

Expander Graphs

Emin Karayel

March 17, 2025

Abstract

Expander Graphs are low-degree graphs that are highly connected. They have diverse applications, for example in derandomization and pseudo-randomness, error-correcting codes, as well as pure mathematical subjects such as metric embeddings. This entry formalizes the concept and derives main theorems about them such as Cheeger's inequality or tail bounds on distribution of random walks on them. It includes a strongly explicit construction for every size and spectral gap. The latter is based on the Margulis-Gabber-Galil graphs and several graph operations that preserve spectral properties. The proofs are based on the survey papers/monographs by Hoory et al. [4] and Vadhan [11], as well as results from Impagliazzo and Kabanets [5] and Murtagh et al. [9]

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminary Results	2
2.1	Constructive Chernoff Bound	2
2.2	Congruence Method	4
2.3	Multisets	5
3	Definitions	7
4	Setup for Types to Sets	13
5	Algebra-only Theorems	15
6	Spectral Theory	20
7	Cheeger Inequality	27
8	Margulis Gabber Galil Construction	29
9	Random Walks	35
10	Graph Powers	38
11	Strongly Explicit Expander Graphs	41
12	Expander Walks as Pseudorandom Objects	45

1 Introduction

A good introduction into Expander Graphs can be found in the survey article by Hoory et al. [4]: An expander graph is an infinite family of undirected regular graphs¹ with increasing sizes, but constant degrees, all fulfilling a non-trivial expansion condition consistently. Most common are the following expansion conditions:

- One-sided spectral expansion – an upper-bound on the second largest eigenvalue λ_2 of the adjacency matrix,
- Two-sided spectral expansion – an upper-bound on the absolute value of both λ_2 and λ_n the smallest eigenvalue,
- Edge expansion – a lower-bound on the relative count of edges between any subset and its complement.

There are various implications between the three types of families, most notably the Cheeger inequality, which relates edge-expansion to (one-sided) spectral expansion. (Section 7)

This entry formalizes

- definitions for the expansion conditions, as well as proofs for the relations between them,
- a construction and proofs of spectral expansion of the Margulis-Gabber-Galil expander (Section 8), and
- proofs of how expansion-properties are affected by graph operations (Sections 10 and 11).

And concludes with a construction of strongly explicit expanders for every size and spectral gap with asymptotically optimal degree (Section 11).

It also includes a proof of the hitting property, i.e., tail-bounds for the probability that a random walk in an expander graph remains inside a given subset, as well as Chernoff-type bounds on the number of times a given subset will be hit by a random walk. (Section 9)

The basis for the graph theory relies on the formalization by Lars Noschinski [10]. Most of the algebraic development is carried out in the type-based formalization of linear algebra in “HOL-Analysis”. To achieve that I have transferred some results from the set based world into the type-based world - most notably unified diagonalization of commuting hermitian matrices by Echenim [2] (Section 6). The transfer happens using the pre-existing framework by Divasón et al. [1].

On the otherhand, results that are obtained using the stochastic matrix, but do not explicitly reference it are transferred back into purely graph-theoretic theorems using the Types-To-Sets mechanism by Kuncăr and Popescu [7] (Section 4), i.e., the stochastic matrix is defined using a local type (isomorphic to the vertex set.)

2 Preliminary Results

2.1 Constructive Chernoff Bound

This section formalizes Theorem 5 by Impagliazzo and Kabanets [5]. It is a general result with which Chernoff-type tail bounds for various kinds of weakly dependent random variables can be obtained. The results here are general and will be applied in Section 9 to random walks in expander graphs.

```
theory Constructive-Chernoff-Bound
imports
  HOL-Probability.Probability-Measure
  Universal-Hash-Families.Universal-Hash-Families-More-Product-PMF
  Weighted-Arithmetic-Geometric-Mean.Weighted-Arithmetic-Geometric-Mean
begin

lemma powr-mono-rev:
  fixes x :: real
```

¹A graph is regular if every node has the same degree.

```

assumes  $a \leq b$  and  $x > 0$   $x \leq 1$ 
shows  $x \text{ powr } b \leq x \text{ powr } a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma exp-powr:  $(\exp x) \text{ powr } y = \exp(x * y)$  for  $x :: \text{real}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma integrable-pmf-iff-bounded:
fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set-pmf } p \implies \text{abs}(f x) \leq C$ 
shows integrable (measure-pmf  $p$ )  $f$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma split-pair-pmf:
 $\text{measure-pmf.prob}(\text{pair-pmf } A B) S = \text{integral}^L A (\lambda a. \text{measure-pmf.prob} B \{b. (a,b) \in S\})$ 
(is  $?L = ?R$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma split-pair-pmf-2:
 $\text{measure}(\text{pair-pmf } A B) S = \text{integral}^L B (\lambda a. \text{measure-pmf.prob} A \{b. (b,a) \in S\})$ 
(is  $?L = ?R$ )
⟨proof⟩

definition KL-div ::  $\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
where  $\text{KL-div } p q = p * \ln(p/q) + (1-p) * \ln((1-p)/(1-q))$ 

theorem impagliazzo-kabanets-pmf:
fixes  $Y :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
fixes  $p :: 'a \text{ pmf}$ 
assumes  $n > 0$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \{\dots < n\} \implies \delta_i \in \{0..1\}$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge S. S \subseteq \{\dots < n\} \implies \text{measure } p \{\omega. (\forall i \in S. Y i \omega)\} \leq (\prod i \in S. \delta_i)$ 
defines  $\delta\text{-avg} \equiv (\sum i \in \{\dots < n\}. \delta_i)/n$ 
assumes  $\gamma \in \{\delta\text{-avg}, 1\}$ 
assumes  $\delta\text{-avg} > 0$ 
shows  $\text{measure } p \{\omega. \text{real}(\text{card}\{i \in \{\dots < n\}. Y i \omega\}) \geq \gamma * n\} \leq \exp(-\text{real } n * \text{KL-div } \gamma$ 
 $\delta\text{-avg})$ 
(is  $?L \leq ?R$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

The distribution of a random variable with a countable range is a discrete probability space, i.e., induces a PMF. Using this it is possible to generalize the previous result to arbitrary probability spaces.

```

lemma (in prob-space) establish-pmf:
fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ 
assumes  $\text{rv: random-variable discrete } f$ 
assumes  $\text{countable}(f \text{ 'space } M)$ 
shows  $\text{distr } M \text{ discrete } f \in \{M. \text{prob-space } M \wedge \text{sets } M = \text{UNIV} \wedge (\text{AE } x \text{ in } M. \text{measure } M \{x\} \neq 0)\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma singletons-image-eq:
 $(\lambda x. \{x\}) ` T \subseteq \text{Pow } T$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem (in prob-space) impagliazzo-kabanets:
fixes  $Y :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
assumes  $n > 0$ 

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \{.. < n\} \implies \text{random-variable discrete } (Y i)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in \{.. < n\} \implies \delta i \in \{0..1\}$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge S. S \subseteq \{.. < n\} \implies \mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. (\forall i \in S. Y i \omega)) \leq (\prod i \in S. \delta i)$ 
defines  $\delta\text{-avg} \equiv (\sum i \in \{.. < n\}. \delta i) / n$ 
assumes  $\gamma \in \{\delta\text{-avg}..1\} \quad \delta\text{-avg} > 0$ 
shows  $\mathcal{P}(\omega \text{ in } M. \text{real } (\text{card } \{i \in \{.. < n\}. Y i \omega\}) \geq \gamma * n) \leq \exp(-\text{real } n * \text{KL-div } \gamma \delta\text{-avg})$ 
  (is ?L  $\leq$  ?R)
  (proof)

```

Bounds and properties of *KL-div*

```

lemma KL-div-mono-right-aux-1:
assumes  $0 \leq p \leq q \quad q \leq q' \quad q' < 1$ 
shows  $\text{KL-div } p \ q - 2*(p-q)^2 \leq \text{KL-div } p \ q' - 2*(p-q')^2$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma KL-div-swap:  $\text{KL-div } (1-p) (1-q) = \text{KL-div } p q$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma KL-div-mono-right-aux-2:
assumes  $0 < q' \leq q \quad q \leq p \quad p \leq 1$ 
shows  $\text{KL-div } p \ q - 2*(p-q)^2 \leq \text{KL-div } p \ q' - 2*(p-q')^2$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma KL-div-mono-right-aux:
assumes  $(0 \leq p \wedge p \leq q \wedge q \leq q' \wedge q' < 1) \vee (0 < q' \wedge q' \leq q \wedge q \leq p \wedge p \leq 1)$ 
shows  $\text{KL-div } p \ q - 2*(p-q)^2 \leq \text{KL-div } p \ q' - 2*(p-q')^2$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma KL-div-mono-right:
assumes  $(0 \leq p \wedge p \leq q \wedge q \leq q' \wedge q' < 1) \vee (0 < q' \wedge q' \leq q \wedge q \leq p \wedge p \leq 1)$ 
shows  $\text{KL-div } p \ q \leq \text{KL-div } p \ q' \text{ (is } ?L \leq ?R)$ 
(proof)

```

```

lemma KL-div-lower-bound:
assumes  $p \in \{0..1\} \quad q \in \{0 < .. < 1\}$ 
shows  $2*(p-q)^2 \leq \text{KL-div } p \ q$ 
(proof)

```

end

2.2 Congruence Method

The following is a method for proving equalities of large terms by checking the equivalence of subterms. It is possible to precisely control which operators to split by.

```

theory Extra-Congruence-Method
imports
  Main
  HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
begin

datatype cong-tag-type = CongTag

definition cong-tag-1 :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  cong-tag-type
  where cong-tag-1 x = CongTag
definition cong-tag-2 :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)  $\Rightarrow$  cong-tag-type
  where cong-tag-2 x = CongTag
definition cong-tag-3 :: ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c  $\Rightarrow$  'd)  $\Rightarrow$  cong-tag-type

```

```

where cong-tag-3 x = CongTag

lemma arg-cong3:
  assumes x1 = x2 y1 = y2 z1 = z2
  shows f x1 y1 z1 = f x2 y2 z2
  ⟨proof⟩

method intro-cong for A :: cong-tag-type list uses more =
  (match (A) in
    cong-tag-1 f#h (multi) for f :: 'a ⇒ 'b and h
    ⇒ ⟨intro-cong h more:more arg-cong[where f=f]⟩
  | cong-tag-2 f#h (multi) for f :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c and h
    ⇒ ⟨intro-cong h more:more arg-cong2[where f=f]⟩
  | cong-tag-3 f#h (multi) for f :: 'a ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'd and h
    ⇒ ⟨intro-cong h more:more arg-cong3[where f=f]⟩
  | - ⇒ ⟨intro more refl⟩)

bundle intro-cong-syntax
begin
  notation cong-tag-1 (⟨σ₁⟩)
  notation cong-tag-2 (⟨σ₂⟩)
  notation cong-tag-3 (⟨σ₃⟩)
end

lemma restr-Collect-cong:
  assumes ⋀x. x ∈ A ⇒ P x = Q x
  shows {x ∈ A. P x} = {x ∈ A. Q x}
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

2.3 Multisets

Some preliminary results about multisets.

```

theory Expander-Graphs-Multiset-Extras
  imports
    HOL-Library.Multiset
    Extra-Congruence-Method
begin

```

```
unbundle intro-cong-syntax
```

This is an induction scheme over the distinct elements of a multisets: We can represent each multiset as a sum like: *replicate-mset* $n_1 x_1 + \text{replicate-mset } n_2 x_2 + \dots + \text{replicate-mset } n_k x_k$ where the x_i are distinct.

```

lemma disj-induct-mset:
  assumes P {#}
  assumes ⋀n M x. P M ⇒ ¬(x ∈# M) ⇒ n > 0 ⇒ P (M + replicate-mset n x)
  shows P M
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum-mset-conv:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b:{semiring-1}
  shows sum-mset (image-mset f A) = sum (λx. of-nat (count A x) * f x) (set-mset A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
lemma sum-mset-conv-2:
```

```

fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b:{semiring-1}
assumes set-mset A ⊆ B finite B
shows sum-mset (image-mset f A) = sum (λx. of-nat (count A x) * f x) B (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma count-mset-exp: count A x = size (filter-mset (λy. y = x) A)
⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-repl: mset (replicate k x) = replicate-mset k x
⟨proof⟩

lemma count-image-mset-inj:
assumes inj f
shows count (image-mset f A) (f x) = count A x
⟨proof⟩

lemma count-image-mset-0-triv:
assumes x ∉ range f
shows count (image-mset f A) x = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-mset-ex-predicates:
assumes ⋀x. ¬ P x ∨ ¬ Q x
shows filter-mset P M + filter-mset Q M = filter-mset (λx. P x ∨ Q x) M
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-count-2:
assumes finite F
shows sum (count M) F = size (filter-mset (λx. x ∈ F) M)
⟨proof⟩

definition concat-mset :: ('a multiset) multiset ⇒ 'a multiset
where concat-mset xss = fold-mset (λxs ys. xs + ys) {#} xss

lemma image-concat-mset:
image-mset f (concat-mset xss) = concat-mset (image-mset (image-mset f) xss)
⟨proof⟩

lemma concat-add-mset:
concat-mset (image-mset (λx. f x + g x) xs) = concat-mset (image-mset f xs) + concat-mset (image-mset g xs)
⟨proof⟩

lemma concat-add-mset-2:
concat-mset (xs + ys) = concat-mset xs + concat-mset ys
⟨proof⟩

lemma size-concat-mset:
size (concat-mset xss) = sum-mset (image-mset size xss)
⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-concat-mset:
filter-mset P (concat-mset xss) = concat-mset (image-mset (filter-mset P) xss)
⟨proof⟩

lemma count-concat-mset:
count (concat-mset xss) xs = sum-mset (image-mset (λx. count x xs) xss)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma set-mset-concat-mset:
  set-mset (concat-mset xss) = ⋃ (set-mset ` (set-mset xss))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma concat-mset-empty: concat-mset {#} = {#}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma concat-mset-single: concat-mset {#x#} = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma concat-disjoint-union-mset:
  assumes finite I
  assumes ⋀ i. i ∈ I ⟹ finite (A i)
  assumes ⋀ i j. i ∈ I ⟹ j ∈ I ⟹ i ≠ j ⟹ A i ∩ A j = {}
  shows mset-set (⋃ (A ` I)) = concat-mset (image-mset (mset-set ∘ A) (mset-set I))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-filter-mset-conv:
  size (filter-mset f A) = sum-mset (image-mset (λx. of-bool (f x) :: nat) A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma filter-mset-const: filter-mset (λ_. c) xs = (if c then xs else {#})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma repeat-image-concat-mset:
  repeat-mset n (image-mset f A) = concat-mset (image-mset (λx. replicate-mset n (f x)) A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mset-prod-eq:
  assumes finite A finite B
  shows mset-set (A × B) = concat-mset {# {# (x,y). y ∈# mset-set B #} . x ∈# mset-set A #}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum-mset-repeat:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {comm-monoid-add,semiring-1}
  shows sum-mset (image-mset f (repeat-mset n A)) = of-nat n * sum-mset (image-mset f A)
  ⟨proof⟩

unbundle no intro-cong-syntax

end

