

# Faithful Logic Embeddings in HOL — Deep and Shallow (Isabelle/HOL dataset)

Christoph Benzmüller

June 4, 2025

## Abstract

A recipe for the simultaneous deployment of different forms of deep and shallow embeddings of non-classical logics in classical higher-order logic is presented, which enables interactive or even automated faithfulness proofs between the logic embeddings. The approach, which is particularly fruitful for logic education, is explained in detail in an associated CADE conference paper. This paper presents the corresponding Isabelle/HOL dataset (which is only slightly modified to meet AFP requirements).

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminaries</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Deep embedding of PML in HOL</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Shallow embedding of PML in HOL (maximal)</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Shallow embedding of PML in HOL (minimal)</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Automated faithfulness proofs</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Appendix: proof automation tests</b>	<b>5</b>
7.1	Tests with the deep embedding . . . . .	5
7.2	Tests with the maximal shallow embedding . . . . .	7
7.3	Tests with the minimal shallow embedding . . . . .	8

## 1 Introduction

The Isabelle/HOL dataset associated with [1] is presented. Sections 3, 4 and 5 present deep, maximally shallow, and minimally shallow embeddings

of propositional modal logic (PML) in classical higher-order logic (HOL). These are connected, as a novel contribution, by automated faithfulness proofs given in Sect. 6. This connection ensures that these deep and shallow embeddings can now be used interchangeably in subsequent applications. Several experiments with the presented embeddings are presented in Sect. 7. The presented work is conceptual in nature and can be adapted to other non-classical logics. For more detailed explanations of the presented material, including a discussion of related works, see [1].

## 2 Preliminaries

The following preliminaries are shared between all embeddings introduced in the remainder of this paper.

```
theory PMLinHOL-preliminaries
imports Main
begin

— Type declarations common for both the deep and shallow embedding
typedecl w — Type for possible worlds
typedecl S — Type for propositional constant symbols
consts p::S q::S r::S — Some propositional constant symbols
type-synonym W = w⇒bool — Type for sets of possible worlds
type-synonym R = w⇒w⇒bool — Type for accessibility relations
type-synonym V = S⇒w⇒bool — Type for valuation functions

— Some useful predicates for accessibility relations
abbreviation(input) reflexive ≡ λR::R. ∀ x. R x x
abbreviation(input) symmetric ≡ λR::R. ∀ x y. R x y → R y x
abbreviation(input) transitive ≡ λR::R. ∀ x y z. (R x y ∧ R y z) → R x z
abbreviation(input) equivrel ≡ λR::R. reflexive R ∧ symmetric R ∧ transitive R
abbreviation(input) irreflexive ≡ λR::R. ∀ x. ¬R x x
abbreviation(input) euclidean ≡ λR::R. ∀ x y z. R x y ∧ R x z → R y z
abbreviation(input) wellfounded ≡ λR::R. ∀ P::W. (∀ x. (∀ y. R y x → P y) → P x) → (∀ x. P x)
abbreviation(input) converserel ≡ λR::R. λy::w. λx::w. R x y
abbreviation(input) conversewf ≡ λR::R. wellfounded (converserel R)

— Bounded universal quantifier: ∀ x:W. φ stands for ∀ x. W x → φ x
abbreviation(input) BoundedAll::W⇒W⇒bool where BoundedAll W φ ≡ ∀ x.
W x → φ x
syntax -BoundedAll:: pttrn⇒W⇒bool⇒bool ((3∀ (-/:-)./-) [0, 0, 10] 10)
translations ∀ x:W. φ ≈ CONST BoundedAll W (λx. φ)

— Backward implication; useful for aesthetic reasons
abbreviation(input) Bimp (infixr ← 50) where φ ← ψ ≡ ψ → φ

— Some further settings
```

```

declare[[syntax-ambiguity-warning=false]]
nitpick-params[user-axioms,expect=genuine]
end

