

Echelon Form

By Jose Divasón and Jesús Aransay*

March 19, 2025

Abstract

In this work we present the formalization of an algorithm to compute the Echelon Form of a matrix. We have proved its existence over Bezout domains and we have made it executable over Euclidean domains, such as \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{K}[x]$. This allows us to compute determinants, inverses and characteristic polynomials of matrices. The work is based on the *HOL-Multivariate Analysis* library, and on both the Gauss-Jordan and Cayley-Hamilton AFP entries. As a by-product, some algebraic structures have been implemented (principal ideal domains, Bezout domains...). The algorithm has been refined to immutable arrays and code can be generated to functional languages as well.

Contents

1	Rings	1
1.1	Previous lemmas and results	1
1.2	Subgroups	2
1.3	Ideals	3
1.4	GCD Rings and Bezout Domains	7
1.5	Principal Ideal Domains	10
1.6	Euclidean Domains	10
1.7	More gcd structures	11
1.8	Field	11
1.9	Compatibility layer btw <i>Cayley-Hamilton.Square-Matrix</i> and <i>Gauss-Jordan.Determinants2</i>	12
1.10	Some preliminary lemmas and results	12
2	Code Cayley Hamilton	13
2.1	Code equations for the definitions presented in the Cayley- Hamilton development	13

*This research has been funded by the research grant FPI-UR-12 of the Universidad de La Rioja and by the project MTM2014-54151-P from Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (Gobierno de España).

3	Echelon Form	15
3.1	Definition of Echelon Form	15
3.2	Computing the echelon form of a matrix	18
3.2.1	Demonstration over principal ideal rings	18
3.2.2	Definition of the algorithm	19
3.2.3	The executable definition:	20
3.2.4	Properties of the bezout matrix	20
3.2.5	Properties of the bezout iterate function	22
3.2.6	Proving the correctness	23
3.2.7	Proving the existence of invertible matrices which do the transformations	29
3.2.8	Final results	29
3.3	More efficient code equations	30
4	Determinant of matrices over principal ideal rings	30
4.1	Definitions	31
4.2	Properties	31
4.2.1	Bezout Iterate	31
4.2.2	Echelon Form of column k	32
4.2.3	Echelon form up to column k	32
4.2.4	Echelon form	32
4.2.5	Proving that the first component is a unit	32
4.2.6	Final lemmas	33
5	Inverse matrix over principal ideal rings	34
5.1	Computing the inverse of matrix over rings	34
6	Examples of execution over matrices represented as functions	34
7	Echelon Form refined to immutable arrays	37
7.1	The algorithm over immutable arrays	37
7.2	Properties	38
7.2.1	Bezout Matrix for immutable arrays	38
7.2.2	Bezout Iterate for immutable arrays	39
7.2.3	Echelon form of column k for immutable arrays	39
7.2.4	Echelon form up to column k for immutable arrays	39
7.2.5	Echelon form up to column k for immutable arrays	40
8	Determinant of matrices computed using immutable arrays	40
8.1	Definitions	40
8.2	Properties	41
8.2.1	Echelon Form of column k	41
8.2.2	Echelon Form up to column k	41

8.2.3	Echelon Form	42
8.2.4	Computing the determinant	43
8.2.5	Computing the characteristic polynomial of a matrix	43
9	Code Cayley Hamilton	44
9.1	Implementations over immutable arrays of some definitions presented in the Cayley-Hamilton development	44
10	Inverse matrices over principal ideal rings using immutable arrays	45
10.1	Computing the inverse of matrices over rings using immutable arrays	45
11	Examples of computations using immutable arrays	45
11.1	Computing echelon forms, determinants, characteristic poly- nomials and so on using immutable arrays	45
11.1.1	Serializing gcd	45
11.1.2	Examples	46

1 Rings

```
theory Rings2
imports
  HOL-Analysis.Analysis
  HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial-Factorial
begin
```

1.1 Previous lemmas and results

```
lemma chain-le:
  fixes I::nat => 'a set
  assumes inc:  $\forall n. I(n) \subseteq I(n+1)$ 
  shows  $\forall n \leq m. I(n) \subseteq I(m)$ 
  <proof>
```

```
context Rings.ring
begin
```

```
lemma sum-add:
  assumes A: finite A
  and B: finite B
  shows  $sum f A + sum g B = sum f (A - B) + sum g (B - A) + sum (\lambda x. f x + g x) (A \cap B)$ 
  <proof>
```

This lemma is presented in the library but for additive abelian groups

```
lemma sum-negf:
```

$sum (\%x. - (f x)::'a) A = - sum f A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following lemmas are presented in the library but for other type classes
(semiring_0)

lemma *sum-distrib-left*:
shows $r * sum f A = sum (\%n. r * f n) A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-distrib-right*:
 $sum f A * r = (\sum n \in A. f n * r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *comm-monoid-add*
begin

lemma *sum-two-elements*:
assumes $a \neq b$
shows $sum f \{a, b\} = f a + f b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-singleton*: $sum f \{x\} = f x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

1.2 Subgroups

context *group-add*
begin

definition *subgroup* $A \equiv (0 \in A \wedge (\forall a \in A. \forall b \in A. a + b \in A) \wedge (\forall a \in A. -a \in A))$

lemma *subgroup-0*: $subgroup \{0\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subgroup-UNIV*: $subgroup (UNIV)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subgroup-inter*:
assumes *subgroup A and subgroup B*
shows $subgroup (A \cap B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subgroup-Inter*:
assumes $\forall I \in S. subgroup I$

shows *subgroup* $(\bigcap S)$
<proof>

lemma *subgroup-Union*:
fixes $I::\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
defines $S: S \equiv \{I\ n \mid n. n \in UNIV\}$
assumes *all-subgroup*: $\forall A \in S. \text{subgroup } A$
and *inc*: $\forall n. I(n) \subseteq I(n+1)$
shows *subgroup* $(\bigcup S)$
<proof>

end

1.3 Ideals

context *Rings.ring*
begin

lemma *subgroup-left-principal-ideal*: *subgroup* $\{r*a \mid r. r \in UNIV\}$
<proof>

definition *left-ideal* $I = (\text{subgroup } I \wedge (\forall x \in I. \forall r. r*x \in I))$

definition *right-ideal* $I = (\text{subgroup } I \wedge (\forall x \in I. \forall r. x*r \in I))$

definition *ideal* $I = (\text{left-ideal } I \wedge \text{right-ideal } I)$

definition *left-ideal-generated* $S = \bigcap \{I. \text{left-ideal } I \wedge S \subseteq I\}$

definition *right-ideal-generated* $S = \bigcap \{I. \text{right-ideal } I \wedge S \subseteq I\}$

definition *ideal-generated* $S = \bigcap \{I. \text{ideal } I \wedge S \subseteq I\}$

definition *left-principal-ideal* $S = (\exists a. \text{left-ideal-generated } \{a\} = S)$

definition *right-principal-ideal* $S = (\text{right-ideal } S \wedge (\exists a. \text{right-ideal-generated } \{a\} = S))$

definition *principal-ideal* $S = (\exists a. \text{ideal-generated } \{a\} = S)$

lemma *ideal-inter*:

assumes *ideal* I **and** *ideal* J **shows** *ideal* $(I \cap J)$
<proof>

lemma *ideal-Inter*:

assumes $\forall I \in S. \text{ideal } I$
shows *ideal* $(\bigcap S)$
<proof>

lemma *ideal-Union*:

fixes $I::\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$

defines $S: S \equiv \{I\ n \mid n. n \in UNIV\}$
assumes *all-ideal*: $\forall A \in S. \text{ideal } A$
and *inc*: $\forall n. I(n) \subseteq I(n+1)$
shows *ideal* $(\bigcup S)$
<proof>

lemma *ideal-not-empty*:
assumes *ideal* I
shows $I \neq \{\}$
<proof>

lemma *ideal-0*: *ideal* $\{0\}$
<proof>

lemma *ideal-UNIV*: *ideal* $UNIV$
<proof>

lemma *ideal-generated-0*: *ideal-generated* $\{0\} = \{0\}$
<proof>

lemma *ideal-generated-subset-generator*:
assumes *ideal-generated* $A = I$
shows $A \subseteq I$
<proof>

lemma *left-ideal-minus*:
assumes *left-ideal* I
and $a \in I$ **and** $b \in I$
shows $a - b \in I$
<proof>

lemma *right-ideal-minus*:
assumes *right-ideal* I
and $a \in I$ **and** $b \in I$
shows $a - b \in I$
<proof>

lemma *ideal-minus*:
assumes *ideal* I
and $a \in I$ **and** $b \in I$
shows $a - b \in I$
<proof>

lemma *ideal-ideal-generated*: *ideal* (*ideal-generated* S)
<proof>

lemma *sum-left-ideal*:

assumes *li-X*: *left-ideal X*

and *U-X*: $U \subseteq X$ **and** *U*: *finite U*

shows $(\sum_{i \in U}. f i * i) \in X$

<proof>

lemma *sum-right-ideal*:

assumes *li-X*: *right-ideal X*

and *U-X*: $U \subseteq X$ **and** *U*: *finite U*

shows $(\sum_{i \in U}. i * f i) \in X$

<proof>

lemma *left-ideal-generated-subset*:

assumes $S \subseteq T$

shows *left-ideal-generated S* \subseteq *left-ideal-generated T*

<proof>

lemma *right-ideal-generated-subset*:

assumes $S \subseteq T$

shows *right-ideal-generated S* \subseteq *right-ideal-generated T*

<proof>

lemma *ideal-generated-subset*:

assumes $S \subseteq T$

shows *ideal-generated S* \subseteq *ideal-generated T*

<proof>

lemma *ideal-generated-in*:

assumes $a \in A$

shows $a \in$ *ideal-generated A*

<proof>

lemma *ideal-generated-repeated*: *ideal-generated {a,a} = ideal-generated {a}*

<proof>

end

context *ring-1*

begin

lemma *left-ideal-explicit*:

*left-ideal-generated S = {y. $\exists f U. \text{finite } U \wedge U \subseteq S \wedge \text{sum } (\lambda i. f i * i) U = y$ }*

(is ?S = ?B)

<proof>

lemma *right-ideal-explicit*:

*right-ideal-generated S = {y. $\exists f U. \text{finite } U \wedge U \subseteq S \wedge \text{sum } (\lambda i. i * f i) U = y$ }*

(is ?S = ?B)

<proof>

end

context *comm-ring*

begin

lemma *left-ideal-eq-right-ideal: left-ideal I = right-ideal I*

<proof>

corollary *ideal-eq-left-ideal: ideal I = left-ideal I*

<proof>

lemma *ideal-eq-right-ideal: ideal I = right-ideal I*

<proof>

lemma *principal-ideal-eq-left:*

principal-ideal S = ($\exists a$. left-ideal-generated {a} = S)

<proof>

end

context *comm-ring-1*

begin

lemma *ideal-generated-eq-left-ideal: ideal-generated A = left-ideal-generated A*

<proof>

lemma *ideal-generated-eq-right-ideal: ideal-generated A = right-ideal-generated A*

<proof>

lemma *obtain-sum-ideal-generated:*

assumes *a: a \in ideal-generated A and A: finite A*

obtains *f where sum (λi . f i * i) A = a*

<proof>

lemma *dvd-ideal-generated-singleton:*

assumes *subset: ideal-generated {a} \subseteq ideal-generated {b}*

shows *b dvd a*

<proof>

lemma *ideal-generated-singleton: ideal-generated {a} = {k*a | k. k \in UNIV}*

<proof>

lemma *dvd-ideal-generated-singleton':*

assumes *b-dvd-a: b dvd a*

shows *ideal-generated {a} \subseteq ideal-generated {b}*

<proof>

lemma *ideal-generated-subset2*:
 assumes *ac*: *ideal-generated* {*a*} \subseteq *ideal-generated* {*c*}
 and *bc*: *ideal-generated* {*b*} \subseteq *ideal-generated* {*c*}
 shows *ideal-generated* {*a,b*} \subseteq *ideal-generated* {*c*}
<proof>

end

lemma *ideal-kZ*: *ideal* {*k*x* | *x*. *x* \in (*UNIV*::*int set*)}

<proof>

1.4 GCD Rings and Bezout Domains

To define GCD rings and Bezout rings, there are at least two options: fix the operation gcd or just assume its existence. We have chosen the second one in order to be able to use subclasses (if we fix a gcd in the bezout ring class, then we couldn't prove that principal ideal rings are a subclass of bezout rings).

class *GCD-ring* = *comm-ring-1*
 + **assumes** *exists-gcd*: $\exists d. d \text{ dvd } a \wedge d \text{ dvd } b \wedge (\forall d'. d' \text{ dvd } a \wedge d' \text{ dvd } b \longrightarrow d' \text{ dvd } d)$
begin

In this structure, there is always a gcd for each pair of elements, but maybe not unique. The following definition essentially says if a function satisfies the condition to be a gcd.

