

The Group Law for Elliptic Curves

Stefan Berghofer

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We prove the group law for elliptic curves in Weierstrass form over fields of characteristic greater than 2. In addition to affine coordinates, we also formalize projective coordinates, which allow for more efficient computations. By specializing the abstract formalization to prime fields, we can apply the curve operations to parameters used in standard security protocols.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Formalization using Axiomatic Type Classes	2
2.1	Affine Coordinates	2
2.2	Projective Coordinates	38
3	Formalization using Locales	45
3.1	Affine Coordinates	45
3.2	Projective Coordinates	85
4	Validating the Specification	93
4.1	Specialized Definitions for Prime Fields	93
4.2	The NIST Curve P-521	98

1 Introduction

Elliptic curves play an important role in cryptography, since they allow to achieve a security level that is comparable to that of RSA, while requiring a smaller key size and less computation time. The primitive operation on elliptic curves is *point addition*. To ensure the proper functioning of cryptographic algorithms based on elliptic curves, such as Diffie-Hellman key exchange (ECDH) or digital signatures (ECDSA), it is important that the points on the curve form a group with respect to point addition.

Our formalization of elliptic curves is based on earlier work by Laurent Théry in Coq [4]. Like its Coq counterpart, the Isabelle formalization uses decision procedures for rings and fields based on reflection, which are executed using Isabelle's code generator for efficiency reasons. The decision procedure for rings is due to Grégoire and Mahboubi [3] and was ported from Coq to Isabelle by Bernhard Haeupler.

The formalization exists in two flavours: one based on axiomatic type classes, and another one based on locales. While the axiomatic type class version is more concise, the locale version is more suitable for working with concrete rings or fields like prime fields.

2 Formalization using Axiomatic Type Classes

```

theory Elliptic-Axclass
imports HOL-Decision-Procs.Reflective-Field
begin

2.1 Affine Coordinates

datatype 'a point = Infinity | Point 'a 'a

class ell-field = field +
  assumes two-not-zero:  $2 \neq 0$ 
begin

definition nonsingular :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  nonsingular a b = ( $4 * a^3 + 27 * b^2 \neq 0$ )

definition on-curve :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a point  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  on-curve a b p = (case p of
    Infinity  $\Rightarrow$  True
    | Point x y  $\Rightarrow$   $y^2 = x^3 + a * x + b$ )

definition add :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a point  $\Rightarrow$  'a point  $\Rightarrow$  'a point where
  add a p1 p2 = (case p1 of
    Infinity  $\Rightarrow$  p2
    | Point x1 y1  $\Rightarrow$  (case p2 of
      Infinity  $\Rightarrow$  p1
      | Point x2 y2  $\Rightarrow$ 
        if  $x_1 = x_2$  then
          if  $y_1 = -y_2$  then Infinity
          else
            let
              l =  $(3 * x_1^2 + a) / (2 * y_1)$ ;
              x3 =  $l^2 - 2 * x_1$ 
            in
              Point x3 (-y1 - l * (x3 - x1))
        else
          p2
    )
  )

```

```

let
  l = (y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1);
  x3 = l 2 - x1 - x2
in
  Point x3 (- y1 - l * (x3 - x1)))

definition opp :: 'a point  $\Rightarrow$  'a point where
  opp p = (case p of
    Infinity  $\Rightarrow$  Infinity
    | Point x y  $\Rightarrow$  Point x (- y))

end

lemma on-curve-infinity [simp]: on-curve a b Infinity
  by (simp add: on-curve-def)

lemma opp-Infinity [simp]: opp Infinity = Infinity
  by (simp add: opp-def)

lemma opp-Point: opp (Point x y) = Point x (- y)
  by (simp add: opp-def)

lemma opp-opp: opp (opp p) = p
  by (simp add: opp-def split: point.split)

lemma opp-closed:
  on-curve a b p  $\Longrightarrow$  on-curve a b (opp p)
  by (auto simp add: on-curve-def opp-def power2-eq-square
        split: point.split)

lemma curve-elt-opp:
  assumes p1 = Point x1 y1
  and p2 = Point x2 y2
  and on-curve a b p1
  and on-curve a b p2
  and x1 = x2
  shows p1 = p2  $\vee$  p1 = opp p2
proof -
  from <p1 = Point x1 y1> <on-curve a b p1>
  have y1 2 = x1 2 + a * x1 + b
    by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
  moreover from <p2 = Point x2 y2> <on-curve a b p2> <x1 = x2>
  have x1 2 + a * x1 + b = y2 2
    by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
  ultimately have y1 = y2  $\vee$  y1 = - y2
    by (simp add: square-eq-iff power2-eq-square)
  with <p1 = Point x1 y1> <p2 = Point x2 y2> <x1 = x2> show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: opp-def)
qed

```

```

lemma add-closed:
  assumes on-curve a b p1 and on-curve a b p2
  shows on-curve a b (add a p1 p2)
proof (cases p1)
  case (Point x1 y1)
  note Point' = this
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p2)
    case (Point x2 y2)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases x1 = x2)
      case True
      note True' = this
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases y1 = - y2)
        case True
        with True' Point Point'
        show ?thesis
        by (simp add: on-curve-def add-def)
    next
      case False
      note on-curve1 = <on-curve a b p1> [simplified Point' on-curve-def True',
      simplified]
      from False True' Point Point' assms
      have y1 ≠ 0 by (auto simp add: on-curve-def)
      with False True' Point Point' assms
      show ?thesis
      apply (simp add: on-curve-def add-def Let-def)
      apply (field on-curve1)
      apply (simp add: two-not-zero)
      done
    qed
  next
    case False
    note on-curve1 = <on-curve a b p1> [simplified Point' on-curve-def, simplified]
    note on-curve2 = <on-curve a b p2> [simplified Point on-curve-def, simplified]
    from assms show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: on-curve-def add-def Let-def False Point Point')
    apply (field on-curve1 on-curve2)
    apply (simp add: False [symmetric])
    done
  qed
next
  case Infinity
  with Point <on-curve a b p1> show ?thesis
  by (simp add: add-def)
qed
next

```

```

case Infinity
with ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ show ?thesis
    by (simp add: add-def)
qed

lemma add-case [consumes 2, case-names Infl InfR Opp Tan Gen]:
assumes p: on-curve a b p
and q: on-curve a b q
and R1:  $\bigwedge p. P \text{ Infinity } p$ 
and R2:  $\bigwedge p. P p \text{ Infinity } p$ 
and R3:  $\bigwedge p. \text{on-curve } a b p \implies P p (\text{opp } p) \text{ Infinity }$ 
and R4:  $\bigwedge p_1 x_1 y_1 p_2 x_2 y_2 l.$ 
p1 = Point x1 y1  $\implies$  p2 = Point x2 y2  $\implies$ 
p2 = add a p1 p1  $\implies$  y1 ≠ 0  $\implies$ 
l = ( $3 * x_1 \wedge 2 + a$ ) / ( $2 * y_1$ )  $\implies$ 
x2 = l  $\wedge 2 - 2 * x_1$   $\implies$ 
y2 = −y1 − l * (x2 − x1)  $\implies$ 
    P p1 p1 p2
and R5:  $\bigwedge p_1 x_1 y_1 p_2 x_2 y_2 p_3 x_3 y_3 l.$ 
p1 = Point x1 y1  $\implies$  p2 = Point x2 y2  $\implies$  p3 = Point x3 y3  $\implies$ 
p3 = add a p1 p2  $\implies$  x1 ≠ x2  $\implies$ 
l = (y2 − y1) / (x2 − x1)  $\implies$ 
x3 = l  $\wedge 2 - x_1 - x_2$   $\implies$ 
y3 = −y1 − l * (x3 − x1)  $\implies$ 
    P p1 p2 p3
shows P p q (add a p q)
proof (cases p)
    case Infinity
    then show ?thesis
        by (simp add: add-def R1)
next
    case (Point x1 y1)
    note Point' = this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases q)
        case Infinity
        with Point show ?thesis
            by (simp add: add-def R2)
next
    case (Point x2 y2)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases x1 = x2)
        case True
        note True' = this
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases y1 = −y2)
            case True
            with p Point Point' True' R3 [of p] show ?thesis
                by (simp add: add-def opp-def)

```

```

next
  case False
    from True' Point Point' p q have  $(y_1 - y_2) * (y_1 + y_2) = 0$ 
      by (simp add: on-curve-def ring-distrib power2-eq-square)
    with False have  $y_1 = y_2$ 
      by (simp add: eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0)
    with False True' Point Point' show ?thesis
      apply simp
      apply (rule R4)
      apply (auto simp add: add-def Let-def)
      done
qed
next
  case False
    with Point Point' show ?thesis
      apply -
      apply (rule R5)
      apply (auto simp add: add-def Let-def)
      done
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma eq-opp-is-zero:  $((x::'a::ell-field) = - x) = (x = 0)$ 
proof
  assume  $x = - x$ 
  have  $2 * x = x + x$  by simp
  also from  $\langle x = - x \rangle$ 
  have  $\dots = - x + x$  by simp
  also have  $\dots = 0$  by simp
  finally have  $2 * x = 0$  .
  with two-not-zero [where 'a='a] show  $x = 0$ 
    by simp
qed simp

```

```

lemma add-casew [consumes 2, case-names InfL InfR Opp Gen]:
  assumes p: on-curve a b p
  and q: on-curve a b q
  and R1:  $\bigwedge p. P \text{ Infinity } p p$ 
  and R2:  $\bigwedge p. P p \text{ Infinity } p$ 
  and R3:  $\bigwedge p. \text{on-curve } a b p \implies P p (\text{opp } p) \text{ Infinity}$ 
  and R4:  $\bigwedge p_1 x_1 y_1 p_2 x_2 y_2 p_3 x_3 y_3 l.$ 
   $p_1 = \text{Point } x_1 y_1 \implies p_2 = \text{Point } x_2 y_2 \implies p_3 = \text{Point } x_3 y_3 \implies$ 
   $p_3 = \text{add } a p_1 p_2 \implies p_1 \neq \text{opp } p_2 \implies$ 
   $x_1 = x_2 \wedge y_1 = y_2 \wedge l = (\beta * x_1 \wedge 2 + a) / (2 * y_1) \vee$ 
   $x_1 \neq x_2 \wedge l = (y_2 - y_1) / (x_2 - x_1) \implies$ 
   $x_3 = l \wedge 2 - x_1 - x_2 \implies$ 
   $y_3 = - y_1 - l * (x_3 - x_1) \implies$ 
   $P p_1 p_2 p_3$ 

```

```

shows  $P p q$  ( $\text{add } a p q$ )
using  $p q$ 
apply (rule add-case)
apply (rule R1)
apply (rule R2)
apply (rule R3)
apply assumption
apply (rule R4)
apply assumption+
apply (simp add: opp-def eq-opp-is-zero)
apply simp
apply simp
apply simp
apply (rule R4)
apply assumption+
apply (simp add: opp-def)
apply simp
apply assumption+
done

```

definition

```

 $\text{is-tangent } p q = (p \neq \text{Infinity} \wedge p = q \wedge p \neq \text{opp } q)$ 

```

definition

```

 $\text{is-generic } p q =$ 
 $(p \neq \text{Infinity} \wedge q \neq \text{Infinity} \wedge$ 
 $p \neq q \wedge p \neq \text{opp } q)$ 

```

lemma $\text{diff-neq0}:$

```

 $(a::'a::ring) \neq b \implies a - b \neq 0$ 
 $a \neq b \implies b - a \neq 0$ 
by simp-all

```

lemma $\text{minus2-not0}: (-2::'a::ell-field) \neq 0$

```

using two-not-zero [where 'a='a]
by simp

```

```

lemmas [simp] = minus2-not0 [simplified]

```

```

declare two-not-zero [simplified, simp add]

```

lemma $\text{spec1-assoc}:$

```

assumes  $p_1: \text{on-curve } a b p_1$ 
and  $p_2: \text{on-curve } a b p_2$ 
and  $p_3: \text{on-curve } a b p_3$ 
and  $\text{is-generic } p_1 p_2$ 
and  $\text{is-generic } p_2 p_3$ 
and  $\text{is-generic } (\text{add } a p_1 p_2) p_3$ 
and  $\text{is-generic } p_1 (\text{add } a p_2 p_3)$ 

```

```

shows add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p1 p2) p3
using p1 p2 assms
proof (induct rule: add-case)
  case InfL
    show ?case by (simp add: add-def)
next
  case InfR
    show ?case by (simp add: add-def)
next
  case Opp
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
  case Tan
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
  case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p4 x4 y4 l)
    with <on-curve a b p2> <on-curve a b p3>
    show ?case
    proof (induct rule: add-case)
      case InfL
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
      case InfR
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
      case Opp
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
      case Tan
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
      case (Gen p2 x2' y2' p3 x3 y3 p5 x5 y5 l1)
        from <on-curve a b p2> <on-curve a b p3> <p5 = add a p2 p3>
        have on-curve a b p5 by (simp add: add-closed)
        with <on-curve a b p1> show ?case using Gen [simplified <p2 = Point x2' y2'>]
    proof (induct rule: add-case)
      case InfL
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
      case InfR
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
      case (Opp p)
        from <is-generic p (opp p)>
        show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def opp-opp)
      next
      case Tan
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next

```

```

case (Gen p1 x1' y1' p5' x5' y5' p6 x6 y6 l2)
from ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ ⟨on-curve a b (Point x2' y2')⟩
    ⟨p4 = add a p1 (Point x2' y2')⟩
have on-curve a b p4 by (simp add: add-closed)
then show ?case using ⟨on-curve a b p3⟩ Gen
proof (induct rule: add-case)
    case InfL
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
    case InfR
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
    case (Opp p)
    from ⟨is-generic p (opp p)⟩
    show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def opp-opp)
next
    case Tan
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
    case (Gen p4' x4' y4' p3' x3' y3' p7 x7 y7 l3)
    from ⟨p4' = Point x4' y4'⟩ ⟨p4' = Point x4 y4⟩
    have p4: x4' = x4 y4' = y4 by simp-all
    from ⟨p3' = Point x3' y3'⟩ ⟨p3' = Point x3 y3⟩
    have p3: x3' = x3 y3' = y3 by simp-all
    from ⟨p1 = Point x1' y1'⟩ ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
    have p1: x1' = x1 y1' = y1 by simp-all
    from ⟨p5' = Point x5' y5'⟩ ⟨p5' = Point x5 y5⟩
    have p5: x5' = x5 y5' = y5 by simp-all
    from ⟨Point x2' y2' = Point x2 y2⟩
    have p2: x2' = x2 y2' = y2 by simp-all
note ps = p1 p2 p3 p4 p5
note ps' =
    ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ [simplified p1 = Point x1 y1 on-curve-def, simplified]
    ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ [simplified p2 = Point x2 y2 on-curve-def, simplified]
    ⟨on-curve a b p3⟩ [simplified p3 = Point x3 y3 on-curve-def, simplified]
show ?case
    apply (simp add: p6 = Point x6 y6 p7 = Point x7 y7)
    apply (simp only: ps
        ⟨x6 = l2^2 - x1' - x5'⟩ ⟨x7 = l3^2 - x4' - x3'⟩
        ⟨y6 = - y1' - l2 * (x6 - x1')⟩ ⟨y7 = - y4' - l3 * (x7 - x4')⟩
        ⟨l2 = (y5' - y1') / (x5' - x1')⟩ ⟨l3 = (y3' - y4') / (x3' - x4')⟩
        ⟨l1 = (y3 - y2') / (x3 - x2')⟩ ⟨l = (y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1)⟩
        ⟨x5 = l1^2 - x2' - x3⟩ ⟨y5 = - y2' - l1 * (x5 - x2')⟩
        ⟨x4 = l^2 - x1 - x2⟩ ⟨y4 = - y1 - l * (x4 - x1)⟩)
    apply (rule conjI)
    apply (field ps')
    apply (rule conjI)
    apply (simp add: x2' ≠ x3 [simplified x2' = x2, symmetric])
    apply (rule conjI)

```

```

apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) ps'(1-2))
apply (cut-tac  $\langle x_1' \neq x_5' \rangle$  [simplified  $\langle x_5' = x_5 \rangle$   $\langle x_1' = x_1 \rangle$   $\langle x_5 = l_1^2 - x_2' - x_3 \rangle$ 
 $\langle l_1 = (y_3 - y_2') / (x_3 - x_2') \rangle$   $\langle y_2' = y_2 \rangle$   $\langle x_2' = x_2 \rangle$ ])
apply (erule noteE)
apply (rule sym)
apply (field ps'(1-2))
apply (simp add:  $\langle x_2' \neq x_3 \rangle$  [simplified  $\langle x_2' = x_2 \rangle$ , symmetric])
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add:  $\langle x_1 \neq x_2 \rangle$  [symmetric])
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) ps'(1-2))
apply (cut-tac  $\langle x_4' \neq x_3' \rangle$  [simplified  $\langle x_4' = x_4 \rangle$   $\langle x_3' = x_3 \rangle$   $\langle x_4 = l^2 - x_1 - x_2 \rangle$ 
 $\langle l = (y_2 - y_1) / (x_2 - x_1) \rangle$ ])
apply (erule noteE)
apply (rule sym)
apply (field ps'(1-2))
apply (simp add:  $\langle x_1 \neq x_2 \rangle$  [symmetric])
apply (field ps')
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) ps'(1-2))
apply (cut-tac  $\langle x_1' \neq x_5' \rangle$  [simplified  $\langle x_5' = x_5 \rangle$   $\langle x_1' = x_1 \rangle$   $\langle x_5 = l_1^2 - x_2' - x_3 \rangle$ 
 $\langle l_1 = (y_3 - y_2') / (x_3 - x_2') \rangle$   $\langle y_2' = y_2 \rangle$   $\langle x_2' = x_2 \rangle$ ])
apply (erule noteE)
apply (rule sym)
apply (field ps'(1-2))
apply (simp add:  $\langle x_2' \neq x_3 \rangle$  [simplified  $\langle x_2' = x_2 \rangle$ , symmetric])
apply (rule conjI)
apply (simp add:  $\langle x_2' \neq x_3 \rangle$  [simplified  $\langle x_2' = x_2 \rangle$ , symmetric])
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) ps'(1-2))
apply (cut-tac  $\langle x_4' \neq x_3' \rangle$  [simplified  $\langle x_4' = x_4 \rangle$   $\langle x_3' = x_3 \rangle$   $\langle x_4 = l^2 - x_1 - x_2 \rangle$ 
 $\langle l = (y_2 - y_1) / (x_2 - x_1) \rangle$ ])
apply (erule noteE)
apply (rule sym)
apply (field ps'(1-2))
apply (simp-all add:  $\langle x_1 \neq x_2 \rangle$  [symmetric])
done
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma spec2-assoc:
  assumes p1: on-curve a b p1
  and p2: on-curve a b p2
  and p3: on-curve a b p3
  and is-generic p1 p2
  and is-tangent p2 p3
  and is-generic (add a p1 p2) p3
  and is-generic p1 (add a p2 p3)
  shows add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p1 p2) p3
  using p1 p2 assms
  proof (induct rule: add-case)
    case InfL
    show ?case by (simp add: add-def)
  next
    case InfR
    show ?case by (simp add: add-def)
  next
    case Opp
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
  next
    case Tan
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
  next
    case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p4 x4 y4 l)
    with <on-curve a b p2> <on-curve a b p3>
    show ?case
    proof (induct rule: add-case)
      case InfL
      then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
    next
      case InfR
      then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
    next
      case Opp
      then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
    next
      case (Tan p2 x2' y2' p5 x5 y5 l1)
      from <on-curve a b p2> <p5 = add a p2 p2>
      have on-curve a b p5 by (simp add: add-closed)
      with <on-curve a b p1> show ?case using Tan
      proof (induct rule: add-case)
        case InfL
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
        case InfR
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
      case (Opp p)
      from <is-generic p (opp p)> <on-curve a b p>

```

```

show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def opp-opp)
next
  case Tan
  then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
  case (Gen p1 x1' y1' p5' x5' y5' p6 x6 y6 l2)
  from <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2> <p4 = add a p1 p2>
  have on-curve a b p4 by (simp add: add-closed)
  then show ?case using <on-curve a b p2> Gen
  proof (induct rule: add-case)
    case Infl
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
  next
    case Infr
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
  next
    case (Opp p)
    from <is-generic p (opp p)>
    show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def opp-opp)
  next
    case Tan
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
  next
    case (Gen p4' x4' y4' p3' x3' y3' p7 x7 y7 l3)
    from
      <on-curve a b p1> <p1 = Point x1 y1>
      <on-curve a b p2> <p2 = Point x2 y2>
    have
      y1:  $y_1 \wedge 2 = x_1 \wedge 3 + a * x_1 + b$  and
      y2:  $y_2 \wedge 2 = x_2 \wedge 3 + a * x_2 + b$ 
      by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
    from
      <p5' = Point x5' y5'>
      <p5' = Point x5 y5>
      <p4' = Point x4' y4'>
      <p4' = Point x4 y4>
      <p3' = Point x2' y2'>
      <p3' = Point x2 y2>
      <p3' = Point x3' y3'>
      <p1 = Point x1' y1'>
      <p1 = Point x1 y1>
    have ps:
      x5' = x5 y5' = y5
      x4' = x4 y4' = y4 x3' = x2 y3' = y2 x2' = x2 y2' = y2
      x1' = x1 y1' = y1
      by simp-all
    show ?case
      apply (simp add: <p6 = Point x6 y6> <p7 = Point x7 y7>)
      apply (simp only: ps)

```

```

⟨x7 = l3 ^ 2 - x4' - x3'⟩
⟨y7 = - y4' - l3 * (x7 - x4')⟩
⟨l3 = (y3' - y4') / (x3' - x4')⟩
⟨x6 = l2 ^ 2 - x1' - x5'⟩
⟨y6 = - y1' - l2 * (x6 - x1')⟩
⟨l2 = (y5' - y1') / (x5' - x1')⟩
⟨x5 = l1 ^ 2 - 2 * x2'⟩
⟨y5 = - y2' - l1 * (x5 - x2')⟩
⟨l1 = (3 * x2' ^ 2 + a) / (2 * y2')⟩
⟨x4 = l ^ 2 - x1 - x2'⟩
⟨y4 = - y1 - l * (x4 - x1')⟩
⟨l = (y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1')⟩)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (intro conjI)
apply (simp add: ⟨y2' ≠ 0⟩ [simplified ⟨y2' = y2⟩])
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)
apply (rule noteE [OF ⟨x1' ≠ x5'⟩ [simplified
    ⟨x5 = l1 ^ 2 - 2 * x2'⟩
    ⟨l1 = (3 * x2' ^ 2 + a) / (2 * y2')⟩
    ⟨x1' = x1⟩ ⟨x2' = x2⟩ ⟨y2' = y2⟩ ⟨x5' = x5⟩]]])
apply (rule sym)
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (simp add: ⟨y2' ≠ 0⟩ [simplified ⟨y2' = y2⟩])
apply (simp add: ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ [symmetric])
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)
apply (rule noteE [OF ⟨x4' ≠ x3'⟩ [simplified
    ⟨x4 = l ^ 2 - x1 - x2'⟩
    ⟨l = (y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1')⟩
    ⟨x4' = x4⟩ ⟨x3' = x2⟩]]])
apply (rule sym)
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (simp add: ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ [symmetric])
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (intro conjI)
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)
apply (rule noteE [OF ⟨x1' ≠ x5'⟩ [simplified
    ⟨x5 = l1 ^ 2 - 2 * x2'⟩
    ⟨l1 = (3 * x2' ^ 2 + a) / (2 * y2')⟩
    ⟨x1' = x1⟩ ⟨x2' = x2⟩ ⟨y2' = y2⟩ ⟨x5' = x5⟩]]])
apply (rule sym)
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (simp add: ⟨y2' ≠ 0⟩ [simplified ⟨y2' = y2⟩])
apply (simp add: ⟨y2' ≠ 0⟩ [simplified ⟨y2' = y2⟩])
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)

