

A Naive Prover for First-Order Logic

Asta Halkjær From

March 17, 2025

Abstract

The AFP entry Abstract Completeness by Blanchette, Popescu and Traytel [1] formalizes the core of Beth/Hintikka-style completeness proofs for first-order logic and can be used to formalize executable sequent calculus provers. In the Journal of Automated Reasoning [2], the authors instantiate the framework with a sequent calculus for first-order logic and prove its completeness. Their use of an infinite set of proof rules indexed by formulas yields very direct arguments. A fair stream of these rules controls the prover, making its definition remarkably simple. The AFP entry, however, only contains a toy example for propositional logic. The AFP entry A Sequent Calculus Prover for First-Order Logic with Functions by From and Jacobsen [3] also uses the framework, but uses a finite set of generic rules resulting in a more sophisticated prover with more complicated proofs.

This entry contains an executable sequent calculus prover for first-order logic with functions in the style presented by Blanchette et al. The prover can be exported to Haskell and this entry includes formalized proofs of its soundness and completeness. The proofs are simpler than those for the prover by From and Jacobsen [3] but the performance of the prover is significantly worse.

The included theory *Fair-Stream* first proves that the sequence of natural numbers 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 2, etc. is fair. It then proves that mapping any surjective function across the sequence preserves fairness. This method of obtaining a fair stream of rules is similar to the one given by Blanchette et al. [2]. The concrete functions from natural numbers to terms, formulas and rules are defined using the *Nat-Bijection* theory in the HOL-Library.

Contents

1 List Syntax	3
2 Fair Streams	4
3 Syntax	5
3.1 Terms and Formulas	5
3.1.1 Substitution	6
3.1.2 Variables	6
3.2 Rules	7
4 Semantics	7
4.1 Definition	7
4.2 Substitution	8
4.3 Variables	8
5 Encoding	8
5.1 Terms	9
5.2 Formulas	9
5.3 Rules	10
6 Prover	11
7 Export	12
8 Soundness	13
9 Completeness	13
9.1 Hintikka Counter Model	13
9.2 Escape Paths Form Hintikka Sets	14
9.3 Completeness	15
10 Result	16

1 List Syntax

```

theory List-Syntax imports Main begin

abbreviation list-member-syntax :: <'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool> (‐‐ [∈] → [51, 51] 50)
where
  ‹x [∈] A ≡ x ∈ set A›

abbreviation list-not-member-syntax :: <'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool> (‐‐ [∉] → [51, 51] 50) where
  ‹x [∉] A ≡ x ∉ set A›

abbreviation list-subset-syntax :: <'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool> (‐‐ [⊂] → [51, 51] 50)
where
  ‹A [⊂] B ≡ set A ⊂ set B›

abbreviation list-subset-eq-syntax :: <'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool> (‐‐ [⊆] → [51, 51] 50) where
  ‹A [⊆] B ≡ set A ⊆ set B›

abbreviation removeAll-syntax :: <'a list ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a list> (infix ‹[÷]› 75) where
  ‹A [÷] x ≡ removeAll x A›

syntax (ASCII)
-BallList      :: <pttrn ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ bool> ((3ALL (‐/[‐]./‐) [0, 0, 10]
10)
-BexList       :: <pttrn ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ bool> ((3EX (‐/[‐]./‐) [0, 0, 10]
10)
-Bex1List      :: <pttrn ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ bool> ((3EX! (‐/[‐]./‐) [0, 0, 10]
10)
-BleastList    :: <id ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ 'a>      ((3LEAST (‐/[‐]./‐) [0, 0,
10] 10)

syntax (input)
-BallList      :: <pttrn ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ bool> ((3! (‐/[‐]./‐) [0, 0, 10] 10)
-BexList       :: <pttrn ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ bool> ((3? (‐/[‐]./‐) [0, 0, 10]
10)
-Bex1List      :: <pttrn ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ bool> ((3?! (‐/[‐]./‐) [0, 0, 10]
10)

syntax
-BallList      :: <pttrn ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ bool> ((3∀ (‐/[∈]./‐) [0, 0, 10]
10)
-BexList       :: <pttrn ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ bool> ((3∃ (‐/[∈]./‐) [0, 0, 10]
10)
-Bex1List      :: <pttrn ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ bool> ((3∃! (‐/[∈]./‐) [0, 0, 10]
10)
-BleastList    :: <id ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool ⇒ 'a>      ((3LEAST (‐/[∈]./‐) [0, 0,
10] 10)

