

# A Sequent Calculus for First-Order Logic

Asta Halkjær From

October 27, 2022

## Abstract

This work formalizes soundness and completeness of a one-sided sequent calculus for first-order logic. The completeness is shown via a translation from a complete semantic tableau calculus, the proof of which is based on the First-Order Logic According to Fitting theory. The calculi and proof techniques are taken from Ben-Ari's Mathematical Logic for Computer Science [1].

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Common Notation</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Tableau Calculus</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Soundness . . . . .	3
2.2	Completeness for Closed Formulas . . . . .	3
2.3	Open Formulas . . . . .	3
2.4	Completeness . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Sequent Calculus</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Soundness . . . . .	7
3.2	Tableau Calculus Equivalence . . . . .	7
3.3	Completeness . . . . .	7
<b>4</b>	<b>Completeness Revisited</b>	<b>7</b>

# 1 Common Notation

**theory** *Common* **imports** *FOL-Fitting.FOL-Fitting* **begin**

**notation** *FF* ( $\langle \perp \rangle$ )

**notation** *TT* ( $\langle \top \rangle$ )

**end**

# 2 Tableau Calculus

**theory** *Tableau* **imports** *Common* **begin**

**inductive** *TC* ::  $\langle ('a, 'b) \text{ form list} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  ( $\langle \neg \rightarrow 0 \rangle$ ) **where**

*Basic*:  $\langle \neg \text{Pred } i \ l \ \# \ \text{Neg } (\text{Pred } i \ l) \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *BasicFF*:  $\langle \neg \perp \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *BasicNegTT*:  $\langle \neg \text{Neg } \top \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *AlphaNegNeg*:  $\langle \neg A \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg \text{Neg } (\text{Neg } A) \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *AlphaAnd*:  $\langle \neg A \ \# \ B \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg \text{And } A \ B \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *AlphaNegOr*:  $\langle \neg \text{Neg } A \ \# \ \text{Neg } B \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg \text{Neg } (\text{Or } A \ B) \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *AlphaNegImpl*:  $\langle \neg A \ \# \ \text{Neg } B \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg \text{Neg } (\text{Impl } A \ B) \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *BetaNegAnd*:  $\langle \neg \text{Neg } A \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg \text{Neg } B \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg \text{Neg } (\text{And } A \ B) \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *BetaOr*:  $\langle \neg A \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg B \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg \text{Or } A \ B \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *BetaImpl*:  $\langle \neg \text{Neg } A \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg B \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg \text{Impl } A \ B \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *GammaForall*:  $\langle \neg \text{subst } A \ t \ 0 \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg \text{Forall } A \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *GammaNegExists*:  $\langle \neg \text{Neg } (\text{subst } A \ t \ 0) \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg \text{Neg } (\text{Exists } A) \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *DeltaExists*:  $\langle \neg \text{subst } A \ (\text{App } n \ []) \ 0 \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \text{news } n \ (A \ \# \ G) \Longrightarrow \neg \text{Exists } A \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *DeltaNegForall*:  $\langle \neg \text{Neg } (\text{subst } A \ (\text{App } n \ []) \ 0) \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \text{news } n \ (A \ \# \ G) \Longrightarrow \neg \text{Neg } (\text{Forall } A) \ \# \ G \rangle$

| *Order*:  $\langle \neg G \Longrightarrow \text{set } G = \text{set } G' \Longrightarrow \neg G' \rangle$

**lemma** *Shift*:  $\langle \neg \text{rotate1 } G \Longrightarrow \neg G \rangle$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Swap*:  $\langle \neg B \ \# \ A \ \# \ G \Longrightarrow \neg A \ \# \ B \ \# \ G \rangle$

*<proof>*

**definition** *tableauproof* ::  $\langle ('a, 'b) \text{ form list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ form} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  **where**

