

Efficient Mergesort

Christian Sternagel

March 17, 2025

Abstract

We provide a formalization of the mergesort algorithm as used in GHC's `Data.List` module, proving correctness and stability. Furthermore, experimental data suggests that generated (Haskell-)code for this algorithm is much faster than for previous algorithms available in the Isabelle distribution.

```
theory Efficient-Sort  
  imports HOL-Library.Multiset  
begin
```

1 GHC Version of Mergesort

In the following we show that the mergesort implementation used in GHC (see <http://hackage.haskell.org/package/base-4.11.1.0/docs/src/Data.OldList.html#sort>) is a correct and stable sorting algorithm. Furthermore, experimental data suggests that generated code for this implementation is much more efficient than for the implementation provided by *HOL-Library.Multiset*. A high-level overview of an older version of this formalization as well as some experimental data is to be found in [1].

1.1 Definition of Natural Mergesort

```
context  
  fixes key :: 'a ⇒ 'k::linorder  
begin
```

Split a list into chunks of ascending and descending parts, where descending parts are reversed on the fly. Thus, the result is a list of sorted lists.

```
fun sequences :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list list  
  and asc :: 'a ⇒ ('a list ⇒ 'a list) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list list  
  and desc :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list list  
  where  
    sequences (a # b # xs) =
```

```

    (if key a > key b then desc b [a] xs else asc b ((#) a) xs)
| sequences [x] = [[x]]
| sequences [] = []
| asc a as (b # bs) =
    (if key a ≤ key b then asc b (λys. as (a # ys)) bs
    else as [a] # sequences (b # bs))
| asc a as [] = [as [a]]
| desc a as (b # bs) =
    (if key a > key b then desc b (a # as) bs
    else (a # as) # sequences (b # bs))
| desc a as [] = [a # as]

```

fun merge :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list

where

```

    merge (a # as) (b # bs) =
    (if key a > key b then b # merge (a # as) bs else a # merge as (b # bs))
| merge [] bs = bs
| merge as [] = as

```

fun merge-pairs :: 'a list list ⇒ 'a list list

where

```

    merge-pairs (a # b # xs) = merge a b # merge-pairs xs
| merge-pairs xs = xs

```

lemma length-merge [simp]:

```

length (merge xs ys) = length xs + length ys
by (induct xs ys rule: merge.induct) simp-all

```

lemma length-merge-pairs [simp]:

```

length (merge-pairs xs) = (1 + length xs) div 2
by (induct xs rule: merge-pairs.induct) simp-all

```

fun merge-all :: 'a list list ⇒ 'a list

where

```

    merge-all [] = []
| merge-all [x] = x
| merge-all xs = merge-all (merge-pairs xs)

```

fun msort-key :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list

where

```

msort-key xs = merge-all (sequences xs)

```

1.2 The Functional Argument of *local.asc*

f is a function that only adds some prefix to a given list.

definition ascP *f* = (∀ *xs*. *f xs* = *f* [] @ *xs*)

lemma ascP-Cons [simp]: ascP ((#) *x*) **by** (simp add: ascP-def)

lemma *ascP-comp-append-Cons* [*simp*]:
ascP ($\lambda xs. f [] @ x \# xs$)
by (*auto simp: ascP-def*)

lemma *ascP-f-Cons*:
assumes *ascP f*
shows $f (x \# xs) = f [] @ x \# xs$
using $\langle ascP f \rangle$ [*unfolded ascP-def, THEN spec, of x # xs*].

lemma *ascP-comp-Cons* [*simp*]:
assumes *ascP f*
shows *ascP* ($\lambda ys. f (x \# ys)$)
proof (*unfold ascP-def, intro allI*)
fix *xs* **show** $f (x \# xs) = f [x] @ xs$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: ascP-f-Cons*)
qed

lemma *ascP-f-singleton*:
assumes *ascP f*
shows $f [x] = f [] @ [x]$
by (*rule ascP-f-Cons [OF assms]*)

1.3 Facts about Lengths

lemma
shows *length-sequences*: $length (sequences\ xs) \leq length\ xs$
and *length-asc*: $ascP\ f \implies length (asc\ a\ f\ ys) \leq 1 + length\ ys$
and *length-desc*: $length (desc\ a\ xs\ ys) \leq 1 + length\ ys$
by (*induct xs and a f ys and a xs ys rule: sequences-asc-desc.induct*) *auto*

lemma *length-concat-merge-pairs* [*simp*]:
 $length (concat (merge-pairs\ xss)) = length (concat\ xss)$
by (*induct xss rule: merge-pairs.induct*) *simp-all*