```

```

syntax-consts
-BallList  $\Leftrightarrow$  Ball and
-BexList  $\Leftrightarrow$  Bex and
-Bex1List  $\Leftrightarrow$  Ex1 and
-BleastList  $\Leftrightarrow$  Least

translations
 $\forall x[\in]A. P \Leftrightarrow \text{CONST Ball } (\text{CONST set } A) (\lambda x. P)$ 
 $\exists x[\in]A. P \Leftrightarrow \text{CONST Bex } (\text{CONST set } A) (\lambda x. P)$ 
 $\exists!x[\in]A. P \rightarrow \exists!x. x [\in] A \wedge P$ 
LEAST  $x[:A]. P \rightarrow \text{LEAST } x. x [\in] A \wedge P$ 

syntax (ASCII output)
-setlessAllList :: <[idt, 'a, bool]  $\Rightarrow$  bool> ((3ALL -[<]-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)
-setlessExList :: <[idt, 'a, bool]  $\Rightarrow$  bool> ((3EX -[<]-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)
-setleAllList :: <[idt, 'a, bool]  $\Rightarrow$  bool> ((3ALL -[<=]-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)
-setleExList :: <[idt, 'a, bool]  $\Rightarrow$  bool> ((3EX -[<=]-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)
-setleEx1List :: <[idt, 'a, bool]  $\Rightarrow$  bool> ((3EX! -[<=]-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)

syntax
-setlessAllList :: <[idt, 'a, bool]  $\Rightarrow$  bool> ((3 $\forall$  -[ $\subset$ ]-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)
-setlessExList :: <[idt, 'a, bool]  $\Rightarrow$  bool> ((3 $\exists$  -[ $\subset$ ]-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)
-setleAllList :: <[idt, 'a, bool]  $\Rightarrow$  bool> ((3 $\forall$  -[ $\subseteq$ ]-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)
-setleExList :: <[idt, 'a, bool]  $\Rightarrow$  bool> ((3 $\exists$  -[ $\subseteq$ ]-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)
-setleEx1List :: <[idt, 'a, bool]  $\Rightarrow$  bool> ((3 $\exists$ ! -[ $\subseteq$ ]-./ -) [0, 0, 10] 10)

syntax-consts
-setlessAllList -setleAllList  $\Leftrightarrow$  All and
-setlessExList -setleExList  $\Leftrightarrow$  Ex and
-setleEx1List  $\Leftrightarrow$  Ex1

translations
 $\forall A[\subset]B. P \rightarrow \forall A. A [\subset] B \rightarrow P$ 
 $\exists A[\subset]B. P \rightarrow \exists A. A [\subset] B \wedge P$ 
 $\forall A[\subseteq]B. P \rightarrow \forall A. A [\subseteq] B \rightarrow P$ 
 $\exists A[\subseteq]B. P \rightarrow \exists A. A [\subseteq] B \wedge P$ 
 $\exists!A[\subseteq]B. P \rightarrow \exists!A. A [\subseteq] B \wedge P$ 

end

