

A Sequent Calculus for First-Order Logic

Asta Halkjær From

March 17, 2025

Abstract

This work formalizes soundness and completeness of a one-sided sequent calculus for first-order logic. The completeness is shown via a translation from a complete semantic tableau calculus, the proof of which is based on the First-Order Logic According to Fitting theory. The calculi and proof techniques are taken from Ben-Ari's Mathematical Logic for Computer Science [1].

Contents

1	Common Notation	2
2	Tableau Calculus	2
2.1	Soundness	3
2.2	Completeness for Closed Formulas	3
2.3	Open Formulas	3
2.4	Completeness	6
3	Sequent Calculus	6
3.1	Soundness	7
3.2	Tableau Calculus Equivalence	7
3.3	Completeness	7
4	Completeness Revisited	7

1 Common Notation

```
theory Common imports FOL-Fitting.FOL-Fitting begin

  notation FF (<⊥>)
  notation TT (<⊤>)

end
```

2 Tableau Calculus

```
theory Tableau imports Common begin

  inductive TC :: <'a, 'b) form list ⇒ bool> (<¬ -> 0) where
    Basic: ¬ Pred i l # Neg (Pred i l) # G
  | BasicFF: ¬ ⊥ # G
  | BasicNegTT: ¬ Neg ⊤ # G
  | AlphaNegNeg: ¬ A # G ⇒ ¬ Neg (Neg A) # G
  | AlphaAnd: ¬ A # B # G ⇒ ¬ And A B # G
  | AlphaNegOr: ¬ Neg A # Neg B # G ⇒ ¬ Neg (Or A B) # G
  | AlphaNegImpl: ¬ A # Neg B # G ⇒ ¬ Neg (Impl A B) # G
  | BetaNegAnd: ¬ Neg A # G ⇒ ¬ Neg B # G ⇒ ¬ Neg (And A B) # G
  | BetaOr: ¬ A # G ⇒ ¬ B # G ⇒ ¬ Or A B # G
  | BetaImpl: ¬ Neg A # G ⇒ ¬ B # G ⇒ ¬ Impl A B # G
  | GammaForall: ¬ subst A t 0 # G ⇒ ¬ Forall A # G
  | GammaNegExists: ¬ Neg (subst A t 0) # G ⇒ ¬ Neg (Exists A) # G
  | DeltaExists: ¬ subst A (App n []) 0 # G ⇒ news n (A # G) ⇒ ¬ Exists A
  # G
  | DeltaNegForall: ¬ Neg (subst A (App n []) 0) # G ⇒ news n (A # G) ⇒ ¬
  Neg (Forall A) # G
  | Order: ¬ G ⇒ set G = set G' ⇒ ¬ G'

  lemma Shift: ¬ rotate1 G ⇒ ¬ G
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma Swap: ¬ B # A # G ⇒ ¬ A # B # G
  ⟨proof⟩

  definition tableauaproof :: <'a, 'b) form list ⇒ ('a, 'b) form ⇒ bool> where
    tableauaproof ps p ≡ (¬ Neg p # ps)

  theorem tableauNotAA: ¬ [Neg (Pred "A" []), Pred "A" []]
  ⟨proof⟩

  theorem AndAnd:
    ¬ [And (Pred "A" []) (Pred "B" []), Neg (And (Pred "B" []) (Pred "A" []))]
  ⟨proof⟩
```