```

### 3 Deep embedding of PML in HOL

```

theory PMLinHOL-deep
imports PMLinHOL-preliminaries
begin

— Deep embedding (of propositional modal logic in HOL)
datatype PML = AtmD S (-d) | NotD PML (-d) | ImpD PML PML (infixr ⊃d
93) | BoxD PML (□d)

— Further logical connectives as definitions
definition OrD (infixr ∨d 92) where φ ∨d ψ ≡ ¬dφ ⊃d ψ
definition AndD (infixr ∧d 95) where φ ∧d ψ ≡ ¬d(φ ⊃d ¬dψ)
definition DiaD (◊d-) where ◊dφ ≡ ¬d(□d(¬dφ))
definition TopD (⊤d) where ⊤d ≡ pd ⊃d pd
definition BotD (⊥d) where ⊥d ≡ ¬d ⊤d

— Definition of truth of a formula relative to a model ⟨W,R,V⟩ and possible world
w
primrec RelativeTruthD :: W⇒R⇒V⇒w⇒PML⇒bool ((⟨-, -, -⟩, -) ⊨d -) where
  ⟨W,R,V⟩, w ⊨d ad = (V a w)
  | ⟨W,R,V⟩, w ⊨d ¬dφ = (¬(⟨W,R,V⟩, w ⊨d φ))
  | ⟨W,R,V⟩, w ⊨d φ ⊃d ψ = ((⟨W,R,V⟩, w ⊨d φ → ⟨W,R,V⟩, w ⊨d ψ)
  | ⟨W,R,V⟩, w ⊨d □dφ = (forall v:W. R w v → ⟨W,R,V⟩, v ⊨d φ))

— Definition of validity
definition ValD (⊨d -) where (⊨d φ) ≡ (forall W R V. ∀ w:W. ⟨W,R,V⟩, w ⊨d φ)

— Collection of definitions in a bag called DefD
named-theorems DefD declare OrD-def[DefD,simp] AndD-def[DefD,simp] DiaD-def[DefD,simp]
TopD-def[DefD,simp] BotD-def[DefD,simp] RelativeTruthD-def[DefD,simp] ValD-def[DefD,simp]
end

```

### 4 Shallow embedding of PML in HOL (maximal)

```

theory PMLinHOL-shallow
imports PMLinHOL-preliminaries
begin

— Shallow embedding (of propositional modal logic in HOL)
type-synonym σ = W⇒R⇒V⇒w⇒bool
definition AtmS::S⇒σ (-s) where as ≡ λ W R V w. V a w
definition NegS::σ⇒σ (-s) where ¬s φ ≡ λ W R V w. ¬(φ W R V w)
definition ImpS::σ⇒σ⇒σ (infixr ⊃s 93) where φ ⊃s ψ ≡ λ W R V w. (φ W R
V w) ⊨d ψ

```

$V w) \longrightarrow (\psi W R V w)$   
**definition**  $BoxS::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma (\square^s)$  **where**  $\square^s \varphi \equiv \lambda W R V w. \forall v:W. R w v \longrightarrow (\varphi W R V v)$

— Further logical connectives as definitions

**definition**  $OrS$  (**infixr**  $\vee^s$  92) **where**  $\varphi \vee^s \psi \equiv \neg^s \varphi \supset^s \psi$   
**definition**  $AndS$  (**infixr**  $\wedge^s$  95) **where**  $\varphi \wedge^s \psi \equiv \neg^s (\varphi \supset^s \neg^s \psi)$   
**definition**  $DiaS$  ( $\diamond^s$ ) **where**  $\diamond^s \varphi \equiv \neg^s (\square^s (\neg^s \varphi))$   
**definition**  $TopS$  ( $\top^s$ ) **where**  $\top^s \equiv p^s \supset^s p^s$   
**definition**  $BotS$  ( $\perp^s$ ) **where**  $\perp^s \equiv \neg^s \top^s$

— Definition of truth of a formula relative to a model  $\langle W, R, V \rangle$  and possible world

w  
**definition**  $RelativeTruthS::\mathcal{W} \Rightarrow \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow \mathcal{V} \Rightarrow w \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \text{bool} (\langle \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \models^s \cdot)$  **where**  $\langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^s \varphi \equiv \varphi W R V w$

