

Factorization of Polynomials with Algebraic Coefficients*

Manuel Eberl René Thiemann

December 14, 2021

Abstract

The AFP already contains a verified implementation of algebraic numbers. However, it has a severe limitation in its factorization algorithm of real and complex polynomials: the factorization is only guaranteed to succeed if the coefficients of the polynomial are rational numbers. In this work, we verify an algorithm to factor all real and complex polynomials whose coefficients are algebraic. The existence of such an algorithm proves in a constructive way that the set of complex algebraic numbers is algebraically closed. Internally, the algorithm is based on resultants of multivariate polynomials and an approximation algorithm using interval arithmetic.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Resultants and Multivariate Polynomials	2
2.1	Connecting Univariate and Multivariate Polynomials	2
2.2	Exact Division of Multivariate Polynomials	9
2.3	Implementation of Division on Multivariate Polynomials . . .	12
2.4	Class Instances for Multivariate Polynomials and Containers .	14
2.5	Resultants of Multivariate Polynomials	15
3	Testing for Integrality and Conversion to Integers	16
4	Representing Roots of Polynomials with Algebraic Coefficients	17
4.1	Preliminaries	17
4.2	More Facts about Resultants	19
4.3	Systems of Polynomials	19
4.4	Elimination of Auxiliary Variables	21

*Supported by FWF (Austrian Science Fund) project Y757.

4.5	A Representing Polynomial for the Roots of a Polynomial with Algebraic Coefficients	21
4.6	Soundness Proof for Complex Algebraic Polynomials	22
4.7	Soundness Proof for Real Algebraic Polynomials	22
4.8	Algebraic Closedness of Complex Algebraic Numbers	23
4.9	Executable Version to Compute Representative Polynomials	23
5	Root Filter via Interval Arithmetic	24
5.1	Generic Framework	24
6	Roots of Real and Complex Algebraic Polynomials	27
7	Factorization of Polynomials with Algebraic Coefficients	30
7.1	Complex Algebraic Coefficients	30
7.2	Real Algebraic Coefficients	31

1 Introduction

The formalization of algebraic numbers [4, 6] includes an algorithm that given a univariate polynomial f over \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Q} , it computes all roots of f within \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . In this AFP entry we verify a generalized algorithm that also allows polynomials as input whose coefficients are complex or real algebraic numbers, following [5, Section 3].

The verified algorithm internally computes resultants of multivariate polynomials, where we utilize Braun and Traub’s subresultant algorithm in our verified implementation [1, 2, 3]. In this way we achieve an efficient implementation with minimal effort: only a division algorithm for multivariate polynomials is required, but no algorithm for computing greatest common divisors of these polynomials.

Acknowledgments We thank Dmitriy Traytel for help with code generation for functions defined via **lift-definition**.

2 Resultants and Multivariate Polynomials

2.1 Connecting Univariate and Multivariate Polynomials

We define a conversion of multivariate polynomials into univariate polynomials w.r.t. a fixed variable x and multivariate polynomials as coefficients.

```

theory Poly-Connection
imports
  Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Univariate
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Missing-Misc
  Polynomial-Interpolation.Ring-Hom-Poly

```

begin

lemma *mpoly-is-unitE*:

fixes $p :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors}\}$ *mpoly*

assumes $p \text{ dvd } 1$

obtains c **where** $p = \text{Const } c \text{ } c \text{ dvd } 1$

<proof>

lemma *Const-eq-Const-iff [simp]*:

$\text{Const } c = \text{Const } c' \longleftrightarrow c = c'$

<proof>

lemma *is-unit-ConstI [intro]*: $c \text{ dvd } 1 \implies \text{Const } c \text{ dvd } 1$

<proof>

lemma *is-unit-Const-iff*:

fixes $c :: 'a :: \{\text{comm-semiring-1, semiring-no-zero-divisors}\}$

shows $\text{Const } c \text{ dvd } 1 \longleftrightarrow c \text{ dvd } 1$

<proof>

lemma *vars-emptyE*: $\text{vars } p = \{\} \implies (\bigwedge c. p = \text{Const } c \implies P) \implies P$

<proof>

lemma *degree-geI*:

assumes $\text{MPoly-Type.coeff } p \ m \neq 0$

shows $\text{MPoly-Type.degree } p \ i \geq \text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } m \ i$

<proof>

lemma *monom-of-degree-exists*:

assumes $p \neq 0$

obtains m **where** $\text{MPoly-Type.coeff } p \ m \neq 0 \ \text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } m \ i = \text{MPoly-Type.degree } p \ i$

<proof>

lemma *degree-leI*:

assumes $\bigwedge m. \text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } m \ i > n \implies \text{MPoly-Type.coeff } p \ m = 0$

shows $\text{MPoly-Type.degree } p \ i \leq n$

<proof>

lemma *coeff-gt-degree-eq-0*:

assumes $\text{Poly-Mapping.lookup } m \ i > \text{MPoly-Type.degree } p \ i$

shows $\text{MPoly-Type.coeff } p \ m = 0$

<proof>

lemma *vars-altdef*: $\text{vars } p = (\bigcup m \in \{m. \text{MPoly-Type.coeff } p \ m \neq 0\}. \text{keys } m)$

<proof>

lemma *degree-pos-iff*: $MPoly\text{-Type.degree } p \ x > 0 \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{vars } p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *degree-eq-0-iff*: $MPoly\text{-Type.degree } p \ x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x \notin \text{vars } p$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *MPoly-Type-monom-zero[simp]*: $MPoly\text{-Type.monom } m \ 0 = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *vars-monom-keys'*: $\text{vars } (MPoly\text{-Type.monom } m \ c) = (\text{if } c = 0 \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \text{keys } m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Const-eq-0-iff [simp]*: $Const \ c = 0 \longleftrightarrow c = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *monom-remove-key*: $MPoly\text{-Type.monom } m \ (a :: 'a :: \text{semiring-1}) = MPoly\text{-Type.monom } (\text{remove-key } x \ m) \ a * MPoly\text{-Type.monom } (\text{Poly-Mapping.single } x \ (\text{lookup } m \ x)) \ 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *MPoly-Type-monom-0-iff[simp]*: $MPoly\text{-Type.monom } m \ x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *vars-signof[simp]*: $\text{vars } (\text{signof } x) = \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *prod-mset-Const*: $\text{prod-mset } (\text{image-mset } Const \ A) = Const \ (\text{prod-mset } A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Const-eq-product-iff*:

fixes $c :: 'a :: \text{idom}$

assumes $c \neq 0$

shows $Const \ c = a * b \longleftrightarrow (\exists a' \ b'. a = Const \ a' \wedge b = Const \ b' \wedge c = a' * b')$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *irreducible-Const-iff [simp]*:

$\text{irreducible } (Const \ (c :: 'a :: \text{idom})) \longleftrightarrow \text{irreducible } c$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *Const-dvd-Const-iff [simp]*: $Const \ a \ \text{dvd} \ Const \ b \longleftrightarrow a \ \text{dvd} \ b$
 ⟨proof⟩

The lemmas above should be moved into the right theories. The part below is on the new connection between multivariate polynomials and univariate polynomials.

The imported theories only allow a conversion from one-variable mpoly's to poly and vice-versa. However, we require a conversion from arbitrary

mpoly's into poly's with mpolys as coefficients.

definition *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm-ring-1 mpolys \Rightarrow 'a mpolys poly
where

mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p = (\sum m .
 Polynomial.monom (MPoly-Type.monom (remove-key x m) (MPoly-Type.coeff
 p m)) (lookup m x))

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-add* [simp]:

mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (p + q) = *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly* x p + *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly*
 x q
 <proof>

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-monom*: *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly* x (MPoly-Type.monom
 m a) = Polynomial.monom (MPoly-Type.monom (remove-key x m) a) (lookup m
 x)

<proof>

lemma *remove-key-transfer* [transfer-rule]:

rel-fun (=) (rel-fun (pcr-poly-mapping (=) (=)) (pcr-poly-mapping (=) (=)))
 ($\lambda k0 f k. f k$ when $k \neq k0$) remove-key
 <proof>

lemma *remove-key-0* [simp]: remove-key x 0 = 0

<proof>

lemma *remove-key-single'* [simp]:

$x \neq y \implies$ remove-key x (Poly-Mapping.single y n) = Poly-Mapping.single y n
 <proof>

lemma *poly-coeff-Sum-any*:

assumes finite {x. f x \neq 0}

shows poly.coeff (Sum-any f) n = Sum-any ($\lambda x. poly.coeff (f x) n$)