1.4 Functional Correctness

lemma *mset-merge* [*simp*]:
 $mset (merge\ xs\ ys) = mset\ xs + mset\ ys$
by (*induct xs ys rule: merge.induct*) *simp-all*

lemma *set-merge* [*simp*]:
 $set (merge\ xs\ ys) = set\ xs \cup set\ ys$
by (*simp flip: set-mset-mset*)

lemma *mset-concat-merge-pairs* [*simp*]:
 $mset (concat (merge-pairs\ xs)) = mset (concat\ xs)$
by (*induct xs rule: merge-pairs.induct*) *auto*

lemma *set-concat-merge-pairs* [*simp*]:
 $set (concat (merge-pairs\ xs)) = set (concat\ xs)$

by (*simp flip: set-mset-mset*)

lemma *mset-merge-all* [*simp*]:
mset (merge-all xs) = mset (concat xs)
 by (*induct xs rule: merge-all.induct simp-all*)

lemma *set-merge-all* [*simp*]:
set (merge-all xs) = set (concat xs)
 by (*simp flip: set-mset-mset*)

lemma
 shows *mset-sequences* [*simp*]: *mset (concat (sequences xs)) = mset xs*
 and *mset-asc*: *ascP f \implies mset (concat (asc x f ys)) = {#x#} + mset (f [])*
 + *mset ys*
 and *mset-desc*: *mset (concat (desc x xs ys)) = {#x#} + mset xs + mset ys*
 by (*induct xs and x f ys and x xs ys rule: sequences-asc-desc.induct*)
 (*auto simp: ascP-f-singleton*)

lemma *mset-msort-key*:
mset (msort-key xs) = mset xs
 by (*auto*)

lemma *sorted-merge* [*simp*]:
 assumes *sorted (map key xs) and sorted (map key ys)*
 shows *sorted (map key (merge xs ys))*
 using *assms by (induct xs ys rule: merge.induct) (auto)*

lemma *sorted-merge-pairs* [*simp*]:
 assumes $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{sorted } (\text{map key } x)$
 shows $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{merge-pairs } xs). \text{sorted } (\text{map key } x)$
 using *assms by (induct xs rule: merge-pairs.induct) simp-all*

lemma *sorted-merge-all*:
 assumes $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{sorted } (\text{map key } x)$
 shows *sorted (map key (merge-all xs))*
 using *assms by (induct xs rule: merge-all.induct) simp-all*

lemma
 shows *sorted-sequences*: $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{sequences } xs). \text{sorted } (\text{map key } x)$
 and *sorted-asc*: *ascP f \implies sorted (map key (f [])) \implies $\forall x \in \text{set } (f []). \text{key } x \leq$*
key a \implies $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{asc } a \text{ f } ys). \text{sorted } (\text{map key } x)$
 and *sorted-desc*: *sorted (map key xs) \implies $\forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{key } a \leq \text{key } x \implies \forall x \in \text{set}$*
(desc a xs ys). sorted (map key x)
 by (*induct xs and a f ys and a xs ys rule: sequences-asc-desc.induct*)
 (*auto simp: ascP-f-singleton sorted-append not-less dest: order-trans, fastforce*)

lemma *sorted-msort-key*:
sorted (map key (msort-key xs))
 by (*unfold msort-key.simps (intro sorted-merge-all sorted-sequences)*)

1.5 Stability

lemma

shows *filter-by-key-sequences* [simp]: $[y \leftarrow \text{concat } (\text{sequences } xs). \text{key } y = k] = [y \leftarrow xs. \text{key } y = k]$

and *filter-by-key-asc*: $\text{ascP } f \implies [y \leftarrow \text{concat } (\text{asc } a \text{ } f \text{ } ys). \text{key } y = k] = [y \leftarrow f [a] @ ys. \text{key } y = k]$

and *filter-by-key-desc*: $\text{sorted } (\text{map } \text{key } xs) \implies \forall x \in \text{set } xs. \text{key } a \leq \text{key } x \implies [y \leftarrow \text{concat } (\text{desc } a \text{ } xs \text{ } ys). \text{key } y = k] = [y \leftarrow a \# xs @ ys. \text{key } y = k]$

proof (*induct xs and a f ys and a xs ys rule: sequences-asc-desc.induct*)

case ($\not\prec a \text{ } f \text{ } b \text{ } bs$)

then show ?case

by (*auto simp: o-def ascP-f-Cons [where f = f]*)

next

case ($\prec a \text{ } as \text{ } b \text{ } bs$)

then show ?case

proof (*cases key b < key a*)

case True

with 6 **have** $[y \leftarrow \text{concat } (\text{desc } b \text{ } (a \# as) \text{ } bs). \text{key } y = k] = [y \leftarrow b \# (a \# as) @ bs. \text{key } y = k]$

by (*auto simp: less-le order-trans*)

then show ?thesis

using True **and** 6

by (*cases key a = k, cases key b = k*)