```

```

apply (rule noteE [OF ‹x4' ≠ x3›] [simplified
  ‹x4 = l ∧ 2 - x1 - x2›
  ‹l = (y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1)›
  ‹x4' = x4› ‹x3' = x2›])
apply (rule sym)
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (simp-all add: ‹x1 ≠ x2› [symmetric])
done
qed
qed
next
  case (Gen p3 x3 y3 p5 x5 y5 p6 x6 y6 l1)
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-tangent-def)
qed
qed

lemma spec3-assoc:
assumes p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2
and p3: on-curve a b p3
and is-generic p1 p2
and is-tangent p2 p3
and is-generic (add a p1 p2) p3
and is-tangent p1 (add a p2 p3)
shows add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p1 p2) p3
using p1 p2 assms
proof (induct rule: add-case)
  case InfL
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
  case InfR
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
  case Opp
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
  case Tan
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
  case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p4 x4 y4 l)
    with ‹on-curve a b p2› ‹on-curve a b p3›
    show ?case
  proof (induct rule: add-case)
    case InfL
      then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
    next
      case InfR
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
    next
  
```

```

case Opp
  then show ?case by (simp add: is-tangent-def opp-opp)
next
  case (Tan p2 x2' y2' p5 x5 y5 l1)
    from ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ ⟨p5 = add a p2 p2⟩
    have on-curve a b p5 by (simp add: add-closed)
    with ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ show ?case using Tan
    proof (induct rule: add-case)
      case InfL
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
        case InfR
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
        case Opp
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-tangent-def opp-opp)
      next
        case (Tan p1 x1' y1' p6 x6 y6 l2)
          from ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ ⟨p4 = add a p1 p2⟩
          have on-curve a b p4 by (simp add: add-closed)
          then show ?case using ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ Tan
          proof (induct rule: add-case)
            case InfL
            then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
          next
            case InfR
            then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
          next
            case (Opp p)
              from ⟨is-generic p (opp p)⟩
              show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def opp-opp)
            next
              case Tan
              then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
            next
              case (Gen p4' x4' y4' p2' x2'' y2'' p7 x7 y7 l3)
              from
                ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
                ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ ⟨p2 = Point x2 y2⟩
              have
                y1:  $y_1 \wedge 2 = x_1 \wedge 3 + a * x_1 + b$  and
                y2:  $y_2 \wedge 2 = x_2 \wedge 3 + a * x_2 + b$ 
                by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
              from
                ⟨p4' = Point x4' y4'⟩
                ⟨p4' = Point x4 y4⟩
                ⟨p2' = Point x2' y2'⟩
                ⟨p2' = Point x2 y2⟩
                ⟨p2' = Point x2'' y2''⟩

```

```

⟨p1 = Point x1' y1'⟩
⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
⟨p1 = Point x5 y5⟩
have ps:
  x4' = x4 y4' = y4 x2' = x2 y2' = y2 x2'' = x2 y2'' = y2
  x1' = x5 y1' = y5 x1 = x5 y1 = y5
by simp-all
note qs =
  ⟨x7 = l3 ^ 2 - x4' - x2''⟩
  ⟨y7 = - y4' - l3 * (x7 - x4')⟩
  ⟨l3 = (y2'' - y4') / (x2'' - x4')⟩
  ⟨x6 = l2 ^ 2 - 2 * x1'⟩
  ⟨y6 = - y1' - l2 * (x6 - x1')⟩
  ⟨x5 = l1 ^ 2 - 2 * x2'⟩
  ⟨y5 = - y2' - l1 * (x5 - x2')⟩
  ⟨l1 = (3 * x2' ^ 2 + a) / (2 * y2')⟩
  ⟨l2 = (3 * x1' ^ 2 + a) / (2 * y1')⟩
  ⟨x4 = l ^ 2 - x1 - x2⟩
  ⟨y4 = - y1 - l * (x4 - x1)⟩
  ⟨l = (y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1)⟩
from ⟨y2' ≠ 0⟩ ⟨y2' = y2⟩
have 2 * y2 ≠ 0 by simp
show ?case
  apply (simp add: ⟨p6 = Point x6 y6⟩ ⟨p7 = Point x7 y7⟩)
  apply (simp only: ps qs)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (field y2)
  apply (intro conjI)
  apply (rule notI)
  apply (ring (prems))
  apply (rule notE [OF ⟨y1' ≠ 0⟩])
  apply (simp only: ps qs)
  apply field
  apply (rule ⟨2 * y2 ≠ 0⟩)
  apply (rule notI)
  apply (ring (prems))
  apply (rule notE [OF ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩])
  apply (rule sym)
  apply (simp only: ps qs)
  apply field
  apply (rule ⟨2 * y2 ≠ 0⟩)
  apply (rule ⟨2 * y2 ≠ 0⟩)
  apply (rule notI)
  apply (ring (prems))
  apply (rule notE [OF ⟨x4' ≠ x2''⟩])
  apply (rule sym)
  apply (simp only: ps qs)
  apply field
  apply (intro conjI)

```

```

apply (rule ‹2 * y2 ≠ 0›)
apply (erule thin-rl)
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule noteE [OF ‹x1 ≠ x2›])
apply (rule sym)
apply (simp only: ps qs)
apply field
apply (rule ‹2 * y2 ≠ 0›)
apply (field y2)
apply (intro conjI)
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule noteE [OF ‹y1' ≠ 0›])
apply (simp only: ps qs)
apply field
apply (rule ‹2 * y2 ≠ 0›)
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule noteE [OF ‹x4' ≠ x2''›])
apply (rule sym)
apply (simp only: ps qs)
apply field
apply (erule thin-rl)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule ‹2 * y2 ≠ 0›)
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule noteE [OF ‹x1 ≠ x2›])
apply (rule sym)
apply (simp only: ps qs)
apply field
apply (rule ‹2 * y2 ≠ 0›)
apply (rule ‹2 * y2 ≠ 0›)
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule noteE [OF ‹x1 ≠ x2›])
apply (rule sym)
apply (simp only: ps qs)
apply field
apply (rule ‹2 * y2 ≠ 0›)
done
qed
next
  case Gen
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-tangent-def)
qed
next
  case Gen

```

```

then show ?case by (simp add: is-tangent-def)
qed
qed

lemma add-0-l: add a Infinity p = p
by (simp add: add-def)

lemma add-0-r: add a p Infinity = p
by (simp add: add-def split: point.split)

lemma add-opp: on-curve a b p  $\implies$  add a p (opp p) = Infinity
by (simp add: add-def opp-def on-curve-def split: point.split-asm)

lemma add-comm:
assumes on-curve a b p1 on-curve a b p2
shows add a p1 p2 = add a p2 p1
proof (cases p1)
  case Infinity
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-l add-0-r)
next
  case (Point x1 y1)
  note Point' = this
  with <on-curve a b p1>
  have y1: y1  $\wedge$  2 = x1  $\wedge$  3 + a * x1 + b
  by (simp add: on-curve-def)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p2)
    case Infinity
    then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-l add-0-r)
next
  case (Point x2 y2)
  with <on-curve a b p2>
  have y2: y2  $\wedge$  2 = x2  $\wedge$  3 + a * x2 + b
  by (simp add: on-curve-def)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases x1 = x2)
    case True
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases y1 = - y2)
      case True
      with Point Point' <x1 = x2> show ?thesis
      by (simp add: add-def)
next
  case False
  with y1 y2 [symmetric] <x1 = x2> Point Point'
  show ?thesis
  by (simp add: power2-eq-square square-eq-iff)
qed
next

```

```

case False
with Point Point' show ?thesis
  apply (simp add: add-def Let-def)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply field
  apply simp
  apply field
  apply simp
  done

  qed
  qed
qed

lemma uniq-opp:
  assumes add a p1 p2 = Infinity
  shows p2 = opp p1
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: add-def opp-def Let-def
        split: point.split-asm if-split-asm)

lemma uniq-zero:
  assumes ab: nonsingular a b
  and p1: on-curve a b p1
  and p2: on-curve a b p2
  and add: add a p1 p2 = p2
  shows p1 = Infinity
  using p1 p2 assms
  proof (induct rule: add-case)
    case InfL
    show ?case ..
  next
    case InfR
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case Opp
    then show ?case by (simp add: opp-def split: point.split-asm)
  next
    case (Tan p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 l)
    from <p1 = Point x1 y1> <p2 = Point x2 y2> <p2 = p1>
    have x2 = x1 y2 = y1 by simp-all
    with <y2 = - y1 - l * (x2 - x1)> <y1 ≠ 0>
    have - y1 = y1 by simp
    with <y1 ≠ 0>
    show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l)
    then have y1: y1 ^ 2 = x1 ^ 3 + a * x1 + b
    and y2: y2 ^ 2 = x2 ^ 3 + a * x2 + b
    by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)

```

```

from ⟨p3 = p2⟩ ⟨p2 = Point x2 y2⟩ ⟨p3 = Point x3 y3⟩
have ps: x3 = x2 y3 = y2 by simp-all
with ⟨y3 = - y1 - l * (x3 - x1)⟩
have y2 = - y1 - l * (x2 - x1) by simp
also from ⟨l = (y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1)⟩ ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩
have l * (x2 - x1) = y2 - y1
    by simp
also have - y1 - (y2 - y1) = (- y1 + y1) + - y2
    by simp
finally have y2 = 0 by simp
with ⟨p2 = Point x2 y2⟩ ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩
have x2: x2 ^ 3 = - (a * x2 + b)
    by (simp add: on-curve-def eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0 add.assoc del: minus-add-distrib)
from ⟨x3 = l ^ 2 - x1 - x2⟩ ⟨x3 = x2⟩
have l ^ 2 - x1 - x2 = x2 - x2 by simp
then have l ^ 2 - x1 - 2 * x2 = 0 by simp
then have x2 * (l ^ 2 - x1 - 2 * x2) = x2 * 0 by simp
then have (x2 - x1) * (2 * a * x2 + 3 * b) = 0
    apply (simp only: ⟨l = (y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1)⟩ ⟨y2 = 0⟩)
    apply (field (prems) y1 x2)
    apply (ring y1 x2)
    apply (simp add: ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ [symmetric])
done
with ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ have 2 * a * x2 + 3 * b = 0 by simp
then have 2 * a * x2 = - (3 * b)
    by (simp add: eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0)
from y2 [symmetric] ⟨y2 = 0⟩
have (- (2 * a)) ^ 3 * (x2 ^ 3 + a * x2 + b) = 0
    by simp
then have b * (4 * a ^ 3 + 27 * b ^ 2) = 0
    apply (ring (prems) ⟨2 * a * x2 = - (3 * b)⟩)
    apply (ring ⟨2 * a * x2 = - (3 * b)⟩)
done
with ab have b = 0 by (simp add: nonsingular-def)
with ⟨2 * a * x2 + 3 * b = 0⟩ ab
have x2 = 0 by (simp add: nonsingular-def)
from ⟨l ^ 2 - x1 - 2 * x2 = 0⟩
show ?case
    apply (simp add: ⟨x2 = 0⟩ ⟨y2 = 0⟩ ⟨l = (y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1)⟩)
    apply (field (prems) y1 b = 0)
    apply (insert ab b = 0 ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ ⟨x2 = 0⟩)
    apply (simp add: nonsingular-def)
    apply simp
done
qed

lemma opp-add:
assumes p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2

```

```

shows opp (add a p1 p2) = add a (opp p1) (opp p2)
proof (cases p1)
  case Infinity
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-def opp-def)
next
  case (Point x1 y1)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p2)
    case Infinity
    with <p1 = Point x1 y1> show ?thesis
      by (simp add: add-def opp-def)
next
  case (Point x2 y2)
  with <p1 = Point x1 y1> p1 p2
  have x1 ^ 3 + a * x1 + b = y1 ^ 2
    x2 ^ 3 + a * x2 + b = y2 ^ 2
    by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
  with Point <p1 = Point x1 y1> show ?thesis
    apply (cases x1 = x2)
    apply (cases y1 = - y2)
    apply (simp add: add-def opp-def Let-def)
    apply (simp add: add-def opp-def Let-def trans [OF minus-equation-iff eq-commute])
    apply (simp add: add-def opp-def Let-def)
    apply (rule conjI)
    apply field
    apply simp
    apply field
    apply simp
    done
qed
qed

lemma compat-add-opp:
  assumes p1: on-curve a b p1
  and p2: on-curve a b p2
  and add a p1 p2 = add a p1 (opp p2)
  and p1 ≠ opp p1
  shows p2 = opp p2
  using p1 p2 assms
proof (induct rule: add-case)
  case Infl
  then show ?case by (simp add: add-0-l)
next
  case Infr
  then show ?case by (simp add: opp-def add-0-r)
next
  case (Opp p)
  then have add a p p = Infinity by (simp add: opp-opp)
  then have p = opp p by (rule uniq-opp)

```

```

with ⟨p ≠ opp p⟩ show ?case ..
next
  case (Tan p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 l)
  then have add a p1 p1 = Infinity
    by (simp add: add-opp)
  then have p1 = opp p1 by (rule uniq-opp)
  with ⟨p1 ≠ opp p1⟩ show ?case ..
next
  case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l)
  have (2::'a) * 2 ≠ 0
    by (simp only: mult-eq-0-iff) simp
  then have (4::'a) ≠ 0 by simp
  from Gen have ((- y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1)) ^ 2 - x1 - x2 =
    ((y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1)) ^ 2 - x1 - x2
    by (simp add: add-def opp-def Let-def)
  then show ?case
    apply (field (prems))
    apply (insert ⟨p1 ≠ opp p1⟩
      ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩ ⟨p2 = Point x2 y2⟩ ⟨4 ≠ 0⟩)[1]
    apply (simp add: opp-def eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0)
    apply (simp add: ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ [symmetric])
    done
qed

lemma compat-add-triple:
assumes ab: nonsingular a b
and p: on-curve a b p
and p ≠ opp p
and add a p p ≠ opp p
shows add a (add a p p) (opp p) = p
using add-closed [OF p p] opp-closed [OF p] assms
proof (induct add a p p opp p rule: add-case)
  case InfL
  from ⟨p ≠ opp p⟩ uniq-opp [OF ⟨Infinity = add a p p⟩ [symmetric]]
  show ?case ..
next
  case InfR
  then show ?case by (simp add: opp-def split: point.split-asm)
next
  case Opp
  then have opp (opp (add a p p)) = opp (opp p) by simp
  then have add a p p = p by (simp add: opp-opp)
  with uniq-zero [OF ab p p] ⟨p ≠ opp p⟩
  show ?case by (simp add: opp-def)
next
  case Tan
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Gen x1 y1 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l)

```

```

from <opp p = Point x2 y2>
have p = Point x2 (- y2)
  by (auto simp add: opp-def split: point.split-asm)
with <add a p p = Point x1 y1> [symmetric]
obtain l' where l':
  l' = (3 * x2 ^ 2 + a) / (2 * - y2)
  and xy: x1 = l' ^ 2 - 2 * x2
  y1 = - (- y2) - l' * (x1 - x2)
  and y2: - y2 ≠ - (- y2)
  by (simp add: add-def Let-def split: if-split-asm)
have x3 = x2
  apply (simp add: xy
    <l = (y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1)> <x3 = l ^ 2 - x1 - x2>)
  apply field
  apply (insert <x1 ≠ x2>)
  apply (simp add: xy)
  done
then have p3 = p ∨ p3 = opp p
  by (rule curve-elt-opp [OF <p3 = Point x3 y3> <p = Point x2 (- y2)>]
    add-closed [OF add-closed [OF p p] opp-closed [OF p],
    folded <p3 = add a (add a p p) (opp p)>]
    <on-curve a b p>])
then show ?case
proof
  assume p3 = p
  with <p3 = add a (add a p p) (opp p)>
  show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume p3 = opp p
  with <p3 = add a (add a p p) (opp p)>
  have add a (add a p p) (opp p) = opp p by simp
  with ab add-closed [OF p p] opp-closed [OF p]
  have add a p p = Infinity by (rule uniq-zero)
  with <add a p p = Point x1 y1> show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

lemma add-opp-double-opp:
  assumes ab: nonsingular a b
  and p1: on-curve a b p1
  and p2: on-curve a b p2
  and add a p1 p2 = opp p1
  shows p2 = add a (opp p1) (opp p1)
proof (cases p1 = opp p1)
  case True
  with assms have add a p2 p1 = p1 by (simp add: add-comm)
  with ab p2 p1 have p2 = Infinity by (rule uniq-zero)
  also from <on-curve a b p1> have ... = add a p1 (opp p1)
  by (simp add: add-opp)

```

```

also from True have ... = add a (opp p1) (opp p1) by simp
finally show ?thesis .

next
  case False
  from p1 p2 False assms show ?thesis
  proof (induct rule: add-case)
    case InjL
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case InjR
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case Opp
    then show ?case by (simp add: add-0-l)
  next
    case (Tan p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 l)
    from <p2 = opp p1> <on-curve a b p1>
    have p1 = opp p2 by (simp add: opp-opp)
    also note <p2 = add a p1 p1>
    finally show ?case using <on-curve a b p1>
      by (simp add: opp-add)
  next
    case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l)
    from <on-curve a b p1> <p1 = Point x1 y1>
    have y1: y1 ^ 2 = x1 ^ 3 + a * x1 + b
      by (simp add: on-curve-def)
    from <on-curve a b p2> <p2 = Point x2 y2>
    have y2: y2 ^ 2 = x2 ^ 3 + a * x2 + b
      by (simp add: on-curve-def)
    from <p1 = Point x1 y1> <p1 ≠ opp p1>
    have y1 ≠ 0
      by (simp add: opp-Point)
    from Gen have x1 = ((y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1)) ^ 2 - x1 - x2
      by (simp add: opp-Point)
    then have 2 * y2 * y1 = a * x2 + 3 * x2 * x1 ^ 2 + a * x1 -
      x1 ^ 3 + 2 * b
      apply (field (prems) y1 y2)
      apply (field y1 y2)
      apply simp
      apply (simp add: <x1 ≠ x2> [symmetric])
      done
    then have (x2 - (((3 * x1 ^ 2 + a) / (2 * (- y1))) ^ 2 -
      2 * x1)) * (x2 - x1) ^ 2 = 0
      apply (drule-tac f=λx. x ^ 2 in arg-cong)
      apply (field (prems) y1 y2)
      apply (field y1 y2)
      apply (simp-all add: <y1 ≠ 0>)
      done
    with <x1 ≠ x2>

```

```

have  $x_2 = ((3 * x_1 \wedge 2 + a) / (2 * (-y_1))) \wedge 2 - 2 * x_1$ 
  by simp
with  $\langle p_2 = Point x_2 y_2 \rangle - \langle on-curve a b p_2 \rangle$ 
  add-closed [OF
    opp-closed [OF  $\langle on-curve a b p_1 \rangle$ ] opp-closed [OF  $\langle on-curve a b p_1 \rangle$ ]]
have  $p_2 = add a (opp p_1) (opp p_1) \vee p_2 = opp (add a (opp p_1) (opp p_1))$ 
  apply (rule curve-elt-opp)
  apply (simp add: add-def opp-Point Let-def  $\langle p_1 = Point x_1 y_1 \rangle \langle y_1 \neq 0 \rangle$ )
  done
then show ?case
proof
  assume  $p_2 = opp (add a (opp p_1) (opp p_1))$ 
  with  $\langle on-curve a b p_1 \rangle$ 
  have  $p_2 = add a p_1 p_1$ 
    by (simp add: opp-add [of a b] opp-opp opp-closed)
  show ?case
  proof (cases add a p_1 p_1 = opp p_1)
    case True
    from  $\langle on-curve a b p_1 \rangle$ 
    show ?thesis
      apply (simp add: opp-add [symmetric]  $\langle p_2 = add a p_1 p_1 \rangle True$ )
      apply (simp add:  $\langle p_3 = add a p_1 p_2 \rangle$  [simplified  $\langle p_3 = opp p_1 \rangle$ ])
      apply (simp add:  $\langle p_2 = add a p_1 p_1 \rangle True$  add-opp)
      done
  next
    case False
    from  $\langle on-curve a b p_1 \rangle$ 
    have  $add a p_1 (opp p_2) = opp (add a (add a p_1 p_1) (opp p_1))$ 
      by (simp add:  $\langle p_2 = add a p_1 p_1 \rangle$ 
        opp-add [of a b] add-closed opp-closed opp-opp add-comm [of a b])
    with ab  $\langle on-curve a b p_1 \rangle \langle p_1 \neq opp p_1 \rangle False$ 
    have  $add a p_1 (opp p_2) = opp p_1$ 
      by (simp add: compat-add-triple)
    with  $\langle p_3 = add a p_1 p_2 \rangle \langle p_3 = opp p_1 \rangle$ 
    have  $add a p_1 p_2 = add a p_1 (opp p_2)$  by simp
    with  $\langle on-curve a b p_1 \rangle \langle on-curve a b p_2 \rangle$ 
    have  $p_2 = opp p_2$  using  $\langle p_1 \neq opp p_1 \rangle$ 
      by (rule compat-add-opp)
    with  $\langle on-curve a b p_1 \rangle \langle p_2 = add a p_1 p_1 \rangle$ 
    show ?thesis by (simp add: opp-add)
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma cancel:
assumes ab: nonsingular a b
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2