```

3 Definitions

This section introduces regular graphs as a sublocale in the graph theory developed by Lars Noschinski [10] and introduces various expansion coefficients.

```

theory Expander-Graphs-Definition
imports
  Graph-Theory.Digraph-Isomorphism
  HOL-Analysis.L2-Norm
  Extra-Congruence-Method
  Expander-Graphs-Multiset-Extras
  Jordan-Normal-Form.Conjugate
  Interpolation-Polynomials-HOL-Algebra.Interpolation-Polynomial-Cardinalities
begin

```

```
unbundle intro-cong-syntax
```

```
definition arcs-betw where arcs-betw G u v = {a. a ∈ arcs G ∧ head G a = v ∧ tail G a = u}
```

The following is a stronger notion than the notion of symmetry defined in *Graph-Theory.Digraph*, it requires that the number of edges from v to w must be equal to the number of edges from w to v for any pair of vertices $v w \in \text{verts } G$.

```
definition symmetric-multi-graph where symmetric-multi-graph G =
  (fin-digraph G ∧ (∀ v w. {v, w} ⊆ \text{verts } G → card (arcs-betw G w v) = card (arcs-betw G v w)))
```

```
lemma symmetric-multi-graphI:
```

```
  assumes fin-digraph G
  assumes bij-betw f (arcs G) (arcs G)
  assumes ∨ e. e ∈ arcs G ⇒ head G (f e) = tail G e ∧ tail G (f e) = head G e
  shows symmetric-multi-graph G
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma symmetric-multi-graphD2:
```

```
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph G
  shows fin-digraph G
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma symmetric-multi-graphD:
```

```
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph G
  shows card {e ∈ arcs G. head G e=v ∧ tail G e=w} = card {e ∈ arcs G. head G e=w ∧ tail G e=v}
    (is card ?L = card ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma symmetric-multi-graphD3:
```

```
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph G
  shows
    card {e ∈ arcs G. tail G e=v ∧ head G e=w} = card {e ∈ arcs G. tail G e=w ∧ head G e=v}
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma symmetric-multi-graphD4:
```

```
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph G
  shows card (arcs-betw G v w) = card (arcs-betw G w v)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma symmetric-degree-eq:
```

```
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph G
  assumes v ∈ \text{verts } G
  shows out-degree G v = in-degree G v (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition edges where edges G = image-mset (arc-to-ends G) (mset-set (arcs G))
```

```
lemma (in fin-digraph) count-edges:
```

```
  count (edges G) (u,v) = card (arcs-betw G u v) (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in fin-digraph) count-edges-sym:
```

```
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph G
  shows count (edges G) (v, w) = count (edges G) (w, v)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma (in fin-digraph) edges-sym:
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph G
  shows {# (y,x). (x,y) ∈# (edges G) #} = edges G
  ⟨proof⟩

definition vertices-from G v = {# snd e | e ∈# edges G. fst e = v #}
definition vertices-to G v = {# fst e | e ∈# edges G. snd e = v #}

context fin-digraph
begin

lemma edge-set:
  assumes x ∈# edges G
  shows fst x ∈ verts G snd x ∈ verts G
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma verts-from-alt:
  vertices-from G v = image-mset (head G) (mset-set (out-arcs G v))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma verts-to-alt:
  vertices-to G v = image-mset (tail G) (mset-set (in-arcs G v))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-mset-vertices-from:
  set-mset (vertices-from G x) ⊆ verts G
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-mset-vertices-to:
  set-mset (vertices-to G x) ⊆ verts G
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

A symmetric multigraph is regular if every node has the same degree. This is the context in which the expansion conditions are introduced.

```

locale regular-graph = fin-digraph +
  assumes sym: symmetric-multi-graph G
  assumes verts-non-empty: verts G ≠ {}
  assumes arcs-non-empty: arcs G ≠ {}
  assumes reg': ∀v w. v ∈ verts G ⇒ w ∈ verts G ⇒ out-degree G v = out-degree G w
begin

definition d where d = out-degree G (SOME v. v ∈ verts G)

lemmas count-sym = count-edges-sym[OF sym]

lemma reg:
  assumes v ∈ verts G
  shows out-degree G v = d in-degree G v = d
  ⟨proof⟩

definition n where n = card (verts G)

lemma n-gt-0: n > 0
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *d-gt-0*: $d > 0$

{proof}

definition *g-inner* :: $('a \Rightarrow ('c :: \text{conjugatable-field})) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow 'c$
where $\text{g-inner } f g = (\sum x \in \text{verts } G. (f x) * \text{conjugate } (g x))$

lemma *conjugate-divide[simp]*:

fixes $x y :: 'c :: \text{conjugatable-field}$

shows $\text{conjugate } (x / y) = \text{conjugate } x / \text{conjugate } y$

{proof}

lemma *g-inner-simps*:

$\text{g-inner } (\lambda x. 0) g = 0$

$\text{g-inner } f (\lambda x. 0) = 0$

$\text{g-inner } (\lambda x. c * f x) g = c * \text{g-inner } f g$

$\text{g-inner } f (\lambda x. c * g x) = \text{conjugate } c * \text{g-inner } f g$

$\text{g-inner } (\lambda x. f x - g x) h = \text{g-inner } f h - \text{g-inner } g h$

$\text{g-inner } (\lambda x. f x + g x) h = \text{g-inner } f h + \text{g-inner } g h$

$\text{g-inner } f (\lambda x. g x + h x) = \text{g-inner } f g + \text{g-inner } f h$

$\text{g-inner } f (\lambda x. g x / c) = \text{g-inner } f g / \text{conjugate } c$

$\text{g-inner } (\lambda x. f x / c) g = \text{g-inner } f g / c$

{proof}

definition *g-norm* $f = \text{sqrt } (\text{g-inner } f f)$

lemma *g-norm-eq*: $\text{g-norm } f = L2\text{-set } f (\text{verts } G)$

{proof}

lemma *g-inner-cauchy-schwartz*:

fixes $f g :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$

shows $|\text{g-inner } f g| \leq \text{g-norm } f * \text{g-norm } g$

{proof}

lemma *g-inner-cong*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{verts } G \implies f1 x = f2 x$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{verts } G \implies g1 x = g2 x$

shows $\text{g-inner } f1 g1 = \text{g-inner } f2 g2$

{proof}

lemma *g-norm-cong*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{verts } G \implies f x = g x$

shows $\text{g-norm } f = \text{g-norm } g$

{proof}

lemma *g-norm-nonneg*: $\text{g-norm } f \geq 0$

{proof}

lemma *g-norm-sq*:

$\text{g-norm } f^{\sim 2} = \text{g-inner } f f$

{proof}

definition *g-step* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow \text{real})$

where $\text{g-step } f v = (\sum x \in \text{in-arcs } G v. f (\text{tail } G x) / \text{real } d)$

lemma *g-step-simps*:

$\text{g-step } (\lambda x. f x + g x) y = \text{g-step } f y + \text{g-step } g y$

$\text{g-step } (\lambda x. f x / c) y = \text{g-step } f y / c$

{proof}

lemma *g-inner-step-eq*:

$$g\text{-inner } f \text{ (} g\text{-step } f \text{)} = (\sum a \in \text{arcs } G. f(\text{head } G a) * f(\text{tail } G a)) / d \text{ (is } ?L = ?R)$$
(proof)

definition Λ -test
where $\Lambda\text{-test} = \{f. g\text{-norm } f^{\wedge 2} \neq 0 \wedge g\text{-inner } f(\lambda \cdot. 1) = 0\}$

lemma Λ -test-ne:
assumes $n > 1$
shows $\Lambda\text{-test} \neq \{\}$
(proof)

lemma Λ -test-empty:
assumes $n = 1$
shows $\Lambda\text{-test} = \{\}$
(proof)

The following are variational definitions for the maximum of the spectrum (resp. maximum modulus of the spectrum) of the stochastic matrix (excluding the Perron eigenvalue 1). Note that both values can still obtain the value one 1 (if the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 is larger than 1 in the stochastic matrix, or in the modulus case if -1 is an eigenvalue).

The definition relies on the supremum of the Rayleigh-Quotient for vectors orthogonal to the stationary distribution). In Section 6, the equivalence of this value with the algebraic definition will be shown. The definition here has the advantage that it is (obviously) independent of the matrix representation (ordering of the vertices) used.

definition $\Lambda_2 :: \text{real}$
where $\Lambda_2 = (\text{if } n > 1 \text{ then } (\text{SUP } f \in \Lambda\text{-test}. g\text{-inner } f(\text{g-step } f) / g\text{-inner } f f) \text{ else } 0)$

definition $\Lambda_a :: \text{real}$
where $\Lambda_a = (\text{if } n > 1 \text{ then } (\text{SUP } f \in \Lambda\text{-test}. |g\text{-inner } f(\text{g-step } f)| / g\text{-inner } f f) \text{ else } 0)$

lemma sum-arcs-tail:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow ('c :: \text{semiring-1})$
shows $(\sum a \in \text{arcs } G. f(\text{tail } G a)) = \text{of-nat } d * (\sum v \in \text{verts } G. f v) \text{ (is } ?L = ?R)$
(proof)

lemma sum-arcs-head:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow ('c :: \text{semiring-1})$
shows $(\sum a \in \text{arcs } G. f(\text{head } G a)) = \text{of-nat } d * (\sum v \in \text{verts } G. f v) \text{ (is } ?L = ?R)$
(proof)

lemma bdd-above-aux:

$$|\sum a \in \text{arcs } G. f(\text{head } G a) * f(\text{tail } G a)| \leq d * g\text{-norm } f^{\wedge 2} \text{ (is } ?L \leq ?R)$$
(proof)

lemma bdd-above-aux-2:
assumes $f \in \Lambda\text{-test}$
shows $|g\text{-inner } f(\text{g-step } f)| / g\text{-inner } f f \leq 1$
(proof)

lemma bdd-above-aux-3:
assumes $f \in \Lambda\text{-test}$
shows $g\text{-inner } f(\text{g-step } f) / g\text{-inner } f f \leq 1 \text{ (is } ?L \leq ?R)$
(proof)

lemma *bdd-above- Λ* : *bdd-above* $((\lambda f. |g\text{-inner } f \text{ (} g\text{-step } f \text{)}| / g\text{-inner } f \text{ } f) \text{ '} \Lambda\text{-test})
(proof)$

lemma *bdd-above- Λ_2* : *bdd-above* $((\lambda f. g\text{-inner } f \text{ (} g\text{-step } f \text{)} / g\text{-inner } f \text{ } f) \text{ '} \Lambda\text{-test})
(proof)$

lemma *Λ -le-1*: $\Lambda_a \leq 1$
(proof)

lemma *Λ_2 -le-1*: $\Lambda_2 \leq 1$
(proof)

lemma *Λ -ge-0*: $\Lambda_a \geq 0$
(proof)

lemma *os-expanderI*:
assumes $n > 1$
assumes $\bigwedge f. g\text{-inner } f \text{ (}\lambda\text{- } 1\text{)} = 0 \implies g\text{-inner } f \text{ (} g\text{-step } f \text{)} \leq C * g\text{-norm } f^{\wedge 2}$
shows $\Lambda_2 \leq C$
(proof)

lemma *os-expanderD*:
assumes $g\text{-inner } f \text{ (}\lambda\text{- } 1\text{)} = 0$
shows $g\text{-inner } f \text{ (} g\text{-step } f \text{)} \leq \Lambda_2 * g\text{-norm } f^{\wedge 2}$ (**is** $?L \leq ?R$)
(proof)

lemma *expander-intro-1*:
assumes $C \geq 0$
assumes $\bigwedge f. g\text{-inner } f \text{ (}\lambda\text{- } 1\text{)} = 0 \implies |g\text{-inner } f \text{ (} g\text{-step } f \text{)}| \leq C * g\text{-norm } f^{\wedge 2}$
shows $\Lambda_a \leq C$
(proof)

lemma *expander-intro*:
assumes $C \geq 0$
assumes $\bigwedge f. g\text{-inner } f \text{ (}\lambda\text{- } 1\text{)} = 0 \implies |\sum a \in \text{arcs } G. f(\text{head } G \text{ } a) * f(\text{tail } G \text{ } a)| \leq C * g\text{-norm } f^{\wedge 2}$
shows $\Lambda_a \leq C/d$
(proof)

lemma *expansionD1*:
assumes $g\text{-inner } f \text{ (}\lambda\text{- } 1\text{)} = 0$
shows $|g\text{-inner } f \text{ (} g\text{-step } f \text{)}| \leq \Lambda_a * g\text{-norm } f^{\wedge 2}$ (**is** $?L \leq ?R$)
(proof)

lemma *expansionD*:
assumes $g\text{-inner } f \text{ (}\lambda\text{- } 1\text{)} = 0$
shows $|\sum a \in \text{arcs } G. f(\text{head } G \text{ } a) * f(\text{tail } G \text{ } a)| \leq d * \Lambda_a * g\text{-norm } f^{\wedge 2}$ (**is** $?L \leq ?R$)
(proof)

definition *edges-betw* **where** $\text{edges-betw } S \text{ } T = \{a \in \text{arcs } G. \text{tail } G \text{ } a \in S \wedge \text{head } G \text{ } a \in T\}$