(*auto simp: Cons-eq-append-conv intro!: filter-False*)

qed auto

qed auto

lemma *filter-by-key-merge-is-append* [simp]:

assumes *sorted* (map key xs)

shows $[y \leftarrow \text{merge } xs \text{ } ys. \text{key } y = k] = [y \leftarrow xs. \text{key } y = k] @ [y \leftarrow ys. \text{key } y = k]$

using *assms*

by (*induct xs ys rule: merge.induct*) (*auto simp: Cons-eq-append-conv leD intro!: filter-False*)

lemma *filter-by-key-merge-pairs* [simp]:

assumes $\forall xs \in \text{set } xss. \text{sorted } (\text{map } \text{key } xs)$

shows $[y \leftarrow \text{concat } (\text{merge-pairs } xss). \text{key } y = k] = [y \leftarrow \text{concat } xss. \text{key } y = k]$

using *assms* **by** (*induct xss rule: merge-pairs.induct*) *simp-all*

lemma *filter-by-key-merge-all* [simp]:

assumes $\forall xs \in \text{set } xss. \text{sorted } (\text{map } \text{key } xs)$

shows $[y \leftarrow \text{merge-all } xss. \text{key } y = k] = [y \leftarrow \text{concat } xss. \text{key } y = k]$

using *assms* **by** (*induct xss rule: merge-all.induct*) *simp-all*

lemma *filter-by-key-merge-all-sequences* [simp]:

$[x \leftarrow \text{merge-all } (\text{sequences } xs) . \text{key } x = k] = [x \leftarrow xs . \text{key } x = k]$

using *sorted-sequences [of xs]* **by** *simp*

lemma *msort-key-stable*:

```
[x←msort-key xs. key x = k] = [x←xs. key x = k]
by auto
```

```
lemma sort-key-msort-key-conv:
  sort-key key = msort-key
  using msort-key-stable [of key x for x]
  by (intro ext properties-for-sort-key mset-msort-key sorted-msort-key)
      (metis (mono-tags, lifting) filter-cong)
```

end

Replace existing code equations for *sort-key* by *msort-key*.

```
declare sort-key-by-quicksort-code [code del]
declare sort-key-msort-key-conv [code]
```

end

theory Mergesort-Complexity

imports

Efficient-Sort

Complex-Main

begin

```
lemma log2-mono:
  x > 0  $\implies$  x  $\leq$  y  $\implies$  log 2 x  $\leq$  log 2 y
  by auto
```

2 Counting the Number of Comparisons

context

fixes key :: 'a \Rightarrow 'k::linorder

begin

```
fun c-merge :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  nat
```

where

c-merge (x # xs) (y # ys) =

1 + (if key y < key x then c-merge (x # xs) ys else c-merge xs (y # ys))

| c-merge [] ys = 0

| c-merge xs [] = 0

```
fun c-merge-pairs :: 'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat
```

where

c-merge-pairs (xs # ys # zss) = c-merge xs ys + c-merge-pairs zss

| c-merge-pairs [] = 0

| c-merge-pairs [x] = 0

```
fun c-merge-all :: 'a list list  $\Rightarrow$  nat
```