— Definition of validity

**definition**  $ValS$  ( $\models^s \cdot$ ) **where**  $\models^s \varphi \equiv \forall W R V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^s \varphi$

— Collection of definitions in a bag called DefS

**named-theorems**  $DefS$  **declare**  $AtmS\text{-def}[DefS, \text{simp}]$   $NegS\text{-def}[DefS, \text{simp}]$   $ImpS\text{-def}[DefS, \text{simp}]$   
 $BoxS\text{-def}[DefS, \text{simp}]$   $OrS\text{-def}[DefS, \text{simp}]$   $AndS\text{-def}[DefS, \text{simp}]$   $DiaS\text{-def}[DefS, \text{simp}]$   
 $TopS\text{-def}[DefS, \text{simp}]$   $BotS\text{-def}[DefS, \text{simp}]$   $RelativeTruthS\text{-def}[DefS, \text{simp}]$   $ValS\text{-def}[DefS, \text{simp}]$   
**end**

## 5 Shallow embedding of PML in HOL (minimal)

**theory**  $PMLinHOL\text{-shallow-minimal}$   
**imports**  $PMLinHOL\text{-preliminaries}$   
**begin**

— The accessibility relation R and the valuation function V are introduced as constants at the meta-level HOL

**consts**  $R::\mathcal{R}$   $V::\mathcal{V}$

— Shallow embedding (of propositional modal logic in HOL)

**type-synonym**  $\sigma = w \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
**definition**  $AtmM::\mathcal{S} \Rightarrow \sigma (\cdot^m)$  **where**  $a^m \equiv \lambda w. V a w$   
**definition**  $NegM::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma (\neg^m)$  **where**  $\neg^m \varphi \equiv \lambda w. \neg \varphi w$   
**definition**  $ImpM::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$  (**infixr**  $\supset^m$  93) **where**  $\varphi \supset^m \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longrightarrow \psi w$   
**definition**  $BoxM::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma (\square^m)$  **where**  $\square^m \varphi \equiv \lambda w. \forall v. R w v \longrightarrow \varphi v$

— Further logical connectives as definitions

**definition**  $OrM$  (**infixr**  $\vee^m$  92) **where**  $\varphi \vee^m \psi \equiv \neg^m \varphi \supset^m \psi$   
**definition**  $AndM$  (**infixr**  $\wedge^m$  95) **where**  $\varphi \wedge^m \psi \equiv \neg^m (\varphi \supset^m \neg^m \psi)$   
**definition**  $DiaM$  ( $\diamond^m$ ) **where**  $\diamond^m \varphi \equiv \neg^m (\square^m (\neg^m \varphi))$   
**definition**  $TopM$  ( $\top^m$ ) **where**  $\top^m \equiv p^m \supset^m p^m$   
**definition**  $BotM$  ( $\perp^m$ ) **where**  $\perp^m \equiv \neg^m \top^m$

— Definition of truth of a formula relative to a model  $\langle W, R, V \rangle$  and a possible world  $w$   
**definition** *RelativeTruthM*:: $w \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  ( $\dashv^m \cdot$ ) **where**  $w \models^m \varphi \equiv \varphi w$

— Definition of validity  
**definition** *ValM* ( $\models^m \cdot$ ) **where**  $\models^m \varphi \equiv \forall w::w. w \models^m \varphi$

— Collection of definitions in a bag called DefM  
**named-theorems** *DefM* **declare** *AtmM-def*[*DefM,simp*] *NegM-def*[*DefM,simp*]  
*ImpM-def*[*DefM,simp*] *BoxM-def*[*DefM,simp*] *OrM-def*[*DefM,simp*] *AndM-def*[*DefM,simp*]  
*DiamM-def*[*DefM,simp*] *TopM-def*[*DefM,simp*] *BotM-def*[*DefM,simp*] *RelativeTruthM-def*[*DefM,simp*]  
*ValM-def*[*DefM,simp*]  
**end**