*<tableauproof ps p  $\equiv$  ( $\neg \text{Neg } p \ \# \ ps$ )>*

**theorem** *tableauNotAA*:  $\langle \neg [\text{Neg } (\text{Pred } "A" \ []), \text{Pred } "A" \ []] \rangle$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *AndAnd*:

$\langle \neg [\text{And } (\text{Pred } "A" \ []) \ (\text{Pred } "B" \ []), \text{Neg } (\text{And } (\text{Pred } "B" \ []) \ (\text{Pred } "A" \ []))] \rangle$

*<proof>*

## 2.1 Soundness

**lemma** *TC-soundness*:

$\langle \neg G \implies \exists p \in \text{set } G. \neg \text{eval } e \text{ f } g \text{ p} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *tableau-soundness*:

$\langle \text{tableauproof } ps \text{ p} \implies \text{list-all } (\text{eval } e \text{ f } g) \text{ ps} \implies \text{eval } e \text{ f } g \text{ p} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.2 Completeness for Closed Formulas

**theorem** *infinite-nonempty*:  $\langle \text{infinite } A \implies \exists x. x \in A \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *TCd-consistency*:

**assumes** *inf-param*:  $\langle \text{infinite } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set}) \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \text{consistency } \{S :: ('a, 'b) \text{ form set}. \exists G. S = \text{set } G \wedge \neg (\neg G)\} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *tableau-completeness'*:

**fixes**  $p :: \langle (\text{nat}, \text{nat}) \text{ form} \rangle$   
**assumes**  $\langle \text{closed } 0 \text{ p} \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle \text{list-all } (\text{closed } 0) \text{ ps} \rangle$   
**and** *mod*:  $\langle \forall (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat hterm}) \text{ f } g. \text{list-all } (\text{eval } e \text{ f } g) \text{ ps} \longrightarrow \text{eval } e \text{ f } g \text{ p} \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \text{tableauproof } ps \text{ p} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.3 Open Formulas

**lemma** *TC-psubst*:

**fixes**  $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$   
**assumes** *inf-params*:  $\langle \text{infinite } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set}) \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \neg G \implies \neg \text{map } (\text{psubst } f) \text{ G} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *subcs-map*:  $\langle \text{subcs } c \text{ s } G = \text{map } (\text{subc } c \text{ s}) \text{ G} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *TC-subcs*:

**fixes**  $G :: \langle ('a, 'b) \text{ form list} \rangle$   
**assumes** *inf-params*:  $\langle \text{infinite } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set}) \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \neg G \implies \neg \text{subcs } c \text{ s } G \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *TC-map-subc*:

**fixes**  $G :: \langle ('a, 'b) \text{ form list} \rangle$   
**assumes** *inf-params*:  $\langle \text{infinite } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set}) \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \neg G \implies \neg \text{map } (\text{subc } c \text{ s}) \text{ G} \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ex-all-closed*:  $\langle \exists m. \text{list-all } (\text{closed } m) \ G \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**primrec** *sub-consts* ::  $\langle 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ form} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ form} \rangle$  **where**  
 $\langle \text{sub-consts } [] \ p = p \rangle$   
 $| \langle \text{sub-consts } (c \# cs) \ p = \text{sub-consts } cs \ (\text{subst } p \ (\text{App } c \ [])) \ (\text{length } cs) \rangle$