```

2 Fair Streams

```
theory Fair-Stream imports HOL-Library.Stream begin
```

```
definition upt-lists :: <nat list stream> where
  `upt-lists ≡ smap (upt 0) (stl nats)>
```

```
definition fair-nats :: <nat stream> where
```

```

⟨fair-nats ≡ flat upt-lists⟩

definition fair :: ⟨'a stream ⇒ bool⟩ where
  ⟨fair s ≡ ∀ x ∈ sset s. ∀ m. ∃ n ≥ m. s !! n = x⟩

lemma upt-lists-snth: ⟨x ≤ n ⇒ x ∈ set (upt-lists !! n)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma all-ex-upt-lists: ⟨∃ n ≥ m. x ∈ set (upt-lists !! n)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upt-lists-ne: ⟨∀ xs ∈ sset upt-lists. xs ≠ []⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sset-flat-stl: ⟨sset (flat (stl s)) ⊆ sset (flat s)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma flat-snth-nth:
  assumes ⟨x = s !! n ! m⟩ ⟨m < length (s !! n)⟩ ⟨∀ xs ∈ sset s. xs ≠ []⟩
  shows ⟨∃ n' ≥ n. x = flat s !! n'⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma all-ex-fair-nats: ⟨∃ n ≥ m. fair-nats !! n = x⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma fair-surj:
  assumes ⟨surj f⟩
  shows ⟨fair (smap f fair-nats)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

definition fair-stream :: ⟨(nat ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a stream⟩ where
  ⟨fair-stream f ≡ smap f fair-nats⟩

theorem fair-stream: ⟨surj f ⇒ fair (fair-stream f)⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem UNIV-stream: ⟨surj f ⇒ sset (fair-stream f) = UNIV⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

3 Syntax

```
theory Syntax imports List-Syntax begin
```

3.1 Terms and Formulas

```
datatype tm
  = Var nat (⟨#⟩)
```

```

| Fun nat <tm list> (<†>)

datatype fm
= Falsity (<⊥>)
| Pre nat <tm list> (<‡>)
| Imp fm fm (infixr <→> 55)
| Uni fm (<∀>)

type-synonym sequent = <fm list × fm list>

```

3.1.1 Substitution

```

primrec add-env :: <'a ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a) ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a> (infix <₀> 0) where
  <(t § s) 0 = t>
  | <(t § s) (Suc n) = s n>

primrec lift-tm :: <tm ⇒ tm> where
  <lift-tm (#n) = #(n+1)>
  | <lift-tm (†f ts) = †f (map lift-tm ts)>

primrec sub-tm :: <(nat ⇒ tm) ⇒ tm ⇒ tm> where
  <sub-tm s (#n) = s n>
  | <sub-tm s (†f ts) = †f (map (sub-tm s) ts)>

primrec sub-fm :: <(nat ⇒ tm) ⇒ fm ⇒ fm> where
  <sub-fm - ⊥ = ⊥>
  | <sub-fm s (‡P ts) = ‡P (map (sub-tm s) ts)>
  | <sub-fm s (p → q) = sub-fm s p → sub-fm s q>
  | <sub-fm s (forall p) = ∀ (sub-fm (#0 § λn. lift-tm (s n)) p)>

abbreviation inst-single :: <tm ⇒ fm ⇒ fm> (<⟨-⟩>) where
  <⟨t⟩ ≡ sub-fm (t § #)>

```

3.1.2 Variables

```

primrec vars-tm :: <tm ⇒ nat list> where
  <vars-tm (#n) = [i]>
  | <vars-tm (†- ts) = concat (map vars-tm ts)>

primrec vars-fm :: <fm ⇒ nat list> where
  <vars-fm ⊥ = []>
  | <vars-fm (‡- ts) = concat (map vars-tm ts)>
  | <vars-fm (p → q) = vars-fm p @ vars-fm q>
  | <vars-fm (forall p) = vars-fm p>

primrec max-list :: <nat list ⇒ nat> where
  <max-list [] = 0>
  | <max-list (x # xs) = max x (max-list xs)>

lemma max-list-append: <max-list (xs @ ys) = max (max-list xs) (max-list ys)>

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma max-list-concat: ⟨xs [∈] xss ⇒ max-list xs ≤ max-list (concat xss)⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-list-in: ⟨max-list xs < n ⇒ n [∉] xs⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition vars-fms :: ⟨fm list ⇒ nat list⟩ where
⟨vars-fms A ≡ concat (map vars-fm A)⟩

lemma vars-fms-member: ⟨p [∈] A ⇒ vars-fm p [⊆] vars-fms A⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-list-mono: ⟨A [⊆] B ⇒ max-list A ≤ max-list B⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma max-list-vars-fms:
assumes ⟨max-list (vars-fms A) ≤ n⟩ ⟨p [∈] A⟩
shows ⟨max-list (vars-fm p) ≤ n⟩
⟨proof⟩

definition fresh :: ⟨fm list ⇒ nat⟩ where
⟨fresh A ≡ Suc (max-list (vars-fms A))⟩

```

3.2 Rules