2.1 Soundness

lemma *TC-soundness*:
 $\neg \vdash G \implies \exists p \in \text{set } G. \neg \text{eval } e f g p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *tableau-soundness*:
 $\langle \text{tableaproof } ps \ p \implies \text{list-all } (\text{eval } e f g) \ ps \implies \text{eval } e f g p \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 Completeness for Closed Formulas

theorem *infinite-nonempty*: $\langle \text{infinite } A \implies \exists x. x \in A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *TCd-consistency*:
assumes *inf-param*: $\langle \text{infinite } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set}) \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{consistency } \{S :: ('a, 'b) \text{ form set}. \exists G. S = \text{set } G \wedge \neg (\dashv G)\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *tableau-completeness'*:
fixes $p :: \langle (\text{nat}, \text{nat}) \text{ form} \rangle$
assumes $\langle \text{closed } 0 \ p \rangle$
and $\langle \text{list-all } (\text{closed } 0) \ ps \rangle$
and $\text{mod}: \langle \forall (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat hterm}) f g. \text{list-all } (\text{eval } e f g) \ ps \longrightarrow \text{eval } e f g p \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{tableaproof } ps \ p \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 Open Formulas

lemma *TC-psubst*:
fixes $f :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$
assumes *inf-params*: $\langle \text{infinite } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set}) \rangle$
shows $\langle \dashv G \implies \dashv \text{map } (\text{psubst } f) \ G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subcs-map*: $\langle \text{subcs } c s \ G = \text{map } (\text{subc } c s) \ G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *TC-subcs*:
fixes $G :: \langle ('a, 'b) \text{ form list} \rangle$
assumes *inf-params*: $\langle \text{infinite } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set}) \rangle$
shows $\langle \dashv G \implies \dashv \text{subcs } c s \ G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *TC-map-subc*:
fixes $G :: \langle ('a, 'b) \text{ form list} \rangle$
assumes *inf-params*: $\langle \text{infinite } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a \text{ set}) \rangle$
shows $\langle \dashv G \implies \dashv \text{map } (\text{subc } c s) \ G \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma ex-all-closed:  $\langle \exists m. \text{list-all}(\text{closed } m) G \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

primrec sub-consts ::  $\langle 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ form} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ form} \rangle$  where  

 $\langle \text{sub-consts } [] p = p \rangle$   

 $| \langle \text{sub-consts } (c \# cs) p = \text{sub-consts } cs (\text{subst } p (\text{App } c []) (\text{length } cs)) \rangle$ 

lemma valid-sub-consts:  

assumes  $\forall (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) f g. \text{eval } e f g p$   

shows  $\langle \text{eval } (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) f g (\text{sub-consts } cs p) \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma closed-sub' [simp]:  

assumes  $\langle k \leq m \rangle$  shows  

 $\langle \text{closedt } (\text{Suc } m) t = \text{closedt } m (\text{substt } t (\text{App } c []) k) \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{closedts } (\text{Suc } m) l = \text{closedts } m (\text{substts } l (\text{App } c []) k) \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma closed-sub:  $\langle k \leq m \implies \text{closed } (\text{Suc } m) p = \text{closed } m (\text{subst } p (\text{App } c []) k) \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma closed-sub-consts:  $\langle \text{length } cs = k \implies \text{closed } m (\text{sub-consts } cs p) = \text{closed } (m + k) p \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma map-sub-consts-Nil:  $\langle \text{map } (\text{sub-consts } []) G = G \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

primrec conjoin ::  $\langle ('a, 'b) \text{ form list} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ form} \rangle$  where  

 $\langle \text{conjoin } [] = \text{Neg } \perp \rangle$   

 $| \langle \text{conjoin } (p \# ps) = \text{And } p (\text{conjoin } ps) \rangle$ 

lemma eval-conjoin:  $\langle \text{list-all } (\text{eval } e f g) G = \text{eval } e f g (\text{conjoin } G) \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma valid-sub:  

fixes  $e :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$   

assumes  $\forall (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) f g. \text{eval } e f g p \longrightarrow \text{eval } e f g q$   

shows  $\langle \text{eval } e f g (\text{subst } p t m) \longrightarrow \text{eval } e f g (\text{subst } q t m) \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma eval-sub-consts:  

fixes  $e :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$   

assumes  $\forall (e :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) f g. \text{eval } e f g p \longrightarrow \text{eval } e f g q$   