where

```

    c-merge-all [] = 0
  | c-merge-all [x] = 0
  | c-merge-all xss = c-merge-pairs xss + c-merge-all (merge-pairs key xss)

fun c-sequences :: 'a list ⇒ nat
  and c-asc :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat
  and c-desc :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat
  where
    c-sequences (x # y # zs) = 1 + (if key y < key x then c-desc y zs else c-asc y
zs)
  | c-sequences [] = 0
  | c-sequences [x] = 0
  | c-asc x (y # ys) = 1 + (if ¬ key y < key x then c-asc y ys else c-sequences (y
# ys))
  | c-asc x [] = 0
  | c-desc x (y # ys) = 1 + (if key y < key x then c-desc y ys else c-sequences (y
# ys))
  | c-desc x [] = 0

fun c-msort :: 'a list ⇒ nat
  where
    c-msort xs = c-sequences xs + c-merge-all (sequences key xs)

lemma c-merge:
  c-merge xs ys ≤ length xs + length ys
  by (induct xs ys rule: c-merge.induct) simp-all

lemma c-merge-pairs:
  c-merge-pairs xss ≤ length (concat xss)
proof (induct xss rule: c-merge-pairs.induct)
  case (1 xs ys zss)
  then show ?case using c-merge [of xs ys] by simp
qed simp-all

lemma c-merge-all:
  c-merge-all xss ≤ length (concat xss) * ⌈log 2 (length xss)⌉
proof (induction xss rule: c-merge-all.induct)
  case (3 xs ys zss)
  let ?clen = λxs. length (concat xs)
  let ?xss = xs # ys # zss
  let ?xss2 = merge-pairs key ?xss

  have *: ⌈log 2 (real n + 2)⌉ = ⌈log 2 (Suc n div 2 + 1)⌉ + 1 for n :: nat
    using ceiling-log2-div2 [of n + 2] by (simp add: algebra-simps)

  have c-merge-all ?xss = c-merge-pairs ?xss + c-merge-all ?xss2 by simp
  also have ... ≤ ?clen ?xss + c-merge-all ?xss2
    using c-merge [of xs ys] and c-merge-pairs [of ?xss] by auto
  also have ... ≤ ?clen ?xss + ?clen ?xss2 * ⌈log 2 (length ?xss2)⌉

```

using 3.IH by simp
 also have ... $\leq ?cLen ?xss * \lceil \log 2 (\text{length } ?xss) \rceil$
 by (auto simp: * algebra-simps)
 finally show ?case by simp
 qed simp-all

lemma

shows c-sequences: c-sequences $xs \leq \text{length } xs - 1$
 and c-asc: c-asc $x \ ys \leq \text{length } ys$
 and c-desc: c-desc $x \ ys \leq \text{length } ys$
 by (induct xs and x ys and x ys rule: c-sequences-c-asc-c-desc.induct) simp-all

lemma

shows length-concat-sequences [simp]: $\text{length } (\text{concat } (\text{sequences key } xs)) = \text{length } xs$
 and length-concat-asc: $\text{ascP } f \implies \text{length } (\text{concat } (\text{asc key a f ys})) = 1 + \text{length } (f []) + \text{length } ys$
 and length-concat-desc: $\text{length } (\text{concat } (\text{desc key a xs ys})) = 1 + \text{length } xs + \text{length } ys$
 by (induct xs and a f ys and a xs ys rule: sequences-asc-desc.induct) (auto simp: ascP-f-singleton)

lemma

shows sequences-ne: $xs \neq [] \implies \text{sequences key } xs \neq []$
 and asc-ne: $\text{ascP } f \implies \text{asc key a f ys} \neq []$
 and desc-ne: $\text{desc key a xs ys} \neq []$
 by (induct xs and a f ys and a xs ys taking: key rule: sequences-asc-desc.induct) simp-all

lemma c-msort:

assumes [simp]: $\text{length } xs = n$
 shows c-msort $xs \leq n + n * \lceil \log 2 n \rceil$
 proof -
 have [simp]: $xs = [] \iff \text{length } xs = 0$ by blast
 have $\text{int } (c\text{-merge-all } (\text{sequences key } xs)) \leq \text{int } n * \lceil \log 2 (\text{length } (\text{sequences key } xs)) \rceil$
 using c-merge-all [of sequences key xs] by simp
 also have ... $\leq \text{int } n * \lceil \log 2 n \rceil$
 using length-sequences [of key xs]
 by (cases n) (auto intro!: sequences-ne mult-mono ceiling-mono log2-mono)
 finally have $\text{int } (c\text{-merge-all } (\text{sequences key } xs)) \leq \text{int } n * \lceil \log 2 n \rceil$.
 moreover have c-sequences $xs \leq n$ using c-sequences [of xs] by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis by (auto intro: add-mono)
 qed

qed

end

end


```

theory Natural-Mergesort
  imports HOL-Data-Structures.Sorting
begin

```

2.1 Auxiliary Results

```

lemma C-merge-adj':
  C-merge-adj xss ≤ length (concat xss)
proof (induct xss rule: C-merge-adj.induct)
  case (∃ xs ys zss)
  then show ?case using C-merge-ub [of xs ys] by simp
qed simp-all