```

datatype rule =
  Idle | Axiom nat ⟨tm list⟩ | FlsL | FlsR | ImpL fm fm | ImpR fm fm | UniL tm
  fm | UniR fm
end

```

4 Semantics

```
theory Semantics imports Syntax begin
```

4.1 Definition

```

type-synonym 'a var-denot = ⟨nat ⇒ 'a⟩
type-synonym 'a fun-denot = ⟨nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a⟩
type-synonym 'a pre-denot = ⟨nat ⇒ 'a list ⇒ bool⟩

```

```

primrec semantics-tm :: ⟨'a var-denot ⇒ 'a fun-denot ⇒ tm ⇒ 'a⟩ (⟨(−, −)⟩)
where
  ⟨(E, F) (#n) = E n⟩
  | ⟨(E, F) (†f ts) = F f (map (E, F) ts)⟩

```

```

primrec semantics-fm :: <'a var-denot  $\Rightarrow$  'a fun-denot  $\Rightarrow$  'a pre-denot  $\Rightarrow$  fm  $\Rightarrow$  bool>
  (<[], -, ->) where
    <[], -, ->  $\perp = \text{False}$ ,
  | <[E, F, G] (P ts) = G P (map ([E, F] ts))>
  | <[E, F, G] (p  $\longrightarrow$  q) = ([E, F, G] p  $\longrightarrow$  [E, F, G] q)>
  | <[E, F, G] ( $\forall$  p) = ( $\forall$  x. [x : E, F, G] p)>

fun sc :: <('a var-denot  $\times$  'a fun-denot  $\times$  'a pre-denot)  $\Rightarrow$  sequent  $\Rightarrow$  bool> where
  <sc (E, F, G) (A, B) = (( $\forall$  p [ $\in$ ] A. [E, F, G] p)  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  q [ $\in$ ] B. [E, F, G] q))>

```

4.2 Substitution

lemma add-env-semantics [simp]: <([E, F] ((t : s) n) = ([E, F] t : $\lambda m.$ [E, F] (s m)) n)>
 <proof>

lemma lift-lemma [simp]: <([x : E, F] (lift-tm t) = [E, F] t)>
 <proof>

lemma sub-tm-semantics [simp]: <([E, F] (sub-tm s t) = ($\lambda n.$ [E, F] (s n), F] t)>
 <proof>

lemma sub-fm-semantics [simp]: <[E, F, G] (sub-fm s p) = [λn. [E, F] (s n), F] p>
 <proof>

4.3 Variables

lemma upd-vars-tm [simp]: <n [notin] vars-tm t \implies ([E(n := x), F] t = [E, F] t)>
 <proof>

lemma add-upd-commute [simp]: <(y : E(n := x)) m = ((y : E)(Suc n := x)) m>
 <proof>

lemma upd-vars-fm [simp]: <max-list (vars-fm p) < n \implies [E(n := x), F, G] p = [E, F, G] p>
 <proof>

end

5 Encoding

theory Encoding **imports** HOL-Library.Nat-Bijection Syntax **begin**

abbreviation infix-sum-encode (**infixr** <\$> 100) **where**
 <c \$ x ≡ sum-encode (c x)>

lemma lt-sum-encode-Inr: <n < Inr \$ n>

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-prod-decode-lt* [simp]: $\langle sum\text{-decode } n = Inr b \Rightarrow (x, y) = prod\text{-decode } b \Rightarrow y < Suc n \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-prod-decode-lt-Suc* [simp]:
 $\langle sum\text{-decode } n = Inr b \Rightarrow (Suc x, y) = prod\text{-decode } b \Rightarrow x < Suc n \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-list-encode*: $\langle n \in ns \Rightarrow n < list\text{-encode } ns \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-Suc-list-decode-lt* [simp]:
 $\langle (x, Suc y) = prod\text{-decode } n \Rightarrow y' \in (list\text{-decode } y) \Rightarrow y' < n \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.1 Terms

primrec *nat-of-tm* :: $\langle tm \Rightarrow nat \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle nat\text{-of-tm } (\#n) = prod\text{-encode } (n, 0) \rangle$
 $| \langle nat\text{-of-tm } (\dagger f ts) = prod\text{-encode } (f, Suc (list\text{-encode } (map nat\text{-of-tm } ts))) \rangle$

function *tm-of-nat* :: $\langle nat \Rightarrow tm \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle tm\text{-of-nat } n = (case prod\text{-decode } n of$
 $(n, 0) \Rightarrow \#n$
 $| (f, Suc ts) \Rightarrow \dagger f (map tm\text{-of-nat } (list\text{-decode } ts)) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

termination $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tm-nat*: $\langle tm\text{-of-nat } (nat\text{-of-tm } t) = t \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *surj-tm-of-nat*: $\langle surj \text{ tm-of-nat} \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2 Formulas

primrec *nat-of-fm* :: $\langle fm \Rightarrow nat \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle nat\text{-of-fm } \perp = 0 \rangle$
 $| \langle nat\text{-of-fm } (\$P ts) = Suc (Inl \$ prod\text{-encode } (P, list\text{-encode } (map nat\text{-of-tm } ts))) \rangle$
 $| \langle nat\text{-of-fm } (p \rightarrow q) = Suc (Inr \$ prod\text{-encode } (Suc (nat\text{-of-fm } p), nat\text{-of-fm } q)) \rangle$
 $| \langle nat\text{-of-fm } (\forall p) = Suc (Inr \$ prod\text{-encode } (0, nat\text{-of-fm } p)) \rangle$

function *fm-of-nat* :: $\langle nat \Rightarrow fm \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle fm\text{-of-nat } 0 = \perp \rangle$
 $| \langle fm\text{-of-nat } (Suc n) = (case sum\text{-decode } n of$
 $Inl n \Rightarrow let (P, ts) = prod\text{-decode } n \text{ in } \$P (map tm\text{-of-nat } (list\text{-decode } ts))$
 $| Inr n \Rightarrow (case prod\text{-decode } n of$
 $(Suc p, q) \Rightarrow fm\text{-of-nat } p \rightarrow fm\text{-of-nat } q$

