)
proof -
 have card T > \theta using T-card-gt-\theta by auto
 hence T \neq \{\} finite T using card-ge-0-finite by auto
 thus ?R1 ?R2 unfolding T-def by auto
qed
end
Returns m e such that n = m^e, where e is maximal.
definition split-power :: nat \Rightarrow nat \times nat
 where split-power n = (
    let e = last (filter (\lambda x. is-nth-power-nat x n) (1#[2..<floorlog 2
   in (nth-root-nat e n, e))
lemma split-power-result:
 assumes (x,e) = split\text{-}power n
 shows n = x^e \land k. n > 1 \Longrightarrow k > e \Longrightarrow \neg is\text{-nth-power } k n
 define es where es = filter (\lambda x. is-nth-power-nat x n) (1 \# [2... < floorlog]
[2 \ n])
```

```
define m where m = max \ 2 (floorlog 2 \ n)
 have \theta: x < m if that\theta: is-nth-power-nat \ x \ n \ n > 1 for x
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume a: \neg (x < m)
    obtain y where n\text{-}def: n = y^x using that 0 is-nth-power-def
is-nth-power-nat-def by auto
   have y \neq 0 using that (2) unfolding n-def
   by (metis (mono-tags) nat-power-eq-Suc-0-iff not-less0 power-0-left
power-inject-exp)
   moreover have y \neq 1 using that(2) unfolding n-def by auto
   ultimately have y-ge-2: y \ge 2 by simp
   have n < 2 floorlog 2 n using that floorlog-bounds by simp
  also have ... \le 2^x using a unfolding m-def by (intro power-increasing)
auto
   also have ... \leq y^x using y-qe-2 by (intro power-mono) auto
   also have \dots = n using n-def by auto
   finally show False by simp
 have 1: m = 2 if \neg (n > 1)
 proof -
   have floorlog 2 n \leq 2 using that by (intro floorlog-leI) auto
   thus ?thesis unfolding m-def by auto
 qed
 have 2: n = 1 if is-nth-power-nat 0 n using that by (simp add:
is-nth-power-nat-code)
 have set es = \{x \in insert \ 1 \ \{2... < floorlog \ 2 \ n\}. is-nth-power-nat x
n} unfolding es-def by auto
 also have ... = \{x. \ x \neq 0 \land x < m \land is-nth-power-nat \ x \ n\} unfold-
ing m-def by auto
 also have ... = \{x. \text{ is-nth-power-nat } x \text{ } n \land (n > 1 \lor x = 1)\}
   using 0 1 2 zero-neq-one by (intro Collect-cong iffI conjI) fast-
 finally have set-es: set es = \{x. is-nth-power-nat \ x \ n \land (n > 1 \lor x \}
= 1) by simp
 have is-nth-power-nat 1 n unfolding is-nth-power-nat-def by simp
 hence es-ne: es \neq [] unfolding es-def by auto
 have sorted: sorted es unfolding es-def by (intro sorted-wrt-filter)
simp
 have e-def: e = last \ es \ and \ x-def: x = nth-root-nat e \ n
  using assms unfolding es-def split-power-def by (simp-all add:Let-def)
 hence e-in-set-es: e \in set es unfolding e-def using es-ne by (intro
```