```

```

and p3: on-curve a b p3
and eq: add a p1 p2 = add a p1 p3
shows p2 = p3
using p1 p2 p1 p2 eq
proof (induct rule: add-casew)
  case InfL
    then show ?case by (simp add: add-0-l)
  next
    case (InfR p)
      with p3 have add a p3 p = p by (simp add: add-comm)
      with ab p3 ⟨on-curve a b p⟩
      show ?case by (rule uniq-zero [symmetric])
  next
    case (Opp p)
      from ⟨Infinity = add a p p3⟩ [symmetric]
      show ?case by (rule uniq-opp [symmetric])
  next
    case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p4 x4 y4 l)
      from ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ p3 ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ p3 ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
        ⟨p4 = add a p1 p2⟩ ⟨p4 = add a p1 p3⟩ ⟨p1 ≠ opp p2⟩
      show ?case
      proof (induct rule: add-casew)
        case InfL
          then show ?case by (simp add: add-0-l)
        next
          case (InfR p)
            with ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩
            have add a p2 p = p by (simp add: add-comm)
            with ab ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ ⟨on-curve a b p⟩
            show ?case by (rule uniq-zero)
        next
          case (Opp p)
            then have add a p p2 = Infinity by simp
            then show ?case by (rule uniq-opp)
        next
          case (Gen p1 x1' y1' p3 x3 y3 p5 x5 y5 l')
            from ⟨p4 = p5⟩ ⟨p4 = Point x4 y4⟩ ⟨p5 = Point x5 y5⟩
              ⟨p1 = Point x1' y1'⟩ ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
              ⟨y4 = - y1 - l * (x4 - x1)⟩ ⟨y5 = - y1' - l' * (x5 - x1')⟩
            have 0 = - y1 - l * (x4 - x1) - (- y1 - l' * (x4 - x1))
              by auto
            then have l' = l ∨ x4 = x1 by auto
            then show ?case
            proof
              assume l' = l
              with ⟨p4 = p5⟩ ⟨p4 = Point x4 y4⟩ ⟨p5 = Point x5 y5⟩
                ⟨p1 = Point x1' y1'⟩ ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
                ⟨x4 = l ^ 2 - x1 - x2⟩ ⟨x5 = l' ^ 2 - x1' - x3⟩
              have 0 = l ^ 2 - x1 - x2 - (l ^ 2 - x1 - x3)
            
```

```

    by simp
then have  $x_2 = x_3$  by simp
with  $\langle p_2 = \text{Point } x_2 \ y_2 \rangle \langle p_3 = \text{Point } x_3 \ y_3 \rangle \langle \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_2 \rangle \langle \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_3 \rangle$ 
have  $p_2 = p_3 \vee p_2 = \text{opp } p_3$  by (rule curve-elt-opp)
then show ?case
proof
assume  $p_2 = \text{opp } p_3$ 
with  $\langle \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_3 \rangle$  have  $\text{opp } p_2 = p_3$ 
by (simp add: opp-opp)
with  $\langle p_4 = p_5 \rangle \langle p_4 = \text{add } a \ p_1 \ p_2 \rangle \langle p_5 = \text{add } a \ p_1 \ p_3 \rangle$ 
have  $\text{add } a \ p_1 \ p_2 = \text{add } a \ p_1 \ (\text{opp } p_2)$  by simp
show ?case
proof (cases  $p_1 = \text{opp } p_1$ )
case True
with  $\langle p_1 \neq \text{opp } p_2 \rangle \langle p_1 \neq \text{opp } p_3 \rangle$ 
have  $p_1 \neq p_2 \ p_1 \neq p_3$  by auto
with  $\langle l' = l \rangle \langle x_1 = x_2 \wedge \neg \vee \rightarrow \langle x_1' = x_3 \wedge \neg \vee \rightarrow \rangle$ 
 $\langle p_1 = \text{Point } x_1 \ y_1 \rangle \langle p_1 = \text{Point } x_1' \ y_1' \rangle$ 
 $\langle p_2 = \text{Point } x_2 \ y_2 \rangle \langle p_3 = \text{Point } x_3 \ y_3 \rangle$ 
 $\langle p_2 = \text{opp } p_3 \rangle$ 
have eq:  $(y_2 - y_1) / (x_2 - x_1) = (y_3 - y_1) / (x_2 - x_1)$  and  $x_1 \neq x_2$ 
by (auto simp add: opp-Point)
from eq have  $y_2 = y_3$ 
apply (field (prems))
apply (simp-all add:  $\langle x_1 \neq x_2 \rangle$  [symmetric])
done
with  $\langle p_2 = \text{opp } p_3 \rangle \langle p_2 = \text{Point } x_2 \ y_2 \rangle \langle p_3 = \text{Point } x_3 \ y_3 \rangle$ 
show ?thesis by (simp add: opp-Point)
next
case False
with  $\langle \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_1 \rangle \langle \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_2 \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{add } a \ p_1 \ p_2 = \text{add } a \ p_1 \ (\text{opp } p_2) \rangle$ 
have  $p_2 = \text{opp } p_2$  by (rule compat-add-opp)
with  $\langle \text{opp } p_2 = p_3 \rangle$  show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
next
assume  $x_4 = x_1$ 
with  $\langle p_4 = \text{Point } x_4 \ y_4 \rangle$  [simplified  $\langle p_4 = \text{add } a \ p_1 \ p_2 \rangle$ ]
 $\langle p_1 = \text{Point } x_1 \ y_1 \rangle$ 
add-closed [ $\text{OF } \langle \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_1 \rangle \langle \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_2 \rangle$ ]
 $\langle \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_1 \rangle$ 
have  $\text{add } a \ p_1 \ p_2 = p_1 \vee \text{add } a \ p_1 \ p_2 = \text{opp } p_1$  by (rule curve-elt-opp)
then show ?case
proof
assume  $\text{add } a \ p_1 \ p_2 = p_1$ 
with  $\langle \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_1 \rangle \langle \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_2 \rangle$ 
have  $\text{add } a \ p_2 \ p_1 = p_1$  by (simp add: add-comm)

```

```

with ab <on-curve a b p2> <on-curve a b p1>
have p2 = Infty by (rule uniq-zero)
moreover from <add a p1 p2 = p1>
  <p4 = p5> <p4 = add a p1 p2> <p5 = add a p1 p3>
  <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p3>
have add a p3 p1 = p1 by (simp add: add-comm)
with ab <on-curve a b p3> <on-curve a b p1>
have p3 = Infty by (rule uniq-zero)
ultimately show ?case by simp
next
assume add a p1 p2 = opp p1
with ab <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2>
have p2 = add a (opp p1) (opp p1) by (rule add-opp-double-opp)
moreover from <add a p1 p2 = opp p1>
  <p4 = p5> <p4 = add a p1 p2> <p5 = add a p1 p3>
  have add a p1 p3 = opp p1 by simp
  with ab <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p3>
  have p3 = add a (opp p1) (opp p1) by (rule add-opp-double-opp)
  ultimately show ?case by simp
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma add-minus-id:
assumes ab: nonsingular a b
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2
shows add a (add a p1 p2) (opp p2) = p1
proof (cases add a p1 p2 = opp p2)
case True
then have add a (add a p1 p2) (opp p2) = add a (opp p2) (opp p2)
by simp
also from p1 p2 True have add a p2 p1 = opp p2
by (simp add: add-comm)
with ab p2 p1 have add a (opp p2) (opp p2) = p1
by (rule add-opp-double-opp [symmetric])
finally show ?thesis .
next
case False
from p1 p2 p1 p2 False show ?thesis
proof (induct rule: add-case)
case InfL
then show ?case by (simp add: add-opp)
next
case InfR
show ?case by (simp add: add-0-r)
next
case Opp

```

```

then show ?case by (simp add: opp-opp add-0-l)
next
  case (Tan p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 l)
  note ab <on-curve a b p1>
  moreover from <y1 ≠ 0> <p1 = Point x1 y1>
  have p1 ≠ opp p1 by (simp add: opp-Point)
  moreover from <p2 = add a p1 p1> <p2 ≠ opp p1>
  have add a p1 p1 ≠ opp p1 by simp
  ultimately have add a (add a p1 p1) (opp p1) = p1
    by (rule compat-add-triple)
  with <p2 = add a p1 p1> show ?case by simp
next
  case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l)
  from <p3 = add a p1 p2> <on-curve a b p2>
  have p3 = add a p1 (opp (opp p2)) by (simp add: opp-opp)
  with
    add-closed [OF <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2>,
      folded <p3 = add a p1 p2>]
    opp-closed [OF <on-curve a b p2>]
    opp-closed [OF <on-curve a b p2>]
    opp-opp [of p2]
    Gen
  show ?case
proof (induct rule: add-case)
  case InfL
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case InfR
  then show ?case by (simp add: add-0-r)
next
  case (Opp p)
  from <p = add a p1 (opp (opp p))>
  have add a p1 p = p by (simp add: opp-opp)
  with ab <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p>
  show ?case by (rule uniq-zero [symmetric])
next
  case Tan
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Gen p4 x4 y4 p5 x5 y5 p6 x6 y6 l')
  from <on-curve a b p5> <opp p5 = p2>
    <p2 = Point x2 y2> <p5 = Point x5 y5>
  have y5 = - y2 x5 = x2
    by (auto simp add: opp-Point on-curve-def)
  from <p4 = Point x3 y3> <p4 = Point x4 y4>
  have x4 = x3 y4 = y3 by simp-all
  from <x4 ≠ x5> show ?case
    apply (simp add:
      <y5 = - y2> <x5 = x2>

```

```

⟨x4 = x3⟩ ⟨y4 = y3⟩
⟨p6 = Point x6 y6⟩ ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
⟨x6 = l' ^ 2 - x4 - x5⟩ ⟨y6 = - y4 - l' * (x6 - x4)⟩
⟨l' = (y5 - y4) / (x5 - x4)⟩
⟨x3 = l ^ 2 - x1 - x2⟩ ⟨y3 = - y1 - l * (x3 - x1)⟩
⟨l = (y2 - y1) / (x2 - x1)⟩
apply (rule conjI)
apply field
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule notI)
apply (erule notE)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule sym)
apply field
apply (simp-all add: ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ [symmetric])
apply field
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule notI)
apply (erule notE)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule sym)
apply field
apply (simp-all add: ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ [symmetric])
done
qed
qed
qed

lemma add-shift-minus:
assumes ab: nonsingular a b
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2
and p3: on-curve a b p3
and eq: add a p1 p2 = p3
shows p1 = add a p3 (opp p2)
proof –
note eq
also from add-minus-id [OF ab p3 opp-closed [OF p2]] p2
have p3 = add a (add a p3 (opp p2)) p2 by (simp add: opp-opp)
finally have add a p2 p1 = add a p2 (add a p3 (opp p2))
using p1 p2 p3
by (simp add: add-comm [of a b] add-closed opp-closed)
with ab p2 p1 add-closed [OF p3 opp-closed [OF p2]]
show ?thesis by (rule cancel)
qed

lemma degen-assoc:
assumes ab: nonsingular a b
and p1: on-curve a b p1

```

```

and p2: on-curve a b p2
and p3: on-curve a b p3
and H:
  (p1 = Infinity ∨ p2 = Infinity ∨ p3 = Infinity) ∨
  (p1 = opp p2 ∨ p2 = opp p3) ∨
  (opp p1 = add a p2 p3 ∨ opp p3 = add a p1 p2)
shows add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p1 p2) p3
using H
proof (elim disjE)
  assume p1 = Infinity
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-l)
next
  assume p2 = Infinity
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-l add-0-r)
next
  assume p3 = Infinity
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-r)
next
  assume p1 = opp p2
  from p2 p3
  have add a (opp p2) (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p3 p2) (opp p2)
    by (simp add: add-comm [of a b] add-closed opp-closed)
  also from ab p3 p2 have ... = p3 by (rule add-minus-id)
  also have ... = add a Infinity p3 by (simp add: add-0-l)
  also from p2 have ... = add a (add a p2 (opp p2)) p3
    by (simp add: add-opp)
  also from p2 have ... = add a (add a (opp p2) p2) p3
    by (simp add: add-comm [of a b] opp-closed)
  finally show ?thesis using ‹p1 = opp p2› by simp
next
  assume p2 = opp p3
  from p3
  have add a p1 (add a (opp p3) p3) = add a p1 (add a p3 (opp p3))
    by (simp add: add-comm [of a b] opp-closed)
  also from ab p1 p3
  have ... = add a (add a p1 (opp p3)) (opp (opp p3))
    by (simp add: add-opp add-minus-id add-0-r opp-closed)
  finally show ?thesis using p3 ‹p2 = opp p3›
    by (simp add: opp-opp)
next
  assume eq: opp p1 = add a p2 p3
  from eq [symmetric] p1
  have add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = Infinity by (simp add: add-opp)
  also from p3 have ... = add a p3 (opp p3) by (simp add: add-opp)
  also from p3 have ... = add a (opp p3) p3
    by (simp add: add-comm [of a b] opp-closed)
  also from ab p2 p3
  have ... = add a (add a (add a (opp p3) (opp p2)) (opp (opp p2))) p3
    by (simp add: add-minus-id opp-closed)

```

```

also from p2 p3
have ... = add a (add a (add a (opp p2) (opp p3)) p2) p3
  by (simp add: add-comm [of a b] opp-opp opp-closed)
finally show ?thesis
  using opp-add [OF p2 p3] eq [symmetric] p1
  by (simp add: opp-opp)
next
assume eq: opp p3 = add a p1 p2
from opp-add [OF p1 p2] eq [symmetric] p3
have add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = add a p1 (add a p2 (add a (opp p1) (opp p2)))
  by (simp add: opp-opp)
also from p1 p2
have ... = add a p1 (add a (add a (opp p1) (opp p2)) (opp (opp p2)))
  by (simp add: add-comm [of a b] opp-opp add-closed opp-closed)
also from ab p1 p2 have ... = Infinity
  by (simp add: add-minus-id add-opp opp-closed)
also from p3 have ... = add a (opp p3) p3
  by (simp add: add-comm [of a b] opp-closed)
finally show ?thesis using eq [symmetric] by simp
qed

lemma spec4-assoc:
assumes ab: nonsingular a b
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2
shows add a p1 (add a p2 p2) = add a (add a p1 p2) p2
proof (cases p1 = Infinity)
  case True
  from ab p1 p2 p2
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p2 = Infinity)
    case True
    from ab p1 p2 p2
    show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
  next
    case False
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases p2 = opp p2)
      case True
      from ab p1 p2 p2
      show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True [symmetric])
    next
      case False
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases p1 = opp p2)

```

```

case True
from ab p1 p2
show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases opp p1 = add a p2 p2)
  case True
  from ab p1 p2
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases opp p2 = add a p1 p2)
  case True
  from ab p1 p2
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases p1 = add a p2 p2)
  case True
  from p1 p2 <p1 ≠ opp p2> <p2 ≠ opp p2>
    <opp p1 ≠ add a p2 p2> <opp p2 ≠ add a p1 p2>
    <p1 ≠ Infinity> <p2 ≠ Infinity>
  show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: True)
    apply (rule spec3-assoc)
    apply (simp-all add: is-generic-def is-tangent-def)
    apply (rule notI)
    apply (drule uniq-zero [OF ab p2 p2])
    apply simp
    apply (intro conjI notI)
    apply (erule notE)
    apply (rule uniq-opp [of a])
    apply (simp add: add-comm [of a b] add-closed)
    apply (erule note)
    apply (drule uniq-zero [OF ab add-closed [OF p2 p2] p2])
    apply simp
    done
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases p2 = add a p1 p2)
  case True
  from ab p1 p2 True [symmetric]
  have p1 = Infinity by (rule uniq-zero)
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-l)
next

```

```

case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases p1 = p2)
  case True
    with p2 show ?thesis
      by (simp add: add-comm [of a b] add-closed)
next
  case False
    with p1 p2 ⟨p1 ≠ Infinity⟩ ⟨p2 ≠ Infinity⟩
      ⟨p1 ≠ opp p2⟩ ⟨p2 ≠ opp p2⟩
      ⟨p1 ≠ add a p2 p2⟩ ⟨p2 ≠ add a p1 p2⟩ ⟨opp p2 ≠ add a p1 p2⟩
    show ?thesis
      apply (rule-tac spec2-assoc)
      apply (simp-all add: is-generic-def is-tangent-def)
      apply (rule notI)
      apply (erule notE [of p1 = opp p2])
      apply (rule uniq-opp [of a])
      apply (simp add: add-comm)
      apply (intro conjI notI)
      apply (erule notE [of p2 = opp p2])
      apply (rule uniq-opp)
      apply assumption+
      apply (rule note [OF ⟨opp p1 ≠ add a p2 p2⟩])
      apply (simp add: opp-opp)
      done
    qed
    qed
    qed
    qed
    qed
    qed
    qed
    qed
    qed
    qed
lemma add-assoc:
  assumes ab: nonsingular a b
  and p1: on-curve a b p1
  and p2: on-curve a b p2
  and p3: on-curve a b p3
  shows add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p1 p2) p3
proof (cases p1 = Infinity)
  case True
    from ab p1 p2 p3
    show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p2 = Infinity)

```

```

case True
from ab p1 p2 p3
show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases p3 = Infinity)
  case True
  from ab p1 p2 p3
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases p1 = p2)
  case True
  from p2 p3
  have add a p2 (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p3 p2) p2
    by (simp add: add-comm [of a b] add-closed)
  also from ab p3 p2 have ... = add a p3 (add a p2 p2)
    by (simp add: spec4-assoc)
  also from p2 p3
  have ... = add a (add a p2 p2) p3
    by (simp add: add-comm [of a b] add-closed)
  finally show ?thesis using True by simp
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases p1 = opp p2)
  case True
  from ab p1 p2 p3
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases p2 = p3)
  case True
  with ab p1 p3 show ?thesis
    by (simp add: spec4-assoc)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases p2 = opp p3)
  case True
  from ab p1 p2 p3
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases opp p1 = add a p2 p3)

```

```

case True
from ab p1 p2 p3
show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases opp p3 = add a p1 p2)
  case True
  from ab p1 p2 p3
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases p1 = add a p2 p3)
  case True
  with ab p2 p3 show ?thesis
    apply simp
    apply (rule cancel [OF ab opp-closed [OF p3]])
    apply (simp-all add: add-closed)
    apply (simp add: spec4-assoc add-closed opp-closed)
    apply (simp add: add-comm [of a b opp p3]
      add-closed opp-closed add-minus-id)
    apply (simp add: add-comm [of a b] add-closed)
    done
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases p3 = add a p1 p2)
  case True
  with ab p1 p2 show ?thesis
    apply simp
    apply (rule cancel [OF ab opp-closed [OF p1]])
    apply (simp-all add: add-closed)
    apply (simp add: spec4-assoc add-closed opp-closed)
    apply (simp add: add-comm [of a b opp p1] add-comm [of a b
p1]
      add-closed opp-closed add-minus-id)
    done
next
case False
with p1 p2 p3
  <p1 ≠ Infinity> <p2 ≠ Infinity> <p3 ≠ Infinity>
  <p1 ≠ p2> <p1 ≠ opp p2> <p2 ≠ p3> <p2 ≠ opp p3>
  <opp p3 ≠ add a p1 p2> <p1 ≠ add a p2 p3>
show ?thesis
  apply (rule-tac spec1-assoc [of a b])
  apply (simp-all add: is-generic-def)
  apply (rule notI)
  apply (erule notE [of p1 = opp p2]))

```

```

apply (rule uniq-opp [of a])
apply (simp add: add-comm)
apply (intro conjI notI)
apply (erule notE [of p2 = opp p3])
apply (rule uniq-opp [of a])
apply (simp add: add-comm)
apply (rule notE [OF ‹opp p1 ≠ add a p2 p3›])
apply (simp add: opp-opp)
done

qed

lemma add-comm':
nonsingular a b  $\Rightarrow$ 
on-curve a b p1  $\Rightarrow$  on-curve a b p2  $\Rightarrow$  on-curve a b p3  $\Rightarrow$ 
add a p2 (add a p1 p3) = add a p1 (add a p2 p3)
by (simp add: add-assoc add-comm)

primrec (in ell-field) point-mult :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a point  $\Rightarrow$  'a point
where
  point-mult a 0 p = Infinity
| point-mult a (Suc n) p = add a p (point-mult a n p)

lemma point-mult-closed: on-curve a b p  $\Rightarrow$  on-curve a b (point-mult a n p)
by (induct n) (simp-all add: add-closed)

lemma point-mult-add:
on-curve a b p  $\Rightarrow$  nonsingular a b  $\Rightarrow$ 
point-mult a (m + n) p = add a (point-mult a m p) (point-mult a n p)
by (induct m) (simp-all add: add-assoc point-mult-closed add-0-l)

lemma point-mult-mult:
on-curve a b p  $\Rightarrow$  nonsingular a b  $\Rightarrow$ 
point-mult a (m * n) p = point-mult a n (point-mult a m p)
by (induct n) (simp-all add: point-mult-add)

lemma point-mult2-eq-double:
point-mult a 2 p = add a p p
by (simp add: numeral-2-eq-2 add-0-r)

```

2.2 Projective Coordinates

```

type-synonym 'a ppoint = 'a × 'a × 'a

context ell-field begin

definition pdouble :: 'a ⇒ 'a ppoint ⇒ 'a ppoint where
  pdouble a p =
    (let (x, y, z) = p
     in
       if z = 0 then p
       else
         let
           l = 2 * y * z;
           m = 3 * x ^ 2 + a * z ^ 2
         in
           (l * (m ^ 2 - 4 * x * y * l),
            m * (6 * x * y * l - m ^ 2) -
            2 * y ^ 2 * l ^ 2,
            l ^ 3))

definition padd :: 'a ⇒ 'a ppoint ⇒ 'a ppoint ⇒ 'a ppoint where
  padd a p1 p2 =
    (let
      (x1, y1, z1) = p1;
      (x2, y2, z2) = p2
    in
      if z1 = 0 then p2
      else if z2 = 0 then p1
      else
        let
          d1 = x2 * z1;
          d2 = x1 * z2;
          l = d1 - d2;
          m = y2 * z1 - y1 * z2
        in
          if l = 0 then
            if m = 0 then pdouble a p1
            else (0, 0, 0)
          else
            let h = m ^ 2 * z1 * z2 - (d1 + d2) * l ^ 2
            in
              (l * h,
               (d2 * l ^ 2 - h) * m - l ^ 3 * y1 * z2,
               l ^ 3 * z1 * z2))
    )

definition make-affine :: 'a ppoint ⇒ 'a point where
  make-affine p =
    (let (x, y, z) = p
     in if z = 0 then Infinity else Point (x / z) (y / z))

```

```

definition on-curvep :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ppoint ⇒ bool where
  on-curvep a b = ( $\lambda(x, y, z). z \neq 0 \longrightarrow$ 
     $y^2 * z = x^3 + a * x * z^2 + b * z^3$ )
end

lemma on-curvep-infinity [simp]: on-curvep a b (x, y, 0)
  by (simp add: on-curvep-def)

lemma make-affine-infinity [simp]: make-affine (x, y, 0) = Infinity
  by (simp add: make-affine-def)

lemma on-curvep-iff-on-curve:
  on-curvep a b p = on-curve a b (make-affine p)
proof (induct p rule: prod-induct3)
  case (fields x y z)
  show on-curvep a b (x, y, z) = on-curve a b (make-affine (x, y, z))
  proof
    assume H: on-curvep a b (x, y, z)
    then have yz:  $z \neq 0 \implies y^2 * z = x^3 + a * x * z^2 + b * z^3$ 
      by (simp-all add: on-curvep-def)
    show on-curve a b (make-affine (x, y, z))
    proof (cases z = 0)
      case True
      then show ?thesis by (simp add: on-curve-def make-affine-def)
    next
      case False
      then show ?thesis
        apply (simp add: on-curve-def make-affine-def)
        apply (field yz [OF False])
        apply assumption
        done
    qed
  next
    assume H: on-curve a b (make-affine (x, y, z))
    show on-curvep a b (x, y, z)
    proof (cases z = 0)
      case True
      then show ?thesis
        by (simp add: on-curvep-def)
    next
      case False
      from H show ?thesis
        apply (simp add: on-curve-def on-curvep-def make-affine-def False)
        apply (field (prems))
        apply field
        apply (simp-all add: False)
        done

```

```

qed
qed
qed

lemma pdouble-infinity [simp]: pdouble a (x, y, 0) = (x, y, 0)
  by (simp add: pdouble-def)

lemma padd-infinity-l [simp]: padd a (x, y, 0) p = p
  by (simp add: padd-def)

lemma pdouble-correct:
  make-affine (pdouble a p) = add a (make-affine p) (make-affine p)
proof (induct p rule: prod-induct3)
  case (fields x y z)
  then show ?case
    apply (auto simp add: add-def pdouble-def make-affine-def eq-opp-is-zero Let-def)
    apply field
    apply simp
    apply field
    apply simp
    done
qed

lemma padd-correct:
  assumes p1: on-curvep a b p1 and p2: on-curvep a b p2
  shows make-affine (padd a p1 p2) = add a (make-affine p1) (make-affine p2)
  using p1
proof (induct p1 rule: prod-induct3)
  case (fields x1 y1 z1)
  note p1' = fields
  from p2 show ?case
    proof (induct p2 rule: prod-induct3)
      case (fields x2 y2 z2)
      then have
        yz2: z2 ≠ 0 ⟹ y2 ^ 2 * z2 * z1 ^ 3 =
          (x2 ^ 3 + a * x2 * z2 ^ 2 + b * z2 ^ 3) * z1 ^ 3
        by (simp-all add: on-curvep-def)
      from p1' have
        yz1: z1 ≠ 0 ⟹ y1 ^ 2 * z1 * z2 ^ 3 =
          (x1 ^ 3 + a * x1 * z1 ^ 2 + b * z1 ^ 3) * z2 ^ 3
        by (simp-all add: on-curvep-def)
      show ?case
        proof (cases z1 = 0)
          case True
          then show ?thesis
            by (simp add: add-def padd-def make-affine-def)
        next
          case False
          show ?thesis
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

proof (cases z2 = 0)
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: add-def padd-def make-affine-def)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases x2 * z1 = x1 * z2 = 0)
    case True
    note x = this
    then have x': x2 * z1 = x1 * z2 by simp
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases y2 * z1 = y1 * z2 = 0)
      case True
      then have y: y2 * z1 = y1 * z2 by simp
      from ‹z1 ≠ 0› ‹z2 ≠ 0› x
      have make-affine (x2, y2, z2) = make-affine (x1, y1, z1)
        apply (simp add: make-affine-def)
        apply (rule conjI)
        apply (field x')
        apply simp
        apply (field y)
        apply simp
        done
      with True x ‹z1 ≠ 0› ‹z2 ≠ 0› p1' fields show ?thesis
        by (simp add: padd-def pdouble-correct)
    next
      case False
      have y2 ^ 2 * z1 ^ 3 * z2 = y1 ^ 2 * z1 * z2 ^ 3
        by (ring yz1 [OF ‹z1 ≠ 0›] yz2 [OF ‹z2 ≠ 0›] x')
      then have y2 ^ 2 * z1 ^ 3 * z2 / z1 / z2 =
        y1 ^ 2 * z1 * z2 ^ 3 / z1 / z2
        by simp
      then have (y2 * z1) * (y2 * z1) = (y1 * z2) * (y1 * z2)
        apply (field (prems))
        apply (field)
        apply (rule TrueI)
        apply (simp add: ‹z1 ≠ 0› ‹z2 ≠ 0›)
        done
      with False
      have y2z1: y2 * z1 = - (y1 * z2)
        by (simp add: square-eq-iff)
      from x False ‹z1 ≠ 0› ‹z2 ≠ 0› show ?thesis
        apply (simp add: padd-def add-def make-affine-def Let-def)
        apply (rule conjI)
        apply (rule impI)
        apply (field x')
        apply simp
        apply (field y2z1)
    
```

```

apply simp
done
qed
next
case False
then have  $x_1 / z_1 \neq x_2 / z_2$ 
apply (rule-tac notI)
apply (erule notE)
apply (drule sym)
apply (field (prems))
apply ring
apply (simp add:  $\langle z_1 \neq 0 \rangle \langle z_2 \neq 0 \rangle$ )
done
with False  $\langle z_1 \neq 0 \rangle \langle z_2 \neq 0 \rangle$ 
show ?thesis
apply (auto simp add: padd-def add-def make-affine-def Let-def)
apply field
apply simp
apply field
apply simp
done
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma pdouble-closed:
on-curvep a b p  $\implies$  on-curvep a b (pdouble a p)
by (simp add: on-curvep-iff-on-curve pdouble-correct add-closed)

lemma padd-closed:
on-curvep a b p1  $\implies$  on-curvep a b p2  $\implies$  on-curvep a b (padd a p1 p2)
by (simp add: on-curvep-iff-on-curve padd-correct add-closed)

primrec (in ell-field) ppoint-mult :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a ppoint  $\Rightarrow$  'a ppoint
where
  ppoint-mult a 0 p = (0, 0, 0)
  | ppoint-mult a (Suc n) p = padd a p (ppoint-mult a n p)

lemma ppoint-mult-closed [simp]:
on-curvep a b p  $\implies$  on-curvep a b (ppoint-mult a n p)
by (induct n) (simp-all add: padd-closed)

lemma ppoint-mult-correct: on-curvep a b p  $\implies$ 
make-affine (ppoint-mult a n p) = point-mult a n (make-affine p)
by (induct n) (simp-all add: padd-correct)

context ell-field begin