```

| (0, p) ⇒ ∀(fm-of-nat p)))⟩
⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩

lemma fm-nat: ⟨fm-of-nat (nat-of-fm p) = p⟩
⟨proof⟩

lemma surj-fm-of-nat: ⟨surj fm-of-nat⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

5.3 Rules

Pick a large number to help encode the Idle rule, so that we never hit it in practice.

```

definition idle-nat :: nat where
⟨idle-nat ≡ 4294967295⟩

primrec nat-of-rule :: ⟨rule ⇒ nat⟩ where
⟨nat-of-rule Idle = Inl $ prod-encode (0, idle-nat)⟩
| ⟨nat-of-rule (Axiom n ts) = Inl $ prod-encode (Suc n, Suc (list-encode (map
nat-of-tm ts)))⟩
| ⟨nat-of-rule FlsL = Inl $ prod-encode (0, 0)⟩
| ⟨nat-of-rule FlsR = Inl $ prod-encode (0, Suc 0)⟩
| ⟨nat-of-rule (ImpL p q) = Inr $ prod-encode (Inl $ nat-of-fm p, Inl $ nat-of-fm
q)⟩
| ⟨nat-of-rule (ImpR p q) = Inr $ prod-encode (Inr $ nat-of-fm p, nat-of-fm q)⟩
| ⟨nat-of-rule (UniL t p) = Inr $ prod-encode (Inl $ nat-of-tm t, Inr $ nat-of-fm
p)⟩
| ⟨nat-of-rule (UniR p) = Inl $ prod-encode (Suc (nat-of-fm p), 0)⟩

fun rule-of-nat :: ⟨nat ⇒ rule⟩ where
⟨rule-of-nat n = (case sum-decode n of
Inl n ⇒ (case prod-decode n of
(0, 0) ⇒ FlsL
| (0, Suc 0) ⇒ FlsR
| (0, n2) ⇒ if n2 = idle-nat then Idle else
let (p, q) = prod-decode n2 in ImpR (fm-of-nat p) (fm-of-nat q)
| (Suc n, Suc ts) ⇒ Axiom n (map tm-of-nat (list-decode ts))
| (Suc p, 0) ⇒ UniR (fm-of-nat p)
| Inr n ⇒ (let (n1, n2) = prod-decode n in
case sum-decode n1 of
Inl n1 ⇒ (case sum-decode n2 of
Inl q ⇒ ImpL (fm-of-nat n1) (fm-of-nat q)
| Inr p ⇒ UniL (tm-of-nat n1) (fm-of-nat p))
| Inr p ⇒ ImpR (fm-of-nat p) (fm-of-nat n2))))⟩

lemma rule-nat: ⟨rule-of-nat (nat-of-rule r) = r⟩
⟨proof⟩