```
last\mbox{-}in\mbox{-}set) auto
 have e-max: x \le e if that 1:x \in set es for x
   obtain k where k < length \ es \ x = es \ ! \ k \ using \ that 1 \ by \ (metis
in-set-conv-nth)
   moreover have e = es! (length es - 1) unfolding e-def using
es-ne last-conv-nth by auto
   ultimately show ?thesis using sorted-nth-mono[OF sorted] es-ne
by simp
 qed
 have 3:is-nth-power-nat e \ n \land (1 < n \lor e = 1) using e-in-set-es
unfolding set-es by simp
 hence e > 0 using 2 zero-neg-one by fast
 thus n = x^e using 3 unfolding x-def using nth-root-nat-nth-power
  by (metis is-nth-power-nat-code nth-root-nat-naive-code power-eq-0-iff)
 show \neg is-nth-power k n if n > 1 k > e for k
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume \neg(\neg is\text{-}nth\text{-}power\ k\ n)
   hence k \in set \ es \ using \ that \ unfolding \ set-es \ is-nth-power-nat-def
   hence k \leq e using e-max by auto
   thus False using that(2) by auto
 qed
qed
definition not\text{-}perfect\text{-}power :: nat \Rightarrow bool
 where not-perfect-power n = (n > 1 \land (\forall x k. n = x \land k \longrightarrow k = k))
1))
lemma is-nth-power-from-multiplicities:
 assumes n > (0::nat)
 assumes \bigwedge p. Factorial-Ring.prime p \Longrightarrow k \ dvd \ (multiplicity \ p \ n)
 shows is-nth-power k n
proof -
 have n = (\prod p \in prime\text{-}factors\ n.\ p \cap multiplicity\ p\ n) using assms(1)
   by (simp add: prod-prime-factors)
  also have ... = (\prod p \in prime\text{-}factors \ n. \ p^{(multiplicity \ p \ n \ div)})
k)*k)
  by (intro prod.cong arg-cong2 [where f=power] dvd-div-mult-self[symmetric]
refl \ assms(2)) \ auto
 also have ... = (\prod p \in prime-factors n. p (multiplicity p n div k)) k
   unfolding power-mult prod-power-distrib[symmetric] by simp
  finally have n = (\prod p \in prime-factors n. p \cap multiplicity p n div
k))^k by simp
 thus ?thesis by (intro is-nth-powerI) simp
ged
lemma power-inj-aux:
```

```
assumes not-perfect-power a not-perfect-power b
 assumes n > 0 m > n
 assumes a \cap n = b \cap m
 shows False
proof -
 define s where s = gcd n m
 define u where u = n \ div \ gcd \ n \ m
 define t where t = m div gcd n m
 have a-nz: a \neq 0 and b-nz: b \neq 0 using assms(1,2) unfolding
not-perfect-power-def by auto
 have gcd \ n \ m \neq 0 using assms (3,4) by simp
 then obtain t u where n-def: n = t * s and m-def: m = u * s
and cp: coprime t u
   using qcd-coprime-exists unfolding s-def t-def u-def by blast
 have s\text{-}gt\text{-}\theta: s>\theta and t\text{-}gt\text{-}\theta: t>\theta and u\text{-}gt\text{-}t: u>t
   using assms(3,4) unfolding n-def m-def by auto
 have (a \hat{a} t) \hat{s} = (b \hat{a} u) \hat{s} using assms(5) unfolding n-def
m-def power-mult by simp
 hence \theta: a^{\hat{}}t = b^{\hat{}}u using s-gt-\theta by (metis nth-root-nat-nth-power)
 have u dvd multiplicity p a if Factorial-Ring.prime p for p
 proof -
   have prime-elem p using that by simp
   hence t * multiplicity p a = u * multiplicity p b
   using 0 a-nz b-nz by (subst (12) prime-elem-multiplicity-power-distrib[symmetric])
auto
   hence u \ dvd \ t * multiplicity \ p \ a \ by \ simp
   thus ?thesis using cp coprime-commute coprime-dvd-mult-right-iff
by blast
 qed
hence is-nth-power u a using a-nz by (intro is-nth-power-from-multiplicities)
 moreover have u > 1 using u-gt-t t-gt-\theta by aut\theta
 ultimately show False using assms(1) unfolding not-perfect-power-def
is-nth-power-def by auto
qed
Generalization of prime-power-inj'
lemma power-inj:
 assumes not-perfect-power a not-perfect-power b
 assumes n > 0 m > 0
 assumes a \cap n = b \cap m
 shows a = b \wedge n = m
```