```

```

definition proj-eq :: 'a ppoint  $\Rightarrow$  'a ppoint  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  proj-eq = ( $\lambda(x_1, y_1, z_1) (x_2, y_2, z_2).$ 
     $(z_1 = 0) = (z_2 = 0) \wedge x_1 * z_2 = x_2 * z_1 \wedge y_1 * z_2 = y_2 * z_1$ )
end

lemma proj-eq-refl: proj-eq p p
  by (auto simp add: proj-eq-def)

lemma proj-eq-sym: proj-eq p p'  $\Rightarrow$  proj-eq p' p
  by (auto simp add: proj-eq-def)

lemma proj-eq-trans:
  in-carrierp p  $\Rightarrow$  in-carrierp p'  $\Rightarrow$  in-carrierp p''  $\Rightarrow$ 
  proj-eq p p'  $\Rightarrow$  proj-eq p' p''  $\Rightarrow$  proj-eq p p''
proof (induct p rule: prod-induct3)
  case (fields x y z)
  then show ?case
  proof (induct p' rule: prod-induct3)
    case (fields x' y' z')
    then show ?case
    proof (induct p'' rule: prod-induct3)
      case (fields x'' y'' z'')
      then have
        z:  $(z = 0) = (z' = 0) (z' = 0) = (z'' = 0)$  and
         $x * z' * z'' = x' * z * z''$ 
         $y * z' * z'' = y' * z * z''$ 
        and xy:
         $x' * z'' = x'' * z'$ 
         $y' * z'' = y'' * z'$ 
        by (simp-all add: proj-eq-def)
      from  $\langle x * z' * z'' = x' * z * z'' \rangle$ 
      have  $(x * z'') * z' = (x'' * z) * z'$ 
      by (ring (prems) xy) (ring xy)
      moreover from  $\langle y * z' * z'' = y' * z * z'' \rangle$ 
      have  $(y * z'') * z' = (y'' * z) * z'$ 
      by (ring (prems) xy) (ring xy)
      ultimately show ?case using z
      by (auto simp add: proj-eq-def)
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma make-affine-proj-eq-iff:
  proj-eq p p' = (make-affine p = make-affine p')
proof (induct p rule: prod-induct3)
  case (fields x y z)
  then show ?case

```

```

proof (induct p' rule: prod-induct3)
  case (fields x' y' z')
    show ?case
    proof
      assume proj-eq (x, y, z) (x', y', z')
      then have (z = 0) = (z' = 0)
        and xy: x * z' = x' * z y * z' = y' * z
        by (simp-all add: proj-eq-def)
      then show make-affine (x, y, z) = make-affine (x', y', z')
        apply (auto simp add: make-affine-def)
        apply (field xy)
        apply simp
        apply (field xy)
        apply simp
        done
    next
      assume H: make-affine (x, y, z) = make-affine (x', y', z')
      show proj-eq (x, y, z) (x', y', z')
      proof (cases z = 0)
        case True
        with H have z' = 0 by (simp add: make-affine-def split: if-split-asm)
        with True show ?thesis by (simp add: proj-eq-def)
      next
        case False
        with H have z' ≠ 0 x / z = x' / z' y / z = y' / z'
          by (simp-all add: make-affine-def split: if-split-asm)
        from ⟨x / z = x' / z'⟩
        have x * z' = x' * z
          apply (field (prems))
          apply field
          apply (simp-all add: ⟨z ≠ 0⟩ ⟨z' ≠ 0⟩)
          done
        moreover from ⟨y / z = y' / z'⟩
        have y * z' = y' * z
          apply (field (prems))
          apply field
          apply (simp-all add: ⟨z ≠ 0⟩ ⟨z' ≠ 0⟩)
          done
        ultimately show ?thesis
          by (simp add: proj-eq-def ⟨z ≠ 0⟩ ⟨z' ≠ 0⟩)
      qed
      qed
      qed
      qed
lemma pdouble-proj-eq-cong:
  proj-eq p p'  $\implies$  proj-eq (pdouble a p) (pdouble a p')
  by (simp add: make-affine-proj-eq-iff pdouble-correct)

```

```

lemma padd-proj-eq-cong:
  on-curvep a b p1  $\Rightarrow$  on-curvep a b p1'  $\Rightarrow$  on-curvep a b p2  $\Rightarrow$  on-curvep a b p2'  $\Rightarrow$ 
  proj-eq p1 p1'  $\Rightarrow$  proj-eq p2 p2'  $\Rightarrow$  proj-eq (padd a p1 p2) (padd a p1' p2')
  by (simp add: make-affine-proj-eq-iff padd-correct)

end

```

3 Formalization using Locales

```

theory Elliptic-Locale
imports HOL-Decision-Proc.Reflective-Field
begin

```

3.1 Affine Coordinates

```
datatype 'a point = Infinity | Point 'a 'a
```

```

locale ell-field = field +
  assumes two-not-zero: «2»  $\neq \mathbf{0}$ 
begin

```

```
declare two-not-zero [simplified, simp add]
```

```

lemma neg-equal-zero:
  assumes x:  $x \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows ( $\ominus x = x$ ) = ( $x = \mathbf{0}$ )
proof
  assume  $\ominus x = x$ 
  with x have «2»  $\otimes x = x \oplus \ominus x$ 
    by (simp add: of-int-2 l-distr)
  with x show  $x = \mathbf{0}$  by (simp add: r-neg integral-iff)
qed simp

```

```
lemmas equal-neg-zero = trans [OF eq-commute neg-equal-zero]
```

```

definition nonsingular :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  nonsingular a b = («4»  $\otimes a [\wedge] (3::nat)$   $\oplus$  «27»  $\otimes b [\wedge] (2::nat)$   $\neq \mathbf{0}$ )

```

```

definition on-curve :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a point  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  on-curve a b p = (case p of
    Infinity  $\Rightarrow$  True
  | Point x y  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\in$  carrier R  $\wedge$  y  $\in$  carrier R  $\wedge$ 
    y  $[\wedge] (2::nat)$  = x  $[\wedge] (3::nat)$   $\oplus$  a  $\otimes$  x  $\oplus$  b)

```

```

definition add :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a point  $\Rightarrow$  'a point  $\Rightarrow$  'a point where
  add a p1 p2 = (case p1 of
    Infinity  $\Rightarrow$  p2
  | Point x1 y1  $\Rightarrow$  (case p2 of

```

```

 $\text{Infinity} \Rightarrow p_1$ 
|  $\text{Point } x_2 \ y_2 \Rightarrow$ 
  if  $x_1 = x_2$  then
    if  $y_1 = \ominus y_2$  then  $\text{Infinity}$ 
    else
      let
         $l = (\langle\langle 3 \rangle\rangle \otimes x_1 [ \ ] (2::nat) \oplus a) \oslash (\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle \otimes y_1);$ 
         $x_3 = l [ \ ] (2::nat) \ominus \langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle \otimes x_1$ 
      in
         $\text{Point } x_3 (\ominus y_1 \ominus l \otimes (x_3 \ominus x_1))$ 
    else
      let
         $l = (y_2 \ominus y_1) \oslash (x_2 \ominus x_1);$ 
         $x_3 = l [ \ ] (2::nat) \ominus x_1 \ominus x_2$ 
      in
         $\text{Point } x_3 (\ominus y_1 \ominus l \otimes (x_3 \ominus x_1)))$ 

definition  $\text{opp} :: 'a \text{ point} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ point}$  where
   $\text{opp } p = (\text{case } p \text{ of}$ 
     $\text{Infinity} \Rightarrow \text{Infinity}$ 
  |  $\text{Point } x \ y \Rightarrow \text{Point } x (\ominus y))$ 

lemma  $\text{on-curve-infinity} [\text{simp}]: \text{on-curve } a \ b \ \text{Infinity}$ 
  by ( $\text{simp add: on-curve-def}$ )

lemma  $\text{opp-Infinity} [\text{simp}]: \text{opp } \text{Infinity} = \text{Infinity}$ 
  by ( $\text{simp add: opp-def}$ )

lemma  $\text{opp-Point}: \text{opp } (\text{Point } x \ y) = \text{Point } x (\ominus y)$ 
  by ( $\text{simp add: opp-def}$ )

lemma  $\text{opp-opp}: \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p \implies \text{opp } (\text{opp } p) = p$ 
  by ( $\text{auto simp add: opp-def on-curve-def split: point.split}$ )

lemma  $\text{opp-closed}:$ 
   $\text{on-curve } a \ b \ p \implies \text{on-curve } a \ b \ (\text{opp } p)$ 
  by ( $\text{auto simp add: on-curve-def opp-def power2-eq-square}$ 
     $\text{l-minus r-minus split: point.split}$ )

lemma  $\text{curve-elt-opp}:$ 
  assumes  $p_1 = \text{Point } x_1 \ y_1$ 
  and  $p_2 = \text{Point } x_2 \ y_2$ 
  and  $\text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_1$ 
  and  $\text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_2$ 
  and  $x_1 = x_2$ 
  shows  $p_1 = p_2 \vee p_1 = \text{opp } p_2$ 
proof -
  from  $\langle p_1 = \text{Point } x_1 \ y_1 \rangle \langle \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_1 \rangle$ 
  have  $y_1 \in \text{carrier } R \ y_1 [ \ ] (2::nat) = x_1 [ \ ] (3::nat) \oplus a \otimes x_1 \oplus b$ 

```

```

by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
moreover from <p2 = Point x2 y2> <on-curve a b p2> <x1 = x2>
have y2 ∈ carrier R x1 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x1 ⊕ b = y2 [ ] (2::nat)
  by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
ultimately have y1 = y2 ∨ y1 = ⊖ y2
  by (simp add: square-eq-iff power2-eq-square)
with <p1 = Point x1 y1> <p2 = Point x2 y2> <x1 = x2> show ?thesis
  by (auto simp add: opp-def)
qed

lemma add-closed:
assumes a ∈ carrier R and b ∈ carrier R
and on-curve a b p1 and on-curve a b p2
shows on-curve a b (add a p1 p2)
proof (cases p1)
  case (Point x1 y1)
  note Point' = this
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p2)
    case (Point x2 y2)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases x1 = x2)
      case True
      note True' = this
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases y1 = ⊖ y2)
        case True
        with True' Point Point'
        show ?thesis
        by (simp add: on-curve-def add-def)
    next
      case False
      from <on-curve a b p1> Point' True'
      have x2 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R and
        on-curve1: y1 [ ] (2::nat) = x2 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b
        by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
      from False True' Point Point' assms
      have y1 ≠ 0
        apply (auto simp add: on-curve-def nat-pow-zero)
        apply (drule sym [of 0])
        apply simp
        done
      with False True' Point Point' assms
      show ?thesis
        apply (simp add: on-curve-def add-def Let-def integral-iff)
        apply (field on-curve1)
        apply (simp add: integral-iff)
        done
    qed
  qed

```

```

next
  case False
    from <on-curve a b p1> Point'
    have x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R
      and on-curve1: y1 [↑] (2::nat) = x1 [↑] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x1 ⊕ b
      by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
    from <on-curve a b p2> Point
    have x2 ∈ carrier R y2 ∈ carrier R
      and on-curve2: y2 [↑] (2::nat) = x2 [↑] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b
      by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
    from assms not-sym [OF False] show ?thesis
      apply (simp add: on-curve-def add-def Let-def False Point Point' eq-diff0)
      apply (field on-curve1 on-curve2)
      apply (simp add: eq-diff0)
      done
    qed
  next
    case Infinity
    with Point <on-curve a b p1> show ?thesis
      by (simp add: add-def)
    qed
  next
    case Infinity
    with <on-curve a b p2> show ?thesis
      by (simp add: add-def)
    qed

lemma add-case [consumes 4, case-names InfL InfR Opp Tan Gen]:
  assumes a ∈ carrier R
  and b ∈ carrier R
  and p: on-curve a b p
  and q: on-curve a b q
  and R1:  $\bigwedge p. P \text{ Infinity } p p$ 
  and R2:  $\bigwedge p. P p \text{ Infinity } p$ 
  and R3:  $\bigwedge p. \text{on-curve } a b p \implies P p (\text{opp } p) \text{ Infinity}$ 
  and R4:  $\bigwedge p_1 x_1 y_1 p_2 x_2 y_2 l.$ 
  p1 = Point x1 y1  $\implies p_2 = \text{Point } x_2 y_2 \implies$ 
  p2 = add a p1 p1  $\implies y_1 \neq \mathbf{0} \implies$ 
  l = ( $\langle\langle 3 \rangle\rangle \otimes x_1$  [↑] (2::nat) ⊕ a)  $\oslash$  ( $\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle \otimes y_1$ )  $\implies$ 
  x2 = l [↑] (2::nat)  $\ominus$  ( $\langle\langle 2 \rangle\rangle \otimes x_1$ )  $\implies$ 
  y2 =  $\ominus y_1 \ominus l \otimes (x_2 \ominus x_1)$   $\implies$ 
  P p1 p1 p2
  and R5:  $\bigwedge p_1 x_1 y_1 p_2 x_2 y_2 p_3 x_3 y_3 l.$ 
  p1 = Point x1 y1  $\implies p_2 = \text{Point } x_2 y_2 \implies p_3 = \text{Point } x_3 y_3 \implies$ 
  p3 = add a p1 p2  $\implies x_1 \neq x_2 \implies$ 
  l = (y2  $\ominus y_1$ )  $\oslash$  (x2  $\ominus x_1$ )  $\implies$ 
  x3 = l [↑] (2::nat)  $\ominus x_1 \ominus x_2 \implies$ 
  y3 =  $\ominus y_1 \ominus l \otimes (x_3 \ominus x_1)$   $\implies$ 
  P p1 p2 p3

```

```

shows P p q (add a p q)
proof (cases p)
  case Infinity
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: add-def R1)
next
  case (Point x1 y1)
  note Point' = this
  with p have x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R
    and p': y1 [ ] (2::nat) = x1 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x1 ⊕ b
    by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases q)
    case Infinity
    with Point show ?thesis
      by (simp add: add-def R2)
  next
    case (Point x2 y2)
    with q have x2 ∈ carrier R y2 ∈ carrier R
      and q': y2 [ ] (2::nat) = x2 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b
      by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases x1 = x2)
      case True
      note True' = this
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases y1 = ⊕ y2)
        case True
        with p Point Point' True' R3 [of p] < y2 ∈ carrier R > show ?thesis
          by (simp add: add-def opp-def)
      next
        case False
        have (y1 ⊕ y2) ⊕ (y1 ⊕ y2) = 0
          by (ring True' p' q')
        with False < y1 ∈ carrier R > < y2 ∈ carrier R > have y1 = y2
          by (simp add: eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0 integral-iff eq-diff0)
        with False True' Point Point' show ?thesis
          apply simp
          apply (rule R4)
          apply (auto simp add: add-def Let-def)
          done
      qed
    next
    case False
    with Point Point' show ?thesis
      apply -
      apply (rule R5)
      apply (auto simp add: add-def Let-def)
      done

```

```

qed
qed
qed

lemma add-casew [consumes 4, case-names InfL InfR Opp Gen]:
assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
and b: b ∈ carrier R
and p: on-curve a b p
and q: on-curve a b q
and R1: ⋀p. P Infinity p p
and R2: ⋀p. P p Infinity p
and R3: ⋀p. on-curve a b p ⇒ P p (opp p) Infinity
and R4: ⋀p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l.
p1 = Point x1 y1 ⇒ p2 = Point x2 y2 ⇒ p3 = Point x3 y3 ⇒
p3 = add a p1 p2 ⇒ p1 ≠ opp p2 ⇒
x1 = x2 ∧ y1 = y2 ∧ l = («3» ⊗ x1 [↑] (2::nat) ⊕ a) ⊖ («2» ⊗ y1) ∨
x1 ≠ x2 ∧ l = (y2 ⊖ y1) ⊖ (x2 ⊖ x1) ⇒
x3 = l [↑] (2::nat) ⊕ x1 ⊖ x2 ⇒
y3 = ⊖ y1 ⊖ l ⊗ (x3 ⊖ x1) ⇒
P p1 p2 p3
shows P p q (add a p q)
using a b p q p q
proof (induct rule: add-case)
case InfL
show ?case by (rule R1)
next
case InfR
show ?case by (rule R2)
next
case (Opp p)
from <on-curve a b p> show ?case by (rule R3)
next
case (Tan p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 l)
with a b show ?case
apply (rule-tac R4)
apply assumption+
apply (simp add: opp-Point equal-neg-zero on-curve-def)
apply simp
apply (simp add: minus-eq mult2 integral-iff a-assoc r-minus on-curve-def)
apply simp
done
next
case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l)
then show ?case
apply (rule-tac R4)
apply assumption+
apply (simp add: opp-Point)
apply simp-all
done

```

```

qed

definition
  is-tangent p q = (p ≠ Infinity ∧ p = q ∧ p ≠ opp q)

definition
  is-generic p q =
    (p ≠ Infinity ∧ q ≠ Infinity ∧
     p ≠ q ∧ p ≠ opp q)

lemma spec1-assoc:
  assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
  and b: b ∈ carrier R
  and p1: on-curve a b p1
  and p2: on-curve a b p2
  and p3: on-curve a b p3
  and is-generic p1 p2
  and is-generic p2 p3
  and is-generic (add a p1 p2) p3
  and is-generic p1 (add a p2 p3)
  shows add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p1 p2) p3
  using a b p1 p2 assms
  proof (induct rule: add-case)
    case InfL
    show ?case by (simp add: add-def)
  next
    case InfR
    show ?case by (simp add: add-def)
  next
    case Opp
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
  next
    case Tan
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
  next
    case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p4 x4 y4 l)
    with a b <on-curve a b p2> <on-curve a b p3>
    show ?case
    proof (induct rule: add-case)
      case InfL
      then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
    next
      case InfR
      then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
    next
      case Opp
      then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
    next
      case Tan

```

```

then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
  case (Gen p2 x2' y2' p3 x3 y3 p5 x5 y5 l1)
    from a b ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ ⟨on-curve a b p3⟩ ⟨p5 = add a p2 p3⟩
    have on-curve a b p5 by (simp add: add-closed)
    with a b ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ show ?case using Gen [simplified ⟨p2 = Point x2' y2'⟩]
      proof (induct rule: add-case)
        case InfL
          then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
        next
          case InfR
            then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
        next
          case (Opp p)
            from ⟨on-curve a b p⟩ ⟨is-generic p (opp p)⟩
            show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def opp-opp)
        next
          case Tan
            then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
        next
          case (Gen p1 x1' y1' p5' x5' y5' p6 x6 y6 l2)
            from a b ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ ⟨on-curve a b (Point x2' y2')⟩
              ⟨p4 = add a p1 (Point x2' y2')⟩
            have on-curve a b p4 by (simp add: add-closed)
            with a b show ?case using ⟨on-curve a b p3⟩ Gen
            proof (induct rule: add-case)
              case InfL
                then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
              next
                case InfR
                  then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
              next
                case (Opp p)
                  from ⟨on-curve a b p⟩ ⟨is-generic p (opp p)⟩
                  show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def opp-opp)
              next
                case Tan
                  then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
              next
                case (Gen p4' x4' y4' p3' x3' y3' p7 x7 y7 l3)
                  from ⟨p4' = Point x4' y4'⟩ ⟨p4' = Point x4 y4⟩
                  have p4: x4' = x4 y4' = y4 by simp-all
                  from ⟨p3' = Point x3' y3'⟩ ⟨p3' = Point x3 y3⟩
                  have p3: x3' = x3 y3' = y3 by simp-all
                  from ⟨p1 = Point x1' y1'⟩ ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
                  have p1: x1' = x1 y1' = y1 by simp-all
                  from ⟨p5' = Point x5' y5'⟩ ⟨p5' = Point x5 y5⟩
                  have p5: x5' = x5 y5' = y5 by simp-all

```

```

from <Point x2' y2' = Point x2 y2>
have p2: x2' = x2 y2' = y2 by simp-all
note ps = p1 p2 p3 p4 p5
from
  <on-curve a b p1> <p1 = Point x1 y1>
  <on-curve a b p2> <p2 = Point x2 y2>
  <on-curve a b p3> <p3 = Point x3 y3>
have
  x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R and y1: y1 [ ] (2::nat) = x1 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕
  a ⊗ x1 ⊕ b and
    x2 ∈ carrier R y2 ∈ carrier R and y2: y2 [ ] (2::nat) = x2 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕
  a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b and
    x3 ∈ carrier R y3 ∈ carrier R and y3: y3 [ ] (2::nat) = x3 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕
  a ⊗ x3 ⊕ b
    by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
show ?case
  apply (simp add: p6 = Point x6 y6 p7 = Point x7 y7)
  apply (simp only: ps
    <x6 = l2 [ ] 2 ⊕ x1' ⊕ x5'> <x7 = l3 [ ] 2 ⊕ x4' ⊕ x3'>
    <y6 = ⊕ y1' ⊕ l2 ⊕ (x6 ⊕ x1')> <y7 = ⊕ y4' ⊕ l3 ⊕ (x7 ⊕ x4')>
    <l2 = (y5' ⊕ y1') ⊕ (x5' ⊕ x1')> <l3 = (y3' ⊕ y4') ⊕ (x3' ⊕ x4')>
    <l1 = (y3 ⊕ y2') ⊕ (x3 ⊕ x2')> <l = (y2 ⊕ y1) ⊕ (x2 ⊕ x1)>
    <x5 = l1 [ ] 2 ⊕ x2' ⊕ x3> <y5 = ⊕ y2' ⊕ l1 ⊕ (x5 ⊕ x2')>
    <x4 = l [ ] 2 ⊕ x1 ⊕ x2> <y4 = ⊕ y1 ⊕ l ⊕ (x4 ⊕ x1)>
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (field y1 y2 y3)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (simp add: eq-diff0 <x3 ∈ carrier R> <x2 ∈ carrier R>
    not-sym [OF <x2' ≠ x3> [simplified <x2' = x2>]])
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (rule notI)
  apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)
  apply (cut-tac <x1' ≠ x5'> [simplified <x5' = x5> <x1' = x1> <x5 = l1 [ ] 2
  ⊕ x2' ⊕ x3>
    <l1 = (y3 ⊕ y2') ⊕ (x3 ⊕ x2')> <y2' = y2> <x2' = x2>])
  apply (erule noteE)
  apply (rule sym)
  apply (field y1 y2)
  apply (simp add: eq-diff0 <x3 ∈ carrier R> <x2 ∈ carrier R>
    not-sym [OF <x2' ≠ x3> [simplified <x2' = x2>]])
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (simp add: eq-diff0 <x2 ∈ carrier R> <x1 ∈ carrier R> not-sym [OF
  <x1 ≠ x2>])
  apply (rule notI)
  apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)
  apply (cut-tac <x4' ≠ x3'> [simplified <x4' = x4> <x3' = x3> <x4 = l [ ] 2
  ⊕ x1 ⊕ x2>
    <l = (y2 ⊕ y1) ⊕ (x2 ⊕ x1)>]
  apply (erule noteE)