**lemma** *valid-sub-consts*:  
**assumes**  $\langle \forall (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ f \ g. \text{eval } e \ f \ g \ p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \text{eval } (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ f \ g \ (\text{sub-consts } cs \ p) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closed-sub' [simp]*:  
**assumes**  $\langle k \leq m \rangle$  **shows**  
 $\langle \text{closedt } (\text{Suc } m) \ t = \text{closedt } m \ (\text{substt } t \ (\text{App } c \ [])) \ k \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{closedts } (\text{Suc } m) \ l = \text{closedts } m \ (\text{substts } l \ (\text{App } c \ [])) \ k \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closed-sub*:  $\langle k \leq m \implies \text{closed } (\text{Suc } m) \ p = \text{closed } m \ (\text{subst } p \ (\text{App } c \ [])) \ k \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *closed-sub-consts*:  $\langle \text{length } cs = k \implies \text{closed } m \ (\text{sub-consts } cs \ p) = \text{closed } (m + k) \ p \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-sub-consts-Nil*:  $\langle \text{map } (\text{sub-consts } []) \ G = G \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**primrec** *conjoin* ::  $\langle ('a, 'b) \text{ form list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ form} \rangle$  **where**  
 $\langle \text{conjoin } [] = \text{Neg } \perp \rangle$   
 $| \langle \text{conjoin } (p \# ps) = \text{And } p \ (\text{conjoin } ps) \rangle$

**lemma** *eval-conjoin*:  $\langle \text{list-all } (\text{eval } e \ f \ g) \ G = \text{eval } e \ f \ g \ (\text{conjoin } G) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *valid-sub*:  
**fixes**  $e :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$   
**assumes**  $\langle \forall (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ f \ g. \text{eval } e \ f \ g \ p \longrightarrow \text{eval } e \ f \ g \ q \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \text{eval } e \ f \ g \ (\text{subst } p \ t \ m) \longrightarrow \text{eval } e \ f \ g \ (\text{subst } q \ t \ m) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *eval-sub-consts*:  
**fixes**  $e :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$   
**assumes**  $\langle \forall (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \ f \ g. \text{eval } e \ f \ g \ p \longrightarrow \text{eval } e \ f \ g \ q \rangle$   
**and**  $\langle \text{eval } e \ f \ g \ (\text{sub-consts } cs \ p) \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \text{eval } e \ f \ g \ (\text{sub-consts } cs \ q) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sub-consts-And* [*simp*]:  $\langle \text{sub-consts } cs \text{ (And } p \text{ } q) = \text{And (sub-consts } cs \text{ } p) \text{ (sub-consts } cs \text{ } q) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sub-consts-conjoin*:  
 $\langle \text{eval } e \text{ } f \text{ } g \text{ (sub-consts } cs \text{ (conjoin } G)) = \text{eval } e \text{ } f \text{ } g \text{ (conjoin (map (sub-consts } cs) \text{ } G)) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *all-sub-consts-conjoin*:  
 $\langle \text{list-all (eval } e \text{ } f \text{ } g) \text{ (map (sub-consts } cs) \text{ } G) = \text{eval } e \text{ } f \text{ } g \text{ (sub-consts } cs \text{ (conjoin } G)) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *valid-all-sub-consts*:  
**fixes**  $e :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$   
**assumes**  $\langle \forall (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ } f \text{ } g. \text{list-all (eval } e \text{ } f \text{ } g) \text{ } G \longrightarrow \text{eval } e \text{ } f \text{ } g \text{ } p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \text{list-all (eval } e \text{ } f \text{ } g) \text{ (map (sub-consts } cs) \text{ } G) \longrightarrow \text{eval } e \text{ } f \text{ } g \text{ (sub-consts } cs \text{ } p) \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *TC-vars-for-consts*:  
**fixes**  $G :: \langle ('a, 'b) \text{ form list} \rangle$   
**assumes**  $\langle \text{infinite (UNIV :: 'a set)} \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \neg G \Longrightarrow \neg \text{map } (\lambda p. \text{vars-for-consts } p \text{ } cs) \text{ } G \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *vars-for-consts-sub-consts*:  
 $\langle \text{closed (length } cs) \text{ } p \Longrightarrow \text{list-all } (\lambda c. \text{new } c \text{ } p) \text{ } cs \Longrightarrow \text{distinct } cs \Longrightarrow$   
 $\text{vars-for-consts (sub-consts } cs \text{ } p) \text{ } cs = p \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *all-vars-for-consts-sub-consts*:  
 $\langle \text{list-all (closed (length } cs)) \text{ } G \Longrightarrow \text{list-all } (\lambda c. \text{list-all (new } c) \text{ } G) \text{ } cs \Longrightarrow \text{distinct}$   
 $cs \Longrightarrow$   
 $\text{map } (\lambda p. \text{vars-for-consts } p \text{ } cs) \text{ (map (sub-consts } cs) \text{ } G) = G \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *new-conjoin*:  $\langle \text{new } c \text{ (conjoin } G) \Longrightarrow \text{list-all (new } c) \text{ } G \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *all-fresh-constants*:  
**fixes**  $G :: \langle ('a, 'b) \text{ form list} \rangle$   
**assumes**  $\langle \text{infinite (UNIV :: 'a set)} \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \exists cs. \text{length } cs = m \wedge \text{list-all } (\lambda c. \text{list-all (new } c) \text{ } G) \text{ } cs \wedge \text{distinct } cs \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *sub-consts-Neg*:  $\langle \text{sub-consts } cs \text{ (Neg } p) = \text{Neg (sub-consts } cs \text{ } p) \rangle$

