# Dyck Language

### Tobias Nipkow and Moritz Roos

#### June 19, 2025

#### Abstract

The Dyck language over a pair of brackets, e.g. ( and ), is the set of balanced strings/words/lists of brackets. That is, the set of words with the same number of ( and ), where every prefix of the word contains no more ) than (. In general, a Dyck language is defined over a whole set of matching pairs of brackets.

## Contents

L	Dyc	k Languages	1
	1.1	Balanced, Inductive and Recursive	1
	1.2	Equivalence of $bal$ and $bal\_stk$	2
	1.3	More properties of <i>bal</i> , using <i>bal_stk</i>	3
	1.4	Dyck Language over an Alphabet	5

### 1 Dyck Languages

theory Dyck\_Language imports Main begin

Dyck languages are sets of words/lists of balanced brackets. A bracket is a pair of type  $bool \times 'a$  where *True* is an opening and *False* a closing bracket. That is, brackets are tagged with elements of type 'a.

```
type_synonym 'a bracket = bool \times 'a
```

**abbreviation** Open  $a \equiv (True, a)$ **abbreviation** Close  $a \equiv (False, a)$ 

### 1.1 Balanced, Inductive and Recursive

Definition of what it means to be a *balanced* list of brackets:

**inductive** *bal* :: 'a bracket list  $\Rightarrow$  bool where *bal* [] | *bal*  $xs \Longrightarrow$  *bal*  $ys \Longrightarrow$  *bal* (xs @ ys) |  $bal xs \Longrightarrow bal (Open \ a \ \# \ xs @ [Close \ a])$ 

declare bal.intros(1)[iff] bal.intros(2)[intro,simp] bal.intros(3)[intro,simp]

lemma bal2[iff]: bal [Open a, Close a]
using bal.intros(3)[of []] by simp

The inductive definition of balanced is complemented with a functional version that uses a stack to remember which opening brackets need to be closed:

**fun**  $bal\_stk :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ bracket \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list * 'a \ bracket \ list where$  $<math>bal\_stk \ s \ [] = (s, []) \ |$  $bal\_stk \ s \ (Open \ a \ \# \ bs) = \ bal\_stk \ (a \ \# \ s) \ bs \ |$  $bal\_stk \ (a' \ \# \ s) \ (Close \ a \ \# \ bs) =$  $(if \ a = \ a' \ then \ bal\_stk \ s \ bs \ else \ (a' \ \# \ s, \ Close \ a \ \# \ bs)) \ |$  $bal\_stk \ bs \ stk = (bs, stk)$ 

**lemma**  $bal_stk_more_stk$ :  $bal_stk$  s1  $xs = (s1',[]) \implies bal_stk$  (s1@s2) xs = (s1'@s2,[])

**by**(*induction s1 xs arbitrary: s2 rule: bal\_stk.induct*) (*auto split: if\_splits*)

**lemma**  $bal\_stk\_if\_Nils[simp]$ :  $ASSUMPTION(bal\_stk [] bs = ([], [])) \Longrightarrow bal\_stk$ s bs = (s, [])

unfolding ASSUMPTION\_def using bal\_stk\_more\_stk[of [] \_ []] by simp

**lemma** *bal\_stk\_append*:

 $bal\_stk \ s \ (xs \ @ \ ys)$ 

=  $(let (s', xs') = bal_stk \ s \ xs \ in \ if \ xs' = [] \ then \ bal_stk \ s' \ ys \ else \ (s', \ xs' \ @ \ ys))$ by  $(induction \ s \ xs \ rule: bal_stk.induct) \ (auto \ split: \ if_splits)$ 

lemma bal\_stk\_append\_if:

 $bal\_stk \ s1 \ xs = (s2, []) \Longrightarrow bal\_stk \ s1 \ (xs @ ys) = bal\_stk \ s2 \ ys$  $by(simp \ add: \ bal\_stk\_append[of\_xs])$ 

**lemma** *bal\_stk\_split*:

 $bal\_stk \ s \ xs = (s',xs') \Longrightarrow \exists us. \ xs = us@xs' \land bal\_stk \ s \ us = (s',[])$ by(induction s xs rule:bal\\_stk.induct) (auto split: if\_splits)

### **1.2** Equivalence of *bal* and *bal\_stk*

**lemma**  $bal\_stk\_if\_bal$ :  $bal xs \implies bal\_stk \ s \ xs = (s, [])$ **by** $(induction \ arbitrary: \ s \ rule: \ bal.induct)(auto \ simp: \ bal\_stk\_append\_if \ split: \ if\_splits)$ 