This parameter is the edge expansion. It is usually denoted by the symbol h or $h(G)$ in text books. Contrary to the previous definitions it doesn't have a spectral theoretic counter part.

definition Λ_e **where** $\Lambda_e = (\text{if } n > 1 \text{ then}$
 $(\text{MIN } S \in \{S. S \subseteq \text{verts } G \wedge 2 * \text{card } S \leq n \wedge S \neq \{\}\}). \text{real} (\text{card} (\text{edges-betw } S \text{ } (-S))) / \text{card } S)$ **else** 0

lemma *edge-expansionD*:

```

assumes  $S \subseteq \text{verts } G$   $2 * \text{card } S \leq n$ 
shows  $\Lambda_e * \text{card } S \leq \text{real}(\text{card(edges-betw } S (-S)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma edge-expansionI:
  fixes  $\alpha :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes  $n > 1$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge S. S \subseteq \text{verts } G \implies 2 * \text{card } S \leq n \implies S \neq \{\} \implies \text{card(edges-betw } S (-S)) \geq \alpha * \text{card } S$ 
  shows  $\Lambda_e \geq \alpha$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma regular-graphI:
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph  $G$ 
  assumes  $\text{verts } G \neq \{} d > 0$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge v. v \in \text{verts } G \implies \text{out-degree } G v = d$ 
  shows regular-graph  $G$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

The following theorems verify that a graph isomorphisms preserve symmetry, regularity and all the expansion coefficients.

lemma (in fin-digraph) symmetric-graph-iso:
  assumes digraph-iso  $G H$ 
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph  $G$ 
  shows symmetric-multi-graph  $H$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in regular-graph)
  assumes digraph-iso  $G H$ 
  shows regular-graph-iso: regular-graph  $H$ 
    and regular-graph-iso-size: regular-graph.n  $H = n$ 
    and regular-graph-iso-degree: regular-graph.d  $H = d$ 
    and regular-graph-iso-expansion-le: regular-graph.Λa  $H \leq \Lambda_a$ 
    and regular-graph-iso-os-expansion-le: regular-graph.Λ2  $H \leq \Lambda_2$ 
    and regular-graph-iso-edge-expansion-ge: regular-graph.Λe  $H \geq \Lambda_e$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in regular-graph)
  assumes digraph-iso  $G H$ 
  shows regular-graph-iso-expansion: regular-graph.Λa  $H = \Lambda_a$ 
    and regular-graph-iso-os-expansion: regular-graph.Λ2  $H = \Lambda_2$ 
    and regular-graph-iso-edge-expansion: regular-graph.Λe  $H = \Lambda_e$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

unbundle no intro-cong-syntax

end

```

4 Setup for Types to Sets

```

theory Expander-Graphs-TTS
imports
  Expander-Graphs-Definition
  HOL-Analysis.Cartesian-Space
  HOL-Types-To-Sets.Types-To-Sets

```

```
begin
```

This section sets up a sublocale with the assumption that there is a finite type with the same cardinality as the vertex set of a regular graph. This allows defining the adjacency matrix for the graph using type-based linear algebra.

Theorems shown in the sublocale that do not refer to the local type are then lifted to the *regular-graph* locale using the Types-To-Sets mechanism.

```

locale regular-graph-tts = regular-graph +
  fixes n-itself :: ('n :: finite) itself
  assumes td:  $\exists(f :: ('n \Rightarrow 'a))\ g.\ \text{type-definition } f\ g\ (\text{verts } G)$ 
begin

definition td-components :: ('n \Rightarrow 'a)  $\times$  ('a \Rightarrow 'n)
  where td-components = (SOME q. type-definition (fst q) (snd q) (verts G))

definition enum-verts where enum-verts = fst td-components
definition enum-verts-inv where enum-verts-inv = snd td-components

sublocale type-definition enum-verts enum-verts-inv verts G
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma enum-verts: bij-betw enum-verts UNIV (verts G)
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

The stochastic matrix associated to the graph.

definition A :: ('c::field)  $\wedge^n \wedge^n$  where
   $A = (\chi i j.\ \text{of-nat}(\text{count}(\text{edges } G)(\text{enum-verts } j, \text{enum-verts } i))) / \text{of-nat } d$ 

lemma card-n: CARD('n) = n
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma symmetric-A: transpose A = A
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma g-step-conv:
   $(\chi i.\ g\text{-step } f\ (\text{enum-verts } i)) = A * v (\chi i.\ f\ (\text{enum-verts } i))$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma g-inner-conv:
   $g\text{-inner } f\ g = (\chi i.\ f\ (\text{enum-verts } i)) \cdot (\chi i.\ g\ (\text{enum-verts } i))$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

lemma g-norm-conv:
   $g\text{-norm } f = \text{norm} (\chi i.\ f\ (\text{enum-verts } i))$ 
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

end

lemma eg-tts-1:
  assumes regular-graph G
  assumes  $\exists(f :: ('n :: \text{finite}) \Rightarrow 'a)\ g.\ \text{type-definition } f\ g\ (\text{verts } G)$ 
  shows regular-graph-tts TYPE('n) G
   $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$ 

context regular-graph
begin
```

```

lemma remove-finite-premise-aux:
  assumes  $\exists (Rep :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a) Abs. type-definition Rep Abs (verts G)$ 
  shows class.finite TYPE('n)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma remove-finite-premise:
   $(class.finite TYPE('n) \Rightarrow \exists (Rep :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a) Abs. type-definition Rep Abs (verts G) \Rightarrow PROP Q)$ 
   $\equiv (\exists (Rep :: 'n \Rightarrow 'a) Abs. type-definition Rep Abs (verts G) \Rightarrow PROP Q)$ 
  (is ?L  $\equiv$  ?R)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
end

```

5 Algebra-only Theorems

This section verifies the linear algebraic counter-parts of the graph-theoretic theorems about Random walks. The graph-theoretic results are then derived in Section 9.

```

theory Expander-Graphs-Algebra
imports
  HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
  Expander-Graphs-TTS
begin

lemma pythagoras:
  fixes v w :: 'a::real-inner
  assumes v  $\cdot$  w = 0
  shows norm (v+w) $\wedge^2$  = norm v $\wedge^2$  + norm w $\wedge^2$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition diag :: ('a :: zero) $\wedge^n \Rightarrow 'a \wedge^n \wedge^n$ 
  where diag v = ( $\chi i j. \text{if } i = j \text{ then } (v \$ i) \text{ else } 0$ )

definition ind-vec :: 'n set  $\Rightarrow$  real $\wedge^n$ 
  where ind-vec S = ( $\chi i. \text{of-bool}(i \in S)$ )

lemma diag-mult-eq: diag x ** diag y = diag (x * y)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma diag-vec-mult-eq: diag x *v y = x * y
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition matrix-norm-bound :: real $\wedge^n \wedge^m \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool$ 
  where matrix-norm-bound A l = ( $\forall x. \text{norm}(A *v x) \leq l * \text{norm } x$ )

lemma matrix-norm-boundI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. \text{norm}(A *v x) \leq l * \text{norm } x$ 
  shows matrix-norm-bound A l
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma matrix-norm-boundD:
  assumes matrix-norm-bound A l
  shows norm (A *v x)  $\leq l * \text{norm } x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma matrix-norm-bound-nonneg:
  fixes A :: realn × m
  assumes matrix-norm-bound A l
  shows l ≥ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-norm-bound-0:
  assumes matrix-norm-bound A 0
  shows A = (0::realn × m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-norm-bound-diag:
  fixes x :: realn × n
  assumes ⋀ i. |x $ i| ≤ l
  shows matrix-norm-bound (diag x) l
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vector-scaleR-matrix-ac-2: b *R (A::realn × m) *v x = b *R (A *v x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-norm-bound-scale:
  assumes matrix-norm-bound A l
  shows matrix-norm-bound (b *R A) (|b| * l)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition nonneg-mat :: realn × m ⇒ bool
  where nonneg-mat A = (forall i j. A $ i $ j ≥ 0)

lemma nonneg-mat-1:
  shows nonneg-mat (mat 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nonneg-mat-prod:
  assumes nonneg-mat A nonneg-mat B
  shows nonneg-mat (A ** B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nonneg-mat transpose:
  nonneg-mat (transpose A) = nonneg-mat A
  ⟨proof⟩

definition spec-bound :: realn × n ⇒ real ⇒ bool
  where spec-bound M l = (l ≥ 0 ∧ (forall v. v · 1 = 0 → norm (M *v v) ≤ l * norm v))

lemma spec-boundD1:
  assumes spec-bound M l
  shows 0 ≤ l
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma spec-boundD2:
  assumes spec-bound M l
  assumes v · 1 = 0
  shows norm (M *v v) ≤ l * norm v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma spec-bound-mono:
  assumes spec-bound M α α ≤ β
  shows spec-bound M β

```

```

⟨proof⟩

definition markov :: realnn ⇒ bool
  where markov M = (nonneg-mat M ∧ M ∗v 1 = 1 ∧ 1 ∗ M = 1)

lemma markov-symI:
  assumes nonneg-mat A transpose A = A A ∗v 1 = 1
  shows markov A
⟨proof⟩

lemma markov-apply:
  assumes markov M
  shows M ∗v 1 = 1 1 ∗ M = 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma markov-transpose:
  markov A = markov (transpose A)
⟨proof⟩
fun matrix-pow where
  matrix-pow M 0 = mat 1 |
  matrix-pow M (Suc n) = M ∗∗ (matrix-pow M n)

lemma markov-orth-inv:
  assumes markov A
  shows inner (A ∗v x) 1 = inner x 1
⟨proof⟩

lemma markov-id:
  markov (mat 1)
⟨proof⟩

lemma markov-mult:
  assumes markov A markov B
  shows markov (A ∗∗ B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma markov-matrix-pow:
  assumes markov A
  shows markov (matrix-pow A k)
⟨proof⟩

lemma spec-bound-prod:
  assumes markov A markov B
  assumes spec-bound A la spec-bound B lb
  shows spec-bound (A ∗∗ B) (la ∗ lb)
⟨proof⟩

lemma spec-bound-pow:
  assumes markov A
  assumes spec-bound A l
  shows spec-bound (matrix-pow A k) (l ∩ k)
⟨proof⟩

fun intersperse :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list
  where
    intersperse x [] = []
    intersperse x (y # []) = y # []
    intersperse x (y # z # zs) = y # x # intersperse x (z # zs)