```

```

apply (rule sym)
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (simp add: eq-diff0 <x2 ∈ carrier R> <x1 ∈ carrier R> not-sym [OF <x1 ≠ x2>])
    apply (field y1 y2 y3)
    apply (rule conjI)
    apply (rule notI)
    apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)
    apply (cut-tac <x1' ≠ x5> [simplified <x5' = x5> <x1' = x1> <x5 = l1> [⊓] 2
    ⊕ x2' ⊕ x3)
        <l1 = (y3 ⊕ y2') ⊕ (x3 ⊕ x2')> <y2' = y2> <x2' = x2>])
    apply (erule notE)
    apply (rule sym)
    apply (field y1 y2)
    apply (simp add: eq-diff0 <x3 ∈ carrier R> <x2 ∈ carrier R>
            not-sym [OF <x2' ≠ x3> [simplified <x2' = x2>]])
    apply (rule conjI)
    apply (simp add: eq-diff0 <x3 ∈ carrier R> <x2 ∈ carrier R>
            not-sym [OF <x2' ≠ x3> [simplified <x2' = x2>]])
    apply (rule conjI)
    apply (rule notI)
    apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)
    apply (cut-tac <x4' ≠ x3> [simplified <x4' = x4> <x3' = x3> <x4 = l> [⊓] 2
    ⊕ x1 ⊕ x2)
        <l = (y2 ⊕ y1) ⊕ (x2 ⊕ x1)>]
    apply (erule notE)
    apply (rule sym)
    apply (field y1 y2)
    apply (simp-all add: eq-diff0 <x2 ∈ carrier R> <x1 ∈ carrier R> not-sym
            [OF <x1 ≠ x2>])
    done
    qed
    qed
    qed
qed

lemma spec2-assoc:
assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
and b: b ∈ carrier R
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2
and p3: on-curve a b p3
and is-generic p1 p2
and is-tangent p2 p3
and is-generic (add a p1 p2) p3
and is-generic p1 (add a p2 p3)
shows add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p1 p2) p3
using a b p1 p2 assms
proof (induct rule: add-case)

```

```

case InfL
show ?case by (simp add: add-def)
next
case InfR
show ?case by (simp add: add-def)
next
case Opp
then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
case Tan
then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p4 x4 y4 l)
with a b <on-curve a b p2> <on-curve a b p3>
show ?case
proof (induct rule: add-case)
case InfL
then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
case InfR
then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
case Opp
then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
case (Tan p2 x2' y2' p5 x5 y5 l1)
from a b <on-curve a b p2> <p5 = add a p2 p2>
have on-curve a b p5 by (simp add: add-closed)
with a b <on-curve a b p1> show ?case using Tan
proof (induct rule: add-case)
case InfL
then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
case InfR
then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
case (Opp p)
from <is-generic p (opp p)> <on-curve a b p>
show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def opp-opp)
next
case Tan
then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
case (Gen p1 x1' y1' p5' x5' y5' p6 x6 y6 l2)
from a b <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2> <p4 = add a p1 p2>
have on-curve a b p4 by (simp add: add-closed)
with a b show ?case using <on-curve a b p2> Gen
proof (induct rule: add-case)
case InfL

```

```

then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
  case InfR
  then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
  case (Opp p)
  from ⟨is-generic p (opp p)⟩ ⟨on-curve a b p⟩
  show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def opp-opp)
next
  case Tan
  then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
next
  case (Gen p4' x4' y4' p3' x3' y3' p7 x7 y7 l3)
  from
    ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
    ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ ⟨p2 = Point x2 y2⟩
  have
    x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R and y1: y1 [ ] (2::nat) = x1 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕
    a ⊗ x1 ⊕ b and
    x2 ∈ carrier R y2 ∈ carrier R and y2: y2 [ ] (2::nat) = x2 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕
    a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b
    by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
  from
    ⟨p5' = Point x5' y5'⟩
    ⟨p5' = Point x5 y5⟩
    ⟨p4' = Point x4' y4'⟩
    ⟨p4' = Point x4 y4⟩
    ⟨p3' = Point x2' y2'⟩
    ⟨p3' = Point x2 y2⟩
    ⟨p3' = Point x3' y3'⟩
    ⟨p1 = Point x1' y1'⟩
    ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
  have ps:
    x5' = x5 y5' = y5
    x4' = x4 y4' = y4 x3' = x2 y3' = y2 x2' = x2 y2' = y2
    x1' = x1 y1' = y1
    by simp-all
show ?case
  apply (simp add: ⟨p6 = Point x6 y6⟩ ⟨p7 = Point x7 y7⟩)
  apply (simp only: ps
    ⟨x7 = l3 [ ] 2 ⊕ x4' ⊕ x3'⟩
    ⟨y7 = ⊕ y4' ⊕ l3 ⊕ (x7 ⊕ x4')⟩
    ⟨l3 = (y3' ⊕ y4') ⊕ (x3' ⊕ x4')⟩
    ⟨x6 = l2 [ ] 2 ⊕ x1' ⊕ x5'⟩
    ⟨y6 = ⊕ y1' ⊕ l2 ⊕ (x6 ⊕ x1')⟩
    ⟨l2 = (y5' ⊕ y1') ⊕ (x5' ⊕ x1')⟩
    ⟨x5 = l1 [ ] 2 ⊕ «2» ⊕ x2'⟩
    ⟨y5 = ⊕ y2' ⊕ l1 ⊕ (x5 ⊕ x2')⟩
    ⟨l1 = («3» ⊕ x2' [ ] 2 ⊕ a) ⊕ («2» ⊕ y2')⟩

```

```

⟨x4 = l [⊓] 2 ⊕ x1 ⊕ x2⟩
⟨y4 = ⊖ y1 ⊕ l ⊗ (x4 ⊕ x1)⟩
⟨l = (y2 ⊕ y1) ⊘ (x2 ⊕ x1)⟩)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (intro conjI)
apply (simp add: integral-iff [OF - ⟨y2 ∈ carrier R⟩] ⟨y2' ≠ 0⟩ [simplified
⟨y2' = y2⟩])
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)
apply (rule notE [OF ⟨x1' ≠ x5'⟩ [simplified
⟨x5 = l1 [⊓] 2 ⊕ «2» ⊗ x2'⟩
⟨l1 = («3» ⊗ x2' [⊓] 2 ⊕ a) ⊘ («2» ⊗ y2')⟩
⟨x1' = x1⟩ ⟨x2' = x2⟩ ⟨y2' = y2⟩ ⟨x5' = x5⟩]])
apply (rule sym)
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (simp add: integral-iff [OF - ⟨y2 ∈ carrier R⟩] ⟨y2' ≠ 0⟩ [simplified
⟨y2' = y2⟩])
apply (simp add: eq-diff0 ⟨x2 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨x1 ∈ carrier R⟩ not-sym [OF
⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩])
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)
apply (rule notE [OF ⟨x4' ≠ x3'⟩ [simplified
⟨x4 = l [⊓] 2 ⊕ x1 ⊕ x2⟩
⟨l = (y2 ⊕ y1) ⊘ (x2 ⊕ x1)⟩
⟨x4' = x4⟩ ⟨x3' = x2⟩]])
apply (rule sym)
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (simp add: eq-diff0 ⟨x2 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨x1 ∈ carrier R⟩ not-sym [OF
⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩])
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (intro conjI)
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)
apply (rule notE [OF ⟨x1' ≠ x5'⟩ [simplified
⟨x5 = l1 [⊓] 2 ⊕ «2» ⊗ x2'⟩
⟨l1 = («3» ⊗ x2' [⊓] 2 ⊕ a) ⊘ («2» ⊗ y2')⟩
⟨x1' = x1⟩ ⟨x2' = x2⟩ ⟨y2' = y2⟩ ⟨x5' = x5⟩]])
apply (rule sym)
apply (field y1 y2)
apply (simp add: integral-iff [OF - ⟨y2 ∈ carrier R⟩] ⟨y2' ≠ 0⟩ [simplified
⟨y2' = y2⟩])
apply (simp add: integral-iff [OF - ⟨y2 ∈ carrier R⟩] ⟨y2' ≠ 0⟩ [simplified
⟨y2' = y2⟩])
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems) y1 y2)
apply (rule notE [OF ⟨x4' ≠ x3'⟩ [simplified
⟨x4 = l [⊓] 2 ⊕ x1 ⊕ x2⟩
⟨l = (y2 ⊕ y1) ⊘ (x2 ⊕ x1)⟩

```

```

    ⟨x4' = x4⟩ ⟨x3' = x2⟩]])
  apply (rule sym)
  apply (field y1 y2)
    apply (simp-all add: eq-diff0 ⟨x2 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨x1 ∈ carrier R⟩ not-sym
[OF ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩])
    done
  qed
qed
next
  case (Gen p3 x3 y3 p5 x5 y5 p6 x6 y6 l1)
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-tangent-def)
  qed
qed

lemma spec3-assoc:
assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
and b: b ∈ carrier R
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2
and p3: on-curve a b p3
and is-generic p1 p2
and is-tangent p2 p3
and is-generic (add a p1 p2) p3
and is-tangent p1 (add a p2 p3)
shows add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p1 p2) p3
using a b p1 p2 assms
proof (induct rule: add-case)
  case InfL
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
  next
    case InfR
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
  next
    case Opp
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
  next
    case Tan
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
  next
    case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p4 x4 y4 l)
      with a b ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ ⟨on-curve a b p3⟩
      show ?case
    proof (induct rule: add-case)
      case InfL
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
        case InfR
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next

```

```

case Opp
  then show ?case by (simp add: is-tangent-def opp-opp)
next
  case (Tan p2 x2' y2' p5 x5 y5 l1)
    from a b <on-curve a b p2> <p5 = add a p2 p2>
    have on-curve a b p5 by (simp add: add-closed)
    with a b <on-curve a b p1> show ?case using Tan
    proof (induct rule: add-case)
      case InfL
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
        case InfR
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
      next
        case Opp
        then show ?case by (simp add: is-tangent-def opp-opp)
      next
        case (Tan p1 x1' y1' p6 x6 y6 l2)
          from a b <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2> <p4 = add a p1 p2>
          have on-curve a b p4 by (simp add: add-closed)
          with a b show ?case using <on-curve a b p2> Tan
          proof (induct rule: add-case)
            case InfL
            then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
          next
            case InfR
            then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
          next
            case (Opp p)
            from <is-generic p (opp p)> <on-curve a b p>
            show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def opp-opp)
          next
            case Tan
            then show ?case by (simp add: is-generic-def)
          next
            case (Gen p4' x4' y4' p2' x2'' y2'' p7 x7 y7 l3)
            from
              <on-curve a b p1> <p1 = Point x1 y1>
              <on-curve a b p2> <p2 = Point x2 y2>
            have
              x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R and y1: y1 [ ] (2::nat) = x1 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕
              a ⊗ x1 ⊕ b and
              x2 ∈ carrier R y2 ∈ carrier R and y2: y2 [ ] (2::nat) = x2 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕
              a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b
                by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
            from
              <p4' = Point x4' y4'>
              <p4' = Point x4 y4>
              <p2' = Point x2' y2'>

```

```

⟨p₂' = Point x₂ y₂⟩
⟨p₂' = Point x₂'' y₂''⟩
⟨p₁ = Point x₁' y₁'⟩
⟨p₁ = Point x₁ y₁⟩
⟨p₁ = Point x₅ y₅⟩
have ps:
  x₄' = x₄ y₄' = y₄ x₂' = x₂ y₂' = y₂ x₂'' = x₂ y₂'' = y₂
  x₁' = x₅ y₁' = y₅ x₁ = x₅ y₁ = y₅
  by simp-all
note qs =
  ⟨x₇ = l₃ [ ] 2 ⊕ x₄' ⊕ x₂''⟩
  ⟨y₇ = ⊕ y₄' ⊕ l₃ ⊕ (x₇ ⊕ x₄')⟩
  ⟨l₃ = (y₂'' ⊕ y₄') ⊕ (x₂'' ⊕ x₄')⟩
  ⟨x₆ = l₂ [ ] 2 ⊕ «2» ⊕ x₁'⟩
  ⟨y₆ = ⊕ y₁' ⊕ l₂ ⊕ (x₆ ⊕ x₁')⟩
  ⟨x₅ = l₁ [ ] 2 ⊕ «2» ⊕ x₂'⟩
  ⟨y₅ = ⊕ y₂' ⊕ l₁ ⊕ (x₅ ⊕ x₂')⟩
  ⟨l₁ = («3» ⊕ x₂' [ ] 2 ⊕ a) ⊕ («2» ⊕ y₂')⟩
  ⟨l₂ = («3» ⊕ x₁' [ ] 2 ⊕ a) ⊕ («2» ⊕ y₁')⟩
  ⟨x₄ = l [ ] 2 ⊕ x₁ ⊕ x₂⟩
  ⟨y₄ = ⊕ y₁ ⊕ l ⊕ (x₄ ⊕ x₁')⟩
  ⟨l = (y₂ ⊕ y₁) ⊕ (x₂ ⊕ x₁')⟩
from ⟨y₂ ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨y₂' ≠ 0⟩ ⟨y₂' = y₂⟩
have «2» ⊕ y₂ ≠ 0 by (simp add: integral-iff)
show ?case
  apply (simp add: ⟨p₆ = Point x₆ y₆⟩ ⟨p₇ = Point x₇ y₇⟩)
  apply (simp only: ps qs)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (field y₂)
  apply (intro conjI)
  apply (rule notI)
  apply (ring (prems))
  apply (rule noteE [OF ⟨y₁' ≠ 0⟩])
  apply (simp only: ps qs)
  apply field
  apply (rule «2» ⊕ y₂ ≠ 0)
  apply (rule notI)
  apply (ring (prems))
  apply (rule noteE [OF ⟨x₁ ≠ x₂⟩])
  apply (rule sym)
  apply (simp only: ps qs)
  apply field
  apply (rule «2» ⊕ y₂ ≠ 0)
  apply (rule «2» ⊕ y₂ ≠ 0)
  apply (rule notI)
  apply (ring (prems))
  apply (rule noteE [OF ⟨x₄' ≠ x₂''⟩])
  apply (rule sym)
  apply (simp only: ps qs)

```

```

apply field
apply (intro conjI)
apply (rule «2»  $\otimes y_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ )
apply (erule thin-rl)
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule noteE [OF « $x_1 \neq x_2$ »])
apply (rule sym)
apply (simp only: ps qs)
apply field
apply (rule «2»  $\otimes y_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ )
apply (field y2)
apply (intro conjI)
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule noteE [OF « $y_1' \neq \mathbf{0}$ »])
apply (simp only: ps qs)
apply field
apply (rule «2»  $\otimes y_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ )
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule noteE [OF « $x_4' \neq x_2''$ »])
apply (rule sym)
apply (simp only: ps qs)
apply field
apply (erule thin-rl)
apply (rule conjI)
apply (rule «2»  $\otimes y_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ )
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule noteE [OF « $x_1 \neq x_2$ »])
apply (rule sym)
apply (simp only: ps qs)
apply field
apply (rule «2»  $\otimes y_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ )
apply (rule «2»  $\otimes y_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ )
apply (rule notI)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule noteE [OF « $x_1 \neq x_2$ »])
apply (rule sym)
apply (simp only: ps qs)
apply field
apply (rule «2»  $\otimes y_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ )
done
qed
next
  case Gen
  then show ?case by (simp add: is-tangent-def)
qed

```

```

next
  case Gen
    then show ?case by (simp add: is-tangent-def)
    qed
qed

lemma add-0-l: add a Infinity p = p
  by (simp add: add-def)

lemma add-0-r: add a p Infinity = p
  by (simp add: add-def split: point.split)

lemma add-opp: on-curve a b p ==> add a p (opp p) = Infinity
  by (simp add: add-def opp-def on-curve-def split: point.split-asm)

lemma add-comm:
  assumes a ∈ carrier R b ∈ carrier R on-curve a b p1 on-curve a b p2
  shows add a p1 p2 = add a p2 p1
proof (cases p1)
  case Infinity
    then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-l add-0-r)
next
  case (Point x1 y1)
  note Point' = this
  with ⟨on-curve a b p1have x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R
    and y1 [ ] (2::nat) = x1 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x1 ⊕ b
    by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
  show ?thesis
proof (cases p2)
  case Infinity
    then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-l add-0-r)
next
  case (Point x2 y2)
  with ⟨on-curve a b p2have x2 ∈ carrier R y2 ∈ carrier R
    and y2 [ ] (2::nat) = x2 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b
    by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
  show ?thesis
proof (cases x1 = x2)
  case True
  show ?thesis
proof (cases y1 = ⊥ y2)
  case True
    with Point Point' <x1 = x2> <y2 ∈ carrier R> show ?thesis
    by (simp add: add-def)
next
  case False
  with y1 y2 [symmetric] <y1 ∈ carrier R> <y2 ∈ carrier R> <x1 = x2> Point Point'
```

```

show ?thesis
  by (simp add: power2-eq-square square-eq-iff)
qed
next
  case False
  with Point Point' show ?thesis
    apply (simp add: add-def Let-def)
    apply (rule conjI)
    apply field
    apply (cut-tac ‹x1 ∈ carrier R› ‹x2 ∈ carrier R›)
    apply (simp add: eq-diff0)
    apply field
    apply (cut-tac ‹x1 ∈ carrier R› ‹x2 ∈ carrier R›)
    apply (simp add: eq-diff0)
    done
  qed
qed
qed

lemma uniq-opp:
  assumes on-curve a b p2
  and add a p1 p2 = Infinity
  shows p2 = opp p1
  using assms
  by (auto simp add: on-curve-def add-def opp-def Let-def
    split: point.split-asm if-split-asm)

lemma uniq-zero:
  assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
  and b: b ∈ carrier R
  and ab: nonsingular a b
  and p1: on-curve a b p1
  and p2: on-curve a b p2
  and add: add a p1 p2 = p2
  shows p1 = Infinity
  using a b p1 p2 assms
  proof (induct rule: add-case)
    case InfL
    show ?case ..
  next
    case InfR
    then show ?case by simp
  next
    case Opp
    then show ?case by (simp add: opp-def split: point.split-asm)
  next
    case (Tan p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 l)
    from ‹on-curve a b p1› ‹p1 = Point x1 y1›
    have x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)

```

```

with a <l = ( $\langle\langle 3\rangle\rangle \otimes x_1 [\wedge] 2 \oplus a$ )  $\oslash$  ( $\langle\langle 2\rangle\rangle \otimes y_1$ )> <y1 ≠ 0>
have l ∈ carrier R by (simp add: integral-iff)
from <p1 = Point x1 y1> <p2 = Point x2 y2> <p2 = p1>
have x2 = x1 y2 = y1 by simp-all
with <x1 ∈ carrier R> <y1 ∈ carrier R> <l ∈ carrier R> <y2 = ⊕ y1 ⊕ l ⊗ (x2 ⊕ x1)> <y1 ≠ 0>
have ⊕ y1 = y1 by (simp add: r-neg minus-eq)
with <y1 ∈ carrier R> <y1 ≠ 0>
show ?case by (simp add: neg-equal-zero)
next
case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l)
then have x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R x2 ∈ carrier R y2 ∈ carrier R
and y1: y1 [ ] (2::nat) = x1 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x1 ⊕ b
and y2: y2 [ ] (2::nat) = x2 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b
by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
with <l = (y2 ⊕ y1) ⊕ (x2 ⊕ x1)> <x1 ≠ x2>
have l ∈ carrier R by (simp add: eq-diff0)
from <p3 = p2> <p2 = Point x2 y2> <p3 = Point x3 y3>
have p3: x3 = x2 y3 = y2 by simp-all
with <y3 = ⊕ y1 ⊕ l ⊗ (x3 ⊕ x1)>
have y2 = ⊕ y1 ⊕ l ⊗ (x2 ⊕ x1) by simp
also from <l = (y2 ⊕ y1) ⊕ (x2 ⊕ x1)> <x1 ≠ x2>
<x1 ∈ carrier R> <x2 ∈ carrier R> <y1 ∈ carrier R> <y2 ∈ carrier R>
have l ⊕ (x2 ⊕ x1) = y2 ⊕ y1
by (simp add: m-div-def m-assoc eq-diff0)
also from <y1 ∈ carrier R> <y2 ∈ carrier R>
have ⊕ y1 ⊕ (y2 ⊕ y1) = (⊕ y1 ⊕ y1) ⊕ ⊕ y2
by (simp add: minus-eq minus-add a-ac)
finally have y2 = 0 using <y1 ∈ carrier R> <y2 ∈ carrier R>
by (simp add: l-neg equal-neg-zero)
with <p2 = Point x2 y2> <on-curve a b p2>
<a ∈ carrier R> <b ∈ carrier R> <x2 ∈ carrier R>
have x2: x2 [ ] (3::nat) = ⊕ (a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b)
by (simp add: on-curve-def nat-pow-zero eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0 a-assoc)
from <x3 = l [ ] 2 ⊕ x1 ⊕ x2> <x3 = x2>
have l [ ] (2::nat) ⊕ x1 ⊕ x2 = x2 ⊕ x2 by simp
with <x1 ∈ carrier R> <x2 ∈ carrier R> <l ∈ carrier R>
have l [ ] (2::nat) ⊕ x1 ⊕ «2» ⊗ x2 = 0
by (simp add: of-int-2 l-distr minus-eq a-ac minus-add r-neg)
then have x2 ⊕ (l [ ] (2::nat) ⊕ x1 ⊕ «2» ⊗ x2) = x2 ⊕ 0 by simp
then have (x2 ⊕ x1) ⊕ («2» ⊗ a ⊗ x2 ⊕ «3» ⊗ b) = 0
apply (simp add: <l = (y2 ⊕ y1) ⊕ (x2 ⊕ x1)> <y2 = 0>)
apply (field (prems) y1 x2)
apply (ring y1 x2)
apply (simp add: eq-diff0 <x2 ∈ carrier R> <x1 ∈ carrier R> not-sym [OF <x1 ≠ x2]])
done
with not-sym [OF <x1 ≠ x2]>
<x2 ∈ carrier R> <x1 ∈ carrier R> <a ∈ carrier R> <b ∈ carrier R>