<proof>

lemma *coeff-coeff-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly*:

MPoly-Type.coeff (poly.coeff (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p) n) m =
 (MPoly-Type.coeff p (m + Poly-Mapping.single x n) when lookup m x = 0)

<proof>

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-Const* [simp]:

mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (Const c) = [:Const c:]

<proof>

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-Var*:

mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (Var y) = (if x = y then [:0, 1:] else [:Var y:])

<proof>

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-Var-this* [simp]:

mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (*Var* x) = [:0, 1:]
 $x \neq y \implies$ *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly* x (*Var* y) = [:*Var* y :]
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-uminus* [*simp*]:
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x ($-p$) = $-$ *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly* x p
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-diff* [*simp*]:
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x ($p - q$) = *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly* x p - *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly*
 x q
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-0* [*simp*]:
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x 0 = 0
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-1* [*simp*]:
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x 1 = 1
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-of-nat* [*simp*]:
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (*of-nat* n) = *of-nat* n
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-of-int* [*simp*]:
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (*of-int* n) = *of-int* n
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-numeral* [*simp*]:
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (*numeral* n) = *numeral* n
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *coeff-monom-mult'*:
MPoly-Type.coeff (*MPoly-Type.monom* m $a * q$) m' =
 ($a *$ *MPoly-Type.coeff* q ($m' - m$) when *lookup* $m' \geq$ *lookup* m)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-mult-monom*:
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x (*MPoly-Type.monom* m $a * q$) =
Polynomial.monom (*MPoly-Type.monom* (*remove-key* x m) a) (*lookup* m x) *
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x q
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-mult* [*simp*]:
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x ($p * q$) = *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly* x p * *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly* x
 q
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *coeff-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly*:

$Polynomial.coeff (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p) n =$
 $Sum-any (\lambda m. MPoly-Type.monom (remove-key x m) (MPoly-Type.coeff p m))$
when $Poly-Mapping.lookup m x = n$
{proof}

lemma *mpoly-coeff-to-mpoly-poly-coeff*:

$MPoly-Type.coeff p m = MPoly-Type.coeff (poly.coeff (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p)$
 $(lookup m x)) (remove-key x m)$
{proof}

lemma *degree-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly [simp]*:

$Polynomial.degree (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p) = MPoly-Type.degree p x$
{proof}

The upcoming lemma is similar to *reduce-nested-mpoly (extract-var ?p ?v)*
 $= ?p$.

lemma *poly-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly*:

$poly (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p) (Var x) = p$
{proof}

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-eq-iff [simp]*:

$mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p = mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x q \iff p = q$
{proof}

Evaluation, i.e., insertion of concrete values is identical

lemma *insertion-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly: assumes* $\bigwedge y. y \neq x \implies \beta y = \alpha y$

shows $poly (map-poly (insertion \beta) (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p)) (\alpha x) = insertion$
 αp
{proof}

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-dvd-iff [simp]*:

$mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p dvd mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x q \iff p dvd q$
{proof}

lemma *vars-coeff-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly: vars* $(poly.coeff (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p)$

$i) \subseteq vars p - \{x\}$
{proof}

locale *transfer-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly* =

fixes $x :: nat$

begin

definition $R :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 mpoly poly \Rightarrow 'a mpoly \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$R p p' \iff p = mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p'$

context

includes *lifting-syntax*
begin

lemma *transfer-0* [*transfer-rule*]: $R\ 0\ 0$
and *transfer-1* [*transfer-rule*]: $R\ 1\ 1$
and *transfer-Const* [*transfer-rule*]: $R\ [:\text{Const } c:] (Const\ c)$
and *transfer-uminus* [*transfer-rule*]: $(R\ ==>\ R)\ uminus\ uminus$
and *transfer-of-nat* [*transfer-rule*]: $((=)\ ==>\ R)\ of\ nat\ of\ nat$
and *transfer-of-int* [*transfer-rule*]: $((=)\ ==>\ R)\ of\ nat\ of\ nat$
and *transfer-numeral* [*transfer-rule*]: $((=)\ ==>\ R)\ of\ nat\ of\ nat$
and *transfer-add* [*transfer-rule*]: $(R\ ==>\ R\ ==>\ R)\ (+)\ (+)$
and *transfer-diff* [*transfer-rule*]: $(R\ ==>\ R\ ==>\ R)\ (+)\ (+)$
and *transfer-mult* [*transfer-rule*]: $(R\ ==>\ R\ ==>\ R)\ (*)\ (*)$
and *transfer-dvd* [*transfer-rule*]: $(R\ ==>\ R\ ==>\ (=))\ (dvd)\ (dvd)$
and *transfer-monom* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $((=)\ ==>\ (=)\ ==>\ R)$
 $(\lambda m\ a.\ Polynomial.monom\ (MPoly.Type.monom\ (remove\ key\ x\ m)\ a)$
(*lookup* $m\ x$)
 $MPoly.Type.monom$
and *transfer-coeff* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $(R\ ==>\ (=)\ ==>\ (=))$
 $(\lambda p\ m.\ MPoly.Type.coeff\ (poly.coeff\ p\ (lookup\ m\ x))\ (remove\ key\ x\ m))$
 $MPoly.Type.coeff$
and *transfer-degree* [*transfer-rule*]:
 $(R\ ==>\ (=))\ Polynomial.degree\ (\lambda p.\ MPoly.Type.degree\ p\ x)$
<proof>

lemma *transfer-vars* [*transfer-rule*]:
assumes [*transfer-rule*]: $R\ p\ p'$
shows $(\bigcup i.\ vars\ (poly.coeff\ p\ i)) \cup (if\ Polynomial.degree\ p = 0\ then\ \{\}\ else\ \{x\}) = vars\ p'$
(is $?A \cup ?B = -$)
<proof>

lemma *right-total* [*transfer-rule*]: *right-total* R
<proof>

lemma *bi-unique* [*transfer-rule*]: *bi-unique* R
<proof>

end

end

lemma *mpoly-degree-mult-eq*:
fixes $p\ q :: 'a :: idom\ mpoly$
assumes $p \neq 0\ q \neq 0$

shows $MPoly\text{-Type.degree } (p * q) x = MPoly\text{-Type.degree } p x + MPoly\text{-Type.degree } q x$
 <proof>

Converts a multi-variate polynomial into a univariate polynomial via inserting values for all but one variable

definition $partial\text{-insertion} :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a :: comm\text{-ring-1 } mpoly \Rightarrow 'a$
poly **where**

$partial\text{-insertion } \alpha x p = map\text{-poly } (insertion \alpha) (mpoly\text{-to-mpoly-poly } x p)$

lemma $comm\text{-ring-hom-insertion: } comm\text{-ring-hom } (insertion \alpha)$
 <proof>

lemma $partial\text{-insertion-add: } partial\text{-insertion } \alpha x (p + q) = partial\text{-insertion } \alpha x p + partial\text{-insertion } \alpha x q$
 <proof>

lemma $partial\text{-insertion-monom: } partial\text{-insertion } \alpha x (MPoly\text{-Type.monom } m a) = Polynomial.monom (insertion \alpha (MPoly\text{-Type.monom } (remove\text{-key } x m) a)) (lookup m x)$
 <proof>

Partial insertion + insertion of last value is identical to (full) insertion

lemma $insertion\text{-partial-insertion: } \text{assumes } \bigwedge y. y \neq x \implies \beta y = \alpha y$
shows $poly (partial\text{-insertion } \beta x p) (\alpha x) = insertion \alpha p$
 <proof>

lemma $insertion\text{-coeff-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly[simp]: } insertion \alpha (coeff (mpoly\text{-to-mpoly-poly } x p) k) = coeff (partial\text{-insertion } \alpha x p) k$
 <proof>

lemma $degree\text{-map-poly-Const: } degree (map\text{-poly } (Const :: 'a :: semiring-0 \Rightarrow -) f) = degree f$
 <proof>

lemma $degree\text{-partial-insertion-le-mpoly: } degree (partial\text{-insertion } \alpha x p) \leq degree (mpoly\text{-to-mpoly-poly } x p)$
 <proof>

end

2.2 Exact Division of Multivariate Polynomials

theory *MPoly-Divide*

imports

Hermite-Lindemann.More-Multivariate-Polynomial-HLW

Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Class

Poly-Connection

begin

lemma *poly-lead-coeff-dvd-lead-coeff*:
 assumes $p \text{ dvd } (q :: 'a :: \text{idom poly})$
 shows $\text{Polynomial.lead-coeff } p \text{ dvd } \text{Polynomial.lead-coeff } q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Since there is no particularly sensible algorithm for division with a remainder on multivariate polynomials, we define the following division operator that performs an exact division if possible and returns 0 otherwise.