```

```

lemma length-concat-merge-adj:
  length (concat (merge-adj xss)) = length (concat xss)
  by (induct xss rule: merge-adj.induct) (simp-all add: length-merge)

```

```

lemma C-merge-all':
  C-merge-all xss ≤ length (concat xss) * ⌈log 2 (length xss)⌉
proof (induction xss rule: C-merge-all.induct)
  case (∃ xs ys zss)
  let ?xss = xs # ys # zss
  let ?m = length (concat ?xss)

```

```

  have *: ⌈log 2 (real n + 2)⌉ = ⌈log 2 (Suc n div 2 + 1)⌉ + 1 for n :: nat
  using ceiling-log2-div2 [of n + 2] by (simp add: algebra-simps)

```

```

  have C-merge-all ?xss = C-merge-adj ?xss + C-merge-all (merge-adj ?xss) by
  simp
  also have ... ≤ ?m + C-merge-all (merge-adj ?xss)
  using C-merge-adj' [of ?xss] by auto
  also have ... ≤ ?m + length (concat (merge-adj ?xss)) * ⌈log 2 (length (merge-adj
  ?xss))⌉
  using 3.IH by simp
  also have ... = ?m + ?m * ⌈log 2 (length (merge-adj ?xss))⌉
  by (simp only: length-concat-merge-adj)
  also have ... ≤ ?m * ⌈log 2 (length ?xss)⌉
  by (auto simp: * algebra-simps)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed simp-all

```

2.2 Definition of Natural Mergesort

Partition input into ascending and descending subsequences. (The latter are reverted on the fly.)

```

fun runs :: ('a::linorder) list ⇒ 'a list list and
  asc :: 'a ⇒ ('a list ⇒ 'a list) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list list and
  desc :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list list

```

where

$runs (a \# b \# xs) = (if\ a > b\ then\ desc\ b\ [a]\ xs\ else\ asc\ b\ ((\#)\ a)\ xs)$
 $| runs\ [x] = [[x]]$
 $| runs\ [] = []$
 $| asc\ a\ as\ (b \# bs) = (if\ \neg\ a > b\ then\ asc\ b\ (as\ \circ\ (\#)\ a)\ bs\ else\ as\ [a]\ \# runs$
 $(b \# bs))$
 $| asc\ a\ as\ [] = [as\ [a]]$
 $| desc\ a\ as\ (b \# bs) = (if\ a > b\ then\ desc\ b\ (a \# as)\ bs\ else\ (a \# as)\ \# runs\ (b$
 $\# bs))$
 $| desc\ a\ as\ [] = [a \# as]$

definition $nmsort :: ('a::linorder)\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list$

where

$nmsort\ xs = merge-all\ (runs\ xs)$

2.3 Functional Correctness

definition $ascP\ f = (\forall\ xs\ ys.\ f\ (xs\ @\ ys) = f\ xs\ @\ ys)$

lemma $ascP-Cons\ [simp]: ascP\ ((\#)\ x)\ by\ (simp\ add:\ ascP-def)$

lemma $ascP-comp-Cons\ [simp]: ascP\ f \Longrightarrow ascP\ (f\ \circ\ (\#)\ x)$
by $(auto\ simp:\ ascP-def\ simp\ flip:\ append-Cons)$

lemma $ascP-simp\ [simp]:$

assumes $ascP\ f$

shows $f\ [x] = f\ []\ @\ [x]$

using $assms\ [unfolded\ ascP-def,\ THEN\ spec,\ THEN\ spec,\ of\ []\ [x]]\ by\ simp$

lemma

shows $mset-runs: \sum\ \#\ (image-mset\ mset\ (mset\ (runs\ xs))) = mset\ xs$
and $mset-asc: ascP\ f \Longrightarrow \sum\ \#\ (image-mset\ mset\ (mset\ (asc\ x\ f\ ys))) = \{\#x\#\}$
 $+ mset\ (f\ []) + mset\ ys$
and $mset-desc: \sum\ \#\ (image-mset\ mset\ (mset\ (desc\ x\ xs\ ys))) = \{\#x\#\} + mset$
 $xs + mset\ ys$
by $(induct\ xs\ and\ x\ f\ ys\ and\ x\ xs\ ys\ rule:\ runs-asc-desc.induct)\ auto$