```

```

have «2» ⊗ a ⊗ x2 ⊕ «3» ⊗ b = 0
  by (simp add: integral-iff eq-diff0)
with ⟨a ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨b ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨x2 ∈ carrier R⟩
have «2» ⊗ a ⊗ x2 = ⊖(«3» ⊗ b)
  by (simp add: eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0)
from y2 [symmetric] ⟨y2 = 0⟩ ⟨a ∈ carrier R⟩
have ⊖(«2» ⊗ a) [⊤] (3::nat) ⊗ (x2 [⊤] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b) = 0
  by (simp add: nat-pow-zero)
then have b ⊗ («4» ⊗ a [⊤] (3::nat) ⊕ «27» ⊗ b [⊤] (2::nat)) = 0
  apply (ring (prems) ⟨«2» ⊗ a ⊗ x2 = ⊖(«3» ⊗ b)⟩)
  apply (ring ⟨«2» ⊗ a ⊗ x2 = ⊖(«3» ⊗ b)⟩)
  done
with ab a b have b = 0 by (simp add: nonsingular-def integral-iff)
with ⟨«2» ⊗ a ⊗ x2 ⊕ «3» ⊗ b = 0⟩ ab a b ⟨x2 ∈ carrier R⟩
have x2 = 0 by (simp add: nonsingular-def nat-pow-zero integral-iff)
from ⟨l [⊤] (2::nat) ⊕ x1 ⊖ «2» ⊗ x2 = 0⟩
show ?case
  apply (simp add: ⟨x2 = 0⟩ ⟨y2 = 0⟩ ⟨l = (y2 ⊖ y1) ⊕ (x2 ⊖ x1)⟩)
  apply (field (prems) y1 ⟨b = 0⟩)
  apply (insert a b ab ⟨x1 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨b = 0⟩ ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ ⟨x2 = 0⟩)
  apply (simp add: nonsingular-def nat-pow-zero integral-iff)
  apply (simp add: trans [OF eq-commute eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0])
  done
qed

lemma opp-add:
assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
and b: b ∈ carrier R
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2
shows opp (add a p1 p2) = add a (opp p1) (opp p2)
proof (cases p1)
  case Infinity
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-def opp-def)
next
  case (Point x1 y1)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p2)
    case Infinity
    with ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩ show ?thesis
      by (simp add: add-def opp-def)
  next
    case (Point x2 y2)
    with ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩ p1 p2
    have x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R x1 [⊤] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x1 ⊕ b = y1 [⊤]
      x2 ∈ carrier R y2 ∈ carrier R x2 [⊤] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b = y2 [⊤] (2::nat)
      by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
    with Point ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩ show ?thesis
  
```

```

apply (cases  $x_1 = x_2$ )
apply (cases  $y_1 = \ominus y_2$ )
apply (simp add: add-def opp-def Let-def)
apply (simp add: add-def opp-def Let-def neg-equal-swap)
apply (rule conjI)
apply field
apply (auto simp add: integral-iff nat-pow-zero
  trans [OF eq-commute eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0])[1]
apply field
apply (auto simp add: integral-iff nat-pow-zero
  trans [OF eq-commute eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0])[1]
apply (simp add: add-def opp-def Let-def)
apply (rule conjI)
apply field
apply (simp add: eq-diff0)
apply field
apply (simp add: eq-diff0)
done
qed
qed

lemma compat-add-opp:
assumes a:  $a \in \text{carrier } R$ 
and b:  $b \in \text{carrier } R$ 
and p1:  $\text{on-curve } a b p_1$ 
and p2:  $\text{on-curve } a b p_2$ 
and add a p1 p2 = add a p1 (opp p2)
and p1 ≠ opp p1
shows p2 = opp p1
using a b p1 p2 assms
proof (induct rule: add-case)
case InfL
then show ?case by (simp add: add-0-l)
next
case InfR
then show ?case by (simp add: opp-def add-0-r)
next
case (Opp p)
then have add a p p = Infinity by (simp add: opp-opp)
with ⟨on-curve a b p⟩ have p = opp p by (rule uniq-opp)
with ⟨p ≠ opp p⟩ show ?case ..
next
case (Tan p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 l)
then have add a p1 p1 = Infinity
  by (simp add: add-opp)
with ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ have p1 = opp p1 by (rule uniq-opp)
with ⟨p1 ≠ opp p1⟩ show ?case ..
next
case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l)

```

```

then have  $x_1 \in \text{carrier } R$   $y_1 \in \text{carrier } R$   $x_2 \in \text{carrier } R$   $y_2 \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
have «2»  $\otimes$  «2»  $\neq \mathbf{0}$ 
  by (simp add: integral-iff)
then have «4»  $\neq \mathbf{0}$  by (simp add: of-int-mult [symmetric])
from Gen have  $((\ominus y_2 \ominus y_1) \otimes (x_2 \ominus x_1)) [\top] (2:\text{nat}) \ominus x_1 \ominus x_2 =$ 
 $((y_2 \ominus y_1) \otimes (x_2 \ominus x_1)) [\top] (2:\text{nat}) \ominus x_1 \ominus x_2$ 
  by (simp add: add-def opp-def Let-def)
then show ?case
  apply (field (prems))
  apply (insert  $y_1 \in \text{carrier } R$   $y_2 \in \text{carrier } R$   $p_1 \neq \text{opp } p_1$ 
     $p_1 = \text{Point } x_1 \ y_1$   $p_2 = \text{Point } x_2 \ y_2$   $\langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle \neq \mathbf{0} \rangle [1]$ )
  apply (simp add: integral-iff opp-def eq-neg-iff-add-eq-0 mult2)
  apply (insert  $x_1 \in \text{carrier } R$   $x_2 \in \text{carrier } R$   $x_1 \neq x_2$ )
  apply (simp add: eq-diff0)
  done
qed

lemma compat-add-triple:
assumes a:  $a \in \text{carrier } R$ 
and b:  $b \in \text{carrier } R$ 
and ab: nonsingular a b
and p: on-curve a b p
and p  $\neq \text{opp } p$ 
and add a p p  $\neq \text{opp } p$ 
shows add a (add a p p) (opp p) = p
using a b add-closed [OF a b p p] opp-closed [OF p] assms
proof (induct add a p p opp p rule: add-case)
  case InfL
  from  $p \neq \text{opp } p$  uniq-opp [OF p  $\langle \text{Infinity} = \text{add } a \ p \ p \rangle$  [symmetric]]
  show ?case ..
next
  case InfR
  then show ?case by (simp add: opp-def split: point.split-asm)
next
  case Opp
  then have opp (opp (add a p p)) = opp (opp p) by simp
  with  $\langle \text{on-curve } a \ b (\text{add } a \ p \ p) \rangle$   $\langle \text{on-curve } a \ b p \rangle$ 
  have add a p p = p by (simp add: opp-opp)
  with uniq-zero [OF a b ab p p]  $\langle p \neq \text{opp } p \rangle$ 
  show ?case by (simp add: opp-def)
next
  case Tan
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Gen x1 y1 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l)
  with opp-closed [OF p]
  have  $x_2 \in \text{carrier } R$   $y_2 \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)

```

```

from <opp p = Point x2 y2> p
have p = Point x2 ( $\ominus$  y2)
  by (auto simp add: opp-def on-curve-def neg-equal-swap split: point.split-asm)
with <add a p p = Point x1 y1> [symmetric]
obtain l' where l':
  l' = ( $\langle\langle 3\rangle\rangle \otimes x_2 [\lceil] (2::nat) \oplus a \rangle\rangle \oslash (\langle\langle 2\rangle\rangle \otimes \ominus y_2)$ 
  and xy:  $x_1 = l' [\lceil] (2::nat) \ominus \langle\langle 2\rangle\rangle \otimes x_2$ 
   $y_1 = \ominus (\ominus y_2) \ominus l' \otimes (x_1 \ominus x_2)$ 
  and y2:  $\ominus y_2 \neq \ominus (\ominus y_2)$ 
  by (simp add: add-def Let-def split: if-split-asm)
from l' <x2 ∈ carrier R> <y2 ∈ carrier R> a y2
have l' ∈ carrier R by (simp add: neg-equal-zero neg-equal-swap integral-iff)
have x3 = x2
  apply (simp add: xy
    l = (y2  $\ominus$  y1)  $\oslash$  (x2  $\ominus$  x1)) <x3 = l [ $\lceil$ ] 2  $\ominus$  x1  $\ominus$  x2>
  apply field
  apply (insert <x1  $\neq$  x2> <x2 ∈ carrier R> <l' ∈ carrier R>)
  apply (simp add: xy eq-diff0)
  done
then have p3 = p ∨ p3 = opp p
  by (rule curve-elt-opp [OF <p3 = Point x3 y3> <p = Point x2 ( $\ominus$  y2)>
    add-closed [OF a b add-closed [OF a b p p] opp-closed [OF p],
    folded <p3 = add a (add a p p) (opp p)>]
    <on-curve a b p>])
then show ?case
proof
  assume p3 = p
  with <p3 = add a (add a p p) (opp p)>
  show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume p3 = opp p
  with <p3 = add a (add a p p) (opp p)>
  have add a (add a p p) (opp p) = opp p by simp
  with a b ab add-closed [OF a b p p] opp-closed [OF p]
  have add a p p = Infinity by (rule uniq-zero)
  with <add a p p = Point x1 y1> show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

lemma add-opp-double-opp:
  assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
  and b: b ∈ carrier R
  and ab: nonsingular a b
  and p1: on-curve a b p1
  and p2: on-curve a b p2
  and add a p1 p2 = opp p1
  shows p2 = add a (opp p1) (opp p1)
proof (cases p1 = opp p1)
  case True

```

```

with assms have add a p2 p1 = p1 by (simp add: add-comm)
with a b ab p2 p1 have p2 = Infinity by (rule uniq-zero)
also from <on-curve a b p1> have ... = add a p1 (opp p1)
  by (simp add: add-opp)
also from True have ... = add a (opp p1) (opp p1) by simp
finally show ?thesis .
next
case False
from a b p1 p2 False assms show ?thesis
proof (induct rule: add-case)
  case InjL
    then show ?case by simp
next
case InjR
  then show ?case by simp
next
case Opp
  then show ?case by (simp add: add-0-l)
next
case (Tan p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 l)
from <p2 = opp p1> <on-curve a b p1>
have p1 = opp p2 by (simp add: opp-opp)
also note <p2 = add a p1 p1>
finally show ?case using a b <on-curve a b p1>
  by (simp add: opp-add)
next
case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l)
from <on-curve a b p1> <p1 = Point x1 y1>
have x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R
  and y1: y1 [ ] (2::nat) = x1 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x1 ⊕ b
    by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
from <on-curve a b p2> <p2 = Point x2 y2>
have x2 ∈ carrier R y2 ∈ carrier R
  and y2: y2 [ ] (2::nat) = x2 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x2 ⊕ b
    by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
from <p1 = Point x1 y1> <p1 ≠ opp p1> <y1 ∈ carrier R>
have y1 ≠ 0
  by (simp add: opp-Point integral-iff equal-neg-zero)
from Gen have x1 = ((y2 ⊖ y1) ⊕ (x2 ⊖ x1)) [ ] (2::nat) ⊕ x1 ⊖ x2
  by (simp add: opp-Point)
then have «2» ⊗ y2 ⊗ y1 = a ⊗ x2 ⊕ «3» ⊗ x2 ⊗ x1 [ ] (2::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ x1
  ⊕
  x1 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ «2» ⊗ b
  apply (field (prems) y1 y2)
  apply (field y1 y2)
  apply simp
  apply (insert <x1 ≠ x2> <x1 ∈ carrier R> <x2 ∈ carrier R>)
  apply (simp add: eq-diff0)
done

```

```

then have  $(x_2 \ominus (((\langle 3 \rangle \otimes x_1) [\lceil] (\mathbb{Z}:\text{nat}) \oplus a) \oslash (\langle 2 \rangle \otimes (\ominus y_1))) [\lceil] (\mathbb{Z}:\text{nat})$ 
 $\ominus$ 
 $\langle 2 \rangle \otimes x_1)) \otimes (x_2 \ominus x_1) [\lceil] (\mathbb{Z}:\text{nat}) = \mathbf{0}$ 
apply (drule-tac  $f=\lambda x. x [\lceil] (\mathbb{Z}:\text{nat})$  in arg-cong)
apply (field (prems)  $y_1 y_2$ )
apply (field  $y_1 y_2$ )
apply (insert  $\langle y_1 \neq \mathbf{0} \rangle \langle y_1 \in \text{carrier } R \rangle$ )
apply (simp-all add: integral-iff neg-equal-swap)
done
with  $a \langle x_1 \in \text{carrier } R \rangle \langle y_1 \in \text{carrier } R \rangle \langle x_2 \in \text{carrier } R \rangle$ 
 $\langle y_1 \neq \mathbf{0} \rangle \langle x_1 \neq x_2 \rangle$ 
have  $x_2 = ((\langle 3 \rangle \otimes x_1) [\lceil] (\mathbb{Z}:\text{nat}) \oplus a) \oslash (\langle 2 \rangle \otimes (\ominus y_1)) [\lceil] (\mathbb{Z}:\text{nat}) \ominus$ 
 $\langle 2 \rangle \otimes x_1$ 
by (simp add: integral-iff eq-diff0 neg-equal-swap)
with  $\langle p_2 = \text{Point } x_2 y_2 \rangle - \langle \text{on-curve } a b p_2 \rangle$ 
add-closed [OF a b
opp-closed [OF ⟨on-curve a b p₁⟩] opp-closed [OF ⟨on-curve a b p₁⟩]]
have  $p_2 = \text{add } a (\text{opp } p_1) (\text{opp } p_1) \vee p_2 = \text{opp } (\text{add } a (\text{opp } p_1) (\text{opp } p_1))$ 
apply (rule curve-elt-opp)
apply (insert  $\langle y_1 \in \text{carrier } R \rangle \langle y_1 \neq \mathbf{0} \rangle$ )
apply (simp add: add-def opp-Point neg-equal-zero Let-def ⟨p₁ = Point x₁ y₁⟩)
done
then show ?case
proof
assume  $p_2 = \text{opp } (\text{add } a (\text{opp } p_1) (\text{opp } p_1))$ 
with  $a b \langle \text{on-curve } a b p_1 \rangle$ 
have  $p_2 = \text{add } a p_1 p_1$ 
by (simp add: opp-add opp-opp opp-closed)
show ?case
proof (cases add a p₁ p₁ = opp p₁)
case True
from a b ⟨on-curve a b p₁⟩
show ?thesis
apply (simp add: opp-add [symmetric] ⟨p₂ = add a p₁ p₁⟩ True)
apply (simp add: ⟨p₃ = add a p₁ p₂⟩ [simplified ⟨p₃ = opp p₁⟩])
apply (simp add: ⟨p₂ = add a p₁ p₁⟩ True add-opp)
done
next
case False
from a b ⟨on-curve a b p₁⟩
have  $\text{add } a p_1 (\text{opp } p_2) = \text{opp } (\text{add } a (\text{add } a p_1 p_1) (\text{opp } p_1))$ 
by (simp add: ⟨p₂ = add a p₁ p₁⟩
opp-add add-closed opp-closed opp-opp add-comm)
with a b ab ⟨on-curve a b p₁⟩ ⟨p₁ ≠ opp p₁⟩ False
have  $\text{add } a p_1 (\text{opp } p_2) = \text{opp } p_1$ 
by (simp add: compat-add-triple)
with ⟨p₃ = add a p₁ p₂⟩ ⟨p₃ = opp p₁⟩
have  $\text{add } a p_1 p_2 = \text{add } a p_1 (\text{opp } p_2)$  by simp

```

```

with a b <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2>
have p2 = opp p2 using <p1 ≠ opp p1>
  by (rule compat-add-opp)
with a b <on-curve a b p1> <p2 = add a p1 p1>
show ?thesis by (simp add: opp-add)
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma cancel:
assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
and b: b ∈ carrier R
and ab: nonsingular a b
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2
and p3: on-curve a b p3
and eq: add a p1 p2 = add a p1 p3
shows p2 = p3
using a b p1 p2 p1 p2 eq
proof (induct rule: add-casew)
case InfL
then show ?case by (simp add: add-0-l)
next
case (InfR p)
with a b p3 have add a p3 p = p by (simp add: add-comm)
with a b ab p3 <on-curve a b p>
show ?case by (rule uniq-zero [symmetric])
next
case (Opp p)
from p3 <Infinity = add a p p3> [symmetric]
show ?case by (rule uniq-opp [symmetric])
next
case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p4 x4 y4 l)
from <on-curve a b p1> <p1 = Point x1 y1>
have x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R
  by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
from <on-curve a b p2> <p2 = Point x2 y2>
have x2 ∈ carrier R y2 ∈ carrier R
  by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
from add-closed [OF a b <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2>]
  <p4 = add a p1 p2> [symmetric] <p4 = Point x4 y4>
have x4 ∈ carrier R y4 ∈ carrier R
  by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
from <- ∨ -> a <p1 ≠ opp p2> <p1 = Point x1 y1> <p2 = Point x2 y2>
  <x1 ∈ carrier R> <y1 ∈ carrier R>
  <x2 ∈ carrier R> <y2 ∈ carrier R>
have l ∈ carrier R
  by (auto simp add: opp-Point equal-neg-zero integral-iff eq-diff0)

```

```

from a b <on-curve a b p1> p3 <on-curve a b p1> p3 <p1 = Point x1 y1>
  <p4 = add a p1 p2> <p4 = add a p1 p3> <p1 ≠ opp p2>
show ?case
proof (induct rule: add-casew)
  case InfL
    then show ?case by (simp add: add-0-l)
  next
  case (InfR p)
    with a b <on-curve a b p2>
    have add a p2 p = p by (simp add: add-comm)
    with a b ab <on-curve a b p2> <on-curve a b p>
    show ?case by (rule uniq-zero)
  next
  case (Opp p)
    then have add a p p2 = Infinity by simp
    with <on-curve a b p2> show ?case by (rule uniq-opp)
  next
  case (Gen p1 x1' y1' p3 x3 y3 p5 x5 y5 l')
    from <on-curve a b p3> <p3 = Point x3 y3>
    have x3 ∈ carrier R y3 ∈ carrier R
      by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
    from <x1' = x3 ∧ - ∨ -> a <p1 ≠ opp p3>
      <p1 = Point x1 y1> <p1 = Point x1' y1'> <p3 = Point x3 y3>
      <x1 ∈ carrier R> <y1 ∈ carrier R>
      <x3 ∈ carrier R> <y3 ∈ carrier R>
    have l' ∈ carrier R
      by (auto simp add: opp-Point equal-neg-zero integral-iff eq-diff0)
    from <p4 = p5> <p4 = Point x4 y4> <p5 = Point x5 y5>
      <p1 = Point x1' y1'> <p1 = Point x1 y1>
      <y4 = ⊕ y1 ⊖ l ⊗ (x4 ⊖ x1)> <y5 = ⊕ y1' ⊖ l' ⊗ (x5 ⊖ x1')>
      <x1 ∈ carrier R> <y1 ∈ carrier R> <x4 ∈ carrier R> <l' ∈ carrier R>
    have 0 = ⊕ y1 ⊖ l ⊗ (x4 ⊖ x1) ⊖ (⊕ y1' ⊖ l' ⊗ (x5 ⊖ x1))
      by (auto simp add: trans [OF eq-commute eq-diff0])
    with <x1 ∈ carrier R> <y1 ∈ carrier R> <x4 ∈ carrier R>
      <l ∈ carrier R> <l' ∈ carrier R>
    have (l' ⊖ l) ⊗ (x4 ⊖ x1) = 0
      apply simp
      apply (rule eq-diff0 [THEN iffD1])
      apply simp
      apply simp
      apply ring
      done
    with <x1 ∈ carrier R> <x4 ∈ carrier R> <l ∈ carrier R> <l' ∈ carrier R>
    have l' = l ∨ x4 = x1
      by (simp add: integral-iff eq-diff0)
    then show ?case
  proof
    assume l' = l
    with <p4 = p5> <p4 = Point x4 y4> <p5 = Point x5 y5>

```

```

⟨p1 = Point x1' y1⟩ ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
⟨x4 = l [ ] 2 ⊕ x1 ⊕ x2⟩ ⟨x5 = l' [ ] 2 ⊕ x1' ⊕ x3⟩
⟨x1 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨x3 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨l ∈ carrier R⟩
have 0 = l [ ] (2::nat) ⊕ x1 ⊕ x2 ⊕ (l [ ] (2::nat) ⊕ x1 ⊕ x3)
  by (simp add: trans [OF eq-commute eq-diff0])
with ⟨x1 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨x2 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨x3 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨l ∈ carrier R⟩
have x2 = x3
  apply (rule-tac eq-diff0 [THEN iffD1, THEN sym])
  apply simp-all
  apply (rule eq-diff0 [THEN iffD1])
  apply simp-all[2]
  apply ring
  done
with ⟨p2 = Point x2 y2⟩ ⟨p3 = Point x3 y3⟩ ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ ⟨on-curve a b p3⟩
have p2 = p3 ∨ p2 = opp p3 by (rule curve-elt-opp)
then show ?case
proof
  assume p2 = opp p3
  with ⟨on-curve a b p3⟩ have opp p2 = p3
    by (simp add: opp-opp)
  with ⟨p4 = p5⟩ ⟨p4 = add a p1 p2⟩ ⟨p5 = add a p1 p3⟩
  have add a p1 p2 = add a p1 (opp p2) by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases p1 = opp p1)
    case True
    with ⟨p1 ≠ opp p2⟩ ⟨p1 ≠ opp p3⟩
    have p1 ≠ p2 p1 ≠ p3 by auto
    with ⟨l' = l⟩ ⟨x1 = x2 ∧ -∨ -⟩ ⟨x1' = x3 ∧ -∨ -⟩
      ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩ ⟨p1 = Point x1' y1'⟩
      ⟨p2 = Point x2 y2⟩ ⟨p3 = Point x3 y3⟩
      ⟨p2 = opp p3⟩
    have eq: (y2 ⊕ y1) ⊕ (x2 ⊕ x1) = (y3 ⊕ y1) ⊕ (x2 ⊕ x1) and x1 ≠ x2
      by (auto simp add: opp-Point)
    from eq have y2 = y3
      apply (field (prems))
      apply (rule eq-diff0 [THEN iffD1])
      apply (insert ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ ⟨x1 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨y1 ∈ carrier R⟩
        ⟨x2 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨y2 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨y3 ∈ carrier R⟩)
      apply simp-all
      apply (erule subst)
      apply (rule eq-diff0 [THEN iffD1])
      apply simp-all
      apply ring
      apply (simp add: eq-diff0)
      done
    with ⟨p2 = opp p3⟩ ⟨p2 = Point x2 y2⟩ ⟨p3 = Point x3 y3⟩
    show ?thesis by (simp add: opp-Point)
  next

```

```

case False
with a b <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2>
  <add a p1 p2 = add a p1 (opp p2)>
  have p2 = opp p2 by (rule compat-add-opp)
  with <opp p2 = p3> show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
next
assume x4 = x1
with <p4 = Point x4 y4> [simplified <p4 = add a p1 p2>]
  <p1 = Point x1 y1>
  add-closed [OF a b <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2>]
  <on-curve a b p1>
have add a p1 p2 = p1 ∨ add a p1 p2 = opp p1 by (rule curve-elt-opp)
then show ?case
proof
  assume add a p1 p2 = p1
  with a b <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2>
  have add a p2 p1 = p1 by (simp add: add-comm)
  with a b ab <on-curve a b p2> <on-curve a b p1>
  have p2 = Infinity by (rule uniq-zero)
  moreover from <add a p1 p2 = p1>
    <p4 = p5> <p4 = add a p1 p2> <p5 = add a p1 p3>
    a b <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p3>
  have add a p3 p1 = p1 by (simp add: add-comm)
  with a b ab <on-curve a b p3> <on-curve a b p1>
  have p3 = Infinity by (rule uniq-zero)
  ultimately show ?case by simp
next
assume add a p1 p2 = opp p1
with a b ab <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2>
  have p2 = add a (opp p1) (opp p1) by (rule add-opp-double-opp)
  moreover from <add a p1 p2 = opp p1>
    <p4 = p5> <p4 = add a p1 p2> <p5 = add a p1 p3>
  have add a p1 p3 = opp p1 by simp
  with a b ab <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p3>
  have p3 = add a (opp p1) (opp p1) by (rule add-opp-double-opp)
  ultimately show ?case by simp
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma add-minus-id:
assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
and b: b ∈ carrier R
and ab: nonsingular a b
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2