no-notation *MPoly-Type.div* (**infixl** *div* 70)

instantiation *mpoly* :: (*comm-semiring-1*) *divide*
begin

definition *divide-mpoly* :: $'a \text{ mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mpoly}$ **where**
 $\text{divide-mpoly } x \ y = (\text{if } y \neq 0 \wedge y \text{ dvd } x \text{ then } \text{THE } z. x = y * z \text{ else } 0)$

instance $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

instance *mpoly* :: (*idom*) *idom-divide*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *transfer-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly*) *transfer-div* [*transfer-rule*]:
 assumes [*transfer-rule*]: $R \ p' \ p \ R \ q' \ q$
 assumes $q \text{ dvd } p$
 shows $R \ (p' \text{ div } q') \ (p \text{ div } q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instantiation *mpoly* :: ($\{\textit{normalization-semidom}, \textit{idom}\}$) *normalization-semidom*
begin

definition *unit-factor-mpoly* :: $'a \text{ mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mpoly}$ **where**
 $\text{unit-factor-mpoly } p = \text{Const } (\text{unit-factor } (\text{lead-coeff } p))$

definition *normalize-mpoly* :: $'a \text{ mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mpoly}$ **where**
 $\text{normalize-mpoly } p = \text{Rings.divide } p \ (\text{unit-factor } p)$

lemma *unit-factor-mpoly-Const* [*simp*]:
 $\text{unit-factor } (\text{Const } c) = \text{Const } (\text{unit-factor } c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *normalize-mpoly-Const* [*simp*]:
 $\text{normalize } (\text{Const } c) = \text{Const } (\text{normalize } c)$

<proof>

instance *<proof>*

end

The following is an exact division operator that can fail, i.e. if the divisor does not divide the dividend, it returns *None*.

definition *divide-option* :: 'a :: idom-divide \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a option (**infixl** *div?* 70)
where

divide-option p q = (if q dvd p then Some (p div q) else None)

We now show that exact division on the ring $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ can be reduced to exact division on the ring $R[X_1, \dots, X_n][X]$, i.e. we can go from 'a *mpoly* to a 'a *mpoly poly* where the coefficients have one variable less than the original multivariate polynomial. We basically simply use the isomorphism between these two rings.

lemma *divide-option-mpoly*:

fixes p q :: 'a :: idom-divide *mpoly*

shows p *div?* q = (let V = vars p \cup vars q in

(if V = {} then

let a = MPoly-Type.coeff p 0; b = MPoly-Type.coeff q 0; c = a div b

in if b * c = a then Some (Const c) else None

else

let x = Max V;

p' = *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly* x p; q' = *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly* x q

in case p' *div?* q' of

None \Rightarrow None

| Some r \Rightarrow Some (poly r (Var x))) (**is** - = ?*rhs*)

<proof>

Next, we show that exact division on the ring $R[X_1, \dots, X_n][Y]$ can be reduced to exact division on the ring $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. This is essentially just polynomial division.

lemma *divide-option-mpoly-poly*:

fixes p q :: 'a :: idom-divide *mpoly poly*

shows p *div?* q =

(if p = 0 then Some 0

else if q = 0 then None

else let dp = Polynomial.degree p; dq = Polynomial.degree q

in if dp < dq then None

else case Polynomial.lead-coeff p *div?* Polynomial.lead-coeff q of

None \Rightarrow None

| Some c \Rightarrow (

case (p - Polynomial.monom c (dp - dq) * q) *div?* q of

None \Rightarrow None

| Some r \Rightarrow Some (Polynomial.monom c (dp - dq) + r)))

(**is** - = ?*rhs*)

<proof>

These two equations now serve as two mutually recursive code equations that allow us to reduce exact division of multivariate polynomials to exact division of their coefficients. Termination of these code equations is not shown explicitly, but is obvious since one variable is eliminated in every step.

definition *divide-option-mpoly* :: 'a :: idom-divide mpoly \Rightarrow -
 where *divide-option-mpoly* = *divide-option*

definition *divide-option-mpoly-poly* :: 'a :: idom-divide mpoly poly \Rightarrow -
 where *divide-option-mpoly-poly* = *divide-option*

lemmas *divide-option-mpoly-code* [code] =
 divide-option-mpoly [folded *divide-option-mpoly-def divide-option-mpoly-poly-def*]

lemmas *divide-option-mpoly-poly-code* [code] =
 divide-option-mpoly-poly [folded *divide-option-mpoly-def divide-option-mpoly-poly-def*]

lemma *divide-mpoly-code* [code]:
 fixes p q :: 'a :: idom-divide mpoly
 shows p div q = (case *divide-option-mpoly* p q of None \Rightarrow 0 | Some r \Rightarrow r)
 <proof>

end

2.3 Implementation of Division on Multivariate Polynomials

theory *MPoly-Divide-Code*

imports

MPoly-Divide

Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Class-FMap

Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Univariate

begin

We now set up code equations for some of the operations that we will need, such as division, *mpoly-to-poly*, and *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly*.

lemma *mapping-of-MPoly*[code]: *mapping-of* (MPoly p) = p
 <proof>

lift-definition *filter-pm* :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b :: zero) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow_0 'b) **is**
 $\lambda P f x. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x \text{ else } 0$
 <proof>

lemma *lookup-filter-pm*: *lookup* (*filter-pm* P f) x = (if P x then *lookup* f x else 0)
 <proof>

lemma *filter-pm-code* [code]: *filter-pm* P ($Pm\text{-fmap}$ m) = $Pm\text{-fmap}$ ($fmfilter$ P m)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remove-key-conv-filter-pm* [code]: *remove-key* x m = *filter-pm* ($\lambda y. y \neq x$)
 m
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *finite-poly-coeff-nonzero*: *finite* { $n. poly.coeff$ p $n \neq 0$ }
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *poly-degree-conv-Max*:
 assumes $p \neq 0$
 shows $Polynomial.degree$ p = Max { $n. poly.coeff$ p $n \neq 0$ }
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-poly-code-aux*:
 fixes $p :: 'a :: comm-monoid-add$ *mpoly* **and** $x :: nat$
 defines $I \equiv (\lambda m. lookup$ m x) ‘ *Set.filter* ($\lambda m. \forall y \in keys$ $m. y = x$) (*keys* (*mapping-of* p))
 shows $I = \{n. poly.coeff$ (*mpoly-to-poly* x p) $n \neq 0\}$
 and $mpoly\text{-to-poly}$ x $p = 0 \iff I = \{\}$
 and $I \neq \{\} \implies Polynomial.degree$ (*mpoly-to-poly* x p) = Max I
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-poly-code* [code]:
 $Polynomial.coeffs$ (*mpoly-to-poly* x p) =
 (*let* $I = (\lambda m. lookup$ m x) ‘ *Set.filter* ($\lambda m. \forall y \in keys$ $m. y = x$) (*keys* (*mapping-of* p))
 in *if* $I = \{\}$ *then* [] *else* *map* ($\lambda n. MPoly\text{-Type.coeff}$ p (*Poly-Mapping.single* x n)) [$0..<Max$ $I + I$])
 (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1* :: $nat \Rightarrow ((nat \Rightarrow_0 nat) \times 'a)$ *list* $\Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow$
 $((nat \Rightarrow_0 nat) \times 'a)$ *list* **where**
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1 i [] $j = []$
 | *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1* i ((mon' , c) # xs) $j =$
 (*if* $lookup$ mon' $i = j$ *then* [(*remove-key* i mon' , c)] *else* []) @ *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1*
 i xs j

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1-altdef*:
mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1 i xs $j =$
map ($\lambda(mon, c). (remove\text{-key}$ i $mon, c)$) (*filter* ($\lambda(mon, c). lookup$ mon $i = j$)
 xs)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-of-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1*:

$\text{map-of } (\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1 } i \text{ } xs \text{ } j) = (\lambda mon.$
 $\quad (\text{if lookup mon } i > 0 \text{ then None}$
 $\quad \text{else map-of } xs \text{ } (mon + \text{Poly-Mapping.single } i \text{ } j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lookup0-fmap-of-list-mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1*:
 $\text{lookup0 } (\text{fmap-of-list } (\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1 } i \text{ } xs \text{ } j)) = (\lambda mon.$
 $\quad \text{lookup0 } (\text{fmap-of-list } xs) \text{ } (mon + \text{Poly-Mapping.single } i \text{ } j) \text{ when lookup mon } i$
 $= 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux2* **where**
 $\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux2 } i \text{ } p \text{ } j = \text{poly.coeff } (\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly } i \text{ } p) \text{ } j$

lemma *coeff-MPoly*: $\text{MPoly-Type.coeff } (\text{MPoly } f) \text{ } m = \text{lookup } f \text{ } m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux2-code* [code]:
 $\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux2 } i \text{ } (\text{MPoly } (\text{Pm-fmap } (\text{fmap-of-list } xs))) \text{ } j =$
 $\text{MPoly } (\text{Pm-fmap } (\text{fmap-of-list } (\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux1 } i \text{ } xs \text{ } j)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{comm-ring-1 } \text{mpoly} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ } \text{mpoly}$
list **where**
 $\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl } x \text{ } p = (\text{if } p = 0 \text{ then } [] \text{ else}$
 $\quad \text{map } (\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl-aux2 } x \text{ } p) \text{ } [0..<\text{Suc } (\text{MPoly-Type.degree } p \text{ } x)])$

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-eq-0-iff* [simp]: $\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly } x \text{ } p = 0 \iff p = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-code* [code]:
 $\text{Polynomial.coeffs } (\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly } x \text{ } p) = \text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-impl } x \text{ } p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

value *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly 0* ($\text{Var } 0 \text{ } ^2 + \text{Var } 0 * \text{Var } 1 + \text{Var } 1 \text{ } ^2 :: \text{int } \text{mpoly}$)

value *Rings.divide* ($\text{Var } 0 \text{ } ^2 * \text{Var } 1 + \text{Var } 0 * \text{Var } 1 \text{ } ^2 :: \text{int } \text{mpoly}$) ($\text{Var } 1$)

end

2.4 Class Instances for Multivariate Polynomials and Containers

theory *MPoly-Container*
imports
 $\text{Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Class}$
 $\text{Containers.Set-Impl}$
begin

Basic setup for using multivariate polynomials in combination with container framework.

```

derive (eq) ceq poly-mapping
derive (dlist) set-impl poly-mapping
derive (no) ccompare poly-mapping

end

```

2.5 Resultants of Multivariate Polynomials

We utilize the conversion of multivariate polynomials into univariate polynomials for the definition of the resultant of multivariate polynomials via the resultant for univariate polynomials. In this way, we can use the algorithm to efficiently compute resultants for the multivariate case.

theory *Multivariate-Resultant*

imports

```

  Poly-Connection
  Algebraic-Numbers.Resultant
  Subresultants.Subresultant
  MPoly-Divide-Code
  MPoly-Container

```

begin

hide-const (**open**)

```

  MPoly-Type.degree
  MPoly-Type.coeff
  Symmetric-Polynomials.lead-coeff

```

lemma *det-sylvester-matrix-higher-degree:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \det (\text{sylvester-mat-sub } (\text{degree } f + n) (\text{degree } g) f g) \\
 &= \det (\text{sylvester-mat-sub } (\text{degree } f) (\text{degree } g) f g) * (\text{lead-coeff } g * (-1)^{\wedge}(\text{degree } g))^{\wedge} n
 \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

The conversion of multivariate into univariate polynomials permits us to define resultants in the multivariate setting. Since in our application one of the polynomials is already univariate, we use a non-symmetric definition where only one of the input polynomials is multivariate.

definition *resultant-mpoly-poly* :: *nat* \Rightarrow *'a* :: *comm-ring-1* *mpoly* \Rightarrow *'a* *poly* \Rightarrow *'a* *mpoly* **where**

```

  resultant-mpoly-poly x p q = resultant (mpoly-to-mpoly-poly x p) (map-poly Const q)

```

This lemma tells us that there is only a minor difference between computing the multivariate resultant and then plugging in values, or first inserting values and then evaluate the univariate resultant.

lemma *insertion-resultant-mpoly-poly*: $\text{insertion } \alpha (\text{resultant-mpoly-poly } x p q) = \text{resultant } (\text{partial-insertion } \alpha x p) q * (\text{lead-coeff } q * (-1)^{\text{degree } q} \wedge (\text{degree } (\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly } x p) - \text{degree } (\text{partial-insertion } \alpha x p)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *insertion-resultant-mpoly-poly-zero*: **fixes** $q :: 'a :: \text{idom poly}$
assumes $q: q \neq 0$
shows $\text{insertion } \alpha (\text{resultant-mpoly-poly } x p q) = 0 \iff \text{resultant } (\text{partial-insertion } \alpha x p) q = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *vars-resultant*: $\text{vars } (\text{resultant } p q) \subseteq \bigcup (\text{vars } ' (\text{range } (\text{coeff } p) \cup \text{range } (\text{coeff } q)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

By taking the resultant, one variable is deleted.

lemma *vars-resultant-mpoly-poly*: $\text{vars } (\text{resultant-mpoly-poly } x p q) \subseteq \text{vars } p - \{x\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

For resultants, we manually have to select the implementation that works on integral domains, because there is no factorial ring instance for *int mpoly*.

lemma *resultant-mpoly-poly-code*[code]:
 $\text{resultant-mpoly-poly } x p q = \text{resultant-impl-basic } (\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly } x p) (\text{map-poly } \text{Const } q)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

3 Testing for Integrality and Conversion to Integers

theory *Is-Int-To-Int*
imports
Polynomial-Interpolation.Is-Rat-To-Rat
begin

lemma *inv-of-rat*: $\text{inv of-rat } (\text{of-rat } x) = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *of-rat-Ints-iff*: $((\text{of-rat } x :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0}) \in \mathbb{Z}) = (x \in \mathbb{Z})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-int-code*[code-unfold]:
shows $(x \in \mathbb{Z}) = (\text{is-rat } x \wedge \text{is-int-rat } (\text{to-rat } x))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *to-int* :: 'a :: is-rat \Rightarrow int **where**
to-int x = int-of-rat (to-rat x)

lemma *of-int-to-int*: $x \in \mathbf{Z} \implies \text{of-int } (\text{to-int } x) = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *to-int-of-int*: $\text{to-int } (\text{of-int } x) = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *to-rat-complex-of-real[simp]*: $\text{to-rat } (\text{complex-of-real } x) = \text{to-rat } x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *to-int-complex-of-real[simp]*: $\text{to-int } (\text{complex-of-real } x) = \text{to-int } x$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

4 Representing Roots of Polynomials with Algebraic Coefficients

We provide an algorithm to compute a non-zero integer polynomial q from a polynomial p with algebraic coefficients such that all roots of p are also roots of q .

In this way, we have a constructive proof that the set of complex algebraic numbers is algebraically closed.

theory *Roots-of-Algebraic-Poly*

imports

Algebraic-Numbers.Complex-Algebraic-Numbers

Multivariate-Resultant

Is-Int-To-Int

begin

4.1 Preliminaries

hide-const (**open**) *up-ring.monom*

hide-const (**open**) *MPoly-Type.monom*

lemma *map-mpoly-Const*: $f\ 0 = 0 \implies \text{map-mpoly } f\ (\text{Const } i) = \text{Const } (f\ i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-mpoly-Var*: $f\ 1 = 1 \implies \text{map-mpoly } (f\ ::\ 'b\ ::\ \text{zero-neq-one} \Rightarrow -)\ (\text{Var } i) = \text{Var } i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-mpoly-monom*: $f\ 0 = 0 \implies \text{map-mpoly } f\ (\text{MPoly-Type.monom } m\ a) = (\text{MPoly-Type.monom } m\ (f\ a))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remove-key-single'*:
 $\text{remove-key } v \text{ (Poly-Mapping.single } w \ n) = (\text{if } v = w \text{ then } 0 \text{ else Poly-Mapping.single } w \ n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

context *comm-monoid-add-hom*

begin

lemma *hom-Sum-any*: **assumes** *fin*: *finite* {*x*. *f* *x* ≠ 0}

shows $\text{hom (Sum-any } f) = \text{Sum-any } (\lambda x. \text{hom } (f \ x))$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *comm-monoid-add-hom-mpoly-map*: *comm-monoid-add-hom* (*map-mpoly* *hom*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-mpoly-hom-Const*: $\text{map-mpoly } \text{hom } (\text{Const } i) = \text{Const } (\text{hom } i)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-mpoly-hom-monom*: $\text{map-mpoly } \text{hom } (\text{MPoly-Type.monom } m \ a) = \text{MPoly-Type.monom } m \ (\text{hom } a)$