```
proof -
 consider (a) n < m \mid (b) m < n \mid (c) n = m by linarith
 \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
 proof (cases)
   case a thus ?thesis using assms power-inj-aux by auto
   case b thus ?thesis using assms power-inj-aux[OF assms(2,1,4)]
b] by auto
 next
  case c thus ?thesis using assms by (simp add: power-eq-iff-eq-base)
qed
lemma split-power-base-not-perfect:
 assumes n > 1
 shows not-perfect-power (fst (split-power n))
proof (rule ccontr)
 obtain b e where be-def: (b,e) = split\text{-power } n by (metis\ surj\text{-pair})
 have n-def:n = b \cap e and e-max: \bigwedge k. e < k \Longrightarrow \neg is-nth-power k n
   using assms split-power-result[OF be-def] by auto
 have e-gt-\theta: e > \theta using assms unfolding n-def by (cases\ e) auto
 assume \neg not\text{-}perfect\text{-}power (fst (split\text{-}power n))
 hence \neg not\text{-}perfect\text{-}power\ b\ unfolding\ be\text{-}def[symmetric]\ by\ simp
 moreover have b-gt-1: b > 1 using assms unfolding n-def
   by (metis less-one nat-neg-iff nat-power-eq-Suc-0-iff power-0-left)
 ultimately obtain k b' where k \neq 1 and b-def: b = b'\hat{k}
   unfolding not-perfect-power-def by auto
 hence k-gt-1: k > 1 using b-gt-1 nat-neq-iff by force
 have n = b' \hat{\ } (k*e) unfolding power-mult n-def b-def by auto
 moreover have k*e > e using k-gt-1 e-gt-0 by simp
 hence \neg is-nth-power (k*e) n using e-max by auto
 ultimately show False unfolding is-nth-power-def by auto
qed
lemma prime-not-perfect:
 assumes Factorial-Ring.prime p
 shows not-perfect-power p
proof -
 have k=1 if p = x^k for x \ k using assms unfolding that by (simp
add:prime-power-iff)
thus ?thesis using prime-qt-1-nat[OF assms] unfolding not-perfect-power-def
by auto
qed
lemma split-power-prime:
 assumes Factorial-Ring.prime\ p\ n>0
 shows split-power (p \hat{n}) = (p,n)
```

```
proof -
 obtain x \in \text{where } xe:(x,e) = split\text{-}power (p^n) \text{ by } (metis surj\text{-}pair)
 have 1 < p^1 using prime-gt-1-nat[OF assms(1)] by simp
 also have ... \leq p \hat{\ } n \text{ using } assms(2) \text{ } prime-gt-0-nat[OF \ assms(1)]
by (intro power-increasing) auto
 finally have \theta:p \hat{n} > 1 by simp
 have not-perfect-power x
   using split-power-base-not-perfect[OF 0] unfolding xe[symmetric]
by simp
 moreover have not-perfect-power p by (rule prime-not-perfect[OF
assms(1)])
  moreover have 1:p\hat{\ }n=x\hat{\ }e using split-power-result[OF xe] by
simp
 moreover have e > 0 using 0.1 by (cases e) auto
 ultimately have p=x \land n = e by (intro power-inj assms(2))
 thus ?thesis using xe by simp
definition is-prime-power n = (\exists p \ k. \ Factorial\text{-}Ring.prime \ p \land k >
0 \wedge n = p\hat{k}
lemma is-prime-powerI:
 assumes prime p \mid k > 0
 shows is-prime-power (p \hat{k})
 unfolding is-prime-power-def using assms by auto
definition GF where
  GF n = (
   let (p,k) = split-power n;
      f = find\text{-}irreducible\text{-}poly p k
    in \ poly-mod-ring \ (mod-ring \ p) \ f)
definition GF_R where
 GF_R n =
   do \{
     let(p,k) = split\text{-}power n;
     f \leftarrow sample-irreducible-poly p k;
     return-spmf (poly-mod-ring (mod-ring p) (fst f))
lemma GF-in-GF-R:
 assumes is-prime-power n
 shows GF n \in set\text{-}spmf (GF_R n)
 obtain p k where n-def: n = p \hat{k} and p-prime: prime p and k-gt-\theta:
k > 0
```