definition *is-gcd* :: (*'a* \Rightarrow *'a* \Rightarrow *'a*) \Rightarrow *bool*
 where *is-gcd* (*gcd'*) = $(\forall a \ b. (\text{gcd}' \ a \ b \ \text{dvd} \ a) \wedge (\text{gcd}' \ a \ b \ \text{dvd} \ b) \wedge (\forall d'. d' \ \text{dvd} \ a \ \wedge \ d' \ \text{dvd} \ b \longrightarrow d' \ \text{dvd} \ \text{gcd}' \ a \ b))$

lemma *gcd'-dvd1*:
 assumes *is-gcd gcd'* **shows** *gcd' a b dvd a* *<proof>*

lemma *gcd'-dvd2*:
 assumes *is-gcd gcd'* **shows** *gcd' a b dvd b*
<proof>

lemma *gcd'-greatest*:
 assumes *is-gcd gcd'* **and** *l dvd a* **and** *l dvd b*
 shows *l dvd gcd' a b*
<proof>

lemma *gcd'-zero* [*simp*]:
 assumes *is-gcd gcd'*

shows $\text{gcd}' x y = 0 \iff x = 0 \wedge y = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

class *GCD-domain* = *GCD-ring* + *idom*

class *bezout-ring* = *comm-ring-1* +
assumes *exists-bezout*: $\exists p q d. (p*a + q*b = d)$
 $\wedge (d \text{ dvd } a)$
 $\wedge (d \text{ dvd } b)$
 $\wedge (\forall d'. (d' \text{ dvd } a \wedge d' \text{ dvd } b) \longrightarrow d' \text{ dvd } d)$

begin

subclass *GCD-ring*
 ⟨proof⟩

In this structure, there is always a bezout decomposition for each pair of elements, but it is not unique. The following definition essentially says if a function satisfies the condition to be a bezout decomposition.

definition *is-bezout* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a \times 'a)) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *is-bezout* (*bezout*) = $(\forall a b. \text{let } (p, q, \text{gcd-a-b}) = \text{bezout } a b$
in
 $p * a + q * b = \text{gcd-a-b}$
 $\wedge (\text{gcd-a-b} \text{ dvd } a)$
 $\wedge (\text{gcd-a-b} \text{ dvd } b)$
 $\wedge (\forall d'. d' \text{ dvd } a \wedge d' \text{ dvd } b \longrightarrow d' \text{ dvd } \text{gcd-a-b}))$

The following definition is similar to the previous one, and checks if the input is a function that given two parameters *a b* returns 5 elements (p, q, u, v, d) where *d* is a gcd of *a* and *b*, *p* and *q* are the bezout coefficients such that $p*a+q*b=d$, $d*u = -b$ and $d*v = a$. The elements *u* and *v* are useful for defining the bezout matrix.

definition *is-bezout-ext* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a \times 'a \times 'a \times 'a)) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *is-bezout-ext* (*bezout*) = $(\forall a b. \text{let } (p, q, u, v, \text{gcd-a-b}) = \text{bezout } a b$
in
 $p * a + q * b = \text{gcd-a-b}$
 $\wedge (\text{gcd-a-b} \text{ dvd } a)$
 $\wedge (\text{gcd-a-b} \text{ dvd } b)$
 $\wedge (\forall d'. d' \text{ dvd } a \wedge d' \text{ dvd } b \longrightarrow d' \text{ dvd } \text{gcd-a-b})$
 $\wedge \text{gcd-a-b} * u = -b$
 $\wedge \text{gcd-a-b} * v = a)$

lemma *is-gcd-is-bezout-ext*:

assumes *is-bezout-ext bezout*

shows *is-gcd* $(\lambda a b. \text{case } \text{bezout } a b \text{ of } (x, xa, u, v, \text{gcd}') \Rightarrow \text{gcd}')$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-bezout-ext-is-bezout*:
assumes *is-bezout-ext bezout*
shows *is-bezout* ($\lambda a b.$ case bezout a b of $(x, xa, u, v, gcd') \Rightarrow (x, xa, gcd')$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-gcd-is-bezout*:
assumes *is-bezout bezout*
shows *is-gcd* ($\lambda a b.$ (case bezout a b of $(-, -, gcd') \Rightarrow (gcd')$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The assumptions of the Bezout rings say that there exists a bezout operation. Now we will show that there also exists an operation satisfying *is-bezout-ext*

lemma *exists-bezout-ext-aux*:
fixes *a and b*
shows $\exists p q u v d. (p * a + q * b = d)$
 $\quad \wedge (d \text{ dvd } a)$
 $\quad \wedge (d \text{ dvd } b)$
 $\quad \wedge (\forall d'. (d' \text{ dvd } a \wedge d' \text{ dvd } b) \longrightarrow d' \text{ dvd } d) \wedge d * u = -b \wedge d * v$
 $= a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *exists-bezout-ext*: \exists bezout-ext. *is-bezout-ext bezout-ext*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

class *bezout-domain* = *bezout-ring* + *idom*

subclass (in *bezout-domain*) *GCD-domain*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

class *bezout-ring-div* = *bezout-ring* + *euclidean-semiring*
class *bezout-domain-div* = *bezout-domain* + *euclidean-semiring*

subclass (in *bezout-ring-div*) *bezout-domain-div*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.5 Principal Ideal Domains

class *pir* = *comm-ring-1* + **assumes** *all-ideal-is-principal*: ideal $I \Rightarrow$ principal-ideal I
class *pid* = *idom* + *pir*

Thanks to the following proof, we will show that there exist bezout and gcd operations in principal ideal rings for each pair of elements.

subclass (in *pir*) *bezout-ring*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

subclass (in *pid*) *bezout-domain*

<proof>

context *pir*
begin

lemma *ascending-chain-condition*:
 fixes $I::\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$
 assumes *all-ideal*: $\forall n. \text{ideal } (I(n))$
 and *inc*: $\forall n. I(n) \subseteq I(n+1)$
 shows $\exists n. I(n) = I(n+1)$
<proof>

lemma *ascending-chain-condition2*:
 $\nexists I::(\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}). (\forall n. \text{ideal } (I n) \wedge I n \subset I (n + 1))$
<proof>

end

class *pir-div* = *pir* + *euclidean-semiring*
class *pid-div* = *pid* + *euclidean-semiring*

subclass (**in** *pir-div*) *pid-div*
<proof>

subclass (**in** *pir-div*) *bezout-ring-div*
<proof>

subclass (**in** *pid-div*) *bezout-domain-div*
<proof>

1.6 Euclidean Domains

We make use of the euclidean ring (domain) class developed by Manuel Eberl.

subclass (**in** *euclidean-ring*) *pid*
<proof>

context *euclidean-ring-gcd*
begin

This is similar to the *euclid-ext* operation, but involving two more parameters to satisfy that *is-bezout-ext euclid-ext2*

definition *euclid-ext2* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \times 'a \times 'a \times 'a \times 'a$
 where *euclid-ext2* $a b =$
 $(fst (bezout-coefficients a b), snd (bezout-coefficients a b), - b \text{ div } gcd a b, a \text{ div } gcd a b, gcd a b)$

```
lemma is-bezout-ext-euclid-ext2: is-bezout-ext (euclid-ext2)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma is-bezout-euclid-ext: is-bezout ( $\lambda a b. (fst (bezout-coefficients a b), snd (bezout-coefficients a b), gcd a b)$ )  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
subclass (in euclidean-ring) pid-div ⟨proof⟩
```

1.7 More gcd structures

The following classes represent structures where there exists a gcd for each pair of elements and the operation is fixed.

```
class pir-gcd = pir + semiring-gcd  
class pid-gcd = pid + pir-gcd
```

```
subclass (in euclidean-ring-gcd) pid-gcd ⟨proof⟩
```

1.8 Field

Proving that any field is a euclidean domain. There are alternatives to do this, see <https://lists.cam.ac.uk/pipermail/cl-isabelle-users/2014-October/msg00034.html>

```
class field-euclidean = field + euclidean-ring +  
  assumes euclidean-size = ( $\lambda i. \text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1::nat$ )  
  and normalisation-factor = id
```

```
end
```

```
theory Cayley-Hamilton-Compatible
```

```
  imports
```

```
    Rings2
```

```
    Cayley-Hamilton.Cayley-Hamilton
```

```
    Gauss-Jordan.Determinants2
```

```
begin
```

1.9 Compatibility layer btw *Cayley-Hamilton.Square-Matrix* and *Gauss-Jordan.Determinants2*

```
hide-const (open) Square-Matrix.det
```

```
hide-const (open) Square-Matrix.row
```

```
hide-const (open) Square-Matrix.col
```

```
hide-const (open) Square-Matrix.transpose
```

hide-const (**open**) *Square-Matrix.cofactor*
hide-const (**open**) *Square-Matrix.adjugate*

hide-fact (**open**) *det-upperdiagonal*
hide-fact (**open**) *row-def*
hide-fact (**open**) *col-def*
hide-fact (**open**) *transpose-def*

lemma *det-sq-matrix-eq*: *Square-Matrix.det (from-vec A) = det A*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to-vec-matrix-scalar-mult*: *to-vec (x *_S A) = x *k to-vec A*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to-vec-matrix-matrix-mult*: *to-vec (A * B) = to-vec A ** to-vec B*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to-vec-diag*: *to-vec (diag x) = mat x*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to-vec-one*: *to-vec 1 = mat 1*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to-vec-eq-iff*: *to-vec M = to-vec N \longleftrightarrow M = N*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

1.10 Some preliminary lemmas and results

lemma *invertible-iff-is-unit*:
fixes *A::'a::{\comm-ring-1}^n ^n*
shows *invertible A \longleftrightarrow (det A) dvd 1*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *minorM* *M i j = (χ k l. if k = i \wedge l = j then 1 else if k = i \vee l = j then 0 else M \$ k \$ l)*

lemma *minorM-eq*: *minorM M i j = to-vec (minor (from-vec M) i j)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *cofactor* **where** *cofactor A i j = det (minorM A i j)*

definition *cofactorM* **where** *cofactorM A = (χ i j. cofactor A i j)*

lemma *cofactorM-eq*: *cofactorM = to-vec \circ Square-Matrix.cofactor \circ from-vec*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *mat2matofpoly* **where** *mat2matofpoly A = (χ i j. [: A \$ i \$ j :])*

definition *charpoly* **where** *charpoly-def*: *charpoly A = det (mat (monom 1 (Suc*

0)) - mat2matofpoly A)

lemma charpoly-eq: charpoly A = Cayley-Hamilton.charpoly (from-vec A)
<proof>

definition adjugate **where** adjugate A = transpose (cofactorM A)

lemma adjugate-eq: adjugate = to-vec o Square-Matrix.adjugate o from-vec
<proof>

end

2 Code Cayley Hamilton

theory Code-Cayley-Hamilton

imports

HOL-Computational-Algebra.Polynomial

Cayley-Hamilton-Compatible

Gauss-Jordan.Code-Matrix

begin

2.1 Code equations for the definitions presented in the Cayley-Hamilton development

definition scalar-matrix-mult-row c A i = (χ j. c * (A \$ i \$ j))

lemma scalar-matrix-mult-row-code [code abstract]:
vec-nth (scalar-matrix-mult-row c A i) = (% j. c * (A \$ i \$ j))
<proof>

lemma scalar-matrix-mult-code [code abstract]: vec-nth (c *k A) = scalar-matrix-mult-row
c A
<proof>

definition minorM-row A i j k = vec-lambda (%l. if k = i \wedge l = j then 1 else
if k = i \vee l = j then 0 else A\$k\$l)

lemma minorM-row-code [code abstract]:
vec-nth (minorM-row A i j k) = (%l. if k = i \wedge l = j then 1 else
if k = i \vee l = j then 0 else A\$k\$l)
<proof>

lemma minorM-code [code abstract]: vec-nth (minorM A i j) = minorM-row A i j
<proof>

definition cofactorM-row A i = vec-lambda (λ j. cofactorM A \$ i \$ j)

lemma *cofactorM-row-code* [code abstract]: $\text{vec-nth} (\text{cofactorM-row } A \ i) = \text{cofactor } A \ i$

<proof>

lemma *cofactorM-code* [code abstract]: $\text{vec-nth} (\text{cofactorM } A) = \text{cofactorM-row } A$

<proof>

lemmas *cofactor-def*[code-unfold]

definition *mat2matofpoly-row*

where $\text{mat2matofpoly-row } A \ i = \text{vec-lambda } (\lambda j. [: A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ j \ :])$

lemma *mat2matofpoly-row-code* [code abstract]:

$\text{vec-nth} (\text{mat2matofpoly-row } A \ i) = (\%j. [: A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ j \ :])$

<proof>

lemma [code abstract]: $\text{vec-nth} (\text{mat2matofpoly } k) = \text{mat2matofpoly-row } k$