⟨proof⟩

end

context *comm-ring-hom*

begin

lemma *mpoly-to-poly-map-mpoly-hom*: $\text{mpoly-to-poly } x \ (\text{map-mpoly } \text{hom } p) = \text{map-poly } \text{hom } (\text{mpoly-to-poly } x \ p)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *comm-ring-hom-mpoly-map*: *comm-ring-hom* (*map-mpoly* *hom*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *mpoly-to-mpoly-poly-map-mpoly-hom*:

$\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly } x \ (\text{map-mpoly } \text{hom } p) = \text{map-poly } (\text{map-mpoly } \text{hom}) \ (\text{mpoly-to-mpoly-poly } x \ p)$

⟨proof⟩

end

context *inj-comm-ring-hom*

begin

lemma *inj-comm-ring-hom-mpoly-map*: *inj-comm-ring-hom* (*map-mpoly* *hom*)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *resultant-mpoly-poly-hom*: $\text{resultant-mpoly-poly } x \ (\text{map-mpoly } \text{hom } p) \ (\text{map-poly } \text{hom } q) = \text{map-mpoly } \text{hom } (\text{resultant-mpoly-poly } x \ p \ q)$

⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *map-insort-key*: **assumes** [*simp*]: $\bigwedge x y. g1\ x \leq g1\ y \longleftrightarrow g2\ (f\ x) \leq g2\ (f\ y)$
shows $map\ f\ (insort\text{-}key\ g1\ a\ xs) = insort\text{-}key\ g2\ (f\ a)\ (map\ f\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-sort-key*: **assumes** [*simp*]: $\bigwedge x y. g1\ x \leq g1\ y \longleftrightarrow g2\ (f\ x) \leq g2\ (f\ y)$
shows $map\ f\ (sort\text{-}key\ g1\ xs) = sort\text{-}key\ g2\ (map\ f\ xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

hide-const (**open**) *MPoly-Type.degree*
hide-const (**open**) *MPoly-Type.coeffs*
hide-const (**open**) *MPoly-Type.coeff*
hide-const (**open**) *Symmetric-Polynomials.lead-coeff*

4.2 More Facts about Resultants

lemma *resultant-iff-coprime-main*:
fixes $f\ g :: 'a :: field\ poly$
assumes $deg: degree\ f > 0 \vee degree\ g > 0$
shows $resultant\ f\ g = 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg\ coprime\ f\ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resultant-zero-iff-coprime*: **fixes** $f\ g :: 'a :: field\ poly$
assumes $f \neq 0 \vee g \neq 0$
shows $resultant\ f\ g = 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg\ coprime\ f\ g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The problem with the upcoming lemma is that "root" and "irreducibility" refer to the same type. In the actual application we interested in "irreducibility" over the integers, but the roots we are interested in are either real or complex.

lemma *resultant-zero-iff-common-root-irreducible*: **fixes** $f\ g :: 'a :: field\ poly$
assumes $irr: irreducible\ g$
and $root: poly\ g\ a = 0$
shows $resultant\ f\ g = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. poly\ f\ x = 0 \wedge poly\ g\ x = 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *resultant-zero-iff-common-root-complex*: **fixes** $f\ g :: complex\ poly$
assumes $g: g \neq 0$
shows $resultant\ f\ g = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists x. poly\ f\ x = 0 \wedge poly\ g\ x = 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.3 Systems of Polynomials

Definition of solving a system of polynomials, one being multivariate

definition *mpoly-polys-solution* :: $'a :: field\ mpoly \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ poly) \Rightarrow nat\ set \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$mpoly-polys-solution\ p\ qs\ N\ \alpha = ($
 $\text{insertion}\ \alpha\ p = 0 \wedge$
 $(\forall\ i \in N. poly\ (qs\ i)\ (\alpha\ (Suc\ i)) = 0))$

The upcoming lemma shows how to eliminate single variables in multivariate root-problems. Because of the problem mentioned in *resultant-zero-iff-common-root-irreducibility* we here restrict to polynomials over the complex numbers. Since the result computations are homomorphisms, we are able to lift it to integer polynomials where we are interested in real or complex roots.

lemma resultant-mpoly-polys-solution: **fixes** $p :: complex\ mpoly$

assumes $nz: 0 \notin qs\ 'N$

and $i: i \in N$

shows $mpoly-polys-solution\ (resultant-mpoly-poly\ (Suc\ i)\ p\ (qs\ i))\ qs\ (N - \{i\})\ \alpha$
 $\longleftrightarrow (\exists\ v. mpolys-polys-solution\ p\ qs\ N\ (\alpha((Suc\ i) := v)))$

<proof>

We now restrict solutions to be evaluated to zero outside the variable range. Then there are only finitely many solutions for our applications.

definition mpoly-polys-zero-solution $:: 'a :: field\ mpoly \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a\ poly) \Rightarrow nat$
 $set \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$mpoly-polys-zero-solution\ p\ qs\ N\ \alpha = (mpoly-polys-solution\ p\ qs\ N\ \alpha$
 $\wedge (\forall\ i. i \notin insert\ 0\ (Suc\ 'N) \longrightarrow \alpha\ i = 0))$

lemma resultant-mpoly-polys-zero-solution: **fixes** $p :: complex\ mpoly$

assumes $nz: 0 \notin qs\ 'N$

and $i: i \in N$

shows

$mpoly-polys-zero-solution\ (resultant-mpoly-poly\ (Suc\ i)\ p\ (qs\ i))\ qs\ (N - \{i\})\ \alpha$
 $\implies \exists\ v. mpolys-polys-zero-solution\ p\ qs\ N\ (\alpha(Suc\ i := v))$

$mpoly-polys-zero-solution\ p\ qs\ N\ \alpha$

$\implies mpolys-polys-zero-solution\ (resultant-mpoly-poly\ (Suc\ i)\ p\ (qs\ i))\ qs\ (N - \{i\})\ (\alpha(Suc\ i := 0))$

<proof>

The following two lemmas show that if we start with a system of polynomials with finitely many solutions, then the resulting polynomial cannot be the zero-polynomial.

lemma finite-resultant-mpoly-polys-non-empty: **fixes** $p :: complex\ mpoly$

assumes $nz: 0 \notin qs\ 'N$

and $i: i \in N$

and $fin: finite\ \{\alpha. mpolys-polys-zero-solution\ p\ qs\ N\ \alpha\}$

shows $finite\ \{\alpha. mpolys-polys-zero-solution\ (resultant-mpoly-poly\ (Suc\ i)\ p\ (qs\ i))\ qs\ (N - \{i\})\ \alpha\}$

<proof>

lemma finite-resultant-mpoly-polys-empty: **fixes** $p :: complex\ mpoly$

assumes $finite\ \{\alpha. mpolys-polys-zero-solution\ p\ qs\ \{\}\ \alpha\}$

shows $p \neq 0$

<proof>

4.4 Elimination of Auxiliary Variables

fun *eliminate-aux-vars* :: 'a :: comm-ring-1 mpoly \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a poly) \Rightarrow nat list \Rightarrow 'a poly **where**
eliminate-aux-vars p qs [] = *mpoly-to-poly* 0 p
| *eliminate-aux-vars* p qs (i # is) = *eliminate-aux-vars* (*resultant-mpoly-poly* (Suc i) p (qs i)) qs is

lemma *eliminate-aux-vars-of-int-poly*:

eliminate-aux-vars (*map-mpoly* (*of-int* :: - \Rightarrow 'a :: {comm-ring-1,ring-char-0})
mp) (*of-int-poly* \circ qs) is
= *of-int-poly* (*eliminate-aux-vars* mp qs is)
<proof>

The polynomial of the elimination process will represent the first value α ($0::'a$) of any solution to the multi-polynomial problem.

lemma *eliminate-aux-vars: fixes* p :: complex mpoly

assumes *distinct* is
and vars p \subseteq *insert* 0 (Suc ' set is)
and *finite* { α . *mpoly-polys-zero-solution* p qs (set is) α }
and 0 \notin qs ' set is
and *mpoly-polys-solution* p qs (set is) α
shows *poly* (*eliminate-aux-vars* p qs is) (α 0) = 0 \wedge *eliminate-aux-vars* p qs is \neq 0
<proof>

4.5 A Representing Polynomial for the Roots of a Polynomial with Algebraic Coefficients

First convert an algebraic polynomial into a system of integer polynomials.