```
using assms unfolding is-prime-power-def by blast
    have pk-def: (p,k) = split-power n
          unfolding n-def using split-power-prime [OF p-prime k-gt-0] by
   let ?S = \{f. monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of (mod-ring p)) f \land degree \}
f = k
    have S-fin: finite ?S by (intro monic-irred-poly-set-nonempty-finite
p-prime k-qt-\theta)
    have find-irreducible-poly p \ k \in ?S
         using find-irreducible-poly-result[OF p-prime k-gt-0] by auto
     also have ... = set-spmf (map-spmf fst (sample-irreducible-poly p
k))
      \mathbf{unfolding} \ sample-irreducible-poly-result[OF\ p\text{-}prime\ k\text{-}gt\text{-}0]\ set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}finite[OF\ p\text{-}prime\ k\text{-}gt\text{-}0]\ set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}of\text{-}set\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}spmf\text{-}sp
S-fin
         by simp
     finally have \theta: find-irreducible-poly p \ k \in set-spmf (map-spmf fst)
(sample-irreducible-poly p k))
         by simp
    have GF \ n = poly-mod-ring \ (mod-ring \ p) \ (find-irreducible-poly \ p \ k)
      unfolding GF-def pk-def [symmetric] by (simp del:find-irreducible-poly.simps)
     also have ... \in set-spmf (map-spmf fst (sample-irreducible-poly p
k) >= (\lambda x. \{poly-mod-ring \ (mod-ring \ p) \ x\})
         using \theta by force
    also have ... = set-spmf (GF_R n)
        unfolding GF_R-def pk-def [symmetric] by (simp\ add:set-bind-spmf)
comp-def bind-image)
    finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma galois-field-random-1:
    assumes is-prime-power n
    shows \wedge \omega. \omega \in set\text{-spm} f(GF_R n) \Longrightarrow enum_C \omega \wedge field_C \omega \wedge order
(ring-of \omega) = n
         and lossless-spmf (GF_R n)
proof -
    let ?pred = \lambda \omega. enum<sub>C</sub> \omega \wedge field_C \omega \wedge order (ring-of \omega) = n
   obtain p k where n-def: n = p k and p-prime: prime p and k-gt-\theta:
k > 0
         using assms unfolding is-prime-power-def by blast
    let ?r = (\lambda f. \ poly\text{-mod-ring} \ (mod\text{-ring} \ p) \ f)
   let ?S = \{f. monic-irreducible-poly (ring-of (mod-ring p)) f \land degree \}
f = k
    have fc: field_C (mod-ring p) by (intro\ mod-ring-is-field-c\ p-prime)
    have ec: enum_C \ (mod\text{-}ring \ p) by (intro\ mod\text{-}ring\text{-}is\text{-}enum\text{-}c)
```

```
have S-fin: finite ?S by (intro monic-irred-poly-set-nonempty-finite
p-prime k-gt-\theta)
  have S-ne: ?S \neq \{\} by (intro monic-irred-poly-set-nonempty-finite
p-prime k-qt-0)
 have pk-def: (p,k) = split-power n
    unfolding n-def using split-power-prime [OF p-prime k-gt-0] by
auto
 have cond: ?pred (?r x) if x \in ?S for x
 proof -
    have order (ring\text{-}of\ (poly\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ (mod\text{-}ring\ p)\ x)) = idx\text{-}size
(poly-mod-ring \ (mod-ring \ p) \ x)
    using enum-cD[OF enum-c-poly-mod-ring[OF ec field-c-imp-ring[OF
fc]]] by simp
   also have ... = p (degree x)
   by (simp add:poly-mod-ring-def Finite-Fields-Mod-Ring-Code.mod-ring-def)
   also have \dots = n unfolding n-def using that by simp
   finally have order (ring-of (poly-mod-ring (mod-ring p) x)) = n
by simp
   thus ?thesis using that
       by (intro conjI enum-c-poly-mod-ring field-c-poly-mod-ring ec
field-c-imp-ring fc) auto
 qed
 have GF_R n = bind\text{-}spmf (map-spmf fst (sample-irreducible-poly p
k)) (\lambda x. return-spmf (?r x))
    unfolding GF_R-def pk-def [symmetric] map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf
 also have ... = spmf-of-set ?S \gg (\lambda f. return-spmf ((?r f)))
     unfolding sample-irreducible-poly-result [OF p-prime k-gt-0] by
 also have ... = pmf-of-set ?S \gg (\lambda f. return-spmf (?r f))
  \mathbf{unfolding} \ spmf-of\text{-}pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set[\mathit{OF}\ S\text{-}fin\ S\text{-}ne,\ symmetric}]\ spmf-of\text{-}pmf\text{-}def
   by (simp add:bind-spmf-def bind-map-pmf)
 finally have \theta: GF_R n = map-pmf (Some \circ ?r) (pmf-of-set ?S) by
(simp\ add:comp-def\ map-pmf-def)
 show enum_C \ \omega \land field_C \ \omega \land order \ (ring-of \ \omega) = n \ \textbf{if} \ \omega \in set\text{-spmf}
(GF_R \ n) for \omega
 proof -
  have Some \ \omega \in set\text{-}pmf \ (GF_R \ n) \ unfolding \ in\text{-}set\text{-}spmf \ [symmetric]
by (rule that)
     also have ... = (Some \circ ?r) '?S unfolding \theta set-map-pmf
set-pmf-of-set[OF S-ne S-fin] by simp
   finally have Some \ \omega \in (Some \circ ?r) '?S by simp
   hence \omega \in ?r '?S by auto
```