<proof>

primrec *matpow* :: 'a::semiring-1ⁿ ⇒ nat ⇒ 'aⁿ **where**

matpow-0: $\text{matpow } A \ 0 = \text{mat } 1 \ |$

matpow-Suc: $\text{matpow } A \ (\text{Suc } n) = A \ ** \ (\text{matpow } A \ n)$

definition *evalmat* :: 'a::comm-ring-1 poly ⇒ 'aⁿ ⇒ 'aⁿ **where**

$\text{evalmat } P \ A = (\sum i \in \{ n::nat . n \leq (\text{degree } P) \} . (\text{coeff } P \ i) \ ** \ (\text{matpow } A \ i))$

lemma *evalmat-unfold*:

$\text{evalmat } P \ A = (\sum i = 0..degree \ P. \ \text{coeff } P \ i \ ** \ \text{matpow } A \ i)$

<proof>

lemma *evalmat-code*[code]:

$\text{evalmat } P \ A = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..int \ (\text{degree } P)]. \ \text{coeff } P \ (\text{nat } i) \ ** \ \text{matpow } A \ (\text{nat } i))$

(is - = ?rhs)

<proof>

definition *coeffM-zero* :: 'a polyⁿ ⇒ 'a::zeroⁿ **where**

$\text{coeffM-zero } A = (\chi \ i \ j. (\text{coeff } (A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ j) \ 0))$

definition *coeffM-zero-row* $A \ i = (\chi \ j. (\text{coeff } (A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ j) \ 0))$

definition *coeffM* :: 'a polyⁿ ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a::zeroⁿ **where**

$\text{coeffM } A \ n = (\chi \ i \ j. \ \text{coeff } (A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ j) \ n)$

lemma *coeffM-zero-row-code* [code abstract]:

$\text{vec-nth} (\text{coeffM-zero-row } A \ i) = (\%j. (\text{coeff } (A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ j) \ 0))$

<proof>

lemma *coeffM-zero-code* [code abstract]: $\text{vec-nth} (\text{coeffM-zero } A) = \text{coeffM-zero-row}$

A
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

coeffM-row A n i = (χ *j*. *coeff* (*A* \$ *i* \$ *j*) *n*)

lemma *coeffM-row-code* [*code abstract*]:

vec-nth (*coeffM-row A n i*) = ($\%$ *j*. *coeff* (*A* \$ *i* \$ *j*) *n*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *coeffM-code* [*code abstract*]: *vec-nth* (*coeffM A n*) = *coeffM-row A n*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

3 Echelon Form

theory *Echelon-Form*

imports

Rings2

Gauss-Jordan.Determinants2

Cayley-Hamilton-Compatible

begin

3.1 Definition of Echelon Form

Echelon form up to column *k* (NOT INCLUDED).

definition

echelon-form-upt-k :: 'a::{bezout-ring} ^ cols:: {mod-type} ^ rows:: {finite, ord} ⇒
nat ⇒ *bool*

where

echelon-form-upt-k A k = (
 (∀ *i*. *is-zero-row-upt-k i k A*
 → ¬ (∃ *j*. *j* > *i* ∧ ¬ *is-zero-row-upt-k j k A*)
 ∧
 (∀ *i j*. *i* < *j* ∧ ¬ (*is-zero-row-upt-k i k A*) ∧ ¬ (*is-zero-row-upt-k j k A*)
 → ((*LEAST n. A* \$ *i* \$ *n* ≠ 0) < (*LEAST n. A* \$ *j* \$ *n* ≠ 0))))

definition *echelon-form A* = *echelon-form-upt-k A* (*ncols A*)

Some properties of matrices in echelon form.

lemma *echelon-form-upt-k-intro*:

assumes (∀ *i*. *is-zero-row-upt-k i k A* → ¬ (∃ *j*. *j* > *i* ∧ ¬ *is-zero-row-upt-k j k A*)
A)

and (∀ *i j*. *i* < *j* ∧ ¬ (*is-zero-row-upt-k i k A*) ∧ ¬ (*is-zero-row-upt-k j k A*)
 → ((*LEAST n. A* \$ *i* \$ *n* ≠ 0) < (*LEAST n. A* \$ *j* \$ *n* ≠ 0)))

shows *echelon-form-upt-k A k* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *echelon-form-upt-k-condition1*:

assumes *echelon-form-upt-k A k is-zero-row-upt-k i k A*

shows $\neg (\exists j. j > i \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } j \ k \ A)$

<proof>

lemma *echelon-form-upt-k-condition1'*:

assumes *echelon-form-upt-k A k is-zero-row-upt-k i k A* **and** $i < j$

shows *is-zero-row-upt-k j k A*

<proof>

lemma *echelon-form-upt-k-condition2*:

assumes *echelon-form-upt-k A k i < j*

and $\neg (\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i \ k \ A) \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } j \ k \ A)$

shows $(\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ j \ \$ \ n \neq 0)$

<proof>

lemma *echelon-form-upt-k-if-equal*:

assumes *e: echelon-form-upt-k A k*

and *eq: $\forall a. \forall b < \text{from-nat } k. A \ \$ \ a \ \$ \ b = B \ \$ \ a \ \$ \ b$*

and *k: $k < \text{ncols } A$*

shows *echelon-form-upt-k B k*

<proof>

lemma *echelon-form-upt-k-0: echelon-form-upt-k A 0*

<proof>

lemma *echelon-form-condition1*:

assumes *r: echelon-form A*

shows $(\forall i. \text{is-zero-row } i \ A \longrightarrow \neg (\exists j. j > i \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } j \ A))$

<proof>

lemma *echelon-form-condition2*:

assumes *r: echelon-form A*

shows $(\forall i. i < j \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row } i \ A) \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row } j \ A)$

$\longrightarrow ((\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ j \ \$ \ n \neq 0)))$

<proof>

lemma *echelon-form-condition2-explicit*:

assumes *rref-A: echelon-form A*

and *i-le: $i < j$*

and $\neg \text{is-zero-row } i \ A$ **and** $\neg \text{is-zero-row } j \ A$

shows $(\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ j \ \$ \ n \neq 0)$

<proof>

lemma *echelon-form-intro*:

assumes *1: $(\forall i. \text{is-zero-row } i \ A \longrightarrow \neg (\exists j. j > i \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } j \ A))$*

and *2: $(\forall i j. i < j \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row } i \ A) \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row } j \ A)$*

$\longrightarrow ((\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ i \ \$ \ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ j \ \$ \ n \neq 0)))$

shows *echelon-form A*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *echelon-form-implies-echelon-form-upt*:
fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes *rref: echelon-form A*
shows *echelon-form-upt-k A k*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *upper-triangular-upt-k-def'*:
assumes $\forall i j. \text{to-nat } j \leq k \wedge A \$ i \$ j \neq 0 \longrightarrow j \geq i$
shows *upper-triangular-upt-k A k*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *echelon-form-imp-upper-triangular-upt*:
fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\} \wedge n::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge n::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes *echelon-form A*
shows *upper-triangular-upt-k A k*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

A matrix in echelon form is upper triangular.

lemma *echelon-form-imp-upper-triangular*:
fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\} \wedge n::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge n::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes *echelon-form A*
shows *upper-triangular A*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *echelon-form-upt-k-interchange*:
fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\} \wedge c::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge b::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes *e: echelon-form-upt-k A k*
and *zero-ikA: is-zero-row-upt-k (from-nat i) k A*
and *Amk-not-0: A \$ m \$ from-nat k ≠ 0*
and *i-le-m: (from-nat i) ≤ m*
and *k: k < ncols A*
shows *echelon-form-upt-k (interchange-rows A (from-nat i) (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (from-nat i) ≤ n)) k*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

There are similar theorems to the following ones in the Gauss-Jordan developments, but for matrices in reduced row echelon form. It is possible to prove that reduced row echelon form implies echelon form. Then the theorems in the Gauss-Jordan development could be obtained with ease.

lemma *greatest-less-zero-row*:
fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{finite, wellorder}\}$
assumes *r: echelon-form-upt-k A k*
and *zero-i: is-zero-row-upt-k i k A*
and *not-all-zero: ¬ (∀ a. is-zero-row-upt-k a k A)*

shows (*GREATEST* m . \neg *is-zero-row-upt-k* m k A) $< i$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *greatest-ge-nonzero-row'*:
fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes r : *echelon-form-upt-k* A k
and i : $i \leq (\text{GREATEST } m. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A)$
and *not-all-zero*: $\neg (\forall a. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a \ k \ A)$
shows $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i \ k \ A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rref-imp-ef*:
fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes *rref*: *reduced-row-echelon-form* A
shows *echelon-form* A
 ⟨*proof*⟩

3.2 Computing the echelon form of a matrix

3.2.1 Demonstration over principal ideal rings

Important remark:

We want to prove that there exist the echelon form of any matrix whose elements belong to a bezout domain. In addition, we want to compute the echelon form, so we will need computable gcd and bezout operations which is possible over euclidean domains. Our approach consists of demonstrating the correctness over bezout domains and executing over euclidean domains.

To do that, we have studied several options:

1. We could define a gcd in bezout rings (*bezout-ring-gcd*) as follows:
 $\text{gcd-bezout-ring } a \ b = (\text{SOME } d. d \ \text{dvd } a \ \wedge \ d \ \text{dvd } b \ \wedge \ (\forall d'. d' \ \text{dvd } a \ \wedge \ d' \ \text{dvd } b \ \longrightarrow \ d' \ \text{dvd } d))$

And then define an algorithm that computes the Echelon Form using such a definition to the gcd. This would allow us to prove the correctness over bezout rings, but we would not be able to execute over euclidean rings because it is not possible to demonstrate a (code) lemma stating that (*gcd-bezout-ring* $a \ b$) = *gcd-eucl* $a \ b$ (the gcd is not unique over bezout rings and GCD rings).

2. Create a *bezout-ring-norm* class and define a gcd normalized over bezout rings: *definition* *gcd-bezout-ring-norm* $a \ b = \text{gcd-bezout-ring } a \ b \ \text{div } \text{normalisation-factor } (\text{gcd-bezout-ring } a \ b)$

Then, one could demonstrate a (code) lemma stating that: (*gcd-bezout-ring-norm* $a \ b$) = *gcd-eucl* $a \ b$ This allows us to execute the gcd function, but with bezout it is not possible.

3. The third option (and the chosen one) consists of defining the algorithm over bezout domains and parametrizing the algorithm by a *bezout* operation which must satisfy suitable properties (i.e *is-bezout-ext bezout*). Then we can prove the correctness over bezout domains and we will execute over euclidean domains, since we can prove that the operation *euclid-ext2* is an executable operation which satisfies *is-bezout-ext euclid-ext2*.

3.2.2 Definition of the algorithm

context *bezout-ring*
begin

definition

bezout-matrix :: 'a[~]cols[~]rows ⇒ 'rows ⇒ 'rows ⇒ 'cols
 ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a × 'a × 'a × 'a × 'a)) ⇒ 'a[~]rows[~]rows

where

bezout-matrix A a b j bezout = (χ x y.

(let

(p, q, u, v, d) = bezout (A \$ a \$ j) (A \$ b \$ j)

in

if x = a ∧ y = a then p else

if x = a ∧ y = b then q else

if x = b ∧ y = a then u else

if x = b ∧ y = b then v else

if x = y then 1 else 0))

end

primrec

bezout-iterate :: 'a::{bezout-ring}[~]cols[~]rows::{mod-type}

⇒ nat ⇒ 'rows::{mod-type}

⇒ 'cols ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a × 'a × 'a × 'a × 'a)) ⇒

'a[~]cols[~]rows::{mod-type}

where *bezout-iterate* A 0 i j bezout = A

| *bezout-iterate* A (Suc n) i j bezout =

(if (Suc n) ≤ to-nat i then A else

bezout-iterate (*bezout-matrix* A i (from-nat (Suc n)) j bezout ** A) n i

j bezout)

If every element in column *k* over index *i* are equal to zero, the same input is returned. If every element over *i* is equal to zero, except the pivot, the algorithm does nothing, but pivot *i* is increased in a unit. Finally, if there is a position *n* whose coefficient is different from zero, its row is interchanged with row *i* and the bezout coefficients are used to produce a zero in its position.

definition

```

echelon-form-of-column-k bezout A' k =
  (let (A, i) = A'
    in if (∀ m ≥ from-nat i. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0) ∨ (i = nrow A) then (A, i)
    else
      if (∀ m > from-nat i. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0) then (A, i + 1) else
        let n = (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ from-nat i ≤ n);
            interchange-A = interchange-rows A (from-nat i) n
        in
          (bezout-iterate (interchange-A) (nrow A - 1) (from-nat i) (from-nat k)
            bezout, i + 1))

```

definition *echelon-form-of-upt-k A k bezout* = (fst (foldl (echelon-form-of-column-k bezout) (A, 0) [0..<Suc k]))

definition *echelon-form-of A bezout* = *echelon-form-of-upt-k A (ncol A - 1) bezout*

3.2.3 The executable definition:

context *euclidean-space*

begin

definition [*code-unfold*]: *echelon-form-of-euclidean A* = *echelon-form-of A euclid-ext2*

end

3.2.4 Properties of the bezout matrix

lemma *bezout-matrix-works1*:

assumes *ib: is-bezout-ext bezout*

and *a-not-b: a ≠ b*

shows (bezout-matrix A a b j bezout ** A) \$ a \$ j = snd (snd (snd (snd (bezout (A \$ a \$ j) (A \$ b \$ j))))))