## 6 Automated faithfulness proofs

**theory** *PMLinHOL-faithfulness*  
**imports** *PMLinHOL-deep* *PMLinHOL-shallow* *PMLinHOL-shallow-minimal*  
**begin**

— Mappings: deep to maximal shallow and deep to minimal shallow  
**primrec** *DpToShMax* (( $\dashv$ )) **where**  $(\varphi^d) = \varphi^s \mid (\neg^d \varphi) = \neg^s (\varphi) \mid (\varphi \supset^d \psi) = (\varphi) \supset^s (\psi) \mid (\Box^d \varphi) = \Box^s (\varphi)$   
**primrec** *DpToShMin* ([ $\cdot$ ]) **where**  $[\varphi^d] = \varphi^m \mid [\neg^d \varphi] = \neg^m [\varphi] \mid [\varphi \supset^d \psi] = [\varphi] \supset^m [\psi] \mid [\Box^d \varphi] = \Box^m [\varphi]$

— Proving faithfulness between deep and maximal shallow  
**theorem** *Faithful1a*:  $\forall W R V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \varphi \longleftrightarrow \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^s (\varphi) \langle proof \rangle$   
**theorem** *Faithful1b*:  $\models^d \varphi \longleftrightarrow \models^s (\varphi) \langle proof \rangle$   
**theorem** *Faithful2*:  $\forall w. \langle (\lambda x::w. \text{True}), R, V \rangle, w \models^d \varphi \longleftrightarrow w \models^m [\varphi] \langle proof \rangle$   
**theorem** *Faithful3*:  $\forall w. \langle (\lambda x::w. \text{True}), R, V \rangle, w \models^s (\varphi) \longleftrightarrow w \models^m [\varphi] \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma** *Sound1*:  $\models^m \psi \longrightarrow (\exists \varphi. \psi = [\varphi] \wedge \models^d \varphi)$  — sledgehammer: Proof found;  
metis reconstruction timeout *⟨proof⟩*  
**lemma** *Sound2*:  $\models^m \psi \longrightarrow (\exists \varphi. \psi = [\varphi] \wedge \models^m [\varphi])$  — sledgehammer: Proof found;  
metis reconstruction timeout *⟨proof⟩*  
**end**

## 7 Appendix: proof automation tests

### 7.1 Tests with the deep embedding

**theory** *PMLinHOL-deep-tests*  
**imports** *PMLinHOL-deep*  
**begin**

— Hilbert calculus: proving that the schematic axioms and rules implied by the embedding

**lemma**  $H1: \models^d \varphi \supset^d (\psi \supset^d \varphi) \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $H2: \models^d (\varphi \supset^d (\psi \supset^d \gamma)) \supset^d ((\varphi \supset^d \psi) \supset^d (\varphi \supset^d \gamma)) \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $H3: \models^d (\neg^d \varphi \supset^d \neg^d \psi) \supset^d (\psi \supset^d \varphi) \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $MP: \models^d \varphi \implies \models^d (\varphi \supset^d \psi) \implies \models^d \psi \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $HCderived1: \models^d (\varphi \supset^d \varphi) — \text{sledgehammer}(HC1 HC2 HC3 MP)$  returns:  
by (metis HC1 HC2 MP)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$   
  