and  $\langle \text{eval } e f g (\text{sub-consts } cs p) \rangle$   

shows  $\langle \text{eval } e f g (\text{sub-consts } cs q) \rangle$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sub-consts-And [simp]: <sub-consts cs (And p q) = And (sub-consts cs p)
(sub-consts cs q)>
⟨proof⟩

lemma sub-consts-conjoin:
<eval e f g (sub-consts cs (conjoin G)) = eval e f g (conjoin (map (sub-consts cs)
G))>
⟨proof⟩

lemma all-sub-consts-conjoin:
<list-all (eval e f g) (map (sub-consts cs) G) = eval e f g (sub-consts cs (conjoin
G))>
⟨proof⟩

lemma valid-all-sub-consts:
fixes e :: <nat ⇒ 'a>
assumes <∀(e :: nat ⇒ 'a) f g. list-all (eval e f g) G → eval e f g p>
shows <list-all (eval e f g) (map (sub-consts cs) G) → eval e f g (sub-consts cs
p)>
⟨proof⟩

lemma TC-vars-for-consts:
fixes G :: <('a, 'b) form list>
assumes <infinite (UNIV :: 'a set)>
shows <¬ G ⇒ ¬ map (λp. vars-for-consts p cs) G>
⟨proof⟩

lemma vars-for-consts-sub-consts:
<closed (length cs) p ⇒ list-all (λc. new c p) cs ⇒ distinct cs ⇒
vars-for-consts (sub-consts cs p) cs = p>
⟨proof⟩

lemma all-vars-for-consts-sub-consts:
<list-all (closed (length cs)) G ⇒ list-all (λc. list-all (new c) G) cs ⇒ distinct
cs ⇒
map (λp. vars-for-consts p cs) (map (sub-consts cs) G) = G>
⟨proof⟩

lemma new-conjoin: <new c (conjoin G) ⇒ list-all (new c) G>
⟨proof⟩

lemma all-fresh-constants:
fixes G :: <('a, 'b) form list>
assumes <infinite (UNIV :: 'a set)>
shows <∃cs. length cs = m ∧ list-all (λc. list-all (new c) G) cs ∧ distinct cs>
⟨proof⟩

lemma sub-consts-Neg: <sub-consts cs (Neg p) = Neg (sub-consts cs p)>
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.4 Completeness

```

theorem tableau-completeness:
  fixes G :: <(nat, nat) form list>
  assumes < $\forall (e :: nat \Rightarrow nat \text{ hterm}) f g. \text{list-all } (\text{eval } e f g) G \longrightarrow \text{eval } e f g p$ >
  shows <tableauproof G p>
  <proof>

corollary
  fixes p :: <(nat, nat) form>
  assumes < $\forall (e :: nat \Rightarrow nat \text{ hterm}) f g. \text{eval } e f g p$ >
  shows < $\dashv [\text{Neg } p]$ >
  <proof>

end