<proof>

lemma *bezout-matrix-not-zero*:

assumes *ib: is-bezout-ext bezout*

and *a-not-b: a ≠ b*

and *Aaj: A \$ a \$ j ≠ 0*

shows (bezout-matrix A a b j bezout ** A) \$ a \$ j ≠ 0

<proof>

lemma *ua-vb-0*:

fixes *a::'a::bezout-domain*

assumes *ib: is-bezout-ext bezout* **and** *nz: snd (snd (snd (snd (bezout a b)))) ≠ 0*

shows fst (snd (snd (bezout a b))) * a + fst (snd (snd (snd (bezout a b)))) * b = 0

<proof>

lemma *bezout-matrix-works2*:

fixes *A::'a::bezout-domain ^ cols ^ rows*

assumes *ib*: *is-bezout-ext* *bezout*
and *a-not-b*: $a \neq b$
and *not-0*: $A \$ a \$ j \neq 0 \vee A \$ b \$ j \neq 0$
shows (*bezout-matrix* *A a b j bezout ** A*) $A \$ b \$ j = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *bezout-matrix-preserves-previous-columns*:
assumes *ib*: *is-bezout-ext* *bezout*
and *i-not-j*: $i \neq j$
and *Aik*: $A \$ i \$ k \neq 0$
and *b-k*: $b < k$
and *i*: *is-zero-row-upt-k* *i* (*to-nat* *k*) *A* **and** *j*: *is-zero-row-upt-k* *j* (*to-nat* *k*) *A*
shows (*bezout-matrix* *A i j k bezout ** A*) $A \$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b$
 <proof>

lemma *det-bezout-matrix*:
fixes *A*::'a::{*bezout-domain*}[^]*cols*[^]*rows*::{*finite*,*wellorder*}
assumes *ib*: *is-bezout-ext* *bezout*
and *a-less-b*: $a < b$
and *aj*: $A \$ a \$ j \neq 0$
shows *det* (*bezout-matrix* *A a b j bezout*) = 1
 <proof>

lemma *invertible-bezout-matrix*:
fixes *A*::'a::{*bezout-ring-div*}[^]*cols*[^]*rows*::{*finite*,*wellorder*}
assumes *ib*: *is-bezout-ext* *bezout*
and *a-less-b*: $a < b$
and *aj*: $A \$ a \$ j \neq 0$
shows *invertible* (*bezout-matrix* *A a b j bezout*)
 <proof>

lemma *echelon-form-upt-k-bezout-matrix*:
fixes *A k* **and** *i*::'b::*mod-type*
assumes *e*: *echelon-form-upt-k* *A k*
and *ib*: *is-bezout-ext* *bezout*
and *Aik-0*: $A \$ i \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0$
and *zero-i*: *is-zero-row-upt-k* *i k A*
and *i-less-n*: $i < n$
and *k*: $k < n\text{cols } A$
shows *echelon-form-upt-k* (*bezout-matrix* *A i n* (*from-nat* *k*) *bezout ** A*) *k*
 <proof>

lemma *bezout-matrix-preserves-rest*:
assumes *ib*: *is-bezout-ext* *bezout*
and *a-not-n*: $a \neq n$
and *i-not-n*: $i \neq n$
and *a-not-i*: $a \neq i$
and *Aik-0*: $A \$ i \$ k \neq 0$
and *zero-ikA*: *is-zero-row-upt-k* *i* (*to-nat* *k*) *A*

shows $(\text{bezout-matrix } A \text{ } i \text{ } n \text{ } k \text{ } \text{bezout} \text{ } ** \text{ } A) \text{ } \$ a \text{ } \$ b = A \text{ } \$ a \text{ } \$ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Code equations to execute the bezout matrix

definition $\text{bezout-matrix-row } A \text{ } a \text{ } b \text{ } j \text{ } \text{bezout } x$
 $= (\text{let } (p, q, u, v, d) = \text{bezout } (A \text{ } \$ a \text{ } \$ j) (A \text{ } \$ b \text{ } \$ j)$
 in
 $\text{vec-lambda } (\lambda y. \text{if } x = a \wedge y = a \text{ then } p \text{ else}$
 $\text{if } x = a \wedge y = b \text{ then } q \text{ else}$
 $\text{if } x = b \wedge y = a \text{ then } u \text{ else}$
 $\text{if } x = b \wedge y = b \text{ then } v \text{ else}$
 $\text{if } x = y \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0))$

lemma $\text{bezout-matrix-row-code}$ [code abstract]:
 $\text{vec-nth } (\text{bezout-matrix-row } A \text{ } a \text{ } b \text{ } j \text{ } \text{bezout } x) =$
 $(\text{let } (p, q, u, v, d) = \text{bezout } (A \text{ } \$ a \text{ } \$ j) (A \text{ } \$ b \text{ } \$ j)$
 in
 $(\lambda y. \text{if } x = a \wedge y = a \text{ then } p \text{ else}$
 $\text{if } x = a \wedge y = b \text{ then } q \text{ else}$
 $\text{if } x = b \wedge y = a \text{ then } u \text{ else}$
 $\text{if } x = b \wedge y = b \text{ then } v \text{ else}$
 $\text{if } x = y \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [code abstract]: $\text{vec-nth } (\text{bezout-matrix } A \text{ } a \text{ } b \text{ } j \text{ } \text{bezout}) = \text{bezout-matrix-row}$
 $A \text{ } a \text{ } b \text{ } j \text{ } \text{bezout}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.2.5 Properties of the bezout iterate function

lemma $\text{bezout-iterate-not-zero}$:
assumes $A_{ik} \neq 0: A \text{ } \$ i \text{ } \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0$
and $n: n < \text{nrows } A$
and $a: \text{to-nat } i \leq n$
and $ib: \text{is-bezout-ext } \text{bezout}$
shows $\text{bezout-iterate } A \text{ } n \text{ } i \text{ } (\text{from-nat } k) \text{ } \text{bezout } \$ i \text{ } \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{bezout-iterate-preserves}$:
fixes $A \text{ } k$ **and** $i::'b::\text{mod-type}$
assumes $e: \text{echelon-form-upt-k } A \text{ } k$
and $ib: \text{is-bezout-ext } \text{bezout}$
and $A_{ik} \neq 0: A \text{ } \$ i \text{ } \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0$
and $n: n < \text{nrows } A$
and $b < \text{from-nat } k$
and $i \leq n: \text{to-nat } i \leq n$
and $k: k < \text{ncols } A$
and $\text{zero-upt-k-i}: \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i \text{ } k \text{ } A$

shows *bezout-iterate* A n i (*from-nat* k) *bezout* $\$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bezout-iterate-preserves-below-n*:
assumes e : *echelon-form-upt-k* A k
and ib : *is-bezout-ext* *bezout*
and $Aik-0$: $A \$ i \$$ *from-nat* $k \neq 0$
and n : $n < nrows$ A
and $n-less-a$: $n < to-nat$ a
and k : $k < ncols$ A
and $i-le-n$: $to-nat$ $i \leq n$
and $zero-upt-k-i$: *is-zero-row-upt-k* i k A
shows *bezout-iterate* A n i (*from-nat* k) *bezout* $\$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *bezout-iterate-zero-column-k*:
fixes A :: $'a::bezout-domain \wedge cols::\{mod-type\} \wedge rows::\{mod-type\}$
assumes e : *echelon-form-upt-k* A k
and ib : *is-bezout-ext* *bezout*
and $Aik-0$: $A \$ i \$$ *from-nat* $k \neq 0$
and n : $n < nrows$ A
and $i-le-a$: $i < a$
and k : $k < ncols$ A
and $a-n$: $to-nat$ $a \leq n$
and $zero-upt-k-i$: *is-zero-row-upt-k* i k A
shows *bezout-iterate* A n i (*from-nat* k) *bezout* $\$ a \$$ *from-nat* $k = 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

3.2.6 Proving the correctness

lemma *condition1-index-le-zero-row*:
fixes A k
defines i :: $i \equiv (if \ \forall m. \ is-zero-row-upt-k \ m \ k \ A \ then \ 0$
 $else \ to-nat \ ((GREATEST \ n. \ \neg \ is-zero-row-upt-k \ n \ k \ A)) + 1)$
assumes e : *echelon-form-upt-k* A k
and $is-zero-row-upt-k$ a (*Suc* k) A
shows *from-nat* $i \leq a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *condition1-part1*:
fixes A k
defines i :: $i \equiv (if \ \forall m. \ is-zero-row-upt-k \ m \ k \ A \ then \ 0$
 $else \ to-nat \ ((GREATEST \ n. \ \neg \ is-zero-row-upt-k \ n \ k \ A)) + 1)$
assumes e : *echelon-form-upt-k* A k

and a : *is-zero-row-upt-k* a (*Suc* k) A
and ab : $a < b$
and $all\text{-}zero$: $\forall m \geq \text{from-nat } i. A \$ m \$ \text{from-nat } k = 0$
shows *is-zero-row-upt-k* b (*Suc* k) A
<proof>

lemma *condition1-part2*:

fixes A k
defines i : $i \equiv (\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A \ \text{then } 0$
else to-nat $((\text{GREATEST } n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n \ k \ A)) + 1)$
assumes e : *echelon-form-upt-k* A k
and a : *is-zero-row-upt-k* a (*Suc* k) A
and ab : $a < b$
and $i\text{-last}$: $i = \text{nrows } A$
and $all\text{-}zero$: $\forall m > \text{from-nat } (\text{nrows } A). A \$ m \$ \text{from-nat } k = 0$
shows *is-zero-row-upt-k* b (*Suc* k) A
<proof>

lemma *condition1-part3*:

fixes A k *bezout*
defines i : $i \equiv (\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A \ \text{then } 0$
else to-nat $((\text{GREATEST } n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n \ k \ A)) + 1)$
defines B : $B \equiv \text{fst } ((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k } \text{bezout}) (A, i) \ k)$
assumes e : *echelon-form-upt-k* A k **and** ib : *is-bezout-ext* *bezout*
and a : *is-zero-row-upt-k* a (*Suc* k) B
and $a < b$
and $all\text{-}zero$: $\forall m > \text{from-nat } i. A \$ m \$ \text{from-nat } k = 0$
and $i\text{-not-last}$: $i \neq \text{nrows } A$
and $i\text{-le-}m$: $\text{from-nat } i \leq m$
and $Amk\text{-not-}0$: $A \$ m \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0$
shows *is-zero-row-upt-k* b (*Suc* k) A
<proof>

lemma *condition1-part4*:

fixes A k *bezout* i
defines i : $i \equiv (\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A \ \text{then } 0$
else to-nat $((\text{GREATEST } n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n \ k \ A)) + 1)$
defines B : $B \equiv \text{fst } ((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k } \text{bezout}) (A, i) \ k)$
assumes e : *echelon-form-upt-k* A k
assumes a : *is-zero-row-upt-k* a (*Suc* k) A
and $i\text{-nrows}$: $i = \text{nrows } A$
shows *is-zero-row-upt-k* b (*Suc* k) A
<proof>

lemma *condition1-part5*:

fixes $A::'a::\text{bezout-domain} \sim \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \sim \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
and k *bezout*
defines $i:i\equiv(\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A \ \text{then } 0$
else to-nat ((GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) + 1)
defines $B: B \equiv \text{fst}((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k bezout}) (A,i) k)$
assumes $ib: \text{is-bezout-ext bezout}$ **and** $e: \text{echelon-form-upt-k } A \ k$
assumes $\text{zero-a-B}: \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a \ (\text{Suc } k) \ B$
and $ab: a < b$
and $im: \text{from-nat } i < m$
and $\text{Amk-not-0}: A \ \$ \ m \ \$ \ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0$
and $\text{not-last-row}: i \neq \text{nrows } A$
and $k: k < \text{ncols } A$
shows $\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } b \ (\text{Suc } k) \ (\text{bezout-iterate}$
(interchange-rows } A \ (\text{from-nat } i) \ (\text{LEAST } n. A \ \\$ \ n \ \\$ \ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0 \wedge (\text{from-nat}
i) \leq n))
(nrows } A - \text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{from-nat } i) \ (\text{from-nat } k) \ \text{bezout)}
<proof>

lemma condition2-part1:

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\} \sim \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \sim \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$ **and** k *bezout* i
defines $i:i\equiv(\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A \ \text{then } 0$
else to-nat ((GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) + 1)
defines $B:B \equiv \text{fst}((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k bezout}) (A,i) k)$
assumes $e: \text{echelon-form-upt-k } A \ k$
and $ab: a < b$ **and** $\text{not-zero-aB}: \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a \ (\text{Suc } k) \ B$
and $\text{not-zero-bB}: \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } b \ (\text{Suc } k) \ B$
and $\text{all-zero}: \forall m \geq \text{from-nat } i. A \ \$ \ m \ \$ \ \text{from-nat } k = 0$
shows $(\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ a \ \$ \ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ b \ \$ \ n \neq 0)$
<proof>

lemma condition2-part2:

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\} \sim \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \sim \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$ **and** k *bezout* i
defines $i:i\equiv(\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A \ \text{then } 0 \ \text{else}$
to-nat ((GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) + 1)
assumes $e: \text{echelon-form-upt-k } A \ k$
and $ab: a < b$
and $\text{all-zero}: \forall m > \text{from-nat } (\text{nrows } A). A \ \$ \ m \ \$ \ \text{from-nat } k = 0$
and $i\text{-nrows}: i = \text{nrows } A$
shows $(\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ a \ \$ \ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \ \$ \ b \ \$ \ n \neq 0)$
<proof>

lemma condition2-part3:

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\} \sim \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \sim \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$ **and** k *bezout* i
defines $i:i\equiv(\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A \ \text{then } 0$
else to-nat ((GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) + 1)
defines $B:B \equiv \text{fst}((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k bezout}) (A,i) k)$
assumes $e: \text{echelon-form-upt-k } A \ k$ **and** $k: k < \text{ncols } A$
and $ab: a < b$ **and** $\text{not-zero-aB}: \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a \ (\text{Suc } k) \ B$

and not-zero-bB: \neg is-zero-row-upt-k b (Suc k) B
and all-zero: $\forall m \succ \text{from-nat } i. A \$ m \$ \text{from-nat } k = 0$
and i-ma: $\text{from-nat } i \leq ma$ **and A-ma-k:** $A \$ ma \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0$
shows (LEAST n. A \$ a \$ n $\neq 0$) < (LEAST n. A \$ b \$ n $\neq 0$)
 <proof>

lemma condition2-part4:

fixes A::'a::{bezout-ring} ^ cols::{mod-type} ^ rows::{mod-type} **and** k bezout i
defines i:i \equiv (if $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A$ then 0
 else to-nat ((GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) + 1)
assumes e: echelon-form-upt-k A k
and ab: a < b
and i-nrows: i = nrows A
shows (LEAST n. A \$ a \$ n $\neq 0$) < (LEAST n. A \$ b \$ n $\neq 0$)
 <proof>

lemma condition2-part5:

fixes A::'a::{bezout-domain} ^ cols::{mod-type} ^ rows::{mod-type} **and** k bezout i
defines i:i \equiv (if $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A$ then 0
 else to-nat ((GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) + 1)
defines B:B \equiv fst ((echelon-form-of-column-k bezout) (A,i) k)
assumes ib: is-bezout-ext bezout **and** e: echelon-form-upt-k A k **and** k: k < ncols
 A
and ab: a < b **and** not-zero-aB: \neg is-zero-row-upt-k a (Suc k) B
and not-zero-bB: \neg is-zero-row-upt-k b (Suc k) B
and i-m: $\text{from-nat } i < m$
and A-mk: $A \$ m \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0$
and i-not-nrows: i \neq nrows A
shows (LEAST n. B \$ a \$ n $\neq 0$) < (LEAST n. B \$ b \$ n $\neq 0$)
 <proof>

lemma echelon-echelon-form-column-k:

fixes A::'a::{bezout-domain} ^ cols::{mod-type} ^ rows::{mod-type} **and** k bezout
defines i:i \equiv (if $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A$ then 0
 else to-nat ((GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) + 1)
defines B: B \equiv fst ((echelon-form-of-column-k bezout) (A,i) k)
assumes ib: is-bezout-ext bezout **and** e: echelon-form-upt-k A k **and** k: k < ncols
 A
shows echelon-form-upt-k B (Suc k)
 <proof>

lemma echelon-foldl-condition1:

assumes ib: is-bezout-ext bezout
and A \$ ma \$ $\text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } k) \neq 0$
and k: k < ncols A
shows $\exists m. \neg$ is-zero-row-upt-k m (Suc (Suc k))
 (bezout-iterate (interchange-rows A 0 (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ $\text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } k) \neq$

0))
 (nrows A - Suc 0) 0 (from-nat (Suc k)) bezout)
 <proof>

lemma echelon-foldl-condition2:

fixes A::'a::{bezout-ring} ^ cols::{mod-type} ^ rows::{mod-type}
assumes n: \neg is-zero-row-upt-k ma k A
and all-zero: $\forall m \geq$ (GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)+1. A \$ m \$
 from-nat k = 0
shows (GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) = (GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k
 n (Suc k) A)
 <proof>

lemma echelon-foldl-condition3:

fixes A::'a::{bezout-domain} ^ cols::{mod-type} ^ rows::{mod-type}
assumes ib: is-bezout-ext bezout
and Am0: A \$ m \$ from-nat k \neq 0
and all-zero: $\forall m$. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and e: echelon-form-upt-k A k
and k: k < ncols A
shows to-nat (GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n (Suc k)
 (bezout-iterate (interchange-rows A 0 (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ from-nat k \neq 0))
 (nrows A - (Suc 0)) 0 (from-nat k) bezout)) = 0
 <proof>

lemma echelon-foldl-condition4:

fixes A::'a::{bezout-ring} ^ cols::{mod-type} ^ rows::{mod-type}
assumes all-zero: $\forall m >$ (GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)+1.
 A \$ m \$ from-nat k = 0
and greatest-nrows: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) \neq
 nrows A
and le-mb: (GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)+1 \leq mb
and A-mb-k: A \$ mb \$ from-nat k \neq 0
shows Suc (to-nat (GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) =
 to-nat (GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n (Suc k) A)
 <proof>

lemma echelon-foldl-condition5:

fixes A::'a::{bezout-ring} ^ cols::{mod-type} ^ rows::{mod-type}
assumes mb: \neg is-zero-row-upt-k mb k A
and nrows: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) = nrows A
shows nrows A = Suc (to-nat (GREATEST n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n (Suc k) A))
 <proof>

lemma echelon-foldl-condition6:

fixes A::'a::{bezout-ring} ^ cols::{mod-type} ^ rows::{mod-type}
assumes ib: is-bezout-ext bezout

and $g\text{-}mc$: $(GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ k\ A) + 1 \leq mc$
and $A\text{-}mc\text{-}k$: $A\ \$\ mc\ \$\ from\text{-}nat\ k \neq 0$
shows $\exists m.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ m\ (Suc\ k)$
 $(bezout\text{-}iterate\ (interchange\text{-}rows\ A\ ((GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ k\ A)$
 $+ 1))$
 $(LEAST\ n.\ A\ \$\ n\ \$\ from\text{-}nat\ k \neq 0 \wedge (GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ k\ A) + 1 \leq n))$
 $(nrows\ A - Suc\ 0) ((GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ k\ A) + 1) (from\text{-}nat$
 $k) bezout)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *echelon-foldl-condition7*:

fixes $A::'a::\{bezout\text{-}domain\}^{\wedge}cols::\{mod\text{-}type\}^{\wedge}rows::\{mod\text{-}type\}$
assumes ib : $is\text{-}bezout\text{-}ext\ bezout$
and e : $echelon\text{-}form\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ A\ k$
and k : $k < ncols\ A$
and mb : $\neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ mb\ k\ A$
and $not\text{-}nrows$: $Suc\ (to\text{-}nat\ (GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ k\ A)) \neq nrows$
 A
and $g\text{-}mc$: $(GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ k\ A) + 1 \leq mc$
and $A\text{-}mc\text{-}k$: $A\ \$\ mc\ \$\ from\text{-}nat\ k \neq 0$
shows $Suc\ (to\text{-}nat\ (GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ k\ A)) =$
 $to\text{-}nat\ (GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ (Suc\ k) (bezout\text{-}iterate$
 $(interchange\text{-}rows\ A\ ((GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ k\ A) + 1)$
 $(LEAST\ n.\ A\ \$\ n\ \$\ from\text{-}nat\ k \neq 0 \wedge (GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ k\ A) + 1 \leq n))$
 $(nrows\ A - Suc\ 0) ((GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ k\ A) + 1) (from\text{-}nat$
 $k) bezout))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

fixes $A::'a::\{bezout\text{-}domain\}^{\wedge}cols::\{mod\text{-}type\}^{\wedge}rows::\{mod\text{-}type\}$
assumes k : $k < ncols\ A$ **and** ib : $is\text{-}bezout\text{-}ext\ bezout$
shows $echelon\text{-}echelon\text{-}form\text{-}of\text{-}upt\text{-}k$:
 $echelon\text{-}form\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ (echelon\text{-}form\text{-}of\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ A\ k\ bezout)\ (Suc\ k)$
and $foldl\ (echelon\text{-}form\text{-}of\text{-}column\text{-}k\ bezout)\ (A,\ 0)\ [0..<Suc\ k] =$
 $(fst\ (foldl\ (echelon\text{-}form\text{-}of\text{-}column\text{-}k\ bezout)\ (A,\ 0)\ [0..<Suc\ k]),$
 $if\ \forall m.\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ m\ (Suc\ k)$
 $(fst\ (foldl\ (echelon\text{-}form\text{-}of\text{-}column\text{-}k\ bezout)\ (A,\ 0)\ [0..<Suc\ k]))\ then\ 0$
 $else\ to\text{-}nat\ (GREATEST\ n.\ \neg\ is\text{-}zero\text{-}row\text{-}upt\text{-}k\ n\ (Suc\ k)$
 $(fst\ (foldl\ (echelon\text{-}form\text{-}of\text{-}column\text{-}k\ bezout)\ (A,\ 0)\ [0..<Suc\ k]))) + 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2.7 Proving the existence of invertible matrices which do the transformations

lemma *bezout-iterate-invertible*:

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-domain}\} \wedge \text{cols} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $ib: \text{is-bezout-ext bezout}$
assumes $n < \text{nrows } A$
and $\text{to-nat } i \leq n$
and $A \$ i \$ j \neq 0$
shows $\exists P. \text{invertible } P \wedge P ** A = \text{bezout-iterate } A \ n \ i \ j \ \text{bezout}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *echelon-form-of-column-k-invertible:*

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-domain}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $ib: \text{is-bezout-ext bezout}$
shows $\exists P. \text{invertible } P \wedge P ** A = \text{fst } ((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k bezout}) (A, i))$
 $k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *echelon-form-of-upt-k-invertible:*

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-domain}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $ib: \text{is-bezout-ext bezout}$
shows $\exists P. \text{invertible } P \wedge P ** A = (\text{echelon-form-of-upt-k } A \ k \ \text{bezout})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.2.8 Final results

lemma *echelon-form-echelon-form-of:*

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-domain}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $ib: \text{is-bezout-ext bezout}$
shows $\text{echelon-form } (\text{echelon-form-of } A \ \text{bezout})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *echelon-form-of-invertible:*

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-domain}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $ib: \text{is-bezout-ext } (\text{bezout})$
shows $\exists P. \text{invertible } P$
 $\wedge P ** A = (\text{echelon-form-of } A \ \text{bezout})$
 $\wedge \text{echelon-form } (\text{echelon-form-of } A \ \text{bezout})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Executable version

corollary *echelon-form-echelon-form-of-euclidean:*

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{euclidean-ring-gcd}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
shows $\text{echelon-form } (\text{echelon-form-of-euclidean } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

corollary *echelon-form-of-euclidean-invertible:*

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{euclidean-ring-gcd}\} \wedge \text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\} \wedge \text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
shows $\exists P. \text{invertible } P \wedge P ** A = (\text{echelon-form-of } A \ \text{euclid-ext2})$
 $\wedge \text{echelon-form } (\text{echelon-form-of } A \ \text{euclid-ext2})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.3 More efficient code equations

definition

```

echelon-form-of-column-k-efficient bezout A' k =
  (let (A, i) = A';
      from-nat-k = from-nat k;
      from-nat-i = from-nat i;
      all-zero-below-i = (∀ m > from-nat-i. A $ m $ from-nat-k = 0)
  in if (i = nrows A) ∨ (A $ from-nat-i $ from-nat-k = 0) ∧ all-zero-below-i
  then (A, i)
  else if all-zero-below-i then (A, i + 1)
  else
    let n = (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat-k ≠ 0 ∧ from-nat-i ≤ n);
        interchange-A = interchange-rows A (from-nat-i) n
    in
      (bezout-iterate (interchange-A) (nrows A - 1) (from-nat-i) (from-nat-k)
       bezout, i + 1))

```

lemma *echelon-form-of-column-k-efficient*[code]:

```

(echelon-form-of-column-k bezout) (A, i) k
= (echelon-form-of-column-k-efficient bezout) (A, i) k
⟨proof⟩

```

end

4 Determinant of matrices over principal ideal rings

theory *Echelon-Form-Det*

imports *Echelon-Form*

begin

4.1 Definitions

The following definition can be improved in terms of performance, because it checks if there exists an element different from zero twice.

definition

```

echelon-form-of-column-k-det :: ('b ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'b × 'b × 'b × 'b × 'b)
⇒ 'b::{bezout-domain}
× (('b, 'c::{mod-type}) vec, 'd::{mod-type}) vec
× nat
⇒ nat ⇒ 'b
× (('b, 'c) vec, 'd) vec
× nat