**lemma**  $HCderived2: \models^d \varphi \supset^d (\neg^d \varphi \supset^d \psi) \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $HCderived3: \models^d (\neg^d \varphi \supset^d \varphi) \supset^d \varphi \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $HCderived4: \models^d (\varphi \supset^d \psi) \supset^d (\neg^d \psi \supset^d \neg^d \varphi) \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $Nec: \models^d \varphi \implies \models^d \Box^d \varphi \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $Dist: \models^d \Box^d (\varphi \supset^d \psi) \supset^d (\Box^d \varphi \supset^d \Box^d \psi) \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $cM: \text{reflexive } R \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \Box^d \varphi \supset^d \varphi) — \text{sledgehammer: Proof found} \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $cBa: \text{symmetric } R \longrightarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \varphi \supset^d \Box^d (\Diamond^d \varphi)) \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $cBb: \text{symmetric } R \longleftarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \varphi \supset^d \Box^d (\Diamond^d \varphi)) — \text{sledgehammer: No proof} \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $c4a: \text{transitive } R \longrightarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \Box^d \varphi \supset^d \Box^d (\Box^d \varphi)) \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $c4b: \text{transitive } R \longleftarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \Box^d \varphi \supset^d \Box^d (\Box^d \varphi)) — \text{sledgehammer: No proof} \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $\text{reflexive } R \longrightarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \Box^d \varphi \supset^d \Box^d (\Box^d \varphi))$   
**nitpick[card w=3] proof**  
**lemma**  $\models^d \varphi \supset^d \Box^d \varphi \text{ nitpick[card w=2, card S= 1] proof}$   
**lemma**  $\models^d \Box^d (\Box^d \varphi \supset^d \Box^d \psi) \vee^d \Box^d (\Box^d \psi \supset^d \Box^d \varphi) \text{ nitpick[card w=3] proof}$   
**lemma**  $\models^d (\Diamond^d (\Box^d \varphi)) \supset^d \Box^d (\Diamond^d \varphi) \text{ nitpick[card w=2] proof}$   
**lemma**  $KB: \text{symmetric } R \longrightarrow (\forall \varphi \psi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d (\Diamond^d (\Box^d \varphi)) \supset^d \Box^d (\Diamond^d \psi)) \langle proof \rangle$   
**lemma**  $K4B: \text{symmetric } R \wedge \text{transitive } R \longrightarrow (\forall \varphi \psi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \Box^d (\Box^d \varphi \supset^d \Box^d \psi) \vee^d \Box^d (\Box^d \psi \supset^d \Box^d \varphi)) \langle proof \rangle$   
**end**

**theory** *PMLinHOL-deep-further-tests*

**imports** *PMLinHOL-deep-tests*

**begin**

— Implied modal principle

**lemma**  $K-Dia: \models^d (\Box^d (\varphi \supset^d \psi)) \supset^d ((\Diamond^d \varphi) \supset^d \Diamond^d \psi) \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $T1a: \models^d \Box^d p^d \supset^d ((\Diamond^d q^d) \supset^d \Diamond^d (p^d \wedge^d q^d)) \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $T1b: \models^d \Box^d p^d \supset^d ((\Diamond^d q^d) \supset^d \Diamond^d (p^d \wedge^d q^d)) — \text{alternative interactive proof in modal object logic K}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory** *PMLinHOL-deep-Loeb-tests*

**imports** *PMLinHOL-deep*

**begin**

— Löb axiom: with the deep embedding automated reasoning tools are not very responsive

**lemma** *Loeb1*:  $\forall \varphi. \models^d \square^d(\square^d\varphi \supset^d \varphi) \supset^d \square^d\varphi$  **nitpick**[*card w=1, card S=1*] *<proof>*

**lemma** *Loeb2*:  $(conversewf R \wedge transitive R) \longrightarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \square^d(\square^d\varphi \supset^d \varphi) \supset^d \square^d\varphi)$  — sledgehammer: No Proof *<proof>*

**lemma** *Loeb3*:  $(conversewf R \wedge transitive R) \longleftarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \square^d(\square^d\varphi \supset^d \varphi) \supset^d \square^d\varphi)$  — sledgehammer: No Proof *<proof>*

**lemma** *Loeb3a*:  $conversewf R \longleftarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \square^d(\square^d\varphi \supset^d \varphi) \supset^d \square^d\varphi)$  — sledgehammer: No Proof *<proof>*

**lemma** *Loeb3b*:  $transitive R \longleftarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \square^d(\square^d\varphi \supset^d \varphi) \supset^d \square^d\varphi)$  — sledgehammer: No Proof *<proof>*

**lemma** *Loeb3c*:  $irreflexive R \longleftarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^d \square^d(\square^d\varphi \supset^d \varphi) \supset^d \square^d\varphi)$  — sledgehammer: No Proof *<proof>*