**lemma** bal\_insert\_AB: bal  $(v @ w) \Longrightarrow$  bal (v @ (Open a # Close a # w)) **proof**(induction v @ w arbitrary: v w rule: bal.induct) **case** 1 **thus** ?case by blast **next case**  $(3 \ u \ b)$ 

```
then show ?case
 proof (cases v)
   \mathbf{case}~\mathit{Nil}
   hence w = Open \ b \ \# \ u \ @ \ [Close \ b]
     using 3.hyps(3) by fastforce
   hence bal w using 3.hyps
     by blast
   hence bal ([Open a, Close a] @ w)
     by blast
   thus ?thesis using Nil by simp
 \mathbf{next}
   case [simp]: (Cons x v')
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases w rule:rev_cases)
     case Nil
     from 3.hyps have bal ((Open a \# u @ [Close a]) @ [Open a, Close a])
      using bal.intros(2) by blast
     thus ?thesis using Nil Cons 3
      by (metis append_Nil append_Nil2 bal.simps)
   \mathbf{next}
     case (snoc w' y)
     thus ?thesis
      using 3.hyps(2,3) bal.intros(3) by force
   qed
 qed
\mathbf{next}
 case (2 v' w')
 then obtain r where v'=v@r \wedge r@w'=w \vee v'@r=v \wedge w'=r@w
   by (meson append_eq_append_conv2)
 thus ?case
   using 2.hyps \ bal.intros(2) by force
qed
lemma bal_if_bal_stk: bal_stk s w = ([], []) \Longrightarrow bal (rev(map (\lambda x. Open x) s) @
w)
proof(induction s w rule: bal stk.induct)
 case 2
 then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case 3
 then show ?case by (auto simp add: bal_insert_AB split: if_splits)
```

**corollary**  $bal_iff_bal_stk$ :  $bal w \leftrightarrow bal_stk$  [] w = ([],[])using  $bal_if_bal_stk[of []] bal_stk_if_bal by auto$ 

#### **1.3** More properties of *bal*, using *bal\_stk*

qed (auto)

**theorem**  $bal\_append\_inv: bal (u @ v) \Longrightarrow bal u \Longrightarrow bal v$ 

using bal\_stk\_append\_if bal\_iff\_bal\_stk by metis **lemma** *bal\_insert\_bal\_iff*[*simp*]:  $bal \ b \Longrightarrow bal \ (v @ b @ w) = bal \ (v @ w)$ unfolding bal iff bal stk by(auto simp add: bal stk append split: prod.splits *if\_splits*) **lemma** bal\_start\_Open:  $\langle bal(x \# xs) \Longrightarrow \exists a. x = Open a \rangle$ using bal\_stk.elims bal\_iff\_bal\_stk by blast **lemma** *bal\_Open\_split*: **assumes**  $\langle bal (x \# xs) \rangle$ **shows**  $\langle \exists y \ r \ a. \ bal \ y \land bal \ r \land x = Open \ a \land xs = y @ Close \ a \ \# \ r \rangle$ prooffrom assms obtain a where  $\langle x = Open a \rangle$ using bal\_start\_Open by blast have  $(bal \ (Open \ a \ \# \ xs) \Longrightarrow \exists \ y \ r. \ bal \ y \land \ bal \ r \land \ xs = y @ Close \ a \ \# \ r)$ **proof**(*induction* (*length* xs) *arbitrary*: xs rule: *less induct*) case less have IH:  $\langle Aw. [[length w < length xs; bal (Open a # w)]] \implies \exists y r. bal y \land bal$  $r \wedge w = y @ Close \ a \ \# \ r \rangle$ using less by blast **have**  $\langle bal (Open \ a \ \# \ xs) \rangle$ using less by blast from less(2) show ?case **proof**(*induction*  $\langle Open \ a \ \# \ xs \rangle$  *rule*: *bal.induct*) case (2 as bs)**consider** (as empty)  $\langle as = [] \rangle | (bs empty) \langle bs = [] \rangle | (both not empty)$  $\langle as \neq [] \land bs \neq [] \rangle$  by blast then show ?case **proof**(*cases*) case as empty then show ?thesis using 2 by (metis append\_Nil)  $\mathbf{next}$ **case** bs\_empty then show ?thesis using 2 by (metis append\_self\_conv)  $\mathbf{next}$ **case** *both\_not\_empty* then obtain as' where  $as'\_def: \langle Open \ a \ \# \ as' = as \rangle$ using 2 by (metis append\_eq\_Cons\_conv) then have  $\langle length \ as' \langle length \ xs \rangle$ using 2.hyps(5) both\_not\_empty by fastforce with IH (bal as) obtain y r where yr: (bal  $y \wedge bal r \wedge as' = y @ Close a$ # rusing as'\_def by meson then have  $\langle xs = y @ Close \ a \ \# \ r @ bs \rangle$ using 2.hyps(5) as'\_def by fastforce moreover have  $\langle bal y \rangle$ using yr by blast moreover have  $\langle bal (r@bs) \rangle$ 

```
using yr by (simp add: 2.hyps(3))

ultimately show ?thesis by blast

qed

next

case (3 xs)

then show ?case by blast

qed

qed

then show ?thesis using assms \langle x = \_ \rangle by blast

qed
```

### 1.4 Dyck Language over an Alphabet

The Dyck/bracket language over a set  $\Gamma$  is the set of balanced words over  $\Gamma$ :

**definition**  $Dyck\_lang :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ bracket \ list \ set \ where$  $Dyck\_lang \ \Gamma = \{w. \ bal \ w \land snd \ (set \ w) \subseteq \Gamma\}$ 

**lemma**  $Dyck\_langD[dest]$ : **assumes**  $\langle w \in Dyck\_lang \Gamma \rangle$  **shows**  $\langle bal w \rangle$  **and**  $\langle snd \ (set w) \subseteq \Gamma \rangle$ **using** assms **unfolding**  $Dyck\_lang\_def$  by auto

Balanced subwords are again in the Dyck Language.

 $\mathbf{end}$