```

```

lemma intersperse-snoc:
  assumes xs ≠ []
  shows intersperse z (xs@[y]) = intersperse z xs@[z,y]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma foldl-intersperse:
  assumes xs ≠ []
  shows foldl f a ((intersperse x xs)@[x]) = foldl (λy z. f (f y z) x) a xs
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma foldl-intersperse-2:
  shows foldl f a (intersperse y (x#xs)) = foldl (λx z. f (f x y) z) (f a x) xs
  ⟨proof⟩

context regular-graph-tts
begin

definition stat :: real~n
  where stat = (1 / real CARD('n)) *R 1

definition J :: ('c :: field)~n~n
  where J = (χ i j. of-nat 1 / of-nat CARD('n))

lemma inner-1-1: 1 • (1::real~n) = CARD('n)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition proj-unit :: real~n ⇒ real~n
  where proj-unit v = (1 • v) *R stat

definition proj-rem :: real~n ⇒ real~n
  where proj-rem v = v - proj-unit v

lemma proj-rem-orth: 1 • (proj-rem v) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma split-vec: v = proj-unit v + proj-rem v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma apply-J: J *v x = proj-unit x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma spec-bound-J: spec-bound (J :: real~n~n) 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-decomposition-lemma-aux:
  fixes A :: real~n~n
  assumes markov A
  shows spec-bound A l ←→ matrix-norm-bound (A - (1-l) *R J) l (is ?L ←→ ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma matrix-decomposition-lemma:
  fixes A :: real~n~n
  assumes markov A
  shows spec-bound A l ←→ (exists E. A = (1-l) *R J + l *R E ∧ matrix-norm-bound E 1 ∧ l ≥ 0)
    (is ?L ←→ ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma hitting-property-alg:
  fixes S :: ('n :: finite) set
  assumes l-range:  $l \in \{0..1\}$ 
  defines P  $\equiv$  diag (ind-vec S)
  defines  $\mu \equiv \text{card } S / \text{CARD}('n)$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge M. M \in \text{set } Ms \implies \text{spec-bound } M l \wedge \text{markov } M$ 
  shows foldl ( $\lambda x M. P *v (M *v x)$ ) (P *v stat) Ms  $\cdot 1 \leq (\mu + l * (1 - \mu)) \hat{\wedge} (\text{length } Ms + 1)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upto-append:
  assumes  $i \leq j \leq k$ 
  shows  $[i..<j] @ [j..<k] = [i..<k]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition bool-list-split :: bool list  $\Rightarrow$  (nat list  $\times$  nat)
  where bool-list-split xs = foldl ( $\lambda(y, z). (if x then (y @ [z], 0) else (y, z + 1))$ ) ([], 0) xs

lemma bool-list-split:
  assumes bool-list-split xs = (ys, z)
  shows xs = concat (map ( $\lambda k. \text{replicate } k \text{ False} @ [True]$ ) ys) @ replicate z False
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma bool-list-split-count:
  assumes bool-list-split xs = (ys, z)
  shows length (filter id xs) = length ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma foldl-concat:
  foldl f a (concat xss) = foldl ( $\lambda y xs. \text{foldl } f y xs$ ) a xss
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma hitting-property-alg-2:
  fixes S :: ('n :: finite) set and l :: nat
  fixes M :: real $^n \hat{\wedge} n$ 
  assumes alpha-range:  $\alpha \in \{0..1\}$ 
  assumes I  $\subseteq \{..<l\}$ 
  defines P i  $\equiv$  (if  $i \in I$  then diag (ind-vec S) else mat 1)
  defines  $\mu \equiv \text{real}(\text{card } S) / \text{real}(\text{CARD}('n))$ 
  assumes spec-bound M alpha markov M
  shows
    foldl ( $\lambda x M. M *v x$ ) stat (intersperse M (map P [0..<l]))  $\cdot 1 \leq (\mu + \alpha * (1 - \mu)) \hat{\wedge} \text{card } I$ 
    (is ?L  $\leq$  ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma uniform-property-alg:
  fixes x :: ('n :: finite) and l :: nat
  assumes i < l
  defines P j  $\equiv$  (if  $j = i$  then diag (ind-vec {x}) else mat 1)
  assumes markov M
  shows foldl ( $\lambda x M. M *v x$ ) stat (intersperse M (map P [0..<l]))  $\cdot 1 = 1 / \text{CARD}('n)$ 
  (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma foldl-matrix-mult-expand:
  fixes Ms :: (('r :: {semiring-1, comm-monoid-mult}) $^n \hat{\wedge} n$ ) list

```

```

shows (foldl (λx M. M *v x) a Ms) $ k = (∑ x | length x = length Ms + 1 ∧ x! length Ms = k.
(∏ i < length Ms. (Ms ! i) $ (x ! (i+1)) $ (x ! i)) * a $ (x ! 0))
⟨proof⟩

lemma foldl-matrix-mult-expand-2:
  fixes Ms :: (real~'a~'a) list
  shows (foldl (λx M. M *v x) a Ms) · 1 = (∑ x | length x = length Ms + 1.
  (∏ i < length Ms. (Ms ! i) $ (x ! (i+1)) $ (x ! i)) * a $ (x ! 0))
  (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

6 Spectral Theory

This section establishes the correspondence of the variationally defined expansion parameters with the definitions using the spectrum of the stochastic matrix. Additionally stronger results for the expansion parameters are derived.

```

theory Expander-Graphs-Eigenvalues
  imports
    Expander-Graphs-Algebra
    Expander-Graphs-TTS
    Perron-Frobenius.HMA-Connect
    Commuting-Hermitian.Commuting-Hermitian
begin

unbundle intro-cong-syntax

hide-const Matrix-Legacy.transpose
hide-const Matrix-Legacy.row
hide-const Matrix-Legacy.mat
hide-const Matrix.mat
hide-const Matrix.row
hide-fact Matrix-Legacy.row-def
hide-fact Matrix-Legacy.mat-def
hide-fact Matrix.vec-eq-iff
hide-fact Matrix.mat-def
hide-fact Matrix.row-def
no-notation Matrix.scalar-prod (infix `·` 70)
no-notation Ordered-Semiring.max (⟨Max1⟩)

```

```

lemma mult-right-mono': y ≥ (0::real) ⇒ x ≤ z ∨ y = 0 ⇒ x * y ≤ z * y
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-prod-zero:
  fixes x :: 'a :: idom
  assumes poly (∏ a ∈ #xs. [:- a, 1:]) x = 0
  shows x ∈# xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-prod-inj-aux-1:
  fixes xs ys :: ('a :: idom) multiset
  assumes x ∈# xs
  assumes (∏ a ∈ #xs. [:- a, 1:]) = (∏ a ∈ #ys. [:- a, 1:])
  shows x ∈# ys
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma poly-prod-inj-aux-2:
  fixes xs ys :: ('a :: idom) multiset
  assumes x ∈# xs ∪# ys
  assumes (∏ a∈#xs. [:− a, 1:]) = (∏ a∈#ys. [:− a, 1:])
  shows x ∈# xs ∩# ys
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma poly-prod-inj:
  fixes xs ys :: ('a :: idom) multiset
  assumes (∏ a∈#xs. [:− a, 1:]) = (∏ a∈#ys. [:− a, 1:])
  shows xs = ys
  ⟨proof⟩

definition eigenvalues :: ('a::comm-ring-1) ^'n ^'n ⇒ 'a multiset
where
  eigenvalues A = (SOME as. charpoly A = (∏ a∈#as. [:− a, 1:]) ∧ size as = CARD ('n))

lemma char-poly-factorized-hma:
  fixes A :: complex ^'n ^'n
  shows ∃ as. charpoly A = (∏ a←as. [:− a, 1:]) ∧ length as = CARD ('n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eigvals-poly-length:
  fixes A :: complex ^'n ^'n
  shows
    charpoly A = (∏ a∈#eigenvalues A. [:− a, 1:]) (is ?A)
    size (eigenvalues A) = CARD ('n) (is ?B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma similar-matrix-eigvals:
  fixes A B :: complex ^'n ^'n
  assumes similar-matrix A B
  shows eigenvalues A = eigenvalues B
  ⟨proof⟩

definition upper-triangular-hma :: 'a::zero ^'n ^'n ⇒ bool
where upper-triangular-hma A ≡
  ∀ i. ∀ j. (to-nat j < Bij-Nat.to-nat i → A $h i $h j = 0)

lemma for-all-reindex2:
  assumes range f = A
  shows (∀ x ∈ A. ∀ y ∈ A. P x y) ↔ (∀ x y. P (f x) (f y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upper-triangular-hma:
  fixes A :: ('a::zero) ^'n ^'n
  shows upper-triangular (from-hmam A) = upper-triangular-hma A (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma from-hma-carrier:
  fixes A :: 'a^(n::finite)^(m::finite)
  shows from-hmam A ∈ carrier-mat (CARD ('m)) (CARD ('n))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition diag-mat-hma :: 'a ^'n ^'n ⇒ 'a multiset
where diag-mat-hma A = image-mset (λi. A $h i $h i) (mset-set UNIV)

lemma diag-mat-hma:

```

```

fixes A ::  $\text{complex}^n \times \text{complex}^n$ 
shows mset (diag-mat (from-hmam A)) = diag-mat-hma A (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

definition adjoint-hma ::  $\text{complex}^m \times \text{complex}^n \Rightarrow \text{complex}^n \times \text{complex}^m$  where
  adjoint-hma A = map-matrix cnj (transpose A)

lemma adjoint-hma-eq: adjoint-hma A $h i $h j = cnj (A $h j $h i)
⟨proof⟩

lemma adjoint-hma:
fixes A ::  $\text{complex}^{(n::\text{finite}) \times (m::\text{finite})}$ 
shows mat-adjoint (from-hmam A) = from-hmam (adjoint-hma A)
⟨proof⟩

definition cinner where cinner v w = scalar-product v (map-vector cnj w)

context
  includes lifting-syntax
begin

lemma cinner-hma:
fixes x y ::  $\text{complex}^n$ 
shows cinner x y = (from-hmav x) ·c (from-hmav y) (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma cinner-hma-transfer[transfer-rule]:
  (HMA-V ==> HMA-V ==> (=)) (·c) cinner
⟨proof⟩

lemma adjoint-hma-transfer[transfer-rule]:
  (HMA-M ==> HMA-M) (mat-adjoint) adjoint-hma
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma adjoint-adjoint-id[simp]: adjoint-hma (adjoint-hma A) = A
⟨proof⟩

lemma adjoint-def-alter-hma:
  cinner (A *v v) w = cinner v (adjoint-hma A *v w)
⟨proof⟩

lemma cinner-0: cinner 0 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩

lemma cinner-scale-left: cinner (a *s v) w = a * cinner v w
⟨proof⟩

lemma cinner-scale-right: cinner v (a *s w) = cnj a * cinner v w
⟨proof⟩

lemma norm-of-real:
shows norm (map-vector complex-of-real v) = norm v
⟨proof⟩

definition unitary-hma ::  $\text{complex}^n \times \text{complex}^n \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
where unitary-hma A ↔ A ** adjoint-hma A = Finite-Cartesian-Product.mat 1

```

```

definition unitarily-equiv-hma where
  unitarily-equiv-hma A B U ≡ (unitary-hma U ∧ similar-matrix-wit A B U (adjoint-hma U))

definition diagonal-mat :: ('a::zero)^(n::finite)^n ⇒ bool where
  diagonal-mat A ≡ (∀ i. ∀ j. i ≠ j → A $h i $h j = 0)

lemma diagonal-mat-ex:
  assumes diagonal-mat A
  shows A = diag (χ i. A $h i $h i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diag-diagonal-mat[simp]: diagonal-mat (diag x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diag-imp-upper-tri: diagonal-mat A ⇒ upper-triangular-hma A
  ⟨proof⟩

definition unitary-diag where
  unitary-diag A b U ≡ unitarily-equiv-hma A (diag b) U

definition real-diag-decomp-hma where
  real-diag-decomp-hma A d U ≡ unitary-diag A d U ∧
  (∀ i. d $h i ∈ Reals)

definition hermitian-hma :: complex^'n^'n ⇒ bool where
  hermitian-hma A = (adjoint-hma A = A)

lemma from-hma-one:
  from-hma_m (mat 1 :: (('a::{one,zero})^'n^'n)) = 1_m CARD('n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma from-hma-mult:
  fixes A :: ('a :: semiring-1)^'m^'n
  fixes B :: 'a^'k^'m::finite
  shows from-hma_m A * from-hma_m B = from-hma_m (A ** B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma hermitian-hma:
  hermitian-hma A = hermitian (from-hma_m A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unitary-hma:
  fixes A :: complex^'n^'n
  shows unitary-hma A = unitary (from-hma_m A) (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unitary-hmaD:
  fixes A :: complex^'n^'n
  assumes unitary-hma A
  shows adjoint-hma A ** A = mat 1 (is ?A) A ** adjoint-hma A = mat 1 (is ?B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unitary-hma-adjoint:
  assumes unitary-hma A
  shows unitary-hma (adjoint-hma A)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma unitarily-equiv-hma:
  fixes A :: complex $\wedge' n \wedge' n$ 
  shows unitarily-equiv-hma A B U =
    unitarily-equiv (from-hmam A) (from-hmam B) (from-hmam U)
    (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Matrix-diagonal-matD:
  assumes Matrix.diagonal-mat A
  assumes i < dim-row A j < dim-col A
  assumes i ≠ j
  shows A $$ (i,j) = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diagonal-mat-hma:
  fixes A :: ('a :: zero)  $\wedge' n :: finite$   $\wedge' n$ 
  shows diagonal-mat A = Matrix.diagonal-mat (from-hmam A) (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unitary-diag-hma:
  fixes A :: complex $\wedge' n \wedge' n$ 
  shows unitary-diag A d U =
    Spectral-Theory-Complements.unitary-diag (from-hmam A) (from-hmam (diag d)) (from-hmam
    U)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma real-diag-decomp-hma:
  fixes A :: complex $\wedge' n \wedge' n$ 
  shows real-diag-decomp-hma A d U =
    real-diag-decomp (from-hmam A) (from-hmam (diag d)) (from-hmam U)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diagonal-mat-diag-ex-hma:
  assumes Matrix.diagonal-mat A A ∈ carrier-mat CARD('n) CARD ('n :: finite)
  shows from-hmam (diag (χ (i::'n). A $$ (to-nat i,to-nat i))) = A
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem commuting-hermitian-family-diag-hma:
  fixes Af :: (complex $\wedge' n \wedge' n$ ) set
  assumes finite Af
  and Af ≠ {}
  and  $\bigwedge A. A \in Af \implies$  hermitian-hma A
  and  $\bigwedge A B. A \in Af \implies B \in Af \implies A ** B = B ** A$ 
  shows  $\exists U. \forall A \in Af. \exists B. \text{real-diag-decomp-hma } A B U$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma char-poly-upper-triangular:
  fixes A :: complex $\wedge' n \wedge' n$ 
  assumes upper-triangular-hma A
  shows charpoly A = ( $\prod a \in \# \text{diag-mat-hma } A. [:- a, 1:]$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma upper-tri-eigvals:
  fixes A :: complex $\wedge' n \wedge' n$ 
  assumes upper-triangular-hma A
  shows eigenvalues A = diag-mat-hma A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cinner-self:
  fixes v :: complexn
  shows cinner v v = norm v2
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma unitary-iso:
  assumes unitary-hma U
  shows norm (U *v v) = norm v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in semiring-hom) mult-mat-vec-hma:
  map-vector hom (A *v v) = map-matrix hom A *v map-vector hom v
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in semiring-hom) mat-hom-mult-hma:
  map-matrix hom (A ** B) = map-matrix hom A ** map-matrix hom B
  ⟨proof⟩