**end**

## 7.2 Tests with the maximal shallow embedding

**theory** *PMLinHOL-shallow-tests*  
**imports** *PMLinHOL-shallow*  
**begin**

— Hilbert calculus: proving that the schematic axioms and rules implied by the embedding

**lemma** *H1*:  $\models^s \varphi \supset^s (\psi \supset^s \varphi)$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *H2*:  $\models^s (\varphi \supset^s (\psi \supset^s \gamma)) \supset^s ((\varphi \supset^s \psi) \supset^s (\varphi \supset^s \gamma))$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *H3*:  $\models^s (\neg^s \varphi \supset^s \neg^s \psi) \supset^s (\psi \supset^s \varphi)$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *MP*:  $\models^s \varphi \implies \models^s (\varphi \supset^s \psi) \implies \models^s \psi$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *HCderived1*:  $\models^s (\varphi \supset^s \varphi)$  — sledgehammer(HC1 HC2 HC3 MP) returns:  
by (metis HC1 HC2 MP)  
*<proof>*

**lemma** *HCderived2*:  $\models^s \varphi \supset^s (\neg^s \varphi \supset^s \psi)$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *HCderived3*:  $\models^s (\neg^s \varphi \supset^s \varphi) \supset^s \varphi$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *HCderived4*:  $\models^s (\varphi \supset^s \psi) \supset^s (\neg^s \psi \supset^s \neg^s \varphi)$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *Nec*:  $\models^s \varphi \implies \models^s \square^s \varphi$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *Dist*:  $\models^s \square^s(\varphi \supset^s \psi) \supset^s (\square^s \varphi \supset^s \square^s \psi)$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *cM*:  $reflexive R \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^s \square^s \varphi \supset^s \varphi)$  — sledgehammer: Proof found *<proof>*

**lemma** *cBa*:  $symmetric R \longrightarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^s \varphi \supset^s \square^s(\diamond^s \varphi))$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *cBb*:  $symmetric R \longleftarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^s \varphi \supset^s \square^s(\diamond^s \varphi))$  — sledgehammer: No proof *<proof>*

**lemma** *c4a*:  $transitive R \longrightarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^s \square^s \varphi \supset^s \square^s(\square^s \varphi))$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *c4b*:  $transitive R \longleftarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^s \square^s \varphi \supset^s \square^s(\square^s \varphi))$  — sledgehammer: No proof *<proof>*

**lemma** *reflexive*:  $reflexive R \longrightarrow (\forall \varphi W V. \forall w:W. \langle W, R, V \rangle, w \models^s \square^s \varphi \supset^s \square^s(\square^s \varphi))$

```

nitpick[card w=3] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ⊨s φ ⊦s □sφ nitpick[card w=2, card S= 1] ⟨proof⟩
lemma ⊨s □s( □sφ ⊦s□sψ) ∨s □s( □sψ ⊦s□sφ) ⟨proof⟩
lemma ⊨s (◊s(□s φ)) ⊦s□s(◊s φ) nitpick[card w=2] ⟨proof⟩
lemma KB: symmetric R —→ (forall φ ψ W V. ∀ w:W. ⟨W,R,V⟩,w ⊨s (◊s(□sφ))
    ⊦s□s(◊sφ)) ⟨proof⟩
lemma K4B: symmetric R ∧ transitive R —→ (forall φ ψ W V. ∀ w:W. ⟨W,R,V⟩,w
    ⊨s □s( □sφ ⊦s□sψ) ∨s □s( □sψ ⊦s□sφ)) ⟨proof⟩
end

theory PMLinHOL-shallow-further-tests
  imports PMLinHOL-shallow-tests
begin

— Implied modal principle
lemma K-Dia: ⊨s (□s(φ ⊦s ψ)) ⊦s ((◊sφ) ⊦s ◊sψ) ⟨proof⟩
lemma T1a: ⊨s □sps ⊦s ((◊sqs) ⊦s ◊s(ps ∧s qs)) ⟨proof⟩
lemma T1b: ⊨s □sps ⊦s ((◊sqs) ⊦s ◊s(ps ∧s qs)) — alternative interactive
  proof in modal object logic K
  ⟨proof⟩
end

theory PMLinHOL-shallow-Loeb-tests
  imports PMLinHOL-shallow
begin

— Löb axiom: with the minimal shallow embedding automated reasoning tools are
still partly responsive
lemma Loeb1: ∀φ. ⊨s □s( □sφ ⊦sφ) ⊦s □sφ nitpick[card w=1,card S=1]
⟨proof⟩
lemma Loeb2: (conversewf R ∧ transitive R) —→(forall φ W V. ∀ w:W. ⟨W,R,V⟩,w
    ⊨s □s(□sφ ⊦sφ) ⊦s □sφ) — sledgehammer: Proof found ⟨proof⟩
lemma Loeb3: (conversewf R ∧ transitive R) ← (forall φ W V. ∀ w:W. ⟨W,R,V⟩,w
    ⊨s □s(□sφ ⊦sφ) ⊦s □sφ) — sledgehammer: No Proof ⟨proof⟩
lemma Loeb3a: conversewf R ← (forall φ W V. ∀ w:W. ⟨W,R,V⟩,w ⊨s □s(□sφ
    ⊦sφ) ⊦s □sφ) — sledgehammer: Proof found ⟨proof⟩
lemma Loeb3b: transitive R ← (forall φ W V. ∀ w:W. ⟨W,R,V⟩,w ⊨s □s(□sφ ⊦sφ)
    ⊦s □sφ) — sledgehammer: No Proof ⟨proof⟩
lemma Loeb3c: irreflexive R ← (forall φ W V. ∀ w:W. ⟨W,R,V⟩,w ⊨s □s(□sφ ⊦sφ)
    ⊦s □sφ) — sledgehammer: Proof found ⟨proof⟩
end