```

3 Sequent Calculus

```

theory Sequent imports Tableau begin

inductive SC :: <('a, 'b) form list  $\Rightarrow$  bool> ( $\dashv \dashv 0$ ) where
  Basic:  $\dashv \text{Pred } i l \# \text{Neg } (\text{Pred } i l) \# G$ 
  | BasicNegFF:  $\dashv \text{Neg } \perp \# G$ 
  | BasicTT:  $\dashv \top \# G$ 
  | AlphaNegNeg:  $\dashv A \# G \implies \dashv \text{Neg } (\text{Neg } A) \# G$ 
  | AlphaNegAnd:  $\dashv \text{Neg } A \# \text{Neg } B \# G \implies \dashv \text{Neg } (\text{And } A B) \# G$ 
  | AlphaOr:  $\dashv A \# B \# G \implies \dashv \text{Or } A B \# G$ 
  | AlphaImpl:  $\dashv \text{Neg } A \# B \# G \implies \dashv \text{Impl } A B \# G$ 
  | BetaAnd:  $\dashv A \# G \implies \dashv B \# G \implies \dashv \text{And } A B \# G$ 
  | BetaNegOr:  $\dashv \text{Neg } A \# G \implies \dashv \text{Neg } B \# G \implies \dashv \text{Neg } (\text{Or } A B) \# G$ 
  | BetaNegImpl:  $\dashv A \# G \implies \dashv \text{Neg } B \# G \implies \dashv \text{Neg } (\text{Impl } A B) \# G$ 
  | GammaExists:  $\dashv \text{subst } A t 0 \# G \implies \dashv \text{Exists } A \# G$ 
  | GammaNegForall:  $\dashv \text{Neg } (\text{subst } A t 0) \# G \implies \dashv \text{Neg } (\text{Forall } A) \# G$ 
  | DeltaForall:  $\dashv \text{subst } A (\text{App } n []) 0 \# G \implies \text{news } n (A \# G) \implies \dashv \text{Forall } A \# G$ 
  | DeltaNegExists:  $\dashv \text{Neg } (\text{subst } A (\text{App } n [])) 0 \# G \implies \text{news } n (A \# G) \implies \dashv \text{Neg } (\text{Exists } A) \# G$ 
  | Order:  $\dashv G \implies \text{set } G = \text{set } G' \implies \dashv G'$ 

lemma Shift:  $\dashv \text{rotate1 } G \implies \dashv G$ 
  <proof>

lemma Swap:  $\dashv B \# A \# G \implies \dashv A \# B \# G$ 
  <proof>

lemma Neg:  $\dashv [\text{Neg } (\text{Pred } "A" []), \text{Pred } "A" []]$ 
  <proof>

```

```
lemma ⊢ [And (Pred "A" []) (Pred "B" []), Neg (And (Pred "B" []) (Pred "A" []))]  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

3.1 Soundness

```
lemma SC-soundness: ⊢ G ==> ∃ p ∈ set G. eval e f g p  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

3.2 Tableau Calculus Equivalence

```
fun compl :: ⟨('a, 'b) form ⇒ ('a, 'b) form⟩ where  

  ⟨compl (Neg p) = p⟩  

  | ⟨compl p = Neg p⟩
```

```
lemma compl: ⟨compl p = Neg p ∨ (∃ q. compl p = q ∧ p = Neg q)⟩  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma new-compl: ⟨new n p ==> new n (compl p)⟩  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma news-compl: ⟨news n G ==> news n (map compl G)⟩  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
theorem TC-SC: ⊢ G ==> ⊢ map compl G  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

3.3 Completeness

```
theorem SC-completeness:  

  fixes p :: ⟨(nat, nat) form⟩  

  assumes ∀(e :: nat ⇒ nat hterm) f g. list-all (eval e f g) ps → eval e f g p  

  shows ⊢ p # map compl ps  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
corollary  

  fixes p :: ⟨(nat, nat) form⟩  

  assumes ∀(e :: nat ⇒ nat hterm) f g. eval e f g p  

  shows ⊢ [p]  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end  

theory Sequent2 imports Sequent begin
```

4 Completeness Revisited

```
lemma ∃ p. q = compl p  

  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

definition compl' where
  ⟨compl' = (λq. (SOME p. q = compl p))⟩

lemma comp'-sem:
  ⟨eval e f g (compl' p) ⟷ ¬ eval e f g p⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma comp'-sem-list: ⟨list-ex (λp. ¬ eval e f g p) (map compl' ps) ⟷ list-ex
  (eval e f g) ps⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem SC-completeness':
  fixes ps :: ⟨(nat, nat) form list⟩
  assumes ⟨∀(e :: nat ⇒ nat hterm) f g. list-ex (eval e f g) (p # ps)⟩
  shows ⟨⊤ p # ps⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary
  fixes ps :: ⟨(nat, nat) form list⟩
  assumes ⟨∀(e :: nat ⇒ nat hterm) f g. list-ex (eval e f g) ps⟩
  shows ⟨⊤ ps⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

References

- [1] M. Ben-Ari. *Mathematical Logic for Computer Science, 3rd Edition.*
Springer, 2012.