context regular-graph-tts
begin

lemma to-nat-less-n: to-nat (x::'n) < n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma to-nat-from-nat: x < n ==> to-nat (from-nat x :: 'n) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma hermitian-A: hermitian-hma A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nonneg-A: nonneg-mat A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma g-step-1:
  assumes v ∈ verts G
  shows g-step (λ_. 1) v = 1 (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma markov: markov (A :: realnn)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nonneg-J: nonneg-mat J
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma J-eigvals: eigenvalues J = {#1::complex#} + replicate-mset (n - 1) 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma J-markov: markov J
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma markov-complex-apply:
  assumes markov M
  shows (map-matrix complex-of-real M) *v (1 :: complexn) = 1 (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma J-A-comm-real: J ** A = A ** (J :: realnn)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *J-A-comm*: $J \star\star A = A \star\star (J :: \text{complex}^{\wedge}n^{\wedge}n)$ (**is** $?L = ?R$)
(proof)

definition $\gamma_a :: 'n \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $\gamma_a = (\text{if } n > 1 \text{ then Max-mset}(\text{image-mset cmod}(\text{eigenvalues } A - \{\#\#\})) \text{ else } 0)$

definition $\gamma_2 :: 'n \text{ itself} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $\gamma_2 = (\text{if } n > 1 \text{ then Max-mset}\{\# \text{Re } x. x \in \# (\text{eigenvalues } A - \{\#\#\})\# \} \text{ else } 0)$

lemma *J-sym*: *hermitian-hma J*
(proof)

lemma
shows *evs-real*: *set-mset (eigenvalues A::complex multiset) ⊆ ℝ* (**is** $?R1$)
and *ev-1*: $(1::\text{complex}) \in \# \text{eigenvalues } A$
and $\gamma_a\text{-ge-0}$: $\gamma_a \text{ TYPE}('n) \geq 0$
and *find-any-ev*:
 $\forall \alpha \in \# \text{eigenvalues } A - \{\#\#\}. \exists v. \text{cinner } v \cdot 1 = 0 \wedge v \neq 0 \wedge A *v v = \alpha *s v$
and $\gamma_a\text{-bound}$: $\forall v. \text{cinner } v \cdot 1 = 0 \longrightarrow \text{norm}(A *v v) \leq \gamma_a \text{ TYPE}('n) * \text{norm } v$
and $\gamma_2\text{-bound}$: $\forall (v::\text{real}^{\wedge}n). v \cdot 1 = 0 \longrightarrow v \cdot (A *v v) \leq \gamma_2 \text{ TYPE}('n) * \text{norm } v^{\wedge}2$
(proof)

lemma *find-any-real-ev*:
assumes *complex-of-real* $\alpha \in \# \text{eigenvalues } A - \{\#\#\}$
shows $\exists v. v \cdot 1 = 0 \wedge v \neq 0 \wedge A *v v = \alpha *s v$
(proof)

lemma *size-evs*:
size *(eigenvalues A - {#1::complex#})* = $n-1$
(proof)

lemma *find-γ₂*:
assumes $n > 1$
shows $\gamma_a \text{ TYPE}('n) \in \# \text{image-mset cmod}(\text{eigenvalues } A - \{\#\#\})$
(proof)

lemma *γ₂-real-ev*:
assumes $n > 1$
shows $\exists v. (\exists \alpha. \text{abs } \alpha = \gamma_a \text{ TYPE}('n) \wedge v \cdot 1 = 0 \wedge v \neq 0 \wedge A *v v = \alpha *s v)$
(proof)

lemma *γₐ-real-bound*:
fixes $v :: \text{real}^{\wedge}n$
assumes $v \cdot 1 = 0$
shows $\text{norm}(A *v v) \leq \gamma_a \text{ TYPE}('n) * \text{norm } v$
(proof)

lemma *Λᵑ-eq-Λ*: $\Lambda_a = \gamma_a \text{ TYPE}('n)$
(proof)

lemma *γ₂-ev*:
assumes $n > 1$
shows $\exists v. v \cdot 1 = 0 \wedge v \neq 0 \wedge A *v v = \gamma_2 \text{ TYPE}('n) *s v$
(proof)

lemma *Λ₂-eq-γ₂*: $\Lambda_2 = \gamma_2 \text{ TYPE}('n)$
(proof)

```

lemma expansionD2:
  assumes g-inner f ( $\lambda\_. 1$ ) = 0
  shows g-norm (g-step f)  $\leq \Lambda_a * \text{g-norm } f$  (is ?L  $\leq$  ?R)
  {proof}

lemma rayleigh-bound:
  fixes v :: real $^n$ 
  shows |v · (A *v v)|  $\leq \text{norm } v^2$ 
  {proof}

The following implies that two-sided expanders are also one-sided expanders.

lemma  $\Lambda_2$ -range:  $|\Lambda_2| \leq \Lambda_a$ 
  {proof}

end

lemmas (in regular-graph) expansionD2 =
  regular-graph-tts.expansionD2[OF eg-tts-1,
  internalize-sort 'n :: finite, OF - regular-graph-axioms,
  unfolded remove-finite-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF verts-non-empty]

lemmas (in regular-graph)  $\Lambda_2$ -range =
  regular-graph-tts. $\Lambda_2$ -range[OF eg-tts-1,
  internalize-sort 'n :: finite, OF - regular-graph-axioms,
  unfolded remove-finite-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF verts-non-empty]

unbundle no intro-cong-syntax

end

```

7 Cheeger Inequality

The Cheeger inequality relates edge expansion (a combinatorial property) with the second largest eigenvalue.

```

theory Expander-Graphs-Cheeger-Inequality
  imports Expander-Graphs-Eigenvalues
begin

unbundle intro-cong-syntax
hide-const Quantum.T

context regular-graph
begin

lemma edge-expansionD2:
  assumes m = card (S ∩ verts G) 2*m  $\leq n$ 
  shows  $\Lambda_e * m \leq \text{real} (\text{card} (\text{edges-betw } S (-S)))$ 
  {proof}

lemma edges-betw-sym:
  card (edges-betw S T) = card (edges-betw T S) (is ?L = ?R)
  {proof}

lemma edges-betw-reg:
  assumes S ⊆ verts G
  shows card (edges-betw S UNIV) = card S * d (is ?L = ?R)
  {proof}

```

The following proof follows Hoory et al. [4, §4.5.1].

```

lemma cheeger-aux-2:
  assumes  $n > 1$ 
  shows  $\Lambda_e \geq d * (1 - \Lambda_2) / 2$ 
  (proof)

end

lemma surj-onI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in B \implies g x \in A \wedge f(g x) = x$ 
  shows  $B \subseteq f^{-1} A$ 
  (proof)

lemma find-sorted-bij-1:
  fixes  $g :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b :: \text{linorder})$ 
  assumes finite  $S$ 
  shows  $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f \{.. < \text{card } S\} S \wedge \text{mono-on } \{.. < \text{card } S\} (g \circ f)$ 
  (proof)

lemma find-sorted-bij-2:
  fixes  $g :: 'a \Rightarrow ('b :: \text{linorder})$ 
  assumes finite  $S$ 
  shows  $\exists f. \text{bij-betw } f S \{.. < \text{card } S\} \wedge (\forall x y. x \in S \wedge y \in S \wedge f x < f y \longrightarrow g x \leq g y)$ 
  (proof)

```

context regular-graph-tts
begin

Normalized Laplacian of the graph

definition L where $L = \text{mat } 1 - A$

```

lemma L-pos-semidefinite:
  fixes  $v :: \text{real}^n$ 
  shows  $v \cdot (L * v) \geq 0$ 
  (proof)

```

The following proof follows Hoory et al. [4, §4.5.2].

```

lemma cheeger-aux-1:
  assumes  $n > 1$ 
  shows  $\Lambda_e \leq d * \sqrt{2 * (1 - \Lambda_2)}$ 
  (proof)

end

context regular-graph
begin

lemmas (in regular-graph) cheeger-aux-1 =
  regular-graph-tts.cheeger-aux-1[OF eg-tts-1,
  internalize-sort 'n :: finite, OF - regular-graph-axioms,
  unfolded remove-finite-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF verts-non-empty]

theorem cheeger-inequality:
  assumes  $n > 1$ 
  shows  $\Lambda_e \in \{d * (1 - \Lambda_2) / 2 .. d * \sqrt{2 * (1 - \Lambda_2)}\}$ 
  (proof)

```

```

unbundle no intro-cong-syntax
end
end

```

8 Margulis Gabber Galil Construction

This section formalizes the Margulis-Gabber-Galil expander graph, which is defined on the product space $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. The construction is an adaptation of graph introduced by Margulis [8], for which he gave a non-constructive proof of its spectral gap. Later Gabber and Galil [3] adapted the graph and derived an explicit spectral gap, i.e., that the second largest eigenvalue is bounded by $\frac{5}{8}\sqrt{2}$. The proof was later improved by Jimbo and Marouka [6] using Fourier Analysis. Hoory et al. [4, §8] present a slight simplification of that proof (due to Boppala) which this formalization is based on.

```

theory Expander-Graphs-MGG
imports
  HOL-Analysis.Complex-Transcendental
  HOL-Decision-Props.Approximation
  Expander-Graphs-Definition
begin

datatype ('a, 'b) arc = Arc (arc-tail: 'a) (arc-head: 'a) (arc-label: 'b)

fun mgg-graph-step :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (int  $\times$  int)  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  int)  $\Rightarrow$  (int  $\times$  int)
  where mgg-graph-step n (i,j) (l, $\sigma$ ) =
    [ ((i+ $\sigma$ *(2*j+0)) mod int n, j), (i, (j+ $\sigma$ *(2*i+0)) mod int n)
    , ((i+ $\sigma$ *(2*j+1)) mod int n, j), (i, (j+ $\sigma$ *(2*i+1)) mod int n) ] ! l

definition mgg-graph :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (int  $\times$  int, (int  $\times$  int, nat  $\times$  int) arc) pre-digraph where
  mgg-graph n =
    ( verts = {0.. $<$ n}  $\times$  {0.. $<$ n},
      arcs = ( $\lambda$ (t,l). (Arc t (mgg-graph-step n t l) l)) `(({0.. $<$ int n}  $\times$  {0.. $<$ int n})  $\times$  ({.. $<$ 4}  $\times$  {-1,1})),
      tail = arc-tail,
      head = arc-head )

locale margulis-gaber-galil =
  fixes m :: nat
  assumes m-gt-0: m > 0
begin

abbreviation G where G  $\equiv$  mgg-graph m

lemma wf-digraph: wf-digraph (mgg-graph m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mgg-finite: fin-digraph (mgg-graph m)
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation fin-digraph mgg-graph m
  ⟨proof⟩

definition arcs-pos :: (int  $\times$  int, nat  $\times$  int) arc set
  where arcs-pos = ( $\lambda$ (t,l). (Arc t (mgg-graph-step m t (l,1)) (l, 1))) ` (verts G  $\times$  {.. $<$ 4})
definition arcs-neg :: (int  $\times$  int, nat  $\times$  int) arc set
  where arcs-neg = ( $\lambda$ (h,l). (Arc (mgg-graph-step m h (l,1)) h (l,-1))) ` (verts G  $\times$  {.. $<$ 4})

```

```

lemma arcs-sym:
  arcs G = arcs-pos ∪ arcs-neg
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sym: symmetric-multi-graph (mgg-graph m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma out-deg:
  assumes v ∈ verts G
  shows out-degree G v = 8
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma verts-ne:
  verts G ≠ {}
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale regular-graph mgg-graph m
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma d-eq-8: d = 8
  ⟨proof⟩