definition *initial-root-problem* :: 'a :: {is-rat,field-gcd} poly \Rightarrow int mpoly \times (nat \times 'a \times int poly) list **where**

initial-root-problem p = (let
n = *degree* p;
cs = *coeffs* p;
rcs = *remdups* (*filter* (λ c. c \notin \mathbb{Z}) cs);
pairs = *map* (λ c. (c, *min-int-poly* c)) rcs;
spairs = *sort-key* (λ (c,f). *degree* f) pairs; — sort by degree so that easy
computations will be done first
triples = *zip* [0 ..< *length* spairs] spairs;
mpoly = (*sum* (λ i. let c = *coeff* p i in
MPoly-Type.monom (Poly-Mapping.single 0 i) 1 * — x_0^i * ...
(case find (λ (j,d,f). d = c) triples of
None \Rightarrow Const (to-int c)
| Some (j,pair) \Rightarrow Var (Suc j)))

{..n}
in (mpoly, triples))

And then eliminate all auxiliary variables

definition *representative-poly* :: 'a :: {is-rat,field-char-0,field-gcd} poly \Rightarrow int poly
where

representative-poly p = (case initial-root-problem p of
(mp, triples) \Rightarrow
let is = map fst triples;
qs = (λ j. snd (snd (triples ! j)))
in eliminate-aux-vars mp qs is)

4.6 Soundness Proof for Complex Algebraic Polynomials

lemma *get-representative-complex*: fixes p :: complex poly

assumes p: p \neq 0
and algebraic: Ball (set (coeffs p)) algebraic
and res: initial-root-problem p = (mp, triples)
and is: is = map fst triples
and qs: $\bigwedge j. j < \text{length is} \implies \text{qs } j = \text{snd (snd (triples ! j))}$
and root: poly p x = 0

shows eliminate-aux-vars mp qs is represents x
(proof)

lemma *representative-poly-complex*: fixes x :: complex

assumes p: p \neq 0
and algebraic: Ball (set (coeffs p)) algebraic
and root: poly p x = 0

shows representative-poly p represents x
(proof)

4.7 Soundness Proof for Real Algebraic Polynomials

We basically use the result for complex algebraic polynomials which are a superset of real algebraic polynomials.

lemma *initial-root-problem-complex-of-real-poly*:

initial-root-problem (map-poly complex-of-real p) =
map-prod id (map (map-prod id (map-prod complex-of-real id))) (initial-root-problem
p)

(proof)

lemma *representative-poly-real*: fixes x :: real

assumes p: p \neq 0
and algebraic: Ball (set (coeffs p)) algebraic
and root: poly p x = 0

shows representative-poly p represents x
(proof)

4.8 Algebraic Closedness of Complex Algebraic Numbers

lemma *complex-algebraic-numbers-are-algebraically-closed*:

assumes *nc*: \neg *constant* (*poly* *p*)

and *alg*: *Ball* (*set* (*coeffs* *p*)) *algebraic*

shows $\exists z :: \text{complex. algebraic } z \wedge \text{poly } p \ z = 0$

<proof>

end

4.9 Executable Version to Compute Representative Polynomials

theory *Roots-of-Algebraic-Poly-Impl*

imports

Roots-of-Algebraic-Poly

Polynomials.MPoly-Type-Class-FMap

begin

We need to specialize our code to real and complex polynomials, since *algebraic* and *min-int-poly* are not executable in their parametric versions.

definition *initial-root-problem-real* :: *real poly* \Rightarrow - **where**

[*simp*]: *initial-root-problem-real* *p* = *initial-root-problem* *p*

definition *initial-root-problem-complex* :: *complex poly* \Rightarrow - **where**

[*simp*]: *initial-root-problem-complex* *p* = *initial-root-problem* *p*

lemmas *initial-root-problem-code* =

initial-root-problem-real-def[*unfolded* *initial-root-problem-def*]

initial-root-problem-complex-def[*unfolded* *initial-root-problem-def*]

declare *initial-root-problem-code*[*code*]

lemma *initial-root-problem-code-unfold*[*code-unfold*]:

initial-root-problem = *initial-root-problem-complex*

initial-root-problem = *initial-root-problem-real*

<proof>

definition *representative-poly-real* :: *real poly* \Rightarrow - **where**

[*simp*]: *representative-poly-real* *p* = *representative-poly* *p*

definition *representative-poly-complex* :: *complex poly* \Rightarrow - **where**

[*simp*]: *representative-poly-complex* *p* = *representative-poly* *p*

lemmas *representative-poly-code* =

representative-poly-real-def[*unfolded* *representative-poly-def*]

representative-poly-complex-def[*unfolded* *representative-poly-def*]

declare *representative-poly-code*[*code*]

```

lemma representative-poly-code-unfold[code-unfold]:
  representative-poly = representative-poly-complex
  representative-poly = representative-poly-real
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

5 Root Filter via Interval Arithmetic

5.1 Generic Framework

We provide algorithms for finding all real or complex roots of a polynomial from a superset of the roots via interval arithmetic. These algorithms are much faster than just evaluating the polynomial via algebraic number computations.

```

theory Roots-via-IA

```

```

  imports

```

```

    Algebraic-Numbers.Interval-Arithmetic

```

```

begin

```

```

definition interval-of-real :: nat ⇒ real ⇒ real interval where

```

```

  interval-of-real prec x =

```

```

    (if is-rat x then Interval x x

```

```

     else let n = 2 ^ prec; x' = x * of-int n

```

```

        in Interval (of-rat (Rat.Fract [x'] n)) (of-rat (Rat.Fract [x'] n)))

```

```

definition interval-of-complex :: nat ⇒ complex ⇒ complex-interval where

```

```

  interval-of-complex prec z =

```

```

    Complex-Interval (interval-of-real prec (Re z)) (interval-of-real prec (Im z))

```

```

fun poly-interval :: 'a :: {plus,times,zero} list ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a where

```