```
then obtain x where x:x \in ?S and \omega-def:\omega = ?r x by auto
   show ?thesis unfolding \omega-def by (intro cond x)
 qed
 have None \notin set\text{-}pmf(GF_R \ n) unfolding \theta \ set\text{-}map\text{-}pmf \ set\text{-}pmf\text{-}of\text{-}set[OF]
S-ne S-fin] by auto
 thus lossless-spmf (GF_R n) using lossless-iff-set-pmf-None by blast
qed
lemma galois-field:
 assumes is-prime-power n
 shows enum<sub>C</sub> (GF n) field<sub>C</sub> (GF n) order (ring-of (GF n)) = n
 using galois-field-random-1(1)[OF assms(1) GF-in-GF-R[OF assms(1)]]
by auto
lemma lossless-imp-spmf-of-pmf:
 assumes lossless-spmf M
 shows spmf-of-pmf (map-pmf the M) = M
proof -
 have spmf-of-pmf (map-pmf\ the\ M) = map-pmf\ (Some\ \circ\ the)\ M
   unfolding spmf-of-pmf-def by (simp add: pmf.map-comp)
 also have \dots = map\text{-}pmf id M
   using assms unfolding lossless-iff-set-pmf-None
  by (intro map-pmf-cong refl) (metis id-apply o-apply option.collapse)
 also have \dots = M by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma galois-field-random-2:
 assumes is-prime-power n
 shows map-spmf (\lambda \omega. \ enum_C \ \omega \land field_C \ \omega \land order \ (ring-of \ \omega) =
n) (GF_R \ n) = return-spmf True
   (is ?L = -)
proof -
 have ?L = map\text{-}spmf (\lambda \omega. True) (GF_R n)
    using qalois-field-random-1[OF assms] by (intro map-spmf-cong
refl) auto
 also have ... = map-pmf (\lambda \omega. Some\ True) (GF_R\ n)
  by (subst\ lossless-imp-spmf-of-pmf[OF\ galois-field-random-1\ (2)[OF\ ])
assms],symmetric]) simp
 also have ... = return-spmf True unfolding map-pmf-def by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma bind-galois-field-cong:
 assumes is-prime-power n
 assumes \wedge \omega. enum<sub>C</sub> \omega \Longrightarrow field<sub>C</sub> \omega \Longrightarrow order (ring-of \omega) = n \Longrightarrow
f \omega = g \omega
 shows bind\text{-}spmf (GF_R \ n) f = bind\text{-}spmf (GF_R \ n) g
```

```
using galois-field-random-1(1)[OF assms(1)]
by (intro\ bind-spmf-cong\ refl\ assms(2)) auto
```

end

References

- [1] S. K. Chebolu and J. Mináč. Counting irreducible polynomials over finite fields using the inclusion-exclusion principle. *Mathematics Magazine*, 84:369 371, 2010.
- [2] M. Eberl. Dirichlet series. Archive of Formal Proofs, Oct. 2017. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Dirichlet_Series.html, Formal proof development.
- [3] K. Ireland and M. Rosen. A classical introduction to modern number theory, volume 84 of Graduate texts in mathematics. Springer, 1982.
- [4] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter. *Introduction to Finite Fields and Their Applications*. Cambridge University Press, USA, 1986.
- [5] M. O. Rabin. Probabilistic algorithms in finite fields. SIAM Journal on Computing, 9(2):273-280, 1980.