lemma $mset-nmsort:$

$mset\ (nmsort\ xs) = mset\ xs$

by $(auto\ simp:\ mset-merge-all\ nmsort-def\ mset-runs)$

lemma

shows $sorted-runs: \forall\ x \in set\ (runs\ xs).\ sorted\ x$
and $sorted-asc: ascP\ f \Longrightarrow sorted\ (f\ []) \Longrightarrow \forall\ x \in set\ (f\ []).\ x \leq a \Longrightarrow \forall\ x \in set$
 $(asc\ a\ f\ ys).\ sorted\ x$
and $sorted-desc: sorted\ xs \Longrightarrow \forall\ x \in set\ xs.\ a \leq x \Longrightarrow \forall\ x \in set\ (desc\ a\ xs\ ys).$
 $sorted\ x$
by $(induct\ xs\ and\ a\ f\ ys\ and\ a\ xs\ ys\ rule:\ runs-asc-desc.induct)$
 $(auto\ simp:\ sorted-append\ not-less\ dest:\ order-trans,\ fastforce)$

lemma *sorted-nmsort*:
sorted (nmsort xs)
by (*auto intro: sorted-merge-all simp: nmsort-def sorted-runs*)

2.4 Running Time Analysis

fun *C-runs* :: ('a::linorder) list \Rightarrow nat **and**
C-asc :: ('a::linorder) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat **and**
C-desc :: ('a::linorder) \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow nat
where
C-runs (a # b # xs) = 1 + (if a > b then *C-desc* b xs else *C-asc* b xs)
| *C-runs* xs = 0
| *C-asc* a (b # bs) = 1 + (if \neg a > b then *C-asc* b bs else *C-runs* (b # bs))
| *C-asc* a [] = 0
| *C-desc* a (b # bs) = 1 + (if a > b then *C-desc* b bs else *C-runs* (b # bs))
| *C-desc* a [] = 0

fun *C-nmsort* :: ('a::linorder) list \Rightarrow nat
where
C-nmsort xs = *C-runs* xs + *C-merge-all* (runs xs)

lemma
fixes a :: 'a::linorder **and** xs ys :: 'a list
shows *C-runs*: *C-runs* xs \leq length xs - 1
and *C-asc*: *C-asc* a ys \leq length ys
and *C-desc*: *C-desc* a ys \leq length ys
by (*induct xs and a ys and a ys rule: C-runs-C-asc-C-desc.induct*) *auto*

lemma
shows *length-runs*: length (runs xs) \leq length xs
and *length-asc*: *ascP* f \Longrightarrow length (asc a f ys) \leq 1 + length ys
and *length-desc*: length (desc a xs ys) \leq 1 + length ys
by (*induct xs and a f ys and a xs ys rule: runs-asc-desc.induct*) *auto*

lemma
shows *length-concat-runs* [*simp*]: length (concat (runs xs)) = length xs
and *length-concat-asc*: *ascP* f \Longrightarrow length (concat (asc a f ys)) = 1 + length (f
[]) + length ys
and *length-concat-desc*: length (concat (desc a xs ys)) = 1 + length xs + length
ys
by (*induct xs and a f ys and a xs ys rule: runs-asc-desc.induct*) *auto*

lemma *log2-mono*:
 $x > 0 \Longrightarrow x \leq y \Longrightarrow \log 2 x \leq \log 2 y$
by *auto*

lemma
shows *runs-ne*: xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow runs xs \neq []

```

and ascP f  $\implies$  asc a f ys  $\neq$  []
and desc a xs ys  $\neq$  []
by (induct xs and a f ys and a xs ys rule: runs-asc-desc.induct) simp-all

lemma C-nmsort:
  assumes [simp]: length xs = n
  shows C-nmsort xs  $\leq$  n + n *  $\lceil \log 2 n \rceil$ 
proof -
  have [simp]: xs = []  $\longleftrightarrow$  length xs = 0 by blast
  have int (C-merge-all (runs xs))  $\leq$  int n *  $\lceil \log 2$  (length (runs xs)) $\rceil$ 
    using C-merge-all' [of runs xs] by simp
  also have ...  $\leq$  int n *  $\lceil \log 2 n \rceil$ 
    using length-runs [of xs]
    by (cases n) (auto intro!: runs-ne mult-mono ceiling-mono log2-mono)
  finally have int (C-merge-all (runs xs))  $\leq$  int n *  $\lceil \log 2 n \rceil$  .
  moreover have C-runs xs  $\leq$  n using C-runs [of xs] by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by (auto intro: add-mono)
qed

end

```

References

- [1] Christian Sternagel. Proof pearl - a mechanized proof of GHC's mergesort. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 2012. doi:10.1007/s10817-012-9260-7.