```

where

```

echelon-form-of-column-k-det bezout A' k =

```



```

(let (det-P, A, i) = A';
  from-nat-i = from-nat i;
  from-nat-k = from-nat k
  in
  if ( (i ≠ nrow A) ∧
        (A $ from-nat-i $ from-nat-k = 0) ∧
        (∃ m > from-nat i. A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0))
    then (-1 * det-P, (echelon-form-of-column-k bezout) (A, i) k)
    else (det-P, (echelon-form-of-column-k bezout) (A, i) k))

```

definition

```

echelon-form-of-upt-k-det bezout A' k =
  (let A = (snd A');
    f = (foldl (echelon-form-of-column-k-det bezout) (1, A, 0) [0..<Suc k])
    in (fst f, fst (snd f)))

```

definition

```

echelon-form-of-det :: 'a::{bezout-domain} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
  ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a × 'a × 'a × 'a × 'a)
  ⇒ ('a × ('a::{bezout-domain} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}))
  where
    echelon-form-of-det A bezout = echelon-form-of-upt-k-det bezout (1::'a,A) (ncols
  A - 1)

```

4.2 Properties

4.2.1 Bezout Iterate

lemma *det-bezout-iterate*:

```

fixes A::'a::{bezout-domain} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
assumes ib: is-bezout-ext bezout
and Aik: A $ i $ from-nat k ≠ 0
and n: n < ncols A
shows det (bezout-iterate A n i (from-nat k) bezout) = det A
⟨proof⟩

```

4.2.2 Echelon Form of column k

lemma *det-echelon-form-of-column-k-det*:

```

fixes A::'a::{bezout-domain} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
assumes ib: is-bezout-ext bezout
and det: det-P * det B = det A
shows fst ((echelon-form-of-column-k-det bezout) (det-P,A,i) k) * det B
  = det (fst (snd ((echelon-form-of-column-k-det bezout) (det-P,A,i) k)))
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *snd-echelon-form-of-column-k-det-eq*:

```

shows snd ((echelon-form-of-column-k-det bezout) (n, A, i) k)

```

= (echelon-form-of-column-k bezout) (A,i) k
 ⟨proof⟩

4.2.3 Echelon form up to column k

lemma *snd-foldl-ef-det-eq*: *snd* (foldl (echelon-form-of-column-k-det bezout) (n, A, 0) [0..<k])
 = foldl (echelon-form-of-column-k bezout) (A, 0) [0..<k]
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *snd-echelon-form-of-upt-k-det-eq*:
shows *snd* ((echelon-form-of-upt-k-det bezout) (n, A) k) = echelon-form-of-upt-k
 A k bezout
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *det-echelon-form-of-upt-k-det*:
fixes A::'a::{bezout-domain} ^n::{mod-type} ^n::{mod-type}
assumes *ib*: *is-bezout-ext bezout*
shows *fst* ((echelon-form-of-upt-k-det bezout) (1::'a,A) k) * *det* A
 = *det* (snd ((echelon-form-of-upt-k-det bezout) (1::'a,A) k))
 ⟨proof⟩

4.2.4 Echelon form

lemma *det-echelon-form-of-det*:
fixes A::'a::{bezout-domain} ^n::{mod-type} ^n::{mod-type}
assumes *ib*: *is-bezout-ext bezout*
shows (*fst* (echelon-form-of-det A bezout)) * *det* A = *det* (snd (echelon-form-of-det
 A bezout))
 ⟨proof⟩

4.2.5 Proving that the first component is a unit

lemma *echelon-form-of-column-k-det-unit*:
fixes A::'a::{bezout-domain-div} ^n::{mod-type} ^n::{mod-type}
assumes *det*: *is-unit (det-P)*
shows *is-unit* (*fst* ((echelon-form-of-column-k-det bezout) (det-P,A,i) k))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *echelon-form-of-upt-k-det-unit*:
fixes A::'a::{bezout-domain-div} ^n::{mod-type} ^n::{mod-type}
shows *is-unit* (*fst* ((echelon-form-of-upt-k-det bezout) (1::'a,A) k))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *echelon-form-of-unit*:
fixes A::'a::{bezout-domain-div} ^n::{mod-type} ^n::{mod-type}
shows *is-unit* (*fst* (echelon-form-of-det A k))
 ⟨proof⟩

4.2.6 Final lemmas

corollary *det-echelon-form-of-det'*:

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-domain-div}\}^{\wedge n}::\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\wedge n}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes *ib: is-bezout-ext bezout*
shows $\text{det } A = 1 \text{ div } (\text{fst } (\text{echelon-form-of-det } A \text{ bezout}))$
 $* \text{ det } (\text{snd } (\text{echelon-form-of-det } A \text{ bezout}))$
(*proof*)

lemma *ef-echelon-form-of-det*:

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-domain}\}^{\wedge n}::\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\wedge n}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes *ib: is-bezout-ext bezout*
shows $\text{echelon-form } (\text{snd } (\text{echelon-form-of-det } A \text{ bezout}))$
(*proof*)

lemma *det-echelon-form*:

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-domain}\}^{\wedge n}::\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\wedge n}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes *ef: echelon-form A*
shows $\text{det } A = \text{prod } (\lambda i. A \$ i \$ i) \text{ (UNIV:: 'n set)}$
(*proof*)

corollary *det-echelon-form-of-det-prod*:

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-domain-div}\}^{\wedge n}::\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\wedge n}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes *ib: is-bezout-ext bezout*
shows $\text{det } A = 1 \text{ div } (\text{fst } (\text{echelon-form-of-det } A \text{ bezout}))$
 $* \text{ prod } (\lambda i. \text{snd } (\text{echelon-form-of-det } A \text{ bezout}) \$ i \$ i) \text{ (UNIV:: 'n set)}$
(*proof*)

corollary *det-echelon-form-of-euclidean*[code]:

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{euclidean-ring-gcd}\}^{\wedge n}::\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\wedge n}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
shows $\text{det } A = 1 \text{ div } (\text{fst } (\text{echelon-form-of-det } A \text{ euclid-ext2}))$
 $* \text{ prod } (\lambda i. \text{snd } (\text{echelon-form-of-det } A \text{ euclid-ext2}) \$ i \$ i) \text{ (UNIV:: 'n set)}$
(*proof*)

end

5 Inverse matrix over principal ideal rings

theory *Echelon-Form-Inverse*

imports

Echelon-Form-Det

Gauss-Jordan.Inverse

begin

5.1 Computing the inverse of matrix over rings

lemma *scalar-mult-mat*:

fixes $x :: 'a::\text{comm-semiring-0}$

shows $x *k mat y = mat (x * y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *matrix-mul-mat*:
fixes $A :: 'a::comm-semiring-1 ^ 'm ^ 'n$
shows $A ** mat x = x *k A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mult-adjugate-det*: $A ** adjugate A = mat (det A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *invertible-imp-matrix-inv*:
assumes $i: invertible (A :: ('a :: \{comm-ring-1, euclidean-semiring\}) ^ 'b ^ 'b)$
shows $matrix-inv A = (1 div (det A)) *k adjugate A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *inverse-matrix-code-rings*[code-unfold]:
fixes $A::'a::\{euclidean-ring\} ^ 'n::\{mod-type\} ^ 'n::\{mod-type\}$
shows $inverse-matrix A = (let d=det A in if is-unit d then Some ((1 div d) *k adjugate A) else None)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

6 Examples of execution over matrices represented as functions

theory *Examples-Echelon-Form-Abstract*
imports
Code-Cayley-Hamilton
Gauss-Jordan.Examples-Gauss-Jordan-Abstract
Echelon-Form-Inverse
HOL-Computational-Algebra.Field-as-Ring
begin

The definitions introduced in this file will be also used in the computations presented in file `Examples_Echelon_Form_IArrays.thy`. Some of these definitions are not even used in this file since they are quite time consuming.

definition *test-real-6x4* :: $real ^ 6 ^ 4$
where $test-real-6x4 = list-of-list-to-matrix$
 $[[0,0,0,0,0,0],$
 $[0,1,0,0,0,0],$
 $[0,0,0,0,0,0],$
 $[0,0,0,0,8,2]]$

value *matrix-to-list-of-list* (*minorM test-real-6x4 0 0*)

value *cofactor* (*mat 1::rat ^ 3 ^ 3*) 0 0

value *vec-to-list* (*cofactorM-row* (*mat 1::int³³*) 1)

value *matrix-to-list-of-list* (*cofactorM* (*mat 1::int³³*))

definition *test-rat-3x3* :: *rat³³*

where *test-rat-3x3* = *list-of-list-to-matrix* [[3,5,1],[2,1,3],[1,2,1]]

value *matrix-to-list-of-list* (*matpow test-rat-3x3* 5)

definition *test-int-3x3* :: *int³³*

where *test-int-3x3* = *list-of-list-to-matrix* [[3,2,8], [0,3,9], [8,7,9]]

value *det test-int-3x3*

definition *test-real-3x3* :: *real³³*

where *test-real-3x3* = *list-of-list-to-matrix* [[3,5,1],[2,1,3],[1,2,1]]

value *charpoly test-real-3x3*

We check that the Cayley-Hamilton theorem holds for this particular case:

value *matrix-to-list-of-list* (*evalmat* (*charpoly test-real-3x3*) *test-real-3x3*)

definition *test-int-3x3-02* :: *int³³*

where *test-int-3x3-02* = *list-of-list-to-matrix* [[3,5,1],[2,1,3],[1,2,1]]

value *matrix-to-list-of-list* (*adjugate test-int-3x3-02*)

The following integer matrix is not invertible, so the result is *None*

value *inverse-matrix test-int-3x3-02*

definition *test-int-3x3-03* :: *int³³*

where *test-int-3x3-03* = *list-of-list-to-matrix* [[1,-2,4],[1,-1,1],[0,1,-2]]

value *matrix-to-list-of-list* (*the* (*inverse-matrix test-int-3x3-03*))

We check that the previous inverse has been correctly computed:

value *test-int-3x3-03* ** (*the* (*inverse-matrix test-int-3x3-03*)) = (*mat 1::int³³*)

definition *test-int-8x8* :: *int⁸⁸*

where *test-int-8x8* = *list-of-list-to-matrix*

[[3, 2, 3, 6, 2, 8, 5, 6],
[0, 5, 5, 2, 3, 9, 4, 7],
[8, 7, 9, 1, 4, -2, 2, 0],
[0, 1, 5, 6, 5, 1, 1, 4],
[0, 3, 4, 5, 2, -4, 2, 1],
[6, 8, 6, 2, 2, -3, 3, 5],
[-2, 4, -2, 6, 7, 8, 0, 3],
[7, 1, 3, 0, -9, -3, 4, -5]]

SLOW; several minutes.

The following definitions will be used in file `Examples_Echelon_Form_IArrays.thy`.
Using the abstract version of matrices would produce lengthy computations.

definition *test-int-6x6* :: int^6^6
where *test-int-6x6* = *list-of-list-to-matrix*
[[3, 2, 3, 6, 2, 8],
[0, 5, 5, 2, 3, 9],
[8, 7, 9, 1, 4, -2],
[0, 1, 5, 6, 5, 1],
[0, 3, 4, 5, 2, -4],
[6, 8, 6, 2, 2, -3]]

definition *test-real-6x6* :: $real^6^6$
where *test-real-6x6* = *list-of-list-to-matrix*
[[3, 2, 3, 6, 2, 8],
[0, 5, 5, 2, 3, 9],
[8, 7, 9, 1, 4, -2],
[0, 1, 5, 6, 5, 1],
[0, 3, 4, 5, 2, -4],
[6, 8, 6, 2, 2, -3]]

definition *test-int-20x20* :: int^{20}^{20}
where *test-int-20x20* = *list-of-list-to-matrix*
[[3,2,3,6,2,8,5,9,8,7,5,4,7,8,9,8,7,4,5,2],
[0,5,5,2,3,9,1,2,4,6,1,2,3,6,5,4,5,8,7,1],
[8,7,9,1,4,-2,8,7,1,4,1,4,5,8,7,4,1,0,0,2],
[0,1,5,6,5,1,3,5,4,9,3,2,1,4,5,6,9,8,7,4],
[0,3,4,5,2,-4,0,2,1,0,0,0,1,2,4,5,1,1,2,0],
[6,8,6,2,2,-3,2,4,7,9,1,2,3,6,5,4,1,2,8,7],
[3,8,3,6,2,8,8,9,6,7,8,9,7,8,9,5,4,1,2,3,0],
[0,8,5,2,8,9,1,2,4,6,4,6,5,8,7,9,8,7,4,5],
[8,8,8,1,4,-2,8,7,1,4,5,5,5,6,4,5,1,2,3,6],
[0,8,5,6,5,1,3,5,4,9::int,1,2,3,5,4,7,8,9,6,4],
[3,2,3,6,2,8,5,9,8,7,5,4,7,3,9,8,7,4,5,2],
[0,5,5,2,3,9,1,2,4,3,1,2,3,6,5,4,5,8,7,1],
[1,7,9,1,4,-2,8,7,1,4,1,4,5,8,7,4,1,0,0,2],
[1,1,5,6,5,1,3,5,4,9,3,4,5,6,9,8,7,4,5,4],
[3,3,4,5,2,-4,0,2,1,0,0,3,1,2,4,5,1,1,2,0],
[4,8,6,5,2,-3,2,4,2,9,1,2,3,2,5,4,1,2,8,7],
[5,8,3,6,2,2,9,9,6,7,2,7,7,2,9,5,4,1,2,3,0],
[2,8,5,2,8,9,5,2,4,6,4,6,5,2,7,1,8,7,4,5],
[2,1,8,1,4,-2,8,3,1,4,5,5,5,6,4,5,1,2,3,6],
[0,2,5,6,5,1,3,5,4,9::int,1,2,3,5,4,7,8,9,6,4]]

definition *test-int-20x20-2* :: int^{20}^{20}
where *test-int-20x20-2* = *list-of-list-to-matrix*