*<proof>*

## 2.4 Completeness

**theorem** *tableau-completeness*:

**fixes**  $G :: \langle (nat, nat) \text{ form list} \rangle$

**assumes**  $\langle \forall (e :: nat \Rightarrow nat \text{ hterm}) f g. \text{list-all } (eval\ e\ f\ g)\ G \longrightarrow eval\ e\ f\ g\ p \rangle$

**shows**  $\langle \text{tableauproof } G\ p \rangle$

*<proof>*

**corollary**

**fixes**  $p :: \langle (nat, nat) \text{ form} \rangle$

**assumes**  $\langle \forall (e :: nat \Rightarrow nat \text{ hterm}) f g. eval\ e\ f\ g\ p \rangle$

**shows**  $\langle \neg [Neg\ p] \rangle$

*<proof>*

**end**

## 3 Sequent Calculus

**theory** *Sequent* **imports** *Tableau* **begin**

**inductive**  $SC :: \langle ('a, 'b) \text{ form list} \Rightarrow bool \rangle (\langle \vdash \rightarrow 0 \rangle)$  **where**

*Basic*:  $\langle \vdash \text{Pred } i\ l \# Neg\ (\text{Pred } i\ l) \# G \rangle$

| *BasicNegFF*:  $\langle \vdash Neg\ \perp \# G \rangle$

| *BasicTT*:  $\langle \vdash \top \# G \rangle$

| *AlphaNegNeg*:  $\langle \vdash A \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash Neg\ (Neg\ A) \# G \rangle$

| *AlphaNegAnd*:  $\langle \vdash Neg\ A \# Neg\ B \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash Neg\ (\text{And } A\ B) \# G \rangle$

| *AlphaOr*:  $\langle \vdash A \# B \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash Or\ A\ B \# G \rangle$

| *AlphaImpl*:  $\langle \vdash Neg\ A \# B \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash Impl\ A\ B \# G \rangle$

| *BetaAnd*:  $\langle \vdash A \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash B \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash \text{And } A\ B \# G \rangle$

| *BetaNegOr*:  $\langle \vdash Neg\ A \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash Neg\ B \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash Neg\ (\text{Or } A\ B) \# G \rangle$

| *BetaNegImpl*:  $\langle \vdash A \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash Neg\ B \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash Neg\ (\text{Impl } A\ B) \# G \rangle$

| *GammaExists*:  $\langle \vdash \text{subst } A\ t\ 0 \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash \text{Exists } A \# G \rangle$

| *GammaNegForall*:  $\langle \vdash Neg\ (\text{subst } A\ t\ 0) \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash Neg\ (\text{Forall } A) \# G \rangle$

| *DeltaForall*:  $\langle \vdash \text{subst } A\ (\text{App } n\ [])\ 0 \# G \Longrightarrow \text{news } n\ (A \# G) \Longrightarrow \vdash \text{Forall } A \# G \rangle$

| *DeltaNegExists*:  $\langle \vdash Neg\ (\text{subst } A\ (\text{App } n\ [])\ 0) \# G \Longrightarrow \text{news } n\ (A \# G) \Longrightarrow \vdash Neg\ (\text{Exists } A) \# G \rangle$

| *Order*:  $\langle \vdash G \Longrightarrow \text{set } G = \text{set } G' \Longrightarrow \vdash G' \rangle$