```

```
lemma surj-rule-of-nat: <surj rule-of-nat>
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

6 Prover

```
theory Prover imports Abstract-Completeness.Abstract-Completeness Encoding
Fair-Stream begin
```

```
function eff :: <rule ⇒ sequent ⇒ (sequent fset) option> where
  ⟨eff Idle (A, B) = Some {|| (A, B) ||}>
  | ⟨eff (Axiom P ts) (A, B) = (if ‡P ts [∈] A ∧ ‡P ts [∈] B then Some {||} else None)>
  | ⟨eff FlsL (A, B) = (if ⊥ [∈] A then Some {||} else None)>
  | ⟨eff FlsR (A, B) = (if ⊥ [∈] B then Some {|| (A, B [÷] ⊥) ||} else None)>
  | ⟨eff (Impl p q) (A, B) = (if (p → q) [∈] A then
    Some {|| (A [÷] (p → q), p ≠ B), (q ≠ A [÷] (p → q), B) ||} else None)>
  | ⟨eff (ImpR p q) (A, B) = (if (p → q) [∈] B then
    Some {|| (p ≠ A, q ≠ B [÷] (p → q)) ||} else None)>
  | ⟨eff (UniL t p) (A, B) = (if ∀ p [∈] A then Some {|| ((t)p ≠ A, B) ||} else None)>
  | ⟨eff (UniR p) (A, B) = (if ∀ p [∈] B then
    Some {|| (A, #fresh (A @ B))p ≠ B [÷] ∀ p ||} else None)>
  ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition rules :: <rule stream> where
  ⟨rules ≡ fair-stream rule-of-nat⟩
```

```
lemma UNIV-rules: <sset rules = UNIV>
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
interpretation RuleSystem <λr s ss. eff r s = Some ss> rules UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma per-rules':
```

```
  assumes ⟨enabled r (A, B)⟩ ⊢ enabled r (A', B') ⟨eff r' (A, B) = Some ss'⟩
  ⟨(A', B') [∈] ss'⟩
  shows ⟨r' = r⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma per-rules: <per r>
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
interpretation PersistentRuleSystem <λr s ss. eff r s = Some ss> rules UNIV
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition <prover ≡ mkTree rules>
```

```
end
```

7 Export

```
theory Export imports Prover begin

definition <prove-sequent ≡ i.mkTree eff rules>
definition <prove ≡ λp. prove-sequent ([], [p])>

declare Stream.smember-code [code del]
lemma [code]: <Stream.smember x (y ## s) = (x = y ∨ Stream.smember x s)>
  ⟨proof⟩

code-printing
constant the → (Haskell) (λx → case x of { Just y → y })
| constant Option.is-none → (Haskell) (λx → case x of { Just y → False;
Nothing → True })

code-identifier
code-module Product-Type → (Haskell) Arith
| code-module Orderings → (Haskell) Arith
| code-module Arith → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module MaybeExt → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module List → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module Nat-Bijection → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module Syntax → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module Encoding → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module HOL → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module Set → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module FSet → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module Stream → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module Fair-Stream → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module Sum-Type → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module Abstract-Completeness → (Haskell) Prover
| code-module Export → (Haskell) Prover

export-code open prove in Haskell
```

To export the Haskell code run:

```
> isabelle build -e -D .
```

To compile the exported code run:

```
> ghc -O2 -i./program Main.hs
```

To prove a formula, supply it using raw constructor names, e.g.:

```
> ./Main "Imp (Pre 0 []) (Imp (Pre 1 []) (Pre 0 []))"
```

```

|- (P) --> ((Q) --> (P))
+ ImpR on P and (Q) --> (P)
P |- (Q) --> (P)
+ ImpR on Q and P
Q, P |- P
+ Axiom on P

```

The output is pretty-printed.

end

8 Soundness

```
theory Soundness imports Abstract-Soundness.Finite-Proof-Soundness Prover Semantics begin
```

lemma eff-sound:

```
assumes <eff r (A, B) = Some ss> < $\forall A B. (A, B) \in ss \longrightarrow (\forall (E :: - \Rightarrow 'a). sc(E, F, G) (A, B))$ >
shows <sc (E, F, G) (A, B)>
<proof>
```

```
interpretation Soundness < $\lambda r s ss. eff r s = Some ss$ > rules UNIV sc
<proof>
```

theorem prover-soundness:

```
assumes <tfinite t> and <wf t>
shows <sc (E, F, G) (fst (root t))>
<proof>
```

end

9 Completeness

```
theory Completeness imports Prover Semantics begin
```

9.1 Hintikka Counter Model

locale Hintikka =

```
fixes A B :: <fm set>
assumes
```

Basic: $\nexists P ts \in A \implies \nexists P ts \in B \implies False$ and

FlsA: $\perp \notin A$ and

ImpA: $p \longrightarrow q \in A \implies p \in B \vee q \in A$ and

ImpB: $p \longrightarrow q \in B \implies p \in A \wedge q \in B$ and

UniA: $\forall p \in A \implies \forall t. \langle t \rangle p \in A$ and

UniB: $\forall p \in B \implies \exists t. \langle t \rangle p \in B$

abbreviation $\langle M A \equiv [\#, \dagger, \lambda P ts. \ddot{\lambda} P ts \in A] \rangle$

lemma $id\text{-}tm$ [simp]: $\langle (\#, \dagger) t = t \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $size\text{-}sub\text{-}fm$ [simp]: $\langle size (sub\text{-}fm s p) = size p \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem Hintikka-counter-model:

assumes $\langle Hintikka A B \rangle$
shows $\langle (p \in A \longrightarrow M A p) \wedge (p \in B \longrightarrow \neg M A p) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.2 Escape Paths Form Hintikka Sets

lemma $sset\text{-}sdrop$: $\langle sset (sdrop n s) \subseteq sset s \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $epath\text{-}sdrop$: $\langle epath steps \implies epath (sdrop n steps) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $eff\text{-}preserves-Pre$:
assumes $\langle effStep ((A, B), r) ss \rangle \langle (A', B') \in ss \rangle$
shows $\langle (\ddot{\lambda} P ts [\in] A \implies \ddot{\lambda} P ts [\in] A') \rangle \langle \ddot{\lambda} P ts [\in] B \implies \ddot{\lambda} P ts [\in] B' \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $epath\text{-}eff$:
assumes $\langle epath steps \rangle \langle effStep (shd steps) ss \rangle$
shows $\langle fst (shd (stl steps)) \in ss \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $\langle lhs s \equiv fst (fst s) \rangle$

abbreviation $\langle rhs s \equiv snd (fst s) \rangle$

abbreviation $\langle lhsd s \equiv lhs (shd s) \rangle$

abbreviation $\langle rhsd s \equiv rhs (shd s) \rangle$

lemma $epath\text{-}Pre\text{-}sdrop$:

assumes $\langle epath steps \rangle$ **shows**
 $\langle \ddot{\lambda} P ts [\in] lhs (shd steps) \implies \ddot{\lambda} P ts [\in] lhsd (sdrop m steps) \rangle$
 $\langle \ddot{\lambda} P ts [\in] rhs (shd steps) \implies \ddot{\lambda} P ts [\in] rhsd (sdrop m steps) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Saturated\text{-}sdrop$:

assumes $\langle Saturated steps \rangle$
shows $\langle Saturated (sdrop n steps) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $treeA :: \langle (sequent \times rule) stream \Rightarrow fm set \rangle$ **where**
 $\langle treeA steps \equiv \bigcup s \in sset steps. set (lhs s) \rangle$