```

```

shows add a (add a p1 p2) (opp p2) = p1
proof (cases add a p1 p2 = opp p2)
  case True
    then have add a (add a p1 p2) (opp p2) = add a (opp p2) (opp p2)
      by simp
    also from a b p1 p2 True have add a p2 p1 = opp p2
      by (simp add: add-comm)
    with a b ab p2 p1 have add a (opp p2) (opp p2) = p1
      by (rule add-opp-double-opp [symmetric])
    finally show ?thesis .
next
  case False
    from a b p1 p2 p1 p2 False show ?thesis
    proof (induct rule: add-case)
      case InfL
        then show ?case by (simp add: add-opp)
    next
      case InfR
        show ?case by (simp add: add-0-r)
    next
    case Opp
      then show ?case by (simp add: opp-opp add-0-l)
  next
  case (Tan p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 l)
    note a b ab <on-curve a b p1>
    moreover from <on-curve a b p1> <p1 = Point x1 y1>
    have y1 ∈ carrier R by (simp add: on-curve-def)
    with <y1 ≠ 0> <p1 = Point x1 y1>
    have p1 ≠ opp p1 by (simp add: opp-Point equal-neg-zero)
    moreover from <p2 = add a p1 p1> <p2 ≠ opp p1>
    have add a p1 p1 ≠ opp p1 by simp
    ultimately have add a (add a p1 p1) (opp p1) = p1
      by (rule compat-add-triple)
    with <p2 = add a p1 p1> show ?case by simp
  next
  case (Gen p1 x1 y1 p2 x2 y2 p3 x3 y3 l)
    from <p3 = add a p1 p2> <on-curve a b p2>
    have p3 = add a p1 (opp (opp p2)) by (simp add: opp-opp)
    with a b
      add-closed [OF a b <on-curve a b p1> <on-curve a b p2>,
                  folded <p3 = add a p1 p2>]
      opp-closed [OF <on-curve a b p2>]
      opp-closed [OF <on-curve a b p2>]
      opp-opp [OF <on-curve a b p2>]
      Gen
    show ?case
  proof (induct rule: add-case)
    case InfL
      then show ?case by simp

```

```

next
  case InfR
    then show ?case by (simp add: add-0-r)
next
  case (Opp p)
    from ⟨on-curve a b p⟩ ⟨p = add a p1 (opp (opp p))⟩
    have add a p1 p = p by (simp add: opp-opp)
    with a b ab ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ ⟨on-curve a b p⟩
    show ?case by (rule uniq-zero [symmetric])
next
  case Tan
    then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Gen p4 x4 y4 p5 x5 y5 p6 x6 y6 l1)
    from ⟨on-curve a b p1⟩ ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
    have x1 ∈ carrier R y1 ∈ carrier R
      by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
    from ⟨on-curve a b p2⟩ ⟨p2 = Point x2 y2⟩
    have x2 ∈ carrier R y2 ∈ carrier R
      by (simp-all add: on-curve-def)
    from ⟨on-curve a b p5⟩ ⟨opp p5 = p2⟩
      ⟨p2 = Point x2 y2⟩ ⟨p5 = Point x5 y5⟩
    have y5 = ⊕ y2 x5 = x2
      by (auto simp add: opp-Point on-curve-def)
    from ⟨p4 = Point x3 y3⟩ ⟨p4 = Point x4 y4⟩
    have x4 = x3 y4 = y3 by simp-all
    from ⟨x4 ≠ x5⟩ show ?case
      apply (simp add:
        ⟨y5 = ⊕ y2⟩ ⟨x5 = x2⟩
        ⟨x4 = x3⟩ ⟨y4 = y3⟩
        ⟨p6 = Point x6 y6⟩ ⟨p1 = Point x1 y1⟩
        ⟨x6 = l1 [ ] 2 ⊕ x4 ⊕ x5⟩ ⟨y6 = ⊕ y4 ⊕ l1 ⊗ (x6 ⊕ x4)⟩
        ⟨l1 = (y5 ⊕ y4) ⊕ (x5 ⊕ x4)⟩
        ⟨x3 = l [ ] 2 ⊕ x1 ⊕ x2⟩ ⟨y3 = ⊕ y1 ⊕ l ⊗ (x3 ⊕ x1)⟩
        ⟨l = (y2 ⊕ y1) ⊕ (x2 ⊕ x1)⟩)
      apply (rule conjI)
      apply field
      apply (rule conjI)
      apply (rule notI)
      apply (erule notE)
      apply (ring (prems))
      apply (rule sym)
      apply field
      apply (simp-all add: eq-diff0 [OF ⟨x2 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨x1 ∈ carrier R⟩]
        ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ [THEN not-sym])
      apply field
      apply (rule conjI)
      apply (simp add: eq-diff0 [OF ⟨x2 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨x1 ∈ carrier R⟩]
        ⟨x1 ≠ x2⟩ [THEN not-sym])

```

```

apply (rule notI)
apply (erule notE)
apply (ring (prems))
apply (rule sym)
apply field
apply (simp add: eq-diff0 [OF `x2 ∈ carrier R` `x1 ∈ carrier R`]
      `x1 ≠ x2` [THEN not-sym])
done
qed
qed
qed

lemma add-shift-minus:
assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
and b: b ∈ carrier R
and ab: nonsingular a b
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2
and p3: on-curve a b p3
and eq: add a p1 p2 = p3
shows p1 = add a p3 (opp p2)

proof -
  note eq
  also from add-minus-id [OF a b ab p3 opp-closed [OF p2]] p2
  have p3 = add a (add a p3 (opp p2)) p2 by (simp add: opp-opp)
  finally have add a p2 p1 = add a p2 (add a p3 (opp p2))
    using a b p1 p2 p3
    by (simp add: add-comm add-closed opp-closed)
  with a b ab p2 p1 add-closed [OF a b p3 opp-closed [OF p2]]
  show ?thesis by (rule cancel)
qed

lemma degenerate-assoc:
assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
and b: b ∈ carrier R
and ab: nonsingular a b
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2
and p3: on-curve a b p3
and H:
  (p1 = Infinity ∨ p2 = Infinity ∨ p3 = Infinity) ∨
  (p1 = opp p2 ∨ p2 = opp p3) ∨
  (opp p1 = add a p2 p3 ∨ opp p3 = add a p1 p2)
shows add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p1 p2) p3
using H
proof (elim disjE)
  assume p1 = Infinity
  then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-l)
next

```

```

assume  $p_2 = \text{Infinity}$ 
then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-l add-0-r)
next
assume  $p_3 = \text{Infinity}$ 
then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-r)
next
assume  $p_1 = \text{opp } p_2$ 
from a b p2 p3
have add a (opp p2) (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p3 p2) (opp p2)
    by (simp add: add-comm add-closed opp-closed)
also from a b ab p3 p2 have ... = p3 by (rule add-minus-id)
also have ... = add a Infinity p3 by (simp add: add-0-l)
also from p2 have ... = add a (add a p2 (opp p2)) p3
    by (simp add: add-opp)
also from a b p2 have ... = add a (add a (opp p2) p2) p3
    by (simp add: add-comm opp-closed)
finally show ?thesis using ‹ $p_1 = \text{opp } p_2$ › by simp
next
assume  $p_2 = \text{opp } p_3$ 
from a b p3
have add a p1 (add a (opp p3) p3) = add a p1 (add a p3 (opp p3))
    by (simp add: add-comm opp-closed)
also from a b ab p1 p3
have ... = add a (add a p1 (opp p3)) (opp (opp p3))
    by (simp add: add-opp add-minus-id add-0-r opp-closed)
finally show ?thesis using p3 ‹ $p_2 = \text{opp } p_3$ ›
    by (simp add: opp-opp)
next
assume eq: opp p1 = add a p2 p3
from eq [symmetric] p1
have add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = Infinity by (simp add: add-opp)
also from p3 have ... = add a p3 (opp p3) by (simp add: add-opp)
also from a b p3 have ... = add a (opp p3) p3
    by (simp add: add-comm opp-closed)
also from a b ab p2 p3
have ... = add a (add a (add a (opp p3) (opp p2)) (opp (opp p2))) p3
    by (simp add: add-minus-id opp-closed)
also from a b p2 p3
have ... = add a (add a (add a (opp p2) (opp p3)) p2) p3
    by (simp add: add-comm opp-opp opp-closed)
finally show ?thesis
    using opp-add [OF a b p2 p3] eq [symmetric] p1
    by (simp add: opp-opp)
next
assume eq: opp p3 = add a p1 p2
from opp-add [OF a b p1 p2] eq [symmetric] p3
have add a p1 (add a p2 p3) = add a p1 (add a p2 (add a (opp p1) (opp p2)))
    by (simp add: opp-opp)
also from a b p1 p2

```

```

have ... = add a p1 (add a (add a (opp p1) (opp p2)) (opp (opp p2)))
  by (simp add: add-comm opp-opp add-closed opp-closed)
also from a b ab p1 p2 have ... = Infinity
  by (simp add: add-minus-id add-opp opp-closed)
also from p3 have ... = add a p3 (opp p3) by (simp add: add-opp)
also from a b p3 have ... = add a (opp p3) p3
  by (simp add: add-comm opp-closed)
finally show ?thesis using eq [symmetric] by simp
qed

lemma spec4-assoc:
assumes a: a ∈ carrier R
and b: b ∈ carrier R
and ab: nonsingular a b
and p1: on-curve a b p1
and p2: on-curve a b p2
shows add a p1 (add a p2 p2) = add a (add a p1 p2) p2
proof (cases p1 = Infinity)
  case True
  from a b ab p1 p2 p2
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
proof (cases p2 = Infinity)
  case True
  from a b ab p1 p2 p2
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
proof (cases p2 = opp p2)
  case True
  from a b ab p1 p2 p2
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True [symmetric])
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
proof (cases p1 = opp p2)
  case True
  from a b ab p1 p2 p2
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
proof (cases opp p1 = add a p2 p2)
  case True
  from a b ab p1 p2 p2
  show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)

```

```

next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases opp p2 = add a p1 p2)
    case True
    from a b ab p1 p2
    show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p1 = add a p2 p2)
    case True
    from a b p1 p2 ‹p1 ≠ opp p2› ‹p2 ≠ opp p2›
      ‹opp p1 ≠ add a p2 p2› ‹opp p2 ≠ add a p1 p2›
      ‹p1 ≠ Infinity› ‹p2 ≠ Infinity›
    show ?thesis
      apply (simp add: True)
      apply (rule spec3-assoc [OF a b])
      apply (simp-all add: is-generic-def is-tangent-def)
      apply (rule notI)
      apply (drule uniq-zero [OF a b ab p2 p2])
      apply simp
      apply (intro conjI notI)
      apply (erule noteE)
      apply (rule uniq-opp [of a b])
      apply (simp-all add: add-comm add-closed)[2]
      apply (erule noteE)
      apply (drule uniq-zero [OF a b ab add-closed [OF a b p2 p2] p2])
      apply simp
      done
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p2 = add a p1 p2)
    case True
    from a b ab p1 p2 True [symmetric]
    have p1 = Infinity by (rule uniq-zero)
    then show ?thesis by (simp add: add-0-l)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p1 = p2)
    case True
    with a b p2 show ?thesis
      by (simp add: add-comm add-closed)
next
  case False
  with a b p1 p2 ‹p1 ≠ Infinity› ‹p2 ≠ Infinity›
    ‹p1 ≠ opp p2› ‹p2 ≠ opp p2›

```



```

case True
from a b ab p1 p2 p3
show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p1 = p2)
    case True
    from a b p2 p3
    have add a p2 (add a p2 p3) = add a (add a p3 p2) p2
      by (simp add: add-comm add-closed)
    also from a b ab p3 p2 have ... = add a p3 (add a p2 p2)
      by (simp add: spec4-assoc)
    also from a b p2 p3
    have ... = add a (add a p2 p2) p3
      by (simp add: add-comm add-closed)
    finally show ?thesis using True by simp
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p1 = opp p2)
    case True
    from a b ab p1 p2 p3
    show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p2 = p3)
    case True
    with a b ab p1 p3 show ?thesis
      by (simp add: spec4-assoc)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p2 = opp p3)
    case True
    from a b ab p1 p2 p3
    show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases opp p1 = add a p2 p3)
    case True
    from a b ab p1 p2 p3
    show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases opp p3 = add a p1 p2)

```

```

case True
from a b ab p1 p2 p3
show ?thesis by (rule degen-assoc) (simp add: True)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases p1 = add a p2 p3)
  case True
  with a b ab p2 p3 show ?thesis
    apply simp
    apply (rule cancel [OF a b ab opp-closed [OF p3]])
    apply (simp-all add: add-closed)
    apply (simp add: spec4-assoc add-closed opp-closed)
    apply (simp add: add-comm [of a b opp p3]
      add-closed opp-closed add-minus-id)
    apply (simp add: add-comm add-closed)
    done
  next
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases p3 = add a p1 p2)
    case True
    with a b ab p1 p2 show ?thesis
      apply simp
      apply (rule cancel [OF a b ab opp-closed [OF p1]])
      apply (simp-all add: add-closed)
      apply (simp add: spec4-assoc add-closed opp-closed)
      apply (simp add: add-comm [of a b opp p1] add-comm [of a b
p1]
        add-closed opp-closed add-minus-id)
      done
    next
    case False
    with a b p1 p2 p3
      ‹p1 ≠ Infinity› ‹p2 ≠ Infinity› ‹p3 ≠ Infinity›
      ‹p1 ≠ p2› ‹p1 ≠ opp p2› ‹p2 ≠ p3› ‹p2 ≠ opp p3›
      ‹opp p3 ≠ add a p1 p2› ‹p1 ≠ add a p2 p3›
    show ?thesis
      apply (rule-tac spec1-assoc [of a b])
      apply (simp-all add: is-generic-def)
      apply (rule notI)
      apply (erule note [of p1 = opp p2])
      apply (rule uniq-opp)
      apply assumption
      apply (simp add: add-comm)
      apply (intro conjI notI)
      apply (erule note [of p2 = opp p3])
      apply (rule uniq-opp)
      apply assumption

```

```

apply (simp add: add-comm)
apply (rule noteE [OF `opp p1 ≠ add a p2 p3`])
apply (simp add: opp-opp [OF add-closed [OF a b p2 p3]])
done
qed

lemma add-comm':
 $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies b \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{nonsingular } a \ b \implies$ 
 $\text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_1 \implies \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_2 \implies \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p_3 \implies$ 
 $\text{add } a \ p_2 (\text{add } a \ p_1 \ p_3) = \text{add } a \ p_1 (\text{add } a \ p_2 \ p_3)$ 
by (simp add: add-assoc add-comm)

primrec point-mult :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a point ⇒ 'a point
where
  point-mult a 0 p = Infinity
  | point-mult a (Suc n) p = add a p (point-mult a n p)

lemma point-mult-closed:  $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies b \in \text{carrier } R \implies$ 
 $\text{on-curve } a \ b \ p \implies \text{on-curve } a \ b \ (\text{point-mult } a \ n \ p)$ 
by (induct n) (simp-all add: add-closed)

lemma point-mult-add:
 $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies b \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p \implies \text{nonsingular } a \ b \implies$ 
 $\text{point-mult } a (m + n) \ p = \text{add } a (\text{point-mult } a \ m \ p) (\text{point-mult } a \ n \ p)$ 
by (induct m) (simp-all add: add-assoc point-mult-closed add-0-l)

lemma point-mult-mult:
 $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies b \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{on-curve } a \ b \ p \implies \text{nonsingular } a \ b \implies$ 
 $\text{point-mult } a (m * n) \ p = \text{point-mult } a \ n (\text{point-mult } a \ m \ p)$ 
by (induct n) (simp-all add: point-mult-add)

lemma point-mult2-eq-double:
 $\text{point-mult } a \ 2 \ p = \text{add } a \ p \ p$ 
by (simp add: numeral-2-eq-2 add-0-r)

end

```

3.2 Projective Coordinates

```

type-synonym 'a ppoint = 'a × 'a × 'a

definition (in cring) pdouble :: 'a ⇒ 'a ppoint ⇒ 'a ppoint where
  pdouble a p =
    (let (x, y, z) = p
     in
       if z = 0 then p
       else
         let
           l = «2» ⊗ y ⊗ z;
           m = «3» ⊗ x [ ] (2::nat) ⊕ a ⊗ z [ ] (2::nat)
         in
           (l ⊕ (m [ ] (2::nat) ⊖ «4» ⊗ x ⊗ y ⊗ l),
            m ⊕ («6» ⊗ x ⊗ y ⊗ l ⊕ m [ ] (2::nat)) ⊖
            «2» ⊗ y [ ] (2::nat) ⊕ l [ ] (2::nat),
            l [ ] (3::nat)))

definition (in cring) padd :: 'a ⇒ 'a ppoint ⇒ 'a ppoint ⇒ 'a ppoint where
  padd a p1 p2 =
    (let
      (x1, y1, z1) = p1;
      (x2, y2, z2) = p2
     in
       if z1 = 0 then p2
       else if z2 = 0 then p1
       else
         let
           d1 = x2 ⊗ z1;
           d2 = x1 ⊗ z2;
           l = d1 ⊕ d2;
           m = y2 ⊗ z1 ⊖ y1 ⊗ z2
         in
           if l = 0 then
             if m = 0 then pdouble a p1
             else (0, 0, 0)
           else
             let h = m [ ] (2::nat) ⊗ z1 ⊗ z2 ⊖ (d1 ⊕ d2) ⊕ l [ ] (2::nat)
             in
               (l ⊕ h,
                (d2 ⊕ l [ ] (2::nat) ⊕ h) ⊕ m ⊕ l [ ] (3::nat) ⊗ y1 ⊗ z2,
                l [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ z1 ⊗ z2))
    )

definition (in field) make-affine :: 'a ppoint ⇒ 'a point where
  make-affine p =
    (let (x, y, z) = p
     in if z = 0 then Infinity else Point (x ⊕ z) (y ⊕ z))

definition (in cring) in-carrierp :: 'a ppoint ⇒ bool where

```

in-carrierp = $(\lambda(x, y, z). x \in \text{carrier } R \wedge y \in \text{carrier } R \wedge z \in \text{carrier } R)$

```

definition (in cring) on-curvep :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ppoint ⇒ bool where
  on-curvep a b =  $(\lambda(x, y, z).$ 
     $x \in \text{carrier } R \wedge y \in \text{carrier } R \wedge z \in \text{carrier } R \wedge$ 
     $(z \neq \mathbf{0} \rightarrow$ 
       $y [ \triangleright ] (2::nat) \otimes z = x [ \triangleright ] (3::nat) \oplus a \otimes x \otimes z [ \triangleright ] (2::nat) \oplus b \otimes z [ \triangleright ] (3::nat)))$ 

lemma (in cring) on-curvep-infinity [simp]: on-curvep a b (x, y, 0) =  $(x \in \text{carrier } R \wedge y \in \text{carrier } R)$ 
  by (simp add: on-curvep-def)

lemma (in field) make-affine-infinity [simp]: make-affine (x, y, 0) = Infinity
  by (simp add: make-affine-def)

lemma (in cring) on-curvep-imp-in-carrierp [simp]: on-curvep a b p  $\implies$  in-carrierp p
  by (auto simp add: on-curvep-def in-carrierp-def)

lemma (in ell-field) on-curvep-iff-on-curve:
  assumes a ∈ carrier R b ∈ carrier R in-carrierp p
  shows on-curvep a b p = on-curve a b (make-affine p)
  using assms
  proof (induct p rule: prod-induct3)
    case (fields x y z)
    show on-curvep a b (x, y, z) = on-curve a b (make-affine (x, y, z))
    proof
      assume H: on-curvep a b (x, y, z)
      then have carrier: x ∈ carrier R y ∈ carrier R z ∈ carrier R
        and yz: z ≠ 0  $\implies$ 
           $y [ \triangleright ] (2::nat) \otimes z = x [ \triangleright ] (3::nat) \oplus a \otimes x \otimes z [ \triangleright ] (2::nat) \oplus b \otimes z [ \triangleright ] (3::nat)$ 
        by (simp-all add: on-curvep-def)
        show on-curve a b (make-affine (x, y, z))
      proof (cases z = 0)
        case True
        then show ?thesis by (simp add: on-curve-def make-affine-def)
      next
        case False
        then show ?thesis
          apply (simp add: on-curve-def make-affine-def carrier)
          apply (field yz [OF False])
          apply assumption
          done
      qed
    next
      assume H: on-curve a b (make-affine (x, y, z))
      show on-curvep a b (x, y, z)
  
```

```

proof (cases z = 0)
  case True
    with `in-carrierp (x, y, z)` show ?thesis
      by (simp add: on-curvep-def in-carrierp-def)
  next
    case False
      from `in-carrierp (x, y, z)`
      have carrier:  $x \in \text{carrier } R$   $y \in \text{carrier } R$   $z \in \text{carrier } R$ 
        by (simp-all add: in-carrierp-def)
      from H show ?thesis
        apply (simp add: on-curve-def on-curvep-def make-affine-def carrier False)
        apply (field (prems))
        apply field
        apply (simp-all add: False)
        done
      qed
    qed
  qed

lemma (in cring) pdouble-in-carrierp:
   $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{in-carrierp } p \implies \text{in-carrierp } (\text{pdouble } a \ p)$ 
  by (auto simp add: in-carrierp-def pdouble-def Let-def split: prod.split)

lemma (in cring) padd-in-carrierp:
   $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{in-carrierp } p_1 \implies \text{in-carrierp } p_2 \implies \text{in-carrierp } (\text{padd } a \ p_1 \ p_2)$ 
  by (auto simp add: padd-def Let-def pdouble-in-carrierp split: prod.split)
    (auto simp add: in-carrierp-def)

lemma (in cring) pdouble-infinity [simp]: pdouble a (x, y, 0) = (x, y, 0)
  by (simp add: pdouble-def)

lemma (in cring) padd-infinity-l [simp]: padd a (x, y, 0) p = p
  by (simp add: padd-def)

lemma (in ell-field) pdouble-correct:
   $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{in-carrierp } p \implies$ 
   $\text{make-affine } (\text{pdouble } a \ p) = \text{add } a \ (\text{make-affine } p) \ (\text{make-affine } p)$ 
  proof (induct p rule: prod-induct3)
    case (fields x y z)
    then have x ∈ carrier R y ∈ carrier R z ∈ carrier R
      by (simp-all add: in-carrierp-def)
    then show ?case
      apply (auto simp add: add-def pdouble-def make-affine-def equal-neg-zero divide-eq-0-iff
        integral-iff Let-def simp del: minus-divide-left)
      apply field
      apply (simp add: integral-iff)
      apply field