```

### 7.3 Tests with the minimal shallow embedding

```

theory PMLinHOL-shallow-minimal-tests
  imports PMLinHOL-shallow-minimal
begin

— Hilbert calculus: proving that the schematic axioms and rules implied by the

```

embedding

```

lemma H1:  $\models^m \varphi \supset^m (\psi \supset^m \varphi)$  <proof>
lemma H2:  $\models^m (\varphi \supset^m (\psi \supset^m \gamma)) \supset^m ((\varphi \supset^m \psi) \supset^m (\varphi \supset^m \gamma))$  <proof>
lemma H3:  $\models^m (\neg^m \varphi \supset^m \neg^m \psi) \supset^m (\psi \supset^m \varphi)$  <proof>
lemma MP:  $\models^m \varphi \implies \models^m (\varphi \supset^m \psi) \implies \models^m \psi$  <proof>
lemma HCderived1:  $\models^m (\varphi \supset^m \varphi)$  — sledgehammer(HC1 HC2 HC3 MP) returns:  

by (metis HC1 HC2 MP)  

<proof>

lemma HCderived2:  $\models^m \varphi \supset^m (\neg^m \varphi \supset^m \psi)$  <proof>
lemma HCderived3:  $\models^m (\neg^m \varphi \supset^m \varphi) \supset^m \varphi$  <proof>
lemma HCderived4:  $\models^m (\varphi \supset^m \psi) \supset^m (\neg^m \psi \supset^m \neg^m \varphi)$  <proof>
lemma Nec:  $\models^m \varphi \implies \models^m \Box^m \varphi$  <proof>
lemma Dist:  $\models^m \Box^m (\varphi \supset^m \psi) \supset^m (\Box^m \varphi \supset^m \Box^m \psi)$  <proof>
lemma cM: reflexive R  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall \varphi. \models^m \Box^m \varphi \supset^m \varphi$ ) <proof>
lemma cBa: symmetric R  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\forall \varphi. \models^m \varphi \supset^m \Box^m \Diamond^m \varphi$ ) <proof>
lemma cBb: symmetric R  $\longleftarrow$  ( $\forall \varphi. \models^m \varphi \supset^m \Box^m \Diamond^m \varphi$ ) <proof>
lemma c4a: transitive R  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\forall \varphi. \models^m \Box^m \varphi \supset^m \Box^m (\Box^m \varphi)$ ) <proof>
lemma c4b: transitive R  $\longleftarrow$  ( $\forall \varphi. \models^m \Box^m \varphi \supset^m \Box^m (\Box^m \varphi)$ ) <proof>
lemma reflexive R  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\forall \varphi. \models^m \Box^m \varphi \supset^m \Box^m (\Box^m \varphi)$ ) nitpick[card w=3,show-all]  

<proof>
lemma  $\models^m \varphi \supset^m \Box^m \varphi$  nitpick[card w=2, card S=1] <proof>
lemma  $\models^m \Box^m (\Box^m \varphi \supset^m \Box^m \psi) \vee^m \Box^m (\Box^m \psi \supset^m \Box^m \varphi)$  nitpick[card w=3]  

<proof>
lemma  $\models^m (\Diamond^m (\Box^m \varphi)) \supset^m \Box^m (\Diamond^m \varphi)$  nitpick[card w=2] <proof>
lemma KB: symmetric R  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\forall \varphi \psi. \models^m (\Diamond^m (\Box^m \varphi)) \supset^m \Box^m (\Diamond^m \varphi)$ ) <proof>
lemma K4B: symmetric R  $\wedge$  transitive R  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\forall \varphi \psi. \models^m \Box^m (\Box^m \varphi \supset^m \Box^m \psi)$   

 $\vee^m \Box^m (\Box^m \psi \supset^m \Box^m \varphi)$ ) <proof>
end
```