```

We start by introducing Fourier Analysis on the torus $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. The following is too specialized for a general AFP entry.

```

lemma g-inner-sum-left:
  assumes finite I
  shows g-inner (λx. (∑ i ∈ I. f i x)) g = (∑ i ∈ I. g-inner (f i) g)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma g-inner-sum-right:
  assumes finite I
  shows g-inner f (λx. (∑ i ∈ I. g i x)) = (∑ i ∈ I. g-inner f (g i))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma g-inner-reindex:
  assumes bij-betw h (verts G) (verts G)
  shows g-inner f g = g-inner (λx. (f (h x))) (λx. (g (h x)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition ω_F :: real ⇒ complex where ω_F x = cis (2*pi*x/m)

```

```

lemma ω_F-simps:
  ω_F (x + y) = ω_F x * ω_F y
  ω_F (x - y) = ω_F x * ω_F (-y)
  cnj (ω_F x) = ω_F (-x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ω_F-cong:
  fixes x y :: int
  assumes x mod m = y mod m
  shows ω_F (of-int x) = ω_F (of-int y)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cis-eq-1-imp:
  assumes cis (2 * pi * x) = 1
  shows x ∈ ℤ
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $\omega_F\text{-eq-1-iff}:$ 
  fixes  $x :: \text{int}$ 
  shows  $\omega_F x = 1 \longleftrightarrow x \bmod m = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition  $FT :: (\text{int} \times \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{complex}) \Rightarrow (\text{int} \times \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{complex})$ 
  where  $FT f v = g\text{-inner } f (\lambda x. \omega_F (\text{fst } x * \text{fst } v + \text{snd } x * \text{snd } v))$ 

lemma  $FT\text{-altdef}:$   $FT f (u,v) = g\text{-inner } f (\lambda x. \omega_F (\text{fst } x * u + \text{snd } x * v))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $FT\text{-add}:$   $FT (\lambda x. f x + g x) v = FT f v + FT g v$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $FT\text{-zero}:$   $FT (\lambda x. 0) v = 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $FT\text{-sum}:$ 
  assumes  $\text{finite } I$ 
  shows  $FT (\lambda x. (\sum i \in I. f i x)) v = (\sum i \in I. FT (f i) v)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $FT\text{-scale}:$   $FT (\lambda x. c * f x) v = c * FT f v$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $FT\text{-cong}:$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{verts } G \implies f x = g x$ 
  shows  $FT f = FT g$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{parseval}:$ 
   $g\text{-inner } f g = g\text{-inner } (FT f) (FT g) / m^{\geq 2}$  (is  $?L = ?R$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{plancharel}:$ 
   $(\sum v \in \text{verts } G. \text{norm } (f v)^{\geq 2}) = (\sum v \in \text{verts } G. \text{norm } (FT f v)^{\geq 2}) / m^{\geq 2}$  (is  $?L = ?R$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $FT\text{-swap}:$ 
   $FT (\lambda x. f (\text{snd } x, \text{fst } x)) (u,v) = FT f (v,u)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{mod-add-mult-eq}:$ 
  fixes  $a x y :: \text{int}$ 
  shows  $(a + x * (y \bmod m)) \bmod m = (a + x * y) \bmod m$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition  $\text{periodic}$  where  $\text{periodic } f = (\forall x y. f (x,y) = f (x \bmod \text{int } m, y \bmod \text{int } m))$ 

lemma  $\text{periodicD}:$ 
  assumes  $\text{periodic } f$ 
  shows  $f (x,y) = f (x \bmod m, y \bmod m)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{periodic-comp}:$ 
  assumes  $\text{periodic } f$ 
  shows  $\text{periodic } (\lambda x. g (f x))$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma periodic-cong:
  fixes x y u v :: int
  assumes periodic f
  assumes x mod m = u mod m y mod m = v mod m
  shows f (x,y) = f (u, v)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma periodic-FT: periodic (FT f)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma FT-sheer-aux:
  fixes u v c d :: int
  assumes periodic f
  shows FT (λx. f (fst x, snd x + c * fst x + d)) (u,v) = ω_F (d * v) * FT f (u - c * v, v)
    (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma FT-sheer:
  fixes u v c d :: int
  assumes periodic f
  shows
    FT (λx. f (fst x, snd x + c * fst x + d)) (u,v) = ω_F (d * v) * FT f (u - c * v, v) (is ?A)
    FT (λx. f (fst x, snd x + c * fst x)) (u,v) = FT f (u - c * v, v) (is ?B)
    FT (λx. f (fst x + c * snd x + d, snd x)) (u,v) = ω_F (d * u) * FT f (u, v - c * u) (is ?C)
    FT (λx. f (fst x + c * snd x, snd x)) (u,v) = FT f (u, v - c * u) (is ?D)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition T_1 :: int × int ⇒ int × int where T_1 x = ((fst x + 2 * snd x) mod m, snd x)
definition S_1 :: int × int ⇒ int × int where S_1 x = ((fst x - 2 * snd x) mod m, snd x)
definition T_2 :: int × int ⇒ int × int where T_2 x = (fst x, (snd x + 2 * fst x) mod m)
definition S_2 :: int × int ⇒ int × int where S_2 x = (fst x, (snd x - 2 * fst x) mod m)
```

```
definition γ-aux :: int × int ⇒ real × real
  where γ-aux x = (|fst x/m - 1/2|, |snd x/m - 1/2|)
```

```
definition compare :: real × real ⇒ real × real ⇒ bool
  where compare x y = (fst x ≤ fst y ∧ snd x ≤ snd y ∧ x ≠ y)
```

The value here is different from the value in the source material. This is because the proof in Hoory [4, §8] only establishes the bound $\frac{73}{80}$ while this formalization establishes the improved bound of $\frac{5}{8}\sqrt{2}$.

```
definition α :: real where α = sqrt 2
```

```
lemma α-inv: 1/α = α/2
⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition γ :: int × int ⇒ int × int ⇒ real
  where γ x y = (if compare (γ-aux x) (γ-aux y) then α else (if compare (γ-aux y) (γ-aux x) then (1 / α) else 1))
```

```
lemma γ-sym: γ x y * γ y x = 1
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma γ-nonneg: γ x y ≥ 0
⟨proof⟩
```

definition $\tau :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where** $\tau x = |\cos(pi*x/m)|$

definition $\gamma' :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

where $\gamma' x y = (\text{if } \text{abs}(x - 1/2) < \text{abs}(y - 1/2) \text{ then } \alpha \text{ else } (\text{if } \text{abs}(x - 1/2) > \text{abs}(y - 1/2) \text{ then } (1/\alpha) \text{ else } 1))$

definition $\varphi :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

where $\varphi x y = \gamma' y (\text{frac}(y - 2*x)) + \gamma' y (\text{frac}(y + 2*x))$

lemma γ' -cases:

$\text{abs}(x - 1/2) = \text{abs}(y - 1/2) \implies \gamma' x y = 1$

$\text{abs}(x - 1/2) > \text{abs}(y - 1/2) \implies \gamma' x y = 1/\alpha$

$\text{abs}(x - 1/2) < \text{abs}(y - 1/2) \implies \gamma' x y = \alpha$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma if-cong-direct:

assumes $a = b$

assumes $c = d'$

assumes $e = f$

shows $(\text{if } a \text{ then } c \text{ else } e) = (\text{if } b \text{ then } d' \text{ else } f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma γ' -cong:

assumes $\text{abs}(x - 1/2) = \text{abs}(u - 1/2)$

assumes $\text{abs}(y - 1/2) = \text{abs}(v - 1/2)$

shows $\gamma' x y = \gamma' u v$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma add-swap-cong:

fixes $x y u v :: 'a :: \text{ab-semigroup-add}$

assumes $x = y \ u = v$

shows $x + u = v + y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma frac-cong:

fixes $x y :: \text{real}$

assumes $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$

shows $\text{frac } x = \text{frac } y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma frac-expand:

fixes $x :: \text{real}$

shows $\text{frac } x = (\text{if } x < (-1) \text{ then } (x - \lfloor x \rfloor) \text{ else } (\text{if } x < 0 \text{ then } (x + 1) \text{ else } (\text{if } x < 1 \text{ then } x \text{ else } (\text{if } x < 2 \text{ then } (x - 1) \text{ else } (x - \lfloor x \rfloor))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma one-minus-frac:

fixes $x :: \text{real}$

shows $1 - \text{frac } x = (\text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } \text{frac } (-x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma abs-rev-cong:

fixes $x y :: \text{real}$

assumes $x = -y$

shows $\text{abs } x = \text{abs } y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma cos-pi-ge-0:

```

assumes  $x \in \{-1/2.. 1/2\}$ 
shows  $\cos(pi * x) \geq 0$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

The following is the first step in establishing Eq. 15 in Hoory et al. [4, §8]. Afterwards using various symmetries (diagonal, x-axis, y-axis) the result will follow for the entire square $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

lemma *fun-bound-real-3*:

```

assumes  $0 \leq x \leq y \leq 1/2$   $(x,y) \neq (0,0)$ 
shows  $|\cos(pi*x)|*\varphi x y + |\cos(pi*y)|*\varphi y x \leq 2.5 * \sqrt{2}$  (is ?L ≤ ?R)
⟨proof⟩

```

Extend to square $[0, \frac{1}{2}] \times [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ using symmetry around $x=y$ axis.

lemma *fun-bound-real-2*:

```

assumes  $x \in \{0..1/2\} y \in \{0..1/2\}$   $(x,y) \neq (0,0)$ 
shows  $|\cos(pi*x)|*\varphi x y + |\cos(pi*y)|*\varphi y x \leq 2.5 * \sqrt{2}$  (is ?L ≤ ?R)
⟨proof⟩

```

Extend to $x > \frac{1}{2}$ using symmetry around $x = \frac{1}{2}$ axis.

lemma *fun-bound-real-1*:

```

assumes  $x \in \{0..<1\} y \in \{0..1/2\}$   $(x,y) \neq (0,0)$ 
shows  $|\cos(pi*x)|*\varphi x y + |\cos(pi*y)|*\varphi y x \leq 2.5 * \sqrt{2}$  (is ?L ≤ ?R)
⟨proof⟩

```

Extend to $y > \frac{1}{2}$ using symmetry around $y = \frac{1}{2}$ axis.

lemma *fun-bound-real*:

```

assumes  $x \in \{0..<1\} y \in \{0..<1\}$   $(x,y) \neq (0,0)$ 
shows  $|\cos(pi*x)|*\varphi x y + |\cos(pi*y)|*\varphi y x \leq 2.5 * \sqrt{2}$  (is ?L ≤ ?R)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *mod-to-fraction*:

```

fixes  $x :: int$ 
shows  $real-of-int(x \bmod m) = m * frac(x/m)$  (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *fun-bound*:

```

assumes  $v \in verts G$   $v \neq (0,0)$ 
shows  $\tau(fst v) * (\gamma v (S_2 v) + \gamma v (T_2 v)) + \tau(snd v) * (\gamma v (S_1 v) + \gamma v (T_1 v)) \leq 2.5 * \sqrt{2}$ 
(is ?L ≤ ?R)
⟨proof⟩

```

Equation 15 in Proof of Theorem 8.8

lemma *hoory-8-8*:

```

fixes  $f :: int \times int \Rightarrow real$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge x. f x \geq 0$ 
assumes  $f(0,0) = 0$ 
assumes periodic  $f$ 
shows  $g\text{-inner } f (\lambda x. f(S_2 x) * \tau(fst x) + f(S_1 x) * \tau(snd x)) \leq 1.25 * \sqrt{2} * g\text{-norm } f^{\sim 2}$ 
(is ?L ≤ ?R)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *hoory-8-7*:

```

fixes  $f :: int \times int \Rightarrow complex$ 
assumes  $f(0,0) = 0$ 
assumes periodic  $f$ 
shows  $norm(g\text{-inner } f (\lambda x. f(S_2 x) * (1 + \omega_F(fst x)) + f(S_1 x) * (1 + \omega_F(snd x))))$ 
 $\leq (2.5 * \sqrt{2}) * (\sum v \in verts G. norm(f v)^{\sim 2})$  (is ?L ≤ ?R)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma hoory-8-3:
  assumes g-inner f ( $\lambda\_. 1$ ) = 0
  assumes periodic f
  shows |( $\sum_{(x,y) \in \text{verts } G} f(x,y) * (f(x+2*y,y) + f(x+2*y+1,y) + f(x,y+2*x) + f(x,y+2*x+1))$ )|
     $\leq (2.5 * \sqrt{2}) * \text{g-norm } f^{\wedge 2}$  (is  $|?L| \leq ?R$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Inequality stated before Theorem 8.3 in Hoory.

```

lemma mgg-numerical-radius-aux:
  assumes g-inner f ( $\lambda\_. 1$ ) = 0
  shows |( $\sum_{a \in \text{arcs } G} f(\text{head } G a) * f(\text{tail } G a)$ )|  $\leq (5 * \sqrt{2}) * \text{g-norm } f^{\wedge 2}$  (is  $?L \leq ?R$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition MGG-bound :: real
  where MGG-bound =  $5 * \sqrt{2} / 8$ 

```

Main result: Theorem 8.2 in Hoory.