```

```

apply (simp add: integral-iff)
done
qed

lemma (in ell-field) padd-correct:
assumes a:  $a \in \text{carrier } R$  and b:  $b \in \text{carrier } R$ 
and p1:  $\text{on-curvep } a \ b \ p_1$  and p2:  $\text{on-curvep } a \ b \ p_2$ 
shows  $\text{make-affine} (\text{padd } a \ p_1 \ p_2) = \text{add } a (\text{make-affine } p_1) (\text{make-affine } p_2)$ 
using p1
proof (induct p1 rule: prod-induct3)
case (fields x1 y1 z1)
note p1' = fields
from p2 show ?case
proof (induct p2 rule: prod-induct3)
case (fields x2 y2 z2)
then have x2:  $x_2 \in \text{carrier } R$  y2:  $y_2 \in \text{carrier } R$  z2:  $z_2 \in \text{carrier } R$  and
yz2:  $z_2 \neq \mathbf{0} \implies y_2 [ \cdot ] (2::nat) \otimes z_2 \otimes z_1 [ \cdot ] (3::nat) =$ 
 $(x_2 [ \cdot ] (3::nat) \oplus a \otimes x_2 \otimes z_2 [ \cdot ] (2::nat) \oplus b \otimes z_2 [ \cdot ] (3::nat)) \otimes z_1 [ \cdot ] (3::nat)$ 
by (simp-all add: on-curvep-def)
from p1' have x1:  $x_1 \in \text{carrier } R$  y1:  $y_1 \in \text{carrier } R$  z1:  $z_1 \in \text{carrier } R$  and
yz1:  $z_1 \neq \mathbf{0} \implies y_1 [ \cdot ] (2::nat) \otimes z_1 \otimes z_2 [ \cdot ] (3::nat) =$ 
 $(x_1 [ \cdot ] (3::nat) \oplus a \otimes x_1 \otimes z_1 [ \cdot ] (2::nat) \oplus b \otimes z_1 [ \cdot ] (3::nat)) \otimes z_2 [ \cdot ] (3::nat)$ 
by (simp-all add: on-curvep-def)
show ?case
proof (cases z1 = 0)
case True
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: add-def padd-def make-affine-def)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases z2 = 0)
case True
then show ?thesis
by (simp add: add-def padd-def make-affine-def)
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (cases x2 ⊕ z1 ⊖ x1 ⊕ z2 = 0)
case True
note x = this
with ⟨x1 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨x2 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨z1 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨z2 ∈ carrier R⟩
have x':  $x_2 \otimes z_1 = x_1 \otimes z_2$  by (simp add: eq-diff0)
show ?thesis
proof (cases y2 ⊕ z1 ⊖ y1 ⊕ z2 = 0)
case True
with ⟨y1 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨y2 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨z1 ∈ carrier R⟩ ⟨z2 ∈ carrier R⟩

```

```

R>
have y:  $y_2 \otimes z_1 = y_1 \otimes z_2$  by (simp add: eq-diff0)
from ⟨ $z_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ⟩ ⟨ $z_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ⟩ x
have make-affine (x2, y2, z2) = make-affine (x1, y1, z1)
  apply (simp add: make-affine-def)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (field x')
  apply simp
  apply (field y)
  apply simp
done
with True x ⟨ $z_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ⟩ ⟨ $z_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ⟩ p1' fields a show ?thesis
  by (simp add: padd-def pdouble-correct)
next
case False
have y2 [ ] (2::nat) ⊗ z1 [ ] (3::nat) ⊗ z2 =
  y1 [ ] (2::nat) ⊗ z1 ⊗ z2 [ ] (3::nat)
  by (ring y1 [OF ⟨ $z_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ⟩] y2 [OF ⟨ $z_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ⟩] x')
then have y2 [ ] (2::nat) ⊗ z1 [ ] (3::nat) ⊗ z2 ⊕ z1 ⊕ z2 =
  y1 [ ] (2::nat) ⊗ z1 ⊕ z2 [ ] (3::nat) ⊕ z1 ⊕ z2
  by simp
then have (y2 ⊕ z1) ⊕ (y2 ⊕ z1) = (y1 ⊕ z2) ⊕ (y1 ⊕ z2)
  apply (field (prems))
  apply (field)
  apply (rule TrueI)
  apply (simp add: ⟨ $z_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ⟩ ⟨ $z_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ⟩)
done
with False
have y2z1: y2 ⊕ z1 = ⊕ (y1 ⊕ z2)
  by (simp add: square-eq-iff eq-diff0
    ⟨ $y_1 \in \text{carrier } R$ ⟩ ⟨ $y_2 \in \text{carrier } R$ ⟩ ⟨ $z_1 \in \text{carrier } R$ ⟩ ⟨ $z_2 \in \text{carrier } R$ ⟩)
from x False ⟨ $z_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ⟩ ⟨ $z_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$ ⟩ show ?thesis
  apply (simp add: padd-def add-def make-affine-def Let-def)
  apply (rule conjI)
  apply (rule impI)
  apply (field x')
  apply simp
  apply (field y2z1)
  apply simp
done
qed
next
case False
then have x1 ⊕ z1 ≠ x2 ⊕ z2
  apply (rule-tac notI)
  apply (erule noteE)
  apply (drule sym)
  apply (field (prems))
  apply ring

```

```

apply (simp add: ‹z1 ≠ 0› ‹z2 ≠ 0›)
done
with False ‹z1 ≠ 0› ‹z2 ≠ 0›
  ‹x1 ∈ carrier R› ‹x2 ∈ carrier R› ‹z1 ∈ carrier R› ‹z2 ∈ carrier R›
show ?thesis
apply (auto simp add: padd-def add-def make-affine-def Let-def integral-iff)
  apply field
  apply (simp add: integral-iff)
  apply field
  apply (simp add: integral-iff)
done
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma (in ell-field) pdouble-closed:
assumes a ∈ carrier R b ∈ carrier R on-curvep a b p
shows on-curvep a b (pdouble a p)
proof –
from ‹on-curvep a b p› have in-carrierp p by simp
from assms show ?thesis
  by (simp add: on-curvep-iff-on-curve pdouble-in-carrierp pdouble-correct
    add-closed ‹in-carrierp p›)
qed

lemma (in ell-field) padd-closed:
assumes a ∈ carrier R b ∈ carrier R on-curvep a b p1 on-curvep a b p2
shows on-curvep a b (padd a p1 p2)
proof –
from ‹on-curvep a b p1› have in-carrierp p1 by simp
from ‹on-curvep a b p2› have in-carrierp p2 by simp
from assms show ?thesis
  by (simp add: on-curvep-iff-on-curve padd-in-carrierp padd-correct
    add-closed ‹in-carrierp p1› ‹in-carrierp p2›)
qed

primrec (in cring) ppoint-mult :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ppoint ⇒ 'a ppoint
where
  ppoint-mult a 0 p = (0, 0, 0)
  | ppoint-mult a (Suc n) p = padd a p (ppoint-mult a n p)

lemma (in ell-field) ppoint-mult-closed [simp]:
  a ∈ carrier R ⇒ b ∈ carrier R ⇒ on-curvep a b p ⇒ on-curvep a b
  (ppoint-mult a n p)
  by (induct n) (simp-all add: padd-closed)

lemma (in ell-field) ppoint-mult-correct: a ∈ carrier R ⇒ b ∈ carrier R ⇒

```

```

on-curvep a b p ==>
make-affine (ppoint-mult a n p) = point-mult a n (make-affine p)
by (induct n) (simp-all add: padd-correct)

definition (in cring) proj-eq :: 'a ppoint => 'a ppoint => bool where
proj-eq = (λ(x1, y1, z1) (x2, y2, z2).  

(z1 = 0) = (z2 = 0) ∧ x1 ⊗ z2 = x2 ⊗ z1 ∧ y1 ⊗ z2 = y2 ⊗ z1)

lemma (in cring) proj-eq-refl: proj-eq p p
by (auto simp add: proj-eq-def)

lemma (in cring) proj-eq-sym: proj-eq p p' ==> proj-eq p' p
by (auto simp add: proj-eq-def)

lemma (in domain) proj-eq-trans:
in-carrierp p ==> in-carrierp p' ==> in-carrierp p'' ==>
proj-eq p p' ==> proj-eq p' p'' ==> proj-eq p p''  

proof (induct p rule: prod-induct3)
case (fields x y z)
then show ?case
proof (induct p' rule: prod-induct3)
case (fields x' y' z')
then show ?case
proof (induct p'' rule: prod-induct3)
case (fields x'' y'' z'')
then have carrier:
x ∈ carrier R y ∈ carrier R z ∈ carrier R
x' ∈ carrier R y' ∈ carrier R z' ∈ carrier R
x'' ∈ carrier R y'' ∈ carrier R z'' ∈ carrier R
and z: (z = 0) = (z' = 0) (z' = 0) = (z'' = 0) and
x ⊗ z' ⊗ z'' = x' ⊗ z ⊗ z''
y ⊗ z' ⊗ z'' = y' ⊗ z ⊗ z''
and xy:
x' ⊗ z'' = x'' ⊗ z'
y' ⊗ z'' = y'' ⊗ z'
by (simp-all add: in-carrierp-def proj-eq-def)
from ⟨x ⊗ z' ⊗ z'' = x' ⊗ z ⊗ z''⟩
have (x ⊗ z'') ⊗ z' = (x'' ⊗ z) ⊗ z'
by (ring (prems) xy) (ring xy)
moreover from ⟨y ⊗ z' ⊗ z'' = y' ⊗ z ⊗ z''⟩
have (y ⊗ z'') ⊗ z' = (y'' ⊗ z) ⊗ z'
by (ring (prems) xy) (ring xy)
ultimately show ?case using z
by (auto simp add: proj-eq-def carrier conc)
qed
qed
qed

lemma (in field) make-affine-proj-eq-iff:

```

```

in-carrierp p ==> in-carrierp p' ==> proj-eq p p' = (make-affine p = make-affine
p')
proof (induct p rule: prod-induct3)
  case (fields x y z)
  then show ?case
    proof (induct p' rule: prod-induct3)
      case (fields x' y' z')
      then have carrier:
        x ∈ carrier R y ∈ carrier R z ∈ carrier R
        x' ∈ carrier R y' ∈ carrier R z' ∈ carrier R
        by (simp-all add: in-carrierp-def)
      show ?case
      proof
        assume proj-eq (x, y, z) (x', y', z')
        then have (z = 0) = (z' = 0)
          and xy: x ⊗ z' = x' ⊗ z y ⊗ z' = y' ⊗ z
          by (simp-all add: proj-eq-def)
        then show make-affine (x, y, z) = make-affine (x', y', z')
          apply (auto simp add: make-affine-def)
          apply (field xy)
          apply simp
          apply (field xy)
          apply simp
          done
      next
        assume H: make-affine (x, y, z) = make-affine (x', y', z')
        show proj-eq (x, y, z) (x', y', z')
        proof (cases z = 0)
          case True
          with H have z' = 0 by (simp add: make-affine-def split: if-split-asm)
          with True carrier show ?thesis by (simp add: proj-eq-def)
      next
        case False
        with H have z' ≠ 0 x ⊗ z = x' ⊗ z' y ⊗ z = y' ⊗ z'
          by (simp-all add: make-affine-def split: if-split-asm)
        from ⟨x ⊗ z = x' ⊗ z'⟩
        have x ⊗ z' = x' ⊗ z
          apply (field (prems))
          apply field
          apply (simp-all add: ⟨z ≠ 0⟩ ⟨z' ≠ 0⟩)
          done
        moreover from ⟨y ⊗ z = y' ⊗ z'⟩
        have y ⊗ z' = y' ⊗ z
          apply (field (prems))
          apply field
          apply (simp-all add: ⟨z ≠ 0⟩ ⟨z' ≠ 0⟩)
          done
        ultimately show ?thesis
          by (simp add: proj-eq-def ⟨z ≠ 0⟩ ⟨z' ≠ 0⟩)

```

```

qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma (in ell-field) pdouble-proj-eq-cong:
  a ∈ carrier R ⇒ in-carrierp p ⇒ in-carrierp p' ⇒ proj-eq p p' ⇒
  proj-eq (pdouble a p) (pdouble a p')
  by (simp add: make-affine-proj-eq-iff pdouble-in-carrierp pdouble-correct)

lemma (in ell-field) padd-proj-eq-cong:
  a ∈ carrier R ⇒ b ∈ carrier R ⇒ on-curvep a b p1 ⇒ on-curvep a b p1' ⇒
  on-curvep a b p2 ⇒ on-curvep a b p2' ⇒ proj-eq p1 p1' ⇒ proj-eq p2 p2' ⇒
  proj-eq (padd a p1 p2) (padd a p1' p2')
  by (simp add: make-affine-proj-eq-iff padd-in-carrierp padd-correct)

end

```

4 Validating the Specification

```

theory Elliptic-Test
imports
  Elliptic-Locale
  HOL-Number-Theory.Residues
begin

```

4.1 Specialized Definitions for Prime Fields

```

definition mmult :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int ⇒ int (infixl <**> 70)
  where x **m y = x * y mod m

definition madd :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int ⇒ int (infixl <++> 65)
  where x ++m y = (x + y) mod m

definition msub :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int ⇒ int (infixl <--> 65)
  where x --m y = (x - y) mod m

definition mpow :: int ⇒ int ⇒ nat ⇒ int (infixr <^> 80)
  where x ^m n = x ^n mod m

lemma (in residues) res-of-natural-eq: «n»N = int n mod m
  by (induct n)
    (simp-all add: of-natural-def res-zero-eq res-one-eq res-add-eq mod-add-right-eq)

lemma (in residues) res-of-integer-eq: «i» = i mod m
  by (simp add: of-integer-def res-of-natural-eq res-neg-eq mod-minus-eq)

lemma (in residues) res-pow-eq: x [^] (n::nat) = x ^ n mod m
  using m-gt-one

```

```

by (induct n)
  (simp-all add: res-one-eq res-mult-eq mult-ac mod-mult-right-eq)

lemma (in residues) res-sub-eq:  $(x \text{ mod } m) \ominus (y \text{ mod } m) = (x \text{ mod } m - y \text{ mod } m) \text{ mod } m$ 
by (simp add: minus-eq res-neg-eq res-add-eq mod-minus-eq mod-add-eq mod-diff-eq)

definition mpdouble :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int ppoint  $\Rightarrow$  int ppoint where
mpdouble m a p =
  (let (x, y, z) = p
   in
     if z = 0 then p
     else
       let
         l = 2 mod m **m y **m z;
         n = 3 mod m **m x ^~~~m 2 ++m a **m z ^~~~m 2
       in
         (l **m (n ^~~~m 2 --m 4 mod m **m x **m y **m l),
          n **m (6 mod m **m x **m y **m l --m n ^~~~m 2) --m
          2 mod m **m y ^~~~m 2 **m l ^~~~m 2,
          l ^~~~m 3))

definition mpadd :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int ppoint  $\Rightarrow$  int ppoint  $\Rightarrow$  int ppoint where
mpadd m a p1 p2 =
  (let
   (x1, y1, z1) = p1;
   (x2, y2, z2) = p2
   in
     if z1 = 0 then p2
     else if z2 = 0 then p1
     else
       let
         d1 = x2 **m z1;
         d2 = x1 **m z2;
         l = d1 --m d2;
         n = y2 **m z1 --m y1 **m z2
       in
         if l = 0 then
           if n = 0 then mpdouble m a p1
           else (0, 0, 0)
         else
           let h = n ^~~~m 2 **m z1 **m z2 --m (d1 ++m d2) **m l ^~~~m 2
           in
             (l **m h,
              (d2 **m l ^~~~m 2 --m h) **m n --m l ^~~~m 3 **m y1 **m z2,
              l ^~~~m 3 **m z1 **m z2))

lemma (in residues) pdouble-residue-eq: pdouble a p = mpdouble m a p
by (simp only: pdouble-def mpdouble-def

```

```

madd-def mmult-def msub-def mpow-def res-zero-eq res-add-eq res-mult-eq res-of-integer-eq
res-pow-eq res-sub-eq)

lemma (in residues) padd-residue-eq: padd a p1 p2 = mpadd m a p1 p2
  by (simp only: padd-def mpadd-def pdouble-residue-eq
    madd-def mmult-def msub-def mpow-def res-zero-eq res-add-eq res-mult-eq res-of-integer-eq
    res-pow-eq res-sub-eq Let-def)

fun fast-ppoint-mult :: int ⇒ int ⇒ nat ⇒ int ppoint ⇒ int ppoint
where
  fast-ppoint-mult m a n p =
    (if n = 0 then (0, 0, 0)
     else if n mod 2 = 0 then mpdouble m a (fast-ppoint-mult m a (n div 2) p)
     else mpadd m a p (mpdouble m a (fast-ppoint-mult m a (n div 2) p)))

lemma fast-ppoint-mult-0 [simp]: fast-ppoint-mult m a 0 p = (0, 0, 0)
  by simp

lemma fast-ppoint-mult-even [simp]:
  n ≠ 0 ⇒ n mod 2 = 0 ⇒
  fast-ppoint-mult m a n p = mpdouble m a (fast-ppoint-mult m a (n div 2) p)
  by simp

lemma fast-ppoint-mult-odd [simp]:
  n ≠ 0 ⇒ n mod 2 ≠ 0 ⇒
  fast-ppoint-mult m a n p = mpadd m a p (mpdouble m a (fast-ppoint-mult m a
  (n div 2) p)))
  by simp

declare fast-ppoint-mult.simps [simp del]

locale residues-prime-gt2 = residues-prime +
  assumes gt2: 2 < p

sublocale residues-prime-gt2 < ell-field
  using gt2
  by unfold-locales (simp add: res-of-integer-eq res-zero-eq)

lemma (in residues-prime-gt2) fast-ppoint-mult-closed:
  assumes a ∈ carrier R b ∈ carrier R on-curvep a b q
  shows on-curvep a b (fast-ppoint-mult (int p) a n q)
  using assms
proof (induct int p a n q rule: fast-ppoint-mult.induct)
  case (1 a n q)
  show ?case
  proof (cases n = 0)
    case True
    then show ?thesis using m-gt-one
    by (simp add: on-curvep-infinity [simplified res-zero-eq] res-carrier-eq)
  
```

```

next
  case False
  with 1 show ?thesis
    by (cases n mod 2 = 0)
      (simp-all add: padd-residue-eq [symmetric] pdouble-residue-eq [symmetric]
       padd-closed pdouble-closed)
  qed
qed

lemma (in residues-prime-gt2) point-mult-residue-eq:
  assumes a ∈ carrier R b ∈ carrier R on-curvep a b q nonsingular a b
  shows proj-eq (ppoint-mult a n q) (fast-ppoint-mult (int p) a n q)
proof –
  from assms
  have point-mult a n (make-affine q) = make-affine (fast-ppoint-mult (int p) a n q)
  proof (induct int p a n q rule: fast-ppoint-mult.induct)
    case (1 a n q)
    show ?case
    proof (cases n = 0)
      case True
      then show ?thesis by (simp add: make-affine-infinity [simplified res-zero-eq])
  next
    case False
    have point-mult a n (make-affine q) =
      point-mult a (n div 2 * 2 + n mod 2) (make-affine q)
      by simp
    also from 1
    have ... = add a (point-mult a 2 (point-mult a (n div 2) (make-affine q)))
      (point-mult a (n mod 2) (make-affine q))
      by (simp only: point-mult-mult point-mult-add
            on-curvep-iff-on-curve [symmetric] on-curvep-imp-in-carrierp)
    also have ... = make-affine (fast-ppoint-mult (int p) a n q)
      using 1 False
      by (cases n mod 2 = 0)
        (simp-all add: padd-residue-eq [symmetric] pdouble-residue-eq [symmetric]
         add-0-r
           padd-correct pdouble-correct
           fast-ppoint-mult-closed on-curvep-imp-in-carrierp [of a b]
           point-mult2-eq-double pdouble-closed
           add-assoc [symmetric] add-comm add-comm' on-curvep-iff-on-curve
           [symmetric])
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
qed
with assms show ?thesis
  by (simp add: make-affine-proj-eq-iff fast-ppoint-mult-closed
        ppoint-mult-correct on-curvep-imp-in-carrierp [of a b])
qed

```

```

definition mmake-affine :: int ⇒ int ppoint ⇒ int point where
  mmake-affine q p =
    (let (x, y, z) = p
     in if z = 0 then Infinity else
        let (a, b) = bezout-coefficients z q
        in Point (a **q x) (a **q y))

lemma (in residues-prime) make-affine-residue-eq:
  assumes in-carrierp q
  shows make-affine q = mmake-affine (int p) q
proof (cases q)
  case (fields x y z)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases z = 0)
    case True
    with fields show ?thesis by (simp add: make-affine-def mmake-affine-def
      res-zero-eq)
    next
    case False
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases bezout-coefficients z (int p))
      case (Pair a b)
      with fields False assms have ¬ int p dvd z
      by (auto simp add: in-carrierp-def res-carrier-eq prime-imp-coprime zdvd-not-zless)
      with p-prime have coprime (int p) z
      by (auto intro: prime-imp-coprime)
      then have coprime z (int p)
      by (simp add: ac-simps)
      then have fst (bezout-coefficients z (int p)) * z +
        snd (bezout-coefficients z (int p)) * int p = 1
      by (simp add: bezout-coefficients-fst-snd)
      with m-gt-one have fst (bezout-coefficients z (int p)) * z mod int p = 1
      by (auto dest: arg-cong [of - - λx. x mod int p])
      then have z ⊗ (fst (bezout-coefficients z (int p)) mod int p) = 1
      by (simp add: res-mult-eq res-one-eq mult.commute mod-mult-right-eq)
      with fields assms have inv z = fst (bezout-coefficients z (int p)) mod int p
      by (simp add: inverse-unique in-carrierp-def res-carrier-eq)
      with fields Pair False show ?thesis
      by (simp add: make-affine-def mmake-affine-def res-zero-eq m-div-def
        res-mult-eq mmult-def mod-mult-right-eq mult.commute)
    qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

definition mon-curve :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int ⇒ int point ⇒ bool where
  mon-curve m a b p = (case p of
    Infinity ⇒ True
    | Point x y ⇒ 0 ≤ x ∧ x < m ∧ 0 ≤ y ∧ y < m ∧

```

$$y \sim\sim m 2 = x \sim\sim m 3 ++_m a **_m x ++_m b)$$

```
lemma (in residues-prime-gt2) on-curve-residues-eq:
  on-curve a b q = mon-curve (int p) a b q
  by (simp add: on-curve-def mon-curve-def res-carrier-eq res-add-eq res-mult-eq
  res-pow-eq
    madd-def mmult-def mpow-def split: point.split)
```

4.2 The NIST Curve P-521

The following test data is taken from RFC 5903 [1], §3.3 and §8.3. The curve parameters can also be found in §D.1.2.5 of FIPS PUB 186-4 [2].

definition $m :: int$ **where**

$$m = 0x01FFFFFFF\cdots$$

definition $a :: int$ **where**

$$a = m - 3$$

definition $b :: int$ **where**

$$b = 0x0051953EB9618E1C9A1F929A21A0B68540EEA2DA725B99B315F3B8B489918EF109E156193951EC\cdots$$

definition $gx :: int$ **where**

$$gx = 0x00C6858E06B70404E9CD9E3ECB662395B4429C648139053FB521F828AF606B4D3DBAA14B5E77E\cdots$$

definition $gy :: int$ **where**

$$gy = 0x011839296A789A3BC0045C8A5FB42C7D1BD998F54449579B446817AFBD17273E662C97EE72995E\cdots$$

definition $priv :: nat$ **where**

$$priv = 0x0037ADE9319A89F4DABDB3EF411AACCA5123C61ACAB57B5393DCE47608172A095AA85A3\cdots$$

definition $pubx :: int$ **where**

$$pubx = 0x0015417E84DBF28C0AD3C278713349DC7DF153C897A1891BD98BAB4357C9ECBEE1E3BF42E\cdots$$

definition $puby :: int$ **where**

$$puby = 0x017CAE20B6641D2EEB695786D8C946146239D099E18E1D5A514C739D7CB4A10AD8A788015A\cdots$$

definition $order :: nat$ **where**

$$order = 0x01FFFFFFF\cdots$$

lemma $mon\text{-}curve m a b (Point gx gy)$

by eval

lemma $mmake-affine m (fast\text{-}ppoint\text{-}mult m a priv (gx, gy, 1)) = Point pubx puby$

by eval

lemma $mmake-affine m (fast\text{-}ppoint\text{-}mult m a order (gx, gy, 1)) = Infinity$

by eval

end

References

- [1] D. E. Fu and J. A. Solinas. Elliptic Curve Groups modulo a Prime (ECP Groups) for IKE and IKEv2. RFC 5903, Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), June 2010. Available online at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5903>.
- [2] C. F. Kerry and P. D. Gallagher. Digital Signature Standard (DSS). Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) Publication 186-4, Information Technology Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), July 2013. Available online at <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.FIPS.186-4>.
- [3] A. Mahboubi and B. Grégoire. Proving Equalities in a Commutative Ring Done Right in Coq. In J. Hurd and T. Melham, editors, *TPHOLs 2005*, volume 3603 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 98–113, Oxford, United Kingdom, August 2005. Springer.
- [4] L. Théry. Proving the group law for elliptic curves formally. Technical Report RT-0330, INRIA, March 2007. Available online at <https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00129237>, Coq sources available at <http://coqprime.gforge.inria.fr>.