```

  poly-interval [] - = 0

```

```

| poly-interval [c] - = c

```

```

| poly-interval (c # cs) x = c + x * poly-interval cs x

```

```

definition filter-fun-complex :: complex poly ⇒ nat ⇒ complex ⇒ bool where

```

```

  filter-fun-complex p = (let c = coeffs p in

```

```

    (λ prec. let cs = map (interval-of-complex prec) c

```

```

      in (λ x. 0 ∈c poly-interval cs (interval-of-complex prec x))))

```

```

definition filter-fun-real :: real poly ⇒ nat ⇒ real ⇒ bool where

```

```

  filter-fun-real p = (let c = coeffs p in

```

```

    (λ prec. let cs = map (interval-of-real prec) c

```

```

      in (λ x. 0 ∈i poly-interval cs (interval-of-real prec x))))

```

```

definition genuine-roots :: - poly ⇒ - list ⇒ - list where

```

```

  genuine-roots p xs = filter (λx. poly p x = 0) xs

```


lemma *zero-in-interval-0* [*simp*, *intro*]: $0 \in_i 0$

<proof>

lemma *zero-in-complex-interval-0* [*simp*, *intro*]: $0 \in_c 0$

<proof>

lemma *length-coeffs-degree'*:

$\text{length} (\text{coeffs } p) = (\text{if } p = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{Suc } (\text{degree } p))$

<proof>

lemma *poly-in-poly-interval-complex*:

assumes *list-all2* $(\lambda c \text{ ivl. } c \in_c \text{ ivl}) (\text{coeffs } p) \text{ cs } x \in_c \text{ ivl}$

shows $\text{poly } p \ x \in_c \text{ poly-interval } \text{cs } \text{ivl}$

<proof>

lemma *poly-in-poly-interval-real*: **fixes** $x :: \text{real}$

assumes *list-all2* $(\lambda c \text{ ivl. } c \in_i \text{ ivl}) (\text{coeffs } p) \text{ cs } x \in_i \text{ ivl}$

shows $\text{poly } p \ x \in_i \text{ poly-interval } \text{cs } \text{ivl}$

<proof>

lemma *in-interval-of-real* [*simp*, *intro*]: $x \in_i \text{ interval-of-real } \text{prec } x$

<proof>

lemma *in-interval-of-complex* [*simp*, *intro*]: $z \in_c \text{ interval-of-complex } \text{prec } z$

<proof>

lemma *distinct-genuine-roots* [*simp*, *intro*]:

$\text{distinct } xs \implies \text{distinct } (\text{genuine-roots } p \ xs)$

<proof>

definition *filter-fun* :: $'a \text{ poly} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{comm-ring} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{filter-fun } p \ f = (\forall n \ x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0 \longrightarrow f \ n \ x)$

lemma *filter-fun-complex*: $\text{filter-fun } p (\text{filter-fun-complex } p)$

<proof>

lemma *filter-fun-real*: $\text{filter-fun } p (\text{filter-fun-real } p)$

<proof>

context

fixes $p :: 'a :: \text{comm-ring } \text{poly}$ **and** f

assumes *ff*: $\text{filter-fun } p \ f$

begin

lemma *genuine-roots-step*:

$\text{genuine-roots } p \ xs = \text{genuine-roots } p (\text{filter } (f \ \text{prec}) \ xs)$

<proof>

lemma *genuine-roots-step-preserve-invar*:
assumes $\{z. \text{poly } p \ z = 0\} \subseteq \text{set } xs$
shows $\{z. \text{poly } p \ z = 0\} \subseteq \text{set } (\text{filter } (f \ \text{prec}) \ xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
end

lemma *genuine-roots-finish*:
fixes $p :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0 poly}$
assumes $\{z. \text{poly } p \ z = 0\} \subseteq \text{set } xs \ \text{distinct } xs$
assumes $\text{length } xs = \text{card } \{z. \text{poly } p \ z = 0\}$
shows $\text{genuine-roots } p \ xs = xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This is type of the initial search problem. It consists of a polynomial p , a list xs of candidate roots, the cardinality of the set of roots of p and a filter function to drop non-roots that is parametric in a precision parameter.

typedef (**overloaded**) $'a \ \text{genuine-roots-aux} =$
 $\{(p :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0 poly}, xs, n, ff).$
 $\ \ \ \ \ \text{distinct } xs \wedge$
 $\ \ \ \ \ \{z. \text{poly } p \ z = 0\} \subseteq \text{set } xs \wedge$
 $\ \ \ \ \ \text{card } \{z. \text{poly } p \ z = 0\} = n \wedge$
 $\ \ \ \ \ \text{filter-fun } p \ ff\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

setup-lifting *type-definition-genuine-roots-aux*

lift-definition $\text{genuine-roots}' :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{field-char-0 genuine-roots-aux} \Rightarrow 'a$
 list is
 $\lambda \text{prec } (p, xs, n, ff). \ \text{genuine-roots } p \ xs \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{genuine-roots-impl-step}' :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{field-char-0 genuine-roots-aux}$
 $\Rightarrow 'a \ \text{genuine-roots-aux is}$
 $\lambda \text{prec } (p, xs, n, ff). \ (p, \text{filter } (ff \ \text{prec}) \ xs, n, ff)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{gr-poly} :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0 genuine-roots-aux} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{poly is}$
 $\lambda (p :: 'a \ \text{poly}, -, -, -). \ p \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{gr-list} :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0 genuine-roots-aux} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{list is}$
 $\lambda (-, xs :: 'a \ \text{list}, -, -). \ xs \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{gr-numroots} :: 'a :: \text{field-char-0 genuine-roots-aux} \Rightarrow \text{nat is}$
 $\lambda (-, -, n, -). \ n \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *genuine-roots'-code* [code]:
 $\text{genuine-roots}' \ \text{prec} \ \text{gr} =$
 $\ (\text{if } \text{length } (\text{gr-list } \text{gr}) = \text{gr-numroots } \text{gr} \ \text{then } \text{gr-list } \text{gr}$
 $\ \ \ \ \ \text{else } \text{genuine-roots}' \ (2 * \text{prec}) \ (\text{genuine-roots-impl-step}' \ \text{prec} \ \text{gr}))$

<proof>

definition *initial-precision* :: nat **where** *initial-precision* = 10

definition *genuine-roots-impl* :: 'a *genuine-roots-aux* \Rightarrow 'a :: field-char-0 list **where**
genuine-roots-impl = *genuine-roots'* *initial-precision*

lemma *genuine-roots-impl*: set (*genuine-roots-impl* p) = {z. poly (gr-poly p) z = 0}

distinct (*genuine-roots-impl* p)

<proof>

end

6 Roots of Real and Complex Algebraic Polynomials

We are now able to actually compute all roots of polynomials with real and complex algebraic coefficients. The main addition to calculating the representative polynomial for a superset of all roots is to find the genuine roots. For this we utilize the approximation algorithm via interval arithmetic.

theory *Roots-of-Real-Complex-Poly*

imports

Roots-of-Algebraic-Poly-Impl

Roots-via-IA

MPoly-Container

begin

hide-const (open) *Module.smult*

typedef (overloaded) 'a *rf-poly* = { p :: 'a :: idom poly. rsquarefree p }

<proof>

setup-lifting *type-definition-rf-poly*

context

begin

lifting-forget *poly.lifting*

lift-definition *poly-rf* :: 'a :: idom *rf-poly* \Rightarrow 'a *poly* **is** λ x. x *<proof>*

definition *roots-of-poly-dummy* :: 'a::{comm-ring-1,ring-no-zero-divisors} *poly* \Rightarrow

-

where *roots-of-poly-dummy* p = (SOME xs. set xs = {r. poly p r = 0} \wedge *distinct* xs)

lemma *roots-of-poly-dummy-code*[code]:

roots-of-poly-dummy $p = \text{Code.abort } (\text{STR } \text{"roots-of-poly-dummy"}) (\lambda x. \text{roots-of-poly-dummy } p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *roots-of-poly-dummy*: **assumes** $p: p \neq 0$
shows $\text{set } (\text{roots-of-poly-dummy } p) = \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$ *distinct* (*roots-of-poly-dummy* p)
 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition *roots-of-complex-rf-poly-part1* :: *complex rf-poly* \Rightarrow *complex genuine-roots-aux* **is**
 $\lambda p.$ *if* $\text{Ball } (\text{set } (\text{Polynomial.coeffs } p))$ *algebraic* *then*
 $\text{let } q = \text{representative-poly } p;$
 $\text{zeros} = \text{complex-roots-of-int-poly } q$
 in $(p, \text{zeros}, \text{Polynomial.degree } p, \text{filter-fun-complex } p)$
else $(p, \text{roots-of-poly-dummy } p, \text{Polynomial.degree } p, \text{filter-fun-complex } p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition *roots-of-real-rf-poly-part1* :: *real rf-poly* \Rightarrow *real genuine-roots-aux* **is**
 $\lambda p.$ *let* $n = \text{count-roots } p$ *in*
 if $\text{Ball } (\text{set } (\text{Polynomial.coeffs } p))$ *algebraic* *then*
 $\text{let } q = \text{representative-poly } p;$
 $\text{zeros} = \text{real-roots-of-int-poly } q$
 in $(p, \text{zeros}, n, \text{filter-fun-real } p)$