```

lemma mgg-numerical-radius:  $\Lambda_a \leq \text{MGG-bound}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

end

9 Random Walks

theory Expander-Graphs-Walks

```

imports
  Expander-Graphs-Algebra
  Expander-Graphs-Eigenvalues
  Expander-Graphs-TTS
  Constructive-Chernoff-Bound

```

begin

unbundle intro-cong-syntax

no-notation Matrix.vec-index (**infixl** $\langle \$ \rangle$ 100)

hide-const Matrix.vec-index

hide-const Matrix.vec

no-notation Matrix.scalar-prod (**infix** \leftrightarrow 70)

fun walks' :: ('a,'b) pre-digraph \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a list) multiset

where

 walks' G 0 = image-mset ($\lambda x. [x]$) (mset-set (verts G)) |

 walks' G (Suc n) =

 concat-mset {# {# w @ [z]. z \in # vertices-from G (last w) #}. w \in # walks' G n #}

definition walks G l = (case l of 0 \Rightarrow {# [] #} | Suc pl \Rightarrow walks' G pl)

```

lemma Union-image-mono: ( $\bigwedge x. x \in A \Rightarrow f x \subseteq g x$ )  $\Rightarrow \bigcup (f ` A) \subseteq \bigcup (g ` A)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

context fin-digraph

begin

```

lemma count-walks':
  assumes set xs ⊆ verts G
  assumes length xs = l+1
  shows count (walks' G l) xs = ( $\prod_{i \in \{.. < l\}} \text{count}(\text{edges } G)(xs ! i, xs ! (i+1))$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma count-walks:
  assumes set xs ⊆ verts G
  assumes length xs = l l > 0
  shows count (walks G l) xs = ( $\prod_{i \in \{.. < l-1\}} \text{count}(\text{edges } G)(xs ! i, xs ! (i+1))$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-walks':
  set-mset (walks' G l) ⊆ {xs. set xs ⊆ verts G ∧ length xs = (l+1)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-walks:
  set-mset (walks G l) ⊆ {xs. set xs ⊆ verts G ∧ length xs = l}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-walks-2:
  assumes xs ∈# walks' G l
  shows set xs ⊆ verts G xs ≠ []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-walks-3:
  assumes xs ∈# walks G l
  shows set xs ⊆ verts G length xs = l
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma measure-pmf-of-multiset:
  assumes A ≠ {#}
  shows measure (pmf-of-multiset A) S = real (size (filter-mset (λx. x ∈ S) A)) / size A
  (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pmf-of-multiset-image-mset:
  assumes A ≠ {#}
  shows pmf-of-multiset (image-mset f A) = map-pmf f (pmf-of-multiset A)
  ⟨proof⟩

context regular-graph
begin

lemma size-walks':
  size (walks' G l) = card (verts G) * d^l
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma size-walks:
  size (walks G l) = (if l > 0 then n * d^(l-1) else 1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma walks-nonempty:
  walks G l ≠ {#}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

context regular-graph-tts
begin

lemma g-step-remains-orth:
  assumes g-inner f ( $\lambda\_. 1$ ) = 0
  shows g-inner (g-step f) ( $\lambda\_. 1$ ) = 0 (is ?L = ?R)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma spec-bound:
  spec-bound A  $\Lambda_a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

A spectral expansion rule that does not require orthogonality of the vector for the stationary distribution:

lemma expansionD3:
   $|g\text{-inner } f(g\text{-step } f)| \leq \Lambda_a * g\text{-norm } f^2 + (1 - \Lambda_a) * g\text{-inner } f(\lambda\_. 1)^2 / n$  (is ?L  $\leq$  ?R)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition ind-mat where ind-mat S = diag (ind-vec (enum-verts -c S))

lemma walk-distr:
  measure (pmf-of-multiset (walks G l)) { $\omega$ . ( $\forall i < l$ .  $\omega ! i \in S$ )} =
  foldl ( $\lambda x M$ .  $M * v x$ ) stat (intersperse A (map ( $\lambda i$ . ind-mat (S i)) [0..<l])) · 1
  (is ?L = ?R)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma hitting-property:
  assumes S  $\subseteq$  verts G
  assumes I  $\subseteq$  {.. $< l$ }
  defines  $\mu \equiv \text{real}(\text{card } S) / \text{card}(\text{verts } G)$ 
  shows measure (pmf-of-multiset (walks G l)) {w. set (nths w I)  $\subseteq$  S}  $\leq (\mu + \Lambda_a * (1 - \mu))^{\text{card } I}$ 
  (is ?L  $\leq$  ?R)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma uniform-property:
  assumes i  $< l$  x  $\in$  verts G
  shows measure (pmf-of-multiset (walks G l)) {w. w ! i = x} = 1 / real (card (verts G))
  (is ?L = ?R)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

context regular-graph
begin

lemmas expansionD3 =
  regular-graph-tts.expansionD3[OF eg-tts-1,
  internalize-sort 'n :: finite, OF - regular-graph-axioms,
  unfolded remove-finite-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF verts-non-empty]

lemmas g-step-remains-orth =
  regular-graph-tts.g-step-remains-orth[OF eg-tts-1,
  internalize-sort 'n :: finite, OF - regular-graph-axioms,
  unfolded remove-finite-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF verts-non-empty]

lemmas hitting-property =

```

```

regular-graph-tts.hitting-property[OF eg-tts-1,
internalize-sort 'n :: finite, OF - regular-graph-axioms,
unfolded remove-finite-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF verts-non-empty]

lemmas uniform-property-2 =
regular-graph-tts.uniform-property[OF eg-tts-1,
internalize-sort 'n :: finite, OF - regular-graph-axioms,
unfolded remove-finite-premise, cancel-type-definition, OF verts-non-empty]

theorem uniform-property:
assumes i < l
shows map-pmf ( $\lambda w. w ! i$ ) (pmf-of-multiset (walks G l)) = pmf-of-set (verts G) (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma uniform-property-gen:
fixes S :: 'a set
assumes S ⊆ verts G i < l
defines  $\mu \equiv \text{real}(\text{card } S) / \text{card}(\text{verts } G)$ 
shows measure (pmf-of-multiset (walks G l)) {w. w ! i ∈ S} =  $\mu$  (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

theorem kl-chernoff-property:
assumes l > 0
assumes S ⊆ verts G
defines  $\mu \equiv \text{real}(\text{card } S) / \text{card}(\text{verts } G)$ 
assumes  $\gamma \leq 1$   $\mu + \Lambda_a * (1 - \mu) \in \{0 <.. \gamma\}$ 
shows measure (pmf-of-multiset (walks G l)) {w. real(card{i ∈ {..<l}. w ! i ∈ S}) ≥ γ*l}
 $\leq \exp(-\text{real } l * \text{KL-div } \gamma (\mu + \Lambda_a * (1 - \mu)))$  (is ?L ≤ ?R)
⟨proof⟩

end

unbundle no intro-cong-syntax

end

```

10 Graph Powers

```

theory Expander-Graphs-Power-Construction
imports
  Expander-Graphs-Walks
  Graph-Theory.Arc-Walk
begin

unbundle intro-cong-syntax

fun is-arc-walk :: ('a, 'b) pre-digraph ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b list ⇒ bool
  where
    is-arc-walk G [] = True |
    is-arc-walk G y (x#xs) = (is-arc-walk G (head G x) xs ∧ tail G x = y ∧ x ∈ arcs G)

definition arc-walk-head :: ('a, 'b) pre-digraph ⇒ ('a × 'b list) ⇒ 'a
  where
    arc-walk-head G x = (if snd x = [] then fst x else head G (last (snd x)))

lemma is-arc-walk-snoc:
  is-arc-walk G y (xs@[x]) ←→ is-arc-walk G y xs ∧ x ∈ out-arcs G (arc-walk-head G (y, xs))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-arc-walk-set*:
 assumes *is-arc-walk G u w*
 shows *set w ⊆ arcs G*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *wf-digraph*) *awalk-is-arc-walk*:
 assumes *u ∈ verts G*
 shows *is-arc-walk G u w ↔ awalk u w (awlast u w)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *arc-walks* :: $('a, 'b) pre-digraph \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b list) set$
where
 $arc\text{-}walks\ G\ l = \{(u, w). u \in verts\ G \wedge is\text{-}arc\text{-}walk\ G\ u\ w \wedge length\ w = l\}$

lemma *arc-walks-len*:
 assumes *x ∈ arc-walks G l*
 shows *length (snd x) = l*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *wf-digraph*) *awhd-of-arc-walk*:
 assumes *w ∈ arc-walks G l*
 shows *awhd (fst w) (snd w) = fst w*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *wf-digraph*) *awlast-of-arc-walk*:
 assumes *w ∈ arc-walks G l*
 shows *awlast (fst w) (snd w) = arc-walk-head G w*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *wf-digraph*) *arc-walk-head-wellformed*:
 assumes *w ∈ arc-walks G l*
 shows *arc-walk-head G w ∈ verts G*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *wf-digraph*) *arc-walk-tail-wellformed*:
 assumes *w ∈ arc-walks G l*
 shows *fst w ∈ verts G*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *fin-digraph*) *arc-walks-fin*:
 finite (*arc-walks G l*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *wf-digraph*) *awalk-verts-unfold*:
 assumes *w ∈ arc-walks G l*
 shows *awalk-verts (fst w) (snd w) = fst w # map (head G) (snd w)* (**is** *?L = ?R*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *fin-digraph*) *arc-walks-map-walks'*:
 walks' G l = image-mset (case-prod awalk-verts) (mset-set (arc-walks G l))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *fin-digraph*) *arc-walks-map-walks*:
 walks G (l+1) = image-mset (case-prod awalk-verts) (mset-set (arc-walks G l))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma (in wf-digraph)
  assumes awalk u a v length a = l l > 0
  shows awalk-ends: tail G (hd a) = u head G (last a) = v
  ⟨proof⟩

definition graph-power :: ('a, 'b) pre-digraph ⇒ nat ⇒ ('a, ('a × 'b list)) pre-digraph
  where graph-power G l =
    () verts = verts G, arcs = arc-walks G l, tail = fst, head = arc-walk-head G ()

lemma (in wf-digraph) graph-power-wf:
  wf-digraph (graph-power G l)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in fin-digraph) graph-power-fin:
  fin-digraph (graph-power G l)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in fin-digraph) graph-power-count-edges:
  fixes l v w
  defines S ≡ {x. length x = l + 1 ∧ set x ⊆ verts G ∧ hd x = v ∧ last x = w}
  shows count (edges (graph-power G l)) (v, w) = (∑ x ∈ S. (∏ i < l. count (edges G) (x!i, x!(i+1))))
  (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in fin-digraph) graph-power-sym-aux:
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph G
  assumes v ∈ verts (graph-power G l) w ∈ verts (graph-power G l)
  shows card (arcs-betw (graph-power G l) v w) = card (arcs-betw (graph-power G l) w v)
  (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in fin-digraph) graph-power-sym:
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph G
  shows symmetric-multi-graph (graph-power G l)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in fin-digraph) graph-power-out-degree':
  assumes reg: ∀ v. v ∈ verts G ⇒ out-degree G v = d
  assumes v ∈ verts (graph-power G l)
  shows out-degree (graph-power G l) v = d ^ l (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in regular-graph) graph-power-out-degree:
  assumes v ∈ verts (graph-power G l)
  shows out-degree (graph-power G l) v = d ^ l (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in regular-graph) graph-power-regular:
  regular-graph (graph-power G l)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in regular-graph) graph-power-degree:
  regular-graph.d (graph-power G l) = d ^ l (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in regular-graph) graph-power-step:
  assumes x ∈ verts G
  shows regular-graph.g-step (graph-power G l) f x = (g-step ^ ^ l) f x

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma (in regular-graph) graph-power-expansion:
  regular-graph. $\Lambda_a$  (graph-power G l)  $\leq \Lambda_a \lceil l$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

unbundle no intro-cong-syntax

end

11 Strongly Explicit Expander Graphs

In some applications, representing an expander graph using a data structure (for example as an adjacency lists) would be prohibitive. For such cases strongly explicit expander graphs (SEE) are relevant. These are expander graphs, which can be represented implicitly using a function that computes for each vertex its neighbors in space and time logarithmic w.r.t. to the size of the graph. An application can for example sample a random walk, from a SEE using such a function efficiently. An example of such a graph is the Margulis construction from Section 8. This section presents the latter as a SEE but also shows that two graph operations that preserve the SEE property, in particular the graph power construction from Section 10 and a compression scheme introduced by Murtagh et al. [9, Theorem 20]. Combining all of the above it is possible to construct strongly explicit expander graphs of *every size* and spectral gap.

```
theory Expander-Graphs-Strongly-Explicit
  imports Expander-Graphs-Power-Construction Expander-Graphs-MGG
begin

  unbundle intro-cong-syntax
  no-notation Digraph.dominates (⟨- →1 -⟩ [100,100] 40)

  record strongly-explicit-expander =
    see-size :: nat
    see-degree :: nat
    see-step :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat

  definition graph-of :: strongly-explicit-expander ⇒ (nat, (nat,nat) arc) pre-digraph
    where graph-of e =
      ⟨⟨
        verts = {..<see-size e},
        arcs = (λ(v, i). Arc v (see-step e i v) i) ‘ ({..<see-size e} × {..<see-degree e}),
        tail = arc-tail,
        head = arc-head
      ⟩⟩

  definition is-expander e  $\Lambda_a \longleftrightarrow$ 
    regular-graph (graph-of e) ∧ regular-graph. $\Lambda_a$  (graph-of e)  $\leq \Lambda_a$ 

  lemma is-expander-mono:
    assumes is-expander e a  $a \leq b$ 
    shows is-expander e b
  ⟨proof⟩

  lemma graph-of-finI:
    assumes see-step e ∈ ({..<see-degree e} → ({..<see-size e} → {..<see-size e}))
    shows fin-digraph (graph-of e)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma edges-graph-of:
  edges(graph-of e) = {#(v, see-step e i v). (v,i) ∈ #mset-set ({.. < see-size e} × {.. < see-degree e})#}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma out-degree-see:
  assumes v ∈ verts (graph-of e)
  shows out-degree (graph-of e) v = see-degree e (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma card-arc-walks-see:
  assumes fin-digraph (graph-of e)
  shows card (arc-walks (graph-of e) n) = see-degree e ^ n * see-size e (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma regular-graph-degree-eq-see-degree:
  assumes regular-graph (graph-of e)
  shows regular-graph.d (graph-of e) = see-degree e (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The following introduces the compression scheme, described in [9, Theorem 20].