```

definition treeB :: <(sequent × rule) stream ⇒ fm set> where
  <treeB steps ≡ ∪s ∈ sset steps. set (rhs s)>

lemma treeA-snth: <p ∈ treeA steps ⇒ ∃n. p [∈] lhsd (sdrop n steps)>
  <proof>

lemma treeB-snth: <p ∈ treeB steps ⇒ ∃n. p [∈] rhsd (sdrop n steps)>
  <proof>

lemma treeA-sdrop: <treeA (sdrop n steps) ⊆ treeA steps>
  <proof>

lemma treeB-sdrop: <treeB (sdrop n steps) ⊆ treeB steps>
  <proof>

lemma enabled-ex-taken:
  assumes <epath steps> <Saturated steps> <enabled r (fst (shd steps))>
  shows <∃k. takenAtStep r (shd (sdrop k steps))>
  <proof>

lemma Hintikka-epath:
  assumes <epath steps> <Saturated steps>
  shows <Hintikka (treeA steps) (treeB steps)>
  <proof>

```

9.3 Completeness

```

lemma fair-stream-rules: <Fair-Stream.fair rules>
  <proof>

lemma fair-rules: <fair rules>
  <proof>

lemma epath-prover:
  fixes A B :: <fm list>
  defines <t ≡ prover (A, B)>
  shows <(fst (root t) = (A, B) ∧ wf t ∧ tfinite t) ∨
    (∃steps. fst (shd steps) = (A, B) ∧ epath steps ∧ Saturated steps)> (is <?A ∨
    ?B)>
  <proof>

lemma epath-countermodel:
  assumes <fst (shd steps) = (A, B)> <epath steps> <Saturated steps>
  shows <∃(E :: - ⇒ tm) F G. ¬ sc (E, F, G) (A, B)>
  <proof>

theorem prover-completeness:
  assumes <∀(E :: - ⇒ tm) F G. sc (E, F, G) (A, B)>

```

```

defines ‹ $t \equiv prover(A, B)$ ›
shows ‹ $\text{fst}(\text{root } t) = (A, B) \wedge \text{wf } t \wedge \text{tfinite } t$ ›
    ‹ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ ›

corollary
assumes ‹ $\forall (E :: - \Rightarrow \text{tm}) F G. \llbracket E, F, G \rrbracket p$ ›
defines ‹ $t \equiv prover([], [p])$ ›
shows ‹ $\text{fst}(\text{root } t) = ([], [p]) \wedge \text{wf } t \wedge \text{tfinite } t$ ›
    ‹ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ ›

end

```

10 Result

```

theory Result imports Soundness Completeness begin

theorem prover-soundness-completeness:
fixes  $A B :: \langle \text{fm list} \rangle$ 
defines ‹ $t \equiv prover(A, B)$ ›
shows ‹ $\text{tfinite } t \wedge \text{wf } t \longleftrightarrow (\forall (E :: - \Rightarrow \text{tm}) F G. \text{sc}(E, F, G)(A, B))$ ›
    ‹ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ ›

corollary
fixes  $p :: \text{fm}$ 
defines ‹ $t \equiv prover([], [p])$ ›
shows ‹ $\text{tfinite } t \wedge \text{wf } t \longleftrightarrow (\forall (E :: - \Rightarrow \text{tm}) F G. \llbracket E, F, G \rrbracket p)$ ›
    ‹ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ ›

end

```

References

- [1] J. C. Blanchette, A. Popescu, and D. Traytel. Abstract completeness. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Apr. 2014. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Abstract_Completeness.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] J. C. Blanchette, A. Popescu, and D. Traytel. Soundness and completeness proofs by coinductive methods. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 58(1):149–179, 2017.
- [3] A. H. From and F. K. Jacobsen. A sequent calculus prover for first-order logic with functions. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Jan. 2022. https://isa-afp.org/entries/FOL_Seq_Calc2.html, Formal proof development.