**lemma** *Shift*:  $\langle \vdash \text{rotate1 } G \Longrightarrow \vdash G \rangle$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *Swap*:  $\langle \vdash B \# A \# G \Longrightarrow \vdash A \# B \# G \rangle$

*<proof>*

**lemma**  $\langle \vdash [Neg\ (\text{Pred } "A" \ []), \text{Pred } "A" \ []] \rangle$

*<proof>*

**lemma**  $\vdash [And (Pred "A" []) (Pred "B" []), Neg (And (Pred "B" []) (Pred "A" []))]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.1 Soundness

**lemma** *SC-soundness*:  $\vdash G \implies \exists p \in set G. eval e f g p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.2 Tableau Calculus Equivalence

**fun** *compl* ::  $\langle ('a, 'b) form \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) form \rangle$  **where**  
 $\langle compl (Neg p) = p \rangle$   
 $| \langle compl p = Neg p \rangle$

**lemma** *compl*:  $\langle compl p = Neg p \vee (\exists q. compl p = q \wedge p = Neg q) \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *new-compl*:  $\langle new n p \implies new n (compl p) \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *news-compl*:  $\langle news n G \implies news n (map compl G) \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *TC-SC*:  $\langle \vdash G \implies \vdash map compl G \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.3 Completeness

**theorem** *SC-completeness*:  
**fixes**  $p :: \langle (nat, nat) form \rangle$   
**assumes**  $\langle \forall (e :: nat \Rightarrow nat hterm) f g. list-all (eval e f g) ps \longrightarrow eval e f g p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \vdash p \# map compl ps \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary**  
**fixes**  $p :: \langle (nat, nat) form \rangle$   
**assumes**  $\langle \forall (e :: nat \Rightarrow nat hterm) f g. eval e f g p \rangle$   
**shows**  $\langle \vdash [p] \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**  
**theory** *Sequent2* **imports** *Sequent* **begin**

## 4 Completeness Revisited

**lemma**  $\langle \exists p. q = compl p \rangle$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *compl'* where

$\langle \text{compl}' = (\lambda q. (\text{SOME } p. q = \text{compl } p)) \rangle$

**lemma** *comp'-sem*:

$\langle \text{eval } e \text{ f } g (\text{compl}' p) \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{eval } e \text{ f } g p \rangle$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *comp'-sem-list*:  $\langle \text{list-ex } (\lambda p. \neg \text{eval } e \text{ f } g p) (\text{map } \text{compl}' ps) \longleftrightarrow \text{list-ex } (\text{eval } e \text{ f } g) ps \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *SC-completeness'*:

**fixes**  $ps :: \langle (\text{nat}, \text{nat}) \text{ form list} \rangle$

**assumes**  $\langle \forall (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat hterm}) f g. \text{list-ex } (\text{eval } e \text{ f } g) (p \# ps) \rangle$

**shows**  $\langle \vdash p \# ps \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**corollary**

**fixes**  $ps :: \langle (\text{nat}, \text{nat}) \text{ form list} \rangle$

**assumes**  $\langle \forall (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat hterm}) f g. \text{list-ex } (\text{eval } e \text{ f } g) ps \rangle$

**shows**  $\langle \vdash ps \rangle$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

## References

- [1] M. Ben-Ari. *Mathematical Logic for Computer Science, 3rd Edition*. Springer, 2012.