```

fun see-compress :: nat ⇒ strongly-explicit-expander ⇒ strongly-explicit-expander
  where see-compress m e =
    () see-size = m, see-degree = see-degree e * 2
    , see-step = (λ v.
      if k < see-degree e
      then (see-step e k v) mod m
      else (if v+m < see-size e then (see-step e (k-see-degree e) (v+m)) mod m else v))

```

```

lemma edges-of-compress:
  fixes e m
  assumes 2*m ≥ see-size e m ≤ see-size e
  defines A ≡ {# (x mod m, y mod m). (x,y) ∈ # edges (graph-of e) #}
  defines B ≡ repeat-mset (see-degree e) {# (x,x). x ∈ # (mset-set {see-size e - m..<m}) #}
  shows edges (graph-of (see-compress m e)) = A + B (is ?L = ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma see-compress-sym:
  assumes 2*m ≥ see-size e m ≤ see-size e
  assumes symmetric-multi-graph (graph-of e)
  shows symmetric-multi-graph (graph-of (see-compress m e))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma see-compress:
  assumes is-expander e Λ_a
  assumes 2*m ≥ see-size e m ≤ see-size e
  shows is-expander (see-compress m e) (Λ_a/2 + 1/2)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The graph power of a strongly explicit expander graph is itself a strongly explicit expander graph.

```

fun to-digits :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list
  where
    to-digits - 0 - = []
    to-digits b (Suc l) k = (k mod b) # to-digits b l (k div b)

```

```

fun from-digits :: nat ⇒ nat list ⇒ nat
  where
    from-digits b [] = 0 |

```

```

from-digits b (x#xs) = x + b * from-digits b xs

lemma to-from-digits:
  assumes length xs = n set xs ⊆ {..<b}
  shows to-digits b n (from-digits b xs) = xs
⟨proof⟩

lemma from-digits-range:
  assumes length xs = n set xs ⊆ {..<b}
  shows from-digits b xs < b^n
⟨proof⟩

lemma from-digits-inj:
  inj-on (from-digits b) {xs. set xs ⊆ {..<b} ∧ length xs = n}
⟨proof⟩

fun see-power :: nat ⇒ strongly-explicit-expander ⇒ strongly-explicit-expander
  where see-power l e =
    () see-size = see-size e, see-degree = see-degree e ↗
    , see-step = (λk v. foldl (λy x. see-step e x y) v (to-digits (see-degree e) l k)) ()

lemma graph-power-iso-see-power:
  assumes fin-digraph (graph-of e)
  shows digraph-iso (graph-power (graph-of e) n) (graph-of (see-power n e))
⟨proof⟩

lemma see-power:
  assumes is-expander e Λ_a
  shows is-expander (see-power n e) (Λ_a ^n)
⟨proof⟩

The Margulis Construction from Section 8 is a strongly explicit expander graph.

definition mgg-vert :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ (int × int)
  where mgg-vert n x = (x mod n, x div n)

definition mgg-vert-inv :: nat ⇒ (int × int) ⇒ nat
  where mgg-vert-inv n x = nat (fst x) + nat (snd x) * n

lemma mgg-vert-inv:
  assumes n > 0 x ∈ {0..<int n} × {0..<int n}
  shows mgg-vert n (mgg-vert-inv n x) = x
⟨proof⟩

definition mgg-arc :: nat ⇒ (nat × int)
  where mgg-arc k = (k mod 4, if k ≥ 4 then (-1) else 1)

definition mgg-arc-inv :: (nat × int) ⇒ nat
  where mgg-arc-inv x = (nat (fst x) + 4 * of-bool (snd x < 0))

lemma mgg-arc-inv:
  assumes x ∈ {..<4} × {-1,1}
  shows mgg-arc (mgg-arc-inv x) = x
⟨proof⟩

definition see-mgg :: nat ⇒ strongly-explicit-expander where
  see-mgg n = () see-size = n^2, see-degree = 8,
  see-step = (λi v. mgg-vert-inv n (mgg-graph-step n (mgg-vert n v) (mgg-arc i))) ()

```

```

lemma mgg-graph-iso:
  assumes n > 0
  shows digraph-iso (mgg-graph n) (graph-of (see-mgg n))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma see-mgg:
  assumes n > 0
  shows is-expander (see-mgg n) (5* sqrt 2 / 8)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Using all of the above it is possible to construct strongly explicit expanders of every size and spectral gap with asymptotically optimal degree.

```

definition see-standard-aux
  where see-standard-aux n = see-compress n (see-mgg (nat ⌈sqrt n⌉))

```

```

lemma see-standard-aux:
  assumes n > 0
  shows
    is-expander (see-standard-aux n) ((8+5 * sqrt 2) / 16) (is ?A)
    see-degree (see-standard-aux n) = 16 (is ?B)
    see-size (see-standard-aux n) = n (is ?C)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition see-standard-power
  where see-standard-power x = (if x ≤ (0::real) then 0 else nat ⌈ln x / ln 0.95⌉)

```

```

lemma see-standard-power:
  assumes Λ_a > 0
  shows 0.95^(see-standard-power Λ_a) ≤ Λ_a (is ?L ≤ ?R)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma see-standard-power-eval[code]:
  see-standard-power x = (if x ≤ 0 ∨ x ≥ 1 then 0 else (1+see-standard-power (x/0.95)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition see-standard :: nat ⇒ real ⇒ strongly-explicit-expander
  where see-standard n Λ_a = see-power (see-standard-power Λ_a) (see-standard-aux n)

```

```

theorem see-standard:
  assumes n > 0 Λ_a > 0
  shows is-expander (see-standard n Λ_a) Λ_a
    and see-size (see-standard n Λ_a) = n
    and see-degree (see-standard n Λ_a) = 16 ^ (nat ⌈ln Λ_a / ln 0.95⌉) (is ?C)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

fun see-sample-walk :: strongly-explicit-expander ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list
  where
    see-sample-walk e 0 x = [x] |
    see-sample-walk e (Suc l) x = (let w = see-sample-walk e l (x div (see-degree e)) in
      w@[see-step e (x mod (see-degree e)) (last w)])

```

```

theorem see-sample-walk:
  fixes e l
  assumes fin-digraph (graph-of e)
  defines r ≡ see-size e * see-degree e ^ l
  shows {# see-sample-walk e l k. k ∈ # mset-set {..<r} #} = walks' (graph-of e) l
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
unbundle no intro-cong-syntax
```

```
end
```

12 Expander Walks as Pseudorandom Objects

```
theory Pseudorandom-Objects-Expander-Walks
imports
  Universal-Hash-Families.Pseudorandom-Objects
  Expander-Graphs.Expander-Graphs-Strongly-Explicit
begin

  unbundle intro-cong-syntax
  hide-const (open) Quantum.T
  hide-fact (open) SN-Orders.of-nat-mono
  hide-fact Missing-Ring.mult-pos-pos

  definition expander-pro :: 
    nat ⇒ real ⇒ ('a,'b) pseudorandom-object-scheme ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a) pseudorandom-object
  where expander-pro l Λ S = (
    let e = see-standard (pro-size S) Λ in
    ⟨⟨ pro-last = see-size e * see-degree e^(l-1) - 1,
      pro-select = (λi j. pro-select S (see-sample-walk e (l-1) i ! j mod pro-size S)) ⟩⟩
  )

  context
    fixes l :: nat
    fixes Λ :: real
    fixes S :: ('a,'b) pseudorandom-object-scheme
    assumes l-gt-0: l > 0
    assumes Λ-gt-0: Λ > 0
  begin

    private definition e where e = see-standard (pro-size S) Λ

    private lemma expander-pro-alt: expander-pro l Λ S = ⟨⟨ pro-last = see-size e * see-degree e^(l-1) - 1,
      pro-select = (λi j. pro-select S (see-sample-walk e (l-1) i ! j mod pro-size S)) ⟩⟩
    ⟨⟨proof⟩⟩ lemmas see-standard = see-standard [OF pro-size-gt-0[where S=S] Λ-gt-0]

    interpretation E: regular-graph graph-of e
      ⟨⟨proof⟩⟩ lemma e-deg-gt-0: see-degree e > 0
      ⟨⟨proof⟩⟩ lemma e-size-gt-0: see-size e > 0
      ⟨⟨proof⟩⟩ lemma expander-sample-size: pro-size (expander-pro l Λ S) = see-size e * see-degree e^(l-1)
      ⟨⟨proof⟩⟩ lemma sample-pro-expander-walks:
      defines R ≡ map-pmf (λxs i. pro-select S (xs ! i mod pro-size S))
      (pmf-of-multiset (walks (graph-of e) l))
      shows sample-pro (expander-pro l Λ S) = R
    ⟨⟨proof⟩⟩

    lemma expander-pro-range: pro-select (expander-pro l Λ S) i j ∈ pro-set S
    ⟨⟨proof⟩⟩

    lemma expander-uniform-property:
      assumes i < l
      shows map-pmf (λw. w i) (sample-pro (expander-pro l Λ S)) = sample-pro S (is ?L = ?R)
```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma expander-kl-chernoff-bound:
  assumes measure (sample-pro S) {w. T w} ≤ μ
  assumes γ ≤ 1 μ + Λ * (1-μ) ≤ γ μ ≤ 1
  shows measure (sample-pro (expander-pro l Λ S)) {w. real (card {i ∈ {... T (w i)}}) ≥ γ*l}
    ≤ exp (- real l * KL-div γ (μ + Λ*(1-μ))) (is ?L ≤ ?R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma expander-chernoff-bound-one-sided:
  assumes AE x in sample-pro S. f x ∈ {0,1::real}
  assumes (ʃ x. f x ∂sample-pro S) ≤ μ l > 0 γ ≥ 0
  shows measure (expander-pro l Λ S) {w. (∑ i<l. f (w i))/l - μ ≥ γ + Λ} ≤ exp (- 2 * real l *
    γ^2)
  (is ?L ≤ ?R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma expander-chernoff-bound:
  assumes AE x in sample-pro S. f x ∈ {0,1::real} l > 0 γ ≥ 0
  defines μ ≡ (ʃ x. f x ∂sample-pro S)
  shows measure (expander-pro l Λ S) {w. |(∑ i<l. f (w i))/l - μ| ≥ γ + Λ} ≤ 2 * exp (- 2 * real l *
    γ^2)
  (is ?L ≤ ?R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma expander-pro-size:
  pro-size (expander-pro l Λ S) = pro-size S * (16 ^ ((l-1) * nat ⌈ ln Λ / ln (19 / 20)⌉))
  (is ?L = ?R)
⟨proof⟩

end

open-bundle expander-pseudorandom-object-syntax
begin
  notation expander-pro (⟨E⟩)
end

unbundle no intro-cong-syntax

end

```

References

- [1] J. Divasón, O. Kunar, R. Thiemann, and A. Yamada. Perron-frobenius theorem for spectral radius analysis. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, May 2016. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Perron_Frobenius.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] M. Echenim. Simultaneous diagonalization of pairwise commuting hermitian matrices. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, July 2022. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Commuting_Hermitian.html, Formal proof development.
- [3] O. Gabber and Z. Galil. Explicit constructions of linear-sized superconcentrators. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 22(3):407–420, 1981.
- [4] S. Hoory and N. Linial. Expander graphs and their applications. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 43:439–561, 2006.
- [5] R. Impagliazzo and V. Kabanets. Constructive proofs of concentration bounds. In M. Serna, R. Shaltiel, K. Jansen, and J. Rolim, editors, *Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques*, pages 617–631, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

- [6] S. Jimbo and A. Maruoka. Expanders obtained from affine transformations. *Combinatorica*, 7(4), 1987.
- [7] O. Kuncar and A. Popescu. From types to sets by local type definition in higher-order logic. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 62:237 – 260, 2016.
- [8] G. A. Margulis. Explicit construction of a concentrator. *Probl. Peredachi Inf.*, 9(4):71–80, 1973.
- [9] J. Murtagh, O. Reingold, A. Sidford, and S. Vadhan. Deterministic Approximation of Random Walks in Small Space. In D. Achlioptas and L. A. Végh, editors, *Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques (APPROX/RANDOM 2019)*, volume 145 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 42:1–42:22, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2019. Schloss Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik.
- [10] L. Noschinski. Graph theory. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, April 2013. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Graph_Theory.html, Formal proof development.
- [11] S. P. Vadhan. Pseudorandomness. *Foundations and Trends(R) in Theoretical Computer Science*, 7(13):1–336, 2012.