**theory** PMLinHOL-shallow-minimal-further-tests

imports PMLinHOL-shallow-minimal-tests

begin

— Implied modal principle

```

lemma K-Dia:  $\models^m (\Box^m (\varphi \supset^m \psi)) \supset^m ((\Diamond^m \varphi) \supset^m \Diamond^m \psi)$  <proof>
lemma T1a:  $\models^m \Box^m p^m \supset^m ((\Diamond^m q^m) \supset^m \Diamond^m (p^m \wedge^m q^m))$  <proof>
lemma T1b:  $\models^m \Box^m p^m \supset^m ((\Diamond^m q^m) \supset^m \Diamond^m (p^m \wedge^m q^m))$  — alternative interactive proof in modal object logic K  

<proof>
end
```

**theory** PMLinHOL-shallow-minimal-Loeb-tests

imports PMLinHOL-shallow-minimal

begin

— Löb axiom: with the minimal shallow embedding automated reasoning tools are still partly responsive

```

lemma Loeb1:  $\forall \varphi. \models^m \Box^m (\Box^m \varphi \supset^m \varphi) \supset^m \Box^m \varphi$  nitpick[card w=1,card S=1]
```

```

⟨proof⟩
lemma Loeb2: (conversewf R ∧ transitive R) —→ (⟨forall φ. ⊨m □m(□mφ ⊃mφ) ⊃m □mφ⟩ — sh: Proof found ⟨proof⟩)
lemma Loeb3: (conversewf R ∧ transitive R) —→ (⟨forall φ. ⊨m □m(□mφ ⊃mφ) ⊃m □mφ⟩ — sh: No Proof ⟨proof⟩)
lemma Loeb3a: conversewf R —→ (⟨forall φ. ⊨m □m(□mφ ⊃mφ) ⊃m □mφ⟩ ⟨proof⟩)
lemma Loeb3b: transitive R —→ (⟨forall φ. ⊨m □m(□mφ ⊃mφ) ⊃m □mφ⟩ — sledgehammer: No Proof ⟨proof⟩)
lemma Loeb3c: irreflexive R —→ (⟨forall φ. ⊨m □m(□mφ ⊃mφ) ⊃m □mφ⟩ — sledgehammer: Proof found ⟨proof⟩)
end

```

## References

- [1] C. Benzmüller. Faithful logic embeddings in HOL — deep and shallow.  
 In *Automated Deduction – CADE-30 – 30th International Conference on Automated Deduction, Stuttgart, Germany, July 28–31, Proceedings*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2025. To appear (preprint: [arXiv:2502.19311v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.19311v1)).