else $(p, \text{roots-of-poly-dummy } p, n, \text{filter-fun-real } p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *roots-of-complex-rf-poly* :: *complex rf-poly* \Rightarrow *complex list* **where**
roots-of-complex-rf-poly $p = \text{genuine-roots-impl } (\text{roots-of-complex-rf-poly-part1 } p)$

lemma *roots-of-complex-rf-poly*: $\text{set } (\text{roots-of-complex-rf-poly } p) = \{x. \text{poly } (\text{poly-rf } p) \ x = 0\}$
distinct (*roots-of-complex-rf-poly* p)
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *roots-of-real-rf-poly* :: *real rf-poly* \Rightarrow *real list* **where**
roots-of-real-rf-poly $p = \text{genuine-roots-impl } (\text{roots-of-real-rf-poly-part1 } p)$

lemma *roots-of-real-rf-poly*: $\text{set } (\text{roots-of-real-rf-poly } p) = \{x. \text{poly } (\text{poly-rf } p) \ x = 0\}$
distinct (*roots-of-real-rf-poly* p)
 ⟨proof⟩

typedef (**overloaded**) *'a rf-polys* = $\{ (a :: 'a :: \text{idom}, ps :: ('a \text{ poly} \times \text{nat}) \text{ list}), \text{Ball } (\text{fst } \text{' set } ps) \text{ rsquarefree} \}$
 ⟨proof⟩

setup-lifting *type-definition-rf-polys*

lift-definition *yun-polys* :: 'a :: {euclidean-ring-gcd,field-char-0,semiring-gcd-mult-normalize}
poly ⇒ 'a rf-polys
is λ p. *yun-factorization gcd p*
⟨*proof*⟩

context

notes [[*typedef-overloaded*]]

begin

lift-definition (*code-dt*) *yun-rf* :: 'a :: idom rf-polys ⇒ 'a × ('a rf-poly × nat) list
is λ x. x
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

definition *polys-rf* :: 'a :: idom rf-polys ⇒ 'a rf-poly list **where**
polys-rf = map fst o snd o *yun-rf*

lemma *yun-polys*: **assumes** $p \neq 0$

shows $\text{poly } p \ x = 0 \iff (\exists q \in \text{set } (\text{polys-rf } (\text{yun-polys } p)). \text{poly } (\text{poly-rf } q) \ x = 0)$
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *roots-of-complex-rf-polys* :: complex rf-polys ⇒ complex list **where**

roots-of-complex-rf-polys ps = concat (map *roots-of-complex-rf-poly* (*polys-rf ps*))

lemma *roots-of-complex-rf-polys*:

$\text{set } (\text{roots-of-complex-rf-polys } ps) = \{x. \exists p \in \text{set } (\text{polys-rf } ps). \text{poly } (\text{poly-rf } p) \ x = 0\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *roots-of-real-rf-polys* :: real rf-polys ⇒ real list **where**

roots-of-real-rf-polys ps = concat (map *roots-of-real-rf-poly* (*polys-rf ps*))

lemma *roots-of-real-rf-polys*:

$\text{set } (\text{roots-of-real-rf-polys } ps) = \{x. \exists p \in \text{set } (\text{polys-rf } ps). \text{poly } (\text{poly-rf } p) \ x = 0\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *roots-of-complex-poly* :: complex poly ⇒ complex list **where**

roots-of-complex-poly p = (if $p = 0$ then [] else *roots-of-complex-rf-polys* (*yun-polys p*))

lemma *roots-of-complex-poly*: **assumes** $p \neq 0$

shows $\text{set } (\text{roots-of-complex-poly } p) = \{x. \text{poly } p \ x = 0\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *roots-of-real-poly* :: *real poly* \Rightarrow *real list* **where**
roots-of-real-poly *p* = (if *p* = 0 then [] else *roots-of-real-rf-polys* (*yun-polys* *p*))

lemma *roots-of-real-poly*: **assumes** *p*: *p* \neq 0
shows *set* (*roots-of-real-poly* *p*) = {*x*. *poly* *p* *x* = 0}
 <proof>

lemma *distinct-concat'*:
 [[*distinct* (*list-neq* *xs* []);
 \bigwedge *ys*. *ys* \in *set* *xs* \implies *distinct* *ys*;
 \bigwedge *ys* *zs*. [[*ys* \in *set* *xs* ; *zs* \in *set* *xs* ; *ys* \neq *zs*]] \implies *set* *ys* \cap *set* *zs* = {}
]] \implies *distinct* (*concat* *xs*)
 <proof>

lemma *roots-of-rf-yun-polys-distinct*: **assumes**
rt: \bigwedge *p*. *set* (*rop* *p*) = {*x*. *poly* (*poly-rf* *p*) *x* = 0}
and *dist*: \bigwedge *p*. *distinct* (*rop* *p*)
shows *distinct* (*concat* (*map* *rop* (*polys-rf* (*yun-polys* *p*))))
 <proof>

lemma *distinct-roots-of-real-poly*: *distinct* (*roots-of-real-poly* *p*)
 <proof>

lemma *distinct-roots-of-complex-poly*: *distinct* (*roots-of-complex-poly* *p*)
 <proof>

end

7 Factorization of Polynomials with Algebraic Coefficients

7.1 Complex Algebraic Coefficients

theory *Factor-Complex-Poly*

imports

Roots-of-Real-Complex-Poly

begin

hide-const (**open**) *MPoly-Type.smult* *MPoly-Type.degree* *MPoly-Type.coeff* *MPoly-Type.coeffs*

definition *factor-complex-main* :: *complex poly* \Rightarrow *complex* \times (*complex* \times *nat*) *list*
where

factor-complex-main *p* \equiv *let* (*c*,*pis*) = *yun-rf* (*yun-polys* *p*) *in*
 (*c*, *concat* (*map* (λ (*p*,*i*). *map* (λ *r*. (*r*,*i*)) (*roots-of-complex-rf-poly* *p*)) *pis*))

lemma *roots-of-complex-poly-via-factor-complex-main*:
map *fst* (*snd* (*factor-complex-main* *p*)) = *roots-of-complex-poly* *p*
 <proof>

lemma *distinct-factor-complex-main*:

distinct (map fst (snd (factor-complex-main p)))

<proof>

lemma *factor-complex-main*: **assumes** *rt*: *factor-complex-main p = (c,xis)*

shows *p = smult c (∏ (x, i)←xis. [:− x, 1:] ^ Suc i)*

<proof>

definition *factor-complex-poly* :: *complex poly ⇒ complex × (complex poly × nat)*

list where

factor-complex-poly p = (case factor-complex-main p of

(c,r_{is}) ⇒ (c, map (λ (r,i). ([:−r,1:],Suc i)) r_{is}))

lemma *distinct-factor-complex-poly*:

distinct (map fst (snd (factor-complex-poly p)))

<proof>

theorem *factor-complex-poly*: **assumes** *fp*: *factor-complex-poly p = (c,q_{is})*

shows

p = smult c (∏ (q, i)←q_{is}. q ^ i)

(q,i) ∈ set q_{is} ⇒ irreducible q ∧ i ≠ 0 ∧ monic q ∧ degree q = 1

<proof>

end

7.2 Real Algebraic Coefficients

We basically perform a factorization via complex algebraic numbers, take all real roots, and then merge each pair of conjugate roots into a quadratic factor.

theory *Factor-Real-Poly*

imports

Factor-Complex-Poly

begin

hide-const (open) *Coset.order*

fun *delete-cn_j* :: *complex ⇒ nat ⇒ (complex × nat) list ⇒ (complex × nat) list*

where

delete-cn_j x i ((y,j) # y_{js}) = (if x = y then if Suc j = i then y_{js} else if Suc j > i then

((y,j − i) # y_{js}) else delete-cn_j x (i − Suc j) y_{js} else (y,j) # delete-cn_j x i y_{js})

| delete-cn_j - - [] = []

lemma *delete-cn_j-length[termination-simp]*: *length (delete-cn_j x i y_{js}) ≤ length y_{js}*

<proof>

fun *complex-roots-to-real-factorization* :: (complex × nat) list ⇒ (real poly × nat)list **where**
complex-roots-to-real-factorization [] = []
| *complex-roots-to-real-factorization* ((x,i) # xs) = (if x ∈ ℝ then
([:- (Re x), 1:], Suc i) # *complex-roots-to-real-factorization* xs else
let xx = cnj x; ys = delete-cnj xx (Suc i) xs; p = map-poly Re ([:-x, 1:] *
[:-xx, 1:])
in (p, Suc i) # *complex-roots-to-real-factorization* ys)

definition *factor-real-poly* :: real poly ⇒ real × (real poly × nat) list **where**
factor-real-poly p ≡ case *factor-complex-main* (map-poly of-real p) of
(c, ris) ⇒ (Re c, *complex-roots-to-real-factorization* ris)

lemma *monic-imp-nonzero*: monic x ⇒ x ≠ 0 **for** x :: 'a :: semiring-1 poly ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delete-cnj*: **assumes**
order x (∏ (x, i) ← xis. [:- x, 1:] ^ Suc i) ≥ si si ≠ 0
shows (∏ (x, i) ← xis. [:- x, 1:] ^ Suc i) =
[:- x, 1:] ^ si * (∏ (x, i) ← delete-cnj x si xis. [:- x, 1:] ^ Suc i)
⟨proof⟩

theorem *factor-real-poly*: **assumes** fp: *factor-real-poly* p = (c, qis)
shows p = smult c (∏ (q, i) ← qis. q ^ i)
(q, j) ∈ set qis ⇒ irreducible q ∧ j ≠ 0 ∧ monic q ∧ degree q ∈ {1, 2}
⟨proof⟩

end

References

- [1] W. S. Brown. The subresultant PRS algorithm. *ACM Trans. Math. Softw.*, 4(3):237–249, 1978.
- [2] W. S. Brown and J. F. Traub. On Euclid’s algorithm and the theory of subresultants. *Journal of the ACM*, 18(4):505–514, 1971.
- [3] S. Joosten, R. Thiemann, and A. Yamada. Subresultants. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Apr. 2017. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/Subresultants.html>, Formal proof development.
- [4] S. J. C. Joosten, R. Thiemann, and A. Yamada. A verified implementation of algebraic numbers in Isabelle/HOL. *J. Autom. Reason.*, 64(3):363–389, 2020.
- [5] A. W. Strzeboński. Computing in the field of complex algebraic numbers. *J. Symbolic Computation*, 24:647–656, 1997.

- [6] R. Thiemann, A. Yamada, and S. Joosten. Algebraic numbers in Isabelle/HOL. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Dec. 2015. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Algebraic_Numbers.html, Formal proof development.