```

[[58,18,18,41,68,62,6,21,19,78,34,22,108,63,71,38,43,52,37,24],
[18,51,29,91,76,98,56,37,47,61,88,99,88,78,210,57,27,87,72,79],
[49,19,81,107,43,34,69,28,101,39,21,910,27,53,15,38,5,34,47,23],
[97,102,68,27,56,56,102,210,68,56,24,33,88,110,71,23,35,36,72,1],
[63,11,39,16,32,81,16,98,94,26,53,23,11,51,98,51,81,57,610,85],
[46,61,68,710,11,105,3,5,61,210,67,34,108,10,44,71,36,66,38,42],
[39,75,106,42,36,92,110,42,89,105,11,108,22,61,65,101,410,1,1,31],
[106,94,24,63,16,75,47,82,62,210,52,57,810,41,55,93,73,58,41,82],
[55,49,102,9,8,41,12,110,109,310,95,51,103,71,92,85,910,410,17,21],
[31,2,77,93,8,98,510,94,56,5,12,91,69,31,62,4,11,5,92,65],
[22,29,103,34,64,11,9,610,1,19,35,24,21,49,31,43,81,102,14,11],
[75,81,5,109,61,110,19,46,55,23,31,1,98,28,56,2,83,81,91,41],
[4,510,58,41,38,106,99,103,31,84,110,63,17,105,210,61,95,103,63,51],
[38,32,510,62,410,14,86,310,59,69,107,13,29,610,38,103,43,98,98,1],
[101,11,3,101,99,810,10,3,510,8,35,62,45,49,34,86,63,66,71,9],
[16,5,77,110,109,13,63,54,310,102,92,103,310,26,15,22,66,106,210,91],
[13,810,66,51,91,84,19,25,110,41,51,87,27,79,18,69,99,95,11,46],
[410,910,62,89,43,23,108,52,33,67,31,105,26,106,108,85,87,68,56,23],
[310,68,21,91,107,85,94,28,101,34,109,27,63,84,25,106,65,81,7,310],
[42,63,27,24,1010,11,107,69,910,810,31,15,97,3,56,77,51,108,31,26::int]]
end

```

7 Echelon Form refined to immutable arrays

```

theory Echelon-Form-IArrays
imports
  Echelon-Form
  Gauss-Jordan.Gauss-Jordan-IArrays
begin

```

7.1 The algorithm over immutable arrays

definition

```

bezout-matrix-iarrays A a b j bezout =
  tabulate2 (nrows-iarray A) (nrows-iarray A)
  (let (p, q, u, v, d) = bezout (A !! a !! j) (A !! b !! j)
    in (%x y. if x = a ∧ y = a then p else
      if x = a ∧ y = b then q else
      if x = b ∧ y = a then u else
      if x = b ∧ y = b then v else
      if x = y then 1 else 0))

```

primrec

```

bezout-iterate-iarrays :: 'a::{bezout-ring} iarray iarray ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat
  ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('a × 'a × 'a × 'a × 'a))
  ⇒ 'a iarray iarray

```

```

where bezout-iterate-iarrays A 0 i j bezout = A
  | bezout-iterate-iarrays A (Suc n) i j bezout =
    (if (Suc n) ≤ i

```

then A
 else bezout-iterate-iarrays (bezout-matrix-iarrays A i (Suc n) j bezout $**i$
 A) n i j bezout)

definition

echelon-form-of-column-k-iarrays $A' k =$
 (let ($A, i, bezout$) = A' ;
 $nrows-A = nrows-iarray A$;
 $column-Ak = column-iarray k A$;
 $all-zero-below-i = vector-all-zero-from-index (i+1, column-Ak)$
 in if $i = nrows-A \vee (A !! i !! k = 0) \wedge all-zero-below-i$
 then ($A, i, bezout$) else
 if $all-zero-below-i$
 then ($A, i + 1, bezout$) else
 let $n = least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index column-Ak i$;
 $interchange-A = interchange-rows-iarray A i n$
 in
 (bezout-iterate-iarrays $interchange-A (nrows-A - 1) i k bezout, i + 1,$
 $bezout$))

definition echelon-form-of-upt-k-iarrays $A k bezout$
 = $fst (foldl echelon-form-of-column-k-iarrays (A,0,bezout) [0..<Suc k])$

definition echelon-form-of-iarrays $A bezout$
 = $echelon-form-of-upt-k-iarrays A (ncols-iarray A - 1) bezout$

7.2 Properties

7.2.1 Bezout Matrix for immutable arrays

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-bezout-matrix:*
shows $matrix-to-iarray (bezout-matrix A a b j bezout)$
 = $bezout-matrix-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat a) (to-nat b) (to-nat j) bezout$
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 <proof>

7.2.2 Bezout Iterate for immutable arrays

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-bezout-iterate:*
assumes $n: n < nrows A$
shows $matrix-to-iarray (bezout-iterate A n i j bezout)$
 = $bezout-iterate-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) n (to-nat i) (to-nat j) bezout$
 <proof>

lemma *matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index2:*
fixes $A::'a::\{zero\} \wedge columns::\{mod-type\} \wedge rows::\{mod-type\}$
shows $(\forall m > i. A \$ m \$ k = 0) = vector-all-zero-from-index ((to-nat i)+1,$
 $vec-to-iarray (column k A))$
 <proof>

7.2.3 Echelon form of column k for immutable arrays

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-echelon-form-of-column-k*:
fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\}^{\sim}\text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\sim}\text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $k: k < \text{ncols } A$
and $i: i \leq \text{nrows } A$
shows $\text{matrix-to-iarray } (\text{fst } ((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k bezout}) (A,i) k))$
 $= \text{fst } (\text{echelon-form-of-column-k-iarrays } (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A, i, \text{bezout}) k)$
<proof>

lemma *snd-matrix-to-iarray-echelon-form-of-column-k*:
fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\}^{\sim}\text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\sim}\text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $k: k < \text{ncols } A$
and $i: i \leq \text{nrows } A$
shows $\text{snd } ((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k bezout}) (A,i) k)$
 $= \text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{echelon-form-of-column-k-iarrays } (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A, i, \text{bezout}) k))$
<proof>

corollary *fst-snd-matrix-to-iarray-echelon-form-of-column-k*:
fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\}^{\sim}\text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\sim}\text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $k: k < \text{ncols } A$
and $i: i \leq \text{nrows } A$
shows $\text{snd } ((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k bezout}) (A,i) k)$
 $= \text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{echelon-form-of-column-k-iarrays } (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A, i, \text{bezout}) k))$
<proof>

7.2.4 Echelon form up to column k for immutable arrays

lemma *snd-snd-foldl-echelon-form-of-column-k-iarrays*:
 $\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{foldl } \text{echelon-form-of-column-k-iarrays } (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A, 0, \text{bezout})$
 $[0..<k]))$
 $= \text{bezout}$
<proof>

lemma *foldl-echelon-form-column-k-eq*:
fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\}^{\sim}\text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\sim}\text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$
assumes $k: k < \text{ncols } A$
shows $\text{matrix-to-iarray-echelon-form-of-upt-k}$ *[code-unfold]*:
 $\text{matrix-to-iarray } (\text{echelon-form-of-upt-k } A k \text{ bezout})$
 $= \text{echelon-form-of-upt-k-iarrays } (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A) k \text{ bezout}$
and fst-foldl-ef-k-eq : $\text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{foldl } \text{echelon-form-of-column-k-iarrays}$
 $(\text{matrix-to-iarray } A, 0, \text{bezout}) [0..<\text{Suc } k]))$
 $= \text{snd } (\text{foldl } (\text{echelon-form-of-column-k bezout}) (A, 0) [0..<\text{Suc } k])$
and $\text{fst-foldl-ef-k-less}$:
 $\text{snd } (\text{foldl } (\text{echelon-form-of-column-k bezout}) (A, 0) [0..<\text{Suc } k]) \leq \text{nrows } A$
<proof>

7.2.5 Echelon form up to column k for immutable arrays

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-echelon-form-of**[code-unfold]*:

matrix-to-iarray (echelon-form-of A bezout)
 = *echelon-form-of-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) bezout*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

8 Determinant of matrices computed using immutable arrays

theory *Echelon-Form-Det-IArrays*

imports

Echelon-Form-Det

Echelon-Form-IArrays

begin

8.1 Definitions

definition *echelon-form-of-column-k-det-iarrays* ::

'a::{bezout-ring} × 'a iarray iarray × nat × ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a × 'a × 'a × 'a × 'a)
 \Rightarrow *nat*
 \Rightarrow *'a × 'a iarray iarray × nat × ('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a × 'a × 'a × 'a × 'a)*

where

echelon-form-of-column-k-det-iarrays A' k =

(let (det-P, A, i, bezout) = A'
in if ((i ≠ nrows-iarray A) ∧ (A !! i !! k = 0)
 \wedge $(\neg$ *vector-all-zero-from-index (i + 1, (column-iarray k A))))*
*then (-1 * det-P, echelon-form-of-column-k-iarrays (A, i, bezout) k)*
else (det-P, echelon-form-of-column-k-iarrays (A, i, bezout) k))

definition *echelon-form-of-upt-k-det-iarrays A' k bezout =*

(let A = snd A';
f = foldl echelon-form-of-column-k-det-iarrays (1, A, 0, bezout) [0..<Suc
k]
in (fst f, fst (snd f)))

definition *echelon-form-of-det-iarrays* ::

'a::{bezout-ring} iarray iarray
 \Rightarrow *('a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a × 'a × 'a × 'a × 'a)*
 \Rightarrow *('a × ('a iarray iarray))*

where

echelon-form-of-det-iarrays A bezout =
echelon-form-of-upt-k-det-iarrays (1::'a, A) (ncols-iarray A - 1) bezout

definition *det-iarrays-rings A =*

(let A' = echelon-form-of-det-iarrays A euclid-ext2
*in 1 div (fst A') * prod-list (map (λi. (snd A') !! i !! i) [0..<nrows-iarray A]))*

8.2 Properties

8.2.1 Echelon Form of column k

lemma *vector-all-zero-from-index3*:

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{bezout-ring}\}^{\sim}\text{cols}::\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\sim}\text{rows}::\{\text{mod-type}\}$

shows $(\exists m>i. A \$ m \$ k \neq 0)$

$= (\neg \text{vector-all-zero-from-index } (\text{to-nat } i + 1, \text{vec-to-iarray } (\text{column } k \ A)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fst-matrix-to-iarray-echelon-form-of-column-k-det*:

assumes $k < \text{ncols } A$ **and** $i \leq \text{nrows } A$

shows $\text{fst } ((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k-det } \text{bezout}) (\text{det-P}, A, i) \ k)$

$= \text{fst } (\text{echelon-form-of-column-k-det-iarrays } (\text{det-P}, \text{matrix-to-iarray } A, i, \text{bezout})$

$k)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *snd-echelon-form-of-column-k-det*:

shows $(\text{snd } (\text{echelon-form-of-column-k-det-iarrays } (\text{det-P}, A, i, \text{bezout}) \ k))$

$= \text{echelon-form-of-column-k-iarrays } (A, i, \text{bezout}) \ k$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fst-snd-echelon-form-of-column-k-le-nrows*:

assumes $i \leq \text{nrows } A$

shows $\text{snd } ((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k } \text{bezout}) (A, i) \ k) \leq \text{nrows } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fst-snd-snd-echelon-form-of-column-k-det-le-nrows*:

assumes $i \leq \text{nrows } A$

shows $\text{snd } (\text{snd } ((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k-det } \text{bezout}) (n, A, i) \ k)) \leq \text{nrows } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.2.2 Echelon Form up to column k

lemma *snd-snd-snd-foldl-echelon-form-of-column-k-det-iarrays*:

$\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } (\text{foldl } \text{echelon-form-of-column-k-det-iarrays } (n, A, 0, \text{bezout}) [0..<k])))$

$= \text{bezout}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-echelon-form-of-column-k-det*:

assumes $k < \text{ncols } A$ **and** $i \leq \text{nrows } A$

shows $\text{matrix-to-iarray } (\text{fst } (\text{snd } ((\text{echelon-form-of-column-k-det } \text{bezout}) (n, A,$

$i) \ k)))$

$= (\text{fst } (\text{snd } (\text{echelon-form-of-column-k-det-iarrays } (n, \text{matrix-to-iarray } A, i, \text{bezout}) \ k)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fst-snd-snd-echelon-form-of-column-k-det*:

assumes $k < ncols\ A$
and $i \leq nrows\ A$
shows $snd\ (snd\ ((echelon-form-of-column-k-det\ bezout)\ (n,A,i)\ k))$
 $=\ fst\ (snd\ (snd\ (echelon-form-of-column-k-det-iarrays\ (n,matrix-to-iarray\ A,\ i,$
 $bezout)\ k)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

fixes $A::'a::\{bezout-domain\}^{\wedge}cols::\{mod-type\}^{\wedge}rows::\{mod-type\}$
assumes $k < ncols\ A$
shows *matrix-to-iarray-fst-echelon-form-of-upt-k-det*:
 $fst\ ((echelon-form-of-upt-k-det\ bezout)\ (1::'a,A)\ k)$
 $=\ fst\ (echelon-form-of-upt-k-det-iarrays\ (1::'a,matrix-to-iarray\ A)\ k\ bezout)$
and *matrix-to-iarray-snd-echelon-form-of-upt-k-det*:
 $matrix-to-iarray\ ((snd\ ((echelon-form-of-upt-k-det\ bezout)\ (1::'a,A)\ k)))$
 $=\ (snd\ (echelon-form-of-upt-k-det-iarrays\ (1::'a,\ matrix-to-iarray\ A)\ k\ bezout))$
and $snd\ (snd\ (foldl\ (echelon-form-of-column-k-det\ bezout)\ (1::'a,A,0)\ [0..<Suc\ k])) \leq nrows\ A$
and $fst\ (snd\ (snd\ (foldl\ echelon-form-of-column-k-det-iarrays\ (1::'a,matrix-to-iarray\ A,0,bezout)\ [0..<Suc\ k]))) = snd\ (snd\ (foldl\ (echelon-form-of-column-k-det\ bezout)\ (1::'a,A,0)\ [0..<Suc\ k]))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2.3 Echelon Form

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-echelon-form-of-det[code-unfold]*:

$matrix-to-iarray\ (snd\ (echelon-form-of-det\ A\ bezout))$
 $=\ snd\ (echelon-form-of-det-iarrays\ (matrix-to-iarray\ A)\ bezout)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fst-echelon-form-of-det[code-unfold]*:

$(fst\ (echelon-form-of-det\ A\ bezout))$
 $=\ fst\ (echelon-form-of-det-iarrays\ (matrix-to-iarray\ A)\ bezout)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2.4 Computing the determinant

lemma *det-echelon-form-of-euclidean-iarrays[code]*:

fixes $A::'a::\{euclidean-ring-gcd\}^{\wedge}n::\{mod-type\}^{\wedge}n::\{mod-type\}$
shows $det\ A = (let\ A' = echelon-form-of-det-iarrays\ (matrix-to-iarray\ A)\ euclid-ext2$
 $in\ 1\ div\ (fst\ A'))$
 $*\ prod-list\ (map\ (\lambda i.\ (snd\ A')\ !!\ i\ !!\ i)\ [0..<nrows-iarray\ (matrix-to-iarray\ A)])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *matrix-to-iarray-det-euclidean-ring*:

fixes $A::'a::\{euclidean-ring-gcd\}^{\wedge}n::\{mod-type\}^{\wedge}n::\{mod-type\}$

shows $\det A = \det\text{-iarrays-rings (matrix-to-iarray A)}$
 ⟨proof⟩

8.2.5 Computing the characteristic polynomial of a matrix

definition $\text{mat2matofpoly-iarrays } A$
 $= \text{tabulate2 (nrows-iarray A) (ncols-iarray A) } (\lambda i j. [:A !! i !! j:])$

lemma $\text{matrix-to-iarray-mat2matofpoly[code-unfold]}$:
 $\text{matrix-to-iarray (mat2matofpoly A) = mat2matofpoly-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A)}$
 ⟨proof⟩

The following two lemmas must be added to the file *Matrix-To-IArray* of the AFP Gauss-Jordan development.

lemma $\text{vec-to-iarray-minus[code-unfold]}$: $\text{vec-to-iarray (a - b)}$
 $= (\text{vec-to-iarray a}) - (\text{vec-to-iarray b})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{matrix-to-iarray-minus[code-unfold]}$: $\text{matrix-to-iarray (A - B)}$
 $= (\text{matrix-to-iarray A}) - (\text{matrix-to-iarray B})$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition $\text{charpoly-iarrays } A$
 $= \det\text{-iarrays-rings (mat-iarray (monom 1 (Suc 0)) (nrows-iarray A) - mat2matofpoly-iarrays A)$

lemma $\text{matrix-to-iarray-charpoly[code]}$: $\text{charpoly A = charpoly-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A)}$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

9 Code Cayley Hamilton

theory *Code-Cayley-Hamilton-IArrays*
imports
Cayley-Hamilton.Cayley-Hamilton
Echelon-Form-Det-IArrays
begin

9.1 Implementations over immutable arrays of some definitions presented in the Cayley-Hamilton development

definition $\text{scalar-matrix-mult-iarrays} :: ('a::\text{ab-semigroup-mult}) \Rightarrow ('a \text{ iarray iarray}) \Rightarrow ('a \text{ iarray iarray})$
 (**infixl** <ssi> 70) **where** $c \text{ *ssi } A = \text{tabulate2 (nrows-iarray A) (ncols-iarray A)}$
 (% $i j. c * (A !! i !! j)$)

definition *minorM-iarrays* $A\ i\ j = \text{tabulate2}\ (nrows\text{-iarray}\ A)\ (ncols\text{-iarray}\ A)$
 ($\%k\ l.$ if $k = i \wedge l = j$ then 1 else if $k = i \vee l = j$ then 0 else $A\ !!\ k\ !!\ l$)

definition *cofactor-iarrays* $A\ i\ j = \text{det-iarrays-rings}\ (\text{minorM-iarrays}\ A\ i\ j)$

definition *cofactorM-iarrays* $A = \text{tabulate2}\ (nrows\text{-iarray}\ A)\ (nrows\text{-iarray}\ A)$
 ($\%i\ j.$ *cofactor-iarrays* $A\ i\ j$)

definition *adjugate-iarrays* $A = \text{transpose-iarray}\ (\text{cofactorM-iarrays}\ A)$

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-scalar-matrix-mult*[code-unfold]:

$\text{matrix-to-iarray}\ (k\ *k\ A) = k\ *ssi\ (\text{matrix-to-iarray}\ A)$
 <proof>

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-minorM*[code-unfold]:

$\text{matrix-to-iarray}\ (\text{minorM}\ A\ i\ j) = \text{minorM-iarrays}\ (\text{matrix-to-iarray}\ A)\ (\text{to-nat}\ i)\ (\text{to-nat}\ j)$
 <proof>

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-cofactor*[code-unfold]:

$(\text{cofactor}\ A\ i\ j) = \text{cofactor-iarrays}\ (\text{matrix-to-iarray}\ A)\ (\text{to-nat}\ i)\ (\text{to-nat}\ j)$
 <proof>

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-cofactorM*[code-unfold]:

$\text{matrix-to-iarray}\ (\text{cofactorM}\ A) = \text{cofactorM-iarrays}\ (\text{matrix-to-iarray}\ A)$
 <proof>

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-adjugate*[code-unfold]:

$\text{matrix-to-iarray}\ (\text{adjugate}\ A) = \text{adjugate-iarrays}\ (\text{matrix-to-iarray}\ A)$
 <proof>

end

10 Inverse matrices over principal ideal rings using immutable arrays

theory *Echelon-Form-Inverse-IArrays*

imports

Echelon-Form-Inverse

Code-Cayley-Hamilton-IArrays

Gauss-Jordan-Inverse-IArrays

begin

10.1 Computing the inverse of matrices over rings using immutable arrays

definition *inverse-matrix-ring-iarray* $A = (\text{let}\ d = \text{det-iarrays-rings}\ A\ \text{in}\ \text{if}\ \text{is-unit}\ d\ \text{then}\ \text{Some}(1\ \text{div}\ d\ *ssi\ \text{adjugate-iarrays}\ A)\ \text{else}\ \text{None})$

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-inverse*:

fixes $A::'a::\{\text{euclidean-ring-gcd}\}^{\wedge}n::\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\wedge}n::\{\text{mod-type}\}$

```

showsmatrix-to-iarray-option (inverse-matrix A) = inverse-matrix-ring-iarray
(matrix-to-iarray A)
  <proof>

```

end

11 Examples of computations using immutable arrays

```

theory Examples-Echelon-Form-IArrays
imports
  Echelon-Form-Inverse-IArrays
  HOL-Library.Code-Target-Numeral
  Gauss-Jordan.Examples-Gauss-Jordan-Abstract
  Examples-Echelon-Form-Abstract
begin

```

The file `Examples_Echelon_Form_Abstract.thy` is only imported to include the definitions of matrices that we use in the following examples. Otherwise, it could be removed.

11.1 Computing echelon forms, determinants, characteristic polynomials and so on using immutable arrays

11.1.1 Serializing gcd

First of all, we serialize the gcd to the ones of PolyML and MLton as we did in the Gauss-Jordan development.

```

context
includes integer.lifting
begin

```

```

lift-definition gcd-integer :: integer => integer => integer
is gcd :: int => int => int <proof>

```

```

lemma gcd-integer-code [code]:
gcd-integer l k = |if l = (0::integer) then k else gcd-integer l (|k| mod |l|)|
  <proof>

```

end

```

code-printing
constant abs :: integer => - -> (SML) IntInf.abs
| constant gcd-integer :: integer => - => - -> (SML) (PolyML.IntInf.gcd ((-),(-))

```

```

lemma gcd-code [code]:
  gcd a b = int-of-integer (gcd-integer (of-int a) (of-int b))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

code-printing

```

constant abs :: real => real →
  (SML) Real.abs

```

```

declare [[code drop: abs :: real ⇒ real]]

```

code-printing

```

constant divmod-integer :: integer => - => - → (SML) (IntInf.divMod ((-),(-)))

```

11.1.2 Examples

```

value det test-int-3x3

```

```

value det test-int-3x3-03

```

```

value det test-int-6x6

```

```

value det test-int-8x8

```

```

value det test-int-20x20

```

```

value charpoly test-real-3x3

```

```

value charpoly test-real-6x6

```

```

value inverse-matrix test-int-3x3-02

```

```

value matrix-to-iarray (echelon-form-of test-int-3x3 euclid-ext2)

```

```

value matrix-to-iarray (echelon-form-of test-int-8x8 euclid-ext2)

```

The following computations are much faster when code is exported.

The following matrix will have an integer inverse since its determinant is equal to one

```

value det test-int-3x3-03

```

```

value the (matrix-to-iarray-option (inverse-matrix test-int-3x3-03))

```

We check that the previous inverse has been correctly computed:

```

value matrix-matrix-mult-iarray
  (matrix-to-iarray test-int-3x3-03)
  (the (matrix-to-iarray-option (inverse-matrix test-int-3x3-03)))

```

```

value matrix-matrix-mult-iarray

```


(*the (matrix-to-iarray-option (inverse-matrix test-int-3x3-03))*)
(matrix-to-iarray test-int-3x3-03))

The following matrices have determinant different from zero, and thus do not have an integer inverse

value *det test-int-6x6*

value *matrix-to-iarray-option (inverse-matrix test-int-6x6)*

value *det test-int-20x20*

value *matrix-to-iarray-option (inverse-matrix test-int-20x20)*

The inverse in dimension 20 has (trivial) inverse.

value *the (matrix-to-iarray-option (inverse-matrix (mat 1::int²⁰²⁰)))*

value *the (matrix-to-iarray-option (inverse-matrix (mat 1::int²⁰²⁰))) = matrix-to-iarray (mat 1::int²⁰²⁰)*

definition *print-echelon-int (A::int²⁰²⁰) = echelon-form-of-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) euclid-ext2*

Performance is better when code is exported. In addition, it depends on the growth of the integer coefficients of the matrices. For instance, *test-int-20x20* is a matrix of integer numbers between -10 and 10 . The computation of its echelon form (by means of *print-echelon-int*) needs about 2 seconds. However, the matrix *test-int-20x20-2* has elements between 0 and 1010. The computation of its echelon form (by means of *print-echelon-int* too) needs about 0.310 seconds. These benchmarks have been carried out in a laptop with an i5-3360M processor with 4 GB of RAM.

export-code *charpoly det echelon-form-of test-int-8x8 test-int-20x20 test-int-20x20-2*
print-echelon-int
in *SML module-name Echelon*

end